

THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES
LITTERA SCRIPTA MANET
1934
OF THE UNITED STATES

FEDERAL REGISTER

VOLUME 19
NUMBER 153

Washington, Saturday, August 7, 1954

TITLE 3—THE PRESIDENT

EXECUTIVE ORDER 10550

AMENDMENT OF EXECUTIVE ORDER NO. 10450¹ OF APRIL 27, 1953, RELATING TO SECURITY REQUIREMENTS FOR GOVERNMENT EMPLOYMENT

By virtue of the authority vested in me by the Constitution and statutes of the United States, including section 1753 of the Revised Statutes of the United States (5 U. S. C. 631); the Civil Service Act of 1883 (22 Stat. 403; 5 U. S. C. 632, *et seq.*); section 9A of the act of August 2, 1939, 53 Stat. 1148 (5 U. S. C. 118j); and the act of August 26, 1950, 64 Stat. 476 (5 U. S. C. 22-1, *et seq.*), and as President of the United States, and finding such action necessary in the best interests of the national security, it is hereby ordered as follows:

Section 14 of Executive Order No. 10450 of April 27, 1953, relating to security requirements for Government employment, is hereby amended as follows:

1. The last sentence of subsection (a) thereof is amended to read:

"The Civil Service Commission shall report to the National Security Council, at least semiannually, on the results of such study, shall recommend means to correct any such deficiencies or tendencies, and shall inform the National Security Council immediately of any deficiency which is deemed to be of major importance."

2. The following subsection is added at the end thereof:

"(c) To assist the Civil Service Commission in discharging its responsibilities under this order, the head of each department and agency shall, as soon as possible and in no event later than ninety days after receipt of the final investigative report on a civilian officer or employee subject to a full field investigation under the provisions of this order, advise the Commission as to the action taken with respect to such officer or employee. The information furnished by the heads of departments and agencies pursuant to this section shall be included in the reports which the Civil Service Commission is required to submit to the National Security Council in accordance with sub-

section (a) of this section. Such reports shall set forth any deficiencies on the part of the heads of departments and agencies in taking timely action under this order, and shall mention specifically any instances of noncompliance with this subsection."

DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER

THE WHITE HOUSE,
August 5, 1954.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6167; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 9:42 a. m.]

TITLE 5—ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL

Chapter III—Foreign and Territorial Compensation

[Dept. Reg. 108.225]

PART 325—ADDITIONAL COMPENSATION IN FOREIGN AREAS

DESIGNATION OF DIFFERENTIAL POSTS

Section 325.11 *Designation of differential posts* is amended as follows, effective on the dates indicated:

1. Effective as of the beginning of the first pay period following July 3, 1954, paragraph (d) is amended by the deletion of the following post:

Bar le Duc, France.

2. Effective as of the beginning of the first pay period following July 31, 1954, paragraph (a) is amended by the addition of the following posts:

Estancia Barrerito, Paraguay.
Reyes, Bolivia.

3. Effective as of the beginning of the first pay period following July 4, 1953, paragraph (d) is amended by the addition of the following post:

Phalsbourg, France.

4. Effective as of the beginning of the first pay period following March 13, 1954, paragraph (d) is amended by the addition of the following post:

Vitry le Francois, France.

(Sec. 102, Part I, E. O. 10000, 13 F. R. 5453; 3 CFR, 1948 Supp.)

For the Secretary of State.

I. W. CARPENTER, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary.

JULY 22, 1954.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6060; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:45 a. m.]

CONTENTS

THE PRESIDENT

Executive Order	Page
Amendment of Executive Order No. 10450 of April 27, 1953, relating to security requirements for Government employees.....	4981

EXECUTIVE AGENCIES

Agricultural Marketing Service

Notices:	
Huron Livestock Auction Co., Huron, S. Dak.; deposting of stockyard.....	5005
Proposed rule making:	
Milk handling:	
Milwaukee, Wis.....	4998
South Bend-LaPorte, Ind.....	4999
Rules and regulations:	
Lemons grown in California and Arizona; limitation of shipments.....	4987
Oranges, Valencia, grown in Arizona and designated part of California; limitation of handling.....	4985
Pears, fresh Bartlett, plums, and Elberta peaches grown in California; regulation of shipments.....	4986

Agriculture Department

See Agricultural Marketing Service; Commodity Credit Corporation.

Civil Aeronautics Administration

Rules and regulations:	
Standard instrument approach procedures; procedure alterations.....	4988

Civil Aeronautics Board

Notices:	
Braniff Airways, Inc.; service to Fairmont, Minn., and Fort Dodge, Iowa; change of place of hearing.....	5005
Proposed rule making:	
Balloons, moored, and large kites, operation of.....	5002

Commerce Department

Delegation of authority to Secretary to negotiate contracts for certain surveys and maps (see General Services Administration).

¹ 18 F. R. 2489; 3 CFR, 1953 Supp., p. 72.



Published daily, except Sundays, Mondays, and days following official Federal holidays, by the Federal Register Division, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U. S. C., ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15¢) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

CFR SUPPLEMENTS

(For use during 1954)

The following Supplements are now available:

- Title 7: Parts 210-899 (\$2.25)
- Title 19, Revised 1953 (\$5.00)
- Title 32A, Revised Dec. 31, 1953 (\$1.50)
- Title 46: Part 146 to end (\$6.50)

Previously announced: Title 3, 1953 Supp. (\$1.50); Titles 4-5 (\$0.60); Title 6 (\$2.00); Title 7: Parts 1-209, Revised 1953 (\$7.75); Part 900 to end (\$1.25); Title 8 (\$0.35); Title 9 (\$0.50); Titles 10-13 (\$0.50); Title 14: Parts 1-399 (\$1.25); Part 400 to end (\$0.50); Title 15 (\$1.25); Title 16 (\$1.00); Title 17 (\$0.50); Title 18 (\$0.45); Title 20 (\$0.70); Title 21 (\$1.50); Titles 22-23 (\$1.00); Title 24 (\$0.75); Title 25 (\$0.45); Title 26: Parts 1-79, Revised 1953 (\$7.75); Parts 80-169 (\$0.50); Parts 170-182 (\$0.75); Parts 183-299, Revised 1953 (\$5.50); Part 300 to end, and Title 27 (\$1.00); Titles 28-29 (\$1.25); Titles 30-31 (\$1.00); Title 32: Parts 1-699 (\$1.75); Part 700 to end (\$2.25); Title 33 (\$1.25); Titles 35-37 (\$0.70); Title 38 (\$2.00); Title 39 (\$2.00); Titles 40-42 (\$0.50); Title 43 (\$1.75); Titles 44-45 (\$0.75); Title 46: Parts 1-145 (\$0.35); Titles 47-48, Revised 1953 (\$7.75); Title 49: Parts 1-70 (\$0.60); Parts 71-90 (\$0.65); Parts 91-164 (\$0.45); Part 165 to end (\$0.60); Title 50 (\$0.55)

Order from
Superintendent of Documents, Government
Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

CONTENTS—Continued

Commerce Department—Con.	Page
See Civil Aeronautics Administration; Foreign Commerce Bureau.	
Commodity Credit Corporation	
Rules and regulations:	
Grains and related commodities; 1954-crop loan and purchase agreement program; support rates:	
Soybeans.....	4983
Wheat; correction.....	4985
Customs Bureau	
Rules and regulations:	
Packing and stamping; marking; trade-marks and trade names; copyrights; tobacco products to which internal revenue stamps have been affixed and canceled in a foreign country.....	4995
Federal Communications Commission	
Notices:	
Coast stations in Mississippi River system; modification of licenses.....	5006
Hearings, etc.:	
Commercial Radio Equipment Co. (WDON) et al.....	5007
Dispatch, Inc.....	5007
KFAB Broadcasting Co. and Herald Corp.....	5005
Klein, Abraham, and Aircall, Inc.....	5007
Mercer Broadcasting Co. et al.....	5006
Owensboro on the Air, Inc., and Owensboro Publishing Co.....	5006
Mexican Broadcast Stations; list of changes, proposed changes, and corrections in assignments (2 documents).....	5007
Rules and regulations:	
Practice and procedure; broadcast hearings; correction.....	4996
Federal Power Commission	
Notices:	
Hearings, etc.:	
California Electric Power Co. Cities Service Gas Co.....	5008
Commonwealth Natural Gas Corp.....	5009
Michigan-Wisconsin Pipe Line Co.....	5008
Northern Natural Gas Co.....	5009
Ohio Fuel Gas Co.....	5008
Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co. et al.....	5009
Shenandoah Gas Co.....	5008
Fish and Wildlife Service	
Rules and regulations:	
Alaska commercial fisheries; Kodiak area; open seasons, Karluk district.....	4996
Foreign Commerce Bureau	
Rules and regulations:	
Commodities, positive list of, and related matters; miscellaneous amendments.....	4996
Licensing policies and related special provisions; miscellaneous amendments.....	4996

CONTENTS—Continued

General Services Administration	Page
Notices:	
Secretary of Commerce; delegation of authority to negotiate contracts for certain surveys and maps.....	5009
Interior Department	
See also Fish and Wildlife Service.	
Notices:	
Commissioner of Reclamation; delegation of authority with respect to certain duties and functions.....	5004
Interstate Commerce Commission	
Notices:	
Applications for relief:	
Blackstrap molasses from western Louisiana to Memphis, Tenn.....	5010
Cast iron borings from Milwaukee, Wis., to New York and New Jersey.....	5010
Grain from Arlington and Louisville, Ga., to Mobile, Ala., and New Orleans, La.	5011
Pig iron from Buffalo and Harriet, N. Y., to Coatesville, Pa.....	5010
Sand from Illinois territory to the South.....	5010
Scrap iron from Minneapolis, Minnesota Transfer and St. Paul, Minn., to Peoria, Ill.....	5011
Post Office Department	
Rules and regulations:	
International postal service: Postage rates, service available and instructions for mailing:	
Canada (including Newfoundland and Labrador).....	4997
Germany.....	4997
State Department	
Rules and regulations:	
Compensation, additional, in foreign areas; designation of differential posts.....	4981
Treasury Department	
See also Customs Bureau.	
Notices:	
2 1/8 percent Treasury bonds of 1960; offering of bonds.....	5003
1 1/8 percent Treasury certificates of indebtedness of Series D-1955; offering of certificates.....	5003
CODIFICATION GUIDE	
A numerical list of the parts of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in this issue. Proposed rules, as opposed to final actions, are identified as such.	
Title 3	Page
Chapter II (Executive orders):	
10450 (amended by EO 10550).....	4981
10550.....	4981
Title 5	
Chapter III:	
Part 325.....	4981
Title 6	
Chapter IV:	
Part 421 (2 documents).....	4983, 4985

CODIFICATION GUIDE—Con.

Title 7	Page
Chapter IX:	
Part 907 (proposed)-----	4998
Part 922-----	4985
Part 936-----	4986
Part 953-----	4987
Part 967 (proposed)-----	4999
Title 14	
Chapter I:	
Part 48 (proposed)-----	5002
Chapter II:	
Part 609-----	4988
Title 15	
Chapter III:	
Part 373-----	4996
Part 399-----	4996
Title 19	
Chapter I:	
Part 11-----	4995
Title 39	
Chapter I:	
Part 127 (2 documents)-----	4997
Title 47	
Chapter I:	
Part 1-----	4996
Title 50	
Chapter I:	
Part 108-----	4996

TITLE 6—AGRICULTURAL CREDIT

Chapter IV—Commodity Stabilization Service and Commodity Credit Corporation, Department of Agriculture

Subchapter B—Loans, Purchases, and Other Operations
 [1954 C. C. C. Grain Price Support Bulletin 1, Supp. 2, Soybeans]

PART 421—GRAINS AND RELATED COMMODITIES

SUBPART—1954-CROP SOYBEAN LOAN AND PURCHASE AGREEMENT PROGRAM SUPPORT RATES

The 1954 C. C. C. Grain Price Support Bulletin 1, as amended 19 F. R. 967 and 1596, issued by the Commodity Credit Corporation and containing the regulations of a general nature with respect to price support operations for certain grains and other commodities produced in 1954 was supplemented by 1954 C. C. C. Grain Price Support Bulletin 1, Supplement 1, Soybeans, 19 F. R. 3789 containing specific requirements applicable to price support operations on the 1954 Soybean crop. These regulations are further supplemented by the addition of the following material in a new § 421.436 which reads as follows:

§ 421.436 *Support rates.* Loans will be made, and soybeans delivered under purchase agreements will be purchased at the support rate (and subject to the discounts and premiums) set forth in this section.

(a) *Basic county support rates.* (1) The following basic county support rates per bushel are established for soybeans of the classes Green soybeans and Yellow

soybeans grading No. 2 or better, and containing from 13.8 to 14.0 percent moisture. Both farm-storage and country warehouse-storage loans will be made at the support rate established for the county in which the soybeans are stored.

(2) If two or more approved warehouses are located in the same or adjoining towns, villages, or cities having the same domestic interstate freight rate, such towns, villages, or cities shall be deemed to constitute one shipping point, and the same support rate shall apply even though such warehouses are not all located in the same county. Such support rate shall be the highest support rate for the counties involved.

ALABAMA		Rate per bushel	
County			
All counties-----		\$2.15	
ARKANSAS			
All counties-----		2.19	
DELAWARE			
All counties-----		2.15	
FLORIDA			
All counties-----		2.15	
GEORGIA			
All counties-----		2.15	
ILLINOIS			
County	Rate per bushel	County	Rate per bushel
Adams	\$2.24	Lee	\$2.24
Alexander	2.20	Livingston	2.25
Bond	2.23	Logan	2.25
Boone	2.25	McDonough	2.24
Brown	2.24	McHenry	2.26
Bureau	2.24	McLean	2.25
Calhoun	2.23	Macon	2.25
Carroll	2.24	Macoupin	2.24
Cass	2.24	Madison	2.23
Champaign	2.25	Marion	2.23
Christian	2.25	Marshall	2.24
Clark	2.23	Mason	2.24
Clay	2.23	Massac	2.21
Clinton	2.23	Menard	2.24
Coles	2.24	Mercer	2.24
Cook	2.27	Monroe	2.21
Crawford	2.23	Montgomery	2.24
Cumberland	2.24	Morgan	2.24
De Kalb	2.26	Moultrie	2.25
De Witt	2.25	Ogle	2.24
Douglas	2.25	Peoria	2.24
Du Page	2.27	Perry	2.21
Edgar	2.24	Piatt	2.25
Edwards	2.23	Pike	2.24
Effingham	2.24	Pope	2.21
Fayette	2.24	Pulaski	2.20
Ford	2.25	Putnam	2.24
Franklin	2.21	Randolph	2.21
Fulton	2.24	Richland	2.23
Gallatin	2.21	Rock Island	2.24
Greene	2.24	St. Clair	2.22
Grundy	2.26	Salline	2.21
Hamilton	2.22	Sangamon	2.25
Hancock	2.24	Schuyler	2.24
Hardin	2.21	Scott	2.24
Henderson	2.24	Shelby	2.25
Henry	2.24	Stark	2.24
Iroquois	2.25	Stephenson	2.24
Jackson	2.21	Tazewell	2.24
Jasper	2.24	Union	2.20
Jefferson	2.22	Vermilion	2.25
Jersey	2.23	Wabash	2.22
Jo Daviess	2.24	Warren	2.24
Johnson	2.20	Washington	2.22
Kane	2.26	Wayne	2.22
Kankakee	2.26	White	2.21
Kendall	2.27	Whiteside	2.24
Knox	2.24	Will	2.27
Lake	2.27	Williamson	2.21
La Salle	2.26	Winnebago	2.24
Lawrence	2.22	Woodford	2.24

INDIANA

County	Rate per bushel	County	Rate per bushel
Adams	\$2.20	Lawrence	\$2.20
Allen	2.21	Madison	2.19
Bartholomew	2.19	Marion	2.20
Benton	2.24	Marshall	2.21
Blackford	2.19	Martin	2.20
Boone	2.21	Miami	2.19
Brown	2.19	Monroe	2.20
Carroll	2.21	Montgomery	2.22
Cass	2.20	Morgan	2.20
Clark	2.18	Newton	2.24
Clay	2.21	Noble	2.21
Clinton	2.21	Ohio	2.18
Crawford	2.18	Orange	2.19
Daviess	2.20	Owen	2.20
Dearborn	2.18	Parke	2.22
Decatur	2.19	Perry	2.18
De Kalb	2.21	Pike	2.20
Delaware	2.19	Porter	2.24
Dubois	2.19	Posey	2.20
Elkhart	2.20	Pulaski	2.22
Fayette	2.19	Putnam	2.21
Floyd	2.18	Randolph	2.19
Fountain	2.23	Ripley	2.18
Franklin	2.19	Rush	2.19
Fulton	2.20	St. Joseph	2.21
Gibson	2.21	Scott	2.18
Grant	2.19	Shelby	2.19
Greene	2.21	Spencer	2.18
Hamilton	2.20	Starke	2.22
Hancock	2.19	Steuben	2.21
Harrison	2.18	Sullivan	2.22
Hendricks	2.20	Switzerland	2.18
Henry	2.19	Tippecanoe	2.22
Howard	2.20	Tipton	2.20
Huntington	2.20	Union	2.19
Jackson	2.19	Vanderburgh	2.20
Jasper	2.23	Vermillion	2.23
Jay	2.19	Vigo	2.22
Jefferson	2.18	Wabash	2.19
Jennings	2.18	Warren	2.23
Johnson	2.19	Warrick	2.19
Knox	2.21	Washington	2.18
Kosciusko	2.20	Wayne	2.19
Lagrange	2.21	Wells	2.20
Lake	2.25	White	2.22
La Porte	2.22	Whitley	2.21

IOWA

County	Rate per bushel	County	Rate per bushel
Adair	\$2.21	Fremont	\$2.20
Adams	2.21	Greene	2.21
Allamakee	2.21	Grundy	2.22
Appanoose	2.21	Guthrie	2.21
Audubon	2.21	Hamilton	2.22
Benton	2.23	Hancock	2.21
Black Hawk	2.22	Hardin	2.22
Boone	2.22	Harrison	2.20
Bremer	2.22	Henry	2.22
Buchanan	2.22	Howard	2.20
Buena Vista	2.21	Humboldt	2.21
Butler	2.22	Ida	2.20
Calhoun	2.21	Iowa	2.23
Carroll	2.21	Jackson	2.23
Cass	2.21	Jasper	2.23
Cedar	2.23	Jefferson	2.22
Cerro Gordo	2.21	Johnson	2.23
Cherokee	2.20	Jones	2.23
Chickasaw	2.21	Keokuk	2.22
Clarke	2.21	Kossuth	2.21
Clay	2.21	Lee	2.22
Clayton	2.22	Linn	2.23
Clinton	2.23	Louisa	2.22
Crawford	2.21	Lucas	2.21
Dallas	2.22	Lyon	2.20
Davis	2.22	Madison	2.21
Decatur	2.21	Mahaska	2.22
Delaware	2.22	Marion	2.22
Des Moines	2.22	Marshall	2.23
Dickinson	2.20	Mills	2.20
Dubuque	2.22	Mitchell	2.20
Emmet	2.20	Monona	2.20
Fayette	2.22	Monroe	2.21
Floyd	2.21	Montgomery	2.21
Franklin	2.22	Muscataine	2.23

RULES AND REGULATIONS

IOWA—Continued

County	Rate per bushel	County	Rate per bushel
O'Brien	\$2.20	Tama	\$2.23
Osceola	2.20	Taylor	2.20
Page	2.20	Union	2.21
Palo Alto	2.21	Van Buren	2.23
Plymouth	2.20	Wapello	2.22
Pocahontas	2.21	Warren	2.22
Polk	2.22	Washington	2.22
Pottawattamie	2.20	Wayne	2.21
Poweshiek	2.23	Webster	2.22
Ringgold	2.21	Winnebago	2.20
Sac	2.21	Winneshiek	2.21
Scott	2.23	Woodbury	2.20
Shelby	2.21	Worth	2.20
Stoux	2.20	Wright	2.22
Story	2.23		

KANSAS

County	Rate per bushel	County	Rate per bushel
Allen	\$2.18	Linn	\$2.19
Anderson	2.19	Lyon	2.17
Atchison	3.19	McPherson	2.15
Barber	2.13	Marion	2.16
Barton	2.13	Marshall	2.17
Bourbon	2.18	Miami	2.19
Brown	2.18	Mitchell	2.15
Butler	2.16	Montgomery	2.15
Chase	2.16	Morris	2.17
Chautauqua	2.15	Nemaha	2.18
Cherokee	2.17	Neosho	2.17
Clay	2.17	Osage	2.18
Cloud	2.16	Osborne	2.14
Coffey	2.18	Ottawa	2.16
Cowley	2.15	Phillips	2.13
Crawford	2.17	Pottawatomie	2.17
Dickinson	2.16	Pratt	2.13
Doniphan	2.19	Reno	2.14
Douglas	2.19	Republic	2.16
Elk	2.16	Rice	2.14
Ellsworth	2.14	Riley	2.17
Franklin	2.19	Rooks	2.13
Geary	2.17	Russell	2.14
Greenwood	2.17	Saline	2.15
Harper	2.14	Sedgwick	2.15
Harvey	2.15	Shawnee	2.19
Jackson	2.18	Smith	2.14
Jefferson	2.19	Stafford	2.13
Jewell	2.15	Sumner	2.14
Johnson	2.19	Wabaunsee	2.18
Kingman	2.14	Washington	2.17
Labette	2.16	Wilson	2.16
Leavenworth	2.19	Woodson	2.17
Lincoln	2.15	Wyandotte	2.19
All other counties			\$2.13

