

Administration of Donald J. Trump, 2019

Remarks Following a Meeting With Congressional Leaders on Border Security and an Exchange With Reporters

January 4, 2019

The President. Thank you very much. We had a very, very productive meeting, and I think we've come a long way. I'll discuss that in a second.

National Economy

But first, I imagine you've all seen the incredible job growth—312,000 jobs—which took everybody by surprise. Estimates ranged from 160 [thousand]^{*} to 180,000. And this really took people by surprise. This is a great number. I think it has a lot to do with the factories and with the companies that are moving back into the United States, who have left, and now they're coming back to us instead of being in other countries. I can't tell you what that does to other countries, but I'm the President of this country.

So 312,000 jobs was a tremendous number and obviously having a big impact on the stock market today. And I do want people to remember that we've had a tremendous success, despite the fact that I'm in the midst of negotiating incredible trade deals for our country that should have been negotiated many years ago, by both parties, to be honest. But many years ago.

We are doing very well in our negotiation with China. We pretty much concluded our negotiation with Canada and with Mexico. We have done the deal and signed the deal with South Korea, which a lot of people said was not going to happen, would be impossible. It's a good deal. It was a horrible deal; it's a good deal.

I think a lot of this has to do with the fact, though, that already, companies are moving back into our country—that have left our country, in some cases. In some cases, they're moving back, because they want to be here. But in many cases, these are automobile companies that have left and gone to other countries, and now they're coming back to the United States. So it's nice to see.

One of the things that's so beautiful to watch is 3.2-percent wage growth. That hasn't happened in so long for our country. That's an incredible thing; that means people are actually getting more money, taking home more money. And that's something that's really nice to see. A lot of you have been following me when we were on a thing called a campaign. That was an exciting campaign. It was a great campaign. And I used to talk about wages going down, not going up, but going down for years, 19 years. And now they just went up 3.2 percent, and yet there's no inflation, because other things are going down, like the price of your gasoline at the tank. It's low. And that doesn't happen by luck. I work hard on that. That's like a tax cut for people.

So a lot of good things are happening. Labor participation rate increased to 63.1. And that's an incredible number also. So I just wanted to bring that out. The economy is very good. And remember, from the time of my election, the stock market has gone up very close to 30 percent. And that's with all of the things that are happening. And there are a lot of things happening.

^{*} White House correction.

We have a massive trade negotiation going on with China. President Xi is very much involved; so am I. We're dealing at the highest levels, and we're doing very well. We're doing very well. In the meantime, we've taken in billions and billions of dollars in tariffs from China and from others. Our steel industry has come roaring back, and that makes me very happy. I think we'll have to build a steel wall, as opposed to a concrete wall, because we have steel companies again. There's something awfully nice about that sound.

Border Security

So we had a productive meeting today with Speaker Pelosi and Senator Schumer. I thought it was, really, a very, very good meeting. We're all on the same path in terms of wanting to get Government open. We're going to be meeting—I've designated a group—and we're going to be meeting over the weekend, that group, to determine what we're going to do about the border. Really, I want to thank a lot of the Border Patrol people and ICE people who came up yesterday. They had a tremendous impact on, I think, a lot of Democrats, frankly, but a lot of people, because they were able to lay out exactly what the problem is.

And one of the problems described to me—as an example, you have ports of entry. We're going to agree with Chuck and Nancy and Steny—and Dick Durbin was there. And we're going to agree that—and we want to make the ports bigger, more powerful, able to handle more traffic; have very, very powerful drug equipment there. So they make very good stuff now. We don't have it because of budgets and other reasons. But we're going to make our ports of entry very powerful, very strong. We're going to have the best drug-finding equipment anywhere in the world. They make it much better today than they made it even 2 years ago.

And I explained to them: The problem is, though, we can have a wonderful port of entry, but you have 2,000 miles of border between the United States and Mexico. And if you take a look and you see, like we do, through certain technology—including cameras and airplanes, not just drones—you'll see vast numbers of vehicles driving through the desert and entering where you don't have a very powerful fence or a wall.

That happened this week, where a wonderful, young police officer—I spoke to his wife yesterday—where he was shot, viciously shot, for simply stopping a person that came over the border illegally. Got shot. Killed. And took the most beautiful picture just hours before, a Christmas picture. We don't want that happening.

But I was explained too, and I explained to people, because it's really common sense: So you have ports of entry, and we have great security at the ports of entry, and then you may have fencing or walls, up and down, left and right, east and west. But they stop, because we don't have proper border security.

These people have vehicles, and they drive to the right. They're not going through where we have great Border Patrol officers and ICE officers and military now. I tell you, the military has done a fantastic job. They don't stop. They go right to the easiest part and the weakest part, sometimes out in desert. But you have miles and miles and miles of unprotected area. And you can see where they drive over. You even have people walking that trek. And that's a very dangerous trek. And they bring children, or even worse, they use children.

You know, children are the biggest beneficiaries of what we want to do. Children are hurt more than anybody else. These coyotes, what they do with children, all because we have open borders, because they think they can get away with it. They don't come in through the port, where we have a lot of protection. They come in through empty areas, vast spaces—empty areas—just like this terrible person came in when he shot Officer Singh. They come in through

these vast, open areas. You don't even have a sign saying "Mexico/U.S." There's no sign designating you have just entered the United States. It's just open space.

And I explained that to the meeting today with Nancy Pelosi, Chuck Schumer, and a lot of other people at the meeting. And I said, one of the things that happens there is human traffickers—maybe that's the worst of all—where you'll have traffickers having three and four women, with tape on their mouths and tied up, sitting in the back of a van or a car, and they'll drive that van or the car not through a port of entry, where we have very talented people that look for every little morsel of drugs or even people or whatever they're looking for. Not going to go there. They get off the road, and they drive out into the desert, and they come on, they make a left turn. Usually, it's a left, not a right.

Most of them come out—because in San Diego and in areas of California, we just finished brandnew walls. Beautiful walls, steel walls. And they wanted them badly. They were asking us. That's why we did it there. I said, "Let's not do it in California." California always complains through their great Governors. They're always complaining. I said: "Let's not do it. Let the Governor ask us." But we did it anyway because they really needed it. They were having tremendous problems.

So we built a brandnew wall in San Diego, and it's working really well. You should go and look at it. It's amazing. It's incredible how well it works.

But these coyotes and these human traffickers, they make a right turn before they get to the port of entry. They go as far as the wall is, or as far as the barricade is, and then they make a left: "Welcome to the United States." And what they do with usually the women—sometimes children—that they're trafficking with, and in, you don't want to know about.

