AUTHENTICATED
U.S. GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION
GPO

113TH CONGRESS
2d Session

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

REPORT
113–473

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2015

REPORT

OF THE

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

together with

ADDITIONAL VIEWS

[TO ACCOMPANY H.R. 4870]

JUNE 13, 2014.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2015

113TH CONGRESS
2d Session

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

REPORT
113–473

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2015

R E P O R T

OF THE

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

together with

ADDITIONAL VIEWS

[TO ACCOMPANY H.R. 4870]

JUNE 13, 2014.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

88–244 WASHINGTON : 2014

CONTENTS

	Page
Bill Totals	1
Committee Budget Review Process	3
Introduction	3
Funding Increases	3
Committee Recommendations by Major Category	3
Active, Reserve, and National Guard Military Personnel	3
Operation and Maintenance	3
Procurement	4
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation	5
Defense Health Program	6
Overseas Contingency Operations	6
Classified Programs	6
TITLE I. MILITARY PERSONNEL	7
Military Personnel Overview	9
Summary of End Strength	9
Overall Active End Strength	9
Overall Selected Reserve End Strength	9
Full-Time Support Strengths	10
Reprogramming Guidance for Military Personnel Accounts	10
Special Interest Items	11
Sexual Assault Prevention and Response	11
Long-Term Temporary Duty Assignments	12
Permanent Change of Station Efficiencies	12
Cultural Sensitivity Training	13
Handicapped Accessibility of Military Service Stations	13
Personnel Transition Coordination	14
Minority Outreach and Officer Accessions	14
Military Personnel, Army	14
Regionally Aligned Forces Language Capability	18
Military Family Housing at Camp Humphreys	18
Military Personnel, Navy	18
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	22
Military Personnel, Air Force	26
Intercontinental Ballistic Missile Force	30
Reserve Personnel, Army	30
Reserve Personnel, Navy	33
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	36
Reserve Personnel, Air Force	39
National Guard Personnel, Army	42
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	45
TITLE II. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	49
Reprogramming Guidance for Operation and Maintenance Accounts	51
Operation and Maintenance Budget Execution Data	52
Special Interest Items	52
Readiness	52
Service Audit Agency Validation	53
Depot-Level Maintenance and Repair Budget Exhibits	54
Depot Maintenance	55
Facilities Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization	55
Suicide Prevention Training and Outreach	55
Working Conditions in Bangladesh	56
Overestimation and Reporting of Civilian Workforce	56
Operation and Maintenance Budget Documentation	57
Operational Reserve	57

Page

Military Information Support Operations 58
Nuclear and Natural Disaster Response 58
Performance Measures 59
Operation and Maintenance, Army 59
Cemeterial Expenses 64
Army Inventory Management System 64
Operation and Maintenance, Navy 65
Tire Successor Initiative 74
Shipboard Lighting Systems 74
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps 74
Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force 77
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force 77
Air Force Flying Hour Metrics 83
Air Force Weapon System Sustainment 83
Air Education and Training Command Infrastructure 84
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide 84
Special Operations Command—Operation and Maintenance Funding 90
Special Operations Command—Flying Hours Restoration 90
Special Operations Command—Budget Justification 90
Special Operations Command—Reprogramming Guidance 91
Special Operations Command—Use of Major Force Program–11 Funds 91
Special Operations Command—Oversight 92
Special Operations Command—National Capital Region 92
Special Operations Command—Suicide Prevention and Psychological Health 93
Special Operations Command—Human Physical Performance Program 94
Special Operations Command—Advanced Education Program 94
Special Operations Command—Classified Adjustments 95
Energy Efficiency 95
Commercial Joint Mapping Toolkit 96
Automated Continuous Evaluation System 96
Overseas Military Installation Inventory 96
Spare Parts Management 96
Transportable, Modular, Renewable Energy Platforms 97
National Security Education Program 97
Audit Readiness 97
Cloud Computing Services 98
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve 98
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve 101
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve 104
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve 107
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard 110
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard 113
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Account 116
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces 116
Environmental Restoration, Army 116
Environmental Restoration, Navy 116
Vieques and Culebra Environmental Restoration 116
Environmental Restoration, Air Force 117
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide 117
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites 117
Environmental Restoration Annual Report to Congress 117
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid 117
Humanitarian Mine Action Program 117
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account 118
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund 118
TITLE III. PROCUREMENT 119
Special Interest Items 121
Reprogramming Guidance for Acquisition Accounts 121
Reprogramming Reporting Requirements 121
Funding Increases 121
Classified Annex 121
High Mobility Engineer Excavator 122
Tactical Power Sources 122
Mobile User Objective System Terminals 122
Aircraft Procurement, Army 122
Army Aviation Restructure Initiative 126

Page
Missile Procurement, Army 126
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army 129
Procurement of Ammunition, Army 133
Other Procurement, Army 137
Handheld Standoff Mine Detection System 145
Body Armor Modernization 145
Air and Missile Defense Planning and Control System 145
High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicle Modernization 145
Aircraft Procurement, Navy 146
MH–60R 153
EA–18G Aircraft 153
Weapons Procurement, Navy 153
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps 158
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy 162
Cruiser Modernization 165
Aircraft Carrier Refueling 165
Littoral Combat Ship 166
Other Procurement, Navy 166
Procurement, Marine Corps 177
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force 182
CV–22 189
KC–10 189
Missile Procurement, Air Force 190
Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle 194
Global Positioning System III 194
Preferred Munitions 194
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force 194
Preferred Munitions 197
Other Procurement, Air Force 197
Procurement, Defense-Wide 202
National Guard and Reserve Component Proportionate Funding 207
National Guard and Reserve Equipment Account 207
Special Operations Command—C–146A 207
Defense Production Act Purchases 208
Rare Earth Elements 208
TITLE IV. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION 209
Special Interest Items 211
Reprogramming Guidance for Acquisition Accounts 211
Reprogramming Reporting Requirements 211
Funding Increases 211
Classified Annex 211
Lithium-Ion Batteries 212
Hybrid Airship Development 212
Anti-Corrosion Efforts 212
Explosive Ordnance Disposal 212
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army 213
Armored Multi-Purpose Vehicle 223
Network Integration Evaluation 223
Degraded Visual Environment 224
Polymer Research Improvements 224
Advanced Concepts and Simulation 224
Testing of Virtual Training Systems 224
Army Net Zero Policy 225
Lightweight Advanced Personnel Protection 225
Ammunition Management 225
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy 226
Amphibious Combat Vehicle 239
Automated Test and Re-Test 239
Littoral Combat Ship Training 239
Arctic Center of Excellence 239
Wound Care Research Program 240
Automated Critical Care System 240
P–8A Open Architecture 240
Aircraft Systems Modernization 241
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force 241
Global Hawk, U–2, and High Altitude ISR 252
Combat Rescue Helicopter 253

Page
Next Generation JSTARS ... 253
Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear Survivability ... 254
Airborne Electronic Attack ... 254
Endurance Unmanned Aerial Vehicles ... 254
KC–46A ... 255
Beyond Line of Sight Command and Control ... 255
High Performance Computing ... 255
Human Performance Monitoring ... 255
Liquid Rocket Engine Development Program ... 256
Space Based Infrared System High ... 256
Air Force Technology Transfer Program ... 256
Global Positioning System Space Modernization Initiative ... 257
Global Positioning System User Equipment ... 257
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide ... 258
Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions ... 269
Advanced Innovative Technologies ... 269
Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency ... 269
Laser-Driven X–Ray Technology ... 269
Modeling and Simulation ... 270
Cybersecurity ... 270
Human and Robot Collaboration ... 270
Missile Defense Agency ... 270
Missile Defense Agency—Iron Dome ... 271
Missile Defense Agency—Cooperation with Universities ... 272
Disabling and Neutralizing Weapons of Mass Destruction ... 273
Additive Manufacturing ... 273
Systems Engineering Research Center ... 273
Conventional Prompt Global Strike ... 273
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense ... 274
TITLE V. REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS ... 277
Defense Working Capital Funds ... 277
TITLE VI. OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS ... 279
Defense Health Program ... 279
Reprogramming Guidance for the Defense Health Program ... 283
Carryover Authority ... 283
Electronic Health Record ... 283
Joint Warfighter Medical Research Program ... 284
Peer-Reviewed Cancer Research Programs ... 285
Peer-Reviewed Prostate Cancer Research Program ... 285
Intelligent Prosthetics ... 286
Prescription Drug Abuse ... 286
Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy ... 286
Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents ... 287
Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program ... 287
Trauma Clinical Research Repository ... 287
Telemedicine ... 288
Preservation of Muscle Mass During Immobilization Periods ... 288
Cooperation Between Military Medical Facilities, Civilian Healthcare Facilities, and Universities ... 288
Canine Therapy Research ... 289
Thermal Injury Prevention ... 289
Efficiency of Patient Care Delivery ... 289
Military Mental Health Pilot Program ... 289
Multi-Disciplinary Brain Research ... 289
Access to Military Treatment Facilities ... 290
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense ... 290
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense ... 290
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities ... 291
Budget Justification Material ... 291
National Guard State Plans ... 292
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund ... 292
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund ... 292
Support for International Sporting Competitions ... 293
Support for International Sporting Competitions ... 293
Office of the Inspector General ... 293
Office of the Inspector General ... 293
TITLE VII. RELATED AGENCIES ... 295

Page

National and Military Intelligence Programs 295
Classified Annex 295
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund 295
Intelligence Community Management Account 295
Wildlife Trafficking 296
TITLE VIII. GENERAL PROVISIONS 297
TITLE IX. OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS 307
Committee Recommendation 307
General Provisions 307
TITLE X. 309
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REPORTING REQUIREMENTS 309
Changes in the Application of Existing Law 309
Appropriations not Authorized by Law 320
Transfer of Funds 321
Rescissions 323
Transfer of Unexpended Balances 324
Directed Rule Making 324
Program Duplication 324
Statement of General Performance Goals and Objectives 324
Compliance with Rule XIII, CL. 3(e) (Ramseyer Rule) 324
Earmark Disclosure Statement 324
Comparison with the Budget Resolution 325
Five-Year Outlay Projections 325
Financial Assistance to State and Local Governments 325
Full Committee Votes 326
Additional Views 343

113TH CONGRESS
2d Session

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

REPORT
113–473

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2015

JUNE 13, 2014.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN of New Jersey, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

R E P O R T

together with

ADDITIONAL VIEWS

[To accompany H.R. 4870]

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report in explanation of the accompanying bill making appropriations for the Department of Defense, and for other purposes, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2015.

BILL TOTALS

Appropriations for most military functions of the Department of Defense are provided for in the accompanying bill for fiscal year 2015. This bill does not provide appropriations for military construction, military family housing, civil defense, and military nuclear warheads, for which requirements are considered in connection with other appropriations Acts.

The President's fiscal year 2015 budget request for activities funded in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act totals $490,741,995,000 in new budget obligational authority for the base military bill.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
RECAPITULATION					
Title I - Military Personnel	128,796,287	128,957,593	128,127,640	-668,647	-829,953
Title II - Operation and Maintenance	159,869,726	166,002,818	164,631,638	+4,761,912	-1,371,180
Title III - Procurement	92,861,300	89,660,299	91,227,819	-1,633,481	+1,567,520
Title IV - Research, Development, Test and Evaluation	62,994,741	63,533,947	63,362,890	+368,149	-171,057
Title V - Revolving and Management Funds	2,246,427	1,234,468	1,334,468	-911,959	+100,000
Title VI - Other Department of Defense Programs	35,035,166	34,101,361	33,795,719	-1,239,447	-305,642
Title VII - Related Agencies	1,042,229	1,024,194	1,015,194	-27,035	-9,000
Title VIII - General Provisions (net)	-2,779,189	-260,685	960,452	+3,739,641	+1,221,137
Title IX - Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO)	85,026,942	79,445,000	79,445,000	-5,581,942	---
Total, Department of Defense	565,093,629	563,698,995	563,900,820	-1,192,809	+201,825
Scorekeeping adjustments	7,462,000	7,002,000	7,002,000	-460,000	---
Total mandatory and discretionary	572,555,629	570,700,995	570,902,820	-1,652,809	+201,825

COMMITTEE BUDGET REVIEW PROCESS

During its review of the fiscal year 2015 budget request and execution of appropriations for fiscal year 2014, the Subcommittee on Defense held a total of thirteen hearings and eight formal briefings during the period from January 2014 to May 2014. Testimony received by the Subcommittee totaled 1,067 pages of transcript. Hearings were held in open session, except when the security classification of the material to be discussed presented no alternative but to conduct those hearings in executive or closed session.

INTRODUCTION

The Committee recommendation for the fiscal year 2015 Department of Defense base budget is $490,943,820,000, which is an increase of $201,825,000 above the budget request. The Committee recommendation for overseas contingency operations is $79,445,000,000.

To reach the recommended level, the Subcommittee has reviewed in detail the budget request, and found areas and programs where reductions are possible without adversely impacting the warfighter or modernization and readiness efforts. Examples of such reductions include: programs which have been terminated or restructured since the budget was submitted, savings from favorable contract pricing adjustments, contract or schedule delays resulting in fiscal year 2015 savings, unjustified cost increases or funding requested ahead of need, anticipated or historical underexecution, rescissions of unneeded prior year funds, and reductions that are authorized in the pending National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015.

FUNDING INCREASES

The Committee directs that the funding increases outlined in the tables for each appropriation account shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the tables.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS BY MAJOR CATEGORY

ACTIVE, RESERVE, AND NATIONAL GUARD MILITARY PERSONNEL

In title I of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of $128,127,640,000 for active, reserve, and National Guard military personnel, a decrease of $829,953,000 below the budget request, and a decrease of $668,647,000 below the fiscal year 2014 enacted level. The Committee recommendation provides funding to increase basic pay for all military personnel by 1.8 percent, as authorized by current law, effective January 1, 2015. The Committee recommends full funding to support the requested end strength levels for active duty and Selected Reserve personnel.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

In title II of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of $164,631,638,000 for operation and maintenance support to the military Services and other Department of Defense entities, a decrease of $1,371,180,000 below the budget request, and an increase of $4,761,912,000 above the fiscal year 2014 enacted level. The recommended levels will robustly fund operational training programs

in fiscal year 2015. Requests for unit and depot-level maintenance, facilities sustainment, restoration and modernization, and base operations support program funding have been fully supported.

PROCUREMENT

In title III of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of $91,227,819,000 for procurement.

Major initiatives and modifications include:

$1,356,227,000 for the procurement of 87 UH–60 Blackhawk helicopters, an increase of $119,226,000 and eight aircraft above the President's request.

$892,504,000 for the procurement of 32 CH–47 Chinook helicopters, the same as the President's request.

$239,581,000 for the procurement of 19 improved, longer range MQ–1 unmanned aerial vehicles, an increase of $49,000,000 above the President's request.

$532,605,000 for the procurement of 97 MSE missiles for the Patriot missile system, an increase of $148,000,000 above the President's request.

$183,838,000 for the procurement of Patriot Missile modifications, an increase of $52,000,000 above the President's request.

$664,087,000 for the procurement of WIN–T Ground Forces Tactical Networks, a decrease of $99,000,000 below the President's request.

$125,711,000 for the procurement of Joint Tactical Radio Systems, a decrease of $50,000,000 below the President's request.

$416,617,000 for the procurement of 55 UH–72A Lakota helicopters, the same as the President's request.

$435,110,000 for the procurement of upgrades for the fourth brigade set of Strykers to double V-hulls, plus Stryker nuclear, biological, chemical reconnaissance vehicle fielding support, an increase of $50,000,000 above the President's request.

$122,451,000 for the procurement of 40 M88A2 Improved Recovery Vehicles, an increase of $72,000,000 and 25 vehicles above the President's request.

$1,018,547,000 for the procurement of twelve EA–18G Growler electronic attack aircraft, an increase of $975,000,000 and twelve aircraft above the President's request.

$2,128,787,000 for the procurement of nine P–8A Poseidon multimission aircraft, an increase of $125,460,000 and one aircraft above the President's request.

$809,057,000 for the procurement of 27 UH–1Y/AH–1Z helicopters, an increase of $30,300,000 and one aircraft above the President's request.

$5,843,108,000 for the procurement of 38 F–35 Lightning aircraft, an increase of $479,000,000 and four aircraft above the President's request: six short take-off and vertical landing variants for the Marine Corps, four carrier variants for the Navy, and 28 conventional variants for the Air Force.

$14,256,361,000 for the procurement of six Navy ships, including two DDG–51 guided missile destroyers, two SSN–774 attack submarines, and two Littoral Combat Ships.

$789,300,000 above the President's request for the advance procurement of material for the refueling of the USS George Washington (CVN–73).

$1,097,691,000 for the procurement of 14 C/HC/MC/KC–130J aircraft, the same as the President's request.

$1,481,100,000 for the procurement of 19 MV–22 aircraft, a decrease of $5,900,000 below the President's request.

$373,218,000 for the procurement of 24 MQ–9 Reaper unmanned aerial vehicles, an increase of $133,000,000 and 12 aircraft above the President's request.

$1,582,685,000 for the procurement of seven KC–46 tanker aircraft, the same as the President's request.

$1,346,046,000 for the procurement of three Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicles and infrastructure, a decrease of $35,000,000 below the President's request.

$350,972,000 for the Israeli Cooperative Program Iron Dome, $175,000,000 above the President's request.

$100,000,000 for HMMWV modernization for the Army National Guard, an increase of $100,000,000 above the President's request.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

In title IV of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of $63,362,890,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

Major initiatives and modifications include:

$181,609,000 for the continued development of High Performance Computing, the same as the President's request.

$320,177,000 for the continued development of modifications to Abrams, Bradley, and Stryker Vehicles, an increase of $25,000,000 above the President's request.

$849,277,000 for the continued development of the replacement for the Ohio class ballistic missile submarine, the same as the President's request.

$146,200,000 for the continued development of the E–2D Advanced Hawkeye aircraft, a decrease of $47,000,000 below the President's request.

$230,733,000 for the continued development of the Next Generation Jammer, a decrease of $16,123,000 below the President's request.

$1,636,130,000 for the continued development of the F–35 Lightning Joint Strike Fighter aircraft, a decrease of $4,976,000 below the President's request.

$403,017,000 for the continued development of the Unmanned Carrier Based Strike System, the same as the President's request.

$319,037,000 for the continued development of the Multi-mission Maritime Aircraft, an increase of $11,000,000 above the President's request.

$913,728,000 for the development of a new penetrating bomber, the same as the President's request.

$766,937,000 for the continued development of the Next Generation Aerial Refueling Aircraft, a decrease of $10,000,000 below the President's request.

$73,088,000 for the development of a Next Generation JSTARS aircraft, the same as the President's request.

$309,501,000 for the continued development of the Space Based Infrared Satellite and associated ground support systems, a decrease of $10,000,000 below the President's request.

$212,571,000 for the development of the Global Positioning System III operational control segment, the same as the President's request.

$220,000,000 above the President's request to begin development of a domestically produced liquid rocket engine for space launch.

$2,848,318,000 for the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, a decrease of $66,452,000 below the President's request.

$268,842,000 for the Israeli Cooperative Programs, an increase of $172,039,000 above the President's request.

DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

The Committee recommends a total of $31,634,870,000 for the Defense Health Program to support worldwide medical and dental services for active forces and other eligible beneficiaries.

The Committee recommends funding to augment the request for enduring traumatic brain injury, psychological health, and wounded, ill and injured requirements. To address these challenges of the Defense Health Program, the Committee recommends the following:

Traumatic brain injury and psychological health research	$125,000,000
Peer-reviewed spinal cord research	$30,000,000
Peer-reviewed orthopedic research	$30,000,000

OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

In title IX of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of $79,445,000,000 for overseas contingency operations. Despite a recent announcement from the Administration regarding plans for an enduring military presence in Afghanistan, no Overseas Contingency Operations budget request has been submitted, therefore this level of funding is subject to change.

CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS

As described elsewhere in this report, the Committee's budget review of classified programs is published in a separate, detailed, and comprehensive classified annex. Adjustments to the classified programs are addressed in the classified annex accompanying this report.

TITLE I

MILITARY PERSONNEL

The fiscal year 2015 Department of Defense military personnel budget request totals $128,957,593,000. The Committee recommendation provides $128,127,640,000 for the military personnel accounts. The table below summarizes the Committee recommendations:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
RECAPITULATION			
MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY	41,225,339	41,183,729	-41,610
MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY	27,489,440	27,387,344	-102,096
MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	12,919,103	12,785,431	-133,672
MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	27,815,926	27,564,362	-251,564
RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY	4,459,130	4,304,159	-154,971
RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY	1,863,034	1,836,024	-27,010
RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	670,754	659,224	-11,530
RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	1,675,518	1,652,148	-23,370
NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY	7,682,892	7,644,632	-38,260
NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	3,156,457	3,110,587	-45,870
GRAND TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL	128,957,593	128,127,640	-829,953

MILITARY PERSONNEL OVERVIEW

The Committee recommendation provides $128,127,640,000 for the military personnel accounts, which funds military pay and allowances, recruitment and retention initiatives, and overall quality of life programs for active duty, reserve, and National Guard personnel. The recommendation fully supports the resource requirements needed to maintain the authorized end strength levels for fiscal year 2015. The Committee recommendation provides funding to increase basic pay for all military personnel by 1.8 percent as authorized by current law, effective January 1, 2015. The Committee continues to encourage constructive evaluations of recruitment and retention programs, bonus and special pay incentives, and personnel benefit programs for fiscal year 2015. The Committee remains supportive of programs intended to enhance the morale and quality of life of military personnel and their families.

SUMMARY OF END STRENGTH

The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes a decrease of 52,800 in total end strength for the active forces and a decrease of 12,900 in total end strength for the Selected Reserve as compared to the fiscal year 2014 authorized levels. The following tables summarize the Committee recommendations for end strength levels, both in the aggregate and for each active and Selected Reserve component.

OVERALL ACTIVE END STRENGTH

Fiscal year 2014 authorized	1,361,400
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	1,308,600
Fiscal year 2015 recommendation	1,308,600
Compared with fiscal year 2014	−52,800
Compared with fiscal year 2015 budget request	– – –

OVERALL SELECTED RESERVE END STRENGTH

Fiscal year 2014 authorized	833,700
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	820,800
Fiscal year 2015 recommendation	820,800
Compared with fiscal year 2014	−12,900
Compared with fiscal year 2015 budget request	– – –

SUMMARY OF MILITARY PERSONNEL END STRENGTH

	Fiscal year 2014 authorized	Fiscal year 2015			
		Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request	Change from fiscal year 2014
Active Forces (End Strength):					
Army	520,000	490,000	490,000	– – –	−30,000
Navy	323,600	323,600	323,600	– – –	– – –
Marine Corps	190,200	184,100	184,100	– – –	−6,100
Air Force	327,600	310,900	310,900	– – –	−16,700
Total, Active Forces	1,361,400	1,308,600	1,308,600	– – –	−52,800
Guard and Reserve Forces (End Strength)					
Army Reserve	205,000	202,000	202,000	– – –	−3,000
Navy Reserve	59,100	57,300	57,300	– – –	−1,800
Marine Corps Reserve	39,600	39,200	39,200	– – –	−400
Air Force Reserve	70,400	67,100	67,100	– – –	−3,300
Army National Guard	354,200	350,200	350,200	– – –	−4,000
Air National Guard	105,400	105,000	105,000	– – –	−400

SUMMARY OF MILITARY PERSONNEL END STRENGTH—Continued

	Fiscal year 2014 authorized	Fiscal year 2015			
		Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request	Change from fiscal year 2014
Total, Selected Reserve	833,700	820,800	820,800	– – –	– 12,900
Total, Military Personnel	2,195,100	2,129,400	2,129,400	– – –	– 65,700

FULL-TIME SUPPORT STRENGTHS

There are four categories of full-time support in the National Guard and reserve components: military technicians (dual status), Active Guard and Reserve, non-technician civilians, and active component personnel.

Full-time support personnel organize, recruit, train, maintain, and administer the reserve components. Military technicians (dual status) directly support units and are critical to helping units maintain readiness and meet the wartime missions of the Army and Air Force.

The following table summarizes the National Guard and reserve components full-time support end strengths:

SUMMARY OF GUARD AND RESERVE FULL-TIME SUPPORT

	Fiscal year 2014 authorized	Fiscal year 2015			
		Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request	Change from fiscal year 2014
Army Reserve:					
AGR	16,261	16,261	16,261	– – –	– – –
Technicians	8,395	7,895	7,895	– – –	– 500
Navy Reserve:					
AR	10,159	9,973	9,973	– – –	– 186
Marine Corps Reserve:					
AR	2,261	2,261	2,261	– – –	– – –
Air Force Reserve:					
AGR	2,911	2,830	2,830	– – –	– 81
Technicians	10,429	9,789	9,789	– – –	– 640
Army National Guard:					
AGR	32,060	31,385	31,385	– – –	– 675
Technicians	27,210	27,210	27,210	– – –	– – –
Air National Guard:					
AGR	14,734	14,704	14,704	– – –	– 30
Technicians	21,875	21,792	21,792	– – –	– 83
Totals:					
AGR/AR	78,386	77,414	77,414	– – –	– 972
Technicians	67,909	66,686	66,686	– – –	– 1,223
Total, Full-Time Support	146,295	144,100	144,100	– – –	– 2,195

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR MILITARY PERSONNEL ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) for each of the fiscal year 2015 appropriation accounts not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act. The Secretary of Defense is prohibited from executing any reprogramming or transfer of funds for any purpose

other than originally appropriated until the aforementioned report is submitted to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to use the normal prior approval reprogramming procedures to transfer funds in the Services' military personnel accounts between budget activities in excess of $10,000,000.

SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or items for which funding has been reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in the Committee report are congressional interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount specifically addressed in the Committee report. Below threshold reprogrammings may not be used to either restore or reduce funding from congressional interest items as identified on the DD Form 1414. These items remain special interest items whether or not they are repeated in a subsequent conference report.

SEXUAL ASSAULT PREVENTION AND RESPONSE

The Committee remains concerned by reports of sexual assaults being committed by those in key positions of trust, including sexual assault response coordinators, victim advocates, military recruiters, commanders, and military leaders. The Army recently conducted a review of personnel serving in key positions of trust, and almost 600 soldiers currently serving in those positions were disqualified for infractions. While the Committee commends the Army for conducting such a review and holding soldiers accountable and urges the other Services to conduct similar comprehensive reviews, it remains disturbed that so many of the personnel currently serving should never have qualified for those duties.

The Committee is also concerned by the persistently high prevalence of sexual assault at the military academies. The Committee believes that more must be done to institute best practices at the military academies, training bases, and recruiting commands to increase prevention efforts as well as to preclude perpetrators from serving in influential and sensitive occupations. While the Service Secretaries must be aggressive in prosecuting and punishing perpetrators of sexual assault, they must increase their efforts to protect servicemembers from the threat of sexual assault in the first place. Further, commanders remain responsible for ensuring good order and discipline in their units and should be held responsible when crimes are committed under their watch. Recent allegations that commanders ignored reports of sexual assault or permitted inappropriate work environments in violation of Service sexual harassment and hazing policies is extremely concerning and must not be tolerated.

The Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 provided an additional $25,000,000 to expand the Special Victims' Counsel (SVC) program to all Services. This program provides legal representatives to give advice and representation to sexual assault victims. The SVC helps navigate victims through the legal process and intervenes on a victim's behalf when appropriate. The Com-

mittee understands that the Air Force SVC pilot program has shown success in assisting victims throughout the process and believes victims throughout the military will benefit by having access to a SVC. The Committee is pleased to learn that an expansion of the program is underway and it fully funds the budget request for the SVC program.

The recommendation also includes a provision requested by the President to make funds available for the Services, including the National Guard and reserve components, to support high priority Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program requirements and activities, including the training and funding of personnel. In addition, the Committee fully funds the budget request for Sexual Assault Prevention and Response programs and encourages the Secretary of Defense and the Service Chiefs to do more to stop this destructive epidemic in the military.

LONG-TERM TEMPORARY DUTY ASSIGNMENTS

The report accompanying the House version of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 included language which expressed the Committee's concern related to reports of Services sending personnel on long-term temporary duty assignments (TDY) that do not comply with the regulations as stated in the Joint Federal Travel Regulations (JFTR). The JFTR states that long-term TDY assignments must be temporary in nature, of reasonable time duration, lower in cost than round-trip permanent change of station expenses, and not to exceed 180 consecutive days. The Committee continues to believe that the practice of sending personnel on extended TDYs that violate the JFTR would be both a waste of taxpayer resources and a violation of Department-wide rules and regulations. Further, the Committee is concerned that the Special Operations Command's (SOCOM) growing use of continuous rotational TDYs is being used to establish permanent persistent presences in countries overseas. The Committee understands that with limited exception, SOCOM currently lacks the legal authority to establish and maintain a permanent persistent presence globally and therefore questions whether the use of continuous rotational TDYs is being used in lieu of seeking the necessary legal authorities. The Committee also questions whether this practice violates the JFTR, limitations on billets in combatant command positions, requirements for dwell time, and Department and interagency policies and procedures regarding the establishment of permanent assignments overseas.

The Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 directed the Secretary of Defense to evaluate the use of extended TDYs and to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the practice and its compliance with the JFTR. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to include as part of his report a comprehensive look into SOCOM's use of continuous rotational TDYs. The Committee is currently waiting for the completion and release of the report and looks forward to reviewing its recommendations.

PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION EFFICIENCIES

The Consolidated Appropriations Acts for fiscal years 2013 and 2014 recommended total reductions of almost $300,000,000 in the

Permanent Change of Station (PCS) budget activities for program efficiencies. In addition, the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 directed the Department of Defense Inspector General to conduct a review of the military personnel PCS program and to identify potential cost savings and efficiencies that could be implemented throughout the program.

In its report, the Inspector General found that the Department of Defense, the United States Transportation Command, and the Services were taking a number of steps in response to congressional direction to find cost savings and efficiencies within the PCS program. These include using upgraded systems that better monitor moves to ensure they meet regulations regarding weight and cost requirements, implementing logistics efficiencies through regionalization and operations consolidations, and improving internal controls to increase fidelity of accounting data to identify areas for future cost savings. The Committee commends the Secretary of Defense and the Service Secretaries for their efforts to improve accountability and oversight and reduce waste in the PCS program.

At the same time, the Inspector General found multiple areas where additional cost savings and efficiencies could be found. These include transferring financial responsibility and payment for nontemporary storage after the entitlement period has ended, establishing controls to ensure overpayments for shipping household goods are recouped by the Services, using less costly methods to ship and store domestic household goods weighing 1,000 pounds or less, reviewing policies regarding unlimited weight limits for local moves, reviewing policies regarding the use of certain flights for servicemember PCS travel, and implementing a statutory incentive for servicemembers to voluntarily reduce the weight of shipped goods.

The Committee supports the findings of the Inspector General report and directs the Secretary of Defense and Service Secretaries to review and implement the recommendations as soon as possible. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on the status of implementation of the recommendations and the estimated cost savings associated with implementation to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act.

CULTURAL SENSITIVITY TRAINING

The Committee recognizes that the Department of Defense and the Services have multiple cultural sensitivity training programs for military personnel. The Committee believes that protecting servicemembers' rights regarding religious exercise and ethnic heritage is important. The Committee supports efforts to identify resource and personnel gaps that may exist in the Department of Defense Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity, as well as efforts to identify existing gaps in protections for new and prospective servicemembers.

HANDICAPPED ACCESSIBILITY OF MILITARY SERVICE STATIONS

The Committee recognizes that while efforts to improve services for handicapped patrons on Department of Defense installations have been made, the layout and design of Army and Air Force Ex-

change Service (AAFES) gas stations limits accessibility for many handicapped patrons. While some service stations have installed call buttons to allow patrons to ring for assistance, the buttons often are not clearly marked or are difficult to utilize. The Committee understands that AAFES is undertaking a pilot study to redesign and install new service call systems and encourages the continued efforts to improve handicapped accessibility.

PERSONNEL TRANSITION COORDINATION

As the Department of Defense reduces the size of the force, the Committee supports efforts to assist military personnel in leveraging their unique skills and experiences as they transition from military to civilian life. The Committee urges the Secretary of Defense, in partnership with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, state and local government representatives, non-governmental organization leaders, and private sector leaders, to continue efforts to increase employment opportunities for former servicemembers, including in fields related to disaster relief.

MINORITY OUTREACH AND OFFICER ACCESSIONS

Minorities remain underrepresented in the general officer ranks across the Services. To build a more diverse organization, the Committee supports efforts to conduct effective outreach and recruiting programs focused on increasing officer accessions in minority communities and encourages the Secretary of Defense and the Service Secretaries to support efforts to improve diversity in the military.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$40,787,967,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	41,225,339,000
Committee recommendation	41,183,729,000
Change from budget request	−41,610,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $41,183,729,000 for Military Personnel, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
50 MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY			
100 ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
150 BASIC PAY	6,715,420	6,715,420	---
200 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,095,898	2,095,898	---
250 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	2,191,307	2,191,307	---
300 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	279,102	279,102	---
350 INCENTIVE PAYS	98,703	98,703	---
400 SPECIAL PAYS	378,007	376,007	-2,000
450 ALLOWANCES	212,394	212,394	---
500 SEPARATION PAY	99,489	99,489	---
550 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	511,069	511,069	---
600 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	12,581,389	12,579,389	-2,000
650 ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
700 BASIC PAY	13,019,805	13,019,805	---
750 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	4,070,370	4,070,370	---
800 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	4,870,591	4,870,591	---
850 INCENTIVE PAYS	104,751	104,751	---
900 SPECIAL PAYS	462,722	461,722	-1,000
950 ALLOWANCES	869,004	869,004	---
1000 SEPARATION PAY	320,346	320,346	---
1050 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	996,015	996,015	---
1100 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	24,713,604	24,712,604	-1,000
1150 ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS			
1200 ACADEMY CADETS	79,236	79,236	---
1250 ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
1300 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	1,284,843	1,284,843	---
1350 SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	595,165	595,165	---
1400 FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	1,316	1,316	---
1450 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	1,881,324	1,881,324	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
1500 ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
1550 ACCESSION TRAVEL	142,048	140,648	-1,400
1600 TRAINING TRAVEL	144,951	144,951	---
1650 OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	412,092	412,092	---
1700 ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	758,069	758,069	---
1750 SEPARATION TRAVEL	293,377	293,377	---
1800 TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	4,043	4,043	---
1850 NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	10,997	10,997	---
1900 TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	37,301	37,301	---
1950 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	1,802,878	1,801,478	-1,400
2000 ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
2050 APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	1,033	1,033	---
2100 INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	1,338	1,338	---
2150 DEATH GRATUITIES	51,700	51,700	---
2200 UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	222,586	222,586	---
2250 EDUCATION BENEFITS	578	578	---
2300 ADOPTION EXPENSES	5,070	5,070	---
2360 RESERVE INCOME REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	164	164	---
2410 SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	101,068	101,068	---
2450 RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	52,723	52,723	---
2550 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	436,260	436,260	---
2600 LESS REIMBURSABLES	-269,352	-269,352	---
2650 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	---	-37,210	-37,210
2700 TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, ARMY	41,225,339	41,183,729	-41,610
6300 TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY	41,225,339	41,183,729	-41,610

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
SPECIAL PAYS	**378,007**	**376,007**	**-2,000**
Projected underexecution		-2,000	
BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
SPECIAL PAYS	**462,722**	**461,722**	**-1,000**
Projected underexecution		-1,000	
BA-5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL			
ACCESSION TRAVEL	**142,048**	**140,648**	**-1,400**
Unjustified increase		-1,400	
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENTS		**-37,210**	**-37,210**
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-29,210	
Funding excess to requirement		-8,000	

REGIONALLY ALIGNED FORCES LANGUAGE CAPABILITY

The Committee supports efforts to improve foreign language capabilities for Army regionally aligned forces in order to increase soldiers' effectiveness when conducting joint operations and training and mentoring foreign military leaders. The Committee is concerned that an insufficient number of soldiers are receiving foreign language training and encourages the Secretary of the Army to review how many officers and non-commissioned officers with foreign language skills it needs to meet the regionally aligned forces requirement.

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING AT CAMP HUMPHREYS

The Committee supports the agreement between the United States and the Republic of Korea to consolidate most U.S. forces at Camp Humphreys, Korea. The Commander of United States Forces Korea (USFK) currently has a requirement that 40 percent of the authorized command sponsored families must reside on-post to meet operational and force protection needs, but there is a significant shortage in planned on-post family housing as compared to the requirement. The Committee strongly supports efforts to increase the current and future inventory of on-post family housing to meet the command requirement and urges the Secretary of the Army, the Secretary of Defense, and the Commander of USFK to work together to find a solution that will satisfy the requirement in a fiscally responsible and sustainable manner.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$27,231,512,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	27,489,440,000
Committee recommendation	27,387,344,000
Change from budget request	−102,096,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $27,387,344,000 for Military Personnel, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
6400 MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY			
6450 ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
6500 BASIC PAY	4,017,362	4,017,362	---
6550 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,255,535	1,255,535	---
6600 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,433,673	1,433,673	---
6650 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	164,566	164,566	---
6700 INCENTIVE PAYS	127,220	127,220	---
6750 SPECIAL PAYS	429,454	428,709	-745
6800 ALLOWANCES	123,982	123,982	---
6850 SEPARATION PAY	59,026	59,026	---
6900 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	305,463	305,463	---
6950 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	7,916,281	7,915,536	-745
7000 ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
7050 BASIC PAY	8,614,658	8,614,658	---
7100 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,695,729	2,695,729	---
7150 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	3,878,513	3,878,513	---
7200 INCENTIVE PAYS	104,072	104,072	---
7250 SPECIAL PAYS	793,222	790,411	-2,811
7300 ALLOWANCES	594,908	584,908	-10,000
7350 SEPARATION PAY	223,362	223,362	---
7400 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	659,021	659,021	---
7450 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	17,563,485	17,550,674	-12,811
7500 ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF MIDSHIPMEN			
7550 MIDSHIPMEN	78,093	78,093	---
7600 ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
7650 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	759,490	759,490	---
7700 SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	431,060	431,060	---
7750 FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	8	8	---
7800 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	1,190,558	1,190,558	---

{DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS}

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
7850 ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
7900 ACCESSION TRAVEL	86,416	86,416	---
7950 TRAINING TRAVEL	98,547	98,547	---
8000 OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	253,140	253,140	---
8050 ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	271,252	271,252	---
8100 SEPARATION TRAVEL	133,912	133,912	---
8150 TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	41,469	41,469	---
8200 NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	1,982	1,982	---
8250 TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	8,708	8,708	---
8300 OTHER	3,581	3,581	---
8350 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	899,007	899,007	---
8400 ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
8450 APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	93	93	---
8500 INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	1,664	1,664	---
8550 DEATH GRATUITIES	17,400	17,400	---
8600 UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	112,881	112,881	---
8650 EDUCATION BENEFITS	20,495	20,495	---
8700 ADOPTION EXPENSES	277	277	---
8750 TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	4,053	4,053	---
8800 PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	39	39	---
8900 RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	21,584	21,584	---
8950 JUNIOR ROTC	12,312	12,312	---
9000 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	190,798	190,798	---
9050 LESS REIMBURSABLES	-348,782	-348,782	---
9100 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	---	-88,540	-88,540
9200 TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, NAVY	27,489,440	27,387,344	-102,096
11000 TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY	27,489,440	27,387,344	-102,096

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
SPECIAL PAYS	**429,454**	**428,709**	**-745**
Navy identified excess to requirement		-745	
BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
SPECIAL PAYS	**793,222**	**790,411**	**-2,811**
Navy identified excess to requirement		-2,811	
ALLOWANCES	**594,908**	**584,908**	**-10,000**
Navy identified excess to requirement		-10,000	
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		**-88,540**	**-88,540**
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-88,540	

MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$12,766,099,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	12,919,103,000
Committee recommendation	12,785,431,000
Change from budget request	−133,672,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $12,785,431,000 for Military Personnel, Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
12000 MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS			
12050 ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
12100 BASIC PAY	1,489,996	1,490,623	+627
12150 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	464,468	464,468	---
12200 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	492,488	492,488	---
12250 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	63,449	63,449	---
12300 INCENTIVE PAYS	38,223	38,223	---
12350 SPECIAL PAYS	5,927	5,927	---
12400 ALLOWANCES	32,083	32,083	---
12450 SEPARATION PAY	13,593	13,593	---
12500 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	113,239	113,239	---
12550 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	2,713,466	2,714,093	+627
12600 ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
12650 BASIC PAY	4,825,078	4,831,139	+6,061
12700 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,502,784	1,502,784	---
12750 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,669,844	1,669,844	---
12800 INCENTIVE PAYS	9,946	9,946	---
12850 SPECIAL PAYS	111,002	111,002	---
12900 ALLOWANCES	289,269	289,269	---
12950 SEPARATION PAY	84,343	84,343	---
13000 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	368,511	368,511	---
13050 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	8,860,777	8,866,838	+6,061
13100 ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
13150 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	442,559	442,559	---
13200 SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	353,006	353,006	---
13250 FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	10	10	---
13300 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	795,575	795,575	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
13350 ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
13400 ACCESSION TRAVEL	49,622	49,622	---
13450 TRAINING TRAVEL	27,481	27,481	---
13500 OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	168,432	168,432	---
13550 ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	99,931	99,931	---
13600 SEPARATION TRAVEL	82,065	82,065	---
13650 TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	785	785	---
13700 NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	5,064	5,064	---
13750 TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	11,841	11,841	---
13800 OTHER	3,056	3,056	---
13850 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	448,277	448,277	---
13900 ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
13950 APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	614	614	---
14000 INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	19	19	---
14050 DEATH GRATUITIES	11,400	11,400	---
14100 UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	101,839	101,839	---
14150 EDUCATION BENEFITS	7,000	7,000	---
14200 ADOPTION EXPENSES	84	84	---
14250 TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	1,527	1,527	---
14300 PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	67	67	---
14400 JUNIOR ROTC	3,910	3,910	---
14450 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	126,460	126,460	---
14500 LESS REIMBURSABLES	-25,452	-25,452	---
14600 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	---	-140,360	-140,360
14650 TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, MARINE CORPS	12,919,103	12,785,431	-133,672
16000 TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	12,919,103	12,785,431	-133,672

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
BASIC PAY	**1,489,996**	**1,490,623**	**627**
Marine Corps unfunded requirement - Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force - CENTCOM and SOUTHCOM		627	
BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
BASIC PAY	**4,825,078**	**4,831,139**	**6,061**
Marine Corps unfunded requirement - Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force - CENTCOM and SOUTHCOM		6,061	
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		**-140,360**	**-140,360**
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-140,360	

MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$28,519,993,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	27,815,926,000
Committee recommendation	27,564,362,000
Change from budget request	−251,564,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $27,564,362,000 for Military Personnel, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
17000 MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
17050 ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
17100 BASIC PAY	4,668,266	4,609,266	-59,000
17150 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,450,107	1,450,107	---
17200 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,387,295	1,387,295	---
17250 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	190,311	190,311	---
17300 INCENTIVE PAYS	212,376	212,376	---
17350 SPECIAL PAYS	296,799	296,799	---
17400 ALLOWANCES	125,724	125,724	---
17450 SEPARATION PAY	283,092	283,092	---
17500 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	355,558	355,558	---
17550 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	8,969,528	8,910,528	-59,000
17600 ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
17650 BASIC PAY	8,406,084	8,386,584	-19,500
17700 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,619,233	2,619,233	---
17750 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	3,386,412	3,386,412	---
17800 INCENTIVE PAYS	42,395	42,395	---
17850 SPECIAL PAYS	268,538	268,538	---
17900 ALLOWANCES	564,364	561,380	-2,984
17950 SEPARATION PAY	874,187	874,187	---
18000 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	643,063	643,063	---
18050 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	16,804,276	16,781,792	-22,484
18100 ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS			
18150 ACADEMY CADETS	70,159	70,159	---
18200 ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
18250 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	963,765	963,765	---
18300 SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	137,346	137,346	---
18350 FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	3	3	---
18400 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	1,101,114	1,101,114	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
18450 ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
18500 ACCESSION TRAVEL	87,932	87,932	---
18550 TRAINING TRAVEL	92,459	92,459	---
18600 OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	286,473	286,473	---
18650 ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	485,297	485,297	---
18700 SEPARATION TRAVEL	181,583	181,583	---
18750 TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	6,556	6,556	---
18800 NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	22,369	22,369	---
18850 TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	30,261	30,261	---
18950 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	1,192,930	1,192,930	---
19000 ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
19050 APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	107	107	---
19100 INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	3,073	3,073	---
19150 DEATH GRATUITIES	16,500	16,500	---
19200 UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	48,842	48,842	---
19300 EDUCATION BENEFITS	189	189	---
19350 ADOPTION EXPENSES	546	546	---
19400 TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	2,018	2,018	---
19450 PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	1,883	1,883	---
19550 RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	27,669	27,669	---
19600 JUNIOR ROTC	15,796	15,796	---
19650 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	116,623	116,623	---
19700 LESS REIMBURSABLES	-438,704	-438,704	---
19750 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	---	-170,080	-170,080
19800 TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, AIR FORCE	27,815,926	27,564,362	-251,564
21000 TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	27,815,926	27,564,362	-251,564

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
BASIC PAY	**4,668,266**	**4,609,266**	**-59,000**
Lower than budgeted average strength levels		-59,000	
BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
BASIC PAY	**8,406,084**	**8,386,584**	**-19,500**
Lower than budgeted average strength levels		-19,500	
ALLOWANCES	**564,364**	**561,380**	**-2,984**
Basic military training steel toe boots - transfer to OM,AF SAG 31B not properly accounted		-2,984	
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENTS		**-170,080**	**-170,080**
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-194,980	
AWACS force structure program increase		24,900	

INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE FORCE

The Air Force has three missile wings, located at installations in Montana, Wyoming, and North Dakota, that are responsible for the nation's 450 nuclear intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBMs). The nuclear mission remains critical to national security. Recently, during the process of investigating alleged drug use by airmen, evidence of widespread cheating by ICBM crewmembers on test material at Malmstrom Air Force Base, Montana was uncovered. A command-directed investigation (CDI) discovered problems in the organizational culture and leadership that helped create an environment where crewmembers could compromise or fail to report the compromise of test material. The CDI team proposed recommendations in four areas that should be implemented across the enterprise: reforming organizational culture, empowering crew commanders, improving the quality and purpose of training, and reforming testing and evaluation.

The Committee is extremely concerned with the cheating incidents, the rumors that toleration and acceptance of cheating is part of the missileer culture, and concerns that the ICBM mission is seen as a dead-end job within the Air Force. The Committee commends the Secretary of the Air Force and the Chief of Staff of the Air Force for their immediate response and recognition of the seriousness of this issue. The Committee is also concerned with reports of sub-par working conditions for missile crews, including aging and run-down facilities, a lack of opportunity for promotion within their Air Force Specialty Code, and a lack of support by senior Air Force leadership.

The Committee was disappointed to see that the operation and maintenance portion of the Nuclear Force Improvement Program, submitted as part of the Air Force unfunded priority list, failed to focus its priorities on items directly impacting the ICBM crews. The Committee provides an additional $21,600,000 in Operation and Maintenance, Air Force for the Nuclear Force Improvement Program and directs the funding to be used for efforts that directly improve the quality of life and morale of the ICBM crews. This funding is a congressional special interest item. Further, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a detailed spending plan to the congressional defense committees not less than 30 days prior to the obligation of these funds. The Committee continues to be supportive of efforts to directly improve the quality of life and morale of the ICBM crews and looks forward to working with the Secretary of the Air Force and the Chief of Staff of the Air Force to review additional proposals as they are recommended.

RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$4,377,563,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	4,459,130,000
Committee recommendation	4,304,159,000
Change from budget request	−154,971,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $4,304,159,000 for Reserve Personnel, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
23000 RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY			
23050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
23100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	1,636,798	1,571,698	-65,100
23150 PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	49,490	44,490	-5,000
23200 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	279,048	236,048	-43,000
23250 PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	11,926	11,926	---
23300 MOBILIZATION TRAINING	5,909	5,909	---
23350 SCHOOL TRAINING	161,751	161,751	---
23400 SPECIAL TRAINING	176,210	176,210	---
23450 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	2,006,975	2,006,975	---
23500 EDUCATION BENEFITS	10,202	10,202	---
23550 HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	63,622	63,622	---
23600 OTHER PROGRAMS	57,199	57,199	---
23650 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	4,459,130	4,346,030	-113,100
23800 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	---	-41,871	-41,871
24000 TOTAL RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY	4,459,130	4,304,159	-154,971

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)	**1,636,798**	**1,571,698**	**-65,100**
Inactive Duty Training - projected underexecution		-39,000	
Individual Clothing and Uniforms - excess to requirement		-15,300	
Travel, Annual Training - excess to requirement		-10,800	
PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	**49,490**	**44,490**	**-5,000**
Annual Training - excess to requirement		-5,000	
PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	**279,048**	**236,048**	**-43,000**
Projected underexecution		-43,000	
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		**-41,871**	**-41,871**
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-41,871	

RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$1,843,966,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	1,863,034,000
Committee recommendation	1,836,024,000
Change from budget request	−27,010,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $1,836,024,000 for Reserve Personnel, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
26000 RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY			
26050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
26100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48).....	585,488	585,488	---
26150 PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY).....	7,286	7,286	---
26200 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS).....	57,875	57,875	---
26250 MOBILIZATION TRAINING.....	8,670	8,670	---
26300 SCHOOL TRAINING.....	51,089	51,089	---
26350 SPECIAL TRAINING.....	106,571	106,571	---
26400 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT.....	988,427	987,427	-1,000
26450 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	109	109	---
26500 HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP.....	57,519	57,519	---
26550 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	1,863,034	1,862,034	-1,000
26600 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-26,010	-26,010
27000 TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY.....	1,863,034	1,836,024	-27,010

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	**988,427**	**987,427**	**-1,000**
Full Time Support Permanent Change of Station - excess to requirement		-1,000	
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		**-26,010**	**-26,010**
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-26,010	

RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$655,109,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	670,754,000
Committee recommendation	659,224,000
Change from budget request	−11,530,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $659,224,000 for Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
28000 RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS			
28050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
28100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48).....	237,581	237,581	---
28150 PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACT DUTY).....	33,463	33,463	---
28200 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS).....	116,200	115,450	-750
28300 MOBILIZATION TRAINING.....	2,579	2,579	---
28350 SCHOOL TRAINING.....	24,195	24,195	---
28400 SPECIAL TRAINING.....	15,726	15,726	---
28450 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT.....	234,244	234,244	---
28500 PLATOON LEADER CLASS.....	6,124	6,124	---
28550 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	642	642	---
28600 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	670,754	670,004	-750
28700 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-10,780	-10,780
29000 TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS.....	670,754	659,224	-11,530

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	**116,200**	**115,450**	**-750**
Pay Group F, Travel - Marine Corps Reserve identified excess to requirement		-750	
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		**-10,780**	**-10,780**
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-10,780	

RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$1,723,159,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	1,675,518,000
Committee recommendation	1,652,148,000
Change from budget request	−23,370,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $1,652,148,000 for Reserve Personnel, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
30000 RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
30050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
30100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48).....	657,163	657,163	---
30150 PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY).....	113,876	106,876	-7,000
30200 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS).....	71,429	71,429	---
30250 PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS).....	1,681	1,681	---
30300 MOBILIZATION TRAINING.....	401	401	---
30350 SCHOOL TRAINING.....	125,804	125,804	---
30400 SPECIAL TRAINING.....	229,201	229,201	---
30450 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT.....	398,346	393,446	-4,900
30500 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	13,785	13,785	---
30550 HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP.....	58,794	58,794	---
30600 OTHER PROGRAMS (ADMIN & SUPPORT).....	5,038	5,038	---
30650 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	1,675,518	1,663,618	-11,900
30750 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-11,470	-11,470
31000 TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE.....	1,675,518	1,652,148	-23,370

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	**113,876**	**106,876**	**-7,000**
Projected underexecution		-7,000	
ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	**398,346**	**393,446**	**-4,900**
Reserve Incentive Program - excess to requirement		-3,400	
AGR Pay and Allowance - projected underexecution		-1,500	
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		**-11,470**	**-11,470**
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-11,470	

NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$7,776,498,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	7,682,892,000
Committee recommendation	7,644,632,000
Change from budget request	−38,260,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $7,644,632,000 for National Guard Personnel, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
32000 NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY			
32050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
32100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48).....	2,616,067	2,570,867	-45,200
32150 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS).....	441,606	421,906	-19,700
32200 PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS).....	47,984	47,984	---
32250 SCHOOL TRAINING.....	347,137	347,137	---
32300 SPECIAL TRAINING.....	433,207	433,207	---
32350 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT.....	3,766,624	3,766,624	---
32400 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	30,267	30,267	---
32450 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	7,682,892	7,617,992	-64,900
32600 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	26,640	+26,640
33000 TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY.....	7,682,892	7,644,632	-38,260

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)	**2,616,067**	**2,570,867**	**-45,200**
Inactive Duty Training - projected underexecution		-40,000	
Subsistence, Annual Training and Inactive Duty Training - projected underexecution		-5,200	
PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	**441,606**	**421,906**	**-19,700**
Individual Clothing and Uniform Allowance - excess to requirement		-19,700	
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENTS		**26,640**	**26,640**
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-18,360	
Fully fund two Combat Training Center rotations - Army requested transfer from OM,A SAG 121		45,000	

NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$3,114,421,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	3,156,457,000
Committee recommendation	3,110,587,000
Change from budget request	−45,870,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $3,110,587,000 for National Guard Personnel, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
34000 NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
34050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
34100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48).....	913,691	913,691	---
34150 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS).....	122,678	116,178	-6,500
34200 PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS).....	5,384	5,384	---
34250 SCHOOL TRAINING.....	243,888	243,888	---
34300 SPECIAL TRAINING.....	168,222	168,222	---
34350 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT.....	1,684,800	1,663,400	-21,400
34400 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	17,794	17,794	---
34450 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	3,156,457	3,128,557	-27,900
34700 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-17,970	-17,970
35000 TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE.....	3,156,457	3,110,587	-45,870

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	**122,678**	**116,178**	**-6,500**
Projected underexecution		-6,500	
ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	**1,684,800**	**1,663,400**	**-21,400**
Full Time Pay and Allowances - projected underexecution		-16,400	
Non-Prior Service Enlistment Bonus - excess to requirement		-5,000	
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		**-17,970**	**-17,970**
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-17,970	

TITLE II

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The fiscal year 2015 Department of Defense operation and maintenance budget request totals $166,002,818,000. The Committee recommendation provides $164,631,638,000 for the operation and maintenance accounts. The table below summarizes the Committee recommendations:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
RECAPITULATION			
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY	33,240,148	32,671,980	-568,168
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY	39,316,857	39,073,543	-243,314
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS	5,909,487	5,984,680	+75,193
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE	35,331,193	35,024,160	-307,033
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE	31,198,232	30,896,741	-301,491
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE	2,490,569	2,535,606	+45,037
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE	1,007,100	1,011,827	+4,727
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE	268,582	270,485	+1,903
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE	3,015,842	2,989,214	-26,628
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	6,030,773	6,116,307	+85,534
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD	6,392,859	6,393,919	+1,060
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER ACCOUNT	5,000	---	-5,000
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES	13,723	13,723	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY	201,560	201,560	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY	277,294	277,294	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE	408,716	408,716	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE	8,547	8,547	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED DEF. SITES	208,353	233,353	+25,000
OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID	100,000	103,000	+3,000
COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT	365,108	365,108	---
DOD ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND	212,875	51,875	-161,000
GRAND TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	166,002,818	164,631,638	-1,371,180

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) for each of the fiscal year 2015 appropriation accounts not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act. The Secretary of Defense is prohibited from executing any reprogramming or transfer of funds for any purpose other than originally appropriated until the aforementioned report is submitted to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to use the normal prior approval reprogramming procedures to transfer funds in the Services' operation and maintenance accounts between budget activities in excess of $15,000,000. In addition, the Secretary of Defense should follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for transfers in excess of $15,000,000 out of the following budget sub-activities:

Army:
Maneuver units
Modular support brigades
Land forces operations support
Force readiness operations support
Land forces depot maintenance
Base operations support
Facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization
Navy:
Mission and other flight operations
Aircraft depot maintenance
Mission and other ship operations
Ship depot maintenance
Facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization
Marine Corps:
Depot maintenance
Facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization
Air Force:
Primary combat forces
Combat enhancement forces
Combat communications
Facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization
Operating forces depot maintenance
Mobilization depot maintenance
Training and recruiting depot maintenance
Administration and service-wide depot maintenance
Air Force Reserve:
Depot maintenance
Air National Guard:
Depot maintenance

Finally, the Secretary of Defense should follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for transfers in excess of $15,000,000 into the following budget sub-activity:

Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard:
Other personnel support/recruiting and advertising

With respect to Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide, proposed transfers of funds to or from the levels specified for defense

agencies in excess of $15,000,000 shall be subject to prior approval reprogramming procedures.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BUDGET EXECUTION DATA

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to continue to provide the congressional defense committees with quarterly budget execution data. Such data should be provided not later than 45 days after the close of each quarter of the fiscal year, and should be provided for each O–1 budget activity, activity group, and sub-activity group for each of the active, defense-wide, reserve, and National Guard components. For each O–1 budget activity, activity group, and sub-activity group, these reports should include the budget request and actual obligation amount, the distribution of unallocated congressional adjustments to the budget request, all adjustments made by the Department in establishing the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) report, all adjustments resulting from below threshold reprogrammings, and all adjustments resulting from prior approval reprogramming requests.

SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or items for which funding has been reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in the Committee report are congressional interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount specifically addressed in the Committee report. Below threshold reprogrammings may not be used to either restore or reduce funding from congressional interest items as identified on the DD Form 1414. These items remain special interest items whether or not they are repeated in a subsequent conference report.