KENTUCKY

All counties	\$2.19
--------------	--------

LOUISIANA

All counties	\$2.19
--------------	--------

MARYLAND

All counties	\$2.15
--------------	--------

MICHIGAN

County	Rate per bushel	County	Rate per bushel
Allegan	\$2.16	Lapeer	\$2.16
Arenac	2.14	Lenawee	2.20
Barry	2.16	Livingston	2.18
Bay	2.14	Macomb	2.18
Berrien	2.19	Mecosta	2.14
Branch	2.19	Midland	2.14
Calhoun	2.18	Monroe	2.20
Cass	2.18	Montcalm	2.15
Clare	2.14	Muskegon	2.14
Clinton	2.16	Newaygo	2.14
Eaton	2.17	Oakland	2.18
Genesee	2.16	Oceana	2.14
Gladwin	2.14	Ottawa	2.15
Gratiot	2.15	Saginaw	2.15
Hillsdale	2.20	St. Clair	2.17
Huron	2.14	St. Joseph	2.18
Ingham	2.18	Sanilac	2.15
Ionia	2.16	Shiawassee	2.16
Isabella	2.14	Tuscola	2.15
Jackson	2.19	Van Buren	2.17
Kalamazoo	2.17	Washtenaw	2.19
Kent	2.15	Wayne	2.19

MINNESOTA

County	Rate per bushel	County	Rate per bushel
Aitkin	\$2.13	Morrison	\$2.14
Anoka	2.17	Mower	2.19
Becker	2.12	Murray	2.18
Benton	2.16	Nicollet	2.18
Big Stone	2.15	Nobles	2.18
Blue Earth	2.19	Norman	2.13
Brown	2.18	Olmsted	2.19
Carver	2.18	Otter Tail	2.13
Chippewa	2.16	Pennington	2.12
Chisago	2.17	Pine	2.15
Clay	2.12	Pipestone	2.17
Cottonwood	2.18	Polk	2.12
Crow Wing	2.13	Pope	2.15
Dakota	2.19	Ramsey	2.18
Dodge	2.19	Red Lake	2.12
Douglas	2.14	Redwood	2.17
Faribault	2.19	Renville	2.17
Fillmore	2.19	Rice	2.19
Freeborn	2.19	Rock	2.17
Goodhue	2.19	Scott	2.19
Grant	2.14	Sherburne	2.16
Hennepin	2.19	Sibley	2.18
Houston	2.19	Stearns	2.15
Hubbard	2.12	Steele	2.19
Isanti	2.16	Stevens	2.15
Jackson	2.18	Swift	2.16
Kanabec	2.15	Todd	2.13
Kandiyohi	2.16	Traverse	2.14
Kittson	2.12	Wabasha	2.19
Lac qui Parle	2.16	Wadena	2.13
Le Sueur	2.19	Waseca	2.19
Lincoln	2.17	Washington	2.18
Lyon	2.17	Watsonwan	2.19
McLeod	2.18	Wilkin	2.13
Mahnomen	2.12	Winona	2.19
Marshall	2.12	Wright	2.17
Martin	2.19	Yellow Medicine	2.16
Meeker	2.16		
Mille Lacs	2.14		

MISSISSIPPI

All counties	\$2.19
--------------	--------

MISSOURI

County	Rate per bushel	County	Rate per bushel
Adair	\$2.21	Holt	\$2.20
Andrew	2.20	Howard	2.21
Atchison	2.20	Howell	2.18
Audrain	2.22	Iron	2.18
Barry	2.17	Jackson	2.20
Barton	2.17	Jasper	2.17
Bates	2.19	Jefferson	2.20
Benton	2.19	Johnson	2.20
Bollinger	2.20	Knox	2.22
Boone	2.21	Laclede	2.18
Buchanan	2.20	Lafayette	2.20
Butler	2.20	Lawrence	2.17
Caldwell	2.20	Lewis	2.22
Callaway	2.21	Lincoln	2.21
Camden	2.19	Linn	2.20
Cape Girardeau	2.20	Livingston	2.20
Carroll	2.20	McDonald	2.17
Carter	2.19	Macon	2.21
Cass	2.20	Madison	2.19
Cedar	2.18	Maries	2.19
Chariton	2.21	Marion	2.22
Christian	2.17	Mercer	2.20
Clark	2.22	Miller	2.19
Clay	2.20	Mississippi	2.20
Clinton	2.20	Moniteau	2.20
Cole	2.20	Monroe	2.22
Cooper	2.20	Montgomery	2.21
Crawford	2.19	Morgan	2.20
Dade	2.17	New Madrid	2.20
Dallas	2.18	Newton	2.17
Davies	2.20	Nodaway	2.20
De Kalb	2.20	Oregon	2.18
Dent	2.18	Osage	2.20
Douglas	2.18	Ozark	2.18
Dunklin	2.20	Pemiscot	2.20
Franklin	2.20	Perry	2.20
Gasconade	2.20	Pettis	2.20
Gentry	2.20	Phelps	2.19
Greene	2.17	Pike	2.22
Grundy	2.20	Piatte	2.20
Harrison	2.20	Polk	2.18
Henry	2.19	Pulaski	2.19
Hickory	2.18	Putnam	2.20

MISSOURI—Continued

County	Rate per bushel	County	Rate per bushel
Ralls	\$2.22	Shannon	\$2.18
Randolph	2.21	Shelby	2.22
Ray	2.20	Stoddard	2.20
Reynolds	2.18	Stone	2.17
Ripley	2.19	Sullivan	2.20
St. Charles	2.21	Taney	2.18
St. Clair	2.18	Texas	2.18
St. Francois	2.20	Vernon	2.18
St. Louis	2.21	Warren	2.21
St. Genevieve	2.20	Washington	2.19
Saline	2.20	Wayne	2.19
Schuyler	2.21	Webster	2.18
Scotland	2.22	Worth	2.20
Scott	2.20	Wright	2.18

NEBRASKA

County	Rate per bushel	County	Rate per bushel
Adams	\$2.14	Johnson	\$2.18
Antelope	2.15	Kearney	2.13
Boone	2.15	Knox	2.15
Box Butte	2.13	Lancaster	2.18
Boyd	2.14	Madison	2.15
Brown	2.13	Merrick	2.15
Buffalo	2.13	Nance	2.15
Burt	2.18	Nemaha	2.18
Butler	2.17	Nuckolls	2.15
Cass	2.18	Otoe	2.18
Cedar	2.16	Pawnee	2.18
Clay	2.15	Phelps	2.13
Colfax	2.17	Pierce	2.15
Cuming	2.17	Platte	2.16
Custer	2.13	Polk	2.16
Dakota	2.17	Richardson	2.18
Dawson	2.13	Saline	2.17
Dixon	2.17	Sarpy	2.18
Dodge	2.18	Saunders	2.18
Douglas	2.18	Scotts Bluff	2.13
Fillmore	2.16	Seward	2.17
Franklin	2.13	Sherman	2.13
Furnas	2.13	Stanton	2.16
Gage	2.17	Thayer	2.16
Gosper	2.13	Thurston	2.17
Hall	2.14	Valley	2.13
Hamilton	2.15	Washington	2.18
Harlan	2.13	Wayne	2.16
Howard	2.13	Webster	2.14
Jefferson	2.17	York	2.16

All other counties	\$2.13
--------------------	--------

NEW JERSEY

All counties	\$2.17
--------------	--------

NEW YORK

All counties	\$2.16
--------------	--------

NORTH CAROLINA

All counties	\$2.15
--------------	--------

NORTH DAKOTA

County	Rate per bushel	County	Rate per bushel
Barnes	\$2.16	Richland	\$2.12
Cass	2.12	Sargent	2.11
Grand Forks	2.11	Steele	2.11
Griggs	2.10	Traill	2.12
Nelson	2.10	Walsh	2.10
Ransom	2.11		
All other counties			\$2.10

OHIO

County	Rate per bushel	County	Rate per bushel
Adams	\$1.18	Coshocton	\$2.20
Allen	2.21	Crawford	2.21
Ashland	2.20	Cuyahoga	2.21
Ashtabula	2.21	Darke	2.19
Athens	2.19	Defiance	2.22
Auglaize	2.20	Delaware	2.20
Belmont	2.19	Erie	2.22
Brown	2.18	Fairfield	2.20
Butler	2.18	Payette	2.18
Carroll	2.20	Franklin	2.20
Champaign	2.19	Fulton	2.22
Clark	2.18	Gallia	2.18
Clermont	2.18	Geauga	2.21
Clinton	2.18	Greene	2.18
Columbiana	2.20	Guernsey	2.20

OHIO—Continued

County	Rate per bushel	County	Rate per bushel
Hamilton	\$2.18	Muskingum	\$2.20
Hancock	2.21	Noble	2.19
Hardin	2.20	Ottawa	2.22
Harrison	2.20	Paulding	2.22
Henry	2.22	Perry	2.20
Highland	2.18	Pickaway	2.19
Hocking	2.19	Pike	2.18
Holmes	2.20	Portage	2.21
Huron	2.21	Preble	2.18
Jackson	2.18	Putnam	2.22
Jefferson	2.20	Richland	2.21
Knox	2.20	Ross	2.18
Lake	2.21	Sandusky	2.22
Lawrence	2.18	Scioto	2.18
Licking	2.20	Seneca	2.22
Logan	2.20	Shelby	2.20
Loram	2.21	Stark	2.20
Lucas	2.22	Summit	2.21
Madison	2.19	Trumbull	2.21
Mahoning	2.20	Tuscarawas	2.20
Marion	2.21	Union	2.20
Medina	2.21	Van Wert	2.21
Melgs	2.18	Vinton	2.19
Mercer	2.20	Warren	2.18
Miami	2.19	Washington	2.18
Monroe	2.18	Wayne	2.20
Montgomery	2.18	Williams	2.22
Morgan	2.19	Wood	2.22
Morrow	2.21	Wyandot	2.21

OKLAHOMA

All counties.....\$2.14

PENNSYLVANIA

All counties.....\$2.16

SOUTH CAROLINA

All counties.....\$2.15

SOUTH DAKOTA

County	Rate per bushel	County	Rate per bushel
Aurora	\$2.13	Hutchinson	\$2.15
Beadle	2.13	Jerauld	2.13
Bon Homme	2.15	Kingsbury	2.14
Brookings	2.15	Lake	2.15
Brule	2.13	Lincoln	2.17
Charles Mix	2.14	McCook	2.15
Clark	2.12	Marshall	2.12
Clay	2.16	Miner	2.14
Codington	2.13	Minnehaha	2.16
Davison	2.14	Moody	2.15
Day	2.12	Roberts	2.13
Deuel	2.14	Sanborn	2.13
Douglas	2.14	Turner	2.16
Grant	2.14	Union	2.17
Hamlin	2.13	Yankton	2.16
Hanson	2.14		

All other counties.....\$2.12

TENNESSEE

All counties.....\$2.19

TEXAS

All counties.....\$2.14

VIRGINIA

All counties.....\$2.15

WEST VIRGINIA

All counties.....\$2.15

WISCONSIN

County	Rate per bushel	County	Rate per bushel
Adams	\$2.20	Grant	\$2.21
Barron	2.17	Green	2.22
Brown	2.19	Green Lake	2.20
Buffalo	2.19	Iowa	2.21
Burnett	2.16	Jackson	2.18
Calumet	2.20	Jefferson	2.23
Chippewa	2.17	Juneau	2.20
Clark	2.17	Kenosha	2.24
Columbia	2.21	Kewaunee	2.17
Crawford	2.21	La Crosse	2.19
Dane	2.22	Lafayette	2.21
Dodge	2.22	Langlade	2.17
Door	2.17	Lincoln	2.16
Douglas	2.16	Manitowoc	2.20
Dunn	2.18	Marathon	2.17
Eau Claire	2.18	Marinette	2.17
Fond du Lac	2.21	Marquette	2.20

WISCONSIN—Continued

County	Rate per bushel	County	Rate per bushel
Milwaukee	\$2.23	Sauk	\$2.21
Monroe	2.19	Sawyer	2.16
Oconto	2.18	Shawano	2.18
Oneida	2.16	Sheboygan	2.21
Outagamie	2.19	Taylor	2.16
Ozaukee	2.22	Trempealeau	2.19
Pepin	2.19	Vernon	2.20
Pierce	2.19	Walworth	2.24
Polk	2.17	Washburn	2.16
Portage	2.18	Washington	2.23
Price	2.16	Waukesha	2.24
Racine	2.24	Waupaca	2.19
Richland	2.21	Wausara	2.19
Rock	2.23	Winnebago	2.20
Busk	2.16	Wood	2.18
St. Croix	2.18		

(3) Where the State committee determines that State or district weed control laws affect the Soybean crop, the support rate will be 10 cents below the applicable county support rate set forth in the schedule in this paragraph. If, upon delivery of the soybeans to CCC the producer supplies a certificate indicating that the soybeans complies with the weed control laws, the producer will be credited with the amount of the differential in determining the settlement value.

(b) *Discounts and premiums.* The county support rates shall be adjusted by the following cumulative discounts and premiums to determine the support rates for soybeans of other classes and other eligible qualities:

(1) *Classification discount.* The support rates for soybeans of the classes Black Soybeans, Brown Soybeans, and Mixed Soybeans shall be 25 cents per bushel less than the support rates for the classes Green Soybeans and Yellow Soybeans.

(2) *Discounts for test weight per bushel, splits, and damaged kernels.* The following discounts are applicable to all classes of soybeans:

Test weight per bushel	Discount	Splits	Discount	Damaged kernels	Discount
	<i>Cents per bushel</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Cents per bushel</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Cents per bushel</i>
53.0-53.9	1/2	20.1-25.0	1/2	3.1-4.0	1/2
52.0-52.9	1	25.1-30.0	1	4.1-5.0	1
51.0-51.9	1 1/2	30.1-35.0	1 1/2	5.1-6.0	1 1/2
50.0-50.9	2	35.1-40.0	2	6.1-7.0	2
49.0-49.9	2 1/2			7.1-8.0	2 1/2

¹ The figures in these columns are inclusive.

(3) *Premiums for low moisture content.* The following premiums are applicable to all classes of soybeans.

Moisture (percent):	Premium (cents per bushel)
12.2 or less	4
12.3-12.7 inclusive	3
12.8-13.2 inclusive	2
13.3-13.7 inclusive	1
13.8-14.0 inclusive	0

(Sec. 4, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended; 15 U. S. C. 714b. Interprets or applies sec. 5, 62 Stat. 1072, secs. 301, 401, 63 Stat. 1053; 15 U. S. C. 714c, 7 U. S. C. 1447, 1421)

Issued this 3d day of August 1954.

[SEAL] J. A. McCONNELL,
Executive Vice President,
Commodity Credit Corporation.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6096; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:52 a. m.]

[1954 C. C. C. Grain Price Support Bulletin 1, Suppl. 2, Wheat]

PART 421—GRAINS AND RELATED COMMODITIES

SUBPART—1954-CROP WHEAT AND PURCHASE AGREEMENT PROGRAM

SUPPORT PRICES

Correction

IN FEDERAL REGISTER Document 54-5316, published at page 4256 of the issue for Tuesday, July 13, 1954, the rate for Los Angeles, Calif., Terminal market, under paragraph (d) (1) of § 421.438, should read "2.54".

TITLE 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter IX—Agricultural Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders), Department of Agriculture

[Valencia Orange Reg. 16]

PART 922—VALENCIA ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART OF CALIFORNIA

LIMITATION OF HANDLING

§ 922.316 *Valencia Orange Regulation 16*—(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to Order No. 22 (19 F. R. 1741), regulating the handling of Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California, effective March 31, 1954, under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.), and upon the basis of the recommendation and information submitted by the Valencia Orange Administrative Committee, established under the said order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such Valencia oranges, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication thereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (60 Stat. 237; 5 U. S. C. 1001 et seq.) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The Valencia Orange Administrative Committee held an open meeting on August 5, 1954, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Valencia oranges and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein was promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the com-

mittee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Valencia oranges; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject thereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date of this section.

(b) *Order.* (1) The quantity of Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California which may be handled during the period beginning at 12:01 a. m., P. s. t., August 8, 1954, and ending at 12:01 a. m., P. s. t., August 15, 1954, is hereby fixed as follows:

- (i) District 1: Unlimited movement;
- (ii) District 2: 381,150 boxes;
- (iii) District 3: Unlimited movement.

(2) Valencia oranges handled pursuant to the provisions of this section shall be subject to any size restrictions applicable thereto which have heretofore been issued on the handling of such oranges and which are effective during the period specified in this section.

(3) As used in this section, "handled," "handler," "boxes," "District 1," "District 2," and "District 3," shall have the same meaning as when used in said order.

(Sec. 5, 49 Stat. 753, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 608c)

Dated: August 6, 1954.

[SEAL] S. R. SMITH,
Director, Fruit and Vegetable
Division, Agricultural Mar-
keting Service.

[P. R. Doc. 54-6178; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
11:19 a. m.]

[Plum Order 25]

PART 936—FRESH BARTLETT PEARS, PLUMS,
AND ELBERTA PEACHES GROWN IN CALI-
FORNIA

REGULATION OF SHIPMENTS; TERMINATION
OF PLUM ORDERS 5 THROUGH 24

§ 936.497 Plum Order 25—(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 36, as amended (7 CFR Part 936), regulating the handling of fresh Bartlett pears, plums, and Elberta peaches grown in the State of California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended, and upon the basis of the recommendations of the Plum Commodity Committee established under the aforesaid amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found:

(i) That the limitation of shipments of plums in accordance with the provisions of the plum orders specified in paragraph (b) (1) of this section will not, after the effective time of this section, tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act; and

(ii) That the limitation of shipments of late varieties of plums, as set forth in paragraph (b) (2) of this section pursuant to the provisions of § 936.41 of the amended marketing agreement and order will tend to effectuate such orderly marketing of such plums as will be in the public interest, and the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication thereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (60 Stat. 237; 5 U. S. C. 1001 et seq.) in that; the level of prices of late varieties of plums is such that regulation of the shipment of such plums, in accordance with the provisions of the plum orders specified in paragraph (b) (1) of this section, may not be continued after the effective time hereof; the termination of such plum orders would permit the unrestricted shipment of late varieties of plums, and such unrestricted shipment would not be conducive to the orderly marketing of such plums in the public interest and would not tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act; and compliance with the provisions of this section will not require of handlers any preparation therefor which cannot be completed by the effective time hereof.

(b) *Order.* (1) The following plum orders shall be terminated at the effective time of subparagraph (2) of this paragraph: Plum Order 5 (7 CFR 936.476; 19 F. R. 3560); Plum Order 6 (7 CFR 936.477; 19 F. R. 3560); Plum Order 7 (7 CFR 936.478; 19 F. R. 3561); Plum Order 8 (7 CFR 936.479; 19 F. R. 3562); Plum Order 9 (7 CFR 936.480; 19 F. R. 3562); Plum Order 10 (7 CFR 936.482; 19 F. R. 3996); Plum Order 11 (7 CFR 936.483; 19 F. R. 3996); Plum Order 12 (7 CFR 936.484; 19 F. R. 3997); Plum Order 13 (7 CFR 936.485; 19 F. R. 4132); Plum Order 14 (7 CFR 936.486; 19 F. R. 4133); Plum Order 15 (7 CFR 936.487; 19 F. R. 4134); Plum Order 16 (7 CFR 936.488; 19 F. R. 4134); Plum Order 17 (7 CFR 936.489; 19 F. R. 4135); Plum Order 18 (7 CFR 936.490; 19 F. R. 4136); Plum Order 19 (7 CFR 936.491; 19 F. R. 4136); Plum Order 20 (7 CFR 936.492; 19 F. R. 4137); Plum Order 21 (7 CFR 936.493; 19 F. R. 4580); Plum Order 22 (7 CFR 936.494; 19 F. R. 4581); Plum Order 23 (7 CFR 936.495; 19 F. R. 4593); Plum Order 24 (7 CFR 936.496; 19 F. R. 4593).

(2) During the period beginning at 12:01 a. m., P. s. t., August 8, 1954, and ending at 12:01 a. m., P. s. t., November 1, 1954, no shipper shall ship any package or container of late varieties of plums unless such plums meet the following minimum standards of quality and maturity applicable to the particular variety:

(i) Wickson, Burbank, Gaviota, Becky Smith, and Sharkey varieties of plums, unless such plums grade at least U. S. No. 1, with a total tolerance of ten (10) percent for defects not considered serious damage in addition to the tolerances permitted for such grade, and are of a

size not smaller than a size that will pack a 4 x 5 standard pack.

(ii) Grand Duke, Eldorado, Duarte, Diamond, and Emily varieties of plums, unless such plums grade at least U. S. No. 1, with a total tolerance of ten (10) percent for defects not considered serious damage in addition to the tolerances permitted for such grade, and are of a size not smaller than a size that will pack a 5 x 5 standard pack.

(iii) President, Giant, and Late Duarte varieties of plums, unless such plums grade at least U. S. No. 1, with a total tolerance of fifteen (15) percent for defects not considered serious damage in addition to the tolerances permitted for such grade, and are of a size not smaller than a size that will pack a 5 x 5 standard pack.

(iv) Sugar variety of plums, unless such plums grade at least U. S. No. 1, with a total tolerance of ten (10) percent for defects not considered serious damage in addition to the tolerances permitted for such grade, and are of a size not smaller than a size that will pack a 5 x 6 standard pack.

(v) Late Tragedy variety of plums, unless such plums grade at least U. S. No. 1, with a total tolerance of ten (10) percent for defects not considered serious damage in addition to the tolerances permitted for such grade (gum spots which do not cause serious damage shall not be considered a grade defect with respect to such grade), and are of a size not smaller than a size that will pack a 6 x 6 standard pack.

