

Union Calendar No. 599

116TH CONGRESS }
2d Session

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

{ REPORT
116-715

ACTIVITY REPORT
OF THE
COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY
OF THE
UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



JANUARY 1, 2021.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on
the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

U.S. GOVERNMENT PUBLISHING OFFICE

42-835

WASHINGTON : 2021

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY,
Washington, DC, January 1, 2021.

Hon. CHERYL L. JOHNSON,
Clerk, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM CLERK: Pursuant to clause 1(d) of rule XI of the Rules of the House of Representatives, I am transmitting the report on the activities of the Committee on the Judiciary of the U.S. House of Representatives in the 116th Congress.

Sincerely,

JERROLD NADLER,
Chairman.

CONTENTS

	Page
Jurisdiction of the Committee	1
Rule X—Organization of Committees	1
Committee Activity	2
Tabulation of Activity	2
Printed Hearings	3
Committee Prints	3
House Documents	4
Legislation Enacted into Law	4
Public Laws	4
Committee on the Judiciary Oversight Plan for the 116th Congress	5
Full Committee	6
Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security	7
Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties	8
Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship	9
Subcommittee on Courts, Intellectual Property, and the Internet	9
Subcommittee on Antitrust, Commercial, and Administrative Law	10
Activities Conducted Pursuant to Committee Oversight Plan	10
Full Committee	14
Jurisdiction	14
Legislative Activities	14
Oversight Activities	47
• The Impeachment of Donald J. Trump, President of the United States ..	47
• Investigation Into Allegations of Political Interference and Related Mis-	
conduct in the Department of Justice	49
• Additional Investigations into Misconduct by the Trump Administra-	
tion	51
Subcommittee on Antitrust, Commercial, and Administrative Law	63
Jurisdiction	63
Oversight Activities	63
Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties	69
Jurisdiction	69
Legislative Activities	69
Oversight Activities	70
Subcommittee on Courts, Intellectual Property, and the Internet	75
Jurisdiction	75
Legislative Activities	75
Oversight Activities	77
Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security	79
Jurisdiction	79
Legislative Activities	79
Oversight Activities	80
Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship	83
Jurisdiction	83
Legislative Activities	83

VI

	Page
Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship—Continued	
Oversight Activities	83

Union Calendar No. 599

116TH CONGRESS }
2d Session } HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES { REPORT
116-715

ACTIVITY REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY OF THE
UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JANUARY 1, 2021.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State
of the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. NADLER, from the Committee on the Judiciary,
submitted the following

R E P O R T

JURISDICTION OF THE COMMITTEE

The jurisdiction of the Committee on the Judiciary is set forth in clause 1(1) of rule X of the Rules of the House of Representatives for the 116th Congress, which reads:

RULE X—ORGANIZATION OF COMMITTEES

COMMITTEES AND THEIR LEGISLATIVE JURISDICTIONS

1. There shall be in the House the following standing committees, each of which shall have the jurisdiction and related functions assigned by this clause and clauses 2, 3, and 4. All bills, resolutions, and other matters relating to subjects within the jurisdiction of the standing committees listed in this clause shall be referred to those committees, in accordance with clause 2 of rule XII, as follows:

- (1) Committee on the Judiciary
 - (1) The judiciary and judicial proceedings, civil and criminal.
 - (2) Administrative practice and procedure.
 - (3) Apportionment and Representatives.
 - (4) Bankruptcy, mutiny, espionage, and counterfeiting.
 - (5) Civil liberties.
 - (6) Constitutional amendments.
 - (7) Criminal law enforcement and criminalization.
 - (8) Federal courts and judges, and local courts in the Territories and possessions.
 - (9) Immigration policy and non-border enforcement.
 - (10) Interstate compacts generally.
 - (11) Claims against the United States.

(12) Meetings of Congress; attendance of Members, Delegates, and the Resident Commissioner; and their acceptance of incompatible offices.

(13) National penitentiaries.

(14) Patents, the Patent and Trademark Office, copyrights, and trademarks.

(15) Presidential succession.

(16) Protection of trade and commerce against unlawful restraints and monopolies.

(17) Revision and codification of the Statutes of the United States.

(18) State and territorial boundary lines.

(19) Subversive activities affecting the internal security of the United States.

COMMITTEE ACTIVITY

Tabulation of Activity

BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE

Public Legislation:	
House bills:	1,412
House joint resolutions:	62
House concurrent resolutions:	11
House resolutions:	165
Senate bills:	17
Senate joint resolutions:	0
Senate concurrent resolutions:	1
Subtotal:	1,668
Private Legislation:	
House bills:	32
House resolutions:	0
Senate bills:	0
Subtotal:	32
Total:	

HEARINGS

Full Committee:	32
Subcommittee on Antitrust, Commercial, and Administrative Law:	12
Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties:	16
Subcommittee on Courts, Intellectual Property, and the Internet:	10
Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security:	10
Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship:	12
Total:	92

BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS MARKED UP

Full Committee:	78
Subcommittee on Antitrust, Commercial, and Administrative Law:	0
Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties:	0
Subcommittee on Courts, Intellectual Property, and the Internet:	0
Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security:	0
Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship:	0
Total:	78

BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS REPORTED TO THE HOUSE

House bills:	74
House joint resolutions:	1
House concurrent resolutions:	0
House resolutions:	3
Senate bills and resolutions:	0
Total:	78

BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS (REFERRED) PASSING THE HOUSE

House bills:	83
House joint resolutions:	1
House concurrent resolutions:	1
House resolutions:	11
Senate bills and resolutions:	11
Total:	107

Printed Hearings

Serial No.	Date of Hearing	Forum	Hearing Title
116-3	2/8/2019	Full	Oversight of the U.S. Department of Justice
116-5	2/28/2019	Constitution	National Emergencies Act of 1976
116-6	3/6/2019	Immigration	Protecting Dreamers and TPS Recipients
116-7	3/7/2019	Crime	Reauthorization of the Violence Against Women Act
116-9	3/12/2019	Constitution	History and Enforcement of the Voting Rights Act of 1965
116-11	3/27/2019	Courts	Lost Einsteins: Lack of Diversity in Patent Inventorship and the Impact on America's Innovation Economy
116-14	4/3/2019	Immigration	Securing the Future of American Agriculture
116-18	5/3/2019	Constitution	Enforcement of the Voting Rights Act in the State of Texas
116-23	6/4/2019	Constitution	Threats to Reproductive Rights in America
116-24	6/10/2019	Full	Lessons from the Mueller Report: Presidential Obstruction and Other Crimes
116-25	6/11/2019	Antitrust	Online Platforms and Market Power, Part 1: The Free and Diverse Press
116-28	6/20/2019	Full	Lessons from the Mueller Report, Part II: Bipartisan Perspectives
116-31	6/25/2019	Constitution	Continuing Challenges to the Voting Rights Act Since Shelby County v. Holder
116-35	7/13/2019	Crime	California Criminal Justice Reform: Potential Lessons for the Nation
116-36	7/15/2019	Immigration	Overcrowding and Prolonged Detention at CBP Facilities
116-39	7/16/2019	Antitrust	Online Platforms and Market Power, Part 2: Innovation and Entrepreneurship
116-45	9/10/2019	Constitution	Evidence of Current and Ongoing Voting Discrimination
116-53	9/26/2019	Immigration	The Expansion and Troubling Use of ICE Detention
116-59	10/18/2019	Antitrust	Online Platforms and Market Power, Part 3: The Role of Data and Privacy in Competition
116-63	11/13/2019	Antitrust	Online Platforms and Market Power, Part 4: Perspectives of the Antitrust Agencies
116-67	12/4/2019	Full	The Impeachment Inquiry into President Donald J. Trump: Constitutional Grounds for Presidential Impeachment
116-68	12/9/2019	Full	The Impeachment Inquiry into President Donald J. Trump: Presentations from the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence and House Judiciary Committee
116-69	12/11/2019	Full	Markup of: H. Res. 755, Articles of Impeachment Against President Donald J. Trump
116-70	1/17/2020	Antitrust	Online Platforms and Market Power, Part 5: Competitors in the Digital Economy

Committee Prints

- Constitutional Grounds for Presidential Impeachment, December 2019
- Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, December 2019
- Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, December 2019
- Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, December 2019
- Federal Rules of Evidence, December 2019
- Federal Rules of Bankruptcy, December 2019
- Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, December 2020
- Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, December 2020
- Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, December 2020
- Federal Rules of Evidence, December 2020

- Federal Rules of Bankruptcy, December 2020

House Documents

- 116–95. Impeachment of Donald John Trump, The Evidentiary Record Pursuant to H. Res. 798 Volumes I–XVIII

Legislation Enacted Into Law

A variety of legislation within the Committee’s jurisdiction was enacted into law during the 116th Congress. The public laws are listed below and are more fully detailed in the subsequent sections of this report recounting the activities of the Committee and its individual subcommittees.

Public Laws

- Public Law No. 116–7. H.R. 439, the “National FFA Organization’s Federal Charter Amendments Act” (Approved February 21, 2019)
- Public Law No. 116–18. H.R. 2379, To reauthorize the Bulletproof Vest Partnership Grant Program. (Approved May 23, 2019)
- Public Law No. 116–31. S. 744, the “Effective Prosecution of Possession of Biological Toxins and Agents Act of 2019” (Approved July 25, 2019)
- Public Law No. 116–32. S. 998, the “Supporting and Treating Officers in Crisis Act of 2019” (Approved July 25, 2019)
- Public Law No. 116–34. H.R. 1327, the “Never Forget the Heroes: James Zadroga, Ray Pfeifer, and Luis Alvarez Permanent Authorization of the September 11th Victim Compensation Fund” (Approved July 29, 2019)
- Public Law No. 116–35. H.R. 1641, the “Let Everyone Get Involved in Opportunities for National Service Act” or the “LEGIONS Act” (Approved July 30, 2019)
- Public Law No. 116–40. H.R. 1569, To amend title 28, United States Code, to add Flagstaff and Yuma to the list of locations in which court shall be held in the Judicial district for the State of Arizona. (Approved August 9, 2019)
- Public Law No. 116–51. H.R. 2336, the “Family Farmer Relief Act of 2019” (Approved August 23, 2019)
- Public Law No. 116–52. H.R. 2938, the “Honoring American Veterans in Extreme Need Act of 2019” or the “HAVEN Act” (Approved August 23, 2019)
- Public Law No. 116–53. H.R. 3304, the “National Guard and Reservists Debt Relief Extension Act of 2019” (Approved August 23, 2019)
- Public Law No. 116–54. H.R. 3311, the “Small Business Reorganization Act of 2019” (Approved August 23, 2019)
- Public Law No. 116–67. S. 693, the “National POW/MIA Flag Act” (Approved November 7, 2019)
- Public Law No. 116–72. H.R. 724, the “Preventing Animal Cruelty and Torture Act” (Approved November 25, 2019)
- Public Law No. 116–73. H.R. 1123, the “Divisional Realignment for the Eastern District of Arkansas Act of 2019” (Approved November 26, 2019)

- Public Law No. 116–75. H.R. 4258, the “Reauthorizing Security for Supreme Court Justices Act of 2019.” (Approved November 27, 2019)
- Public Law No. 116–78. H.R. 5277, To amend section 442 of title 18, United States Code, to exempt certain interests in mutual funds, unit investment trusts, employee benefit plans, and retirement plans from conflict of interest limitations for the Government Publishing Office. (Approved December 5, 2019)
- Public Law No. 116–104. H.R. 777, the “Debbie Smith Reauthorization Act of 2019” (Approved December 30, 2019)
- Public Law No. 116–133. H.R. 4803, the “Citizenship for Children of Military Members and Civil Servants Act” (Approved March 26, 2020)
- Public Law No. 116–143. S. 2746, the “Law Enforcement Suicide Data Collection Act” (Approved June 16, 2020)
- Public Law No. 116–153. H.R. 886, the “Veteran Treatment Court Coordination Act of 2019” (Approved August 8, 2020)
- Public Law No. 116–156. S. 2163, the “Commission on the Social Status of Black Men and Boys Act” (Approved August 14, 2020)
- Public Law No. 116–165. S. 227, “Savanna’s Act” (Approved October 10, 2020)
- Public Law No. 116–166. S. 982, the “Not Invisible Act of 2019” (Approved October 10, 2020)
- Public Law No. 116–179. S. 1321, the “Defending the Integrity of Voting Systems Act” (Approved October 20, 2020)
- Public Law No. 116–182. S. 1380, the “Due Process Protections Act” (Approved October 21, 2020)
- Public Law No. 116–189. S. 2330, the “Empowering Olympic, Paralympic, and Amateur Athletes Act of 2020” (Approved October 30, 2020)
- Public Law No. 116–206. H.R. 835, the “Rodchenkov Anti-Doping Act of 2019” (Approved December 4, 2020)
- Public Law No. 116–249. S. 134, the “Combat Online Predators Act” (Approved December 22, 2020)
- S. 2258, the “Criminal Antitrust Anti-Retaliation Act of 2019” (Approved December 23, 2020)

**COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY OVERSIGHT PLAN FOR
THE 116TH CONGRESS**

Adopted March 6, 2019

The Rules of the House of Representatives assign to the Committee on the Judiciary jurisdiction over: (1) the judiciary and judicial proceedings, civil and criminal; (2) administrative practice and procedure; (3) apportionment of Representatives; (4) bankruptcy, mutiny, espionage, and counterfeiting; (5) civil liberties; (6) Constitutional amendments; (7) criminal law enforcement and criminalization; (8) Federal courts and judges, and local courts in the Territories and possessions; (9) immigration policy and non-border enforcement; (10) interstate compacts generally; (11) claims against the United States; (12) meetings of Congress; attendance of Members, Delegates, and the Resident Commissioner; and their accept-

ance of incompatible offices; (13) national penitentiaries; (14) patents, the Patent and Trademark Office, copyrights, and trademarks; (15) Presidential succession; (16) protection of trade and commerce against unlawful restraints and monopolies; (17) revision and codification of the Statutes of the United States; (18) State and territorial boundary lines; and (19) subversive activities affecting the internal security of the United States.

Under clause 2(d) of Rule X of the House of Representatives, the Committee is further charged with preparing an oversight plan for the 116th Congress.

The Committee's work on oversight and investigations will be coordinated across the Full Committee and each of the Subcommittees. Oversight activities may include hearings, briefings, correspondence, reports, public statements, and site visits. In the 116th Congress, this work may address any of the following issues, agencies, or legislative matters under the Committee's jurisdiction.

Full Committee

U.S. Department of Justice. In conjunction with the Subcommittees, the Committee will conduct oversight of the U.S. Department of Justice, including all Department components and agencies. This effort will include the investigation of threats to the integrity and independence of the Department of Justice, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and other federal law enforcement agencies.

National Security. The Committee will conduct oversight of the national security missions of the Law Enforcement and Intelligence Communities and assess the impact of government surveillance on privacy and civil liberties. This work will include reform and reauthorization of the expiring provisions of the USA PATRIOT Act and related provisions of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act.

Executive Authority and Separation of Powers. The Committee will conduct oversight of executive orders, memoranda, and court filings issued by the White House, the Office of Legal Counsel, and other components of the Department of Justice, particularly as they may relate to an assertion of executive authority. These efforts will include a review of the Department's decision not to defend key provisions the Affordable Care Act.

The U.S. Copyright Office: The Committee will conduct oversight of the Copyright Office. Oversight will include review of its recordation system, public access to its registration records, and other modernization efforts.

Copyright Law and Policy: The Committee will examine the provisions of the Copyright Act to ensure it addresses the challenges faced by copyright owners, users, and consumers in the digital environment. This work may include oversight of the Office of the U.S. Intellectual Property Enforcement Coordinator and implementation of the Music Modernization Act.

Intellectual Property Enforcement Agencies: The Committee will review the intellectual property enforcement efforts of the Department of Justice and U.S. Customs and Border Protection. To the extent it involves non-copyright-related intellectual property issues, this work will be closely coordinated with Subcommittee on Courts, Intellectual Property, and the Internet.

Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security

U.S. Department of Justice: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight of the law enforcement agencies of the U.S. Department of Justice, including:

- the Federal Bureau of Investigation;
- the Drug Enforcement Administration;
- the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives;
- the U.S. Marshals Service,
- the Office of the Federal Detention Trustee;
- the Federal Bureau of Prisons; and
- Federal Prison Industries, Inc.

In addition, the Subcommittee will conduct oversight of the Office of Justice Programs, the Office on Violence Against Women, the Community Oriented Policing Services Office, and the Office of Juvenile Justice Delinquency Prevention, as well as the substantive statutes associated with these offices.

Implementation of the FIRST STEP Act: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight of various reforms to federal sentencing laws and the operation of federal prisons enacted by the FIRST STEP Act of 2018, as well as various additional reforms with regard to criminal justice.

Federal Grants: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight on law enforcement assistance grants, Violence Against Women Act grants, community policing grants, and other grants administered by the Department of Justice.

Office of the Pardon Attorney: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight of the Office of the Pardon Attorney.

U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS): The Subcommittee will conduct oversight of DHS law enforcement components, including:

- the U.S. Secret Service;
- U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement;
- U.S. Customs and Border Protection;
- the U.S. Coast Guard; and
- the Federal Air Marshals Service

U.S. Sentencing Commission: The Subcommittee will review the mission and operations of the U.S. Sentencing Commission.

Policing Strategies: The Subcommittee, through the Committee's Policing Strategies Working Group, will continue working with state and local governments and groups to foster positive police-community relations, and ensure law enforcement has the tools it needs to do its job.

Gun Violence: The Subcommittee will continue to examine ways to reduce firearms-related violence.

Encryption and Handheld Electronic Devices: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight on both the benefits of strong encryption and efforts by law enforcement agencies to access encrypted information.

Electronic Communications Privacy Act: The Subcommittee will continue its work to update this 1986 statute in light of the digital revolution that has taken place since the statute's enactment.

Cybersecurity: The Subcommittee will review the laws and law enforcement tools designed to combat and prevent cyber-attacks, particularly attacks on the independence and integrity of U.S. elections.

Marijuana: The Subcommittee will review the laws related to the possession of marijuana and the impact of those laws on our communities.

Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties

Protection of U.S. Citizens' Constitutional and Civil Rights: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice. The Subcommittee will examine the adequacy of current protections for U.S. citizens' constitutional and civil rights.

Voting Rights: The Subcommittee will examine ways to enhance the ability of citizens to participate in federal elections by removing unnecessary barriers to access to the polls, addressing voter suppression efforts, and other means to fully guarantee the right to vote for all eligible individuals.

Foreign Influence: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight on the influence of foreign governments, foreign corporations, and other foreign entities on the federal government. The Subcommittee will also examine the adequacy of current law to prevent non-United States persons from making financial contributions to federal campaigns.

The Scope of Executive Authority: The Subcommittee will examine the proper scope and application of executive authority, including but not limited to executive actions intended to personally benefit the President of the United States. This work may include a review of current ethics rules, the Foreign Emoluments Clause of the U.S. Constitution, the National Emergencies Act, and pardons granted by the President.

Office of Government Ethics: The Subcommittee will consider the priorities and operation of the Office of Government Ethics.

Religious Freedom: The Subcommittee will consider the protection of Americans' rights under the Free Exercise and Establishment Clauses, including the attempt to qualify entry into the United States on the basis of religion and potential discrimination against those with minority religious beliefs.

LGBT Equality: The Subcommittee will examine the legality and enforcement of actions taken by the Administration and the states with respect to the equal treatment of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender persons.

Reproductive Rights: The Subcommittee will examine the legality and enforcement of actions taken by the Administration and the states with respect to women's equality and reproductive choice.

Free Speech and Free Press: The Subcommittee will examine the state of free speech and the freedom of the press in the United States, including any attempts by the President of the United States to undermine the freedom of the press.

Detention of Suspected Terrorists: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight on matters related to the long-term detention of suspected terrorists. The Subcommittee will also examine the legality of any government proposal to subject detainees to cruel or inhumane treatment, engage in so-called "enhanced interrogation" techniques, or re-establish "black site" facilities for the detention of allegedly unlawful enemy combatants.

United States Commission on Civil Rights: The Subcommittee will review the work of the Commission, its management, and its implementation.

The Judgment Fund: The Subcommittee will examine payments made from the Judgment Fund, its management, and how it is administered.

Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship

Executive Orders signed by President Trump: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight of Executive Orders regarding immigration and border security signed by President Trump.

Family Separation: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight of the Trump Administration's policy of separating minors from their parents at the border between the United States and Mexico, and the care of those minors while in government custody.

Dreamers and Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight of attempts to deport individuals who qualify or who had previously qualified for deferred action under the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals program.

Temporary Protected Status: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight of procedures for determining whether to extend or terminate Temporary Protected Status (TPS) designations.

Department of Homeland Security: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight of the components within DHS that are responsible for administering and enforcing United States immigration laws, including U.S. Immigration and Custom Enforcement and U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS).

Nonimmigrant Worker Visa Programs: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight of the H-1B, H-2A, H-2B and various other non-immigrant worker visa programs.

Student Visa Programs: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight of the F, J, and M visa programs.

Immigrant Investor Visa Program: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight of the immigrant investor visa program.

Refugee Program: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight of the refugee program and the Office of Refugee Resettlement within the Department of Health and Human Services.

Visa Security: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight of the screening of visa applicants.

Executive Office for Immigration Review: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight of the Department of Justice's adjudication of immigration cases.

Subcommittee on Courts, Intellectual Property, and the Internet

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO). This work may include the status of pending patent and trademark applications, patent and trademark quality, implementation of the America Invents Act, and USPTO's fee-setting authority.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Global Intellectual Property Rights Attaché Program: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight on the Global Intellectual Property Rights Attaché program's efforts to promote high international standards for protection and enforcement.

International Intellectual Property Laws: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight of the impact of international intellectual property laws, regulations, and policies upon American interests. This work may include oversight of international trade agreements.

Federal Judiciary: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight of the federal judiciary, including judicial ethics and disclosure, the PACER system, and the operation of the federal court system. In addition, the Subcommittee will examine the resources available to Article III courts, including judicial salaries and security for federal judges. The Subcommittee will investigate attempts by the White House to threaten or discredit a federal judge or to undermine the independence of the federal judiciary.

Technology Issues: The Subcommittee will examine developments in technology and the Internet affecting public policy, including issues surrounding Internet governance.

Legal Services Corporation: The Subcommittee will review the mission and operations of the Legal Services Corporation.

Subcommittee on Antitrust, Commercial, and Administrative Law

Administrative Process and Procedure: The Subcommittee will examine specific regulations and proposed regulations, as well as issues related to the implementation of the Administrative Procedure Act and other federal statutes. The Subcommittee will also conduct oversight of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs within the Office of Management and Budget.

Bankruptcy: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight of the Bankruptcy Code, bankruptcy judgeships, and the federal bankruptcy system.

Department of Justice: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight of the Civil Division, the Environment and Natural Resources Division, the Antitrust Division, the Tax Division, the Executive Office for United States Trustees and the U.S. Trustee Program, and the Office of the Solicitor General.

Administrative Conference of the United States: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight of the Administrative Conference of the United States.

Antitrust and Competition Policy: The Subcommittee will conduct oversight on a range of antitrust issues, including specific mergers, enforcement of federal antitrust laws, and enforcement of antitrust laws overseas, and matters involving competition policy.

Arbitration: The Subcommittee will review the operation of the Federal Arbitration Act.

Activities Conducted Pursuant to Committee Oversight Plan

The following hearings were held pursuant to the Committee's Oversight Plan. These hearings, as well as other hearings and markups of legislation, are described in more detail in a later section of the report.