READINESS

The Committee shares the concern of the Secretary of Defense and the Service Chiefs regarding reduced readiness levels across the military. A decade of war coupled with the impacts of sequestration and the current fiscal climate has limited the Services' ability to transition their focus from counter-insurgency operations to full spectrum training in preparation for future requirements. The Committee supports the Service Chiefs' commitment to improved readiness and recognizes that it will take time and stable budgets to restore full spectrum readiness.

The Committee recommendation includes $1,000,000,000 in title VIII of the bill to be transferred to the operation and maintenance accounts for the active Services, the National Guard, and the reserve components, to be used only for improving military readiness. The funding is to be divided proportionately amongst the Services and the National Guard and reserve components, and shall be used only to improve readiness, including increased training, depot maintenance, and base operations support. None of the funding provided may be used for recruiting, marketing, or advertising programs. The Committee believes that as the Services continue to reduce personnel levels, they must become more efficient and effec-

tive with the advertising and recruiting dollars provided in the base budget and should not use funds intended for readiness on increased advertising or recruiting efforts. Additional funds are also provided to the Army, Navy, and Air Force for depot maintenance in their respective depot maintenance sub-activity groups.

The funding provided is a congressional special interest item. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense and the Service Secretaries to submit a detailed spending plan by budget activity and sub-activity group to the congressional defense committees not less than 30 days prior to the obligation of these funds.

RELEASE OF DETAINEES

The Committee is deeply concerned with the Administration's handling of the recent transfer of five senior level Taliban leaders detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. It is clear that the Department violated Section 1035 of the fiscal year 2014 National Defense Authorization Act, which requires a 30 day prior notification to the transfer of any detainees to a foreign country. While the debate of negotiating with terrorists will continue for some time, the violation of trust between the Department and Congress to use funds in violation of current law cannot be easily overlooked. In fact, the restriction on foreign transfers of detainees, which has been in place for five years, was modified in good faith on Congress' part just last year. Further, any notion that exigent circumstances prevented proper congressional notification rings hollow when the Administration clearly has been negotiating this transfer for several years. The Committee understands the unique nature of the events that led to this transfer, but believes that is exactly the reason why prior notification is so important. The decision to negotiate with terrorists for the release of prisoners is a dangerous precedent, one that has implications far beyond the Department of Defense, and one that Congress should have a voice in deciding. Therefore, to further clarify Congressional prerogatives which were ignored, the Committee includes a general provision which prohibits the Secretary from spending any funds in violation of Section 1035 of the fiscal year 2014 National Defense Authorization Act.

SERVICE AUDIT AGENCY VALIDATION

The Committee understands that in fiscal year 2014, the Secretary of Defense revised the guidance for reporting associated with section 2466 of title 10, United States Code, in a manner that suggests a renewed interest in enforcing intra-departmental policies on depot maintenance workloads and 50–50 reporting. However, this guidance, while more detailed in some ways than that issued in the past, directs that the validation effort focus on the 50–50 data collection process instead of on the actual data. It also appears to give the Services additional flexibility to use reviewers other than those associated with their respective audit agencies to validate their respective processes prior to submission of the data to the Secretary of Defense. The Committee has two concerns with regard to the new guidance. First, the Committee considers a review of the 50–50 data to be submitted to Congress as critical to ensuring compliance with section 2466. Second, given the results of past reviews,

the Committee considers Service audit agency involvement in the validation of the submissions essential to ensuring the accuracy of the 50–50 report.

The Committee directs each Service Secretary to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after the enactment of this Act on the respective Service plan to ensure that the Service audit agency is directly involved in the process of annually validating that the data submitted for the report to Congress on the Distribution of Department of Defense Depot Maintenance Workloads are accurate and complete, beginning with the report for fiscal years 2014 through 2016. The report produced by each Service Secretary shall provide details regarding the Service audit agency's plan to validate all data submitted for inclusion in future 50–50 reports, including a description of the approach that will be used to accomplish this data validation and a description of plans to review depot-level maintenance and repair workload estimates associated with contractor logistics support, interim contractor support, performance based logistics, and similar contractual arrangements. As a further means of enhancing the annual validation process, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to develop a standard set of data fields to guide the Services and defense agencies in their annual collection of data for the 50–50 report and to establish a requirement that all depot maintenance expenditure data collected be retained at the Service or defense agency's headquarters for at least three years. Moreover, the Committee recommends that the Service Secretaries and the directors of the defense agencies work to automate their data collection processes wherever possible to improve the auditability of their data and reduce the likelihood of human errors in the calculations.

DEPOT-LEVEL MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR BUDGET EXHIBITS

The Committee remains frustrated with the quality of the annual budget justification materials related to the Department of Defense depot-level maintenance expenditures. The OP–30 budget exhibit provides useful details concerning the extent to which the operation and maintenance budget request meets the Services' requirements for depot-level maintenance and repair of end items. The OP–31 budget exhibit provides insight regarding estimated orders for depot-level repairables (DLRs). The fiscal year 2015 budget request also includes the PB–45 budget exhibit, which some Services provide in lieu of the OP–30; this document provides additional useful information about methods of accomplishment of depot-level maintenance and repair workloads. What is missing, however, is an overarching document that summarizes the request for all depot-level maintenance and repair workloads, regardless of whether the work pertains to end items or DLRs, and regardless of which appropriations account funds the work.

Therefore, beginning with the fiscal year 2016 request, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to include, in addition to the documentation referenced above, an exhibit that provides a comprehensive view of depot-level maintenance and repair workloads for each of the Services in future budget requests. Each Service shall submit with its budget request an exhibit that summarizes funded requirements for its active, re-

serve, and Guard components, where applicable, which shows the full range of depot-level maintenance and repair workload, including all end items and DLRs. This budget exhibit shall display major maintenance activities and their method of accomplishment (including contractor logistics support, interim contractor support, other contract, and organic) by appropriations account for the prior year, current year, and budget year. The Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) should ensure that these exhibits do not include expenditures for work other than depot-level maintenance and repair.

DEPOT MAINTENANCE

With the recent drawdowns in Iraq and Afghanistan, repair operations at the depots have increased as the Services rebalance for training and preparation for full-spectrum operations. The Committee is aware of the critical role that the depots play in maintaining readiness and recognizes that after a decade of war, capital investments and modernization of the repair facilities is required to support the reset of platforms needed for full-spectrum operations. Therefore, the Committee provides an additional $90,000,000 specifically for depot maintenance for the Army, Navy, and Air Force.

FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION

The Committee has expressed concern for the last three fiscal years that base facility sustainment requirements are underfunded. The Committee believes that deferring this maintenance has a long-term detrimental impact on both military readiness and the quality of life and work environment of servicemembers. The Committee provides an additional $720,000,000 to the facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization programs to address the maintenance backlog for the active Services, the National Guard, and the reserve components.

SUICIDE PREVENTION TRAINING AND OUTREACH

Suicide remains an issue of concern in the Services, especially within the Guard and reserve components. Whereas servicemembers serving on active duty return from deployments to military bases which provide structured support networks, returning Guardsmen and reservists are frequently geographically isolated from their units and may not have the regular interactions with their peers and chain of command that their active duty counterparts experience.

The Committee is aware of programs for the reserve components, such as the National Guard Psychological Health Program, to improve access to mental health providers, and the Committee recommendation fully funds the budget request for this program. The Committee recommendation provides additional funding for suicide prevention in the Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide account. In addition, the Committee recognizes the important role that programs such as Yellow Ribbon play in helping Guardsmen and reservists transition to civilian life upon returning from deployment.

The Committee acknowledges the steps that the Services have taken to implement suicide prevention training and outreach efforts and to lower the rate of suicide among servicemembers but believes that more must be done to identify at-risk servicemembers and to improve prevention and outreach efforts. The Committee urges the Service Secretaries to continue to make suicide prevention a key priority and to regularly update the Committee on actions being taken.

WORKING CONDITIONS IN BANGLADESH

The Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 directed the Secretary of Defense to provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees that specify if any garments purchased by the military exchange system are manufactured in Bangladesh from suppliers that are not signatories or in compliance with the Accord for Fire and Building Safety in Bangladesh. The Committee is aware that there is a second organization of companies, the Alliance for Bangladesh Worker Safety, that is also concerned with ensuring compliance. The Committee supports the continued reporting on this issue and directs the Secretary of Defense to include in the quarterly submissions if any of the garments purchased by the military exchange system are manufactured in Bangladesh from suppliers that are not signatories or in compliance with either the Accord or the Alliance.

OVERESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF CIVILIAN WORKFORCE

The fiscal year 2014 budget request substantially overestimated the number of civilians that would be employed by the Department of Defense during fiscal year 2014. The Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 used data provided by the Services and defense agencies to identify the actual civilian full time equivalents (FTE) that were recorded beginning in fiscal year 2014 and reduced funding in the operation and maintenance accounts based on this overestimation of civilian FTE levels. While the Committee supports a strong civilian workforce, the Department of the Army and the Department of the Air Force again substantially overestimated the number of civilians that would be employed during fiscal year 2015. For the Army and Air Force, including the reserve components but not the National Guard, the current fiscal year 2014 estimate for civilian FTEs is well below what was provided for in the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 and what is planned in the fiscal year 2015 budget request. As such, the Committee recommends reductions in fiscal year 2015 due to overestimation of civilian FTEs in these accounts.

The Committee has not received the Department of the Navy's projected fiscal year 2015 average civilian FTE strength. Additionally, the Navy has not provided that data to the Government Accountability Office (GAO) to enable it to complete its annual analysis of the Department of Defense civilian personnel budget request. Failure to provide information in a timely manner makes it difficult for the Committee and the GAO to conduct proper oversight. Therefore, the Committee again reduces the Navy, but not the Marine Corps, fiscal year 2015 request for civilian personnel

commensurate with the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014.

To ensure that timely and accurate information is provided in future fiscal years, beginning in fiscal year 2016 the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to include the projected average civilian FTE strength as well as the civilian end strength in its quarterly end strength report.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BUDGET DOCUMENTATION

While the Department of Defense and the Departments of the Army and the Air Force all provide average annual civilian salary by sub-activity group (SAG) in the personnel summary exhibit in the OP–5, the Department of the Navy does not provide this information. The Committee is aware that the Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation (FMR) does not require this data as part of the budget justification materials. The Committee recommends that the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) modify the FMR to require that this information be provided at the SAG level of detail as part of all operation and maintenance account budget justification submissions. Further, until the FMR is amended to require this information be included in the annual budget justification submissions, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Service Secretaries to provide the average annual civilian salary in the personnel summary exhibit for each sub-activity group for each Service and agency.

The Committee is disappointed that in many cases throughout the fiscal year 2015 budget justification materials, the Department of the Navy and the Department of Defense use the term "partially offset" rather than breaking out program changes by program increase and program decrease for every line item in the OP–5. This makes it difficult for the Committee to have full visibility over the changes occurring within programs. Therefore, beginning in fiscal year 2016, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Service Secretaries to fully display all increases and decreases at the SAG level in the OP–5 exhibits.

Finally, in many of the workload exhibits in the operation and maintenance accounts, there is no accompanying explanation of the units of measurement. The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Service Secretaries to properly annotate the exhibits to specify the units of measurement presented in the display.

OPERATIONAL RESERVE

The Committee has previously expressed concern regarding the Army's support for maintaining an operational reserve. After reviewing the fiscal year 2015 budget request, the Committee remains concerned that the Army Reserve and the Army National Guard readiness accounts are significantly underfunded. The Committee believes that this will have an immediate and lasting impact on the Army National Guard and the Army Reserve's readiness that will be difficult to restore in future fiscal years.

Significant taxpayer investment has been made to enable the Guard and reserve to become an integral part of the operational

force. The Committee supports the enduring vision of an operational reserve and encourages all Services to continue resourcing and utilizing the Guard and reserve components as key members of the operational force.

In addition to the $1,000,000,000 provided for increased military readiness described elsewhere in the Committee report, of which the Army Reserve and the Army National Guard will receive a proportional share, $135,000,000 is provided directly to the Army Reserve and the Army National Guard to be used specifically to further improve readiness of the operational reserve. The recommendation also includes $68,000,000 for the Army National Guard to fully fund two Combat Training Center rotations in fiscal year 2015 as scheduled.

MILITARY INFORMATION SUPPORT OPERATIONS

The Committee appreciates the attention that the Department has given to the Committee's concern that funding for military information support operations (MISO) activities only be used to fulfill core military activities rather than duplicate programs and activities more appropriately conducted by other departments and agencies. The Committee believes that significant progress has been made and appreciates the Department's efforts in this regard. The Committee notes that there are some specific issues that warrant further review which are detailed in the classified annex accompanying the Committee report. The Committee looks forward to continuing to work with the Department to address these matters. The Committee recommendation reduces the base budget request for MISO funding by $15,000,000. The allocation of funds by combatant command and funding levels for certain programs is specifically delineated in the classified annex. The delineation of this funding is a congressional special interest item and any deviations from the allocations are subject to sections 8005, 8006, and 9002 of this Act.

The Secretary of Defense is also directed to submit a report to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees not later than 30 days after the enactment of this Act that details the proposed execution of the funding provided for MISO programs. The report shall include the program name, activity, a description of service, target audience, goals and objectives, attribution, measures of effectiveness, prior year obligations and expenditures, and the estimated budget for the year of execution. This report, and the delineation of each program, project, and activity, shall serve as the basis for reprogramming in accordance with section 8006 of this Act.

NUCLEAR AND NATURAL DISASTER RESPONSE

The Committee understands that differences between Department of Defense and civilian guidelines regarding nuclear or radiological event disaster response created challenges during Operation Tomadachi, which provided humanitarian assistance following the earthquake and the tsunami, and the subsequent release of radioactive material from the Fukushima nuclear reactor in Japan. The Committee understands that more uniform methodologies are being considered to eliminate confusion among responders and improve

the decisionmaking process during such operations, and it encourages the Secretary of Defense to consider such proposals when reviewing guidelines for conducting nuclear, radiological, and natural disaster response and humanitarian assistance operations.

PERFORMANCE MEASURES

The Committee supports efforts to include performance measures in the budget justification documents. These performance measures should be result oriented and demonstrate how prior year investments show progress toward achieving performance goals. The Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to work with the Comptroller General of the United States to develop result oriented performance measures that will assist the Department in the implementation of its strategic guidance.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$30,768,069,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	33,240,148,000
Committee recommendation	32,671,980,000
Change from budget request	−568,168,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $32,671,980,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	LAND FORCES			
10	MANEUVER UNITS	969,281	895,281	-74,000
20	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	61,990	61,990	---
30	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES	450,987	448,084	-2,903
40	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	545,773	545,773	---
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,057,453	1,057,453	---
60	AVIATION ASSETS	1,409,347	1,330,347	-79,000
	LAND FORCES READINESS			
70	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	3,592,334	3,494,765	-97,569
80	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	411,388	411,388	---
90	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,001,232	1,031,232	+30,000
	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT			
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	7,428,972	7,201,507	-227,465
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION	2,066,434	2,245,577	+179,143
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	411,863	411,863	---
130	COMBATANT COMMANDER'S CORE OPERATIONS	179,399	179,399	---
170	COMBATANT COMMANDERS ANCILLARY MISSIONS	432,281	432,281	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	20,018,734	19,746,940	-271,794
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
	MOBILITY OPERATIONS			
180	STRATEGIC MOBILITY	316,776	316,776	---
190	ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS	187,609	187,609	---
200	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	6,463	6,463	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	510,848	510,848	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
	ACCESSION TRAINING			
210	OFFICER ACQUISITION	124,766	124,766	---
220	RECRUIT TRAINING	51,968	47,968	-4,000
230	ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING	43,735	35,735	-8,000
240	SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	456,563	456,563	---
	BASIC SKILL AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
250	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	886,529	858,529	-28,000
260	FLIGHT TRAINING	890,070	890,070	---
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	193,291	188,958	-4,333
280	TRAINING SUPPORT	552,359	552,359	---
	RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
290	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	466,927	466,927	---
300	EXAMINING	194,588	188,489	-6,099
310	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	205,782	205,782	---
320	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	150,571	150,571	---
330	JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	169,784	169,784	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	4,386,933	4,336,501	-50,432
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
340	SECURITY PROGRAMS	1,030,411	1,029,474	-937
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS			
350	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	541,877	541,877	---
360	CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES	722,291	722,291	---
370	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	602,034	602,034	---
380	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT	422,277	422,277	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
390	ADMINISTRATION	405,442	403,037	-2,405
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	1,624,742	1,624,742	---
410	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	289,771	289,771	---
420	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	390,924	390,924	---
430	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT	1,118,540	1,123,440	+4,900
440	ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES	241,234	241,234	---
450	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	243,509	243,509	---
460	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	200,615	200,615	---
	SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS			
460	SUPPORT OF NATO OPERATIONS	462,591	462,591	---
470	MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS	27,375	27,375	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	8,323,633	8,325,191	+1,558
	OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS	---	-247,500	-247,500
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY	33,240,148	32,671,980	-568,168

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
111	**MANEUVER UNITS**	**969,281**	**895,281**	**-74,000**
	Unjustified program growth		-74,000	
113	**ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE**	**450,987**	**448,084**	**-2,903**
	Remove one-time fiscal year 2014 costs for Unmanned Aircraft Systems - Raven		-2,903	
116	**AVIATION ASSETS**	**1,409,347**	**1,330,347**	**-79,000**
	Unjustified program growth		-79,000	
121	**FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT**	**3,592,334**	**3,494,765**	**-97,569**
	Forward based mode radar program fully funded in Missile Defense Agency budget		-29,569	
	Fully fund two Combat Training Center rotations - Army requested transfer to OM,ARNG and MP,ARNG		-68,000	
123	**LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE**	**1,001,232**	**1,031,232**	**30,000**
	Program increase		30,000	
131	**BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT**	**7,428,972**	**7,201,507**	**-227,465**
	Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for utilities		-227,200	
	Army Cemeteries - transfer to OM,AR not properly accounted		-265	
132	**FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION**	**2,066,434**	**2,245,577**	**179,143**
	Arlington National Cemetery funded in Military Construction and Veterans Affairs Appropriations bill		-25,000	
	Program increase		204,143	
312	**RECRUIT TRAINING**	**51,968**	**47,968**	**-4,000**
	Excess to requirement		-4,000	
313	**ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING**	**43,735**	**35,735**	**-8,000**
	Excess to requirement		-8,000	
321	**SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING**	**886,529**	**858,529**	**-28,000**
	Excess to requirement		-38,000	
	Language capabilities program increase		10,000	
323	**PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION**	**193,291**	**188,958**	**-4,333**
	Unjustified program growth		-4,333	
332	**EXAMINING**	**194,588**	**188,489**	**-6,099**
	US MEPCOM test administrators - unjustified program growth		-6,099	
411	**SECURITY PROGRAMS**	**1,030,411**	**1,029,474**	**-937**
	Cyber realignment of funds - transfer to SAG 121 not properly accounted		-937	
431	**ADMINISTRATION**	**405,442**	**403,037**	**-2,405**
	Civilian Workforce Transformation - unjustified program growth		-2,405	
435	**OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT**	**1,118,540**	**1,123,440**	**4,900**
	Army support to Capitol 4th		4,900	
	OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS		**-247,500**	**-247,500**

CEMETERIAL EXPENSES

The Committee notes that for the third year in a row, the fiscal year 2015 budget request includes $25,000,000 in the Operation and Maintenance, Army account to assist with the maintenance backlog at Arlington National Cemetery instead of requesting all funds within the Cemeterial Expenses, Army account. As stated in the reports accompanying the House versions of the Department of Defense Appropriations Acts for fiscal years 2013 and 2014, the Committee does not support the proposal to fund Arlington National Cemetery through separate accounts contained in two different appropriations bills. Split funding undermines the federal budgeting principles requiring expenditures to be charged against the same account each year, once an account has been identified for such purpose. The Committee rejects the proposal to fund cemeterial expenses in the Operation and Maintenance, Army account and instead provides funding to address the maintenance backlog at Arlington National Cemetery in the Cemeterial Expenses, Army account in the House-passed Military Construction and Veterans Affairs Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2015. Further, the Committee directs that future budget requests include all funding proposed for Arlington National Cemetery within the Cemeterial Expenses, Army account.

ARMY INVENTORY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

The Committee recognizes the difficulty of efficiently managing the retrograde of equipment and material from Afghanistan to military installations overseas and in the United States. The Committee understands that problems also extend to the cataloging, inventorying, and tracking of equipment at domestic bases because much of the cataloging and tracking of the data plates and bar codes for this equipment is accomplished with pen and paper. This process is slow, expensive, and can lead to errors in which equipment is lost, left behind, or sent to the wrong destination. The Committee is aware that the Army is testing automated inventory tracking and management solutions to capture data plate and bar code information and supports further testing and evaluation to determine if these solutions can be cost effective and time saving replacements for the current systems. The Committee supports the Army's efforts to automate its inventory management activities and to achieve cost savings associated with modernized systems.

DEFENSE GENERATOR AND RAIL EQUIPMENT CENTER AT HILL AIR FORCE BASE

The Committee understands that the Defense Generator and Rail Equipment Center (DGRC), located at Hill Air Force Base (AFB), needs significant renovations to address deficiencies, including some necessary for improved health and safety. Further, while DGRC is currently located within the boundaries of Hill AFB, the Committee is aware that after completion of the enhanced use lease project DGRC could be located outside of the boundaries and if so, would be required to provide its own force protection which would increase operational costs. The Committee urges the Secretary of the Army to work with the Secretary of the Air Force and

relevant state and local stakeholders to address concerns raised by the current DGRC location and to reach an agreement acceptable to all parties on the future status and location of the DGRC. The Committee is also concerned that obligating funds to perform non-safety related renovations of the DGRC before a consensus is reached would not be a good use of limited resources. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to limit renovations to those required only for health and safety until such an agreement is reached and to keep the congressional defense committees informed of all safety-related renovations performed, as well as a justification for why the renovations are required.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$36,311,160,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	39,316,857,000
Committee recommendation	39,073,543,000
Change from budget request	−243,314,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $39,073,543,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	AIR OPERATIONS			
10	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	4,947,202	4,732,371	-214,831
20	FLEET AIR TRAINING	1,647,943	1,637,808	-10,135
30	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA AND ENGINEERING SERVICES	37,050	36,828	-222
40	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	96,139	93,647	-2,492
50	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	363,763	353,763	-10,000
60	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	814,770	824,770	+10,000
70	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	36,494	36,312	-182
80	AVIATION LOGISTICS	350,641	344,239	-6,402
	SHIP OPERATIONS			
90	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	3,865,379	3,865,379	---
100	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT AND TRAINING	711,243	710,544	-699
110	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	5,296,408	5,297,468	+1,060
120	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,339,077	1,337,162	-1,915
	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS/SUPPORT			
130	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	708,634	703,250	-5,384
140	ELECTRONIC WARFARE	91,599	91,591	-8
150	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE	207,038	206,977	-61
160	WARFARE TACTICS	432,715	432,549	-166
170	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY	338,116	337,219	-897
180	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	892,316	889,500	-2,816
190	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	128,486	128,369	-117
200	DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	2,472	2,472	---
210	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	101,200	97,909	-3,291
220	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	188,920	188,623	-297

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	WEAPONS SUPPORT			
230	CRUISE MISSILE	109,911	109,298	-613
240	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE	1,172,823	1,165,945	-6,878
250	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT	104,139	104,139	---
260	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	490,911	490,031	-880
270	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT	324,861	324,861	---
	BASE SUPPORT			
280	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	936,743	936,442	-301
290	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	1,483,495	1,631,845	+148,350
300	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	4,398,667	4,357,389	-41,278
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	31,619,155	31,468,700	-150,455
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
	READY RESERVE AND PREPOSITIONING FORCES			
320	SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE	526,926	526,906	-20
330	READY RESERVE FORCE	291,195	291,195	---
	ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS			
320	AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	6,704	6,704	---
330	SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	251,538	251,513	-25
	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS			
340	FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM	124,323	124,294	-29
350	INDUSTRIAL READINESS	2,323	2,323	---
360	COAST GUARD SUPPORT	20,333	20,333	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	1,223,342	1,223,268	-74

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
	ACCESSION TRAINING			
370	OFFICER ACQUISITION	156,214	155,542	-672
380	RECRUIT TRAINING	8,863	8,840	-23
390	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	148,150	148,082	-68
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
400	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	601,501	600,489	-1,012
410	FLIGHT TRAINING	8,239	8,221	-18
420	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	164,214	165,170	+956
430	TRAINING SUPPORT	182,619	182,216	-403
	RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
440	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	230,589	231,418	+829
450	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	115,595	115,472	-123
460	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	79,606	73,118	-6,488
470	JUNIOR ROTC	41,664	41,652	-12
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	1,737,254	1,730,220	-7,034
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
480	ADMINISTRATION	858,871	847,881	-10,990
490	EXTERNAL RELATIONS	12,807	12,777	-30
500	CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	119,863	119,243	-620
510	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	356,113	354,866	-1,247
520	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	255,605	252,145	-3,460
530	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	339,802	339,478	-324

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT			
550	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	172,203	172,203	---
570	PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN	283,621	274,603	-9,018
580	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	1,111,464	1,106,994	-4,470
590	HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT	43,232	43,232	---
600	COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS	25,689	25,670	-19
610	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS	73,159	73,047	-112
	SECURITY PROGRAMS			
620	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	548,640	543,429	-5,211
	SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS			
680	INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES	4,713	4,713	---
	OTHER PROGRAMS			
	OTHER PROGRAMS	531,324	529,524	-1,800
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	4,737,106	4,699,805	-37,301
	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG	---	-48,450	-48,450
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY	39,316,857	39,073,543	-243,314

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1A1A	**MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS**	**4,947,202**	**4,732,371**	**-214,831**
	Overestimation of flying hours		-82,701	
	Fuel costs - unjustified growth		-100,000	
	Transportation costs - unjustified growth		-20,000	
	FTE - unjustified growth		-12,130	
1A2A	**FLEET AIR TRAINING**	**1,647,943**	**1,637,808**	**-10,135**
	Training - unjustified growth		-9,951	
	FTE - unjustified growth		-184	
1A3A	**AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA AND ENGINEERING**	**37,050**	**36,828**	**-222**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-222	
1A4A	**AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT**	**96,139**	**93,647**	**-2,492**
	Other services - unjustified growth		-2,200	
	Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth		-292	
1A4N	**AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT**	**363,763**	**353,763**	**-10,000**
	Program related engineering logistics - unjustified growth		-10,000	
1A5A	**AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE**	**814,770**	**824,770**	**10,000**
	Program increase		10,000	
1A6A	**AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT**	**36,494**	**36,312**	**-182**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-137	
	Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth		-45	
1A9A	**AVIATION LOGISTICS**	**350,641**	**344,239**	**-6,402**
	Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth		-6,402	
1B2B	**SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT AND TRAINING**	**711,243**	**710,544**	**-699**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-699	
1B4B	**SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE**	**5,296,408**	**5,297,468**	**1,060**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-8,940	
	Program increase		10,000	
1B5B	**SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT**	**1,339,077**	**1,337,162**	**-1,915**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-1,915	
1C1C	**COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS**	**708,634**	**703,250**	**-5,384**
	Shore support - unjustified growth		-5,000	
	FTE - unjustified growth		-384	
1C2C	**ELECTRONIC WARFARE**	**91,599**	**91,591**	**-8**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-8	
1C3C	**SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE**	**207,038**	**206,977**	**-61**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-61	
1C4C	**WARFARE TACTICS**	**432,715**	**432,549**	**-166**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-166	
1C5C	**OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY**	**338,116**	**337,219**	**-897**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-897	

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1C6C	**COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES**	**892,316**	**889,500**	**-2,816**
	Travel administration - unjustified growth		-2,816	
1C7C	**EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE**	**128,486**	**128,369**	**-117**
	Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth		-117	
1CCH	**COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS**	**101,200**	**97,909**	**-3,291**
	DISA field security operations - unjustified growth		-1,500	
	Management and professional support services - unjustified growth		-1,470	
	FTE - unjustified growth		-321	
1CCM	**COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION**	**188,920**	**188,623**	**-297**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-297	
1D1D	**CRUISE MISSILE**	**109,911**	**109,298**	**-613**
	Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth		-613	
1D2D	**FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE**	**1,172,823**	**1,165,945**	**-6,878**
	Equipment maintenance by contract - unjustified growth		-6,000	
	FTE - unjustified growth		-878	
1D4D	**WEAPONS MAINTENANCE**	**490,911**	**490,031**	**-880**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-18	
	Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth		-862	
BSIT	**ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY**	**936,743**	**936,442**	**-301**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-301	
BSM1	**FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION**	**1,483,495**	**1,631,845**	**148,350**
	Program increase		148,350	
BSS1	**BASE OPERATING SUPPORT**	**4,398,667**	**4,357,389**	**-41,278**
	Quality of service initiative - unjustified growth		-40,971	
	FTE - unjustified growth		-7,807	
	Non-NIP AFRICOM support		7,500	
2A1F	**SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE**	**526,926**	**526,906**	**-20**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-20	
2B2G	**SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS**	**251,538**	**251,513**	**-25**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-25	
2C1H	**FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM**	**124,323**	**124,294**	**-29**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-29	
3A1J	**OFFICER ACQUISITION**	**156,214**	**155,542**	**-672**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-672	
3A2J	**RECRUIT TRAINING**	**8,863**	**8,840**	**-23**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-23	

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3A3J	**RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS**	**148,150**	**148,082**	**-68**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-68	
3B1K	**SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING**	**601,501**	**600,489**	**-1,012**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-1,012	
3B2K	**FLIGHT TRAINING**	**8,239**	**8,221**	**-18**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-18	
3B3K	**PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION**	**164,214**	**165,170**	**956**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-657	
	SOCOM advanced education program - transfer from OM,DW		1,613	
3B4K	**TRAINING SUPPORT**	**182,619**	**182,216**	**-403**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-403	
3C1L	**RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING**	**230,589**	**231,418**	**829**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-319	
	Naval Sea Cadet Corps		1,148	
3C3L	**OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION**	**115,595**	**115,472**	**-123**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-123	
3C4L	**CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING**	**79,606**	**73,118**	**-6,488**
	Workforce - unjustified growth		-5,900	
	FTE - unjustified growth		-588	
3C5L	**JUNIOR ROTC**	**41,664**	**41,652**	**-12**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-12	
4A1M	**ADMINISTRATION**	**858,871**	**847,881**	**-10,990**
	Administrative functions - unjustified growth		-9,000	
	FTE - unjustified growth		-1,990	
4A2M	**EXTERNAL RELATIONS**	**12,807**	**12,777**	**-30**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-30	
4A3M	**CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL**	**119,863**	**119,243**	**-620**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-620	
4A4M	**MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL**	**356,113**	**354,866**	**-1,247**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-1,247	
4A5M	**OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT**	**255,605**	**252,145**	**-3,460**
	Navy History and Heritage Command - unjustified growth		-2,862	
	FTE - unjustified growth		-598	
4A6M	**SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS**	**339,802**	**339,478**	**-324**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-324	
4B2N	**PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN**	**283,621**	**274,603**	**-9,018**
	Expeditionary missions program office - unjustified growth		-7,490	
	FTE - unjustified growth		-1,528	

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
4B3N	**ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT**	**1,111,464**	**1,106,994**	**-4,470**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-4,470	
4B6N	**COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS**	**25,689**	**25,670**	**-19**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-19	
4B7N	**SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS**	**73,159**	**73,047**	**-112**
	FTE - unjustified growth		-112	
4C1P	**NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE**	**548,640**	**543,429**	**-5,211**
	Travel - unjustified growth		-3,350	
	FTE - unjustified growth		-1,861	
9999	**OTHER PROGRAMS**	**531,324**	**529,524**	**-1,800**
	Classified adjustment		-1,800	
	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG		**-48,450**	**-48,450**

TIRE SUCCESSOR INITIATIVE

The Defense Logistics Agency established the Tire Successor Initiative (TSI) to contract with a non-tire manufacturer for tire contract management. However, the Department of the Navy received an exemption from TSI for their existing tire contract. As a result of the exemption, the existing Navy tire contract is administered by a tire manufacturer, creating a perception of a lack of competition. Now that the Navy's existing tire contracts are set to expire, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to administer tire procurement through the Tire Successor Initiative as administered by the Defense Logistics Agency.

SHIPBOARD LIGHTING SYSTEMS

The Navy is researching how to increase light-emitting diode supply and vendor capacity, decrease costs, and rapidly field solid state lighting on ships. The lighting program replaces existing fixtures on various ship classes to continue the savings associated with more efficient lighting methods. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to continue to replace outdated and inefficient fixtures and urges the Secretary of the Navy to update the lighting specification standards.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$5,397,605,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	5,909,487,000
Committee recommendation	5,984,680,000
Change from budget request	+75,193,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $5,984,680,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	EXPEDITIONARY FORCES			
10	OPERATIONAL FORCES	905,744	939,544	+33,800
20	FIELD LOGISTICS	921,543	921,543	---
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	229,058	239,058	+10,000
	USMC PREPOSITIONING			
40	MARITIME PREPOSITIONING	87,660	87,660	---
60	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	573,926	631,319	+57,393
70	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	1,983,118	1,983,118	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	4,701,049	4,802,242	+101,193
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
	ACCESSION TRAINING			
80	RECRUIT TRAINING	18,227	18,227	---
90	OFFICER ACQUISITION	948	948	---
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
100	SPECIALIZED SKILLS TRAINING	98,448	98,448	---
120	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	42,305	42,305	---
130	TRAINING SUPPORT	330,156	330,156	---
	RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING EDUCATION			
140	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	161,752	161,752	---
150	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	19,137	19,137	---
160	JUNIOR ROTC	23,277	23,277	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	694,250	694,250	---
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
180	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	36,359	36,359	---
190	ADMINISTRATION	362,608	362,608	---
200	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	70,515	70,515	---
	SECURITY PROGRAMS			
	SECURITY PROGRAMS	44,706	44,706	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	514,188	514,188	---
	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG	---	-26,000	-26,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS	5,909,487	5,984,680	+75,193

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1A1A	**OPERATIONAL FORCES**	**905,744**	**939,544**	**33,800**
	Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force for CENTCOM - Marine Corps unfunded requirement		28,600	
	Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force for SOUTHCOM - Marine Corps unfunded requirement		5,200	
1A3A	**DEPOT MAINTENANCE**	**229,058**	**239,058**	**10,000**
	Program increase		10,000	
BSM1	**FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION**	**573,926**	**631,319**	**57,393**
	Program increase		57,393	
	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG		**-26,000**	**-26,000**

SPECIAL PURPOSE MARINE AIR GROUND TASK FORCE

Since its inception, the Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force—Crisis Response teams have responded successfully in several locations in the United States Africa Command area of responsibility. Their missions have included supporting local security operations, acting as a quick reaction force, conducting limited offensive and defensive operations, providing tactical recovery of aircraft and personnel, providing limited humanitarian assistance, and conducting limited non-combatant evacuation operations missions. The Committee is highly supportive of the Commandant's plan to provide Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force support to all combatant commands and has provided funding to establish teams in the Central Command and the Southern Command areas of responsibility.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$33,248,618,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	35,331,193,000
Committee recommendation	35,024,160,000
Change from budget request	−307,033,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $35,024,160,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	AIR OPERATIONS			
10	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	3,163,457	3,073,802	-89,655
20	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	1,694,339	1,689,320	-5,019
30	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING	1,579,178	1,560,540	-18,638
50	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	6,119,522	6,051,400	-68,122
60	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	1,453,589	1,598,948	+145,359
70	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	2,599,419	2,549,844	-49,575
	COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS			
80	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	908,790	869,894	-38,896
90	OTHER COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT PROGRAMS	856,306	856,306	---
100	TACTICAL INTELLIGENCE AND SPECIAL ACTIVITIES	800,689	800,689	---
	SPACE OPERATIONS			
110	LAUNCH FACILITIES	282,710	282,710	---
120	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS	397,818	397,818	---
130	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	871,840	852,840	-19,000
140	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	237,348	237,348	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	20,965,005	20,821,459	-143,546

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
	MOBILITY OPERATIONS			
140	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	1,968,810	1,939,173	-29,637
150	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	139,743	125,670	-14,073
160	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,534,560	1,549,560	+15,000
170	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	173,627	189,089	+15,462
180	BASE SUPPORT	688,801	688,801	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	4,505,541	4,492,293	-13,248
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
	ACCESSION TRAINING			
190	OFFICER ACQUISITION	82,396	82,396	---
200	RECRUIT TRAINING	19,852	19,852	---
210	RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	76,134	76,134	---
220	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	212,226	233,449	+21,223
230	BASE SUPPORT (ACADEMIES ONLY)	759,809	759,809	---
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
240	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	356,157	356,157	---
250	FLIGHT TRAINING	697,594	697,594	---
260	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	219,441	219,441	---
270	TRAINING SUPPORT	91,001	91,001	---
280	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	316,688	316,688	---
	RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
290	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	73,920	73,920	---
300	EXAMINING	3,121	3,121	---
310	OFF DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	181,718	181,718	---
320	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	147,667	147,667	---
330	JUNIOR ROTC	63,250	63,250	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	3,300,974	3,322,197	+21,223

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS			
340	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	1,003,513	997,379	-6,134
350	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	843,449	836,210	-7,239
360	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	78,126	78,126	---
370	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	247,677	272,445	+24,768
380	BASE SUPPORT	1,103,442	1,103,442	---
	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
390	ADMINISTRATION	597,234	597,234	---
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	506,840	506,840	---
410	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	892,256	892,256	---
420	CIVIL AIR PATROL CORPORATION	24,981	27,400	+2,419
	SECURITY PROGRAMS			
	SECURITY PROGRAMS	1,169,736	1,152,260	-17,476
	SUPPORT TO OTHER NATIONS			
450	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	92,419	92,419	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	6,559,673	6,556,011	-3,662
	OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS	---	-224,000	-224,000
	AWACS FORCE STRUCTURE PROGRAM INCREASE	---	34,600	+34,600
	NUCLEAR FORCE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	---	21,600	+21,600
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE	35,331,193	35,024,160	-307,033

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
011A PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	**3,163,457**	**3,073,802**	**-89,655**
Travel - unjustified program growth		-14,655	
Unjustified program growth		-75,000	
011C COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	**1,694,339**	**1,689,320**	**-5,019**
Studies, Analysis, and Evaluations - unjustified program growth		-2,640	
Retain U-2 - funds requested for divestiture early to need		-2,379	
011D AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS)	**1,579,178**	**1,560,540**	**-18,638**
Travel - unjustified program growth		-18,638	
011M DEPOT MAINTENANCE	**6,119,522**	**6,051,400**	**-68,122**
Unjustified program growth		-83,122	
Program increase		15,000	
011R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	**1,453,589**	**1,598,948**	**145,359**
Program increase		145,359	
011Z BASE SUPPORT	**2,599,419**	**2,549,844**	**-49,575**
Remove one-time fiscal year 2014 funding increase		-10,000	
Civilian Pay Program - unjustified program growth		-34,732	
Foreign currency fluctuation pricing requested as program growth for utilities		-4,843	
012A GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	**908,790**	**869,894**	**-38,896**
CYBERCOM direct mission support line - unjustified growth		-9,507	
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for other intra-governmental purchases		-15,389	
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for Studies, Analysis and Evaluations		-14,000	
015A COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	**871,840**	**852,840**	**-19,000**
Classified program reduction		-19,000	
021A AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	**1,968,810**	**1,939,173**	**-29,637**
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for flying hour program		-13,187	
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for non-DWCF equipment		-16,450	
021D MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	**139,743**	**125,670**	**-14,073**
Inflation pricing requested as program growth		-14,073	
021M DEPOT MAINTENANCE	**1,534,560**	**1,549,560**	**15,000**
Program increase		15,000	
021R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	**173,627**	**189,089**	**15,462**
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for civilian pay program		-1,900	
Program increase		17,362	

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
031R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	**212,226**	**233,449**	**21,223**
Program increase		21,223	
041A LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	**1,003,513**	**997,379**	**-6,134**
Inflation pricing requested as program growth		-6,134	
041B TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	**843,449**	**836,210**	**-7,239**
Defense Finance and Accounting Services rate adjustment requested as program growth		-7,239	
041R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	**247,677**	**272,445**	**24,768**
Program increase		24,768	
042I CIVIL AIR PATROL	**24,981**	**27,400**	**2,419**
Civil Air Patrol - program increase		2,419	
43A SECURITY PROGRAMS	**1,169,736**	**1,152,260**	**-17,476**
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for civilian pay program		-13,976	
Classified adjustment		-3,500	
OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS		**-224,000**	**-224,000**
AWACS FORCE STRUCTURE PROGRAM INCREASE		**34,600**	**34,600**
NUCLEAR FORCE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM		**21,600**	**21,600**

AIR FORCE FLYING HOUR METRICS

The Committee is concerned that the Air Force has not developed a cost effective, goal oriented, metric based program on which to base its flying hour requirements and annual funding request. In fiscal year 2015, the Air Force requests significant increases for air operations and states that the requested funding for flying hours is based on available funds rather than any stated requirement. Further, a review of execution of previous fiscal years' flying hour programs shows that the Air Force consistently underexecutes its flying hour program. In these fiscally constrained times, ensuring that every dollar is spent to its maximum effectiveness means that flying to the budget instead of the readiness requirement risks significant waste of taxpayer resources. In addition, the lack of objective criteria or performance goals makes conducting objective, data driven analysis by the Committee impossible.

The Committee strongly supports robust funding for flying hours and other training required to achieve pilot competence, safety, and combat readiness, and recognizes that providing sufficient funding for the flying hour program to achieve these goals requires significant investment and resources. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to conduct a comprehensive evaluation of the air operations program and to report to the congressional defense committees at the time of its fiscal year 2016 budget submission the results of this review. As part of the review of the flying hour program, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to consult with experts including, but not limited to, the Under Secretary for Personnel and Readiness, the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation, and the leadership at the Federally Funded Research and Development Centers, in order to establish metrics and goals for the flying hour program which will directly relate the flying hours requested in the budget to a clear, requirement-based training curriculum which will leverage limited resources in order to achieve maximum readiness. The Committee further directs the Secretary of the Air Force to review and revise the budget justification materials for the flying hours sub-activity groups in order to improve the clarity and completeness of those materials in future fiscal years, beginning with the fiscal year 2016 budget submission.

AIR FORCE WEAPON SYSTEM SUSTAINMENT

The lack of objective data-driven metrics for the flying hour program has budget implications throughout the Operation and Maintenance, Air Force account. Within the Weapon System Sustainment (WSS) program, funding requirements for flying hours and depot maintenance are interrelated and can vary significantly depending on the number of flying hours executed. While the Air Force states that the WSS program is managed as an "enterprise level concept" and claims to regularly review the program in the year of execution to determine the actual requirement, the type and number of systems being inducted or work being performed can vary tremendously between what was included in the budget justification materials and what is actually executed.

Further, while the Air Force has improved its effort to capture all funding requested for depot maintenance, including contractor logistics support, in the depot maintenance sub-activity groups (SAGs), it is not yet able to fully differentiate between flight-line activities, for which funding should be requested in the aircraft operations and mission support SAGs, and depot maintenance activities, for which funding should be requested in the depot maintenance SAGs. The Committee remains concerned that the lack of fidelity and quality of the WSS and depot maintenance justification materials prevents the Committee from conducting meaningful analysis or oversight of either program. The Air Force acknowledges that its current justification materials could be seen as misleading, and the Committee understands that the Air Force will attempt to provide more useful, complete, and meaningful justification material for the WSS program in future fiscal years. While the Committee recognizes that requirements can change due to unexpected changes in mission or requirements during the fiscal year, it also believes that the lack of program goals and metrics for the flying hour program drives much of the lack of fidelity in funding requirements in other areas, including WSS and depot maintenance funding. In addition to the review of the budget justification materials for the flying hours SAGs directed in previous report language, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to review and revise the budget justification materials for the mission support and depot maintenance SAGs to improve the clarity and completeness of those materials in future fiscal years, beginning with the fiscal year 2016 budget submission.

AIR EDUCATION AND TRAINING COMMAND INFRASTRUCTURE

The Committee recognizes the important role that the Air Education and Training Command plays in recruiting, training, and educating airmen. The Committee understands that flooding and other infrastructure challenges have adversely impacted facilities, equipment, operations, and training for airmen and encourages the Secretary of the Air Force to take steps to address these deficiencies.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$31,450,068,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	31,198,232,000
Committee recommendation	30,896,741,000
Change from budget request	−301,491,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $30,896,741,000, for Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	462,107	431,607	-30,500
20	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	4,762,245	4,645,029	-117,216
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	5,224,352	5,076,636	-147,716
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
30	DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY	135,437	135,437	---
40	NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY	80,082	80,082	---
50	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	371,620	360,443	-11,177
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	587,139	575,962	-11,177
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
60	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS	119,888	154,888	+35,000
80	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	556,493	556,493	---
90	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	1,340,374	1,299,874	-40,500
100	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY	633,300	650,225	+16,925
110	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	1,263,678	1,260,833	-2,845
130	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY	26,710	26,710	---
140	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	381,470	391,470	+10,000
150	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY	194,520	190,820	-3,700
160	DEFENSE POW /MISSING PERSONS OFFICE	21,485	21,485	---
170	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	544,786	514,189	-30,597
180	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE	532,930	532,338	-592
200	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY AGENCY	32,787	32,787	---
0	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY	414,371	414,371	---
230	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY	2,566,424	2,561,424	-5,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
240	MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY	416,644	452,242	+35,598
260	OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT	186,987	186,987	---
270	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	1,891,163	1,869,931	-21,232
280	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	87,915	81,915	-6,000
290	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES	610,982	587,262	-23,720
	OTHER PROGRAMS	13,563,834	13,417,899	-145,935
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	25,386,741	25,204,143	-182,598
	IMPACT AID	---	40,000	+40,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE	31,198,232	30,896,741	-301,491

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1PL1	**JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF**	**462,107**	**431,607**	**-30,500**
	Headquarters - unjustified growth		-15,000	
	Joint Staff Information Network - unjustified growth		-4,000	
	Pentagon Reservation Management Revolving Fund - Justification does not match summary of price and program changes		-11,500	
1PL2	**SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND**	**4,762,245**	**4,645,029**	**-117,216**
	Base support - transfer to FSRM		-3,213	
	Combat development activities - classified adjustments		-51,520	
	Flight operations - AVFID and ISR operations unjustified growth		-2,718	
	Flight operations - USASOC unjustified growth		-1,313	
	Flight operations - human performance program consolidation		-11,300	
	Flight operations - family readiness coordinators unauthorized		-2,300	
	Flight operations - restore flying hours for readiness training		124,729	
	Other operations - MARSOC collateral equipment excess to need		-2,908	
	Other operations - MARSOC collateral equipment early to need		-2,602	
	Other operations - USASOC collateral equipment early to need		-2,538	
	Other operations - AFSOC collateral equipment early to need		-3,797	
	Other operations - AFSOC collateral equipment early to need		-918	
	Other operations - USASOC fiscal year 2014 collateral equipment schedule delay		10,467	
	Other operations - NAVSPECWARCOM fiscal year 2014 collateral equipment schedule delay		5,321	
	Other operations - FOSOV operations unjustified growth		-2,123	
	Other operations - USASOC 4th battalion restructuring excess growth		-6,220	
	Other operations - family readiness coordinators unauthorized		-2,700	
	Other operations - human performance program - transfer to DHP		-14,800	
	Other operations - human performance program - transfer to DHRA		-4,000	
	Other operations - human performance program - transfer to RDTE,N line 23		-4,200	
	Other operations - transfer to FSRM		-9,472	
	Other operations - psychological operations excess contractor price growth		-3,000	
	Other operations - unjustified growth		-9,937	
	Other operations - unjustified growth		-9,214	
	Other operations - SOCAF excess growth		-2,000	
	Other operations - persistent engagement - rent, utilities, ICASS excess growth		-7,000	
	Other operations - regional security cooperation centers authorization adjustment		-3,600	
	Other operations - SOCOM NCR authorization adjustment		-5,000	
	Ship operations - maritime support vessel authorization adjustment		-20,298	
	Ship operations - NSW riverine program restore reduction		2,826	
	Communications - C4IAS unjustified growth		-21,134	
	Communications - HQ C4 SITEC unjustified growth		-9,126	
	Communications - SOF deployable nodes excess growth		-4,336	
	Communications - SOF tactical communications excess growth		-2,500	
	Intelligence - DCGS-SOF authorization adjustment		-3,900	
	Intelligence - civilian growth - transfer to DIA MIP		-1,402	
	Intelligence - classified program unjustified		-4,900	
	Intelligence - unjustified growth		-4,214	
	Intelligence - human performance program consolidation		-4,000	
	Management/operational HQ - care coalition restore reduction		2,259	
	Operational support - 4th Battalion transformation excess growth		-5,300	

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
	FSRM - transfer from other SAGs		12,685	
	Contracts for other services - general reduction		-26,000	
3EV7	**SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND**	**371,620**	**360,443**	**-11,177**
	Professional development - advanced education program unjustified growth		-2,000	
	Professional development - JSOU accreditation unauthorized		-7,564	
	Advanced education program - transfer to OM,N		-1,613	
4GT3	**CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS**	**119,888**	**154,888**	**35,000**
	Youth Challenge		10,000	
	STARBASE		25,000	
4GTO	**DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY**	**1,340,374**	**1,299,874**	**-40,500**
	Civilian personnel compensation - justification does not match summary of price and program changes		-20,500	
	Civilian personnel compensation hiring lag		-20,000	
4GT8	**DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY**	**633,300**	**650,225**	**16,925**
	Defense Civilian Personnel Advisory Service - unjustified growth		-5,845	
	Civilian personnel compensation hiring lag		-1,230	
	Suicide Prevention Office - program increase		20,000	
	Suicide Prevention - transfer from SOCOM		4,000	
4GT9	**DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY**	**1,263,678**	**1,260,833**	**-2,845**
	Pentagon Reservation Management Revolving Fund - justification does not match summary of price and program change		-2,845	
4GTB	**DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY**	**381,470**	**391,470**	**10,000**
	Procurement Technical Assistance Program		10,000	
ES18	**DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY**	**194,520**	**190,820**	**-3,700**
	Civilian personnel compensation - justification does not match summary of price and program changes		-3,700	
4GTD	**DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY**	**544,786**	**514,189**	**-30,597**
	Administration expenses - unjustified growth		-2,500	
	Fellowship programs - unjustified growth		-2,400	
	Global Security Cooperation Management Information		-497	
	Civilian personnel compensation - justification does not		-5,200	
	Global Security Contingency Fund - adjustment for		-30,000	
	Warsaw Initiative Fund/Partnership for Peace Program		10,000	
4GTE	**DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE**	**532,930**	**532,338**	**-592**
	Civilian personnel compensation hiring lag		-592	
4GTJ	**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY**	**2,566,424**	**2,561,424**	**-5,000**
	Consolidation efforts - unjustified growth		-5,000	
011A	**MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY**	**416,644**	**452,242**	**35,598**
	Transfer from RDTE,DW line 179		36,998	
	Unjustified growth		-1,400	
4GTN	**OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE**	**1,891,163**	**1,869,931**	**-21,232**
	Headquarters support for other programs and initiatives - unjustified growth		-430	

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
	Capital security cost sharing - unjustified growth		-49,051	
	Boards, Commissions and Task Force - unjustified growth		-1,365	
	OSD Policy - unjustified growth		-3,623	
	OSD Comptroller - unjustified growth		-2,483	
	OSD Personnel and Readiness - unjustified growth		-3,100	
	BRAC 2015 round planning and analyses - early to need		-4,800	
	OSD AT&L, RDTE Oversight - unjustified growth		-1,380	
	Office of Net Assessment - program increase		20,000	
	ASD SOLIC program increase for USSOCOM oversight		2,000	
	Readiness environmental protection initiative - program increase		15,000	
	OSD Comptroller - audit readiness program increase		8,000	
4GT1	**SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND**	**87,915**	**81,915**	**-6,000**
	Specialized Skills Training - Special Warfare Center excess growth		-6,000	
4GTQ	**WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES**	**610,982**	**587,262**	**-23,720**
	Civilian personnel compensation hiring lag		-2,520	
	Facilities - justification does not match summary of price and program changes		-21,200	
9999	**OTHER PROGRAMS**	**13,563,834**	**13,417,899**	**-145,935**
	Classified adjustment		-145,935	
	IMPACT AID		**40,000**	**40,000**

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FUNDING

The Committee recommends a total of $5,087,384,000 for the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) operation and maintenance funding, an increase of $305,441,000, or six percent over the fiscal year 2014 enacted level. In addition, the recommendation transfers $32,000,000 originally requested within the SOCOM budget to other Department of Defense agencies better equipped to address the needs of the special operations community. The recommendation reflects the Committee's continued commitment to ensure that the necessary resources are provided to maintain and support the readiness of special operators.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—FLYING HOURS RESTORATION

The Committee recommendation provides an increase of $124,729,000 above the request for the flying hour program of the Special Operations Command (SOCOM). The Committee is disappointed that, again this year, the fiscal year 2015 base budget for SOCOM proposes a reduction to baseline flying hours which would result in only 67 percent of the training requirement being met. The Committee is concerned that while the overall SOCOM budget request represents a nine percent increase over the fiscal year 2014 enacted level, flying hour requirements were not prioritized within the request but rather listed as SOCOM's top unfunded priority. With anticipated reductions in operational flying hours funded in the overseas contingency operations budget due to the Afghanistan drawdown, it is important that baseline SOCOM flying hours training be preserved to maintain pilot proficiency. Therefore, the Committee recommendation restores the proposed flying hours reduction and provides the full amount identified on SOCOM's unfunded priority list.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—BUDGET JUSTIFICATION

The Committee is pleased with the progress made to address concerns regarding the annual congressional budget justification submission. The fiscal year 2015 budget submission was a marked improvement over previous years. The Committee appreciates that changes to the submission require a tremendous effort on the part of the staffs of the Comptroller, Special Operations for Financial Management (SOFM), the individual components, and the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and they are to be commended for their work.

After consultation with the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller), the Committee agreed to a phased approach to conform the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) budget justification materials to the Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation (FMR) Volume 2A, Chapter 3, as it applies to the Services. A two year transition was proposed to establish sub-activity groups and line item/program elements as part of the budget justification submission. The Committee appreciates that it received this level of detail informally from SOCOM during the fiscal year 2015 budget review process. The Committee directs that the fiscal year 2016 submission delineate SOCOM's operation and maintenance budget

at this level of detail in the formal justification material. The Committee further directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Comptroller, SOFM to consult with the Committee during development of the fiscal year 2016 budget submission on actions being taken to make these necessary changes not later than October 1, 2014.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE

The Committee is concerned that the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) has not complied with longstanding Department of Defense regulations requiring submission of a prior approval reprogramming request prior to the initiation of a new start as it pertains to operation and maintenance programs. Over the last two years, SOCOM has initiated significant new programs, contracts, and activities that were not previously identified or explicitly justified in budget justification materials without submitting a reprogramming request for approval. SOCOM has also started programs and activities prior to receiving funding approval in the applicable appropriations Acts.

The Committee understands that the characteristics of operation and maintenance programs are such that new starts do not occur with the same frequency as acquisition programs. However, there are new starts in operation and maintenance accounts and, as such, established reprogramming procedures must be followed. Specifically, Department of Defense Federal Management Regulation, Volume 3, Chapter 6, section 060903D states that for operation and maintenance accounts "new starts would be significant new programs, contracts, or activities that have not been explicitly justified to the Congress in budget justification material." The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to review this matter and issue any necessary clarifying guidance to SOCOM and report actions taken to the Committee not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act to ensure full compliance with established regulations. The Committee strongly encourages the Commander of SOCOM to consult with the Committee as necessary.

Finally, the Committee notes that language is included elsewhere in the Committee report reminding the Secretary of Defense that reductions included in the project level tables are to be treated as congressional interest items and thus cannot be restored through the use of below threshold reprogramming actions.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—USE OF MAJOR FORCE PROGRAM-11 FUNDS

In fiscal year 2014, the Committee raised concerns regarding the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) use of Major Force Program-11 (MFP–11) funds for non-MFP–11 activities. MFP–11 funds are to be used only to acquire and/or develop special operations forces (SOF)-peculiar materiel, supplies, and/or services, rather than to supplement or supplant activities that are or should be provided by the military Services. The Committee's review of the report provided in accordance with the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 has raised concerns that SOCOM used a waiver process to use MFP–11 funds for otherwise ineligible activities in a manner inconsistent with existing Department of Defense

directives and regulations. The Committee appreciates the actions being taken by the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict to revise the process used to grant waivers from the restrictions on the use of MFP–11 funds, including the requirement for quarterly notifications. The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to submit a report not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act that describes the revised process and to submit a quarterly report listing all waivers granted and the justification for each waiver.

The Committee also directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to ensure that the fiscal year 2016 congressional budget justification clearly identifies and justifies all MFP–11 operation and maintenance funding for programs and activities for which the Services or other defense agencies previously had responsibility for funding, including those related to special operations, the justification for the use of MFP–11 funds, and/or the funds that would be transferred between the MFP–11 budget and other Major Force Program budgets and the justification for such transfers.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—OVERSIGHT

Over the years, concerns have been raised regarding the ability of the Department of Defense to conduct the same level of oversight over Special Operations Command (SOCOM) activities and funding as is applied to the Services and other defense agencies. In an era of declining resources and when faced with difficult choices to preserve the most critical capabilities of the Department of Defense, vigorous oversight of all programs and activities is required. The Committee notes that at a time when the Services are facing significant reductions in their manpower and budget requests, SOCOM manpower and budget requests increase in fiscal year 2015. The Committee appreciates the commitment of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict (ASD SOLIC) to provide oversight and looks forward to his recommendations for ways to improve the oversight of SOCOM. The Committee recommendation includes an increase of $2,000,000 under the Office of the Secretary of Defense (Policy) for the Office of ASD SOLIC for additional staffing to provide necessary oversight.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION

Subsequent to the fiscal year 2015 budget request submission, the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) notified the Committee that it no longer intended to pursue a waiver of section 8018 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 in order to establish an additional SOCOM office in the Washington, D.C. area. Therefore, the Committee recommendation does not include $5,000,000 to establish a Special Operations Command—National Capital Region entity.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—SUICIDE PREVENTION AND PSYCHOLOGICAL HEALTH

The Committee understands the toll that more than a decade of war has taken on all servicemembers and their families, including special operators. The Committee has always made the care of all servicemembers and their families its highest priority, including the special operations community.