(vi) Ace, Mariposa, and Elephant Heart varieties of plums, unless such plums grade at least U. S. No. 1, with a total tolerance of fifteen (15) percent for defects not considered serious damage in addition to the tolerances permitted for such grade, and are of a size not smaller than a size that will pack a 4 x 5 standard pack.

(vii) Kelsey variety of plums, unless such plums grade at least U. S. No. 1, with a total tolerance of twenty-five (25) percent for defects not considered serious damage in addition to the tolerances permitted for such grade, and are of a size not smaller than a size that will pack a 4 x 5 standard pack.

(viii) Late Santa Rosa variety of plums, unless such plums grade at least U. S. No. 1, with a total tolerance of twenty-five (25) percent for defects not considered serious damage in addition to the tolerances permitted for such grade, and are of a size not smaller than a size that will pack a 5 x 5 standard pack.

(ix) Tragedy variety of plums, unless such plums grade at least U. S. No. 1, with a total tolerance of twenty-five (25) percent for defects not considered serious damage in addition to the tolerances permitted for such grade, and are of a size not smaller than a size that will pack a 6 x 6 standard pack.

(x) Amador, Apex, California Blue, Earliana, Gros Hungarian, Satsuma, Improved Satsuma, Shiro, Splendor and Standard varieties of plums, unless such plums grade at least U. S. No. 1, with a total tolerance of ten (10) percent for

defects not considered serious damage in addition to the tolerances permitted for each grade.

(3) Section 936.143 of the rules and regulations, as amended (7 CFR 936.100 et seq.; 18 F. R. 712, 2839; 19 F. R. 425), sets forth the requirements with respect to the inspection and certification of shipments of fruit covered by this section. Such section also prescribes the conditions which must be met if any shipment is to be made without prior inspection and certification. Notwithstanding that shipments may be made without inspection and certification, each shipper shall comply with all grade and size regulations applicable to the respective shipment.

(4) As used in this section, "U. S. No. 1" and "serious damage" shall have the same meaning as set forth in the revised United States Standards for plums and prunes (fresh) (7 CFR 51.1520 to 51.1530); "standard pack" shall have the applicable meanings of the terms "standard pack" and "equivalent size" as when used in § 936.142 of the aforesaid amended rules and regulations; and all other terms shall have the same meaning as when used in the amended marketing agreement and order.

(Sec. 5, 49 Stat. 753, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 608c)

Dated: August 5, 1954.

[SEAL] S. R. SMITH,
Director, Fruit and Vegetable
Division, Agricultural Mar-
keting Service.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6124; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:55 a. m.]

[Lemon Reg. 549]

PART 953—LEMONS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA
AND ARIZONA

LIMITATION OF SHIPMENTS

§ 953.656 *Lemon Regulation 549*—(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 53, as amended (7 CFR Part 953; 18 F. R. 6767), regulating the handling of lemons grown in the State of California or in the State of Arizona, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.), and upon the basis of the recommendation and information submitted by the Lemon Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of the quantity of such lemons which may be handled, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this

section until 30 days after publication thereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (60 Stat. 237; 5 U. S. C. 1001 et seq.) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions of this section effective as hereinafter set forth. Shipments of lemons, grown in the State of California or in the State of Arizona, are currently subject to regulation pursuant to said amended marketing agreement and order; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein was promptly submitted to the Department after an open meeting of the Lemon Administrative Committee on August 4, 1954, such meeting was held, after giving due notice thereof to consider recommendations for regulation, and interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit their views at this meeting; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such lemons; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period hereinafter specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject thereto which cannot be completed by the effective time thereof.

(b) *Order.* (1) The quantity of lemons grown in the State of California or in the State of Arizona which may be handling during the period beginning at 12:01 a. m., P. s. t., August 8, 1954, and ending at 12:01 a. m., P. s. t., August 15, 1954, is hereby fixed as follows:

- (i) District 1: Unlimited movement;
- (ii) District 2: 450 carloads;
- (iii) District 3: Unlimited movement.

(2) The prorate base of each handler who has made application therefor, as provided in the said amended marketing agreement and order, is hereby fixed in accordance with the prorate base schedule which is set forth below and made a part hereof by this reference.

(3) As used in this section, "handled," "handler," "carloads," "prorate base," "District 1," "District 2," and "District 3" shall have the same meaning as when used in the said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Sec. 5, 49 Stat. 753, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 608c)

Dated: August 5, 1954.

[SEAL] S. R. SMITH,
Director, Fruit and Vegetable
Division, Agricultural Mar-
keting Service.

PROBATE BASE SCHEDULE

DISTRICT NO. 2

[Storage Date: August 1, 1954]

[12:01 a. m. August 8, 1954, to 12:01 a. m. August 22, 1954]

Handler	Prorate base (percent)
Total.....	100.000
American National Foods, Inc., Corona.....	.410
American National Foods, Inc., Fullerton.....	.484
American National Foods, Inc., Upland.....	.388
Buenaventura Lemon Co.....	1.588
Consolidated Lemon & Orange Co.....	.979
Ventura Pacific Co.....	3.422
Chula Vista Mutual Lemon Association.....	.657
Euclid Lemon Association.....	.982
Index Mutual Association.....	.305
La Verne Cooperative Citrus Association.....	1.175
Ventura Coastal Lemon Co.....	1.852
Ventura Processors.....	2.217
Glendora Lemon Growers Association.....	1.235
La Verne Lemon Association.....	.504
La Habra Citrus Association.....	.859
Yorba Linda Citrus Association.....	.641
Escondido Lemon Association.....	2.568
Cucamonga Mesa Growers.....	.673
Etiwanda Citrus Fruit Association.....	.297
San Dimas Lemon Association.....	1.107
Upland Lemon Growers Association.....	3.917
Central Lemon Association.....	.831
Irvine Citrus Association, The.....	.850
Placentia Mutual Orange Association.....	.453
Corona Citrus Association.....	.180
Corona Foothill Lemon Co.....	2.225
Jameson Co.....	.933
Arlington Heights Citrus Co.....	1.024
College Heights Orange & Lemon Association.....	2.350
Chula Vista Citrus Association, The.....	.786
Escondido Cooperative Citrus Association.....	.112
Fallbrook Citrus Association.....	1.546
Lemon Grove Association.....	.386
Carointeria Lemon Association.....	3.406
Carointeria Mutual Citrus Association.....	3.057
Goleta Lemon Association.....	4.830
Johnston Fruit Co.....	6.132
Briggs Lemon Association.....	3.133
Fillmore Lemon Association.....	1.589
Oxnard Citrus Association.....	6.406
Rancho Sespe.....	.770
San Fernando Heights Lemon Association.....	.351
Santa Clara Lemon Association.....	4.327
Santa Paula Citrus Fruit Association.....	4.519
Saticoy Lemon Association.....	4.632
Seaboard Lemon Association.....	5.470
Somis Lemon Association.....	3.778
Ventura Citrus Association.....	1.619
Ventura County Citrus Association.....	.217
Limonera Co.....	3.880
Teague-McKevett Association.....	.893
East Whittier Citrus Association.....	.217
Murphy Ranch Co.....	1.290
North Whittier Heights Citrus Association.....	.588
Sierra Madre-Lamanda Citrus Association.....	.210
Far West Produce Distributors.....	.036
Paramount Citrus Association, Inc.....	.622
Santa Rosa Lemon Co.....	.094

[F. R. Doc. 54-6125; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:55 a. m.]

TITLE 14—CIVIL AVIATION
Chapter II—Civil Aeronautics Administration, Department of Commerce

[Amdt. 100]

PART 609—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

PROCEDURE ALTERATIONS

The standard instrument approach procedure alterations appearing hereinafter are adopted to become effective when indicated in order to promote safety of the flying public. Compliance with the notice, procedures, and effective date provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act would be impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and therefore is not required.
Part 609 is amended as follows:

NOTE: Where the general classification (LFR, VAR, ADF, ILS, OCA, or VOR), location, and procedure number (if any) of any procedure in the amendments which follow, are identical with an existing procedure, that procedure is to be substituted for the existing one, as of the effective date given, to the extent that it differs from the existing procedure; where a procedure is canceled, the existing procedure is revoked; new procedures are to be placed in appropriate alphabetical sequence within the section amended.

1. The low frequency range procedures prescribed in § 609.6 are amended to read in part:

LFR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

Bearings, headings, and courses are magnetic. Distances are in statute miles unless otherwise indicated. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. If an LFR instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure authorized by the Administrator for Civil Aeronautics for such airport. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

City and State; airport name; elevation; facility; class and frequency; procedure No.; effective date	Initial approach to facility from	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (ft.)	Procedure turn (-) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course (ft.)	Course and distance to facility to airport	Ceiling and visibility minimums		If visual ceiling not established at authorized heading minimums after passing facility within distance specified, or if landing not accomplished	
							Condition	Type aircraft		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
AMARILLO, TEX. Elevation 3,044' SERRAZ-DTV AMA Procedure No. 2 August 15, 1964	Amarillo VOR.....	22-7.0	4,800	S side of E course: 675' outbound 115° inbound 1,000' within 15 miles. Beyond 15 miles not authorized.	AMA Intersection Elev 4,200	257-3.0	T-dn C-dn A-dn	300-1 300-1½ 300-2	300-1 300-1½ 300-2	Within 5 miles climb to 5,000' on W course Amarillo LFR within 25 miles or when directed by ATC turn right and climb to 4,800' on N course of Amarillo LFR within 25 miles. Amarillo Intersection is E course Amarillo LFR and 100° bearing from Saint Francis MHW. NOTE: Procedure authorized only for aircraft equipped to receive Amarillo LFR and Saint Francis MHW bearings simultaneously.
AUGUSTA, GA. Elevation 497' LFR August 1, 1962	Austin VOR.....	104-3.0	2,000	S side NW course: 200' outbound 115° inbound 2,500' within 15 miles.	Austin FM 2,000 LFR 1,500 (1)	115-1.5	T-dn C-dn A-dn	300-1 300-1½ 300-2	300-1 300-1½ 300-2	Within 1.5 miles, climb to 2,000' on SE course (115°) within 25 miles. (1) If Austin FM not received altitude over LFR 2,000'. CAUTION: Tower 1,498' 2.5 miles SW of course. "Z" marker will be received immediately after passing Austin FM. Use low position on marker receiver.
AUSTIN, TEX. Elevation 611' SERRAZ-DTV A U3 Procedure No. 1 August 15, 1964	Bozeman VOR.....	145-1.0	6,000	W side S course: 160' outbound 320° inbound 8,500' within 10 miles. Not authorized beyond 10 miles.	6,000	315-1.2	T-dn C-dn A-dn	1,000-1 1,000-2 1,500-1 1,500-2 1,500-2 1,500-2	1,000-1 1,000-2 1,500-1 1,500-2 1,500-2 1,500-2	Within 1.2 miles turn left climb to 9,000' on W course within 20 miles of BZN LFR. *Procedure turn W side for more favorable terrain.
BOZEMAN, MONT. Elevation 4,463' SERRAZ-DTV BZN Procedure No. 1 August 15, 1964	COLUMBUS, MISS. Elevation 319' September 12, 1963									

PROCEDURE CANCELED, EFFECTIVE AUGUST 16, 1964

PROCEDURE CANCELED, EFFECTIVE AUGUST 16, 1964

LIFE STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES—Continued

City and State; airport name, elevation; facility; class and identification; procedure No.; effective date	Initial approach to facility from—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (ft.)	Procedure turn (—) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course (ft.)	Course and distance to facility to airport	Ceiling and visibility minimums		If visual contact not established at authorized landing minimums after passing facility within distance specified, or if landing not accomplished		
							Condition	Type aircraft			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
EPHRATA, WASH. Ephrata Airport, 1,238' EMRLZ-DTV EPH Procedure No. 1 August 16, 1954	Ephrata VOR.....	152-11.0	3,000	W side of S course,* 136° outbound, 208° inbound, 3,000' within 10 miles. Not authorized beyond 10 miles.	2,200	305-3.0	FT-dn C-d C-n R-dn 34 A-d A-n	300-1 300-2 1,000-2 300-1½ 1,000-3 1,200-3	300-1 300-2 1,000-2 300-1½ 1,000-3 1,200-3	300-1 300-2 1,000-2 300-1½ 1,000-3 1,200-3	Within 3.0 miles turn right; climb to 4,000' on E course EPH/LFR within 25 miles. *Procedure turn W side to avoid Larson AFB. 600-5 takeoff minimum Runway No. 29. CAUTION: 2,050' mean sea level radio towers and 2,250' terrain 2 miles and 4 miles W of airport. Danger area 13 miles S EPH-LFR.
PROCEDURE CANCELED, EFFECTIVE AUGUST 16, 1954.											
FORT MYERS, FLA. Page Field, 17' SBRALZ-TTB Procedure No. 1 October 13, 1951	Fort Myers VOR.....	122-0.3	1,200	S side SW course; 225° outbound, 645° inbound, 1,200' within 15 miles.	700	038-3.7	T-dn C-dn S-d S-1 S-4 A-dn	300-1 300-1½ 300-1 300-1½ 300-2	300-1 300-1½ 300-1 300-1½ 300-2	Within 3.7 miles, climb to 1,300' on NE course within 30 miles. *CAUTION: 20 miles limitation due traffic on Airway R-33L.	
GAGE, OKLA. Municipal, 2,239' SBRALZ-DTV GAG Procedure No. 1 August 15, 1954	Gage VOR.....	092-8.0	3,000	N side of course; 044° outbound, 224° inbound, 3,400' within 15 miles.	2,000	228-2.0	T-dn C-dn A-dn	300-1 300-1 300-2	N/A N/A N/A	Within 2 miles, climb to 3,800' on SW course within 20 miles.	
PROCEDURE CANCELED, EFFECTIVE AUGUST 15, 1954.											
LOS ANGELES, CALIF. International, 120' SBRALZ-DTV LAX Procedure No. 1 August 9, 1954	Hollywood Hills FM..... Dowsey FM/Radiobeacon... Los Angeles LOM (final)..... Intersection S course LAX and SW course LGB.	145-13.0 254-11.0 254-2.0 328-23.0	3,000 1,500 1,000 3,000	S* side E course; 078° outbound, 258° inbound, 2,000' within 10 miles and in no case farther E than the Dowsey Radio- beacon.	\$1,000	245-3.9	T-dn C-dn S-dn 25 L/R A-dn	300-1 300-1 300-1 300-2	300-1 300-1½ 300-1 300-2	Within 3.9 miles, climb to 2,000' on W course within 25 miles. *Procedure turn S for more favorable terrain. \$1,000 must be maintained until past LAX LOM inbound.	
OGDEN, UTAH Municipal, 4,459' SBRALZ-DTV OGD Procedure No. 2 July 26, 1954	Cortina FM/HW (final)..... Ogden VOR.....	152-23.0 061-1.5	5,450 11,000	W side N course; 11,000' approach must start from Cortina FM/ HW at 11,000'.	5,800	108-3.4	T-dn C-2 C-3 A-2 A-3	400-1 1,000-2 1,000-3 1,000-2 1,000-3	400-1 1,000-2 1,000-3 1,000-2 1,000-3	Within 3 miles, climb to 6,000' on W course OGD LFR.	
PROCEDURE CANCELED, EFFECTIVE AUGUST 15, 1954.											
TAMPA, FLA. MacDill Air Force Base, 15' SBRALZ-TTA August 1, 1953	PROCEDURE CANCELED, EFFECTIVE AUGUST 15, 1954.										

3. The very high frequency omnirange procedures prescribed in § 609.9 (a) are amended to read in part:

VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

Bearings, headings, and courses are magnetic. Distances are in statute miles unless otherwise indicated. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. If a VOR instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is prescribed with a different procedure authorized by the Administrator for Civil Aeronautics for such airport. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes (if) shall correspond with those established for an en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

City and State airport name; elevation; facility; class and identification; procedure No.; effective date	Initial approach to facility from—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (ft.)	Procedure turn (—) side of (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances; limiting distances	Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course (ft.)	Course and distance to facility to airport	Ceiling and visibility minimums		If visual contact not established at authorized minimums after passing facility within distances specified, or if landing not accomplished
							Condition	Type aircraft 75 m. p. h. or less 75 m. p. h.	
1 BOZEMAN, MONT. Gulbuck Field, 4,421' BVOB-BZM Procedure No. 1 August 15, 1954	Bozeman LFR.....	335-1.0	6,000	W side course; 140° outbound, 230° inbound, 8,500' within 10 miles. Not authorized beyond 10 miles.	6,000	On airport	8	9	11
COLUMBUS, OHIO Port Columbus, 515' BVOB-CMH Procedure No. 1 August 15, 1954	Newark FM..... Columbus LFR..... Radar terminal area trans- fers.	278-15 063-8 All sectors within: 35 miles, 2,500' 35 miles, 3,000' 40 miles, 4,000'.	2,400 2,400	N side of course; 030° outbound, 230° inbound, 2,600' within 10 miles.	1,900	233-3.5	T-d T-n C-d C-n A-d A-n	300-1 400-1 300-1 800-2	Within 6 miles turn left, climb to 6,000' on 250° outbound course BZN-VOR within 20 miles. *Procedure turn W side for more favorable terrain.
DAGGETT, CALIF. Daggett Airport, 1,927' BVOB-DAG Procedure No. 1 August 15, 1954	Daggett LFR.....	055-5.0	6,000	N side of course; 030° outbound, 230° inbound, 6,000' within 25 miles.	4,000	233-4.1	*T-dn C-dn A-dn	2,000-4 2,000-4 2,000-4	Within 4.1 miles, climb to 6,000' on course of 245° within 25 miles of VOR. *300-1 authorized for takeoffs on Runways 3 and 7.
DELTA, UTAH Municipal, 4,759' BVOB-DTA Procedure No. 1 August 15, 1954	Delta LFR.....	125-3.0	7,000	W side of course; 180° outbound, 280° inbound, 7,000' within 15 miles. Beyond 15 miles not authorized.	4,000	345-5.0	T-dn C-d C-n S-n A-dn	300-1 600-1 600-2 600-1 600-2 800-2	Within 3 miles, climb to 11,000' on course of 060° within 25 miles of VOR.
DOUGLAS, ARIZ. Bisbee-Douglas International, 4,158' BVOB-DUG Procedure No. 1 August 15, 1954	N side of course; 280° outbound, 110° inbound, 7,000' within 15 miles, 9,000' within 25 miles.	5,200	115-4.6	T-d T-n C-d C-n A-d A-n	400-1 500-2 1,000-2 1,000-3 2,000-2 2,000-3	Within 0 mile, turn left (E), and climb to 10,000' on 285° outbound within 25 miles of VOR. SUNRISE: To 7,000' on 285° outbound, 115° inbound within 15 miles.
EPHRAATA, WASH. Ephrata Airport, 1,237' BVOB-EPE Procedure No. 1 August 15, 1954	Ephrata LFR.....	002-11.0	3,300	N side of course; 090° outbound, 290° inbound, 3,300' within 15 miles. Beyond 15 miles not au- thorized.	2,400	20-5.7	*T-dn C-d C-n S-dn A-d A-n	300-1 800-2 1,000-2 300-150 1,000-3 1,200-3	Within 5.7 miles turn left, climb to 4,000' on outbound course of 090° within 25 miles of EPH-VOR. *300-1 takeoff minimum Runway 20. CAUTION: 2,000' mean sea level radio towers and 2,200' terrain 2 miles and 4 miles W of airport.
GAGE, OKLA. Municipal, 2,229' BVOB-GAG Procedure No. 1 August 15, 1954	Gage LFR.....	277-5.0	3,600	S side of course; 288° outbound, 198° inbound, 3,500' within 15 miles.	3,100	108-6.6	T-dn C-dn A-dn	300-1 400-1 800-2	Within 6.5 miles, climb to 3,300' on course of 288° within 25 miles. CAUTION: Windmill, 2,550' mean sea level located 0.3 mile S of BVOB.
MYRTLE BEACH, S. C. Myrtle Beach Municipal, 24' BVOB-DTV MYR Procedure No. 1 August 15, 1954	Myrtle Beach Radiobeacon.....	045-3.5	1,200	N side of course; 041° outbound, 221° inbound, 1,200' within 15 miles.	700	221-3.5	T-dn C-dn A-dn	300-1 800-150 800-2	Within 3.5 miles, climb to 1,200' on course of 221° within 25 miles.

VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE—Continued

City and State; airport name, elevation; facility, class and identification; procedure No.; effective date	Initial approach to facility from—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (ft.)	Procedure turn (—) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course (ft.)	Course and distance to facility to airport	Ceiling and visibility minimums		If visual contact not established at authorized landing minimums after passing facility within distance specified, or if landing not accomplished	
							Condition	Type aircraft 75 m. p. h. or less More than 75 m. p. h.		
1 PHOENIX, ARIZ. Sky Harbor 1,154 BVOR-DTV PHX Procedure No. 1 August 15, 1954	2 Cave Creek Intersection	3 145-27.0	4 5,000	5 S side course: 077° outbound, 252° inbound, 2,800' within 10 miles, 4,100' within 20 miles, Beyond 20 miles not authorized.	6 2,100	7 257-4.8	8 T-dn C-dn S-dn 26L A-dn	9 300-1 700-1 700-1 800-2	10 300-1 700-1 1/4 700-1 800-2	11 Within 6.8 miles, climb to 5,000' on 207° outbound within 25 miles of VOR. Spirits: To 5,000' on 150° outbound, 340° inbound within 25 miles or to 5,000' on 257° outbound, 077° inbound within 25 miles. CAUTION: Hills 2,800' high 5 miles S of airport.
PRESCOTT, ARIZ. Municipal, 5047 BVOR-DTV PRO Procedure No. 1 August 15, 1954	2 Prescott LFR	3 180-2.0	4 7,000	5 E side course: 325° outbound, 145° inbound, 7,000' within 10 miles, 8,000' within 25 miles.	6 6,500	7 120-4.7	8 T-dn C-dn A-dn	9 800-2 1,000-2 1,500-2 1,500-2	10 1,000-2 1,500-2 1,500-2	11 Within 2 miles, turn left, climb to 8,000' on course of 225° outbound within 25 miles of VOR.

