

SMALL BUSINESS INVESTOR CAPITAL ACCESS ACT

SEPTEMBER 8, 2025.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. HILL of Arkansas, from the Committee on Financial Services,
submitted the following

R E P O R T

[To accompany H.R. 3673]

The Committee on Financial Services, to whom was referred the bill (H.R. 3673) to amend the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 to increase the exemption from registration threshold for certain investment advisers of private funds to reflect the change in inflation, having considered the same, reports favorably thereon with an amendment and recommends that the bill as amended do pass.

CONTENTS

	Page
Purpose and Summary	2
Background and Need for Legislation	2
Committee Consideration	2
Related Hearings	3
Committee Votes	3
Committee Oversight Findings	6
Performance Goals and Objectives	6
Committee Cost Estimate	6
New Budget Authority and CBO Cost Estimate	6
Unfunded Mandates Statement	6
Earmark Statement	6
Federal Advisory Committee Act Statement	7
Applicability to the Legislative Branch	7
Duplication of Federal Programs	7
Section-by-Section Analysis of the Legislation	7
Changes in Existing Law Made by the Bill, as Reported	7
Documents included by Unanimous Consent	20

The amendment is as follows:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Small Business Investor Capital Access Act”.

SEC. 2. INFLATION ADJUSTMENT FOR THE EXEMPTION THRESHOLD FOR CERTAIN INVESTMENT ADVISERS OF PRIVATE FUNDS.

Section 203(m) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80b-3(m)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “\$150,000,000” and inserting “\$175,000,000”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(5) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—The Commission shall, every 5 years, adjust the dollar amount described under paragraph (1) to reflect the change in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor, and round such dollar amount to the nearest multiple of \$1,000,000.”.

PURPOSE AND SUMMARY

H.R. 3673, the *Small Business Investor Capital Access Act*, was introduced on June 3, 2025, by Republican Representative Andy Barr (KY-06). H.R. 3673 amends the *Investment Advisers Act of 1940* to increase the exemption from registration threshold for advisers to small private funds to reflect changes in inflation.

BACKGROUND AND NEED FOR LEGISLATION

The *Dodd-Frank Act* imposed mandates for private funds to register with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and comply with new reporting requirements, while exempting private fund advisers with less than \$150 million in assets under management from registration. Private fund advisers are individuals who manage funds that are not publicly offered for a limited number of qualified purchasers. Since these are smaller funds with a limited number of investors, they are subject to exemptions from SEC registration requirements.

The \$150 million threshold exempted smaller advisers to private funds from potentially burdensome regulatory requirements. However, the \$150 million AUM threshold has not changed since 2010, nor did Dodd-Frank include any type of inflation adjuster to that threshold. H.R. 3673 adjusts the exemption from registration threshold for advisers to small private funds to accurately reflect changes in inflation in the past 15 years. Overly burdensome compliance requirements can stifle the growth of smaller, innovative private funds. These funds often play a crucial role in providing capital to emerging companies and niche markets that larger, more heavily regulated funds might overlook.

COMMITTEE CONSIDERATION

119TH CONGRESS

On June 3, 2025, Representative Barr introduced H.R. 3673, the *Small Business Investor Capital Access Act*, with Representative Nydia Velázquez (D-NY) as an original cosponsor. The bill was referred solely to the Committee on Financial Services. The bill was attached to the February 26, 2025, hearing titled “The Future of American Capital: Strengthening Public and Private Markets by Increasing Investor Access and Facilitating Capital Formation” and the March 25, 2025, hearing titled, “Beyond Silicon Valley: Expanding Access to Capital Across America.”

On July 22, 2025, the Committee on Financial Services met in open session to consider, among others, H.R. 3673. The Committee

ordered H.R. 3673, as amended, to be favorably reported to the House of Representatives.

118TH CONGRESS

On April 13, 2023, Representative Barr introduced H.R. 2578, the *Small Business Investor Capital Access Act*. This bill is an earlier iteration of H.R. 3673. The bill was referred solely to the Committee on Financial Services. The bill was included in H.R. 2799, the *Expanding Access to Capital Act of 2023*, which passed the House on March 8, 2024, by a recorded vote of 212 yeas and 205 nays. It was received in the Senate and referred to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

RELATED HEARINGS

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(6) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following hearings were used to develop H.R. 3673:

The Capital Markets Subcommittee of the Committee on Financial Services held a February 26, 2025, hearing titled “The Future of American Capital: Strengthening Public and Private Markets by Increasing Investor Access and Facilitating Capital Formation” and the Full Committee held a March 25, 2025, hearing titled, “Beyond Silicon Valley: Expanding Access to Capital Across America.” A discussion draft version of the bill was attached to both hearings. The following witnesses testified at the February 26, 2025, hearing: Mr. Andrew Barnell, CEO and Co-Founder, Geneoscopy; Mr. McKeever Conwell, Founder and Managing Partner, RareBreed Ventures; Ms. Rebecca Kacaba, CEO and Co-Founder, DealMaker; Ms. Anna Pinedo, Partner, Mayer Brown; and Ms. Alexandra Thornton, Senior Director, Financial Regulation, Center for American Progress. The following witnesses testified at the March 25, 2025, hearing: Mr. Steve Case, Chairman and CEO, Revolution LLC; Mr. Bill Newell, Senior Business Advisor & Former CEO, Sutro Biopharma; Ms. Candice Matthews Brackeen, General Partner, Lightship Capital; Mr. Joel Trotter, Partner, Latham & Watkins LLP; and Ms. Amanda Senn, Director of the Alabama Securities Commission.

COMMITTEE VOTES

Clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives requires the Committee Report to include record votes on the motion to report legislation and amendments thereto.

On July 22, 2025, the Committee ordered H.R. 3673, as amended, to be reported favorably to the House by a recorded vote of 51 yeas and 2 nays. (Record Vote No. FC-173).

