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## Foreword

Pmjections of Education Statistics to 2013 is the 32nd report in a series begun in 1964. This report provides revisions of projections shown in Projections of Education Statistics to 2012 and Projections of Education Statistics to 2011. It includes statistics on elementary and secondary schools and degreegranting institutions. Included are projections of enrollment, graduates, teachers, and expenditures to the year 2013.

In addition to projections at the national level, the report includes projections of public elementary and secondary school enrollment and public high school graduates to the year 2013 at the state level. These projections were produced by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) to provide researchers, policy analysts, and others with state-level projections developed using a consistent methodology. They are not intended to supplant detailed projections prepared in individual states.

Assumptions regarding the population and the economy are the key factors underlying the projections of education statistics. The projections do not reflect changes in national, state, or local education policies that may affect enrollment levels.

Appendix A of this report outlines the projection methodology, describing the models and assumptions used to develop the national and state projections. The enrollment models use enrollment data and population estimates and pro-
jections from NCES and the U.S. Census Bureau. The models are based on the mathematical projection of past data patterns into the future. The models also use projections of economic variables from the company Global Insight, Inc., an economic forecasting service.

The projections presented in this report are based on the 2000 census and assumptions for the fertility rate, internal migration, net immigration, and mortality rate. For further information, see appendix A.

Most of the projections of education statistics include three alternatives, based on different assumptions about demographic and economic growth paths. Although the first alternative set of projections (middle alternative) in each table is deemed to represent the most likely projections, the low and high alternatives provide a reasonable range of outcomes.

This report's Summary of Projections presents highlights for key education statistics. In addition, a brief overview of the projections in this report is available in a pocket-sized booklet, Pocket Projections: Projections of Education Statistics to 2013.

Valena W. Plisko, Associate Commissioner Early Childhood, International, and Crosscutting Studies Division
October 2003
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# About This Report 

## Guide to This Edition

This edition of Projections of Education Statistics provides projections for key education statistics, including enrollment, graduates, teachers, and expenditures in elementary and secondary schools and degree-granting institutions. Included are national data on enrollment and graduates for the past 14 years and projections to the year 2013, as well as state-level data on enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools and public high school graduates to the year 2013.

State-level data on enrollment and graduates in private schools are not included. Further research and model development are needed to develop reliable projections of private school enrollment and graduates by state. Projections also exclude the number of students who are homeschooled because national data are available for only 1 year.

Similar methodologies were used to obtain a uniform set of projections for the 50 states and the District of Columbia. These projections are further adjusted to agree with the national projections of public elementary and secondary school enrollment and public high school graduates contained in this report.

The summary of projections provides highlights of the national and state data, while the reference tables and figures present more detail. While rounded numbers are presented in the tables, percentages are based on unrounded numbers.

Appendix A describes the methodology and assumptions used to develop the projections, appendix B presents supplementary tables, appendix $C$ describes data sources, and appendix $D$ is a glossary of terms.

## Limitations of Projections

Projections of time series usually differ from the final reported data due to errors from many sources. This is because of the inherent nature of the statistical universe from which the basic data are obtained and the properties of projection methodologies, which depend on the validity of many assumptions. Therefore, alternative projections are shown for most statistical series to denote the uncertainty involved in making projections. These alternatives are not statistical confidence limits, but instead represent judgments made by the authors as to reasonable upper and lower bounds.

The mean absolute percentage error is one way to express the forecast accuracy of past projections. This measure expresses the average value of the absolute value of errors in percentage terms. For example, the mean absolute percentage errors of public school enrollment in grades K-12 for lead times of $1,2,5$, and 10 years were $0.3,0.5,1.1$, and 2.7 percent, respectively. In contrast, mean absolute percentage errors for doctor's degrees for lead times of 1, 2, and 5 years were 2.2, 3.4, and 2.9 percent, respectively. For more information on mean absolute percentage errors, see table A2 in appendix A.

Alternative projections are presented for enrollment in degree-granting institutions, earned degrees conferred, elementary and secondary teachers, and expenditures of public educational institutions.

Summary of Projections

# Section 1. Elementary and Secondary Enrollment 

## Introduction

Total public and private elementary and secondary school enrollment reached a record $\mathbf{5 4}$ million in fall 2001, representing a 19 percent increase since fall 1988. Between 2001 and 2013, a further increase of 5 percent is expected, with increases projected in both public and private schools. In the regions, increases are expected in the West, South, and Midwest, and a decrease is expected in the Northeast.

## Factors affecting the projections

The projected changes in enrollment reflect factors such as internal migration, legal and illegal immigration, the relatively high level of births in the 1990s, and resultant changes in the population (reference figure 1), rather than changes in attendance rates.

## Factors that were not considered

The projections do not assume changes in policies or attitudes that may affect enrollment levels. For example, they do not account for changing state and local policies on prekindergarten and kindergarten programs. Expansion of these programs could lead to higher enrollments at the elementary school level. Projections also exclude the number of students who are homeschooled because national data are available for only 1 year.

## National

After increasing by about one-fifth between 1988 and 2001, enrollments in both public and private schools are expected to increase at slower rates between 2001 and 2013. Small enrollment increases are expected at both the K-8 and 9-12 grade spans (figures A and B; reference figures $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ and table 1).

## Total enrollment

Total elementary and secondary enrollment

- increased 19 percent between 1988 and 2001; and
- is projected to increase $\mathbf{5}$ percent between 2001 and 2013.


## Enrollment in grades $K$-8

Enrollment in kindergarten through grade 8

- increased 19 percent between 1988 and 2001; and
- is projected to increase 5 percent between 2001 and 2013.


## Enrollment in grades 9-12

Enrollment in grades 9-12
increased 17 percent between 1988 and 2001; and

- is projected to increase $\mathbf{4}$ percent between 2001 and 2013.


## The grade progression rate method

The method used to project school enrollments assumes that future trends in factors affecting enrollments will be consistent with past patterns. It implicitly includes the net effect of factors such as dropouts, deaths, nonpromotion, and transfers to and from public schools. See appendix A for more details.

Figure A. Elementary and secondary enrollment, total and by grade group: Selected years


NOTE: Detailmay not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Dept. of Education, NCES: CommonCore of Data surveys, various years; Private School Universe Survey, various years; and National Elementary and Secondary School EnrollmentModel. (See reference table 1.)

## Public elementary and secondary enrollment

Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools

- increased 19 percent between 1988 and 2001; and
- is projected to increase 4 percent between 2001 and 2013.


## Private elementary and secondary enrollment

Enrollment in private elementary and secondary schools

- increased 18 percent between 1988 and 2001; and

Figure B. Elementary and secondary enrollment, by control of school: Selected years

## Millions



QPublic Private
SOURCE: U.S. Dept. of Education, NCES: Common Core of Data surveys, various years; Private School Universe Survey, various years; and National Elementary and Secondary School Enrollment Model. (See reference table 1.)

- is projected to increase 7 percent between 2001 and 2013.


## State and Regional (Public School Data)

Between 2001 and 2013, enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools is expected to increase in 30 states and decrease in 20 states, including the District of Columbia (tables A and B; reference figure 5 and tables 4-9). In the regions, public school enrollment during the same period is expected to increase in the South, West, and Midwest and to decrease in the Northeast.

## States

The expected 4 percent national increase in public school enrollment between 2001 and 2013 plays out differently for most states.

- Increases are projected for 30 states, with
- the largest increases projected for Alaska (17 percent), Hawaii (16 percent), and California (16 percent);
- increases between 10 and 15 percent projected for 7 states; and
- increases between 0.4 and 9 percent projected for 20 states.
- No change is projected for Louisiana.

| Table A. Projectedpercent increases in public elementary and secondary school enrollment, by state: 2001 to 2013 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | 17.0 | Virginia | 4.3 |
| Hawaii | 16.1 | South Dakota | 2.6 |
| California | 15.7 | New Jersey | 2.5 |
| Idaho | 15.1 | Michigan | 2.4 |
| New Mexico | 14.9 | Tennessee | 2.4 |
| Nevada | 13.8 | Nebraska | 2.0 |
| Wyoming | 13.1 | Rhode Island | 1.9 |
| Utah | 12.7 | Delaware | 1.8 |
| Arizona | 12.0 | Maryland | 1.7 |
| Texas | 11.2 | Kansas | 1.4 |
| Colorado | 8.8 | Illinois | 1.2 |
| Georgia | 6.8 | South Carolina | 0.9 |
| Washington | 5.7 | Missouri | 0.5 |
| Oregon | 5.4 | Indiana | 0.4 |
| Florida | 5.4 |  |  |
| Montana | 4.6 |  |  |
| SOURCE: U.S. Dept. of Education, NCES: Common Core of Data surveys and State Public Elementary and Secondary Enrollment Model. (See reference table 5.) |  |  |  |

- Decreases are projected for 20 states, with
- the largest decreases projected for West Virginia (6 percent) and Kentucky (6 percent);
- decreases between 2.4 and 5 percent projected for 10 states;
- decreases between 0.9 and 2 percent projected for 7 states; and
- the smallest decrease projected for New Hampshire ( 0.2 percent).


## Regions

Between 2001 and 2013, public elementary and secondary enrollment is projected to increase 13 percent in the West; increase 4 percent in the South;
decrease 2 percent in the
Northeast; and
increase slightly in the Midwest.

| Table B. Projected percent decreases in public <br> elementary and secondary school <br> enrollment, by state: 2001 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | to 2013 |

## Accuracy of Projections

An analysis of projection errors from the past 20 editions of Projections of Education Statistics indicates that the mean absolute percentage errors (MAPEs) for lead times of $1,2,5$, and 10 years out for projections of public school enrollment in grades $\mathrm{K}-12$ were $0.3,0.5,1.1$, and 2.7 percent, respectively. For the 1 -year-out prediction, this means that one would expect the projection to be within 0.3 percent of the actual value, on average. For projections of public school enrollment in grades K-8, the MAPEs for lead times of $1,2,5$, and 10 years out were $0.3,0.6,1.1$, and 3.8 percent, respectively, while the MAPEs for projections of public school enrollment in grades $9-12$ were $0.6,0.8,1.3$, and 2.8 percent, respectively, for the same lead times.

Projections of public elementary and secondary enrollment produced by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) over the last 20 years have been more accurate than projections of public high school graduates produced by NCES over the same period. For more information, see table A2 in appendix A .

# Section 2. Enrollment in Degree-Granting Institutions 

## Introduction

Total enrollment in degree-granting institutions is expected to increase between 2000 and 2013. Degreegranting institutions provide study beyond secondary school and offer programs terminating in an associate's, baccalaureate, or higher degree. Differential growth is expected by student characteristics such as age, sex, and attendance status (part-time or full-time). Enrollment is expected to increase in both public and private degree-granting institutions.

## Factors affecting the projections

Changes in age-specific enrollment rates and college-age populations will affect enrollment levels between 2000 and 2013. The most important factor is the expected increase in the traditional college-age population of 18 - to 24 -year-olds.

## Three alternative sets of projections

Middle, low, and high sets of projections were made for total enrollment in degreegranting institutions and for enrollment by age, sex, attendance status, level (undergraduate, graduate, or first-professional), and control of institution.

## Assumptions underlying the projections

 The middle alternative uses a base-line scenario of the economy for projections of disposable income and unemployment rates. The low and high alternatives are based on the pessimistic and optimistic scenarios of the economy, respectively, to provide other possible outcomes. For more information, see appendix $\mathbf{A}$.
## Factors that were not considered

The enrollment projections do not take into account such factors as the cost of a college education, the economic value of an education, and the impact of distance learning due to technological changes. These factors may produce changes in enrollment levels.

## Total Enrollment

Total enrollment in degree-granting institutions increased 17 percent from 1988 to 2000 (figure C; reference figure 10 and table 10).

Between 2000 and 2013, total enrollment is projected to increase

19 percent, to 18.2 million, in the middle alternative projections;

- 15 percent, to 17.7 million, in the low alternative projections; and
- 23 percent, to 18.8 million, in the high alternative projections.

Figure C. Total enrollment in degree-granting institutions, with middle alternative projections: Selected years


SOURCE: U.S. Dept. of Education, NCES: Integrated PostsecondaryEducation Data System (IPEDS), 'Fall Enrollment Survey," various years; and Enrollment in Degree-GrantingInstitutionsModel. (See reference table 10.)

## Enrollment by Selected Characteristics and Control of Institution

## Enrollment by age of student

Between 2000 and 2013, in the middle alternative projections, enrollment (figure D; reference figures $11-13$ and tables $11-13$ ) is projected to increase

- 22 percent for students who are 18 to 24 years old; and
- 2 percent for students who are 35 years old and over.


## Enrollment by sex of student

Between 2000 and 2013, in the middle alternative projections, enrollment (reference figure 14 and tables $10-21$ ) is projected to increase

15 percent for men; and
21 percent for women.

## Enrollment by attendance status

Between 2000 and 2013, in the middle alternative projections, enrollment (reference figure 15 and tables $10-22$ ) is projected to increase

- 22 percent for full-time students; and
- 13 percent for part-time students.


## Enrollment by level

Between 2000 and 2013, in the middle alternative projections, enrollment (reference figures 18 and 19 and tables 19-21) is projected to increase

- 18 percent for undergraduate students;
- 19 percent for graduate students; and
- 27 percent for first-professional students.

Figure D. Enrollment in degree-granting institutions, by selected characteristics, with middle alternative projections: Selected years

Enrollment, by age of student

## Millions



Enrollment, by sex of student
Millions


Enrollment, by attendance status
Millions


SOURCE: U.S. Dept. of Education, NCES: Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), "Fall EnrollmentSurvey," various years; and Enrollment in Degree-Granting Institutions Model. (See reference tables 10 and 11.)

## Enrollment in public and private institutions

Between 2000 and 2013, in the middle alternative projections, enrollment (figure E; reference figure 16 and tables 10 and $15-22$ ) is projected to increase

- 18 percent in public institutions; and 20 percent in private institutions.

Figure E . Enrollment in degree-granting institutions, by control of institution, with middle alternative projections:Selected years


## Accuracy of Projections

For projections of total enrollment in degree-granting institutions, an analysis of projection errors based on the past six editions of Projections of Education Statistics indicates that the mean absolute percentage errors (MAPEs) for lead times of 1,2, and 5 years out were 1.2, 0.9 , and 2.4 percent, respectively. For the 1 -year-out prediction, this means that one would expect the projection to be within 1.2 percent of the actual value, on average.

NCES projections of college enrollment produced over the past 6 years have been more accurate than projections of doctor's degrees but less accurate than projections of public elementary and secondary enrollment produced over the same period. For more information, see table A2 in appendix A.

# Section 3. High School Graduates 

## Introduction

Between 2000-01 and 2012-13, the number of high school graduates is projected to increase nationally by 11 percent. Increases are expected in each region of the country, especially the West. Both public and private schools are expected to have increases in high school graduates.

## Factors affecting the projections

Projected increases in the number of graduates reflect changes in the 18 -year-old population over the projection period, rather than changes in the graduation rates of 12 th-graders. However, projections of graduates could be impacted by changes in policies affecting graduation requirements.

## Definition

A high school graduate is defined as an individual who has received formal recognition from school authorities, by the granting of a diploma, for completing a prescribed course of study. This definition does not include other high school completers or high school equivalency recipients.

## National

## Total number of high school graduates

The total number of high school graduates (figure F; reference figures 21 and 22 and table 23)
increased 3 percent between 1987-88
and 2000-01; and

- is projected to increase 11 percent between 2000-01 and 2012-13.


## Public high school graduates

The number of public high school graduates increased 3 percent between 1987-88 and 2000-01; and

- is projected to increase 11 percent between 2000-01 and 2012-13.


## Private high school graduates

The number of private high school graduates

- increased 4 percent between 1987-88 and 2000-01; and
is projected to increase 18 percent between 2000-01 and 2012-13.

Figure F. Number of high school graduates, total and by control of school: Selected years

Total number of high school graduates
Millions


Public and private high school graduates
Millions


SOURCE: US Dept of Education, NCES Common Core of Data surveys, various years; Private School Universe Survey, various years; and National High School Graduates Model. (See reference table 23.)

## State and Regional (Public School Data)

Between 2000-01 and 2012-13, the number of public high school graduates is expected to increase in nearly half the states (tableC) and in all four regions (reference figure 23 and tables 24 and 25).

## States

The expected 11 percent national increase in public high school graduates between 2000-01 and 2012-13 plays out differently in each state.

Increases are projected for 25 states, with

- the largest increases projected for Nevada (72 percent), Florida (30 percent), and Arizona ( 30 percent);
- increases between 20 and 27 percent projected for 6 states;
- increases between 4 and 19 percent projected for 14 states; and
- the smallest increases projected for Utah (3 percent) and New York (2 percent).

Decreases are projected for 26 states, with

- the largest decreases projected for North Dakota ( 32 percent) and the District of Columbia ( 31 percent);
- decreases between 11 and 26 percent projected for 8 states;
- decreases between 2 and 11 percent projected for 14 states; and
- the smallest decreases projected for

| Table C. Projected percent change in the number of public high school graduates, by state:2000-01 to 2012-13 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Increases |  | Decreases |  |
| Nevada | 72.2 | Idaho | -0.2 |
| Florida | 30.3 | Alaska | -0.8 |
| Arizona | 29.6 | Missouri | -2.8 |
| New Jersey | 26.7 | Ohio | -3.3 |
| Michigan | 25.9 | Minnesota | -3.9 |
| California | 23.1 | New Hampshire | -4.8 |
| Georgia | 22.7 | Arkansas | -4.9 |
| Colorado | 22.1 | Hawaii | -5.2 |
| North Carolina | 20.6 | Wisconsin | -6.0 |
| Virginia | 19.2 | Alabama | $-6.2$ |
| Connecticut | 19.0 | Kansas | -6.3 |
| Texas | 19.0 | Iowa | -7.3 |
| Illinois | 17.5 | Nebraska | -7.5 |
| South Carolina | 16.9 | Mississippi | -7.5 |
| Rhode Island | 15.5 | Kentucky | -9.8 |
| Tennessee | 10.7 | New Mexico | -10.1 |
| Maryland | 8.6 | Oklahoma | -11.5 |
| Delaware | 8.6 | Louisiana | -13.8 |
| Oregon | 6.9 | Maine | -15.0 |
| Massachusetts | 6.1 | West Virginia | -15.9 |
| Washington | 6.0 | Vermont | -17.7 |
| Pennsylvania | 4.9 | South Dakota | -20.6 |
| Indiana | 4.8 | Montana | -20.8 |
| Utah | 2.9 | Wyoming | -25.7 |
| New York | 2.0 | District of Columbia | -31.3 |
|  |  | North Dakota | -31.7 |
| SOURCE: U.S. Dept. of Education,NCES: CommonCore of Data surveys and State Public High School Graduates Model. (See reference table 25.) |  |  |  | Alaska ( 0.8 percent) and Idaho ( 0.2 percent).

## Regions

Between 2000-01 and 2012-13, the number of public high school graduates is projected to

- increase 18 percent in the West;
- increase 12 percent in the South;
- increase 8 percent in the Northeast; and
increase 4 percent in the Midwest.


## Accuracy of Projections

For NCES projections of public high school graduates produced over the last 20 years, the mean absolute percentage errors (MAPEs) for lead times of $1,2,5$, and 10 years out were 0.6, 1.0, 1.6, and 4.4, respectively. NCES projections of public high school graduates have been less accurate than projections of public elementary and secondary enrollment but more accurate than projections of earned degrees by level. For more information. see table A2 in appendix A.

# Section 4. Earned Degrees Conferred 

## Introduction

Historical growth in enrollment in degree-grantinginstitutions, with particularly large increases among women, has led to a substantial increase in the number of earned degrees conferred. With the exception of doctor's degrees awarded to men, increases in the number of degrees conferred are expected to continue between 2000-01 and 2012-13.

## Three alternative sets of projections

Middle, low, and high sets of projections were developed for the total number of earned degrees conferred at each level -associate's, bachelor's, master's, doctor's, and firstprofessional - as well as for the number conferred at each level by sex of recipient.

## About the projections

Projections of earned degrees by level and sex were based primarily on college-age populations and college enrollment by level and attendance status. Some factors that may affect future numbers of earned degrees, such as choice of degree and demand for occupations, were not included in the projection models.

## Earned Degrees by Level of Degree and Sex of Recipient

Between 1987-88 and 2000-01, the number and proportion of degrees awarded to women rose at all levels. In 2000-01, women earned the majority of associate's, bachelor's, and master's degrees, 45 percent of doctor's degrees, and 46 percent of first-professional degrees. Between 2000-01 and 2012-13, continued increases are expected in the number of degrees awarded to women at all levels (figure G ; reference figures 24-28 and tables 26-30).

## Associate's degrees

Between 2000-01 and 2012-13, in the middle alternative projections, the number of associate's degrees is projected to

- increase 21 percent overall;
increase 7 percent for men; and increase 30 percent for women.


## Bachelor's degrees

Between 2000-01 and 2012-13, in the middle alternative projections, the number of bachelor's degrees is projected to

- increase 21 percent overall; increase 16 percent for men; and increase 25 percent for women.


## Master's degrees

Between 2000-01 and 2012-13, in the middle alternative projections, the number of master's degrees is projected to

- increase 19 percent overall; increase 17 percent for men; and increase 20 percent for women.


## Doctor's degrees

Between 2000-01 and 2012-13, in the middle alternative projections, the number of doctor's degrees is projected to increase 5 percent overall;
decrease 0.1 percent for men; and increase 12 percent for women.

## First-professional degrees

Between 2000-01 and 2012-13, in the middle alternative projections, the number of first-professional degrees is projected to

- increase 20 percent overall;
- increase 16 percent for men; and
- increase 26 percent for women.

Figure G. Earned degrees conferred, by level and sex of recipient, with middle alternative projections: Selected years-Continued


## Definition

A first-professional degree is one that signifies both completion of the academic requirements for beginning practice in a given profession and a level of professional skill beyond that normally required for a bachelor's degree. $\boldsymbol{A}$ first-professional degree is based on a program requiring at least 2 academic years of work before entrance and a total of at least 6 years of work to complete the degree program, including both prior required college work and the professional program itself. Degree fields include dentistry, medicine, law, and theological professions.

## Accuracy of Projections

An analysis of projection errors from the past seven editions of Projections of Education Statisticsindicates that the mean absolute percentage errors (MAPEs) for associate's degree projections were 2.1 percent for 1 year out, 2.4 percent for 2 years out, and 5.1 percent for 5 years out. The MAPEs for bachelor's degree projections were $1.1,2.1$, and 4.9 percent, respectively, for lead times of 1,2 , and 5 years out. The MAPEs for master's degrees were $1.2,4.3$, and 8.7 percent, respectively. For doctor's degrees, the MAPEs were $2.2,3.4$, and 2.9 percent, respectively. For first-professional degrees, the MAPEs were 1.5, 1.6, and 5.4 percent, respectively.

NCES projections of earned degrees by level produced over the last 7 years have been less accurate than NCES projections of public elementary and secondary enrollment produced over the same period. For more information on the MAPEs of different NCES projection series, see table A2 in appendix A.

# Section 5. Elementary and Secondary Teachers 

## Introduction

Between 2001 and 2013, the number of teachers in elementary and secondary schools is projected to rise. The numbers of both public and private school teachers are projected to grow.

## Factors affecting the projections

The projected increase in the number of elementary and secondary teachers is related to levels of enrollments and to education revenue receipts from state sources per capita.

## Three alternative sets of projections

Middle, low, and high sets of projections were produced for the number of teachers and the pupil/teacher ratio by control of school (public or private).

## Factors that were not considered

The projections do not take into account possible increases in the number of teachers due to the effects of legislative initiatives.

## Assumptions underlying the projections

In order to provide a range of possible outcomes, the alternative projections make varying economic assumptions about the growth path for one of the key variables used to project the number of public school teachers--education revenue receipts from state sources per capita.

## Teachers in Elementary and Secondary Schools

## Total elementary and secondary teachers

The total number of elementary and secondary teachers (figure H ; reference figure 29 and table 31)

- increased 27 percent between 1988 and 2001; and
is projected to increase 5 percent between 2001 and 2013 in the middle alternative projections.

Figure H . Total number of elementary and secondary teachers, with middle alternative projections: Selected years


SOURCE: U.S. Dept. of Education, NCES: Common Core of Data surveys, various years; and Elementary and Secondary TeacherModel. (See reference table 31.)

## Public school teachers

The number of teachers in public elementary and secondary schools (figure I; reference figure 30 and table 31)
increased 29 percent between 1988 and 2001; and

- is projected to increase 5 percent between 2001 and 2013 in the middle alternative projections.


## Private school teachers

The number of teachers in private elementary and secondary schools

- increased 13 percent between 1988 and 2001; and
is projected to increase 5 percent between 2001 and 2013 in the middle alternative projections.

Figure I. Number of elementary and secondary teachers, by control of school, with middle alternative projections: Selected years

Millions


SOURCE: U.S. Dept. of Education,NCES: Common Core of Data surveys, various years; Private School Universe Survey, various years; and Elementary and Secondary Teacher Model. (See reference table 31.)

## Pupil/Teacher Ratios

The pupil/teacher ratio in elementary and secondary schools (figureJ; reference figures $\mathbf{3 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 2}$ and table 32)

- decreased from $\mathbf{1 7 . 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 5 . 9}$ between 1988 and 2001; and
is projected to be $\mathbf{1 5 . 8}$ in 2013 in the middle alternative projections.


## About pupil/teacher ratios

A broad relationship between numbers of pupils and teachers can be described by a pupil/teacher ratio. The overall elementary and secondary pupil/teacher ratio and pupil/teacher ratios for public and private schools were computed based on elementary and secondary enrollment and the number of classroom teachers by control of school.

Figure J. Pupil/teacher ratio in elementary and secondary schools, with middle alternative projections: Selected years


SOURCE: U.S. Dept. of Education,NCES: Common Core of Data surveys, various years; Private SchoolUniverse Survey, various years; and Elementary and Secondary Teacher Model. (See reference table 32.)

## Accuracy of Projections

An analysis of projection errors from the past $\mathbf{1 3}$ editions of Projections of Education Statistics indicates that the mean absolute percentage errors (MAPEs) for projections of classroom teachers in public elementary and secondary schools were $\mathbf{1 . 7}$ percent for $\mathbf{1}$ year out, $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ percent for $\mathbf{2}$ years out, $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ percent for $\mathbf{5}$ years out, and $\mathbf{5 . 6}$ percent for $\mathbf{1 0}$ years out. NCES projections of public elementary and secondary teachers produced over the last $\mathbf{1 3}$ years have been less accurate than NCES projections of public elementary and secondary enrollment produced over the same period. For more information on the MAPEs of different NCES projection series, see table A2 in appendix A.

# Section 6. Expenditures of Public Elementary and Secondary Schools 

## Introduction

Current expenditures and average annual teacher salaries in public elementary and secondary schools are both projected to increase in constant dollars between school years 2000-01 and 2012-13, with current expenditures projected to increase more rapidly.

## Three alternative sets of projections

Middle, low, and high sets of projections were made for total current expenditures, current expenditures per pupil, and teacher salaries.

## Assumptions underlying the projections

Each set of projections is based on alternative assumptions concerning economic growth and assistance by state governments to local governments. For more details, see appendix A.

## Current Expenditures

Between 2000-01 and 2012-13, increases are expected in the current expenditures and current expenditures per pupil of public elementary and secondary schools (figure K; reference figures 33 and 34 and tables 33 and 34).

## Current expenditures

Current expenditures in constant 2001-02 dollars increased 47 percent from 1987-88 to 2000-01.

From 2000-01 to 2012-13, current expenditures in constant 2001-02 dollars are projected to increase

31 percent, to $\$ 465$ billion, in the middle alternative projections;
19 percent, to $\$ 420$ billion, in the low alternative projections; and

- 43 percent, to $\$ 507$ billion, in the high alternative projections.


## Current expenditures per pupil

Current expenditures per pupil in constant 200102 dollars increased 24 percent from 1987-88 to 2000-01.

From 2000-01 to 2012-13, current expenditures in constant 2001-02 dollars per pupil in fall enrollment are projected to increase

- 26 percent, to $\$ 9,400$, in the middle alternative projections;

14 percent, to $\$ 8,500$, in the low alternative projections; and

- 37 percent, to $\$ 10,300$, in the high alternative projections.


## Other factors that may affect the projections

Many factors that may affect future school expenditures and teacher salaries were not considered in the production of these projections. Such factors include recent policy initiatives, as well as potential changes in the distribution of elementary and secondary teachers as older teachers retire and are replaced by younger teachers.

Figure K. Current expenditures per pupil in 2001-02 dollars, with middle alternative projections: Selected years


NOTE: Data were placed in constant2001-02 dollars using the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers (BLS, U.S. Dept of Labor).
SOURCE: U.S. Dept of Education, NCES: Common Core of Data, "National Public Education Finance Survey," various years; National Elementary and Secondary Enrollment Model; and Elementary and Secondary School Current Expenditures Model. (See reference table 33.)

## Teacher Salaries

Teacher salaries are projected to increase between 2002-03 and 2012-13 (reference figure 35 and table 35).

In the middle alternative projections, teacher salaries in constant 2001-02 dollars are projected to
increase to $\$ 47,400$ in 2012-13; and
increase 6 percent between 2002-03 and 2012-13.
Teacher salaries increased from $\$ 43,100$ in 1987-88 to \$44,900 in 2002-03, an increase of 4 percent.

Constant versus current dollars
Throughout this section, projections of current expenditures and teacher salaries are presented in constant 2001-02 dollars. The reference tables, later in this report, present these data both in constant 2001-02 dollars and in current dollars. The projecdons were developed in constant dollars and then placed in current dollars using projections for the Consumer Price Index (CPI) (table B6 in appendix B). Three alternative sets of projections for the CPI were used, one with each set of projections (low, middle, and high).

## Accuracy of Projections

Historically, the average difference between the actual values and the projections of current expenditures, current expenditures per pupil, and teacher salaries has been about 2 percent for projections that are 2 or 3 years out from the year of the last actual data. Projections for years that are further out from the last year with actual data tend to be less accurate. The average difference between the actual values and projections 7 or more years out from the last year with actual data generally has been over 4 percent for current expenditures and current expenditures per pupil and over 8 percent for teacher salaries.

Long-term projections that are economically based, such as projections of current expenditures and teacher salaries, are generally less accurate than long-term demographic projections, such as projections of elementary and secondary enrollment. Recent NCES projections of current expenditures generally have been less accurate than recent NCES projections of public elementary and secondary enrollment but more accurate than projections of teacher salaries. Projections of teacher salaries generally have been less accurate than projections of public elementary and secondary enrollment and similar in accuracy to projections of first-professional degrees. See appendix A for further discussion of the accuracy of recent projections of current expenditures and teacher salaries, and see table A2 in appendix $\mathbf{A}$ for the mean absolute percentage errors (MAPEs) of these projections.

# Section 7. Expenditures of Public DegreeGranting Postsecondary Institutions 

## Introduction

Current-fund expenditures in both public 4-year degree-grantinginstitutions and public 2-year degreegranting institutions are projected to increase in constant dollars between school years 1999-2000 and 2012-13.

## Three alternative sets of projections

Middle, low, and high sets of projections were produced for total current-fund expenditures as well as educational and $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{en}}{ }^{\text {ena }}$ l expenditures for both public 4-year and public 2-year degree-grantinginstitutions.
$\quad$ About the projections
Each set of projections is based on alternative assump-
tions concerningeconomic growth and receipts to state
and local governments. Many other factors that may
affect future expenditures were not considered in the
production of these projections. See appendix A for
more details.

## Public Institutions

Between 1999-2000 and 2012-13, increases are expected in the current-fund expenditures of public degree-granting institutions (figure L; reference figure 36 and tables $\mathbf{3 6}$ and 38).

## Current-fund expenditures

Current-fund expenditures in constant 200102 dollars of 4 -year and 2 -year degreegranting institutions combined increased 43 percent from 1987-88 to 1999-2000.

From 1999-2000 to 2012-13, current-fund expenditures in constant 2001-02 dollars are projected to increase

43 percent, to $\$ 229$ billion, in the middle alternative projections;
32 percent, to $\$ 212$ billion, in the low alternative projections; and
51 percent, to $\$ 241$ billion, in the high alternative projections.

Figure L. Current-fund expenditures of public degreegranting institutions, with middle alternative projections: Selected years


NOTE: Data were placed in constant 2001-02 dollars using the Consumer Price index for all urban consumers (BLS, U.S. Dept. of Labor). SOURCE: U.S. Dept. of Education. NCES: IntegratedPostsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), "Finance Survey, ${ }^{\text {, }}$ various years; and Expenditures in Degree-Granting Institutions Model. (See reference tables 36 and 38.)

## Public 4-Year Institutions

Between 1999-2000 and 2012-13, increases are expected in the current-fund expenditures and the educational and general expenditures of public 4 -year degree-granting institutions (figure M ; reference figure 36 and tables 36 and 37). Both overall increases and increases per student in full-time-equivalent (FTE) enrollment are expected.

## Current-fund expenditures

Current-fund expenditures in constant 200102 dollars increased 42 percent from 1987-88 to 1999-2000.
From 1999-2000 to 2012-13, public 4-year institutions' current-fund expenditures in constant 2001-02 dollars are projected to increase

- 43 percent, to $\$ 188$ billion, in the middle alternative projections;
- 35 percent, to $\$ 178$ billion, in the low alternative projections; and
- 49 percent, to $\$ 196$ billion, in the high alternative projections.


## Current-fund expenditures per student

Current-fund expenditures in constant 200102 dollars per student in FTE enrollment increased 26 percent from 1987-88 to 19992000.

From 1999-2000 to 2012-13, current-fund expenditures in constant 2001-02 dollars per student in FTE enrollment are projected to increase

- 16 percent, to $\$ 30,800$, in the middle alternative projections;
- 12 percent, to $\$ 29,900$, in the low alternative projections; and
- 16 percent, to $\$ 31,000$, in the high alternative projections.


## Educational and general expenditures

In the middle alternative projections, from 1999-2000 to 2012-13, educational and general expenditures in constant 2001-02 dollars are projected to increase

- 38 percent overall, from $\$ 99$ billion to $\$ 136$ billion; and
- 12 percent per student in FTE enrollment, from $\$ 20,000$ to $\$ 22,300$.


## A subset of current-fund expenditures

Educationalandgeneral expenditures consist of those currentfund expenditures that are for activities directly related to the education of students. Expenditures for such activities as auxiliary enterprises (e.g., student dormitories, cafeterias, and bookstores) and university hospitals are excluded from educational and general expenditures but are included in total current-fund expenditures.

## Public 2-Year Institutions

Between 1999-2000 and 2012-13, increases are expected in the current-fund expenditures and the educational and general expenditures of public 2-year degree-grantinginstitutions (figure N ; reference tables 38 and 39). Both overall increases and increases per student in FTE enrollment are expected.

## Current-fund expenditures

Current-fund expenditures in constant 200102 dollars increased 50 percent from 1987-88 to 1999-2000.
From 1999-2000 to 2012-13, public 2-year institutions' current-fund expenditures in constant 2001-02 dollars are projected to increase

- 40 percent, to $\$ 41$ billion, in the middle alternative projections;
- 18 percent, to $\$ 34$ billion, in the low alternative projections; and
- 56 percent, to $\$ 45$ billion, in the high alternative projections.


## Current-fund expenditures per student

Current-fund expenditures in constant 200102 dollars per student in FTE enrollment increased 24 percent from 1987-88 to 19992000.

From 1999-2000 to 2012-13, current-fund expenditures in constant 2001-02 dollars per student in FTE enrollment are projected to

- increase 16 percent, to $\$ 10,800$, in the middle alternative projections;
- decrease less than 1 percent, to $\$ 9,300$, in the low alternative projections; and
- increase 24 percent, to $\$ 11,600$, in the high alternative projections.

Figure N. Current-fund expenditures of public 2-year degree-granting institutions, with middle alternative projections: Selected years


NOIE Dta wee placed in constant 200142 dollars using the Consumer Pice index for all uban consumers (BLS, US. Dept $\&$ Labor).
SOURCE:U.S. Dept of Education, NCES: Integrated Postsecondary Educa tion Data System (IPEDS)Finance Survey," various years; and Expenditures in Degree-Granting Institutions Modd (See reference table 38.)

## Constant versus current dollars

Throughout this section, projections of current-fund expenditures and educational and general expenditures are presented in constant 2001-02 dollars. The reference tables, later in this report, present these data both in constant 2001-02 dollars and in current dollars. The projections were developed in constant dollars and then placed in current dollars using projections for the Consumer Price Index (CPI) (table B6 in appendix B). Three alternative sets of projections for the CPI were used, one with each set of projections (low, middle, and high).

## Educational and general expenditures

In the middle alternative projections, from 1999-2000 to 2012-13, educational and general expenditures in constant 2001-02 dollars are projected to increase

- 42 percent overall, from $\$ 27$ billion to $\$ 38$ billion; and
- 16 percent per student in FTE enrollment, from $\$ 8,800$ to $\$ 10,300$.


## Accuracy of Projections

Historically, the average difference between the actual values and the projections of current-fund expenditures of public degree-granting institutions has been about $\mathbf{2}$ percent for projections that are $\mathbf{2}$ or $\mathbf{3}$ years out from the year of the last actual data. Projections for years that are further out from the last year with actual data tend to be less accurate. The average difference between the actual values and projections 7 or more years out from the last year with actual data generally has been about $\mathbf{3}$ percent for both current-fund expenditures of public 4-year institutions and current-fund expenditures of public 2-year institutions.

Long-term projections that are economically based, such as projections of expenditures, are generally less accurate than long-term demographic projections, such as projections of elementary and secondary enrollment. NCES projections of current-fund expenditures of public degree-grantinginstitutions produced over the last 8 years generally have been less accurate than recent NCES projections of public elementary and secondary enrollment. They have been more accurate than projections of teacher salaries. They have been similar in accuracy to projections of current expenditures in elementary and secondary schools. See appendix A for further discussion of the accuracy of recent projections of expenditures of public degree-grantinginstitutions, and see table A2 in appendix A for the mean absolute percentage errors (MAPEs) of these projections.
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Figure 3. Enrollment in elementary and secondary schools, by control of institution, with projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013
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SOURCE: U.S. Departmentof Education, National Center for Education Statistics, The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD), "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public Elementary/Secondary Education,' various years; Private School Universe Survey (PSS), various years; 1985 Private School Survey; and National Elementary and Secondary EnrollmentModel.

Figure 4. Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools, by selected Millions grade, with projections: Fall 1993 to fall 2013


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD), "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public Elementary/Secondary Education," various years; and National Elementary and Secondary Enrollment Model.

Figure 5. Percent change in grades K -12 enrollment in public schools, by state: Fall 2001 to fall 2013


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NationalCenter for Education Statistics, The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD). "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public Elementary/Secondary Education," 2001-02; and State Public Elementary and Secondary Enrollment Model.

Figure 6. Percent change in grades K-8 enrollment in public schools, by state: Fall 2001 to fall 2013


Figure 7. Percent change in grades 9 -12 enrollment in public schools, by state: Fall 2001 to fall 2013


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics. The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD),
"State Nonfiscal Survey of Public Elernentary/Secondary Education," 2001-02; and State Public Elementary and Secondary Enrollment Model.

Figure 8. College-age populations (18-24 years and 25-29 years): 1988 to 2001 Millions


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Current Population Reports. Series P-25. Nos. 1092 and 1095, and previously unpublished tabulations.

Figure 9. College-age populations (30-34 years and 35-44 years): 1988 to 2001 Millions


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current PopulationReports, Series P-25, Nos. 1092 and 1095, and previously unpublished tabulations.

Figure 10. Enrollment in degree-granting institutions, with alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013

## Millions



SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education,National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, "Fall Enrollment Survey" (IPEDS-EF), various years: Enrollment in Degree-Granting Institutions Model.

Figure 11. Enrollment in degree-granting institutions, by age group, with middle alternative projections: Fall 1993,2003, and 2013
Millions


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, "Fall Enrollment Survey" (IPEDS-EF), various years: Enrollment in Degree-Granting InstitutionsModel; and U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, "Social and Economic Characteristics of Students," various years.

Figure 12. Enrollment of men in degree-granting institutions, by age group, with middle alternative projections: Fall 1993,2003, and 2013


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, IntegratedPostsecondary Education Data System, "Fall Enrollment Survey" (IPEDS-EF), various years; Enrollmentin Degree-Granting Institutions Model; and U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, CurrentPopulationReports, "Social and Economic Characteristics of Students," various years.

Figure 13. Enrollment of women in degree-granting institutions, by age group, with middle alternative projections: Fall 1993, 2003, and 2013

Millions


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, NationalCenter for Education Statlstlcs, Integrated PostsecondaryEducation Data System, "Fall Enrollment Survey" (IPEDS-EF), various years; Enrollment in Degree-Granting Institutions Model; and U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. Current Population Reports, "Socialand Economic Characteristics of Students." various years.

Figure 14. Enrollment in degreegranting institutions, by sex, with middle alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013
Millions
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SOURCE: U.S. Departmentof Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated PostsecondaryEducation Data System. "Fall Enrollment Survey" (IPEDS-EF), various years; Enrollmentin Degree-Granting Institutions Model.

Figure 15. Enrollment in degree-grantinginstitutions, by attendance status, with Millions middle alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated PostsecondaryEducation Data System, "Fall Enrollment Survey" (IPEDS-EF), various years; Enrollment in Degree-GrantingInstitutions Model.

Figure 16. Enrollment in degreegranting institutions, by control of institution, with alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013
Millions


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated PostsecondaryEducation Data System, "Fall Enrollment Survey" (IPEDS-EF), various years; Enrollmentin Degree-GrantingInstitutions Model.

Figure 17. Enrollment in degree-granting institutions, by type of institution, with alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013
Millions


SOURCE: U.S. Department 'Education, National Center II ic 7 Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, "Fall Enroliment :y" (IPEDS EF) various years; Enrollment in G ti Institutions Model

Figure 18. Undergraduate enrollment in degree-granting institutions, with alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013
Millions


SOURCE: U.S. Departmentof Education. National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System. "Fall Enrollment Survey" (IPEDS-EF), various years; Enrollment in Degree-Granting Institutions Model.

Figure 19. Postbaccalaureate enrollment in degree-granting institutions, with alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013
Millions


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, 'Fall Enrollment Survey" (IPEDS-EF), various years; Enrollmentin Degree-GrantingInstitutions Model.

Figure 20. Full-timeequivalentenrollment in degree-granting institutions, with alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, "Fall Enrollment Survey" (IPEDS-EF), various years; Enrollment in Degree-GrantingInstitutions Model.

Figure 21. High school graduates, with projections: 1987-88 to 2012-13
Millions


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD), "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public ElementarylSecondary Education," various years; "Early Estimates of Public ElementarylSecondary Education Survey," various years; Private School Universe Survey (PSS), various years; Private School Survey Early Estimates, various years; 1985 Private School Survey; and National Elementary and Secondary High School Graduates Enrollment Model.

Figure 22. High school graduates, by control of institution, with projections:
1987-88 to 2012-13

Millions
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School year ending
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD), 'State NonfiscalSurvey of Public ElementarylSecondary Education." various years; "Early Estimates of Public ElementarylSecondary Education Survey,' various years; Private SchoolUniverse Survey (PSS), various years; Private School Survey Early Estimates, various years; 1985 Private School Survey; and National Elementary and Secondary High School Graduates Enrollment Model.

Figure 23. Percent change in number of public high school graduates, by state: 2000-01 to 2012-13


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD), "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public Elementary/Secondary Education." various years: and State Public High School Graduates Model.

Figure 24. Associate's degrees, by sex of recipient, with projections:
1987-88 to 2012-13

Thousands


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, IntegratedPostsecondaryEducation Data System, "Completions Survey" (IPEDS-C), various years, and Earned Degrees Conferred Model.

Figure 25. Bachelor's degrees, by sex of recipient, with projections Thousands 1987-88 to 2012-13


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated PostsecondaryEducation Data System, "Completions Survey" (IPEDS-C), various years, and Earned Degrees Conferred Model.

Figure 26. Master's degrees, by sex of recipient, with projections: 1987-88 to 2012-13
Thousands


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, "Completions Survey" (IPEDS-C), various years, and Earned Degrees Conferred Model.

Figure 27. Doctor's degrees, by sex of recipient, with projections: 1987-88 to 2012-13
Thousands


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated PostsecondaryEducation Data System, 'Completions Survey" (IPEDS-C), various years, and Earned Degrees Conferred Model.

Figure 28. First-professional degrees, by sex of recipient, with projections:
Thousands 1987-88 to 2012-13


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated PostsecondaryEducationData System, "Completions Survey" (IPEDS-C), various years, and Earned Degrees Conferred Model.

Figure 29. Elementary and secondary teachers, with alternative projections; Fall 1988 to fall 2013
Millions


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD), "State NonfiscalSurvey of Public Elementary/Secondary Education,' various years; 'Early Estimates of Public Elementary/Secondary
EducationSurvey," various years; Private School Survey Early Estimates, various years; and Elementary and Secondary Teacher Model.

Figure 30. Elementary and secondary teachers, by control of institution, with middle alternativeprojections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013
Millions


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics, The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD), "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public Elementary/Secondary Education," various years; 'Early Estimates of Public Elementary/Secondary EducationSurvey,' various years; Private School Survey Early Estimates, various years; and Elementary and Semndary Teacher Model.

Figure 31. Pupillteacher ratios in elementary and secondary schools, with middle alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013
Ratio


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for EducationStatistics, The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD), "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public Elementary/Secondary Education," various years; "Early Estimates of Public Elementary/Secondary Education Survey," various years; Private School Survey Early Estimates, various years; and Elementary and Secondary Teacher Model.

Figure 32. Pupillteacher ratios in elementary and secondary schools, by control of institution, with middle alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD), "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public Elementary/Secondary Education," various years; "Early Estimates of Public Elementary/Secondary EducationSurvey,' various years; Private School Survey Early Estimates, various years; and Elementaryand Secondary Teacher Model.

Figure 33. Current expenditures of public schools (in constant 2001-02 dollars), with alternative projections: 1987-88 to 2012-13
Billions


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD), National Public EducationFinancial Survey," various years, and Elementary and Secondary School Current ExpendituresModel.

Figure 34. Current expenditures per pupil in fall enrollment in public schools, (in constant 2001-02 dollars), with alternative projections: 1987-88 to 2012-13


Figure 35. Estimated average annual salaries of elementary and secondary teachers in public schools (in constant 2001-02 dollars), with alternative projections: 1987-88 to 2012
Thousands


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for EducationStatistics, Elementary and Secondary Teacher Salary Model; and National Education Association, annual Estimates of School Statistics. (Latest edition 2003. Copyright 2003 by the National Education Association. All rights resewed.)

Figure 36. Current-fund expenditures of public degree-granting institutions (in constant 2001-02 dollars), with middle alternative projections: 1987-88 to 2012-13
Billions
 System, "Fall Enrollment Survey" (IPEDS-EF), various years; "Finance Survey" (IPEDS-F:FY), various years: Enrollment in Degree-Granting Institutions Model; and Expendituresin Degree-GrantingInstitutions Model.

Table 1. Enrollment in grades K-8 and 9-12 of elementary and secondary schools. by control of institution. with projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013
[In thousands]

| Year |  | Total |  |  | Public |  |  | Private |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | K-12 ${ }^{1}$ | K-8 ${ }^{1}$ | 9-12 | K-12 ${ }^{1}$ | K-8 ${ }^{1}$ | 9-12 | K-12 ${ }^{1}$ | K-8 ${ }^{1}$ | 9-12 |
| $1988{ }^{2}$ | .... | 45.430 | 32.537 | 12.893 | 40.188 | 28.501 | 11.687 | 5.242 | 4,036 | 1.206 |
| $1989{ }^{3}$ | ................ | 45,741 | 33,187 | 12,554 | 40,543 | 29,152 | 11,390 | 5,198 | 4,035 | 1,163 |
| $1990{ }^{4}$ | $\ldots$ | 46,451 | 33,962 | 12,488 | 41,217 | 29.878 | 11,338 | 5,234 | 4,084 | 1,150 |
| $1991{ }^{3}$ | ............ | 47,322 | 34,619 | 12,703 | 42,047 | 30.506 | 11,541 | 5,275 | 4,113 | 1,162 |
| $1992{ }^{4}$ | - | 48,145 | 35,264 | 12,882 | 42,823 | 31.088 | 11,735 | 5,322 | 4,175 | 1,147 |
| $1993{ }^{3}$ | ....... | 48,812 | 35,719 | 13,093 | 43,465 | 31.504 | 11,961 | 5,348 | 4,215 | 1,132 |
| $1994{ }^{4}$ | ................. | 49,610 | 36,233 | 13,376 | 44,111 | 31.898 | 12,213 | 5,498 | 4,335 | 1,163 |
| $1995{ }^{3}$ | ................ | 50,503 | 36,806 | 13,697 | 44,840 | 32.341 | 12,500 | 5,662 | 4,465 | 1,197 |
| $1996{ }^{4}$ | ........ | 51,375 | 37,316 | 14,060 | 45,611 | 32.764 | 12,847 | 5,764 | 4,551 | 1,213 |
| $1997{ }^{3}$ | ............. | 51,968 | 37,696 | 14,272 | 46,127 | 33.073 | 13.054 | 5,841 | 4,623 | 1,218 |
| $1998{ }^{4}$ | ................. | 52,475 | 38,048 | 14,427 | 46,539 | 33.346 | 13,193 | 5.937 | 4,702 | 1,235 |
| $1999{ }^{3}$ | .............. | 52,876 | 38.253 | 14.623 | 46,857 | 33.488 | 13.369 | 6,018 | 4,765 | 1,254 |
| $2000{ }^{4}$ |  | 53,385 | 38.584 | 14,801 | 47,223 | 33.709 | 13,514 | 6,162 | 4,875 | 1,287 |
| $2001{ }^{4}$ | ................. | 53,890 | 38,832 | 15,058 | 47,688 | 33.952 | 13,736 | 6,202 | 4,880 | 1,322 |
| Projected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | .... | 54,158 | 38,827 | 15,331 | 47,918 | 33.942 | 13,976 | 6,241 | 4,885 | 1,356 |
| 2003 | .... | 54,296 | 38,719 | 15,577 | 48,040 | 33.843 | 14,198 | 6,256 | 4,876 | 1,379 |
| 2004 | ................. | 54,455 | 38,541 | 15,914 | 48,175 | 33.669 | 14,506 | 6,279 | 4,871 | 1,408 |
| 2005 | ................. | 54,615 | 38,412 | 16,203 | 48,304 | 33.534 | 14,770 | 6,311 | 4,878 | 1,433 |
| 2006 | ................. | 54,907 | 38,522 | 16,385 | 48,524 | 33.589 | 14,936 | 6,383 | 4,933 | 1,449 |
| 2007 | ................. | 55,049 | 38,605 | 16,445 | 48,640 | 33.654 | 14,986 | 6,409 | 4,950 | 1,458 |
| 2008 | ................. | 55,124 | 38,766 | 16,358 | 48,690 | 33.791 | 14,899 | 6,434 | 4,975 | 1,459 |
| 2009 | .................. | 55,223 | 38,995 | 16,228 | 48,761 | 33.994 | 14,767 | 6,461 | 5,001 | 1.461 |
| 2010 | ................. | 55,386 | 39,283 | 16,103 | 48,890 | 34.243 | 14,648 | 6,495 | 5,040 | 1,455 |
| 2011 | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 55,618 | 39,688 | 15,930 | 49,084 | 34.597 | 14,487 | 6,534 | 5,091 | 1,443 |
| 2012 | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 55,946 | 40,154 | 15,792 | 49,367 | 35.006 | 14,361 | 6,579 | 5,148 | 1,430 |
| 2013 | .................. | 56.364 | 40.638 | 15.726 | 49.737 | 35.430 | 14.307 | 6.627 | 5,208 | 1,419 |

[^0]Table 2. Enrollment in elementary and secondary schools. by organizational level and control of institution. with projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013

| [In thousands] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  | Total |  |  | Public |  |  | Private |  |  |
|  |  | K-12 ${ }^{1}$ | Elementary | Secondary | K-12 ${ }^{1}$ | Elementary | Secondary | K-12 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Elementary | Secondary |
| $1988{ }^{2}$ | ...... | 45,430 | 29.776 | 15.654 | 40.188 | 25.740 | 14.448 | 5.242 | 4.036 | 1.206 |
| $1989{ }^{3}$ | ... | 45,741 | 30,443 | 15,298 | 40,543 | 26.408 | 14,135 | 5,198 | 4,035 | 1,163 |
| $1990{ }^{4}$ | ............. | 46,451 | 31,134 | 15,317 | 41,217 | 27.050 | 14,167 | 5,234 | 4,084 | 1,150 |
| $1991{ }^{3}$ | ................ | 47,322 | 31,604 | 15,719 | 42,047 | 27.490 | 14,557 | 5,275 | 4,113 | 1,162 |
| $1992{ }^{4}$ | ................. | 48,145 | 32,125 | 16,020 | 42,823 | 27.950 | 14,874 | 5,322 | 4,175 | 1,147 |
| $1993{ }^{3}$ | ................. | 48,812 | 32,484 | 16,328 | 43,465 | 28.269 | 15,196 | 5,348 | 4,215 | 1,132 |
| 1994 | ................. | 49,610 | 32,620 | 16,990 | 44,111 | 28.285 | 15,827 | 5,498 | 4,335 | 1,163 |
| $1995{ }^{3}$ |  | 50,503 | 33,080 | 17,423 | 44,840 | 28.614 | 16,226 | 5,662 | 4,465 | 1,197 |
| 1996 | ................. | 51,375 | 33,293 | 18,083 | 45,611 | 28.741 | 16,870 | 5,764 | 4,551 | 1,213 |
| 1997 | .................. | 51,968 | 33,732 | 18,237 | 46,127 | 29.109 | 17,018 | 5,841 | 4,623 | 1,218 |
| $1998{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ................. | 52,475 | 34,295 | 18,180 | 46,539 | 29.593 | 16,945 | 5,937 | 4,702 | 1,235 |
| $1999{ }^{3}$ |  | 52,876 | 34,493 | 18,383 | 46,857 | 29.728 | 17,129 | 6,018 | 4,765 | 1,254 |
| $2000{ }^{4}$ | ............... | 53,385 | 34,779 | 18,606 | 47,223 | 29.904 | 17,319 | 6,162 | 4,875 | 1,287 |
| 2001 | ................. | 53,890 | 34,958 | 18,932 | 47,688 | 30.078 | 17,610 | 6,202 | 4,880 | 1,322 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Projected |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | ............ | 54,158 | 34,804 | 19,354 | 47,918 | 29.919 | 17,999 | 6,241 | 4,885 | 1,356 |
| 2003 | ... | 54,296 | 34,631 | 19,665 | 48,040 | 29.755 | 18,285 | 6,256 | 4,876 | 1,379 |
| 2004 | ................. | 54,455 | 34,454 | 20,001 | 48,175 | 29.583 | 18,593 | 6,279 | 4,871 | 1,408 |
| 2005 | ................. | 54,615 | 34,351 | 20,264 | 48,304 | 29.473 | 18,831 | 6,311 | 4,878 | 1,433 |
| 2006 | -...... | 54,907 | 34,502 | 20,404 | 48,524 | 29.569 | 18,955 | 6,383 | 4,933 | 1,449 |
| 2007 | ................. | 55,049 | 34,629 | 20,421 | 48,640 | 29.678 | 18,962 | 6,409 | 4,950 | 1,458 |
| 2008 | ................. | 55.124 | 34,815 | 20,309 | 48,690 | 29.841 | 18,850 | 6,434 | 4,975 | 1,459 |
| 2009 | ... | 55,223 | 35,086 | 20,137 | 48,761 | 30.085 | 18,676 | 6,461 | 5,001 | 1,461 |
| 2010 | ................. | 55,386 | 35,417 | 19,969 | 48,890 | 30.377 | 18,514 | 6,495 | 5,040 | 1,455 |
| 2011 | ................. | 55,618 | 35,815 | 19,803 | 49,084 | 30.724 | 18,360 | 6,534 | 5,091 | 1,443 |
| 2012 | ................. | 55,946 | 36,239 | 19,707 | 49,367 | 31.091 | 18,277 | 6,579 | 5,148 | 1,430 |
| 2013 | ................. | 56.364 | 36.611 | 19.754 | 49.737 | 31.403 | 18.335 | 6.627 | 5.208 | 1.419 |