4. The very high frequency omnirange procedures prescribed in § 609.9 (b) are amended to read in part:

TVOE STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

Bearings, headings, and courses are magnetic. Distances are in statute miles unless otherwise indicated. Elevations and altitudes are in feet, MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. If a TVOR instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure authorized by the Administrator for Civil Aeronautics for such airport. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

City and State; airport name, elevation; facility, class and identification; Procedure No. (TVOR); effective date	Initial approach to facility from—	Course and distance	Minimum altitude (ft.)	Procedure turn (—) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course (ft.)	Course and distance from int. runway center line extended and final course to approach end of runway	Ceiling and visibility minimums		If visual contact not established at TVOR or if landing not accomplished	
							Condition	Type aircraft 75 m. p. h. or less More than 75 m. p. h.		
1 BOSTON, MASS. Logan, 197 TVOR-15 B03 Procedure No. 3 March 15, 1953	2 Initial approach to facility from—	3 3	4 4	5 3	6 6	7 7	8 3	9 9	10 10	11 11
PROCEDURE CANCELED EFFECTIVE DATE AUGUST 6, 1954.										
NEW YORK, N. Y. International, 17 YOR-1DL TVOR-1R August 15, 1954	Initial approach to facility from—	043-3 175-13 190-15 221-17 290-14 130-30	1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 2,500	E side of course: 154° outbound, 094° inbound, 1,300' within 10 miles.	700	010-1.2	T-dn C-dn S-dn 1R A-dn	300-1 700-1 700-1 800-2	300-1 700-1 1/4 700-1 800-2	Make a right climbing turn to 130° intercepting the SW course Mitchell LFR, continuous climb to 1,300' (or higher altitude when requested by ATIS) outbound on SW course Mitchell LFR.
TVOR-4 August 15, 1954	(See procedure 1R)			E side of course: 238° outbound, 059° inbound, 1,300' within 10 miles.	600	040-0.7	T-dn C-dn S-dn 4 A-dn	300-1 600-1 600-1 800-2	300-1 600-1 1/4 600-1 800-2	(Same as procedure 1R.)
TVOR-22 August 15, 1954 (Using Elmont FM)	(See procedure 1R)			E side of course: 005° outbound, 218° inbound, 1,500' within 10 miles.	1,000 over Elmont FM	220-0.5 Elmont FM 214-4.6	T-dn C-dn S-dn 2 A-dn	300-1 600-1 500-1 800-2	300-1 600-1 1/4 500-1 800-2	Climb to 1,300' (or higher altitude when requested by ATIS) on course of 222° from VOR. #N06 and CARRYON in column 11, procedure 22L, next page, applicable also here.

TVOB STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES—Continued

City and State; airport name, elevation; facility; class and identification; Procedure No. (TVOB); effective date	Initial approach to facility from—	Course and distance	Minimum altitudes (ft.)	Procedure turn (-) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course (ft.)	Course and distance from initial runway extended and final course to approach end of runway	Ceiling and visibility minimums		If visual contact not established at TVOB, or if landing not accomplished	
							Condition	Type aircraft		
1 NEW YORK, N. Y. Intercontinental, LF TVOB-1DL TVOB-2AF August 15, 1954 (Using Elmout FMO)	3 (See procedure 1E)	3	4	5 E side of course; 630' inbound, 230' within 10 miles	6 1,000 over Elmout FMO 234-4.6	7 333-0.5 Elmout FMO 234-4.6	8 T-dn C-dn S-dn 2IL A-dn	9 300-1 600-1 500-1 800-2	10 More than 75 m. p. h.	11

5. The instrument landing system procedures prescribed in § 609.11 are amended to read in part:

ILS STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

Beacon headings, and courses are magnetic. Distances are in statute miles unless otherwise indicated. Elevations and altitudes are in feet. MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. If an ILS instrument approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedures, unless an approach is conducted in accordance with a different procedure authorized by the Administrator for Civil Aeronautics for such airport. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below:

City and State; airport name, elevation; facility; class and identification; procedure No.; effective date	Transition to ILS		Minimum altitudes (ft.)	Procedure turn (-) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances	Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course (ft.)	Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway at—	Ceiling and visibility minimums		If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished				
	From—	To—					Condition	Type aircraft					
1 ALLENTOWN, PA. Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton Airport, 362 ILS-ABL LOM-AB Procedure No. 1 August 15, 1954	2	3	4	5	7	6	9	11	12				
	From— Allentown LFR Allentown VOR Shington Intersection of F.M. Intersection N course Philadelphia LFR and NW course N Philadelphia LFR Intersection SE course Allentown LFR 491 ILS NE course bearing 241° to LOM Bath Intersection	To— LOM LOM LOM LOM LOM LOM	Course and distance 227-10 215-11 111-11 321-8 241-11 241-12	Minimum altitudes (ft.) 2,300 2,300 2,300 2,300 2,300	Procedure turn (-) side of final approach course (outbound and inbound); altitudes; limiting distances N side SW course; 241° inbound, 2,300' within 10 miles of LOM	Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course (ft.) ILS 2,300 ADF 1,800 over LOM	Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway at— Outer marker Middle marker	2,300-7.1 600-0.7	Condition T-dn C-dn ILS ADF S-dn 6 ILS ADF A-dn	Type aircraft 300-1 300-1 300-1 300-1 300-1 300-2	More than 75 m. p. h. or less	11 12	13 Within 1.1 miles after passing LOM (ADF), climb to 2,000 on NE course of ILS within 20 miles of LOM. *Procedure turn conducted N for better signal coverage.
Procedure No. 2 pre-mixed on use of back course ILS and Bath Intersection (Inter-Intersection NE course ILS and 301° course to Allentown VOR or 278° bearing to Allentown LFR). ADF approach not authorized for procedure No. 2 August 15, 1954		NE course ILS Bath Intersection	115-3 121-5	2,300 2,300	No glide path or markers. 1,200 over Bath Intersection.	4.1 miles from Bath Intersection.	T-dn C-dn S-dn A-dn	300-1 300-1 300-1 300-2	300-1 300-1 300-1 300-2	300-1 300-1 300-1 300-2	300-1 300-1 300-1 300-2	11 12	13 Within 4.1 miles after passing Bath Intersection, climb to 2,000 on SW course ILS within 25 miles of Bath Intersection.

ILS STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE—Continued

City and State; airport name, elevation, facility class and identification; procedure No.; effective date	Transition to ILS				Minimum altitude at glide slope intercept (ft.)	Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach and of runway at—	Ceiling and visibility minimums		If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished			
	From—	To—	Course and distance	Min. altitude (ft.)			Condition	Type aircraft				
1		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
AMARILLO, TEX. Air Terminal, 3,604' ILS-LAMA LOM-AM ILS and ADF Procedure No. 1 August 14, 1954	Amarillo VOR..... Amarillo LFR..... Saint Francis MHW..... Intersection E course of AMA LFR and 180° bearing from Saint Francis MHW..... Intersection W course of AMA LFR and 200° bearing from LOM.....	OM..... LOM..... LOM..... SW course ILS..... LOM.....	209-10..... 185-4.0..... 209-12..... 257-5.0..... 110-7.0.....	5,000..... 5,000..... 5,000..... 4,800..... 5,000.....	S side of SW course: 209° outbound, 609° inbound, 5,000' within 10 miles. S side SE course: 332° outbound, 312° inbound, 3,000' (within 5 miles SE of LOM). Not authorized beyond 5 miles SE of LOM.	ILS 5,000 ADF 4,800 LOM 4,800	4,920-4.7..... 3,823-0.7.....		T-4n C-4n S-4n ILS ADF A-4n	300-1 500-1 400-1/4 500-1 800-2	300-1 500-1/4 400-1/4 1,000-2	Climb to 4,800' on NE course of ILS or 5,000' before VOR or Saint Francis MHW or 4.7 miles after passing LOM (ADF) climb to 4,800' on course of 609° within 10 miles. When directed by ATC climb to 4,700' on E course of Amarillo LFR within 25 miles.
ARCATA, CALIF. Arcata, 217' ILS-ACV Procedure No. 1 August 14, 1954	FOT VOR..... Trinidad Intersection (intersection of 341 radial from FOT VOR and bearing to ACV LMM from bearing to ACV LOM).	Localizer course (3.4 miles SE of LOM). LMM or LOM. LOM.....	095-15.0..... 115-10.0 121-14.0..... 094-4.0.....	3,500..... 4,000..... 3,000.....	S side SE course: 132° outbound, 312° inbound, 3,000' (within 5 miles SE of LOM). Not authorized beyond 5 miles SE of LOM.	\$5,000 or 3,500	1,370-4.67..... 469-0.66.....		T-4n C-4n S-4n 31 A-4n	300-1 500-1/4 400-1/4 1,000-2	300-1 500-2 400-1/4 1,000-2	Climb to 3,000' on course of 295° within 10 miles (Trinidad Intersection). *Procedure turn S side for more favorable terrain. #Upon completion of procedure turn (or if approaching from FOT VOR upon intersecting localizer course) and interception of glide path inbound, descent from 3,000' (or 3,300' from FOT) is authorized to cross outer marker at 1,530'. NOTE: 1. Procedure not authorized with glide path inoperative. 2. Either the outer marker (visual) or the LOM must be operative.
MACON, GA. Macon (Cochran), 354' ILS-DMCN LOM-MC Combination ILS and ADF Procedure No. 1 August 14, 1954	Macon VOR..... Macon LFR..... Robins MHW..... Intersection SW course of Macos LFR and SW course of ILS.....	OM..... LOM..... LOM..... LOM.....	230-3.0..... 192-7.0..... 271-6.0..... 046-3.0.....	1,600..... 1,600..... 1,600..... 1,600.....	S side of SW course: 230° outbound, 648° inbound, 1,600' within 10 miles.	1,600 ADF 1,200 over LOM	1,460-4.4..... 530-0.6.....		T-4n S-4n ILS ADF C-4n A-4n	300-1 400-1/4 600-1 600-1/4 800-2	300-1 400-1/4 600-1 600-1/4 800-2	Climb to 1,800' on NE course of ILS or 4.4 miles after passing LOM (ADF) climb to 1,800' on course of 648° within 10 miles. CAUTION: 650' tower 9.5 miles SE of final approach course. No approach lights.
WINSTON-SALEM, N. C. Smith Reynolds, 609' ILS-LINT LOM-IN Combination ILS and ADF Procedure No. 1 August 14, 1954	Winston-Salem LFR..... Wallburg Intersection..... Greensboro VOR..... Thomas Intersection..... Greensboro LFR.....	LOM..... LOM..... OM..... LOM..... LOM.....	238-0.6..... 238-5.0..... 283-11.0..... 238-11.0..... 263-13.0.....	2,200..... 2,200..... 2,300..... 2,200..... 2,300.....	W side SE course: 148° outbound, 238° inbound, 2,200' within 10 miles. Beyond 10 miles not authorized.	ILS 2,100 ADF 1,700 over LOM	2,000-4.5..... 1,160-0.6.....		T-4n C-4n S-4n ILS ADF ADN	300-1 500-1/4 400-1/4 500-1 800-2	300-1 500-1/4 400-1/4 500-1 800-2	4.6 miles after passing LOM (ADF), climb to 3,300' on NW course Intersection LFR or 299° course. Greensboro VOR within 25 miles. When directed by ATC turn right, immediately climb to 2,200' on SE course ILS or 148° course LOM within 10 miles. CAUTION: Immediate right turn necessary to avoid Greensboro traffic. When Winston-Salem tower not operating, contact Greensboro approach control for weather and traffic.

223, as amended, 229, as amended; 26 U. S. C. 2100, 2103 (c)”).

(R. S. 161, 251, sec. 624, 46 Stat. 759; 5 U. S. C. 22, 19 U. S. C. 65, 1624)

[SEAL] D. B. STRUBINGER,
Acting Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: August 2, 1954.

H. CHAPMAN ROSE,
Acting Secretary of the Treasury.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6080; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:48 a. m.]

TITLE 47—TELECOMMUNICATION

Chapter I—Federal Communications Commission

PART I—PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE BROADCAST HEARINGS, CORRECTION

1. The Commission on July 14, 1954, adopted a report and order (FCC 54-900) in the above-entitled matter which was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on July 20, 1954 (19 F. R. 4443) and which made certain procedural changes concerning broadcast hearing cases.

2. In that report and order, the word “contracts” as it appears on 19 F. R. 4444, paragraph 11 (3) and in footnote 1 (3) (19 F. R. 4445) is corrected to read “contacts”.

Released: August 3, 1954.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6083; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:49 a. m.]

TITLE 50—WILDLIFE

Chapter I—Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior

Subchapter F—Alaska Commercial Fisheries PART 108—KODIAK AREA

OPEN SEASONS, KARLUK DISTRICT

Basis and purpose. On the basis of a good showing of late run pink salmon in the Uyak section of the Karluk district in the Kodiak area, it has been determined that additional fishing can now be permitted there.

Therefore, the following amendment shall become effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Section 108.3 is amended in text to read as follows:

§ 108.3 *Open seasons, Karluk district.* Fishing is prohibited, except that this shall not apply in the Uyak section after 6 o'clock antemeridian August 9.

Since immediate action is necessary, notice and public procedure on this amendment are impracticable (60 Stat. 237; 5 U. S. C. 1001 et seq.).

(Sec. 1, 43 Stat. 464, as amended; 48 U. S. C. 221)

Dated: August 5, 1954.

JOHN L. FARLEY,
Director.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6121; Filed, Aug. 5, 1954;
3:56 p. m.]

TITLE 15—COMMERCE AND FOREIGN TRADE

Chapter III—Bureau of Foreign Commerce, Department of Commerce

Subchapter B—Export Regulations

[7th Gen. Rev. of Export Regs., Amdt. 7¹]

PART 373—LICENSING POLICIES AND RELATED SPECIAL PROVISIONS

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS

1. Section 373.2 *Confirmation of country of ultimate destination and verification of actual delivery* paragraph (a) *Scope* is amended in the following particulars: Subdivision (ii) *Countries of subparagraph (1) General* is amended by adding thereto the country name: “Austria”.

This part of the amendment shall become effective as of September 20, 1954.

2. Section 373.71 *Supplement 1: Time schedules for submission of applications for licenses to export certain Positive List commodities* is amended to read as follows:

THIRD AND FOURTH QUARTERS OF 1954¹

Dept. of Commerce Schedule B No.	Commodity	Submission dates	
		Third quarter, 1954	Fourth quarter, 1954
619159 623008 664908	Selenium powder..... Ferro-selenium..... Selenium metal, except selenium-bearing scrap materials.	June 16-June 30, 1954..	Sept. 1-Sept. 15, 1954
829810	Selenium-containing rubber compounding agents not of coal tar origin: accelerators.....		
830750	Selenium salts of organic compounds.....		
830900	Selenium salts and compounds, including selenium dioxide.....		
842900	Selenium-containing pigments.....		

¹ Applications for licenses to export commodities for which no specified dates are announced may be submitted at any time (see § 372.5 (c) of this subchapter). Export applications for commodities requiring a validated license when moving in transit through the United States may be submitted at any time and are not subject to specified filing dates (see § 372.6 of this subchapter).

This part of the amendment shall become effective as of August 5, 1954.

(Sec. 3, 63 Stat. 7; 65 Stat. 43; 67 Stat. 62; 50 U. S. C. App. Sup. 2023. E. O. 9630, Sept. 27, 1945, 10 F. R. 12245, 3 CFR, 1945 Supp.; E. O. 9919, Jan. 3, 1948, 13 F. R. 59, 3 CFR, 1948 Supp.)

LORING K. MACY,
Director,
Bureau of Foreign Commerce.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6077; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:48 a. m.]

[7th Gen. Rev. of Export Regs., Amdt. P. L. 6¹]

PART 399—POSITIVE LIST OF COMMODITIES AND RELATED MATTERS

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS

Section 399.1 *Appendix A—Positive List of Commodities* is amended in the following particulars:

1. The following commodities are deleted:

Dept. of Commerce Schedule B No.	Commodity
787310 787440	Tractors (except contractors' wheel type, and industrial type) (report horsepower rating according to Nebraska Maximum Test or manufacturer's equivalent) (report contractors' wheel type in 725020): Industrial type in 725020): Tracklaying tractors, new: Under 35 drawbar horsepower. 35 under 50 drawbar horsepower.
787593	Tracklaying tractors, used and rebuilt: Under 50 drawbar horsepower.
787610 787630 787660 787690 787700	Wheel type tractors: Row-crop type tractors, new: 8 under 15 belt horsepower. 15 under 25 belt horsepower. 25 under 30 belt horsepower. 30 under 35 belt horsepower. 35 under 60 belt horsepower.
787710 787740 787760 787790 787795	Standard wheel type tractor, new: 8 under 25 belt horsepower. 20 under 25 belt horsepower. 25 under 35 belt horsepower. 35 under 45 belt horsepower. 45 under 60 belt horsepower.
787890	Row-crop and standard wheel type tractors, used and rebuilt: Under 60 belt horsepower.

This part of the amendment shall become effective as of August 5, 1954.

¹ This amendment is published in Current Export Bulletin No. 735, dated August 5, 1954.

2. The revised entries set forth below are substituted for entries presently on the Positive List:

Dept. of Commerce Schedule B No.	Commodity	Unit	Processing Code and Related Commodity Group	GLV Dollar Value Limits	Validated License Required
619013	Shipping containers for oil, gas, and other liquids and solids (all metals) (report storage tanks in 618967 and 618971); Filed; ¹				
619014	Gas cylinders, capable of withstanding pressures over 300 pounds per square inch (specify tare weight of cylinders) (formerly 619011). ²	No.	STEE	100	RO
619014	Other filled shipping containers, except milk cans, of the following types only: (1) stainless steel (fabricated of or lined with stainless steel), with a capacity of 2,400 U. S. gallons or more; and (2) vacuum-insulated, with a capacity of 26.42 U. S. quarts or more; (specify tare weight of containers) (formerly 619012). ²	No.	STEE	100	RO
619021	Unfilled: Gas cylinders, capable of withstanding pressures over 300 pounds per square inch. ¹	Lb.	STEE	100	RO
619022	Other unfilled shipping containers, except milk cans, of the following types only: (1) stainless steel (fabricated of or lined with stainless steel), with a capacity of 2,400 U. S. gallons or more; and (2) vacuum-insulated, with a capacity of 26.42 U. S. quarts or more. ²	Lb.	STEE	100	RO
664565	Quicksilver or mercury ³	Lb.	NONF	None	RO

¹ Applicable to containers only and not to contents.
² The pressure rating stamped on a container is the pressure for which the drum or container is designed. (Any shipping container which does not have a pressure rating stamped thereon is not a pressure container.)
³ When container is filled with material for which a validated license is required, the processing code applicable to the contained material shall apply to both the material and the container. Number and weight of containers shall be shown separately on the application.
⁴ The letter "C" is deleted in the column headed "Commodity Lists", indicating that the commodity may now be exported under the provisions of General License GIT (see § 371.9 (c) of this subchapter).
⁵ The Schedule B number is changed to conform with revisions in Schedule B Statistical Classifications and Domestic and Foreign Commodities Exported from the United States.
⁶ Corrosion-resistant cylinders not capable of withstanding pressures over 300 pounds per square inch are deleted.
⁷ Corrosion-resistant vacuum-insulated containers having a capacity of less than 26.42 U. S. quarts, and other corrosion-resistant containers, except stainless steel, having a capacity of less than 2,400 U. S. gallons, are deleted. Non-corrosion-resistant vacuum-insulated containers having a capacity of 26.42 U. S. quarts or more are added, effective Aug. 12, 1954, and are subject to the IC/DV procedure (see § 373.2 of this subchapter), effective Sept. 20, 1954.

This part of the amendment shall become effective as of August 5, 1954, unless otherwise indicated in the footnotes.

Shipments of any commodities removed from general license to Country Group R or Country Group O destinations as a result of changes set forth in part 2 of this amendment, which were on dock, on lighter, laden aboard an exporting carrier, or in transit to a port of exit pursuant to actual orders for export prior to 12:01 a. m., August 12, 1954, may be exported under the previous general license provisions up to and including September 4, 1954. Any such shipment not laden aboard the exporting carrier on or before September 4, 1954, requires a validated license for export.

(Sec. 3, 63 Stat. 7; 65 Stat. 43; 67 Stat. 62; 50 U. S. C. App. Sup. 2023. E. O. 9630, Sept. 27, 1945, 10 F. R. 12245, 3 CFR, 1945 Supp.; E. O. 9919, Jan. 3, 1948, 13 F. R. 59, 3 CFR, 1948 Supp.)

LORING K. MACY,
Director,

Bureau of Foreign Commerce.

[P. R. Doc. 54-6078; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:48 a. m.]

