AUTHENTICATED
U.S. GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION
GPO

Calendar No. 525

117TH CONGRESS *2d Session*	SENATE	REPORT 117–175

U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION OFFICER RETIREMENT TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS ACT

R E P O R T

OF THE

COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS UNITED STATES SENATE

TO ACCOMPANY

S. 3868

TO CORRECT THE INEQUITABLE DENIAL OF ENHANCED RETIREMENT AND ANNUITY BENEFITS TO CERTAIN U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION OFFICERS

OCTOBER 18, 2022.—Ordered to be printed
Filed, under authority of the order of the Senate of October 14, 2022

U.S. GOVERNMENT PUBLISHING OFFICE

39–010 WASHINGTON : 2022

COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

GARY C. PETERS, Michigan, *Chairman*

THOMAS R. CARPER, Delaware
MAGGIE HASSAN, New Hampshire
KYRSTEN SINEMA, Arizona
JACKY ROSEN, Nevada
ALEX PADILLA, California
JON OSSOFF, Georgia

ROB PORTMAN, Ohio
RON JOHNSON, Wisconsin
RAND PAUL, Kentucky
JAMES LANKFORD, Oklahoma
MITT ROMNEY, Utah
RICK SCOTT, Florida
JOSH HAWLEY, Missouri

DAVID M. WEINBERG, *Staff Director*
ZACHARY I. SCHRAM, *Chief Counsel*
LENA C. CHANG, *Director of Governmental Affairs*
DEVIN M. PARSONS, *Professional Staff Member*
PAMELA THIESSEN, *Minority Staff Director*
SAM J. MULOPULOS, *Minority Deputy Staff Director*
JEREMY H. HAYES, *Minority Senior Professional Staff Member*
LAURA W. KILBRIDE, *Chief Clerk*

Calendar No. 525

117TH CONGRESS, 2d Session | SENATE | REPORT 117–175

U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION OFFICER RETIREMENT TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS ACT

OCTOBER 18, 2022.—Ordered to be printed

Filed, under authority of the order of the Senate of October 14, 2022

Mr. PETERS, from the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, submitted the following

R E P O R T

[To accompany S. 3868]

[Including cost estimate of the Congressional Budget Office]

The Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, to which was referred the bill (S. 3868), to correct the inequitable denial of enhanced retirement and annuity benefits to certain U.S. Customs and Border Protection Officers, having considered the same, reports favorably thereon with an amendment, in the nature of a substitute, and recommends that the bill, as amended, do pass.

CONTENTS

		Page
I.	Purpose and Summary	1
II.	Background and Need for the Legislation	2
III.	Legislative History	4
IV.	Section-by-Section Analysis of the Bill, as Reported	4
V.	Evaluation of Regulatory Impact	5
VI.	Congressional Budget Office Cost Estimate	5
VII.	Changes in Existing Law Made by the Bill, as Reported	10

I. PURPOSE AND SUMMARY

S. 3868, the *U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) Officer Retirement Technical Corrections Act*, would address a CBP error involving at least 1,352 officers told by the agency that they were eligible for an enhanced retirement benefit without mandatory retirement requirements, also known as a proportional annuity. Officers impacted by this error were hired before the enhanced benefit

took effect on July 6, 2008 but not yet on payroll until after that date. Over a decade later, CBP realized its error and rescinded these officers' eligibility for a proportional annuity in 2021. The bill directs CBP to reinstate the proportional annuity for such officers and includes a retroactive annuity adjustment for eligible individuals who retire before the date of enactment of this bill. In addition, the bill grants the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) the authority to waive maximum entry age requirements for impacted officers as needed.

II. BACKGROUND AND NEED FOR THE LEGISLATION

In December 2007, Congress passed legislation that included provisions to establish an enhanced retirement benefit for CBP officers, which the President signed into law.[1] This legislation structured the new retirement benefit for CBP officers similar to retirement provisions for other special retirement groups, such as law enforcement officers, nuclear material couriers, and firefighters.[2] For such positions with heightened physical and mental demands, the 1.7% enhanced annuity is coupled with a mandatory retirement age and maximum age of entry, designed to provide retirees with a sufficient income after they complete 20 years of service before age 57.[3] Both the employee and the agency pay higher contributions toward the officer's retirement, aligned with the higher annuity level.[4]

