

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, VETERANS AFFAIRS, AND
RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2011

—————
JULY 22, 2010.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of
the Union and ordered to be printed
—————

Mr. EDWARDS of Texas, from the Committee on Appropriations,
submitted the following

R E P O R T

together with

ADDITIONAL VIEWS

[To accompany H.R. 5822]

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report in explanation of the accompanying bill making appropriations for military construction, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011, and for other purposes.

CONTENTS

	Page
Purpose of the Bill	2
Summary of Committee Recommendation	2
Comparative Statement of New Budget Authority	4
Management and Oversight Initiatives	13
Recovery Act Accomplishments	14
Items of Interest	15
Department of Defense:	16
Military Construction	16
NATO Security Investment Program	30
Family Housing Construction and Operation and Maintenance	30
Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-Wide	34
Department of Defense Base Closure Account 1990	35
Department of Defense Base Closure Account 2005	35
Administrative Provisions	36
Department of Veterans Affairs:	38
Overview	38
Veterans Benefits Administration	40
Veterans Health Administration	44
National Cemetery Administration	50

Departmental Administration	50
Administrative Provisions	55
Related Agencies:	59
American Battle Monuments Commission	59
U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims	60
Cemeterial Expenses, Army	60
Armed Forces Retirement Home	61
Overseas Contingency Operations	62
General Provisions	64
Changes in Application of Existing Law	65
Appropriations Not Authorized by Law	69
Transfer of Funds	69
Constitutional Authority	71
Comparison With the Budget Resolution	71
Five-Year Projection of Outlays	71
Assistance to State and Local Governments	72
Statement of General Performance Goals and Objectives	72
Full Committee Votes	72
Disclosure of Earmarks and Congressionally Directed Spending Items	72
State Project List	73
Additional Views	128

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The purpose of the bill is to support our military and their families and provide the benefits and medical care that our veterans have earned for their service. This is accomplished through the programs funded in the bill, which provide the facilities and infrastructure needed to house, train, and equip our military personnel to defend this Nation, both in the United States and abroad; provide the housing and military community infrastructure that supports a good quality of life for them and their families; and allow the military to maintain an efficient and effective base structure. The bill also funds programs to ensure that all veterans receive the benefits and medical care that they have earned as a result of the sacrifices they have made in their service to our country. Finally, the bill funds four related agencies that provide support to our Nation's heroes; the American Battle Monuments Commission, Cemeterial Expenses, Army (including Arlington National Cemetery), the United States Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims, and the Armed Forces Retirement Home.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$141,104,146,000 in budget authority for the fiscal year 2011 programs and activities funded in the bill. In addition, advance appropriations of \$50,610,985,000 are provided for fiscal year 2012 medical programs of the VA. The fiscal year 2011 recommendation is an increase of \$6,536,846,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and the same as the President's request. Included in this amount is \$63,849,146,000 in mandatory budget authority and \$77,255,000,000 in discretionary budget authority, which includes \$1,257,000,000 in emergency funding for Overseas Contingency Operations.

The recommendation reflects the Committee's continued commitment to our servicemembers and veterans and to their families. The total funding level for military construction, including Overseas Contingency Operations, is \$20,004,368,000, an 18.9 percent decrease below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level. A significant portion of this decrease is attributed to the reduction in BRAC 2005

program requirements. The overall level of funding supports the servicemen and women and their families who are making sacrifices during this time of war. The programs funded in the bill for the Department of Defense address the numerous challenges we have asked our military to accomplish simultaneously. These funds support an increase in troop strength for both the Army and Marine Corps, continue the cleanup of military bases closed during previous Base Realignment and Closure rounds, resource the military's global re-stationing plan, and ensure that our military personnel and their families' quality of life is preserved within these plans.

The total funding level available for fiscal year 2011 for the Department of Veterans Affairs is \$120,812,964,000, an increase of 10.2 percent over the fiscal year 2010 enacted level. The Committee recommendation supports the budget increases requested by the Department to improve access to care for all veterans, increase services for homeless veterans, and expand research programs. The recommendation provides funding increases for several veterans benefits programs, as authorized by current law, including additional education benefits which became effective late in fiscal year 2009. The recommendation provides funding to improve administration of these benefit programs, including an additional 4,048 permanent claims processors to address the growing veterans claims processing backlog, as well as the increase in average processing time for an initial claim. In addition to appropriations for fiscal year 2011, the Committee recommendation includes \$50,610,985,000 in advance appropriations for fiscal year 2012 for the three medical accounts of the Department.

The following table compares amounts recommended in the bill to the President's request and amounts appropriated in fiscal year 2010:

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2011
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE I - DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE					
Military construction, Army.....	3,719,419	4,078,798	4,051,512	+332,093	-27,286
Military construction, Navy and Marine Corps.....	3,769,003	3,879,104	3,587,376	-181,627	-291,728
Military construction, Air Force.....	1,450,426	1,311,385	1,276,385	-174,041	-35,000
Rescission.....	-37,500	---	---	+37,500	---
Total.....	1,412,926	1,311,385	1,276,385	-136,541	-35,000
Military construction, Defense-Wide.....	3,093,679	3,118,062	2,999,612	-94,067	-118,450
Rescission.....	-151,160	---	---	+151,160	---
Total.....	2,942,519	3,118,062	2,999,612	+57,093	-118,450
Total, Active components.....	11,843,867	12,387,349	11,914,885	+71,018	-472,464
Military construction, Army National Guard.....	582,056	873,664	1,020,228	+438,172	+146,564
Military construction, Air National Guard.....	371,226	176,986	292,386	-78,840	+115,400
Military construction, Army Reserve.....	431,566	318,175	358,325	-73,241	+40,150
Military construction, Navy Reserve.....	125,874	61,557	91,557	-34,317	+30,000
Military construction, Air Force Reserve.....	112,269	7,832	48,182	-64,087	+40,350
Total, Reserve components.....	1,622,991	1,438,214	1,810,678	+187,687	+372,464
Total, Military construction.....	13,466,858	13,825,563	13,725,563	+258,705	-100,000
Appropriations.....	(13,655,518)	(13,825,563)	(13,725,563)	(+70,045)	(-100,000)

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2011
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Rescissions.....	(-188,660)	---	---	(+188,660)	---
Emergency appropriations.....	---	---	---	---	---
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program.....	197,414	258,884	258,884	+61,470	---
Family housing construction, Army.....	273,236	92,369	92,369	-180,867	---
Family housing operation and maintenance, Army.....	523,418	518,140	518,140	-5,278	---
Family housing construction, Navy and Marine Corps....	146,569	186,444	186,444	+39,875	---
Family housing operation and maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps.....	368,540	366,346	366,346	-2,194	---
Family housing construction, Air Force.....	66,101	78,025	78,025	+11,924	---
Family housing operation and maintenance, Air Force....	502,936	513,792	513,792	+10,856	---
Family housing construction, Defense-Wide.....	2,859	---	---	-2,859	---
Family housing operation and maintenance, Defense-Wide	49,214	50,464	50,464	+1,250	---
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund.....	2,600	1,096	1,096	-1,504	---
Homeowners assistance fund.....	323,225	16,515	16,515	-306,710	---
Total, Family housing.....	2,258,698	1,823,191	1,823,191	-435,507	---
Appropriations.....	(2,258,698)	(1,823,191)	(1,823,191)	(-435,507)	---
Rescissions.....	---	---	---	---	---
Emergency appropriations.....	---	---	---	---	---
Chemical demilitarization construction, Defense-Wide...	151,541	124,971	124,971	-26,570	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2011
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Base realignment and closure:					
Base realignment and closure account, 1990.....	496,768	360,474	460,474	-36,294	+100,000
Base realignment and closure account, 2005.....	7,455,498	2,354,285	2,354,285	-5,101,213	---
Total.....	7,455,498	2,354,285	2,354,285	-5,101,213	---
Total, Base realignment and closure.....	7,952,266	2,714,759	2,814,759	-5,137,507	+100,000
General Reductions (Sec. 129)					
Military Construction, Army.....	-230,000	---	---	+230,000	---
Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps.....	-235,000	---	---	+235,000	---
Military Construction, Air Force.....	-64,091	---	---	+64,091	---
General Rescissions (Sec. 130)					
Military Construction, Army.....	-33,000	---	---	+33,000	---
Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps.....	-51,468	---	---	+51,468	---
Military Construction, Defense-Wide.....	-93,268	---	---	+93,268	---
Military Construction, Army National Guard.....	-33,000	---	---	+33,000	---
Military Construction, Air National Guard.....	-7,000	---	---	+7,000	---
Total, title I.....	23,279,950	18,747,368	18,747,368	-4,532,582	---
Appropriations.....	(23,686,346)	(18,747,368)	(18,747,368)	(-4,938,978)	---
Rescissions.....	(-406,396)	---	---	(+406,396)	---
Emergency appropriations.....	---	---	---	---	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2011
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE II - DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS					
Veterans Benefits Administration					
Compensation and pensions.....	47,396,106	53,492,234	53,492,234	+6,096,128	---
Readjustment benefits.....	9,232,369	10,440,245	10,440,245	+1,207,876	---
Veterans insurance and indemnities.....	49,288	62,589	62,589	+13,301	---
Veterans housing benefit program fund (indefinite).....	23,553	19,078	19,078	-4,475	---
(Limitation on direct loans).....	(500)	(500)	(500)	---	---
Credit subsidy.....	-133,000	-165,000	-165,000	-32,000	---
Administrative expenses.....	165,082	163,646	163,646	-1,436	---
Guaranteed Transitional Housing Loans for Homeless Veterans.....	(750)	---	---	(-750)	---
Vocational rehabilitation loans program account.....	29	48	48	+19	---
(Limitation on direct loans).....	(2,298)	(3,042)	(3,042)	(-744)	---
Administrative expenses.....	328	337	337	+9	---
Native American veteran housing loan program account..	664	707	707	+43	---
Total, Veterans Benefits Administration.....	56,734,419	64,013,884	64,013,884	+7,279,465	---
Veterans Health Administration					
Medical services.....	34,707,500	---	---	-34,707,500	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2011
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Advance from prior year.....	---	(37,136,000)	(37,136,000)	(+37,136,000)	---
Advance appropriation, FY 2012.....	37,136,000	39,649,985	39,649,985	+2,513,985	---
Subtotal.....	71,843,500	39,649,985	39,649,985	-32,193,515	---
Medical support and compliance.....	4,930,000	---	---	-4,930,000	---
Advance from prior year.....	---	(5,307,000)	(5,307,000)	(+5,307,000)	---
Advance appropriation, FY 2012.....	5,307,000	5,535,000	5,535,000	+228,000	---
Subtotal.....	10,237,000	5,535,000	5,535,000	-4,702,000	---
Medical facilities.....	4,859,000	---	---	-4,859,000	---
Advance from prior year.....	---	(5,740,000)	(5,740,000)	(+5,740,000)	---
Advance appropriation, FY 2012.....	5,740,000	5,426,000	5,426,000	-314,000	---
Subtotal.....	10,599,000	5,426,000	5,426,000	-5,173,000	---
Medical and prosthetic research.....	581,000	590,000	590,000	+9,000	---
Medical care cost recovery collections:					
Offsetting collections.....	-2,954,000	-3,393,000	-3,393,000	-439,000	---
Appropriations (indefinite).....	2,954,000	3,393,000	3,393,000	+439,000	---
Total, Veterans Health Administration.....	93,260,500	51,200,985	51,200,985	-42,059,515	---
Appropriations.....	(45,077,500)	(590,000)	(590,000)	(-44,487,500)	---
Emergency appropriations.....	---	---	---	---	---
Advance from prior year.....	---	(48,183,000)	(48,183,000)	(+48,183,000)	---
Advance appropriations, FY 2012.....	(48,183,000)	(50,610,985)	(50,610,985)	(+2,427,985)	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2011
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
National Cemetery Administration					
National Cemetery Administration.....	250,000	250,504	259,004	+9,004	+8,500
Departmental Administration					
General operating expenses.....	2,086,707	2,588,389	2,601,389	+514,682	+13,000
Information technology systems.....	3,307,000	3,307,000	3,222,000	-85,000	-85,000
Office of Inspector General.....	109,000	109,367	115,367	+6,367	+6,000
Construction, major projects.....	1,194,000	1,151,036	1,166,036	-27,964	+15,000
Construction, minor projects.....	703,000	467,700	507,700	-195,300	+40,000
Grants for construction of State extended care facilities					
Grants for the construction of State veterans cemeteries.....	100,000	85,000	85,000	-15,000	---
Total, Departmental Administration					
Appropriations.....	7,545,707	7,754,492	7,743,492	+197,785	-11,000
Emergency appropriations.....	---	---	(7,743,492)	(+197,785)	(-11,000)
Administrative Provision - GOE					
Administrative Provision - GOE.....	---	---	23,584	+23,584	+23,584
Total, title II					
Appropriations.....	157,790,626	123,219,865	123,240,949	-34,549,677	+21,084
Emergency appropriations.....	(109,607,626)	(72,608,880)	(72,629,964)	(-36,977,662)	(+21,084)
Advance from prior year.....	---	---	---	---	---
Advance appropriations, FY 2012.....	(48,183,000)	(48,183,000)	(48,183,000)	(+48,183,000)	---
Advance appropriations, FY 2012.....	(48,183,000)	(50,610,985)	(50,610,985)	(+2,427,985)	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2011
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
(Limitation on direct loans).....	(2,798)	(3,542)	(3,542)	(+744)	---
Discretionary.....	(101,222,310)	(59,370,719)	(59,391,803)	(-41,830,507)	(+21,084)
Mandatory.....	(56,568,316)	(63,849,146)	(63,849,146)	(+7,280,830)	---
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

TITLE III - RELATED AGENCIES

American Battle Monuments Commission					
Salaries and expenses.....	62,675	64,200	65,667	+2,992	+1,467
Foreign currency fluctuations account.....	17,100	20,200	20,200	+3,100	---
Total, American Battle Monuments Commission.....	79,775	84,400	85,867	+6,092	+1,467
U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims					
Salaries and expenses.....	27,115	90,147	90,147	+63,032	---
Department of Defense - Civil					
Cemeterial Expenses, Army					
Salaries and expenses.....	39,850	38,100	39,600	-250	+1,500

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2011
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Armed Forces Retirement Home					
Operation and maintenance.....	62,000	69,200	69,200	+7,200	---
Capital program.....	72,000	2,000	2,000	-70,000	---
Total, Armed Forces Retirement Home.....	134,000	71,200	71,200	-62,800	---
=====					
Total, title III.....	280,740	283,847	286,814	+6,074	+2,967
(By transfer).....	---	---	---	---	---
=====					

TITLE IV - OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

Military Construction, Army.....	924,484	929,996	---	-924,484	-929,996
Military Construction, Army (Emergency).....	---	---	929,996	+929,996	+929,996
Military Construction, Air Force.....	474,500	280,506	---	-474,500	-280,506
Military Construction, Air Force (Emergency).....	---	---	280,504	+280,504	+280,504
Military Construction, Defense-Wide.....	---	46,500	---	---	-46,500
Military Construction, Defense-Wide (Emergency).....	---	---	46,500	+46,500	+46,500
=====					
Total, title IV.....	1,398,984	1,257,002	1,257,000	-141,984	-2
=====					

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2011
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2010 Enacted	FY 2011 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE V - GENERAL PROVISIONS					
General operating expenses.....	---	23,584	---	---	-23,584
Total, title V.....	---	23,584	---	---	-23,584
=====					
Grand total.....	182,750,300	143,531,666	143,532,131	-39,218,169	+465
Appropriations.....	(133,574,712)	(91,663,679)	(91,664,146)	(-41,910,566)	(+467)
Rescissions.....	(-406,396)	---	---	(+406,396)	---
Emergency appropriations.....	---	---	(1,257,000)	(+1,257,000)	(+1,257,000)
Advance from prior year.....	---	(48,183,000)	(48,183,000)	(+48,183,000)	---
Advance appropriations, FY 2012.....	(48,183,000)	(50,610,985)	(50,610,985)	(+2,427,985)	---
Overseas contingency operations.....	(1,398,984)	(1,257,002)	---	(-1,398,984)	(-1,257,002)
(By transfer).....	---	---	---	---	---
(Limitation on direct loans).....	(2,798)	(3,542)	(3,542)	(+744)	---
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT INITIATIVES

The effective stewardship of taxpayer dollars is of the highest priority. In the interest of eliminating waste, fraud, and abuse in Federal programs, the Committee has and will continue to use public hearings, briefings and information requests, and reviews by the Government Accountability Office and the Inspectors General to promote strong financial and program management, oversight and leadership at the Department of Defense, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and other agencies under the jurisdiction of this bill.

The fiscal year 2011 appropriations Act and the accompanying report address management challenges of the Federal agencies funded in, including directives to strengthen financial and program management, eliminate redundancy, and improve implementation and oversight of initiatives that support the mission of this bill. The Committee will use every means at its disposal to reduce mismanagement that results in waste, fraud, and abuse.

Department of Defense (DOD).—In addition to the notification and reporting requirements for military construction programs contained in Title 10, United States Code, the Committee's recommendations include several provisions requiring the Department of Defense to report on various aspects of military construction programs, or to provide notification to the Committee when certain actions are taken. The Committee also retains prior approval authority for any reprogramming of funds exceeding a specific threshold. Finally, the Committee includes a provision under Military Construction, Army in Title IV (Overseas Contingency Operations) directing that \$10,000,000 shall be made available by transfer to the Department of Defense Inspector General for the purpose of auditing military construction projects in Afghanistan.

The Committee is concerned by data provided by the Defense Energy Support Center (DESC) showing an upward trend in the number of fuel spills at facilities owned by the Department of Defense. According to DESC, 57 percent of these spills between 1996 and 2009 were attributed to infrastructure or equipment failure. The Committee urges the Secretary of Defense and the Director of the Defense Logistics Agency to devote sufficient military construction resources to recapitalize at-risk fuel infrastructure to help reverse this upward trend in fuel spills.

Department of Veterans Affairs (VA).—With the \$120,812,964,000 provided for the VA in this bill and the 2.9 percent increase in the number of veterans seeking VA medical services, the Committee believes it is important to strengthen its tools to monitor spending as well as the skills and operating procedures of the VA workforce. The following initiatives demonstrate the Committee's oversight focus:

- *Strengthening contract oversight.* The bill includes \$23,584,000 to strengthen VA acquisitions management. While VA contract funding has grown substantially since 2001, the capacity of the acquisition workforce has not kept pace, leading to increased risk of higher costs and unsuccessful contract outcomes. This funding supports a five percent increase in acquisition personnel and invests in appropriate training and technology to make the workforce more effective in managing taxpayer dollars.

- *Claims backlog reduction.* The bill includes an increase of \$460,000,000 for the Veterans Benefits Administration to add 4,048 permanent staff to address the unacceptably large and growing veterans disability claims backlog. The Department's annual claims receipts are expected to grow from 1,013,712 in 2009 to 1,318,753 in 2011, an increase of over 30 percent. In conjunction with efforts to develop automated solutions, the additional staff will help to resolve veterans' claims more accurately and in a more timely manner. While the Department anticipates an initial increase in the average time to process a claim (190 days) due to increases in the number of claims being filed, as the new personnel become more proficient and better trained, the Department expects to meet its strategic target of 125 days to process claims in the future.

- *Claims processing review.* In order to address the problems and delays the VA encounters in processing claims, the Committee directs the Government Accountability Office to review the process the Social Security Administration uses to administer its disability claims processing to determine whether there are efficiencies and best practices that the VA could adapt to improve disability claims processing.

- *Redirecting IT funding.* Given the large amounts of funding for information technology systems the VA projects will remain unobligated at the end of both fiscal years 2010 and 2011, the Committee reduces funding in this account by \$85,000,000 and applies the funding to other areas of high priority in the VA.

- *Reserve fund controls.* The Committee is concerned about the VA's use of a national reserve fund, which captures significant VA resources. In fiscal year 2009, the reserve fund exceeded \$641,000,000. The Committee includes bill language requiring the VA to notify the Committee within thirty days of enactment of this Act of the planned allocation from each appropriation account to the reserve fund and any subsequent increases in these allocations of ten percent or more, as well as requiring fifteen day advance notification before obligation, and notification of the intended use, of the reserve funds.

- *Bid savings control.* The VA has considerable flexibility in allocating funding for major construction projects. Once funding is appropriated for a particular construction project, the Committee has received little or no useful information about the ongoing status of the project, including whether any bid savings have been realized by the VA when contracts are awarded. The Committee includes bill language requiring the VA to notify the Committee of all bid savings totaling \$5,000,000 or more, or five percent, whichever is less, within 14 days of obligation. Additionally, the VA is required to notify the Committee prior to any change in the scope of major construction projects.

RECOVERY ACT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Military Construction.—The Department of Defense (DOD) received \$2,880,000,000 in military construction and family housing funds from the 2009 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act to provide additional quality of life facilities for service members and their families, invest in energy conservation and alternative energy production, and assist military homeowners adversely affected by the crisis in the housing market. Key DOD investments are:

- 25 new child development facilities to serve more than 4,500 military dependents.
- 2 new military hospitals at Camp Pendleton, California, and Fort Hood, Texas, two of DOD's key land force generating installations.
- 26 projects to construct or improve family housing, provide unaccompanied housing, or establish facilities for wounded warrior care.
- 58 projects to improve energy conservation on military installations, or increase energy production from renewable sources, such as wind, solar, and geothermal.

Veterans Affairs.—The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) has seized the opportunities provided by \$1,400,000,000 from the 2009 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act to improve the quality of services provided to veterans, while creating over 4,100 jobs and stimulating the economy. Funds have been committed for:

- Over 1,000 non-recurring maintenance projects for medical facilities to provide renovations, renewable energy sources, energy conservation, disabled accessibility, and safety and security improvements. These efforts will make medical facilities safer, more energy efficient, and better suited to provide veterans with comprehensive care.
- Almost 400 improvement projects at national cemeteries maintained by the VA, including national shrine projects, initiatives to conserve energy and water, repairs to historic monuments, and repairs of roads, bridges, and other cemetery infrastructure.
- Hiring 2,300 surge claims processors to assist in expediting disability claims to increase production of claims and shorten the number of days taken to resolve claims. This workforce is urgently needed to help with the continued increase in applications and the coming wave of Agent Orange presumptive disability claims.
- Close to 50 new construction and renovation projects for State veterans homes, resulting in an estimated 1,100 new beds for residents and important infrastructure and security upgrades.
- VA staff to provide the one-time \$250 economic recovery benefit to eligible veterans and their survivors to mitigate the effects of the economy.

ITEMS OF INTEREST

Advance Appropriations.—The Committee recommendation, for the second year, includes an advance appropriation for the Medical Services, Medical Support and Compliance, and Medical Facilities accounts. The goal of this advance appropriation is to provide the Veterans Health Administration (VHA) with reliable and timely funding to ensure that the delivery of medical care to America's veterans is not disrupted. The provision of an advance appropriation is only the first step in this process. The VHA is directed to plan and publish the distribution of this funding prior to the beginning of the fiscal year so that medical center directors are prepared to execute the funding on October 1st, the first day of the new fiscal year. The Committee directs the Department to include in its budget submission the budget plan for the advance appropriation provided in the previous year's bill, including the amount of funds that will be allocated in accordance with the Veterans Equitable Resource Allocation (VERA), and the Committee expects funding

provided by advance appropriations to be made available to the Veterans Integrated Service Networks on October 1st. The Committee further directs the Department to include in its budget submission a current services estimate for the advance appropriation for the Medical Services, Medical Support and Compliance, and Medical Facilities accounts.

Reductions.—In order to invest in the critical priorities identified in this bill, and in an effort to build an economy on a solid foundation for growth and put the Nation on a path toward prosperity, the Committee has proposed herein a number of program reductions from the fiscal year 2010 level totaling over \$6,100,000,000. In addition, nearly \$1,000,000,000 in other program reductions from the budget request are recommended. These adjustments, no matter their size, are important to setting the right priorities within the spending allocation, for getting the deficit under control, and creating a government that is as efficient as it is effective.

TITLE I

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION OVERVIEW

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level (including rescissions)	\$12,720,031,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	13,825,563,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	13,725,563,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	1,005,532,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	(100,000,000)

Military construction accounts provide funds for new construction, construction improvements, planning and design, and host nation support. Projects funded by these accounts include facilities for operations, training, maintenance, research and development, supply, medical care, and force protection, as well as unaccompanied housing, utilities infrastructure, and land acquisition.

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$13,725,563,000 for Military Construction, an increase of \$1,005,532,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and a decrease of \$100,000,000 below the budget request.

Role of military construction in supporting job growth and the economy.—The Committee notes that, according to Department of Defense (DOD) “Green Book” data, the combined amount of military construction funding recommended in this bill and appropriated during the three preceding fiscal years is greater, in constant dollars, than the total for any four-year period extending back to fiscal year 1948. Military construction and family housing projects are requested by the Administration and approved by Congress first and foremost to meet military operational needs and improve the quality of life for service members and their families. At the same time, such funding has helped to support construction industry employment during a period when the construction sector suffered a net loss of nearly 2 million jobs. The Associated General Contractors of America estimates that each \$1,000,000,000 in non-residential construction funding creates or sustains 28,500 jobs.

Quadrennial Defense Review/Nuclear Posture Review.—The Committee notes that the reports of the 2010 Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR) and the Nuclear Posture Review (NPR) contain a

number of new programs and initiatives with potential impact on military construction requirements. Among these programs and initiatives are:

- The creation of a new U.S. Cyber Command, a sub-unified command under U.S. Strategic Command;

- Increasing the “resiliency” of forward posture and overseas base infrastructure, including the “hardening” of key facilities;

- The full “normalization” of tours for U.S. Forces Korea, requiring infrastructure to accommodate as many as 14,000 military families, compared to less than 2,000 prior to adoption of this policy;

- The implementation of a new phased, adaptive approach to ballistic missile defense;

- The retention of four Army brigade combat teams and a corps headquarters in the European Command area of responsibility, pending adoption of a new NATO Strategic Concept and a review of the U.S. posture network in Europe;

- The stand-up of two new Army combat aviation brigades, and the conversion of one heavy brigade combat team to a Stryker brigade combat team by 2013;

- The exploration of potential new modes to base the Minuteman III Intercontinental Ballistic Missile force; and

- The creation of National Guard Homeland Response Forces in each of the ten Federal Emergency Management Agency regions.

The Committee believes it is essential to ensure that the initiatives called for by the QDR/NPR are matched by the necessary resources in DOD budget plans, and therefore directs the Secretary of Defense to include a report along with the fiscal year 2012 military construction budget request describing how proposed QDR/NPR programs and initiatives are funded in the accompanying Future Years Defense Program (FYDP) for military construction, including dollar amounts associated with specific projects. The report shall also include a description of any ongoing studies or reviews specifically bearing on military construction that follow directly from QDR/NPR decisions or proposals, including estimated completion dates. This report shall be submitted to the Congressional defense committees no later than 14 days following submission of the Administration’s budget request for fiscal year 2012.

Report on youth programs and youth centers.—At an April 14, 2010 hearing on the quality of life for military personnel and their families, the Committee heard testimony from the senior non-commissioned officers of all four service branches, as well as representatives from the National Military Family Association and the Military Child Education Coalition. Testimony received during this hearing indicated that the children of military families are under tremendous stress due to the frequency and duration of overseas deployments since 2001, and that older children experience greater problems. It is questionable whether DOD’s existing goal of meeting 35 percent of youth program demand is adequate to ensuring that older school-age military dependents are well supported in the absence of one or more caregivers. The Committee therefore directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on youth programs and youth centers to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress. This report shall encompass, at minimum: (1) how the

Department of Defense determines youth center demand and capacity; (2) the means by which capacity is increased, including specific reference to facilities; (3) the extent to which non-appropriated fund programs provide for youth center facilities; and (4) existing unfunded requirements for youth center construction. This report shall be submitted no later than March 1, 2011.

Guard and Reserve Initiative.—The Committee recommends \$200,000,000 for a Guard and Reserve initiative to address urgent unfunded requirements for the Army National Guard, Air National Guard, Army Reserve, Navy Reserve, Marine Corps Reserve, and Air Force Reserve. The Committee recognizes the critical contribution made by reserve component personnel to disaster assistance, homeland security, and national defense, including the burdens borne by the Guard and Reserve to support Overseas Contingency Operations. The Committee also acknowledges that the transition to an Operational Reserve force will increase requirements for military construction in order to provide adequate training, supply, maintenance, billeting, and quality of life facilities for Guard and Reserve personnel. The Committee has included language directing that spending plans be submitted for the funds provided no later than 30 days after the enactment of this Act.

Army Trainee Troop Housing Initiative.—The Committee recommends an additional \$190,000,000 for Army trainee troop housing facilities to address the unfunded backlog of modernization requirements. This added funding will nearly double the Army's request of \$191,000,000 for this purpose. The Army has an estimated need for 115,413 trainee barracks spaces, only 57,753 of which will be deemed adequate as of the end of fiscal year 2010. The current Army program projects that the full amount of \$1,728,000,000 in military construction funding needed to complete modernization will not be in place until fiscal year 2015, with occupancy scheduled for 2017. The Committee therefore recommends these additional funds to accelerate this program, and has included language directing the Army to submit a spending plan for these funds no later than 30 days after the enactment of this Act.

