

**Calendar No. 469**

111TH CONGRESS }  
2d Session }

SENATE

{ REPORT  
{ 111-226

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND VETERANS AFFAIRS, AND  
RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATION BILL, 2011

JULY 19, 2010.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. JOHNSON, from the Committee on Appropriations,  
submitted the following

**REPORT**

[To accompany S. 3615]

The Committee on Appropriations reports the bill (S. 3615) making appropriations for military construction, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011, and for other purposes, reports favorably thereon and recommends that the bill do pass.

*Amounts in new budget authority*

Total of fiscal year 2011 bill as reported to the Senate .....	\$143,530,131,000
Total of fiscal year 2012 advance appropriations included in this bill .....	50,610,985,000
Amount of 2010 appropriations .....	182,750,300,000
Amount of 2011 budget estimate .....	143,531,666,000
Bill as recommended to Senate compared to—	
Amount of 2010 appropriations .....	– 39,220,169,000
Amount of 2011 budget estimate .....	– 1,535,000

# CONTENTS

---

	Page
Background:	
Purpose of the Bill .....	4
Committee Recommendation .....	4
Overview and Summary of the Bill .....	4
Military Construction: Investing in Infrastructure for Our Troops and Their Families .....	5
Department of Veterans Affairs: Transformation to a 21st Century Department .....	6
Title I:	
Military Construction:	
Items of Special Interest:	
Hearings .....	7
Summary of Committee Recommendations .....	7
Reprogramming Guidelines .....	7
Real Property Maintenance .....	7
Incremental Funding .....	7
Global Challenges .....	7
Military Construction, Army .....	16
Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps .....	17
Military Construction, Air Force .....	18
Military Construction, Defense-Wide .....	19
Military Construction, Reserve Components .....	22
North Atlantic Treaty Organization .....	25
Family Housing Overview .....	26
Family Housing Construction, Army .....	26
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Army .....	27
Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps .....	27
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps .....	29
Family Housing Construction, Air Force .....	29
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Air Force .....	30
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide .....	30
Family Housing Improvement Fund .....	31
Homeowners Assistance Fund .....	31
Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-Wide .....	32
Base Closure Account 1990 .....	33
Base Closure Account 2005 .....	33
Administrative Provisions .....	37
Title II:	
Department of Veterans Affairs:	
Items of Special Interest:	
Hearings .....	39
Summary of Committee Recommendation .....	39
Department Overview .....	39
Veterans Benefits Administration .....	40
Compensation and Pensions .....	41
Readjustment Benefits .....	42
Veterans Insurance and Indemnities .....	42
Veterans Housing Benefit Program Fund .....	43
Vocational Rehabilitation Loans Program Account .....	44
Native American Veteran Housing Loan Program Account .....	44
Veterans Health Administration .....	44
Medical Services .....	47
Medical Support and Compliance .....	51

	Page
Title II—Continued	
Department of Veterans Affairs—Continued	
Veterans Health Administration—Continued	
Medical Facilities .....	52
Medical and Prosthetic Research .....	53
Medical Care Cost Recovery Collections .....	54
Medical Care Collection Fund—Revenues Applied .....	54
National Cemetery Administration .....	55
Departmental Administration .....	55
General Operating Expenses, Veterans Benefits Administration ..	56
General Administration .....	58
Information Technology Systems .....	61
Office of Inspector General .....	61
Construction, Major Projects .....	63
Construction, Minor Projects .....	65
Grants for Construction of State Extended Care Facilities .....	66
Grants for Construction of State Veterans Cemeteries .....	67
Administrative Provisions .....	68
Title III:	
Related Agencies:	
American Battle Monuments Commission:	
Salaries and Expenses .....	70
Foreign Currency Fluctuations .....	70
U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims: Salaries and Expenses ...	71
Department of Defense—Civil: Cemeterial Expenses, Army: Salaries	
and Expenses .....	71
Armed Forces Retirement Home .....	73
Title IV:	
Overseas Contingency Operations:	
Military Construction, Army .....	74
Military Construction, Air Force .....	75
Military Construction, Defense-Wide .....	75
Title V: General Provisions .....	76
Compliance With Paragraph 7, Rule XVI, of the Standing Rules of the Sen-	
ate .....	77
Compliance With Paragraph 7(c), Rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the	
Senate .....	78
Compliance With Paragraph 12, Rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the	
Senate .....	78
Budgetary Impact of Bill .....	79
Military Construction Project Listing by Location .....	80
Disclosure of Congressionally Directed Spending Items .....	107

## BACKGROUND

### PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The Military Construction, Veterans Affairs and Related Agencies appropriations bill provides necessary funding for the planning, design, construction, alteration, and improvement of military facilities worldwide, for both active and reserve forces. It also finances the cost of military family housing and the U.S. share of the NATO Security Investment Program. In addition, the bill provides funding to implement base closures and realignments authorized by law. The bill provides resources to the Department of Veterans Affairs for veterans benefits and healthcare and funding for U.S. cemeteries and battlefield monuments both in the United States and abroad, including Arlington National Cemetery; the U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims; and Armed Forces Retirement Homes. The bill also provides funding for Overseas Contingency Operations military construction and advance appropriations for veterans medical services.

### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends appropriations totaling \$143,530,131,000 for fiscal year 2011 military construction, family housing, base closure, veterans healthcare and benefits, fiscal year 2012 advance appropriations for veterans medical care, related agencies, and overseas contingency operations. This includes \$63,849,146,000 in mandatory funding and \$79,680,985,000 in discretionary funding. The table at the end of the report displays the Committee recommendation in comparison with the current fiscal year, and the President's fiscal year 2011 request.

### OVERVIEW AND SUMMARY OF BILL

The Military Construction, and Veterans Affairs and Related Agencies appropriations bill funds an array of programs that are vital to America's military personnel and their families, and to the Nations' veterans. For U.S. military forces and their families worldwide, the bill funds critical infrastructure, ranging from mission essential operational and training facilities to key quality of life facilities, including barracks, family housing, child care centers, schools and hospitals. For America's 23,100,000 veterans, the bill provides the necessary funding for veterans benefits and healthcare, from prescription drugs and clinical services to the construction of hospitals and other medical facilities throughout the Nation. The bill also provides funds for U.S. cemeteries and monuments both in the United States and overseas, the U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims, the Armed Forces Retirement Homes, and military construction for Overseas Contingency Operations.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION: INVESTING IN INFRASTRUCTURE FOR OUR  
TROOPS AND THEIR FAMILIES

Infrastructure provides the framework to support America's military forces and military families at home and overseas. New missions to meet 21st century threats, major base realignments and stationing shifts worldwide, significant increases in Army and Marine Corps troop strength, and the spike in expeditionary facilities needed to support combat forces in Afghanistan have brought a surge of military construction demands in recent years. At the same time, the military continues to be confronted with the need to replace aging infrastructure and provide quality housing and community support facilities for military troops and their families. It is imperative that the infrastructure needs of our troops and their families continue to keep pace with the persistent demand for new facilities—whether it is a need for an elementary school in North Carolina or a barracks requirement in Afghanistan.

Over the past several years, the annual budget requests for military construction have rocketed due to the demand for additional facilities needed to support the BRAC 2005 program and the increase in end strength of the Army and Marine Corps. Due largely to the conclusion of BRAC 2005 construction funding in fiscal year 2011, the military construction budget request has dropped \$4,532,582,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level. With the spotlight again on the regular military construction program, the Committee is concerned that current mission requirements will continue to compete with emerging mission requirements for a smaller pool of available funding, and that efforts to replace aging infrastructure may lose out in the competition for funds. This scenario is particularly relevant in the case of the Air Force Reserve, whose budget request included only one military construction project. During the budget roll-out, it was acknowledged that the Air Force Reserve had fewer "new mission" requirements, leaving the Reserve component on the losing end of the resource allocation. These actions will continue if hard decisions are not made to identify and fund the critical infrastructure needs to support operational activities—whether those activities are new or old. Also stressing the regular military construction budget is the growing demand for infrastructure investment to support major installation realignments overseas in the European and Pacific theaters. Adequate planning and oversight from the Department is essential to manage these competing priorities in a time of budgetary restraint.

Because of the ongoing constraints on the regular military construction budget, the Committee has continued its practice of providing additional funding, where indicated, to supplement the President's budget request, with particular emphasis on mission critical and quality of life facilities. The Committee has vetted each of the military construction projects included in the Committee recommendation with the services and defense agencies to ensure that every project is a priority of the base commander, meets a military requirement, and is executable. Because the military construction accounts are project based, with funding earmarked by the President for specific projects, the additional funding provided by the Committee is also project specific. All major construction projects

funded through the military construction appropriations accounts are included in the President's budget request or the services' Future Years Defense Programs [FYDPs] and are consistent with the criteria for additional funding for military construction projects in section 2856 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337). Each of the major construction projects added to this bill is authorized in the Committee reported version of the Senate National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011.

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS: TRANSFORMATION TO A 21ST  
CENTURY DEPARTMENT

Today's VA is at a crossroads. With aging infrastructure and technology, pressing needs of an aging veteran population, and new combat veterans entering the system, the Department cannot afford to continue to do business in the same manner it has. The Secretary has made transforming the VA into a 21st century organization dedicated to veteran-centric processes his top priority. This includes harnessing technology to move the Veterans Benefits Administration from a paper driven, highly manual process to one that empowers veterans by utilizing computer-based technology. Similarly, the Veterans Health Administration must continue to recapitalize aging infrastructure and pave the way in its use of technology to deliver high-quality medical care. Today's medical treatment requires state-of-the-art hospitals and modern, efficient, and reliable technology. The VA is facing numerous challenges over the next decade, but the Committee is dedicated to providing the VA with the resources it needs to meet these challenges and transform into a 21st century organization.

TITLE I  
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION  
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST  
HEARINGS

The Subcommittee on Military Construction and Veterans Affairs and Related Agencies held two hearings related to the fiscal year 2011 military construction budget request. Witnesses included representatives of the Office of Secretary of Defense and of the active and reserve components of the Army, Navy and Air Force.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The fiscal year 2011 budget request for military construction and family housing totals \$18,747,368,000. The request reflects a decrease of \$4,532,582,000 from the fiscal year 2010 enacted level. In addition to the military construction and family housing request, the President's budget request for fiscal year 2011 includes \$1,257,002,000 for overseas contingency operations. This request is reflected in title IV of the bill and report.

For title I, the Committee recommends \$18,747,368,000, equal to the budget request for military construction and family housing.

REPROGRAMMING GUIDELINES

The following reprogramming guidelines apply for all military construction and family housing projects. A project or account (including the sub-elements of an account) which has been specifically reduced by the Congress in acting on the budget request is considered to be a congressional interest item and as such, prior approval is required. Accordingly, no reprogrammings to an item specifically reduced below the threshold by the Congress are permitted.

The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects (25 percent of the funded amount or \$2,000,000, whichever is less) continue to apply to new housing construction projects and to improvements over \$2,000,000. To provide the services the flexibility to proceed with construction contracts without disruption or delay, the costs associated with environmental hazard remediation such as asbestos removal, radon abatement, lead-based paint removal or abatement, and any other legislated environmental hazard remediation may be excluded, provided that such remediation requirements could not be reasonably anticipated at the time of the budget submission. This exclusion applies to projects authorized in this budget year, as well as projects authorized in prior years for which construction has not been completed.

Furthermore, in instances where prior approval to a reprogramming request for a project or account has been received from the

Committee, the adjusted amount approved becomes the new base for any future increase or decrease via below-threshold reprogrammings (provided that the project or account is not a congressional interest item as defined above).

In addition to these guidelines, the services are directed to adhere to the guidance for military construction reprogrammings and notifications, including the pertinent statutory authorities contained in DOD Financial Management Regulation 7000.14-R and relevant updates and policy memoranda.

#### REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE

The Committee recommends a continuation of the following general rules for repairing a facility under "Operation and Maintenance" account funding:

- Components of the facility may be repaired by replacement, and such replacement may be up to current standards or code.
- Interior arrangements and restorations may be included as repair, but additions, new facilities, and functional conversions must be performed as military construction projects.
- Such projects may be done concurrent with repair projects, as long as the final conjunctively funded project is a complete and usable facility.
- The appropriate Service Secretary shall submit a 21-day notification prior to carrying out any repair project with an estimated cost in excess of \$7,500,000.

The Department is directed to continue to report on the real property maintenance backlog at all installations for which there is a requested construction project in future budget requests. This information is to be provided on the Form 1390. In addition, for all troop housing requests, the Form 1391 is to continue to show all real property maintenance conducted in the past 2 years and all future requirements for unaccompanied housing at that installation.

#### INCREMENTAL FUNDING

In general, the Committee supports full funding for military construction projects. However, it continues to be the practice of the Committee to provide incremental funding for certain large projects, despite administration policy to the contrary, to enable the services to more efficiently allocate military construction dollars among projects that can be executed in the year of appropriation. For fiscal year 2011, the Committee recommends incremental funding for the following projects: Aviation Task Force Complex, Fort Wainwright, Alaska; Technical Application Center, Patrick Air Force Base, Florida; Sensitive Compartmentalized Information Facility, Wiesbaden Air Base, Germany; and Ambulatory Care Center, Lackland Air Force Base, Texas.

#### GLOBAL CHALLENGES

The Committee remains concerned about the status, scope and cost of the Defense Department's global basing strategy, particularly in view of recent challenges facing the planned relocation of 8,000 U.S. marines from Okinawa to Guam, and departmental reviews of the current basing strategy in Europe and elsewhere. In



the past year, as a result of the 2010 Quadrennial Defense Review [QDR], the Department has launched several reviews of its global basing policy, including a “Front End Assessment” of global posture and other key investment areas in an effort to inform the fiscal year 2012 to 2016 budget process.

The Committee welcomes these reviews and encourages the Department in particular to undertake comprehensive analyses of current basing plans in the European Command [EUCOM] and Pacific Command [PACOM], which are among the costliest and most complicated of the stationing plans now underway. The Committee also urges the Department to develop a long term mission plan and funding strategy for the Combined Joint Task Force–Horn of Africa [CJTF–HOA] in the Africa Command [AFRICOM].

Pending a review of the Department’s global basing posture and other considerations, the Committee recommends deferring \$464,624,000 in funding requested in the fiscal year 2011 budget submission for overseas military construction in Djibouti, Germany, Guam, Honduras, Japan, and Korea.

*EUCOM.*—The QDR has already had an impact on the European basing strategy, delaying a decision to return two Army brigade combat teams from Germany to the United States that was a key element of the 2004 global basing plan. According to the QDR, the Department will retain four brigade combat teams and a consolidated Army headquarters in Germany pending a review of the NATO Strategic Concept and an accompanying assessment of the U.S. defense posture in Europe. The NATO review is scheduled to be completed by November 2010.

The Army, however, is moving forward with a robust military construction program in Germany, including \$186,000,000 to support the planned consolidation of its European headquarters in Wiesbaden. In all, the fiscal year 2011 budget request includes \$371,729,000 for Army military construction and family housing in Germany.

The Government Accountability Office [GAO] is conducting a review of the Army’s transformation and consolidation of its forces and infrastructure in Europe. Based on preliminary information obtained by GAO, and the uncertainty of DOD’s basing strategy in Europe resulting from the recent QDR decisions, the Committee believes that Army basing in Europe and the consolidation of the Army’s European headquarters at Wiesbaden should be re-examined in light of the Department’s evolving strategic global posture concept, and that the Secretary of Defense should conduct a comprehensive review of alternatives for stationing forces in Europe.

To ensure that all options have been considered and evaluated, the Committee has included bill language restricting the obligation or expenditure of fiscal year 2011 funding for Army military construction in Germany until the Department has completed an evaluation of the NATO Strategic Concept Review and an accompanying U.S. assessment of its defense posture in Europe, and a “Front End Assessment” of the Department’s global posture for the fiscal year 2012 to 2016 program budget review cycle as directed by the Secretary of Defense in a May 11, 2010, memo to department heads. The Committee has also conditioned the funding on the submission to the congressional defense committees of a com-

prehensive Army basing strategy for Europe based on those assessments, a project-based cost estimate and timeline to fully implement the strategy, and a certification of the requirement identified by the assessments for each of the Army military construction projects in Germany funded in the fiscal year 2011 bill.

*PACOM.*—The Department has several major posture initiatives underway in the Pacific Command that collectively represent the largest restructuring and transformation of military posture in Asia since World War II. These initiatives, including the transformation of U.S. military forces and tour normalization in Korea, the Defense Policy Review Initiative [DPRI] in Japan, and the military buildup in Guam, will require billions in resource investments over many years if not decades to complete. These initiatives are complex projects with major sub-components, and involve the repositioning of the forward deployed U.S. military force structure, construction of hundreds of facilities, the movement of tens of thousands of military personnel and their families, and modifications to training resources and capacities throughout the Pacific region. In addition, the major components of each initiative are in some cases interrelated and interdependent to the extent that the successful completion of one component depends on the successful completion of other components of the initiative. Host nation contributions and host nation-led construction activities are expected to offset a large portion of the costs and produce many of the needed facilities, but those expected contributions and construction programs also cloud the transparency of the overall cost and schedule of the initiatives, create some uncertainty as to if and when the host nation-sponsored construction programs will be completed, and ultimately the potential financial requirements that the U.S. Government will be expected to support. These potential financial commitments span different appropriations, including military construction, operations and maintenance, and personnel accounts.

The Guam military buildup is by far the largest of the PACOM posture initiatives. While supportive of the realignment of U.S. marines from Okinawa to Guam, the Committee continues to be concerned about the cost and magnitude of the construction program, the potential environmental impact on Guam, and the timeline to complete the realignment.

The DPRI agreement between the United States and Japan is based on a strategy to consolidate U.S. forces on Japan to include relinquishing Marine Corps Air Station Futenma and moving a number of the associated units to Camp Schwab, on the northern coast of Okinawa. In addition, the agreement includes the move of 8,000 marines and their estimated 9,000 dependants to Guam. According to the DPRI agreement, completion of the move to Guam and consolidation of units to Camp Schwab is scheduled to be concluded by the fall of 2014. However, given the delay in initiating the realignment, that deadline will be difficult, if not impossible, to meet. Recently, the political tensions on Okinawa regarding the move of U.S. marines to Camp Schwab proved to be the downfall of the Japanese prime minister when he failed to develop an alternative plan for the relocation and complete removal of U.S. forces from Okinawa. The newly appointed prime minister has faced political protest from the residents and elected officials in Okinawa

for acknowledging that the current agreement must go forward. However, Japan's ruling party suffered heavy losses in recent parliamentary elections, due largely to economic concerns, which could weaken its ability to govern, and the Okinawa gubernatorial election scheduled for November could further cloud the future of the realignment process. As part of the move to Schwab, the Japanese have agreed to construct a new runway, also known as the Futenma Replacement Facility [FRF], along the coast of Henoko. The site, however, is currently underwater. The Japanese plan calls for the reclamation of land via landfill to build the new airstrip. In order to proceed with the airfield, the Governor of Okinawa must sign a landfill agreement. According to the DPRI, the United States will only take action to move the marines to Guam upon tangible progress being made to replace Futenma. The Department of Defense views the signing of the landfill agreement, which it considers tangible progress toward the completion of the FRF, as the linchpin for entire plan. The landfill permit was originally expected to be issued in August, but according the Defense Department officials, the permit will likely be delayed until after the gubernatorial election in November, and could be delayed into 2011.

Additional challenges exist on Guam relative to the move. The Committee continues to be concerned about the impact that the massive amount of planned construction will have on the already inadequate water, electrical, road, and wastewater infrastructure on the island. In addition, in June 2009, GAO reported that Guam's existing utility infrastructure is not sufficient to meet DOD's projected utility requirements without further upgrades. While funding and planning for the military portion of the buildup has been programmed, there continues to be a lack of funding resources to address these deficiencies in the civilian arena. The Committee's concerns were echoed by the Environmental Protection Agency [EPA] when its review of the draft environmental impact statement [DEIS] was released in February. The EPA rated the Navy's plan as "environmentally unsatisfactory." The EPA also pointed out the negative impacts that will result with the buildup, specifically the impact dredging will have on the coral reef at Apra Harbor, and the increase in pollution levels on the island, most notably the increase in runoff from the multiple construction projects. The Navy has indicated that it is working with the EPA to address and mitigate EPA's concerns before issuing the final EIS. Only after the EIS has been completed can the Navy sign the Record of Decision [ROD], which would potentially allow construction to begin, subject to possible legal challenges.

To date, Congress has appropriated \$363,800,000 for the Guam move while the Government of Japan has contributed \$336,000,000. It is expected that the Japanese government will provide an additional \$497,900,000, approved by the parliament earlier this year, in the near future. The President's fiscal year 2011 budget request for the Guam buildup is \$452,200,000. However, of the \$1,197,000,000 in United States and Japanese funding appropriated or approved for appropriation through fiscal year 2010, only \$50,900,000 for planning and design has been obligated. No military construction can be initiated until the ROD is signed,

and therefore none of the \$300,200,000 in U.S. funding already appropriated for military construction has been obligated or expended. In light of the current delay in the obligation of previously appropriated funds for the Guam buildup, the Committee believes that providing additional funding in fiscal year 2011 for several of the requested projects is premature. For example, although the budget request includes \$172,900,000 for the second increment of the North Ramp utilities and parking projects at Andersen Air Force Base, the Committee notes that \$110,300,000 in U.S. funding was appropriated for the first increment of those projects in fiscal year 2010 and has yet to be obligated. In addition, the Government of Japan provided \$27,000,000 for the projects in fiscal year 2009, which also has not been obligated. Additionally, the budget request includes \$147,200,000 for site preparation and utilities for the new marine cantonment area at South Finegayan. The Government of Japan provided \$124,800,000 for the project in fiscal year 2009. However, additional land is still required to complete the overall Finegayan construction plan. Based on these concerns, the Committee has deferred funding for the follow on increments of the North Ramp projects at Anderson and the utilities project at Finegayan.

To address the environmental and utility infrastructure needs “outside the gate” that are not under the direct auspices of the Navy, the Committee directs the Department of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees, with the fiscal year 2012 budget request, a report outlining the non-military infrastructure projects needed to support the temporary influx of workers and the permanent addition of military personnel and dependants on Guam, detailing the cost by project, the funding source for each project, and the planned timetable for each project.

The Committee is equally concerned about the potential impact of the Korea Transformation Plan, which includes the consolidation of U.S. forces south of Seoul, the relocation of U.S. Forces Korea [USFK] headquarters from Yongson to Camp Humphries, and the “tour normalization” plan to transition U.S. military deployments to Korea from 1-year unaccompanied tours to 3-year accompanied tours. According to testimony provided by the Commander, U.S. Forces Korea, and information provided through a Committee-sponsored GAO review of the U.S. global posture in Asia, USFK officials have estimated that the end-state expectation of tour normalization is that the 28,500 troops currently serving in Korea will be joined by 41,500 dependents, and 14,200 non-military personnel and their dependents, which would result in a need to support a total DOD population in Korea of approximately 84,000. Tour normalization is a three-phase plan, with the first phase to bring 4,100 families to Korea expected to be completed by the end of 2011. There is no timeline associated with the remaining two phases.

To support tour normalization, the Army has developed a type of public/private housing plan, called the Humphries Housing Opportunity Plan, similar to military housing privatization in the United States. The Air Force plans to use military construction for family housing at Osan Air Force Base. Regardless of the plan, the ultimate cost of providing military family housing for the number of families expected to be stationed in Korea by the end of tour nor-

malization is a major unknown, as is the extent and cost of providing supporting facilities, such as schools, hospitals, clinics, child care centers, and commissaries.

The Committee recognizes the importance of maintaining a strong U.S. military presence in Korea to promote regional stability and serve as a deterrent to North Korea. The Committee also recognizes the Department's long-term goal to phase out unaccompanied tours in Korea. However, the Committee is concerned that the Department not embark on a piecemeal approach to tour normalization without having an end-state master plan and cost estimate for all of the elements of the initiative, including military construction, family housing, operations and maintenance, and personnel costs, before proceeding beyond the initial stage.

In order to provide Congress with comprehensive and routine information on the status of these major DOD posture initiatives in a manner that can be used to provide the appropriate context for budget deliberations and oversight, the Committee directs the Department to provide detailed annual updates on the status of posture restructuring initiatives in Korea, Japan, Guam, and the initiative that will address training capabilities and capacity in the Pacific region as an appendix to the annual DOD Global Posture Report.

These initiative status updates should be provided annually, beginning with the submission of the fiscal year 2012 budget request, until the restructuring initiatives are complete and/or funding requirements to support them are satisfied. The initiative status updates should address the following areas:

- Initiative Description—an overall description of each initiative, the major components of the initiative, the relationships between each component and the overall successful completion of the initiative, a program baseline that provides an estimated total cost of the initiative, expected completion date, and the basis for pursuing the initiative that is clearly linked to specific DOD strategic goals and objectives defined by the Secretary of Defense, Military Departments, Combatant Commander, or Service Component Commands.
- DOD organization responsible for managing and executing the initiative.
- Schedule Status—a comparison of the current estimated timeframe to complete the overall initiative and major components of the initiative with original baseline estimates and the currently approved schedule. An explanation of changes in the estimated completion date or changes in the approved schedule should be provided.
- Facilities Requirements—a comparison of the baseline and current projected number of facilities required to provide appropriate work space, housing, and support services to the population DOD anticipates it will be supporting, including facilities, family housing, commissaries/post exchanges, schools, child care, clinics and hospitals, and any other facility that will be needed to support the military, civilian employee, local national employees, contractor, and retiree population.
- Cost and Funding Status

- Cost Summary—a comparison of the baseline, approved program, and current estimated costs by appropriation; expressed in base year and then-year dollars, addressing all costs associated with establishing, modifying, and sustaining DOD’s posture under this initiative, including costs such as the housing allowance provided to military service members and families that are then paid to external organizations for housing.
- Funding Summary—a listing of the funding profile, by appropriation, for the initiative, based on the current year President’s Budget detailing prior years, current year, future years defense program, and costs to complete; expressed in then-year dollars. All funding requirements associated with the initiative should be addressed, including, but not limited to military construction, operations and support, and personnel appropriations
- Initiative Estimate Assumptions—the key assumptions that drive initiative cost and schedule estimates, including:
  - Population, including the number of military, civilian, non-DOD personnel, command sponsored families and dependants, non-command sponsored families and dependants, and military retirees affected by the initiative.
  - Housing, including the use of public/private partnerships to provide necessary facilities, percentage of personnel and dependents expected to reside in base housing and off the base or installation, availability of host nation land for construction of facilities, and the anticipated host nation funded and/or provided housing construction.
  - Cost Estimating, including modeling used to predict costs, inflation estimates used for then-year dollar projections, and contracting strategy.
  - Financial, including the funding that will be available and provided by military services and other DOD agencies affected by the initiative to cover their respective costs, including the expected overseas base housing allowance that will be provided to military families.
  - Medical, including extent to which each military base or installation will have stand-alone medical treatment facilities, will share medical treatment facilities or capacity, the services provided (medical, dental, vision), dates new facilities will be available for use, ratio of primary care providers to population, and any other element that drives the number of medical treatment facilities and associated infrastructure or personnel required to support the population.
  - Education, including the estimated number of children per family, student distribution by grade level, tuition assistance that will be required/provided, assumptions used to develop related Department of Defense Education Activity [DODEA] cost factors, and any other element that drives the number of schools and associated infrastructure or personnel required to support the population.
  - Support Services, including capacities of commissaries, exchanges, USO, Red Cross or other support services or organizations, necessary modifications to their existing facilities,

and sources of funding necessary to pay for any needed improvements or new construction.

- Local Community Support, including the extent to which local business, housing, medical treatment, education, and other support services will be available and necessary to support the expected DOD population.
- Host Nation Agreements, including any specific agreements with host nations or legal issues that establish or drive specific timeframes for completion of the initiative or major components of the initiatives.

*AFRICOM.*—The Committee understands that Camp Lemonier, Djibouti, has been identified as an enduring location for the Africa Command [AFRICOM] by the Secretary of Defense and as such is eligible for infrastructure improvements to support an enduring operation. However, the Committee is concerned about the future role of the Combined Joint Task Force–Horn of Africa [CJTF–HOA] and its impact on military construction requirements at Camp Lemonier. CJTF–HOA is the largest tenant at Camp Lemonier, encompassing about 52 percent of the camp’s personnel. According to DOD guidance, joint task forces are established to address specific, limited objectives, and are normally dissolved when their mission has been achieved or is no longer required. In an April 10, 2010, Government Accountability Office [GAO] report, “DOD Needs to Determine the Future of its Horn of Africa Task Force,” (GAO–10–504), GAO noted that AFRICOM “has not yet made decisions on the future of the task force—including whether CJTF–HOA should continue to exist as a joint task force” and is continuing to evaluate whether changes are necessary to its mission, structure, or resources to support AFRICOM’s mission of sustained security engagement in Africa. GAO also noted a number of challenges facing CJTF–HOA, including sustainable funding for its activities, African cultural issues, and interagency collaboration. According to the report, “the task force’s sustainability is uncertain because AFRICOM, in concert with the Department of Defense and the Navy, has not developed options for funding the task force over the long term.” GAO concluded that, “Until AFRICOM takes steps to examine feasible long-term funding options and develops a solution to increase the effectiveness and continuity of efforts among CJTF–HOA’s frequently rotating staff, the command cannot ensure that its task force is supporting U.S. efforts in Africa with the appropriate resources and trained personnel.”

In response to the issues raised by GAO, the Defense Department asserted that it has identified a critical need for the task force and therefore does not need to determine whether it should be retained. DOD further noted that CJTF–HOA’s mission of countering violent extremism will remain its top priority for “years to come,” and said that the Department is working with the Navy and the Office of Management and Budget on long term funding options for the task force and Camp Lemonier. However, the Department did not establish a timeline or identify a plan to determine costs and future funding options for CJTF–HOA. The Committee therefore directs the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees, with submission of the fiscal year 2012 budget request, a comprehensive plan for the future of CJTF–HOA at

Camp Lemonier, including an updated evaluation of its mission, structure and resources; a future years funding plan, and a list, by project, of military construction needed to support the CJTF-HOA presence at Camp Lemonier through 2016.

The Committee notes that the fiscal year 2011 budget request includes funding for two headquarters at Camp Lemonier, one for a Camp Lemonier Headquarters Facility and one for a CJTF-HOA Joint Operations Center and Headquarters. The Committee believes that it is both unnecessary and duplicative to build separate headquarters to support both missions on the same installation, not only in light of the uncertainty surrounding the future of CJTF-HOA but also because of the unique link between Camp Lemonier and CJTF-HOA. As GAO reported, “AFRICOM and CJTF-HOA officials told us that Camp Lemonier and CJTF-HOA are separate entities and that the camp could very well endure even if the task force does not, but the two are integrally linked.” It would seem to the Committee that a joint headquarters would facilitate that operational link.

During budget briefings on the fiscal year 2011 AFRICOM military construction budget request, the Committee directed AFRICOM to submit a plan for a single headquarters to accommodate both the Camp Lemonier enduring operations and the CJTF-HOA operations. The Committee has yet to receive the plan, and thus has denied funding for a separate headquarters to accommodate the current CJTF-HOA mission.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$3,719,419,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	4,078,798,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,797,521,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The military construction appropriation for the Army provides for acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, military installations, facilities, and real property for the Army. This appropriation also provides for facilities required as well as funds for infrastructure projects and programs required to support bases and installations around the world.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$3,797,521,000 for the Army for fiscal year 2011. This amount is \$78,102,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level, and \$281,277,000 below the budget request. Further detail of the Committee’s recommendation is provided in the State table at the end of this report.

*Museum Support Facilities.*—The budget request included \$74,800,000 for three museum operations support buildings at Army installations. The Committee recognizes the need to preserve Army artifacts and make them available for display in publically accessible museums. However, the Committee notes that there are no museums at the installations for which the museum support facilities are proposed. The Committee believes that museum support centers should be collocated with privately funded museums on



Army installations in order to fulfill their dual mission of preservation and education. The Committee, therefore, recommends deferring funding for these facilities until the Army can coordinate with private entities for the construction of museums at these locations.

*SOUTHCOM Commissary.*—The budget request included \$19,000,000 to build a commissary at the U.S. Southern Command [SOUTHCOM] headquarters in the city of Doral in Miami-Dade County, Florida. The Committee eliminated funding for this project, noting that, according to SOUTHCOM, there are approximately 80 grocery stores, ranging from specialty markets to big box stores, within close proximity to the SOUTHCOM headquarters, and there are no barracks and no family housing at SOUTHCOM headquarters. In general, commissary construction and operations are funded through the Defense Commissary Agency [DECA] surcharge fund. However, the use of those funds is constrained by certain regulations. The Committee is concerned that those regulations may be too restrictive and directs the Secretary of Defense to review the policies of the DECA with regard to the construction of new commissaries on existing installations.

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded as early in fiscal year 2011 as practical:

*Defense Access Road, Pohakuloa Training Area, Hawaii.*—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,200,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

*Physical Fitness Center, Fort Richardson, Alaska.*—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$2,700,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

*Chapel, Sand Hill, Fort Benning, Georgia.*—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$550,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$3,769,003,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	3,879,104,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,667,922,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The military construction appropriation for the Navy and Marine Corps provides for acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, naval installations, facilities, and real property for the Navy and the Marine Corps. This appropriation also provides for facilities required as well as funds for infrastructure projects and programs required to support bases and installations around the world.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$3,667,922,000 for Navy and Marine Corps military construction for fiscal year 2011. This amount is \$101,081,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level, and \$211,182,000 below the budget request. Further detail of the Committee's recommendation is provided in the State table at the end of this report.

The Committee fully expects a contract for the following project to be awarded as early in fiscal year 2011 as practical:

*Security Upgrades, NAS Fallon, Nevada.*—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,480,000 be made available for this project.

*USNS Comfort.*— Prior to making a determination to shift USNS Comfort's homeport, the Navy shall conduct a cost-benefit analysis and study of the impact of such a shift on USNS Comfort's wartime and humanitarian missions and the manning of the military medical facilities which provide USNS Comfort's medical staff. The Secretary of the Navy shall certify that a homeport shift does not negatively impact cost, mission, or medical staffing flexibility. The Navy shall provide this study to the congressional defense committees.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$1,450,426,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	1,311,385,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,378,688,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The military construction appropriation for the Air Force provides for acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, military installations, facilities, and real property for the Air Force. This appropriation also provides for facilities required as well as funds for infrastructure projects and programs required to support bases and installations around the world.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$1,378,688,000 for the Air Force in fiscal year 2011. This amount is \$71,738,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level, and \$67,303,000 above the budget request. Further detail of the Committee's recommendation is provided in the State table at the end of this report.

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded as early in fiscal year 2011 as practical:

*Civil Engineer Complex, Mountain Home AFB, Idaho.*—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$2,000,000 be made available for the construction of this project.

*Simulation Facility Expansion, Minneapolis-St. Paul IAP, Minnesota.*—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,900,000 be made available for the construction of this project.

*Facility Repair/Fitness Recreation Center, Nellis Air Force Base, Nevada.*—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$867,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

*Fire Station, Altus Air Force Base, Oklahoma.*—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$2,000,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE  
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$3,093,679,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	3,118,062,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,241,601,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The military construction appropriation for the Department of Defense provides for acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, military installations, facilities, and real property Defense-Wide. This appropriation also provides for facilities required as well as funds for infrastructure projects and programs required to support bases and installations around the world.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$3,241,601,000 for projects considered within the “Defense-Wide” account in fiscal year 2011. This amount is \$147,922,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and \$123,539,000 above the budget request. Further detail of the Committee’s recommendation is provided in the State table at the end of this report.

The Committee fully expects a contract for the following project to be awarded as early in fiscal year 2011 as practical:

*Health Clinic Replacement, Gulfport, Mississippi.*—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$2,700,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION

The Committee has provided \$10,000,000 for the Secretary of Defense “Contingency Construction” account, equal to the request. This account provides funds which may be used by the Secretary of Defense for unforeseen facility requirements and military exercises, including those related to overseas contingency operations.

ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM

The Committee recommends \$290,000,000 for the Energy Conservation Investment Program [ECIP] plus \$10,000,000 for planning and design. This amount is \$180,000,000 above the budget request, including planning and design.

The Department of Defense accounts for nearly 80 percent of the Federal Government’s total energy consumption. Energy consumption on military installations makes up approximately 25 percent of that total. According to testimony before this Committee on March 11, 2010, by Dr. Dorothy Robyn, Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Installations and Environment, the Department spent \$3,800,000,000 in 2009 to power its installations, including non-tactical vehicles used at installations. Although installation energy consumption represents only 28 percent of the Department’s total energy costs, installations produce nearly 40 percent of DOD’s greenhouse gas emissions.

ECIP is the only dedicated funding stream for installation energy projects and was originally intended as a mechanism to fund numerous small energy conservation projects with a rapid payback period and strong savings to investment ratio. Although military construction and sustainment, restoration, and modernization funding can be—and is being—used for more costly renewable energy projects, it remains a fact that energy related projects face stiff competition from mission critical and quality of life projects for those funds.

The Committee strongly supports investment in ECIP as a means to reduce energy consumption and greenhouse gas production on military installations, and to promote energy security and investment in renewable energy. In the past 2 years, the Committee has more than doubled the amount of funding requested for ECIP, providing a total of \$394,209,000. Although the budget requests for ECIP have been generally growing over the past several years, reaching \$120,000,000 for fiscal year 2011, the funding level remains inadequate to fully support the ambitious installation-based energy efficiency goals of the Department, which include the goal of reducing energy use by 30 percent by fiscal year 2015, and meeting 25 percent of installation energy needs with renewable energy sources by 2025.

The Committee is encouraged by the Department's investment of a portion of the fiscal year 2011 funding requested for ECIP into energy test bed projects, intended to demonstrate next generation technologies being developed by industry, university, and Department of Energy laboratories. The Committee also commends the Department for partnering with the Department of Energy [DOE] to produce a memorandum of understanding [MOU] identifying strategies to strengthen and coordinate efforts to enhance national energy security. The intent of the collaboration is to accelerate the deployment of DOE technologies and expertise, using DOD installations as test beds to demonstrate and create a market for innovative energy efficiency and renewable energy technologies coming out of DOE laboratories. A specific goal of the MOU is to develop joint initiatives, including pilot or demonstration projects on DOD installations, to address both military and national energy security needs.

