TO DESIGNATE A PEAK IN THE STATE OF NEVADA AS MAUDE FRAZIER MOUNTAIN

JULY 18, 2018.—Referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed

Mr. BISHOP of Utah, from the Committee on Natural Resources, submitted the following

R E P O R T

[To accompany H.R. 577]

[Including cost estimate of the Congressional Budget Office]

The Committee on Natural Resources, to whom was referred the bill (H.R. 577) to designate a peak in the State of Nevada as Maude Frazier Mountain, having considered the same, report favorably thereon without amendment and recommend that the bill do pass.

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The purpose of H.R. 577 is to designate a peak in the State of Nevada as Maude Frazier Mountain.

BACKGROUND AND NEED FOR LEGISLATION

Born in 1881, Maude Frazier dedicated her life to education and played a prominent role in the establishment of the University of Nevada, Las Vegas. She was also the first female Lieutenant Governor of Nevada and served in the Nevada Assembly from 1950–1962.¹

Frazier was a teacher, principal, and school superintendent, first in her home State of Wisconsin, and then in Nevada where she moved at age 25. An adventurous spirit, Frazier took a position in 1921 as Deputy Superintendent of 75 schools in four counties, an area that spanned 40,000 square miles and necessitated solo travel over rough and desolate backroads. She served as Superintendent

of Las Vegas Union School District for 20 years and saw the district through a significant period of growth and development.2

In 1950 Frazier was elected to the Nevada State Assembly and was instrumental in getting appropriations to establish a branch of the State university in Las Vegas, which would become the University of Nevada, Las Vegas. When it was determined that private funds would be required to help buy land for the future college, Frazier spearheaded a grassroots fundraising campaign. In 1956 she turned the first shovelful of dirt at the groundbreaking for construction of the college’s first building.3

To commemorate her contributions to the State, H.R. 577 renames the peak called Frenchman Mountain, just east of Las Vegas, Nevada, as “Maude Frazier Mountain”.

COMMITTEE ACTION

H.R. 577 was introduced on January 13, 2017, by Congresswoman Dina Titus (D–NV). The bill was referred to the Committee on Natural Resources, and within the Committee to the Subcommittee on Federal Lands. On July 11, 2018, the Natural Resources Committee met to consider the bill. The Subcommittee was discharged by unanimous consent. No amendments were offered and the bill was ordered favorably reported to the House of Representatives by unanimous consent.

COMMITTEE OVERSIGHT FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Regarding clause 2(b)(1) of rule X and clause 3(c)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee on Natural Resources’ oversight findings and recommendations are reflected in the body of this report.

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XIII AND CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET ACT

1. Cost of Legislation and the Congressional Budget Act. With respect to the requirements of clause 3(c)(2) and (3) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives and sections 308(a) and 402 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the Committee has received the following estimate for the bill from the Director of the Congressional Budget Office:

U.S. CONGRESS,
CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE,

Hon. Rob Bishop,
Chairman, Committee on Natural Resources,
House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: The Congressional Budget Office has reviewed H.R. 577, a bill to designate a peak in the State of Nevada as Maude Frazier Mountain, as ordered reported by the House Committee on Natural Resources on July 11, 2018.

CBO estimates that enacting H.R. 577 would have no significant effect on the federal budget and would not affect direct spending or revenues; therefore, pay-as-you-go procedures do not apply.

CBO estimates that enacting H.R. 577 would not increase net direct spending or on-budget deficits in any of the four consecutive 10-year periods beginning in 2029.

H.R. 577 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act.

If you wish further details on this estimate, we will be pleased to provide them. The CBO staff contact is Jeff LaFave.

Sincerely,

MARK P. HADLEY
(For Keith Hall, Director).

2. General Performance Goals and Objectives. As required by clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII, the general performance goal or objective of this bill is to designate a peak in the State of Nevada as Maude Frazier Mountain.

EARMARK STATEMENT

This bill does not contain any Congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined under clause 9(e), 9(f), and 9(g) of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives.

COMPLIANCE WITH PUBLIC LAW 104–4

This bill contains no unfunded mandates.

COMPLIANCE WITH H. RES. 5

Directed Rule Making. This bill does not contain any directed rule makings.

Duplication of Existing Programs. This bill does not establish or reauthorize a program of the federal government known to be duplicative of another program. Such program was not included in any report from the Government Accountability Office to Congress pursuant to section 21 of Public Law 111–139 or identified in the most recent Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance published pursuant to the Federal Program Information Act (Public Law 95–220, as amended by Public Law 98–169) as relating to other programs.

PREEMPTION OF STATE, LOCAL OR TRIBAL LAW

This bill is not intended to preempt any State, local or tribal law.

CHANGES IN EXISTING LAW

If enacted, this bill would make no changes in existing law.