Guam.—The Committee recommends \$153,940,000, a reduction of \$272,938,000 from the request of \$426,878,000, for construction in support of the realignment of Marine Corps forces from Okinawa to Guam, in addition to \$139,300,000 in other military construction projects on the island. The Committee remains supportive of the realignment of Marine Corps forces from Okinawa to Guam. At the same time, the Committee has serious concerns about the Department of Defense (DOD) proceeding with the full amount of requested military construction work for the realignment pending: (1) DOD's ability to respond satisfactorily to weaknesses in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) raised by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA); (2) substantial progress to address civilian infrastructure impacts "outside the fence line"; and (3) a clearer understanding of the Department's introduction of a new "resiliency" cost variable of uncertain impact in the QDR.

The Committee believes that the DEIS has very limited value with respect to providing a realistic depiction of how the Guam buildup would proceed. The DEIS does not formally consider any alternatives that would extend construction and/or the relocation of personnel and assets past 2014; rather, the DEIS concedes that

“Although the desired completion date for Marine forces relocation is by 2014, the construction would likely continue to 2016.” Even this timeline is likely to prove too optimistic; while the DEIS assumes that “2014 is the last year that any new construction would begin”, the Navy’s FYDP clearly shows significant military construction (including unspecified quality of life and base operations facilities) associated with the Marine forces relocation programmed for fiscal year 2015, likely pushing the completion date for construction to 2017 and beyond. This fundamental disconnect on the target completion date between the U.S.-Japan agreement, the DEIS, and the FYDP leaves doubt regarding the most realistic and feasible timeline for the Guam buildup.

The EPA has raised a number of serious concerns regarding the quality of the DEIS, especially in relation to the cumulative impacts of the complex and interrelated actions needed not only to complete the relocation of Marine forces, but to provide transient berthing for an aircraft carrier and accommodate the expected influx of a large number of construction workers and associated personnel to execute the buildup. The EPA rated the impact of the Department’s preferred alternative as “environmentally unsatisfactory” and rated the DEIS itself as 3 or “Inadequate”; both ratings are the lowest possible. It remains to be seen whether, and when, the Department will be able to address these major concerns.

The Committee, to date, sees little concrete evidence that the Administration is pursuing the Guam buildup as a “whole of government” responsibility. The buildup of Guam is not a “military problem”; its main feature, the relocation of Marine forces from Okinawa, results from a bilateral agreement negotiated between the U.S. and Japan governments, and therefore DOD should not be isolated as the sole source of funding for the necessary improvements to physical and human capital in Guam. The Committee notes with concern that the Administration has sent mixed signals on this issue. On April 5, 2010, the Administration submitted a budget amendment to its supplemental request for fiscal year 2010 proposing that \$50,000,000 in unspecified DOD funds be made available by transfer to the Port of Guam Improvement Enterprise Fund administered by the Maritime Administration in the Department of Transportation as a “critical prerequisite” of the buildup. Weeks later, on May 27, 2010, the Administration released a Statement of Administration Policy on H.R. 5136, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011, expressing concern with “the provisions of the bill that suggest Guam infrastructure improvements are an exclusive DOD financial responsibility rather than a shared inter-agency, intergovernmental effort led by DOD”, even though the prior budget amendment made the same suggestion.

The Committee believes that while DOD should have the greatest share of leadership for identifying and addressing island-wide impacts, non-DOD agencies must also be provided directly with the budgetary resources necessary to assist the people of Guam with “outside the fence line” problems. To date, however, much of the non-DOD work appears to be mired in the “planning” or “under consideration” phase. The Committee agrees with DOD, as expressed through the DEIS, that the rate of military construction execution for the Guam buildup should be seen as a tool to mitigate or avoid harmful and potentially irreparable consequences. The

Committee therefore recommends the specific funding reductions described below, and will consider future military construction requests for the Guam buildup partially in light of progress on civilian infrastructure and socioeconomic support for the citizens of Guam.

Additionally, the Committee is concerned by the potential impact of the Department's desire, as expressed in the 2010 QDR Report, to increase the "resiliency" of overseas military infrastructure, including the "hardening" of certain critical facilities such as aircraft shelters. Although the Air Force includes \$275,000,000 for unspecified resiliency measures at Andersen Air Force Base in the out-years of its current FYDP, it is unclear whether considerations of "resiliency" are being taken into account for the infrastructure needed to realign Marine Corps forces, including the Air Combat Element, or to construct facilities for the Navy and Army components of the buildup. The Department needs to clarify the impact of this requirement on the Guam construction program at the earliest possible date.

The Committee therefore recommends no funds for the second increments of the Andersen Air Force Base North Ramp parking and utilities projects, and a reduction of \$100,000,000 from the \$147,210,000 requested for site preparation and utility work at Finegayan. The Committee recommends full funding of the \$106,730,000 requested for improvements to the Apra Harbor wharf and Defense Access Roads projects in order to facilitate the throughput of personnel and material associated with the buildup.

Facilities management, life-cycle costs, and construction method alternatives.—The Committee believes that the military construction program best serves both our military personnel and the taxpayers when projects are open to competitive bidding from contractors representing the widest possible range of construction methods. To that end, the Committee urges the Department of Defense and the execution agents for military construction, principally the Army Corps of Engineers and the Naval Facilities Engineering Command, to ensure that requests for proposals or qualifications do not arbitrarily foreclose, discourage, or privilege any type of construction method. The Committee continues to encourage a level playing field for both traditional construction methods and alternative methods such as permanent modular construction. The Committee also encourages DOD to evaluate the regular use of carbon fiber grid precast concrete technology in military construction projects.

The Committee believes that the best way to ensure a level playing field is to set and communicate clear standards and expectations regarding life-cycle cost management for military construction, backed by rigorous, objective analysis. The Committee understands that situations may arise in which objectives other than life-cycle cost may take precedence; for example, speed of construction may be a predominant concern in order to meet time-limited goals such as base realignments, force structure growth, new system bed-down, or urgent operational needs. At the same time, the Committee is concerned by the recent report from the Government Accountability Office (GAO-10-436) indicating that varying service attitudes toward the life-cycle costs of different construction methods were based more on preformed opinions, personal experiences,

and anecdotal evidence rather than quantitative information or analyses. The Committee therefore urges DOD and the services to conduct further research regarding comparative life-cycle costs for differing types of construction, establish clear goals and benchmarks, and ensure these standards are communicated to contracting officials. Until such an empirical basis is established, the Committee urges DOD and the services to carefully reconsider blanket use of any new life-cycle approaches that depart from prior, standard practices.

The Committee also notes that over the past three years, the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Installations and Environment (DUSD I&E) has indicated efforts underway to shift from a measurement of the recapitalization rate of DOD facilities in terms of years to one based on the actual condition of facilities and mission priority. Under the 2007 Defense Installations Strategic Plan, this new methodology was to have been implemented by fiscal year 2010, but recent DUSD I&E testimony indicated this methodology was still under development. The Committee therefore directs the DUSD I&E to provide a status update on the development of this methodology and its implementation in the military construction program no later than January 29, 2011.

Bid savings.—The Committee has ascertained from cost variation notices required by 10 U.S.C. 2853 that DOD continues to reap large bid savings on previously appropriated military construction projects. The Committee's recommendations therefore include general reductions to the Army, Navy, and Air Force military construction accounts, cumulatively representing less than 3 percent of the funds requested, in the understanding that these excess prior year savings can be applied to the fiscal year 2011 program per section 115 of this bill. These general reductions allow for a reinvestment of resources in high priority projects and initiatives such as Guard and Reserve unfunded requirements, Army trainee barracks, energy conservation, and environmental cleanup of bases closed during the four prior Base Realignment and Closure rounds.

Incremental funding.—The Committee remains concerned by the Office of Management and Budget policy to disallow any new incrementally funded military construction projects, which began with the fiscal year 2010 request. The Committee repeatedly has stated that while projects should be fully funded or separated into stand-alone phases where practicable, incremental funding should remain an option when it makes fiscal and programmatic sense. In some cases, the phased approach can drive up costs by requiring inefficient designs and separate bids that leave subsequent phases vulnerable to construction price inflation. The Committee will continue to exercise its prerogative to recommend incremental funding. The Committee therefore recommends incremental funding for the following three projects included in the Administration's request: Air Force Technical Applications Center, Patrick Air Force Base, Florida; Sensitive Compartmented Information Facility, Wiesbaden, Germany; and Finegayan Site Prep and Utilities, Guam.

Facilities Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization (FSRM).—The Department is directed to continue describing on form 1390 the backlog of FSRM requirements at installations with future construction projects. For troop housing requests, form 1391 should describe any FSRM conducted in the past two years. Like-

wise, future requirements for unaccompanied housing at the corresponding installation should be included. Additionally, the forms should include English equivalent measurements for projects presented in metric measurement. Rules for funding repairs of facilities under the Operation and Maintenance accounts are described below:

- (1) components of the facility may be repaired by replacement. Such replacement can be up to current standards or codes;
- (2) interior arrangements and restorations may be included as repair;
- (3) additions, new facilities, and functional conversions must be performed as military construction projects. Such projects may be done concurrently with repair projects as long as the final conjunctively funded project is a complete and usable facility; and
- (4) the appropriate service Secretary shall notify the appropriate committees 21 days prior to carrying out any repair project with an estimated cost in excess of \$7,500,000. The Committee strongly encourages the Services and Defense agencies to indicate the plant replacement value of the facility to be repaired on each such notification.

Directed designs.—The Committee directs DOD to execute all directed designs within the year of appropriation.

Quarterly summary of notifications.—The Committee directs the services and the Office of the Secretary Defense (on behalf of itself and Defense agencies) to continue to submit a quarterly report listing all notifications that have been submitted to the Committees during the preceding three-month period.

Transfer of funds to and from the Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Construction, Defense Account.—The Committee directs DOD to submit a quarterly report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress on the transfer of funds from military construction and family housing accounts to the Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Construction, Defense account. The report shall specify the amount transferred to the Foreign Currency account from each military construction and/or family housing account, and all other accounts for which an appropriation is provided in this Act, during the preceding fiscal quarter, and the amounts transferred from the Foreign Currency account to the above accounts during the same period. This report shall be submitted no later than 30 days after the close of each fiscal quarter. In addition, the Department of Defense shall notify the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress within 7 days of transferring any amount in excess of \$10,000,000 to or from the Foreign Currency account.

Reprogramming Guidelines.—The following reprogramming guidelines apply for all military construction and family housing projects. A project or account (including the sub-elements of an account) which has been specifically reduced by the Congress in acting on the budget request is considered to be a Congressional interest item and as such, prior approval is required. Accordingly, no reprogrammings to an item specifically reduced below the threshold by the Congress are permitted, except that the Department of Defense may seek reprogrammings for appropriated increments.

The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects (25 percent of the funded amount or \$2,000,000, whichever is less) continue to apply to new housing construction projects and to improvements over \$2,000,000. To provide the services the flexibility to proceed with construction contracts without disruption or delay, the costs associated with environmental hazard remediation such as asbestos removal, radon abatement, lead-based paint removal or abatement, and any other legislated environmental hazard remediation may be excluded, provided that such remediation requirements could not be reasonably anticipated at the time of the budget submission. This exclusion applies to projects authorized in this budget year, as well as projects authorized in prior years for which construction has not been completed. Planning and design costs associated with military construction and family housing projects may also be excluded from these guidelines. In instances where prior approval to a reprogramming request for a project or account has been received from the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress, the adjusted amount approved becomes the new base for any future increase or decrease via below-threshold reprogrammings (provided that the project or account is not a Congressional interest item as defined above).

In addition to these guidelines, the services are directed to adhere to the guidance for military construction reprogrammings and notifications, including the pertinent statutory authorities contained in DOD Financial Management Regulation 7000.14-R and relevant updates and policy memoranda. The Committee further encourages the Office of the Director of National Intelligence to use a format similar to that used by the Office of the Secretary of Defense to submit reprogramming requests.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level (including rescissions)	\$3,456,419,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	4,078,798,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	4,051,512,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	595,093,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	(27,286,000)

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,051,512,000 for Military Construction, Army, an increase of \$595,093,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and a decrease of \$27,286,000 below the budget request.

Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than the specified amounts be made available for the design of the following projects:

Texas-Fort Bliss, Alternative Energy Projects, \$1,166,000.

Texas-Fort Bliss, Rail Yard Improvements, \$2,070,000.

Texas—Fort Hood, Soldier Readiness Processing Center, \$1,000,000.

Virginia-Fort Belvoir, Growth Support Infrastructure, \$3,060,000.

Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that not less than the specified amounts be made available for construction of the following projects:

Alabama-Fort Rucker, Emergency Medical Services Facility, \$1,700,000.

Maryland-Fort Meade, Infrastructure-Mapes Road and Cooper Avenue, \$1,750,000.

Army stationing decisions.—The Committee notes that the recent Government Accountability Office report (GAO-10-482) on service stationing decisions indicates that the Army has a defensible process for determining where new personnel and assets are to be located. The Committee remains concerned, however, that the Grow the Army Stationing Plan Update submitted by the Army in July 2009 leaves 13,917 soldiers unaccounted for in its current stationing dispositions. Given the somewhat turbulent history of the Grow the Army program and the new force structure requirements under the Quadrennial Defense Review, the Committee understands the Army’s reluctance to provide a definitive answer regarding these personnel and the units/installations to which they may be assigned. The Committee therefore directs the Army to submit a report, or provide a briefing, to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress describing how the Army intends to make future stationing decisions accounting for all personnel added under the Grow the Army program, as well as future unit stationing requirements stemming from the QDR. This report or briefing shall be submitted no later than March 1, 2011.

Aviation Training Facility, Fort Eustis, Virginia.—The Committee urges the Army to consider including a project to expand the Aviation Training Facility at Fort Eustis, Virginia in the next Future Years Defense Program. The Army Aviation Logistics School currently is over 40 percent short of required space. The school has an annual throughput of nearly 6,000 soldiers and also provides training to Marine Corps, Air Force, and allied personnel on commonly used aircraft. This project would provide three new high-bay area additions and an applied instruction lab room addition to keep pace with current technology as well as training and safety standards.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level (including rescissions)	\$3,482,535,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	3,879,104,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	3,587,376,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	104,841,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	(291,728,000)

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,587,376,000 for Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps, an increase of \$104,841,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and a decrease of \$291,728,000 below the budget request.

Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than the specified amounts be made available for the design of the following projects:

Connecticut—New London NSB, Submarine Group Two Headquarters, \$550,000.

Indiana—Crane NSWC, Platform Protection Engineering Complex, \$760,000. Prior to making a determination to shift USNS Comfort’s homeport,

USNS Comfort.—Prior to making a determination to shift USNS Comfort’s homeport, the Navy shall conduct a cost-benefit analysis and study of the impact of such a shift on USNS Comfort’s wartime

and humanitarian missions and the manning of the military medical facilities which provide USNS Comfort's medical staff. The Secretary of the Navy shall certify that a homeport shift does not negatively impact cost, mission, or medical staffing flexibility. The Navy shall provide this study to the Congressional defense committees.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level (including rescissions)	\$1,348,835,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	1,311,385,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,276,385,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	(72,450,000)
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	(35,000,000)

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,276,385,000 for Military Construction, Air Force, a decrease of \$72,450,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and a decrease of \$35,000,000 below the budget request.

Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than the specified amounts be made available for the design of the following projects:

Alabama—Maxwell AFB, Air Traffic Control Tower, \$810,000.

California—Travis AFB, BCE Maintenance Shops and Supply Warehouse, \$387,000.

Florida—MacDill AFB, Infrastructure Improvements, \$249,000.

Illinois—Scott AFB, New Fitness Facility, Phase 1, \$396,000.

North Dakota—Grand Forks AFB, Central Deployment Center, \$495,000.

Tennessee—Arnold AFB, Arnold Engineering Development Center Power Distribution Modernization, \$378,000.

Texas—Lackland AFB, Consolidated Security Forces Operations Center, Phase 1, \$900,000.

Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that not less than the specified amount be made available for the construction of the following project:

Virginia—Langley AFB, Clear Zone Land Acquisition, Phase 1, \$3,000,000.

Air Force military construction.—The Committee notes that of the \$1,496,203,000 requested for “total force” military construction supporting the Air Force, Air National Guard, and Air Force Reserve, the Air Force identifies approximately \$184,000,000, or 12.3 percent, as supporting “current mission priorities,” with the remainder of funds devoted to new missions, support for the Combatant Commanders (COCOMs), joint basing requirements, or corporate initiatives such as reinvigorating the nuclear enterprise. The Chief of Staff of the Air Force has also indicated to the Committee for the past three years that the Air Force is taking risk in infrastructure in order to meet demands for aircraft modernization and personnel costs. The Committee is further concerned by the amount of military construction resources being made available to the Guard and Reserve. The Air Force Reserve request for fiscal year 2011 provides for a single major construction project. The Committee's recommendations are intended to partially remedy this situation by providing an additional \$50,000,000 for the Air National Guard and an additional \$30,000,000 for the Air Force

Reserve through the Guard and Reserve Initiative. The Committee understands that the Air Force is undertaking a review of its military construction program for fiscal year 2012, and looks forward to stronger investment in Air Force facilities.

The Committee further notes that the Air Force Future Years Defense Program contains an estimated future requirement of \$564,000,000 to recapitalize facilities for the U.S. Strategic Command (STRATCOM), with funding anticipated to begin in fiscal year 2012, as well as an unspecified but probably large requirement to provide new facilities for the new U.S. Cyber Command (CYBERCOM). While the Committee reserves judgment on these requirements until funds are requested, it strongly believes that these COCOM headquarters requirements should not be borne by the Air Force to the detriment of its own recapitalization requirements. The Committee therefore urges Department of Defense and Air Force leadership to ensure that any STRATCOM and CYBERCOM military construction costs are treated as Department-wide priorities to be funded in addition to, and not as a subtraction from, Air Force military construction needs.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level (including rescissions)	\$2,849,251,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	3,118,062,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	2,999,612,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	150,361,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	(118,450,000)

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,999,612,000 for Military Construction, Defense-Wide, an increase of \$150,361,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and a decrease of \$118,450,000 below the budget request.

Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than the specified amount be made available for the design of the following project:

Oklahoma—Tulsa IAP, Fuels Storage Complex, \$1,036,000.

Department of Defense (DOD) schools recapitalization.—The Committee commends the Department of Defense for its commitment to funding the recapitalization of more than half of its dependent schools by fiscal year 2015. The Committee expects that this funding will contribute greatly to the quality of life of military families. A comprehensive assessment of DOD dependent schools and construction requirements directed by the Committee in House Report 110–775 indicated that 149 of 189 schools had facilities with an overall condition rating of either Q3 (poor) or Q4 (failing) and required significant recapitalization efforts to eliminate space shortfalls and temporary facilities. The Committee recommends \$438,746,000, the same as the budget request, for school construction activities and strongly encourages the Department to sustain and, if possible within the constraints of funding and executability, to accelerate this important initiative.

Special Operations Command infrastructure.—The Committee understands that Special Operations Command (SOCOM) is in the process of forming a comprehensive master plan for the infrastructure within its area of responsibility. The Committee notes the ex-

traordinary demands placed upon special operations personnel by ongoing overseas contingency operations, and urges SOCOM to brief the Committee on the results of this master planning process at the earliest possible date.

Energy Conservation Investment Program.—The Committee recommends \$130,000,000 for the Energy Conservation Investment Program (ECIP), an increase of \$10,000,000 above the budget request. The Committee also directs that no less than \$5,000,000 of funds available for Defense-Level Activities planning and design in this account shall be available for ECIP. The Committee supports ECIP goals to improve energy conservation, increase renewable energy production, and enhance installation energy security. At the same time, the Committee believes that the program lacks rigorous performance standards that effectively balance these goals and provide more effective means by which to prioritize projects and allocate appropriated funds. Currently, funding is allocated to the services and components on a “fair share” basis, using non-mobility installation energy consumption from the previous year combined with the ECIP obligation rate for the last five fiscal years. Projects are prioritized by a combination of savings to investment ratio (SIR), simple payback target of less than ten years, and the priorities stipulated by legislation and Executive Orders such as reducing energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions while increasing the use of renewable energy. According to DOD, the percentage of renewable energy projects grew from 25 percent to 52 percent of total funded projects between 2007 and 2009. These projects generally have longer payback profiles and therefore have reduced the overall SIR from 4:1 to 2.4:1.

The Committee believes that the Department needs to present a more coherent strategy for balancing competing priorities and allocating funds among the services according to performance-based, rather than input-based, methods. The Committee therefore directs the Deputy Under Secretary for Installations and Environment to provide a report to the Committee on options for reforming performance standards and funding allocation methods to ensure that ECIP funding is applied with maximum effectiveness. This report shall be submitted to the Congressional defense committees no later than March 1, 2011.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level (including rescissions)	\$549,056,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	873,664,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,020,228,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	471,172,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	146,564,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,020,228,000 for Military Construction, Army National Guard, an increase of \$471,172,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and an increase of \$146,564,000 above the budget request. The Committee’s recommendation includes \$60,000,000 for critical unfunded requirements to support the Guard and Reserve Initiative described earlier in this report.

Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than the specified amounts be made available for the design of the following projects:

Arkansas—Camp Robinson, Regional Training Institute, Phase 2, \$2,334,000.

Iowa—Camp Dodge, Regional Training Institute, Phase 1, \$800,000.

Kentucky—Frankfort, Joint Forces Headquarters, Phase 1, \$281,000.

Maryland—Easton, Readiness Center Addition/Alteration, \$347,000.

Michigan—Fort Custer (Augusta), Troop Service Support Center, \$446,000.

Minnesota—Mankato, Field Maintenance Shop, \$947,000.

North Carolina—Camp Butner, Barracks (AT), Phase 1, \$1,484,000.

North Carolina—Murphy, Fire Fighting Team Support Facility, \$223,000.

Oregon—Salem, Armed Forces Reserve Center Addition/Alteration (JFHQ), \$1,243,000.

Pennsylvania—Hermitage, Readiness Center, \$671,000.

Pennsylvania—Tobyhanna, Armed Forces Reserve Center, \$1,513,000.

Pennsylvania—Williamsport, Field Maintenance Shop, \$1,508,000.

Rhode Island—Quonset Point, Readiness Center, \$3,729,000.

Texas—Laredo, Receiving, Staging, and Onward Integration Facility/Hangar, \$475,000.

Texas—McLennan County, Operational Reserve Headquarters, \$5,000,000.

Texas—South Texas Training Center, Cantonment and Support Infrastructure, \$5,000,000.

West Virginia—Bridgeport, Fixed Wing Army Aviation Training Site (FWAATS) Expansion, \$2,000,000.

Guam—Barrigada, Joint Forces Headquarters Readiness Center Addition/Alteration, \$778,000.

Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that not less than the specified amounts be made available for construction of the following projects:

California—Sacramento, Field Maintenance Shop Paving, \$891,000.

California—Ventura, Renewable Photovoltaic Solar Power, \$1,466,000.

Iowa—Iowa City, Simulation Center/MVSB/Helipad/Parking, \$1,999,000.

Ohio—Ravenna Training Site, Unit Training Equipment Site Addition/Alteration, \$2,000,000.

West Virginia—Bridgeport, Fixed Wing Army Aviation Training Site (FWAATS) Apron Expansion, \$2,000,000.

West Virginia—Glen Jean, Emergency Power Generator, \$1,500,000.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level (including rescissions)	\$364,226,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	176,986,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	292,386,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	(71,840,000)
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	115,400,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$292,386,000 for Military Construction, Air National Guard, a decrease of \$71,840,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and an increase of \$115,400,000 above the budget request. The Committee's recommendation includes \$50,000,000 for critical unfunded requirements to support the Guard and Reserve Initiative described earlier in this report.

Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than the specified amounts be made available for the design of the following projects:

Kentucky—Standiford Field, Contingency Response Group Facility, \$534,000.

Louisiana—New Orleans NAS/JRB, ASA Replace Alert Complex, \$2,000,000.

Pennsylvania—Fort Indiantown Gap, Multipurpose Air National Guard Training Facility, \$675,000.

South Dakota—Joe Foss Field, Aircraft Maintenance Shops, \$3,600,000.

Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that not less than the specified amount be made available for construction of the following project:

California—Moffett Field, Relocate Main Gate, \$2,000,000.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY RESERVE

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$431,566,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	318,175,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	358,325,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	(73,241,000)
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	40,150,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$358,325,000 for Military Construction, Army Reserve, a decrease of \$73,241,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and an increase of \$40,150,000 above the budget request. The Committee's recommendation includes \$30,000,000 for critical unfunded requirements to support the Guard and Reserve Initiative described earlier in this report.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY RESERVE

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$125,874,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	61,557,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	91,557,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	(34,317,000)
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	30,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$91,557,000 for Military Construction, Navy Reserve, a decrease of \$34,317,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and an increase of \$30,000,000 above the budget request. The Committee's rec-

ommendation includes \$15,000,000 for critical unfunded requirements for the Navy Reserve, and \$15,000,000 for critical unfunded requirements for the Marine Corps Reserve, to support the Guard and Reserve Initiative described earlier in this report.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$112,269,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	7,832,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	48,182,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	(64,087,000)
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	40,350,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$48,182,000 for Military Construction, Air Force Reserve, a decrease of \$64,087,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and an increase of \$40,350,000 above the budget request. The Committee's recommendation includes \$30,000,000 to support the Guard and Reserve Initiative described earlier in this report.

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$197,414,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	258,884,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	258,884,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	61,470,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

The North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program (NSIP) consists of annual contributions by NATO member countries. The program finances the costs of construction needed to support the roles of the major NATO commands. The investments cover facilities such as airfields, fuel pipelines and storage, harbors, communications and information systems, radar and navigational aids, and military headquarters, both within NATO nations and for "out of area" operations such as Afghanistan.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$258,884,000 for NSIP, an increase of \$61,470,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and the same as the budget request.

The U.S. occasionally has been forced to temporarily delay the authorization of projects due to shortfalls in U.S. obligation authority. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to notify the Committee within 14 days of the U.S. taking action to temporarily delay the authorization of projects, or to temporarily withhold funds from previously authorized projects, due to shortfalls in U.S. obligation authority.

FAMILY HOUSING OVERVIEW

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$2,258,698,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	1,823,191,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,823,191,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	(435,507,000)
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

Family housing construction accounts provide funds for new construction, construction improvements, the Federal government costs for family housing privatization projects, and planning and

design. The operation and maintenance accounts provide funds to pay for maintenance and repair, furnishings, management, services, utilities, leasing, interest, mortgage insurance, and miscellaneous expenses.

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$1,823,191,000 for the family housing construction, family housing operation and maintenance, and homeowners assistance accounts, a decrease of \$435,507,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The decrease below the 2010 enacted level is due partly to the Department of Defense's success in implementing the Military Housing Privatization Initiative, which has generated approximately \$27,000,000,000 in family housing development on military installations and reduced the requirement for appropriated construction and operating costs. The Committee encourages the Department, where feasible, to utilize energy efficient, environmentally friendly, and easily deployable composite building materials in new family housing construction.

Family housing privatization progress reports.—The Committee directs the Department of Defense to continue submitting semi-annual progress reports on the family housing privatization program, including a breakout of military tenant satisfaction rates by project.

Foreign currency savings and sub-account transfers.—The Committee directs that savings in family housing operation and maintenance accounts from foreign currency re-estimates be used to maintain and repair existing family housing units. The Comptroller is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress on how these savings are allocated by December 1, 2010. In addition, the Committee directs the Services and Defense agencies to notify the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress within 30 days of a transfer of funds between subaccounts within the family housing construction and family housing operation and maintenance accounts, if such transfer is in excess of 10 percent of the funds appropriated to the sub-account to which the funds are being transferred. Notifications to the Committees shall indicate the sub-accounts and amounts that are being used to source the transfer.

Leasing reporting requirements.—The Secretary of Defense is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress quarterly on the details of all new or renewed domestic leases entered into during the previous quarter that exceed the cost threshold set by 10 U.S.C. 2828(b)(2), including certification that less expensive housing was not available for lease. For foreign leases, the Department is directed to: (1) perform an economic analysis on all new leases or lease/contract agreements where more than 25 units are involved; (2) report the details of new or renewed lease agreements that exceed the cost threshold set by 10 U.S.C. 2828(e)(1) 21 days prior to entering into such an agreement; and (3) base leasing decisions on the economic analysis.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$273,236,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	92,369,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	92,369,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	(180,867,000)
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$92,369,000 for Family Housing Construction, Army, a decrease of \$180,867,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The appropriation includes \$55,329,000 to construct new family housing units, \$35,000,000 to improve or privatize existing units, and \$2,040,000 for planning and design.

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$523,418,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	518,140,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	518,140,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	(5,278,000)
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$518,140,000 for Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Army, a decrease of \$5,278,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and the same as the budget request.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$146,569,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	186,444,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	186,444,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	39,875,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$186,444,000 for Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps, an increase of \$39,875,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The appropriation includes \$37,169,000 to construct new family housing units, \$146,020,000 to improve or privatize existing units, and \$3,255,000 for planning and design.

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$368,540,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	366,346,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	366,346,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	(2,194,000)
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$366,346,000 for Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps, a decrease of \$2,194,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and the same as the budget request.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$66,101,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	78,025,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	78,025,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	11,924,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$78,025,000 for Family Housing Construction, Air Force, an increase of \$11,924,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The appropriation includes \$73,800,000 to improve or privatize existing units and \$4,225,000 for planning and design.