The Committee considered the following amendments to H.R. 3673:

- Representative Barr offered an amendment in the nature of a substitute, designated as Barr 060, which requires the SEC to adjust the private fund adviser exemption every five years for inflation. This amendment was adopted by a voice vote.
- Representative Velázquez offered an amendment (No. 1), designated as HR3673_001. This amendment would increase

the private fund adviser exemption threshold to \$175 million.
This amendment was adopted by a voice vote.

Committee on Financial Services

Markup 7

Bill: H.R. 3673

July 22, 2025

Measure: H.R. 3673, as amended

Amdt/Designated:

Record Vote No.

Motion: to report the measure favorably

FC-173

Disposition:

AGREED TO (51-2)

Member	Yea	Nay	Not Voting	Member	Yea	Nay	Not Voting
Chairman Hill	X			Ranking Member Waters	X		
Mr. Lucas	X			Ms. Velázquez	X		
Mr. Sessions	X			Mr. Sherman	X		
Mr. Huizenga	X			Mr. Meeks	X		
Mrs. Wagner	X			Mr. Scott	X		
Mr. Barr	X			Mr. Lynch	X		
Mr. Williams (TX)	X			Mr. Green (TX)	X		
Mr. Emmer	X			Mr. Cleaver	X		
Mr. Loudermilk	X			Mr. Himes			X
Mr. Davidson	X			Mr. Foster	X		
Mr. Rose	X			Mrs. Beatty	X		
Mr. Steil	X			Mr. Vargas	X		
Mr. Timmons	X			Mr. Gottheimer	X		
Mr. Stutzman	X			Mr. Gonzalez	X		
Mr. Norman	X			Mr. Casten	X		
Mr. Meuser	X			Ms. Pressley		X	
Mrs. Kim	X			Ms. Tlaib		X	
Mr. Donalds	X			Mr. Torres (NY)	X		
Mr. Garbarino	X			Ms. Garcia (TX)	X		
Mr. Fitzgerald	X			Ms. Williams of GA	X		
Mr. Flood	X			Ms. Pettersen	X		
Mr. Lawler	X			Mr. Fields	X		
Ms. De La Cruz	X			Ms. Bynum	X		
Mr. Ogles	X			Mr. Liccardo	X		
Mr. Nunn	X						
Mrs. McClain	X						
Ms. Salazar	X						
Mr. Downing	X						
Mr. Haridopolos	X						
Mr. Moore (NC)	X						
30 0 0				21 2 1			

Committee Totals:

51	2	1
Yeas	Nays	Not Voting

COMMITTEE OVERSIGHT FINDINGS

Pursuant to clause 3(c) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the findings and recommendations of the Committee, based on oversight activities under clause 2(b)(1) of rule X of the Rules of the House of Representatives are incorporated in the descriptive portions of this report.

PERFORMANCE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the goal of H.R. 3673 is to adjust the exemption from registration threshold for advisers to small private funds to accurately reflect changes in inflation.

COMMITTEE COST ESTIMATE

Clause 3(d)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives requires an estimate and a comparison of the costs that would be incurred in carrying out H.R. 3673. The Committee has requested but not received a cost estimate from the Director of the Congressional Budget Office. However, pursuant to clause 3(d)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee will adopt as its own the cost estimate by the Director of the Congressional Budget Office once it has been prepared.

NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY AND CBO COST ESTIMATE

With respect to the requirements of clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives and section 308(a) of the *Congressional Budget Act of 1974* and with respect to requirements of clause 3(c)(3) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives and section 402 of the *Congressional Budget Act of 1974*, the Committee will adopt as its own the cost estimate for the bill prepared by the Director of the Congressional Budget Office. However, a cost estimate was not made available to the Committee in time for the filing of this report. The Chairman of the Committee shall cause such estimate to be printed in the Congressional Record upon its receipt by the Committee.

UNFUNDED MANDATES STATEMENT

The Committee has requested but not received from the Director of the Congressional Budget Office an estimate of the Federal mandates pursuant to section 423 of the *Unfunded Mandates Reform Act*. The Chairman of the Committee shall cause such estimate to be printed in the Congressional Record upon its receipt by the Committee.

EARMARK STATEMENT

In compliance with clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives, this bill, as reported, contains no congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in clause 9(e), 9(f), or 9(g) of rule XXI.

FEDERAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ACT STATEMENT

No advisory committees within the meaning of section 5(b) of the *Federal Advisory Committee Act* were created by this legislation.

APPLICABILITY TO THE LEGISLATIVE BRANCH

The Committee finds that the legislation does not relate to the terms and conditions of employment or access to public services or accommodations within the meaning of section 102(b)(3) of the *Congressional Accountability Act*.

DUPLICATION OF FEDERAL PROGRAMS

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(5) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee states that no provision of the bill establishes or reauthorizes a program of the Federal Government known to be duplicative of another Federal program, including any program that was included in a report to Congress pursuant to section 21 of the Public Law 111–139 or the most recent Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance.

SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS OF THE LEGISLATION

Section 1. Short title

Section 1 provides the short title is the “Small Business Investor Capital Access Act.”

Section 2. Inflation adjustment for the exemption threshold for certain investment advisers of private funds

Section 2 requires the SEC to adjust the exemption threshold for certain private fund advisers every five years.

CHANGES IN EXISTING LAW MADE BY THE BILL, AS REPORTED

In compliance with clause 3(e) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, changes in existing law made by the bill, as reported, are shown as follows (existing law proposed to be omitted is enclosed in black brackets, new matter is printed in italics, and existing law in which no change is proposed is shown in roman):

INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940

* * * * *

TITLE II—INVESTMENT ADVISERS

* * * * *

REGISTRATION OF INVESTMENT ADVISERS

SEC. 203. (a) Except as provided in subsection (b) and section 203A, it shall be unlawful for any investment adviser, unless registered under this section, to make use of the mails or any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce in connection with his or its business as an investment adviser.