So the only way you're going to stop that is by having a solid steel structure or concrete structure, whether it's a wall or some form of very powerful steel. Now, the steel is actually more expensive than the concrete, but I think we're probably talking about steel, because I really feel the other side feels better about it. And I can understand what they're saying. It is more expensive.

We mentioned the price that we want—\$5.6 billion—very strongly. Because numbers are thrown around—1.6, 2.1, 2.5—this is national security we're talking about. We're not talking about games. We're talking about national security. This should have been done by all of the Presidents that preceded me. And they all know it. Some of them have told me that we should have done it.

So we're not playing games. We have to do it. And just remember, human traffickers. Remember drugs. The drugs are pouring into this country. They don't go through the ports of entry. When they do, they sometimes get caught. When we finish—and the Democrats do want this; they want ports of entry strengthened, and I want to do that too. In fact, we have it down. It's about \$400 million. And we can have the best equipment in the world.

Now what they'll do—if we have the protection and we have strong ports of entry, with this incredible drug-finding equipment, I don't know what they're going to do, because they're not coming in through past the steel gates or the steel walls or the concrete walls, depending on what's happening.

Because we are meeting this weekend. We have a group. I've set up a group. They are going to tell us who their group of experts and probably people in the Senate. And Congress men and women are going to come. And we have three—I said, give us three. Then, I said,

"You know what, send over nine or six or three or two." Doesn't matter. Send over whoever you want. But it's common sense.

So now, when they make that turn, they make it. And now, all of a sudden, they can't go any further, and they have to go back. And that's going to stop the caravans for two reasons. Number one, they're not going to be able to get through. But when they realize they can't get through, what's going to happen? They're not going to form, and they're not going to try and come up. And they can apply for asylum, and they can—most importantly, they can apply for citizenship, because the companies that I told you, that created these great job numbers—they're incredible job numbers, beyond anybody's expectations. I don't think there was one Wall Street genius—of which I know many of them, but they're not geniuses—there's not one that predicted anywhere close to these job numbers. I thought they were going to be good. But there wasn't one that I saw.

So now we have everything so beautifully handled. We need to have, however—we need border security. And all of this security, if we do what I think what the Democrats want, all of the border things that we'll be building will be done right here in the good, old U.S.A. by steel companies that were practically out of business when I came into office as President. And now they're thriving. You call up the heads of U.S. Steel, and I could name 10 companies. You look at what's going on with the steel industry, it's almost a miracle. It was a dead industry. We need steel for defense. We need steel for a lot of things—steel and aluminum.

But those industries were in deep trouble. The steel industry was almost dead, and now it's a very vibrant, vibrant industry.

So what I'm going to do is ask, first of all, Mike Pence, Vice President, to say a couple of words, because Mike is—we put together a team of people that will work over the weekend, and they'll be negotiating on the border, on the look, on different things having to do with border security, including at the ports of entry. And I think they're going to be very successful, because I found the Democrats really want to do something.

So we're at 5.6. If you look at it—\$5.6 billion. But we are able to also, in addition to that—because what we want to do has to be done properly, and we're negotiating very tough prices. Very, very tough. Because you heard much higher numbers. Those higher numbers were very much a misnomer. You heard twenty and twenty-five billion in DACA.

What happened is, when a judge incredibly—because it was an incredibly, I will say, wrong decision. In fact, President Obama, when he signed the DACA with the Executive order, made a statement to the effect, this isn't going to work. And some judge from the Ninth Circuit—here we go again—upheld it. And then, it was upheld by the Ninth Circuit appellate, and now it's going before the United States Supreme Court. And hopefully, that will be properly adjudicated. Because if it is, talks will begin on larger immigration matters having to do with DACA, having to do with other things.

So that is taking place. We may add a few things onto our discussions over the weekend. But I'm going to ask Mike Pence, and then I'll have Leader McCarthy say a few words, and we'll take a couple of questions.

But we're very proud of jobs and the job numbers. That was incredible. And I think I'll be even more proud if we can have great border security for the first time in, really, the history of our country. The southern border is a dangerous, horrible disaster. We've done a great job, but you can't really do the kind of job we have to do unless you have a major, powerful barrier. And that's what we're going to have to have.

So, first, we start with Mike Pence. Okay, Mike.

Vice President Michael R. Pence. Thank you, Mr. President. We are nearly 2 weeks into a Government shutdown, but our Nation is also in the midst of a crisis on our southern border. And today President Trump convened, for the second time this week, Republican and Democrat leaders from the Congress to address both issues. And we are truly grateful for the candid and constructive dialogue that took place today here, at the White House, with Speaker Pelosi and Leader Schumer, with our Republican Senate leadership as well. And we look forward to continued dialogue over the course of this weekend.

[*At this point, Vice President Pence continued his remarks, concluding as follows.*]

And, Mr. President, I promise you, as you promised the Republican and Democrat leaderships today, that we will work earnestly over the weekend. We will work in good faith. The American people deserve nothing less. And we enter this weekend and these discussions hopeful, again, not simply that we will end a budget shutdown, but that we will make, finally, meaningful progress on securing our southern border to prevent the flow of narcotics, human trafficking, illegal immigration that has so beset our country now for more than a generation. And with the President's leadership and direction, we'll hope to accomplish just that.

The President. Thank you, Mike. Thank you very much.

Kevin.

House Majority Leader Kevin O. McCarthy. I came down for the second time this week. Basing on the meeting that we had earlier in the week, I didn't expect the meeting to last very long. It was 2 hours. This was much more productive than we've had in any other time. I think there's places that we can find common ground.

The President was very strong what he needs to have happen here. We need border security. We want the Government to open. But I found, in our discussion, sometimes it was tough, but there is areas that we can find agreement on. That's when the President interjected that he actually thought what would be best: that he would designate three individuals, with the Vice President being the lead, to work through the weekend, build on this progress, and that each leader would also send. The President was very kind to the Speaker. I think you said she could bring twice as many, right? If need be.

The President. Five times as many.

Leader McCarthy. [*Laughter*] I know Mitch McConnell, Leader McConnell, is sending down. I'm sending Members down, staffers that can go work throughout the weekend. I know the President said he will call us back next week and invite us back as well.

We want to solve this problem. There is a great need to do it. And we can get it done; it just has to have the will. But I see the will from this administration. I will promise you this: I will work with anybody who wants to move America forward, secure our border, and put this Government back open. And I'm making that pledge here, and I hope every other leader will make that pledge as well. And if that means we're staying in the room to get it done, we will stay in the room and get this job done. And there was progress today. I look forward to solving it.