The Committee is extremely concerned that the suicide rate for special operators has continued to increase since calendar year 2010, and for the past two years the suicide rate within the special operations force has surpassed those of the Services. The Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 provided $17,800,000, the full amount requested by the Special Operations Command (SOCOM), under the Defense Health Program to accelerate the expansion of the embedded behavioral health program to the entire special operations community in an effort to address the psychological health of the force.

While SOCOM has requested significant increases in both fiscal years 2014 and 2015 for the Command's Preservation of the Force and Family Initiative, the Committee notes that this initiative and the corresponding budget requests have been heavily focused on a human performance program. The human performance program has emphasized physical readiness with costly military construction and multi-year service contracts for physical therapists, strength and conditioning specialists, athletic trainers, and sports dieticians. At the same time, SOCOM has not requested funding for targeted suicide prevention programs. According to SOCOM, suicide prevention efforts have been minimal and met as unfunded requirements. Further, the Committee is aware that SOCOM only recently signed and promulgated a force-wide Suicide Prevention Policy Memorandum dated March 31, 2014.

The Committee shares the concerns expressed in the House-passed National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015 regarding the current emphasis on the human performance program and agrees that suicide prevention and the psychological health of the force must be given the highest priority. Therefore, the Committee recommends a transfer of $18,800,000 from the amount requested for the human performance program to bolster the psychological health of the force as follows: $14,800,000 to the Defense Health Program for behavioral health and warrior care management, providing a total of $29,600,000; and $4,000,000 to the Office of Suicide Prevention to implement the recommendations of the review and report on suicide among members of the special operations forces as mandated in section 581 of the House-passed National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015.

The Committee also provides $1,200,000 for chaplain training, as requested, and expects that training emphasis will be placed on suicide prevention training and awareness efforts within the special operations community.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—HUMAN PHYSICAL PERFORMANCE PROGRAM

The Committee recommends a total of $24,200,000 to support the physical training programs for the Special Operations Command (SOCOM). While the budget justification documentation included funding within multiple sub-activity groups for the human performance program, the Committee recommendation consolidates all funding for human performance contract personnel across the entire special operations force (SOF) enterprise within the other operations sub-activity group. The recommendation provides a total of $20,000,000 for the SOF enterprise for human performance contracts, an increase of $3,500,000 above the fiscal year 2014 enacted level.

The Committee has long supported physical training programs to ensure that special operators are in top physical condition to achieve their mission. As the Committee noted in fiscal year 2014, past training approaches used by SOCOM varied by component and were not scientifically based to address the unique requirements of special operators. Further, the training needs vary significantly between special operators based on their mission set. In fiscal year 2007, at the request of SOCOM, the Committee began to fund the Tactical Athlete Program within the Department of the Navy to provide the necessary scientific research for each individual type of SOF operator in order to design appropriate physical training programs to meet those specialized needs. This approach was previously used by the Department of the Army to successfully develop a training program for the 101st Airborne Division. The Committee continues to believe that the completion of this research program for all SOF components and its corresponding results will provide research-based injury prevention and performance optimization solutions and will enable the design of training programs uniquely tailored to the needs of special operators in the most productive and cost effective manner possible. The Committee is disappointed that while SOCOM has publicly endorsed this research effort, it has not requested funds to complete the multi-year research programs being conducted for Air Force, Army, and Marine Corps special operations components. Therefore, the recommendation transfers $4,200,000 from SOCOM's human performance program to the Department of the Navy to complete these ongoing assessments.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM

The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes $4,473,000 for Advanced Education programs for special operations forces (SOF), an increase of $3,473,000 over the fiscal year 2014 enacted level. The Committee supports professional military education opportunities for all servicemembers, including those in the SOF community. However, professional military education is a Service-managed and funded responsibility to ensure equitable opportunities for all servicemembers given that the Services are responsible for managing career promotion and command selection decisions. The Committee continues to question the request of the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) to use SOF funding to augment SOF partici-

pation in established Service and civilian programs outside of the normal funding and selection process. The Committee also questions whether SOCOM's proposal to expand education programs to fellowship, doctorate, and executive programs with the private sector meets existing Department of Defense regulations.

The Committee notes that the House-passed National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015 directs the Secretary of Defense to submit an assessment of SOCOM's master's degree requirements. The Committee believes that the results of that review will assist in providing a better understanding of SOF advanced education requirements, as well as how existing programs are addressing these requirements.

Finally, the Committee is concerned that SOCOM began the establishment of several new programs in fiscal year 2013 prior to seeking and receiving the necessary congressional approvals for these programs. For example, SOCOM executed an agreement with the Naval Postgraduate School (NPS) to provide Major Force Program-11 funds for expanded SOF participation when no funds were appropriated for this effort. This resulted in unnecessary stress and confusion on the part of the impacted servicemembers. Therefore, the Committee recommendation transfers $1,613,000 from the SOCOM operation and maintenance account to the Naval Postgraduate School account to ensure appropriate program and budget oversight of NPS programs. Additionally, the Committee recommendation includes a reduction of $2,000,000 from the request based on concerns regarding duplication and unvalidated requirements. The remaining $860,000 is not to be obligated until 30 days after the congressional defense committees have received the Secretary's assessment as directed by the House-passed National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015 and SOCOM has provided a spend plan for the use of the funds which explains the SOF-unique requirements for which no other Department of Defense or Service program exists.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND-CLASSIFIED ADJUSTMENTS

Further explanation and direction regarding the classified programs and recommendations for the Special Operations Command is contained in the classified annex accompanying this report.

ENERGY EFFICIENCY

The Committee is concerned about the perceived lack of progress on energy efficiency initiatives within the Department of Defense. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act on the energy use and energy efficiency projects at the Pentagon and the ten largest Department of Defense facilities. The report shall include, but is not limited to, an analysis of energy use at the Pentagon Reservation and the ten largest facilities; an accounting, including dates, of when energy audits and energy efficiency projects have been conducted at such facilities; and an accounting of energy savings projects that could be achieved by enacting a consistent, timely, and proper mechanical insulation maintenance program and upgrading mechanical insulation at such facilities.

COMMERCIAL JOINT MAPPING TOOLKIT

The Committee is concerned with the ability of combatant commanders to exploit data provided by the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA) in environments with limited bandwidths. The Committee directs the Director of NGA, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense (Intelligence), to submit a report not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act, to the congressional defense and intelligence committees, that details an acquisition strategy, which includes cost and schedule data, for a competitive Commercial Joint Mapping Toolkit follow-on program that supports the combatant commands' requirements for exploitation and dissemination of NGA data in limited bandwidth operational environments.

AUTOMATED CONTINUOUS EVALUATION SYSTEM

The Committee understands that the Automated Continuous Evaluation System (ACES) was developed by the Defense Personnel Security Research Center and is the program that the Department of Defense and the Office of Management and Budget recommend as the enterprise solution for government-wide continuous personnel security evaluations. The Committee further understands that there is an Army pilot program on personnel security evaluation that is being used interchangeably with ACES. The Committee believes that these are two different programs and should be referred to as such.

The Committee believes that the granting of a security clearance for Department of Defense personnel is an inherently governmental function of the Department of Defense. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act, on the feasibility and costs associated with the Department of Defense assuming the responsibilities of conducting security clearance investigation activities for all Department of Defense personnel.

The Committee also encourages the Secretary of Defense to consider measures to optimize and streamline data sharing and best practices for continuous personnel security evaluations and threat analysis.

OVERSEAS MILITARY INSTALLATION INVENTORY

The Committee looks forward to receiving the Secretary of Defense's report on the inventory of United States military installations located overseas as directed in the explanatory statement accompanying the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014. The Committee expects that the report will include an assessment of the requirements for overseas military force structure and infrastructure, an inventory of potentially excess infrastructure, and any opportunities for infrastructure consolidation.

SPARE PARTS MANAGEMENT

The Committee understands that the Department of Defense is implementing its Comprehensive Inventory Management Improvement Plan to address inefficiencies and unnecessary expenditures

in the management of spare parts. The Committee supports these efforts but continues to monitor the progress of implementation.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act on further implementation of the Comprehensive Inventory Management Improvement Plan. The report should include details of the efforts to strengthen demand forecasting, visibility of on-hand inventory, reviews of on-order excess inventory, and management of inventory held for economic and contingency reasons. Additionally, the report should include a summary of the efforts to establish a standardized set of supply chain and inventory management metrics to measure key attributes including materiel readiness, responsiveness, reliability, cost, and planning and precision of supply chain management operations.

TRANSPORTABLE, MODULAR, RENEWABLE ENERGY PLATFORMS

The Committee supports efforts by the Department of Defense to invest in cost-saving energy measures, including transportable, modular, renewable energy platforms that reduce the warfighter's reliance on traditional fuel supplies in theater.

NATIONAL SECURITY EDUCATION PROGRAM

The Committee understands that the National Security Education Program (NSEP) plays an important role in increasing the pool of cultural and linguistic experts in government, and it supports Department of Defense and other government agencies efforts to partner with higher education institutions to achieve the goals of the NSEP. The Committee believes that these efforts should continue to consider minority serving institutions, such as Historically Black Colleges and Universities, to ensure diversity within the intelligence community and to increase the number of analysts with proficiency in critical languages and cultural studies, including Chinese, Farsi, and Arabic.

AUDIT READINESS

By September 30, 2017, the Department of Defense is required to validate its financial statements as ready for audit. To prepare for this milestone, the Department has focused its efforts in two broad areas. First, processes, controls, and systems that produce budgetary information and support the Statement of Budgetary Resources have been strengthened. Second, the accuracy and reliability of management information in several critical areas, including military equipment and real property, has been improved. The Government Accountability Office highlighted remaining challenges associated with identifying and mitigating risks to achieving the goals of the Department's Financial Improvement and Audit Readiness (FIAR) effort in a May 2013 review. Successful implementation of the FIAR guidance by the Services and defense agencies, modernizing business information systems, and improving the financial management workforce are essential to preparing for the September 2017 milestone. Accordingly, the Committee provides $8,000,000 above the request for the Under Secretary of Defense

(Comptroller) to improve business and financial systems throughout the Department to achieve audit readiness.

CLOUD COMPUTING SERVICES

The Committee supports the Department of Defense's investments in cloud computing services for the Joint Information Environment. The Committee is aware of many options for cloud computing services and payment strategies for users to purchase cloud computing services. In order to provide further insight into the Department's cloud computing strategy, the Committee directs the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act on the adoption and potential expansion of cloud computing within the Department, Services and defense agencies. The report shall include an update on the use of commercial cloud computing services in the Department of Defense, the current plans for the expansion of cloud computing, the purchasing and billing methodologies being proposed, an analysis of the costs, and if applicable, the savings associated with the utilization of cloud computing within the Department over the future years defense plan.

TRANSPORTATION COSTS FOR COMMISSARY GOODS

The Committee supports the Defense Commissary system and the benefits it yields to servicemembers worldwide. Historically, the Department of Defense has subsidized the secondary transportation costs for commissary goods shipped overseas. The Committee now understands that the Defense Commissary Agency plans to recompete the contracts for delivery of fresh fruits and vegetables and will require that proposed prices for goods include secondary transportation costs, thereby passing the costs for these goods on to commissary customers.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the proposed change in procurement and transportation policies for commissary goods. Further, the Committee directs that the current policies and procedures associated with second destination shipping for commissaries cannot be modified until the report is delivered and the committees have 30 days to review and approve the proposed changes included in the report.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$2,940,936,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	2,490,569,000
Committee recommendation	2,535,606,000
Change from budget request	+45,037,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $2,535,606,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	LAND FORCES			
10	MANEUVER UNITS	---	---	---
20	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	15,200	15,200	---
30	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES	502,664	499,275	-3,389
40	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	107,489	105,242	-2,247
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	543,989	530,162	-13,827
60	AVIATION ASSETS	72,963	64,463	-8,500
	LAND FORCES READINESS			
70	FORCES READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	360,082	360,082	---
80	LAND FORCES SYSTEM READINESS	72,491	72,491	---
90	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	58,873	58,873	---
	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT			
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	388,961	388,961	---
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	228,597	251,457	+22,860
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS HEADQUARTERS	39,590	39,590	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	2,390,899	2,385,796	-5,103
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	10,608	10,608	---
140	ADMINISTRATION	18,587	17,527	-1,060
150	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	6,681	6,681	---
160	PERSONNEL/FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION	9,192	9,192	---
170	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	54,602	54,602	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	99,670	98,610	-1,060
	OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS	---	-13,800	-13,800
	RESTORE READINESS	---	65,000	+65,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE	2,490,569	2,535,606	+45,037

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
113 ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES	**502,664**	**499,275**	**-3,389**
Travel - unjustified program growth		-3,389	
114 THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	**107,489**	**105,242**	**-2,247**
Travel - unjustified program growth		-2,247	
115 LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	**543,989**	**530,162**	**-13,827**
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for disability compensation		-2,860	
Travel - unjustified program growth		-1,604	
Management and professional support services - unjustified growth		-3,522	
Regional Training Sites-Medical advisory and assistance services contract - transfer to SAG 121 not properly accounted		-5,841	
116 AVIATION ASSETS	**72,963**	**64,463**	**-8,500**
Flying hour program - unjustified program growth		-8,500	
132 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	**228,597**	**251,457**	**22,860**
Program increase		22,860	
431 ADMINISTRATION	**18,587**	**17,527**	**-1,060**
Travel - unjustified program growth		-1,060	
OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS		**-13,800**	**-13,800**
RESTORE READINESS		**65,000**	**65,000**

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$1,158,382,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	1,007,100,000
Committee recommendation	1,011,827,000
Change from budget request	+4,727,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $1,011,827,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	RESERVE AIR OPERATIONS			
10	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	565,842	565,842	---
20	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	5,948	5,948	---
40	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	82,636	82,636	---
50	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	353	353	---
60	AVIATION LOGISTICS	7,007	7,007	---
	RESERVE SHIP OPERATIONS			
70	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	8,190	8,190	---
80	SHIP OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AND TRAINING	556	556	---
90	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	4,571	4,571	---
	RESERVE COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT			
100	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	14,472	14,472	---
110	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	119,056	119,056	---
	RESERVE WEAPONS SUPPORT			
120	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	1,852	1,852	---
130	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	25,354	25,354	---
	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT			
140	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	48,271	53,098	+4,827
150	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	101,921	101,921	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	986,029	990,856	+4,827
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
160	ADMINISTRATION	1,520	1,520	---
170	MILITARY MANPOWER & PERSONNEL	12,998	12,998	---
180	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	3,395	3,395	---
190	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	3,158	3,158	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	21,071	21,071	---
	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG	---	-100	-100
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE	1,007,100	1,011,827	+4,727

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
BSMR	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	48,271	53,098	4,827
	Program increase		4,827	
	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG		-100	100

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$255,317,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	268,582,000
Committee recommendation	270,485,000
Change from budget request	+1,903,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $270,485,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	EXPEDITIONARY FORCES			
10	OPERATING FORCES	93,093	93,093	---
20	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	18,377	18,377	---
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	29,232	32,155	+2,923
50	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	106,447	106,447	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	247,149	250,072	+2,923
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
70	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	914	914	---
80	ADMINISTRATION	11,831	11,831	---
90	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	8,688	8,688	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	21,433	21,433	---
	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG	---	-1,020	-1,020
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE	268,582	270,485	+1,903

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
BSM1	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	29,232	32,155	2,923
	Program increase		2,923	
	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG		-1,020	-1,020

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$3,062,207,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	3,015,842,000
Committee recommendation	2,989,214,000
Change from budget request	−26,628,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $2,989,214,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	AIR OPERATIONS			
10	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	1,719,467	1,709,067	-10,400
20	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	211,132	208,332	-2,800
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	530,301	530,301	---
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	85,672	94,239	+8,567
50	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	367,966	355,839	-12,127
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	2,914,538	2,897,778	-16,760
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
60	ADMINISTRATION	59,899	57,596	-2,303
70	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	14,509	14,044	-465
80	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	20,345	20,345	---
90	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	6,551	6,551	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	101,304	98,536	-2,768
	OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS	---	-7,100	-7,100
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE	3,015,842	2,989,214	-26,628

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
011A PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	**1,719,467**	**1,709,067**	**-10,400**
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for civilian personnel compensation		-10,400	
011G MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	**211,132**	**208,332**	**-2,800**
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for civilian personnel compensation		-2,800	
011R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	**85,672**	**94,239**	**8,567**
Program increase		8,567	
011Z BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	**367,966**	**355,839**	**-12,127**
Unjustified program growth		-8,799	
Inactive Duty Training Lodging - unjustified program growth		-3,328	
042A ADMINISTRATION	**59,899**	**57,596**	**-2,303**
Unjustified program growth		-2,303	
042J RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	**14,509**	**14,044**	**-465**
Unjustified program growth		-465	
OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS		**-7,100**	**-7,100**

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$6,857,530,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	6,030,773,000
Committee recommendation	6,116,307,000
Change from budget request	+85,534,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $6,116,307,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	LAND FORCES			
10	MANEUVER UNITS	660,648	660,648	---
20	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	165,942	165,942	---
30	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	733,800	733,800	---
40	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	83,084	83,084	---
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	22,005	22,005	---
60	AVIATION ASSETS	920,085	920,085	---
	LAND FORCES READINESS			
70	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	680,887	680,887	---
80	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	69,726	68,552	-1,174
90	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	138,263	138,263	---
	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT			
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	804,517	787,353	-17,164
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	490,205	539,226	+49,021
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	872,140	846,243	-25,897
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	5,641,302	5,646,088	+4,786
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	6,690	6,690	---
150	ADMINISTRATION	63,075	63,075	---
160	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	37,372	37,372	---
170	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	6,484	1,197	-5,287
180	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	274,085	270,823	-3,262
140	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	1,765	1,765	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	389,471	380,922	-8,549
	UNJUSTIFIED GROWTH FOR CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION	---	-3,703	-3,703
	COMBAT TRAINING CENTER ROTATIONS	---	23,000	+23,000
	RESTORE READINESS	---	70,000	+70,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	6,030,773	6,116,307	+85,534

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
122	**LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS**	**69,726**	**68,552**	**-1,174**
	Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for printing and reproduction		-1,174	
131	**BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT**	**804,517**	**787,353**	**-17,164**
	Remove one-time fiscal year 2014 funding increase		-10,000	
	Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for travel		-7,164	
132	**FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION**	**490,205**	**539,226**	**49,021**
	Program increase		49,021	
133	**MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS**	**872,140**	**846,243**	**-25,897**
	Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for civilian personnel compensation		-25,897	
433	**MANPOWER MANAGEMENT**	**6,484**	**1,197**	**-5,287**
	Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff - unjustified program growth		-131	
	Supplies and materials - unjustified program growth		-5,156	
434	**RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING**	**274,085**	**270,823**	**-3,262**
	Marketing and Advertising programs - unjustified program growth		-3,262	
	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION - UNJUSTIFIED PROGRAM GROWTH		**-3,703**	**-3,703**
	FULLY FUND TWO COMBAT TRAINING CENTER ROTATIONS - ARMY REQUESTED TRANSFER FROM OM,A SAG 121		**23,000**	**23,000**
	RESTORE READINESS		**70,000**	**70,000**

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$6,392,304,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	6,392,859,000
Committee recommendation	6,393,919,000
Change from budget request	+1,060,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $6,393,919,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	AIR OPERATIONS			
10	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS	3,367,729	3,366,229	-1,500
20	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	718,295	707,095	-11,200
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,528,695	1,528,695	---
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	137,604	151,364	+13,760
50	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	581,536	581,536	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	6,333,859	6,334,919	+1,060
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
60	ADMINISTRATION	27,812	27,812	---
70	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	31,188	31,188	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	59,000	59,000	---
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD	6,392,859	6,393,919	+1,060

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
011F AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS	**3,367,729**	**3,366,229**	**-1,500**
Budget justification does not match summary of price and program changes for flying hour program		-1,500	
011G MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	**718,295**	**707,095**	**-11,200**
Budget justification does not match summary of price and program changes for civilian personnel compensation		-11,200	
011R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	**137,604**	**151,364**	**13,760**
Program increase		13,760	

OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER ACCOUNT

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	– – –
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	$5,000,000
Committee recommendation	– – –
Change from budget request	–5,000,000

The Committee recommends no funding for the Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Account.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$13,606,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	13,723,000
Committee recommendation	13,723,000
Change from budget request	– – –

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $13,723,000 for the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$298,815,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	201,560,000
Committee recommendation	201,560,000
Change from budget request	– – –

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $201,560,000 for Environmental Restoration, Army.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$316,103,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	277,294,000
Committee recommendation	277,294,000
Change from budget request	– – –

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $277,294,000 for Environmental Restoration, Navy.

VIEQUES AND CULEBRA ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION

The Committee remains concerned about the pace of environmental restoration on the islands of Vieques and Culebra. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy, in coordination with the Secretary of the Army, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act detailing a plan and schedule for the completion of the clean-up of the contaminated areas of Vieques and Culebra.

The Committee also notes that there are gaps in information about the types and amounts of ordnance used on Vieques and Culebra and potential links between such ordnance and present threats to public health. The Committee further directs the Secretary of the Navy, in coordination with the Secretary of the Army, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act that details the types of weapons deployed on these islands, as well as the estimated level of use of these types of ordnance at these two sites. Fi-

nally, the Committee also urges the Secretary of Defense to make the relevant documents available to the public.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$439,820,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	408,716,000
Committee recommendation	408,716,000
Change from budget request	– – –

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $408,716,000 for Environmental Restoration, Air Force.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$10,757,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	8,547,000
Committee recommendation	8,547,000
Change from budget request	– – –

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $8,547,000 for Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED DEFENSE SITES

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$287,443,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	208,353,000
Committee recommendation	233,353,000
Change from budget request	+25,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $233,353,000 for Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION ANNUAL REPORT TO CONGRESS

The Committee believes that improved oversight and management of the Department of Defense Environmental Restoration programs will yield continued benefits. The Committee awaits the completion and release of the Defense Environmental Program's Annual Report to Congress and looks forward to receiving and reviewing the report and the additional data required by the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014. The Committee reminds the Secretary of Defense that the additional reporting requirements should be considered an ongoing annual requirement.

OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$109,500,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	100,000,000
Committee recommendation	103,000,000
Change from budget request	+3,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $103,000,000 for Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid.

HUMANITARIAN MINE ACTION PROGRAM

Explosive remnants of war such as mines, unexploded ordnance, and small arms ammunition disrupt innocent civilian populations in unimaginable ways, including maiming, disfiguring, and possibly causing death. The Humanitarian Mine Action (HMA) program provides training and readiness-enhancing benefits to military

forces. The HMA program aids in the development skills for host country personnel to sustain their mine action programs after military trainers have redeployed. To enhance the effectiveness of this program, the Committee recommendation provides an increase of $3,000,000.

COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$500,455,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	365,108,000
Committee recommendation	365,108,000
Change from budget request	– – –

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $365,108,000 for the Cooperative Threat Reduction Account.

ASSISTANCE TO UKRAINE

The Committee recognizes that the Department of Defense has been involved with assisting the people of Ukraine through funding provided in the Cooperative Threat Reduction Account. Additionally, the Committee notes the continued suffering of the Ukrainian people. The Committee therefore directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act describing additional assistance that the Department may provide to Ukraine.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$51,031,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	212,875,000
Committee recommendation	51,875,000
Change from budget request	– 161,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $51,875,000 for the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.

TITLE III

PROCUREMENT

The fiscal year 2015 Department of Defense procurement budget request totals $89,660,299,000. The Committee recommendation provides $91,227,819,000 for the procurement accounts. The table below summarizes the Committee recommendations:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
SUMMARY			
ARMY			
AIRCRAFT	5,102,685	5,295,957	+193,272
MISSILES	1,017,483	1,217,483	+200,000
WEAPONS, TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES	1,471,438	1,703,736	+232,298
AMMUNITION	1,031,477	1,011,477	-20,000
OTHER	4,893,634	4,812,234	-81,400
TOTAL, ARMY	13,516,717	14,040,887	+524,170
NAVY			
AIRCRAFT	13,074,317	14,054,523	+980,206
WEAPONS	3,217,945	3,111,931	-106,014
AMMUNITION	771,945	629,372	-142,573
SHIPS	14,400,625	14,256,361	-144,264
OTHER	5,975,828	5,923,379	-52,449
MARINE CORPS	983,352	927,232	-56,120
TOTAL, NAVY	38,424,012	38,902,798	+478,786
AIR FORCE			
AIRCRAFT	11,542,571	12,046,941	+504,370
MISSILES	4,690,506	4,546,211	-144,295
AMMUNITION	677,400	648,200	-29,200
OTHER	16,566,018	16,633,023	+67,005
TOTAL, AIR FORCE	33,476,495	33,874,375	+397,880
DEFENSE-WIDE			
DEFENSE-WIDE	4,221,437	4,358,121	+136,684
DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES	21,638	51,638	+30,000
TOTAL PROCUREMENT	89,660,299	91,227,819	+1,567,520

SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or items for which funding has been reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in the Committee report are congressional interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount specifically addressed in the Committee report. Below threshold reprogrammings may not be used to either restore or reduce funding from congressional interest items as identified on the DD Form 1414. These items remain special interest items whether or not they are repeated in a subsequent conference report.

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR ACQUISITION ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the fiscal year 2008 Department of Defense Appropriations Act (House Report 110–279). Specifically, the dollar threshold for reprogramming funds will remain at $20,000,000 for procurement and $10,000,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

The Secretary shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a procurement (P–1) or research, development, test and evaluation (R–1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this report.

REPROGRAMMING REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to continue to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for Service and defense-wide accounts in titles III and IV of this Act as required in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2006.

FUNDING INCREASES

The Committee directs that the funding increases outlined in these tables shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the tables.

CLASSIFIED ANNEX

Adjustments to the classified programs are addressed in a classified annex accompanying this report.

HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR

The Committee recognizes the versatility and broad application that the High Mobility Engineer Excavator (HMEE) provides for the military. The HMEE offers full spectrum capability to accomplish standard engineer missions of survivability, mobility and counter-mobility, and the expanded mission of route clearance and breaching operations. The HMEE is transportable by C–130 and C–17 aircraft and is capable of operating at speeds of 60 miles per hour. It is a versatile engineer vehicle for expeditionary forces and for homeland support missions. The Committee urges the Service Secretaries to thoroughly review requirements for this vehicle for tactical operations as well as for National Guard dual use applications.

TACTICAL POWER SOURCES

Generators used by the Army and Marine Corps consume a large percentage of the fuel used in Afghanistan. The Committee is aware of the commitment by the Department of Defense to increase fuel efficiency and reduce costs. The Committee understands that the advanced medium power source generators may be more fuel efficient, reliable, lighter, and quieter than legacy tactical generators. The Committee commends the Army and the Marine Corps for pursuing advanced technology in the generation of tactical electricity. The Committee encourages the Secretaries of the Army and the Navy to continue to seek advances in tactical electric power.

MOBILE USER OBJECTIVE SYSTEM TERMINALS

The synchronization of ground terminals with the launch of satellites is a constant challenge for satellite communication systems. If the Department of Defense relies entirely upon acquisition of new terminals for the Mobile User Objective System (MUOS), the Department may not take advantage of the increased capability the MUOS constellation provides. The Committee supports the MUOS program and encourages the Secretary of Defense to consider the upgrade of existing communications terminals to accelerate the fielding of full MUOS capability to as many users as possible.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$4,844,891,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	5,102,685,000
Committee recommendation	5,295,957,000
Change from budget request	+193,272,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $5,295,957,000 for Aircraft Procurement, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
	AIRCRAFT						
	FIXED WING						
2	UTILITY F/W CARGO AIRCRAFT	1	13,617	1	13,617		---
3	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS) (MIP)	16	185,090	11	138,690	-5	-46,400
4	MQ-1 UAV	19	190,581	19	239,581		+49,000
5	RQ-11 (RAVEN)	---	3,964	---	3,964		---
	ROTARY						
6	HELICOPTER, LIGHT UTILITY (LUH)	55	416,617	55	416,617		---
7	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN	25	494,009	28	572,009	+3	+78,000
8	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN (AP-CY)	---	157,338	---	157,338		---
12	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)	79	1,237,001	87	1,356,227	+8	+119,226
13	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP) (AP-CY)	---	132,138	---	132,138		---
14	CH-47 HELICOPTER	32	892,504	32	892,504		---
15	CH-47 HELICOPTER (AP-CY)	---	102,361	---	102,361		---
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT		3,825,220		4,025,046		+199,826
	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT						
16	MQ-1 PAYLOAD - UAS	2	26,913	2	26,913		---
18	GUARDRAIL MODS (MIP)	---	14,182	---	14,182		---
19	MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON (MIP)	---	131,892	---	131,892		---
20	AH-64 MODS	---	181,869	---	181,869		---
21	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS	---	32,092	---	32,092		---
22	UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS	---	15,029	---	15,029		---
23	UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS	---	76,515	---	76,515		---
25	NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN	---	114,182	---	114,182		---
26	COMMS, NAV SURVEILLANCE	---	115,795	---	115,795		---
27	GATM ROLLUP	---	54,277	---	54,277		---
28	RQ-7 UAV MODS	---	125,380	---	125,380		---
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT		888,126		888,126		---

{DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS}

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
	GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS						
29	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT	---	66,450	---	99,059		+32,609
30	SURVIVABILITY CM	---	---	---	7,800		+7,800
31	CMWS	---	107,364	---	60,401		-46,963
	OTHER SUPPORT						
32	AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	6,847	---	6,847		---
33	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT	---	29,231	---	29,231		---
34	AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS	---	48,081	---	48,081		---
35	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	---	127,232	---	127,232		---
36	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	---	1,203	---	1,203		---
37	LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET	387	2,931	387	2,931		---
	TOTAL, SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		389,339		382,785		-6,554
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY		5,102,685		5,295,957		+193,272

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3	**AERIAL COMMON SENSOR**	**185,090**	**138,690**	**-46,400**
	Funding ahead of need		-46,400	
4	**MQ-1 UAV**	**190,581**	**239,581**	**49,000**
	Program increase - Improved Gray Eagle with extended range		49,000	
7	**AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN**	**494,009**	**572,009**	**78,000**
	Program increase		78,000	
12	**UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)**	**1,237,001**	**1,356,227**	**119,226**
	Program increase only for the Army National Guard		103,026	
	SOCOM - operational loss replacement		16,200	
29	**AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT**	**66,450**	**99,059**	**32,609**
	Army requested transfer from line 31		32,609	
30	**SURVIVABILITY/COUNTER MEASURES**	**0**	**7,800**	**7,800**
	Army requested transfer from line 31		7,800	
31	**COMMON MISSILE WARNING SYSTEM**	**107,364**	**60,401**	**-46,963**
	Army requested transfer to line 30		-7,800	
	Army requested transfer to line 29		-32,609	
	Excess to need		-6,554	

ARMY AVIATION RESTRUCTURE INITIATIVE

The Army's fiscal year 2015 budget request proposes a significant restructuring of Army aviation assets. Part of this proposal is to transfer all Apache helicopters from Army National Guard units to the active Army and to shift Blackhawk helicopters from the active Army to the Army National Guard. Another component of the proposal is to retire the Kiowa Warrior helicopter, including the TH–67 helicopter, currently being used as the training platform for Army aviation. The Committee understands that the Army made this proposal primarily for affordability reasons. The Committee approves the proposal, with the exception of the transfer of Apache aircraft from the Army National Guard, as discussed in title VIII of this Act. With respect to the retirement of TH–67 aircraft, the Committee is extremely concerned about the impact on the rotary wing industrial base of placing such a large amount of excess airframes on the market. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act on the aircraft being retired as part of the Army proposal. This report should include the number of airframes being divested, the number of airframes being transferred to other government agencies, the number of airframes being offered for sale to other nations, the cost of divesting these aircraft, and the impact the divestiture of these airframes will have on the domestic rotary wing industrial base. Further, the Secretary of the Army is prohibited from divesting any aircraft until the report is submitted by the Secretary of Defense.

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$1,549,491,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	1,017,483,000
Committee recommendation	1,217,483,000
Change from budget request	+200,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $1,217,483,000 for Missile Procurement, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
	OTHER MISSILES						
	SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM						
2	LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AMD)	---	110,300	---	110,300		---
3	MSE MISSILE	70	384,605	97	532,605	+27	+148,000
4	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY	---	4,452	---	4,452		---
	ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYSTEM						
5	JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY	338	77,668	338	77,668		---
6	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY	1,008	50,368	1,008	50,368		---
7	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY (AP-CY)	---	19,984	---	19,984		---
8	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS)	534	127,145	534	127,145		---
9	MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RRPR)	2,994	21,274	2,994	21,274		---
	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		795,796		943,796		+148,000
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES						
	MODIFICATIONS						
12	PATRIOT MODS	---	131,838	---	183,838		+52,000
13	STINGER MODS	---	1,355	---	1,355		---
14	AVENGER MODS	---	5,611	---	5,611		---
15	ITAS/TOW MODS	---	19,676	---	19,676		---
16	MLRS MODS	---	10,380	---	10,380		---
17	HIMARS MODIFICATIONS	---	6,008	---	6,008		---
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF MISSILES		174,868		226,868		+52,000
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS						
18	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	---	36,930	---	36,930		---
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
19	AIR DEFENSE TARGETS	---	3,657	---	3,657		---
20	ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M (MISSILES)	---	1,522	---	1,522		---
21	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT	---	4,710	---	4,710		---
	TOTAL, SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		9,889		9,889		---
	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY		1,017,483		1,217,483		+200,000

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3 MSE MISSILE	**384,605**	**532,605**	**148,000**
Program increase		148,000	
12 PATRIOT MODS	**131,838**	**183,838**	**52,000**
Program increase - radar digital processors		52,000	

PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$1,610,811,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	1,471,438,000
Committee recommendation	1,703,736,000
Change from budget request	+232,298,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $1,703,736,000 for Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY						
	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES						
1	STRYKER VEHICLE	---	385,110	---	435,110		+50,000
	MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES						
2	STRYKER (MOD)	---	39,683	---	39,683		---
3	FIST VEHICLE (MOD)	---	26,759	---	26,759		---
4	BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD)	---	107,506	---	107,506		---
5	HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD)	---	45,411	---	45,411		---
6	PALADIN PIPM MOD IN SERVICE	18	247,400	18	247,400		---
7	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES)	15	50,451	40	122,451	+25	+72,000
8	ASSAULT BRIDGE (MOD)	---	2,473	---	2,473		---
9	ARMORED BREACHER VEHICLE	7	36,583	7	36,583		---
10	M88 FOV MODS	---	1,975	---	1,975		---
11	JOINT ASSAULT BRIDGE	8	49,462	8	49,462		---
12	M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD)	---	237,023	---	237,023		---
13	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM	---	---	---	120,000		+120,000
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
14	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-WTCV)	---	6,478	---	6,478		---
	TOTAL, TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		1,236,314		1,478,314		+242,000
	WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES						
16	MORTAR SYSTEMS	---	5,012	---	5,012		---
17	XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM)	8,959	28,390	8,959	28,390		---
18	COMPACT SEMI-AUTOMATIC SNIPER SYSTEM	---	148	---	148		---
19	CARBINE	38,234	29,366	26,808	20,616	-11,426	-8,750
21	COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STATION	---	8,409	---	8,409		---
22	HANDGUN	4,811	3,957	4,811	3,957		---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH						
24	M777 MODS	---	18,166	---	18,166		---
25	M4 CARBINE MODS	---	3,446	---	6,446		+3,000
26	M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS	---	25,296	---	25,296		---
27	M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS	---	5,546	---	5,546		---
28	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS	---	4,635	---	2,635		-2,000
29	SNIPER RIFLES MODIFICATIONS	---	4,079	---	4,079		---
30	M119 MODIFICATIONS	---	72,718	---	72,718		---
31	M16 RIFLE MODS	---	1,952	---	---		-1,952
32	MORTAR MODIFICATION	---	8,903	---	8,903		---
33	MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN $5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)	---	2,089	---	2,089		---
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
34	ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)	---	2,005	---	2,005		---
35	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV-WTCV)	---	8,911	---	8,911		---
36	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	---	414	---	414		---
37	SMALL ARMS EQUIPMENT (SOLDIER ENH PROG)	---	1,682	---	1,682		---
	TOTAL, WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES		235,124		225,422		-9,702
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY		1,471,438		1,703,736		+232,298

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	STRYKER VEHICLE	385,110	435,110	50,000
	Unfunded requirement - fourth DVH brigade set		50,000	
7	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES)	50,451	122,451	72,000
	Program increase		72,000	
13	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM	0	120,000	120,000
	Program increase		120,000	
19	CARBINE	29,366	20,616	-8,750
	Army requested transfer to RDTE,A line 86		-6,702	
	Army requested transfer to RDTE,A line 70		-2,048	
25	M4 CARBINE MODS	3,446	6,446	3,000
	Army requested transfer from line 31		1,000	
	Army requested transfer from line 28		2,000	
28	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS	4,635	2,635	-2,000
	Army requested transfer to line 25		-2,000	
31	M16 RIFLE MODS	1,952	0	-1,952
	Army requested transfer to RDTE,A line 70		-952	
	Army requested transfer to line 25		-1,000	

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$1,444,067,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	1,031,477,000
Committee recommendation	1,011,477,000
Change from budget request	−20,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $1,011,477,000 for Procurement of Ammunition, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY						
	AMMUNITION						
	SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION						
1	CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES	---	34,943	---	34,943		---
2	CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES	---	12,418	---	12,418		---
3	CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES	---	9,655	---	9,655		---
4	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES	---	29,304	---	29,304		---
6	CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES	---	8,181	---	8,181		---
7	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES	---	52,667	---	52,667		---
8	CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES	---	40,904	---	40,904		---
	MORTAR AMMUNITION						
9	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	---	41,742	---	41,742		---
10	81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	---	42,433	---	42,433		---
11	120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	---	39,365	---	39,365		---
	TANK AMMUNITION						
12	CTG TANK 105MM AND 120MM: ALL TYPES	---	101,900	---	101,900		---
	ARTILLERY AMMUNITION						
13	CTG, ARTY, 75MM AND 105MM: ALL TYPES	---	37,455	---	37,455		---
14	ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES	---	47,023	---	47,023		---
15	PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE XM982	416	35,672	416	35,672		---
16	ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL TYPES	---	94,010	---	74,010		-20,000
	ROCKETS						
19	SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	---	945	---	945		---
20	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES	---	27,286	---	27,286		---
	OTHER AMMUNITION						
21	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	---	22,899	---	22,899		---
22	GRENADES, ALL TYPES	---	22,751	---	22,751		---
23	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES	---	7,082	---	7,082		---
24	SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES	---	11,638	---	11,638		---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	MISCELLANEOUS						
25	AMMO COMPONENTS, ALL TYPES	---	3,594	---	3,594		---
	NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYPES	---	---	---	---		---
27	CAD/PAD ALL TYPES	---	5,430	---	5,430		---
28	ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION	---	8,337	---	8,337		---
29	AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT	---	14,906	---	14,906		---
30	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION (AMMO)	---	14,349	---	14,349		---
31	CLOSEOUT LIABILITIES	---	111	---	111		---
	TOTAL, AMMUNITION		767,000		747,000		-20,000
	AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT						
	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT						
32	PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	---	148,092	---	148,092		---
33	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION, ALL	---	113,881	---	113,881		---
34	ARMS INITIATIVE	---	2,504	---	2,504		---
	TOTAL, AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT		264,477		264,477		---
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY		1,031,477		1,011,477		-20,000

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
16	ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL TYPES	94,010	74,010	-20,000
	Funding ahead of need		-20,000	

OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$4,936,908,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	4,893,634,000
Committee recommendation	4,812,234,000
Change from budget request	−81,400,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $4,812,234,000 for Other Procurement, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
	TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES						
	TACTICAL VEHICLES						
1	TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS	---	7,987	---	7,987		---
2	SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED:	---	160	---	160		---
3	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV)	---	---	---	50,000		+50,000
4	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE	176	164,615	176	164,615		---
6	FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMEN	19	8,415	19	8,415		---
7	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV)	444	28,425	444	78,425		+50,000
8	PLS ESP	198	89,263	198	89,263		---
13	TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLE PROTECTION KITS	735	38,226	735	38,226		---
14	MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP	768	91,173	701	83,173	-67	-8,000
15	MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED MODS	1	14,731	1	14,731		---
	NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES						
16	HEAVY ARMORED SEDAN	1	175	1	175		---
17	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	25	1,338	25	1,338		---
18	NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER	---	11,101	---	11,101		---
	TOTAL, TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES		455,609		547,609		+92,000
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT						
	COMM - JOINT COMMUNICATIONS						
19	WIN-T - GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK	1,280	763,087	1,114	664,087	-166	-99,000
20	SIGNAL MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	69	21,157	69	21,157		---
21	JOINT INCIDENT SITE COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITY	---	7,915	---	7,915		---
22	JCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM)	---	5,440	---	5,440		---
	COMM - SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS						
23	DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEBAND SATCOM SYSTEMS	18	118,085	18	118,085		---
24	TRANSPORTABLE TACTICAL COMMAND COMMUNICATIONS	21	13,999	21	13,999		---
25	SHF TERM	---	6,494	---	6,494		---
26	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE)	---	1,635	---	1,635		---
27	SMART-T (SPACE)	---	13,554	---	13,554		---
28	SCAMP (SPACE)	---	18,899	---	18,899		---
29	GLOBAL BRDCST SVC - GBS	---	2,849	---	2,849		---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	COMM - C3 SYSTEM						
	COMM - COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS						
30	ENROUTE MISSION COMMAND (EMC)	---	100,000	---	80,000		-20,000
33	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM	2,674	175,711	1,913	125,711	-761	-50,000
34	MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO (MNVR)	---	9,692	---	4,692		-5,000
35	RADIO TERMINAL SET, MIDS LVT(2)	620	17,136	620	17,136		---
37	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS - OPA2	3,081	22,099	3,081	22,099		---
38	TRACTOR DESK	---	3,724	---	3,724		---
39	SPIDER APLA REMOTE CONTROL UNIT	---	969	---	969		---
40	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM COMM/ELECTRONICS	---	294	---	294		---
41	TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS AND PROTECTIVE SYSTEM	8,344	24,354	8,344	24,354		---
42	UNIFIED COMMAND SUITE	---	17,445	---	17,445		---
43	RADIO, IMPROVED HF (COTS) FAMILY	---	1,028	---	1,028		---
44	FAMILY OF MED COMM FOR COMBAT CASUALTY CARE	974	22,614	974	22,614		---
	COMM - INTELLIGENCE COMM						
46	CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE (MIP)	---	1,519	---	1,519		---
47	RESERVE CA/MISO GPF EQUIPMENT	305	12,478	305	12,478		---
	INFORMATION SECURITY						
50	INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM-ISSP	---	2,113	---	2,113		---
51	COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY (COMSEC)	2,750	69,646	2,750	69,646		---
	COMM - LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS						
52	BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS	---	28,913	---	28,913		---
	COMM - BASE COMMUNICATIONS						
53	INFORMATION SYSTEMS	---	97,091	---	97,091		---
54	DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS)	---	246	---	246		---
55	EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	---	5,362	---	5,362		---
56	INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM	---	79,965	---	79,965		---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	ELECT EQUIP						
	ELECT EQUIP - TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA)						
60	JTT/CIBS-M (MIP)	---	870	---	870		---
61	PROPHET GROUND (MIP)	11	55,896	11	55,896		---
63	DCGS-A (MIP)	2,423	128,207	2,423	128,207		---
64	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND STATION (JTAGS)	2	5,286	2	5,286		---
65	TROJAN (MIP)	---	12,614	---	12,614		---
66	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT) (MIP)	---	3,901	---	3,901		---
67	CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL(CHARCS)(MIP)	358	7,392	358	7,392		---
	ELECT EQUIP - ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW)						
68	LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR	3	24,828	3	24,828		---
70	AIR VIGILANCE (AV)	---	7,000	---	7,000		---
72	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES	---	1,285	---	1,285		---
	ELECT EQUIP - TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV)						
75	SENTINEL MODS	81	44,305	81	44,305		---
76	NIGHT VISION DEVICES	9,700	160,901	9,700	160,901		---
78	SMALL TACTICAL OPTICAL RIFLE MOUNTED MLRF	1,935	18,520	1,935	18,520		---
80	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION FAMILY OF SYSTEMS	173	68,296	173	68,296		---
81	FAMILY OF WEAPON SIGHTS (FWS)	1,716	49,205	1,193	34,205	-523	-15,000
82	ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP	137	4,896	137	4,896		---
83	PROFILER	---	3,115	---	3,115		---
84	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (FIREFINDER RADARS)	---	4,186	---	4,186		---
85	JOINT BATTLE COMMAND - PLATFORM (JBC-P)	2,622	97,892	2,354	87,892	-268	-10,000
86	JOINT EFFECTS TARGETING SYSTEM (JETS)	41	27,450	41	27,450		---
87	MOD OF IN-SERVICE EQUIPMENT (LLDR)	34	14,085	34	14,085		---
88	MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM	255	29,040	255	29,040		---
89	COUNTERFIRE RADARS	13	209,050	10	159,050	-3	-50,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	ELECT EQUIP - TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS						
92	FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY	---	13,823	---	13,823		---
95	AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYS (AMD	5	27,374	5	27,374		---
97	LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT (LCSS)	---	2,508	---	2,508		---
99	NETWORK MANAGEMENT INITIALIZATION AND SERVICE	---	21,524	---	21,524		---
100	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS)	3,748	95,455	3,748	95,455		---
101	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM-ARMY	---	118,600	---	118,600		---
102	INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM-ARMY	---	32,970	---	32,970		---
104	RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEYING INSTRUMENT SET	56	10,113	56	10,113		---
	ELECT EQUIP - AUTOMATION						
105	ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION	---	9,015	---	9,015		---
106	AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIPMENT	---	155,223	---	155,223		---
107	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM	---	16,581	---	16,581		---
108	HIGH PERF COMPUTING MOD PROGRAM	---	65,252	---	65,252		---
110	RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS (RCAS)	---	17,631	---	17,631		---
	ELECT EQUIP - AUDIO VISUAL SYS (A/V)						
112	ITEMS LESS THAN $5M (SURVEYING EQUIPMENT)	51	5,437	51	5,437		---
	ELECT EQUIP - SUPPORT						
113	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (C-E)	---	426	---	426		---
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	---	3,707	---	3,707		---
	TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT		3,239,372		2,990,372		-249,000
	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
	CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT						
115	FAMILY OF NON-LETHAL EQUIPMENT (FNLE)	---	937	---	937		---
116	BASE DEFENSE SYSTEMS (BDS)	---	1,930	---	1,930		---
117	CBRN SOLDIER PROTECTION	14,506	17,468	14,506	17,468		---
	BRIDGING EQUIPMENT						
119	TACTICAL BRIDGING	6	5,442	6	5,442		---
120	TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON	---	11,013	---	11,013		---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT						
121 GROUND STANDOFF MINE DETECTION SYSTEM (GSTAMIDS)	---	37,649	---	33,249		-4,400
122 HUSKY MOUNTED DETECTION SYSTEM (HMDS)	84	18,545	84	18,545		---
123 ROBOTIC COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	1	4,701	1	4,701		---
124 EOD ROBOTICS SYSTEMS RECAPITALIZATION	---	6,346	---	6,346		---
125 EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQPMT (EOD EQPMT)	133	15,856	133	15,856		---
126 REMOTE DEMOLITION SYSTEMS	---	4,485	---	4,485		---
127 ITEMS LESS THAN $5M, COUNTERMINE EQUIPMENT	92	4,938	92	4,938		---
COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
128 HEATERS AND ECU'S	628	9,235	628	9,235		---
130 SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT	1	1,677	1	1,677		---
131 PERSONNEL RECOVERY SUPPORT SYSTEM (PRSS)	12,273	16,728	12,273	16,728		---
132 GROUND SOLDIER SYSTEM	3,581	84,761	3,581	84,761		---
134 FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT	141	15,179	141	15,179		---
135 CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM	1,386	28,194	1,386	28,194		---
137 FAMILY OF ENGR COMBAT AND CONSTRUCTION SETS	336	41,967	336	41,967		---
138 ITEMS LESS THAN $5M (ENG SPT)	859	20,090	859	20,090		---
PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT						
139 QUALITY SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT	---	1,435	---	1,435		---
140 DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER	599	40,692	599	40,692		---
MEDICAL EQUIPMENT						
141 COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL	2,388	46,957	2,388	46,957		---
MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT						
142 MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS	60	23,758	60	23,758		---
143 ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M (MAINT EQ)	585	2,789	585	2,789		---
CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT						
144 GRADER, ROAD MTZD, HVY, 6X4 (CCE)	22	5,827	22	5,827		---
145 SCRAPERS, EARTHMOVING	22	14,926	22	14,926		---
147 COMPACTOR	617	4,348	617	4,348		---
148 HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR	14	4,938	14	4,938		---
149 TRACTOR, FULL TRACKED	95	34,071	95	34,071		---
150 ALL TERRAIN CRANES	4	4,938	4	4,938		---
151 PLANT, ASPHALT MIXING	---	667	---	667		---
153 ENHANCED RAPID AIRFIELD CONSTRUCTION CAPAP	---	14,924	---	14,924		---
154 CONST EQUIP ESP	79	15,933	79	15,933		---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
155 ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M (CONST EQUIP)	53	6,749	53	6,749		---
RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT						
156 ARMY WATERCRAFT ESP	---	10,509	---	10,509		---
157 ITEMS LESS THAN $5.0M (FLOAT/RAIL)	---	2,166	---	2,166		---
GENERATORS						
158 GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT	3,882	115,190	3,545	105,190	-337	-10,000
MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT						
160 FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS	146	14,327	146	14,327		---
TRAINING EQUIPMENT						
161 COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT	1	65,062	1	65,062		---
162 TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM	43	101,295	43	106,295		+5,000
163 CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER	---	13,406	---	13,406		---
164 AVIATION COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (AVCA	---	14,440	---	14,440		---
165 GAMING TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF ARMY TRAINING	---	10,165	---	10,165		---
TEST MEASURE AND DIG EQUIPMENT (TMD)						
166 CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT	---	5,726	---	5,726		---
167 INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (IFTE)	1,657	37,482	1,657	37,482		---
168 TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION (TEMOD)	415	16,061	415	16,061		---
OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
170 RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	2,380	---	2,380		---
171 PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3)	---	30,686	---	30,686		---
172 BASE LEVEL COM'L EQUIPMENT	---	1,008	---	1,008		---
173 MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (OPA-3)	3,209	98,559	3,209	83,559		-15,000
174 PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (OTH)	---	1,697	---	1,697		---
175 SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING	---	25,394	---	25,394		---
176 AMC CRITICAL ITEMS OPA3	963	12,975	963	12,975		---
TOTAL, OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		1,148,621		1,124,221		-24,400
SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS						
180 INITIAL SPARES - C&E	11	50,032	11	50,032		---
TOTAL, SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS		50,032		50,032		---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD HMMWV MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	---	---	---	100,000		+100,000
TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY		4,893,634		4,812,234		-81,400

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES	0	50,000	50,000
	Program increase		50,000	
7	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES	28,425	78,425	50,000
	Program increase		50,000	
14	MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIPMENT	91,173	83,173	-8,000
	Funding ahead of need		-8,000	
19	WIN-T - GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK	763,087	664,087	-99,000
	Unobligated prior year funds		-99,000	
30	ENROUTE MISSION COMMAND	100,000	80,000	-20,000
	Funding ahead of need		-20,000	
33	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM - HMS	175,711	125,711	-50,000
	Unobligated balances		-50,000	
34	MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO	9,692	4,692	-5,000
	Funding ahead of need		-5,000	
81	FAMILY OF WEAPON SIGHTS	49,205	34,205	-15,000
	Funding ahead of need		-15,000	
85	JOINT BATTLE COMMAND - PLATFORM	97,892	87,892	-10,000
	Funding ahead of need		-10,000	
89	COUNTERFIRE RADARS	209,050	159,050	-50,000
	Funding ahead of need		-50,000	
121	GROUND STANDOFF MINE DETECTION SYSTEM	37,649	33,249	-4,400
	Funding ahead of need		-4,400	
158	GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT	115,190	105,190	-10,000
	Funding ahead of need		-10,000	
162	TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM	101,295	106,295	5,000
	Program increase		5,000	
173	MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT	98,559	83,559	-15,000
	Funding ahead of need		-15,000	
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD HMMWV MOD PROGRAM	0	100,000	100,000
	Program increase		100,000	

HANDHELD STANDOFF MINE DETECTION SYSTEM

The Committee recognizes that the Army's AN/PSS–14 Handheld Standoff Mine Detection System is a cost effective and efficient method of providing a reliable handheld mine detection capability to the warfighter. The Committee commends the Army's efforts to improve the system's capability through the use of engineering change proposals, to seek cost effective mine detection devices, and to potentially achieve significant savings.

BODY ARMOR MODERNIZATION

The Committee recognizes that numerous lives have been saved by body armor. However, the Committee recalls the struggles involved with production, fielding, and maintenance of body armor kits at the beginning of the war in Iraq. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to develop a plan to replace and refurbish expired body armor, to modernize the body armor inventory through replenishment, and to ensure that the industrial base is able to continue the development and manufacture of more advanced body armor. Elements of the plan shall include the completion and issuance of the Program Executive Office (PEO) Soldier study on the shelf-life of body armor, consistent with that study, a determination of the number of pieces of body armor in the inventory that have expired, the qualification by PEO Soldier of the progressively lighter body armor that is being developed for the Future Soldier Protection Systems program, a determination of the number of expired body armor pieces that should be replaced by the lighter body armor qualified by PEO Soldier or refurbished, a determination of the amount of body armor procurement (both replacement and refurbishment) required on an annual basis to ensure that the manufacturing base remains viable and is able to continue the development of more advanced body armor until the Future Soldier Protection Systems program is implemented, and a detailed schedule for the replacement or refurbishment of expired body armor in the inventory consistent with the PEO Soldier study and as discovered in the above determinations.

AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE PLANNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM

The air and missile defense planning and control system is an Army objective force system that provides integration of air and missile defense operations at all echelons. The Committee is aware that funding provided in the base budget for fiscal year 2015 will be used to buy five air defense and air space management cells. The Committee is concerned that the funding requested, which is double the amount provided in fiscal year 2014 and half the amount provided in fiscal year 2013, may be insufficient to support the software upgrades necessary to meet the needs of the air and missile defense theater aviation commands. The Committee urges the Secretary of the Army to review and stabilize the funding for this program.