(b) The provisions of subsection (a) shall not apply to—

(1) any investment adviser, other than an investment adviser who acts as an investment adviser to any private fund, all of whose clients are residents of the State within which such investment adviser maintains his or its principal office and place of business, and who does not furnish advice or issue analyses or reports with respect to securities listed or admitted to unlisted trading privileges on any national securities exchange;

(2) any investment adviser whose only clients are insurance companies;

(3) any investment adviser that is a foreign private adviser;

(4) any investment adviser that is a charitable organization, as defined in section 3(c)(10)(D) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, or is a trustee, director, officer, employee, or volunteer of such a charitable organization acting within the scope of such person's employment or duties with such organization, whose advice, analyses, or reports are provided only to one or more of the following:

(A) any such charitable organization;

(B) a fund that is excluded from the definition of an investment company under section 3(c)(10)(B) of the Investment Company Act of 1940; or

(C) a trust or other donative instrument described in section 3(c)(10)(B) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, or the trustees, administrators, settlors (or potential settlors), or beneficiaries of any such trust or other instrument;

(5) any plan described in section 414(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, any person or entity eligible to establish and maintain such a plan under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, or any trustee, director, officer, or employee of or volunteer for any such plan or person, if such person or entity, acting in such capacity, provides investment advice exclusively to, or with respect to, any plan, person, or entity or any company, account, or fund that is excluded from the definition of an investment company under section 3(c)(14) of the Investment Company Act of 1940;

(6)(A) any investment adviser that is registered with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission as a commodity trading advisor whose business does not consist primarily of acting as an investment adviser, as defined in section 202(a)(11) of this title, and that does not act as an investment adviser to—

(i) an investment company registered under title I of this Act; or

(ii) a company which has elected to be a business development company pursuant to section 54 of title I of this Act and has not withdrawn its election; or

(B) any investment adviser that is registered with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission as a commodity trading advisor and advises a private fund, provided that, if after the date of enactment of the Private Fund Investment Advisers Registration Act of 2010, the business of the advisor should become predominately the provision of securities-related advice, then such adviser shall register with the Commission;

(7) any investment adviser, other than any entity that has elected to be regulated or is regulated as a business development company pursuant to section 54 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a–54), who solely advises—

(A) small business investment companies that are licensees under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958;

(B) entities that have received from the Small Business Administration notice to proceed to qualify for a license as a small business investment company under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, which notice or license has not been revoked; or

(C) applicants that are affiliated with 1 or more licensed small business investment companies described in subparagraph (A) and that have applied for another license under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, which application remains pending; or

(8) any investment adviser, other than an entity that has elected to be regulated or is regulated as a business development company pursuant to section 54 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a–53), who solely advises—

(A) rural business investment companies (as defined in section 384A of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act (7 U.S.C. 2009cc)); or

(B) companies that have submitted to the Secretary of Agriculture an application in accordance with section 384D(b) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act (7 U.S.C. 2009cc–3(b)) that—

(i) have received from the Secretary of Agriculture a letter of conditions, which has not been revoked; or

(ii) are affiliated with 1 or more rural business investment companies described in subparagraph (A).

(c)(1) An investment adviser, or any person who presently contemplates becoming an investment adviser, may be registered by filing with the Commission an application for registration in such form and containing such of the following information and documents as the Commission, by rule, may prescribe as necessary or appropriate in the public interest or for the protection of investors:

(A) the name and form of organization under which the investment adviser engages or intends to engage in business; the name of the State or other sovereign power under which such investment adviser is organized; the location of his or its principal office, principal place of business, and branch offices, if any; the names and addresses of his or its partners, officers, directors, and persons performing similar functions or, if such an investment adviser be an individual, of such individual; and the number of his or its employees;

(B) the education, the business affiliations for the past ten years, and the present business affiliations of such investment adviser and of his or its partners, officers, directors, and persons performing similar functions and of any controlling person thereof;

(C) the nature of the business of such investment adviser, including the manner of giving advice and rendering analyses or reports;

(D) a balance sheet certified by an independent public accountant and other financial statements (which shall, as the Commission specifies, be certified);

(E) the nature and scope of the authority of such investment adviser with respect to clients' funds and accounts;

(F) the basis or bases upon which such investment adviser is compensated;

(G) whether such investment adviser, or any person associated with such investment adviser, is subject to any disqualification which would be a basis for denial, suspension, or revocation of registration of such investment adviser under the provisions of subsection (e) of this section; and

(H) a statement as to whether the principal business of such investment adviser consists or is to consist of acting as investment adviser and a statement as to whether a substantial part of the business of such investment adviser, consists or is to consist of rendering investment supervisory services.

(2) Within forty-five days of the date of the filing of such application (or within such longer period as to which the applicant consents) the Commission shall—

(A) by order grant such registration; or

(B) institute proceedings to determine whether registration should be denied. Such proceedings shall include notice of the grounds for denial under consideration and opportunity for hearing and shall be concluded within one hundred twenty days of the date of the filing of the application for registration. At the conclusion of such proceedings the Commission, by order, shall grant or deny such registration. The Commission may extend the time for conclusion of such proceedings for up to ninety days if it finds good cause for such extension and publishes its reasons for so finding or for such longer period as to which the applicant consents.

The Commission shall grant such registration if the Commission finds that the requirements of this section are satisfied and that the applicant is not prohibited from registering as an investment adviser under section 203A. The Commission shall deny such registration if it does not make such a finding or if it finds that if the applicant were so registered, its registration would be subject to suspension or revocation under subsection (e) of this section.