The President. Thank you, Steve. Steve, please.

House Minority Whip Stephen J. Scalise. Thank you, Mr. President. And I thought we had a very important and productive meeting today. And what this has always been about is

securing the border and keeping America safe. I want to thank the President for the commitment that he's made for over 2 years now to achieve what many Presidents promised. And we've seen the promises, but it was never followed through with actual law that gets this job done.

[Rep. Scalise continued his remarks, concluding as follows.]

As we work to open the Government, let's always keep the focus on what this is about. It's about securing this country. The Secretary of Homeland Security has made it clear what it's going to take to secure our border. President Trump is following through on that commitment to keep America safe. And hopefully, as we work through the weekend, we can get a resolution that achieves all of those objectives.

The President. Thank you very much, Steve. Thank you.

Q. Mr. President, you said the meeting was productive. How exactly was the meeting productive?

The President. Major [Major Garrett, CBS News], go ahead. Sure.

Federal Government Shutdown

Q. Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. President, Senator Schumer came out and said that the meeting, from his point of view and Speaker Pelosi's, was contentious. He also said you said in the meeting—this is him quoting you; I just want to check—that "the shutdown could go on for months or even a year or longer." Did you say that?

The President. I did.

Q. Is that your—

The President. I did.

Q. Is that your assessment of where we are?

The President. Border security—I did say that. Absolutely, I said that. I don't think it will, but I am prepared. And I think I can speak for Republicans in the House and Republicans in the Senate. And they feel very strongly about having a safe country, having a border that makes sense. Without borders—I've said it many times—we don't have a country. I hope it doesn't go on even beyond a few more days. It really could open very quickly.

I told them that, bring who you want. We have three people. You can ideally bring three, but you can bring six, you can bring nine, you can bring twelve. And they're going to be working over the weekend. I think it may have been somewhat contentious, but I think it was very productive, I have to say that. And I think he said that too.

Border Security

Q. What was the productive part, from your point of view, Mr. President? Did the Democrats move at all in your direction on funding of a border barrier?

The President. Well, I don't want to get into that because I don't want to put them in a position where they have to justify anything to a lot of the people that they have to make happy—

Q. Ultimately, they'd have, wouldn't they?

The President. We want to save lives. We want children to be safe. The children are being decimated. And I'm not talking about necessarily children in our country. I'm talking about wonderful children that are coming up from other places, whether it's Honduras or Guatemala or El Salvador or Mexico or other places.

And we have to take care of those children also. We can't let them die on the way up. What's happening to women on those caravans, you're not talking about it, but it's horrible what's happening. If they know it's not going to take place because they can't get through because we have a great border wall or fence or barrier, they're not going to come up, and you're not going to have the problem.

At the same time, they can apply to come into our country legally, like so many people have done. And we need people, Major. We have to have people. Because we have all these companies coming in. We need great people. But we want them to come in on a merit basis, and they have to come in on a merit basis. They can't come in the way they've been coming in for years.

I get calls from the great tech companies, and they're saying we don't allow people at the top of their class, at the best schools in the country, we don't allow them to stay in our country. So they end up going back to China and Japan and so many other countries all over the world, and we don't keep them. They get educated at our finest schools, and then we don't allow them, through a various set of circumstances, to have any guarantees of staying. So we lose out on great minds. We can't do that.

We have companies that, if we don't change that—and we're working on that, and we discussed that with the Democrats, and I think they agree. We're working on that. But we don't want to lose our great companies because we have a ridiculous policy that we won't accept smart people. So call it politically correct or not, but we have to let these great, brilliant companies have the smartest people in the world.

Yes, ma'am.

Q. Mr. President——

Q. Mr. President, are you still——

The President. Hold it. One at a time, please. Go ahead.

Federal Government Shutdown

Q. Mr. President, why not reopen the Government to create more space to have that broader conversation about immigration reform?

The President. Well, we think it can go very quickly. No, we won't be opening until it's solved. We think this is a much bigger problem. The border is a much more dangerous problem. It's a much bigger problem. It's a problem of national security. It's a problem of terrorists.

You know, I talk about human traffickers. I talk about drugs. I talk about gangs. But a lot of people don't say, we have terrorists coming through the southern border, because they find that's probably the easiest place to come through. They drive right in, and they make a left. Not going to happen. Not going to happen.

Q. Did you talk to——

The President. So we're not going to do that. We won't be doing pieces. We won't be doing it in drips and drabs.

And I'll tell you what: I've seen a lot of people over the last week and a half. I've been right in this magnificent structure right behind you—it's called the White House. And I was here on Christmas, and I was here. My family was in Florida. I said, go to Florida. And I didn't even find it to be a lonely place. There's something very special about the White House.

But I was here at Christmas. I was here on New Year's Eve. And I will tell you, the people that I've spoken with—and I've gotten to meet a lot of people that I wouldn't have met. A lot of people have been coming through the White House and explaining different things and different attitudes. A lot of people that you think are upset—and certainly, they're not thrilled—but they say: "Sir, do the right thing. We need border security." And these are people that won't be getting paid.

Border Patrol, yesterday, was saying: "Sir, we're affected by it. Do what's right. It's time." This is after many, many decades. Many, many decades. This should have taken place a long time ago. We're going to get it done.

Yes, ma'am.

Q. Are you going to——

Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals Policy/Border Security

Q. Thank you. Two questions for you. Was DACA a part of the discussions today?

The President. Yes.

Q. And also, why did it take this many days for a working group to come together? Why didn't you just hash the details out today?

The President. Well, sometimes, that's what happens in a negotiation. It does take longer than it should. And sometimes, you agree to things that could have been agreed to 2 weeks ago. But that's just the way a negotiation is.

I mean, we set out a number, \$5.6 billion. We're very firm on a number. We also explained that, as you probably understand, the military is very affected. We may use the military for parts of it. Homeland Security obviously is very affected. We may, in addition to the 5.6, we will use Homeland Security funds.

So we have things happening, in addition to the 5.6, but we have to get a structure built.

Q. Mr. President——

Q. Was DACA part of the discussion?

The President. Please, go ahead. Go ahead.

Q. Just to follow up, was DACA discussed?

Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals Policy

Q. Thank you, Mr. President. To follow up on that question, when have—with respect to the DACA program, were you discussing that in terms of a pathway to citizenship being included in the end to the partial Government shutdown?