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$502,936,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	513,792,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	513,792,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	10,856,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$513,792,000 for Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Air Force, an increase of \$10,856,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and the same as the budget request.

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$49,214,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	50,464,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	50,464,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	1,250,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$50,464,000 for Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide, an increase of \$1,250,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and the same as the budget request.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$2,600,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	1,096,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,096,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	(1,504,000)
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

The Family Housing Improvement Fund (FHIF) is authorized by section 2883, title 10, United States Code, and provides the Department of Defense with authority to finance joint ventures with the private sector to revitalize and to manage the Department's housing inventory. The statute authorizes the Department to use limited partnerships, make direct and guaranteed loans, and convey Department-owned property to stimulate the private sector to increase the availability of affordable, quality housing for military personnel.

The FHIF is used to build or renovate family housing by using various legal authorities, and by utilizing private capital and expertise to the maximum extent possible. The fund is administered as

a single account without fiscal year limitations and contains directly appropriated and transferred funds from family housing construction accounts.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,096,000 for the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund, a decrease of \$1,504,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and the same as the budget request.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$323,225,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	16,515,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	16,515,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	(306,710,000)
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

The Homeowners Assistance Fund account finances the Homeowners Assistance Program (HAP), which provides assistance to individual military and Federal civilian homeowners who sustain losses on the sales of their primary residences due to declining real estate markets attributable to the closure or realignment of military installations, as well as the temporary expansion of the program to include military homeowners adversely affected by the housing crisis and the permanent extension of benefits to wounded warriors and surviving spouses. This non-expiring revolving fund receives funding from several sources, including appropriations, borrowing authority, reimbursable authority, prior fiscal year unobligated balances, appropriation transfers, revenue from sale of acquired properties, and recovery of prior year obligations.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$16,515,000 for the Department of Defense Homeowners Assistance Fund, a decrease of \$306,710,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and the same as the budget request.

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$151,541,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	124,971,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	124,971,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	(26,570,000)
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

The Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-Wide account provides funds for the design and construction of full-scale chemical disposal facilities and associated projects to upgrade installation support facilities and infrastructures required to support the Chemical Demilitarization program.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$124,971,000 for Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-Wide, a decrease of \$26,570,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and the same as the budget request.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT 1990

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$496,768,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	360,474,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	460,474,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	(36,294,000)
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	100,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$460,474,000 for the Base Realignment and Closure Account 1990, a decrease of \$36,294,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and an increase of \$100,000,000 above the budget request. Of the amount provided above the budget request, the Committee directs that \$40,000,000 shall be made available to the Army, and \$60,000,000 shall be made available to the Navy. The Committee directs the Army and Navy separately to submit spending plans for these funds no later than 30 days following the enactment of this Act. The Committee remains concerned by the Department of Defense's lack of budgetary commitment to this program. Requests for environmental cleanup frequently reflect no more than the minimal level to satisfy legal mandates. Department officials testified before the Committee that significant contract savings are being realized within the program, yet the budget request fails to fully avail the opportunities presented by such savings with an increased level of effort.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT 2005

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$7,455,498,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	2,354,285,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	2,354,285,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	(5,101,213,000)
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,354,285,000 for the Base Realignment and Closure Account 2005, a decrease of \$5,101,213,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and the same as the budget request. This funding supports the most recent base realignment and closure round which affects over 800 installations and locations through 24 major closures, 24 major realignments, and 765 other actions.

Mark Center office complex, Alexandria, Virginia.—The Committee is concerned by the potential traffic impacts of the new office complex under construction at the Mark Center site in Alexandria, Virginia, to implement BRAC recommendation 133. The Committee is aware that the Virginia Department of Transportation has completed an analysis demonstrating a severe impact on the surrounding transportation network from the daily ingress and egress of approximately 6,400 Department of Defense personnel upon full implementation of the BRAC recommendation. The Committee therefore strongly urges the Secretary of Defense to limit occupancy of parking spaces at this facility and in the immediate vicinity to no more than 1,000 until the Secretary completes a viable transportation plan and certifies to the Committees on Appropriations that construction has been completed to provide adequate ingress to, and egress from, the Mark Center office complex. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a viable

transportation plan that, at minimum, provides for the ingress and egress of all personnel to and from the office complex without further reducing the level of service at the following six intersections: (1) the intersection of Beauregard Street and Mark Center Drive; (2) the intersection of Beauregard Street and Seminary Road; (3) the intersection of Seminary Road and Mark Center Drive; (4) the intersection of Seminary Road and the northbound entrance-ramp to I-395; (5) the intersection of Seminary Road and the northbound exit-ramp from I-395; and (6) the intersection of Seminary Road and the southbound exit-ramp from I-395. This report shall be submitted no later than June 15, 2011.

The Committee further directs the Department of Defense Inspector General (DOD-IG) to submit a report evaluating the sufficiency and coordination conducted in completing the requisite environmental studies associated with the site selection for the BRAC 133 project pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, with specific attention to the transportation determinations associated with the project; further, the DOD-IG shall review and provide comment upon the Secretary of Defense's transportation plan and the Secretary's efforts to limit the occupancy of parking spaces pending the implementation of such plan. This report shall be submitted no later than June 15, 2011.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

The bill includes 27 provisions that were included in the fiscal year 2010 enacted appropriations bill. The administrative provisions included in the bill are as follows:

Section 101 prohibits the use of funds for payments under a cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contract for construction where cost estimates exceed \$25,000. An exception for Alaska is provided.

Section 102 permits the use of construction funds for the hire of passenger motor vehicles.

Section 103 permits funds to be expended on the construction of defense access roads under certain circumstances.

Section 104 prohibits construction of new bases in the United States without a specific appropriation.

Section 105 limits the use of funds for the purchase of land or land easements that exceed 100 percent of value except under certain conditions.

Section 106 prohibits the use of funds to acquire land, prepare sites, or install utilities for family housing except housing for which funds have been appropriated.

Section 107 limits the use of minor construction funds to relocate any activity from one installation to another without prior notification.

Section 108 prohibits the procurement of steel unless American producers, fabricators, and manufacturers have been allowed to compete.

Section 109 prohibits the use of funds to pay real property taxes in foreign nations.

Section 110 prohibits the use of funds to initiate a new installation overseas without prior notification.

Section 111 establishes a preference for United States architectural and engineering services where the services are in Japan, NATO member countries, or countries within the United States

Central Command area of responsibility. The Administration proposed to delete this provision.

Section 112 establishes a preference for United States contractors for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific and on Kwajalein Atoll, or countries within the United State Central Command area of responsibility, except bids by Marshallese contractors for military construction on Kwajalein Atoll.

Section 113 requires the Secretary of Defense to give prior notice to Congress of military exercises where construction costs, either temporary or permanent, exceed \$100,000. The Administration proposed to delete this provision.

Section 114 limits obligations of funds made available for one fiscal year to no more than 20 percent during the last two months of the fiscal year. The Administration proposed to delete this provision.

Section 115 allows funds appropriated in prior years to be used for new projects authorized during the current session of Congress.

Section 116 allows the use of expired or lapsed funds to pay the cost of supervision for any project being completed with lapsed funds.

Section 117 provides that funds for military construction projects are available until the end of the fourth fiscal year following the fiscal year in which funds are appropriated, subject to certain conditions.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Section 118 allows for the transfer of proceeds from "Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part I" to the continuing Base Realignment and Closure accounts.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Section 119 allows for the transfer of funds from Family Housing, Construction accounts to the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund and funds from Military Construction accounts to the Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund.

Section 120 requires the secretaries of the military departments to notify Congressional Committees sixty days prior to issuing a solicitation for a contract with the private sector for military family housing. The Administration proposed to delete this provision.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Section 121 provides transfer authority to the Homeowners Assistance Program.

Section 122 requires that funds in this title be the sole source of all operation and maintenance for flag and general officer quarter houses, and limits the repair on these quarters to \$35,000 per year without notification. The Administration proposed a modification to this provision, which is not adopted.

Section 123 makes funds in the Ford Island Improvement Fund available until expended.

Section 124 prohibits the use of funds for military construction, family housing, or land acquisition projects at installations closed

or realigned under BRAC, except under certain conditions. The Administration proposed to delete this provision.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Section 125 allows the transfer of expired funds to the “Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Construction, Defense” account.

Section 126 prohibits the use of funds for any action related to the expansion of Pinon Canyon Maneuver Site, Colorado. The Administration proposed to delete this provision.

Section 127 allows for the reprogramming of construction funds among projects and activities. The Administration proposed to delete this provision.

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level ¹	\$109,607,626,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request ¹	120,815,464,000
Committee recommendation in the bill ¹	120,812,964,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	11,205,338,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	(2,500,000)
Fiscal year 2012 advance budget request ¹	50,610,985,000
Fiscal year 2012 Committee recommendation in the bill ¹	50,610,985,000

¹All funding cited excludes amounts in the Medical Care Collections Fund.

The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) serves almost 59 million people—23,100,000 veterans and 35,200,000 family members of living veterans or survivors of deceased veterans. This group constitutes almost 20 percent of the United States population. To serve adequately the nation’s veterans, the VA employs more than 284,000 people, making it one of the largest Federal agencies in terms of employment.

The Committee recommends a total of \$120,812,964,000 in budget authority for programs in fiscal year 2011, an increase of \$11,205,338,000 or 10.2 percent above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and \$2,500,000 below the budget request. In addition, advance appropriations of \$50,610,985,000 are provided for fiscal year 2012.

The funds recommended provide compensation payments to 3,815,081 veterans with service-connected disabilities and survivors of deceased veterans; pension payments to 503,039 non-service-connected disabled veterans, widows, and children in need of financial assistance; education training, tuition assistance, and vocational assistance to 778,111 veterans, servicemembers, and reservists, and 83,207 eligible dependents of deceased veterans or seriously disabled veterans; housing credit assistance in the form of 240,117 guaranteed loans to veterans and servicemembers; administration or supervision of life insurance programs with 6,918,910 policies for veterans and active duty servicemembers providing coverage of \$1,336,891,080,000; inpatient care and treatment of beneficiaries in 153 hospitals, 54 domiciliary residential rehabilitation treatment programs, 135 nursing homes, 299 Vet Centers, 50 mobile Vet Centers, and 849 outpatient clinics, which include independent, satellite, community-based, and rural outreach clinics. Workload at the various medical facilities of the VA is projected to be 86,964,000 outpatient visits and 964,500 inpatient stays in fiscal

year 2011. The funding recommended also pays for administration of the National Cemetery Administration for burial of eligible veterans, servicemembers and their survivors.

Veteran-owned small businesses.—The Committee supports the VA program to purchase goods and services from veteran-owned small businesses. However, the Committee believes that better monitoring and verification of veteran ownership is necessary and requests a report by March 1, 2011 identifying: the number of self-representing businesses as well as the number of businesses that have submitted for verification which are at least 51 percent owned and controlled by veterans or service-disabled veterans; the number of self-representing businesses and the number of businesses which have submitted for verification that meet Federal small business size standards; the VA's current ranking versus the 3 percent sub-contract and prime contract goals; current efforts to promote the formation and expansion of verified veteran-owned businesses; and current efforts to verify ownership and control of veteran-owned businesses. The Committee requests that the report disaggregate the data to identify demographics of veteran-owned versus service-disabled veteran-owned small businesses.

Environmental sustainability goals.—The Department currently submits information to Congress through the Department of Energy and the Office of Management and Budget on its progress in meeting several environmental sustainability goals established by Executive Order 13514, including the:

- (1) 2020 greenhouse gas emissions reduction target;
- (2) 30 percent reduction in vehicle fleet petroleum use by 2020;
- (3) 26 percent improvement in water efficiency by 2020;
- (4) 50 percent recycling and waste diversion by 2015; and
- (5) the goal that 95 percent of all applicable contracts will meet sustainability requirements.

Not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, the Committee directs that the Department report to the Committee information on the Department's progress in meeting the other requirements of the Executive Order:

- (1) implementation of the 2030 net-zero-energy building requirement;
- (2) implementation of the stormwater provisions of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, section 438; and
- (3) development of guidance for sustainable Federal building locations in alignment with the Livability Principles put forward by the Department of Housing and Urban Development, the Department of Transportation, and the Environmental Protection Agency.

Filipino Veterans.—The Committee commends the VA for promptly processing the benefits of Filipino veterans who aided American troops in World War II, as authorized in the 2009 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, but is concerned about reports that possibly legitimate claims have been denied. The Committee encourages the VA to continue to process claims expeditiously to distribute the designated funding of \$198,000,000 by the October 1, 2010 deadline and to review its current practices to ensure that all claims are reviewed in a fair and thorough manner.

The Committee requests that the VA submit a report on the status of awarded, pending, and denied claims by December 1, 2010.

Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders (AAPIs).—The Committee is pleased with the re-establishment of the White House Initiative on Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders and recognizes the importance of its broad mission to improve their quality of life through increased participation in Federal programs in which they may be underrepresented. The Committee expects the VA to join with other Federal departments, agencies, and offices to contribute resources, including time, personnel, and expertise, to ensure the success of the Initiative in increasing AAPI access and participation in Federal programs.

VETERANS BENEFITS ADMINISTRATION

COMPENSATION AND PENSIONS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$47,396,106,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	53,492,234,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	53,492,234,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	6,096,128,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

This appropriation provides funds for service-connected compensation payments to an estimated 3,816,000 veterans, survivors, and dependents. In addition, pension payments are funded for 309,000 veterans and 194,000 survivors. The average cost per compensation case for veterans in 2011 is estimated at \$12,354, and pension payments are projected at a unit cost of \$10,816.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$53,492,234,000 for compensation, pension, and burial benefits, an increase of \$6,096,128,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and the same as the budget request.

The appropriation includes authority to transfer funding not to exceed \$30,424,000, of which \$10,435,000 is for the General Operating Expenses account, \$10,874,000 is for the Medical Support and Compliance account, and \$9,115,000 is for the Information Technology Systems account. These funds are for the administrative expenses of implementing cost-saving provisions required by the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990, Public Law 101-508, the Veterans' Benefits Act of 1992, Public Law 102-568, and the Veterans' Benefits Improvements Act of 1994, Public Law 103-446. These cost-saving provisions include verifying pension income against Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and Social Security Administration (SSA) data; establishing a match with the SSA to obtain verification of Social Security numbers; and applying the VA pension cap for Medicaid-eligible single veterans and surviving spouses alone in Medicaid-covered nursing homes. The bill also continues to include language permitting this appropriation to reimburse such sums as may be earned to the Medical Care Collections Fund to help defray the operating expenses of individual medical facilities for nursing home care provided to pensioners.

Plot allowances.—The Committee is concerned about the eroding value of the plot allowance and burial benefits provided to the Nation's veterans. Because the benefits are not indexed to inflation,

their value continues to diminish each year since the last increase provided in 2001. As a result, families and state veterans' cemeteries have been left to cover the increasing costs. The Committee directs the Department to assess the viability of increasing the plot allowance and burial benefits to cover the same percentage of veterans' burial costs that they covered in 1973, when they were initiated.

READJUSTMENT BENEFITS

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$9,232,369,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	10,440,245,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	10,440,245,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	1,207,876,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

This appropriation finances the education and training of veterans and servicemembers whose initial entry to active duty took place on or after July 1, 1985. These benefits are included in the All-Volunteer Force Educational Assistance Program, for which eligibility began in 1987. Basic benefits are funded through appropriations made to the Readjustment Benefits appropriation and transfers from the Department of Defense. Supplemental education benefits are also provided to certain veteran members of the Selected Reserve and are funded through transfers from the Department of Defense. This account also includes the cost of education benefits for servicemembers whose service took place on or after September 11, 2001. In addition, certain disabled veterans are provided with vocational rehabilitation, specially adapted housing grants, and grants for automobiles with approved adaptive equipment.

This account also finances educational assistance allowances for eligible dependents of veterans who died from service-connected causes or have a total and permanent service-connected disability, as well as dependents of servicemembers who were captured or are missing in action.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$10,440,245,000 for Readjustment Benefits, an increase of \$1,207,876,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The significant funding increase is a result of continuing implementation of the Post 9/11 GI Bill (chapter 33).

Wounded warriors on campus.—The Committee encourages the VA to work with colleges and universities to create programs to support the successful post-war adjustment and social reintegration of wounded veteran students. The completion of initial medical treatment and subsequent discharge from active duty status often present additional challenges to wounded military personnel as they become full time students. These wounded veterans often need specialized physical and mental rehabilitation, career counseling, skills or placement testing, and academic mentoring. The VA is encouraged to work with accredited colleges to strengthen existing programs and to develop new pilot programs that integrate these resources across campus.

VETERANS INSURANCE AND INDEMNITIES

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$49,288,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	62,589,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	62,589,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	13,301,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

The Veterans Insurance and Indemnities appropriation is made up of the former appropriations for military and naval insurance, applicable to World War I veterans; national service life insurance (NSLI), applicable to certain World War II veterans; servicemen's indemnities, applicable to Korean conflict veterans; and the veterans mortgage life insurance, applicable to individuals who have received a grant for specially adapted housing.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$62,589,000 for Veterans Insurance and Indemnities, an increase of \$13,301,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The amount provided will enable the Department to transfer funding to the service-disabled veterans insurance fund and transfer additional amounts for payments for policies under the veterans mortgage life insurance program. These policies are identified under the Veterans Insurance and Indemnity appropriation since they provide insurance to service-disabled veterans unable to qualify under basic NSLI.

VETERANS HOUSING BENEFIT PROGRAM FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

	Program account	Limitation on direct loans for specially adapted housing loans	Administrative expenses
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$23,553,000	\$500,000	\$165,082,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	19,078,000	500,000	163,646,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	19,078,000	500,000	163,646,000
Comparison with:			
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	- 4,475,000	---	- 1,436,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---	---	---

The purpose of the home loan guaranty program is to facilitate the extension of mortgage credit on favorable terms by private lenders to eligible veterans. This appropriation provides for all costs, with the exception of the Native American veterans housing loan program, of the Department's direct and guaranteed loans programs. The Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 requires budgetary resources to be available prior to incurring a direct loan obligation or a loan guaranty commitment. In addition, the bill requires all administrative expenses of a direct or guaranteed loan program to be funded through a program account. Loan guaranties are made to servicemembers, veterans, reservists, and single surviving spouses for the purchase of homes, condominiums, and manufactured homes and for refinancing loans. The Department guarantees part of the total loan, permitting the purchaser to obtain a mortgage with a competitive interest rate, even without a down payment if the lender agrees. The Department requires that a down payment be made for a manufactured home. With a Department guaranty, the lender is protected against loss, up to the amount of the guaranty, if the borrower fails to repay the loan.

The Committee recommends such sums as may be necessary (currently estimated to total \$19,078,000) for funding subsidy payments, \$500,000 for the limitation on direct loans for specially adapted housing loans, and \$163,646,000 for administrative expenses.

VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

	Program account	Limitation on direct loans	Administrative expenses
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$29,000	\$2,298,000	\$328,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	48,000	3,042,000	337,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	48,000	3,042,000	337,000
Comparison with:			
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	19,000	744,000	9,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---	---	---

This appropriation covers the funding subsidy cost of direct loans for vocational rehabilitation of eligible veterans and includes administrative expenses necessary to carry out the direct loan program. Loans of up to \$1,095 (based on indexed chapter 31 subsistence allowance rate) are available to service-connected disabled veterans enrolled in vocational rehabilitation programs when the veteran is temporarily in need of additional assistance. Repayment is made in monthly installments, without interest, through deductions from future payments of compensation, pension, subsistence allowance, educational assistance allowance, or retirement pay. Most loans are repaid in full in less than one year. The Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 requires budgetary resources to be available prior to incurring a direct loan obligation.

The Committee recommends \$48,000 for funding subsidy program costs and \$337,000 for administrative expenses. The administrative expenses may be paid to the General Operating Expenses account.

In addition, the Committee includes language limiting direct loans to \$3,042,000. It is estimated that the Department will make 3,286 loans in fiscal year 2011, with an average amount of \$926.

NATIVE AMERICAN VETERAN HOUSING LOAN PROGRAM
(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Administrative expenses:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$664,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	707,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	707,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	43,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

The Native American Veteran Housing Loan Program, as authorized by title 38 United States Code, chapter 37, subchapter V, provides the Secretary with authority to make direct housing loans to Native American veterans for the purpose of purchasing, constructing, or improving dwellings on trust lands. The Committee recommends the budget request of \$707,000 for administrative expenses of the Native American Veteran Housing Loan Program.

GUARANTEED TRANSITIONAL HOUSING LOANS FOR HOMELESS
VETERANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

No funds have been provided for this program, which was funded as a pilot in fiscal year 2000 to expand the supply of transitional housing for homeless veterans. This is the same as the Administration request. No new loans will be executed and no additional program account dollars are required to service the one existing loan. Up to \$750,000 was made available in fiscal year 2010 to service the loans.

VETERANS HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

The Department operates the largest Federal medical care delivery system in the country, with 153 hospitals, 54 domiciliary residential rehabilitation treatment programs, 135 nursing homes, 299 Vet Centers, 50 mobile Vet Centers, and 849 outpatient clinics which include independent, satellite, community-based, and rural outreach clinics. Approximately 6,100,000 patients will be treated in 2011.

The Veterans Health Administration (VHA) is comprised of four accounts: Medical Services, Medical Support and Compliance, Medical Facilities, and Medical and Prosthetic Research. For these accounts, the Administration has requested total resources for fiscal year 2012 of \$50,610,985,000 in direct appropriations and \$3,393,000,000 in Medical Care Collections Fund appropriations, to fund the three advance appropriations of the VHA. This is an increase of \$2,427,985,000 above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level of \$48,183,000,000 and the same as the budget request. The Committee also provides the budget request of \$590,000,000 for medical and prosthetic research.

Medical school affiliations with VA health care facilities.—The Committee is pleased with the recommendations of the Blue Ribbon Panel on VA Medical School Affiliations, especially the recommendations encouraging a stronger collaborative relationship with historically black health professions schools. The Committee encourages the VA to strengthen its partnerships with graduate health professions schools at historically black health professions institutions and to report to the Committee on the steps taken to do so.

Rural health.—The Committee is concerned that the VA has not implemented the rural health initiative in a manner fully consistent with Congressional intent. The Committee provided \$250,000,000 for the Office of Rural Health to support national programs and initiatives that would be appropriate across the country. It appears that \$200,000,000 of the fiscal year 2010 total is being used for a one-time rural fee pilot program that will not be replicated or continued in fiscal year 2011. Further, the Committee understands that the VA intends to designate \$100,000,000 of the fiscal year 2011 funding for an enhanced contract care pilot program and \$87,800,000 for rural community-based outpatient clinics. These two large programs with multi-year costs do not represent the national seed projects envisioned with the initiative. The Committee directs the VA to report, within 60 days of enactment of this Act, to the Committee on its fiscal year 2011 and fiscal year

2012 rural health spending plans prior to the obligation of any funding.

MEDICAL SERVICES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$34,707,500,000
Fiscal year 2011 enacted level	37,136,000,000
Fiscal year 2012 advance budget request	39,649,985,000
Committee 2012 recommendation in the bill	39,649,985,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2011 enacted level	2,513,985,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request	---

This appropriation provides for medical services of eligible veterans and beneficiaries in Department medical centers, outpatient clinic facilities, contract hospitals, State homes, and outpatient programs on a fee basis. Hospital and outpatient care is also provided by the private sector for certain dependents and survivors of veterans under the civilian health and medical programs for the VA.

The Committee provides an advance appropriation of \$39,649,985,000 for Medical Services for fiscal year 2012, an increase of \$2,513,985,000, or 6.8 percent, above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The Committee has included bill language to make available through September 30, 2013, \$1,015,000,000 of the Medical Services appropriation for fiscal year 2012. Funding is included to implement the recently enacted authorization of assistance and support services for caregivers of eligible veterans. The Committee provides sufficient resources to fully implement VA homeless assistance programs, including the Homeless Providers Grant and Per Diem, the Domiciliary Care for Homeless Veterans, and the Department of Housing and Urban Development—Department of Veterans Affairs Supported Housing programs.

Mental health services.—The Committee encourages the VA to consider utilizing the expert resources available in academic medical centers to assist in providing treatment for servicemembers suffering from post-traumatic stress disorder, depression, and other mental health conditions. A number of these centers have developed innovative programs that include outreach, clinical research, evidence-based therapies, and services for family members. Treatment in these units may also help address any stigma issue for veterans who are unwilling to seek mental health services within a VA facility. The Committee requests a report by February 1, 2011 describing the degree to which the VA presently utilizes academic medical centers to deliver mental health services and its future plans to expand this usage. Further, these centers also have the capability and expertise to diagnose mental health conditions, and the Committee encourages the VA to consider diagnoses from these centers, especially in the area of post-traumatic stress disorder when evaluating servicemembers for benefits for this condition.

Tobacco use.—The Committee recognizes the significant health burden caused by tobacco use in the Nation's veteran population, including lung cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. The Committee urges the Department to move quickly to implement the recommendations outlined in the Institute of Medicine report, *Combating Tobacco Use in Military and Veteran Populations*.

Such action is necessary to reduce tobacco use among veterans to improve health and prevent serious chronic health conditions.

Nurse training.—The Committee requests the Department to assess the need to support additional higher education nursing programs to provide quality health care to veterans in rural areas. The Department is directed to report to the Committee its findings by February 1, 2011.

Guide and service dog program.—The Committee is disturbed by the Department's slow pace in implementing its new authority to provide service dogs to veterans with mental impairments, including post-traumatic stress disorder. The Committee understands that the VA is providing fewer than ten guide dogs to veterans and no service dogs for veterans with mental impairments. The Committee directs the VA to finalize regulations governing such a program by September 1, 2010 and to report to the Committee the operational details of the program established.

HIV/AIDS among veterans.—The Committee commends the VA health care system on its swift adoption of new HIV testing policy guidelines, which closely mirror the Revised Recommendations for HIV Testing of Adults, Adolescents, and Pregnant Women in Healthcare Settings issued in September 2006 by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The Committee requests a report from the Department by January 1, 2011 on the number and percentage of VA medical facilities where the revised HIV testing policy has been implemented and the timeframe for its implementation at all VA sites. The report should include data on the number of VA patients who have tested positive for HIV and AIDS since the new guidance has been mandated, along with information about the extent to which HIV testing information and queries are captured on the Computerized Patient Record System.

Mental health services underreporting.—The Committee is concerned by Government Accountability Office (GAO) findings in its May 2010 report (GAO-10-570) that the VA does not publicly report information about its spending for mental health services from non-VA providers, such as community-based hospitals and mental health professionals, or at VA settings not primarily used for providing mental health services. The Committee directs the VA to include the data identified by the GAO in the VA budget justifications for fiscal year 2012 and subsequent fiscal years. If current year data are not readily available at the time the justifications are compiled, prior year data so identified may be used. Reporting this additional information will enhance Congressional oversight of VA services to veterans for post-traumatic stress disorder, suicide prevention and other mental health issues.

Telehealth counseling.—The Committee encourages the VA to continue and expand its use of a telehealth platform to provide mental health outreach and health coaching services to veterans and their families, regardless of their geographic location. This approach provides help when and where the veteran chooses and can eliminate any stigma the veteran may feel in seeking mental health services.

Non-Veterans Equitable Resource Allocation (VERA) distributions.—The Committee directs the VA to provide to the Committee within 30 days of enactment of this Act a detailed listing of all fiscal year 2011 Medical Services activities that are not funded

through the VERA allocation method. The list should identify all programs, initiatives, areas of Congressional interest, and activities described as being supported through “specific purpose” funds. The document should compare these activities in funding amount and type to the activities funded outside the VERA allocation model in fiscal year 2010.

Prescription drug monitoring programs.—The Committee directs the VA to provide a report, within 60 days of enactment of this Act, to the Committee on the feasibility of VA’s participation in state-run prescription drug monitoring programs (PDMP). The report should include an analysis of any legal and/or administrative issues that would prohibit VA’s participation in state-run PDMP, and, if such issues exist, recommendations to overcome those issues.

MEDICAL SUPPORT AND COMPLIANCE

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$4,930,000,000
Fiscal year 2011 enacted level	5,307,000,000
Fiscal year 2012 advance budget request	5,535,000,000
Committee 2012 recommendation in the bill	5,535,000,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2011 enacted level	228,000,000
Fiscal year 2012 advance budget request	---

The Medical Support and Compliance appropriation funds the expenses of management and administration of the Department’s health care system to include financial management, public health and environmental hazard, quality and performance management, medical inspection, human research oversight, training programs and continuing education, security, volunteer operations, and human resources.

The Committee recommends an advance appropriation of \$5,535,000,000 for Medical Support and Compliance for fiscal year 2012, an increase of \$228,000,000 above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The Committee has included bill language to make available through September 30, 2013, \$145,000,000 of the Medical Support and Compliance appropriation for fiscal year 2012.

Patient safety.—Reports from the VA Office of Inspector General during the past year document lapses in the proper use and reprocessing of flexible fiberoptic endoscopes at VA medical facilities. These lapses resulted in several known cases of the transmission of blood-borne diseases and exposed more than 10,000 veterans to hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and HIV. The Committee directs the Department to collaborate with the CDC to develop and assess systems for complete and consistent adherence to injection safety and infection control guidelines across the VA healthcare system. The Department is directed to report to the Committee by July 1, 2011 the actions it has undertaken in response to this directive.