HIGH MOBILITY MULTIPURPOSE WHEELED VEHICLE MODERNIZATION

The Committee recognizes that the National Guard has consistently included modernized High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled

Vehicles (HMMWV) among its top funding priorities. The Committee includes $100,000,000 above the request to modernize the aging National Guard HMMWV fleet to satisfy this requirement. In conducting fleet modernization, the Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to integrate advanced safety systems, including the application of emerging commercial technologies such as electronic control units and airbag technology, to improve the safety of these vehicles with respect to underbody blast, crash, or rollover events.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$16,442,794,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	13,074,317,000
Committee recommendation	14,054,523,000
Change from budget request	+980,206,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $14,054,523,000 for Aircraft Procurement, Navy. The total amount recommended in this bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY						
	COMBAT AIRCRAFT						
1	EA-18G	---	43,547	12	1,018,547	+12	+975,000
5	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER	2	610,652	4	865,652	+2	+255,000
6	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (AP-CY)	---	29,400	---	29,400		---
7	JSF STOVL	6	1,200,410	6	1,200,410		---
8	JSF STOVL (AP-CY)	---	143,885	---	143,885		---
9	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)	19	1,487,000	19	1,481,100		-5,900
10	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) (AP-CY)	---	45,920	---	45,920		---
11	UH-1Y/AH-1Z	26	778,757	27	809,057	+1	+30,300
12	UH-1Y/AH-1Z (AP-CY)	---	80,926	---	63,354		-17,572
13	MH-60S (MYP)	8	210,209	8	188,440		-21,769
15	MH-60R	29	933,882	29	870,101		-63,781
16	MH-60R (AP-CY)	---	106,686	---	106,686		---
17	P-8A POSEIDON	8	2,003,327	9	2,128,787	+1	+125,460
18	P-8A POSEIDON (AP-CY)	---	48,457	---	48,457		---
19	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE	4	819,870	5	902,271	+1	+82,401
20	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE (AP-CY)	---	225,765	---	178,488		-47,277
	TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT		8,768,693		10,080,555		+1,311,862

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	OTHER AIRCRAFT						
23	KC-130J	1	92,290	1	92,290		---
26	MQ-4 TRITON (AP-CY)	---	37,445	---	67,670		+30,225
27	MQ-8 UAV	---	40,663	---	39,663		-1,000
	TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT		170,398		199,623		+29,225
	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT						
29	EA-6 SERIES	---	10,993	---	10,993		---
30	AEA SYSTEMS	---	34,768	---	44,768		+10,000
31	AV-8 SERIES	---	65,472	---	57,972		-7,500
32	ADVERSARY	---	8,418	---	8,418		---
33	F-18 SERIES	---	679,177	---	636,030		-43,147
34	H-46 SERIES	---	480	---	480		---
36	H-53 SERIES	---	38,159	---	36,619		-1,540
37	SH-60 SERIES	---	108,850	---	101,064		-7,786
38	H-1 SERIES	---	45,033	---	42,273		-2,760
39	EP-3 SERIES	---	32,890	---	32,890		---
40	P-3 SERIES	---	2,823	---	2,823		---
41	E-2 SERIES	---	21,208	---	21,208		---
42	TRAINER A/C SERIES	---	12,608	---	12,608		---
44	C-130 SERIES	---	40,378	---	35,522		-4,856
45	FEWSG	---	640	---	640		---
46	CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES	---	4,635	---	4,035		-600
47	E-6 SERIES	---	212,876	---	193,006		-19,870
48	EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES	---	71,328	---	68,128		-3,200

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
49	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT	---	21,317	---	21,317		---
50	T-45 SERIES	---	90,052	---	90,052		---
51	POWER PLANT CHANGES	---	19,094	---	19,094		---
52	JPATS SERIES	---	1,085	---	1,085		---
54	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT	---	155,644	---	144,427		-11,217
55	COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES	---	157,531	---	157,531		---
56	COMMON DEFENSIVE WEAPON SYSTEM	---	1,958	---	1,958		---
57	ID SYSTEMS	---	38,880	---	38,880		---
58	P-8 SERIES	---	29,797	---	29,797		---
59	MAGTF EW FOR AVIATION	---	14,770	---	14,770		---
60	MQ-8 SERIES	---	8,741	---	8,741		---
61	RQ-7 SERIES	---	2,542	---	2,542		---
62	V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY	---	135,584	---	128,428		-7,156
63	F-35 STOVL SERIES	---	285,968	---	209,877		-76,091
64	F-35 CV SERIES	---	20,502	---	20,051		-451
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT		2,374,201		2,198,027		-176,174
	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS						
65	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	---	1,229,651	---	1,064,811		-164,840
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
66	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT	---	418,355	---	398,488		-19,867
67	AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	---	23,843	---	23,843		---
68	WAR CONSUMABLES	---	15,939	---	15,939		---
69	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES	---	5,630	---	5,630		---
70	SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	65,839	---	65,839		---
71	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	---	1,768	---	1,768		---
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES		531,374		511,507		-19,867
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY		13,074,317		14,054,523		+980,206

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**EA-18G**	**43,547**	**1,018,547**	**975,000**
	Program increase - twelve aircraft		975,000	
5	**JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER**	**610,652**	**865,652**	**255,000**
	Program increase - two aircraft		255,000	
9	**V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)**	**1,487,000**	**1,481,100**	**-5,900**
	ECO growth		-5,900	
11	**UH-1Y/AH-1Z**	**778,757**	**809,057**	**30,300**
	Program increase - one aircraft		30,300	
12	**UH-1Y/AH-1Z (AP-CY)**	**80,926**	**63,354**	**-17,572**
	UH-1Y AP cost growth		-14,600	
	AH-1Z AP cost growth		-1,372	
	Support funding carryover		-1,600	
13	**MH-60S (MYP)**	**210,209**	**188,440**	**-21,769**
	Shutdown funding ahead of need		-17,000	
	Excess peculiar training equipment		-4,769	
15	**MH-60R**	**933,882**	**870,101**	**-63,781**
	Excess ECO funding		-6,581	
	Airframe peculiar ground support equipment growth		-17,000	
	Avionics peculiar ground support equipment growth		-28,000	
	Pubs/tech data growth		-5,000	
	Shutdown funding ahead of need		-1,600	
	Support funding carryover		-5,600	
17	**P-8A POSEIDON**	**2,003,327**	**2,128,787**	**125,460**
	GFE cost growth		-11,040	
	Airframe contract savings		-13,000	
	Support funding carryover		-4,500	
	Program increase - one aircraft		154,000	
19	**E-2D ADV HAWKEYE**	**819,870**	**902,271**	**82,401**
	MYP contract savings		-30,000	
	Airframe cost growth		-28,920	
	GFE electronics cost growth		-2,188	
	Other GFE cost growth		-2,241	
	Avionics peculiar ground support equipment cost growth		-15,000	
	Support funding carryover		-6,250	
	Program increase - one aircraft		167,000	
20	**E-2D ADV HAWKEYE (AP-CY)**	**225,765**	**178,488**	**-47,277**
	EOQ/long lead cost growth		-47,277	
26	**MQ-4 TRITON (AP-CY)**	**37,445**	**67,670**	**30,225**
	Rephase AP		30,225	
27	**MQ-8 UAV**	**40,663**	**39,663**	**-1,000**
	Support funding carryover		-1,000	
30	**AEA SYSTEMS**	**34,768**	**44,768**	**10,000**
	Program increase - low band transmitter upgrades		10,000	

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
31	**AV-8 SERIES**	**65,472**	**57,972**	**-7,500**
	Litening pod upgrade kit cost growth (OSIP 023-00)		-7,500	
33	**F-18 SERIES**	**679,177**	**636,030**	**-43,147**
	Non-recurring installation equipment growth (OSIP 11-99)		-2,000	
	Support equipment and other support funding carryover (OSIP 11-99)		-3,000	
	ECP 904 installation cost growth (OSIP 11-99)		-3,104	
	Non-recurring installation equipment growth (OSIP 21-00)		-1,200	
	ILS growth (OSIP 14-03)		-6,200	
	Other support forward funded (OSIP 14-03)		-12,158	
	ECP 6038 radome A-kits ahead of need (OSIP 002-07)		-2,384	
	Support equipment funding previously appropriated (OSIP 11-10)		-3,501	
	Data funding growth (OSIP 018-14)		-9,600	
36	**H-53 SERIES**	**38,159**	**36,619**	**-1,540**
	Kapton wiring installation cost growth (OSIP 008-06)		-1,540	
37	**SH-60 SERIES**	**108,850**	**101,064**	**-7,786**
	Data link A-kit cost growth (OSIP 009-07)		-6,086	
	Other support growth (OSIP 009-07)		-1,700	
38	**H-1 SERIES**	**45,033**	**42,273**	**-2,760**
	Full motion video installation cost growth (OSIP 015-12)		-1,000	
	Brite star block IIB kit contract savings (OSIP 016-12)		-1,760	
44	**C-130 SERIES**	**40,378**	**35,522**	**-4,856**
	NRE ahead of need (OSIP 020-12)		-1,106	
	NRE ahead of need (OSIP 019-14)		-3,750	
46	**CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES**	**4,635**	**4,035**	**-600**
	Installation cost growth (CNS/ATM OSIP)		-600	
47	**E-6 SERIES**	**212,876**	**193,006**	**-19,870**
	Excess support funding (OSIP 003-04)		-3,150	
	SLEP installation cost growth (OSIP 003-07)		-3,941	
	Communications upgrade kit installation ahead of need (OSIP 012-07)		-4,145	
	Block 1 upgrade kit installation ahead of need (OSIP 008-10)		-6,387	
	FAB-T lab production concurrency (OSIP 010-12)		-2,247	
48	**EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES**	**71,328**	**68,128**	**-3,200**
	NRE restructure (OSIP 010-12)		-3,200	
54	**COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT**	**155,644**	**144,427**	**-11,217**
	Testing (OSIP 005-08)		-3,260	
	ALQ-214 install equipment cost growth (OSIP 004-12)		-5,957	
	Other support growth (OSIP 004-12)		-2,000	
62	**V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY**	**135,584**	**128,428**	**-7,156**
	Installation ahead of need (OSIP 022-01)		-5,804	
	Main landing gear fire suppression A-kits ahead of need (OSIP 022-01)		-1,352	

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
63	**F-35 STOVL SERIES**	**285,968**	**209,877**	**-76,091**
	Block 3i upgrade kit cost growth (OSIP 015-14)		-8,274	
	Concurrency re-pricing (OSIP 023-14)		-67,817	
64	**F-35 CV SERIES**	**20,502**	**20,051**	**-451**
	Concurrency re-pricing (OSIP 024-14)		-451	
65	**SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS**	**1,229,651**	**1,064,811**	**-164,840**
	JSF STOVL cost growth		-42,695	
	Replenishment spares growth		-122,145	
66	**COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT**	**418,355**	**398,488**	**-19,867**
	TPS transition growth		-1,156	
	KC-130J simulator upgrade cost growth		-2,721	
	T-45 flight trainer upgrades contract delay		-8,397	
	CH-53E trainer upgrades contract delay		-4,101	
	E-2/C-2 trainer upgrades contract delay		-3,492	

MH–60R

The Navy proposes to prematurely terminate the MH–60R helicopter production line in fiscal year 2016, despite the program being bound under a multi-year procurement contract, along with the Army's UH–60 Blackhawk helicopters. The rationale for this termination is due to force structure changes, partially driven by the decision to decrease the number of carrier air wings as a result of the Navy's decision to prematurely decommission the USS George Washington (CVN–73). As discussed elsewhere in this report, the Committee provides the required fiscal year 2015 funding to retain CVN–73, thus obviating that variable as a reason to prematurely terminate the MH–60R procurement program. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to fully fund the remaining MH–60R helicopters as previously planned and fulfill the terms of the joint H–60 multi-year procurement with the Army.

EA–18G AIRCRAFT

The EA–18G aircraft provides the Navy, and the nation, with an unequaled airborne electronic attack capability. The Navy has recently conducted studies showing that the capability brought by the EA–18G aircraft increases with the addition of two aircraft per carrier based squadron. Due to an increasing electronic threat from the nation's adversaries, the Committee sees great value in a more capable airborne electronic attack presence. Therefore, to begin to increase the size of carrier based airborne electronic attack squadrons, the recommendation provides an additional $975,000,000 for the procurement of twelve EA–18G aircraft.

WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$3,009,157,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	3,217,945,000
Committee recommendation	3,111,931,000
Change from budget request	−106,014,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $3,111,931,000 for Weapons Procurement, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY						
	BALLISTIC MISSILES						
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES						
1	TRIDENT II MODS	---	1,190,455	---	1,166,948		-23,507
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
2	MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	---	5,671	---	5,671		---
	TOTAL, BALLISTIC MISSILES		1,196,126		1,172,619		-23,507
	OTHER MISSILES						
	STRATEGIC MISSILES						
3	TOMAHAWK	100	194,258	196	271,958	+96	+77,700
	TACTICAL MISSILES						
4	AMRAAM	---	32,165	---	---		-32,165
5	SIDEWINDER	167	73,928	167	68,248		-5,680
6	JSOW	200	130,759	200	108,159		-22,600
7	STANDARD MISSILE	110	445,836	110	434,836		-11,000
8	RAM	90	80,792	90	80,792		---
11	STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITION	14	1,810	14	1,810		---
12	AERIAL TARGETS	---	48,046	---	45,683		-2,363
13	OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT	---	3,295	---	3,295		---
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES						
14	ESSM	104	119,434	104	114,434		-5,000
15	HARM MODS	---	111,739	---	106,489		-5,250
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
16	WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	---	2,531	---	2,531		---
17	FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON	---	208,700	---	206,700		-2,000
	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
18	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	73,211	---	73,211		---
	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		1,526,504		1,518,146		-8,358

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT						
	TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP						
19	SSTD	---	6,562	---	5,062		-1,500
20	MK-48 TORPEDO	---	14,153	---	---		-14,153
21	ASW TARGETS	---	2,515	---	2,515		---
22	MK-54 TORPEDO MODS	---	98,928	---	58,255		-40,673
	MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP						
23	MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS	---	46,893	---	42,796		-4,097
24	QUICKSTRIKE MINE	---	6,966	---	6,966		---
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
25	TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	52,670	---	50,070		-2,600
26	ASW RANGE SUPPORT	---	3,795	---	3,795		---
	DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION						
27	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	---	3,692	---	3,692		---
	TOTAL, TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT		236,174		173,151		-63,023
	OTHER WEAPONS						
	GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS						
28	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS	---	13,240	---	13,240		---
	MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS						
29	CIWS MODS	---	75,108	---	72,258		-2,850
30	COAST GUARD WEAPONS	---	18,948	---	13,356		-5,592
31	GUN MOUNT MODS	---	62,651	---	59,967		-2,684
33	AIRBORNE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS	---	15,006	---	15,006		---
	TOTAL, OTHER WEAPONS		184,953		173,827		-11,126
35	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	---	74,188	---	74,188		---
	TOTAL, WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY		3,217,945		3,111,931		-106,014

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1 TRIDENT II MODS	**1,190,455**	**1,166,948**	**-23,507**
SPALT kit cost growth		-917	
Guidance hardware cost growth		-22,590	
3 TOMAHAWK	**194,258**	**271,958**	**77,700**
Support funding carryover		-3,000	
Canister contract savings		-1,000	
Program increase - 96 missiles		81,700	
4 AMRAAM	**32,165**	**0**	**-32,165**
Unjustified request		-32,165	
5 SIDEWINDER	**73,928**	**68,248**	**-5,680**
Block II AUR cost growth		-1,980	
Support funding carryover		-3,700	
6 JSOW	**130,759**	**108,159**	**-22,600**
AUR cost growth		-6,600	
Excess command and launch/test and evaluation funding		-6,000	
Telemeters ahead of need		-10,000	
7 STANDARD MISSILE	**445,836**	**434,836**	**-11,000**
Support funding carryover		-10,000	
Installation, checkout, and training growth		-1,000	
12 AERIAL TARGETS	**48,046**	**45,683**	**-2,363**
MSST long lead ahead of need		-363	
Mission target kit growth		-2,000	
14 ESSM	**119,434**	**114,434**	**-5,000**
Support funding carryover		-5,000	
15 HARM MODS	**111,739**	**106,489**	**-5,250**
AUR kit cost growth		-3,250	
Tooling and test equipment growth		-2,000	
17 FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON	**208,700**	**206,700**	**-2,000**
Support funding carryover		-2,000	
19 SSTD	**6,562**	**5,062**	**-1,500**
Support funding growth		-1,000	
Unfunded outyear tail		-500	
20 MK-48 TORPEDO	**14,153**	**0**	**-14,153**
Support funding ahead of need		-14,153	
22 MK-54 TORPEDO MODS	**98,928**	**58,255**	**-40,673**
Excess modification kit installation funding		-5,900	
Unjustified NRE		-2,553	
MK-54 kit contract slip		-28,100	
VLA kit contract slip		-4,120	
23 MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS	**46,893**	**42,796**	**-4,097**
Crossover battery contract slip		-1,097	
Support funding carryover		-3,000	

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
25 TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	**52,670**	**50,070**	**-2,600**
Support funding carryover		-2,600	
29 CIWS MODS	**75,108**	**72,258**	**-2,850**
Coast Guard CIWS ahead of need		-2,850	
30 COAST GUARD WEAPONS	**18,948**	**13,356**	**-5,592**
MK-38 Mod 2 gun contract delay		-5,592	
31 GUN MOUNT MODS	**62,651**	**59,967**	**-2,684**
Installation funding ahead of need		-2,684	

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$549,316,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	771,945,000
Committee recommendation	629,372,000
Change from budget request	−142,573,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $629,372,000 for Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS						
	PROC AMMO, NAVY						
	NAVY AMMUNITION						
1	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS	---	107,069	---	70,700		-36,369
2	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES	---	70,396	---	67,416		-2,980
3	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION	---	20,284	---	20,284		---
4	PRACTICE BOMBS	---	26,701	---	26,701		---
5	CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES	---	53,866	---	50,866		-3,000
6	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES	---	59,294	---	59,294		---
7	JATOS	---	2,766	---	---		-2,766
8	LRLAP	---	113,092	---	113,092		---
9	5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION	---	35,702	---	35,702		---
10	INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION	---	36,475	---	2,133		-34,342
11	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION	---	43,906	---	30,116		-13,790
12	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO	---	51,535	---	50,535		-1,000
13	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION	---	11,652	---	11,652		---
14	AMMUNITION LESS THAN $5 MILLION	---	4,473	---	1,507		-2,966
	TOTAL, PROC AMMO, NAVY		637,211		539,998		-97,213

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	PROC AMMO, MARINE CORPS						
	MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION						
15	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION	---	31,708	---	31,708		---
16	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES	---	692	---	692		---
17	40 MM, ALL TYPES	---	13,630	---	6,965		-6,665
18	60MM, ALL TYPES	---	2,261	---	2,261		---
19	81MM, ALL TYPES	---	1,496	---	1,496		---
20	120MM, ALL TYPES	---	14,855	---	7,144		-7,711
22	GRENADES, ALL TYPES	---	4,000	---	3,000		-1,000
23	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES	---	16,853	---	545		-16,308
24	ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES	---	14,772	---	12,068		-2,704
26	FUZE, ALL TYPES	---	9,972	---	---		-9,972
27	NON LETHALS	---	998	---	998		---
28	AMMO MODERNIZATION	---	12,319	---	11,319		-1,000
29	ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION	---	11,178	---	11,178		---
	TOTAL, PROC AMMO, MARINE CORPS		134,734		89,374		-45,360
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS		771,945		629,372		-142,573

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS**	**107,069**	**70,700**	**-36,369**
	Direct attack moving target capability cost growth		-1,522	
	FMU-139 contract delay		-12,000	
	Laser guided bomb tailkit contract delay		-16,647	
	Product improvement program growth		-4,000	
	Support funding carryover		-2,200	
2	**AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES**	**70,396**	**67,416**	**-2,980**
	Support funding carryover		-1,000	
	APKWS contract savings		-1,980	
5	**CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES**	**53,866**	**50,866**	**-3,000**
	F-18 DIFRS growth		-1,000	
	T-6A growth		-2,000	
7	**JATOS**	**2,766**	**0**	**-2,766**
	Program delay		-2,766	
10	**INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION**	**36,475**	**2,133**	**-34,342**
	MK-295 57MM contract delay		-24,704	
	MK-296 57MM contract delay		-9,638	
11	**OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION**	**43,906**	**30,116**	**-13,790**
	30MM contract delay		-13,790	
12	**SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO**	**51,535**	**50,535**	**-1,000**
	Support funding carryover		-1,000	
14	**AMMUNITION LESS THAN $5 MILLION**	**4,473**	**1,507**	**-2,966**
	LUU-19 paraflares contract delay		-2,966	
17	**40 MM, ALL TYPES**	**13,630**	**6,965**	**-6,665**
	C1 LAP kits cost growth		-810	
	40MM practice day/night LAP kit contract delay		-5,855	
20	**120MM, ALL TYPES**	**14,855**	**7,144**	**-7,711**
	120MM white phosphorous AUR contract delay		-7,711	
22	**GRENADES, ALL TYPES**	**4,000**	**3,000**	**-1,000**
	66MM SSVL MK-1 contract delay		-1,000	
23	**ROCKETS, ALL TYPES**	**16,853**	**545**	**-16,308**
	83MM HEA contract delay		-16,308	
24	**ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES**	**14,772**	**12,068**	**-2,704**
	HE M795 explosive fill growth		-2,704	
26	**FUZE, ALL TYPES**	**9,972**	**0**	**-9,972**
	Precision guided fuze contract delay		-9,972	
28	**AMMO MODERNIZATION**	**12,319**	**11,319**	**-1,000**
	Program growth		-1,000	

SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$15,231,364,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	14,400,625,000
Committee recommendation	14,256,361,000
Change from budget request	−144,264,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $14,256,361,000 for Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY						
	OTHER WARSHIPS						
1	CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	---	1,300,000	---	1,289,425		-10,575
2	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE	2	3,553,254	2	3,507,175		-46,079
3	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE (AP-CY)	---	2,330,325	---	2,301,825		-28,500
	CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS (AP-CY)	---	---	---	491,100		+491,100
6	DDG 1000	---	419,532	---	419,532		---
7	DDG-51	2	2,671,415	2	2,655,785		-15,630
8	DDG-51 (AP-CY)	---	134,039	---	134,039		---
9	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP	3	1,427,049	2	951,366	-1	-475,683
	TOTAL, OTHER WARSHIPS		11,835,614		11,750,247		-85,367
	AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS						
10	LPD-17	---	12,565	---	12,565		---
14	LHA REPLACEMENT (AP-CY)	---	29,093	---	29,093		---
15	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL	---	4,590	---	---		-4,590
	TOTAL, AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS		46,248		41,658		-4,590
	AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGRAM COSTS						
16	MOORED TRAINING SHIP	1	737,268	1	737,268		---
17	MOORED TRAINING SHIP (AP)	---	64,388	---	64,388		---
18	OUTFITTING	---	546,104	---	491,797		-54,307
19	SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR	2	123,233	2	123,233		---
20	LCAC SLEP	2	40,485	2	40,485		---
21	COMPLETION OF PY SHIPBUILDING PROGRAMS	---	1,007,285	---	1,007,285		---
	TOTAL, AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGRAM		2,518,763		2,464,456		-54,307
	TOTAL, SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY		14,400,625		14,256,361		-144,264

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1 CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	**1,300,000**	**1,289,425**	**-10,575**
CANES cost growth		-2,051	
CANES system engineering growth		-1,543	
Digital modular radio cost growth		-1,092	
IFF cost growth		-1,573	
SPN-46 cost growth		-1,473	
Technical engineering services growth		-2,843	
2 VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE	**3,553,254**	**3,507,175**	**-46,079**
Propulsion equipment cost growth		-42,700	
GFE savings		-3,379	
3 VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE (AP-CY)	**2,330,325**	**2,301,825**	**-28,500**
Propulsion equipment cost growth		-28,500	
5 CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS (AP-CY)	**0**	**491,100**	**491,100**
Program increase - restore CVN-73 refueling		491,100	
7 DDG-51	**2,671,415**	**2,655,785**	**-15,630**
Main reduction gear contract savings		-6,122	
Tomahawk weapons system other cost growth		-1,720	
SQQ-89 hardware excess to need		-7,788	
9 LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP	**1,427,049**	**951,366**	**-475,683**
Reduce one ship		-475,683	
15 JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL	**4,590**	**0**	**-4,590**
Program closeout ahead of need		-4,590	
18 OUTFITTING	**546,104**	**491,797**	**-54,307**
LPD-26 outfitting phasing		-6,950	
AFSB-2 outfitting phasing		-4,316	
DDG-1001 outfitting phasing		-10,770	
SSN-787 outfitting phasing		-8,000	
SSN-788 outfitting phasing		-1,600	
LCAC-78 and 83 post delivery ahead of need		-411	
AFSB-1 post delivery ahead of need		-2,911	
LCS-7 and 8 post delivery phasing		-10,662	
SSN-786 post delivery ahead of need		-7,839	
SSN-787 post delivery ahead of need		-848	

CRUISER MODERNIZATION

The Committee is pleased that the budget request did not propose to retire seven Ticonderoga Class Guided Missile Cruisers as had been proposed for the last two years. These cruisers have many years of additional service life remaining and with the Navy struggling to reach and maintain its requisite fleet size of 306 ships, retiring these valuable assets early does not make sense. However, the Committee was disappointed that the most recent Navy proposal included a plan to lay-up eleven of these ships for an average of nine years, starting in fiscal year 2015. The Committee is concerned that this long term lay-up will lead to decommissioning some or all of these cruisers in the near future. While the Committee understands the rationale for the proposal, there is likely a more reasonable middle ground between continuing to operate the ships and decommissioning the ships. Therefore, the Committee agrees with a lay-up and modernization plan for the cruisers, with certain modifications. Instead of inducting all eleven cruisers at one time, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to induct no more than two cruisers per year into the phased modernization period, beginning with two cruisers being inducted in fiscal year 2016. Further, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to allow no more than six cruisers in lay-up at any given time. Finally, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to ensure that the duration of the lay-up period be no longer than four years and that modernization equipment be ordered and placed on contract in the year prior to the ship entering its modernization period. The Committee believes this approach will maximize the use of the Navy's cruiser fleet while ensuring it is modernized and relevant for the duration of its service life. The Committee recognizes this approach comes with a cost and therefore adds $540,000,000 to the Ship Modernization, Operations, and Sustainment Fund, as outlined in title VIII of this bill.

AIRCRAFT CARRIER REFUELING

The Navy includes no funding in the fiscal year 2015 budget request for the refueling of the aircraft carrier USS George Washington (CVN–73). While the Secretary of the Navy explained this as a cost saving measure and a deferral of the actual refueling decision, the Committee believes it to be a shortsighted position since the Congress has already appropriated in excess of $500,000,000 towards the effort. The George Washington will have half of its service life remaining after being refueled. Nearly $3,000,000,000 was invested for the construction of the George Washington in 1983, and the Committee believes that walking away from the George Washington halfway through its service life is shortsighted. The Committee is also concerned with the Navy's lack of budgetary discipline, sending a fiscally broken program to Congress for the second consecutive year. Last year, the Secretary of the Navy did not fully fund the procurement of the two submarines requested, forcing Congress to provide nearly $1,000,000,000 to fully fund the program. Again this year, the Committee must provide $789,300,000 to maintain the CVN–73 refueling schedule, despite the Navy's claim it can simply defer the decision to fiscal year

2016. Therefore, the Committee provides $789,300,000 to maintain the CVN–73 refueling schedule, and directs the Secretary of the Navy to fully fund the remaining cost of the CVN–73 refueling in fiscal year 2016.

LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP

The Committee is extremely concerned with statements made by the Secretary of Defense regarding the Littoral Combat Ship (LCS). While presenting the fiscal year 2015 budget, he expressed concerns as to whether LCS has the independent protection and firepower to operate and survive against a more advanced military adversary and emerging new technologies, especially in the Asia-Pacific region. The Secretary further stated that, in light of continued fiscal restraints, the Department of Defense must direct future shipbuilding resources toward platforms that can operate in every region along the full spectrum of conflict. The Secretary subsequently directed that the Navy not engage in new LCS contract negotiations beyond 32 ships and that it submit alternative proposals to procure a capable and lethal small surface combatant, generally consistent with the capabilities of a frigate. Within these alternative proposals, the Navy should consider a completely new design, existing ship designs, and a modified LCS design. Under the current plan, the Navy would purchase the 32nd LCS in fiscal year 2018, a full four years after the very strong, worrisome statements made by the Secretary of Defense. The Committee believes that if the current LCS is not the correct small surface combatant of the future, the Navy should correct its course sooner rather than later and begin purchasing the correct ship well before fiscal year 2019. The Committee was surprised that the Secretary of Defense allowed so much time to pass before ensuring the correct small surface combatant begins construction. Therefore, the recommendation removes $476,000,000 and one ship from the request to minimize the number of ships being procured prior to the completion of the Navy's review while maintaining the industrial base. Additionally, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to include the results of the small surface combatant study into the shipbuilding plan in time to influence the procurement of small surface combatant ships in fiscal year 2016.

OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$5,572,618,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	5,975,828,000
Committee recommendation	5,923,379,000
Change from budget request	−52,449,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $5,923,379,000 for Other Procurement, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY						
	SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
	SHIP PROPULSION EQUIPMENT						
1	LM-2500 GAS TURBINE	---	7,822	---	7,822		---
2	ALLISON 501K GAS TURBINE	---	2,155	---	2,155		---
3	HYBRID ELECTRIC DRIVE (HED)	---	22,704	---	19,278		-3,426
	GENERATORS						
4	SURFACE COMBATANT HM&E	---	29,120	---	26,664		-2,456
	NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT						
3	OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT	---	45,431	---	44,311		-1,120
	PERISCOPES						
6	SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING EQUIP	---	60,970	---	57,221		-3,749
	OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT						
7	DDG MOD	---	338,569	---	324,219		-14,350
8	FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT	---	15,486	---	15,486		---
9	COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARD	---	2,219	---	2,219		---
10	LHA/LHD MIDLIFE	---	17,928	---	14,048		-3,880
11	LCC 19/20 EXTENDED SERVICE LIFE	---	22,025	---	22,025		---
12	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT	---	12,607	---	10,146		-2,461
13	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	16,492	---	11,815		-4,677
14	VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	74,129	---	70,689		-3,440
15	LCS CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	36,206	---	25,742		-10,464
16	SUBMARINE BATTERIES	---	37,352	---	36,352		-1,000
17	LPD CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	49,095	---	44,562		-4,533
18	DDG-1000 SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	2,996	---	---		-2,996
19	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP	---	11,558	---	11,558		---
20	DSSP EQUIPMENT	---	5,518	---	5,518		---
22	LCAC	---	7,158	---	7,158		---
23	UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS	---	58,783	---	52,708		-6,075
24	ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION	---	68,748	---	62,772		-5,976
25	CHEMICAL WARFARE DETECTORS	---	2,937	---	2,937		---
26	SUBMARINE LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM	---	8,385	---	8,385		---
	REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT						
27	REACTOR POWER UNITS	---	---	---	298,200		+298,200
28	REACTOR COMPONENTS	---	288,822	---	288,822		---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	OCEAN ENGINEERING						
29	DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT	---	10,572	---	10,572		---
	SMALL BOATS						
30	STANDARD BOATS	---	129,784	---	126,445		-3,339
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT						
31	OTHER SHIPS TRAINING EQUIPMENT	---	17,152	---	17,152		---
	PRODUCTION FACILITIES EQUIPMENT						
32	OPERATING FORCES IPE	---	39,409	---	39,409		---
	OTHER SHIP SUPPORT						
33	NUCLEAR ALTERATIONS	---	118,129	---	118,129		---
34	LCS MODULES	---	37,413	---	31,317		-6,096
35	LCS MCM MISSION MODULES	---	15,270	---	15,270		---
36	LCS ASW MISSION MODULES	---	2,729	---	---		-2,729
37	LCS SUW MISSION MODULES	---	44,208	---	35,302		-8,906
38	REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS)	---	42,276	---	42,276		---
	LOGISTICS SUPPORT						
	TOTAL, SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		1,702,157		1,908,684		+206,527
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT						
	SHIP SONARS						
40	SPQ-9B RADAR	---	28,007	---	26,735		-1,272
41	AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM	---	79,802	---	78,802		-1,000
42	SSN ACOUSTICS	---	165,655	---	160,932		-4,723
43	UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	9,487	---	4,663		-4,824
44	SONAR SWITCHES AND TRANSDUCERS	---	11,621	---	11,621		---
	ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT						
46	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM	---	24,221	---	22,721		-1,500
47	SSTD	---	12,051	---	12,051		---
48	FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	---	170,831	---	170,831		---
49	SURTASS	---	9,619	---	9,619		---
50	TACTICAL SUPPORT CENTER	---	14,390	---	14,390		---
	ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT						
51	AN/SLQ-32	---	214,582	---	195,002		-19,580
	RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT						
52	SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT	---	124,862	---	123,362		-1,500
53	AUTOMATED IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM (AIS)	---	164	---	164		---
	SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT						
54	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG	---	45,362	---	36,938		-8,424

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT						
55	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY	---	33,939	---	33,939		---
54	TRUSTED INFORMATION SYSTEM (TIS)	---	324	---	324		---
57	NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (NTCSS)	---	18,192	---	18,192		---
58	ATDLS	---	16,768	---	16,768		---
59	NAVY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NCCS)	---	5,219	---	5,219		---
60	MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT	---	42,108	---	40,499		-1,609
62	NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE)	---	15,232	---	15,232		---
63	ARMED FORCES RADIO AND TV	---	4,524	---	4,524		---
64	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP	---	6,382	---	6,382		---
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT						
65	OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT	---	46,122	---	44,058		-2,064
	AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT						
66	MATCALS	---	16,999	---	16,999		---
67	SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	---	9,366	---	9,366		---
68	AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM	---	21,357	---	21,357		---
69	NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM	---	26,639	---	26,639		---
70	AIR STATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	9,214	---	9,214		---
71	MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM	---	13,902	---	13,902		---
72	ID SYSTEMS	---	34,901	---	28,543		-6,358
73	TAC A/C MISSION PLANNING SYS(TAMPS)	---	13,950	---	13,950		---
	OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT						
74	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONT	---	1,205	---	1,205		---
75	TADIX-B	---	3,447	---	3,447		---
76	GCCS-M EQUIPMENT TACTICAL/MOBILE	---	16,766	---	16,766		---
77	DCGS-N	---	23,649	---	23,649		---
78	CANES	---	357,589	---	357,589		---
79	RADIAC	---	8,343	---	5,153		-3,190
80	CANES-INTELL	---	65,015	---	65,015		---
81	GPETE	---	6,284	---	6,284		---
82	INTEG COMBAT SYSTEM TEST FACILITY	---	4,016	---	4,016		---
83	EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION	---	4,113	---	4,113		---
84	ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION	---	45,053	---	58,365		+13,312

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS						
85	SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS	---	14,410	---	14,410		---
86	SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION	---	20,830	---	20,830		---
88	COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER $5M	---	14,145	---	14,145		---
	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS						
89	SUBMARINE BROADCAST SUPPORT	---	11,057	---	11,057		---
90	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT	---	67,852	---	64,954		-2,898
	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS						
91	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	---	13,218	---	11,453		-1,765
92	NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL (NMT)	---	272,076	---	233,417		-38,659
	SHORE COMMUNICATIONS						
93	JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT	---	4,369	---	4,369		---
94	ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS	---	1,402	---	1,402		---
	CRYPTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT						
95	INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)	---	110,766	---	109,266		-1,500
96	MIO INTEL EXPLOITATION TEAM	---	979	---	979		---
	CRYPTOLOGIC EQUIPMENT						
97	CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP	---	11,502	---	11,502		---
	OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT						
98	COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT	---	2,967	---	2,967		---
	TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT		2,326,845		2,239,291		-87,554
	AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
	SONOBUOYS						
100	SONOBUOYS - ALL TYPES	---	182,946	---	182,946		---
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
101	WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	47,944	---	47,944		---
103	AIRCRAFT LAUNCH & RECOVERY EQUIPMENT	---	76,683	---	55,738		-20,945
106	METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT	---	12,575	---	12,575		---
107	OTHER PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT	---	1,415	---	1,415		---
109	AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES	---	23,152	---	23,152		---
114	AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	52,555	---	45,705		-6,850
	TOTAL, AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		397,270		369,475		-27,795

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT						
115 SHIP GUN SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT	---	5,572	---	5,572		---
SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT						
118 SHIP MISSILE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	165,769	---	143,570		-22,199
123 TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	61,462	---	60,062		-1,400
FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
126 STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP	---	229,832	---	201,832		-28,000
ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
127 SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS	---	66,020	---	60,804		-5,216
128 ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	7,559	---	7,559		---
OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
132 EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP	---	20,619	---	20,619		---
133 ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION	---	11,251	---	10,759		-492
OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE						
137 TRAINING DEVICE MODS	---	84,080	---	70,672		-13,408
TOTAL, ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		652,164		581,449		-70,715
CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
138 PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	---	2,282	---	2,282		---
139 GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS	---	547	---	547		---
140 CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP	---	8,949	---	6,187		-2,762
141 FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT	---	14,621	---	14,621		---
142 TACTICAL VEHICLES	---	957	---	957		---
143 AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT	---	8,187	---	8,187		---
144 POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT	---	2,942	---	2,942		---
145 ITEMS UNDER $5 MILLION	---	17,592	---	16,142		-1,450
146 PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES	---	1,177	---	1,177		---
TOTAL, CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		57,254		53,042		-4,212
SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
147 MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT	---	10,937	---	10,937		---
148 OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	10,374	---	6,674		-3,700
149 FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	---	5,668	---	5,668		---
150 SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS	---	90,921	---	39,921		-51,000
TOTAL, SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		117,900		63,200		-54,700

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
TRAINING DEVICES						
151 TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	22,046	---	22,046		---
COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
152 COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	24,208	---	24,208		---
153 EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	874	---	874		---
154 MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	2,634	---	2,634		---
156 NAVAL MIP SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	3,573	---	3,573		---
157 OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	3,997	---	3,997		---
158 C4ISR EQUIPMENT	---	9,638	---	9,638		---
159 ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	21,001	---	21,001		---
160 PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	---	94,957	---	90,957		-4,000
161 ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	---	87,214	---	87,214		---
164 NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE SERVICE	---	116,165	---	106,165		-10,000
TOTAL, PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		386,307		372,307		-14,000
165 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	---	325,084	---	325,084		---
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	---	10,847	---	10,847		---
TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY		5,975,828		5,923,379		-52,449

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3 HYBRID ELECTRIC DRIVE	**22,704**	**19,278**	**-3,426**
Excess installation funding		-1,926	
Modification funding ahead of need		-1,500	
4 SURFACE COMBATANT HM&E	**29,120**	**26,664**	**-2,456**
Excess installation funding		-2,456	
3 OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT	**45,431**	**44,311**	**-1,120**
AN/WSN-9 procurement ahead of need		-1,120	
6 SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING EQUIP	**60,970**	**57,221**	**-3,749**
Interim contractor support carryover		-3,100	
Excess installation funding		-649	
7 DDG MOD	**338,569**	**324,219**	**-14,350**
GEDMS engineering services funding carryover		-1,272	
Excess GEDMS DSA funding		-900	
MCS/DCS engineering services funding carryover		-7,500	
Excess MCS/DCS DSA funding		-2,091	
Excess CEC installation funding		-2,587	
10 LHA/LHD MIDLIFE	**17,928**	**14,048**	**-3,880**
Excess power management platform DSA funding		-2,480	
Excess HVAC DSA funding		-1,400	
12 POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT	**12,607**	**10,146**	**-2,461**
R-114 procurement ahead of need		-2,461	
13 SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	**16,492**	**11,815**	**-4,677**
SSN-21 HM&E modernization growth		-4,677	
14 VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	**74,129**	**70,689**	**-3,440**
TI-02 installation cost growth		-3,440	
15 LCS CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	**36,206**	**25,742**	**-10,464**
Main propulsion diesel battle spare ahead of need		-5,200	
Waterjet contract delay		-3,866	
Water jet cost growth		-1,398	
16 SUBMARINE BATTERIES	**37,352**	**36,352**	**-1,000**
Support growth		-1,000	
17 LPD CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	**49,095**	**44,562**	**-4,533**
HM&E mechanical modifications ahead of need		-2,778	
SWAN CANES procurement ahead of need		-1,755	
18 DDG-1000 SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	**2,996**	**0**	**-2,996**
HM&E improvement ahead of need		-2,996	
23 UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS	**58,783**	**52,708**	**-6,075**
Product improvement growth		-1,000	
MK-18 UUV retrofit kits and ancilliary equipment contract delay		-5,075	

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
24 ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION	**68,748**	**62,772**	**-5,976**
Machinery plant upgrade installation cost growth		-5,976	
27 REACTOR POWER UNITS	**0**	**298,200**	**298,200**
Program increase - restore CVN-73 refueling		298,200	
30 STANDARD BOATS	**129,784**	**126,445**	**-3,339**
Medium workboat contract delay		-1,776	
Large force protection boat contract delay		-791	
7M RIB contract delay		-772	
34 LCS MODULES	**37,413**	**31,317**	**-6,096**
MPCE cost growth		-1,026	
MK-50 gun training system growth		-2,500	
SUW support and shipping container cost growth		-2,570	
36 LCS ASW MISSION MODULES	**2,729**	**0**	**-2,729**
ASW containers ahead of need		-2,729	
37 LCS SUW MISSION MODULES	**44,208**	**35,302**	**-8,906**
Gun module cost growth		-6,108	
Maritime security module cost growth		-2,798	
40 SPQ-9B RADAR	**28,007**	**26,735**	**-1,272**
Periscope detection and discrimination kit cost growth		-1,272	
41 AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM	**79,802**	**78,802**	**-1,000**
CSSQT growth		-1,000	
42 SSN ACOUSTICS	**165,655**	**160,932**	**-4,723**
Virginia class hull sensor growth		-1,123	
TB-34X contract delay		-3,600	
43 UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	**9,487**	**4,663**	**-4,824**
Sonar window contract delay		-4,824	
46 SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM	**24,221**	**22,721**	**-1,500**
CSA conversion growth		-1,500	
51 AN/SLQ-32	**214,582**	**195,002**	**-19,580**
Block 3T installation funding ahead of need		-8,450	
Block 2 electronic support system cost growth		-8,328	
Support funding carryover		-2,802	
52 SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT	**124,862**	**123,362**	**-1,500**
Support funding carryover		-1,500	
54 SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG	**45,362**	**36,938**	**-8,424**
BPS-15/16 support		-1,137	
ICADF installation cost growth		-304	
BLQ-10 procurement ahead of need		-6,983	
60 MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT	**42,108**	**40,499**	**-1,609**
Aft deck equipment upgrade growth		-1,000	
AN/SQQ-32 integration cost growth		-609	
65 OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT	**46,122**	**44,058**	**-2,064**
BFTT installation kit cost growth		-2,064	

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
72 ID SYSTEMS	**34,901**	**28,543**	**-6,358**
MK-XII mode-5 procurement ahead of need		-2,135	
TACAN upgrade procurement ahead of need		-1,323	
Support funding carryover		-2,900	
79 RADIAC	**8,343**	**5,153**	**-3,190**
DT-702 dosimeter card contract delay		-1,328	
APD contract delay		-1,862	
84 ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION	**45,053**	**58,365**	**13,312**
SPS-73 tech refresh kit cost growth		-5,588	
Excess ROAR installation kit funding		-1,700	
Support funding carryover		-1,100	
Program increase - three ROAR upgrade kits		21,700	
90 SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT	**67,852**	**64,954**	**-2,898**
HDR antenna cost growth		-1,974	
Seawolf CSRR installation cost growth		-434	
Los Angeles CSRR modification cost growth		-490	
91 SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	**13,218**	**11,453**	**-1,765**
Commercial broadband modifications ahead of need		-1,765	
92 NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL	**272,076**	**233,417**	**-38,659**
Ship terminal procurement ahead of need		-28,400	
Terminal cost growth		-10,259	
95 INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	**110,766**	**109,266**	**-1,500**
Support funding carryover		-1,500	
103 AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	**76,683**	**55,738**	**-20,945**
Lighting ECP growth		-1,000	
ADMACS installation ahead of need		-9,530	
SRU(KU)-4 installation funding carryover		-1,596	
SRU(KU)-4 procurement ahead of need		-8,819	
114 AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	**52,555**	**45,705**	**-6,850**
Aviation data warehouse environment cost growth		-2,385	
Fleet systems array cost growth		-1,805	
JHMCS cost growth		-2,160	
ALIS production engineering growth		-500	
118 SHIP MISSILE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	**165,769**	**143,570**	**-22,199**
Configuration engineering growth		-2,000	
Support funding growth		-2,202	
Surface combat systems center growth		-7,000	
SSDS conversion kit cost growth		-6,207	
SSDS covnersion kit installation cost growth		-2,917	
SSDS conversion kit DSA ahead of need		-1,873	
123 TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	**61,462**	**60,062**	**-1,400**
Support funding carryover		-1,400	
126 STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP	**229,832**	**201,832**	**-28,000**
SSI increment 13 refresh/redesign (launcher) growth		-3,000	
SSI increment 8 (navigation) engineering and test phasing		-25,000	

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
127 SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS	**66,020**	**60,804**	**-5,216**
688 TI04 installation cost growth		-5,216	
133 ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION	**11,251**	**10,759**	**-492**
Industrial facilities contract delay		-492	
135 TRAINING DEVICE MODS	**84,080**	**70,672**	**-13,408**
Surface minor modifications growth		-4,000	
CIAT growth		-4,500	
Submarine training device cost growth		-4,908	
140 CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT	**8,949**	**6,187**	**-2,762**
Crane previously appropriated		-2,762	
145 ITEMS UNDER $5 MILLION	**17,592**	**16,142**	**-1,450**
Emergency response truck cost growth		-1,450	
148 OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	**10,374**	**6,674**	**-3,700**
Navy cash program growth		-3,700	
150 SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS	**90,921**	**39,921**	**-51,000**
Classified adjustment		-51,000	
160 PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	**94,957**	**90,957**	**-4,000**
Automated gates growth		-4,000	
164 NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE SERVICE	**116,165**	**106,165**	**-10,000**
NGEN tech refresh growth		-10,000	

PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$1,240,958,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	983,352,000
Committee recommendation	927,232,000
Change from budget request	−56,120,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $927,232,000 for Procurement, Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS						
	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES						
	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES						
1	AAV7A1 PIP	---	16,756	---	15,356		-1,400
2	LAV PIP	---	77,736	---	66,736		-11,000
	ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS						
3	EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM	---	5,742	---	642		-5,100
4	155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER	---	4,532	---	4,532		---
5	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM	---	19,474	---	19,474		---
6	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER $5 MILLION	---	7,250	---	7,250		---
	OTHER SUPPORT						
7	MODIFICATION KITS	---	21,909	---	20,809		-1,100
8	WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM	---	3,208	---	3,208		---
	TOTAL, WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES		156,607		138,007		-18,600
	GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT						
	GUIDED MISSILES						
9	GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE	---	31,439	---	30,339		-1,100
10	JAVELIN	---	343	---	343		---
11	FOLLOW ON TO SMAW	---	4,995	---	4,995		---
12	ANTI-ARMOR WEAPONS SYSTEM-HEAVY (AAWS-H)	---	1,589	---	1,589		---
	OTHER SUPPORT						
13	MODIFICATION KITS	---	5,134	---	5,134		---
	TOTAL, GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT		43,500		42,400		-1,100

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT						
	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS						
14	COMBAT OPERATIONS CENTER	---	9,178	---	9,178		---
15	COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYS	---	12,272	---	12,272		---
	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT						
16	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT	---	30,591	---	27,591		-3,000
	OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)						
17	COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	---	2,385	---	2,385		---
	COMMAND AND CONTROL						
19	ITEMS UNDER $5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC)	---	4,205	---	4,205		---
20	AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS	---	8,002	---	8,002		---
	RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)						
21	RADAR SYSTEMS	---	19,595	---	14,925		-4,670
22	GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR	2	89,230	2	81,730		-7,500
23	RQ-21 UAS	3	70,565	3	68,065		-2,500
	INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)						
24	FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM	---	11,860	---	11,860		---
25	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	44,340	---	42,550		-1,790
28	RQ-11 UAV	---	2,737	---	2,737		---
30	DCGS-MC	---	20,620	---	20,620		---
	OTHER COMM/ELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)						
31	NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT	---	9,798	---	7,338		-2,460
32	NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE NETWORK (NGEN)	---	2,073	---	2,073		---
	OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)						
33	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES	---	33,570	---	33,570		---
34	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS	---	38,186	---	38,186		---
35	RADIO SYSTEMS	---	64,494	---	64,494		---
36	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS	---	72,956	---	63,956		-9,000
37	COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT	---	43,317	---	37,817		-5,500
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	---	2,498	---	2,498		---
	TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT		592,472		556,052		-36,420

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	SUPPORT VEHICLES						
	ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES						
38	COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES	---	332	---	332		---
39	COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES	---	11,035	---	11,035		---
	TACTICAL VEHICLES						
40	5/4T TRUCK HMMWV (MYP)	---	57,255	---	57,255		---
41	MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS	---	938	---	938		---
44	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE	7	7,500	7	7,500		---
45	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS	---	10,179	---	10,179		---
	OTHER SUPPORT						
46	ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION	---	11,023	---	11,023		---
	TOTAL, SUPPORT VEHICLES		98,262		98,262		---
	ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT						
	ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT						
47	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT	---	994	---	994		---
48	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT	---	1,256	---	1,256		---
49	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS	---	3,750	---	3,750		---
50	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED	---	8,985	---	8,985		---
51	AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	---	4,418	---	4,418		---
52	EOD SYSTEMS	---	6,528	---	6,528		---
	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT						
53	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	---	26,510	---	26,510		---
54	GARRISON MOBILE ENGR EQUIP	---	1,910	---	1,910		---
55	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP	---	8,807	---	8,807		---
56	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	---	128	---	128		---
	GENERAL PROPERTY						
58	TRAINING DEVICES	---	3,412	---	3,412		---
59	CONTAINER FAMILY	---	1,662	---	1,662		---
60	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT	---	3,669	---	3,669		---
	OTHER SUPPORT						
62	ITEMS LESS THAN $5 MILLION	---	4,272	---	4,272		---
	TOTAL, ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT		76,301		76,301		---
63	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	---	16,210	---	16,210		---
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS		983,352		927,232		-56,120

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**AAV7A1 PIP**	16,756	15,356	-1,400
	Production engineering support - excess growth		-1,400	
2	**LAV PIP**	77,736	66,736	-11,000
	Reduce by six vehicle modifications		-11,000	
3	**EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM**	5,742	642	-5,100
	Unjustified program growth		-5,100	
7	**MODIFICATION KITS**	21,909	20,809	-1,100
	Program support - excess growth		-1,100	
9	**GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE**	31,439	30,339	-1,100
	SLEP contract delay and unit cost increase		-1,100	
16	**REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT**	30,591	27,591	-3,000
	Carryover of prior year funds		-3,000	
21	**RADAR SYSTEMS**	19,595	14,925	-4,670
	Sustainment - unjustified growth		-4,670	
22	**GROUND /AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR**	89,230	81,730	-7,500
	Lot 2 systems engineering - excess growth		-7,500	
23	**RQ-21 UAS**	70,565	68,065	-2,500
	Product engineering support - excess growth		-2,500	
25	**INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT**	44,340	42,550	-1,790
	Unit cost increase		-830	
	Unit cost increase		-960	
31	**NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT**	9,798	7,338	-2,460
	Carryover of prior year funds		-2,460	
36	**COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS**	72,956	63,956	-9,000
	Unjustified program growth		-9,000	
37	**COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT**	43,317	37,817	-5,500
	Installation costs - excess growth		-5,500	

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$10,379,180,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	11,542,571,000
Committee recommendation	12,046,941,000
Change from budget request	+504,370,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $12,046,941,000 for Aircraft Procurement, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
	COMBAT AIRCRAFT						
	TACTICAL FORCES						
1	F-35	26	3,553,046	28	3,777,046	+2	+224,000
2	F-35 (AP-CY)	---	291,880	---	291,880		---
	TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT		3,844,926		4,068,926		+224,000
	AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT						
	OTHER AIRLIFT						
3	KC-46A TANKER	7	1,582,685	7	1,582,685		---
4	C-130J	7	482,396	7	482,396		---
5	C-130J ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	---	140,000	---	140,000		---
6	HC-130J	4	332,024	4	332,024		---
7	HC-130J	---	50,000	---	50,000		---
8	MC-130J	2	190,971	2	190,971		---
9	MC-130J	---	80,000	---	80,000		---
	TOTAL, AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT		2,858,076		2,858,076		---
	OTHER AIRCRAFT						
	HELICOPTERS						
	CV-22 OSPREY	---	---	---	15,000		+15,000
	MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT						
12	CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C	6	2,562	6	10,400		+7,838
	OTHER AIRCRAFT						
13	TARGET DRONES	37	98,576	37	98,576		---
17	AC-130J	---	1	---	1		---
16	RQ-4 UAV	---	54,475	---	54,475		---
18	MQ-9	12	240,218	24	373,218	+12	+133,000
	TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT		395,832		551,670		+155,838

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT						
	STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT						
20	B-2A	---	23,865	---	24,365		+500
21	B-1B	---	140,252	---	140,252		---
22	B-52	---	180,148	---	180,148		---
23	LARGE AIRCRAFT INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES	---	13,159	---	13,159		---
	TACTICAL AIRCRAFT						
25	F-15	---	387,314	---	498,314		+111,000
26	F-16	---	12,336	---	9,042		-3,294
27	F-22A	---	180,207	---	180,207		---
28	F-35 MODIFICATIONS	---	187,646	---	156,146		-31,500
29	INCREMENT 3.2b	---	28,500	---	28,500		---
	AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT						
30	C-5	---	14,731	---	14,731		---
31	C-5M	---	331,466	---	331,466		---
33	C-17A	---	127,494	---	89,394		-38,100
34	C-21	---	264	---	264		---
35	C-32A	---	8,767	---	4,767		-4,000
36	C-37A	---	18,457	---	457		-18,000
	TRAINER AIRCRAFT						
38	GLIDER MODS	---	132	---	132		---
39	T6	---	14,486	---	14,486		---
40	T-1	---	7,650	---	7,650		---
41	T-38	---	34,845	---	28,845		-6,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	OTHER AIRCRAFT						
44	KC-10A (ATCA)	---	34,313	---	77,513		+43,200
45	C-12	---	1,960	---	1,960		---
48	VC-25A MOD	---	1,072	---	1,072		---
49	C-40	---	7,292	---	3,292		-4,000
50	C-130	---	35,869	---	109,671		+73,802
51	C130J MODS	---	7,919	---	7,919		---
52	C-135	---	63,568	---	63,568		---
53	COMPASS CALL MODS	---	57,828	---	57,828		---
54	RC-135	---	152,746	---	163,346		+10,600
55	E-3	---	16,491	---	16,491		---
56	E-4	---	22,341	---	14,691		-7,650
58	AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM	---	160,284	---	191,284		+31,000
59	FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE-OF-SIGHT TERMINALS	---	32,026	---	---		-32,026
60	H-1	---	8,237	---	8,237		---
61	H-60	---	60,110	---	60,110		---
62	RQ-4 UAV MODS	---	21,354	---	21,354		---
63	HC/MC-130 MODIFICATIONS	---	1,902	---	1,902		---
64	OTHER AIRCRAFT	---	32,106	---	32,106		---
65	MQ-1 MODS	---	4,755	---	4,755		---
66	MQ-9 MODS	---	155,445	---	155,445		---
69	CV-22 MODS	---	74,874	---	74,874		---
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT		2,664,211		2,789,743		+125,532
	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS						
70	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS	---	466,562	---	466,562		---
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		466,562		466,562		---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
71	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP	---	22,470	---	22,470		---
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT						
74	B-2A	---	44,793	---	44,793		---
75	B-52	---	5,249	---	5,249		---
77	C-17A	---	20,110	---	20,110		---
78	CV-22 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT	---	16,931	---	16,931		---
80	C-135	---	4,414	---	4,414		---
81	F-15 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT	---	1,122	---	1,122		---
82	F-16 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT	---	10,994	---	9,994		-1,000
83	F-22A	---	5,929	---	5,929		---
84	OTHER AIRCRAFT	---	27	---	27		---
	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS						
85	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	---	21,363	---	21,363		---
	WAR CONSUMABLES						
86	WAR CONSUMABLES	---	82,906	---	82,906		---
	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES						
87	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES	---	1,007,276	---	1,007,276		---
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		1,243,584		1,242,584		-1,000
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	---	69,380	---	69,380		---
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		11,542,571		12,046,941		+504,370

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	**F-35**	**3,553,046**	**3,777,046**	**3,777,046**
	Add two aircraft		224,000	
10	**CV-22**	**0**	**15,000**	**15,000**
	CV-22 unique modifications		15,000	
12	**CIVIL AIR PATROL AIRCRAFT**	**2,562**	**10,400**	**7,838**
	Program increase		7,838	
18	**MQ-9**	**240,218**	**373,218**	**133,000**
	Add 12 aircraft		155,000	
	Unit savings from higher quantity		-22,000	
20	**B-2A**	**23,865**	**24,365**	**500**
	EHF increment 1 field installs		-2,000	
	Ejection seat safety/sustainability improvement program		2,500	
25	**F-15**	**387,314**	**498,314**	**111,000**
	Joint Helmet Mounted Cueing System - unjustified growth in other government costs		-4,000	
	AESA radars for Air National Guard		115,000	
26	**F-16**	**12,336**	**9,042**	**-3,294**
	Falcon STAR early to need		-1,294	
	Advanced Data Transfer Equipment		-2,000	
28	**F-35 MODS**	**187,646**	**156,146**	**-31,500**
	Concurrency estimates		-31,500	
33	**C-17A**	**127,494**	**89,394**	**-38,100**
	Execution adjustment		-38,100	
35	**C-32A**	**8,767**	**4,767**	**-4,000**
	Wideband SATCOM - requirement not defined		-4,000	
36	**C-37A**	**18,457**	**457**	**-18,000**
	Wideband SATCOM - requirement not defined		-18,000	
41	**T-38**	**34,845**	**28,845**	**-6,000**
	Pacer III Classic install funds early to need		-6,000	
44	**KC-10A**	**34,313**	**77,513**	**43,200**
	CNS/ATM kits and installs		43,200	
49	**C-40**	**7,292**	**3,292**	**-4,000**
	Wideband SATCOM - requirement not defined		-4,000	
50	**C-130**	**35,869**	**109,671**	**73,802**
	Propeller upgrade		30,000	
	T-56 3.5 engine modification		22,600	
	C-130 Avionics Modernization program		35,800	
	CNS/ATM minimize program		-14,598	
54	**RC-135**	**152,746**	**163,346**	**10,600**
	Baseline program shortfall		10,600	

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
56	**E-4**	**22,341**	**14,691**	**-7,650**
	Low Frequency Transmit System		-5,250	
	AEHF terminal/PNVC funds early to need		-2,400	
58	**AWACS**	**160,284**	**191,284**	**31,000**
	Program increase		31,000	
59	**FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE OF SIGHT TERMINALS**	**32,026**	**0**	**-32,026**
	FAB-T terminal NRE funds early to need		-32,026	
82	**F-16**	**10,994**	**9,994**	**-1,000**
	Production line shutdown - unobligated prior year funds		-1,000	

CV–22

The Air Force's fiscal year 2015 request does not include funds to procure additional CV–22 Osprey aircraft, and the Committee understands that the Air Force currently has no plans for future procurement of CV–22s. The last CV–22 will deliver in December 2016 and leave the Air Force with a fleet of 50 aircraft, equivalent to what the Air Force has identified as the medium risk program of record. Future operational losses would require the Air Force to accept an increasing level of risk to the CV–22 mission. The Air Force already has suffered two such losses, one on overseas deployment in April 2010, and one during a training mission in June 2012. These lost aircraft were replaced with additional funds provided by Congress. The Committee understands that while other variants of the V–22 will remain in production for some time, and that the variants possess a high degree of commonality, there are certain CV–22-unique modifications, such as the multi-mode radar, that are at risk of falling out of supply if the Air Force or foreign military sales do not generate additional orders for CV–22 aircraft.

Therefore, the Committee recommendation includes an additional $15,000,000 to protect the CV–22 fleet against undue risk from diminishing manufacturing sources for unique parts and modifications. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to prioritize those end-items that have a long lead time for production and are at the greatest risk for loss of supply should those production lines shut down with the delivery of the last Air Force CV–22 currently funded. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a report to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act that details an expenditure plan for these funds.

KC–10

The Air Force has indicated that should spending limits contained in current law remain in force in fiscal year 2016, it will propose to retire the entire fleet of 59 KC–10 tanker aircraft by fiscal year 2020, saving an estimated $2,300,000,000 over the future years defense program. The Committee believes that eliminating the KC–10 fleet poses a serious risk to the Air Force's ability to carry out one of its stated core missions, that of providing global reach for the armed forces. The KC–10, with its ability to deliver 150,000 pounds of fuel at a range of 4,400 nautical miles using both the boom and drogue methods, is a critical element of providing the air bridge to combatant commanders across the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans.