- 1/11/2019 *Investigation Into the Trump Administration's "Zero Tolerance" Policy*
- 2/6/2019 *Preventing Gun Violence: A Call to Action*
- 2/8/2019 *Oversight of the U.S. Department of Justice*
- 2/26/2019 *Oversight of the Trump Administration's Family Separation Policy*
- 2/28/2019 *The National Emergencies Act of 1976*

- 3/7/2019 *Diagnosing the Problem: Exploring the Effects of Consolidation and Anticompetitive Conduct in Health Care Markets*
- 3/12/2019 *History and Enforcement of the Voting Rights Act of 1965*
- 3/12/2019 *The State of Competition in the Wireless Market: Examining the Impact of the Proposed Merger of T-Mobile and Sprint on Consumers, Workers, and the Internet*
- 3/27/2019 *Lost Einsteins: Lack of Diversity in Patent Inventorship and the Impact on America's Innovation Economy*
- 3/27/2019 *Examining the Constitutional Role of the Pardon Power*
- 4/9/2019 *Hate Crimes and the Rise of White Nationalism*
- 5/2/2019 *Oversight of the U.S. Department of Justice: Report by Special Counsel Robert S. Mueller, III on the Investigation Into Russian Interference in the 2016 Presidential Election; and Related Matters*
- 5/3/2019 *Enforcement of the Voting Rights Act in the State of Texas*
- 5/9/2019 *Oversight of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office*
- 5/16/2019 *Justice Denied: Forced Arbitration and the Erosion of our Legal System*
- 5/15/2019 *Executive Privilege and Congressional Oversight*
- 5/21/2019 *Oversight of the Report by Special Counsel Robert S. Mueller, III: Former White House Counsel Donald F. McGahn, II*
- 6/3/2019 *Investigation of Competition in Digital Markets*
- 6/4/2019 *Threats to Reproductive Rights in America*
- 6/10/2019 *Lessons from the Mueller Report: Presidential Obstruction and Other Crimes*
- 6/11/2019 *Online Platforms and Market Power, Part 1: The Free and Diverse Press*
- 6/19/2019 *Transcribed Interview of Hope Hicks*
- 6/20/2019 *Lessons from the Mueller Report, Part II: Bipartisan Perspectives*
- 6/25/2019 *Continuing Challenges to the Voting Rights Act Since Shelby County v. Holder*
- 6/25/2019 *Oversight of Bankruptcy Law and Legislative Proposals*
- 6/26/2019 *Oversight of the U.S. Copyright Office*
- 7/10/2019 *Marijuana Laws in America: Racial Justice and the Need for Reform*
- 7/12/2019 *Lessons from the Mueller Report, Part III: "Constitutional Processes for Addressing Presidential Misconduct"*
- 7/13/2019 *California Criminal Justice Reform: Potential Lessons for the Nation*
- 7/15/2019 *Overcrowding and Prolonged Detention at CBP Facilities*
- 7/16/2019 *Women and Girls in the Criminal Justice System*
- 7/16/2019 *Online Platforms and Market Power, Part 2: Innovation and Entrepreneurship*
- 7/16/2019 *Policy Changes and Processing Delays at U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services*

- 7/24/2019 *Oversight of the Report on the Investigation into Russian Interference in the 2016 Presidential Election: Former Special Counsel Robert S. Mueller, III*
- 9/5/2019 *Discriminatory Barriers to Voting*
- 9/6/2019 *Oversight of the Trump Administration's Border Policies and the Relationship Between Anti-Immigrant Rhetoric and Domestic Terrorism*
- 9/10/2019 *Evidence of Current and Ongoing Voting Discrimination*
- 9/17/2019 *Presidential Obstruction of Justice and Abuse of Power*
- 9/18/2019 *Oversight of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act*
- 9/24/2019 *Congressional Authority to Protect Voting Rights After Shelby County v. Holder*
- 9/24/2019 *Oversight of the Trump Administration's Muslim Ban*
- 9/25/2019 *Protecting America from Assault Weapons*
- 9/26/2019 *Community Responses to Gun Violence in our Cities*
- 9/26/2019 *The Expansion and Troubling Use of ICE Detention*
- 9/27/2019 *Securing America's Elections*
- 10/17/2019 *Oversight Hearing on the Federal Bureau of Prisons and Implementation of the First Step Act*
- 10/18/2019 *Online Platforms and Market Power, Part 3: The Role of Data and Privacy in Competition*
- 10/22/2019 *Securing America's Elections Part II: Oversight of Government Agencies*
- 10/29/2019 *Antitrust and Economic Opportunity: Competition in Labor Markets*
- 10/29/2019 *The Impact of Current Immigration Policies on Service Members and Veterans, and their Families*
- 11/13/2019 *Online Platforms and Market Power, Part 4: Perspectives of Antitrust Agencies*
- 11/14/2019 *The Administration of Bail by State and Federal Courts: A Call for Reform*
- 11/14/2019 *Examining the Use of "Snap" Removals to Circumvent the Forum Defendant Rule*
- 12/4/2019 *The Impeachment Inquiry into President Donald J. Trump: Constitutional Grounds for Presidential Impeachment*
- 12/9/2019 *The Impeachment Inquiry into President Donald J. Trump: Presentations from the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence and House Judiciary Committee*
- 1/17/2020 *Online Platforms and Market Power Part 5: Competitors in the Digital Economy*
- 1/28/2020 *Fentanyl Analogues: Perspectives on Classwide Scheduling*
- 1/29/2020 *Courts in Crisis: The State of Judicial Independence and Due Process in U.S. Immigration Courts*
- 2/5/2020 *Oversight of the Federal Bureau of Investigation*
- 2/6/2020 *Citizens United at 10: The Consequences for Democracy and Potential Responses by Congress*

- 2/13/2020 *Protecting Federal Judiciary Employees from Sexual Harassment, Discrimination, and Other Workplace Misconduct*
- 2/27/2020 *Returning Citizens: Challenges and Opportunities for Reentry*
- 2/27/2020 *The Current State of the U.S. Refugee Program*
- 3/5/2020 *Presidential Clemency and Opportunities for Reform*
- 6/3/2020 *Protecting the Right to Vote During the COVID-19 Pandemic*
- 6/24/2020 *Oversight of the Department of Justice: Political Interference and Threats to Prosecutorial Independence*
- 6/25/2020 *Federal Courts During the Covid-19 Pandemic: Best Practices, Opportunities for Innovation and Lessons for the Future*
- 7/9/2020 *Transcribed Interview of Geoffrey Berman*
- 7/28/2020 *Oversight of the U.S. Department of Justice*
- 7/29/2020 *Oversight of U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services*
- 7/29/2020 *Online Platforms and Market Power, Part 6: Examining the Dominance of Amazon, Apple, Google, and Facebook*
- 9/22/2020 *Maintaining Judicial Independence and the Rule of Law: Examining the Causes and Consequences of Court Capture*
- 9/23/2020 *Immigrants as Essential Workers During COVID-19*
- 9/24/2020 *Oversight of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice*
- 10/1/2020 *Proposals to Strengthen the Antitrust Laws and Restore Competition Online*
- 12/2/2020 *Oversight of the Federal Bureau of Prisons and the U.S. Marshals Services*

Full Committee

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY¹

JERROLD NADLER, New York, *Chairman*

ZOE LOFGREN, California	JIM JORDAN, Ohio, <i>Ranking Member</i>
SHEILA JACKSON LEE, Texas	F. JAMES SENSENBRENNER, JR., Wisconsin
STEVE COHEN, Tennessee	STEVE CHABOT, Ohio
HENRY C. "HANK" JOHNSON, JR., Georgia	LOUIE GOHMERT, Texas
THEODORE E. DEUTCH, Florida	DOUG COLLINS, Georgia
KAREN BASS, California	KEN BUCK, Colorado
HAKEEM S. JEFFRIES, New York	MARTHA ROBY, Arizona
DAVID N. CICILLINE, Rhode Island	MATT GAETZ, Florida
ERIC SWALWELL, California	MIKE JOHNSON, Louisiana
TED LIEU, California	ANDY BIGGS, Arizona
JAMIE RASKIN, Maryland	TOM McCLINTOCK, California
PRAMILA JAYAPAL, Washington	DEBBIE LESKO, Arizona
VAL BUTLER DEMINGS, Florida	GUY RESCHENTHALER, Pennsylvania
J. LUIS CORREA, California	BEN CLINE, Virginia
MARY GAY SCANLON, Pennsylvania,	KELLY ARMSTRONG, North Dakota
<i>Vice-Chair</i>	W. GREGORY STEUBE, Florida
SYLVIA R. GARCIA, Texas	TOM TIFFANY, Wisconsin
JOE NEGUSE, Colorado	
LUCY McBATH, Georgia	
GREG STANTON, Arizona	
MADELEINE DEAN, Pennsylvania	
DEBBIE MUCARSEL-POWELL, Florida	
VERONICA ESCOBAR, Texas	

PERRY APELBAUM, *Majority Staff Director & Chief Counsel*

CHRISTOPHER HIXON, *Minority Staff Director*

Jurisdiction

The full committee has jurisdiction over: copyright and other such matters as determined by the Chairman, and relevant oversight.

Legislative Activities

- *H.R. 1, the "For the People Act of 2019"*

On January 29, 2019, the Committee held a legislative hearing on H.R. 1, which addresses voter access, election integrity, election security, political spending, and ethics for the three branches of government.

The hearing consisted of the following witness: (1) Vanita Gupta, President and Chief Executive Officer, Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights; (2) Sherrilyn Ifill, President and Director-Counsel, NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund; (3) Sarah Turberville, Director, The Constitution Project, Project on Government Oversight; (4) J. Christian Adams, President and General Counsel, Public Interest Legal Foundation; (5) Hans von Spakovsky, Senior Legal Fellow, Meese Center for Legal and Judicial Studies, The Heritage Foundation; (6) Adav Noti, Chief of Staff, Campaign Legal Center

¹ Member roster reflects membership at the end of the 116th Congress. Rep. Doug Collins was previously Ranking Member from January 2019–March 2020. Rep. John Ratcliffe previously served on the Committee from January 2019–May 2020. Rep. Cedric Richmond previously served on the Committee from January 2019–September 2020. Rep. Tom Tiffany joined the Committee in July 2020.

- *H.R. 4, the “Voting Rights Advancement Act of 2019”*

H.R. 4 establishes new criteria for determining which states and political subdivisions must obtain preclearance before changes to voting practices in these areas may take effect.

Rep. Terri Sewell (D-AL) introduced the bill on February 26, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties. On October 23, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 19 yeas and 6 nays. The House considered the bill under the provisions of H. Res. 741. On December 6, 2019, the bill passed by a roll call vote of 228 yeas to 187 nays. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116-317.

- *H.R. 5, the “Equality Act”*

On April 2, 2019, the Committee held a legislative hearing on H.R. 5, which prohibits discrimination based on sex, sexual orientation, and gender identity in a wide variety of areas including public accommodations and facilities, education, federal funding, employment, housing, credit, and the jury system. Specifically, the bill defines and includes sex, sexual orientation, and gender identity among the prohibited categories of discrimination or segregation.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Sunu Chandy, Legal Director, National Women’s Law Center; (2) The Reverend Dr. Dennis Wiley, Pastor Emeritus, Covenant Baptist United Church of Christ; (3) Carter Brown, Founder and Executive Director, Black Transmen, Inc.; (4) Julia Beck, Former Law and Policy Co-Chair, Baltimore City’s LGBTQ Commission; (5) Doriane Lambelet Coleman, Professor of Law, Duke Law School; (6) Jami Contreras, Michigan Resident; (7) Tia Silas, Vice President and Global Chief Diversity and Inclusion Officer; IBM Corporation; Kenji Yoshino, Chief Justice Earl Warren Professor of Constitutional Law, New York University School of Law

Rep. David Cicilline (D-RI) introduced the bill on March 13, 2019. On May 1, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 22 yeas and 10 nays. The House considered the bill under the provisions of H. Res. 377. On May 17, 2019, the bill passed by a roll call vote of 236 yeas and 173 nays. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116-56.

- *H.R. 6, the “American Dream and Promise Act of 2019”*

H.R. 6 prohibits removal of certain aliens who came to the United States as children or who were recipients of Temporary Protected Status (TPS) or Deferred Enforced Departure (DED) and provides such aliens with the ability to apply for lawful permanent resident status.

Rep. Lucille Roybal-Allard (D-CA) introduced the bill on March 12, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship. The House considered the bill under the provisions of H. Res. 415. On June 4, 2019, the bill passed by a roll call vote of 237 yeas and 187 nays.

- *H.R. 8, the “Bipartisan Background Checks Act of 2019”*

H.R. 8 establishes new background check requirements for firearm transfers between private parties, and prohibits a firearm

transfer between private parties unless a licensed gun dealer, manufacturer, or importer first takes possession of the firearm to conduct a background check.

Rep. Mike Thompson (D-CA) introduced the bill on January 8, 2019. On February 13, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 23 yeas and 15 nays. The House considered the bill under the provisions of H. Res. 145. On February 27, 2020, the bill passed by a roll call vote of 240 yeas and 190 nays. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116-11.

- *H.R. 35, the “Emmett Till Antilynching Act”*

H.R. 35 establishes a new criminal civil rights violation for lynching.

Rep. Bobby L. Rush (D-IL) introduced the bill on January 3, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security. On June 12, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On February 26, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by a roll call vote of 410 yeas and 4 nays. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116-267.

- *H.R. 40 and the Path to Restorative Justice*

On June 19, 2019, the Committee held a legislative hearing on H.R. 40, which establishes the Commission to Study and Develop Reparation Proposals for African-Americans. The commission would examine slavery and discrimination in the colonies and the United States from 1619 to the present and recommend appropriate remedies. Among other requirements, the commission would identify (1) the role of federal and state governments in supporting the institution of slavery, (2) forms of discrimination in the public and private sectors against freed slaves and their descendants, and (3) lingering negative effects of slavery on living African-Americans and society.

The hearing consisted of 2 panels. Panel 1 consisted of the following witness: (1) Cory Booker, United States Senator. Panel 2 consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Ta-Nehisi Coates, Distinguished Writer in Residence, Arthur L. Carter Journalism Institute of New York University; (2) Danny Glover, Actor and Activist; (3) Katrina Browne, Documentarian, *Traces of the Trade*; (4) Coleman Hughes, Writer, *Quillette*; (5) Burgess Owens, Speaker and Writer; (6) The Right Reverend Eugene Taylor Sutton, Episcopal Bishop of Maryland; (7) Julianne Malveaux, Economist and Political Commentator; (8) Eric J. Miller, Loyola Law School, Loyola Marymount University

- *H.R. 439, the “National FFA Organization’s Federal Charter Amendments Act”*

H.R. 439 revises the charter of the National FFA Organization (formerly the Future Farmers of America), including by amending the charter to (1) make it a principal purpose of the organization to improve agricultural education, and (2) remove the Secretary of Education as the chair of the organization’s Board of Directors.

Rep. James Langevin (D-RI) introduced the bill on January 10, 2019. On January 22, 2019, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. On February 21, 2019 the bill became Public Law No. 116-7.

- *H.R. 450, the “Preventing Crimes Against Veterans Act of 2019”*

H.R. 450 establishes a new criminal offense for knowingly executing, or attempting to execute, a scheme to defraud an individual of veterans’ benefits. A violator is subject to criminal penalties—a fine, a prison term of up to five years, or both.

Rep. Ted Deutch (D-FL) introduced the bill on January 10, 2019. On February 7, 2019, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by a roll call of 417 yeas and 0 nays.

- *H.R. 494, the “Tiffany Joslyn Juvenile Accountability Block Grant Reauthorization and Bullying Prevention and Intervention Act of 2019”*

H.R. 494 revises and reauthorizes through FY2024 the Juvenile Accountability Block Grant (JABG) Program.

Rep. Sheila Jackson Lee (D-TX) introduced the bill on January 11, 2019. On February 7, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote.

- *H.R. 498, the “Clean Up the Code Act of 2019”*

H.R. 498 repeals specified sections of the federal criminal code, including provisions that prohibit the following: interstate transport of alligator grass, water chestnut plants, or water hyacinth plants; fraudulent use of the 4-H club emblem (i.e., the green four-leaf clover with stem); unauthorized use of the Smokey Bear character or name; and unauthorized use of the Woodsy Owl character, name, or slogan.

Rep. Steve Chabot (R-OH) introduced the bill on January 11, 2020. On January 22, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. H.R. 498 was included as part of H.R. 133, the “Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021,” which was ultimately signed into law.

- *H.R. 507, the “Put Trafficking Victims First Act of 2019”*

H.R. 507 sets forth provisions intended to help assess the prevalence of human trafficking in the United States and improve support for victims of trafficking and service providers.

Rep. Karen Bass (D-CA) introduced the bill on January 11, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security. On February 7, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by a roll call vote of 414 yeas and 1 nay.

- *H.R. 549, the “Venezuela TPS Act of 2019”*

H.R. 549 addresses the status of Venezuelan nationals in the United States by permitting them to qualify for Temporary Protected Status (TPS), which allows for continued stay in the United States, as well as employment and travel authorization.

Rep. Darren Soto (D-FL) introduced the bill on January 15, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Immigration

and Citizenship. On May 22, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 20 yeas and 9 nays. The House considered the bill under the provisions of H. Res. 519. On July 25, 2020, the bill passed by a roll call vote of 272 yeas to 158 nays. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–168.

- *H.R. 565, the “Advancing Mutual Interests and Growing Our Success Act” or “AMIGOS Act”*

H.R. 565 makes Portuguese nationals eligible for E–1 and E–2 nonimmigrant “treaty trader” and “treaty investor” visas if the government of Portugal provides reciprocal status to U.S. nationals.

Rep. David N. Cicilline (D–RI) introduced the bill on January 15, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship. On October 23, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On December 3, 2019, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote.

- *H.R. 631, For the relief of Arpita Kurdekar, Girish Kurdekar, and Vandana Kurdekar*

H.R. 631 provides a path to lawful permanent resident status for the relief of Arpita Kurdekar, Girish Kurdekar, and Vandana Kurdekar.

Rep. Ann M. Kuster (D–NH) introduced the bill on January 16, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship. On September 9, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On December 8, 2020, the House considered the bill by unanimous consent and the bill passed without objection. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–595.

- *H.R. 677, the “21st Century President Act”*

H.R. 677 revises the definition of “immediate family” for purposes of a criminal offense involving a threat to kill, kidnap, or inflict bodily harm on a former President or a member of the immediate family of a former President.

Rep. Mark Pocan (D–WI) introduced the bill on January 17, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security. On June 12, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On July 9, 2019, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–139.

- *H.R. 683, the “Puerto Rico Recovery Accuracy in Disclosures Act of 2019” or “PRRADA”*

H.R. 683 requires professionals employed in debt adjustment cases involving Puerto Rico to file verified statements disclosing their connections with the debtor, creditors, and other interested parties before seeking compensation for their services.

Rep. Nydia M. Velázquez (D–NY) introduced the bill on January 17, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Antitrust, Commercial, and Administrative Law. On September 9, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported

to the House by voice vote. On December 8, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote.

- *H.R. 724, the “Preventing Animal Cruelty and Torture Act”*

H.R. 724 revises and expands criminal provisions with respect to animal crushing.

Rep. Ted Deutch (D–FL) introduced the bill on January 23, 2019. On October 22, 2019, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. On November 25, 2019 the bill became Public Law No. 116–72.

- *H.R. 752, the “Open Book on Equal Access to Justice Act”*

H.R. 752 requires the Administrative Conference of the United States to create and maintain a database of specified information about fees awarded in certain court cases and adversarial administrative proceedings in which the United States is a party.

Rep. Doug Collins (R–GA) introduced the bill on January 24, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Antitrust, Commercial, and Administrative Law. On February 7, 2019, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote.

- *H.R. 777, the “Debbie Smith Reauthorization Act of 2019”*

H.R. 777 reauthorizes grants that support state and local efforts to process DNA evidence in rape kits.

Rep. Carolyn Maloney (D–NY) introduced the bill on January 24, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security. On October 23, 2019, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by a roll call vote of 402 yeas and 1 nay. On December 30, 2019, the bill became Public Law No. 116–104.

- *H.R. 835, the “Rodchenkov Anti-Doping Act of 2019”*

H.R. 835 imposes criminal sanctions on certain persons involved in international doping fraud conspiracies, to provide restitution for victims of such conspiracies, and to require sharing of information with the United States Anti-Doping Agency to assist its fight against doping.

Rep. Sheila Jackson Lee (D–TX) introduced the bill on January 29, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security. On October 16, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On October 22, 2019, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–251. On December 4, 2020, the bill became Public Law No. 116–206.

- *H.R. 886, the “Veteran Treatment Court Coordination Act of 2019”*

H.R. 886 directs the Department of Justice to establish a Veterans Treatment Court Program to provide grants and technical assistance for state, local, and tribal governments to develop and maintain veterans treatment courts.

Rep. Charlie Crist (D–FL) introduced the bill on January 30, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security. On October 16, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On October 28, 2019, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–259. On August 8, 2020, the bill became Public Law No. 116–153.

- *H.R. 948, the “No Oil Producing and Exporting Cartels Act of 2019” or “NOPEC”*

H.R. 948 prohibits a foreign state from engaging in collective action impacting the market, supply, price, or distribution of oil, natural gas, or other petroleum product in the U.S.

Rep. Steve Chabot (R–OH) introduced the bill on February 4, 2019. On February 7, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote.

- *H.R. 965, the “Creating and Restoring Equal Access to Equivalent Samples Act of 2019” or the “CREATES Act of 2019”*

H.R. 965 establishes a private right of action against branded drug companies for generic drug companies unreasonably denied access to drug samples they require to conduct bioequivalence testing for FDA approval to enter the market.

Rep. David N. Cicilline (D–RI) introduced the bill on February 5, 2019. H.R. 965 was referred to the Subcommittee on Antitrust, Commercial and Administrative Law. On April 30, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–55 parts I, II, and III. H.R. 965 was included as part of H.R. 1865, the “Further Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2020,” which became Public Law.

- *H.R. 1044, the “Fairness for High-Skilled Immigrants Act of 2019”*

H.R. 1044 increases the per-country limit on family-based immigrant visas from 7 to 15 percent of the total number of such visas available, and eliminates the 7 percent per-country limit for employment-based immigrant visas. The bill also removes an offset that reduced the number of visas for individuals from China.

Rep. Zoe Lofgren (D–CA) introduced the bill on February 7, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship. The House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by a roll call vote of 365 yeas and 65 nays.

- *H.R. 1112, the “Enhanced Background Checks Act of 2019”*

H.R. 1112 revises the background checks requirements applicable to proposed firearm transfers from a federal firearms licensee to an unlicensed person.

Rep. James E. Clyburn (D–SC) introduced the bill on February 8, 2019. On February 13, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 21 yeas and 14 nays. The House considered the bill under the provisions of H. Res. 145. On February 28, 2020, the bill passed by

a roll call vote of 228 yeas and 198 nays. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–12.

- *H.R. 1123, the “Divisional Realignment for the Eastern District of Arkansas Act of 2019”*

H.R. 1123 consolidates the five existing divisions in the Eastern District of Arkansas into three divisions.

Rep. Rick Crawford (R–AR) introduced the bill on February 8, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Courts, Intellectual Property, and the Internet. On October 16, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On October 22, 2019, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–248. On November 26, 2019, the bill became Public Law No. 116–73.

- *H.R. 1186, the “Keep Americans Safe Act”*

H.R. 1186 establishes a new criminal offense for the import, sale, manufacture, transfer, or possession of a large capacity ammunition feeding device.

Rep. Ted Deutch (D–FL) introduced the bill on February 13, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security. On September 10, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 23 yeas and 16 nays.

- *H.R. 1236, the “Extreme Risk Protection Order Act of 2019”*

H.R. 1236 supports State, Tribal, and local efforts to remove access to firearms from individuals who are a danger to themselves or others pursuant to court orders for this purpose.

Rep. Salud Carbajal (D–CA) introduced the bill on February 14, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security. On September 10, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 22 yeas and 16 nays.

- *H.R. 1327, the “Never Forget the Heroes: James Zadroga, Ray Pfeifer, and Luis Alvarez Permanent Authorization of the September 11th Victim Compensation Fund”*

H.R. 1327 funds through FY2092 the September 11th Victim Compensation Fund of 2001 and modifies the Victim Compensation Fund (VCF) to do the following: to allow claims to be filed until October 2090; to require VCF policies and procedures to be reassessed at least once every five years (currently, at least once annually); to require claimants to be paid for the amount by which a claim was reduced on the basis of insufficient funding; to remove the cap on noneconomic damages in certain circumstances, and; to periodically adjust the annual limit on economic loss compensation for inflation.

Rep. Carolyn Maloney (D–NY) introduced the bill on February 25, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties. On June 12, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On July 12, 2019, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by a roll call vote of 402 yeas and 12 nays. The bill was accompanied by H.

Rept. 116–152. On July 29, 2019 the bill became Public Law No. 116–34.

- *H.R. 1418, the “Competitive Health Insurance Reform Act of 2020”*

H.R. 1418 declares that nothing in the McCarran-Ferguson Act modifies, impairs, or supersedes the operation of antitrust laws with respect to the business of health insurance, including the business of dental insurance. This declaration does not apply to a contract, combination, or conspiracy to (1) collect, compile, or disseminate historical loss data; (2) determine a loss development factor for historical loss data; (3) perform actuarial services if the collaboration does not involve a restraint of trade; or (4) develop or disseminate a standard insurance policy form if adherence to the form is not required.

Rep. Peter DeFazio (D–OR) introduced the bill on February 29, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Antitrust, Commercial, and Administrative Law. On September 21, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote.

- *H.R. 1423, the “Forced Arbitration Injustice Repeal Act of 2019”*

H.R. 1423 prohibits a pre-dispute arbitration agreement from being valid or enforceable if it requires arbitration of an employment, consumer, antitrust, or civil rights dispute.

Rep. Henry C. “Hank” Johnson (D–GA) introduced this bill on February 28, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Antitrust, Commercial and Administrative Law. On September 10, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 22 yeas and 14 nays. The House considered the bill under the provisions of H. Res. 558. On September 20, 2019, the bill passed by a roll call vote of 225 yeas to 186 nays. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–204.