The enhanced annuity benefit that the legislation established for CBP officers took effect prospectively, starting on the first day of the pay period six months after the legislation's enactment, meaning July 6, 2008.[5] The legislation included transition rules allowing for a hybrid retirement benefit, referred to as a "proportional annuity," for individuals already serving as CBP officers at the time the new benefit took effect.[6] Specifically, the legislative text states that individuals "serving as a customs and border protection officer on the effective date [. . .] pursuant to an appointment made before that date" are eligible for the enhanced annuity calculation going forward without being subject to the mandatory separation provisions or the 20-years-of-service requirement.[7]

The CBP Office of Human Resources Management (HRM) coordinated with the CBP Office of Chief Counsel, DHS, and Office of Personnel Management (OPM) to implement the enhanced retirement for CBP officers over a six month period in 2008. The HRM Office of Compensation and Organizational Effectiveness Division; Benefits, Medical and Worklife Division; and the Hiring Center worked together on guidance for implementing the enhanced benefit.[8] The guidance stated that employees who received a tentative job offer before July 6, 2008 but entered on duty after this date are

---

[1] Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008, Pub. L. 110–161, Division E, Sec. 535.

[2] Letter from Acting Director Kathleen McGettigan, Office of Personnel Management, to Anthony M. Reardon, National President of the National Treasury Employees Union (Apr. 1, 2021).

[3] Congressional Research Service, *Retirement Benefits for Federal Law Enforcement Personnel* (R42631) (Sep. 5, 2017).

[4] *Letter from Acting Director Kathleen McGettigan, supra* note 2.

[5] Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008, Pub. L. 110–161, Division E, Sec. 535(e)(1).

[6] Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008, Pub. L. 110–161, Division E, Sec. 535(e)(2).

[7] *Id.*

[8] U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Office of Human Resources Management, Production to Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee (copy on file with Committee); *and* Email from Allie Cleaves, Office of Congressional Affairs, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, to Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Staff (Jan. 19, 2022).

eligible for the proportional annuity, even if they leave the position before 20 years.[9] This classification resulted in the CBP Hiring Center using the "O52" remark code in the personnel records for this group of officers, which states that the officer is "[e]xempt from mandatory retirement and eligible for prorated Annuity upon retirement."[10]

In July 2011, OPM, in consultation with DHS, published final regulations for the enhanced CBP officer retirement benefit.[11] The regulations further clarify that the proportional annuity computation applies only to "an employee serving in a primary or secondary customs and border protection officer position on July 6, 2008."[12] Both the regulations and the underlying statute specify that individuals who enter on duty as a CBP officer after July 6, 2008 are not eligible for the proportional annuity.

In 2020, a CBP employee requested information from the agency about the prorated annuity described in the individual's O52 code.[13] This employee had entered on duty after July 6, 2008, even though CBP had offered the position to the individual prior to that date. The CBP officer's inquiry in 2020 brought the O52 code to the agency's attention after over a decade of having implemented the benefit, along with the realization that CBP Human Resources Management failed to comply with federal statute or the related OPM regulations that had since been finalized.[14] OPM confirmed the error on the part of CBP upon CBP's request in January 2021.[15] In response, CBP rescinded the O52 remark code in February 2021 from the personnel record of any officer who had not technically entered on duty prior to or on July 6, 2008, even if the officer had been offered a position before that date.

CBP's error resulted in a number of officers becoming ineligible for the proportional annuity they had been promised and contributed toward since the beginning of their careers as CBP officers.[16] At least 1,352 officers have been impacted by this CBP error.[17] Of this total, CBP identified 1,077 employees who entered on duty as officers before age 37, the maximum entry age since the start of the enhanced benefit.[18] Although these employees will reach the 20-year mark at or below the mandatory retirement age of 57, they had still been told at the time of their hiring that they did not necessarily have to follow this timeline in order to access their benefits. Additionally, there are at least 275 officers who are at an even higher risk of losing their enhanced benefits because they entered on duty as a CBP officer after age 37 and may not be able to work a total of 20 years.

---

[9] U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Office of Human Resources Management, Talent Management Directorate, *CBP Hiring Center: CBP Officer Enhanced Retirement Coverage-Eligible for a Prorated Annuity* (Jul. 2020).