The Committee urges the Secretary of the Air Force to be more forthcoming about the operational impact of retiring the KC–10 fleet, a proposal which appears to be driven primarily by the Air Force's stated preference for "vertical cuts" that eliminate entire fleets and their associated infrastructure to achieve the requisite level of savings under current law and Department of Defense policy. Current acquisition plans for the KC–46 will not provide an adequate replacement for the KC–10, since the Air Force already plans to replace the older fleet of KC–135s with KC–46s on a one-for-one basis. The Committee notes that the House-passed National

Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015 prohibits the Air Force from taking any action toward divestment of the KC–10 fleet and requires the Commander of the United States Transportation Command to submit an operational risk assessment and mitigation strategy to the congressional defense committees along with any proposal to divest the KC–10 fleet in the fiscal year 2016 budget.

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$4,446,763,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	4,690,506,000
Committee recommendation	4,546,211,000
Change from budget request	−144,295,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $4,546,211,000 for Missile Procurement, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
	BALLISTIC MISSILES						
	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT - BALLISTIC						
1	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-BALLISTIC	---	80,187	---	80,187		---
	OTHER MISSILES						
	TACTICAL						
3	JOINT AIR-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM)	224	337,438	224	337,438		---
4	SIDEWINDER (AIM-9X)	303	132,995	303	132,995		---
5	AMRAAM	200	329,600	200	329,600		---
6	PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE	283	33,878	283	33,878		---
7	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB	246	70,578	246	18,047		-52,531
7A	PREFERRED MUNITIONS	---	---	---	10,000		+10,000
	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES						
8	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS/POLLUTION PREVENTION	---	749	---	749		---
	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		905,238		862,707		-42,531
	MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES						
	CLASS IV						
9	MM III MODIFICATIONS	---	28,477	---	28,477		---
10	AGM-65D MAVERICK	---	276	---	276		---
11	AGM-88A HARM	---	297	---	297		---
12	AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE	---	16,083	---	16,083		---
13	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB	---	6,924	---	6,924		---
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES		52,057		52,057		---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS						
14	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS	---	87,366	---	87,366		---
	OTHER SUPPORT						
	SPACE PROGRAMS						
15	ADVANCED EHF	---	298,890	---	298,890		---
16	WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES	---	38,971	---	34,998		-3,973
17	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT	1	235,397	1	235,397		---
18	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT (AP-CY)	---	57,000	---	87,000		+30,000
19	SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC)	---	16,201	---	10,500		-5,701
20	GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE)	---	52,090	---	50,000		-2,090
21	DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG (SPACE)	---	87,000	---	78,000		-9,000
22	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH (SPACE)	---	630,903	---	630,903		---
23	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH INFRASTRUCTURE (SPACE)	---	750,143	---	715,143		-35,000
24	SBIR HIGH (SPACE)	---	450,884	---	444,884		-6,000
	SPECIAL PROGRAMS						
28	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS	---	60,179	---	60,179		---
	TOTAL, OTHER SUPPORT		2,677,658		2,645,894		-31,764
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	---	888,000	---	818,000		-70,000
	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		4,690,506		4,546,211		-144,295

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
7	**SMALL DIAMETER BOMB**	70,578	18,047	-52,531
	SDB II Lot 2 deferral for program delays		-52,531	
7A	**PREFERRED MUNITIONS**	0	10,000	10,000
	Program increase - preferred munitions		10,000	
16	**WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES (SPACE)**	38,971	34,998	-3,973
	Excess launch support		-3,973	
18	**GPS III SPACE SEGMENT ADVANCE PROCUREMENT**	57,000	87,000	30,000
	Additional funds for advance procurement		30,000	
19	**SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC)**	16,201	10,500	-5,701
	Maintain at fiscal year 2013 level		-5,701	
20	**GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE)**	52,090	50,000	-2,090
	Excess contract support		-2,090	
21	**DEFENSE METEOROLOGICAL SATELLITE PROGRAM (SPACE)**	87,000	78,000	-9,000
	Excess growth		-9,000	
22	**EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE (INFRASTRUCTURE)**	750,143	715,143	-35,000
	Excess growth		-35,000	
24	**SBIR HIGH (SPACE)**	450,884	444,884	-6,000
	Unjustified support cost increase		-6,000	
999	**CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS**	888,000	818,000	-70,000
	Classified adjustment		-70,000	

EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE

The Committee is concerned with the continued changes in the Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle (EELV) program. Therefore the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide notification to the congressional defense committees of each change to the EELV acquisition plan and schedule as compared to the plan and schedule included in the budget submission for fiscal year 2015. Each notification shall include an identification of the change, a national security rationale for the change, the impact of the change on the EELV block buy contract and launch manifest, the impact of the change on the opportunities for competition for certified EELV launch providers, and the costs or savings associated with the change.

GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM III

The budget request includes $57,000,000 for advance procurement of the Global Positioning System (GPS) III Space Segment to acquire long lead items for Space Vehicle 10, including atomic clocks and critical bus hardware. GPS III is an acquisition program based on efficiencies gained through larger, predictable buys with insertion of evolutionary capability improvements. However, the budget request reduces funding for future acquisitions to one satellite per year, increasing the overall life-cycle cost of the program. The Committee recommendation includes $87,000,000 for GPS III advance procurement, an increase of $30,000,000 above the budget request, to restore funding for future acquisitions at the level of two satellites per year.

PREFERRED MUNITIONS

The Committee understands that the Air Force projects shortfalls in certain munitions based upon current inventories, procurement plans, and expenditure rates. In order to support Air Force readiness, the Committee recommendation includes an additional $10,000,000 for preferred munitions. The Committee directs that these funds may be reclassified by the Secretary of the Air Force to other line items within this account as necessary to meet this intent. Not less than 15 days prior to reclassifying or obligating these funds, the Secretary of the Air Force shall provide a report to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees detailing the specific use of these additional funds.

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$729,677,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	677,400,000
Committee recommendation	648,200,000
Change from budget request	−29,200,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $648,200,000 for Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE						
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, AIR FORCE						
1	ROCKETS	---	4,696	---	4,696		---
2	CARTRIDGES	---	133,271	---	114,971		-18,300
	BOMBS						
3	PRACTICE BOMBS	---	31,998	---	30,098		-1,900
4	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS	---	148,614	---	148,614		---
5	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	2,973	101,400	2,973	101,400		---
5A	PREFERRED MUNITIONS	---	---	---	10,000		+10,000
	FLARE, IR MJU-7B						
6	CAD/PAD	---	29,989	---	29,989		---
7	EXPLOSIVE ORDINANCE DISPOSAL (EOD)	---	6,925	---	6,925		---
8	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	---	494	---	494		---
9	MODIFICATIONS	---	1,610	---	1,610		---
10	ITEMS LESS THAN $5,000,000	---	4,237	---	4,237		---
	FUZES						
11	FLARES	---	86,101	---	86,101		---
12	FUZES	---	103,417	---	84,417		-19,000
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, AIR FORCE		652,752		623,552		-29,200
	WEAPONS						
13	SMALL ARMS	---	24,648	---	24,648		---
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE		677,400		648,200		-29,200

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
2	**CARTRIDGES**	**133,271**	**114,971**	**-18,300**
	5.56mm frangible unjustified cost growth		-2,750	
	PGU-23/U unjustified request		-9,650	
	PGU-13/B unjustified growth		-5,900	
3	**PRACTICE BOMBS**	**31,998**	**30,098**	**-1,900**
	BDU-50 unit cost growth		-1,000	
	Mk-84 inert unit cost growth		-900	
5A	**PREFERRED MUNITIONS**	**0**	**10,000**	**10,000**
	Program increase - preferred munitions		10,000	
12	**FUZES**	**103,417**	**84,417**	**-19,000**
	Hard target void sensing fuze production funds early to need		-19,000	

PREFERRED MUNITIONS

The Committee understands that the Air Force projects shortfalls in certain munitions based upon current inventories, procurement plans, and expenditure rates. In order to support Air Force readiness, the Committee recommendation includes an additional $10,000,000 for preferred munitions. The Committee directs that these funds may be reclassified by the Secretary of the Air Force to other line items within this account as necessary to meet this intent. Not less than 15 days prior to reclassifying or obligating these funds, the Secretary of the Air Force shall provide a report to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees detailing the specific use of these additional funds.

OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$16,572,754,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	16,566,018,000
Committee recommendation	16,633,023,000
Change from budget request	+67,005,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $16,633,023,000 for Other Procurement, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
	VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT						
	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES						
1	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLE	---	6,528	---	6,528		---
	CARGO + UTILITY VEHICLES						
2	FAMILY MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE	---	7,639	---	7,639		---
3	CAP VEHICLES	---	961	---	1,700		+739
4	ITEMS LESS THAN $5M (CARGO)	---	11,027	---	11,027		---
	SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES						
5	SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLES	---	4,447	---	4,447		---
6	ITEMS LESS THAN $5M (SPECIAl)	---	693	---	693		---
	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT						
7	FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE VEHICLES	---	10,152	---	10,152		---
	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT						
8	ITEMS LESS THAT $5,000,000	---	15,108	---	15,108		---
	BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT						
9	RUNWAY SNOW REMOVAL & CLEANING EQUIP	---	10,212	---	10,212		---
10	ITEMS LESS THAN $5M	---	57,049	---	57,049		---
	TOTAL, VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT		123,816		124,555		+739
	ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP						
	COMM SECURITY EQUIPMENT(COMSEC)						
11	COMSEC EQUIPMENT	---	106,182	---	98,282		-7,900
12	MODIFICATIONS (COMSEC)	---	1,363	---	1,363		---
	INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS						
13	INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT	---	2,832	---	2,832		---
14	INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIP	---	32,329	---	32,329		---
16	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	---	15,649	---	15,649		---
	ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS						
17	TRAFFIC CONTROL/LANDING	---	42,200	---	25,818		-16,382
18	NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM	---	6,333	---	6,333		---
19	BATTLE CONTROL SYSTEM - FIXED	---	2,708	---	2,708		---
20	THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPRO	---	50,033	---	50,033		---
21	WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST	---	16,348	---	16,348		---
22	STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL	---	139,984	---	139,984		---
23	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX	---	20,101	---	20,101		---
26	TAC SIGNIT SPT	---	9,060	---	9,060		---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	SPECIAL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS						
27	GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	---	39,100	---	39,100		---
28	AF GLOBAL COMMAND & CONTROL SYSTEM	---	19,010	---	19,010		---
29	MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL	---	11,462	---	11,462		---
30	AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM	---	37,426	---	37,426		---
31	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	---	26,634	---	26,634		---
32	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMM N	---	1,289	---	1,289		---
33	C3 COUNTERMEASURES	---	11,508	---	11,508		---
34	GCSS-AF FOS	---	3,670	---	3,670		---
35	DEFENSE ENTERPRISE ACCOUNTING AND MGMT	---	15,298	---	15,298		---
36	THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYS	---	9,565	---	9,565		---
37	AIR OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC)	---	25,772	---	25,772		---
	AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS						
38	INFORMATION TRANSPORT SYSTEMS	---	81,286	---	81,286		---
39	AFNET	---	122,228	---	90,928		-31,300
41	USCENTCOM	---	16,342	---	16,342		---
42	FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE-OF-SIGHT TERMINALS	---	60,230	---	50,230		-10,000
	DISA PROGRAMS						
43	SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PROG SPACE	---	26,100	---	26,100		---
44	NAVSTAR GPS SPACE	---	2,075	---	2,075		---
45	NUDET DETECTION SYS (NDS) SPACE	---	4,656	---	4,656		---
46	AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK SPACE	---	54,630	---	54,630		---
47	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE	---	69,713	---	69,713		---
48	MILSATCOM SPACE	---	41,355	---	41,355		---
49	SPACE MODS SPACE	---	31,722	---	31,722		---
50	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM	---	61,603	---	39,203		-22,400

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	ORGANIZATION AND BASE						
51	TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT	---	50,335	---	50,335		---
53	RADIO EQUIPMENT	---	14,846	---	14,846		---
54	CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT	---	3,635	---	3,635		---
55	BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE	---	79,607	---	79,607		---
	MODIFICATIONS						
56	COMM ELECT MODS	---	105,398	---	56,398		-49,000
	TOTAL, ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP		1,471,617		1,334,635		-136,982
	OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP						
	PERSONAL SAFETY AND RESCUE EQUIP						
57	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES	---	12,577	---	12,577		---
58	ITEMS LESS THAN $5,000,000 (SAFETY)	---	31,209	---	31,209		---
	DEPOT PLANT + MATERIALS HANDLING EQ						
59	MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING	---	7,670	---	7,670		---
	BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
60	BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT	---	14,125	---	14,125		---
61	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS	---	16,744	---	16,744		---
62	PRODUCTIVITY CAPITAL INVESTMENT	---	2,495	---	2,495		---
63	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT	---	10,573	---	10,573		---
64	ITEMS LESS THAN $5M (BASE SUPPORT)	---	5,462	---	5,462		---
	SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS						
66	DARP RC135	---	24,710	---	24,710		---
67	DISTRIBUTED GROUND SYSTEMS	---	206,743	---	206,743		---
69	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM	---	537,370	---	537,370		---
70	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROGRAM	---	77,898	---	77,898		---
	TOTAL, OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP		947,576		947,576		---
	SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS						
72	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	---	32,813	---	32,813		---
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	---	13,990,196	---	14,193,444		+203,248
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		16,566,018		16,633,023		+67,005

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3	CAP VEHICLES	961	1,700	739
	Program increase		739	
11	COMSEC EQUIPMENT	106,182	98,282	-7,900
	VACM modernization devices unit cost growth		-7,900	
17	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL & LANDING SYSTEMS	42,200	25,818	-16,382
	D-ILS program restructure funds early to need		-16,382	
39	AFNET	122,228	90,928	-31,300
	Excess growth		-31,300	
42	FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE-OF-SIGHT TERMINALS	60,230	50,230	-10,000
	FAB-T award/schedule delays		-10,000	
50	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM	61,603	39,203	-22,400
	New start funds excess to need		-22,400	
56	COMM ELECT MODS	105,398	56,398	-49,000
	HEMP protection early to need		-49,000	
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	13,990,196	14,193,444	203,248
	Classified adjustment		203,248	

PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$4,240,416,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	4,221,437,000
Committee recommendation	4,358,121,000
Change from budget request	+136,684,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $4,358,121,000 for Procurement, Defense-Wide. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE						
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT						
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA						
1	MAJOR EQUIPMENT ITEMS LESS THAN $5M	---	1,594	---	1,594		---
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCMA						
2	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	---	4,325	---	4,325		---
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DHRA						
3	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	---	17,268	---	17,268		---
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA						
8	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY	---	10,491	---	10,491		---
10	TELEPORT PROGRAM	---	80,622	---	80,622		---
11	ITEMS LESS THAN $5M	---	14,147	---	14,147		---
12	NET CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES)	---	1,921	---	1,921		---
13	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS NETWORK	---	80,144	---	80,144		---
15	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	---	8,755	---	8,755		---
16	WHITE HOUSE COMMUNICATION AGENCY	---	33,737	---	33,737		---
17	SENIOR LEADERSHIP ENTERPRISE	---	32,544	---	32,544		---
18	JOINT INFORMATION ENVIRONMENT	---	13,300	---	13,300		---
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DLA						
20	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	---	7,436	---	7,436		---
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DMACT						
21	A - WEAPON SYSTEM COST	3	11,640	3	11,640		---
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODEA						
22	AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT & LOGISTICS	---	1,269	---	1,269		---
24	VEHICLES	---	1,500	---	1,500		---
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY						
26	VEHICLES	1	50	1	50		---
27	OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT	3	7,639	3	7,639		---
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DTSA						
25	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	---	1,039	---	1,039		---
28	AEGIS BMD ADVANCE PROCUREMENT	---	68,880	---	68,880		---
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MDA						
29	THAAD SYSTEM	31	464,424	31	414,624		-49,800
30	AEGIS BMD	30	435,430	52	556,050	+22	+120,620
31	BMDS AN/TPY-2 RADARS	---	48,140	---	48,140		---
32	AEGIS ASHORE PHASE III	---	225,774	---	225,774		---
34	IRON DOME SYSTEM	1	175,972	1	350,972		+175,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA						
41	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)	---	3,448	---	23,448		+20,000
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD						
42	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD	---	43,708	---	43,708		---
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS						
44	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS	---	10,783	---	10,783		---
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS						
46	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS	---	29,599	---	29,599		---
	TOTAL, MAJOR EQUIPMENT		1,835,579		2,101,399		+265,820
	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND						
	AVIATION PROGRAMS						
47	MC-12	---	40,500	---	---		-40,500
48	SOF ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT	---	112,226	---	112,226		---
49	MH-60 SOF MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	---	3,021	---	19,821		+16,800
50	NON-STANDARD AVIATION	---	48,200	---	37,700		-10,500
52	MH-47 CHINOOK	---	22,230	---	22,230		---
53	RQ-11 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE	---	6,397	---	6,397		---
54	CV-22 SOF MODIFICATION	---	25,578	---	25,578		---
56	MQ-9 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE	---	15,651	---	12,893		-2,758
57	STUASLO	---	1,500	---	1,500		---
58	PRECISION STRIKE PACKAGE	---	145,929	---	129,404		-16,525
59	AC/MC-130J	---	65,130	---	65,130		---
61	C-130 MODIFICATIONS	---	39,563	---	23,705		-15,858
	SHIPBUILDING						
63	UNDERWATER SYSTEMS	---	25,459	---	25,459		---
	AMMUNITION PROGRAMS						
65	SOF ORDNANCE ITEMS UNDER $5,000,000	---	144,336	---	144,336		---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS						
68	SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS	---	81,001	---	73,395		-7,606
70	DCGS-SOF	---	17,323	---	17,323		---
71	OTHER ITEMS UNDER $5,000,000	---	84,852	---	73,902		-10,950
72	SOF COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS	---	51,937	---	51,937		---
74	SPECIAL PROGRAMS	---	31,017	---	16,517		-14,500
75	TACTICAL VEHICLES	---	63,134	---	63,134		---
76	WARRIOR SYSTEMS UNDER $5,000,000	---	192,448	---	192,448		---
78	COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS	---	19,984	---	19,984		---
81	SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES	---	5,044	---	5,044		---
82	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	---	38,126	---	29,126		-9,000
88	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	---	243,849	---	231,110		-12,739
	TOTAL, SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND		1,524,435		1,400,299		-124,136
	CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE						
95	CHEMICAL BIOLOGICAL SITUATIONAL AWARENESS	---	170,137	---	170,137		---
96	CB PROTECTION AND HAZARD MITIGATION	---	150,392	---	150,392		---
	TOTAL, CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE		320,529		320,529		---
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	---	540,894	---	535,894		-5,000
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE		4,221,437		4,358,121		+136,684

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
29	**THAAD SYSTEM**	**464,424**	**414,624**	**-49,800**
	Interceptors - unit cost growth		-35,200	
	Obsolescence and modifications - unjustified growth		-14,600	
30	**AEGIS BMD**	**435,430**	**556,050**	**120,620**
	SM-3 Block 1B advance procurement - unjustified request		-68,880	
	SM-3 Block 1B - 22 additional interceptors		209,000	
	Production engineering support - carryover		-19,500	
34	**ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS - IRON DOME**	**175,972**	**350,972**	**175,000**
	Program increase		175,000	
41	**INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)**	**3,448**	**23,448**	**20,000**
	Program increase		20,000	
47	**MC-12**	**40,500**	**0**	**-40,500**
	Early to need		-40,500	
49	**MH-60 SOF MODERNIZATION PROGRAM**	**3,021**	**19,821**	**16,800**
	Operational loss replacement		16,800	
50	**NON-STANDARD AVIATION**	**48,200**	**37,700**	**-10,500**
	Unjustified growth - AvFID MC-12 Modifications		-10,500	
56	**MQ-9 UAV**	**15,651**	**12,893**	**-2,758**
	Unjustified growth		-2,758	
58	**PRECISION STRIKE PACKAGE**	**145,929**	**129,404**	**-16,525**
	Initial spares - excess growth		-8,000	
	Large caliber gun - underexecution		-8,525	
61	**C-130 MODIFICATIONS**	**39,563**	**23,705**	**-15,858**
	MC-130 TFTA - early to need		-12,149	
	EC-130J Commando Solo - early to need		-3,709	
68	**SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS**	**81,001**	**73,395**	**-7,606**
	Sensitive Sight Exploitation - excess growth		-7,606	
71	**SOF OTHER ITEMS UNDER $5M**	**84,852**	**73,902**	**-10,950**
	Collateral equipment - schedule slip		-7,744	
	Collateral equipment - excess to need		-1,620	
	Joint operational stock - unjustified growth		-260	
	Classified Coalition Global Network - unjustified growth		-1,326	
74	**SPECIAL PROGRAMS**	**31,017**	**16,517**	**-14,500**
	Classified adjustment		-14,500	
82	**SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE**	**38,126**	**29,126**	**-9,000**
	Classified adjustment		-9,000	
88	**SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS**	**243,849**	**231,110**	**-12,739**
	Classified adjustment		-12,739	
999	**CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS**	**540,894**	**535,894**	**-5,000**
	Classified adjustment		-5,000	

NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE COMPONENT PROPORTIONATE FUNDING

The National Guard and reserve forces regularly receive less than a proportionate share of funding to resource their dual-use equipment needs. However, the role of the reserve components in providing fully equipped, trained, and ready forces in the Services' force generation models is essential. The Committee recommends that the Secretaries of the Army and the Air Force re-examine their funding and fielding plans for all National Guard equipment procurement and rebalance those plans to provide the Army and Air National Guards with the same new equipment when their active component counterparts field new equipment.

NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT ACCOUNT

The Committee recommends $2,000,000,000 for the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Account in the Overseas Contingency Operations funds, to meet urgent equipment needs.

This funding will allow the Guard and reserve components to procure high priority equipment that may be used for both their combat missions and their missions in support of state governors. This funding will allow the National Guard and reserve components to procure items such as emergency management training simulation; in-flight propeller balancing systems; SINCGAR ASIP radio enhancements; interoperable wideband network communications; global satellite communications on-the-move, and all necessary related hardware; C–130 propulsion system engine and propeller upgrades; electronic flight bags with tablet enabled interface; active electronically scanned array radars, wireless mobile mesh network systems; security and support mission equipment communications packages for UH–60 civil support communications; reduced size external crashworthy fuel systems; acoustic hailing devices; high mobility engineer excavators; mobile ad hoc network radios; and small arms simulation training systems.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—C–146A

The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes $37,700,000 for the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) as a new start to procure two additional C–146A aircraft. While the Committee recommendation includes the requested funds, the Committee directs that none of the funds may be obligated until 30 days after the Commander of SOCOM submits a report to the congressional defense committees that includes the validated requirement as defined by the geographic combatant commanders justifying the need for additional non-standard aviation (NSAV) platforms, an explanation as to why this requirement cannot be satisfied with existing SOCOM NSAV or Service platforms, an explanation of how these platforms are integrated in the SOCOM intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) way forward, the anticipated costs across the future years defense plan, the funding sources associated with this investment, and the impact that this investment will have on existing SOCOM NSAV and ISR platforms.

DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$60,135,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	21,638,000
Committee recommendation	51,638,000
Change from budget request	+30,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $51,638,000 for Defense Production Act Purchases. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
NEXT GENERATION STAR TRACKER SYSTEM	4,305	4,305	– – –
READ OUT INTEGRATED CIRCUIT FOUNDRY IMPROVEMENT AND SUSTAINABILITY	2,639	2,639	– – –
SPACE QUALIFIED SOLAR CELL SUPPLY CHAIN	1,500	1,500	– – –
COMPLEMENTARY METAL OXIDE SEMICONDUCTOR FOCAL PLANE ARRAYS	1,690	1,690	– – –
ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING FOR LIQUID ROCKET ENGINES	700	700	– – –
CADMIUM ZINC TELLURIDE SUBSTRATES	1,591	1,591	– – –
ACTIVATED CARBON CAPACITY EXPANSION	4,213	4,213	– – –
MODERNIZATION OF STEEL PLATE PRODUCTION	2,000	2,000	– – –
SCALE UP OF GREEN ENERGETICS	2,000	2,000	– – –
TRANSPARENT CERAMICS INITIATIVE	1,000	1,000	– – –
PROGRAM INCREASE	– – –	30,000	30,000
TOTAL, DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT	21,638	51,638	30,000

RARE EARTH ELEMENTS

The production of rare earth elements and minerals are critical to the nation's security in many ways. From incorporation in tools for the manufacture of various platforms and weapons systems to critical components within the platforms and weapons systems themselves, rare earth materials play a critical role. The Committee strongly encourages the Secretary of Defense to develop reliable domestic sources of these rare earth materials to meet the nation's strategic material requirements.

TITLE IV

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

The fiscal year 2015 Department of Defense research, development, test and evaluation budget request totals $63,533,947,000. The Committee recommendation provides $63,362,890,000 for the research, development, test and evaluation accounts. The table below summarizes the Committee recommendations:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
RECAPITULATION			
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY.....	6,593,898	6,720,000	+126,102
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY.....	16,266,335	15,877,770	-388,565
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE...	23,739,892	23,438,982	-300,910
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE.....	16,766,084	17,077,900	+311,816
OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE.....	167,738	248,238	+80,500
GRAND TOTAL, RDT&E.....	63,533,947	63,362,890	-171,057

SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or items for which funding has been reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in the Committee report are congressional interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount specifically addressed in the Committee report. Below threshold reprogrammings may not be used to either restore or reduce funding from congressional interest items as identified on the DD Form 1414. These items remain special interest items whether or not they are repeated in a subsequent conference report.

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR ACQUISITION ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the fiscal year 2008 Department of Defense Appropriations Act (House Report 110–279). Specifically, the dollar threshold for reprogramming funds will remain at $20,000,000 for procurement and $10,000,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

The Secretary shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a procurement (P–1) or research, development, test and evaluation (R–1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this report.

REPROGRAMMING REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to continue to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for Service and defense-wide accounts in titles III and IV of this Act as required in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2006.

FUNDING INCREASES

The Committee directs that the funding increases outlined in these tables shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the tables.

CLASSIFIED ANNEX

Adjustments to the classified programs are addressed in a classified annex accompanying this report.

LITHIUM-ION BATTERIES

The Committee supports the Department's investments in power generation and energy storage. In particular, the development and deployment of lithium-ion batteries is important to current and future Department platforms. The Committee understands that recent technology issues and concerns have slowed this development. Due to the capability increase lithium-ion batteries could potentially provide, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to dedicate resources to further the development of these batteries.

HYBRID AIRSHIP DEVELOPMENT

The Secretary of Defense, in cooperation with the Secretary of the Air Force and the Commander of the United States Transportation Command, should work to solicit competitive bids for proof-of-concept demonstrations of hybrid airships with global reach capabilities. Such efforts should be developed with a view to rapidly transition to full scale production hybrid airships for heavy lift. The Committee is aware that such airships can operate in areas without significant ground infrastructure, allowing the delivery of cargo directly to where it is needed, including areas far removed from air and sea ports and areas where infrastructure has been destroyed by natural disaster or conflict.

ANTI-CORROSION EFFORTS

The Committee understands that the Department of Defense continues to pursue an anti-corrosion program that is practical, affordable, and cost effective. The Department spends substantial amounts of money every year to prevent and mitigate corrosion on various platforms, equipment, and facilities. The Committee is aware that suppliers to the oil and gas industry use several different corrosion prevention materials to meet their anti-corrosion requirements. Additionally, other government agencies have made great strides in metallic coatings that may offer anti-corrosion properties and extend the service life of equipment and infrastructure. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to investigate these anti-corrosion activities and adapt them in the Department of Defense where possible.

EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL

Accidental detonation of unexploded ordnance has claimed the lives of a significant number of servicemembers. The Committee strongly supports efforts to develop, demonstrate, and field solutions and techniques to protect warfighters from accidental detonation of unexploded ordnance. Further, the Committee believes there is a need to develop environmentally sustainable, near 100 percent efficient Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) technologies and techniques for unexploded ordnance containing highly insensitive energetic materials, while at the same time minimizing the amount of energetic material required for successful EOD operations. Alternate EOD technologies and techniques will reduce the risk of increased range contamination with energetic materials and will potentially reduce the cost of restoring training ranges. Alternative technologies will also increase EOD safety on the battlefield by re-

ducing the time required to address unexploded ordnance and promoting efficiency by decreasing the logistical burden of transporting and handling demolition explosives.

MULTISPECTRAL SIGNATURE MANAGEMENT

The Committee is aware of the emerging threat posed by shortwave infrared detection systems that are increasingly available in the civilian marketplace and may be deployed by adversaries. In many cases, the passive signature management systems currently deployed by the Department of Defense do not provide adequate protection against these advanced sensors. In order to mitigate this increased vulnerability, the Committee recommends the development and procurement of passive multispectral protection, and encourages the Secretary of Defense to pursue domestically-produced, cost effective solutions that provide visual, near-infrared, thermal infrared, and broad-band radar threat mitigation capabilities. The Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to develop solutions that address the Department's demonstrated need for urban and aviation signature management systems, as well as to identify a suitable replacement for outdated arctic signature management systems.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$7,126,318,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	6,593,898,000
Committee recommendation	6,720,000,000
Change from budget request	+126,102,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $6,720,000,000 for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY			
	BASIC RESEARCH			
1	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	13,464	13,464	---
2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	238,167	238,167	---
3	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	69,808	69,808	---
4	UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS	102,737	102,737	---
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	424,176	424,176	---
	APPLIED RESEARCH			
5	MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY	28,006	28,006	---
6	SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY	33,515	33,515	---
7	TRACTOR HIP	16,358	16,358	---
8	AVIATION TECHNOLOGY	63,433	63,433	---
9	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	18,502	18,502	---
10	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY	46,194	56,194	+10,000
11	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	28,528	28,528	---
12	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION	27,435	27,435	---
13	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY	72,883	72,883	---
14	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY	85,597	85,597	---
15	CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY	3,971	3,971	---
16	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	6,853	6,853	---
17	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	38,069	63,069	+25,000
18	ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES	56,435	56,435	---
19	NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY	38,445	38,445	---
20	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS	25,939	25,939	---
21	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	23,783	23,783	---
22	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	15,659	15,659	---
23	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	33,817	33,817	---
24	COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY	10,764	10,764	---
25	MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	63,311	63,311	---
26	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY	23,295	23,295	---
27	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY	25,751	32,051	+6,300
28	MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	76,068	76,068	---
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	862,611	903,911	+41,300

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
29	WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	65,139	66,139	+1,000
30	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	67,291	98,291	+31,000
31	AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	88,990	88,990	---
32	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	57,931	72,931	+15,000
33	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	110,031	110,031	---
34	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	6,883	6,883	---
35	MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	13,580	13,580	---
36	ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	44,871	44,871	---
37	TRACTOR HIKE	7,492	7,492	---
38	NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS	16,749	16,749	---
39	TRACTOR ROSE	14,483	14,483	---
41	COMBATING TERRORISM, TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	24,270	24,270	---
42	TRACTOR NAIL	3,440	3,440	---
43	TRACTOR EGGS	2,406	2,406	---
44	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	26,057	26,057	---
45	MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	44,957	44,957	---
46	TRACTOR CAGE	11,105	11,105	---
47	HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	181,609	181,609	---
48	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	13,074	13,074	---
49	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	7,321	7,321	---
50	NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	44,138	44,138	---
51	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	9,197	9,197	---
52	MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	17,613	17,613	---
53	ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE & SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	39,164	39,164	---
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	917,791	964,791	+47,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION			
54	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	12,797	12,797	---
55	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION (SPACE)	13,999	13,999	---
58	TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION	29,334	29,334	---
60	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY	9,602	11,002	+1,400
61	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM - AD	8,953	8,953	---
62	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	3,052	3,052	---
63	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	7,830	7,830	---
65	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	2,954	2,954	---
67	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ADV DEV	13,386	13,386	---
69	MEDICAL SYSTEMS - ADV DEV	23,659	23,659	---
70	SOLDIER SYSTEMS - ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	6,830	9,830	+3,000
72	ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES	9,913	9,913	---
73	TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES	74,740	74,740	---
74	ASSURED POSITIONING, NAVIGATION AND TIMING (PNT)	9,930	9,930	---
76	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INCREMENT 2-INTERC	96,177	71,177	-25,000
	TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION	323,156	302,556	-20,600

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
79	AIRCRAFT AVIONICS	37,246	57,246	+20,000
81	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	6,002	6,002	---
82	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO	9,832	9,832	---
83	MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO	9,730	9,730	---
84	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM	5,532	5,532	---
85	TRACTOR CAGE	19,929	19,929	---
86	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS	27,884	34,586	+6,702
87	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES	210	210	---
88	JAVELIN	4,166	4,166	---
89	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES	12,913	12,913	---
90	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	16,764	16,764	---
91	TACTICAL UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLE	6,770	6,770	---
92	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS - SDD	65,333	65,333	---
93	COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT	1,335	3,035	+1,700
94	NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES - SDD	8,945	8,945	---
96	AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE -SDD	15,906	15,906	---
97	CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	4,394	4,394	---
98	AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT	11,084	11,084	---
99	DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS) - SDD	10,027	10,027	---
100	COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE	42,430	42,430	---
101	BRIGADE ANALYSIS, INTEGRATION AND EVALUATION	105,279	105,279	---
102	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - SDD	15,006	15,006	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
103	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - SDD	24,581	24,581	---
104	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS - SDD	4,433	4,433	---
105	MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT	30,397	30,397	---
106	LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER - SDD	57,705	57,705	---
108	ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE & SOFTWARE	29,683	29,683	---
109	RADAR DEVELOPMENT	5,224	5,224	---
111	FIREFINDER	37,492	37,492	---
112	SOLDIER SYSTEMS - WARRIOR DEM/VAL	6,157	6,157	---
113	ARTILLERY SYSTEMS	1,912	1,912	---
116	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	69,761	69,761	---
117	ARMY INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM (A-IMH	138,465	138,465	---
118	ARMORED MULTI-PURPOSE VEHICLE	92,353	92,353	---
119	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER (JTNC)	8,440	8,440	---
120	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK (JTN)	17,999	17,999	---
121	COMMON INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES (CIRCM)	145,409	145,409	---
122	WIN-T INCREMENT 3 - FULL NETWORKING	113,210	113,210	---
123	AMF JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSSTEM	6,882	6,882	---
124	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)	83,838	83,838	---
125	PAC-2/MSE MISSILE	35,009	35,009	---
126	ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AIAMD)	142,584	152,584	+10,000
127	MANNED GROUND VEHICLE	49,160	49,160	---
128	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR	17,748	17,748	---
129	NATIONAL CAPABILITIES INTEGRATION	15,212	15,212	---
130	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE ENG AND MANUFACTURING	45,718	45,718	---
131	AVIATION GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	10,041	10,041	---
132	PALADIN INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT (PIM)	83,300	83,300	---
133	TROJAN - RH12	983	983	---
134	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	8,961	8,961	---
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	1,719,374	1,757,776	+38,402

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
135	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	18,062	18,062	---
136	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	10,040	10,040	---
137	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	60,317	60,317	---
138	RAND ARROYO CENTER	20,612	20,612	---
139	ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL	176,041	176,041	---
140	CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM	19,439	19,439	---
142	ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES	275,025	275,025	---
143	ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS	45,596	45,596	---
144	SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS	33,295	33,295	---
145	AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION	4,700	4,700	---
146	METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RDT&E ACTIVITIES	6,413	6,413	---
147	MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS	20,746	20,746	---
148	EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS	7,015	7,015	---
149	SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING	49,221	49,221	---
150	ARMY EVALUATION CENTER	55,039	55,039	---
151	SIMULATION & MODELING FOR ACQ, RQTS, & TNG (SMART)	1,125	1,125	---
152	PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES	64,169	64,169	---
153	TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES	32,319	32,319	---
154	MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY	49,052	64,052	+15,000
155	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT	2,612	2,612	---
156	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT)	49,592	49,592	---
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	1,000,430	1,015,430	+15,000
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
158	MLRS PRODUCE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	17,112	17,112	---
159	LOGISTICS AUTOMATION	3,654	3,654	---
160	BIOMETRIC ENABLING CAPABILITY (BEC)	1,332	1,332	---
161	PATRIOT PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT	152,991	152,991	---
162	AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE	54,076	29,076	-25,000
163	ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM	22,374	22,374	---
164	JOINT AUTOMATED DEEP OPERATION COORDINATION SYSTEM	24,371	24,371	---
165	COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	295,177	320,177	+25,000
166	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM	45,092	45,092	---
167	AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	264,887	274,887	+10,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
168	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	381	381	---
169	DIGITIZATION	10,912	10,912	---
170	MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	5,115	5,115	---
171	OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	49,848	44,848	-5,000
172	TRACTOR CARD	22,691	22,691	---
173	INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE - OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEV	4,364	4,364	---
174	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT	834	834	---
175	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY - OPERATIONAL	280	280	---
176	LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AMD) SYSTEM	78,758	78,758	---
177	GUIDED MULTIPLE-LAUNCH ROCKET SYSTEM (GMLRS)	45,377	45,377	---
178	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM	10,209	10,209	---
181	SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	12,525	12,525	---
182	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	14,175	14,175	---
183	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	4,527	4,527	---
184	SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE)	11,011	11,011	---
185	WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	2,151	2,151	---
187	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	22,870	22,870	---
188	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	20,155	20,155	---
189	MQ-1 SKY WARRIOR A UAV (MQ-1C GRAY EAGLE UAS)	46,472	46,472	---
191	VERTICAL UAS	16,389	16,389	---
192	BIOMETRICS ENABLED INTELLIGENCE	1,974	1,974	---
193	WIN-T INCREMENT 2 - INITIAL NETWORKING	3,249	3,249	---
194	END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES	76,225	76,225	---
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	1,341,558	1,346,558	+5,000
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	4,802	4,802	---
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY	6,593,898	6,720,000	+126,102

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[in thousands of dollars]

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
10	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY	46,194	56,194	10,000
	Program increase		10,000	
17	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	38,069	63,069	25,000
	Program increase		25,000	
27	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY	25,751	32,051	6,300
	Program increase		6,300	
29	WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	65,139	66,139	1,000
	Program increase		1,000	
30	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	67,291	98,291	31,000
	Peer-reviewed neurotoxin exposure treatment Parkinson's research		16,000	
	Peer-reviewed neurofibromatosis research		15,000	
32	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	57,931	72,931	15,000
	Program increase		15,000	
60	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY	9,602	11,002	1,400
	Program increase		1,400	
70	SOLDIER SYSTEMS - ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	6,830	9,830	3,000
	Army requested transfer from WTCV, line 19		2,048	
	Army requested transfer from WTCV line 31		952	
76	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INCREMENT 2	96,177	71,177	-25,000
	Funding ahead of need		-25,000	
79	AIRCRAFT AVIONICS	37,246	57,246	20,000
	Degraded Visual Environment UH-60L demonstration		20,000	
86	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS	27,884	34,586	6,702
	Army requested transfer from WTCV line 19		6,702	
93	COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT	1,335	3,035	1,700
	Program increase		1,700	
126	ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE	142,584	152,584	10,000
	Counter cyber vulnerabilities		10,000	
154	MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY	49,052	64,052	15,000
	Program increase		15,000	
162	AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE	54,076	29,076	-25,000
	Funding ahead of need		-25,000	

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
165	COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	295,177	320,177	25,000
	Program increase - Stryker engineering changes		25,000	
167	AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	264,887	274,887	10,000
	Program increase - Improved turbine engine program		10,000	
171	OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	49,848	44,848	-5,000
	Funding ahead of need		-5,000	

ARMORED MULTI-PURPOSE VEHICLE

The congressional defense committees have encouraged the Army to adopt or develop a replacement vehicle for the M113 series armored personnel carriers of Vietnam War vintage. The challenge is to replace the M113 series with a vehicle or vehicles capable of filling the M113 mission roles across the breadth and depth of the formations. Since any vehicles procured by the Army are likely to remain in service for 40 or more years, the Committee expects the Army to make choices based on merit and fiscal responsibility. When selecting a replacement, the Army must also consider the five missions performed by the M113 variants: general purpose, mortar carrier, mission command, medical evacuation, and medical treatment. Additionally, the Army should consider the full spectrum of evolving missions. The Committee notes that the Army has fielded a wheeled medical evacuation vehicle based on a wheeled combat vehicle and that it has served in combat as a component of the armored brigade combat teams. The Committee is aware that the Army is focusing on first replacing the 2,897 M113 series vehicles that are located in the brigade combat teams. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to respond promptly to provide reports and briefings directed by the congressional defense committees, while advancing the program within funding limitations. The Committee recommendation provides $92,353,000, fully funding this effort in fiscal year 2015.

In addition, the Committee directs the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act on existing wheeled and tracked combat vehicles that are used for medical purposes. The report should assess the speed, agility, mobility, survivability, patient comfort, and suitability of these vehicles for use by field medical personnel as well as the procurement and operation and support costs for these vehicles to determine their suitability as the medical evacuation variant of the Armored Multi-purpose Vehicle (AMPV) within the armored brigade combat team. This report shall compare the results of the assessment cited above to the current Army plans to develop, procure, and operate a new medical evacuation vehicle as part of the current AMPV program of record. In addition, this report shall include a separate section providing the independent views of the Army Surgeon General on the criteria outlined above and any other criteria deemed relevant by the Army Surgeon General.

NETWORK INTEGRATION EVALUATION

The Committee notes that the Army's Network Integration Evaluation (NIE) program has made substantial progress in enhancing its ability to assist in the delivery of successful network technologies. The Committee is aware that the Army NIE program has tested or evaluated secure tactical nodal software which is intended to provide a more efficient way to integrate tactical capabilities within the network. The Committee understands that the technology that is being incorporated into the Army's network operations has successfully completed the NIE process. The Committee urges the Secretary of the Army to continue to incorporate tech-

nologies from small businesses in upcoming Army Network Integration Evaluations.

DEGRADED VISUAL ENVIRONMENT

The Committee is aware that many of the accidents involving Army helicopters that result in the loss of aircraft and personnel are attributable to flight operations in a degraded visual environment. Additionally, despite the improvements in pilot assist devices that are available on newer aircraft, a significant part of the helicopter fleet is older and does not have the upgrades to assist with the effects of a degraded visual environment. A 2009 Department of Defense study, updated in 2012, noted that 70 percent of fatalities and 80 percent of aircraft losses resulted from serious accidents that were not the result of hostile fire, but rather wire strikes, engine failures, and brownouts. The Committee understands that the Army has prepared to conduct operational field testing on various products to assist flight crews during situations of degraded visual environment. The Committee recommendation includes an additional $20,000,000 to support the Army's operational testing of counter degraded visual environment equipment. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act on the Army's expenditure plan of the funds provided to assist the Army with the degraded visual environment challenge.

POLYMER RESEARCH IMPROVEMENTS

Polymers are becoming more easily manufactured, less expensive to manufacture, and increasingly lightweight. State-of-the-art polymer materials can also result in improved ballistic performance. Accordingly, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to consider the application of polymers in the context of conducting ballistic research.

ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION

The Committee recognizes the importance of maintaining and improving the military decision making process. Proven skill in the decision making process has a large effect on performance in battle. Gaming, modeling, and simulation establish a reality factor which assists military leaders in preparation for all functions on the battlefield, including logistics. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to focus research and development investments with institutions of higher education developing cognitive map-based modeling and simulation tools to advance battlefield readiness of military planning for both tacticians and logisticians.

TESTING OF VIRTUAL TRAINING SYSTEMS

Live, virtual gaming has become a valuable component of the Army's training curriculum. Due to its adaptability, virtual gaming may provide potential cost savings, improved training experience, and enhanced readiness. However, the Committee is concerned that these virtual training systems lack consistent testing and evaluation. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to es-

tablish standards and testing to ensure that these systems provide the warfighter with the best training experience possible.

ARMY NET ZERO POLICY

The Army's net zero energy, water, and waste policy requires installations to produce as much energy as they consume, limits freshwater consumption, restores the watersheds surrounding installations, and attempts to reduce, reuse, or recover waste streams to convert the waste to resources. The Committee supports the Army's net zero policy and strongly encourages the Secretary of the Army to develop and demonstrate technologies to advance the policy and enhance the sustainable operation of its industrial munitions base.

LIGHTWEIGHT ADVANCED PERSONNEL PROTECTION

The Committee is aware of progress being made at the Natick Soldier Research, Development and Engineering Center to design and test lightweight advanced armor to better protect the warfighter, specifically the face and eyes. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to explore the use of novel, high-performance, lightweight, transparent ballistic protection materials to continue the progress being made to better protect the warfighter.

AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT

The Army is the single manager for conventional ammunition (SMCA) for the entire Department of Defense. In this capacity, the Army ensures effective life cycle management of conventional ammunition, a role becoming more challenging as a result of declining resources and force structure reductions. The Committee believes the management of conventional ammunition could be assisted by the establishment of new generation munitions material and manufacturing technologies in the national technical industrial base. Further, the Committee believes that automated and streamlined munitions manufacturing and new ammunition technologies play a critical role to the Department of Defense as they will provide a sustaining, cost saving, flexible manufacturing capability for the nation's munitions well into the future. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army, as the SMCA, to equip the national technical industrial base with these new and emerging smart manufacturing and ammunition technologies.

BATTLEFIELD MAPPING AND CHARTING

The BuckEye and Joint Airborne Lidar Bathymetry Technical Center of Expertise (JALBTCX) provide valuable engineering, mapping, and charting information to support planning, training, and operations to maintain maritime navigation. BuckEye provides imagery intelligence, geospatial intelligence, and geospatial foundation data for battlefield intelligence from manned and unmanned platforms over a range of altitudes, while JALBTCX provides coastal and underwater high resolution elevations and imagery which provides data fusion products required to help manage navigable waters of the United States. The Committee recognizes the military value these programs provide to combatant commanders and coali-

tion and host nation forces, and expects that the President's budget request for Overseas Contingency Operations will request full funding for these important programs.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$14,949,919,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	16,266,335,000
Committee recommendation	15,877,770,000
Change from budget request	−388,565,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $15,877,770,000 for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY			
	BASIC RESEARCH			
1	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	113,908	113,908	---
2	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	18,734	18,734	---
3	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	443,697	443,697	---
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	576,339	576,339	---
	APPLIED RESEARCH			
4	POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	95,753	95,753	---
5	FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	139,496	139,496	---
6	MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY	45,831	45,831	---
7	COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH	43,541	43,541	---
8	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	46,923	46,923	---
9	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH	107,872	107,872	---
10	OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	45,388	65,388	+20,000
11	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH	5,887	5,887	---
12	UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH	86,880	86,880	---
13	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEV	170,786	176,086	+5,300
14	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH	32,526	32,526	---
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	820,883	846,183	+25,300
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
15	POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	37,734	37,734	---
16	FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	25,831	25,831	---
17	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	64,623	64,623	---
18	MARINE CORPS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION (ATD)	128,397	128,397	---
19	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	11,506	11,506	---
20	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEV	256,144	261,144	+5,000
21	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	4,838	40,538	+35,700
22	UNDERSEA WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	9,985	9,985	---
23	NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS	53,956	53,956	---
24	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	2,000	2,000	---
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	595,014	635,714	+40,700

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION			
25	AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS	40,429	40,429	---
26	AVIATION SURVIVABILITY	4,325	4,325	---
27	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL	2,991	2,991	---
28	AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS	12,651	12,651	---
29	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	7,782	7,782	---
30	TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE	5,275	5,275	---
31	ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY	1,646	1,646	---
32	SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES	100,349	83,158	-17,191
33	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE	52,781	48,481	-4,300
34	CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	5,959	5,959	---
35	PILOT FISH	148,865	138,865	-10,000
36	RETRACT LARCH	25,365	25,365	---
37	RETRACT JUNIPER	80,477	72,477	-8,000
38	RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL	669	669	---
39	SURFACE ASW	1,060	1,060	---
40	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	70,551	67,551	-3,000
41	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS	8,044	8,044	---
42	SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN	17,864	17,864	---
43	SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES	23,716	17,736	-5,980
44	ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	499,961	499,961	---
45	ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS	21,026	21,026	---
46	CHALK EAGLE	542,700	542,700	---
47	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS)	88,734	86,734	-2,000
48	COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	20,881	20,881	---
49	OHIO REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	849,277	849,277	---
50	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS) MISSION PACKAGES	196,948	168,648	-28,300
51	AUTOMATIC TEST AND RE-TEST	8,115	8,115	---
52	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	7,603	7,603	---
53	MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES	105,749	105,749	---
54	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM	1,342	1,342	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
55	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	21,399	21,399	---
56	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT	43,578	39,310	-4,268
57	OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	7,764	6,264	-1,500
58	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	13,200	13,200	---
59	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM	69,415	55,393	-14,022
60	FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT	2,588	2,588	---
61	CHALK CORAL	176,301	176,301	---
62	NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY	3,873	3,873	---
63	RETRACT MAPLE	376,028	376,028	---
64	LINK PLUMERIA	272,096	252,496	-19,600
65	RETRACT ELM	42,233	42,233	---
66	LINK EVERGREEN	46,504	46,504	---
67	SPECIAL PROCESSES	25,109	25,109	---
68	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	9,659	9,659	---
69	LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY	318	318	---
70	NONLETHAL WEAPONS	40,912	35,627	-5,285
71	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS	54,896	41,896	-13,000
73	DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS	58,696	52,696	-6,000
74	GERALD R. FORD CLASS NUCLEAR AIRCRAFT CARRIER	43,613	43,613	---
75	REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS)	21,110	21,110	---
76	TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES	5,657	5,657	---
77	ASE SELF-PROTECTION OPTIMIZATION	8,033	4,033	-4,000
78	LX (R)	36,859	30,859	-6,000
79	JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WARFARE	15,227	15,227	---
81	SPACE & ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHITECTURE/ENGINE	22,393	22,393	---
82	OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOPMENT	202,939	161,939	-41,000
83	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE ENGINEERING/MANUFACTURING	11,450	9,450	-2,000
84	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT - MIP	6,495	6,495	---
85	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT - MIP	332	332	---
	TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION	4,591,812	4,396,366	-195,446

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
86	TRAINING SYSTEM AIRCRAFT	25,153	25,153	---
87	OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT	46,154	32,035	-14,119
88	AV-8B AIRCRAFT - ENG DEV	25,372	25,372	---
89	STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT	53,712	53,712	---
90	MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT	11,434	11,434	---
91	AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING	2,164	2,164	---
92	P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	1,710	1,710	---
93	WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM	9,094	9,094	---
94	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM	70,248	62,140	-8,108
95	ADVANCED HAWKEYE	193,200	146,200	-47,000
96	H-1 UPGRADES	44,115	44,115	---
97	ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS	23,227	23,227	---
98	V-22A	61,249	54,249	-7,000
99	AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	15,014	15,014	---
100	EA-18	18,730	18,730	---
101	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	28,742	28,742	---
102	VH-71A EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT	388,086	388,086	---
103	NEXT GENERATION JAMMER (NGJ)	246,856	230,733	-16,123
104	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM - NAVY (JTRS-NAVY)	7,106	7,106	---
105	SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING	189,112	179,112	-10,000
106	LPD-17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	376	376	---
107	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)	71,849	61,849	-10,000
108	STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS	53,198	53,198	---
109	AIRBORNE MCM	38,941	38,941	---
110	MARINE AIR GROUND TASK FORCE ELECTRONIC WARFARE	7,832	7,832	---
111	NAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL-COUNTER AIR SYSTEMS ENG	15,263	15,263	---
112	FUTURE UNMANNED CARRIER-BASED STRIKE SYSTEM	403,017	403,017	---
113	ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS	20,409	20,409	---
114	SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION	71,565	71,565	---
115	AIR CONTROL	29,037	29,037	---
116	SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS	122,083	122,083	---
118	ADVANCED MISSILE DEFENSE RADAR (AMDR) SYSTEM	144,706	127,567	-17,139
119	NEW DESIGN SSN	72,695	87,695	+15,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
120	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM	38,985	38,985	---
121	SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/LIVE FIRE T&E	48,470	48,470	---
122	NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES	3,935	3,935	---
123	VIRGINIA PAYLOAD MODULE (VPM)	132,602	132,602	---
124	MINE DEVELOPMENT	19,067	14,067	-5,000
125	LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT	25,280	35,280	+10,000
126	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	8,985	8,985	---
127	PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTORS	7,669	7,669	---
128	JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS	4,400	4,400	---
129	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL)	56,889	56,889	---
130	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL)	96,937	81,937	-15,000
131	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW)	134,564	121,339	-13,225
132	INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING	200	200	---
133	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT	8,287	27,287	+19,000
134	NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM	29,504	29,504	---
135	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF) - EMD	513,021	513,021	---
136	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)	516,456	516,456	---
137	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	2,887	2,887	---
138	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	66,317	66,317	---
139	CH-53K	573,187	573,187	---
140	SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR (SSC)	67,815	55,026	-12,789
141	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)	6,300	6,300	---
142	MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA)	308,037	319,037	+11,000
143	DDG-1000	202,522	202,522	---
144	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM - MIP	1,011	1,011	---
145	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS	10,357	10,357	---
146	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS PROGRAM	23,975	23,975	---
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	5,419,108	5,298,605	-120,503

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
147	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	45,272	45,272	---
148	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	79,718	66,718	-13,000
149	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	123,993	123,993	---
150	JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION	4,960	4,960	---
151	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT - NAVY	8,296	8,296	---
152	CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES	45,752	45,752	---
154	TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES	876	876	---
155	MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	72,070	72,070	---
156	STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT	3,237	3,237	---
157	RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT	73,033	73,033	---
158	RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT	138,304	138,304	---
159	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	336,286	336,286	---
160	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY	16,658	16,658	---
161	NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT	2,505	2,505	---
162	SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT	8,325	8,325	---
163	MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT	17,866	17,866	---
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	977,151	964,151	-13,000
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
168	UNMANNED COMBAT AIR VEHICLE (UCAV) ADVANCED COMPONENT	35,949	35,949	---
169	MARINE CORPS DATA SYSTEMS	215	215	---
170	CARRIER ONBOARD DELIVERY FOLLOW ON	8,873	8,873	---
172	STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	96,943	94,525	-2,418
173	SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	30,057	30,057	---
174	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	4,509	4,509	---
175	NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS	13,676	13,676	---
176	RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT)	12,480	9,480	-3,000
177	F/A-18 SQUADRONS	76,216	86,216	+10,000
179	FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL)	27,281	27,281	---
180	SURFACE SUPPORT	2,878	2,878	---
181	TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER (TMPC)	32,385	27,685	-4,700
182	INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	39,371	29,471	-9,900
183	AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS	4,609	4,609	---
184	GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR	99,106	99,106	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
185	CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	39,922	39,922	---
186	CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT	1,157	1,157	---
187	ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) READINESS SUPPORT	22,067	16,567	-5,500
188	HARM IMPROVEMENT	17,420	17,420	---
189	TACTICAL DATA LINKS	151,208	133,594	-17,614
190	SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	26,366	26,366	---
191	MK-48 ADCAP	25,952	25,952	---
192	AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS	106,936	75,037	-31,899
194	OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	104,023	104,023	---
195	MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	77,398	74,258	-3,140
196	COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	32,495	32,495	---
197	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS	156,626	142,076	-14,550
198	MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT	20,999	20,999	---
199	USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS (MIP)	14,179	14,179	---
200	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	47,258	47,258	---
201	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)	10,210	10,210	---
206	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE)	41,829	41,829	---
207	CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE SERVICES	22,780	22,780	---
208	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	23,053	23,053	---
209	WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	296	296	---
212	NAVY METEOROLOGICAL AND OCEAN SENSORS-SPACE (METOC)	359	359	---
213	JOINT MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS	6,166	6,166	---
214	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	8,505	8,505	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
216	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE SYSTEMS.....	11,613	11,613	---
217	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE SYSTEMS.....	18,146	18,146	---
218	RQ-4 UAV.....	498,003	463,003	-35,000
219	MQ-8 UAV.....	47,294	43,294	-4,000
220	RQ-11 UAV.....	718	718	---
221	RQ-7 UAV.....	851	851	---
222	SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASLO).....	4,813	4,813	---
223	RQ-21A.....	8,192	8,192	---
224	MULTI-INTELLIGENCE SENSOR DEVELOPMENT.....	22,559	18,664	-3,895
225	UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS (UAS) PAYLOADS (MIP).....	2,000	2,000	---
226	MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT.....	4,719	4,719	---
227	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF).....	21,168	21,168	---
228	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS.....	37,169	37,169	---
229	MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARITECH).....	4,347	4,347	---
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	2,123,344	1,997,728	-125,616
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	1,162,684	1,162,684	---
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY.....	16,266,335	15,877,770	-388,565

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
10	OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	45,388	65,388	20,000
	Program increase - AGOR mid-life refit		20,000	
13	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	170,786	176,086	5,300
	Program increase - automated critical care system		5,300	
20	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	256,144	261,144	5,000
	Program increase - ASW research		5,000	
21	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	4,838	40,538	35,700
	Program increase - bone marrow registry program		31,500	
	Tactical athlete program - transfer from OM,DW		4,200	
32	SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES	100,349	83,158	-17,191
	SSQ-94 trainer program growth		-1,500	
	Unmanned surface vehicle development program delay		-3,600	
	Unmanned surface vehicle support program growth		-1,000	
	Unmanned surface vehicle flight 2 ahead of need		-7,891	
	Large diameter unmanned underwater vehicle support program growth		-3,200	
33	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE	52,781	48,481	-4,300
	Program execution		-4,300	
35	PILOT FISH	148,865	138,865	-10,000
	Classified adjustment		-10,000	
37	RETRACT JUNIPER	80,477	72,477	-8,000
	Classified adjustment		-8,000	
40	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	70,551	67,551	-3,000
	Stealth funding carryover		-3,000	
43	SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES	23,716	17,736	-5,980
	Program execution		-5,980	
47	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP	88,734	86,734	-2,000
	Support funding growth		-2,000	
50	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP MISSION PACKAGES	196,948	168,648	-28,300
	Management funding growth		-3,000	
	Program execution		-25,300	
56	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT	43,578	39,310	-4,268
	Program execution		-3,268	
	Common array block antenna program growth		-1,000	
57	OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	7,764	6,264	-1,500
	Submarine rescue system program delay		-1,500	

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
59	**NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM**	**69,415**	**55,393**	**-14,022**
	Program execution		-5,611	
	Tactical fuels program growth		-1,700	
	Directed energy program growth		-2,711	
	Aircraft energy conservation program growth		-4,000	
64	**LINK PLUMERIA**	**272,096**	**252,496**	**-19,600**
	Classified adjustment		-19,600	
70	**NONLETHAL WEAPONS**	**40,912**	**35,627**	**-5,285**
	Program execution		-5,285	
71	**JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS**	**54,896**	**41,896**	**-13,000**
	Program execution		-13,000	
73	**DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS**	**58,696**	**52,696**	**-6,000**
	Railgun development excess support		-6,000	
77	**ASE SELF-PROTECTION OPTIMIZATION**	**8,033**	**4,033**	**-4,000**
	Program growth		-4,000	
78	**LX (R)**	**36,859**	**30,859**	**-6,000**
	LX (R) development program growth		-6,000	
82	**OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOPMENT**	**202,939**	**161,939**	**-41,000**
	Support cost growth		-10,000	
	Program delay		-30,000	
	Increment II ahead of need		-1,000	
83	**JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE ENGINEERING/MANUFACTURING**	**11,450**	**9,450**	**-2,000**
	Program management excess to requirement		-2,000	
87	**OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT**	**46,154**	**32,035**	**-14,119**
	H-60 development- program delay		-3,064	
	Executive helicopter support program growth		-5,000	
	MH-XX ahead of need		-6,055	
94	**TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM**	**70,248**	**62,140**	**-8,108**
	Program execution		-5,108	
	64-bit architecture phasing		-3,000	
95	**ADVANCED HAWKEYE**	**193,200**	**146,200**	**-47,000**
	In-flight refueling program restructure		-27,000	
	Tactical targeting technology program growth		-5,000	
	Support funding growth		-15,000	
98	**V-22A**	**61,249**	**54,249**	**-7,000**
	Program growth		-7,000	
103	**NEXT GENERATION JAMMER**	**246,856**	**230,733**	**-16,123**
	Program execution		-16,123	
105	**SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING**	**189,112**	**179,112**	**-10,000**
	Surface combatant development and integration support program growth		-10,000	

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
107 SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)	**71,849**	**61,849**	**-10,000**
Small diameter bomb II integration program growth		-10,000	
118 ADVANCED MISSILE DEFENSE RADAR SYSTEM	**144,706**	**127,567**	**-17,139**
Program execution		-17,139	
119 NEW DESIGN SSN	**72,695**	**87,695**	**15,000**
Program increase - small business technology insertion		15,000	
124 MINE DEVELOPMENT	**19,067**	**14,067**	**-5,000**
Mine Development program growth		-5,000	
125 LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT	**25,280**	**35,280**	**10,000**
Program increase - small business technology insertion		10,000	
130 SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL)	**96,937**	**81,937**	**-15,000**
ESSM block II risk reduction		-15,000	
131 SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW)	**134,564**	**121,339**	**-13,225**
SEWIP block 3 preliminary design contract delay		-13,225	
133 MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT	**8,287**	**27,287**	**19,000**
Program increase - wound care research		13,000	
Program increase - dental research		6,000	
140 SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR	**67,815**	**55,026**	**-12,789**
Program execution		-12,789	
142 MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT	**308,037**	**319,037**	**11,000**
Spiral 2 government systems engineering program growth		-4,000	
Program increase - small business technology insertion		15,000	
148 TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	**79,718**	**66,718**	**-13,000**
GQM-173A program delay		-10,000	
BQM-177A program restructure		-3,000	
172 STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	**96,943**	**94,525**	**-2,418**
Program execution		-12,418	
Program increase - missile component development		10,000	
176 RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT)	**12,480**	**9,480**	**-3,000**
TIPS program growth		-3,000	
177 F/A-18 SQUADRONS	**76,216**	**86,216**	**10,000**
Program increase - dual mode Brimstone integration		10,000	
181 TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER	**32,385**	**27,685**	**-4,700**
Tactical tomahawk AUR program growth		-4,700	
182 INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	**39,371**	**29,471**	**-9,900**
Classified adjustment		-9,900	
187 ELECTRONIC WARFARE READINESS SUPPORT	**22,067**	**16,567**	**-5,500**
EW/IO countermeasure capability program growth		-5,500	
189 TACTICAL DATA LINKS	**151,208**	**133,594**	**-17,614**
Program execution		-17,614	

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
192 AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS	**106,936**	**75,037**	**-31,899**
F-135 improvements ahead of need		-31,899	
195 MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	**77,398**	**74,258**	**-3,140**
AFATDS software development schedule slip		-1,340	
AN/TPS-59 support unjustified growth		-1,800	
197 MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS	**156,626**	**142,076**	**-14,550**
AAV support unjustified growth		-14,550	
218 RQ-4 UAV	**498,003**	**463,003**	**-35,000**
Milestone C delay		-35,000	
219 MQ-8 UAV	**47,294**	**43,294**	**-4,000**
Engineering and technical services program growth		-4,000	
224 MULTI-INTELLIGENCE SENSOR DEVELOPMENT	**22,559**	**18,664**	**-3,895**
Program execution		-3,895	

AMPHIBIOUS COMBAT VEHICLE

The Committee is supportive of the Marine Corps' Amphibious Combat Vehicle (ACV) program, which is being developed to replace the rapidly aging Amphibious Assault Vehicle. However, the Committee is aware that the strategy for the ACV program is currently being revised due to a re-evaluation of requirements, estimated costs, and schedule, and that a contract award is unlikely to occur in fiscal year 2015. Therefore, the Committee recommends a rescission of $78,800,000 from the total $122,967,000 appropriated in the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014, but recommends fully funding the fiscal year 2015 request of $105,749,000. This will allow the Marine Corps to immediately implement the ACV acquisition strategy once the path forward has been finalized, without unnecessarily reserving resources that could be used for higher priority items. The Committee will continue to support the ACV program as the strategy is refined in future budget submissions and looks forward to continuing discussions with the Marine Corps regarding the ACV program progress.