The Committee is concerned about reports indicating that an employee at the John Cochran VA Medical Center in St. Louis was fired after months of warning her supervisors about inadequate sterilization of dental equipment. Had these warnings been heeded, more than 1,800 veterans may have avoided possible exposure to the HIV, Hepatitis B and C viruses. The Committee directs the Department to reestablish an anonymous patient safety reporting hotline to ensure that all reports regarding matters of patient safety

are promptly investigated and VA employees making reports are not subjected to retaliatory actions.

In addition to current notification procedures, the Committee directs the VA to notify, by telephone, veterans who may have been exposed to improperly sterilized medical equipment. This notification should occur within 30 days of the VA determination that such exposure may have occurred.

MEDICAL FACILITIES

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$4,859,000,000
Fiscal year 2011 enacted level	5,740,000,000
Fiscal year 2012 advance budget request	5,426,000,000
Committee 2012 recommendation in the bill	5,426,000,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2011 enacted level	(314,000,000)
Fiscal year 2012 advance budget request	---

The Medical Facilities appropriation provides funds for the operation and maintenance of the Department's health care system's capital infrastructure. Included under this heading are provisions for costs associated with utilities, engineering, capital planning, leases, laundry, groundskeeping, garbage, housekeeping, facility repair, and property disposition and acquisition.

The Committee recommends an advance appropriation of \$5,426,000,000 for Medical Facilities for fiscal year 2012, a decrease of \$314,000,000 below the fiscal year 2011 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The Committee has included bill language to make available through September 30, 2013, \$145,000,000 of the advance Medical Facilities appropriation for fiscal year 2012. The Committee continues bill language designating that \$130,000,000 of the funds made available for non-recurring maintenance is to be distributed in a manner not subject to the Veterans Equitable Resource Allocation model.

Community-based outpatient clinics.—The Committee urges the Department to examine the need for, and to report to the Committee on the feasibility of, a community-based outpatient clinic within the following counties in South Central Illinois: Pike, Calhoun, Jersey, Greene, Macoupin, Montgomery, Madison, Bond, and Fayette.

Child care availability.—The Committee directs the VA to report to the Committee by March 1, 2011 on the number of child care slots the Department supports at medical centers, broken out by slots reserved for children of patients and for employees. This analysis should indicate the unmet demand for child care slots in each category and the plans the VA has developed to address the demand.

MEDICAL AND PROSTHETIC RESEARCH

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$581,000,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	590,000,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	590,000,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	9,000,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

This appropriation provides for medical, rehabilitative, and health services research. Medical research is an important aspect of the Department's programs, providing complete medical and hos-

pital services for veterans. The prosthetic research program is also essential in the development and testing of prosthetic, orthopedic, and sensory aids for the purpose of improving the care and rehabilitation of eligible disabled veterans, including amputees, paraplegics, and the blind. The health services research program provides unique opportunities to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of the health care delivery system. In addition, budgetary resources from a number of areas including appropriations from the medical care accounts, reimbursements from the Department of Defense, grants from the National Institutes of Health, private proprietary sources, and voluntary organizations provide support for the Department's researchers. Estimated total research resources in 2011 are \$1,890,000,000. The VA will support about 2,350 research projects during fiscal year 2011.

The Committee recommends \$590,000,000 for Medical and Prosthetic Research, an increase of \$9,000,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and the same as the budget request.

Vietnam veterans longitudinal study.—The Committee understands that the VA has reincarnated the national longitudinal study of Vietnam veterans that has been moribund for much of the decade and that awards are due to be made in August 2010. The Committee urges the VA to proceed as quickly as possible with the study. The longer the study is delayed, the harder it becomes to locate study subjects and develop representative sample populations. The Committee directs the VA to submit a report by January 1, 2011 detailing the progress made with award of the contract; identification of sample populations; development of study protocol and research questions; and timeframes for completion of research, data analysis, and publication of results.

In addition, the Secretary is urged to conduct epidemiological studies of existing VA medical data to determine if there are anomalies and differences that become apparent based on location of different duty stations or periods of service. Results of these studies shall be made public by means of submission of articles to recognized peer reviewed scientific and medical publications.

Infrastructure review.—The Committee has not yet received the report, requested in 2005, on a comprehensive review of VA research facilities and their deficiencies. The Committee understands that the work on this report is largely complete and directs the VA to provide it by September 1, 2010. As part of this review, the Committee also requests an analysis of the square footage dedicated to research space that was renovated, modified, or constructed in fiscal year 2009 with funding from Medical Facilities or Major or Minor Construction and the priority ranking of each research facility project in Major or Minor Construction for fiscal years 2010 and 2011.

MEDICAL CARE COLLECTIONS FUND

The Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Care Collections Fund (MCCF) was established by the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (Public Law 105-33). The Department deposits first-party and pharmacy co-payments, third-party insurance payments and enhanced-use collections, long-term care co-payments, Compensated Work Therapy Program collections, Compensation and Pension Living Expenses Program collections, and Parking Program fees into

the MCCF. The Department uses these funds for medical care and services to veterans. The Congressional Budget Office estimate of fees that will be collected in fiscal year 2011 is \$3,393,000,000.

NATIONAL CEMETERY ADMINISTRATION

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$250,000,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	250,504,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	259,004,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	9,004,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	8,500,000

The National Cemetery Administration was established in accordance with Public Law 93-43, the National Cemeteries Act of 1973. It has a fourfold mission: to provide for the interment of, in any national cemetery with available grave space, the remains of eligible deceased servicemembers and discharged veterans, together with their spouses and certain dependents, and to permanently maintain their graves; to provide headstones for, and to mark graves of eligible persons in national, State, and private cemeteries; to administer the grant program for aid to States in establishing, expanding, or improving State veterans' cemeteries; and to administer the Presidential Memorial Certificate Program. This appropriation will provide for the operation and maintenance of 164 cemeterial installations in 39 States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$259,004,000 for the National Cemetery Administration, an increase of \$9,004,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and \$8,500,000 above the budget request. The increase is to maintain funding for the National Shrine Commitment program, which is an initiative to improve the overall appearance and condition of cemeteries as identified in the study on cemeteries that was submitted to the Congress in 2002.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$2,086,707,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	2,588,389,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	2,601,389,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	514,682,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	13,000,000

The General Operating Expenses appropriation provides for the administration of non-medical veterans benefits through the Veterans Benefits Administration and departmental management and support.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,601,389,000 for General Operating Expenses, an increase of \$514,682,000 above the fiscal year 2010 appropriation and \$13,000,000 above the budget request. The amount provided includes \$2,162,776,000 for the Veterans Benefits Administration.

The Committee recommendation endorses the budget proposal to increase the number of disability claims processors by approximately 4,048 (including 1,696 Recovery Act employees made permanent). The Committee has been committed to expanding the

workforce of claims processors since fiscal year 2007, with funding provided for over 8,000 new claims processors over the last four years. The Committee also endorses the Department's initiative to explore new technologies and processes to decrease the time it takes to process claims and ensure the accuracy of final decisions.

The Committee does not concur with the budget request to reduce 306 Direct FTEs from the Education program, and provides \$14,000,000 to cover the cost of maintaining these FTEs in the Education program. The Committee notes that the VA is projecting a 31 percent workload increase from fiscal year 2009 to fiscal year 2011 due to the new Post-9/11 GI bill program. The Committee believes that Veterans would be better served by having a claims processing workforce that is adequately trained and experienced. The IG noted in a November 2009 report that the VBA had to pay \$4,300,000 in overtime to process education claims, and recommended that the VBA come up with a plan to reduce overtime or risk "employee burn out and diminishing returns".

In addition to the funds provided in this account, section 229 of the bill provides an additional \$23,584,000 for the General Operating Expenses appropriation, as requested by the Administration, to increase the Department's acquisition workforce capacity and capabilities. The Committee requests a report within thirty days of enactment of this Act describing how the VA plans to allocate these funds across the permissible uses of funds identified in section 229.

GAO review.—The Committee requests that the Government Accountability Office (GAO) prepare a comparative analysis by June 1, 2011 of the disability claims process employed by the Social Security Administration (SSA) versus that used by the VA. The SSA currently receives 1,000,000 disability claims annually, while the VA expects to receive more than 1,300,000 in 2011. The Committee recognizes that there are differences in the two systems in areas such as the complexity of disabilities, age of the beneficiary, information that must be obtained, and VA statutory timelines that protect beneficiaries. However, the Committee believes that comparing two similarly sized organizations with similar missions could provide useful information as the VA struggles to modernize its disability claims processing and shorten the time required for each claim.

Additional budgetary information.—The Committee believes that the Congressional budget justifications submitted each year with the Administration's budget should be expanded to include the following information which would help inform Congressional decisions:

- Charts listing major budget increases and decreases, split into the categories of 'built in' and 'program' for each account.
- A brief explanation of each proviso in an account's appropriation bill language and the proposed language changes.
- A list of the authorization citations for each program that is funded, along with a list of the citations for authorized but unfunded programs. Include the authorization level and the year the authorization expired or will expire for each program.
- A consolidated administrative expenses chart for the entire Department.

- A section identifying each piece of directive report language from the previous year’s House, Senate and conference reports and the VA response/action taken for each item.
- A chart identifying the number of employees in each GS, ES and non-GS level by account as well as the average salary of each level for prior year, current year and budget year. The chart shall include a breakout between Headquarters and Field employees.
- An organization chart for the VA as well as the individual Administrations with the names of the occupant of each position on the chart.
- A program narrative for the medical facilities section.
- A narrative describing each of the programs in the readjustment benefits programs rather than just a description of increases and decreases.
- Tabs to identify the chapters within the justifications.

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$3,307,000,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	3,307,000,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	3,222,000,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	(85,000,000)
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	(85,000,000)

The Information Technology Systems account was established in P.L. 109–114. The account previously encompassed the entire non-pay information technology portfolio for the Department of Veterans Affairs, including all automation efforts in all Administrations. Starting in fiscal year 2007, and reflected for the first time in the budget request for fiscal year 2008, this account also includes pay and associated costs for information technology staff.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,222,000,000 for Information Technology Systems, which is \$85,000,000 below both the fiscal year 2010 appropriation and the budget request. The Committee is concerned about the Department’s continued sluggish expenditure of information technology systems funding as the Department works toward implementation of new program management processes. The delay in execution is reflected in the budget estimate of more than \$1,700,000,000 projected to be unobligated at the end of both fiscal years 2010 and 2011. While the 2.6 percent funding reduction recommendation by the Committee is not severe, it makes possible a modest reallocation of funding to areas of greater need in the Department.

The Committee has included bill language requiring the Department to submit an expenditure plan for the total amount provided, not later than 30 days after enactment of this Act. In addition, an administrative provision is included in the bill which allows for the reprogramming of funds in this account among projects upon prior notification to, and approval by, the Committee.

Obligations report.—The Committee expects the Department to continue its quarterly obligation report on information technology systems using the framework established in the initial reprogramming letter received after enactment of the appropriations bill. The quarterly obligations report should include additional information: a comparison to the total project costs included in the base reprogramming letter submitted to the Congress, and an explanation for any differences in excess of \$1,000,000; columns indicating the

total and fiscal year 2011 cost of the project as reflected in the OMB 300b form; the status of the project in the Program Management Accountability System (under review, completed, outcome); and expected quarterly obligations for each quarter of the current and budget fiscal years.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$109,000,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	109,367,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	115,367,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	6,367,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	6,000,000

The Office of Inspector General was established by the Inspector General Act of 1978 and is responsible for the audit, investigation, and inspection of all Department of Veterans Affairs programs and operations. The overall operational objective is to focus available resources on areas which would help improve services to veterans and their beneficiaries, assist managers of Department programs to operate economically in accomplishing program goals, and to prevent and deter recurring and potential fraud, waste, and inefficiencies.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$115,367,000 for the Office of Inspector General, an increase of \$6,367,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and \$6,000,000 above the budget request.

The Committee includes an increase of \$6,000,000 above the budget request to allow the Office of Inspector General to focus on high priority needs, including, but not limited to, the quality of outsourced care; investigations to expose fiduciary, and procurement fraud; increase use of computer forensics; allow for timely investigations of alleged misconduct and allow the expansion of audit coverage of information technology systems development, acquisition, and implementation.

CONSTRUCTION, MAJOR PROJECTS

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$1,194,000,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	1,151,036,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,166,036,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	(27,964,000)
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	15,000,000

The Construction, Major Projects appropriation provides for constructing, altering, extending, and improving any of the facilities under the jurisdiction or for the use of the Department of Veterans Affairs, including planning, architectural and engineering services, assessments, and site acquisition where the estimated cost of a project is \$10,000,000 or more.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,166,036,000 for Construction, Major Projects, a decrease of \$27,964,000 from the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and \$15,000,000 above the budget request.

The Committee recommendation includes language in Administrative Provisions which requires the Department to notify the Congress of bid savings on contracts for construction projects and requires notification to the Congress of any changes from original

scope of work identified in the justification material submitted with the budget for each project.

Health Care Center Facilities.—The Committee urges the Department to reevaluate its scheduled lease of a new medical facility in South Bend, Indiana in light of the urgent need for the planned facility expansion of outpatient and specialty care and contracted inpatient hospital care.

The Committee also requests the Department to report by February 15, 2011 its plans and completion date for a new facility at Leestown Road, Lexington, Kentucky.

Evaluating site feasibility.—The Committee requests the Department to evaluate the benefits of relocating the Carl D. Hayden Medical Center in Phoenix, Arizona, to a more accessible downtown site. The review should include consideration of the specific population to be served, along with possible improvements that could be achieved by leveraging the facility with other hospitals in the area. The VA should report its finding to the Committee by April 1, 2011.

The specific amounts recommended by the Committee are as follows:

[In thousands of dollars]			
Location	Description	2011 request	Committee recommendation
Veterans Health Administration (VHA):			
New Orleans, LA	New Medical Facility	310,000	310,000
Denver, CO	New Medical Facility	450,700	450,700
Palo Alto, CA	Polytrauma/Ambulatory Care	30,000	30,000
Alameda Point, CA	Outpatient Clinic and Columbarium (Design)	17,332	17,332
Omaha, NE	Replacement Facility (Design)	56,000	56,000
Advance Planning Fund	89,750	89,750
HCC Advance Planning	0	15,000
Facility Security Projects	41,390	41,390
BRAC Land Acquisition	13,000	13,000
Judgment Fund	6,000	6,000
Resident Engineers	23,964	23,964
Total VHA	1,038,136	1,053,136
National Cemetery Administration (NCA):			
Indiantown Gap, PA	Gravesite Expansion and Cemetery Improvements	23,500	23,500
Los Angeles, CA	Columbarium Expansion	27,600	27,600
Tahoma, WA	Gravesite Expansion and Cemetery Improvements	25,800	25,800
Advance Planning Fund	20,000	20,000
NCA Land Acquisition Fund	10,000	10,000
Total NCA	106,900	106,900
General Administration Staff Offices Advance Planning Fund	6,000	6,000
Major construction total	1,151,036	1,166,036

CONSTRUCTION, MINOR PROJECTS

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$703,000,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	467,700,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	507,700,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	(195,300,000)
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	40,000,000

The Construction, Minor Projects appropriation provides for constructing, altering, extending, and improving any of the facilities under the jurisdiction or for the use of the Department, including planning, assessment of needs, architectural and engineering services, and site acquisition, where the estimated cost of a project is less than \$10,000,000.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$507,700,000 for Construction, Minor Projects, a decrease of \$195,300,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and \$40,000,000 above the budget request. The additional funding is to be used for high priority minor construction projects.

GRANTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF STATE EXTENDED CARE FACILITIES

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$100,000,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	85,000,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	85,000,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	(15,000,000)
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

This appropriation provides grants to assist States to construct State home facilities, for furnishing domiciliary or nursing home care to veterans, and to expand, remodel or alter existing buildings for furnishing domiciliary, nursing home or hospital care to veterans in State homes. A grant may not exceed 65 percent of the total cost of the project.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$85,000,000 for Grants for Construction of State Extended Care Facilities, a decrease of \$15,000,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and the same as the budget request.

GRANTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF STATE VETERANS CEMETERIES

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$46,000,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	46,000,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	46,000,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	---
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

This program provides grants to assist States with the establishment, expansion, and improvement of State veterans cemeteries which are operated and permanently maintained by the States. Grants under this program fund up to 100 percent of construction costs and the initial equipment expenses when the cemetery is established. The States remain responsible for providing the land and for paying all costs related to the operation and maintenance of the State cemeteries, including the costs for subsequent equipment purchases.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$46,000,000 for Grants for Construction of State Veterans Cemeteries, the same as the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and the budget request.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

The bill includes 24 provisions that were included in the fiscal year 2010 enacted appropriations bill and eight new provisions, five of which were proposed by the Administration. The administrative provisions included in the bill are as follows:

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Section 201 allows for the transfer of funds among three mandatory appropriations. The Administration proposal to modify this provision is not adopted.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Section 202 allows the Department to transfer funding among the three medical appropriations accounts in fiscal year 2011. The Administration proposal to modify this provision is not adopted.

Section 203 allows for salaries and expenses funds to be used for hire of passenger vehicles, lease of facilities or land, and purchase of uniforms.

Section 204 provides that only funding in the "Construction, major projects" and "Construction, minor projects" accounts can be used for the purchase of any site for any new hospital or home or to construct any new hospital or home.

Section 205 requires the Department to be reimbursed for medical services it provides to any person not defined as a beneficiary to ensure the Department is receiving payment for all medical services provided.

Section 206 allows for the use of funds appropriated in fiscal year 2011 for "Compensation and pensions", "Readjustment benefits", and "Veterans insurance and indemnities" for payment of accrued obligations recorded in the last quarter of fiscal year 2010.

Section 207 allows for the use of fiscal year 2011 funds to pay prior year obligations resulting from implementation of sections 3328(a), 3334, and 3712(a) of title 31, United States Code.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Section 208 allows the Department to use surplus earnings from the national service life insurance, U.S. Government life insurance, and veterans special life insurance program, to administer these programs.

Section 209 allows the Department to cover the administrative expenses of enhanced-use lease services and provides authority to obligate these reimbursements in the year funds are received.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Section 210 limits the amount of reimbursement the Office of Resolution Management and the Office of Employment Discrimination Complaint Adjudication can charge other offices and accounts of the Department for services provided.

Section 211 requires the Secretary to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations to approve new leases of real property if the estimated annual rental cost is more than \$1,000,000. The Administration proposal to modify this provision is not adopted.

Section 212 requires the Department to collect current and accurate third-party reimbursement information for the purposes of third-party insurance collections. If persons receiving care or medical services do not disclose this information, the Department is allowed to bill them reasonable charges for services provided.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Section 213 allows the Department to use enhanced-use leasing funds for construction and alteration of medical facilities.

Section 214 allows the Department to use the “Medical services” appropriation for expenses related to the broader mission of medical care to veterans.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Section 215 allows the Department to transfer Medical Care Collections to the “Medical services” appropriation to be used for veterans medical care.

Section 216 allows veterans who reside in Alaska to obtain medical services from medical facilities supported by the Indian Health Service or tribal organizations, and provides for reimbursement for those services from the Department of Veterans Affairs.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Section 217 allows the Department to transfer the proceeds received from the transfer of real property deposited into the Department of Veterans Affairs Capital Asset Fund (CAF) to the major and minor construction appropriations.

Section 218 provides that no funds may be used to prohibit Directors of Veterans Integrated Service Networks (VISN) from conducting outreach or marketing programs. The Administration proposed to delete this provision.

Section 219 requires the Secretary to submit quarterly reports to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate on the financial status of the Veterans Health Administration.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Section 220 requires the Department to notify and receive approval from the Committees on Appropriations of any proposed transfer of funding to or from the “Information technology systems” account. The Administration proposal to modify this provision is not adopted.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Section 221 requires the Department to notify and receive approval from the Committees on Appropriations of any proposed transfer of funding in excess of \$1,000,000 between information technology system projects. The Administration proposal to modify this provision is not adopted.

Section 222 prohibits funds being used in a manner that is inconsistent with the outsourcing limitations of Public Law 109–115.

Section 223 limits the amount of funding made available under the “Medical facilities” account for non-recurring maintenance that may be obligated during the last two months of the fiscal year. The Administration proposal to delete this provision is not adopted.

Section 224 permits the Secretary to use \$5,000,000 for cooperative agreements with State and local governments to conduct outreach to ensure that veterans in underserved areas receive care and benefits for which they are eligible.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Section 225 permits the transfer of funds appropriated for medical accounts and information technology systems to the Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund for the operation of the integrated Lovell Federal Health Care Center.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Section 226 permits the transfer of funds deposited in the Medical Care Collections Fund to the joint Medical Facility Demonstration Fund for the operation of the Lovell Federal Health Care Center.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Section 227 directs that a minimum of \$15,000,000 shall be transferred from three medical appropriations to the Department of Defense/Department of Veterans Affairs Health Care Sharing Incentive Fund, to be available until expended.

(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

Section 228 rescinds fiscal year 2011 funds from three medical accounts and appropriates them for fiscal year 2011 with two-year availability. The amounts provided are the same as those given two-year availability in the fiscal year 2010 appropriation rather than the amounts requested by the Administration.

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Section 229 appropriates \$23,584,000 to increase the Department of Veterans Affairs acquisition workforce capacity and permits the Secretary to transfer these funds to any other account in the Department. The Administration proposed this language as a general provision.

Section 230 directs the Secretary to report to the Committee within 30 days of enactment of this Act the planned funding allocation from each of the Veterans Health Administration accounts to the National Reserve Fund and any subsequent increase in these allocations of ten percent or more. The Secretary is directed to notify the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress of any planned obligation of the National Reserve Fund fifteen days before such obligation takes place, as well as the intended use of the funds.

Section 231 requires the Secretary to notify the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress of all bid savings in Major Construction projects that total at least \$5,000,000, or five percent of the programmed amount, whichever is less. Such notification must occur within 14 days after the date on which funds are obligated.

Section 232 prohibits the original scope of a Major Construction project from being increased without prior notification to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress.

TITLE III

RELATED AGENCIES

AMERICAN BATTLE MONUMENTS COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$62,675,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	64,200,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	65,667,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	2,992,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	1,467,000

The American Battle Monuments Commission is responsible for the administration, operation and maintenance of cemetery and war memorials to commemorate the achievements and sacrifices of the American Armed Forces where they have served since April 6, 1917. In performing these functions, the Commission maintains 24 permanent American military cemetery memorials and 31 monuments, memorials, markers, and offices in 15 foreign countries, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and the British dependency of Gibraltar. In addition, six memorials are located in the United States: the East Coast Memorial in New York; the West Coast Memorial, The Presidio in San Francisco; the Honolulu Memorial in the National Memorial Cemetery of the Pacific in Honolulu, Hawaii; and the American Expeditionary Forces Memorial, the World War II, and Korean War Veterans Memorials in Washington, DC.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$65,667,000 for the American Battle Monuments Commission's salaries and expenses account, \$1,467,000 above the budget request.

Language is included allowing up to \$7,500 to be used for official reception and representation expenses.

The increased funding above the budget request will enable the Commission to expand its interpretive program in the area of interactive programs, similar to those already in place for the Normandy campaign and the battle of Pointe du Hoc. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Commission to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations, no later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act, detailing the spending plan for expansion of the interactive program of the interpretive program.

FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATIONS ACCOUNT

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$17,100,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request est.	20,200,000
Committee recommendation in the bill est.	20,200,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	3,100,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

The Commission's Foreign Currency Fluctuations Account is authorized pursuant to 36 U.S.C. 2109 to pay the costs of salaries and expenses that exceed the amount appropriated for salaries and expenses because of fluctuations in currency exchange rates of foreign countries occurring after a budget request for the Commission is submitted to the Congress. The account may not be used for any other purpose.

The Committee recommendation includes bill language as proposed which makes “such sums as may be necessary” available to the Commission to cover unanticipated foreign currency fluctuations, currently estimated at \$20,200,000.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR VETERANS CLAIMS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$27,115,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	90,147,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	90,147,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	63,032,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

The Veterans’ Judicial Review Act established the U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims. The Court reviews appeals from claimants seeking review of a benefit denial. The Court has the authority to overturn findings of fact, regulations, and interpretations of law.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$90,147,000 for the U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims, an increase of \$63,032,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and the same as the budget request. Of the amount provided, \$2,515,229 is to be used for the pro bono representation program.

The Committee notes that the President’s budget request includes \$62,000,000, which shall be transferred to the General Services Administration, for construction of a Veterans Courthouse and Justice Center. The Committee recommendation includes the amount requested. However, the Committee is aware that the initial estimate for the construction of this facility is in the process of being revised and directs the Court and GSA to keep the Committee fully informed of the most current estimates as they are developed.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL CEMETERIAL EXPENSES, ARMY

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$39,850,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	38,100,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	39,600,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	(250,000)
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	1,500,000

The Secretary of the Army is responsible for the administration, operation and maintenance of Arlington National Cemetery and the Soldiers’ and Airmen’s Home National Cemetery. In addition to its principal function as a national cemetery, Arlington is the site of approximately 3,100 non-funeral ceremonies each year and has approximately 4,000,000 visitors annually.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$39,600,000 for Cemeterial Expenses, Army, salaries and expenses, a decrease of \$250,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and an increase of \$1,500,000 above the budget request.

The Committee recommendation includes a provision of bill language which prohibits the use of any funding for the construction of a new perimeter wall at Arlington National Cemetery.

The Committee is very disturbed by the information contained in the June 10, 2010 report from the Army Inspector General documenting significant problems with the operation of Arlington National Cemetery. While the report will be an important trigger for management changes at the Cemetery, the Committee is dismayed that the report appears to be the first independent review since the 1997 Military District of Washington Inspector General report. The lack of vigorous oversight by the Department of the Army is inexcusable. For example, although the Inspector General report highlighted deficiencies in the Total Cemetery Management System under development at Arlington, two reports from the Department, requested by the Committee in 2005 and 2007, indicated that project was on track and within cost. This system will be a vital component to assuring that grave sites and interments are identified and appropriately allocated now and in the future. The Committee directs the Department of the Army to provide an analysis of the Total Cemetery Management System by September 1, 2010. The analysis should include an assessment of whether TCMS has the capacity required for cemetery management as well as a list of all funds obligated to this program to date. The Department should also identify specific deliverable benchmarks which must be achieved in the TCMS development and implementation process. These benchmarks must be achieved before additional funds are to be obligated. If the benchmark deliverable dates are missed, the Committee must be notified within five days as to why the benchmark was not met. If three deliverable dates are missed, the program must be halted and reevaluated.

The Committee is also concerned about human resources management at Arlington National Cemetery. In eight of the last eleven years the Congress has provided more funding than requested in the President's budget for Arlington National Cemetery, but personnel resources appear to be stretched thin according to the Inspector General report. The Committee directs the Cemetery to provide by August 1, 2010 a staffing plan identifying the number of personnel required to maintain the Cemetery and coordinate interments using historical timeliness standards compared to current staffing levels and timeframes along with the associated funding requirements.

As a placeholder pending receipt of this report on personnel, review of the Total Cemetery Management System analysis, and analysis of pending unobligated balances in the Cemetery account, the Committee recommendation includes an increase of \$1,500,000 for additional personnel and related costs in fiscal year 2011.

ARMED FORCES RETIREMENT HOME

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$62,000,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	69,200,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	69,200,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	7,200,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

The Armed Forces Retirement Home (AFRH) consists of two retirement communities, one in Washington, D.C. and the other in Gulfport, Mississippi. The Washington, D.C. facility was estab-

lished in 1851 as a soldiers' home for elderly and disabled veterans. The original home for Navy officers, sailors, and Marines was established in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania in 1811, and was relocated to Gulfport, Mississippi almost a century and a half later.

The Committee recommendation provides authority to expend \$69,200,000 from the Armed Forces Retirement Home Trust Fund to operate and maintain the Armed Forces Retirement Home—Washington, District of Columbia, and the Armed Forces Retirement Home—Gulfport, Mississippi. The amount recommended is \$7,200,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

CAPITAL PROGRAM

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$72,000,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	2,000,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	2,000,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	(70,000,000)
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

The Committee recommendation provides authority to expend \$2,000,000 from the Armed Forces Retirement Home Trust Fund for construction and renovations. The amount recommended is \$70,000,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and equal to the budget request. The fiscal year 2010 program included a one-time expense associated with replacement of the Scott building on the Washington, DC campus.

TITLE IV

OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Overseas Contingency Operations.—The Committee recognizes that the existing military construction authorization and appropriation process presents a challenge to meeting the dynamic and uncertain conditions on the ground in Afghanistan. The procedures developed to plan and execute projects for peacetime use at domestic military bases are often inadequate for to the demands of a theater of war, where shifts in mission sets are frequent and a premium is placed on adaptation to counter insurgent activity. Projects that have taken months to develop for submission to the Congress may be rendered unnecessary by events at the time they are approved by law; new projects or needs for revised scope may arise which are urgent but which cannot be addressed without resorting to statutory emergency authorities and an often lengthy process of notification, approval, and reprogramming. The commanders in theater must have some flexibility to address new facility requirements without burdensome delay. For the past several years, beginning with the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004, the Department of Defense has obtained “Contingency Construction Authority” to use operation and maintenance funds to address such emergent and unforeseen needs in Iraq, Afghanistan, and other locations in Southwest and Central Asia. As of the end of the second quarter of fiscal year 2010, a total of \$1,376,737,000 has been obligated pursuant to this authority. The

use of operation and maintenance funding, however, must be weighed against other critical requirements funded by these accounts, and defeats the purpose of having dedicated military construction funding.