(d) Any provision of this title (other than subsection (a) of this section) which prohibits any act, practice, or course of business if the mails or any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce are used in connection therewith shall also prohibit any such act, practice, or course of business by any investment adviser registered pursuant to this section or any person acting on behalf of such an investment adviser, irrespective of any use of the mails or any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce in connection therewith.

(e) The Commission, by order, shall censure, place limitations on the activities, functions, or operations of, suspend for a period not exceeding twelve months, or revoke the registration of any investment adviser if it finds, on the record after notice and opportunity for hearing, that such censure, placing of limitations, suspension, or revocation is in the public interest and that such investment ad-

viser, or any person associated with such investment adviser, whether prior to or subsequent to becoming so associated—

(1) has willfully made or caused to be made in any application for registration or report required to be filed with the Commission under this title, or in any proceeding before the Commission with respect to registration, any statement which was at the time and in the light of the circumstances under which it was made false or misleading with respect to any material fact, or has omitted to state in any such application or report any material fact which is required to be stated therein.

(2) has been convicted within ten years preceding the filing of any application for registration or at any time thereafter of any felony or misdemeanor or of a substantially equivalent crime by a foreign court of competent jurisdiction which the Commission finds—

(A) involves the purchase or sale of any security, the taking of a false oath, the making of a false report, bribery, perjury, burglary, any substantially equivalent activity however denominated by the laws of the relevant foreign government, or conspiracy to commit any such offense;

(B) arises out of the conduct of the business of a broker, dealer, municipal securities dealer, investment adviser, bank, insurance company, government securities broker, government securities dealer, fiduciary, transfer agent, credit rating agency, foreign person performing a function substantially equivalent to any of the above, or entity or person required to be registered under the Commodity Exchange Act or any substantially equivalent statute or regulation;

(C) involves the larceny, theft, robbery, extortion, forgery, counterfeiting, fraudulent concealment, embezzlement, fraudulent conversion, or misappropriation of funds or securities or substantially equivalent activity however denominated by the laws of the relevant foreign government; or

(D) involves the violation of section 152, 1341, 1342, or 1343 or chapter 25 or 47 of title 18, United States Code, or a violation of substantially equivalent foreign statute.

(3) has been convicted during the 10-year period preceding the date of filing of any application for registration, or at any time thereafter, of—

(A) any crime that is punishable by imprisonment for 1 or more years, and that is not described in paragraph (2); or

(B) a substantially equivalent crime by a foreign court of competent jurisdiction.

(4) is permanently or temporarily enjoined by order, judgment, or decree of any court of competent jurisdiction, including any foreign court of competent jurisdiction, from acting as an investment adviser, underwriter, broker, dealer, municipal securities dealer, government securities broker, government securities dealer, transfer agent, credit rating agency, foreign person performing a function substantially equivalent to any of the above, or entity or person required to be registered under

the Commodity Exchange Act or any substantially equivalent statute or regulation, or as an affiliated person or employee of any investment company, bank, insurance company, foreign entity substantially equivalent to any of the above, or entity or person required to be registered under the Commodity Exchange Act or any substantially equivalent statute or regulation, or from engaging in or continuing any conduct or practice in connection with any such activity, or in connection with the purchase or sale of any security.

(5) has willfully violated any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Investment Company Act of 1940, this title, the Commodity Exchange Act, or the rules or regulations under any such statutes or any rule of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, or is unable to comply with any such provision.

(6) has willfully aided, abetted, counseled, commanded, induced, or procured the violation by any other person of any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Investment Company Act of 1940, this title, the Commodity Exchange Act, the rules or regulations under any of such statutes, or the rules of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, or has failed reasonably to supervise, with a view to preventing violations of the provisions of such statutes, rules, and regulations, another person who commits such a violation, if such other person is subject to his supervision. For the purposes of this paragraph no person shall be deemed to have failed reasonably to supervise any person, if—

(A) there have been established procedures, and a system for applying such procedures, which would reasonably be expected to prevent and detect, insofar as practicable, any such violation by such other person, and

(B) such person has reasonably discharged the duties and obligations incumbent upon him by reason of such procedures and system without reasonable cause to believe that such procedures and system were not being complied with.

(7) is subject to any order of the Commission barring or suspending the right of the person to be associated with an investment adviser;

(8) has been found by a foreign financial regulatory authority to have—

(A) made or caused to be made in any application for registration or report required to be filed with a foreign securities authority, or in any proceeding before a foreign securities authority with respect to registration, any statement that was at the time and in light of the circumstances under which it was made false or misleading with respect to any material fact, or has omitted to state in any application or report to a foreign securities authority any material fact that is required to be stated therein;

(B) violated any foreign statute or regulation regarding transactions in securities or contracts of sale of a commodity for future delivery traded on or subject to the rules of a contract market or any board of trade; or

(C) aided, abetted, counseled, commanded, induced, or procured the violation by any other person of any foreign statute or regulation regarding transactions in securities or contracts of sale of a commodity for future delivery traded on or subject to the rules of a contract market or any board of trade, or has been found, by the foreign financial regulatory authority, to have failed reasonably to supervise, with a view to preventing violations of statutory provisions, and rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, another person who commits such a violation, if such other person is subject to his supervision; or

(9) is subject to any final order of a State securities commission (or any agency or officer performing like functions), State authority that supervises or examines banks, savings associations, or credit unions, State insurance commission (or any agency or office performing like functions), an appropriate Federal banking agency (as defined in section 3 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1813(q))), or the National Credit Union Administration, that—

(A) bars such person from association with an entity regulated by such commission, authority, agency, or officer, or from engaging in the business of securities, insurance, banking, savings association activities, or credit union activities; or

(B) constitutes a final order based on violations of any laws or regulations that prohibit fraudulent, manipulative, or deceptive conduct.