AUTOMATED TEST AND RE-TEST

The Committee recommends fully funding the Navy's request for $8,115,000 for the Automated Test and Re-test effort. According to a recent Navy report, funding for this program will continue throughout the future years defense plan. The Committee understands that this program will provide significant savings to many of the software intensive programs currently in use, as well as those in development, and looks forward to tracking the progress of this effort in future years as the Navy provides additional funding.

LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP TRAINING

Due to the small crew size and operational concept of the Littoral Combat Ship (LCS), the LCS Total System Training Architecture is a vital component to the success or failure of the LCS program. Limited at sea training opportunities will exist for the crews of the LCS so they need to maximize their shore based training to the greatest extent possible. As the training architecture is such an important component of the LCS program, the Committee is puzzled as to why the Navy has reduced the funding for this effort from the appropriated levels over the last several years. The budget justification material shows that nearly $100,000,000 has been removed from the LCS training system budget over the last three years. This large reduction could very possibly jeopardize the readiness of the LCS crews as they man ships and begin to take them to sea. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to ensure the entire fiscal year 2015 appropriated amount of $19,547,000 is allocated towards the LCS Total System Training Architecture.

ARCTIC CENTER OF EXCELLENCE

The Navy's most recent Arctic Roadmap provides direction and recommendations to enhance the Navy's ability to operate in the arctic region. The roadmap recommended the establishment of an

Arctic Center of Excellence and the development of an arctic engagement plan focused on partnerships with other stakeholders in the arctic region, both public and private. The Committee believes that these recommendations go hand in hand since the Arctic Center of Excellence would support these partnerships. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to establish the Arctic Center of Excellence to support arctic-related training, operations, and scientific research. Further, the Secretary of the Navy is encouraged to consider locations for the Center that could bring together elements from public and private communities, including academia, who can contribute to advancing the nation's interests in the arctic region.

WOUND CARE RESEARCH PROGRAM

The Committee recommends $13,000,000 for the wound care research program. The Committee is aware of the continued need to develop wound care technology to improve treatment for combat wounded servicemembers. The Committee is also aware of the challenges associated with treating wounds, particularly in combat environments, and the risk of developing bacteria, biofilms, and other infections. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to research technologies that can prevent biofilm formulation, bacteria, and infections in combat wounds.

AUTOMATED CRITICAL CARE SYSTEM

Trauma care for Marines and sailors deployed in remote locations or on ships poses a serious challenge to Navy caregivers. The Committee is extremely concerned with the potential deterioration of these injured servicemembers while being stabilized, evacuated, or transported to a facility possessing high-level medical care. Coordinating and providing care while safely transporting injured patients with life threatening conditions strains medical personnel and other mission resources. Incorporation of state-of-the-art medical device technologies with automated decision controls may well mean the difference between life and death and will have a significant impact in medical care for both the military and civilian communities. The automated critical care system (ACCS) being developed by the Navy has the potential to be such an innovative medical device. The Committee fully supports the ACCS and provides an additional $5,300,000 to accelerate the development effort.

P–8A OPEN ARCHITECTURE

There are numerous benefits to utilizing open architecture in today's software intensive weapon systems. It enables low cost capability insertion, allows numerous capabilities to be supported by a common hardware set, and allows the sharing of information across different platforms. The Committee is aware of the Navy's ongoing effort to develop an open architecture system for the P–8A Poseidon multi-mission maritime aircraft. The Committee strongly encourages the Secretary of the Navy to continue this development effort and to incorporate open architecture into the P–8A as soon as possible.

AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS MODERNIZATION

The Committee provides $10,000,000 as requested for aircraft systems modernization. The Committee is aware that a major modernization effort is the development of technology needed to support the transition of highly integrated photonics into naval aircraft systems. This funding will support requirements study, technology maturation, and system design and demonstration of general-purpose avionics networks. The Committee understands that this work will have a positive impact on life cycle costs associated with maintaining and upgrading networks aboard Navy aircraft. Accordingly, the Committee expects that this effort will continue to receive full funding in fiscal year 2016 and over the future years defense plan.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$23,585,292,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	23,739,892,000
Committee recommendation	23,438,982,000
Change from budget request	−300,910,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $23,438,982,000 for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AIR FORCE			
	BASIC RESEARCH			
1	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	314,482	314,482	---
2	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	127,079	127,079	---
3	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES	12,929	12,929	---
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	454,490	454,490	---
	APPLIED RESEARCH			
4	MATERIALS	105,680	110,680	+5,000
5	AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES	105,747	105,747	---
6	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH	81,957	96,957	+15,000
7	AEROSPACE PROPULSION	172,550	172,550	---
8	AEROSPACE SENSORS	118,343	118,343	---
9	SPACE TECHNOLOGY	98,229	91,229	-7,000
10	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	87,387	87,387	---
11	DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY	125,955	125,955	---
12	DOMINANT INFORMATION SCIENCES AND METHODS	147,789	147,789	---
13	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH	37,496	37,496	---
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	1,081,133	1,094,133	+13,000
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
14	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS	32,177	39,677	+7,500
15	SUSTAINMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (S&T)	15,800	15,800	---
16	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS	34,420	34,420	---
17	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO	91,062	91,062	---
18	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY	124,236	124,236	---
19	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY	47,602	47,602	---
20	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY	69,026	63,026	-6,000
21	MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (MSSS)	14,031	14,031	---
22	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	21,788	21,788	---
23	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	42,046	42,046	---
24	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	23,542	23,542	---
25	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	42,772	52,772	+10,000
26	BATTLESPACE KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION	35,315	35,315	---
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	593,817	605,317	+11,500

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT			
27	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	5,408	5,408	---
31	SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY	6,075	6,075	---
32	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY	10,980	10,980	---
33	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	2,392	2,392	---
34	INTERNATIONAL SPACE COOPERATIVE R&D	833	833	---
35	SPACE PROTECTION PROGRAM (SPP)	32,313	30,955	-1,358
37	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE	30,885	30,885	---
39	POLLUTION PREVENTION (DEM/VAL)	1,798	1,798	---
40	LONG RANGE STRIKE	913,728	913,728	---
42	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER	2,669	12,669	+10,000
45	WEATHER SATELLITE FOLLOW-ON	39,901	39,901	---
49	F-35 - EMD	4,976	---	-4,976
51	TECH TRANSITION PROGRAM	59,004	59,004	---
54	NEXT GENERATION AIR DOMINANCE	15,722	15,722	---
55	THREE DIMENSIONAL LONG-RANGE RADAR	88,825	88,825	---
56	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT)	156,659	156,659	---
	TOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT	1,372,168	1,375,834	+3,666

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
59	SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING	13,324	13,324	---
60	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	1,965	1,965	---
61	TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE	39,110	39,110	---
62	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	3,926	3,926	---
63	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)	68,759	68,759	---
64	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS	23,746	23,476	-270
65	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS	9,462	9,462	---
66	SPACE FENCE	214,131	200,131	-14,000
67	AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK	30,687	30,687	---
68	SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH EMD	319,501	309,501	-10,000
69	ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	31,112	31,112	---
70	SUBMUNITIONS	2,543	2,543	---
71	AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT	46,340	46,340	---
72	LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS	8,854	8,854	---
73	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	10,129	10,129	---
74	ROCKET ENGINE DEVELOPMENT (SPACE)	---	220,000	+220,000
75	F-35 - EMD	563,037	563,037	---
78	LONG RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON	4,938	3,438	-1,500
79	ICBM FUZE MODERNIZATION	59,826	29,826	-30,000
80	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER (JTNC)	78	78	---
81	F-22 MODERNIZATION INCREMENT 3.2B	173,647	173,647	---
82	GROUND ATTACK WEAPONS FUZE DEVELOPMENT	5,332	5,332	---
83	NEXT GENERATION AERIAL REFUELING AIRCRAFT KC-46	776,937	766,937	-10,000
84	ADVANCED PILOT TRAINING	8,201	8,201	---
85	CSAR HH-60 RECAPITALIZATION	---	100,000	+100,000
86	HC/MC-130 RECAP RDT&E	7,497	7,497	---
87	ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE)	314,378	296,038	-18,340
88	POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE)	103,552	103,552	---
89	WIDEBAND GLOBAL SATCOM (SPACE)	31,425	23,925	-7,500
90	AIR AND SPACE OPS CENTER 10.2	85,938	85,938	---
91	B-2 DEFENSIVE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	98,768	98,768	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
92	NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION	198,357	193,357	-5,000
94	FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING	8,831	8,831	---
95	NEXTGEN JSTARS	73,088	73,088	---
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	3,337,419	3,560,809	+223,390
	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
97	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	24,418	24,418	---
98	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	47,232	47,232	---
99	RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE	30,443	30,443	---
101	INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION	12,266	12,266	---
102	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	689,509	689,509	---
103	ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE)	34,364	34,364	---
104	SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP)	21,161	21,161	---
105	FACILITIES RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION - TEST & EVAL	46,955	46,955	---
106	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT - TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	32,965	32,965	---
107	REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION	13,850	13,850	---
108	SPACE TEST AND TRAINING RANGE DEVELOPMENT	19,512	19,512	---
110	SPACE AND MISSILE CENTER (SMC) CIVILIAN WORKFORCE	181,727	177,800	-3,927
111	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION SERVICES (EIS)	4,938	4,938	---
112	ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	18,644	18,644	---
113	ELECTRONIC ACQUISITION SERVICES ENVIRONMENT	1,425	1,425	---
114	GENERAL SKILL TRAINING	3,790	3,790	---
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	1,183,199	1,179,272	-3,927

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
115	GPS III - OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEGMENT	299,760	299,760	---
117	WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE	---	2,000	+2,000
118	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	2,469	2,469	---
119	AIR FORCE INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM	90,218	90,218	---
120	ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY	34,815	34,815	---
122	B-52 SQUADRONS	55,457	55,457	---
123	AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM)	450	450	---
124	B-1B SQUADRONS	5,353	4,353	-1,000
125	B-2 SQUADRONS	131,580	105,680	-25,900
126	MINUTEMAN SQUADRONS	139,109	139,109	---
127	STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM - USSTRATCOM	35,603	35,603	---
128	NIGHT FIST - USSTRATCOM	32	32	---
130	REGION/SECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER MODERNIZATION	1,522	1,522	---
131	SERVICE SUPPORT TO STRATCOM - SPACE ACTIVITIES	3,134	3,134	---
133	MQ-9 UAV	170,396	170,396	---
136	F-16 SQUADRONS	133,105	133,105	---
137	F-15E SQUADRONS	261,969	251,969	-10,000
138	MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION	14,831	14,831	---
139	F-22 SQUADRONS	156,962	151,362	-5,600
140	F-35 SQUADRONS	43,666	43,666	---
141	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	29,739	29,739	---
142	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)	82,195	82,195	---
144	F-15 EPAWSS	68,944	68,944	---
145	COMBAT RESCUE AND RECOVERY	5,095	5,095	---
146	COMBAT RESCUE - PARARESCUE	883	883	---
147	AF TENCAP	5,812	5,812	---
148	PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT	1,081	1,081	---
149	COMPASS CALL	14,411	14,411	---
150	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	109,664	94,177	-15,487

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
151	JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM)	15,897	15,897	---
152	AIR AND SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC)	41,066	41,066	---
153	CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (CRC)	552	552	---
154	AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS)	180,804	180,804	---
155	TACTICAL AIRBORNE CONTROL SYSTEMS	3,754	3,754	---
157	COMBAT AIR INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES	7,891	7,891	---
158	TACTICAL AIR CONTROL PARTY--MOD	5,891	5,891	---
159	C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK	1,782	1,782	---
161	DCAPES	821	821	---
163	SEEK EAGLE	23,844	23,844	---
164	USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION	16,723	16,723	---
165	WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS	5,956	5,956	---
166	DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES	4,457	4,457	---
167	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	60,679	60,679	---
169	CYBER COMMAND ACTIVITIES	67,057	67,057	---
170	AF OFFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS	13,355	13,355	---
171	AF DEFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS	5,576	5,576	---
179	SPACE SUPERIORITY INTELLIGENCE	12,218	10,697	-1,521
180	E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (NAOC)	28,778	1,700	-27,078
181	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK	81,035	81,035	---
182	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	70,497	70,497	---
183	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	692	692	---
185	MILSATCOM TERMINALS	55,208	49,950	-5,258
187	AIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE	106,786	106,786	---
190	GLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM)	4,157	4,157	---
193	SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE)	20,806	20,806	---
194	WEATHER SERVICE	25,102	25,102	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
195	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, & LANDING SYSTEM (ATC)	23,516	23,516	---
196	AERIAL TARGETS	8,639	8,639	---
199	SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES	498	498	---
200	ARMS CONTROL IMPLEMENTATION	13,222	13,222	---
201	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	360	360	---
206	SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER	3,674	3,326	-348
207	SPACE WARFARE CENTER	2,480	2,071	-409
208	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE	8,592	6,954	-1,638
209	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE)	13,462	13,462	---
210	DRAGON U-2	5,511	5,511	---
212	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	28,113	38,113	+10,000
213	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	13,516	13,516	---
214	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	27,265	27,265	---
215	PREDATOR UAV (JMIP)	1,378	1,378	---
216	RQ-4 UAV	244,514	244,514	---
217	NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGET (TIARA)	11,096	11,096	---
218	COMMON DATA LINK (CDL)	36,137	36,137	---
219	NATO AGS	232,851	232,851	---
220	SUPPORT TO DCGS ENTERPRISE	20,218	20,218	---
221	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT	212,571	212,571	---
222	JSPOC MISSION SYSTEM	73,779	73,779	---
223	RAPID CYBER ACQUISITION	4,102	4,102	---
225	NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE)	20,468	20,468	---
226	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS	11,596	11,596	---
227	CYBER OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	4,938	4,938	---
228	SHARED EARLY WARNING (SEW)	1,212	1,212	---
230	C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS	38,773	38,773	---
231	C-17 AIRCRAFT	83,773	83,773	---
232	C-130J PROGRAM	26,715	26,715	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
233	LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES (LAIRCM)	5,172	5,172	---
234	KC-10S	2,714	2,714	---
235	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT	27,784	27,784	---
236	CV-22	38,719	38,719	---
237	PRESIDENTIAL AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT (PAR)	11,006	11,006	---
238	SPECIAL TACTICS / COMBAT CONTROL	8,405	8,405	---
239	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	1,407	1,407	---
241	LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (LOGIT)	109,685	109,685	---
242	SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	16,209	16,209	---
243	OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING	987	987	---
244	OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES	126	126	---
245	JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY	2,603	2,603	---
246	CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM	1,589	1,589	---
247	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	5,026	5,026	---
248	AIR FORCE STUDIES AND ANALYSIS AGENCY	1,394	1,394	---
249	FACILITIES OPERATION--ADMINISTRATION	3,798	3,798	---
250	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	107,314	87,314	-20,000
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	4,276,546	4,174,307	-102,239
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	11,441,120	10,994,820	-446,300
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AIR FORCE	23,739,892	23,438,982	-300,910

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[in thousands of dollars]

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
4	MATERIALS	105,680	110,680	5,000
	Program increase		5,000	
6	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH	81,957	96,957	15,000
	Program increase		15,000	
9	SPACE TECHNOLOGY	98,229	91,229	-7,000
	Excess to need		-7,000	
14	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS	32,177	39,677	7,500
	Program increase		7,500	
20	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY	69,026	63,026	-6,000
	Excess to need		-6,000	
25	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	42,772	52,772	10,000
	Program increase		10,000	
35	SPACE PROTECTION PROGRAM (SPP)	32,313	30,955	-1,358
	Excess to need		-1,358	
42	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER	2,669	12,669	10,000
	Program increase		10,000	
49	F-35 - EMD	4,976	0	-4,976
	Duplicate funding		-4,976	
64	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS	23,746	23,476	-270
	Maintain fiscal year 2014 level		-270	
66	SPACE FENCE	214,131	200,131	-14,000
	Program delay		-14,000	
68	SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH EMD	319,501	309,501	-10,000
	Wide field of view test beds		-10,000	
74	LIQUID ROCKET ENGINE DEVELOPMENT (SPACE)	0	220,000	220,000
	Develop capacity		220,000	
78	LONG RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON	4,938	3,438	-1,500
	Execution adjustment		-1,500	
79	ICBM FUZE MODERNIZATION	59,826	29,826	-30,000
	Execution adjustment		-30,000	
83	KC-46	776,937	766,937	-10,000
	Program decrease		-10,000	
85	COMBAT RESCUE HELICOPTER	0	100,000	100,000
	Program increase only for Combat Rescue Helicopter		100,000	
87	ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE)	314,378	296,038	-18,340
	SMI excess growth		-18,340	

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
89	WIDEBAND GLOBAL SATCOM (SPACE)	31,425	23,925	-7,500
	Resiliency funding excess to need		-7,500	
92	NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION	198,357	193,357	-5,000
	B61-12 tailkit EMD phase 1 favorable cost variances		-5,000	
110	SPACE AND MISSILE CENTER (SMC) CIVILIAN WORKFORCE	181,727	177,800	-3,927
	Personnel costs excess to need		-3,927	
117	WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE	0	2,000	2,000
	Program increase		2,000	
124	B-1B SQUADRONS	5,353	4,353	-1,000
	Execution adjustment		-1,000	
125	B-2 SQUADRONS	131,580	105,680	-25,900
	Flexible strike execution delays		-29,400	
	Ejection seat safety/sustainability improvement program		3,500	
137	F-15E SQUADRONS	261,969	251,969	-10,000
	Execution adjustment		-10,000	
139	F-22 SQUADRONS	156,962	151,362	-5,600
	Execution adjustment		-5,600	
150	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	109,664	94,177	-15,487
	F135 engine program		-15,487	
179	SPACE SUPERIORITY INTELLIGENCE	12,218	10,697	-1,521
	Maintain fiscal year 2014 level		-1,521	
180	E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (NAOC)	28,778	1,700	-27,078
	Low Frequency Transmit System cost and schedule growth		-27,078	
185	MILSATCOM TERMINALS	55,208	49,950	-5,258
	Excess to need - FAB-T downselect delay		-5,258	
206	SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER	3,674	3,326	-348
	Maintain fiscal year 2014 level		-348	
207	SPACE WARFARE CENTER	2,480	2,071	-409
	Maintain fiscal year 2014 level		-409	
208	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE	8,592	6,954	-1,638
	Maintain fiscal year 2014 level		-1,638	
212	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	28,113	38,113	10,000
	Wide Area Motion Imagery program shortfall		10,000	
250	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	107,314	87,314	-20,000
	Execution adjustment		-20,000	
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	11,441,120	10,994,820	-446,300
	Classified adjustment		-446,300	

GLOBAL HAWK, U–2, AND HIGH ALTITUDE ISR

The Air Force's fiscal year 2015 budget request proposes to retain the RQ–4 Global Hawk Block 30 fleet while preparing for the divestment of the U–2 fleet in fiscal year 2016. This proposal constitutes a reversal of the Air Force's previous position on the two platforms. The Air Force has explained that its reversal primarily is based on declining operation and sustainment costs for the Global Hawk, making it a more prudent long-term investment. The Committee views the U–2 and the Global Hawk Block 30 as complementary, rather than rival, systems for high altitude intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance; at the same time, the Committee acknowledges that budget constraints in current law have driven the Air Force to choose between the two platforms.

The Committee is concerned, however, by the Air Force's present plan to initiate and complete a precipitous drawdown of the U–2 fleet in fiscal year 2016. The U–2 possesses flight performance, sensor, and other capabilities that the Global Hawk Block 30 does not presently have. Among these U–2 capabilities is carriage of the Optical Bar Camera (OBC), which provides vital imagery enabling American support of the Israel-Egypt peace treaty. The Air Force to date has not proposed a mitigating solution for the loss of the OBC capability after 2016. The Committee understands the Air Force is reviewing alternate options for divestment, including the option of a temporary mixed fleet of Global Hawks and U–2s beyond fiscal year 2016, in order to address these concerns.

The Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 provided the Air Force with an additional $10,000,000 to conduct a study of the feasibility of adapting U–2 sensors or similar alternatives to the Block 30. The Committee believes that a favorable solution to improving the electro-optical/infrared capability of the Block 30 would involve a sensor that provides imagery intelligence comparable to or better than that provided by the SYERS–2 on the U–2, including National Image Interpretability Rating Scale score, range, field of regard, and area coverage; preserves the ability for simultaneous carriage of the synthetic aperture radar on the Block 30; does not unduly compromise the availability of SYERS–2 units for U–2 missions in the event that the Air Force opts for a mixed fleet; minimizes the integration work necessary for adaptation to the Block 30 using the Sensor Interface Module; and can be developed and procured at a cost not exceeding the "parity" option identified as meeting Air Combat Command sensor attributes in the report of April 2013. The Committee understands that the ultimate solution will involve reasonable trade-offs between these parameters.

In addition, the Committee believes that it is critical to invest in upgrades that will provide Block 30 with necessary weather avoidance and anti-icing capabilities; improved sensor capabilities are of less consequence if inclement weather remains a significant limiting factor on Block 30 operations, especially in the Pacific Command area of responsibility.

To date, the Air Force has not presented the Committee with a requirements-driven, accountably resourced, and realistically scheduled plan for improving capabilities on the Global Hawk

Block 30 and mitigating the loss of U–2 capabilities such as the OBC after fiscal year 2016. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to present such a plan to the congressional defense committees prior to taking any action to divest the U–2 fleet. The Committee will review the progress of actions by the Air Force in this regard when the fiscal year 2016 budget request is submitted, and intends to take intervening action against full and immediate divestment of the U–2 fleet if such plans are not satisfactory. The Committee also notes that the House version of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2014 requires the Air Force to produce a transition plan and prohibits the Air Force from taking any steps toward retirement of the U–2. The Committee urges the Secretary of the Air Force to use previously appropriated funds to continue critical sustainment programs for the U–2 until divestment of the fleet is authorized by Congress.

COMBAT RESCUE HELICOPTER

The Committee fully supports the Air Force's decision to proceed with an acquisition program for a new Combat Rescue Helicopter (CRH) despite budget constraints. The CRH will replace the Air Force's rapidly aging fleet of HH–60 helicopters for the combat search and rescue mission. While the fiscal year 2015 request includes no additional funds for CRH, the Air Force has indicated to the Committee that the $333,558,000 provided in the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 is sufficient to fund the program through fiscal year 2015 given the adjustments the Air Force has made to extend the program schedule. The Committee is aware that the Air Force will need to rectify a $436,000,000 shortfall in the current future years defense plan to fully fund CRH development, but believes that this cost must be weighed against the considerable costs that likely would be incurred to extend the life of the HH–60 fleet should CRH be terminated. The Committee's recommendation includes $100,000,000 for CRH to partially address this shortfall and designates these funds as a congressional special interest item. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to keep the Committee informed of the progress of the CRH program, including rates of obligation and expenditure.

NEXT GENERATION JSTARS

The Committee fully funds the Air Force request for $73,088,000 to develop a next generation platform for the moving target indicator and battle management command and control (BMC2) missions currently performed by the E–8C JSTARS fleet. The Committee notes that while the program justification submitted with the fiscal year 2015 request appears to assume that the BMC2 system, sensor systems, communications systems, and air vehicle will be separately competed with the government as the lead system integrator, the Air Force presently is considering alternative acquisition strategies for the new system. Due to the reduction in capability that will result from the retirement of five operational JSTARS aircraft in fiscal year 2015, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Air Force to adopt an acquisition strategy for a business jet-based integrated solution with mature technologies that is consistent with the completed analysis of alternatives, will

minimize development cost and schedule, field new aircraft ahead of first planned delivery date in fiscal year 2019, and provide an open system architecture to allow for competitively procured future upgrades. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to brief the congressional defense committees on its selected approach not later than 30 days following the approval of the acquisition strategy for the Next Generation JSTARS.

CHEMICAL, BIOLOGICAL, RADIOLOGICAL, AND NUCLEAR SURVIVABILITY

The Committee understands that the Joint Program Office (JPO) for the Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) intends to conduct a live fire test and evaluation on a chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear decontamination system for the JSF in fiscal year 2016. The Committee regards this test as critical for ensuring that the JSF will meet the operational requirements document and be able to conduct missions in denied, high-threat environments. The Committee recommends that the JPO take appropriate steps, utilizing funds made available in this and previous appropriations for development of all three JSF variants, to accelerate this testing into fiscal year 2015 and sustain the industrial base for the development and production of such decontamination systems.

AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK

The Committee fully funds the Air Force request of $25,000,000 to fund analysis of alternatives (AoA) efforts within the Airborne Electronic Attack program, including $5,000,000 for the Non-Kinetic Counter-Electronics (NKCE) AoA. The Committee supports the Air Force's decision to incorporate the results of the Counter-Electronics High Power Microwave Missile Project joint capability technology demonstration and correlated operational utility assessment into the NKCE AoA. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Air Force to begin its NKCE AoA effort as soon as possible, and directs the Secretary of the Air Force to report the results of the NKCE AoA, as well as the Next Generation Electronic Attack AoA and Counter-Integrated Air Defense System AoA, to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after the approval of the respective reports.

ENDURANCE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES

The Committee understands that the size of the United States Africa Command (AFRICOM) area of responsibility, when coupled with the paucity of base access and supporting infrastructure, places a premium on long range, long endurance intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance assets. The Committee is aware that the Air Force is currently flight testing a medium altitude, long endurance unmanned aerial vehicle with flexible multi-intelligence sensor and communications relay capabilities. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Air Force to adopt a plan for these assets that would preserve their ability to be deployed if AFRICOM or any other combatant command that identifies an operational need for such capabilities.

KC–46A

The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to continue to submit quarterly reports on any KC–46A contract modifications with a cost greater than or equal to $5,000,000, as directed by the explanatory statement accompanying the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2012.

BEYOND LINE OF SIGHT COMMAND AND CONTROL

In 2011, the Air Force requested additional funds to develop the beyond line of sight command and control architecture in response to a United States Central Command requirement, and the Committee supported this request. A portion of these funds was used to develop Tactical Airborne Communications Pods (TACPods) for use on unmanned aerial vehicles. The Air Force informed the Committee that the TACPods would be used to support the bi-directional movement of full motion video across common data link radios including thousands of ROVER terminals used by ground forces, and that the capability would be fielded within twelve months of receipt of funds. The Committee is concerned that the Air Force has not fielded this capability as planned, but instead has placed TACPods into storage while pursuing a possibly redundant program to provide a similar capability. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force, in coordination with the Secretary of the Navy and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, to provide a briefing to the Committee not later than November 1, 2014 on the existing and planned activities in support of beyond line of sight command and control for intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance systems.

HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING

The Department of Defense High Performance Computing (HPC) modernization program supports solutions to the complex challenges faced by Department acquisition programs. The HPC program has invested considerable resources to develop and deploy engineering software applications to improve outcomes for weapon system acquisitions. The HPC program includes the Computational Research for Engineering Acquisition Tools and Environments (CREATE) initiative, a program with the potential to substantially reduce costs, shorten schedules, increase design and program flexibility, and improve overall acquisition program performance by speeding up systems integration while identifying and correcting design flaws prior to production. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to institutionalize advances made by HPC pilot projects within the acquisition system by establishing through the Air Force Life Cycle Management Center a systems engineering capability for weapon system virtual prototyping-based HPC applications, including CREATE software.

HUMAN PERFORMANCE MONITORING

The Committee recognizes and supports Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL) research in human performance monitoring, which is a key element of human-machine interface technology. Human monitoring is as important as equipment monitoring as the two

must perform in tandem at optimum levels for successful mission completion and personnel safety. The Committee encourages the Director of the AFRL to continue to research nano-bio manufacturing of materials and sensor devices that are capable of detecting biomarkers and other substances correlating to human body conditions such as stress, fatigue, and organ damage.

LIQUID ROCKET ENGINE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

The Committee believes that the United States should rely on domestically manufactured launch vehicles as the foundation for access to space and is concerned about the reliance of some national security space launches on rocket engines produced in Russia. Therefore, the Committee recommendation includes $220,000,000 to begin risk reduction and development of a next-generation liquid rocket engine that is manufactured in the United States, meets the requirements of the national security space community, and is ready for launch not later than fiscal year 2022 using full and open competition. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration as practicable, to submit a report to the congressional defense and intelligence committees not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act that includes a risk reduction and development plan for a next-generation liquid rocket engine program. The report must analyze national security and civil space rocket engine development requirements, examine the costs and benefits of public-private partnerships for development of the engine, and estimate costs for development, procurement, and operations and maintenance for the life of the program.

SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM HIGH

The Committee supports the Air Force decision to review the overhead persistent infrared mission to lower costs, increase resilience, and achieve better mission performance. The Committee understands that the Department of Defense is conducting an Analysis of Alternatives to mitigate obsolescence and ensure resilient options beyond the current program of record. The Committee encourages quick completion of this review to ensure adequate time to start operational demonstrations as appropriate and directs the Secretary of the Air Force to brief the findings of the review to the congressional defense committees immediately upon completion.

AIR FORCE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM

The Committee recommends $10,000,000 above the request for a regionally focused technology transfer innovation pilot program. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering to conduct a pilot program on public-private technology transfer ventures between Department of Defense research and development centers and regionally focused technology incubators, with the goal of increasing the commercialization of intellectual property developed in the Department's research and development enterprise in support of critical cross-service technological needs such as energetics, unmanned systems, and rapid

prototyping. Technology incubator partners should be selected through full and open competition emphasizing strong business plans, demonstrated expertise in mentorship and commercialization, and strong regional partnerships.

GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM SPACE MODERNIZATION INITIATIVE

The budget request includes $32,900,000 for the Global Positioning System (GPS) III Space Modernization Initiative (SMI) to address issues related to design, systems, engineering, program management, obsolescence, and efficiencies for GPS satellites. The Committee recommendation includes full funding for the GPS III SMI but directs the Secretary of the Air Force to allocate $20,000,000 to study technological maturation, including the use of an alternative digital GPS payload, and risk reduction consistent with the GPS enterprise analysis of alternatives.

GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM USER EQUIPMENT

The Military GPS User Equipment (MGUE) program provides M-code GPS receivers with improved capability to counter emerging threats and interference with positioning, navigation, and timing capabilities. The Department of Defense will field MGUE receivers across a broad range of Army, Air Force, Navy, and Marine Corps platforms. The Committee understands that the Department is accelerating the implementation of M-code and supports those efforts. The Committee recommends $156,659,000, which fully funds the fiscal year 2015 request for MGUE, including technology development, platform integration, and system engineering and integration activities. The Committee supports the Air Force strategy of implementing a proactive, collaborative MGUE platform integration activity to mitigate risk, and encourages the Service Secretaries to procure MGUE receivers in fiscal year 2016.

EJECTION SEAT SAFETY/SUSTAINABILITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

The Committee is concerned by Air Force data that indicate safety concerns with legacy ejection seat technology for aircrews using helmet mounted displays. The Committee recommendation includes $6,000,000 for improvements or replacement of legacy aircraft ejection seats that address documented safety concerns and requirements. In implementing this program, the Secretary of the Air Force should consider all technology used or approved in current Department of Defense programs to ensure that these ejection seat improvements or replacements are achieved through a full and open acquisition process.

COST SHARING OF FORWARD-DEPLOYED NUCLEAR WEAPONS

The United States currently provides the vast majority of the funding necessary to sustain forward-deployed nuclear weapons in Europe. In light of the growing costs of this mission, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees outlining the proportional contributions of NATO members to the cost of sustaining forward-deployed nuclear weapons. This report should include the effects of NATO proportional cost-sharing on the Department's five year costs of main-

taining forward-deployed nuclear weapons and shall be submitted not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$17,086,412,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	16,766,084,000
Committee recommendation	17,077,900,000
Change from budget request	+311,816,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $17,077,900,000 for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE-WIDE			
	BASIC RESEARCH			
1	DTRA UNIVERSITY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP BASIC RESEARCH....	37,778	37,778	---
2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES.....	312,146	312,146	---
3	BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES.....	44,564	34,564	-10,000
4	BASIC OPERATIONAL MEDICAL RESEARCH SCIENCE.....	49,848	49,848	---
5	NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM.....	45,488	55,488	+10,000
6	HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES & UNIV (HBCU).....	24,412	34,412	+10,000
7	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM.....	48,261	48,261	---
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH.....	562,497	572,497	+10,000
	APPLIED RESEARCH			
8	JOINT MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY.....	20,065	20,065	---
9	BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY.....	112,242	114,790	+2,548
11	LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM.....	51,875	47,875	-4,000
12	APPLIED RESEARCH FOR ADVANCEMENT S&T PRIORITIES.....	41,965	41,965	---
13	INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY.....	334,407	334,407	---
15	BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE.....	44,825	44,825	---
16	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM.....	226,317	226,317	---
18	CYBER SECURITY RESEARCH.....	15,000	15,000	---
20	TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY.....	305,484	305,484	---
21	MATERIALS AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY.....	160,389	160,389	---
22	ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY.....	179,203	179,203	---
23	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT TECHNOLOGIES.....	151,737	151,737	---
24	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE.....	9,156	9,156	---
25	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	39,750	34,750	-5,000
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH.....	1,692,415	1,685,963	-6,452

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
26	JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECH INSENSITIVE MUNITIONS AD..	26,688	26,688	---
27	SO/LIC ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT.....	8,682	8,682	---
28	COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT.....	69,675	79,675	+10,000
29	FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING.....	30,000	24,000	-6,000
30	COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES--PROLIF PREV & DEFEAT..	283,694	291,694	+8,000
32	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT.....	8,470	8,470	---
33	DISCRIMINATION SENSOR TECHNOLOGY.....	45,110	43,110	-2,000
34	WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY.....	14,068	34,068	+20,000
35	ADVANCED C4ISR.....	15,329	13,284	-2,045
36	ADVANCED RESEARCH.....	16,584	16,584	---
37	JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	19,335	19,335	---
38	AGILE TRANSPO FOR THE 21ST CENTURY (AT21) - THEATER CA..	2,544	2,544	---
39	SPECIAL PROGRAM--MDA TECHNOLOGY.....	51,033	40,433	-10,600
40	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS.....	129,723	129,723	---
41	SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY.....	179,883	179,883	---
42	ANALYTIC ASSESSMENTS.....	12,000	12,000	---
43	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE ANALYSIS AND CONCEPTS.....	60,000	50,000	-10,000
44	COMMON KILL VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY.....	25,639	22,639	-3,000
45	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM - ADVANCED DEV..	132,674	132,674	---
46	JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	10,965	10,965	---
47	JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS.....	131,960	121,960	-10,000
52	DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROG..	91,095	91,095	---
53	EMERGING CAPABILITIES TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	33,706	33,706	---
54	GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS.....	16,836	16,836	---
55	DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY.....	29,683	29,683	---
56	STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM.....	57,796	57,796	---
57	MICROELECTRONIC TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT.....	72,144	82,700	+10,556
58	JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM.....	7,405	5,405	-2,000
59	ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES.....	92,246	92,246	---
60	COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS.....	243,265	243,265	---
60XX	DEFENSE RAPID INNOVATION PROGRAM.....	---	250,000	+250,000
62	NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY.....	386,926	386,926	---
63	SENSOR TECHNOLOGY.....	312,821	312,821	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
64	DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT....	10,692	10,692	---
65	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE.....	15,776	15,776	---
66	QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS.....	69,319	64,319	-5,000
68	MODELING AND SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE.....	3,000	3,000	---
71	TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY.....	81,148	81,148	---
72	OPERATIONAL ENERGY CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT.....	31,800	31,800	---
73	CWMD SYSTEMS.....	46,066	46,066	---
74	SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	57,622	49,622	-8,000
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	2,933,402	3,173,313	+239,911
	DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION			
77	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT....	41,072	41,072	---
79	WALKOFF.....	90,558	90,558	---
80	ADVANCE SENSOR APPLICATIONS PROGRAM.....	15,518	15,518	---
81	ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM..	51,462	51,462	---
82	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT.....	299,598	292,798	-6,800
83	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT.....	1,003,768	1,047,168	+43,400
84	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM.....	179,236	179,236	---
85	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS.....	392,893	392,893	---
86	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ENABLING PROGRAMS.....	410,863	398,249	-12,614
87	SPECIAL PROGRAMS - MDA.....	310,261	295,261	-15,000
88	AEGIS BMD.....	929,208	880,708	-48,500
89	SPACE SURVEILLANCE & TRACKING SYSTEM.....	31,346	31,346	---
90	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM SPACE PROGRAMS.....	6,389	6,389	---
91	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE C2BMC.....	443,484	431,484	-12,000
92	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARFIGHTER SUPPORT.....	46,387	46,387	---
93	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE INTERGRATION AND OPERATIONS CENTER (MDIOC).....	58,530	58,530	---
94	REGARDING TRENCH.....	16,199	16,199	---
95	SEA BASED X-BAND RADAR (SBX).....	64,409	64,409	---
96	ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS.....	96,803	268,842	+172,039
97	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST.....	386,482	350,582	-35,900
98	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TARGETS.....	485,294	446,794	-38,500
99	HUMANITARIAN DEMINING.....	10,194	10,194	---
100	COALITION WARFARE.....	10,139	10,139	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
101	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM	2,907	2,907	---
102	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES	190,000	170,000	-20,000
103	DOD UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM (UAS) COMMON DEVELOPMENT	3,702	3,702	---
104	WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE	53,000	53,000	---
107	JOINT SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	7,002	7,002	---
108	JOINT FIRES INTEGRATION & INTEROPERABILITY TEAM	7,102	7,102	---
109	LAND-BASED SM-3 (LBSM3)	123,444	123,444	---
110	AEGIS SM-3 BLOCK IIA CO-DEVELOPMENT	263,695	263,695	---
113	SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION	12,500	12,500	---
114	JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM	2,656	2,656	---
115	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	961	961	---
116	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	7,936	7,936	---
117	PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT	70,762	90,762	+20,000
	TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION	6,125,760	6,171,885	+46,125
	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
118	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	345,883	345,883	---
119	ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS-JPO)	25,459	25,459	---
120	JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTIDS)	17,562	17,562	---
121	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT CAPABILITIES	6,887	6,887	---
122	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	12,530	12,530	---
123	HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE	286	286	---
124	DEFENSE EXPORTABILITY PROGRAM	3,244	3,244	---
125	OUSD(C) IT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES	6,500	6,500	---
126	DOD ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION	15,326	15,326	---
127	DCMO POLICY AND INTEGRATION	19,351	19,351	---
128	DEFENSE AGENCY INITIATIVES FINANCIAL SYSTEM	41,465	41,465	---
129	DEFENSE RETIRED AND ANNUITANT PAY SYSTEM (DRAS)	10,135	10,135	---
130	DEFENSE-WIDE ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT CAPABILITY	9,546	9,546	---
131	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	14,241	14,241	---
132	DOD ENTERPRISE ENERGY INFORMATION MANAGEMENT (EEIM)	3,660	3,660	---
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	532,075	532,075	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
133	DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM (DRRS)	5,616	5,616	---
134	JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT	3,092	3,092	---
135	CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT	254,503	159,003	-95,500
136	ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS	21,661	21,661	---
138	JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY (JMETC)	27,162	27,162	---
139	TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS	24,501	24,501	---
142	JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION	43,176	43,176	---
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM USD(P)	---	100,000	+100,000
145	SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	44,246	44,746	+500
146	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT	2,665	2,665	---
147	NUCLEAR MATTERS - PHYSICAL SECURITY	4,366	4,366	---
148	SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION	27,901	27,901	---
149	GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE)	2,855	2,855	---
150	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	105,944	105,944	---
156	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH	400	400	---
159	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH/TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER	1,634	1,634	---
160	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS	12,105	12,105	---
161	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC)	50,389	50,389	---
162	R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING & EVALUATION	8,452	8,452	---
163	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION	15,187	19,187	+4,000
164	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT)	71,362	71,362	---
165	BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS	4,100	4,100	---
166	OPERATIONS SECURITY (OPSEC)	1,956	1,956	---
167	JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT	10,321	10,321	---
170	SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO) CAPABILITIES	11,552	11,552	---
172	CYBER INTELLIGENCE	6,748	6,748	---
174	COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANSFORMATION	44,005	39,005	-5,000
175	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS - MDA	36,998	---	-36,998
176	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS - WHS	612	612	---
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	44,367	44,367	---
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	887,876	854,878	-32,998

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
178	ENTERPRISE SECURITY SYSTEM (ESS)	3,988	3,988	---
179	REGIONAL INTERNATIONAL OUTREACH & PARTNERSHIP FOR PEAC	1,750	1,750	---
180	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE SHARED INFORMATION SY	286	286	---
181	INDUSTRIAL BASE ANALYSIS AND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT	14,778	14,778	---
182	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	2,953	2,953	---
183	GLOBAL THEATER SECURITY COOPERATION MANAGEMENT	10,350	10,350	---
184	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS D	28,496	28,496	---
185	JOINT INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY	11,968	11,968	---
186	PLANNING AND DECISION AID SYSTEM	1,842	1,842	---
187	C4I INTEROPERABILITY	63,558	63,558	---
189	JOINT/ALLIED COALITION INFORMATION SHARING	3,931	3,931	---
193	NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYSTEM-WIDE SUPPORT	924	924	---
194	DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING & INTEGRATION	9,657	9,657	---
195	LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS (DCS)	25,355	25,355	---
196	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK	12,671	12,671	---
197	PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE (PKI)	222	222	---
198	KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE (KMI)	32,698	32,698	---
199	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	11,304	11,304	---
200	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	125,854	145,854	+20,000
202	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	33,793	33,793	---
203	JOINT SPECTRUM CENTER	13,423	13,423	---
204	NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES)	3,774	3,774	---
205	JOINT MILITARY DECEPTION INITIATIVE	951	951	---
206	TELEPORT PROGRAM	2,697	2,697	---
208	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES	19,294	15,794	-3,500
212	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	3,234	3,234	---
213	CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION (CIP)	8,846	8,846	---
217	POLICY R&D PROGRAMS	7,065	7,065	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
218	NET CENTRICITY	23,984	23,984	---
221	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	5,286	5,286	---
224	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	3,400	3,400	---
229	INSIDER THREAT	8,670	8,670	---
230	HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM	2,110	2,110	---
239	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	22,366	22,366	---
240	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	1,574	1,574	---
241	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (JCS)	4,409	4,409	---
242	MQ-9 UAV	9,702	1,314	-8,388
243	RQ-11 UAV	259	---	-259
245	SPECIAL OPERATIONS AVIATION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEV	164,233	154,821	-9,412
247	SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	9,490	9,490	---
248	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	75,253	70,089	-5,164
252	WARRIOR SYSTEMS	24,661	20,573	-4,088
253	SPECIAL PROGRAMS	20,908	20,908	---
259	SOF TACTICAL VEHICLES	3,672	3,672	---
262	SOF MARITIME SYSTEMS	57,905	55,046	-2,859
264	SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES	3,788	3,788	---
265	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	16,225	15,225	-1,000
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	913,557	898,887	-14,670
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	3,118,502	3,257,402	+138,900
	DARPA UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	---	-69,000	-69,000
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DEF-WIDE	16,766,084	17,077,900	+311,816

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3	BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES	44,564	34,564	-10,000
	Program decrease		-10,000	
5	NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM	45,488	55,488	10,000
	Program increase		10,000	
6	HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES & UNIVERSITIES (HBCU)	24,412	34,412	10,000
	Program increase		10,000	
9	BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	112,242	114,790	2,548
	Program increase		2,548	
11	LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM	51,875	47,875	-4,000
	Program decrease		-4,000	
25	SOF TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	39,750	34,750	-5,000
	SOF technology development - excess growth		-5,000	
28	COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	69,675	79,675	10,000
	Program increase		10,000	
29	FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING	30,000	24,000	-6,000
	Program decrease		-6,000	
30	COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES--PROLIFERATION PREVENTION & DEFEAT	283,694	291,694	8,000
	Program increase		8,000	
33	DISCRIMINATION SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	45,110	43,110	-2,000
	Unjustified growth		-2,000	
34	WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	14,068	34,068	20,000
	Program increase - interceptor technology		20,000	
35	ADVANCED C4ISR	15,329	13,284	-2,045
	Unjustified growth		-2,045	
39	SPECIAL PROGRAM - MDA TECHNOLOGY	51,033	40,433	-10,600
	Unjustified growth		-10,600	
43	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE ANALYSIS AND CONCEPTS	60,000	50,000	-10,000
	Program decrease		-10,000	
44	COMMON KILL VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY	25,639	22,639	-3,000
	Program operations - unjustified request		-3,000	
47	JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	131,960	121,960	-10,000
	Program decrease		-10,000	
57	MICROELECTRONIC TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	72,144	82,700	10,556
	Program increase		10,556	
58	JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM	7,405	5,405	-2,000
	Program decrease		-2,000	

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
60XX	DEFENSE RAPID INNOVATION FUND	0	250,000	250,000
	Program increase		250,000	
66	QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS	69,319	64,319	-5,000
	Program decrease		-5,000	
74	SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	57,622	49,622	-8,000
	Engineering analysis - unjustified growth		-8,000	
82	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT	299,598	292,798	-6,800
	THAAD development program support - unjustified growth		-6,800	
83	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT	1,003,768	1,047,168	43,400
	Program management - unjustified growth		-6,600	
	Program increase - CE-II upgrades		43,000	
	Program increase - stockpile reliability program		4,000	
	Program increase - command launch equipment and fire control upgrades		3,000	
86	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ENABLING PROGRAMS	410,863	398,249	-12,614
	System engineering and integration - unjustified growth		-3,914	
	Enabling test - transfer not properly accounted		-3,700	
	Intelligence and security - unjustified growth		-3,100	
	BMD information management systems - unjustified growth		-1,900	
87	SPECIAL PROGRAMS - MDA	310,261	295,261	-15,000
	Unjustified growth		-15,000	
88	AEGIS BMD	929,208	880,708	-48,500
	Aegis BMD 5.1 development - cost growth		-37,000	
	Aegis testing restructure - excess to requirement		-7,800	
	System engineering and integration - unjustified growth		-3,700	
91	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE C2BMC	443,484	431,484	-12,000
	Spiral 8.2-3 - unjustified growth without baseline		-12,000	
96	ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS	96,803	268,842	172,039
	Israeli Upper tier		20,339	
	Israeli Arrow program		45,500	
	Short range ballistic missile defense		106,200	
97	BMD Tests	386,482	350,582	-35,900
	Flight test delays		-35,900	
98	BMD Targets	485,294	446,794	-38,500
	MRBM Type 3 test hardware - lack of justification and schedule delays		-28,500	
	MRBM Type 1/2 test hardware - early to need		-10,000	
102	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES	190,000	170,000	-20,000
	Program decrease		-20,000	

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
117	PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT	70,762	90,762	20,000
	Additional test for AHW		20,000	
135	CENTRAL TEST & EVAL INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT	254,503	159,003	-95,500
	Program decrease		-15,000	
	Electronic warfare test capability - transfer to OTE line 3		-80,500	
143	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM USD(P)	0	100,000	100,000
	Classified adjustment		100,000	
145	SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	44,246	44,746	500
	Program increase		500	
163	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION	15,187	19,187	4,000
	Program increase		4,000	
174	COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANSFORMATION	44,005	39,005	-5,000
	Program decrease		-5,000	
175	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS - MDA	36,998	0	-36,998
	Transfer to OM,DW		-36,998	
200	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	125,854	145,854	20,000
	Program increase		20,000	
208	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES	19,294	15,794	-3,500
	Unjustified growth		-3,500	
242	MQ-9 UAV	9,702	1,314	-8,388
	Underexecution		-8,388	
243	RQ-11 UAV	259	0	-259
	Unjustified new start		-259	
245	SPECIAL OPERATIONS AVIATION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	164,233	154,821	-9,412
	Commando Solo - new start		-2,912	
	Mission Training and Prep Systems - unjustified growth		-2,500	
	C-130 TF radar - underexecution		-4,000	
248	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	75,253	70,089	-5,164
	Classified adjustment		-5,164	
252	WARRIOR SYSTEMS	24,661	20,573	-4,088
	Long Range MISO - excess growth		-4,088	
262	SOF MARITIME SYSTEMS	57,905	55,046	-2,859
	Next Generation Surface System - excess growth		-2,859	
265	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	16,225	15,225	-1,000
	Classified adjustment		-1,000	
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	3,118,502	3,257,402	138,900
	Classified adjustment		138,900	
	DARPA UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION		-69,000	
	DARPA undistributed reduction		-69,000	

HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES AND MINORITY INSTITUTIONS

The Committee includes additional funding for the Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions program (HBCU/MI). The HBCU/MI program provides access to scientific and technical information products and services to faculty, staff, and students of Historically Black Colleges and Universities, American Indian Tribally Controlled Colleges and Universities, Native American-Serving Nontribal Institutions, and other minority serving institutions.

ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES

The Strategic Capabilities Office (SCO) was created to identify, analyze, and accelerate capabilities to counter strategic adversaries and improve the posture for engaging future threats. As part of its mission, the SCO examines alternative strategies, explores multi-domain solutions, builds partnerships across national security divides, analyzes cost effectiveness, risk and performance, develops prototypes to accelerate capabilities, and increases the operational options available to senior leadership. The Committee is aware that one of the capabilities the SCO is exploring is the early fielding of a smaller tactical electromagnetic railgun system for both land-based and ship-based railgun systems, and encourages this advancement.

Additionally, a reduction to the Advanced Innovative Technologies demonstration and validation program element should be taken from programs other than ship-based guns and land-based guns for base defense.

DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY

Within its Biomedical Technology line, the Director of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) is encouraged to make resources available for the development of restorative products and technologies which may serve as an alternative to amputation.

Additionally, the Committee supports the leadership initiatives at DARPA to better manage the execution of funds. DARPA's efficiency, financial execution, and ability to obligate funds have greatly improved. Therefore, as DARPA's efficiencies continue to improve, a non-prejudicial reduction of $69,000,000 will be determined, by program, at the discretion of the Director of DARPA.

LASER-DRIVEN X-RAY TECHNOLOGY

The Committee continues to place a high priority on research to address the threats of nuclear proliferation and nuclear terrorism. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to maintain the fiscal year 2014 funding level in fiscal year 2015 to conduct research to speed development of laser-driven x-ray technologies that will bring this technology closer to the ultimate goal of a field demonstration of stand-off detection of shielded nuclear materials and other weapons of mass destruction.

MODELING AND SIMULATION

The Committee recognizes the important contributions of the modeling and simulation industry in many sectors of the American economy, and in particular its applications in training warfighters. Modeling and simulation is effective in providing platforms to prepare warfighters and small unit leaders for rapid decision making in asymmetric and irregular combat. The Committee recognizes that modeling and simulation will continue to serve as a valuable and cost effective training method for every warfare specialty and maintenance area. The Committee believes that the Department of Defense should further harness the entrepreneurial and innovative spirit of industry, academia, and the government to facilitate the progress in state-of-the-art training by making greater use of modeling and simulation. The Committee encourages persistent and augmented use of modeling and simulation technology in scenario-based training, aggressive simulation technology research and development efforts, and active endeavors to substitute simulation for more expensive forms of training.

CYBERSECURITY

The Committee recognizes the importance of sustaining a robust cybersecurity research program within the Department of Defense, particularly as it relates to the interdisciplinary nature of cyber systems and the role of human behavior. The interdisciplinary cybersecurity research model can contribute to the development of novel approaches for risk assessment, which incorporate components of risk beyond computer science such as understanding risk-related fundamental properties of dynamic cyber threats; developing recognition capabilities for new cyber threats; and increasing resilience against attacks. Accordingly, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to leverage information assurance and cyber defense research done through defense agencies, including the National Security Agency, as the Department plans and conducts interdisciplinary research to identify and close cybersecurity gaps.

