

## Calendar No. 163

113TH CONGRESS }  
1st Session }

SENATE

{ REPORT  
113-85

---

### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2014

---

AUGUST 1, 2013.—Ordered to be printed

---

Mr. DURBIN, from the Committee on Appropriations,  
submitted the following

### REPORT

[To accompany S. 1429]

The Committee on Appropriations reports the bill (S. 1429) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2014, and for other purposes, reports favorably thereon and recommends that the bill do pass.

#### *New obligational authority*

Total of bill as reported to the Senate .....	\$587,462,934,000
Amount of 2013 appropriations <sup>1</sup> .....	596,970,970,000
Amount of 2014 budget estimate .....	589,636,069,000
Bill as recommended to Senate compared to—	
2013 appropriations .....	– 9,508,036,000
2014 budget estimate .....	– 2,173,135,000

<sup>1</sup>Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

## CONTENTS

---

Background:		Page
Purpose of the Bill .....		5
Hearings .....		5
Summary of the Bill .....		5
Definition of Program, Project and Activity .....		6
Committee Initiatives .....		7
Title I:		
Military Personnel:		
Military Personnel Overview .....		17
Military Personnel, Army .....		18
Military Personnel, Navy .....		20
Military Personnel, Marine Corps .....		22
Military Personnel, Air Force .....		24
Reserve Personnel, Army .....		26
Reserve Personnel, Navy .....		27
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps .....		28
Reserve Personnel, Air Force .....		29
National Guard Personnel, Army .....		30
National Guard Personnel, Air Force .....		31
Title II:		
Operation and Maintenance:		
Operation and Maintenance, Army .....		37
Operation and Maintenance, Navy .....		41
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps .....		44
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force .....		46
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide .....		49
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve .....		53
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve .....		54
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve .....		55
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve .....		56
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard .....		57
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard .....		59
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces .....		60
Environmental Restoration, Army .....		60
Environmental Restoration, Navy .....		60
Environmental Restoration, Air Force .....		60
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide .....		60
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites .....		61
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid .....		61
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account .....		61
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund .....		62
Title III:		
Procurement:		
Aircraft Procurement, Army .....		66
Missile Procurement, Army .....		70
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army .....		71
Procurement of Ammunition, Army .....		76
Other Procurement, Army .....		79
Aircraft Procurement, Navy .....		88
Weapons Procurement, Navy .....		93
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps .....		96
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy .....		99
Other Procurement, Navy .....		102
Procurement, Marine Corps .....		111
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force .....		115

	Page
Title III—Continued	
Procurement—Continued	
Missile Procurement, Air Force .....	121
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force .....	126
Other Procurement, Air Force .....	128
Procurement, Defense-Wide .....	132
Defense Production Act Purchases .....	137
National Guard and Reserve Equipment .....	140
Title IV:	
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation:	
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army .....	146
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy .....	154
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force .....	163
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide .....	172
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense .....	181
Title V:	
Revolving and Management Funds:	
Defense Working Capital Funds .....	183
National Defense Sealift Fund .....	184
Title VI:	
Other Department of Defense Programs:	
Defense Health Program .....	185
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense .....	195
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense .....	197
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund .....	197
Office of the Inspector General .....	198
Title VII:	
Related Agencies:	
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund .....	199
Intelligence Community Management Account .....	199
Title VIII: General Provisions .....	200
Title IX: Overseas Deployments and Other Programs:	
Department of Defense—Military .....	206
Military Personnel:	
Military Personnel, Army .....	207
Military Personnel, Navy .....	208
Military Personnel, Marine Corps .....	209
Military Personnel, Air Force .....	210
Reserve Personnel, Army .....	211
Reserve Personnel, Navy .....	212
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps .....	212
Reserve Personnel, Air Force .....	213
National Guard Personnel, Army .....	213
National Guard Personnel, Air Force .....	214
Operation and Maintenance:	
Operation and Maintenance, Army .....	214
Operation and Maintenance, Navy .....	216
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps .....	217
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force .....	217
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide .....	218
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve .....	219
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve .....	219
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve .....	220
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve .....	220
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard .....	220
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard .....	221
Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund .....	221
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund .....	222
Procurement:	
Aircraft Procurement, Army .....	224
Missile Procurement, Army .....	224
Procurement of Ammunition, Army .....	225
Other Procurement, Army .....	225
Aircraft Procurement, Navy .....	226
Weapons Procurement, Navy .....	227
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps .....	227
Other Procurement, Navy .....	228

	Page
Title IX—Continued	
Procurement—Continued	
Procurement, Marine Corps .....	228
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force .....	229
Missile Procurement, Air Force .....	229
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force .....	230
Other Procurement, Air Force .....	230
Procurement, Defense-Wide .....	230
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation:	
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army .....	231
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy .....	231
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force .....	232
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide .....	232
Revolving and Management Funds: Defense Working Capital Funds .....	232
Other Department of Defense Programs:	
Defense Health Program .....	233
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense .....	233
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund .....	234
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund .....	235
Office of the Inspector General .....	235
General Provisions—This Title .....	235
Compliance With Paragraph 7, Rule XVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate .....	237
Compliance With Paragraph 7(c), Rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate .....	239
Compliance With Paragraph 12, Rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate .....	239
Budgetary Impact of Bill .....	240
Comparative Statement of Budget Authority .....	241

## BACKGROUND

### PURPOSE OF THE BILL

This bill makes appropriations for the military functions of the Department of Defense for the period October 1, 2013, through September 30, 2014. Functional areas include the pay, allowances, and support of military personnel, operation and maintenance of the forces, procurement of equipment and systems, and research, development, test and evaluation. Appropriations for foreign military assistance, military construction, family housing, nuclear weapons programs, and civil defense are provided in other bills.

### HEARINGS

The Appropriations Subcommittee on Defense began hearings on April 17, 2013, and concluded them on July 17, 2013, after nine separate sessions. The subcommittee heard testimony from representatives of the Department of Defense, other Federal agencies, and representatives of organizations.

### SUMMARY OF THE BILL

The Committee recommendation of \$587,462,934,000 includes funding to develop, maintain, and equip the military forces of the United States in nonemergency appropriations.

The fiscal year 2014 budget request for activities funded in the Department of Defense appropriations bill totals \$589,636,069,000 in new budget authority, including \$80,558,154,000 in contingency funding and \$514,000,000 in mandatory spending.

In fiscal year 2013, the Congress appropriated \$596,970,970,000 for activities funded in this bill. This amount includes \$509,927,697,000 in nonemergency appropriations, \$86,954,838,000 in overseas contingency operations appropriations and \$88,335,000 in disaster relief appropriations.

The Committee recommendation in this bill is \$9,508,036,000 below the amount provided in fiscal year 2013 and \$2,173,135,000 below the amount requested for fiscal year 2014. The Committee recommends that \$227,033,000 requested for Coast Guard activities be appropriated directly to the Department of Homeland Security.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The following table displays the recommendations for each title:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Fiscal year 2013 enacted	Fiscal year 2014 estimate	Committee recommendation
Title I—Military personnel .....	127,364,770	130,399,881	129,133,927
Title II—Operation and maintenance .....	173,265,602	175,097,941	178,573,167

[In thousands of dollars]

	Fiscal year 2013 enacted	Fiscal year 2014 estimate	Committee recommendation
Title III—Procurement .....	100,218,282	98,153,503	98,368,753
Title IV—Research, development, test and evaluation .....	69,836,195	67,520,236	65,806,815
Title V—Revolving and management funds .....	2,211,102	2,276,527	2,304,205
Title VI—Other Department of Defense programs .....	35,479,789	35,461,127	36,080,718
Title VII—Related agencies .....	1,047,716	1,082,271	1,082,671
Title VIII—General provisions (net) .....	504,341	– 913,571	– 1,510,465
Title IV—Overseas Contingency Operations .....	86,954,838	80,558,154	77,623,143
Disaster Relief Appropriations, 2013 .....	88,335	.....	.....
Net grand total .....	596,970,970	589,636,069	587,462,934
Total discretionary <sup>1</sup> .....	604,696,635	596,584,069	594,410,934

<sup>1</sup> Includes scorekeeping adjustments.

The Committee has displayed recommended adjustments in tables presented under each appropriation account.

These adjustments reflect the following Committee actions: elimination of funds requested for programs which are lower priority, duplicative, or not supported by firm requirements in out-year development or procurement appropriations; deletion of excess funds based on program delays or slow execution; addition of funds to reflect congressional priorities and to rectify shortfalls in the budget estimate; and implementation of recommendations in S. 1197, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, as reported.

The Committee directs that the funding increases outlined in these tables shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the table.

#### CLASSIFIED PROGRAM ADJUSTMENTS

The Committee recommends adjustments to certain classified programs, as explained in the classified annex to the Committee's report.

#### DEFINITION OF PROGRAM, PROJECT AND ACTIVITY

In fiscal year 2014, for purposes of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Public Law 99–177), as amended, the term “program, project and activity” for appropriations contained in this act shall be defined as the most specific level of budget items identified in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2014 and the P–1 and R–1 budget justification documents as subsequently modified by congressional action. The following exception to the above definition shall apply: for the military personnel and operation and maintenance accounts the term “program, project and activity” is defined as the appropriations accounts contained in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act.

In carrying out any Presidential sequestration, the Department of Defense and agencies shall conform to the definition for “program, project and activity” set forth above.

## COMMITTEE INITIATIVES

The Committee has included funding above the President's budget request for several programmatic initiatives which the Committee believes are of inherent value for national defense. In several cases, funds are restored for programs which were included in previous Department of Defense budget requests, and several are for programs that the Committee believes are necessary to improve defense even though they have not been included under the request formulated by the Department of Defense. For instance, the Committee provides additional research funding in the following areas: alternative energy, unexploded ordnance and landmine detection, nanotechnology, advanced metals and materials, military burn treatment, and traumatic brain injury and psychological health. The Committee believes additional research funding is warranted in these and other areas to ensure that the Department of Defense continues to pursue technological advances that are critical to our national defense. The Committee has also provided funding for programs that are chronically underfunded, such as range conservation. The Committee directs that funds for these initiatives are to be competitively awarded or provided to programs that have received competitive awards in the past.

## F-35 JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER

On June 19, 2013, the Committee held its first dedicated hearing on the F-35 Joint Strike Fighter [JSF]. While the program is making progress in the development and test of the aircraft, significant challenges remain. Therefore, the Committee recommends the following adjustments to the fiscal year 2014 budget request to ensure that the program stays focused on reducing risk in the development and test phase, remains on a positive trend of reducing concurrency costs, and is affordable for both U.S. and allied purchase now and into the future.

The Department's fiscal year 2014 request maintains production of F-35 aircraft at 29 aircraft, consistent with 2013 levels, but increases advance procurement of 2015 aircraft by 13, to a total of 42 aircraft. This would be a 45-percent increase in production when the F-35 program continues to experience considerable challenges with software development, system reliability, and maintenance system development. Given the scope of issues that must be addressed in this phase of the program, a large increase in the production of aircraft is not yet warranted. However, the Committee acknowledges the positive trends in the program and understands the need to increase production rates to bring down unit cost. Therefore, the Committee recommends an increase in fiscal year 2014 advance procurement of seven aircraft for the Air Force variant for a total of 26 aircraft, a reduction in advance procurement of four aircraft and \$48,000,000. In addition, the Committee does not recommend increased advance procurement for the Navy F-35 variant since it remains behind the other two variants in testing, a reduction of two aircraft and \$31,500,000. This recommendation provides a 24-percent increase in F-35 production from fiscal year 2014 to fiscal year 2015, or an increase of seven aircraft.

The budget request includes \$32,000,000 to start the follow-on development program. The Committee believes it is too early to start new efforts when the current development program still has challenges and, therefore, recommends a \$20,000,000 reduction. The remaining funds should be used to complete the analysis and staffing necessary to finalize the F-35 follow-on development capabilities development document that should be approved by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council [JROC] during fiscal year 2014. Furthermore, the Committee notes that the F-35 draft schedule for follow-on Block 4 capabilities would span over 6 years, would be concurrent with the ongoing F-35 development efforts, and is projected to cost \$3,800,000,000. Given the current fiscal environment and the anticipated ramp-up of F-35 production during this same time period, the Committee believes Block 4, as currently planned, is unaffordable. Understanding that the F-35 international partners are interested in getting their specific weapons integrated onto the aircraft and will fund the requisite work, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense and the JROC to place priority on integrating these weapons onto the F-35 during Block 4 follow-on development.

In addition, the Department requests \$10,000,000 to assess B61 nuclear bomb integration onto the F-35. The Committee understands the Department is currently planning that the B61 capability will deliver as part of Block 4, but the JROC has not approved the capability content of Block 4. Therefore, the Committee recommends no funding for F-35 dual capable aircraft.

#### F-35 MANAGEMENT

The Committee supports the Department's decision to modify the F-35 management charter and specify that the Program Executive Officer [PEO] serves at the pleasure of the Secretary of Defense rather than for a 2-year term. The Committee believes the F-35 program will benefit from consistent leadership that will ensure positive programmatic changes become embedded in the F-35 culture.

#### CYBER MISSION FORCES

*National Guard and Reserves in the Cyber National Mission Forces.*—With submission of the fiscal year 2014 budget request, the Department of Defense—through U.S. Cyber Command and the National Security Agency—formally submitted its proposed realignment of cyber mission forces into National Mission Teams, Combat Mission Teams, and Cyber Protection Teams. Over the next several years, the military services will be providing additional cyber mission forces to U.S. Cyber Command, composed of an active duty, civilian, and contractor workforce that has yet to be determined. The Committee notes that National Guard and Reserve personnel possess unique skill sets and operate under distinct authorities that could be utilized to meet the national cyber mission force needs. Yet, the Department of Defense has not provided the congressional defense committees a comprehensive analysis regarding the role that National Guard and Reserve forces could fulfill as cyber mission forces are established, nor a cost comparison for filling cyber



mission forces with active versus Guard and Reserve forces, or a mix thereof.

Therefore, the Committee directs the U.S. Cyber Command, in conjunction with the Office of the Secretary of Defense, to provide to the congressional defense committees no later than 60 days after enactment of this act, a classified and unclassified report that includes:

- the current number and location of Reserve Component cyber units, as well as skill sets provided by each of these units;
- the number of individual teams, their composition by number of personnel, and missions each of the services are establishing for U.S. Cyber Command;
- the skill sets required to meet cyber mission team requirements;
- a cost-benefit-analysis of meeting these requirements with teams comprised of solely active duty personnel, compared to teams partially or fully filled with National Guard or Reserve personnel; and
- an analysis of cyber missions that are being considered for the National Guard and/or Reserves.

*Training of Cyber National Mission Forces.*—The expansion of cyber mission forces requires extensive training of personnel to meet the needs identified by U.S. Cyber Command. Each of the services provides personnel with different skill sets and knowledge levels, and therefore generate different training requirements. Therefore, the Committee directs the U.S. Cyber Command, in conjunction with the Secretary of Defense, to provide to the congressional defense committees, no later than 90 days after enactment of this act, a classified and unclassified report that includes:

- an identification and analysis of training requirements necessary to meet U.S. Cyber Command cyber mission personnel initial and full operational capability;
- a roadmap of training to be provided to active duty and Guard and Reserve personnel to meet those requirements;
- cost estimates by service and mission team to meet training requirements for each cyber mission team; and
- an estimated timeline to complete the training required to reach full operational capability of the cyber mission teams, as proposed in the fiscal year 2014 budget.

Further, the Committee notes the limitations in existing training resources to meet cyber mission force training requirements in the near-term. Therefore, the Committee recommends that the military services analyze existing training opportunities and infrastructure, taking into account existing facilities and pre-existing synergies with the Department of Defense and Intelligence Community when establishing their training programs. The Committee directs U.S. Cyber Command to provide to the congressional defense committees, no later than 90 days after enactment of this act, an analysis of U.S. Government cyber mission force training infrastructure.

*Army Cyber Forces Footprint.*—Army cyber forces are currently dispersed throughout the continental United States, with key elements co-located with U.S. Cyber Command, and other service cyber components, which allows for significant synergies and operational efficiencies. The Committee understands that the Army is

currently reviewing its cyber forces footprint. Following conversations with Army leadership, the Committee understands that these discussions are preliminary, and that no funds are included in the fiscal year 2014 budget request to modify or move the Army's cyber missions. The Committee directs the Army to brief the congressional defense committees on any proposed adjustments to the Army's cyber footprint, to include the associated training, infrastructure and sustainment costs.

#### SHIP MODERNIZATION, OPERATIONS AND SUSTAINMENT FUND

With the fiscal year 2014 budget submission, the Navy again proposes to prematurely retire seven *Ticonderoga*-class guided missile cruisers and two amphibious dock landing ships that have a combined remaining service life of over 100 years. The Committee notes that this proposal was rejected by the Congress in the Fiscal Year 2013 National Defense Authorization Act and the Fiscal Year 2013 Department of Defense Appropriations Act; and that Congress provided significant funds to man, operate, sustain and modernize these ships. As previously expressed in Senate Report 112-196, the Committee is concerned with this proposed elimination of force structure and believes it is disconnected from the strategic shift to the Asia-Pacific, creates future unaffordable shipbuilding requirements, and exacerbates force structure shortfalls that negatively impact the Department's ability to meet Combatant Command requirements.

The Committee notes that some key assumptions that led the Navy to propose prematurely retiring these ships have changed. This includes the material condition of at least one ship being superior to what the Navy assumed, as well as the scope and cost of modernization efforts required for these platforms to maintain their operational relevance for the balance of their service lives. The Committee believes that further adjustments to projected modernization efforts could be made, resulting in cost savings while retaining valuable operational capability in the near-term.

Therefore, the Committee again recommends denying these proposed premature retirements and retaining this force structure in its entirety. The Committee recommends \$2,422,400,000, to man, operate, sustain, upgrade and modernize only CG-63, CG-64, CG-65, CG-66, CG-68, CG-69, CG-73, LSD-41 and LSD-46 in the Ship Modernization, Operations and Sustainment Fund, as specified elsewhere in this act. Recognizing the time required to plan and execute shipyard availabilities and modernization periods, the Committee makes these funds available until September 30, 2021. However, the Committee also believes that upgrades to these ships have been delayed for too long, and therefore directs the Secretary of the Navy to upgrade at least one of the above listed *Ticonderoga*-class cruisers starting in fiscal year 2014. The Committee believes that this recommendation provides the fiscal relief required by the Navy to maintain this critical force structure and allows the Navy sufficient time to budget for this force structure in future budget submissions.

## PATRIOT MODERNIZATION

The fiscal year 2014 budget request includes \$70,053,000 in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army and \$256,438,000 in Missile Procurement, Army for modernization of the Patriot air missile defense system. The Committee notes and continues its support for modernization of the aging Patriot system; however, the Committee remains concerned with the Army acquisition and funding strategies for this program. First, the Committee notes that while the Army recently updated its decades-old requirements document, the new requirements document is so vague that it precludes a detailed understanding of specific technologies required, development and fielding schedules, and costs of the overall effort. The Committee further notes that the current modernization spiral is slated to cost close to \$2,000,000,000 over the next 5 years, with an additional \$800,000,000 required thereafter. The scope and cost of additional spirals are still to be determined, but the Committee notes that the current spiral's costs are significant, and that when combined with the costs of future spirals the total modernization program would likely breach thresholds for what ordinarily would be an Acquisition Category I program. Therefore, the Committee is concerned that the Army plans to sole-source most of its modernization program and bypass full and open competition, which has repeatedly shown to reduce costs. Finally, the Committee notes that contrary to previously stated intentions from Army leaders, the Army does not have a funded plan to harvest developed technologies from programs previously terminated by the Army for use in the Patriot Modernization program, such as the Surface Launched Advanced Medium Range Air to Air Missile [SLAMRAAM], the Joint Land Attack Cruise Missile Defense Elevated Netted Sensor System [JLENS] and the Medium Extended Air Defense System [MEADS], which were terminated after a combined investment of approximately \$6,000,000,000. Therefore, the Committee does not recommend full support for the Army's request. However, recognizing the urgent need to address current capability gaps, the Committee recommends \$231,916,000 for Patriot modernization, including \$202,716,000 in Missile Procurement, Army for the procurement of 22 radar digital processors, an increase of 17 units over 2013. The Committee directs that not more than 50 percent of research and development funds for Patriot modernization may be obligated until 30 days after the Secretary of the Army provides to the congressional defense committees a plan that establishes an open system software architecture for future upgrades and technology refresh to the Patriot system in the near-term. Further, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Army, in conjunction with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics, to provide with the fiscal year 2015 budget submission an acquisition strategy that incorporates full and open competition for Patriot modernization in the near-, mid-, and long-term.

## EXPANSION OF GENERAL AND FLAG OFFICERS

The Committee supports a proposal from the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff that would reduce general and flag officers

along with the accompanying support services. The U.S. military is 30 percent smaller now than at the end of the Cold War, yet has 20 percent more three- and four-star officers. The Committee is concerned about the costs of general and flag officers as the size of the military forces decreases. The Committee reduces the appropriation for general and flag officer operation and maintenance and military personnel accounts by \$8,000,000, and supports General Dempsey's plan to reduce the Department by 144 general and flag officers over the next 5 years. To better understand the costs of senior military officers, the Committee directs the Comptroller General to provide a report to the congressional defense committees. The report shall include all direct and support costs associated with general and flag officers to include basic pay, basic allowance for subsistence, basic allowance for housing, travel and per diem costs. The report shall also include the direct costs of all officers and enlisted aides assigned to or supporting general or flag officers; the travel and per diem costs of such aides, the annual expenditures for military housing provided to general and flag officers and executive healthcare. The Committee addresses this issue in section 8069 of this act.

#### SUICIDE PREVENTION

The Committee applauds the efforts of the Department regarding suicide prevention but shares the concern of the Secretary of Defense that more can and should be done to address this tragic situation. Therefore, the Committee adds \$10,000,000 for the Office of Suicide Prevention and encourages the Department to consider funding community-based initiatives to include efforts that will assist Reserve Component Forces and their families after the transitional healthcare period has ended, as authorized by section 706 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013.

#### SEXUAL ASSAULT

The Committee remains extremely concerned with the level of sexual assault in the military. The most recent report from the Department found cases of reported sexual assaults increased 6 percent in 2012. Additionally, multiple reports have surfaced since the beginning of the year of military officials in roles designated to prevent and respond to sexual assault committing these very offenses themselves. This is simply unacceptable. The Committee supports the Department initiative to rescreen and retrain recruiters and sexual assault prevention officers but believes more needs to be done to create a culture throughout the military in which sexual assault is not tolerated.

The Committee also believes that victims of sexual assault should not be subjected to further alienation during the investigation and prosecution of their case. In January 2013, the Air Force began a Special Victims' Counsel pilot program that provides victims with a trained military lawyer to provide legal assistance and support as a case makes its way through the often arduous criminal justice process. The Committee commends the Air Force on this program and sees it as a positive step in giving victims the voice and support they deserve. Therefore, the Committee recommends \$25,000,000 for the Department to implement the Special Victims'

Counsel program across all the services, consistent with the recommendation in S. 1197, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, as reported.

#### OPERATIONAL RESERVE

The Committee recognizes the capability and commitment to deploy shown by the Guard and Reserve components over the past 12 years of war and applauds their transition from a strategic to an operational reserve. As the Department continues to face budgetary constraints and force structure reductions, the Committee believes the value of the Guard and Reserve must be taken into account when determining the appropriate mix between the Active and Reserve components. The Committee encourages the Department to capitalize on the investments made in the Guard and Reserve and appropriately plan and budget for the utilization of the operational reserve through U.S. Code title 10, section 12304b Selected Reserve: order to active duty for preplanned missions in support of combatant commands.

#### COMMISSION ON MILITARY SERVICE ACADEMY SUPERINTENDENTS

The Committee acknowledges that the military is undergoing a period of significant change. It is facing the end of two wars, the eligibility of women to serve in combat roles, and the repeal of Don't Ask Don't Tell. The military is also facing significant obstacles presented by high rates of suicide and sexual assaults. The Superintendents at the Military Service Academies play an important role in training future military leaders who will be critical to addressing these and other challenges. The Committee believes that identifying criteria for Service Academy Superintendent selection and evaluation should be a priority within the Department of Defense.

The Committee includes a provision that would create a Commission to conduct a comprehensive analysis on the role of a modern military Service Academy Superintendent, including the criteria for selecting and evaluating the performance of a Superintendent. The Commission would be temporary and advisory.

The Commission shall be made up of seven members appointed by the Secretary of Defense. In carrying out its duties, the Commission shall examine:

What is the role of a Superintendent?

What are the criteria for selecting a Superintendent?

What are the criteria for evaluating the performance of a Superintendent?

What is necessary to ensure that the military can cultivate effective Superintendents?

What role does diversity play in the selection of a Superintendent?

What is the ability of Superintendents to adapt and respond to the changes in the military?

To what extent is the nature of the work of a Superintendent changing, and what skills are needed to adapt to an evolving leadership role?

The Commission is required to produce a report not later than 6 months after the enactment of this act and shall be terminated 90 days after the issuance of this report.

#### ENGAGEMENT ON ARCTIC ISSUES

The Committee notes that the physical changes in the Arctic are unprecedented in both their rate and scope of change. In addition to the economic and social concerns, numerous studies, including the 2010 Quadrennial Defense Review and the U.S. Navy Arctic Roadmap, have documented the significant impact that a rapidly changing Arctic has on National Security, to include an increasing number of vessels expected to be operating in the Arctic Ocean in the summer and fall months.

The Arctic Council has grown significantly in recent years with increased influence, visibility, and membership. As of May 2013, China, Japan, Singapore, India, The Republic of Korea and Italy have been granted observer status. In 2015, the U.S. will assume its 2-year Chair of the Arctic Council, succeeding Canada in this role. In view of the increasing significance and importance of the Arctic Council, and the United States' upcoming leadership in this body, the Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to continue to examine ways the Department can support engagement on Arctic issues, including funding for better Arctic Domain Awareness, mapping the U.S. Arctic waters, and enhanced observations and prediction of Arctic weather, ocean, and ice conditions.

#### NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY REPORTS

The Committee directs the National Security Agency [NSA] to provide to the congressional intelligence committees, and the Senate Committee on the Judiciary, and the House Committee on the Judiciary, no later than 90 days after enactment of this act:

- A report, unclassified to the greatest extent possible, setting forth:
  - For the last 5 years, on an annual basis, the number of records acquired by NSA as part of the bulk telephone metadata program authorized by the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court, pursuant to section 215 of the USA PATRIOT Act, and the number of such records that have been reviewed by NSA personnel in response to a query of such records;
  - To the extent possible, an estimate of the number of records of United States persons that have been acquired by NSA as part of the bulk telephone metadata program and the number of such records that have been reviewed by NSA personnel in response to a query.
- A report, unclassified to the greatest extent possible, and with a classified annex if necessary, describing all NSA bulk collection activities, including when such activities began, the cost of such activities, what types of records have been collected in the past, what types of records are currently being collected, and any plans for future bulk collection.
- A report, unclassified to the greatest extent possible, and with a classified annex if necessary, including a list of terrorist activities that were disrupted, in whole or in part, with the aid

of information obtained through NSA's telephone metadata program and whether this information could have been promptly obtained by other means.

#### PRESERVATION OF MILITARY HISTORY

The Committee recognizes the importance of preserving military history, especially that which is related to U.S. campaigns on foreign soil. Military history and education facilities are integral to the preservation of the national history of the United States and are a direct reminder of the service and sacrifices made by members of the military services, the Coast Guard, Merchant Marines, and their families. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense and the Secretaries and Chiefs of each military Department to examine these national assets to ensure the Nation's continued commitment to the preservation of military history.

**TITLE I  
MILITARY PERSONNEL**

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required for basic pay, retired pay accrual, employers' contribution for Social Security taxes, basic allowance for subsistence, basic allowance for housing, special and incentive pays, permanent change of station travel, and other personnel costs for uniformed members of the Armed Forces.

The President's fiscal year 2014 budget requests a total of \$130,399,881,000 for military personnel appropriations. This request funds an Active component end strength of 1,361,400 and a Reserve component end strength of 833,700.

**SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION**

The Committee recommends military personnel appropriations totaling \$129,133,927,000 for fiscal year 2014. This is \$1,265,954,000 below the budget estimate.

Committee recommended military personnel appropriations for fiscal year 2014 are summarized below:

**SUMMARY OF MILITARY PERSONNEL APPROPRIATIONS**

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
<b>Military Personnel:</b>			
Military Personnel, Army .....	41,037,790	40,796,005	- 241,785
Military Personnel, Navy .....	27,824,444	27,606,615	- 217,829
Military Personnel, Marine Corps .....	12,905,216	12,720,114	- 185,102
Military Personnel, Air Force .....	28,519,877	28,252,060	- 267,817
<b>Reserve Personnel:</b>			
Reserve Personnel, Army .....	4,565,261	4,430,784	- 134,477
Reserve Personnel, Navy .....	1,891,936	1,846,795	- 45,141
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps .....	677,499	657,841	- 19,658
Reserve Personnel, Air Force .....	1,758,629	1,719,497	- 39,132
<b>National Guard Personnel:</b>			
National Guard Personnel, Army .....	8,041,268	7,959,072	- 82,196
National Guard Personnel, Air Force .....	3,177,961	3,145,144	- 32,817
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>130,399,881</b>	<b>129,133,927</b>	<b>- 1,265,954</b>

Committee recommended end strengths for fiscal year 2014 are summarized below:

**RECOMMENDED END STRENGTH**

Item	2013 authorization	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
<b>Active:</b>				
Army .....	552,100	520,000	520,000	.....
Navy .....	322,700	323,600	323,600	.....



## RECOMMENDED END STRENGTH—Continued

Item	2013 authorization	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Marine Corps .....	197,300	190,200	190,200	.....
Air Force .....	329,460	327,600	327,600	.....
Subtotal .....	1,401,560	1,361,400	1,361,400	.....
Selected Reserve:				
Army Reserve .....	205,000	205,000	205,000	.....
Navy Reserve .....	62,500	59,100	59,100	.....
Marine Corps Reserve .....	39,600	39,600	39,600	.....
Air Force Reserve .....	70,880	70,400	70,400	.....
Army National Guard .....	358,200	354,200	354,200	.....
Air National Guard .....	105,700	105,400	105,400	.....
Subtotal .....	841,880	833,700	833,700	.....
TOTAL .....	2,243,440	2,195,100	2,195,100	.....

Committee recommended end strengths for full-time support of the Reserve and Guard for fiscal year 2014 are summarized below:

## RECOMMENDED ACTIVE GUARD AND RESERVE END STRENGTH

Item	2013 authorization	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Active Guard and Reserve:				
Army Reserve .....	16,277	16,261	16,261	.....
Navy Reserve .....	10,114	10,159	10,159	.....
Marine Corps Reserve .....	2,261	2,261	2,261	.....
Air Force Reserve .....	2,888	2,911	2,911	.....
Army National Guard .....	32,060	32,060	32,060	.....
Air National Guard .....	14,765	14,734	14,734	.....
TOTAL .....	78,365	78,386	78,386	.....

## MILITARY PERSONNEL OVERVIEW

*Reserve Component Budget Reporting.*—The Committee continues its requirement for the Department to provide a semi-annual detailed report to the congressional defense committees showing transfers between subactivities within the military personnel appropriation. Reports shall be submitted not later than 30 days following the end of the second quarter and 30 days following the end of the fiscal year.

*Permanent Change of Station [PCS].*—The Committee notes that the services expect to underexecute their PCS budgets by more than \$124,000,000 in fiscal year 2013 due to lower average costs per move and fewer overall moves than budgeted. This underexecution comes on top of the \$146,792,500 reduction to PCS budgets in the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2013. While the Committee awaits the report required by that bill from the Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness) on potential efficiencies in the PCS program, it believes savings can be realized in the fiscal year 2014 PCS program and recommends a total reduction of \$294,265,000 across the services' operational and rotational base budgets. The Committee supports the services' in-

creased time on station goals and encourages them to continue working to meet these established goals.

*Unobligated Balances.*—A Government Accountability Office analysis of past year obligation rates shows that the services continue to underexecute their military personnel accounts. Due to excess unobligated balances, the Committee recommends a total reduction of \$491,239,000 from the fiscal year 2014 military personnel accounts.

*Enlistment of DACA Recipients.*—The Committee is concerned that immigrants who have received Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals [DACA] are not authorized to enlist in the Armed Forces, which has a negative impact on military recruitment and readiness. Under 10 U.S.C. section 504, Service Secretaries can authorize the enlistment of non-citizens when it is “vital to the national interest.” Enlisting DACA recipients would allow the Armed Forces to access an expanded pool of recruits. To be eligible for DACA, an individual must have entered the United States as a child; graduated from secondary school, obtained a general equivalency degree, or be currently enrolled in school; and not have a serious criminal record. DACA recipients are, by definition, educated and integrated into American society, and many have shown an interest in and aptitude for military service through participation in Junior Reserve Officers’ Training Corps [JROTC]. The requirements to qualify for DACA are similar to those for legislation known as the DREAM [Development Relief and Education of Alien Minors] Act. In 2011, Dr. Clifford Stanley, then-Undersecretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, testified in support of the DREAM Act, noting it would allow the Department of Defense “to expand the market of high-quality youth to the advantage of military recruitment and readiness.” In 2010, then-Secretary of Defense Robert Gates said the DREAM Act “will result in improved recruitment results and attendant gains in unit manning and military performance.” Accordingly, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, no later than 90 days after enactment of this act, to provide an unclassified report to the congressional defense committees on the feasibility and impact on military recruitment and readiness of authorizing the enlistment of DACA recipients.

*Accession of Officers With Auditory Impairments.*—The Committee directs the Department of the Air Force to study the feasibility and advisability of permitting individuals with auditory impairments, including deafness, to access as officers in the Armed Forces.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$40,146,213,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	41,037,790,000
Committee recommendation .....	40,796,005,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112–25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$40,796,005,000. This is \$241,785,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY			
	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	BASIC PAY .....	6,751,445	6,751,445	.....
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL .....	2,182,873	2,182,873	.....
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING .....	2,110,476	2,110,476	.....
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE .....	281,099	281,099	.....
35	INCENTIVE PAYS .....	89,669	89,669	.....
40	SPECIAL PAYS .....	374,353	374,353	.....
45	ALLOWANCES .....	225,840	225,840	.....
50	SEPARATION PAY .....	107,216	107,216	.....
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX .....	513,274	513,274	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	12,636,245	12,636,245	.....
	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	BASIC PAY .....	12,761,868	12,714,118	- 47,750
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL .....	4,130,751	4,130,751	.....
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING .....	4,653,429	4,653,429	.....
85	INCENTIVE PAYS .....	95,637	95,637	.....
90	SPECIAL PAYS .....	507,912	469,912	- 38,000
95	ALLOWANCES .....	915,101	915,101	.....
100	SEPARATION PAY .....	287,133	287,133	.....
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX .....	976,224	976,224	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2 .....	24,328,055	24,242,305	- 85,750
	ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS			
110	ACADEMY CADETS .....	77,959	77,959	.....
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE .....	1,252,752	1,252,752	.....
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND .....	707,647	707,647	.....
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE .....	2,121	2,121	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....	1,962,520	1,962,520	.....
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL .....	169,697	169,697	.....
130	TRAINING TRAVEL .....	126,908	126,908	.....
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL .....	524,098	471,688	- 52,410
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL .....	693,315	623,983	- 69,332
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL .....	222,146	222,146	.....
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS .....	9,887	9,887	.....
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE .....	10,160	10,160	.....
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE .....	40,238	40,238	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 .....	1,796,449	1,674,707	- 121,742
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS .....	960	960	.....
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS .....	725	725	.....
180	DEATH GRATUITIES .....	61,900	61,900	.....
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS .....	282,863	282,863	.....
195	EDUCATION BENEFITS .....	636	636	.....
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES .....	4,326	4,326	.....
	RESERVE INCOME REPLACEMENT PROGRAM .....	326	326	.....
216	SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS .....	117,559	117,559	.....
217	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC) .....	42,407	42,407	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6 .....	511,702	511,702	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	LESS REIMBURSABLES .....	- 275,140	- 275,140	.....
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT .....	.....	- 34,293	- 34,293
	TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, ARMY .....	41,037,790	40,796,005	- 241,785
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY .....	41,037,790	40,796,005	- 241,785

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget request
	BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	Basic Pay .....	12,761,868	12,714,118	- 47,750
	Improving funds management: Lower than budgeted strength levels .....	.....	.....	- 47,750
90	Special Pays .....	507,912	469,912	- 38,000
	Improving funds management: Enlistment bonuses excess to requirement .....	.....	.....	- 38,000
	BA 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL			
135	Operational Travel .....	524,098	471,688	- 52,410
	Improving funds management: PCS efficiency .....	.....	.....	- 52,410
140	Rotational Travel .....	693,315	623,983	- 69,332
	Improving funds management: PCS efficiency .....	.....	.....	- 69,332
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....	.....	- 34,293	- 34,293

## MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$26,866,844,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	27,824,444,000
Committee recommendation .....	27,606,615,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$27,606,615,000. This is \$217,829,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY			
	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	BASIC PAY .....	3,934,736	3,934,736	.....
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL .....	1,273,217	1,273,217	.....
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING .....	1,413,796	1,413,796	.....
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE .....	160,319	160,319	.....
35	INCENTIVE PAYS .....	131,293	131,293	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
40	SPECIAL PAYS .....	432,843	432,843	.....
45	ALLOWANCES .....	127,172	127,172	.....
50	SEPARATION PAY .....	39,244	39,244	.....
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX .....	299,218	299,218	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	7,811,838	7,811,838	.....
	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	BASIC PAY .....	8,610,541	8,610,541	.....
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL .....	2,789,555	2,789,555	.....
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING .....	3,977,998	3,929,998	- 48,000
85	INCENTIVE PAYS .....	103,672	103,672	.....
90	SPECIAL PAYS .....	877,215	817,215	- 60,000
95	ALLOWANCES .....	590,803	590,803	.....
100	SEPARATION PAY .....	255,663	255,663	.....
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX .....	658,707	658,707	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2 .....	17,864,154	17,756,154	- 108,000
	ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF MIDSHIPMEN			
110	MIDSHIPMEN .....	77,592	77,592	.....
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE .....	764,626	764,626	.....
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND .....	439,545	439,545	.....
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE .....	12	12	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....	1,204,183	1,204,183	.....
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL .....	102,042	102,042	.....
130	TRAINING TRAVEL .....	96,869	96,869	.....
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL .....	272,379	245,141	- 27,238
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL .....	301,392	271,253	- 30,139
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL .....	133,977	133,977	.....
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS .....	36,790	36,790	.....
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE .....	1,212	1,212	.....
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE .....	8,545	8,545	.....
165	OTHER .....	3,514	3,514	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 .....	956,720	899,343	- 57,377
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS .....	199	199	.....
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS .....	1,660	1,660	.....
180	DEATH GRATUITIES .....	17,400	17,400	.....
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS .....	124,716	116,716	- 8,000
195	EDUCATION BENEFITS .....	18,809	18,809	.....
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES .....	210	210	.....
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY .....	5,750	5,750	.....
215	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE .....	92	92	.....
217	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC) .....	21,271	21,271	.....
218	JUNIOR ROTC .....	14,069	14,069	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6 .....	204,176	196,176	- 8,000
	LESS REIMBURSABLES .....	- 294,219	- 294,219	.....
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT .....	.....	- 44,452	- 44,452
	TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, NAVY .....	27,824,444	27,606,615	- 217,829
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY .....	27,824,444	27,606,615	- 217,829

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	<b>BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
80	Basic Allowance For Housing .....	3,977,998	3,929,998	- 48,000
	Improving funds management: Excess to requirement .....			- 48,000
90	Special Pays .....	877,215	817,215	- 60,000
	Improving funds management: Reenlistment bonuses excess to requirement .....			- 60,000
	<b>BA 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL</b>			
135	Operational Travel .....	272,379	245,141	- 27,238
	Improving funds management: PCS efficiency .....			- 27,238
140	Rotational Travel .....	301,392	271,253	- 30,139
	Improving funds management: PCS efficiency .....			- 30,139
	<b>BA 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS</b>			
185	Unemployment Benefits .....	124,716	116,716	- 8,000
	Improving funds management: Excess to requirement .....			- 8,000
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT</b>			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....		- 44,452	- 44,452

## MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> ..... \$12,515,011,000  
 Budget estimate, 2014 ..... 12,905,216,000  
 Committee recommendation ..... 12,720,114,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$12,720,114,000. This is \$185,102,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	<b>MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS</b>			
	<b>ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS</b>			
5	BASIC PAY .....	1,458,728	1,459,828	+ 1,100
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL .....	472,134	472,134	
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING .....	479,739	479,739	
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE .....	61,565	61,565	
35	INCENTIVE PAYS .....	40,634	40,634	
40	SPECIAL PAYS .....	12,746	12,746	
45	ALLOWANCES .....	43,866	43,866	
50	SEPARATION PAY .....	16,856	16,856	
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX .....	110,942	110,942	
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....</b>	<b>2,697,210</b>	<b>2,698,310</b>	<b>+ 1,100</b>
	<b>ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
60	BASIC PAY .....	4,746,121	4,703,321	- 42,800
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL .....	1,533,530	1,533,530	

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING .....	1,652,636	1,652,636	.....
85	INCENTIVE PAYS .....	9,832	9,832	.....
90	SPECIAL PAYS .....	154,862	125,862	-29,000
95	ALLOWANCES .....	335,728	335,728	.....
100	SEPARATION PAY .....	73,213	73,213	.....
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX .....	362,126	362,126	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2 .....	8,868,048	8,796,248	-71,800
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE .....	438,034	438,034	.....
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND .....	296,986	296,986	.....
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE .....	10	10	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....	735,030	735,030	.....
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL .....	57,933	57,933	.....
130	TRAINING TRAVEL .....	23,061	23,061	.....
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL .....	209,371	188,434	-20,937
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL .....	101,809	95,128	-6,681
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL .....	93,399	93,399	.....
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS .....	784	784	.....
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE .....	6,888	6,888	.....
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE .....	14,918	14,918	.....
165	OTHER .....	3,312	3,312	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 .....	511,475	483,857	-27,618
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS .....	751	751	.....
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS .....	20	20	.....
180	DEATH GRATUITIES .....	10,100	10,100	.....
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS .....	96,264	96,264	.....
195	EDUCATION BENEFITS .....	2,375	2,375	.....
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES .....	72	72	.....
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY .....	3,085	3,085	.....
215	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE .....	102	102	.....
218	JUNIOR ROTC .....	5,035	5,035	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6 .....	117,804	117,804	.....
	LESS REIMBURSABLES .....	-24,351	-24,351	.....
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT .....	.....	-86,784	-86,784
	TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, MARINE CORPS .....	12,905,216	12,720,114	-185,102
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS .....	12,905,216	12,720,114	-185,102

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
5	BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
	Basic Pay .....	1,458,728	1,459,828	+1,100
	Marine Corps unfunded requirement: Marine Security Guard expansion .....	.....	.....	+1,100

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	<b>BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
60	Basic Pay .....	4,746,121	4,703,321	-42,800
	Improving funds management: Projected underexecution ..			-66,000
	Marine Corps unfunded requirement: Marine Security Guard expansion .....			+23,200
90	Special Pays .....	154,862	125,862	-29,000
	Improving funds management: Projected underexecution ..			-10,000
	Improving funds management: Reenlistment bonuses excess to requirement .....			-19,000
	<b>BA 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL</b>			
135	Operational Travel .....	209,371	188,434	-20,937
	Improving funds management: PCS efficiency .....			-20,937
140	Rotational Travel .....	101,809	95,128	-6,681
	Improving funds management: PCS efficiency .....			-10,181
	Marine Corps unfunded requirement: Marine Security Guard expansion .....			+3,500
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT</b>			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....		-86,784	-86,784

### MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$28,015,805,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	28,519,877,000
Committee recommendation .....	28,252,060,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$28,252,060,000. This is \$267,817,000 below the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	<b>MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE</b>			
	<b>ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS</b>			
5	BASIC PAY .....	4,896,132	4,856,132	-40,000
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL .....	1,577,877	1,577,877	
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING .....	1,498,352	1,498,352	
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE .....	197,950	197,950	
35	INCENTIVE PAYS .....	206,177	206,177	
40	SPECIAL PAYS .....	303,634	303,634	
45	ALLOWANCES .....	134,661	134,661	
50	SEPARATION PAY .....	122,844	122,844	
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX .....	372,960	372,960	
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....</b>	<b>9,310,587</b>	<b>9,270,587</b>	<b>-40,000</b>
	<b>ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
60	BASIC PAY .....	8,764,297	8,759,297	-5,000
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL .....	2,831,706	2,831,706	
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING .....	3,610,470	3,610,470	
85	INCENTIVE PAYS .....	42,599	42,599	
90	SPECIAL PAYS .....	341,821	341,821	
95	ALLOWANCES .....	590,403	590,403	



[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
100	SEPARATION PAY .....	176,663	176,663	.....
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX .....	670,467	670,467	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2 .....	17,028,426	17,023,426	- 5,000
	ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS			
110	ACADEMY CADETS .....	69,612	69,612	.....
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE .....	977,880	977,880	.....
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND .....	156,439	156,439	.....
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE .....	33	33	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....	1,134,352	1,134,352	.....
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL .....	86,485	86,485	.....
130	TRAINING TRAVEL .....	79,127	66,127	- 13,000
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL .....	327,304	294,574	- 32,730
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL .....	512,982	461,684	- 51,298
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL .....	169,760	169,760	.....
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS .....	16,123	16,123	.....
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE .....	41,132	41,132	.....
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE .....	30,183	30,183	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 .....	1,263,096	1,166,068	- 97,028
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS .....	124	124	.....
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS .....	3,440	3,440	.....
180	DEATH GRATUITIES .....	16,500	16,500	.....
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS .....	65,562	65,562	.....
195	EDUCATION BENEFITS .....	209	209	.....
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES .....	628	628	.....
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY .....	5,900	5,900	.....
215	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE .....	1,930	1,930	.....
217	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC) .....	29,849	29,849	.....
218	JUNIOR ROTC .....	16,373	16,373	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6 .....	140,515	140,515	.....
	LESS REIMBURSABLES .....	- 426,711	- 426,711	.....
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT .....	.....	- 125,789	- 125,789
	TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, AIR FORCE .....	28,519,877	28,252,060	- 267,817
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE .....	28,519,877	28,252,060	- 267,817

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget request
	BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	Basic Pay .....	4,896,132	4,856,132	- 40,000
	Improving funds management: Lower than budgeted strength levels .....	.....	.....	- 40,000
	BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	Basic Pay .....	8,764,297	8,759,297	- 5,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget request
	Improving funds management: Active Duty for Operational Support excess to requirement .....			- 5,000
	<b>BA 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL</b>			
130	Training Travel .....	79,127	66,127	- 13,000
	Improving funds management: Excess to requirement ..			- 13,000
135	Operational Travel .....	327,304	294,574	- 32,730
	Improving funds management: PCS efficiency .....			- 32,730
140	Rotational Travel .....	512,982	461,684	- 51,298
	Improving funds management: PCS efficiency .....			- 51,298
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT</b>			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances ...		- 125,789	- 125,789

**RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY**

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$4,450,941,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	4,565,261,000
Committee recommendation .....	4,430,784,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,430,784,000. This is \$134,477,000 below the budget estimate.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM**

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	<b>RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY</b>			
	<b>ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT</b>			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48) .....	1,578,274	1,558,274	- 20,000
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY) .....	39,508	39,508	
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS) .....	276,721	265,221	- 11,500
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS) .....	13,225	13,225	
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING .....	7,629	7,629	
70	SCHOOL TRAINING .....	206,138	206,138	
80	SPECIAL TRAINING .....	261,954	261,954	
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT .....	2,034,705	2,034,705	
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS .....	22,687	22,687	
120	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP .....	63,459	63,459	
130	OTHER PROGRAMS .....	60,961	60,961	
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....</b>	<b>4,565,261</b>	<b>4,533,761</b>	<b>- 31,500</b>
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT .....</b>		<b>- 102,977</b>	<b>- 102,977</b>
	<b>TOTAL RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY .....</b>	<b>4,565,261</b>	<b>4,430,784</b>	<b>- 134,477</b>

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS**

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget request
	<b>BA 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING</b>			
10	Pay Group A Training (15 Days & Drills 24/48) .....	1,578,274	1,558,274	-20,000
	Improving funds management: Lower than budgeted strength levels .....			-20,000
30	Pay Group F Training (Recruits) .....	276,721	265,221	-11,500
	Improving funds management: Projected underexecution .....			-11,500
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT</b>			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....		-102,977	-102,977

**RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY**

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$1,871,550,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	1,891,936,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,846,795,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,846,795,000. This is \$45,141,000 below the budget estimate.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM**

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	<b>RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY</b>			
	<b>ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT</b>			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48) .....	602,319	602,319	.....
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY) .....	9,489	9,489	.....
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS) .....	50,501	50,501	.....
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING .....	8,986	8,986	.....
70	SCHOOL TRAINING .....	55,326	50,726	-4,600
80	SPECIAL TRAINING .....	101,870	89,470	-12,400
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT .....	1,006,454	1,002,454	-4,000
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS .....	104	104	.....
120	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP .....	56,887	56,887	.....
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....</b>	<b>1,891,936</b>	<b>1,870,936</b>	<b>-21,000</b>
	<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT .....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>-24,141</b>	<b>-24,141</b>
	<b>TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY .....</b>	<b>1,891,936</b>	<b>1,846,795</b>	<b>-45,141</b>

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS**

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
70	BA 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
	School Training .....	55,326	50,726	-4,600

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Improving funds management: Unit conversion training excess to requirement .....			- 4,600
80	Special Training .....	101,870	89,470	- 12,400
	Improving funds management: Active Duty special training excess to requirement .....			- 12,400
90	Administration and Support .....	1,006,454	1,002,454	- 4,000
	Improving funds management: Full-time support bonuses excess to requirement .....			- 1,000
	Improving funds management: Officer retention bonuses excess to requirement .....			- 3,000
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....		- 24,141	- 24,141

### RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$657,382,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	677,499,000
Committee recommendation .....	657,841,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$657,841,000. This is \$19,658,000 below the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48) .....	233,722	233,722	.....
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY) .....	30,555	30,555	.....
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS) .....	135,088	135,088	.....
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING .....	3,677	3,677	.....
70	SCHOOL TRAINING .....	19,448	19,448	.....
80	SPECIAL TRAINING .....	18,968	18,968	.....
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT .....	227,453	217,453	- 10,000
95	PLATOON LEADER CLASS .....	7,770	7,770	.....
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS .....	818	818	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	677,499	667,499	- 10,000
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT .....		- 9,658	- 9,658
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS .....	677,499	657,841	- 19,658

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
90	Administration And Support .....	227,453	217,453	-10,000
	Improving funds management: Full-time pay and allowances projected underexecution .....			-10,000
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....		-9,658	-9,658

## RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$1,720,152,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	1,758,629,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,719,497,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,719,497,000. This is \$39,132,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48) .....	672,181	664,181	-8,000
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY) .....	104,818	100,068	-4,750
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS) .....	73,281	73,281	
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS) .....	755	755	
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING .....	568	568	
70	SCHOOL TRAINING .....	149,078	149,078	
80	SPECIAL TRAINING .....	295,335	295,335	
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT .....	388,973	374,973	-14,000
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS .....	13,507	13,507	
120	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP .....	55,220	55,220	
130	OTHER PROGRAMS (ADMIN & SUPPORT) .....	4,913	4,913	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	1,758,629	1,731,879	-26,750
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT .....		-12,382	-12,382
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE .....	1,758,629	1,719,497	-39,132

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget request
	BA 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
10	Pay Group A Training (15 Days & Drills 24/48) .....	672,181	664,181	-8,000
	Improving funds management: Lower than budgeted officer strength .....			-8,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget request
20	Pay Group B Training (Backfill for Active Duty) .....	104,818	100,068	- 4,750
	Improving funds management: Lower than budgeted strength levels .....			- 4,750
90	Administration and Support .....	388,973	374,973	- 14,000
	Improving funds management: Reserve incentive program excess to requirement .....			- 14,000
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....		- 12,382	- 12,382

### NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$7,971,044,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	8,041,268,000
Committee recommendation .....	7,959,072,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$7,959,072,000. This is \$82,196,000 below the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48) .....	2,400,466	2,392,466	- 8,000
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS) .....	557,753	540,503	- 17,250
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS) .....	35,718	35,718	
70	SCHOOL TRAINING .....	576,399	576,399	
80	SPECIAL TRAINING .....	665,242	665,242	
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT .....	3,779,017	3,758,017	- 21,000
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS .....	26,673	26,673	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	8,041,268	7,995,018	- 46,250
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT .....		- 35,946	- 35,946
	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY .....	8,041,268	7,959,072	- 82,196

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget request
	BA1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
10	Pay Group A Training (15 Days & Drills 24/48) .....	2,400,466	2,392,466	- 8,000
	Improving funds management: Lower than budgeted strength levels .....			- 8,000
30	Pay Group F Training (Recruits) .....	557,753	540,503	- 17,250

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget request
	Improving funds management: Lower than budgeted strength levels .....			- 17,250
90	Administration and Support .....	3,779,017	3,758,017	- 21,000
	Improving funds management: Enlistment bonuses initial payments excess to requirement .....			- 21,000
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances ...		- 35,946	- 35,946

### NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$3,149,828,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	3,177,961,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,145,144,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,145,144,000. This is \$32,817,000 below the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48) .....	943,573	925,573	- 18,000
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS) .....	111,468	111,468	
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS) .....	5,006	5,006	
70	SCHOOL TRAINING .....	250,327	250,327	
80	SPECIAL TRAINING .....	165,588	165,588	
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT .....	1,684,563	1,684,563	
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS .....	17,436	17,436	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	3,177,961	3,159,961	- 18,000
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT .....		- 14,817	- 14,817
	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE .....	3,177,961	3,145,144	- 32,817

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget request
	BA 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
10	Pay Group A Training (15 Days & Drills 24/48) .....	943,573	925,573	- 18,000
	Improving funds management: Travel active duty for training projected underexecution .....			- 18,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget request
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....	.....	-14,817	-14,817



**TITLE II**  
**OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required to prepare for and conduct combat operations and other peace time missions. These funds are used to purchase fuel and spare parts for training operations, pay supporting civilian personnel, and purchase supplies, equipment, and service contracts for the repair of weapons and facilities.