[^1]Table 3. Enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools. by grade. with projections:

$$
\text { Fall } 1993 \text { to fall } 2013
$$

[In thousands]

| Year |  | Total | Kindergarten ${ }^{1}$ | Grade | Grade | Grade <br> 3 | Grade | Grade | Grade | Grade | Grade | Grade | Grade | Grade | Grade | Elementary | Secondary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | garten | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Unclassified | Unclassified |
| 1993 |  | 43.465 | 3.922 | 3.529 | 3.429 | 3.437 | 3.361 | 3.350 | 3.356 | 3.355 | 3.249 | 3.487 | 3.050 | 2.751 | 2.424 | 515 | 248 |
| 1994 | ........ | 44,111 | 4,047 | 3.593 | 3.440 | 3.439 | 3.426 | 3.372 | 3.381 | 3.404 | 3.302 | 3.604 | 3,131 | 2,748 | 2,488 | 494 | 242 |
| 1995 |  | 44,840 | 4,173 | 3.671 | 3.507 | 3.445 | 3.431 | 3.438 | 3.395 | 3.422 | 3.356 | 3.704 | 3,237 | 2,826 | 2,487 | 502 | 245 |
| 1996 | ... | 45,611 | 4,203 | 3.770 | 3.600 | 3.524 | 3.454 | 3.453 | 3.494 | 3.464 | 3.403 | 3.801 | 3,323 | 2,930 | 2,586 | 401 | 206 |
| 1997 |  | 46,127 | 4,199 | 3.755 | 3.689 | 3.597 | 3.507 | 3.458 | 3.492 | 3.520 | 3.415 | 3.819 | 3,376 | 2,972 | 2,673 | 442 | 214 |
| 1998 |  | 46,539 | 4,172 | 3.727 | 3.681 | 3.696 | 3.592 | 3.520 | 3.497 | 3.530 | 3.480 | 3.856 | 3,382 | 3,021 | 2,722 | 451 | 212 |
| 1999 | ........ | 46,857 | 4,148 | 3.684 | 3.655 | 3.690 | 3.686 | 3.604 | 3.564 | 3.541 | 3.497 | 3.935 | 3,415 | 3,034 | 2,782 | 418 | 203 |
| 2000 |  | 47,223 | 4,177 | 3.635 | 3.633 | 3.673 | 3.708 | 3.703 | 3.658 | 3.624 | 3.532 | 3.958 | 3,487 | 3,080 | 2,799 | 366 | 189 |
| 2001 |  | 47,688 | 4,248 | 3.615 | 3.595 | 3.654 | 3.696 | 3.728 | 3.770 | 3.722 | 3.619 | 4.013 | 3,529 | 3,174 | 2,863 | 306 | 157 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Project |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 |  | 47,918 | 4,099 | 3.605 | 3.560 | 3.610 | 3.665 | 3.712 | 3.784 | 3.828 | 3.703 | 4.096 | 3,569 | 3,189 | 2,930 | 376 | 191 |
| 2003 |  | 48,040 | 4,084 | 3.539 | 3.550 | 3.575 | 3.620 | 3.681 | 3.769 | 3.843 | 3.809 | 4.191 | 3,643 | 3,226 | 2,944 | 373 | 194 |
| 2004 | ........ | 48,175 | 4,115 | 3.526 | 3.485 | 3.565 | 3.586 | 3.636 | 3.737 | 3.827 | 3.823 | 4.311 | 3,728 | 3,293 | 2,978 | 369 | 197 |
| 2005 | ........ | 48,304 | 4,173 | 3.553 | 3.472 | 3.500 | 3.575 | 3.601 | 3.692 | 3.795 | 3.807 | 4.327 | 3,834 | 3,369 | 3,039 | 366 | 200 |
| 2006 | ........ | 48,524 | 4,354 | 3.602 | 3.498 | 3.486 | 3.510 | 3.591 | 3.656 | 3.749 | 3.776 | 4.309 | 3,849 | 3,466 | 3,110 | 366 | 202 |
| 2007 | ...... | 48,640 | 4,360 | 3.758 | 3.547 | 3.513 | 3.497 | 3.525 | 3.646 | 3.713 | 3.730 | 4.274 | 3,833 | 3,479 | 3,199 | 366 | 202 |
| 2008 | ........ | 48,690 | 4,389 | 3.763 | 3.700 | 3.562 | 3.523 | 3.512 | 3.579 | 3.702 | 3.694 | 4.221 | 3,801 | 3,464 | 3,211 | 367 | 201 |
| 2009 | ........ | 48,761 | 4,422 | 3.787 | 3.705 | 3.716 | 3.573 | 3.539 | 3.565 | 3.634 | 3.683 | 4.181 | 3,755 | 3,435 | 3,198 | 369 | 199 |
| 2010 | ........ | 48,890 | 4,461 | 3.816 | 3.729 | 3.721 | 3.727 | 3.588 | 3.593 | 3.621 | 3.616 | 4.169 | 3,719 | 3,393 | 3,171 | 371 | 196 |
| 2011 | ....... | 49,084 | 4,503 | 3.849 | 3.757 | 3.745 | 3.732 | 3.743 | 3.643 | 3.648 | 3.602 | 4.093 | 3,708 | 3,361 | 3,132 | 375 | 193 |
| 2012 | ........ | 49,367 | 4,546 | 3.885 | 3.790 | 3.773 | 3.756 | 3.748 | 3.800 | 3.699 | 3.630 | 4.077 | 3,640 | 3,351 | 3,102 | 379 | 191 |
| 2013 | ........ | 49,737 | 4,592 | 3.922 | 3.825 | 3.806 | 3.784 | 3.773 | 3.805 | 3.859 | 3.681 | 4.108 | 3,626 | 3,290 | 3,093 | 384 | 189 |

[^2]Table 4. Enrollment in grades K-12 in public elementary and secondary schools. by region and state. with projections: Fall 1995 to fall 2013


Table 4. Enrollment in grades K-12 in public elementary and secondary schools. by region and state. with projections: Fall 1994 to fall 2013-Continued
[In thousands]

| Region and state | Projected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| United States | 48.304 | 48,524 | 48.640 | 48,690 | 48,761 | 48,890 | 49,084 | 49,367 | 49,737 |
| Northeast | 8,275 | 8,258 | 8,224 | 8,179 | 8,138 | 8,110 | 8,091 | 8,090 | 8,104 |
| Connecticut | 569 | 568 | 565 | 562 | 559 | 556 | 554 | 554 | 554 |
| Maine | 200 | 198 | 197 | 197 | 197 | 197 | 197 | 199 | 201 |
| Massachusetts | 970 | 968 | 963 | 957 | 953 | 951 | 949 | 950 | 950 |
| New Hampshire | 204 | 204 | 203 | 202 | 202 | 203 | 204 | 205 | 206 |
| New Jersey | 1,376 | 1,379 | 1,379 | 1,376 | 1,374 | 1,372 | 1,370 | 1,372 | 1,375 |
| New York | 2,862 | 2,851 | 2,834 | 2,814 | 2,795 | 2,782 | 2,772 | 2,768 | 2,772 |
| Pennsylvania | 1,832 | 1,829 | 1,822 | 1,811 | 1,800 | 1,793 | 1,787 | 1,785 | 1,787 |
| Rhode Island | 163 | 163 | 163 | 162 | 161 | 161 | 161 | 160 | 161 |
| Vermont | 98 | 98 | 97 | 97 | 96 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 98 |
| Midwest | 10,741 | 10,756 | 10,743 | 10,713 | 10,687 | 10,676 | 10,678 | 10,703 | 10,746 |
| Illinois | 2,089 | 2,098 | 2,098 | 2,096 | 2,093 | 2,091 | 2,090 | 2,091 | 2,097 |
| Indiana | 1,002 | 1,004 | 1,004 | 1,003 | 1,000 | 999 | 998 | 999 | 1,001 |
| Iowa | 481 | 482 | 480 | 478 | 477 | 476 | 475 | 476 | 478 |
| Kansas | 460 | 460 | 461 | 462 | 463 | 465 | 468 | 472 | 477 |
| Michigan | 1,802 | 1,807 | 1,804 | 1,793 | 1,784 | 1,777 | 1,772 | 1,771 | 1,773 |
| Minnesota | 831 | 830 | 828 | 825 | 825 | 826 | 829 | 834 | 841 |
| Missouri | 906 | 907 | 907 | 905 | 903 | 902 | 904 | 908 | 914 |
| Nebraska | 280 | 281 | 282 | 282 | 282 | 284 | 285 | 288 | 291 |
| North Dakota | 100 | 99 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 99 | 99 | 100 | 101 |
| Ohio | 1,805 | 1,802 | 1,796 | 1,787 | 1,779 | 1,773 | 1,769 | 1,770 | 1,773 |
| South Dakota | 124 | 124 | 125 | 125 | 126 | 127 | 128 | 129 | 131 |
| Wisconsin | 861 | 862 | 860 | 858 | 857 | 857 | 860 | 863 | 870 |
| South | 17,430 | 17,522 | 17,571 | 17,604 | 17,632 | 17,668 | 17,727 | 17,816 | 17,933 |
| Alabama | 727 | 727 | 726 | 723 | 721 | 720 | 720 | 722 | 725 |
| Arkansas | 442 | 442 | 440 | 438 | 437 | 436 | 436 | 436 | 437 |
| Delaware | 117 | 118 | 118 | 118 | 118 | 118 | 118 | 117 | 118 |
| District of Columbia | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 70 | 70 | 72 | 73 |
| Florida | 2,567 | 2,583 | 2,591 | 2,597 | 2,601 | 2,603 | 2,609 | 2,620 | 2,635 |
| Georgia | 1,513 | 1,525 | 1,532 | 1,536 | 1,540 | 1,546 | 1,552 | 1,560 | 1,571 |
| Kentucky | 631 | 631 | 629 | 626 | 623 | 621 | 621 | 620 | 618 |
| Louisiana | 721 | 719 | 718 | 720 | 720 | 720 | 722 | 726 | 732 |
| Maryland | 868 | 869 | 867 | 865 | 863 | 864 | 866 | 870 | 875 |
| Mississippi | 488 | 488 | 487 | 486 | 484 | 482 | 481 | 481 | 481 |
| North Carolina | 1,326 | 1,328 | 1,325 | 1,321 | 1,315 | 1,309 | 1,305 | 1,303 | 1,304 |
| Oklahoma | 600 | 600 | 600 | 599 | 599 | 600 | 602 | 606 | 611 |
| South Carolina | 695 | 697 | 696 | 697 | 695 | 694 | 694 | 695 | 698 |
| Tennessee | 935 | 939 | 940 | 939 | 938 | 938 | 939 | 943 | 947 |
| Texas | 4,258 | 4,309 | 4,353 | 4,394 | 4,434 | 4,475 | 4,520 | 4,571 | 4,629 |
| Virginia | 1,197 | 1,204 | 1,206 | 1,205 | 1,204 | 1,204 | 1,205 | 1,208 | 1,213 |
| West Virginia ...... | 276 | 275 | 274 | 272 | 270 | 269 | 267 | 267 | 266 |
| West | 11,859 | 11,988 | 12,102 | 12,196 | 12,305 | 12,436 | 12,587 | 12,759 | 12,955 |
| Alaska | 138 | 140 | 141 | 143 | 145 | 147 | 150 | 153 | 157 |
| Arizona | 967 | 980 | 991 | 999 | 1,005 | 1,011 | 1,018 | 1,025 | 1,033 |
| California | 6.528 | 6,604 | 6,673 | 6,730 | 6,800 | 6,888 | 6,986 | 7,099 | 7.232 |
| Colorado | 762 | 770 | 776 | 781 | 786 | 790 | 795 | 801 | 807 |
| Hawaii | 190 | 192 | 194 | 196 | 199 | 202 | 206 | 210 | 214 |
| Idaho | 255 | 258 | 261 | 264 | 268 | 271 | 275 | 279 | 284 |
| Montana | 149 | 149 | 150 | 150 | 151 | 152 | 154 | 156 | 159 |
| Nevada | 392 | 399 | 404 | 407 | 409 | 408 | 408 | 407 | 406 |
| New Mexico | 328 | 331 | 335 | 339 | 343 | 348 | 354 | 361 | 368 |
| Oregon | 554 | 557 | 559 | 560 | 563 | 566 | 571 | 576 | 581 |
| Utah | 494 | 501 | 507 | 513 | 519 | 525 | 533 | 540 | 546 |
| Washington | 1,013 | 1,018 | 1,022 | 1,024 | 1.028 | 1.034 | 1.042 | 1.054 | 1,067 |
| Wyoming | 87 | 88 | 89 | 90 | 91 | 93 | 95 | 97 | 100 |

[^3]Table 5. Percent change in grades K-12 enrollment in public schools. by region and state. with projections: Fall 1995 to fall 2013

| Region and state | Actual | Projected |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1995-2001 | 2001-2007 | 2007-2013 | 2001-2013 |
| United States | 6.4 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 4.3 |
| Northeast | 4.5 | -0.3 | -1.5 | -1.8 |
| Connecticut | 10.1 | -0.9 | -2.0 | -2.8 |
| Maine | -3.7 | -4.0 | 1.6 | -2.4 |
| Massachusetts | 6.4 | -1.0 | -1.4 | -2.4 |
| New Hampshire | 6.5 | -1.9 | 1.7 | -0.2 |
| New Jersey | 12.0 | 2.8 | -0.3 | 2.5 |
| New York | 2.1 | -1.3 | -2.2 | -3.5 |
| Pennsylvania | 1.9 | 0.0 | -1.9 | -1.9 |
| Rhode Island | 5.5 | 3.0 | -1.1 | 1.9 |
| Vermont | -4.2 | -3.8 | 0.7 | -3.2 |
| Midwest | 2.2 | \# | \# | \# |
| Illinois | 6.6 | 1.3 | -0.1 | 1.2 |
| Indiana | 1.9 | 0.8 | -0.4 | 0.4 |
| Iowa | -3.3 | -1.1 | -0.5 | -1.6 |
| Kansas | 1.6 | -1.9 | 3.3 | 1.4 |
| Michigan | 5.4 | 4.2 | -1.7 | 2.4 |
| Minnesota | 1.9 | -2.8 | 1.6 | -1.2 |
| Missouri | 2.2 | -0.3 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| Nebraska | -1.6 | -1.2 | 3.2 | 2.0 |
| North Dakota | -11.0 | -7.2 | 3.0 | -4.5 |
| Ohio | -0.3 | -1.9 | -1.3 | -3.2 |
| South Dakota | -11.8 | -2.2 | 4.9 | 2.6 |
| Wisconsin | 1.1 | -2.2 | 1.1 | -1.1 |
| South | 7.0 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 3.9 |
| Alabama | -1.2 | -1.6 | -0.1 | -1.7 |
| Arkansas | -0.8 | -2.1 | -0.9 | -2.9 |
| Delaware | 6.5 | 2.2 | -0.4 | 1.8 |
| District of Columbia | -5.5 | -8.6 | 6.4 | -2.8 |
| Florida | 14.9 | 3.6 | 1.7 | 5.4 |
| Georgia | 12.2 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 6.8 |
| Kentucky | -0.8 | -3.9 | -1.7 | -5.5 |
| Louisiana | -8.3 | -1.8 | 1.9 | \# |
| Maryland | 6.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.7 |
| Mississippi . | -2.5 | -1.3 | -1.2 | -2.4 |
| North Carolina | 11.2 | 0.8 | -1.6 | -0.9 |
| Oklahoma | 0.9 | -3.6 | 2.0 | -1.7 |
| South Carolina | 7.0 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.9 |
| Tennessee | 3.5 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 2.4 |
| Texas | 11.1 | 4.5 | 6.3 | 11.2 |
| Virginia | 7.7 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 4.3 |
| West Virginia ...... | -7.9 | -3.3 | -2.9 | -6.1 |
| West | 10.9 | 5.8 | 7.0 | 13.2 |
| Alaska | 5.3 | 5.2 | 11.2 | 17.0 |
| Arizona | 24.0 | 7.5 | 4.2 | 12.0 |
| California | 12.9 | 6.8 | 8.4 | 15.7 |
| Colorado | 13.1 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 8.8 |
| Hawaii | -1.4 | 5.3 | 10.2 | 16.1 |
| Idaho | 1.4 | 6.0 | 8.6 | 15.1 |
| Montana | -8.2 | -1.5 | 6.2 | 4.6 |
| Nevada | 34.6 | 13.3 | 0.4 | 13.8 |
| New Mexico | -2.8 | 4.5 | 10.0 | 14.9 |
| Oregon | 4.5 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 5.4 |
| Utah | 1.6 | 4.6 | 7.8 | 12.7 |
| Washington | 5.5 | 1.2 | 4.4 | 5.7 |
| Wyoming ............ | -11.7 | 0.6 | 12.4 | 13.1 |

\# Rounds to zero.
NOTE: Calculations are based on unrounded numbers. Includes most nursery school enrollment. Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2. appendix A.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for EducationStatistics. The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD). "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public
Elementary/Secondary Education." various years; and State Public Elementary and Secondary Enrollment Model. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table 6. Enrollment in grades $\mathrm{K}-8$ in public schools. by region and state. with projections:
Fall 1995 to fall 2013
[In thousands]

| Region and state | Actual |  |  |  |  |  |  | Projected |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 200 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| United States | .32,341 | 32,764 | 33,073 | 33.346 | 33.488 | 33.709 | 33.952 | 33.942 | 33.843 | 33.669 |
| Northeast ................................ | 5,659 | 5,729 | 5,774 | 5,820 | 5,841 | 5,826 | 5.824 | 5,818 | 5,767 | 5,703 |
| Connecticut ........................... | 384 | 389 | 394 | 399 | 404 | 406 | 410 | 406 | 402 | 397 |
| Maine | 156 | 156 | 153 | 151 | 149 | 146 | 144 | 143 | 141 | 140 |
| Massachusetts | 675 | 688 | 696 | 705 | 706 | 703 | 699 | 698 | 691 | 684 |
| New Hampshire ...................... | 142 | 144 | 145 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 144 | 144 | 142 | 141 |
| New Jersey ........................... | 880 | 903 | 921 | 936 | 9.54 | 953 | 972 | 972 | 967 | 960 |
| New York ........................... | 1,980 | 2,000 | 2,011 | 2,028 | 2,034 | 2,029 | 2,017 | 2,013 | 1,991 | 1,964 |
| Pennsylvania ......................... | 1,257 | 1,264 | 1,266 | 1,267 | 1,262 | 1,258 | 1,255 | 1,259 | 1,250 | 1,237 |
| Rhode Island .......................... | 110 | 110 | 112 | 112 | 114 | 114 | 113 | 114 | 114 | 113 |
| Vermont ............................. | 75 | 75 | 74 | 73 | 72 | 70 | 69 | 69 | 68 | 67 |
| Midwest ................................. | 7,448 | 7,504 | 7,554 | 7,565 | 7,551 | 7,557 | 7,517 | 7,529 | 7,480 | 7,415 |
| Illinois ................................ | 1,390 | 1,412 | 1,438 | 1,452 | 1,462 | 1,474 | 1,484 | 1,483 | 1,476 | 1,467 |
| Indiana | 684 | 689 | 693 | 697 | 699 | 703 | 711 | 710 | 707 | 702 |
| Iowa | 344 | 342 | 338 | 337 | 336 | 334 | 330 | 330 | 327 | 324 |
| Kansas | 329 | 328 | 328 | 327 | 326 | 323 | 322 | 320 | 319 | 317 |
| Michigan | 1,192 | 1,212 | 1,236 | 1,245 | 1,245 | 1,256 | 1,223 | 1,254 | 1,248 | 1,233 |
| Minnesota | 586 | 589 | 588 | 587 | 580 | 578 | 573 | 568 | 563 | 557 |
| Missouri .............................. | 636 | 643 | 650 | 651 | 649 | 645 | 643 | 642 | 638 | 633 |
| Nebraska ............................. | 203 | 203 | 202 | 200 | 197 | 195 | 195 | 194 | 193 | 192 |
| North Dakota ......................... | 82 | 82 | 80 | 77 | 75 | 72 | 70 | 70 | 69 | 68 |
| Ohio | 1,297 | 1,299 | 1,299 | 1,301 | 1,296 | 1,294 | 1,287 | 1,282 | 1,271 | 1,258 |
| South Dakota ......................... | 101 | 99 | 98 | 91 | 90 | 88 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 |
| Wisconsin .......................... | 603 | 605 | 604 | 601 | 596 | 595 | 592 | 588 | 583 | 578 |
| South | 11,772 | 11,911 | 12,022 | 12,127 | 12,191 | 12,315 | 12,467 | 12,419 | 12,391 | 12,348 |
| Alabama | 539 | 540 | 541 | 542 | 539 | 539 | 536 | 535 | 531 | 526 |
| Arkansas | 322 | 324 | 322 | 319 | 318 | 318 | 318 | 316 | 313 | 310 |
| Delaware ......................... | 77 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 80 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 82 | 82 |
| District of Columbia ................. | 62 | 61 | 60 | 57 | 60 | 54 | 58 | 55 | 54 | 53 |
| Florida ................................. | 1,614 | 1,653 | 1,680 | 1,704 | 1,725 | 1,760 | 1,797 | 1,790 | 1,788 | 1,783 |
| Georgia ............................... | 966 | 991 | 1,011 | 1,029 | 1,044 | 1,060 | 1,075 | 1,077 | 1,078 | 1,075 |
| Kentucky ............................. | 468 | 466 | 474 | 464 | 459 | 472 | 473 | 463 | 460 | 456 |
| Louisiana .............................. | 580 | 575 | 564 | 558 | 548 | 547 | 537 | 536 | 531 | 529 |
| Maryland ............................. | 590 | 597 | 602 | 607 | 607 | 609 | 611 | 610 | 606 | 601 |
| Mississippi ............................ | 366 | 364 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 364 | 362 | 362 | 360 | 357 |
| North Carolina ....................... | 871 | 886 | 906 | 921 | 935 | 945 | 956 | 951 | 945 | 937 |
| Oklahoma | 446 | 445 | 445 | 448 | 447 | 445 | 446 | 437 | 433 | 429 |
| South Carolina ........................ | 463 | 468 | 473 | 478 | 484 | 493 | 500 | 495 | 492 | 493 |
| Tennessee .............................. | 651 | 657 | 653 | 665 | 664 | 668 | 675 | 675 | 673 | 668 |
| Texas .................................. | 2,757 | 2,800 | 2,832 | 2,868 | 2,896 | 2,943 | 3,016 | 3,000 | 3,013 | 3,024 |
| Virginia .............................. | 788 | 796 | 807 | 815 | 817 | 816 | 826 | 836 | 835 | 831 |
| West Virginia ........................ | 211 | 209 | 207 | 206 | 203 | 201 | 200 | 199 | 197 | 196 |
| West ...................................... | 7,462 | 7,620 | 7,723 | 7,834 | 7,904 | 8,012 | 8,144 | 8,176 | 8,205 | 8,204 |
| Alaska ................................. | 93 | 94 | 96 | 97 | 96 | 94 | 95 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Arizona ............................... | 549 | 588 | 596 | 623 | 624 | 641 | 672 | 669 | 674 | 676 |
| California ............................. | 4,041 | 4,129 | 4,196 | 4,270 | 4,337 | 4,409 | 4,480 | 4,508 | 4,525 | 4,522 |
| Colorado ............................... | 479 | 487 | 494 | 501 | 507 | 517 | 529 | 527 | 529 | 530 |
| Hawaii | 136 | 136 | 136 | 135 | 133 | 132 | 132 | 134 | 134 | 135 |
| Idaho .................................. | 170 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 170 | 171 | 173 | 174 | 175 |
| Montana ............................... | 116 | 115 | 112 | 110 | 107 | 105 | 103 | 103 | 103 | 102 |
| Nevada ................................ | 196 | 208 | 219 | 229 | 240 | 251 | 262 | 264 | 268 | 268 |
| New Mexico | 229 | 230 | 236 | 232 | 229 | 225 | 225 | 228 | 228 | 230 |
| Oregon ................................ | 376 | 380 | 381 | 380 | 378 | 379 | 382 | 382 | 381 | 379 |
| Utah ................................... | 328 | 328 | 329 | 329 | 329 | 333 | 338 | 338 | 340 | 342 |
| Washington .......................... | 680 | 687 | 694 | 696 | 695 | 694 | 696 | 696 | 693 | 689 |
| Wyoming ............................. | 69 | 67 | 66 | 64 | 62 | 60 | 59 | 60 | 60 | 60 |

Table 6. Enrollment in grades K-8 in public schools. by region and state. with projections: Fall 1995 to fall 2013-Continued
[In thousands]

| Region and state | Projected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| United States ......................................... | 33,534 | 33,589 | 33.654 | 33.791 | 33.994 | 34.243 | 34.597 | 35.006 | 35.430 |
| Northeast .................................................... | 5,647 | 5,620 | 5,596 | 5,587 | 5,589 | 5,587 | 5,603 | 5,630 | 5,662 |
| Connecticut | 393 | 390 | 387 | 385 | 384 | 384 | 386 | 389 | 392 |
| Maine | 139 | 139 | 139 | 140 | 142 | 142 | 143 | 144 | 145 |
| Massachusetts | 678 | 676 | 675 | 675 | 673 | 672 | 672 | 674 | 677 |
| New Hampshire | 140 | 140 | 141 | 142 | 143 | 144 | 144 | 145 | 146 |
| New Jersey | 953 | 951 | 948 | 947 | 946 | 949 | 954 | 961 | 969 |
| New York | 1,940 | 1,928 | 1,916 | 1,909 | 1,909 | 1,907 | 1,912 | 1,921 | 1,932 |
| Pennsylvania | 1,224 | 1,217 | 1,212 | 1,210 | 1,212 | 1,210 | 1,211 | 1,215 | 1,219 |
| Rhode Island | 112 | 111 | 111 | 110 | 110 | 111 | 111 | 112 | 113 |
| Vermont | 67 | 67 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 |
| Midwest ................................................... | 7,359 | 7,348 | 7,338 | 7,350 | 7,378 | 7,403 | 7,449 | 7,504 | 7,559 |
| Illinois | 1,457 | 1,456 | 1,452 | 1,448 | 1,448 | 1,454 | 1,464 | 1,477 | 1,490 |
| Indiana | 698 | 697 | 696 | 697 | 698 | 699 | 702 | 705 | 709 |
| Iowa | 321 | 321 | 320 | 321 | 323 | 324 | 326 | 328 | 329 |
| Kansas | 316 | 317 | 319 | 321 | 324 | 327 | 331 | 335 | 339 |
| Michigan | 1,221 | 1,216 | 1,211 | 1,209 | 1.209 | 1,209 | 1,213 | 1,218 | 1,224 |
| Minnesota | 553 | 553 | 554 | 557 | 562 | 566 | 572 | 578 | 585 |
| Missouri ............................................... | 628 | 626 | 626 | 629 | 634 | 637 | 642 | 648 | 653 |
| Nebraska | 192 | 193 | 194 | 196 | 198 | 200 | 202 | 204 | 206 |
| North Dakota | 68 | 68 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 |
| Ohio | 1,245 | 1,240 | 1,235 | 1,236 | 1,238 | 1,239 | 1,243 | 1,249 | 1,255 |
| South Dakota | 87 | 87 | 88 | 90 | 91 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 95 |
| Wisconsin | 574 | 575 | 576 | 579 | 584 | 587 | 591 | 596 | 601 |
| South | 12,306 | 12,327 | 12,345 | 12,384 | 12,441 | 12,532 | 12,657 | 12,800 | 12,945 |
| Alabama | 521 | 520 | 519 | 520 | 523 | 524 | 526 | 529 | 533 |
| Arkansas | 307 | 306 | 306 | 307 | 307 | 308 | 309 | 310 | 312 |
| Delaware | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 82 | 82 |
| District of Columbia | 52 | 52 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 59 |
| Florida | 1,776 | 1,777 | 1,776 | 1,776 | 1,777 | 1,793 | 1,814 | 1,839 | 1,865 |
| Georgia | 1,074 | 1,079 | 1,081 | 1,085 | 1,090 | 1,099 | 1,110 | 1,123 | 1,135 |
| Kentucky | 451 | 450 | 451 | 452 | 449 | 449 | 449 | 450 | 451 |
| Louisiana | 526 | 525 | 525 | 527 | 531 | 535 | 540 | 545 | 551 |
| Maryland | 596 | 595 | 595 | 597 | 600 | 603 | 607 | 613 | 619 |
| Mississippi | 354 | 353 | 352 | 352 | 353 | 353 | 354 | 355 | 356 |
| North Carolina | 929 | 924 | 919 | 914 | 911 | 913 | 918 | 924 | 930 |
| Oklahoma | 425 | 425 | 426 | 427 | 431 | 435 | 441 | 446 | 452 |
| South Carolina | 490 | 489 | 487 | 487 | 488 | 489 | 491 | 494 | 498 |
| Tennessee ................................................ | 664 | 664 | 665 | 667 | 671 | 673 | 677 | 682 | 687 |
| Texas | 3,039 | 3,069 | 3,094 | 3,122 | 3,155 | 3,202 | 3,258 | 3,318 | 3,379 |
| Virginia | 826 | 825 | 824 | 824 | 827 | 829 | 834 | 841 | 848 |
| West Virginia .......................................... | 194 | 193 | 193 | 192 | 192 | 191 | 191 | 190 | 189 |
| West | 8,222 | 8,294 | 8,375 | 8,471 | 8,586 | 8,721 | 8,888 | 9,072 | 9,265 |
| Alaska | 97 | 98 | 100 | 102 | 105 | 108 | 110 | 113 | 115 |
| Arizona | 677 | 681 | 684 | 687 | 691 | 699 | 710 | 722 | 733 |
| California | 4,532 | 4,576 | 4,621 | 4,677 | 4,748 | 4,833 | 4.941 | 5,065 | 5,198 |
| Colorado | 531 | 535 | 538 | 542 | 546 | 551 | 558 | 565 | 572 |
| Hawaii ................................................... | 135 | 138 | 140 | 143 | 147 | 149 | 152 | 155 | 159 |
| Idaho ................................................... | 177 | 180 | 183 | 187 | 191 | 194 | 197 | 200 | 202 |
| Montana ................................................ | 103 | 103 | 105 | 107 | 110 | 111 | 112 | 113 | 114 |
| Nevada .................................................. | 269 | 269 | 269 | 268 | 265 | 268 | 271 | 274 | 276 |
| New Mexico ........................................... | 231 | 235 | 239 | 244 | 250 | 255 | 259 | 264 | 269 |
| Oregon ................................................. | 378 | 379 | 382 | 386 | 390 | 394 | 399 | 405 | 410 |
| Utah .................................................... | 345 | 350 | 356 | 362 | 367 | 373 | 379 | 386 | 391 |
| Washington ............................................ | 687 | 689 | 693 | 701 | 709 | 718 | 728 | 740 | 752 |
| Wyoming .............................................. | 60 | 62 | 63 | 65 | 67 | 69 | 70 | 72 | 73 |

[^4]Table 7. Percent change in grades $\mathrm{K} \mathbf{- 8}$ enrollment in public schools. by region and state. with projections: Fall 1995 to fall 2013

| Region and state | Actual | Projected |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1995-2001 | 2001-2007 | 2007-2013 | 2001-2013 |
| United States | 5.0 | -0.9 | 5.3 | 4.4 |
| Northeast | 2.9 | -3.9 | 1.2 | -2.8 |
| Connecticut | 6.7 | -5.6 | 1.3 | -4.4 |
| Maine | -7.8 | -3.3 | 4.1 | 0.7 |
| Massachusetts | 3.7 | -3.6 | 0.4 | -3.2 |
| New Hampshire | 2.0 | -2.4 | 3.7 | 1.2 |
| New Jersey | 10.4 | -2.5 | 2.3 | -0.3 |
| New York | 1.9 | -5.0 | 0.8 | -4.3 |
| Pennsylvania | -0.2 | -3.4 | 0.6 | -2.9 |
| Rhode Island | 2.7 | -1.8 | 1.6 | -0.2 |
| Vermont | -7,9 | -2.7 | 2.8 | \# |
| Midwest | 0.9 | -2.4 | 3.0 | 0.6 |
| Illinois | 6.7 | -2.2 | 2.7 | 0.4 |
| Indiana . | 4.0 | -2.1 | 1.8 | -0.4 |
| Iowa | -4.2 | -2.9 | 2.9 | -0.1 |
| Kansas | -1.9 | -1.2 | 6.5 | 5.2 |
| Michigan | 2.6 | -1.0 | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| Minnesota | -2.2 | -3.3 | 5.6 | 2.1 |
| Missouri | 1.1 | -2.6 | 4.4 | 1.7 |
| Nebraska | -4.1 | -0.5 | 6.4 | 5.8 |
| North Dakota | -14.4 | -3.5 | 7.1 | 3.3 |
| Ohio | -0.8 | -4.0 | 1.6 | -2.5 |
| South Dakota | -14.3 | 1.6 | 7.2 | 8.9 |
| Wisconsin .. | -1.9 | -2.7 | 4.4 | 1.6 |
| South ...... | 5.9 | -1.0 | 4.9 | 3.8 |
| Alabama ... | -0.7 | -3.0 | 2.5 | -0.6 |
| Arkansas . | -1.4 | -3.7 | 1.8 | -1.9 |
| Delaware . | 5.5 | -0.3 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| District of Columbia | -6.3 | -10.3 | 13.3 | 1.7 |
| Florida | 11.4 | -1.2 | 5.0 | 3.8 |
| Georgia ... | 11.3 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 5.6 |
| Kentucky | 1.1 | -4.8 | 0.0 | -4.8 |
| Louisiana ... | -7.5 | -2.3 | 4.9 | 2.6 |
| Maryland ... | 3.5 | -2.6 | 4.1 | 1.4 |
| Misssissippi | -1.2 | -2.7 | 1.0 | -1.7 |
| North Carolina | 9.7 | -3.9 | 1.2 | -2.7 |
| Oklahoma ...... | 0.0 | -4.6 | 6.3 | 1.4 |
| South Carolina | 7.9 | -2.6 | 2.2 | -0.4 |
| Tennessee | 3.7 | -1.4 | 3.3 | 1.8 |
| Texas ........ | 9.4 | 2.6 | 9.2 | 12.0 |
| Virginia | 4.9 | -0.3 | 3.0 | 2.7 |
| West Virginia ........ | -5.3 | -3.6 | -1.7 | -5.3 |
| West .... | 9.2 | 2.8 | 10.6 | 13.8 |
| Alaska | 1.6 | 5.4 | 15.1 | 21.3 |
| Arizona | 22.4 | 1.9 | 7.1 | 9.1 |
| California | 10.9 | 3.2 | 12.5 | 16.0 |
| Colorado | 10.5 | 1.7 | 6.2 | 8.0 |
| Hawaii .. | -2.8 | 6.3 | 13.1 | 20.2 |
| Idaho ..... | 1.1 | 6.7 | 10.6 | 18.0 |
| Montana | -11.8 | 2.3 | 8.9 | 11.4 |
| Nevada | 34.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 5.3 |
| New Mexico | -1.8 | 6.0 | 12.8 | 19.6 |
| Oregon | 1.5 | 0.2 | 7.2 | 7.4 |
| Utah .... | 3.1 | 5.2 | 10.0 | 15.8 |
| Washington | 2.4 | -0.4 | 8.5 | 8.0 |
| Wyoming .......... | -14.3 | 6.9 | 15.7 | 23.6 |

## \# Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Calculations are based on unroundednumbers Includes most nursery school enrollment. Mean absolute percentageerrors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2, appendix A.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics. The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD), "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public Elementary/Secondary Education." various years; and State Public Elementary and Secondary Enrollment Model. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table 8. Enrollment in grades 9-12 in public schools. by region and state. with projections: Fall 1995 to fall 2013
[In thousands]

| Region and state | Actual |  |  |  |  |  |  | Projected |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| United States ............................ | 12.500 | 12.847 | 13.054 | 13,193 | 13,369 | 13,514 | 13.736 | 13.976 | 14.198 | 14.506 |
| Northeast .............................. | 2,235 | 2,277 | 2,311 | 2,326 | 2,355 | 2,391 | 2,427 | 2,488 | 2,539 | 2,591 |
| Connecticut ............................ | 134 | 138 | 141 | 145 | 150 | 156 | 160 | 165 | 169 | 174 |
| Maine ................................... | 58 | 58 | 59 | 60 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 61 |
| Massachusetts ........................ | 240 | 246 | 253 | 258 | 265 | 273 | 274 | 278 | 284 | 290 |
| New Hampshire ........................ | 52 | 54 | 56 | 58 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 63 | 64 |
| New Jersey ............................. | 317 | 325 | 329 | 333 | 335 | 355 | 370 | 385 | 399 | 412 |
| New York .............................. | 833 | 843 | 851 | 849 | 854 | 853 | 855 | 875 | 890 | 908 |
| Pennsylvania | 531 | 541 | 549 | 549 | 555 | 556 | 567 | 582 | 592 | 601 |
| Rhode Island | 40 | 41 | 42 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 47 | 48 | 50 |
| Vermont | 30 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Midwest .................................. | 3,064 | 3,134 | 3,151 | 3,156 | 3,175 | 3,196 | 3,228 | 3,264 | 3,288 | 3,336 |
| Illinois ................................ | 553 | 561 | 560 | 560 | 565 | 575 | 587 | 595 | 605 | 618 |
| Indiana | 293 | 294 | 294 | 292 | 289 | 286 | 285 | 287 | 291 | 296 |
| Iowa ................................... | 158 | 161 | 163 | 162 | 161 | 161 | 156 | 158 | 157 | 159 |
| Kansas | 134 | 138 | 141 | 145 | 146 | 147 | 148 | 146 | 145 | 144 |
| Michigan | 450 | 473 | 467 | 475 | 481 | 488 | 508 | 532 | 546 | 567 |
| Minnesota | 249 | 258 | 266 | 270 | 274 | 277 | 278 | 278 | 277 | 277 |
| Missouri | 254 | 257 | 261 | 263 | 265 | 268 | 267 | 269 | 270 | 273 |
| Nebraska ............................... | 87 | 89 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 90 | 89 | 88 | 89 |
| North Dakota .......................... | 37 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 37 | 36 | 35 | 34 | 33 |
| Ohio ................................... | 539 | 546 | 548 | 541 | 540 | 541 | 544 | 548 | 550 | 554 |
| South Dakota .......................... | 43 | 44 | 45 | 42 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 40 | 39 | 38 |
| Wisconsin ........................... | 267 | 274 | 278 | 279 | 281 | 285 | 288 | 287 | 286 | 287 |
| South ...................................... | 4,346 | 4,462 | 4,541 | 4,586 | 4,650 | 4,693 | 4,785 | 4,858 | 4,931 | 5,031 |
| Alabama .............................. | 207 | 208 | 208 | 206 | 202 | 201 | 202 | 200 | 201 | 204 |
| Arkansas ............................. | 131 | 133 | 134 | 133 | 133 | 132 | 132 | 132 | 131 | 133 |
| Delaware .............................. | 31 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 34 | 34 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| District of Columbia ................. | 18 | 18 | 17 | 15 | 17 | 15 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Florida ................................. | 563 | 589 | 614 | 634 | 656 | 675 | 703 | 722 | 745 | 775 |
| Georgia .............................. | 345 | 356 | 365 | 372 | 379 | 385 | 395 | 404 | 414 | 428 |
| Kentucky ............................. | 192 | 190 | 195 | 191 | 190 | 194 | 181 | 178 | 177 | 178 |
| Louisiana .............................. | 217 | 218 | 213 | 210 | 209 | 197 | 194 | 197 | 195 | 193 |
| Maryland ............................ | 215 | 222 | 229 | 235 | 239 | 244 | 250 | 256 | 262 | 268 |
| Mississippi ............................ | 140 | 140 | 140 | 137 | 135 | 134 | 132 | 131 | 130 | 132 |
| North Carolina ........................ | 312 | 324 | 330 | 334 | 341 | 348 | 359 | 368 | 377 | 387 |
| Oklahoma | 171 | 175 | 179 | 181 | 180 | 178 | 176 | 174 | 174 | 174 |
| South Carolina ....................... | 182 | 185 | 187 | 187 | 183 | 184 | 191 | 197 | 201 | 201 |
| Tennessee ............................. | 243 | 248 | 240 | 241 | 252 | 241 | 250 | 254 | 258 | 264 |
| Texas | 991 | 1,029 | 1,059 | 1,077 | 1,096 | 1,117 | 1,147 | 1,167 | 1,179 | 1,198 |
| Virginia | 292 | 300 | 304 | 309 | 317 | 329 | 337 | 345 | 353 | 363 |
| West Virginia ........................ | 96 | 95 | 94 | 92 | 88 | 85 | 83 | 82 | 82 | 82 |
| West ..................................... | 2,854 | 2,974 | 3,051 | 3,125 | 3,189 | 3,234 | 3,297 | 3,366 | 3,440 | 3,548 |
| Alaska .................................. | 34 | 36 | 36 | 38 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 41 |
| Arizona .............................. | 195 | 211 | 218 | 226 | 229 | 237 | 251 | 260 | 268 | 279 |
| California | 1,495 | 1,557 | 1,608 | 1,656 | 1,702 | 1,733 | 1,769 | 1,817 | 1,865 | 1,939 |
| Colorado ............................... | 177 | 186 | 193 | 198 | 202 | 208 | 213 | 217 | 221 | 227 |
| Hawaii ................................. | 52 | 51 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 52 | 53 | 53 | 54 | 55 |
| Idaho .................................... | 74 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 77 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 76 | 77 |
| Montana ............................... | 49 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 49 | 49 | 48 | 47 |
| Nevada ................................ | 69 | 74 | 78 | 82 | 86 | 90 | 94 | 100 | 110 | 118 |
| New Mexico .......................... | 100 | 103 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 95 | 95 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Oregon ................................. | 152 | 158 | 160 | 163 | 167 | 167 | 170 | 170 | 171 | 174 |
| Utah .................................... | 149 | 154 | 154 | 153 | 151 | 148 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 148 |
| Washington .......................... | 277 | 287 | 297 | 302 | 309 | 310 | 313 | 315 | 318 | 322 |
| Wyoming ........................... | 31 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 27 |

Table 8. Enrollment in grades 9-12 in public schools. by region and state. with projections: Fall 1995 to fall 2013 - Continued
[In thousands]

| Region and state | Projected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| United States ........................................... | 14,770 | 14,936 | 14.986 | 14.899 | 14.767 | 14.648 | 14.487 | 14.361 | 14.307 |
| Northeast ................................................. | 2,628 | 2,638 | 2,628 | 2,592 | 2,549 | 2,523 | 2,489 | 2,460 | 2,442 |
| Connecticut ............................................ | 176 | 178 | 178 | 177 | 175 | 172 | 168 | 165 | 162 |
| Maine | 61 | 60 | 58 | 56 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 55 | 56 |
| Massachusetts ........................................ | 292 | 292 | 288 | 283 | 280 | 279 | 277 | 275 | 272 |
| New Hampshire | 64 | 63 | 62 | 60 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 60 | 60 |
| New Jersey ............................................. | 422 | 428 | 431 | 430 | 427 | 423 | 416 | 411 | 406 |
| New York ................................................ | 922 | 923 | 918 | 904 | 885 | 874 | 860 | 847 | 840 |
| Pennsylvania .......................................... | 608 | 611 | 610 | 601 | 588 | 583 | 576 | 570 | 568 |
| Rhode Island | 51 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 51 | 50 | 50 | 49 | 49 |
| Vermont | 31 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 29 |
| Midwest ................................................... | 3,382 | 3,408 | 3,405 | 3,363 | 3,308 | 3,273 | 3,229 | 3,198 | 3,186 |
| Illinois | 632 | 642 | 647 | 648 | 645 | 637 | 626 | 614 | 606 |
| Indiana | 304 | 307 | 308 | 306 | 303 | 300 | 297 | 294 | 292 |
| Iowa | 160 | 161 | 160 | 158 | 154 | 152 | 150 | 148 | 149 |
| Kansas | 144 | 144 | 143 | 141 | 139 | 138 | 138 | 137 | 137 |
| Michigan | 581 | 591 | 593 | 584 | 574 | 568 | 560 | 553 | 549 |
| Minnesota | 278 | 276 | 274 | 268 | 264 | 261 | 257 | 256 | 256 |
| Missouri | 277 | 281 | 281 | 276 | 270 | 265 | 262 | 260 | 261 |
| Nebraska | 89 | 89 | 88 | 86 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 85 |
| North Dakota | 32 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 29 |
| Ohio ................................................... | 560 | 562 | 560 | 552 | 540 | 534 | 526 | 521 | 519 |
| South Dakota ........................................... | 37 | 37 | 36 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 36 |
| Wisconsin .............................................. | 287 | 287 | 284 | 280 | 273 | 271 | 268 | 267 | 268 |
| South | 5,124 | 5,195 | 5.226 | 5,220 | 5,191 | 5,136 | 5,070 | 5,016 | 4,988 |
| Alabama | 206 | 207 | 206 | 203 | 198 | 196 | 194 | 192 | 192 |
| Arkansas | 135 | 135 | 134 | 132 | 130 | 128 | 127 | 125 | 125 |
| Delaware | 36 | 36 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| District of Columbia .................................... | 17 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Florida | 791 | 806 | 815 | 821 | 824 | 810 | 795 | 780 | 770 |
| Georgia ................................................. | 439 | 446 | 451 | 451 | 450 | 447 | 441 | 438 | 436 |
| Kentucky | 180 | 182 | 178 | 174 | 174 | 172 | 171 | 171 | 168 |
| Louisiana | 194 | 194 | 194 | 193 | 189 | 185 | 182 | 181 | 181 |
| Maryland | 273 | 274 | 272 | 268 | 263 | 261 | 258 | 257 | 256 |
| Mississippi | 134 | 135 | 135 | 134 | 131 | 129 | 127 | 126 | 126 |
| North Carolina .......................................... | 397 | 405 | 407 | 407 | 404 | 396 | 388 | 380 | 374 |
| Oklahoma | 174 | 175 | 174 | 171 | 168 | 164 | 162 | 160 | 159 |
| South Carolina | 206 | 208 | 208 | 209 | 207 | 205 | 203 | 201 | 200 |
| Tennessee ............................................... | 271 | 275 | 275 | 272 | 267 | 265 | 262 | 260 | 260 |
| Texas | 1,219 | 1,239 | 1,259 | 1,272 | 1,280 | 1,273 | 1,261 | 1,252 | 1,250 |
| Virginia ................................................ | 371 | 379 | 382 | 381 | 378 | 375 | 371 | 367 | 365 |
| West Virginia .......................................... | 82 | 82 | 81 | 80 | 78 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 76 |
| West | 3,637 | 3,694 | 3,728 | 3,724 | 3,720 | 3,715 | 3,699 | 3,687 | 3,690 |
| Alaska | 42 | 42 | 41 | 41 | 39 | 40 | 40 | 41 | 42 |
| Arizona | 290 | 300 | 307 | 312 | 315 | 311 | 308 | 303 | 300 |
| California | 1,997 | 2,028 | 2,051 | 2,052 | 2,052 | 2,055 | 2,045 | 2,035 | 2,034 |
| Colorado ................................................ | 231 | 236 | 238 | 239 | 240 | 239 | 237 | 236 | 235 |
| Hawaii | 55 | 55 | 54 | 53 | 52 | 53 | 53 | 54 | 56 |
| Idaho ................................................... | 78 | 78 | 78 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 78 | 80 | 81 |
| Montana ............................................... | 46 | 46 | 45 | 43 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 43 | 45 |
| Nevada | 123 | 130 | 135 | 139 | 143 | 140 | 137 | 134 | 130 |
| New Mexico | 97 | 97 | 96 | 95 | 92 | 94 | 95 | 96 | 99 |
| Oregon ................................................. | 176 | 178 | 177 | 174 | 173 | 172 | 172 | 171 | 171 |
| Utah .................................................... | 150 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 152 | 152 | 153 | 154 | 155 |
| Washington ........................................... | 326 | 329 | 328 | 323 | 319 | 316 | 314 | 314 | 315 |
| Wyoming .............................................. | 26 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 27 |

NOTE: Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statisticscan be found in table A2. appendix A.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD), "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public
Elementary/Secondary Education." various years; and State Public Elementary and Secondary Enrollment Model. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table 9. Percent change in grades 9-12 enrollment in public schools. by region and state. with projections: Fall 1995 to fall 2013

| Region and state | Actual | Projected |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1995-2001 | 2001-2007 | 2007-2013 | 2001-2013 |
| United States | 9.9 | 9.1 | -4.5 | 4.2 |
| Northeast .... | 8.6 | 8.3 | -7.1 | 0.6 |
| Connecticut ...... | 19.9 | 11.3 | -9.0 | 1.3 |
| Maine | 7.2 | -5.7 | -4.2 | -9.7 |
| Massachusetts | 13.8 | 5.4 | -5.5 | -0.4 |
| New Hampshire | 18.9 | -0.7 | -2.8 | -3.5 |
| New Jersey | 16.6 | 16.5 | -5.8 | 9.7 |
| New York | 2.6 | 7.4 | -8.4 | -1.7 |
| Pennsylvania | 6.8 | 7.6 | -6.8 | 0.2 |
| Rhode Island | 13.2 | 15.0 | -6.8 | 7.2 |
| Vermont | 5.1 | -6.3 | -4.0 | -10.1 |
| Midwest | 5.3 | 5.5 | -6.4 | -1.3 |
| Illinois | 6.2 | 10.1 | -6.2 | 3.3 |
| Indiana | -2.8 | 8.2 | -5.2 | 2.5 |
| Iowa ..... | -1.3 | 2.6 | -7.3 | -4.9 |
| Kansas | 10.0 | -3.4 | -3.7 | -7.0 |
| Michigan | 12.9 | 16.8 | -7.4 | 8.1 |
| Minnesota | 11.8 | -1.7 | -6.6 | -8.1 |
| Missouri | 5.2 | 5.1 | -7.2 | -2.4 |
| Nebraska | 4.3 | -2.8 | -3.7 | -6.3 |
| North Dakota | -3.2 | -14.6 | -6.2 | -19.9 |
| Ohio ... | 1.0 | 2.9 | -7.5 | -4.7 |
| South Dakota | -6.1 | -10.3 | -0.8 | -10.9 |
| Wisconsin | 7.6 | -1.1 | -5.7 | -6.7 |
| South | 10.1 | 9.2 | -4.5 | 4.2 |
| Alabama | -2.5 | 2.3 | -6.7 | -4.5 |
| Arkansas | 0.8 | 1.7 | -6.9 | -5.3 |
| Delaware | 9.1 | 8.1 | -3.7 | 4.0 |
| District of Columbia | -2.9 | -3.1 | -14.9 | -17.6 |
| Florida | 24.9 | 15.9 | -5.5 | 9.6 |
| Georgia | 14.5 | 14.1 | -3.4 | 10.2 |
| Kentucky | -5.6 | -1.5 | -5.8 | -7.2 |
| Louisiana | -10.4 | -0.4 | -6.5 | -6.9 |
| Maryland | 15.9 | 9.0 | -5.9 | 2.6 |
| Mississippi | -5.9 | 2.6 | -6.9 | -4.5 |
| North Carolina | 15.3 | 13.2 | -8.1 | 4.0 |
| Oklahoma | 3.2 | -1.2 | -8.5 | -9.6 |
| South Carolina | 4.8 | 9.1 | -4.2 | 4.5 |
| Tennessee | 3.0 | 9.8 | -5.3 | 3.9 |
| Texas | 15.8 | 9.7 | -0.7 | 8.9 |
| Virginia | 15.4 | 13.4 | -4.6 | 8.2 |
| West Virginia | -13.5 | -2.4 | -5.8 | -8.0 |
| West | 15.5 | 13.1 | -1.0 | 11.9 |
| Alaska | 15.4 | 4.9 | 1.7 | 6.6 |
| Arizona | 28.4 | 22.5 | -2.1 | 19.9 |
| California | 18.3 | 16.0 | -0.8 | 15.0 |
| Colorado | 20.1 | 11.8 | -1.1 | 10.6 |
| Hawaii | 2.2 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 5.8 |
| Idaho | 2.1 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 8.4 |
| Montana | 0.2 | -9.3 | -0.2 | -9.5 |
| Nevada | 36.4 | 43.2 | -3.9 | 37.6 |
| New Mexico | -5.2 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 3.9 |
| Oregon | 11.7 | 4.0 | -3.0 | 0.9 |
| Utah | -1.8 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 5.7 |
| Washington | 13.2 | 4.9 | -4.2 | 0.5 |
| Wyoming | -6.1 | -12.3 | 4.3 | -8.5 |

NOTE: Calculations are based on unrounded numbers Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table $A 2$, appendix $\mathbf{A}$.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education، National Center for Education Statisticsı The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD). "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public
Elementary/Secondary Education:' various years; and State Public Elementary and Secondary Enrollment Model. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table 10. Total enrollment in all degree-granting institutions, by sex, attendance status, and control of institution, with alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013

| Year |  | Total | Sex |  | Attendance status |  | Control |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Men | Women | Full-time | Part-time | Public | Private |
| 1988 | ............................................. | 13,055 | 6,002 | 7,053 | 7,437 | 5,618 | 10,161 | 2,894 |
| 1989 | ............................................... | 13,539 | 6,190 | 7,349 | 7,661 | 5,878 | 10,578 | 2,961 |
| 1990 | ............................................... | 13,819 | 6,284 | 7,535 | 7,821 | 5,998 | 10,845 | 2,974 |
| 1991 | .............................................. | 14,359 | 6,502 | 7,857 | 8,115 | 6,244 | 11,310 | 3,049 |
| 1992 | ............................................. | 14,486 | 6,524 | 7,963 | 8,161 | 6,325 | 11,385 | 3,102 |
| 1993 | ...................... | 14,305 | 6,427 | 7,877 | 8,128 | 6,177 | 11,189 | 3,116 |
| 1994 | ... | 14,279 | 6,372 | 7,907 | 8,138 | 6,141 | 11,134 | 3,145 |
| 1995 | ............................................. | 14,262 | 6,343 | 7,919 | 8,129 | 6,133 | 11,092 | 3,169 |
| 1996 | ............................................ | 14,368 | 6,353 | 8,015 | 8,303 | 6,065 | 11,121 | 3,247 |
| 1997 | ............................................... | 14,502 | 6,396 | 8,106 | 8,438 | 6,064 | 11,196 | 3,306 |
| 1998 | .......................................... | 14,507 | 6,369 | 8,138 | 8,563 | 5,944 | 11,138 | 3,369 |
| 1999 | .............................................. | 14,791 | 6,491 | 8,301 | 8,786 | 6,005 | 11,309 | 3,482 |
| 2000 | .............................................. | 15,312 | 6,722 | 8,591 | 9,010 | 6,303 | 11,753 | 3,560 |
| Middle alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ............................................ | 15,484 | 6,801 | 8,684 | 9,146 | 6,338 | 11,895 | 3,589 |
| 2002 | ......................................... | 16,102 | 7,008 | 9,095 | 9,590 | 6,512 | 12,354 | 3,749 |
| 2003 | ............................................ | 16,361 | 7,098 | 9,263 | 9,774 | 6,587 | 12,546 | 3,814 |
| 2004 | ........................................... | 16,468 | 7,144 | 9,324 | 9,860 | 6,608 | 12,627 | 3,841 |
| 2005 | ............................................ | 16,679 | 7,208 | 9,471 | 10,008 | 6,671 | 12,786 | 3,893 |
| 2006 | ............................................. | 16,887 | 7,281 | 9,606 | 10,160 | 6,727 | 12,942 | 3,945 |
| 2007 | ......................................... | 17,020 | 7,342 | 9,679 | 10,272 | 6,749 | 13,042 | 3,978 |
| 2008 | ........................................... | 17,168 | 7,413 | 9,755 | 10,400 | 6,767 | 13,153 | 4,015 |
| 2009 | ........................ | 17,374 | 7,498 | 9,876 | 10,560 | 6,815 | 13,308 | 4,066 |
| 2010 | ............................................ | 17,541 | 7,561 | 9.980 | 10,681 | 6,860 | 13,431 | 4,110 |
| 2011 | ........................................... | 17,724 | 7,621 | 10,103 | 10,795 | 6,929 | 13,566 | 4,158 |
| 2012 | .............................................. | 17,927 | 7,679 | 10,248 | 10,909 | 7,018 | 13,716 | 4,211 |
| 2013 | .................. | 18,151 | 7,734 | 10,416 | 11,029 | 7,122 | 13,883 | 4,268 |
| Low alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ........................................... | 15,484 | 6,801 | 8,684 | 9,146 | 6,338 | 11,895 | 3,589 |
| 2002 | .... | 16,047 | 6,993 | 9.054 | 9,553 | 6,494 | 12,435 | 3,611 |
| 2003 | ............................................ | 16,245 | 7,065 | 9,179 | 9,696 | 6,549 | 12,555 | 3,690 |
| 2004 | ............................................. | 16,330 | 7,105 | 9,२25 | 9,764 | 6,566 | 12,594 | 3,737 |
| 2005 | ........................................ | 16,489 | 7,156 | 9,333 | 9,878 | 6,611 | 12,693 | 3,796 |
| 2006 | ...................................... | 16,630 | 7,210 | 9,420 | 9,985 | 6,645 | 12,784 | 3,846 |
| 2007 | ........ | 16,723 | 7,258 | 9,465 | 10,068 | 6,656 | 12,843 | 3,880 |
| 2008 | ........................ | 16,830 | 7,317 | 9,513 | 10,168 | 6,662 | 12,915 | 3,915 |
| 2009 | ........................ | 16,995 | 7,390 | 9,605 | 10,298 | 6,697 | 13,035 | 3,960 |
| 2010 | ........................................... | 17,140 | 7,445 | 9,694 | 10,404 | 6,736 | 13,137 | 4,002 |
| 2011 | -.............................................. | 17,289 | 7,497 | 9,792 | 10,497 | 6,792 | 13,245 | 4,044 |
| 2012 | ............................................... | 17,463 | 7,550 | 9,913 | 10,592 | 6,870 | 13,371 | 4,091 |
| 2013 | ............................................... | 17,671 | 7,602 | 10,070 | 10,703 | 6,968 | 13,525 | 4,146 |
| High alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ............................................... | 15,484 | 6,801 | 8,684 | 9,146 | 6,338 | 11,895 | 3,589 |
| 2002 | .......................................... | 16,053 | 6,996 | 9,057 | 9,560 | 6,494 | 12,367 | 3,686 |
| 2003 | ............ | 16,355 | 7,094 | 9,260 | 9,771 | 6,584 | 12,573 | 3,782 |
| 2004 | ..... | 16,552 | 7,165 | 9,387 | 9,913 | 6,638 | 12,709 | 3,843 |
| 2005 | ............................................. | 16,825 | 7,250 | 9,575 | 10,106 | 6,720 | 12,907 | 3,918 |
| 2006 | ............................................. | 17,100 | 7,342 | 9,757 | 10,302 | 6,798 | 13,109 | 3,991 |
| 2007 | ............................................... | 17,317 | 7,426 | 9,891 | 10,469 | 6,848 | 13,269 | 4,048 |
| 2008 | .............................................. | 17,551 | 7,521 | 10,030 | 10,657 | 6,894 | 13,443 | 4,108 |
| 2009 | ............................................. | 17,837 | 7,627 | 10,210 | 10,870 | 6,967 | 13,657 | 4,180 |
| 2010 | ............................................... | 18,068 | 7,707 | 10,361 | 11,035 | 7,033 | 13,827 | 4,241 |
| 2011 | .......................................... | 18,301 | 7,781 | 10.520 | 11,184 | 7,118 | 13,999 | 4,302 |
| 2012 | ............................. | 18,549 | 7,853 | 10.696 | 11.328 | 7.221 | 14,183 | 4.366 |
| 2013 | .............................................. | 18,809 | 7,917 | 10.892 | 11,471 | 7.338 | 14,376 | 4,433 |