The President. Well, we discussed it a long time ago, as you remember. That was when they had this mythical number of \$25 billion. But actually, it was \$25 billion, but only \$1 billion

up front. Then we talked \$2 billion, \$1½ billion. The rest of it, the Government couldn't guarantee, because it's not set up to guarantee. You remember those discussions.

But where it really ended was when the judge ruled against, and it was—I said, as soon as that happened—because that was a shocking decision. It was shocking to the Democrats, and it was more shocking to the Republicans. It was an incorrect decision. It was a political decision made by a judge. And I know a lot of people don't like when I say it, but try going there sometime, to the Ninth Circuit, and try winning a case. Not easy. Everyone files right in the Ninth Circuit.

The fact is, it was a terrible decision and an incorrect decision. When that decision came down, when that judge ruled the way he ruled, I said, as soon as I heard it, I said, you know what's going to happen? We're never going to hear from them again. And that's exactly. That's what broke up the DACA deal.

Yes, we had a pathway. We had many things. That was getting close to being a deal. The problem was that the money was a very small amount of money. It wasn't really the 25; it was 25, and then come back every year. Well, we don't want to go through that every year.

But we were getting close. And I said, as soon as that decision came down, that incorrect and horrible decision. I mean, there's been a number of them made lately. But as soon as that decision came down, I said, "You'll never hear from them again." And I called up, I said: "Hi, it's President Trump. What's going on?" They say, "President Trump, we don't know who that is." It was over. The deal was over. That's what killed the DACA deal. It was nothing else. It was the judge's decision.

And if the Supreme Court does what, really, everyone thinks from a legal standpoint it should be doing; if they don't allow the President of the United States, which is me, also—because if President Obama is allowed to do that, I'm allowed to do it also. Can you imagine? If the Supreme Court overrules that wrong Executive order, we'll have a deal very quickly on DACA and other things. And the Democrats want that, and so do we.

But once he ruled that way, it was something you couldn't really negotiate.

Yes, Hallie [Hallie Jackson, NBC News].

Federal Government Shutdown

Q. Thank you, sir. Mr. President, two questions for you. Are you still proud to own this shutdown?

The President. Well, you know, I appreciate the way you say that. But once—I'm very proud of doing what I'm doing. I don't call it a shutdown. I call it, "doing what you have to do for the benefit and for the safety of our country."

But when Nancy Pelosi said, "You don't have the votes in Congress," I will tell you what I was proud of: I was never more proud of my Republican Party and those Congress men and women when they saw that, and they got together, and they voted 217 to 185. And it wasn't even close. That was an incredible day—I'm very proud of that—when she said you couldn't get the vote.

And I'm not holding that against her—because despite the fact that I'm not saying it was an easy meeting or even a kind meeting or a nice meeting—but in the end, I think we've come a long way. We're going to be working very hard over the weekend, and we'll see if we can do something.

So you can call it whatever you want. You can call it the Schumer or the Pelosi or the Trump shutdown. Doesn't make any difference to me. It's just words.

Q. Mr. President, my second question, sir, on Federal workers.

The President. Go ahead.

Q. Sir, Mr. President, my second question on Federal workers, sir.

The President. Yes, just 1 second. Just 1 second, please. Please. Go ahead.

Federal Government Shutdown/Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals Policy

Q. It may be the same question. Thank you, Mr. President. Two questions. Just to follow up on DACA——

The President. Yes.

Q. ——are you open to a path to citizenship, in theory, for DACA recipients? And then, can you explain to Federal employees of the agencies that are closed, which are not Homeland Security, why those agencies should stay closed? Homeland Security is significant in and of itself, if it's staying closed.

The President. Because we want to do what's right, and we want to do it all at one time. We don't want to take it in pieces. We just don't want to do that.

And DACA is going to be a great subject. I look forward to discussing it. We'll discuss it at another time. But there are a lot of great things that can happen with DACA if the Democrats want to do that.

I think what we're all waiting for, to be honest, is the Supreme Court judge—judges—the Supreme Court Justices' ruling in a not very long period of time. You know, as you know, it's up now; hopefully, they will be making a ruling maybe sometime in the summer.

So I think before we discuss too much DACA, I would like to see what happens. I think it's a very important decision. Because, frankly, if they rule the way it is, it gives the President too much power. Can you imagine me saying that? But I would be entitled to the same power.

It's not a correct thing that took place. And President Obama never felt it was going to hold up. And it held up. But I don't think it's going to hold up at the Supreme Court. If it doesn't hold up, you're going to see a lot of good things happening, because you'll be having DACA, and you'll be putting other things with DACA. Hopefully, by that time, the wall will be well under construction.

And just a little statement on that: We've already built a lot of the wall. We've been working very, very hard. We've renovated a tremendous amount of wall. I just told you we did a lot of wall in San Diego, where we needed it very badly, where they wanted it very badly.

So we haven't been sitting still for the first, believe it or not, less than 2 years. We've been working very, very hard. The wall is—we've done a lot of miles of wall already. So we're not just starting off fresh, but we have large numbers of miles that we have to do. And we can't let gaps, because if you have gaps, those people are going to turn their vehicles, or the gangs are—they're going to be coming in through those gaps. And we cannot let that happen.

Kevin [Kevin Corke, Fox News]. Kevin. Kevin.

Q. Sir, isn't——

Impeachment/The President's Accomplishments

Q. Thank you, Mr. President. Appreciate that. A question about Government employees: What is your personal message to those who are impacted by the shutdown? And if you wouldn't mind, if you could comment on the remarks made a freshman Congressperson about impeachment, involving a bit of a profanity last night.

The President. Well, you can't impeach somebody that's doing a great job. That's the way I view it. I've probably done more in the first 2 years than any President, any administration, in the history of our country.

If you look at tax cuts, you look at regulations, you look at what we've done for the vets, you look at the rebuilding of the military and the numbers that we're talking about and many other things—I could give you a list that's pages long. So I think it's very hard to impeach somebody who's done a great job. That's number one.

And we even talked about that today. I said, "Why don't you use this for impeachment?" And Nancy said, "We're not looking to impeach you." I said: "That's good, Nancy. That's good." But you know what? You don't impeach people when they're doing a good job. And you don't impeach people when there was no collusion. Because there was no collusion. You know Russians better than I do, Kevin. Okay?

Q. Okay.

The President. There was no collusion. I didn't need Russians to help me win Iowa. I didn't need Russians to help me win the great State of Wisconsin and Michigan and Pennsylvania. I won them because I went there and I campaigned hard. And my opponent didn't go there enough, and she lost a lot of good States. A lot of States that for many, many years, for decades, have gone Democrat, they went Republican. That's why I won.