- *H.R. 1548, “For the relief of Maria Carmen Castro Ramirez and J. Refugio Carreno Rojas”*

H.R. 1548 provides a path to lawful permanent resident status for Maria Carmen Castro Ramirez and J. Refugio Carreno Rojas.

Speaker Nancy Pelosi (D–CA) introduced the bill on March 5, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship. The bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On June 11, 2020, the House considered the bill by unanimous consent and the bill passed without objection. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–423.

- *H.R. 1569, To amend title 28, United States Code, to add Flagstaff and Yuma to the list of locations in which court shall be held in the Judicial district for the State of Arizona.*

H.R. 1569 adds Flagstaff and Yuma to the list of locations where court must be held in the U.S. District of Arizona.

Rep. Tom O’Halloran (D–AZ) introduced the bill on March 6, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Courts, Intellectual Property, and the Internet. On June 12, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the

House by voice vote. On July 10, 2019, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–142. On August 9, 2019 the bill became Public Law No. 116–40.

- *H.R. 1579, the “National POW/MIA Flag Act”*

H.R. 1579 changes the days on which the POW/MIA flag is required to be displayed at specific locations to all days pm which the U.S. flag is displayed.

Rep. Chris Pappas (D–NH) introduced the bill on March 7, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties. On October 22, 2019, the House considered the Senate version of the bill, S. 693, under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. On November 7, 2019, S. 693 became Public Law No. 116–67.

- *H.R. 1585, the “Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2019”*

H.R. 1585 modifies and reauthorizes through Fiscal Year 2024 programs and activities under the Violence Against Women Act that seek to prevent and respond to domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence, and stalking.

Rep. Karen Bass (D–CA) introduced the bill on March 7, 2019. On March 13, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 22 yeas and 11 nays. The House considered the bill under the provisions of H. Res. 281. On April 4, 2019, the bill passed by a roll call vote of 268 yeas and 158 nays. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–21.

- *H.R. 1636, the “Commission on the Social Status of Black Men and Boys Act”*

H.R. 1636 establishes the Commission on the Social Status of Black Men and Boys within the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights Office of the Staff Director to make a systematic study of the conditions affecting black men and boys.

Rep. Frederica Wilson (D–FL) introduced the bill on March 7, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Rights, and Civil liberties. On July 27, 2020 the House considered the Senate version of the bill, S. 2163, under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by a roll call vote of 368 yeas and 1 nay. On August 14, 2020, S. 2163 became Public Law No. 116–156.

- *H.R. 1641, the “Let Everyone Get Involved in Opportunities for National Service Act” or the “LEGIONS Act”*

H.R. 1641, authorizes The American Legion to expand membership eligibility to include veterans and service members who served during times that were not designated periods of war.

Rep. J. Luis Correa introduced the bill on March 8, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship. On July 23, 2019, the House considered the Senate version of the bill, S. 504, under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. On July 30, 2019, the bill became Public Law No. 116–35.

- *H.R. 1663, the “Foundation of the Federal Bar Association Charter Amendments Act of 2019”*

H.R. 1663 revises the federal charter for the Foundation of the Federal Bar Association.

Rep. Steve Chabot (R–OH) introduced the bill on March 11, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship. On November 13, 2019, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote.

- *H.R. 1986, the “Effective Prosecution of Possession of Biological Toxins and Agents Act of 2019”*

H.R. 1986 revises the criminal prohibition on the shipment, transportation, possession, or receipt of a biological agent or toxin by a restricted person.

Rep. John Ratcliffe (R–TX) introduced the bill on March 28, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security. On June 12, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–141. On July 10, 2019, the House considered the Senate version of the bill, S. 744, under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. On July 25, 2019, S. 744 became Public Law No. 116–31.

- *H.R. 2214, the “National Origin-Based Antidiscrimination for Nonimmigrants Act” or the NO BAN Act or the “NO BAN Act”*

H.R. 2214 imposes limitations on the President’s authority to suspend or restrict aliens from entering the United States under section 212(f) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, terminates certain presidential actions implementing such section 212(f) restrictions, and prohibits various forms of discrimination in immigration-related decisions.

Rep. Judy Chu (D–CA) introduced the bill on April 10, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship. On February 12, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 22 yeas and 10 nays. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–413. H.R. 2214 passed the House as an amendment to H.R. 2486, the “FUTURE Act.”

- *H.R. 2336, the “Family Farmer Relief Act of 2019”*

H.R. 2336 increases the current debt limit used to determine whether a family farmer is eligible for relief under chapter 12 of the Bankruptcy Code from \$4,411,400 to \$10,000,000.

Rep. Antonio Delgado (D–NY) introduced the bill on April 18, 2019. H.R. 2336 was referred to the Subcommittee on Antitrust, Commercial and Administrative Law. On July 11, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. The House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–182. On August 23, 2019, the bill became Public Law 116–51.

- *H.R. 2368, the “Supporting and Treating Officers in Crisis Act of 2019”*

H.R. 2368 provides grants to expand support for police officer family services, stress reduction, and suicide prevention.

Rep. Guy Reschenthaler (R-PA) introduced the bill on April 25, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Crime Terrorism, and Homeland Security. On June 12, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116-140. On July 10, 2019, the House considered the Senate version of the bill, S. 998, under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. On July 25, 2019, S. 998 became Public Law No. 116-32.

- *H.R. 2374, the “Stop Significant and Time-Wasting Abuse Limiting Legitimate Innovation of New Generics Act”*

H.R. 2374 establishes that the submission of sham citizen petitions to prevent or delay the approval of a covered drug product is anti-competitive for purposes of section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) Act. It further authorizes the FTC to initiate a proceeding where the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has determined that a citizen petition was submitted to prevent or delay the approval of a covered drug product or through factors established by the bill.

Rep. Hakeem Jeffries (D-NY) introduced the bill on April 29, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Antitrust, Commercial and Administrative Law. On April 30, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116-694.

- *H.R. 2375, the Preserve Access to Affordable Generics and Biosimilars Act*

H.R. 2375 would establish that certain pay-for-delay agreements are presumptively anti-competitive and would authorize the FTC to initiate an enforcement proceeding against parties to such an agreement involving the sale of a drug or biological product.

Rep. Jerrold Nadler (D-NY) introduced the bill on April 29, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Antitrust, Commercial and Administrative Law. On April 30, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116-693.

- *H.R. 2376, the Prescription Pricing for the People Act of 2019*

H.R. 2376 requires that the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) conduct a study on the state of competition in the pharmacy benefit manager (PBM) marketplace.

Rep. Doug Collins (R-GA) introduced the bill on April 29, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Antitrust, Commercial and Administrative Law. On April 30, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote.

- *H.R. 2379, To reauthorize the Bulletproof Vest Partnership Grant Program.*

H.R. 2379 makes permanent the authority for the Bulletproof Vest Partnership (BVP) Program. The BVP Program provides grants to states and localities to purchase body armor vests for law enforcement officers.

Rep. Bill Pascrell Jr. (D–NJ) introduced the bill on April 29, 2019. On May 14, 2019, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by a roll call vote of 400 yeas and 9 nays. On May 23, 2019 the bill became Public Law No. 116–18.

- *H.R. 2426, the “Copyright in Small-Claims Enforcement Act of 2019” or the “CASE Act”*

H.R. 2426 creates the Copyright Claims Board, a body within the U.S. Copyright Office, to decide copyright disputes with damages awarded by the board capped at \$30,000.

Rep. Hakeem Jeffries (D–NY) introduced the bill on May 1, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Courts, Intellectual Property, and the Internet. On September 10, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On October 22, 2019, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by a roll call vote of 410 yeas and 6 nays. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–252. H.R. 2426 was included as part of H.R. 133, the “Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021,” which was ultimately signed into law.

- *H.R. 2438, the “Not Invisible Act of 2019”*

H.R. 2438 increases the coordination of efforts to reduce violent crime within Indian lands and against Indians.

Rep. Debra Haaland (D–NM) introduced the bill on May 1, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security. On March 11, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–509. On September 21, 2020 the House considered the Senate version of the bill, S. 982, under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. On October 10, 2020, S. 982 became Public Law No. 116–166.

- *H.R. 2648, the “Student Borrower Bankruptcy Relief Act of 2019”*

H.R. 2648 permits a borrower to discharge in bankruptcy a non-profit, government, or private student loan, or an obligation to repay an educational benefit, scholarship, or stipend.

Rep. Jerrold Nadler (D–NY) introduced the bill on May 9, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Antitrust, Commercial, and Administrative Law. On September 29, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 19 yeas and 5 nays.

- *H.R. 2678, the “No President is Above the Law Act”*

H.R. 2678 tolls (i.e., pauses) the statute of limitations for federal criminal offenses that are committed by the President prior to or

during their term of office. (Currently, most federal criminal offenses have a five-year statute of limitations.)

Rep. Jerrold Nadler (D–NY) introduced the bill on May 10, 2019. On July 23, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 22 yeas and 14 nays. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–705.

- *H.R. 2708, the “Disarm Hate Act”*

H.R. 2708 expands the categories of persons who are prohibited from receiving or possessing a firearm.

Rep. David Cicilline (D–RI) introduced the bill on May 14, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security. On September 10, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 23 yeas and 15 nays.

- *H.R. 2733, “Savannas Act”*

H.R. 2733 directs the Department of Justice to review, revise, and develop law enforcement and justice protocols to address missing or murdered Native Americans.

Rep. Norma Torres (D–CA) introduced the bill on May 14, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security. On March 11, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–508. On September 21, 2020, the House considered the senate version of the bill, S. 227, under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. On October 10, 2020, S. 227 became Public Law No. 116–165.

- *H.R. 2820, the “Dream Act of 2019”*

H.R. 2820 provides a path to lawful permanent resident status for eligible Dreamers who entered the United States under the age of 18 and who were continuously present in the country for 4 years prior to the date of the bill’s enactment.

Rep. Lucille Roybal-Allard (D–CA) introduced the bill on May 17, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship. On May 22, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll-call vote of 19 yeas to 10 nays. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–98. H.R. 2820 was included in H.R. 6, the “American Dream and Promise Act,” which passed the House by a roll call vote of 237 yeas to 187 nays.

- *H.R. 2821, the “American Promise Act of 2019”*

H.R. 2821 provides a path to lawful permanent resident status to individuals who held, or were eligible for, Temporary Protected Status (TPS) or Deferred Enforced Departure (DED) on January 1, 2017.

Rep. Nydia M. Velázquez (D–NY) introduced the bill on May 17, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship. On May 22, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 20 yeas to 9 nays. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–97. H.R. 2821 was included in H.R. 6, the “American Dream

and Promise Act,” which passed the House by a roll call vote of 237 yeas to 187 nays.

- *H.R. 2877, To add Ireland to the E-3 nonimmigrant visa program.*

H.R. 2877 expands the E-3 nonimmigrant visa program to include Irish nationals. E-3 visas are currently capped at 10,500 per fiscal year and are only available to Australian nationals coming to the United States for employment in a specialty occupation. H.R. 2877 would allow initial E-3 visas to be issued to Irish nationals in an amount not to exceed the difference between 10,500 and the number of Australian initial applications approved the previous fiscal year.

Rep. Richard Neal (D-MA) introduced the bill on May 21, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship. On March 9, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote.

- *H.R. 2938, the “Honoring American Veterans in Extreme Need Act of 2019” or the “HAVEN Act”*

H.R. 2938 provides that certain veterans’ disability benefits should not be treated as income for purposes of the Bankruptcy Code’s means test.

Rep. Lucy McBath (D-GA) introduced the bill on May 23, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Antitrust, Commercial and Administrative Law. On July 11, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On July 23, 2019, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116-169. On August 23, 2019, H.R. 2938 became Public Law 116-52.

- *H.R. 3238, the “Defending the Integrity of Voting Systems Act”*

H.R. 3238 broadens the definition of “protected computer,” for purposes of computer fraud and abuse offenses, to include a computer that is part of a voting system and (1) is used for a federal election, or (2) has moved in or otherwise affects interstate or foreign commerce.

Rep. John Ratcliffe (R-TX) introduced the bill on June 12, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security. On September 21, 2020, the House considered the Senate version of the bill, S. 1321, under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. On October 20, 2020, S. 1321 became Public Law No. 116-179.

- *H.R. 3239, the “Humanitarian Standards for Individuals in Customs and Border Protection Custody Act”*

H.R. 3239 imposes standards related to the care of aliens in U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) custody, including, but not limited to: initial health screenings of aliens in custody to identify those with acute medical conditions and high-risk vulnerabilities and to provide appropriate healthcare; access to drinking water, toilets, sanitation facilities, hygiene products, food, and shelter; and unannounced Department of Homeland Security Office of Inspector

General inspections of ports of entry, border patrol stations, and detention facilities.

Rep. Raul Ruiz (D–CA) introduced the bill on June 12, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship. On July 17, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 18 yeas and 13 nays. The House considered the bill under the provisions of H. Res. 509. On July 24, 2019, the bill passed by a roll call vote of 233 yeas to 195 nays. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–162.

- *H.R. 3283, To amend title 4, United States Code, to permit the flag of the United States to be flown at half-staff in the event of the death of the Mayor of the District of Columbia.*

H.R. 3283 requires the flag of the United States to be flown at half-staff upon the death of the Mayor of the District of Columbia, by order of the President, from the day of death until interment.

Rep. Eleanor Holmes Norton (D–DC) introduced the bill on June 13, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties. On February 12, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote.

- *H.R. 3304, the “National Guard and Reservists Debt Relief Extension Act of 2019”*

H.R. 3304 extends for an additional four years the exemption from the means test under Chapter 7 bankruptcy for qualifying members of an Armed Forces reserve component or the National Guard. Specifically, members who, after September 11, 2001, are called to active duty or to perform a homeland defense activity for not less than 90 days qualify for this exemption.

Rep. Steve Cohen (D–TN) introduced the bill on June 18, 2019. On July 11, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On July 23, 2019, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by a roll call vote of 417 yeas and 1 nay. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–170. On August 23, 2019 the bill became Public Law No. 116–53.

- *H.R. 3311, the “Small Business Reorganization Act of 2019”*

H.R. 3311 streamlines the bankruptcy process by which small business debtors reorganize and rehabilitate their financial affairs.

Rep. Ben Cline (R–VA) introduced the bill on June 6, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Antitrust, Commercial and Administrative Law. On July 11, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On July 23, 2019, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–171. On August 23, 2019, the bill became Public Law 116–54.

- *H.R. 3545, the “National Opposition to Hate, Assault, and Threats to Equality Act of 2019” or the “NO HATE Act of 2019”*

H.R. 3545 provides incentives for hate crime reporting, provides grants for State-run hate crime hotlines, and establishes additional

penalties for individuals convicted under the Matthew Shephard and James Byrd, Jr. Hate Crimes Prevention Act.

Rep. Donald Beyer, Jr. (D–VA) introduced the bill on June 27, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security. H.R. 3545 was included in H.R. 6800, “The Heroes Act,” as the “Jabara-Heyer National Opposition to Hate, Assault, and Threats to Equality Act of 2020” or the “NO HATE Act of 2020,” which passed the House, and in the updated Heroes Act, which passed the House as an amendment to the Senate Amendment to H.R. 925.

- *H.R. 3713, to amend title 28, United States Code, to provide an additional place for holding court for the Western District of Washington, and for other purposes.*

H.R. 3713 adds Mount Vernon to the list of locations where court must be held in the Western District of Washington.

Rep. Suzan DelBene (D–WA) introduced the bill on July 11, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Courts, Intellectual Property, and the Internet. On October 16, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported by voice vote.

- *H.R. 3735, the “Law Enforcement Suicide Data Collection Act”*

H.R. 3735 directs the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) to establish a new program—the Law Enforcement Officers Suicide Data Collection Program—to prevent and understand law enforcement suicides.

Rep. Mike Quigley (D–IL) introduced the bill on July 12, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security. On May 27, 2020, the House considered the Senate version of the bill, S. 2746, under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. On June 16, 2020, S. 2746 became Public Law No. 116–143.

- *H.R. 3884, the “Marijuana Opportunity Reinvestment and Expungement Act of 2019” or the “MORE Act of 2019”*

H.R. 3884 decriminalizes marijuana by removing it from the list of scheduled substances under the Controlled Substances Act, eliminates criminal penalties for an individual who manufactures, distributes, or possesses marijuana, provides resources to address the needs of communities impacted by the War on Drugs, and provides for the expungement of Federal marijuana convictions and arrests.

Rep. Jerrold Nadler (D–NY) introduced the bill on July 23, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security subcommittee. On November 20, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll-call vote of 24 yeas to 10 nays. The House considered the bill under the provisions of H. Res. 1244. On December 4, 2020 the bill passed the house by a roll call vote of 228 yeas and 164 nays. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–604.

- *H.R. 3942, the “Preventing Online Sales of E-Cigarettes to Children Act”*

H.R. 3942 extends the statute that regulates the “delivery sale” of cigarettes to minors to cover e-cigarettes, broadly defined.

Rep. Rosa L. DeLauro (D-CT) introduced the bill on July 24, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security. On October 16, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a voice vote. On October 28, 2019, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–260. H.R. 3942 was included as part of H.R. 133, the “Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021,” which was ultimately signed into law.

- *H.R. 3991, the “Affordable Prescriptions for Patients Through Improvements to Patent Litigation Act of 2019”*

H.R. 3991 streamlines patent litigation for biologic drugs in order to expedite generic entry into the marketplace after the period of regulatory exclusivity for a biologic drug has expired.

Rep. Henry C. “Hank” Johnson, Jr. (D-GA) introduced the bill on July 25, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Courts, Intellectual Property, and the Internet. On November 21, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered reported to the House by voice vote. H.R. 3991 passed the House as an amendment to H.R. 2486, the “FUTURE Act.”

- *H.R. 4018, To provide that the amount of time that an elderly offender must serve before being eligible for placement in home detention is to be reduced by the amount of good time credits earned by the prisoner, and for other purposes.*

H.R. 4018 modifies the eligibility for an elderly offender to qualify for early release from prison and placement in home detention.

Rep. Ted Deutch (D-FL) introduced the bill on July 25, 2019. The bill was referred to Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security. On September 10, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 28 yeas and 8 nays. On December 3, 2019, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–311.

- *H.R. 4225, For the relief of Maria Isabel Bueso Barrera, Alberto Bueso Mendoza, Karla Maria Barrera De Bueso, and Ana Lucia Bueso Barrera.*

H.R. 4225 provides a path to lawful permanent resident status for Maria Isabel Bueso Barrera, Alberto Bueso Mendoza, Karla Maria Barrera De Bueso, and Ana Lucia Bueso Barrera.

Rep. Mark DeSaulnier (D-CA) introduced the bill on August 30, 2019. The bill was referred to the Immigration and Citizenship subcommittee. On September 9, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On December 8, 2020, the House considered the bill by unanimous consent and the bill passed without objection. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–596.

- *H.R. 4258, the “Reauthorizing Security for Supreme Court Justices Act of 2019.”*

H.R. 4258 permanently authorizes the Marshal of the Supreme Court and the Supreme Court Police to provide security protection for Supreme Court Justices and their official guests and officers and employees of the Supreme Court.

Rep. Greg Stanton (D-AZ) introduced the bill on September 9, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Courts, Intellectual Property, and the Internet. On October 16, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On November 13, 2019, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–278. On November 27, 2019 the bill became Public Law No. 116–75.

- *H.R. 4803, the “Citizenship for Children of Military Members and Civil Servants Act”*

H.R. 4803 eases the process for foreign-born children of U.S. citizens who are residing overseas because of a parent’s service in the U.S. Armed Forces or as a government employee to automatically acquire U.S. citizenship.

Rep. Jerrold Nadler (D-NY) introduced the bill on October 23, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship. H.R. 4803 passed the House on December 3, 2019 by voice vote. On March 26, 2020 the bill became Public Law No. 116–133.

- *H.R. 5038, the “Farm Workforce Modernization Act of 2019”*

H.R. 5038 provides temporary immigration status and a path to lawful permanent resident status to certain agricultural workers and their families, makes certain changes to the H–2A temporary agricultural worker program, and increases the number of green cards available to agricultural workers, among other things.

Rep. Zoe Lofgren (D-CA) introduced the bill on November 12, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship. On November 20, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 18 yeas and 12 nays. The House considered the bill under the provisions of H. Res. 758. On December 11, 2019, the bill passed by a roll call vote of 260 yeas to 165 nays, with 1 voting present. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–328.

- *H.R. 5053, the “Justice for Juveniles Act”*

H.R. 5053 exempts juveniles from the requirements for lawsuits by prisoners.

Rep. Mary Gay Scanlon (D-PA) introduced the bill on November 12, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security. On September 9, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On September 21, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–515.

- *H.R. 5128, the “Saudi Fugitive Declassification Act of 2019”*

H.R. 5128 directs the Federal Bureau of Investigation, in coordination with the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, to declassify information relating to whether Saudi Arabia assisted a citizen or national of Saudi Arabia in departing the United States while the citizen or national was awaiting a trial or sentencing for criminal offense.

Rep. Jerrold Nadler (D–NY) introduced the bill on November 15, 2019. H.R. 5128 was included in H.R. 1865, the “Further Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020,” which passed the House and was signed into law.

- *H.R. 5133, the “Affordable Prescriptions for Patients Through Promoting Competition Act of 2019”*

H.R. 5133 would clarify that making a nominal change to a product that is near the end of its period of patent exclusivity and then removing the old product from the market or making it less attractive—a practice known as “product hopping” is an unfair method of competition in violation of the Federal Trade Commission Act.

Rep. David N. Cicilline (D–RI) introduced the bill on November 18, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Antitrust, Commercial and Administrative Law. On November 21, 2019 the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. This bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–695. H.R. 5133 passed the House as an amendment to H.R. 2486, the “FUTURE Act.”

- *H.R. 5140, the “Satellite Television Community Protection and Promotion Act of 2019”*

H.R. 5140 amends title 17, United States Code, to narrow the category of households eligible to receive signals under a distant-signal satellite license, and for other purposes.

Rep. Jerrold Nadler (D–NY) introduced on November 18, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Courts, Intellectual Property, and the Internet. On November 21, 2019, the bill was considered by the Committee and order reported by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–354. H.R. 5140 was included in H.R. 1865, the “Further Consolidation Appropriations Act, 2020,” which passed the House and was signed into law.

- *H.R. 5277, To amend section 442 of title 18, United States Code, to exempt certain interests in mutual funds, unit investment trusts, employee benefit plans, and retirement plans from conflict of interest limitations for the Government Publishing Office.*

H.R. 5277 creates an exemption for certain interests—interests in diversified mutual funds, diversified unit investment trusts, employee benefit plans, and retirement plans—that have underlying holdings in printing-related interests.

Rep. Zoe Lofgren (D–CA) introduced the bill on December 3, 2019. On December 3, 2019, the House considered the bill by unanimous consent and the bill passed without objection. On December 5, 2019, the bill became Public Law No. 116–78.

- *H.R. 5309, the “Creating a Respectful and Open World for Natural Hair Act of 2019” or the “CROWN Act of 2019”*

H.R. 5309 prohibits discrimination based on a person’s hair texture or hairstyle if that style or texture is commonly associated with a particular race or national origin. Specifically, the bill prohibits this type of discrimination against those participating in federally assisted programs, housing programs, public accommodations, and employment.

Rep. Cedric Richmond (D–LA) introduced the bill on December 5, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Right, and Civil Liberties. On September 15, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On September 21, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–525.

- *H.R. 5546, the “Effective Assistance of Counsel in the Digital Era Act”*

H.R. 5546 regulates monitoring of electrical communications between an incarcerated person in a Bureau of Prisons facility and that person’s attorney or other legal representative.

Rep. Hakeem S. Jeffries (D–NY) introduced the bill on January 7, 2020. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security. On February 12, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On September 21, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116 507. H.R. 5546 passed the House as a standalone bill, as part of H.R. 6800, “The Heroes Act,” and as part of the updated Heroes Act, which passed the House as an amendment to the Senate Amendment to H.R. 2925.

- *H.R. 5581, the “Access to Counsel Act of 2020”*

H.R. 5581 amends section 235 of the Immigration and Nationality Act to require the Department of Homeland Security to ensure that individuals who are in possession of documentation demonstrating their eligibility to enter the United States, and who are subjected to prolonged inspection by U.S. Customs and Border Protection at ports of entry, have a meaningful opportunity to communicate with counsel and other interested parties.

Rep. Pramila Jayapal (D–WA) introduced the bill on January 10, 2020. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship. On February 12, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 18 yeas to 6 nays. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–412. H.R. 5581 passed the House as an amendment to H.R. 2486, the “FUTURE Act.”