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some data have been revised from previously published fignes. Data for 1999 were imputed using alternative procedures. (For more details. see appendix E of Projections of Education Statistics to 2011.) Mean absolute percentage errors of selected educationstatistics can be found in table A2, appendix A.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics. Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System. "Fall Enrollment Survey" (IPEDS-EF), various years; Enrollment in Degree-Granting Institutions Model. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table 11. Total enrollment in all degree-granting institutions. by sex. age. and attendance status. with middle alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013
[In thousands]

| Sex. age. and attendance status | Actual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| Menandwomen. total ................. | 13.055 | 13.539 | 13.819 | 14,359 | 14.486 | 14,305 | 14.279 | 14.262 | 14.368 | 14.502 | 14.507 | 14.791 | 15.312 |
| 14 lo 17 years old | 179 | 185 | 177 | 125 | 186 | 127 | 138 | 148 | 231 | 171 | 119 | 143 | 145 |
| 18 and 19 years old | 2,940 | 3,041 | 2.950 | 2.864 | 2.784 | 2.840 | 2.787 | 2,894 | 3,038 | 3,061 | 3,382 | 3,414 | 3,531 |
| 20 and 21 years old | 2,667 | 2,550 | 2,761 | 2.920 | 2,883 | 2,674 | 2,724 | 2,705 | 2,659 | 2,875 | 2,811 | 2,989 | 3,045 |
| 22 to 24 years old | 2,068 | 2,185 | 2,144 | 2.306 | 2,527 | 2,570 | 2,482 | 2,411 | 2,324 | 2,475 | 2,377 | 2,435 | 2,617 |
| 25 to 29 years old | 1,740 | 1,979 | 1,982 | 2.072 | 1,985 | 2,002 | 1,985 | 2,120 | 2,128 | 1,999 | 1,991 | 1,870 | 1,960 |
| 30 to 34 years old | 1,283 | 1,305 | 1,322 | 1.415 | 1.456 | 1,345 | 1,414 | 1,236 | 1,196 | 1,109 | 1,195 | 1,145 | 1,265 |
| 35 years old and over | 2,179 | 2,293 | 2,484 | 2.656 | 2,665 | 2,747 | 2,7,0 | 2.747 | 2,791 | 2,814 | 2,632 | 2.796 | 2,749 |
| Men. total | 6,002 | 6,190 | 6,284 | 6.502 | 6,524 | 6,427 | 6,372 | 6,343 | 6,353 | 6,396 | 6,369 | 6,491 | 6,722 |
| 14 to 17 years old | 58 | 77 | 87 | 50 | 89 | 54 | 62 | 61 | 92 | 56 | 45 | 72 | 63 |
| 18 and 19 years old | 1,343 | 1,433 | 1,421 | 1.299 | 1,305 | 1,288 | 1,302 | 1,338 | 1,354 | 1,414 | 1.535 | 1.541 | 1.583 |
| 20 and 21 ycars old | 1,332 | 1,261 | 1,368 | 1.387 | 1,342 | 1,284 | 1,264 | 1,282 | 1.228 | 1,374 | 1,374 | 1,392 | 1,382 |
| 22 to 24 years old | 1,130 | 1,084 | 1,107 | 1.232 | 1,272 | 1,344 | 1,238 | 1,153 | 1,177 | 1,200 | 1,127 | 1,090 | 1,293 |
| 25 to 29 years old ...................... | 844 | 993 | 940 | 1.049 | 955 | 903 | 936 | 962 | 991 | 972 | 908 | 874 | 862 |
| 30 to 34 years old | 588 | 562 | 537 | 614 | 627 | 584 | 601 | 561 | 477 | 443 | 463 | 517 | 527 |
| 35 years old and over | 707 | 782 | 824 | 870 | 933 | 970 | 969 | 986 | 1,033 | 938 | 917 | 1,005 | 1,012 |
| Women. total ........ | 7,053 | 7,349 | 7,535 | 7.857 | 7,963 | 7,877 | 7.907 | 7.919 | 8,015 | 8,106 | 8,138 | 8,301 | 8,591 |
| 14 to 17 years old | 121 | 108 | 90 | 76 | 97 | 73 | 75 | 87 | 139 | 115 | 74 | 72 | 82 |
| 18 and 19 years old | 1,596 | 1,608 | 1,529 | 1.565 | 1.479 | 1,552 | 1,485 | 1,557 | 1,684 | 1,647 | 1,847 | 1,874 | 1,948 |
| 20 and 2! years old | 1,336 | 1,290 | 1,392 | 1.533 | 1,541 | 1,391 | 1,461 | 1,424 | 1,430 | 1,501 | 1,437 | 1,597 | 1,663 |
| 22 to 24 years old ...................... | 937 | 1,101 | 1,037 | 1.074 | 1,255. | 1,226 | 1.243 | 1,258 | 1,147 | 1,275 | 1,250 | 1,344 | 1,324 |
| 25 to 29 years old | 896 | 986 | 1,043 | 1.022 | 1,030 | 1,098 | 1,049 | 1,159 | 1,137 | 1,027 | 1,083 | 995 | 1,099 |
| 30 to 34 years old ..................... | 695 | 743 | 784 | 800 | 828 | 761 | 812 | 675 | 719 | 666 | 732 | 627 | 738 |
| 35 years old and over | 1,472 | 1,511 | 1,659 | 1.786 | 1,732 | 1,777 | 1.781 | 1,760 | 1,758 | 1,877 | 1,715 | 1,791 | 1,736 |
| Full-time, total | 7,437 | 7,661 | 7,821 | 8.115 | 8,161 | 8,128 | 8,138 | 8,129 | 8,303 | 8,438 | 8,563 | 8,786 | 9,010 |
| 14 to 17 years old | 150 | 154 | 144 | 117 | 179 | 92 | 118 | 123 | 166 | 123 | 93 | 129 | 125 |
| 18 and 19 years old | 2,528 | 2,671 | 2,548 | 2.466 | 2,382 | 2,370 | 2,321 | 2,387 | 2,553 | 2,534 | 2.794 | 2,848 | 2,932 |
| 20 and 21 years old | 2,108 | 2,064 | 2,151 | 2.342 | 2,267 | 2,148 | 2,178 | 2,109 | 2,117 | 2,275 | 2,271 | 2,362 | 2,401 |
| 22 to 24 years old | 1,243 | 1,300 | 1,350 | 1.467 | 1,594 | 1,612 | 1,551 | 1,517 | 1,598 | 1,606 | 1,564 | 1,662 | 1,653 |
| 25 to 29 years old | 670 | 667 | 770 | 830 | 731 | 839 | 869 | 908 | 911 | 897 | 890 | 854 | 878 |
| 30 to 34 years old | 350 | 332 | 387 | 382 | 409 | 424 | 440 | 430 | 383 | 377 | 367 | 338 | 422 |
| 35 years old and over | 389 | 474 | 471 | 513 | 598 | 643 | 660 | 653 | 575 | 626 | 584 | 593 | 599 |
| Men. full-time | 3,662 | 3,740 | 3,808 | 3.929 | 3,926 | 3,891 | 3,855 | 3,807 | 3,851 | 3,890 | 3,934 | 4,026 | 4,111 |
| 14 ا 17 years old | 51 | 60 | 71 | 41 | 86 | 37 | 51 | 54 | 72 | 48 | 39 | 63 | 51 |
| 18 and 19 years old | 1,171 | 1,289 | 1,230 | 1.141 | 1,130 | 1,079 | 1,081 | 1,091 | 1,126 | 1,154 | 1,240 | 1,271 | 1,250 |
| 20 and 21 years old ................ | 1,032 | 1,017 | 1,055 | 1.103 | 1,084 | 1,003 | 1,029 | 999 | 969 | 1,074 | 1,129 | 1,125 | 1,106 |
| 22 to 24 years old | 723 | 696 | 742 | 817 | 854 | 896 | 811 | 789 | 858 | 770 | 777 | 788 | 839 |
| 25 to 29 years old ................... | 383 | 366 | 401 | 465 | 378 | 443 | 457 | 454 | 444 | 475 | 424 | 416 | 415 |
| 30 to 34 years old | 158 | 151 | 156 | 174 | 174 | 180 | 193 | 183 | 143 | 160 | 141 | 149 | 195 |
| 35 years old and over .............. | 145 | 162 | 152 | 187 | 220 | 253 | 232 | 238 | 240 | 210 | 184 | 213 | 256 |
| Women. lull-time | 3,775 | 3,921 | 4,013 | 4.186 | 4,235 | 4,237 | 4,283 | 4,321 | 4,452 | 4,548 | 4,630 | 4,761 | 4,899 |
| 14 to 17 years old | 99 | 93 | 73 | 76 | 93 | 55 | 67 | 69 | 95 | 75 | 54 | 66 | 74 |
| 18 and 19 years old | 1,357 | 1,383 | 1,318 | 1,325 | 1,253 | 1,291 | 1,240 | 1,296 | 1,426 | 1,380 | 1,555 | 1.577 | 1,682 |
| 20 and 21 years old | 1,076 | 1,047 | 1,096 | 1.239 | 1,183 | 1,145 | 1,149 | 1,111 | 1.148 | 1,201 | 1.142 | 1.237 | 1,296 |
| 22 to 24 years old ................... | 520 | 604 | 608 | 650 | 739 | 716 | 740 | 729 | 740 | 836 | 787 | 875 | 814 |
| 25 to 29 years old | 287 | 301 | 369 | 364 | 353 | 396 | 412 | 455 | 467 | 422 | 466 | 437 | 463 |
| 30 lo 34 years old ................... | 192 | 182 | 231 | 208 | 235 | 244 | 247 | 247 | 240 | 217 | 226 | 190 | 227 |
| 35 years old and over .............. | 244 | 311 | 319 | 325 | 377 | 390 | 428 | 415 | 336 | 416 | 400 | 380 | 343 |
| Part-time, total | 5,618 | 5,878 | 5,998 | 6.244 | 6,325 | 6,177 | 6,141 | 6,133 | 6,065 | 6,064 | 5,944 | 6,005 | 6,303 |
| 14 to 17 years old ..................... | 29 | 32 | 32 |  | 7 | 35 | 19 | 25 | 65 | 48 | 26 | 14 | 20 |
| 18 and 19 years old ................... | 412 | 370 | 402 | 399 | 402 | 470 | 466 | 507 | 485 | 526 | 588 | 566 | 599 |
| 20 and 21 years old | 559 | 487 | 610 | 578 | 616 | 526 | 546 | 596 | 542 | 600 | 540 | 627 | 644 |
| 22 to 24 years old ...................... | 825 | 885 | 794 | 840 | 933 | 958 | 930 | 894 | 727 | 869 | 813 | 772 | 964 |
| 25 to 29 years old | 1,070 | 1,312 | 1,213 | 1.242 | 1,254 | 1,163 | 1,116 | 1,212 | 1,217 | 1,101 | 1,101 | 1.016 | 1,083 |
| 30 to 34 years old ..................... | 933 | 973 | 935 | 1.033 | 1,046 | 921 | 973 | 805 | 813 | 732 | 828 | 806 | 843 |
| 35 years old and over ................ | 1.790 | 1,819 | 2,012 | 2.143 | 2,068 | 2.104 | 2.091 | 2,093 | 2,216 | 2,188 | 2,048 | 2,203 | 2,150 |
| Men. part-time ..................... | 2,340 | 2,450 | 2,476 | 2.572 | 2,597 | 2,537 | 2,517 | 2,535 | 2,502 | 2,506 | 2,436 | 2,465 | 2,611 |
| 14 to 17 years old | 7 | 17 | 16 |  | 4 | 17 | 11 | 7 | 20 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 11 |
| 18 and 19 years old ................ | 172 | 144 | 191 | 158 | 176 | 210 | 220 | 246 | 228 | 260 | 296 | 269 | 333 |
| 20 and 21 years old ................ | 300 | 244 | 313 | 285 | 258 | 281 | 235 | 283 | 260 | 300 | 245 | 267 | 276 |
| 22 to 24 years old .................. | 408 | 388 | 365 | 415 | 417 | 448 | 427 | 365 | 319 | 430 | 350 | 302 | 454 |
| 25 to 29 years old ................... | 461 | 627 | 539 | 584 | 577 | 460 | 479 | 508 | 547 | 497 | 485 | 458 | 447 |
| 30 to 34 years old .................. | 431 | 411 | 381 | 440 | 453 | 404 | 408 | 378 | 334 | 283 | 322 | 369 | 332 |
| 35 years old and over .............. | 561 | 619 | 672 | 682 | 713 | 717 | 737 | 748 | 793 | 728 | 733 | 791 | 757 |
| Women. part-time ................. | 3,278 | 3,428 | 3,521 | 3,671 | 3,728 | 3,640 | 3,624 | 3,598 | 3,563 | 3,559 | 3,508 | 3,540 | 3,692 |
| 14 to 17 years old .................. | 22 | 15 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 8 | 18 | 45 | 39 | 21 | 6 | 9 |
| 18 and 19 years old ................. | 240 | 226 | 211 | 241 | 226 | 261 | 245 | 261 | 257 | 267 | 292 | 297 | 266 |
| 20 and 21 years old ................ | 260 | 243 | 297 | 294 | 358 | 245 | 311 | 313 | 282 | 300 | 295 | 360 | 368 |
| 22 to 24 years old .................. | 417 | 497 | 429 | 425 | 516 | 510 | 504 | 529 | 407 | 439 | 463 | 470 | 510 |
| 25 to 29 years old ................... | 609 | 685 | 674 | 658 | 677 | 702 | 637 | 704 | 670 | 605 | 617 | 558 | 636 |
| 30 to 34 years old .................. | 503 | 562. | 554 | 593 | 593 | 517 | 565 | 427 | 479 | 449 | 506 | 438 | 511 |
| 35 years old and over .............. | 1,229 | 1,200 | 1,340 | 1,461 | 1,355 | 1,386 | 1,354 | 1,345 | 1.423 | 1.460 | 1,315 | 1.411 | 1.393 |

Table 11. Total enrollment in all degree-granting institutions. by sex. age. and attendance status. with middle alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013 - Continued

| Sex, age, and attendance status | Prolected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Menandwomen. total ................. | 15.484 | 16.102 | 16.361 | 16.468 | 16.679 | 16.887 | 17.020 | 17.168 | 17.374 | 17.541 | 17.724 | 17.927 | 18.151 |
| 14 to 17 years old | 128 | 147 | 151 | 152 | 157 | 162 | 166 | 165 | 164 | 162 | 161 | 162 | 163 |
| 18 and 19 years old | 3.472 | 3,580 | 3,631 | 3,685 | 3,732 | 3,785 | 3,859 | 3.952 | 4,023 | 4.002 | 3.965 | 3.936 | 3.921 |
| 20 and 21 years old | 3,308 | 3,337 | 3.357 | 3,357 | 3.405 | 3,466 | 3.485 | 3,517 | 3,592 | 3,695 | 3,764 | 3,761 | 3.749 |
| 22 lo 24 ycars old. | 2,686 | 2,888 | 3,014 | 3,064 | 3,100 | 3,113 | 3,131 | 3,151 | 3,185 | 3,231 | 3,301 | 3,415 | 3,511 |
| 25 to 29 years old | 1,964 | 2,014 | 2,056 | 2,097 | 2,170 | 2,251 | 2,303 | 2,340 | 2,372 | 2,393 | 2,420 | 2,461 | 2,520 |
| 30 to 34 years old | 1,259 | 1.295 | 1,304 | 1,286 | 1,274 | 1,260 | 1,254 | 1.262 | 1,290 | 1,326 | 1.375 | 1.423 | 1,471 |
| 35 years old and over | 2,667 | 2,841 | 2,848 | 2,828 | 2,842 | 2,850 | 2,822 | 2,780 | 2,748 | 2.731 | 2,738 | 2,768 | 2,815 |
| Men. total | 6,801 | 7,008 | 7,098 | 7,144 | 7,208 | 7,281 | 7,342 | 7,413 | 7,498 | 7,561 | 7,621 | 7,679 | 7,734 |
| 14 to 17 years old | 52 | 61 | 62 | 62 | 64 | 66 | 68 | 67 | 66 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| 18 and 19 years old ................... | 1,584 | 1,624 | 1,637 | 1,654 | 1,669 | 1,690 | 1,723 | 1.764 | 1,794 | 1,780 | 1,761 | 1,745 | 1,733 |
| 20 and 21 years old | 1,556 | 1,539 | 1,551 | 1,549 | 1,562 | 1,585 | 1,591 | 1,604 | 1,637 | 1,683 | 1,710 | 1,702 | 1,691 |
| 22 to 24 years old ...................... | 1,286 | 1,355 | 1.405 | 1,427 | 1.443 | 1,448 | 1,455 | 1,462 | 1,473 | 1.490 | 1,518 | 1,565 | 1,601 |
| 25 to 29 years old ...................... | 887 | 902 | 918 | 936 | 963 | 995 | 1,018 | 1,037 | 1,050 | 1,058 | 1,067 | 1,079 | 1,096 |
| 30 to 34 years old | 501 | 535 | 536 | 529 | 521 | 514 | 512 | 516 | 527 | 541 | 558 | 575 | 591 |
| 35 years old and over | 934 | 992 | 990 | 986 | 985 | 984 | 975 | 963 | 951 | 943 | 943 | 948 | 958 |
| Women. total .......... | 8,684 | 9,095 | 9,263 | 9,324 | 9,471 | 9,606 | 9,679 | 9,755 | 9,876 | 9,980 | 10,103 | 10,248 | 10,416 |
| 14 to 17 years old | 75 | 86 | 89 | 90 | 93 | 96 | 99 | 98 | 98 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 99 |
| 18 and 19 years old | 1,888 | 1,956 | 1,994 | 2,030 | 2,063 | 2,096 | 2,137 | 2,188 | 2,230 | 2.222 | 2,205 | 2.192 | 2,188 |
| 20 and 21 years old | 1,753 | 1.799 | 1,806 | 1,808 | 1,842 | 1,881 | 1,894 | 1,913 | 1,954 | 2,012 | 2,054 | 2,059 | 2,058 |
| 22 to 24 years old | 1,399 | 1,533 | 1,609 | 1,637 | 1,657 | 1,665 | 1,676 | 1,690 | 1,712 | 1,741 | 1,783 | 1,850 | 1,910 |
| 25 to 29 years old | 1,077 | 1,111 | 1,138 | 1,161 | 1,207 | 1,256 | 1,285 | 1,303 | 1,322 | 1,336 | 1,353 | 1,382 | 1,424 |
| 30 to 34 years old | 758 | 760 | 768 | 757 | 753 | 746 | 742 | 746 | 763 | 785 | 817 | 848 | 880 |
| 35 years old and over | 1,733 | 1.849 | 1,859 | 1,842 | 1,857 | 1,867 | 1,847 | 1,817 | 1,797 | 1,788 | 1,795 | 1,820 | 1,857 |
| Full-time, total ......... | 9,146 | 9,590 | 9,774 | 9,860 | 10,008 | 10,160 | 10,272 | 10,400 | 10,560 | 10,681 | 10,795 | 10,909 | 11,029 |
| 14 to 17 years old | 117 | 128 | 131 | 132 | 137 | 141 | 145 | 144 | 143 | 142 | 141 | 141 | 143 |
| 18 and 19 years old | 2,830 | 2.968 | 3,015 | 3,060 | 3,101 | 3,149 | 3,211 | 3,289 | 3,347 | 3,330 | 3,303 | 3.282 | 3,274 |
| 20 and 21 ycars old | 2,581 | 2,633 | 2,653 | 2,653 | 2,694 | 2,744 | 2,759 | 2.785 | 2.846 | 2.930 | 2,986 | 2,987 | 2,982 |
| 22 to 24 years old. | 1,706 | 1.894 | 1,978 | 2,007 | 2,032 | 2,043 | 2,057 | 2,072 | 2,094 | 2,127 | 2,177 | 2,258 | 2,325 |
| 25 to 29 years old | 856 | 909 | 931 | 950 | 985 | 1.023 | 1,048 | 1,064 | 1,080 | 1,092 | 1,106 | 1,129 | 1,161 |
| 30 to 34 years old ..................... | 478 | 440 | 444 | 439 | 436 | 432 | 430 | 433 | 443 | 456 | 474 | 493 | 512 |
| 35 years old and over ................. | 578 | 618 | 622 | 619 | 624 | 628 | 622 | 613 | 607 | 605 | 609 | 618 | 633 |
| Men. full-time ....................... | 4,229 | 4,419 | 4,484 | 4,502 | 4,551 | 4,612 | 4,657 | 4,709 | 4,775 | 4,822 | 4,861 | 4,896 | 4,928 |
| 14 to 17 years old | 42 | 52 | 53 | 53 | 54 | 56 | 57 | 57 | 56 | 56 | 55 | 55 | 55 |
| 18 and 19 years old ................ | 1,300 | 1,326 | 1,337 | 1,350 | 1,362 | 1,381 | 1,408 | 1,441 | 1,465 | 1.454 | 1,439 | 1,427 | 1,420 |
| 20 and 21 years old ................ | 1,226 | 1,242 | 1,252 | 1,248 | 1,260 | 1,280 | 1,284 | 1.294 | 1,321 | 1,358 | 1,380 | 1,376 | 1,369 |
| 22 to 24 years old ................... | 844 | 932 | 966 | 977 | 988 | 993 | 998 | 1,002 | 1,010 | 1,023 | 1,044 | 1,079 | 1,104 |
| 25 lo 29 years old ................... | 394 | 433 | 441 | 447 | 461 | 478 | 488 | 496 | 503 | 507 | 512 | 519 | 530 |
| 30 lo 34 years old .................. | 215 | 199 | 199 | 195 | 193 | 191 | 190 | 191 | 195 | 200 | 207 | 214 | 221 |
| 35 ycars old and over .............. | 208 | 236 | 235 | 233 | 233 | 234 | 232 | 228 | 225 | 224 | 224 | 226 | 230 |
| Womenı lull-time ..................... | 4,917 | 5,172 | 5,290 | 5,358 | 5,457 | 5,548 | 5,615 | 5,692 | 5,785 | 5,860 | 5,934 | 6,012 | 6,101 |
| 14 to 17 years old ................... | 75 | 76 | 79 | 80 | 82 | 85 | 87 | 87 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 87 | 88 |
| 18 and 19 years old ................ | 1.530 | 1,643 | 1,677 | 1,710 | 1,739 | 1,768 | 1,803 | 1,848 | 1,882 | 1.876 | 1,864 | 1,854 | 1,854 |
| 20 and 21 years old ................ | 1,355 | 1,392 | 1.401 | 1.405 | 1.434 | 1.465 | 1,475 | 1,491 | 1,525 | 1.572 | 1,605 | 1,611 | 1,613 |
| 22 lo 24 years old ................... | 862 | 962 | 1,011 | 1,030 | 1,044 | 1,050 | 1,059 | 1,069 | 1,084 | 1,104 | 1,134 | 1,180 | 1,221 |
| 25 lo 29 years old. | 462 | 476 | 490 | 503 | 524 | 546 | 559 | 568 | 578 | 585 | 594 | 609 | 631 |
| 30 to 34 years old .................. | 263 | 241 | 245 | 243 | 243 | 241 | 240 | 242 | 248 | 256 | 267 | 279 | 291 |
| 35 ycars old and over .............. | 370 | 382 | 387 | 387 | 391 | 394 | 391 | 385 | 382 | 381 | 384 | 392 | 403 |
| Part-time, total ....................... | 6,338 | 6,512 | 6,587 | 6,608 | 6,671 | 6,727 | 6,749 | 6,767 | 6,815 | 6,860 | 6,929 | 7,018 | 7,122 |
| 14 to 17 years old .................... | 11 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| 18 and 19 years old ................... | 642 | 612 | 616 | 625 | 631 | 637 | 648 | 663 | 676 | 672 | 663 | 654 | 647 |
| 20 and 21 years old ................... | 727 | 704 | 705 | 704 | 711 | 722 | 726 | 731 | 746 | 765 | 778 | 775 | 767 |
| 22 lo 24 years old ..................... | 979 | 994 | 1.036 | 1,057 | 1.068 | 1,069 | 1,074 | 1,080 | 1,092 | 1,104 | 1,123 | 1,156 | 1,186 |
| 25 to 29 years old .................... | 1,108 | 1,105 | 1,125 | 1.147 | 1,185 | 1,228 | 1,256 | 1,275 | 1.292 | 1.302 | 1,314 | 1,332 | 1,360 |
| 30 to 34 years old ..................... | 781 | 855 | 859 | 847 | 838 | 828 | 824 | 829 | 847 | 870 | 900 | 930 | 959 |
| 35 years old and over ................ | 2,089 | 2,223 | 2,226 | 2.208 | 2.218 | 2.223 | 2,200 | 2,167 | 2,141 | 2,126 | 2,130 | 2,150 | 2,183 |
| Men. part-time ..................... | 2,572 | 2.589 | 2,614 | 2.642 | 2,656 | 2,669 | 2,685 | 2,704 | 2,724 | 2,739 | 2,759 | 2,782 | 2,806 |
| 14 to 17 years old .................. | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 18 and 19 years old ................ | 284 | 298 | 300 | 305 | 307 | 309 | 315 | 323 | 329 | 326 | 322 | 317 | 313 |
| 20 and 21 years old ................ | 330 | 297 | 299 | 301 | 302 | 305 | 307 | 310 | 316 | 324 | 329 | 327 | 323 |
| 22 to 24 years old ................... | 442 | 422 | 438 | 450 | 455 | 455 | 457 | 460 | 463 | 468 | 474 | 487 | 497 |
| 25 to 29 years old .................. | 493 | 469 | 477 | 489 | 502 | 517 | 530 | 541 | 547 | 551 | 555 | 560 | 567 |
| 30 to 34 years old .................. | 286 | 337 | 337 | 334 | 328 | 323 | 322 | 325 | 332 | 340 | 351 | 361 | 370 |
| 35 years old and over ............., | 727 | 756 | 754 | 753 | 752 | 750 | 744 | 735 | 726 | 720 | 719 | 722 | 728 |
| Women. part-time ................., | 3,766 | 3,923 | 3,972 | 3,966 | 4,015 | 4,058 | 4,064 | 4,063 | 4,091 | 4,120 | 4,169 | 4,236 | 4,316 |
| 14 to 17 years old .................. | 1 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 18 and 19 years old ................ | 358 | 314 | 316 | 320 | 324 | 328 | 333 | 340 | 347 | 345 | 341 | 337 | 334 |
| 20 and 21 years old ................ | 397 | 407 | 406 | 403 | 408 | 417 | 419 | 421 | 430 | 441 | 449 | 448 | 445 |
| 22 to 24 years old .................. | 537 | 571 | 597 | 607 | 613 | 615 | 617 | 620 | 628 | 636 | 649 | 670 | 689 |
| 25 to 29 years old .................. | 615 | 635 | 648 | 658 | 683 | 710 | 726 | 735 | 745 | 751 | 759 | 773 | 793 |
| 30 to 34 years old .................. | 495 | 519 | 522 | 513 | 510 | 505 | 502 | 504 | 515 | 529 | 549 | 569 | 589 |
| 35 vears old and over ............... | 1.363 | 1.467 | 1.472 | 1.455 | 1.466 | 1.473 | 1.457 | 1.432 | 1.415 | 1.407 | 1.411 | 1.428 | 1.455 |

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Data by age are based on the distribution by age from the Bureau of the Census. Mean absolute percentage errors of selected educationstatistics can be found in table A2, appendix A. Data for 1999 were imputed using alternative procedures. (For more details. see appendix E ofProjecriomr of Education Stavistics to 2011.)
SOURCE: U.S. Departmentof Education. National Center for Education Statistics. IntegratedPostsecondary Education Data System، "Fall Enrollment Survey" (IPEDS-EF), various ycars; Enrollment in Degree-Granting InstitutionsModel; and U.S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Censusı Current Population Reports, "Social and
Economic Characteristics of Students," various years. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table 12. Total enrollment in all degree-granting institutions. by sex. age. and attendance status. with low alternative projections: Selected years. fall 1993 to fall 2013
[In thousands]

| Sex. age. and attendance status | Actual |  |  | Projected |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1993 | 1998 | 2000 | 2008 | 2013 |
| Men and women, total .................. | 14,305 | 14.507 | 15.312 | 16.830 | 17.671 |
| 14 to 17 years old ......................... | 127 | 119 | 145 | 161 | 157 |
| 18 and 19 years old ...................... | 2,840 | 3,382 | 3,531 | 3,887 | 3,838 |
| 20 and 21 years old ....................... | 2,674 | 2,811 | 3,045 | 3,457 | 3,665 |
| 22 lo 24 years old ......................... | 2,570 | 2,377 | 2,617 | 3,086 | 3,415 |
| 25 to 29 years old ......................... | 2,002 | 1,991 | 1,960 | 2,287 | 2,443 |
| 30 to 34 years old ........................ | 1,345 | 1,195 | 1,265 | 1,233 | 1,425 |
| 35 years old and over ..................... | 2.747 | 2,632 | 2,749 | 2,719 | 2,728 |
| Men, total .............................. | 6,427 | 6,369 | 6,722 | 7,316 | 7,602 |
| 14 lo 17 years old ...................... | 54 | 45 | 63 | 66 | 63 |
| 18 and 19 years old .................... | 1,288 | 1,535 | 1,583 | 1,744 | 1.706 |
| 20 and 21 years old ................... | 1,284 | 1,374 | 1,382 | 1,586 | 1,665 |
| 22 to 24 years old ...................... | 1,344 | 1,127 | 1,293 | 1.442 | 1,572 |
| 25 lo 29 years old ....................... | 903 | 908 | 862 | 1,021 | 1,075 |
| 30 to 34 years old ..................... | 584 | 463 | 527 | 509 | 579 |
| 35 years old and over .................. | 970 | 917 | 1,012 | 949 | 940 |
| Women. total .......................... | 7,877 | 8,138 | 8,591 | 9,514 | 10,070 |
| 14 lo 17 years old ...................... | 73 | 74 | 82 | 95 | 94 |
| 18 and 19 years old ................... | 1,552 | 1.847 | 1,948 | 2.144 | 2,132 |
| 20 and 21 years old .................... | 1,391 | 1.437 | 1,663 | 1,871 | 2,001 |
| 22 lo 24 years old ...................... | 1,226 | 1,250 | 1,324 | 1,644 | 1,842 |
| 25 to 29 years old ...................... | 1,098 | 1,083 | 1,099 | 1,266 | 1,368 |
| 30 to 34 years old ...................... | 761 | 732 | 738 | 724 | 845 |
| 35 years old and over ................. | 1,777 | 1,715 | 1,736 | 1,769 | 1,788 |
| Full-time, total ......................... | 8,128 | 8,563 | 9,010 | 10,168 | 10,704 |
| 14 lo 17 years old ...................... | 92 | 93 | 125 | 140 | 137 |
| 18 and 19 years old .................... | 2,370 | 2,794 | 2,932 | 3.228 | 3.196 |
| 20 and 21 years old ................... | 2,148 | 2,271 | 2,401 | 2,731 | 2,906 |
| 22 to 24 years old ..................... | 1,612 | 1.564 | 1,653 | 2,021 | 2,250 |
| 25 lo 29 years old ...................... | 839 | 890 | 878 | 1.034 | 1,116 |
| 30 to 34 years old | 424 | 367 | 422 | 420 | 491 |
| 35 years old and over .................. | 643 | 584 | 599 | 594 | 606 |
| Men. full-time ....................... | 3,891 | 3,934 | 4,111 | 4,643 | 4,832 |
| 14 lo 17 years old ................... | 37 | 39 | 51 | 56 | 54 |
| 18 and 19 years old | 1,079 | 1,240 | 1,250 | 1,423 | 1,395 |
| 20 and 21 years old ................. | 1,003 | 1,129 | 1,106 | 1,278 | 1,345 |
| 22 to 24 years old | 896 | 777 | 839 | 987 | 1,081 |
| 25 to 29 years old ................... | 443 | 424 | 415 | 488 | 517 |
| 30 to 34 years old | 180 | 141 | 195 | 188 | 216 |
| 35 years old and over ................ | 253 | 184 | 256 | 224 | 224 |
| Women. full-time ...................... | 4,237 | 4,630 | 4,899 | 5,525 | 5,872 |
| 14 to 17 years old ................... | 55 | 54 | 74 | 84 | 83 |
| 18 and 19 years old | 1,291 | 1,555 | 1,682 | 1,805 | 1,801 |
| 20 and 21 years old ................. | 1,145 | 1,142 | 1.296 | 1,453 | 1,562 |
| 22 lo 24 years old | 716 | 787 | 814 | 1,034 | 1,169 |
| 25 to 29 years old .................... | 396 | 466 | 463 | 546 | 599 |
| 30 lo 34 years old ................... | 244 | 226 | 227 | 232 | 276 |
| 35 years old and over ................ | 390 | 400 | 343 | 370 | 381 |
| Part-time, total ....................... | 6,177 | 5,944 | 6.303 | 6,662 | 6,968 |
| 14 lo 17 years old ...................... | 35 | 26 | 20 | 21 | 20 |
| 18 and 19 years old .................... | 470 | 588 | 599 | 659 | 642 |
| 20 and 21 years old ................... | 526 | 540 | 644 | 726 | 759 |
| 22 to 24 years old | 958 | 813 | 964 | 1,065 | 1,164 |
| 25 lo 29 years old ...................... | 1,163 | 1,101 | 1,083 | 1,253 | 1,327 |
| 30 lo 34 years old ...................... | 921 | 828 | 843 | 813 | 933 |
| 35 years old and over ................... | 2,104 | 2,048 | 2.150 | 2,125 | 2,123 |
| Men. part-time ...................... | 2,537 | 2,436 | 2,611 | 2.673 | 2,770 |
| 14 lo 17 years old ................... | 17 | 5 | 11 | 10 | 10 |
| 18 and 19 years old .................. | 210 | 296 | 333 | 321 | 311 |
| 20 and 21 years old .............. | 281 | 245 | 276 | 308 | 320 |
| 22 to 24 years old ................... | 448 | 350 | 454 | 455 | 491 |
| 25 to 29 years old ................... | 460 | 485 | 447 | 534 | 558 |
| 30 to 34 years old ................... | 404 | 322 | 332 | 321 | 364 |
| 35 years old and over ............... | 717 | 733 | 757 | 725 | 716 |
| Women. part-time .................. | 3,640 | 3,508 | 3,692 | 3,989 | 4,198 |
| 14 lo 17 years old ................... | 18 | 21 | 9 | 11 | 11 |
| 18 and 19 years old ................. | 261 | 292 | 266 | 338 | 331 |
| 20 and 21 years old ................. | 245 | 295 | 368 | 418 | 439 |
| 22 to 24 years old ................... | 510 | 463 | 510 | 610 | 673 |
| 25 to 29 years old ................... | 702 | 617 | 636 | 719 | 769 |
| 30 lo 34 years old .................... | 517 | 506 | 511 | 492 | 569 |
| 35 vcars old and over ............... | 1.386 | 1.315 | 1.393 | 1.399 | 1.407 |

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Data by age are based on the distribution by age from the Bureau of the Census. Mean absolute percenlagcerrors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2, appendix A
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Educalion Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, "Fall Enrollment Survey" (PEDS-EF). various years; Enrollment in Degree-Graniig InstitutionsModel; and U.S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census Current Population Reports. "Social and Economic Characteristics of Students.: various years. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table 13. Total enrollment in all degree-granting institutions. by sex. age. and attendance status. with high alternative projections: Selected years. fall 1993 to fall 2013
[In thousands]

| Sex. age. and attendance status | [In thousands] |  |  | Projected |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1993 | 1998 | 2000 | 2008 | 2013 |
| Men and women. total .................. | 14.305 | 14.507 | 15.312 | 17.551 | 18.809 |
| 14'to 17 years old ........................ | 127 | 119 | 145 | 171 | 172 |
| 18 and 19 years old ...................... | 2,840 | 3,382 | 3,531 | 4,021 | 4,029 |
| 20 and 21 years old ....................... | 2,674 | 2,811 | 3,045 | 3,583 | 3,861 |
| 22 to 24 years old ......................... | 2,570 | 2,377 | 2,617 | 3,224 | 3,643 |
| 25 lo 29 years old ........................ | 2.002 | 1,991 | 1,960 | 2,401 | 2,629 |
| 30 to 34 years old ........................ | 1,345 | 1,195 | 1,265 | 1,296 | 1,536 |
| 35 years old and over ..................... | 2,747 | 2,632 | 2,749 | 2,855 | 2,939 |
| Men. total .............................. | 6,427 | 6,369 | 6,722 | 7,520 | 7,917 |
| 14 to 17 years old | 54 | 45 | 63 | 68 | 67 |
| 18 and 19 years old .................... | 1,288 | 1,535 | 1.583 | 1,787 | 1,769 |
| 20 and 21 years old .................... | 1,284 | 1,374 | 1,382 | 1,626 | 1,729 |
| 22 to 24 years old ...................... | 1,344 | 1,127 | 1,293 | 1,485 | 1,642 |
| 25 to 29 years old ...................... | 903 | 908 | 862 | 1,053 | 1,125 |
| 30 to 34 years old ...................... | 584 | 463 | 527 | 524 | 606 |
| 35 years old and over .................. | 970 | 917 | 1,012 | 976 | 980 |
| Women. total .......................... | 7,877 | 8,138 | 8,591 | 10,030 | 10,892 |
| 14 to 17 years old ...................... | 73 | 74 | 82 | 102 | 105 |
| 18 and 19 years old ..................... | 1,552 | 1,847 | 1,948 | 2,234 | 2,260 |
| 20 and 21 years old .................... | 1.391 | 1,437 | 1,663 | 1,957 | 2.133 |
| 22 to 24 years old | 1,226 | 1,250 | 1,324 | 1,740 | 2,002 |
| 25 lo 29 years old ....................... | 1,098 | 1,083 | 1,099 | 1,348 | 1,504 |
| 30 to 34 years old ..................... | 761 | 732 | 738 | 772 | 931 |
| 35 years old and over .................. | 1,777 | 1,715 | 1,736 | 1,878 | 1,959 |
| Full-time, total ......................... | 8,128 | 8,563 | 9,010 | 10,657 | 11,471 |
| 14 lo 17 years old ...................... | 92 | 93 | 125 | 149 | 150 |
| 18 and 19 years old .................... | 2,370 | 2,794 | 2,932 | 3,354 | 3,376 |
| 20 and 21 years old .................... | 2.148 | 2,271 | 2,401 | 2,844 | 3,083 |
| 22 to 24 years old | 1,612 | 1,564 | 1,653 | 2,128 | 2,427 |
| 25 lo 29 years old ...................... | 839 | 890 | 878 | 1,099 | 1,223 |
| 30 lo 34 years old ....................... | 424 | 367 | 422 | 448 | 541 |
| 35 years old and over .................. | 643 | 584 | 599 | 635 | 670 |
| Men. full-time ...................... | 3,891 | 3,934 | 4,111 | 4,795 | 5,073 |
| 14 lo 17 years old | 37 | 39 | 51 | 58 | 57 |
| 18 and 19 years old ................. | 1,079 | 1,240 | 1,250 | 1,463 | 1,455 |
| 20 and 21 years old .................. | 1,003 | 1,129 | 1,106 | 1,315 | 1,404 |
| 22 to 24 years old ................... | 896 | 777 | 839 | 1,022 | 1,139 |
| 25 to 29 years old .................... | 443 | 424 | 415 | 508 | 549 |
| 30 to 34 years old | 180 | 141 | 195 | 196 | 230 |
| 35 years old and over ................ | 253 | 184 | 256 | 234 | 239 |
| Women. full-time ...................... | 4,237 | 4,630 | 4,899 | 5,862 | 6,398 |
| 14 lo 17 years old | 55 | 54 | 74 | 91 | 94 |
| 18 and 19 years old | 1,291 | 1,555 | 1,682 | 1,890 | 1,921 |
| 20 and 21 years old | 1,145 | 1.142 | 1,296 | 1,529 | 1,679 |
| 22 lo 24 years old .................... | 716 | 787 | 814 | 1,106 | 1,288 |
| 25 to 29 years old ................... | 396 | 466 | 463 | 592 | 674 |
| 30 to 34 years old ................... | 244 | 226 | 227 | 252 | 312 |
| 35 years old and over ............... | 390 | 400 | 343 | 402 | 431 |
| Part-time, total ........................ | 6,177 | 5,944 | 6,303 | 6,894 | 7,338 |
| 14 to 17 years old ..................... | 35 | 26 | 20 | 22 | 21 |
| 18 and 19 years old ................... | 470 | 588 | 599 | 667 | 653 |
| 20 and 21 years old .................... | 526 | 540 | 644 | 738 | 778 |
| 22 lo 24 years old ....................... | 958 | 813 | 964 | 1,097 | 1,216 |
| 25 to 29 years old ...................... | 1,163 | 1,101 | 1,083 | 1,302 | 1,406 |
| 30 to 34 years old ....................... | 921 | 828 | 843 | 848 | 995 |
| 35 years old and over .................. | 2,104 | 2,048 | 2,150 | 2,219 | 2,269 |
| Men. part-time ..................... | 2,537 | 2,436 | 2,611 | 2,725 | 2,844 |
| 14 lo 17 years old ................... | 17 | 5 | 11 | 10 | 10 |
| 18 and 19 years old ................. | 210 | 296 | 333 | 324 | 314 |
| 20 and 21 years old ................. | 281 | 245 | 276 | 311 | 324 |
| 22 to 24 years old ................... | 448 | 350 | 454 | 463 | 503 |
| 25 to 29 years old .................... | 460 | 485 | 447 | 546 | 576 |
| 30 lo 34 years old ................... | 404 | 322 | 332 | 329 | 376 |
| 35 years old and over ................ | 717 | 733 | 757 | 743 | 741 |
| Women. part-time .................. | 3,640 | 3,508 | 3,692 | 4,169 | 4,494 |
| 14 to 17 years old ................... | 18 | 21 | 9 | 11 | 12 |
| 18 and 19 years old ................. | 261 | 292 | 266 | 344 | 338 |
| 20 and 21 years old ................ | 245 | 295 | 368 | 427 | 454 |
| 22 to 24 years old .................... | 510 | 463 | 510 | 634 | 714 |
| 25 to 29 years old ................... | 702 | 617 | 636 | 756 | 830 |
| 30 to 34 years old ................... | 517 | 506 | 511 | 519 | 619 |
| 35 years old and over ................ | 1.386 | 1.315 | 1.393 | 1.476 | 1.528 |

[^5]Table 14. Total enrollment in all degree-granting institutions, by sex and attendance status, with alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013

| Year |  | Total | Men |  | Women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Full-time | Part-time | Full-time | Part-time |
| 1988 | ...................................................... | 13,055 | 3,662 | 2,340 | 3,775 | 3,278 |
| 1989 | ..................................................... | 13,539 | 3,740 | 2,450 | 3,921 | 3,428 |
| 1990 | .......................................................... | 13,819 | 3,808 | 2,476 | 4,013 | 3,521 |
| 1991 | ........................................................ | 14,359 | 3,929 | 2,572 | 4,186 | 3,671 |
| 1992 | ......................................................... | 14,486 | 3,926 | 2,597 | 4,235 | 3,728 |
| 1993 | .......................................................... | 14,305 | 3,891 | 2,537 | 4,237 | 3,640 |
| 1994 | ... | 14,279 | 3,855 | 2,517 | 4,283 | 3,624 |
| 1995 | .......................................................... | 14,262 | 3,807 | 2,535 | 4,321 | 3,598 |
| 1996 | . | 14,368 | 3,851 | 2,502 | 4,452 | 3,563 |
| 1997 | ......................................................... | 14,502 | 3,890 | 2,506 | 4,548 | 3,559 |
| 1998 |  | 14,507 | 3,934 | 2,436 | 4,630 | 3,508 |
| 1999 | .......................................................... | 14,791 | 4,026 | 2,465 | 4,761 | 3,540 |
| 2000 | ...... | 15,312 | 4,111 | 2,611 | 4,899 | 3,692 |
| Middle alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ...................................................... | 15,484 | 4,२29 | 2,572 | 4,917 | 3,766 |
| 2002 | . | 16,102 | 4,419 | 2,589 | 5,172 | 3,923 |
| 2003 | .............. | 16,361 | 4,484 | 2,614 | 5,290 | 3,972 |
| 2004 | ....................................................... | 16,468 | 4,502 | 2,642 | 5,358 | 3,966 |
| 2005 | .......................................................... | 16,679 | 4,551 | 2,656 | 5,457 | 4,015 |
| 2006 | ................................................... | 16,887 | 4,612 | 2,669 | 5,548 | 4,058 |
| 2007 | ..................................................... | 17,020 | 4,657 | 2,685 | 5,615 | 4,064 |
| 2008 | .......................................................... | 17,168 | 4,709 | 2,704 | 5,692 | 4,063 |
| 2009 | .......................................................... | 17,374 | 4,775 | 2,724 | 5,785 | 4,091 |
| 2010 | ........................................................ | 17,541 | 4,8२2 | 2,739 | 5,860 | 4,120 |
| 2011 | .......................................................... | 17,724 | 4,861 | 2,759 | 5,934 | 4,169 |
| 2012 | ......................................................... | 17,927 | 4,896 | 2,782 | 6,012 | 4,236 |
| 2013 | ......................................................... | 18,151 | 4,928 | 2,806 | 6,101 | 4,316 |
| Low alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ..................................................... | 15,484 | 4,229 | 2,572 | 4,917 | 3,766 |
| 2002 | .................. | 16,047 | 4,401 | 2,591 | 5,151 | 3,903 |
| 2003 | ....... | 16,245 | 4,453 | 2,612 | 5,242 | 3,937 |
| 2004 | .......................................................... | 16,330 | 4,474 | 2,632 | 5,291 | 3,934 |
| 2005 | ............ | 16,489 | 4,517 | 2,639 | 5,361 | 3,972 |
| 2006 |  | 16,630 | 4,564 | 2,647 | 5,421 | 3,999 |
| 2007 | ..... | 16,723 | 4,600 | 2,658 | 5,468 | 3,997 |
| 2008 | .... | 16,830 | 4,643 | 2,674 | 5,524 | 3,989 |
| 2009 | ......................................................... | 16,995 | 4,699 | 2,691 | 5,599 | 4,006 |
| 2010 | ....... | 17,140 | 4,740 | 2,705 | 5,663 | 4,031 |
| 2011 |  | 17,289 | 4,774 | 2,724 | 5,723 | 4,069 |
| 2012 |  | 17,463 | 4,804 | 2,746 | 5,789 | 4,125 |
| 2013 | ........................................................ | 17,671 | 4,832 | 2,770 | 5,871 | 4,198 |
| High alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ........................................................ | 15,484 | 4,२29 | 2,572 | 4,917 | 3,766 |
| 2002 | ...................................................... | 16,053 | 4,402 | 2,594 | 5,157 | 3,900 |
| 2003 | . | 16,355 | 4,471 | 2,623 | 5,300 | 3,961 |
| 2004 | ............ | 16,552 | 4,514 | 2,650 | 5,399 | 3,988 |
| 2005 | ................................................... | 16,825 | 4,584 | 2,666 | 5,521 | 4,054 |
| 2006 | .......................................................... | 17,100 | 4.661 | 2,681 | 5,641 | 4,117 |
| 2007 | ......................................................... | 17,317 | 4,725 | 2,701 | 5,744 | 4,147 |
| 2008 | ............... | 17,551 | 4,795 | 2,725 | 5,861 | 4,169 |
| 2009 | .... | 17,837 | 4,877 | 2,750 | 5,993 | 4,217 |
| 2010 | ......................................................... | 18,068 | 4,937 | 2,770 | 6,098 | 4,264 |
| 2011 | ............................................ | 18,301 | 4,989 | 2,793 | 6,195 | 4,325 |
| 2012 | ..................................................... | 18,549 | 5,034 | 2,818 | 6,293 | 4,403 |
| 2013 | ....... | 18,809 | 5,073 | 2,844 | 6,398 | 4,494 |

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Data for 1999 were imputed using alternative procedures. (For more details, see appendix E of Projections of Education Statistics to 2011.) Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2, appendix A.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System. "Fall EnrollmentSurvey" (IPEDS-EF), various years; Enrollment in Degree-Granting Institutions Model. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table 15. Total enrollment in public 4-year degree-granting institutions, by sex and attendance status, with alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013
[In thousands]

| Year |  | Total | Men |  | Women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Full-time | Part-time | Full-time | Part-time |
| 1988 | ........................................................ | 5,546 | 1,910 | 722 | 1,932 | 982 |
| 1989 | .......................................................... | 5,694 | 1,938 | 743 | 1,997 | 1,017 |
| 1990 | .......................................................... | 5,848 | 1,982 | 764 | 2,051 | 1,050 |
| 1991 | .......................................................... | 5,905 | 2,006 | 765 | 2,083 | 1,051 |
| 1992 | ........................................................ | 5,900 | 2,005 | 760 | 2,090 | 1,045 |
| 1993 | .......................................................... | 5,852 | 1,989 | 750 | 2,085 | 1,027 |
| 1994 | ........................................................ | 5,825 | 1,966 | 738 | 2,100 | 1,022 |
| 1995 | .......................................................... | 5,815 | 1,951 | 720 | 2,134 | 1,009 |
| 1996 | . | 5,806 | 1,943 | 703 | 2,163 | 997 |
| 1997 | ......................................................... | 5,835 | 1,951 | 687 | 2,214 | 984 |
| 1998 | ................................................... | 5,892 | 1,959 | 685 | 2,260 | 988 |
| 1999 | ....................................................... | 5,970 | 1,984 | 686 | 2,309 | 991 |
| 2000 | ........................................................ | 6,055 | 2,009 | 683 | 2,363 | 1,001 |
|  |  | Middle alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ......................................................... | 6,224 | 2,092 | 701 | 2,388 | 1,043 |
| 2002 | .......................................................... | 6,499 | 2,189 | 706 | 2,516 | 1,089 |
| 2003 | ...................................................... | 6,611 | 2,222 | 713 | 2,574 | 1,103 |
| 2004 | ....... | 6,658 | 2,231 | 719 | 2,607 | 1,100 |
| 2005 | ...................................................... | 6,748 | 2,256 | 723 | 2,655 | 1,114 |
| 2006 | .......................................................... | 6,838 | 2,286 | 726 | 2,700 | 1,126 |
| 2007 | ...................................................... | 6,896 | 2,307 | 729 | 2,733 | 1,126 |
| 2008 | .................................................. | 6,961 | 2,333 | 734 | 2,770 | 1,125 |
| 2009 | .......... | 7,051 | 2,366 | 738 | 2,817 | 1,131 |
| 2010 | .......................................................... | 7,129 | 2,392 | 743 | 2,855 | 1,139 |
| 2011 | ....... | 7,210 | 2,414 | 750 | 2,893 | 1,153 |
| 2012 | .......................................................... | 7,297 | 2,433 | 758 | 2,932 | 1,174 |
| 2013 | ......................................................... | 7,390 | 2,450 | 766 | 2,975 | 1,198 |
|  |  | Low alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ...................................................... | 6,224 | 2,092 | 701 | 2,388 | 1,043 |
| 2002 | ........... | 6,608 | 2,235 | 733 | 2,548 | 1,092 |
| 2003 | .......... | 6,664 | 2,250 | 732 | 2,584 | 1,099 |
| 2004 | ......................... | 6,674 | 2,249 | 731 | 2,599 | 1,095 |
| 2005 | ........ | 6,721 | 2,262 | 728 | 2,627 | 1,104 |
| 2006 | ........... | 6,766 | 2,278 | 727 | 2,651 | 1,110 |
| 2007 | ......................................................... | 6,795 | 2,290 | 727 | 2,670 | 1,108 |
| 2008 | .......................................................... | 6,834 | 2,307 | 728 | 2,695 | 1,104 |
| 2009 | ...... | 6,901 | 2,333 | 731 | 2,730 | 1,107 |
| 2010 | .......................................................... | 6,965 | 2,355 | 735 | 2,763 | 1,113 |
| 2011 | ......................................................... | 7,029 | 2,372 | 741 | 2,792 | 1,124 |
| 2012 | .................. | 7,102 | 2,388 | 748 | 2,825 | 1,141 |
| 2013 | ................................... | 7,188 | 2,403 | 756 | 2,865 | 1,164 |
|  |  | High alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 |  | 6,224 | 2,092 | 701 | 2,388 | 1,043 |
| 2002 | ...................................................... | 6,532 | 2,205 | 717 | 2,527 | 1,083 |
| 2003 | .... | 6,642 | 2,231 | 721 | 2,590 | 1,100 |
| 2004 | .......................................................... | 6,713 | 2,246 | 725 | 2,634 | 1,107 |
| 2005 | .......................................................... | 6,822 | 2,278 | 728 | 2,691 | 1,126 |
| 2006 | ....................................................... | 6,935 | 2,314 | 731 | 2,748 | 1,143 |
| 2007 | ........................................................ | 7,025 | 2,343 | 735 | 2,797 | 1,151 |
| 2008 | ........................................................ | 7,126 | 2,377 | 740 | 2,853 | 1,156 |
| 2009 | ................................................. | 7.249 | 2,417 | 746 | 2,918 | 1,168 |
| 2010 | ... | 7,353 | 2,449 | 752 | 2,971 | 1,180 |
| 2011 | ............ | 7.456 | 2,477 | 760 | 3,020 | 1,198 |
| 2012 | ........................................ | 7,561 | 2,502 | 768 | 3,069 | 1,222 |
| 2013 | ......................................................... | 7,669 | 2,522 | 777 | 3,120 | 1,251 |

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Data for 1999 were imputed using alternative procedures. (For more details, see appendix E of Projections of Education Statistics to 2011.) Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2, appendix A.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System. "Fall Enrollment Survey"
(IPEDS-EF), various years; Enrollment in Degree-GrantingInstitutions Model. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table 16. Total enrollment in public 2-year degree-granting institutions, by sex and attendance status, with alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013
[In thousands]

| Year |  | Total | Men |  | Women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Full-time | Part-time | Full-time | Part-time |
| 1988 | ....................................................... | 4,615 | 746 | 1,231 | 822 | 1,817 |
| 1989 | ......................................................... | 4,884 | 793 | 1,302 | 881 | 1,907 |
| 1990 | ................................................. | 4,996 | 811 | 1,318 | 906 | 1,962 |
| 1991 | .................................................... | 5,405 | 882 | 1,414 | 1,004 | 2,105 |
| 1992 | ....................................................... | 5,485 | 878 | 1,431 | 1,037 | 2,138 |
| 1993 | ................................................... | 5,337 | 859 | 1,386 | 1,030 | 2,063 |
| 1994 | ..................................... | 5,308 | 848 | 1,379 | 1,038 | 2,044 |
| 1995 | ............................................... | 5,278 | 819 | 1,417 | 1,022 | 2,020 |
| 1996 | ..................................................... | 5,314 | 833 | 1,423 | 1,039 | 2,019 |
| 1997 | .................................................... | 5,361 | 842 | 1,444 | 1,049 | 2,026 |
| 1998 | ….................................................... | 5,246 | 841 | 1,383 | 1,040 | 1,981 |
| 1999 | ................................................... | 5,339 | 868 | 1,404 | 1,063 | 2,005 |
| 2000 | ................................................. | 5,697 | 891 | 1,549 | 1,109 | 2,148 |
|  |  | Middle alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ................................................... | 5,671 | 915 | 1,487 | 1,115 | 2,153 |
| 2002 | ......................... | 5,855 | 951 | 1,497 | 1,166 | 2,241 |
| 2003 | ...................................................... | 5,935 | 963 | 1,512 | 1,191 | 2,268 |
| 2004 | ................................. | 5,969 | 967 | 1,530 | 1,207 | 2,266 |
| 2005 | ..................................................... | 6,038 | 977 | 1,539 | 1,228 | 2,293 |
| 2006 | .................................................. | 6,104 | 990 | 1,547 | 1,248 | 2,319 |
| 2007 | .................................................. | 6,146 | 1.001 | 1,558 | 1,264 | 2,324 |
| 2008 | ............................................ | 6,192 | 1,014 | 1,570 | 1,282 | 2,326 |
| 2009 | .......................................... | 6,257 | 1,028 | 1,583 | 1,302 | 2,344 |
| 2010 | .............................................................. | 6,302 | 1,034 | 1,592 | 1,315 | 2,361 |
| 2011 | .................................................... | 6,356 | 1,038 | 1,601 | 1,328 | 2,388 |
| 2012 | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 6,419 | 1,042 | 1,612 | 1,343 | 2,423 |
| 2013 | ...................................................... | 6,493 | 1,045 | 1,623 | 1,361 | 2,464 |
|  |  | Low alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ...................................................... | 5,671 | 915 | 1,487 | 1,115 | 2,153 |
| 2002 | ................. | 5,827 | 954 | 1,465 | 1,185 | 2,224 |
| 2003 | ........... | 5,890 | 961 | 1,486 | 1,198 | 2,245 |
| 2004 | ................................................... | 5,920 | 964 | 1,506 | 1,204 | 2,246 |
| 2005 | ................................................... | 5,972 | 972 | 1,517 | 1,215 | 2,268 |
| 2006 | ............................. | 6,018 | 981 | 1,526 | 1,226 | 2,285 |
| 2007 | ...................... | 6,048 | 990 | 1,537 | 1,235 | 2,286 |
| 2008 | -.......... | 6,082 | 1,001 | 1,550 | 1,247 | 2,284 |
| 2009 | .................................................... | 6,134 | 1,012 | 1,562 | 1,262 | 2,297 |
| 2010 | .................................................... | 6,173 | 1,017 | 1,571 | 1,273 | 2,312 |
| 2011 | ............................................... | 6,216 | 1,020 | 1,580 | 1,282 | 2,333 |
| 2012 | ................................................. | 6,269 | 1,023 | 1,591 | 1,294 | 2,362 |
| 2013 | ..................................................... | 6,338 | 1,026 | 1,602 | 1,311 | 2,400 |
|  |  | High alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ............................................................. | 5,671 | 915 | 1,487 | 1,115 | 2,153 |
| 2002 | ............................................ | 5,835 | 949 | 1,489 | 1,170 | 2,228 |
| 2003 | .................................................. | 5,931 | 961 | 1,511 | 1,198 | 2,261 |
| 2004 | .................................................... | 5,996 | 970 | 1,530 | 1,219 | 2,277 |
| 2005 | .................................................... | 6,085 | 985 | 1,541 | 1,244 | 2,315 |
| 2006 | .................................................... | 6,174 | 1,001 | 1,552 | 1,270 | 2,351 |
| 2007 | ................................................... | 6,244 | 1,016 | 1,565 | 1,294 | 2,369 |
| 2008 | ................................................. | 6,317 | 1,032 | 1,581 | 1,320 | 2,384 |
| 2009 | .-................................................. | 6,408 | 1,049 | 1,597 | 1,349 | 2,413 |
| 2010 | ..................................................... | 6,474 | 1,058 | 1,608 | 1,368 | 2,440 |
| 2011 | .................................................... | 6,544 | 1,065 | 1,619 | 1.386 | 2.474 |
| 2012 | .................. | 6,621 | 1,070 | 1,631 | 1,70, |  |
| 2013 |  | 6,707 | 1,075 | 1,643 | 1,427 | 2,562 |