(f) The Commission, by order, shall censure or place limitations on the activities of any person associated, seeking to become associated, or, at the time of the alleged misconduct, associated or seeking to become associated with an investment adviser, or suspend for a period not exceeding 12 months or bar any such person from being associated with an investment adviser, broker, dealer, municipal securities dealer, municipal advisor, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization, if the Commission finds, on the record after notice and opportunity for hearing, that such censure, placing of limitations, suspension, or bar is in the public interest and that such person has committed or omitted any act or omission enumerated in paragraph (1), (5), (6), (8), or (9) of subsection (e) or has been convicted of any offense specified in paragraph (2) or (3) of subsection (e) within ten years of the commencement of the proceedings under this subsection, or is enjoined from any action, conduct, or practice specified in paragraph (4) of subsection (e). It shall be unlawful for any person as to whom such an order suspending or barring him from being associated with an investment adviser is in effect willfully to become, or to be, associated with an investment adviser without the consent of the Commission, and it shall be unlawful for any investment adviser to permit such a person to become, or remain, a person associated with him without the consent of the Commission, if such investment adviser knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care, should have known, of such order.

(g) Any successor to the business of an investment adviser registered under this section shall be deemed likewise registered hereunder, if within thirty days from its succession to such business it

shall file an application for registration under this section, unless and until the Commission, pursuant to subsection (c) or subsection (e) of this section, shall deny registration to or revoke or suspend the registration of such successor.

(h) Any person registered under this section may, upon such terms and conditions as the Commission finds necessary in the public interest or for the protection of investors, withdraw from registration by filing a written notice of withdrawal with the Commission. If the Commission finds that any person registered under this section, or who has pending an application for registration filed under this section, is no longer in existence, is not engaged in business as an investment adviser, or is prohibited from registering as an investment adviser under section 203A, the Commission shall by order cancel the registration of such person.

(i) MONEY PENALTIES IN ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS.—

(1) AUTHORITY OF COMMISSION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—In any proceeding instituted pursuant to subsection (e) or (f) against any person, the Commission may impose a civil penalty if it finds, on the record after notice and opportunity for hearing, that such penalty is in the public interest and that such person—

(i) has willfully violated any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Investment Company Act of 1940, or this title, or the rules or regulations thereunder;

(ii) has willfully aided, abetted, counseled, commanded, induced, or procured such a violation by any other person;

(iii) has willfully made or caused to be made in any application for registration or report required to be filed with the Commission under this title, or in any proceeding before the Commission with respect to registration, any statement which was, at the time and in the light of the circumstances under which it was made, false or misleading with respect to any material fact, or has omitted to state in any such application or report any material fact which was required to be stated therein; or

(iv) has failed reasonably to supervise, within the meaning of subsection (e)(6), with a view to preventing violations of the provisions of this title and the rules and regulations thereunder, another person who commits such a violation, if such other person is subject to his supervision;

(B) CEASE-AND-DESIST PROCEEDINGS.—In any proceeding instituted pursuant to subsection (k) against any person, the Commission may impose a civil penalty if the Commission finds, on the record, after notice and opportunity for hearing, that such person—

(i) is violating or has violated any provision of this title, or any rule or regulation issued under this title; or

(ii) is or was a cause of the violation of any provision of this title, or any rule or regulation issued under this title.

(2) MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF PENALTY.—

(A) FIRST TIER.—The maximum amount of penalty for each act or omission described in paragraph (1) shall be \$5,000 for a natural person or \$50,000 for any other person.

(B) SECOND TIER.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), the maximum amount of penalty for each such act or omission shall be \$50,000 for a natural person or \$250,000 for any other person if the act or omission described in paragraph (1) involved fraud, deceit, manipulation, or deliberate or reckless disregard of a regulatory requirement.

(C) THIRD TIER.—Notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) and (B), the maximum amount of penalty for each such act or omission shall be \$100,000 for a natural person or \$500,000 for any other person if—

(i) the act or omission described in paragraph (1) involved fraud, deceit, manipulation, or deliberate or reckless disregard of a regulatory requirement; and

(ii) such act or omission directly or indirectly resulted in substantial losses or created a significant risk of substantial losses to other persons or resulted in substantial pecuniary gain to the person who committed the act or omission.

(3) DETERMINATION OF PUBLIC INTEREST.—In considering under this section whether a penalty is in the public interest, the Commission may consider—

(A) whether the act or omission for which such penalty is assessed involved fraud, deceit, manipulation, or deliberate or reckless disregard of a regulatory requirement;

(B) the harm to other persons resulting either directly or indirectly from such act or omission;

(C) the extent to which any person was unjustly enriched, taking into account any restitution made to persons injured by such behavior;

(D) whether such person previously has been found by the Commission, another appropriate regulatory agency, or a self-regulatory organization to have violated the Federal securities laws, State securities laws, or the rules of a self-regulatory organization, has been enjoined by a court of competent jurisdiction from violations of such laws or rules, or has been convicted by a court of competent jurisdiction of violations of such laws or of any felony or misdemeanor described in section 203(e)(2) of this title;

(E) the need to deter such person and other persons from committing such acts or omissions; and

(F) such other matters as justice may require.

(4) EVIDENCE CONCERNING ABILITY TO PAY.—In any proceeding in which the Commission may impose a penalty under this section, a respondent may present evidence of the respondent's ability to pay such penalty. The Commission may, in its discretion, consider such evidence in determining whether such penalty is in the public interest. Such evidence may relate to the extent of such person's ability to continue in business and the collectability of a penalty, taking into account any other

claims of the United States or third parties upon such person's assets and the amount of such person's assets.