- *H.R. 5602, the “Domestic Terrorism Prevention Act of 2020”*

H.R. 5602 authorizes dedicated domestic terrorism offices within the Department of Homeland Security, the Department of Justice, and the Federal Bureau of Investigation to analyze and monitor domestic terrorist activity and require the Federal Government to take steps to prevent domestic terrorism.

Rep. Bradley Schneider (D-IL) introduced the bill on January 14, 2019. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security. On March 11, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 24 yeas and 2 nays. On September 21, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116-526.

- *H.R. 6100, the “Strengthening the Opposition to Female Genital Mutilation Act” or the “STOP FGM Act”*

H.R. 6100 would amend Section 116 of Title 18 to clarify the manner in which female genital mutilation (FGM) is prohibited in the U.S. Code. Specifically, the bill explicitly defines what types of procedures constitute female genital mutilation.

Rep. Sheila Jackson Lee (D-TX) introduced the bill on March 5, 2020. On March 11, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On September 21, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116-506.

- *H.R. 6172, the “USA FREEDOM Reauthorization Act of 2020”*

H.R. 6172 would reauthorize certain surveillance authorities and make key reforms to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act. Among other changes, the bill would prohibit the NSA from restarting its bulk metadata collection program, prohibit the government from using Section 215 to obtain geolocation data and other information protected by the Fourth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, enhance the role of the court-appointed amicus, and mandate the declassification of opinions of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court.

Rep. Jerrold Nadler (D-NY) introduced the bill on March 1, 2020. The House considered the bill under the provisions of H. Res. 891. On March 11, 2020, the bill passed by a roll call vote of 278 yeas and 136 nays.

- *H.R. 6196, the “Trademark Modernization Act of 2020” or the “TM Act of 2020”*

H.R. 6196 creates expedited ex parte review procedures for trademark registrations based on whether the mark had been properly used in commerce, clarifies the standard courts should use for assessing irreparable harm in the context of granting injunctive relief, and modernizes aspects of trademark examination procedure.

Rep. Henry C. “Hank” Johnson (D-GA) introduced the bill on March 11, 2020. On September 9, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116-645. H.R. 6196 was included as part of H.R. 133, the “Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021,” which was ultimately signed into law.

- *H.R. 6400, the “Emergency Community Supervision Act”*

H.R. 6400 establishes measures to reduce the number of individuals in federal custody during a national emergency relating to a communicable disease.

Rep. Hakeem Jeffries introduced the bill on March 26, 2020. H.R. 6400 was included in H.R. 6800, “The Heroes Act,” which passed the House, and in the updated Heroes Act, which passed the House as an amendment to the Senate Amendment to H.R. 925.

- *H.R. 6414, the “COVID–19 Correctional Facility Emergency Response Act of 2020”*

H.R. 6414 establishes a new grant program to help states and local governments prevent, detect, and stop the spread of COVID–19.

Rep. Jerrold Nadler (D–NY) introduced the bill on March 27, 2020. The bill was included in H.R. 6800, “The Heroes Act,” which passed the House, and in the updated Heroes Act, which passed the House as an amendment to the Senate Amendment to H.R. 925.

- *H.R. 6509, the “Public Safety Officer Pandemic Response Act of 2020”*

H.R. 6509 amends the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 to provide public safety officer death and disability benefits for certain public safety officers who contract COVID–19.

Rep. Jerrold Nadler (D–NY) introduced the bill on April 14, 2020. On May 27, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. H.R. 6509 passed the House both as a standalone bill and as part of H.R. 6800, “The Heroes Act.” The House later passed a nearly identical bill that had already passed the Senate, S. 3607, which was ultimately signed into law.

- *H.R. 6813, the “Promoting Alzheimers Awareness to Prevent Elder Abuse Act”*

H.R. 6813 requires the Department of Justice (DOJ) to review and update, if necessary, certain best practices, replication guides, and other training materials for law enforcement, judicial officials, and others whose work may bring them in contact with elder abuse.

Rep. Ted Deutch (D–FL) introduced the bill on May 12, 2020. On September 15, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On October 1, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116 535. On December 8, 2020, the House considered the Senate version of the bill, S. 3703, which was ultimately signed into law.

- *H.R. 7036, the “Antitrust Criminal Penalty Enhancement and Reform Extension Act”*

H.R. 7036 permanently authorizes the Antitrust Criminal Penalty Enhancement and Reform Act (ACPERA) of 2004. ACPERA provides incentives (*e.g.*, limitations on civil liability) for corporations to self-report criminal conduct to the Antitrust Division within the Department of Justice.

Rep. Joe Neguse (D–CO) introduced the bill on May 27, 2020. On June 25, 2020, the House considered the bill by unanimous consent and the bill passed without objection.

- *H.R. 7120, the “George Floyd Justice in Policing Act of 2020”*

On June 10, 2020, the Committee held a hearing on “Oversight Hearing on Policing Practices and Law Enforcement Accountability” to provide Members the opportunity to examine the use of force policies applied by federal, state, and local law enforcement agencies during police-citizen interactions, as well as proposed reforms intended to increase public safety, ensure accountability, and repair frayed police-community relations.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Philonise Floyd, Houston, TX; (2) Vanita Gupta, President and CEO, The Leadership Conference on Civil & Human Rights; (3) Chief Art Acevedo, President, Major Cities Chiefs Association; (4) Pastor Darrell Scott, Pastor, New Spirit Revival Center; (5) Sherrilyn Ifill, President and Director-Counsel, NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc.; (6) Paul Butler, The Albert Brick Professor of Law, Georgetown Law School; (7) Angela Underwood Jacobs, Lancaster, CA; (8) Ben Crump, President and Founder, Ben Crump Trial Lawyer for Justice; (9) Ron Davis, Chair, Legislative Committee, National Organization of Black Law Enforcement Executives; (10) Daniel Bongino, Host, The Dan Bongino Show; (11) Philip Goff, Co-Founder and President, Center for Policing Equity; (12) Marc Morial, President and Chief Executive Officer, National Urban League.

H.R. 7120 addresses a wide range of policies and issues regarding policing practices and law enforcement accountability, including measures to increase accountability for law enforcement misconduct, to enhance transparency and data collection, and to eliminate discriminatory policing practices.

Rep. Karen Bass (D–CA) introduced the bill on June 8, 2020. On June 17, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 24 yeas and 14 nays. The House considered the bill under the provisions of H. Res. 1017. On June 25, 2020, the bill was passed by a roll call vote of 236 yeas and 181 nays. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116 434, Part I.

- *H.R. 7146, For the relief of Victoria Galindo Lopez.*

H.R. 7146 provides a path to lawful permanent resident status to Victoria Galindo Lopez.

Rep. Julia Brownley (D–CA) introduced the bill on June 8, 2020. On September 9, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On December 8, 2020, the House considered the bill by unanimous consent and the bill passed without objection. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–597.

- *H.R. 7259, the “Patents for Humanity Program Improvement Act”*

H.R. 7259 authorizes the holder of an acceleration certificate awarded under the Patents for Humanity program to transfer the certificate to another party.

Rep. Lucy McBath (D–GA) introduced the bill on June 18, 2020. On June 25, 2020 the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote.

- *H.R. 7370, the “Protecting Employees and Retirees in Business Bankruptcies Act of 2020”*

H.R. 7370 modifies provisions related to Chapter 11 bankruptcy, which typically involves the reorganization of a debtor company’s assets and debts, to provide greater protections to employees of companies that declare bankruptcy.

Rep. Jerrold Nadler (D–NY) introduced the bill on June 25, 2020. On September 29, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 20 yeas and 10 nays.

- *H.R. 7572, For the relief of Median El-Moustrah.*

H.R. 7572 provides a path to lawful permanent resident status to Median El-Moustrah.

Rep. Rashida Tlaib (D–MI) introduced the bill on July 9, 2020. On September 9, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On December 8, 2020, the House considered the bill by unanimous consent and the bill passed without objection. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–598.

- *H.R. 7636, the “Custodial Interrogation Recording Act”*

H.R. 7636 directs the Department of Justice to make grants to state and local governments to record custodial interrogations.

Rep. Sheila Jackson Lee (D–TX) introduced the bill on July 16, 2020. On September 15, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote.

- *H.R. 7694, the “Abuse of the Pardon Prevention Act”*

H.R. 7694 requires that if the President issues a pardon for someone in connection with an investigation in which the President or one of his family members is a target, subject, or witness, the Department of Justice (DOJ) must disclose to Congress its investigative files pertaining to that person; it further requires DOJ and the White House to disclose all materials relating to their consideration of the pardon at issue.

Rep. Adam Schiff (D–CA) introduced the bill on July 21, 2020. On July 23, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 22 yeas and 11 nays.

- *H.R. 7718, the “Protecting the Health and Wellness of Babies and Pregnant Women in Custody Act”*

H.R. 7718 includes a variety of measures to address the health needs of incarcerated women related to pregnancy and childbirth.

Rep. Karen Bass (D–CA) introduced the bill on July 22, 2020. On September 15, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On October 1, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–536.

- *H.R. 7881, the “Empowering Olympic, Paralympic, and Amateur Athletes Act of 2020”*

H.R. 7881 amends the Ted Stevens Olympic and Amateur Sports Act to provide for congressional oversight of the board of directors of the United States Olympic and Paralympic Committee and to protect amateur athletes from emotional, physical, and sexual abuse.

Rep. Ted Lieu (D–CA) introduced the bill on July 30, 2020. On October 1, 2020, the House considered the Senate version of the bill, S. 2330, under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. On October 30, 2020, S. 2330 became Public Law No. 116–189.

- *H.R. 8089, the Emergency Stopgap USCIS Stabilization Act*

H.R. 8089 expands Department of Homeland Security (DHS) authority to provide premium processing services for certain immigration-related applications and contains other related provisions.

Rep. Zoe Lofgren (D–CA) introduced the bill on August 22, 2020. On August 22, 2020, the House considered the bill by unanimous consent and the bill passed without objection.

- *H.R. 8124, the “Criminal Judicial Administration Act of 2020”*

H.R. 8124 amends current law to give courts the discretion, in the interest of justice, to order the U.S. Marshals Service (USMS) to furnish, when financially necessary, transportation and subsistence expenses (lodging and food) for released defendants to return home from court proceedings, and subsistence while attending such proceedings.

Rep. Hakeem Jeffries (D–NY) introduced the bill on August 28, 2020. On September 9, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On October 1, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–534.

- *H.R. 8161, the “One Stop Shop Community Reentry Program Act of 2020”*

H.R. 8161 authorizes implementation grants to community-based nonprofits to operate one-stop reentry centers.

Rep. Karen Bass (D–CA) introduced the bill on September 4, 2020. On September 15, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On December 8, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116 555.

- *H.R. 8169, the “Elder Abuse Protection Act of 2020”*

H.R. 8169 requires the Department of Justice (DOJ) to review and update, if necessary, certain best practices, replication guides, and other training materials for law enforcement, judicial officials, and others whose work may bring them in contact with elder abuse. Specifically, DOJ must address victims and witnesses who have Alzheimer’s disease and related dementias in these materials. Additionally, in creating or compiling these materials, DOJ must consult with the Department of Health and Human Services and,

as appropriate, other entities with relevant expertise in elder abuse.

Rep. Sylvia Garcia (D–TX) introduced the bill on September 4, 2020. On September 15, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–704.

- *H.R. 8225, the “Fight Notario Fraud Act of 2020”*

H.R. 8225 criminalizes the provision of fraudulent legal services, certain misrepresentations by individuals who claim to be authorized to practice immigration law, and threats and retaliation associated with the provision of fraudulent legal services.

Rep. Debbie Mucarsel-Powell (D–FL) introduced the bill on September 11, 2020. On September 15, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On October 1, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–533.

- *H.R. 8235, the “Open Courts Act of 2020”*

H.R. 8235 modernizes the document filing system of the federal courts and ensures that public access to court records is free.

Rep. Henry C. “Hank” Johnson introduced the bill on September 14, 2020. On September 15, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On December 8, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote.

- *H.R. 8354, the “Servicemembers and Veterans Initiative Act”*

H.R. 8354 establishes the Servicemembers and Veterans Initiative within the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice.

Rep. Veronica Escobar (D–TX) introduced the bill on September 23, 2020. On September 29, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote. On December 8, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed a roll call vote of 400 yeas and 1 nay. The bill was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–618.

- *H.R. 8366, the “Protecting Homeowners in Bankruptcy Act of 2020”*

H.R. 8366 increases the federal homestead exemption—which allows a debtor to protect a certain amount of equity in their home from creditors when they declare bankruptcy—to \$100,000.

Rep. Madeleine Dean (D–PA) introduced the bill on September 24, 2020. On September 29, 2020, the bill was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 18 yeas and 5 nays. H.R. 8366 was included in the updated Heroes Act, which passed the House as an amendment to the Senate Amendment to H.R. 925.

- *H. Res. 41, Rejecting White nationalism and White supremacy.*

H. Res. 41 rejects white nationalism and white supremacy as hateful expressions of intolerance that are contradictory to the values that define the people of the United States.

Rep. James Clyburn (D–SC) introduced the resolution on January 14, 2019. On January 15, 2020, the House considered the resolution under suspension of the rules and the resolution passed by a roll call vote of 424 yeas and 1 nay.

- *H. Res. 183, Condemning anti-Semitism as hateful expressions of intolerance that are contradictory to the values and aspirations that define the people of the United States and condemning anti-Muslim discrimination and bigotry against minorities as hateful expressions of intolerance that are contrary to the values and aspirations of the United States.*

H. Res. 183 rejects the perpetuation of anti-Semitic stereotypes in the United States and around the world, and condemns anti-Semitic acts and statements. The resolution reaffirms support for the mandate of the United States Special Envoy to Monitor and Combat Anti-Semitism. Additionally, the resolution rejects attempts to justify hatred or violent attacks over political frustrations. Law enforcement is encouraged to avoid conduct that raises the specter of unconstitutional profiling against anyone because of their race, religion, nationality, politics, or social group.

Rep. Jamie Raskin (D–MD) introduced the resolution on March 7, 2019. On March 7, 2019, the House considered the resolution under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by a roll call vote of 407 yeas and 23 nays and 1 present.

- *H. Res. 243, Resolution of inquiry requesting the President and directing the Attorney General to transmit, respectively, certain documents to the House of Representatives relating to the actions of former Federal Bureau of Investigation Acting Director Andrew McCabe*

H. Res. 243 directs the Department of Justice to transmit to the House of Representatives copies of its communications related to meetings or discussions between or among former Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Acting Director Andrew McCabe and (1) Deputy Attorney General Rod Rosenstein regarding conversations with the President, (2) others at the FBI regarding commencing or continuing an obstruction of justice or counterintelligence investigation of the President.

Rep. Doug Collins (R–GA) introduced the resolution on March 18, 2019. On March 26, 2020, the resolution was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 22 yeas and 0 nays. The resolution was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–33.

- *H. Res. 354, Celebrating the 100th anniversary of the passage and ratification of the 19th Amendment, providing for women’s suffrage, to the Constitution of the United States.*

H. Res. 354 celebrates the 100th anniversary of the passage and ratification of the Nineteenth Amendment to the Constitution, providing for women’s suffrage. The resolution also reaffirms the desire of Congress to continue strengthening democratic participation.

Rep. Brenda Lawrence (D–MI) introduced the resolution on May 3, 2019. On May 21, 2019, the House considered the bill by unanimous consent and the bill passed without objection.

- *H. Res. 489, Condemning President Trump’s racist comments directed at Members of Congress.*

H. Res. 489 states that immigrants and their descendants have made America stronger and naturalized citizens are just as American as those whose families have been in the United States for generations. It also expresses a commitment to keep America open to those who lawfully seek refuge from violence and oppression and those willing to work hard to achieve the American Dream, regardless of race, ethnicity, faith, or country of origin.

Rep. Tom Malinowski (D–NJ) introduced the resolution on July 15, 2019. The House considered the resolution under the provisions of H. Res. 491. On July 16, 2019, the resolution passed the house by a roll call vote of 240 yeas and 187 nays.

- *H. Res. 694, “Recognizing the Importance of the Civil Rights Act of 1866 and the Laws Derived Therefrom”*

H. Res. 694 recognizes and honors the importance of statutes giving all persons within the jurisdiction of the United States the full and equal benefit of all laws and proceedings for the security of persons and property as well as the right to enforce contracts, sue and be sued, and give evidence in court.

Rep. Maxine Waters (D–CA) introduced the resolution on November 13, 2019. The resolution was referred to the Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties. On February 12, 2020, the resolution was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by voice vote.

- *H. Res. 755, Articles of Impeachment Against President Donald J. Trump*

H. Res. 755 impeaches President Donald J. Trump for high crimes and misdemeanors.

Rep. Jerrold Nadler (D–NY) introduced the resolution on December 10, 2019. On December 13, 2019, the resolution was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 23 yeas and 17 nays. The House considered the resolution under the provisions of H. Res. 767. On December 18, 2019, Article I of the resolution passed the House by a roll call vote of 230 yeas and 197 nays. Article II of the resolution passed the House by a roll call vote of 229 yeas and 198 nays. The resolution was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–346.

- *H. Res. 908, Condemning all forms of anti-Asian sentiment as related to COVID–19.*

H. Res. 908 calls on all public officials to condemn and denounce anti-Asian sentiment, racism, discrimination, and religious intolerance related to COVID–19 (i.e., coronavirus disease 2019) and calls on federal law enforcement officials, working with state and local officials, to take specified steps.

Rep. Grace Meng (D–NY) introduced the resolution on March 25, 2020. The House considered the resolution under the provisions of H. Res. 1107. On September 17, 2020, the resolution passed the house by a roll call vote of 243 yeas and 164 nays and 1 voting present.

- *H. Res. 1046, Supporting the designation of August 2020 as National Women’s Suffrage Month.*

H. Res. 1046 supports the designation of National Women’s Suffrage Month. It also celebrates the 100th anniversary of the passage and ratification of the Nineteenth Amendment to the Constitution, which provided for women’s suffrage.

Rep. Debbie Lesko (R–AZ) introduced the resolution on July 16, 2020. On July 29, 2020, the House considered the resolution by unanimous consent and the bill passed without objection.

- *H. Res. 1153, Condemning unwanted, unnecessary medical procedures on individuals without their full, informed consent.*

H. Res. 1153 condemns the performance of unwanted, unnecessary medical procedures on individuals without their informed consent and recognizes the need for additional accountability, oversight, and transparency to protect individuals from such medical procedures while they are in the custody of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement. It also calls on the Department of Homeland Security to fully cooperate with investigations about, and take other actions related to, medical procedures in one of its detention centers.

Rep. Pramila Jayapal (D–WA) introduced the resolution on September 25, 2020. The House considered the resolution under the provisions of H. Res. 1164. On October 2, 2020, the resolution passed the house by a roll call vote of 232 yeas, 154 nays, and 4 voting present.

- *H. Res. 1154, Condemning QAnon and rejecting the conspiracy theories it promotes.*

H. Res. 1154 condemns QAnon and rejects the conspiracy theories it promotes as well as condemns all other groups and ideologies that contribute to the spread of unfounded conspiracy theories and that encourage Americans to destroy property and attack law enforcement officers. It also encourages federal law enforcement and homeland security agencies to continue to strengthen their focus on preventing violence, threats, harassment, and other criminal activity by extremists motivated by fringe political conspiracy theories.

Rep. Tom Malinowski introduced the resolution on September 25, 2020. The House considered the resolution under the provisions of H. Res. 1164. On October 2, 2020, the resolution passed the house by a roll call vote of 371 yeas, 18 nays, and 1 voting present.

- *H. Res. 1155, Reaffirming the House of Representatives’ commitment to the orderly and peaceful transfer of power called for in the Constitution of the United States, and for other purposes.*

H. Res. 1155 reaffirms the commitment of the House of Representatives to the orderly and peaceful transfer of power called for in the Constitution and expresses the intention of the House that there be no disruptions by the President or any person in power to overturn the will of the people.

Rep. Eric Swalwell (D–CA) introduced the resolution on September 29, 2020. On September 29, 2020, the House considered the resolution under suspension of the rules and the resolution passed by a roll call vote of 397 yeas and 5 nays.

- *H. Con. Res. 24, Expressing the sense of Congress that the report of Special Counsel Mueller should be made available to the public and to Congress.*

H. Con. Res. 24 calls for the full release to Congress and the public release, as allowed, by law, of any report (including findings) Special Counsel Mueller provides to the Department of Justice.

Rep. Jerrold Nadler (D–NY) introduced the resolution on March 7, 2019. The House considered the resolution under the provisions of H. Res. 208. On March 14, 2019, the resolution passed the house by a roll call vote of 420 yeas and 0 nays.

- *H.J. Res. 79, Removing the deadline for the ratification of the equal rights amendment.*

H.J. Res. 79 eliminates the deadline for the ratification of the Equal Rights Amendment, which prohibits discrimination based on sex.

Rep. Jackie Speier (D–CA) introduced the resolution on November 8, 2019. The resolution was referred to the Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties. On November 13, 2019, the resolution was considered by the Committee and ordered to be reported to the House by a roll call vote of 21 yeas and 11 nays. The House considered the resolution under the provisions of H. Res. 844. On February 13, 2020, the resolution passed the house by a roll call vote of 232 yeas to 183 nays. The resolution was accompanied by H. Rept. 116–378.

- *S. 134, the “Combat Online Predators Act”*

S. 134 increases the maximum prison term for a stalking offense by five years if the victim is under 18 years of age, but creates an exception if the offender is under 18 at the time of the offense.

Senator Pat Toomey (R–PA) introduced the bill on January 15, 2019. On December 8, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. On December 22, 2020, the bill became Public Law No. 116–249.

- *S. 1380, the “Due Process Protections Act”*

S. 1380 requires a federal judge in criminal proceedings to issue an order confirming the obligation of the prosecutor to disclose exculpatory evidence.

Senator Dan Sullivan (R–AK) introduced the bill on May 8, 2019. On September 21, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. On October 21, 2020, the bill became Public Law No. 116–182.

- *S. 2174, the “Missing Persons and Unidentified Remains Act of 2019”*

S. 2174 expands the grants authorized under Jennifer’s Law and Kristen’s Act to include processing of unidentified remains, resolving missing persons cases.

Senator John Cornyn (R–TX) introduced the bill on July 18, 2019. On December 16, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote.

- *S. 2258, the “Criminal Antitrust Anti-Retaliation Act of 2019”*

S. 2258 prohibits employers from retaliating against certain employees who report criminal antitrust violations to the federal government.

Senator Chuck Grassley (R–IA) introduced the bill on July 24, 2019. On December 8, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. On December 23, 2020, the bill became Public Law.

- *S. 3312, the “Crisis Stabilization and Community Reentry Act of 2020”*

S. 3312 authorizes the Department of Justice to award grants for state and local correctional facilities to provide clinical services for people with serious mental illness who need post-release mental health services.

Senator John Cornyn (R–TX) introduced the bill on February 13, 2020. On December 16, 2020, the House considered the bill under suspension of the rules and the bill passed by voice vote. The bill was modified by S. Con. Res. 52, which passed by unanimous consent following the passage of S. 3312.

- *S. 4996, the “Bankruptcy Administration Improvement Act of 2020”*

S. 4996 ensures funding of the United States trustees and extends temporary bankruptcy judgeships.

Senator Lindsey Graham (R–SC) introduced the bill on December 9, 2020. On December 21, 2020, the House considered the bill by unanimous consent and the bill passed without objection.

- *Resolution authorizing issuance of a subpoena to Acting Attorney General Matthew G. Whitaker to secure his appearance and testimony at the hearing of the Committee regarding oversight of the U.S. Department of Justice*

The resolution authorized the Chairman of the Committee on the Judiciary to issue a subpoena to Acting Attorney General Matthew G. Whitaker.

Rep. Jerrold Nadler (D–NY) offered the resolution. On February 7, 2019, the resolution was considered by the Committee and agreed to by a roll call vote of 23 yeas and 13 nays.

- *Resolution authorizing issuance of subpoenas*

The resolution authorized the Chairman of the Committee on the Judiciary to issue subpoenas for documents and testimony related to the final report authored by the Office of Special Counsel Robert S. Mueller, III, pursuant to Order No. 3915–2017 and underlying evidence collected, materials prepared, or documents used by the Office of Special Counsel Mueller in the investigation. It also authorized the Chairman to issue subpoenas for documents and testimony from Donald McGahn; Steven Bannon; Hope Hicks; Reince Priebus; and Ann Donaldson.

Rep. Jerrold Nadler (D–NY) offered the resolution. On April 3, 2019, the resolution was considered by the Committee and agreed to by a roll call vote of 24 yeas and 17 nays.

- *Motion pursuant to House Rule XI, clause 2(j)(2)(B) to permit an additional hour of questioning, equally divided between the Majority and Minority, for any Full Committee Hearing entitled “Oversight of the U.S. Department of Justice: Report by Special Counsel Robert S. Mueller, III on the Investigation Into Russian Interference in the 2016 Presidential Election; and Related Matters”*

On May 1, 2019, the motion was considered by the Committee and agreed to by voice vote.