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Data for 1999 were imputed using alternative procedures. (For more details, see appendix E of Projections of Education Statistics lo 2011.) Mean absolute percentage errors of selected educationstatistics can be found in table A2, appendix A.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, IntegratedPostsecondaryEducation Data System. "Fall Enrollment Survey" (IPEDS-EF), various years; Enrollment in Degree-Granting Institutions Model. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table 17. Total enrollment in private 4-year degree-granting institutions. by sex and attendance status. with alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013

| Year |  | Total | Men |  | Women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Full-time | Part-time | Full-time | Part-time |
| 1988 | . | 2,634 | 933 | 347 | 918 | 436 |
| 1989 | .............. | 2,693 | 933 | 360 | 938 | 463 |
| 1990 | .......... | 2,730 | 944 | 361 | 959 | 466 |
| 1991 | .......... | 2,802 | 962 | 367 | 990 | 483 |
| 1992 | .-........ | 2,864 | 970 | 375 | 1,016 | 503 |
| 1993 | ...... | 2,887 | 973 | 369 | 1,037 | 508 |
| 1994 | ...... | 2,924 | 978 | 367 | 1,063 | 516 |
| 1995 | .... | 2,955 | 978 | 364 | 1,089 | 523 |
| 1996 | .... | 2,998 | 991 | 356 | 1,133 | 518 |
| 1997 | ... | 3,061 | 1.008 | 360 | 1,170 | 523 |
| 1998 | ........................................... | 3,126 | 1.038 | 353 | 1,220 | 514 |
| 1999 | .............. | 3,229 | 1.073 | 360 | 1,276 | 519 |
| 2000 | ................................... | 3,308 | 1.107 | 365 | 1,315 | 522 |
| Middle alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ............... | 3,330 | 1.120 | 367 | 1,300 | 543 |
| 2002 | ... | 3,479 | 1.173 | 370 | 1,370 | 566 |
| 2003 | ..... | 3,540 | 1.191 | 373 | 1,402 | 574 |
| 2004 | ... | 3,565 | 1.196 | 377 | 1,420 | 572 |
| 2005 | ....... | 3,614 | 1.209 | 378 | 1,447 | 579 |
| 2006 | ...... | 3,661 | 1.225 | 380 | 1,471 | 585 |
| 2007 | .... | 3,692 | 1.237 | 381 | 1,488 | 586 |
| 2008 | ........ | 3,725 | 1.250 | 383 | 1,508 | 585 |
| 2009 | $\ldots$ | 3,772 | 1.267 | 385 | 1,533 | 588 |
| 2010 | ............. | 3,814 | 1.281 | 387 | 1,554 | 592 |
| 2011 | .... | 3,859 | 1.293 | 391 | 1,576 | 599 |
| 2012 | .............. | 3,910 | 1.306 | 395 | 1,599 | 610 |
| 2013 | ......................................... | 3,964 | 1.316 | 400 | 1,625 | 623 |
| Low alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ......................................................... | 3,330 | 1.120 | 367 | 1,300 | 543 |
| 2002 | ......................... | 3,339 | 1.124 | 367 | 1,301 | 548 |
| 2003 | $\cdots$ | 3,416 | 1.148 | 370 | 1,341 | 557 |
| 2004 | ................................... | 3,461 | 1.163 | 372 | 1,367 | 560 |
| 2005 | $\cdots$ | 3,519 | 1.182 | 374 | 1,396 | 567 |
| 2006 | ............... | 3,566 | 1.200 | 375 | 1,419 | 573 |
| 2007 | ................................... | 3,599 | 1.213 | 376 | 1,437 | 573 |
| 2008 | ............ | 3,630 | 1.226 | 378 | 1,455 | 572 |
| 2009 | ............ | 3,673 | 1,242 | 380 | 1,478 | 574 |
| 2010 | .... | 3,713 | 1.256 | 382 | 1,498 | 577 |
| 2011 | ......................................................... | 3,753 | 1.268 | 385 | 1,517 | 583 |
| 2012 | ........................................................ | 3,799 | 1.279 | 390 | 1,537 | 592 |
| 2013 | ......................................................... | 3,850 | 1.290 | 394 | 1,562 | 605 |
| High alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ......................................................... | 3,330 | 1.120 | 367 | 1,300 | 543 |
| 2002 | ..................................... | 3,417 | 1.150 | 368 | 1,342 | 558 |
| 2003 | ............ | 3,508 | 1.176 | 373 | 1,390 | 569 |
| 2004 | ........................................................ | 3,565 | 1.192 | 377 | 1,422 | 574 |
| 2005 | ......................................................... | 3,636 | 1.214 | 379 | 1,459 | 584 |
| 2006 | .......................................................... | 3,703 | 1.236 | 381 | 1,493 | 594 |
| 2007 | $\ldots$ | 3,756 | 1.253 | 383 | 1,521 | 598 |
| 2008 | ......................... | 3,811 | 1.272 | 386 | 1,553 | 601 |
| 2009 |  | . 3,878 | 1.294 | 389 | 1,588 | 607 |
| 2010 | ........................................................ | 3,935 | 1.312 | 392 | 1,618 | 613 |
| 2011 | ......................................................... | 3,993 | 1.328 | 396 | 1,646 | 623 |
| 2012 | ......................................................... | 4.054 | 1.343 | 401 | 1,675 | 635 |
| 2013 | ......................................................... | 4.117 | 1.356 | 406 | 1.705 | 650 |

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Data for 1999 were imputed using alternative procedures. (For more details. see appendix E of Projections of Education Statistics to 2011.) Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2. appendix A.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System. "Fall EnrollmentSurvey"
(IPEDS-EF), various years; Enrollment in Degree-GrantingInstitutions Model. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table 18. Total enrollment in private 2-year degree-granting institutions, by sex and attendance status, with alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013

| Year |  | Total | Men |  | Women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Full-time | Part-time | Full-time | Part-time |
| 1988 | ................................. | 260 | 73 | 40 | 103 | 44 |
| 1989 | ....... | 267 | 76 | 45 | 105 | 41 |
| 1990 | ........ | 244 | 71 | 34 | 96 | 43 |
| 1991 | ... | 247 | 80 | 27 | 109 | 32 |
| 1992 | ......... | 238 | 74 | 30 | 91 | 43 |
| 1993 | ............ | 229 | 70 | 31 | 85 | 43 |
| 1994 | ............ | 221 | 64 | 33 | 82 | 43 |
| 1995 | ............ | 215 | 60 | 33 | 77 | 45 |
| 1996 | ............. | 249 | 84 | 19 | 117 | 29 |
| 1997 | .......... | 245 | 89 | 14 | 115 | 26 |
| 1998 | .......... | 243 | 95 | 14 | 109 | 25 |
| 1999 | .......... | 253 | 101 | 15 | 112 | 25 |
| 2000 | ......................................................... | 251 | 105 | 13 | 112 | 21 |
| Middle alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | .................................. | 259 | 102 | 16 | 115 | 26 |
| 2002 | ......................... | 269 | 106 | 16 | 120 | 27 |
| 2003 | ....... | 274 | 107 | 16 | 122 | 28 |
| 2004 | ............ | 276 | 108 | 17 | 124 | 28 |
| 2005 | ........... | 280 | 109 | 17 | 126 | 28 |
| 2006 | ......................... | 284 | 110 | 17 | 128 | 28 |
| 2007 | ............ | 287 | 112 | 17 | 130 | 28 |
| 2008 | .......................................................... | 290 | 113 | 17 | 132 | 28 |
| 2009 | ...................... | 294 | 115 | 17 | 134 | 29 |
| 2010 | ....................... | 296 | 115 | 17 | 135 | 29 |
| 2011 | ................ | 299 | 116 | 17 | 136 | 29 |
| 2012 | ....... | 301 | 116 | 17 | 138 | 30 |
| 2013 | ........................................................ | 304 | 116 | 18 | 140 | 30 |
| Low alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | - | 259 | 102 | 16 | 115 | 26 |
| 2002 | ................. | 272 | 89 | 27 | 117 | 38 |
| 2003 | ......................... | 274 | 94 | 24 | 120 | 36 |
| 2004 | ................ | 275 | 98 | 22 | 121 | 34 |
| 2005 | ......................................... | 277 | 101 | 21 | 123 | 32 |
| 2006 | .. | 280 | 105 | 19 | 125 | 31 |
| 2007 | ....................................................... | 282 | 107 | 19 | 126 | 30 |
| 2008 | ....................................................... | 284 | 109 | 18 | 128 | 29 |
| 2009 | ............... | 287 | 111 | 18 | 129 | 29 |
| 2010 | ....................................... | 289 | 112 | 18 | 131 | 29 |
| 2011 | ...................................... | 291 | 113 | 17 | 132 | 29 |
| 2012 | .......................... | 293 | 114 | 17 | 133 | 29 |
| 2013 | .......................................................... | 296 | 114 | 17 | 135 | 30 |
| High alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ................ | 259 | 102 | 16 | 115 | 26 |
| 2002 | ................ | 269 | 99 | 20 | 119 | 32 |
| 2003 | ....................... | 274 | 103 | 19 | 122 | 30 |
| 2004 | ......................... | 278 | 106 | 18 | 125 | 30 |
| 2005 | .................................................... | 283 | 108 | 18 | 128 | 29 |
| 2006 | ....................... | 288 | 111 | 17 | 130 | 29 |
| 2007 | ................................................. | 292 | 113 | 17 | 133 | 29 |
| 2008 | ......................................................... | 297 | 115 | 17 | 136 | 29 |
| 2009 | ......................................................... | 302 | 117 | 17 | 139 | 30 |
| 2010 | ......................................................... | 306 | 118 | 17 | 141 | 30 |
| 2011 | .......................................................... | 309 | 119 | 18 | 142 | 30 |
| 2012 | .................................................... | 312 | 119 | 18 | 144 | 31 |
| 2013 | .............. | 316 | 120 | 18 | 147 | 31 |

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Data for 1999 were imputed using alternative procedures. (For mote details, see appendix E of Projections of Education Statistics to 2011.) Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2, appendix A.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics. IntegratedPostsecondary Education Data System. "Fall EnrollmentSurvey" (LPEDS-EF), various years; Enrollment in Degree-Granting Institutions Model. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table 19. Total undergraduate enrollment in all degree-granting institutions, by sex, attendance status, and control of institution, with alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013
[In thousands]

| Year |  | Total | Sex |  | Attendance status |  | Control |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Men | Women | Full-time | Part-time | Public | Private |
| 1988 | ............................................. | 11,317 | 5,138 | 6,179 | 6,642 | 4,674 | 9,103 | 2,213 |
| 1989 | ................................................ | 11,743 | 5,311 | 6,432 | 6,841 | 4,902 | 9,488 | 2,255 |
| 1990 | ......................................... | 11,959 | 5,380 | 6,579 | 6,976 | 4,983 | 9,710 | 2,250 |
| 1991 | .............................................. | 12,439 | 5,571 | 6,868 | 7,221 | 5,218 | 10,148 | 2,291 |
| 1992 | ................ | 12,537 | 5,582 | 6,954 | 7,243 | 5,293 | 10,216 | 2,320 |
| 1993 |  | 12,324 | 5,484 | 6,840 | 7,179 | 5,144 | 10,012 | 2,312 |
| 1994 |  | 12,263 | 5,422 | 6,840 | 7,169 | 5,094 | 9,945 | 2,317 |
| 1995 | ........................................ | 12,232 | 5,401 | 6.831 | 7,145 | 5,086 | 9,904 | 2,328 |
| 1996 | .......................................... | 12,327 | 5,421 | 6,906 | 7,299 | 5,028 | 9,935 | 2,392 |
| 1997 | .......................................... | 12,451 | 5,469 | 6,982 | 7,419 | 5.032 | 10,007 | 2,443 |
| 1998 |  | 12,437 | 5,446 | 6.991 | 7,539 | 4,898 | 9,950 | 2,487 |
| 1999 |  | 12,681 | 5,559 | 7,122 | 7,735 | 4.946 | 10,110 | 2,571 |
| 2000 | ......................................... | 13,155 | 5,778 | 7,377 | 7,923 | 5,232 | 10,539 | 2,616 |
| Middle alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ......................................... | 13,300 | 5,835 | 7,465 | 8,054 | 5,246 | 10,649 | 2,651 |
| 2002 | ............................................. | 13,829 | 6,008 | 7,821 | 8,438 | 5,392 | 11,058 | 2,771 |
| 2003 | ............................................. | 14,048 | 6,085 | 7,963 | 8,592 | 5,456 | 11,229 | 2,820 |
| 2004 | ............................................ | 14,146 | 6,127 | 8,019 | 8,668 | 5,478 | 11,304 | 2,842 |
| 2005 | ............................................. | 14,329 | 6,183 | 8,146 | 8,797 | 5,532 | 11,447 | 2,882 |
| 2006 | .......................................... | 14,511 | 6,248 | 8,264 | 8,931 | 5,580 | 11,589 | 2,922 |
| 2007 | ......................................... | 14,634 | 6,304 | 8,331 | 9,033 | 5,602 | 11,683 | 2,951 |
| 2008 | .......................................... | 14,775 | 6,370 | 8,405 | 9,152 | 5,622 | 11,790 | 2,984 |
| 2009 | .......................................... | 14,965 | 6,448 | 8,517 | 9,298 | 5,667 | 11,937 | 3,028 |
| 2010 | ........................................... | 15,109 | 6,502 | 8,608 | 9,403 | 5,706 | 12,047 | 3,062 |
| 2011 | ............................................. | 15,255 | 6,547 | 8.708 | 9,493 | 5,762 | 12,161 | 3,094 |
| 2012 | .............................................. | 15,404 | 6,586 | 8,818 | 9,572 | 5,832 | 12,281 | 3,123 |
| 2013 | .... | 15,568 | 6,622 | 8,946 | 9,657 | 5.911 | 12,414 | 3,154 |
| Low alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ... | 13,300 | 5,835 | 7,465 | 8,054 | 5,246 | 10,649 | 2,651 |
| 2002 | ............................................... | 13,887 | 6,015 | 7,872 | 8,458 | 5,429 | 11,168 | 2,719 |
| 2003 | ....................................... | 14,037 | 6,077 | 7,960 | 8,567 | 5,470 | 11,268 | 2,769 |
| 2004 | ............................................ | 14,095 | 6,110 | 7,985 | 8,617 | 5,478 | 11,299 | 2,795 |
| 2005 | ......................................... | 14,216 | 6,151 | 8,065 | 8,708 | 5,508 | 11,383 | 2,833 |
| 2006 | .............. | 14,328 | 6,196 | 8,132 | 8,797 | 5,532 | 11,462 | 2,866 |
| 2007 | ................... | 14,407 | 6,239 | 8,168 | 8,869 | 5,539 | 11,517 | 2,890 |
| 2008 | .................. | 14,506 | 6,293 | 8,213 | 8.960 | 5,546 | 11,588 | 2,918 |
| 2009 | . | 14,656 | 6,359 | 8,296 | 9,078 | 5,578 | 11,700 | 2,956 |
| 2010 | ................ | 14,779 | 6,407 | 8,372 | 9,168 | 5,610 | 11,792 | 2,987 |
| 2011 | ....................... | 14,894 | 6,445 | 8,449 | 9,239 | 5,655 | 11,881 | 3,013 |
| 2012 | ........ | 15,018 | 6,479 | 8,540 | 9,303 | 5,715 | 11,980 | 3,038 |
| 2013 | ...................... | 15,170 | 6,511 | 8,658 | 9,380 | 5,789 | 12,102 | 3,068 |
| High alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | .............................................. | 13,300 | 5,835 | 7,465 | 8,054 | 5,246 | 10,649 | 2,651 |
| 2002 | ........................................... | 13,844 | 6,014 | 7,830 | 8,434 | 5,409 | 11,093 | 2,751 |
| 2003 | $\cdots$ | 14,078 | 6,092 | 7,985 | 8,604 | 5,473 | 11,266 | 2,812 |
| 2004 | ...................................... | 14,237 | 6,150 | 8,086 | 8,723 | 5,514 | 11,384 | 2,852 |
| 2005 | ................................... | 14,463 | 6,222 | 8,242 | 8,886 | 5,578 | 11,558 | 2,905 |
| 2006 |  | 14,696 | 6,301 | 8,395 | 9,056 | 5,641 | 11,738 | 2,959 |
| 2007 | ................ | 14,887 | 6,375 | 8,512 | 9,203 | 5,683 | 11,884 | 3,003 |
| 2008 | ........................................... | 15,098 | 6,461 | 8,637 | 9,373 | 5,725 | 12,046 | 3,052 |
| 2009 | ........... | 15,354 | 6,556 | 8,797 | 9,564 | 5.790 | 12,243 | 3,110 |
| 2010 | ............................................ | 15,551 | 6,624 | 8,927 | 9,705 | 5,846 | 12,395 | 3,156 |
| 2011 | . | 15,738 | 6,682 | 9.056 | 9,824 | 5,914 | 12,541 | 3,197 |
| 2012 | ............................................. | 15.923 | 6,731 | 9,192 | 9,929 | 5.994 | 12,689 | 3,234 |
| 2013 | .............................................. | 16.116 | 6.774 | 9.342 | 10.032 | 6.084 | 12.844 | 3.272 |

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Data for 1999 were imputed using alternative procedures. (For more details, see appendix E of Projecrions of Education Statistics to 2011.) Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2, appendix A.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for EducationStatistics. Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System. 'Fall EnrollmentSurvey"
(LPEDS-EF), various years; Enrollment in Degree-GrantingInstitutions Model. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table 20. Total graduate enrollment in all degree-granting institutions, by sex, attendance status, and control of institution, with alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013
[In thousands]

| Year |  | Total | Sex |  | Attendance status |  | Control |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Men | Women | Full-time | Part-time | Public | Private |
| 1988 | ............................................ | 1.472 | 697 | 774 | 553 | 919 | 949 | 522 |
| 1989 | .. | 1,522 | 710 | 811 | 572 | 949 | 978 | 544 |
| 1990 | ............................................... | 1,586 | 737 | 849 | 599 | 987 | 1,023 | 563 |
| 1991 | ... | 1,639 | 761 | 878 | 642 | 997 | 1,050 | 589 |
| 1992 | .......................................... | 1,669 | 772 | 896 | 666 | 1,003 | 1,058 | 611 |
| 1993 |  | 1,688 | 771 | 917 | 688 | 1,000 | 1,064 | 625 |
| 1994 | .............................................. | 1,721 | 776 | 946 | 706 | 1,016 | 1,075 | 647 |
| 1995 | ........................................ | 1,732 | 767 | 965 | 717 | 1,015 | 1,074 | 659 |
| 1996 | .......................................... | 1,742 | 759 | 982 | 737 | 1,005 | 1,069 | 674 |
| 1997 | ............................................... | 1,753 | 758 | 996 | 752 | 1,001 | 1,070 | 683 |
| 1998 | ........................................... | 1,768 | 754 | 1,013 | 754 | 1.014 | 1,067 | 701 |
| 1999 | .............. | 1,807 | 766 | 1,041 | 781 | 1,026 | 1,077 | 730 |
| 2000 | .............................................. | 1,850 | 780 | 1,070 | 813 | 1,037 | 1,089 | 761 |
| Middle alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | .......................................... | 1,868 | 791 | 1,077 | 810 | 1,058 | 1,119 | 749 |
| 2002 | ............................................ | 1,941 | 817 | 1,124 | 855 | 1,086 | 1,162 | 779 |
| 2003 | ............................................ | 1,973 | 827 | 1,145 | 876 | 1,096 | 1,181 | 792 |
| 2004 | ............................................ | 1,980 | 831 | 1,149 | 884 | 1,095 | 1,185 | 795 |
| 2005 | ......................................... | 2,003 | 836 | 1,167 | 899 | 1,104 | 1,199 | 804 |
| 2006 | ........ | 2,024 | 842 | 1,181 | 912 | 1,112 | 1,211 | 813 |
| 2007 | ........................................ | 2,032 | 846 | 1,185 | 919 | 1,112 | 1,216 | 816 |
| 2008 | ......................................... | 2,036 | 850 | 1,186 | 926 | 1,110 | 1,218 | 818 |
| 2009 | ........................................ | 2,049 | 855 | 1,194 | 936 | 1,113 | 1,226 | 824 |
| 2010 | ......................................... | 2,067 | 862 | 1,205 | 949 | 1,118 | 1,236 | 831 |
| 2011 | ........................................... | 2,098 | 873 | 1,224 | 967 | 1,131 | 1,254 | 843 |
| 2012 | ........................................ | 2,142 | 889 | 1,254 | 992 | 1,150 | 1,281 | 862 |
| 2013 | ........................................ | 2,193 | 904 | 1,288 | 1,019 | 1,174 | 1,311 | 882 |
| Low alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | .......................................... | 1,868 | 791 | 1,077 | 810 | 1,058 | 1,119 | 749 |
| 2002 | ......................................... | 1,830 | 791 | 1,039 | 797 | 1,033 | 1,136 | 694 |
| 2003 | ......................................... | 1,873 | 801 | 1,072 | 825 | 1,047 | 1,153 | 720 |
| 2004 | ........................................... | 1,898 | 808 | 1,090 | 843 | 1,056 | 1,160 | 739 |
| 2005 | ........................................... | 1,932 | 817 | 1,115 | 862 | 1,070 | 1,173 | 759 |
| 2006 | .......................................... | 1,957 | 824 | 1,133 | 877 | 1,080 | 1,183 | 774 |
| 2007 | ............................................ | 1,970 | 829 | 1,140 | 887 | 1,083 | 1,187 | 783 |
| 2008 | .......................................... | 1,976 | 833 | 1,143 | 894 | 1,082 | 1,188 | 789 |
| 2009 | ...... | 1,989 | 838 | 1,150 | 903 | 1.085 | 1,193 | 796 |
| 2010 | ............ | 2,007 | 845 | 1,161 | 916 | 1,091 | 1,203 | 804 |
| 2011 | ..................................... | 2,035 | 856 | 1,179 | 932 | 1,103 | 1,218 | 816 |
| 2012 | ......................: | 2,076 | 871 | 1,205 | 956 | 1,120 | 1,242 | 834 |
| 2013 | .............................................. | 2,125 | 886 | 1,238 | 981 | 1,143 | 1,271 | 854 |
| High alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ........................................... | 1,868 | 791 | 1,077 | 810 | 1,058 | 1,119 | 749 |
| 2002 | .................. | 1,880 | 798 | 1,082 | 828 | 1,052 | 1,143 | 738 |
| 2003 | ............ | 1,939 | 816 | 1,123 | 862 | 1,077 | 1,171 | 768 |
| 2004 | .......... | 1,972 | 827 | 1,145 | 881 | 1,090 | 1,186 | 785 |
| 2005 | ............................................. | 2,011 | 838 | 1,173 | 904 | 1,107 | 1,208 | 804 |
| 2006 | .......................................... | 2,046 | 848 | 1,198 | 924 | 1,122 | 1,227 | 819 |
| 2007 | ............................................. | 2,068 | 855 | 1,213 | 939 | 1,129 | 1,239 | 829 |
| 2008 | ............ | 2,086 | 863 | 1,224 | 953 | 1,133 | 1,249 | 837 |
| 2009 | .............................................4. | 2,111 | 871 | 1,240 | 969 | 1,142 | 1,263 | 848 |
| 2010 | ............................................ | 2,138 | 880 | 1,258 | 987 | 1,152 | 1,279 | 859 |
| 2011 | .......................................... | 2,177 | 893 | 1,283 | 1.009 | 1,167 | 1,302 | 875 |
| 2012 | .... | 2.229 | 911 | 1.318 | 1.039 | 1.190 | 1.333 | 896 |
| 2013 | ............................................. | 2,285 | 928 | 1,357 | 1,069 | 1,216 | 1,366 | 919 |

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because ofrounding. Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Data for 1999 were imputed using alternative procedures. (For more details, see appendix E of Projections of Education Statistics 10 2011.) Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2, appendix A.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, "Fall EnrollmentSurvey"
(IPEDS-EF), various years; Enrollment in Degree-Granting Institutions Model. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table 21. Total first-professional enrollment in all degree-granting institutions, by sex, attendance status, and control of institution, with alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013
[In thousands]

|  |  |  | [In tho | ds] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  | Total | Sex |  | Attendance status |  | Control |  |
|  |  |  | Men | Women | Full-time | Part-time | Public | Private |
| 1988 |  | 267 | 167 | 100 | 241 | 26 | 109 | 158 |
| 1989 |  | 274 | 169 | 106 | 248 | 27 | 113 | 162 |
| 1990 | ................................................... | 273 | 167 | 107 | 246 | 28 | 112 | 162 |
| 1991 | ............................................. | 281 | 170 | 111 | 252 | 29 | 111 | 169 |
| 1992 | ................................................. | 281 | 169 | 112 | 252 | 29 | 111 | 170 |
| 1993 | ............... | 292 | 173 | 120 | 260 | 33 | 114 | 179 |
| 1994 | .............. | 295 | 174 | 121 | 263 | 31 | 114 | 181 |
| 1995 | ............. | 298 | 174 | 124 | 266 | 31 | 115 | 183 |
| 1996 | ............ | 298 | 173 | 126 | 267 | 31 | 117 | 182 |
| 1997 | ..... | 298 | 170 | 129 | 267 | 31 | 118 | 180 |
| 1998 | .............. | 302 | 169 | 134 | 271 | 31 | 121 | 182 |
| 1999 | ..... | 303 | 165 | 138 | 271 | 33 | 123 | 180 |
| 2000 | ..... | 307 | 164 | 143 | 274 | 33 | 124 | 183 |
|  |  | Middle alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 |  | 316 | 174 | 142 | 282 | 33 | 127 | 189 |
| 2002 |  | 332 | 183 | 149 | 298 | 34 | 134 | 198 |
| 2003 |  | 340 | 186 | 154 | 305 | 34 | 137 | 203 |
| 2004 | ... | 342 | 186 | 156 | 308 | 34 | 138 | 204 |
| 2005 | ... | 347 | 189 | 159 | 313 | 34 | 140 | 207 |
| 2006 |  | 352 | 191 | 161 | 317 | 35 | 142 | 210 |
| 2007 |  | 355 | 192 | 162 | 320 | 35 | 143 | 211 |
| 2008 |  | 357 | 193 | 163 | 322 | 35 | 144 | 213 |
| 2009 |  | 360 | 195 | 165 | 326 | 35 | 146 | 215 |
| 2010 | ... | 365 | 197 | 168 | 330 | 35 | 147 | 217 |
| 2011 |  | 371 | 200 | 171 | 336 | 35 | 150 | 221 |
| 2012 |  | 380 | 204 | 176 | 345 | 36 | 154 | 226 |
| 2013 |  | 390 | 208 | 182 | 354 | 36 | 158 | 232 |
|  |  | Low alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 |  | 316 | 174 | 142 | 282 | 33 | 127 | 189 |
| 2002 |  | 329 | 187 | 142 | 298 | 31 | 131 | 198 |
| 2003 |  | 335 | 188 | 147 | 303 | 32 | 134 | 201 |
| 2004 |  | 337 | 188 | 150 | 305 | 32 | 135 | 202 |
| 2005 |  | 341 | 189 | 153 | 308 | 33 | 137 | 205 |
| 2006 |  | 345 | 190 | 155 | 311 | 33 | 138 | 206 |
| 2007 |  | 346 | 190 | 156 | 313 | 34 | 139 | 207 |
| 2008 |  | 348 | 191 | 157 | 314 | 34 | 140 | 208 |
| 2009 |  | 350 | 192 | 158 | 316 | 34 | 141 | 209 |
| 2010 |  | 354 | 193 | 161 | 320 | 34 | 143 | 211 |
| 2011 |  | 360 | 196 | 164 | 325 | 34 | 145 | 214 |
| 2012 |  | 368 | 200 | 168 | 333 | 35 | 149 | 219 |
| 2013 |  | 377 | 204 | 173 | 342 | 36 | 153 | 225 |
|  |  | High alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 |  | 316 | 174 | 142 | 282 | 33 | 127 | 189 |
| 2002 |  | 329 | 183 | 146 | 297 | 32 | 132 | 198 |
| 2003 |  | 338 | 186 | 152 | 305 | 33 | 136 | 203 |
| 2004 |  | 344 | 188 | 156 | 310 | 34 | 138 | 205 |
| 2005 | ......................... | 351 | 190 | 160 | 316 | 34 | 141 | 209 |
| 2006 | ........................ | 357 | 193 | 164 | 322 | 35 | 144 | 213 |
| 2007 | . | 362 | 195 | 167 | 327 | 35 | 146 | 216 |
| 2008 |  | 367 | 197 | 169 | 331 | 35 | 148 | 218 |
| 2009 | ................................................................................ | 372 | 200 | 173 | 337 | 35 | 151 | 222 |
| 2010 | ................ | 378 | 202 | 176 | 343 | 36 | 153 | 225 |
| 2011 |  | 386 | 206 | 180 | 350 | 36 | 157 | 230 |
| 2012 | ..................... | 397 | 211 | 186 | 360 | 37 | 161 | 236 |
| 2013 | .................................................. | 408 | 215 | 193 | 370 | 38 | 166 | 242 |

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Data for 1999 were imputed using alternative procedures. (For more details, see appendix E of Projections of Education Statistics to 2011.) Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2, appendix A.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, "Fall Enrollment Survey"
(IPEDS-EF), various years; Enrollment in Degree-Granting Institutions Model. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table 22. Total full-time-equivalent enrollment in all degree-granting institutions, by control and type of institution, with alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013

| [In thousands] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  | Total | Public |  | Private |  |
|  |  |  | 4-year | 2-year | 4-year | 2-year |
| 1988 | .................................................... | 9,466 | 4,506 | 2,591 | 2,160 | 209 |
| 1989 | ................................................... | 9,783 | 4,620 | 2,752 | 2,196 | 216 |
| 1990 | ..................................................... | 9,985 | 4,740 | 2,818 | 2,230 | 197 |
| 1991 | ................................................. | 10,363 | 4,796 | 3,067 | 2,288 | 212 |
| 1992 | ....................................................... | 10,438 | 4,798 | 3,114 | 2,333 | 194 |
| 1993 | ................................................... | 10,353 | 4,766 | 3,046 | 2,357 | 184 |
| 1994 | ....................................................... | 10,349 | 4,750 | 3,035 | 2,389 | 176 |
| 1995 |  | 10,337 | 4.757 | 2,994 | 2,418 | 168 |
| 1996 | .................................................. | 10,482 | 4,767 | 3,028 | 2,467 | 219 |
| 1997 |  | 10,615 | 4,814 | 3,056 | 2,525 | 220 |
| 1998 |  | 10,699 | 4,869 | 3.011 | 2,599 | 220 |
| 1999 |  | 10,944 | 4,945 | 3,075 | 2,694 | 229 |
| 2000 | ................................................ | 11,267 | 5,026 | 3,241 | 2,770 | 231 |
| Middle alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | .............. | 11,421 | 5,157 | 3,252 | 2,777 | 233 |
| 2002 | ................................................... | 11,928 | 5.402 | 3,372 | 2,910 | 243 |
| 2003 | .................................................. | 12,138 | 5,502 | 3,424 | 2,965 | 247 |
| 2004 | .................................................. | 12,232 | 5,545 | 3,448 | 2,989 | 249 |
| 2005 | .................................................... | 12,402 | 5,625 | 3,492 | 3,032 | 253 |
| 2006 | ................ | 12,574 | 5,706 | 3,537 | 3,075 | 256 |
| 2007 | ................................................. | 12,694 | 5,761 | 3,568 | 3,105 | 259 |
| 2008 | ................................................ | 12,829 | 5,825 | 3,604 | 3,138 | 263 |
| 2009 | ................................................. | 13,005 | 5,909 | 3,648 | 3,182 | 266 |
| 2010 | .............................................. | 13,143 | 5,979 | 3,676 | 3,219 | 269 |
| 2011 | ................................................. | 13,282 | 6,047 | 3,706 | 3,258 | 271 |
| 2012 | ................................................ | 13,428 | 6,116 | 3,739 | 3,299 | 273 |
| 2013 | .................................................. | 13,585 | 6,189 | 3,778 | 3,343 | 275 |
| Low alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 |  | 11,421 | 5,157 | 3,252 | 2,777 | 233 |
| 2002 | ..................................................... | 11,887 | 5,493 | 3,377 | 2,784 | 233 |
| 2003 | ............................................... | 12,049 | 5,546 | 3,412 | 2,853 | 238 |
| 2004 | ................................................. | 12,123 | 5,558 | 3,428 | 2,896 | 241 |
| 2005 | ................................................. | 12,252 | 5,601 | 3,458 | 2,947 | 246 |
| 2006 | ................................................... | 12,371 | 5,643 | 3,487 | 2,991 | 249 |
| 2007 | ..., | 12,457 | 5,673 | 3,509 | 3,022 | 252 |
| 2008 | ....................................................... | 12,559 | 5,714 | 3,535 | 3,054 | 256 |
| 2009 | .................................................... | 12,702 | 5,778 | 3,570 | 3,094 | 259 |
| 2010 | ...................................................... | 12,821 | 5,836 | 3,594 | 3,131 | 261 |
| 2011 | ................. | 12,934 | 5,890 | 3,616 | 3,165 | 263 |
| 2012 | ............... | 13,058 | 5,948 | 3,643 | 3,202 | 265 |
| 2013 | ............................................ | 13,205 | 6,014 | 3,680 | 3,244 | 267 |
| High alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ................................................... | 11,421 | 5,157 | 3,252 | 2,777 | 233 |
| 2002 | .............. | 11,892 | 5,432 | 3,367 | 2,855 | 238 |
| 2003 | .... | 12,135 | 5,529 | 3,426 | 2,936 | 245 |
| 2004 | .......... | 12,297 | 5,592 | 3,467 | 2,988 | 249 |
| 2005 | ....................................................... | 12,518 | 5,689 | 3,524 | 3,051 | 254 |
| 2006 | .................................................... | 12,742 | 5,790 | 3,581 | 3,111 | 259 |
| 2007 | .................. | 12,927 | 5,873 | 3,630 | 3,160 | 264 |
| 2008 | .................. | 13,131 | 5,967 | 3,683 | 3,212 | 269 |
| 2009 | ....... | 13,370 | 6,079 | 3,744 | 3,273 | 274 |
| 2010 | ........... | 13,559 | 6,172 | 3,786 | 3,324 | 277 |
| 2011 | ............................................... | 13,738 | 6,259 | 3,825 | 3,374 | 280 |
| 2012 | .................... | 13,920 | 6,345 | 3,867 | 3,425 | 283 |
| 2013 | ...................................................... | 14,106 | 6,430 | 3,914 | 3,476 | 286 |

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because ofrounding. Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Data for 1999 were imputed using alternative procedures. (For more details, see appendix E of Projections of Education Statisrics to 2011.) Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2, appendix A.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System. "Fall EnrollmentSurvey"
(IPEDS-EF), vnrious years; Enrollment in Degree-Granting Institutions Model. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table 23. High school graduates. by control of institution. with projections: 1987-88 to 2012-13
[In thousands]

| Year ending | Total | Public | Private |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1988{ }^{1}$................................................................................. | 2.773 | 2.500 | 273 |
| $1989{ }^{2}$.................................................................................. | 2,744 | 2,459 | 285 |
| $1990{ }^{3}$..................................................................................... | 2,589 | 2,320 | 269 |
| $1991{ }^{2}$..................................................................................... | 2,493 | 2,235 | 258 |
| $1992{ }^{3}$..................................................................................... | 2,478 | 2,226 | 252 |
| $1993{ }^{2}$ | 2,481 | 2,233 | 247 |
| $1994{ }^{3}$.................................................................................... | 2,464 | 2,221 | 243 |
| $1995^{2}$................................................................................... | 2,519 | 2,274 | 246 |
| $1996{ }^{3}$..................................................................................... | 2,518 | 2,273. | 245 |
| $1997{ }^{2}$...................................................................................... | 2,612 | 2,358 | 254 |
| $1998{ }^{3}$................................................................................... | 2,704 | 2,439 | 265 |
| $1999{ }^{2}$..................................................................................... | 2,759 | 2,486 | 273 |
| $2000^{3}$..................................................................................... | 2,833 | 2,554 | 279 |
| $2001^{3}$.................................................................................... | 2,852 | 2,569 | 283 |
| Projected |  |  |  |
| 2002 .................................................................................... | 2.917 | 2.630 | 287 |
| 2003 ................................................................................... | 2.986 | 2.685 | 301 |
| 2004 .................................................................................... | 3,002 | 2,698 | 305 |
| 2005 ................................................................................... | 3,037 | 2,728 | 308 |
| 2006 ................................................................................... | 3,101 | 2,785 | 316 |
| 2007 .................................................................................... | 3,172 | 2,850 | 322 |
| 2008 .................................................................................... | 3,262 | 2,931 | 331 |
| 2009 ................................................................................... | 3,274 | 2,942 | 332 |
| 2010 .................................................................................. | 3,262 | 2,930 | 331 |
| 2011 ................................................................................... | 3,237 | 2,906 | 331 |
| 2012 .................................................................................... | 3,202 | 2,870 | 331 |
| 2013 ................................................................................... | 3,176 | 2,843 | 333 |

[^6]Table 24. High school graduates in public schools. by region and state. with projections:

| Rwinn and state | Actual |  |  |  |  |  |  | Projected |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1994-95 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 | 1997-98 | 1998-99 | 1999-2000 | 2000-01 | 2001-02 | 2002-03 | 2003-04 |
| United States | 2,273,541 | 2,273,109 | 2,358,403 | 2,439,050 | 2,485,630 | 2,553,844 | 2,568,956 | 2,630,130 | 2,684,920 | 2,697,510 |
| Northeast | 413,417 | 417,843 | 432,280 | 430,450 | 437,156 | 453,814 | 457,638 | 468,500 | 477,360 | 487,340 |
| Connecticut | 26,445 | 26,319 | 27,029 | 27,885 | 28,284 | 31,562 | 30,388 | 32,610 | 32,980 | 34,140 |
| Maine | 11,501 | 11,795 | 12,019 | 12,171 | 11,988 | 12,211 | 12,654 | 12,620 | 12,950 | 13,140 |
| Massachusetts | 47,679 | 47,993 | 49,008 | 50,452 | 51,465 | 52,950 | 54,393 | 55,590 | 55,250 | 55,360 |
| New Hampshire | 10,145 | 10,094 | 10,487 | 10,843 | 11,251 | 11,829 | 12,294 | 12,480 | 12,950 | 12,990 |
| New Jersey | 67,403 | 67,704 | 70,028 | 65,106 | 67,410 | 74,420 | 76,130 | 78,290 | 82,320 | 85,510 |
| New York | 132,401 | 134,401 | 140,861 | 138,531 | 139,426 | 141,731 | 141,884 | 144,820 | 146,030 | 147,260 |
| Pennsylvania ........................ | 104,146 | 105,981 | 108,817 | 110,919 | 112,632 | 113,959 | 114,436 | 116,150 | 118,980 | 122,820 |
| Rhode Island ........................ | 7,826 | 7,689 | 7,850 | 8,074 | 8,179 | 8,477 | 8,603 | 8,900 | 9,080 | 9,230 |
| Vermont ............................ | 5,871 | 5,867 | 6,181 | 6,469 | 6,521 | 6,675 | 6,856 | 7,040 | 6,820 | 6,890 |
| Midwest | 596,753 | 592,775 | 614,217 | 640,857 | 645,322 | 648,020 | 644,770 | 661,710 | 679,070 | 677,670 |
| Illinois | 105,164 | 104,626 | 110,170 | 114,611 | 112,556 | 111,835 | 110,624 | 117,430 | 120,570 | 120,160 |
| Indiana | 56,058 | 56,330 | 57,463 | 58,899 | 58,964 | 57,012 | 56,172 | 56,350 | 56,460 | 56,110 |
| Iowa | 31,268 | 31,689 | 32,986 | 34,189 | 34,378 | 33,926 | 33,774 | 33,580 | 34,290 | 33,520 |
| Kansas | 26,125 | 25,786 | 26,648 | 27,856 | 28,685 | 29,102 | 29,360 | 29,840 | 29,850 | 29,690 |
| Michigan | 84,628 | 85,530 | 89,695 | 92,732 | 94,125 | 97,679 | 96,515 | 104,550 | 110,610 | 113,380 |
| Minnesota | 49,354 | 50,481 | 48,193 | 54,628 | 56,964 | 57,372 | 56,581 | 59,090 | 59,980 | 59,700 |
| Missouri | 48,862 | 49,011 | 50,543 | 52,095 | 52,531 | 52,848 | 54,138 | 54,050 | 54,890 | 54,560 |
| Nebraska | 17,969 | 18,014 | 18,636 | 19,719 | 20,550 | 20,149 | 19,658 | 20,330 | 20,250 | 19,770 |
| North Dakota ....................... | 7,817 | 8,027 | 8,025 | 8,170 | 8,388 | 8,606 | 8,445 | 8,060 | 8,030 | 7,780 |
| Ohio | 109,418 | 102,098 | 107,422 | 111,211 | 111,112 | 111,668 | 111,281 | 109,220 | 113,610 | 112,830 |
| South Dakota | 8,355 | 8,532 | 9,247 | 9,140 | 8,757 | 9,278 | 8,881 | 8,950 | 8,800 | 8,840 |
| Wisconsin | 51,735 | 52,651 | 55,189 | 57,607 | 58,312 | 58,545 | 59,341 | 60,260 | 61,730 | 61,330 |
| South | 770,737 | 766,273 | 789,143 | 821,372 | 835,286 | 861,498 | 866,409 | 885,260 | 906,670 | 903,070 |
| Alabama | 36,268 | 35,043 | 35,611 | 38,089 | 36,244 | 37,819 | 37,082 | 37,260 | 36,850 | 36,450 |
| Arkansas | 24,636 | 25,094 | 25,146 | 26,855 | 26,896 | 27,335 | 27,100 | 26,890 | 27,410 | 26,590 |
| Delaware | 5,234 | 5,609 | 5,953 | 6,439 | 6,484 | 6,108 | 6,614 | 6,600 | 6,770 | 6,780 |
| District of Columbia | 2,974 | 2,696 | 2,853 | 2,777 | 2,675 | 2,695 | 2,808 | 2,760 | 2,560 | 2,430 |
| Florida | 89,827 | 89,242 | 95,082 | 98,498 | 102,386 | 106,708 | 111,112 | 120,050 | 120,340 | 116,790 |
| Georgia .............................. | 56,660 | 56,271 | 58,996 | 58,525 | 59,227 | 62,563 | 62,499 | 65,520 | 67,100 | 68,220 |
| Kentucky ............................. | 37,626 | 36,641 | 36,941 | 37,270 | 37,048 | 36,830 | 36,957 | 34,890 | 34,360 | 33,870 |
| Louisiana | 36,480 | 36,467 | 36,495 | 38,030 | 37,802 | 38,430 | 38,314 | 37,910 | 37,710 | 34,600 |
| Maryland | 41,387 | 41,785 | 42,856 | 44,555 | 46,214 | 47,849 | 49,222 | 50,490 | 51,520 | 52,480 |
| Mississippi | 23,837 | 23,032 | 23,388 | 24,502 | 24,198 | 24,232 | 23,748 | 23,510 | 23,380 | 23,000 |
| North Carolina | 59,540 | 57,014 | 57,886 | 59,292 | 60,081 | 62,140 | 63,288 | 66,100 | 68,310 | 69,350 |
| Oklahoma | 33,319 | 33,060 | 33,536 | 35,213 | 36,556 | 37,646 | 37,458 | 36,510 | 36,280 | 36,130 |
| South Carolina | 30,680 | 30,182 | 30,829 | 31,373 | 31,495 | 31,617 | 29,742 | 31,450 | 33,140 | 33,020 |
| Tennessee | 43,556 | 43,792 | 41,617 | 39,866 | 40,823 | 41,568 | 40,642 | 42,240 | 43,580 | 43,640 |
| Texas | 170,322 | 171,844 | 181,794 | 197,186 | 203,393 | 212,925 | 215,316 | 219,340 | 228,510 | 231,080 |
| Virginia ............................. | 58,260 | 58,166 | 60,587 | 62,738 | 63,875 | 65,596 | 66,067 | 66,630 | 71,620 | 71,800 |
| West Virginia ....................... | 20,131 | 20,335 | 19,573 | 20,164 | 19,889 | 19,437 | 18,440 | 17,110 | 17,230 | 16,840 |
| West .................................... | 492,634 | 496,218 | 522,763 | 546,371 | 567,866 | 590,512 | 600,139 | 614,660 | 621,820 | 629,430 |
| Alaska | 5,765 | 5,945 | 6,133 | 6,462 | 6,810 | 6,615 | 6,812 | 6,790 | 7,160 | 7,060 |
| Arizona | 30,989 | 30,008 | 34,082 | 36,361 | 35,728 | 38,304 | 46,773 | 44,830 | 47,610 | 48,970 |
| California ........................... | 255,200 | 259,071 | 269,071 | 282,897 | 299,221 | 309,866 | 315,189 | 326,140 | 331,730 | 334,000 |
| Colorado | 32,409 | 32,608 | 34,231 | 35,794 | 36,958 | 38,924 | 39,241 | 41,160 | 41,650 | 42,220 |
| Hawaii | 9,407 | 9,387 | 8,929 | 9,670 | 9,714 | 10,437 | 10,102 | 10,140 | 10,000 | 10,120 |
| Idaho | 14,198 | 14,667 | 15,407 | 15,523 | 15,716 | 16,170 | 15,941 | 16,090 | 15,940 | 15,530 |
| Montana | 10,134 | 10,139 | 10,322 | 10,656 | 10,925 | 10,903 | 10,628 | 10,640 | 10,740 | 10,620 |
| Nevada | 10,038 | 10,374 | 12,425 | 13,052 | 13,892 | 14,551 | 15,127 | 15,800 | 12,940 | 16,910 |
| New Mexico | 14,928 | 15,402 | 15,700 | 16,529 | 17,317 | 18,031 | 18,199 | 17,580 | 17,650 | 17,780 |
| Oregon ............................... | 26,713 | 26,570 | 27,720 | 27,754 | 28,245 | 30,151 | 29,939 | 31,140 | 31,630 | 31,670 |
| Utah | 27,670 | 26,293 | 30,753 | 31,567 | 31,574 | 32,501 | 31,036 | 30,720 | 30,280 | 29,900 |
| Washington ........................ | 49.294 | 49,862 | 51,609 | 53,679 | 55.418 | 57.597 | 55.081 | 57.470 | 58.490 | 58.860 |
| Wyoming ............................ | 5.889 | 5,892 | 6.381 | 6.427 | 6.348 | 6.462 | 6.071 | 6.160 | 6.000 | 5,790 |

Table 24. High school graduates in public schools. by region and state. with projections: 1994-95 to 2012-13—Continued

| Region and state | Projected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| United States | 2.728.450 | 2.785.080 | 2.849.790 | 2.931 .340 | 2.942.450 | 2.930 .230 | 2.905.760 | 2.870.330 | 2.842 .830 |
| Northeast | 492,170 | 507,220 | 518,340 | 528,490 | 525,620 | 519,850 | 513,200 | 501,800 | 493,100 |
| Connecticut | 34,900 | 36,110 | 36,910 | 37,910 | 37,480 | 37,560 | 37,390 | 36,700 | 36,170 |
| Maine | 12,720 | 12.920 | 12,830 | 12,670 | 12,330 | 12,160 | 11,590 | 11,050 | 10,750 |
| Massachusetts | 58,150 | 58,620 | 60,210 | 60.810 | 59,810 | 58,970 | 57,840 | 56,230 | 57,710 |
| New Hampshire | 13,100 | 13,150 | 13,250 | 13,360 | 12,920 | 12,880 | 12,250 | 12,110 | 11,700 |
| New Jersey | 88,220 | 92,110 | 95,790 | 97,410 | 98,240 | 98,140 | 98,300 | 96,510 | 96,480 |
| New York | 145,030 | 152,130 | 155,150 | 158,470 | 157,160 | 154,640 | 152,460 | 149,370 | 144,690 |
| Pennsylvania | 123,560 | 125,450 | 127,090 | 130,420 | 130,450 | 128,510 | 126,830 | 123,360 | 120,020 |
| Rhode Island | 9,680 | 10,010 | 10,250 | 10,690 | 10,680 | 10,690 | 10,460 | 10,560 | 9,940 |
| Vermont | 6,810 | 6,720 | 6,860 | 6,750 | 6,550 | 6,300 | 6,080 | 5,910 | 5,640 |
| Midwest | 675,150 | 681,370 | 698,050 | 716,230 | 715,090 | 707,850 | 699,060 | 682,990 | 670,530 |
| Illinois | 120,630 | 122,680 | 127,980 | 130,550 | 132,330 | 131,840 | 131,890 | 131,830 | 129,970 |
| Indiana | 54,880 | 57,510 | 59,170 | 60,410 | 61,250 | 60,510 | 59,950 | 58,850 | 58,860 |
| Iowa | 32,940 | 33,130 | 33,860 | 34,600 | 34,360 | 34,000 | 33,360 | 32,340 | 31,310 |
| Kansas | 29,120 | 29,060 | 28,780 | 29,390 | 28,960 | 28,750 | 28,070 | 27,780 | 27,500 |
| Michigan | 116,910 | 118,170 | 123,530 | 131,410 | 129,960 | 128,110 | 126,970 | 123,860 | 121,520 |
| Minnesota | 58,070 | 58,670 | 59,100 | 60,030 | 58,380 | 57,770 | 56,880 | 55,410 | 54,400 |
| Missouri | 54,5s0 | 54,930 | 55,890 | 56,890 | 57,820 | 58,240 | 56,130 | 53,640 | 52,600 |
| Nebraska | 19,510 | 19,260 | 19,330 | 20,000 | 19,550 | 19,280 | 18,900 | 18,350 | 18,190 |
| North Dakota | 7,440 | 7,300 | 7,130 | 6,920 | 6,770 | 6,600 | 6,470 | 6,100 | 5,770 |
| Ohio | 111,760 | 112,680 | 114,390 | 116,090 | 116,800 | 114,740 | 113,850 | 109,720 | 107,590 |
| South Dakota | 8,320 | 8,100 | 8,050 | 8,090 | 7,800 | 7,820 | 7,610 | 7,320 | 7,050 |
| Wisconsin | 61,020 | 59,880 | 60,840 | 61,850 | 61,110 | 60,190 | 58,980 | 57,790 | 55,770 |
| South | 919,800 | 935,460 | 957,070 | 977,680 | 991,730 | 994,580 | 987,030 | 978,890 | 972,570 |
| Alabama | 36,440 | 36,290 | 37,020 | 38,180 | 37,930 | 37,450 | 37,010 | 35,860 | 34,800 |
| Arkansas | 26,510 | 26,650 | 27,100 | 27,810 | 27,780 | 27,420 | 26,340 | 26,160 | 25,770 |
| Delaware | 6,850 | 7,070 | 6,820 | 7,110 | 7,200 | 7,360 | 7,500 | 7.330 | 7,180 |
| District of Columbia | 2,250 | 2,290 | 2,410 | 2,570 | 2,620 | 2,440 | 2,360 | 2,130 | 1,930 |
| Florida | 130,910 | 131,960 | 136,260 | 139,660 | 142,170 | 143,620 | 143,780 | 144,410 | 144,760 |
| Georgia | 68,590 | 70,840 | 73,700 | 76,820 | 76,930 | 76,910 | 77,660 | 76,710 | 76,700 |
| Kentucky | 32,660 | 32,480 | 32,960 | 34,160 | 34,420 | 33,720 | 31,260 | 31,220 | 33,330 |
| Louisiana | 34,660 | 37,550 | 35,820 | 34,170 | 35,760 | 36,760 | 35,390 | 34,350 | 33,020 |
| Maryland | 53,690 | 55,010 | 56,590 | 57,310 | 57,990 | 56,460 | 55,620 | 54,310 | 53,450 |
| Mississippi | 22,420 | 22,590 | 22,780 | 23,650 | 23,750 | 23,540 | 23,360 | 22,630 | 21,970 |
| North Carolina | 69,770 | 72,290 | 75,130 | 76,860 | 77,890 | 78,370 | 77,470 | 77,220 | 76,300 |
| Oklahoma | 35,620 | 35,050 | 35.640 | 36,070 | 36,100 | 36,080 | 34,790 | 34,070 | 33,140 |
| South Carolina | 33,230 | 35,110 | 35,890 | 33,690 | 36,290 | 36,650 | 36,150 | 35,220 | 34,770 |
| Tennessee | 43,420 | 44,460 | 46,290 | 47,650 | 48,050 | 47,730 | 46,900 | 45,780 | 45,010 |
| Texas | 231,580 | 235,470 | 238,210 | 244,580 | 248,580 | 252,200 | 254,720 | 255,940 | 256,170 |
| Virginia | 74,400 | 73,870 | 77,870 | 80,550 | 81,330 | 81,300 | 80,660 | 79,910 | 78,760 |
| West Virginia | 16,800 | 16,480 | 16,580 | 16,840 | 16,940 | 16,570 | 16,060 | 15,640 | 15,510 |
| West | 641,330 | 661,030 | 676,330 | 708,940 | 710,010 | 707,950 | 706,470 | 706,650 | 706,630 |
| Alaska | 7,230 | 7,360 | 7,380 | 7,600 | 7.580 | 7,550 | 7,050 | 7,050 | 6,760 |
| Arizona | 49,440 | 51,940 | 53,690 | 56,960 | 58,440 | 59,640 | 59,430 | 60,990 | 60,620 |
| California | 343,380 | 358,090 | 367,420 | 388,770 | 388,080 | 384,480 | 387.710 | 388,890 | 388,150 |
| Colorado | 43,920 | 44,110 | 45,090 | 46,450 | 47,280 | 47,970 | 47,470 | 47,500 | 47,930 |
| Hawaii | 10,170 | 10,350 | 10,420 | 10,800 | 10,610 | 10,270 | 10,120 | 9,980 | 9,580 |
| Idaho | 15,730 | 16,180 | 16,090 | 16,730 | 16,450 | 16,520 | 16,300 | 16,060 | 15,910 |
| Montana | 10,280 | 10,170 | 9,850 | 10,040 | 9,660 | 9,630 | 9,080 | 8,750 | 8,420 |
| Nevada | 19,190 | 19,130 | 20,490 | 22,430 | 23,100 | 23,880 | 24,240 | 25,000 | 26,050 |
| New Mexico | 17,490 | 17,470 | 17,640 | 17,720 | 17,940 | 17,590 | 17,390 | 16,730 | 16,360 |
| Oregon | 31,010 | 31,450 | 32,350 | 33,230 | 33,140 | 32,510 | 31,830 | 31,490 | 31,990 |
| Uth | 29,380 | 30,350 | 30,240 | 30,910 | 30,950 | 31,370 | 30,590 | 30,960 | 31,950 |
| Washington | 58,570 | 58,970 | 60,390 | 61,950 | 61,560 | 61.450 | 60,330 | 58.440 | 58.400 |
| Wyoming ......... | 5.540 | 5.460 | 5.280 | 5.350 | 5.220 | 5.090 | 4.930 | 4.810 | 4.510 |

NOTE: Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Mean absolute percentage errors ofselected education statistics can be found in table A2, appendix A.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD), "State Nonfiscal Survey of
Public Elementary/Secondary Education." various years; and State Public High School Graduates Model. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table 25. Percent change in number of public high school graduates. by region and state. with projections: 1994-95 to 2012-13

| Region and state | Actual | Projected |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1994-95 to 2000-01 | 2000-01 to 2007-08 | 2007-08 to 2012-13 | 2000-01 to 2012-13 |
| United States | 13.0 | 14.1 | -3.0 | 10.7 |
| Northeast | 10.7 | 15.5 | -6.7 | 7.7 |
| Connecticut | 14.9 | 24.8 | -4.6 | 19.0 |
| Maine | 10.0 | 0.1 | -15.2 | -15.0 |
| Massachusetts | 14.1 | 11.8 | -5.1 | 6.1 |
| New Hampshire | 21.2 | 8.7 | -12.4 | -4.8 |
| New Jersey | 12.9 | 28.0 | -1.0 | 26.7 |
| New York | 7.2 | 11.7 | -8.7 | 2.0 |
| Pennsylvania | 9.9 | 14.0 | -8.0 | 4.9 |
| Rhode Island | 9.9 | 24.3 | -7.0 | 15.5 |
| Vermont ........... | 16.8 | -1.5 | -16.4 | -17.7 |
| Midwest | 8.0 | 11.1 | -6.4 | 4.0 |
| Illinois | 5.2 | 18.0 | -0.4 | 17.5 |
| Indiana | 0.2 | 7.5 | -2.6 | 4.8 |
| Iowa | 8.0 | 2.4 | -9.5 | -7.3 |
| Kansas | 12.4 | 0.1 | -6.4 | -6.3 |
| Michigan | 14.0 | 36.2 | -7.5 | 25.9 |
| Minnesota | 14.6 | 6.1 | -9.4 | -3.9 |
| Missouri | 10.8 | 5.1 | -7.5 | -2.8 |
| Nebraska | 9.4 | 1.7 | -9.1 | -7.5 |
| North Dakota | 8.0 | -18.1 | -16.6 | -31.7 |
| Ohio | 1.7 | 4.3 | -7.3 | -3.3 |
| South Dakota | 6.3 | -8.9 | -12.9 | -20.6 |
| Wisconsin ......... | 14.7 | 4.2 | -9.8 | -6.0 |
| South | 12.4 | 12.8 | -0.5 | 12.3 |
| Alabama | 2.2 | 3.0 | -8.9 | -6.2 |
| Arkansas | 10.0 | 2.6 | -7.3 | -4.9 |
| Delaware | 26.4 | 7.5 | 1.0 | 8.6 |
| District of Columbia | -5.6 | -8.5 | -24.9 | -31.3 |
| Florida | 23.7 | 25.7 | 3.7 | 30.3 |
| Georgia | 10.3 | 22.9 | -0.2 | 22.7 |
| Kentucky | -1.8 | -7.6 | -2.4 | -9.8 |
| Louisiana | 5.0 | -10.8 | -3.4 | -13.8 |
| Maryland ..... | 18.9 | 16.4 | -6.7 | 8.6 |
| Mississippi | -0.4 | -0.4 | -7.1 | -7.5 |
| North Carolina | 6.3 | 21.4 | -0.7 | 20.6 |
| Oklahoma | 12.4 | -3.7 | -8.1 | -11.5 |
| South Carolina | -3.1 | 13.3 | 3.2 | 16.9 |
| Tennessee | -6.7 | 17.2 | -5.5 | 10.7 |
| Texas | 26.4 | 13.6 | 4.7 | 19.0 |
| Virginia ...... | 13.4 | 21.9 | -2.2 | 19.2 |
| West Virginia | -8.4 | -8.7 | -7.9 | -15.9 |
| West ................. | 21.8 | 18.1 | -0.3 | 17.7 |
| Alaska | 18.2 | 11.6 | -11.1 | -0.8 |
| Arizona | 50.9 | 21.8 | 6.4 | 29.6 |
| California ......... | 23.5 | 23.3 | -0.2 | 23.1 |
| Colorado .......... | 21.1 | 18.4 | 3.2 | 22.1 |
| Hawaii ... | 7.4 | 6.9 | -11.3 | -5.2 |
| Idaho ... | 12.3 | 4.9 | -4.9 | -0.2 |
| Montana | 4.9 | -5.5 | -16.1 | -20.8 |
| Nevada | 50.7 | 48.3 | 16.1 | 72.2 |
| New Mexico | 21.9 | -2.6 | -7.7 | -10.1 |
| Oregon | 12.1 | 11.0 | -3.7 | 6.9 |
| Utah ...... | 12.2 | -0.4 | 3.4 | 2.9 |
| Washington ....... | 11.7 | 12.5 | -5.7 | 6.0 |
| Wyoming ........... | 3.1 | -11.9 | -15.7 | -25.7 |

NOTE: Calculations are based on unrounded numbers. Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statisticscan be found in table A2, appendix A.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for EducationStatistics. The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD) " "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public Elementary/Secondary Education." various years; and State Public High School Graduates Model. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table 26. Associate's degrees. by sex of recipient, with projections: 1987-88 to 2012-13

| Year | nding | Total | Men | Women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | ............... | 435.085 | 190.047 | 245.038 |
| 1989 | ..................................................................................... | 436.764 | 186.316 | 250,448 |
| 1990 | ... | 455.102 | 191.195 | 263,907 |
| 1991 | ..................................................................................... | 481.720 | 198.634 | 283,086 |
| 1992 | .... | 504.231 | 207.481 | 296,750 |
| 1993 | . | 514.756 | 211.964 | 302,792 |
| 1994 | ... | 530.632 | 215.261 | 315,371 |
| 1995 | ... | 539.691 | 218.352 | 321,339 |
| 1996 | .................................................................................... | 555.216 | 219.514 | 335,702 |
| 1997 | .................................................................................... | 571.226 | 223.948 | 347,278 |
| 1998 | .. | 558.555 | 217.613 | 340,942 |
| 1999 | ... | 559.954 | 218.417 | 341,537 |
| 2000 | . | 564.933 | 224.721 | 340,212 |
| 2001 | ....... | 578.865 | 231,645 | 347,220 |
| Middle alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 |  | 625.000 | 242.000 | 383,000 |
| 2003 | .. | 662.000 | 246.000 | 416,000 |
| 2004 | ..................................................................................... | 660.000 | 243.000 | 417,000 |
| 2005 | $\cdots$ | 669.000 | 243.000 | 426,000 |
| 2006 | .... | 675.000 | 244.000 | 431,000 |
| 2007 | ..................................................................................... | 676.000 | 243.000 | 433,000 |
| 2008 | .. | 681.000 | 244.000 | 437,000 |
| 2009 | .................................................................................... | 684.000 | 244.000 | 440,000 |
| 2010 | ... | 688.000 | 245.000 | 443,000 |
| 2011 | ................... | 692.000 | 246.000 | 446,000 |
| 2012 | $\cdots$ | 696.000 | 247.000 | 449,000 |
| 2013 | ............. | 699.000 | 248.000 | 451,000 |
| Low alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | $\cdots$ | 616.000 | 239.000 | 377,000 |
| 2003 | ..................................................................................... | 640.000 | 238.000 | 402,000 |
| 2004 | .......... | 620.000 | 228.000 | 392,000 |
| 2005 | $\cdots$ | 632.000 | 230.000 | 402,000 |
| 2006 | ... | 631.000 | 228.000 | 403,000 |
| 2007 | ....................... | 633.000 | 228.000 | 405,000 |
| 2008 | ................... | 637.000 | 228.000 | 409,000 |
| 2009 | $\ldots$ | 641.000 | 229.000 | 412,000 |
| 2010 | ..... | 643.000 | 229.000 | 414,000 |
| 2011 | ................... | 647.000 | 230.000 | 417,000 |
| 2012 | ....... | 651.000 | 231.000 | 420,000 |
| 2013 | ................................ | 654.000 | 232.000 | 422,000 |
| High alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | ................ | 635.000 | 246.000 | 389,000 |
| 2003 | .................. | 685.000 | 255.000 | 430,000 |
| 2004 | ..... | 699.000 | 257.000 | 442,000 |
| 2005 | ... | 708.000 | 257.000 | 451,000 |
| 2006 | ...... | 717.000 | 259.000 | 458,000 |
| 2007 | .................... | 720.000 | 259.000 | 461,000 |
| 2008 | ........ | 724.000 | 259.000 | 465,000 |
| 2009 | ....... | 728.000 | 260.000 | 468,000 |
| 2010 | ................................. | 732.000 | 261.000 | 471,000 |
| 2011 | ....................... | 736,000 | 262,000 | 474,000 |
| 2012 |  | 741,000 | 263,000 | 478,000 |
| 2013 | ................................................................................... | 744.000 | 264.000 | 480.000 |

NOTE: Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Detail may not sum to totalsbecause of rounding Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2. appendix A.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System. 'Completions Survey" (IPEDS-C), various years. and Earned Degrees ConferredModel. (This table was prepared July 2003.)