(j) **AUTHORITY TO ENTER AN ORDER REQUIRING AN ACCOUNTING AND DISGORGEMENT.**—In any proceeding in which the Commission may impose a penalty under this section, the Commission may enter an order requiring accounting and disgorgement, including reasonable interest. The Commission is authorized to adopt rules, regulations, and orders concerning payments to investors, rates of interest, periods of accrual, and such other matters as it deems appropriate to implement this subsection.

(k) **CEASE-AND-DESIST PROCEEDINGS.**—

(1) **AUTHORITY OF THE COMMISSION.**—If the Commission finds, after notice and opportunity for hearing, that any person is violating, has violated, or is about to violate any provision of this title, or any rule or regulation thereunder, the Commission may publish its findings and enter an order requiring such person, and any other person that is, was, or would be a cause of the violation, due to an act or omission the person knew or should have known would contribute to such violation, to cease and desist from committing or causing such violation and any future violation of the same provision, rule, or regulation. Such order may, in addition to requiring a person to cease and desist from committing or causing a violation, require such person to comply, or to take steps to effect compliance, with such provision, rule, or regulation, upon such terms and conditions and within such time as the Commission may specify in such order. Any such order may, as the Commission deems appropriate, require future compliance or steps to effect future compliance, either permanently or for such period of time as the Commission may specify, with such provision, rule, or regulation with respect to any security, any issuer, or any other person.

(2) **HEARING.**—The notice instituting proceedings pursuant to paragraph (1) shall fix a hearing date not earlier than 30 days nor later than 60 days after service of the notice unless an earlier or a later date is set by the Commission with the consent of any respondent so served.

(3) **TEMPORARY ORDER.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Whenever the Commission determines that the alleged violation or threatened violation specified in the notice instituting proceedings pursuant to paragraph (1), or the continuation thereof, is likely to result in significant dissipation or conversion of assets, significant harm to investors, or substantial harm to the public interest, including, but not limited to, losses to the Securities Investor Protection Corporation, prior to the completion of the proceedings, the Commission may enter a temporary order requiring the respondent to cease and desist from the violation or threatened violation and to take such action to prevent the violation or threatened violation and to prevent dissipation or conversion of assets, significant harm to investors, or substantial harm to the public interest as the Commission deems appropriate pending completion of such proceedings. Such an order shall be entered only after notice and opportunity for a hearing, unless the

Commission, notwithstanding section 211(c) of this title, determines that notice and hearing prior to entry would be impracticable or contrary to the public interest. A temporary order shall become effective upon service upon the respondent and, unless set aside, limited, or suspended by the Commission or a court of competent jurisdiction, shall remain effective and enforceable pending the completion of the proceedings.

(B) APPLICABILITY.—This paragraph shall apply only to a respondent that acts, or, at the time of the alleged misconduct acted, as a broker, dealer, investment adviser, investment company, municipal securities dealer, government securities broker, government securities dealer, or transfer agent, or is, or was at the time of the alleged misconduct, an associated person of, or a person seeking to become associated with, any of the foregoing.

(4) REVIEW OF TEMPORARY ORDERS.—

(A) COMMISSION REVIEW.—At any time after the respondent has been served with a temporary cease-and-desist order pursuant to paragraph (3), the respondent may apply to the Commission to have the order set aside, limited, or suspended. If the respondent has been served with a temporary cease-and-desist order entered without a prior Commission hearing, the respondent may, within 10 days after the date on which the order was served, request a hearing on such application and the Commission shall hold a hearing and render a decision on such application at the earliest possible time.

(B) JUDICIAL REVIEW.—Within—

(i) 10 days after the date the respondent was served with a temporary cease-and-desist order entered with a prior Commission hearing, or

(ii) 10 days after the Commission renders a decision on an application and hearing under subparagraph (A), with respect to any temporary cease-and-desist order entered without a prior Commission hearing,

the respondent may apply to the United States district court for the district in which the respondent resides or has its principal office or place of business, or for the District of Columbia, for an order setting aside, limiting, or suspending the effectiveness or enforcement of the order, and the court shall have jurisdiction to enter such an order. A respondent served with a temporary cease-and-desist order entered without a prior Commission hearing may not apply to the court except after hearing and decision by the Commission on the respondent's application under subparagraph (A) of this paragraph.

(C) NO AUTOMATIC STAY OF TEMPORARY ORDER.—The commencement of proceedings under subparagraph (B) of this paragraph shall not, unless specifically ordered by the court, operate as a stay of the Commission's order.

(D) EXCLUSIVE REVIEW.—Section 213 of this title shall not apply to a temporary order entered pursuant to this section.

(5) **AUTHORITY TO ENTER AN ORDER REQUIRING AN ACCOUNTING AND DISGORGEMENT.**—In any cease-and-desist proceeding under paragraph (1), the Commission may enter an order requiring accounting and disgorgement, including reasonable interest. The Commission is authorized to adopt rules, regulations, and orders concerning payments to investors, rates of interest, periods of accrual, and such other matters as it deems appropriate to implement this subsection.

(l) **EXEMPTION OF VENTURE CAPITAL FUND ADVISERS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—No investment adviser that acts as an investment adviser solely to 1 or more venture capital funds shall be subject to the registration requirements of this title with respect to the provision of investment advice relating to a venture capital fund. Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this subsection, the Commission shall issue final rules to define the term “venture capital fund” for purposes of this subsection. The Commission shall require such advisers to maintain such records and provide to the Commission such annual or other reports as the Commission determines necessary or appropriate in the public interest or for the protection of investors.

(2) **ADVISERS OF SBICS.**—For purposes of this subsection, a venture capital fund includes an entity described in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C) of subsection (b)(7) (other than an entity that has elected to be regulated or is regulated as a business development company pursuant to section 54 of the Investment Company Act of 1940).

(3) **ADVISERS OF RBICS.**—For purposes of this subsection, a venture capital fund includes an entity described in subparagraph (A) or (B) of subsection (b)(8) (other than an entity that has elected to be regulated as a business development company pursuant to section 54 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a–53)).