Q. Your comment——

The President. Not because of Russia.

Representative Rashida Tlaib

Q. Your comment about the freshman Congressperson's comments, specifically about——

The President. Well, I thought her comments were disgraceful. This is a person that I don't know. I assume she's new. I think she dishonored herself, and I think she dishonored her family. Using language like that in front of her son, and whoever else was there, I thought that was a great dishonor to her and to her family. I thought it was it was highly disrespectful to the United States of America.

Yes. Go ahead.

Border Security/U.S.-Mexico-Canada Agreement

Q. Thank you, Mr. President. Earlier this week, you repeated your claim that, through the USMCA, Mexico will be paying for the wall.

The President. That's right.

Q. Can you describe in detail the specific mechanisms in the trade deal that will make Mexico pay for the wall?

The President. Well, you're going to be seeing it very soon. We made a new deal—a new trade deal. NAFTA has been one of the great disasters of all time, probably the worst trade deal ever made, maybe. We lost millions of jobs, thousands and thousands of companies. NAFTA left our country dry. NAFTA was a disaster.

I campaigned on either terminating or renegotiating NAFTA. And Bob Lighthizer and Jared Kushner and a whole group of people did an incredible job. They did an incredible job. And now we have a deal that's great for our country and, by the way, good for Mexico, good for Canada, also. As you know, it's the three countries.

We will be taking in billions and billions of dollars more money for the United States, including jobs, including companies that won't be leaving us anymore and going to Mexico and, in some cases, Canada, to a lesser extent. But we lost 25 percent of our car business because of NAFTA. NAFTA was a disaster.

Now we have the USMCA. It's the United States, Mexico, and Canada Trade Agreement. It's brandnew. It's totally different. It makes it very difficult for companies to, incentive wise, move to other countries. And we will be making billions and billions of dollars a year more money. And that is paying——

Q. So why not use that for the wall though?

The President. Excuse me. Because I didn't have to. That is paying for the wall many, many times over. In fact, what we save on the USMCA—the new trade deal we have with Mexico and Canada—what we save on that, just with Mexico, will pay for the wall many times over just in a period of a year, 2 years, and 3 years. So I view that as, absolutely, Mexico is paying for the wall. And that's fine.

Q. Will you be pressuring Putin——

Yes. Yes, ma'am. Go.

Border Security

Q. Mr. President, thank you. You ran your campaign promising supporters that Mexico is going to pay for the wall——

The President. Oh, here we go again. Okay.

Q. ——and that the wall was going to be made of concrete. You just said earlier that the wall could be made of steel. And right now, our Government is shut down over a demand from your administration that the American taxpayer pay for the wall. So how can you say you're not failing on that promise to your supporters?

The President. A very nice question so beautifully asked, even though I just answered it.

Q. You didn't answer it.

The President. Look, let me just tell you——

Q. The USMCA has not even passed Congress yet, sir.

The President. Excuse me. Excuse me. Are you ready? Are you ready? I just told you that we just made a trade deal, and we will take in billions and billions of dollars, far more than the cost of the wall. The wall is peanuts compared to what the value of this trade deal is to the United States.

As far as concrete, I said I was going to build a wall. I never said, "I'm going to build a concrete . . ."—I said I'm going to build a wall.

Q. You said—you've concrete.

The President. Just so you—because I know you're not into the construction business. You don't understand something: We now have a great steel business that's rebuilt in the United States. Steel is stronger than concrete. If I build this wall or fence or anything the Democrats need to call it, because I'm not into names, I'm into production. I'm into something that works—if I build a steel wall rather than a concrete wall, it will actually be stronger than a concrete. Steel is stronger than concrete. Okay? In case you—you can check it out.

Listen, if I build a wall, and the wall is made out of steel instead of concrete, I think people will like that. And here's the other good thing: I'll have it done by the United States Steel Corporation, by companies in our country that are now powerful, great companies again. And they've become powerful over the last 2 years because of me and because of our trade policies.

So if I have a steel wall—or you could call it a steel fence—but it'll be more powerful than any of the concrete walls that we're talking about. It's possible that it will look better.

And one of the things—I think you have seen this—that's very important for us—very, very important—in speaking to Border Patrol, ICE and, actually, local law enforcement, and even military: They want to be able to see through it. You can't really see through a concrete wall. They want to be able to see who's on the other side of the wall. Because if they're here, and you have about a 12-inch concrete wall, and you have people on the other side, but you can't see what's over there, it's very dangerous. They want to be able to see through the wall. A see-through wall made out of steel is far stronger than a concrete wall. So I'm very happy with it.

I think—I think; I'm not sure, but I think—that's what the Democrats prefer. And if it can get them there, I'm okay. It actually will be a more powerful wall, and it will be a more beautiful wall than having a concrete wall.

Q. So if the new trade deal, Mr. President.

The President. Jeff [Jeff Mason, Reuters]. Jeff, go ahead.

Q. Mr. President, if the new trade deal is going to pay for the wall, why is the Government shutdown right now?

Q. Mr. President, you——

The President. Go ahead. Go ahead, Jeff.

China-U.S. Trade/North Korea

Q. You mentioned China, sir, in your remarks. Are you concerned about the words and the actions of Apple this week with regard to revenue? And can you tell us what sort of progress you meant when you were referring to trade talks with China?

The President. Well, I think we're doing very well. China is paying us tremendous tariffs. We're getting billions and billions of dollars of money pouring into the Treasury of the United States, which, in history, we've never gotten from China. As you know, it's been very unfair.

I had a fantastic meeting with President Xi, who I both like and respect. One of the things that came out of that meeting was fentanyl. As you know, almost all of it comes from China. And he's going to now criminalize the making of fentanyl. And unlike our country, they have unbelievably strong prohibitions about drugs. That was not on their list. They view it as a—I guess, as some kind of a commercial product. Now they view it as something that's very dangerous.

They're going to be changing their laws to make—to make fentanyl a criminal—a criminal—process if you're making fentanyl. If they do that, you know what their ultimate is; it's called the death penalty. I think that could have a tremendous—and I thanked President Xi very much.

It was the first question I said to him before we started the trade talks in Argentina. This was a meeting that was supposed to last for about 45 minutes, and it ended up being almost 4 hours. Some of you were there. It was a great meeting. We'll see what happens. You never know with a deal.