At the same time, the Committee must preserve its ability and responsibility to conduct oversight of military construction for Afghanistan or any other contingency operation. The Committee therefore recommends the funding according to categories utilized by the Construction Exhibit, or C-1, that was submitted with the budget request. The Committee directs the Secretaries of the Army and Air Force to submit spending plans for the funds provided in the bill no later than 30 days after enactment of this Act, and thereafter to submit a quarterly update on the use of funds, using the same format as the quarterly reports provided for the use of the Contingency Construction Authority. Additionally, the Committee directs that the following guidelines and restrictions shall apply to the military construction funds provided in this title:

—The Secretaries of the Army and Air Force shall notify the Committee within 7 days of any scope or cost variations (both increases and decreases) exceeding 20 percent or \$2,000,000, whichever is less, for any projects identified in the spending plans. The Secretaries shall also notify the Committee of new projects not identified in the spending plans 14 days prior to obligation;

—The funds for each category shall be available for any project eligible for that category according to the guidelines used in the C-1. Should the Secretary of the Army or the Air Force determine that funds are required for any project that will cause obligations to exceed any of the category amounts specified in this report, or to provide for a construction project that falls under a category not specified in this report, he or she shall notify the Committee of this determination 14 days prior to obligating funds for the project;

—Minor construction projects are not required to be identified in the spending plans but remain subject to the requirements of 10 U.S.C. 2805; and

—Funds made available to the Army and Air Force shall only be available for projects to support contingency operations in Afghanistan and shall not be used to support any enduring missions.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$924,484,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	929,996,000
Committee recommendation in the bill ¹	929,996,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	5,512,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	— —

¹ Designated as emergency funding.

The Committee recommends an additional \$929,996,000 in emergency funding for Military Construction, Army, an increase of \$5,512,000 above the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and the same as the request, in support of Overseas Contingency Operations. Of the amount recommended, the Committee provides that

\$10,000,000 shall be made available by transfer to the Department of Defense Inspector General for the purpose of auditing military construction projects in Afghanistan. The Committee includes this provision to ensure that such projects are being constructed according to standards and procedures that ensure protection of the life, health, and safety of U.S. military and civilian personnel while safeguarding the interests of taxpayers.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$474,500,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	280,506,000
Committee recommendation in the bill ¹	280,504,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	(193,996,000)
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	(2,000)

¹ Designated as emergency funding.

The Committee recommends an additional \$280,504,000 in emergency funding for Military Construction, Air Force, a decrease of \$193,996,000 below the fiscal year 2010 enacted level and \$2,000 below the request, in support of Overseas Contingency Operations.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	\$ ---
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	46,500,000
Committee recommendation in the bill ¹	46,500,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2010 enacted level	46,500,000
Fiscal year 2011 budget request	---

¹ Designated as emergency funding.

The Committee recommends an additional \$46,500,000 in emergency funding for Military Construction, Defense-Wide, the same as the request, in support of Overseas Contingency Operations.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

The bill includes three new provisions. The provisions are as follows:

Section 401 designates funds made available by this title as an emergency pursuant to the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2010.

Section 402 establishes a preference for United States architectural and engineering services.

Section 403 establishes a preference for United States contractors for military construction, except that the Secretary of Defense may waive this preference if it is deemed inconsistent with national security.

TITLE V

GENERAL PROVISIONS

The bill includes eleven provisions that were included in the fiscal year 2010 enacted appropriations bill and two new provisions as follows:

Section 501 prohibits the obligation of funds beyond the current fiscal year unless expressly so provided.

Section 502 requires pay raises to be absorbed within the levels appropriated in the bill.

Section 503 prohibits the use of funds for programs, projects or activities not in compliance with Federal law relating to risk assessment, the protection of private property rights, or unfunded mandates.

Section 504 prohibits the use of funds to support or defeat legislation pending before Congress.

Section 505 encourages all departments and agencies funded in this Act to expand the use of E-Commerce technologies and procedures.

Section 506 limits funds from being transferred from this appropriations measure to any instrumentality of the United States Government without authority from an appropriation Act. The Administration proposed to delete this provision.

Section 507 specifies the Congressional committees that are to receive all reports and notifications.

Section 508 prohibits any funds in this Act to be used for a project or program named for an individual serving as a Member, Delegate, or Resident Commissioner of the United States Congress.

Section 509 requires all reports submitted to the Congress to be posted on official websites of the submitting agency.

Section 510 prohibits funds in this Act for the Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now.

Section 511 prohibits the obligation of funds in this Act in contravention of the new certification requirement established by section 6(b) of the Iran Sanctions Act of 1996, which is to be included in revisions to the Federal Acquisition Regulation pursuant to that section. The revised FAR will require a certification from each prospective contractor that it does not engage in any activity for which sanctions may be imposed under section 5 of the Iran Sanctions Act of 1996. Section 6(b) of the Iran Sanctions Act of 1996 was added by section 102(b) of the recent Comprehensive Iran Sanctions, Accountability, and Divestment Act of 2010.

Section 512 prohibits the use of funds to establish or maintain a computer network unless such network blocks the viewing, downloading, and exchanging of pornography, except for law enforcement investigation, prosecution or adjudication activities.

Section 513 prohibits the use of funds to process a new enhanced-use lease at the National Home for Disabled Volunteer Soldiers located in Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REPORT REQUIREMENTS

The following items are included in accordance with various requirements of the rules of the House of Representatives.

CHANGES IN APPLICATION OF EXISTING LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1)(A) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted describing the effect of provisions in the accompanying bill that directly or indirectly change the application of existing law.

Language is included in various parts of the bill to continue ongoing activities that require annual authorization or additional legislation, which to date have not been enacted.

Language is included in various parts of the bill to place limitations on the use of funds in the bill or change existing limitations and which might, under some circumstances, be construed as changing the application of existing law.

Language is included in various parts of the bill to allow the Secretary of Defense to exceed certain limitations upon notification to the Committee.

Language is included in various parts of the bill to allow funding to be used for official reception and representation expenses.

Language is included in various parts of the bill to enable various appropriations to remain available for more than one year for some programs for which the basic authority legislation does not presently authorize such extended availability.

Language is included in various parts of the bill to permit the transfer of funds to other accounts.

Language is included in various parts of the bill to allow funds to be used for the hire of passenger motor vehicles.

Language is included under Title I to prohibit payments for cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contracts under certain circumstances.

Language is included under Title I to allow advances to the Federal Highway Administration, Department of Transportation under certain circumstances.

Language is included under Title I to prohibit the use of funds to begin construction of new bases without specific appropriations.

Language is included under Title I to prohibit the use of funds for purchase of land or land easements under certain circumstances.

Language is included under Title I to prohibit the use of funds for land acquisition, site preparation, and utility installation for family housing unless funds have been made available in annual appropriations Acts.

Language is included under Title I to prohibit the use of minor construction funds to transfer an activity between installations without prior notification.

Language is included under Title I to prohibit the use of funds for the procurement of steel for any activity if American steel producers have been denied the opportunity to compete for such steel procurements.

Language is included under Title I to prohibit the use of funds to pay real property taxes in any foreign nation.

Language is included under Title I to prohibit the use of funds to initiate a new installation overseas without prior notification.

Language is included under Title I to limit the use of funds for architect and engineer contracts under certain circumstances.

Language is included under Title I to limit the use of funds for awarding contracts to foreign contractors under certain circumstances.

Language is included under Title I to require the Department of Defense to notify the appropriate committees of Congress of any proposed military exercises under certain circumstances.

Language is included under Title I to limit funding obligations for certain appropriations in the last two months of the fiscal year to 20 percent.

Language is included under Title I to allow prior year construction funding to be available for currently authorized projects.

Language is included under Title I to allow payment for the cost associated with supervision, inspection, overhead, engineering and design on family housing or military construction projects that are being completed with expired or lapsed funds.

Language is included under Title I to allow funds to be expended on military construction projects for four fiscal years after enactment under certain circumstances.

Language is included under Title I to allow for the transfer of BRAC proceeds to the BRAC account.

Language is included under Title I to allow construction funds to be transferred to Housing Improvement Funds.

Language is included under Title I to require the various military departments to submit prior notice to the Committee of solicitation for contracts with the private sector for military family housing under certain circumstances.

Language is included under Title I to allow for the transfer of BRAC funds to the Homeowners Assistance Program.

Language is included under Title I to limit funds for the operation and maintenance of family housing to those provided in this appropriation and to limit amounts expended on repairs of general and flag officer quarters under certain circumstances.

Language is included under Title I to allow funds in the Ford Island Improvement Account to be available until expended for certain purposes.

Language is included under Title I to limit funds for projects at closed or realigned installations under certain circumstances.

Language is included under Title I to allow for the transfer of expired funding to the Foreign Currency Fluctuation Account under certain circumstances.

Language is included under Title I to prohibit the use of funds to expand Pinon Canyon Maneuver Site.

Language is included under Title I to allow funds to be transferred among projects or activities within accounts under certain circumstances.

Language is included under Title II to require that the Secretary of Veterans Affairs establish a priority for treatment of veterans who are service-connected disabled, lower income, or have special needs.

Language is included under Title II to require that the Secretary of Veterans Affairs give priority funding of basic medical benefits to priority groups 1 through 6.

Language is included under Title II to allow the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to dispense prescription drugs from VHA facilities to enrolled veterans with privately written prescriptions.

Language is included under Title II to allocate a portion of non-recurring maintenance funds outside the Veterans Equitable Resource Allocation.

Language is included under Title II providing for the reimbursement to the Department of Defense for the costs of overseas employee mail.

Language is included under Title II to require approval of a transfer between projects of the information technology systems account.

Language is included under Title II establishing time limitations and reporting requirements concerning the obligation of major con-

struction funds, limiting the use of funds, and allowing the use of funds for program costs.

Language is included under Title II to allow minor construction funds to be used to repair non-medical facilities damaged by natural disaster or catastrophe.

Language is included under Title II permitting transfers between mandatory and discretionary accounts, limiting and providing for the use of certain funds, funding administrative expenses associated with life insurance programs from excess program revenues, allowing reimbursement from enhanced-use leases and for certain services, requiring notification of new lease agreements, requiring disclosure of insurance and income information, allowing a recovery audit collection program, allowing veterans in the state of Alaska to use Indian Health Service facilities under certain conditions, allowing medical services funds for recreational and funeral expenses, and limiting the obligation of non-recurring maintenance funds during the last two months of the fiscal year.

Language is included under the Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims, Salaries and Expenses, to permit the use of funds for a pro bono program.

Language is included under Cemeterial Expenses, Army, Salaries and Expenses, to permit the use of funds for parking maintenance and repairs.

Language is included under Title IV to limit the use of funds for architect and engineer contracts under certain circumstances.

Language is included under Title IV to limit the use of funds for awarding contracts to foreign contractors under certain circumstances.

Language is included under Title V to require sums necessary for pay raises to be absorbed within levels appropriated.

Language is included under Title V to limit the use of funds for Federal entities when they are not in compliance with Federal law relating to risk assessment, the protection of private property rights, or unfunded mandates.

Language is included under Title V to limit the use of funds for publicity or propaganda designed to support or defeat legislation pending before Congress.

Language is included under Title V to prohibit the transfer of any funds except pursuant to authority provided in appropriations Acts.

Language is included under Title V to prohibit the use of funds for a project or program named for a serving Member of the United States Congress.

Language is included under Title V to prohibit funding being distributed to the Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now or its subsidiaries.

Language is included under Title V prohibiting funding of a contractor that has not certified that it does not engage in activities for which sanctions may be imposed under section 5 of the Iran Sanctions Act of 1996.

Language is included under Title V prohibiting funds from being used to maintain or establish a computer network unless such network blocks the viewing, downloading, and exchanging of pornography.

Language is included under Title V prohibiting funds from being used for processing new enhanced-use leases at the National Home for Disabled Volunteer Soldiers in Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

APPROPRIATIONS NOT AUTHORIZED BY LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1)(B) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table lists the appropriations in the accompanying bill which are not authorized by law for the period concerned:

(Dollars in thousands)

Agency/program	Last year of authorization	Authorization level	Appropriations in last year of authorization	Appropriations in this bill
Military Construction, Army	2010	4,643,903	4,413,903	4,981,508
Military Construction, Navy	2010	3,769,003	3,534,003	3,587,376
Military Construction, Air Force	2010	1,890,426	1,860,835	1,556,889
Military Construction, Defense-Wide	2010	2,822,823	3,093,679	3,046,112
Military Construction, Army National Guard	2010	582,056	582,056	1,020,228
Military Construction, Air National Guard	2010	364,226	371,226	292,386
Military Construction, Army Reserve	2010	431,566	431,566	358,325
Military Construction, Navy Reserve	2010	125,874	125,874	91,557
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve	2010	112,269	112,269	48,182
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program	2010	197,414	197,414	258,884
Family Housing Construction, Army	2010	273,236	273,236	92,369
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Army	2010	523,418	523,418	518,140
Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps	2010	146,569	146,569	186,444
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps	2010	368,540	368,540	366,346
Family Housing Construction, Air Force	2010	66,101	66,101	78,025
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	2010	502,936	502,936	513,792
Family Housing Construction, Defense-Wide	2010	2,859	2,859	--
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	2010	49,214	49,214	50,464
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	2010	2,600	2,600	1,096
Homeowners Assistance Program	2010	300,000	323,225	16,515
Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-Wide	2010	151,541	151,541	124,971
Base Realignment and Closure, 1990 ..	2010	496,768	496,768	460,474
Base Realignment and Closure, 2005 ..	2010	7,455,498	7,455,498	2,354,285
Department of Veterans Affairs, Construction, Major Projects	2010	1,183,810	1,194,000	1,166,036

TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted describing the transfer of funds provided in the accompanying bill.

Language is included to allow Military Construction, Defense-Wide funds to be transferred to other military construction and family housing appropriations to be merged with and available for the same purpose and same time period.

Language is included to allow BRAC proceeds to be transferred to the BRAC account to be merged with and available for the same purpose and same time period.

Language is included to allow for the transfer of funds from Family Housing, Construction accounts to the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund and funds from Military Construction accounts to the Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund.

Language is included to provide transfer authority from the BRAC account to the Homeowners Assistance Program.

Language is included to allow the transfer of expired funds to the "Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Construction, Defense" account.

Language is included to transfer not to exceed \$30,423,000 from Compensation and Pensions to General Operating Expenses, Medical Support and Compliance, and Information Technology Systems. These funds are for the administrative costs of implementing cost-savings proposals required by the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990 and the Veterans' Benefits Act of 1992. Language is also included permitting necessary sums to be transferred to the medical facilities revolving fund to augment funding of medical centers for nursing home care provided to pensioners as authorized by the Veterans' Benefits Act of 1992.

Language is included to provide authority for the Department of Veterans Affairs for any funds appropriated in 2011 for Compensation and Pensions, Readjustment Benefits, and Veterans Insurance and Indemnities to be transferred among those three accounts.

Language is included to transfer funds among the Medical Services, Medical Support and Compliance, and Medical Facilities accounts.

Language is included to permit the funds from three life insurance funds to be transferred to General Operating Expenses and Information Technology Systems for the costs of administering such programs.

Language is included to permit up to \$38,544,000 to be transferred to General Operating Expenses and Information Technology Systems from any funds appropriated in fiscal year 2011 to reimburse the Office of Resolution Management and the Office of Employment Discrimination Complaint Adjudication for services provided.

Language is included to transfer certain funds derived from enhanced-use leasing activities to the Construction, Major Projects and Construction, Minor Projects accounts.

Language is included to transfer funds from the Medical Care Collections Fund to Medical Services.

Language is included to allow the transfer of funds from the Capital Asset Fund to the Construction, Major Projects and Construction, Minor Projects accounts.

Language is included to allow the transfer of funds from various accounts to the Information Technology Systems account.

Language is included to allow the transfer of funds within Information Technology Systems between projects subject to approval by the Committee.

Language is included to allow the transfer of funds provided for the Department of Veterans Affairs to the Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund to operate the integrated Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center.

Language is included permitting funds deposited to the Medical Care Collections Fund for health care provided at the Lovell Federal Health Care Center to be transferred to the Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund to fund operations of the Love Center.

Language is included under the Department of Veterans Affairs that would transfer no less than \$15,000,000 for the DoD/VA Health Care Sharing Incentive Fund as authorized by section 8111(d) of title 38, United States Code.

Language is included requiring that \$10,000,000 of the funds provided for Overseas Contingency Operations, Department of Defense, Military Construction, Army, be transferred to the Department of Defense Office of the Inspector General for audits of military construction projects in Afghanistan.

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY

Clause 3(d)(1) of rule XIII requires each committee report on a public bill or joint resolution to contain a statement citing the specific powers granted to Congress in the Constitution to enact the law proposed by the bill or joint resolution.

The Committee on Appropriations bases its authority to report this legislation on clause 7 of section 9 of article I of the Constitution of the United States, which states “No money shall be drawn from the Treasury, but in Consequence of Appropriations made by Law. . . .”

Appropriations contained in this Act are made pursuant to this specific power granted by the Constitution.

COMPARISON WITH THE BUDGET RESOLUTION

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives and section 308(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the following table compares the levels of new budget authority provided in the bill with the appropriate allocation under section 302(b) of the Budget Act.

[In millions of dollars]

	302(b) allocation		This bill	
	Budget authority	Outlays	Budget authority	Outlays
Discretionary	75,998	80,895	75,998	80,887
Mandatory	69,190	69,000	69,190	69,000

FIVE-YEAR PROJECTION OF OUTLAYS

Pursuant to section 308(a)(1)(B) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the following table contains five-year projections prepared by the Congressional Budget Office of outlays associated with the budget authority provided in the accompanying bill:

Budget Authority	<i>Millions</i> 75,998
Outlays:	
2011	73,584
2012	10,026
2013	6,882
2014	2,741
2015	1,913

ASSISTANCE TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

Pursuant to section 308(a)(1)(C) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the amount of financial assistance to State and local governments is as follows:

Budget Authority	<i>Millions</i> 199
Fiscal Year 2011 outlays resulting therefrom	47

STATEMENT OF GENERAL PERFORMANCE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following is a statement of general performance goals and objectives for which this measure authorizes funding:

The Committee on Appropriations considers program performance, including a program's success in developing and attaining outcome-related goals and objectives, in developing funding recommendations.

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XIII, CL. 3(e) (RAMSEYER RULE)

In compliance with clause 3(e) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee notes that the accompanying bill does not propose to repeal or amend a statute or part thereof.

FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those voting against, are required to be included in the Committee report. No roll call votes were ordered on an amendment to, or the motion to report, this bill.

DISCLOSURE OF EARMARKS AND CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

The following table is submitted in compliance with clause 9 of rule XXI, and lists the Congressional earmarks (as defined in paragraph (e) of clause 9) contained in the bill or in this report. Neither the bill nor the report contains any limited tax benefits or limited tariff benefits as defined in paragraphs (f) or (g) of clause 9 of rule XXI.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

Account	State: Location	Project Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	House
Army	Alabama: Fort Rucker	Aviation Component Maintenance Shop	\$29,000,000	The President	Bright
Army	Alabama: Fort Rucker	Aviation Maintenance Facility	\$36,000,000	The President	Bright
Army	Alabama: Fort Rucker	Training Aids Center	\$4,650,000	The President	
Navy	Alabama: Mobile	T-6 Solo Capable Outlying Landing Field	\$29,082,000	The President	
Air Force	Alabama: Maxwell AFB	Add/Alter Air University Library	\$13,400,000	The President	
Air NG	Alabama: Montgomery ANGB	Fuel Cell and Corrosion Control Hangar	\$7,472,000	The President	Bright
Army	Alaska: Fort Greely	Fire Station	\$26,000,000	The President	
Army	Alaska: Fort Richardson	Brigade Complex, Ph 1	\$67,038,000	The President	
Army	Alaska: Fort Richardson	Multipurpose Machine Gun Range	\$12,200,000	The President	
Army	Alaska: Fort Richardson	Simulations Center	\$34,000,000	The President	
Army	Alaska: Fort Wainwright	Aviation Task Force Complex, Ph 2A (Hangar)	\$142,650,000	The President	
Army	Alaska: Fort Wainwright	Aviation Task Force Complex, Ph 2B (COF)	\$27,000,000	The President	
Army	Alaska: Fort Wainwright	Urban Assault Course	\$3,350,000	The President	
Air Force	Alaska: Eielson AFB	Repair Central Heat Plant & Boilers	\$28,000,000	The President	
Air Force	Alaska: Elmendorf AFB	Add/Alter Operations Squadron Tra.	\$4,749,000	The President	
Air Force	Alaska: Elmendorf AFB	Railhead Operations Facility	\$15,000,000	The President	

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

Account	State: Location	Project Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	House
Air Force	Alaska: Elmendorf AFB	F-22 Add/Alter Weapons System Release Shop	\$10,525,000	The President	
FH Army	Alaska: Fort Wainwright	Family Housing Replacement (110 Units)	\$21,000,000	The President	
Navy	Arizona: Yuma MCAS	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	\$63,280,000	The President	
Navy	Arizona: Yuma MCAS	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	\$40,600,000	The President	
Navy	Arizona: Yuma MCAS	Communications Infrastructure Upgrade	\$63,730,000	The President	
Navy	Arizona: Yuma MCAS	Intermediate Maintenance Activity Facility	\$21,480,000	The President	
Navy	Arizona: Yuma MCAS	Simulator Facility	\$36,060,000	The President	
Navy	Arizona: Yuma MCAS	Utilities Infrastructure Upgrade	\$44,320,000	The President	
Navy	Arizona: Yuma MCAS	Van Pad Complex Relocation	\$15,590,000	The President	
Air Force	Arizona: Davis-Monthan AFB	AMARG Hangar	\$25,000,000	The President	
Air Force	Arizona: Davis-Monthan AFB	HC-130 AGE Maintenance Facility	\$4,600,000	The President	
Air Force	Arizona: Davis-Monthan AFB	HC-130 Aerial Cargo Facility	\$10,700,000	The President	
Air Force	Arizona: Davis-Monthan AFB	HC-130J Parts Store	\$8,200,000	The President	
Air Force	Arizona: Fort Huachuca	Reaper LRE Beddown	\$11,000,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Arizona: Yuma Proving Ground	SOF Military Free Fall Simulator	\$8,977,000	The President	
Army NG	Arizona: Florence	Readiness Center	\$16,500,000	The President	

Air NG	Arizona: Davis-Monthan AFB	Predator FDC Active Duty Associate	\$4,650,000	The President
Army NG	Arkansas: Camp Robinson	Combined Support Maintenance Shop	\$30,000,000	The President
Army NG	Arkansas: Fort Chaffee	Combined Arms Collective Training Facility	\$19,000,000	The President
Army NG	Arkansas: Fort Chaffee	Live Fire Shoot House	\$2,500,000	The President
Army	California: Presidio of Monterey	Advanced Individual Training Barracks	\$63,000,000	The President
Army	California: Presidio of Monterey	General Instruction Building	\$39,000,000	The President
Army	California: Presidio of Monterey	Satellite Communications Facility	\$38,000,000	The President
Navy	California: Camp Pendleton	BEQ—13 Area	\$42,864,000	The President
Navy	California: Camp Pendleton	BEQ—Las Flores	\$37,020,000	The President
Navy	California: Camp Pendleton	CNATT/FRS—Aviation Training and BEQ	\$66,110,000	The President
Navy	California: Camp Pendleton	Conveyance/Water Treatment	\$100,700,000	The President
Navy	California: Camp Pendleton	MALS-39 Maintenance Hangar Expansion	\$48,230,000	The President
Navy	California: Camp Pendleton	Marine Corps Energy Initiative	\$9,950,000	The President
Navy	California: Camp Pendleton	North Region Tertiary Treatment Plant, Incr 2	\$30,000,000	The President
Navy	California: Camp Pendleton	Small Arms Magazine—Edson Range	\$3,760,000	The President
Navy	California: Camp Pendleton	Truck Company Operations Complex	\$53,490,000	The President
Navy	California: Coronado NAB	Rotary Hangar	\$67,160,000	The President Davis (CA)
Navy	California: Miramar MCAS	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	\$90,490,000	The President
Navy	California: Miramar MCAS	Hangar 4	\$33,620,000	The President
Navy	California: Miramar MCAS	Parking Apron/Taxiway Expansion	\$66,500,000	The President

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

Account	State: Location	Project Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	House
Navy	California: San Diego	BEQ, Homeport Ashore	\$75,342,000	The President	Davis (CA)
Navy	California: San Diego	Berthing Pier 12 Replace & Dredging, Ph 1	\$108,414,000	The President	Davis (CA)
Navy	California: San Diego	Marine Corps Energy Initiative	\$9,950,000	The President	Davis (CA)
Navy	California: Twentymine Palms MCB	BEQ and Parking Structure	\$53,158,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	California: Point Loma Annex	Replace Storage Facility, Incr 3	\$20,000,000	The President	Davis (CA)
Defense-Wide	California: Point Mugu	Aircraft Direct Fueling Station	\$3,100,000	The President	
Army NG	California: Camp Roberts	Combined Arms Collective Training Facility	\$19,000,000	The President	
Army Reserve	California: Fairfield	Army Reserve Center	\$26,000,000	The President	
Army Reserve	California: Fort Hunter Liggett	ECS Tactical Equipment Maintenance Facility	\$22,000,000	The President	
Army Reserve	California: Fort Hunter Liggett	ECS Warehouse	\$15,000,000	The President	
Army Reserve	California: Fort Hunter Liggett	Grenade Launcher Range	\$1,400,000	The President	
Army Reserve	California: Fort Hunter Liggett	Hand Grenade Familiarization Range (Live)	\$1,400,000	The President	
Army Reserve	California: Fort Hunter Liggett	Light Demolition Range	\$2,700,000	The President	
Army Reserve	California: Fort Hunter Liggett	Tactical Vehicle Wash Rack	\$9,500,000	The President	
Navy Reserve	California: Twentymine Palms MCB	Tank Vehicle Maintenance Facility	\$5,991,000	The President	
Army	Colorado: Fort Carson	Automated Sniper Field Fire Range	\$3,650,000	The President	

Army	Colorado: Fort Carson	Battalion Headquarters	\$6,700,000	The President
Army	Colorado: Fort Carson	Brigade Complex	\$56,000,000	The President
Army	Colorado: Fort Carson	Simulations Center	\$40,000,000	The President
Air Force	Colorado: Buckley AFB	Security Forces Operations Facility	\$12,160,000	The President
Air Force	Colorado: Peterson AFB	RAIDRS Space Control Facility	\$24,800,000	The President
Air Force	Colorado: U.S. Air Force Academy	Center for Character & Leadership Development	\$27,600,000	The President
Defense-Wide	Colorado: Fort Carson	SOF Tactical UAV Hangar	\$3,717,000	The President
Army NG	Colorado: Colorado Springs	Readiness Center	\$20,000,000	The President
Army NG	Colorado: Fort Carson	Regional Training Institute	\$40,000,000	The President
Army NG	Colorado: Gypsum	HAATS/AASF	\$39,000,000	The President
Army NG	Colorado: Windsor	Readiness Center	\$7,500,000	The President
Chem Demil	Colorado: Pueblo Depot	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Ph XII	\$65,569,000	The President
Army NG	Connecticut: Windsor Locks	Aviation Readiness Center	\$41,000,000	The President DeLauro, Larson
Army NG	Delaware: New Castle	Armed Forces Reserve Center (JFHO)	\$27,000,000	The President
Air Force	Delaware: Dover AFB	C-5M/C-17 Maintenance Training Facility, Ph 2	\$3,200,000	The President
Air NG	Delaware: New Castle CAP	Joint Forces Operations Center—ANG Share	\$1,500,000	The President
Air Force	District of Columbia: Bolling AFB	Joint Air Defense Operations Center	\$13,200,000	The President
Defense-Wide	District of Columbia: Bolling AFB	Replace Parking Structure, Ph 1	\$3,000,000	The President
Army	Florida: Eglin AFB	Chapel	\$6,900,000	The President
Army	Florida: Miami-Dade County	Command and Control Facility	\$41,000,000	The President

