
NOTICE: In lieu of a star print, errata are printed to indicate corrections to the original report.

Calendar No. 259

117TH CONGRESS } 2d Session }	SENATE	{ REPORT 117-63
----------------------------------	--------	-----------------------

ERRATA

FEBRUARY 2, 2022.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. SCHATZ, from the Committee on Indian Affairs,
submitted the following

R E P O R T

[To accompany H.R. 1688]

CORRECTIONS

On page 6, in “Mandate Effects”, following the line “Contains intergovernmental mandate?”, strike “Yes, Under Threshold” and insert “No”.

On page 6, following “The act would:” strike “Expand the definition of child abuse under the Indian Child Protection and Family Violence Act.”

On page 6, following “Act Summary:”, strike “H.R. 1688 would expand the definition of child abuse under the Indian Child Protection and Family Violence Act to include psychological or verbal abuse that causes serious emotional or mental injury to a child.”

On page 8, following “Mandates:”, strike “Current law requires local agencies of child protective services and local law enforcement to report instances of child abuse in Indian country to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI). H.R. 1688 would expand the definition of child abuse in Indian country to include verbal and psychological abuse. The act would impose an intergovernmental mandate under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) on local law enforcement and local agencies of child protective services because the expanded definition would require those entities to file additional reports on child abuse with the FBI. CBO estimates the cost of the mandate would be below the annual threshold established in UMRA for the intergovernmental sector (\$85 million in 2021, ad-

justed annually for inflation). H.R. 1688 contains no private-sector mandates as defined in UMRA.” and insert “None.”