- *Motion pursuant to House Rule XI, clause 2(j)(2)(C) to permit Committee staff, as designated by the Chair and Ranking Member, to question the witness for an additional hour, equally divided between the Majority and Minority, at any Full Committee Hearing entitled “Oversight of the U.S. Department of Justice: Report by Special Counsel Robert S. Mueller, III on the Investigation Into Russian Interference in the 2016 Presidential Election; and Related Matters”*

On May 1, 2019, the motion was considered by the Committee and agreed to a roll call vote of 21 yeas and 14 nays.

- *Committee Report for Resolution Recommending that the House of Representatives Find William P. Barr, Attorney General, U.S. Department of Justice, in Contempt of Congress for Refusal to Comply with a Subpoena Duly Issued by the Committee on the Judiciary (H. Rept. 116–105)*

Rep. Jerrold Nadler (D–NY) submitted the report. On May 8, 2019, the report was considered by the Committee and agreed to by a roll call vote of 24 yeas and 16 nays.

- *Resolution authorizing issuance of subpoenas*

The resolution authorized the Chairman of the Committee on the Judiciary to authorize subpoenas for documents and testimony from current and former Administration officials relating to the “Zero Tolerance” policy and other family separation policies and practices; detention or short-term custody of children and/or families; and discussions about or offers of presidential pardons to Department of Homeland Security officials or employees.

Rep. Jerrold Nadler (D–NY) offered the resolution. On July 11, 2019, the resolution was considered by the Committee and agreed to by a roll call vote of 21 yeas and 12 nays.

- *Resolution for Investigative Procedures*

The resolution specifies procedures applicable to the Committee’s investigation to determine whether to recommend articles of impeachment with respect to President Donald J. Trump.

Rep. Jerrold Nadler (D–NY) offered the resolution. On September 12, 2019, the resolution was considered by the Committee and agreed to by a roll call vote of 24 yeas and 17 nays.

OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES

- *The Impeachment of Donald J. Trump, President of the United States*
 - *Proceedings Prior to the Adoption of H. Res. 660:*

As the 116th Congress convened the House Judiciary Committee began investigating alleged obstruction of justice, public corruption, and other potential abuses of office by President Trump, his associates, and his administration. Launching this investigation, the Committee served document requests to 81 relevant agencies, entities, and individuals on March 4, 2019.²

On March 22, 2019 Attorney General William P. Barr notified Chairman Nadler that Special Counsel Robert S. Mueller III had concluded his investigation into Russian interference in the 2016 election and had submitted to him a “‘confidential report explaining the prosecution or declination decisions’ [the Special Counsel] had reached.”³ On April 18, 2019, Attorney General Barr released a redacted version of Special Counsel Mueller’s “Report On The Investigation Into Russian Interference In The 2016 Presidential Election” (“Mueller Report”) to Congress and the public. The redacted Report contained numerous findings, including multiple instances of potential misconduct by President Trump and his associates. Ultimately, the Special Counsel concluded that:

“[I]f we had confidence after a thorough investigation of the facts that the President clearly did not commit obstruction of justice, we would so state. Based on the facts and the applicable legal standards, however, we are unable to reach that judgment. The evidence we obtained about the President’s actions and intent presents difficult issues that prevent us from conclusively determining that no criminal conduct occurred. Accordingly, while this report does not conclude that the President committed a crime, it also does not exonerate him.”⁴

In accordance with the Committee’s constitutional obligation to investigate credible allegations of misconduct by executive branch officials, the Committee sought to obtain the full version of the Mueller Report and key underlying evidentiary and investigative materials, beginning well before Attorney General Barr received the Mueller Report.⁵

²House Committee on the Judiciary, “House Judiciary Committee Unveils Investigation into Threats Against the Rule of Law”, (Mar. 4, 2019).

³From William Barr, Att’y Gen., U.S. Dep’t of Justice to Lindsay Graham, Chairman, S. Comm. On the Judiciary, et al. (Mar. 22, 2019).

⁴Robert S. Mueller, III, *Report on the Investigation Into Russian Interference In The 2016 Presidential Election* (Mar. 2019), Vol. II at 2 (hereinafter, “Mueller Report”)

⁵On February 22, 2019 along with other Committees, the Judiciary Committee wrote to Attorney General Barr regarding the release of the Mueller Report. On April 19, 2019, the day after the Mueller Report was released, the Committee issued a subpoena to Attorney General Barr seeking an unredacted copy of the Mueller Report and underlying materials. The Attorney General failed to comply with subpoena. The Committee voted to hold him in contempt on May 8, 2019. The specific factual circumstances surrounding the Barr subpoena are described in House Report 116–105, which was filed by the Committee on June 6, 2019. On June 10, 2019, the Department of Justice agreed to begin complying with this subpoena by setting up a process by which all Members of the Committee are permitted to review key underlying documents referenced in the Mueller Report and to review a less-redacted version of Volume II of the Mueller Report, excluding grand jury information. The Committee’s effort to obtain these materials is consistent with the views expressed by the House in H. Con. Res. 24, which passed the House

Further, the Committee also sought to obtain documents and testimony from Former White House Counsel Donald McGahn and others key factual witnesses as part of its investigation. The Committee authorized and issued a number of subpoenas to compel testimony and documents from key members of the Trump Administration and the Trump campaign,⁶ conducted one closed-door interview of a fact witness,⁷ and obtained responses to written questions from another.⁸ H. Res. 430, passed by the full House on June 11, 2019, authorized the Committee to initiate or intervene in judiciary proceedings to enforce these subpoenas and for other purposes.⁹

The Committee conducted a series of hearings regarding the events described in the Mueller Report and related matters for the purpose of aiding Members in evaluating the seriousness and constitutional significance of the President’s alleged misconduct as outlined in the Report.¹⁰ On September 12, 2019, the Committee adopted its “Resolution for Investigative Procedures” by a vote of 24 to 17 which specified procedures applicable to the Committee’s investigation to determine whether to recommend articles of impeachment with respect to President Donald J. Trump.¹¹

◦ *H. Res. 660 and Subsequent Proceedings*

On October 31, 2019, the House approved H. Res. 660 by a vote of 232 to 196, which directed the Judiciary Committee along with other House Committees to “continue their ongoing investigations as part of the existing [. . .] inquiry into whether sufficient grounds exist for the House of Representatives to exercise its Constitutional power to impeach Donald John Trump.”¹² Pursuant to H. Res. 660 the House Committee on the Rules established the “Impeachment Inquiry Procedures in the Committee on the Judiciary.”¹³

On December 4, 2019, the Committee held a public hearing entitled “The Impeachment Inquiry into President Donald J. Trump: Constitutional Grounds for Presidential Impeachment” during which four constitutional experts, including one minority witness,

unanimously and called for “the full release to Congress of any report, including findings, Special Counsel Mueller provides to the Attorney General.”

⁶The Committee has issued subpoenas for testimony from crucial fact witnesses including for Donald McGahn on April 22, 2020, former Chief of Staff Ann Donaldson on May 21, 2019, and former Communications Director Hope Hicks on May 21, 2019. The Committee also authorized the issuance of additional subpoenas on July 11, 2019 including for former White House chief of staff Reince Priebus, former Trump Campaign manager Corey Lewandowski, and former Attorney General Jefferson B. Sessions, among other key witnesses.

⁷See *Interview of Hope Hicks Before the H. Comm. on the Judiciary*, 116th Cong. (June 19, 2019).

⁸See Responses by Ann Donaldson to Questions from the Committee on the Judiciary of the U.S. House of Representatives (July 5, 2019).

⁹H. Res. 430, 116th Cong. (2019).

¹⁰*Executive Privilege and Congressional Oversight, Hearing before the H. Comm. on the Judiciary*, 116th Cong. (May 15, 2019); *Lessons from the Mueller Report: Presidential Obstruction and Other Crimes, Hearing before the H. Comm. on the Judiciary*, 116th Cong. (Jun. 6, 2019); *Lessons from the Mueller Report, Part II: Bipartisan Perspectives, Hearing before the H. Comm. on the Judiciary*, 116th Cong. (Jun. 21, 2019); *Lessons from the Mueller Report, Part III: Constitutional Processes for Addressing Presidential Misconduct, Hearing before the H. Comm. on the Judiciary*, 116th Cong. (Jul. 12, 2019); *Oversight of the Report on the Investigation into Russian Interference in the 2016 Presidential Election: Former Special Counsel Robert S. Mueller, III, Hearing before the H. Comm. on the Judiciary*, 116th Cong. (Jul. 24, 2019); *Presidential Obstruction of Justice and Abuse of Power, Hearing before the H. Comm. on the Judiciary*, 116th Cong. (Sep. 17, 2019).

¹¹Resolution for Investigative Procedures, 116th Cong. (Sep 12, 2019).

¹²H. Res. 660, 116th Cong. (2019).

¹³*Impeachment Inquiry Procedures in the Committee on the Judiciary*, submitted for printing in the Congressional Record, 165 Cong. Rec. E1357 (daily ed. October 29, 2019) (hereinafter “*Impeachment Inquiry Procedures*”).

discussed if the President’s conduct amounted to the impeachable standard of “high Crimes and Misdemeanors.”¹⁴ Despite provisions under H. Res. 660 allowing for the President’s participation in the hearing through his counsel and multiple invitations from Chairman Nadler to the White House to participate in the Committee’s proceedings, the President’s counsel declined to participate.¹⁵

Pursuant to H. Res. 660, on December 6, 2019, the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence (HPSCI) in conjunction with the House Committees on Oversight and Reform and Foreign Affairs transmitted to the Judiciary Committee their report detailing the President’s abuses of office and obstruction of Congress. That same day, The House Committee on the Budget and the Foreign Affairs Committee made additional transmittals to the Judiciary Committee.¹⁶ A few days later, on December 11, 2019, HPSCI provided an additional classified supplemental submission available for Judiciary Committee Members review.¹⁷

On December 7, 2019 the Committee’s Majority Staff released a report entitled: “Constitutional Grounds of Presidential Impeachment.” The report outlined the grounds for impeachment, addressed arguments raised by the President’s counsel, and included minority views.¹⁸

On December 9, 2019, in accordance with H. Res. 660 and the “Impeachment Inquiry Procedures in the Committee on the Judiciary” the Committee held a public hearing to present and evaluate the evidence gathered by the Judiciary Committee and by HPSCI in conjunction with the Committees on Oversight and Reform and Foreign Affairs.¹⁹ Despite notice and similar invitation, the President’s counsel declined to participate and instead, in response to the Committee, quoted President Trump’s statement that “if you are going to impeach me, do it now, fast, so we can have a fair trial in the Senate.”²⁰

- *Investigation Into Allegations of Political Interference and Related Misconduct In the Department of Justice*

Over the 116th Congress in addition to its routine oversight of the Department of Justice (DOJ), the Committee investigated alle-

¹⁴ *The Impeachment Inquiry Into President Donald J. Trump: Constitutional Grounds for Presidential Impeachment, Hearing before the H. Comm. on the Judiciary*, 116th Cong. (Dec. 4, 2019).

¹⁵ Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. on the Judiciary, to Donald J. Trump, President of the United States (Nov. 26, 2019); Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. on the Judiciary, to Donald J. Trump, President of the United States (Nov. 29, 2019); Letter from Pat A. Cipollone, Counsel to the President, to Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. on the Judiciary (Dec. 1, 2019). See House Report 116-346, filed by the Committee to accompany H. Res. 755, for additional details on the provisions offered by the Committee in accordance with H. Res. 660 and correspondence between the Committee and the White House.

¹⁶ Letter from Adam B. Schiff, Chairman, H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, Carolyn B. Maloney, Chairwoman, H. Comm. On Oversight and Reform, and Elliot L. Engel, Chairman, H. Comm. On Foreign Affairs, to Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. on the Judiciary (Dec. 6, 2019); Letter from Eliot L. Engel, Chairman, H. Comm. On Foreign Affairs, to Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. on the Judiciary (Dec. 6, 2019); Letter from John Yarmuth, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Budget, to Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary (Dec. 6, 2019).

¹⁷ Letter from Adam B. Schiff, Chairman, H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence, to Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. on the Judiciary (Dec. 11, 2019).

¹⁸ Staff of H. Comm. on the Judiciary, 116th Cong., *Constitutional Grounds for Presidential Impeachment* (Comm. Print 2019)

¹⁹ *The Impeachment Inquiry Into President Donald J. Trump: Presentations from H. Perm. Select Comm. on Intelligence and H. Comm. on the Judiciary, Hearing before H. Comm. On the Judiciary*, 116th Cong. (Dec. 9, 2019).

²⁰ Letter from Pat A. Cipollone, Counsel to the President, to Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. on the Judiciary (Dec. 6, 2019).

gations of political inference and related misconduct in the Department of Justice in both civil enforcement and criminal investigations.

The Committee’s investigation included multiple instances of alleged political interference by senior level DOJ officials, including Attorney General William P. Barr himself. Events of concern to the Committee include but are not limited to: the Department’s reduction of the sentencing recommendation for the President’s former aide, Roger Stone, which then led to the withdrawal of the four career prosecutors overseeing the case²¹; the Department’s decision to abruptly drop its criminal case into the President’s former National Security Advisor, Michael Flynn²²; the Department’s decision to remove or replace U.S. Attorneys in Districts conducting investigations on or related to the President or his allies, including in the District of Columbia, the Southern District of New York, the Eastern District of New York, and the Eastern District of Texas²³; the Department’s creation of a special “intake process” to allow the President’s personal attorney Rudy Giuliani to feed information on the President’s political rivals directly into the Department²⁴; the Department’s misuse of the Antitrust Division to pursue political or personal interests of the President, including the targeting of automakers who entered into an agreement with California to reduce emissions,²⁵ and the abuse or appearance of abuse of executive pardon power including, among other instances, the commutation of Roger Stone’s prison sentence and the pardon of Michael Flynn.²⁶

Further, as part of its investigation the Committee held several hearings on the issue of political interference in the Department of Justice²⁷ and conducted one transcribed interview.²⁸ Throughout its investigation the Committee sought additional testimony from current and former Department officials multiple times, however

²¹ Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary, et al., to William Barr, Att’y Gen., U.S. Dep’t of Justice (Feb. 12, 2020).

²² See e.g., Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary, et al., to Michael Horowitz, Inspector General, Office of the Inspector General, U.S. Dep’t of Justice (Aug 10, 2020); Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary, et al., to Michael Horowitz, Inspector General, Office of the Inspector General, U.S. Dep’t of Justice (May 8, 2020).

²³ See e.g., Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary, et al., to Michael Horowitz, Inspector General, Office of the Inspector General, U.S. Dep’t of Justice (Aug 10, 2020); Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary to William Barr, Att’y Gen., U.S. Dep’t of Justice and Uttam Dhillon, Acting Administrator, Drug Enforcement Administration, U.S. Dep’t of Justice (March 12, 2020); House Committee on the Judiciary, “Chairman Nadler Statement on Bill Barr’s Purported Firing of SDNY Prosecutor”, (June 20, 2020); *Interview of Geoffrey Berman Before the H. Comm. on the Judiciary*, 116th Cong. (July 9, 2020).

²⁴ Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary, et al., to Michael Horowitz, Inspector General, Office of the Inspector General, U.S. Dep’t of Justice (Feb. 12, 2020).

²⁵ Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary and David N. Cicilline, Chairman, H. Subcomm. On Antitrust, Commercial and Administrative Law, H. Comm. On the Judiciary, to Pat Cipollone, White House Counsel, Executive Office of the President and Makan Delrahim, Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Division, U.S. Dep’t of Justice (Sept. 19, 2019).

²⁶ House Committee on the Judiciary, “Chairs Nadler and Maloney Statement on Roger Stone Commutation” (Jul. 10, 2020); House Committee on the Judiciary, “Chairman Nadler Statement on the Pardon of Michael Flynn” (Nov. 25, 2020); See also *Examining the Constitutional Role of the Pardon Power, Hearing Before the Subcomm. On the Constitution, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties*, 116th Cong. (Mar. 27, 2019).

²⁷ *Oversight of the Department of Justice: Political Interference and Threats to Prosecutorial Independence, Hearing Before the H. Comm. On the Judiciary*, 116th Cong. (Jun. 24, 2020); *Oversight of the Department of Justice, Hearing Before the H. Comm. On the Judiciary*, 116th Cong. (Jul. 28, 2020); *Oversight of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice, Hearing Before the H. Comm. On the Judiciary*, 116th Cong. (Sep. 24, 2020).

²⁸ *Interview of Geoffrey Berman Before the H. Comm. on the Judiciary*, 116th Cong. (July 9, 2020).

the Department of Justice refused to comply, leaving these requests outstanding.²⁹

- *Additional Investigations into Misconduct by the Trump Administration*

Over the course of the 116th Congress, the Committee conducted extensive additional oversight of the Trump Administration and its agencies on issues ranging from the Department of Justice’s decision not to defend the Affordable Care Act to concerns over the Trump Administration’s response to the COVID–19 pandemic. Inquiries the Committee made include but are not limited to the following:

The Committee investigated the deterioration of voter protections under the Trump Administration. On February 1, 2019, the Committee requested materials from the Department of Justice relating to its enforcement of the Voting Rights Act, its litigating position in a number of cases related to voter protection, and its involvement in adding a citizenship question to the 2020 census.³⁰

The Committee investigated the Department of Justice’s decision not to defend the constitutionality of the Affordable Care Act. On April 8, 2019, in a joint letter with the Committees on Oversight and Reform, Energy and Commerce, Ways and Means, and Education and Labor, the Committee launched the investigation, sending information and document requests to the Department of Justice, Department of Health and Human Services, and the White House.³¹

The Committee investigated reports that President Trump offered or discussed offering pardons to DHS personnel, including Acting Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Secretary Kevin McAleenan, in exchange for closing the southern border of the United States. On April 16, 2019 the Committee requested information and documents from the Department and on September 4, 2019, the Committee issued a subpoena to Acting Secretary McAleenan for documents.³²

The Committee investigated concerns regarding potential violations of the Foreign and Domestic Emoluments Clauses by President Trump. On September 5, 2019, the Committee requested documents and information from the White House and the Secret Service regarding the President’s apparent pro-

²⁹ Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary, et al., to William Barr, Att’y Gen., U.S. Dep’t of Justice (Feb. 28, 2020); Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary, et al., to William Barr, Att’y Gen., U.S. Dep’t of Justice (Aug. 10, 2020).

³⁰ Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary and Steve Cohen, Chairman, H. Subcomm. On the Constitution, Civil Rights, & Civil Liberties to Matthew Whitaker, Acting Att’y Gen., U.S. Dep’t of Justice (Feb. 1, 2019).

³¹ Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary, et al. to William P. Barr, Att’y Gen., U. S. Dep’t of Justice (Apr. 8, 2019); *See also* Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary, et al. to William P. Barr, Att’y Gen., U. S. Dep’t of Justice (May 13, 2019).

³² *See* Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. on the Judiciary, et al., to Kevin McAleenan, Acting Secretary, Dep’t of Homeland Security (Apr.16, 2019); *See* Letter from Chairman Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary, to Kevin McAleenan, Acting Secretary, Dep’t of Homeland Security (Oct. 4, 2019).

motion and solicitation of government business at Trump Organization affiliated properties.³³

The Committee investigated a number of concerns with the Trump Administration's response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Among multiple requests, this oversight included inquiries into: U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement regarding the protection and health of individuals in custody and government personnel,³⁴ the Department of Justice regarding Attorney General Barr's statements undermining local and state governments' stay-at-home measures,³⁵ the Department of Homeland Security and the Department of State regarding the decision to suspend asylum processing in response to the pandemic,³⁶ and the Department of Justice and Bureau of Prisons regarding the safety of prisons during the pandemic.³⁷

The Committee investigated the Department of Justice's actions regarding its role in eliminating unconstitutional conduct in law enforcement agencies and the alarming decrease in pattern and practice investigations under the Trump Administration. On May 14, 2019, the Committee requested documents, communications, and information relating to this issue from the Department of Justice.³⁸ On May 28, 2020, the Committee requested that the Department of Justice open investigations into the deaths of Ahmaud Arbery, Breonna Taylor, and George Floyd.³⁹

The Committee investigated the circumstances around the use of force against peaceful protestors by federal officers at Lafayette Park on June 1, 2020. On June 3, 2020, in a joint letter with the Committees on Natural Resources, Armed Services, and Homeland Security, the Committee sent information requests to the Departments of Justice, Interior, Defense, and Homeland Security regarding the incident.⁴⁰ The Committee sent additional requests seeking information regarding the ex-

³³ Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary and Steve Cohen, Chairman, H. Subcomm. On the Constitution, Civil Rights, & Civil Liberties to Pat Cipollone, Counsel to the President, White House, and James Murray, Director, U.S. Secret Service (Sep. 5, 2019).

³⁴ Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary, to Matthew T. Albence, Acting Director, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (Mar. 13, 2020); Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary, and Zoe Lofgren, Chair, Subcomm. On Immigration and Citizenship, to Matthew T. Albence, Acting Director, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (Apr. 7, 2020).

³⁵ Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary, et al. to William P. Barr, Att'y Gen., U.S. Dep't of Justice (May 11, 2020).

³⁶ Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary, and Zoe Lofgren, Chair, Subcomm. On Immigration and Citizenship, to Michael R. Pompeo, Secretary of state, U.S. Dep't of State (Mar. 31, 2020); Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary, et al. to Michael R. Pompeo, Secretary of state, U.S. Dep't of State, et al. (May 12, 2020).

³⁷ Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary to William P. Barr, Att'y Gen., U.S. Dep't of Justice (Mar. 12, 2020); Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary and Karen Bass, Chair, Subcomm. On Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security, to William P. Barr, Att'y Gen., U.S. Dep't of Justice (Mar. 19, 2020); Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary and Karen Bass, Chair, Subcomm. On Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security, to William P. Barr, Att'y Gen., U.S. Dep't of Justice (Mar. 30, 2020); Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary and Karen Bass, Chair, Subcomm. On Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security, to William P. Barr, Att'y Gen., U.S. Dep't of Justice (Apr. 10, 2020); Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary and Karen Bass, Chair, Subcomm. On Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security, to Donald W. Washington, Director, U.S. Marshals Service, U.S. Dep't of Justice (May 10, 2020).

³⁸ Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary, et al., to William P. Barr, Att'y Gen., U.S. Dep't of Justice (May 14, 2020).

³⁹ Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary, et al., to William P. Barr, Att'y Gen., U.S. Dep't of Justice and Eric Dreiband, Ass't. Att'y Gen., Civil Rights Division, U.S. Dep't of Justice (May 28, 2020).

⁴⁰ Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary, et al., to William P. Barr, Att'y Gen., U.S. Dep't of Justice, et al. (Jun. 3, 2020).

panded authority of U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration employees and the deployment of armed federal law enforcement officers to Washington, D.C. in response to peaceful protests against police brutality.⁴¹

- *Oversight of the U.S. Department of Justice (Serial No. 116–3)*

On February 8, 2019, the Committee held a hearing to examine the leadership and supervision structure of the Department of Justice since the forced resignation of Attorney General Jeff Sessions. There was concern not only with the method used to appoint Matthew Whitaker as Acting Attorney General, but his decision to retain a supervisory role of Special Counsel Robert Mueller’s investigation.

The hearing consisted of the following witness: (1) The Honorable Matthew Whitaker, Acting Attorney General, United States Department of Justice.

- *Oversight of the U.S. Department of Justice: Report by Special Counsel Robert S. Mueller, III on the Investigation Into Russian Interference in the 2016 Presidential Election; and Related Matters*

On May 2, 2019, the Committee held a hearing to examine the current leadership and supervision structure of the Department of Justice—including the release of Special Counsel Robert Mueller’s Report Investigation into Russian Interference in the 2016 Presidential Election and Related Matters. Despite invitation, Attorney General Barr did not appear before the Committee.

The hearing consisted of the following witness: (1) The Honorable William P. Barr, Attorney General, United States Department of Justice.

- *Executive Privilege and Congressional Oversight (Serial No. 116–20)*

On May 15, 2019, the Committee held a hearing to examine the legal basis for President Donald Trump’s broad assertion of executive privilege over the entirety of Special Counsel Robert Mueller’s “Report On The Investigation Into Russian Interference In The 2016 Presidential Election” (Mueller Report) and its underlying materials, including materials that clearly fall outside the scope of the privilege, such as the Special Counsel’s investigative files.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Kate Shaw, Professor of Law, Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law, Yeshiva University; (2) Paul Rosenzweig, Senior Fellow, National Security & Cybersecurity, R Street Institute; (3) Jonathan Turley, J.B. and Maurice C. Shapiro Professor of Public Interest Law, The George Washington University Law School; (4) Neil Kinkopf, Professor of Law, Georgia State University College of Law.

⁴¹ Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary and Karen Bass, Chair, Subcomm. On Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security, to William P. Barr, Att’y Gen., U.S. Dep’t of Justice and Timothy J. Shea, Acting Administrator, U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration (Jun. 5, 2020); Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary and Karen Bass, Chair, Subcomm. On Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security, to Michael Carvajal, Director, Fed. Bureau of Prisons, U.S. Dep’t of Justice (Jun. 11, 2020).

