

Union Calendar No. 84

114TH CONGRESS }
1st Session

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

{ REPORT
114-118

R E P O R T

ON THE

REVISED SUBALLOCATION OF BUDGET ALLOCATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016

SUBMITTED BY MR. ROGERS, CHAIRMAN,
COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

together with

MINORITY VIEWS



MAY 18, 2015.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the
State of the Union and ordered to be printed

U.S. GOVERNMENT PUBLISHING OFFICE

49-006

WASHINGTON : 2015

SBDV 2016-2

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

HAROLD ROGERS, Kentucky, *Chairman*

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| RODNEY P. FRELINGHUYSEN, New Jersey | NITA M. LOWEY, New York |
| ROBERT B. ADERHOLT, Alabama | MARCY KAPTUR, Ohio |
| KAY GRANGER, Texas | PETER J. VISCLOSKEY, Indiana |
| MICHAEL K. SIMPSON, Idaho | JOSÉ E. SERRANO, New York |
| JOHN ABNEY CULBERSON, Texas | ROSA L. DELAURO, Connecticut |
| ANDER CRENSHAW, Florida | DAVID E. PRICE, North Carolina |
| JOHN R. CARTER, Texas | LUCILLE ROYBAL-ALLARD, California |
| KEN CALVERT, California | SAM FARR, California |
| TOM COLE, Oklahoma | CHAKA FATTAH, Pennsylvania |
| MARIO DIAZ-BALART, Florida | SANFORD D. BISHOP, Jr., Georgia |
| CHARLES W. DENT, Pennsylvania | BARBARA LEE, California |
| TOM GRAVES, Georgia | MICHAEL M. HONDA, California |
| KEVIN YODER, Kansas | BETTY McCOLLUM, Minnesota |
| STEVE WOMACK, Arkansas | STEVE ISRAEL, New York |
| JEFF FORTENBERRY, Nebraska | TIM RYAN, Ohio |
| THOMAS J. ROONEY, Florida | C. A. DUTCH RUPPERSBERGER, Maryland |
| CHARLES J. FLEISCHMANN, Tennessee | DEBBIE WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, Florida |
| JAIME HERRERA BEUTLER, Washington | HENRY CUELLAR, Texas |
| DAVID P. JOYCE, Ohio | CHELLIE PINGREE, Maine |
| DAVID G. VALADAO, California | MIKE QUIGLEY, Illinois |
| ANDY HARRIS, Maryland | DEREK KILMER, Washington |
| MARTHA ROBY, Alabama | |
| MARK E. AMODEI, Nevada | |
| CHRIS STEWART, Utah | |
| E. SCOTT RIGELL, Virginia | |
| DAVID W. JOLLY, Florida | |
| DAVID YOUNG, Iowa | |
| EVAN H. JENKINS, West Virginia | |
| STEVEN M. PALAZZO, Mississippi | |

WILLIAM E. SMITH, *Clerk and Staff Director*

LETTER OF SUBMITTAL

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS,
Washington, DC, May 18, 2015.

Hon. JOHN A. BOEHNER,
The Speaker, U.S. House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: By direction of the Committee on Appropriations, I submit herewith the Committee's report on the revised sub-allocation of budget allocations for fiscal year 2016.

As required by section 302(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, this report subdivides the allocation of spending authority provided to the Committee on Appropriations in the Joint Explanatory Statement of the Committee of Conference for S. Con. Res. 11, the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2016.

Sincerely,

HAROLD ROGERS,
Chairman.

(III)

Union Calendar No. 84

114TH CONGRESS }
1st Session } HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES { REPORT
114-118

REPORT ON THE REVISED SUBALLOCATION OF BUDGET ALLOCATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016

MAY 18, 2015.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of
the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. ROGERS, from the Committee on Appropriations,
submitted the following

REPORT

REVISED SUBALLOCATION OF BUDGET ALLOCATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report on the revised suballocation of budget allocations for fiscal year 2016 pursuant to section 302(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974. The report is consistent with the “Allocation of Spending Authority to House Committee on Appropriations” included in the Joint Explanatory Statement of the Committee of Conference for S. Con. Res. 11, the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2016 as well as with the current law levels for discretionary spending required by the Budget Control Act of 2011 (P.L. 112-25) and enforced through sequestration.

The Committee, in distributing the allocation among the 12 regular appropriations bills, has remained within the allocation’s totals.

REVISED SUBALLOCATIONS TO SUBCOMMITTEES FISCAL YEAR 2016 BUDGET AUTHORITY AND
OUTLAYS

[In millions of dollars]

