

TO DESIGNATE THE BUILDING UTILIZED AS A UNITED STATES COURT-  
HOUSE LOCATED AT 150 READE CIRCLE IN GREENVILLE, NORTH CARO-  
LINA, AS THE “RANDY D. DOUB UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE”

MAY 8, 2015.—Referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed

Mr. SHUSTER, from the Committee on Transportation and  
Infrastructure, submitted the following

## R E P O R T

[To accompany H.R. 1642]

[Including cost estimate of the Congressional Budget Office]

The Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, to whom  
was referred the bill (H.R. 1642) to designate the building utilized  
as a United States courthouse located at 150 Reade Circle in  
Greenville, North Carolina, as the “Randy D. Doub United States  
Courthouse”, having considered the same, report favorably thereon  
without amendment and recommend that the bill do pass.

### CONTENTS

	Page
Purpose of Legislation .....	1
Background and Need for Legislation .....	2
Hearings .....	2
Legislative History and Consideration .....	2
Committee Votes .....	2
Committee Oversight Findings .....	2
New Budget Authority and Tax Expenditures .....	2
Congressional Budget Office Cost Estimate .....	2
Performance Goals and Objectives .....	3
Advisory of Earmarks .....	3
Duplication of Federal Programs .....	3
Disclosure of Directed Rule Makings .....	4
Federal Mandate Statement .....	4
Preemption Clarification .....	4
Advisory Committee Statement .....	4
Applicability of Legislative Branch .....	4
Section-by-Section Analysis of Legislation .....	4
Changes in Existing Law Made by the Bill, as Reported .....	4

### PURPOSE OF LEGISLATION

H.R. 1642 designates the building utilized as a United States  
courthouse located at 150 Reade Circle in Greenville, North Caro-  
lina, as the “Randy D. Doub United States Courthouse”.

## BACKGROUND AND NEED FOR LEGISLATION

H.R. 1642 would designate the building utilized as a United States courthouse located at 150 Reade Circle in Greenville, North Carolina, as the “Randy D. Doub United States Courthouse”.

Randy D. Doub was in the private practice of law for 26 years in Greenville, North Carolina. In 1985, he was appointed to the North Carolina Board of Transportation and served in that capacity until 1990. In 2006, Judge Doub was appointed by the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals as a United States Bankruptcy Judge for the Eastern District of North Carolina and served as the chief bankruptcy judge from 2007 until 2014.

Judge Doub attended East Carolina University and graduated Magna Cum Laude in 1977, majoring in Political Science and minoring in Business Administration. He earned a law degree from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill in 1980.

## HEARINGS

No hearings were held on H.R. 1642.

## LEGISLATIVE HISTORY AND CONSIDERATION

On March 26, 2015, Representative Walter B. Jones, Jr. (R-NC) introduced H.R. 1642, a bill to designate the building utilized as a United States courthouse located at 150 Reade Circle in Greenville, North Carolina, as the “Randy D. Doub United States Courthouse”.

On April 30, 2015, the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure met in open session. The Committee ordered the bill reported favorably to the House by voice vote with a quorum present.

## COMMITTEE VOTES

Clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives requires each committee report to include the total number of votes cast for and against on each record vote on a motion to report and on any amendment offered to the measure or matter, and the names of those members voting for and against. There were no record votes taken in connection with consideration of H.R. 1642.

## COMMITTEE OVERSIGHT FINDINGS

With respect to the requirements of clause 3(c)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee’s oversight findings and recommendations are reflected in this report.

## NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY AND TAX EXPENDITURES

Clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives does not apply where a cost estimate and comparison prepared by the Director of the Congressional Budget Office under section 402 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 has been timely submitted prior to the filing of the report and is included in the report. Such a cost estimate is included in this report.

## CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE COST ESTIMATE

With respect to the requirement of clause 3(c)(3) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives and section 402 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the Committee has received the

enclosed cost estimate for H.R. 1642 from the Director of the Congressional Budget Office:

U.S. CONGRESS,  
CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE,  
*Washington, DC, May 4, 2015.*

Hon. BILL SHUSTER,  
*Chairman, Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure,  
House of Representatives, Washington, DC.*

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: The Congressional Budget Office has reviewed H.R. 1642, a bill to designate the building utilized as a United States courthouse located at 150 Reade Circle in Greenville, North Carolina, as the “Randy D. Doub United States Courthouse,” as ordered reported by the House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure on April 30, 2015.

CBO estimates that enacting this legislation would have no significant effect on the federal budget and would not affect direct spending or revenues; therefore, pay-as-you-go procedures do not apply. The bill contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act and would not affect the budgets of state, local, or tribal governments.

If you wish further details on this estimate, we will be pleased to provide them. The CBO staff contact is Matthew Pickford.

Sincerely,

KEITH HALL,  
*Director.*

#### PERFORMANCE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

With respect to the requirement of clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the performance goal and objective of this legislation is to designate the building utilized as a United States courthouse located at 150 Reade Circle in Greenville, North Carolina, as the “Randy D. Doub United States Courthouse”.

#### ADVISORY OF EARMARKS

Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee is required to include a list of congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in clause 9(e), 9(f), and 9(g) of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives. No provision in the bill includes an earmark, limited tax benefit, or limited tariff benefit under clause 9(e), 9(f), or 9(g) of rule XXI.

#### DUPLICATION OF FEDERAL PROGRAMS

Pursuant to section 3(g) of H. Res. 5, 114th Cong. (2015), the Committee finds that no provision of H.R. 1642 establishes or reauthorizes a program of the federal government known to be duplicative of another federal program, a program that was included in any report from the Government Accountability Office to Congress pursuant to section 21 of Public Law 111–139, or a program related to a program identified in the most recent Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance.

## DISCLOSURE OF DIRECTED RULE MAKINGS

Pursuant to section 3(i) of H. Res. 5, 114th Cong. (2015), the Committee finds that enacting H.R. 1642 does not direct the completion of a specific rule making within the meaning of section 551 of title 5, United States Code.

## FEDERAL MANDATE STATEMENT

The Committee adopts as its own the estimate of federal mandates prepared by the Director of the Congressional Budget Office pursuant to section 423 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (Public Law 104–4).

## PREEMPTION CLARIFICATION

Section 423 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 requires the report of any Committee on a bill or joint resolution to include a statement on the extent to which the bill or joint resolution is intended to preempt state, local, or tribal law. The Committee states that H.R. 1642 does not preempt any state, local, or tribal law.

## ADVISORY COMMITTEE STATEMENT

No advisory committees within the meaning of section 5(b) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act are created by this legislation.

## APPLICABILITY OF LEGISLATIVE BRANCH

The Committee finds that the legislation does not relate to the terms and conditions of employment or access to public services or accommodations within the meaning of section 102(b)(3) of the Congressional Accountability Act (Public Law 104–1).

## SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS OF LEGISLATION

*Section 1. Designation*

Section 1 designates the building utilized as a United States courthouse located at 150 Reade Circle in Greenville, North Carolina, as the “Randy D. Doub United States Courthouse”.

*Section 2. References*

Section 2 deems any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the United States courthouse referred to in section 1 as a reference to the “Randy D. Doub United States Courthouse”.

## CHANGES IN EXISTING LAW MADE BY THE BILL, AS REPORTED

H.R. 1642 makes no changes in existing law.