- *Oversight of the Report by Special Counsel Robert S. Mueller, III: Former White House Counsel Donald F. McGahn, II*

On May 21, 2019, the Committee held a hearing to investigate multiple instances of misconduct by President Trump investigated by Special Counsel Robert Mueller and described in Volume II of the “Report On The Investigation Into Russian Interference In The 2016 Presidential Election”. As counsel to the President from January 2017 to October 2018, Donald F. McGahn, II observed firsthand President Trump’s conduct and is a corroborating witness to others’ accounts described in the Report. Mr. McGahn refused to comply with a subpoena requiring his appearance before the Committee, failing to appear for the hearing to answer questions.

The hearing consisted of the following witness: (1) Donald F. McGahn, II, Former White House Counsel, Office of White House Counsel.

- *Lessons from the Mueller Report: Presidential Obstruction and Other Crimes (Serial No. 116–24)*

On June 10, 2019, the Committee held a hearing as part of its investigation into possible misconduct by President Trump or Members of the Trump Administration as described in the Special Counsel’s “Report On The Investigation Into Russian Interference In The 2016 Presidential Election” (Mueller Report) and if any conduct described warranted further steps under Congress’ Article 1 powers.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) John Dean, Former White House Counsel; (2) Joyce White Vance, Former U.S. Attorney for the Northern District of Alabama; (3) John Malcolm, Vice President, Institute for Constitutional Government, Director of the Meese Center for Legal & Judicial Studies and Senior Legal Fellow, The Heritage Foundation; (4) Barbara McQuade, Former U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of Michigan.

- *Transcribed Interview of Hope Hicks*

On June 19, 2019, the Committee interviewed Hope Hicks, former Communications Director for the White House, as part of the Committee’s investigation into misconduct by President Trump and his Administration.

The Committee interviewed the following witness: (1) Hope Hicks, Former Communications Director, White House.

- *Lessons from the Mueller Report, Part II: Bipartisan Perspectives (Serial No. 116–28)*

On June 20, 2019 the Committee held a hearing as part of its investigation into possible misconduct by President Trump or Members of the Trump Administration as described in the Special Counsel’s “Report On The Investigation Into Russian Interference In The 2016 Presidential Election” (Mueller Report) and if any conduct described warranted further steps under Congress’ Article 1 powers. Specifically, the hearing focused on the lessons that can be gained from the Mueller Report related to our national security and all potential remedies to prevent any misconduct from recurring.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Carrie Cordero, Robert M. Gates Senior Fellow and General Counsel, Cen-

ter for a New American Security; (2) Richard Hasen, Chancellor's Professor of Law and Political Science, The University of California, Irvine School of Law; (3) Alina Polyakova, Director, Project on Global Democracy and Emerging Technology and Fellow—Foreign Policy, Center on the United States and Europe, Brookings Institution; Saikrishna Prakash, James Monroe Distinguished Professor of Law and Paul G. Mahoney Research Professor of Law, University of Virginia School of Law.

- *Lessons from the Mueller Report, Part III: “Constitutional Processes for Addressing Presidential Misconduct” (Serial No. 116–34)*

On July 12, 2019, the Committee held a hearing as part of its investigation into possible misconduct by President Trump or Members of the Trump Administration as described in the Special Counsel's “Report On The Investigation Into Russian Interference In The 2016 Presidential Election” (Mueller Report) and if any conduct described warranted further steps under Congress' Article 1 powers. Specifically, to examine the range of constitutional remedies for addressing presidential misconduct available to Congress under its Article I powers.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Caroline Fredrickson, President, American Constitution Society; (2) John Eastman, Henry Salvatori Professor of Law and Community Service and Director, Center for Constitutional Jurisprudence, Chapman University, Fowler School of Law; (3) Michael Gerhardt, Samuel Ashe Distinguished Professor in Constitutional Law, The University of North Carolina School of Law.

- *Oversight of the Report on the Investigation into Russian Interference in the 2016 Presidential Election: Former Special Counsel Robert S. Mueller, III (Serial No. 116–41)*

On July 24, 2019, the Committee held a hearing as part of its investigation into possible misconduct by President Trump or Members of the Trump Administration as described in the Special Counsel's “Report On The Investigation Into Russian Interference In The 2016 Presidential Election” (Mueller Report) and if any conduct described warranted further steps under Congress' Article 1 powers. Specifically, to allow Members of the Committee to question Special Counsel Mueller directly on the contents of the Report.

The hearing consisted of the following witness: (1) Robert S. Mueller, III, Special Counsel, The Special Counsel's Office, The Investigation into Russian Interference in the 2016 Presidential Election May 2017 to May 2019.

- *Protecting Dreamers and TPS Recipients (Serial No. 116–6)*

On March 6, 2019, the Committee held a legislative hearing on H.R. 2820, which provides a path to lawful permanent resident status for eligible Dreamers who entered the United States under the age of 18 and who were continuously present in the country for 4 years prior to the date of the bill's enactment, and H.R. 2821, which provides a path to lawful permanent resident status to individuals who held, or were eligible for, Temporary Protected Status (TPS) and Deferred Enforced Departure (DED) on January 1, 2017.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Jin Park, DACA Recipient, Harvard University Undergraduate Student, Rhodes Scholar; (2) Yatta Kiazolu, DED Recipient, University of California, Los Angeles PhD Candidate; (3) Yazmin Irazoqui Ruiz, Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) Recipient, University of New Mexico Medical Student; (4) Jose Palma, TPS Recipient, National Coordinator of the National TPS Alliance; (5) Donald E. Graham, Chairman of the Board, Graham Holdings Company, Co-Founder of TheDream.US; (6) The Most Reverend Mario Eduardo Dorsonville-Rodriguez, Auxiliary Bishop, Archdiocese of Washington; (7) Hilario Yanez, DACA Recipient, University of Houston Graduate; and (8) The Honorable Andrew R. Arthur, Resident Fellow in Law and Policy, Center for Immigration Studies.

- *Preventing Gun Violence: A Call to Action*

On February 6, 2019 the Committee held a hearing to examine the problem of gun violence in America and present an opportunity for Members and the public to hear the experiences and perspectives of a wide array of witnesses, to inform legislative action in the Committee and this Congress.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Art Acevedo, Chief of Police, Houston Police Department; (2) Aalayah Eastmond, Senior at Marjory Stoneman Douglas High School; (3) Diane Latiker, Founder and President, Kids Off The Block; (4) Savannah Lindquist, Student at Old Dominion University; (5) Joyce Lee Malcolm, Professor of Law, Antonio Scalia Law Center; (6) Joseph V. Sakran, Associate Chief, Division of Acute Care Surgery; (7) Sabrina Tapp-Harper, Commander, Domestic Violence Unit; (8) Robyn Thomas, Executive Director, Giffords Law Center to Prevent Gun Violence.

- *Oversight of the Trump Administration's Family Separation Policy*

On February 26, 2019, the Committee held a hearing to discuss the Trump Administration's "zero tolerance" policy and its implementation, the legal justification for these practices, the Administration's failure to keep accurate records on separated individuals and the resulting inability to timely reunify separated families, among other things.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Carla Provost, Chief, U.S. Border Patrol, U.S. Customs and Border Protection; (2) Nathalie R. Asher, Acting Executive Associate Director, Enforcement and Removal Operations, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement; (3) Scott Lloyd, Senior Advisor, Center for Faith and Opportunity Initiatives, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; (4) Jonathan White, Commander, U.S. Public Health Service Commissioned Corps, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; and (5) James McHenry, Director, Executive Office for Immigration Review, U.S. Department of Justice.

- *Oversight of Family Separation and U.S. Customs and Border Protection Short-Term Custody Under the Trump Administration*

Following up on the February 26, 2019 hearing on family separation, on July 25, 2019, the Committee held a hearing to review the

implementation of the Trump Administration’s “zero tolerance” policy, as well as conditions in U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) short-term custody facilities. Issues examined during the hearing include the development of these policies and their implementation by the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), the Department of Health and Human Service (HHS), and their relevant components.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Brian S. Hastings, Chief, Law Enforcement Operations, U.S. Border Patrol, U.S. Customs and Border Protection; (2) Jonathan H. Hayes, Director, Office of Refugee Resettlement, Administration for Children and Families, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; (3) Jonathan White, Commander, U.S. Public Health Service Commissioned Corps, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; (4) Joseph B. Edlow, Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Policy, U.S. Department of Justice; and (5) Diana R. Shaw, Assistant Inspector General for Special Reviews and Evaluations, U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Office of Inspector General.

- *Hate Crimes and the Rise of White Nationalism*

On April 9, 2019 the Committee held a hearing to examine the spread of white identity ideology and the effect that white nationalist groups have had on impacted communities, and to consider what social media companies can do to stem white nationalist propaganda.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Mohammad Abu-Salha; (2) Kristen Clarke, President and Executive Director, National Lawyers’ Committee for Civil Rights Under Law; (3) Eileen Hershenov, Senior Vice President, Policy, Anti-Defamation League; (4) Mort Klein, President, Zionist Organization of America; (5) Candace Owens, Activist, Turning Point USA; (6) Eva Paterson, President, Equal Justice Society; (7) Neil Potts, Public Policy Director, Facebook, Inc.; (8) Alexandria Walden, Global Human Rights and Free Expression Policy Counsel, Google, LLC.

- *Oversight of the U.S. Copyright Office*

On June 26, 2019, the Committee held the first oversight hearing of the Copyright Office since 2015 and the first hearing since Karyn Temple was appointed to be the new Register of Copyrights and the Director of U.S. Copyright Office. The hearing consisted of oversight of the Copyright Office’s IT modernization and the progress of the implementation of the recently passed Music Modernization Act. The hearing also allowed Members to seek the Office’s views on the upcoming expiration of the distant signal satellite television statutory license.

The hearing consisted of the following witness: (1) Karyn Temple, Register of Copyrights, Director of the U.S. Copyright Office.

- *Presidential Obstruction of Justice and Abuse of Power*

On September 17, 2019, the Committee held a hearing for the purpose of the presentation of information in connection with the Committee’s investigation to determine whether to recommend articles of impeachment with respect to President Donald J. Trump. The Mueller Report describes multiple instances of possible ob-

struction of justice by President Donald Trump and names Messrs. Lewandowski, Dearborn, and Porter as key witnesses interviewed by Special Counsel Mueller during course of the investigation. Mr. Dearborn and Mr. Porter refused to comply with a subpoena requiring their appearance before the Committee, failing to appear for the hearing to answer questions.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Corey Lewandowski, Former Campaign Manager, Donald Trump 2016 Presidential Campaign; (2) Rick Dearborn, Former Campaign Advisor, Donald Trump 2016 Presidential Campaign, and Former White House Deputy Chief of Staff; (3) Robert Porter, Former White House Staff Secretary.

- *Oversight of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act*

On September 18, 2019, the Committee held an oversight hearing to discuss the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Brad Wiegmann, Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Department of Justice, National Security Division; (2) Michael J. Orlando, Deputy Assistant Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Counterterrorism Division; (3) Susan Morgan, National Security Agency.

- *Oversight Hearing on Policing Practices*

On September 19, 2019 the Committee held a hearing examining the crisis of lost trust between police departments and the communities they serve and evaluating bipartisan solutions towards repairing and healing the relationship between civilians and law enforcement.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Gwen Carr, Mother of Eric Garner; (2) Ron Davis, Former Director, Department of Justice Community Oriented Policing Services Office; (3) Patrick Yoes, National President, Fraternal Order of Police; (4) Al Sharpton, Founder, National Action Network; (5) James Blake, Former Tennis Professional and Commentator; (6) Gina Hawkins, Chief of Police, Fayetteville Police Department, National Organization of Black Law Enforcement Professionals; (7) Heather Mac Donald, Fellow, Manhattan Institute for Policy Research; (8) Phillip Atiba Goff, Co-Founder and President, Center for Policing Equity; (9) Lynda Garcia, Policing Campaign Director, The Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights.

- *Member Day Hearing*

On September 20, 2019, the Committee held a hearing to offer all Members of the House of Representatives the opportunity to highlight specific legislation on issues within the Committee's jurisdiction.

- *Protecting America from Assault Weapons*

On Wednesday, September 25, 2019 the Committee held a hearing for Members to discuss possible legislation to regulate assault weapons at the federal level, similar to the 1994 Assault Weapons Ban.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Nan Whaley, Mayor, Dayton, Ohio; (2) Alejandro Rios-Tovar, Resident Physician, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, El Paso;

(3) RaShall Brackney, Chief of Police, Charlottesville Police Department; (4) Kristen Rand, Legislative Director, Violence Policy Center; (5) Amy Swearer, Senior Legal Policy Analyst, The Heritage Foundation; (6) Dianna Muller, Founder, The DC Project; (7) David Chipman, Senior Policy Advisor, Giffords Law Center to Prevent Gun Violence.

- *Securing America's Elections*

On September 27, 2019, the Committee held a hearing to examine the extent and scope of cyber threats to our voting systems and to identify remedies to these threats going into the 2020 election.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Debora Plunkett, Senior Fellow, Defending Digital Democracy Project, Harvard Kennedy School, Belfer Center for the Science and International Affairs; (2) Kathryn Boockvar, Acting Secretary of the Commonwealth, Pennsylvania Department of State; (3) Tom Burt, Corporate Vice President, Customer Security & Trust, Microsoft Corporation.

- *Securing America's Elections Part II: Oversight of Government Agencies*

On October 22, 2019, the Committee held a hearing to ensure, pursuant to the Committee's oversight responsibilities, that the government agencies responsible for securing our elections were aware of the extent and scope of the threat picture and were devoting the necessary resources toward addressing those threats prior to the 2020 election.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Matthew Masterson, Senior Cybersecurity Advisor, Department of Homeland Security; (2) Nikki Floris, Deputy Assistant Director for Counterintelligence, Federal Bureau of Investigation; (3) Adam Hickey, Deputy Assistant Attorney General, National Security Division, Department of Justice; (4) Ben Hovland, Vice Chair, U.S. Election Assistance Commission.

- *The Impeachment Inquiry into President Donald J. Trump: Constitutional Grounds for Presidential Impeachment (Serial No. 116-67)*

On December 4, 2019, the Committee held a hearing pursuant to H. Res. 660 to examine the constitutional grounds for presidential impeachment. The hearing gave Members of the Committee the opportunity to receive testimony from constitutional scholars regarding constitutionally permissible grounds for presidential impeachment, among other questions relevant to the evaluation of evidence in the Committee's current inquiry as to whether to recommend articles of impeachment against President Trump to the full House.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Noah Feldman, Felix Frankfurter Professor of Law and Director, Julius-Rabinowitz Program on Jewish and Israeli Law, Harvard Law School; (2) Pamela S. Karlan, Kenneth and Harle Montgomery Professor of Public Interest Law and Co-Director, Supreme Court Litigation Clinic, Stanford Law School; (3) Michael Gerhardt, Burton Craige Distinguished Professor of Jurisprudence, The University of North Carolina School of Law; (4) Jonathan Turley, J.B. and Mau-

rice C. Shapiro Professor of Public Interest Law, The George Washington University Law School.

- *The Impeachment Inquiry into President Donald J. Trump: Presentations from the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence and House Judiciary Committee (Serial No. 116-68)*

On December 9, 2019, the Committee held a hearing pursuant to H. Res. 660 to hear presentations of evidence from the House Judiciary Committee and the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence on whether to recommend articles of impeachment against President Donald J. Trump to the full House. The first panel was the presentation from the House Judiciary Committee and the second panel was the presentation from the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence.

The hearing consisted of two panels of witnesses. The first panel consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Barry Berke, Majority Counsel; (2) Stephen Castor, Minority Counsel. The second panel consisted of the following witnesses: (2) Daniel Goldman, Majority Counsel; Stephen Castor, Minority Counsel.

- *Oversight of the Federal Bureau of Investigation*

On February 5, 2020, the Committee held an annual oversight hearing on the U.S. Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI).

The hearing consisted of the following witness: (1) Christopher A. Wray, Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation.

- *Oversight of the Department of Justice: Political Interference and Threats to Prosecutorial Independence*

On June 24, 2020, the Committee held a hearing to aid Members in evaluating allegations of political interference and related misconduct from senior-level staff at the Department of Justice, including Attorney General William Barr himself. Specifically, this hearing focused on testimony from career Department staff on examples of impropriety at DOJ and the risks of this routine misconduct on the preservation of rule of law and public faith in the independence of the Department.

The hearing consisted of the following witness: (1) Donald Ayer, Former Deputy attorney General, U.S. Department of Justice; (2) Aaron S. J. Zelinsky, Assistant U.S. Attorney, U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Maryland, U.S. Department of Justice; (3) John W. Elias, Trial Attorney, Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice; (4) The Honorable Michael B. Mukasey, Former Attorney General, U.S. Department of Justice.

- *Transcribed Interview of Geoffrey Berman*

On July 9, 2020, the Committee interviewed Geoffrey Berman, former U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York, regarding the details of his abrupt dismissal from his position as part of the Committee's larger investigation into political interference and related misconduct from senior-level staff at the Department of Justice.

The Committee interviewed the following witness: (1) Geoffrey Berman, Former U.S. Attorney, U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York, U.S. Department of Justice.

- *Oversight of the Department of Justice*

On July 28, 2020, the Committee held a hearing to examine the current leadership and supervision structure of the Department of Justice and to aid Members in evaluating allegations of political interference and related misconduct from senior-level staff at the Department of Justice, including Attorney General William Barr himself. This hearing marked Attorney General Barr's first appearance before the House Committee on the Judiciary.

The hearing consisted of the following witness: (1) The Honorable William P. Barr, Attorney General, United States Department of Justice.

- *Diversity in America: The Representation of People of Color in the Media*

On September 24, 2020, the Committee held a hearing to examine the current state of the representation of people of color in the media, both behind and in front of the camera; highlight the importance of media representation and the potential negative impacts of underrepresentation; and offer an opportunity for Members and witnesses to discuss concrete steps media companies can take to improve representation.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Erika Alexander, Actress, Writer, and Producer; (2) Edward James Olmos, Actor, Producer, and Director; (3) Daniel Dae Kim, Actor and Producer; (4) Joy Villa, Recording Artist, Actor, and Author; (5) Jason Whitlock, Sports Journalist; (6) Stacy L. Smith, Founder and Director, the Annenberg Inclusion Initiative at the Annenberg School for Communication & Journalism at the University of Southern California; (7) Karyn A. Temple, Senior Executive Vice President and Global General Counsel, Motion Picture Association, Inc.

- *Copyright and the Internet in 2020: Reactions to the Copyright Office's Report on the Efficacy of 17 U.S.C. 512 After Two Decades*

On September 30, 2020, the Committee held a hearing to examine the current state of section 512 of title 17, which establishes a notice-and-takedown regime that limits the copyright infringement liability for four types of online service providers ("OSPs").

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Jeffrey Sedlik, President & CEO, PLUS Coalition; (2) Meredith Rose, Senior Policy Counsel, Public Knowledge; (3) Morgan Grace Kibby, Singer and Songwriter; (4) Jonathan Band, Counsel, Library Copyright Alliance; (5) Matthew Schruers, President, Computer & Communications Industry Association; (6) Terrica Carrington, Vice President, Legal Policy and Copyright Counsel, Copyright Alliance.

Subcommittee on Antitrust, Commercial, and Administrative Law

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ANTITRUST, COMMERCIAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

DAVID N. CICILLINE, Rhode Island, *Chair*

JOE NEGUSE, Colorado, *Vice-Chair*

HENRY C. "HANK" JOHNSON, JR., Georgia	F. JAMES SENSENBRENNER, JR., Wisconsin
JAMIE RASKIN, Maryland	KEN BUCK, Colorado
PRAMILA JAYAPAL, Washington	MATT GAETZ, Florida
VAL BUTLER DEMINGS, Florida	KELLY ARMSTRONG, North Dakota
MARY GAY SCANLON, Pennsylvania	W. GREGORY STEUBE, Florida
LUCY McBATH, Georgia	

SLADE BOND, *Chief Counsel*

DOUGLAS GENO, *Minority Counsel*

JURISDICTION

The Subcommittee on Antitrust, Commercial, and Administrative Law shall have jurisdiction over the following subject matters: bankruptcy and commercial law, bankruptcy judgeships, administrative law, independent counsel, state taxation affecting interstate commerce, interstate compacts, antitrust matters, other appropriate matters as referred by the Chairman, and relevant oversight.

OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES

- *Investigation of Competition in Digital Markets*

On June 3, 2019, the Subcommittee on Antitrust, Commercial, and Administrative Law launched its investigation into the state of competition online.⁴² The purpose of the Subcommittee's investigation was to: "(1) document competition problems in digital markets; (2) examine whether dominant firms are engaging in anticompetitive conduct; and (3) assess whether existing antitrust laws, competition policies, and current enforcement levels are adequate to address these issues."⁴³

As part of its investigation, the Committee held a series of seven oversight hearings⁴⁴ and requested information from 93 sources including Federal antitrust agencies, market participants, experts, and dominant online platforms—Facebook, Google, Amazon, and Apple. The Committee received over 1,300,000 documents in response to their requests for information, held 17 briefings with the

⁴²House Committee on the Judiciary, "House Judiciary Committee Launches Bipartisan Investigation into Competition in Digital Markets" (Jun. 3, 2019).

⁴³Staff of Subcomm. on Antitrust, Commercial and Administrative Law of the Comm. on the Judiciary, 116th Cong., *Investigation of Competition in Digital Markets: Majority Staff Report and Recommendations 9* (October 2020). (hereinafter, "*Digital Markets Investigation Report (2020)*")

⁴⁴*Digital Markets Investigation Report* (2020), p. 10.

dominant platforms and participants in digital markets, and received submissions from more than 60 experts on antitrust with their recommendations on how to protect and enhance competition online. In October 2020, the Majority staff released a report entitled “Investigation of Competition in Digital Markets” totaling over 400 pages and detailing key findings and recommendations from the Subcommittee’s investigation.⁴⁵

- *Online Platforms and Market Power, Part 1: The Free and Diverse Press (Serial No. 116–25)*

On June 11, 2019 the Subcommittee held a hearing to examine how the market power of online platforms affects trustworthy sources of journalism.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) David Chavern, President, News Media Alliance; (2) Gene Kimmelman, President, Public Knowledge; (3) Sally Hubbard, Director of Enforcement Strategy, Open Markets Institute; (4) Matthew Schruers, Vice President, Law and Policy, Computer and Communications Industry Association; (5) David Pitofsky, General Counsel, News Corp; (6) Kevin Riley, Editor, Atlanta-Journal Constitution.

- *Online Platforms and Market Power, Part 2: Innovation and Entrepreneurship (Serial No. 116–39)*

On July 16, 2019 the Subcommittee held a hearing to examine how the market power of online platforms affects innovation and entrepreneurship.

The hearing had two panels. The first panel consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Adam Cohen, Director of Economic Policy, Google; (2) Nate Sutton, Associate General Counsel, Amazon; (3) Matt Perault, Head of Global Policy Development, Facebook; (4) Kyle Andeer, Vice President of Corporate Law, Chief Compliance Officer, Apple. The second panel consisted of the following witnesses (1) Timothy Wu, Julius Silver Professor of Law, Science and Technology, Columbia Law School; (2) Fiona Scott Morton, Theodore Nierenberg Professor of Economics, Yale University School of Management; (3) Stacy Mitchell, Co-Director, Institute for Local Self-Reliance; (4) Maureen Ohlhausen, Partner, Baker Botts; (5) Morgan Reed, Executive Director, ACR The App Association; (6) Carl Szabo, Vice President and General Counsel, NetChoice.

- *Online Platforms and Market Power, Part 3: The Role of Data and Privacy in Competition (Serial No. 116–59)*

On October 18, 2019 the Subcommittee held a hearing to examine the role data collection plays in whether and how online platforms acquire and maintain power.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) The Honorable Rohit Chopra, Commissioner, Federal Trade Commission; (2) Jason Furman, Professor of the Practice of Economic Policy, Harvard Kennedy School; (3) Tommaso Valletti, Professor of Economics and Head of the Department of Economics and Public Policy, Impe-

⁴⁵House Committee on the Judiciary, “Judiciary Antitrust Subcommittee Investigation Reveals Digital Economy Highly Concentrated, Impacted By Monopoly Power” (Oct. 6, 2020); See generally *Digital Markets Investigation Report* (2020).

rial College Business School; (4) Roslyn Layton, Visiting Scholar, American Enterprise Institute.

- *Online Platforms and Market Power, Part 4: Perspectives of the Antitrust Agencies (Serial No. 116–63)*

On November 13, 2019 the Subcommittee held a hearing to support its ongoing duty to conduct oversight of the federal antitrust enforcement agencies and their policy and law enforcement efforts to address competition problems in digital markets.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) The Honorable Makan Delrahim, Assistant Attorney General for the Antitrust Division, Department of Justice; (2) The Honorable Joseph J. Simons, Chairman, Federal Trade Commission.