But I will tell you, China is not doing well now, and it puts us in a very strong position. We are doing very well. But we're taking in billions and billions of dollars, and I hope we're going to make a deal with China. And if we don't, they're paying us tens of billions of dollars' worth of tariffs. It's not the worst thing in the world.

Q. But, sir, have——

The President. But I think we will make a deal with China. I really think they want to. I think they sort of have to. And I think we're going to have a great relationship. I think that President Xi and myself have a great relationship.

Also, North Korea. We're doing very well with North Korea, and that's based on relationship also.

Yes.

Q. Can you just—Mr. President, just to follow up——

The President. All right. Go ahead. One more quick one. Go ahead, Jeff.

Stock Market/Apple Inc.

Q. Just to follow up, sir. Avenue—or Apple, rather, issued a revenue warning this week, which led its stock to go down and the rest of the stock market to go down as well. Are you concerned about that?

The President. No, I'm not. I mean, look, they've gone up a lot. You know, they've gone up hundreds of percent since I'm President. Apple was at a number that was incredible. And they're going to be fine. Apple is a great company, but that's not my—look, I have to worry about our country.

Q. But you were talking about——

The President. Don't forget—don't forget this: Apple makes their product in China. I told Tim Cook, who's a friend of mine, who I like a lot: "Make your product in the United States. Build those big, beautiful plants that go on for miles, it seems. Build those plants in the United States." I like that even better.

Apple makes its product in China. China is the biggest beneficiary of Apple, more than us, because they build their product mostly in China. But now he's investing \$350 billion because of what we did with taxes and the incentives that we created. In the United States, he's going to build a campus, and lots of other places.

Q. [Inaudible]

The President. So my focus is the United States. I want to get those companies to come back like so many are doing into the United States. I want Apple to make their iPhones and all of the great things that they make in the United States. And that'll take place.

Q. Mr. President, Mr. President——

Q. [Inaudible]—about the border?

Q. Thank you.

Q. Would you focus on the border?

Q. Thank you.

The President. Please. Go ahead.

Federal Employees/Border Security/Eminent Domain

Q. Thank you, Mr. President. I want to ask you two questions. One, as you talk about the wall—eminent domain—many of those who own property on the southern border will lose their property because of this. And once this happens, they say that they could go to court with you for years. It could take years.

Then, also, what is the safety net for Federal workers? You're saying months and possibly a year for this shutdown. Do you have in mind a safety net for those who need their checks, those who need SSI, those who need Medicaid, what have you?

The President. Well, the safety net is going to be having a strong border, because we're going to be safe. I'm not talking about economically, but ultimately economically. I really believe that these people—many of the people that we're talking about, many of the people you're discussing—I really believe that they agree with what we're doing.

And we can have this—April [April Ryan, American Urban Radio Networks], we can have this fixed very quickly. We can—this can happen by early next week. We're going to be working over the weekend. We could have a solution to this, but I wanted to keep it all at one point. And I think a lot of people that you're referring to, April, are going—are really wanting that to happen too. I really believe a lot of them want to see border security. And they're willing to give it up.

I had—when I had the people in yesterday—and they represent most of the Border Patrol. The people that you had yesterday that were at the news conference, they represent most of Border Patrol. Every one of them said: "Don't even think about us. Get this fixed. That is doing the great thing for our country."

As far as eminent domain, you're right, a hundred percent. Eminent domain is very interesting. But without eminent domain, you wouldn't have any highways, you wouldn't have any schools, you wouldn't have any roadways. What we're doing with eminent domain is, in many cases, we'll make a deal upfront. And we've already done that. The Secretary has done a lot of that. And if we can't make a deal, we take the land, and we pay them through a court

process, which goes, actually, fairly quickly. And we're generous. But we take the land. Otherwise, you could never build anything.

If you didn't use eminent domain, you wouldn't have one highway in this country. You have to use eminent domain. It's actually something you don't want to use it, but if you're going to do a stretch—as an example, on pipelines and other things that go—you have to use eminent domain; otherwise, you'd never be able to buy the land.

If we had one person that wouldn't sell us—out of hundreds, just one; it only takes one—then we wouldn't be able to build proper border security because we'd have that big opening that I was talking about.

So what happens is, some are paid up front. You make a deal up front. And we're willing to do that in all cases. And when they're unwilling to make a deal, which also happens, then you go to court. But in the meantime, we're able to build the border security. So I think it's a fair process. I think it's a process that's very necessary. But I think it's fair.

Q. But that could hold—couldn't that hold up your wall?

The President. No, it's not going to hold it up, because under the military version of eminent domain and under, actually, Homeland Security, we can do it before we even start. Now, a lot of times, we'll make a deal, and I would say a good percentage of the time, we're making deals. We have already purchased a lot of it. You know, a lot of the money that we've been given has already been spent on purchasing the land, the right of way. It's essentially a right of way.

So we are very, very far along on that. But eminent domain is something that has to be used. Usually, you would say for anything that's long, like a road, like a pipeline, or like a wall or a fence.

Okay? Thank you. Good question. It's a good question.

Please. Please, go ahead.

Q. Thank you, sir. Two questions.

The President. [Inaudible]—Mike.

Q. First, Mr. President, have you considered using emergency powers—

The President. Should we keep this going or not, folks?

Q. Sure. [Laughter] Please.

The President. I just don't want to say, "Oh, he stood out there." You know, you have so many questions. I'm just looking at Mike and Steve and Kevin. I'm saying, should we—and most importantly, Madam Secretary.

Leader McCarthy. None of us brought coats. [Laughter]

The President. I'm just—oh, are you cold?

Leader McCarthy. No.

The President. Get out of here. [Laughter] Here, take mine. You want mine?

No, I'm just saying, should we keep this going a little bit longer?

Q. Please. Yes, indeed.

The President. Go ahead.

Q. So first——

The President. Let me know when you get tired.

The President's National Emergency Powers/Border Security

Q. I'm not. Have you considered using emergency powers to grant yourself authorities to build this wall without congressional approval? And second, on Mexico——

The President. Yes, I have.

Q. You have?

The President. Yes, I have. And I can do it if I want.

Q. So you don't need congressional approval to build the wall?

The President. No, we can use them—absolutely, we can call a national emergency because of the security of our country. Absolutely. No, we can do it. I haven't done it. I may do it. I may do it. But we can call a national emergency and build it very quickly. And it's another way of doing it.

But if we can do it through a negotiated process, we're giving that a shot.

Q. So is that a threat hanging over the Democrats?

The President. I never threaten anybody. But I am allowed to do that, yes.