Although the MOU does not identify a funding source for either agency, the Committee believes that ECIP would be a logical source of funds to leverage DOD's investment in joint DOD-DOE projects. The Committee is aware of many energy efficiency, renewable energy, and greenhouse gas reduction projects throughout the Department and the services, ranging from major solar, wind, and geothermal power generation plants to photovoltaic and green roof projects to net zero energy buildings. In an effort to facilitate a holistic approach to assessing best practices, applying today's best technologies, and fielding next generation technologies, the Committee directs that of the additional funding provided in this account, the top priority for its use shall be the establishment of installation-wide demonstration projects on one or more bases. These demonstration projects should include, but not be limited to, on-site renewable energy production or purchasing; solar thermal and solar photovoltaic applications; electrical and thermal storage;

micro grid and smart grid technologies; green and white roof technologies; metering of utilities; digital control and sensors on new equipment systems; energy information management systems for control, data collection and analysis, including transmission of energy data via network infrastructure, such as wirelessly over commercially available digital cellular networks, if appropriate; and emerging energy efficiency, water conservation, and clean energy technologies from DOE, industry, or university laboratories, with the goal of achieving a carbon friendly, and if possible, net zero energy installation. The Secretary of Defense is to submit to the congressional defense committees a comprehensive plan, including project details; description of participation with DOE or other laboratories; energy efficiency and greenhouse gas reduction goals; performance measurements; timeline, and cost estimate for the demonstration project or projects no later than 180 days after enactment of this act.

*Green Building Technologies.*—The Committee strongly supports the efforts of the Department to incorporate green building technologies into both new construction and renovations of buildings. As noted in the past, the Committee believes that these technologies should be a fundamental consideration in the design or retrofit of all military construction projects. Although federally mandated sustainable design policies and energy efficiency goals are standard elements of military construction design, the Committee encourages the Department and the services to exceed the basic standards and incorporate leading edge technologies into the construction program. In particular, the Committee believes that the Department should maximize the use of energy efficient, eco-friendly roofing technologies for new construction and renovations, including family housing construction and renovation. These technologies include, but are not limited to, photovoltaic panels, solar thermal roof coatings, green roofs, and cool roofs. The Committee commends the U.S. Marine Corps for establishing a policy that, beginning with the 2012 military construction program, all new building construction and renovations involving complete roof replacements will incorporate roof-top solar, thermal, photovoltaic, and energy reducing coating technologies into the project. In an effort to capture the most innovative of these technologies, the Committee encourages the Department and the services to monitor new technologies emerging from government, industry, or university research and development programs.

In the report accompanying the fiscal year 2010 military construction bill, the Committee directed the Secretary of Defense to submit, with the fiscal 2011 budget request, a report on the steps being taken to incorporate new roofing technologies into the fiscal year 2010 and 2011 military construction program. The Committee understands that the required data are not readily available but will be included in the fiscal year 2010 Annual Energy Management Report, which is due in January 2011. The Committee directs the Department to transmit a copy of that report to the congressional defense committees immediately upon availability.

*DODEA School Initiative.*—The President's budget request includes \$345,142,000 for the construction of 10 Department of Defense Educational Activity [DODEA] elementary and high schools

as part of a major initiative to recapitalize the Department’s network of aging and inadequate schools on a number of military installations in the United States and overseas. In addition to the schools included in the fiscal year 2011 budget request, the Department has embarked on an ambitious program to replace or renovate an additional 106 schools through fiscal year 2015. The Committee views this initiative as a unique opportunity to incorporate advanced energy efficiency features, including the orientation of buildings and sources of light to optimize energy efficiency; water and wastewater conservation measures; renewable energy resources including solar, geothermal, and wind power where possible; smart grid technologies, and green and white roof technologies, as both a contribution to the Department’s energy efficiency and carbon reduction goals and as a teaching tool and learning laboratory for the Department of Defense educational community. The Committee directs DODEA to provide, within 60 days of enactment of this act, a detailed description of the energy efficiency and carbon reduction technologies incorporated into the design of the schools funded in the fiscal year 2011 request. Additionally, DODEA is directed to provide, with the submission of the fiscal year 2012 budget request, a detailed plan for incorporating into each school included in the request site-specific advanced energy and water efficiency measures, including, but not limited to, renewable energy opportunities, smart grid technologies, green roof and other carbon reduction measures, and an assessment of the feasibility of constructing a net zero energy facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, RESERVE COMPONENTS

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$1,622,991,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	1,438,214,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,739,831,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The military construction appropriation for Reserve components provides for acquisition, construction, expansion, rehabilitation, and conversion of facilities for the training and administration of the Reserve components. This appropriation also provides for facilities required as well as funds for infrastructure projects and programs required to support bases and installations.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$1,739,831,000 for military construction projects for the Guard and Reserve components for fiscal year 2011. This amount is \$116,840,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and \$301,617,000 above the budget request. Further detail of the Committee’s recommendation is provided in the State table at the end of this report.

The Committee recommends approval of military construction, Reserve components, as outlined in the following table:

## RESERVE COMPONENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

Component	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Army National Guard .....	873,664	980,072
Air National Guard .....	176,986	337,454
Army Reserve .....	318,175	347,916
Navy Reserve .....	61,557	61,557
Air Force Reserve .....	7,832	12,832
Total .....	1,438,214	1,739,831

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2011 as practical:

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

*Regional Training Institute, Camp Robinson, Arkansas.*—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,900,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

*Photovoltaic Solar Power System, Mather AASF, California.*—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,466,000 be made available for this project.

*Photovoltaic Solar Power System, Ventura AFRC, California.*—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,466,000 be made available for this project.

*Photovoltaic Solar Power System, Los Alamitos AFRC, California.*—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,466,000 be made available for this project.

*Photovoltaic Solar Power System, Banning RC, California.*—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,466,000 be made available for this project.

*Add/Alter Security Police Facility—Bud Day Field, Sioux City, Iowa.*—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,950,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

*Renovation and Alteration, Chicopee Armory, Massachusetts.*—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,554,000 be made available for this project to accommodate the stationing of the C/1–20th Special Forces Group.

*Add/Alter Armory, Bloomington, Minnesota.*—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,986,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

*Range Modernization, Nellis Air Force Base, Nevada.*—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$2,000,000 be made available for this project.

*Road Infrastructure, Joint Forces Headquarters, Omaha, Nebraska.*—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$240,000 be made available for the design of this project.

*Battalion Readiness Center, Quonset State Airport, Rhode Island.*—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this ac-

count, the Committee directs that \$3,729,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

*Armory Addition, Middletown, Rhode Island.*—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$302,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

*Firing Range and Support Facilities, Sioux Falls, South Dakota.*—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$151,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

*Modification of Army Aviation Support Facility, Fort Lewis, Washington.*—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$473,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

*Emergency Generator and Supporting Facilities, Eleanor ARNG Complex, West Virginia.*—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$2,000,000 be made available for this project.

*Mail/ID Center, Camp Dawson, West Virginia.*—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,990,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

*Utility Upgrade, Camp Dawson, West Virginia.*—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,980,000 be made available for the construction of this project.

*JITEC Emergency Power Generator and Supporting Facilities, Camp Dawson, West Virginia.*—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,999,000 be made available for the construction of this project.

*Parachute Rigging Facility, Morgantown, West Virginia.*—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,000,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

*Field Maintenance Shop, Buckhannon, West Virginia.*—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,500,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

#### MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

*Road Infrastructure, Joint Forces Headquarters, Omaha, Nebraska.*—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$210,000 be made available for the design of this project.

*Renovations for Building 2, Blue Ash ANG Station, Ohio.*—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$2,000,000 be made available for this project.

*Alert Facility, Joint Reserve Base, New Orleans.*—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$2,000,000 be made available for the design of this facility.



NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION  
SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$197,414,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	258,884,000
Committee recommendation .....	258,884,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO] appropriation provides for the U.S. cost share of the NATO Security Investment Program for the acquisition and construction of military facilities and installations (including international military headquarters) and for related expenses for the collective defense of the NATO Treaty area.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$258,884,000 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program [NSIP] for fiscal year 2011 as requested. This amount is \$61,470,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

The Committee recognizes the importance and multiplier effect of NATO common funding for joint-use military construction projects in Europe and the United States as well as in support of the war in Afghanistan. It is noteworthy that approximately one-half of the current NSIP budget is directed toward investments to support NATO military operations in Afghanistan. However, the Committee is concerned about the growing proportion of the annual NSIP budget that is being devoted to nonconstruction items, such as communications equipment, information technology systems, and mobile tracking systems.

The primary purpose of NSIP is to provide a common funding source to share the burden of costly construction projects that contribute to the security of the Alliance. According to current NSIP expenditure documents, however, approximately 50 percent of annual NSIP funding is being used for nonconstruction purposes. Although NSIP funds may be used for a wide array of NATO support functions, the Committee is concerned that the current trend may allow scarce construction funds to be siphoned off for other activities, thus delaying funding for needed military construction projects. In addition, this Committee does not have oversight over nonconstruction expenditures or requirements, and therefore cannot determine if the NSIP funding being used for these activities is a validated common funding requirement or is duplicative of other investments.

The Committee believes that it may be more transparent and appropriate to provide funding for NSIP construction and nonconstruction activities in separate accounts under the jurisdiction of the appropriate subcommittees. The Committee therefore directs the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a report to accompany the fiscal year 2012 budget request on the proportion of construction vs. nonconstruction funding in the fiscal year 2012 NSIP budget request and a recommendation for funding nonconstruction activities that ensures both transparency and accountability.

FAMILY HOUSING OVERVIEW

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$2,258,698,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	1,823,191,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,823,191,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Family Housing appropriation provides funds for military family housing construction activities, operation and maintenance, the Family Housing Improvement Fund, and the Homeowners Assistance Program. Construction accounts provide funding for new construction, improvements and the Federal Government share of housing privatization. Operation and maintenance accounts fund costs associated with the maintenance and leasing of military family housing, including utilities, services, management and furnishings.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$1,823,191,000 for family housing construction, operations and maintenance, and the Department's family housing improvement and homeowners assistance funds for fiscal year 2011.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$273,236,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	92,369,000
Committee recommendation .....	92,369,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing appropriation for the Army provides for expenses of family housing for construction, including acquisition, replacement, addition, expansion, extension, and alteration. This appropriation provides for the financing of all costs for construction, improvements and leasing of all Army housing. In addition to quality of life enhancements, the program contains initiatives to reduce operating costs and conserve energy by upgrading or replacing facilities which can be made more efficient through relatively modest investments in improvements. The Department of Defense is authorized to use limited partnerships, make direct and guaranteed loans, and convey Department-owned property to stimulate the private sector to increase the availability of affordable, quality housing for the Army.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$92,369,000 for family housing construction, Army, including construction improvements, in fiscal year 2011. This amount is \$180,867,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$57,369,000 for new construction, as shown below:

## ARMY FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Alaska .....	Fort Wainwright .....	Family Housing Replacement Construction .....	21,000	21,000
Germany .....	Baumholder .....	Family Housing Replacement Construction .....	34,329	34,329
Worldwide .....	Various Locations ..	Planning and Design .....	2,040	2,040
<b>Total</b> .....	.....	.....	<b>57,369</b>	<b>57,369</b>

## CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

## ARMY CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Pennsylvania .....	Carlisle Barracks .....	Family Housing Privatization .....	15,000	15,000
Virginia .....	Fort Eustis .....	Family Housing Privatization .....	20,000	20,000
<b>Total</b> .....	.....	.....	<b>35,000</b>	<b>35,000</b>

## FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$523,418,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	518,140,000
Committee recommendation .....	518,140,000

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing operation and maintenance appropriation for the Army provides for the operation and maintenance of family housing. This includes debt payment, leasing, minor construction, principal and interest charges, and insurance premiums of Army family housing.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$518,140,000 for family housing operation and maintenance, Army for fiscal year 2011. This amount is \$5,278,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

## FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$146,569,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	186,444,000
Committee recommendation .....	186,444,000

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing appropriation for the Navy and Marine Corps provides for expenses of family housing for construction, including acquisition, replacement, addition, expansion, extension, and alteration. This appropriation provides for the financing of all costs for construction, improvements, and leasing of all Navy and Marine Corps housing. In addition to quality of life enhancements, the pro-

gram contains initiatives to reduce operating costs and conserve energy by upgrading or replacing facilities which can be made more efficient through relatively modest investments in improvements. The Department of Defense is authorized to use limited partnerships, make direct and guaranteed loans, and convey Department-owned property to stimulate the private sector to increase the availability of affordable, quality housing for the Navy and Marine Corps.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$186,444,000 for family housing construction, Navy and Marine Corps, including construction improvements, in fiscal year 2011. This amount is \$39,875,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

#### CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$40,424,000 for new construction, as shown below:

#### NAVY AND MARINE CORPS FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Cuba .....	Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay.	Replace Base Housing, 71 Units at Various Sites.	37,169	37,169
Worldwide .....	Various Locations ..	Planning and Design .....	3,255	3,255
Total .....	.....	.....	40,424	40,424

#### CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

#### NAVY AND MARINE CORPS CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
California .....	MCB Camp Pendleton.	Camp Pendleton PPV Phase 8 .....	26,748	26,748
North Carolina ....	Camp Lejeune .....	Camp Lejeune PPV Phase 7 with DODDS addition.	79,908	79,908
Washington, D.C.	Marine Barracks 8th & I.	Replace Interior Passenger Lift Quarters #6 ..	100	100
Japan .....	CFA Sasebo .....	Revitalization of Family Housing Townhomes.	10,769	10,769
Japan .....	CFA Yokosuka .....	Revitalization of Family Housing Apartments.	17,479	17,479
Japan .....	Marine Corps Air Station Iwakuni.	Whole House Revitalization Midrise 906 .....	11,016	11,016
Total .....	.....	.....	146,020	146,020

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$368,540,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	366,346,000
Committee recommendation .....	366,346,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing operation and maintenance appropriation for the Navy and Marine Corps provides for the operation and maintenance of family housing. This includes debt payment, leasing, minor construction, principal and interest charges, and insurance premiums of Navy and Marine Corps family housing.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$366,346,000 for family housing operation and maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps, in fiscal year 2011. This amount is \$2,194,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$66,101,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	78,025,000
Committee recommendation .....	78,025,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing appropriation for the Air Force provides for expenses of family housing for construction, including acquisition, replacement, addition, expansion, extension, and alteration. This appropriation provides for the financing of all costs for construction, improvements and leasing of all Air Force housing. In addition to quality of life enhancements, the program contains initiatives to reduce operating costs and conserve energy by upgrading or replacing facilities which can be made more efficient through relatively modest investments in improvements. The Department of Defense is authorized to use limited partnerships, make direct and guaranteed loans, and convey Department-owned property to stimulate the private sector to increase the availability of affordable, quality housing for the Air Force.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$78,025,000 for family housing construction, Air Force, in fiscal year 2011. This amount is \$11,924,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

## AIR FORCE FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Japan .....	Kadena AB .....	Improve Family Housing, Phase 11 (403 units)	73,800	73,800
Worldwide .....	Various .....	Planning and Design .....	4,225	4,225
Total .....	.....	.....	78,025	78,025

## FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$502,936,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	513,792,000
Committee recommendation .....	513,792,000

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing operation and maintenance appropriation for the Air Force provides for the operation and maintenance of family housing. This includes debt payment, leasing, minor construction, principal and interest charges, and insurance premiums of Air Force family housing.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$513,792,000 for family housing operation and maintenance, Air Force, in fiscal year 2011. This amount is \$10,856,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level, and equal to the budget request.

## FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$2,859,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	.....
Committee recommendation .....	.....

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends no funding for family housing construction, Defense-Wide, in fiscal year 2011 as requested by the President.

## FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$49,214,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	50,464,000
Committee recommendation .....	50,464,000

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing operation and maintenance appropriation for Defense-Wide provides for the operation and maintenance of family housing. This includes debt payment, leasing, minor construction, principal and interest charges, and insurance premiums of Defense family housing.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$50,464,000 for family housing operation and maintenance, Defense-Wide for fiscal year 2011. This

amount is \$1,250,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$2,600,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	1,096,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,096,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing improvement appropriation provides for the Department of Defense to undertake housing initiatives and to provide an alternative means of acquiring and improving military family housing and supporting facilities. This account provides seed money for housing privatization initiatives.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$1,096,000 for the Family Housing Improvement Fund for fiscal year 2011. This amount is \$1,504,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$323,225,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	16,515,000
Committee recommendation .....	16,515,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Homeowners Assistance Program [HAP] provides funds to assist eligible military personnel and civilian Federal employee homeowners who sustain a loss on the sale of their primary residence due to a declining residential real estate market attributable to the closure or realignment of a military installation. In 2009, the HAP was expanded to provide mortgage relief to wounded warriors and spouses of fallen warriors, and to provide temporary mortgage relief to all military and qualified civilian personnel required by the Department to relocate who sustained losses on the sale of their homes due to the mortgage crisis. Program expenses include payments to homeowners for losses on private sales; cost of judicial foreclosure; property acquisition by liquidating and/or assuming outstanding mortgages; partial payment of homeowners' lost equity on Government acquisitions; retirement of debt after sale of properties when the Government assumes mortgages; and administrative expenses.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$16,515,000 for the Homeowners Assistance Program for fiscal year 2011. This amount is equal to the budget request. In response to the current mortgage crisis, Public Law 111-5, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, included a provision to temporarily expand the HAP through 2012 to military and certain civilian personnel and their families who receive orders to relocate and cannot sell their homes or face steep losses as a result of the severe national economic

downturn, not solely because of a BRAC action. The provision also extends the program permanently to wounded warriors who must relocate for medical reasons or to surviving spouses of fallen warriors.

Through the ARRA and the fiscal year 2010 military construction appropriations bill, the Committee has provided a total of \$855,000,000 to fully fund the projected cost of the expanded HAP program. However, given the popularity of the program, the higher than anticipated individual claim awards, and the continued weakness in the housing market, the Committee is concerned about the accuracy of the funding projections and the adequacy of the current funding level, particularly in light of the fact that the Department did not request any additional funds for the expanded HAP program in its fiscal year 2011 budget submission. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide to the congressional defense committees, within 60 days of enactment of this act, a comprehensive report on the status of the expanded HAP program through the end of fiscal year 2010. The report shall provide the following information: (1) the number of claims filed and the number adjudicated; (2) the total dollar amount of claims filed, and the total dollar amount of payments against those claims; (3) the unobligated balances remaining available for the payments of expanded HAP benefits; (4) the projected expenditure of the unobligated funds by category (e.g. permanent change of station, wounded warrior, BRAC impact waiver) and timeline; (5) an updated projection of the number of claims expected through 2012; (6) the estimated cost to fully fund the program through 2012 at the optimal level of benefits; and (7) the projected shortfall in funds, if any, to provide the optimal level of benefits.

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$151,541,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	124,971,000
Committee recommendation .....	124,971,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This account provides funding for design and construction of full-scale chemical disposal facilities and associated projects to upgrade installation support facilities and infrastructure required to support the Chemical Demilitarization Program. This account was established starting in fiscal year 2005 to comply with section 141(b) of the fiscal year 2003 National Defense Authorization Act.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$124,971,000 for chemical demilitarization construction projects for fiscal year 2011, a decrease of \$26,570,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and equal to the President's budget request.

The Committee continues to urge the Department to take all necessary and appropriate steps to dispose of the U.S. chemical weapons stockpile by the 2012 Chemical Weapons Convention deadline and, under no circumstances, later than 2017 consistent with section 8119 of Public Law 110-116. In light of the need for the Department to carry out its mission promptly and safely it will need



to provide close oversight over the execution of contracts at the chemical demilitarization sites to ensure funds are spent prudently and efficiently. The Committee will continue to monitor closely the Department's compliance with both deadlines.

#### BASE CLOSURE ACCOUNT 1990

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$496,768,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	360,474,000
Committee recommendation .....	360,474,000

#### PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The base closure appropriation (1990) provides for clean up and disposal of property consistent with the four closure rounds required by the base closure acts of 1988 and 1990.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends a total of \$360,474,000 for the Base Closure Account 1990 for fiscal year 2011. This amount is \$136,294,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and equal to the President's budget request.

#### BASE CLOSURE ACCOUNT 1990 ENVIRONMENTAL OVERVIEW

From fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2010, a total of \$24,971,667,000 has been appropriated for the environmental clean up of military installations closed or realigned under prior BRAC rounds. The total amount appropriated for BRAC 1990, combined with the Committee recommendation for fiscal year 2011, is \$25,332,141,000.

In appropriating these funds, the Committee continues to provide the Department with broad flexibility to allocate funds by service, function, and installation. The following table displays the total amount appropriated for each round of base closure, including amounts recommended for fiscal year 2011 for BRAC 1990.

#### BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, 1990

[Total funding, fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2011]

	1990-2009	Fiscal year		Total
		2010 enacted	2011 Committee recommendation	
Part I .....	\$2,684,577,000	( <sup>1</sup> )	( <sup>1</sup> )	\$2,684,577,000
Part II .....	4,915,636,000	( <sup>1</sup> )	( <sup>1</sup> )	4,915,636,000
Part III .....	7,269,267,000	( <sup>1</sup> )	( <sup>1</sup> )	7,269,267,000
Part IV .....	9,605,419,000	\$496,768,000	\$360,474,000	10,462,661,000
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>24,474,899,000</b>	<b>496,768,000</b>	<b>360,474,000</b>	<b>25,322,141,000</b>

<sup>1</sup> Not Applicable.

#### BASE CLOSURE ACCOUNT 2005

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$7,455,498,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	2,354,285,000
Committee recommendation .....	2,354,285,000

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The base realignment and closure appropriation for 2005 provides for clean up and disposal of property consistent with the 2005 closure round required by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (10 U.S.C. 2687 note).

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends a total of \$2,354,285,000 for the Department of Defense Base Closure Account 2005 for fiscal year 2011. This amount is \$5,101,213,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

The following chart details projects to be carried out using BRAC 2005 funding. The Committee continues to direct that any above-threshold cost or scope variation, any cancellation of projects, or any transfer of funds among construction projects associated with the BRAC 2005 projects identified in the table following this narrative be subject to the standard reprogramming and notification requirements that apply to the regular military construction appropriation.

**BRAC 2005 PROJECTS**  
[Dollars in thousands]

State	Service	Location	Commission recommendation	Project	Amount
Maryland	Agency—TMA	Bethesda (WRNMMC)	169	Traffic Mitigation, Increment 2	\$7,600
Maryland	Agency—TMA	Bethesda (WRNMMC)	169	Defense Access Roads—Medical Center Entrance	20,000
Texas	Agency—TMA	Fort Sam Houston	172	San Antonio Military Medical Center (North), Increment 4	93,941
Virginia	Agency—NGA	Fort Belvoir	168	NGA Headquarters Facility	83,328
Virginia	Agency—TMA	Fort Belvoir	169	Hospital Replacement, Increment 5	63,637
Virginia	Agency—WHS	Fort Belvoir	133	Office Complex, Increment 4	5,610
<b>Total MILCON</b>					<b>274,116</b>
Various	Army	Various		Environmental	73,511
Various	Navy	Various		Environmental	15,201
Various	Air Force	Various		Environmental	19,555
Various	Defense-wide	Various		Environmental	
<b>Total Environmental</b>					<b>108,267</b>
Various	Army	Various		Operation and Maintenance	887,231
Various	Navy	Various		Operation and Maintenance	321,888
Various	Air Force	Various		Operation and Maintenance	99,570
Various	Defense-wide	Various		Operation and Maintenance	476,764
<b>Total Operation and Maintenance</b>					<b>1,785,453</b>
Various	Army	Various		Military Personnel Moves	
Various	Navy	Various		Military Personnel Moves	1,456
Various	Air Force	Various		Military Personnel Moves	1,277
Various	Defense-wide	Various		Military Personnel Moves	
<b>Total MilPers PCS</b>					<b>2,733</b>
Various	Army	Various		Other	51,678
Various	Navy	Various		Other	3,601
Various	Air Force	Various		Other	6,853

BRAC 2005 PROJECTS—Continued  
 [Dollars in thousands]

State	Service	Location	Commission recommendation	Project	Amount
Various .....	Defense-wide .....	Various .....	.....	Other .....	121,584
Total Other .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	183,716
TOTAL FISCAL YEAR 2011 FUNDING FOR BRAC 2005.	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,354,285

## ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

SEC. 101. The Committee includes a provision that restricts payments under a cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contract for work, except in cases of contracts for environmental restoration at base closure sites.

SEC. 102. The Committee includes a provision that permits use of funds for hire of passenger motor vehicles.

SEC. 103. The Committee includes a provision that permits use of funds for defense access roads.

SEC. 104. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits construction of new bases inside the continental United States for which specific appropriations have not been made.

SEC. 105. The Committee includes a provision that limits the use of funds for purchase of land or land easements.

SEC. 106. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the use of funds to acquire land, prepare a site, or install utilities for any family housing except housing for which funds have been made available.

SEC. 107. The Committee includes a provision that limits the use of minor construction funds to transfer or relocate activities among installations.

SEC. 108. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the procurement of steel unless American producers, fabricators, and manufacturers have been allowed to compete.

SEC. 109. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits payments of real property taxes in foreign nations.

SEC. 110. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits construction of new bases overseas without prior notification.

SEC. 111. The Committee includes a provision that establishes a threshold for American preference of \$500,000 relating to architect and engineering services for overseas projects.

SEC. 112. The Committee includes a provision that establishes preference for American contractors for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific, and on Kwajalein Atoll, or in countries bordering the Arabian Sea.

SEC. 113. The Committee includes a provision that requires notification of military exercises involving construction in excess of \$100,000.

SEC. 114. The Committee includes a provision that limits obligations during the last 2 months of the fiscal year.

SEC. 115. The Committee includes a provision that permits funds appropriated in prior years to be available for construction authorized during the current session of Congress.

SEC. 116. The Committee includes a provision that permits the use of expired or lapsed funds to pay the cost of supervision for any project being completed with lapsed funds.

SEC. 117. The Committee includes a provision that permits obligation of funds from more than 1 fiscal year to execute a construction project, provided that the total obligation for such project is consistent with the total amount appropriated for the project.

SEC. 118. The Committee includes a provision that directs the Department to report annually on actions taken to encourage other nations to assume a greater share of the common defense burden.

SEC. 119. The Committee includes a provision that allows transfer of proceeds from earlier base closure accounts to the continuing base closure account (1990, parts I–IV).

SEC. 120. The Committee includes a provision that permits the transfer of funds from Family Housing Construction accounts to the DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund and from Military Construction accounts to the DOD Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund.

SEC. 121. The Committee includes a provision that requires the service secretaries to notify the congressional defense committees of all family housing privatization solicitations and agreements which contain any clause providing consideration for base realignment and closure, force reductions and extended deployments.

SEC. 122. The Committee includes a provision that provides transfer authority to the Homeowners Assistance Fund.

SEC. 123. The Committee includes a provision that requires that all acts making appropriations for military construction be the sole funding source of all operation and maintenance for family housing, including flag and general officer quarters, and limits the repair on flag and general officer quarters to \$35,000 per unit per year without prior notification to the congressional defense committees.

SEC. 124. The Committee includes a provision that provides authority to expend funds from the “Ford Island Improvement” account.

SEC. 125. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the expenditure of funds at installations or for projects no longer necessary as a result of BRAC 2005.

SEC. 126. The Committee includes a provision that allows the transfer of expired funds to the Foreign Currency Fluctuation, Construction, Defense Account.

SEC. 127. The Committee includes a provision that allows the reprogramming of military construction and family housing construction funds among projects and activities within the account in which they are funded.

TITLE II  
DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS  
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST  
HEARINGS

The Subcommittee on Military Construction and Veterans Affairs and Related Agencies held one hearing related to the fiscal year 2011 Department of Veterans Affairs [VA] budget request on April 15, 2010. The subcommittee heard testimony from the Honorable Eric Shinseki, Secretary of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

Additionally, on May 20, 2010, the subcommittee held a joint hearing with the Subcommittee on Transportation, Housing and Urban Development related to homeless veteran programs administered by the Department of Veterans Affairs and the Department of Housing and Urban Development.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommendation includes \$123,270,764,000 for the Department of Veterans Affairs for fiscal year 2011, including \$63,849,146,000 in mandatory spending and \$59,421,618,000 in discretionary spending.

DEPARTMENT OVERVIEW

The Veterans Administration was established on July 21, 1930, as an independent agency by Executive Order 5398, in accordance with the Act of July 3, 1930 (46 Stat. 1016). This act authorized the President to consolidate and coordinate Federal agencies specially created for or concerned with the administration of laws providing benefits to veterans, including the Veterans' Bureau, the Bureau of Pensions, and the National Home for Disabled Volunteer Soldiers. On March 15, 1989, the Veterans Administration was elevated to Cabinet-level status as the Department of Veterans Affairs.

The VA's mission is to serve America's veterans and their families as their principal advocate in ensuring they receive the care, support, and recognition they have earned in service to the Nation. As of September 30, 2009, there were an estimated 23.1 million living veterans, with 23 million of them residing in the United States and Puerto Rico. There were an estimated 35.2 million dependents (spouses and dependent children) of living veterans in the United States and Puerto Rico, and there were over 530,000 survivors of deceased veterans receiving VA survivor benefits in the United States and Puerto Rico. Thus, more than 58.7 million people, or 18.9 percent of the total estimated resident population of the United States and Puerto Rico, were recipients or potential recipi-

ents of veterans benefits from the Federal Government. The VA's operating units include the Veterans Benefits Administration, Veterans Health Administration, National Cemetery Administration, and staff support offices.

The Veterans Benefits Administration [VBA] provides an integrated program of nonmedical veterans benefits. The VBA administers a broad range of benefits to veterans and other eligible beneficiaries through 57 regional offices and a records processing center in St. Louis, Missouri. The benefits provided include: compensation for service-connected disabilities; pensions for wartime, needy, and totally disabled veterans; vocational rehabilitation assistance; educational and training assistance; home buying assistance; estate protection services for veterans under legal disability; information and assistance through personalized contacts; and six life insurance programs.

The Veterans Health Administration [VHA] develops, maintains, and operates a national healthcare delivery system for eligible veterans; carries out a program of education and training of healthcare personnel; conducts medical research and development; and furnishes health services to members of the Armed Forces during periods of war or national emergency. A system of 153 hospitals, 1,031 outpatient clinics, 135 nursing homes, and 90 VA residential rehabilitation treatment programs is maintained to meet the VA's medical mission.

The National Cemetery Administration [NCA] provides for the interment of the remains of eligible deceased servicemembers and discharged veterans in any national cemetery with available grave space; permanently maintains these graves; provides headstones and markers for the graves of eligible persons in national and private cemeteries; administers the grant program for aid to States in establishing, expanding, or improving State veterans cemeteries; and provides certificates to families of deceased veterans recognizing their contributions and service to the Nation. The National Cemetery Administration includes 164 cemeterial installations and activities.

Staff support offices include the Office of Inspector General, Boards of Contract Appeals and Veterans Appeals, and General Administration offices, which support the Secretary, Deputy Secretary, Under Secretary for Benefits, Under Secretary for Health, Under Secretary for Memorial Affairs, and General Counsel.

VETERANS BENEFITS ADMINISTRATION

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$56,734,419,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	64,013,884,000
Committee recommendation .....	64,013,884,000

ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW

The Veterans Benefits Administration [VBA] is responsible for the payment of compensation and pension benefits to eligible service-connected disabled veterans, as well as education benefits and housing loan guarantees.



COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$64,013,884,000 for the Veterans Benefits Administration. This amount is composed of \$53,492,234,000 for Compensation and pensions; \$10,440,245,000 for Readjustment benefits; \$62,589,000 for Veterans insurance and indemnities; \$19,078,000 for the Veterans housing benefit program fund, with \$165,000,000 in credit subsidies and \$163,646,000 for administrative expenses; \$48,000 for the Vocational rehabilitation loans program account, with \$337,000 for administrative expenses; and \$707,000 for the Native American veteran housing loan program account.

COMPENSATION AND PENSIONS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$47,396,106,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	53,492,234,000
Committee recommendation .....	53,492,234,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Compensation is payable to living veterans who have suffered impairment of earning power from service-connected disabilities. The amount of compensation is based upon the impact of disabilities on a veteran's earning capacity. Death compensation or dependency and indemnity compensation is payable to the surviving spouses and dependents of veterans whose deaths occur while on active duty or result from service-connected disabilities. A clothing allowance may also be provided for service-connected veterans who use a prosthetic or orthopedic device. In fiscal year 2011, the Department estimates that the Compensation program will provide payments to 3,434,556 veterans, 380,525 survivors, and 1,213 dependents receiving special benefits.

Pensions are an income security benefit payable to needy wartime veterans who are precluded from gainful employment due to non-service-connected disabilities which render them permanently and totally disabled. Public Law 107-103, the Veterans Education and Benefits Expansion Act of 2001, restored the automatic presumption of permanent and total nonservice connected disability for purposes of awarding a pension to veterans age 65 and older, subject to the income limitations that apply to all pensioners. Death pensions are payable to needy surviving spouses and children of deceased wartime veterans. The rate payable for both disability and death pensions is determined on the basis of the annual income of the veteran or their survivors. In fiscal year 2011, the Department estimates that the Pensions program will provide benefits to 308,829 veterans and 194,210 survivors.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$53,492,234,000 for Compensation and pensions. This is an increase of \$6,096,128,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

The appropriation includes \$30,423,000 in payments to the General operating expenses, veterans benefits administration; Medical support and compliance; and Information technology systems ac-

counts for expenses related to implementing provisions of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990, the Veterans' Benefits Act of 1992, the Veterans' Benefits Improvements Act of 1994, and the Veterans' Benefits Improvements Act of 1996.

#### READJUSTMENT BENEFITS

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$9,232,369,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	10,440,245,000
Committee recommendation .....	10,440,245,000

#### PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Readjustment benefits appropriation finances the education and training of veterans and servicemembers under chapters 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 39, 41, 42 and 43 of title 38, United States Code. These benefits include the All-Volunteer Force Educational Assistance Program (Montgomery GI bill) and the Post 9/11 Educational Assistance Program. Basic benefits are funded through appropriations made to the readjustment benefits appropriation and by transfers from the Department of Defense. This account also finances vocational rehabilitation, specially adapted housing grants, specially adapted automobile grants for certain disabled veterans, and educational assistance allowances for eligible dependents of those veterans who died from service-connected causes or who have a total permanent service-connected disability, as well as dependents of servicemembers who were captured or missing in action.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$10,440,245,000 for Readjustment benefits. This is an increase of \$1,207,876,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

#### VETERANS INSURANCE AND INDEMNITIES

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$49,288,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	62,589,000
Committee recommendation .....	62,589,000

#### PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Veterans insurance and indemnities appropriation consists of the former appropriations for military and naval insurance, applicable to World War I veterans; National Service Life Insurance, applicable to certain World War II veterans; Servicemen's indemnities, applicable to Korean conflict veterans; and veterans mortgage life insurance to individuals who have received a grant for specially adapted housing.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$62,589,000 for Veterans insurance and indemnities. This is an increase of \$13,301,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and equal to the budget request. The Department estimates there will be 7,051,185 policies in force in fiscal year 2011 with a value of \$1,336,891,000,000.

## VETERANS HOUSING BENEFIT PROGRAM FUND

	Program account	Administrative expenses
Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$23,553,000	\$165,082,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	19,078,000	163,646,000
Committee recommendation .....	19,078,000	163,646,000

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Veterans housing benefit program fund provides for all costs associated with the VA's direct and guaranteed housing loan programs, with the exception of the Native American veteran housing loan program.

VA loan guaranties are made to servicemembers, veterans, reservists, and unremarried surviving spouses for the purchase of homes, condominiums, and manufactured homes, and for refinancing loans. VA guarantees part of the total loan, permitting the purchaser to obtain a mortgage with a competitive interest rate, even without a downpayment, if the lender agrees. The VA requires that a downpayment be made for a manufactured home. With a VA guaranty, the lender is protected against loss up to the amount of the guaranty if the borrower fails to repay the loan.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends such sums as may be necessary for funding subsidy payments, estimated to total \$19,078,000; and \$163,646,000 for administrative expenses for fiscal year 2011. Bill language limits gross obligations for direct loans for specially-adapted housing to \$500,000.

## VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

	Program account	Administrative expenses
Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$29,000	\$328,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	48,000	337,000
Committee recommendation .....	48,000	337,000

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Vocational rehabilitation loans program account covers the cost of direct loans for vocational rehabilitation of eligible veterans and, in addition, includes administrative expenses necessary to carry out the direct loan program. Loans of up to \$1,095 (based on the indexed chapter 31 subsistence allowance rate) are currently available to service-connected disabled veterans enrolled in vocational rehabilitation programs, as provided under 38 U.S.C. chapter 31, when the veteran is temporarily in need of additional assistance. Repayment is made in 10 monthly installments, without interest, through deductions from future payments of compensation, pension, subsistence allowance, educational assistance allowance, or retirement pay. Virtually all loans are repaid in full and most in less than 1 year.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$48,000 for program costs and \$337,000 for administrative expenses for the Vocational rehabilitation loans program account. The administrative expenses may be transferred to and merged with the General operating expenses, veterans benefits administration account. Bill language is included limiting program direct loans to \$3,042,272. It is estimated that the VA will make 3,286 loans in fiscal year 2011, with an average amount of \$926.

## NATIVE AMERICAN VETERAN HOUSING LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$664,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	707,000
Committee recommendation .....	707,000

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Native American Veteran Housing Loan Program is authorized by 38 U.S.C. chapter 37, section 3761 to provide direct loans to Native American veterans living on trust lands. The loans are available to purchase, construct, or improve homes to be occupied as veteran residences, or to refinance a loan previously made under this program in order to lower the interest rate. The principal amount of a loan under this authority is limited to the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation's single-family conventional conforming loan limit. Veterans pay a funding fee of 1.25 percent of the loan amount, although veterans with a service-connected disability are exempt from paying the fee. Before a direct loan can be made, the veteran's tribal organization must sign a memorandum of understanding with the VA regarding the terms and conditions of the loan. The Native American Veteran Housing Loan Program began as a pilot program in 1993 and was made permanent by Public Law 109-233, the Veterans Housing Opportunity and Benefits Act of 2006.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$707,000 for administrative expenses associated with this program. This is \$43,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

## VETERANS HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$45,077,500,000
Appropriations, 2011 .....	48,183,000,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	590,000,000
Budget estimate, advance appropriation, 2012 .....	50,610,985,000
Committee recommendation, 2011 .....	710,000,000
Committee recommendation, advance appropriation, 2012 .....	50,610,985,000

## ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW

The Veterans Health Administration [VHA] operates the largest Federal medical care delivery system in the country, with 153 hospitals, 90 VA residential rehabilitation treatment programs, 135 nursing homes, and 1,031 outpatient clinics, which include independent, satellite, community-based, and rural outreach clinics.

The Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Care Collections Fund [MCCF] was established by the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (Public Law 105–33). In fiscal year 2004, Public Law 108–199 allowed the Department to deposit first-party and pharmaceutical co-payments; third-party insurance payments and enhanced use collections; long-term care co-payments; Compensated Work Therapy Program collections; Compensation and Pension Living Expenses Program collections; and Parking Program fees into the MCCF.

The Parking Program provides funds for the construction, alteration, and acquisition (by purchase or lease) of parking garages at VA medical facilities authorized by 38 U.S.C. 8109. The Secretary is required under certain circumstances to establish and collect fees for the use of such garages and parking facilities. Receipts from the parking fees are to be deposited into the MCCF and are used for medical services activities.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$710,000,000 for the Veterans Health Administration for fiscal year 2011, without collections. This amount is composed of \$100,000,000 for Medical services; \$20,000,000 for Medical facilities; and \$590,000,000 for Medical and prosthetic research. Medical care collections are expected to be \$3,393,000,000. Additionally, the Committee provided an advance appropriation of \$48,183,000,000 for veterans medical care for fiscal year 2011 in the fiscal year 2010 bill. Therefore, VHA will have total resources of \$52,286,000,000, plus any carryover from fiscal year 2010, available in fiscal year 2011. In addition, the Committee recommendation also includes an advance appropriation of \$50,610,985,000 for veterans medical care for fiscal year 2012.

#### AREAS OF INTEREST

*VA Nursing Academy.*—The Committee commends the VA for addressing the nursing shortage through the Veterans Affairs Nursing Academy. This 5-year pilot program is designed to establish partnerships with competitively selected nursing schools to expand the number of teaching faculty in VA facilities and affiliated nursing schools in order to increase student enrollment in baccalaureate nursing programs. The Committee also urges the VA to continue its collaboration with the Department of Defense through the Uniformed Services University of the Health Services by providing nurse faculty and nursing students in graduate nursing education programs.

*Advanced Nursing Education.*—The Committee urges the VA, in conjunction with accredited schools of nursing, to explore the development of a fast track doctoral training program which would facilitate completion of a doctorate in nursing by qualified nurses employed within the VA network who possess a bachelor of science in nursing.

*Licensing Requirements at DOD/VA Collocated Facilities.*—The Committee supports efforts to eliminate additional burdens placed on healthcare providers by requiring two different licensing processes at collocated facilities for the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs. Providing the highest quality of healthcare for service men and women, and veterans is the Com-

mittee's number one priority. In an effort to ensure that providers are able to efficiently and effectively care for their patients without imposing additional burdens on health providers, the Committee directs the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs to examine ways in which duplicative licensing requirements may be eliminated at collocated facilities and to report these findings to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress no later than March 18, 2011.

*Services for Women Veterans.*—The Committee is aware that the percentage of women veterans receiving care at the VA is expected to double in the next 5 years. Many of these women veterans have experienced combat and will present needs that VA has likely not seen before in this population. The Committee remains dedicated to ensuring that the evolving needs of women veterans are met. Accordingly, the Committee encourages the VA to continue and expand their ongoing study of women's issues, develop and provide a capital plan for facility upgrades, and expand outreach to ensure these veterans are aware of the benefits they have earned.

*Infrastructure Improvements.*—In May 2010, the Department of Veterans Affairs announced the Surgical Complexity Initiative to ensure that VA medical centers with inpatient surgical programs have the best available infrastructure in relation to the complexity of the surgical procedures being performed. While the Committee is pleased with this initiative to enhance patient safety, the Department must ensure that this initiative does not result in limitations on specialty of care or quality of care. The Committee is concerned that campus-style facilities in small and mid-sized cities, including the medical centers in Danville, Illinois; Des Moines, Iowa; Wichita, Kansas; Spokane, Washington; Sioux Falls, South Dakota; and Salem, Virginia, have not received necessary infrastructure improvements critical to the care of their patient populations. Not later than 90 days after enactment of this act, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress ways in which infrastructure improvements at small and mid-sized medical centers may be used to expand access for rural veterans to specialty care and improve overall quality of care.

*Outreach to Rural Veterans.*—The Committee urges the Department to partner with State and local organizations to conduct outreach to veterans in underserved areas in order to find and support veterans living in rural and highly rural areas to ensure they receive the care and benefits to which they are entitled.

*Toxic Exposures.*—The Committee directs the Department to take all necessary and appropriate steps in coordination with the Department of Defense to identify and treat veterans suffering ill effects from potential exposure to dangerous chemical agents during their military service. The Department must ensure that healthcare providers at all levels are knowledgeable of occupational and environmental health threats in locations in which their patients have served. The Committee encourages the Department to systematically update providers on specific issues developing in deployed areas and ensure that providers document confirmed and possible exposures in individual medical records and make use of registry programs for long-term medical follow-up and surveillance.

*Cost Saving Initiative.*—Over the past decade, the Department has undertaken an effort to modernize its medical facilities through new construction and renovation. This recapitalization effort is imperative to the delivery of high quality medical care. Often when a new surgical ward or other treatment facility undergoes construction, the VA has to find alternative areas for treatment or contract care to non-VA medical providers. The Committee believes that the VA could achieve cost savings during renovation or construction by either leasing or purchasing mobile units. The Committee encourages the Department to launch a pilot project in at least two VISNs that have renovation or construction projects underway, to lease or purchase mobile surgical units through a full and open competition while construction is underway. Additionally, the VA should develop metrics for a cost benefit analysis to determine whether this approach has achieved savings versus contracting care through local medical providers.

*Rural Telehealth/Electronic Health Records.*—The Committee is aware that the Health Resources and Services Administration [HRSA] within the Department of Health and Human Services has begun an initiative to provide rural healthcare providers with telehealth equipment and electronic health record systems that will complement the VA's Rural Health Initiative. The Committee urges the VA to collaborate with HRSA to ensure that both initiatives succeed in improving the health of veterans in remote and rural areas.

*Blind Rehabilitative Services.*—The Committee cautions that private providers for blind rehabilitation may not have the full specialized nursing, physical therapy, pain management, speech pathology, pharmacy services, lab or radiology support services, along with ophthalmology, optometry, and other important surgery specialists to provide the clinical care necessary for the returning combat eye injured or wounded. The Committee urges that any non-VA medical providers treating veterans demonstrate peer reviewed quality outcome measurements that are a standard part of the Veterans Health Administration's Blind Rehabilitative Service. In cases where it is necessary to refer a visually impaired or blinded veteran to a non-VA blind rehabilitative center, VA should ensure that the center be accredited by either the National Accreditation Council for Agencies Serving the Blind and Visually Handicapped or the Commission For Accreditation of Rehabilitation Facilities, and that the employed specialists be certified by the Academy for Certification of Vision Rehabilitation and Education Professionals.

#### MEDICAL SERVICES

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$34,707,500,000
Appropriations, 2011 .....	37,136,000,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	
Budget estimate, advance appropriation, 2012 .....	39,649,985,000
Committee recommendation, 2011 .....	100,000,000
Committee recommendation, advance appropriation, 2012 .....	39,649,985,000

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Medical services account provides for medical services of enrolled eligible veterans and certain dependent beneficiaries in VA medical centers, VA outpatient clinics, contract hospitals, State homes, and outpatient programs on a fee basis. Hospital and outpatient care is also provided by the private sector for certain dependents and survivors of veterans under the civilian health and medical programs for the VA.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

In fiscal year 2010, the Committee provided an advance appropriation of \$37,136,000,000 for fiscal year 2011. This year, the Committee recommends an additional \$100,000,000 for the Medical services account. When combined, this amount is \$2,528,500,000 over the fiscal year 2010 enacted level. In addition, the VA has the authority to retain co-payments and third-party collections, estimated to total \$3,393,000,000 in fiscal year 2011.

The Committee recommendation also includes an advance appropriation of \$39,649,985,000 for Medical Services for fiscal year 2012. This is \$2,413,985,000 above the level for fiscal year 2011 and equal to the fiscal year 2012 budget request.

The recommendation along with the advance appropriation provided in last year's bill fully funds the Department's estimate for Medical Services, which includes: \$5,235,402,000 for mental healthcare; \$2,574,756,000 to provide medical care to Operation Enduring Freedom and Operation Iraqi Freedom veterans; \$179,000,000 for readjustment counseling; \$1,998,368,000 for prosthetics; and \$799,210,000 for homeless programs.

The Committee has also provided additional funds to implement new requirements carried in Public Law 111-163, the Caregivers and Veterans Omnibus Health Services Act of 2010, which was signed by the President on May 5, 2010. This includes an additional \$24,576,000, as well as \$35,424,000 included in the base estimate, for a total of \$60,000,000, for caregiver support; \$36,000,000 for provisions relating to women veteran healthcare; \$3,000,000 for grants to Veteran Service Organizations to transport veterans in highly rural areas to VA medical centers; and \$23,424,000 for other new requirements contained in Public Law 111-163. The Committee is aware that the VA is currently developing regulations to implement these new requirements and directs the VA to include the budget resources necessary for fiscal year 2012 in the fiscal year 2012 budget request.

The recommendation also includes an additional \$3,000,000 for the Homeless Grant and Per Diem Program and \$10,000,000 to open new vet centers across the country and on Native American reservations.

*Homeless Veterans.*—According to the VA, roughly 107,000 veterans are homeless on any given night. The average homeless veteran served in the post-Vietnam era (1975–1990), is 51, male, single, and has an income of less than \$125 per week. Female veterans are the fastest growing segment of the homeless population, and minority veterans represent 53 percent of the total homeless population. Over the past year, the VA has elevated the plight of



homeless veterans by making the elimination of homelessness a central goal of the Department. The Committee applauds this effort and has provided \$3,400,944,000, including \$799,210,000 to support VA's direct homeless programs, within the fiscal year 2011 appropriation. Further, the Committee has provided an additional \$3,000,000 for the homeless grant and per diem program and \$10,000,000 within the Minor Construction account to support the Department's renovation efforts on VA campuses of underutilized and surplus buildings for the conversion into housing options for homeless veterans.

The Committee remains concerned that the nutritional, housing, medical, and social work needs of homeless veterans living in rural areas are not adequately being addressed by current VA programs, especially in areas where the VA lacks services, leading to an underestimation of the number of homeless veterans requiring care and services. The Committee directs the Secretary to conduct an assessment of the needs of homeless veterans living in these areas and to submit a plan to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress within 180 days after enactment of this act. The plan should address alternative means of service delivery, if appropriate, in areas where VA services are unavailable, such as reimbursing local providers for these services.

*Vet Centers.*—The Committee recommendation includes an additional \$10,000,000 for the Department to establish new vet centers across the country. The Committee is concerned that Native American veterans often have to travel long distances to receive the care in which they are entitled. Over the past several years, the Committee has encouraged greater collaboration between the VA and the Indian Health Service [IHS] to ensure that these veterans do not fall through the cracks. The Committee believes that this collaboration should continue and expand. However, the Department must do a better job at providing Native American veterans access to VA facilities. For instance, there are currently only three Vet Centers operating on Native American reservations. The goal of Vet Centers is to provide a broad range of counseling, outreach, and referral services to eligible veterans in order to help them make a satisfying post-war readjustment to civilian life. These centers play a vital role in providing services to veterans. Therefore, within these funds, the Committee directs the VA to work with American Indian, Alaska Native, and Native Hawaiian organizations to open additional vet centers in Native communities.

*Women Veterans Healthcare Technology.*—The Committee is aware of the advances in medical technologies, specifically advances in digital colposcopy. This device allows medical providers to detect cervical cancer at an earlier stage, which can greatly improve prognosis. Some digital colposcopies on the market today are compatible with the VA's electronic medical record, which can allow providers to compare abnormalities from visit to visit. The Committee urges the VA to move as quickly as possible to acquire this technology and implement it in the field and directs the Department to report back to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress by January 21, 2011, on the number of devices acquired and the locations of their use.

*Virtual Colonoscopy.*—Virtual colonoscopy utilizes noninvasive technology to detect colorectal cancer. The high prevalence of colorectal cancer in the veterans population, coupled with the fact that fewer than one-half of those age 50 and older who should be screened for the disease opt to receive the traditional exams, points to a need for the VA to more actively utilize this noninvasive procedure. The Committee urges the VA to acquire virtual colonoscopy technology and deploy it as quickly as possible.

*Guide and Service Dog Program.*—The Committee directs the Department of Veterans Affairs to fully and rapidly implement section 1714 of title 38, United States Code, regarding the provision of guide dogs and service dogs to qualified veterans seeking such services. Additionally, the Committee directs the Department to continue assisting those veterans with mental illnesses, including Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, who would benefit from having a service dog. The Committee urges the Department to consult with nonprofit organizations with expertise in the training and provision of service dogs and education in the use of service dogs in order to review current policies and regulations. The Department is directed to report back to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress by February 25, 2011, on the status of implementing section 1714 of title 38.

*Northern Rockies and the Dakotas Polytrauma Network Site.*—The Committee is aware that there is no Department of Veterans Affairs Polytrauma Rehabilitation Center or Polytrauma Network Site for the care of wounded veterans with traumatic brain injuries in Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Idaho, or Wyoming. This area encompasses approximately 740,000 square miles. The vastness of this area imposes significant hardships on veterans who require care within the Department of Veterans Affairs Polytrauma System of Care and who wish to live close to home and their families while receiving care. Therefore, the Committee directs the Department of Veterans Affairs to submit to Congress a report on the feasibility and advisability of establishing a Polytrauma Rehabilitation Center or Polytrauma Network Site in the Northern Rockies or the Dakotas.

*Tissue Sample Tracking.*—The Committee is aware the VA may not be using the most current and efficient methods for handling tissue samples for testing. As more veterans with complex needs are entering the VA system, it is critical the tissue samples are handled using best practices to minimize errors within the system. The Committee urges the VA to review its tissue handling process and encourages the Department to examine commercial methods in order to ensure it is using the most efficient and exact system.

*Age-related Hearing Loss.*—The Committee recognizes the incidence and severity of hearing loss due to faulty sensory nerves, known as sensorineural hearing loss, and that the associated costs are increasing at dramatic rates. Currently, there are no approved therapeutics that either prevent or treat sensorineural hearing loss, a leading and costly cause of disability within the VA system. The Committee encourages the VA to examine and support the development and clinical testing of therapeutics aimed at preventing and treating sensorineural, age-related, noise-induced, and drug-induced hearing loss.

*Weber Valley, Utah.*—The Committee is aware of the lack of access to readjustment counseling, including vet center services, for veterans living in and around Weber County, Utah, and directs the Department to report back to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress by October 30, 2010, on how it will meet the readjustment counseling needs of Weber County-area veterans. The study should include the following: veterans current and future needs for readjustment counseling in and around Weber County; the feasibility of establishing a vet center in Ogden, Utah; and the frequency that the Salt Lake City vet center, or any other VA asset, provides on-site counselors to veterans in and around Weber County.

*Infection Control and Injection Safety Practices.*—The Committee is deeply concerned with the rise in the number of disease outbreaks in the U.S. healthcare system related to the reuse of medical equipment, including syringes, scopes, and multi-dose vials. In the January 2009 edition of the *Annals of Internal Medicine*, an article published by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC] revealed the occurrence of 33 outbreaks of viral hepatitis in healthcare settings over the last decade, and during the last 3 years, reports have revealed widespread problems in both the DOD and VA healthcare systems in which thousands of veterans and servicemembers were potentially exposed to hepatitis B, hepatitis C, HIV, and blood borne diseases. The most recent occurrence was in March of this year at the St. Louis VA Medical Center, where an internal inspection led to the discovery that dental equipment had not been cleaned using standard operating procedures or manufacturer’s guidelines. The Committee encourages the Department of Veterans Affairs to work with the CDC to educate and raise awareness for infection control and injection safety practices across the VA healthcare network.

*National Center for Post Traumatic Stress Disorder.*—The Committee remains supportive of efforts being developed by the National Center for Post Traumatic Stress Disorder in advancing the agenda for research and education on post traumatic stress disorder [PTSD]. At a time when independent and Government experts in the area of PTSD are warning of the likelihood that large numbers of returning combat troops from Iraq and Afghanistan are suffering from mental disorders and PTSD, the Department should ensure that sufficient funding is provided for the Center. The Committee directs that the Department submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress by October 1, 2010, a detailed plan outlining the resources being provided to the Center and any expected increases in fiscal year 2011. Further, the Committee directs that the Center’s budget be listed as a selected program highlight in future budget requests.

#### MEDICAL SUPPORT AND COMPLIANCE

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$4,930,000,000
Appropriations, 2011 .....	5,307,000,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	.....
Budget estimate, advance appropriation, 2012 .....	5,535,000,000
Committee recommendation, 2011 .....	.....
Committee recommendation, advance appropriation, 2012 .....	5,535,000,000

The Medical support and compliance account provides funds for management, security, and administrative expenses within the VA healthcare system, in addition to providing costs associated with the operation of VA medical centers and clinics, VISN offices, and the VHA Central Office in Washington, DC. This appropriation also covers Chief of Staff and Facility Director operations, quality of care oversight, legal services, billing and coding activities, procurement, financial management, security, and human resource management.

The President's 2011 submission for Medical Support and Compliance is based on an actuarial analysis founded on the current and projected veteran population, enrollment projections of demand, and case mix changes associated with current veteran patients.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

In fiscal year 2010, the Committee provided an advance appropriation of \$5,307,000,000 for fiscal year 2011 for the Medical support and compliance account. This is \$377,000,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level. Additionally, the Committee recommendation includes an advance appropriation of \$5,535,000,000 for Medical support and compliance for fiscal year 2012, which is \$228,000,000 above the level for fiscal year 2011 and equal to the budget request for fiscal year 2012.

#### MEDICAL FACILITIES

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$4,859,000,000
Appropriations, 2011 .....	5,740,000,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	
Budget estimate, advance appropriation, 2012 .....	5,426,000,000
Committee recommendation, 2011 .....	20,000,000
Committee recommendation, advance appropriation, 2012 .....	5,426,000,000

#### PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Medical facilities account provides funds for the operation and maintenance of the VA healthcare system's vast capital infrastructure. This appropriation provides for costs associated with utilities, engineering, capital planning, leases, laundry, groundskeeping, housekeeping, facility repair, and property disposition and acquisition.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

In fiscal year 2010, the Committee provided an advance appropriation of \$5,740,000,000 for fiscal year 2011 for the Medical facilities account. The Committee recommends an additional \$20,000,000 for the medical facilities account. When combined, this amount is \$901,000,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level. Additionally, the Committee recommendation includes an advance appropriation of \$5,426,000,000 for Medical facilities for fiscal year 2012. This is \$334,000,000 below the level for fiscal year 2011 and equal to the fiscal year 2012 budget request.

*Rural Clinic Initiative.*—Last year, the Committee provided the Department \$30,000,000 for the VA to open new Community Based Outpatient Clinics [CBOC] in rural areas. CBOCs are an integral

part of the VA's healthcare delivery system. Often these clinics serve as the only link to direct VA medical care for veterans who reside in areas where there are no VA hospitals. While the Department has done a better job over the past several years in opening new CBOCs, the Committee was disappointed that the budget request did not include additional funds to establish new clinics in rural and highly rural areas. Therefore, the Committee has provided an additional \$10,000,000 for the establishment of CBOCs in rural and highly rural markets. The Committee directs the Department to provide to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress a detailed expenditure plan for this funding no later than March 1, 2011. The plan should also include a list of all current clinics, regardless of size or contractual arrangements, which provide healthcare services in an outpatient setting. The Committee understands that the Department funds new outpatient clinics through the Medical Services account as well as the Medical Facilities account and reminds the Department that it may reprogram funds between these accounts for this initiative.

*Port Angeles Community Based Outpatient Clinic.*—The Committee is aware there is a need for more medical practitioners to provide services at the Port Angeles CBOC. We are concerned about sufficient access to primary care, mental health, and specialty care for the veterans living in Clallam and Jefferson Counties. While we are pleased at the VA's effort to improve the availability of care for those living on the Olympic Peninsula, we urge the VA to ensure it is adequately staffed in order to meet the critical needs of these deserving veterans.

MEDICAL AND PROSTHETIC RESEARCH

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$581,000,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	590,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	590,000,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Medical and prosthetic research account provides funds for medical, rehabilitative, and health services research. Medical research supports basic and clinical studies that advance knowledge leading to improvements in the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of diseases and disabilities. Rehabilitation research focuses on rehabilitation engineering problems in the fields of prosthetics, orthotics, adaptive equipment for vehicles, sensory aids and related areas. Health services research focuses on improving the effectiveness and economy of the delivery of health services.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$590,000,000 for Medical and prosthetic research. This is \$9,000,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

The Committee remains highly supportive of this program, and recognizes its importance both in improving healthcare services to veterans and recruiting and retaining high-quality medical professionals in the Veterans Health Administration. Through the Department's research and development program, the VA has implemented a comprehensive research agenda to develop new treat-

ments and tools for clinicians to ease the physical and psychological pain of men and women returning from war zones, to improve access to VA healthcare services, and to accelerate discoveries and applications, especially for neurotrauma, sensory loss, amputation, polytrauma, and related prosthetic needs.

*Nursing Research Program.*—The Committee supports the Veterans Affairs Nursing Research Program, which facilitates research on the specific nursing needs of combat veterans and aging veterans. The Committee strongly supports continuation of this program. The Committee also encourages collaboration between VA nurses and recipients of Tri Service Nursing Research Program awards in the exploration of research proposals that improve the health and well-being of their shared beneficiary population.

*Longitudinal Study.*—On September 14, 2009, the Secretary announced that the VA would fulfill its mandate carried in section 212 of Public Law 106–419, the Veterans Benefits and Health Care Improvement Act of 2000, by soliciting bids for the National Vietnam Veterans Longitudinal Study. This follow-up study will provide long awaited data on long-term effects of combat-related post traumatic stress disorder [PTSD] among Vietnam veterans, regardless of whether these veterans were utilizing the VA system, and the effectiveness of VA medical care in treating PTSD. The Committee is pleased to learn that the VA is undertaking this independent follow-up study; however, it is concerned about the length of time it is taking the Department to move forward. Sufficient resources have been provided within Medical and prosthetic research to enter into a contract with an appropriate entity to carry out a study on post-traumatic stress disorder as mandated in Public Law 106–419. The Committee directs the Department to report back to the Committees on Appropriations no later than October 1, 2010, on the progress made in executing this legal requirement.

*Gulf War Illness.*—The Committee directs the Department of Veterans Affairs to report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress not later than 90 days after enactment of this act on specific research efforts related to gulf war illness and the ways in which the Department’s research efforts are coordinated with similar programs at the Department of Defense, the Institute of Medicine and other Federal agencies.

#### MEDICAL CARE COST RECOVERY COLLECTIONS

##### MEDICAL CARE COLLECTION FUND

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$2,954,000,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	2,405,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,393,000,000

##### MEDICAL CARE COLLECTION FUND—REVENUES APPLIED

Appropriations, 2010 .....	–\$2,954,000,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	–2,405,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	–3,393,000,000

#### PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Medical Care Collection Fund [MCCF] was established by the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (Public Law 105–33). In fiscal year 2004, Public Law 108–199 allowed the Department of Vet-

erans Affairs to deposit first party and pharmacy co-payments; third party insurance payments and enhanced use collections; long-term care co-payments; Compensated Work Therapy Program collections; and Parking Program fees into the MCCF. The Secretary of Veterans Affairs has the authority to transfer funds from the MCCF to the Medical services account.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommendation includes the authority to retain co-payments and third-party collections, estimated to total \$3,393,000,000 in fiscal year 2011.

NATIONAL CEMETERY ADMINISTRATION

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$250,000,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	250,504,000
Committee recommendation .....	250,504,000

ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW

The National Cemetery Administration [NCA] was established in accordance with Public Law 93–94, the National Cemeteries Act of 1973. It has a four-fold mission: to provide for the interment in any national cemetery of the remains of eligible deceased servicemembers and discharged veterans, together with their spouses and certain dependents, and to permanently maintain their graves; to provide headstones for, and to mark graves of, eligible persons in national, State, and private cemeteries; to administer the grant program for aid to States in establishing, expanding, or improving State veterans cemeteries; and to administer the Presidential Memorial Certificate Program.

In 2010, cemeterial activities will encompass a total of 164 cemeterial installations in 39 States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico. The Committee’s recommendation for NCA provides funds for all of these cemeterial installations.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$250,504,000 for the National Cemetery Administration. This is an increase of \$504,000 from the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

The Committee has included bill language to make available through September 30, 2012, up to \$25,500,000 of the National Cemetery Administration appropriation.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$7,545,707,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	7,754,492,000
Committee recommendation .....	7,661,807,000

ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW

Departmental Administration provides for the administration of veterans benefits through the Veterans Benefits Administration [VBA], the executive direction of the Department, several top level supporting offices, the Board of Contract Appeals, and the Board of Veterans’ Appeals.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$7,661,807,000 for Departmental administration. The amount is composed of \$2,148,776,000 for General operating expenses, veterans benefits administration; \$439,613,000 for General administration; \$3,147,000,000 for information technology systems; \$111,867,000 for the Office of the Inspector General; \$1,197,586,000 for Construction, major projects; \$485,965,000 for Construction, minor projects; \$85,000,000 for Grants for construction of State extended care facilities; and \$46,000,000 for Grants for the construction of State veterans cemeteries.

GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$2,086,707,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	2,588,389,000
Committee recommendation <sup>1</sup> .....	

<sup>1</sup> Funding for General Operating Expenses is provided for in the General Operating Expenses, Veterans Benefits Administration; and General Administration accounts.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The administration’s budget request proposes that funding for the administrative expenses associated with the Veterans Benefits Administration and all Department-wide offices be included within one single appropriation account. The Committee recommendation includes funding for these functions in two separate accounts: General operating expenses, Veterans Benefits Administration; and General administration, as opposed to one account as proposed in the budget request. The Committee believes that differences in the mission and purpose of the Department’s executive offices and the Veterans Benefits Administration justify providing funding in two separate accounts. Further, separating these two broad categories will provide the Congress with greater visibility of budgetary resources and oversight of expenditures for these two vital missions.

GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES, VETERANS BENEFITS ADMINISTRATION

Appropriations, 2010 <sup>1</sup> .....	(\$1,689,207,000)
Budget estimate, 2011 <sup>2</sup> .....	(2,148,776,000)
Committee recommendation .....	2,148,776,000

<sup>1</sup> \$1,689,207,000 was provided for the Veterans Benefits Administration’s administrative expenses under the General Operating Expenses account in fiscal year 2010.

<sup>2</sup> The budget request includes \$2,148,776,000 for the Veterans Benefits Administration’s administrative expenses within the General Operating Expenses account.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The General Operating Expenses, Veterans Benefits Administration account provides funding for the Veterans Benefits Administration to administer entitlement programs such as service-connected disability compensation, education benefits, and vocational rehabilitation services.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$2,148,776,000 for General Operating Expenses, Veterans Benefits Administration. The Committee



has proposed creating this new account to provide increased visibility and oversight of expenditures associated with VBA. This amount is \$459,569,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and equal to the budget request. The recommendation fully funds the budget request for VBA to address increasing disability claims and the workload associated with Agent Orange presumption claims. The Department estimates that the increase above the fiscal year 2010 level will provide 4,048 permanent jobs for claims processors. The Committee has included bill language to make available through September 30, 2012, up to \$108,008,000 for General operating expenses, veterans benefits administration.

*Vocational Rehabilitation and Employment.*—The budget request included \$12,000,000 for supplies associated with the “printer on every desk” initiative. However, subsequent to the submission of the budget request, the Department determined that implementation costs of this nationwide initiative significantly outweighed the benefits and has informed the Committee that no funding will be expended on this initiative in fiscal year 2011. The Committee directs the Department to utilize the \$12,000,000 associated within this account for the “printer on every desk” initiative to hire an additional 100 Vocational Rehabilitation and Employment [VR&E] counselors and coordinators. The VR&E program has experienced a substantial increase in workload over the last several years, growing from 87,485 in 2007 to an estimated 110,983 in 2010. The Department anticipates more than 122,000 veterans and servicemembers will receive services from the VR&E program in 2011. However, staffing within the program, namely counselors and employment coordinators, has increased only moderately over that same period, from 1,020 in 2007 to 1,155 in 2010. The Committee believes the VR&E program is a critical component in the Department’s efforts to help veterans who have service-connected disabilities obtain employment or live independently in their homes and in their communities if employment is not currently feasible for them.

Staff and skill shortages in the VR&E workforce, as well as organizational weaknesses in the program as a whole, were documented by the Government Accountability Office in January 2009. Therefore, the Committee directs the Department to submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress a strategic workforce plan for the VR&E program, which should include the following: (1) using relevant data to determine what the workforce’s target caseload should be over the next 5 years; (2) using relevant data to identify current and future human capital needs, such as the appropriate number of employees to handle the estimated workload, how they are to be deployed across the organization, and what percentage of cases are likely to require extended evaluations and thus more manpower; and (3) identifying the critical skills and competencies staff will need to achieve the organization’s mission and goals. The Committee directs the Department to submit this strategic workforce plan before obligating these additional funds.

*Claims Processing.*—The Committee remains committed to ensuring that the VA has the resources necessary to provide timely processing of disability claims. From 2000 to 2009, the VA has seen annual new claim filings increase by 75 percent, from 578,773 in

2000 to 1,013,712 in 2009. In fiscal year 2011, the VA is expecting to receive 1,318,753 new compensation and pension claims, a 30 percent increase from 2009. Currently, the average time a veteran must wait to have a claim processed is 161 days. However, the recent decision by the Department to provide compensation benefits to veterans and survivors for three diseases presumed to be connected to service in Vietnam and exposure to Agent Orange, will push the average waiting time to 190 days. Claims adjudicators spend far too much time managing paper rather than rating claims. While the Committee’s recommendation includes the requested funding for new claims processors, the VA must do a better job of harnessing new technology to expedite this process. This includes converting paper records to digital format, utilizing commercial off the shelf technology, and developing new Information Technology solutions to accommodate digital formats. To assist the Department in accomplishing these goals, the Committee has provided funding within the Information Technology Systems [IT] account for the VBA’s Innovation Initiative, as well as the requested funding for the Paperless Delivery of Veterans Benefits Initiative.

*Outreach Efforts in Rural Areas.*—It is imperative that the Department provide widespread outreach efforts to inform veterans of their benefits, particularly education benefits to which they may be entitled. The Committee remains concerned that in rural and highly rural areas and on Native American reservations outreach efforts are lacking. The Committee directs the Department to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress by March 4, 2011, detailing outreach efforts underway to promote the Post 9/11 Educational Assistance Program on Native American reservations and highly rural areas, such as Alaska and Hawaii. Where appropriate the report should include what cultural sensitivity training the VA is providing to its personnel as part of these efforts.

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2010 <sup>1</sup> .....	(\$397,500,000)
Budget estimate, 2011 <sup>2</sup> .....	(439,613,000)
Committee recommendation .....	439,613,000

<sup>1</sup>\$397,500,000 was provided for general administration under the General Operating Expenses account in fiscal year 2010.

<sup>2</sup>The budget request includes \$439,613,000 for general administration within the General Operating Expenses account.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The General administration account provides funding for the Office of the Secretary, six Assistant Secretaries and three independent staff offices. The budget request proposes funding these operations within the General operating expenses account, which includes funding for the administrative functions of the Veterans Benefits Administration.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$439,613,000 for General administration. This amount is \$42,113,000 above the fiscal year 2010 en-

acted level and equal to the budget request. To provide the Secretary with the flexibility to address any emerging needs, the recommendation includes bill language providing transfer authority between line offices, as well as to General operating expenses, Veterans Benefits Administration. The Committee has included bill language to make available through September 30, 2012, up to \$22,000,000 for General administration. The funding recommendation for each line office is provided in the table below.

## GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

[In thousands of dollars]

Department	Fiscal year 2011 budget request	Committee recommendation
Office of the Secretary .....	10,808	10,808
Office of Veterans Appeals .....	75,198	75,198
Office of the General Counsel .....	91,049	91,049
Office of Management .....	48,557	48,557
Office of Human Resources .....	76,177	76,177
Office of Policy and Planning .....	28,783	28,783
Office of Operations Security and Preparedness .....	22,091	22,091
Office of Public and Intergovernmental Affairs .....	23,705	23,705
Office of Congressional Affairs and Legislative Affairs .....	7,247	7,247
Office of Acquisition, Logistics and Construction .....	55,998	55,998
Total .....	439,613	439,613

*Reducing Improper Payments.*—Beginning in 1982, the Federal Managers' Financial Integrity Act has required Government agency heads to establish controls that safeguard Government assets against fraud, waste, and abuse when the Federal Government makes payments to individuals and businesses as program beneficiaries, grantees, or contractors. The Veterans Health Administration's [VHA] Fee Care Program pays non-VA medical providers to treat eligible veterans when medical services are not available at VA facilities or in emergencies when delays are hazardous to life or health, including the delivery of inpatient, outpatient, prescription, and long-term care services. The Fee Care Program has experienced rapid growth in the past 8 years, from \$890,000,000 in 2002 to \$3,800,000,000 in 2009.

VHA's Chief Business Office oversees the Fee Care Program and provides policy, training, and program support to fee offices, which processed about 5 million claims in 2009. Four Veterans Integrated Service Networks [VISNs] have established consolidated fee offices that process all claims within their respective regions; however, in the remaining 13 VISNs, local medical centers are responsible for processing their own fee claims from non-VA medical providers, including the organization, staffing, and management controls for their respective fee offices. Due to the highly decentralized nature of fee offices, the VA Office of Inspector General estimated that VHA improperly made \$225,000,000 in overpayments for outpatient fee claims in 2008 and concluded that VHA lacks internal controls to assess the risk of fraud, waste, and abuse in its Fee Care Program. While it is worth noting that 87 percent of VA fee claims are pre-authorized by local medical centers, likely reducing the risk of intentional fraud, the prevalence of pre-authorized

claims within VA's fee claim workload does not necessarily reduce the risk of waste and abuse, such as overpayments.

The Department's 2011 budget request includes \$1,600,000 for the Office of Management to increase its audit capacity of fee care offices. With these funds, the Department anticipates conducting comprehensive audits of approximately 7 sites, or 10 to 12 fee offices. The Committee strongly supports these efforts and directs the Department to provide up to an additional \$1,850,000 from any anticipated carryover from fiscal year 2010 to fiscal year 2011 to increase the number of audits. These additional funds will allow the Department to conduct 14 comprehensive audits covering 20 to 25 fee offices. Furthermore, because these audit reviews are an inherently governmental function, the Committee directs the Department to develop the organic expertise and technological capabilities within the VA.

*Federally Funded Research and Development Center Study.*—Within the available funds provided to General administration, including available carryover from fiscal year 2010, the Committee directs the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to award a grant or contract to the National Academy of Public Administration, an independent, nonpartisan organization that was chartered by Congress to assist Federal, State, and local governments in improving their effectiveness, efficiency, and accountability, to conduct a study on improvements to management structures of the Fee Care Program. The study should examine the following: the feasibility and advisability of consolidating fee offices within VHA's Fee Care Program; what cost-savings, if any, would be realized if such consolidation occurred; and whether such consolidation would allow for sufficient management controls to reduce improper payments within the Fee Care Program. The review should also include a best practices comparison of such activities conducted by other healthcare payment organizations, such as the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, the Department of Defense TRICARE Management Activity, and the VA's Consolidated Patient Account Centers for third-party billing.

*Report Requirement.*—On March 20, 2010, the President issued a memorandum directing agency heads to expand Payment Recapture Audits to the extent permitted by law and where cost effective. A Payment Recapture Audit, as defined in the memorandum, is a type of recovery audit whereby accountants identify improper payments made to contractors or other entities to uncover such problems as duplicate payments, payments for services not rendered, overpayments, and fictitious vendors. The memorandum references one approach that has worked effectively to identify and reduce improper payments in Government programs: having highly skilled accounting specialists and fraud examiners use new technology to recover improper payments, with their compensation tied to the identification of misspent funds. The Committee directs the Department to report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress by October 30, 2010, on what steps have been taken to adopt Payment Recapture Audits as a means of reducing and recovering improper payments.

*Franchise Fund.*—The Franchise Fund was established in 1997 as a pilot program and made permanent in fiscal year 2006 under

Public Law 109–114. The Committee directs the Department to provide a report on the Franchise Fund’s business plan for fiscal year 2011. This plan should include a list of services, customers, overhead expenses, funds collected for services, and the unobligated balance from the previous fiscal year. The VA shall submit this report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress no later than 60 days following enactment of this act.

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$3,307,000,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	3,307,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,147,000,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Information Technology [IT] appropriation, along with reimbursements, funds the costs of all IT staff salaries and expenses, the operations and maintenance of all existing information technology systems, and the development of new projects and programs designed to improve the delivery of service to veterans. This appropriation also funds the costs associated with the Office of Information and Technology, which oversees the functions highlighted above.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$3,147,000,000 for the Information Technology Systems account. This amount is \$160,000,000 below the fiscal year 2010 level and the budget request.

The Committee recommendation includes \$966,000,000 for staff salaries and expenses, \$1,453,685,000 for the operation and maintenance of existing programs, and \$727,315,000 for new program development, all according to the Department’s fiscal year 2011 budget submission.

On June 19, 2009, the Secretary announced the Program Management Accountability System [PMAS], a new Department-wide management approach to IT projects which centers on incremental development with frequent milestones. PMAS is intended to eliminate long-term program failures by focusing customers, vendors, and programmers on near-term, small deliveries. While the Committee remains very supportive of these new internal governance and accountability steps, implementation has caused the VA to slow projects that are not meeting milestones. This has led to large unobligated balances within the IT account associated with program development, changing the resources needed in fiscal year 2011 for this activity. As a result, the Committee has reduced the development request by \$160,000,000 rather than provide funding which is not needed.