TITLE 39—POSTAL SERVICE

Chapter I—Post Office Department

PART 127—INTERNATIONAL POSTAL SERVICE: POSTAGE RATES, SERVICE AVAILABLE AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR MAILING

CANADA (INCLUDING NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR)

In § 127.227 Canada (including Newfoundland and Labrador) make the following changes in paragraph (b) (4):

1. Amend the note to subdivision (i) to read as follows:

NOTE: Each insured parcel must bear an insurance number and the usual mailing record maintained. The arrangement for domestic parcels insured for \$10 or less does not apply.

2. Amend subdivision (iv) to read as follows:

(iv) Parcels containing bees must not be accepted for insurance, except under the conditions specified in subparagraph (6) of this paragraph.

3. In subdivision (vi) change "District Post Office Inspector" to read "District Director of Postal Service"; and strike out "Post Office Department, Bureau of Transportation, Division of International Service, Washington 25, D. C." and insert, in lieu thereof, "Postmaster, Chicago 7, Illinois".

4. In subdivision (vii), change "District Post Office Inspector" to read "District Director of Postal Service".

5. Amend subdivision (viii) to read as follows:

(viii) If inquiry in the Canadian service shows that an insured parcel has been delivered intact, the Form 2855 endorsed to that effect will be returned to the postmaster in this country by the Canadian District Director of Postal Service. If the parcel has been lost, rifled, or damaged, the latter official will secure the execution of the declaration of the addressee and forward the Form 2855 to the Postmaster, Chicago 7, Illinois, for consideration of the indemnity feature.

6. In subdivision (ix) strike out "Post Office Department, Bureau of Transportation, Division of International Service, Washington 25, D. C." and insert, in lieu thereof, "Postmaster, Chicago 7, Illinois".

7. In subdivision (xi) change "District Post Office Inspector" to "District Director of Postal Service".

8. In subdivision (xiv), amend the second sentence and the heading of Column 2 by changing "District Post Office Inspector" to read "District Director of Postal Service".

(R. S. 161, 396, 398; secs. 304, 309, 42 Stat. 24, 25, 48 Stat. 943; 5 U. S. C. 22, 369, 372)

[SEAL] ABE MCGREGOR GOFF,
The Solicitor.

[P. R. Doc. 54-6079; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:48 a. m.]

PART 127—INTERNATIONAL POSTAL SERVICE: POSTAGE RATES, SERVICE AVAILABLE AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR MAILING

GERMANY

In § 127.261 Germany make the following changes:

1. Amend paragraph (a) (7) to read as follows:

(7) Observations. (i) Envelopes must not have innerlining or carry any indication other than the addresses of the sender and addressee and necessary postal directions.

(ii) Mail for western Germany may be addressed "Federal Republic of Germany"; postal addressing district numbers are not necessary. Mail for the Soviet Zone may be addressed "Eastern Zone" if desired; postal addressing district numbers, if known, must be included as a part of the address.

(iii) Mail for Berlin may be addressed with the name of the specific sector of occupation, such as American, British, French or Soviet Sector, or simply "Western Sector" or "Eastern Sector."

(iv) Box numbers may be used as part of the address provided the name of the box holder is shown.

2. Amend paragraph (b) (5) to read as follows:

(5) Observations—(i) All zones. (a) A delivery fee, based on the German domestic parcel post rates, is collected by the German postal service from addressees for delivery of parcels at their homes.

(b) Parcels sent as gifts and the relative customs declarations must be conspicuously marked "Gift Parcel" by the senders, who must itemize the contents and value on the customs declarations.

(ii) Western Germany (including the Western Sector of Berlin). (a) Parcels may be addressed "Federal Republic of Germany" if desired; postal addressing district numbers are not necessary. Parcels for the Western Sectors of Berlin may be addressed with the name of the specific sector of occupation, such as American, British, or French Sector, or simply "Western Sector".

(b) Addressees of gift parcels may receive duty free each month up to 33 pounds of foodstuffs, which may include

1 pound 1½ ounces of coffee, 2 pounds 3 ounces of powdered cocoa, and 2 pounds 3 ounces of chocolate. However, the coffee, cocoa, chocolate, or any combination thereof must comprise the lesser part of the total weight of the parcel, and less than two-thirds of the value of the duty-free contents.

(c) Articles other than foodstuffs are duty-free when sent as gifts if the kind and quantity are in accord with the actual needs of the addressee and members of his household. However, duty is always charged on tea; lobster, caviar, and oysters; jewelry, precious stones, and articles made from precious metals; new garments made from or trimmed with fur if over 800 marks in value; expensive carpets and floor coverings; new articles made from reptile skin; radios; photographic apparatus; expen-

sive perfumes and cosmetics; and any other articles subject to special levies.

(d) Gift parcels which prove to be undeliverable are turned over to authorized German relief organizations for distribution to the needy, unless the relative customs declaration and dispatch note bear instructions that the parcels are to be returned to the senders.

(e) Parcels sent for commercial purposes are admitted on condition that the contents are authorized on the basis of a general or specific import license issued by the German authorities. Each such parcel must have enclosed an invoice in duplicate showing the value of the contents, which must agree with the value on the customs declaration.

(iii) *Soviet Zone (including the Soviet Sector of Berlin)*. (a) Parcels may be addressed "Eastern Zone" if desired; postal addressing district numbers, if

known, must be included as part of the address. Parcels for the Soviet Sector of Berlin may be addressed "Eastern Sector".

(b) Gift parcels are limited to 22 pounds in weight, and may contain only necessary articles for the personal use of the addressee or members of his household. No such parcel may contain more than 8¾ ounces of coffee, 8¾ ounces of either cocoa or chocolate, and/or 1¾ ounces of tobacco products. Parcels not complying with the foregoing may be confiscated by the German authorities.

(R. S. 161, 396, 398; secs. 304, 309, 42 Stat. 24, 25, 48 Stat. 943; 5 U. S. C. 22, 369, 372)

[SEAL] ABE MCGREGOR GOFF,
The Solicitor.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6061; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:45 a. m.]

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 907]

[Docket No. AO-212-A8]

HANDLING OF MILK IN MILWAUKEE, WIS., MARKETING AREA

NOTICE OF RECOMMENDED DECISION AND OPPORTUNITY TO FILE WRITTEN EXCEP- TIONS WITH RESPECT TO PROPOSED AMEND- MENT TO TENTATIVE MARKETING AGREEMENT, AND TO ORDER, AS AMENDED

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure, as amended, governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), notice is hereby given of the filing with the Hearing Clerk of the recommended decision of the Deputy Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service, United States Department of Agriculture, with respect to proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order, as amended, regulating the handling of milk in the Milwaukee, Wisconsin, marketing area. Interested parties may file written exceptions to this decision with the Hearing Clerk, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington 25, D. C., not later than the close of business the 3d day after publication of this decision in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Exceptions should be filed in quadruplicate.

Preliminary statement. The hearing, on the record of which the proposed amendment to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order, as amended, was formulated, was conducted at Milwaukee, Wisconsin, July 23, 1954, pursuant to notice thereof which was issued on July 15, 1954 (19 F. R. 4478).

The material issues on the record of the hearing were:

1. Limitation of the supply-demand adjustment; and

2. The price for Class II milk.

Findings and conclusions. The following findings and conclusions on the material issues are based upon evidence contained in the record of the hearing:

1. **Supply-demand adjustment.** A producers' association proposed that the supply-demand adjustment which is added to or subtracted from the Class I and Class II price differentials should be limited to additions or subtractions of 24 cents per hundredweight.

For the period of March through August 1954, the order was amended to limit deductions by the supply-demand adjuster to 24 cents. The basis for this action was contained in a decision dated February 18, 1954 (19 F. R. 1042).

If the limitation on the supply-demand adjustment is allowed to expire at the end of August, the effect on the Class I and Class II prices is likely to be a reduction of at least 9 cents per hundredweight. This would be a contra-seasonal price reduction, not conforming to the customary seasonal price pattern designed to give incentive to producers to even out their production.

Producer testimony also emphasized that some limitation as to price reductions is necessary to prevent a situation in which Class I and Class II price differentials do not compensate producers for the extra investment and expense necessary to produce milk for the fluid market.

The supply-demand adjuster under the Milwaukee milk order is identical with the corresponding adjuster under the Chicago milk order, and is based on data as to receipts and utilization in the Chicago market. An amendment to the Chicago order also limited deductions due to the supply-demand adjuster to 24-cents per hundred weight for the period March through August 1954. In a recommended decision on proposed amendments to the Chicago order based on a hearing concluded June 15, 1954, it is concluded that the limitation should be continued through November 1954.

The Milwaukee marketing area is located within the Chicago milkshed, and Milwaukee producers are interspersed with producers for the Chicago market. The close relationship between the two markets favors action similar to that indicated for the Chicago order. It is concluded that the deductions due to the supply-demand adjuster should be limited to 24 cents per hundredweight for the additional months of September, October, and November 1954.

Because of the relationship of this market to the Chicago market, it is necessary to delay action on this issue for months after November 1954, so as to coordinate it with possible changes in the Chicago order. Accordingly, action on this issue for subsequent months is reserved for a further decision on this record.

2. **Class II price.** The Class II price differential should be reduced to 50 cents per hundredweight for the months of September, October, and November 1954.

Handlers proposed at the hearing that the Class II price differential be set at 50 cents for all months, except that during May and June, for milk frozen as cream for eventual use as Class II milk, the differential should be \$0.35.

The price differentials in the order, for Class II milk, are 40 cents per hundredweight for May and June; 70 cents for July through November; and 50 cents for all other months. These differentials are added to the basic formula price in determining the Class II price. The same supply-demand adjustment applies to these differentials as applies to the Class I differentials. For example: in June the effective Class II differential was 16 cents (40¢-24¢).

The request for the lower Class II differentials was based upon the existence of an increased supply of milk for all uses and the need for coordination of prices under the Milwaukee order with prices established under the Chicago milk order. It was pointed out that some handlers in the Milwaukee market are

regulated under the Chicago order. It was testified also that some Milwaukee handlers customarily draw supplementary supplies of milk from plants regulated under the Chicago order.

For the month of August 1954, a Class II price differential of 50 cents per hundredweight over the basic formula price was effected by suspension of the regular 70-cent differential which would otherwise apply in that month. A similar action on the Chicago order reduced the Class II price differential under that order to 50 cents for the months of July and August, 1954.

In a recommended decision on proposals to amend the Chicago milk order based upon the record of a hearing completed on June 15, 1954, it is concluded that the 50-cent Class II differential should be continued for the months of September, October, and November this year. It is concluded that the same differential should be effected under the Milwaukee order for these months so as to maintain orderly marketing conditions. No opposition to this proposal was expressed by producers.

Without further change in the order, the Class II differential for months following November will be 50 cents until May and June. Proponents did not urge action at this time on their proposal for a reduced price on milk put into frozen cream during May and June. Any action on this part of the proposal and Class II prices for months after November, 1954 is reserved for a further decision on this record.

General findings. (a) The proposed marketing agreement and the order, as amended, and as hereby proposed to be further amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act;

(b) The parity prices of milk as determined pursuant to section 2 of the act are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for milk, in the marketing area and the minimum prices specified in the proposed marketing agreement and the order, as amended, and as hereby proposed to be further amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest; and

(c) The proposed order, as amended, and as hereby proposed to be further amended, will regulate the handling of milk in the same manner as, and will be applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial and commercial activity specified in a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

Recommended marketing agreement and amendment to the order. The following order amending the order, as amended, regulating the handling of milk in the Milwaukee, Wisconsin, marketing area, is recommended as the detailed and appropriate means by which the foregoing conclusions may be carried out. The recommended marketing agreement is not included in this decision because the regulatory provisions

thereof would be identical with those contained in the order, as amended, and as hereby proposed to be further amended.

1. In the proviso in § 907.51 (a), delete the word "August" and substitute the word "November."

2. Delete § 907.51 (b) and substitute therefor the following:

(b) The price for Class II milk shall be the basic formula price plus the following amounts as indicated: May and June, \$0.40; July through November, inclusive, \$0.70; all other months, \$0.50; *Provided*, That for the months of September, October, and November 1954, \$0.50 shall be used in lieu of \$0.70 as indicated above; *And provided further*, That such Class II price differentials shall be adjusted by the amount of any adjustment made in the Class I price differential for the same month pursuant to the proviso of paragraph (a) of this section.

Done at Washington, D. C., this 4th day of August 1954.

[SEAL] ROY W. LENNARTSON,
Deputy Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6094; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:51 a. m.]

[7 CFR Part 967]

[Docket No. AO 170-AB]

HANDLING OF MILK IN SOUTH BEND- LA PORTE, IND., MARKETING AREA

NOTICE OF RECOMMENDED DECISION AND OPPORTUNITY TO FILE WRITTEN EXCEP- TIONS WITH RESPECT TO PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO TENTATIVE MARKETING AGREEMENT, AND TO ORDER, AS AMENDED

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure, as amended, governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), notice is hereby given of the filing with the Hearing Clerk of the recommended decision of the Deputy Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service, United States Department of Agriculture, with respect to a proposed amendment to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order, as amended, regulating the handling of milk in the South Bend-La Porte, Indiana, marketing area. Interested parties may file written exceptions to this decision with the Hearing Clerk, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington 25, D. C., not later than the close of business the 3d day after publication of this decision in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Exceptions should be filed in quadruplicate.

Preliminary statement. The hearing, on the record of which the proposed amendment to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order, as amended, was formulated, was conducted at South Bend, Indiana, on July 6-7, 1954, pursuant to notice thereof which was issued on June 29, 1954 (19 F. R. 4040).

The material issues on the record of the hearing were:

1. Establishment of a base and excess plan for paying producers in certain months;
2. Limitation of the supply-demand adjustment;
3. Prices for milk picked up at the farm by tank trucks;
4. Class I price differentials; and
5. Price for Class II milk.

Findings and conclusions. The following findings and conclusions on the material issues are based upon evidence contained in the record of the hearing:

1. **Base excess plan.** A method of paying producers on the basis of their marketings in a representative period of fall months should be adopted to provide additional incentive for producers to adjust their production more nearly to needs of the market.

A method of payment proposed by a producer organization would provide for a base to be calculated for each producer based on the producer's total milk deliveries to pool plants during September, October, November, and December (122 days) divided by the number of days that the producer made deliveries during this period, but not to be less than 75 days. The plan also provides that any producer who fails to establish a base shall be considered a "new producer". A new producer would be assigned a percentage of base milk for each of the months of April, May, June, and July calculated to be approximately 10 percentage points less than the percentage of base milk delivered by the average producer who earned a base. Further, any producer who earned a base could voluntarily relinquish it and have a "new producer" status by making such request in writing to the market administrator not later than January 31st following the base making period.

This base-excess plan would further provide that producers would be paid for base milk and excess milk only during each of the months of April, May, June, and July. A producer's "base milk" would be computed for each of these months by multiplying his base by the number of days that he delivered milk to a pool plant. Any milk delivered by a producer which exceeded his base milk would be considered excess milk and paid for accordingly. The price for excess milk would be the Class II price, with the remainder of the value of producer milk being assigned to base milk. In case such calculation resulted in a price for base milk higher than the Class I price, the price for base milk would be recomputed as equal to the Class I price and the remaining value would be assigned to excess milk.

This plan of paying producers was proposed as an additional means, supplemental to seasonal pricing, to provide incentive to producers to adjust their production seasonally to the needs of the market.

Milk production in this area tends to be highest in the spring months and reach a low point in fall months. The average daily deliveries per farm during May and June may exceed average deliveries in October and November by 50 percent or more. In contrast, the demand in Class I and Class II uses is rela-

tively stable, although it has shown some upward trend in recent years.

The desirability of achieving a closer adjustment between the seasonal patterns of production and consumption is related to possible improvements in marketing conditions which could be so attained. A high degree of disparity seasonally between production and use in the fluid market is disturbing to the marketing system. It causes difficulties in disposal of the surplus in spring months, and incomplete utilization of plant facilities in other months of the year. Accordingly, wide seasonal variation in production tends to result in additional costs in the marketing process which may be borne in part by either producers, consumers or handlers. Also, because of the relative stability of demand in the fluid market, handlers find it more economical to obtain milk from farmers with even production, and such producers accordingly enjoy some preference in the fluid market. A further disturbing factor resulting from wide seasonal variations in production is the effect of the seasonal surplus upon prices to producers with relatively even production. Since all producers share alike in total utilization in the market, the producer who controls his production pattern so it conforms closely to the needs of the market nevertheless receives a reduced price in spring months by reason of the uneven production of other producers.

Since the inception of Order 67, it has contained seasonal pricing provisions which establish lower Class I differentials during the months of high production than during months of low production. The resulting effect on producer prices is intended to act as an incentive to farmers to produce milk more uniformly throughout the year. The record shows that no material improvement in seasonal variation of production has been achieved in the market supply as a result of these seasonal price differentials. This seasonal pricing may have discouraged a seasonality of production more seriously out of line with the demand for milk, but apparently has not furnished sufficient incentive to most producers to even out their production. A base-excess plan would increase the monetary incentive to level out production throughout the year. Proponents of a base-excess plan testified also that such a method of payment has the merit of bringing directly to the attention of each producer the incentive for evening out his production, in that he is made aware that he is receiving a lower price for that part of his production which is in excess of his base. It was indicated this would be of considerable value in educational efforts to induce producers to level out production.

The seasonal pattern of production as shown by milk receipts of handlers indicates that October and November are generally the months of lowest production. Producers urged however that the base earning period should be a period of four months—September through December. It was pointed out that although January may sometimes be shorter than the preceding September, an incentive to even production at the beginning of the short season is more

likely to result in adjustment of production to market needs. The September-December period appears to be a suitable period for giving producers incentive for increasing production as part of a program of evening out their annual production. Delivery of milk to a handler for at least 90 of this 122-day period would be a better incentive for consistent supplying of the market during the short supply season than the 75-day minimum period proposed by producers.

Producers proposed that the period for payment on base and excess milk should be the months of April through July. Examination of the seasonal variations in milk receipts indicates such a period for payment on bases would be suitable for the purpose of discouraging wide variations in production.

Pricing excess milk at the lowest class price would tend to discourage a producer from having a pattern of production which results in a large proportion of his production assigned to excess milk. However, when Class II utilization is less than the volume of excess milk, the remaining excess milk should be assigned to Class I. This system of pricing base and excess milk will result in the same prices as the method proposed by producers.

In order for the base plan to be effective, it is necessary to provide some disadvantage for falling to establish a base, and to similarly distinguish between producers who establish a base in the short production period and those who come on the market in seasons when production is higher. A producer proposal would allow producers without established bases, or those who have relinquished bases, a percentage of base milk about 10 percentage points less than the percentage of base milk in the deliveries of producers with established bases earned on the basis of fall deliveries. In view of lack of experience as to operation of a base plan under this order, this moderate disadvantage to producers without earned bases is considered reasonable, at least at the outset of such plan.

Data in the record indicate that approximately the same result could be achieved for the base effective months of 1955 by applying certain percentages to deliveries by producers without earned bases. The following percentages appear appropriate for the months indicated:

Month:	Percentage
April.....	70
May.....	60
June.....	60
July.....	65

Such an arrangement will avoid the requirement for handlers to report base and excess deliveries by producers for the month of March as would be required under the producer proposal for determining prices for a producer without an earned base for the month of April 1955.

Such simplification of the method of determining prices to producers without earned bases could be achieved in the following years by applying to the deliveries of such producers a percentage computed for the same month of the previous year which is 10 percentage

points less than the percentage that base milk delivered by producers with earned bases was of total deliveries by such producers.

Operation of a base-excess plan for paying producers requires some rules in the order with respect to ownership and transfer of earned bases. It was proposed that bases should be held in the name of the individual producer. However, a producer would have the option of transferring his base if he disposed of his farming operation, provided the transferred base continued to apply to milk produced on the same farm on which it was earned. Any division of interest in milk deliveries, such as between landlord and tenant, should be clear during the base forming period. In the event of a producer's death, provision should be made for possible transfer of the base upon written notice to the market administrator from any member of the producer's immediate family. If a producer is the operator of more than one farm, he should not be allowed to gain advantage through the option of relinquishing the base applying to one of his farms. If he is permitted to exercise this option on a single farm, he could obviously gain advantage by making shifts among his herds after the base forming period. If he chooses to relinquish the base earned for one farm he should do so for all farms he operates. These base rules appear practical and should be adopted.

Some changes in the administrative provisions in the order would be necessary to the operation of the base-excess plan. These would include definitions of base, base milk, and excess milk. The order should also specify that the market administrator will notify each producer on or before April 1, each year, of his base, and notify each handler of the bases of each of the producers delivering to each of the handler's plants.

2. *Supply-demand adjustment.* The price reduction resulting from the supply-demand adjuster should be limited to 24 cents for the months of September, October, and November 1954.

Producers proposed that the supply-demand adjustment be limited to an addition or subtraction of 24 cents per hundredweight, such limitation to apply indefinitely.

In a recommended decision on proposed amendments to the order regulating the handling of milk in the Chicago, Illinois, marketing area, it is concluded that the supply-demand adjustment under that order should not exceed 24 cents for the months of September, October, and November 1954. The supply-demand adjusters under the South Bend-La Porte and Chicago orders are identical. The limitation of a maximum minus adjustment of 24 cents has been effective under both orders by amendments effective for the period March through August 1954. This is in conformance with the regular method of maintaining price alignment between these two closely associated markets.

It is concluded that the limitation on the supply-demand adjustment should be continued for the months of September, October and November, this year, so

as to maintain alignment with prices under the Chicago order.

Action on this issue for periods subsequent to November 1954 is reserved for a further decision on the record of this hearing.

Issues 3, 4, 5. Action on these issues is reserved for a further decision on the record of this hearing.