Q. Second question.

The President. It's called a national emergency.

U.S.-Mexico-Canada Agreement

Q. On Mexico, the benefits from that trade deal are going to go to private companies, private citizens. So you're talking about tax revenues.

The President. No, they're going to pay tremendous tax.

Q. So it's American taxpayers?

The President. I'll give you an example. When a company was going to leave for Mexico—or Canada—but for Mexico, because we lost tremendous amounts of our car business, like 25 percent, to Mexico. If they stay, all of those taxes that they have been paying—real estate taxes, sales taxes, employee/employer taxes, tremendous taxes that nobody even understands they pay. They're tremendous. Income taxes—Federal income taxes, State income taxes, in some cases. All of those taxes stay with us.

The wall is—you know—it's great. But the USMCA, which gives a disincentive for companies to leave. It's a tremendous disincentive. Anybody that leaves after this deal is done—look, it's one of the primary reasons that I like it. Because I can live pre-NAFTA too. The only thing I can't live with is NAFTA. I could live pre-NAFTA, before NAFTA, before everybody left New England and left all of the different places: Ohio, Pennsylvania. I mean, you still have empty steel factories all over the place and other factories. I can live pre-NAFTA, very easily. But the only thing I'm not living with is NAFTA. That was one of the worst trade deals ever made.

U.S.-Mexico-Canada Agreement/Border Security

Q. So those are American taxpayer dollars, which you consider essentially Mexico paying for the wall?

The President. Well, many, many times over. Look, the USMCA will make, in the form that we right now are losing approximately a hundred—hard to believe. And this doesn't include the drugs pouring in, which is probably a much higher number than anybody would even know, in addition to destroying lives and families so horribly. We are losing close to a hundred billion dollars a year on trade with Mexico, for many years. Not only that, they have a tax of 17 percent. We don't have a tax.

So they have a value-added tax of 17 percent. We don't have a tax of 17 percent. That deal was bad the day it was made. Because they charged the tax before the deal was made, and we didn't. It was an obsolete deal when it was made, like 30 years ago, whenever it was.

No, no. All of this stuff is changing now. This is a fair deal. This is a good deal for Mexico. Frankly, oil companies and other companies have an incentive now to go to Mexico and take oil out. And that's why we're keeping gasoline prices so low. You look at what's going on with gasoline prices. I mean, it's rather incredible.

If you look back four months ago, oil hit \$83 a barrel. Eighty-three. It was heading to 100, and then it could have gone to 125. You want to see problems? Let that happen. After I made some phone calls to OPEC and the OPEC nations, which is essentially a monopoly, all of a sudden, it started coming down.

I'm very happy with what's happened, and I'm very happy that people are paying a lot less, in many cases, than \$2 a gallon for gasoline. You look at what's happening—everyone is talking about—didn't happen by luck, it happened through talent.

Q. Mr. President, you said that you could——

Q. Mr. President——

The President. Go ahead. Go ahead. Go ahead.

Federal Government Shutdown/The President's Cabinet/Border Security

Q. Thank you. If this shutdown, sir, does last—since you said you'd come back for the second question—months or years, I want to understand how you expect Federal workers to last that long without getting a paycheck, specifically since your Cabinet members——

The President. Look, I don't think it will. I'm prepared——

Q. Well, sir, your Cabinet members are set to get raises tomorrow.

The President. Right.

Q. How is that fair?

The President. Well, we'll have to talk to the Cabinet members then, okay? I'm sure they don't even know that. Let me just say——

Q. Will you ask them to give that money back?

The President. Let me just tell you this: It's very important that we have great border security. I think it's going to be over with sooner than people think. But I will do whatever we have to do. If we have to stay out for a very long period of time, we're going to do that. And many of those people—maybe even most of those people—that really have not been and will

not be getting their money in at this moment—those people, in many cases, are the biggest fan of what we're doing.

Q. How do you know that, sir? Do you have evidence to support that?

The President. All right. Please. Major, go ahead.

The President's Cabinet

Q. Well, just to follow up on that, Mr. President. The \$10,000 raise that your Cabinet members and senior administration officials are due to receive starting tomorrow, will you ask them not to accept that, at least during the shutdown itself?

The President. Well, I might consider that. You know, that's something I may consider. That's a very good question.

Okay, who else is out there? Mike, who do you see out there that's always been very fair to us?

Q. Is there a commitment on that, Mr. President? Is there a commitment? Are you committing that, Mr. President? Mr. President, are you committing to that?

The President. Go ahead. Yes, good. Go ahead. Go ahead.

Q. Thank you.

The President. No—that's right. Right?

Q. Thank you, Mr. Vice President.

The President. Mike likes you. *[Laughter]*

Q. Thank you, sir.

The President. Mike highly recommended you. Watch, this will be the killer of them all. Go ahead.

Federal Employees/Border Security

Q. Well, two questions, if that's all right. First one is kind of a follow-up for that. For—you were a landlord. For people that are worried about paying their rent checks—Government employees, right now; people that are worried about bill collectors—would you ask those companies, their landlords, to kind of go easy on Federal—

The President. I think they will. I think they will.

Q. But do you—I'm sorry, would you ask them, sir?

The President. No, I think that happens. You know—hey, I've been a landlord for a long time. I've been in the real estate business for a long time. When you see there are problems out there, difficulties out there—you know, the people are all good for the money—they work with people. They work with people.

Q. But, but—so you would encourage landlords—

The President. I would, sure. I would encourage them to be nice and easy. We have a bigger subject that we're doing. It's called "the security of our Nation," including terrorism, please. Okay.

Q. If you don't mind, one more question, sir. And—but, if you don't mind—

The President. Go ahead. Please, go ahead.

Q. Okay, thank you, sir. Oh. I'm sorry—

The President. Go ahead. No, no, come on.

Q. Okay, sorry. Excuse me.

Q. Thank you, Mr. President.

The President. Thank you.

Senate Majority Leader A. Mitchell McConnell

Q. I had a question about the terrorism. I wanted to ask: Who are these individuals on—who are being captured terrorists? Are they people on the watch list? Are they from travel-ban countries? A second question I wanted to ask: Why is Senator Mitch McConnell not here? Why was he not invited to this?

The President. Oh, he's been great. He's been really fantastic. Mitch McConnell—first of all, he was here. He was with us for hours at the meeting.

Q. Why he is not here at this—here in the Rose Garden right now?

The President. Because he's running the Senate. I mean, Mitch McConnell has been fantastic. He has been really great. He's right at the top of everything that we're doing, and he's really been fantastic.