HUMAN AND ROBOT COLLABORATION

The Committee recognizes the need to enhance manufacturing by flexibly assigning work to the human or machine most capable of performing a given task, and thereby reducing the need to invest in fixed heavy manufacturing equipment. Accordingly, the Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to increase resources available for the defense-wide manufacturing science and technology program to support formation of industry-university partnerships on a competitive basis to develop technologies and processes that utilize human and robot collaboration for large scale manufacturing.

MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY

The Committee is pleased that in both the fiscal year 2014 and 2015 budget requests the Missile Defense Agency (MDA) has refocused its efforts from far-term, conceptual programs to near-term programs that are necessary to defend the United States and its

allies from missile threats. The Committee believes that the discrimination of threats is paramount to improving the threat assessment and reliability of the ballistic missile defense system and supports programs designed to improve the discrimination capability of the ballistic missile defense system.

The Committee supports the budget request for the development of the Long Range Discrimination Radar (LRDR); however, the Committee is concerned by the lack of details provided in the budget request submission. Therefore, the Committee directs the Director of the MDA to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act detailing the strategy for developing and procuring the LRDR. The report shall include the program's objectives and key parameters, a detailed schedule through full operational capability, and a cost estimate by fiscal year.

The Committee also supports the budget request of $99,500,000 for the re-designed exo-atmospheric kill vehicle (EKV) for the ground-based interceptor as part of the ground-based midcourse defense program. However, the budget request submission lacks details necessary for continued oversight of the program. Therefore, the Committee directs the Director of the MDA to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act detailing the strategy for developing, procuring, and fielding the re-designed EKV. The report shall include the program's objectives and key parameters, a detailed schedule, and a cost estimate by fiscal year. The report shall also include any necessary legislative provisions that the Director of the MDA may require to fully implement the acquisition strategy for the EKV.

MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY—IRON DOME

The Committee recommends $350,972,000 for the Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system, an increase of $175,000,000 above the budget request.

Since fiscal year 2011, the Committee has supported the government of Israel by providing more than $720,000,000 for the Iron Dome system. With the fiscal year 2015 recommendation, that total will increase to more than $1,070,000,000.

The Committee understands that there is a signed agreement between the Israeli and United States governments concerning the procurement of the Iron Dome system and the necessity for producing various components of the system in the United States. The Committee is also aware that the Missile Defense Agency (MDA) and the Israeli Missile Defense Organization (IMDO) formerly agreed to the United States providing $680 million between fiscal years 2012 and 2015 for the Iron Dome program. The Committee is concerned that the agreement does not cover the full amount that is recommended for fiscal year 2015. Given the significant American investment in this system, the Committee believes that co-production of parts and components should be accomplished in a way that will maximize American industry participation in interceptor and battery deliveries for Israel's defense needs.

Therefore, the Committee directs that the Director of the MDA may not obligate or expend $175,000,000 of the amount rec-

ommended in fiscal year 2015 until the Government of Israel submits a sufficiently detailed cost and schedule justification to the Director of the MDA and the Director approves it. The detailed cost and schedule justification must include a detailed timeline for obligation and expenditure of program funds received above the budget request for each fiscal year for which funds were appropriated; copies of signed and ratified contracts, sub-contracts, and teaming arrangements between Israeli and American industry for all Iron Dome co-production efforts; delivery to MDA of all technical data packages as accepted by American industry suppliers for co-production; and a common cost model of Iron Dome components, to be jointly developed and agreed upon by MDA and IMDO that includes recurring and non-recurring engineering costs, estimates for future buys, and actual costs beginning with fiscal year 2013, the required quantities for all components through fiscal year 2019, and component lead-times and delivery schedules.

Additionally, the Committee expects that the Director of the MDA will ensure that Iron Dome operational data has been provided per previous commitments; that this additional funding be applied to the work share percentage for fiscal year 2015 funding between American and Israeli industry as proscribed under the recently signed Iron Dome procurement agreement; and, that the additional funds are required to meet Israeli defense needs. Any funds found to be in excess of Israel's justified and documented needs during fiscal year 2015 may be transferred to appropriations available for the procurement of weapons and equipment according to priority needs.

The Committee also believes that if there is a request for Iron Dome funding for fiscal year 2016, the Director of the MDA must establish for the Committee how those funds will resolve details and agreements needed for American-based co-production of all-up rounds and cover the export of Iron Dome technology to American and Israeli allies, including co-production of parts, components, and all-up rounds of those exports.

The Committee directs the Director of the MDA, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than October 1, 2014, on the information provided in the required detailed cost and schedule justification, including the views of the Director and the Under Secretary on its sufficiency.

MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY—COOPERATION WITH UNIVERSITIES

The Committee commends the Director of the Missile Defense Agency (MDA) for working with universities to best leverage innovative breakthrough research and technologies for next generation ballistic missile defense capabilities. The Committee encourages the Director of the MDA to continue cooperating with universities and to establish a university affiliated research center. Such a center would consolidate core research and development capabilities in areas such as advanced interceptor propulsion systems and high fidelity modeling and simulation and would potentially enhance the ability of the MDA to improve the ground-based midcourse defense

program, augment command and control systems, and increase overall mission assurance.

DISABLING AND NEUTRALIZING WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION

The budget request includes $283,694,000 for Counterproliferation Initiatives—Proliferation Prevention and Defeat. The Committee recommendation provides $291,694,000, an increase of $8,000,000 above the budget request, to support the demonstration of technology solutions applicable to the Army and related Department of Defense organizations in addressing operations in subterranean environments. The Committee notes that the increased program funding will support a dedicated effort to mature prototypes and demonstrate capabilities in support of the Army to disable and neutralize weapons of mass destruction.

ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING

The Committee is aware that additive manufacturing techniques and capabilities have the potential to lower the cost of maintaining aging weapon platforms. Currently, the Department of Defense uses additive manufacturing for design iteration, prototyping, tooling and fixtures, and for some noncritical parts. However, the Department eventually wants to use additive manufacturing to build aerospace parts. The Committee believes that the Department of Defense can utilize additive manufacturing improvements to save money in upfront manufacturing costs, improve fleet readiness by creating on-demand alternatives to the current parts supply chain, reduce parts certification and transition costs, and reduce costs with improved weapon systems parts. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to research creative applications for additive manufacturing technology.

SYSTEMS ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER

The budget request includes $44,246,000 for Systems Engineering. The Committee recommendation provides $44,746,000, an increase of $500,000 above the budget request to support the Systems Engineering Research Center, a systems-oriented study to assess the current state of the Army's lethality capability and to provide actionable recommendations to transform it at the enterprise level. These recommendations should address multiple dimensions, including technologies, development and acquisition processes, personnel requirements, doctrine, structure and governance, and infrastructure. The intent of these recommendations should have the cumulative intent to transform the Army's lethality capability.

CONVENTIONAL PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE

The Committee remains interested in the Department's development efforts for a conventional prompt global strike capability. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act, on the Department's ability, or likelihood thereof, to field a conventional prompt global strike capability by fiscal year 2019. The report should incorporate findings from the recently completed conventional prompt global

strike land-based study. The report may include a classified annex if necessary.

OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$246,800,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	167,738,000
Committee recommendation	248,238,000
Change from budget request	+80,500,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $248,238,000 for Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE			
	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
1	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION	74,583	74,583	---
2	LIVE FIRE TESTING	45,142	45,142	---
3	OPERATIONAL TEST ACTIVITIES AND ANALYSES	48,013	128,513	+80,500
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	167,738	248,238	+80,500
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE	167,738	248,238	+80,500

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
OPERATIONAL TEST ACTIVITIES AND ANALYSIS	48,013	128,513	80,500
Electronic Warfare Test Capability—transfer from RDTE,DW line 137	– – –	80,500	– – –

TITLE V

REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS

DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$1,649,214,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	1,234,468,000
Committee recommendation	1,334,468,000
Change from budget request	+100,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $1,334,468,000 for the Defense Working Capital Funds accounts. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following pogram in fiscal year 2015:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY	13,727	13,727	– – –
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE	61,717	61,717	– – –
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE	44,293	44,293	– – –
DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA	1,114,731	1,214,731	100,000
Program increase	– – –	100,000	– – –
TOTAL, DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS	1,234,468	1,334,468	100,000

TITLE VI

OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS

DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$32,699,158,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	31,994,918,000
Committee recommendation	31,634,870,000
Change from budget request	−360,048,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $31,634,870,000 for the Defense Health Program. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM			
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE			
10	IN-HOUSE CARE	8,799,086	8,680,090	-118,996
20	PRIVATE SECTOR CARE	15,412,599	14,582,044	-830,555
30	CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT	2,462,096	2,347,972	-114,124
40	INFORMATION MANAGEMENT	1,557,347	1,534,096	-23,251
50	MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	366,223	364,192	-2,031
60	EDUCATION AND TRAINING	750,866	738,475	-12,391
70	BASE OPERATIONS/COMMUNICATIONS	1,683,694	1,833,694	+150,000
	SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	31,031,911	30,080,563	-951,348
	PROCUREMENT			
160	INITIAL OUTFITTING	13,057	13,057	---
170	REPLACEMENT AND MODERNIZATION	283,030	283,030	---
180	THEATER MEDICAL INFORMATION PROGRAM	3,145	3,145	---
190	INTEGRATED ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD (IEHR)	9,181	9,181	---
	SUBTOTAL, PROCUREMENT	308,413	308,413	---
	RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION			
90	RESEARCH	10,317	10,317	---
100	EXPLORATORY DEVELOPMENT	49,015	49,015	---
110	ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	226,410	226,410	---
120	DEMONSTRATION/VALIDATION	97,787	97,787	---
130	ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT	217,898	217,898	---
140	MANAGEMENT AND SUPPORT	38,075	38,075	---
150	CAPABILITIES ENHANCEMENT	15,092	15,092	---
160	UNDISTRIBUTED MEDICAL RESEARCH	---	591,300	+591,300
	SUBTOTAL, RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION	654,594	1,245,894	+591,300
	TOTAL, DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM	31,994,918	31,634,870	-360,048

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
IN-HOUSE CARE	**8,799,086**	**8,680,090**	**-118,996**
NiCOE satellites - growth overstated in justification materials		-704	
FECA expenses - transfer not properly accounted		-377	
Army identified excess		-76,000	
Benefit reform proposal - unauthorized		-30,000	
Benefit reform proposal - unauthorized		-26,715	
SOCOM embedded behavioral health providers - transfer from OM,DW		14,800	
PRIVATE SECTOR CARE	**15,412,599**	**14,582,044**	**-830,555**
Historical underexecution		-750,000	
Pharmaceutical drugs - excess growth		-200,000	
Program adjustment to restore benefit proposals		180,000	
Printing and reproduction - excess growth		-2,555	
Benefit reform proposal - unauthorized		-58,000	
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT	**2,462,096**	**2,347,972**	**-114,124**
Historical underexecution		-100,000	
Program increase - wounded warrior military adapative sports program		5,000	
Travel reduction - not properly accounted		-19,124	
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT	**1,557,347**	**1,534,096**	**-23,251**
Other intra-government purchases - unjustified growth		-22,300	
Travel reduction - not properly accounted		-951	
MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	**366,223**	**364,192**	**-2,031**
Reduction in civilian FTEs - not properly accounted		-770	
Travel reduction - not properly accounted		-1,261	
EDUCATION AND TRAINING	**750,866**	**738,475**	**-12,391**
Travel reduction - not properly accounted		-12,391	
BASE OPERATIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS	**1,683,694**	**1,833,694**	**150,000**
Air Force FSRM for medical facilities		50,000	
Army FSRM for medical facilities		50,000	
Navy FSRM for medical facilities		50,000	
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	**31,031,911**	**30,080,563**	**-951,348**
PROCUREMENT	**308,413**	**308,413**	**0**
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT			
Peer-reviewed alcohol and substance abuse disorders research		4,000	
Peer-reviewed ALS research		7,500	
Peer-reviewed alzheimer research		12,000	
Peer-reviewed autism research		6,000	
Peer-reviewed bone marrow failure disease research		3,200	
Peer-reviewed breast cancer research		120,000	
Peer-reviewed cancer research		15,000	
Peer-reviewed Duchenne muscular dystrophy research		3,200	
Peer-reviewed gulf war illness research		20,000	
Peer-reviewed lung cancer research		10,500	

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
Peer-reviewed multiple sclerosis research		5,000	
Peer-reviewed orthopedic research		30,000	
Peer-reviewed ovarian cancer research		20,000	
Peer-reviewed prostate cancer research		80,000	
Peer-reviewed spinal cord research		30,000	
Peer-reviewed reconstructive transplant research		15,000	
Peer-reviewed traumatic brain injury and psychological health research		125,000	
Peer-reviewed tuberous sclerosis complex research		6,000	
Peer-reviewed vision research		10,000	
Global HIV/AIDS prevention		8,000	
HIV/AIDs program increase		12,900	
Joint warfighter medical research		45,000	
Therapeutic service dog training program		3,000	
TOTAL, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	**654,594**	**1,245,894**	**591,300**

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR THE DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

The Committee remains concerned regarding the transfer of funds from the In-House Care budget sub-activity to pay for contractor-provided medical care. To limit such transfers and improve oversight within the Defense Health Program operation and maintenance account, the Committee includes a provision which caps the funds available for Private Sector Care under the TRICARE program subject to prior approval reprogramming procedures. The provision and accompanying report language included by the Committee should not be interpreted as limiting the amount of funds that may be transferred to the In-House Care budget sub-activity from other budget sub-activities within the Defense Health Program. In addition, the Committee continues to designate the funding for the In-House Care budget sub-activity as a congressional special interest item. Any transfer of funds from the In-House Care budget sub-activity into the Private Sector Care budget sub-activity or any other budget sub-activity will require the Secretary of Defense to follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for operation and maintenance funds.

The Committee also remains concerned with continual reports that substantial amounts of funding are transferred from the Private Sector Care budget sub-activity without the submission of written notification as required by prior year Department of Defense Appropriations Acts. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide written notification to the congressional defense committees of cumulative transfers in excess of $10,000,000 out of the Private Sector Care budget sub-activity not later than fifteen days after such a transfer. Furthermore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after the enactment of this Act that delineates transfers of funds in excess of $10,000,000, and the dates any transfers occurred, from the Private Sector Care budget sub-activity to any other budget sub-activity groups for fiscal years 2012, 2013, and 2014.

The Committee further directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees on budget execution data for all of the Defense Health Program budget activities and to adequately reflect changes to the budget activities requested by the Services in future budget submissions.

CARRYOVER AUTHORITY

For fiscal year 2015, the Committee recommends one percent carryover authority for the operation and maintenance account of the Defense Health Program. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to submit a detailed spending plan for any fiscal year 2014 designated carryover funds to the congressional defense committees not less than 30 days prior to executing the carryover funds.

ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD

The Committee has grown increasingly alarmed about the inability of the Departments of Defense and Veterans Affairs to develop

and procure interoperable electronic health records. Despite the mandate for full interoperability included in the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2008 and significant funding investments, the two Departments still do not have electronic health record systems that are able to meaningfully exchange patient data.

The Committee is deeply concerned with the lack of progress by the two Departments to achieve full interoperability and believes that there must be more cooperation throughout the two Departments to find, develop, and implement the best solution that will allow interoperability in a timely manner. The Committee understands that the two Departments have begun implementation of a temporary system that allows some administrators and clinicians to view and manipulate patient data; however, the number of providers that has access to this system is extremely limited at this point. Additionally, while this system is designed to improve interoperability in the near term, the Committee remains concerned about interoperability in the future, when each Department will have a different records system than what is currently in use.

The Committee includes a provision that restricts the amount of funding that may be obligated for the Interagency Program Office (IPO), the Defense Healthcare Management Systems Modernization (DHMSM) program, and the Defense Information Management Exchange (DMIX) to 25 percent of the funding provided until the Secretary of Defense provides the House and Senate Appropriations Committees an expenditure plan that includes numerous reporting requirements.

Furthermore, the Committee directs the IPO to continue to provide quarterly reports on the progress of interoperability between the two Departments to the House and Senate Subcommittees on Appropriations for Defense and the House and Senate Subcommittees on Appropriations for Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies.

The Committee reminds the Program Executive Officer (PEO) of the Defense Healthcare Management Systems (DHMS) to not lose sight of the ultimate goal of interoperability with the enhanced health record system of the Department of Veterans Affairs. The PEO DHMS, in conjunction with the director of the IPO, is directed to provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees on the cost and schedule of the program, to include milestones, knowledge points, and acquisition timelines, as well as quarterly obligation reports. The Committee further directs the PEO DHMS to continue briefing the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees on a quarterly basis, coinciding with the report submission.

JOINT WARFIGHTER MEDICAL RESEARCH PROGRAM

The Committee recommendation includes $45,000,000 for the continuation of the joint warfighter medical research program. The funding shall be used to augment and accelerate high priority Department of Defense and Service medical requirements and to continue prior year initiatives that are close to achieving their objectives and yielding a benefit to military medicine. The funding shall not be used for new projects nor for basic research, and it shall be

awarded at the discretion of the Secretary of Defense following a review of medical research and development gaps as well as unfinanced medical requirements of the Services. Further, the Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees which lists the projects that receive funding. The report should include the funding amount awarded to each project, a thorough description of each project's research, and the benefit the research will provide to the Department of Defense.

PEER-REVIEWED CANCER RESEARCH PROGRAMS

The Committee recommendation includes $120,000,000 for the peer-reviewed breast cancer research program, $80,000,000 for the peer-reviewed prostate cancer research program, $20,000,000 for the peer-reviewed ovarian cancer research program, $10,500,000 for the peer-reviewed lung cancer research program, and $15,000,000 for the peer-reviewed cancer research program that would research cancers not addressed in the aforementioned programs currently executed by the Department of Defense.

The funds provided in the peer-reviewed cancer research program are directed to be used to conduct research in the following areas: colorectal cancer, genetic cancer research, kidney cancer, listeria vaccine for cancer, liver cancer, melanoma and other skin cancers, mesothelioma, pancreatic cancer, stomach cancer, and the link between scleroderma and cancer.

The funds provided under the peer-reviewed cancer research program shall be used only for the purposes listed above. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees on the status of the peer-reviewed cancer research program. For each research area, the report should include the funding amount awarded, the progress of the research, and the relevance of the research to servicemembers and their families.

The Committee continues to support the establishment of a task force to focus on research for metastasized cancer with a focus on clinical and translational research aimed at extending the lives of advanced stage and recurrent patients. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act on the status of establishing such a task force.

PEER-REVIEWED PROSTATE CANCER RESEARCH PROGRAM

The Committee recommends $80,000,000 for the peer-reviewed prostate cancer research program. The Committee is aware that the pace of prostate cancer research may possibly be enhanced through the use of data derived from large patient studies that include long-term health records, bio-specimen repositories, and preexisting research. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to support research that applies state-of-the-art proteomic analysis, bioinformatics, and mathe-

matical models to such data to improve disease classification, risk assessment, education, treatment, and management.

INTELLIGENT PROSTHETICS

The Committee recommends $30,000,000 for the peer-reviewed orthopedics research program. The Committee is aware that many of the injuries sustained by servicemembers in combat include multiple limb trauma and are often distinct from trauma typically seen in the civilian environment, thus requiring a unique solution set. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to support research at the intersection of bioengineering, neuroscience, and rehabilitation to support neural interfaces to peripheral nerves and advanced prosthetics that deliver more functionality to amputees.

PRESCRIPTION DRUG ABUSE

The Committee remains concerned with pain management prescription medication dependency among servicemembers. It is imperative that proper steps are taken to prevent overmedication and that treatment options are available for those facing possible addiction. The Committee recognizes that the Department of Defense currently provides a range of medication therapy management services at military treatment facilities. These services are designed to optimize therapy or the adherence to therapy between providers, pharmacists, and patients. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees detailing the progress of including pharmacists in the care team provided by the Patient Centered Medical Home (PCMH), the success rate of patients in properly adhering to medicine treatment and prescription levels, and if there have been cases in which the inclusion of a pharmacist in the PCMH has contributed to reducing the level of medication taken by patients who may have been overmedicating.

HYPERBARIC OXYGEN THERAPY

Traumatic brain injuries (TBI) and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) are the signature wounds of more than a decade of conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan. Since 2000, the military has accounted for more than 280,000 cases of traumatic brain injury and nearly 120,000 diagnoses of new post-traumatic stress disorder. Patients suffering from these conditions are often prescribed various psychotropic drugs to ease their symptoms. These drugs often have negative side effects and carry the risk of leading to dependency.

The Committee understands that the Department of Defense has studied the use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy as an alternative treatment for servicemembers suffering from TBI and PTSD. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy involves breathing pure oxygen in a pressurized room which allows for the intake of more oxygen. The oxygen enters the bloodstream and stimulates the release of growth factors and stem cells, which, in turn, promote healing. The Committee understands that although private sector research has shown positive effects of using the therapy, the Department of De-

fense has decided not to pursue its use, citing that clinical trials failed to show positive results.

The Committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct a review and provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act on the use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy to treat TBI and PTSD. The report should include a review of the clinical trials completed by the Department of Defense, an assessment of the conclusions reached by the trials, an assessment of whether the trials were appropriately administered, and a review of private sector research on the use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy and whether those conclusions are similar or different from the Department of Defense study.

CHILDHOOD TRAUMA IN MILITARY DEPENDENTS

The Committee is concerned with the rise in outpatient mental health visits among children of active duty and reserve servicemembers. The Committee believes that it is imperative to develop efficient means to identify children at risk of deployment-related mental health issues and to provide tools, education, and guidance to the professionals and families caring for these children. It is also important that the proper steps are taken to prevent predictable mental health problems from manifesting in dependent children. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to make the prevention of childhood trauma in military dependents a priority.

AIR FORCE MILITARY ACUITY MODEL TRAINING PROGRAM

The Committee understands that the Air Force Military Acuity Model has provided benefits such as more efficient and improved access to care. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to consider expanding use of the model throughout the military health system.

TRAUMA CLINICAL RESEARCH REPOSITORY

Traumatic injuries continue to cause nearly all combat deaths, as well as many in the general civilian population. Numerous advances in trauma care have been achieved through the medical research undertaken by the Department of Defense; this life-saving impact of trauma research can be seen on the battlefield and in civilian trauma response. To capitalize on these important research investments, the Committee included $5,000,000 in the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 to create a trauma clinical research repository accessible to both public and private researchers. With the projected decline in military funding as a result of the imminent end of combat operations, there remains an urgency to maintain the momentum on advances in trauma care and bridge the lessons learned from the military to civilian communities. The trauma clinical research repository will help breakthroughs in research lead to significant advances in treatment, particularly for battlefield injuries. Data shared in the trauma repository should be utilized for future research, such as studies to identify biomarkers of trauma-related organ dysfunction or studies on new life-saving pharmaceuticals.

The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) and the Director of the Defense Health Agency to fully implement the trauma clinical research repository and to collaborate with other federal entities to create a task force to identify existing critical research gaps. Future research must focus on these gaps to supplement advances in care achieved over the past decade of combat operations, translate the lessons learned into the civilian community, and maintain the momentum in trauma research.

TELEMEDICINE

The Committee is aware of the advantages of using telemedicine to enhance medical care provided to servicemembers while at home or when deployed around the world.

The use of telemedicine increases access to care, reduces patient and specialist travel, decreases lost work times, and saves money by avoiding referrals to the private sector.

The Committee supports expanding telemedicine projects that can lower the cost of military health care and maintain the skill proficiencies of military medical personnel. The Committee encourages the Service Surgeons General to appropriately fund and expand telemedicine initiatives, particularly for beneficiaries at overseas military treatment facilities.

PRESERVATION OF MUSCLE MASS DURING IMMOBILIZATION PERIODS

The Committee believes that high-level motor performance and endurance is critical for servicemembers in combat. While servicemembers typically have above average skeletal muscle strength and relative resistance to fatigability, during long periods of immobilization, which can occur in theater, while traveling, or when recuperating from injuries, muscle de-training often occurs. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to support research on training that enhances muscle fitness and decelerates the process of muscle de-training.

COOPERATION BETWEEN MILITARY MEDICAL FACILITIES, CIVILIAN HEALTHCARE FACILITIES, AND UNIVERSITIES

The Committee recognizes the importance of cooperation between military medical facilities, universities, and other civilian partners to provide valuable medical trauma training to sustain the education of military medical providers. This training and real-life experience contributes to maintaining the capabilities of the National Guard Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear Explosives Enhanced Response Force Packages, the National Guard Homeland Response Forces, and the Army Reserve Consequence Management Response Forces.

The Committee encourages the Service Surgeons General and the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to continue to pursue trauma training with civilian partners in order to maintain unit medical readiness at optimum levels as military healthcare providers maintain their individual skills to respond effectively to emergency incidents.

CANINE THERAPY RESEARCH

The Committee is aware of the potential benefits of canine therapy for treatment of servicemembers suffering from traumatic brain injuries (TBI) or post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). While still experimental, canine therapy may be a promising alternative to pharmaceutical treatment.

The Committee understands that the Department of Defense is currently studying if and why canines can help servicemembers cope with debilitating injuries and whether this treatment can be substantially utilized in the future. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to continue this research to evaluate the effectiveness of canine therapy in treating servicemembers suffering from TBI and PTSD.

THERMAL INJURY PREVENTION

The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to establish standards to prevent thermal injuries caused by ground combat and tactical vehicles. Survivability enhancement efforts such as fire prevention, fire suppression, and fuel containment should continually be addressed in order to provide the best possible protection for servicemembers.

EFFICIENCY OF PATIENT CARE DELIVERY

The Committee understands the necessity of developing technologies to improve the efficiency of patient care in military treatment facilities. The Committee recognizes that, in the event of a mass casualty, military treatment facilities would experience a significant increase in patient volume. The ability to maximize efficiency and effectiveness of care would be critical to the management of an overwhelming surge in patient volume and intensity. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to research the development of an automated resource management system to improve patient care, both in normal and emergency situations.

MILITARY MENTAL HEALTH PILOT PROGRAM

The Committee is pleased that the Secretary of Defense created a pilot program to improve efforts to treat servicemembers suffering from mental health disorders in the National Guard and reserve components through community partnerships. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to expand this program to include additional community partners through a competitive and merit-based award process.

MULTI-DISCIPLINARY BRAIN RESEARCH

The Committee supports the pursuance of multi-disciplinary research toward translational medicine that may provide better diagnostic tools and treatment outcomes for servicemembers who suffer from traumatic brain injury, post-traumatic stress disorder, and other neurotrauma. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to support researchers, scientists, and health care professionals in this field and to encourage them to share their findings with the Department of Defense. With prop-

er support, translational research in this field could lead to the integration of new treatments in military treatment facilities and could improve care in the near-term.

ACCESS TO MILITARY TREATMENT FACILITIES

The Committee is aware of unobligated balances remaining from funds appropriated in section 8110 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2011. The Committee believes that these unobligated balances should be utilized for the purposes for which originally appropriated. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act on any remaining balances from funds appropriated in section 8110 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2011. The report shall include the amount of remaining balances and an execution plan for these funds that will improve access to the Department's military treatment facilities.

NON-OPIOID PAIN MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT

The Committee remains concerned with pain management prescription medication dependency and addiction among servicemembers. Many servicemembers have endured physical and emotional wounds associated with combat and have relied on prescription opioids, sometimes for years, to manage their pain. While over-prescription of narcotics is a problem that must be addressed, the Committee believes that all patients have the right to effective relief of both acute and chronic pain. Narcotic abuse requires a multi-pronged approach, but the development of non-narcotic pain relief strategies is an essential component. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to establish a peer-reviewed research program to research and develop alternatives to opioid-based pain management.

CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$1,004,123,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	828,868,000
Committee recommendation	828,868,000
Change from budget request	– – –

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $828,868,000 for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	222,728	222,728	– – –
PROCUREMENT	10,227	10,227	– – –
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION	595,913	595,913	– – –
TOTAL, CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE	828,868	828,868	– – –

DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$1,015,885,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	820,687,000
Committee recommendation	944,687,000
Change from budget request	+124,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $944,687,000 for Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
COUNTER-NARCOTICS SUPPORT	719,096	669,631	−49,465
Transfer to National Guard counter-drug program	– – –	−89,465	– – –
Program increase	– – –	40,000	– – –
DRUG DEMAND REDUCTION PROGRAM	101,591	105,591	4,000
Young Marines—drug demand reduction	– – –	4,000	– – –
NATIONAL GUARD COUNTER-DRUG PROGRAM	– – –	169,465	169,465
Transfer from counter-narcotics support	– – –	89,465	– – –
Program increase	– – –	80,000	– – –
TOTAL, DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE	820,687	944,687	124,000

DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES

The Committee remains concerned about the continued decrease in funding for the Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense account. The efforts being funded in this account are instrumental in not just monitoring, detecting, and interdicting drug shipments on their way into the United States, but in providing intelligence support to track laundered drug money that often flows to transnational crime organizations. Drug trafficking remains a major source of funding for terrorist organizations and contributes to violence in parts of the United States and instability in many other countries. The Committee understands the unique capabilities that the Department of Defense has in supporting this mission, and recommends an additional $40,000,000 specifically for counter-narcotics support.

BUDGET JUSTIFICATION MATERIAL

The Committee understands that the funding included in the Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense account is divided into three major categories of funding: counter-narcotics support, the drug demand reduction program, and the National Guard counter-drug program. The Committee recommends dividing the funding into three separate sub-activity groups to provide greater transparency and oversight of the program and its budget execution. In lieu of formal reprogramming guidance and restrictions, the Committee directs the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Counter-narcotics and Global Threats) to provide written notification to the congressional defense committees not less than 15 days before transferring funding in excess of $5,000,000 between

any lines. In addition, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to request funding for each separate sub-activity group under the Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense account in the fiscal year 2016 budget submission and subsequent budget years.

NATIONAL GUARD STATE PLANS

The Committee is disappointed that, for the third year in a row, the budget request underfunds the National Guard counter-drug program. The Committee recognizes the importance of the mission of the National Guard counter-drug program as a support organization to combatant commands and federal, state, and local law enforcement agencies. To help address the lack of funding of this important program, the Committee recommends an additional $80,000,000 for fiscal year 2015.

JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation.	– – –
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	$115,058,000
Committee recommendation	65,464,000
Change from budget request	–49,594,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $65,464,000 for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE			
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY INVESTMENTS	49,594	– – –	–49,594
Carryover	– – –	–10,000	– – –
Excess to need	– – –	–39,594	– – –
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL	38,001	38,001	– – –
MOBILIZATION DESIGNEES	6,683	6,683	– – –
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND COMMUNICATIONS	7,300	7,300	– – –
FACILITIES	12,032	12,032	– – –
TRAVEL	624	624	– – –
OTHER (SUPPLIES)	824	824	– – –
TOTAL, JOINT IED DEFEAT FUND	115,058	65,464	–49,594

JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	– – –
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	$20,000,000
Committee recommendation	– – –
Change from budget request	–20,000,000

The Committee recommends no funding for the Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund.

SUPPORT FOR INTERNATIONAL SPORTING COMPETITIONS

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	– – –
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	$10,000,000
Committee recommendation	10,000,000
Change from budget request	– – –

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $10,000,000 for Support for International Sporting Competitions.

SUPPORT FOR INTERNATIONAL SPORTING COMPETITIONS

The Committee understands that the Support for International Sporting Competitions Fund is one way in which the Department of Defense helps support people with disabilities. The Support for International Sporting Competitions Fund proposed for fiscal year 2015 is a no-year appropriation that provides $10,000,000 for continuing Department of Defense support to national and international sporting events. In addition, the Committee is aware that funds remain available from the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2003. The Committee supports the request for fiscal year 2015 and expects the Secretary of Defense to allocate remaining prior year funds towards this effort. The Committee would entertain a reprogramming of funds if required for proper execution of these funds to fully support these events.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$316,000,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	311,830,000
Committee recommendation	311,830,000
Change from budget request	– – –

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $311,830,000 for the Office of the Inspector General. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	310,830	310,830	– – –
PROCUREMENT	1,000	1,000	– – –
TOTAL, OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL	311,830	311,830	– – –

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

Since 2008, Congress has provided the Department of Defense Inspector General (DODIG) with additional funding to support increased audit, investigative, assessment, and evaluation capabilities, commonly referred to as the DODIG Growth Plan. The National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2013 directed the DODIG to provide an updated requirements plan to establish future staffing objectives based on oversight needs and current budgetary realities. The Committee understands that the Inspector General is currently reviewing future DODIG funding requirements to support its ability to conduct robust oversight and reduce waste, fraud, and abuse in the Department. The Committee believes that the DODIG must have a robust and stable source of

funding to operate effectively and efficiently, and it was pleased to see that the fiscal year 2015 budget supports stable funding for the DODIG compared to previous fiscal years. The Committee remains supportive of the revised DODIG funding requirement, which balances both current fiscal realities and its oversight needs, and it directs the Secretary of Defense to request full funding for the DODIG in the fiscal year 2016 budget request and the future years defense plan.

TITLE VII

RELATED AGENCIES

NATIONAL AND MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS

The National Intelligence Program and the Military Intelligence Program budgets funded in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act consist primarily of resources for the Director of National Intelligence including the Intelligence Community Management staff, the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), the Defense Intelligence Agency, the National Reconnaissance Office, the National Security Agency, the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, the intelligence services of the Departments of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and the CIA Retirement and Disability fund.

CLASSIFIED ANNEX

The Committee's budget review of classified programs is published in a separate, detailed, and comprehensive classified annex. The intelligence community, Department of Defense, and other organizations are expected to fully comply with the recommendations and directions in the classified annex accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2015.

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY SYSTEM FUND

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$514,000,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	514,000,000
Committee recommendation	514,000,000
Change from budget request	– – –

This appropriation provides payments of benefits to qualified beneficiaries in accordance with the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement Act of 1964 for Certain Employees (P.L. 88–643), as amended by Public Law 94–522. This statute authorized the establishment of the CIA Retirement and Disability System for certain employees and authorized the establishment and maintenance of a fund from which benefits would be paid to those beneficiaries.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $514,000,000 for the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund. This is a mandatory account.

INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	$528,229,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	510,194,000
Committee recommendation	501,194,000
Change from budget request	–9,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $501,194,000 for the Intelligence Community Management Account.

The Committee understands that there is confusion within the Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI) as to whether section 8105 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 impacts or otherwise hinders the ability of the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) to take actions necessary to improve its budget processes by implementing capability-based budget formulation and execution. As the Committee has previously indicated to the ODNI, nothing in this section is intended to inhibit or otherwise preclude the CIA from continuing its ongoing efforts to implement an activity/capability-based budget as has been directed in the appropriations Acts. Nothing in this Act, Committee report, or classified annex accompanying the report, is intended to preclude, nor shall it be construed as precluding the CIA from conducting any further such activity or capability-based budget activities.

WILDLIFE TRAFFICKING

The Committee is concerned that wildlife poaching and trafficking, particularly of African elephant ivory, can be used as a source of funding by terrorist organizations, extremist militias, and transnational organized crime syndicates in Central and Eastern Africa. The Committee encourages the Director of National Intelligence to work with American and international law enforcement and partner countries to share information and analysis on transnational criminal organizations, terrorist entities, corrupt officials, and others that facilitate illegal wildlife trafficking.

TITLE VIII

GENERAL PROVISIONS

The accompanying bill includes 138 general provisions. Most of these provisions were included in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 and many have been included in the Defense Appropriations Acts for a number of years. A description of each provision follows.

Section 8001 provides that no funds made available in this Act may be used for publicity or propaganda purposes not authorized by Congress.

Section 8002 provides for conditions and limitations on the payment of compensation to, or employment of, foreign nationals.

Section 8003 provides that no funds made available in this Act may be obligated beyond the end of the fiscal year unless expressly provided for a greater period of availability elsewhere in the Act.

Section 8004 provides a 20 percent limitation on the obligation of certain funds provided in this Act during the last two months of the fiscal year.

Section 8005 has been amended and provides for the general transfer authority of working capital funds to other military functions.

Section 8006 has been amended and provides that the tables titled "Explanation of Project Level Adjustments" in the Committee report and classified annex shall be carried out in the manner provided by the tables to the same extent as if the tables were included in the text of this Act.

Section 8007 provides for the establishment of a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for the current fiscal year.

Section 8008 provides for limitations on the use of transfer authority of working capital fund cash balances.

Section 8009 provides that none of the funds appropriated in this Act may be used to initiate a special access program without prior notification to the congressional defense committees.

Section 8010 has been amended and provides limitations and conditions on the use of funds made available in this Act to initiate multi-year contracts.

Section 8011 provides for the use and obligation of funds for humanitarian and civic assistance costs under chapter 20 of title 10, United States Code.

Section 8012 has been amended and provides that civilian personnel of the Department of Defense may not be managed on the basis of end strength or be subject to end strength limitations.

Section 8013 prohibits funding from being used to influence congressional action on any matters pending before the Congress.

Section 8014 prohibits compensation from being paid to any member of the Army who is participating as a full-time student and who receives benefits from the Education Benefits Fund when time spent as a full-time student is counted toward that member's service commitment.

Section 8015 provides for the transfer of funds appropriated in title III of this Act for the Department of Defense Pilot Mentor-Protégé Program.

Section 8016 provides for the Department of Defense to purchase anchor and mooring chains manufactured only in the United States.

Section 8017 has been amended and makes permanent the prohibition of funds made available to the Department of Defense from being used to demilitarize or dispose of certain surplus firearms and small arms ammunition or ammunition components.

Section 8018 provides a limitation on funds being used for the relocation of any Department of Defense entity into or within the National Capital Region.

Section 8019 has been amended and provides for incentive payments authorized by section 504 of the Indian Financing Act of 1974 (25 U.S.C. 1544).

Section 8020 provides that no funding for the Defense Media Activity may be used for national or international political or psychological activities.

Section 8021 provides for the obligation of funds for purposes specified in section 2350j(c) of title 10, United States Code, in anticipation of receipt of contributions from the Government of Kuwait.

Section 8022 has been amended and provides funding for the Civil Air Patrol Corporation.

Section 8023 has been amended and prohibits funding from being used to establish new Department of Defense Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDC), with certain limitations and reduces funding provided for FFRDCs.

Section 8024 provides for the Department of Defense to procure carbon, alloy, or armor steel plate melted and rolled only in the United States and Canada.

Section 8025 defines the congressional defense committees as being the Armed Services Committees of the House of Representatives and the Senate and the Subcommittees on Defense of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

Section 8026 provides for competitions between private firms and Department of Defense depot maintenance activities for modification, depot maintenance, and repair of aircraft, vehicles, and vessels, as well as the production of components and other defense-related articles.

Section 8027 has been amended and provides for revocation of blanket waivers of the Buy American Act upon a finding that a country has violated a reciprocal defense procurement memorandum of understanding by discriminating against products produced in the United States that are covered by the agreement.

Section 8028 provides for the availability of funds contained in the Department of Defense Overseas Military Facility Investment

Recovery Account for purposes specified in section 2921(c)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 1991.

Section 8029 provides for the conveyance, without consideration, of relocatable housing units that are excess to the needs of the Air Force located at Grand Forks Air Force Base, Malmstrom Air Force Base, Mountain Home Air Force Base, Ellsworth Air Force Base, and Minot Air Force Base to Indian Tribes located in the states of Nevada, Idaho, North Dakota, South Dakota, Montana, Oregon, Minnesota, and Washington.

Section 8030 provides authority to use operation and maintenance appropriations to purchase items having an investment item unit cost of not more than $250,000.

Section 8031 has been amended and prohibits the use of Working Capital Funds to purchase specified investment items.

Section 8032 has been amended and provides that none of the funds appropriated for the Central Intelligence Agency shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year except for funds appropriated for the Reserve for Contingencies, the Working Capital Fund, or other programs as specified.

Section 8033 provides that funds available for the Defense Intelligence Agency may be used for the design, development, and deployment of General Defense Intelligence Program intelligence communications and intelligence information systems.

Section 8034 provides for the availability of funds for the mitigation of environmental impacts on Indian lands resulting from Department of Defense activities.

Section 8035 requires the Department of Defense to comply with the Buy American Act, chapter 83 of title 41, United States Code.

Section 8036 provides conditions under which contracts for studies, analyses, or consulting services may be entered into without competition on the basis of an unsolicited proposal.

Section 8037 places certain limitations on the use of funds made available in this Act to establish Field Operating Agencies.

Section 8038 places restrictions on converting to contractor performance an activity or function of the Department of Defense unless it meets certain guidelines provided.

(RESCISSIONS)

Section 8039 has been amended and provides for the rescission of $964,648,000 from the following programs:

2013 Appropriations:	
Aircraft Procurement, Army:	
MQ–1 payload	$27,000,000
Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army:	
Howitzer, SP 155 109A6	5,000,000
Other Procurement, Army:	
Mid-tier networking vehicular radio	30,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy:	
RQ–4 UAV	47,200,000
Weapons Procurement, Navy:	
Standard missile	27,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force:	
C–130 modifications	12,500,000
MQ–IB modifications	16,300,000
MQ–IB spares	4,500,000
MQ–9	37,800,000

Missile Procurement, Air Force:	
Minuteman III modifications	7,100,000
Missile support equipment	6,700,000
2014 Appropriations:	
Other Procurement, Army:	
JTRS HMS radio	100,000,000
WIN–T increment 2	100,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy:	
Aviation life support modifications	6,267,000
Common electronic countermeasures	17,355,000
E–2D hawkeye	30,000,000
F/A–18E/F advance procurement	75,000,000
P–8A contract savings	43,000,000
Weapons Procurement, Navy:	
Classified programs	25,000,000
Rolling airframe missile	5,036,000
Sidewinder	5,000,000
Standard missile	46,400,000
Tomahawk obsolescence	10,000,000
Other Procurement, Navy:	
National airspace system	1,505,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force:	
A-10	45,300,000
MQ–IB spares	2,100,000
Missile Procurement, Air Force:	
Evolved expendable launch vehicle	118,685,000
Minuteman III modifications	2,500,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army:	
Biometric enabled intelligence	5,000,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy:	
Amphibious combat vehicle	78,800,000
JATAS termination	20,000,000
Marine Corps combat services support	6,600,000

Section 8040 prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to reduce authorized positions for military technicians (dual status) of the Army National Guard, Air National Guard, Army Reserve, and Air Force Reserve unless such reductions are a direct result of a reduction in military force structure.

Section 8041 prohibits funding from being obligated or expended for assistance to the Democratic People's Republic of Korea unless specifically appropriated for that purpose.

Section 8042 provides for reimbursement to the National Guard and reserve when members of the National Guard and reserve provide intelligence or counterintelligence support to the combatant commands, defense agencies, and joint intelligence activities.

Section 8043 prohibits funds from being used to reduce civilian medical and medical support personnel assigned to military treatment facilities below the September 30, 2003 level unless the Service Surgeons General certify to the congressional defense committees that it is a responsible stewardship of resources to do so.

Section 8044 has been amended and prohibits the transfer of Department of Defense and Central Intelligence Agencies drug interdiction and counter-drug activity funds to other agencies except as specifically provided in an appropriations law.

Section 8045 prohibits funding from being used for the procurement of ball and roller bearings other than those produced by a domestic source and of domestic origin.

Section 8046 prohibits funding from being used to purchase supercomputers which are not manufactured in the United States.

Section 8047 prohibits funding made available in this or any other Act from being used to pay the salary of anyone who ap-

proves or implements a transfer of administrative responsibilities or budgetary resources of any program, project, or activity financed by this Act to the jurisdiction of another Federal agency not financed by this Act without express authorization of the Congress.

Section 8048 provides for prior congressional notification of article or service transfers to international peacekeeping organizations.

Section 8049 prohibits funding from being used for contractor bonuses being paid due to business restructuring.

Section 8050 provides for the transfer of funds to appropriations available for pay of military personnel in connection with support and services for eligible organizations and activities outside the Department of Defense.

Section 8051 provides for the Department of Defense to dispose of negative unliquidated or unexpended balances for expired or closed accounts.

Section 8052 provides conditions for the use of equipment of the National Guard Distance Learning Project on a space-available, reimbursable basis.

Section 8053 provides for the availability of funds to implement cost effective agreements for required heating facility modernization in the Kaiserslautern Military Community, Landstuhl Army Regional Medical Center, and Ramstein Air Base, Germany.

Section 8054 provides for the limitation on the use of funds appropriated in title IV to procure end-items for delivery to military forces for operational training, operational use, or inventory requirements.

Section 8055 provides for a waiver of "Buy America" provisions for certain cooperative programs.

Section 8056 prohibits funding from being used to support the training of members of foreign security forces who have engaged in gross violations of human rights.

Section 8057 prohibits funding in this Act from being used for repairs or maintenance to military family housing units.

Section 8058 provides obligation authority for new starts for advanced concept technology demonstration projects only after notification to the congressional defense committees.

Section 8059 provides that the Secretary of Defense shall provide a classified quarterly report on certain matters as directed in the classified annex accompanying this Act.

Section 8060 prohibits the use of funds made available to the Department of Defense to provide support to an agency that is more than 90 days in arrears in making payments to the Department of Defense for goods or services provided on a reimbursable basis.

Section 8061 provides for the use of National Guard personnel to support ground-based elements of the National Ballistic Missile Defense System.

Section 8062 prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to transfer to any nongovernmental entity ammunition held by the Department of Defense that has a center-fire cartridge and is designated as "armor piercing" except for demilitarization purposes.

Section 8063 provides for a waiver by the Chief of the National Guard Bureau or his designee for all or part of consideration in cases of personal property leases of less than one year.

Section 8064 provides for a limitation on funding from being used to support non-appropriated funds that purchase alcoholic beverages.

Section 8065 has been amended and provides for the transfer of funds made available in this Act under Operation and Maintenance, Army to other activities of the Federal Government for classified purposes.

Section 8066 has been amended and provides for the forced matching of disbursements and obligations made by the Department of Defense in the current fiscal year.

Section 8067 provides for the transfer of funds made available in this Act under Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide to the Department of State Global Security Contingency Fund.

Section 8068 provides grant authority for the construction and furnishing of additional Fisher Houses to meet the needs of military family members when confronted with the illness or hospitalization of an eligible military beneficiary.

Section 8069 has been amended and provides funding and transfer authority for the Israeli Cooperative Programs.

Section 8070 prohibits funding from being obligated to modify command and control relationships to give Fleet Forces Command operational and administrative control of Navy forces assigned to the Pacific Fleet or to give United States Transportation Command operational and administrative control of certain aircraft.

Section 8071 has been amended and provides for the funding of prior year shipbuilding cost increases.

Section 8072 has been amended and provides that funds made available in this Act for intelligence activities are deemed to be specifically authorized by Congress for purposes of section 504 of the National Security Act of 1947 until the enactment of the Intelligence Authorization Act for the current fiscal year.

Section 8073 prohibits funding from being used to initiate a new start program without prior written notification.

Section 8074 has been amended and provides that the budget of the President for the subsequent fiscal year shall include separate budget justification documents for costs of the United States Armed Forces' participation in contingency operations for the military personnel, operation and maintenance, and procurement accounts.

Section 8075 prohibits funding from being used for the research, development, test, evaluation, procurement, or deployment of nuclear armed interceptors of a missile defense system.

Section 8076 provides the Secretary of Defense with the authority to make grants in the amounts specified.

Section 8077 prohibits funding from being used to reduce or disestablish the operation of the 53rd Weather Reconnaissance Squadron of the Air Force Reserve.

Section 8078 prohibits funding from being used for the integration of foreign intelligence information unless the information has been lawfully collected and processed during conduct of authorized foreign intelligence activities.

Section 8079 provides that at the time members of reserve components of the armed forces are called or ordered to active duty, each member shall be notified in writing of the expected period during which the member will be mobilized.

Section 8080 provides for the use of current and expired Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy subdivisions to reimburse the Judgment Fund.

Section 8081 prohibits funding from being used to transfer program authority relating to current tactical unmanned aerial vehicles from the Army and requires the Army to retain responsibility for and operational control of the MQ–1C unmanned aerial vehicle.

Section 8082 provides funding under certain conditions for the Asia Pacific Regional Initiative Program for the purpose of enabling the Pacific Command to execute certain Theater Security Cooperation activities.

Section 8083 has been amended and limits the availability of funding provided for the Office of the Director of National Intelligence beyond the current fiscal year, except for funds appropriated for research and technology, which shall remain available for the current and the following fiscal years.

Section 8084 provides for the adjustment of obligations within the Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy appropriation.

Section 8085 has been amended and provides for the establishment of a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for the Office of the Director of National Intelligence for the current fiscal year.

Section 8086 is new and prohibits changes to the Army Contracting Command-New Jersey without prior notification.

Section 8087 provides for the transfer of funds by the Director of National Intelligence to other departments and agencies for the purposes of Government-wide information sharing activities.

Section 8088 provides for limitations on funding provided for the National Intelligence Program to be available for obligation or expenditure through a reprogramming or transfer of funds in accordance with section 102A(d) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 403–1(d)).

Section 8089 directs the Director of National Intelligence to submit a future-years intelligence program reflecting estimated expenditures and proposed appropriations.

Section 8090 defines the congressional intelligence committees as being the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives, the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate, and the Subcommittees on Defense of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

Section 8091 directs the Department of Defense to continue to report incremental contingency operations costs for Operation Enduring Freedom or any other named operations in the Central Command area of operation on a monthly basis in the Cost of War Execution Report as required by Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation.

Section 8092 provides the authority to transfer funding from operation and maintenance accounts for the Army, Navy, and Air Force to the central fund for Fisher Houses and Suites.

Section 8093 provides that funds appropriated in this Act may be available for the purpose of making remittances and transfers to the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.

Section 8094 provides that any agency receiving funds made available in this Act shall post on a public website any report required to be submitted by Congress with certain exceptions.

Section 8095 prohibits the use of funds for federal contracts in excess of $1,000,000 unless the contractor agrees not to require, as a condition of employment, that employees or independent contractors agree to resolve through arbitration any claim or tort related to, or arising out of, sexual assault or harassment, including assault and battery, intentional infliction of emotional distress, false imprisonment, or negligent hiring, supervision, or retention, and to certify that each covered subcontractor agrees to do the same.

Section 8096 has been amended and provides funds for transfer to the Joint Department of Defense—Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund.

Section 8097 prohibits the Office of the Director of National Intelligence from employing more Senior Executive employees than are specified in the classified annex.

Section 8098 prohibits funding from being used to pay a retired general or flag officer to serve as a senior mentor advising the Department of Defense unless such retired officer files a Standard Form 278 or successor form.

Section 8099 provides for the purchase of heavy and light armed vehicles for the physical security of personnel or for force protection purposes up to a limit of $250,000 per vehicle.

Section 8100 has been amended and provides grants through the Office of Economic Adjustment to support critical existing and enduring military installation and missions on Guam, as well as any potential Department of Defense growth.

Section 8101 prohibits the Secretary of Defense from taking beneficial occupancy of more than 3,000 parking spaces to be provided by the BRAC 133 project unless certain conditions are met.

Section 8102 requires monthly reporting of the civilian personnel end strength by appropriation account to the congressional defense committees.

Section 8103 has been amended and prohibits funding to separate, or to consolidate from within, the National Intelligence Program budget from the Department of Defense budget.

Section 8104 has been amended and provides the Director of National Intelligence with general transfer authority with certain limitations.

Section 8105 has been amended and provides $540,000,000 to remain available in the Ship Modernization, Operations, and Sustainment Fund until September 30, 2021 for the purpose of manning, operating, sustaining, equipping, and modernizing Ticonderoga-class guided missile cruisers.

Section 8106 reduces the total amount appropriated in this Act by $545,100,000 to reflect savings due to favorable exchange rates.

Section 8107 prohibits funding to transfer or release any individual detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba into the United States, its territories, or possessions. This language is identical to language enacted in Public Law 112–74.

Section 8108 prohibits funding to modify any United States facility (other than the facility at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba) to house any

individual detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. This language is identical to language enacted in Public Law 112–74.

Section 8109 prohibits funding from being used to enter into contracts or agreements with corporations with unpaid tax liabilities.

Section 8110 prohibits funding from being used to enter into contracts or agreements with a corporation that was convicted of a federal criminal violation in the past 24 months.

Section 8111 prohibits funding from being used to violate the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000.

Section 8112 prohibits funding from being used to violate the Child Soldiers Prevention Act of 2008.

Section 8113 prohibits funding from being used to violate the War Powers Resolution Act.

Section 8114 prohibits funds from being used to purchase or lease new light duty vehicles except in accordance with the Presidential Memorandum-Federal Fleet Performance.

Section 8115 prohibits funds from being used to enter into a contract with a person or entity listed in the Excluded Parties List System/System for Award Management as having been convicted of fraud against the Federal Government.

Section 8116 prohibits funds from being used to enter into a contract, memorandum of understanding, or cooperative agreement with, make a grant to, or provide a loan or loan guarantee to Rosoboronexport, except under certain conditions.

Section 8117 prohibits the use of funds for the purchase of manufacture of a United States flag unless such flags are treated as covered items under section 2533a(b) of title 10, United States Code.

Section 8118 has been amended and provides for the transfer of funds for purposes of high priority sexual assault prevention and response program requirements.

Section 8119 prohibits the use of funds for certain activities in Afghanistan.

Section 8120 provides that funds may be used to provide ex gratia payments to local military commanders for damage, personal injury, or death that is incident to combat operations in a foreign country.

Section 8121 has been amended and prohibits the use of funds to conduct any environmental study related to Minuteman III silos.

Section 8122 prohibits the use of funds to cancel the avionics modernization program of record for C–130 aircraft.

Section 8123 has been amended and prohibits the use of funding to reduce the force structure at Lajes Field, Azores, Portugal.

Section 8124 prohibits the use of operation and maintenance funds in contradiction to 49 U.S. Code Section 41106 pertaining to the Civil Reserve Air Fleet.

Section 8125 prohibits the use of funds for flight demonstration teams outside of the United States.

Section 8126 prohibits the use of funds providing certain missile defense information to the Russian Federation.

Section 8127 prohibits the use of funds by the National Security Agency targeting American persons under authorities granted in the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978.

Section 8128 is new and provides for the transfer of funds to the Maritime Administration for expenses related to the Ready Reserve Force.

Section 8129 is new and provides for the transfer of up to $1,000,000 to the John C. Stennis Center for Public Service Training and Development Trust Fund.

Section 8130 is new and provides $533,500,000 to fully fund an increase in basic pay for all military personnel by 1.8 percent as authorized by current law.

Section 8131 is new and provides $244,700,000 for basic allowance for housing for military personnel.

Section 8132 is new and prohibits the use of funds to reduce, convert, decommission, or otherwise move to nondeployed status any Minuteman III ballistic missile silo that contains a deployed missile.

Section 8133 is new and prohibits the use of funds to divest E–3 airborne warning and control system aircraft.

Section 8134 is new and prohibits the use of funds to implement the Arms Trade Treaty until ratified by the Senate.

Section 8135 is new and provides $139,000,000 to construct, renovate, repair, or expand elementary and secondary public schools on military installations.

Section 8136 is new and prohibits the transfer of certain helicopters from the Army National Guard to the regular Army.

Section 8137 is new and provides $1,000,000,000 for military readiness.

Section 8138 is new and limits the availability of funds for activities authorized under Section 1208 of Public Law 112–81.

Section 8139 is new and prohibits the use of funds in contravention of Sec. 1035 of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2014.

Section 8140 is new and prohibits the use of funds to implement changes to hair standards and grooming policies for female servicemembers.

TITLE IX

OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

In title IX, the Committee recommends total new appropriations of $79,445,000,000 as a placeholder for ongoing military operations in Afghanistan. Despite a recent announcement from the Administration regarding plans for an enduring military presence in Afghanistan, no Overseas Contingency Operations budget request has been submitted; therefore this level is subject to change. This new discretionary spending authority for the Department of Defense will provide the necessary resources for deployed servicemembers, including funding for personnel requirements, operational needs, new aircraft to replace combat losses, combat vehicle safety modifications, and maintenance of facilities and equipment.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Title IX contains several general provisions, many of which extend or modify war-related authorities included in previous Acts. A brief description of the recommended provisions follows:

Section 9001 provides that funds made available in this title are in addition to funds appropriated or otherwise made available for the Department of Defense for the current fiscal year.

Section 9002 provides for general transfer authority within title IX.

Section 9003 provides that supervision and administration costs associated with a construction project funded with appropriations available for operation and maintenance, the Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund, or the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund may be obligated at the time a construction contract is awarded.

Section 9004 provides for the procurement of passenger motor vehicles and heavy and light armored vehicles for use by military and civilian employees of the Department of Defense in the Central Command area of responsibility.

Section 9005 has been amended and provides funding for the Commander's Emergency Response Program, with certain limitations.

Section 9006 provides lift and sustainment resources to coalition forces supporting military and stability operations in Iraq and Afghanistan.

Section 9007 prohibits the establishment of permanent bases in Iraq or Afghanistan or United States control over Iraq oil resources.

Section 9008 prohibits the use of funding in contravention of the United Nations Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment.

Section 9009 limits the obligation of funding for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund until certain conditions have been met.

Section 9010 provides for the purchase of items of a particular investment unit cost from funding made available for operation and maintenance.

Section 9011 has been amended and provides funding for the operations and activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq and security assistance teams.

Section 9012 has been amended and restricts funds provided in operation and maintenance accounts for payments of Coalition Support Funds for reimbursement to the Government of Pakistan until certain conditions are met.

Section 9013 prohibits the use of funds with respect to Syria in contravention of the War Powers Resolution.

Section 9014 prohibits the use of funds in the Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund for new construction projects.

Section 9015 is new and limits the obligation of funding for Overseas Contingency Operations until certain conditions have been met.

TITLE X

Title X contains one new general provision. A brief description of the recommended provision follows:

Section 10001 is new and provides that the amount by which new budget authority exceeds spending caps is $0.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The following items are included in accordance with various requirements of the Rules of the House of Representatives:

CHANGES IN THE APPLICATION OF EXISTING LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted describing the effect of provisions in the accompanying bill which directly or indirectly change the application of existing law.