General findings. (a) The proposed marketing agreement and the order, as amended, and as hereby proposed to be further amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act;

(b) The parity prices of milk as determined pursuant to section 2 of the act are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds and other economic conditions which affect market supply of and demand for milk, in the marketing area and the minimum prices specified in the proposed marketing agreement and the order, as amended, and as hereby proposed to be further amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest; and

(c) The proposed order, as amended, and as hereby proposed to be further amended, will regulate the handling of milk in the same manner as, and will be applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial and commercial activity specified in a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

Rulings on proposed findings and conclusions. No briefs on the issues of this decision were filed.

Recommended marketing agreement and amendment to the order. The following order amending the order, as amended, regulating the handling of milk in the South Bend-LaPorte, Indiana, marketing area, is recommended as the detailed and appropriate means by which the foregoing conclusions may be carried out. The recommended marketing agreement is not included in this decision because the regulatory provisions thereof would be identical with those contained in the order, as amended, and as hereby proposed to be further amended.

1. Insert as § 967.15 the following:

§ 967.15 *Base, base milk and excess milk*—(a) *Base.* "Base" means a quantity of milk expressed in pounds per day computed pursuant to § 967.63.

(b) *Base milk.* "Base milk" means a quantity of producer milk received by a handler during each of the months of April, May, June and July which is not in excess of such producer's base multiplied by the number of days such milk was produced.

(c) *Excess milk.* "Excess milk" means producer milk received by a handler during each of the months of April, May, June and July which is in excess of the base milk received from such producer.

2. In § 967.30 (a) add the following: "and (4) for the delivery periods of April through July, the total amount of base

milk and the total amount of excess milk received from producers;"

3. In § 967.31 (b) change the period at the end of the sentence to a semi-colon and add the following: "and (4) for the delivery periods of September through December, the number of days on which milk was received from each producer, and for the delivery periods of April through July, for each producer the number of days on which milk was received and the amount of base milk and excess milk."

4. In the proviso of § 967.51 (a) delete the word "August" and substitute the word "November".

5. Insert as § 967.63 the following:

§ 967.63 *Computation of base.* Subject to the conditions set forth in § 967.64, the market administrator shall compute for each of the months of April, May, June, and July a base for each producer, as follows:

(a) Divide the total pounds of milk received by a handler from each producer during the months of September, October, November, and December immediately preceding, by the number of days such milk was produced (not to be less than 90 days): *Provided,* That any producer for whom a base has been computed, may, upon written notice to the market administrator postmarked not later than January 31 preceding relinquish his base and be allotted a base computed pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section.

(b) Any producer who has not established a base or who elects to relinquish his base pursuant to the provisions of paragraph (a) of this section shall be assigned a base for each of the months of April, May, June, and July computed as follows:

(1) From the total quantity of producer milk received by handlers during the same month of the previous year, subtract the total receipts from producers who did not establish bases or who had relinquished their bases,

(2) Determine the percentage that base milk was of the remaining pounds, and subtract 10, except that for the months of April, May, June and July 1955 the percentage computed pursuant to this subparagraph shall be as follows:

Month:	Percentage
April 1955.....	70
May 1955.....	60
June 1955.....	60
July 1955.....	65

(3) Multiply the resulting percentage by the total pounds of milk received by a handler from the producer during the applicable month and divide the result by the number of days such milk was produced.

6. Insert as § 967.64 the following:

§ 967.64 *Base rules.* Any base computed pursuant to § 967.63 (a) shall be subject to the following rules:

(a) A base shall be held in the name of the producer and may be transferred only at his option.

(b) The milk to which the transferred base shall apply must be produced on the same farm from which such base

was earned, and the transferor must notify the market administrator in writing on or before the last day of the month that such base is to be transferred indicating the name of the transferee, the amount of base transferred, and the effective date of the transfer; and in the event of a producer's death his base may be so transferred upon written notice to the market administrator from any member of the producer's immediate family.

(c) If a producer operates more than one farm he must establish a base with respect to the milk from each farm, and in the event such producer chooses to relinquish the base earned for one farm he must do so for all farms.

7. In § 967.22 delete the last word, "and" from paragraph (i) (2); change the period at the end of paragraph (j) to a semi-colon, and add the word "and"; and add paragraph (k) as follows:

(k) On or before April 1 each year notify each producer of the amount of his base, and notify each handler of the amount of the base of each producer delivering milk to any of the handler's plants.

8. In § 967.71 delete paragraphs (d) and (e) and insert a new paragraph (d) as follows:

(d) For the delivery periods of August through March compute the uniform price by dividing the result computed pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section by the hundredweight of producer milk included in the computations pursuant to § 967.70, and subtract not less than 4 cents nor more than 5 cents (adjusting to the nearest one-tenth cent).

9. In § 967.71 insert a new paragraph (e) as follows:

(e) For each of the delivery periods of April through July the market administrator shall compute uniform prices per hundredweight for base milk and for excess milk, each of 3.5 percent butterfat content, received from producers as follows:

(1) Compute the total value on a 3.5 percent butterfat basis of excess milk included in the computations pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section by multiplying the hundredweight of such excess milk not in excess of the total quantity of Class II milk included in these computations by the price for Class II milk of 3.5 percent butterfat content, and multiplying the hundredweight of such excess milk in excess of such Class II milk by the price for Class I milk of 3.5 percent butterfat content, and adding together the resulting amounts.

(2) Compute the uniform price for excess milk of 3.5 percent butterfat content by dividing the total value of excess milk obtained in subparagraph (1) of this paragraph by the total hundredweight of such milk, and adjusting to the nearest cent;

(3) Multiply the hundredweight of excess milk included in the computations pursuant to subparagraph (2) of this paragraph by the uniform price for excess milk computed pursuant to said subparagraph (2);

(4) Subtract the value computed pursuant to subparagraph (3) of this paragraph from the value computed pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section and divide the result by the total hundredweight of base milk included in these computations;

(5) Compute the uniform price for base milk of 3.5 percent butterfat content by subtracting not less than 4 cents nor more than 5 cents from the result computed pursuant to subparagraph (4) of this paragraph.

10. Delete § 967.80 (a) and substitute:

(a) On or before the 18th day after the end of each delivery period, to each producer, except producers for whom payment is made to a cooperative association pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section, at not less than the uniform price for the delivery periods of August through March and the uniform prices for base and excess milk for the delivery periods of April through July calculated pursuant to § 967.71 and adjusted by the producer butterfat differential pursuant to § 967.81 for all milk received from such producer during such delivery period and less payment to such producer made pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section: *Provided*, That if by such date such handler has not received full payment for such delivery period pursuant to § 967.84, he may reduce such payments uniformly per hundredweight for all producers by an amount not in excess of the per hundredweight reduction in payment from the market administrator: *And provided further*, That such handler shall make such balance of payment to those producers to whom it is due on or before the date for making payments pursuant to this paragraph next following that on which such balance of payment is received from the market administrator.

11. Delete § 967.80 (c) and substitute the following:

(c) On or before the 4th day after the end of each delivery period, each handler shall pay to each producer, or to a cooperative association authorized to collect payment, a payment which for the delivery periods of September through April shall be at not less than the uniform price announced by the market administrator for the preceding delivery period, and for the delivery periods of May through August at not less than the uniform price announced by the market administrator for base milk for the preceding delivery period such payment to be for milk received from such producer or caused to be delivered to such handler by such cooperative association during the first 15 days of such delivery period: *Provided*, That in the event any producer or cooperative association discontinues shipping to such handler during any delivery period, such partial payments shall not be made and full payment for all milk received from such producer or cooperative association during such delivery period shall be made on the 18th day after the end of such delivery period pursuant to paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section.

Done at Washington, D. C., this 4th day of August 1954.

[SEAL] ROY W. LENNARTSON,
Deputy Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6093; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:51 a. m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[14 CFR Part 48]

[Draft Release 54-19]

OPERATION OF MOORED BALLOONS AND LARGE KITES

NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE MAKING

Pursuant to authority delegated by the Civil Aeronautics Board to the Bureau of Safety Regulation, notice is hereby given that the Bureau will propose to the Board amendments to Part 48 of the Civil Air Regulations to make this part applicable to large kites weighing more than five pounds.

Interested persons may participate in the making of the proposed rules by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in duplicate to the Civil Aeronautics Board, attention Bureau of Safety Regulation, Washington 25, D. C. In order to insure their consideration by the Board before taking further action on the proposed rules, communications must be received by Sept. 10, 1954. Copies of such communications will be available after Sept. 14, 1954, for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section of the Board, Room 5412, Department of Commerce Building, Washington, D. C.

Currently effective Part 48 applying to moored balloons of a certain size and specification, sets up certain requirements for the operation of these balloons. When the draft release for this part was issued in March 1947, there were also proposals for the regulations governing the operation of kites of a certain size and specification. However, when that part was promulgated by the Board, kites were omitted, as it was considered that they did not present a safety problem at that time.

More recently, though, it has been shown that kites when flown in certain locations or at sufficient altitude can be a hazard to the flight of aircraft. In fact, large-sized kites having a 12-foot and 24-foot wing span and constructed of wood and nylon are now being manufactured and sold on a national basis. It has been reported that one of these kites was flown recently at an altitude of 5,000 feet on a civil airway. In view of this, it is believed that there is a present need for amending Part 48 to apply to kites weighing more than 5 pounds. It is to be noted that no change is made in the moored balloon requirements.

In view of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend Part 48 of the Civil Air Regulations as follows:

1. By amending the title of this part to read "Operation of Moored Balloons and Large Kites".

2. By amending §§ 48.1 through 48.4 to read as follows:

§ 48.1 *Scope*. The rules of this part shall apply to kites weighing more than 5 pounds and moored balloons having a diameter of more than 6 feet or a gas capacity of more than 115 cubic feet when operated anywhere in the United States, including the several States, the District of Columbia, and the several Territories and possessions of the United States, including the territorial waters and the overlying airspace thereof.

§ 48.2 *General*. Kites weighing more than 5 pounds or moored balloons having a diameter of more than 6 feet or a gas capacity of more than 115 cubic feet may be operated without permit from or notice to the Administrator when operated below 150 feet above the surface at a location more than 5 miles from the boundary of an airport. Kites and balloons of smaller size than specified herein are exempt from compliance with the regulations of this subchapter.

§ 48.3 *Operation requiring permit*. Unless operated under the conditions specified in § 48.2, kites or moored balloons subject to the regulations in this part shall be operated under the authority of and in compliance with the terms and conditions of a permit issued by the Administrator when such kites or moored balloons are operated:

- (a) Closer than 500 feet to the base of any cloud, or
- (b) During the hours of darkness, or
- (c) When ground visibility is less than 3 miles, or
- (d) At altitudes more than 500 feet above the surface, or
- (e) Within 5 miles of the boundary of an airport.

§ 48.4 *Operation requiring notice*. Unless operated under the conditions specified in § 48.2 or § 48.3, written notice must be submitted to the nearest office of the Civil Aeronautics Administration at least 30 days prior to the date of operation when kites or moored balloons subject to the regulations in this part are operated between 150 to 500 feet above the surface. Such notice shall contain the name and address of the owner and person operating such kite or balloon, the date or dates of such proposed operation, and the location and altitude at which the proposed operation will be conducted.

These amendments are proposed under the authority of Title VI of the Civil Aeronautics Act of 1938, as amended. The proposal may be changed in the light of comments received in response to this notice of proposed rule making.

(Sec. 205, 52 Stat. 984; 49 U. S. C. 425. Interpret or apply secs. 601-610, 52 Stat. 1007-1012, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 551-560)

Dated: July 30, 1954, at Washington, D. C.

By the Bureau of Safety Regulation.

[SEAL] JOHN M. CHAMBERLAIN,
Director.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6097; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:52 a. m.]

NOTICES

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Fiscal Service, Bureau of the
Public Debt

[1954 Dept. Circ. 947]

1½ PERCENT TREASURY CERTIFICATES OF
INDEBTEDNESS OF SERIES D-1955

OFFERING OF CERTIFICATES

AUGUST 3, 1954.

I. Offering of certificates. 1. The Secretary of the Treasury, pursuant to the authority of the Second Liberty Bond Act, as amended, invites subscriptions from the people of the United States for certificates of indebtedness of the United States, designated 1½ percent Treasury Certificates of Indebtedness of Series D-1955, in exchange for 2½ percent Treasury Certificates of Indebtedness of Series D-1954, maturing August 15, 1954, or 2½ percent Treasury Certificates of Indebtedness of Series E-1954, maturing September 15, 1954. Exchanges will be made par for par in the case of the certificates maturing on August 15 and, in the case of the certificates maturing on September 15, at par, with interest to be credited on the maturing certificates to September 15 and interest on the new certificates to be charged from August 15 to September 15, 1954. The amount of the offering under this circular will be limited to the amount of maturing certificates tendered in exchange and accepted. The books will be open only on August 3 through August 5 for the receipt of subscriptions for this issue.

2. In addition to the offering under this circular, holders of the maturing certificates are also offered the privilege of exchanging all or any part of such certificates for 2½ percent Treasury Bonds of 1960, which offering is set forth in Department Circular No. 948, issued simultaneously with this circular.

II. Description of certificates. 1. The certificates will be dated August 15, 1954, and will bear interest from that date at the rate of 1½ percent per annum, payable at the maturity of the certificates on August 15, 1955. They will not be subject to call for redemption prior to maturity.

2. The income derived from the certificates shall be subject to all taxes, now or hereafter imposed under the Internal Revenue Code, or laws amendatory or supplementary thereto. The certificates shall be subject to estate, inheritance, gift or other excise taxes, whether Federal or State, but shall be exempt from all taxation now or hereafter imposed on the principal or interest thereof by any State, or any of the possessions of the United States, or by any local taxing authority.

3. The certificates will be acceptable to secure deposits of public moneys. They will not be acceptable in payment of taxes.

4. Bearer certificates with one interest coupon attached will be issued in denominations of \$1,000, \$5,000, \$10,000,

\$100,000 and \$1,000,000. The certificates will not be issued in registered form.

5. The certificates will be subject to the general regulations of the Treasury Department, now or hereafter prescribed, governing United States certificates.

III. Subscription and allotment. 1. Subscriptions will be received at the Federal Reserve Banks and Branches and at the Office of the Treasurer of the United States, Washington. Banking institutions generally may submit subscriptions for account of customers, but only the Federal Reserve Banks and the Treasury Department are authorized to act as official agencies.

2. The Secretary of the Treasury reserves the right to reject or reduce any subscription, and to allot less than the amount of certificates applied for; and any action he may take in these respects shall be final. Subject to these reservations, all subscriptions will be allotted in full. Allotment notices will be sent out promptly upon allotment.

IV. Payment. 1. Payment at par for certificates allotted hereunder must be made on or before August 16, 1954, or on later allotment, and may be made only in Treasury Certificates of Indebtedness of Series D-1954, maturing August 15, 1954, or in Treasury Certificates of Indebtedness of Series E-1954, maturing September 15, 1954, which will be accepted at par, and should accompany the subscription. The full year's interest on the certificates of Series D-1954 will be paid to the subscribers following acceptance of the certificates. In the case of the certificates of Series E-1954, coupons dated September 15, 1954, must be attached to the certificates when surrendered. The full year's interest will be credited, accrued interest on the new certificates from August 15 to September 15 (\$0.95548 per \$1,000) will be charged, and the difference (\$25.29452 per \$1,000) will be paid to the subscribers following acceptance of the certificates.

V. General provisions. 1. As fiscal agents of the United States, Federal Reserve Banks are authorized and requested to receive subscriptions, to make allotments on the basis and up to the amounts indicated by the Secretary of the Treasury to the Federal Reserve Banks of the respective Districts, to issue allotment notices, to receive payment for certificates allotted, to make delivery of certificates on full-paid subscriptions allotted, and they may issue interim receipts pending delivery of the definitive certificates.

2. The Secretary of the Treasury may at any time, or from time to time, prescribe supplemental or amendatory rules and regulations governing the offering, which will be communicated promptly to the Federal Reserve Banks.

[SEAL]

G. M. HUMPHREY,
Secretary of the Treasury.[P. R. Doc. 54-6081; Filed; Aug. 6, 1954;
8:49 a. m.]

[1954 Dept. Circ. 948]

2½ PERCENT TREASURY BONDS OF 1960
OFFERING OF BONDS

AUGUST 3, 1954.

I. Offering of bonds. 1. The Secretary of the Treasury, pursuant to the authority of the Second Liberty Bond Act, as amended, invites subscriptions from the people of the United States for bonds of the United States, designated 2½ percent Treasury Bonds of 1960, in exchange for 2½ percent Treasury Certificates of Indebtedness of Series D-1954, maturing August 15, 1954, or 2½ percent Treasury Certificates of Indebtedness of Series E-1954, maturing September 15, 1954. Exchanges will be made par for par in the case of the certificates maturing on August 15 and, in the case of the certificates maturing on September 15, at par, with interest to be credited on the maturing certificates to September 15 and interest on the new bonds to be charged from August 15 to September 15, 1954. The amount of the offering under this circular will be limited to the amount of maturing certificates tendered in exchange and accepted. The books will be open only on August 3 through August 5 for the receipt of subscriptions for this issue.

2. In addition to the offering under this circular, holders of the maturing certificates are also offered the privilege of exchanging all or any part of such certificates for 1½ percent Treasury Certificates of Indebtedness of Series D-1955, which offering is set forth in Department Circular No. 947, issued simultaneously with this circular.

II. Description of bonds. 1. The bonds will be dated August 15, 1954, and will bear interest from that date at the rate of 2½ percent per annum, payable on a semiannual basis on May 15 and November 15, 1955, and thereafter on May 15 and November 15 in each year until the principal amount becomes payable. They will mature November 15, 1960, and will not be subject to call for redemption prior to maturity.

2. The income derived from the bonds shall be subject to all taxes, now or hereafter imposed under the Internal Revenue Code, or laws amendatory or supplementary thereto. The bonds shall be subject to estate, inheritance, gift or other excise taxes, whether Federal or State, but shall be exempt from all taxation now or hereafter imposed on the principal or interest thereof by any State, or any of the possessions of the United States, or by any local taxing authority.

3. The bonds will be acceptable to secure deposits of public moneys.

4. Bearer bonds with interest coupons attached, and bonds registered as to principal and interest, will be issued in denominations of \$500, \$1,000, \$5,000, \$10,000, \$100,000 and \$1,000,000. Provision will be made for the interchange of bonds of different denominations and

of coupon and registered bonds, and for the transfer of registered bonds, under rules and regulations prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury.

5. The bonds will be subject to the general regulations of the Treasury Department, now or hereafter prescribed, governing United States bonds.

III. *Subscription and allotment.* 1. Subscriptions will be received at the Federal Reserve Banks and Branches and at the Office of the Treasurer of the United States, Washington. Banking institutions generally may submit subscriptions for account of customers, but only the Federal Reserve Banks and the Treasury Department are authorized to act as official agencies.

2. The Secretary of the Treasury reserves the right to reject or reduce any subscription, and to allot less than the amount of bonds applied for; and any action he may take in these respects shall be final. Subject to these reservations, all subscriptions will be allotted in full. Allotment notices will be sent out promptly upon allotment.

IV. *Payment.* 1. Payment at par for bonds allotted hereunder must be made on or before August 16, 1954, or on later allotment, and may be made only in Treasury Certificates of Indebtedness of Series D-1954, maturing August 15, 1954, or in Treasury Certificates of Indebtedness of Series E-1954, maturing September 15, 1954, which will be accepted at par, and should accompany the subscription. The full year's interest on the certificates of Series D-1954 will be paid to the subscribers following acceptance of the certificates. In the case of the certificates of Series E-1954, coupons dated September 15, 1954, must be attached to the certificates when surrendered. The full year's interest will be credited, accrued interest on the new bonds from August 15 to September 15 (\$1.79008 per \$1,000) will be charged, and the difference (\$24.45992 per \$1,000) will be paid to the subscribers following acceptance of the certificates.

V. *General provisions.* 1. As fiscal agents of the United States, Federal Reserve Banks are authorized and requested to receive subscriptions, to make allotments on the basis and up to the amounts indicated by the Secretary of the Treasury to the Federal Reserve Banks of the respective Districts, to issue allotment notices, to receive payment for bonds allotted, to make delivery of bonds on full-paid subscriptions allotted, and they may issue interim receipts pending delivery of the definitive bonds.

2. The Secretary of the Treasury may at any time, or from time to time, prescribe supplemental or amendatory rules and regulations governing the offering, which will be communicated promptly to the Federal Reserve Banks.

[SEAL]

G. M. HUMPHREY,
Secretary of the Treasury.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6062; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:40 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of the Secretary

[Order No. 2765]

COMMISSIONER OF RECLAMATION

DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN DUTIES AND FUNCTIONS

SECTION 1. *Authority.* Except as provided in section 2 of this order, the Commissioner of Reclamation is authorized to:

(a) Perform the functions and exercise the authority now or hereafter vested in the Secretary of the Interior, or in the Department of the Interior, by:

(1) The act of June 17, 1902 (32 Stat. 388; 43 U. S. C. 371 et seq.), and acts amendatory thereof or supplementary thereto;

(2) The Water Conservation and Utilization Act of August 11, 1939 (53 Stat. 1418; 16 U. S. C. 590y et seq.), as amended;

(3) The Warren Act of February 21, 1911 (36 Stat. 925; 43 U. S. C. 523 et seq.);

(4) The Columbia Basin Project Act of May 27, 1937 (50 Stat. 208; 16 U. S. C. 835 et seq.), as amended;

(5) The Fort Peck Project Act of May 18, 1938 (52 Stat. 403; 16 U. S. C. 833 et seq.), as amended;

(6) The Hungry Horse Dam Act of June 5, 1944 (58 Stat. 270; 43 U. S. C. 593a et seq.);

(7) The Colorado River Front Work and Levee System Act of January 21, 1927 (44 Stat. 1010, 1021), as amended;

(8) The Eklutna Project Act of July 31, 1950 (64 Stat. 382; 48 U. S. C. 312 et seq.), as amended;

(9) The Falcon Dam Act of June 18, 1954 (68 Stat. 255); and

(10) Appropriation acts or other statutory provisions respecting investigations relating to projects for the development and utilization of water resources in Alaska.