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

Account	State: Location	Project Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	House
Army	Florida: Miami USAG	Commissary (SOUTHCOM HQ)	\$19,000,000	The President	
Navy	Florida: Blount Island MCSF	Consolidated Warehouse Facility	\$17,260,000	The President	
Navy	Florida: Blount Island MCSF	Container Staging and Loading Lot	\$5,990,000	The President	
Navy	Florida: Blount Island MCSF	Container Storage Lot	\$4,910,000	The President	
Navy	Florida: Blount Island MCSF	Hardstand Extension	\$17,930,000	The President	
Navy	Florida: Blount Island MCSF	Paint and Blast Facility	\$18,840,000	The President	
Navy	Florida: Blount Island MCSF	Washrack Expansion	\$9,690,000	The President	
Navy	Florida: Tampa	JCSE Vehicle Paint Facility	\$2,300,000	The President	
Air Force	Florida: Eglin AFB	F-35 Fuel Cell Maintenance Hangar	\$11,400,000	The President	
Air Force	Florida: Hurlburt Field	Add/Alter Special Operations School Facility	\$6,170,000	The President	
Air Force	Florida: Hurlburt Field	Add to Visiting Quarters (24 Room)	\$4,500,000	The President	
Air Force	Florida: Hurlburt Field	Base Logistics Facility	\$24,000,000	The President	
Air Force	Florida: Patrick AFB	Air Force Technical Applications Center	\$79,009,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Florida: Eglin AFB	SOF Ground Support Battalion Detachment	\$6,030,000	The President	
Air NG	Florida: Jacksonville IAP	Security Forces Training Facility	\$6,700,000	The President	
Army Reserve	Florida: North Fort Myers	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$13,800,000	The President	

Army Reserve	Florida: Orlando	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$10,200,000	The President
Army Reserve	Florida: Tallahassee	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$10,400,000	The President
Air Reserve	Florida: Patrick AFB	Weapons Maintenance Facility	\$3,420,000	The President
Army	Georgia: Fort Benning	Land Acquisition	\$12,200,000	The President
Army	Georgia: Fort Benning	Museum Operations Support Building	\$32,000,000	The President
Army	Georgia: Fort Benning	Trainee Barracks Ph 2	\$51,000,000	The President
Army	Georgia: Fort Benning	Training Battalion Complex, Ph 2	\$14,600,000	The President
Army	Georgia: Fort Benning	Training Battalion Complex, Ph 2	\$14,600,000	The President
Army	Georgia: Fort Benning	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	\$53,000,000	The President
Army	Georgia: Fort Gordon	Training Aids Center	\$4,150,000	The President
Army	Georgia: Fort Stewart	Automated Infantry Platoon Battle Course	\$6,200,000	The President
Army	Georgia: Fort Stewart	Automated Multipurpose Machine Gun Range	\$9,100,000	The President
Army	Georgia: Fort Stewart	Aviation Unit Operations Complex	\$47,000,000	The President
Army	Georgia: Fort Stewart	Battalion Complex	\$18,000,000	The President
Army	Georgia: Fort Stewart	General Instruction Building	\$8,200,000	The President
Army	Georgia: Fort Stewart	Modified Record Fire Range	\$3,750,000	The President
Army	Georgia: Fort Stewart	Simulations Center	\$26,000,000	The President
Army	Georgia: Fort Stewart	Training Aids Center	\$7,000,000	The President
Navy	Georgia: Kings Bay NSB	Security Enclave & Vehicle Barriers	\$45,004,000	The President
Navy	Georgia: Kings Bay NSB	Waterfront Emergency Power	\$15,660,000	The President

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

Account	State: Location	Project Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	House
Defense-Wide	Georgia: Fort Benning	Dexter Elementary School Gym	\$2,800,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Georgia: Fort Benning	SOF Company Support Facility	\$20,441,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Georgia: Fort Benning	SOF Military Working Dog Kennel Complex	\$3,624,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Georgia: Augusta	NSA/CSS Georgia Training Facility	\$12,855,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Georgia: Fort Stewart	Health Clinic Add/Alt	\$35,100,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Georgia: Hunter AAF	SOF TEMF Expansion	\$3,318,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Georgia: Hunter ANGS	Fuel Unload Facility	\$2,400,000	The President	
Army NG	Georgia: Cumming	Readiness Center	\$17,000,000	The President	
Army NG	Georgia: Dobbins ARB	Readiness Center Add/Alt	\$10,400,000	The President	
Air NG	Georgia: Savannah/Hilton Head IAP	Relocate ASOS Facility	\$7,450,000	The President	
Army Reserve	Georgia: Macon	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$11,400,000	The President	
Army	Hawaii: Fort Shafter	Command and Control Facility, Ph 1	\$58,000,000	The President	
Army	Hawaii: Fort Shafter	Flood Mitigation	\$23,000,000	The President	
Army	Hawaii: Schofield Barracks	Barracks	\$98,000,000	The President	
Army	Hawaii: Schofield Barracks	Barracks	\$90,000,000	The President	
Army	Hawaii: Schofield Barracks	Training Aids Center	\$24,000,000	The President	

Army	Hawaii: Tripler AMC	Barracks	\$28,000,000	The President
Navy	Hawaii: Camp H.M. Smith	Physical Fitness Center	\$29,960,000	The President
Navy	Hawaii: Kaneohe Bay	BEQ	\$90,530,000	The President
Navy	Hawaii: Kaneohe Bay	Waterfront Operations Facility	\$19,130,000	The President
Navy	Hawaii: Pearl Harbor	Center for Disaster Mgmt./Humanitarian Assistance	\$9,140,000	The President
Navy	Hawaii: Pearl Harbor	Joint POW/MIA Accounting Command	\$99,328,000	The President
Defense-Wide	Hawaii: Hickam AFB	Alter Fuel Storage Tanks	\$8,500,000	The President
Defense-Wide	Hawaii: Pearl Harbor	NSWG3 Command and Operations Facility	\$28,804,000	The President
Army NG	Hawaii: Kalaeloa	Combined Support Maintenance Shop	\$38,000,000	The President
Air NG	Hawaii: Hickam AFB	F-22 Beddown Infrastructure Support	\$5,950,000	The President
Air NG	Hawaii: Hickam AFB	F-22 Hangar, Squadron Operations & AMU	\$48,250,000	The President
Air NG	Hawaii: Hickam AFB	F-22 Upgrade Munitions Complex	\$17,250,000	The President
Defense-Wide	Idaho: Mountain Home AFB	Replace POL Fuel Storage Tanks	\$27,500,000	The President
Army NG	Idaho: Gowen Field	Barracks (ORTC) Ph 1	\$17,500,000	The President
Army NG	Idaho: Mountain Home AFB	Tactical UAS Facility	\$6,300,000	The President
Defense-Wide	Illinois: Scott AFB	Field Command Facility Upgrade (DISA)	\$1,388,000	The President
Army NG	Illinois: Springfield	Add/AIT Combined Support Maintenance Shop	\$15,000,000	The President
Air NG	Illinois: Capital MAF	CNAF Beddown Upgrade Facilities	\$16,700,000	The President
Army Reserve	Illinois: Quincy	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$12,200,000	The President
Air NG	Indiana: Hulman RAP	ASOS Beddown Upgrade Facilities	\$4,100,000	The President

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

Account	State: Location	Project Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	House
Army Reserve	Indiana: Michigan City	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$15,500,000	The President	
Army Reserve	Iowa: Des Moines	Army Reserve Center	\$8,175,000	The President	
Army	Kansas: Fort Leavenworth	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	\$7,100,000	The President	
Army	Kansas: Fort Riley	Automated Infantry Squad Battle Course	\$4,100,000	The President	
Army	Kansas: Fort Riley	Automated Qualification Training Range	\$14,800,000	The President	
Army	Kansas: Fort Riley	Battalion Complex, Ph 1	\$31,000,000	The President	
Army	Kansas: Fort Riley	Known Distance Range	\$7,200,000	The President	
Army NG	Kansas: Wichita	Field Maintenance Shop	\$24,000,000	The President	
Army NG	Kansas: Wichita	Readiness Center	\$43,000,000	The President	
Army	Kentucky: Fort Campbell	Automated Sniper Field Fire Range	\$1,500,000	The President	
Army	Kentucky: Fort Campbell	Brigade Complex	\$67,000,000	The President	
Army	Kentucky: Fort Campbell	Company Operations Facilities	\$25,000,000	The President	
Army	Kentucky: Fort Campbell	Rappelling Training Area	\$5,600,000	The President	
Army	Kentucky: Fort Campbell	Unit Operations Facilities	\$26,000,000	The President	
Army	Kentucky: Fort Campbell	Urban Assault Course	\$3,300,000	The President	
Army	Kentucky: Fort Campbell	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	\$15,500,000	The President	

Army	Kentucky: Fort Knox	Access Corridor Improvements	\$6,000,000	The President
Army	Kentucky: Fort Knox	MOUT Collective Training Facility	\$12,800,000	The President
Defense-Wide	Kentucky: Fort Campbell	SOF Battalion Operations Complex	\$38,095,000	The President
Army NG	Kentucky: Burlington	Readiness Center	\$19,500,000	The President
Chem Demil	Kentucky: Blue Grass Depot	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Ph XI	\$59,402,000	The President
Army	Louisiana: Fort Polk	Barracks	\$29,000,000	The President
Army	Louisiana: Fort Polk	Heavy Sniper Range	\$4,250,000	The President
Army	Louisiana: Fort Polk	Land Acquisition	\$6,000,000	The President
Army	Louisiana: Fort Polk	Land Acquisition	\$24,000,000	The President
Air Force	Louisiana: Barksdale AFB	Weapons Load Crew Training Facility	\$18,140,000	The President
Army NG	Louisiana: Fort Polk	Tactical UAS Facility	\$5,500,000	The President
Army NG	Louisiana: Camp Minden	Readiness Center	\$28,000,000	The President
Navy Reserve	Louisiana: New Orleans NAS/RB	Joint Air Traffic Control Facility	\$16,281,000	The President
Army	Maryland: Aberdeen Proving Ground	Auto Tech Evaluation Facility Ph 2	\$14,600,000	The President Ruppersberger
Army	Maryland: Fort Meade	Indoor Firing Range	\$7,600,000	The President Ruppersberger
Army	Maryland: Fort Meade	Wideband SATCOM Operations Center	\$25,000,000	The President Ruppersberger
Navy	Maryland: Indian Head NSWC	Agile Chemical Facility, Ph 2	\$34,238,000	The President Hoyer
Navy	Maryland: Patuxent River NAS	Broad Area Maritime Surveillance T&E Facility	\$42,211,000	The President Hoyer
Defense-Wide	Maryland: Aberdeen Proving Ground	USAMRICD Replacement, Incr 3	\$105,000,000	The President
Defense-Wide	Maryland: Andrews AFB	Replace Fuel Storage & Distribution Facility	\$14,000,000	The President Edwards (MD)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

Account	State: Location	Project Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	House
Defense-Wide	Maryland: Bethesda	WRNNMC Parking Expansion	\$17,100,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Maryland: Bethesda	Transient Wounded Warrior Lodging	\$62,900,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Maryland: Fort Detrick	Consolidated Logistics Facility	\$23,100,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Maryland: Fort Detrick	Information Services Facility Expansion	\$4,300,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Maryland: Fort Detrick	NIBC Security Fencing and Equipment	\$2,700,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Maryland: Fort Detrick	Supplemental Water Storage	\$3,700,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Maryland: Fort Detrick	USAMRIID Stage I, Incr 5	\$17,400,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Maryland: Fort Detrick	Water Treatment Plant Repair & Supplement	\$11,900,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Maryland: Fort Meade	North Campus Utility Plant	\$219,360,000	The President	
Army NG	Maryland: St. Inigoes (Webster Field)	Tactical UAS Facility	\$5,500,000	The President	Hoyer
Air NG	Maryland: Martin State Airport	Replace Operations & Medical Training Facility	\$11,400,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Massachusetts: Hanscom AFB	Mental Health Clinic Addition	\$2,900,000	The President	
Army NG	Massachusetts: Hanscom AFB	Armed Forces Reserve Center (JFHQ), Ph 2	\$23,000,000	The President	
Army Reserve	Massachusetts: Devers RFTA	Automated Record Fire Range	\$4,700,000	The President	
Army NG	Michigan: Camp Grayling	Combined Arms Collective Training Facility	\$19,000,000	The President	
Army NG	Minnesota: Arden Hills	Field Maintenance Shop	\$29,000,000	The President	

Army NG	Minnesota: Camp Ripley	Infantry Squad Battle Course	\$4,300,000	The President	
Army NG	Minnesota: Camp Ripley	Tactical UAS Facility	\$4,450,000	The President	
Army	Missouri: Fort Leonard Wood	Barracks	\$29,000,000	The President	
Army	Missouri: Fort Leonard Wood	Brigade Headquarters	\$12,200,000	The President	
Army	Missouri: Fort Leonard Wood	General Instruction Building	\$7,000,000	The President	
Army	Missouri: Fort Leonard Wood	Information Systems Facility	\$15,500,000	The President	
Army	Missouri: Fort Leonard Wood	Training Barracks	\$19,000,000	The President	
Army	Missouri: Fort Leonard Wood	Transient Advanced Trainee Barracks, Ph 2	\$29,000,000	The President	
Army Reserve	Missouri: Belton	Army Reserve Center	\$11,800,000	The President	
Army NG	Nebraska: Lincoln	Readiness Center Add/Alt	\$3,300,000	The President	
Army NG	Nebraska: Mead	Readiness Center	\$11,400,000	The President	
Air Force	Nevada: Creech AFB	UAS Airfield Fire/Crash Rescue Station	\$11,710,000	The President	
Air Force	Nevada: Nellis AFB	F-35 Add/Alt 422 Test Evaluation Squadron Fac.	\$7,870,000	The President	
Air Force	Nevada: Nellis AFB	F-35 Add/Alt Flight Test Instrumentation Facility	\$1,900,000	The President	
Air Force	Nevada: Nellis AFB	F-35 Flight Simulator Facility	\$13,110,000	The President	
Air Force	Nevada: Nellis AFB	F-35 Maintenance Hangar/AMU	\$28,760,000	The President	
Army NG	New Hampshire: Pembroke	Barracks Facility (RTI)	\$15,000,000	The President	
Army NG	New Hampshire: Pembroke	Classroom Facility (RTI)	\$21,000,000	The President	
Air Force	New Jersey: McGuire AFB	Base Operations/Command Post Facility	\$8,000,000	The President	Rothman
Air Force	New Jersey: McGuire AFB	Dormitory (120 Room)	\$18,440,000	The President	Rothman

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

Account	State: Location	Project Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	House
Army	New Mexico: White Sands Missile Range	Barracks	\$29,000,000	The President	
Air Force	New Mexico: Cannon AFB	Dormitory (96 Room)	\$14,000,000	The President	Lujan
Air Force	New Mexico: Cannon AFB	UAS Squadron Operations Facility	\$20,000,000	The President	Lujan
Air Force	New Mexico: Holloman AFB	UAS Add/Alt Maintenance Hangar	\$15,470,000	The President	Teague
Air Force	New Mexico: Holloman AFB	UAS Maintenance Hangar	\$22,500,000	The President	
Air Force	New Mexico: Kirtland AFB	Aerial Delivery Facility Addition	\$3,800,000	The President	
Air Force	New Mexico: Kirtland AFB	Armament Shop	\$6,460,000	The President	
Air Force	New Mexico: Kirtland AFB	H/MC-130 Fuel System Maintenance Facility	\$14,142,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	New Mexico: Cannon AFB	SOF Add/Alt Simulator Facility for MC-130	\$13,287,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	New Mexico: Cannon AFB	SOF C-130 Parking Apron Ph I	\$26,006,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	New Mexico: Cannon AFB	SOF Aircraft Parking Apron (MC-130J)	\$12,636,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	New Mexico: Cannon AFB	SOF Hangar/AMU (MC-130J)	\$24,622,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	New Mexico: Cannon AFB	SOF Operations and Training Complex	\$39,674,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	New Mexico: White Sands Missile Range	Health and Dental Clinics	\$22,900,000	The President	
Army NG	New Mexico: Farmington	Readiness Center Add/Alt	\$8,500,000	The President	Lujan

Army Reserve	New Mexico: Las Cruces	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$11,400,000	The President
Army	New York: Fort Drum	Aircraft Fuel Storage Complex	\$14,600,000	The President
Army	New York: Fort Drum	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	\$16,500,000	The President
Army	New York: Fort Drum	Battalion Complex	\$61,000,000	The President
Army	New York: Fort Drum	Brigade Complex, Ph 1	\$55,000,000	The President
Army	New York: Fort Drum	Infantry Squad Battle Course	\$8,200,000	The President
Army	New York: Fort Drum	Training Aids Center	\$18,500,000	The President
Army	New York: Fort Drum	Transient Training Barracks	\$55,000,000	The President
Army	New York: U.S. Military Academy	Science Facility, Ph 2	\$130,624,000	The President
Army	New York: U.S. Military Academy	Urban Assault Course	\$1,700,000	The President
Air Force	New York: Fort Drum	20th Air Support Operations Squadron Complex	\$20,440,000	The President
Defense-Wide	New York: Fort Drum	West Point Middle School Add/Alt	\$27,960,000	The President
Air NG	New York: Fort Drum	Reaper Infrastructure Support	\$2,500,000	The President
Air NG	New York: Stewart IAP	Base Defense Group Beddown	\$14,250,000	The President
Army Reserve	New York: Binghamton	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$13,400,000	The President
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Battalion Complex	\$33,000,000	The President
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Brigade Complex	\$50,000,000	The President
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Brigade Complex	\$25,000,000	The President
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Brigade Complex	\$41,000,000	The President
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Command and Control Facility (82nd Airborne)	\$53,000,000	The President
				Etheridge

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

Account	State: Location	Project Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	House
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Company Operations Facilities	\$12,600,000	The President	
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Dining Facility	\$11,200,000	The President	
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Murchison Road Right of Way Acquisition	\$17,000,000	The President	
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Staging Area Complex	\$14,600,000	The President	
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Student Barracks	\$18,000,000	The President	
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	\$28,000,000	The President	
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	\$7,500,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	2nd Intel Battalion Maintenance/Ops Complex	\$90,270,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	Army—II MEF—Wallace Creek	\$12,280,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	BEQ—Camp Johnson	\$46,550,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	BEQ—Courthouse Bay	\$42,330,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	BEQ—Courthouse Bay	\$40,780,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	BEQ—French Creek	\$43,640,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	BEQ—Rifle Range	\$55,350,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	BEQ—Wallace Creek	\$51,660,000	The President	
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	BEQ—Wallace Creek North	\$46,290,000	The President	

Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	EOD Addition—2nd Marine Logistics Group	\$7,420,000	The President
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	Hangar	\$73,010,000	The President
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	Maintenance Hangar (HMLA)	\$74,260,000	The President
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	Maintenance/Ops Complex—2nd ANGLICO	\$36,100,000	The President
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	Marine Corps Energy Initiative	\$9,950,000	The President
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	Mess Hall—French Creek	\$25,960,000	The President
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	Mess Hall Addition—Courthouse Bay	\$2,553,000	The President
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	Motor Transportation/Comm. Maintenance Facility	\$18,470,000	The President
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	Utility Expansion—French Creek	\$56,050,000	The President
Navy	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	Utility Expansion—Hadnot Point	\$56,470,000	The President
Navy	North Carolina: Cherry Point MCAS	BEQ	\$42,500,000	The President
Navy	North Carolina: Cherry Point MCAS	Mariners Bay Land Acquisition—Bogue	\$3,790,000	The President
Navy	North Carolina: Cherry Point MCAS	Missile Magazine	\$13,420,000	The President
Navy	North Carolina: Cherry Point MCAS	Station Infrastructure Upgrades	\$5,800,000	The President
Defense-Wide	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune	Tarawa Terrace I Replace Elementary School	\$16,646,000	The President
Defense-Wide	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Replace McNair Elementary School	\$23,086,000	The President
Defense-Wide	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	Replace Murray Elementary School	\$22,000,000	The President
Defense-Wide	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	SOF Admin/Company Operations	\$10,347,000	The President
Defense-Wide	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	SOF C4 Facility—JSC	\$41,000,000	The President
Defense-Wide	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	SOF Joint Intelligence Brigade Facility	\$32,000,000	The President

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

Account	State: Location	Project Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	House
Defense-Wide	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	SOF Operational Communications Facility	\$11,000,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	SOF Operational Support Facility	\$13,465,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	North Carolina: Fort Bragg	SOF Operations Additions	\$15,795,000	The President	
Army NG	North Carolina: High Point	Readiness Center Add/Alt	\$1,551,000	The President	
Air NG	North Carolina: Stanly County Airport	Upgrade ASOS Facilities	\$2,000,000	The President	
Air Force	North Dakota: Minot AFB	Control Tower/Base Operations Facility	\$18,770,000	The President	Pomeroy
Army NG	North Dakota: Camp Grafton	Readiness Center Add/Alt	\$11,200,000	The President	Pomeroy
Defense-Wide	Ohio: Columbus	Replace Public Safety Facility	\$7,400,000	The President	
Army	Oklahoma: Fort Sill	General Purpose Storage Building	\$13,800,000	The President	
Army	Oklahoma: Fort Sill	Museum Operations Support Building	\$12,800,000	The President	
Army	Oklahoma: McAlester AAF	Igloo Storage, Depot Level	\$3,000,000	The President	
Air Force	Oklahoma: Tinker AFB	Upgrade Building 3001 Infrastructure, Ph III	\$14,000,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Pennsylvania: New Cumberland Depot	Replace Headquarters Facility	\$96,000,000	The President	
Air NG	Pennsylvania: State College ANGS	Add/Alter AOS Facility	\$4,100,000	The President	
Navy	Rhode Island: Newport NS	Electromagnetic Sensor Facility	\$27,007,000	The President	
Army NG	Rhode Island: East Greenwich	U.S. Property and Fiscal Office	\$27,000,000	The President	

Army	South Carolina: Fort Jackson	Trainee Barracks	\$28,000,000	The President
Army	South Carolina: Fort Jackson	Trainee Barracks Complex, Ph 1	\$46,000,000	The President
Army	South Carolina: Fort Jackson	Training Aids Center	\$17,000,000	The President
Navy	South Carolina: Beaufort MCAS	AICUZ Land Acquisition	\$21,190,000	The President
Navy	South Carolina: Beaufort MCAS	Aircraft Hangar—VMFAT-502	\$46,550,000	The President
Navy	South Carolina: Beaufort MCAS	Physical Fitness Center	\$15,430,000	The President
Navy	South Carolina: Beaufort MCAS	Training and Simulator Facility	\$46,240,000	The President
Air Force	South Carolina: Charleston AFB	Civil Engineer Complex—Ph 1	\$15,000,000	The President
Army NG	South Dakota: Watertown	Readiness Center	\$25,000,000	The President
Air NG	Tennessee: Nashville IAP	Renovate Intel Squadron Facilities	\$5,500,000	The President
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Automated Multipurpose Machine Gun Range	\$6,700,000	The President
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Company Operations Facilities	\$18,500,000	The President
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Digital Multipurpose Training Range	\$22,000,000	The President
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Heavy Sniper Range	\$3,500,000	The President
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Indoor Swimming Pool	\$15,500,000	The President
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Light Demolition Range	\$2,100,000	The President
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Live Fire Exercise Shoothouse	\$3,150,000	The President
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Scout/RECE Gunnery Complex	\$15,500,000	The President
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Squad Defense Range	\$3,000,000	The President
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	THAAD Battery Complex	\$17,500,000	The President

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

Account	State: Location	Project Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	House
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Transient Training Complex	\$31,000,000	The President	
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Urban Assault Course	\$2,800,000	The President	
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Vehicle Bridge Overpass	\$8,700,000	The President	
Army	Texas: Fort Hood	Battalion Complex	\$40,000,000	The President	
Army	Texas: Fort Hood	Brigade Complex	\$38,000,000	The President	
Army	Texas: Fort Hood	Company Operations Facilities	\$4,300,000	The President	
Army	Texas: Fort Hood	Convoy Live Fire	\$3,200,000	The President	
Army	Texas: Fort Hood	Live Fire Exercise Smoothouse	\$2,100,000	The President	
Army	Texas: Fort Hood	UAS Hangar	\$55,000,000	The President	
Army	Texas: Fort Hood	Urban Assault Course	\$2,450,000	The President	
Army	Texas: Fort Sam Houston	Simulations Center	\$16,000,000	The President	Rodriguez
Army	Texas: Fort Sam Houston	Training Aids Center	\$6,200,000	The President	Rodriguez
Air Force	Texas: Dyess AFB	C-130J Add/Alt Flight Simulator Facility	\$4,080,000	The President	
Air Force	Texas: Ellington Field	Upgrade UAV Maintenance Hangar	\$7,000,000	The President	
Air Force	Texas: Lackland AFB	BMT Satellite Classroom/Dining Facility No. 2	\$32,000,000	The President	Gonzalez; Rodriguez
Air Force	Texas: Lackland AFB	One Company Fire Station	\$5,500,000	The President	Gonzalez; Rodriguez

Air Force	Texas: Lackland AFB	Recruit Dormitory, Ph 3	\$67,980,000	The President	Gonzalez; Rodriguez
Air Force	Texas: Lackland AFB	Recruit/Family Improving & Info Center	\$21,800,000	The President	Gonzalez; Rodriguez
Defense-Wide	Texas: Fort Bliss	Hospital Replacement, Incr 2	\$147,100,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Texas: Lackland AFB	Ambulatory Care Center, Ph 2	\$162,500,000	The President	
Army NG	Texas: Camp Maxey	Combat Pistol/Military Pistol Qualification Course	\$2,500,000	The President	
Army NG	Texas: Camp Swift	Urban Assault Course	\$2,600,000	The President	
Army Reserve	Texas: Denton	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$12,600,000	The President	
Army Reserve	Texas: Rio Grande	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$6,100,000	The President	
Army Reserve	Texas: San Marcos	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$8,500,000	The President	
Air Force	Utah: Hill AFB	F-22 T-10 Engine Test Cell	\$2,800,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Utah: Camp Williams	Data Center, Incr 2	\$398,358,000	The President	
Army	Virginia: Fort A.P. Hill	1200 Meter Range	\$14,500,000	The President	
Army	Virginia: Fort A.P. Hill	Indoor Firing Range	\$6,200,000	The President	
Army	Virginia: Fort A.P. Hill	Known Distance Range	\$3,800,000	The President	
Army	Virginia: Fort A.P. Hill	Light Demolition Range	\$4,100,000	The President	
Army	Virginia: Fort A.P. Hill	MOUT Collective Training Facility	\$65,000,000	The President	
Army	Virginia: Fort Eustis	Warrior in Transition Complex	\$18,000,000	The President	
Army	Virginia: Fort Lee	Automated Qualification Training Range	\$7,700,000	The President	
Army	Virginia: Fort Lee	Company Operations Facilities	\$4,900,000	The President	
Army	Virginia: Fort Lee	Museum Operations Support Building	\$30,000,000	The President	

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

Account	State: Location	Project Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	House
Army	Virginia: Fort Lee	Training Aids Center	\$5,800,000	The President	
Navy	Virginia: Norfolk	Pier 1 Upgrades to Berth USNS Comfort	\$10,035,000	The President	
Navy	Virginia: Norfolk	Piers 9 and 10 Upgrades for DDG 1000	\$2,400,000	The President	
Navy	Virginia: Portsmouth	Ship Repair Pier Replacement, Incr 2	\$100,000,000	The President	
Navy	Virginia: Quantico MCB	Academic Facility Addition—SNCOA	\$12,080,000	The President	
Navy	Virginia: Quantico MCB	BEQ—WTBN	\$37,810,000	The President	
Navy	Virginia: Quantico MCB	Research Center Addition—MCU	\$37,920,000	The President	
Navy	Virginia: Quantico MCB	Student Officer Quarters—TBS	\$55,822,000	The President	
Air Force	Virginia: Langley AFB	F-22 Add/Alter Hangar Bay LO/CR Facility	\$8,800,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Virginia: Craney Island	Replace Fuel Pier	\$58,000,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Virginia: Fort Belvoir	Dental Clinic Replacement	\$6,300,000	The President	Moran (VA); Connolly
Defense-Wide	Virginia: Pentagon Reservation	Pentagon Metro & Corridor 8 Screening Facility	\$6,473,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Virginia: Pentagon Reservation	Power Plant Modernization Ph 3	\$51,928,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Virginia: Pentagon Reservation	Secure Access Lane—Remote Vehicle Screening	\$4,923,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Virginia: Quantico MCB	New Consolidated Elementary School	\$47,355,000	The President	
Army Reserve	Virginia: Fort A.P. Hill	Army Reserve Center	\$15,500,000	The President	

Army Reserve	Virginia: Roanoke	Army Reserve Center/Land	\$14,800,000	The President
Army Reserve	Virginia: Virginia Beach	Army Reserve Center	\$11,000,000	The President
Navy Reserve	Virginia: Fort Story	Navy Ordnance Cargo Logistics Training Comp.	\$21,346,000	The President
Army	Washington: Fort Lewis	Barracks	\$47,000,000	The President
Army	Washington: Fort Lewis	Barracks Complex	\$40,000,000	The President
Army	Washington: Fort Lewis	Rappelling Training Area	\$5,300,000	The President
Army	Washington: Fort Lewis	Regional Logistic Support Complex Warehouse	\$16,500,000	The President
Army	Washington: Fort Lewis	Regional Logistic Support Complex	\$63,000,000	The President
Army	Washington: Yakima	Sniper Field Fire Range	\$3,750,000	The President
Navy	Washington: Bangor	CSDS-5 Laboratory Expansion Ph 1	\$16,170,000	The President
Navy	Washington: Bangor	Limited Area Emergency Power	\$15,810,000	The President
Navy	Washington: Bangor	Waterfront Restricted Area Emergency Power	\$24,913,000	The President
Navy	Washington: Bremerton	Limited Area Production/Staging Complex, Incr	\$19,116,000	The President
Defense-Wide	Washington: Fort Lewis	Preventive Medicine Facility	\$8,400,000	The President
Army NG	Washington: Tacoma	Combined Support Maintenance Shop	\$25,000,000	The President
Navy Reserve	Washington: Yakima	Marine Corps Reserve Center	\$13,844,000	The President
Army NG	West Virginia: Moorefield	Readiness Center	\$14,200,000	The President
Army NG	West Virginia: Morgantown	Readiness Center	\$21,000,000	The President
Army NG	Wisconsin: Madison	Aircraft Parking	\$5,700,000	The President
Army Reserve	Wisconsin: Fort McCoy	A7/MOB Billeting Complex Ph I	\$9,800,000	The President