To continue to increase oversight into IT system development, the Committee has included bill language that restricts the obligation of IT development funds until the Secretary or the Chief Information Officer submits a certification letter to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress identifying which project/program is to receive funding in fiscal year 2011 and for how much. To maximize the Secretary’s flexibility, the certification letters may be submitted incrementally, and upon submission,

these letters will serve as the reprogramming threshold for development programs.

Further, the Office of Information Technology is directed to provide an IT expenditure report to the Committees on Appropriations on a monthly basis. This report shall include a comparison to the project costs included in the certifications letter submitted to the Congress, and provide an explanation for any differences in excess of \$1,000,000.

*Innovation Initiative.*—On June 7, 2010, the Secretary announced the opening of the Industry Innovation Initiative Competition, the most recent effort under the VA Innovation Initiative [VAi<sup>2</sup>]. VAi<sup>2</sup> is a Department-wide program aimed at bringing the most promising innovations to VA’s most important challenges by involving employees and the private sector in the creation of visionary solutions in service to veterans. This recent competition targets advancement in innovative housing technology to address veteran homelessness; telehealth; new models of dialysis and renal disease prevention; improvement of polytrauma care; reduction of adverse drug events; and an integrated veterans business accelerator designed to assist in the launch, maintenance and long-term success of veteran-owned businesses. The Committee is very supportive of these efforts being made to incorporate new solutions and commercial off the shelf technology to solve these problems. In fiscal year 2011, the Department has requested \$40,000,000 within the Information Technology Systems account for VBA Innovation Initiatives. The Committee directs the Department to utilize a portion of these funds for an open competition to utilize commercial off the shelf technology to develop a decision support system and automate the VBA’s Veterans Schedule for Rating Disabilities. The Committee further directs that upon award of a contract and development of this automated tool that it be piloted in at least one regional office and that metrics for evaluating its success be implemented.

*DOD/VA Information Technology Interoperability.*—The Committee supports the efforts of the Department of Veterans Affairs and Department of Defense to integrate programs and medical record systems at the Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center, the first totally integrated Federal healthcare facility in the country. The Committee recognizes the significant challenges facing the Departments in their efforts to fully integrate all information management/information technology systems, especially with orders portability, and urges the Departments to take all necessary and appropriate steps to rapidly develop and deploy capacity to integrate information management/information technology systems.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$109,000,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	109,367,000
Committee recommendation .....	111,867,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Office of Inspector General [OIG] was established by the Inspector General Act of 1978 and is responsible for the audit, inves-

tigation, and inspection of all Department of Veterans Affairs programs and operations.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$111,867,000 for the Office of Inspector General. This is \$2,867,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and \$2,500,000 above the budget request. The Committee has included bill language to make available through September 30, 2012, up to \$6,000,000 for the Office of the Inspector General.

#### CONSTRUCTION, MAJOR PROJECTS

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$1,194,000,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	1,151,036,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,197,586,000

#### PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Construction, major projects account provides for constructing, altering, extending, and improving any of the facilities (including parking projects) under the jurisdiction or for the use of the VA, including planning, architectural and engineering services, Capital Asset Realignment Enhanced Services [CARES] activities, assessment, and site acquisition where the estimated cost of a project is more than the amount set forth in 38 U.S.C. 8104(a)(3)(A). Proceeds realized from Enhanced Use Lease activities may be deposited into the Construction, major projects and Construction, minor projects accounts.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,197,586,000 for the construction of major projects. This is \$3,586,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and \$46,550,000 above the budget request.

The following table reflects the President's budget request for major construction projects and activities, and the corresponding Committee recommendations.

[In thousands of dollars]

Location and description	Fiscal year 2011 request	Committee recommendation
Veterans Health Administration (VHA):		
New Orleans, LA—New Medical Facility .....	310,000	310,000
Denver, CO—New Medical Facility .....	450,700	450,700
Palo Alto, CA—Polytrauma/Ambulatory Care Replacement .....	30,000	30,000
Alameda Point, CA—Outpatient Clinic and Columbarium (Design) .....	17,332	17,332
Omaha, NE—Hospital Replacement Facility (Design) .....	56,000	56,000
Beckley, WV—Nursing Home Care Unit .....	.....	46,550
Advanced Planning Fund—Various Locations .....	89,750	89,750
Facility Security Projects—Various Locations .....	41,390	41,390
Judgment Fund—Various Locations .....	6,000	6,000
BRAC Land Acquisition—Various Locations .....	13,000	13,000
Resident Engineers for Major Construction—Various Locations .....	23,964	23,964
<b>Total VHA .....</b>	<b>1,038,136</b>	<b>1,084,686</b>

[In thousands of dollars]

Location and description	Fiscal year 2011 request	Committee recommendation
National Cemetery Administration [NCA]:		
Indiantown Gap, PA—Gravesite Expansion and Cemetery Improvements—Phase 4 .....	23,500	23,500
Los Angeles, CA—Columbarium Expansion .....	27,600	27,600
Tahoma, WA—Gravesite Expansion and Cemetery Improvements—Phase 2 .....	25,800	25,800
Advanced Planning Fund—Various Locations .....	20,000	20,000
NCA Land Acquisition Fund—Various Locations .....	10,000	10,000
Total NCA .....	106,900	106,900
General Administration—Staff Offices Department Advance Planning Fund .....	6,000	6,000
Total construction, major projects .....	1,151,036	1,197,586

*Strategic Capital Investment Planning.*—The Committee is aware that the Department has recently undertaken a review and new strategic approach to address the problem of aging infrastructure in poor condition, underutilized properties, and/or a lack of sufficient infrastructure. The goal of this new planning approach is to provide senior decisionmakers with a 10- to 20-year view of all capital needs based on clearly stated standards, an assessment of current facilities, and an analysis of gaps between the standards and the current condition and location of VA facilities. According to the Department, this process will drive future major construction, minor construction and recurring and non-recurring budget requests. This review is anticipated to be completed in fiscal year 2011, in order to inform the 2012 budget request. The Committee believes that such a review should lead to a comprehensive capital plan with current and future cost estimates of all capital needs, by fiscal year, similar to the Department of Defense's Future Years Defense Plan, which helps define allocation of funding for military construction projects. Therefore, the Committee directs the Department to submit with the fiscal year 2012 budget request all findings associated with this review.

*Energy Efficiency.*—The Committee recognizes VA's initiative and accomplishments to date in greening the agency, and wishes to ensure that VA continues these efforts at a level that will allow both short- and long-term sustainability goals to be achieved. The recommendation includes \$272,396,000 in resources for the Green Management Program in fiscal year 2011. The Committee's desire is to provide green management program funding—covering renewable energy, energy efficiency, environmental management, greenhouse gas pollution reduction, sustainable building, and vehicle fleet management efforts—sufficient to provide VA the means for continuing achievements in these areas. Therefore the Committee directs the VA to submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress, within 60 days of enactment of this act, a "Greening VA" operating plan that addresses all program components. The plan should include resource levels for all agency components and administrations for fiscal year 2011.

*Assessment of Real Estate Inventory.*—The Committee endorses the administration's recently announced effort to dispose of unneeded Federal real estate. The Committee wants to ensure that



VA has a comprehensive plan and effort to manage its portfolio of real estate at an optimal level in support of serving veterans. VA should use all available tools, including public-private ventures, to reduce the amount of unneeded assets. The Committee wants to ensure that all VA mission requirements, including efforts to provide supportive services and housing options for homeless veterans, are fully addressed in VA's plan to reduce unneeded assets. The Committee directs the Department to provide a report within 60 days of enactment of this act to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress, detailing all surplus and underutilized buildings within the VA real estate portfolio. The report should include any recommendation for future use consistent with the VA's mission, and if appropriate, any plans for disposal of the facility.

*Joint Facilities.*—The Department of Defense [DOD] and Department of Veterans Affairs are currently undertaking a joint venture in North Chicago, where VA and DOD are consolidating their medical missions into one integrated hospital. The Committee has been supportive of this effort and has provided bill language to allow the VA to transfer money into a joint account with DOD. Both Departments believe significant cost savings can be achieved through this effort. The Committee believes that the VA should develop a strategic capital plan that addresses future joint ventures with DOD, as well with other Federal healthcare providers and academic affiliates. The Committee directs the Department to submit a joint venture strategic capital plan to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress by March 25, 2011, outlining what future collaborative efforts with the DOD, other Federal providers and academic affiliates are planned. This plan should include capital cost estimates, the degree to which integration will occur, and potential cost savings.

CONSTRUCTION, MINOR PROJECTS

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$703,000,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	467,700,000
Committee recommendation .....	485,965,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Construction, minor projects account provides for constructing, altering, extending, and improving any of the facilities (including parking) under the jurisdiction or for the use of the VA, including planning, CARES activities, assessment of needs, architectural and engineering services, and site acquisition, where the estimated cost of a project is equal to or less than \$10,000,000. Public Law 106-117, the Veterans Millennium Health Care and Benefits Act of 1999, gave the VA the authority to make capital contributions from minor construction in enhanced-use leases. Proceeds realized from enhanced-use lease activities may be deposited into the Construction, major projects and Construction, minor projects accounts.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$485,965,000 for minor construction. This is \$217,035,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and \$18,265,000 above the budget request.

The Committee has provided an additional \$8,265,000 for construction and renovation needs associated with the hiring of new claims processors. The recommendation includes \$386,977,000 for the Veterans Health Administration, \$43,573,000 for the National Cemetery Administration, \$22,625,000 for General Administration—Staff Offices, and \$22,790,000 for the Veterans Benefits Administration. The Committee directs the Department to provide an expenditure plan within 30 days of enactment of this act for the amount appropriated for minor construction.

*Family Friendly Homeless Veterans Housing Initiative.*—The recommendation includes an additional \$10,000,000 for the VA’s building reuse initiative. This new initiative is a fast-track process that identifies and evaluates underutilized buildings in VA’s inventory for potential reuse. Once identified, opportunities will be pursued through either Enhanced Use Lease agreements, minor construction or a sharing agreement to bring services, including homeless programs, to veterans in the quickest manner possible. The review is also identifying buildings for demolition where land could then be redeveloped for homeless housing. In fiscal year 2010, the VA utilized a portion of the \$50,000,000 provided by Congress last year for minor construction needs to serve homeless veterans. These funds are being used to bring identified buildings up to code by remediating environmental and safety deficiencies, including asbestos abatement, enabling reuse development design and construction to move forward. The Committee directs that the additional funds included in the recommendation be used for conversion of surplus or underutilized buildings on VA campuses for housing homeless veterans and their families, with a particular emphasis on women veterans as well as veterans at risk for homelessness. The Committee further directs that a portion of these funds be used for renovation and construction at medical centers that serve rural or highly rural populations. The Department is directed to submit an expenditure plan for this initiative within 60 days of enactment of the act, to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress.

GRANTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF STATE EXTENDED CARE FACILITIES

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$100,000,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	85,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	85,000,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This account is used to provide grants to assist States in acquiring or constructing State home facilities for furnishing domiciliary or nursing home care to veterans, and to expand, remodel or alter existing buildings for furnishing domiciliary, nursing home, or hospital care to veterans in State homes. The grant may not exceed 65 percent of the total cost of the project. Public Law 102–585 granted permanent authority for this program, and Public Law 106–117 provided greater specificity in directing VA to prescribe regulations for the number of beds for which grant assistance may be furnished. This program has been a successful partnership between the States and the VA in meeting the long-term care needs of elderly veterans for decades.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$85,000,000 for grants for the construction of State extended care facilities. This is \$15,000,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

*Long-term Care.*—The Committee is concerned about the VA’s ability to plan for and meet the long-term care needs of America’s veterans. The Committee is aware that the VA has decreased Community Living Center [CLC] capacity and that 65 percent of patient workload is now being met by community and State veterans homes. In light of the projected increase in elderly veterans in future years, additional State veterans homes will need to be built. However, given the ongoing budget deficits being experienced by State governments across the country, the Committee is concerned that the States will be unable to contribute the requisite 35 percent of total construction costs needed to obtain Federal matching funds. Accordingly, the Committee urges the VA to explore alternative financing mechanisms to ensure that a sufficient number of State veterans homes are available to meet the needs of future patients.

*Walla Walla State Veterans Home.*—The Committee is aware of the collaborative efforts of the Walla Walla VA Medical Center and the Washington State Department of Veterans Affairs to meet the long-term care needs of veterans in southeast Washington and northern Oregon by building a long-term care facility on the Walla Walla VA Medical Center grounds. The Committee supports the creation of a jointly operated veterans home in Walla Walla, Washington. The establishment of this new veterans home will complement the expanded Community Based Outpatient Clinic in Walla Walla offering a variety of specialty services and providing great value to the adjacent home. The Committee is also supportive of the development of a tri-state compact allowing eligible residents in Oregon and Idaho access to the new State veterans home.

## GRANTS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF STATE VETERANS CEMETERIES

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$46,000,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	46,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	46,000,000

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Public Law 105–368 amended title 38 U.S.C. 2408 and established authority to provide aid to States for establishment, expansion, and improvement of State veterans cemeteries, which are operated and permanently maintained by the States. This statutory change increased the maximum Federal share from 50 percent to 100 percent in order to fund construction costs and the initial equipment expenses when the cemetery is established. The States remain responsible for providing the land and for paying all costs related to the operation and maintenance of the State cemeteries, including the costs for subsequent equipment purchases.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$46,000,000 for grants for the construction of State veterans cemeteries. This is equal to the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

## ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

SEC. 201. The Committee includes a provision which outlines re-programming authority and responsibilities for the Veterans Benefits Administration.

SEC. 202. The Committee includes a provision which outlines re-programming authority and responsibilities for the Veterans Health Administration.

SEC. 203. The Committee includes a provision which outlines the use of the Salaries and expenses account.

SEC. 204. The Committee includes a provision mandating that only construction funds may be used for land procurement.

SEC. 205. The Committee includes a provision allowing for reimbursements to the Medical services account.

SEC. 206. The Committee includes a provision allowing for payments of prior year obligations.

SEC. 207. The Committee includes a provision which allows for the use of fiscal year 2010 funds for prior year obligations.

SEC. 208. The Committee includes a provision which allows for payments from the National Service Life Insurance Fund.

SEC. 209. The Committee includes a provision which outlines the use of funds from enhanced-use lease proceeds.

SEC. 210. The Committee includes a provision which provides for funds for the Office of Resolution Management and the Office of Employment Discrimination Complaint Adjudication.

SEC. 211. The Committee includes a provision which sets a limit on new leases without congressional approval.

SEC. 212. The Committee includes a provision which requires disclosure of third-party reimbursement information.

SEC. 213. The Committee includes a provision which allows for the transfer of revenue derived from enhanced use leases into the construction accounts.

SEC. 214. The Committee includes a provision which outlines authorized uses for medical services funds.

SEC. 215. The Committee includes a provision which allows funds in the Medical Care Collection Fund to be transferred into the Medical Services account.

SEC. 216. The Committee includes a provision which allows eligible veterans in the State of Alaska to obtain medical care services.

SEC. 217. The Committee includes a provision which allows for the transfer of funds into the construction accounts.

SEC. 218. The Committee includes a provision which allows for outreach and marketing to enroll new veterans.

SEC. 219. The Committee includes a provision requiring the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to submit quarterly financial reports on the Veterans Health Administration.

SEC. 220. The Committee includes a provision outlining transfer authority to the information technology systems account.

SEC. 221. The Committee includes a provision outlining limits on transfers within the information technology systems account.

SEC. 222. The Committee includes a provision allowing the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to transfer limited funds to the Department of Health and Human Services, Graduate Psychology Education Program.

SEC. 223. The Committee includes a provision prohibiting any funds to be used to contract out any functions performed by more than 10 employees without a fair competition process.

SEC. 224. The Committee includes a provision limiting the amount of nonrecurring maintenance funds that can be obligated during the last 2 months of the fiscal year.

SEC. 225. The Committee includes a provision rescinding funds from certain accounts and appropriating additional amounts with 2-year authority.

SEC. 226. The Committee includes a provision allowing for the transfer of funds from certain accounts to the Joint Department of Defense/Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund, as authorized by Public Law 111-84.

SEC. 227. The Committee includes a provision allowing for the transfer of certain funds deposited in the Medical Care Collections Fund to the Joint Department of Defense/Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund, as authorized by Public Law 111-84.

SEC. 228. The Committee includes a provision allowing a minimum of \$15,000,000 to be transferred from Medical services, Medical support and compliance, and Medical facilities to the Department of Defense/Department of Veterans Affairs Health Care Sharing Incentive Fund, as authorized by section 8111 of title 38, United States Code.

SEC. 229. The Committee includes a provision providing an additional amount to the General administration account to increase the Department's acquisition workforce. This provision was included as title V general provision in the administration's budget submission. This provision only applies to the Department of Veterans Affairs; therefore, the Committee has included it as an administrative provision in title II.

SEC. 230. The Committee includes a provision requiring notification of all bid savings for major construction projects.

SEC. 231. The Committee includes a provision for the construction of a major medical facility.

TITLE III  
RELATED AGENCIES

AMERICAN BATTLE MONUMENTS COMMISSION

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The American Battle Monuments Commission [ABMC] is responsible for the following: the maintenance and construction of U.S. monuments and memorials commemorating the achievements in battle of our Armed Forces since April 1917 (the date of the United States entry into World War I); the erection of monuments and markers by U.S. citizens and organizations in foreign countries; and the design, construction, and maintenance of permanent military cemetery memorials in foreign countries. The Commission maintains 24 military memorial cemeteries and 31 monuments, memorials, and markers in 15 countries around the world, including three memorials on U.S. soil.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$62,675,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	64,200,000
Committee recommendation .....	67,200,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$67,200,000 for the Salaries and expenses account. This amount is \$4,525,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and \$3,000,000 above the budget request. The Committee has provided additional funds to support the expansion of ABMC's interpretive program and for nonrecurring maintenance needs.

FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATIONS

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$17,100,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	20,200,000
Committee recommendation .....	20,200,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends an estimated \$20,200,000 for the Foreign currency fluctuation account. This amount is \$3,100,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

The Committee has again included language in the accompanying bill, as proposed by the administration, that would allow funding for this account on a "such sums as necessary" basis. Funding the account in this manner allows the Commission to maintain cemeteries regardless of the volatility of foreign currency fluctuations.

## U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR VETERANS CLAIMS

## OVERVIEW

The U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims was established by the Veterans' Judicial Review Act of 1988. The Court is an independent judicial tribunal with exclusive jurisdiction to review decisions of the Board of Veterans' Appeals. It has the authority to decide all relevant questions of law; interpret constitutional, statutory, and regulatory provisions; and determine the meaning or applicability of the terms of an action by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs. It is authorized to compel action by the Secretary. It is authorized to hold unconstitutional or otherwise unlawful and set aside decisions, findings, conclusions, rules and regulations issued or adopted by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, the Board of Veterans' Appeals, or the Chairman of the Board that are found to be arbitrary or capricious. The Court's principal office location is Washington, DC; however, it is a national court, empowered to sit anywhere in the United States.

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$27,115,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	90,147,000
Committee recommendation .....	53,297,000

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$53,297,000 for the U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims. This amount is an increase of \$26,182,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and \$36,850,000 below the budget request.

The Committee is aware that beginning in fiscal year 2011, the Administrative Office of the Courts will no longer provide support for the U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims electronic case management system. Therefore, the recommendation includes an additional \$150,000 for the Court to hire additional information technology staff to support the electronic case management system. The administration's budget request also included \$62,000,000, to be transferred to the General Services Administration [GSA], for the construction of a new courthouse. The Committee strongly supports construction of this facility and has provided \$25,000,000 as the first increment in funding. The Committee based this recommendation on several factors, including the amount of funds that could be obligated during fiscal year 2011. GSA has indicated that the final feasibility study will be completed and released to the Committee by the end of July. Depending on the results of the study, such as environmental remediation, costs could increase above the \$62,000,000 estimate contained in the budget request.

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

## CEMETERIAL EXPENSES, ARMY

## OVERVIEW

The Secretary of the Army is responsible for the administration, operation and maintenance of Arlington National Cemetery and

the Soldiers' and Airmen's Home National Cemetery. In addition to its principal function as a national cemetery, Arlington is the site of approximately 3,200 nonfuneral ceremonies each year and has approximately 4 million visitors annually.

#### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$39,850,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	38,100,000
Committee recommendation .....	43,100,000

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$43,100,000 for the Cemeterial expenses, Army account. This amount is \$3,250,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and \$5,000,000 above the budget request.

The Committee is greatly concerned about the shocking mismanagement of Arlington National Cemetery [ANC] as revealed in the June 10, 2010, Army Inspector General report. As a sacred symbol of the sacrifices that America's military forces have made for this Nation since the Civil War, Arlington Cemetery must be held to the highest standards. Clearly, those standards have not been met for many years. The Committee welcomes the Army's swift actions to address the systemic failures identified in the report through the establishment of a new position of Executive Director for the cemetery, the appointment by the Department of Veterans Affairs [VA] of an interim Superintendent, and the creation of an independent Army National Cemeteries Advisory Commission, led by former Senator and World War II veteran Bob Dole and former Senator, Vietnam veteran, and current Secretary of the American Battle Monuments Commission Max Cleland. The Committee also commends the dedication of the Arlington National Cemetery workforce, which has carried out its responsibilities with professionalism and attentiveness to the families of America's war heroes and veterans under extraordinarily trying circumstances.

The Committee also welcomes the aggressive schedule that the new ANC management team has set to identify and correct deficiencies in the operation and management of the cemetery, including a lack of established policies and procedures, a failure to automate recordkeeping, and the misidentification of hundreds of gravesites at the cemetery. The Committee urges ANC to also examine cemeterial operations at the Soldiers' and Airmen's Home National Cemetery in Washington, DC, which ANC also operates, and provide recommendations for operational and management improvements if needed. Until the full scope of the deficiencies at Arlington Cemetery has been identified and ANC submits a comprehensive plan for corrective action, the Committee is reluctant to prescribe any policy changes. However, the Committee has provided an additional \$5,000,000 for cemetery operations for fiscal year 2011 to enable ANC to implement urgently needed management improvements, including the hiring of additional staff if needed and the automation of the cemetery's recordkeeping system. The Committee also encourages ANC to draw from the VA's expertise in cemeterial management, and to determine the feasibility of adapting the VA's automated recordkeeping information technology



system, which is a proven system available immediately, for use at the national cemeteries.

The Committee directs ANC to provide to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress an expenditure plan for the additional funds prior to obligation. The Committee also directs ANC to provide quarterly reports, beginning with the first quarter after enactment of this act, on the steps it is taking to correct deficiencies at the national cemeteries, funding requirements to implement those measures, and a progress report on the status of automating ANC's recordkeeping. Beginning with the submission of the fiscal year 2012 budget request, the Committee directs ANC to provide a breakdown by category (e.g., salaries, operation and maintenance, and information technology) of its budget request, and the amount of funding that is intended to be obligated within the fiscal year.

#### ARMED FORCES RETIREMENT HOME

##### TRUST FUND

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$134,000,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	71,200,000
Committee recommendation .....	71,200,000

##### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends authority to expend \$71,200,000 from the Armed Forces Retirement Home [AFRH] Trust Fund to operate and maintain the Armed Forces Retirement Home—Washington, DC, and the Armed Forces Retirement Home—Gulfport, Mississippi. This amount is \$62,800,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level for the Trust Fund and equal to the budget request.

TITLE IV  
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS  
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

OVERVIEW

The President’s fiscal year 2011 overseas contingency operations [OCO] request for military construction totals \$1,257,002,000 to support the realignment of U.S. forces into and within Afghanistan. The request includes funding for operational facilities, roads and bridges, utility infrastructure, force protection, logistics and support facilities, and environmental mitigation projects such as incinerators. The Committee recognizes the importance of providing adequate expeditionary facilities for U.S. combat forces in Afghanistan and supports the policy of the Department of Defense to carry out the minimum construction necessary to support operations and provide force protection.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$924,484,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	929,996,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,045,676,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$1,045,676,000 for Army overseas contingency operations construction for fiscal year 2011. This amount is \$115,680,000 above the budget request. The Committee notes that a number of Army OCO projects for which funding was requested in the fiscal year 2011 budget submission have either been accelerated or cancelled, and has therefore deleted funding for those projects and substituted other projects in Afghanistan requested by the administration in the regular fiscal year 2011 budget request or by the Army in updated OCO project requests.

The Committee understands that changing strategic requirements on the ground and the cancellation or acceleration of funding for certain projects can alter the details of the OCO military construction budget request. The Committee has endeavored to accommodate those changes identified by the services, and thus the list of OCO military construction projects included in the table at the end of this report reflects both the original budget request and the updated requirements identified by the services. The Committee believes that all military construction in Afghanistan is related to the war effort, and has also shifted funding for military construction in Afghanistan that was included in the regular budget request to the OCO Committee recommendation.

The Committee recognizes the evolving nature of military construction needs in a war zone, and to give the services more flexi-

bility to meet unforeseen operational requirements has included an additional \$20,000,000 in unspecified minor construction funding for both the Army and the Air Force. For the past several years, the Department has sought to raise the spending limit on the use of operation and maintenance funds for unspecified minor military construction from \$750,000 to \$1,500,000 (or \$3,000,000 for projects to correct a life, safety, or health deficiency) when used for projects in support of a contingency, such as the war in Afghanistan. The Committee believes that, to the extent possible, military construction projects should be funded within military construction accounts and subject to military construction authorities and oversight. The intent of the unspecified minor construction account is to give the services the flexibility to fund construction projects up to \$2,000,000, or \$3,000,000 to meet life, safety or health requirements, without the requirement to seek prior authorization. The Committee further urges the Department and the services to program adequate funding for OCO unspecified minor construction in future budget submissions to provide the degree of flexibility needed to respond to emerging wartime requirements.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2010 .....	\$474,500,000
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	280,506,000
Committee recommendation .....	164,826,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$164,826,000 for Air Force overseas contingency operations construction for fiscal year 2011, \$115,680,000 below the budget request. Within this amount, the Committee has adjusted funding for specific projects to reflect accelerations, cancellations, or cost changes.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2010 .....	.....
Budget estimate, 2011 .....	\$46,500,000
Committee recommendation .....	46,500,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$46,500,000 for Defense-Wide overseas contingency operations construction for fiscal year 2011, equal to the President's budget request.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

SEC. 401. The Committee includes a provision regarding funding for this title.

## TITLE V

### GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 501. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the obligation of funds beyond the current fiscal year unless expressly so provided.

SEC. 502. The Committee includes a provision that requires pay raises to be absorbed within the levels appropriated.

SEC. 503. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the use of funds for programs, projects or activities not in compliance with Federal law relating to risk assessment, the protection of private property rights, or unfunded mandates.

SEC. 504. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the use of funds to support or defeat legislation pending before Congress.

SEC. 505. The Committee includes a provision that encourages the expansion of E-commerce technologies and procedures.

SEC. 506. The Committee includes a provision that limits funds from being transferred from this appropriations measure to any instrumentality of the United States Government without authority from an appropriations act.

SEC. 507. The Committee includes a provision that specifies the congressional committees that are to receive all reports and notifications.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7, RULE XVI, OF THE  
STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 7 of rule XVI requires that Committee reports accompanying general appropriations bills identify each recommended amendment which proposes an item of appropriation which is not made to carry out the provisions of an existing law, a treaty stipulation, or an act or resolution previously passed by the Senate during that session. The Committee is filing an original bill, which is not covered under this rule, but reports this information in the spirit of full disclosure. The Committee recommends funding for the following programs which currently lack authorization:

*Title I: Department of Defense*

Military Construction, Army  
Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps  
Military Construction, Air Force  
Military Construction, Defense-Wide  
Military Construction, Army National Guard  
Military Construction, Air National Guard  
Military Construction, Army Reserve  
Military Construction, Navy Reserve  
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve  
North Atlantic Treaty Organization, Security Investment Program  
Family Housing Construction, Army  
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Army  
Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps  
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps  
Family Housing Construction, Air Force  
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Air Force  
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide  
Department of Defense, Family Housing Improvement Fund  
Homeowners Assistance Fund  
Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-Wide  
Base Realignment and Closure Account, 1990  
Base Realignment and Closure Account, 2005

*Title II: Department of Veterans Affairs*

Veterans Health Administration  
National Cemetery Administration  
Departmental Administration

*Title III: Related Agencies*

American Battle Monuments Commission  
U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims  
Cemeterial Expenses, Army

Armed Forces Retirement Home

*Title IV: Overseas Contingency Operations*

Military Construction, Army  
 Military Construction, Air Force  
 Military Construction, Defense-Wide

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7(c), RULE XXVI OF THE  
 STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Pursuant to paragraph 7(c) of rule XXVI, on July 15, 2010, the Committee ordered reported en bloc an original bill (S. 3606) making appropriations for Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011, and for other purposes; an original bill (S. 3607) making appropriations for the Department of Homeland Security for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011 and for other purposes; and an original bill (S. 3615) making appropriations military construction, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011, and for other purposes, with each subject to amendment and subject to the Committee Spending Guidance, and authorized the chairman of the committee or the chairman of the subcommittee to offer the text of the Senate-reported bill as a committee amendment in the nature of a substitute to the House companion measure, by a recorded vote of 17–12, a quorum being present. The vote was as follows:

Yeas	Nays
Chairman Inouye	Mr. Cochran
Mr. Leahy	Mr. Bond
Mr. Harkin	Mr. McConnell
Ms. Mikulski	Mr. Shelby
Mr. Kohl	Mr. Gregg
Mrs. Murray	Mr. Bennett
Mr. Dorgan	Mrs. Hutchison
Mrs. Feinstein	Mr. Brownback
Mr. Durbin	Mr. Alexander
Mr. Johnson	Ms. Collins
Ms. Landrieu	Mr. Voinovich
Mr. Reed	Ms. Murkowski
Mr. Lautenberg	
Mr. Nelson	
Mr. Pryor	
Mr. Tester	
Mr. Specter	

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 12, RULE XXVI OF THE  
 STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 12 of rule XXVI requires that Committee reports on a bill or joint resolution repealing or amending any statute or part of any statute include “(a) the text of the statute or part thereof which is proposed to be repealed; and (b) a comparative print of that part of the bill or joint resolution making the amendment and of the statute or part thereof proposed to be amended, showing by

stricken-through type and italics, parallel columns, or other appropriate typographical devices the omissions and insertions which would be made by the bill or joint resolution if enacted in the form recommended by the committee.”

The Committee bill as recommended contains no such provisions.

### BUDGETARY IMPACT OF BILL

PREPARED IN CONSULTATION WITH THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE PURSUANT TO SEC. 308(a), PUBLIC LAW 93-344, AS AMENDED

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget authority		Outlays	
	Committee guidance <sup>1</sup>	Amount of bill	Committee guidance <sup>1</sup>	Amount of bill
Comparison of amounts in the bill with Committee Spending Guidance to its subcommittees for 2011: Subcommittee on Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies:				
Mandatory .....	NA	69,297	NA	<sup>2</sup> 69,050
Discretionary .....	NA	77,253	NA	<sup>2</sup> 80,961
General purpose .....	75,996	75,996	NA	NA
Overseas deployment .....	1,258	1,257	NA	NA
Projection of outlays associated with the recommendation:				
2011 .....				<sup>3</sup> 73,529
2012 .....				10,030
2013 .....				6,895
2014 .....				2,761
2015 and future years .....				1,929
Financial assistance to State and local governments for 2011 .....	NA	201	NA	49

<sup>1</sup> There is no section 302(a) allocation to the Committee for fiscal year 2011.

<sup>2</sup> Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

<sup>3</sup> Excludes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

NA: Not applicable.

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
ALABAMA			
ARMY:			
FORT RUCKER:			
AVIATION COMPONENT MAINTENANCE SHOP .....	29,000	29,000	.....
AVIATION MAINTENANCE FACILITY .....	36,000	36,000	.....
TRAINING AIDS CENTER .....	4,650	4,650	.....
NAVY:			
MOBILE:			
T-6 SOLO CAPABLE OUTLYING LANDING FIELD .....	29,082	29,082	.....
AIR FORCE:			
MAXWELL AFB:			
ADAL AIR UNIVERSITY LIBRARY .....	13,400	13,400	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
FORT MCCLELLAN:			
LIVE FIRE SHOOT HOUSE .....	.....	5,635	+ 5,635
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
MONTGOMERY REGIONAL AIRPORT ANGB:			
FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR .....	7,472	7,472	.....
REPLACE SQUAD OPS FACILITY .....	.....	7,500	+ 7,500
TOTAL, ALABAMA .....	119,604	132,739	+ 13,135
ALASKA			
ARMY:			
FORT GREELY:			
FIRE STATION .....	26,000	26,000	.....
FORT RICHARDSON:			
BRIGADE COMPLEX, PH 1 .....	67,038	67,038	.....
MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE .....	12,200	12,200	.....
SIMULATIONS CENTER .....	34,000	34,000	.....
FORT WAINWRIGHT:			
AVIATION TASK FORCE COMPLEX, PH 1 INCR 2 .....	30,000	.....	-30,000
AVIATION TASK FORCE COMPLEX, PH 2A INC 1 (HANGAR) .....	142,650	92,650	-50,000
AVIATION TASK FORCE COMPLEX, PH 2B [COF] .....	27,000	27,000	.....
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE .....	3,350	3,350	.....
AIR FORCE:			
EIELSON AFB:			
REPAIR CENTRAL HEAT PLANT AND POWER PLANT BOILERS .....	28,000	28,000	.....
ELMENDORF AFB:			
ADD/ALTER ASOS TRAINING FACILITY .....	4,749	4,749	.....
CONSTRUCT RAILHEAD OPERATIONS FACILITY .....	15,000	15,000	.....
DOD JOINT REGIONAL FIRE TRAINING FACILITY .....	.....	6,600	+ 6,600
F-22 ADAL WEAPONS RELEASE SYSTEMS SHOP & AME ...	10,525	10,525	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
EIELSON AIR FORCE BASE:			
ADD/ALTER COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY .....	.....	6,500	+ 6,500
TOTAL, ALASKA .....	400,512	333,612	-66,900
ARIZONA			
NAVY:			
YUMA:			
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR .....	63,280	63,280	.....
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR .....	40,600	40,600	.....
COMMUNICATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADE .....	63,730	63,730	.....
INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY FACILITY .....	21,480	21,480	.....
SIMULATOR FACILITY .....	36,060	36,060	.....



## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
UTILITIES INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADES .....	44,320	44,320	.....
VAN PAD COMPLEX RELOCATION .....	15,590	15,590	.....
AIR FORCE:			
DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB:			
AMARG HANGAR .....	25,000	25,000	.....
HC-130 AGE MAINTENANCE FACILITY .....	4,600	4,600	.....
HC-130J AERIAL CARGO FACILITY .....	10,700	10,700	.....
HC-130J PARTS STORE .....	8,200	8,200	.....
FORT HUACHUCA:			
TFI—PREDATOR LRE BEDDOWN .....	11,000	11,000	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
YUMA:			
SOF MILITARY FREE FALL SIMULATOR .....	8,977	8,977	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
FLORENCE:			
READINESS CENTER .....	16,500	16,500	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB:			
TFI—PREDATOR FOC—ACTIVE DUTY ASSOCIATE .....	4,650	4,650	.....
TOTAL, ARIZONA .....	374,687	374,687	.....
ARKANSAS			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP ROBINSON:			
COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP .....	30,000	30,000	.....
FORT CHAFFEE:			
COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY .....	19,000	19,000	.....
CONVOY LIVE FIRE/ENTRY CONTROL POINT RANGE .....	.....	3,500	+ 3,500
LIVE FIRE SHOOT HOUSE .....	2,500	2,500	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE:			
FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR .....	.....	10,400	+ 10,400
TOTAL, ARKANSAS .....	51,500	65,400	+ 13,900
CALIFORNIA			
ARMY:			
PRESIDIO MONTEREY:			
ADVANCED INDIVIDUAL TRAINING BARRACKS .....	63,000	63,000	.....
GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING .....	39,000	39,000	.....
SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY .....	38,000	38,000	.....
NAVY:			
CAMP PENDLETON:			
BEQ—13 AREA .....	42,864	42,864	.....
BEQ—LAS FLORES .....	37,020	37,020	.....
CNATT/FRS—AVIATION TRAINING AND BEQ .....	66,110	66,110	.....
CONVEYANCE/WATER TREATMENT .....	100,700	100,700	.....
MALS—39 MAINTENANCE HANGAR EXPANSION .....	48,230	48,230	.....
MARINE CORPS ENERGY INITIATIVE .....	9,950	9,950	.....
NORTH REGION TERT TREAT PLANT, INCR 2 .....	30,000	30,000	.....
SMALL ARMS MAGAZINE—EDSON RANGE .....	3,760	3,760	.....
TRUCK COMPANY OPERATIONS COMPLEX .....	53,490	53,490	.....
CORONADO:			
ROTARY HANGAR .....	67,160	67,160	.....
MIRAMAR:			
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR .....	90,490	90,490	.....
HANGAR 4 .....	33,620	33,620	.....
PARKING APRON/TAXIWAY EXPANSION .....	66,500	66,500	.....