(b) Act on behalf of the Secretary of the Interior in carrying out the provisions of contracts heretofore or hereafter executed pursuant to any of the foregoing acts.

SEC. 2. *Limitations.* (a) Excepted from section 1 of this order is authority to:

(1) Take action in any matter covered by a delegation from the Secretary of the Interior to the heads of other bureaus as well as to the Commissioner of Reclamation, such as authority to authorize the publication of advertisements, notices, or proposals, authority with respect to personnel management, and authority with respect to contracts for construction, supplies, or services;

(2) Acquire any interest in property by condemnation;

(3) Make the findings authorizing construction of a new project, new division of a project, or supplemental works on a project, in accordance with subsection (a) of section 9 of the Reclamation Project Act of 1939 (53 Stat. 1187, 1193; 43 U. S. C. 485h (a));

(4) Act for the Secretary of the Interior in approving and adopting project feasibility reports as the Secretary's proposed reports or as his reports to the President and to the Congress;

(5) Certify for the Secretary of the Interior as to the adequacy of soil surveys and land classification, and as to the productivity of land, as a condition precedent to the initiation of construction, in accordance with the Interior Department Appropriation Act, 1954 (67 Stat. 261, 266; 43 U. S. C. 390a);

(6) Execute and issue public notices opening lands to homestead entry and public announcements offering lands for sale;

(7) Promulgate rate schedules or fix rates for the sale of electric power and energy;

(8) Market available surplus electric power and energy generated at: Grand Coulee Dam, Columbia Basin Project; Hungry Horse Dam, Hungry Horse Project; or Chandler Power Plant, Kennewick Division, Yakima Project;

(9) Make findings and reports to the Congress respecting minerals, as provided in section 1 of the Eklutna Project Act, supra, as amended;

(10) Make the report to the Congress upon the feasibility and desirability of transferring the Eklutna Project to public ownership and control in Alaska, as provided in section 4 of the Eklutna Project Act, supra; and

(11) Issue documents which are additions or amendments to the Code of Federal Regulations.

(b) The concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management shall be a condition precedent to the taking of final action to:

(1) Withdraw public lands; or

(2) Eliminate from grazing districts lands deemed necessary for use in connection with reclamation projects.

SEC. 3. *Redelegation.* The Commissioner of Reclamation may, in writing, redelegate to officers and employees of the Bureau the authority granted in this order, and he may authorize written redelegations of such authority.

SEC. 4. *Revocations.* The following documents and all amendments thereof are revoked:

(a) Numbered Secretary's Orders, 2017 (10 F. R. 258), 2018 (10 F. R. 259; 16 F. R. 9051; 17 F. R. 9171; 18 F. R. 3155), 2118 (10 F. R. 13646), 2177 (11 F. R. 4097), 2179 (11 F. R. 3484), 2241 (11 F. R. 9702), 2351 (12 F. R. 5390), 2377 (13 F. R. 1088), 2444 (13 F. R. 4188), 2463 (13 F. R. 5074), 2474 (13 F. R. 5493), 2479 (13 F. R. 5793), 2515 (14 F. R. 1937), 2524 (14 F. R. 3592), 2529 (14 F. R. 4862; 15 F. R. 3240), 2548 (14 F. R. 7598; 15 F. R. 4454; 17 F. R. 7255), 2585 (15 F. R. 6094, 8654), 2623 (16 F. R. 2813), 2625 (16 F. R. 3311; 17 F. R. 7513), 2646 (16 F. R. 6693), 2662 (16 F. R. 9700), 2716, 2741 (18 F. R. 8103), 2745 (19 F. R. 565), 2749 (19 F. R. 1523), 2754 (19 F. R. 2146), 2758 (19 F. R. 3238).

(b) Secretarial delegations of authority to officials and employees of the Bureau of Reclamation which were effected by letters, memoranda, or other media, exclusive of numbered Secretary's orders.

SEC. 5. *Savings clause.* Delegations and redelegations of authority not in excess of the authority delegated by this order which were made to officers and employees subordinate to the Commis-

sioner of Reclamation by, or pursuant to, the documents revoked by section 4 of this order, and which are in force on the date of this order, shall: as to those revoked by paragraph (a) of section 4, continue in force until revoked or superseded by order of the Commissioner; and as to those revoked by paragraph (b) of section 4, continue in force for ninety days from the date of this order unless sooner revoked or superseded by order of the Commissioner, except that authority to act on behalf of the Secretary of the Interior in the administration of contracts executed pursuant to the documents revoked by paragraph (b) of section 4 shall continue in force for the terms of the contracts unless sooner revoked or superseded by order of the Commissioner.

RALPH A. TUDOR,
Acting Secretary of the Interior.

JULY 30, 1954.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6059; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:45 a. m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 4052 et al.]

BRANIFF AIRWAYS, INC.; SERVICE TO FAIRMONT, MINN., AND FORT DODGE, IOWA

NOTICE OF CHANGE OF PLACE OF HEARING

In the matter of the amendment of certificates of public convenience and necessity held by Braniff Airways, Inc., so as to provide service to Fairmont, Minnesota, and Fort Dodge, Iowa.

Notice is hereby given that the place of hearing in the above-entitled proceeding has been changed and that the hearing will be held on August 23, 1954, at 10:00 a. m., e. d. s. t., in Room 7852, Commerce Building, Fourteenth and E Streets NW., Washington, D. C., before Examiner Barron Fredricks.

Dated at Washington, D. C., August 3, 1954.

[SEAL] THOMAS L. WRENN,
Acting Chief Examiner.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6098; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:53 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

HURON LIVESTOCK AUCTION CO., HURON,
SOUTH DAKOTA

DEPOSITING OF STOCKYARD

It has been ascertained that the Huron Livestock Auction Company, Huron, South Dakota, originally posted under the name Huron Livestock Sales Pavilion on August 11, 1950 (changed to present name on September 8, 1952), as being subject to the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921, as amended (7 U. S. C. 181 et seq.), no longer comes within the definition of a stockyard under said act for the reason that it is no longer being conducted or operated as a public livestock market. Therefore, notice is given to the owners of the stockyard and to the public that such livestock market

is no longer subject to the provisions of the act.

Notice of public rule making has not preceded promulgation of the foregoing rule since it is found that the giving of such notice would prevent the due and timely administration of the Packers and Stockyards Act and would, therefore, be impractical. There is no legal warrant or justification for not depositing promptly a stockyard which no longer is within the definition of that term contained in said act.

The foregoing is in the nature of a rule granting an exemption or relieving a restriction and, therefore, may be made effective in less than 30 days after publication thereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Done at Washington, D. C., this 4th day of August 1954.

[SEAL] H. E. REED,
*Director, Livestock Division,
Agricultural Marketing Service.*

[F. R. Doc. 54-6095; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:52 a. m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 9009, 10909; FCC 54-968]

KFAB BROADCASTING CO. AND HERALD CORP.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER AMENDING ISSUE

In re applications of KFAB Broadcasting Company, Omaha, Nebraska, Docket No. 9009, File No. BPCT-390; Herald Corporation, Omaha, Nebraska, Docket No. 10909, File No. BPCT-1663; for construction permits for new television stations.

1. The Commission has under consideration a motion to "diminish an issue" filed by the KFAB Broadcasting Company on May 11, 1954, and a supplement thereto filed on June 17, 1954, requesting deletion of an issue in the hearing proceeding on the above-entitled applications. The Chief of the Commission's Broadcast Bureau filed a statement in support of the motion to "diminish issue" and Herald Corporation filed an opposition. Specifically, the motion as originally filed requests amendment of the issue designed to determine the financial qualifications of the KFAB Broadcasting Company so as to require that evidence be adduced only to prove the financial ability of Sidles Company, one of the two parent companies of KFAB Broadcasting Company, to fulfill a commitment to lend to, or use its credit position to secure for this applicant an amount up to \$300,000. The request, as supplemented by the pleading filed on June 17, 1954, requests deletion of this issue in view of the action of the Hearing Examiner taken on June 8, 1954, permitting KFAB Broadcasting Company to amend its application to show a balance sheet of Sidles Company as of December 31, 1953, and certain letters purporting to show lines of credit available to this company.

2. Briefly to set forth the background leading to the filing of the instant motion, the Commission by order of Febru-

ary 17, 1954, designated for comparative hearing the above-entitled applications, each requesting a construction permit for a new commercial television station to operate on Channel 7 in Omaha, Nebraska. In the order of designation, each applicant was found to be legally, technically and financially qualified, consequently comparative issues only were included in this order with authority delegated to the Examiner upon a proper showing to enlarge issues to require a showing as to whether the funds available to the applicant will give reasonable assurance that the proposals set forth in the application will be effectuated. The Herald Corporation filed with the Commission, on March 22, 1954, a Motion to Enlarge Issues to place in issue the financial qualifications of KFAB Broadcasting Company, which motion was granted by order adopted on April 28, 1954. This action was taken on the basis of certain allegations as to the financial position of Sidles Company, as reflected by its balance sheet contained in the application of KFAB Broadcasting Company. The Sidles Company balance sheet raised two substantial questions. The first was its failure to reflect in current liabilities a demand note in the amount of \$100,000 which was shown in current assets on the balance sheet of KFAB Broadcasting Company as being owed by Sidles Company to it.¹ The second question turns on a loan now apparently reduced to \$300,000 which is subject to the following condition:

The Company and its subsidiaries may not pay dividends or make expenditures for additions, alterations or improvements to fixed assets which will reduce the consolidated net working capital of the Company and its Subsidiaries to an amount less than \$1,500,000 or three times the unpaid balance of the note, whichever is the greater.

KFAB Broadcasting Company, which is one of the subsidiaries of Sidles Company, has at no point submitted a consolidated balance sheet or separate balance sheets for Sidles Company and its subsidiaries. Therefore, notwithstanding the extensive financial resources shown available to Sidles Company by its balance sheet of December 31, 1953, the acceptance of which by the Hearing Examiner as an amendment to the KFAB Broadcasting Company has on this date by separate order been affirmed, it cannot be determined whether in fact this company and its subsidiaries have a consolidated net working capital in the amount of at least \$1,500,000. If not, and apart from any question as to whether Sidles Company could in fact meet its loan commitment, the terms of the aforesaid note would appear to preclude KFAB Broadcasting Company from using its existing capital for construction of a television station. It proposes to use \$556,000 of existing capital for this purpose.

3. Under its application three facets of the financial proposal of KFAB

¹These balance sheets were submitted simultaneously and applicant stated they were unaudited. Under such circumstances, this very obvious discrepancy could not reasonably be said to raise any question of intent to mislead.

Broadcasting Company are tied one to the other. First, the commitments of Journal-Star Printing Company and of Sidles Company are each subject to the fulfillment by the other of its commitment. Second, under the terms of the aforesaid loan owing by Sidles Company, its subsidiary KFAB Broadcasting Company may be precluded from expending its existing capital. However, on the basis of the information contained in its balance sheet there are no questions extant as to the financial qualifications of Journal-Star Printing Company to meet its commitment and none has been raised by Herald Corporation. Similarly, there are no questions outstanding as to the individual financial showing of KFAB Broadcasting Company. Thus, notwithstanding the tying together, applicant's basic financial qualifications will stand or fall upon the ability of Sidles Company to meet its commitment to lend or use its credit position to secure for KFAB Broadcasting Company an amount up to \$300,000 and to further establish that it and its subsidiaries do have and will continue to have a net working capital in an amount of no less than \$1,500,000 should KFAB Broadcasting Company be the successful applicant here and be required to use \$556,000 of existing capital for construction and operation of the proposed station. Therefore, to require further evidence would needlessly encumber the hearing record and prolong the hearing proceeding. Also, to limit evidence to these points would afford Herald Corporation the full amount of relief supported by its motion to enlarge issues as all allegations and facts set forth therein related to Sidles Company.

4. Accordingly, it is ordered, This 28th day of July 1954 that the motion of KFAB Broadcasting Company is denied insofar as it requests deletion of the issue relating to its financial qualifications and is granted insofar as it requests that such issue be amended to limit the evidence required to be adduced thereunder, and said issue is amended to read as follows:

4. To determine the financial qualifications of Sidles Company to meet its commitment to land, or to use its credit position to secure for KFAB Broadcasting Company, an amount up to \$300,000 and whether, in the event of a grant of the above-entitled application of KFAB Broadcasting Company, it would be precluded under the terms of a 3½ percent unsecured long-term note owing by Sidles Company, from expending existing capital in an amount up to \$556,000.

Released: July 30, 1954.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6084; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:49 a. m.]

[Docket No. 10824; FCC 54-954]

COAST STATIONS IN MISSISSIPPI RIVER
SYSTEM

MODIFICATION OF LICENSES

In the matter of modification of licenses of coast stations currently authorized to operate in the Mississippi River system area on certain frequencies between 4000 kc and 18000 kc., Docket No. 10824.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D. C., on the 28th day of July 1954;

The Commission having under consideration its Show Cause Order issued in Docket No. 10824 and its subsequent order of April 21, 1954, which, among other matters, modified certain coast station licenses so as to delete the frequency 4162.5 kc as of August 1, 1954;

It appearing, that the Commission has this day upon consideration of a petition filed by the American Waterways Operators, Incorporated, extended the period in which ship and coast radiotelephone stations on the Mississippi River system may use the frequency 4162.5 kc until September 1, 1954, and that the order of April 21, 1954, should be modified to reflect this extension of time within which coast stations in the Mississippi River system may use this frequency;

It is ordered, That the above mentioned order of April 21, 1954, is modified to provide that the frequency 4162.5 kc will be deleted from the licenses of the coast stations shown in Appendix I as of September 1, 1954, rather than August 1, 1954, as previously ordered.

Released: July 30, 1954.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

APPENDIX I

Station	Location	Licensee
WAY.....	Lake Bluff, Ill.....	Illinois Bell Telephone Co.
WFN.....	Louisville, Ky.....	Warner & Tumble Radio Ser.
WGK.....	St. Louis, Mo.....	RMCA.
WCM.....	Pittsburgh, Pa.....	RMCA.
WBN.....	Memphis, Tenn.....	Warner & Tumble Radio Ser.
WJG.....	Memphis, Tenn.....	Warner & Tumble Radio Ser.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6085; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:50 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. 10931, 10932, 10933, 11096; FCC
54M-949]

MERCER BROADCASTING CO. ET AL.

ORDER SCHEDULING CONFERENCE

In re applications of Mercer Broadcasting Co., Trenton, New Jersey, Docket No. 10931, File No. BP-8714; Delaware Valley Broadcasting Corp., Morrisville, Pennsylvania, Docket No. 10932, File No. BP-8799; Drew J. T. O'Keefe, Jack

J. Dash and William F. Waterbury, Levittown-Fairless Hills, Pennsylvania, Docket No. 10933, File No. BP-8964; William A. Brewer, Albert W. Eastburn and Theresa Rose, d/b as Levittown-Fairless Hills Broadcasters, Levittown, Pennsylvania, Docket No. 11096, File No. BP-9193; for construction permits.

The Commission having under consideration the above-entitled proceeding;

It is ordered, This 30th day of July 1954, that all parties, or their attorneys, are directed to appear for a pre-hearing conference, pursuant to the provisions of § 1.813 of the Commission's rules, at the Commission's offices in Washington, D. C., at 10:00 a. m., August 9, 1954;

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6086; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:50 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. 10982, 10983; FCC 54M-933]

OWENSBORO ON THE AIR, INC., AND
OWENSBORO PUBLISHING CO.

ORDER CONTINUING HEARING

In re applications of Owensboro on the Air, Inc., Hatfield, Indiana, Docket No. 10982, File No. BPCT-1787; Owensboro Publishing Company, Hatfield, Indiana, Docket No. 10983, File No. BPCT-1790; for construction permits for new television stations (Channel 9).

Prior to the convening of hearing in the above-entitled proceeding, scheduled for 10:00 a. m., July 27, 1954, an hour long discussion was held concerning the ability of the parties to effectuate the provisions of 47 CFR 1.813 (a) (1) of the Commission's rules, as amended. Those provisions read:

§ 1.813 Pre-hearing conferences. (a) The Commission or the presiding officer on its or his initiative, or at the request of any party, may direct the parties or their attorneys to appear at a specified time and place for a conference prior to or during the course of a hearing, or to submit suggestions in writing for the purpose of considering, among other things, the following matters:

(1) The necessity or desirability of simplification; clarification, amplification or limitation of the issues;

It appearing from the discussion, referred to above, that additional time for preparation would result in the parties being better able to effectuate the above rule; and

It further appearing that all participants have agreed to the following continuance:

It is ordered, this 27th day of July that hearing in the above-entitled proceeding is continued until 10:00 a. m., August 12, 1954.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6087; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:50 a. m.]

[Docket No. 11048; FCC 54M-952]

DISPATCH, INC.

ORDER PARTIALLY RESCINDING RULING

In re application of Dispatch, Inc., Erie, Pennsylvania, Docket No. 11048, File No. BRCT-42; for renewal of license of Television Station WICU.

By reason of the issuance of the Commission's memorandum opinion and order of July 29, 1954,

It is ordered, This 30th day of July 1954, that the Examiner's ruling of July 21, 1954, insofar as it directs the parties to exchange memoranda of law by August 6, 1954, and schedules oral argument on August 9, 1954, is rescinded.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS, Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6088; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:50 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. 11051, 11052; FCC 54M-945]

ABRAHAM KLEIN AND AIRCALL, INC.

ORDER CONTINUING HEARING

In re applications of Abraham Klein, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, Docket No. 11051, File No. 1420-C2-P-53; Aircall, Inc., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, Docket No. 11052, File No. 743-C2-P-54; for construction permits for one-way signaling stations in the Domestic Public Land Mobile Radio Service.

The Commission having under consideration a request for continuance filed by Aircall, Inc., on July 28, 1954;

It appearing, that good cause for the continuance has been shown and that all parties have consented to immediate consideration and grant of the motion;

It is ordered, This 29th day of July 1954, that the hearing, heretofore scheduled for August 2, 1954, is continued to August 30, 1954.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS, Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6089; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:50 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. 11104, 11105, 11106; FCC 54M-951]

COMMERCIAL RADIO EQUIPMENT CO. ET AL.
ORDER CONTINUING HEARING

In re applications of Everett L. Dillard, tr/as Commercial Radio Equipment Co. (WDOH), Wheaton, Maryland, Docket No. 11104, File No. BMP-6256; for modification of construction permit; The Good Music Station, Inc. (WGMS), Washington, D. C., Docket No. 11105, File No. BP-8764; The Good Music Station, Inc., Bethesda, Maryland, Docket No. 11106, File No. BP-9078; for construction permits.

At an informal conference attended by counsel for all parties and the Examiner on July 29, 1954, oral request was made

by the applicants for a continuance to August 27, 1954.

It appearing that various previous commitments would render it impossible for the Examiner and counsel to convene the hearing on August 13, 1954, as presently designated by the Commission;

It is ordered, This 29th day of July 1954, that the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding is continued from August 13 to August 27, 1954.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS, Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6090; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:50 a. m.]

[Mexican Change List 169]

MEXICAN BROADCAST STATIONS

LIST OF CHANGES, PROPOSED CHANGES AND CORRECTIONS IN ASSIGNMENTS

JUNE 15, 1954.

Notification under the provisions of Part III, section 2 of the North American Regional Broadcasting Agreement.

List of changes, proposed changes, and corrections in assignments of Mexican Broadcast Stations modifying the Appendix containing assignments of Mexican Broadcast Stations (Mimeograph 47214-6) attached to the Recommendations of the North American Regional Broadcasting Agreement Engineering Meeting, January 30, 1941.

MEXICO

Call letters	Location	Power	Schedule	Class	Probable date to commence operation
		870 kilocycles			
NEW	Oaxaca, Oaxaca	5 kw, D-250 W-N	U	IV	Nov. 15, 1954
		680 kilocycles			
XENK	Mexico, D. F.	10 kw-D, 5 kw-N (increase in daytime power)	U	III-A	Do.
		650 kilocycles			
NEW	Oaxaca, Oaxaca	1,000 w	D	II	Do.
		780 kilocycles			
XEHJ	Zapotlanejo, Jalisco	1,000 w (delete)	D	III	Do.
XEHJ	Tonalá, Jalisco	1,000 w (new)	D	III	Do.
		980 kilocycles			
XEGM	Tijuana, Baja California	3.5 kw-D, 2.5 kw-N (increase in daytime power)	U	III-A	Do.
		1080 kilocycles			
XETA	Zitacuaro, Michoacan	500 w, D-150 W, N (decrease in nighttime power)	U	II-D IV-N	Do.
		1150 kilocycles			
XECS	Manzanillo, Colima	250 w (new)	U	IV	Do.
XEXZ	Zacatecas, Zacatecas	200 w, N-1000 w, D (increase in daytime power and decrease in nighttime power)	U	IV	July 15, 1954.
		1380 kilocycles			
NEW	Montemorelos, Nuevo Leon	250 w, D-100 w, N (decrease in nighttime power)	U	IV	Nov. 15, 1954.
		1550 kilocycles			
NEW	Oaxaca, Oaxaca	1000 w	D	III	Do.
		1400 kilocycles			
XECS	Manzanillo, Colima	250 w (Delete-Vide 1150 kc/s)	U	IV	Do.
		1480 kilocycles			
XEOW	Mazatlan, Sinaloa	1000 w, D-500 w, N (change in call letters from XEDS)	U	III-B	June 1, 1954.
		1490 kilocycles			
XEGS	Gusave, Sinaloa	250 w (delete assignment)	U	IV	Feb. 11, 1954.
		1680 kilocycles			
NEW	Tulancingo, Hidalgo	5000 w, D-500 w, N	U	II	June 15, 1954.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6091; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:51 a. m.]