Table 27. Bachelor's degrees. by sex of recipient. with projections: 1987-88 to 2012-13

| Year ending |  | Total | Men | Women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | .................................................. | 994.829 | 477.203 | 517.626 |
| 1989 | ............................................................................ | 1.018.755 | 483.346 | 535.409 |
| 1990 | ............................................... | 1.051.344 | 491.696 | 559.648 |
| 1991 | ................................................... | 1.094.538 | 504.045 | 590.493 |
| 1992 | .................... | 1.136 .553 | 520.811 | 615.742 |
| 1993 | .................................................................................. | 1.165. 178 | 532.881 | 632.297 |
| 1994 | ................................................................................ | 1.169 .275 | 532.422 | 636.853 |
| 1995 | ................................. | 1.160 .134 | 526.131 | 634.003 |
| 1996 |  | 1.164.792 | 522.454 | 642.338 |
| 1997 | 相 | 1.172.879 | 520.515 | 652.364 |
| 1998 | ................ | 1.184.406 | 519.956 | 664.450 |
| 1999 | ....................................................... | 1.200.303 | 518.746 | 681.557 |
| 2000 | ................................................................... | 1.237.875 | 530.367 | 707.508 |
| 2001 | ........................................... | 1.244 .171 | 531.840 | 712.331 |
| Middle alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | .................................................................................. | 1.294.000 | 545.000 | 749.000 |
| 2003 | ........... | 1.311 .000 | 548.000 | 763.000 |
| 2004 | ......................................... | 1.333 .000 | 559.000 | 774.000 |
| 2005 | .................................... | 1.352 .000 | 578.000 | 774.000 |
| 2006 | ............................................. | 1.397 .000 | 584.000 | 813.000 |
| 2007 | ................................. | 1.413 .000 | 585.000 | 828.000 |
| 2008 | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 1.425.000 | 589.000 | 836.000 |
| 2009 | ............................................................................ | 1.441 .000 | 594.000 | 847.000 |
| 2010 | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 1.456 .000 | 598.000 | 858.000 |
| 2011 | ........................................................... | 1.469 .000 | 603.000 | 866.000 |
| 2012 | .............................. | 1.488 .000 | 610.000 | 878.000 |
| 2013 | ................................. | 1.509.000 | 616.000 | 893.000 |
| Low alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | ....................................................................... | 1.281,000 | 539.000 | 742.000 |
| 2003 | .............................................................................. | 1.287.000 | 538.000 | 749.000 |
| 2004 | ................................................ | 1.316 .000 | 552.000 | 764.000 |
| 2005 | ................................................... | 1.319 .000 | 564.000 | 755.000 |
| 2006 | ................................. | 1.384.000 | 579.000 | 805.000 |
| 2007 | .................................. | 1.400 .000 | 580.000 | 820.000 |
| 2008 | ................................. | 1.411 .000 | 584.000 | 827.000 |
| 2009 | ....................................................... | 1.427 .000 | 588.000 | 839.000 |
| 2010 | ......................................................................... | 1.442 .000 | 592.000 | 850.000 |
| 2011 | ...................................................................... | 1.455.000 | 597.000 | 858.000 |
| 2012 | ..................................................... | 1.473 .000 | 604.000 | 869.000 |
| 2013 | ........................................................................... | 1.493.000 | 609.000 | 884.000 |
| High alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | ........................................................................ | 1.317.000 | 554.000 | 763.000 |
| 2003 | ......................................................................... | 1.328.000 | 555.000 | 773.000 |
| 2004 | ............................................................................ | 1.366 .000 | 573.000 | 793.000 |
| 2005 | ................................ | 1.366 .000 | 584.000 | 782.000 |
| 2006 | ..................................... | 1.411 .000 | 590.000 | 821.000 |
| 2007 | ......................................................................... | 1.428 .000 | 591,000 | 837.000 |
| 2008 | ........................................................................ | 1.439 .000 | 595.000 | 844.000 |
| 2009 | ........................................................................ | 1.456 .000 | 600.000 | 856.000 |
| 2010 | .............................. | 1,471.000 | 604.000 | 867.000 |
| 2011 | ............................................ | 1.484.000 | 609.000 | 875.000 |
| 2012 | ................................... | 1.503 .000 | 616.000 | 887.000 |
| 2013 | ............................ | 1.509 .000 | 616.000 | 893.000 |

NOTE: Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2، appendix A.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics. Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System. 'Completions Survey" (IPEDS-C), various years. and Earned DegreesConferred Model. (This table was prepared July 2003.)

Table 28. Master's degrees! by sex of recipient, with projections: 1987-88 to 2012-13

| Year ending |  | Total | Men | Women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | ....... | 299,317 | 145.163 | 154.154 |
| 1989 | ............ | 310,621 | 149.354 | 161.267 |
| 1990 | ..... | 324.301 | 153.653 | 170.648 |
| 1991 | .................................................................................. | 337,168 | 156,482 | 180,686 |
| 1992 | .......................................................................... | 352.838 | 161.842 | 190,996 |
| 1993 | ........................................................................ | 369.585 | 169,258 | 200,327 |
| 1994 | ................................................................................... | 387.070 | 176.085 | 210.985 |
| 1995 | ............... | 397,629 | 178.598 | 219,031 |
| 1996 | ......... | 406.301 | 179,081 | 227.220 |
| 1997 | .................................................................................... | 419,401 | 180.947 | 238.454 |
| 1998 | ................ | 430,164 | 184,375 | 245.789 |
| 1999 | ................. | 439,986 | 186.148 | 253,838 |
| 2000 | ..................................... | 457.056 | 191.792 | 265.264 |
| 2001 | ............................................................................... | 468,476 | 194.351 | 274.125 |
| Middle alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | ............. | 479,000 | 203.000 | 276,000 |
| 2003 | ........... | 492,000 | 210,000 | 282,000 |
| 2004 | .................. | 502.000 | 213.000 | 289,000 |
| 2005 | .... | 506.000 | 213,000 | 293.000 |
| 2006 | ................... | 513.000 | 215.000 | 298.000 |
| 2007 | ................... | 519.000 | 217.000 | 302.000 |
| 2008 | ....... | 522.000 | 218.000 | 304.000 |
| 2009 | .................. | 526.000 | 219.000 | 307.000 |
| 2010 | ......... | 530.000 | 220,000 | 310.00 |
| 2011 | ........ | 536,000 | 222.000 | 319.00 |
| 2012 | ................................................................................... | 544,000 | 224,000 | 320.000 |
| 2013 | ................................................................. | 556.000 | 228,000 | 328.000 |
| Low alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | ........................................................... | 474.000 | 201,000 | 273.000 |
| 2003 | ................................................................ | 473.000 | 202,000 | 271.000 |
| 2004 | ..................................................................... | 488.000 | 207.000 | 281.000 |
| 2005 | ............................................................. | 496,000 | 209.000 | 287.000 |
| 2006 | ........... | 502.000 | 210.000 | 292,000 |
| 2007 | .... | 508,000 | 212.000 | 296.000 |
| 2008 | .............. | 511.000 | 213.000 | 298,000 |
| 2009 | ................................................................................... | 514.000 | 214.000 | 300,000 |
| 2010 | .................................................................................... | 518,000 | 215.000 | 303,000 |
| 2011 | .............. | 524,000 | 217.000 | 307.000 |
| 2012 | .......... | 533.000 | 220,000 | 313,000 |
| 2013 | ................................................................................ | 545,000 | 223.000 | 322,000 |
| High alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | ......................... | 484.000 | 205.000 | 279.000 |
| 2003 | ...................................... | 510,000 | 218.000 | 292.000 |
| 2004 | ................................ | 516.000 | 219.000 | 297,000 |
| 2005 | ...................... | 517,000 | 218,000 | 299.000 |
| 2006 | ...................................... | 523,000 | 219,000 | 304.000 |
| 2007 | ................................................... | 529,000 | 221,000 | 308.000 |
| 2008 | .................................................... | 533.000 | 222.000 | 311.000 |
| 2009 | ................................................... | 536.000 | 223.000 | 313,000 |
| 2010 | ................................ | 541,000 | 225.000 | 316.00 |
| 2011 | ................ | 547,000 | 226.000 | 321.000 |
| 2012 | .................................................................................. | 556.000 | 229.000 | 327.000 |
| 2013 | .................................................................................. | 568,000 | 233,000 | 335.000 |

[^7]Table 29. Doctor's degrees. by sex of recipient. with projections: 1987-88 to 2012-13

| Year ending |  | Total | Men | Women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | .............................................................................. | 34.870 | 22.615 | 12.255 |
| 1989 | ........................................................................ | 35.720 | 22.648 | 13,072 |
| 1990 | ............. | 38.371 | 24.401 | 13,970 |
| 1991 | ......................... | 39.294 | 24.756 | 14,538 |
| 1992 | ..................................................................................... | 40.659 | 25.557 | 15,102 |
| 1993 | ..................................................................................... | 42.132 | 26.073 | 16,059 |
| 1994 | ..................................................................................... | 43.185 | 26.552 | 16,633 |
| 1995 | ..................................................................................... | 44.446 | 26.916 | 17,530 |
| 1996 |  | 44.652 | 26.841 | 17,811 |
| 1997 | ..................................................................................... | 45.876 | 27.146 | 18,730 |
| 1998 | ..................................................................................... | 46.010 | 26.664 | 19,346 |
| 1999 | ..................................................................................... | 44.077 | 25.146 | 18,931 |
| 2000 | .................... | 44.808 | 25.028 | 19,780 |
| 2001 | ........... | 44.904 | 24.728 | 20,176 |
| Middle alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | ........................... | 43.200 | 23.200 | 20,000 |
| 2003 | ................... | 43.300 | 22.900 | 20,400 |
| 2004 | .............. | 44.200 | 23.300 | 20,900 |
| 2005 | ................... | 44.600 | 23.600 | 21,000 |
| 2006 | .... | 45.000 | 23.700 | 21,300 |
| 2007 | ... | 45.300 | 23.800 | 21,500 |
| 2008 | ............... | 45.600 | 24.000 | 21,600 |
| 2009 | $\ldots$ | 45.700 | 24.100 | 21,600 |
| 2010 | ............. | 45.900 | 24.200 | 21,700 |
| 2011 | ........ | 46.200 | 24.400 | 21,800 |
| 2012 | ...... | 46.600 | 24.500 | 22,100 |
| 2013 | ................................................................................... | 47.300 | 24.700 | 22,600 |
| Low alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 |  | 42.300 | 22.700 | 19,600 |
| 2003 | .... | 42.100 | 22.200 | 19,900 |
| 2004 | ...... | 43.000 | 22.700 | 20,300 |
| 2005 | $\cdots$ | 43.000 | 22.700 | 20,300 |
| 2006 | $\cdots$ | 43.300 | 22.800 | 20,500 |
| 2007 | .................................................................................. | 43.600 | 22.900 | 20,700 |
| 2008 | $\ldots . .$ | 43.900 | 23.100 | 20,800 |
| 2009 | .................................................................................... | 44.000 | 23.200 | 20,800 |
| 2010 | ..... | 44.200 | 23.300 | 20,900 |
| 2011 | .. | 44.500 | 23.500 | 21,000 |
| 2012 | ............... | 44.900 | 23.600 | 21,300 |
| 2013 | ................................................................................... | 45.500 | 23.800 | 21,700 |
| High alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | ... | 44.100 | 23.700 | 20,400 |
| 2003 | .......................... | 44.500 | 23.500 | 21,000 |
| 2004 | ..................................................................................... | 45.400 | 24.000 | 21,400 |
| 2005 | ........................... | 46,200 | 24.400 | 21,800 |
| 2006 | ... | 46.600 | 24.500 | 22,100 |
| 2007 | ............. | 47.000 | 24.700 | 22,300 |
| 2008 | ................................................................................ | 47.300 | 24.900 | 22,400 |
| 2009 | ............... | 47.400 | 25.000 | 22,400 |
| 2010 | ... | 47.600 | 25.100 | 22,500 |
| 2011 | $\ldots$ | 47.900 | 25.300 | 22,600 |
| 2012 | ............................................................................ | 48.300 | 25.400 | 22.900 |
| 2013 | .................................................................................. | 49.000 | 25.600 | 23.400 |

NOTE: Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2, appendix A.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education National Center for EducationStatistics Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System. 'Completionr Survey" (IPEDS-C), various years, and Earned Degrees ConferredModel. (This table was prepared July 2003.)

Table 30. First-professional degrees. by sex of recipient, with projections: 1987-88 to 2012-13

| Year ending |  | Total | Men | Women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | ................................................................................. | 70.735 | 45.484 | 25.251 |
| 1989 | ....................................................................................... | 70.856 | 45.046 | 25,810 |
| 1990 | ............. | 70.988 | 43.961 | 27,027 |
| 1991 | ................................................................ | 71.948 | 43.846 | 28,102 |
| 1992 | ...................................................................... | 74.146 | 45.071 | 29,075 |
| 1993 | ........................................................................... | 75.387 | 45.153 | 30,234 |
| 1994 | ................................... | 75.418 | 44.707 | 30,711 |
| 1995 | ............. | 75.800 | 44.853 | 30,947 |
| 1996 | ................................................................. | 76.734 | 44.748 | 31,986 |
| 1997 | .................................................................................................. | 78.730 | 45.564 | 33,166 |
| 1998 | ............................................................................. | 78.598 | 44.911 | 33,687 |
| 1999 | ............................ | 78.439 | 44.339 | 34,100 |
| 2000 | ................ | 80.057 | 44.239 | 35,818 |
| 2001 | ..................................................................... | 79.707 | 42.862 | 36,845 |
| Middle alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 80.400 | 42.100 | 38,300 |
| 2003 | ................................................................ | 80.400 | 42.300 | 38,100 |
| 2004 | ........................................................................... | 84.400 | 44.300 | 40,100 |
| 2005 | ............................................................................. | 87.800 | 46.300 | 41,500 |
| 2006 | ...... | 89.100 | 47.100 | 42,000 |
| 2007 | ............. | 90.100 | 47.300 | 42,800 |
| 2008 | .......................................................... | 91.300 | 47.800 | 43,500 |
| 2009 | ............................................................................ | 92.200 | 48.300 | 43,900 |
| 2010 | .............................. | 92.900 | 48.600 | 44,300 |
| 2011 | ............... | 93.600 | 48.800 | 44,800 |
| 2012 | ..................................... | 94.600 | 49.200 | 45,400 |
| 2013 | ................................................. | 95.900 | 49.600 | 46,300 |
|  |  | Low alternative projections |  |  |
| 2002 |  | 79.100 | 41.400 | 37,700 |
| 2003 | ....................................................................................... | 79.200 | 41.700 | 37,500 |
| 2004 |  | 83.300 | 43.700 | 39,600 |
| 2005 | ...................................................... | 84.500 | 44.600 | 39,900 |
| 2006 | ................................ | 85.700 | 45.300 | 40,400 |
| 2007 | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 86.700 | 45.500 | 41,200 |
| 2008 | ...................... | 87.700 | 45.900 | 41,800 |
| 2009 | .................. | 88.700 | 46.400 | 42,300 |
| 2010 | ....................................................................... | 89.300 | 46.700 | 42,600 |
| 2011 | .......................... | 90.100 | 47.000 | 43,100 |
| 2012 | .......................... | 91,000 | 47.300 | 43,700 |
| 2013 | ............................................................................. | 92.400 | 47.800 | 44,600 |
|  |  | High alternative projections |  |  |
| 2002 | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 81.700 | 42.800 | 38,900 |
| 2003 | ........................................................................ | 81.700 | 43.000 | 38,700 |
| 2004 | .......................................................................... | 85.600 | 44.900 | 40,700 |
| 2005 | ............................................................................ | 91.100 | 48.100 | 43,000 |
| 2006 | .................... | 92.500 | 48.900 | 43,600 |
| 2007 |  | 93.500 | 49.100 | 44,400 |
| 2008 | ............................................................................ | 94.800 | 49.600 | 45,200 |
| 2009 | ............................................................................ | 95.700 | 50.100 | 45,600 |
| 2010 | ................. | 96.300 | 50.400 | 45,900 |
| 2011 | ....... | 97.200 | 50.700 | 46,500 |
| 2012 |  | 98.200 | 51.100 | 47,100 |
| 2013 | ............................................................................ | 99.600 | 51.500 | 48,100 |

NOTE: Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Detail may not sum to totalsbecause of rounding. Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2, appendix $A$.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education National Center for Education Statistics. Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System. "CompletionsSurvey" (IPEDS-C), various years. and Earned Degrees Conferred Model. (This table was prepared July 2003.)

Table 31. Elementary and secondary teachers. by control of institution. with alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013


[^8]Table 32. Pupil/teacher ratios in elementary and secondary schools. by control of institution. with alternative projections: Fall 1988 to fall 2013

| Year |  | Total | Public | Private |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1988{ }^{1}$ | ............................................................... | 17.0 | 17.3 | 15.2 |
| 1989 ? | ............................................................... | 16.7 | 17.2 | 13.8 |
| 1990 ? | .............................................................. | 16.9 | 17.2 | 14.7 |
| $1991{ }^{\text {2 }}$ | ................................................................ | 17.0 | 17.3 | 14.9 |
| 1992 2 | ......................... | 17.1 | 17.4 | 14.7 |
| $1993{ }^{\text {? }}$ | ................................................................. | 17.0 | 17.4 | 14.6 |
| 1994 ? | ................................... | 17.0 | 17.3 | 14.7 |
| $1995{ }^{3}$ | ..................................... | 17.0 | 17.3 | 14.9 |
| $1996{ }^{3}$ | .................................. | 16.8 | 17.1 | 14.9 |
| $1997{ }^{3}$ | .............................................................. | 16.6 | 16.8 | 15.1 |
| $1998{ }^{3}$ | ........................................ | 16.3 | 16.4 | 15.2 |
| $1999{ }^{3}$ | ................................................................ | 16.0 | 16.1 | 15.2 |
| $2000{ }^{3}$ | $\qquad$ | 16.0 | 16.0 | 15.8 |
| $2001{ }^{3}$ | ................................................................. | 15.9 | 15.9 | 15.9 |
|  |  | Middle alternative projections |  |  |
| 2002 | .................................................................. | 16.1 | 16.1 | 16.2 |
| 2003 | $\qquad$ | 16.1 | 16.1 | 16.3 |
| 2004 | ......................................................................... | 16.2 | 16.2 | 16.4 |
| 2005 | $\qquad$ | 16.2 | 16.2 | 16.3 |
| 2006 | ............................... | 16.2 | 16.2 | 16.4 |
| 2007 | ................... | 16.2 | 16.1 | 16.4 |
| 2008 | .............................................................. | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.3 |
| 2009 | ............................. | 15.9 | 15.9 | 16.2 |
| 2010 | ...... | 15.9 | 15.8 | 16.2 |
| 2011 | ................................................................. | 15.8 | 15.8 | 16.2 |
| 2012 | ............................................................. | 15.8 | 15.8 | 16.2 |
| 2013 | ............................................................... | 15.8 | 15.7 | 16.1 |
|  |  | Low alternative projections (Based on high alternative projections of teachers) |  |  |
| 2002 | ............................................................... | 16.1 | 16.1 | 16.2 |
| 2003 | ................................................................ | 16.2 | 16.1 | 16.3 |
| 2004 | ................................ | 16.1 | 16.0 | 16.2 |
| 2005 | ................................................................ | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.1 |
| 2006 | ............................. | 16.1 | 16.1 | 16.2 |
| 2007 | .................. | 15.9 | 15.9 | 16.1 |
| 2008 | ................ | 15.7 | 15.7 | 16.0 |
| 2009 | .................. | 15.6 | 15.6 | 16.0 |
| 2010 | ................ | 15.5 | 15.5 | 15.9 |
| 2011 | ............................ | 15.5 | 15.4 | 15.8 |
| 2012 | ........................ | 15.4 | 15.4 | 15.8 |
| 2013 | ................................................................ | 15.4 | 15.4 | 15.7 |
|  |  | High alternative projections (Based on low alternative projections of teachers) |  |  |
| 2002 | ............................................................... | 16.1 | 16.1 | 16.2 |
| 2003 | .................................................................. | 16.2 | 16.1 | 16.3 |
| 2004 | ............................................................. | 16.3 | 16.3 | 16.5 |
| 2005 | .................................................................. | 16.4 | 16.4 | 16.6 |
| 2006 | ................................................................. | 16.5 | 16.4 | 16.7 |
| 2007 | ................................................................. | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.8 |
| 2008 | ................................................................. | 16.5 | 16.4 | 16.8 |
| 2009 | ..................... | 16.4 | 16.4 | 16.8 |
| 2010 | .................... | 16.5 | 16.4 | 16.9 |
| 2011 | ............................................................... | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.9 |
| 2012 | ............................................................... | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.9 |
| 2013 | ................................................................. | 16.6 | 16.5 | 16.9 |

[^9]Table 33. Current expenditures and current expenditures per pupil in fall enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools, with alternative projections: 1987-88 to 2012-13

| Year ending |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fall } \\ \text { enrollment' } \\ \text { (in thousands) } \end{array}$ | Current expenditures |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Constant 2001-02 dollars ${ }^{2}$ | Current dollars |  |
|  |  | Total (in billions) | Per pupil in fall enrollment | Total (in billions) | Per pupil in fall enrollment |
| 1988 | ..................................... |  | 40,008 | \$241.6 | \$6,039 | \$157.1 | \$3,927 |
| 1989 | ....... |  | 40,188 | 254.6 | 6,335 | 173.1 | 4,307 |
| 1990 |  | 40,543 | 264.2 | 6,516 | 188.2 | 4,643 |
| 1991 |  | 41,217 | 268.8 | 6.522 | 202.0 | 4,902 |
| 1992 |  | 42,047 | 272.3 | 6.477 | 211.2 | 5,023 |
| 1993 |  | 42,823 | 276.3 | 6,451 | 220.9 | 5,160 |
| 1994 | ..................................... | 43,465 | 282.1 | 6,490 | 231.5 | 5,327 |
| 1995 | ........ | 44,111 | 288.9 | 6,549 | 243.9 | 5,529 |
| 1996 | .......... | 44,840 | 294.2 | 6,561 | 255.1 | 5,689 |
| 1997 | ....................... | 45,611 | 302.9 | 6,642 | 270.2 | 5,923 |
| 1998 | ......... | 46,127 | 314.5 | 6,818 | 285.5 | 6,189 |
| 1999 | ........... | 46,539 | 327.9 | 7,046 | 302.9 | 6,508 |
| 2000 | ... | 46,857 | 340.8 | 7,273 | 323.8 | 6,911 |
| 2001 | ............ | 47,223 | 354.3 | 7,503 | 348.2 | 7,373 |
| Middle alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | ............ | 47,688 | 364.3 | 7,639 | 364.3 | 7,639 |
| 2003 | ........................ | 47,918 | 365.1 | 7,619 | 372.7 | 7,777 |
| 2004 | ............... | 48,040 | 376.0 | 7,826 | 390.8 | 8,135 |
| 2005 | ........... | 48,175 | 385.2 | 7,997 | 408.6 | 8,482 |
| 2006 | ............ | 48,304 | 398.8 | 8,257 | 432.0 | 8,942 |
| 2007 | .................... | 48,524 | 408.3 | 8,415 | 452.7 | 9,329 |
| 2008 | ............ | 48,640 | 414.7 | 8,527 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2009 | ................................................................ | 48,690 | 422.2 | 8,671 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2010 | ... | 48,761 | 430.7 | 8,833 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2011 | ................. | 48,890 | 440.3 | 9,006 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2012 | ................. | 49,084 | 451.6 | 9,201 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2013 | ............................ | 49,367 | 464.9 | 9,418 | + | $\dagger$ |
|  |  | Low alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 |  | 47,688 | 364.3 | 7,639 | 364.3 | 7,639 |
| 2003 |  | 47,918 | 364.9 | 7,615 | 372.6 | 7,777 |
| 2004 |  | 48,040 | 370.5 | 7,713 | 387.5 | 8,066 |
| 2005 | . | 48,175 | 372.4 | 7.731 | 401.5 | 8,335 |
| 2006 | . | 48,304 | 378.7 | 7,840 | 422.1 | 8,739 |
| 2007 | ... | 48,524 | 383.3 | 7,899 | 443.0 | 9,130 |
| 2008 | ............... | 48,640 | 385.6 | 7,929 | $t$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2009 | ............... | 48,690 | 388.9 | 7,986 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2010 | . | 48,761 | 394.6 | 8,092 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2011 | ..................................................................... | 48,890 | 401.3 | 8,209 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2012 |  | 49,084 | 409.9 | 8,351 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2013 | ................................................... | 49,367 | 420.5 | 8,517 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
|  |  | High alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | ............................................................... | 47,688 | 364.3 | 7,639 | 364.3 | 7,639 |
| 2003 | ...... | 47,918 | 366.7 | 7,653 | 374.6 | 7,818 |
| 2004 | ........ | 48,040 | 380.4 | 7,919 | 398.0 | 8,285 |
| 2005 | .... | 48,175 | 394.8 | 8,195 | 423.4 | 8,790 |
| 2006 | ........... | 48,304 | 412.0 | 8,529 | 452.6 | 9,369 |
| 2007 |  | 48,524 | 423.2 | 8,722 | 476.7 | 9,824 |
| 2008 | ..... | 48,640 | 434.1 | 8,925 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2009 | ...... | 48,690 | 447.1 | 9,183 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2010 | ......... | 48,761 | 460.9 | 9,453 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2011 |  | 48,890 | 475.5 | 9,726 | $\dagger$ | , |
| 2012 | ................................... | 49,084 | 491.0 | 10,004 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2013 | ................................................................. | 49.367 | 507.0 | 10.271 | + | $\pm$ |

$\dagger$ Not applicable. projections in current dollars are not shown after 2007 due to the uncertain behavior of inflation over the long term.
'Each enrollment number refers to the fall of the school year shown in column I. For example. the enrollment number listed for 1988 is for fall 1987.
${ }^{2}$ Based on the Consumer Price Lndex for all urban consumers. Bureau of Labor Statistics. U.S. Department of Labor.
NOTE: Calculations were made using unrounded numbers. Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Mean absolute percentageerrors of selected education statistics can be found in table $A \cdot 2$, appendix $\mathbf{A}$.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for EducationStatistics. The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD), "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public
Elernentary/Secondary Education." various years; National Public Education Financial Survey." various years; National Elementary and Secondary Enrollment Model; and Elementary and Secondary School Current Expenditures Model. (This table was prepared July 2003.)

Table 34. Current expenditures and current expenditures per pupil in average daily attendance (ADA) in public elementary and secondary schools, with alternative projections: 1987-88 to 2012-13

$\dagger$ Not applicable, projectionsin current dollars are not shown after 2007 due to the uncertain behavior of inflation over the long term.
'Based on the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers. Bureau of Labor Statistics. U.S. Department of Labor.
NOTE: Calculationswere made using unrounded numbers. Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Mean absolute percentageerrors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2, appendix A.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics. The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD), "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public
Elementary/Secondary Education." various years; National Public Education Financial Survey." various years; National Elementary and Secondary Average Daily
Anendance Model; and Elementary and Secondary School Current Expenditures Model. (This table was prepared July 2003.:

Table 35. Estimated average annual salaries of classroom teachers in public elementary and secondary schools, with alternative projections: 1987-88 to 2012-13

| Year ending |  | Constant 2001-02 dollars' | Current dollars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | ..................................................................................... | \$43.116 | \$28,034 |
| 1989 | ......................................................................................... | 43,480 | 29,564 |
| 1990 | ................................................................................... | 44,024 | 31,367 |
| 1991 | .................................................................................... | 44,021 | 33,084 |
| 1992 | .............................................................................. | 43,921 | 34,063 |
| 1993 | ................................................................................ | 43,799 | 35,029 |
| 1994 | .................................................................................... | 43,541 | 35,737 |
| 1995 |  | 43,446 | 36,675 |
| 1996 |  | 43,408 | 37,642 |
| 1997 | ................................................................................ | 43,144 | 38,443 |
| 1998 | ....... | 43,347 | 39,351 |
| 1999 | ..................................................................................... | 43,886 | 40,550 |
| 2000 | ................................................................................ | 43,945 | 41,827 |
| 2001 | ........ | 44,098 | 43,400 |
| 2002 | $\ldots$ | 44,683 | 44,683 |
| 2003 | ........ | 44.888 | 45,822 |
|  |  | Middle alterna |  |
| 2004 | ....... | 44.547 | 46,303 |
| 2005 | ....... | 44,752 | 47,471 |
| 2006 | ................................ | 45.317 | 49,080 |
| 2007 | ........... | 45,650 | 50,607 |
| 2008 | ...................... | 45,975 | $\dagger$ |
| 2009 | .......... | 46,000 | + |
| 2010 | ............................................................................................. | 46,119 | $\dagger$ |
| 2011 | ............................ | 46,422 | $\dagger$ |
| 2012 | ................................................................................................ | 46,852 | $\dagger$ |
| 2013 | ............. | 47,367 | $\dagger$ |
|  |  | Low alternati |  |
| 2004 | ................................................................................. | 44,292 | 46,320 |
| 2005 | .......................................................................................... | 44,161 | 47,614 |
| 2006 | .............................................................................................. | 44,403 | 49,496 |
| 2007 | ...................................... | 44.525 | 51,465 |
| 2008 | ................................................. | 44,678 | $\dagger$ |
| 2009 |  | 44,535 | $\dagger$ |
| 2010 | . | 44,557 | $\dagger$ |
| 2011 | .. | 44,760 | $t$ |
| 2012 | ....... | 45,099 | $\dagger$ |
| 2013 | ...... | 45,529 | $\dagger$ |
|  |  | High alternati |  |
| 2004 | .................................................................................. | 44,755 | 46,823 |
| 2005 | $\cdots$ | 45,187 | 48,464 |
| 2006 | ................................................................................. | 45,899 | 50,419 |
| 2007 | ................................................................................................. | 46,296 | 52,146 |
| 2008 |  | 46,809 | $\dagger$ |
| 2009 | ................................................................................................ | 47,050 | + |
| 2010 | ............................................................................................... | 47,367 | $\dagger$ |
| 2011 | ......................................................................................... | 47,849 | $\dagger$ |
| 2012 | ........................ | 48,420 | $\dagger$ |
| 2013 | .......................................................................................... | 49,010 | $\pm$ |

[^10]'Based on the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers, Bureau of Labor Statistics. U.S. Department of Labor.
NOTE: Calculations were made using unrounded numbers. Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Mean absolute percentage errors of education statistics can be found in table A2, appendix $\mathbf{A}$.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics. Elementary and Secondary Teacher Salary Model; and National Education Association. Estimates of School Statistics. (Latest edition 2003. Copyright 2003 by the National Education Association. All rights resewed.:
(This table was prepared July 2003.)

Table 36. Current-fund expenditures and current-fund expenditures per full-time equivalent (FTE) student of public 4-year degree-granting institutions, with alternative projections: 1987-88 to 2012-13

| Year ending |  |  | Current-fund expenditures |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | equivalent enrollment (in thousands) | Constant 2001-02 dollars ${ }^{1}$ |  | Current dollars |  |
|  |  | Total | Per student | Total | Per student |
|  |  | (in billions) | in FTE | (in billions) | in FTE |
| 1988 | ............................................................... |  | 4,396 | \$92.5 | \$21,039 | \$60.1 | \$13,680 |
| 1989 | ................................................................. |  | 4,506 | 96.1 | 21,330 | 65.3 | 14,503 |
| 1990 |  | 4,620 | 99.5 | 21,529 | 70.9 | 15,339 |
| 1991 | ............................... | 4,740 | 102.1 | 21,537 | 76.7 | 16,186 |
| 1992 | ................................................... | 4,796 | 104.9 | 21,868 | 81.3 | 16,960 |
| 1993 | ................................ | 4,798 | 107.6 | 22.429 | 86.1 | 17,938 |
| 1994 | .................................................. | 4,766 | 109.3 | 22,930 | 89.7 | 18,820 |
| 1995 | ......................... | 4,750 | 112.4 | 23,668 | 94.9 | 19,980 |
| 1996 | .................... | 4,757 | 112.9 | 23,733 | 97.9 | 20,580 |
| 1997 | ..... | 4,767 | 115.6 | 24,244 | 103.1 | 21,621 |
| 1998 | ................................................... | 4,814 | 120.3 | 24,986 | 109.2 | 22,682 |
| 1999 | ................................................. | 4.869 | 124.7 | 25,608 | 115.2 | 23,652 |
| 2000 | ......................................... | 4,945 | 131.4 | 26.581 | 124.9 | 25,256 |
|  |  |  | Middl | ernative proj |  |  |
| 2001 | ........................................... | 5,026 | 137.0 | 27,256 | 134.6 | 26,784 |
| 2002 | ................................................... | 5,157 | 139.9 | 27.118 | 139.9 | 27,118 |
| 2003 | -.......................................... | 5,402 | 144.0 | 26,656 | 147.0 | 27,211 |
| 2004 | .... | 5,502 | 147.5 | 26,814 | 153.3 | 27,871 |
| 2005 | .............................................................. | 5,545 | 151.7 | 27,353 | 160.9 | 29,015 |
| 2006 | .................................................................. | 5,625 | 157.3 | 27,962 | 170.3 | 30,283 |
| 2007 | ....................................... | 5,706 | 162.4 | 28,459 | 180.0 | 31,550 |
| 2008 | .................................... | 5,761 | 166.5 | 28.898 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2009 | ........................................................ | 5,825 | 170.6 | 29.284 | $\dagger$ |  |
| 2010 | ................................................. | 5,909 | 175.0 | 29,608 | t | $\dagger$ |
| 2011 | .................................................................. | 5,979 | 179.3 | 29,984 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2012 | .................................................. | 6,047 | 183.7 | 30,383 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2013 | ..................................................................... | 6,116 | 188.4 | 30.810 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
|  |  |  | Low | rnative proje |  |  |
| 2001 | ................. | 5,026 | 137.0 | 27,256 | 134.6 | 26,784 |
| 2002 | ....................................... | 5,157 | 139.9 | 27,118 | 139.9 | 27,118 |
| 2003 | ...................................................................... | 5,493 | 146.7 | 26,712 | 149.9 | 27,280 |
| 2004 | ............................... | 5,546 | 149.3 | 26,923 | 156.2 | 28,155 |
| 2005 | ............................ | 5,558 | 151.5 | 27,253 | 163.3 | 29,384 |
| 2006 | $\ldots$ | 5,601 | 155.0 | 27,670 | 172.8 | 30,844 |
| 2007 | ................ | 5,643 | 158.3 | 28,050 | 183.0 | 32,422 |
| 2008 | ............................. | 5,673 | 160.9 | 28.358 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2009 | .............................. | 5,714 | 163.7 | 28,643 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2010 | ............... | 5,778 | 167.1 | 28,912 | $\dagger$ | + |
| 2011 | .................................... | 5,836 | 170.3 | 29,187 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2012 | ....................... | 5,890 | 173.9 | 29,528 | + | $\dagger$ |
| 2013 | -........................................ | 5,948 | 177.7 | 29,882 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
|  | . ${ }^{2}$ |  | High | rnative proje |  |  |
| 2001 | ..................................................................... | 5.026 | 137.0 | 27,256 | 134.6 | 26,784 |
| 2002 | ........................................ | 5,157 | 139.9 | 27,118 | 139.9 | 27,118 |
| 2003 | ............................................................ | 5,432 | 145.1 | 26.705 | 148.2 | 27,280 |
| 2004 | ......... | 5,529 | 148.5 | 26,867 | 155.4 | 28,109 |
| 2005 | ........................................... | 5,592 | 154.0 | 27,529 | 165.1 | 29,526 |
| 2006 |  | 5,689 | 160.0 | 28,119 | 175.7 | 30,888 |
| 2007 | ........................ | 5,790 | 165.1 | 28,510 | 185.9 | 32,113 |
| 2008 | .............................................................. | 5,873 | 169.8 | 28,913 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2009 | .......................................................... | S,967 | 174.7 | 29,286 | $\dagger$ | + |
| 2010 | ........................................................... | 6.079 | 180.2 | 29,644 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2011 | ................. | 6,172 | 185.8 | 30,099 | $\dagger$ | † |
| 2012 | ............................................................ | 6.259 | 191.2 | 30,542 | $\dagger$ | + |
| 2013 | ........-..................................................... | 6,345 | 196.4 | 30,959 | $\dagger$ | t |

$\dagger$ Not applicable. projections in current dollars are not shown after 2007 due to the uncertain behavior of inflation over the long term.
'Based on the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers. Bureau of Labor Statistics. U.S. Department of Labor.
NOTE: Calculations were made using unrounded numbers. Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2, appendix A.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System. "Fall Enrollment Survey" (LPEDS-EF) various years; "Finance Survey" (IPEDS-F:FY), various years; Enrollment in Degree-Granting Institutions Model; and Expenditures in Degree-Granting Institutions Model. (This table was prepared July 2003.)

Table 37. Educational and general expenditures and educational and general expenditures per full-time-equivalent (FTE) student of public 4-year degree-granting institutions, with alternative projections: 1987-88 to 2012-13

| Year ending |  | Full-time- | Educational and general expenditures |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | equivalent | Constant 2001-02 dollars' |  | Current dollars |  |
|  |  | enrollment (in thousands) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { (in billions) } \end{array}$ | Per student in FTE | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { (in billions) } \end{array}$ | Per student in FTE |
| 1988 | ............................................................... | 4,396 | \$72.9 | \$16.572 | \$47.4 | \$10.775 |
| 1989 | .............................................................. | 4,506 | 76.4 | 16,950 | 51.9 | 11,525 |
| 1990 | .............................................. | 4,620 | 79.0 | 17,100 | 56.3 | 12,184 |
| 1991 | ............................................................... | 4,740 | 80.2 | 16,926 | 60.3 | 12,721 |
| 1992 | -..................................................... | 4,796 | 80.8 | 16,851 | 62.7 | 13,069 |
| 1993 | ............................................................... | 4,798 | 81.5 | 16,986 | 65.2 | 13,585 |
| 1994 | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . .$. | 4,766 | 83.0 | 17,415 | 68.1 | 14,294 |
| 1995 | ....................................................... | 4,750 | 85.2 | 17,948 | 72.0 | 15,151 |
| 1996 | .............................................................. | 4,757 | 86.3 | 18,135 | 74.8 | 15,726 |
| 1997 | ........................................................... | 4,767 | 87.6 | 18,370 | 78.1 | 16,383 |
| 1998 | ................................................. | 4,814 | 90.8 | 18,868 | 82.5 | 17,129 |
| 1999 | ............................................. | 4,869 | 94.6 | 19,424 | 87.3 | 17,940 |
| 2000 | .............................................................. | 4,945 | 98.7 | 19,961 | 93.8 | 18,966 |
|  |  |  | Middle alternative projections |  |  |  |
| 2001 | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 5,026 | 102.5 | 20,392 | 100.7 | 20,039 |
| 2002 | ...................................................... | 5,157 | 103.9 | 20,144 | 103.9 | 20,144 |
| 2003 | .............................................. | 5,402 | 105.7 | 19,569 | 107.9 | 19,976 |
| 2004 | .............................. | 5,502 | 107.8 | 19,599 | 112.1 | 20,371 |
| 2005 | .................... | 5,545 | 110.9 | 19,991 | 117.6 | 21,205 |
| 2006 | .............................. | 5,625 | 115.0 | 20,435 | 124.5 | 22,132 |
| 2007 | .......................... | 5,706 | 118.6 | 20,778 | 131.4 | 23,035 |
| 2008 | ........................... | 5,761 | 121.4 | 21,076 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2009 | ... | 5,825 | 124.2 | 21,324 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2010 | ..... | 5,909 | 127.1 | 21,510 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2011 | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 5,979 | 130.0 | 21,746 | $\dagger$ | t |
| 2012 | ..................................................... | 6,047 | 133.1 | 22,005 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2013 | ............................................................. | 6,116 | 136.3 | 22,288 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
|  |  |  | Low alternative projections |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ........................................................ | 5,026 | 102.5 | 20,392 | 100.7 | 20,039 |
| 2002 | ...................... | 5,157 | 103.9 | 20,144 | 103.9 | 20,144 |
| 2003 | ............................................................ | 5,493 | 107.8 | 19,625 | 110.1 | 20,042 |
| 2004 |  | 5,546 | 109.3 | 19,710 | 114.3 | 20,612 |
| 2005 | ...................................................... | 5,558 | 110.7 | 19,917 | 119.4 | 21,474 |
| 2006 | ........................... | 5,601 | 113.1 | 20,194 | 126.1 | 22,510 |
| 2007 | $\ldots . . . . . . . . .$. | 5,643 | 115.3 | 20,439 | 133.3 | 23,624 |
| 2008 | ............................................................... | 5,673 | 117.0 | 20,623 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2009 | ............................................................. | 5,714 | 118.8 | 20,785 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2010 | .................................... | 5,778 | 120.9 | 20,926 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2011 | ............................................. | 5,836 | 123.0 | 21,074 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2012 | ............................................................ | 5,890 | 125.4 | 21,283 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2013 | ............................................................... | 5,948 | 127.9 | 21,503 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
|  |  |  | High alternative projections |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ............................................................. | 5,026 | 102.5 | 20,392 | 100.7 | 20,039 |
| 2002 | .......................................................... | 5,157 | 103.9 | 20,144 | 103.9 | 20,144 |
| 2003 | [.......................................................... | 5,432 | 106.6 | 19,618 | 108.9 | 20,040 |
| 2004 | ........................................................... | 5,529 | 108.6 | 19,647 | 113.6 | 20,555 |
| 2005 | .............................................................. | 5,592 | 112.6 | 20,141 | 120.8 | 21,601 |
| 2006 | ................................................. | 5,689 | 117.0 | 20,560 | 128.5 | 22,585 |
| 2007 | ............................................................. | 5,790 | 120.4 | 20,800 | 135.6 | 23,428 |
| 2008 | ............................................................... | 5,873 | 123.7 | 21,056 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2009 | ................................................................... | 5,967 | 127.0 | 21,282 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2010 | ............................................................. | 6,079 | 130.6 | 21,489 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2011 | ..................................................................... | 6,172 | 134.5 | 21,790 | $\dagger$ | t |
| 2012 | ............................................................ | 6,259 | 138.2 | 22,082 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2013 | ............................................ | 6,345 | 141.8 | 22,351 | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |

$\dagger$ Not applicable, projections in current dollars are not shown after 2007 due to the uncertain behavior of inflation over the long term.
'Based on the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers. Bureau of Labor Statistics. U.S. Department of Labor.
NOTE: Calculations were made using unrounded numbers. Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2, appendix $\mathbf{A}$.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System, 'Fall Enrollment Survey" (IPEDS-EF), various years; "Finance Survey"(IPEDS-F:FY), various years; Enrollment in Degree-GrantingInstitutions Model; and Expenditures in Degree-Granting Institutions Model. (This table was prepared July 2003.)

Table 38. Current-fund expenditures and current-fund expenditures per full-time-equivalent (FTE) student of public 2-year degree-granting institutions, with alternative projections: 1987-88 to 2012-13

| Year ending |  | Full-timeequivalent enrollment (in thousands) | Current-fund expenditures |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Constant 2001-02 dollars' | Current dollars |  |
|  |  | Total | Per student | Total | Per student |
|  |  | (in billions) | in FTE | (in billions) | in FTE |
| 1988 | .................................................................. |  | 2,542 | \$19.2 | \$7,567 | \$12.5 | \$4,920 |
| 1989 | ............................................................ |  | 2,591 | 20.0 | 7,717 | 13.6 | 5,247 |
| 1990 |  |  | 2,752 | 20.9 | 7,602 | 14.9 | 5,417 |
| 1991 | ................................................................... | 2,818 | 21.6 | 7,668 | 16.2 | 5,763 |
| 1992 | ........................................... | 3,067 | 22.6 | 7,362 | 17.5 | 5,710 |
| 1993 | ......................................................... | 3,114 | 23.1 | 7,431 | 18.5 | 5,943 |
| 1994 | ................................................. | 3,046 | 23.9 | 7,844 | 19.6 | 6,438 |
| 1995 | .................................. | 3,035 | 24.4 | 8,029 | 20.6 | 6,778 |
| 1996 | ........................................................... | 2,994 | 24.9 | 8,326 | 21.6 | 7,220 |
| 1997 | .......................................... | 3,028 | 25.1 | 8.281 | 22.4 | 7,385 |
| 1998 | ........................................................ | 3,056 | 26.1 | 8,527 | 23.7 | 7,741 |
| 1999 | ....................................... | 3,011 | 27.5 | 9,126 | 25.4 | 8,429 |
| 2000 | .................................................... | 3,075 | 28.9 | 9,393 | 27.4 | 8,924 |
|  |  | Middle alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ................................................................. | 3,241 | 30.9 | 9,533 | 30.4 | 9,368 |
| 2002 | ............................................................... | 3,252 | 30.3 | 9.331 | 30.3 | 9,331 |
| 2003 | ............................................................. | 3,372 | 30.4 | 9,005 | 31.0 | 9,192 |
| 2004 | ............................................................ | 3,424 | 30.7 | 8,975 | 31.9 | 9,329 |
| 2005 | ................................................. | 3,448 | 31.8 | 9,221 | 33.7 | 9,781 |
| 2006 | ................................................................. | 3,492 | 33.7 | 9,642 | 36.5 | 10,442 |
| 2007 | .................................. | 3,537 | 35.2 | 9,940 | 39.0 | 11,019 |
| 2008 | .................. | 3,568 | 36.1 | 10,103 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2009 | .......................................... | 3,604 | 36.9 | 10,231 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2010 | ......................................................... | 3,648 | 37.7 | 10,345 | $\dagger$ | + |
| 2011 | .................................... | 3,676 | 38.6 | 10,489 | $\dagger$ | + |
| 2012 | ........................................... | 3,706 | 39.5 | 10,655 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2013 | ........................................................ | 3,739 | 40.6 | 10,849 | + | $\dagger$ |
|  |  | Low alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 |  | 3,241 | 30.9 | 9,533 | 30.4 | 9,368 |
| 2002 | ....................................................... | 3,252 | 30.3 | 9,331 | 30.3 | 9,331 |
| 2003 | .................................................... | 3,377 | 30.5 | 9,019 | 31.1 | 9,211 |
| 2004 | .................................................... | 3,412 | 30.7 | 8,990 | 32.1 | 9,401 |
| 2005 | ....................................... | 3,428 | 30.8 | 8,975 | 33.2 | 9,677 |
| 2006 | ................................................................... | 3,458 | 31.6 | 9,130 | 35.2 | 10,177 |
| 2007 | ............................................................. | 3,487 | 32.2 | 9,230 | 37.2 | 10,668 |
| 2008 | ...................... | 3,509 | 32.3 | 9,205 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2009 | ............. | 3,535 | 32.4 | 9,176 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2010 | ................................... | 3,570 | 32.8 | 9,186 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2011 | ........................................................................... | 3,594 | 33.0 | 9,194 | + | $\dagger$ |
| 2012 | ....................................................... | 3,616 | 33.5 | 9,262 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2013 | ...................................................... | 3,643 | 34.1 | 9,347 | $\dagger$ | + |
|  |  | High alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ......................................... | 3,241 | 30.9 | 9,533 | 30.4 | 9,368 |
| 2002 | ............................................................. | 3,252 | 30.3 | 9,331 | 30.3 | 9,331 |
| 2003 | ............................................................ | 3,367 | 30.4 | 9,017 | 31.0 | 9,212 |
| 2004 | .................................................................... | 3,426 | 30.9 | 9,031 | 32.4 | 9,448 |
| 2005 | .............................................................. | 3,467 | 32.9 | 9,497 | 35.3 | 10,186 |
| 2006 | ............................................................. | 3,524 | 35.1 | 9,959 | 38.5 | 10,940 |
| 2007 | ............................................................... | 3,581 | 36.5 | 10,202 | 41.2 | 11,491 |
| 2008 | ......................................................... | 3,630 | 37.8 | 10,404 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2009 | ......................................................... | 3,683 | 39.0 | 10,601 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2010 | ... | 3,744 | 40.6 | 10.831 | $t$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2011 | ...................................................... | 3,786 | 42.1 | 11,128 | + | † |
| 2012 | ........................................................... | 3,825 | 43.6 | 11,394 | + | $\dagger$ |
| 2013 | ............................................................ | 3.867 | 44.9 | 11.622 | $\dagger$ | t |

$\dagger$ Not applicable, projectionsin current dollars are not shown after 2007 due to the uncertain behavior of inflationover the long term.
${ }^{1}$ Based on the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers. Bureau of Labor Statistics. U.S. Department of Labor.
NOTE: Calculationswere made using unrounded numbers. Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Mean absolute percentage errors of selected educationstatistics can be found in table $A 2$, appendix $A$.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics. Integrated PostsecondaryEducation Data System. "Fall EnrollmentSurvey" (WEDS-EF). various years; "FinanceSurvey" (IPEDS-F:FY), various years; Enrollment in Degree-Granting Institutions Model; and Expenditures in Degree-Granting Institutions Model. (This table was prepared July 2003.)