The President's fiscal year 2014 budget requests a total of \$175,097,941,000 for operation and maintenance appropriations.

**SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION**

The Committee recommends operation and maintenance appropriations totaling \$178,573,167,000 for fiscal year 2014. This is \$3,475,226,000 above the budget estimate.

Committee recommended operation and maintenance appropriations for fiscal year 2014 are summarized below:

**SUMMARY OF OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE APPROPRIATIONS**

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
<b>Operation and Maintenance:</b>			
Operation and Maintenance, Army .....	35,073,077	36,938,580	+ 1,865,503
Operation and Maintenance, Navy .....	39,945,237	41,613,205	+ 1,667,968
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps .....	6,254,650	6,359,736	+ 105,086
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force .....	37,270,842	37,626,333	+ 355,491
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide .....	32,997,693	32,597,068	- 400,625
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve .....	3,095,036	3,096,436	+ 1,400
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve .....	1,197,752	1,208,552	+ 10,800
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve .....	263,317	261,317	- 2,000
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve .....	3,164,607	3,148,307	- 16,300
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard .....	7,054,196	7,082,599	+ 28,403
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard .....	6,566,004	6,505,204	- 60,800
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces .....	13,606	13,606	.....
Environmental Restoration, Army .....	298,815	298,815	.....
Environmental Restoration, Navy .....	316,103	316,103	.....
Environmental Restoration, Air Force .....	439,820	439,820	.....
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide .....	10,757	10,757	.....
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites .....	237,443	287,443	+ 50,000
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid .....	109,500	109,500	.....
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account .....	528,455	528,455	.....
OCOTF .....	5,000	.....	- 5,000
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund ..	256,031	131,331	- 124,700
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>175,097,941</b>	<b>178,573,167</b>	<b>+ 3,475,226</b>

**OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OVERVIEW**

*Civilian Workforce.*—The civilian workforce has faced many challenges over the past several years. The last pay raise was in 2010.

In 2013, the Department took specific action to release temporary employees, freeze hiring, and furlough most of its civilian workforce due to sequestration. The Committee recognizes the critical role that the civilian workforce plays every day in ensuring the mission success of the Armed Forces. The Committee is increasingly concerned over the impact of these challenges on the Department's ability to maintain a stable, effective, right-sized civilian cadre. Therefore, the Committee fully funds the 1 percent pay raise for civilian employees as requested.

*Overestimation of Civilian Workforce.*—While the Committee supports a strong civilian workforce, the fiscal year 2014 budget request substantially overestimates the number of civilians that will be employed during fiscal year 2014. Through analysis directed by the Committee during the budget review, each service and defense agency identified the current estimate for civilian full time equivalents [FTE] that will be on the books in fiscal year 2013 and it is far short of what was planned for in the budget request. The results showed that the total difference between the planned fiscal year 2013 FTE level and the current estimate is approximately 11,660 FTE. That means that at the beginning of fiscal year 2014 the Department of Defense will have 11,660 fewer FTE than the planned starting number. Considering that the fully-burdened average salary of Department of Defense civilians is approximately \$100,000 that could mean an overstatement of about \$1,200,000,000 in the operation and maintenance accounts based on the overestimation of civilian FTE levels at the beginning of fiscal year 2014. The Committee recommendation includes an overestimation reduction.

*Voluntary Military Education Programs—Tracking Outcomes.*—The Committee is concerned about the lack of information available on the outcomes of students receiving Tuition Assistance and My Career Advancement Account [MyCAA] benefits. Therefore, the Committee directs the Department to submit a report tracking such outcomes of each of these programs. The report shall be submitted on or before June 1, 2014, and shall include, but not be limited to, the following data totals for calendar year 2013: an aggregate graduation rate, loan default rate, and average indebtedness. Additionally, the report shall then disaggregate the data to show these same metrics by sector: public, private for-profit, and private not-for-profit. Finally, the report shall include the percentage of servicemembers utilizing the Top-Up program for voluntary military education and the average dollar amount of usage. Additionally, the Department is encouraged to make an effort to gather data on the jobs attained after graduation, specifically whether those jobs can be reasonably said to be in the field of study identified in the students' education plans.

*Voluntary Military Education Programs—Education Service Officers and Third Party Audits.*—The Committee recognizes the importance of voluntary military education programs to the continued education of our men and women in uniform and their spouses. In recent years, the programs have grown in popularity among servicemembers and, as such, in cost to the Federal Government. The Committee notes recent efforts to improve oversight; however, questions remain as to the effectiveness of these efforts.

The Committee is concerned that the ratio of Education Service Officers [ESOs] to servicemembers is not optimized to provide the level of support required to properly advise servicemembers about educational opportunities and the financial obligations tied to them. The Committee is also concerned about the number and transparency of third party audits of schools within the Department's Tuition Assistance program. The Committee encourages the Department to further analyze the ratio of ESOs to servicemembers and the number and transparency of third party audits to make improvements during fiscal year 2014.

In order to better inform future requests, the Committee directs the Government Accountability Office [GAO] to provide a report to the congressional defense committees making recommendations on the issues of ESO ratios and third party audits within 90 days of the date of enactment of this act. Within the analysis, the Committee suggests the GAO review counseling service ratios in educational support programs across the Government to measure the Department of Defense's efforts against those of similar programs. Also, the GAO shall review the current third party audits of schools within the Tuition Assistance program and provide recommendations for improvements to the audit process, if warranted, and shall provide examples of organizations with the expertise to conduct such reviews.

*Extremity Protection Program.*—The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to fully fund the Cold Weather Protective Equipment—Extremity Protection Program in order to sustain research and development programs, acquisition requirements and to maintain a basic combat capability in protective equipment for servicemembers.

*Telecommunications Expense Management.*—The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2012, directed the Secretary of Defense to complete a study and assess the feasibility of using commercial off-the-shelf [COTS] telecommunication expense management solutions to improve enterprise visibility over the Department's telecommunications expenses and identify possible efficiencies in this growing technological area. Since that study was completed, the Department of Defense Chief Information Officer [CIO] promulgated guidance regarding the use of these management solutions. The Committee is concerned that the military services are not yet in compliance with the CIO's guidance. Therefore, the Committee recommends that the Secretary of Defense defer new large telecommunications contracts until the Department has begun to proactively manage telecommunications usage utilizing competitively awarded COTS expense management solutions in accordance with the CIO guidance.

*Combat and Camouflage Utility Uniforms.*—The Committee is concerned about the high cost of and disparity in protection from separate combat and camouflage utility uniforms fielded by the military services. The Committee believes that the Secretary of Defense should take steps to end separate development and fielding of service-specific combat and camouflage utility uniforms and adopt and field the same combat and camouflage utility uniforms for use by all members of the Armed Forces to the maximum extent practicable. The issue is addressed in section 8038 of this act

which is consistent with S. 1197, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, as reported.

*Presidential Management Fellows Program.*—The Committee is concerned about the future of the Presidential Management Fellow [PMF] Program in the Office of the Secretary of Defense [OSD]. The PMF Program serves as an important tool to grow young professionals as future leaders in OSD. In this time of budget uncertainty, it is essential that the Department capitalize on its investment in the next generation of leadership and plan to incorporate them into the workforce. The Committee directs the Department to continue to fully fund the program at the President's budget request level and offer placement of no fewer than three new PMFs into the program in fiscal year 2014. The Committee further directs that successful PMFs shall be converted into full-time permanent positions within the Office of the Secretary of Defense at the completion of the PMF program.

*Reserve and Guard Access to the National Military Command Center.*—The Committee is concerned about the recent limitation in access for Guard and Reserve personnel assigned on long-term orders to drill in the National Military Command Center (NMCC) under Joint Staff Instruction 5241.01G. Members of the Guard and Reserve perform critical functions to the NMCC and their presence enables continued cost-effective operations. The Committee directs that Guard and Reserve personnel drilling in the NMCC shall be provided the same access as Active Duty and civilian personnel assigned to the NMCC.

*Advanced Trauma Training Program for National Guard and Reserve.*—The Committee recognizes the valuable support universities, hospitals, and other military partners provide by offering civilian-based emergency response trauma training to sustain medics' and medical providers' capabilities of the National Guard Enhanced Response Forces Packages [CERFP], National Guard Homeland Response Forces [NGHRF], and the Army Reserve Consequence Management Response Forces [CCMRF]. The Committee encourages the National Guard and Reserve to continue pursuing state of the art trauma training with these civilian partners, thus maintaining unit medical readiness postures at optimum levels as the CERFPs, NGHRFs, and CCMRFs military healthcare providers maintain their individual skills to respond effectively to emergency incidents on the Homeland. Furthermore, in order to minimize the civilian-military operational gaps, in the event of a catastrophic incident, the Committee also encourages the development of enhanced preparedness medical training programs focusing on mass casualty triage, advanced disaster life support, advanced hazardous material life support, emergency dental, and psychological health by increasing civilian-based advanced trauma expertise gained through day-to-day experiences and medical research programs.

*Decontamination Lotion.*—The Committee recognizes the importance of chemical warfare countermeasures that can be carried by individual service members and packaged in individual troop kits. In the event of a chemical attack, immediate access to decontamination measures will be critical to mitigate serious injuries due to exposure. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to place a high priority on current and developing decon-

tamination agents and fully fund annual service specific requirements.

*New Strategic Arms Treaty.*—The Committee is concerned about a possible delay in announcing New Strategic Arms Treaty force structure levels and encourages the Secretary of Defense to make those announcements prior to submission of the Fiscal Year 2015 budget request.

*Joint Prisoner of War/Missing in Action Accounting Command [JPAC] Efforts.*—Section 1509 of the Fiscal Year 2010 National Defense Authorization Act [NDAA] directed the Department of Defense to ensure that at least 200 missing persons are accounted for under the JPAC program annually beginning in fiscal year 2015. The Committee encourages the Department to consider partnering with research universities with expertise in archeology and remains recovery to assist in becoming compliant with the NDAA mandates.

*Dual-Status Military Technicians.*—Military technicians are civilian employees of the Department of Army or Department of the Air Force, employed by a State Adjutant General, but they are also members of the military because they are required to be drilling (part-time) military members of the National Guard. These technicians provide the day-to-day continuity in the operation and training of National Guard Units. Given their dual-status role, military technicians are required to maintain the same individual-level of readiness as their Active component counterparts and directly contribute to unit-level readiness in support of National Guard missions. As such, the Committee encourages the Department of Defense to treat military technician pay the same as their Active component counterparts when planning for employee furloughs.

*Stuart Creek 2 Wildfire.*—The Stuart Creek 2 Fire, which has burned 85,018 acres near residential areas of Fairbanks, Alaska, began June 19, 2013, on a military training range 25 miles east of North Pole. The Army is currently investigating whether or not the fire was caused by live fire artillery on the Army’s Yukon Training Range. The Committee is deeply concerned about reports that the live fire artillery exercise was permitted to occur notwithstanding the advice of the Bureau of Land Management’s Alaska Fire Service and the Fort Wainwright Garrison that it be postponed due to the high potential for wildfire. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Army to review its procedures for determining when to postpone exercises due to wildfire risk in light of the outcome of its investigation into the Stuart Creek Fire, and to report to the congressional defense committees on its conclusions no later than April 15, 2014.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$35,367,901,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	35,073,077,000
Committee recommendation .....	36,938,580,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112–25.

<sup>2</sup> Includes emergency funding of \$5,370,000 in the Disaster Relief Appropriations Act, 2013 (division A of Public Law 113–2).

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$36,938,580,000. This is \$1,865,503,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	LAND FORCES			
10	MANEUVER UNITS .....	888,114	1,276,685	+ 388,571
20	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES .....	72,624	80,890	+ 8,266
30	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES .....	617,402	674,028	+ 56,626
40	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS .....	602,262	614,866	+ 12,604
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	1,032,484	1,154,051	+ 121,567
60	AVIATION ASSETS .....	1,287,462	1,379,121	+ 91,659
	LAND FORCES READINESS			
70	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	3,559,656	3,540,427	- 19,229
80	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS .....	454,477	454,477	.....
90	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	1,481,156	2,584,156	+ 1,103,000
	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT			
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	7,278,154	7,413,375	+ 135,221
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION .....	2,754,712	3,129,712	+ 375,000
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS .....	425,271	425,271	.....
130	COMBATANT COMMANDER'S CORE OPERATIONS .....	185,064	185,064	.....
170	COMBATANT COMMANDERS ANCILLARY MISSIONS .....	463,270	463,270	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	21,102,108	23,375,393	+ 2,273,285
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
	MOBILITY OPERATIONS			
180	STRATEGIC MOBILITY .....	360,240	360,240	.....
190	ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS .....	192,105	192,105	.....
200	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS .....	7,101	7,101	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2 .....	559,446	559,446	.....
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
	ACCESSION TRAINING			
210	OFFICER ACQUISITION .....	115,992	115,992	.....
220	RECRUIT TRAINING .....	52,323	52,323	.....
230	ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING .....	43,589	43,589	.....
240	SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS .....	453,745	453,745	.....
	BASIC SKILL AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
250	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING .....	1,034,495	1,034,495	.....
260	FLIGHT TRAINING .....	1,016,876	1,051,876	+ 35,000
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION .....	186,565	186,565	.....
280	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	652,514	652,514	.....
	RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
290	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	485,500	485,500	.....
300	EXAMINING .....	170,912	170,912	.....
310	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION .....	251,523	251,523	.....
320	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING .....	184,422	184,422	.....
330	JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS .....	181,105	185,105	+ 4,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3 .....	4,829,561	4,868,561	+ 39,000
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	SECURITY PROGRAMS			
340	SECURITY PROGRAMS .....	1,023,946	1,023,946	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS			
350	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	690,089	690,089	.....
360	CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES .....	774,120	774,120	.....
370	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES .....	651,765	651,765	.....
380	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT .....	453,051	453,051	.....
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
390	ADMINISTRATION .....	487,737	460,955	- 26,782
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	1,563,115	1,563,115	.....
410	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT .....	326,853	313,853	- 13,000
420	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT .....	234,364	234,364	.....
430	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT .....	1,212,091	1,191,091	- 21,000
440	ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES .....	243,540	243,540	.....
450	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT .....	241,101	241,101	.....
460	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	226,291	226,291	.....
	SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS			
460	SUPPORT OF NATO OPERATIONS .....	426,651	426,651	.....
470	MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS .....	27,248	27,248	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....	8,581,962	8,521,180	- 60,782
	OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS .....	.....	- 378,000	- 378,000
	DTRA TRANSFER NOT ACCOUNTED FOR .....	.....	- 8,000	- 8,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY .....	35,073,077	36,938,580	+ 1,865,503

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
111	MANEUVER UNITS .....	888,114	1,276,685	+ 388,571
	Restoring Readiness: Army Unfunded Requirement for Brigade Combat Teams .....	.....	.....	+ 171,000
	Transfer from Title IX: Operating Costs to Support End Strength .....	.....	.....	+ 217,571
112	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES .....	72,624	80,890	+ 8,266
	Transfer from Title IX: Operating Costs to Support End Strength .....	.....	.....	+ 8,266
113	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE .....	617,402	674,028	+ 56,626
	Transfer from Title IX: Operating Costs to Support End Strength .....	.....	.....	+ 56,626
114	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS .....	602,262	614,866	+ 12,604
	Transfer from Title IX: Operating Costs to Support End Strength .....	.....	.....	+ 12,604
115	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	1,032,484	1,154,051	+ 121,567
	Budget Documentation Disparity: Training Programs ....	.....	.....	- 10,000
	Transfer from Title IX: Operating Costs to Support End Strength .....	.....	.....	+ 131,567
116	AVIATION ASSETS .....	1,287,462	1,379,121	+ 91,659
	Restoring Readiness: Army Unfunded Requirement for Flying Hour Program .....	.....	.....	+ 63,000
	Transfer from Title IX: Operating Costs to Support End Strength .....	.....	.....	+ 28,659
121	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	3,559,656	3,540,427	- 19,229
	Improving Funds Management: Lack of Justification for Increases for Forward Operating Budget Requests ....	.....	.....	- 228,229
	Restoring Readiness: Army Unfunded Requirement for Force Readiness Operations Support .....	.....	.....	+ 209,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
123	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	1,481,156	2,584,156	+ 1,103,000
	Restoring Readiness: Army Unfunded Requirement for Reset .....			+ 1,103,000
131	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	7,278,154	7,413,375	+ 135,221
	Environmental Conservation for Ranges to Address Shortfalls .....			+ 10,000
	Transfer from Title IX: Operating Costs to Support End Strength .....			+ 125,221
132	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION .....	2,754,712	3,129,712	+ 375,000
	Transfer: Arlington National Cemetery Funded in the Military Construction & Veterans Affairs Appropriations Bill .....			- 25,000
	Army Unfunded Requirement for Facility Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization .....			+ 400,000
322	FLIGHT TRAINING .....	1,016,876	1,051,876	+ 35,000
	Restoring Readiness: Army Unfunded Requirement for Flight Training .....			+ 35,000
335	JUNIOR ROTC .....	181,105	185,105	+ 4,000
	Increase for JROTC Program .....			+ 4,000
431	ADMINISTRATION .....	487,737	460,955	- 26,782
	Reduce Duplication: Eliminate Requested Growth to Headquarters .....			- 26,782
433	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT .....	326,853	313,853	- 13,000
	Budget Documentation Disparity: Civilian Workforce Transformation Program Funded in Budget Activity 3 .....			- 13,000
435	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT .....	1,212,091	1,191,091	- 21,000
	Reduce Duplication: Eliminate Requested Growth to Management and Operations .....			- 13,000
	Budget Documentation Disparity: Justification Does Not Match Summary of Price and Program Changes for the Defense Finance and Accounting Service Bill .....			- 8,000
UNDIST	Maintain Program Affordability: Overestimation of Civilian Full Time Equivalent Targets .....			- 378,000
UNDIST	Budget Documentation Disparity: Defense Threat Reduction Agency Transfer Not Properly Accounted for in Budget Documentation .....			- 8,000

*Army Force Structure.*—In late June of 2013, the Army announced plans to reduce its number of brigade combat teams [BCTs] from 45 to 33 by the end of fiscal year 2017 as a result of the Budget Control Act of 2011. The near-term funding reductions will be met through a reduction in authorized end strength from approximately 570,000 Active Duty and 358,000 Army National Guard to approximately 490,000 and 350,000, respectively. The Army Reserve will forgo a planned growth of 1,000 soldiers and will remain at 205,000. Twelve BCTs will be eliminated, but the remaining BCTs will be reorganized by adding one maneuver battalion (from 2 to 3) as well as engineer and fire capabilities, to make armor and infantry BCTs more lethal, flexible, and agile.

Despite the timing of the announcement, the Army claims that the budgetary implications of the restructuring decisions are included in the fiscal year 2014 President's budget submission. However, the cost of the restructuring cannot be identified in the budget justification. Therefore, the Committee directs the Army to provide a full cost analysis of its restructuring and stationing decisions to the congressional defense committees no later than 90 days after



the enactment of this act. The cost analysis shall include the following data broken out by fiscal year beginning in fiscal year 2014 and for each subsequent fiscal year across the future year defense plan [FYDP]: (a) the costs and savings assumed by appropriation with line item detail, and (b) workforce implications by showing changes broken out by civilian and contractor personnel.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$41,599,550,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	39,945,237,000
Committee recommendation .....	41,613,205,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112–25.

<sup>2</sup> Includes emergency funding of \$40,015,000 in the Disaster Relief Appropriations Act, 2013 (division A of Public Law 113–2).

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$41,613,205,000. This is \$1,667,968,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	AIR OPERATIONS			
10	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS .....	4,952,522	5,064,522	+ 112,000
20	FLEET AIR TRAINING .....	1,826,404	1,826,404	.....
30	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA AND ENGINEERING SERVICES .....	38,639	38,639	.....
40	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT .....	90,030	155,030	+ 65,000
50	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT .....	362,700	362,700	.....
60	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	915,881	1,036,881	+ 121,000
70	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	35,838	35,838	.....
80	AVIATION LOGISTICS .....	379,914	379,914	.....
	SHIP OPERATIONS			
90	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS .....	3,884,836	4,620,836	+ 736,000
100	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT AND TRAINING .....	734,852	734,852	.....
110	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	5,191,511	5,191,511	.....
120	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	1,351,274	1,381,274	+ 30,000
	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS/SUPPORT			
130	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS .....	701,316	681,316	– 20,000
140	ELECTRONIC WARFARE .....	97,710	97,710	.....
150	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE .....	172,330	172,330	.....
160	WARFARE TACTICS .....	454,682	454,682	.....
170	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY .....	328,406	328,406	.....
180	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES .....	946,429	1,087,297	+ 140,868
190	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE .....	142,249	142,249	.....
200	DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	2,603	2,603	.....
210	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS .....	102,970	102,970	.....
220	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT .....	199,128	199,128	.....
	WEAPONS SUPPORT			
230	CRUISE MISSILE .....	92,671	92,671	.....
240	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE .....	1,193,188	1,193,188	.....
250	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT .....	105,985	105,985	.....
260	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE .....	532,627	532,627	.....
270	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT .....	304,160	304,160	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BASE SUPPORT			
280	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY .....	1,011,528	1,011,528	.....
290	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	1,996,821	2,096,821	+ 100,000
300	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	4,460,918	4,830,918	+ 370,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	32,610,122	34,264,990	+ 1,654,868
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
	READY RESERVE AND PREPOSITIONING FORCES			
310	SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE .....	331,576	331,576	.....
	ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS			
320	AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS .....	6,638	6,638	.....
330	SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS .....	222,752	272,752	+ 50,000
	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS			
340	FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM .....	73,310	73,310	.....
350	INDUSTRIAL READINESS .....	2,675	2,675	.....
360	COAST GUARD SUPPORT .....	23,794	23,794	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2 .....	660,745	710,745	+ 50,000
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
	ACCESSION TRAINING			
370	OFFICER ACQUISITION .....	148,516	148,516	.....
380	RECRUIT TRAINING .....	9,384	9,384	.....
390	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS .....	139,876	139,876	.....
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
400	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING .....	630,069	630,069	.....
410	FLIGHT TRAINING .....	9,294	9,294	.....
420	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION .....	169,082	169,082	.....
430	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	164,368	164,368	.....
	RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
440	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	241,733	242,833	+ 1,100
450	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION .....	139,815	139,815	.....
460	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING .....	94,632	94,632	.....
470	JUNIOR ROTC .....	51,373	53,373	+ 2,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3 .....	1,798,142	1,801,242	+ 3,100
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
480	ADMINISTRATION .....	886,088	886,088	.....
490	EXTERNAL RELATIONS .....	13,131	13,131	.....
500	CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT .....	115,742	115,742	.....
510	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT .....	382,150	382,150	.....
520	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT .....	268,403	268,403	.....
530	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	317,293	317,293	.....
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT			
550	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	207,128	207,128	.....
570	PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN .....	295,855	295,855	.....
580	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT .....	1,140,484	1,140,484	.....
590	HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT .....	52,873	52,873	.....
600	COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS .....	27,587	27,587	.....
610	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS .....	75,728	75,728	.....
	SECURITY PROGRAMS			
620	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE .....	543,026	543,026	.....
	SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS			
680	INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES .....	4,965	4,965	.....
	OTHER PROGRAMS			
	OTHER PROGRAMS .....	545,775	545,775	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....	4,876,228	4,876,228	.....
FURTHER EFFICIENCIES TO BE GAINED FROM CONSOLIDATING CONTRACTING EFFORTS .....	.....	- 10,000	- 10,000
OVERESTIMATE OF FY13 BASELINE FOR CIVILIAN COMPENSATION .....	.....	- 30,000	- 30,000
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY .....	39,945,237	41,613,205	+ 1,667,968

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS .....	4,952,522	5,064,522	+ 112,000
	Restoring Readiness: Navy Unfunded Requirement for Other Flight Operations .....	.....	.....	+ 51,000
	Restoring Readiness: Department of the Navy Unfunded Requirement for Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force-Crisis Response (Aviation) .....	.....	.....	+ 61,000
1A4A	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT .....	90,030	155,030	+ 65,000
	Restoring Readiness: Navy Unfunded Requirement for Naval Air Systems Command .....	.....	.....	+ 65,000
1A5A	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	915,881	1,036,881	+ 121,000
	Restoring Readiness: Navy Unfunded Requirement for Air Depot Maintenance .....	.....	.....	+ 121,000
1B1B	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS .....	3,884,836	4,620,836	+ 736,000
	Restoring Readiness: Navy Unfunded Requirement for Other Ship Operations .....	.....	.....	+ 225,000
	Transfer from Title IX: Ship Operations .....	.....	.....	+ 511,000
1B5B	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	1,351,274	1,381,274	+ 30,000
	Restoring Readiness: Navy Unfunded Requirement for Ship Depot Operations Support .....	.....	.....	+ 30,000
1C1C	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS .....	701,316	681,316	- 20,000
	Budget Documentation Disparity: Justification Does Not Match Summary of Price and Program Changes for DISN Subscription Services .....	.....	.....	- 20,000
1C6C	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES .....	946,429	1,087,297	+ 140,868
	Reduce Duplication: Human Resource Functions .....	.....	.....	- 11,132
	Restoring Readiness: Navy Unfunded Requirement for Navy Expeditionary Combat Enterprise Reset/Depot .....	.....	.....	+ 112,000
	Restoring Readiness: Navy Unfunded Requirement for Fleet Cyber Command/Naval Cyber Forces .....	.....	.....	+ 40,000
BSM1	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION .....	1,996,821	2,096,821	+ 100,000
	Navy Unfunded Requirement for Facility Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization .....	.....	.....	+ 100,000
BSS1	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	4,460,918	4,830,918	+ 370,000
	Navy Unfunded Requirement for Environmental Compliance, Collateral Equipment and Shore Infrastructure .....	.....	.....	+ 360,000
	Environmental Conservation for Ranges to Address Shortfalls .....	.....	.....	+ 10,000
2B2G	SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS .....	222,752	272,752	+ 50,000
	Navy Unfunded Requirement for Completion of USS ENTERPRISE .....	.....	.....	+ 50,000
3C1L	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	241,733	242,833	+ 1,100
	Naval Sea Cadet Corps .....	.....	.....	+ 1,100
3C5L	JUNIOR ROTC .....	51,373	53,373	+ 2,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Increase for JROTC Program .....			+ 2,000
4A2M	EXTERNAL RELATIONS .....	13,131	13,131	.....
	Funds Budgeted for Stennis Center for Public Service Available Only for Execution of That Program .....			[1,000]
UNDIST	Maintain Program Affordability: Further Efficiencies to be Gained from Consolidating Contracting Efforts .....			- 10,000
UNDIST	Improving Funds Management: Overstatement of Fiscal Year 2013 Baseline for Civilian Compensation .....			- 30,000

*Naval Shipyard Apprentice Program.*—The Committee directs that during fiscal year 2014 the Navy shall induct classes of no fewer than 100 apprentices, respectively, at each of the naval shipyards. The Committee further directs the Navy to include the costs of the fiscal year 2015 class of apprentices in its budget request.

*U.S. Coast Guard.*—The Committee is aware that Department of Defense [DOD] regulations currently restrict DOD mission appropriated funded activities from offering reimbursable rates to non-DOD agencies. This restriction forces the Navy to charge the U.S. Coast Guard fully burdened rates for drydocking services at Navy shipyards rather than reimbursable rates. Therefore, the Committee directs that funds appropriated under Operation and Maintenance, Navy may be used to pay overhead costs incurred by a Naval Shipyard when drydocking U.S. Coast Guard ships.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$6,026,999,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	6,254,650,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,359,736,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,359,736,000. This is \$105,086,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	EXPEDITIONARY FORCES			
10	OPERATIONAL FORCES .....	837,012	935,098	+ 98,086
20	FIELD LOGISTICS .....	894,555	894,555	.....
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	223,337	279,337	+ 56,000
	USMC PREPOSITIONING			
40	MARITIME PREPOSITIONING .....	97,878	97,878	.....
60	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	774,619	774,619	.....
70	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	2,166,661	2,151,661	- 15,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	4,994,062	5,133,148	+ 139,086

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>			
	ACCESSION TRAINING			
80	RECRUIT TRAINING .....	17,693	17,693	.....
90	OFFICER ACQUISITION .....	896	896	.....
	<b>BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING</b>			
100	SPECIALIZED SKILLS TRAINING .....	100,806	100,806	.....
120	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION .....	46,928	46,928	.....
130	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	356,426	356,426	.....
	<b>RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING EDUCATION</b>			
140	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	179,747	179,747	.....
150	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION .....	52,255	52,255	.....
160	JUNIOR ROTC .....	23,138	23,138	.....
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3 .....</b>	<b>777,889</b>	<b>777,889</b>	<b>.....</b>
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN &amp; SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>			
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
180	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	43,816	43,816	.....
190	ADMINISTRATION .....	305,107	321,107	+ 16,000
200	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT .....	87,500	87,500	.....
	<b>SECURITY PROGRAMS</b>			
	SECURITY PROGRAMS .....	46,276	46,276	.....
	<b>TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....</b>	<b>482,699</b>	<b>498,699</b>	<b>+ 16,000</b>
	OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS .....	.....	- 35,000	- 35,000
	UNJUSTIFIED GROWTH FOR STUDY AND ANALYSIS CONTRACTORS .....	.....	- 15,000	- 15,000
	<b>TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS .....</b>	<b>6,254,650</b>	<b>6,359,736</b>	<b>+ 105,086</b>

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS**

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	OPERATIONAL FORCES .....	837,012	935,098	+ 98,086
	Marine Corps Unfunded Requirement for Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force-Crisis Response .....	.....	.....	+ 26,600
	Marine Corps Unfunded Requirement for Cyber Civilian and Contractor Personnel .....	.....	.....	+ 5,000
	Transfer from Title IX: Operating Costs to Support End Strength .....	.....	.....	+ 66,486
1A3A	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	223,337	279,337	+ 56,000
	Authorization Adjustment: Depot Maintenance .....	.....	.....	+ 56,000
BSS1	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	2,166,661	2,151,661	- 15,000
	Maintain Program Affordability: Unjustified Contractor Growth .....	.....	.....	- 20,000
	Environmental Conservation for Ranges to Address Shortfalls .....	.....	.....	+ 5,000
4A4G	ADMINISTRATION .....	305,107	321,107	+ 16,000
	Marine Corps Unfunded Requirement for Marine Security Guard Expansion .....	.....	.....	+ 16,000
UNDIST	Maintain Program Affordability: Overestimation of Civilian Full Time Equivalent Targets .....	.....	.....	- 35,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST	Maintain Program Affordability: Unjustified Growth for Study and Analysis Contractors .....	.....	.....	- 15,000

### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1 2</sup> .....	\$34,743,007,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	37,270,842,000
Committee recommendation .....	37,626,333,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

<sup>2</sup> Includes emergency funding of \$8,500,000 in the Disaster Relief Appropriations Act, 2013 (division A of Public Law 113-2).

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$37,626,333,000. This is \$355,491,000 above the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	AIR OPERATIONS			
10	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES .....	3,295,814	3,442,614	+ 146,800
20	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES .....	1,875,095	1,875,095	.....
30	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING .....	1,559,109	1,549,109	- 10,000
50	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	5,956,304	6,500,404	+ 544,100
60	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	1,834,424	1,909,424	+ 75,000
70	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	2,779,811	2,789,811	+ 10,000
	COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS			
80	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING .....	913,841	902,329	- 11,512
90	OTHER COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT PROGRAMS .....	916,837	916,837	.....
100	TACTICAL INTELLIGENCE AND SPECIAL ACTIVITIES .....	720,349	720,349	.....
	SPACE OPERATIONS			
110	LAUNCH FACILITIES .....	305,275	305,275	.....
120	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS .....	433,658	433,658	.....
130	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT .....	1,146,016	1,141,116	- 4,900
140	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS .....	231,830	231,830	.....
	TRANSFER TO TITLE IX: READINESS AND DEPOT			
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	21,968,363	22,717,851	+ 749,488
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
	MOBILITY OPERATIONS			
150	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS .....	2,015,902	2,015,902	.....
160	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS .....	147,216	142,216	- 5,000
170	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	1,556,232	1,556,232	.....
180	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	167,402	161,402	- 6,000
190	BASE SUPPORT .....	707,040	707,040	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2 .....	4,593,792	4,582,792	- 11,000
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
	ACCESSION TRAINING			
200	OFFICER ACQUISITION .....	102,334	102,334	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
210	RECRUIT TRAINING .....	17,733	17,733	.....
220	RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS (ROTC) .....	94,600	94,600	.....
230	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	217,011	217,011	.....
240	BASE SUPPORT (ACADEMIES ONLY) .....	800,327	800,327	.....
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
250	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING .....	399,364	399,364	.....
260	FLIGHT TRAINING .....	792,275	792,275	.....
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION .....	248,958	248,958	.....
280	TRAINING SUPPORT .....	106,741	106,741	.....
290	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	319,331	339,331	+ 20,000
	RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
300	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	122,736	122,736	.....
310	EXAMINING .....	3,679	3,679	.....
320	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION .....	137,255	137,255	.....
330	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING .....	176,153	176,153	.....
340	JUNIOR ROTC .....	67,018	69,018	+ 2,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3 .....	3,605,515	3,627,515	+ 22,000
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS			
350	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS .....	1,103,684	1,023,684	- 80,000
360	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES .....	919,923	919,923	.....
370	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	56,601	56,601	.....
380	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	281,061	281,061	.....
390	BASE SUPPORT .....	1,203,305	1,198,128	- 5,177
	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
400	ADMINISTRATION .....	593,865	593,865	.....
410	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	574,609	574,609	.....
420	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES .....	1,028,600	1,003,600	- 25,000
430	CIVIL AIR PATROL CORPORATION .....	24,720	28,400	+ 3,680
	SECURITY PROGRAMS			
	SECURITY PROGRAMS .....	1,227,796	1,220,296	- 7,500
	SUPPORT TO OTHER NATIONS			
460	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT .....	89,008	89,008	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....	7,103,172	6,989,175	- 113,997
	OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS .....	.....	- 281,000	- 281,000
	OVERSIGHT OF SPARE PARTS CHARGES .....	.....	- 10,000	- 10,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE .....	37,270,842	37,626,333	+ 355,491

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011A	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES .....	3,295,814	3,442,614	+ 146,800
	Restoring Readiness: Air Force Unfunded Requirement for Flying Hours and Training Ranges .....	.....	.....	+ 146,800
011D	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS) .....	1,559,109	1,549,109	- 10,000
	Maintain Program Affordability: Unjustified Increase for Training Contracts .....	.....	.....	- 30,000
	Increase for Ranges .....	.....	.....	+ 20,000
011M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	5,956,304	6,500,404	+ 544,100

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Restoring Readiness: Air Force Unfunded Requirement for Weapon System Sustainment .....			+ 544,100
011R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	1,834,424	1,909,424	+ 75,000
	Air Force Unfunded Requirement for Facility Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization .....			+ 75,000
011Z	BASE SUPPORT .....	2,779,811	2,789,811	+ 10,000
	Environmental Conservation for Ranges to Address Shortfalls .....			+ 10,000
012A	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING .....	913,841	902,329	- 11,512
	Budget Documentation Disparity: Justification Does Not Match Summary of Price and Program Changes for DISN Subscription Services .....			- 9,000
	Budget Documentation Disparity: Foreign Currency Fluctuation Pricing Requested as Program Growth .....			- 2,512
015A	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT .....	1,146,016	1,141,116	- 4,900
	Classified Program Decrease .....			- 4,900
021D	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS .....	147,216	142,216	- 5,000
	Budget Documentation Disparity: Unjustified Program Growth for the Afloat Preposition Fleet .....			- 5,000
021R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	167,402	161,402	- 6,000
	Improving Funds Management: Reimbursable Costs From the Transportation Working Capital Fund Requested as Program Growth .....			- 6,000
032M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	319,331	339,331	+ 20,000
	Authorization Adjustment: Depot Maintenance .....			+ 20,000
033E	JUNIOR ROTC .....	67,018	69,018	+ 2,000
	Increase for JROTC Program .....			+ 2,000
041A	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS .....	1,103,684	1,023,684	- 80,000
	Budget Documentation Disparity: Cancellation of Expeditionary Command Support System Not Accounted for in Justification .....			- 80,000
041Z	BASE SUPPORT .....	1,203,305	1,198,128	- 5,177
	Maintain Program Affordability: Public-Private Competitions .....			- 5,177
042G	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES .....	1,028,600	1,003,600	- 25,000
	Budget Documentation Disparity: Justification Does Not Match Summary of Price and Program Changes for the Defense Finance and Accounting Services Bill .....			- 25,000
042I	CIVIL AIR PATROL .....	24,720	28,400	+ 3,680
	Civil Air Patrol .....			+ 3,680
UNDIST	SECURITY PROGRAMS .....	1,227,796	1,220,296	- 7,500
	Classified Adjustment .....			- 7,500
UNDIST	Maintain Program Affordability: Overestimation of Civilian Full Time Equivalent Targets .....			- 281,000
UNDIST	Improving Funds Management: Air Force Oversight of Spare Parts Charges .....			- 10,000

*Demonstration Program for Contractors Employing Persons with Disabilities.*—The Committee commends the Air Force for fulfilling requirements for the scanning and digitization of millions of personnel records through the employment of persons with severe disabilities, who would otherwise receive social security disability benefits. This effort has allowed those with disabilities to find sustainable employment and achieve self-sufficiency, while ultimately achieving substantial taxpayer savings. The Committee encourages the Air Force to continue this important program using eligible



contractors for its scanning and digitization requirements and other appropriate work.

*Lajes Air Base.*—The Committee supports the continued bilateral relationship the United States has with Portugal, particularly with respect to United States-NATO operations. However, given current budget constraints, the Committee recognizes the Air Force's need to consolidate its force structure and operations at Lajes Air Base on Terceira Island in the Azores. The Committee understands this reduction will also impact host country civilian positions and the local economy. The Committee encourages the Department to continue to work closely with Portuguese and Azorean officials to minimize the economic impacts to the local population and to continue to explore all possibilities for other U.S. Government uses of Lajes Air Base. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this act on United States Air Force plans for a continued U.S. presence at Lajes Air Base; steps to be taken to mitigate adverse effects on the surrounding community; and initiatives to strengthen and enhance the strategic relationship between the U.S. and Portugal.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$31,820,931,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	32,997,693,000
Committee recommendation .....	32,597,068,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112–25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$32,597,068,000. This is \$400,625,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF .....	472,239	450,539	– 21,700
20	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND .....	5,261,463	5,131,233	– 130,230
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	5,733,702	5,581,772	– 151,930
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
30	DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY .....	157,397	157,397	.....
40	NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY .....	84,899	84,899	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3 .....	242,296	242,296	.....
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
50	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS .....	144,443	182,443	+ 38,000
80	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY .....	612,207	583,207	– 29,000
90	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY .....	1,378,606	1,319,606	– 59,000
110	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY .....	763,091	766,091	+ 3,000
120	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY .....	1,326,243	1,340,749	+ 14,506
140	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY .....	29,933	29,933	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
150	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY .....	462,545	461,517	- 1,028
160	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY .....	222,979	222,979	.....
170	DEFENSE POW /MISSING PERSONS OFFICE .....	21,594	21,594	.....
180	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY .....	788,389	761,589	- 26,800
190	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE .....	546,603	559,103	+ 12,500
200	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY AGENCY .....	35,151	35,151	.....
210	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY .....	438,033	438,033	.....
220	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY .....	2,713,756	2,713,756	.....
230	MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY .....	256,201	385,057	+ 128,856
250	OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT .....	371,615	98,315	- 273,300
260	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE .....	2,010,176	1,978,176	- 32,000
270	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES .....	616,572	611,572	- 5,000
	OTHER PROGRAMS .....	14,283,558	14,219,129	- 64,429
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....	27,021,695	26,728,000	- 293,695
	IMPACT AID .....		40,000	+ 40,000
	IMPACT AID FOR CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES .....		5,000	+ 5,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE .....	32,997,693	32,597,068	- 400,625

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF .....	472,239	450,539	- 21,700
	Budget Documentation Disparity: Justification Does Not Match Summary of Price and Program Changes for Rents .....			- 3,700
	Maintain Program Affordability: Overestimation of Civilian Full Time Equivalent Targets .....			- 18,000
	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND .....	5,261,463	5,131,233	- 130,230
	Maintain Program Affordability: Overstatement of Maintenance Costs for Family of Special Operations Vehicles .....			- 20,000
	Budget Documentation Disparity: Collateral Equipment .....			- 5,000
	Authorization Adjustment: SOCOM NCR .....			- 7,100
	Reduce Duplication: Contingency Operations Unjustified Growth .....			- 35,519
	Other Operations-Human Physiological Performance Program-Transfer to DHP .....			- 8,786
	Authorization Adjustment: Regional Security Cooperation Centers .....			- 14,725
	Classified Adjustment .....			- 39,100
	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS .....	144,443	182,443	+ 38,000
	Youth Challenge .....			+ 10,000
	Authorization Adjustment: STARBASE .....			+ 28,000
	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY .....	612,207	583,207	- 29,000
	Maintain Program Affordability: Overestimation of Civilian Full Time Equivalent Targets .....			- 29,000
	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY .....	1,326,243	1,340,749	+ 14,506
	DISA-Requested Transfer From Procurement, Defense-wide Line 12 for the Senior Leadership Enterprise Program .....			+ 14,506
	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY .....	462,545	461,517	- 1,028

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Budget Documentation Disparity: Justification Does Not Match Summary of Price and Program Changes for DISA .....			- 11,028
	Procurement Technical Assistance Program .....			+ 10,000
	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY .....	763,091	766,091	+ 3,000
	Maintain Program Affordability: Overestimation of Civilian Full Time Equivalent Targets .....			- 7,000
	Program Increase-Suicide Prevention Office .....			+ 10,000
	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY .....	1,378,606	1,319,606	- 59,000
	Maintain Program Affordability: Overestimation of Civilian Full Time Equivalent Targets .....			- 59,000
	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY .....	788,389	761,589	- 26,800
	Maintain Program Affordability: Global Train and Equip .....			- 7,800
	Authorization Adjustment: Regional Centers for Security Centers-Undistributed Decrease .....			- 12,000
	Authorization Adjustment: Combating Terrorism Fellowship Program .....			- 7,000
	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE .....	546,603	559,103	+ 12,500
	Budget Documentation Disparity: Transfer to Washington Headquarters Service for Central Adjudication Facility Not Fully Accounted For .....			- 7,500
	Increase to Alleviate Security Clearance Backlog .....			+ 20,000
	MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY .....	256,201	385,057	+ 128,856
	Budget Documentation Disparity: THAAD Excess to Requirement .....			- 14,000
	Improving Funds Management: Transfer Sustainment Funds from RDDW, Line 82 to BMD Midcourse Defense Segment for Execution .....			+ 142,856
	OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT .....	371,615	98,315	- 273,300
	Authorization Adjustment: Guam .....			- 273,300
	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE .....	2,010,176	1,978,176	- 32,000
	Maintain Program Affordability: Overestimation of Civilian Full Time Equivalent Targets .....			- 37,000
	Authorization Adjustment: Funds to Support BRAC 2015 .....			- 8,000
	Authorization Adjustment: OUSD (Policy) Program Decrease .....			- 5,000
	Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative .....			+ 15,000
	Expansion of Healthy Base Initiative .....			+ 3,000
	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICE .....	616,572	611,572	- 5,000
	Budget Documentation Disparity: Price Growth Requested as Program Growth .....			- 5,000
	OTHER PROGRAMS .....	14,283,558	14,219,129	- 64,429
	Classified Adjustment .....			- 104,429
	Observant Compass ISR .....			+ 30,000
	MSIC: Restore Program Reduction to Address Unfunded Requirements .....			+ 10,000
UNDIST	Authorization Adjustment: Impact Aid .....			+ 40,000
UNDIST	Authorization Adjustment: Impact Aid for Children with Severe Disabilities .....			+ 5,000

*Special Operations Command Budget Justification Materials.*— The level of detail provided in budget justification documents is not sufficient for Special Operations Command's [SOCOM] operation and maintenance [O&M] resources. With increased requirements driving larger budget requests, the Committee needs more itemized information in order to conduct proper oversight. Therefore, the Committee directs that budget activities be established for SOCOM's O&M budget. Additionally, SOCOM is directed to submit an OP-5 Operation and Maintenance Detail exhibit and OP-32

Summary of Price and Program Changes exhibit for each budget subactivity. Finally, the Committee directs that normal prior approval reprogramming procedures be used to transfer funds between budget activities in excess of \$15 million. The following table assigns the budget activity and budget subactivity structure:

- Budget Activity 1 includes Subactivities:
  - Combat Development Activities
  - Flight Operations
  - Other Operations
  - Ship/Boat Operations
  - Base Support
  - Communications
  - Force Related Training
  - Intelligence
  - Maintenance
  - Management/Operational Headquarters
  - Operational Support
- Budget Activity 3 includes Subactivities:
  - Professional Development
  - Specialized Skill Training
- Budget Activity 4 includes Subactivity:
  - Acquisition/Program Management

*Defense Language and National Security Education Office.*—The Committee recognizes that, in partnership with universities across the country, the Defense Language and National Security Education Office provides critical training for servicemembers and government officials in a number of languages and strategic cultures, including those of the Arab world, Afghanistan, China, and Iran. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to continue placing a high priority on these programs to ensure warfighters receive the language and culture training needed to complete their missions effectively.

*Military Use Airspace.*—The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to continue to utilize national assets such as the Hays Military Operations Area to maintain airborne combat readiness for both manned and remotely piloted aircraft.

*Middle East Regional Security Studies Programs.*—The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to continue to support established university programs that promote region-wide informal workshops and task forces on arms control, regional security, and related topics to the Middle East for Arab, Israeli and other officials and experts engaged in these issues.

*Meals Ready-To-Eat War Reserve.*—The Committee is concerned that the Defense Logistics Agency's [DLA] potential reduction of its Meals Ready-to-Eat [MRE] war reserve could harm the industrial base and threaten the Department's "Go to War" capabilities. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Director of DLA, in conjunction with the military services and industry, to develop a comprehensive plan to address the aggregate MRE requirements for each of the military services that considers war time surge requirements and timely rotation of the MRE war reserve.

*Military Dependent Student Online Assistance.*—The Committee understands children of Active Duty military families are faced

with unique educational challenges in part because of frequent changes of station over the course of childhood. In order to meet these challenges, military parents can substantially benefit from access to Internet-based educational resources that can be brought to bear on the individualized needs of their children. The Department is encouraged to provide an assessment tool with accompanying resource material that helps parents to engage with children to develop conceptual understandings and to improve learning and skills.

*Wildlife Trafficking.*—The proceeds of animal poaching and wildlife trafficking are known to fund armed conflict on the African continent, including by the Lord’s Resistance Army and other destabilizing groups in the Great Lakes Region. The Committee supports efforts by Africa Command and Special Operations Command to assist in the mitigation of these illegal activities through their capacity-building engagements with African governments and authorities, including “train the trainer” exchanges and exercises. The Committee expects Africa Command and Special Operations Command to continue these engagements in areas affected by wildlife trafficking.

The Committee also directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees within 120 days of enactment of this act describing the planned activities to support implementation of the Executive Order establishing the Presidential Task Force on Wildlife Trafficking.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$3,178,723,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	3,095,036,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,096,436,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112–25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,096,436,000. This is \$1,400,000 above the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	LAND FORCES			
10	MANEUVER UNITS .....	1,621	1,621	.....
20	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES .....	24,429	24,429	.....
30	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES .....	657,099	657,099	.....
40	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS .....	122,485	122,485	.....
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	584,058	584,058	.....
60	AVIATION ASSETS .....	79,380	79,380	.....
	LAND FORCES READINESS			
70	FORCES READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	471,616	471,616	.....
80	LAND FORCES SYSTEM READINESS .....	74,243	74,243	.....
90	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	70,894	70,894	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT			
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	569,801	569,801	.....
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	294,145	330,545	+ 36,400
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS HEADQUARTERS .....	51,853	51,853	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	3,001,624	3,038,024	+ 36,400
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	10,735	10,735	.....
140	ADMINISTRATION .....	24,197	24,197	.....
150	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	10,304	10,304	.....
160	PERSONNEL/FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION .....	10,319	10,319	.....
170	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	37,857	37,857	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....	93,412	93,412	.....
	OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS .....	.....	- 35,000	- 35,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE .....	3,095,036	3,096,436	+ 1,400

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
132	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION .....	294,145	330,545	+ 36,400
	Restore unjustified reductions from FSRM .....	.....	.....	+ 36,400
UNDIS	Maintain Program Affordability: Overestimation of Civilian FTE Targets .....	.....	.....	- 35,000

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$1,254,689,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	1,197,752,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,208,552,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,208,552,000. This is \$10,800,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	RESERVE AIR OPERATIONS			
10	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS .....	586,620	588,520	+ 1,900

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
20	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE .....	7,008	7,008	.....
40	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	100,657	100,657	.....
50	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	305	9,205	+ 8,900
60	AVIATION LOGISTICS .....	3,927	3,927	.....
	RESERVE SHIP OPERATIONS			
70	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS .....	75,933	75,933	.....
80	SHIP OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AND TRAINING .....	601	601	.....
90	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	44,364	44,364	.....
	RESERVE COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT			
100	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS .....	15,477	15,477	.....
110	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES .....	115,608	115,608	.....
	RESERVE WEAPONS SUPPORT			
120	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE .....	1,967	1,967	.....
130	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY .....	43,726	43,726	.....
	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT			
140	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	69,011	69,011	.....
150	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	109,604	109,604	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	1,174,808	1,185,608	+ 10,800
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
160	ADMINISTRATION .....	2,905	2,905	.....
170	MILITARY MANPOWER & PERSONNEL .....	14,425	14,425	.....
180	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	2,485	2,485	.....
190	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT .....	3,129	3,129	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....	22,944	22,944	.....
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE .....	1,197,752	1,208,552	+ 10,800

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS .....	586,620	588,520	+ 1,900
	Authorization Adjustment: Restoring Readiness .....	.....	.....	+ 1,900
1A5A	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	305	9,205	+ 8,900
	Authorization Adjustment: Restoring Readiness .....	.....	.....	+ 8,900

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$277,011,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	263,317,000
Committee recommendation .....	261,317,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$261,317,000. This is \$2,000,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	EXPEDITIONARY FORCES			
10	OPERATING FORCES .....	96,244	96,244	.....
20	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	17,581	17,581	.....
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	32,438	32,438	.....
50	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	95,259	95,259	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	241,522	241,522	.....
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
70	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	894	894	.....
80	ADMINISTRATION .....	11,743	11,743	.....
90	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	9,158	9,158	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....	21,795	21,795	.....
	OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS .....	.....	-2,000	-2,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE .....	263,317	261,317	-2,000

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST	Maintain Program Affordability: Overestimation of Civilian Full Time Equivalent Targets .....	.....	.....	-2,000

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$3,257,020,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	3,164,607,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,148,307,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,148,307,000. This is \$16,300,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:



[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	AIR OPERATIONS			
10	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES .....	1,857,951	1,857,951	.....
20	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS .....	224,462	216,462	- 8,000
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	521,182	521,182	.....
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	89,704	98,404	+ 8,700
50	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	360,836	360,836	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	3,054,135	3,054,835	+ 700
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
60	ADMINISTRATION .....	64,362	64,362	.....
70	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	15,056	15,056	.....
80	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT .....	23,617	23,617	.....
90	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT .....	6,618	6,618	.....
100	AUDIOVISUAL .....	819	819	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....	110,472	110,472	.....
	OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS .....	.....	- 17,000	- 17,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE. ....	3,164,607	3,148,307	- 16,300

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011G	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS .....	224,462	216,462	- 8,000
	Maintain Program Affordability: Unjustified growth in civilian personnel compensation .....	.....	.....	- 8,000
011R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ..	89,704	98,404	+ 8,700
	Restore unjustified reductions from FSRM .....	.....	.....	+ 8,700
UNDIS	Maintain Program Affordability: Overestimation of Civilian FTE Targets .....	.....	.....	- 17,000

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1 2</sup> .....	\$7,147,885,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	7,054,196,000
Committee recommendation .....	7,082,599,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

<sup>2</sup> Includes emergency funding of \$3,165,000 in the Disaster Relief Appropriations Act, 2013 (division A of Public Law 113-2).