[Mexican Change List 170]

MEXICAN BROADCAST STATIONS

LIST OF CHANGES, PROPOSED CHANGES, AND CORRECTIONS IN ASSIGNMENTS

JULY 6, 1954.

Notification under the provisions of Part III, section 2 of the North American Regional Broadcasting Agreement.

List of changes, proposed changes, and corrections in assignments of Mexican Broadcast Stations modifying the Appendix containing assignments of Mexican Broadcast Stations (Mimeograph 47214-6) attached to the Recommendations of the North American Regional Broadcasting Agreement Engineering Meeting, January 30, 1941.

MEXICO

Call letters	Location	Power	Antenna	Schedule	Class	Probable date to commence operation
XEDZ	Cordoba, Veracruz (delete—see assignment on 840 kcs).	580 kilocycles 1000w _a /450wN		U	III-B	Oct. 6, 1954
XEXL	Patzcuaro, Michoacan (assignment of call letters).	600 kilocycles 250wD/100wN		U	IV	July 6, 1954
New	Fresnillo, Zacatecas	600 kilocycles 250w		D	II	Jan. 6, 1955
New	Coatepec, Veracruz (delete assignment)	700 kilocycles 500w		D	II	July 6, 1954
XEDZ	Cordoba, Veracruz (change in frequency and characteristics of operation—previously 580 kcs).	840 kilocycles 5Kw	DA-N	U	II	Oct. 6, 1954
XEGM	Tijuana, Baja California (decrease in daytime power).	960 kilocycles 3.5kwD/2.5kwN	DA-N	U	III-A	Do.
New	Los Mochis, Sinaloa (delete)	970 kilocycles 5kw	DA-N	U	III-A	June 6, 1954
New	Coatepec, Veracruz	1100 kilocycles 1kw	DA-N	U	II	July 6, 1954
New	Los Mochis, Sinaloa	1280 kilocycles 250w	ND	U	IV	Do.
XEOJ	Apatzingan, Michoacan (increase in daytime power).	1340 kilocycles 250wN/1kwD	ND	U	IV	Oct. 6, 1954
XEUE	Tuxtla Gutierrez, Chiapas (reduction in nighttime power and change in classification).	1560 kilocycles 1000wD/500wN		U	III-B	Do.
XERS	Gomez Palacio, Durango (change in frequency and operating characteristics—previously 1400 kcs.)	1580 kilocycles 2500w	DA-N	U	III-A	Do.
XEKT	Tequite, Baja California (new)	250wD/100wN 1400 kilocycles		U	IV	July 6, 1954
XERS	Gomez Palacio (delete—see assignment on 1380 kcs).	1480 kilocycles 250w		U	IV	Oct. 6, 1954
XEEW	Matamoros, Tamaulipas (assignment of call letters).	1580 kilocycles 250w		D	IV	July 6, 1954
XEMM	Morelia, Michoacan (change in call letters from XEGP).	800w 1590 kilocycles		D	II	Do.
XEEE	Tequite, Baja California (change in call letters from XEKT).	1kw		D	III	Do.

[SEAL]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
MARY JANE MORRIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6092; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:51 a. m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. E-6574]

CALIFORNIA ELECTRIC POWER CO.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Take notice that on August 2, 1954, an application was filed with the Federal Power Commission, pursuant to section 204 of the Federal Power Act, by California Electric Power Company (Applicant), a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, and doing business in the States of California and Nevada, with its principal business office in Riverside, California, seeking an order authorizing the issuance of 170,000 shares of common stock (par value \$1 per share). The application states that said shares of common stock are proposed to be issued in accordance with the competitive bidding rules of the Commission.

According to the application the major portion of the net proceeds from the proposed issuance of common stock will be used by the Applicant to redeem its outstanding series of \$2.50 sinking fund cumulative preferred stock (par value \$50 per share), and any remaining amount thereof will be used for general corporate purposes. The application states that Applicant presently has 38,800 shares of \$2.50 sinking fund cumulative preferred stock outstanding; all as more fully appears in the application on file with the Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protests with reference to said application should, on or before the 16th day of August 1954, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., a petition or protest in accordance with the Commission's general rules and regulations. The application is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6063; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:46 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-1448]

SHENANDOAH GAS CO.

NOTICE OF ORDER AMENDING ORDER ISSUING CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that on July 23, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its order adopted July 21, 1954, amending order issued April 30, 1954 (19 FR 2643) issuing certificate of public convenience and necessity in the above-entitled matter.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6066; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:46 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. G-1678, G-1996]

MICHIGAN-WISCONSIN PIPE LINE CO.

NOTICE OF OPINION NO. 275 AND ORDER

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that on July 30, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its opinion and order adopted July 28, 1954, fixing rates in the above-entitled matters.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6067; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:46 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. G-1786, G-1965]

OHIO FUEL GAS CO.

NOTICE OF OPINION NO. 273 AND ORDER

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that on July 26, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its opinion and order adopted July 22, 1954, determining just and rea-

According to the application the major portion of the net proceeds from the proposed issuance of common stock will be used by the Applicant to redeem its outstanding series of \$2.50 sinking fund cumulative preferred stock (par value \$50 per share), and any remaining amount thereof will be used for general corporate purposes. The application states that Applicant presently has 38,800 shares of \$2.50 sinking fund cumulative preferred stock outstanding; all as more fully appears in the application on file with the Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protests with reference to said application should, on or before the 16th day of August 1954, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., a petition or protest in accordance with the Commission's general rules and regulations. The application is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6063; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:46 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-1448]

SHENANDOAH GAS CO.

NOTICE OF ORDER AMENDING ORDER ISSUING CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that on July 23, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its order adopted July 21, 1954, amending order issued April 30, 1954 (19 FR 2643) issuing certificate of public convenience and necessity in the above-entitled matter.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6066; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:46 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. G-1678, G-1996]

MICHIGAN-WISCONSIN PIPE LINE CO.

NOTICE OF OPINION NO. 275 AND ORDER

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that on July 30, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its opinion and order adopted July 28, 1954, fixing rates in the above-entitled matters.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6067; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:46 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. G-1786, G-1965]

OHIO FUEL GAS CO.

NOTICE OF OPINION NO. 273 AND ORDER

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that on July 26, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its opinion and order adopted July 22, 1954, determining just and rea-

According to the application the major portion of the net proceeds from the proposed issuance of common stock will be used by the Applicant to redeem its outstanding series of \$2.50 sinking fund cumulative preferred stock (par value \$50 per share), and any remaining amount thereof will be used for general corporate purposes. The application states that Applicant presently has 38,800 shares of \$2.50 sinking fund cumulative preferred stock outstanding; all as more fully appears in the application on file with the Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protests with reference to said application should, on or before the 16th day of August 1954, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., a petition or protest in accordance with the Commission's general rules and regulations. The application is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6063; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:46 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-1448]

SHENANDOAH GAS CO.

NOTICE OF ORDER AMENDING ORDER ISSUING CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that on July 23, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its order adopted July 21, 1954, amending order issued April 30, 1954 (19 FR 2643) issuing certificate of public convenience and necessity in the above-entitled matter.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6066; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:46 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. G-1678, G-1996]

MICHIGAN-WISCONSIN PIPE LINE CO.

NOTICE OF OPINION NO. 275 AND ORDER

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that on July 30, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its opinion and order adopted July 28, 1954, fixing rates in the above-entitled matters.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6067; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:46 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. G-1786, G-1965]

OHIO FUEL GAS CO.

NOTICE OF OPINION NO. 273 AND ORDER

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that on July 26, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its opinion and order adopted July 22, 1954, determining just and rea-

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6063; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:46 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-1448]

SHENANDOAH GAS CO.

NOTICE OF ORDER AMENDING ORDER ISSUING CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that on July 23, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its order adopted July 21, 1954, amending order issued April 30, 1954 (19 FR 2643) issuing certificate of public convenience and necessity in the above-entitled matter.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6066; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:46 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. G-1678, G-1996]

MICHIGAN-WISCONSIN PIPE LINE CO.

NOTICE OF OPINION NO. 275 AND ORDER

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that on July 30, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its opinion and order adopted July 28, 1954, fixing rates in the above-entitled matters.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6067; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:46 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. G-1786, G-1965]

OHIO FUEL GAS CO.

NOTICE OF OPINION NO. 273 AND ORDER

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that on July 26, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its opinion and order adopted July 22, 1954, determining just and rea-

[Docket No. G-1448]

SHENANDOAH GAS CO.

NOTICE OF ORDER AMENDING ORDER ISSUING CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that on July 23, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its order adopted July 21, 1954, amending order issued April 30, 1954 (19 FR 2643) issuing certificate of public convenience and necessity in the above-entitled matter.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6066; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:46 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. G-1678, G-1996]

MICHIGAN-WISCONSIN PIPE LINE CO.

NOTICE OF OPINION NO. 275 AND ORDER

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that on July 30, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its opinion and order adopted July 28, 1954, fixing rates in the above-entitled matters.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6067; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:46 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. G-1786, G-1965]

OHIO FUEL GAS CO.

NOTICE OF OPINION NO. 273 AND ORDER

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that on July 26, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its opinion and order adopted July 22, 1954, determining just and rea-

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6066; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:46 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. G-1678, G-1996]

MICHIGAN-WISCONSIN PIPE LINE CO.

NOTICE OF OPINION NO. 275 AND ORDER

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that on July 30, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its opinion and order adopted July 28, 1954, fixing rates in the above-entitled matters.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6067; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:46 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. G-1786, G-1965]

OHIO FUEL GAS CO.

NOTICE OF OPINION NO. 273 AND ORDER

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that on July 26, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its opinion and order adopted July 22, 1954, determining just and rea-

[Docket Nos. G-1678, G-1996]

MICHIGAN-WISCONSIN PIPE LINE CO.

NOTICE OF OPINION NO. 275 AND ORDER

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that on July 30, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its opinion and order adopted July 28, 1954, fixing rates in the above-entitled matters.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6067; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:46 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. G-1786, G-1965]

OHIO FUEL GAS CO.

NOTICE OF OPINION NO. 273 AND ORDER

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that on July 26, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its opinion and order adopted July 22, 1954, determining just and rea-

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6067; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:46 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. G-1786, G-1965]

OHIO FUEL GAS CO.

NOTICE OF OPINION NO. 273 AND ORDER

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that on July 26, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its opinion and order adopted July 22, 1954, determining just and rea-

[F. R. Doc. 54-6067; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954; 8:46 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. G-1786, G-1965]

OHIO FUEL GAS CO.

NOTICE OF OPINION NO. 273 AND ORDER

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that on July 26, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its opinion and order adopted July 22, 1954, determining just and rea-

[Docket Nos. G-1786, G-1965]

OHIO FUEL GAS CO.

NOTICE OF OPINION NO. 273 AND ORDER

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that on July 26, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its opinion and order adopted July 22, 1954, determining just and rea-

sonable rates and tariff conditions and disposing of funds collected under bond in the above-entitled matters.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6068; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:46 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. G-1815, G-2053, G-2277]

COMMONWEALTH NATURAL GAS CO.

NOTICE OF ORDER TERMINATING
PROCEEDINGS

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Notice is hereby given that on July 23, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its order adopted July 21, 1954, terminating proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6069; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:47 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. G-2035, G-2040, G-2048, G-2050,
G-2073, G-2301, G-2349, G-2091]

PANHANDLE EASTERN PIPE LINE CO. ET AL.

NOTICE OF OPINION NO. 274 AND ORDER

AUGUST 3, 1954.

In the matters of Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company; Docket Nos. G-2035, G-2040, G-2048, G-2049, G-2050, G-2073, G-2301, G-2349; and Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, Southeastern Michigan Gas Company, Citizens Gas Fuel Company, Citizens Gas Company, Michigan Gas Utilities Company, Docket No. G-2091.

Notice is hereby given that on July 28, 1954, the Federal Power Commission issued its opinion and order adopted July 22, 1954, disallowing cancellation, modifying and interpreting rate schedules, denying disclaimers of jurisdiction, issuing and denying certificates of public convenience and necessity, and requiring the sale and delivery of natural gas for resale in the above-entitled matters.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6070; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:47 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-2491]

NORTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Take notice that on July 16, 1954, Northern Natural Gas Company (Applicant), a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at Omaha, Nebraska, filed an application pursuant to the provisions of section 7 of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of a measuring station and approximately 1.7 miles of 4½-inch pipeline from its Ogden-Waterloo 20-inch line presently

under construction, for the sale of natural gas on an interruptible Step 4 basis to the municipally owned power plant at Grundy Center, Iowa.

Applicant states that such sale will be made by Peoples Natural Gas Division of Northern as a mainline direct sale, and that Peoples will construct the facilities from the measuring station located near the city limits to the power plant site located within the Community of Grundy Center.

The proposed estimated annual sales for the first three years are 110,240 Mcf in the first year, 115,660 Mcf in the second year and 121,260 Mcf in the third year of operation. Applicant further states that this proposed additional sale of off-peak gas will improve its load factor and will not result in any increase in contract demand or system saleable capacity.

Applicant requests that the intermediate decision procedure be omitted and that its application be disposed of pursuant to the provisions of § 1.32 (b) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., in accordance with the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before the 20th day of August 1954. The application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6064; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:46 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-2493]

CITIES SERVICE GAS CO.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION

AUGUST 3, 1954.

Take notice that Cities Service Gas Company (Applicant), a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, filed on July 19, 1954, an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity, pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, authorizing the construction and operation of certain facilities for the transportation and sale of natural gas in interstate commerce. In the same application, Applicant also seeks authorization pursuant to section 7 (b) of the Natural Gas Act to abandon certain other facilities.

The facilities for which the Applicant seeks authorization to construct and operate are:

(1) 6.5 miles of 8-inch pipeline from a connection with the present Ottawa-Sedalia 12-inch gas pipeline in the NW¼ of Section 19, Township 45 North, Range 30 West, and extending north and east to the Missouri Public Service Company's electric generating plant in the NE¼ of Section 19, Township 46 North, Range 30 West, all in Cass County, Missouri.

(2) 3 miles of 4-inch gas pipeline from a point of connection with the

above proposed 8-inch line in the NW¼ of Section 19, Township 46 North, Range 30 West, thence north and east to a connection with the present pipeline in the SW¼ of Section 8, Township 46 North, Range 30 West, all in Cass County, Missouri.

(3) 1.25 miles of 2-inch gas pipeline from a connection with the present Ottawa-Sedalia 12-inch gas pipeline in the NE¼ of Section 12, Township 45 North, Range 29 West, thence north to the present town border of Kingsville, Missouri, in the SE¼ of Section 36, Township 46 North, Range 29 West, all in Johnson County, Missouri.

(4) 0.75 miles of 4-inch gas pipeline from a connection with the present Ottawa-Sedalia 12-inch gas pipeline in the SE¼ of Section 3, Township 45 North, Range 28 West, thence south to the present town border of Holden, Missouri, in the NW¼ of Section 11, Township 45 North, Range 28 West, all in Johnson County, Missouri.

Applicant proposes to abandon the following facilities: 17.2 miles of 6-inch gas pipeline extending from the NW¼ of Section 11, Township 45 North, Range 28 West, Johnson County, Missouri, in a northwesterly direction to the NW¼ of Section 3, Township 46 North, Range 30 West, Cass County, Missouri.

With the abandonment of the above-named facilities, Applicant proposes to abandon service to eight individual resale tap consumers located along the facilities to be abandoned.

Applicant estimates the total cost to reclaim the 17.2 miles of 6-inch pipe and to construct the 11.5 miles of 8-inch, 4-inch, and 2-inch pipe to be \$140,000, which will be financed either out of the proceeds of an unsecured bank credit in the amount of \$5,000,000 or out of treasury cash, or both.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., in accordance with the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before the 20th day of August 1954. The application is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

[SEAL] LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6065; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:46 a. m.]

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

SECRETARY OF COMMERCE

DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO NEGOTIATE
CONTRACTS FOR CERTAIN SURVEYS AND MAPS

1. Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, 63 Stat. 377, as amended, herein called the act, authority is hereby delegated to the Secretary of Commerce to negotiate, without advertising, under section 302 (c) (4) and (9) of the act, contracts for obtaining aerial surveys and photogrammetric maps for the Bureau of Public Roads.

2. This authority shall be exercised in accordance with applicable limitations and requirements in the act, particularly

sections 304 and 307, and in accordance with the policies, procedures, and controls prescribed by General Services Administration.

3. The authority herein delegated may be redelegated to any official or employee of the Department of Commerce.

4. This delegation shall be effective as of the date hereof.

Dated: August 4, 1954.

EDMUND F. MANSURE,
Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6187; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
11:53 a. m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[4th Sec. Application 29544]

PIG IRON FROM BUFFALO AND HARRIET,
N. Y., TO COATESVILLE, PA.

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

AUGUST 4, 1954.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by: C. W. Boin, Agent, for carriers parties to schedules listed below.

Commodities involved: Pig iron, carloads.

From: Buffalo, N. Y., stations, and Harriet, N. Y.

To: Coatesville, Pa.

Grounds for relief: Competition with water-rail carriers.

Schedules filed containing proposed rates: B. & O. RR Tariff I. C. C. No. 23873, supp. No. 21; D. L. & W. RR Tariff I. C. C. No. 23929, supp. No. 94; Erie RR Tariff I. C. C. No. 20891, supp. No. 13; Lehigh Valley R. R. I. C. C. No. C-9292, supp. No. 28; N. Y. C. RR Tariff I. C. C. No. 17045, supp. No. 223; P. RR Tariff I. C. C. No. 3088, supp. No. 32.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE W. LAIRD,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6071 Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:47 a. m.]

[4th Sec. Application 29545]

CAST IRON BORINGS FROM MILWAUKEE,
WIS., TO NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

AUGUST 4, 1954.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by: H. R. Hinsch, Agent, for carriers parties to schedule listed below.

Commodities involved: Borings, cast iron, carloads.

From: Milwaukee, Wis.

To: Brooklyn, N. Y., Bound Brook, Carney's Point, Gibbstown, and Thompson's Point, N. J.

Grounds for relief: Rail competition, circuitry, and rates constructed on the basis of the short line distance formula.

Schedules filed containing proposed rates: H. R. Hinsch, Agent, I. C. C. No. 4350, supp. 56.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE W. LAIRD,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6072; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:47 a. m.]

[4th Sec. Application 29546]

BLACKSTRAP MOLASSES FROM WESTERN
LOUISIANA TO MEMPHIS, TENN.

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

AUGUST 4, 1954.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by: W. P. Emerson, Jr., Agent, for carriers parties to schedule listed below.

Commodities involved: Blackstrap molasses, in tank-car loads.

From: Points in western Louisiana.

To: Memphis, Tenn.

Grounds for relief: Rail competition, circuitry, market competition, and grouping.

Schedules filed containing proposed rates: W. P. Emerson, Jr., Agent, I. C. C. No. 395, supp. 138.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE W. LAIRD,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6073; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:47 a. m.]

[4th Sec. Application 29547]

SAND FROM ILLINOIS TERRITORY TO THE
SOUTH

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

AUGUST 4, 1954.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by: R. G. Raasch, Agent, for carriers parties to schedules listed below.

Commodities involved: Sand, carloads.

From: Points in Illinois territory.

To: Points in southern territory.

Grounds for relief: Rail competition, circuitry, grouping, and change in commodity description.

Schedules filed containing proposed rates: R. G. Raasch, Agent, I. C. C. No. 776, supp. 35; R. G. Raasch, Agent, I. C. C. No. 784, supp. 19.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE W. LAIRD,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 54-6074; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:47 a. m.]

[4th Sec. Application 29548]

SCRAP IRON FROM MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA TRANSFER AND ST. PAUL, MINN., TO PEORIA, ILL.

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

AUGUST 4, 1954.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by: W. J. Prueter, Agent, for carriers parties to his tariff I. C. C. No. A-3910.

Commodities involved: Scrap iron, carloads.

From: Minneapolis, Minnesota Transfer and St. Paul, Minn.

To: Peoria, Ill.

Grounds for relief: Competition with water carriers.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed

to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

GEORGE W. LAIRD,
Secretary.

[P. R. Doc. 54-6075; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:48 a. m.]

[4th Sec. Application 29549]

GRAIN FROM ARLINGTON AND LOUISVILLE, GA., TO MOBILE, ALA., AND NEW ORLEANS, LA.

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

AUGUST 4, 1954.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by: R. E. Boyle, Jr., Agent for carriers parties to schedules listed below.

Commodities involved: Barley, corn, oats, rye, soybeans or wheat, carloads.

From: Arlington and Louisville, Ga.
To: Mobile, Ala., and New Orleans, La., for export.

Grounds for relief: Rail competition, circuitry, and additional origins.

Schedules filed containing proposed rates: C. A. Spanninger, Agent, I. C. C. No. 1325, supp. 56.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

GEORGE W. LAIRD,
Secretary.

[P. R. Doc. 54-6076; Filed, Aug. 6, 1954;
8:48 a. m.]