Table 39. Educational and general expenditures and educational and general expenditures per full-time-equivalent (FTE) student of public 2-year degree-granting institutions, with alternative projections: 1987-88 to 2012-13

| Year ending |  | Full-time- | Educational and general expenditures |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | equivalent | Constant 2001-02 dollars' |  | Current dollars |  |
|  |  | enrollment (in | Total | Per student | Total | Per student |
|  |  | thousands) | (in billions) | in FTE | (in billions) | in FTE |
| 1988 | .................. | 2.542 | 117.9 | 17,060 | 611.7 | \$4,590 |
| 1989 | ..................................................................... | 2,591 | 18.6 | 7,189 | 12.7 | 4,888 |
| 1990 | ............... | 2,752 | 19.5 | 7,077 | 13.9 | 5,042 |
| 1991 | ........ | 2,818 | 20.1 | 7.141 | 15.1 | 5,367 |
| 1992 | .................................................................. | 3,067 | 21.0 | 6,839 | 16.3 | 5,304 |
| 1993 | ........................................................... | 3,114 | 21.6 | 6,929 | 17.3 | 5,542 |
| 1994 | ........................................................... | 3,046 | 22.3 | 7,336 | 18.3 | 6,021 |
| 1995 | .... | 3,035 | 22.8 | 7,517 | 19.3 | 6,346 |
| 1996 | ....... | 2,994 | 23.4 | 7,807 | 20.3 | 6,770 |
| 1997 | ..................................................................... | 3,028 | 23.5 | 7,774 | 21.0 | 6,933 |
| 1998 | ....... | 3,056 | 24.5 | 8,018 | 22.2 | 7,279 |
| 1999 | $\qquad$ | 3,011 | 25.9 | 8,585 | 23.9 | 7,929 |
| 2000 | .................................................................... | 3,075 | 27.1 | 8,822 | 25.8 | 8,382 |
| Middle alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | .... | 3,241 | 29.1 | 8,966 | 28.6 | 8,811 |
| 2002 | ..................................................................... | 3,252 | 28.5 | 8,750 | 28.5 | 8,750 |
| 2003 | ..................................................................... | 3,372 | 28.4 | 8,435 | 29.0 | 8,611 |
| 2004 | $\cdots$ | 3,424 | 28.8 | 8,405 | 29.9 | 8,736 |
| 2005 | ........... | 3,448 | 29.8 | 8,648 | 31.6 | 9,173 |
| 2006 | ..................................................................... | 3,492 | 31.7 | 9,074 | 34.3 | 9,827 |
| 2007 | .................................................................... | 3,537 | 33.2 | 9,375 | 36.8 | 10,393 |
| 2008 | ............................................................... | 3,568 | 34.0 | 9,536 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2009 |  | 3,604 | 34.8 | 9,662 | $\dagger$ | + |
| 2010 | ............................................................. | 3,648 | 35.7 | 9,776 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2011 | $\ldots$ | 3,676 | 36.5 | 9,917 | $\dagger$ | + |
| 2012 | ................................................................... | 3,706 | 37.4 | 10,080 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2013 | $\cdots$ | 3,739 | 38.4 | 10,273 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
|  |  |  | Low alternative projections |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ................................................................. | 3,241 | 29.1 | 8,966 | 28.6 | 8,811 |
| 2002 | ................................................................... | 3,252 | 28.5 | 8,750 | 28.5 | 8,750 |
| 2003 | ..................................................................... | 3,377 | 28.5 | 8,447 | 29.1 | 8,627 |
| 2004 | .................................................................... | 3,412 | 28.7 | 8,414 | 30.0 | 8,799 |
| 2005 | ................... | 3,428 | 28.8 | 8,391 | 31.0 | 9,047 |
| 2006 | ..................................................................... | 3,458 | 29.5 | 8,543 | 32.9 | 9,523 |
| 2007 | ...................................................................... | 3,487 | 30.1 | 8,639 | 34.8 | 9,986 |
| 2008 | ................... | 3,509 | 30.2 | 8,607 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2009 | $\qquad$ | 3,535 | 30.3 | 8,571 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2010 | $\qquad$ | 3,570 | 30.6 | 8,577 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2011 | .................................................................... | 3,594 | 30.8 | 8,578 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2012 | $\qquad$ | 3,616 | 31.2 | 8,640 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2013 | ..................................................................... | 3,643 | 31.8 | 8,720 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
|  |  |  | High alternative projections |  |  |  |
| 2001 | ............................. | 3,241 | 29.1 | 8,966 | 28.6 | 8,811 |
| 2002 | ........................... | 3,252 | 28.5 | 8,750 | 28.5 | 8,750 |
| 2003 | ......................................... | 3,367 | 28.4 | 8,446 | 29.0 | 8,628 |
| 2004 | $\qquad$ | 3,426 | 29.0 | 8,461 | 30.3 | 8,852 |
| 2005 | $\qquad$ | 3,467 | 31.0 | 8,934 | 33.2 | 9,582 |
| 2006 | $\qquad$ | 3,524 | 33.1 | 9,405 | 36.4 | 10,331 |
| 2007 | $\qquad$ | 3,581 | 34.6 | 9,653 | 38.9 | 10,873 |
| 2008 | .................................................................. | 3,630 | 35.8 | 9,858 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2009 | .................................................................. | 3,683 | 37.0 | 10,058 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2010 | ................................................................... | 3,744 | 38.5 | 10,295 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2011 | ....................................... | 3,786 | 40.1 | 10,594 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2012 | ................................................................... | 3,825 | 41.5 | 10,862 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| 2013 | ..................................................................... | 3,867 | 42.9 | 11,091 | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |
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## Technical Appendixes

## Appendix A

## Projection Methodology

The general procedure for Projections $\oint$ Education Statistics to 2013 was to express the variable to be projected as a percent of a "base" variable. These percents were then projected and applied to projections of the "base" variable. For example, the number of 18 -year-old college students was expressed as a percent of the 18 -year-old population for each year from 1972 through 2000. This enrollment rate was then projected through the year 2013 and applied to projections of the 18 -year-old population from the U.S. Census Bureau.

Enrollment projections are based primarily on population projections. Projections of high school graduates and earned degrees conferred are based primarily on enrollment projections.

Exponential smoothing and multiple linear regression are the two major projection techniques used in this publication. Single exponential smoothing is used when the historical data have a basically horizontal pattern. On the other hand, double exponential smoothing is used when the time series is expected to change linearly with time. In general, exponential smoothing places more weight on recent observations than on earlier ones. The weights for observations decrease exponentially as one moves further into the past. As a result, the older data have less influence on these projections. The rate at which the weights of older observations decrease is determined by the smoothmg constant selected.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}=\alpha \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}}+\alpha(1-\alpha) \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}-1}+\alpha(1-\alpha)^{2} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}-2} \\
& +\alpha(1-\alpha)^{3} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}-3}+\ldots \ldots \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

## where:

$\mathrm{P}=$ projected value
$\alpha=$ smoothing constant $(0<a<1)$
$\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ observation for time t
This equation illustrates that the projection is a weighted average based on exponentially decreasing weights. For a high smoothing constant, weights for earlier observations decrease rapidly. For a low
smoothing constant, decreases are more moderate. Projections of enrollments and public high school graduates are based on a smoothing constant of $\mathbf{a}=$ 0.4 .

The farther apart the observations are spaced in time, the more likely it is that there are changes in the underlying social, political, and economic structure. Since the observations are on an annual basis, major shifts in the underlying process are more likely in the time span of just a few observations than if the observations were available on a monthly or weekly basis. As a result, the underlying process for annual models tends to be less stable from one observation to the next Another reason for using high smoothing constants for some time series is that most of the observations are fairly accurate, because most observations are population values rather than sample estimates. Therefore, large shifts tend to indicate actual changes in the process rather than noise in the data.

Multiple linear regression is also used in making projections of college enrollment and earned degrees conferred. This techniqueis used when it is believed that a strong relationship exists between the variable being projected (the dependent variable) and independent variables. However, this technique is used only when accurate data and reliable projections of the independent variables are available.

The functional form primarily used is the multiplicative model. When used with two independent variables, this model takes the form:
$\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{a} \mathrm{X}_{1}^{\mathrm{b}_{1}} \mathrm{X}_{2}^{\mathrm{b}_{2}}$

This equation can easily be transformed into the linear form by taking the natural $\log$ (In) of both sides of the equation:
$\ln Y=\ln (a)+b_{1} \ln X_{1}+b_{2} \ln X_{2}$

The multiplicative model has a number of advantages. Research has found that it is a reasonable way to represent human behavior. Constant elasticities are assumed, which means that a 1 percent change in $\ln \mathrm{X}$ will lead to a given percent change in $\ln Y$. This percent change is equal to $b_{1}$. And the multiplicative model lends
itself easily to "a priori" analysis because the researcher does not have to worry about units of measurement when specifying relationships. In fact, the multiplicative model is considered the standard in economic analyses. For additional information, see Forecasting: Metbods and Applications by Spiro Makridakis, Steven C. Wheelwright, and Rob J. Hyndman (John Wiley and Sons, 1998, pp. 607).

## Assumptions

All projections are based on underlying assumptions, and these assumptions determine projection results to a large extent It is important that users of projections understand the assumptions to determine the acceptability of projected time series for their purposes. Descriptions of the primary assumptions upon which the projections of time series are based are presented in table A1.

For some projections, low, middle, and high alternatives are shown. These alternatives reveal the level of uncertainty involved in making projections, and they also point out the sensitivity of projections to the assumptions on which they are based.

The key determinants of higher education enrollment are household income, whch represents ability to pay, and an age-specific unemployment rate, which acts as a proxy for opportunity costs faced by students. Both of these measures are likely to decline during a weak or pessimistic economy, with the result that the estimated opportunity costs will be lower. This will have a positive impact on higher education enrollment, as students face less attractive alternatives. This will be apparent in the short term, resulting in a potential reversal in the expected pattern across the alternative economic scenarios. As a result, the high alternative projections will be lower than the low alternative projections. However, in the long term, the effect of the per capita income variable dominates the effects of the unemployment rate. This results in a pattern where the high alternative projections are greater than the low alternative projections.

Many of the projections in this publication are demographically based on U.S. Census Bureau middle series projections of the population by age. The population projections developed by the U.S. Census Bureau are based on the 2000 census and the middle series assumptions for the ferility rate, internal migration, net immigration, and mortality rate. For a discussion on the intercensal population estimates, see appendix C .

The future fertility rate assumption, which determines projections of the number of births, is one key assumption in making population projections. This
assumption plays a major role in determining population projections for the age groups enrolled in nursery school, kindergarten, and elementary grades. The effects of the fertility rate assumption are more pronounced toward the end of the projection period, while the immigration assumptions affect all years.

For enrollments in secondary grades and college, the fertility assumption is of no consequence, since all the population cohorts for these enrollment ranges have already been born. For projections of enrollments in elementary schools, only middle series population projections were considered. Projections of high school graduates are based on projections of the percent of grade 12 enrollment that are high school graduates. Projections of associate's, bachelor's, master's, doctor's, and first-professional degrees are based on projections of college-age populations and college enrollment, by sex, attendance status, and level enrolled by student, and by type of institution. Projections of college enrollment are also based on disposable income per capita and unemployment rates. The projections of elementary and secondary teachers are based on education revenue receipts from state sources and enrollments. The projections of expenditures of public elementary and secondary schools and public degree-granting institutions are based on enrollments and projections of disposable income per capita and various revenue measures of state and local governments. Projections of disposable income per capita and unemployment rates were obtained from the company Global Insight, Inc. Many additional assumptions were made in projecting these variables.

## Limitations of Projections

Projections of time series usually differ from the final reported data due to errors from many sources. This is because of the inherent nature of the statistical universe from which the basic data are obtained and the properties of projection methodologies, which depend on the validity of many assumptions. Therefore, alternative projections are shown for most statistical series to denote the uncertainty involved in making projections. These alternatives are not statistical confidence limits, but instead represent judgments made by the authors as to reasonable upper and lower bounds. The mean absolute percentage error is one way to express the forecast accuracy of past projections. This measure expresses the average value of the absolute value of errors in percentage terms. For example, the mean absolute percentage errors of public, school enrollment in grades $\mathrm{K}-12$ for lead times of $1,2,5$, and 10 years were $0.3,0.5,1.1$, and 2.7 percent, respectively. For more information on mean absolute percentage errors, see table A2.

Table Al. Summary of forecast assumptions to 2013

| Variables |
| :--- |
| Demographic |
| assumptions |

## Population

## Undergraduateenrollment

Graduate enrollment
First-professional enrollment
Full-time-equivalentenrollment

## Economic

## assumptions

Disposable income per capita in constant dollars

Education revenue receipts from state sources per capita in constant dollars

## Inflation rate

Personal taxes and nontax receipts to state and local governments per capita in constant dollars

## Unemployment rate (men)

Age 18 to 19
Age 20 to 24
Age 25 and over

## Unemployment rate (women)

Age 18 to 19
Age. 20 to 24
Age 25 and over
Remains between $3.5 \%$ and $4.6 \% \quad$ Remains between $3.5 \%$ and $4.4 \% \quad$ Remains between $3.4 \%$ and $4.4 \%$
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census, previously unpublished tabulation (June 2003); and Global Insight. Inc. "U.S. Quarterly Model."
(This table was prepared July 2003.)

Projections are consistent with the Census Bureau middle series estimates.
Average annual growth rate of $1.3 \%$ Average annual growth rate of $1.1 \%$ Average annual growth rate of $1.6 \%$
Average annual growth rate of $1.3 \%$ Average annual growth rate of $1.1 \%$ Average annual growth rate of $1.7 \%$
Average annual growth rate of $1.8 \%$ Average annual growth rate of $1.5 \%$ Average annual growth rate of $2.2 \%$
Average annual growth rate of $1.5 \%$ Average annual growth rate of $1.2 \%$ Average annual growth rate of $1.8 \%$

Annual percent changes range between $1.0 \%$ and $4.5 \%$ with an annual growth rate of $1.9 \%$

Annual percent changes range between $-1.4 \%$ and $5.3 \%$ with an annual growth rate of $1.9 \%$
Inflation rate ranges between 1.8\%

$$
\text { and } 2.9 \%
$$

Annual percent changes range between $2.0 \%$ and $8.9 \%$ with an annual growth rate of $3.4 \%$

Annual percent changes range between $-0.2 \%$ and $2.7 \%$ with an annual growth rate of $1.0 \%$
Annual percent changes range between $-2.1 \%$ and $2.8 \%$ with an annual growth rate of $\mathbf{0 . 7 \%}$
Inflation rate ranges between $2.4 \%$
and $4.1 \%$

## and $4.1 \%$

Annual percent changes range between $0.2 \%$ and $4.5 \%$ with an annual growth rate of $1.7 \%$

Annual percent changes range between $2.0 \%$ and $5.8 \%$ with an annual growth rate of $2.8 \%$

Annual percent changes range between $-1.6 \%$ and $6.4 \%$ with an annual growth rate of $2.6 \%$
Inflation rate ranges between 2.3\%
and $2.6 \%$
Annual percent changes range between $1.9 \%$ and $10.7 \%$ with an annual growth rate of $3.8 \%$

Remains between $13.3 \%$ and $18.2 \%$ Remains between $14.5 \%$ and $17.6 \%$ Remains between $13.1 \%$ and $17.3 \%$ Remains between $8.2 \%$ and $11.4 \%$ Remains between $8.9 \%$ and $11.1 \%$ Remains between $8.1 \%$ and $10.9 \%$
Remains between $3.3 \%$ and $4.7 \% \quad$ Remains between $3.6 \%$ and $4.5 \% \quad$ Remains between $3.2 \%$ and $4.4 \%$

Remains between $11.8 \%$ and $14.9 \%$ Remains between $12.6 \%$ and $14.5 \%$ Remains between $11.5 \%$ and $14.3 \%$ Remains between $7.5 \%$ and $9.6 \% \quad$ Remains between $8.0 \%$ and $9.3 \% \quad$ Remains between $7.3 \%$ and $92 \%$

Table A2. Mean absolute percentage errors (MAPEs) by lead time for selected statistics in all public elementary and secondary schools and degree-granting institutions

| Statistics | Lead time (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|  | Public elementary and secondary schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K-12 enrollment | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.7 |
| K-8 enrollment | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 3.8 |
| 9-12 enrollment | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| High school graduates | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 4.4 |
| Elementary and secondary teachers...................................... | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 5.6 |
| Total current expenditures ${ }^{1}$........................................... | 1.5 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 3.2 |
| Current expenditures per pupil in fall enrollment ${ }^{1}$ | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 5.9 |
| Estimated average annual teacher salaries ${ }^{1}$.......................... | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 5.3 | 7.2 | 8.3 | 9.7 | 11.4 | 13.1 |
|  | Degree-granting institutions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total enrollment .............................................................. | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 1.2 | - | - | - |
| Men .................................................................... | 1.3 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.9 | - | - | - |
| Women ................................................................ | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 0.9 | - | - | - |
| 4-year institutions. | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.4 | - | - | - |
| 2-year institutions ....................................................... | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 0.8 | - | - | - |
| Associate's degrees ....................................................... | 2.1 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 5.2 | - | - |
| Bachelor's degrees ........................................................ | 1.1 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 2.7 | - | - |
| Master's degrees | 1.2 | 4.3 | 7.1 | 8.4 | 8.7 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 7.1 | - | - |
| Doctor's degrees ............................................................ | 2.2 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.9 | - | - |
| First-professional degrees .................................. | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 4.4 | 5.4 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 8.0 | - | - |
| Current-fund expenditures in public 4-year institutions ${ }^{1}$............ | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| Current-fund expenditures in dublic 2-vear institutions ' ............ | 1.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 5.3 | 3.2 |

-Not available. Not all actual values were available to calculatea MAPE for this lead time.
${ }^{\prime}$ In constant dollars based on the Consumer Rice Index for all urban consumers. Bureau of Labor Statistics. U.S. Department of Labor.
NOTE: Mean absolute percentage error is the average value of the absolute values of errors expressed in percentage terms. MAPEs for enrollments and high school graduates were calculatedusingthe last 20 editions of Projections of Education Statistcs. MAPES for teachers were calculated from the past 13 editionscontaining teachers pmjections. and MAPEs for current expenditures and teacher salaries were calculated using projections from the last 13 editionscontaining current expenditure and teacher salary pmjections. MAPEs for enrollmentsand earned degrees were calculated using the last 6 and 7 editions respectively. MAPEs for current-fundexpenditureswere calculated
using the last 8 editions of Projections $f$ Education Statistcs that included projections of current-fund expenditures. Calculationswere made using unrounded numbers.
Some data have been revised from previously published numbers
SOURCES: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics. Projections of Education Statistics, various issues
(This table was prepared July 2003.)

## Enrollment

## National

Enrollment projections are based on projected enrollment rates, by age and sex. These enrollment rates were projected by talung into account the most recent trends, as well as the effects of economic conditions and demographic changes. The projected enrollment rates were then used in the Education Forecasting Model (EDMOD), which consists of age-specific rates by sex and by enrollment levels.

Enrollments by age and age groups from the U.S. Census Bureau were adjusted to NCES totals to compute rates for 1972 through 2000. The first stage of EDMOD is an age-specific enrollment model in which these enrollment rates are projected and applied to agespecific population projections from the U.S. Census Bureau. This stage includes all ages for students enrolled in grades K-12 and for students enrolled in postsecondary education. This stage, which is used separately for each sex, consists of the following categories: (1) nursery and kindergarten; (2) elementary grades $1-8$; (3) secondary grades $9-12$; (4) full-time college enrollment; and (5) part-time college enrollment.

At the postsecondary level, projections of full-time and part-time college enrollments were considered only for ages 16 and over. College enrollment is negligible for earlier ages. Full-time and part-time enrollments are modeled separately, with each model run by sex. Within an enrollment category, where applicable, college enrollment rates were projected by individual ages 16 through 24 and for the age groups 25 to $29,30-34$, and 35 years and over. Three alternative projections were made using various economic assumptions. Table A3 shows enrollment rates for 2000 and middle alternative projected enrollment rates for 2008 and 2013. Table A4 shows the equations used to project the enrollments for men by attendance status. Table A5 shows the equations used to project enrollment rates for women by attendance.

## Enrollment in Public Elementary and Secondary Schools, by Grade Group and Organizational Level

The second stage of EDMOD projects public enrollment in elementary and secondary schools by grade group and by organizational level. Public enrollments by age were based on enrollment rate projections for nursery and kindergarten, grade 1 , elementary ungraded and special, secondary ungraded and special, and
postgraduate enrollment. Grade progression rate projections were used for grades 2 through 12. Table A6 shows the public school enrollment rates and table A7 shows the public school grade progression rates for 2001 and projections for 2008 and 2013. The projected rates in tables A6 and A7 were used to compute the projections of enrollments in elementary and secondary schools, by grade, shown in table 1.

## College Enrollment, by Sex, Attendance Status, and Level Enrolled; and by Type and Control of Institution

The third stage of EDMOD projects enrollments in institutions of higher education, by age group, sex, attendance status, and level enrolled by student and by type and control of institution. These projections for 2008 and 2013 are shown in tables A8 and A9, along with actual values for 2000 . For all projections, it was assumed that there was no enrollment in 2-year institutions at the postbaccalaureate level (graduate and first-professional).

The projected rates in tables A8 and A9 were then adjusted to agree with the projected age-specific enrollment rates in the first stage of EDMOD. The adjusted rates were then applied to the projected enrollments by age group, sex, and attendance status from the first stage of EDMOD to obtain projections by age group, sex, attendance status, level enrolled, and type of institution.

For each enrollment category-sex, attendance status, level enrolled, and type of institution-public enrollment was projected as a percent of total enrollment Projections for 2008 and 2013 are shown in table A10, along with actual percents for 2000. The projected rates were then applied to the projected enrollments in each enrollment category to obtain projections by control of institution.

For each category by sex, enrollment level, and type and control of institution, graduate enrollment was projected as a percent of postbaccalaureate enrollment. Actual rates for 2000 and projections for 2008 and 2013 are shown in table A11. The projected rates in table A11 were then applied to projections of postbaccalaureate enrollment to obtain graduate and first-professional enrollment projections by sex, attendance status, and type and control of institution.

## Full-Time-Equivalent Enrollment, by Type and Control of Institution and by Level Enrolled

The fourth stage of EDMOD projects full-time-equivalent enrollment, by type and control of institution and by level enrolled. For each enrollment category by level enrolled and by type and control of institution, the full-time-equivalent of part-time enrollment was projected as a percent of part-time enrollment Actual percents for 2000 and projections for 2008 and 2013 are shown in table A12.

These projected percents were applied to projections of enrollment by level enrolled and by type and control of institution from the third stage of EDMOD. The projections were added to projections of full-time enrollment (from the previous stage) to obtain projections of full-time-equivalent enrollment.

## Projection Accuracy

An analysis of projection errors from the past 20 editions of Projections of Education Statistics indicates that the mean absolute percentage errors (MAPEs) for lead times of $1,2,5$, and 10 years out for projections of public school enrollment in grades $\mathrm{K}-12$ were $0.3,0.5,1.1$, and 2.7 percent, respectively. For the 1 -year-out prediction, this means that one would expect the projection to be within 0.3 percent of the actual value, on the average. For projections of public school enrollment in grades K-8, the MAPEs for lead times of $1,2,5$, and 10 years were $0.3,0.6,1.1$, and 3.8 percent, respectively, while those for projections of public school enrollment in grades 9-12 were $0.6,0.8,1.3$, and 2.8 percent for the same lead times.

For projections of total enrollment in degreegranting institutions, an analysis of projection errors based on the past 6 editions of Projections of Education Statistics indicates that the MAPEs for lead times of 1,2, and 5 years were $1.2,0.8$, and 2.4 percent, respectively. For the 1 -year-out prediction, this means that one would expect the projection to be within 1.2 percent of the actual value, on the average. For more information on mean absolute percentage errors, see table A2, page 79.

## Basic Methodology

The notation and equations that follow describe the basic models used to project public elementary and secondary enrollment

## Public Elementary and Secondary Enrollment

## Let:

i $\quad=$ Subscript denoting age
I $=$ Subscript denoting grade
t $=$ Subscript denoting time
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{r}} \quad=$ Enrollment at the nursery and kindergarten level
$\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{jt}} \quad=$ Enrollment in grade j
Glt = Enrollment in grade 1
E. = Enrollment in elementary special and ungraded programs

St = Enrollment in secondary special and ungraded programs

PG $_{\mathrm{t}} \quad=$ Enrollment in postgraduate programs of those pupils who have graduated from the $12^{\text {th }}$ grade and re-enrolled for additional courses

Pit $=$ Population age i
RK. = Enrollment rate for nursery and kindergarten
$\mathrm{RG}_{\mathrm{t}} \quad=$ Enrollment rate for grade 1
$R E_{r} \quad=$ Enrollment rate for elementary special and ungraded programs

RS, = Enrollment rate for secondary special and ungraded programs
$\mathrm{RPG}_{\mathrm{r}}=$ Enrollment rate for postgraduate programs
$\mathrm{EG}_{\mathbf{t}}=$ Total enrollment in elementary grades (K-8)
$\mathrm{SG}_{\mathrm{t}} \quad=$ Total enrollment in secondary grades (9-12)
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{i} \mathbf{r}} \quad=$ Progression rate for grade $j$ the proportion that enrollment in grade $j$ in year $t$ is of enrollment in grade $\mathrm{j}-1$ in year t-1.

Then:
$E G_{t}=K_{t}+E_{t}+\sum_{j=1}^{B} G_{j t}$
$S G_{t}=S_{t}+P G_{t}+\sum_{j=9}^{12} G_{g t}$
where:
$K_{t}=R K_{t}\left(P_{s t}\right)$
$G_{j t t}=R_{j t}\left(G_{j-1, t-1}\right)$
$E_{t}=R E_{t}\left(\sum_{j=5}^{13} P_{i t}\right)$
$\mathrm{G}_{1 t}=\mathrm{RG}_{i t}\left(\mathrm{P}_{6 \mathrm{t}}\right)$
$S_{t}=R S_{t}\left(\sum_{i=14}^{17} \mathrm{P}_{i t}\right)$

## Higher Education Enrollment

For institutions of higher education, projections were computed separately by sex and attendance status of student The notation and equations are:

## Let:

i = Subscript denoting age except:
$\mathrm{i}=25$ : ages $25-29$
$\mathrm{i}=26$ : ages $30-34$
$i=27$ : ages 35 and over for enrollment
(35-44 for population)
t $=$ Subscript denoting year
1 = Subscript denoting sex
$\mathrm{k} \quad=$ Subscript denoting attendance status
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ijk}} \quad=$ Enrollment of students age i by sex and attendance status

Pi, = Population age i by sex
$R_{\mathrm{jkx}} \quad=$ Enrollment rate for students age i by sex and attendance status

Tijkr $=$ Total enrollment for particular subset of students: full-time men, full-time women, part-time men, part-time women

Then:
$T_{i j k t}=\sum_{i=16}^{27} E_{i j k}$
where:
$E_{i j k t}=R_{i j k t}\left(P_{i j t}\right)$

## Methodological Tables

Tables A13 and A14 give the rates used to calculate projections of enrollments and basic assumptions underlying enrollment projections.

## Private School Enrollment

This edition is the third report that contains projected trends in elementary and secondary enrollment by grade level in private schools produced using the grade progression rate method.

Private school enrollment data from the National Center for Education Statistics Private School Universe Survey for 1989-90,1991-92,1993-94,1995-96,1997-98,1999-2000, and 2001-02 were used to develop these projections. In addition, population estimates for 1989 to 2001 and population projections for 2002 to 2013 from the U.S. Census Bureau were used to develop the projections.

The grade progression rate method was used to project private elementary and secondary school enrollment The grade progression rate method starts with 6 -year-olds entering first grade and then follows their progress through private elementary and secondary schools. The method requires calculating the ratio of the number of children in one year who "survive" the year and enroll in the next grade the following year.

Projections of enrollment in private elementary and secondary schools were developed using primarily the grade progression rate method. Kindergarten and first grade enrollments are based on projected enrollment rates of 5 - and 6 -year-olds. These projected enrollment rates are applied to population projections of 5 - and 6 -year-olds developed by the U.S. Census Bureau.

Enrollments in grades 2 through 12 are based on projected grade progression rates. These projected rates
are then applied to the current enrollment by grade to yield grade-by-grade projections for future years. Enrollment rates of 5 - and 6 -year-olds and grade progression rates are projected using single exponential smoothing. Elementary ungraded and special enrollments and secondary ungraded and special enrollments are projected to remain constant at their 2001 levels. To obtain projections of total enrollment, projections of enrollments for the individual grades (kindergarten through 12) and ungraded and special classes were summed.

The grade progression rate method assumes that past trends in factors affecting private school enrollments will continue over the projection period. This assumption implies that all factors influencing enrollments will display future patterns consistent with past patterns. This method implicitly includes the net effect of such factors as migration, dropouts, deaths, nonpromotion, and transfers to and from public schools.

Mean absolute percentage errors (MAPEs) of the projection accuracy of private school enrollment were not developed because this projection method has been developed only recently and there is not yet enough historical information to evaluate model performance. As additional data becomes available, MAPEs can then be calculated.

## State Level

For the 50 states and the District of Columbia, this edition contains projected trends in elementary and secondary enrollment by grade level in public schools from 2002 to the year 2013. This is the ninth report on state-level projections for public school elementary and secondary education statistics.

Public school enrollment data from the National Center for Education Statistics Common Core of Data survey for 1970 to 2001 were used to develop these projections. In addition, population estimates for 1970 to 2001 and population projections for 2002 to 2013 from the U.S. Census Bureau were used to develop the projections. This survey does not collect enrollment data for private schools.

Table A13 describes the number of years, projection
methods, and smoothing constants used to project enrollments in public schools. Also included in table A13 is the procedure for choosing the different smoothing constants for the time series models.

Projections of enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools by state were developed using primarily the grade progression rate method. Kindergarten and first grade enrollments are based on projected enrollment rates of 5 - and 6 -year-olds. These projected enrollment rates are applied to population projections of 5 - and 6 -year-olds developed by the U.S. Census Bureau.

Enrollments in grades 2 through 12 are based on projected grade progression rates in each state. These projected rates are then applied to the current enrollment by grade to yield grade-by-grade projections for future years. Enrollment rates of 5 - and 6 -year-olds and grade progression rates are projected using single exponential smoothing. Elementary ungraded and special enrollments and secondary ungraded and special enrollments are projected to remain constant at their 2001 levels. To obtain projections of total enrollment, projections of enrollments for the individual grades (kindergarten through 12) and ungraded and special classes were summed.

The grade progression rate method assumes that past trends in factors affecting public school enrollments will continue over the projection period. This assumption implies that all factors influencing enrollments will display future patterns consistent with past patterns. Therefore, this method has limitations when applied to states with unusual changes in migration rates. This method implicitly includes the net effect of such factors as migration, dropouts, deaths, nonpromotion, and transfers to and from private schools.

## Adjustment to National Projections

The sum of the projections of state enrollments was adjusted to equal the national projections of public school $\mathrm{K}-12, \mathrm{~K}-8$, and $9-12$ enrollments shown in table 1. For details on the methods used to develop the national projections for this statistic, see the section on national enrollment projections in this appendix.

Table A3. College enrollment rates. by age. sex. and attendance status. with middle alternative projections: Fall 2000.2008. and 2013

| Age. sex. and attendance status | 2000 | Projected |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2008 | 2013 |
| Men |  |  |  |
| Full-time |  |  |  |
| 16 years old .......................................................... | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 17 years old .......................................................... | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.3 |
| 18 years old ........................................................... | 26.2 | 28.5 | 29.4 |
| 19 years old ......................................................... | 33.3 | 35.4 | 36.3 |
| 20 years old ....................................................... | 29.8 | 32.2 | 33.1 |
| 21 years old ........................................................ | 24.0 | 27.0 | 27.8 |
| 22 years old ...................................................... | 20.9 | 20.7 | 21.4 |
| 23 years old ...................................................... | 13.4 | 15.3 | 15.8 |
| 24 years old ..... | 9.5 | 10.3 | 10.7 |
| 25 to 29 years old .................................................... | 4.2 | 4.6 | 4.8 |
| 30 to 34 years old .................................................... | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| 35 to 44 years old .................................................... | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Part-time |  |  |  |
| 16 years old ........................................................... | \# | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 17 years old ......................................................... | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 18 years old ........................................................ | 6.9 | 5.3 | 5.3 |
| 19 years old ......................................................... | 9.0 | 9.1 | 9.2 |
| 20 years old .......................................................... | 7.5 | 6.7 | 6.7 |
| 21 years old ........................................................... | 6.0 | 7.5 | 7.6 |
| 22 years old ........................................................... | 7.4 | 6.1 | 6.2 |
| 23 years old | 7.1 | 7.3 | 7.4 |
| 24 years old | 9.5 | 7.9 | 8.1 |
| 25 to 29 years old | 4.6 | 5.0 | 5.1 |
| 30 to 34 years old | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| 35 to 44 years old ................................................. | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.6 |
| Women |  |  |  |
| Full-time |  |  |  |
| 16 years old ........................................................... | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 17 years old .......................................................... | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.9 |
| 18 years old .......................................................... | 40.0 | 40.7 | 42.8 |
| 19 years old . | 44.4 | 45.8 | 47.7 |
| 20 years old | 35.8 | 38.8 | 40.8 |
| 21 years old | 30.5 | 32.9 | 34.7 |
| 22 years old | 20.0 | 22.9 | 24.4 |
| 23 years old | 13.8 | 16.5 | 17.7 |
| 24 years old ..... | 10.8 | 12.5 | 13.5 |
| 25 to 29 years old ................................................... | 4.9 | 5.5 | 5.9 |
| 30 to 34 years old | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| 35 to 44 years old ............... | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| Part-time |  |  |  |
| 16 years old ............................................................ | \# | \# | \# |
| 17 years old | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 18 years old ........................................................... | 4.1 | 4.7 | 4.9 |
| 19 years old | 9.2 | 11.2 | 11.4 |
| 20 years old | 8.3 | 9.2 | 9.4 |
| 21 years old | 10.6 | 11.1 | 11.4 |
| 22 years old ........................................................ | 7.6 | 9.4 | 9.7 |
| 23 years old . | 10.7 | 10.6 | 11.1 |
| 24 years old .................. | 9.8 | 10.1 | 10.6 |
| 25 to 29 years old ............ | 6.7 | 7.1 | 7.4 |
| 30 to 34 years old .................................................... | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.5 |
| 35 to 44 years old .................................................... | 6.1 | 6.8 | 7.2 |

\# Rounds to zero.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. Enrollment in Degree-Granting Institutions Model.
(This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table A4. Equations for full-time and part-time college enrollment rates of men

| Independent variable | Coefficient | Standard error | T-statistic | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | D.W. statistic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full-time |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age 17 | -6.07 | 0.14 | -44.9 | 0.99 | 1.5 |
| Age18 | -3.38 | 0.10 | -34.1 |  |  |
| Agel9 | -3.24 | 0.10 | -33.2 |  |  |
| Age20 | -3.37 | 0.10 | -35.4 |  |  |
| Age2 1 | -3.50 | 0.09 | -37.1 |  |  |
| Age22 | -3.96 | 0.10 | -38.4 |  |  |
| Age23 | -4.39 | 0.09 | -46.4 |  |  |
| Age24 | -4.73 | 0.10 | -46.4 |  |  |
| Age25 | -5.50 | 0.10 | -53.4 |  |  |
| Age25-29 | -6.49 | 0.11 | -61.1 |  |  |
| Age35-44 | -7.13 | 0.11 | -66.8 |  |  |
| LNURM | 0.13 | 0.11 | 8.2 |  |  |
| LNCPIMA | 0.40 | 0.02 | 25.3 |  |  |
| Rhol7 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 1.9 |  |  |
| Rhol8 | -0.09 | 0.09 | -1.1 |  |  |
| Rhol9 | 0.23 | 0.10 | 2.3 |  |  |
| Rho20 | 0.26 | 0.16 | 1.6 |  |  |
| Rho21 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.9 |  |  |
| Rho22 | 0.42 | 0.12 | 3.4 |  |  |
| Rho23 | -0.46 | 0.09 | -5.0 |  |  |
| Rho24 | 0.47 | 0.12 | 3.8 |  |  |
| Rho2S-29 | 0.59 | 0.12 | 4.9 |  |  |
| Rho30-34 | 0.62 | 0.14 | 4.5 |  |  |
| Rho35-44 | 0.53 | 0.09 | 5.6 |  |  |
| Part-time |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agel7 | -7.98 | 0.86 | -9.3 | 0.31 | 1.6 |
| Agel8 | -3.91 | 0.27 | -14.4 |  |  |
| Age 19 | -3.44 | 0.47 | -7.4 |  |  |
| Age20 | -3.58 | 0.28 | -13.0 |  |  |
| Age21 | -3.66 | 0.28 | -13.3 |  |  |
| Age22 | -3.52 | 0.28 | -12.6 |  |  |
| Age23 | -3.84 | 0.27 | -14.2 |  |  |
| Age24 | -4.01 | 0.37 | -10.7 |  |  |
| Age25 | -4.12 | 0.28 | -14.8 |  |  |
| Age25-29 | -4.57 | 0.29 | -15.6 |  |  |
| Age35-44 | -4.62 | 0.27 | -17.1 |  |  |
| LNCPIMA | 0.18 | 0.04 | 4.7 |  |  |
| Rhol7 | -0.40 | 0.17 | -2.4 |  |  |
| Rhol8 | -0.21 | 0.10 | -2.0 |  |  |
| Rhol9 | 0.90 | 0.05 | 17.0 |  |  |
| Rho20 | 0.36 | 0.09 | 4.1 |  |  |
| Rho21 | 0.30 | 0.08 | 3.7 |  |  |
| Rho22 | 0.31 | 0.15 | 2.0 |  |  |
| Rho23 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 1.1 |  |  |
| Rho24 | 0.81 | 0.07 | 11.9 |  |  |
| Rho25-29 | 0.57 | 0.12 | 4.7 |  |  |
| Rho30-34 | v.u. | v.1. | 6.3 |  |  |
| Rho35-44 | 0.29 | 0.12 | 2.5 |  |  |

$\mathbf{R}^{2}=$ Coefficient of determination.
D.W. statistc $=$ Durbin-Watson statistic.

Where:
AGE(age) $=$ Enrollment rate by age.
Rho(age) = Autocorrelation coefficient for each age.
LNURM = Log unemployment rate for men.
LNCPIMA $=$ Log of four-period weighted average of per capita real disposable income.
NOTE: The regression method used to estimate the full-time and part-time equations was pooled seeming unrelated regression with fust-order
autocorrelationcorrection. The time period used to estimate the equations is from 1978 to 2001. The number of observations is 253 . For additional information. see M. D. Intriligator, Econometric Models, Techniques, \& Applications, New Jersey: Rentice-Hall Inc., 1978, pp. 165-173.
SOURCE: U.S. Departnent of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Enrollment in Degree-Granting InstitutionsModel.
(This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table A5. Equations for full-time and part-time college enrollment rates of women

| Independent variable | Coefficient | Standard error | T-statistic | $\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | D.W. statistic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full-time |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agel7 | -7.98 | 0.13 | -60.3 | 0.97 | 0.4 |
| Age 18 | -5.36 | 0.10 | -56.1 |  |  |
| Agel9 | -5.32 | 0.09 | -56.5 |  |  |
| Age20 | -5.54 | 0.09 | -59.8 |  |  |
| Age21 | -5.72 | 0.09 | -60.7 |  |  |
| Age22 | -6.41 | 0.16 | -40.0 |  |  |
| Age23 | -6.88 | 0.10 | -66.3 |  |  |
| Age24 | -7.17 | 0.10 | -72.2 |  |  |
| Age25 | -8.00 | 0.09 | -85.2 |  |  |
| Age25-29 | -8.63 | 0.09 | -97.7 |  |  |
| Age3544 | -8.84 | 0.09 | -96.9 |  |  |
| LNURF | 0.17 | 0.01 | 16.9 |  |  |
| LNCPIMA | 0.75 | 0.01 | 50.7 |  |  |
| Rhol7 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.9 |  |  |
| Rhol8 | -0.09 | 0.08 | -1.2 |  |  |
| Rhol9 | -0.43 | 0.08 | -5.6 |  |  |
| Rho20 | -0.57 | 0.10 | -5.6 |  |  |
| Rho21 | -0.05 | 0.09 | -0.6 |  |  |
| Rho22 | 0.77 | 0.07 | 11.4 |  |  |
| Rho23 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.3 |  |  |
| Rho24 | -0.87 | 0.05 | -16.1 |  |  |
| Rho25-29 | -0.12 | 0.04 | -2.9 |  |  |
| Rho30-34 | -0.57 | 0.09 | -6.3 |  |  |
| Rho35-44 | -0.46 | 0.07 | -6.2 |  |  |
| Part-time |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age 17 | -9.31 | 1.42 | -6.6 | 0.74 | 2.3 |
| Age 18 | -5.83 | 0.26 | -22.7 |  |  |
| Age 19 | -5.66 | 0.26 | -21.5 |  |  |
| Age20 | -5.54 | 0.25 | -22.5 |  |  |
| Age2I | 5.65 | 0.25 | -22.6 |  |  |
| Age22 | -5.53 | 0.25 | -22.4 |  |  |
| Age23 | -5.83 | 0.25 | -23.7 |  |  |
| Age24 | -6.02 | 0.26 | -23.5 |  |  |
| Age25 | -5.98 | 0.24 | -24.8 |  |  |
| Age25-29 | -6.25 | 0.25 | -24.8 |  |  |
| Age35-44 | -5.99 | 0.24 | -24.7 |  |  |
| LNURF | 0.21 | 0.03 | 6.2 |  |  |
| LNCPIMA | 0.57 | 0.04 | 14.5 |  |  |
| Rhol7 | 0.74 | 0.12 | 6.0 |  |  |
| Rhol8 | 0.48 | 0.14 | 3.5 |  |  |
| Rhol9 | 0.42 | 0.11 | 3.7 |  |  |
| Rho20 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.6 |  |  |
| Rho21 | 0.21 | 0.08 | 2.5 |  |  |
| Rho22 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 1.5 |  |  |
| Rho23 | -0.14 | 0.10 | -1.4 |  |  |
| Rho24 | 0.54 | 0.10 | 5.5 |  |  |
| Rho25-29 | 0.30 | 0.12 | 2.4 |  |  |
| Rho30-34 | 0.59 | 0.09 | 6.3 |  |  |
| Rho35-44 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 1.5 |  |  |

$\overline{\mathbf{R}^{2}}=$ Coefficient of determination.
D.W. statistc $=$ Durbin-Watson statistic.

Where:
AGE(age) = Enrollment mte by age.
Rho(age) $=$ Autocorrelationcoefficient for each age.
LNURM $=$ Log unemploymentrate for men.
LNCPIMA $=$ Log of four-period weighted average of per capita real disposable income.
NOTE: The regression method used to estimate the full-time and part-timeequations was pooled seeming unrelated regression with first-order autocorrelationcorrection. The time period used to estimate the equations is from 1978 to 2001. The number ofobservations is 253 . For additional information, see M. D. Intriligator, Econometric Models, Techniques, \& Applications, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall Inc., 1978, pp. 165-173.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. Enrollment in Degree-Granting Institutions Model.
(This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table A6. Enrollment rates in public schools, by grade level: Fall 2001,2008, and 2013

| Grade level | Population base age | 2001 | Projected |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2008 | 2013 |
| Kindergarten | 5 | 105.4 | - | - |
| Grade 1 | 6 | 92.3 | - | - |
| Elementary ungraded and special education | 5-13 | 1.1 | - | - |
| Secondary ungraded and special education | 14-17 | 1.0 | - | - |
| -Not available. <br> SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National C (This table was prepared June 2003.) | tistics. National | condary |  |  |

Table A7. Public school grade progression rates: Fall 2001,2008, and 2013

| Grade | 2001 | Projected |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2008 | 2013 |
| 1 to 2 | 98.2 | 98.5 | 98.5 |
| 2 to 3 | 100.3 | 100.4 | 100.4 |
| 3 to 4 | 100.1 | 100.3 | 100.3 |
| 4 to 5 | 100.4 | 100.4 | 100.4 |
| 5 to 6 | 101.3 | 101.5 | 101.5 |
| 6 to 7 | 101.4 | 101.5 | 101.5 |
| 7 to 8 | 99.2 | 99.5 | 99.5 |
| 8 to 9 | 112.9 | 113.2 | 113.2 |
| 91010 | 88.8 | 88.9 | 88.9 |
| 10 to 11 | 89.9 | 90.4 | 90.4 |
| 11 to 12 | 91.9 | 92.3 | 92.3 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. National Elementary and Secondary EnrollmentModel. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table A8. Full-time enrollment, by level enrolled and type of institution. as a percent of total enrollment. for each age and sex classification: Fall 2000.2008. and 2013

| Age | Men |  |  | Women |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000. | 2008 | 2013 | 2000 | 2008 | 2013 |
| Undergraduate. 4-year institutions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 and 17 years old .......................................... | 67.1 | 55.0 | 53.8 | 68.2 | 60.8 | 59.2 |
| 18 and 19 years old .......................................... | 65.3 | 65.5 | 65.6 | 69.1 | 68.4 | 68.3 |
| 20 and 21 years old ......................................... | 79.9 | 77.1 | 76.8 | 79.4 | 78.0 | 78.0 |
| 22 to 24 years old ............................................ | 63.6 | 63.7 | 63.6 | 60.5 | 60.3 | 60.3 |
| 25 to 29 years old ............................................ | 43.0 | 44.4 | 44.3 | 40.7 | 45.0 | 45.1 |
| 30 to 34 years old | 34.2 | 38.4 | 39.0 | 40.8 | 42.1 | 42.3 |
| 35 years and over ........................................... | 35.4 | 34.8 | 34.5 | 41.7 | 40.3 | 40.1 |
| Undergraduate. 2-year institutions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 and 17 years old .......................................... | 31.9 | 43.0 | 44.1 | 31.3 | 37.9 | 39.3 |
| 18 and 19 years old | 34.5 | 33.6 | 33.4 | 30.7 | 30.9 | 30.9 |
| 20 and 21 years old | 18.9 | 20.8 | 21.0 | 19.5 | 19.9 | 19.9 |
| 22 to 24 years old ............................................. | 16.7 | 16.3 | 16.2 | 17.8 | 17.8 | 17.7 |
| 25 to 29 years old | 16.7 | 16.3 | 16.4 | 26.6 | 21.6 | 21.2 |
| 30 to 34 years old | 22.0 | 16.2 | 15.7 | 36.4 | 31.9 | 31.2 |
| 35 years and over ............................................ | 26.5 | 31.1 | 32.4 | 34.5 | 32.3 | 32.5 |
| Postbaccslaureate. 4-year institutions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 and 17 years old .......................................... | 1.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| 18 and 19 years old ........................................... | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 20 and 21 years old ........................................... | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| 22 to 24 years old | 19.6 | 20.0 | 20.2 | 21.7 | 22.0 | 21.9 |
| 25 to 29 years old | 40.3 | 39.3 | 39.3 | 32.7 | 33.4 | 33.7 |
| 30 to 34 years old ............................................ | 43.8 | 45.4 | 45.3 | 22.9 | 26.0 | 26.5 |
| 35 years and over .......................................... | 38.1 | 34.1 | 33.1 | 23.9 | 27.4 | 27.4 |

NOTE: Projections shown for 2008 and 2013 were adjusted to add to 100 percent before computing projections shown in tables 10 through 21.
SOURCE : U.S.Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics Enrollment in Degree-Granting Institutions Model.
(This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table A9. Part-time enrollment. by level enrolled and type of institution. as a percent of total enrollment. for each age and sex classification: Fall 2000.2008. and 2013

| Age | Men |  |  | Women |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 2008 | 2013 | 2000 | 2008 | 2013 |
| Undergraduate. 4-year institutions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 and 17 years old ........................................ | 8.8 | 16.2 | 19.8 | 16.4 | 35.4 | 42.0 |
| 18 and 19 years old ....................................... | 20.5 | 16.4 | 15.7 | 20.6 | 20.3 | 20.1 |
| 20 and 21 years old ....................................... | 26.1 | 31.1 | 31.9 | 27.1 | 27.6 | 27.6 |
| 22 to 24 years old ......................................... | 32.4 | 31.1 | 31.0 | 30.5 | 31.8 | 31.7 |
| 25 to 29 years old ......................................... | 28.8 | 28.1 | 28.1 | 25.9 | 24.2 | 23.9 |
| 30 to 34 years old ....................................... | 26.9 | 23.8 | 23.0 | 25.9 | 24.5 | 24.1 |
| 35 years and over .......................................... | 24.5 | 21.5 | 21.2 | 24.8 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| ( Undergraduate, 2-year institutions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 and 17 years old | 80.6 | 82.7 | 79.8 | 83.5 | 64.2 | 57.7 |
| 18 and 19 years old ....................................... | 79.1 | 82.9 | 83.6 | 79.1 | 79.3 | 79.4 |
| 20 and 21 years old ....................................... | 73.1 | 68.1 | 67.2 | 72.2 | 71.4 | 71.5 |
| 22 to 24 years old ......................................... | 58.4 | 60.4 | 60.5 | 56.9 | 57.6 | 58.0 |
| 25 to 29 years old | 51.2 | 52.8 | 53.0 | 53.7 | 52.5 | 52.6 |
| 30 to 34 years old | 48.9 | 46.3 | 46.1 | 56.3 | 53.9 | 53.8 |
| 35 years and over .......................................... | 48.4 | 52.2 | 52.5 | 52.6 | 53.2 | 53.0 |
| Postbaccalaureate, 4-year institutions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 and 17 years old | 10.6 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 18 and 19 years old ....................................... | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 20 and 21 years old ........................................ | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| 22 to 24 years old .......................................... | 9.2 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 12.6 | 10.6 | 10.3 |
| 25 to 29 years old ......................................... | 20.0 | 19.1 | 18.9 | 20.4 | 23.3 | 23.5 |
| 30 to 34 years old ......................................... | 24.2 | 29.9 | 30.8 | 17.8 | 21.7 | 22.1 |
| 35 years and over ......................................... | 27.2 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 22.6 | 24.2 | 24.4 |

NOTE: Projections shown for 2008 and 2013 were adjusted to add to 100 percent before computing projections shown in tables 10 through $2 \mathbf{1}$.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. Enrollment in Degree-Granting Institutions Model.
(This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table A10. Public college enrollment as a percent of total enrollment, by attendance status, sex, level enrolled, and type ofinstitution: Fall 2000,2008, and 2013

| Enrollment category | Men |  |  | Women |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 2008 | 2013 | 2000 | 2008 | 2013 |
| Full-time, undergraduate, 4-year institutions | 69.3 | 67.7 | 67.6 | 68.2 | 66.7 | 66.6 |
| Part-time, undergraduate, 4-year institutions | 72.4 | 71.4 | 71.4 | 68.7 | 68.2 | 68.2 |
| Full-time, undergraduate, 2-year institutions | 92.0 | 90.2 | 90.0 | 91.1 | 90.7 | 90.7 |
| Part-time, undergraduate, 2-year institutions | 97.8 | 98.9 | 98.9 | 98.1 | 98.7 | 98.8 |
| Full-time, postbaccalaureate: 4-year institutions | 55.3 | 53.2 | 53.0 | 58.1 | 54.9 | 54.6 |
| Part-time, postbaccalaureate, 4-year institutions ......................... | 58.3 | 57.1 | 57.0 | 64.9 | 62.6 | 62.4 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics, Enrollment in Degree-Granting InstitutionsModel.
(This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table All. Graduate enrollment as a percent of total postbaccalaureate enrollment, by sex, attendance status, and type and control of institution: Fall 2000,2008, and 2013

| Enrollment category | Men |  |  | Women |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 2008 | 2013 | 2000 | 2008 | 2013 |
| Full-time, 4-year, public .................................................. | 77.3 | 77.7 | 77.8 | 81.2 | 81.1 | 81.0 |
| Part-time, 4-year, public ................................................... | 98.9 | 98.8 | 98.8 | 99.4 | 99.3 | 99.3 |
| Full-time, 4-year, private ................................................... | 58.6 | 63.3 | 63.7 | 67.7 | 71.9 | 72.2 |
| Part-time, 4-year, private .................................................. | 91.4 | 91.4 | 91.4 | 95.4 | 95.4 | 95.4 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics. Enrollment in Degree-Granting InstitutionsModel.
(This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table A12. Full-time-equivalent of part-time enrollment as a percent of part-time enrollment, by level enrolled and by type and control of institution: Fall 2000,2008, and 2013

| Enrollment category | 2000 | 2008 | 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public, 4-year, undergraduate ............................................. | 40.3 | 40.4 | 40.4 |
| Public, 2-year, undergraduate .............................................. | 33.6 | 33.6 | 33.6 |
| Private. 4-year, undergraduate ............................................. | 39.5 | 39.3 | 39.3 |
| Private, 2-year, undergraduate ........................................... | 39.8 | 39.7 | 39.7 |
| Public, 4-year, graduate ............................................................. | 36.2 | 36.2 | 36.2 |
| Private, 4-year, graduate .................................................. | 38.2 | 38.2 | 38.2 |
| Public, 4-year, first-professional ........................................... | 59.7 | 60.1 | 60.1 |
| Private, 4-year, first-professional ......................................... | 54.5 | 54.6 | 54.6 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics. Enrollment in Degree-Granting InstitutionsModel.
(This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table A13. Number of years, projection methods, and smoothing constants used to project public school enrollments and high school graduates, by state

| Projected state variable | Number of <br> years <br> $(1970-2001)$ | Projection method | Smoothing <br> constant | Basis for smoothing <br> constant |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade progression rates ........................... | 32 | Single exponential smoothing | 0.4 | Empirical research <br> Graduates/grade 12 enrollment $\ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ 32 |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics. State Public Elementary and Secondary Enrollment Model, and State Public High School Graduates Model. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table A14. Enrollment (assumptions)

| Variables | Assumptions | Alternatives | Tables |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Elementary and secondary enrollment | Age-specific enrollment rates will remain constant at levels |  |  |
| consistent with the most recent rates. |  |  |  |$\quad$| Middle |
| :---: |

College enrollment, by age
Full-time men, full-time women, and
Age-specific enrollment rates are a function of dummy
Middle average of real disposable income per capita, and middle alternative log unemployment rate by age group.

Age-specific enrollment rates are a function of dummy variables by age, low alternative log of four-period weighted average of real disposable income per capita, and low alternative log unemployment rate by age group.

Age-specific enrollment rates are a function of dummy High 10-19 variables by age, high altemative $\log$ of four-period weighted average of real disposable income per capita, and high alternative $\log$ unemployment rate by age group.

| Part-time men | Age-specific enrollment rates for men are a function of dummy <br> variables by age and the middle alternative log of four-period <br> weighted average of real disposable income per capita. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age-specific enrollment rates for men are a function of dummy <br> variables by age and the low alternative log of four-period <br> weighted average of real disposable income per capita. | Middle |

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics. National Elementary and Secondary Enrollment Model, State Public Elementary and Secondary EnrollmentModel, and Enrollment in Degree-Granting Institutions Model. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

## High School Graduates

## National

Projections of public high school graduates were developed in the following manner. The number of public high school graduates was expressed as a percent of grade 12 enrollment in public schools for 1972 to 2001. This percent was projected using single exponential smoothing and applied to projections of grade 12 enrollment to yield projections of high school graduates in public schools. (This percent does not make any specific assumptions regarding the dropout rate. The effect of the 12th grade dropout proportion is reflected implicitly in the graduate proportion.) The grade 12 enrollment was projected based on grade progression rates. This percent was assumed to remain constant at levels consistent with the most recent rates. This method assumes that past trends in factors affecting graduation ratios, such as dropouts, migration, and public or private transfers, will continue over the projection period. In addition to student behaviors, the projected number of graduates could be impacted by changes in policies affecting graduation requirements.

The number of private high school graduates was expressed as a percent of grade 12 enrollment in private schools for 1989 to 2001. This percent was projected using single exponential smoothing and applied to projections of grade 12 enrollment to yield projections of high school graduates in private schools. (This percent does not make any specific assumptions regarding the dropout rate. The effect of the 12 th grade dropout proportion is reflected implicitly in the graduate proportion.) The grade 12 enrollment was projected based on grade progression rates. This percent was assumed to remain constant at levels consistent with the most recent rates. This method assumes that past trends in factors affecting graduation ratios, such as dropouts, migration, and public or private transfers, will continue over the projection period. In addition to student behaviors, the projected number of graduates could be impacted by changes in policies affecting graduation requirements.

## Projection Accuracy

An analysis of projections from models used in the past 20 editions of Projections of Education Statistics indicates that the mean absolute percentage errors (MAPEs) for projections of public high school graduates were 0.6 percent for 1 year ahead, 1.0 percent for 2 years ahead, 1.6 percent for 5 years ahead, and 4.4 percent for 10 years ahead. For the 1 -year-ahead prediction, this means that one would expect the projection to be within 0.6 percent of the actual value, on the average. For more information on the mean absolute percentage errors, see table A2, page 79.

## State Level

This edition contains projections of high school graduates from public schools by state from 2002-03 to 2012-13. Public school graduate data from the National Center for Education Statistics' Common Core of Data survey for 1969-70 to 2001-02 were used to develop these projections. This survey does not collect graduate data for private schools.

Projections of public high school graduates by state were developed in the following manner. For each state, the number of public high school graduates was expressed as a percent of grade 12 enrollment in public schools for 1970 to 2001 . This percent was projected using single exponential smoothing and applied to projections of grade 12 enrollment to yield projections of high school graduates in public schools. Projections of grade 12 enrollment were developed based on the grade progression rates discussed in appendix A, Enrollment. This percent was assumed to remain constant at levels consistent with the most recent rates. This method assumes that past trends in factors affecting public high school graduates will continue over the projection period.

## Earned Degrees Conferred

Projections of associate's, bachelor's, master's, doctor's, and first-professional degrees by sex were based on demographic models that relate degree awards to college-age populations and college enrollment by level enrolled and attendance status.

## Associate's Degrees

Associate's degree projections by sex were based on undergraduate enrollment by attendance status in 2-year institutions. Results of the regression analysis used to project associate's degrees by sex are shown in table A15.

## Bachelor's Degrees

Bachelor's degree projections by sex were based on the 18 - to 24 -year-old population and undergraduate enrollment by attendance status in 4-year institutions. Results of the regression analysis used to project bachelor's degrees by sex are shown in table A15.

## Master's Degrees

Master's degree projections by sex were based on full-time graduate enrollment by sex. Results of the regression analysis used to project master's degrees by sex are shown in table A15.

## Doctor's Degrees

Doctor's degree projections for men were based on full-time male graduate enrollment and the unemployment rate. Doctor's degree projections for women were based on the 35 - to 44 -year-old population of women and full-time female graduate enrollment.

The results of the regression analysis used to project doctor's degrees by sex are shown in table A15.