Language is included in various parts of the bill to continue ongoing activities which require annual authorization or additional legislation, which to date has not been enacted.

The bill includes a number of provisions which place limitations on the use of funds in the bill or change existing limitations and which might, under some circumstances, be construed as changing the application of law.

The bill includes a number of provisions, which have been virtually unchanged for many years that are technically considered legislation.

The bill provides that appropriations shall remain available for more than one year for some programs for which the basic authorizing legislation does not presently authorize each extended availability.

In various places in the bill, the Committee has allocated funds within appropriation accounts in order to fund specific programs.

Changes in the application of existing law found within appropriations headings:

Language is included in various accounts placing a limitation on funds for emergencies and extraordinary expenses.

Language is included that provides not more than $15,000,000 for the Combatant Commander Initiative Fund.

Language is included that provides not more than $36,000,000 for emergencies and extraordinary expenses.

Language is included that provides not less than $36,262,000 for the Procurement Technical Assistance Cooperative Agreement Program, of which not less than $3,600,000 shall be available for centers.

Language is included that makes available $8,881,000 for certain classified activities, allows such funds to be transferred between certain accounts, and exempts such funds from the investment item unit cost of items ceiling.

Language is included that provides that any transfer authority provided under the heading "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" shall be in addition to any other transfer authority provided in this Act.

Language is included under the various Environmental Restoration accounts that provides that the Service Secretaries may transfer such funds for the purposes of the funds provided under such appropriations headings, and provides that such transfer authority shall be in addition to any other transfer authority provided in the Act.

Language is included that provides for specific construction, acquisition, or conversion of vessels under the heading "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy".

Language is included under the heading "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy" that provides funds for certain activities.

Language is included under the heading "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide" that provides $250,000,000 for the Defense Rapid Innovation Program and provides for the transfer of funds subject to certain requirements.

Language is included that provides that not less than $8,000,000 of funds provided under "Defense Health Program" shall be available for HIV/AIDS prevention education activities.

Language is included that provides for the carry-over of one percent of the Operation and Maintenance account under the "Defense Health Program".

Language is included that limits obligation of funds provided under the "Defense Health Program" that limits the obligation of funds provided for the Interagency Program Management Office, the Defense Healthcare Management Systems Modernization program, and the Defense Medical Information Exchange until submission and approval of an expenditure plan describing certain matters.

Language is included that specifies the use of certain funds provided under "Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction".

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds under "Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities". Such transfer authority shall be in addition to other transfer authority provided elsewhere in the Act.

Language is included that makes funds available for certain purposes notwithstanding any other provision of law, under "Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund".

Language is included under "Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund" that provides for the transfer of such funds for the purposes of such fund to other appropriations accounts, provides that such transfer authority shall be in addition to any other transfer authority provided in the Act, and requires prior notification of such transfers.

Language is included under "Support for International Sporting Competitions" providing for purposes of such funds.

Language is included that provides that no funds made available in this Act may be used for publicity or propaganda purposes not authorized by Congress.

Language is included that provides for conditions and limitations on the payment of compensation to, or employment of, foreign nationals.

Language is included that provides that no funds made available in this Act may be obligated beyond the end of the fiscal year unless express provision for a greater period of availability is provided elsewhere in this Act.

Language is included that provides a 20 percent limitation on the obligation of funds provided in this Act during the last two months of the fiscal year with certain exceptions.

Language is included that provides for general transfer authority.

Language is included that provides for incorporation of project level tables.

Language is included that provides for the establishment of a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for fiscal year 2015 and prohibits certain reprogrammings until after submission of a report.

Language is included that provides for limitations on the use and transfer authority of working capital fund cash balances.

Language is included that provides that prohibits funds appropriated in this Act to initiate a special access program without prior notification to the congressional defense committees.

Language is included that provides limitations and conditions on the use of funds made available in this Act to initiate multi-year contracts.

Language is included that provides for the use and obligation of funds for humanitarian and civic assistance costs under Chapter 20 of title 10, United States Code.

Language is included that provides that civilian personnel of the Department may not be managed on the basis of end strength or be subject to end strength limitations, sets forth certain requirements related to end strength for the budget submission, and requires that Department of Army Science and Technology be managed based on budget availability.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to influence congressional action on any matters pending before the Congress.

Language is included that prohibits compensation from being paid to any member of the Army who is participating as a full-time student and who receives benefits from the Education Benefits Fund when time spent as a full-time student is counted toward that member's service commitment.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds appropriated in title III of this Act for the Department of Defense Pilot Mentor-Protégé Program.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to purchase anchor and mooring chains manufactured only in the United States.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available to the Department of Defense from being used to demilitarize or dispose of surplus firearms.

Language is included that provides a limitation on funds being used for the relocation of any Department of Defense entity into or within the National Capital Region.

Language is included that provides for incentive payments authorized by section 504 of the Indian Financing Act of 1974 (25 U.S.C. 1544).

Language is included that provides that no funds made available in this Act for the Defense Media Activity may be used for national or international political or psychological activities.

Language is included that provides for the obligation of funds for purposes specified in section 2350j(c) of title 10, United States Code.

Language is included that provides funding for the Civil Air Patrol Corporation.

Language is included that provides for the number of staff years of technical effort that may be funded for defense Federally Funded Research and Development Centers.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to procure carbon, alloy, or armor steel plate melted and rolled only in the United States and Canada.

Language is included that defines congressional defense committees as being the Armed Services Committees and the Subcommittees on Defense of the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate.

Language is included that provides for competitions between private firms and Department of Defense Depot Maintenance Activities for modification, depot maintenance, and repair of aircraft, vehicles, and vessels, as well as the production of components and other Defense-related articles.

Language is included that provides for revocation of blanket waivers of the Buy America Act upon a finding that a country has violated a reciprocal trade agreement by discriminating against products produced in the United States that are covered by the agreement.

Language is included that provides for the availability of funds for purposes specified in section 2921(c)(2) of the 1991 National Defense Authorization Act, namely facility maintenance and repair and environmental restoration at military installations in the United States.

Language is included that provides for the conveyance, without consideration, of relocatable housing units located at Grand Forks, Malmstrom, Mountain Home, Ellsworth, and Minot Air Force Bases to Indian Tribes that are excess to Air Force needs.

Language is included that provides authority to use operation and maintenance appropriations to purchase items having an investment item unit cost of not more than $250,000.

Language is included that prohibits the purchase of specified investment items within Working Capital Fund.

Language is included that provides that none of the funds appropriated for the Central Intelligence Agency shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year except for funds appro-

priated for the Reserve for Contingencies, the Working Capital Fund, or other certain programs authorized under section 503 of the National Security Act.

Language is included that provides that funds available for the Defense Intelligence Agency may be used for intelligence communications and intelligence information systems for the Services, the Unified and Specified Commands, and the component commends.

Language is included that provides that not less than $12,000,000 within "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" shall be for mitigation of environmental impacts on Indian lands.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to comply with the Buy American Act (chapter 83 of title 41, United States Code).

Language is included that provides conditions under which contracts for studies, analyses, or consulting services may be entered into without competition on the basis of an unsolicited proposal.

Language is included that provides for the limitations of funds made available in this Act to establish Field Operating Agencies.

Language is included that provides grant authorities for the Department of Defense acting through the Office of Economic Adjustment.

Language is included that provides for the limitations on the conversion of an activity or function of the Department of Defense to contractor performance.

Language is included that provides for the rescission of previously appropriated funds.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to reduce authorized positions for military technicians (dual status) of the Army National Guard, Air National Guard, Army Reserve, and Air Force Reserve unless such reductions are a direct result of a reduction in military force structure.

Language is included that provides that none of the funds made available in this Act may be obligated or expended for assistance to the Democratic People's Republic of Korea unless appropriated for that purpose.

Language is included that provides for reimbursement to the National Guard and reserve when members of the National Guard and reserve provide intelligence or counterintelligence support to the Combatant Commands, Defense Agencies, and Joint Intelligence Activities.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to reduce civilian medical and medical support personnel assigned to military treatment facilities below the September 30, 2003, level unless the Service Surgeons General certify to the congressional defense committees that it is a responsible stewardship of resources to do so.

Language is included that provides that Defense and Central Intelligence Agencies' drug interdiction and counter-drug activity funds may not be transferred to other agencies unless specifically provided in an appropriations law.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds appropriated by this Act for the procurement of ball and roller bearings other than those produced by a domestic source and of domestic origin.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to purchase supercomputers manufactured only in the United States unless the Secretary certifies such acquisition must be made for national security purposes.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this or any other Act to transfer administrative responsibilities or budgetary resources of any program, project, or activity financed by this Act to the jurisdiction of another Federal agency not financed by this Act without expressed authorization of the Congress.

Language is included that provides for prior congressional notification of article transfers to international peacekeeping organizations.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act for contractor bonuses from being paid due to business restructuring.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds to be used to support personnel supporting approved non-traditional defense activities.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to dispose of negative unliquidated or unexpended balances for expired or closed accounts.

Language is included that provides conditions for the use of equipment of the National Guard Distance Learning Project on a space-available, reimbursable basis.

Language is included that provides for the availability of funds provided by this Act to implement cost-effective agreements for required heating facility modernization in the Kaiserslautern Military Community, Germany.

Language is included that provides for the limitation on the use of funds appropriated in title IV to procure end-items for delivery to military forces for operational training, operational use, or inventory requirements.

Language is included that provides for a waiver of the "Buy America" provisions for certain cooperative programs.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to support the training of members of foreign security forces who have engaged in gross violations of human rights.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act for repairs or maintenance to military family housing units.

Language is included that provides obligation authority for new starts for advanced concept technology demonstration projects only after notification to the congressional defense committees.

Language is included that provides that the Secretary of Defense shall provide a classified quarterly report on certain matters as directed in the classified annex accompanying this Act.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available to the Department of Defense to provide support to an agency that is more than 90 days in arrears in making payments to the Department of Defense for goods or services provided on a reimbursable basis.

Language is included that provides for the use of National Guard personnel to support ground-based elements of the National Ballistic Missile Defense System.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to transfer to any nongovernmental entity ammunition held by the Department of Defense that has a center—fire cartridge and designated as "armor piercing" except for demilitarization purposes.

Language is included that provides for a waiver by the Chief, National Guard Bureau or his designee for all or part of consideration in cases of personal property leases of less than one year.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to purchase alcoholic beverages.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds made available in this Act under "Operation and Maintenance, Army" to other activities of the Federal Government for classified purposes.

Language is included that provides for the forced matching of disbursement and obligations made by the Department of Defense in fiscal year 2015.

Language is included that provides a limitation of $200,000,000 for transfer to the Department of State Global Security Contingency Fund, and set forth certain reporting requirements prior to such transfer.

Language is included that provides grant authority for the construction and furnishing of additional Fisher Houses to meet the needs of military family members when confronted with the illness or hospitalization of an eligible military beneficiary.

Language is included that provides funding and transfer authority for the Israeli Cooperative missile defense programs.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to be obligated to modify the command and control relationship to give the Fleet Forces Command administration and operations control of U.S. Naval Forces assigned to the Pacific Fleet.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds to properly complete prior year shipbuilding programs.

Language is included that provides that funds made available in this Act are deemed to be specifically authorized by Congress for purposes of section 504 of the National Security Act of 1947 until enactment of the authorization Act.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to initiate a new start program without prior written notification.

Language is included that provides that the budget of the President for fiscal year 2015 shall include separate budget justification documents for costs of the United States Armed Forces' participation in contingency operations that contain certain budget exhibits as defined in the Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used for the research, development, test, evaluation, procurement, or deployment of nuclear armed interceptors of a missile defense system.

Language is included that provides the Secretary of Defense discretionary authority to make grants to the United Service Organizations and the Red Cross if he determines it to be in the national interest.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to reduce or disestablish the operation of the 53rd Weather Reconnaissance Squadron of the Air Force Reserve.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used for the integration of foreign intelligence information unless the information has been lawfully collected and processed during conduct of authorized foreign intelligence activities.

Language is included that provides that at the time members of reserve components of the Armed Forces are called or ordered to active duty, each member shall be notified in writing of the expected period during which the member will be mobilized.

Language is included that provides that the Secretary of Defense may transfer funds from any available Department of the Navy appropriation to any available Navy ship construction appropriation to liquidate costs caused by rate adjustments or other economic factors.

Language is included that provides for the use of current and expired "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy" subdivisions to reimburse the Judgment Fund.

Language is included that prohibits the transfer of program authorities related to tactical unmanned aerial vehicles from the Army.

Language is included that provides funding and authority for the Asia Pacific Regional Initiative program.

Language is included that limits the obligation authority of funds provided for the Director of National Intelligence to the current fiscal year except for research and technology which shall remain available for the current and the following fiscal years.

Language is included that provides for the adjustment of obligations within the "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy" appropriation.

Language is included that prohibits transfers of funds until the Director of National Intelligence submits a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities.

Language is included that prohibits the realignment or personnel reductions for certain Army command sites within prior notification to the congressional defense committees.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of up to $20,000,000 of funds available for the Program Manager for Information Sharing Environment to be transferred to other departments and agencies for certain purposes.

Language is included that sets forth reprogramming and transfer procedures for the National Intelligence Program.

Language is included that provides that the Director of National Intelligence shall provide budget exhibits identifying the five year future-years intelligence program.

Language is included that defines the congressional intelligence committees.

Language is included that directs the Department of Defense to report on the Cost of War Execution Report on a monthly basis.

Language is included that provides that funds from certain operation and maintenance accounts may be transferred to a central fund established pursuant to section 2493(d) of title 10, United States Code.

Language is included that provides that funds may be used for the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.

Language is included that provides that agencies post congressionally directed reports on a public website.

Language is included that provides limitations on the award of contracts to contractors that require mandatory arbitration for certain claims as a condition of employment or a contractual relationship. The Secretary is authorized to waive the applicability of these limitations for national security interests.

Language is included that provides funds and transfer authority for the Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund.

Language is included that provides a limitation on the number of senior executives employed by the Office of the Director of National Intelligence.

Language is included that provides a limitation on certain senior mentors unless such mentors make certain financial disclosures.

Language is included that provides authority to purchase heavy and light armored vehicles notwithstanding price or other limitations on the purchase of passenger carrying vehicles.

Language is included that provides availability of funds appropriated under "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" for activities related to the military buildup of Guam.

Language is included that places limitations on the number of parking spaces provided by the BRAC 133 project, with certain waiver authorities.

Language is included that requires the Secretary of Defense to provide quarterly reports on civilian personnel end strength.

Language is included the places limitations on the use of funds to make certain modifications to the appropriations account structure or the allotment, obligation and disbursement processes.

Language is included that provides transfer authority for the Director of National Intelligence for the National Intelligence Program.

Language is included that provides funds and transfer authority for the purpose of manning, operating, sustaining, equipping, and modernizing certain guided missile cruisers subject to certain requirements.

Language is included that prohibits funds to transfer or release certain individuals detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba into the United States, its territories, or possessions.

Language is included that prohibits funds to construct, acquire, or modify any facility in the United States, its territories, or possessions to house individuals detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

Language is included that places limitations on the provision of funds to any corporation with an unpaid Federal tax liability.

Language is included that places limitations on the provision of funds to any corporation convicted of a felony criminal violation within the preceding 24 months.

Language is included that prohibits the Department of Defense from entering into certain agreements with Rosoboronexport with certain waiver authorities.

Language is included that prohibits the purchase or manufacture of a United States flag uncles such flag is treated as a covered item under section 2533a(b).

Language is included that provides funds and transfer authority to the Services to support high priority Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program requirements and activities.

Language is included that provides for the payment of ex gratia payments in certain circumstances subject to certain conditions.

Language is included that limits force structure changes at Lajes Field, Azores, Portugal except in accordance with section 1048 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds to the Ready Reserve Force for expenses related to the National Defense Reserve Fleet.

Language is included that provides funds for transfer to the John C. Stennis Center for Public Service Development Trust Fund.

Language is included that provides an additional appropriation and transfer authority for pay for military personnel.

Language is included that provides for an additional appropriation and transfer authority for basic allowance for housing for military personnel.

Language is included that sets forth certain reporting requirements related to airborne warning and control.

Language is included that provides an additional appropriation and transfer authority for construction, renovation, repair, and expansion of public schools on military installations.

Language is included that provides an additional appropriation and transfer authority for military readiness improvements.

Language is included that requires certain notification on funds provided under section 1208 authorities, with a waiver for exigent circumstances.

Language is included that requires the Chiefs of National Guard and Reserve components to submit the modernization priority assessment for their respective components to the congressional defense committees.

Language is included that provides that funds made available in title IX are in addition to amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2015.

Language is included that provides for transfer authority between appropriations made available in title IX.

Language is included that provides for supervision and administration costs associated with overseas contingency operations.

Language is included that provides authority to purchase passenger, heavy, and light armored vehicles notwithstanding price or other limitations on the purchase of passenger carrying vehicles for use in the U.S. Central Command area of responsibility.

Language is included that provides funds and authority for the Commander's Emergency Response Program and establishes certain reporting requirements.

Language is included that authorizes the use of funds to provide certain assistance to coalition forces supporting military and sta-

bility operations in Afghanistan and establishes certain reporting requirements.

Language is included that places limitations on the use of Afghanistan Security Forces Funds and requires certain certifications.

Language is included that authorizes funds in title IX for the purchase of items having an investment unit cost of up to $250,000, subject to certain conditions.

Language is included that authorizes up to $150,000,000 under the heading "Operation and Maintenance" for the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq and security assistance teams, and operations and activities authorized in the fiscal year 2015 National Defense Authorization, subject to written notice requirements.

Language is included that provides for the rescission of previously appropriated funds.

Language is included that places limitations on the use of Coalition Support Funds for the Government of Pakistan.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds appropriated by this Act in contravention of Sec. 1035 of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2014.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds to implement changes to hair standards and grooming policies for female servicemembers.

Language is included that limits the obligation of funding for Overseas Contingency Operations until certain conditions have been met.

APPROPRIATIONS NOT AUTHORIZED BY LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) fo rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table lists the appropriations in the accompanying bill which are not authorized by law:

[In thousands of dollars]

Agency/Program	Last year of authorization	Authorization Level	Appropriations in last year of authorization	Appropriations in this bill 2015	2015 compared to 2013	2015 compared to 2014
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE						
Military Personnel, Army	2014	(1)	40,908,919	41,183,729	453,715	274,810
Military Personnel, Navy	2014	(1)	27,671,555	27,387,344	311,411	-284,211
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	2014	(1)	12,826,857	12,785,431	224,432	-41,426
Military Personnel, Air Force	2014	(1)	28,382,963	27,564,362	-559,747	-818,601
Reserve Personnel, Army	2014	(1)	4,483,343	4,304,159	-152,664	-179,184
Reserve Personnel, Navy	2014	(1)	1,875,536	1,836,024	-35,664	-39,512
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	2014	(1)	665,499	659,224	7,363	-6,275
Reserve Personnel, Air Force	2014	(1)	1,745,579	1,652,148	-91,727	-93,431
National Guard Personnel, Army	2014	(1)	7,958,568	7,644,632	-444,845	-313,936
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	2014	(1)	3,130,361	3,110,587	-47,428	-19,774
Operation and Maintenance, Army	2014	35,697,777	35,183,796	32,671,980	-3,750,758	-2,511,816
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	2014	40,402,605	40,127,402	39,073,543	-2,390,190	-1,053,859
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	2014	6,385,650	6,298,757	5,984,680	-90,987	-314,077
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	2014	37,540,267	37,438,701	35,024,160	-384,635	-2,414,541
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	2014	32,760,412	32,301,685	30,896,741	-884,072	-1,404,944
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	2014	3,207,236	3,199,151	2,535,606	-663,817	-663,545
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	2014	1,213,552	1,200,283	1,011,827	-244,520	-188,456
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	2014	263,617	266,561	270,485	-6,892	3,924
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	2014	3,169,177	3,149,046	2,989,214	-372,827	-159,832
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	2014	7,100,099	7,102,113	6,116,307	-1,071,424	-985,806
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	2014	6,594,204	6,675,999	6,393,919	-214,907	-282,080
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces	2014	13,606	13,606	13,723	207	117
Environmental Restoration, Army	2014	298,815	298,815	201,560	-134,361	-97,255
Environmental Restoration, Navy	2014	316,103	316,103	277,294	-33,300	-38,809
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	2014	439,820	439,820	408,716	-120,547	-31,104
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide	2014	10,575	10,757	8,547	-2,586	-2,210
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites	2014	237,443	262,443	233,353	-4,190	-29,090
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid	2014	108,759	109,500	103,000	-5,759	-6,500
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account	2014	519,111	528,455	365,108	-154,003	-163,347
Aircraft Procurement, Army	2014	5,028,326	5,236,653	5,295,957	-819,269	59,304
Missile Procurement, Army	2014	1,334,083	1,628,083	1,217,483	-385,206	-410,600
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	2014	1,602,828	1,545,560	1,703,736	-180,970	158,176
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	2014	1,455,637	1,465,937	1,011,477	-565,291	-454,460
Other Procurement, Army	2014	6,410,918	6,467,751	4,812,234	-1,675,811	-1,655,517
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	2014	17,875,403	17,092,784	14,054,523	-3,483,801	-3,038,261
Weapons Procurement, Navy	2014	3,110,143	3,017,646	3,111,931	39,819	94,285
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	2014	589,267	544,116	629,372	-47,871	85,256
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy	2014	14,734,033	15,000,704	14,256,361	-979,765	-744,343
Other Procurement, Navy	2014	6,267,252	6,824,824	5,923,379	-440,812	-901,445
Procurement, Marine Corps	2014	1,325,503	1,271,311	927,232	-554,849	-344,079
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	2014	11,323,981	10,860,606	12,046,941	742,042	1,186,335
Missile Procurement, Air Force	2014	5,343,286	5,267,119	4,546,211	-902,935	-720,908
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	2014	759,442	743,442	648,200	49,006	-95,242
Other Procurement, Air Force	2014	16,746,843	16,791,497	16,633,023	448	-158,474
Procurement, Defense-Wide	2014	4,535,304	4,522,990	4,358,121	-71,214	-164,869
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army	2014	7,954,132	7,961,486	6,720,000	-1,873,055	-1,241,486
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy	2014	15,661,821	15,368,352	15,877,770	-1,109,998	509,418
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force	2014	25,718,946	24,947,354	23,438,982	-1,678,710	-1,508,372
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide	2014	18,218,264	17,885,538	17,077,900	-2,022,462	-807,638
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	2014	186,300	246,800	248,238	62,970	1,438
Defense Working Capital Funds	2014	1,412,510	1,545,827	1,334,468	-181,716	-211,359
National Defense Sealift Fund	2014	618,500	595,700	0	-564,636	-595,700
Defense Health Program	2014	32,930,528	33,573,582	31,634,870	-1,227,364	-1,938,712
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense	2014	1,057,123	1,057,123	828,868	-472,918	-228,255
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	2014	938,545	1,007,762	944,687	-188,676	-63,075
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund	2014	0	0	65,464	-151,950	65,464
Office of the Inspector General	2014	346,000	347,000	311,830	-38,491	-35,170
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund	2014	N/A	514,000	514,000	0	0
Intelligence Community Management Account	2014	N/A	552,535	501,194	-10,282	-51,341
Title IX - Overseas Deployments and Other Activities	2014	80,719,789	85,768,949	79,445,000	-8,763,906	-6,323,949
National Guard and Reserve Equipment	2014	400,000	1,500,000	2,000,000	0	500,000

1/ The FY 2014 National Defense Authorization Act authorizes $136,393,731,000 for military personnel

Note: The bill includes several transfers of funds which may or may not be specifically authorized in law.

TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following is submitted describing the transfer of funds provided in the accompanying bill.

Language has been included under "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" which provides for the transfer of funds for certain classified activities.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Army" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Navy" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Air Force" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense Wide" which provides for the transfer of funds for the Defense Rapid Innovation Program to appropriations for research, development, test and evaluation for specific purposes.

Language has been included under "Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense" which provides for the transfer of funds to appropriations available to the Department of Defense for military personnel of the reserve components; for operation and maintenance; for procurement; and for research, development, test and evaluation for drug interdiction and counter-drug activities of the Department of Defense.

Language has been included under "Joint Improvised Explosive Devise Defeat Fund" which provides for the transfer of funds to appropriations available to the Department of Defense for military personnel; for operation and maintenance; for procurement; for research, development, test and evaluation; and for defense working capital funds for purposes related to assisting United States forces in the defeat of improvised explosive devices.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8005" which provides for the transfer of working capital funds to other appropriations accounts of the Department of Defense for military functions.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8008" which provides for the transfer of funds between working capital funds and the "Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Defense" ap-

propriation and the "Operation and Maintenance" appropriation accounts.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8015" which provides for the transfer of funds from the Department of Defense Pilot Mentor-Protégé Program to any other appropriation for the purposes of implementing a Mentor-Protégé Program development assistance agreement.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8050" which provides for the transfer of funds from "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" to appropriations available for the pay of military personnel in connection with support and services of eligible organizations and activities outside the Department of Defense.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8065" which provides for the transfer of funds from "Operation and Maintenance, Army" to other activities of the federal government.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8067" which provides for the transfer of funds available under "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" to the Department of State "Global Security Contingency Fund".

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8069" which provides for the transfer of funds from "Procurement, Defense-Wide" and "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide" for the Israeli Cooperative Programs.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8071" which provides for the transfer of funds under the heading "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy" to fund prior year shipbuilding cost increases.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8087" which provides for the transfer of funds appropriated in the Intelligence Community Management Account for the Program Manager for the Information Sharing Environment to other departments and agencies for the purposes of Government-wide information sharing activities.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8092" which provides for the transfer of funds from "Operation and Maintenance, Army", "Operation and Maintenance, Navy", and "Operation and Maintenance, Air Force" to the central fund established for Fisher Houses and Suites.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8093" which provides that funds appropriated by this Act may be made available for the purpose of making remittances to the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8096" which provides for the transfer of funds appropriated for operation and maintenance for the Defense Health Program to the Joint Department of Defense—Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8104" which provides for the transfer of funds for the National Intelligence Program.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8105" which provides for the transfer of funds from the "Ship Modernization, Operations, and Sustainment Fund" to appropriations

for military personnel; operations and maintenance; research, development, test and evaluation; and procurement only for certain purposes.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8118" which provides for the transfer of funds from the Department of Defense to the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force, including Reserve and National Guard, to support high priority Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program requirements and activities.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8128" which provides for the transfer of funds from "Operations and Maintenance, Navy" to the Ready Reserve Force, Maritime Administration account of the Department of Transportation for the expenses related to the National Defense Reserve Fleet.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8129" which provides for the transfer of funds to the John C. Stennis Center for Public Service Development Trust Fund.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8130" which provides for the transfer of funds for pay for military personnel for purposes of fully funding the authorized military pay raise.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8131" which provides for the transfer of funds for basic allowance for housing for military personnel.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8135" which provides for the transfer of funds from "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" for transfer to the Secretary of Education to construct, renovate, repair, or expand elementary and secondary public schools on military installations.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8137" which provides for the transfer of funds to the operation and maintenance accounts of the Army, Navy, Marine, and Air Force, including National Guard and reserve, for purposes of improving military readiness.

Language has been included under title IX "Other Appropriations" which provides for the transfer of funds to Defense Health Program, Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund, Office of the Inspector General, and Defense Working Capital Fund.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 9002" which provides for the authority to transfer funds between the appropriations or funds made available to the Department of Defense in title IX, subject to certain conditions.

RESCISSIONS

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table is submitted describing the rescissions recommended in the accompanying bill:

Aircraft Procurement, Army, 2013/2015	$27,000,000
Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army, 2013/2015	5,000,000
Other Procurement, Army, 2013/2015	30,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy, 2013/2015	47,200,000
Weapons Procurement, Navy, 2013/2015	27,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force, 2013/2015	71,100,000

Missile Procurement, Air Force, 2013/2015	13,800,000
Other Procurement, Army, 2014/2016	200,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy, 2014/2016	171,622,000
Weapons Procurement, Navy, 2014/2016	91,436,000
Other Procurement, Navy, 2014/2016	1,505,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force, 2014/2016	47,400,000
Missile Procurement, Air Force, 2014/2016	121,185,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army, 2014/2015	5,000,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy, 2014/2015	105,400,000

TRANSFER OF UNEXPENDED BALANCES

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the bill contains a general provision which allows for the transfer of unexpended balances from the Operation and Maintenance and Military Personnel accounts to the "Foreign Currency Fluctuation, Defense" account to address shortfalls due to foreign currency fluctuation.

DIRECTED RULE MAKING

This bill does not contain any provision that specifically directs the promulgation or completion of a rule.

PROGRAM DUPLICATION

No provision of this bill establishes or reauthorizes a program of the Federal Government known to be duplicative of another Federal program, a program that was included in any report from the Government Accountability Office to Congress pursuant to section 21 of Public Law 111–139, or a program related to a program identified in the most recent Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance.

STATEMENT OF GENERAL PERFORMANCE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following is a statement of general performance goals and objectives for which this measure authorizes funding:

The Committee on Appropriations considers program performance, including a program's success in developing and attaining outcome-related goals and objectives, in developing funding recommendations.

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XIII, CL. 3(e) (RAMSEYER RULE)

In compliance with clause 3(e) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee notes that the accompanying bill does not propose to repeal or amend a statute or part thereof.

EARMARK DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Neither the bill nor the report contains any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in Clause 9 of Rule XXI.

COMPARISON WITH THE BUDGET RESOLUTION

Clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives requires an explanation of compliance with section 308(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, which requires that the report accompanying a bill providing new budget authority contain a statement detailing how that authority compares with the reports submitted under section 302 of the Act for the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget for the fiscal year from the Committee's section 302(a) allocation. This information follows:

[In millions of dollars]

	302(b) Allocation		This Bill	
	Budget Authority	Outlays	Budget Authority	Outlays
Comparison of amounts in the bill with Committee allocations to its subcommittees:				
Subcommittee on Defense				
Discretionary:				
General Purpose	490,944	522,774	490,944	[1] 522,774
Overseas Contingency Operations [2]	79,445	36,839	79,445	36,839
Mandatory	514	514	514	514

[1] Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.
[2] Overseas Contingency Operations/Global War on Terrorism

FIVE-YEAR OUTLAY PROJECTIONS

In compliance with section 308(a)(1)(B) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, the following table contains five-year projections associated with the budget authority provided in the accompanying bill.

[In millions of dollars]

	Non-OCO	OCO
Projection of outlays associated with the recommendation:		
2015	[1] 319,129	[1] 36,839
2016	99,964	25,039
2017	36,482	10,096
2018	17,621	3,983
2019 and future years	13,352	2,416

[1] Excludes outlays from prior-year budget authority.
Note: OCO is Overseas Contingency Operations/Global War on Terrorism

FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

In accordance with section 308(a)(1)(C) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344), as amended, no new budget or outlays are provided by the accompanying bill for financial assistance to State and local governments.

FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those voting against, are printed below:

ROLL CALL NO. 1

Date: June 10, 2014
Measure: Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2015
Motion by: Mr. Frelinghuysen
Description of Motion: To prohibit funds from being used in contravention of Section 1035 of the Fiscal Year 2014 National Defense Authorization Act, with associated report language, and withholding 85 percent of Overseas Contingency Operations funds until the Secretary provides a detailed spend plan for those funds, including the assurance that no funds will be used in contravention of Section 1035 of the Fiscal year 2014 National Defense Authorization Act.
Results: Adopted 33yeas to 13 nays

Members Voting Yea
Mr. Aderholt
Mr. Amodei
Mr. Calvert
Mr. Carter
Mr. Cole
Mr. Crenshaw
Mr. Cuellar
Mr. Dent
Mr. Diaz-Balart
Mr. Fleischmann
Mr. Fortenberry
Mr. Frelinghuysen
Ms. Granger
Mr. Graves
Dr. Harris
Ms. Herrera Beutler
Mr. Joyce
Ms. Kaptur
Mr. Kingston
Mr. Latham
Mrs. Lowey
Mr. Owens
Mrs. Roby
Mr. Rogers
Mr. Rooney
Mr. Ryan
Mr. Simpson
Mr. Stewart
Mr. Valadao
Mr. Visclosky
Mr. Wolf
Mr. Womack
Mr. Yoder

Members Voting Nay
Ms. DeLauro
Mr. Farr
Mr. Fattah
Mr. Honda
Ms. Lee
Ms. McCollum
Mr. Pastor
Ms. Pingree
Mr. Price
Mr. Quigley
Ms. Roybal-Allard
Mr. Schiff
Ms. Wasserman Schultz

FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those voting against, are printed below:

ROLL CALL NO. 2

Date: June 10, 2014
Measure: Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2015
Motion by: Ms. Lee
Description of Motion: To prohibit funds from being obligated or expended pursuant to the Authorization of Military Force Against Iraq Resolution of 2002.
Results: Defeated 17 yeas to 31 nays.

Members Voting Yea
Ms. DeLauro
Mr. Farr
Mr. Fattah
Mr. Honda
Ms. Kaptur
Ms. Lee
Mrs. Lowey
Ms. McCollum
Mr. Pastor
Ms. Pingree
Mr. Price
Mr. Quigley
Ms. Roybal-Allard
Mr. Ryan
Mr. Schiff
Mr. Visclosky
Ms. Wasserman Schultz

Members Voting Nay
Mr. Aderholt
Mr. Amodei
Mr. Bishop
Mr. Calvert
Mr. Carter
Mr. Cole
Mr. Crenshaw
Mr. Cuellar
Mr. Culberson
Mr. Dent
Mr. Diaz-Balart
Mr. Fleischmann
Mr. Fortenberry
Mr. Frelinghuysen
Ms. Granger
Mr. Graves
Dr. Harris
Ms. Herrera Beutler
Mr. Joyce
Mr. Kingston
Mr. Latham
Mr. Owens
Mrs. Roby
Mr. Rogers
Mr. Rooney
Mr. Simpson
Mr. Stewart
Mr. Valadao
Mr. Wolf
Mr. Womack
Mr. Yoder

FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those voting against, are printed below:

ROLL CALL NO. 3

Date: June 10, 2014
Measure: Defense Appropriations Bill, FY 2015
Motion by: Ms. Lee
Description of Motion: To require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence, to submit a classified report, with an unclassified summary, no later than 180 days after enactment, and every 180 days thereafter, on each activity that is being carried out pursuant to the Authorization for Use of Military Force.
Results: Defeated 21 yeas to 27 nays

Members Voting Yea
Mr. Cuellar
Ms. DeLauro
Mr. Farr
Mr. Fattah
Mr. Fortenberry
Ms. Herrera Beutler
Mr. Honda
Ms. Kaptur
Ms. Lee
Mrs. Lowey
Ms. McCollum
Mr. Pastor
Ms. Pingree
Mr. Price
Mr. Quigley
Mr. Rooney
Ms. Roybal-Allard
Mr. Ryan
Mr. Schiff
Mr. Visclosky
Ms. Wasserman Schultz

Members Voting Nay
Mr. Aderholt
Mr. Amodei
Mr. Bishop
Mr. Calvert
Mr. Carter
Mr. Cole
Mr. Crenshaw
Mr. Culberson
Mr. Dent
Mr. Diaz-Balart
Mr. Fleischmann
Mr. Frelinghuysen
Ms. Granger
Mr. Graves
Dr. Harris
Mr. Joyce
Mr. Kingston
Mr. Latham
Mr. Owens
Mrs. Roby
Mr. Rogers
Mr. Simpson
Mr. Stewart
Mr. Valadao
Mr. Wolf
Mr. Womack
Mr. Yoder

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE I					
MILITARY PERSONNEL					
Military Personnel, Army	40,787,967	41,225,339	41,183,729	+395,762	-41,610
Military Personnel, Navy	27,231,512	27,489,440	27,387,344	+155,832	-102,096
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	12,766,099	12,919,103	12,785,431	+19,332	-133,672
Military Personnel, Air Force	28,519,993	27,815,926	27,564,362	-955,631	-251,564
Reserve Personnel, Army	4,377,563	4,459,130	4,304,159	-73,404	-154,971
Reserve Personnel, Navy	1,843,966	1,863,034	1,836,024	-7,942	-27,010
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	655,109	670,754	659,224	+4,115	-11,530
Reserve Personnel, Air Force	1,723,159	1,675,518	1,652,148	-71,011	-23,370
National Guard Personnel, Army	7,776,498	7,682,892	7,644,632	-131,866	-38,260
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	3,114,421	3,156,457	3,110,587	-3,834	-45,870
Total, Title I, Military Personnel	128,796,287	128,957,593	128,127,640	-668,647	-829,953
TITLE II					
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE					
Operation and Maintenance, Army	30,768,069	33,240,148	32,671,980	+1,903,911	-568,168
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	36,311,160	39,316,857	39,073,543	+2,762,383	-243,314

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	5,397,605	5,909,487	5,984,680	+587,075	+75,193
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	33,248,618	35,331,193	35,024,160	+1,775,542	-307,033
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	31,450,068	31,198,232	30,896,741	-553,327	-301,491
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	2,940,936	2,490,569	2,535,606	-405,330	+45,037
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	1,158,382	1,007,100	1,011,827	-146,555	+4,727
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	255,317	268,582	270,485	+15,168	+1,903
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	3,062,207	3,015,842	2,989,214	-72,993	-26,628
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	6,857,530	6,030,773	6,116,307	-741,223	+85,534
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	6,392,304	6,392,859	6,393,919	+1,615	+1,060
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Account	---	5,000	---	---	-5,000
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces	13,606	13,723	13,723	+117	---
Environmental Restoration, Army	298,815	201,560	201,560	-97,255	---
Environmental Restoration, Navy	316,103	277,294	277,294	-38,809	---
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	439,820	408,716	408,716	-31,104	---
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide	10,757	8,547	8,547	-2,210	---
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites	287,443	208,353	233,353	-54,090	+25,000
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid	109,500	100,000	103,000	-6,500	+3,000
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account	500,455	365,108	365,108	-135,347	---
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund	51,031	212,875	51,875	+844	-161,000
Total, Title II, Operation and maintenance	159,869,726	166,002,818	164,631,638	+4,761,912	-1,371,180

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE III					
PROCUREMENT					
Aircraft Procurement, Army	4,844,891	5,102,685	5,295,957	+451,066	+193,272
Missile Procurement, Army	1,549,491	1,017,483	1,217,483	-332,008	+200,000
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	1,610,811	1,471,438	1,703,736	+92,925	+232,298
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	1,444,067	1,031,477	1,011,477	-432,590	-20,000
Other Procurement, Army	4,936,908	4,893,634	4,812,234	-124,674	-81,400
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	16,442,794	13,074,317	14,054,523	-2,388,271	+980,206
Weapons Procurement, Navy	3,009,157	3,217,945	3,111,931	+102,774	-106,014
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	549,316	771,945	629,372	+80,056	-142,573
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy	15,231,364	14,400,625	14,256,361	-975,003	-144,264
Other Procurement, Navy	5,572,618	5,975,828	5,923,379	+350,761	-52,449
Procurement, Marine Corps	1,240,958	983,352	927,232	-313,726	-56,120
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	10,379,180	11,542,571	12,046,941	+1,667,761	+504,370
Missile Procurement, Air Force	4,446,763	4,690,506	4,546,211	+99,448	-144,295
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	729,677	677,400	648,200	-81,477	-29,200
Other Procurement, Air Force	16,572,754	16,566,018	16,633,023	+60,269	+67,005
Procurement, Defense-Wide	4,240,416	4,221,437	4,358,121	+117,705	+136,684
Defense Production Act Purchases	60,135	21,638	51,638	-8,497	+30,000
Total, Title III, Procurement	92,861,300	89,660,299	91,227,819	-1,633,481	+1,567,520

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE IV					
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION					
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army	7,126,318	6,593,898	6,720,000	-406,318	+126,102
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy	14,949,919	16,266,335	15,877,770	+927,851	-388,565
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force	23,585,292	23,739,892	23,438,982	-146,310	-300,910
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide	17,086,412	16,766,084	17,077,900	-8,512	+311,816
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	246,800	167,738	248,238	+1,438	+80,500
Total, Title IV, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation	62,994,741	63,533,947	63,362,890	+368,149	-171,057
TITLE V					
REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS					
Defense Working Capital Funds	1,649,214	1,234,468	1,334,468	-314,746	+100,000
National Defense Sealift Fund	597,213	---	---	-597,213	---
Total, Title V, Revolving and Management Funds	2,246,427	1,234,468	1,334,468	-911,959	+100,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE VI					
OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS					
Defense Health Program					
Operation and maintenance	30,704,995	31,031,911	30,080,563	-624,432	-951,348
Procurement	441,764	308,413	308,413	-133,351	---
Research, development, test and evaluation	1,552,399	654,594	1,245,894	-306,505	+591,300
Total, Defense Health Program 1/	32,699,158	31,994,918	31,634,870	-1,064,288	-360,048
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense:					
Operation and maintenance	398,572	222,728	222,728	-175,844	---
Procurement	1,368	10,227	10,227	+8,859	---
Research, development, test and evaluation	604,183	595,913	595,913	-8,270	---
Total, Chemical Agents 2/	1,004,123	828,868	828,868	-175,255	---
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	1,015,885	---	---	-1,015,885	---
Counter-narcotics support	---	719,096	669,631	+669,631	-49,465
Drug demand reduction program	---	101,591	105,591	+105,591	+4,000
National Guard counter-drug program	---	---	169,465	+169,465	+169,465
Total, Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	1,015,885	820,687	944,687	-71,198	+124,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund	---	115,058	65,464	+65,464	-49,594
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund	---	20,000	---	---	-20,000
Support for International Sporting Competitions 1/	---	10,000	10,000	+10,000	---
Office of the Inspector General 1/	316,000	311,830	311,830	-4,170	---
Total, Title VI, Other Department of Defense Programs	35,035,166	34,101,361	33,795,719	-1,239,447	-305,642
TITLE VII					
RELATED AGENCIES					
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund	514,000	514,000	514,000	---	---
Intelligence Community Management Account (ICMA)	528,229	510,194	501,194	-27,035	-9,000
Total, Title VII, Related agencies	1,042,229	1,024,194	1,015,194	-27,035	-9,000
TITLE VIII					
GENERAL PROVISIONS					
Additional transfer authority (Sec.8005)	(5,000,000)	(5,000,000)	(5,000,000)	---	---
Indian Financing Act incentives (Sec.8019)	15,000	---	---	-15,000	---
FFRDC (Sec.8023)	-40,000	---	-40,000	---	-40,000
Rescissions (Sec.8039)	-1,906,089	-265,685	-964,648	+941,441	-698,963

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
O&M, Defense-wide transfer authority (Sec.8050)	(30,000)	(30,000)	(30,000)	---	---
Global Security Contingency Fund (O&M, Defense-wide transfer) (Sec.8067)	(200,000)	(200,000)	(200,000)	---	---
Fisher House Foundation (Sec.8068)	4,000	---	4,000	---	+4,000
National grants (Sec.8076)	44,000	---	44,000	---	+44,000
Shipbuilding & conversion funds, Navy (Sec.8080)	8,000	5,000	5,000	-3,000	---
ICMA transfer authority (Sec.8087)	(20,000)	(20,000)	(20,000)	---	---
Fisher House transfer authority (Sec.8092)	(11,000)	(11,000)	(11,000)	---	---
Defense Health O&M transfer authority (Sec.8096)	(143,087)	(146,857)	(146,857)	(+3,770)	---
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide (Sec.8100) (transfer authority)	(119,400)	(80,596)	(80,596)	(-38,804)	---
Ship Modernization, Operations and Sustainment Fund (Sec.8105)	2,244,400	---	540,000	-1,704,400	+540,000
Rescission	-1,920,000	---	---	+1,920,000	---
Superintendents review	1,000	---	---	-1,000	---
Revised econonmic assumptions (Sec. 8106)	-380,000	---	-545,100	-165,100	-545,100
Special Victims Program implementation	25,000	---	---	-25,000	---
General/Flag Officers	-8,000	---	---	+8,000	---
Working Capital Fund excess cash balances	-866,500	---	---	+866,500	---
National Defense Reserve Fleet (O&M, Navy transfer authority) (Sec.8128)	---	(291,000)	(291,000)	(+291,000)	---
John C. Stennis Center for Public Service Training and Development Fund (O&M, Navy transfer authority)(Sec.8129)	---	(1,000)	(1,000)	(+1,000)	---
Total, Title VIII, General Provisions	-2,779,189	-260,685	960,452	+3,739,641	+1,221,137

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE IX					
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (OCO) 3/					
Military Personnel					
Military Personnel, Army (OCO)	5,449,726	---	---	-5,449,726	---
Military Personnel, Navy (OCO)	558,344	---	---	-558,344	---
Military Personnel, Marine Corps (OCO)	777,922	---	---	-777,922	---
Military Personnel, Air Force (OCO)	832,862	---	---	-832,862	---
Reserve Personnel, Army (OCO)	33,352	---	---	-33,352	---
Reserve Personnel, Navy (OCO)	20,238	---	---	-20,238	---
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps (OCO)	15,134	---	---	-15,134	---
Reserve Personnel, Air Force (OCO)	20,432	---	---	-20,432	---
National Guard Personnel, Army (OCO)	257,064	---	---	-257,064	---
National Guard Personnel, Air Force (OCO)	6,919	---	---	-6,919	---
Military Personnel (OCO)	---	---	5,100,000	+5,100,000	+5,100,000
Total, Military Personnel	7,971,993	---	5,100,000	-2,871,993	+5,100,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Operation and Maintenance					
Operation & Maintenance, Army (OCO)	32,369,249	---	---	-32,369,249	---
Operation & Maintenance, Navy (OCO)	8,470,808	---	---	-8,470,808	---
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps (OCO)	3,369,815	---	---	-3,369,815	---
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force (OCO)	12,746,424	---	---	-12,746,424	---
Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide (OCO)	6,226,678	---	---	-6,226,678	---
Coalition support funds (OCO)	(1,257,000)	---	---	(-1,257,000)	---
Operation & Maintenance, Army Reserve (OCO)	34,674	---	---	-34,674	---
Operation & Maintenance, Navy Reserve (OCO)	55,700	---	---	-55,700	---
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve (OCO)	12,534	---	---	-12,534	---
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force Reserve (OCO)	32,849	---	---	-32,849	---
Operation & Maintenance, Army National Guard (OCO)	130,471	---	---	-130,471	---
Operation & Maintenance, Air National Guard (OCO)	22,200	---	---	-22,200	---
Operation and Maintenance (OCO)	---	---	58,675,000	+58,675,000	+58,675,000
Subtotal, Operation and Maintenance	63,471,402	---	58,675,000	-4,796,402	+58,675,000
Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund (OCO)	199,000	---	---	-199,000	---
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (OCO)	4,726,720	---	---	-4,726,720	---
Total, Operation and Maintenance	68,397,122	---	58,675,000	-9,722,122	+58,675,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Procurement					
Aircraft Procurement, Army (OCO)	669,000	---	---	-669,000	---
Missile Procurement, Army (OCO)	128,645	---	---	-128,645	---
Procurement of Ammunition, Army (OCO)	190,900	---	---	-190,900	---
Other Procurement, Army (OCO)	653,902	---	---	-653,902	---
Aircraft Procurement, Navy (OCO)	211,176	---	---	-211,176	---
Weapons Procurement, Navy (OCO)	86,500	---	---	-86,500	---
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps (OCO)	169,362	---	---	-169,362	---
Procurement, Marine Corps (OCO)	125,984	---	---	-125,984	---
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force (OCO)	188,868	---	---	-188,868	---
Missile Procurement, Air Force (OCO)	24,200	---	---	-24,200	---
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force (OCO)	137,826	---	---	-137,826	---
Other Procurement, Air Force (OCO)	2,517,846	---	---	-2,517,846	---
Procurement, Defense-Wide (OCO)	128,947	---	---	-128,947	---
National Guard and Reserve Equipment (OCO)	1,000,000	---	---	-1,000,000	---
Procurement (OCO)	---	---	12,220,000	+12,220,000	+12,220,000
Total, Procurement	6,233,156	---	12,220,000	+5,986,844	+12,220,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation					
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army (OCO)	13,500	---	---	-13,500	---
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy (OCO)	34,426	---	---	-34,426	---
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Air Force (OCO)	9,000	---	---	-9,000	---
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide (OCO)	78,208	---	---	-78,208	---
Total, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation	135,134	---	---	-135,134	---
Revolving and Management Funds					
Defense Working Capital Funds (OCO)	264,910	---	---	-264,910	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
National Guard and Reserve Equipment					
National Guard and Reserve Equipment (OCO)	---	---	2,000,000	+2,000,000	+2,000,000
Other Department of Defense Programs					
Defense Health Program:					
Operation and maintenance (OCO)	898,701	---	---	-898,701	---
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense (OCO)	376,305	---	---	-376,305	---
Joint IED Defeat Fund (OCO) 2/	879,225	---	---	-879,225	---
Office of the Inspector General (OCO)	10,766	---	---	-10,766	---
Other (OCO)	---	---	1,450,000	+1,450,000	+1,450,000
Total, Other Department of Defense Programs	2,164,997	---	1,450,000	-714,997	+1,450,000
TITLE IX General Provisions					
Additional transfer authority (OCO) (Sec.9002)	(4,000,000)	---	(4,000,000)	---	(+4,000,000)
Rescissions (OCO) (Sec.9013)	-140,370	---	---	+140,370	---
Total, Title IX	85,026,942	79,445,000	79,445,000	-5,581,942	---
Net Grand Total	565,093,629	563,698,995	563,900,820	-1,192,809	+201,825

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET RECAP					
Scorekeeping adjustments:					
Lease of defense real property (permanent)	30,000	31,000	31,000	+1,000	---
Disposal of defense real property (permanent)	10,000	8,000	8,000	-2,000	---
DHP, O&M to DOD-VA Joint Incentive Fund:					
Defense function	-15,000	-15,000	-15,000	---	---
Non-defense function	15,000	15,000	15,000	---	---
DHP, O&M to Joint DOD-VA Medical Facility Demonstration Fund:					
Defense function	-143,087	-146,857	-146,857	-3,770	---
Non-defense function	143,087	146,857	146,857	+3,770	---
O&M, Defense-wide transfer to Department of State:					
Defense function	-50,000	-30,000	-30,000	+20,000	---
Non-defense function	50,000	30,000	30,000	-20,000	---
Tricare accrual (permanent, indefinite auth.) 4/	7,258,000	6,963,000	6,963,000	-295,000	---
(OCO) 3/	164,000	---	---	-164,000	---
Total, scorekeeping adjustments	7,462,000	7,002,000	7,002,000	-460,000	---
Adjusted total (includ. scorekeeping adjustments)	572,555,629	570,700,995	570,902,820	-1,652,809	+201,825
Appropriations	(576,381,718)	(570,966,680)	(571,867,468)	(-4,514,250)	(+900,788)
Rescissions	(-3,826,089)	(-265,685)	(-964,648)	(+2,861,441)	(-698,963)
Total mandatory and discretionary	572,555,629	570,700,995	570,902,820	-1,652,809	+201,825

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015
(Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
RECAPITULATION					
Title I - Military Personnel	128,796,287	128,957,593	128,127,640	-668,647	-829,953
Title II - Operation and Maintenance	159,869,726	166,002,818	164,631,638	+4,761,912	-1,371,180
Title III - Procurement	92,861,300	89,660,299	91,227,819	-1,633,481	+1,567,520
Title IV - Research, Development, Test and Evaluation	62,994,741	63,533,947	63,362,890	+368,149	-171,057
Title V - Revolving and Management Funds	2,246,427	1,234,468	1,334,468	-911,959	+100,000
Title VI - Other Department of Defense Programs	35,035,166	34,101,361	33,795,719	-1,239,447	-305,642
Title VII - Related Agencies	1,042,229	1,024,194	1,015,194	-27,035	-9,000
Title VIII - General Provisions (net)	-2,779,189	-260,685	960,452	+3,739,641	+1,221,137
Title IX - Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO)	85,026,942	79,445,000	79,445,000	-5,581,942	---
Total, Department of Defense	565,093,629	563,698,995	563,900,820	-1,192,809	+201,825
Scorekeeping adjustments	7,462,000	7,002,000	7,002,000	-460,000	---
Total mandatory and discretionary	572,555,629	570,700,995	570,902,820	-1,652,809	+201,825

1/ Included in Budget under Operation and Maintenance
2/ Included in Budget under Procurement
3/ Global War on Terrorism (GWOT)
4/ Contributions to Department of Defense Retiree Health Care Fund (Sec. 725, P.L. 108-375)(CBO est)

ADDITIONAL VIEWS—FISCAL YEAR 2015 DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL

With this bill, the Committee has carried out its Constitutional responsibility to recommend the appropriations necessary to provide for the common defense of our Nation. The Committee did this in a collegial and bipartisan fashion consistent with its longstanding traditions.

The base portion of this bill provides $490,751,963,000 in new budget authority covering all Department of Defense (DoD) and Intelligence Community functions except for Military Construction and Family Housing. This is $201,825,000 above the President's request, and $4,109,363,000 above last year's enacted level.

This bill provides the support required for our national security and the protection of U.S. interests at home and abroad. It provides stability for our military personnel, maintains readiness, and preserves the industrial base.

The Committee continues to support efforts on sexual assault prevention and response, supporting high priority Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program requirements, including training and funding of personnel, as requested.

Funding is provided above the President's budget request for traumatic brain injury and psychological health research, suicide prevention outreach programs, and several other invaluable medical programs. The bill and report also carry language aimed at increasing cooperation between the Departments of Defense and Veterans Affairs in their ongoing efforts to develop interoperable electronic health records.

Continuing problems in DoD's strategic forces are also addressed. While it is disappointing that the Air Force included improvements in this area as unfunded priorities, this shortfall is addressed.

The bill emphasizes maintaining the readiness of US armed forces, one of the most important priorities expressed by the Services' secretaries and Chiefs of Staff. The bill provides $1,000,000,000 above the request to fill gaps in key readiness programs, including $135,000,000 for the Army Reserve and Army National Guard. The bill also invests in programs vital to rebuilding and resetting the force after nearly thirteen years of conflict. Examples include additional funding for facility sustainment and modernization, and depot maintenance.

There is a focus on the important work of meeting the fiscal year 2017 deadline for achieving fully auditable financial statements. The measure provides $8,000,000 above the request for the Undersecretary of Defense (Comptroller) to make business and financial system improvements throughout the Department.

One other area of the bill we would like to highlight is the funding increase for the Humanitarian Mine Action program. Albeit a small program, its mission is of immense value. All too often, inno-

cent civilians are the victims of explosive remnants of war. It is only right to share our military's expertise with host nations on the detection, clearance, disposal, and demilitarization of explosive ordnance.

With regards to the industrial base, the legislation retains important Buy America provisions that were omitted from the budget request. Several other provisions and initiatives are aimed at securing our industrial base, including $220,000,000 to establish a program for the domestic development of a next-generation liquid fueled rocket engine.

While the bill includes essential funding and legislative provisions, there are some reasons for concern as well. Fundamentally, these concerns have little to do with the detailed work of the Committee. Rather, these concerns reflect Congress' continued failure to confront the Defense Department's long-term fiscal challenges. In the fiscal year 2015 budget request, the Defense Department proposed significant initiatives to modify military pay, streamline TRICARE, and retire several weapons systems. These initiatives were proposed for DoD to stay under the fiscal year 2015 budget cap, provide for future flexibility, and meet the objectives of the US national security strategy.

In this constrained fiscal environment, DoD indicates that growing compensation and health care costs will limit the Department's ability to fund readiness requirements in the future. From a historical perspective, the Department has seen health care costs grow from 4 percent of the Department's base budget in 1990 to almost 10 percent in 2012. Since TRICARE's establishment, Congress has also dramatically limited beneficiary contributions. DoD has taken steps internally to manage these costs. But these measures alone are insufficient to curb the expected increase in health care costs in the coming years. We look forward to recommendations that the Department of Defense may put forward in the fiscal year 2016 budget request to manage the growth of military compensation.

DoD must also begin to retire aging and underperforming weapons systems to free resources needed for development and fielding of new systems. Unless DoD continues to enhance its weaponry, we risk losing the technological edge that US forces rely upon. Major systems proposed for retirement or termination in this request include: the A–10 Close Air Support (CAS) aircraft, the OH–58D Kiowa Warrior helicopter, the U–2 surveillance aircraft, seven E–3 AWACS aircraft, and the Ground Combat Vehicle.

With few exceptions, these proposals have gained no traction within Congress. Most were excluded or were subject to language prohibiting or postponing their start in the recently passed National Defense Authorization Act. This is not to suggest that the Administration is uniformly correct in these proposals. Nor does this view dismiss the impacts of many of these initiatives. However, the alternative of staying the course and hoping for some fiscal relief in fiscal year 2016 is simply unrealistic. The sooner Congress reaches the consensus required to make the difficult decisions that are essential to deal with the reality of finite resources, the better we can provide for our national defense.

In addition to the lack of congressional action on these proposals, the Administration undercut its own efforts by programming

spending levels above the Budget Control Act (BCA) in fiscal years 2016 through 2019, by submitting the Opportunity, Growth, and Security Initiative, and also by submitting unfunded priority lists.

Finally, and perhaps most important, is the problem faced by the Committee on funding for Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO). The Committee has been placed in a very difficult position in its deliberations on the fiscal year 2015 budget request, having to defend a $79,445,000,000 "place holder". Recent decisions on the post-2014 troop levels in Afghanistan clear up the major policy issue that held back a detailed budget request. Unfortunately, the clarity gained was quickly muddled by the proposed $5 billion Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund and the $1 billion European Reassurance Initiative. At a time when many in Congress are rightfully looking to limit what is an eligible expense in OCO and shift activities to the base budget, these new proposals further complicate the Committee's task. Clarity must be brought to the opaque nature of OCO.

Although this bill leaves a number of long-term issues unresolved, its consideration is necessary and timely. Moreover, the process for preparing this bill, and the recommendations it contains were done in a deliberate and thoughtful manner. As such, this bill upholds the best traditions of the Committee.

NITA M. LOWEY.
PETER J. VISCLOSKY.

○