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$7,082,599,000. This is \$28,403,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	LAND FORCES			
10	MANEUVER UNITS .....	800,880	800,880	.....
20	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES .....	178,650	178,650	.....
30	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE .....	771,503	771,503	.....
40	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS .....	98,699	98,699	.....
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	38,779	38,779	.....
60	AVIATION ASSETS .....	922,503	922,503	.....
	LAND FORCES READINESS			
70	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	761,056	761,056	.....
80	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS .....	62,971	62,971	.....
90	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	233,105	233,105	.....
	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT			
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	1,019,059	1,029,059	+ 10,000
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	712,139	786,339	+ 74,200
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS .....	1,013,715	1,000,418	- 13,297
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	6,613,059	6,683,962	+ 70,903
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
140	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION .....	10,812	10,812	.....
150	ADMINISTRATION .....	78,284	78,284	.....
160	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS .....	46,995	46,995	.....
170	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT .....	6,390	6,390	.....
180	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	297,105	297,105	.....
140	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT .....	1,551	1,551	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....	441,137	441,137	.....
	OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS .....	.....	- 22,500	- 22,500
	TRAVEL BUDGET REDUCTION .....	.....	- 20,000	- 20,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD .....	7,054,196	7,082,599	+ 28,403

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
131	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	1,019,059	1,029,059	+ 10,000
	State Directors of Psychological Health Program Increase ..	.....	.....	+ 10,000
132	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION .....	712,139	698,842	+ 74,200
	Restore unjustified reductions from FSRM .....	.....	.....	+ 74,200
133	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ .....	1,013,715	1,000,418	- 13,297
	Army National Guard Identified severance pay excess to requirement .....	.....	.....	- 13,297
UNDIST	Maintain Program Affordability: Overestimation of Civilian FTE Targets .....	.....	.....	- 22,500
UNDIST	Maintain Program Affordability: Travel Budget Reduction .....	.....	.....	- 20,000

*National Guard Industrial Base.*—The National Guard Readiness Sustainment and Maintenance Program serves as a surge capacity

during wartime to support Army depots and other facilities in the repair, reset, and recap of Army and Army National Guard equipment. It also provides an independent source of repair for the National Guard to increase the equipment on hand of National Guard units and to sustain a greater degree of readiness than could otherwise be maintained. The Committee urges that no less than the amount provided for the National Guard Readiness Sustainment and Maintenance Program in the fiscal year 2013 appropriations bill be provided in the Land Forces Depot Maintenance, Army National Guard account for the repair and return of equipment and vehicles.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1 2</sup> .....	\$6,491,531,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	6,566,004,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,505,204,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

<sup>2</sup> Includes emergency funding of \$5,775,000 in the Disaster Relief Appropriations Act, 2013 (division A of Public Law 113-2).

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,505,204,000. This is \$60,800,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	AIR OPERATIONS			
10	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS .....	3,371,871	3,371,871	.....
20	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS .....	720,305	720,305	.....
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....	1,514,870	1,514,870	.....
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	296,953	325,153	+ 28,200
50	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....	597,303	597,303	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....	6,501,302	6,529,502	+ 28,200
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
60	ADMINISTRATION .....	32,117	32,117	.....
70	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....	32,585	32,585	.....
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....	64,702	64,702	.....
	OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS .....	.....	- 89,000	- 89,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD .....	6,566,004	6,505,204	- 60,800

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ..	296,953	325,153	+ 28,200
	Restore unjustified reductions from FSRM .....	.....	.....	+ 28,200
UNDIST	Maintain Program Affordability: Overestimation of Civilian FTE Targets .....	.....	.....	- 89,000

#### U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$13,498,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	13,606,000
Committee recommendation .....	13,606,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$13,606,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$335,478,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	298,815,000
Committee recommendation .....	298,815,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$298,815,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$310,184,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	316,103,000
Committee recommendation .....	316,103,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$316,103,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$528,565,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	439,820,000
Committee recommendation .....	439,820,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$439,820,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$11,118,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	10,757,000
Committee recommendation .....	10,757,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$10,757,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED DEFENSE SITES

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$287,164,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	237,443,000
Committee recommendation .....	287,443,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112–25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$287,443,000. This is \$50,000,000 above the budget estimate to help address unfunded needs.

## OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$108,615,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	109,500,000
Committee recommendation .....	109,500,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112–25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$109,500,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$518,426,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	528,455,000
Committee recommendation .....	528,455,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112–25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$528,455,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

*Cooperative Threat Reduction.*—The Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction [CTR] program has proven highly successful in its efforts to secure and dismantle weapons of mass destruction and their associated infrastructure in the former Soviet Union and former Soviet bloc countries. On June 17, 2013, the Russian Government did not renew the umbrella agreement with the United States Government. Therefore, the fiscal year 2014 program will change substantially from its original plan. The Committee recommends full funding in fiscal year 2014, but directs the Department to keep the congressional defense committees fully informed of changes to the existing program.

*Improving Oversight.*—For many years, the Cooperative Threat Reduction program has not been able to obligate its funding in a timely manner. Furthermore, the program has significant flexibility and lacks oversight of its funding. For example, the program realigned 25 percent of the budget across different sub-accounts after enactment. This flexibility allows for quick reaction changes in spending, but the Committee has no insight as to why or when these funding changes occur. Therefore, the Committee directs the Cooperative Threat Reduction program to follow standard Department of Defense reprogramming procedures when transferring a cumulative amount of \$20,000,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less between sub-accounts.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT  
FUND

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$50,132,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	256,031,000
Committee recommendation .....	131,331,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$131,331,000. This is \$124,700,000 below the budget estimate.

*Mandatory Transfers.*—The Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund has been instrumental in increasing the quantity and quality of the Department’s acquisition workforce. Since 2008, the acquisition workforce has increased by 21 percent. The Committee believes the emphasis on improving the workforce is starting to positively impact overall acquisition performance. The General Accounting Office’s 2013 assessment of selected weapon programs identified a handful of positive trends: More than 60 percent of programs realized an increase in buying power; an increased percentage of programs are meeting cost performance metrics; and eight of the ten costliest programs reported cost decreases over the past year.

The fiscal year 2014 budget justification for Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund states that the Department is now close to meeting its acquisition workforce hiring goals. The budget justification explains that the Department is now shifting its focus “from rebuilding workforce capacity to sustaining levels required to perform the acquisition mission with acceptable risk and increase our focus on deliberate training and development of that workforce.” Interestingly, the project level funding amounts in the budget justification do not correlate with this narrative. For fiscal year 2013, recruiting and hiring was estimated to be \$374,000,000, while the fiscal year 2014 request is \$498,700,000. With planned recruiting and hiring efforts leveling off or declining in fiscal year 2014, the budget request is overstated by \$124,700,000.

The Committee believes this incongruity between the Department’s stated strategy and the funding request is caused by the mandatory spending amounts established in title 10 of United States Code, section 1705. In fiscal year 2014, the amounts established in title 10 require the Department make available at least 80 percent of \$800,000,000 either through transfer from operation and maintenance appropriations, or through direct appropriations. Requiring the Department to spend \$640,000,000 in fiscal year 2014 is excessive, especially given the fact that the fiscal year 2013 required spending amount was \$400,000,000. Moreover, this Committee has encouraged the Department to fully fund its Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund request through the normal appropriations process, instead of relying on the mandated transfers that are excess to need.

Over the past few years, the Committee has urged the Department to provide accurate and executable estimates of their annual spending needs. The Committee notes that these estimates have never been provided. Therefore, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to provide to the congressional defense committees within 15 days of

the delivery of the fiscal year 2015 President's budget request, an estimate of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund funding needs for fiscal year 2015 through fiscal year 2019. This estimate should represent the annual, executable funding need given the current state of the acquisition workforce. Further, the Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to fully fund the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund up to this estimated amount.

TITLE III  
PROCUREMENT

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required to purchase military equipment and hardware, including aircraft, ships, missiles, combat vehicles, ammunition, weapons, electronic sensors and communications equipment, and other procurement items.

The President's fiscal year 2014 budget requests a total of \$98,153,503,000 for procurement appropriations.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends procurement appropriations totaling \$98,368,753,000 for fiscal year 2014. This is \$215,250,000 above the budget estimate.

Committee recommended procurement appropriations for fiscal year 2014 are summarized below:

SUMMARY OF PROCUREMENT APPROPRIATIONS

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
<b>Procurement:</b>			
Aircraft Procurement, Army .....	5,024,387	4,931,222	- 93,165
Missile Procurement, Army .....	1,334,083	1,277,125	- 56,958
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army .....	1,597,267	1,572,828	- 24,439
Procurement of Ammunition, Army .....	1,540,437	1,454,067	- 86,370
Other Procurement, Army .....	6,465,218	6,242,899	- 222,319
Aircraft Procurement, Navy .....	17,927,651	17,501,539	- 426,112
Weapons Procurement, Navy .....	3,122,193	3,107,400	- 14,793
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps .....	589,267	594,467	+ 5,200
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy .....	14,077,804	14,420,693	+ 342,889
Other Procurement, Navy .....	6,310,257	6,058,377	- 251,880
Procurement, Marine Corps .....	1,343,511	1,325,407	- 18,104
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force .....	11,398,901	10,917,270	- 481,631
Missile Procurement, Air Force .....	5,343,286	5,178,486	- 164,800
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force .....	759,442	759,442	.....
Other Procurement, Air Force .....	16,760,581	17,542,627	+ 782,046
Procurement, Defense-Wide .....	4,534,083	4,434,769	- 99,314
National Guard and Reserve Equipment .....	.....	1,000,000	+ 1,000,000
Defense Production Act Purchases .....	25,135	50,135	+ 25,000
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>98,153,503</b>	<b>98,368,753</b>	<b>+ 215,250</b>

*Ordnance Plant Recapitalization.*—The Committee notes that the Department of Defense [DOD] does not have a long-term strategic capital improvement plan in place to support its aging ordnance plants. These facilities are supporting a multitude of essential DOD requirements in support of the warfighter, yet these activities could be disrupted if basic infrastructure needs, such as heating and cooling, power generation, safety and environmental hazards are not



addressed in the near future. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Army to jointly establish a multi-year recapitalization plan for the ordnance plants, including the industrial reserve, addressing these infrastructure and environmental challenges, and to include the required funds in future budget requests based on the most urgent needs identified. The ordnance facility recapitalization plan shall be submitted to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after enactment of this act.

*High Mobility Multi-Purpose Wheeled Vehicle [HMMWV].*—The Committee recognizes the value the HMMWV has brought to the military, not only in contingency operations, but also in support of domestic emergency response and humanitarian assistance efforts. Furthermore, ensuring the capacity of the U.S. industrial base is a national imperative. The Committee further recognizes that the Army National Guard and the state Adjutants General strongly support HMMWV modernization and have consistently included new model HMMWVs among its funding priorities. Therefore, the Committee urges the Department to continue to invest in and procure HMMWVs as part of its enduring vehicle fleet.

*Organic Industrial Base of the United States.*—The Committee notes that the organic industrial base of the U.S. military advances a vital national security interest by producing necessary materials, munitions, and hardware when sources outside of the U.S. military are unable to meet this urgent need as quickly as the organic industrial base, saving the lives of warfighters. The Committee believes that the capacity of the organic industrial base of the U.S. military must be maintained and discourages the Department of Defense from taking any action that would deteriorate this essential capability.

*Arsenal Sustainment Initiative.*—The Committee supports the ongoing efforts of the Department of the Army to develop the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy. This process is identifying manufacturing capabilities at each organic industrial facility that are critical for this country to sustain in wartime and peacetime in case the U.S. military is called to act. However, the Committee is concerned that while the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy is identifying capabilities, it will not fund these capabilities at a level adequate to maintain them. In particular, the Nation's arsenals are at risk of not having the capacity to respond rapidly to meet the Department's needs. Due to the nature of operating under the Working Capital Fund, the slowdown in production and production capacity caused by sequestration is causing the workload rates to increase for these plants to the point where they are not able to compete for public/private partnerships and other business to help sustain capacity in peacetime. In order to ensure that this industrial base is competitive, cost-effective, and ready when called on, the Committee recommends \$150,000,000 to the Army Defense Working Capital Fund for the Industrial Mobilization Capacity account to address the issue of non-competitive rates at the arsenals, which will better allow the arsenals to engage in public-private partnerships to maintain these critical capabilities.

In addition, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to assign the arsenals sufficient workload to maintain the critical ca-

pabilities identified in the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy Report and ensure cost efficiency and technical competence in peacetime, while preserving the ability to provide an effective and timely response to mobilizations, national defense contingency situations, and other emergency requirements.

Further, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to release the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy Report within 30 days of enactment of this act, and brief the congressional defense committees within 90 days of this act on its plan to ensure sufficient workload for the efficient operation of the arsenals.

*Army Organic Industrial Base.*—The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide 45-day written notification to the congressional defense committees prior to the Secretary approving a civilian reductions in force that will result in an employment loss of 50 or more full-time employees at any Army organic industrial base facility. The notification shall include the impact that the proposed reduction in force will have on the ability to maintain the organic industrial base critical manufacturing capabilities as delineated in the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy Report, a detailed accounting of the costs of implementing the reduction in force, and an assessment of the cost of, and time necessary, to restore any lost capability to meet future organic wartime manufacturing needs.

*Light-Weight Ammunition.*—As noted in the Senate report accompanying S. 1197, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, a current project the Department of Defense is executing under Defense Production Act, Title III authority is developing a domestic production capability for light-weight polymer-based ammunition. The Committee agrees this effort has the potential to provide the warfighter with improved battlefield mobility and better survivability. Additionally, it has the potential to decrease logistical burdens and reduce fuel consumption in military operations. Accordingly, the Committee encourages the Department to continue this effort and provide a briefing or report to this Committee no later than February 1, 2014, on ammunition projects which could enhance warfighter capabilities and reduce logistical burdens.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$6,020,798,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	5,024,387,000
Committee recommendation .....	4,931,222,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,931,222,000. This is \$93,165,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>						
	<b>AIRCRAFT</b>						
	<b>FIXED WING</b>						
1	UTILITY F7W CARGO AIRCRAFT .....	1	19,730	1	18,052		-1,678
2	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS) (MIP) .....	4	142,050	2	85,050		-57,000
3	MQ-1 UAV .....	15	518,460	15	518,460		
4	RQ-11 (RAVEN) .....		10,772		10,150		-622
	<b>ROTARY</b>						
5	HELICOPTER, LIGHT UTILITY (LUH) .....	10	96,227	10	171,227		+75,000
6	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN .....	42	608,469	42	608,469		
7	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN (AP-CY) .....		150,931		150,931		
11	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP) .....	65	1,046,976	65	1,032,915		-14,061
12	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP) (AP-CY) .....		116,001		116,001		
13	CH-47 HELICOPTER .....	28	801,650	28	801,650		
14	CH-47 HELICOPTER (AP-CY) .....		98,376		82,534		-15,842
	<b>TOTAL, AIRCRAFT</b> .....		3,609,642		3,595,439		-14,203
	<b>MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT</b>						
15	MQ-1 PAYLOAD-UAS .....		97,781		97,781		
16	GUARDRAIL MODS (MIP) .....		10,262		10,262		
17	MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON (MIP) .....		12,467		10,467		-2,000
18	AH-64 MODS .....		53,559		53,559		
19	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS .....		149,764		149,764		
20	UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS .....		17,500		14,000		-3,500
21	UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS .....	167	74,095	167	84,095		+10,000
22	KIOWA WARRIOR .....	3	184,044	3	108,282		-75,762
23	NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN .....		152,569		144,869		-7,700
24	COMMS, NAV SURVEILLANCE .....		92,779		92,779		
25	GAIM ROLLUP .....		65,613		65,613		
26	RQ-7 UAV MODS .....		121,902		121,902		
	<b>TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT</b> .....		1,032,335		953,373		-78,962

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
	GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS						
27	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT .....		47,610		47,610		
28	SURVIVABILITY CM .....		5,700		5,700		
29	CMWS .....		126,869		126,869		
	OTHER SUPPORT						
30	AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	705	6,809	705	6,809		
31	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT .....		65,397		65,397		
32	AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS .....		45,841		45,841		
33	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL .....		79,692		79,692		
34	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES .....		1,615		1,615		
35	LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET .....		2,877		2,877		
	TOTAL, SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES .....		382,410		382,410		
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY .....		5,024,387		4,931,222		- 93,165

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Utility F/W Aircraft .....	19,730	18,052	- 1,678
	Maintaining program affordability: Unit cost growth .....			- 1,678
2	Aerial Common Sensor [ACS] [MIP] .....	142,050	85,050	- 57,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: 2 aircraft reduction ..			- 57,000
3	MQ-1 UAV .....	518,460	518,460	
	Funding only for SATCOM .....			[56,820]
4	RQ-11 (RAVEN) .....	10,772	10,150	- 622
	Maintaining program affordability: Unit cost growth .....			- 622
5	Helicopter, Light Utility [LUH] .....	96,227	171,227	+ 75,000
	Program increase only for UH-72 aircraft .....			+ 75,000
11	UH-60 Blackhawk M Model [MYP] .....	1,046,976	1,032,915	- 14,061
	Improving funds management: Excess funds .....			- 14,061
14	CH-47 Helicopter .....	98,376	82,534	- 15,842
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess advance pro- curement .....			- 15,842
17	Multi Sensor ABN Recon [MIP] .....	12,467	10,467	- 2,000
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances .....			- 2,000
20	Utility/Cargo Airplane Mods .....	17,500	14,000	- 3,500
	Maintaining program affordability: Unit cost growth .....			- 3,500
21	Utility Helicopter Mods .....	74,095	84,095	+ 10,000
	UH-60 A-L Conversions .....			+ 10,000
22	Kiowa Mods Warrior .....	184,044	108,282	- 75,762
	Restoring acquisition accountability: CASUP long lead early to need .....			- 67,856
	Restoring acquisition accountability: CASUP recapitalization early to need .....			- 7,906
23	Network and Mission Plan .....	152,569	144,869	- 7,700
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Production early to need .....			- 7,700

*Aerial Common Sensor.*—The Army is requesting \$142,050,000 for the first year of production of four enhanced medium altitude reconnaissance and surveillance system [EMARSS] aircraft. The program has experienced two cost overruns on the development aircraft and has not completed the limited user testing. Therefore, the Committee recommends reducing the procurement request by \$57,000,000 and two aircraft.

*UH-72A Lakota Light Utility Helicopter [LUH].*—The budget request includes \$96,227,000 to procure 10 UH-72A Lakota Helicopters. The request also proposes ending Lakota production after the fiscal year 2014 buy and truncating the total program at 315 aircraft instead of the originally planned 346. The UH-72A Lakota program was established to replace aging UH-1 and OH-58 fleets which were already years past their directed retirement dates and to augment the UH-60 fleet which was more costly to procure and operate than a UH-72A. The Lakota program has achieved cost, schedule, and performance goals and is a more cost effective approach to performing utility missions than operating aging and more expensive helicopters; therefore, the Committee does not support program truncation short of the original requirement and provides \$171,227,000 to purchase 20 UH-72A Lakota helicopters in fiscal year 2014.

*Kiowa Warrior Modifications.*—The Army requests \$184,044,000 to procure a new cockpit and other modifications. For the last couple of years, the Committee has been encouraging the Army to focus on the replacement aircraft and only modify the safety issues associated with this platform. Therefore, the Committee recommends a reduction of \$75,000,000 and directs the remaining funds to only focus on the approved safety issues, the dual channel full authority digital engine control system.

*RQ-7 Shadow Unmanned Aerial Vehicle and OH-58 Kiowa Warrior Modification Programs.*—The RQ-7 unmanned aerial vehicle modifications total procurement budget from previous years through fiscal year 2018 is over \$2,069,000,000. In addition, the OH-58 Kiowa Warrior Modifications procurement budget from previous years through fiscal year 2018 is over \$2,500,000,000. Given the scope and size of planned investments, these programs exceed the threshold for designation as Acquisition Category [ACAT] 1D or Major Defense Acquisition Programs [MDAPs]. Currently, both programs are classified as lower acquisition category programs, which receive less Office of the Secretary of Defense [OSD] oversight and require less justification documentation than MDAPs. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to designate the RQ-7 unmanned aerial vehicle and OH-58 Kiowa Warrior programs and all of their associated program element investments as single ACAT 1D or MDAPs as defined under chapter 144 of title 10 of United States Code. All provisions and reporting requirements of such chapter shall apply to the programs, and any upgrades, improvements or modifications to the RQ-7 or OH-58 platforms shall be reviewed within the regulatory review process established by the Secretary of Defense for MDAPs.

In addition, the Committee is concerned over the unlimited modifications to existing systems which causes programs such as Armed Aerial Scout to continually get deferred until they are eventually terminated because the Army cannot afford to continually modernize existing systems at the same time they are trying to provide new capability.

#### MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$1,533,407,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	1,334,083,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,277,125,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,277,125,000. This is \$56,958,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	<b>MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>						
	<b>OTHER MISSILES</b>						
	SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM						
2	MSE MISSILE .....	56	540,401	56	540,401		
3	AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM						
	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY .....		4,464		4,464		
	ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYSTEM						
4	JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY .....	449	110,510	449	110,510		
5	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY .....	988	49,354	988	49,354		
6	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY (AP-CY) .....		19,965		19,965		
7	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS) .....	1,788	237,216	1,788	233,980		-3,236
8	MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RRPR) .....	2,412	19,022	2,412	19,022		
	<b>TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES</b> .....		980,932		977,696		-3,236
	<b>MODIFICATION OF MISSILES</b>						
	<b>MODIFICATIONS</b>						
10	PATRIOT MODS .....		256,438		202,716		-53,722
11	STINGER MODS .....		37,252		37,252		
12	ITAS/TOW MODS .....		20,000		20,000		
13	MLRS MODS .....		11,571		11,571		
14	HIMARS MODIFICATIONS .....		6,105		6,105		
	<b>TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF MISSILES</b> .....		331,366		277,644		-53,722
	<b>SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>						
15	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....		11,222		11,222		
	<b>SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES</b>						
16	AIR DEFENSE TARGETS .....		3,530		3,530		
17	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MISSILES) .....		1,748		1,748		
18	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT .....		5,285		5,285		

[Dollars in thousands]

Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Qty.	Budget estimate
TOTAL, SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES .....		10,563		10,563		
TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY .....		1,334,083		1,277,125		-56,958



## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget request
7	Guided MLRS Rocket (GMLRS) .....	237,216	233,980	- 3,236
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost above inflation .....			- 3,236
10	Patriot Mods .....	256,438	202,716	- 53,722
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Reduce Radar Digital Processors .....			- 53,722

**PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES,  
ARMY**

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$1,855,371,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	1,597,267,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,572,828,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,572,828,000. This is \$24,439,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(Dollars in thousands)

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
<b>PROCUREMENT OF W&amp;TCV, ARMY</b>							
	<b>TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES</b>						
1	STRYKER VEHICLE .....		374,100		419,100		+ 45,000
2	MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES						
3	STRYKER (MOD) .....		20,522		20,522		
4	FIST VEHICLE (MOD) .....		29,965		29,965		
5	BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD) .....		158,000		158,000		
6	HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD) .....		4,769		4,769		
7	PALADIN PIPM MOD IN SERVICE	18	260,177	18	219,477		-40,700
8	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES) .....	32	111,031	32	111,031		
9	ARMORED BREACHER VEHICLE .....		2,500		2,500		
10	M88 FOV MODS .....	14	62,951	14	62,951		
11	JOINT ASSAULT BRIDGE .....		28,469		28,469		
12	M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD) .....		2,002		2,002		
13	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM .....		178,100		178,100		
14	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES				90,000		+ 90,000
	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-WTCV) .....		1,544		1,544		
	<b>TOTAL, TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES</b> .....		1,234,130		1,328,430		+ 94,300
	<b>WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES</b>						
15	INTEGRATED AIR BURST WEAPON SYS FAMILY .....	1,424	69,147				- 69,147
18	MORTAR SYSTEMS .....		5,310		5,310		
19	XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM) .....	5,061	24,049	5,061	24,049		
21	CARBINE .....	41,897	70,846	12,000	21,254		- 49,592
23	COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STATION	242	56,580	242	56,580		
24	HANDGUN .....		300		300		
	<b>MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH</b>						
26	M777 MODS .....		39,300		39,300		
27	M4 CARBINE MODS .....		10,300		10,300		
28	M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS .....		33,691		33,691		
29	M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS .....		7,608		7,608		



## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget request
1	Stryker Vehicle .....	374,100	419,100	+ 45,000
	Army Unfunded Requirement: Double-V hull Strykers for the 3rd BCT .....			+ 45,000
6	Paladin Integrated Management [PIM] .....	260,177	219,477	- 40,700
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Army requested transfer to RDT&E, Army (line 114) .....			- 40,700
13	Abrams Upgrade .....		90,000	+ 90,000
	Maintaining Critical Industrial Base capability: Abrams .....			+ 90,000
15	Integrated Air Burst Weapon System Family .....	69,147		- 69,147
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Milestone C slip ..			- 58,147
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Transfer to RDT&E, Army (line 84) at Army request for corrective actions .....			- 11,000
21	Carbine .....	70,846	21,254	- 49,592
	Program termination: Individual Carbine Program .....			- 49,592

## PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1 2</sup> .....	\$1,640,450,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	1,540,437,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,454,067,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

<sup>2</sup> Includes emergency funding of \$1,310,000 in the Disaster Relief Appropriations Act, 2013 (division A of Public Law 113-2).

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,454,067,000. This is \$86,370,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	<b>PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY</b>						
	<b>AMMUNITION</b>						
	SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION						
2	CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES .....		112,167		87,167		- 25,000
3	CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES .....		58,571		53,571		- 5,000
4	CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES .....		9,858		9,858		
5	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES .....		80,037		55,037		- 25,000
7	CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES .....		16,496		6,196		- 10,300
8	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES .....		69,533		50,033		- 19,500
9	CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES .....		55,781		55,781		
	<b>MORTAR AMMUNITION</b>						
10	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES .....		38,029		38,029		
11	81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES .....		24,656		24,656		
12	120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES .....		60,781		60,781		
	<b>TANK AMMUNITION</b>						
13	CTG TANK 105MM AND 120MM: ALL TYPES .....		121,551		121,551		
	<b>ARTILLERY AMMUNITION</b>						
14	CTG, ARTY, 7.5MM AND 10.5MM: ALL TYPES .....		39,825		39,825		
15	ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 1.55MM, ALL TYPES .....		37,902		37,902		
16	PROJ, 155MM EXTENDED RANGE XM982 .....	802	67,896	802	66,326		- 1,570
17	ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL TYPES .....		71,205		71,205		
	<b>MINES</b>						
	<b>NETWORKED MUNITIONS</b>						
	<b>ROCKETS</b>						
20	SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES .....		1,012		1,012		
21	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES .....		108,476		108,476		
	<b>OTHER AMMUNITION</b>						
22	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES .....		24,074		24,074		
23	GRENADES, ALL TYPES .....		33,242		33,242		
24	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES .....		7,609		7,609		

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
25	SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES .....		5,228		5,228		
	MISCELLANEOUS						
26	AMMO COMPONENTS, ALL TYPES .....		16,700		16,700		
27	NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYPES .....		7,366		7,366		
28	CAD/PAD ALL TYPES .....		3,614		3,614		
29	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....		12,423		12,423		
30	AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT .....		16,604		16,604		
31	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION (AMMO) .....		14,328		14,328		
32	CLOSEOUT LIABILITIES .....		108		108		
	TOTAL, AMMUNITION .....		1,115,072		1,028,702		- 86,370
	AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT						
	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT						
33	PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES .....		242,324		242,324		
34	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION, ALL .....		179,605		179,605		
35	ARMS INITIATIVE .....		3,436		3,436		
	TOTAL, AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT .....		425,365		425,365		
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY .....		1,540,437		1,454,067		- 86,370

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget request
2	Ctg, 5.56mm, All Types .....	112,167	87,167	- 25,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Army reported pricing and usage adjustment .....			- 25,000
3	CTG, 7.62mm, All Types .....	58,571	53,571	- 5,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Army reported pricing and usage adjustment .....			- 5,000
5	CTG, .50 Cal, All Types .....	80,037	55,037	- 25,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Army reported pricing and usage adjustment .....			- 25,000
7	CTG, 25mm, All Types .....	16,496	6,196	- 10,300
	Restoring acquisition accountability: XM1083 HEAB not approved for service use .....			- 8,800
	Restoring acquisition accountability: XM1081 TP not approved for service use .....			- 1,500
8	CTG, 30mm, All Types .....	69,533	50,033	- 19,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Army reported pricing and usage adjustment .....			- 19,500
16	Proj 155mm Extended Range M982 .....	67,896	66,326	- 1,570
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover .....			- 1,570

*Small Caliber Trace Domestic Second Source.*—The Committee is concerned about the single point failure risk associated with small caliber traced projectiles. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to conduct a study on the requirements to establish a fully capable U.S.-based second source for small caliber traced projectiles. The Committee urges the Secretary of the Army to investigate the expansion of existing facilities to maximize previous U.S. Government investments. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a report and recommendations from this study to the congressional defense committees within 90 days of enactment of this act.

## OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$5,734,087,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	6,465,218,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,242,899,000

<sup>1</sup>Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,242,899,000. This is \$222,319,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>						
	<b>TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES</b>						
	TACTICAL VEHICLES						
1	TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS .....	25	4,000	25	4,000		
2	SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED .....	40	6,841	40	6,841		
3	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMV) .....	837	223,910	837	223,910		
4	FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMEN .....		11,880		11,880		
5	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (HTV) .....	220	14,731	220	14,731		
6	PLS ESP .....	74	44,252	74	44,252		
9	HVY EXPANDED MOBILE TACTICAL TRUCK EXT SERV .....	77	39,525	77	39,525		
11	TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLE PROTECTION KITS .....	746	51,258	746	51,258		
12	MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP .....	34	49,904	34	49,904		
13	MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED MODS .....		2,200		52,200		+ 50,000
	<b>NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES</b>						
14	HEAVY ARMORED SEDAN .....		400		400		
15	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES .....		716		716		
16	NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER .....		5,619		5,619		
	<b>TOTAL, TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES</b> .....		<b>455,236</b>		<b>505,236</b>		<b>+ 50,000</b>
	<b>COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT</b>						
	<b>COMM—JOINT COMMUNICATIONS</b>						
18	WIN-T—GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK .....	2,139	973,477	2,139	973,477		
19	SIGNAL MODERNIZATION PROGRAM .....		14,120		620		-13,500
20	JOINT INCIDENT SITE COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITY .....		7,869		7,869		
21	JCS-EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM) .....		5,296		5,296		
	<b>COMM—SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS</b>						
22	DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEBAND SATCOM SYSTEMS .....	31	147,212	31	147,212		
23	TRANSPORTABLE TACTICAL COMMAND COMMUNICATIONS .....		7,998		598		-7,400
24	SHF TERM .....		7,232		7,232		
25	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE) .....		3,308		3,308		
26	SMART-T (SPACE) .....		13,992		13,992		





(Dollars in thousands)

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
67	DOGS-A (MIP) .....	2,717	267,214	2,717	267,214		
68	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND STATION (JTGS) .....	5	9,899	5	9,899		
69	TROJAN (MIP) .....		24,598		24,598		
70	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT) (MIP) .....		1,927		1,927		
71	CI HUMINT AUTO REPRING AND COLL (CHARCS) (MIP) .....		6,169		6,169		
72	MACHINE FOREIGN LANGUAGE TRANSLATION SYSTEM .....		2,924		2,924		
74	ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) .....	18	40,735	18	40,735		
75	LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR .....		13		13		
76	EW PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT TOOLS .....		2,800		2,800		
79	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES .....		1,237		1,237		
80	CI MODERNIZATION (MIP) .....		1,399		1,399		
82	ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV) .....	86	47,983	86	35,983		-12,000
83	SENTINEL MODS .....		142				-142
84	SENSE THROUGH THE WALL (STTW) .....						-37,500
85	NIGHT VISION DEVICES .....	6,879	202,428	6,879	164,928		
86	LONG RANGE ADVANCED SCOUT SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM .....		5,183		5,183		
87	NIGHT VISION, THERMAL WPN SIGHT .....		14,074		10,074		
88	SMALL TACTICAL OPTICAL RIFLE MOUNTED MLRF .....	1,491	22,300	1,491	22,300		
89	GREEN LASER INTERDICTION SYSTEM .....		1,016		1,016		
90	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION FAMILY OF SYSTEMS .....	5	55,354	5	55,354		
91	ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP .....		800		800		
92	PROFILER .....		3,027		3,027		
93	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (FIREFINDER RADARS) .....		1,185		1,185		
94	JOINT BATTLE COMMAND—PLATFORM (JBC-P) .....	3,866	103,214	3,866	103,214		
96	MOD OF IN-SERVICE EQUIPMENT (LLDR) .....	167	26,037	167	26,037		
97	MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM .....	120	23,100	120	23,100		
98	COUNTERFIRE RADARS .....	19	312,727	19	312,727		
101	ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS .....						
102	FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY .....	574	43,228	574	43,228		
103	BATTLE COMMAND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	167	14,446	167	14,446		
104	FAAD C2 .....		4,607		4,607		
	AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYS (AMD) .....	8	33,090	8	33,090		

105	IAMD BATTLE COMMAND SYSTEM .....	21,200	21,200	21,200	21,200	21,200	21,200
107	LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT (LCSS) .....	1,795	1,795	1,795	1,795	1,795	1,795
109	NETWORK MANAGEMENT INITIALIZATION AND SERVICE .....	54,327	54,327	54,327	54,327	54,327	54,327
110	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS) .....	59,171	59,171	59,171	59,171	59,171	59,171
111	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM—ARMY .....	83,936	83,936	83,936	83,936	83,936	83,936
113	LOGISTICS AUTOMATION .....	25,476	25,476	25,476	25,476	25,476	25,476
114	RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEYING INSTRUMENT SET .....	19,341	19,341	19,341	19,341	19,341	19,341
	ELECT EQUIP—AUTOMATION .....				212		
115	ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION .....	11,865	11,865	11,865	11,865	11,865	11,865
116	AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIPMENT .....	219,431	219,431	219,431	219,431	219,431	219,431
117	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM .....	6,414	6,414	6,414	6,414	6,414	6,414
118	HIGH PERF COMPUTING MOD PROGRAM .....	62,683	62,683	62,683	62,683	62,683	62,683
120	RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS (RCAS) .....	34,951	34,951	34,951	34,951	34,951	34,951
	ELECT EQUIP—AUDIO VISUAL SYS (AV) .....						
121	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (AV) .....	7,440	7,440	7,440	7,440	7,440	7,440
122	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (SURVEYING EQUIPMENT) .....	1,615	1,615	1,615	16	1,615	1,615
	ELECT EQUIP—SUPPORT .....						
123	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (C-E) .....	554	554	554	554	554	554
124	BCT EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES .....	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000
	TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT .....	4,266,066	4,266,066	4,153,452		4,153,452	— 112,614
	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....						
	CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT .....						
126	FAMILY OF NON-LETHAL EQUIPMENT (FNLE) .....	762	762	762	762	762	762
127	BASE DEFENSE SYSTEMS (BDS) .....	20,630	20,630	20,630	3,759	20,630	— 762
128	CBRN SOLDIER PROTECTION .....	22,151	22,151	22,151	24,530	22,151	
	BRIDGING EQUIPMENT .....						
130	TACTICAL BRIDGING .....	14,188	14,188	14,188	2	14,188	
131	TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON .....	23,101	23,101	23,101	34	23,101	
132	COMMON BRIDGE TRANSPORTER RECAP .....	15,416	15,416	15,416		15,416	
	ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT .....						
134	GROUND STANDOFF MINE DETECTION SYSTEM (GSTAMIDS) .....	50,465	50,465	42,765	311	42,765	— 7,700
135	ROBOTIC COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	6,490	6,490	6,490		6,490	
136	EOD ROBOTICS SYSTEMS RECAPITALIZATION .....	1,563	1,563	1,563		1,563	
137	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EOPMT (EOD EOPMT) .....	20,921	20,921	20,921	6,774	20,921	
138	REMOTE DEMOLITION SYSTEMS .....	100	100	100		100	
139	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M, COUNTERMINE EQUIPMENT .....	2,271	2,271	2,271	70	2,271	

(Dollars in thousands)

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
140	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	464	7,269	464	7,269		
141	HEATERS AND ECUS	200	200		200		
142	LAUNDRIES, SHOWERS AND LATRINES	1,468	1,468		1,468		
143	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT	31,530	26,526	31,530	26,526		
144	PERSONNEL RECOVERY SUPPORT SYSTEM (PRSS)	5,547	81,680	5,547	81,680		
147	GROUND SOLDIER SYSTEM	217	28,096	217	28,096		
148	FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT	6,904	56,150	6,904	44,677		-11,473
149	CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM	248	3,242	248	3,242		
150	MORTUARY AFFAIRS SYSTEMS	289	38,141	289	38,141		
151	FAMILY OF ENGR COMBAT AND CONSTRUCTION SETS	210	5,859	210	5,859		
152	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (ENG SPT)						
	PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT	508	60,612	508	60,612		
153	DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER						
154	MEDICAL EQUIPMENT	3,258	22,042	3,258	22,042		
155	COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL	88	35,318	88	35,318		
156	MEDEVAC MISSION EQUIPMENT PACKAGE (MEP)						
	MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT	25	19,427	25	19,427		
157	MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS	347	3,860	347	3,860		
158	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MAINT EQ)						
	CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT						
159	GRADER, ROAD MTZD, Hvy, 6X4 (GCE)	52	2,000		2,000		
160	SCRAPERS, EARTHMOVING	13	36,078	52	36,078		
161	MISSION MODULES—ENGINEERING	109	9,721	13	9,721		
162	HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR	84	50,122	109	50,122		
163	TRACTOR, FULL TRACKED	19	28,828	84	28,828		
164	ALL TERRAIN CRANES	34	23,465	19	2,613		-17,250
165	HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR (HMEE) FOS	109	13,590	34	19,645		-3,820
166	ENHANCED RAPID AIRFIELD CONSTRUCTION CAPAP	80	16,088	109	16,088		
167	CONST EQUIP ESP	66	6,850	80	6,850		
168	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST EQUIP)						
169	RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT						
170	ARMY WATERCRAFT ESP						
171			38,007		38,007		



## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
13	Mine-Resistant Ambush-Protected [MRAP] Mods .....	2,200	52,200	+ 50,000
	Army unfunded requirement: MRAP Mods .....			+ 50,000
19	Signal Modernization Program .....	14,120	620	- 13,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: program delay .....			- 13,500
23	Transportable Tactical Command Communications .....	7,998	598	- 7,400
	Restoring acquisition accountability: program delay .....			- 7,400
32	Army Data Distribution System (DATA RADIO) .....	786		- 786
	Improving funds management: transitioned to sustainment in fiscal year 2013 .....			- 786
36	Sincgars Family .....	9,856		- 9,856
	Improving funds management: transitioned to sustainment in fiscal year 2013 .....			- 9,856
40	Soldier Enhancement Program Comm/Electronics .....	1,030		- 1,030
	Reduce duplication .....			- 1,030
65	Prophet Ground .....	59,198	55,398	- 3,800
	Restoring acquisition accountability: hardware unit cost growth .....			- 3,800
82	Sentinel Mods .....	47,983	35,983	- 12,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Mode 5 fielding delay .....			- 12,000
83	Sense Through the Wall [STTW] .....	142		- 142
	Program termination: Program previously terminated .....			- 142
84	Night Vision Devices .....	202,428	164,928	- 37,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: excessive unit cost increase .....			- 37,500
86	Night Vision, Thermal Wpn Sight .....	14,074	10,074	- 4,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: excessive fielding support costs .....			- 4,000
116	Automated Data Processing Equip .....	219,431	196,831	- 22,600
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Integrated Pay and Personnel System hardware procurement concurrency ..			- 22,600
126	Family of Non-Lethal Equipment [FNLE] .....	762		- 762
	Program termination: Program previously terminated .....			- 762
134	Grnd Standoff Mine Detectn Syste [GSTAMIDS] .....	50,465	42,765	- 7,700
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Husky Mounted Detection system late contract award .....			- 7,700
148	Cargo Aerial Del & Personnel Parachute System .....	56,150	44,677	- 11,473
	Budget documentation disparity: risk mitigation unjustified request .....			- 11,473
164	All Terrain Cranes .....	19,863	2,613	- 17,250
	Restoring acquisition accountability: procurement concurrency .....			- 17,250
166	High Mobility Engineer Excavator [HMEE] .....	23,465	19,645	- 3,820
	Maintain program affordability: transfer from HMEE Airborne .....			- 14,050
	Maintain program affordability: transfer to HMEE Type 1 .....			+ 10,230
168	Enhanced Rapid Airfield Construction .....	13,590		- 13,590
	Reduce duplication .....			- 13,590
176	Combat Training Centers Support .....	121,710	61,710	- 60,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: program delay .....			- 60,000
177	Training Devices, Nonsystem .....	225,200	215,200	- 10,000
	Improving funds management: unobligated prior year funds .....			- 10,000
185	Rapid Equipping Soldier Support Equipment .....	5,110		- 5,110
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Rapid Equipping Force funded in OCO .....			- 5,110
195	Initial Spares—C&E .....	59,700	29,700	- 30,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Improving funds management: unobligated prior year funds .....	.....	.....	- 30,000

*Warfighter Integrated Network-Tactical Most Economical Rate.*—The Warfighter Integrated Network-Tactical [WIN-T] Increment 2 is currently in low-rate initial production with a full-rate production decision anticipated in September 2013. Over the past 2 years, the Committee has been critical of the Army’s budgeting processes and, specifically, how the Army budgets to buy equipment based on the number of Army units to receive that particular set of equipment for a given year. While this seems like a reasonable budgeting approach, the Army’s tendency to dramatically fluctuate equipment purchases year-after-year makes this strategy inefficient and unaffordable. In the case of WIN-T, the Army plans to procure a large amount of equipment over the next few years. Under the Army’s current procurement strategy, quantities purchased at the individual piece of equipment level, will fluctuate year-by-year causing unnecessary price spikes. Therefore, the Committee directs the Army, working with the Office of the Secretary of Defense Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation [OSD CAPE], to perform a WIN-T business case analysis that defines the specific equipment needs over the life of the WIN-T Increment 2 program, and determines the most efficient and economical buying strategy that also meets the Army’s force generation requirements. Not less than 15 days after delivery of the President’s fiscal year 2015 budget request, the Army and OSD CAPE shall provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees on the outcome of the WIN-T business case analysis.

*High Mobility Engineer Excavator Airborne Requirement.*—The Army requests \$23,465,000 for 34 High Mobility Engineer Excavators [HMEEs]. Four of these HMEEs are type I which means they are C-130 and CH-47 transportable. Thirty of these HMEEs are “airborne” and cost nearly twice as much as the type I. The Army’s budget request describes the HMEE airborne as “air-droppable in a C-17.” For the authority to procure the HMEE airborne, the Army uses an operational requirements document [ORD] dated August 15, 2000, which outlines low velocity airdrop as a threshold requirement for the HMEE airborne. The Committee is concerned that the Army is using a requirement document that was approved 13 years ago as justification to procure an HMEE variant at nearly twice the cost. The Committee directs the Joint Requirements Oversight Council review the ORD for the HMEE type I/II for validity given changing joint operational concepts and the current fiscal climate. Additionally, the Committee reduces the funding to procure 30 HMEE airborne and restores a portion to procure 30 additional HMEE type I.

*Joint Tactical Radio System [JTRS] Manpack.*—The Army requests \$323,730,000 for 3,123 Joint Tactical Radio System [JTRS] manpack units. The Army is currently conducting a full and open competition to award a 5-year contract to a single vendor. The JTRS manpack industrial base consists of multiple vendors, who

have invested significantly in their manpack products, and are competing for this contract award. The Committee understands that the vendors who lose the 5-year JTRS manpack contract award will likely pull out of the program. When the Army tries to compete the next JTRS manpack contract award in 5 years, there will likely be only one vendor left. The future JTRS manpack program will not receive benefit from vendor investment, innovation, or price competition. Therefore, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics to review the Army's manpack competition and contracting plans and provide, no later than 90 days after enactment of this act, to the congressional defense committees an assessment of how the objectives of increased savings and performance through competition over the life of the JTRS manpack program will be achieved.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$17,359,213,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	17,927,651,000
Committee recommendation .....	17,501,539,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$17,501,539,000. This is \$426,112,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:



[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>							
	<b>COMBAT AIRCRAFT</b>						
1	EA-18G .....	21	2,001,787	21	1,781,787		- 220,000
3	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP) .....		206,551		206,551		+ 75,000
4	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP) (AP-CY) .....			4	1,058,744		- 76,700
5	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER .....	4	1,135,444		63,266		- 31,500
6	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (AP-CY) .....	6	94,766		1,216,260		- 51,000
7	JSF STOVL .....	6	1,267,260		103,195		
8	JSF STOVL (AP-CY) .....	18	1,432,573		1,432,573		
9	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) .....	18	55,196		55,196		
10	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) (AP-CY) .....	25	749,962		749,962		
11	UH-1Y/AH-1Z .....	18	383,831		383,831		
12	UH-1Y/AH-1Z (AP-CY) .....	19	37,278		37,278		
13	MH-60S (MYP) .....	19	599,237		599,237		
14	MH-60S (MYP) (AP-CY) .....	16	231,834		231,834		
15	MH-60R .....	16	3,189,989		3,189,989		
16	MH-60R (AP-CY) .....	5	313,160		313,160		
17	P-8A POSEIDON .....	5	997,107		997,107		
18	P-8A POSEIDON (AP-CY) .....		266,542		266,542		
19	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE .....						
20	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE (AP-CY) .....						
	<b>TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT</b> .....		<b>13,136,712</b>		<b>12,832,512</b>		<b>- 304,200</b>
	<b>TRAINER AIRCRAFT</b>						
22	JPATS .....	29	249,080	29	249,080		
	<b>TOTAL, TRAINER AIRCRAFT</b> .....		<b>249,080</b>		<b>249,080</b>		
	<b>OTHER AIRCRAFT</b>						
23	KC-130J .....	2	134,358	2	134,358		
24	KC-130J (AP-CY) .....		32,288		32,288		
25	RQ-4 UAV (AP-CY) .....		52,002		4,802		- 47,200
26	MQ-8 UAV .....	1	60,980	1	60,980		

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
28	OTHER SUPPORT AIRCRAFT .....	1	14,958	1	14,958		
	TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT .....		294,586		247,386		-47,200
	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT						
29	EA-6 SERIES .....		18,577		18,577		
30	AEA SYSTEMS .....		48,502		48,502		
31	AV-8 SERIES .....		41,575		41,575		
32	ADVERSARY .....		2,992		2,992		
33	F-18 SERIES .....		875,371		788,076		-87,295
34	H-46 SERIES .....		2,127		2,127		
36	H-53 SERIES .....		67,675		67,675		
37	SH-60 SERIES .....		135,054		135,054		
38	H-1 SERIES .....		41,706		41,706		
39	EP-3 SERIES .....		55,903		77,903		+22,000
40	P-3 SERIES .....		37,436		37,436		
41	E-2 SERIES .....		31,044		31,044		
42	TRAINER A/C SERIES .....		43,720		32,620		-11,100
43	C-2A .....		902		902		
44	C-130 SERIES .....		47,587		47,587		
45	FMSG .....		665		665		
46	CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES .....		14,587		14,587		
47	E-6 SERIES .....		189,312		183,218		-6,094
48	EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES .....		85,537		85,537		
49	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT .....		3,684		13,684		+10,000
50	T-43 SERIES .....		98,128		98,128		
51	POWER PLANT CHANGES .....		22,999		22,999		
52	JPATS SERIES .....		1,576		1,576		
53	AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT MODS .....		6,267		6,267		
54	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT .....		141,685		141,685		
55	COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES .....		120,660		120,660		
56	COMMON DEFENSIVE WEAPON SYSTEM .....		3,554		3,554		
57	ID SYSTEMS .....		41,800		41,800		
58	P-8 SERIES .....		9,485		9,485		
59	MAGTF EW FOR AVIATION .....		14,431		13,431		-1,000

60	MQ-8 SERIES .....	1,001	1,001	1,001	.....	.....	.....	.....
61	RO-7 SERIES .....	26,433	26,433	26,433	.....	.....	.....	.....
62	V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY .....	160,834	160,834	160,834	.....	.....	.....	.....
63	F-35 STOVL SERIES .....	147,130	147,130	147,130	.....	.....	.....	.....
64	F-35 CV SERIES .....	31,100	31,100	31,100	.....	.....	.....	.....
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT .....	2,571,039	2,497,550	2,497,550	.....	.....	.....	- 73,489
	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	1,142,461	1,141,238	1,141,238	.....	.....	.....	- 1,223
65	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....				.....	.....	.....	
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES				.....	.....	.....	
66	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT .....	410,044	410,044	410,044	.....	.....	.....	.....
67	AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES .....	27,450	27,450	27,450	.....	.....	.....	.....
68	WAR CONSUMABLES .....	28,930	28,930	28,930	.....	.....	.....	.....
69	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES .....	5,268	5,268	5,268	.....	.....	.....	.....
70	SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	60,306	60,306	60,306	.....	.....	.....	.....
71	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION .....	1,775	1,775	1,775	.....	.....	.....	.....
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES .....	533,773	533,773	533,773	.....	.....	.....	.....
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY .....	17,927,651	17,501,539	17,501,539	.....	.....	.....	- 426,112

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	EA-18G .....	2,001,787	1,781,787	- 220,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: excessive unit cost growth .....			- 175,000
	Improving funds management: advance procurement appropriated in fiscal year 2013 .....			- 45,000
4	F/A-18E/F (Fighter) Hornet [AP-CY] .....		75,000	+ 75,000
	Maintaining critical industrial base capability: advance procurement for 22 F/A-18E/F aircraft .....			+ 75,000
5	Joint Strike Fighter CV .....	1,135,444	1,058,744	- 76,700
	Restoring acquisition accountability: decrease tooling .....			- 40,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: decrease non-recurring engineering initiatives .....			- 36,700
6	Joint Strike Fighter CV [AP-CY] .....	94,766	63,266	- 31,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: reduce by 2 aircraft ..			- 31,500
7	JSF STOVL .....	1,267,260	1,216,260	- 51,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: decrease tooling .....			- 34,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: decrease non-recurring engineering initiatives .....			- 17,000
25	RQ-4 UAV [AP-CY] .....	52,002	4,802	- 47,200
	Improving funds management: advance procurement appropriated in fiscal year 2013 .....			- 47,200
33	F-18 Series .....	875,371	788,076	- 87,295
	Restoring acquisition accountability: F/A-18E/F and E/A-18G ILS excessive growth (OSIP 14-03) .....			- 10,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: radome excessive cost growth (OSIP 002-07) .....			- 3,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: retrofit RADARS unjustified cost growth (OSIP 002-07) .....			- 10,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Infrared Search and Track (OSIP 04-14) installation early to need .....			- 43,295
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Infrared Search and Track (OSIP 04-14) ILS excess to need .....			- 8,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Infrared Search and Track (OSIP 04-14) Other Support excess to need .....			- 13,000
39	EP-3 Series .....	55,903	77,903	+ 22,000
	Authorization adjustment: 12th aircraft to Spiral 3 .....			+ 8,000
	Authorization adjustment: sensor obsolescence .....			+ 14,000
42	Trainer A/C Series .....	43,720	32,620	- 11,100
	Budget documentation disparity: unjustified support cost growth for Avionics Obsolescence .....			- 7,900
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Avionics Obsolescence installation cost growth .....			- 3,200
47	E-6 Series .....	189,312	183,218	- 6,094
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Family of Advance Beyond Line-of-Sight Terminals program delay .....			- 6,094
49	Special Project Aircraft .....	3,684	13,684	+ 10,000
	Authorization adjustment: program office sustainment .....			+ 5,000
	Authorization adjustment: sensor obsolescence .....			+ 5,000
59	MAGTF EW for Aviation .....	14,431	13,431	- 1,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Software Reprogrammable Payload—early to need .....			- 1,000
65	Spares and Repair Parts .....	1,142,461	1,141,238	- 1,223
	Budget documentation disparity: STUASLO spares excess to need .....			- 1,223

**EA-18G Procurement.**—The Navy requests \$2,001,787,000 for 21 EA-18G aircraft. The Committee understands that the Navy will procure these aircraft on a single-year contract versus a multi-year

contract vehicle. Due to this change in contract type, the Navy budgeted for a 35-percent unit cost increase over the fiscal year 2013 unit price. The Committee believes this cost growth is excessive and recommends a reduction of \$175,000,000.

*MQ-8 Fire Scout.*—The Committee recommends \$60,980,000, the requested amount, for the MQ-8 Fire Scout. The Committee notes a sharp decline in the quantity procured from the previous fiscal year which results in a substantial unit cost increase. The Navy is encouraged to pursue a more efficient production profile and an innovative acquisition strategy to reduce unit cost. If the Navy is able to negotiate a reasonable unit cost, the Committee approves a buy-to-budget procurement of more than one Fire Scout utilizing fiscal year 2014 funds.

*Navy Tactical Aircraft Force Structure.*—The F/A-18E/F Super Hornet continues to be the Navy’s premier carrier asset for force projection, and it will remain the backbone of the Navy’s tactical aircraft fleet for the next 25 years. The fiscal year 2014 budget indicates the end of domestic production with the final procurement of EA-18G Growlers. The Committee is concerned with the decision to end Super Hornet production and believes a strong carrier-based fleet is vital to the increased emphasis on the Pacific region. Due to the delay in fielding the Navy variant of the Joint Strike Fighter, ending the Super Hornet production prematurely creates risk in the Navy’s strike fighter force structure, and in the Nation’s production capacity and a competitive industrial base. Therefore, the Committee recommends a \$75,000,000 increase for advance procurement of F/A-18 aircraft and expects the Navy to procure additional aircraft in the fiscal year 2015 budget request.

*MH-60S and MH-60R Navy Helicopter.*—The Committee is aware that the Navy has identified a number of Seahawk airframe upgrades that are currently not funded in the out-years but are critical investments as performance requirements and weight grow on the aircraft. The MH-60S and MH-60R have been in the fleet since 2001 and 2006 respectively, and are expected to remain in service as a critical battle group capability until at least 2030. Investing in their currency and relevancy is important for future operational effectiveness and success. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Navy to continue investing in improvements for these aircraft.

WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$3,032,863,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	3,122,193,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,107,400,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,107,400,000. This is \$14,793,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	<b>WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>						
	BALLISTIC MISSILES						
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES						
1	TRIDENT II MODS .....		1,140,865		1,140,865		
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
2	MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES .....		7,617		7,617		
	<b>TOTAL, BALLISTIC MISSILES .....</b>		<b>1,148,482</b>		<b>1,148,482</b>		
	OTHER MISSILES						
	STRATEGIC MISSILES						
3	TOMAHAWK .....	196	312,456	196	312,456		
	TACTICAL MISSILES						
4	AMRAAM .....	54	95,413	54	95,413		
5	SIDEWINDER .....	225	117,208	225	117,208		
6	JSOW .....	328	136,794	328	136,794		
7	STANDARD MISSILE .....	81	367,985	81	367,985		
8	RAM .....	66	67,596	66	65,943		-1,653
9	HELLFIRE .....	363	33,916	363	33,916		
10	STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITION .....	50	6,278	50	6,278		
11	AERIAL TARGETS .....		41,799		39,460		-2,339
12	OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT .....		3,538		3,538		
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES						
13	ESSM .....	53	76,749	53	76,749		
14	HARM MODS .....	143	111,902	143	111,902		
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
15	WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES .....		1,138		1,138		
16	FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON .....		23,014		23,014		
	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
17	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		84,318		84,318		

	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES .....		1,480,104		1,476,112		-3,992
	TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT						
	TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP						
18	SSTD .....		3,978		3,978		
19	ASW TARGETS .....		8,031		8,031		
	MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP						
20	MK-46 TORPEDO MODS .....	150	125,898	150	125,898		
21	MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS .....	108	53,203	108	53,203		
22	QUICKSTRIKE MINE .....		7,800		7,800		
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
23	TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		59,730		59,730		
24	ASW RANGE SUPPORT .....		4,222		4,222		
25	DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION .....		3,963		3,963		
	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION .....						
	TOTAL, TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT .....		266,825		266,825		
	OTHER WEAPONS						
	GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS						
26	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS .....		12,513		12,513		
	MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS						
27	CMS MODS .....		56,308		62,708		+6,400
28	COAST GUARD WEAPONS .....		10,727		7,269		-3,458
29	GUN MOUNT MODS .....		72,901		59,158		-13,743
30	CRUISER MODERNIZATION WEAPONS .....		1,943		1,943		
32	AIRBORNE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS .....		19,758		19,758		
	TOTAL, OTHER WEAPONS .....		174,150		163,349		-10,801
33	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....		52,632		52,632		
	TOTAL, WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY .....		3,122,193		3,107,400		-14,793

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget request
8	RAM .....	67,596	65,943	- 1,653
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Guidance and control unit cost efficiencies .....			- 1,653
11	Aerial Targets .....	41,799	39,460	- 2,339
	Restoring acquisition accountability: GQM-137 unit cost efficiencies .....			- 2,339
27	CIWS Mods .....	56,308	62,708	+ 6,400
	Authorization adjustment: Additional RMA kits .....			+ 6,400
28	Coast Guard Weapons .....	10,727	7,269	- 3,458
	Maintain program affordability: Machine gun equipment cost growth .....			- 3,458
29	Gun Mount Mods .....	72,901	59,158	- 13,743
	Maintain program affordability: MK38 gun kits cost growth .....			- 13,743

## PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$659,026,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	589,267,000
Committee recommendation .....	594,467,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$594,467,000. This is \$5,200,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:



[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS						
	PROC AMMO, NAVY						
	NAVY AMMUNITION						
1	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS		37,703		37,703		
2	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES		65,411		65,411		
3	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION		20,284		20,284		
4	PRACTICE BOMBS		37,870		37,870		
5	CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES		53,764		53,764		
6	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES		67,194		67,194		
7	JATOS		2,749		2,749		
8	LRLAP		3,906		3,906		
9	5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION		24,151		24,151		
10	INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION		33,080		33,080		
11	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION		40,398		40,398		
12	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO		61,219		61,219		
13	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION		10,637		10,637		
14	AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		4,578		4,578		
	TOTAL, PROC AMMO, NAVY		462,944		462,944		
	PROC AMMO, MARINE CORPS						
	MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION						
15	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION		26,297		26,297		
16	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES		6,088		6,088		
17	40MM, ALL TYPES		7,644		7,644		
18	60MM, ALL TYPES		3,349		3,349		
20	120MM, ALL TYPES		13,361		13,361		
22	GRENADES, ALL TYPES		2,149		2,149		
23	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES		27,465		27,465		
26	FUZE, ALL TYPES		26,366		26,366		
28	AMMO MODERNIZATION		8,403		8,403		
29	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		5,201		10,401		+5,200

[Dollars in thousands]

Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
					Qty.	Budget estimate
TOTAL, PROC AMMO, MARINE CORPS .....	.....	126,323	.....	131,523	.....	+ 5,200
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS .....	.....	589,267	.....	594,467	.....	+ 5,200

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget request
29	Items Less Than \$5 Million .....	5,201	10,401	+ 5,200
	Marine Corps unfunded requirement: Marine Security Guard training ammo .....	.....	.....	+ 1,000
	Marine Corps unfunded requirement: Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force—Crisis Response .....	.....	.....	+ 4,200

## SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$15,563,646,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	14,077,804,000
Committee recommendation .....	14,420,693,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$14,420,693,000. This is \$342,889,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	<b>SHIPBUILDING &amp; CONVERSION, NAVY</b>						
	<b>OTHER WARSHIPS</b>						
2	CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM (AP-CY) .....		944,866		917,553		-27,313
3	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE .....	2	2,930,704	2	2,930,704		
4	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE (AP-CY) .....		2,354,612		2,354,612		
5	CVN REFUELING OVERHAUL .....		1,705,424		1,683,353		-22,071
6	CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS (AP-CY) .....		245,793		245,793		
7	DDG 1000 .....		231,694		231,694		
8	DDG-51 .....	1	1,615,564	1	1,615,564		
9	DDG-51 (AP-CY) .....		388,551		379,551		-9,000
10	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP .....	4	1,793,014	4	1,793,014		
	TOTAL, OTHER WARSHIPS .....		12,210,222		12,151,838		-58,384
	<b>AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS</b>						
12	AFLCOT FORWARD STAGING BASE .....	1	524,000	1	579,300		+55,300
14	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL .....		2,732		2,732		
	TOTAL, AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS .....		526,732		582,032		+55,300
	<b>AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGRAM COSTS</b>						
16	MOORED TRAINING SHIP .....		183,900		207,300		+23,400
17	OUTFITTING .....		450,163		438,136		-12,027
19	LCAC SLEP .....	4	80,987	4	80,987		
20	COMPLETION OF PY SHIPBUILDING PROGRAMS .....		625,800		960,400		+334,600
	TOTAL, AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGRAM .....		1,340,850		1,686,823		+345,973
	TOTAL, SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY .....		14,077,804		14,420,693		+342,889

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Carrier Replacement Program .....	944,866	917,553	- 27,313
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Reduction in change orders .....			- 16,200
	Maintaining program affordability: SEWIP Block 3 excessive cost growth .....			- 11,113
5	CVN Refueling Overhauls .....	1,705,424	1,683,353	- 22,071
	Improving funds management: CVN 72 requirement previously funded in Fiscal Year 2012 reprogramming .....			- 22,071
9	DDG-51 .....	388,551	379,551	- 9,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Flight III Advance Planning early to need .....			- 9,000
12	Afloat Forward Staging Base .....	524,000	579,300	+ 55,300
	Improving funds management: Transfer from NDSF, line 020, for full funding of ASFB #2 only, per Navy request .....			+ 55,300
16	Moored Training Ship .....	183,900	207,300	+ 23,400
	Improving funds management: Program shortfall, funds transferred per Navy request .....			+ 23,400
17	Outfitting .....	450,163	438,136	- 12,027
	Restoring acquisition accountability: SSN 787&788 outfitting ahead of need .....			- 12,027
20	Completion of PY Shipbuilding Programs .....	625,800	960,400	+ 334,600
	Improving funds management: JHSV program shortfall, funds transferred per Navy request .....			+ 7,600
	Authorization adjustment: DDG-51 .....			+ 100,000
	Maintain critical industrial base: Virginia class submarine .....			+ 227,000

*Amphibious-class Warship Construction.*—The Committee notes that the fiscal year 2014 budget request submitted by the Navy, once again, failed to present a plan to address the amphibious lift shortfall that exists today. In January 2009, the Navy and Marine Corps determined a minimum force of 33 ships is the limit of acceptable risk in meeting a 38-ship amphibious warship force requirement. As of now, there are 28 ships in the Navy's amphibious fleet, with an average of only 22 ships operationally available at any given time due to maintenance and overhaul schedules. This level of assumed risk with amphibious lift capability by the Department of the Navy deeply concerns the Committee. Of particular concern is the impact it has on the ability of Commanders to meet operations plans and crisis response requirements, particularly as instability in the Middle East continues and as the Department of Defense rebalances its global posture towards the Asia-Pacific region.

The ability to address the amphibious lift shortfall is exacerbated when the Navy funds only one amphibious class warship in the current 5-year Future Years Defense Program. This lack of commitment and funding by the Navy will not only have a negative impact on meeting future operations plans and crisis response requirements, but it will also have a negative industrial base impact and lead to additional cost growth in multiple shipbuilding programs.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to provide a more responsible amphibious warship acquisition plan to Congress with the fiscal year 2015 budget submission.

*DDG-51 Destroyer.*—The Committee understands that the DDG-51 program has a \$304,000,000 shortfall due to prior year sequestration reductions, and recommends an additional \$100,000,000 for the DDG-51 to allow the Navy to award the tenth DDG-51 under the current multi-year procurement contract, as previously authorized and appropriated. The Committee understands that its fiscal year 2014 shipbuilding recommendations create an outyear asset for the Navy to apply to shortfalls.

*Joint High Speed Vessel.*—The Committee recommends that the Navy continue to explore missions and projects that leverage the flexibility of the Joint High Speed Vessel [JHSV] and extend the mission envelope beyond in-theatre transport, including considering the addition of an unmanned aerial system and air surveillance capability to the JHSV.

OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$5,947,219,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	6,310,257,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,058,377,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,058,377,000. This is \$251,880,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY						
	SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
	SHIP PROPULSION EQUIPMENT						
1	LW-2500 GAS TURBINE .....		10,180		10,180		
2	ALLISON 501K GAS TURBINE .....		5,536		5,536		
3	HYBRID ELECTRIC DRIVE (HED) .....		16,956		3,956		- 13,000
	GENERATORS						
4	SURFACE COMBATANT HM&E .....		19,782		19,782		
	NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT						
3	OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT .....		39,509		39,509		
	PERISCOPES						
6	SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING EQUIP .....		52,515		52,515		
	OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT						
7	DDG MOD .....		285,994		208,374		- 77,620
8	FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT .....		14,389		14,389		
9	COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARD .....		2,436		2,436		
10	LHA/LHD MIDLIFE .....		12,700		12,700		
11	LCC 19/20 EXTENDED SERVICE LIFE .....		40,329		37,329		- 3,000
12	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT .....		19,603		18,290		- 1,313
13	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		8,678		8,678		
14	VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		74,209		74,209		
15	LCS CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		47,078		47,078		
16	SUBMARINE BATTERIES .....		37,000		37,000		
17	LPD CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		25,053		25,053		
18	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP .....		12,986		12,986		
19	DSSP EQUIPMENT .....		2,455		2,455		
20	CG-MODERNIZATION .....		10,539		10,539		
21	LCAC .....		14,431		14,431		
22	UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS .....		36,700		36,700		
23	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....		119,902		119,902		
24	CHEMICAL WARFARE DETECTORS .....		3,678		3,678		

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
25	SUBMARINE LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM .....		8,292		8,292		
27	REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT .....		286,744		286,744		
28	OCEAN ENGINEERING .....		8,780		8,780		
29	SMALL BOATS .....		36,452		32,072		-4,380
30	TRAINING EQUIPMENT .....		36,145		36,145		
31	OTHER SHIPS TRAINING EQUIPMENT .....		69,368		49,868		-19,500
32	NUCLEAR ALTERATIONS .....		106,328		106,328		
33	LCS MODULES .....		45,966		45,966		
34	LCS MCM MISSION MODULES .....		59,885		59,885		
35	LCS SUW MISSION MODULES .....		37,168		19,481		-17,687
36	LOGISTICS SUPPORT .....		77,974		77,974		
	LSA MIDLIFE .....		1,685,740		1,549,240		-136,500
	TOTAL, SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....						
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT						
	SHIP RADARS						
	SHIP SONARS						
38	SPQ-9B RADAR .....		27,934		27,934		
39	AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM .....		83,231		83,231		
40	SSN ACOUSTICS .....		199,438		197,852		-1,586
41	UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		9,394		9,394		
42	SOMAR SWITCHES AND TRANSDUCERS .....		12,953		12,953		
43	ELECTRONIC WARFARE MILDEC .....		8,958		8,958		





[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
73	TADIX-B .....	.....	11,646	.....	11,646	.....	.....
74	GCCS-M EQUIPMENT TACTICAL/MOBILE .....	.....	18,189	.....	18,189	.....	.....
75	DGGS-N .....	.....	17,350	.....	17,350	.....	.....
76	CANES .....	.....	340,567	.....	325,340	.....	-15,227
77	RADIAC .....	.....	9,835	.....	9,835	.....	.....
78	CANES-INTELL .....	.....	59,652	.....	55,262	.....	-4,390
79	GPETE .....	.....	6,253	.....	6,253	.....	.....
80	INTEG COMBAT SYSTEM TEST FACILITY .....	.....	4,963	.....	4,963	.....	.....
81	EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION .....	.....	4,664	.....	4,664	.....	.....
82	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	.....	66,889	.....	66,889	.....	.....
	SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
84	SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION .....	.....	23,877	.....	23,877	.....	.....
86	COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER \$5M .....	.....	28,001	.....	28,001	.....	.....
	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
87	SUBMARINE BROADCAST SUPPORT .....	.....	7,856	.....	7,856	.....	.....
88	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT .....	.....	74,376	.....	74,376	.....	.....
	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
89	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS .....	.....	27,381	.....	27,381	.....	.....
90	NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL (NMT) .....	.....	215,952	.....	215,952	.....	.....
	SHORE COMMUNICATIONS .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
91	JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT .....	.....	4,463	.....	4,463	.....	.....
92	ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS .....	.....	778	.....	778	.....	.....
	CRYPTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
94	INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP) .....	.....	133,530	.....	133,530	.....	.....
95	MIO INTEL EXPLOITATION TEAM .....	.....	1,000	.....	1,000	.....	.....
	CRYPTOLOGIC EQUIPMENT .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
96	CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP .....	.....	12,251	.....	12,251	.....	.....
	OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
97	COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT .....	.....	2,893	.....	2,893	.....	.....
	TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT .....	.....	2,290,055	.....	2,232,112	.....	-57,943



[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
126	OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		46,586		46,586		
127	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP		11,933		11,933		
	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION						
	OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE						
128	ANTI-SHIP MISSILE DECOY SYSTEM		62,361		62,361		
129	SURFACE TRAINING DEVICE MODS		41,813		41,813		
130	SUBMARINE TRAINING DEVICE MODS		26,672		26,672		
	TOTAL, ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		787,116		787,116		
	CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
131	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES		5,600		5,600		
132	GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS		3,717		3,717		
133	CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP		10,881		10,881		
134	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT		14,748		14,748		
135	TACTICAL VEHICLES		5,540		5,540		
136	AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT		5,741				-5,741
137	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT		3,852		3,852		
138	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION		25,757		25,757		
139	PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES		1,182				-1,182
	TOTAL, CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		77,018		70,095		-6,923
	SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
140	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT		14,250				-14,250
141	OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		6,401		6,401		
142	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION		5,718		5,718		
143	SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS		22,597		22,597		
	TOTAL, SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		48,966		34,716		-14,250
	PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
	TRAINING DEVICES						
144	TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		22,527		22,527		

145	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	50,428	50,428	.....	.....	.....	.....
146	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	2,292	2,292	.....	.....	.....	.....
147	EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	4,925	4,925	.....	.....	.....	.....
149	MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	3,202	3,202	.....	.....	.....	-3,202
151	NAVAL MIP SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	24,294	24,294	.....	.....	.....	-13,275
152	OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	4,287	4,287	.....	.....	.....	-4,287
153	CAISR EQUIPMENT .....	18,276	18,276	.....	.....	.....	.....
154	ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	134,495	134,495	.....	.....	.....	.....
155	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT .....	324,327	324,327	.....	.....	.....	.....
	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY .....			.....	.....	.....	.....
	TOTAL, PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....	589,053	588,289	.....	.....	.....	-20,764
157	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	317,234	317,234	.....	.....	.....	.....
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	12,140	12,140	.....	.....	.....	.....
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY .....	6,310,257	6,058,377	.....	.....	.....	-251,880

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3	Hybrid Electric Drive [HED] .....	16,956	3,956	− 13,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Contract delay .....			− 13,000
7	DDG Mod .....	285,994	208,374	− 77,620
	Restoring acquisition accountability: No funds for Flight IIA DDG 79 .....			− 77,620
11	LCC 19/20 Extended Service Life Program .....	40,329	37,329	− 3,000
	Improving funds management: Air Search Radar installation funding ahead of need .....			− 3,000
12	Pollution Control Equipment .....	19,603	18,290	− 1,313
	Improving funds management: Prior years under-execution .....			− 1,313
29	Standard Boats .....	36,452	32,072	− 4,380
	Improving funds management: CNIC force protection medium contract delay .....			− 4,380
31	Operating Forces IPE .....	69,368	49,868	− 19,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Emergent Repair Facility Navy-identified excess to requirement .....			− 19,500
35	LCS SUW Mission Modules .....	37,168	19,481	− 17,687
	Improving funds management: Gun module contract delay .....			− 13,272
	Program termination: Irregular Warfare Module .....			− 4,415
40	SSN Acoustics .....	199,438	197,852	− 1,586
	Restoring acquisition accountability: SA106 hull sensors low cost conformal array kits cost growth .....			− 1,586
44	Submarine Acoustic Warfare System .....	24,077	20,937	− 3,140
	Improving funds management: CSA MK3 engineering change growth .....			− 3,140
45	SSTD .....	11,925	3,625	− 8,300
	Restoring acquisition accountability: AN/SLQ-25C ahead of need .....			− 8,300
49	AN/SLQ-32 .....	203,375	178,075	− 25,300
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess to requirement .....			− 25,300
76	CANES .....	340,567	325,340	− 15,227
	Improving funds management: Excess DDG-51 afloat installation funding .....			− 15,227
78	CANES-Intell .....	59,652	55,262	− 4,390
	Improving funds management: Excess DDG-51 afloat installation funding .....			− 4,390
107	Airborne Mine Countermeasures .....	101,554	86,054	− 15,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: AN/AQS-24C upgrade kits early to need .....			− 15,500
136	Amphibious Equipment .....	5,741		− 5,741
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover .....			− 5,741
139	Physical Security Vehicles .....	1,182		− 1,182
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover .....			− 1,182
140	Materials Handling Equipment .....	14,250		− 14,250
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover .....			− 14,250
149	Naval MIP Support Equipment .....	3,202		− 3,202
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover .....			− 3,202
151	Operating Forces Support Equipment .....	24,294	11,019	− 13,275
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover .....			− 13,275
152	C4ISR Equipment .....	4,287		− 4,287
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover .....			− 4,287

*Destroyer Modernization.*—The fiscal year 2014 budget request includes \$285,994,000 for continued modernization of DDG-51 Destroyers. While the requested funding is consistent with prior year

efforts, the Committee notes that the Navy proposes to change its Destroyer modernization strategy. Instead of continuing with its original strategy of working through the inventory of oldest ships, the Navy intends to begin modernizing the newer, Flight IIA Destroyers beginning in fiscal year 2016. The intent of the modernization program is to increase the service life of the Destroyers and modernize their combat capabilities. The Committee questions this revised strategy and believes that the older Flight I and II Destroyers should continue to be the focus of the Navy’s modernization program in order to ensure their operational readiness throughout their projected service lives. Therefore, the Committee recommends fully funding the modernization of DDG 60 and DDG 74 as requested, but recommends no funds for DDG 79, the first Flight IIA Destroyer proposed for modernization. Further, the Committee encourages the Navy to return to its previous Destroyer modernization strategy of older ships in future budget submissions.

PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$1,409,548,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	1,343,511,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,325,407,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,325,407,000. This is \$18,104,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES						
	PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS						
	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES						
1	AA7/A1 PIP .....		32,360		32,360		
2	LAV PIP .....		6,003		6,003		
	ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS						
3	EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM .....		589		589		
4	155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER .....		3,655		3,655		
5	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM .....		5,467		5,467		
6	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 MILLION .....		20,354		20,354		
	OTHER SUPPORT						
7	MODIFICATION KITS .....		38,446		38,446		
8	WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM .....		4,734		4,734		
	TOTAL, WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES .....		111,608		111,608		
	GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT						
	GUIDED MISSILES						
9	GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE .....		15,713		15,713		
10	JAVELIN .....	219	36,175	219	36,175		
12	ANTI-ARMOR WEAPONS SYSTEM—HEAVY (AAMS-H) .....		1,136		1,136		
	OTHER SUPPORT						
13	MODIFICATION KITS .....		33,976		30,078		-3,898
	TOTAL, GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT .....		87,000		83,102		-3,898
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT						
	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS						
14	COMBAT OPERATIONS CENTER .....		16,273		16,273		
	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT						
15	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT .....		41,063		41,063		



16	OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)		2,930		2,930		
	COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM						
	COMMAND AND CONTROL		1,637		1,637		
18	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC)		18,394		18,394		
19	AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS						
	RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)		114,051		99,198		-14,853
20	RADAR SYSTEMS		66,612	25	66,612		
21	RQ-21 UAS						
	INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)		3,749		3,749		
22	FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM		75,979		75,979		
23	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		1,653		1,653		
26	RO-11 UAV		9,494		9,494		
27	DCGS-MC		6,171		6,162		-9
	OTHER COMM/ELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)						
28	NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT						
	OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)		121,955		119,173		-2,782
29	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES		83,294		83,294		
30	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS		74,718		74,718		
31	RADIO SYSTEMS		47,613		47,613		
32	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS		19,573		19,573		
33	COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT		5,659		5,659		
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS						
	TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT		710,818		693,174		-17,644
	SUPPORT VEHICLES						
	ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES		1,039		1,039		
34	COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES		31,050		31,050		
35	COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES						
	TACTICAL VEHICLES		36,333		36,204		-129
36	5/AT TRUCK HMMWV (MYP)		3,137		3,137		
37	MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS		27,385		27,385		
40	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS						
	OTHER SUPPORT		7,016		10,616		+3,600
41	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION						

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	TOTAL, SUPPORT VEHICLES .....		105,960		109,431		+ 3,471
	ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT						
	ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT						
42	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT .....		14,377		14,377		
43	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT .....		24,864		24,864		
44	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS .....		21,592		21,592		
45	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED .....		61,353		61,353		
46	AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		4,827		4,827		
47	EOD SYSTEMS .....		40,011		40,011		
	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT						
48	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT .....		16,809		16,809		
49	GARRISON MOBILE ENGR EQUIP .....		3,408		3,408		
50	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP .....		48,549		48,549		
51	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION .....		190		190		
	GENERAL PROPERTY						
52	FIELD MEDICAL EQUIPMENT .....		23,129		23,129		
53	TRAINING DEVICES .....		8,346		8,346		
54	CONTAINER FAMILY .....		1,857		1,824		- 33
55	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT .....		36,198		36,198		
56	RAPID DEPLOYABLE KITCHEN .....		2,390		2,390		
	OTHER SUPPORT						
57	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....		6,525		6,525		
	TOTAL, ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT .....		314,425		314,392		- 33
58	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....		13,700		13,700		
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS .....		1,343,511		1,325,407		- 18,104

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
13	MODIFICATION KITS .....	33,976	30,078	- 3,898
	Maintaining program affordability: TOW Unit Cost Growth .....			- 3,898
20	RADAR SYSTEMS .....	114,051	99,198	- 14,853
	Maintaining program affordability: Previously Funded EDM Refurbishment .....			- 14,853
28	NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT .....	6,171	6,162	- 9
	Maintaining program affordability: Squad Thermal Systems .....			- 9
29	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES .....	121,955	119,173	- 2,782
	Maintaining program affordability: Laptop Cost Growth .....			- 2,782
36	5/4 TRUCK HMMWV .....	36,333	36,204	- 129
	Maintaining program affordability: Cargo XLWB .....			- 129
41	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION .....	7,016	10,616	+ 3,600
	Marine Corps unfunded requirement: Marine Security Guard Expansion—Armored Vehicles .....			+ 3,600
54	CONTAINER FAMILY .....	1,857	1,824	- 33
	Maintaining program affordability: JMIC Price Disparity .....			- 33

*Small Tactical Unmanned Aircraft System [STUAS]/RQ-21 UAS.*—The fiscal year 2014 budget request includes \$66,612,000 to begin full rate of production of the RQ-21 aircraft. The Committee approves the President's request but remains concerned about the long-term affordability of the system. In Senate Report 112-196, the Committee indicated concern with the lack of a capability development document, concurrency, and lack of approval to enter production. Since then, the Marine Corps has approved production, testing has been ongoing, and most of the concurrency has been mitigated. To ensure future affordability, the Committee encourages the Marine Corps to establish a target price curve for future production lots, continue lean manufacturing processes, and continue to identify savings in future contract awards.

## AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$11,758,481,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	11,398,901,000
Committee recommendation .....	10,917,270,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$10,917,270,000. This is \$481,631,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>						
	<b>COMBAT AIRCRAFT</b>						
	<b>TACTICAL FORCES</b>						
1	F-35 .....	19	3,060,770	19	2,911,270		- 149,500
2	F-35 (AP-CY) .....		363,783		315,283		- 48,500
	<b>TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT</b> .....		3,424,553		3,226,553		- 198,000
	<b>AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT</b>						
	<b>TACTICAL AIRLIFT</b>						
	<b>OTHER AIRLIFT</b>						
5	C-130J .....	6	537,517	6	537,517		
6	C-130J ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) .....		162,000		162,000		
7	HC-130J .....	1	132,121	1	132,121		
8	HC-130J .....		88,000		88,000		
9	MC-130J .....	4	389,434	4	389,434		
10	MC-130J .....		104,000		104,000		
	<b>TOTAL, AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT</b> .....		1,413,072		1,413,072		
	<b>OTHER AIRCRAFT</b>						
	<b>HELICOPTERS</b>						
15	CV-22 OSPREY .....	3	230,798	3	230,798		
	<b>MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT</b>						
17	CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C .....	6	2,541	6	10,200		+ 7,659
	<b>OTHER AIRCRAFT</b>						
20	TARGET DRONES .....	41	138,669	41	138,669		
22	AC-130J .....	5	470,019	5	470,019		
24	RQ-4 UAV .....		27,000		11,000		- 16,000
27	MQ-9 .....	12	272,217	12	272,217		
28	RQ-4 BLOCK 40 PROC .....		1,747		1,747		

29	TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT .....	1,142,991	1,134,650	-8,341
	MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT			
	STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT			
30	B-2A .....	20,019	20,019	
31	B-1B .....	132,222	121,222	-11,000
32	B-52 .....	111,002	99,145	-11,857
	LARGE AIRCRAFT INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES .....	27,197	27,197	
	TACTICAL AIRCRAFT			
33	A-10 .....	47,598	47,598	
34	F-15 .....	354,624	354,624	
35	F-16 .....	11,794	11,794	
36	F-22A .....	285,830	285,830	
37	F-35 MODIFICATIONS .....	157,777	157,777	
	AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT			
38	C-5 .....	2,456	2,456	
39	C-5M .....	1,021,967	919,717	-102,250
42	C-17A .....	143,197	143,197	
43	C-21 .....	103	103	
44	C-32A .....	9,780	9,780	
45	C-37A .....	452	452	
	TRAINER AIRCRAFT			
47	GLIDER MODS .....	128	128	
48	T6 .....	6,427	6,427	
49	T-1 .....	277	277	
50	T-38 .....	28,686	28,686	
	OTHER AIRCRAFT			
52	U-2 MODS .....	45,591	45,591	
53	KC-10A (ATCA) .....	70,918	57,454	-13,464
54	C-12 .....	1,876	1,876	
55	MC-12W .....	5,000	5,000	
56	C-20 MODS .....	192	192	
57	VC-25A MOD .....	263	263	
58	C-40 .....	6,119	6,119	
59	C-130 .....	58,577	58,577	
61	C130J MODS .....	10,475	10,475	
62	C-135 .....	46,556	4,526	-42,030
63	COMPASS CALL MODS .....	34,494	34,494	

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
64	RC-135 .....		171,813		171,813		
65	E-3 .....		197,087		142,615		- 54,472
66	E-4 .....		14,304		14,304		
67	E-8 .....		57,472		57,472		
68	H-1 .....		6,627		6,627		
69	H-60 .....		27,654		27,654		
70	RQ-4 UAV MODS .....		9,313		9,313		
71	HC/MC-130 MODIFICATIONS .....		16,300		16,300		
72	OTHER AIRCRAFT .....		6,948		6,948		
73	MQ-1 MODS .....		9,734		9,734		
74	MQ-9 MODS .....		102,970		62,970		- 40,000
76	RQ-4 GSRV/CSRA MODS .....		30,000		30,000		
77	CV-22 MODS .....		23,310		23,310		
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT .....		3,315,129		3,040,056		- 275,073
78	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....		463,285		463,285		
	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS .....						
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....		463,285		463,285		
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES .....						
79	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT .....		49,140		49,140		
	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP .....						
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT .....						
81	B-1 .....		3,683		3,683		
83	B-2A .....		43,786		43,786		
84	B-52 .....		7,000		7,000		
87	C-17A .....		81,952		81,952		
89	C-135 .....		8,597		8,597		
90	F-15 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT .....		2,403		2,403		
91	F-16 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT .....		3,455		3,238		- 217
92	F-22A .....		5,911		5,911		

94	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	21,148	21,148				
	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS						
	WAR CONSUMABLES	94,947	94,947				
95	WAR CONSUMABLES						
	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES	1,242,004	1,242,004				
96	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES						
	DARP						
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES	1,564,026	1,563,809				- 217
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	75,845	75,845				
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	11,398,901	10,917,270				- 481,631

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	F-35 .....	3,354,170	3,204,670	-149,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: decrease tooling ...			-78,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: decrease non-recurring engineering initiatives .....			-71,500
2	F-35 Advance Procurement .....	363,783	315,283	-48,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: reduce by 4 aircraft .....			-48,500
17	Civil Air Patrol A/C .....	2,541	10,200	+7,659
	Program increase .....			+7,659
24	RQ-4 .....	27,000	11,000	-16,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Production closeout early to need .....			-16,000
30	B-1B .....	132,222	121,222	-11,000
	Improving funds management: Excess carryover .....			-11,000
31	B-52 .....	111,002	99,145	-11,857
	Restoring acquisition accountability: 1760 IWBU delay ..			-5,120
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Anti-skid replacement delay .....			-6,737
39	C-5M .....	1,197,767	1,095,517	-102,250
	Maintaining program affordability: Excessive kit and installation cost growth .....			-63,250
	Maintaining program affordability: Change orders unjustified growth .....			-39,000
53	KC-10A [ATCA] .....	70,918	57,454	-13,464
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Installation funding for CNS/ATM kits not procured .....			-13,464
62	C-135 .....	46,556	4,526	-42,030
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Block 45 program delays .....			-42,030
65	E-3 .....	197,087	142,615	-54,472
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Block 40/45 program adjustment .....			-30,930
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Block 40/45 installation ahead of need .....			-23,542
74	MQ-9 Mods .....	102,970	62,970	-40,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Anti-ice production concurrency .....			-5,520
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Lynx radar early to need .....			-34,480
91	F-16 .....	3,455	3,238	-217
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Production line shutdown .....			-217

*F-35 Conventional Take-off and Landing Total Quantities.*—During the Committee's hearing to review the Joint Strike Fighter budget for fiscal year 2014, the Committee was informed that the Air Force's planned F-35 procurement quantity of 1,763 aircraft is based on a one-for-one replacement of legacy aircraft. While the F-35 will provide the Air Force with much greater fifth generation fighter capability for certain future threats, less capable aircraft may be effective and more cost-effective to operate and maintain in other less contentious scenarios. The Committee believes that given these times of fiscal austerity, the Department of Defense should review the Air Force tactical fighter force mix. The Committee directs the Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, in conjunction with the Air Force Chief of Staff, to deliver not later than 180 days



after enactment of this act, to the congressional defense committees an analysis that outlines the appropriate total quantity of Air Force fifth generation and less capable aircraft based on the anticipated threat during the next 30 years.

*Air Force Missile Range Support and Security.*—The fiscal year 2014 budget request includes no funds for replacement of the previously terminated Common Vertical Lift Support Platform [CVLSP], leaving the Committee concerned that the Air Force’s number one priority to sustain an effective nuclear deterrent is not being sufficiently supported. As outlined by the Defense Science Board [DSB] Standing Task Force on Nuclear Weapons Surety, failures continue in fundamental areas, impacting the field forces and the replacement or upgrade of old equipment. The lack of urgency in replacing equipment following the fiscal year 2013 CVLSP termination at the request of the Air Force results in the reliance on outdated, inadequate, and obsolete 40-year-old UH–1N helicopters that cannot meet full mission requirements, and operate under waivers to support crews and logistics at the ballistic missile bases.

Replacement of these aged platforms does not require a significant new technological development program, instead the UH–1N shortfalls in speed, range and endurance could be remedied through the procurement of in-service, in-production aircraft at lower cost and less acquisition risk to the Government. This alternative acquisition approach could compare favorably to the continued cost of sustaining the UH–1N, while providing significantly enhanced performance and mission capability. Therefore, the Committee believes the Department of Defense through the Air Force should leverage existing production capacity and submit a program for the replacement of UH–1N fleet in the fiscal year 2015 budget submission.

*C–130 Modernization.*—The Committee notes the Air Force’s initiatives to reduce fuel consumption across its fleet, including flight and durability tests of propulsion upgrades to the legacy C–130 fleet. In the fiscal year 2014 budget request, the Air Force requested, and the Committee approved, multiyear procurement authority for new C–130J aircraft. However, since the Air Force plans to operate over 200 legacy C–130H aircraft until the year 2040, the Committee urges the Air Force to consider upgrades to these aircraft to help achieve fuel efficiency goals, to include comprehensive propulsion upgrades.

#### MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$4,955,827,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	5,343,286,000
Committee recommendation .....	5,178,486,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112–25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,178,486,000. This is \$164,800,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
	BALLISTIC MISSILES						
	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT—BALLISTIC						
1	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ—BALLISTIC		39,104		39,104		
	OTHER MISSILES						
	TACTICAL						
2	JASSM	183	291,151	183	291,151		
3	SIDEWINDER (AIM-9X)	225	119,904	225	119,904		
4	AWRAAM	199	340,015	199	340,015		
5	PREDATOR HELIFIRE MISSILE	413	48,548	413	48,548		
6	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB	144	42,347	144	42,347		
	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES						
7	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS/POLLUTION PREVENTION		752		752		
	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		842,717		842,717		
	MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES						
	CLASS IV						
9	MM III MODIFICATIONS		21,635		21,635		
10	AGM-65D MAVERICK		276		276		
11	AGM-88A HARM		580		580		
12	AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE		6,888		6,888		
13	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB		5,000		5,000		
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES		34,379		34,379		
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS						
14	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS		72,080		72,080		
	OTHER SUPPORT						
	SPACE PROGRAMS						
15	ADVANCED EHF		379,586		379,586		

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
16	WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES .....		38,398		38,398		
17	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT .....	2	403,431		398,431		-5,000
18	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT (AP-CY) .....		74,167		74,167		
19	SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC) .....		5,244		5,244		
20	GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE) .....		55,997		55,997		
21	DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG (SPACE) .....		95,673		85,873		-9,800
22	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH (SPACE) .....	5	1,852,900	5	974,037		-878,863
	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH INFRASTRUCTURE (SPACE) .....				728,863		+728,863
23	SBIR HIGH (SPACE) .....		583,192		583,192		
	SPECIAL PROGRAMS .....						
29	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS .....		36,716		36,716		
	TOTAL, OTHER SUPPORT .....		3,525,304		3,360,504		-164,800
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....		829,702		829,702		
	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE .....		5,343,286		5,178,486		-164,800

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget request
17	GPS III Space Segment .....	403,431	398,431	- 5,000
	Maintain program affordability: Eliminating program management growth .....			- 5,000
21	Def Meteorological Sat Prog(Space) .....	95,673	85,873	- 9,800
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover .....			- 9,800
22	Evolved Expendable Launch Veh(Space) .....	1,852,900	974,037	- 878,863
	Transfer Launch Capability to line 22a .....			- 878,863
22a	Evolved Expendable Launch Veh Infrastructure (Space) .....		728,863	+ 728,863
	Transfer Launch Capability from line 22 .....			+ 878,863
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unjustified increase .....			- 150,000

*Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle [EELV].*—The Committee supports the Air Force strategy to control space launch costs through efficient procurement of launch services through block buys, while pursuing a new entrant strategy to provide competition in space launch missions. The Committee also recognizes that greater efficiencies by the incumbent contractor have produced substantial cost savings in the program.

The Committee continues to be concerned by the lack of visibility in the funding requests to support the EELV program, and again recommends that procurement funding be separated into one line for EELV launch services and a separate line for EELV launch capability and associated costs.

The Committee also directs that the Secretary of the Air Force specifically identify such funds requested in fiscal year 2015 and future budgets to support the sole source block buy of EELV launch services, and such funds requested to support the competitive award of launch services among the incumbent and any qualified new entrants.

*Small Diameter Bomb.*—Small Diameter Bomb II [SDB II] is a 250 pound class precision-guided air-to-ground munition used against both fixed and moving targets at standoff range in all weather conditions. It is a joint Air Force/Navy program with the Air Force as the lead service. A variant of SDB I, called the Laser SDB I, has capability against moving targets in clear weather. SDB II has experienced past delays with testing and its integration with the Joint Strike Fighter. A rigorous testing schedule remains including five guided test vehicle test flights and two live fire test flights before achieving milestone C and Low Rate Initial Production in fiscal year 2014.

The Committee is closely monitoring SDB II and believes an overall review of the SDB program and its capability mix is appropriate, and directs the Secretary of the Air Force to brief the congressional defense committees on the status of the SDB program consistent with S. 1197, the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2014, as reported.

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$593,909,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	759,442,000
Committee recommendation .....	759,442,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$759,442,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE						
1	ROCKETS		15,735		15,735		
2	CARTRIDGES		129,921		129,921		
	BOMBS						
3	PRACTICE BOMBS		30,840		30,840		
4	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS		187,397		187,397		
5	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	6,965	188,510	6,965	188,510		
	FLARE, IR MUJ-7B						
6	CAD/PAD		35,837		35,837		
7	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL (EOD)		7,531		7,531		
8	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		499		499		
9	MODIFICATIONS		480		480		
10	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000		9,765		9,765		
	FUZES						
11	FLARES		55,864		55,864		
13	FUZES		76,037		76,037		
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, AIR FORCE		738,416		738,416		
	WEAPONS						
14	SMALL ARMS		21,026		21,026		
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE		759,442		759,442		

OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$17,059,965,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	16,760,581,000
Committee recommendation .....	17,542,627,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$17,542,627,000. This is \$782,046,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:



[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
	VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT						
	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES						
1	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLE .....		2,048		2,048		
	CARGO + UTILITY VEHICLES						
2	FAMILY MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE .....		8,019		8,019		
3	CAP VEHICLES .....		946		946		
4	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (CARGO) .....		7,138		7,138		
	SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES						
5	SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLES .....		13,093		13,093		
6	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (SPECIAL) .....		13,983		13,983		
	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT						
7	FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE VEHICLES .....		23,794		23,794		
	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT						
8	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 .....		8,669		8,669		
	BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT						
9	RUNWAY SNOW REMOVAL & CLEANING EQUIP .....		6,144		6,144		
10	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M .....		1,580		1,580		
	TOTAL, VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT .....		85,414		85,414		
	ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP						
	COMM SECURITY EQUIPMENT (COMSEC)						
12	COMSEC EQUIPMENT .....		149,661		149,661		
13	MODIFICATIONS (COMSEC) .....		726		726		
	INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS						
14	INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT .....		2,789		2,789		
15	INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIP .....		31,875		67,575		+ 35,700
16	ADVANCE TECH SENSORS .....		452		452		
17	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS .....		14,203		14,203		

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
18	ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS						
19	TRAFFIC CONTROL/LANDING		46,232		46,232		
20	NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM		11,685		11,685		
21	BATTLE CONTROL SYSTEM—FIXED		19,248		19,248		
22	THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPRO		19,292		19,292		
23	WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST		17,166		17,166		
24	STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL		22,723		44,023		+ 21,300
25	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX		27,930		27,930		
	TAC SIGNIT SPT		217		217		
	SPECIAL COMM?09ELECTRONICS PROJECTS						
27	GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY		49,627		49,627		
28	AF GLOBAL COMMAND & CONTROL SYSTEM		13,559		13,559		
29	MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL		11,186		11,186		
30	AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM		43,238		43,238		
31	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES		10,431		20,431		+ 10,000
32	C3 COUNTERMEASURES		13,769		13,769		
33	GCSS?09AF FOS		19,138		19,138		
34	THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYS		8,809		8,809		
35	AIR OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC)		26,935		26,935		
	AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS						
36	INFORMATION TRANSPORT SYSTEMS		80,558		80,558		
38	AFNET		97,588		97,588		
39	VOICE SYSTEMS		8,419		8,419		
40	USCENTCOM		34,276		34,276		
	DISA PROGRAMS						
41	SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PROG SPACE		28,235		28,235		
42	NAVSTAR GPS SPACE		2,061		2,061		
43	NUDET DETECTION SYS (NDS) SPACE		4,415		4,415		
44	AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK SPACE		30,237		30,237		
45	SPACE/LIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE		98,062		98,062		
46	MILSATCOM SPACE		105,935		60,935		- 45,000
47	SPACE MODS SPACE		37,861		35,861		- 2,000
48	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM		7,171		7,171		

49	ORGANIZATION AND BASE								
50	TACTICAL C709E EQUIPMENT	83,537	83,537						
51	COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATER	11,884	8,428						-3,456
52	RADIO EQUIPMENT	14,711	14,711						
53	CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT	10,275	10,275						
	BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE	50,907	50,907						
	MODIFICATIONS								
54	COMM ELECT MODS	55,701	55,701						
	TOTAL, ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP	1,322,724	1,339,268						+ 16,544
	OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP								
	PERSONAL SAFETY AND RESCUE EQUIP								
55	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES	14,524	3,640						-10,884
56	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 (SAFETY)	28,655	28,655						
	DEPOT PLANT + MATERIALS HANDLING EQ								
57	MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING	9,332	9,332						
	BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT								
58	BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT	16,762	16,762						
59	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS	33,768	33,768						
60	PRODUCTIVITY CAPITAL INVESTMENT	2,495	2,495						
61	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT	12,859	12,859						
62	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (BASE SUPPORT)	1,954	1,954						
	SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS								
64	DARP RC135	24,528	24,528						
65	DISTRIBUTED GROUND SYSTEMS	137,819	137,819						
67	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM	479,586	479,586						
68	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROGRAM	45,159	96,159						+ 51,000
	TOTAL, OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP	807,441	847,557						+ 40,116
	SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS								
69	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	25,746	25,746						
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	14,519,256	15,244,642						+ 725,386
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	16,760,581	17,542,627						+ 782,046

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
15	Intelligence Comm Equipment .....	31,875	67,575	+ 35,700
	Air National Guard unfunded requirement: Remote Split Operation equipment and intel targeting facilities .....			+ 35,700
23	Strategic Command And Control .....	22,723	44,023	+ 21,300
	Air Force unfunded requirement: Stratcom facility fit out .....			+ 21,300
31	Combat Training Ranges .....	10,431	20,431	+ 10,000
	Range improvements .....			+ 10,000
46	Milsatcom Space .....	105,935	60,935	- 45,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Acquisition strategy—FAB-T .....			- 45,000
47	Def Meteorological Sat Prog(Space) .....	37,861	35,861	- 2,000
	Improving funds management: OCS modernization ...			- 2,000
50	Combat Survivor Evader Locator .....	11,884	8,428	- 3,456
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth for batteries .....			- 3,456
55	Night Vision Goggles .....	14,524	3,640	- 10,884
	Program termination: Night vision goggles cueing and display .....			- 10,884
68	Defense Space Reconnaissance Prog. ....	45,159	96,159	+ 51,000
	Classified adjustment .....			+ 51,000
	Classified Programs .....	14,519,256	15,244,642	+ 725,386
	Classified adjustment .....			+ 725,386

*MQ-1/9 Remote Split Operations.*—As a result of the Air Force force structure actions, six Air National Guard squadrons will transition to MQ-1/9 remote split operations by fiscal year 2020. The Committee recommends \$35,700,000 to fully fund a shortfall that the Air Force identified to the Committee for equipment to support the standup of these Squadron Operations Centers. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to submit an execution plan for these additional funds no later than 90 days after the enactment of this act. The execution plan should include detailed plans for funding, training, manning, and equipping all six Air National Guard squadrons. Further, the Committee directs that training will begin for all six squadrons in fiscal year 2014 as previously indicated by the Air Force and expects that funding to equip the remaining squadrons will be included in the fiscal year 2015 budget request.

## PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$4,872,546,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	4,534,083,000
Committee recommendation .....	4,434,769,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,434,769,000. This is \$99,314,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE						
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT						
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA						
1	MAJOR EQUIPMENT ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M		1,291		1,291		
2	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCMA		5,711		5,711		
3	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DHRA		47,201		47,201		
	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION						
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA						
8	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY		16,189		16,189		
11	TELEPORT PROGRAM		66,075		66,075		
12	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M		83,881		69,375		-14,506
13	NET CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES)		2,572		2,572		
14	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS NETWORK		125,557		125,557		
16	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE		16,941		16,941		
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DLA						
17	MAJOR EQUIPMENT		13,137		13,137		
18	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DDMCT	5	15,414	5	15,414		
19	AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT & LOGISTICS		1,454		1,454		
20	EQUIPMENT		978		978		
21	OTHER CAPITAL EQUIPMENT		5,020		5,020		
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY						
22	VEHICLES	2	100	2	100		
23	OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT	3	13,395	3	13,395		
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DTSA						
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MDA						
25	THAAD SYSTEM	36	581,005	36	576,851		-4,154
26	AEGIS BMD	52	580,814	52	580,814		
27	BMDs AN/TPY-2 RADARS		62,000		62,000		
28	AEGIS ASHORE PHASE III	1	131,400	1	131,400		

30	IRON DOME SYSTEM .....	1	220,309	1	220,309	.....	.....
37	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP) .....	.....	14,363	.....	14,363	.....	.....
38	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD .....	.....	37,345	.....	37,345	.....	.....
39	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, INTELLIGENCE .....	.....	16,678	.....	16,678	.....	.....
40	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS .....	.....	14,792	.....	14,792	.....	.....
41	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS .....	.....	35,259	.....	35,259	.....	.....
	TOTAL, MAJOR EQUIPMENT .....	.....	2,108,881	.....	2,090,221	.....	- 18,660
	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND						
	AVIATION PROGRAMS						
43	SOF ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT .....	.....	112,456	.....	110,456	.....	- 2,000
44	MH-60 SOF MODERNIZATION PROGRAM .....	.....	81,457	.....	81,457	.....	.....
45	NON-STANDARD AVIATION .....	.....	2,650	.....	2,650	.....	.....
46	SOF U-28 .....	.....	56,208	.....	56,208	.....	.....
47	MH-47 CHINOOK .....	.....	19,766	.....	19,766	.....	.....
48	RQ-11 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE .....	.....	850	.....	850	.....	.....
49	CV-22 SOF MODIFICATION .....	3	98,927	3	98,927	.....	.....
50	MQ-1 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE .....	.....	20,576	.....	20,576	.....	.....
51	MQ-9 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE .....	.....	1,893	.....	14,893	.....	+ 13,000
53	STUASLO .....	.....	13,166	.....	13,166	.....	.....
54	PRECISION STRIKE PACKAGE .....	.....	107,687	.....	93,520	.....	- 14,167
55	AC/MC-130J .....	.....	51,870	.....	51,870	.....	.....
57	C-130 MODIFICATIONS .....	.....	71,940	.....	61,317	.....	- 10,623
	SHIPBUILDING						
59	UNDERWATER SYSTEMS .....	.....	37,439	.....	30,439	.....	- 7,000
	AMMUNITION PROGRAMS						
61	SOF ORDNANCE ITEMS UNDER \$5,000,000 .....	.....	159,029	.....	159,029	.....	.....
	OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS						
69	SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS .....	.....	79,819	.....	79,819	.....	.....
71	DCGS-SOF .....	.....	14,906	.....	14,906	.....	.....
	OTHER ITEMS UNDER \$5,000,000 .....	.....	81,711	.....	79,604	.....	- 2,107

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
74	SOF COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS .....		35,053		32,753		-2,300
72	SPECIAL PROGRAMS .....		41,526		9,526		-32,000
73	TACTICAL VEHICLES .....		43,353		37,353		-6,000
74	WARRIOR SYSTEMS UNDER \$5,000,000 .....		210,540		210,540		
76	COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS .....		20,000		20,000		
81	SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES .....		6,645		6,645		
82	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE .....		25,581		25,581		
87	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS .....		191,061		191,061		
	TOTAL, SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND .....		1,586,109		1,522,912		-63,197
	CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE						
89	INSTALLATION FORCE PROTECTION .....		14,271		13,314		-957
90	INDIVIDUAL PROTECTION .....		101,667		101,667		
92	JOINT BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM .....		13,447		13,447		
93	COLLECTIVE PROTECTION .....		20,896		20,896		
94	CONTAMINATION AVOIDANCE .....		144,540		133,040		-11,500
	TOTAL, CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE .....		294,821		282,364		-12,457
	TOTAL, CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE .....		544,272		539,272		-5,000
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....		4,534,083		4,434,769		-99,314
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE .....						



## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
12	Items Less Than \$5 Million .....	83,881	69,375	- 14,506
	DISA requested transfer to O&M, DW DISA line for the Senior Leadership Enterprise Program .....			- 14,506
25	THAAD .....	581,005	576,851	- 4,154
	Maintaining program affordability: Launchers excess cost growth .....			- 4,154
43	Rotary Wing Upgrades and Sustainment .....	112,456	110,456	- 2,000
	Improving funds management: Block simulator upgrades delayed .....			- 2,000
51	MQ-9 Unmanned Aerial Vehicle .....	1,893	14,893	+ 13,000
	Authorization adjustment: MQ-9 Unmanned Aerial Vehicle capability improvements .....			+ 13,000
54	Precision Strike Package .....	107,687	93,520	- 14,167
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Contract award delays since new start .....			- 14,167
57	C-130 Modifications .....	71,940	61,317	- 10,623
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Milestone C delay to FY15 .....			- 10,623
59	Underwater Systems .....	37,439	30,439	- 7,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Milestone C delay to FY15 .....			- 7,000
68	Other Items <\$5M .....	81,711	79,604	- 2,107
	Improving funds management: Family of loudspeakers unobligated .....			- 2,107
69	Combatant Craft Systems .....	35,053	32,753	- 2,300
	Improving funds management: Excess support costs ..			- 2,300
72	Special Programs .....	41,526	9,526	- 32,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unjustified request .....			- 32,000
73	Tactical Vehicles .....	43,353	37,353	- 6,000
	Improving funds management: Reduction for under-execution .....			- 6,000
89	Installation Force Protection .....	14,271	13,314	- 957
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Early to need Common Analytical Lab system .....			- 957
90	Individual Protection .....	101,667	101,667	.....
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Joint Service Aircrew Mask milestone C delay to FY14 .....			- 10,000
	Department identified joint service ground purpose mask shortfall .....			+ 10,000
94	Contamination Avoidance .....	144,540	133,040	- 11,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Dismounted Reconnaissance system milestone C delay to FY14 ..			- 11,500
	Classified Programs .....	544,272	539,272	- 5,000
	Classified adjustment .....			- 5,000

## DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$223,236,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	25,135,000
Committee recommendation .....	50,135,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$50,135,000. This is \$25,000,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
1	DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES		25,135		50,135		+ 25,000

*Additional Funding.*—The Committee recognizes the critical role that the DPA Title III program serves in strengthening the U.S. defense industrial base and believes that this work is in the national interest. Therefore, the Committee increases funding for DPA by \$25,000,000 over the budget request. The Committee directs that the additional funding be competitively awarded to new initiatives and priority consideration should be given to completion of DPA projects initiated in prior years. Furthermore, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to inform the congressional defense committees 30 days prior to any obligation or expenditure of these funds.

NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$1,500,000,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	.....
Committee recommendation .....	1,000,000,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112–25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,000,000,000. This is \$1,000,000,000 above the budget estimate.