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
SAN DIEGO:			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS, HOMEPORT ASHORE .....	75,342	75,342	.....
BERTHING PIER 12 REPL & DREDGING, PHASE 1 .....	108,414	108,414	.....
MARINE CORPS ENERGY INITIATIVE .....	9,950	9,950	.....
TWENTYNINE PALMS:			
BEQ AND PARKING STRUCTURE .....	53,158	53,158	.....
AIR FORCE:			
EDWARDS AFB:			
FLIGHTLINE FIRE STATION .....		15,500	+ 15,500
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
POINT LOMA ANNEX:			
REPLACE STORAGE FACILITY, INCR 3 .....	20,000	20,000	.....
POINT MUGU:			
AIRCRAFT DIRECT FUELING STATION .....	3,100	3,100	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP ROBERTS:			
COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY .....	19,000	19,000	.....
ARMY RESERVE:			
FAIRFIELD:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER .....	26,000	26,000	.....
FORT HUNTER LIGGETT:			
ECS TACTICAL EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE FACILITY .....	22,000	22,000	.....
ECS WAREHOUSE .....	15,000	15,000	.....
GRENAD LAUNCHER RANGE .....	1,400	1,400	.....
HAND GRENADE FAMILIARIZATION RANGE (LIVE) .....	1,400	1,400	.....
LIGHT DEMOLITION RANGE .....	2,700	2,700	.....
TACTICAL VEHICLE WASH RACK .....	9,500	9,500	.....
NAVY RESERVE:			
TWENTYNINE PALMS:			
TANK VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY .....	5,991	5,991	.....
TOTAL, CALIFORNIA .....	1,162,849	1,178,349	+ 15,500
COLORADO			
ARMY:			
FORT CARSON:			
AUTOMATED SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE .....	3,650	3,650	.....
BATTALION HEADQUARTERS .....	6,700	6,700	.....
BRIGADE COMPLEX .....	56,000	56,000	.....
SIMULATIONS CENTER .....	40,000	40,000	.....
AIR FORCE:			
BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE:			
LAND ACQUISITION .....		10,000	+ 10,000
SECURITY FORCES OPERATIONS FACILITY .....	12,160	12,160	.....
PETERSON AFB:			
RAIDRS SPACE CONTROL FACILITY .....	24,800	24,800	.....
U.S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY:			
CENTER FOR CHARACTER & LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT .....	27,600	27,600	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
FORT CARSON:			
SOF TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE HANGAR .....	3,717	3,717	.....
CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE:			
PUEBLO DEPOT:			
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY, PH XII .....	65,569	65,569	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
COLORADO SPRINGS:			
READINESS CENTER .....	20,000	20,000	.....
FORT CARSON:			
REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE .....	40,000	40,000	.....

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
GYPSUM:			
HIGH ALTITUDE SUPPORT FACILITY .....	39,000	39,000	.....
WINDSOR:			
READINESS CENTER .....	7,500	7,500	.....
TOTAL, COLORADO .....	346,696	356,696	+ 10,000
CONNECTICUT			
NAVY:			
NSB NEW LONDON:			
SUBMARINE GROUP TWO HEADQUARTERS .....		12,930	+ 12,930
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
WINDSOR LOCKS:			
READINESS CENTER (AVIATION) .....	41,000	41,000	.....
TOTAL, CONNECTICUT .....	41,000	53,930	+ 12,930
DELAWARE			
AIR FORCE:			
DOVER AFB:			
C-5M/C-17 MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY, PH 2 .....	3,200	3,200	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
NEW CASTLE:			
ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER (JFHQ) .....	27,000	27,000	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
NEW CASTLE COUNTY AIRPORT:			
C-130 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOPS, PH 3 .....		8,700	+ 8,700
JOINT FORCES OPERATIONS CENTER—ANG SHARE .....	1,500	1,500	.....
TOTAL, DELAWARE .....	31,700	40,400	+ 8,700
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA			
AIR FORCE:			
BOLLING AFB:			
JOINT AIR DEFENSE OPERATIONS CENTER .....	13,200	13,200	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
BOLLING AIR FORCE BASE:			
REPLACE PARKING STRUCTURE, PHASE 1 .....	3,000	3,000	.....
TOTAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA .....	16,200	16,200	.....
FLORIDA			
ARMY:			
EGLIN AFB:			
CHAPEL .....	6,900	6,900	.....
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY (HOMESTEAD AFB):			
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY .....	41,000	41,000	.....
U.S. ARMY GARRISON MIAMI:			
COMMISSARY .....	19,000		-19,000
NAVY:			
BLOUNT ISLAND MCSF:			
CONSOLIDATED WAREHOUSE FACILITY .....	17,260	17,260	.....
CONTAINER STAGING AND LOADING LOT .....	5,990	5,990	.....
CONTAINER STORAGE LOT .....	4,910	4,910	.....
HARDSTAND EXTENSION .....	17,930	17,930	.....
PAINT AND BLAST FACILITY .....	18,840	18,840	.....
WASHRACK EXPANSION .....	9,690	9,690	.....
NSA PANAMA CITY:			
LAND ACQUISITION (9 ACRES) .....		5,960	+ 5,960

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
TAMPA:			
JCSE VEHICLE PAINT FACILITY .....	2,300	2,300	.....
AIR FORCE:			
EGLIN AFB:			
F-35 FUEL CELL MAINTENANCE HANGAR .....	11,400	11,400	.....
HURLBURT FIELD:			
ADAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS SCHOOL FACILITY .....	6,170	6,170	.....
ADD TO VISITING QUARTERS (24 RM) .....	4,500	4,500	.....
BASE LOGISTICS FACILITY .....	24,000	24,000	.....
PATRICK AFB:			
AIR FORCE TECHNICAL APPLICATION CENTER INC 1 .....	158,009	100,009	-58,000
RELOCATE MAIN GATE .....	.....	8,000	+ 8,000
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
EGLIN AFB:			
SOF GROUND SUPPORT BATTALION DETACHMENT .....	6,030	6,030	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
JACKSONVILLE IAP:			
SECURITY FORCES TRAINING FACILITY .....	6,700	6,700	.....
ARMY RESERVE:			
MIAMI:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND .....	13,800	13,800	.....
ORLANDO:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND .....	10,200	10,200	.....
WEST PALM BEACH:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND .....	10,400	10,400	.....
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
PATRICK AFB:			
WEAPONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY .....	3,420	3,420	.....
TOTAL, FLORIDA .....	398,449	335,409	-63,040
GEORGIA			
ARMY:			
FORT BENNING:			
LAND ACQUISITION .....	12,200	12,200	.....
MUSEUM OPERATIONS SUPPORT BUILDING .....	32,000	.....	-32,000
TRAINEE BARRACKS PH 2 .....	51,000	51,000	.....
TRAINING BATTALION COMPLEX, PH 2 .....	14,600	14,600	.....
TRAINING BATTALION COMPLEX, PH 2 .....	14,600	14,600	.....
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP .....	53,000	53,000	.....
FORT GORDON:			
QUALIFICATION TRAINING RANGE .....	.....	8,100	+ 8,100
TRAINING AIDS CENTER .....	4,150	4,150	.....
FORT STEWART:			
AUTOMATED INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSE .....	6,200	6,200	.....
AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE .....	9,100	9,100	.....
AVIATION UNIT OPERATIONS COMPLEX .....	47,000	47,000	.....
BATTALION COMPLEX .....	18,000	18,000	.....
GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING .....	8,200	8,200	.....
MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE .....	3,750	3,750	.....
SIMULATIONS CENTER .....	26,000	26,000	.....
TRAINING AIDS CENTER .....	7,000	7,000	.....
NAVY:			
KINGS BAY:			
SECURITY ENCLAVE & VEHICLE BARRIERS .....	45,004	45,004	.....
WATERFRONT EMERGENCY POWER .....	15,660	15,660	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
AUGUSTA:			
NSA/CSS GEORGIA TRAINING FACILITY .....	12,855	12,855	.....

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
FORT BENNING:			
DEXTER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CONSTRUCT GYM .....	2,800	2,800	.....
SOF COMPANY SUPPORT FACILITY .....	20,441	20,441	.....
SOF MWD KENNEL COMPLEX .....	3,624	3,624	.....
FORT STEWART:			
HEALTH CLINIC ADDITION/ALTERATION .....	35,100	35,100	.....
HUNTER ANG:			
FUEL UNLOAD FACILITY .....	2,400	2,400	.....
HUNTER ARMY AIRFIELD:			
SOF TEMF EXPANSION .....	3,318	3,318	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CUMMING:			
READINESS CENTER .....	17,000	17,000	.....
DOBBINS ARB:			
READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT .....	10,400	10,400	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
SAVANNAH/HILTON HEAD IAP:			
RELOCATE ASOS FACILITY .....	7,450	7,450	.....
ARMY RESERVE:			
MACON:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND .....	11,400	11,400	.....
TOTAL, GEORGIA .....	494,252	470,352	-23,900
HAWAII			
ARMY:			
FORT SHAFTER:			
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY, PH 1 .....	58,000	58,000	.....
FLOOD MITIGATION .....	23,000	23,000	.....
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS:			
BARRACKS .....	98,000	98,000	.....
BARRACKS .....	90,000	90,000	.....
TRAINING AIDS CENTER .....	24,000	24,000	.....
TRIPLER ARMY MEDICAL CENTER:			
BARRACKS .....	28,000	28,000	.....
NAVY:			
CAMP SMITH:			
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER .....	29,960	29,960	.....
KANEHOE BAY:			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS .....	90,530	90,530	.....
WATERFRONT OPERATIONS FACILITY .....	19,130	19,130	.....
PACIFIC MISSILE RANGE FACILITY:			
CONSTRUCT PRE-FABRICATED BRIDGE AT NOHILI DITCH .....	.....	3,560	+ 3,560
REPLACE NORTH LOOP ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM .....	.....	9,100	+ 9,100
PEARL HARBOR:			
CENTER FOR DISASTER MANAGEMENT/HUMANITARIAN:			
ASSISTANCE .....	9,140	9,140	.....
FIRE STATION, WEST LOCH .....	.....	9,084	+ 9,084
WELDING SCHOOL SHOP CONSOLIDATION .....	.....	8,500	+ 8,500
JOINT POW/MIA ACCOUNTING COMMAND .....	99,328	99,328	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
HICKAM AFB:			
ALTER FUEL STORAGE TANKS .....	8,500	8,500	.....
PEARL HARBOR:			
NSWG3 COMMAND AND OPERATIONS FACILITY .....	28,804	28,804	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
KALAELOA:			
COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP .....	38,000	38,000	.....

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
HICKAM AFB:			
TFI—F-22 BEDDOWN INTRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT .....	5,950	5,950	.....
TFI—F-22 HANGAR, SQUADRON OPERATIONS AND AMU ..	48,250	48,250	.....
TFI—F-22 UPGRADE MUNITIONS COMPLEX .....	17,250	17,250	.....
TOTAL, HAWAII .....	715,842	746,086	+ 30,244
IDAHO			
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
MOUNTAIN HOME AFB:			
REPLACE POL FUEL STORAGE TANKS .....	27,500	27,500	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
GOWEN FIELD:			
BARRACKS [ORTC] PH 1 .....	17,500	17,500	.....
MOUNTAIN HOME:			
TACTICAL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM FACILITY .....	6,300	6,300	.....
TOTAL, IDAHO .....	51,300	51,300	.....
ILLINOIS			
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
SCOTT AIR FORCE BASE:			
FIELD COMMAND FACILITY UPGRADE .....	1,388	1,388	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
SPRINGFIELD:			
COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP ADD/ALT .....	15,000	15,000	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAPITAL MAP:			
TFI—CNAF BEDDOWN—UPGRADE FACILITIES .....	16,700	16,700	.....
ARMY RESERVE:			
QUINCY:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND .....	12,200	12,200	.....
ROCKFORD USARC:			
UNITED STATES ARMY RESERVE CENTER .....		13,200	+ 13,200
TOTAL, ILLINOIS .....	45,288	58,488	+ 13,200
INDIANA			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
HULMAN REGIONAL AIRPORT:			
TFI—ASOS BEDDOWN—UPGRADE FACILITIES .....	4,100	4,100	.....
ARMY RESERVE:			
MICHIGAN CITY:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND .....	15,500	15,500	.....
TOTAL, INDIANA .....	19,600	19,600	.....
IOWA			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP DODGE:			
COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY .....		5,700	+ 5,700
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
DES MOINES:			
CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR .....		4,700	+ 4,700
ARMY RESERVE:			
DES MOINES:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER .....	8,175	8,175	.....

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
TOTAL, IOWA .....	8,175	18,575	+ 10,400
KANSAS			
ARMY:			
FORT LEAVENWORTH:			
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP .....	7,100	7,100	.....
FORT RILEY:			
AUTOMATED INFANTRY SQUAD BATTLE COURSE .....	4,100	4,100	.....
AUTOMATED QUALIFICATION/TRAINING RANGE .....	14,800	14,800	.....
BATTALION COMPLEX, PH 1 .....	31,000	31,000	.....
KNOWN DISTANCE RANGE .....	7,200	7,200	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
FORBES FIELD:			
TAXIWAY ALTERATIONS .....		9,036	+ 9,036
WICHITA:			
FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP .....	24,000	24,000	.....
READINESS CENTER .....	43,000	43,000	.....
TOTAL, KANSAS .....	131,200	140,236	+ 9,036
KENTUCKY			
ARMY:			
FORT CAMPBELL:			
AUTOMATED SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE .....	1,500	1,500	.....
BRIGADE COMPLEX .....	67,000	67,000	.....
COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES .....	25,000	25,000	.....
INFANTRY SQUAD BATTLE COURSE .....		3,000	+ 3,000
RAPPELLING TRAINING AREA .....	5,600	5,600	.....
SHOOT HOUSE .....		3,300	+ 3,300
UNIT OPERATIONS FACILITIES .....	26,000	26,000	.....
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE .....	3,300	3,300	.....
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP .....	15,500	15,500	.....
FORT KNOX:			
ACCESS CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENTS .....	6,000	6,000	.....
MOUT COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY .....	12,800	12,800	.....
RAIL HEAD UPGRADE .....		18,000	+ 18,000
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
FORT CAMPBELL:			
LANDGRAF HANGAR ADDITION, 160TH SOAR .....		3,600	+ 3,600
SOF BATTALION OPS COMPLEX .....	38,095	38,095	.....
CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE:			
BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT:			
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION PH XI .....	59,402	59,402	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
BURLINGTON:			
READINESS CENTER .....	19,500	19,500	.....
TOTAL, KENTUCKY .....	279,697	307,597	+ 27,900
LOUISIANA			
ARMY:			
FORT POLK:			
BARRACKS .....	29,000	29,000	.....
EMERGENCY SERVICES CENTER .....		9,200	+ 9,200
HEAVY SNIPER RANGE .....	4,250	4,250	.....
LAND ACQUISITION .....	6,000	6,000	.....
LAND ACQUISITION .....	24,000	24,000	.....
AIR FORCE:			
BARKSDALE AFB:			
WEAPONS LOAD CREW TRAINING FACILITY .....	18,140	18,140	.....

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
FORT POLK:			
TACTICAL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM FACILITY .....	5,500	5,500	.....
MINDEN:			
READINESS CENTER .....	28,000	28,000	.....
NAVY RESERVE:			
NEW ORLEANS:			
JOINT AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL FACILITY .....	16,281	16,281	.....
TOTAL, LOUISIANA .....	131,171	140,371	+ 9,200
MAINE			
NAVY:			
PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD:			
CONSOLIDATION OF STRUCTURAL SHOPS .....		17,240	+ 17,240
TOTAL, MAINE .....		17,240	+ 17,240
MARYLAND			
ARMY:			
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND:			
AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY EVALUATION FACILITY, PH 2 ..	14,600	14,600	.....
FORT MEADE:			
INDOOR FIRING RANGE .....	7,600	7,600	.....
WIDEBAND SATCOM OPERATIONS CENTER .....	25,000	25,000	.....
NAVY:			
INDIAN HEAD:			
AGILE CHEMICAL FACILITY, PHASE 2 .....	34,238	34,238	.....
ADVANCED ENERGETICS RESEARCH LAB COMPLEX, PH 2 .....		12,810	+ 12,810
PATUXENT RIVER:			
BROAD AREA MARITIME SURVEILLANCE T & E FACILITY ..	42,211	42,211	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND:			
USAMRICD REPLACEMENT, INC 3 .....	105,000	105,000	.....
ANDREWS AFB:			
REPLACE FUEL STORAGE & DISTRIBUTION FACILITY .....	14,000	14,000	.....
BETHESDA NAVAL HOSPITAL:			
NNMC PARKING EXPANSION .....	17,100	17,100	.....
TRANSIENT WOUNDED WARRIOR LODGING .....	62,900	62,900	.....
FORT DETRICK:			
CONSOLIDATED LOGISTICS FACILITY .....	23,100	23,100	.....
INFORMATION SERVICES FACILITY EXPANSION .....	4,300	4,300	.....
NIBC SECURITY FENCING AND EQUIPMENT .....	2,700	2,700	.....
SUPPLEMENTAL WATER STORAGE .....	3,700	3,700	.....
USAMRIID STAGE I, INC 5 .....	17,400	17,400	.....
WATER TREATMENT PLANT REPAIR & SUPPLEMENT .....	11,900	11,900	.....
FORT MEADE:			
NORTH CAMPUS UTILITY PLANT .....	219,360	219,360	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
ST. INIGOES (WEBSTER FIELD):			
TACTICAL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM FACILITY .....	5,500	5,500	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
MARTIN STATE AIRPORT:			
REPLACE OPS AND MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY .....	11,400	11,400	.....
TOTAL, MARYLAND .....	622,009	634,819	+ 12,810



## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
MASSACHUSETTS			
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
HANSCOM AFB:			
MENTAL HEALTH CLINIC ADDITION .....	2,900	2,900	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
HANSCOM AFB:			
ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER [JFHQ] PH 2 .....	23,000	23,000	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
BARNES MUNICIPAL AIRPORT:			
ADDITIONS AND RENOVATIONS TO BUILDING 15 .....	.....	6,000	+ 6,000
ARMY RESERVE:			
DEVENS RESERVE FORCES TRAINING AREA:			
AUTOMATED RECORD FIRE RANGE .....	4,700	4,700	.....
TOTAL, MASSACHUSETTS .....	30,600	36,600	+ 6,000
MICHIGAN			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP GRAYLING RANGE:			
BARRACKS REPLACEMENT, PH 2 .....	.....	17,102	+ 17,102
COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY .....	19,000	19,000	.....
LIGHT DEMOLITION RANGE .....	.....	1,595	+ 1,595
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
ALPENA COMBAT READINESS TRAINING CENTER:			
REPLACE TROOP QUARTERS .....	.....	9,600	+ 9,600
TOTAL, MICHIGAN .....	19,000	47,297	+ 28,297
MINNESOTA			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
ARDEN HILLS:			
FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP .....	29,000	29,000	.....
CAMP RIPLEY:			
INFANTRY SQUAD BATTLE COURSE .....	4,300	4,300	.....
TACTICAL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM FACILITY .....	4,450	4,450	.....
TOTAL, MINNESOTA .....	37,750	37,750	.....
MISSISSIPPI			
NAVY:			
NCBC GULFPORT:			
BRANCH HEALTH CLINIC .....	.....	11,870	+ 11,870
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
STENNIS SPACE CENTER:			
SOF WESTERN MANEUVER AREA, PH 2 .....	.....	9,000	+ 9,000
SOF WESTERN MANEUVER AREA, PH 3 .....	.....	8,000	+ 8,000
TOTAL, MISSISSIPPI .....	.....	28,870	+ 28,870
MISSOURI			
ARMY:			
FORT LEONARD WOOD:			
BARRACKS .....	29,000	29,000	.....
BRIGADE HEADQUARTERS .....	12,200	12,200	.....
GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING .....	7,000	7,000	.....
INFORMATION SYSTEMS FACILITY .....	15,500	15,500	.....
TRAINING BARRACKS .....	19,000	19,000	.....
TRANSIENT ADVANCED TRAINEE BARRACKS, PH 2 .....	29,000	29,000	.....

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FORT LEONARD WOOD: REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE, PH 1 .....		13,800	+ 13,800
ARMY RESERVE: KANSAS CITY: ARMY RESERVE CENTER .....	11,800	11,800	.....
TOTAL, MISSOURI .....	123,500	137,300	+ 13,800
MONTANA			
AIR FORCE: MALMSTROM AFB: PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER, PH 2 .....		8,000	+ 8,000
TOTAL, MONTANA .....		8,000	+ 8,000
NEBRASKA			
AIR FORCE: OFFUTT AIR FORCE BASE: KENNEY/BELLEVUE GATES .....		11,000	+ 11,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: LINCOLN: READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT .....	3,300	3,300	.....
MEAD: READINESS CENTER .....	11,400	11,400	.....
TOTAL, NEBRASKA .....	14,700	25,700	+ 11,000
NEVADA			
AIR FORCE: CREECH AFB: UAS AIRFIELD FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION .....	11,710	11,710	.....
NELLIS AFB: COMMUNICATION NETWORK CONTROL CENTER .....		11,400	+ 11,400
F-35 ADAL 422 TEST EVALUATION SQUADRON FACILITY ..	7,870	7,870	.....
F-35 ADAL FLIGHT TEST INSTRUMENTATION FACILITY .....	1,900	1,900	.....
F-35 FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY .....	13,110	13,110	.....
F-35 MAINTENANCE HANGAR/AMU .....	28,760	28,760	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: LAS VEGAS ARNG: FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP .....		22,998	+ 22,998
TOTAL, NEVADA .....	63,350	97,748	+ 34,398
NEW HAMPSHIRE			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: PEMBROKE: BARRACKS FACILITY (REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE) .....	15,000	15,000	.....
CLASSROOM FACILITY (REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE) ..	21,000	21,000	.....
TOTAL, NEW HAMPSHIRE .....	36,000	36,000	.....
NEW JERSEY			
AIR FORCE: MCGUIRE AFB: BASE OPS/COMMAND POST FACILITY (TFI) .....	8,000	8,000	.....
DORMITORY (120 RM) .....	18,440	18,440	.....

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
ATLANTIC CITY IAP:			
FUEL CELL MAINTENANCE DOCK AND CORROSION CONTROL .....		8,500	+ 8,500
TOTAL, NEW JERSEY .....	26,440	34,940	+ 8,500
NEW MEXICO			
ARMY:			
WHITE SANDS:			
BARRACKS .....	29,000	29,000	.....
AIR FORCE:			
CANNON AFB:			
DORMITORY (96 RM) .....	14,000	14,000	.....
FAMILY SUPPORT CENTER .....		4,050	+ 4,050
UAS SQUADRON OPS FACILITY .....	20,000	20,000	.....
HOLLOMAN AFB:			
UAS ADD/ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGAR .....	15,470	15,470	.....
UAS MAINTENANCE HANGAR .....	22,500	22,500	.....
KIRTLAND AFB:			
AERIAL DELIVERY FACILITY ADDITION .....	3,800	3,800	.....
ARMAMENT SHOP .....	6,460	6,460	.....
H/MC-130 FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE FACILITY .....	14,142	14,142	.....
MILITARY WORKING DOG FACILITY .....		4,400	+ 4,400
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CANNON AFB:			
SOF ADD/ALT SIMULATOR FACILITY FOR MC-130 .....	13,287	13,287	.....
SOF AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (MC-130J) .....	12,636	12,636	.....
SOF C-130 PARKING APRON PHASE I .....	26,006	26,006	.....
SOF HANGAR/AMU (MC-130J) .....	24,622	24,622	.....
SOF OPERATIONS AND TRAINING COMPLEX .....	39,674	39,674	.....
WHITE SANDS:			
HEALTH AND DENTAL CLINICS .....	22,900	22,900	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
FARMINGTON:			
READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT .....	8,500	8,500	.....
ARMY RESERVE:			
LAS CRUCES:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND .....	11,400	11,400	.....
TOTAL, NEW MEXICO .....	284,397	292,847	+ 8,450
NEW YORK			
ARMY:			
FORT DRUM:			
AIRCRAFT FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX .....	14,600	14,600	.....
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR .....	16,500	16,500	.....
BATTALION COMPLEX .....	61,000	61,000	.....
BRIGADE COMPLEX PH 1 .....	55,000	55,000	.....
CONSTRUCT RAILHEAD LOADING AREA .....		7,600	+ 7,600
INFANTRY SQUAD BATTLE COURSE .....	8,200	8,200	.....
TRAINING AIDS CENTER .....	18,500	18,500	.....
TRANSIENT TRAINING BARRACKS .....	55,000	55,000	.....
U.S. MILITARY ACADEMY:			
SCIENCE FACILITY, PH 2 .....	130,624	130,624	.....
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE .....	1,700	1,700	.....
AIR FORCE:			
FORT DRUM:			
20TH AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS SQUADRON COMPLEX ...	20,440	20,440	.....

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
U.S. MILITARY ACADEMY:			
WEST POINT MS ADD/ALT .....	27,960	27,960	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
FORT DRUM:			
TFI—REAPER INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT .....	2,500	2,500	.....
STEWART IAP:			
BASE DEFENSE GROUP BEDDOWN .....	14,250	14,250	.....
ARMY RESERVE:			
BINGHAMTON:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND .....	13,400	13,400	.....
TOTAL, NEW YORK .....	439,674	447,274	+ 7,600
NORTH CAROLINA			
ARMY:			
FORT BRAGG:			
BATTALION COMPLEX .....	33,000	33,000	.....
BRIGADE COMPLEX .....	50,000	50,000	.....
BRIGADE COMPLEX .....	25,000	25,000	.....
BRIGADE COMPLEX .....	41,000	41,000	.....
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY .....	53,000	53,000	.....
COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES .....	12,600	12,600	.....
DINING FACILITY .....	11,200	11,200	.....
MURCHISON ROAD RIGHT OF WAY ACQUISITION .....	17,000	17,000	.....
STAGING AREA COMPLEX .....	14,600	14,600	.....
STUDENT BARRACKS .....	18,000	18,000	.....
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP .....	28,000	28,000	.....
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP .....	7,500	7,500	.....
NAVY:			
CAMP LEJEUNE:			
2ND INTEL BN MAINTENANCE/OPS COMPLEX .....	90,270	90,270	.....
ARMORY—II MEF—WALLACE CREEK .....	12,280	12,280	.....
BEQ—CAMP JOHNSON .....	46,550	46,550	.....
BEQ—COURTHOUSE BAY .....	42,330	42,330	.....
BEQ—COURTHOUSE BAY .....	40,780	40,780	.....
BEQ—FRENCH CREEK .....	43,640	43,640	.....
BEQ—RIFLE RANGE .....	55,350	55,350	.....
BEQ—WALLACE CREEK .....	51,660	51,660	.....
BEQ—WALLACE CREEK NORTH .....	46,290	46,290	.....
EOD ADDITION—2ND MARINE LOGISTICS GROUP .....	7,420	7,420	.....
HANGAR .....	73,010	73,010	.....
MAINTENANCE HANGAR [HMLA] .....	74,260	74,260	.....
MAINTENANCE/OPS COMPLEX—2ND ANGLICO .....	36,100	36,100	.....
MARINE CORPS ENERGY INITIATIVE .....	9,950	9,950	.....
MESS HALL—FRENCH CREEK .....	25,960	25,960	.....
MESS HALL ADDITION—COURTHOUSE BAY .....	2,553	2,553	.....
MOTOR TRANSPORTATION/COMM MAINTENANCE FACILITY .....	18,470	18,470	.....
UTILITY EXPANSION—FRENCH CREEK .....	56,050	56,050	.....
UTILITY EXPANSION—HADNOT POINT .....	56,470	56,470	.....
CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION:			
BEQ .....	42,500	42,500	.....
MARINERS BAY LAND ACQUISITION—BOGUE .....	3,790	3,790	.....
MISSILE MAGAZINE .....	13,420	13,420	.....
STATION INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADES .....	5,800	5,800	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CAMP LEJEUNE:			
TARAWA TERRACE I ES REPLACE SCHOOL .....	16,646	16,646	.....

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
<b>FORT BRAGG:</b>			
MCNAIR ES—REPLACE SCHOOL .....	23,086	23,086	.....
MURRAY ES REPLACE SCHOOL .....	22,000	22,000	.....
SOF ADMIN/COMPANY OPERATIONS .....	10,347	10,347	.....
SOF BAFFLE CONTAINMENT FOR RANGE 19C .....	.....	7,200	+ 7,200
SOF C4 FACILITY—JSOC .....	41,000	41,000	.....
SOF JOINT INTELLIGENCE BRIGADE FACILITY .....	32,000	32,000	.....
SOF MEDICAL CLINIC ADDITION .....	.....	3,889	+ 3,889
SOF OPERATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY .....	11,000	11,000	.....
SOF OPERATIONS ADDITIONS .....	15,795	15,795	.....
SOF OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY .....	13,465	13,465	.....
<b>ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:</b>			
<b>HIGH POINT:</b>			
READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT .....	1,551	1,551	.....
<b>AIR NATIONAL GUARD:</b>			
<b>STANLY COUNTY AIRPORT:</b>			
UPGRADE ASOS FACILITIES .....	2,000	2,000	.....
TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA .....	1,354,693	1,365,782	+ 11,089
<b>NORTH DAKOTA</b>			
<b>AIR FORCE:</b>			
<b>GRAND FORKS AFB:</b>			
CENTRAL DEPLOYMENT CENTER .....	.....	16,500	+ 16,500
<b>MINOT AFB:</b>			
CONTROL TOWER/BASE OPERATIONS FACILITY .....	18,770	18,770	.....
<b>ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:</b>			
<b>CAMP GRAFTON:</b>			
READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT .....	11,200	11,200	.....
TOTAL, NORTH DAKOTA .....	29,970	46,470	+ 16,500
<b>OHIO</b>			
<b>DEFENSE-WIDE:</b>			
<b>COLUMBUS:</b>			
REPLACE PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITY .....	7,400	7,400	.....
<b>AIR NATIONAL GUARD:</b>			
<b>TOLEDO AIRPORT:</b>			
REPLACE SECURITY FORCES COMPLEX .....	.....	7,300	+ 7,300
TOTAL, OHIO .....	7,400	14,700	+ 7,300
<b>OKLAHOMA</b>			
<b>ARMY:</b>			
<b>FORT SILL:</b>			
GENERAL PURPOSE STORAGE BUILDING .....	13,800	13,800	.....
MUSEUM OPERATIONS SUPPORT BUILDING .....	12,800	.....	-12,800
<b>MCALESTER:</b>			
IGLOO STORAGE, DEPOT LEVEL .....	3,000	3,000	.....
<b>AIR FORCE:</b>			
<b>TINKER AFB:</b>			
AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER .....	.....	9,300	+ 9,300
UPGRADE BUILDING 3001 INFRASTRUCTURE, PH III .....	14,000	14,000	.....
TOTAL, OKLAHOMA .....	43,600	40,100	-3,500

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued  
 [In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
OREGON			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: KINGSLEY FIELD ANG BASE, KLAMATH FALLS: REPLACE FIRE STATION .....	.....	7,000	+ 7,000
TOTAL, OREGON .....	.....	7,000	+ 7,000
PENNSYLVANIA			
NAVY: NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY MECHANICSBURG (PHILADELPHIA ANNEX): NORTH GATE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS .....	.....	5,930	+ 5,930
QUIET PROPULSION LOAD HOUSE .....	.....	7,630	+ 7,630
DEFENSE-WIDE: NEW CUMBERLAND: REPLACE HEADQUARTERS FACILITY .....	96,000	96,000	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: STATE COLLEGE ANG: ADD/ALT AOS FACILITY .....	4,100	4,100	.....
TOTAL, PENNSYLVANIA .....	100,100	113,660	+ 13,560
RHODE ISLAND			
NAVY: NEWPORT: ELECTROMAGNETIC SENSOR FACILITY .....	27,007	27,007	.....
GATE IMPROVEMENTS .....	.....	4,950	+ 4,950
SUBMARINE PAYLOADS INTEGRATION LABORATORY .....	.....	12,170	+ 12,170
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: EAST GREENWICH: UNITED STATES PROPERTY AND FISCAL OFFICE .....	27,000	27,000	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: QUONSET STATE AIRPORT: C-130 PARKING APRON .....	.....	1,800	+ 1,800
TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND .....	54,007	72,927	+ 18,920
SOUTH CAROLINA			
ARMY: FORT JACKSON: TRAINEE BARRACKS .....	28,000	28,000	.....
TRAINEE BARRACKS COMPLEX, PH 1 .....	46,000	46,000	.....
TRAINING AIDS CENTER .....	17,000	17,000	.....
NAVY: BEAUFORT: AICUZ LAND ACQUISITION .....	21,190	21,190	.....
AIRCRAFT HANGAR—VMFAT—502 .....	46,550	46,550	.....
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER .....	15,430	15,430	.....
TRAINING AND SIMULATOR FACILITY .....	46,240	46,240	.....
AIR FORCE: CHARLESTON AFB: CIVIL ENGINEER COMPLEX (TFI)—PHASE 1 .....	15,000	15,000	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: MCENTIRE JRB: JOINT DEPLOYMENT PROCESSING AND READINESS CENTER .....	.....	9,100	+ 9,100
TOTAL, SOUTH CAROLINA .....	235,410	244,510	+ 9,100

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
SOUTH DAKOTA			
AIR FORCE:			
ELLSWORTH AFB:			
MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY .....		12,400	+ 12,400
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
JOE FOSS FIELD:			
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOPS .....		12,800	+ 12,800
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
WATERTOWN:			
READINESS CENTER .....	25,000	25,000	.....
TOTAL, SOUTH DAKOTA .....	25,000	50,200	+ 25,200
TENNESSEE			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
MCGHEE TYSON ANG BASE:			
HOBBS ROAD ACQUISITION .....		6,500	+ 6,500
NASHVILLE IAP:			
TFI—RENOVATE INTEL SQUADRON FACILITIES .....	5,500	5,500	.....
TOTAL, TENNESSEE .....	5,500	12,000	+ 6,500
TEXAS			
ARMY:			
FORT BLISS:			
AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE .....	6,700	6,700	.....
COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES .....	18,500	18,500	.....
DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE TRAINING RANGE .....	22,000	22,000	.....
HEAVY SNIPER RANGE .....	3,500	3,500	.....
INDOOR SWIMMING POOL .....	15,500	15,500	.....
LIGHT DEMOLITION RANGE .....	2,100	2,100	.....
LIVE FIRE EXERCISE SHOOTHOUSE .....	3,150	3,150	.....
SCOUT/RECCE GUNNERY COMPLEX .....	15,500	15,500	.....
SQUAD DEFENSE RANGE .....	3,000	3,000	.....
THAAD BATTERY COMPLEX .....	17,500	17,500	.....
TRANSIENT TRAINING COMPLEX .....	31,000	31,000	.....
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE .....	2,800	2,800	.....
VEHICLE BRIDGE OVERPASS .....	8,700	8,700	.....
FORT HOOD:			
BATTALION COMPLEX .....	40,000	40,000	.....
BRIGADE COMPLEX .....	38,000	38,000	.....
COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES .....	4,300	4,300	.....
CONVOY LIVE FIRE .....	3,200	3,200	.....
LIVE FIRE EXERCISE SHOOTHOUSE .....	2,100	2,100	.....
UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEM [UAS] HANGAR .....	55,000	55,000	.....
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE .....	2,450	2,450	.....
FORT SAM HOUSTON:			
SIMULATIONS CENTER .....	16,000	16,000	.....
TRAINING AIDS CENTER .....	6,200	6,200	.....
AIR FORCE:			
DYESS AFB:			
C-130J ADD/ALTER FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY .....	4,080	4,080	.....
ELLINGTON FIELD:			
TFI—UPGRADE UAV MAINTENANCE HANGAR .....	7,000	7,000	.....
LACKLAND AFB:			
BMT SATELLITE CLASSROOM/DINING FACILITY NO. 2 .....	32,000	32,000	.....
ONE-COMPANY FIRE STATION .....	5,500	5,500	.....
RECRUIT DORMITORY, PHASE 3 .....	67,980	67,980	.....
RECRUIT/FAMILY INPROCESSING & INFO CENTER .....	21,800	21,800	.....

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
RANDOLPH AFB: FIRE CRASH RESCUE STATION .....		13,000	+ 13,000
DEFENSE-WIDE: FORT BLISS: HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT INCR 2 .....	147,100	147,100	.....
LACKLAND AFB: AMBULATORY CARE CENTER PHASE 2 INC 1 .....	162,500	120,000	-42,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP MAXEY: COMBAT PISTOL/MILITARY PISTOL QUALIFICATION C .....	2,500	2,500	.....
CAMP SWIFT: URBAN ASSAULT COURSE .....	2,600	2,600	.....
ARMY RESERVE: DALLAS: ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND .....	12,600	12,600	.....
FORT HOOD: ARMY RESERVE CENTER .....		15,500	+ 15,500
RIO GRANDE: ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND .....	6,100	6,100	.....
SAN MARCOS: ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND .....	8,500	8,500	.....
TOTAL, TEXAS .....	797,460	783,460	-14,000
UTAH			
AIR FORCE: HILL AFB: CONSOLIDATED TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES, PH 1 .....		7,300	+ 7,300
F-22 T-10 ENGINE TEST CELL .....	2,800	2,800	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE: CAMP WILLIAMS: CNCI DATA CENTER INCREMENT 3 .....	398,358	398,358	.....
TOTAL, UTAH .....	401,158	408,458	+ 7,300
VERMONT			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: BURLINGTON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT: UPGRADE TAXIWAYS AND REPLACE ARM/DISARM PADS, PH 1 .....		11,000	+ 11,000
TOTAL, VERMONT .....		11,000	+ 11,000
VIRGINIA			
ARMY: FORT A.P. HILL: 1200 METER RANGE .....	14,500	14,500	.....
INDOOR FIRING RANGE .....	6,200	6,200	.....
KNOWN DISTANCE RANGE .....	3,800	3,800	.....
LIGHT DEMOLITION RANGE .....	4,100	4,100	.....
MOUT COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY .....	65,000	65,000	.....
FORT EUSTIS: WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX .....	18,000	18,000	.....
FORT LEE: AUTOMATED QUALIFICATION TRAINING RANGE .....	7,700	7,700	.....
COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITY .....	4,900	4,900	.....
MUSEUM OPERATIONS SUPPORT BUILDING .....	30,000		-30,000
TRAINING AIDS CENTER .....	5,800	5,800	.....



## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
<b>NAVY:</b>			
DAHLGREN NSCW:			
MISSILE SUPPORT FACILITY REPLACEMENT, PH 1 .....		9,730	+ 9,730
NORFOLK:			
PIER 1 UPGRADES TO BERTH USNS <i>COMFORT</i> .....	10,035	10,035	.....
PIERS 9 AND 10 UPGRADES FOR DDG 1000 .....	2,400	2,400	.....
PORTSMOUTH:			
SHIP REPAIR PIER REPLACEMENT, INCR 2 .....	100,000	100,000	.....
QUANTICO:			
ACADEMIC FACILITY ADDITION—SNCOA .....	12,080	12,080	.....
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—WTBN .....	37,810	37,810	.....
RESEARCH CENTER ADDITION—MCU .....	37,920	37,920	.....
STUDENT OFFICER QUARTERS—TBS .....	55,822	55,822	.....
<b>AIR FORCE:</b>			
LANGLEY AFB:			
F-22 ADD/ALTER HANGAR BAY LO/CR FACILITY—TFI .....	8,800	8,800	.....
<b>DEFENSE-WIDE:</b>			
CRANEY ISLAND:			
REPLACE FUEL PIER .....	58,000	58,000	.....
FORT BELVOIR:			
DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT .....	6,300	6,300	.....
PENTAGON:			
PENTAGON METRO AND CORRIDOR 8 SCREENING FACILITY .....	6,473	6,473	.....
POWER PLANT MODERNIZATION PHASE 3 .....	51,928	51,928	.....
SECURE ACCESS LANE—REMOTE VEHICLE SCREENING .....	4,923	4,923	.....
QUANTICO:			
NEW CONSOLIDATED ELEMETARY SCHOOL .....	47,355	47,355	.....
<b>ARMY RESERVE:</b>			
FORT A.P. HILL:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER .....	15,500	15,500	.....
ROANOKE:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND .....	14,800	14,800	.....
VIRGINIA BEACH:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER .....	11,000	11,000	.....
<b>NAVY RESERVE:</b>			
WILLIAMSBURG:			
NAVY ORDNANCE CARGO LOGISTICS TRAINING COMPLEX .....	21,346	21,346	.....
TOTAL, VIRGINIA .....	662,492	642,222	-20,270
<b>WASHINGTON</b>			
<b>ARMY:</b>			
FORT LEWIS:			
BARRACKS .....	47,000	47,000	.....
BARRACKS COMPLEX .....	40,000	40,000	.....
RAPPELLING TRAINING AREA .....	5,300	5,300	.....
REGIONAL LOGISTIC SPT COMPLEX WAREHOUSE .....	16,500	16,500	.....
REGIONAL LOGISTIC SUPPORT COMPLEX .....	63,000	63,000	.....
YAKIMA:			
SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE .....	3,750	3,750	.....
<b>NAVY:</b>			
BANGOR:			
CSDS-5 LABORATORY EXPANSION PHASE 1 .....	16,170	16,170	.....
LIMITED AREA EMERGENCY POWER .....	15,810	15,810	.....
WATERFRONT RESTRICTED AREA EMERGENCY POWER .....	24,913	24,913	.....
BREMERTON:			
LIMITED AREA PRODUCT/STRG CMLPX, INCR 7 .....	19,116	19,116	.....

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
AIR FORCE:			
FAIRCHILD AFB:			
PRECISION MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT LABORATORY FACILITY .....		4,850	+ 4,850
MCCHORD AFB:			
COLLOCATED CHAPEL/FAMILY SUPPORT CENTER, PH 1 ....		10,400	+ 10,400
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
FORT LEWIS:			
PREVENTIVE MEDICINE FACILITY .....	8,400	8,400	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
TACOMA:			
COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP .....	25,000	25,000	.....
NAVY RESERVE:			
YAKIMA:			
MARINE CORPS RESERVE CENTER .....	13,844	13,844	.....
TOTAL, WASHINGTON .....	298,803	314,053	+ 15,250
WEST VIRGINIA			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
MOOREFIELD:			
READINESS CENTER .....	14,200	14,200	.....
MORGANTOWN:			
READINESS CENTER .....	21,000	21,000	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
YEAGER AIRBASE:			
COMMUNICATIONS TRAINING FACILITY .....		6,250	+ 6,250
FORCE PROTECTION/ANTITERRORISM .....		13,000	+ 13,000
TOTAL, WEST VIRGINIA .....	35,200	54,450	+ 19,250
WISCONSIN			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
MADISON:			
AIRCRAFT PARKING .....	5,700	5,700	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
GENERAL MITCHELL IAP:			
REPLACE FIRE STATION .....		8,300	+ 8,300
ARMY RESERVE:			
FORT MCCOY:			
AT/MOB BILLETING COMPLEX PHASE I .....	9,800	9,800	.....
NCO ACADEMY PHASE II .....	10,000	10,000	.....
TOTAL, WISCONSIN .....	25,500	33,800	+ 8,300
WYOMING			
AIR FORCE:			
CAMP GUERNSEY:			
NUCLEAR/SPACE SECURITY TACTICS TRAINING CENTER ...	4,650	4,650	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
LARAMIE:			
FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP .....	14,400	14,400	.....
TOTAL, WYOMING .....	19,050	19,050	.....
AFGHANISTAN			
ARMY:			
BAGRAM AIR BASE:			
ARMY AVIATION HQ FACILITIES .....	19,000	.....	-19,000

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
BARRACKS .....	18,000	.....	-18,000
CONSOLIDATED COMMUNITY SUPPORT AREA .....	14,800	.....	-14,800
EASTSIDE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION .....	10,400	.....	-10,400
EASTSIDE UTILITIES INFRASTRUCTURE .....	29,000	.....	-29,000
ENTRY CONTROL POINT .....	7,500	.....	-7,500
JOINT DEFENSE OPERATIONS CENTER .....	2,800	.....	-2,800
AIR FORCE:			
BAGRAM AIR BASE:			
CONSOLIDATED RIGGING FACILITY .....	9,900	.....	-9,900
FIGHTER HANGAR .....	16,480	.....	-16,480
MEDEVAC RAMP EXPANSION/FIRE STATION .....	16,580	.....	-16,580
TOTAL, AFGHANISTAN .....	144,460	.....	-144,460
BAHRAIN ISLAND			
NAVY:			
BAHRAIN NSA:			
NAVCENT AMMUNITION MAGAZINES .....	89,280	89,280	.....
OPERATIONS AND SUPPORT FACILITIES .....	60,002	60,002	.....
WATERFRONT DEVELOPMENT, PHASE 3 .....	63,871	63,871	.....
AIR FORCE:			
SHAIKH ISA AB:			
NORTH APRON EXPANSION .....	45,000	45,000	.....
TOTAL, BAHRAIN ISLAND .....	258,153	258,153	.....
BELGIUM			
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
BRUSSELS:			
NATO HEADQUARTERS FACILITY .....	31,863	31,863	.....
REPLACE SHAPE MS/HS .....	67,311	67,311	.....
TOTAL, BELGIUM .....	99,174	99,174	.....
DJIBOUTI			
NAVY:			
CAMP LEMONIER:			
CAMP LEMONIER HQ FACILITY .....	12,407	12,407	.....
GENERAL WAREHOUSE .....	7,324	7,324	.....
HORN OF AFRICA JOINT OPERATIONS CENTER/HQ .....	28,076	.....	-28,076
PAVE EXTERNAL ROADS .....	3,824	3,824	.....
TOTAL, DJIBOUTI .....	51,631	23,555	-28,076
GERMANY			
ARMY:			
ANSBACH:			
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER .....	13,800	13,800	.....
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP .....	18,000	18,000	.....
GRAFENWOEHR:			
BARRACKS .....	17,500	17,500	.....
BARRACKS .....	19,000	19,000	.....
BARRACKS .....	20,000	20,000	.....
BARRACKS .....	19,000	19,000	.....
RHINE ORDNANCE BARRACKS:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX .....	35,000	35,000	.....
SEMBACH AB:			
CONFINEMENT FACILITY .....	9,100	9,100	.....
WIESBADEN AB:			
COMMAND AND BATTLE CENTER, INCR 2 .....	59,500	59,500	.....

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
CONSTRUCT NEW ACP .....	5,100	5,100	.....
INFORMATION PROCESSING CENTER .....	30,400	30,400	.....
SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFORMATION FACILITY .....	91,000	45,500	-45,500
AIR FORCE:			
KAPAUN:			
DORMITORY (128 RM) .....	19,600	19,600	.....
RAMSTEIN AB:			
CONSTRUCT C-130J FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY .....	8,800	8,800	.....
DEICING FLUID STORAGE & DISPENSING FACILITY .....	2,754	2,754	.....
UAS SATCOM RELAY PADS & FACILITY .....	10,800	10,800	.....
VILSECK:			
AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS SQUADRON [ASOS] COMPLEX .....	12,900	12,900	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
KATTERBACH:			
HEALTH/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT .....	37,100	37,100	.....
PANZER KASERNE:			
REPLACE BOEBLINGEN HS .....	48,968	48,968	.....
VILSECK:			
HEALTH CLINIC ADD/ALT .....	34,800	34,800	.....
TOTAL, GERMANY .....	513,122	467,622	-45,500
GUAM			
NAVY:			
GUAM:			
AAFB NORTH RAMP PARKING (PHASE 1, INC 2) .....	93,588	.....	-93,588
AAFB NORTH RAMP UTILITIES (PHASE 1, INC 2) .....	79,350	.....	-79,350
APRA HARBOR WHARVES IMP (PHASE 1, INC) .....	40,000	40,000	.....
DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD IMPROVEMENTS .....	66,730	66,730	.....
FINEGAYAN SITE PREP AND UTILITIES .....	147,210	.....	-147,210
AIR FORCE:			
ANDERSEN AFB:			
GUAM STRIKE OPS GROUP & TANKER TASK FORCE:			
RENOVATION .....	9,100	9,100	.....
GUAM STRIKE SOUTH RAMP UTILITIES, PHASE 1 .....	12,200	12,200	.....
PRTC—COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS OPERATIONS FACILITY .....	9,200	9,200	.....
PRTC—RED HORSE HEADQUARTERS/ENGINEERING FACILITY .....	8,000	8,000	.....
PRTC—COMMANDO WARRIOR OPEN BAY STUDENT BARRACKS .....	11,800	11,800	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
AGANA NAVAL AIR STATION:			
HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT, INCR 2 .....	70,000	70,000	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
BARRIGADA:			
COMBINED SUPPORT MAINT SHOP PH 1 .....	19,000	19,000	.....
TOTAL, GUAM .....	566,178	246,030	-320,148
HONDURAS			
ARMY:			
SOTO CANO AB:			
BARRACKS .....	20,400	.....	-20,400
TOTAL, HONDURAS .....	20,400	.....	-20,400

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
ITALY			
ARMY:			
VICENZA:			
BDE COMPLEX—BARRACKS/COMMUNITY, INCR 4 .....	26,000	26,000	.....
BDE COMPLEX—OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY, INCR 4 .....	25,000	25,000	.....
AIR FORCE:			
AVIANO AB:			
AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS SQUADRON [ASOS] FACILITY ..	10,200	10,200	.....
DORMITORY (144 RM) .....	19,000	19,000	.....
TOTAL, ITALY .....	80,200	80,200	.....
JAPAN			
NAVY:			
ATSUGI:			
MH-60R/S TRAINER FACILITY .....	6,908	6,908	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
KADENA AB:			
INSTALL FUEL FILTERS—SEPARATORS .....	3,000	3,000	.....
MISAWA AB:			
HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM .....	31,000	.....	-31,000
TOTAL, JAPAN .....	40,908	9,908	-31,000
KOREA			
ARMY:			
CAMP WALKER:			
ELECTRICAL SYSTEM UPGRADE & NATURAL GAS SYSTEM .....	19,500	19,500	.....
AIR FORCE:			
KUNSAN AB:			
DMT FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY .....	7,500	7,500	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CAMP CARROLL:			
HEALTH/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT .....	19,500	.....	-19,500
TOTAL, KOREA .....	46,500	27,000	-19,500
PUERTO RICO			
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
FORT BUCHANAN:			
ANTILLES ES/IS—REPLACE SCHOOL .....	58,708	58,708	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP SANTIAGO:			
LIVE FIRE SHOOT HOUSE .....	3,100	3,100	.....
MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE .....	9,200	9,200	.....
TOTAL, PUERTO RICO .....	71,008	71,008	.....
QATAR			
AIR FORCE:			
AL UDEID:			
BLATCHFORD-PRESTON COMPLEX PH III .....	62,300	62,300	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
AL UDEID:			
NSA WAREHOUSE .....	1,961	1,961	.....
TOTAL, QATAR .....	64,261	64,261	.....

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
SPAIN			
NAVY:			
ROTA:			
AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER .....	23,190	23,190	.....
TOTAL, SPAIN .....	23,190	23,190	.....
UNITED KINGDOM			
AIR FORCE:			
RAF MILDENHALL:			
EXTEND TAXIWAY ALPHA .....	15,000	15,000	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
MENWITH HILL STATION:			
MHS PSC CONSTRUCTION—GENERATORS 10 & 11 .....	2,000	2,000	.....
RAF MILDENHALL:			
REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM .....	15,900	15,900	.....
RAF ALCONBURY:			
ALCONBURY ES REPLACEMENT .....	30,308	30,308	.....
TOTAL, UNITED KINGDOM .....	63,208	63,208	.....
VIRGIN ISLANDS			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
ST. CROIX:			
READINESS CENTER [JFHQ] .....	25,000	25,000	.....
TOTAL, VIRGIN ISLANDS .....	25,000	25,000	.....
NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM .....	258,884	258,884	.....
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED			
ARMY:			
HOST NATION SUPPORT .....	28,000	28,000	.....
PLANNING AND DESIGN .....	221,636	230,308	+ 8,672
MINOR CONSTRUCTION .....	23,000	25,051	+ 2,051
NAVY:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN .....	120,050	124,148	+ 4,098
MINOR CONSTRUCTION .....	20,877	22,357	+ 1,480
AIR FORCE:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN .....	66,336	77,182	+ 10,846
MINOR CONSTRUCTION .....	18,000	22,717	+ 4,717
F-35 ACADEMIC TRAINING CENTER .....	54,150	54,150	.....
F-35 SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY .....	10,260	10,260	.....
F-35 FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY .....	12,190	12,190	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION .....	10,000	10,000	.....
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM .....	120,000	290,000	+ 170,000
PLANNING AND DESIGN:			
DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES .....	54,221	54,221	.....
DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE .....	1,988	1,988	.....
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENT EDUCATION .....	79,763	79,763	.....
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM .....	.....	10,000	+ 10,000
NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY .....	28,239	28,239	.....
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND .....	30,836	35,686	+ 4,850
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY .....	230,300	230,300	.....
WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICE .....	6,270	6,270	.....
SUBTOTAL, PLANNING AND DESIGN .....	431,617	446,467	+ 14,850

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION:			
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY .....	5,258	5,258	.....
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENT EDUCATION .....	13,841	13,841	.....
JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF .....	8,210	8,210	.....
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND .....	7,663	7,663	.....
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY .....	4,884	4,884	.....
DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES .....	3,000	3,000	.....
SUBTOTAL, UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION .....	42,856	42,856	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN .....	25,663	35,876	+ 10,213
MINOR CONSTRUCTION .....	11,400	28,229	+ 16,829
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN .....	9,214	22,732	+ 13,518
MINOR CONSTRUCTION .....	8,000	10,000	+ 2,000
ARMY RESERVE:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN .....	25,900	26,941	+ 1,041
MINOR CONSTRUCTION .....	3,000	3,000	.....
NAVY RESERVE:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN .....	1,857	1,857	.....
MINOR CONSTRUCTION .....	2,238	2,238	.....
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN .....	1,653	1,653	.....
MINOR CONSTRUCTION .....	2,759	7,759	+ 5,000
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY			
ALASKA:			
FORT WAINWRIGHT:			
FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENT (110 UNITS) .....	21,000	21,000	.....
GERMANY:			
BAUMHOLDER:			
FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENT (64 UNITS) .....	34,329	34,329	.....
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS .....	35,000	35,000	.....
PLANNING AND DESIGN .....	2,040	2,040	.....
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION .....	92,369	92,369	.....
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
UTILITIES ACCOUNT .....	69,655	69,655	.....
SERVICES ACCOUNT .....	15,372	15,372	.....
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT .....	49,222	49,222	.....
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT .....	1,201	1,201	.....
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT .....	31,548	31,548	.....
LEASING .....	203,184	203,184	.....
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY .....	120,899	120,899	.....
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS .....	27,059	27,059	.....
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	518,140	518,140	.....
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS			
GUANTANAMO:			
NAVAL STATION GUANTANAMO:			
REPLACE GTMO HOUSING (71 UNITS) .....	37,169	37,169	.....
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS .....	146,020	146,020	.....
PLANNING AND DESIGN .....	3,255	3,255	.....
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION .....	186,444	186,444	.....

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:</b>			
UTILITIES ACCOUNT .....	59,919	59,919	.....
SERVICES ACCOUNT .....	16,790	16,790	.....
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT .....	63,551	63,551	.....
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT .....	464	464	.....
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT .....	14,478	14,478	.....
LEASING .....	97,484	97,484	.....
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY .....	87,134	87,134	.....
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS .....	26,526	26,526	.....
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	366,346	366,346	.....
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE			
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS .....	73,800	73,800	.....
PLANNING AND DESIGN .....	4,225	4,225	.....
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION .....	78,025	78,025	.....
<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:</b>			
UTILITIES ACCOUNT .....	89,245	89,245	.....
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT .....	54,633	54,633	.....
SERVICES ACCOUNT .....	21,535	21,535	.....
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT .....	35,399	35,399	.....
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT .....	1,710	1,710	.....
LEASING .....	95,671	95,671	.....
MAINTENANCE .....	161,696	161,696	.....
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS .....	53,903	53,903	.....
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	513,792	513,792	.....
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE			
<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:</b>			
NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY:			
UTILITIES .....	10	10	.....
FURNISHINGS .....	50	50	.....
LEASING .....	10,293	10,293	.....
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY .....	70	70	.....
DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY:			
FURNISHINGS .....	4,501	4,501	.....
LEASING .....	34,124	34,124	.....
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY:			
UTILITIES .....	297	297	.....
FURNISHINGS .....	18	18	.....
SERVICES .....	29	29	.....
MANAGEMENT .....	365	365	.....
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY .....	707	707	.....
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE .....	50,464	50,464	.....
DOD FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND .....	1,096	1,096	.....
HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM .....	16,515	16,515	.....
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE			
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, 1990 .....	360,474	360,474	.....
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, 2005 .....	2,354,285	2,354,285	.....
RECAP			
ARMY .....	4,078,798	3,797,521	-281,277
RESCISSION .....	.....	.....	.....
NAVY AND MARINE CORPS .....	3,879,104	3,667,922	-211,182



## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
RESCISSION .....	.....	.....	.....
AIR FORCE .....	1,311,385	1,378,688	+ 67,303
RESCISSION .....	.....	.....	.....
DEFENSE-WIDE .....	3,118,062	3,241,601	+ 123,539
RESCISSION .....	.....	.....	.....
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD .....	873,664	980,072	+ 106,408
RESCISSION .....	.....	.....	.....
AIR NATIONAL GUARD .....	176,986	337,454	+ 160,468
RESCISSION .....	.....	.....	.....
ARMY RESERVE .....	318,175	347,916	+ 29,741
RESCISSION .....	.....	.....	.....
NAVY RESERVE .....	61,557	61,557	.....
RESCISSION .....	.....	.....	.....
AIR FORCE RESERVE .....	7,832	12,832	+ 5,000
RESCISSION .....	.....	.....	.....
NATO .....	258,884	258,884	.....
RESCISSION .....	.....	.....	.....
CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE .....	124,971	124,971	.....
RESCISSION .....	.....	.....	.....
DOD FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND .....	1,096	1,096	.....
RESCISSION .....	.....	.....	.....
HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM .....	16,515	16,515	.....
RESCISSION .....	.....	.....	.....
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY .....	610,509	610,509	.....
RESCISSION .....	.....	.....	.....
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS .....	552,790	552,790	.....
RESCISSION .....	.....	.....	.....
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE .....	591,817	591,817	.....
RESCISSION .....	.....	.....	.....
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE .....	50,464	50,464	.....
RESCISSION .....	.....	.....	.....
BRAC .....	2,714,759	2,714,759	.....
RESCISSION .....	.....	.....	.....
GRAND TOTAL .....	18,747,368	18,747,368	.....

## OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Committee versus request
AFGHANISTAN			
ARMY:			
AIRBORNE:			
ROTARY WING PARKING .....	1,200	.....	- 1,200
BAGRAM AIR BASE:			
ARMY AVIATION HQ FACILITIES .....	.....	19,000	+ 19,000
BARRACKS .....	.....	18,000	+ 18,000
COMMAND & CONTROL FACILITY .....	13,600	13,600	.....
CONSOLIDATED COMMUNITY SUPPORT AREA .....	.....	14,800	+ 14,800
DFIP DETAINEE HOUSING .....	23,000	.....	- 23,000
DINING FACILITY .....	2,650	6,000	+ 3,350
EASTSIDE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION .....	.....	10,400	+ 10,400
EASTSIDE UTILITIES INFRASTRUCTURE .....	.....	29,000	+ 29,000
ENTRY CONTROL POINT .....	.....	7,500	+ 7,500
JOINT DEFENSE OPERATIONS CENTER .....	.....	2,800	+ 2,800
C-IED TASK FORCE COMPOUND .....	24,000	24,000	.....
MP HQ .....	2,800	5,580	+ 2,780
REPLACE TEMPORARY GUARD TOWERS .....	5,500	6,000	+ 500
ROLE III HOSPITAL .....	35,000	42,000	+ 7,000
TANKER TRUCK OFF-LOAD FACILITY .....	5,700	.....	- 5,700
TASK FORCE FREEDOM COMPOUND .....	18,000	18,000	.....
TROOP HOUSING, PH 4 .....	23,000	23,000	.....
TROOP HOUSING, PH 5 .....	29,000	29,000	.....
TROOP HOUSING, PH 6 .....	29,000	29,000	.....
TROOP HOUSING, PH 7 .....	29,000	29,000	.....
TROOP HOUSING, PH 8 .....	29,000	29,000	.....
VET CLINIC & KENNEL .....	2,600	2,600	.....
Dwyer:			
COMMAND & CONTROL FACILITY .....	5,200	5,200	.....
DINING FACILITY .....	6,000	9,000	+ 3,000
ROTARY WING APRON .....	44,000	44,000	.....
WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY .....	16,000	16,000	.....
Frontenac:			
WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPLEX .....	4,200	4,200	.....
WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY .....	4,200	4,200	.....
Jalalabad:			
ROTARY WING PARKING .....	1,100	.....	- 1,100
Kandahar:			
NORTH AREA UTILITIES, PH 2 .....	21,000	.....	- 21,000
SOF JOINT OPERATIONS CENTER .....	6,000	6,000	.....
TROOP HOUSING, PH 4 .....	20,000	20,000	.....
TROOP HOUSING, PH 5 .....	20,000	20,000	.....
TROOP HOUSING, PH 6 .....	20,000	20,000	.....
TROOP HOUSING, PH 7 .....	20,000	20,000	.....
Maywand:			
WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY .....	7,000	7,000	.....
Shank:			
AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT .....	25,000	23,000	- 2,000
EXPAND ECP 1 AND ECP 2 .....	16,000	9,000	- 7,000
GUARD TOWERS .....	2,400	2,400	.....
ROADS AND UTILITIES, PH 1 .....	8,000	25,000	+ 17,000
Sharana:			
BULK MATERIALS TRANSFER STATION .....	12,400	12,400	.....
Shindand:			
MEDICAL FACILITY .....	7,700	.....	- 7,700
Tarin Kowt:			
MEDICAL FACILITY .....	5,500	.....	- 5,500
ROTARY WING PARKING AND TAXIWAY, PH 2 .....	24,000	24,000	.....
WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY .....	4,200	4,200	.....
Tombstone/Bastion:			
CONTINGENCY HOUSING .....	41,000	41,000	.....

## OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Committee versus request
DINING FACILITY .....	12,800	27,000	+ 14,200
ROTARY WING PARKING .....	35,000	35,000	.....
WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY .....	13,000	13,000	.....
WOLVERINE:			
ENTRY CONTROL POINT .....	5,100	5,100	.....
PERIMETER FENCE .....	5,100	5,100	.....
ROTARY WING APRON .....	24,000	24,000	.....
WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY .....	13,000	13,000	.....
VARIOUS LOCATIONS:			
ROUTE GYPSUM, PH 1 .....	40,000	49,000	+ 9,000
AIR FORCE:			
BAGRAM:			
CONSOLIDATED RIGGING FACILITY .....	.....	9,900	+ 9,900
FIGHTER HANGAR .....	.....	16,480	+ 16,480
MEDEVAC RAMP EXPANSION/FIRE STATION .....	.....	16,580	+ 16,580
KANDAHAR:			
EXPAND CARGO HANDLING AREA .....	7,100	7,100	.....
EXPEDITIONARY AIRLIFT SHELTER .....	7,400	7,400	.....
SHARANA:			
RUNWAY .....	35,000	.....	- 35,000
SHINDAND:			
PASSENGER & CARGO TERMINAL .....	15,800	15,800	.....
TOMBSTONE/BASTION:			
EXPAND FUELS OPERATIONS AND STORAGE .....	2,500	2,500	.....
PARALLEL TAXIWAY .....	86,000	.....	- 86,000
REFUELER APRON .....	55,000	.....	- 55,000
WARRIOR:			
RUNWAY .....	8,700	.....	- 8,700
WORLDWIDE CLASSIFIED			
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CLASSIFIED PROJECT [NSA] .....	41,900	41,900	.....
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED			
ARMY:			
MINOR CONSTRUCTION .....	78,330	98,330	+ 20,000
PLANNING & DESIGN .....	89,716	101,266	+ 11,550
AIR FORCE:			
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION .....	49,584	69,584	+ 20,000
PLANNING & DESIGN .....	13,422	19,482	+ 6,060
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN .....	4,600	4,600	.....
GRAND TOTAL .....	1,257,002	1,257,002	.....
RECAP			
ARMY .....	929,996	1,045,676	+ 115,680
AIR FORCE .....	280,506	164,826	- 115,680
DEFENSE-WIDE .....	46,500	46,500	.....
GRAND TOTAL .....	1,257,002	1,257,002	.....

## DISCLOSURE OF CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

The Constitution vests in the Congress the power of the purse. The Committee believes strongly that Congress should make the decisions on how to allocate the people's money.

As defined in Rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, the term “congressionally directed spending item” means a provision or report language included primarily at the request of a Senator, providing, authorizing, or recommending a specific amount of discretionary budget authority, credit authority, or other spending authority for a contract, loan, loan guarantee, grant, loan authority, or other expenditure with or to an entity, or targeted to a specific State, locality or congressional district, other than through a statutory or administrative, formula-driven, or competitive award process.

For each item, a Member is required to provide a certification that neither the Member nor the Member’s immediate family has a pecuniary interest in such congressionally directed spending item. Such certifications are available to the public on the website of the Senate Committee on Appropriations ([www.appropriations.senate.gov/senators.cfm](http://www.appropriations.senate.gov/senators.cfm)).

Following is a list of congressionally directed spending items included in the Senate recommendation discussed in this report, along with the name of each Senator who submitted a request to the Committee of jurisdiction for each item so identified. Neither the Committee recommendation nor this report contains any limited tax benefits or limited tariff benefits as defined in rule XLIV.

PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS  
 (Dollars in thousands)

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
<b>DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION</b>			
Army	Alabama: FORT RUCKER—AVIATION COMPONENT MAINTENANCE SHOP	\$29,000	The President, Senator Sessions
Army	Alabama: FORT RUCKER—AVIATION MAINTENANCE FACILITY	\$36,000	The President, Senator Sessions
Army	Alabama: FORT RUCKER—TRAINING AIDS CENTER	\$4,650	The President, Senator Sessions
Navy	Alabama: MOBILE—T-6 SOLO CAPABLE OULYING LANDING FIELD	\$29,082	The President, Senator Sessions
Air Force	Alabama: MAXWELL AFB—ADD/ALT AIR UNIVERSITY LIBRARY	\$13,400	The President, Senator Sessions
Air Guard	Alabama: MONTGOMERY REGIONAL AIRPORT (ANG) BASE—FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR	\$7,472	The President, Senator Sessions
Army	Alaska: FORT GREELY—FIRE STATION	\$26,000	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Army	Alaska: FORT RICHARDSON—BRIGADE COMPLEX, PH 1	\$67,038	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Army	Alaska: FORT RICHARDSON—MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	\$12,200	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Army	Alaska: FORT RICHARDSON—SIMULATIONS CENTER	\$34,000	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Army	Alaska: FORT WAINWRIGHT—AVIATION TASK FORCE COMPLEX, PH 2A (HANGAR)	\$92,650	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Army	Alaska: FORT WAINWRIGHT—AVIATION TASK FORCE COMPLEX, PH 2B [COF]	\$27,000	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Army	Alaska: FORT WAINWRIGHT—URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	\$3,350	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Air Force	Alaska: ELMENDORF AFB—ADD/ALTER AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS SQUADRON	\$4,749	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Air Force	Alaska: ELMENDORF AFB—CONSTRUCT RAILHEAD OPERATIONS FACILITY	\$15,000	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Air Force	Alaska: ELMENDORF AFB—F-22 ADD/ALTER WEAPONS RELEASE SYSTEMS SHOP	\$10,525	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Air Force	Alaska: EELSON AFB—REPAIR CENTRAL HEAT PLANT AND POWER PLANT BOILERS	\$28,000	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Navy	Arizona: YUMA—AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	\$40,600	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Navy	Arizona: YUMA—AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	\$63,280	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Navy	Arizona: YUMA—COMMUNICATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADE	\$63,730	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Navy	Arizona: YUMA—INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY FACILITY	\$21,480	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Navy	Arizona: YUMA—SIMULATOR FACILITY	\$36,060	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Navy	Arizona: YUMA—UTILITIES INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADES	\$44,320	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Navy	Arizona: YUMA—VAN PAD COMPLEX RELOCATION	\$15,590	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Navy	Arizona: DAVIS—MONTHAN AFB—AMARG HANGAR	\$25,000	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Air Force	Arizona: DAVIS—MONTHAN AFB—HC-130 AGE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	\$4,600	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Air Force	Arizona: DAVIS—MONTHAN AFB—HC-130J AERIAL CARGO FACILITY	\$10,700	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Air Force	Arizona: DAVIS—MONTHAN AFB—HC-130J PARTS STORE	\$8,200	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Air Force	Arizona: FORT HUACHUCA—TFI—PREDATOR LRE BEDDOWN	\$11,000	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Air Guard	Arizona: DAVIS MONTHAN AFB—TFI—PREDATOR FOC—ACTIVE DUTY ASSOCIATE	\$4,650	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Army Guard	Arizona: FLORENCE—READINESS CENTER	\$16,500	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski
Def-Wide—SOCOM	Arizona: YUMA—SOF MILITARY FREE FALL SIMULATOR	\$8,977	The President, Senators Begich and Murkowski

PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued  
 (Dollars in thousands)

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
Army Guard	Arkansas: FORT CHAFFEE—COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	\$19,000	The President, Senators Pryor and Lincoln
Army Guard	Arkansas: FORT CHAFFEE—LIVE FIRE SHOOT HOUSE	\$2,500	The President, Senators Pryor and Lincoln
Army Guard	Arkansas: CAMP ROBINSON—COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP	\$30,000	The President, Senators Pryor and Lincoln
Army	California: PRESIDIO MONTEREY—ADVANCED INDIVIDUAL TRAINING BARRACKS	\$63,000	The President, Senator Feinstein
Army	California: PRESIDIO MONTEREY—GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING	\$39,000	The President, Senator Feinstein
Army	California: PRESIDIO MONTEREY—SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	\$38,000	The President, Senator Feinstein
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—BEQ—13 AREA	\$42,864	The President, Senator Feinstein
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—BEQ—LAS FLORES	\$37,020	The President, Senator Feinstein
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—CNAT/FRS—AVIATION TRAINING AND BEQ	\$66,110	The President, Senator Feinstein
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—CONVEYANCE/WATER TREATMENT	\$100,700	The President, Senator Feinstein
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—MALS-39 MAINTENANCE HANGAR EXPANSION	\$48,230	The President, Senator Feinstein
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—MARINE CORPS ENERGY INITIATIVE	\$9,950	The President, Senator Feinstein
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—NORTH REGION TERT TREAT PLANT (INCREMENTED)	\$30,000	The President, Senator Feinstein
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—SMALL ARMS MAGAZINE—EDSON RANGE	\$3,760	The President, Senator Feinstein
Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—TRUCK COMPANY OPERATIONS COMPLEX	\$53,490	The President, Senator Feinstein
Navy	California: CORONADO—ROTARY HANGAR	\$67,160	The President, Senator Feinstein
Navy	California: MIRAMAR—AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	\$90,490	The President, Senator Feinstein
Navy	California: MIRAMAR—HANGAR 4	\$33,620	The President, Senator Feinstein
Navy	California: MIRAMAR—PARKING APRON/TAXIWAY EXPANSION	\$66,500	The President, Senator Feinstein
Navy	California: SAN DIEGO—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS, HOMEPORT ASHORE	\$75,342	The President, Senator Feinstein
Navy	California: SAN DIEGO—BERTHING PIER 12 REPLACEMENT AND DREDGING, PHASE 1	\$108,414	The President, Senator Feinstein
Navy	California: SAN DIEGO—MARINE CORPS ENERGY INITIATIVE	\$9,950	The President, Senator Feinstein
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—BEQ AND PARKING STRUCTURE	\$53,158	The President, Senator Feinstein
Det-Wide—DLA	California: POINT LOMA ANNEX—REPLACE STORAGE FACILITY INCR 3	\$20,000	The President, Senator Feinstein
Det-Wide—DLA	California: POINT MUGU—AIRCRAFT DIRECT FUELING STATION	\$3,100	The President, Senator Feinstein
Army Guard	California: CAMP ROBERTS—COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	\$19,000	The President, Senator Feinstein
Army Reserve	California: FAIRFIELD—ARMY RESERVE CENTER	\$26,000	The President, Senator Feinstein
Army Reserve	California: FORT HUNTER LIGGETT—ECS TACTICAL EQUIPMENT MAINT FACILITY	\$22,000	The President, Senator Feinstein
Army Reserve	California: FORT HUNTER LIGGETT—ECS WAREHOUSE	\$15,000	The President, Senator Feinstein
Army Reserve	California: FORT HUNTER LIGGETT—GRENADE LAUNCHER RANGE	\$1,400	The President, Senator Feinstein
Army Reserve	California: FORT HUNTER LIGGETT—HAND GRENADE FAMILIARIZATION RANGE (LIVE)	\$1,400	The President, Senator Feinstein
Army Reserve	California: FORT HUNTER LIGGETT—LIGHT DEMOLITION RANGE	\$2,700	The President, Senator Feinstein
Army Reserve	California: FORT HUNTER LIGGETT—TACTICAL VEHICLE WASH RACK	\$9,500	The President, Senator Feinstein
Navy Reserve	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—TANK VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	\$5,991	The President, Senator Feinstein

Army	Colorado: FORT CARSON—AUTOMATED SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE	\$3,650	The President
Army	Colorado: FORT CARSON—BATTALION HEADQUARTERS	\$6,700	The President
Army	Colorado: FORT CARSON—BRIGADE COMPLEX	\$56,000	The President
Army	Colorado: FORT CARSON—SIMULATIONS CENTER	\$40,000	The President
Air Force	Colorado: BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE—SECURITY FORCES OPERATIONS FACILITY	\$12,160	The President
Air Force	Colorado: PETERSON AFB—RAIDERS SPACE CONTROL FACILITY	\$24,800	The President
Air Force	Colorado: U.S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY—CONST CENTER FOR CHARACTER & LEADERSHIP	\$27,600	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	Colorado: FORT CARSON—SOF TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE HANGAR	\$3,717	The President
Army Guard	Colorado: COLORADO SPRINGS—READINESS CENTER	\$20,000	The President
Army Guard	Colorado: FORT CARSON—REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE	\$40,000	The President
Army Guard	Colorado: GYPSUM—HAATS/AAST	\$39,000	The President
Army Guard	Colorado: WINDSOR—READINESS CENTER	\$7,500	The President
Chem Demil Const	Colorado: PUEBLO DEPOT—AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY, PH XII	\$65,569	Senators Bennet and Mark Udall
Army Guard	Connecticut: WINDSOR LOCKS—READINESS CENTER (AVIATION)	\$41,000	Senator Lieberman
Air Force	Delaware: DOVER AFB—C-5M/C-17 MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY, PH 2	\$3,200	The President
Army Guard	Delaware: NEW CASTLE—ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER (JFRQ)	\$27,000	The President
Air Guard	Delaware: NEW CASTLE COUNTY AIRPORT—JOINT FORCES OPERATIONS CENTER—ANG SHARE	\$1,500	The President
Air Force	District of Columbia: BOLLING AFB—JOINT AIR DEFENSE OPERATIONS CENTER	\$13,200	The President
Def-Wide—DIA	District of Columbia: BOLLING AIR FORCE BASE—REPLACE PARKING STRUCTURE, PHASE 1	\$3,000	The President
Army	Florida: EGLIN AFB—CHAPEL	\$6,900	The President
Army	Florida: MIAMI-DADE COUNTY—COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	\$41,000	Senator Bill Nelson
Navy	Florida: BLOUNT ISLAND—CONSOLIDATED WAREHOUSE FACILITY	\$17,260	Senator Bill Nelson
Navy	Florida: BLOUNT ISLAND—CONTAINER STAGING AND LOADING LOT	\$5,990	Senator Bill Nelson
Navy	Florida: BLOUNT ISLAND—CONTAINER STORAGE LOT	\$4,910	Senator Bill Nelson
Navy	Florida: BLOUNT ISLAND—HARSTAND EXTENSION	\$17,930	Senator Bill Nelson
Navy	Florida: BLOUNT ISLAND—PAINT AND BLAST FACILITY	\$18,840	Senator Bill Nelson
Navy	Florida: BLOUNT ISLAND—WASHRACK EXPANSION	\$9,690	Senator Bill Nelson
Navy	Florida: TAMPA—JCSE VEHICLE PAINT FACILITY	\$2,300	Senator Bill Nelson
Air Force	Florida: EGLIN AFB—F-35 FUEL CELL MAINTENANCE HANGAR	\$11,400	Senator Bill Nelson
Air Force	Florida: HURLBURT FIELD—ADD TO VISITING QUARTERS (24 RM)	\$4,500	Senator Bill Nelson
Air Force	Florida: HURLBURT FIELD—ADAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS SCHOOL FACILITY	\$6,170	Senator Bill Nelson
Air Force	Florida: HURLBURT FIELD—BASE LOGISTICS FACILITY	\$24,000	Senator Bill Nelson
Air Force	Florida: PATRICK AFB—AIR FORCE TECHNICAL APPLICATION CENTER	\$100,009	Senator Bill Nelson
Def-Wide—SOCOM	Florida: EGLIN AFB—SOF GROUND SUPPORT BATTALION DETACHMENT	\$6,030	Senator Bill Nelson
Air Guard	Florida: JACKSONVILLE IAP—SECURITY FORCES TRAINING FACILITY	\$6,700	Senator Bill Nelson
Army Reserve	Florida: NORTH FORT MYERS—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	\$13,800	Senator Bill Nelson
Army Reserve	Florida: ORLANDO—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	\$10,200	Senator Bill Nelson
Army Reserve	Florida: TALLAHASSEE—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	\$10,400	Senator Bill Nelson
Air Force Reserve	Florida: PATRICK AFB—WEAPONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	\$3,420	Senator Bill Nelson
Army	Georgia: FORT BENNING—LAND ACQUISITION	\$12,200	Senators Chambliss and Isakson

PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued  
 (Dollars in thousands)

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
Army	Georgia: FORT BENNING—TRAINEE BARRACKS, PH 2	\$51,000	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Army	Georgia: FORT BENNING—TRAINING BATTALION COMPLEX, PH 2	\$14,600	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Army	Georgia: FORT BENNING—TRAINING BATTALION COMPLEX, PH 2	\$14,600	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Army	Georgia: FORT BENNING—VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	\$53,000	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Army	Georgia: FORT GORDON—TRAINING AIDS CENTER	\$4,150	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Army	Georgia: FORT STEWART—AUTOMATED INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSE	\$6,200	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Army	Georgia: FORT STEWART—AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	\$9,100	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Army	Georgia: FORT STEWART—AVIATION UNIT OPERATIONS COMPLEX	\$47,000	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Army	Georgia: FORT STEWART—BATTALION COMPLEX	\$18,000	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Army	Georgia: FORT STEWART—GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING	\$8,200	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Army	Georgia: FORT STEWART—MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	\$3,750	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Army	Georgia: FORT STEWART—SIMULATIONS CENTER	\$26,000	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Army	Georgia: FORT STEWART—TRAINING AIDS CENTER	\$7,000	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Army	Georgia: FORT STEWART—SECURITY ENCLAVE & VEHICLE BARRIERS	\$45,004	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Navy	Georgia: KINGS BAY—WATERFRONT EMERGENCY POWER	\$15,660	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Def-Wide—DLA	Georgia: HUNTER ANGCS—FUEL UNLOAD FACILITY	\$2,400	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Def-Wide—DODDEA	Georgia: FORT BENNING—DEXTER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CONSTRUCT GYM	\$2,800	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Def-Wide—NSA	Georgia: AUGUSTA—NSA/CSS GEORGIA TRAINING FACILITY	\$12,855	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Def-Wide—SOCOM	Georgia: FORT BENNING—SOF MWD KENNEL COMPLEX	\$3,624	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Def-Wide—SOCOM	Georgia: HUNTER ARMY AIRFIELD—SOF TEMP EXPANSION	\$3,318	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Def-Wide—SOCOM	Georgia: FORT BENNING—SOF COMPANY SUPPORT FACILITY	\$20,441	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Def-Wide—TMA	Georgia: FORT STEWART—HEALTH CLINIC ADDITION/ALTERATION	\$35,100	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Army Guard	Georgia: CUMMING—READINESS CENTER	\$17,000	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Army Guard	Georgia: DOBBINS ARB—READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT	\$7,450	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Air Guard	Georgia: SAVANNAH/HILTON HEAD IAP—RELOCATE AIR SUPT OPERS SOON	\$11,400	The President, Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Army Reserve	Georgia: MACON—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	\$58,000	The President, Senator Inouye
Army	Hawaii: FORT SHAFTER—COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY, PH 1	\$23,000	The President, Senator Inouye
Army	Hawaii: FORT SHAFTER—FLOOD MITIGATION	\$90,000	The President, Senator Inouye
Army	Hawaii: SCHOFIELD BARRACKS—BARRACKS	\$98,000	The President, Senator Inouye
Army	Hawaii: SCHOFIELD BARRACKS—BARRACKS	\$24,000	The President, Senator Inouye
Army	Hawaii: SCHOFIELD BARRACKS—TRAINING AIDS CENTER	\$28,000	The President, Senator Inouye
Navy	Hawaii: TRIPLER ARMY MEDICAL CENTER—BARRACKS	\$29,960	The President, Senator Inouye
Navy	Hawaii: CAMP SMITH—PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	\$90,530	The President, Senator Inouye
Navy	Hawaii: KANEHOE BAY—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS		



Navy	Hawaii: KANEHE BAY—WATERFRONT OPERATIONS FACILITY	\$19,130	The President,	Senator Inouye
Navy	Hawaii: PEARL HARBOR—CTR FOR DISASTER MGT/HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE	\$9,140	The President,	Senator Inouye
Navy	Hawaii: PEARL HARBOR—JOINT POW/MIA ACCOUNTING COMMAND	\$99,328	The President,	Senator Inouye
Det-Wide—DLA	Hawaii: HICKAM AFB—ALTER FUEL STORAGE TANKS	\$8,500	The President,	Senator Inouye
Det-Wide—SOCOM	Hawaii: PEARL HARBOR—NSWG3 COMMAND AND OPERATIONS FACILITY	\$28,804	The President,	Senator Inouye
Army Guard	Hawaii: KALAEOA—COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP	\$38,000	The President,	Senator Inouye
Air Guard	Hawaii: HICKAM AFB—TF1—F-22 BEDDOWN INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT	\$5,950	The President,	Senator Inouye
Air Guard	Hawaii: HICKAM AFB—TF1—F-22 HANGAR, SQUADRON OPERATIONS AND AMU	\$48,250	The President,	Senator Inouye
Air Guard	Hawaii: HICKAM AFB—TF1—F-22 UPGRADE MUNITIONS COMPLEX	\$17,250	The President,	Senator Inouye
Det-Wide—DLA	Idaho: MOUNTAIN HOME AFB—REPLACE POL FUEL STORAGE TANKS	\$27,500	The President	
Army Guard	Idaho: GOWEN FIELD—BARRACKS (ORTC), PH 1	\$17,500	The President	
Army Guard	Idaho: MOUNTAIN HOME—TACTICAL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM FACILITY	\$6,300	The President	
Det-Wide—DISA	Illinois: SCOTT AIR FORCE BASE—FIELD COMMAND FACILITY UPGRADE	\$1,388	The President,	Senator Durbin
Army Guard	Illinois: SPRINGFIELD—COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP ADD/ALT	\$15,000	The President,	Senator Durbin
Air Guard	Illinois: CAPITAL MAP—TF1—CNAF BEDDOWN—UPGRADE FACILITIES	\$16,700	The President,	Senator Durbin
Army Reserve	Illinois: QUINCY—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	\$12,200	The President,	Senator Durbin
Air Guard	Indiana: HULMAN REGIONAL AIRPORT—TF1—ASOS BEDDOWN—UPGRADE FACILITIES	\$4,100	The President	
Army Reserve	Indiana: MICHIGAN CITY—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	\$15,500	The President	
Army	Iowa: DES MOINES—ARMY RESERVE CENTER	\$8,175	The President	
Army	Kansas: FORT LEAVENWORTH—VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	\$7,100	The President	
Army	Kansas: FORT RILEY—AUTOMATED INFANTRY SQUAD BATTLE COURSE	\$4,100	The President	
Army	Kansas: FORT RILEY—AUTOMATED QUALIFICATION/TRAINING RANGE	\$14,800	The President	
Army	Kansas: FORT RILEY—BATTALION COMPLEX, PH 1	\$31,000	The President	
Army	Kansas: FORT RILEY—KNOWN DISTANCE RANGE	\$7,200	The President	
Army Guard	Kansas: WICHITA—FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP	\$24,000	The President	
Army Guard	Kansas: WICHITA—READINESS CENTER	\$43,000	The President	
Army	Kentucky: FORT CAMPBELL—AUTOMATED SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE	\$1,500	The President,	Senator McConnell
Army	Kentucky: FORT CAMPBELL—BRIGADE COMPLEX	\$67,000	The President,	Senator McConnell
Army	Kentucky: FORT CAMPBELL—COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES	\$25,000	The President,	Senator McConnell
Army	Kentucky: FORT CAMPBELL—RAPPELLING TRAINING AREA	\$5,600	The President,	Senator McConnell
Army	Kentucky: FORT CAMPBELL—UNIT OPERATIONS FACILITIES	\$26,000	The President,	Senator McConnell
Army	Kentucky: FORT CAMPBELL—URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	\$3,300	The President,	Senator McConnell
Army	Kentucky: FORT CAMPBELL—VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	\$15,500	The President,	Senator McConnell
Army	Kentucky: FORT KNOX—ACCESS CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENTS	\$6,000	The President,	Senator McConnell
Army	Kentucky: FORT KNOX—MOUT COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	\$12,800	The President,	Senator McConnell
Det-Wide—SOCOM	Kentucky: FORT CAMPBELL—SOF BATTALION OPS COMPLEX	\$38,095	The President,	Senator McConnell
Army Guard	Kentucky: BURLINGTON—READINESS CENTER	\$19,500	The President,	Senator McConnell
Chen Demil Const	Louisiana: BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT—AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION, PH XI	\$59,402	The President,	Senator McConnell
Army	Louisiana: FORT POLK—BARRACKS	\$29,000	The President,	Senator Landrieu
Army	Louisiana: FORT POLK—HEAVY SNIPER RANGE	\$4,250	The President,	Senator Landrieu

PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued

(Dollars in thousands)

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
Army	Louisiana: FORT POLK—LAND ACQUISITION	\$6,000	The President, Senator Landrieu
Army	Louisiana: FORT POLK—LAND ACQUISITION	\$24,000	The President, Senator Landrieu
Air Force	Louisiana: BARKSDALE AFB—WEAPONS LOAD CREW TRAINING FACILITY	\$18,140	The President, Senator Landrieu
Army Guard	Louisiana: FORT POLK—TACTICAL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM FACILITY	\$5,500	The President, Senator Landrieu
Army Guard	Louisiana: MINDEN—READINESS CENTER	\$28,000	The President, Senator Landrieu
Navy Reserve	Louisiana: NEW ORLEANS—JOINT AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL FACILITY	\$16,281	The President, Senator Landrieu
Army	Louisiana: ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND—AUTO TECH EVALUATE FACILITY, PH 2	\$14,600	The President, Senators Mikulski and Cardin
Army	Maryland: FORT MEADE—INDOOR FIRING RANGE	\$7,600	The President, Senators Mikulski and Cardin
Army	Maryland: FORT MEADE—WIDEBAND SATCOM OPERATIONS CENTER	\$25,000	The President, Senators Mikulski and Cardin
Navy	Maryland: INDIAN HEAD—AGILE CHEMICAL FACILITY, PHASE 2	\$34,238	The President, Senators Mikulski and Cardin
Navy	Maryland: PATUXENT RIVER—BROAD AREA MARITIME SURVEILLANCE T & E FACILITY	\$42,211	The President, Senators Mikulski and Cardin
Det-Wide—DIA	Maryland: ANDREWS AFB—REPLACE FUEL STORAGE & DISTRIBUTION FACILITY	\$14,000	The President, Senators Mikulski and Cardin
Det-Wide—NSA	Maryland: FORT MEADE—NORTH CAMPUS UTILITY PLANT	\$219,360	The President, Senators Mikulski and Cardin
Det-Wide—TMA	Maryland: ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND—USAMRIID REPLACEMENT, INC 3	\$105,000	The President, Senators Mikulski and Cardin
Det-Wide—TMA	Maryland: BETHESDA NAVAL HOSPITAL—NMIC PARKING EXPANSION	\$17,100	The President, Senators Mikulski and Cardin
Det-Wide—TMA	Maryland: BETHESDA NAVAL HOSPITAL—TRANSIENT WOUNDED WARRIOR LODGING	\$62,900	The President, Senators Mikulski and Cardin
Det-Wide—TMA	Maryland: FORT DETRICK—CONSOLIDATED LOGISTICS FACILITY	\$23,100	The President, Senators Mikulski and Cardin
Det-Wide—TMA	Maryland: FORT DETRICK—INFORMATION SERVICES FACILITY EXPANSION	\$4,300	The President, Senators Mikulski and Cardin
Det-Wide—TMA	Maryland: FORT DETRICK—MIBC SECURITY FENCING AND EQUIPMENT	\$2,700	The President, Senators Mikulski and Cardin
Det-Wide—TMA	Maryland: FORT DETRICK—SUPPLEMENTAL WATER STORAGE	\$3,700	The President, Senators Mikulski and Cardin
Det-Wide—TMA	Maryland: FORT DETRICK—USAMRIID STAGE 1, INC 5	\$17,400	The President, Senators Mikulski and Cardin
Det-Wide—TMA	Maryland: FORT DETRICK—WATER TREATMENT PLANT REPAIR & SUPPLEMENT	\$11,900	The President, Senators Mikulski and Cardin
Army Guard	Maryland: ST. INIGOES—TACTICAL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM FACILITY	\$5,500	The President, Senators Mikulski and Cardin
Air Guard	Maryland: MARTIN STATE AIRPORT—REPLACE OPS AND MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	\$11,400	The President, Senators Mikulski and Cardin
Det-Wide—TMA	Massachusetts: HANSCOM AFB—MENTAL HEALTH CLINIC ADDITION	\$2,900	The President, Senator Kerry
Army Guard	Massachusetts: HANSCOM AFB—ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER UPHQJ, PH 2	\$23,000	The President, Senator Kerry
Army Reserve	Massachusetts: DEVENS RESERVE FORCES TRAINING AREA—AUTOMATED RECORD FIRE RANGE	\$4,700	The President, Senator Kerry
Army Guard	Michigan: CAMP GRAYLING RANGE—COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	\$19,000	The President, Senators Levin and Stabenow
Army Guard	Minnesota: ARDEN HILLS—FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP	\$29,000	The President
Army Guard	Minnesota: CAMP RIPLEY—INFANTRY SQUAD BATTLE COURSE	\$4,300	The President
Army Guard	Minnesota: CAMP RIPLEY—TACTICAL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM FACILITY	\$4,450	The President
Army	Missouri: FORT LEONARD WOOD—BARRACKS	\$29,000	The President
Army	Missouri: FORT LEONARD WOOD—BRIGADE HEADQUARTERS	\$12,200	The President
Army	Missouri: FORT LEONARD WOOD—GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING	\$7,000	The President

Army	Missouri: FORT LEONARD WOOD—INFORMATION SYSTEMS FACILITY	\$15,500	The President
Army	Missouri: FORT LEONARD WOOD—TRAINING BARRACKS	\$19,000	The President
Army	Missouri: FORT LEONARD WOOD—TRANSIENT ADVANCED TRAINEE BARRACKS, PH 2	\$29,000	The President
Army Reserve	Missouri: BELTON—ARMY RESERVE CENTER	\$11,800	The President
Army Guard	Nebraska: LINCOLN—READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT	\$3,300	The President, Senator Ben Nelson
Army Guard	Nebraska: MEAD—READINESS CENTER	\$11,400	The President, Senator Ben Nelson
Air Force	Nevada: CREECH AFB—UAS AIRFIELD FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION	\$1,900	The President, Senator Reid
Air Force	Nevada: NELLIS AFB—F-35 ADD/ALTER FLIGHT TEST INSTRUMENTATION FA	\$7,870	The President, Senator Reid
Air Force	Nevada: NELLIS AFB—F-35 ADD/ALTER 422 TEST EVALUATION SQUADRON	\$13,110	The President, Senator Reid
Air Force	Nevada: NELLIS AFB—F-35 FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	\$28,760	The President, Senator Reid
Air Force	Nevada: NELLIS AFB—F-35 MAINTENANCE HANGAR/AMU	\$15,000	The President, Senator Reid
Army Guard	New Hampshire: PEMBROKE—BARRACKS FACILITY (REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE)	\$21,000	The President
Army Guard	New Hampshire: PEMBROKE—CLASSROOM FACILITY (REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE)	\$8,000	The President, Senators Lautenberg and Menendez
Air Force	New Jersey: MCGUIRE AFB—BASE OPS/COMMAND POST FACILITY [TFI]	\$18,440	The President, Senators Lautenberg and Menendez
Army	New Jersey: MCGUIRE AFB—DORMITORY (120 RM)	\$29,000	The President, Senators Bingaman and Tom Udall
Air Force	New Mexico: WHITE SANDS—BARRACKS	\$14,000	The President, Senators Bingaman and Tom Udall
Air Force	New Mexico: CANNON AFB—DORMITORY (96 RM)	\$20,000	The President, Senators Bingaman and Tom Udall
Air Force	New Mexico: CANNON AFB—UAS SQUADRON OPS FACILITY	\$15,470	The President, Senators Bingaman and Tom Udall
Air Force	New Mexico: HOLLOWMAN AFB—UAS ADD/ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGAR	\$22,500	The President, Senators Bingaman and Tom Udall
Air Force	New Mexico: HOLLOWMAN AFB—UAS MAINTENANCE HANGAR	\$3,800	The President, Senators Bingaman and Tom Udall
Air Force	New Mexico: KIRTLAND AFB—AERIAL DELIVERY FACILITY ADDITION	\$6,460	The President, Senators Bingaman and Tom Udall
Air Force	New Mexico: KIRTLAND AFB—ARMAMENT SHOP	\$14,142	The President, Senators Bingaman and Tom Udall
Def-Wide—SOCOM	New Mexico: KIRTLAND AFB—H/MC-130 FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE FACILITY	\$24,622	The President, Senators Bingaman and Tom Udall
Def-Wide—SOCOM	New Mexico: CANNON AFB—SOF HANGAR/AMU (MC-130J)	\$12,636	The President, Senators Bingaman and Tom Udall
Def-Wide—SOCOM	New Mexico: CANNON AFB—SOF AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (MC-130J)	\$26,006	The President, Senators Bingaman and Tom Udall
Def-Wide—SOCOM	New Mexico: CANNON AFB—SOF C-130 PARKING APRON PHASE I		

PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued  
 (Dollars in thousands)

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
Def-Wide—SOCOM	New Mexico: CANNON AFB—SOF OPERATIONS AND TRAINING COMPLEX	\$39,674	The President, Senators Bingaman and Tom Udall
Def-Wide—SOCOM	New Mexico: CANNON AFB—SOF ADD/ALT SIMULATOR FACILITY FOR MC-130	\$13,287	The President, Senators Bingaman and Tom Udall
Def-Wide—TMA	New Mexico: WHITE SANDS—HEALTH AND DENTAL CLINICS	\$22,900	The President, Senators Bingaman and Tom Udall
Army Guard	New Mexico: FARMINGTON—READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT	\$8,500	The President, Senators Bingaman and Tom Udall
Army Reserve	New Mexico: LAS CRUCES—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	\$11,400	The President, Senators Bingaman and Tom Udall
Army	New York: FORT DRUM—AIRCRAFT FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	\$14,600	The President
Army	New York: FORT DRUM—AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	\$16,500	The President
Army	New York: FORT DRUM—BATTALION COMPLEX	\$61,000	The President
Army	New York: FORT DRUM—BRIGADE COMPLEX, PH 1	\$55,000	The President
Army	New York: FORT DRUM—INFANTRY SQUAD BATTLE COURSE	\$8,200	The President
Army	New York: FORT DRUM—TRAINING AIDS CENTER	\$18,500	The President
Army	New York: FORT DRUM—TRANSIENT TRAINING BARRACKS	\$55,000	The President
Army	New York: U.S. MILITARY ACADEMY—SCIENCE FACILITY, PH 2	\$130,624	The President
Army	New York: U.S. MILITARY ACADEMY—URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	\$1,700	The President
Air Force	New York: FORT DRUM—20TH AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS SQUADRON COMPLEX	\$20,440	The President
Def-Wide—DODEA	New York: U.S. MILITARY ACADEMY—WEST POINT MS ADD/ALT	\$27,960	The President
Air Guard	New York: FORT DRUM—TF—REAPER INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT	\$2,500	The President
Air Guard	New York: STEWART TAP—BASE DEFENSE GROUP BEDDOWN	\$14,250	The President
Army Reserve	New York: BINGHAMTON—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	\$13,400	The President
Army	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—BATTALION COMPLEX	\$33,000	The President
Army	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—BRIGADE COMPLEX	\$25,000	The President
Army	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—BRIGADE COMPLEX	\$41,000	The President
Army	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—BRIGADE COMPLEX	\$50,000	The President
Army	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	\$53,000	The President
Army	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES	\$12,600	The President
Army	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—DINING FACILITY	\$11,200	The President
Army	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—MURCHISON ROAD RIGHT OF WAY ACQUISITION	\$17,000	The President
Army	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—STAGING AREA COMPLEX	\$14,600	The President
Army	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—STUDENT BARRACKS	\$18,000	The President

Army	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	\$7,500	The President
Army	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	\$28,000	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—2ND INTEL BN MAINTENANCE/OPS COMPLEX	\$90,270	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—ARMORY—II WEF—WALLACE CREEK	\$12,280	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—BEQ—CAMP JOHNSON	\$46,550	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—BEQ—COURTHOUSE BAY	\$40,780	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—BEQ—COURTHOUSE BAY	\$42,330	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—BEQ—FRENCH CREEK	\$43,640	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—BEQ—RIFLE RANGE	\$55,350	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—BEQ—WALLACE CREEK	\$51,660	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—BEQ—WALLACE CREEK NORTH	\$46,290	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—EOD ADDITION—2ND MARINE LOGISTICS GROUP	\$7,420	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—HANGAR	\$73,010	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—MAINTENANCE HANGAR (HMLA)	\$74,260	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—MAINTENANCE/OPS COMPLEX—2ND ANGLICO	\$36,100	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—MARINE CORPS ENERGY INITIATIVE	\$9,950	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—MESS HALL—FRENCH CREEK	\$25,960	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—MESS HALL ADDITION—COURTHOUSE BAY	\$2,553	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—MOTOR TRANSPORTATION/COMM. MAINT. FACILITY	\$18,470	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—UTILITY EXPANSION—FRENCH CREEK	\$56,050	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—UTILITY EXPANSION—HADNOT POINT	\$56,470	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CHERRY POINT MCAS—BEQ	\$42,500	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CHERRY POINT MCAS—MARINERS BAY LAND ACQUISITION—BOGUE	\$3,790	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CHERRY POINT MCAS—MISSILE MAGAZINE	\$13,420	The President
Navy	North Carolina: CHERRY POINT MCAS—STATION INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADES	\$5,800	The President
Def-Wide—DODEA	North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—TARAWA TERRACE 1 ES REPLACE SCHOOL	\$16,646	The President
Def-Wide—DODEA	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—MCMAIR ES—REPLACE SCHOOL	\$23,086	The President
Def-Wide—DODEA	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—MURRAY ES—REPLACE SCHOOL	\$22,000	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—SOF ADMIN/COMPANY OPERATIONS	\$11,000	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—SOF OPERATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	\$10,347	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—SOF OPERATIONS ADDITIONS	\$15,795	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—SOF JOINT INTELLIGENCE BRIGADE FACILITY	\$32,000	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—SOF C4 FACILITY—ISOC	\$41,000	The President
Def-Wide—SOCOM	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—SOF OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY	\$13,465	The President
Air Guard	North Carolina: HIGH POINT—READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT	\$1,551	The President
Air Force	North Carolina: STANLY COUNTY AIRPORT—UPGRADE ASOS FACILITIES	\$2,000	The President
Air Guard	North Dakota: MINOT AFB—CONTROL TOWER/BASE OPERATIONS FACILITY	\$18,770	The President, Senators Dorgan and Conrad
Air Guard	North Dakota: CAMP GRAFTON—READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT	\$11,200	The President, Senators Dorgan and Conrad
Def-Wide—DIA	Ohio: COLUMBUS—REPLACE PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITY	\$7,400	The President
Army	Oklahoma: FORT SILL—GENERAL PURPOSE STORAGE BUILDING	\$13,800	The President

PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued  
(Dollars in thousands)

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
Army	Oklahoma: MCALESTER—IGLOO STORAGE, DEPOT LEVEL .....	\$3,000	The President
Air Force	Oklahoma: TINKER AFB—UPGRADE BUILDING 3001 INFRASTRUCTURE, PH III .....	\$14,000	The President
DeWilde—DIA	Pennsylvania: DEF DISTRIBUTION DEPOT NEW CUMBERLAND—REPLACE HEADQUARTERS FACILITY .....	\$96,000	Senators Specter and Casey
Air Guard	Pennsylvania: STATE COLLEGE ANG—ADD TO AND ALTER AOS FACILITY .....	\$4,100	The President, Senators Specter and Casey
Navy	Rhode Island: NEWPORT—ELECTROMAGNETIC SENSOR FACILITY .....	\$27,007	The President, Senator Reed
Army Guard	Rhode Island: EAST GREENWICH—UNITED STATES PROPERTY & FISCAL OFFICE .....	\$27,000	The President, Senator Reed
Army	South Carolina: FORT JACKSON—TRAINING AIDS CENTER .....	\$17,000	The President, Senator Graham
Army	South Carolina: FORT JACKSON—TRAINEE BARRACKS .....	\$28,000	The President, Senator Graham
Army	South Carolina: FORT JACKSON—TRAINEE BARRACKS COMPLEX, PH 1 .....	\$46,000	The President, Senator Graham
Navy	South Carolina: BEAUFORT—AICUZ LAND ACQUISITION .....	\$21,190	The President, Senator Graham
Navy	South Carolina: BEAUFORT—AIRCRAFT HANGAR—VMFAT—502 .....	\$46,550	The President, Senator Graham
Navy	South Carolina: BEAUFORT—PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER .....	\$15,430	The President, Senator Graham
Navy	South Carolina: BEAUFORT—TRAINING AND SIMULATOR FACILITY .....	\$46,240	The President, Senator Graham
Air Force	South Carolina: CHARLESTON AFB—CIVIL ENGINEER COMPLEX [TFI]—PHASE I .....	\$15,000	The President, Senator Graham
Army Guard	South Dakota: WATERTOWN—READINESS CENTER .....	\$25,000	The President, Senator Johnson
Army	Tennessee: NASHVILLE IAP—TFI—RENOVATE INTEL SQUADRON FACILITIES .....	\$5,500	The President
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE .....	\$6,700	Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES .....	\$18,500	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE TRAINING RANGE .....	\$22,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—HEAVY SNIPER RANGE .....	\$3,500	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—INDOOR SWIMMING POOL .....	\$15,500	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—LIGHT DEMOLITION RANGE .....	\$2,100	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—LIVE FIRE EXERCISE SHOOTHOUSE .....	\$3,150	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—SCOUT/RECCE GUNNERY COMPLEX .....	\$15,500	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—SQUAD DEFENSE RANGE .....	\$3,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—THAAD BATTERY COMPLEX .....	\$17,500	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—TRANSIENT TRAINING COMPLEX .....	\$31,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—URBAN ASSAULT COURSE .....	\$2,800	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—VEHICLE BRIDGE OVERPASS .....	\$8,700	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT HOOD—BATTALION COMPLEX .....	\$40,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT HOOD—BRIGADE COMPLEX .....	\$38,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT HOOD—COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES .....	\$4,300	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT HOOD—CONVOY LIVE FIRE .....	\$3,200	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT HOOD—LIVE FIRE EXERCISE SHOOTHOUSE .....	\$2,100	The President, Senator Hutchison

Army	Texas: FORT HOOD—UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEM TUASHANGAR	\$55,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT HOOD—URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	\$2,450	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT SAM HOUSTON—SIMULATIONS CENTER	\$16,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT SAM HOUSTON—TRAINING AIDS CENTER	\$6,200	The President, Senator Hutchison
Air Force	Texas: DYESS AFB—C-130J ADD/ALTER FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	\$4,080	The President, Senator Hutchison
Air Force	Texas: ELLINGTON FIELD—TF1—UPGRADE UAV MAINTENANCE HANGAR	\$7,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Air Force	Texas: LACKLAND AFB—BMT SATELLITE CLASSROOM/DINING FACILITY NO. 2	\$32,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Air Force	Texas: LACKLAND AFB—ONE-COMPANY FIRE STATION	\$5,500	The President, Senator Hutchison
Air Force	Texas: LACKLAND AFB—RECRUIT DORMITORY, PHASE 3	\$67,980	The President, Senator Hutchison
Air Force	Texas: LACKLAND AFB—RECRUIT/FAMILY IMPROCESSING & INFO CENTER	\$21,800	The President, Senator Hutchison
Det-Wide—TMA	Texas: LACKLAND AFB—AMBULATORY CARE CENTER, PH 2	\$120,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Det-Wide—TMA	Texas: FORT BLISS—HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT INCR 2	\$147,100	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army Guard	Texas: CAMP MAXEY—COMBAT PISTOL/MILITARY PISTOL QUALIFICATION	\$2,500	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army Guard	Texas: CAMP SWIFT—URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	\$2,600	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army Reserve	Texas: DENTON—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	\$12,600	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army Reserve	Texas: RIO GRANDE—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	\$6,100	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army Reserve	Texas: SAN MARCOS—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	\$8,500	The President, Senator Hutchison
Air Force	Utah: HILL AFB—F-22 T-10 ENGINE TEST CELL	\$2,800	The President, Senator Hutchison
Det-Wide—NSA	Utah: CAMP WILLIAMS—CNCI DATA CENTER INCREMENT 2	\$398,358	The President
Army	Virginia: FORT A.P. HILL—1200 METER RANGE	\$14,500	The President
Army	Virginia: FORT A.P. HILL—INDOOR FIRING RANGE	\$6,200	Senators Webb and Warner
Army	Virginia: FORT A.P. HILL—KNOWN DISTANCE RANGE	\$3,800	Senators Webb and Warner
Army	Virginia: FORT A.P. HILL—LIGHT DEMOLITION RANGE	\$4,100	Senators Webb and Warner
Army	Virginia: FORT A.P. HILL—MOUT COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	\$65,000	Senators Webb and Warner
Army	Virginia: FORT EUSTIS—WARRIOR IN TRANSITION COMPLEX	\$18,000	Senators Webb and Warner
Army	Virginia: FORT LEE—AUTOMATED QUALIFICATION TRAINING RANGE	\$7,700	Senators Webb and Warner
Army	Virginia: FORT LEE—COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITY	\$4,900	Senators Webb and Warner
Army	Virginia: FORT LEE—TRAINING AIDS CENTER	\$5,800	Senators Webb and Warner
Navy	Virginia: NORFOLK—PIER 1 UPGRADES TO BERTH USNS COMFORT	\$10,035	Senators Webb and Warner
Navy	Virginia: NORFOLK—PIERS 9 AND 10 UPGRADES FOR DDG 1000	\$2,400	Senators Webb and Warner
Navy	Virginia: QUANTICO—ACADEMIC FACILITY ADDITION—SINCOA	\$12,080	Senators Webb and Warner
Navy	Virginia: QUANTICO—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MTBN	\$37,810	Senators Webb and Warner
Navy	Virginia: QUANTICO—RESEARCH CENTER ADDITION—MCU	\$37,920	Senators Webb and Warner
Navy	Virginia: QUANTICO—STUDENT OFFICER QUARTERS—TBS	\$55,822	Senators Webb and Warner
Navy	Virginia: PORTSMOUTH—SHIP REPAIR PIER REPLACEMENT (INCREMENTED)	\$100,000	Senators Webb and Warner
Air Force	Virginia: LANGLEY AFB—F-22 ADD/ALTER HANGAR BAY LO/CR FACILITY—TF	\$8,800	Senators Webb and Warner
Det-Wide	Virginia: PENTAGON—PENTAGON METRO & CORRIDOR 8 SCREENING FACILITY	\$6,473	The President, Senator Webb and Warner
Det-Wide	Virginia: PENTAGON—POWER PLANT MODERNIZATION PHASE 3	\$51,928	The President, Senator Webb and Warner
Det-Wide	Virginia: PENTAGON—SECURE ACCESS LANE—REMOTE VEHICLE SCREENING	\$4,923	The President, Senator Webb and Warner
Det-Wide—DIA	Virginia: CRANEY ISLAND—REPLACE FUEL PIER	\$58,000	The President, Senators Webb and Warner

PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued  
 (Dollars in thousands)

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
Def-Wide—DODEA	Virginia: QUANTICO—NEW CONSOLIDATED ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	\$47,355	The President, Senators Webb and Warner
Def-Wide—TMA	Virginia: FORT BELVOIR—DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	\$6,300	The President, Senators Webb and Warner
Army Reserve	Virginia: FORT A.P. HILL—ARMY RESERVE CENTER	\$15,500	The President, Senators Webb and Warner
Army Reserve	Virginia: FORT STORY—ARMY RESERVE CENTER	\$11,000	The President, Senators Webb and Warner
Army Reserve	Virginia: ROANOKE—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	\$14,800	The President, Senators Webb and Warner
Army Reserve	Virginia: WILLIAMSBURG—NAVY ORDNANCE CARGO LOGISTICS TRAINING COMPLEX	\$21,346	The President, Senators Webb and Warner
Army	Washington: YAKIMA—SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE	\$3,750	The President, Senator Murray
Army	Washington: FORT LEWIS—BARRACKS	\$47,000	The President, Senator Murray
Army	Washington: FORT LEWIS—BARRACKS COMPLEX	\$40,000	The President, Senator Murray
Army	Washington: FORT LEWIS—RAPPELLING TRAINING AREA	\$5,300	The President, Senator Murray
Army	Washington: FORT LEWIS—REGIONAL LOGISTIC SPT COMPLEX WAREHOUSE	\$16,500	The President, Senator Murray
Army	Washington: FORT LEWIS—REGIONAL LOGISTIC SUPPORT COMPLEX	\$63,000	The President, Senator Murray
Navy	Washington: BANGOR—LIMITED AREA EMERGENCY POWER	\$15,810	The President, Senator Murray
Navy	Washington: BANGOR—CSDS-5 LABORATORY EXPANSION PHASE I	\$16,170	The President, Senator Murray
Navy	Washington: BANGOR—WATERFRONT RESTRICTED AREA EMERGENCY POWER	\$24,913	The President, Senator Murray
Navy	Washington: BREMERTON—LIMITED AREA PRODUCT/STRG CMPX (INCREMENTED)	\$19,116	The President, Senator Murray
Def-Wide—TMA	Washington: FORT LEWIS—PREVENTIVE MEDICINE FACILITY	\$8,400	The President, Senator Murray
Army Guard	Washington: TACOMA—COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP	\$25,000	The President, Senator Murray
Navy Reserve	Washington: YAKIMA—MARINE CORPS RESERVE CENTER	\$13,844	The President, Senator Murray
Army Guard	West Virginia: MOOREFIELD—READINESS CENTER	\$14,200	The President, Senator Murray
Army Guard	West Virginia: MORGANTOWN—READINESS CENTER	\$21,000	The President, Senator Murray
Army Reserve	Wisconsin: MADISON—AIRCRAFT PARKING	\$5,700	The President
Army Reserve	Wisconsin: FORT MCCOY—AT/MOB BILLETING COMPLEX PHASE I	\$9,800	The President
Army Reserve	Wisconsin: FORT MCCOY—MCO ACADEMY PHASE II	\$10,000	The President
Air Force	Wyoming: CAMP GUERNSEY—NUCLEAR/SPACE SECURITY TACTICS TRAINING CENTER	\$4,650	The President
Army Guard	Wyoming: LARAMIE—FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP	\$14,400	The President
Navy	Bahrain Island: SW ASIA—NAVCENT AMMUNITION MAGAZINES	\$89,280	The President
Navy	Bahrain Island: SW ASIA—OPERATIONS AND SUPPORT FACILITIES	\$60,002	The President
Navy	Bahrain Island: SW ASIA—WATERFRONT DEVELOPMENT, PHASE 3	\$63,871	The President
Air Force	Bahrain Island: SW ASIA—NORTH APRON EXPANSION	\$45,000	The President
Def-Wide	Belgium: BRUSSELS—NATO HEADQUARTERS FACILITY	\$31,863	The President
Def-Wide—DODEA	Belgium: BRUSSELS—REPLACE SHAPE MS/HS	\$67,311	The President
Navy	Djibouti: CAMP LEMONIER—CAMP LEMONIER HO FACILITY	\$12,407	The President
Navy	Djibouti: CAMP LEMONIER—GENERAL WAREHOUSE	\$7,324	The President