(m) **EXEMPTION OF AND REPORTING BY CERTAIN PRIVATE FUND ADVISERS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Commission shall provide an exemption from the registration requirements under this section to any investment adviser of private funds, if each of such investment adviser acts solely as an adviser to private funds and has assets under management in the United States of less than **[\$150,000,000] \$175,000,000**.

(2) **REPORTING.**—The Commission shall require investment advisers exempted by reason of this subsection to maintain such records and provide to the Commission such annual or other reports as the Commission determines necessary or appropriate in the public interest or for the protection of investors.

(3) **ADVISERS OF SBICS.**—For purposes of this subsection, the assets under management of a private fund that is an entity described in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C) of subsection (b)(7) (other than an entity that has elected to be regulated or is regulated as a business development company pursuant to section 54 of the Investment Company Act of 1940) shall be excluded from the limit set forth in paragraph (1).

(4) ADVISERS OF RBICS.—For purposes of this subsection, the assets under management of a private fund that is an entity described in subparagraph (A) or (B) of subsection (b)(8) (other than an entity that has elected to be regulated or is regulated as a business development company pursuant to section 54 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a–53)) shall be excluded from the limit set forth in paragraph (1).

(5) INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.—*The Commission shall, every 5 years, adjust the dollar amount described under paragraph (1) to reflect the change in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor, and round such dollar amount to the nearest multiple of \$1,000,000.*

(n) REGISTRATION AND EXAMINATION OF MID-SIZED PRIVATE FUND ADVISERS.—In prescribing regulations to carry out the requirements of this section with respect to investment advisers acting as investment advisers to mid-sized private funds, the Commission shall take into account the size, governance, and investment strategy of such funds to determine whether they pose systemic risk, and shall provide for registration and examination procedures with respect to the investment advisers of such funds which reflect the level of systemic risk posed by such funds.

* * * * *

DOCUMENTS INCLUDED BY UNANIMOUS CONSENT



July 22, 2025

The Honorable French Hill
Chairman
Committee on Financial Services
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

The Honorable Maxine Waters
Ranking Member
Committee on Financial Services
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Chairman Hill and Ranking Member Waters:

On behalf of our nation's venture capital investors and the entrepreneurs they support, I write to express strong support for three bipartisan measures under consideration at today's markup: the Developing and Empowering our Aspiring Leaders (DEAL) Act (H.R. 4429, sponsored by Reps. Ann Wagner and Sean Casten), the Improving Capital Allocation for Newcomers (ICAN) Act (H.R. 4431, sponsored by Reps. William Timmons and Brittany Pettersen), and the Small Business Investor Capital Access Act (H.R. 3673, sponsored by Reps. Andy Barr and Nydia Velázquez). Together, these bills reflect smart, targeted reforms that will unlock capital, reduce unnecessary regulatory friction, and strengthen the American innovation ecosystem.

The DEAL Act modernizes outdated SEC regulations to reflect today's venture capital landscape—one in which startups must stay private longer, and emerging fund managers in nontraditional regions struggle to access anchor capital. In 2024, the median fund size in the U.S. venture capital industry was \$21.3 million, and the median fund size for funds outside of the major hubs of California, New York, and Massachusetts was only \$10 million. By expanding the definition of "qualifying investments" to include secondary transactions and fund-of-fund strategies, the DEAL Act supports recycling capital to new startups, provides liquidity to founders and early employees, allows trusted venture funds to grow their investments over time with their portfolio companies, and empowers experienced VCs to back new fund managers without triggering costly SEC registration. These changes preserve essential investor protections while enabling a broader and more inclusive startup ecosystem.

The ICAN Act builds on this by updating the thresholds for "qualifying venture capital funds" under Section 3(c)(1) of the Investment Company Act. Today's outdated limits make it difficult for emerging managers to raise competitive funds from smaller accredited investors. The ICAN Act's proposed increase in both fund size and the number of allowable beneficial owners would allow these managers—who are more likely to invest locally and diversify the innovation pipeline—to aggregate smaller checks from a broader base of investors, bringing more capital to underserved regions and founders. The ICAN Act would

also require the Securities & Exchange Commission to conduct a study to determine whether the changes to the qualified venture capital fund definition have had demonstrable effects on increasing the geographic distribution of capital to portfolio companies, among other trends.

The Small Business Investor Capital Access Act similarly makes a needed fix by adjusting the SEC's exemption threshold for exempt reporting advisers to account for inflation. Without this change, small and emerging fund managers risk crossing an artificial asset cap simply due to time, not risk. Requiring these funds to become full registered investment advisers imposes disproportionate compliance costs—diverting capital away from startups and into red tape. Indexing this threshold is a commonsense way to sustain the dynamism and diversity of early-stage investment.

Taken together, these bills send a strong bipartisan signal that Congress recognizes the evolving nature of capital formation and the importance of the venture capital ecosystem's patient capital model to nurturing the next generation of innovative, high-growth American companies—and is committed to ensuring that our policy infrastructure grows with it. We thank the Committee for its continued leadership and urge swift advancement of these critical reforms.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Bobby Franklin".

Bobby Franklin
President and CEO
National Venture Capital Association

July 22, 2025

The Honorable French Hill
Chairman
Committee on Financial Services
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Maxine Waters
Ranking Member
Committee on Financial Services
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Chairman Hill and Ranking Member Waters:

The undersigned organizations representing the U.S. innovation ecosystem support congressional efforts to drive economic growth, job creation, and opportunity that fuels American innovation. We appreciate the Committee's continued focus on improving capital formation at all stages of a company's lifecycle, particularly for entrepreneurs and investors outside of traditional funding hubs, as well as expanding investment opportunities for everyday investors.