[10] *Id.*

[11] Office of Personnel Management, *Customs and Border Protection Officer Retirement,* 76 FR 41993 (Jul. 18, 2011) (Rule).

[12] 17 CFR § 842.1009.

[13] U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Office of Human Resources Management, Production to Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee (copy on file with Committee).

[14] *Id.*

[15] *Id.*

[16] *Id.*

[17] *Id.*

[18] *Id.*

Unless there is a legislative fix, officers who are not able to reach 20 years of service will only receive a 1% annuity calculation rather than 1.7% annuity calculation, and they would not be reimbursed for the higher contributions they have made toward their retirement over the years.[19] That is why the *CBP Officer Retirement Technical Corrections Act* is necessary for this group of over 1,300 officers and their families.

This bill would ensure impacted officers can receive the retirement benefits they were promised when starting their service. It does so by directing CBP to identify eligible individuals and notify them of the correction. Those identified would then be eligible for a correction that would align their retirement benefits with the proportional annuity. The legislation also includes a retroactive annuity adjustment for eligible individuals who retire before the date of enactment of this bill and grants DHS the authority to waive maximum entry age requirements for eligible officers as needed. In hopes of preventing a future error like that one that had a negative impact on over 1,300 officers and their families, the bill further includes a provision to have the Comptroller General review CBP's internal controls and training to ensure CBP is complying with laws and regulations.

III. LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

Chairman Gary Peters (D–MI) introduced S. 3868, the *U.S. Customs and Border Protection Officer Retirement Technical Corrections Act*, on March 17, 2022 with Senator Josh Hawley (R–MO). The bill was referred to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

The Committee considered S. 3868 at a business meeting on March 30, 2022. Chairman Peters and Ranking Member Rob Portman (R–OH) offered a substitute amendment that added a subsection directing the Government Accountability Office to review CBP's hiring practices, policies, and procedures related to eligibility for the enhanced retirement benefit. The substitute amendment was adopted by voice vote *en bloc*. The bill, as amended, was ordered reported favorably by voice vote *en bloc* with Senators Peters, Carper, Hassan, Sinema, Rosen, Padilla, Ossoff, Paul, Lankford, Romney, Scott, and Hawley present.

IV. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS OF THE BILL, AS REPORTED

Section 1. Short title

This section establishes the short title of the bill as the "U.S. Customs and Border Protection Officer Retirement Technical Corrections Act."

Sec. 2. Adjustment related to transition rules

Subsection (a) defines the term "eligible individual" in the context of this section. Officers are eligible if they received and accepted an offer of employment before July 6, 2008 and entered into duty on or after July 6, 2008.

---

[19] *Id.; and* National Treasury Employees Union, *CBP Proportional Retirement Update* (Aug. 24, 2021) (www.nteu.org/~/media//Files/nteu/docs/public/cbp/leo/enhanced-retirement-eligibility-faqs) (accessed May 17, 2022).

Subsection (b) describes the benefits these eligible officers are entitled to receive, to align with the benefits promised by the agency between 2008 and 2021. They may receive the enhanced annuity starting in 2008 without being subject to mandatory retirement requirements.

Subsection (c) directs DHS, within 120 days, to create a list of impacted officers, notify the impacted officers of the correction, and provide OPM with the information necessary for the annuity correction. OPM is directed to make the annuity correction after receiving the information, including retroactively for impacted officers who retired before this bill's enactment.

Subsection (d) provides DHS with the authority to waive maximum entry age requirements for the group of impacted officers. It also directs OPM, in consultation with DHS, to issue appropriate guidance to assist in the implementation of the annuity correction.

Subsection (e) directs the Government Accountability Office to review the CBP hiring practices, policies, and procedures related to eligibility for the enhanced retirement benefit and training of the senior leaders of CBP to submit a report to Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee and the House Committee on Homeland Security. This report will assist Congress in understanding the process of how this error occurred and how to prevent CBP from issuing guidance in the future that does not comply with federal law.

V. EVALUATION OF REGULATORY IMPACT

Pursuant to the requirements of paragraph 11(b) of rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate, the Committee has considered the regulatory impact of this bill and determined that the bill will have no regulatory impact within the meaning of the rules. The Committee agrees with the Congressional Budget Office's statement that the bill contains no intergovernmental or private sector mandates as defined in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) and would impose no costs on state, local, or tribal governments.