The appropriation includes direction for each Reserve and National Guard component commander to submit to the congressional defense committees a detailed assessment of that component’s modernization priorities, not later than 30 days after enactment of this act.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT						
	RESERVE EQUIPMENT						
	ARMY RESERVE						
1	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT .....				175,000		+ 175,000
2	NAVY RESERVE				70,000		+ 70,000
	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT .....						
3	MARINE CORPS RESERVE				55,000		+ 55,000
	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT .....						
4	AIR FORCE RESERVE				70,000		+ 70,000
	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT .....						
	TOTAL, RESERVE EQUIPMENT .....				370,000		+ 370,000
	NATIONAL GUARD EQUIPMENT						
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD						
5	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT .....				315,000		+ 315,000
	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT .....						
6	AIR NATIONAL GUARD				315,000		+ 315,000
	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT .....						
	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD EQUIPMENT .....				630,000		+ 630,000
	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT .....				1,000,000		+ 1,000,000

*High-Priority Items.*—The Committee directs that the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Account shall be executed by the Chiefs of the National Guard and Reserve components with priority consideration given to the following items: 13K AT Forklift; ARC 210 Radios for ANG F-16s; Arctic Sustainment Kits; Base Physical Security Systems; Blue Force Tracker Next Generation; CBRN Reconnaissance Equipment; Chemical and Biological Protective Shelters; Coastal Riverine Force Boats and Communications Upgrades; Counter Communications Systems; Digital Radar Warning Receivers [ALR-69A] for F-16s; F-15 AESA Radars; F-16/F-15/A-10 Radar Warning Receivers and Defensive Systems Upgrades; General Engineering Equipment; Generation 4 Advanced Targeting Pods; HC-130 Hostile Fire Indication System; Helmet-Mounted Integrated Targeting System; In-Flight Propeller Balancing System; Integrated Vehicle Health Management System for UH-72As; Internal and External Auxiliary Fuel Tanks for Rotary Wing Aircraft; Joint Threat Emitters; KC-135 and C-130 Real Time Information in Cockpit [RTIC] Data Link; Large Aircraft Infrared Countermeasures [LAIRCM]; Light Utility Helicopters; Lightweight Multi-Band Satellite Terminal; Mobile Ad Hoc Network Emergency Communications Equipment; Modernized Medical Equipment Sets for HMMWVs; Modular Small Arms Training Systems; Reactive Skin Decontamination Lotion; RED HORSE Squadron Vehicles; Remotely Piloted Aircraft Squadron Operations Centers and Targeting Unit Equipment; Simulation Training Systems; Small and Light Arms; Tactical Trucks; Targeting Pod Upgrades; UH-60 A-L Modernization; UH-60 Civilian Communications Package A & B Kits; Ultra-Light Tactical Vehicles; VSS Modernization for Geographically Separated Units and Unified Capabilities; and Wireless Mobile Mesh Network Systems.

*Chemical and Biological Protective Shelters [CBPS].*—The Committee supports the National Guard's effort to acquire critical dual use equipment. The Committee believes National Guard and Reserve Equipment [NGRE] funds should be used to acquire equipment identified on the NGRE Report for fiscal year 2014 Top 25 Equipment Modernization/Shortfall Category List, such as CBPS. The CBPS is a self-contained, mobile medical unit that is a rapidly deployable collective protection system designed to operate in the forward battle area and for domestic response. Previously, the Commission on National Guard and Reserves stated that the Secretary of Defense should ensure forces identified as rapid responders to domestic catastrophes are "manned, trained, and equipped to the highest levels of readiness." The CBPS has been identified as a critical shortfall item for the past 5 years and is essential to the National Guard in support of both its national security and homeland missions. Recent events and natural disasters highlighted the need for a protected, mobile medical capability. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Department to use a portion of this funding to continue the procurement of CBPS.

*Intent of the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Account [NGREA].*—Congress established the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Account [NGREA] in 1981 to provide the equipment necessary to maintain the readiness of National Guard and Reserve components. The Committee recognizes that the Active components

do not procure all of the required equipment necessary to resource their reserve components, especially in a time of fiscal constraint.

NGREA funding is intended to supplement the services' base procurement appropriations, not substitute for it. The Active components are still expected and required to fund and equip their respective Guard and Reserve components to ensure reserve units are at a high level of readiness should they need to be deployed. Consistent with the total force concept, the Active components serve as the procurement contracting authority in support of the Guard and Reserve components.

Congress expects the Chiefs of the National Guard and Reserve components to enhance readiness by procuring items that the services' base appropriations do not fund. As already established in law, NGREA funds have and should continue to be used to procure new aircraft; major weapon systems that are already established as a stable procurement program; equipment support items; and modifications to rebuild, overhaul, or refurbish existing items without expanding on the platform capability currently supported by the Active components.

NGREA provides the needed flexibility to the Guard and Reserve Chiefs to procure priority readiness items subject only to the authority, direction, and control of the Secretary of Defense. The Committee expects that the Guard and Reserve Chiefs will continue to execute their portion of NGREA as Congress has historically directed within the bill, and outlined within the annual law pertaining to the NGRE account, without prejudice to size or function of the Reserve unit benefitting from this account.

*Total Force.*—The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 established the National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force to determine whether and how the structure should be modified to best fulfill current and anticipated mission requirements for the Air Force in a manner consistent with available resources. As Congress awaits the recommendations of the Commission, the Committee notes the likelihood that the fleets of the Active, Guard, and Reserve components will diverge in the coming years. As aircraft and other equipment are increasingly operated solely by the Guard and Reserve, the Committee directs the Active component to continue to resource and invest in the necessary maintenance and upgrades of these aircraft and equipment, to include program management administration and research, development, test, and evaluation efforts required to integrate items procured using National Guard and Reserve Equipment funding.

TITLE IV

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required to conduct a program of research, development, test and evaluation, including research in basic science, applied research, advanced technology development, demonstration and validation, engineering and manufacturing development, and operational systems development.

The President's fiscal year 2014 budget requests a total of \$67,520,236,000 for research, development, test and evaluation appropriations.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends research, development, test and evaluation appropriations totaling \$65,806,815,000 for fiscal year 2014. This is \$1,713,421,000 below the budget estimate.

Committee recommended research, development, test and evaluation appropriations for fiscal year 2014 are summarized below:

SUMMARY OF RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION APPROPRIATIONS

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation:			
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army .....	7,989,102	7,576,342	- 412,760
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy .....	15,974,780	15,403,145	- 571,635
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force .....	25,702,946	24,945,541	- 757,405
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide .....	17,667,108	17,695,487	+ 28,379
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense .....	186,300	186,300	.....
Total .....	67,520,236	65,806,815	- 1,713,421

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION OVERVIEW

*Alternative Energy Research.*—The Committee continues to believe in the fiscal and operational value of investing in alternative energy research, and recommends an additional \$75,000,000 for Army, Navy and Air Force research and development to continue research of promising alternative energy technologies, such as renewable energies, alternative fuels, and energy efficiencies. The Committee encourages the services to examine opportunities to use the arbitrage of affordable natural gas to enhance their energy usage efficiency. Additional research is needed to improve the efficiency and durability of technologies for advanced natural gas engine and vehicle technologies, fuel storage, and fueling infrastructure. Therefore, the Committee encourages the military services to invest in natural gas vehicle and fueling infrastructure research,



development, and technology demonstrations to address these areas.

*Technology Transfer.*—The Committee recognizes the importance of transitioning technology between the Federal Government and non-Federal organizations, academia, the nonprofit sector, and State and local governments. Technology transfer ensures that taxpayer investments in research and development are used to benefit the economy and the general public, along with promoting commercialization for small businesses. Further, in fulfilling its responsibility to ensure the full use of the results of the Nation's Federal investment in research and development, the Committee encourages the Department of Defense to place an increased focus on technology transfer programs, which includes allocation of funds, and the streamlining of programs and performance metrics for Federal laboratories.

*Small Business Innovative Research.*—The Committee recognizes the importance of the Small Business Innovative Research [SBIR] program and its success in commercialization from federally funded research and development projects. The SBIR program creates jobs and encourages domestic small businesses to engage in Federal research and development. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to place an increased focus on considering SBIR awards to firms that employ fewer than 50 people.

*Solar Research in Dry-Dust Areas.*—The Committee supports efforts by the Department of Defense to become more energy efficient. These efforts have demonstrated cost savings and are an important part of the 2010 Quadrennial Defense Review and the Department of Defense's Operational Energy Strategy. Renewable energy, including solar, is an important part of these efforts. Fort Bliss recently launched the largest renewable energy project in the military's history—featuring a 20 megawatt solar farm—with the goal of Net Zero emissions on base. The Committee believes solar initiatives are also important for overseas operations, particularly in the Middle East and Africa, where large amounts of energy often need to be transported to theater and in-country supplies are unreliable. However, dry-dust problems can prevent the optimal use of solar energy in some areas. Therefore, the Committee urges the Department of Defense to make research into the use of solar energy in dry-dust regions a priority in fiscal year 2014.

*FAA UAS Test Ranges.*—The Committee supports the Federal Aviation Administration's ongoing program to establish test sites for civilian unmanned aircraft systems [UAS]. The Committee understands that Department of Defense test ranges are currently operating at capacity due to overwhelming demand for UAS testing and because of continued growth in the UAS industry. Because civilian test sites are limited, DOD sites are serving both defense and civilian industry needs. The FAA's program will serve to bolster testing capacity across the industry and support this important and growing defense capability.

*National Network for Manufacturing Innovation.*—The Committee supports the administration's initiative to create three new manufacturing institutes including two Department of Defense-led institutes—a digital manufacturing and design institute and a lightweight and modern metals manufacturing institute. The two

Department of Defense-led institutes, part of the administration's larger National Network for Manufacturing Innovation, will address the life cycle of digital data across systems and accelerate the introduction and use of more affordable products made with high strength-to-weight alloys that improve performance and reduce energy consumption. The institutes will increase domestic manufacturing competitiveness and efficiency that is necessary for our national defense by bringing together our Nation's research and development, education, and training activities for a skilled workforce and the deployment of technological innovations in domestic production of goods.

#### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$8,665,177,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	7,989,102,000
Committee recommendation .....	7,576,342,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$7,576,342,000. This is \$412,760,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY			
	BASIC RESEARCH			
1	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH .....	21,803	21,803	.....
2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES .....	221,901	221,901	.....
3	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	79,359	79,359	.....
4	UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS .....	113,662	113,662	.....
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH .....	436,725	436,725	.....
	APPLIED RESEARCH			
5	MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY .....	26,585	56,585	+ 30,000
6	SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY .....	43,170	43,170	.....
7	TRACTOR HIP .....	36,293	36,293	.....
8	AVIATION TECHNOLOGY .....	55,615	55,615	.....
9	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY .....	17,585	17,585	.....
10	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY .....	51,528	51,528	.....
11	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY .....	26,162	26,162	.....
12	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION .....	24,063	24,063	.....
13	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY .....	64,589	64,589	.....
14	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY .....	68,300	76,300	+ 8,000
15	CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY ...	4,490	4,490	.....
16	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM .....	7,818	7,818	.....
17	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY .....	37,798	37,798	.....
18	ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES .....	59,021	59,021	.....
19	NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY .....	43,426	43,426	.....
20	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS .....	20,574	30,574	+ 10,000
21	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY .....	21,339	21,339	.....
22	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY .....	20,316	20,316	.....
23	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY .....	34,209	34,209	.....
24	COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY .....	10,439	10,439	.....
25	MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY .....	70,064	70,064	.....
26	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY .....	17,654	17,654	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
27	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY .....	31,546	31,546	.....
28	MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY .....	93,340	93,340	.....
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH .....	885,924	933,924	+ 48,000
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
29	WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	56,056	66,056	+ 10,000
30	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	62,032	70,032	+ 8,000
31	AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	81,080	81,080	.....
32	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	63,919	63,919	.....
33	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY ...	97,043	152,043	+ 55,000
34	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	5,866	10,866	+ 5,000
35	MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	7,800	7,800	.....
36	ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	40,416	40,416	.....
37	TRACTOR HIKE .....	9,166	9,166	.....
38	NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS .....	13,627	13,627	.....
39	TRACTOR ROSE .....	10,667	10,667	.....
41	COMBATING TERRORISM, TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	15,054	15,054	.....
42	TRACTOR NAIL .....	3,194	3,194	.....
43	TRACTOR EGGS .....	2,367	2,367	.....
44	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY .....	25,348	25,348	.....
45	MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	64,009	84,009	+ 20,000
46	TRACTOR CAGE .....	11,083	11,083	.....
47	HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM ...	180,662	225,662	+ 45,000
48	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY ...	22,806	22,806	.....
49	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM .....	5,030	5,030	.....
50	NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	36,407	36,407	.....
51	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS .....	11,745	11,745	.....
52	MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	23,717	23,717	.....
53	ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE & SENSOR TECHNOLOGY .....	33,012	33,012	.....
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	882,106	1,025,106	+ 143,000
	DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION			
54	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION .....	15,301	15,301	.....
55	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION (SPACE) .....	13,592	13,592	.....
56	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER—ADV DEV .....	10,625	.....	- 10,625
58	TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION .....	30,612	30,612	.....
59	ADVANCED TANK ARMAMENT SYSTEM (ATAS) .....	49,989	49,989	.....
60	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY .....	6,703	5,188	- 1,515
61	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM—AD .....	6,894	6,894	.....
62	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	9,066	9,066	.....
63	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY .....	2,633	2,633	.....
64	WARFIGHTER INFORMATION NETWORK—TACTICAL .....	272,384	166,384	- 106,000
65	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT .....	3,874	3,874	.....
66	AVIATION—ADV DEV .....	5,018	5,018	.....
67	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ADV DEV .....	11,556	11,556	.....
69	MEDICAL SYSTEMS—ADV DEV .....	15,603	15,603	.....
70	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	14,159	14,159	.....
71	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE .....	79	79	.....
72	TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES .....	55,605	5,130	- 50,475
74	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INCREMENT 2—INTERC .....	79,232	79,232	.....
75	INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE .....	4,476	4,476	.....
76	ENDURANCE UAVS .....	28,991	.....	- 28,991
	TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION .....	636,392	438,786	- 197,606
	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
77	AIRCRAFT AVIONICS .....	76,588	76,588	.....
78	ARMED, DEPLOYABLE OH-58D .....	73,309	73,309	.....
79	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT .....	154,621	154,621	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
80	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO .....	31,826	31,826	.....
81	MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO .....	23,341	23,341	.....
82	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM .....	4,839	4,839	.....
83	TRACTOR CAGE .....	23,841	23,841	.....
84	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS .....	79,855	90,500	+ 10,645
85	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	2,140	2,140	.....
86	JAVELIN .....	5,002	5,002	.....
87	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	21,321	21,321	.....
88	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL .....	514	514	.....
93	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS—SDD .....	43,405	43,405	.....
94	COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT .....	1,939	1,939	.....
95	NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES—SDD .....	18,980	18,980	.....
97	AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE—SDD .....	18,294	18,294	.....
98	CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	17,013	17,013	.....
99	AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT .....	6,701	6,701	.....
100	DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS)—SDD .....	14,575	14,575	.....
101	COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE .....	27,634	27,634	.....
102	BRIGADE ANALYSIS, INTEGRATION AND EVALUATION .....	193,748	100,000	– 93,748
103	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS—SDD .....	15,721	15,721	.....
104	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—SDD .....	41,703	41,703	.....
105	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS—SDD .....	7,379	7,379	.....
106	MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT .....	39,468	39,468	.....
107	LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER—SDD .....	92,285	92,285	.....
108	ARTILLERY MUNITIONS .....	8,209	8,209	.....
109	ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE & SOFTWARE .....	22,958	22,958	.....
110	RADAR DEVELOPMENT .....	1,549	1,549	.....
111	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM (GFEB) .....	17,342	227	– 17,115
112	FIREFINDER .....	47,221	20,221	– 27,000
113	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—WARRIOR DEM/VAL .....	48,477	33,477	– 15,000
114	ARTILLERY SYSTEMS .....	80,613	121,313	+ 40,700
117	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	68,814	68,814	.....
118	ARMY INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM (AIMH) .....	137,290	69,290	– 68,000
119	ARMORED MULTI-PURPOSE VEHICLE .....	116,298	116,298	.....
120	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER .....	68,148	68,148	.....
121	AMF JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM .....	33,219	33,219	.....
122	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM) .....	15,127	15,127	.....
124	PAC–2/MSE MISSILE .....	68,843	68,843	.....
125	ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AIAMD) .....	364,649	369,649	+ 5,000
126	MANNED GROUND VEHICLE .....	592,201	423,201	– 169,000
127	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR .....	10,382	10,382	.....
128	NATIONAL CAPABILITIES INTEGRATION .....	21,143	21,143	.....
129	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE ENG AND MANUFACTURING .....	84,230	84,230	.....
130	TROJAN—RH12 .....	3,465	3,465	.....
131	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT .....	10,806	10,806	.....
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT .....	2,857,026	2,523,508	– 333,518
	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
132	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT .....	16,934	24,434	+ 7,500
133	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	13,488	13,488	.....
134	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT .....	46,672	46,672	.....
135	RAND ARROYO CENTER .....	11,919	19,419	+ 7,500
136	ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL .....	193,658	193,658	.....
137	CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM .....	37,158	22,258	– 14,900
139	ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES .....	340,659	340,659	.....
140	ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS .....	66,061	66,061	.....
141	SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS .....	43,280	43,280	.....
143	AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION .....	6,025	6,025	.....
144	METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RDT&E ACTIVITIES .....	7,349	7,349	.....
145	MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS .....	19,809	19,809	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
146	EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS .....	5,941	5,941	.....
147	SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING .....	55,504	55,504	.....
148	ARMY EVALUATION CENTER .....	65,274	65,274	.....
149	SIMULATION & MODELING FOR ACQ, RQTS, & TNG (SMART) .....	1,283	1,283	.....
150	PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES .....	82,035	82,035	.....
151	TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES .....	33,853	33,853	.....
152	MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY .....	53,340	58,340	+ 5,000
153	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT .....	5,193	5,193	.....
154	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT) .....	54,175	54,175	.....
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....	1,159,610	1,164,710	+ 5,100
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
156	MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM .....	110,576	96,476	- 14,100
157	LOGISTICS AUTOMATION .....	3,717	3,717	.....
159	PATRIOT PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT .....	70,053	29,200	- 40,853
160	AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE .....	98,450	.....	- 98,450
160A	AEROSTAT EMD .....	.....	60,000	+ 60,000
160B	AEROSTAT COCOM EXERCISE .....	.....	38,450	+ 38,450
161	ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM .....	30,940	25,520	- 5,420
162	COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS .....	177,532	177,532	.....
163	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM .....	36,495	36,495	.....
164	AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS .....	257,187	239,824	- 17,363
165	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM .....	315	315	.....
166	DIGITIZATION .....	6,186	6,186	.....
168	MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM .....	1,578	1,578	.....
169	OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS .....	62,100	62,100	.....
171	TRACTOR CARD .....	18,778	18,778	.....
	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM .....	7,108	7,108	.....
173	SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES .....	7,600	7,600	.....
174	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM .....	9,357	9,357	.....
176	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	41,225	41,225	.....
177	SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE) .....	18,197	18,197	.....
178	WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM .....	14,215	14,215	.....
179	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES .....	33,533	33,533	.....
180	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	27,622	27,622	.....
182	MQ-1 SKY WARRIOR A UAV .....	10,901	10,901	.....
183	RQ-11 UAV .....	2,321	2,321	.....
184	RQ-7 UAV .....	12,031	12,031	.....
186	BIOMETRICS ENABLED INTELLIGENCE .....	12,449	12,449	.....
187	END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES .....	56,136	56,136	.....
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	1,126,602	1,048,866	- 77,736
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	4,717	4,717	.....
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY .....	7,989,102	7,576,342	- 412,760

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2013 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
5	Materials Technology .....	26,585	56,585	+ 30,000
	Materials research and technology .....	.....	.....	+ 15,000
	Nanotechnology research .....	.....	.....	+ 5,000
	Silicon carbide research .....	.....	.....	+ 10,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2013 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
14	Ballistics Technology .....	68,300	76,300	+ 8,000
	Authorization adjustment: WIAMAN schedule adjustment .....			+ 8,000
20	Countermine Systems .....	20,574	30,574	+ 10,000
	Unexploded ordnance and landmine detection research .....			+ 10,000
29	Warfighter Advanced Technology .....	56,056	66,056	+ 10,000
	Program increase .....			+ 10,000
30	Medical Advanced Technology .....	62,032	70,032	+ 8,000
	Military Burn Research Program .....			+ 8,000
33	Combat Vehicle and Automotive Advanced Technology .....	97,043	152,043	+ 55,000
	Alternative energy research .....			+ 25,000
	Program increase .....			+ 30,000
34	Space Application Advanced Technology .....	5,866	10,866	+ 5,000
	Program increase .....			+ 5,000
45	Missile and Rocket Advanced Technology .....	64,009	84,009	+ 20,000
	Restore unjustified reduction .....			+ 20,000
47	High Performance Computing Modernization Program .....	180,662	225,662	+ 45,000
	Restore unjustified reduction .....			+ 45,000
56	Landmine Warfare and Barrier—Adv Dev .....	10,625		— 10,625
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Forward Reconnaissance and Explosive Hazard Detection (FREHD) program deferred by the Army .....			— 10,625
60	Soldier Support and Survivability .....	6,703	5,188	— 1,515
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Rapid Equipping Force non-base budget program .....			— 1,515
64	Warfighter Information Network-Tactical—DEM/VAL .....	272,384	166,384	— 106,000
	Maintaining program affordability: Increment III excessive growth .....			— 106,000
72	Technology Maturation Initiatives .....	55,605	5,130	— 50,475
	Maintaining program affordability: DS3 unjustified request .....			— 40,986
	Improving funds management: DX1 excess carry-over .....			— 9,489
76	Endurance UAVs .....	28,991		— 28,991
	Program termination: LEMV .....			— 28,991
84	Infantry Support Weapons .....	79,855	90,500	+ 10,645
	Restoring acquisition accountability: S62 Counter-Defilade Target Engagement—transfer from WTCV at request of Army for corrective actions .....			+ 11,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: S63 Individual Carbine program terminated by Army .....			— 355
102	Brigade Analysis, Integration and Evaluation .....	193,748	100,000	— 93,748
	Maintaining program affordability: NIE excessive costs .....			— 93,748
111	General Fund Enterprise Business System [GFEBs] .....	17,342	227	— 17,115
	Improving funds management: DV6 GFEBs—SA—Fully funded in fiscal year 2013, per Army request .....			— 17,115
112	Firefinder .....	47,221	20,221	— 27,000
	Improving funds management: P3I program delays ..			— 27,000
113	Soldier Systems—Warrior Dem/Val .....	48,477	33,477	— 15,000
	Maintaining program affordability: S75 excessive costs .....			— 15,000
114	Artillery Systems—EMD .....	80,613	121,313	+ 40,700
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Transfer from WTCV for Paladin at Army request .....			+ 40,700
118	Integrated Personnel and Pay System-Army [IPPS-A] .....	137,290	69,290	— 68,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Increment II excessive delays .....			— 68,000
125	Army Integrated Air and Missile Defense [AIAMD] .....	364,649	369,649	+ 5,000
	Program increase for cyber security and supply chain risk management .....			+ 5,000
126	Manned Ground Vehicle .....	592,201	423,201	— 169,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2013 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Excess technology development undefinitized contract extension funding .....			-99,000
	Maintaining program affordability: Excessive funding for prototypes .....			-70,000
132	Threat Simulator Development .....	16,934	24,434	+7,500
	Cyber test and evaluation enterprise infrastructure ..			+7,500
135	Rand Arroyo Center .....	11,919	19,419	+7,500
	Restore unjustified reduction .....			+7,500
137	Concepts Experimentation Program .....	37,158	22,258	-14,900
	Reducing duplication: Project 317 contractor growth .....			-14,900
152	Munitions Standardization, Effectiveness and Safety .....	53,340	58,340	+5,000
	Program increase .....			+5,000
156	MLRS Product Improvement Program .....	110,576	96,476	-14,100
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Project 78G firm fixed price contract cost growth .....			-14,100
159	Patriot Product Improvement .....	70,053	29,200	-40,853
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Only for near-term urgent improvements .....			-40,853
160	Aerostat Joint Project Office .....	98,450		-98,450
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Transfer to lines 160A and 160B for oversight .....			-98,450
160A	Aerostat Joint Program Office: Conclusion of EMD effort only .....		60,000	+60,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Transfer from line 160 for conclusion of EMD effort only .....			+60,000
160B	Aerostat Joint Program Office: COCOM exercise only .....		38,450	+38,450
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Transfer from line 160 for COCOM exercise only .....			+38,450
161	Adv Field Artillery Tactical Data System .....	30,940	25,520	-5,420
	Improving funds management: Increment 2 Army identified excess .....			-5,420
164	Aircraft Modifications/Product Improvement Programs .....	257,187	239,824	-17,363
	Improving funds management: Project 430 Block II excess carryover .....			-17,363

*Warfighter Information Network-Tactical [WIN-T] Increment III.*—The fiscal year 2014 budget request includes \$271,284,000 for WIN-T Increment III, an increase of \$92,652,000 over amounts appropriated for fiscal year 2013, and an increase of \$195,032,000 over amounts previously programmed for fiscal year 2014. In the fiscal year 2014 budget submission, the Army has also programmed an additional \$249,608,000 over amounts previously programmed for fiscal year 2015. The Committee questions the fiscal soundness of adding close to \$450,000,000 to this program in the next 2 fiscal years. The Committee notes that a follow-on development contract award scheduled for earlier this year has been delayed and that the program's acquisition program baseline is expected to be revised later this year. Therefore, the Committee finds this increase in resources premature and recommends continuing the program at fiscal year 2013 levels.

*Army Network Integrated Evaluation [NIE].*—The fiscal year 2014 budget request includes \$193,748,000 for two Network Integrated Evaluations [NIE], which are semi-annual assessments and formal test events of network technologies in the context of a military exercise. The Committee notes that to date, the Army has con-

ducted five such events, which include formal development and operational tests of programs of record, as well as informal evaluations of Government- or contractor-provided systems in response to Army-identified capability gaps. As confirmed in a Government Accountability Office August 2013 report assessing the NIE, the Committee is not aware of significant successful technology transitions of the over 100 systems evaluated at the NIE to date, despite additional funds having been provided by Congress specifically for that purpose. The Committee further notes that the Army has not been able to execute previously appropriated funding for the NIE and has reprogrammed or carried-over over 90 percent of total fiscal year 2012 and fiscal year 2013 funding. Given the fiscal constraints the Army is facing, the Committee questions the return on investment for NIE in its current form. Therefore, the Committee recommends reducing the scope of the NIE, allowing the Army and vendors to prioritize their investments to support Army requirements.

*Ground Combat Vehicle [GCV].*—The fiscal year 2014 budget request includes \$592,201,000 for the Ground Combat Vehicle. The program was restructured earlier this year using previously appropriated funds. As part of the program's restructure, the Army awarded two undefinitized contract actions [UCAs] in April 2013, which it plans to definitize by December 2013. The Committee notes the historically measurable cost growth of UCAs on development programs, as restated in the Department's 2013 annual report on the performance of the Department of Defense acquisition system. The Army has budgeted over \$140,000,000 for these contracts in fiscal year 2014, which due to the fiscal year 2013 funds already applied to the UCAs is excess to stated requirements. Therefore, the Committee recommends a reduction of \$99,000,000 to the budget request for undefinitized contract actions. In addition, the Army is planning to spend over \$470,000,000 over the next 3 fiscal years for the fabrication of 12 GCV prototypes. While the Committee recognizes the value of certain prototype activities during the development phase of the program, the acquisition strategy and funding profile would expend close to \$40,000,000 per individual prototype vehicle. Therefore, the Committee denies the \$70,000,000 requested for prototypes in fiscal year 2014. The Committee notes that none of these adjustments affect the Government program office, nor do they impede the Army's ability to award the GCV engineering and manufacturing development contract in September 2014, as planned.

*Improved Military Tactical Batteries.*—The Committee continues to be concerned with the high operational and maintenance costs, and performance issues related to the short life of standard military vehicle batteries. The Committee encourages the Army to continue efforts designed to capture cost savings and performance improvements through storage and operation of military vehicle batteries.

*Prototype Integration Facility.*—The Army prototype integration facility [PIF] remains a key, award-winning enterprise asset. The Committee notes that since 2002, the PIF has executed in excess of \$3,100,000,000 in rapid response, quick reaction, and high-priority weapons system hardware and services support to the



warfighter. The PIF does not receive mission or program budget funds and relies on customer funding to execute specific weapon system program requirements. The Committee encourages the Army to continue to support upgrades to the PIF and to maintain the fast-response capability of the PIF so that this unique and valuable asset will be available to the warfighter to address current and future needs.

*Engineering Systems Integration Labs.*—The Committee is aware of new technologies for the development and evaluation of new weapon systems that can reduce costs and serve as an effective platform for integrating advanced technology into a weapon system. The use of Engineering Systems Integration Labs [ESILs] has already proven valuable where they have been utilized. The Committee urges the Army, in conjunction with industry, to implement ESILs for all major weapon systems, including legacy systems modernization programs.

*Cybersecurity of Space Assets.*—The Committee understands it is an Army priority to augment its capability for cybersecurity research on net-centric embedded weapon systems and to research and evaluate technologies for space-based and cyberspace applications for Army tactical ground forces. The Army's strategic forces mission requirements include maintaining Army force modernization in space. The Committee encourages the Army to apply the appropriate resources to ensure cyberspace technologies research for the security of space assets, which in turn ensures our warfighters can receive critical information in a battlefield environment. Leveraging existing personnel and recently acquired technology development management programs can provide services such as mitigation strategies to agencies that develop, acquire, and maintain space and net-centric weapons assets, to include the Missile Defense Agency.

*Warfighter Helmets.*—The high prevalence of warfighters returning from theater with short- and long-term brain injury is indicative of Traumatic Brain Injury [TBI], and is a major concern to the Committee. The Committee is aware of the physical, emotional, psychological, social, and financial cost associated with these type injuries. Therefore, the Committee urges the Army Research Laboratory, in partnership with public universities across the country, to leverage commercially available technology for improved soldier-worn equipment, particularly, pneumatic cushioning systems in military combat helmets, to protect the health and safety of the warfighter.

*Wireless Medical Technology.*—The Committee supports initiatives to improve casualty care of personnel through the development of wireless medical monitoring systems with enhanced data collection capabilities out to the point of wounding. The Committee encourages the Army to utilize these wireless medical technologies in demonstrations, operational evaluations, and deployments in order to provide greater patient care.

## RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2013<sup>1</sup> ..... \$16,941,012,000  
 Budget estimate, 2014 ..... 15,974,780,000  
 Committee recommendation ..... 15,403,145,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$15,403,145,000. This is \$571,635,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY			
	BASIC RESEARCH			
1	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	112,617	112,617	.....
2	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH .....	18,230	18,230	.....
3	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES .....	484,459	489,459	+ 5,000
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH .....	615,306	620,306	+ 5,000
	APPLIED RESEARCH			
4	POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH .....	104,513	104,513	.....
5	FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH .....	145,307	170,307	+ 25,000
6	MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY .....	47,334	47,334	.....
7	COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH .....	34,163	34,163	.....
8	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH .....	49,689	49,689	.....
9	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH .....	97,701	97,701	.....
10	OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH .....	45,685	45,685	.....
11	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH .....	6,060	6,060	.....
12	UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH .....	103,050	103,050	.....
13	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEV .....	169,710	169,710	.....
14	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH .....	31,326	31,326	.....
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH .....	834,538	859,538	+ 25,000
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
15	POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	48,201	48,201	.....
16	FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	28,328	28,328	.....
19	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	56,179	56,179	.....
20	MARINE CORPS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION (ATD) .....	132,400	132,400	.....
21	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	11,854	11,854	.....
22	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEV .....	247,931	247,931	.....
23	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	4,760	4,760	.....
25	NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS .....	51,463	51,463	.....
26	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY ..	2,000	2,000	.....
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	583,116	583,116	.....
	DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION			
27	AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS .....	42,246	42,246	.....
28	AVIATION SURVIVABILITY .....	5,591	5,591	.....
29	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL .....	3,262	3,262	.....
30	AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS .....	74	74	.....
31	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	7,964	7,964	.....
32	TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE .....	5,257	5,257	.....
33	ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY .....	1,570	1,570	.....
34	SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES .....	168,040	168,040	.....
35	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE .....	88,649	88,649	.....
36	CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	83,902	83,902	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
37	PILOT FISH .....	108,713	108,713	.....
38	RETRACT LARCH .....	9,316	9,316	.....
39	RETRACT JUNIPER .....	77,108	77,108	.....
40	RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL .....	762	762	.....
41	SURFACE ASW .....	2,349	2,349	.....
42	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT .....	852,977	827,977	- 25,000
43	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS .....	8,764	8,764	.....
44	SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN .....	20,501	20,501	.....
45	SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES .....	27,052	27,052	.....
46	ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS .....	428,933	428,933	.....
47	ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS .....	27,154	22,902	- 4,252
48	CHALK EAGLE .....	519,140	519,140	.....
49	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS) .....	406,389	202,618	- 203,771
	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS) MISSION PACKAGES .....	.....	203,771	+ 203,771
50	COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION .....	36,570	18,470	- 18,100
51	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS .....	8,404	8,404	.....
52	MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES .....	136,967	122,967	- 14,000
53	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	1,489	1,489	.....
54	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT .....	38,422	38,422	.....
55	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT .....	69,312	64,012	- 5,300
56	OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	9,196	7,696	- 1,500
57	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION .....	18,850	18,850	.....
58	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM .....	45,618	45,618	.....
59	FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT .....	3,019	3,019	.....
60	CHALK CORAL .....	144,951	144,951	.....
61	NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY .....	5,797	5,797	.....
62	RETRACT MAPLE .....	308,131	289,031	- 19,100
63	LINK PLUMERIA .....	195,189	176,189	- 19,000
64	RETRACT ELM .....	56,358	56,358	.....
65	LINK EVERGREEN .....	55,378	55,378	.....
66	SPECIAL PROCESSES .....	48,842	48,842	.....
67	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT .....	7,509	7,509	.....
68	LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY .....	5,075	.....	- 5,075
69	NONLETHAL WEAPONS .....	51,178	51,178	.....
70	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS .....	205,615	194,719	- 10,896
72	TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES .....	37,227	37,227	.....
73	ASE SELF-PROTECTION OPTIMIZATION .....	169	169	.....
74	JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WARFARE .....	20,874	20,874	.....
75	PRECISION STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM .....	2,257	2,257	.....
76	SPACE & ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHITECTURE/ENGINE .....	38,327	38,327	.....
77	OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOPMENT .....	135,985	105,985	- 30,000
78	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE ENGINEERING/MANUFACTURING .....	50,362	50,362	.....
79	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT—MIP .....	8,448	4,908	- 3,540
80	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT—MIP .....	153	153	.....
	TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION .....	4,641,385	4,485,622	- 155,763
	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
81	OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT .....	40,558	40,558	.....
82	AV-8B AIRCRAFT—ENG DEV .....	35,825	33,325	- 2,500
83	STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT .....	99,891	99,891	.....
84	MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT .....	17,565	17,565	.....
85	AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING .....	4,026	4,026	.....
86	P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM .....	1,791	.....	- 1,791
87	WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	11,725	11,725	.....
88	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM .....	68,463	68,463	.....
89	ADVANCED HAWKEYE .....	152,041	124,041	- 28,000
90	H-1 UPGRADES .....	47,123	47,123	.....
91	ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS .....	30,208	30,208	.....
92	V-22A .....	43,084	43,084	.....
93	AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	11,401	11,401	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
94	EA-18 .....	11,138	11,138	.....
95	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT .....	34,964	34,964	.....
96	VH-71A EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT .....	94,238	94,238	.....
97	NEXT GENERATION JAMMER (NGJ) .....	257,796	257,796	.....
98	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM—NAVY (JTRS—NAVY) .....	3,302	3,302	.....
99	SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING .....	240,298	225,298	— 15,000
100	LPD-17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION .....	1,214	1,214	.....
101	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB) .....	46,007	46,007	.....
102	STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS .....	75,592	75,592	.....
103	AIRBORNE MCM .....	117,854	117,854	.....
104	MARINE AIR GROUND TASK FORCE ELECTRONIC WARFARE .....	10,080	10,080	.....
105	NAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL-COUNTER AIR SYSTEMS ENG .....	21,413	21,413	.....
106	FUTURE UNMANNED CARRIER-BASED STRIKE SYSTEM .....	146,683	133,683	— 13,000
107	ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS .....	275,871	188,871	— 87,000
108	SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION .....	89,672	89,672	.....
109	AIR CONTROL .....	13,754	13,754	.....
110	SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS .....	69,615	69,615	.....
112	NEW DESIGN SSN .....	121,566	61,696	— 59,870
113	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM .....	49,143	49,143	.....
114	SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/LIVE FIRE T&E .....	155,254	205,254	+ 50,000
115	NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES .....	3,689	3,689	.....
116	MINE DEVELOPMENT .....	5,041	5,041	.....
117	LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT .....	26,444	26,444	.....
118	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT .....	8,897	8,897	.....
119	PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTORS .....	6,233	4,233	— 2,000
120	JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS .....	442	442	.....
121	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL) .....	130,360	119,610	— 10,750
122	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL) .....	50,209	46,025	— 4,184
123	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW) .....	164,799	114,799	— 50,000
124	INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING .....	1,984	1,984	.....
125	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT .....	9,458	9,458	.....
126	NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM .....	51,430	51,430	.....
127	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)—EMD .....	512,631	492,631	— 20,000
128	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF) .....	534,187	514,187	— 20,000
129	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	5,564	5,564	.....
130	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	69,659	62,823	— 6,836
132	CH-53K .....	503,180	471,280	— 31,900
133	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM) .....	5,500	.....	— 5,500
134	MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA) .....	317,358	240,358	— 77,000
135	DDG-1000 .....	187,910	187,910	.....
136	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM—MIP .....	2,140	2,140	.....
137	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS .....	9,406	9,406	.....
138	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS PROGRAM .....	22,800	22,800	.....
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT .....	5,028,476	4,643,145	— 385,331
	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
139	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT .....	43,261	43,261	.....
140	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	71,872	71,872	.....
141	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT .....	38,033	38,033	.....
142	JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION .....	1,352	1,352	.....
143	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—NAVY .....	5,566	5,566	.....
144	CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES .....	48,345	48,345	.....
146	TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES .....	637	637	.....
147	MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT .....	76,585	84,585	+ 8,000
148	STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT .....	3,221	3,221	.....
149	RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT .....	72,725	72,725	.....
150	RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT .....	141,778	141,778	.....
151	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT .....	331,219	281,219	— 50,000
152	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY .....	16,565	16,565	.....
153	NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT .....	3,265	3,265	.....
154	SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT .....	7,134	7,134	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
155	MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT .....	24,082	24,082	.....
156	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC ACTIVITIES .....	497	497	.....
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....	886,137	844,137	- 42,000
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
159	HARPOON MODIFICATIONS .....	699	699	.....
160	UNMANNED COMBAT AIR VEHICLE (UCAV) ADVANCED COMPO- NENT .....	20,961	20,961	.....
162	MARINE CORPS DATA SYSTEMS .....	35	35	.....
163	CARRIER ONBOARD DELIVERY FOLLOW ON .....	2,460	2,460	.....
164	STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT .....	9,757	11,757	+ 2,000
165	STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT .....	98,057	98,057	.....
166	SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM .....	31,768	31,768	.....
167	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT .....	1,464	1,464	.....
168	NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS .....	21,729	21,729	.....
169	RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT) .....	13,561	13,561	.....
170	F/A-18 SQUADRONS .....	131,118	131,118	.....
171	E-2 SQUADRONS .....	1,971	1,971	.....
172	FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL) .....	46,155	34,423	- 11,732
173	SURFACE SUPPORT .....	2,374	2,374	.....
174	TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER (TMPC) .....	12,407	12,407	.....
175	INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM .....	41,609	41,609	.....
176	AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS .....	7,240	4,682	- 2,558
177	GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR .....	78,208	78,208	.....
178	CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	45,124	45,124	.....
179	CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT .....	2,703	2,703	.....
180	ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) READINESS SUPPORT .....	19,563	19,563	.....
181	HARM IMPROVEMENT .....	13,586	13,586	.....
182	TACTICAL DATA LINKS .....	197,538	197,538	.....
183	SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION .....	31,863	31,863	.....
184	MK-48 ADCAP .....	12,806	10,106	- 2,700
185	AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS .....	88,607	88,607	.....
187	OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS .....	116,928	116,928	.....
188	MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS .....	178,753	178,753	.....
189	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS .....	139,594	118,719	- 20,875
190	MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT .....	42,647	35,647	- 7,000
191	USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS (MIP) .....	34,394	34,394	.....
192	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES .....	39,159	31,159	- 8,000
193	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM) .....	2,613	2,613	.....
194	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV) .....	986	986	.....
199	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE) .....	66,231	66,231	.....
200	CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE SERVICES .....	24,476	24,476	.....
201	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM .....	23,531	23,531	.....
206	NAVY METEOROLOGICAL AND OCEAN SENSORS—SPACE (METOC) .....	742	742	.....
207	JOINT MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS .....	4,804	4,804	.....
208	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES .....	8,381	8,381	.....
211	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE SYSTEMS ..	5,535	5,535	.....
212	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE SYSTEMS ..	19,718	19,718	.....
213	RQ-4 UAV .....	375,235	375,235	.....
214	MQ-8 UAV .....	48,713	48,713	.....
215	RQ-11 UAV .....	102	.....	- 102
216	RQ-7 UAV .....	710	710	.....
217	SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASLO) .....	5,013	5,013	.....
219	RQ-21A .....	11,122	9,122	- 2,000
220	MULTI-INTELLIGENCE SENSOR DEVELOPMENT .....	28,851	28,851	.....
221	MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT .....	5,116	5,116	.....
222	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF) .....	28,042	28,042	.....
223	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS .....	50,933	50,933	.....
224	MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARITECH) .....	4,998	4,998	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	2,200,690	2,147,723	- 52,967
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	1,185,132	1,219,558	+ 34,426
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY .....	15,974,780	15,403,145	- 571,635

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3	Defense Research Sciences .....	484,459	489,459	+ 5,000
	Nanotechnology research .....			+ 5,000
5	Force Protection Applied Research .....	145,307	170,307	+ 25,000
	Alternative energy research .....			+ 25,000
42	Advanced Submarine System Development .....	852,977	827,977	- 25,000
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances for early to need affordability initiatives .....			- 25,000
47	Advanced Surface Machinery Systems .....	27,154	22,902	- 4,252
	Improving funds management: Behind in execution .....			- 4,252
49	Littoral Combat Ship [LCS] .....	406,389	202,618	- 203,771
	Transfer to Line 49X .....			- 203,771
49X	Littoral Combat Ship [LCS] Mission Packages .....		203,771	+ 203,771
	Transfer from Line 49 .....			+ 203,771
50	Combat System Integration .....	36,570	18,470	- 18,100
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Late contract awards .....			- 18,100
52	Marine Corps Assault Vehicles .....	136,967	122,967	- 14,000
	Improving funds management: Forward financed .....			- 14,000
55	Cooperative Engagement (CEC) .....	69,312	64,012	- 5,300
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay .....			- 5,300
56	Ocean Engineering Technology Development .....	9,196	7,696	- 1,500
	Improving funds management: Forward financed .....			- 1,500
62	RETRACT MAPLE .....	308,131	289,031	- 19,100
	Improving funds management: Early to need .....			- 19,100
63	LINK PLUMERIA .....	195,189	176,189	- 19,000
	Improving funds management: Milestone slips .....			- 4,000
	Improving funds management: Milestone slips .....			- 15,000
68	Land Attack Technology .....	5,075	—	- 5,075
	Improving funds management: Early to need .....			- 5,075
70	Joint Precision Approach and Landing Systems—Dem/Val .....	205,615	194,719	- 10,896
	Restoring acquisition accountability: JPALS 1B test early to need .....			- 3,459
	Restoring acquisition accountability: JPALS 1B follow-on platform integration delay .....			- 7,437
77	Offensive Anti-Surface Warfare Weapon Development .....	135,985	105,985	- 30,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay .....			- 30,000
79	ASW Systems Development—MIP .....	8,448	4,908	- 3,540
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay .....			- 3,540
82	AV-8B Aircraft—Eng Dev .....	35,825	33,325	- 2,500
	Maintaining program affordability: Excess program management .....			- 2,500
86	P-3 Modernization Program .....	1,791	—	- 1,791
	Program termination: Program is in retirement phase .....			- 1,791
89	Advanced Hawkeye .....	152,041	124,041	- 28,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Undefined follow on development for in-flight refueling .....			- 28,000
99	Surface Combatant Combat System Engineering (Aegis) .....	240,298	225,298	- 15,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Schedule delay .....			- 15,000
106	Unmanned Carrier Launched Airborne Surveillance and Strike (UCLASS) System .....	146,683	133,683	- 13,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Schedule delay .....			- 13,000
107	Advanced Above Water Sensors .....	275,871	188,871	- 87,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: AMDR MS B 8 month schedule delay .....			- 87,000
112	New Design SSN .....	121,566	61,696	- 59,870
	Program termination: VA class module program terminated due to affordability .....			- 59,870
114	Ship Contract Design/Live Fire T&E .....	155,254	205,254	+ 50,000
	Increased LHA-8 design efforts .....			+ 50,000
119	Personnel, Training, Simulation, and Human Factors .....	6,233	4,233	- 2,000
	Improving funds management: Prior year carry over .....			- 2,000
121	Ship Self Defense (Detect & Control) .....	130,360	119,610	- 10,750
	Restoring acquisition accountability: DT/OT schedule delayed from previous year .....			- 10,750
122	Ship Self Defense (Engage: Hard Kill) .....	50,209	46,025	- 4,184
	Restoring acquisition accountability: RAM testing delays .....			- 4,184
123	Ship Self Defense (Engage: Soft Kill/EW) .....	164,799	114,799	- 50,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Block 3 EMD award delay .....			- 50,000
127	Joint Strike Fighter (JSF)—EMD .....	512,631	492,631	- 20,000
	Maintain program affordability: F-135 Propulsion system cost growth .....			- 10,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Follow-on development—no approved capabilities development document .....			- 5,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Development test and evaluation—no approved capabilities development document .....			- 5,000
128	Joint Strike Fighter (JSF)—EMD .....	534,187	514,187	- 20,000
	Maintain program affordability: F-135 Propulsion system cost growth .....			- 10,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Follow-on development—no approved capabilities development document .....			- 5,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Development test and evaluation—no approved capabilities development document .....			- 5,000
130	Information Technology Development .....	69,659	62,823	- 6,836
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unjustified request ..			- 6,836
132	CH-53K RDTE .....	503,180	471,280	- 31,900
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Development test delayed to FY14 .....			- 31,900
133	Joint Air-to-Ground Missile [JAGM] .....	5,500	—	- 5,500
	Program termination: Reduction due to program termination .....			- 5,500
134	Multi-mission Maritime Aircraft [MMA] .....	317,358	240,358	- 77,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Concurrency and Spiral 2 development delay .....			- 77,000
147	Management, Technical & International Support .....	76,585	84,585	+ 8,000
	Printed Circuit Board Executive Agent—Funds Previous NDAA mandate .....			+ 8,000
151	Test and Evaluation Support .....	331,219	281,219	- 50,000
	Maintain program affordability: Efficiencies savings for implementing automated test and analysis technologies .....			- 50,000
164	Strike Weapons Development .....	9,757	11,757	+ 2,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unjustified request ..			- 2,000
	F/A-18 missile flight testing .....			+ 4,000
172	Fleet Telecommunications (Tactical) .....	46,155	34,423	- 11,732

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Joint Aerial Layer Network program delay .....			-11,732
176	Amphibious Tactical Support Units (Displacement Craft) .....	7,240	4,682	-2,558
	Improving funds management: Forward financed .....			-2,558
184	MK-48 ADCAP .....	12,806	10,106	-2,700
	Improving funds management: Test and evaluation award slip .....			-2,700
189	Marine Corps Ground Combat/Supporting Arms Systems .....	139,594	118,719	-20,875
	Program termination: Marine Personnel Carrier program terminated due to affordability .....			-20,875
190	Marine Corps Combat Services Support .....	42,647	35,647	-7,000
	Improving funds management: Prior year carry over .....			-7,000
192	Tactical AIM Missiles .....	39,159	31,159	-8,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program delay .....			-8,000
215	RQ-11 UAV .....	102	—	-102
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Should be funded in sustainment .....			-102
219	RQ-21A .....	11,122	9,122	-2,000
	Improving funds management: Excess program management .....			-2,000
	Classified Programs .....	1,185,132	1,219,558	+ 34,426
	Classified adjustments .....			+ 34,426

*Next Generation Jammer.*—Senate Report 112–196 directed the Government Accountability Office to conduct a review of the program to determine if there are redundancies across the services and assess whether this effort should become a joint service solution. The report is almost complete, and based on the current recommendation, the Committee recommends the JROC validate the requirements for this capability and determine which DOD aircraft should carry this jammer. In addition, the current acquisition strategy selects one contractor for the program’s Technology Development phase. The Committee is concerned that this approach is inconsistent with some of the basic tenets of the Weapon System Acquisition Reform Act of 2009, including those that encourage competition throughout the acquisition life cycle, and directs the Navy to maintain competition through the Next Generation Jammer program’s technology development phase. In addition, the Navy should ensure that it acquires the necessary technical data rights and allows for the type of open systems architecture approach that would facilitate continued competition for the remainder of the Next Generation Jammer acquisition program.

*Navy Unmanned Combat Air System.*—The Committee commends the Navy on the historic launch and arrested landing of the X-47B unmanned combat air system [UCAS] onboard an aircraft carrier, which demonstrated an autonomous unmanned aircraft can be safely integrated into Navy carrier operations. The Navy plans to retire the aircraft at the end of the fiscal year, after investing more than \$1,500,000,000 in technology development. However, the Committee is concerned that the Navy plans to retire the aircraft prior to completing additional risk reduction testing. Therefore, prior to retiring the aircraft, the Committee directs the Navy to evaluate if the Navy UCAS–D program can be used for additional risk reduction activities to minimize ship integration challenges for the future UCLASS program.



*Advanced Hawkeye.*—The fiscal year 2014 request includes \$152,041,000 for new technology development. A majority of the request is to develop an in-flight refueling capability and future, undefined capabilities. The contract award for the in-flight refueling capability schedule was delayed by 6 months, and the future development effort lacks clear requirements and definition. As a result, the Committee recommends a reduction of \$28,000,000.

*Air and Missile Defense Radar.*—The fiscal year 2014 request includes \$275,871,000 to continue the engineering and manufacturing development phase. The Navy originally planned to award the contract and down select to a single manufacturer in November 2012 which was subsequently delayed to July 2013. As a result of the delay, a majority of the fiscal year 2013 funding will be awarded later than planned. Therefore, the Committee recommends a reduction of \$87,000,000.

*Offensive Anti-Surface Warfare Weapon Development.*—The budget request includes \$135,985,000 to begin development of a new Navy anti-ship weapon. Over the last year, the Navy has made several adjustments to the long range plan for this program. As a result of the uncertainty, and until the Navy has determined the exact requirements for this program, the Committee recommends a reduction of \$30,000,000.

*Virginia Payload Module.*—The Fiscal Year 2013 Defense Appropriations Act reduced the fiscal year 2013 budget request for the Virginia Payload Module by \$90,000,000. The Committees was concerned with increasing the *Virginia*-class submarine size by a third to accommodate a 93.7 foot module in the submarine's center. The Committee believes that the module's requirements are not defined, and will result in instability to a proven submarine design, disrupt a stable production line, and add significant cost risk which is not affordable in these difficult fiscal times. Initial cost estimates for development alone were \$800,000,000. Since then, the Navy has completed the requirements validation, but the Joint Requirements Oversight Committee validation is still incomplete. The Committee recommends no funding for the Virginia Payload Module in fiscal year 2014.

*LHA 8 Amphibious Assault Ship.*—The fiscal year 2014 budget request includes \$19,967,000 for LHA 8 amphibious assault ship preliminary design efforts. The Committee is aware that the Department of the Navy plans to reintroduce a well deck and optimize the aviation capability of LHA 8, which is planned for initial procurement funding in fiscal year 2015. As described in the Senate report accompanying S. 1197, the National Defense Act for Fiscal Year 2014, as reported, previous Navy efforts to start ship construction prior to completing a ship's design inevitably led to cost growth and schedule delays. Considering growing fiscal pressure on the national defense budget and increasing amphibious assault ship demands from combatant commanders for contingency operations, theater security cooperation, humanitarian assistance, and conventional deterrence missions, the Committee believes it is essential that LHA 8 be introduced in the most cost-effective manner. Therefore, the Committee includes an additional \$50,000,000 for LHA 8 advance planning and design and directs the Department of the Navy to work with industry to identify affordability and

producibility strategies that will lead to more efficient construction of a large deck amphibious assault ship.

*Ship Self Defense [SEWIP Block III].*—The fiscal year 2014 request includes \$164,799,000 to develop advanced technology for the AN/SLQ-32 radar. The Block III acquisition strategy for developing this new capability has shifted from beginning in early fiscal year 2013 to mid-fiscal year 2014. Therefore, the Committee recommends a reduction of \$50,000,000.

*Multi-Mission Maritime Aircraft.*—The Navy requested \$317,358,000 to continue “basic” development, as well as develop two separate software and hardware upgrades. Senate Report 112-196 directed the Joint Requirements Oversight Counsel [JROC] to validate the additional capabilities, which is still incomplete. In addition to the requested modernization efforts, the program has identified numerous corrections of deficiencies in the existing system. Therefore, the Committee recommends a reduction of \$77,000,000 to the second increment of development due to undefined requirements and significant concurrency with ongoing development efforts. The Committee also directs the JROC to validate the additional capabilities and the Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation office to conduct a business case analysis to determine whether this 6-year development effort is affordable and provide the assessment to the congressional defense committees.

*Carrier Onboard Delivery [COD] Follow On.*—The budget request includes \$2,460,000 to conduct an analysis of alternatives for the program that will follow the COD. In an effort to ensure the program has an affordable acquisition strategy, the Committee directs the Navy to provide the acquisition strategy to the congressional defense committees prior to beginning the technology development phase.

*F-18 Engine Upgrades.*—The Committee understands that in order to enable the future capabilities envisioned in the F/A-18 and EA-18G aircraft growth roadmap or “flight plan,” the Navy is considering the development of an F-414 engine upgrade. The enhanced durability engine is a modular upgrade that will provide options for additional power for future capabilities which should make the engine more affordable and maintainable. The Committee encourages the Navy to begin development of the engine upgrade to support the capabilities envisioned in the EA-18G and F/A-18E/F flight plans.

*Marine Personnel Carrier [MPC].*—The fiscal year 2014 request includes \$20,875,000 to begin developing a survivable and mobile platform to transport Marines when ashore. However, the Marine Corps has subsequently decided to cancel this effort due to affordability challenges. Consistent with S. 1197, the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2014, as reported, the Committee recommends reducing the budget request by \$20,875,000.