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

Account	State: Location	Project Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	House
Army Reserve	Wisconsin: Fort McCoy	NGO Academy Ph II	\$10,000,000	The President	
Air Force	Wyoming: Camp Guernsey	Nuclear/Space Security Tactics Training Center	\$4,650,000	The President	
Army NG	Wyoming: Laramie	Field Maintenance Shop	\$14,400,000	The President	
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Army Aviation HQ Facilities	\$19,000,000	The President	
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Barracks	\$18,000,000	The President	
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Consolidated Community Support Area	\$14,800,000	The President	
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Eastside Electrical Distribution	\$10,400,000	The President	
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Eastside Utilities Infrastructure	\$29,000,000	The President	
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Entry Control Point	\$7,500,000	The President	
Army	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Joint Defense Operations Center	\$2,800,000	The President	
Air Force	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Consolidated Rigging Facility	\$9,900,000	The President	
Air Force	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	Fighter Hangar	\$16,480,000	The President	
Air Force	Afghanistan: Bagram AB	MEDEVAC Ramp Expansion/Fire Station	\$16,580,000	The President	
Navy	Bahrain: Bahrain NSA	NAVCENT Ammunition Magazines	\$89,280,000	The President	
Navy	Bahrain: Bahrain NSA	Operations and Support Facilities	\$60,002,000	The President	
Navy	Bahrain: Bahrain NSA	Waterfront Development, Ph 3	\$63,871,000	The President	

Air Force	Bahrain: Shaikh Isa AB	North Apron Expansion	\$45,000,000	The President
Defense-Wide	Belgium: Brussels	NATO Headquarters Facility	\$31,863,000	The President
Defense-Wide	Belgium: Brussels	Replace SHAPE Middle School/High School	\$67,311,000	The President
Navy	Djibouti: Camp Lemonnier	Headquarters Facility	\$12,407,000	The President
Navy	Djibouti: Camp Lemonnier	General Warehouse	\$7,324,000	The President
Navy	Djibouti: Camp Lemonnier	Horn of Africa Joint Operations Center	\$28,076,000	The President
Navy	Djibouti: Camp Lemonnier	Pave External Roads	\$3,824,000	The President
Army	Germany: Ansbach	Physical Fitness Center	\$13,800,000	The President
Army	Germany: Ansbach	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	\$18,000,000	The President
Army	Germany: Grafenwoehr	Barracks	\$17,500,000	The President
Army	Germany: Grafenwoehr	Barracks	\$19,000,000	The President
Army	Germany: Grafenwoehr	Barracks	\$20,000,000	The President
Army	Germany: Grafenwoehr	Barracks	\$19,000,000	The President
Army	Germany: Rhine Ordnance Barracks	Barracks Complex	\$35,000,000	The President
Army	Germany: Sembach	Confinement Facility	\$9,100,000	The President
Army	Germany: Wiesbaden AB	Command and Battle Center, Incr 2	\$59,500,000	The President
Army	Germany: Wiesbaden AB	New Access Control Point	\$5,100,000	The President
Army	Germany: Wiesbaden AB	Information Processing Center	\$30,400,000	The President
Army	Germany: Wiesbaden AB	Sensitive Compartmented Information Facility Inc 1	\$46,000,000	The President
Air Force	Germany: Kapaun	Dormitory (128 Room)	\$19,600,000	The President

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

Account	State: Location	Project Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	House
Air Force	Germany: Ramstein AB	C-130J Flight Simulator Facility	\$8,800,000	The President	
Air Force	Germany: Ramstein AB	Deicing Fluid Storage & Dispensing Facility	\$2,754,000	The President	
Air Force	Germany: Ramstein AB	UAS SATCOM Relay Pads & Facility	\$10,800,000	The President	
Air Force	Germany: Vilseck	ASOS Complex	\$12,900,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Germany: Katterbach	Health/Dental Clinic Replacement	\$37,100,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Germany: Panzer Kaserne	Replace Boeblingen High School	\$48,968,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Germany: Vilseck	Health Clinic Add/Alt	\$34,800,000	The President	
FH Army	Germany: Baumholder	Family Housing Replacement (64 Units)	\$34,329,000	The President	
Navy	Guam: Guam NB	Apra Harbor Wharves Improvements, Ph 1, Incr 2	\$40,000,000	The President	Bordallo
Navy	Guam: Guam NB	Defense Access Road Improvements	\$66,730,000	The President	Bordallo
Navy	Guam: Guam NB	Finagayan Site Prep and Utilities	\$47,210,000	The President	Bordallo
Air Force	Guam: Andersen AFB	Guam Strike Ops Group & Tanker Task Force Ren.	\$9,100,000	The President	Bordallo
Air Force	Guam: Andersen AFB	Guam Strike South Ramp Utilities, Ph 1	\$12,200,000	The President	Bordallo
Air Force	Guam: Andersen AFB	PRTC—Combat Communications Operations Facility	\$9,200,000	The President	Bordallo
Air Force	Guam: Andersen AFB	PRTC—Red Horse Headquarters/Engineering Facility	\$8,000,000	The President	Bordallo
Air Force	Guam: Andersen AFB	PRTC—Commando Warrior Open Bay Student Barracks	\$11,800,000	The President	Bordallo

Defense-Wide	Guam: Guam NH	Hospital Replacement, Incr 2	\$70,000,000	The President	Bordallo
Army NG	Guam: Barrigada	Combined Support Maintenance Shop, Ph 1	\$19,000,000	The President	Bordallo
FH Navy	Guantanamo Bay: Guantanamo NS	Replace GIMO Housing	\$37,169,000	The President	
Army	Honduras: Soto Cano AB	Barracks	\$20,400,000	The President	
Army	Italy: Vicenza	Brigade Complex—Barracks/Community, Incr 4	\$13,000,000	The President	
Army	Italy: Vicenza	Brigade Complex—Operations Support Facility, Incr 4	\$13,000,000	The President	
Air Force	Italy: Aviano AB	ASOS Facility	\$10,200,000	The President	
Air Force	Italy: Aviano AB	Dormitory (144 Room)	\$19,000,000	The President	
Navy	Japan: Atsugi NAF	MH-60R/S Trainer Facility	\$6,908,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Japan: Kadena AB	Install Fuel Filters-Separators	\$3,000,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Japan: Misawa AB	Hydrant Fuel System	\$31,000,000	The President	
Army	Korea: Camp Walker	Electrical System Upgrade & Natural Gas System	\$19,500,000	The President	
Air Force	Korea: Kunsan AB	DMT Flight Simulator Facility	\$7,500,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Korea: Camp Carroll	Health/Dental Clinic Replacement	\$19,500,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Puerto Rico: Fort Buchanan	Antilles ES/IS—Replace School	\$58,708,000	The President	
Army NG	Puerto Rico: Camp Santiago	Live Fire Shoot House	\$3,100,000	The President	
Army NG	Puerto Rico: Camp Santiago	Multipurpose Machine Gun Range	\$9,200,000	The President	
Air Force	Qatar: Al Udeid AB	Blatchford-Preston Complex, Ph III	\$62,300,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Qatar: Al Udeid AB	NSA Warehouse	\$1,961,000	The President	
Navy	Spain: Rota NS	Air Traffic Control Tower	\$23,190,000	The President	

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

Account	State: Location	Project Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	House
Air Force	United Kingdom: RAF Mildenhall	Extend Taxiway Alpha	\$15,000,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	United Kingdom: RAF Alconbury	Alconbury Elementary School Replacement	\$30,308,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	United Kingdom: Menwith Hill Station	PSC Construction—Generators 10 & 11	\$2,000,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	United Kingdom: RAF Mildenhall	Replace Hydrant Fuel Distribution System	\$15,900,000	The President	
Army NG	Virgin Islands: St. Croix	Readiness Center (JHQ)	\$25,000,000	The President	
Air Force	Worldwide Unspecified	F-35 Flight Simulator Facility	\$12,190,000	The President	
Air Force	Worldwide Unspecified	F-35 Academic Training Center	\$54,150,000	The President	
Air Force	Worldwide Unspecified	F-35 Squadron Operations Facility	\$10,260,000	The President	
Defense-Wide	Worldwide Unspecified	Classified Project	\$41,900,000	The President	

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	State: Location	Project Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	House
Army	Alabama: Fort Rucker	Emergency Medical Services Facility	\$1,700,000	Bright	
Air Force	Alabama: Maxwell AFB	Air Traffic Control Tower	\$810,000	Bright	
Defense-Wide	Arizona: Marana	SOF Parachute Training Facility	\$6,250,000	Giffords	
Army NG	Arkansas: Camp Robinson	Regional Training Institute, Ph 2	\$2,334,000	Snyder	

Navy	California: Coronado MAB	MESG-1 Consolidated Boat Maintenance Facility	\$6,890,000	Davis (CA)
Air Force	California: Los Angeles AFB	Parking Structure, Ph 2	\$4,500,000	Sanchez, Loretta
Air NG	California: Moffett Field	Relocate Main Gate	\$2,000,000	Eshoo
Navy	California: Monterey NSA	International Academic Instruction Building	\$11,960,000	Farr
Army NG	California: Sacramento	Field Maintenance Shop Paving	\$891,000	Matsui
Air Force	California: Travis AFB	BCE Maintenance Shops and Supply Warehouse	\$387,000	Garamendi; Miller, George; Thompson (CA)
Army NG	California: Ventura	Renewable Photovoltaic Solar Power	\$1,466,000	Capps
Air NG	Colorado: Buckley AFB	Repair Taxiways Juliet and Lima	\$4,000,000	Perlmutter
Army NG	Colorado: Watkins	Parachute Maintenance Facility	\$3,569,000	Salazar
Navy	Connecticut: New London NSB	Submarine Group Two Headquarters	\$550,000	Courtney; DeLauro
Air Force	Florida: MacDill AFB	Infrastructure Improvements	\$249,000	Castor (FL)
Navy	Florida: Panama City NSA	Land Acquisition—9 Acres	\$5,960,000	Boyd
Navy	Georgia: Albany MCLB	Maintenance Center Test Firing Range	\$5,180,000	Bishop (GA)
Air Force	Georgia: Robins AFB	Combat Communications Squadron Warehouse	\$5,500,000	Marshall
Army NG	Illinois: Marseilles Training Area	Simulation Center	\$2,500,000	Halvorson
Air Force	Illinois: Scott AFB	New Fitness Facility, Ph 1	\$396,000	Jackson (IL); Costello
Navy	Indiana: Crane NSWC	Platform Protection Engineering Complex	\$760,000	Ellsworth
Army NG	Iowa: Camp Dodge	Regional Training Institute, Ph 1	\$800,000	Boswell
Air NG	Iowa: Des Moines	Corrosion Control Hangar	\$4,750,000	Boswell
Army NG	Iowa: Iowa City	Simulation Center/MVSB/Helipad/Parking	\$1,999,000	Loeb sack

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—Continued
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	State: Location	Project Title	Amount	Requester(s)
Army NG	Kentucky: Frankfort	Joint Forces Headquarters, Ph 1	\$281,000	Chandler
Air NG	Kentucky: Standiford Field	Contingency Response Group Facility	\$534,000	Yarmuth
Air NG	Louisiana: New Orleans NAS/RB	ASA Replace Alert Complex	\$2,000,000	Cao; Melancon
Navy	Maine: Portsmouth NSY	Consolidation of Structural Shops, Ph 1	\$11,910,000	Shea-Porter; Pingree
Army NG	Maryland: Easton	Readiness Center Add/Alt	\$347,000	Kratovil
Army	Maryland: Fort Meade	Infrastructure—Mapes Road & Cooper Avenue	\$1,750,000	Ruppersberger; Kratovil; Sarbanes
Navy	Maryland: Patuxent River NAS	Atlantic Test Range Addition	\$10,160,000	Hoyer
Air NG	Massachusetts: Barnes ANGB	Add to Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	\$6,000,000	Oliver
Army NG	Michigan: Fort Custer (Augusta)	Troop Service Support Center	\$446,000	Schauer
Air NG	Minnesota: Duluth	Load Crew Training & Weapons Release Shops	\$8,000,000	Oberstar
Army NG	Minnesota: Mankato	Field Maintenance Shop	\$947,000	Walz
Defense-Wide	Mississippi: Stermis Space Center	SOF Land Acquisition, Ph 3	\$8,000,000	Taylor
Air Force	Missouri: Whiteman AFB	Consolidated Air Operations Facility	\$23,500,000	Stelton
Army NG	Nevada: Las Vegas	Civil Support Team Ready Building	\$8,771,000	Titus; Berkley
Air NG	New Jersey: Atlantic City JAP	Fuel Cell and Corrosion Control Hangar	\$8,500,000	Andrews; Rothman (NJ)
Army Reserve	New Jersey: Fort Dix	Automated Multipurpose Machine Gun Range	\$9,800,000	Adler (NJ); Andrews; Rothman (NJ)
Air Force	New Mexico: Holloman AFB	Parallel Taxiway, Runway 07/25	\$8,000,000	Teague

Air Force	New Mexico: Kirtland AFB	Replace Fire Station 3	\$6,800,000	Heinrich
Army	New York: Fort Drum	Alert Holding Area Facility	\$6,700,000	Owens
Air Reserve	New York: Niagara Falls ARS	C-130 Flightline Operations Facility, Ph 1	\$9,500,000	Slaughter
Army NG	New York: Ronkonkoma (MacArthur Airport)	Flightline Rehabilitation	\$2,780,000	Israel
Air NG	New York: Stewart ANGB	Aircraft Conversion Facility	\$3,750,000	Hinchey; Hall (NY)
Army NG	North Carolina: Camp Butner	Barracks (AT), Ph 1	\$1,484,000	Butterfield; Miller (NC)
Army NG	North Carolina: Morrisville	AASF 1 Fixed Wing Hangar Annex	\$8,815,000	Price (NC)
Army NG	North Carolina: Murphy	Fire Fighting Team Support Facility	\$223,000	Shuler
Air Force	North Carolina: Pope AFB	Crash/Fire/Rescue Station	\$13,500,000	Kissell; McIntyre
Air Force	North Dakota: Grand Forks AFB	Central Deployment Center	\$495,000	Pomeroy
Army NG	Ohio: Camp Sherman	Maintenance Building Add/Alt	\$3,100,000	Space
Army NG	Ohio: Ravenna Training Site	Unit Training Equipment Site Add/Alt	\$2,000,000	Ryan (OH)
Air NG	Ohio: Toledo Express Airport	Replace Security Forces Complex	\$7,300,000	Kaptur
Defense-Wide	Oklahoma: Tulsa IAP	Fuels Storage Complex	\$1,036,000	Boren
Army NG	Oregon: Salem	Armed Forces Reserve Center Add/Alt (JFHQ)	\$1,243,000	Schrader
Air NG	Pennsylvania: Fort Indiantown Gap	Multipurpose Air National Guard Training Facility	\$675,000	Holden
Army NG	Pennsylvania: Hermitage	Readiness Center	\$671,000	Dahlkemper
Army NG	Pennsylvania: Tobyhanna	Armed Forces Reserve Center	\$1,513,000	Kanjorski
Army NG	Pennsylvania: Williamsport	Field Maintenance Shop	\$1,508,000	Carney
Army NG	Rhode Island: Middletown	Readiness Center Add/Alt	\$3,646,000	Kennedy

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—Continued
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Account	State: Location	Project Title	Amount	Requester(s)
Army NG	Rhode Island: Quonset Point	Readiness Center	\$3,729,000	Langevin
Air NG	South Carolina: McEntire JRB	Replace Operations and Training Facility	\$9,100,000	Clyburn
Air NG	South Dakota: Joe Foss Field	Aircraft Maintenance Shops	\$3,600,000	Herseth Sandlin
Air Force	Tennessee: Arnold AFB	AEDC Power Distribution Modernization	\$378,000	Davis (TN)
Army	Texas: Corpus Christi Depot	Rotor Blade Processing Facility, Ph 2	\$13,400,000	Ortiz
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Alternative Energy Projects	\$1,166,000	Reyes
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss	Rail Yard Improvements	\$2,070,000	Reyes
Army	Texas: Fort Hood	Soldier Readiness Processing Center	\$1,000,000	Edwards (TX)
Navy	Texas: Kingsville NAS	Youth Center	\$2,610,000	Ortiz
Air Force	Texas: Lackland AFB	Consolidated Security Forces Ops Center, Ph 1	\$900,000	Gonzalez; Rodriguez
Air Force	Texas: Laughlin AFB	Community Event Complex	\$10,500,000	Rodriguez
Army NG	Texas: Laredo	Receiving, Staging, & Onward Integration Facility/ Hangar	\$475,000	Cuellar
Army NG	Texas: McLennan County	Operational Reserve Headquarters	\$5,000,000	Edwards (TX)
Army NG	Texas: South Texas Training Center	Cantonment and Support Infrastructure	\$5,000,000	Cuellar; Edwards (TX); Ortiz
Army	Virginia: Fort Belvoir	Growth Support Infrastructure	\$3,060,000	Moran (VA); Connolly
Air Force	Virginia: Langley AFB	Clear Zone Land Acquisition, Ph 1	\$3,000,000	Nye; Scott (VA)
Defense-Wide	Washington: Fort Lewis	SOF Military Working Dog Kennel	\$4,700,000	Smith (WA)

Navy	Washington: Kitsap NB	Charleston Gate ECP Improvements	\$6,150,000	Dicks
Army NG	West Virginia: Bridgeport	FWAATS Apron Expansion	\$2,000,000	Mollohan
Army NG	West Virginia: Bridgeport	FWAATS Expansion	\$2,000,000	Mollohan
Army NG	West Virginia: Glen Jean	Emergency Power Generator	\$1,500,000	Rahall
Army NG	Wisconsin: Wausau	Field Maintenance Shop	\$12,000,000	Obey
Army NG	Guam: Barrigada	Joint Forces HQ Readiness Center Add/Alt	\$778,000	Bordallo

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS
[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]

Account	State: Location	Project Title	Amount	Requester(s)	
				Administration	House
Major Projects	Louisiana: New Orleans	New Medical Facility	\$310,000,000	The President	
Major Projects	Colorado: Denver	New Medical Facility	\$450,700,000	The President	
Major Projects	California: Palo Alto	Polytrauma/Ambulatory Care	\$30,000,000	The President	
Major Projects	California: Alameda	Outpatient Clinic and Columbarium (Design)	\$17,332,000	The President	
Major Projects	Nebraska: Omaha	Replacement Medical Facility (Design)	\$56,000,000	The President	
Major Projects	Pennsylvania: Indianatown Gap	Gravesite Expansion and Cemetery Improvements	\$23,500,000	The President	
Major Projects	California: Los Angeles	Columbarium Expansion	\$27,600,000	The President	
Major Projects	Washington: Tahoma	Gravesite Expansion and Cemetery Improvements	\$25,800,000	The President	

AMERICAN BATTLE MONUMENTS COMMISSION
[Congressionally Directed Spending Item]

Account	State- Location	Project Title	Amount	Requester(s)
Salaries and Expenses	Philippines: Cabanatuan American Memorial	Improve and Rehabilitate Bataan Death March Memorial	\$1,000,000	Israel

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
ALABAMA		
ARMY		
FORT RUCKER		
AVIATION COMPONENT MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	29,000	29,000
AVIATION MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	36,000	36,000
TRAINING AIDS CENTER.....	4,650	4,650
NAVY		
MOBILE		
T-6 SOLO CAPABLE OUTLYING LANDING FIELD.....	29,082	29,082
AIR FORCE		
MAXWELL AFB		
ADAL AIR UNIVERSITY LIBRARY.....	13,400	13,400
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
MONTGOMERY REGIONAL AIRPORT ANGB		
FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR.....	7,472	7,472
ALASKA		
ARMY		
FORT GREELY		
FIRE STATION.....	26,000	26,000
FORT RICHARDSON		
BRIGADE COMPLEX, PH 1.....	67,038	67,038
MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE.....	12,200	12,200
SIMULATIONS CENTER.....	34,000	34,000
FORT WAINWRIGHT		
AVIATION TASK FORCE COMPLEX, PH 1 INCR 2.....	30,000	---
AVIATION TASK FORCE COMPLEX, PH 2A (HANGAR).....	142,650	142,650
AVIATION TASK FORCE COMPLEX, PH 2B (COF).....	27,000	27,000
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE.....	3,350	3,350
AIR FORCE		
EIELSON AFB		
REPAIR CENTRAL HEAT PLANT & POWER PLANT BOILERS...	28,000	28,000
ELMENDORF AFB		
ADD/ALTER ASOS TRAINING FACILITY.....	4,749	4,749
CONSTRUCT RAILHEAD OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	15,000	15,000
F-22 ADAL WEAPONS RELEASE SYSTEMS SHOP & AHE.....	10,525	10,525
ARIZONA		
NAVY		
YUMA		
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR.....	63,280	63,280
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR.....	40,600	40,600
COMMUNICATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADE.....	63,730	63,730
INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY FACILITY.....	21,480	21,480
SIMULATOR FACILITY.....	36,060	36,060
UTILITIES INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADES.....	44,320	44,320
YAN PAD COMPLEX RELOCATION.....	15,590	15,590
AIR FORCE		
DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB		
AMARG HANGAR.....	25,000	25,000
HC-130 AGE MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	4,600	4,600
HC-130J AERIAL CARGO FACILITY.....	10,700	10,700
HC-130J PARTS STORE.....	8,200	8,200
FORT HUACHUCA		
TFI-PREDATOR LRE BEDDOWN.....	11,000	11,000
DEFENSE-WIDE		
MARANA		
SOF PARACHUTE TRAINING FACILITY.....	---	6,250
YUMA		
SOF MILITARY FREE FALL SIMULATOR.....	8,977	8,977
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
FLORENCE		
READINESS CENTER.....	16,500	16,500

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE

NAVY RESERVE		
TWENTYNINE PALMS		
TANK VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	5,991	5,991
COLORADO		
ARMY		
FORT CARSON		
AUTOMATED SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE.....	3,650	3,650
BATTALION HEADQUARTERS.....	6,700	6,700
BRIGADE COMPLEX.....	56,000	56,000
SIMULATIONS CENTER.....	40,000	40,000
AIR FORCE		
BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE		
SECURITY FORCES OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	12,160	12,160
PETERSON AFB		
RAIDRS SPACE CONTROL FACILITY.....	24,800	24,800
U.S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY		
CENTER FOR CHARACTER & LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT.....	27,600	27,600
DEFENSE-WIDE		
FORT CARSON		
SOF TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE HANGAR.....	3,717	3,717
CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION.		
DEFENSE-WIDE		
PUEBLO DEPOT		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY, PH XII....	65,569	65,569
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
COLORADO SPRINGS		
READINESS CENTER.....	20,000	20,000
FORT CARSON		
REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE.....	40,000	40,000
GYPSUM		
HAATS/AASF.....	39,000	39,000
WATKINS		
PARACHUTE MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	---	3,569
WINDSOR		
READINESS CENTER.....	7,500	7,500
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
BUCKLEY AFB		
REPAIR TAXIWAYS JULIET AND LIMA.....	---	4,000
CONNECTICUT		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
WINDSOR LOCKS		
READINESS CENTER (AVIATION).....	41,000	41,000
DELAWARE		
AIR FORCE		
DOVER AFB		
C-5M/C-17 MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY, PH 2.....	3,200	3,200
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
NEW CASTLE		
ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER(JFHQ).....	27,000	27,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
NEW CASTLE COUNTY AIRPORT		
JOINT FORCES OPERATIONS CENTER-ANG SHARE.....	1,500	1,500
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
AIR FORCE		
BOLLING AFB		
JOINT AIR DEFENSE OPERATIONS CENTER.....	13,200	13,200
DEFENSE-WIDE		
BOLLING AIR FORCE BASE		
REPLACE PARKING STRUCTURE, PHASE 1.....	3,000	3,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE

FLORIDA		
ARMY		
EGLIN AFB		
CHAPEL.....	6,900	6,900
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY (HOMESTEAD ARB)		
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY.....	41,000	41,000
US ARMY GARRISON MIAMI		
COMMISSARY.....	19,000	19,000
NAVY		
BLOUNT ISLAND MCSF		
CONSOLIDATED WAREHOUSE FACILITY.....	17,260	17,260
CONTAINER STAGING AND LOADING LOT.....	5,990	5,990
CONTAINER STORAGE LOT.....	4,910	4,910
HARDSTAND EXTENSION.....	17,930	17,930
PAINT AND BLAST FACILITY.....	18,840	18,840
WASHRACK EXPANSION.....	9,690	9,690
PANAMA CITY		
LAND ACQUISITION - 9 ACRES.....	---	5,960
TAMPA		
JCSE VEHICLE PAINT FACILITY.....	2,300	2,300
AIR FORCE		
EGLIN AFB		
F-35 FUEL CELL MAINTENANCE HANGAR.....	11,400	11,400
HURLBURT FIELD		
ADAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS SCHOOL FACILITY.....	6,170	6,170
ADD TO VISITING QUARTERS (24 RM).....	4,500	4,500
BASE LOGISTICS FACILITY.....	24,000	24,000
PATRICK AFB		
AIR FORCE TECHNICAL APPLICATIONS CENTER, INCR 1...	158,009	79,009
DEFENSE-WIDE		
EGLIN AFB		
SOF GROUND SUPPORT BATTALION DETACHMENT.....	6,030	6,030
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
JACKSONVILLE IAP		
SECURITY FORCES TRAINING FACILITY.....	6,700	6,700
ARMY RESERVE		
NORTH FORT MYERS		
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND.....	13,800	13,800
ORLANDO		
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND.....	10,200	10,200
TALLAHASSEE		
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND.....	10,400	10,400
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
PATRICK AFB		
WEAPONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	3,420	3,420
GEORGIA		
ARMY		
FORT BENNING		
LAND ACQUISITION.....	12,200	12,200
MUSEUM OPERATIONS SUPPORT BUILDING.....	32,000	32,000
TRAINEE BARRACKS PH 2.....	51,000	51,000
TRAINING BATTALION COMPLEX, PH 2.....	14,600	14,600
TRAINING BATTALION COMPLEX, PH 2.....	14,600	14,600
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	53,000	53,000
FORT GORDON		
TRAINING AIDS CENTER.....	4,150	4,150
FORT STEWART		
AUTOMATED INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSE.....	6,200	6,200
AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE.....	9,100	9,100
AVIATION UNIT OPERATIONS COMPLEX.....	47,000	47,000
BATTALION COMPLEX.....	18,000	18,000
GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING.....	8,200	8,200
MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE.....	3,750	3,750
SIMULATIONS CENTER.....	26,000	26,000
TRAINING AIDS CENTER.....	7,000	7,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE

NAVY		
ALBANY MCLB		
MAINTENANCE CENTER TEST FIRING RANGE.....	---	5,180
KINGS BAY		
SECURITY ENCLAVE & VEHICLE BARRIERS.....	45,004	45,004
WATERFRONT EMERGENCY POWER.....	15,660	15,660
AIR FORCE		
ROBINS AFB		
COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS SQUADRON WAREHOUSE	---	5,500
DEFENSE-WIDE		
AUGUSTA		
NSA/CSS GEORGIA TRAINING FACILITY.....	12,855	12,855
FORT BENNING		
DEXTER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CONSTRUCT GYM.....	2,800	2,800
SOF COMPANY SUPPORT FACILITY.....	20,441	20,441
SOF MMD KENNEL COMPLEX.....	3,624	3,624
FORT STEWART		
HEALTH CLINIC ADDITION/ALTERATION.....	35,100	35,100
HUNTER ANGUS		
FUEL UNLOAD FACILITY.....	2,400	2,400
HUNTER ARMY AIRFIELD		
SOF TEMP EXPANSION.....	3,318	3,318
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CUMMING		
READINESS CENTER.....	17,000	17,000
DOBBS ARB		
READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT.....	10,400	10,400
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
SAVANNAH/HILTON HEAD IAP		
RELOCATE ASOS FACILITY.....	7,450	7,450
ARMY RESERVE		
MACON		
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND.....	11,400	11,400
HAWAII		
ARMY		
FORT SHAFTER		
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY, PH 1.....	58,000	58,000
FLOOD MITIGATION.....	23,000	23,000
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS		
BARRACKS.....	98,000	98,000
BARRACKS.....	90,000	90,000
TRAINING AIDS CENTER.....	24,000	24,000
TRIPLER ARMY MEDICAL CENTER		
BARRACKS.....	28,000	28,000
NAVY		
CAMP SMITH		
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER.....	29,960	29,960
KANEOHE BAY		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	90,530	90,530
WATERFRONT OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	19,130	19,130
PEARL HARBOR		
CENTER FOR DISASTER MANAGEMENT/HUMANITARIAN		
ASSISTANCE.....	9,140	9,140
JOINT POW/MIA ACCOUNTING COMMAND.....	99,328	99,328
DEFENSE-WIDE		
HICKAM AFB		
ALTER FUEL STORAGE TANKS.....	8,500	8,500
PEARL HARBOR		
NSM3 COMMAND AND OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	28,804	28,804
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
KALAELOA		
COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	38,000	38,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
HICKAM AFB		
TFI-F-22 BEDDOWN INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT.....	5,950	5,950