VI. CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE COST ESTIMATE

U.S. CONGRESS,
CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE,
Washington, DC, September 12, 2022.

Hon. GARY PETERS,
Chairman, Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, U.S. Senate, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: The Congressional Budget Office has prepared the enclosed cost estimate for S. 3868, the U.S. Customs and Border Protection Officer Retirement Technical Corrections Act.

If you wish further details on this estimate, we will be pleased to provide them. The CBO staff contact is Amber Marcellino.

Sincerely,

PHILLIP L. SWAGEL,
Director.

Enclosure.

At a Glance

S. 3868, U.S. Customs and Border Protection Officer Retirement Technical Corrections Act

As ordered reported by the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs on March 30, 2022.

By Fiscal Year, Millions of Dollars	2022	2022-2027	2022-2032
Direct Spending (Outlays)	0	7	18
Revenues	0	0	0
Increase or Decrease (-) in the Deficit	0	7	18
Spending Subject to Appropriation (Outlays)	0	*	not estimated

		Mandate Effects	
Statutory pay-as-you-go procedures apply?	Yes		
Increases on-budget deficits in any of the four consecutive 10-year periods beginning in 2033?	< $5 billion	Contains intergovernmental mandate?	No
		Contains private-sector mandate?	No

* = between zero and $500,000.

The bill would:

- Allow certain Customs and Border Protection Officers to retire with an increased retirement benefit
- Make those officers eligible for an annuity that would treat a portion of their years of service similarly to the treatment of time in service for federal law enforcement officers and firefighters

Estimated budgetary effects would mainly stem from:

- Larger retirement annuities for certain Customs and Border Protection Officers
- Retroactive revisions and adjustments to the annuities of affected officers who retire before enactment

Bill summary: S. 3868 would allow certain Customs and Border Protection Officers (CBPOs) to retire with a more generous civil service retirement benefit.

Estimated Federal cost: The estimated budgetary effect of S. 3868 is shown in Table 1. The costs of the legislation mostly fall within budget function 600 (income security).

TABLE 1.—ESTIMATED BUDGETARY EFFECTS OF S. 3868

	By fiscal year, millions of dollars—												
	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2022–2027	2022–2032
Increases in Direct Spending													
Estimated Budget Authority	0	*	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	7	18
Estimated Outlays	0	*	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	7	18

* = between zero and $500,000.

CBO estimates that administrative costs associated with the identification of people affected by S. 3868 and the processing of retirement annuity revisions would increase spending subject to appropriation by less than $500,000 over the 2022–2027 period.

Basis of estimate: For this estimate, CBO assumes that S. 3868 will be enacted before the end of calendar year 2022.

Background: In 2007, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008, authorized enhanced retirement coverage for CBPOs that is similar to coverage that applies to federal law enforcement officers and firefighters. That coverage took effect on July 6, 2008, but implementation was different for CBPOs who already were working on that date and those whose service began after that date.

CBPOs who entered duty after July 6, 2008, and who complete 20 years of service qualify for a retirement benefit that uses a higher multiplier in the annuity calculation: 1.7 percent of an employee's highest three consecutive years of qualifying pay (or high-3) multiplied by the required 20 years of CBPO service. (For any years of federal service beyond 20, 1 percent of the employee's high-3 is included in the annuity calculation.) In addition, officers cannot begin working after age 36 and they generally must retire by age 57. The age limit for starting work ensures that CBPOs can work the 20 years they need to receive enhanced retirement before they reach the mandatory retirement age. (In contrast, the federal retirement benefit under non-enhanced retirement generally is calculated at 1 percent of an employee's high-3 for all years of service.) [1]

CBPOs who already were serving on the effective date are eligible for a proportional annuity, which provides a larger benefit without the requirement to complete 20 years of covered CBPO service—that is, service that occurs on or after July 6, 2008. Upon retirement, their annuities will be prorated, with the enhanced rate of 1.7 percent applying to years of CBPO service after July 6, 2008, and the standard rate of 1 percent applying to years of service before that date.