Navy	Djibouti: CAMP LEMONIER—PAVE EXTERNAL ROADS	\$3,824	The President
Army	Germany: ANSBACH—PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	\$13,800	The President
Army	Germany: ANSBACH—VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	\$18,000	The President
Army	Germany: GRAFENWOEHR—BARRACKS	\$17,500	The President
Army	Germany: GRAFENWOEHR—BARRACKS	\$19,000	The President
Army	Germany: GRAFENWOEHR—BARRACKS	\$19,000	The President
Army	Germany: GRAFENWOEHR—BARRACKS	\$20,000	The President
Army	Germany: RHINE ORDINANCE BARRACKS—BARRACKS COMPLEX	\$35,000	The President
Army	Germany: SEMBACH AB—CONFINEMENT FACILITY	\$9,100	The President
Army	Germany: WIESBADEN AB—COMMAND AND BATTLE CENTER, INCR 2	\$59,500	The President
Army	Germany: WIESBADEN AB—CONSTRUCT NEW ACP	\$5,100	The President
Army	Germany: WIESBADEN AB—INFORMATION PROCESSING CENTER	\$30,400	The President
Army	Germany: WIESBADEN AB—SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFORMATION FACILITY	\$45,500	The President
Air Force	Germany: KAPAUN—DORMITORY (128 RM)	\$19,600	The President
Air Force	Germany: RAMSTEIN AB—CONSTRUCT C-130J FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	\$8,800	The President
Air Force	Germany: RAMSTEIN AB—DEICING FLUID STORAGE & DISPENSING FACILITY	\$2,754	The President
Air Force	Germany: RAMSTEIN AB—UAS SATCOM RELAY PADS & FACILITY	\$10,800	The President
Air Force	Germany: VILSECK—AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS SQUADRON (ASOS)	\$12,900	The President
Def-Wide—DODEA	Germany: PANZER KASERNE—REPLACE BOEBLINGEN HS	\$48,968	The President
Def-Wide—TMA	Germany: KATTERBACH—HEALTH/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	\$37,100	The President
Def-Wide—TMA	Germany: VILSECK—HEALTH CLINIC ADD/ALT	\$34,800	The President
Navy	Guam: GUAM—APRA HARBOR WHARVES IMP. (PHASE 1, INC)	\$40,000	The President
Navy	Guam: GUAM—DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	\$66,730	The President
Air Force	Guam: ANDERSEN AFB—GUAM STRIKE OPS GROUP & TANKER TASK FORCE	\$9,100	The President
Air Force	Guam: ANDERSEN AFB—GUAM STRIKE SOUTH RAMP UTILITIES, PHASE 1	\$12,200	The President
Air Force	Guam: ANDERSEN AFB—PRTC—COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS OPERATIONS FACILITY	\$9,200	The President
Air Force	Guam: ANDERSEN AFB—PRTC—RED HORSE HEADQUARTERS/ENGINEERING FACILITY	\$8,000	The President
Air Force	Guam: ANDERSEN AFB—PRTC—COMMANDO WARRIOR OPEN BAY STUDENT BARRACKS	\$11,800	The President
Army Guard	Guam: BARRIGADA—COMBINED SUPPORT MAINT SHOP PH 1	\$19,000	The President
Def-Wide—TMA	Guam: AGANA NAVAL AIR STATION—HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT, INCR 2	\$70,000	The President
Army	Italy: VICENZA—BDE COMPLEX—BARRACKS/COMMUNITY, INCR 4	\$26,000	The President
Army	Italy: VICENZA—BDE COMPLEX—OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY, INCR 4	\$25,000	The President
Air Force	Italy: AVIANO AB—AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS SQUADRON (ASOS) FACILITY	\$10,200	The President
Air Force	Italy: AVIANO AB—DORMITORY (144 RM)	\$19,000	The President
Def-Wide—DIA	Japan: KADENA AB—INSTALL FUEL FILTERS—SEPARATORS	\$3,000	The President
Navy	Japan: ATSUGI—MH-60R/S TRAINER FACILITY	\$6,908	The President
Air Force	Korea: KUNSAN AB—CONSTRUCT DMIT FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	\$7,500	The President
Army	Korea: CAMP WALKER—ELECTRICAL SYSTEM UPGRADE & NATURAL GAS SYSTEM	\$19,500	The President
Def-Wide—DODEA	Puerto Rico: FORT BUCHANAN—ANTILLES ESJS—REPLACE SCHOOL	\$58,708	The President
Army Guard	Puerto Rico: CAMP SANTIAGO—LIVE FIRE SHOOT HOUSE	\$3,100	The President

PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued  
(Dollars in thousands)

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
Army Guard .....	Puerto Rico: CAMP SANTIAGO—MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE .....	\$9,200	The President
Air Force .....	Qatar: AL UDEID—BLATCHFORD-PRESTON COMPLEX PH III .....	\$62,300	The President
Def-Wide—NSA .....	Qatar: AL UDEID—QATAR WAREHOUSE .....	\$1,961	The President
Navy .....	Spain: ROTA—AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER .....	\$23,190	The President
Air Force .....	United Kingdom: RAF MILDENHALL—EXTEND TAXIWAY ALPHA .....	\$15,000	The President
Def-Wide—DLA .....	United Kingdom: RAF MILDENHALL—RPL HYDRANT FUEL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM .....	\$15,900	The President
Def-Wide—DODEA .....	United Kingdom: ROYAL AIR FORCE ALCONBURY—ALCONBURY ES REPLACEMENT .....	\$30,308	The President
Def-Wide—NSA .....	United Kingdom: MENWITH HILL STATION—MHS PSC CONSTRUCTION—GENERATORS 10 & D11 .....	\$2,000	The President
Army Guard .....	Virgin Islands: ST. CROIX—READINESS CENTER (JFHQ) .....	\$25,000	The President
<b>DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MILITARY AND FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION</b>			
Army Family Housing Construction .....	Alaska: Fort Wainwright—Family Housing Replacement Construction .....	\$21,000	The President
Army Family Housing Construction .....	Germany: Baumholder—Family Housing Replacement Construction .....	\$34,329	The President
Army Construction Improvements .....	Pennsylvania: Carlisle Barracks—Family Housing Privatization .....	\$15,000	The President
Army Construction Improvements .....	Virginia: Fort Eustis—Family Housing Privatization .....	\$20,000	The President
Navy Family Housing Construction .....	Cuba: Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay—Replace Base Housing, 71 Units at Various Sites .....	\$37,169	The President
Navy Family Housing Construction Improvements .....	Japan: CFA Sasebo—Revitalization of Family Housing Townhomes .....	\$10,769	The President
Navy Family Construction Improvements .....	Japan: CFA Yokosuka—Revitalization of Family Housing Apartments .....	\$17,479	The President
Navy Family Construction Improvements .....	California: MCB Camp Pendleton—Camp Pendleton PPV, Phase 8 .....	\$26,748	The President
Navy Family Construction Improvements .....	Washington, D.C.: Marine Barracks 8th & I—Replace Interior Passenger Lift Quarters #6 .....	\$100	The President
Navy Family Construction Improvements .....	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune—Camp Lejeune PPV Phase 7 with DODDS addition .....	\$79,908	The President
Navy Family Construction Improvements .....	Japan: Marine Corps Air Station Iwakuni—Whole House Revitalization Mirrise 906 .....	\$11,016	The President
Air Force Family Construction Improvements .....	Japan: Kadena AB—Improve Family Housing, Phase 11 (403 units) .....	\$73,800	The President
<b>DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 2005</b>			
Defense-Wide—NGA .....	Virginia: Fort Belvoir—NGA Headquarters Facility .....	\$83,328	The President
Defense-Wide—TMA .....	Maryland: Bethesda (WRNMMC)—Traffic Mitigation, Increment 2 .....	\$7,600	The President
Defense-Wide—TMA .....	Maryland: Bethesda (WRNMMC)—Defense Access Roads—Medical Center Entrance .....	\$20,000	The President
Defense-Wide—TMA .....	Texas: Fort Sam Houston—San Antonio Military Medical Center—North, Increment 4 .....	\$93,941	The President
Defense-Wide—TMA .....	Virginia: Fort Belvoir—Hospital Replacement, Increment 5 .....	\$63,637	The President
Defense-Wide—WHS .....	Virginia: Fort Belvoir—Office Complex, Increment 4 .....	\$5,610	The President

**DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS. CONSTRUCTION, MAJOR PROJECTS**

Agency—Veterans Health Administration ..	California: Palo Alto—Polytrauma/Ambulatory Care Replacement .....	\$30,000	The President, Senator Feinstein
Agency—Veterans Health Administration ..	California: Alameda Point—Outpatient Clinic and Columbarium (Design) .....	\$17,332	The President, Senator Feinstein
Agency—Veterans Health Administration ..	Colorado: Denver—New Medical Facility .....	\$450,700	The President, Senators Michael Bennet and Mark Udall
Agency—Veterans Health Administration ..	Louisiana: New Orleans—New Medical Facility .....	\$310,000	The President, Senator Landrieu
Agency—Veterans Health Administration ..	Nebraska: Omaha—Hospital Replacement Facility (Design) .....	\$56,000	The President, Senator Ben Nelson
Agency—National Cemetery Administration ..	California: Los Angeles—Columbarium Expansion .....	\$27,600	The President, Senator Feinstein
Agency—National Cemetery Administration ..	Pennsylvania: Indiantown Gap—Gravesite Expansion and Cemetery Improvements—Phase 4 .....	\$23,500	The President, Senators Specter and Casey
Agency—National Cemetery Administration ..	Washington: Tahoma—Gravesite Expansion and Cemetery Improvements—Phase 2 .....	\$25,800	The President, Senator Murray

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS**

Army .....	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—ARMY AVIATION HQ FACILITIES <sup>1</sup> .....	\$19,000	The President
Army .....	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—BARRACKS <sup>1</sup> .....	\$18,000	The President
Army .....	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—C-LED TASK FORCE COMPOUND .....	\$24,000	The President
Army .....	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—COMMAND & CONTROL FACILITY .....	\$13,600	The President
Army .....	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—CONSOLIDATED COMMUNITY SUPPORT AREA <sup>1</sup> .....	\$14,800	The President
Army .....	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—DINING FACILITY .....	\$6,000	The President
Army .....	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—EASTSIDE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION <sup>1</sup> .....	\$10,400	The President
Army .....	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—EASTSIDE UTILITIES INFRASTRUCTURE <sup>1</sup> .....	\$29,000	The President
Army .....	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—ENTRY CONTROL POINT <sup>1</sup> .....	\$7,500	The President
Army .....	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—JOINT DEFENSE OPERATIONS CENTER <sup>1</sup> .....	\$2,800	The President
Army .....	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—MP HQ .....	\$5,580	The President
Army .....	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—REPLACE TEMPORARY GUARD TOWERS .....	\$6,000	The President
Army .....	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—ROLE III HOSPITAL .....	\$42,000	The President
Army .....	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—TASK FORCE FREEDOM COMPOUND .....	\$18,000	The President
Army .....	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—TROOP HOUSING, PH 4 .....	\$23,000	The President
Army .....	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—TROOP HOUSING, PH 5 .....	\$29,000	The President
Army .....	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—TROOP HOUSING, PH 6 .....	\$29,000	The President
Army .....	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—TROOP HOUSING, PH 7 .....	\$29,000	The President
Army .....	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—TROOP HOUSING, PH 8 .....	\$29,000	The President
Army .....	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—VET CLINIC & KENNEL .....	\$2,600	The President
Army .....	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—CONSOLIDATED RIGGING FACILITY <sup>1</sup> .....	\$9,900	The President
Air Force .....	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—FIGHTER HANGAR <sup>1</sup> .....	\$16,480	The President
Air Force .....	Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—MEDEVAC RAMP EXPANSION/FIRE STATION <sup>1</sup> .....	\$16,580	The President
Army .....	Afghanistan: DWYER—COMMAND & CONTROL FACILITY .....	\$5,200	The President
Army .....	Afghanistan: DWYER—DINING FACILITY .....	\$9,000	The President

PRESIDENTIALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued  
(Dollars in thousands)

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
Army	Afghanistan: DWYER—ROTARY WING APRON	\$44,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: DWYER—WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY	\$16,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: FRONTENAC—WASTE MANAGEMENT COMPLEX	\$4,200	The President
Army	Afghanistan: FRONTENAC—WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY	\$4,200	The President
Army	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—SOF JOINT OPERATIONS CENTER	\$6,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—TROOP HOUSING, PH 4	\$20,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—TROOP HOUSING, PH 5	\$20,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—TROOP HOUSING, PH 6	\$20,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—TROOP HOUSING, PH D7	\$20,000	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—EXPAND CARGO HANDLING AREA	\$7,100	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: KANDAHAR—EXPEDITIONARY AIRLIFT SHELTER	\$7,400	The President
Army	Afghanistan: MAYWAND—WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY	\$7,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: SHANK—AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT	\$23,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: SHANK—EXPAND ECP 1 AND ECP 2	\$9,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: SHANK—GUARD TOWERS	\$2,400	The President
Army	Afghanistan: SHANK—ROADS AND UTILITIES, PH 1	\$25,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: SHARANA—BULK MATERIALS TRANSFER STATION	\$12,400	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: SHINDAND—PASSENGER & CARGO TERMINAL	\$15,800	The President
Army	Afghanistan: TARIN KOWT—WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY	\$24,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: TARIN KOWT—ROTARY WING PARKING AND TAXIWAY, PH 2	\$4,200	The President
Army	Afghanistan: TOMBSTONE/BASTION—CONTINGENCY HOUSING	\$41,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: TOMBSTONE/BASTION—DINING FACILITY	\$27,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: TOMBSTONE/BASTION—ROTARY WING PARKING	\$35,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: TOMBSTONE/BASTION—WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY	\$13,000	The President
Air Force	Afghanistan: TOMBSTONE/BASTION—EXPAND FUELS OPERATIONS AND STORAGE	\$2,500	The President
Army	Afghanistan: VARIOUS LOCATIONS—ROUTE GYPSUM, PH 1	\$49,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: WOLVERINE—ENTRY CONTROL POINT	\$5,100	The President
Army	Afghanistan: WOLVERINE—PERIMETER FENCE	\$5,100	The President
Army	Afghanistan: WOLVERINE—ROTARY WING APRON	\$24,000	The President
Army	Afghanistan: WOLVERINE—WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY	\$13,000	The President
Def-Wide	WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED—Classified Project	\$41,900	The President

<sup>1</sup> Projects requested in the fiscal year 2011 base Milcon budget.

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS  
 (Dollars in thousands)

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
Army Guard	Alabama: Fort McClellan—Live Fire Shoot House	\$5,635	Senators Shelby and Sessions
Air Guard	Alabama: Montgomery Regional Airport ANGB—Replace Squad Ops Facility	\$7,500	Senators Shelby and Sessions
Army	Alaska: Fort Richardson—Physical Fitness Center (P&D)	\$2,700	Senators Begich and Murkowski
Air Force	Alaska: Elmendorf Air Force Base—DOD Joint Regional Fire Training Facility	\$6,600	Senators Begich and Murkowski
Air Guard	Alaska: Eielson Air Force Base—Add/Alter Communications Facility	\$6,500	Senators Begich and Murkowski
Army Guard	Arkansas: Camp Robinson—Regional Training Institute, Ph. 2 (P&D)	\$1,900	Senators Pryor and Lincoln
Army Guard	Arkansas: Fort Chafee—Convoy Live Fire/Entry Control Point Range	\$3,500	Senators Pryor and Lincoln
Air Guard	Arkansas: Little Rock Air Force Base—Fuel Cell and Corrosion Control Hangar	\$10,400	Senators Pryor and Lincoln
Air Force	California: Edwards AFB—Flightline Fire Station	\$15,500	Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Army Guard	California: Mather AASF—Renewable Photovoltaic Solar Power (Minor)	\$1,466	Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Army Guard	California: Ventura AFRC—Renewable Photovoltaic Solar Power (Minor)	\$1,466	Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Army Guard	California: Los Alamitos AFRC—Renewable Photovoltaic Solar Power (Minor)	\$1,466	Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Army Guard	California: Banning RC—Renewable Photovoltaic Solar Power (Minor)	\$1,466	Senators Feinstein and Boxer
Air Force	Colorado: Buckley Air Force Base—Land Acquisition	\$10,000	Senators Mark Bennet and Mark Udall
Navy	Connecticut: NSB New London—Submarine Group Two Headquarters	\$12,930	Senators Dodd and Lieberman
Air Guard	Delaware: New Castle County Airport—C-130 Aircraft Maintenance Shops, Ph. 3	\$8,700	Senators Carper and Kaufman
Navy	Florida: NSA Panama City—Land Acquisition—9 acres	\$5,960	Senator Bill Nelson
Air Force	Florida: Patrick Air Force Base—Relocate Main Gate	\$8,000	Senator Bill Nelson
Army	Georgia: Fort Benning—Chapel, Sand Hill (P&D)	\$550	Senator Chambliss
Army	Georgia: Fort Gordon—Qualification Training Range	\$8,100	Senators Chambliss and Isakson
Army	Hawaii: Pohakuloa Training Area—Defense Access Road (P&D)	\$1,200	Senators Inouye and Akaka
Navy	Hawaii: Pearl Harbor Naval Shipyard—Welding School Shop Consolidation	\$8,500	Senators Inouye and Akaka
Navy	Hawaii: Pacific Missile Range Facility—Fire Station, West Loch	\$9,084	Senators Inouye and Akaka
Navy	Hawaii: Pacific Missile Range Facility—Replace North Loop Electrical Distribution System	\$9,100	Senators Inouye and Akaka
Navy	Hawaii: Pacific Missile Range Facility—Construct Prefabricated Bridge at Nohili Ditch	\$3,560	Senators Inouye and Akaka
Air Force	Idaho: Mountain Home Air Force Base—Civil Engineer Maintenance Complex (P&D)	\$2,000	Senators Crapo and Risch
Army Reserve	Illinois: Rockford USARC—United States Army Reserve Center	\$13,200	Senators Durbin and Burris
Army Guard	Iowa: Camp Dodge—Combined Arms Collective Training Facility	\$5,700	Senators Harkin and Grassley
Air Guard	Iowa: Bud Day Field—Add/Alt Security Police Facility (Minor)	\$1,950	Senator Harkin
Air Guard	Iowa: Des Moines—Corrosion Control Hangar	\$4,700	Senators Harkin and Grassley
Army Guard	Kansas: Forbes Field—Taxiway Alterations	\$9,036	Senators Brownback and Roberts
Army	Kentucky: Fort Campbell—Infantry Squad Battle Course	\$3,000	Senator McConnell
Army	Kentucky: Fort Campbell—Shoot House	\$3,300	Senator McConnell
Army	Kentucky: Fort Knox—Rail Head Upgrade	\$18,000	Senator McConnell
Def-Wide—SOCOM	Kentucky: Fort Campbell—Landgrat Hangar Addition, 160th SOAR	\$3,600	Senator Alexander

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued  
(Dollars in thousands)

Account	Project	Funding	Requestor
Army	Louisiana: Fort Polk—Emergency Services Center	\$9,200	Senators Landrieu and Vitter
Air Guard	Louisiana: MAS-Joint Reserve Base—Alert Facility (P&D)	\$2,000	Senator Landrieu
Navy	Maine: Portsmouth Naval Shipyard—Consolidation of Structural Shops	\$17,240	Senators Collins, Snowe, Gregg and Shaheen
Navy	Maryland: Indian Head—Advanced Energetics Research Lab Complex, Ph 2	\$12,810	Senators Mikulski and Cardin
Army Guard	Massachusetts: Chicopee Army—Army Addition (Minor)	\$1,554	Senator Kerry
Air Guard	Massachusetts: Barnes Municipal Airport—Additions and Renovations to Building 15	\$6,000	Senator Kerry
Army Guard	Michigan: Camp Grayling Range—Barracks Replacement, Ph 2	\$17,102	Senators Levin and Stabenow
Army Guard	Michigan: Camp Grayling Range—Light Demolition Range	\$1,595	Senators Levin and Stabenow
Army Guard	Michigan: Alpena Combat Readiness Training Center—Replace Troop Quarters, Ph 2	\$9,600	Senators Levin and Stabenow
Air Force	Minnesota: Minneapolis—Simulation Facility Expansion (Minor)	\$1,900	Senators Franken and Klobuchar
Army Guard	Minnesota: Bloomington—Add/Alt. Army (Minor)	\$1,986	Senators Franken and Klobuchar
Navy	Mississippi: NCBC Gulfport—Branch Health Clinic	\$11,870	Senators Cochran and Wicker
Def-Wide—TMA	Mississippi: Gulfport—Health Clinic (P&D)	\$2,700	Senator Cochran
Def-Wide—SOCOM	Mississippi: Stennis Space Center—SOF Western Maneuver Area, Ph 2	\$9,000	Senators Cochran and Wicker
Def-Wide—SOCOM	Mississippi: Stennis Space Center—SOF Western Maneuver Area, Ph 3	\$8,000	Senators Cochran and Wicker
Army Guard	Missouri: Fort Leonard Wood—Regional Training Institute, Ph 1	\$13,800	Senator Bond
Air Force	Montana: Malmstrom AFB—Physical Fitness Center, Ph 2	\$8,000	Senators Tester and Baucus
Air Force	Nebraska: Offutt Air Force Base—Kennedy/Bellevue Gates	\$11,000	Senator Ben Nelson
Army Guard	Nebraska: Lincoln Airport—Road Infrastructure (P&D)	\$240	Senator Ben Nelson
Air Guard	Nebraska: Lincoln Airport—Road Infrastructure (P&D)	\$210	Senator Ben Nelson
Navy	Nevada: NAS Fallon—NSAWC Security Upgrades (Minor)	\$1,480	Senator Reid
Air Force	Nevada: Nellis Air Force Base—Facility Repair/Fitness Rec Center (Minor)	\$867	Senator Reid
Air Force	Nevada: Nellis Air Force Base—Communication Network Control Center	\$11,400	Senators Reid and Ensign
Army Guard	Nevada: Nellis Air Force Base—CAT-M Range modernization (Minor)	\$2,000	Senators Reid and Ensign
Army Guard	Nevada: Las Vegas ARNG—Field Maintenance Shop	\$22,998	Senators Reid and Ensign
Air Guard	New Jersey: Atlantic City AP—Fuel Cell Maintenance Dock and Corrosion Control Hangar	\$8,500	Senators Lautenberg and Menendez
Air Force	New Mexico: Cannon AFB—Family Support Center	\$4,050	Senators Bingaman and Tom Udall
Air Force	New Mexico: Kirtland AFB—Military Working Dog Facility	\$4,400	Senators Bingaman and Tom Udall
Army	New York: Fort Drum—Construct Railroad Loading Area	\$7,600	Senators Schumer and Gillibrand
Def-Wide—SOCOM	North Carolina: Fort Bragg—SOF Baffle Containment for Range 19C	\$7,200	Senators Hagan and Burr
Def-Wide—SOCOM	North Carolina: Fort Bragg—SOF Medical Clinic Addition	\$3,889	Senators Hagan and Burr
Air Force	North Dakota: Grand Forks AFB—Central Deployment Center	\$16,500	Senators Conrad and Dorgan
Air Guard	Ohio: Blue Ash ANG—Renovate Building #2 (Minor)	\$2,000	Senators Sherrod Brown and Voinovich
Air Guard	Ohio: Toledo Airport—Replace Security Forces Complex	\$7,300	Senators Sherrod Brown and Voinovich
Air Force	Oklahoma: Altus AFB—Fire Station (P&D)	\$2,000	Senator Inhofe

Air Force	Oklahoma: Tinker AFB—Air Traffic Control Tower	\$9,300	Senator Inhofe
Air Guard	Oregon: Kingsley Field ANG Base, Klamath Falls—Replace Fire Station	\$7,000	Senators Wyden and Merkley
Navy	Pennsylvania: Naval Support Activity Mechanicsburg—North Gate Security Improvements	\$5,930	Senators Specter and Casey
Navy	Pennsylvania: Philadelphia Annex—Quiet Propulsion Load House	\$7,630	Senators Specter and Casey
Navy	Rhode Island: Newport—Submarine Payloads Integration Laboratory	\$12,170	Senator Reed
Navy	Rhode Island: Newport—Gate Improvements	\$4,950	Senator Reed
Army Guard	Rhode Island: Quonset State Airport—Battalion Readiness Center [P&D]	\$3,729	Senator Reed
Army Guard	Rhode Island: Middletown Army—Armory Addition [P&D]	\$302	Senator Reed
Air Guard	Rhode Island: Quonset State Airport—C-130 Parking Apron	\$1,800	Senator Reed
Air Guard	South Carolina: McEntire JRB—Joint Deployment Processing and Readiness Center, Ph 1	\$9,100	Senator Graham
Air Force	South Dakota: Ellsworth AFB—Maintenance Training Facility	\$12,400	Senators Johnson and Thune
Army Guard	South Dakota: Sioux Falls—Firing Range and Supporting Facilities [P&D]	\$151	Senators Johnson and Thune
Air Guard	South Dakota: Joe Foss Field—Aircraft Maintenance Shops	\$12,800	Senators Johnson and Thune
Air Guard	Tennessee: McGhee Tyson Air National Guard Base—Hobbs Road Acquisition	\$6,500	Senator Alexander
Air Force	Texas: Randolph AFB—Fire Crash Rescue Station	\$13,000	Senators Hutchinson and Cornyn
Army Reserve	Texas: Fort Hood—Army Reserve Center	\$7,300	Senator Hutchison
Air Force	Utah: Hill Air Force Base—Consolidated Transportation Facilities, Ph 1	\$11,000	Senators Bob Bennett and Hatch
Air Guard	Vermont: Burlington International Airport—Upgrade Taxiways and Replace Arm/Disarm Pads, Ph 1	\$9,730	Senators Leahy and Sanders
Navy	Virginia: Dahlgren NSCW—Missile Support Facility Replacement, Ph 1	\$4,850	Senators Webb and Warner
Air Force	Washington: Fairchild AFB—Precision Measurement Equipment Laboratory Facility	\$10,400	Senator Murray
Air Force	Washington: McChord AFB—Collocated Chapel/Family Support Center, Ph 1	\$473	Senators Murray and Cantwell
Army Guard	Washington: Fort Lewis—Modification of Aviation Support Facility [P&D]	\$2,000	Senator Rockefeller
Army Guard	West Virginia: Eleanor ARNG Complex—Emergency Generator (Minor)	\$1,990	Senator Rockefeller
Army Guard	West Virginia: Camp Dawson—Mail/ID Center (Minor)	\$1,980	Senator Rockefeller
Army Guard	West Virginia: Camp Dawson—Utility Upgrade (Minor)	\$1,999	Senator Rockefeller
Army Guard	West Virginia: Camp Dawson—JTEC Emergency Power Generation (Minor)	\$1,000	Senator Rockefeller
Army Guard	West Virginia: Morgantown—Parachute Rigging Facility [P&D]	\$1,500	Senator Rockefeller
Army Guard	West Virginia: Buckhannon—Field Maintenance Shop [P&D]	\$13,000	Senator Rockefeller
Air Guard	West Virginia: Yeager Airbase—Force Protection/Antiterrorism	\$6,250	Senator Rockefeller
Air Guard	West Virginia: Yeager Airbase—Communications Training Facility	\$8,300	Senator Rockefeller
Air Guard	Wisconsin: General Mitchell IAP—Replace Fire Station		Senator Kohl
Agency—Veterans Health Administration	West Virginia: Beckley—Nursing Home Care Unit	46,550	Senator Inouye

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS, CONSTRUCTION MAJOR PROJECTS

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2011  
 [In thousands of dollars]

Item	2010 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	
				2010 appropriation	Budget estimate
<b>TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE</b>					
Military construction, Army .....	3,719,419	4,078,798	3,797,521	+ 78,102	- 281,277
Military construction, Navy and Marine Corps .....	3,769,003	3,879,104	3,667,922	- 101,081	- 211,182
Military construction, Air Force .....	1,450,426	1,311,385	1,378,688	- 71,738	+ 67,303
Rescission .....	- 37,500	.....	.....	+ 37,500	.....
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>1,412,926</b>	<b>1,311,385</b>	<b>1,378,688</b>	<b>- 34,238</b>	<b>+ 67,303</b>
Military construction, Defense-Wide .....	3,093,679	3,118,062	3,241,601	+ 147,922	+ 123,539
Rescission .....	- 151,160	.....	.....	+ 151,160	.....
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>2,942,519</b>	<b>3,118,062</b>	<b>3,241,601</b>	<b>+ 299,082</b>	<b>+ 123,539</b>
<b>Total, Active components .....</b>	<b>11,843,867</b>	<b>12,387,349</b>	<b>12,085,732</b>	<b>+ 241,865</b>	<b>- 301,617</b>
Military construction, Army National Guard .....	582,056	873,664	980,072	+ 398,016	+ 106,408
Military construction, Air National Guard .....	371,226	176,986	337,454	- 33,772	+ 160,468
Military construction, Army Reserve .....	431,566	318,175	347,916	- 83,650	+ 29,741
Military construction, Navy Reserve .....	125,874	61,557	61,557	- 64,317	.....
Military construction, Air Force Reserve .....	112,269	7,832	12,832	- 99,437	+ 5,000
<b>Total, Reserve components .....</b>	<b>1,622,991</b>	<b>1,438,214</b>	<b>1,739,831</b>	<b>+ 116,840</b>	<b>+ 301,617</b>
<b>Total, Military construction .....</b>	<b>13,466,858</b>	<b>13,825,563</b>	<b>13,825,563</b>	<b>+ 358,705</b>	<b>.....</b>
Appropriations .....	(13,655,518)	(13,825,563)	(13,825,563)	(+ 170,045)	.....
Rescissions .....	(- 188,660)	.....	.....	(+ 188,660)	.....
Emergency appropriations .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program .....	197,414	258,884	258,884	+ 61,470	.....
Family housing construction, Army .....	273,236	92,369	92,369	- 180,867	.....



Family housing operation and maintenance, Army	523,418	518,140	518,140	518,140	- 5,278
Family housing construction, Navy and Marine Corps	146,569	186,444	186,444	186,444	+ 39,875
Family housing operation and maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps	368,540	366,346	366,346	366,346	- 2,194
Family housing construction, Air Force	66,101	78,025	78,025	78,025	+ 11,924
Family housing operation and maintenance, Air Force	502,936	513,792	513,792	513,792	+ 10,856
Family housing construction, Defense-Wide	2,859				- 2,859
Family housing operation and maintenance, Defense-Wide	49,214	50,464	50,464	50,464	+ 1,250
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	2,600	1,096	1,096	1,096	- 1,504
Homeowners assistance fund	323,225	16,515	16,515	16,515	- 306,710
<b>Total, Family housing</b>	<b>2,258,698</b>	<b>1,823,191</b>	<b>1,823,191</b>	<b>1,823,191</b>	<b>- 435,507</b>
Rescissions	(2,258,698)	(1,823,191)	(1,823,191)	(1,823,191)	(- 435,507)
Emergency appropriations					
Chemical demilitarization construction, Defense-Wide	151,541	124,971	124,971	124,971	- 26,570
Base realignment and closure:					
Base realignment and closure account, 1990	496,768	360,474	360,474	360,474	- 136,294
Base realignment and closure account, 2005	7,455,498	2,354,285	2,354,285	2,354,285	- 5,101,213
<b>Total</b>	<b>7,455,498</b>	<b>2,354,285</b>	<b>2,354,285</b>	<b>2,354,285</b>	<b>- 5,101,213</b>
<b>Total, Base realignment and closure</b>	<b>7,952,266</b>	<b>2,714,759</b>	<b>2,714,759</b>	<b>2,714,759</b>	<b>- 5,237,507</b>
<b>General Reductions (Sec. 129):</b>					
Military Construction, Army	- 230,000				+ 230,000
Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps	- 235,000				+ 235,000
Military Construction, Air Force	- 64,091				+ 64,091
<b>General Rescissions (Secs 130):</b>					
Military Construction, Army	- 33,000				+ 33,000
Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps	- 51,468				+ 51,468
Military Construction, Defense-Wide	- 93,268				+ 93,268
Military Construction, Army National Guard	- 33,000				+ 33,000
Military Construction, Air National Guard	- 7,000				+ 7,000
<b>Total, title I</b>	<b>23,279,950</b>	<b>18,747,368</b>	<b>18,747,368</b>	<b>18,747,368</b>	<b>- 4,532,582</b>
Appropriations	(23,686,346)	(18,747,368)	(18,747,368)	(18,747,368)	(- 4,938,978)
Rescissions	(- 406,396)				(+ 406,396)
Emergency appropriations					

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL  
 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued  
 [In thousands of dollars]

Item	2010 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	
				2010 appropriation	Budget estimate
<b>TITLE II—DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS</b>					
Veterans Benefits Administration					
Compensation and pensions .....	47,396,106	53,492,234	53,492,234	+ 6,096,128	.....
Readjustment benefits .....	9,232,369	10,440,245	10,440,245	+ 1,207,876	.....
Veterans insurance and indemnities .....	49,288	62,589	62,589	+ 13,301	.....
Veterans housing benefit program fund (indefinite) .....	23,553	19,078	19,078	- 4,475	.....
(Limitation on direct loans) .....	(500)	(500)	(500)	.....	.....
Credit subsidy .....	- 133,000	- 165,000	- 165,000	- 32,000	.....
Administrative expenses .....	165,082	163,646	163,646	- 1,436	.....
Guaranteed Transitional Housing Loans for Homeless Veterans .....	(750)	.....	.....	(- 750)	.....
Vocational rehabilitation loans program account .....	29	48	48	+ 19	.....
(Limitation on direct loans) .....	(2,298)	(3,042)	(3,042)	(+ 744)	.....
Administrative expenses .....	328	337	337	+ 9	.....
Native American veteran housing loan program account .....	664	707	707	+ 43	.....
<b>Total, Veterans Benefits Administration .....</b>	<b>56,734,419</b>	<b>64,013,884</b>	<b>64,013,884</b>	<b>+ 7,279,465</b>	<b>.....</b>
Veterans Health Administration					
Medical services .....	34,707,500	.....	100,000	- 34,607,500	+ 100,000
Advance from prior year .....	.....	(37,136,000)	(37,136,000)	(+ 37,136,000)	.....
Advance appropriation, fiscal year 2012 .....	37,136,000	39,649,985	39,649,985	+ 2,513,985	.....
Subtotal .....	71,843,500	39,649,985	39,749,985	- 32,093,515	+ 100,000
Medical support and compliance .....	4,930,000	.....	.....	- 4,930,000	.....
Advance from prior year .....	.....	(5,307,000)	(5,307,000)	(+ 5,307,000)	.....

Advance appropriation, fiscal year 2012 .....	5,307,000	5,535,000	5,535,000	+ 228,000	.....
Subtotal .....	10,237,000	5,535,000	5,535,000	-4,702,000	.....
Medical facilities .....	4,859,000	.....	20,000	-4,839,000	+ 20,000
Advance from prior year .....	.....	(5,740,000)	(5,740,000)	(+ 5,740,000)	.....
Advance appropriation, fiscal year 2012 .....	5,740,000	5,426,000	5,426,000	- 314,000	.....
Subtotal .....	10,599,000	5,426,000	5,446,000	- 5,153,000	+ 20,000
Medical and prosthetic research .....	581,000	590,000	590,000	+ 9,000	.....
Medical care cost recovery collections:					
Offsetting collections .....	- 2,954,000	- 3,393,000	- 3,393,000	- 439,000	.....
Appropriations (indefinite) .....	2,954,000	3,393,000	3,393,000	+ 439,000	.....
Total, Veterans Health Administration .....	93,260,500	51,200,985	51,320,985	- 41,939,515	+ 120,000
Appropriations .....	(45,077,500)	(590,000)	(710,000)	(- 44,367,500)	(+ 120,000)
Emergency appropriations .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Advance from prior year .....	.....	(48,183,000)	(48,183,000)	(+ 48,183,000)	.....
Advance appropriations, fiscal year 2012 .....	(48,183,000)	(50,610,985)	(50,610,985)	(+ 2,427,985)	.....
National Cemetery Administration .....	250,000	250,504	250,504	+ 504	.....
Departmental Administration .....	2,086,707	2,588,389	.....	- 2,086,707	- 2,588,389
General operating expenses, VBA .....	.....	.....	2,148,776	+ 2,148,776	+ 2,148,776
General administration .....	3,307,000	.....	439,613	+ 439,613	+ 439,613
Information technology systems .....	109,000	109,367	111,867	- 160,000	- 160,000
Office of Inspector General .....	1,194,000	1,151,036	1,197,586	+ 2,867	+ 2,500
Construction, major projects .....	703,000	467,700	485,965	+ 3,586	+ 46,550
Construction, minor projects .....	100,000	85,000	85,000	- 15,000	+ 18,265
Grants for construction of State extended care facilities .....	46,000	46,000	46,000	.....	.....
Grants for the construction of State veterans cemeteries .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Total, Departmental Administration .....	7,545,707	7,754,492	7,661,807	+ 116,100	- 92,685
Appropriations .....	(7,545,707)	(7,754,492)	(7,661,807)	(+ 116,100)	(- 92,685)
Emergency appropriations .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL  
 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2011—Continued  
 [In thousands of dollars]

Item	2010 appropriation	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	
				2010 appropriation	Budget estimate
<b>ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS</b>					
Sec 229—General operating expenses, VBA .....			23,584	+ 23,584	+ 23,584
Total, title II .....	157,790,626	123,219,865	123,270,764	- 34,519,862	+ 50,899
Appropriations .....	(109,607,626)	(72,608,880)	(72,659,779)	(- 36,947,847)	(+ 50,899)
Emergency appropriations .....					
Advance from prior year .....					
Advance appropriations, fiscal year 2012 .....	(48,183,000)	(48,183,000)	(48,183,000)	(+ 48,183,000)	
(Limitation on direct loans) .....	(2,798)	(3,542)	(3,542)	(+ 2,427,985)	
Discretionary .....	(101,222,310)	(59,370,719)	(59,421,618)	(- 41,800,692)	(+ 50,899)
Mandatory .....	(56,568,316)	(63,849,146)	(63,849,146)	(+ 7,280,830)	
<b>TITLE III—RELATED AGENCIES</b>					
American Battle Monuments Commission					
Salaries and expenses .....	62,675	64,200	67,200	+ 4,525	+ 3,000
Foreign currency fluctuations account .....	17,100	20,200	20,200	+ 3,100	
Total, American Battle Monuments Commission .....	79,775	84,400	87,400	+ 7,625	+ 3,000
U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims					
Salaries and expenses .....	27,115	90,147	53,297	+ 26,182	- 36,850
Department of Defense—Civil					
Cemeterial Expenses, Army					
Salaries and expenses .....	39,850	38,100	43,100	+ 3,250	+ 5,000
Armed Forces Retirement Home					
Operation and maintenance .....	62,000	69,200	69,200	+ 7,200	

Capital program .....	72,000	2,000	2,000	70,000	.....
Total, Armed Forces Retirement Home .....	134,000	71,200	71,200	-62,800	.....
Total, title III .....	280,740	283,847	254,997	-25,743	-28,850
(By transfer) .....					
TITLE IV—OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS					
Military Construction, Army .....	924,484	929,996	1,045,676	+121,192	+115,680
Military Construction, Air Force .....	474,500	280,506	164,826	-309,674	-115,680
Military Construction, Defense-Wide .....		46,500	46,500	+46,500	.....
Total, title IV .....	1,398,984	1,257,002	1,257,002	-141,982	.....
TITLE V—GENERAL PROVISIONS					
General operating expenses .....		23,584			-23,584
Total, title V .....		23,584			-23,584
Grand total .....	182,750,300	143,531,666	143,530,131	-39,220,169	+1,535
Appropriations .....	(133,574,712)	(91,663,679)	(91,662,144)	(-41,912,568)	(+1,535)
Rescissions .....	(-406,396)			(+406,396)	.....
Emergency appropriations .....					.....
Advance from prior year .....		(48,183,000)	(48,183,000)	(+48,183,000)	.....
Advance appropriations, fiscal year 2012 .....	(48,183,000)	(50,610,985)	(50,610,985)	(+2,427,985)	.....
Overseas contingency operations .....	(1,398,984)	(1,257,002)	(1,257,002)	(-141,982)	.....
(By transfer) .....					.....
(Limitation on direct loans) .....	(2,798)	(3,542)	(3,542)	(+744)	.....

○