## First-Professional Degrees

First-professional degree projections by sex were based on first-professional enrollment by attendance status in 4-year institutions. Results of the regression analysis used to project first-professional degrees by sex are shown in table A15.

## Methodological Tables

These tables describe equations used to calculate projections (table A15), and basic assumptions underlying projections (table A16).

## Projection Accuracy

An analysis of projection errors from similar models used in the past seven editions of Projections of Education Statistics indicates that mean absolute percentage errors (MAPEs) for associate's degrees were 2.1 percent for 1 year out, 2.4 percent for 2 years out, and 5.1 percent for 5 years out. For the 1-year-out prediction, this means that one would expect the projection to be within 2.1 percent of the actual value, on average. MAPEs for bachelor's degree projections were 1.1 percent for 1 year out, 2.1 percent for 2 years out, and 4.9 percent for 5 years out. MAPEs for master's degrees were 1.2, 4.3, and 8.7, respectively. For doctor's degrees, the MAPEs were 2.2, 3.4, and 2.9 percent, respectively. For first-professional degrees, the MAPEs were 1.5, 1.6, and 5.4 percent,' respectively. For more information on the mean absolute percentage errors, see table A2.

Table A15. Equations for earned degrees conferred

| Dependent Variable |  |  |  | Equation |  |  | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Durbin-Watson statistic' | Estimation technique ${ }^{2}$ | Rho | Time period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Associate's degrees Men | ASSOCM | $=$ | 106,844 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 56.6UGFT2M } \\ & (1.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { + 39.2UGPT2M } \\ & (2.2) \end{aligned}$ | 0.83 | 1.6 | AR1 | $\begin{gathered} 0.73 \\ (4.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1970-71 \text { to } \\ 2000-01 \end{array}$ |
| Associate's degrees Women | ASSOCW | $=$ | 93,045 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 180.бUGFT2W } \\ & (5.9) \end{aligned}$ |  | 0.99 | 1.4 | ARI | $\begin{array}{r} 0.98 \\ (47.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1970-71 \text { to } \\ 2000-01 \end{array}$ |
| Bachelor's degrees Men | BACHM | $=$ | 218,515 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10.4 \mathrm{P} 1824 \mathrm{M} \\ & (-3.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +180.6 \text { UGFT4M } \\ & (6.4) \end{aligned}$ | 0.89 | 1.7 | AR1 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.64 \\ (4.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1970-71 \text { to } \\ 2000-01 \end{array}$ |
| Bachelor's degrees Women | BACHW | $=$ | 190,194 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15.7 \mathrm{P} 1824 \mathrm{~W} \\ & (-2.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +246.8 \text { UGFT4W } \\ & (17.4) \end{aligned}$ | 0.99 | 1.2 | ARI | $\begin{aligned} & 0.81 \\ & (6.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1970-71 \text { to } \\ 2000-01 \end{array}$ |
| Master's degrees Men | MASTM | $=$ | 35,513 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 405.9 \mathrm{GFTM} \\ & (5.4) \end{aligned}$ |  | 0.95 | 1.3 | ARI | $\begin{array}{r} 0.90 \\ (12.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1970-71 \text { to } \\ 2000-01 \end{array}$ |
| Master's degrees Women | MASTW | $=$ | 36,718 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S44.3GFTW } \\ & (15.3) \end{aligned}$ |  | 0.99 | 1.1 | ARI | $\begin{array}{r} 0.92 \\ (14.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1972-73 \text { to } \\ 2000-01 \end{array}$ |
| Doctor's degrees Men | DOCM | $=$ | 19,749 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19.3GFTM1 } \\ & (1.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} - \text { 12.8RUC } \\ (-0.9) \end{gathered}$ | 0.89 | 1.1 | ARI | $\begin{array}{r} 0.96 \\ (21.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1970-71 \text { to } \\ 2000-01 \end{array}$ |
| Doctor's degrees Women | DOCW |  | - 1,582 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4 \mathrm{P} 3544 \mathrm{~W} \\ & (2.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +31.2 \mathrm{GFTW} \\ & (5.4) \end{aligned}$ | 0.99 | 2.2 | ARI | $\begin{array}{r} 0.72 \\ (3.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1972-73 \text { to } \\ 2000-01 \end{array}$ |
| First-professional degrees Men | FPROM | $=$ | 10,292 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 228.7PFTM } \\ & (7.0) \end{aligned}$ |  | 0.88 | 1.9 | ARI | $\begin{array}{r} 0.51 \\ (2.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1970-71 \text { to } \\ 2000-01 \end{array}$ |
| First-professional degrees Women | FPROW |  | - 1,156 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 284.2FPFTW } \\ & (24.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +227.1 \text { FPPTW } \\ & (2.2) \end{aligned}$ | 0.99 | 1.5 | OLS | $\dagger$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1971-72 \text { to } \\ 2000-01 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

$\dagger$ Not applicable.
${ }^{1}$ For an explanation of the Durbin-Watson statistic. see J. Johnston,Econometric Melhods. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1972, pages 251-252.
'ARI indicates an estimation procedure for correcting the problem of first-order autocorrelation. OLS indicates Ordinary Least Squares. For a general discussion of the problem of autocorrelation, and the method used to forecast in the presence of autocorrelation, see G. Judge. W. Hill, R. Griffiths, H. Lutkepohl, and T. Lee. The Theory and Practice of Econometrics, New York: John Wiley and Sons. 1985. pages 315-318.
Where:
ASSOCM = Number of associate's degrees awarded to men
ASSOCW = Number of associate's degrees awarded to women
BACHM = Number of bachelor's degress awarded to men
BACHW = Number of bachelor's degress awarded to women
MASTM = Number of master's degrees awarded to men
MASTW = Number ofmaster's degrees awarded to women
DOCM = Number of doctor's degress awarded to men
DOCW =Number of doctor's degress awarded to women
FPROM = Number of first-professionaldegrees awarded to mer
FPROW = Number of first-professionaldegrees awarded to women
UGFT2M $=$ Full-time male undergraduate enrollment in 2-year institutions, lagged 2 years, in thousands
UGPT2M =Part-time male undergraduate enrollment in 2-year institutions, lagged 2 years, in thousands
UGFT2W =Full-time female undergraduate enrollment in 2-year institutions, lagged 2 years, in thousands
P1824M $=$ Population of 18 - to 24 -year-old men, in thousands
PI 824W =Population of 18 - to 24 -year-old women, in thousands
UGFT4M = Full-time male undergraduate enrollment in 4-year institutions. lagged 2 years, in thousands
UGFT4W = Full-time female undergraduate enrollment in 4-year institutions, lagged 3 years. in thousands
GFTM $=$ Full-time male graduate enrollment, in thousand
GFTW =Full-time female graduate enrollment. in thousand
P3544W -Population of 35- to 44-year-old women, in thousands
GFT $=$ Full-time male graduate In in jer ir in thousand
GFT = Full-time female graduate enrollment, in thousand
RUC $=$ Unemployment rate
FPFTM = Full-time male first-professionalenrollment lagged 2 years, in thousands
FPFTW =Full-time female first-professionalenrollment lagged I year, in thousands
FPPTW = Part-time female first-professionalenrollment lagged 2 years, in thousands
NOTE: $R^{2}$ indicates the coefficient of determination. Rho measures the correlation between errors in time period $t$ and time period $t$ minus I. Numbers in parentheses are 1-statistics.
SOURCE: U.S. Departmentof Education. National Center for EducationStatistics, Earned Degrees Conferred Model.
(This table was prepared July 2003.)

Table A16. Earned degrees conferred (assumptions)

| Variables | Assumptions | Alternative | Table |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Associate's degrees |  |  |  |
| Men | The number of associate's degrees awarded to men is a linear function of full- and part-time male undergraduate enrollment in 2-year institutions lagged 2 years. This relationship will continue through 2012-13. | Middle | 26 |
| Women | The number of associate's degrees awarded to women is a linear function of full-time female undergraduate enrollment in 2-year institutions lagged 2 years. This relationship will continue through 2012-13. | Middle | 26 |
| Bachelor's degrees |  |  |  |
| Men | The number of bachelor's degrees awarded to men is a linear function of full-time male undergraduate enrollment in 4-year institutions lagged 2 years and the male 18 - to 24 -year-old population. This relationship will continue through 2012-13. | Middle | 27 |
| Women | The number of bachelor's degrees awarded to women is a linear function of full-time female undergraduate enrollment in 4-year institutions lagged 3 years and the female 18 - to 24 -year-old population. This relationship will continue through 2012-13. | Middle | 27 |
| Master's degrees |  |  |  |
| Men | The number of master's degrees awarded to men is a linear function of full-time male graduate enrollment. This relationship will continue through 2012-13. | Middle | 28 |
| Women | The number of master's degrees awarded to women is a linear function of full-time female graduate enrollment. This relationship will continue through 2012-13. | Middle | 28 |
| Doctor's degrees |  |  |  |
| Men | The number of doctor's degrees awarded to men is a linear function of full-time male graduate enrollment lagged one year and the unemployment rate. This relationship will continue through 2012-13. | Middle | 29 |
| Women | The number of doctor's degrees awarded to women is a Linear function of the 35 - to 44 -year-old population and full-time female graduate enrollment This relationship will continue through 2012-13. | Middle | 29 |
| First-professional degrees |  |  |  |
| Men | The number of first-professional degrees awarded to men is a linear function of full-time male fust-professional enrollment lagged 2 years. This relationship will continue through 2012-13. | Middle | 30 |
| Women | The number of fust-professional degrees awarded to women is a linear function of full-time female fust-professional enrollment lagged 1 year and part-time female first-professional enrollment lagged 2 years. This relationship will continue through 2012-13. | Middle | 30 |

[^12](This table was prepared July 2003.)

# Elementary and Secondary Teachers 

## Public Elementary and Secondary Teachers

The number of public elementary and secondary teachers was projected separately for the elementary and secondary levels. The elementary teachers were modeled as a function of local education revenue receipts from state sources per capita and elementary enrollment Secondary teachers were modeled as a function of local education revenue receipts from state sources per capita (lagged 3 years) and secondary enrolment Local education revenue receipts from state sources were in constant 1982-84 dollars.

The equations in this section should be viewed as forecasting rather than structural equations, as the limitations of time and available data precluded the building of a large-scale, structural teacher model. The particular equations shown were selected on the basis of their statistical properties, such as coefficients of determination $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2} \mathrm{~s}\right)$, the t -statistics of the coefficients, the Durbin-Watson statistic, and residual plots.

The multiple regression technique will yield good forecasting results only if the relationships that existed among the variables in the past continue throughout the projection period.

The public elementary teacher model is:
ELTCH $=b_{0}+b_{1}$ SGRANT $+b_{2}$ ELENR

## where:

ELTCH is the number of public elementary teachers.

SGRANT is the level of education revenue receipts from state sources per capita in constant 1982-84 dollars; and

ELENR is the number of students enrolled in public elementary schools.

Each variable affects the number of teachers in the expected way. As the state spends more money on education and as enrollment increases, the number of elementary teachers hired increases.

The public secondary teacher model is:

$$
\text { SCTCH }=b_{0}+b_{1} \text { SGRANT } 3+b_{2} \text { SCENR }
$$

## where:

SCTCH is the number of public secondary teachers;

SGRANT3 is the level of education revenue receipts from state sources per capita in constant 1982-84 dollars, lagged 3 years; and

SCENR is the number of students enrolled in public secondary schools.

Each variable affects the number of teachers in the expected way. As the state spends more money on education and as enrollment increases, the number of secondary teachers hired increases.

Table A17 summarizes the results for the elementary and secondary public teacher models.

Enrollment is by organizational level, not by grade level. Thus, secondary enrollment is not the same as grade 9-12 enrollment because some states count some grade 7 and 8 enrollment as secondary. Therefore, the distribution of the number of teachers is also by organizationallevel, not by grade span.

## Private Elementary and Secondary Teachers

Projections of private elementary and secondary teachers were derived in the following manner. For 1960 to 2000, the ratio of private school teachers to public school teachers was calculated by organizational level. These ratios were projected using single exponential smoothing, yielding a constant value over the projection period. This constant value was then applied to projections of public school teachers by organizational level to yield projections of private school teachers. This method assumes that the future pattern in the trend of private school teachers will be the same as that for public school teachers. The reader is cautioned that a number of factors could alter the assumption of constant ratios over the projection period.

The total number of public school teachers, enrollment by organizational level, and education revenue receipts from state sources used in these projections were from the Common Core of Data (CCD) survey conducted by NCES. The proportion of public school teachers by organizational level was taken from the National Education Association and then applied to the total number of teachers from CCD to produce the number of teachers by organizational level.

## Projection Accuracy

An analysis of projection errors from the past 13 editions of Projections of Education Statistics indicated that the mean absolute percentage errors (MAPEs) for projections of classroom teachers in public elementary
and secondary schools were 1.7 percent for 1 year out, 2.1 percent for 2 years out, 2.6 percent for 5 years out, and 5.6 percent for 10 years out For the 2 -year-ahead prediction, this means that one would expect the projection to be within 2.1 percent of the actual value, on average. For more information on the mean absolute percentage errors, see table A2.

Table A17. Equations for public elementary and secondary teachers

| Dependent <br> Variable | Equation |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | Durbin-Watson statistic' | Estimation technique ${ }^{2}$ | Rho | Time period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary | ELTCH | = | 91.8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { +1.8SGRANT } \\ & (6.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.03 \text { ELENR } \\ & (3.7) \end{aligned}$ | 0.99 | 1.7 | AR1 | $\begin{gathered} 0.99 \\ (56.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1960 \text { to } \\ 2001 \end{array}$ |
| Secondary | SCTCH | $=$ | 75.7 | $\begin{aligned} & +1.5 \text { SGRANT3 } \\ & (14.7) \end{aligned}$ | $+\underset{(7.6)}{0.03 \text { SCENR }}$ | 0.97 | 1.7 | ARI | $\begin{array}{r} 0.66 \\ (5.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1965 \text { to } \\ 2001 \end{array}$ |

${ }^{7}$ Foran explanation of the Durbin-Watson statistic, see J. Johnston,Econometric Methods, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1972, pages 251-252.
${ }^{2}$ AR1 indicates an estimation procedure for correcting the problem of first-order autocorrelation. For a general discussion of the problem of autocorrelation, and the method used to forecast in the presence of autocorrelation, see G. Judge, W. Hill. R. Griffiths, H. Lutkepohl, and T. Lee, The Theory ond Practice of Econometrics. New York: John Wiley and Sons. 1985, pages 315-318.
Where:
ELTCH =Number of public Elementary classroom teachers, in thousands
SCTCH = Number of public secondary classroom teachers, in thousands
SGRANT = Education revenue receipts from state sources per capita
SGRANT3 = Education revenue receipts from state sources per capita lagged 3 year
ELENR = Number of students enrolled in public elementary schools. in thousands
SCENR = Number of students enrolled in public secondary schools, in thousands
NOTE: $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ indicates the coefficient of deternination. Rho measures the correlation between errors in time period $t$ and time period $t$ minus I. Numbers in parentheses are 1-statistics.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics. Elementary and Secondary Teacher Model.
(This table was prepared July 2003.)

# Expenditures of Public Elementary and Secondary Schools 

Econometric techniques were used to produce the projections for current expenditures and average teacher salaries. The equations in this chapter should be viewed as forecasting equations rather than structural equations. The particular equations shown were selected on the basis of their statistical properties, such as coefficients of determination $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2} \mathrm{~s}\right)$, the t statistics of the variables, the Durbin-Watson statistic, and residual plots. These econometric models will yield good forecasting results only if the relationships that existed among the variables in the past continue throughout the projection period.

## Elementary and Secondary School Current Expenditure Model

There is a large body of work, both theoretical and empirical, on the demand for local public services such as educacion. ${ }^{1}$ The elementary and secondary school current expenditure model is based on this work.

The model that is the basis for the elementary and secondary school current expenditure model has been called the median voter model. In brief, the theory states that spending for each public good in the community (in this case, spending for education) reflects the preferences of the "median voter" in the community. This individual is identified as the voter in the community with the median income and median property value. Hence, the amount of spending in the community reflects the price of education facing the voter with the median income, as well as his income and tastes. There are competing models in which the level of spending reflects the choices of others in the community, such as the "bureaucrats." The median voter model was chosen as the basis of the elementary and secondary school current expenditure model as it has been the one most thoroughly studied.

There have been many empirical studies of the demand for education expenditures using the median voter model. In most instances, researchers have used cross-sectional data. The elementary and secondary school current expenditure model was built on the knowledge gained from these cross-sectional studies and was adapted from them for use in a time series study.

[^13]In a median voter model, the demand for education expenditures is typically linked to four different types of variables: (1) measures of the income of the median voter; (2) measures of intergovernmental aid for education going indirectly to the median voter; (3) measures of the price to the median voter of providing one more dollar of education expenditures per pupil; and (4) any other variables that may affect one's tastes for education. The elementary and secondary school current expenditure model contains variables reflecting the fist three types of variables. The model is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln (\text { CUREXP })= & b_{0}+b_{1} \ln (\mathrm{PCI})+b_{2} \ln (\mathrm{SGRNT}) \\
& +\mathrm{b}_{3} \ln (\mathrm{ENRPOP})
\end{aligned}
$$

## where:

In indicates the natural log;

CUREXP equals current expenditures of public elementary and secondary schools per pupil in fall enrollment in constant 1982-84 dollars;

PCI equals disposable income per capita in constant 1996 dollars;

SGRNT equals local governments' education revenue receipts from state sources, per capita, in constant year 1982-84 dollars; and

ENRPOP equals the ratio of fall enrollment to the population.

The model was estimated using the AR1 model for correcting for autocorrelation. This is the 10th edition of Projections of Education Statistics in which this method of estimation, rather than OLS, was used. Ordinary least squares had been used in the prior four editions of Projections of Education Statistics. The model was estimated using the period from 1967-68 to 2000-01.

There are potential problems with using a model for local government education expenditures for the nation as a whole. Two such problems concern the variable SGRNT. First, the amount of money which local governments receive for education from state governments varies substantially by state. Second, the formulas used to apportion state moneys for education among local governments vary by state.

Beginning in 1988-89, there was a major change in the survey form used to collect data on current
expenditures. This new survey form produces a more complete measure of current expenditures; therefore, the values for current expenditures are not completely comparable to the previously collected numbers. In a crosswalk study, data for a majority of states were also collected for 1986-87 and 1987-88 that were comparable to data from the new survey form. A comparison of these data with those from the old survey form suggests that the use of the new survey form may have increased the national figure for current expenditures by approximately 1.4 percent over what it would have been if the survey form had not been changed. When the model was estimated, all values for current expenditures before 1988-89 were increased by 1.4 percent.

The results for the model are shown in table A18. Each variable affects current expenditures in the direction that would be expected. With high levels of income (PCI) or revenue receipts from state source (SGRNT), the level of spending increases. As the number of pupils increases relative to the population (that is, as ENRPOP increases), the level of spending per pupil falls.

From the cross-sectional studies of the demand for education expenditures, we have an estimate of how sensitive current expenditures are to changes in PCI and ENRPOP. We can compare the results from this model with those from the cross-sectional studies. For this model, an increase in PCI of 1 percent, with SGRNT and ENRPOP held constant, would result in an increase of current expenditures per pupil in fall enrollment of approximately .64 percent. With PCI and SGRNT held constant, an increase of 1 percent in ENRPOP would result in a decrease in current expenditures per pupil in fall enrollment of approximately .36 percent Both numbers are well within the range of what has been found in crosssectional studies.

The results from this model are not completely comparable with those from the previous editions of Projections of Education Statistics, with the exception of Projections of Education Statistics to 2011.2 First, in those earlier editions, average daily attendance, rather than fall enrollment, was used as the measure of enrollment in current expenditure per pupil and the ratio of enrollment to population variables. This change was made because the definitions of fall enrollment are more consistent from state to state than those of average daily attendance. Second, in those earlier editions, the sample period used to estimate the model began with 1959-60 rather than 1967-68. This change was made due to superior model diagnostics.

There have been other changes to the model used in earlier editions. As with the previous three editions with current expenditure projections, the population

[^14]number for each school year is the U.S. Census Bureau's July 1 population number for the upcoming school year. In earlier editions, the school year population number were from an economic consulting firm. These changes were made to be consistent with population projections used in producing other projections of education statistics. Also, there have been changes in the definition of the disposable income.

Projections for total current expenditures were made by multiplying the projections for current expenditures per pupil in fall enrollment by projections for fall enrollment. The projections for total current expenditures were also divided by projections for average daily attendance to produce projections of current expenditures per pupil in average daily attendance to provide projections that are consistent with those from earlier years. Projections were developed in 1982-84 dollars and then placed in 2001-02 dollars using the Consumer Price Index. Current-dollar projections were produced by multiplying the constant-dollar projections by projections for the Consumer Price Index. The Consumer Price Index and the other economic variables used in calculating the projections presented in this report were placed in school year terms rather than calendar year terms.

Three alternative sets of projections for current expenditures are presented: the middle alternative projections, the low alternative projections, and the high alternative projections. The alternative sets of projections differ because of varying assumptions about the growth paths for disposable income and revenue receipts from state sources.

The alternative sets of projections for the economic variables, including disposable income, were developed using three economic scenarios prepared by the economic consulting firm Global Insight, Inc.

Global Insight's February 2003 trend scenario was used as a base for the middle alternative projections of the economic variables. Global Insight's trend scenario depicts a mean of possible paths that the economy could take over the forecast period, barring major shocks. The economy, in this scenario, evolves smoothly, without major fluctuations.

Global Insight's February 2003 pessimistic scenario was used for the low alternative projections and Global Insight's February 2003 optimistic scenario was used for the high alternative projections.

In the middle alternative projections, disposable income per capita rises each year from 2003-04 to 2012-13 at rates between 1.0 percent and 4.5 percent. In the low alternative projections, disposable income per capita ranges between -0.2 percent and 2.7 percent, and in the high alternative projections, disposable income per capita rises at rates between 2.0 percent and 5.8 percent.

The alternative projections for revenue receipts from state sources were produced using the following model:

```
\(\ln (\) SGRNT \()=b_{0}+b_{1} \ln\) (PERTAX1)
    \(+b_{2} \ln\) (ENRPOP)
    \(+b_{3} \ln\) (RCPIANN/RCPIANN1)
```

where:
In indicates the natural log;
SGRNT equals local governments' education revenue receipts from state sources, per capita, in constant 1982-84 dollars;

PERTAX1 equals personal taxes and nontax receipts to state and local governments, per capita, in constant 1982-84 dollars lagged one period;

ENRPOP equals the ratio of fall enrollment to the population;

RCPIANN equals the inflation rate measured by the Consumer Price Index; and

RCPIANN1 equals the inflation rate measured by the Consumer Price Index lagged 1 period.

This equation was estimated using the AR1 model for correcting for autocorrelation. The model was estimated using the period from 1967-68 to 2000-01. These models are shown in table A18.

The values of the coefficients in this model follow expectations. As state governments receive more revenue (higher PERTAX1), they have more money to send to local governments for education. As the enrollment increases relative to the population (higher ENRPOP), so does the amount of aid going to education. Finally, the real dollar values of revenue receipts from state governments to local governments would fall, other things being equal, in years with rapidly increasing inflation (higher RCPIANN/RCPIANN1).

The model used in Projections of Education Statistics to 2011 , was identical to the model used for this edition. The models used for the five editions of Projections of Education Statistics before that were identical to that used in this edition except that average daily attendance was used rather than fall enrollment, as the measure of enrollment and the sample period used to produce the forecast began in 1959-60 rather than 1967-68. As with the current expenditures model, the change to fall enrollment was done because the definition of fall enrollment is more consistent across states and the change in sample period was done because of superior model diagnostics. The model used in Projections of Education Statistics to 2006 was
similar to the model used in those more recent editions except that it contained a second measure of state and local government revenue. In earlier editions, similar models were used except the variables were not in $\log$ form. Both of these changes were made because of superior model diagnostics.

Three alternative sets of projections for SGRNT were produced using this model. Each is based on a different set of projections for personal taxes and the rate of change in the inflation rate. The middle set of projections was produced using the values from the middle set of alternative projections. The low set of projections was produced using the values from the low set of alternative projections, and the high set of projections was produced using the values from the high set of alternative projections. In the middle set of projections, personal taxes and nontax receipts increase at rates between 2.0 percent and 8.9 percent. In the low set of projections, personal taxes and nontax receipts increase at rates between 0.2 percent and 4.5 percent. In the high set of projections, personal taxes and nontax receipts increase at rates between 1.9 percent and 10.7 percent.

In the middle set of projections, revenue receipts from state sources increase at rates between -1.4 percent and 5.3 percent for the period from 2003-04 to 2012-13. In the low set of projections, they increase at rates between -2.1 percent and 2.8 percent. In the high set of projections, they increase at rates between -1.6 percent and 6.4 percent.

## Elementary and Secondary Teacher Salary Model

Most studies conducted on teacher salaries, like those on current expenditures, have used crosssectional data. Unlike current expenditures models, however, the models for teacher salaries from these existing cross-sectional studies cannot easily be reformulated for use with time series data. One problem is that we do not have sufficient information concerning the supply of qualified teachers who are not presently teaching. Instead, the elementary and secondary salary model contains terms that measure the demand for teachers in the economy.

The elementary and secondary teacher salary model is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln (\text { SALRY })= & b_{0}+b_{1} \ln (\text { CUREXP })+b_{2} \ln (\text { ENRPOP }) \\
& +b_{3} \ln (\text { ENR1/ENR2) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## where:

In indicates the natural log;
SALRY equals the estimated average annual salary of
teachers in public elementary and secondary schools in constant 1982-84 dollars;

CUREXP equals current expenditures of public elementary and secondary schools per pupil in fall enrollment in constant 1982-84 dollars;

ENRPOP equals the ratio of fall enrollment to the population;

ENR1 equals fall enrollment lagged 1 period; and
ENR2 equals fall enrollment lagged 2 periods.
The model was estimated using the period from 1969-70 to 2000-01. The AR1 model for correcting for autocorrelation was used since the Durbin-Watson statistic was in the inconclusive region when the model was estimated using OLS.

Due to the effects on current expenditures caused by the change in survey forms discussed above, the values for current expenditures for 1969-70 to 198788 were increased by 1.4 percent when the salary model was estimated. The coefficients of the salary model are different than if the unadjusted numbers for current expenditures had been used and hence the forecasts are different.

The equations and results for this model are also shown in table A18. There is no literature for comparing the sizes of the coefficients. However, the direction of the impact each variable has on salaries is as expected: as the level of spending per pupil increases (higher CUREXP), more teachers can be hired, so demand for teachers increases and salaries may increase; as the number of students increases (higher ENRPOP and ENR1/ENR2), demand for teachers may increase, so salaries may increase.

The model used in Projections \& Education Statistics to 2011, was identical to the model used for this edition. The models used for the five editions of Projections of Education Statistics before that were identical to that used in this edition except that average daily attendance was used rather than fall enrollment, as the measure of enrollment, and the sample period used to produce the forecast began in 1959-60 rather than 1969-70. In the eight earlier editions, similar models were used except the variables were not in $\log$ form. As with the current expenditures model, the change to fall enrollment was done because the definition of fall enrollment is more consistent across states. The other two changes were made because of superior model diagnostics.

As with current expenditures, three different scenarios are presented for teacher salaries. The same projections for ENRPOP and ENR are used for each alternative projection; the sole difference between the projections is in the projection for current expenditures. The middle alternative projection for
salaries uses the middle alternative projection for current expenditures. The low alternative projection for salaries uses the low alternative projection for current expenditures. The high alternative projection for salaries uses the high alternative projection for current expenditures.

Current expenditures, average teacher salaries, and the number of teachers are interrelated; analysis was conducted to see whether the projections of these three time series were consistent.

The number of teachers was multiplied by the average salary and then divided by current expenditures for every school year from 1987-88 until 2012-13 (using the middle alternative projection for teachers, salaries, and current expenditures). The resulting value shows the portion of current expenditures that. is spent on teacher salaries. The portion of current expenditures that goes toward teacher salaries has been in a slow downward trend, with the teacher salary share falling from 41 percent in 1987-88 to 37 percent in 2000-01. With the projected values, the portion of current expenditures that goes toward teacher salaries continues to fall slowly, falling to 33 percent in 2012-13. The results of this analysis indicate that the projections of these three time series are consistent.

## Projection Accuracy

Thirteen out of the last 14 editions of Projections of Education Statistics contained projections of current expenditures and teacher salaries. The actual values of current expenditures and teacher salaries can be compared with the projected values in the previous editions to examine the accuracy of the models.

The projections from the various editions of Projections of Education Statistics were placed in 1981-82 dollars using the Consumer Price Indices that appeared in each edition.

In most of the earlier editions of Projections of Education Statistics, average daily attendance rather than fall enrollment was used as the measure of enrollment in the calculation of the current expenditure per pupil projection. However, projections of current expenditures per fall enrollment were presented in most of these earlier editions, and projections of fall enrollment were presented in all- of these earlier editions. Hence, the projected values of both current expenditures per pupil in fall enrollment and current expenditures per pupil in average daily attendance can be compared to their respective actual values.

Similar sets of independent variables have been used in the production of the current expenditure projections presented in the last 11 editions of Projections of Education Statistics, including this one. There have been some differences in the construction of the variables, however. First, as noted, average
daily attendance was used in most of the previous editions rather than fall enrollment. Second, in Projections of Education Statistics to 1997-98, calendar year data were used for disposable income, the population, and the Consumer Price Index. With the later editions, school year data were used. Third, there have been two revisions in the disposable income time series, the first affecting the Projections of Education Statistics to 2004 and the second, Projections of Education Statistics to 2007. Fourth, in the more recent editions, including this one, the U.S. Bureau of the Census's July 1 number for the population has been used. In the earlier editions, an average of the quarterly values was used. Fifth, in the more recent editions, the U.S. Bureau of the Census's population projections have been used. In the earlier edttions, the population projections came from an economic consulting firm.

There has also been a change in the estimation procedure. In the more recent editions, the AR1 model for correcting for autocorrelation was used to estimate the model. In the earlier editions, ordinary least squares was used to estimate the model.

Several commonly used statistics can be used to evaluate projections. The values for one of these, the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE), are presented in table A2. MAPEs of expenditure projections are presented for total current expenditures, current expenditures per pupil in fall enrollment, current expendttures per pupil in average daily attendance, and teacher salaries.

To calculate the MAPEs presented in table A2, the projections of each variable were first grouped by lead time, that is: all the projections of each variable that were a given number of years from the last year in the sample period were grouped together. Next, the percent differences between each projection and its actual value were calculated. Finally, for each variable, the mean of the absolute values of the percent differences were calculated, with a separate average for each lead time. These means are the MAPEs. Table A2 contains a series of MAPEs for each dependent variable, with a different MAPE for each lead time.

For some editions of the Projections of Education Statistics, the first projection to be listed did not have a lead time of 1 year. For example, in Projections of Education Statistics to 2002, the first projection to appear was for 1990-91. This projection was calculated using a sample period ending in 1988-89, so it had a lead time of 2 years. The value that appeared for 19891990 was from NCES Early Estimates. Only those projections that appeared in an edition of Projections of Education Statistics were used in this evaluation.

Projections for teacher salaries also appeared in the 13 of the last 14 edttions of Projections of Education Statistics. In these earlier editions, average daily attendance rather than fall enrollment was used as the measure of enrollment. Also, beginning with Projections of Education Statistics to 2006, there was one major
change in the model used for teacher salary projections; all the variables were placed in $\log$ form. With this change in functional form, there was also a change in the way the change in enrollment was measured. In the most recent editions, the change in enrollment was measured by taking the ratio of the enrollment (previously average daily attendance) lagged one period to the enrollment lagged two periods. In the previous three editions of Projections of Education Statistics, the change in enrollment was measured by the change from the previous year in enrollment lagged one period. In Projections of Education Statistics to 1997-98, Projections of Education Statistics to 2000, and Projections of Education Statistics to 2001, both the change in average daily attendance lagged one period and the change in average daily attendance lagged two periods were included in the model.

There was another difference between the model used to produce the teacher salary projections in Projections of Education Statistics to 1997-98 and those used in the later editions, including this one: in Projections of Education Statistics to 1997-98, variables in the model were calculated using calendar year data for the population and the Consumer Price Index rather than school year data as in previous editions.

## Sources of Past and Projected Data

Numbers from several different sources were used to produce these projections. In some instances, the time series used were made by either combining numbers from various sources or manipulating the available numbers. The sources and the methods of manipulation are described here.

The time series used for current expenditures was compiled from several different sources. For the school years ending in even numbers from 1967-68 to 1975-76, the numbers for current expenditures were taken from various issues of Statistics of State School Systems, published by NCES. The numbers for the school years ending in odd numbers during the 1960s were taken from various issues of the National Education Association's Estimates of School Statistics. For the school years ending in odd numbers during the 1970 s, up to and including 1976-77, the numbers were taken from various issues of Revenues and Expenditures for Public Elementary and Secondary Education, published by NCES. For the school years from 197778 until 2000-01, the numbers were taken from the NCES Common Core of Data survey and unpublished data.

For 1974-75 and 1976-77, expenditures for summer schools were subtracted from the published figures for current expenditures. The value for $1972-$ 73 was the sum of current expenditures at the local level, expenditures for administration by state boards of education and state departments of education, and
expenditures for administration by intermediate administrative units.

Note that although the data from the different sources are similar, they are not entirely consistent. Also, the NCES numbers beginning with 1980-81 are not entirely consistent with the earlier NCES numbers, due to differing treatments of items such as expenditures for administration by state governments and expenditures for community services.

An alternative source for current expenditures would have been the U.S. Census Bureau's F-33, which offers statistics at the district level. This level of detail was not needed, however.

For most years, the sources for the past values of average daily attendance were identical to the sources for current expenditures. For 1978-79, the number was taken from Revenues and Expenditures far Public Elementary and Secondary Education.

Projections for average daily attendance for the period from 2001-02 to 2012-13 were made by multiplying the projections for enrollment by the average value of the ratios of average daily attendance to the enrollment from 1990-91 to 2000-01; this average value was approximately .93 .

The values for fall enrollment from 1967-68 to 1977-78 were taken from issues of the NCES publication Statistics of Public Elementary and Secondary Scbools. The 1978-79 value was taken from the NCES Bulletin of October 23, 1979, "Selected Public and Private Elementary and Secondary Education Statistics." The values from 1979-80 to 2000-01 were taken from the NCES Common Core of Data survey. The projections for fall enrollment are those presented in Chapter 1 of this publication.

For 1967-68 to 2000-01, the sources for revenue receipts from state sources were the two NCES publications Statistics of State School Systems and Revenues
and Expenditures for Public Elementary and Secondary Education and the NCES Common Core of Data survey. The methods for producing the alternative projections for revenue receipts from state sources are outlined above.

The estimates for average teacher salaries were taken from various issues of the National Education Association's Estimates of School Statistics,

The projected values for disposable income, personal taxes and nontax receipts to state and local governments, and indirect business taxes and tax accruals to state and local governments, were developed using projections developed by Global Insight's U.S. Quarterly Model. Projected values of the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers, which was used for adjusting current expenditures, teacher salaries, revenue receipts from state sources, and the state revenue variables, were also developed using the U.S. Quarterly Model.

The U.S. Census Bureau supplied both the historical and projected values for the population.

The values of all the variables from Global Insight were placed in school-year terms. The school-year numbers were calculated by taking the average of the last two quarters of one year and the first two quarters of the next year.

The Elementary and Secondary School Price Index was considered as a replacement for the Consumer Price Index for placing current expenditures and teacher salaries in constant dollars. This index could not be used because the required projections of the index are not available. There are other price indexes, such as the implicit price deflator for state and local government purchases, which could have been used instead of the Consumer Price Index. These alternatives would have produced somewhat different projections.

Table A18. Equations for current expenditures per pupil in fall enrollment, estimated average annual salaries of teachers, and education revenue receipts from state sources

| Dependent variable |  |  | Equation |  | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | Durbin-Watson statistic' | Estimation technique ${ }^{2}$ | Rho | Time period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current expenditures per pupil | $\ln ($ CUREX $)=$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \cdot 3.4 \\ & (-4.11) \end{aligned}$ | $+0.64 \ln (\mathrm{PCD})$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.36 \ln (\text { SGRANT }) \\ & (2.08) \end{aligned}$ | 0.994 | 1.67 | AR1 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.70 \\ (5.35) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1967-68 \text { to } \\ 2000-01 \end{array}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.33 \ln (\mathrm{~F} \\ (-1.82) \end{gathered}$ | ENRPOP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated average annual salaries | $\ln ($ SALRY $)=$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.3 \\ & (12.24) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.39 \ln (\text { CUREXP }) \\ & (5.36) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.52 \ln (\text { ENRPOP }) \\ & (3.38) \end{aligned}$ | 0.952 | 1.49 | ARI | $\begin{array}{r} 0.85 \\ (8.73) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1969-70 \text { to } \\ 2000-01 \end{array}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} +1.76 \ln (\mathrm{~F} \\ (3.54) \end{gathered}$ | ENR1/ENR2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education revenue receipts from state sources per capita | $\ln ($ SGRNT) | $\begin{aligned} & 5.2 \\ & (4.62) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.63 \ln (\text { PERTAXI }) \\ & (12.40) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.38 \ln (\text { ENRPOP }) \\ & (2.16) \end{aligned}$ | 0.981 | 1.95 | ARI | $\begin{array}{r} 0.60 \\ (3.77) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1967-68 \text { to } \\ 2000-01 \end{array}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.028 \ln ( \\ (-1.95) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (RCPIANN/RCPIAN | VI) |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ For an explanation of the Durbin-Watsonstatistic, see J. Johnston, Econometric Methods. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1972, paps 251-252.
${ }^{2}$ ARI indicates an estimation procedure for correcting the problem of fmt-order autocorrelation. For a general discussion of the problem of autocorrelation, and the method used to forecast when correcting for autocorrelation, see G. Judge. W. Hill, R. Griffiths, H. Lutkepohl, and T. Lee. The Thcoy and Practice of Econometrics, New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1985, pages 315-318.

## Where:

CUREXP = Current expenditures of public elementary and secondary schools per pupil in fall enrollment in constant 1982-84 dollars
SALRY = Average annual salary of teachers in public elementary and secondary schools in constant 1982-84 dollars
SGRNT = Local governments' education revenue receipts from state sources, per capita, in constant 1982-84 dollars
PCI =Disposable income per capita in constant 1996 dollars
ENRPOP = Ratio of fall enrollment to the population
PERTAXI =Personal taxes and nontax receipts to state and local governments, per capita, in constant 1982-84 dollars lagged one period
RCPIANN = Inflation rate measured by the Consumer Price Index
RCPLANNI = Inflation rate measured by the Consumer Price Index lagged 1 period
ENRI $=$ Fall enrollment lagged one period
ENR2 $=$ Fall enrollment lagged two periods
NOTE: $R^{2}$ indicates the coefficient of deternination. Rho measures the correlation between errors in time period $t$ and time period $t$ minus $I$. Numbers in parentheses are t -statistics.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics; Elementary and Secondary School
Current Expenditures Model; Elementary and Secondary Teacher Salary Model; and Revenue Receipts from State Sources Model.
(This table was prepared July 2003.)

# Expenditures of Public Degree-Granting Postsecondary Institutions 

One current-fund expenditure model and one educational and general expenditure model were estimated for each of two types of degree-granting institutions-public 4 -year and public 2-year. Projections are presented for public institutions only, because financial surveys of private institutions have been redesigned and there is not enough data to model with the new accounting method.

The degree-granting institution econometric models were selected on the basis of their statistical properties, such as the coefficients of determination $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$, the t -statistics of the variables, the DurbinWatson statistic, and residual plots. These econometric models will yield good forecasting results only if the relationships that existed among the variables in the past continue throughout the projection period.

## Degree-Granting Institutions Expenditure Models

Similar econometric models were developed for the two types of public institutions, 4 -year and 2-year. While there has been significantly less work by economists studying the factors influencing finance data of degree-granting institutions than those influencing elementary and secondary finance data, there have been some valuable studies. This body of work was used in building these models.

Each of the models presented here contains variables measuring at least two of the following three factors historically associated with the level of expenditures: (1) the state of the economy; (2) the inflation rate; and (3) enrollments. Revenues of state and local governments per capita were used to measure the state of the economy, and a dummy for years with inflation rates greater than 8 percent was used in the models for public 4 -year institutions. In each model, an enrollment variable was included.

For each dependent variable, a number of alternative specifications were examined. In each case, the choice of the final specification was made after considering such factors as the coefficients of determination, the $t$-statistics of the variables, residual plots, and ex-post mean absolute percentage errors. The final specification of each model has the dependent variables and some of the independent variables as first differences.

## Public 4-Year Institutions Expenditure Models

The public 4 -year institutions current-fund expenditure model is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { DPUTCUR } 4 & =b_{0}+b_{1} \text { DSTREV1 }+b_{2} \text { DPUFTE } 4 \\
& +b_{3} \text { DUMMY }
\end{aligned}
$$

where:
DPUTCUR4 is the change from the previous year in current-fund expenditures per student in full-timeequivalent (FTE) enrollment in public 4-year institutions in constant 1982-84 dollars;

DSTREV1 is the change from the previous year in the sum of personal tax and nontax receipts for state and local governments and indirect business taxes and tax accruals, excluding property taxes, for state and local governments, per capita, in constant 1982-84 dollars lagged 1 year;

DPUFTE4 is the change from the previous year in FTE enrollment in public 4-year institutions in thousands of students; and

DUMMY is a dummy variable equaling 1 when the inflation rate is greater than 8 percent and 0 othenvise.

This model and the other econometric models were estimated using a sample period from 1968-69 to 1999-2000. Ordinary least squares was used to estimate all the public institution models.

The results for this model are in table A19. Each variable affects current-fund expenditures in a logical fashion. The more revenues that state and local governments receive, the more expenditures they can make for public institutions of higher education. In a year with high inflation (DUMMY equals 1), currentfund expenditures in constant dollars are lower than they would have been otherwise. The more students in public 4 -year institutions, the less money to be spent per student.

Three projections were produced: the middle alternative set of projections, the low alternative set of projections, and the high alternative set of projections. Each set of projections was based on a different set of assumptions for the revenues of state and local governments per capita. The projections for revenues of state and local governments per capita and the
other economic variables used to produce the higher education expenditure projections were produced using the U.S. Quarterly Model of the economic consulting firm, Global Insights, Inc.

In the middle set of alternative projections, the revenues of state and local governments per capita increase at rates between 2.0 percent and 8.9 percent from 2003-04 to 2012-13. In the low set of alternative projections, the revenues of state and local governments per capita increase at rates between 0.2 percent and 4.5 percent. In the high set of alternative projections, the revenues of state and local governments per capita increase at rates between 1.9 percent and 10.7 percent.

Projections for total current-fund expenditures were made by multiplying the projections for currentfund expenditures per student in FTE enrollment by projections for FTE enrollment. Projections were developed in 1982-84 dollars and then placed in 2001-02 dollars using projections for the Consumer Price Index. Current dollar projections were produced by multiplying the constant dollar projections by projections for the Consumer Price Index. All the higher education total expenditure projections, all expenditure projections in 2001-02 dollars, and all the current dollar projections were calculated in a similar fashion.

A model for educational and general expenditures of public 4 -year institutions was developed using the same variables as the current-fund expenditure model. The model is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { DPUED } 4 & =b_{0}+b_{1} \text { DSTREV1 }+b_{2} \text { DPUFTE } 4 \\
& +b_{3} \text { DUMMY }
\end{aligned}
$$

## where:

DPUED4 is the change from the previous year in educational and general expenditures per student in FTE enrollment in public 4-year institutions in constant 1982-84 dollars.

This model is also shown in table A19.
As with current-fund expenditures, each variable affects expenditures in the expected way.

## Public 2-Year Institutions Expenditure Models

The public 2-year institutions current-fund expenditure model has a form similar to the public 4 year institutions current-fund expenditure model except that the public 2 -year institutions model does not contain any inflation variables. The model is:

DPUTCUR2 $=b_{0}+b_{1}$ DSTREV1 $+b_{2}$ DPUFTE 2

## where:

DPUTCUR2 is the change from the previous year in current-fund expenditures per student in FTE enrollment in public 2-year institutions in constant 1982-84 dollars; and

DPUFTE2 is the change from the previous year in FTE enrollment in public 2-year institutions in thousands of students.

The results for this model are in table A19. Again, DSTREV1 has the expected positive effect on expenditures, and the FTE enrollment variable has the expected negative impact.

The public 2 -year institutions educational and general expenditure model is virtually identical to its current-fund expenditure counterpart. It is:

$$
\text { DPUED2 }=b_{0}+b_{1} \text { DSTREV1 }+b_{2} \text { DPUFTE2 }
$$

where:
DPUED2 is the change from the previous year in educational and general expenditures per student in FTE enrollment in public 2-year institutions in constant 1982-84 dollars.

The results of this model appear in table A19.

## Projection Accuracy

This is the 10th time in recent pears that Projections of Education Statistics has contained projections of expenditures of postsecondary institutions data. The other nine editions were Projections of Education Statistics to 2010, Projections of Education Statistics to 2007, Projections of Education Statistics to 2008, Projections of Education Statistics to 2007, Projections of Education Statistics to 2006, Projections of Education Statistics to 2005, Projections of Education Statistics to 2004, Projections of Education Statistics to 2003 and Projections of Education Statistics to 2000. The projections that appeared in the most recent editions of Projections of Education Statistics were developed using the same methodology as that presented here. Those that appeared in Projections of Education Statistics to 2000 were produced using substantially different models.

Several commonly used statistics can be used to evaluate projections. The values for one of these, the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE), are presented in table A2. MAPEs are presented for current-fund expenditures in public 4 -year and public 2 -year institutions. The MAPEs were calculated using
projections from last eight editions of the Projections of Education Statistics.

To calculate the MAPEs, the projections of each variable were first grouped by lead time, that is: all the projections of each variable that were a given number of years from the last year in the sample period were grouped together. Next, the percent differences between each projection and its actual value were calculated. Finally, for each variable, the mean of the absolute values of the percent differences were calculated, with a separate average for each lead time. These means are the MAPEs of each variable for each for lead times from 1 to 10 years are presented in table A2.

## Sources of Data

The current-fund expenditure data and the educational and general expenditure data are from the

Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS) "Finance" surveys of the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES). One manipulation of the educational and general expenditures numbers was required. From 1968-69 to 1973-74, student-aid expenditures were a separate component of currentfund expenditures. From 1974-75 on, scholarships and fellowships have been a component of educational and general expenditures. Hence, for the period 1968-69 to 1973-74, student aid was added to the published numbers for educational and general expenditures.

The full-time-equivalent (FTE) enrollment data are from the "Fall Enrollment in Colleges and Universities" surveys of NCES. The FTE enrollment figures for 1968-69, 1969-70, and 1970-71 were estimated using part-time and full-time enrollment data. Full-time-equivalent enrollment was derived by adding one-third of part-time enrollment to total full-time-enrollment.

Table A 19. Equations for current-fund expenditures per full-time-equivalent enrollment and educational and general expenditures per full-time-equivalent enrollment in public 4-year institutions and public 2-year institutions

| Dependent Variable | Equation |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | Durbin-Watson statistic' | Estimation technique ${ }^{2}$ | Time period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current-fund expenditures per student in public <br> 4-year institutions | DPUTCUR4 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 251.9 \\ & (5.06) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { +3.15DSTREV1 } \\ & (3.10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-1.69 \text { DPUFTE4 } \\ & (-5.36) \end{aligned}$ | 0.667 | 1.89 | OLS | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 196849 \text { to } \\ & 1999-2000 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 220DU } \\ & (-3.22) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current-fund expenditures per student in public 2-year institutions | DPUTCUR2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15.8 \\ & (0.59) \end{aligned}$ | $+\underset{(5.79)}{+3.56 \text { DSTREVI }}$ | $\underset{(-5.07)}{\text { 0.73DPUFTE2 }}$ | 0.749 | 2.24 | OLS | $\begin{aligned} & 1968-69 \text { to } \\ & 1999-2000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Educational and general expenditures per student | DPUED4 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 182.3 \\ & (3.70) \end{aligned}$ | $+\underset{(2.84)}{2.85 D S T R E V I}$ | $-\underset{(-5.47)}{\text { 1.70DPUFTE4 }}$ | 0.649 | 1.40 | OLS | $\begin{aligned} & 1968-69 \text { to } \\ & 1999-2000 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 222DU } \\ & (-3.38) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Educational and general expenditures per student in public 2-year institutions | DPUED2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8.7 \\ & (0.30) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { +3.62DSTREVI } \\ & (5.55) \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(-3.94)}{\text { 0.61DPUFTE2 }}$ | 0.696 | 1.95 | OLS | $\begin{aligned} & 1968-69 \text { to } \\ & 1999-2000 \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{7}$ For an explanation of the Durbin-Watson statistic. see J. Johnston. Econometric Merhoctr, New Yolk: McGraw-Hill, 1972. pages 251-252.
${ }^{2}$ AR1 indicates an estimation procedure for correcting the problem of first-order autocorrelation. OLS indicates Ordinary Least Squares. For a general discussion of the problem of autoconelation. and the method used to forecast when correcting for autocorrelation, see G. Judge. W. Hill. R. Griffiths, H. Lutkepohl, and T. Lee, The Theory and Practice of Econometric. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1985, pages 315-3 18.
Where:
DPUTCUR4 = Change from the previous year in current-fund expenditures per student in full-time-equivalent(FTE) enrollment in public 4-year institutions in constant dollars DPUTCUR2 = Change from the previous year in current-fund expendituresper student in FTE enrollment in public 2-year institutionsin constant 1982-84 dollars
DPUED4 = Change fram the previous year in educational and general expenditures per student in FTE enrollment in public 4-year institutions in constant 1982-84 dollars DPUED2 = Change from the previous year in educational and general expenditures per student in FTE enrollment in public 2-year institutions in constant 1982-84 dollars
DSTREVI = Change from the previous year in the sum of personal tax and nontax receipts for state and local governments and indirect business taxes and tax accruals, in constant 1982-84 dollars lamed one year
DSTREV1 = Change from the previous year in the sum of personal tax and nontax receipts for state and local governments and indirect business taxes and tax accruals, excluding property taxes, to state and local governments, per capita, in constant 1982-84 dollars lagged one year
DPCI =Change from the previous year in disposableincome per capita in 1992 dollars
DPUFTE4 = Change from the previous year in FTE enrollment in public 4-year institutions in thousands of students
DPUFTE2 $=$ Change from the previous year in FTE enrollment in public 2-year institutions in thousands ofstudents
DUMMY = Dummy variable equaling I when the inflation rate is greater than 8 percent and 0 otherwise
SOURCE : U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics. Higher Education Expenditure Models.
(This table was prepared July 2003.)

## Appendix B

## Supplementary Tables

Table B1. Annual number of births: 1946 to 2001
[In thousands]

| Calendar year |  |  | $\cdots$ | , | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1946 | ....................... | 3,426 | 1974 | ............................................ | 3,160 |
| 1947 | ............................................ | 3,834 | 1975 | .......................................... | 3,144 |
| 1948 | .... | 3,655 | 1976 |  | 3,168 |
| 1949 | ........................................... | 3,667 | 1977 |  | 3,327 |
| 1950 | .................................... | 3,645 | 1978 | -....................................... | 3,333 |
| 1951 | . | 3,845 | 1979 | .......................................... | 3,494 |
| 1952 | ...................... | 3,933 | 1980 | .......................................... | 3,612 |
| 1953 | ............................................ | 3,989 | 1981 | .-......................................... | 3,629 |
| 1954 | ............................................ | 4,102 | 1982 | ........................................... | 3,681 |
| 1955 | ........................ | 4,128 | 1983 | .............................................. | 3,639 |
| 1956 | .......... | 4,244 | 1984 | ............................................ | 3,669 |
| 1957 | ......................................... | 4,332 | 1985 | $\ldots$ | 3,761 |
| 1958 | .......................................... | 4,279 | 1986 | $\ldots$ | 3,757 |
| 1959 | ............................................ | 4,313 | 1987 | ................................................ | 3,809 |
| 1960 | ............................................ | 4,307 | 1988 | ............................................. | 3,910 |
| 1961 | .......................................... | 4,317 | 1989 | ............................................... | 4,041 |
| 1962 | ........................................ | 4,213 | 1990 | ............................................... | 4,158 |
| 1963 | .......................................... | 4,142 | 1991 | ............................................ | 4,111 |
| 1964 | .... | 4,070 | 1992 | ............................................. | 4,065 |
| 1965 | ............................................ | 3,801 | 1993 | ................................................ | 4,000 |
| 1966 | . | 3,642 | 1994 | .............................................. | 3,953 |
| 1967 | ....... | 3,555 | 1995 | $\cdots$ | 3,900 |
| 1968 | ..... | 3,535 | 1996 | ...... | 3,891 |
| 1969 | ... | 3,626 | 1997 | ........ | 3,881 |
| 1970 | . | 3,739 | 1998 | .... | 3,942 |
| 1971 | . | 3,556 | 1999 | ............................................... | 3,959 |
| 1972 | . | 3,258 | 2000 | ............................................... | 4,063 |
| 1973 | .................. | 3,137 | 2001 | ................................................ | 4,028 |

[^15]Table B2. Preprimary school-age populations (U.S. Census projections. Middle Series): 1988 to 2001

| [In thousands] |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | uly 1) | 3-year-olds | 4-year-olds | 5-year-olds | 3-to-5-year-olds |
| 1988 | ............................................................. | 3.619 | 3.556 | 3.627 | 10,802 |
| 1989 | ..................................... | 3.646 | 3.669 | 3.559 | 10.874 |
| 1990 | .......................................................... | 3,658 | 3,697 | 3,679 | 11,034 |
| 1991 | ...................... | 3,714 | 3,710 | 3,695 | 11.120 |
| 1992 | .............................................................. | 3,808 | 3,769 | 3,710 | 11,287 |
| 1993 | . | 3,965 | 3,867 | 3,773 | 11,605 |
| 1994 | . | 3,990 | 4,024 | 3,868 | 11,882 |
| 1995 | ....................................................... | 3,964 | 4,050 | 4,024 | 12.038 |
| 1996 | ............................................................. | 3,888 | 4,023 | 4,050 | 11,961 |
| 1997 | ......................................................... | 3,839 | 3,949 | 4,025 | 11,812 |
| 1998 | .......................................................... | 3,799 | 3,897 | 3,950 | 11,647 |
| 1999 | ............................................................ | 3.755 | 3,853 | 3,895 | 11.502 |
| 2000 | .......................................................... | 3,826 | 3,906 | 3,959 | 11.691 |
| 2001 | ............................................................. | 3,812 | 3,835 | 3,916 | 11,562 |

[^16]Table B3. School-age populations (U.S. Census projections. Middle Series). ages 5.6. 5 to 13. and 14 to 17 years: 1988 to 2001


NOTE: Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Details may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce Bureau of the Census.Current Population Reports. Series P-25. Nos. 1092. 1095. and previously unpublished tabulation (June 2003). (This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table B4. College-age populations (U.S. Census projections, Middle Series), ages 18, 18 to 24, 25 to 29, 30 to 34, and 35 to 44 years: 1988 to 2001

| [In thousands] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | July 1) | 18-year-olds | 18-to-24-war-olds | 25-to-29-year-olds | 30-to-34-year-olds | 35-to-44-year-olds |
| 1988 | .............................................. | 3,803 | 27,584 | 21,869 | 21,470 | 35,258 |
| -1989 | ...................................... | 3,888 | 27,378 | 21,690 | 21,759 | 36,494 |
| 1990 | ................................... | 3,606 | 27,044 | 21,361 | 21,996 | 37,859 |
| 1991 | ........................... | 3,397 | 26,565 | 20,834 | 22,243 | 39,374 |
| 1992 | ...................................... | 3,332 | 26,121. | 20,229 | 22,310 | 39,975 |
| 1993 | ....................................... | 3,422 | 25,867 | 19,647 | 22,289 | 40,877 |
| 1994 | ....................................... | 3,384 | 25,515 | 19,176 | 22,191 | 41,752 |
| 1995 | ...................................... | 3,543 | 25,215 | 18,967 | 21,879 | 42,610 |
| 1996 | ..................................... | 3,580 | 24,943 | 18,995 | 21,364 | 43,418 |
| 1997 | ...... | 3,696 | 25,076 | 18,880 | 20,787 | 44,068 |
| 1998 | ................................. | 3,882 | 25,573 | 18,635 | 20,213 | 44,552 |
| 1999 | ...................................... | 3,877 | 26,106 | 18,266 | 19,770 | 44,866 |
| 2000 | ...................................... | 4,060 | 27,371 | 19,317 | 20,587 | 45,184 |
| 2001 | ...................................... | 4,067 | 27,922 | 18.932 | 20.718 | 45.058 |

NOTE: Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Details may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce.Bureau of the Census,Current Population Reports, SeriesP-25, Nos. 1092. 1095, and previously unpublishe tabulation(June 2003). (This table was prepared June 2003.)