Some CBPOs were offered employment before, but did not start until after, July 6, 2008: Customs and Border Protection originally informed those officers (a group of about 1,400) that they would be eligible for the proportional annuity. However, in 2021, the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) determined that the proportional annuity provisions would not apply because those officers had not entered duty by the effective date. As a result, those CBPOs either will retire with a smaller than expected annuity (the non-enhanced annuity calculation will apply to all their years of service, including years of CBPO service after the effective date) or they will need to continue working until they completed a full 20 years of covered service to be eligible for the enhanced benefit.

S. 3868 would, for the purposes of retirement, consider all members of the affected group as having been in their positions on the effective date, thus making them eligible for the proportional annuity calculation.

Direct spending: S. 3868 would increase direct spending relative to current law because it would allow the affected officers to receive enhanced retirement benefits for some (but not all) years of their service. In total, CBO estimates, enacting the bill would increase direct spending by $18 million over the 2022–2032 period.

[1] The calculations described apply to retirements under the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS), the system that generally covers federal employees who started service after 1986 and includes most of the individuals affected by S. 3868.

The largest budgetary effect of S. 3868 would stem from benefits for CBPOs who were hired—but did not begin service—before July 6, 2008, at an age older than 36 (the maximum age to begin work, under current law). Before enhanced retirement coverage for CBPOs was implemented, there was no maximum age, so some officers hired before the effective date were older, and some had previous years of federal service at other agencies. CBO estimates that about 140 of those older CBPOs either are already eligible for regular retirement on the basis of their age and total years of service or will become so before they achieve the 20 years of covered service following July 6, 2008, necessary to receive enhanced coverage. Moreover, because of the mandatory retirement age of 57, CBO expects that most of those older officers would not or could not work enough additional years to meet the 20-year requirement for enhanced coverage.

Under current law, those officers will receive a regular retirement benefit.[2] If enacted, S. 3868 would allow them to retire with the proportional annuity calculation, instead of the regular annuity calculation. CBO estimates that the proportional calculation would increase their initial retirement benefit by 57 percent, or by about $12,000, on average. Federal retirement benefits are adjusted annually for inflation and thus generally increase over time. On that basis, CBO estimates that the larger benefits for those retirees would increase direct spending by $16 million over the 2022–2032 period.

S. 3868 also would direct OPM to retroactively revise the annuities of any affected officer who retires before enactment to use the proportional annuity calculation. As of August 2022, about 20 retired CBPOs would qualify for the revised benefit. Including the retroactive adjustment, CBO estimates that revising pre-enactment retirements would initially increase benefits for the group by about $9,000 each, on average. Those benefits also would increase annually to account for inflation and would thus increase direct spending by $2 million over the 2022–2032 period, CBO estimates.

Most of the remaining CBPOs who would be affected by the bill (a little more than 1,200) were younger than 37 when they were hired and generally would not be eligible to retire before they complete the 20 years of covered service required to qualify for the enhanced retirement. Thus, CBO expects that enacting S. 3868 would not lead to significant costs for that group of officers.

Spending subject to appropriation: S. 3868 would direct the Secretary of Homeland Security to identify and notify anyone affected by the bill and to provide necessary information to OPM to facilitate the processing of any required annuity corrections for that group. CBO estimates that the cost would be less than $500,000 over the 2022–2027 period; any spending would be subject to the availability of appropriated funds.

Pay-as-you-go considerations: The Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010 establishes budget-reporting and enforcement procedures for legislation affecting direct spending or revenues. The net changes in outlays that are subject to those pay-as-you-go procedures are shown in Table 1.

[2] Most federal employees become eligible for regular retirement at one of several combinations of age and years of service: age 62 with 5 years, 60 with 20 years, or 55 to 57 (depending on the year of birth) with 30 years.

Increase in long-term deficits: CBO estimates that enacting S. 3868 would not increase on-budget deficits by more than $5 billion in any of the four consecutive 10-year periods beginning in 2033.

Mandates: None.

Estimate prepared by: Federal Costs: Amber Marcellino; Mandates: Rachel Austin.

Estimate reviewed by: Christina Hawley Anthony, Chief, Projections Unit; H. Samuel Papenfuss, Deputy Director of Budget Analysis; Theresa Gullo, Director of Budget Analysis.

VII. CHANGES IN EXISTING LAW MADE BY THE BILL, AS REPORTED

Because S. 3868 would not repeal or amend any provision of current law, it would make no changes in existing law within the meaning of clauses (a) and (b) of paragraph 12 of rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate.

○