*RQ-4 Triton UAV.*—The fiscal year 2014 budget request includes \$375,235,000 to continue development of the RQ-4 Triton UAV program. Since last year, the program has experienced a 2-year delay in development and added \$312,000,000 across fiscal year 2014 and 2015. The Committee is concerned with this cost growth and similarities experienced in the Air Force Global Hawk program. As a result, the Committee directs the Government Account-

ability Office to do a thorough review of the remaining tasks associated with completing development, to determine if the risks are being repeated across the two aircraft, and to provide a report to the defense committees within 120 days after enactment of this act.

*Defense Research Sciences.*—The Committee encourages the Department to continue to invest in advanced science and technology research. Examples of research include high temperature-resistant and other high performance materials, advanced structural systems for next generation aircraft, and other aerospace weapon systems. In addition, the Committee encourages the Department to invest in functional polymeric silicon materials for advanced energy storage, photovoltaic, and electronic applications.

*Power Generation and Storage.*—The Committee encourages the Department to continue development in power generation and energy storage research, especially to improve the safety of advanced batteries. The Committee notes that development and deployment of Li-ion batteries with up to three times the performance capability of other battery products are critical to current and future DOD missions, but safety incidents have hindered or even halted their widespread operational adoption. As such, development and qualification of technologies to reduce the risk of thermal runaway in Li-ion batteries should be a priority for energy storage research.

*Arctic Deep Water Basing.*—The Committee commends the Department’s efforts to investigate potential security and resource issues related to the opening of Arctic sea-routes. The Committee encourages the Department to continue researching a range of solutions and response, including Arctic forward basing proposals. The Committee requests the Department to provide a report to the congressional defense committees on current and future programs related to Arctic security solutions and provide an analysis of procurement and costs related to both fixed and mobile Arctic basing options.

*Flight Deck Cleaning.*—The Committee is concerned with the lack of efficiency, high-cost and environmental consequences of the current method of cleaning flight decks on Navy ships. Modern technology may provide opportunities for improving the current process by reducing required manpower, decreasing clean-time and mitigating environmental impacts thereby improving operational readiness. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Navy to continue to invest in more efficient and effective methods for cleaning Navy flight decks.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$25,399,175,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	25,702,946,000
Committee recommendation .....	24,945,541,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112–25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$24,945,541,000. This is \$757,405,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AIR FORCE			
	BASIC RESEARCH			
1	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES .....	373,151	373,151	.....
2	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	138,333	138,333	.....
3	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	13,286	13,286	.....
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH .....	524,770	524,770	.....
	APPLIED RESEARCH			
4	MATERIALS .....	116,846	121,846	+ 5,000
5	AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES .....	119,672	119,672	.....
6	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH .....	89,483	89,483	.....
7	AEROSPACE PROPULSION .....	197,546	197,546	.....
8	AEROSPACE SENSORS .....	127,539	127,539	.....
9	SPACE TECHNOLOGY .....	104,063	104,063	.....
10	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS .....	81,521	81,521	.....
11	DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY .....	112,845	112,845	.....
12	DOMINANT INFORMATION SCIENCES AND METHODS .....	138,161	138,161	.....
13	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH .....	40,217	40,217	.....
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH .....	1,127,893	1,132,893	+ 5,000
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
14	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS .....	39,572	54,572	+ 15,000
15	SUSTAINMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (S&T) .....	12,800	12,800	.....
16	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS .....	30,579	30,579	.....
17	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO .....	77,347	77,347	.....
18	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY .....	149,321	159,321	+ 10,000
19	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY .....	49,128	43,428	- 5,700
20	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY .....	68,071	68,071	.....
21	MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (MSSS) .....	26,299	26,299	.....
22	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	20,967	20,967	.....
23	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY .....	33,996	33,996	.....
24	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY .....	19,000	19,000	.....
25	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM .....	41,353	41,353	.....
26	BATTLESPACE KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION ..	49,093	49,093	.....
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	617,526	636,826	+ 19,300
	ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT			
28	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	3,983	3,983	.....
29	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT .....	3,874	3,874	.....
32	SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY .....	27,024	27,024	.....
33	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY .....	15,899	15,899	.....
34	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT .....	4,568	4,568	.....
35	INTERNATIONAL SPACE COOPERATIVE R&D .....	379	379	.....
36	SPACE PROTECTION PROGRAM (SPP) .....	28,764	28,764	.....
38	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE .....	86,737	86,737	.....
40	POLLUTION PREVENTION (DEM/VAL) .....	953	953	.....
42	NEXT GENERATION BOMBER .....	379,437	379,437	.....
44	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER .....	2,606	2,606	.....
45	HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET DEFEAT SYSTEM .....	103	103	.....
47	REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION .....	16,018	16,018	.....
49	AIR AND SPACE OPS CENTER .....	58,861	58,861	.....
50	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION .....	2,500	2,500	.....
51	GROUND ATTACK WEAPONS FUZE DEVELOPMENT .....	21,175	21,175	.....
52	OPERATIONALLY RESPONSIVE SPACE .....		10,000	+ 10,000

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
53	TECH TRANSITION PROGRAM .....	13,636	38,636	+ 25,000
54	SERVICE SUPPORT TO STRATCOM—SPACE ACTIVITIES .....	2,799	2,799	.....
55	THREE DIMENSIONAL LONG-RANGE RADAR .....	70,160	70,160	.....
56	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT) .....	137,233	137,233	.....
	TOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT .....	876,709	911,709	+ 35,000
	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
58	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	977	977	.....
61	SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING .....	3,601	3,601	.....
62	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT .....	1,971	1,971	.....
64	TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE .....	51,456	49,956	- 1,500
65	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT .....	50	.....	- 50
66	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB) .....	115,000	115,000	.....
67	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS .....	23,930	23,930	.....
68	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS .....	400,258	400,258	.....
69	AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK .....	4,575	4,575	.....
70	SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH EMD .....	352,532	322,832	- 29,700
71	ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT .....	16,284	16,284	.....
72	SUBMUNITIONS .....	2,564	2,564	.....
73	AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT .....	17,036	17,036	.....
74	LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS .....	7,273	7,273	.....
75	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES .....	33,200	25,300	- 7,900
78	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF) .....	816,335	796,335	- 20,000
79	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE .....	145,442	145,442	.....
80	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM (SPACE) .....	27,963	27,963	.....
81	LONG RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON .....	5,000	5,000	.....
82	ICBM FUZE MODERNIZATION .....	129,411	118,411	- 11,000
83	F-22 MODERNIZATION INCREMENT 3.2B .....	131,100	131,100	.....
84	NEXT GENERATION AERIAL REFUELING AIRCRAFT .....	1,558,590	1,558,590	.....
85	CSAR HH-60 RECAPITALIZATION .....	393,558	201,558	- 192,000
86	HC/MC-130 RECAP RDT&E .....	6,242	6,242	.....
87	ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE) .....	272,872	272,872	.....
88	POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE) .....	124,805	109,805	- 15,000
89	WIDEBAND GLOBAL SATCOM (SPACE) .....	13,948	13,948	.....
90	B-2 DEFENSIVE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM .....	303,500	303,500	.....
91	NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION .....	67,874	6,174	- 61,700
94	FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING .....	4,663	4,663	.....
97	CV-22 .....	46,705	46,705	.....
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT .....	5,078,715	4,739,865	- 338,850
	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
99	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT .....	17,690	17,690	.....
100	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT .....	34,841	34,841	.....
101	RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE .....	32,956	32,956	.....
103	INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION .....	13,610	12,310	- 1,300
104	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT .....	742,658	742,658	.....
105	ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE) .....	14,203	14,203	.....
106	SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP) .....	13,000	13,000	.....
107	FACILITIES RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION—TEST & EVAL .....	44,160	44,160	.....
108	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT .....	27,643	27,643	.....
109	MULTI-SERVICE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING INITIATIVE .....	13,935	6,935	- 7,000
110	SPACE AND MISSILE CENTER (SMC) CIVILIAN WORKFORCE .....	192,348	186,348	- 6,000
111	ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....	28,647	28,647	.....
112	GENERAL SKILL TRAINING .....	315	315	.....
114	INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES .....	3,785	3,785	.....
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....	1,179,791	1,165,491	- 14,300
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
115	GPS III—OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEGMENT .....	383,500	383,500	.....
117	WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE .....	5,000	5,000	.....
118	AIR FORCE INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM .....	90,097	34,097	- 56,000

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
119	ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY .....	32,086	22,086	-10,000
121	B-52 SQUADRONS .....	24,007	21,007	-3,000
122	AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM) .....	450	450	.....
123	B-1B SQUADRONS .....	19,589	19,589	.....
124	B-2 SQUADRONS .....	100,194	100,194	.....
125	STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM—USSTRATCOM .....	37,448	37,448	.....
128	REGION/SECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER MODERNIZATION .....	1,700	1,700	.....
130	WARFIGHTER RAPID ACQUISITION PROCESS (WRAP) RAPID TRAN .....	3,844	3,844	.....
131	MQ-9 UAV .....	128,328	115,828	-12,500
133	A-10 SQUADRONS .....	9,614	9,614	.....
134	F-16 SQUADRONS .....	177,298	177,298	.....
135	F-15E SQUADRONS .....	244,289	244,289	.....
136	MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION .....	13,138	13,138	.....
137	F-22 SQUADRONS .....	328,542	328,542	.....
138	F-35 SQUADRONS .....	33,000	5,900	-27,100
139	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES .....	15,460	12,760	-2,700
140	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM) .....	84,172	84,172	.....
142	COMBAT RESCUE AND RECOVERY .....	2,582	6,882	+4,300
143	COMBAT RESCUE—PARARESCUE .....	542	542	.....
144	AF TENCAP .....	89,816	89,816	.....
145	PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT .....	1,075	2,000	+925
146	COMPASS CALL .....	10,782	10,782	.....
147	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM .....	139,369	109,969	-29,400
149	JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM) .....	6,373	6,373	.....
150	AIR AND SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC) .....	22,820	22,820	.....
151	CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (CRC) .....	7,029	7,029	.....
152	AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS) .....	186,256	186,256	.....
153	TACTICAL AIRBORNE CONTROL SYSTEMS .....	743	743	.....
156	COMBAT AIR INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES .....	4,471	4,471	.....
158	TACTICAL AIR CONTROL PARTY—MOD .....	10,250	10,250	.....
159	C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK .....	1,431	1,431	.....
160	COMMAND AND CONTROL (C2) CONSTELLATION .....	7,329	7,329	.....
161	DCAPEX .....	15,081	15,081	.....
162	JOINT SURVEILLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM .....	13,248	23,148	+9,900
163	SEEK EAGLE .....	24,342	24,342	.....
164	USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION .....	10,448	10,448	.....
165	WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS .....	5,512	5,512	.....
166	DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES .....	3,301	3,301	.....
167	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS .....	62,605	62,605	.....
169	CYBER COMMAND ACTIVITIES .....	68,099	38,099	-30,000
170	AF OFFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS .....	14,047	14,047	.....
171	AF DEFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS .....	5,853	5,853	.....
179	SPACE SUPERIORITY INTELLIGENCE .....	12,197	12,197	.....
180	E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (NAOC) .....	18,267	13,267	-5,000
181	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK .....	36,288	36,288	.....
182	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM .....	90,231	96,331	+6,100
183	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	725	725	.....
185	MILSATCOM TERMINALS .....	140,170	95,170	-45,000
187	AIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE .....	117,110	117,110	.....
190	GLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM) .....	4,430	4,430	.....
191	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE .....	2,048	2,048	.....
192	DOD CYBER CRIME CENTER .....	288	288	.....
193	SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE) .....	35,698	35,698	.....
194	WEATHER SERVICE .....	24,667	24,667	.....
195	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, & LANDING SYSTEM (ATC) ..	35,674	39,174	+3,500
196	AERIAL TARGETS .....	21,186	21,186	.....
199	SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES .....	195	195	.....
200	ARMS CONTROL IMPLEMENTATION .....	1,430	1,430	.....
201	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES .....	330	10	-320
206	SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER .....	3,696	3,696	.....
207	SPACE WARFARE CENTER .....	2,469	2,469	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
208	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE .....	8,289	8,289	.....
209	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE) .....	13,345	13,345	.....
211	DRAGON U-2 .....	18,700	18,700	.....
212	ENDURANCE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES .....	3,000	.....	- 3,000
213	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS .....	37,828	50,328	+ 12,500
214	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS .....	13,491	13,491	.....
215	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	7,498	7,498	.....
216	PREDATOR UAV (JMIP) .....	3,326	3,326	.....
217	RQ-4 UAV .....	134,406	110,406	- 24,000
218	NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGET (TIARA) .....	7,413	7,413	.....
219	COMMON DATA LINK (CDL) .....	40,503	40,503	.....
220	NATO AGS .....	264,134	264,134	.....
221	SUPPORT TO DCGS ENTERPRISE .....	23,016	23,016	.....
222	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT .....	221,276	221,276	.....
223	JSPOC MISSION SYSTEM .....	58,523	58,523	.....
224	RAPID CYBER ACQUISITION .....	2,218	2,218	.....
226	NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE) .....	50,547	42,547	- 8,000
227	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS .....	18,807	18,807	.....
229	SHARED EARLY WARNING (SEW) .....	1,079	1,079	.....
230	C-130 AIRLIFT SQUADRON .....	400	400	.....
231	C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS .....	61,492	61,492	.....
232	C-17 AIRCRAFT .....	109,134	109,134	.....
233	C-130J PROGRAM .....	22,443	22,443	.....
234	LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES (LAIRCM) .....	4,116	4,116	.....
238	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT .....	44,553	44,553	.....
239	SPECIAL TACTICS/COMBAT CONTROL .....	6,213	6,213	.....
240	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF) .....	1,605	1,605	.....
242	LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (LOGIT) .....	95,238	60,478	- 34,760
243	SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	10,925	10,925	.....
244	OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING .....	1,347	1,347	.....
245	OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES .....	65	65	.....
246	JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY .....	1,083	1,083	.....
247	CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM .....	1,577	1,577	.....
248	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION .....	5,990	5,990	.....
249	AIR FORCE STUDIES AND ANALYSIS AGENCY .....	786	786	.....
250	FACILITIES OPERATION—ADMINISTRATION .....	654	654	.....
251	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	135,735	135,735	.....
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	4,423,014	4,169,459	- 253,555
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	11,874,528	11,664,528	- 210,000
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AIR FORCE .....	25,702,946	24,945,541	- 757,405

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Program element title	Fiscal year 2013 base	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
4	Materials .....	116,846	121,846	+ 5,000
	Nanotechnology research .....	.....	.....	+ 5,000
14	Advanced Materials for Weapon Systems .....	39,572	54,572	+ 15,000
	Materials research and technology .....	.....	.....	+ 10,000
	Metals affordability research .....	.....	.....	+ 5,000
18	Aerospace Propulsion and Power Technology .....	149,321	159,321	+ 10,000
	Silicon carbide research .....	.....	.....	+ 10,000
19	Electronic Combat Technology .....	49,128	43,428	- 5,700

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Program element title	Fiscal year 2013 base	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Restoring acquisition accountability: delayed program start .....			- 5,700
52	Operationally Responsive Space .....		10,000	+ 10,000
	Authorization adjustment: Operationally Responsive Space .....			+ 10,000
53	Tech Transition Program .....	13,636	38,636	+ 25,000
	Alternative energy research .....			+ 25,000
64	Tactical Data Networks Enterprise .....	51,456	49,956	- 1,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: 5th to 4th Generation Gateway—program delay .....			- 1,500
65	Physical Security Equipment .....	50		- 50
	Improving funds management: unobligated prior year funds .....			- 50
70	Space Based Infrared System [SBIRS] High EMD .....	352,532	322,832	- 29,700
	Improving funds management: forward financing—ground development .....			- 29,700
75	Combat Training Ranges .....	33,200	25,300	- 7,900
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Advanced Radar Threat System Development—late contract award .....			- 7,900
78	F-35—EMD .....	816,335	796,335	- 20,000
	Maintaining program affordability: F-135 Propulsion System cost growth .....			- 20,000
82	ICBM Fuze Modernization .....	129,411	118,411	- 11,000
	Maintaining program affordability: excessive support cost growth .....			- 11,000
85	CSAR HH-60 Recapitalization .....	393,558	201,558	- 192,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: reduce unit cost .....			- 192,000
88	Polar MILSATCOM (SPACE) .....	124,805	109,805	- 15,000
	Budget documentation disparity: unjustified increase .....			- 15,000
91	Nuclear Weapons Modernization .....	67,874	6,174	- 61,700
	Maintaining program affordability: B61 Life Extension Program .....			- 61,700
103	Initial Operational Test & Evaluation .....	13,610	12,310	- 1,300
	Improving funds management: reduction for historic underexecution .....			- 1,300
109	Multi-Service Systems Engineering Initiative .....	13,935	6,935	- 7,000
	Program termination: Multi-Service Systems Engineering Initiative .....			- 7,000
110	Space and Missile Center (SMC) Civilian Workforce .....	192,348	186,348	- 6,000
	Improving funds management: unfilled positions .....			- 6,000
118	AF Integrated Personnel and Pay System (AF-IPPS) .....	90,097	34,097	- 56,000
	Improving funds management: fiscal year 2012 funds available for development .....			- 56,000
119	Anti-Tamper Technology Executive Agency .....	32,086	22,086	- 10,000
	Improving funds management: forward financing .....			- 10,000
121	B-52 Squadrons .....	24,007	21,007	- 3,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: 1760 Internal Weapons Bay Upgrade—flight test delay .....			- 3,000
131	MQ-9 UAV .....	128,328	115,828	- 12,500
	Improving funds management: forward financing .....			- 12,500
138	F-35 Squadrons .....	33,000	5,900	- 27,100
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Follow-on Development—no approved Capabilities Development Document .....			- 10,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Developmental Test and Evaluation—no approved Capabilities Development Document .....			- 7,100
	Restoring acquisition accountability: B61—no approved Capabilities Development Document .....			- 10,000
139	Tactical AIM Missiles .....	15,460	12,760	- 2,700
	Improving funds management: forward financing .....			- 2,700



[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Program element title	Fiscal year 2013 base	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
142	Combat Rescue and Recovery .....	2,582	6,882	+ 4,300
	Air National Guard shortfall: HH-60 Smart Multi-Function Color Display .....			+ 4,300
145	Precision Attack Systems Procurement .....	1,075	2,000	+ 925
	Improving funds management: forward financing .....			- 1,075
	Air National Guard shortfall: Sniper Digital Video .....			+ 2,000
147	Aircraft Engine Component Improvement Program .....	139,369	109,969	- 29,400
	Improving funds management: JSF Component Improvement Program forward financing .....			- 29,400
162	Joint Surveillance/Target Attack Radar System [JSTARS] ..	13,248	23,148	+ 9,900
	Authorization adjustment: retain T-3 test aircraft ..			+ 9,900
169	Cyber Command Activities .....	68,099	38,099	- 30,000
	Improving funds management: forward financing ..			- 30,000
180	E-4B National Airborne Operations Center [NAOC] .....	18,267	13,267	- 5,000
	Maintaining program affordability: Low Frequency Transmit System funds early to need .....			- 5,000
182	Information Systems Security Program .....	90,231	96,331	+ 6,100
	Maintaining program affordability: concept refinement .....			- 3,900
	Authorization adjustment: ASACoE program .....			+ 10,000
185	MILSATCOM Terminals .....	140,170	95,170	- 45,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: FAB-T .....			- 45,000
195	Air Traffic Control, Approach, and Landing System (ATCALS) .....	35,674	39,174	+ 3,500
	Air National Guard shortfall: Remotely Piloted Aircraft Ground Based Sense and Avoid .....			+ 3,500
201	Defense Joint Counterintelligence Activities .....	330	10	- 320
	Maintaining program affordability .....			- 320
212	Endurance Unmanned Aerial Vehicles .....	3,000		- 3,000
	Terminate ISIS .....			- 3,000
213	Airborne Reconnaissance Systems .....	37,828	50,328	+ 12,500
	Authorization adjustment: Blue Devil Replacement WAMI/NVDF .....			+ 12,500
217	RQ-4 UAV .....	134,406	110,406	- 24,000
	Improving funds management: forward financing ..			- 24,000
226	NUDET Detection System (SPACE) .....	50,547	42,547	- 8,000
	Improving funds management: prior year carryover ..			- 8,000
242	Logistics Information Technology [LOGIT] .....	95,238	60,478	- 34,760
	Maintaining program affordability: delay transformational projects .....			- 34,760
	Classified Programs .....	11,874,528	11,664,528	- 210,000
	Classified adjustment .....			- 210,000

*Operationally Responsive Space.*—The Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2013, provided \$105,000,000 for continuation of the Operationally Responsive Space program, in accordance with the Fiscal Year 2013 National Defense Authorization Act [NDAA]. The Air Force proposed to acquire a low-cost weather satellite, which would leverage prior investments in bus and sensor programs, which the Committee supported.

However, this plan has not been approved by the Department of Defense despite the identification of a key requirements gap in weather data collection. The failure to provide a path forward for the Operationally Responsive Space program stands in stark contrast to the congressional rejection of the fiscal year 2013 proposal to terminate the program.

The Committee finds that the Operationally Responsive Space program is an important pathfinder to fielding future satellite capabilities at an affordable cost. The Committee directs the Sec-

retary of the Air Force to provide the congressional defense committees with a report describing the plans for executing the available funding consistent with the mandates of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2013 and the Fiscal Year 2013 NDAA within 30 days after enactment of this act.

*Family of Advanced Beyond-Line-of-Sight Terminals [FAB-T].—*The Air Force has adopted a competitive acquisition strategy for the final development and procurement of FAB-T systems. The key event in this acquisition will be a source selection between two terminal solutions in early fiscal year 2014.

The Committee supports competitive acquisition strategies to provide best value to the Government and has supported the necessary funds for development of both FAB-T systems. However, the Committee is concerned that there is not adequate visibility on several aspects of the acquisition strategy, including requirements definition and the basis for evaluation of two technologies at different states of maturity.

Therefore, the Committee recommends a reduction of \$45,000,000 from Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force, and \$45,000,000 from Other Procurement, Air Force.

*Combat Rescue Helicopter.—*For fiscal year 2014, the Air Force requests \$393,558,000 to begin the engineering, manufacturing, and development phase of the combat rescue helicopter. The Committee recommends \$201,558,000, a reduction of \$192,000,000, due to a delay in contract award. The Committee fully supports this mission but believes replacement of the existing HH-60G fleet can be accomplished in a less costly manner.

Of the amount the Air Force requests in fiscal year 2014, \$245,000,000 is budgeted to buy two commercially available helicopters, as well as procuring and integrating the mission equipment. The Committee strongly believes that paying \$122,500,000 for an in-production helicopter, even with integrated mission equipment, is excessive. Further, the Air Force plans to spend nearly \$1,400,000,000 for development and test of nine helicopters followed by a procurement program that currently shows an average procurement unit cost of \$81,000,000 per helicopter. In the current fiscal environment, the Department should be seeking innovative ways to recapitalize the HH-60 fleet with platforms having affordable unit costs.

In the fiscal year 2011 Senate appropriations report 111-295, this Committee zeroed out funding for the HH-60 Recapitalization program and expressed concern that the Air Force's plan could produce results similar to the wasted \$200,000,000 spent on the Combat Search and Rescue-X program. The Committee is concerned that the Air Force may be heading down the same path and wants to fully understand the cost of all mission and acquisition alternatives. Therefore, the Committee directs the Office of the Secretary of Defense, Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation to provide, not later than 90 days after enactment of this act, a briefing to the congressional defense committees on a business case analysis of the combat rescue helicopter. This analysis shall examine the cost of all mission alternatives in addition to alternative acquisition strategies to include utilizing existing contract vehicles and post production modifications.

*Logistics Information Technology.*—The Air Force requests \$95,238,000 to remediate and modernize legacy logistics information technology systems to meet the 2017 auditability mandate as well as pursue transformational initiatives that will eventually replace the legacy systems. The Committee fully supports the Air Force's efforts to remediate and modernize legacy systems to meet the 2017 mandate—but questions the timing of pursuing transformational initiatives.

After spending \$1,030,000,000 over several years to replace the legacy logistics systems with the expeditionary combat support system [ECSS], the Air Force terminated ECSS because an additional \$1,100,000,000 was needed to field 25 percent of the original ECSS capability. As a potential root cause of the failure to deliver ECSS, the Air Force cites “lack of enterprise resource planning [ERP] program management skills within government.” Given this assessment, the Committee believes the Air Force should focus their skilled program managers on projects that help the Air Force achieve auditability in 2017 rather than on efforts that deliver much later. Therefore, the Committee denies the request to begin transformational initiatives and reduces logistics information technology by \$34,760,000.

*High Capacity Optical Wireless Communications for Remotely Piloted Vehicles.*—The Committee supports Air Force development of secure, high-bandwidth communications technologies for assured communications networks and covertness in tactical environments, including free-space optical technology. Recognizing the military's increasing reliance on tactical and intelligence data from remotely piloted air vehicles, and that transmission capacity is already limited by radio frequency spectrum and bandwidth, the Air Force is encouraged to continue development and demonstration of next generation free-space optical communications for remotely piloted air vehicles.

*Engine Sensor Technology Research.*—The Committee is aware that ongoing research regarding dynamic engine sensors for military aircraft may increase safety and decrease operation and sustainment costs if the technology is fully matured. The Committee encourages the Department to continue to support innovative research on engine sensor technology with the goal of improving performance, safety, and fuel efficiency.

*Ground Based Radar Technologies.*—The Committee understands that the Air Force, Marine Corps, and the Army are each considering the procurement of new ground radar solutions. The Committee applauds the Marine Corps for pursuing a flexible, multi-mission radar. Given the fiscally constrained budgetary environment, the Committee encourages the Department of Defense to carefully examine the services' ground-based radar acquisition strategies to ensure procurement of the most affordable and most efficient solution that meets the warfighter requirements. The Committee believes the Department should consider leveraging available technology and focus on efficiency and affordability to potentially lower lifecycle costs, accelerate deployment schedules, and reduce programmatic risk.

*Human Optimization of Autonomous Systems.*—Unmanned Aerial Systems have rapidly advanced to become invaluable to national

defense. The Committee praises the Air Force for its forward leaning approach to evolving this technology as requirements change and the threat adapts. Autonomous systems, the next stage in that evolution, will demand testing and evaluation systems and procedures that are developed solely for their unique requirements. The Committee encourages the Air Force to invest in the development of test and evaluation capabilities for autonomy based systems.

*Sensors Research by Air Force Minority Leaders Program.*—The Committee encourages the Air Force Research Laboratory to carry out sensors research activities conducted by the Air Force Minority Leaders Program for research in the disciplines of materials and processing, sensors, and related enabling academic specialties, and to meet critical defense capabilities, science and technology, future workforce, and technical program objectives for the Air Force.

*Aerospace Propulsion.*—The Committee is aware that ongoing research regarding dynamic engine sensors for military aircraft may increase safety and decrease operation and sustainment costs if the technology is fully matured. The Committee encourages the Department to continue to support innovative research on engine sensor technology with the goal of improving performance, safety, and fuel efficiency.

*MQ-1 and MQ-9 Sense and Avoid Capability Development.*—The Committee recommendation includes \$115,828,000 for enhancements to the MQ-9 Reaper remotely piloted aircraft [RPA]. Of the amount provided, no funds were requested by the Air Force for development of a sense and avoid capability. The Committee notes that the Air Force intends to base a portion of the overall fleet of MQ-1 and MQ-9 RPAs in the United States in the future, and that the scope of operations of any such aircraft would substantially depend on domestic rules currently under development by the Federal Aviation Administration. Specifically, absent any sense and avoid capability, the MQ-1 and MQ-9 aircraft could be largely restricted to restricted military airspace, which would greatly limit their potential use in support of domestic authorities in the event of a natural disaster or other domestic emergency. As a result, the Committee encourages the Air Force to examine options for a sense and avoid capability for MQ-1 and MQ-9 RPAs, and to include a sense and avoid development effort in future year budget requests.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$18,607,358,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	17,667,108,000
Committee recommendation .....	17,695,487,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$17,695,487,000. This is \$28,379,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE-WIDE			
	BASIC RESEARCH			
1	DTRA UNIVERSITY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP BASIC RESEARCH ..	45,837	45,837	.....
2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES .....	315,033	315,033	.....
3	BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES .....	11,171	11,171	.....
4	BASIC OPERATIONAL MEDICAL RESEARCH SCIENCE .....	49,500	49,500	.....
5	NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM .....	84,271	84,271	.....
6	HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES & UNIV (HBCU) .....	30,895	30,895	.....
7	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM .....	51,426	51,426	.....
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH .....	588,133	588,133	.....
	APPLIED RESEARCH			
8	JOINT MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY .....	20,065	20,065	.....
9	BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY .....	114,790	114,790	.....
11	LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM .....	46,875	41,875	- 5,000
13	APPLIED RESEARCH FOR ADVANCEMENT S&T PRIORITIES .....	45,000	30,000	- 15,000
14	INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY .....	413,260	400,760	- 12,500
15	COGNITIVE COMPUTING SYSTEMS .....	16,330	16,330	.....
17	BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE .....	24,537	24,537	.....
18	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM .....	227,065	227,065	.....
20	CYBER SECURITY RESEARCH .....	18,908	18,908	.....
21	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) APP .....		2,500	+ 2,500
22	TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY .....	225,977	207,977	- 18,000
23	MATERIALS AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY .....	166,654	166,654	.....
24	ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY .....	243,469	228,469	- 15,000
25	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT TECHNOLOGIES .....	175,282	175,282	.....
26	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE .....	11,107	11,107	.....
27	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	29,246	29,246	.....
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH .....	1,778,565	1,715,565	- 63,000
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
28	JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECH INSENSITIVE MUNITIONS AD .....	26,646	26,646	.....
29	SO/LIC ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .....	19,420	19,420	.....
30	COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT .....	77,792	102,792	+ 25,000
31	COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES—PROLIF PREV & DE- FEAT .....	274,033	274,033	.....
32	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY .....	309,203	9,321	- 299,882
	ADVANCED CONCEPTS .....		6,919	+ 6,919
	DISCRIMINATION .....		36,142	+ 36,142
	WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY .....		53,208	+ 53,208
	ADVANCED C4ISR .....		43,000	+ 43,000
	ADVANCED RESEARCH .....		19,188	+ 19,188
32X	COMMON KILL VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY .....		70,000	+ 70,000
34	JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	19,305	19,305	.....
35	AGILE TRANSPO FOR THE 21ST CENTURY (AT21)—THEATER CA .....	7,565	7,565	.....
36	SPECIAL PROGRAM—MDA TECHNOLOGY .....	40,426	40,426	.....
37	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS .....	149,804	134,804	- 15,000
38	SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY .....	172,546	132,546	- 40,000
39	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—ADVANCED DEV .....	170,847	170,847	.....
40	JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY .....	9,009	9,009	.....
41	JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS .....	174,428	174,428	.....
42	NETWORKED COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITIES .....	20,000	5,000	- 15,000
45	CYBER SECURITY ADVANCED RESEARCH .....	19,668	19,668	.....
46	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) ADV .....		2,500	+ 2,500
47	DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROG .....	34,041	59,041	+ 25,000
48	EMERGING CAPABILITIES TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	61,971	61,971	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
50	GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS .....	20,000	20,000	.....
51	DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY .....	30,256	30,256	.....
52	STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM .....	72,324	72,324	.....
53	MICROELECTRONIC TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT .....	82,700	82,700	.....
54	JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM .....	8,431	8,431	.....
55	ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES .....	117,080	107,080	- 10,000
57	COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS .....	239,078	239,078	.....
59	NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY .....	259,006	259,006	.....
60	SENSOR TECHNOLOGY .....	286,364	276,364	- 10,000
61	DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	12,116	12,116	.....
62	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE .....	19,008	19,008	.....
63	QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS .....	78,532	68,532	- 10,000
65	JOINT EXPERIMENTATION .....	12,667	12,667	.....
66	MODELING AND SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE .....	41,370	41,370	.....
69	TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY .....	92,508	92,508	.....
70	OPERATIONAL ENERGY CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT .....	52,001	52,001	.....
71	CWMD SYSTEMS .....	52,053	55,053	+ 3,000
72	SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT ..	46,809	46,809	.....
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	3,109,007	2,993,082	- 115,925
	DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION			
75	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT .....	63,641	53,641	- 10,000
76	RETRACT LARCH .....	19,152	19,152	.....
77	WALKOFF .....	70,763	70,763	.....
79	ADVANCE SENSOR APPLICATIONS PROGRAM .....	17,230	19,230	+ 2,000
80	ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM .....	71,453	71,453	.....
81	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT .....	268,990	268,990	.....
82	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT ..	1,033,903	891,047	- 142,856
83	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM .....	196,237	181,237	- 15,000
84	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS .....	315,183	345,183	+ 30,000
86	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ENABLING PROGRAMS .....	377,605	377,605	.....
87	SPECIAL PROGRAMS—MDA .....	286,613	286,613	.....
88	AEGIS BMD .....	937,056	910,056	- 27,000
89	SPACE SURVEILLANCE & TRACKING SYSTEM .....	44,947	44,947	.....
90	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM SPACE PROGRAMS .....	6,515	6,515	.....
91	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE C2BMC .....	418,355	405,515	- 12,840
92	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARFIGHTER SUPPORT .....	47,419	47,419	.....
93	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE INTERGRATION AND OPERATIONS CENTER (MDIOC) .....	52,131	52,131	.....
94	REGARDING TRENCH .....	13,864	13,864	.....
95	SEA BASED X-BAND RADAR (SBX) .....	44,478	44,478	.....
96	ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS .....	95,782	268,782	+ 173,000
97	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST .....	375,866	375,866	.....
98	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TARGETS .....	495,257	495,257	.....
99	HUMANITARIAN DEMINING .....	11,704	11,704	.....
100	COALITION WARFARE .....	9,842	9,842	.....
101	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM .....	3,312	23,312	+ 20,000
102	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES .....	130,000	130,000	.....
103	DOD UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM (UAS) COMMON DEVELOPMENT .....	8,300	8,300	.....
104	WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE .....	30,000	30,000	.....
105	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) RES .....		2,500	+ 2,500
	DEFENSE RAPID INNOVATION FUND .....		150,000	+ 150,000
108	JOINT SYSTEMS INTEGRATION .....	7,402	7,402	.....
110	JOINT FIRES INTEGRATION & INTEROPERABILITY TEAM .....	7,506	7,506	.....
111	LAND-BASED SM-3 (LBSM3) .....	129,374	129,374	.....
112	AEGIS SM-3 BLOCK IIA CO-DEVELOPMENT .....	308,522	308,522	.....
115	JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM .....	3,169	3,169	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
116	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE .....	946	946	.....
	TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION .....	5,902,517	6,072,321	+ 169,804
	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
118	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY			
	EQUIPMENT .....	8,155	8,155	.....
119	PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT .....	65,440	65,440	.....
120	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM .....	451,306	421,306	- 30,000
122	ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS-JPO) ....	29,138	29,138	.....
123	JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTIDS) ....	19,475	19,475	.....
124	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT CAPABILITIES .....	12,901	12,901	.....
125	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT .....	13,812	13,812	.....
126	HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE .....	386	386	.....
127	DEFENSE EXPORTABILITY PROGRAM .....	3,763	3,763	.....
128	OUS(D) IT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES .....	6,788	6,788	.....
129	DOD ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION .....	27,917	27,917	.....
130	DCMO POLICY AND INTEGRATION .....	22,297	22,297	.....
131	DEFENSE AGENCY INITIATIVES FINANCIAL SYSTEM .....	51,689	51,689	.....
132	DEFENSE-WIDE ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT CAPABILITY .....	6,184	6,184	.....
133	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM .....	12,083	12,083	.....
134	DOD ENTERPRISE ENERGY INFORMATION MANAGEMENT (EEIM) .....	3,302	3,302	.....
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT .....	734,636	704,636	- 30,000
	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
135	DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM (DRRS) .....	6,393	6,393	.....
136	JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT .....	2,479	2,479	.....
137	CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT ....	240,213	240,213	.....
138	ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS .....	2,127	2,127	.....
139	THERMAL VICAR .....	8,287	8,287	.....
140	JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY (JMTEC) .....	31,000	31,000	.....
141	TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS .....	24,379	24,379	.....
143	FOREIGN MATERIAL ACQUISITION AND EXPLOITATION .....	54,311	54,311	.....
144	JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION .....	47,462	47,462	.....
145	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM USD(P) .....	.....	106,000	+ 106,000
146	FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING .....	12,134	12,134	.....
147	SYSTEMS ENGINEERING .....	44,237	44,237	.....
148	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT .....	5,871	5,871	.....
149	NUCLEAR MATTERS—PHYSICAL SECURITY .....	5,028	5,028	.....
150	SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION .....	6,301	6,301	.....
151	GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE) .....	6,504	6,504	.....
152	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM .....	92,046	92,046	.....
158	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH/CHALLENGE ADMINISTR .....	1,868	1,868	.....
159	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS .....	8,362	8,362	.....
160	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC) .....	56,024	46,024	- 10,000
161	R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING & EVALUATION .....	6,908	6,908	.....
162	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION .....	15,451	15,451	.....
164	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT) ....	71,659	71,659	.....
165	BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS .....	4,083	4,083	.....
167	OPERATIONS SECURITY (OPSEC) .....	5,306	5,306	.....
168	JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT .....	2,097	2,097	.....
172	SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO) CAPABILITIES .....	8,394	8,394	.....
175	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO) .....	7,624	7,624	.....
178	COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANSFORMATION .....	43,247	43,247	.....
179	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS—MDA .....	37,712	37,712	.....
180	IT SOFTWARE DEV INITIATIVES .....	607	607	.....
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	54,914	54,914	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....	913,028	1,009,028	+ 96,000
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
182	ENTERPRISE SECURITY SYSTEM (ESS) .....	7,552	7,552	.....
183	REGIONAL INTERNATIONAL OUTREACH & PARTNERSHIP FOR PEAC .....	3,270	3,270	.....
184	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE SHARED INFORMATION SY .....	287	287	.....
185	INDUSTRIAL BASE ANALYSIS AND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT .....	14,000	14,000	.....
186	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	1,955	1,955	.....
187	GLOBAL THEATER SECURITY COOPERATION MANAGEMENT .....	13,250	13,250	.....
188	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS D) .....	13,026	13,026	.....
190	JOINT INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY .....	12,652	12,652	.....
191	PLANNING AND DECISION AID SYSTEM .....	3,061	3,061	.....
192	C4I INTEROPERABILITY .....	72,726	72,726	.....
194	JOINT/ALLIED COALITION INFORMATION SHARING .....	6,524	6,524	.....
201	NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYSTEM-WIDE SUPPORT .....	512	512	.....
202	DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING & INTEGRATION .....	12,867	10,867	- 2,000
203	LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS (DCS) .....	36,565	30,565	- 6,000
204	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK .....	13,144	13,144	.....
205	PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE (PKI) .....	1,060	1,060	.....
206	KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE (KMI) .....	33,279	33,279	.....
207	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM .....	10,673	10,673	.....
208	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM .....	181,567	181,567	.....
210	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM .....	34,288	34,288	.....
211	JOINT SPECTRUM CENTER .....	7,741	7,741	.....
212	NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES) .....	3,325	3,325	.....
213	JOINT MILITARY DECEPTION INITIATIVE .....	1,246	1,246	.....
214	TELEPORT PROGRAM .....	5,147	5,147	.....
216	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES .....	17,352	17,352	.....
220	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE .....	3,658	3,658	.....
221	CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION (CIP) .....	9,752	9,752	.....
225	POLICY R&D PROGRAMS .....	3,210	4,210	+ 1,000
227	NET CENTRICITY .....	21,602	21,602	.....
230	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	5,195	5,195	.....
233	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS .....	3,348	3,348	.....
235	MQ-1 PREDATOR A UAV .....	641	641	.....
238	HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM .....	2,338	2,338	.....
239	INT'L INTELLIGENCE TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT, ADVANCEMENT .....	4,372	4,372	.....
247	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS .....	24,691	24,691	.....
248	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES .....	4,659	4,659	.....
249	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (JCS) .....	3,533	3,533	.....
250	MQ-9 UAV .....	1,314	13,314	+ 12,000
254	SPECIAL OPERATIONS AVIATION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEV .....	156,561	156,561	.....
256	SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	7,705	7,705	.....
257	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS .....	42,620	42,620	.....
261	WARRIOR SYSTEMS .....	17,970	17,970	.....
262	SPECIAL PROGRAMS .....	7,424	7,424	.....
268	SOF TACTICAL VEHICLES .....	2,206	2,206	.....
271	SOF UNDERWATER SYSTEMS .....	18,325	18,325	.....
274	SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES .....	3,304	3,304	.....
275	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE .....	16,021	16,021	.....
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT .....	867,518	872,518	+ 5,000
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS .....	3,773,704	3,740,204	- 33,500
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DEF-WIDE .....	17,667,108	17,695,487	+ 28,379



## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
11	Lincoln Laboratory Research Program .....	46,875	41,875	- 5,000
	Authorization adjustment .....			- 5,000
13	Applied Research for the Advancement of S&T Priorities .....	45,000	30,000	- 15,000
	Authorization adjustment: PSC S&T reduction .....			- 15,000
14	Information & Communications Technology .....	413,260	400,760	- 12,500
	Authorization adjustment: Plan X increase .....			+ 2,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program growth .....			- 15,000
21	Human, Social and Culture Behavior Modeling [HSCB] Applied Research .....		2,500	+ 2,500
	Authorization adjustment: HSCB Applied Research .....			+ 2,500
22	Tactical Technology .....	225,977	207,977	- 18,000
	Program cancellation .....			- 10,000
	Improving funds management: Prior year carry over .....			- 8,000
24	Electronics Technology .....	243,469	228,469	- 15,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program growth .....			- 10,000
	Improving funds management: Prior year carry over .....			- 5,000
30	Combating Terrorism Technology Support .....	77,792	102,792	+ 25,000
	Restore unjustified reduction .....			+ 25,000
32	Ballistic Missile Defense Technology .....	309,203	9,321	- 299,882
	Improving funds management: Transfer funds to lines 32A-F for execution .....			- 299,882
32A	Advanced Concepts and Performance Assessment .....		6,919	+ 6,919
	Improving funds management: Transfer from line 32 for Advanced Concepts and Performance Assessment .....			+ 6,919
32B	Discrimination Sensor Technology .....		36,142	+ 36,142
	Improving funds management: Transfer from line 32 for Discrimination Sensor Technology .....			+ 18,742
	Improving funds management: Transfer from line 32 for Discrimination Algorithms .....			+ 6,500
	Improving funds management: Transfer from line 32 for Space Sensor Technology .....			+ 10,900
32C	Weapons Technology .....		53,208	+ 53,208
	Improving funds management: Transfer from line 32 for High Power Directed Energy .....			+ 22,944
	Improving funds management: Transfer from line 32 for Solid DACS .....			+ 24,000
	Improving funds management: Transfer from line 32 for Advanced Interceptor Technology .....			+ 6,264
32D	Advanced C4ISR .....		43,000	+ 43,000
	Improving funds management: Transfer from line 32 for Advanced C4ISR .....			+ 43,000
32E	Advanced Research .....		19,188	+ 19,188
	Improving funds management: Transfer from line 32 for Advanced Research .....			+ 19,188
32F	Common Kill Vehicle Technology .....		70,000	+ 70,000
	Improving funds management: Transfer from line 32 for Common Kill Vehicle Technologies .....			+ 70,000
37	Advanced Aerospace Systems .....	149,804	134,804	- 15,000
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover .....			- 10,000
	Program termination: Integrated Sensor is Structure (ISIS) .....			- 5,000
38	Space Programs and Technology .....	172,546	132,546	- 40,000
	Program termination: SeeMe .....			- 10,000
	Program termination: System F6 .....			- 30,000
42	Networked Communications Capabilities .....	20,000	5,000	- 15,000
	Authorization adjustment: Net Comm reduction .....			- 15,000
46	Human, Social and Culture Behavior Modeling [HSCB] Advanced Development .....		2,500	+ 2,500
	Authorization adjustment: HSCB Advanced Development .....			+ 2,500

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
47	Defense-Wide Manufacturing Science and Technology Program .....	34,041	59,041	+ 25,000
	Authorization adjustment: Industrial Base Initiative Fund .....			+ 25,000
55	Advanced Electronics Technologies .....	117,080	107,080	- 10,000
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover .....			- 10,000
60	Sensor Technology .....	286,364	276,364	- 10,000
	Maintaining program affordability: Eliminate program growth .....			- 10,000
63	Quick Reaction Special Projects .....	78,532	68,532	- 10,000
	Maintaining program affordability: Eliminate program growth .....			- 10,000
71	CWMD Systems .....	52,053	55,053	+ 3,000
	Authorization adjustment: CWMD Systems .....			+ 3,000
75	Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security Equipment RDT&E ADC&P .....	63,641	53,641	- 10,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Poor justification materials .....			- 10,000
79	Advanced Sensors Application Program .....	17,230	19,230	+ 2,000
	Authorization adjustment .....			+ 2,000
82	Ballistic Missile Defense Midcourse Defense Segment .....	1,033,903	891,047	- 142,856
	Improving funds management: Transfer sustainment funds to operation and maintenance, defense-wide for execution .....			- 142,856
83	Chemical and Biological Defense Program—Dem/Val .....	196,237	181,237	- 15,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: NGCS Schedule delay .....			- 5,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: VAC FILO Schedule delay .....			- 10,000
84	Ballistic Missile Defense Sensors .....	315,183	345,183	+ 30,000
	Maintain Cobra Judy to augment discrimination capability .....			+ 30,000
88	AEGIS BMD .....	937,056	910,056	- 27,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Aegis BMD 5.1 development cost growth .....			- 27,000
91	Ballistic Missile Defense Command and Control, Battle Management and Communication .....	418,355	405,515	- 12,840
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Lack of Spiral 8.2x baseline .....			- 5,937
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Spiral 8.4 deferred by MDA .....			- 6,903
96	Israeli Cooperative Programs .....	95,782	268,782	+ 173,000
	Israeli Upper tier .....			+ 22,100
	Israeli Arrow program .....			+ 33,700
	Short range ballistic missile defense .....			+ 117,200
101	Department of Defense Corrosion Program .....	3,312	23,312	+ 20,000
	Program increase .....			+ 20,000
105	Human, Social and Culture Behavior Modeling [HSCB] Research and Engineering .....		2,500	+ 2,500
	Authorization adjustment .....			+ 2,500
106	Defense Rapid Innovation Program .....		150,000	+ 150,000
	Authorization adjustment .....			+ 150,000
120	Chemical and Biological Defense Program—EMD .....	451,306	421,306	- 30,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: JEM milestone B delay .....			- 2,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: JBTD milestone B delay .....			- 4,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: CALS milestone B delay .....			- 7,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: HFV milestone B delay .....			- 10,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: VAC BOT execution delay .....			- 7,000
145	Classified Program USD(P) .....		106,000	+ 106,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Program increase .....			+ 106,000
160	Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) .....	56,024	46,024	- 10,000
	Authorization adjustment: DTIC reduction .....			- 10,000
202	Defense Info Infrastructure Engineering and Integration .....	12,867	10,867	- 2,000
	Improving Funds Management: Prior year carryover .....			- 2,000
203	Long-Haul Communications—DCS .....	36,565	30,565	- 6,000
	Improving Funds Management: Prior year carryover .....			- 6,000
225	Policy R&D Programs .....	3,210	4,210	+ 1,000
	Authorization adjustment: Conflict Records Research Center .....			+ 1,000
250	MQ-9 UAV .....	1,314	13,314	+ 12,000
	Authorization adjustment: MQ-9 UAV .....			+ 12,000
	Classified Programs .....	3,773,704	3,740,204	- 33,500
	Classified adjustment .....			- 33,500

*Conventional Prompt Global Strike.*—The fiscal year 2014 budget request includes \$65,440,000 to continue the Prompt Global Strike [PGS] program, a decrease from \$200,383,000 appropriated in fiscal year 2013. The Committee continues to support development of a PGS capability but recognizes the challenges associated with hypersonic flight. For example, after two failed flight tests, the Defense Advanced Research Project Agency determined that critical technologies associated with hypersonic test vehicle-2 were not mature enough to proceed to more advanced flight testing. However, the Committee notes the Army conducted a successful flight test of the advanced hypersonic weapon [AHW] on November, 17, 2011. Considering recent test outcomes and the growing possibility of near term threats, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to follow through on the stated intent of additional fiscal year 2013 funding provided for continued planning and completion of a second, longer range AHW flight test. Also considering the fiscal constraints under which the Department is operating, the Committee directs the Secretary to avoid commitments that will cause funds to be used for design or development efforts intended to support a significant departure from HTV-2 or the Army's AHW payload delivery vehicle designs.

*Under Vehicle Scanning Technologies.*—The Committee believes that improving under vehicle scanning technologies to mitigate threats and improve access to military installations should be a priority. The Committee recommends that the Department of Defense develop and test systems for automated under vehicle scanning to improve entry security.

*Corrosion Resistance and Non-Skid Compliance.*—The Committee recommends that the Department of Defense Corrosion Program advance Navy fuel and ballast tank corrosion monitoring and non-skid coating compliance efforts throughout the Department of Defense's maintenance community.

*Assured Microelectronics.*—The Committee understands that the Department of Defense issued an instruction which mandates assurance measures for all information and weapons systems that are national security systems, mission assurance category one, or are otherwise critical military and intelligence systems. The Committee directs the Department to deliver a report within 180 days

of the enactment of this act on the progress implementing this assured microelectronics policy.

*Lightweight Vehicle Protection.*—As the Department becomes more environmentally friendly, hybrid and electronically powered vehicles with reduced weight allowances may require tradeoffs in protection, payload and performance that could place troops at risk. The Committee recommends that the Department of Defense develop lighter weight protection systems optimized for such vehicles.

*Open Access to Federal Research.*—The Committee is concerned that despite significant Federal investments in scientific research, public access to the published results are limited. Improved access to cutting edge research will help individuals and commercial enterprises more rapidly translate research results into new products and services. To that end, the Committee encourages the Department of Defense to continue its actions to execute the Federal research public access policy recommendations as outlined by the Office of Science and Technology Policy in an effort to increase public access to published scientific research.

*Central Test and Evaluation Investment Program [CTEIP] Range Upgrades.*—The Committee is concerned with the condition of the high speed test tracks at military test ranges. These tracks provide significant missile and missile component validation which can at times eliminate costly tests using air launched vehicles. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Department of Defense, through the Central Test and Evaluation Investment Program, to develop ground test range upgrades that provide low vibration Mach 3 speeds for testing of advanced missiles and their components, launch vehicles, or other space systems.

#### MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY

*Ballistic Missile Defense Technology.*—The fiscal year 2014 budget request includes \$309,203,000 for ballistic missile defense technology research, an increase of \$233,228,000, or 307 percent, over amounts appropriated in fiscal year 2013. The Missile Defense Agency plans to execute these funds for six distinct projects, each containing multiple subprojects. However, the requested budget increase is not sustained in future years. Therefore, to ensure appropriate oversight among the many projects MDA plans to pursue, and to improve the financial management of technology research funds, the Committee transfers funds requested in fiscal year 2014 to six separate project elements, consistent with MDA's budget justification.

*Acquisition Accountability in Development Programs.*—The fiscal year 2014 budget request includes \$937,056,000 to continue the development of multiple spirals of Aegis ballistic missile defense [BMD] capabilities. While the Committee notes the repeated success of the Aegis weapons system, the budget request includes roughly \$500,000,000 for the concurrent development of Aegis BMD 4.0, Aegis BMD 5.0, and Aegis BMD 5.1. Since 2007, MDA has obligated over \$450,000,000 for development of Aegis BMD 5.1, and the budget request for Aegis BMD 5.1 in fiscal year 2014 is \$239,000,000. Despite the significant investment made to date in Aegis BMD 5.1 and the funding increase requested in fiscal year 2014, MDA has not completed an acquisition program baseline for

Aegis BMD 5.1. Further, the Government Accountability Office in its April 2013 report identified unstable baselines as well as cost growth in the Aegis program. Therefore, the Committee does not fully support the increase sought for Aegis BMD 5.1, and recommends a reduction of \$27,000,000.

In addition, the fiscal year 2014 budget request includes \$418,355,000 for continued development of BMD command and control, battle management and communications [C2BMC]. The Committee fully supports the continued development of C2BMC. However, the request includes \$6,903,000 for spiral 8.4 which has been deferred by MDA, and \$6,937,000 to initiate a new spiral (8.2x) for which MDA has budgeted over \$120,000,000 over the next 5 years. Furthermore, no cost or schedule baseline for spiral 8.2x has been established. Given the repeated adjustments to MDA’s software development program baselines in recent years, the Committee does not find it appropriate to establish yet another new development spiral without first establishing cost and schedule baselines. Therefore, the Committee recommends a reduction to the request for spiral 8.2x. The Committee notes that this does not affect the continued development and fielding of spirals 6.4 and 8.2.

*Sharing of Classified United States Ballistic Missile Defense Information With the Russian Federation.*—The Committee is concerned with the potential security risks associated with sharing sensitive U.S. missile defense data and technology with the Russian Federation. The Committee recognizes existing law restricts the sharing of sensitive and classified ballistic missile defense information with the Russian Federation, as cited in Public Law 112–81, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012. The Committee expects the administration to continue to adhere to current law, until superseded by any provision of an act authorizing appropriations for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 relating to this issue.

OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$223,473,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	186,300,000
Committee recommendation .....	186,300,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112–25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$186,300,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE			
	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
1	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION .....	75,720	75,720	.....
2	LIVE FIRE TESTING .....	48,423	48,423	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3	OPERATIONAL TEST ACTIVITIES AND ANALYSES .....	62,157	62,157	.....
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT .....	186,300	186,300	.....
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE .....	186,300	186,300	.....