| Subcommittee | Discretionary | | Mandatory | Total |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | General Purpose | Global War on Terror | | |
| Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration: | | | | |
| Budget authority | 20,650 | | 115,461 | 136,111 |
| Outlays | 22,064 | | 108,308 | 130,372 |
| Commerce, Justice, Science: | | | | |
| Budget authority | 51,378 | | 319 | 51,697 |
| Outlays | 62,400 | | 320 | 62,720 |
| Defense: | | | | |
| Budget authority | 490,235 | 88,421 | 514 | 579,170 |
| Outlays | 519,579 | 46,849 | 514 | 566,942 |
| Energy and Water Development: | | | | |
| Budget authority | 35,402 | | | 35,402 |
| Outlays | 36,195 | | | 36,195 |
| Financial Services and General Government: | | | | |
| Budget authority | 20,249 | | 21,512 | 41,761 |
| Outlays | 22,092 | | 21,505 | 43,597 |
| Homeland Security: | | | | |
| Budget authority | 39,320 | | 1,604 | 40,924 |
| Outlays | 44,716 | | 1,583 | 46,299 |
| Interior, Environment: | | | | |
| Budget authority | 30,170 | | 62 | 30,232 |
| Outlays | 31,940 | | 62 | 32,002 |
| Labor, Health and Human Services, Education: | | | | |
| Budget authority | 153,052 | | 725,992 | 879,044 |
| Outlays | 169,100 | | 726,035 | 895,135 |
| Legislative Branch: | | | | |
| All except Senate: | | | | |
| Budget authority | 3,341 | | 109 | 3,450 |
| Outlays | 3,515 | | 108 | 3,623 |
| Senate items: | | | | |
| Budget authority | 959 | | 26 | 985 |
| Outlays | 728 | | 26 | 754 |
| Total Legislative: | | | | |
| Budget authority | 4,300 | | 135 | 4,435 |
| Outlays | 4,243 | | 134 | 4,377 |
| Military Construction, Veterans Affairs: | | | | |
| Budget authority | 76,056 | 532 | 94,537 | 171,125 |
| Outlays | 78,242 | 2 | 94,292 | 172,536 |
| State, Foreign Operations: | | | | |
| Budget authority | 40,500 | 7,334 | 159 | 47,993 |
| Outlays | 47,055 | 1,947 | 159 | 49,161 |
| Transportation, HUD: | | | | |
| Budget authority | 55,270 | | | 55,270 |
| Outlays | 119,018 | | | 119,018 |
| Grand total: | | | | |
| Budget authority | 1,016,582 | 96,287 | 960,295 | 2,073,164 |
| Outlays | 1,156,644 | 48,798 | 952,912 | 2,158,354 |

FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those voting against, are printed below:

ROLL CALL NO. 1

Date: May 13, 2015

Measure: Revised report on the Suballocation of Budget Allocations for FY16

Motion by: Mrs. Lowey

Description of Motion: To amend the revised Suballocation of Budget Allocations for FY16.

Results: Defeated 21 yeas to 30 nays.

Members Voting Yea

Mr. Bishop
Mr. Cuellar
Ms. DeLauro
Mr. Farr
Mr. Fattah
Mr. Honda
Mr. Israel
Ms. Kaptur
Mr. Kilmer
Ms. Lee
Mrs. Lowey
Ms. McCollum
Ms. Pingree
Mr. Price
Mr. Quigley
Ms. Roybal-Allard
Mr. Ruppertsberger
Mr. Ryan
Mr. Serrano
Mr. Visclosky
Ms. Wasserman Schultz

Members Voting Nay

Mr. Aderholt
Mr. Amodei
Mr. Calvert
Mr. Carter
Mr. Cole
Mr. Crenshaw
Mr. Culberson
Mr. Dent
Mr. Diaz-Balart
Mr. Fleischmann
Mr. Fortenberry
Mr. Frelinghuysen
Ms. Granger
Mr. Graves
Dr. Harris
Ms. Herrera Beutler
Mr. Jenkins
Mr. Jolly
Mr. Joyce
Mr. Palazzo
Mr. Rigell
Mrs. Roby
Mr. Rogers
Mr. Rooney
Mr. Simpson
Mr. Stewart
Mr. Valadao
Mr. Womack
Mr. Yoder
Mr. Young

MINORITY VIEWS OF REPRESENTATIVE LOWEY

The Republican allocations reflect a budget resolution that failed to win the vote of a single Democratic member in the House or in the Senate because it fails to help hard-working Americans succeed, making it more difficult to own a home, attend college and build a secure retirement. Moreover, the President clearly stated he will veto appropriation bills if they are written to conform to Republican sequester allocations. The fact is: there is no way to get from these allocations to enacted appropriations bills.

Pragmatic, responsible legislators on both sides of the aisle recognize the need for a new topline, foremost among them our chairmen of both the THUD Subcommittee and the full Committee:

- Chairman Diaz-Balart said we “need a long term and sustainable budgetary agreement, in statute, that adequately fixes sequestration and provides a long term path for stability in both the non-defense and defense areas of the discretionary budget.”

- Chairman Rogers said, “The defense hawks are unhappy; non-defense supporters are not happy with the numbers—so I think the pressure is going to build at some point in time to try to do something” about funding levels.

Yet we are considering bills that draw a stark contrast in our parties’ visions for this country. Republicans would restrict job creation, restrain economic growth, and harm hard-working Americans by curtailing critical investments in infrastructure, job training, and biomedical research. Republican allocations will result in cuts to NIH research grants; fewer kids enrolled in high-quality early learning programs; reduced support for Pell Grants; CDBG and HOME reduced to the lowest levels in the history of the program; progress on transit programs funded through the Capital Investment Grants program stalled; nothing done to alleviate the shortage of affordable and appropriate housing for the elderly and disabled, and a public health system that is less prepared for the next infectious disease outbreak.

By contrast, the President’s budget provides hope by investing \$200 million for a Precision Medical Initiative, \$600 million for advanced research of medical countermeasures for public health threats, fully funding transportation and housing capital improvement programs and providing an additional \$2.4 billion for early education programs and \$1 billion for Title I to support our highest need schools.

Sequestration in effect amounts to mindless austerity and it has been a failure. In committee, Democrats offered an alternative reflecting the President’s request. Unfortunately, it was defeated on

a party-line vote. Let's not idly stand by and allow sequester-level caps to threaten important defense and non-defense priorities alike.

NITA M. LOWEY.