Kirstjen, do you want to answer that question about the terrorists, please?

Secretary of Homeland Security Kirstjen M. Nielsen. Sure. So, obviously, I can't get into classified information. But what we do know is we've stopped—CBP has stopped over 3,000, what we call, special-interest aliens trying to come into the country on the southern border. Those are aliens who the intel community has identified are of concern. They either have travel patterns that are identified as terrorist travel patterns, or they have known or suspected ties to terrorism.

So we have 3,000 that we know about. I think what the President continues to make clear is, it's our sovereign duty to know who comes into our country. Without any kind of a structure and without changing the laws, we have no way to know the identity of every person that walks across the unsecured border.

So the ones we know about, we can give you 3,000. But I'm sure we can give you—we'll look to see what else we can give you unclass. Obviously, there's ongoing investigations that I can't get into.

Q. Mr. President—

Q. Mr. Vice President, could you answer some questions?

The President. So I think that we can say with surety, I think it was a great meeting. We'll see what happens. It may get solved. It may not get solved. You now know the number. You now know what we're willing to do. And if we have to do it, we'll do it.

And again, we're going to be, I believe, very productive over the weekend. We have a very talented group. They have a very talented group of people, so I understand. And I think some tremendous things will happen. And I really believe the biggest beneficiaries of what we're doing are children, are women, are workers. And a lot of these people that really do benefit are

not only the people in our country, but the people that travel up trying to get into a country that they think they're going to get into and they can't. And they get sick, and there's tremendous damage done to them and their families. These are all tremendous beneficiaries of what we're doing.

So this really does have a higher purpose than next week's pay. And the people that won't get next week's pay or the following week's pay, I think if you ever really looked at those people, I think they'd say: "Mr. President, keep going. This is far more important."

I want to thank you all. And we'll see you soon. They'll be working very hard over the weekend. Thank you very much. Thank you.

Q. Mr. Vice President, have you turned down a raise? Mr. Vice President, have you turned down a raise?

Vice President Pence. Yes. Yes, I would.

Q. Any comment on Paul Whelan, Mr. President?

Vice President Pence. I'll get you a statement.

NOTE: The President spoke at 1:58 p.m. in the Rose Garden at the White House. In his remarks, he referred to House Majority Leader Steny H. Hoyer; Sen. Richard J. Durbin; Anamika "Mika" Chand-Singh, wife, of Newman, CA, police officer Cpl. Ronil Singh, who was killed during a traffic stop on December 26, 2018; Gustavo Perez Arriaga, suspected gunman in the shooting of Corp. Singh, who was arrested on December 28, 2018; Kim McLane Wardlaw, judge, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit; 2016 Democratic Presidential nominee Hillary Rodham Clinton; White House Senior Adviser Jared C. Kushner; and Timothy D. Cook, chief executive officer, Apple Inc. He also referred to H.R. 695. Reporters referred to President Vladimir Vladimirovich Putin of Russia; and Paul Whelan, a U.S. citizen who was arrested and held on espionage charges by Russian security services in Moscow, Russia on December 28, 2018.

Categories: Addresses and Remarks : Border security, meeting with congressional leaders; Interviews With the News Media : Exchanges with reporters :: White House.

Locations: Washington, DC.

Names: Chand-Singh, Anamika "Mika"; Clinton, Hillary Rodham; Cook, Timothy D.; Durbin, Richard J.; Hoyer, Steny H.; Kushner, Jared C.; Lighthizer, Robert E.; McCarthy, Kevin O.; McConnell, A. Mitchell; Nielsen, Kristjen M.; Obama, Barack; Pelosi, Nancy; Pence, Michael R.; Perez Arriaga, Gustavo; Scalise, Stephen J.; Schumer, Charles E.; Tlaib, Rashida; Wardlaw, Kim McLane; Xi Jinping.

Subjects: Armed Forces, U.S. : Funding; Armed Forces, U.S. : Servicemembers :: Deployment; Business and industry : Automobile industry :: Improvement; Business and industry : Domestic investment, promotion efforts; Business and industry : Domestic steel and aluminum production, promotion efforts; Canada : Free trade agreement with Mexico; Canada : Free trade agreement with U.S.; China : President; China : Trade with U.S.; China : U.S. tariff structure, strengthening efforts; Commerce, international : Aluminum and steel imports, U.S. tariffs; Commerce, international : Free and fair trade; Commerce, international : North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA); Commerce, international : U.S. tariff structure, strengthening efforts; Communications : News media :: Presidential interviews; Congress :

Bipartisanship; Congress : House of Representatives :: Minority leader; Congress : House of Representatives :: Speaker; Congress : Members, meetings with President; Congress : Senate :: Majority leader; Congress : Senate :: Minority leader; Defense and national security : Border security; Drug abuse and trafficking : Foreign narcotics traffickers; Drug abuse and trafficking : Illegal drugs, interdiction efforts; Drug abuse and trafficking : Opioid epidemic, efforts to combat; Economy, national : Household income and wages; Economy, national : Improvement; Economy, national : Strengthening efforts; Employment and unemployment : Job creation and growth; Energy : Gasoline, oil, and natural gas costs; Government organization and employees : Funding lapse and partial furlough; Homeland Security, Department of : Customs and Border Protection, U.S.; Homeland Security, Department of : Immigration and Customs Enforcement, U.S.; Homeland Security, Department of : Secretary; Immigration and naturalization : Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) policy, review; Immigration and naturalization : Foreign nationals and refugees, U.S. admission policy; Immigration and naturalization : Illegal immigration; Immigration and naturalization : Reform; Judiciary : Judicial review; Law enforcement and crime : Trafficking in persons, efforts to combat; Law enforcement and crime : Transnational criminal organizations; Law enforcement and crime : Violence against law enforcement officers, prevention efforts; Legislation, proposed : Further Additional Continuing Appropriations Act, 2019; Mexico : Border with U.S., infrastructure and security; Mexico : Free trade agreement with Mexico; Mexico : Free trade agreement with U.S.; North Korea : International diplomatic efforts; Petroleum Exporting Countries, Organization of the (OPEC); Russia : 2016 U.S. elections, interference; South Korea : Free trade agreement with U.S.; Taxation : Tax relief; Terrorism : Global threat; Trade Representative, Office of the U.S.; Veterans : Health and medical care; White House Office : Assistants to the President :: Senior Adviser; White House Office : Vice President.

DCPD Number: DCPD201900003.