- *Online Platforms and Market Power, Part 5: Competitors in the Digital Economy (Serial No. 116–70)*

On January 17, 2020 the Subcommittee held a field hearing in Boulder, CO to hear from companies with concerns relating to competition in the digital marketplace.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Patrick Spence, Chief Executive Officer, Sonos; (2) David Barnett, Chief Executive Officer, PopSockets; (3) Kirsten Daru, General Counsel, Tile; (4) David Heinemeier Hansson, Founder and Chief Technology Officer, Basecamp.

- *Online Platforms and Market Power, Part 6: Examining the Dominance of Amazon, Apple, Google, and Facebook (Serial No. 116–94)*

On July 29, 2020 the Subcommittee held a hearing to provide Members of the Subcommittee with an opportunity to hear directly from the decisionmakers of the four major online platforms.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Jeff Bezos, Chief Executive Officer, Amazon; (2) Sundar Pichai, Chief Executive Officer, Alphabet; (3) Tim Cook, Chief Executive Officer, Apple; (4) Mark Zuckerberg, Chief Executive Officer, Facebook.

- *Proposals to Strengthen the Antitrust Laws and Restore Competition Online*

On October 1, 2020 the Subcommittee held a hearing to provide Members of the Subcommittee with an opportunity to hear about potential antitrust reforms and legislative recommendations from leading antitrust experts and advocates as a capstone to the Subcommittee’s investigation.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) William Baer, Visiting Fellow—Governance, Brookings Institution; (2) Zephyr Teachout, Associate Professor of Law, Fordham University School of Law; (3) Michael Kades; Director of Markets and Competition Policy, Washington Center for Equitable Growth; (4) Sabeel Rahman, President, Demos; (5) Christopher Yoo, John H. Chestnut Professor of Law, Communication, and Information Science, University of Pennsylvania Carey Law School; (6) Rachel Bovard, Senior Director of Policy, Conservative Partnership Institute; (7) Tad Lipsky, Antonin Scalia Law School, George Mason

University; (8) Sally Hubbard, Director of Enforcement Strategy, Open Markets Institute

- *Diagnosing the Problem: Exploring the Effects of Consolidation and Anticompetitive Conduct in Health Care Markets*

On March 7, 2019, the Subcommittee held a hearing providing Members with an opportunity to examine the state of competition in health care markets, including issues related to pharmaceutical drug price competition and consolidation in the markets for hospital care and health insurance.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Fiona Scott Morton, Theodore Nierenberg Professor of Economics, Yale School of Management; (2) Martin Gaynor, E.J. Barone Professor of Economics and Health Policy, Carnegie Mellon University; (3) Michael Kades, Director of Markets and Competition Policy, Washington Center for Equitable Growth; (4) Craig Garthwaite, Herman R. Smith Research Professor in Hospital and Health Services Management, Northwestern University Kellogg School of Management.

- *The State of Competition in the Wireless Market: Examining the Impact of the Proposed Merger of T-Mobile and Sprint on Consumers, Workers, and the Internet*

On March 12, 2019 the Subcommittee held a hearing to examine the potential impact of the proposed merger of T-Mobile and Sprint and the state of competition in the wireless marketplace.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) John Legere, CEO, T-Mobile; (2) Marcelo Claure, CEO, Sprint; (3) Chris Shelton, President, Communications Workers of America; (4) Gigi Sohn, Distinguished Fellow, Georgetown University Law Center; (5) Carmen Scurato, Senior Policy Counsel, Free Press; (6) Carri Bennet, General Counsel, Rural Wireless Association; (7) Scott Wallsten, Senior Policy Scholar, Georgetown University McDonough School of Business; (8) Christopher S. Yoo, John H. Chestnut Professor of Law, University of Pennsylvania Law School.

- *Justice Denied: Forced Arbitration and the Erosion of our Legal System*

On May 16, 2019 the Subcommittee held a hearing to examine the impact of mandatory, pre-dispute (“forced”) arbitration on the rights of American consumers and workers and, more broadly, on the justice system. The following bills were discussed: H.R. 1423, the “Forced Arbitration Injustice Repeal Act;” H.R. 1443, the “Ending Forced Arbitration of Sexual Harassment Act of 2019;” H.R. 2749, the “Restoring Justice for Workers Act;” and H.R. 2750 “Justice for Servicemembers Act of 2019.”

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Myriam Gilles, Paul R. Verkuil Chair of Public Law, Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law; (2) Deepak Gupta, Founding Principal, Gupta Wessler PLLC; (3) Kevin Ziober, Lieutenant, U.S. Navy Reserves; (4) Gretchen Carlson, journalist, author, filmmaker and advocate; (5) Phil Goldberg, Managing Partner, Shook, Hardy, & Bacon L.L.P.; (6) Andrew Pincus, Partner, Mayer Brown L.L.P.

- *Oversight of Bankruptcy Law and Legislative Proposals*

On June 25, 2019 the Subcommittee held a hearing to consider various bipartisan legislative proposals pertaining to bankruptcy law. The following bills were discussed: H.R. 2336, the “Family Farmer Relief Act of 2019;” H.R. 3311, the “Small Business Reorganization Act of 2019;” H.R. 3304, the “National Guard and Reservists Debt Relief Extension Act of 2019;” H.R. 2938, the “Honoring American Veterans in Extreme Need Act of 2019 Act;” H.R. 683, the “Puerto Rico Recovery Accuracy in Disclosures Act of 2019;” and H.R. 2648, the “Student Borrower Bankruptcy Relief Act of 2019.”

The hearing had two panels. The first panel consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Senator Dick Durbin, Illinois; (2) Representative Nydia Velázquez, New York; (3) Representative Antonio Delgado, New York; (4) Representative Ben Cline, Virginia. The second panel consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Hollister K. Petraeus, former Assistant Director, Consumer Financial protection Bureau’s Office of Servicemember Affairs; (2) Edward C. Boltz, on behalf of National Association of Consumer Bankruptcy Attorneys; (3) John Rao, on behalf of National Consumer Law Center; (4) Dalié Jiménez, The University of California, Irvine School of Law; (5) Robert Keach, on behalf of the American Bankruptcy Institute; (6) Judge Thomas Small, on behalf of the National Bankruptcy Conference.

- *Antitrust and Economic Opportunity: Competition in Labor Markets*

On October 29, 2019 the Subcommittee held a hearing to examine the state of competition in labor markets and, in particular, the effects of consolidation and anti-competitive conduct on the economic opportunity of working Americans. H.R. 3920, the “End Employer Collusion Act,” was discussed.

The hearing had two panels. The first panel consisted of the following witnesses: (1) The Honorable Noah Phillips, Commissioner, Federal Trade Commission; (2) Doha G. Mekki, Counsel to the Assistant Attorney General of the Antitrust Division, Department of Justice; (3) Rahul Rao, Assistant Attorney General, Washington Antitrust Division. The second panel consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Ioana E. Marinescu, Assistant Professor, University of Pennsylvania; (2) Sanjukta Paul, Assistant Professor of Law, Wayne State University; (3) Evan Starr, Assistant Professor of Management & Organization, University of Maryland; (4) Kate Bahn, Director of Labor Market Policy and Economist, Washington Center for Equitable Growth; (5) Robert Topel, Isidore & Gladys Brown Distinguished Service Professor of Economics, Booth School of Business, University of Chicago; (6) Rick Masters, Special Counsel for Interstate Compacts, National Center for Interstate Compacts at the Council of State Governments.

Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties

SUBCOMMITTEE ON THE CONSTITUTION, CIVIL RIGHTS, AND CIVIL LIBERTIES⁴⁶

STEVE COHEN, Tennessee, *Chair*
JAMIE RASKIN, Maryland, *Vice Chair*

ERIC SWALWELL, California	MIKE JOHNSON, Louisiana, <i>Ranking Member</i>
MARY GAY SCANLON, Pennsylvania	LOUIE GOHMERT, Texas
MADELEINE DEAN, Pennsylvania	DOUG COLLINS, Georgia
SYLVIA R. GARCIA, Texas	GUY RESCIENTHALER, Pennsylvania
VERONICA ESCOBAR, Texas	BEN CLINE, Virginia
SHEILA JACKSON LEE, Texas	KELLY ARMSTRONG, North Dakota

JAMES PARK, *Chief Counsel*
BETSY FERGUSON, *Minority Counsel*

JURISDICTION

The Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties shall have jurisdiction over the following subject matters: Constitutional amendments, constitutional rights, Federal civil rights, claims against the United States, non-immigration private claims bills, ethics in government, tort liability, including medical malpractice and product liability, legal reform generally, other appropriate matters as referred by the Chairman, and relevant oversight.

LEGISLATIVE ACTIVITIES

- *The Need to Reauthorize the September 11th Victim Compensation Fund*

On June 11, 2019, the Subcommittee held a legislative hearing on H.R. 1327, which funds through FY2092 the September 11th Victim Compensation Fund of 2001.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Rupa Bhattacharyya, Special Master of the September 11th Victim Compensation Fund; (2) Dr. Jacqueline Moline, a 9/11 health care provider; (3) Lila Nordstrom, a 9/11 World Trade Center (WTC) survivor; (4) Anesta Maria St. Rose Henry, widow of a construction worker who was a WTC responder; (5) Tom Mohnal, a retired Federal Bureau of Investigation (“FBI”) Supervisory Agent and Pentagon responder; (6) Luis Alvarez, a retired New York Police Department detective and WTC responder; (7) Michael O’Connell, a retired Fire Department of New York lieutenant and WTC responder; (8) Jon Stewart, advocate for 9/11 responders and survivors.

⁴⁶ Member roster reflects subcommittee membership at the end of the 116th Congress. Rep. Jim Jordan was previously on the Subcommittee from January 2019-March 2020.

- *Equal Rights Amendment*

On April 30, 2019, the Subcommittee held a legislative hearing on H. J. Res. 79, a bill to eliminate the deadline for the ratification of the Equal Rights Amendment, which prohibits discrimination based on sex. The amendment was proposed to the states in House Joint Resolution 208 of the 92nd Congress, as agreed to in the Senate on March 22, 1972. The amendment shall be part of the Constitution whenever ratified by the legislatures of three-fourths of the states.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Kathleen Sullivan, Partner, Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan; (2) Senator Pat Spearman, Co-Majority Whip, Nevada Senate; (3) Patricia Arquette, Actor and Advocate; (4) Elizabeth Price Foley, Professor, Florida International University College of Law.

- *Legislative Proposals to Strengthen the Voting Rights Act*

On October 17, 2019, the Subcommittee held a hearing to examine proposals to reauthorize the Voting Rights Act and update the preclearance coverage formula.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Morgan Kousser, Professor of History and Social Science, California Institute of Technology; (2) Thomas Saenz, President and General Counsel, Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund (MALDEF); (3) John C. Yang, President and Executive Director, Asian Americans Advancing Justice (AAJC); (4) Arturo Vargas, Chief Executive Officer, National Association of Latino Elected and Appointed Officials (NALEO) Educational Fund; (5) Bryan Sells, civil rights lawyer specializing in voting rights, election law, and redistricting; and (6) Janai Nelson, Associate Director-Counsel, NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund; (7) Bryan Tyson, Partner, Taylor English Duma LLP; (8) John Eastman, Henry Salvatori Professor of Law and Community Service, Chapman University Dale E. Fowler School of Law.

OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES

- *The National Emergencies Act of 1976 (Serial No. 116–5)*

On February 28, 2019, the Subcommittee held a hearing to consider the legal underpinnings of President Donald Trump’s “Proclamation on Declaring a National Emergency Concerning the Southern Border of the United States,” which he issued on February 15, 2019.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Liza Goitein, Co-Director, Liberty and National Security Program, Brennan Center for Justice; (2) Nayda Alvarez, Landowner and Resident of La Rosita, Texas; (3) Stuart Gerson, former Acting Attorney General and Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Division, United States Department of Justice; (4) Jonathan Turley, J.B. and Maurice C. Shapiro Professor of Public Interest Law, George Washington University Law School.

- *History and Enforcement of the Voting Rights Act of 1965 (Serial No. 116–9)*

On March 12, 2019, the Subcommittee held a hearing to provide an opportunity for the Subcommittee to explore the history and impact of the VRA and the need to restore it to its full vitality.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Catherine E. Lhamon, Chair, United States Commission on Civil Rights; (2) Thomas Saenz, President and General Counsel, Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund; (3) Peyton McCrary, Former Historian, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice; (4) L. Paige Whittaker, Legislative Attorney, American Law Division, Congressional Research Service.

- *Examining the Constitutional Role of the Pardon Power*

On March 27, 2019, the Subcommittee held a hearing to examine the potential constitutional limits on the president’s power to grant clemency.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Caroline Fredrickson, President, American Constitution Society for Law and Policy; (2) Justin Florence, Legal Director, Protect Democracy; and (3) Andrew Kent, Professor of Law, Fordham University School of Law; (4) James Pfiffner, University Professor in the Schar School of Policy and Government, George Mason University.

- *Enforcement of the Voting Rights Act in the State of Texas (Serial No. 116–18)*

On May 3, 2019, the Subcommittee held a hearing to provide an opportunity for the Committee to explore the history of enforcement of the VRA in the State of Texas and the need for restoration of the full vitality of the Voting Rights Act in light of recent rulings by the Supreme Court.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Ernest Herrera, Esq., Staff Attorney, MALDEF; (2) Jose Garza, Esq., Garza, Golando Moran, PLLC; (3) Gary Bledsoe, President, NAACP Texas State Conference; (4) Mimi Marziani, President, Texas Civil Rights Project; (5) Jerry Vattamala, Director-Democracy Program, AALDEF; (6) Jayla Allen, Chair, Rock the Vote—Prairie View A&M University; (7) Michael Morley, Assistant Professor, Florida State University College of Law.

- *Threats to Reproductive Rights in America*

On June 4, 2019, the Subcommittee held a hearing to raise public awareness about women’s reproductive rights issues across the nation, including recent developments in state legislatures and in federal courts.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Melissa Murray, Professor, New York University School of Law; (2) Busy Philipps, Actor and Activist; (3) Dr. Yashica Robinson, OB/GYN and Alabama-based abortion care provider; (4) Jennifer Dalven, Director, ACLU Reproductive Freedom Project; (5) Dr. Owen Phillips, OB/GYN and Tennessee-based abortion care provider; (6) HK Gray, Activist, Youth Testify; (7) Christina Bennett, Member, National Black Pro-Life Coalition; Communications Director, Family Institute of Connecticut; (8) Melissa Ohden, Abortion survivor.

- *Continuing Challenges to the Voting Rights Act Since Shelby County v. Holder (Serial No. 116–31)*

On June 25, 2019, the Subcommittee held a hearing to give Members the opportunity to explore the continuing legal implications of the Supreme Court’s 2013 decision in *Shelby County v. Holder* and the evolving legal challenges to the Voting Rights Act of 1965 (“VRA”) in light of the ruling.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) the Honorable Stacey Abrams, founder of Fair Fight Action and former Georgia gubernatorial candidate; (2) Kristen Clarke, President and Executive Director, National Lawyers’ Committee for Civil Rights Under Law; and (3) Leah Aden, Deputy Director of Litigation, NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund; (4) the Honorable Kyle Hawkins, Solicitor General of the State of Texas.

- *Discriminatory Barriers to Voting*

On September 5, 2019, the Subcommittee held a field hearing in Memphis, TN to provide an opportunity for the Subcommittee to explore the history of first, second, and third generation discriminatory barriers to voting and to consider the continuing need for active federal enforcement of voting rights.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Steven Mulroy, Professor of Law, University of Memphis Cecil C. Humphreys School of Law; (2) Kareem Crayton, Executive Director, Southern Coalition for Social Justice; and (3) Tequila Johnson, Co-Founder and Vice President, The Equity Alliance; (4) Jon Greenbaum, Lawyers’ Committee for Civil Rights Under Law; (5) Helen Butler, Georgia Coalition for the Peoples’ Agenda; (6) James Tucker, Native American Rights Fund; (7) James Blumstein, University Professor of Constitutional Law, Vanderbilt Law School.

- *Evidence of Current and Ongoing Voting Discrimination*

On September 10, 2019, the Subcommittee held a hearing to provide an additional opportunity for the Subcommittee to learn about continued racial discrimination in voting by states and their political subdivisions, particularly by those jurisdictions that were formerly required to preclear changes to their voting practices under Section 5 of the VRA.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Derrick Johnson, President and CEO of the NAACP; (2) Vanita Gupta, President and CEO of the Leadership Conference for Civil and Human Rights; (3) Dale Ho, Director of the Voting Rights Project, American Civil Liberties Union; (4) Myrna Perez, Director, Voting Rights and Elections Program, Brennan Center for Justice, New York University School of Law; and (5) Natalie Landreth, Senior Staff Attorney, Native American Rights Fund; (6) J. Christian Adams, President and General Counsel, Public Interest Legal Foundation.

- *Congressional Authority to Protect Voting Rights After Shelby County v. Holder*

On September 24, 2019, the Subcommittee held a hearing to assess the scope of Congress’s authority to enact a new coverage for-

mula, including any criteria or requirements that may be necessary in the wake of Shelby County.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Justin Levitt, Professor of Law, Loyola Law School; (2) Debo Adegbile, Partner and Co-Chair of Anti-Discrimination Practice, WilmerHale; (3) Franita Tolson, Professor of Law, University of Southern California Gould School of Law; (4) Joseph D. Rich, Former Chief of the Voting Section, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice; (5) Kira Romero-Craft, Latino Justice, Managing Attorney for Southeast Office; (6) Michael T. Morley, Assistant Professor, Florida State University College of Law.

- *Citizens United at 10: The Consequences for Democracy and Potential Responses by Congress*

On February 6, 2020, the Subcommittee held a hearing to examine how the decision in *Citizens United v. FEC* affected outside spending on elections over the past decade, and what effects this spending has had on the democratic process.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Rep. Ted Deutch (D-FL); (2) Rep. Pramila Jayapal (D-WA); (3) Commissioner Ellen Weintraub, Federal Election Commission; (4) Ciara Torres-Spelliscy, Professor of Law, Stetson University College of Law; (5) Robert Weissman, President, Public Citizen; (6) Brad Smith, Professor of Law, Capital University Law School.

- *Presidential Clemency and Opportunities for Reform*

On March 5, 2020, the Subcommittee held a hearing to examine the purported deficiencies in the presidential clemency process, and to explore what role, if any, Congress may play in enacting reforms given the president's broad authority to issue pardons and commutations under the Constitution.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Kemba Smith Pradia, Founder, Kemba Smith Foundation; (2) Cynthia W. Roseberry, Deputy Director, National Policy Advocacy Department, America Civil Liberties Union; (3) Mark Osler, Professor and Robert and Marion Short Distinguished Chair in Law, University of St. Thomas School of Law; (4) Rachel E. Barkow, Vice Dean and Segal Family Professor of Regulatory Law and Policy and Faculty Director, Center on the Administration of Criminal Law, New York University School of Law.

- *Protecting the Right to Vote During the COVID-19 Pandemic*

On June 3, 2020, the Subcommittee held a hearing to educate the public about the challenges to voting rights posed by the COVID-19 pandemic and about the measures required to meet them.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Stacey Abrams, Founder, Fair Fight; (2) Barbara Arnwine, President and Founder, Transformative Justice Coalition; (3) Jocelyn Benson, Michigan Secretary of State; (4) Michelle Bishop, Disability Advocacy Specialist for Voting Rights, National Disability Rights Network; (5) Dale Ho, Director, Voting Rights Project, American Civil Liberties Union; (6) Myrna Pérez, Director, Voting Rights and Elections Program, Brennan Center for Justice; (7) Tom Fitton, Presi-

dent, Judicial Watch; (8) J. Christian Adams, President and General Counsel, Public Interest Legal Foundation.

- *Oversight of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice*

On September 24, 2020, the Subcommittee held a hearing to give Members of the Subcommittee the opportunity to review the work of the Department of Justice's (DOJ's) Civil Rights Division (CRT).

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) The Honorable Eric S. Dreiband, Assistant Attorney General Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice; (2) Catherine E. Lhamon, Chair, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights; (3) Sherrilyn Ifill, President and Director-Counsel, NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund; (4) Thomas A. Saenz, President and General Counsel, Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund; (5) Hiram Sasser, Executive General Counsel, First Liberty.

Subcommittee on Courts, Intellectual Property, and the Internet

SUBCOMMITTEE ON COURTS, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, AND THE INTERNET ⁴⁷

HENRY C. "HANK" JOHNSON, Jr., Georgia, *Chair*
LOU CORREA, California, *Vice-Chair*

THEODORE E. DEUTCH, Florida	MARTHA ROBY, Arizona, <i>Ranking Member</i>
HAKEEM JEFFRIES, New York	STEVE CHABOT, Ohio
TED LIEU, California	DOUG COLLINS, Georgia
GREG STANTON, Arizona	MATT GAETZ, Florida
ZOE LOFGREN, California	MIKE JOHNSON, Louisiana
STEVE COHEN, Tennessee	ANDY BIGGS, Arizona
KAREN BASS, California	GUY RESCENTIALER, Pennsylvania
ERIC SWALWELL, California	BEN CLINE, Virginia

JAMIE SIMPSON, *Chief Counsel*
BETSY FERGUSON, *Minority Counsel*

JURISDICTION

The Subcommittee on Courts, Intellectual Property, and the Internet shall have jurisdiction over the following subject matters: Administration of U.S. Courts, Federal Rules of Evidence, Civil and Appellate Procedure, judicial ethics, patent, trademark law, information technology, and other appropriate matters as referred by the Chairman, and relevant oversight.

LEGISLATIVE ACTIVITIES

- *The Federal Judiciary in the 21st Century: Ideas for Promoting Ethics, Accountability, and Transparency*

On June 21, 2019, the Subcommittee held a hearing on whether there should be legislation requiring the Supreme Court to adopt a code of ethics for itself, whether there should be greater transparency of the financial disclosures of federal judges, and whether changes to the recusal process would be beneficial.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Professor Amanda Frost, American University Washington College of Law; (2) Prof. Charles Gardner Geyh, Indiana University Maurer School of Law; (3) Gabe Roth, Executive Director, Fix the Courts; and (4) Russell Wheeler, Visiting Fellow, The Brookings Institute.

⁴⁷ Member roster reflects subcommittee membership at the end of the 116th Congress. Rep. Jim Jordan previously served on the Subcommittee from January 2019–March 2020. Rep. John Ratcliffe previously served on the Subcommittee from January 2019–May 2020. Rep. Cedric Richmond previously served on the Subcommittee from January 2019–September 2020. Rep. Tom Tiffany joined the Subcommittee in July 2020.

- *Counterfeits and Cluttering: Emerging Threats to the Integrity of the Trademark System and the Impact on American Consumers and Businesses*

On July 18, 2019, the Subcommittee held a hearing to allow Members of the Subcommittee to develop a record on a series of trademark-related issues: the “cluttering” of the trademark register by trademarks that are not being used for all of the goods or services identified in the registrations; the influx of fraudulent applications at the USPTO; the rising problem of third-party sellers’ use of online marketplaces to misappropriate trademark owners’ rights and sell counterfeit goods; and the concerns raised by the lack of a presumption of irreparable harm in trademark infringement cases. The hearing explored what role Congress could play in helping to address these issues.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Jeanne Fromer, Professor of Law and Co-Director of the Engelberg Center on Innovation Law & Policy, New York University; (2) Peter M. Brody, Partner, Ropes & Gray, LLP; (3) Joseph Cammisio, President, Automotive Anti-Counterfeiting Council; (4) Robert Barchiesi, President, International Anti-Counterfeiting Coalition; and (5) Rebecca Mond, Vice President, Federal Government Affairs, The Toy Association.

- *The Federal Judiciary in the 21st Century: Ensuring the Public’s Right of Access to the Courts*

On September 25, 2019, the Subcommittee held a legislative hearing on H.R. 116–4, which directs the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, in coordination with the General Services Administration, to consolidate the Case Management/Electronic Case Files system into one system.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: Panel One, (1) Judge Audrey G. Fleissig of the Eastern District of Missouri; and (2) Judge Richard W. Story of the Northern District of Georgia. Panel Two, (1) Lisa Girion, Reporter, Thompson Reuters Corporation, (2) Daniel R. Levine, Legal Correspondent, Thompson Reuters Corporation, (3) Jodi M. Schebel, Co-Managing Partner, Bowman and Brooke, LLP, (4) Seamus Hughes, Deputy Director of the Program on Extremism, George Washington University; (5) Sunny Hostin, Co-Host, The View, ABC Television Studios, and (6) Jeffrey Toobin, Staff Writer, The New Yorker.