TITLE V  
 REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS  
 DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1 2</sup> .....	\$1,538,383,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	1,545,827,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,695,827,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112–25.

<sup>2</sup> Includes emergency funding of \$24,200,000 in the Disaster Relief Appropriations Act, 2013 (division A of Public Law 113–2).

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,695,827,000. This is \$150,000,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Prepositioned War Reserve Stocks .....	25,158	175,158	+ 150,000
Arsenal Initiative .....			+ 150,000
Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Army .....	25,158	175,158	+ 150,000
Supplies and Materials (Medical/Dental) .....	61,731	61,731	
Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Air Force .....	61,731	61,731	
Defense Logistics Agency .....	46,428	46,428	
Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Defense-Wide .....	46,428	46,428	
Working Capital Fund—DECA .....	1,412,510	1,412,510	
Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Defense-Wide .....	1,412,510	1,412,510	
Grand Total, Defense Working Capital Funds .....	1,545,827	1,695,827	+ 150,000

*Defense Logistics Agency Fair and Reasonable Pricing Analysis.*— A Department of Defense Inspector General’s [DODIG] recently published audit report titled “Acquisition Processes and Contract Management” [DODIG–2013–090] determined that Defense Logistics Agency [DLA] Aviation contracting officers did not routinely conduct fair and reasonable pricing analysis when purchasing spare parts. Therefore, wasteful spending resulted from agency personnel failing to negotiate good deals or to perform adequate oversight. The audit found that DLA Aviation paid approximately \$13,700,000 in excess of fair and reasonable prices for 1,469 orders.

This included a \$10 device for which the defense contractor charged \$2,286 a piece. In another example, DLA paid \$12,467 each for metal tube assemblies that cover aircraft engine struts. The DODIG review concluded that the agency should have paid a unit price of approximately \$1,167.

DLA is seeking a refund of \$13,700,000 from the contractor for the issues identified in the audit. However, the audit found that “DLA Aviation also may have made payments in excess of the fair and reasonable price for an additional 20 delivery orders. If prices are not corrected, DLA Aviation will continue to overpay on future sole-source spare parts. . . .” The Committee is concerned about the lack of attention given to the review of pricing for spare parts and believes the end customer, in this case the Air Force, needs to improve its oversight in the process as well. A reduction has been made to the Air Force operation and maintenance budget to account for savings that should be realized by the Air Force increasing its scrutiny of pricing for spare parts. Additionally, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees no later than 90 days after the enactment of this act that examines the safeguards that are or have been put in place to prevent future defense contractor overpricing.

NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$696,919,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	730,700,000
Committee recommendation .....	608,378,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112–25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$608,378,000. This is \$122,322,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
020	MPF MLP .....	134,917	22,717	– 112,200
	Improving funds management: Transfer to SCN, line 12, for full funding of AFSB #2 only, per Navy request ....			– 55,300
	Improving funds management: Transfer to SCN, lines 16 and 20 only, for program shortfalls, per Navy request .....			– 31,000
	Improving funds management: Excess to requirement ....			– 25,900
030	Post Delivery and Outfitting .....	43,404	33,282	– 10,122
	Restoring acquisition accountability: MLP #3 outfitting cost growth .....			– 10,122
050	LG Med Spd Ro/Ro Maintenance .....	116,784	116,784	
060	DoD Mobilization Alterations .....	60,703	60,703	
070	TAH Maintenance .....	19,809	19,809	
080	Research And Development .....	56,058	56,058	
090	Ready Reserve Force .....	299,025	299,025	
	Total, National Defense Sealift Fund .....	730,700	608,378	– 122,322



**TITLE VI**  
**OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS**  
**DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM**

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> ..... \$32,672,130,000  
 Budget estimate, 2014 ..... 33,054,528,000  
 Committee recommendation ..... 33,607,019,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$33,607,019,000. This is \$552,491,000 above the budget estimate.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM**

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM			
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE			
10	IN-HOUSE CARE .....	8,880,738	8,885,629	+ 4,891
20	PRIVATE SECTOR CARE .....	15,842,732	15,797,732	- 45,000
30	CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT .....	2,505,640	2,505,640	.....
40	INFORMATION MANAGEMENT .....	1,450,619	1,454,219	+ 3,600
50	MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES .....	368,248	368,248	.....
60	EDUCATION AND TRAINING .....	733,097	733,097	.....
70	BASE OPERATIONS/COMMUNICATIONS .....	1,872,660	1,872,660	.....
	SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	31,653,734	31,617,225	- 36,509
	PROCUREMENT			
90	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM .....	671,181	671,181	.....
	RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION			
80	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM .....	729,613	1,318,613	+ 589,000
	TOTAL, DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM .....	33,054,528	33,607,019	+ 552,491

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS**

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2014 budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget request
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	31,653,734	31,617,225	- 36,509
	IN-HOUSE CARE .....	8,880,738	8,885,629	+ 4,891
	Improving funds management: Pharmacy funding excess to requirement .....			- 3,895

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2014 budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget request
Special Operations psychological resiliency—transfer from OM, DW .....			+ 8,786
PRIVATE SECTOR CARE .....	15,842,732	15,797,732	– 45,000
Improving funds management: TRICARE historical under-execution .....			– 275,000
Improving funds management: Pharmaceutical drugs excess growth .....			– 67,000
Authorization adjustment: Restore proposed TRICARE fee increases .....			+ 297,000
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH CARE .....	2,505,640	2,505,640	
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT .....	1,450,619	1,454,219	+ 3,600
Accelerate HAIMS initiative .....			+ 3,600
MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES .....	368,248	368,248	
EDUCATION AND TRAINING .....	733,097	733,097	
BASE OPERATIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS .....	1,872,660	1,872,660	
PROCUREMENT .....	671,181	671,181	
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT .....	729,613	1,318,613	+ 589,000
Research and Development .....	729,613	729,613	
Peer-Reviewed Breast Cancer Research .....			+ 120,000
Peer-Reviewed Cancer Research .....			+ 25,000
Peer-Reviewed Ovarian Cancer Research .....			+ 10,000
Peer-Reviewed Prostate Cancer Research .....			+ 64,000
Peer-Reviewed Traumatic Brain Injury and Psychological Health Research .....			+ 60,000
Peer Reviewed Medical Research Program .....			+ 200,000
Joint Warfighter Medical Research .....			+ 100,000
Orthotics and Prosthetics Outcomes Research .....			+ 10,000
TOTAL .....	33,054,528	33,607,019	+ 552,491

*Defense Health Program Reprogramming Procedures.*—The Committee remains concerned regarding the transfer of funds from direct (or in-house) care to pay for contractor-provided medical care. To limit such transfers and improve oversight within the Defense Health Program operation and maintenance account, the Committee includes a provision which caps the funds available for private sector care under the TRICARE program subject to prior approval reprogramming procedures. The provision and accompanying report language included by the Committee should not be interpreted by the Department as limiting the amount of funds that may be transferred to the direct care system from other budget activities within the Defense Health Program. In addition, the Committee continues to designate the funding for the direct care system as a special interest item. Any transfer of funds from the direct (or in-house) care budget activity into the private sector care budget activity or any other budget activity will require the Department of Defense to follow prior approval reprogramming procedures.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide written notification to the congressional defense committees of cumulative transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 out of the private sector care budget subactivity. The Committee further directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees on budget execution data for all of the Defense Health Program accounts and to adequately reflect changes to the budget activities requested by the services in future budget submissions.

*Carryover.*—For fiscal year 2014, the Committee recommends 1 percent carryover authority for the operation and maintenance account of the Defense Health Program. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to submit a detailed spending plan for any fiscal year 2013 designated carryover funds to the congressional defense committees not less than 30 days prior to executing the carryover funds.

*Private Sector Care Underexecution.*—According to a review by the Government Accountability Office, the Department under-executed its private sector care budget by \$1,356,245,000 in fiscal year 2011 and \$1,463,178,000 in fiscal year 2012, for an average of 8.9 percent underexecution. The Committee notes that the Department included a reduction of \$869,381,000 in its fiscal year 2014 budget request to account for lower than budgeted cost growth in private sector care. However, given the continued trend of prior-year underexecution, the Committee believes that additional savings can be realized and recommends a reduction of \$275,000,000 to the fiscal year 2014 budget request. The Committee urges the Department to submit future year budgets that are more closely aligned with recent provided care patterns.

*Collaboration with the Department of Veterans Affairs.*—While the Department of Defense [DOD] and the Department of Veterans Affairs [VA] may have different missions, they are bound together in a mutual mission to support those who have served in the defense of the country. Over the past several years, collaboration between the Departments has significantly increased and the number of joint projects and services has expanded. The Committee applauds these efforts and believes that future information sharing between DOD and VA must strengthen in order to ensure a seamless transition from active duty and timely access to VA benefits. Nowhere is this more evident than in the transmission of service treatment records from DOD to VA. These records are essential in the VA's process of making accurate and timely determinations of benefits to which a veteran may be entitled.

The Committee has been deeply concerned that while cooperation between the two Departments has significantly increased, delays in information sharing still plague the system. In order to increase the oversight of this process, the recommendation includes bill language directing the DOD Inspector General to work in coordination with the VA Office of the Inspector General to assess the time it takes for service treatment records to be transmitted to VA, impediments to providing the records in a useable electronic format, and recommendations to streamline this process. The Committee further directs that this report be submitted to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress no later than July 31, 2014.

The Committee understands that 43 percent of veterans from the Iraq and Afghanistan wars were National Guard and Reserve members and that Guard and Reserve records are often the most difficult for the VA to obtain because many of these veterans saw multiple, nonconsecutive deployments with different units. Currently, the VA must contact multiple individual units to collect these records. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to establish a central clearinghouse for Guard and Reserve

records in order to speed the transfer of these records and avoid future anticipated backlogs.

The Committee also believes that continuing the transition from a paper-based to an electronic system of record transfer is imperative in assisting the VA with providing benefits to veterans in a timely manner. The Department of Defense is currently deploying the Health Artifact Image Management Solution [HAIMS], which provides DOD and VA healthcare clinicians global access and awareness of images and documents generated during the healthcare delivery process through the continuum of care. The Committee provides an additional \$3,600,000 in order to accelerate this initiative and directs DOD to transmit all records electronically to the VA by the end of 2013.

In October 2010, DOD and VA established a first-of-its-kind partnership with the opening of the Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center [FHCC]. This is a fully integrated Federal healthcare facility that serves Active Duty military, their family members, military retirees, and veterans. The Committee continues to support the pilot program at FHCC and believes it will produce valuable lessons that can be used to expand future collaboration between DOD and VA hospitals as well as produce substantial savings to the taxpayer by combining the two healthcare systems where practical.

*integrated Electronic Health Record [iEHR].*—The Committee is frustrated with the disappointing effort displayed over the past year by the Departments of Defense and Veterans Affairs to continue development of an integrated Electronic Health Record [iEHR]. Five years ago, full interoperability of electronic records was mandated by the Congress. In 2011, the Departments decided to replace their respective existing legacy electronic health record systems and agreed to jointly develop an electronic health record through the Interagency Program Office [IPO]. The original schedule estimated operating capability for iEHR by 2017.

In February 2013, because of cost and schedule issues, both Secretaries decided to take iEHR in a different strategic direction: focusing on creating an interoperable system and a subsequent integrated health record, rather than building a single integrated core system as previously agreed. The Committee understands both Departments believe this new approach will result in a lower overall cost of development and an accelerated timeline for completion. However, the Committee remains concerned by the lack of a well articulated plan forward and the lack of results of development to date. For instance, the Committee has yet to receive a detailed plan, including a timeline, benchmarks, or total cost for this major project. The Committee strongly believes that the current environment of fragmented and stove-piped systems is not an acceptable option. The Departments must develop and convey to the Committee a coherent path forward for the successful deployment of an interoperable system and integrated electronic health record. Further, the IPO must be more transparent regarding day-to-day functioning, including articulating a clear governance structure and a detailed plan to manage and maintain accountability on behalf of both Departments. In short, the IPO must demonstrate to the Com-

mittee that it can produce substantial achievements and adhere to a defined plan forward within the budget estimates.

Included in Public Law 113–6 is a prohibition on obligation or expenditure of fiscal year 2013 funds for the development of iEHR until the Departments submit to the Appropriations subcommittees of jurisdiction a plan for expenditure that meets specifically outlined requirements. The Committee recently received and is currently reviewing the expenditure plan. Due to the Departments' inability to obligate fiscal year 2013 iEHR development funds and the lack of compliance with the requirements outlined in the bill, the Committee continues to include bill language limiting the obligation or expenditure of funds to 25 percent for the development of iEHR in fiscal year 2014 until both Departments provide the subcommittees of jurisdiction an expenditure plan including a long-term roadmap for the life of the project, with annual and total spending for each Department and quarterly milestones. The expenditure plan should also be submitted to the Government Accountability Office [GAO] for review.

The Committee continues to expect briefings from the IPO on a quarterly basis providing a detailed explanation of the cost and schedule of iEHR development, including milestones, knowledge points, and acquisition timelines as it impacts both Departments, as well as quarterly obligation reports. The Committee also directs the Department of Defense to provide written notification to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate prior to obligating any contract or combination of contracts in excess of \$5,000,000.

Finally, the Committee is troubled by the lack of transparency the Department of Defense has shown regarding the information used to inform the decision to change strategy on iEHR. The Committee directs the Director of the Office of Cost Assessment Program Evaluation [CAPE] to provide a report not later than 90 days after enactment of this act that includes independent cost estimates for the following: the Department's original plan of a single, integrated electronic health record system; the Department adopting and modernizing the VA's Veterans Health Information Systems and Technology Architecture [VistA]; and the Department procuring a core system other than but interoperable with VistA.

*Traumatic Brain Injury [TBI]/Psychological Health [PH].*—The Committee recommends \$60,000,000 above the fiscal year 2014 budget request for continued research into treatment and prevention of traumatic brain injuries and improved psychological health. Traumatic brain injury [TBI] has been identified as the signature injury of the Iraq and Afghanistan wars, but the long-term consequences of traumatic brain injury and the relationship between post-traumatic stress, suicide, sexual assault, and alcohol and substance abuse are poorly understood. The Committee supports the Department's past research efforts into each of these individual areas but encourages the Department to conduct research that takes a more holistic view of these issues in order to better understand how they are interrelated and develop more effective treatment and prevention strategies.

The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to submit a report to the congressional defense

committees within 180 days of enactment of this act on expenditure and obligation data of additional funding added by Congress for psychological health and traumatic brain injury. This report should include information on agreements made with other Government agencies.

*Peer Reviewed Medical Research Program.*—The Committee recommends \$200,000,000 for a Peer Reviewed Medical Research Program. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in conjunction with the Service Surgeons General, to select medical research projects of clear scientific merit and direct relevance to military health. Research areas considered under this funding are restricted to: acupuncture, alcohol and substance abuse, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, arthritis, autism, chronic migraine and post-traumatic headache, congenital heart disease, DNA vaccine technology for postexposure prophylaxis, duchenne muscular dystrophy, epilepsy, food allergies, Fragile X syndrome, gulf war illness, inflammatory bowel disease, interstitial cystitis, lupus, malaria, metabolic disease, multiple sclerosis, neurofibromatosis, orthopedics, pancreatitis, Parkinson's, polycystic kidney disease, post-traumatic osteoarthritis, psychotropic medications, reconstructive transplantation, respiratory health, rheumatoid arthritis, segmental bone defects, spinal cord injury, tinnitus, tuberous sclerosis complex, and vision research. The Committee emphasizes that the additional funding provided under the Peer Reviewed Medical Research Program shall be devoted only to the purposes listed above.

The Committee remains supportive of the medical research being conducted by the Department that yields medical breakthroughs for servicemembers and often translates to the civilian population, as well. Along with the basic research that is necessary in less mature research areas, the Committee encourages the Department to pursue advanced research and translational research projects when appropriate. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report to the congressional defense committees within 180 days of enactment of this Act on the breakdown of funding in the Congressionally Directed Medical Research program between basic and advanced research.

*Joint Warfighter Medical Research Program.*—The Committee recommends \$100,000,000 for the Joint Warfighter Medical Research Program. Funds shall be used to augment and accelerate high priority Department of Defense and service medical requirements and to continue prior year initiatives that are close to achieving their objectives and yielding a benefit to military medicine. These funds shall not be used for new projects or basic research, and they shall be awarded at the discretion of the Secretary of Defense following a review of medical research and development gaps, as well as unfinanced medical requirements of the services. Further, the Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this act to the congressional defense committees, which lists the projects that receive funding. The report should include the funding amount awarded to each project, a thorough description of each project's research, and the benefit the research will provide to the Department of Defense.

*Peer-Reviewed Cancer Research Programs.*—The Committee includes \$120,000,000 for the peer-reviewed breast cancer research program, \$64,000,000 for the peer-reviewed prostate cancer research program, \$10,000,000 for the peer-reviewed ovarian cancer research program, and \$25,000,000 for the peer-reviewed cancer research program that would research cancers not addressed in the aforementioned programs currently executed by the Department of Defense.

The funds provided in the peer-reviewed cancer research program are directed to be used to conduct research in the following areas: kidney cancer, melanoma, mesothelioma, myeloproliferative disorders, and neuroblastoma.

The funds provided under the peer-reviewed cancer research program shall be used only for the purposes listed above. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this act to the congressional defense committees on the status of the peer-reviewed cancer research program. For each research area, the report should include the funding amount awarded, the progress of the research, and the relevance of the research to servicemembers.

*Orthotics and Prosthetics Outcomes Research.*—The Committee understands that over the last 10 years of war, more than 1,500 servicemembers have suffered a major amputation. The vast majority of the acute care and initial rehabilitation of these servicemembers has occurred within the Department's Advanced Rehabilitation Centers, which continue to provide rehabilitative techniques and advanced prosthetic technologies that facilitate maximum functional outcomes. While the Committee acknowledges the Department of Defense and Department of Veterans Affairs joint conference on the State of the Art in Orthotics and Prosthetics in March 2010, the Committee recognizes that too little is known about which orthotic and prosthetic supports, treatments, and technologies generate the best outcomes for which patients. The Committee believes that continued research on orthotics and prosthetics outcomes can further improve care for servicemembers with limb loss and limb impairment and support evidence-based practice by allowing doctors to match servicemembers and veterans with the orthotic or prosthetic that best works for them. Therefore, the Committee provides \$10,000,000 in support of such comparative outcomes research and directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this act to the congressional defense committees on the peer-reviewed projects that receive funding. The report should include the funding amount awarded to each project and the anticipated effect on patient care.

*Healthy Base Initiative.*—The Committee expects that the Department will employ a strong underpinning and binding paradigm to ensure the Department is focused and efficient in its transition of Healthy Base Initiative [HBI] bases from locations of multiple, stove-piped, often redundant, and frequently uncoordinated base-level health and community programs—and the eventual evolution of the participating HBI bases to “Blue Zones.” The current and most comprehensive framework for this new paradigm is Total

Force Fitness [TFF], which is already embedded in the Department's doctrine.

Not later than 180 days from enactment of this act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit a report to the congressional defense committees that includes a plan for the comprehensive coordination and delivery of TFF onto the existing health and community programs of bases selected to participate in the HBI and similar DOD programs, such as Marine Total Fitness; Comprehensive Soldier Fitness; Sleep, Activity, and Nutrition [SAN] program; and family resilience programs. This plan should address how the DOD will:

- conduct assessments of the scope of TFF domains in the DOD in order to fully understand the expanse and redundancy of existing programs;
- evaluate the efficiency and outcomes of existing programs against TFF metrics;
- conduct realignment of base-level resources to ensure strong and non-redundant program coverage across each of the TFF domains;
- coordinate activities across the remaining programs;
- educate servicemembers and their families as to proper program access and use; and
- conduct continual program and HBI evaluation against the selected TFF metrics. The Committee encourages the Department to seek the assistance of expert civilian and military groups with experience in TFF and whole systems/whole person integration.

The Committee strongly believes that such an approach to base-level asset and program management will ensure a strong and vibrant military community and transition bases into “Blue Zones” of health, wellbeing, and resilience. Accordingly, the Committee provides \$3,000,000 in Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide to expand the Healthy Base Initiative.

*Reconstructive Transplantation.*—The Committee recognizes that the science and execution of complex transplants is at a critical juncture. Transplantation is an important alternative to prosthetics that restores function and sensation to the recipient, and the Department has focused its research funding in this area on the prevention of immune rejection, clinical monitoring of transplant recipients, and standardization of processes and protocols. The Committee supports continued research into reconstructive transplantation in order to achieve life-changing results for servicemembers and civilians alike.

*Prescription Effectiveness of Psychotropic Medications.*—The Committee supports the Department's efforts to treat patients diagnosed with behavioral health disorders and believes that recent efforts to use database-supported methods in order to increase the accuracy and effectiveness of prescription practices for mental health medications may not only achieve cost savings but also improve patient care. The Committee encourages the Department to continue these efforts and looks forward to receiving an update once interim results of this research are realized at the end of this calendar year.

*Bioscavenger Medical Countermeasures.*—The Committee is concerned about the recent use of chemical agents in the Middle East



and supports the Department's efforts to develop multiple nerve agent bioscavengers to protect the warfighter against chemical nerve agent exposure. The Committee looks forward to further advancement of this technology and encourages the Department to consider scalability, productivity, and cost effectiveness in its development.

*Global Health Engagements.*—The Department's global health engagements support combatant commanders' efforts to build the capacity of partner nations, manage and respond to local health challenges, and promote regional stability by increasing access to basic health services. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs), in collaboration with the Uniformed Services University of Health Sciences, to establish a learning tool to assess the efficiency and effectiveness of global health engagements in meeting national security goals.

*Trauma Clinical Research Repository.*—The Committee recognizes that trauma-related deaths impact our military services as well as the general civilian population, and the life-saving impact of trauma clinical research can be seen on the battlefield and in civilian trauma response. A trauma clinical research repository, accessible to both public and private researchers, would provide an opportunity to make widely available the data resulting from current and future research that could lead to significant advances in treatment and improved outcomes in both the military and civilian populations. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Department of Defense to work with other Federal agencies and the private sector to establish a trauma clinical research repository to share and maximize critical trauma research data.

*Pain Management.*—The Committee recognizes that chronic pain disorders are increasingly prevalent among servicemembers and commends the Department for its initial steps to leverage investments by the National Institutes of Health in the development of the Pain Assessment Screening Tool and Outcomes Registry [PASTOR] for its use throughout the Military Health System [MHS]. The Committee encourages the Department to implement PASTOR throughout the MHS in an effort to inform the development of evidence-based approaches to chronic pain management.

The Committee also supports the Department's research and implementation efforts on holistic, multidisciplinary, and multimodal approaches in seeking alternatives to opioid analgesics for pain management. The Army's 2010 Pain Management Task Force Report found that acupuncture in particular has proven valuable in reducing an overreliance on use of medications to treat pain. Recent research has shown the effectiveness and tremendous promise of acupuncture as a drugless, stigma-less approach in treating pain, stress, anxiety, insomnia, and drug addiction. Despite the evidence of acupuncture's value within the military, it is not universally available, and there are no standards to guide the clinical integration of acupuncture into models of care for wounded and ill servicemembers. The Committee encourages the Department to research the comparative effectiveness of acupuncture and develop a comprehensive approach to pain management through the appropriate integration of acupuncture and other integrative medicine approaches.

*Warfighter Respiratory Health.*—The Committee understands that respiratory diseases affect more than 100,000 servicemembers each year and is concerned about respiratory ailments among deployed and returning servicemembers. Deployed military personnel have nearly double the rate of respiratory symptoms than non-deployed personnel. Beyond the decreased quality of life for affected servicemembers, respiratory diseases result in almost 27,000 lost workdays per year according to the Department. The Committee encourages the Department to provide adequate resources for research on respiratory health.

*Epilepsy Research.*—The Committee is concerned about the large number of servicemembers who have sustained traumatic brain injuries [TBI] and are at high risk for developing post-traumatic epilepsy. As current TBI longitudinal studies have not included epilepsy, the Committee encourages the Department to place greater priority on longitudinal epidemiological research, including epilepsy surveillance, to better understand the magnitude of the problem and improve patient care and outcomes. In addition, the Committee urges the Department to expand research into the mechanisms by which brain injury produces epilepsy and prevention of epilepsy in those known to be at high risk.

*Melanoma Research.*—The Committee understands that melanoma diagnoses are increasing among Active Duty servicemembers and that melanoma is the fifth most common cancer among veterans. Recent research suggests that exposure to high levels of solar radiation in young adulthood is associated with a higher risk of melanoma mortality. Given the extreme and harsh conditions servicemembers face in theater and the rise of this aggressive and frequently deadly form of cancer, the Committee encourages the Department to continue its investments in melanoma research.

*DNA Vaccine Technology for Post-Exposure Prophylaxis.*—The Committee is aware the Army is seeking industry partners to develop a capability for rapid production of polyclonal antibody-based medical countermeasures to prevent and treat emerging infectious diseases. The use of DNA vaccines to stimulate the production of polyclonal antibodies in anseriformes has shown promise, and the Committee encourages the Army to continue its research in this area.

*Medical Defense Against Infectious Disease.*—The Committee recognizes the importance of medical countermeasures to naturally occurring infectious diseases that pose a significant threat to the operational effectiveness of forces deployed outside the United States. Given the Department's recent strategic realignment toward the Asia-Pacific region and the prevalence of infectious diseases, the Committee encourages the Department to address diseases of military importance by encouraging collaboration with colleges and universities that have strong research programs in the areas of malaria, bacterial diarrhea, and viral diseases.

*Convergence Science.*—The U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command [MRMC] is responsible for the research, development, and acquisition of medical materiel for the health, protection, and enhanced performance of military personnel. An emerging element of MRMC's efforts to provide the most advanced medical technology is convergence science, which is the merging of life, physical,

and engineering sciences to provide an integrated approach for achieving advances in medical technology. Medical technology areas characterized by the convergence science approach are bioinformatics, synthetic biology, tissue engineering, biomaterials, and computational biology. This approach is at the cutting edge of technical advances led by top engineering universities and medical institutions. The Committee encourages the Army to continue this important work and advance this pioneering approach to military medical technology.

#### CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$1,300,068,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	1,057,123,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,057,123,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,057,123,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Item	Qty.	2014 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE						
1	CHEM DEMILITARIZATION—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....		451,572		451,572		
3	CHEM DEMILITARIZATION—PROCUREMENT .....		1,368		1,368		
2	CHEM DEMILITARIZATION—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION .....		604,183		604,183		
	TOTAL, CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE .....		1,057,123		1,057,123		

## DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE

Appropriations, 2013<sup>1</sup> ..... \$1,157,733,000  
 Budget estimate, 2014 ..... 938,545,000  
 Committee recommendation ..... 1,068,545,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112–25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,068,545,000. This is \$130,000,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	Fiscal year 2014 request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget request
010	Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities .....	815,965	945,965	+ 130,000
	Counter-Drug Program State Plans .....			+ 130,000
030	Drug Demand Reduction Program .....	122,580	122,580	.....
	Total, Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activi- ties, Defense .....	938,545	1,068,545	+ 130,000

*Counter-Drug Intelligence Support.*—The Committee understands that the exploitation of open source intelligence, particularly foreign media activity, has yielded substantial value to counter-drug efforts. These efforts have created regional expertise in geographical areas where it is hard or dangerous to deploy U.S. assets and have provided indications and warnings of criminal and extremist activity. The Committee encourages the Department to continue tracking the effect and influence of local media outlets on civilian populations at the national and subnational level to provide detailed knowledge of behavioral changes by groups, governments, and organizations.

*National Guard Counter-Drug Schools.*—The National Guard Counter-Drug Schools program has the unique mission of providing combatant commands, law enforcement agencies, community-based organizations, and military personnel with training to support and enhance their capabilities to detect, interdict, disrupt and curtail drug trafficking. The Committee feels this training is of utmost importance to ensure public safety and national security and therefore urges the Department to reverse the funding decline that this program has experienced in recent years.

## JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND

Appropriations, 2013<sup>1</sup> .....  
 Budget estimate, 2014 ..... \$98,800,000  
 Committee recommendation .....

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112–25.

The Committee recommends no funding for the Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund.

## OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$349,859,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	312,131,000
Committee recommendation .....	348,031,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$348,031,000. This is \$35,900,000 above the budget estimate. This increase is consistent with S. 1197, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, as reported.

TITLE VII  
RELATED AGENCIES

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY  
SYSTEM FUND

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$514,000,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	514,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	514,000,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$514,000,000.  
This is equal to the budget estimate.

INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$533,716,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	568,271,000
Committee recommendation .....	568,671,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$568,671,000.  
This is \$400,000 above the budget estimate.

## TITLE VIII

### GENERAL PROVISIONS

The following lists general provisions proposed by the Committee. The Committee recommends inclusion of several proposals which have been incorporated in previous appropriations acts, provisions requested for inclusion by the Defense Department, and new provisions. The Committee recommendations are as follows:

SEC. 8001. *Publicity/propaganda limitation.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8002. *Compensation/employment of foreign nationals.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8003. *Obligation rate of appropriations.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8004. *Obligations in last 2 months of fiscal year.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8005. *Transfers.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8006. *Project Level Adjustments.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8007. *Establishment of Reprogramming Baseline.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8008. *Working Capital Fund Cash Disbursements.*—Retains a provision carried in previous year.

SEC. 8009. *Special Access Programs Notification.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8010. *Multiyear procurement authority.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8011. *Humanitarian and Civic Assistance.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8012. *Civilian Personnel Ceilings.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8013. *Lobbying.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8014. *Educational benefits and bonuses.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8015. *Mentor-Protege Program.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8016. *Anchor chains.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8017. *Demilitarization of surplus firearms.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8018. *Relocations into the National Capital Region.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8019. *Indian Financing Act Incentives.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.



SEC. 8020. *Defense Media Activity*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8021. *Burdensharing*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8022. *Civil Air Patrol*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8023. *Federally Funded Research and Development Centers*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8024. *Carbon, Alloy, or Armor Steel Plate*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8025. *Congressional Defense Committees Definition*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8026. *Depot Maintenance Competition*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8027. *Reciprocal Trade Agreements*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8028. *Overseas Military Facility Investment*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8029. *Walking Shield*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8030. *Investment Item Unit Cost*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8031. *Defense Working Capital Fund/Investment Item*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8032. *CIA Availability of Funds*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8033. *GDIP Information System*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8034. *Indian Tribes Environmental Impact*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8035. *Compliance With the Buy America Act*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8036. *Competition for Consultants and Studies Programs*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8037. *Field operating agencies*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8038. *Combat and Camouflage Utility Uniforms*.—Includes a new provision that restricts the design and fielding of new combat and camouflage utility uniforms.

SEC. 8039. *Organizational Analysis/Contraction Out*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8040. *Rescissions*.—The Committee recommends a general provision rescinding funds from the prior years as displayed below:

	Amount
2012 Appropriations	
Weapons Procurement, Navy:	
Cruiser Modernization Weapons .....	\$33,300,000
Other Procurement, Navy:	
CG Modernization .....	266,486,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force:	
C-27J Joint Cargo Aircraft .....	442,000,000
F-22 .....	30,000,000
C-130 AMP .....	71,535,000
C-130J Mods—Block 7 upgrades .....	6,200,000
MQ-9 Mods .....	30,000,000

	Amount
2013 Appropriations	
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account:	
CTR .....	75,000,000
Other Procurement, Army:	
Biometrics Enterprise .....	40,000,000
Force Provider .....	5,000,000
CREW .....	15,426,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy:	
F/A-18E/F Advance Procurement .....	30,256,000
Other Procurement, Navy:	
Airborne Mine Countermeasures (OASIS termination) .....	4,446,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force:	
C-130J [AP] .....	20,000,000
C-27J Joint Cargo Aircraft .....	69,524,000
Spares—C-27J Joint Cargo Aircraft .....	50,000,000
RQ-4: Production close-out .....	63,400,000
C-130J Mods: Block 7 upgrades .....	19,166,000
KC-135 Mods .....	17,000,000
Other Procurement, Air Force:	
Night Vision Goggles .....	6,000,000
Procurement, Defense-wide:	
DISA—Global Combat Support System .....	2,703,000
CBDP—Decontamination .....	464,000
CBDP—Collective Protection .....	24,199,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army:	
IEWS: MFEW .....	12,000,000
Aircraft Mods: UH-60L Digital Cockpit .....	10,000,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy:	
RETRACT ELM .....	21,000,000
Joint Tactical Radio System .....	30,000,000
Airborne Mine Countermeasures .....	5,000,000
Line 114: Ship Contract Design .....	10,000,000
Strategic Sub and Weapon System .....	11,000,000
Global Command and Control System .....	357,000
RQ-11 UAV .....	400,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force:	
Joint Precision Approach and Landing Systems .....	12,104,000
Defense Health Program:	
Integrated Electronic Health Record—RDT&E .....	61,299,000
Integrated Electronic Health Record—Procurement .....	104,600,000

SEC. 8041. *Civilian Technicians Reductions.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8042. *Prohibition on Assistance to North Korea.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8043. *Reimbursement for Reserve Component Intelligence Personnel.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8044. *Civilian Medical Personnel Reductions.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8045. *Counter-Drug Activities Transfer.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8046. *Ball and Roller Bearings.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8047. *Buy American Computers.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8048. *Transfer to Other Agencies.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8049. *Restrictions on Transfer of Equipment and Supplies.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8050. *Contractor Bonuses Due to Business Restructuring.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8051. *Reserve Peacetime Support to Active Duty and Civilian Activities.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8052. *Unexpended Balances.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8053. *National Guard Distance Learning.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8054. *Heating Plants in Europe.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8055. *End-Item Procurement.*—Retains a provisions carried in previous years.

SEC. 8056. *Buy American Waivers.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8057. *Training of Security Forces of a Foreign Country.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8058. *Repair and Maintenance of Military Family Housing.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8059. *JCTD Project.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8060. *Secretary of Defense Reporting Requirement.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8061. *Support to Other Government Agencies.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8062. *Missile Defense Authorization.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8063. *Armor Piercing Ammo.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8064. *Leasing Authority for National Guard Bureau.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8065. *Alcoholic Beverages.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8066. *O&M, Army transfer.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8067. *Disbursements.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8068. *Global Security Contingency Fund Authority.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8069. *General and Flag Officer Reduction.*—Includes a new provision reducing funding for General and Flag Officers

SEC. 8070. *Israeli Cooperative Programs.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC.8071. *Assignment of Forces.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8072. *Prior Year Shipbuilding.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8073. *Intelligence Authorization.*—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8074. *New Start Authority.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8075. *Contingency Operations Budget Justification.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8076. *Nuclear Armed Interceptors.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8077. *Various Grant.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8078. *53rd Weather Reconnaissance Squadron*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8079. *Integration of Foreign Intelligence*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8080. *Notification of Reserve Mobilization*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8081. *SCN Transfer Authority*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8082. *SCN Judgment Fund*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8083. *Army Tactical UAVs*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8084. *Asia Pacific Regional Initiative*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8085. *DNI R&D Waiver*.—Retains a and modifies provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8086. *Shipbuilding Obligations*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8087. *Information Sharing*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8088. *Intelligence Baseline for Reprogramming*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8089. *NIP Reprogramming*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8090. *Future Years Intelligence Budget*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8091. *Congressional Intelligence Committee Definition*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8092. *Cost of War Report*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8093. *Fisher House Authorization*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8094. *Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8095. *Public Disclosure of Agency Reports*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8096. *Contractor Compliance with the Civil Rights Act of 1964*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8097. *18th Aggressor Squadron*.—Includes a new provision that restricts transitioning of the Squadron from Eielson Air Force Base.

SEC. 8098. *DOD–VA Medical Facility Demonstration*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8099. *Travel and Conference Activities*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8100. *Senior Mentors*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8101. *Armored Vehicles*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8102. *Ship Modernization, Operations and Sustainment Fund*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8103. *For Profit Advertising*.—Inserts a new provision that prohibits voluntary military education funding from being used for advertising and marketing.

SEC. 8104. *For Profit Rule*.—Inserts a new provision designating voluntary military education funding as Federal funding.

SEC. 8105. *Superintendent Commission*.—Inserts a new provision that establishes a commission for Superintendents of Service Academies

SEC. 8106. *Economic Assumptions*.—Inserts a new provision on foreign currency fluctuations.

SEC. 8107. *Transfer of Detainees to or within the United States*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8108. *Transfer of Detainees to a Foreign Country or Entity*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8109. *Detainee Facilities*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8110. *Corporations with Tax Liabilities*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8111. *Corporations Convicted of Felonies*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8112. *Procurement of RQ-4B Global Hawk*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8113. *Rosoboronexport*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8114. *President of Afghanistan*.—Inserts a new provision that prohibits the United States Government from obligating or expending money for the personal benefit of the President of Afghanistan.

SEC. 8115. *Sexual Assault Special Victims Program*.—Inserts a new provision that establishes a Sexual Assault Special Victims Program.

SEC. 8116. *Afghanistan Tax*.—Inserts a new provision that requires the Department of Defense to reduce funds for the Government of Islamic Republic of Afghanistan if taxes, duties, penalties, and fees are imposed on the United States.

SEC. 8117. *Ex Gratia Payments*.—Inserts a new provision regarding ex gratia payments by the United States.

SEC. 8118. *Minuteman III Environmental Impact Analysis*.—Inserts a new provision that prohibits the use of funds for an environmental impact analysis on Minuteman III silos.

SEC. 8119. *Syrian Intervention*.—Inserts a new provision that prohibits the use of funds in contravention of the War Powers Resolution with respect to Syria.

TITLE IX  
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS  
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—MILITARY

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$77,623,143,000 for operations related to overseas contingency operations. In fiscal year 2013 Congress appropriated \$86,954,838,000 for activities funded in this title.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the Committee recommendation:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Fiscal year 2013 enacted	Fiscal year 2014 estimate	Committee recommendation
Military Personnel .....	14,116,821	9,689,307	9,405,307
Operation and Maintenance .....	62,131,012	62,829,052	60,586,019
Procurement .....	8,979,438	5,351,979	5,171,602
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation .....	247,716	116,634	88,708
Revolving and Management Funds .....	243,600	264,910	264,910
Other Department of Defense Programs .....	3,096,303	2,306,272	2,157,497
General Provisions (net) .....	-1,860,052	.....	-50,900
<b>Total, Overseas Contingency Operations .....</b>	<b>86,954,838</b>	<b>80,558,154</b>	<b>77,623,143</b>

OVERVIEW

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$77,623,143,000 of additional appropriations for overseas contingency operations in fiscal year 2014. This funding will ensure that resources, equipment, and supplies are available for our servicemembers without interruption, and will enable the Department to avoid absorbing operational costs from within baseline programs that are critical to future readiness and home-station activities.

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Committee directs that the Department of Defense continue to report incremental contingency operations costs for Operation Enduring Freedom on a monthly basis in the Cost of War Execution report as required by Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation, chapter 23, volume 12. The Committee further directs the Department to continue providing the Cost of War reports to the congressional defense committees that include the following information by appropriation: funding appropriated, funding allocated, monthly obligations, monthly disbursements, cumulative fiscal year obligations, and cumulative fiscal year disbursements.

The Committee expects that in order to meet unanticipated requirements, the Department of Defense may need to transfer funds within these appropriations accounts for purposes other than those specified in this report. The Committee directs the Department of Defense to follow normal prior approval reprogramming procedures should it be necessary to transfer funding between different appropriations accounts in this title.

MILITARY PERSONNEL

The Committee recommends a total of \$9,405,307,000 for pay, allowances, and other personnel costs for Active, Reserve, and Guard troops activated for duty in Afghanistan and other contingency operations. This recommendation includes funding for subsistence, permanent change of station travel, and special pays including imminent danger pay, family separation allowance, and hardship duty pay.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$9,790,082,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	6,747,515,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,463,515,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,463,515,000. This is \$284,000,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	Basic Pay .....	864,052	725,052	- 139,000
	Improving funds management: Excess to requirement .....			- 139,000
10	Retired Pay Accrual .....	238,058	238,058	
25	Basic Allowance For Housing .....	271,092	271,092	
30	Basic Allowance For Subsistence .....	34,598	34,598	
35	Incentive Pays .....	5,126	5,126	
40	Special Pays .....	38,486	38,486	
45	Allowances .....	19,132	19,132	
50	Separation Pay .....	88,867	88,867	
55	Social Security Tax .....	65,940	65,940	
	TOTAL .....	1,625,351	1,486,351	- 139,000
	BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	Basic Pay .....	1,925,501	1,848,501	- 77,000
	Improving funds management: Excess to requirement .....			- 77,000
65	Retired Pay Accrual .....	543,288	543,288	
80	Basic Allowance For Housing .....	763,796	763,796	
85	Incentive Pays .....	2,427	2,427	
90	Special Pays .....	176,568	176,568	
95	Allowances .....	110,227	110,227	
100	Separation Pay .....	180,287	180,287	
105	Social Security Tax .....	147,361	147,361	

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	TOTAL .....	3,849,455	3,772,455	- 77,000
	BA 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
115	Basic Allowance For Subsistence .....	251,345	251,345	.....
120	Subsistence-In-Kind .....	500,504	445,504	- 55,000
	Improving funds management: Army identified excess to requirement .....			- 55,000
	TOTAL .....	751,849	696,849	- 55,000
	BA 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL			
125	Accession Travel .....	11,091	11,091	.....
130	Training Travel .....	8,926	8,926	.....
135	Operational Travel .....	105,220	105,220	.....
140	Rotational Travel .....	54,677	54,677	.....
145	Separation Travel .....	14,169	14,169	.....
150	Travel of Organized Units .....	622	622	.....
	TOTAL .....	194,705	194,705	.....
	BA 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
175	Interest On Uniformed Services Savings .....	4,745	4,745	.....
180	Death Gratuities .....	7,449	7,449	.....
185	Unemployment Benefits .....	204,833	191,833	- 13,000
	Improving Funds Management: Excess to requirement .....			- 13,000
212	Reserve Income Replacement Program .....	40	40	.....
216	SGLI Extra Hazard Payments .....	73,261	73,261	.....
219	Traumatic Injury Protection Coverage [T-SGLI] .....	35,827	35,827	.....
	TOTAL .....	326,155	313,155	- 13,000
	TOTAL—MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY .....	6,747,515	6,463,515	- 284,000

## MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$774,225,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	558,344,000
Committee recommendation .....	558,344,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$558,344,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	Basic Pay .....	99,067	99,067	.....
10	Retired Pay Accrual .....	24,271	24,271	.....
25	Basic Allowance For Housing .....	31,959	31,959	.....
30	Basic Allowance For Subsistence .....	3,427	3,427	.....
35	Incentive Pays .....	749	749	.....
40	Special Pays .....	4,858	4,858	.....
45	Allowances .....	7,458	7,458	.....



[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
55	Social Security Tax .....	7,579	7,579	.....
	TOTAL .....	179,368	179,368	.....
	<b>BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
60	Basic Pay .....	108,938	108,938	.....
65	Retired Pay Accrual .....	26,690	26,690	.....
80	Basic Allowance For Housing .....	51,780	51,780	.....
85	Incentive Pays .....	296	296	.....
90	Special Pays .....	11,931	11,931	.....
95	Allowances .....	16,447	16,447	.....
100	Separation Pay .....	179	179	.....
105	Social Security Tax .....	8,334	8,334	.....
	TOTAL .....	224,595	224,595	.....
	<b>BA 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
115	Basic Allowance For Subsistence .....	12,359	12,359	.....
120	Subsistence-In-Kind .....	22,956	22,956	.....
	TOTAL .....	35,315	35,315	.....
	<b>BA 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL</b>			
125	Accession Travel .....	3,071	3,071	.....
135	Operational Travel .....	1,353	1,353	.....
140	Rotational Travel .....	2,559	2,559	.....
145	Separation Travel .....	4,472	4,472	.....
	TOTAL .....	11,455	11,455	.....
	<b>BA 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS</b>			
180	Death Gratuities .....	1,200	1,200	.....
185	Unemployment Benefits .....	62,168	62,168	.....
216	SGLI Extra Hazard Payments .....	44,243	44,243	.....
	TOTAL .....	107,611	107,611	.....
	<b>TOTAL—MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY .....</b>	<b>558,344</b>	<b>558,344</b>	<b>.....</b>

### MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> ..... \$1,425,156,000  
 Budget estimate, 2014 ..... 1,019,322,000  
 Committee recommendation ..... 1,019,322,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112–25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,019,322,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	<b>BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS</b>			
5	Basic Pay .....	143,065	143,065	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
10	Retired Pay Accrual .....	41,321	41,321	.....
25	Basic Allowance For Housing .....	48,408	48,408	.....
30	Basic Allowance For Subsistence .....	6,073	6,073	.....
40	Special Pays .....	4,120	4,120	.....
45	Allowances .....	4,155	4,155	.....
50	Separation Pay .....	43,118	43,118	.....
55	Social Security Tax .....	10,937	10,937	.....
	<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>301,197</b>	<b>301,197</b>	<b>.....</b>
	<b>BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
60	Basic Pay .....	267,486	267,486	.....
65	Retired Pay Accrual .....	81,344	81,344	.....
80	Basic Allowance For Housing .....	89,578	89,578	.....
90	Special Pays .....	25,141	25,141	.....
95	Allowances .....	16,905	16,905	.....
100	Separation Pay .....	78,956	78,956	.....
105	Social Security Tax .....	20,463	20,463	.....
	<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>579,873</b>	<b>579,873</b>	<b>.....</b>
	<b>BA 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
115	Basic Allowance For Subsistence .....	45,965	45,965	.....
	<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>45,965</b>	<b>45,965</b>	<b>.....</b>
	<b>BA 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL</b>			
140	Rotational Travel .....	19,481	19,481	.....
145	Separation Travel .....	4,371	4,371	.....
	<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>23,852</b>	<b>23,852</b>	<b>.....</b>
	<b>BA 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS</b>			
175	Interest on Uniformed Services Savings .....	930	930	.....
180	Death Gratuities .....	7,000	7,000	.....
185	Unemployment Benefits .....	37,733	37,733	.....
216	SGLI Extra Hazard Payments .....	22,772	22,772	.....
	<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>68,435</b>	<b>68,435</b>	<b>.....</b>
	<b>TOTAL—MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS .....</b>	<b>1,019,322</b>	<b>1,019,322</b>	<b>.....</b>

### MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$1,286,783,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	867,087,000
Committee recommendation .....	867,087,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$867,087,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
<b>BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS</b>				
5	Basic Pay .....	131,007	131,007	.....
10	Retired Pay Accrual .....	32,097	32,097	.....
25	Basic Allowance For Housing .....	39,926	39,926	.....
30	Basic Allowance For Subsistence .....	4,696	4,696	.....
40	Special Pays .....	7,394	7,394	.....
45	Allowances .....	8,449	8,449	.....
55	Social Security Tax .....	10,022	10,022	.....
	<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>233,591</b>	<b>233,591</b>	<b>.....</b>
<b>BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>				
60	Basic Pay .....	229,591	229,591	.....
65	Retired Pay Accrual .....	56,250	56,250	.....
80	Basic Allowance For Housing .....	95,564	95,564	.....
90	Special Pays .....	29,262	29,262	.....
95	Allowances .....	25,480	25,480	.....
105	Social Security Tax .....	17,564	17,564	.....
	<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>453,711</b>	<b>453,711</b>	<b>.....</b>
<b>BA 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>				
115	Basic Allowance For Subsistence .....	24,177	24,177	.....
120	Subsistence-In-Kind .....	72,502	72,502	.....
	<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>96,679</b>	<b>96,679</b>	<b>.....</b>
<b>BA 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL</b>				
135	Operational Travel .....	4,003	4,003	.....
	<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>4,003</b>	<b>4,003</b>	<b>.....</b>
<b>BA 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS</b>				
180	Death Gratuities .....	600	600	.....
185	Unemployment Benefits .....	28,841	28,841	.....
216	SGLI Extra Hazard Payments .....	49,662	49,662	.....
	<b>TOTAL .....</b>	<b>79,103</b>	<b>79,103</b>	<b>.....</b>
	<b>TOTAL—MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE .....</b>	<b>867,087</b>	<b>867,087</b>	<b>.....</b>

**RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY**

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$156,893,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	40,952,000
Committee recommendation .....	40,952,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112–25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$40,952,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM**

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
10	Pay Group A Training (15 Days & Drills 24/48) .....	10,494	10,494	.....
80	Special Training .....	30,458	30,458	.....
	TOTAL .....	40,952	40,952	.....
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY .....	40,952	40,952	.....

## RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$39,335,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	20,238,000
Committee recommendation .....	20,238,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$20,238,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
70	School Training .....	1,753	1,753	.....
80	Special Training .....	18,100	18,100	.....
90	Administration And Support .....	385	385	.....
	TOTAL .....	20,238	20,238	.....
	TOTAL—RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY .....	20,238	20,238	.....

## RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$24,722,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	15,134,000
Committee recommendation .....	15,134,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$15,134,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
70	School Training .....	3,213	3,213	.....
80	Special Training .....	11,679	11,679	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
90	Administration And Support .....	242	242	.....
	TOTAL .....	15,134	15,134	.....
	TOTAL—RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS .....	15,134	15,134	.....

**RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE**

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$25,348,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	20,432,000
Committee recommendation .....	20,432,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112–25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$20,432,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM**

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
80	Special Training .....	20,432	20,432	.....
	TOTAL .....	20,432	20,432	.....
	TOTAL—RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE .....	20,432	20,432	.....

**NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY**

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$583,804,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	393,364,000
Committee recommendation .....	393,364,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112–25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$393,364,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM**

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
10	Pay Group A Training (15 Days & Drills 24/48) .....	50,638	50,638	.....
70	School Training .....	19,444	19,444	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
80	Special Training .....	286,096	286,096	.....
90	Administration And Support .....	37,186	37,186	.....
	TOTAL .....	393,364	393,364	.....
	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY .....	393,364	393,364	.....

#### NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$10,473,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	6,919,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,919,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,919,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
80	Special Training .....	6,919	6,919	.....
	TOTAL .....	6,919	6,919	.....
	TOTAL—NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE ....	6,919	6,919	.....

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The Committee recommends \$60,586,019,000 for the operation and maintenance accounts. These funds are available to fund overseas deployments and other activities by the services and Special Operations Forces to include financing flying hours, ship steaming days, ground operations, special airlift missions, increased ship and aircraft maintenance, logistics support, fuel purchases, base support, civilian personnel, personnel support costs, overseas transportation, communications support, facility management, and other operation and maintenance requirements.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$28,452,018,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	29,279,633,000
Committee recommendation .....	28,663,919,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$28,663,919,000. This is \$615,714,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
111	Maneuver Units .....	217,571	.....	- 217,571
	Transfer to Title II: Operating Costs to Support End Strength .....	.....	.....	- 217,571
112	Modular Support Brigades .....	8,266	.....	- 8,266
	Transfer to Title II: Operating Costs to Support End Strength .....	.....	.....	- 8,266
113	Echelons Above Brigade .....	56,626	.....	- 56,626
	Transfer to Title II: Operating Costs to Support End Strength .....	.....	.....	- 56,626
114	Theater Level Assets .....	4,209,942	4,197,338	- 12,604
	Transfer to Title II: Operating Costs to Support End Strength .....	.....	.....	- 12,604
115	Land Forces Operations Support .....	950,567	819,000	- 131,567
	Transfer to Title II: Operating Costs to Support End Strength .....	.....	.....	- 131,567
116	Aviation Assets .....	474,288	445,629	- 28,659
	Transfer to Title II: Operating Costs to Support End Strength .....	.....	.....	- 28,659
121	Force Readiness Operations Support .....	1,349,152	1,317,652	- 31,500
	Army-Requested Transfer to Other Procurement, Army and Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army: Rapid Equipping Force .....	.....	.....	- 31,500
122	Land Forces Systems Readiness .....	655,000	675,000	+ 20,000
	Transfer From JIEDDO: RQ-7 Sustainment .....	.....	.....	+ 20,000
123	Land Forces Depot Maintenance .....	301,563	301,563	.....
131	Base Operations Support .....	706,214	580,993	- 125,221
	Transfer to Title II: Operating Costs to Support End Strength .....	.....	.....	- 125,221
135	Additional Activities .....	11,519,498	11,489,498	- 30,000
	Maintain Program Affordability: Civilian Expeditionary Workforce .....	.....	.....	- 15,000
	Improving Funds Management: Align Afghanistan Re-integration Program with Funding Execution .....	.....	.....	- 15,000
136	Commander's Emergency Response Program .....	60,000	50,000	- 10,000
	Improving Funds Management: Prior Year Carryover .....	.....	.....	- 10,000
137	Reset .....	2,240,358	2,240,358	.....
411	Security Programs .....	1,402,994	1,402,994	.....
421	Servicewide Transportation .....	4,601,356	4,601,356	.....
424	Ammunition Management .....	17,418	17,418	.....
432	Servicewide Communications .....	110,000	110,000	.....
434	Other Personnel Support .....	94,820	54,820	- 40,000
	Budget Documentation Disparity: Overstatement of Fiscal Year 2013 Baseline .....	.....	.....	- 40,000
435	Other Service Support .....	54,000	54,000	.....
437	Real Estate Management .....	250,000	306,300	+ 56,300
	Authorization Adjustment: BuckEye Terrain Data System .....	.....	.....	+ 56,300
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Army .....	29,279,633	28,663,919	- 615,714

*Commanders Emergency Response Program.*—The Committee recommends \$50,000,000 for the Commanders Emergency Response Program [CERP] in Afghanistan in fiscal year 2014. The Committee directs the Army to submit monthly commitment, obligation, and expenditure data for CERP to the congressional defense committees no later than 30 days after each month.

*CERP Projects.*—The Committee includes language in the Commander’s Emergency Response Program [CERP] general provision that requires all projects executed under this authority shall be small scale, and shall not exceed \$20,000,000 in cost (including any ancillary or related elements in connection with such project).