Table B5. Fall enrollment in public elementary and secondary schools, change in fall enrollment, the population, and fall enrollment as a proportion of the population: 1987-88 to 2012-13

| Year ending |  | Fall enrollment (in thousands) | Change in fall enrollment (in thousands) | Population <br> (in millions) | Fall enrollment as a ratio of the population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | ..................................................... | 40,008 | 255 | 242.8 | 0.165 |
| 1989 | ....................... | 40,188 | 180 | 245.0 | 0.164 |
| 1990 | ........................................ | 40,543 | 355 | 247.3 | 0.164 |
| 1991 | .................................................... | 41,217 | 674 | 250.0 | 0.165 |
| 1992 | .................................................... | 42,047 | 830 | 252.7 | 0.166 |
| 1993 | .................................................... | 42,823 | 776 | 255.4 | 0.168 |
| 1994 | .................................................... | 43,465 | 642 | 258.1 | 0.168 |
| 1995 | .................................................... | 44,111 | 647 | 260.6 | 0.169 |
| 1996 | ................................................ | 44,840 | 729 | 263.1 | 0.170 |
| 1997 | .............................................. | 45,611 | 771 | 265.5 | 0.172 |
| 1998 | .................................. | 46,127 | 516 | 268.0 | 0.172 |
| 1999 | ................................................... | 46,539 | 412 | 270.5 | 0.172 |
| 2000 | ........................................... | 46,857 | 319 | 272.9 | 0.172 |
| 2001 | ..................................................... | 47,223 | 365 | 282.3 | 0.167 |
|  |  | Projected |  |  |  |
| 2002 | ....................... | 47,688 | 465 | - | - |
| 2003 | .................................................... | 47,918 | 230 | - | - |
| 2004 | .................................................. | 48,040 | 123 | - | - |
| 2005 | .................................................. | 48,175 | 135 | - | - |
| 2006 | .................................................... | 48,304 | 129 | - | - |
| 2007 | .................................................... | 48,524 | 220 | - | - |
| 2008 | ............................................... | 48,640 | 116 | - | - |
| 2009 | ................................................ | 48,690 | 50 | - | - |
| 2010 | ................................................. | 48,761 | 71 | - | - |
| 2011 | ...................................................... | 48,890 | 129 | - | - |
| 2012 | ............................................... | 49,084 | 193 | - | - |
| 2013 | .................................................. | 49,367 | 283 | - |  |

## -Not available.

NOTE: Calculations were made using unrounded numbers. Some data have been revised from previously published figures.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census:Current Population Reports, Series P-25. Nos. 1092. 1095; and previously unpublished tabulations (June 2003) ; U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics: The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD). "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public Elementary/Secondary Education." various years; and Elementary and Secondary Enrollment Model. (This table was prepared July 2003.)

Table B6. Macroeconomic measures of the economy, with alternative projections: Fiscal years 1987-88 to 2012-13

| Year ending |  | Disposable income per capita ${ }^{i}$ | Education revenue receipts from state sources per capita ${ }^{2}$ | Consumer Price <br> Index | Rate of change for the inflation rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | ................................. | 621,252 | 6532 | 0.650 | 0.885 |
| 1989 | ............................... | 21,852 | 551 | 0.680 | 0.109 |
| 1990 | ............................... | 22,105 | 557 | 0.713 | 0.046 |
| 1991 | ............................... | 22,094 | 561 | 0.752 | 0.145 |
| 1992 | .............................. | 22,239 | 555 | 0.776 | -0.418 |
| 1993 | ... | 22,512 | 555 | 0.800 | -0.021 |
| 1994 | ......................... | 22,697 | 554 | 0.821 | -0.159 |
| 1995 | ............................... | 23,173 | 581 | 0.844 | 0.085 |
| 1996 | ............................... | 23,444 | 599 | 0.867 | -0.043 |
| 1997 | ................................ | 23,883 | 618 | 0.892 | 0.043 |
| 1998 | .............................. | 24,694 | 648 | 0.908 | -0.369 |
| 1999 | ................ | 25,490 | 678 | 0.924 | -0.029 |
| 2000 | ...................... | 26,086 | 712 | 0.950 | 0.649 |
| 2001 | ................................ | 26,115 | 718 | 0.983 | 0.193 |
| 2002 | ................................ | 26,641 | 710 | 1.000 | -0.486 |
| Middle alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | ................................. | 27,385 | 664 | 1.021 | 0.182 |
| 2004 | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 28,621 | 654 | 1.039 | -0.125 |
| 2005 | ........................ | 29,272 | 662 | 1.061 | 0.127 |
| 2006 | ... | 29,793 | 698 | 1.083 | 0.023 |
| 2007 | ................................. | 30,086 | 720 | 1.109 | 0.124 |
| 2008 | .............................. | 30,409 | 727 | 1.137 | 0.104 |
| 2009 | ...................... | 30,904 | 735 | 1.169 | 0.070 |
| 2010 | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 31,483 | 743 | 1.203 | 0.024 |
| 2011 | ......................... | 32,135 | 751 | 1.237 | 0.007 |
| 2012 | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 32,910 | 761 | 1.273 | 0.001 |
| 2013 | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 33,799 | 772 | 1.309 | -0.013 |
| Low alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | ................................. | 27,370 | 663 | 1.021 | 0.209 |
| 2004 | $\ldots . . . . . . . . .$. | 28,099 | 649 | 1.046 | 0.127 |
| 2005 | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 28,205 | 644 | 1.078 | 0.292 |
| 2006 | .................................. | 28,283 | 662 | 1.115 | 0.092 |
| 2007 | ............................... | 28,213 | 675 | 1.156 | 0.091 |
| 2008 | ............................... | 28,261 | 676 | 1.201 | 0.059 |
| 2009 | ........................ | 28,475 | 675 | 1.250 | 0.031 |
| 2010 | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 28,837 | 679 | 1.300 | -0.003 |
| 2011 |  | 29,374 | 679 | 1.353 | 0.023 |
| 2012 | ................................. | 29,982 | 684 | 1.409 | -0.006 |
| 2013 | ............................... | 30,733 | 689 | 1.467 | 0.011 |
| High alternative projections |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 27,590 | 663 | 1.022 | 0.223 |
| 2004 | …......................... | 29,199 | 652 | 1.046 | 0.122 |
| 2005 | ............................... | 29,895 | 684 | 1.073 | 0.041 |
| 2006 | ................................. | 30,638 | 727 | 1.098 | -0.038 |
| 2007 | ............................... | 31,266 | 743 | 1.126 | 0.049 |
| 2008 | .......................... | 32,028 | 754 | 1.156 | 0.021 |
| 2009 |  | 33,049 | 765 | 1.185 | -0.014 |
| 2010 | ............................... | 34,112 | 778 | 1.216 | 0.004 |
| 2011 | ............................... | 35,120 | 795 | 1.246 | -0.013 |
| 2012 | ........................... | 36,219 | 811 | 1.276 | -0.060 |
| 2013 | .................................. | 37,426 | 820 | 1.305 | -0.042 |

[^17]Table B7. Measures of state and local government revenues. with alternative projections: Fiscal years 1987-88

> to 2012-13

| Year ending |  | Personal tax and nontax payments per capita ${ }^{1}$ | Indirect business taxes and tax accruals per capita' | Tax and nontax payments per capita ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1988 | ....................................... | \$698 | \$1.215 | \$1.913 |
| 1989 | . | 728 | 1.219 | 1,947 |
| 1990 | ........................................................... | 748 | 1.224 | 1,972 |
| 1991 | ........................................................... | 748 | 1,204 | 1,952 |
| 1992 | ......................................... | 772 | 1.218 | 1,990 |
| 1993 | .......................................................... | 784 | 1.247 | 2,031 |
| 1994 | ................ | 802 | 1.293 | 2,095 |
| 1995 |  | 822 | 1.322 | 2,143 |
| 1996 |  | 845 | 1.341 | 2,186 |
| 1997 | ........................................................ | 877 | 1.360 | 2,237 |
| 1998 | ....................................................... | 928 | 1.402 | 2,330 |
| 1999 | ....................................... | 985 | 1.458 | 2,443 |
| 2000 | ................................................... | 1.031 | 1.491 | 2,522 |
| 2001 | ......................................................... | 1.010 | 1.451 | 2,461 |
| 2002 | .................................... | 959 | 1.447 | 2,406 |
| Middle alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | ..................................................... | 937 | 1.471 | 2,408 |
| 2004 | .......................................................... | 975 | 1.503 | 2,478 |
| 2005 | ........................ | 1.062 | 1.543 | 2,605 |
| 2006 | .................................... | 1. 126 | 1.572 | 2,698 |
| 2007 | ....................................... | 1.150 | 1.597 | 2,747 |
| 2008 | ............................ | 1. 172 | 1.616 | 2,788 |
| 2009 | ......................... | 1. 197 | 1.632 | 2,829 |
| 2010 | ............................... | 1.222 | 1.650 | 2,872 |
| 2011 | - | 1.250 | 1.671 | 2,921 |
| 2012 | ...... | 1.281 | 1.699 | 2,980 |
| 2013 | .......................................................... | 1.320 | 1.735 | 3,054 |
| Low alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | ...................................... | 936 | 1,468 | 2,404 |
| 2004 | .................................... | 938 | 1,461 | 2,399 |
| 2005 | 促 | 979 | 1,467 | 2,447 |
| 2006 | ......................................................... | 1.016 | 1,462 | 2,478 |
| 2007 | ................. | 1.021 | 1.451 | 2,472 |
| 2008 | ..................... | 1.023 | 1.443 | 2,466 |
| 2009 | ...................... | 1.037 | 1,437 | 2,474 |
| 2010 | ...................... | 1.043 | 1,434 | 2,477 |
| 2011 | .................................................... | 1,055 | 1.441 | 2,496 |
| 2012 | ............................ | 1.071 | 1,451 | 2,523 |
| 2013 | ...................................................... | 1.089 | 1.469 | 2,558 |
| High alternative projections |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | ................................................ | 943 | 1.481 | 2,424 |
| 2004 | ..................................... | 1,022 | 1.541 | 2,563 |
| 2005 | .................................................... | 1. 131 | 1.574 | 2,706 |
| 2006 | ..................................................... | 1. 180 | 1.607 | 2,787 |
| 2007 | ........................................ | 1.212 | 1.643 | 2,854 |
| 2008 | ..................................................... | 1.246 | 1.675 | 2,921 |
| 2009 | ...................................................... | 1.287 | 1,713 | 3,001 |
| 2010 | ........................................................ | 1.336 | 1.755 | 3,092 |
| 2011 | ........................................................ | 1,378 | 1.795 | 3,173 |
| 2012 | ........................................................ | 1,408 | 1,836 | 3,245 |
| 2013 | ........................................................... | 1.436 | 1.887 | 3.323 |

${ }^{1}$ In 2001-02 dollars based on the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers Bureau of Labor Statistics. U.S. Department of Labor.
NOTE: Calculations were made using unrounded numbers. Some data have been revised from previously published figures.
SOURCE: Global Insight, Inc., "U.S. Quarleriy Model: February 2003 Long-Term-Projections." (This table was prepared July 2003.)

## Appendix C

## Data Sources

## Sources and Comparability of Data

The information in this report was obtained from many sources, including federal and state agencies, private research organizations, and professional associations. The data were collected by many methods, including surveys of a universe (such as all colleges) or of a sample, and compilations of administrative records. Care should be used when comparing data from different sources. Differences in procedures, such as timing, phrasing of questions, and interviewer training, mean that the results from the different sources are not strictly comparable. More extensive documentation of one survey's procedures than of another's does not imply more problems with the data, only that more information is available on the survey.

## Accuracy of Data

The accuracy of any statistic is determined by the joint effects of "sampling" and "nonsampling" errors. Estimates based on a sample will differ from the figures that would have been obtained if a complete census had been taken using the same survey instruments, instructions, and procedures. Besides sampling errors, both surveys, universe and sample, are subject to errors of design, reporting, processing, and errors due to nonresponse. To the extent possible, these nonsampling errors are kept to a minimum by methods built into the survey procedures. In general, however, the effects of nonsampling errors are more difficult to gauge than those produced by sampling variability.

## Sampling Errors

The standard error is the primary measure of sampling variability. It provides a specific range-with a stated confidence-within which a given estimate would lie if a complete census had been conducted. The chances that a complete census would differ from the sample by less than the standard error are about 68 out of 100 . The chances that the difference would be less than 1.65 times the standard error are about 90 out of 100. The chances that the difference would be less than 1.96 times the standard error are about 95 out of 100 .

The chances that it would be less than 2.58 times as large are about 99 out of 100 .

The standard error can help assess how valid a comparison between two estimates might be. The standard error of a difference between two sample estimates that are uncorrelated is approximately equal to the square root of the sum of the squared standard errors of the estimates. The standard error (se) of the difference between sample estimate " $a$ " and sample estimate " $b$ " is:

$$
\operatorname{se}_{a-b}=\left(\operatorname{se}_{a}^{2}+\operatorname{seb}_{b}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Note that most of the standard errors in subsequent sections and in the original documents are approximations. That is, to derive estimates of standard errors that would be applicable to a wide variety of items and could be prepared at a moderate cost, a number of approximations were required. As a result, most of the standard errors presented provide a general order of magnitude rather than the exact standard error for any specificitem.

## Nonsampling Errors

Both universe and sample surveys are subject to nonsampling errors. Nonsampling errors are of two kinds - random and nonrandom. Random nonsampling errors may arise when respondents or interviewers interpret questions Qfferently, when respondents must estimate values, or when coders, keyers, and other processors handle 'answers dtfferently. Nonrandom nonsampling errors result from total nonresponse (no usable data obtained for a sampled unit), partial or item nonresponse (only a portion of a response may be usable), inability or unwillingness on the part of respondents to provide information, difficulty interpreting questions, mistakes in recordtng or keying data, errors of collection or processing, and overcoverage or undercoverage of the target universe. Random nonresponse errors usually, but not always, result in an understatement of sampling errors and thus an overstatement of the precision of survey estimates. Because estimating the magnitude of nonsampling errors would require special experiments or access to independent data, these magnitudes are seldom available.

To compensate for suspected nonrandom errors, adjustments of the sample estimates are often made. For example, adjustments are frequently made for nonresponse, both total and partial. Imputations are usually made separately within various groups of sample members that have similar survey characteristics. Imputation for item nonresponse is usually made by substituting for a missing item the response to that item of a respondent having characteristics similar to those of the respondent.

Although the magnitude of nonsampling errors in the data used in Projections of Education Statistics is frequently unknown, idiosyncrasies that have been identified are noted on the appropriate tables.

## Federal Agency Sources

## National Center for Education Statistics (NCES)

## Common Core of Data

NCES uses the Common Core of Data (CCD) survey to acquire and maintain statistical data from each of the 50 states, the District of Columbia, the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Department of Defense Dependents' Schools (overseas), and the outlying areas. Information about staff and students is collected annually at the school, local education agency or school district (LEA), and state levels. Information about revenues and expenditures is also collected at the state and LEA levels.

Data are collected for a particular school year (October 1 through September 30) via survey instruments sent to the state education agencies during the school year. States have 1 pear in which to modify the data originally submitted.

Since the CCD is a universe survey, the CCD information presented in this edition of the Projections of Education Statistics is not subject to sampling errors. However, nonsampling errors could come from two sources - nonreturn and inaccurate reporting. Almost all of the states submit the six CCD survey instruments each year, but submissions are sometimes incomplete or too late for publication.

Understandably, when 58 education agencies compile and submit data for approximately 92,000 public schools and 16,000 local school districts, misreporting can occur. Typically, this results from varying interpretations of NCES definitions and differing recordkeeping systems. NCES attempts to minimize these errors by working closely with the state education agencies through the National Forum on Education Statistics.

The state education agencies report data to NCES from data collected and edited in their regular reporting cycles. NCES encourages the agencies to incorporate into their own survey systems the NCES items they do not already collect so that those items will also be available for the subsequent CCD survey. Over time, this has meant fewer missing data cells in each state's response, reducing the need to impute data.

NCES subjects data from the education agencies to a comprehensive edit. Where data are determined to be inconsistent, missing, or out of range, NCES contacts the education agencies for verification. NCES-prepared state summary forms are returned to the state education agencies for verification. States are also given an opportunity to revise their state-level aggregates from the previous survey cycle.

Further information on CCD may be obtained from:

## John Sietsema

Elementary/Secondary Cooperative System and Institutional Studies Division (ESCSISD)
National Center for Education Statistics
1990 K Street NW
Washin ton, DC 20006
John.Sietsema@ed grov
http///ncesed grov/ccd/

## Private School Universe Survey

The purposes of Private School Survey (PSS) data collection activities are to build an accurate and complete list of private schools to serve as a sampling frame for NCES sample surveys of private schools; and to report data on the total number of private schools, teachers, and students in the survey universe. The PSS is conducted every 2 years, with collections in the 198990, 1991-92, 1993-94, 1995-96, 1997-98, and 19992000 school years.

The PSS produces data similar to that of the CCD for the public schools and can be used for public-private comparisons. The data are useful for a variety of policy and research-relevant issues, such as the growth of religiously affiliated schools, the number of private high school graduates, the length of the school year for various private schools, and the number of private school students and teachers.

The target population for the universe survey consists of all private schools in the United States that meet NCES criteria of a school (e.g., private school is an institutign which provides instruction for any of grades K through 12, has one or more teachers to give instruction, is not administered by a public agency, and is not operated in a private home). The survey universe is composed of schools identified from a variety of sources. The main source is a list frame, initially 147
developed for the $1989-90$ PSS. The list is updated regularly, matching it with lists provided by nationwide private school associations, state departments of education, and other national guides and sources that list private schools. The other source is an area frame search in approximately 120 geographic areas, conducted by the Bureau of the Census.

Further information on PSS may be obtained from:
Steve Broughman
Elementary/Secondary Sample Survey Studies program (ESLSD)
National Center for Education Statistics
1990 K Street NW
Washington, DC 20006
Stephen Broughman@()ed gor
htrp///nces ed gov/surveys/pss/

## Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System

The Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS) surveys approximately 10,000 postsecondary institutions, including universities and colleges, as well as institutions offering technical and vocational education beyond the high school level. This survey, which began in 1986, replaced the Higher Education General Information Survey (HEGIS).

IPEDS consists of several integrated components that obtain information on who provides postsecondary education (institutions), who participates in it and completes it (students), what programs are offered and what programs are completed, and both the human and financial resources involved in the provision of institutionally based postsecondary education. Specifically, these components include Institutional Characteristics, including instructional activity; Fall Enrollment, including age and residence; Completions; Finance; Staff; Salaries of Full-Time Instructional Faculty;Student Financial Aid; and Graduation Rate.

The degree-granting institutions portion of this survey is a census of colleges awarding associate's or higher degrees and that were eligible to participate in Title N financial aid programs. Prior to 1993, data from the technical and vocational institutions were collected through a sample survey. Beginning in 1993, all data are gathered in a census of all postsecondary institutions. The tabulations on "Institutional Characteristics" developed for this edition of Projections of Education Statistics are based on lists of all institutions and are not subject to sampling errors.

The definition of institutions generally thought of as offering college and university education has been changed in recent years. The old standard for higher education institutions included those institutions that had courses that led to an associate degree or higher, or
were accepted for credit towards those degrees. The higher education institutions were accredited by an agency or association that was recognized by the U.S. Department of Education or recognized directly by the Secretary of Education. The current category includes institutions which award associate or higher level degrees that are eligible to participate in Title IV federal financial aid programs. Tables that contain any data according to this standard are titled as "degrec-granting" institutions. The impact of this change has generally not been large. For example, tables on faculty salaries and benefits were only affected to a very small extent. Also, degrees awarded at the bachelor's level or higher were not heavily affected. Most of the data on public 4-year colleges has been affected only to a minimal extent. The impact on enrollment in public 2 -year colleges was noticeable in certain states, but relatively small at the national level. The largest impact has been on private 2year college enrollment Overall, enrollment for all institutions was about one-half of a percent higher for degree-granting institutions compared to the total for higher education institutions.

Prior to the establishment of IPEDS in 1986, HEGIS acquired and maintained statistical data on the characteristics and operations of institutions of higher education. Implemented in 1966, HEGIS was an annual universe survey of institutions accredited at the college level by an agency recognized by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education. These institutions were listed in the NCES publication Education Directory, Colleges and Universities.

HEGIS surveys solicited information concerning institutional characteristics, faculty salaries, finances, enrollment, and degrees. Since these surveys were distributed to all higher education institutions, the data presented are not subject to sampling error. However, they are subject to nonsampling error, the sources of which varied with the survey instrument. Information concerning the nonsampling error of the enrollment and degrees surveys draws extensively on the HEGIS Post-Survey Validation Study conducted in 1979.

Further information on IPEDS may be obtained from:

Susan Broyles
Postsecondary Institutional Studies Program (PSD)
National Center for Education Statistics
1990 K Street NW
Washington, DC 20006
Susan_Broyles@ed.gov
htrp//acesed gov/ipeds/
Institutional Characteristics This survey provides the basis for the universe of institutions presented in the Directory of Postsecondary Institutions. The survey collects
basic information necessary to classify the institutions, including control, level, and kinds of programs; and information on tuition, fees, and room and board charges. Beginning in 2000, the survey collected institutional pricing data from institutions with firsttime, full-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students. Unduplicated full-year enrollment counts and instructional activity are now collected on the Fall Enrollment survey. The overall response rate was 95.5 percent for 2001.

Further information may be obtained from:
Patricia Brown
Postsecondary Institutional Studies Program (PSD)
National Center for Education Statistics
1990 K Street NW
Washington, DC 20006
Patricia.Brown(b)d_gov
http///ncesed gov/ipeds/
Fall Enrollment This survey has been part of the HEGIS and IPEDS series since 1966. The enrollment survey response rate is relatively high. The 2000 overall response rate was 97.2 percent for degree-ganting institutions. The imputation method differed for 1999 compared to earlier surveys. For all institutions that did not report in 1999, data from the previous year were used as 1999 estimates. Major sources of nonsampling error for this survey, as identified in the 1979 report, were classification problems, the unavailability of needed data, interpretation of definitions, the survey due date, and operational errors. Of these, the classification of students appears to have been the main source of error. Institutions had problems in correctly classifying first-time freshmen and other first-time students for both full-time and part-time categories. These problems occurred most often at 2-year institutions (private and public) and private 4 -year institutions. In the 1977-78 HEGIS validation studies, the classification problem led to an estimated overcount of 11,000 full-time students and an undercount of 19,000 part-time students. Although the ratio of error to the grand total was quite small (less than 1 percent), the percentage of errors was as high as 5 percent for detailed student levels and even higher at certain aggregation levels.

Beginning in fall 1986, the survey system was redesigned with the introduction of IPEDS (see above). The survey allows (in alternating years) for the collection of age and residence data.

Further information may be obtained from:

## Frank Morgan

Postsecondary Institutional Studies Program (PSD)
National Center for Education Statistics
1990 K Street NW

## Washington, DC 20006 <br> Erank Morgran@ed grov <br> http///ncesed.gov/ipeds/

Completions This survey was part of the HEGIS series throughout its existence. However, the degree classification taxonomy was revised in 1970-71, 198283, and 1991-92. Collection of degree data has been maintained through the IPEDS system.

Though information from survey years 1970-71 through 1981-82 is directly comparable, care must be taken if information before or after that period is included in any comparison. Degrees-conferred trend tables arranged by the 1991-92 classification are included in Projections of Education Statistics to provide consistent data from 1970-71 to the most recent year. Data in this edition on associate's and other formal awards below the baccalaureate level, by field of study, cannot be made comparable with figures prior to 198283. The nonresponse rate did not appear to be a significant source of nonsampling error for this survey. The return rate over the years has been high, with the degree-granting institutions response rate for the 200001 survey at 92.3 percent. Because of the high return rate for degree-ganting institutions, nonsampling error caused by imputation is also minimal.

The major sources of nonsampling error for this survey were differences between the NCES program taxonomy and taxonomies used by the colleges, classification of double majors, operational problems, and survey timing. In the 1979 HEGIS validation study, these sources of nonsampling error contributed to an error rate of 0.3 percent overreporting of bachelor's degrees and 1.3 percent overreporting of master's degrees. The differences, however, varied greatly among fields. Over 50 percent of the fields selected for the validation study had no errors identified. Categories of fields that had large differences were business and management, education, engineering, letters, and psychology. It was also shown that differences in proportion to the published figures were less than 1 percent for most of the selected fields that had some errors. Exceptions to these were master's and Ph.D. programs in labor and industrial relations ( 20 percent and 8 percent); bachelor's and master's programs in art education (3 percent and 4 percent); bachelor's and Ph.D. programs in business and commerce, and in distributive education ( 5 percent and 9 percent); master's programs in philosophy ( 8 percent); and Ph.D. programs in psychology (11 percent).

Further information on IPEDS Completions surveys may be obtained from:

Frank Morgan<br>Postsecondary Institutional Studies Program (PSD)<br>National Center for Education Statistics<br>1990 K Street NW<br>Washington, DC 20006<br>ErankMorgan(G)ed.gov<br>http://nces ed gov/ipeds/

Financial Statistics This survey was part of the HEGIS series and has been continued under the IPEDS system. Changes were made in the financial survey instruments in fiscal years (FY) 1976, 1982, and 1987.
The FY 76 survey instrument contained numerous revisions to earlier survey forms and made direct comparisons of line items very difficult. Beginning in FY 82, Pell Grant data were collected in the categories of federal restricted grants and contracts revenues and restricted scholarships and fellowships expenditures. The introduction of IPEDS in the FY 87 survey included several important changes to the survey instrument and data processing procedures. While these changes were significant, considerable effort has been made to present only comparable information on trends in this report and to note inconsistencies. Finance tables for this publication have been adjusted by subtracting the largely duplicative Pell Grant amounts from the later data to maintain comparability with pre-FY 82 data.

Possible sources of nonsampling error in the financial statistics include nonresponse, imputation, and misclassification. The response rate has been about 85 to 90 percent for most of the years. The response rate for the FY 2000 survey was 96.7 percent for degreegrantinginstitutions.

Two general methods of imputation were used in HEGIS. If the prior year's data were available for a nonresponding institution, these data were inflated using the Higher Education Price Index and adjusted according to changes in enrollments. If no previous year's data were available, current data were used from peer institutions selected for location (state or region), control, level, and enrollment size of institution. In most cases estimates for nonreporting institutions in IPEDS were made using data from peer institutions.

Beginning with FY 87, the IPEDS survey system included all postsecondary institutions, but maintained comparability with earlier surveys by allowing 2 - and 4 year institutions to be tabulated separately. For FY 87 through FY 91, in order to maintain comparability with the historical time series of HEGIS institutions, data were combined from two of the three different survey
forms that make up the IPEDS survey system. The vast majority of the data were tabulated from form 1 , which was used to collect information from public and private not-for-profit 2- and 4 -year colleges. Form 2, a condensed form, was used to gather data for the 2-year for-profit institutions. Because of the differences in the data requested on the two forms, several assumptions were made about the form 2 reports so that their figures could be included in the degree-granting institutions totals.

In IPEDS, the form 2 institutions were not asked to separate appropriations from grants and contracts, nor state from local sources of funding. For the form 2 institutions, all the federal revenues were assumed to be federal grants and contracts, and all of the state and local revenues were assumed to be restricted state grants and contracts. All other form 2 sources of revenue, except for tuition and fees and sales and services of educational activities, were included under "other." Similar adjustments were made to the expenditure accounts. The form 2 institutions reported instruction and scholarship and fellowship expenditures only. All other educational and general expenditures were allocated to academic support.

To reduce reporting error, NCES uses national standards for reporting finance statistics. These standards are contained in College and University Business Administration: Administrative Services (1974 Edition), and the Financial Accounting and Reporting Manual for Higher Education (1990 Edition), published by the National Association of College and University Business Officers; Audits of Colleges and Universities (as amended August 31, 1974), by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants; and HEGIS Financial Reporting Guide (1980), by NCES. Wherever possible, definitions and formats in the survey form are consistent with those in these four accounting texts.

Further information on IPEDS Financial Statistics surveys may be obtained from:

Cathy Statham<br>Postsecondary Institutional Studies Program (PSD)<br>National Center for Education Statistics<br>1990 K Street NW<br>Washington, DC 20006<br>CathyStatham@ed.grov<br>hrtp///acesed_gov/ipeds/

## Bureau of the Census

## Current PopulationSurvey

Current estimates of school enrollment rates, as well as social and economic characteristics of students, are based on data collected in the Census Bureau's monthly household survey of about 50,000 dwelling units. The monthly Current Population Survey (CPS) sample consists of 754 areas comprising 2,007 geographical areas, independent cities, and minor civil divisions throughout the 50 states and the District of Columbia. The samples are initially selected based on the decennial census files and are periodically updated to reflect new housing construction.

The monthly CPS deals primarily with labor force data for the civilian noninstitutional population (i.e., excluding military personnel and their families living on post and inmates of institutions). In addition, in October of each year, supplemental questions are asked about highest grade completed, level and grade of current enrollment, attendance status, number and type of courses, degree or certificate objective, and type of organization offering instruction for each member of the household. In March of each year, supplemental questions on income are asked. The responses to these questions are combined with answers to two questions on educational attainment: highest grade of school ever attended, and whether that grade was completed.

The estimation procedure employed for monthly CPS data involves inflating weighted sample results to independent estimates of characteristics of the civilian noninstitutional population in the United States by age, sex, and race. These independent estimates are based on statistics from decennial censuses; statistics on births, deaths, immigration, and emigration; and statistics on the population in the armed services. Generalized standard error tables are provided in the Current Population Reports. The data are subject to both nonsampling and sampling errors.

Further information on CPS may be obtained from:
Education and Social Stratification Branch
Population Division
Bureau of the Census
U.S. Department of Commerce

Washin on, DC 20233
http//wwwhls.census grov/eps/epsmain.htm
School Enrollment Each October, the Current Population Survey (CPS) includes supplemental questions on the enrollment status of the population 3 years old and over, in addition to the monthly basic survey on labor force participation. The main sources of nonsampling variability in the responses to the
supplement are those inherent in the survey instrument. The question of current enrollment may not be answered accurately for various reasons. Some respondents may not know current grade information for every student in the household, a problem especially for households with members in college or in nursery school. Confusion over college credits or hours taken by a student may make it difficult to determine the year in which the student is enrolled. Problems may occur with the definition of nursery school (a group or class organized to provide educational experiences for children), where respondents' interpretations of "educational experiences" vary.

The 2000 CPS sample was selected from the 1990 Decennial Census files with coverage in all 50 states and the District of Columbia. The sample is continually updated to account for new residential construction. The United States was divided into 2,007 geographic areas. In most states, a geographic area consists of a county or several contiguous counties. In some areas of New England and Hawaii, minor civil divisions are used instead of counties. A total of 754 geographic areas were selected for the sample. About 50,000 occupied households are eligible for interview every month. Interviewers are unable to obtain interviews at about 3,200 of these units. This occurs when the occupants are not found at home after repeated calls or are unavailable for some other reason. For the October 2000 basic CPS, the nonresponse rate was 6.8 percent. For the school enrollment supplement, the nonresponse rate was an additional 3.1 percent for a total school supplement nonresponse rate of 9.7 percent.

Further information on CPS "School Enrollment" may be obtained from:

## Education and Social Stratification Branch

Bureau of the Census
U.S. Department of Commerce

Washington, DC 20233
http.//www census_gov/population/wrwy/snedemo/s choolheml

State Population Projections. These state population projections were prepared using a cohort-component method by which each component of population change-births, deaths, state-to-state migration flows, international in-migration, and international out-migration - was projected separately for each birth cohort by sex, race, and Hispanic origin. The basic framework was the same as in past Census Bureau projections.

Detailed components necessary to create the projections were obtained from vital statistics, administrative records, census data, and national
projections.
The cohort-component method is based on the traditional demographic accounting system:
$\mathrm{P}_{1}=\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{DIM}-\mathrm{DOM}+\mathrm{IIM}-\mathrm{IOM}$

## where:

$P_{1}=$ population at the end of the period
Po = population at the beginning of the period
B $\quad=$ births during the period
D = deaths during the period
DIM $=$ domesticin-migration during the period
$\mathrm{DOM}=$ domestic out-mi ation during the period
IIM $=$ international in-migration during the period
$\mathrm{IOM}=$ international out-migration during the period
To generate population projections with this model, the Census Bureau created separate data sets for each of these components. In general, the assumptions concerning the future levels of fertility, mortality, and international migration are consistent with the assumptions developed for the national population projections of the Census Bureau.

Once the data for each component were developed, it was a relatively straightforward process to apply the cohort-component method and produce the projections. For each projection year the base population for each state was disaggregated into eight race and Hispanic categories (non-Hispanic White; non-Hispanic Black; non-Hispanic American Indian, Eskimo, and Aleut; non-Hispanic Asian and Pacific Islander; Hispanic White; Hispanic Black; © Hispanic American Indian, Eskimo, and Aleut; and Hispanic Asian and Pacific Islander), by sex, and single year of age (ages 0 to $85+$ ). The next step was to survive each age-sex-race-ethnic group forward 1 year using the pertinent survival rate. The internal redistribution of the population was accomplished by applying the appropriate state-to-state migration rates to the survived population in each state. The projected out-migrants were subtracted from the state of origin and added to the state of destination (as in-migrants). Next, the appropriate number of immigrants from abroad was added to each group. The population under age 1 was created by applying the appropriate age-race-ethnic-specific birth rates to females of childbearing age. The number of births by sex and race/ethnicity were survived forward and exposed to the
appropriate migration rate to yield the population under age 1 . The final results of the projection process were adjusted to be consistent with the national population projections by single years of age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin. The entire process was then repeated for each year of the projection.

More information is available in the Census Bureau Population Paper Listing 47 (PPL-47) and Current Population Report P25-1130. These reports may be obtained from:

## Statistical Information Staff

Bureau of the Census
U.S. Department of Commerce

Washington, DC 20233
(301) 763-3030
hup //wwsycensusgov

## Other Sources

## National Education Association

## Estimates of School Statistics

The National Education Association (NEA) reports enrollment, teacher, revenue, and expenditure data in its annual publication Estimates of School Statistics. Each year, NEA prepares regression-based estimates of financial and other education statistics and submits them to the states for verification. Generally, about 30 states adjust these estimates based on their own data. These preliminary data are published by NEA along with revised data from previous years. States are asked to revise previously submitted data as final figures become available. The most recent publication contains all changes reported to the NEA.

Additional information is available from:
National Education Association - Research
1201 16th Street NW
Washington, DC 20036
hitp//www.nea.arg

## Global Insight, Inc.

Global Insight, Inc. provides an information system that includes databases of economic and financial information: simulation and planning models; regular publications and special studies; data retrieval and management systems; and access to experts on economic, financial, industrial, and market activities. One service is the Global Insight Model of the U.S. Economy, which contains annual projections of the U.S.
economic and financial conditions, including forecasts for the federal government, incomes, population, prices and wages, and state and local governments, over a long-term (10- to 25-year) forecast period.

Additional information is available from:

Global Insight, inc.
1000 Winter Street
Suite 4300N
Waltham, MA 02451-1241

# Appendix D Glossary 

## Data Terms

Associate's degree: A degree granted for the successful completion of a subbaccalaureate program of studies, usually requiring at least 2 years (or the equivalent) of full-time college-level study. This term includes degrees granted in a cooperative or workstudy program.

Average daily attendance (ADA): The aggregate attendance of a school during a reporting period (normally a school year) divided by the number of days school is in session during this period. Only days on which the pupils are under the guidance and direction of teachers should be considered days in session.

Average daily membership (ADM): The aggregate membership of a school during a reporting period (normally a school pear) divided by the number of days school is in session during this period. Only days on which the pupils are under the guidance and direction of teachers should be considered as days in session. The average daily membership for groups of schools having varying lengths of terms is the average of the average daily memberships obtained for the individual schools.

Bachelor's degree: A degree granted for the successful completion of a baccalaureate program of studies, usually requiring at least 4 years (or the equivalent) of full-time college-level study. This term includes degrees granted in a cooperative or workstudy program.

Classroom teacher: A staff member assigned the professional activities of instructing pupils in selfcontained classes or courses, or in classroom situations. Usually expressed in full-time equivalents.

Cohort: A group of individuals that have a statistical factor in common, for example, year of birth.

College: A postsecondary school that offers a general or liberal arts education, usually leading to an associate's, bachelor's, master's, doctor's, or first-
professional degree. Junior colleges and community colleges are included in this term.

Constant dollars: Dollar amounts that have been adjusted by means of price and cost indexes to eliminate inflationary factors and allow direct comparison across pears.

Consumer Price Index (CPI): This price index measures the average change in the cost of a fixed market basket of goods and services purchased by consumers.

Current dollars: Dollar amounts that have not been adjusted to compensate for inflation.

Current expenditures (elementary/secondary): The expenditures for operating local public schools, excluding capital outlay and interest on school debt. These expenditures include such items as salaries for school personnel, fixed charges, student transportation, school books and materials, and energy costs.

Current expenditures per pupil in average daily attendance: Current expenditures for the regular school term divided by the average daily attendance of full-time pupils (or full-time-equivalency of pupils) during the term. See also current expenditures and average daily attendance.

Current-fund expenditures (higher education): Money spent to meet current operating costs, including salaries, wages, utilities, student services, public services, research libraries, scholarships and fellowships, auxiliary enterprises, hospitals, and independent operations. Excludes loans, capital expenditures, and investments.

Current Population Survey: See appendix C, Data Sources.

Degree-granting institutions: Postsecondary institutions that are eligible for Title IV federal financial aid programs and that grant an associate's or higher degree. For an institution to be eligible to participate in Title IV financial aid programs it must
offer a program of at least 300 clock hours in length, have accreditation recognized by the U.S. Department of Education, have been in business for at least 2 years, and have signed a participation agreement with the Department.

Disposable income: Current income received by persons less their contributions for social insurance, personal tax, and nontax payments. It is the income available to persons for spending and saving. Nontax payments include passport fees, fines and penalties, donations, and tuitions and fees paid to schools and hospitals operated mainly by the government. See also personal income.

Educational and general expenditures: The sum of current funds expenditures on instruction, research, public service, academic support, student services, institutional support, operation and maintenance of plant, and awards from restricted and unrestricted funds.

Doctor's degree: An earned degree carrying the title of doctor. The Doctor of Philosophy degree (Ph.D.) is the highest academic degree and requires mastery within a field of knowledge and demonstrated ability to perform scholarly research. Other doctorates are awarded for fulfilling specialized requirements in professional fields, such as education (Ed.D.), musical arts (D.M.A.), business administration (D.B.A.), and engineering (D.Eng. or D.E.S.). Many doctor's degrees in both academic and professional fields require an earned master's degree as a prerequisite. First-professional degrees, such as M.D. and D.D.S., are not included under this heading.

Elementary school: A school classified as elementary by state and local practice and composed of any span of grades not above grade 8. A preschool or kindergarten school is included under this heading only if it is an integral part of an elementary school or a regularly established school system.

Elementary and secondary schools: As used in this publication, includes only regular schools, that is, schools that are part of state and local school systems and also most private elementary and secondary schools, both religiously affiliated and nonsectarian. Schools not included in this term are subcollegiate departments of institutions of higher education, residential schools for exceptional children, federal schools for Indians, and federal schools on military posts and other federal installations.

Enrollment: The number of students registered in a given school unit at a given time, generally in the fall of a year.

Expenditures: Charges incurred, whether paid or unpaid, that are presumed to benefit the current fiscal year. For elementary and secondary schools, these include all charges for current outlays plus capital outlays and interest on school debt. For institutions of higher education, these include current outlays plus capital outlays. For government, these include charges net of recoveries and other correcting transactions other than for retirement of debt, investment in securities, or extension of credit. Government expenditures include only external transactions, such as the provision of perquisites or other payments in kind. Aggregates for groups of governments exclude intergovernmental transactions.

Expenditures per pupil: Charges incurred for a particular period of time divided by a student unit of measure, such as average daily attendance or average daily membership.

First-professional degree: A degree that signifies both completion of the academic requirements for beginning practice in a given profession and a level of professional skill beyond that normally required for a bachelor's degree. This degree is based on a program requiring at least 2 academic years of work before entrance and a total of at least 6 academic years of work to complete the degree program, including both prior required college work and the professional program itself. By NCES definition, first-professional degrees are awarded in the fields of dentistry (D.D.S. or D.M.D.), medicine (M.D.), optometry (O.D.), osteopathic medicine (D.O.), pharmacy (D.Phar.), podiatry (D.P.M.), veterinary medicine (D.V.M.), chiropractic (D.C. or D.C.M.), law (LL.B. or J.D.), and theological professions (M.Div. or M.H.L.).

First-professional enrollment: The number of students enrolled in a professional school or program that requires at least 2 years of academic college work for entrance and a total of at least 6 years for a degree. By NCES definition, first-professional enrollment includes only students in certain programs. (See firstprofessional degree for a list of programs.)

Full-time enrollment: The number of students enrolled in higher education courses with total credit load equal to at least 75 percent of the normal fulltime course load.

Full-time-equivalent (FTE) enrollment: For institutions of higher education, enrollment of fulltime students, plus the full-time equivalent of parttime students as reported by institutions.

Full-time worker: In educational institutions, an employee whose position requires being on the job on school days throughout the school year at least the
number of hours the schools are in session; for higher education, a member of an educational institution's staff who is employed full time.

Graduate: An individual who has received formal recognition for the successful completion of a prescribed program of studies.

Graduate enrollment: The number of students who hold the bachelor's or first-professional degree, or the equivalent, and who are working toward a master's or doctor's degree. First-professional students are counted separately. These enrollment data measure those students who are registered at a particular time during the fall. At some institutions, graduate enrollment also includes students who are in postbaccalaureate classes but not in degree programs.

High school: A secondary school offering the final years of high school work necessary for graduation, usually including grades 10,11 , and 12 (in a 6-3-3 plan), or grades $9,10,11$, and 12 (in a 6-2-4 plan).

Higher education: Study beyond secondary school at an institution that offers programs terminating in an associate's, baccalaureate, or higher degree.

## Higher education institutions (traditional classifications):

4-year institution: An institution legally authorized to offer and offering at least a 4 year program of college-level studies wholly or principally creditable toward a bachelor's degree. A university is a postsecondary institution that typically includes one or more graduate professional schools.

2-year institution: An institution legally authorized to offer and offering at least a 2 year program of college-level studies that terminates in an associate's degree or is principally creditable toward a baccalaureate.

See also degree-granting institutions and postsecondary education.

Higher Education Price Index: A price index that measures average changes in the prices of goods and services purchased by colleges and universities through current-fund expenditures and educational and general expenditures (excluding expenditures for sponsored research and auxiliary enterprises).

Instructional staff: Full-time-equivalent number of positions, not the number of individuals occupying the positions during the school year. In local schools, it includes all public elementary and secondary (junior
and senior high) day-school positions that are in the nature of teaching or the improvement of the teaching-learning situation. This includes consultants or supervisors of instruction, principals, teachers, guidance personnel, librarians, psychological personnel, and other instructional staff. This excludes administrative staff, attendance personnel, clerical personnel, and junior college staff.

Master's degree: A degree awarded for successful completion of a program generally requiring 1 or 2 years of full-time college-level study beyond the bachelor's degree. One type of master's degree, including the Master of Arts de ee (M.A.) and the Master of Science degree (M.S.), is awarded in the liberal arts and sciences for advanced scholarship in a subject field or discipline and demonstrated ability to perform scholarly research. A second type of master's degree is awarded for the completion of a professionally oriented program, for example, an M.Ed. in education, an M.B.A. in business administration, an M.F.A. in fine 'arts, an M.M. in music, an M.S.W. in social work, or an M.P.A. in public administration. A third type of master's degree is awarded in professional fields for study beyond the first-professional degree, for example, the Master of Laws (LL.M.) and Master of Science in various medical specializations.

Part-time enrollment: The number of students enrolled in higher education courses with a total credit load of less than 75 percent of the normal full-time credit load.

Personal income: Current income received by persons from all sources minus their personal contributions for social insurance. Classified as "persons" are individuals (including owners of unincorporated firms), nonprofit institutions serving individuals, private trust funds, and private noninsured welfare funds. Personal income includes transfers (payments not resulting from current production) from government and business such as social security benefits, military pensions, and so forth, but excludes transfers among persons.

Postbaccalaureate enrollment: The number of graduate and first-professional students working toward advanced degrees and students enrolled in graduate-level classes but not enrolled in degree programs. See also graduate enrollment and firstprofessional enrollment.

Postsecondary education: The provision of formal instructional programs with a curriculum designed primarily for students who have completed the requirements for a high school diploma or equivalent. This includes programs of an academic, vocational,
and continuing professional education purpose, and excludes avocational and adult basic education programs.

Private institution: A school or institution that is controlled by an individual or agency other than a state, a subdivision of a state, or the federal government; that is usually supported primarily by other than public funds; and the operation of whose program rests with other than publicly elected or appointed officials.

Property tax: The sum of money collected from a tax levied against the value of property.

Public school or institution: A school or institution controlled and operated by publicly elected or appointed officials and generally deriving its primary support from public funds.

Pupil/teacher ratio: The enrollment of pupils at a given period of time, divided by the full-timeequivalent number of classroom teachers serving these pupils during the same period.

Revenues: All funds received from external sources, net of refunds and correcting transactions. Noncash transactions such as receipt of services, commodities, or other receipts "in kind" are excluded, as are funds received from the issuance of debt, liquidation of investments, or nonroutine sale of property.

Revenue receipts: Additions to assets that do not incur an obligation that must be met at some future date and do not represent exchanges of property for money. Assets must be available for expenditures.

Salary: The total amount regularly paid or stipulated to be paid to an individual, before deductions, for personal services rendered while on the payroll of a business or organization.

School: A division of the school system consisting of students in one or more grades or other identifiable groups and organized to give instruction of a defined type. One school may share a building with another school or one school may be housed in several buildings.

Secondary instructional level: The general level of instruction provided for pupils in secondary schools (generally covering grades 7 through 12 or 9 through 12) and any instruction of a comparable nature and difficulty provided for adults and youth beyond the age of compulsory school attendance.

Secondary school: A school including any span of grades beginning with the next grade following an elementary or middle school (usually 7,8 , or 9 ) and ending with or below grade 12. Both junior high schools and senior high schools are included.

Senior high school: A secondary school offering the final years of high school work necessary for graduation.

Student: An individual for whom instruction is provided in an educational program under the jurisdiction of a school, school system, or other educational institution. No distinction is made between the terms "student" and "pupil," although "student" may refer to one receiving instruction at any level while "pupil" refers only to one attending school at the elementary or secondary level. The term "student" is used to include individuals at all instructional levels. A student may receive instruction in a school facility or in another-location, such as at home or in a hospital. Instruction may be provided by direct student-teacher interaction or by some other approved medium, such as television, radio, telephone, or correspondence.

Tax base: The collective value of sales, assets, and income components against which a tax is levied.

Total expenditure per pupil in average daily attendance: Includes all expenditures allocable to per pupil costs divided by average daily attendance. These allocable expenditures include current expenditures for regular school programs, interest on school debt, and capital outlay. Beginning in 1980-81, expenditures for administration by state governments are excluded and expenditures for other programs (summer schools, community colleges, and private schools) are included.

Unclassified students: Students who are not candidates for a degree or other forrnal award, although they are taking higher education courses for credit in regular classes with other students.

Undergraduate students: Students registered at an institution of higher education who are working in a program leading to a baccalaureate or other formal award below the baccalaureate, such as an associate's degree.

## Statistical Terms

Autocorrelation:Correlation of the error terms from different observations of the same variable. Also called serial correlation.

Degrees of freedom: The number of free or linearly independent sample observations used in the calculation of a statistic. In a time series regression with t time periods and k independent variables including a constant term, there would be t minus k degrees of freedom.

Dependent variable: A mathematical variable whose value is determined by that of one or more other variables in a function. In regression analysis, when a random variable, y , is expressed as a function of variables $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots$, plus a stochastic term, then y is known as the "dependent variable."

Double exponential smoothing: A method that takes a single smoothed average component of demand and smoothes it a second time to allow for estimation of a trend effect.

Durbin-Watson statistic: A statistic testing the independence of errors in least squares regression against the alternative of first-order serial correlation. The statistic is a simple linear transformation of the first-order serial correlation of residuals and, although its distribution is unknown, it is tested by bounding statistics that follow R. L. Anderson's distribution.

Econometrics: The quantitative examination of economic trends and relationships using statistical techniques, and the development, examination, and refinement of those techniques.

Estimate: A numerical value obtained from a statistical sample and assigned to a population parameter. The particular value yielded by an estimator in a given set of circumstances or the rule by which such particular values are calculated.

Estimating equation: An equation involving observed quantities and an unknown that serves to estimate the latter.

Estimation: Estimation is concerned with inference about the numerical value of unknown population values from incomplete data, such as a sample. If a single figure is calculated for each unknown parameter, the process is called point estimation. If an interval is calculated within which the parameter is likely, in some sense, to lie, the process is called interval estimation.

Exogenous variable: Variable for which the values are determined outside the model but which influence the model.

Exponential smoothing: A method used in time series to smooth or to predict a series. There are various forms, but all are based on the supposition that more remote history has less importance than more recent history.

First-order serial correlation: When errors in one time period are correlated directly with errors in the ensuing time period. Also called autocowelation.

Forecast: An estimate of the future based on rational study and analysis of available pertinent data, as opposed to subjective prediction.

Forecast horizon: The number of time periods into the future which are forecasted. Forecasts for next year are said to have a 1-year forecast horizon.

Forecasting: Assessing the magnitude which a quantity will assume at some future point in time, as distinct from "estimation," which attempts to assess the magnitude of an already existent quantity.

Function: A mathematical correspondence that assigns exactly one element of one set to each element of the same or another set. A variable that depends on and varies with another.

Functional form: A mathematical statement of the relationship among the variables in a model.

Independent variable: In regression analysis, when a random variable, $y$, is expressed as a function of variables $\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \ldots$, plus a stochastic term, the x 's are known as "independent variables."

## Interpolation: See hear interpolation.

Linear interpolation: A method that allows the prediction of an unknown value if any two particular values on the same scale are known and the rate of change is assumed constant.

Lag: An event occurring at time $t+k(k>0)$ is said to lag behind an event occurring at time $t$, the extent of the lag being k . An event occurring k time periods before another may be regarded as having a negative lag.

Maximum likelihood estimation: A method of estimating a parameter or parameters of a population by that value (or values) that maximizes (or maximize) the likelihood of a sample.

Mean absolute percentage error (MAPE): The average value of the absolute value of errors expressed in percentage terms.

Model: A system of postulates, data, and inferences presented as a mathematical description of a phenomenon such as an actual system or process. The actual phenomenon is represented by the model in order to explain it, to predict it, and to control it.

Ordinary least squares (OLS): The estimator that minimizes the sum of squared residuals.

Parameter: A quantity that describes a statistical population.

Projection: In relation to a time series, an estimate of future values based on a current trend.
$\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ : The coefficient of determination; the square of the correlation coefficient between the dependent variable and its OLS estimate.
$\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{\mathbf{2}}$ (also called the adjusted $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ): The coefficient of determination adjusted for the degrees of freedom.

Regression analysis: A statistical technique for investigating and modeling the relationship between variables.

Rho: A measure of the correlation coefficient between errors in time period t and time period t minus 1 .

Serial correlation: Correlation of the error terms from different observations of the same variable. Also called autocorrelation.

Standard error of estimate: An expression for the standard deviation of the observed values about a regression line. An estimate of the variation likely to be encountered in making predictions from the regression equation.

Time series: A set of ordered observations on a quantitative characteristic of an individual or collective phenomenon taken at different points in time. Usually the observations are successive and equally spaced in time.

Time series analysis: The branch of quantitative forecasting in which data for one variable are examined for patterns of trend, seasonality, and cycle.

Variable: A quantity that may assume any one of a set of values.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes most nursery school enrollment.
    Private school numbers are interpolated based on data from the $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ Private School Survey.
    ${ }^{3}$ Private school numbers are from the Private School Universe Survey.
    ${ }^{4}$ Private school numbers arc interpolated based on data from the Private School Universe Survey.
    NOTE: Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2, appendix A.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD), "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public
    Elementary/Secondary Education." various years; Private School Universe Survey (PSS). various years; 1985 Private School Survey; and National Elementary and Secondary Enrollment Model. (This table was prepared July 2003.I

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes most nursery school enrollment.
    ${ }^{2}$ Privateschool numbers are interpolated based on data from the 1985 Private School Survey.
    ${ }^{3}$ Private school numbers are from the Private School Universe Survey.
    ${ }^{4}$ Private school numbers are interpolated based on data from the Private School Universe Survey.
    NOTE: Some data have been revised from previously published figures For privateschools. it was assumed that numbers for elementary are the same as those in table $\mathbf{1}$ for grades K-8. and numbers for secondary are the same as those in table I for grades $9-12$. Designation of grades $\boldsymbol{\infty}$ elementary or secondary varies from school to school. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2, appendix $\mathbf{A}$. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD), "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public Elementary/Secondary Education." various years; Private School Universe Survey (PSS). various years; 1985 Private School Survey; and National Elementary and Secondary Enrollment Model. (This table was prepared July 2003.I

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes most nursery school enrollment.
    NOTE: Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2, appendix A.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for EducationStatisticsı The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD). "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public
    Elementary/Secondary Education.' various years; and National Elementary and Secondary Enrollment Model. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

[^3]:    NOTE: Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Includes most nursery school enrollment Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2, appendix A.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD), "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public
    Elementary/Secondary Education." various years; and State Public Elementary and Secondary Enrollment Model. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

[^4]:    NOTE: Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Includes most nursery school enrollment Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
    Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2. appendix A.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD). "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public
    Elementary/Secondary Education." various years; and State Public Elementary and Secondary Enrollment Model. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

[^5]:    NOTE: Detail may not sum lo totals because of rounding. Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Data by age are based on the distributionby age from the Bureau of the Census. Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2, appendix A
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statisticsı IntegratedPostsecondary Education Data System. "Fall EnrollmentSurvey" (IPEDS-EF), various years; Enrollment in Degree-GrantingInstitutionsModel; and U.S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, "Social and Economic Characteristicsof Students," various years. (This table was prepered June 2003.)

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Private school numbers are interpolated based on data from the 1985 Private School Survey.
    ${ }^{2}$ Private school numbers are from the Private Schwl Universe Survey.
    ${ }^{3}$ Private school numbers are interpolated based on data from the Private School Universe Survey.
    NOTE: Some data have been revised from previously published figures Prior to 1989-90, numbers for private high school graduates were estimated by NCES. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Mean absolute percentage errors of selected educationstatistics can be found in table A2, appendix A. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD). "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public Elementary/Secondary Education." various years; "Early Estimates of Public Elementary/Secondary Education Survey," various years; Private School Universe Survey (PSS). various years; 1985 Private School Survey; and National Elementary and Secondary High School Graduates Enrollment Model. (This table was prepared June 2003.)

[^7]:    NOTE: Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table $A 2$, appendix $\mathbf{A}$.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statisticsı Lntegrated Postsecondary Education Data System. "Completions Survey" (IPEDS-C'), various years, and Earned Degrees Conferred Model. (This table was prepared July 2003.)

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Private school numbers are estimated on the basis on past data.
    ${ }^{2}$ Private school numbers are from the Early Estimates survey.
    ${ }^{3}$ Private school numbers are projected
    NOTE: Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table A2. appendix A.
    SOURCE: U.S. Departument of Education, National Center for Education Statisticsı The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD). 'State Nonfiscal Survey of Public
    Elementary/Secondary Education." various years; "Early Estimates of Public Elementary/Secondary Education Survey." various years; Private School Survey
    Early Estimates. various years; and Elementary and Secondary Teacher Model. (This table was prepared July 2003.)

[^9]:    ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ Private school numbers are estimated on the basis on past data.
    ${ }^{2}$ Private school teacher numbers are from the Early Estimates survey and private school enrollment numbers are from the Private School Universe Survey.
    ${ }^{3}$ Private school numbers are projected or interpolated.
    NOTE: The pupil/teacher ratios were derived from tables 2 and 31. Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Mean absolute percentage errors of selected education statistics can be found in table $A 2$, appendix $A$.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD). "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public
    Elementary/Secondary Education." various years; "Early Estimates of Public Elementary/Secondary Education Survey." various years; Private School Survey Early Estimates. various years; and Elementary and Secondary Teacher Model. (This table was prepared July 2003.)

[^10]:    $\dagger$ Not applicable, projections in current dollars are not shown after 2007 due to the uncertain behavior of inflation over the long term.

[^11]:    $\dagger$ Not applicable, projectionsin current dollars are not shown after 2007 due to the uncertain behavior of inflationover the long term
    'Based on the ConsumerPrice Index for all urban consumers, Bureau of Labor Statistics. U.S. Department of Labor.
    NOTE: Calculations were made using unrounded numbers. Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Mean absolute percentage errors of selected educationstatistics can be found in table A2, appendix A.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System. "Fall Enrollment Survey" (IPEDS-EF),
    various years; "Finance Survey" (LPEDS-F:FY), various years; Enrollment in Degree-GrantingInstitutions Model; and Expenditures in Degree-Granting Institutions Model.
    (This table was prepared July 2003.)

[^12]:    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics. Earned Degrees Conferred Model.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ For a review and discussion of this literature, see Inman, R. P. (1979), "The Fiscal Performance of Local Governments: An Interpretive Review," in Current Issues in Urban Eanamics, edited by P. Mieszkowski and M. Straszheim, Johns Hopkins Press, Baltimore, Maryland.

[^14]:    ${ }^{2}$ There were no projections of either current expenditures or teachers salaries in Projections of Education Statistics to 2012.

[^15]:    NOTE: Some data have been revised from previously published figures.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Health and Human Servicesi National Center for Health Statistics(NCHS), Annual Summary of Birthsı Marriagess Divorces, and Deaths: United States, various years National Vital Staristic: Reports. (This table wes prepared June 2003.)

[^16]:    NOTE: Some data have been revised from previously published figures. Details may not sum to totals because of rounding.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce,Bureau of the Census,Current Population Reports . Series P-25, Nos. 1092. 1095, and previously unpublished tabulation (June 2003). (This table was prepared June 2003.)

[^17]:    ${ }^{1} \ln$ 2001-02 dollars based on the price deflator for personal consumption expenditures. Bureau of Labor Statistics. U.S. Department of Labor.
    ${ }^{\mathbf{I}}$ In 2001-02 dollars based on the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers. Bureau of Labor Statistics. U.S. Department of Labor.
    NOTE: Calculations were made using unrounded numbers. Some data have been revised from previously published figures.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics: The NCES Common Core of Data (CCD). "National Public Education Financial Survey," various years; Revenue Receipts from State Sources Model; and Global Insight, Inc. "U.S. Quarterly Model: February 2003 Lony-Term-Projections." (This table was prepared July 2003.)