- *The Patent Trial and Appeal Board and the Appointments Clause: Implications of Recent Court Decisions*

On November 19, 2019, the Subcommittee held a hearing to discuss the Federal Circuit decision, *Arthrex, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.*, that found administrative patent judges (APJs) comprising the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB) at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) were appointed in violation of the Appointments Clause and potential legislative solutions.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) John F. Duffy, Samuel H. McCoy II Professor of Law, University of Virginia School of Law; (2) Robert A. Armitage, Consultant, IP Strategy & Policy; (3) John M. Whealan, Intellectual Property Advisory Board Associate Dean for Intellectual Property Law Studies, George

Washington Law School; and (4) Arti K. Rai, Elvin R. Latty Professor of Law and Faculty Director, The Center for Innovation Policy, Duke University School of Law.

OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES

- *Lost Einsteins: Lack of Diversity in Patent Inventorship and the Impact on America's Innovation Economy (Serial No. 116–11)*

On March 27, 2019 the Subcommittee held a hearing to bring attention to the impact of America's innovation economy and economic future and to explore ideas and best practices to address the problem.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) The Honorable Michelle K. Lee, Former Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; (2) Professor Lisa D. Cook, Association Professor of Economics and International Relations, Director of American Economic Association Summer Training Program, Department of Economics, Michigan State University; (3) Professor Ayanna Howard, Professor and Chair, School of Interactive Computing, Georgia Institute of Technology; and (4) Ms. Susie Armstrong, Senior Vice President, Engineering, Qualcomm, Inc.

- *Oversight of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office*

On May 9, 2019, the Subcommittee held a hearing to assess the current state of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, and the patent and trademark systems.

The hearing consisted of the following witness: (1) The Honorable Andrei Iancu, Undersecretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

- *Examining the Use of "Snap" Removals to Circumvent the Forum Defendant Rule*

On November 14, 2019, the Subcommittee held a hearing to examine a new tactic defendants use to remove a case from state to federal court: so-called "snap" removals, which take advantage of an apparent statutory loophole in 28 USC 1446.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Arthur D. Hellman, Professor of Law Emeritus, University of Pittsburgh School of Law; (2) James E. Pfander, Owen L. Coon Professor of Law, Northwestern University Pritzker School of Law; (3) Ellen Relkin, Defective Drugs and Devices Practice Group Co-Chair, Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.; (4) Kasper Stoffelmayr, Partner, Barlit Beck LLP.

- *Protecting Federal Judiciary Employees from Sexual Harassment, Discrimination, and Other Workplace Misconduct*

On February 13, 2020, the Subcommittee held a hearing to provide oversight over the Judiciary's efforts to ensure meaningful workplace protections, and to consider legislative proposals that would extend the same federal statutory protections against harassment, discrimination, and retaliations that apply to Congressional and Executive Branch employees.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Olivia Warren, Former Law Clerk; (2) Deeva V. Shah, Founder, Law Clerks for Workplace Accountability, Kecker, Van Nest & Peters LLP; (3) Dahlia Lithwick, Senior Legal Correspondent, Slate; and (4) Chai R. Feldblum, Partner, Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP.

- *Federal Courts During the Covid-19 Pandemic: Best Practices, Opportunities for Innovation and Lessons for the Future*

On June 25, 2020, the Subcommittee held a hearing to examine what state and federal courts have done to operate safely, to address backlogs in civil litigation of public access to court proceedings, and to consider what procedures, practices, and technological efforts the courts should employ during the COVID-19 pandemic.

The hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) The Honorable David G. Campbell, Senior United States Judge for the District of Arizona and Chair, Committee of Rules of Practices and Procedure, Judicial Conference of the United States; (2) The Honorable Bridget M. McCormack, Chief Justice, Michigan Supreme Court; (3) The Honorable Jeremy Fogel, Executive Director, Berkeley Judicial Institute, Berkeley School of Law; and (4) Melissa Wasser, Policy Analyst, Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press.

- *Maintaining Judicial Independence and the Rule of Law: Examining the Causes and Consequences of Court Capture*

On September 22, 2020, the Subcommittee held a hearing to examine the potential influence of outside money, often from anonymous sources, on the judicial selection, nomination, and decision-making processes of federal judges.

The hearing consisted of two panels. Panel one consisted of the following witness: (1) The Honorable Sheldon Whitehouse (D-RI), U.S. Senator. The second panel consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Amanda Hollis-Brusky, Associate Professor of Politics, Pomona College; (2) Nancy Gerner, Retired U.S. District Court Judge and Senior Lecturer on Law, Harvard Law School; (3) Tom Ginsburg, Leo Spitz Professor of International Law and Professor of Political Science, University of Chicago; (4) Ilya Shapiro, Director, Robert A. Levy Center for Constitutional Studies, Cato Institute.

Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security

SUBCOMMITTEE ON CRIME, TERRORISM, AND HOMELAND SECURITY⁴⁸

KAREN BASS, California, *Chair*
VAL DEMINGS, Florida, *Vice-Chair*
SHEILA JACKSON LEE, Texas
LUCY McBATH, Georgia
TED DEUTCH, Florida
HAKEEM JEFFRIES, New York
DAVID N. CICILLINE, Rhode Island
TED LIEU, California
MADELEINE DEAN, Pennsylvania
DEBBIE MUCARSEL-POWELL, Florida
STEVE COHEN, Tennessee
F. JAMES SENSENBRENNER, JR.,
Wisconsin
STEVE CHABOT, Ohio
LOUIS GOHMERT, Texas
TOM McCLINTOCK, California
DEBBIE LESKO, Arizona
GUY RESCENTIALER, Pennsylvania
BEN CLINE, Virginia
W. GREGORY STEUBE, Florida
TOM TIFFANY, Wisconsin
JOE GRAUPENSPERGER, *Chief Counsel*
JASON CERVENAK, *Minority Counsel*

JURISDICTION

The Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, and Homeland Security shall have jurisdiction over the following subject matters: Federal Criminal Code, drug enforcement, sentencing, parole and pardons, internal and homeland security, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, prisons, criminal law enforcement, and other appropriate matters as referred by the Chair, and relevant oversight.

LEGISLATIVE ACTIVITIES

- *Reauthorization of the Violence Against Women Act*

On March 7, 2019 the Subcommittee held a legislative hearing on H.R. 1585, which modifies and reauthorizes through FY2024 programs and activities under the Violence Against Women Act that seek to prevent and respond to domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence, and stalking.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Ramona A. Gonzalez, Judge, State of Wisconsin Circuit Court; (2) Sarah Deer, Professor of Women, Gender, and Sexuality Studies, University of Kansas, School of Public Affairs and Administration; (3) Julia Beck, Former Law and Policy Co-Chair, Baltimore City's LGBTQ Commission; (4) Roberta Valente, Policy Consultant, National Coalition Against Domestic Violence.

⁴⁸ Member roster reflects subcommittee membership at the end of the 116th Congress. Rep. John Ratcliffe was previously Ranking Member of the Subcommittee from January 2019–May 2020. Rep. Cedric Richmond previously served on the Subcommittee from January 2019–September 2020. Rep. Tom Tiffany joined the Subcommittee in July 2020.

OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES

- *Marijuana Laws in America: Racial Justice and the Need for Reform*

On Wednesday, July 10, 2019 the Subcommittee held a hearing to provide Members with the opportunity to consider issues related to the need for reform in relation to marijuana laws in America.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) G. Malik Burnett, COO, Tribe Companies, LLC; (2) Marilyn Mosby, State's Attorney for Baltimore City; (3) David L. Nathan, Board President, Doctors for Cannabis Regulation; (4) Neal Levine, CEO, Cannabis Trade Federation.

- *California Criminal Justice Reform: Potential Lessons for the Nation*

On July 13, 2019 the Subcommittee held a field hearing in Los Angeles, CA to explore how California's prison and jail overcrowding problem came to be, and how over-incarceration has and continues to impact the state, and specifically communities of color.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Michael Romano, Lecturer in Law, Director, Three Strikes Project, Justice Advocacy Project; (2) Taina Vargas-Edmond, Executive Director, Initiate Justice; (3) Charis Kubrin, Professor, Department of Criminology, Law, and Society, University of California, Irvine; (4) Susan Burton, Founder, A New Way of Life Reentry Project; (5) Stanley Bailey; (6) John Harriel, President, Los Angeles Association of Deputy District Attorneys.

- *Women and Girls in the Criminal Justice System*

On Tuesday, July 16, 2019 the Subcommittee held a hearing examining the increasing number of women entering the criminal justice system nationwide, and the issues faced by women at all stages of the criminal justice process, including pretrial, sentencing, and post-conviction in the federal and state systems.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Jesselyn McCurdy, Deputy Director, American Civil Liberties Union; (2) Cynthia Shank, HBO Documentary—The Sentence; (3) Piper Kerman, Author, *Orange is the New Black*; (4) Aleks Kajstura, Legal Director, Prison Policy Initiative; (5) Patrice Onwuka, Senior Policy Analyst, Independent Women's Forum.

- *Community Responses to Gun Violence in Our Cities*

On September 26, 2019, the Subcommittee held a hearing to examine the problem of gun violence in cities across the United States.

The hearing consisted of two panels. The first panel consisted of the following witnesses: (1) The Honorable Wm. Lacy Clay (MO-01), Member, U.S. House of Representatives; (2) The Honorable Robin Kelly (IL-02). The second panel consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Reggie Moore, Director, Injury and Violence Prevention, City of Milwaukee Health Department; (2) Amber Goodwin, Executive Director, Community Justice Action Fund & Community Justice Reform Coalition; (3) Eduardo Bocanegra, Senior Director,

Heartland Alliance, READI Chicago; (4) Maj Toure, Founder, Black Guns Matter.

- *Oversight Hearing on the Federal Bureau of Prisons and Implementation of the First Step Act*

On Thursday, October 17, 2019 the Subcommittee held a hearing to probe implementation of the First Step Act, and to conduct general oversight of the Bureau of Prisons.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Kathleen Hawk Sawyer, Director, Federal Bureau of Prison, Department of Justice; (2) Antoinette Bacon, Associate Deputy Attorney General, Department of Justice Office of the Deputy Attorney General; (3) David Patton, Executive Director, Federal Defenders of New York; (4) Melissa Hamilton, Reader of Law and Criminal Justice, University of Surrey School of Law; (5) John Walters, COO, Director, Hudson Institute Political Studies, Center for Substance Abuse Policy Research Hudson Institute; (6) Andrea James, Founder and Executive Director, National Council on Incarcerated and Formerly Incarcerated Women.

- *The Administration of Bail by State and Federal Courts: A Call for Reform*

On Thursday, November 14, 2019, the Subcommittee held a hearing to examine the evolving understanding of the role that bail plays in state and federal systems; review the role that risk assessment instruments play in some jurisdictions; discuss the evidentiary burdens the federal Bail Reform Act places on defense and prosecution; pretrial data collection; and explore paths for potential reform at both the state and federal levels.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Brandon Buskey, Deputy Director for Smart Justice Litigation, American Civil Liberties Union Criminal Law Reform Project; (2) Shelton McElroy, National Director of Strategic Partnerships, The Bail Project; (3) Alison Siegler, Director, Federal Criminal Justice Clinic, University of Chicago Law School; (4) Mary Smith, President, Ohio Professional Bail Agents Association; (5) Sakira Cook, Director, Justice Reform Program, The Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights.

- *Fentanyl Analogues: Perspectives on Classwide Scheduling*

On Tuesday, January 28, 2020 the Subcommittee held a hearing to set forth the perspectives of the Department of Justice, the Drug Enforcement Administration, and the Department of Health and Human Services regarding the expiration of the Temporary Scheduling Order on Fentanyl and the scheduling of analogues as a class.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Kevin L. Butler, Federal Public Defender, Northern District of Alabama; (2) Daniel Ciccarone, Professor of Family and Community Medicine, University of California, San Francisco; (3) Sandra D. Comer, Professor of Neurobiology, Columbia University Department of Psychiatry; (4) Brett P. Giroir, Assistant Secretary for Health, Department of Health and Human Services; (5) Donald A. Holman; (6)

Amanda Liskamm, Director of Opioid Enforcement and Prevention Efforts, Department of Justice.

- *Returning Citizens: Challenges and Opportunities for Reentry*

On Thursday, February 27, 2020 the Subcommittee held a hearing addressing the myriad of challenges formerly incarcerated people face when transitioning back into society, including difficulty accessing stable housing, mental health and drug treatment, and securing employment.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) John Harriel; (2) Conan Harris, Principal, Conan Harris and Associates; (3) Nancy La Vigne, Vice President, Justice Policy, Urban Institute; (4) Ronald J. Lampard, Senior Director, Criminal Justice Task Force and Civil Justice Task Force, American Legislative Exchange Council; (5) Vanessa Martin, Director, Reentry Services, Office of Diversion and Reentry, Los Angeles County Department of Health Services; (6) Jesse Wiese, National Director of Academy Advancement, Prison Fellowship.

- *Oversight of the Federal Bureau of Prisons and the U.S. Marshals Service*

On December 2, 2020 the Subcommittee held an oversight hearing on the Federal Bureau of Prisons and the U.S. Marshals Service.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Michael Carvajal, Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons, United States Department of Justice; (2) Donald W. Washington, Director, United States Marshals Service.

Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship

SUBCOMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION AND CITIZENSHIP

ZOE LOFGREN, California, *Chair*
PRAMILA JAYAPAL, Washington, *Vice-Chair*

J. LUIS CORREA, California	KEN BUCK, Colorado, <i>Ranking Member</i>
SYLVIA R. GARCIA, Texas	ANDY BIGGS, Arizona
JOE NEGUSE, Colorado	TOM McCLINTOCK, California
DEBBIE MUCARSEL-POWELL, Florida	DEBBIE LESKO, Arizona
VERONICA ESCOBAR, Texas	KELLY ARMSTRONG, North Dakota
SHEILA JACKSON LEE, Texas	W. GREGORY STEUBE, Florida
MARY GAY SCANLON, Pennsylvania	

DAVID SHAHOULIAN, *Chief Counsel*
ANDREA LOVING, *Minority Counsel*

JURISDICTION

The Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship shall have jurisdiction over the following subject matters: immigration and naturalization, border security, admission of refugees, treaties, conventions, and international agreements, Federal charters of incorporation, private immigration bills, non-border immigration enforcement, other appropriate matters as referred by the Chair, and relevant oversight.

LEGISLATIVE ACTIVITIES

- *Securing the Future of American Agriculture (Serial No. 116–14)*

On April 3, 2019, the Subcommittee held a hearing to examine the current state of the U.S. agricultural industry—highlighting the urgent need to address the growing labor crisis impacting America’s farms—and to discuss agricultural employers’ increasing dependence on foreign workers to meet their labor needs. The hearing also explored potential legislative solutions to the issues discussed.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Arturo Rodriguez, Former President, United Farm Workers; (2) Tom Nassif, President and CEO, Western Growers; (3) Areli Arteaga, Former Farmworker and Child of Farmworkers; and (4) Bill Brim, President, Lewis Taylor Farms, Inc.

OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES

- *Investigation Into the Trump Administration’s “Zero Tolerance” Policy*

On January 11, 2019, the Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship launched its investigation into the Trump Administration’s family separation or “zero tolerance” policy. The Committee sent requests to the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), Department of Justice (DOJ), and Department of Health and Human

Services (HHS) for documents, memoranda, and other materials regarding the development and implementation of the family separation policy from February 2017 to November 2018.⁴⁹

Additionally, the Subcommittee held multiple hearings on the Administration's family separation policy,⁵⁰ and in October 2020, the majority staff released a report entitled "The Trump Administration's Family Separation Policy: Trauma, Destruction, and Chaos" totaling over 500 pages and detailing the key findings of the investigation.⁵¹

- *Overcrowding and Prolonged Detention at CBP Facilities (Serial No. 116-36)*

On July 15, 2019, the Subcommittee held a hearing to discuss the Management Alerts issued by the DHS Office of Inspector General (OIG) in May and July 2019, relating to the detention of children, families, and adult individuals in CBP custody, and to examine overcrowding and other conditions, including prolonged detention, access to medical care, and other concerns that may not have appeared in the Management Alerts.

This hearing consisted of the following witness: Diana R. Shaw, Assistant Inspector General for Special Reviews and Evaluations, U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Office of Inspector General.

- *Policy Changes and Processing Delays at U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services*

On July 16, 2019, the Subcommittee held a hearing to discuss U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services processing delays that create significant challenges for individuals, families, U.S. businesses, and educational and research institutions. Members explored policy changes, resource allocation, and other factors that have led to lengthy processing delays and examined the effect that policy changes and processing delays have on impacted communities.

This hearing consisted of two panels of witnesses. The first panel consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Donald Neufeld, Associate Director, Service Center Operations Directorate, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services; (2) Michael Valverde, Deputy Associate Director, Field Operations Directorate, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services; and (3) Michael Hofer, Chief, Office of Performance and Quality, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services.

The second panel consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Marketa Lindt, President, American Immigration Lawyers Association; (2) Jill Marie Bussey, Director of Advocacy, Catholic Legal Im-

⁴⁹ Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary, to Alex M. Azar II, Secretary, U.S. Dep't of Health and Human Services (Jan. 11, 2019); Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary, to Kirstjen M. Nielsen, Secretary, U.S. Dep't of Homeland Security (Jan. 11, 2019); Letter from Jerrold Nadler, Chairman, H. Comm. On the Judiciary, to Matthew Whitaker, Acting Att'y Gen., U.S. Dep't of Justice (Jan 11, 2019). Additional details on the Committee's document requests and correspondence with the administration is detailed in the Committee's staff report entitled "The Trump Administration's Family Separation Policy: Trauma, Destruction, and Chaos" published in October 2020.

⁵⁰ See e.g. *Oversight of the Trump Administration's Family Separation Policy, Hearing before the Subcomm. On Immigration and Citizenship*, 116th Cong. (Feb. 26, 2019).

⁵¹ Staff of Subcomm. On Immigration and Citizenship of the Comm. On the Judiciary, 116th Cong., *The Trump Administration's Family Separation Policy: Trauma, Destruction, and Chaos* (October 2020).

migration Network, Inc.; (3) Eric Cohen, Executive Director, Immigrant Legal Resource Center; and (4) Jessica Vaughan, Director of Policy Studies, Center for Immigration Studies.

- *Oversight of the Trump Administration’s Border Policies and the Relationship Between Anti-Immigrant Rhetoric and Domestic Terrorism*

On September 6, 2019, the Subcommittee held a field hearing in El Paso, TX to discuss the real-life impacts of the Administration’s border policies, including: the Migrant Protection Protocols; the metering of asylum seekers; the treatment of children, families, and adults in U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) custody; the connection between anti-immigrant rhetoric and domestic terrorism; and the impact of such rhetoric on immigrant communities.

This hearing consisted of two panels of witnesses. The first panel consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Jo Anne Bernal, County Attorney, El Paso County Attorney’s Office; (2) Monica Muñoz Martinez, Stanley J. Bernstein Assistant Professor of American Studies and Ethnic Studies, Brown University; and (3) Alejandra Y. Castillo, Chief Executive Officer, YWCA USA.

The second panel consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Shaw Drake, Policy Counsel, American Civil Liberties Union of Texas, Border Rights Center; (2) Linda Y. Rivas, Executive Director, Las Americas Immigrant Advocacy Center; and (3) Fernando Garcia, Executive Director, Border Network for Human Rights.

- *Oversight of the Trump Administration’s Muslim Ban*

On September 24, 2019, the Committee on the Judiciary’s Subcommittee on Immigration and Citizenship and the Committee on Foreign Affairs’ Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations held a joint hearing to discuss the issuance and implementation of various iterations of the President’s “Muslim Ban” including Presidential Proclamation 9645, the final version of the ban upheld by the Supreme Court in *Trump v. Hawaii*.

The hearing consisted of two panels of witnesses. The first panel consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Edward Ramotowski, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Visa Services, Bureau of Consular Affairs, U.S. Department of State; (2) Elizabeth Neumann, Assistant Secretary for Threat Prevention and Security Policy, Office of Strategy, Policy, and Plans, U.S. Department of Homeland Security; and (3) Todd Hoffman, Executive Director, Admissibility and Passenger Programs, Office of Field Operations, U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

The second panel consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Abdollah “Iman” Dehzangi, Baltimore, MD; (2) Ismail Ahmed Hezam Alghazali, Brooklyn, NY; (3) Farhana Khera, President and Executive Director, Muslim Advocates; and (4) The Honorable Andrew R. Arthur, Resident Fellow in Law and Policy, Center for Immigration Studies.

- *The Expansion and Troubling Use of ICE Detention*

On September 26, 2019, the Subcommittee held a hearing to discuss a variety of issues related to U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) detention, including, but not limited to: deten-

tion conditions and the experiences of detained individuals including asylum seekers and other vulnerable populations; the role and influence of for-profit companies on increased detention capacity; access to legal counsel and appropriate medical care; the sufficiency of agency oversight, accountability, and transparency within the detention system; and the effectiveness of community-based alternatives to detention.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Selene Saavedra-Roman, College Station, TX; (2) Denis Davydov, San Jose, CA; (3) Blanche Ornella Engochan, Silver Spring, MD; (4) Heidi Altman, Director of Policy, National Immigrant Justice Center; (5) Jorge Barón, Executive Director, Northwest Immigrant Rights Project; (6) Melanie Schikore, Executive Director, Interfaith Community for Detained Immigrants; (7) Thomas D. Homan, Former Acting Director, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement; and (8) Charles A. Jenkins, Sheriff, Frederick County Sheriff's Office.

- *The Impact of Current Immigration Policies on Service Members and Veterans, and their Families*

On October 29, 2019, the Subcommittee held a hearing to discuss how existing restrictions in the Immigration and Nationality Act and recent immigration-related policy changes have impacted active duty servicemembers, veterans, and their family members.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Hector Barajas-Varela, Director and Founder, Deported Veterans Support House; (2) Jennie Pasquarella, Director of Immigrants' Rights of the ACLU of California, and Senior Staff Attorney for the ACLU of Southern California; (3) Margaret D. Stock, Immigration Attorney and Lieutenant Colonel (Retired), Military Police Corps, U.S. Army Reserve; and (4) The Honorable Mark Metcalf, Former Immigration Judge and Lieutenant Colonel, Army National Guard.

- *Courts in Crisis: The State of Judicial Independence and Due Process in U.S. Immigration Courts*

On January 29, 2020, the Subcommittee held a hearing to examine the state of the U.S. immigration court system, highlighting the need for reforms and discussing how lack of judicial independence in the immigration court system—as well as other policy changes—have impacted due process in removal proceedings.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) The Honorable A. Ashley Tabaddor, President, National Association of Immigration Judges; (2) Jeremy McKinney, Second Vice President, American Immigration Lawyers Association; (3) Judy Perry Martinez, President, American Bar Association; and (4) The Honorable Andrew R. Arthur, Resident Fellow in Law and Policy, Center for Immigration Studies.

- *The Current State of the U.S. Refugee Program*

On February 27, 2020, the Subcommittee held a hearing to discuss the historically low refugee admissions goals set by the Trump Administration; their impact on the bipartisan, humanitarian U.S. refugee admissions program; and the benefits of refugees to our nation.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Barbara Strack, Former Chief of the Refugee Affairs Division, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, and Current Advisory Member of Church World Service Immigration and Refugee Program; (2) The Most Reverend Mario Eduardo Dorsonville-Rodríguez, Auxiliary Bishop of Washington, Chair of the Committee on Migration, U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops; (3) Biar Atem, Nevada Delegate and Board of Directors Member, Refugee Congress; and (4) Lora Ries, Senior Research Fellow, Homeland Security, The Heritage Foundation.

- *Oversight of U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services*

On July 29, 2020, the Subcommittee held an oversight hearing on U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS), focusing on the agency's current budget crisis and case backlog. The Subcommittee examined issues including, but not limited to: the factors that contributed to USCIS's budget shortfall; political influence in agency decision-making that has deepened the budget crisis and prevented individuals from seeking or obtaining immigration benefits; and measures that are necessary to restore efficiency, transparency, and accountability at USCIS.

The second panel consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Sharvari Dalal-Dheini, Director of Government Relations, American Immigration Lawyers Association; (2) Michael Knowles, President, American Federation of Government Employees Local 1924; (3) Doug Rand, Senior Fellow, Federation of American Scientists; (4) Jessica Vaughan, Director of Policy Studies, Center for Immigration Studies.

- *Immigrants as Essential Workers During COVID-19*

On September 23, 2020, the Subcommittee held a hearing to explore the various roles that immigrants play in building a strong and sustainable economy. It also examined the added challenges that undocumented immigrants face during the COVID-19 pandemic, as well as the need to provide protections to these workers through legislative reforms.

This hearing consisted of the following witnesses: (1) Vicente Reyes, Farmworker from Bakersfield, CA; (2) Haeyoung Yoon, Senior Policy Director, National Domestic Workers Alliance; (3) Tom Jawetz, Vice President, Immigration Policy, Center for American Progress; and (4) Dimple Navratil, Racine, WI.