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$5,839,934,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	6,067,993,000
Committee recommendation .....	5,329,960,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112–25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,329,960,000. This is \$738,033,000 below the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	Mission and Other Flight Operations .....	845,169	845,169	.....
1A3A	Aviation Technical Data and Engineering Services .....	600	600	.....
1A4A	Air Operations and Safety Support .....	17,489	17,489	.....
1A4N	Air Systems Support .....	78,491	78,491	.....
1A5A	Aircraft Depot Maintenance .....	162,420	162,420	.....
1A6A	Aviation Depot Operations Support .....	2,700	2,700	.....
1A9A	Aviation Logistics .....	50,130	50,130	.....
1B1B	Mission and Other Ship Operations .....	949,539	438,539	– 511,000
	Transfer to Title II: Ship Operations .....	.....	.....	– 511,000
1B2B	Ship Operations Support and Training .....	20,226	20,226	.....
1B4B	Ship Depot Maintenance .....	1,679,660	1,679,660	.....
1C1C	Combat Communications .....	37,760	37,760	.....
1C4C	Warfare Tactics .....	25,351	25,351	.....
1C5C	Operational Meteorology and Oceanography .....	20,045	20,045	.....
1C6C	Combat Support Forces .....	1,212,296	1,212,296	.....
1C7C	Equipment Maintenance .....	10,203	10,203	.....
1D3D	In-Service Weapons Systems Support .....	127,972	127,972	.....
1D4D	Weapons Maintenance .....	221,427	221,427	.....
BSM1	Facilities Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization .....	13,386	13,386	.....
BSS1	Base Operating Support .....	110,940	110,940	.....
2C1H	Expeditionary Health Service Systems .....	18,460	18,460	.....
2C3H	Coast Guard Support .....	227,033	.....	– 227,033
	Transfer to Department of Homeland Security .....	.....	.....	– 227,033
3B1K	Specialized Skill Training .....	50,269	50,269	.....
3B4K	Training Support .....	5,400	5,400	.....
4A1M	Administration .....	2,418	2,418	.....
4A2M	External Relations .....	516	516	.....
4A4M	Military Manpower and Personnel Management .....	5,107	5,107	.....
4A5M	Other Personnel Support .....	1,411	1,411	.....
4A6M	Service-wide Communications .....	2,545	2,545	.....
4B1N	Service-wide Transportation .....	153,427	153,427	.....
4B3N	Acquisition and Program Management .....	8,570	8,570	.....
4C1P	Naval Investigative Service .....	1,425	1,425	.....
9999	Classified Programs .....	5,608	5,608	.....
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Navy .....	6,067,993	5,329,960	– 738,033



## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> ..... \$4,116,340,000  
 Budget estimate, 2014 ..... 2,669,815,000  
 Committee recommendation ..... 2,603,329,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,603,329,000. This is \$66,486,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	Operational Forces .....	992,190	925,704	- 66,486
	Transfer to Title II: Operating Costs to Support End Strength .....			- 66,486
1A2A	Field Logistics .....	559,574	559,574	
1A3A	Depot Maintenance .....	570,000	570,000	
BSS1	Base Operating Support .....	69,726	69,726	
3B4D	Training Support .....	108,270	108,270	
4A3G	Servicewide Transportation .....	365,555	365,555	
4A4G	Administration .....	3,675	3,675	
999	Classified Programs .....	825	825	
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps .....	2,669,815	2,603,329	- 66,486

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> ..... \$9,249,736,000  
 Budget estimate, 2014 ..... 10,005,224,000  
 Committee recommendation ..... 9,964,224,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$9,964,224,000. This is \$41,000,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011A	Primary Combat Forces .....	1,712,393	1,712,393	
011C	Combat Enhancement Forces .....	836,104	802,104	- 34,000
	Improving Funds Management: Unjustified Growth in Contracts .....			- 34,000
011D	Air Operations Training .....	14,118	14,118	
011M	Depot Maintenance .....	1,373,480	1,373,480	
011R	Facilities Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization .....	122,712	122,712	
011Z	Base Operating Support .....	1,520,333	1,520,333	
012A	Global C3I and Early Warning .....	31,582	31,582	
012C	Other Combat Ops Spt Programs .....	147,524	140,524	- 7,000
	Improving Funds Management: Unjustified Growth in Contracts .....			- 7,000
013A	Launch Facilities .....	857	857	
013C	Space Control Systems .....	8,353	8,353	
015A	Combatant Commanders Direct Mission Support .....	50,495	50,495	

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
021A	Airlift Operations .....	3,091,133	3,091,133	.....
021D	Mobilization Preparedness .....	47,897	47,897	.....
021M	Depot Maintenance .....	387,179	387,179	.....
021R	Facilities Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization .....	7,043	7,043	.....
021Z	Base Support .....	68,382	68,382	.....
031A	Officer Acquisition .....	100	100	.....
031B	Recruit Training .....	478	478	.....
031Z	Base Support .....	19,256	19,256	.....
032A	Specialized Skill Training .....	12,845	12,845	.....
032B	Flight Training .....	731	731	.....
032C	Professional Development Education .....	607	607	.....
032D	Training Support .....	720	720	.....
033C	Off-Duty and Voluntary Education .....	152	152	.....
041A	Logistics Operations .....	86,273	86,273	.....
041B	Technical Support Activities .....	2,511	2,511	.....
041Z	Base Support .....	19,887	19,887	.....
042A	Administration .....	3,493	3,493	.....
042B	Servicewide Communications .....	152,086	152,086	.....
042G	Other Servicewide Activities .....	269,825	269,825	.....
043A	Security Programs .....	16,558	16,558	.....
044A	International Support .....	117	117	.....
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Air Force .....	10,005,224	9,964,224	- 41,000

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$7,714,079,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	6,435,078,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,435,078,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,435,078,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Special Operations Command .....	2,222,868	2,222,868	.....
	Defense Contract Audit Agency .....	27,781	27,781	.....
	Defense Contract Management Agency .....	45,746	45,746	.....
	Defense Human Resource Activity .....	.....	15,000	+ 15,000
	Beyond Yellow Ribbon Programs .....	.....	.....	+ 15,000
	Defense Information Systems Agency .....	76,348	76,348	.....
	Defense Legal Services Agency .....	99,538	99,538	.....
	Defense Media Activity .....	9,620	9,620	.....
	Department of Defense Education Activity .....	100,100	100,100	.....
	Defense Security Cooperation Agency .....	1,950,000	1,950,000	.....
	Office of the Secretary of Defense .....	38,227	38,227	.....
	Washington Headquarters Services .....	2,784	2,784	.....
	Classified Programs .....	1,862,066	1,847,066	- 15,000
	Classified Adjustment .....	.....	.....	- 15,000
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide .....	6,435,078	6,435,078	.....

*Beyond Yellow Ribbon Programs.*—The Committee provides an additional \$15,000,000 for the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Pro-

gram Office under the Defense Human Resource Activity and directs using the funds for National Guard and Reserve outreach and reintegration programs, National Guard and Reserve employment enhancement programs and peer-to-peer hotline services for mental health and suicide prevention initiatives. For the peer-to-peer hotline services, the Committee encourages continued coordination between the Defense Human Resource Activity, the National Guard Bureau and the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Reserve Affairs.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$157,887,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	42,935,000
Committee recommendation .....	42,935,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$42,935,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
113	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE .....	6,995	6,995	.....
115	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	2,332	2,332	.....
121	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	608	608	.....
131	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT .....	33,000	33,000	.....
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve .....	42,935	42,935	.....

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$55,924,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	55,700,000
Committee recommendation .....	55,700,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$55,700,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	Mission and Other Flight Operations .....	17,196	17,196	.....
1A3A	Intermediate Maintenance .....	200	200	.....
1A5A	Aircraft Depot Maintenance .....	6,000	6,000	.....
1B1B	Mission and Other Ship Operations .....	12,304	12,304	.....
1B4B	Ship Depot Maintenance .....	6,790	6,790	.....
1C6C	Combat Support Forces .....	13,210	13,210	.....
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve .....	55,700	55,700	.....

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$25,477,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	12,534,000
Committee recommendation .....	12,534,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$12,534,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
IA1A	Operating Forces .....	11,124	11,124	.....
BSS1	Base Operating Support .....	1,410	1,410	.....
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve .....	12,534	12,534	.....

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$60,618,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	32,849,000
Committee recommendation .....	32,849,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$32,849,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011M	Depot Maintenance .....	26,599	26,599	.....
011Z	Base Support .....	6,250	6,250	.....
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve .....	32,849	32,849	.....

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$392,448,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	199,371,000
Committee recommendation .....	199,371,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$199,371,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
111	Maneuver Units .....	29,314	29,314	.....
112	Modular Support Brigades .....	1,494	1,494	.....
113	Echelons Above Brigade .....	15,343	15,343	.....
114	Theater Level Assets .....	1,549	1,549	.....
116	Aviation Assets .....	64,504	64,504	.....
121	Force Readiness Operations Support .....	31,512	31,512	.....
131	Base Operations Support .....	42,179	42,179	.....
133	Management and Operational Headquarters .....	11,996	11,996	.....
432	Servicewide Communication .....	1,480	1,480	.....
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard .....	199,371	199,371	.....

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$34,500,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	22,200,000
Committee recommendation .....	22,200,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$22,200,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011G	Mission Support Operations .....	22,000	22,000	.....
	Total, Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard .....	22,000	22,000	.....

#### AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$325,000,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	279,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	279,000,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$279,000,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Power .....	279,000	279,000	.....
	Total, Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund .....	279,000	279,000	.....

## AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$5,124,167,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	7,726,720,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,944,920,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112–25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,944,920,000. This is \$781,800,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Infrastructure .....	278,650	278,650	.....
	Equipment and Transportation .....	2,180,382	1,398,582	– 781,800
	Training and Operations .....	626,550	626,550	.....
	Sustainment .....	2,735,603	2,735,603	.....
	Subtotal, Ministry of Defense .....	5,821,185	5,039,385	.....
	Infrastructure .....	.....	.....	.....
	Equipment and Transportation .....	54,696	54,696	.....
	Training and Operations .....	626,119	626,119	.....
	Sustainment .....	1,214,995	1,214,995	.....
	Subtotal, Ministry of Interior .....	1,895,810	1,895,810	.....
	Infrastructure .....	.....	.....	.....
	Equipment and Transportation .....	.....	.....	.....
	Training and Operations .....	2,500	2,500	.....
	Sustainment .....	7,225	7,225	.....
	Subtotal, Related Activities .....	9,725	9,725	.....
	Total, Afghanistan Security Forces Fund .....	7,726,720	6,944,920	– 781,800

*Afghanistan Security Forces Fund.*—The fiscal year 2014 request for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund [ASFF] is \$7,726,727,000. This amount is a 50 percent increase from the \$5,124,167,000 funded in fiscal year 2013. Of this request, \$5,111,720,000 is for training, equipping and sustainment of the Afghan national security forces [ANSF]. The request also includes \$2,615,000,000 for critical “enablers.” The critical enablers request procures mobile strike vehicles, night vision technology, howitzers, counter-improvised explosive device technology for the ANSF and \$1,126,900,000 for aircraft to support the Afghanistan Air Force and the Afghan Special Mission Wing.

In June, the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction [SIGAR] issued a report raising doubts on the Afghans’ ability to fly and maintain Mi-17 aircraft for the Afghan Special Mission Wing. The report also raised concern over the Afghan military’s ability to maintain the aircraft and lack of Afghan pilots. In responding to the SIGAR’s report, the Department stated that the Special Mission Wing is only 1 year old and logistics and maintenance capabilities are being developed. The Committee is also concerned about conducting additional business with the Russian com-

pany, Rosoboronexport, the sole supplier of the Mi-17 helicopter. Therefore, the Committee recommends a reduction to ASFF enablers in the amount of \$365,000,000 for the Mi-17 helicopter and maintains a general provision prohibiting the Department from entering into a contract with Rosoboronexport.

The Committee also recommends a reduction to ASFF enablers in the amount of \$416,800,000 for additional light air support [LAS] aircraft. The budget request proposed funding for an additional 20 light support aircraft for Afghanistan. The original requirement that was outlined in 2009 identified a need for only 20 aircraft; after several setbacks, that contract was recently awarded by the Air Force with fiscal year 2012 funds. However, the fiscal year 2014 budget requests funding for an additional 20 aircraft even though the Department of Defense has not identified a requirement for more LAS aircraft beyond the 20 that were awarded.

*Recruiting and Retention of Women in Afghanistan National Security Forces.*—Of the funds provided for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund, the Committee directs that \$15,000,000 be directed toward the recruitment and retention of women in the Afghan security forces. The funds should be focused on, but not limited to, providing appropriate equipment for female security and police forces, modification of facilities to allow for female participation within the security and police forces and training to include literacy training for women recruits but also gender awareness training for male counterparts. The Committee directs the Department of Defense to provide periodic updates to the congressional defense committees on the efforts to increase women participation in the Afghan armed forces.

*Building Partnership Capacity [BPC] Program.*—The BPC program is an important force multiplier that enables the United States military to build the capacity of partner nations through the training and equipping of their counterterrorism, counternarcotics, and counterinsurgency units. The Committee concurs with the stated intent of the Air Force that the indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity light air support [LAS] contract for Afghanistan will not be used by any other nation for future BPC program purchases. According to Air Force testimony, the BPC program would provide a LAS capability to as many as 27 nations. This would create a program of record in excess of \$9,500,000,000 if all other nations purchase at a minimum the same number of planes as Afghanistan. As a result, this program should be defined as a Major Defense Acquisition Program [MDAP] as defined under chapter 144 of title 10 of United States Code, with all provisions and reporting requirements of such chapter applying to the program, and should be reviewed within the regulatory review process established by the Secretary of Defense for MDAPs. The Committee directs the acquisition executive to ensure all acquisitions under this program be in accordance with Department of Defense regulations and procedures.

#### PROCUREMENT

The Committee recommends \$5,171,602,000 for the procurement accounts. The overseas contingency operations funding supports our forces engaged in Operation Enduring Freedom and other con-

tingency operations. The Committee provides funding to replace combat losses and equipment left behind in theater, augment and upgrade equipment for deploying units, sustain munitions and other war consumables, and reset the units returning home to an equipment-ready status. Funding adjustments have been made in instances where the requirement was poorly defined, funding was requested ahead of need or program execution has been delayed.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$550,700,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	771,788,000
Committee recommendation .....	633,300,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$633,300,000. This is \$138,488,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	Saturn Arch [MIP] .....	48,000	.....	- 48,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unjustified growth .....	.....	.....	- 48,000
4	MQ-1 UAV .....	31,988	.....	- 31,988
	Maintaining program affordability: Maintain same quantity .....	.....	.....	- 31,988
9	AH-64 Apache Block IIB New Build .....	142,000	142,000	.....
11	Kiowa Warrior WRA .....	163,800	105,300	- 58,500
	Maintaining program affordability: Maintain same quantity .....	.....	.....	- 58,500
14	CH-47 Helicopter .....	386,000	386,000	.....
	Total, Aircraft Procurement, Army .....	771,788	633,300	- 138,488

*Saturn Arch.*—The Army requests \$48,000,000 to procure four Saturn Arch aircraft. In March 2013, the Army received the mission for the Saturn Arch program as well as eight aircraft to complete the mission. The fiscal year 2014 budget requests an additional four aircraft for this mission. The Committee recommends no funds for the additional aircraft since the Army has provided no justification for these aircraft.

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$67,951,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	128,645,000
Committee recommendation .....	128,645,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$128,645,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.



## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3	Hellfire Sys Summary .....	54,000	54,000	.....
7	Guided MLRS Rocket [GMLRS] .....	39,045	39,045	.....
10	Army Tactical MSL System [ATACMS] .....	35,600	35,600	.....
	Total, Missile Procurement, Army .....	128,645	128,645	.....

## PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> ..... \$338,493,000  
 Budget estimate, 2014 ..... 180,900,000  
 Committee recommendation ..... 190,900,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$190,900,000. This is \$10,000,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	Ctg, 5.56mm, All Types .....	4,400	4,400	.....
4	CTG, Handgun, All Types .....	1,500	1,500	.....
5	CTG, .50 Cal, All Types .....	5,000	5,000	.....
8	CTG, 30mm, All Types .....	60,000	60,000	.....
10	60MM Mortar, All Types .....	5,000	5,000	.....
14	Artillery Cartridges, 75MM & 105MM, All Types .....	10,000	20,000	+ 10,000
	Army unfunded request: 105MM Artillery High Explosive .....	.....	.....	+ 10,000
15	Artillery Projectile, 155MM, All Types .....	10,000	10,000	.....
16	Proj 155mm Extended Range M982 .....	11,000	11,000	.....
21	Rocket, Hydra 70, All Types .....	57,000	57,000	.....
22	Demolition Munitions, All Types .....	4,000	4,000	.....
23	Grenades, All Types .....	3,000	3,000	.....
24	Signals, All Types .....	8,000	8,000	.....
28	Cad/Pad All Types .....	2,000	2,000	.....
	Total, Procurement of Ammunition, Army .....	180,900	190,900	+ 10,000

## OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> ..... \$1,740,157,000  
 Budget estimate, 2014 ..... 603,123,000  
 Committee recommendation ..... 603,902,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$603,902,000. This is \$779,000 above the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
13	Mine-Resistant Ambush-Protected [MRAP] Mods .....	321,040	321,040	.....
60	Installation Info Infrastructure Mod Program .....	25,000	5,000	- 20,000
	Improving funds management: excess to need .....	.....	.....	- 20,000
67	DCGS-A [MIP] .....	7,200	7,200	.....
71	CI HUMINT Auto Reporting and Coll[CHARCS] .....	5,980	5,980	.....
74	Lightweight Counter Mortar Radar .....	57,800	57,800	.....
78	Family Of Persistent Surveillance Capabilities .....	15,300	15,300	.....
79	Counterintelligence/Security Countermeasures .....	4,221	.....	- 4,221
	Improving funds management: unobligated prior year funds .....	.....	.....	- 4,221
91	Artillery Accuracy Equip .....	1,834	1,834	.....
96	Mod of In-Svc Equip [LLDR] .....	21,000	21,000	.....
98	Counterfire Radars .....	85,830	85,830	.....
146	Force Provider .....	51,654	51,654	.....
147	Field Feeding Equipment .....	6,264	6,264	.....
185	Rapid Equipping Soldier Support Equipment .....	.....	25,000	.....
	Army requested transfer from Operation and Maintenance, Army .....	.....	.....	+ 25,000
	Total, Other Procurement, Army .....	603,123	603,902	+ 779

## AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$215,698,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	240,696,000
Committee recommendation .....	211,176,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$211,176,000. This is \$29,520,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
11	H-1 Upgrades [UH-1Y/AH-1Z] .....	29,520	.....	- 29,520
	Reducing duplication: combat loss added in Department of Defense Fiscal Year 2013 Appropriations Act .....	.....	.....	- 29,520
26	MQ-8 UAV .....	13,100	13,100	.....
31	AV-8 Series .....	57,652	57,652	.....
33	F-18 Series .....	35,500	35,500	.....
39	EP-3 Series .....	2,700	2,700	.....
49	Special Project Aircraft .....	3,375	3,375	.....
54	Common ECM Equipment .....	49,183	49,183	.....
55	Common Avionics Changes .....	4,190	4,190	.....
59	MAGTF EW for Aviation .....	20,700	20,700	.....
65	Spares and Repair Parts .....	24,776	24,776	.....
	Total, Aircraft Procurement, Navy .....	240,696	211,176	- 29,520

## WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$22,500,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	86,500,000
Committee recommendation .....	86,500,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$86,500,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
9	Hellfire .....	27,000	27,000	.....
10	Laser Maverick .....	58,000	58,000	.....
11	Stand off Precision Guided Munitions [SOPGM] .....	1,500	1,500	.....
	Total, Weapons Procurement, Navy .....	86,500	86,500	.....

## PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$283,059,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	206,821,000
Committee recommendation .....	206,821,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$206,821,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	General Purpose Bombs .....	11,424	11,424	.....
2	Airborne Rockets, All Types .....	30,332	30,332	.....
3	Machine Gun Ammunition .....	8,282	8,282	.....
6	Air Expendable Countermeasures .....	31,884	31,884	.....
11	60MM Mortar, All Types .....	409	409	.....
12	Small Arms & Landing Party Ammo .....	11,976	11,976	.....
13	Pyrotechnic and Demolition .....	2,447	2,447	.....
14	Ammunition Less Than \$5 Million .....	7,692	7,692	.....
15	Small Arms Ammunition .....	13,461	13,461	.....
16	Linear Charges, All Types .....	3,310	3,310	.....
17	40 Mm, All Types .....	6,244	6,244	.....
18	60mm, All Types .....	3,368	3,368	.....
19	81mm, All Types .....	9,162	9,162	.....
20	120mm, All Types .....	10,266	10,266	.....
21	Ctg 25mm, All Types .....	1,887	1,887	.....
22	Grenades, All Types .....	1,611	1,611	.....
23	Rockets, All Types .....	37,459	37,459	.....
24	Artillery, All Types .....	970	970	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
25	Demolition Munitions, All Types .....	418	418	.....
26	Fuze, All Types .....	14,219	14,219	.....
	Total, Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps .....	206,821	206,821	.....

## OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$98,882,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	17,968,000
Committee recommendation .....	17,968,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$17,968,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
131	Tactical Vehicles .....	17,968	17,968	.....
	Total, Other Procurement, Navy .....	17,968	17,968	.....

## PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$822,054,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	129,584,000
Committee recommendation .....	126,686,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$126,686,000. This is \$2,898,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
10	Javelin .....	29,334	29,334	.....
11	Follow On To SMAW .....	105	105	.....
13	Modification Kits .....	16,081	13,183	-2,898
	Maintain Program Affordability: TOW Unit Cost Growth .....			-2,898
15	Repair and Test Equipment .....	16,081	16,081	.....
17	Modification Kits .....	2,831	2,831	.....
18	Items Under \$5 million (Comm & Elec) .....	8,170	8,170	.....
23	Intelligence Support Equipment .....	2,700	2,700	.....
26	RQ-11 .....	2,830	2,830	.....
29	Common Computer Resources .....	4,866	4,866	.....
30	Command Post Systems .....	265	265	.....
42	Environmental Control Equip Assort .....	114	114	.....
43	Bulk Liquid Equipment .....	523	523	.....

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
44	Tactical Fuel Systems .....	365	365	.....
45	Power Equipment Assorted .....	2,004	2,004	.....
47	EOD Systems .....	42,930	42,930	.....
57	Family of Construction Equipment .....	385	385	.....
	Total, Procurement Marine Corps .....	129,584	126,686	-2,898

### AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$305,600,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	115,668,000
Committee recommendation .....	115,668,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$115,668,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
32	Large Aircraft Infrared Countermeasures .....	94,050	94,050	.....
52	U-2 Mods .....	11,300	11,300	.....
59	C-130 .....	1,618	1,618	.....
64	RC-135 .....	2,700	2,700	.....
79	Aircraft Replacement Support Equip .....	6,000	6,000	.....
	Total, Aircraft Procurement, Air Force .....	115,668	115,668	.....

### MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$34,350,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	24,200,000
Committee recommendation .....	24,200,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$24,200,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
5	Predator Hellfire Missile .....	24,200	24,200	.....
	Total, Missile Procurement, Air Force .....	24,200	24,200	.....

## PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$116,203,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	159,965,000
Committee recommendation .....	159,965,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$159,965,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Rockets .....	326	326	.....
2	Cartridges .....	17,634	17,634	.....
4	General Purpose Bombs .....	37,514	37,514	.....
5	Joint Direct Attack Munition .....	84,459	84,459	.....
11	Flares .....	14,973	14,973	.....
12	Fuzes .....	3,859	3,859	.....
14	Small Arms .....	1,200	1,200	.....
	Total, Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force .....	159,965	159,965	.....

## OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$2,680,270,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	2,574,846,000
Committee recommendation .....	2,554,596,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,554,596,000. This is \$20,250,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
22	Weather Observation Forecast .....	1,800	1,800	.....
46	Milsatcom Space .....	5,695	5,695	.....
59	Contingency Operations .....	60,600	60,600	.....
61	Mobility Equipment .....	68,000	68,000	.....
68	Defense Space Reconnaissance Prog. ....	58,250	58,250	.....
68A	Classified Programs .....	2,380,501	2,360,251	-20,250
	Classified adjustment .....	.....	.....	-20,250
	Total, Other Procurement, Air Force .....	2,574,846	2,554,596	-20,250

## PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$188,099,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	111,275,000
Committee recommendation .....	111,275,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$111,275,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
12	Teleport Program .....	4,760	4,760	.....
62	Ordnance Replenishment .....	2,841	2,841	.....
66	Intelligence Systems .....	13,300	13,300	.....
84	Soldier Protection and Survival Systems .....	8,034	8,034	.....
89	Operational Enhancements .....	3,354	3,354	.....
	Classified Programs .....	78,986	78,986	.....
	Total, Procurement, Defense-Wide .....	111,275	111,275	.....

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

The Committee recommends \$88,708,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$29,660,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	7,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	13,500,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$13,500,000. This is \$6,500,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
60	Soldier Support and Survivability .....	.....	6,500	+ 6,500
	Army identified transfer for Rapid Equipping Force from Operation and maintenance, Army .....	.....	.....	+ 6,500
87	Family of Heavy Tactical Vehicles .....	7,000	7,000	.....
	Total, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army .....	7,000	13,500	+ 6,500

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$52,519,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	34,426,000
Committee recommendation .....	.....

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends no appropriation.

## RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$53,150,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	9,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	9,000,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$9,000,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Classified Programs .....	9,000	9,000	.....
	Total, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force .....	9,000	9,000	.....

## RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$112,387,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	66,208,000
Committee recommendation .....	66,208,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$66,208,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Classified Programs .....	66,208	66,208	.....
	Total, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide .....	66,208	66,208	.....

## REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS

## DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$243,600,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	264,910,000
Committee recommendation .....	264,910,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$264,910,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the programs recommended by the Committee:



[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Prepositioned War Reserve Stocks .....	44,732	44,732	.....
	Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Army .....	44,732	44,732	.....
	Transportation .....	88,500	88,500	.....
	Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Air Force .....	88,500	88,500	.....
	Defense Logistics Agency .....	131,678	131,678	.....
	Total, Defense Working Capital Fund, Defense-wide ...	131,678	131,678	.....
	Grand Total, Defense Working Capital Funds .....	264,910	264,910	.....

## OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS

## DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$993,898,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	904,201,000
Committee recommendation .....	898,701,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$898,701,000. This is \$5,500,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Operation and Maintenance:			
	In-House Care .....	375,958	375,958	.....
	Private Sector Care .....	382,560	377,060	- 5,500
	Improving funds management: Transitional Assistance Management Program unjustified growth ..	.....	.....	- 5,500
	Consolidated Health Care .....	132,749	132,749	.....
	Information Management/IT .....	2,238	2,238	.....
	Management Headquarters .....	460	460	.....
	Education and Training .....	10,236	10,236	.....
	Total .....	904,201	898,701	- 5,500

## DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$469,025,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	376,305,000
Committee recommendation .....	376,305,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$376,305,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
010	Drug Interdiction And Counter-Drug Activities .....	376,305	376,305	.....
	Total, Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities ...	376,305	376,305	.....

## JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$1,622,614,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	1,000,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	871,725,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$871,725,000. This is \$128,275,000 below the budget estimate.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table details the program recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2014 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	ATTACK THE NETWORK .....	417,700	395,200	- 22,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Casual whisper delayed start .....			- 4,000
	Maintain program affordability: JCAST excess funding ...			- 10,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Net exploitation effort delayed new start .....			- 5,500
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Concurrency .....			- 3,000
2	DEFEAT THE DEVICE .....	248,886	189,386	- 59,500
	Budget documentation disparity: Handheld IED device ...			- 10,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Personnel borne device .....			- 5,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Unjustified sentinel hawk request .....			- 2,000
	Transfer to Line #122 Army O&M OCO for RQ-7 sustainment .....			- 20,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unjustified sentinel hawk request .....			- 10,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Unjustified siren program request .....			- 5,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Unjustified vehicle based IED request .....			- 7,500
3	TRAIN THE FORCE .....	106,000	106,000	.....
4	STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE .....	227,414	181,139	- 46,275
	Authorization adjustment: Maintain level of effort .....			- 45,000
	Maintain program affordability: Supplies reduction .....			- 1,275
	Total, JIEDDO .....	1,000,000	871,725	- 128,275

*Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization.*— JIEDDO continues to support an important mission protecting our troops from improvised explosive devices [IED]. The fiscal year 2014 request is \$1,000,000,000 in overseas contingency operations. The Committee remains concerned with lack of justification and redundancy in the JIEDDO program. Therefore, the Committee re-

duces the fiscal year 2014 request by \$128,275,000 for specific activities that have been terminated since the budget was submitted, lack of justification, or are duplicative of other ongoing counter-IED efforts. The Committee concurs with S. 1197, the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2014, as reported, in regard to training the force; however, retains the funding in JIEDDO for fiscal year 2014. The Committee directs all future counter-IED training to be conducted by the appropriate service. The Committee also rescinds \$59,000,000 as a result of terminated programs.

Lastly, the Committee is concerned with JIEDDO's use of contractors. JIEDDO has 93 individual service contracts which authorizes 2,333 contractor positions, some of which are doing inherit government work. In addition, the committee is concerned with the costs of the contractors. For example, the Contractor Support Services contract is for 361 people and costs \$83,400,000, which is roughly \$230,700 per position; whereas the costs of a government civilian is approximately \$100,000 less per full-time equivalent. As a result, the Committee recommends a 25 percent reduction or \$45,000,000 to the staff and infrastructure request.

#### JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	\$15,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends no funding for the Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund.

#### OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriations, 2013 <sup>1</sup> .....	\$10,766,000
Budget estimate, 2014 .....	10,766,000
Committee recommendation .....	10,766,000

<sup>1</sup> Does not reflect the March 1, 2013, sequester of funds under Public Law 112-25.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$10,766,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

#### GENERAL PROVISIONS—THIS TITLE

SEC. 9001. Provides that funds made available in this title are in addition to amounts appropriated or made available for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014.

SEC. 9002. Provides special transfer authority of up to \$4,000,000,000 of funds in this title, subject to the terms and conditions in this act.

SEC. 9003. Provides the authority to obligate supervision and administration costs associated with overseas construction projects at the time the project was awarded.

SEC. 9004. Provides for the procurement of motor vehicles for use by military and civilian employees of the Department of Defense in Afghanistan.

SEC. 9005. Provides authority up to \$50,000,000 of funds in this title to fund the Commander's Emergency Response Program.

SEC. 9006. Provides for the use of funds to lift and sustain coalition forces supporting military and stability operations in Iraq and

Afghanistan and requires quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees.

SEC. 9007. Prohibits the use of funds made available in this act to establish any permanent military installation or base in Iraq or Afghanistan.

SEC. 9008. Prohibits the use of funds in this act to contravene laws enacted or regulations promulgated to implement the United Nations Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment.

SEC. 9009. Requires the Afghanistan Resource Oversight Council to oversee several programs in Afghanistan.

SEC. 9010. Provides authority for funding the Task Force for Business and Stability Operations.

SEC. 9012. Provides authority for funding the activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq.

SEC. 9013. *Recessions.*—The Committee recommends a general provision rescinding funds from prior years as displayed below:

	Amount
2009/XXXX Appropriations	
General Provisions:	
Retroactive Stop Loss Special Pay Program .....	\$50,900,000

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7, RULE XVI OF THE  
STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 7 of rule XVI requires that Committee reports on general appropriations bills identify each Committee amendment to the House bill “which proposes an item of appropriation which is not made to carry out the provisions of an existing law, a treaty stipulation, or an act or resolution previously passed by the Senate during that session.”

The Committee is filing an original bill, which is not covered under this rule, but reports this information in the spirit of full disclosure.

The Committee recommends funding for the following accounts which currently lack authorization for fiscal year 2014:

Military Personnel, Army  
Military Personnel, Navy  
Military Personnel, Marine Corps  
Military Personnel, Air Force  
Reserve Personnel, Army  
Reserve Personnel, Navy  
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps  
Reserve Personnel, Air Force  
National Guard Personnel, Army  
National Guard Personnel, Air Force  
Operation and Maintenance, Army  
Operation and Maintenance, Navy  
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps  
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force  
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide  
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve  
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve  
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve  
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve  
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard  
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard  
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces  
Environmental Restoration, Army  
Environmental Restoration, Navy  
Environmental Restoration, Air Force  
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide  
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites  
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid  
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account  
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund  
Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund  
Aircraft Procurement, Army  
Missile Procurement, Army  
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army

Procurement of Ammunition, Army  
 Other Procurement, Army  
 Aircraft Procurement, Navy  
 Weapons Procurement, Navy  
 Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps  
 Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy  
 Carrier Replacement Program  
 Virginia Class Submarine  
 Virginia Class Submarine [AP]  
 CVN Refueling Overhaul  
 CVN Refueling Overhauls [AP]  
 DDG-1000 Program  
 DDG-51 Destroyer  
 DDG-51 Destroyer [AP]  
 Littoral Combat Ship  
 Afloat Forward Staging Base  
 Joint High Speed Vessel  
 Moored Training Ship  
 LCAC Service Life Extension Program  
 Outfitting, Post Delivery, Conversions and First Destination  
 Transportation  
 Completion of Prior year Shipbuilding Programs  
 Other Procurement, Navy  
 Procurement, Marine Corps  
 Aircraft Procurement, Air Force  
 Missile Procurement, Air Force  
 Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force  
 Other Procurement, Air Force  
 Procurement, Defense-Wide  
 National Guard and Reserve Equipment  
 Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army  
 Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy  
 Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force  
 Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide  
 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense  
 Defense Working Capital Funds  
 National Defense Sealift Fund  
 Defense Health Program  
 Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense  
 Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense  
 Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund  
 Office of the Inspector General  
 Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System  
 Fund  
 Intelligence Community Management Account  
 Ship Modernization, Operations and Sustainment Fund

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7(c), RULE XXVI OF THE  
STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Pursuant to paragraph 7(c) of rule XXVI, on August 1, 2013, the Committee ordered favorably an original bill (S. 1429) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2014, and for other purposes, provided that the bill be subject to further amendment and that the bill be consistent with its spending allocations, by a recorded vote of 22–8, a quorum being present. The vote was as follows:

Yeas	Nays
Chairwoman Mikulski	Mr. Shelby
Mr. Leahy	Mr. McConnell
Mr. Harkin	Mr. Alexander
Mrs. Murray	Mr. Graham
Mrs. Feinstein	Mr. Coats
Mr. Durbin	Mr. Hoeven
Mr. Johnson	Mr. Johanns
Ms. Landrieu	Mr. Boozman
Mr. Reed	
Mr. Pryor	
Mr. Tester	
Mr. Udall	
Mrs. Shaheen	
Mr. Merkley	
Mr. Begich	
Mr. Coons	
Mr. Cochran	
Ms. Collins	
Ms. Murkowski	
Mr. Kirk	
Mr. Blunt	
Mr. Moran	

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 12, RULE XXVI OF THE  
STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 12 of rule XXVI requires that Committee reports on a bill or joint resolution repealing or amending any statute or part of any statute include “(a) the text of the statute or part thereof which is proposed to be repealed; and (b) a comparative print of that part of the bill or joint resolution making the amendment and of the statute or part thereof proposed to be amended, showing by stricken-through type and italics, parallel columns, or other appropriate typographical devices the omissions and insertions which would be made by the bill or joint resolution if enacted in the form recommended by the Committee.”

The Committee bill as recommended contains no such provisions.

## BUDGETARY IMPACT OF BILL

PREPARED IN CONSULTATION WITH THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE PURSUANT TO SEC.  
308(a), PUBLIC LAW 93-344, AS AMENDED

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget authority		Outlays	
	Committee guidance <sup>1</sup>	Amount in bill	Committee guidance	Amount in bill
Comparison of amounts in the bill with Committee guidance to its subcommittees of amounts in the budget resolution for 2014: Subcommittee on Defense:				
Mandatory .....	NA	514	NA	<sup>2</sup> 514
Discretionary .....	516,624	594,411	NA	<sup>2</sup> 585,968
Security .....	516,416	594,203	NA	NA
Nonsecurity .....	208	208	NA	NA
Projections of outlays associated with the recommendation:				
2014 .....	.....	.....	.....	<sup>3</sup> 375,597
2015 .....	.....	.....	.....	131,658
2016 .....	.....	.....	.....	47,307
2017 .....	.....	.....	.....	20,762
2018 and future years .....	.....	.....	.....	13,671
Financial assistance to State and local governments for 2014 .....	NA	.....	NA	.....

<sup>1</sup> There is no section 302(a) allocation to the Committee in fiscal year 2014.

<sup>2</sup> Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

<sup>3</sup> Excludes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

NA: Not applicable.

Consistent with the funding recommended in the bill for overseas contingency operations and in accordance with section 251(b)(2)(A)(II) of the BBEDCA of 1985, the Committee anticipates that the Budget Committee will provide, at the appropriate time, section 302(a) allocation for the Committee on Appropriations reflecting an upward adjustment of \$77,787,000,000 in budget authority plus associated outlays.



COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014  
 (In thousands of dollars)

Item	2013 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	
				2013 appropriation	Budget estimate
<b>TITLE I</b>					
<b>MILITARY PERSONNEL</b>					
Military Personnel, Army .....	40,146,213	41,037,790	40,796,005	+ 649,792	- 241,785
Military Personnel, Navy .....	26,866,844	27,824,444	27,606,615	+ 739,771	- 217,829
Military Personnel, Marine Corps .....	12,515,011	12,905,216	12,720,114	+ 205,103	- 185,102
Military Personnel, Air Force .....	28,015,805	28,519,877	28,252,060	+ 236,255	- 267,817
Reserve Personnel, Army .....	4,450,941	4,565,261	4,430,784	- 20,157	- 134,477
Reserve Personnel, Navy .....	1,871,550	1,891,936	1,846,795	- 24,755	- 45,141
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps .....	657,382	677,499	657,841	+ 459	- 19,658
Reserve Personnel, Air Force .....	1,720,132	1,758,629	1,719,497	- 655	- 39,132
National Guard Personnel, Army .....	7,971,044	8,041,268	7,959,072	- 11,972	- 82,196
National Guard Personnel, Air Force .....	3,149,828	3,177,961	3,145,144	- 4,684	- 32,817
Total, title I, Military Personnel .....	127,364,770	130,399,881	129,133,927	+ 1,769,157	- 1,265,954
<b>TITLE II</b>					
<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</b>					
Operation and Maintenance, Army .....	35,362,531	35,073,077	36,938,580	+ 1,576,049	+ 1,865,503
Operation and Maintenance, Navy .....	41,559,535	39,945,237	41,613,205	+ 53,670	+ 1,667,968
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps .....	6,026,999	6,254,650	6,359,736	+ 332,737	+ 105,086
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force .....	34,734,507	37,270,842	37,626,333	+ 2,891,826	+ 355,491
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide .....	31,820,931	32,997,693	32,597,068	+ 776,137	- 400,625
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve .....	3,178,723	3,095,036	3,096,436	- 82,287	+ 1,400
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve .....	1,254,689	1,197,752	1,208,552	- 46,137	+ 10,800
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve .....	277,011	263,317	261,317	- 15,694	- 2,000
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve .....	3,257,020	3,164,607	3,148,307	- 108,713	- 16,300
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard .....	7,144,720	7,054,196	7,082,599	- 62,121	+ 28,403
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard .....	6,485,756	6,565,004	6,505,204	+ 19,448	- 60,800

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL  
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014—Continued  
(In thousands of dollars)

Item	2013 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with	
				2013 appropriation	Budget estimate
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Account	.....	5,000	.....	.....	-5,000
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces	13,498	13,606	13,606	+ 108	.....
Environmental Restoration, Army	335,478	298,815	298,815	- 36,663	.....
Environmental Restoration, Navy	310,184	316,103	316,103	+ 5,919	.....
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	528,565	439,820	439,820	- 88,745	.....
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide	11,118	10,757	10,757	- 361	.....
Environmental Restoration: Formerly Used Defense Sites	287,164	237,443	287,443	+ 279	+ 50,000
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid	108,615	109,500	109,500	+ 885	.....
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account	518,426	528,455	528,455	+ 10,029	.....
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund	50,132	256,031	131,331	+ 81,199	- 124,700
Total, title II, Operation and maintenance	173,265,602	175,097,941	178,573,167	+ 5,307,565	+ 3,475,226
TITLE III					
Total, title III, Procurement	100,218,282	99,106,242	98,368,753	- 1,849,529	- 737,489
Fiscal year 2014	(100,218,282)	(98,153,503)	(98,368,753)	(- 1,849,529)	(+ 215,250)
Advance appropriations fiscal year 2015	.....	(952,739)	.....	.....	(- 952,739)
TITLE IV					
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION					
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army	8,665,177	7,989,102	7,576,342	- 1,088,835	- 412,760
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy	16,941,012	15,974,780	15,403,145	- 1,537,867	- 571,635
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force	25,399,175	25,702,946	24,945,541	- 453,634	- 757,405
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide	18,607,358	17,667,108	17,695,487	- 91,171	+ 28,379
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	223,473	186,300	186,300	- 37,173	.....
Total, title IV, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation	69,836,195	67,520,236	65,806,815	- 4,029,380	- 1,713,421

TITLE V					
REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS					
Defense Working Capital Funds .....	1,514,183	1,545,827	1,695,827	+ 181,644	+ 150,000
National Defense Sealift Fund .....	696,919	730,700	608,378	- 88,541	- 122,322
Total, title V, Revolving and Management Funds .....	2,211,102	2,276,527	2,304,205	+ 93,103	+ 27,678
TITLE VI					
OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS					
Defense Health Program:					
Operation and maintenance .....	30,844,406	31,653,734	31,617,225	+ 772,819	- 36,509
Procurement .....	521,073	671,181	671,181	+ 150,108	.....
Research, development, test and evaluation .....	1,306,650	729,613	1,318,613	+ 11,963	+ 589,000
Total, Defense Health Program .....	32,672,129	33,054,528	33,607,019	+ 934,890	+ 552,491
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense:					
Operation and maintenance .....	635,004	451,572	451,572	- 183,432	.....
Procurement .....	18,567	1,368	1,368	- 17,199	.....
Research, development, test and evaluation .....	646,497	604,183	604,183	- 42,314	.....
Total, Chemical Agents .....	1,300,068	1,057,123	1,057,123	- 242,945	.....
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense .....	1,157,733	938,545	1,068,545	- 89,188	+ 130,000
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund .....	.....	98,800	.....	.....	- 98,800
Office of the Inspector General .....	349,859	312,131	348,031	- 1,828	+ 35,900
Total, title VI, Other Department of Defense Programs .....	35,479,789	35,461,127	36,080,718	+ 600,929	+ 619,591
TITLE VII					
RELATED AGENCIES					
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund .....	514,000	514,000	514,000	.....	.....
Intelligence Community Management Account (ICMA) .....	533,716	568,271	568,671	+ 34,955	+ 400
Total, title VII, Related agencies .....	1,047,716	1,082,271	1,082,671	+ 34,955	+ 400

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL  
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2013 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with	
				2013 appropriation	Budget estimate
TITLE VIII GENERAL PROVISIONS					
Additional transfer authority (Sec. 8005)	(4,000,000)	(4,000,000)	(5,000,000)	(+ 1,000,000)	(+ 1,000,000)
Indian Financing Act incentives (Sec. 8019)	14,980	.....	.....	- 14,980	.....
FFRDC (Sec. 8023)	.....	.....	- 40,000	- 40,000	- 40,000
Rescissions (Sec. 8040)	- 2,142,447	- 1,021,571	- 1,599,865	+ 542,582	- 578,294
O&M, Defense-wide transfer authority (Sec. 8051)	.....	(30,000)	(30,000)	(+ 30,000)	.....
Global Security Contingency Fund (O&M, Defense-wide transfer) (Sec. 8068)	.....	(200,000)	(200,000)	(+ 200,000)	.....
Fisher House Foundation	3,995	.....	.....	- 3,995	.....
National grants (Sec. 8077)	43,942	.....	20,000	- 23,942	+ 20,000
Shipbuilding & conversion funds, Navy (Sec. 8081)	7,989	8,000	8,000	+ 11	.....
Fisher House transfer authority (Sec. 8093)	.....	(11,000)	(11,000)	(+ 11,000)	.....
ICMA transfer authority (Sec. 8088)	.....	(20,000)	(20,000)	(+ 20,000)	.....
Defense Health O&M transfer authority (Sec. 8098)	.....	(143,087)	(143,087)	(+ 143,087)	.....
Alternative Energy Resources for Deployed					
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide (Sec. 8105)	269,644	.....	.....	- 269,644	.....
(transfer authority)	.....	(273,300)	.....	.....	(- 273,300)
Ship Modernization, Operations, Sustainment Fund (Sec. 8102)	2,378,956	.....	324,400	- 2,054,556	+ 324,400
Eliminate civilian pay raise (Sec. 8119)	- 72,718	.....	.....	+ 72,718	.....
Sexual assault special victims program (Sec. 8115)	.....	.....	25,000	+ 25,000	+ 25,000
A-12 Aircraft litigation in-kind settlement	.....	100,000	.....	.....	- 100,000
General/Flag Officers (Sec. 8069)	.....	.....	- 8,000	- 8,000	- 8,000
Revised economic assumptions (Sec. 8106)	.....	.....	- 242,000	- 242,000	- 242,000
Superintendents Commission (Sec. 8105)	.....	.....	2,000	+ 2,000	+ 2,000
Total, Title VIII, General Provisions	504,341	- 913,571	- 1,510,465	- 2,014,806	- 596,894

TITLE IX OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (OCO)							
Military Personnel							
Military Personnel, Army (OCO)	9,790,082	6,747,515	6,463,515	3,326,567	-284,000		
Military Personnel, Navy (OCO)	774,225	558,344	558,344	-215,881			
Military Personnel, Marine Corps (OCO)	1,425,156	1,019,322	1,019,322	-405,834			
Military Personnel, Air Force (OCO)	1,286,783	867,087	867,087	-419,696			
Reserve Personnel, Army (OCO)	156,893	40,952	40,952	-115,941			
Reserve Personnel, Navy (OCO)	39,335	20,238	20,238	-19,097			
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps (OCO)	24,722	15,134	15,134	-9,588			
Reserve Personnel, Air Force (OCO)	25,348	20,432	20,432	-4,916			
National Guard Personnel, Army (OCO)	583,804	393,364	393,364	-190,440			
National Guard Personnel, Air Force (OCO)	10,473	6,919	6,919	-3,554			
<b>Total, Military Personnel</b>	<b>14,116,821</b>	<b>9,689,307</b>	<b>9,405,307</b>	<b>-4,711,514</b>	<b>-284,000</b>		
Operation & Maintenance							
Operation & Maintenance, Army (OCO)	28,452,018	29,279,633	28,663,919	+211,901	-615,714		
Operation & Maintenance, Navy (OCO)	5,839,934	6,067,993	5,329,960	-509,974	-738,033		
Coast Guard (by transfer) (OCO)		(227,033)			(-227,033)		
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps (OCO)	4,116,340	2,669,815	2,603,329	-1,513,011	-66,486		
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force (OCO)	9,249,736	10,005,224	9,964,224	+714,488	-41,000		
Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide (OCO)	7,714,079	6,435,078	6,435,078	-1,279,001			
Coalition support funds (OCO)	(1,650,000)	(1,500,000)	(1,500,000)	(-150,000)			
Operation & Maintenance, Army Reserve (OCO)	157,887	42,935	42,935	-114,952			
Operation & Maintenance, Navy Reserve (OCO)	55,924	55,700	55,700	-224			
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve (OCO)	25,477	12,534	12,534	-12,943			
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force Reserve (OCO)	60,618	32,849	32,849	-27,769			
Operation & Maintenance, Army National Guard (OCO)	392,448	199,371	199,371	-193,077			
Operation & Maintenance, Air National Guard (OCO)	34,500	22,200	22,200	-12,300			
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund (OCO)	582,884			-582,884			
<b>Subtotal, Operation and Maintenance</b>	<b>56,681,845</b>	<b>54,823,332</b>	<b>53,362,099</b>	<b>-3,319,746</b>	<b>-1,461,233</b>		
Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund (OCO)							
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (OCO)	325,000	279,000	279,000	-46,000			
	5,124,167	7,726,720	6,944,920	+1,820,753	-781,800		
<b>Total, Operation and Maintenance</b>	<b>62,131,012</b>	<b>62,829,052</b>	<b>60,586,019</b>	<b>-1,544,993</b>	<b>-2,243,033</b>		

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL  
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014—Continued  
(In thousands of dollars)

Item	2013 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with	
				2013 appropriation	Budget estimate
<b>Procurement</b>					
Aircraft Procurement, Army (OCO)	550,700	771,788	633,300	+ 82,600	- 138,488
Missile Procurement, Army (OCO)	67,951	128,645	128,645	+ 60,694	.....
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army (OCO)	15,422	.....	.....	- 15,422	.....
Procurement of Ammunition, Army (OCO)	338,493	180,900	190,900	- 147,593	+ 10,000
Other Procurement, Army (OCO)	1,740,157	603,123	603,902	- 1,136,255	+ 779
Aircraft Procurement, Navy (OCO)	215,698	240,696	211,176	- 4,522	- 29,520
Weapons Procurement, Navy (OCO)	22,500	86,500	86,500	+ 64,000	.....
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps (OCO)	283,059	206,821	206,821	- 76,238	.....
Other Procurement, Navy (OCO)	98,882	17,968	17,968	- 80,914	.....
Procurement, Marine Corps (OCO)	822,054	129,584	126,686	- 695,368	- 2,898
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force (OCO)	305,600	115,668	115,668	- 189,932	.....
Missile Procurement, Air Force (OCO)	34,350	24,200	24,200	- 10,150	.....
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force (OCO)	116,203	159,965	159,965	+ 43,762	.....
Other Procurement, Air Force (OCO)	2,680,270	2,574,846	2,554,596	- 125,674	- 20,250
Procurement, Defense-Wide (OCO)	188,099	111,275	111,275	- 76,824	.....
National Guard and Reserve Equipment (OCO)	1,500,000	.....	.....	- 1,500,000	.....
<b>Total, Procurement</b>	<b>8,979,438</b>	<b>5,351,979</b>	<b>5,171,602</b>	<b>- 3,807,836</b>	<b>- 180,377</b>
<b>Research, Development, Test and Evaluation</b>					
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army (OCO)	29,660	7,000	13,500	- 16,160	+ 6,500
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy (OCO)	52,519	34,426	.....	- 52,519	- 34,426
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Air Force (OCO)	53,150	9,000	9,000	- 44,150	.....
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide (OCO)	112,387	66,208	66,208	- 46,179	.....
<b>Total, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation</b>	<b>247,716</b>	<b>116,634</b>	<b>88,708</b>	<b>- 159,008</b>	<b>- 27,926</b>

Revolving and Management Funds							
Defense Working Capital Funds (OCO)	243,600	264,910	264,910	264,910	+ 21,310		
Total, Revolving and Management Funds	243,600	264,910	264,910	264,910	+ 21,310		
Other Department of Defense Programs							
Defense Health Program:							
Operation and maintenance (OCO)	993,898	904,201	898,701	898,701	- 95,197		- 5,500
Total, Defense Health Program	993,898	904,201	898,701	898,701	- 95,197		- 5,500
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense (OCO)	469,025	376,305	376,305	376,305	- 92,720		
Joint IED Defeat Fund (OCO)	1,622,614	1,000,000	871,725	871,725	- 750,889		- 128,275
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund (OCO)		15,000					- 15,000
Office of the Inspector General (OCO)	10,766	10,766	10,766	10,766			
Total, Other Department of Defense Programs	3,096,303	2,306,272	2,157,497	2,157,497	- 938,806		- 148,775
GENERAL PROVISIONS							
Additional transfer authority (OCO) (Sec. 9002)	(3,500,000)	(4,000,000)	(4,000,000)	(4,000,000)	(+ 500,000)		
Rescissions (OCO) (Sec. 9013)	- 1,860,052			- 50,900	+ 1,809,152		- 50,900
Total, General Provisions	- 1,860,052			- 50,900	+ 1,809,152		- 50,900
Total, Title IX	86,954,838	80,558,154	77,623,143	77,623,143	- 9,331,695		- 2,935,011
Overseas Contingency operations (OCO)	(88,814,890)	(80,558,154)	(77,674,043)	(77,674,043)	(- 11,140,847)		(- 2,884,111)
Rescissions overseas contingency operations	(- 1,860,052)			(- 50,900)	(+ 1,809,152)		(- 50,900)
Total for the bill (net)	596,882,635	590,588,808	587,462,934	587,462,934	- 9,419,701		- 3,125,874
DISASTER RELIEF APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2013							
Operation and Maintenance (emergency)	62,825				- 62,825		
Procurement (emergency)	1,310				- 1,310		
Defense working capital funds (emergency)	24,200				- 24,200		
Total, Fiscal year 2013 Disaster Relief (Public Law 113-2)	88,335				- 88,335		

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL  
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014—Continued  
[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2013 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with	
				2013 appropriation	Budget estimate
Total, Other Appropriations	88,335			-88,335	
Net grand total	596,970,970	589,636,069	587,462,934	-9,508,036	-2,173,135
Appropriations	(512,070,244)	(510,099,486)	(511,439,656)	(-630,588)	(+1,340,170)
Overseas Contingency operations (OCO)	(88,814,890)	(80,558,154)	(77,674,043)	(-11,140,847)	(-2,884,111)
Rescissions	(-2,142,447)	(-1,021,571)	(-1,599,865)	(+542,582)	(-578,294)
Rescissions overseas contingency operations	(-1,860,052)		(-50,900)	(+1,809,152)	(-50,900)
Emergency appropriations	(88,335)	(952,739)		(-88,335)	
Advance appropriations fiscal year 2015					(-952,739)
(By transfer)					

○