Importance of access to capital

The U.S. capital markets are the engine that powers innovation and economic growth, but raising capital can be daunting for many startups, funds, and businesses. While the availability of private capital has grown substantially over the past few decades, opportunities to access these resources are limited outside of traditional capital-raising hubs and networks. [Data](#) shows the number of new funds continues to fall, while the average fund size gets bigger and capital becomes more concentrated. Lack of exits and lower VC valuations have caused investors to pull back from the asset class, and those who are investing are writing bigger checks to larger, more established funds.

Unless we modernize our policy infrastructure, the benefits of this economic and innovation engine will be constrained, and as private markets continue to grow, this gap will only widen. We need to bolster private capital, but importantly, we need to broaden its reach to more investors, more entrepreneurs, more companies, and more communities.

Bolstering emerging managers to grow regional funding networks

To broaden the startup ecosystem, we must broaden the investor ecosystem beyond the traditional tech funding hubs and networks. Capital has become more mobile, but proximity matters, particularly for the earliest stages. Emerging managers are the key. To drive regional growth and the diversification of private capital, we need to support the emerging managers that identify and fund entrepreneurs across the country. These smaller funds are more likely to invest early, invest locally, and support a more diverse pool of entrepreneurs. And data shows [emerging managers](#) often [outperform](#) their larger counterparts.

Policy should lower barriers and help drive capital to this segment, which will help broaden local networks, create more economic opportunity, and lead to a more robust ecosystem. The following bipartisan measures the Committee are marking up today will help do just that, including the:

- Developing and Empowering our Aspiring Leaders (DEAL) Act,
- Improving Capital Allocation for Newcomers (ICAN) Act, and
- Small Business Investor Capital Access Act

The DEAL Act: Expanding qualifying venture capital investments

Due to regulatory constraints, venture capital funds are largely limited to making direct investments in private companies without facing additional costs and compliance burdens that accompany SEC registration. After Dodd-Frank, private fund advisers were required to register with the SEC unless they qualified as an exempt reporting adviser (ERAs). ERAs are still subject to SEC oversight and compliance obligations that appropriately reflect the venture model, but registered investment advisers (RIAs) are subject to a more extensive and costly regulatory regime.

Venture capital fund advisers can qualify as ERAs if they meet a number of requirements, but 80% of the fund assets must be invested directly in private companies or cash (qualifying assets). The [DEAL Act](#) expands the category of qualifying investments to include fund-of-fund investments and secondaries, which will help drive more capital into emerging managers and markets while ensuring these funds continue to pursue a venture-focused strategy.

- **Fund-of-fund investments.** Increasing the ability for venture capital funds to invest in other venture capital funds could help incentivize established funds to invest in emerging fund managers without incurring additional regulatory burdens that come with SEC registration. Attracting an established fund to serve as an anchor LP could help first-time fund managers attract other investors, and investors will benefit from the diligence of the more established fund. A fund-of-funds strategy not only benefits the emerging manager, but could also [provide diversification opportunities and drive returns](#) for the investing fund and its investors.
- **Secondary investments.** Enabling greater flexibility to invest in secondary investments in qualifying portfolio companies will facilitate greater value realization for investors (such as pension funds and endowments) and recycle more capital into the system, which could unlock a significant source of capital for growing entrepreneurial ecosystems. It would also help startup founders and employees who have a need to monetize their equity compensation and allow existing and trusted venture capital fund partners to increase their investment in a company even between capital-raising rounds.

The ICAN Act: Expanding size and investor limits for qualifying venture capital funds

Under Section 3(c)(1) of the Investment Company Act, private funds cannot raise capital from 100 or more accredited investors. The fund size for most first-time fund managers ranges between \$10–\$25M, which means the average investor check size for 100 investors in a \$25M fund would have to be \$250,000—a high bar for newer fund managers.

To enable emerging managers to accept smaller checks from more investors, Congress created the category of "qualifying venture capital funds" to help smaller venture capital funds raise capital from more investors. The higher limit of beneficial owners was intended to help emerging managers assemble competitive funds by collecting smaller contributions from a greater number of accredited investors. Currently, a venture capital fund can raise up to \$12M from 250 beneficial owners. In practice, however, the current parameters limit the utility of this provision.

The ICAN Act would expand the size and investor limits for qualifying venture capital funds to help smaller funds reach more investors.

Small Business Investor Capital Access Act: Ensuring regulatory thresholds keep pace with growth

The Dodd-Frank Act also permitted small private fund advisers with less than \$150M in assets under management to be regulated by the SEC as ERAs. However, this threshold was not adjusted for inflation and has remained static since its inception. As a result, private fund managers who would have been eligible ERAs may now be subject to SEC registration and the increased regulatory burden simply due to the passage of time and an outdated threshold. This disproportionately impacts smaller fund managers who may lack the resources to meet the compliance obligations that come with SEC registration. As a result, capital is diverted away from startups and small businesses. The Small Business Investor Capital Access Act will modernize this exemption by indexing this threshold, ensuring small fund managers can continue to support small business capital formation without additional regulatory burden.

Thank you for your leadership in advancing policies to ensure America's entrepreneurs can access the capital they need at each stage of their lifecycle and provide more opportunities for investors. These efforts are critical to driving American competitiveness, innovation, and upward mobility, and we look forward to working together on a bipartisan basis to achieve these important goals.

Sincerely,

Angel Capital Association (ACA)
AngelList
Carta
Center for American Entrepreneurship (CAE)
Engine
Financial Technology Association (FTA)
Illinois Venture Capital Association (IVCA)
Institute for Portfolio Alternatives (IPA)
Michigan Venture Capital Association (MVCA)
National Venture Capital Association (NVCA)
New England Venture Capital Association (NEVCA)
Technology Councils of North America (TECNA)
Texas Venture Alliance