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
TFI-F-22 HANGAR, SQUADRON OPERATIONS AND AMU.....	48,250	48,250
TFI-F-22 UPGRADE MUNITIONS COMPLEX.....	17,250	17,250
IDAHO		
DEFENSE-WIDE		
MOUNTAIN HOME AFB		
REPLACE POL FUEL STORAGE TANKS.....	27,500	27,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
GOWEN FIELD		
BARRACKS (ORTC)PH1.....	17,500	17,500
MOUNTAIN HOME		
TACTICAL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM FACILITY.....	6,300	6,300
ILLINOIS		
DEFENSE-WIDE		
SCOTT AIR FORCE BASE		
FIELD COMMAND FACILITY UPGRADE.....	1,388	1,388
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
MARSEILLES TRAINING AREA		
SIMULATION CENTER.....	---	2,500
SPRINGFIELD		
COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP ADD/ALT.....	15,000	15,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
CAPITAL MAP		
TFI-CNAF BEDDOWN-UPGRADE FACILITIES.....	16,700	16,700
ARMY RESERVE		
QUINCY		
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND.....	12,200	12,200
INDIANA		
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
HULMAN REGIONAL AIRPORT		
TFI-ASOS BEDDOWN-UPGRADE FACILITIES.....	4,100	4,100
ARMY RESERVE		
MICHIGAN CITY		
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND.....	15,500	15,500
IOWA		
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
DES MOINES		
CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR.....	---	4,750
ARMY RESERVE		
DES MOINES		
ARMY RESERVE CENTER.....	8,175	8,175
KANSAS		
ARMY		
FORT LEAVENWORTH		
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	7,100	7,100
FORT RILEY		
AUTOMATED INFANTRY SQUAD BATTLE COURSE.....	4,100	4,100
AUTOMATED QUALIFICATION/TRAINING RANGE.....	14,800	14,800
BATTALION COMPLEX, PH 1.....	31,000	31,000
KNOWN DISTANCE RANGE.....	7,200	7,200
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
WICHITA		
FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	24,000	24,000
READINESS CENTER.....	43,000	43,000
KENTUCKY		
ARMY		
FORT CAMPBELL		
AUTOMATED SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE.....	1,500	1,500
BRIGADE COMPLEX.....	67,000	67,000
COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES.....	25,000	25,000
RAPPELLING TRAINING AREA.....	5,600	5,600

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
UNIT OPERATIONS FACILITIES.....	26,000	26,000
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE.....	3,300	3,300
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	15,500	15,500
FORT KNOX		
ACCESS CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENTS.....	6,000	6,000
MOUT COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY.....	12,800	12,800
DEFENSE-WIDE		
FORT CAMPBELL		
SOF BATTALION OPS COMPLEX.....	38,095	38,095
CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE		
BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION PH XI.....	59,402	59,402
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
BURLINGTON		
READINESS CENTER.....	19,500	19,500
LOUISIANA		
ARMY		
FORT POLK		
BARRACKS.....	29,000	29,000
HEAVY SNIPER RANGE.....	4,250	4,250
LAND ACQUISITION.....	6,000	6,000
LAND ACQUISITION.....	24,000	24,000
AIR FORCE		
BARKSDALE AFB		
WEAPONS LOAD CREW TRAINING FACILITY.....	18,140	18,140
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
FORT POLK		
TACTICAL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM FACILITY.....	5,500	5,500
HINDEN		
READINESS CENTER.....	28,000	28,000
NAVY RESERVE		
NEW ORLEANS		
JOINT AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL FACILITY.....	16,281	16,281
MAINE		
NAVY		
PORTSMOUTH NSY		
CONSOLIDATION OF STRUCTURAL SHOPS, PH 1.....	---	11,910
MARYLAND		
ARMY		
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND		
AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY EVALUATION FACILITY, PH 2...	14,600	14,600
FORT HEADE		
INDOOR FIRING RANGE.....	7,600	7,600
WIDEBAND SATCOM OPERATIONS CENTER.....	25,000	25,000
NAVY		
INDIAN HEAD		
AGILE CHEMICAL FACILITY, PHASE 2.....	34,238	34,238
PATUXENT RIVER		
ATLANTIC TEST RANGE ADDITION.....	---	10,160
BROAD AREA MARITIME SURVEILLANCE T & E FACILITY...	42,211	42,211
DEFENSE-WIDE		
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND		
USAMRICD REPLACEMENT, INCR 3.....	105,000	105,000
ANDREWS AFB		
REPLACE FUEL STORAGE & DISTRIBUTION FACILITY.....	14,000	14,000
BETHESDA NAVAL HOSPITAL		
NNMC PARKING EXPANSION.....	17,100	17,100
TRANSIENT WOUNDED WARRIOR LODGING.....	62,900	62,900
FORT DETRICK		
CONSOLIDATED LOGISTICS FACILITY.....	23,100	23,100
INFORMATION SERVICES FACILITY EXPANSION.....	4,300	4,300
NIBC SECURITY FENCING AND EQUIPMENT.....	2,700	2,700

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
SUPPLEMENTAL WATER STORAGE.....	3,700	3,700
USAMRIID STAGE 1, INCR 5.....	17,400	17,400
WATER TREATMENT PLANT REPAIR & SUPPLEMENT.....	11,900	11,900
FORT MEADE		
NORTH CAMPUS UTILITY PLANT.....	219,360	219,360
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
ST INIGOE (WEBSTER FIELD)		
TACTICAL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM FACILITY.....	5,500	5,500
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
MARTIN STATE AIRPORT		
REPLACE OPS AND MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY.....	11,400	11,400
MASSACHUSETTS		
DEFENSE-WIDE		
HANSCOM AFB		
MENTAL HEALTH CLINIC ADDITION.....	2,900	2,900
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
HANSCOM AFB		
ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER (JFHQ) PH2.....	23,000	23,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
BARNES ANGB		
ADD TO AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR.....	---	6,000
ARMY RESERVE		
DEVENS RESERVE FORCES TRAINING AREA		
AUTOMATED RECORD FIRE RANGE.....	4,700	4,700
MICHIGAN		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP GRAYLING RANGE		
COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY.....	19,000	19,000
MINNESOTA		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
ARDEN HILLS		
FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	29,000	29,000
CAMP RIPLEY		
INFANTRY SQUAD BATTLE COURSE.....	4,300	4,300
TACTICAL UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM FACILITY.....	4,450	4,450
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
DULUTH IAP		
LOAD CREW TRAINING & WEAPONS RELEASE SHOPS.....	---	8,000
MISSISSIPPI		
DEFENSE-WIDE		
STENNIS SPACE CENTER		
SOF LAND ACQUISITION, PH 3.....	---	8,000
MISSOURI		
ARMY		
FORT LEONARD WOOD		
BARRACKS.....	29,000	29,000
BRIGADE HEADQUARTERS.....	12,200	12,200
GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING.....	7,000	7,000
INFORMATION SYSTEMS FACILITY.....	15,500	15,500
TRAINING BARRACKS.....	19,000	19,000
TRANSIENT ADVANCED TRAINEE BARRACKS, PH 2.....	29,000	29,000
AIR FORCE		
WHITEMAN AFB		
CONSOLIDATED AIR OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	---	23,500
ARMY RESERVE		
BELTON		
ARMY RESERVE CENTER.....	11,800	11,800

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
NEBRASKA		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
LINCOLN		
READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT.....	3,300	3,300
MEAD		
READINESS CENTER.....	11,400	11,400
NEVADA		
AIR FORCE		
CREECH AFB		
UAS AIRFIELD FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION.....	11,710	11,710
NELLIS AFB		
F-35 ADAL 422 TEST EVALUATION SQUADRON FACILITY....	7,870	7,870
F-35 ADAL FLIGHT TEST INSTRUMENTATION FACILITY....	1,900	1,900
F-35 FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY.....	13,110	13,110
F-35 MAINTENANCE HANGAR/AMU.....	28,760	28,760
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
LAS VEGAS		
CIVIL SUPPORT TEAM READY BUILDING.....	---	8,771
NEW HAMPSHIRE		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
PEMBROKE		
BARRACKS FACILITY (REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE)...	15,000	15,000
CLASSROOM FACILITY (REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE)..	21,000	21,000
NEW JERSEY		
AIR FORCE		
MCGUIRE AFB		
BASE OPS/COMMAND POST FACILITY (TFI).....	8,000	8,000
DORMITORY (120 RM).....	18,440	18,440
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
ATLANTIC CITY IAP		
FUEL CELL & CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR.....	---	8,500
ARMY RESERVE		
FORT DIX		
AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE.....	---	9,800
NEW MEXICO		
ARMY		
WHITE SANDS		
BARRACKS.....	29,000	29,000
AIR FORCE		
CANNON AFB		
DORMITORY (96 RM).....	14,000	14,000
UAS SQUADRON OPS FACILITY.....	20,000	20,000
HOLLOMAN AFB		
PARALLEL TAXIWAY, RUNWAY 07/25.....	---	8,000
UAS ADD/ALT MAINTENANCE HANGAR.....	15,470	15,470
UAS MAINTENANCE HANGAR.....	22,500	22,500
KIRTLAND AFB		
AERIAL DELIVERY FACILITY ADDITION.....	3,800	3,800
ARMAMENT SHOP.....	8,460	8,460
H/MC-130 FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	14,142	14,142
REPLACE FIRE STATION 3.....	---	6,800
DEFENSE-WIDE		
CANNON AFB		
SOF ADD/ALT SIMULATOR FACILITY FOR MC-130.....	13,287	13,287
SOF AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (MC-130J).....	12,636	12,636
SOF C-130 PARKING APRON PH I.....	26,006	26,006
SOF HANGAR/AMU (MC-130J).....	24,622	24,622
SOF OPERATIONS AND TRAINING COMPLEX.....	39,874	39,874
WHITE SANDS		
HEALTH AND DENTAL CLINICS.....	22,900	22,900

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE

MCALESTER		
IGLOO STORAGE, DEPOT LEVEL.....	3,000	3,000
AIR FORCE		
TINKER AFB		
UPGRADE BUILDING 3001 INFRASTRUCTURE, PH III.....	14,000	14,000
PENNSYLVANIA		
DEFENSE-WIDE		
NEW CUMBERLAND		
REPLACE HEADQUARTERS FACILITY.....	96,000	96,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
STATE COLLEGE ANGCS		
ADD/ALT AOS FACILITY.....	4,100	4,100
RHODE ISLAND		
NAVY		
NEWPORT		
ELECTROMAGNETIC SENSOR FACILITY.....	27,007	27,007
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
EAST GREENWICH		
UNITED STATES PROPERTY & FISCAL OFFICE.....	27,000	27,000
MIDDLETOWN		
READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT.....	---	3,646
SOUTH CAROLINA		
ARMY		
FORT JACKSON		
TRAINEE BARRACKS.....	28,000	28,000
TRAINEE BARRACKS COMPLEX, PH 1.....	46,000	46,000
TRAINING AIDS CENTER.....	17,000	17,000
NAVY		
BEAUFORT		
AICUZ LAND ACQUISITION.....	21,190	21,190
AIRCRAFT HANGAR - VMFAT-502.....	46,550	46,550
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER.....	15,430	15,430
TRAINING AND SIMULATOR FACILITY.....	46,240	46,240
AIR FORCE		
CHARLESTON AFB		
CIVIL ENGINEER COMPLEX (TFI) - PHASE 1.....	15,000	15,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
MCENTIRE JRB		
REPLACE OPERATIONS & TRAINING FACILITY.....	---	9,100
SOUTH DAKOTA		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
WATERTOWN		
READINESS CENTER.....	25,000	25,000
TENNESSEE		
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
NASHVILLE IAP		
TFI-RENOVATE INTEL SQUADRON FACILITIES.....	5,500	5,500
TEXAS		
ARMY		
CORPUS CHRISTI DEPOT		
ROTOR BLADE PROCESSING FACILITY, PH 2.....	---	13,400
FORT BLISS		
AUTOMATED MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE.....	6,700	6,700
COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES.....	18,500	18,500
DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE TRAINING RANGE.....	22,000	22,000
HEAVY SNIPER RANGE.....	3,500	3,500
INDOOR SWIMMING POOL.....	15,500	15,500
LIGHT DEMOLITION RANGE.....	2,100	2,100
LIVE FIRE EXERCISE SHOOTHOUSE.....	3,150	3,150
SCOUT/RECCE GUNNERY COMPLEX.....	15,500	15,500

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
SQUAD DEFENSE RANGE.....	3,000	3,000
THAAD BATTERY COMPLEX.....	17,500	17,500
TRANSIENT TRAINING COMPLEX.....	31,000	31,000
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE.....	2,800	2,800
VEHICLE BRIDGE OVERPASS.....	8,700	8,700
FORT HOOD		
BATTALION COMPLEX.....	40,000	40,000
BRIGADE COMPLEX.....	38,000	38,000
COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES.....	4,300	4,300
CONVOY LIVE FIRE.....	3,200	3,200
LIVE FIRE EXERCISE SHOOTHOUSE.....	2,100	2,100
UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEM (UAS) HANGAR.....	55,000	55,000
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE.....	2,450	2,450
FORT SAM HOUSTON		
SIMULATIONS CENTER.....	16,000	16,000
TRAINING AIDS CENTER.....	6,200	6,200
NAVY		
KINGSVILLE NAS		
YOUTH CENTER.....	---	2,610
AIR FORCE		
DYESS AFB		
C-130J ADD/ALT FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY.....	4,080	4,080
ELLINGTON FIELD		
TFI-UPGRADE UAV MAINTENANCE HANGAR.....	7,000	7,000
LACKLAND AFB		
BMT SATELLITE CLASSROOM/DINING FACILITY NO 2.....	32,000	32,000
ONE-COMPANY FIRE STATION.....	5,500	5,500
RECRUIT DORMITORY, PHASE 3.....	67,980	67,980
RECRUIT/FAMILY INPROCESSING & INFO CENTER.....	21,800	21,800
LAUGHLIN AFB		
COMMUNITY EVENT COMPLEX.....	---	10,500
DEFENSE-WIDE		
FORT BLISS		
HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT INCR 2.....	147,100	147,100
LACKLAND AFB		
AMBULATORY CARE CENTER PHASE 2.....	162,500	162,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP MAXEY		
COMBAT PISTOL/MILITARY PISTOL QUALIFICATION C.....	2,500	2,500
CAMP SWIFT		
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE.....	2,600	2,600
ARMY RESERVE		
DENTON		
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND.....	12,600	12,600
RIO GRANDE		
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND.....	6,100	6,100
SAN MARCOS		
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND.....	8,500	8,500
UTAH		
AIR FORCE		
HILL AFB		
F-22 T-10 ENGINE TEST CELL.....	2,800	2,800
DEFENSE-WIDE		
CAMP WILLIAMS		
CNCI DATA CENTER INCREMENT 2.....	398,358	398,358
VIRGINIA		
ARMY		
FORT A. P. HILL		
1200 METER RANGE.....	14,500	14,500
INDOOR FIRING RANGE.....	6,200	6,200
KNOWN DISTANCE RANGE.....	3,800	3,800
LIGHT DEMOLITION RANGE.....	4,100	4,100
MOUT COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY.....	65,000	65,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE

ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
TACOMA		
COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	25,000	25,000
NAVY RESERVE		
YAKIMA		
MARINE CORPS RESERVE CENTER.....	13,844	13,844
WEST VIRGINIA		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
MOOREFIELD		
READINESS CENTER.....	14,200	14,200
MORGANTOWN		
READINESS CENTER.....	21,000	21,000
WISCONSIN		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
MADISON		
AIRCRAFT PARKING.....	5,700	5,700
WAUSAU		
FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	---	12,008
ARMY RESERVE		
FORT MCCOY		
AT/MOB BILLETING COMPLEX PH I.....	9,800	9,800
NCD ACADEMY PH II.....	10,000	10,000
WYOMING		
AIR FORCE		
CAMP GUERNSEY		
NUCLEAR/SPACE SECURITY TACTICS TRAINING CENTER....	4,650	4,650
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
LARAMIE		
FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	14,400	14,400
AFGHANISTAN		
ARMY		
BAGRAM AIR BASE		
ARMY AVIATION HQ FACILITIES.....	19,000	19,000
BARRACKS.....	18,000	18,000
CONSOLIDATED COMMUNITY SUPPORT AREA.....	14,800	14,800
EASTSIDE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION.....	10,400	10,400
EASTSIDE UTILITIES INFRASTRUCTURE.....	29,000	29,000
ENTRY CONTROL POINT.....	7,500	7,500
JOINT DEFENSE OPERATIONS CENTER.....	2,800	2,800
AIR FORCE		
BAGRAM AIR BASE		
CONSOLIDATED RIGGING FACILITY.....	9,900	9,900
FIGHTER HANGAR.....	16,480	16,480
MEDEVAC RAMP EXPANSION/FIRE STATION.....	16,580	16,580
BAHRAIN ISLAND		
NAVY		
BAHRAIN NSA		
NAVCENT AMMUNITION MAGAZINES.....	89,280	89,280
OPERATIONS AND SUPPORT FACILITIES.....	60,002	60,002
WATERFRONT DEVELOPMENT, PHASE 3.....	63,871	63,871
AIR FORCE		
SHAIKH ISA AB		
NORTH APRON EXPANSION.....	45,000	45,000
BELGIUM		
DEFENSE-WIDE		
BRUSSELS		
NATO HEADQUARTERS FACILITY.....	31,863	31,863
REPLACE SHAPE MIDDLE SCHOOL/HIGH SCHOOL.....	67,311	67,311

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE

DJIBOUTI		
NAVY		
CAMP LEHONNIER		
CAMP LEHONNIER HQ FACILITY.....	12,407	12,407
GENERAL WAREHOUSE.....	7,324	7,324
HORN OF AFRICA JOINT OPERATIONS CENTER.....	28,076	28,076
PAVE EXTERNAL ROADS.....	3,824	3,824
GERMANY		
ARMY		
ANSBACH		
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER.....	13,800	13,800
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	18,000	18,000
GRAFENWOEHR		
BARRACKS.....	17,500	17,500
BARRACKS.....	19,000	19,000
BARRACKS.....	20,000	20,000
BARRACKS.....	19,000	19,000
RHINE ORDNANCE BARRACKS		
BARRACKS COMPLEX.....	35,000	35,000
SEMBACH AB		
CONFINEMENT FACILITY.....	9,100	9,100
WIESBADEN AB		
COMMAND AND BATTLE CENTER, INCR 2.....	59,500	59,500
CONSTRUCT NEW ACP.....	5,100	5,100
INFORMATION PROCESSING CENTER.....	30,400	30,400
SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFORMATION FACILITY, INCR 1.....	91,000	46,000
AIR FORCE		
KAPAUN		
DORMITORY (128 RM).....	19,800	19,800
RAMSTEIN AB		
CONSTRUCT C-130J FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY.....	8,800	8,800
DEICING FLUID STORAGE & DISPENSING FACILITY.....	2,754	2,754
UAS SATCOM RELAY PADS & FACILITY.....	10,800	10,800
VILSECK		
AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS SQUADRON (ASOS) COMPLEX....	12,900	12,900
DEFENSE-WIDE		
KATTERBACH		
HEALTH/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT.....	37,100	37,100
PANZER KASERNE		
REPLACE BOEBLINGEN HIGH SCHOOL.....	48,968	48,968
VILSECK		
HEALTH CLINIC ADD/ALT.....	34,800	34,800
GUAM		
NAVY		
GUAM		
AAFB NORTH RAMP PARKING (PHASE 1, INCR 2).....	93,588	---
AAFB NORTH RAMP UTILITIES (PHASE 1, INCR 2).....	79,350	---
APRA HARBOR WHARVES IMP. (PHASE 1, INCR 2).....	40,000	40,000
DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD IMPROVEMENTS.....	66,730	66,730
FINEGAYAN SITE PREP AND UTILITIES.....	147,210	47,210
AIR FORCE		
ANDERSEN AFB		
GUAM STRIKE OPS GROUP & TANKER TASK FORCE		
RENOVATION.....	9,100	9,100
GUAM STRIKE SOUTH RAMP UTILITIES, PHASE 1.....	12,200	12,200
PRTC - COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS OPERATIONS FACILITY..	9,200	9,200
PRTC - RED HORSE HEADQUARTERS/ENGINEERING FACILITY	8,000	8,000
PRTC- COMMANDO WARRIOR OPEN BAY STUDENT BARRACKS..	11,800	11,800
DEFENSE-WIDE		
AGANA NAVAL AIR STATION		
HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT, INCR 2.....	70,000	70,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE

DEFENSE-WIDE		
MENWITH HILL STATION		
MMS PSC CONSTRUCTION - GENERATORS 10 & 11.....	2,000	2,000
RAF MILDENHALL		
REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM.....	15,900	15,900
RAF ALCONBURY		
ALCONBURY ES REPLACEMENT.....	30,308	30,308
VIRGIN ISLANDS		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
ST. CROIX		
READINESS CENTER(JFHQ).....	25,000	25,000
NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM.....	258,884	258,884
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED		
ARMY		
HOST NATION SUPPORT.....	28,000	28,000
MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	23,000	26,450
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	221,636	231,456
TRAINING BARRACKS INITIATIVE.....	---	190,000
GENERAL REDUCTION.....	---	-150,656
NAVY		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	120,050	123,750
MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	20,877	20,877
GENERAL REDUCTION.....	---	-83,310
AIR FORCE		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	66,336	73,536
MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	18,000	21,000
F-35 ACADEMIC TRAINING CENTER.....	54,150	54,150
F-35 SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	10,260	10,260
F-35 FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY.....	12,190	12,190
GENERAL REDUCTION.....	---	-38,500
DEFENSE-WIDE		
CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION.....	10,000	10,000
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM.....	120,000	130,000
GENERAL REDUCTION - INCREMENTED PROJECTS.....	---	-150,000
PLANNING AND DESIGN		
DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE.....	1,988	1,988
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENT EDUCATION.....	79,763	79,763
NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY.....	28,239	28,239
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.....	30,836	32,400
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY.....	230,300	230,300
WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICE.....	6,270	6,270
DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES.....	54,221	55,257
SUBTOTAL, PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	431,617	434,217
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION		
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY.....	5,258	5,258
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENT EDUCATION.....	13,841	13,841
JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF.....	8,210	8,210
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.....	7,663	7,663
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY.....	4,884	4,884
DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES.....	3,000	3,000
SUBTOTAL, UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	42,856	42,856
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	25,663	57,182
MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	11,400	21,256
GUARD & RESERVE INITIATIVE.....	---	60,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE

AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	9,214	21,214
MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	8,000	10,000
GUARD & RESERVE INITIATIVE.....	---	50,000
ARMY RESERVE		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	25,900	26,250
MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	3,000	3,000
GUARD & RESERVE INITIATIVE.....	---	30,000
NAVY RESERVE		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	1,857	1,857
MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	2,238	2,238
GUARD & RESERVE INITIATIVE (NAVY RESERVE).....	---	15,000
GUARD & RESERVE INITIATIVE (MARINE CORPS RESERVE)...	---	15,000
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	1,653	2,503
MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	2,759	2,759
GUARD & RESERVE INITIATIVE.....	---	30,000
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY		
ALASKA		
FORT WAINWRIGHT		
FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENT (110 UNITS).....	21,000	21,000
GERMANY		
BAUMHOLDER		
FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENT (64 UNITS).....	34,329	34,329
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS.....	35,000	35,000
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	2,040	2,040
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION.....	92,389	92,389

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
UTILITIES ACCOUNT.....	69,655	69,655
SERVICES ACCOUNT.....	15,372	15,372
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT.....	49,222	49,222
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT.....	1,201	1,201
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT.....	31,548	31,548
LEASING.....	203,184	203,184
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY.....	120,899	120,899
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS.....	27,059	27,059
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	518,140	518,140

FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS		
GUANTANAMO		
NAVAL STATION GUANTANAMO		
REPLACE GTHO HOUSING (71 UNITS).....	37,169	37,169
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS.....	146,020	146,020
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	3,255	3,255
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION.....	186,444	186,444

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
UTILITIES ACCOUNT.....	59,919	59,919
SERVICES ACCOUNT.....	16,790	16,790
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT.....	63,551	63,551
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT.....	464	464
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT.....	14,478	14,478
LEASING.....	97,484	97,484

**MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)**

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>		
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY.....	87,134	87,134
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS.....	26,526	26,526
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	366,346	366,346
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE		
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS.....	73,800	73,800
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	4,225	4,225
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION.....	78,025	78,025
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
UTILITIES ACCOUNT.....	89,245	89,245
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT.....	54,633	54,633
SERVICES ACCOUNT.....	21,535	21,535
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT.....	35,399	35,399
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT.....	1,710	1,710
LEASING.....	95,671	95,671
MAINTENANCE.....	161,696	161,696
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS.....	53,903	53,903
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	513,792	513,792
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE		
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY		
UTILITIES.....	10	10
FURNISHINGS.....	50	50
LEASING.....	10,293	10,293
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY.....	70	70
DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY		
FURNISHINGS.....	4,501	4,501
LEASING.....	34,124	34,124
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY		
UTILITIES.....	297	297
FURNISHINGS.....	18	18
SERVICES.....	29	29
MANAGEMENT.....	365	365
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY.....	707	707
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	50,464	50,464
DOD FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND.....	1,096	1,096
HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.....	16,515	16,515
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE		
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, 1990.....	360,474	460,474
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, 2005.....	2,354,285	2,354,285

OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE

AFGHANISTAN		
ARMY		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS		
AIR POLLUTION ABATEMENT.....	16,000	16,000
COMMUNITY FACILITIES.....	21,450	21,450
HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL FACILITIES.....	50,800	50,800
OPERATIONAL FACILITIES.....	69,600	69,600
SUPPLY FACILITIES.....	30,700	30,700
SUPPORTING ACTIVITIES.....	199,800	199,800
TROOP HOUSING FACILITIES.....	283,000	283,000
UTILITY FACILITIES.....	90,600	90,600
AIR FORCE		
VARIOUS LOCATIONS		
MAINTENANCE AND PRODUCTION FACILITIES.....	7,400	7,400
OPERATIONAL FACILITIES.....	203,000	203,000
SUPPLY FACILITIES.....	7,100	7,100
WORLDWIDE CLASSIFIED		
DEFENSE-WIDE		
CLASSIFIED PROJECT.....	41,900	41,900
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED		
ARMY		
MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	78,330	78,330
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	89,716	79,716
TRANSFER TO DOD INSPECTOR GENERAL.....	---	10,000
AIR FORCE		
MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	49,584	49,584
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	13,422	13,420
DEFENSE-WIDE		
PLANNING AND DESIGN (NSA).....	4,600	4,600

ADDITIONAL VIEWS OF REPRESENTATIVES LEWIS, WAMP,
YOUNG, CRENSHAW, AND CARTER

This year for the first time since the enactment of the 1974 Congressional Budget Act, the Democrat controlled U.S. House of Representatives failed to consider and pass a budget. According to the nonpartisan Congressional Research Service, the House has passed a budget every year since the Congressional Budget Act first took effect for fiscal year 1976. This represents a historic and unprecedented failure by the Democrat controlled Congress to meet a basic responsibility on fiscal issues: to annually adopt a spending plan that sets priorities for spending, revenues, deficits and debt for at least the next five years.

Instead, the Democrat Majority resorted to a tortuous procedural sleight-of-hand to evade a direct vote in order to “deem” the adoption of a one-year resolution that provided the Appropriations Committee a topline discretionary amount for FY 2011 while failing to provide a designation for overseas military contingencies despite promising for years that they would never fund the war in Iraq, Afghanistan and elsewhere as an emergency or outside the regular budget process. This unwillingness to pass a real budget was only necessary because of the explosion of debt and deficits unleashed by the reckless spending of Congressional Democrats and the President.

In contrast, the Republican Members of the Appropriations Committee recognize the Nation can’t afford another trillion dollar Democrat spending spree or the massive borrowing that will be needed to finance it, meaning even more taxes in the future on American families, small businesses and entrepreneurs. CBO’s recent report on the Long-Term Budget Outlook shows that under our current budget path, U.S. debt will soon reach unsustainable levels. Additionally, Appropriations Republicans proposed an alternative FY 2011 spending allocation that would have provided \$1.090 trillion in regular discretionary spending, the same as last year’s level, and put the brakes on the runaway growth in discretionary spending.

This responsible spending plan proposed by Committee Republicans would have saved the taxpayer \$31 billion this year alone compared to the suballocations adopted by the majority and at least \$39 billion, if not more, compared to the President’s Budget request. And by ensuring the Democrat spending increase was not permanently added to future budgets, the Republican plan saved \$341 billion in budget authority over the next decade compared to the House Democrat allocation. The Republican plan included the funding levels that the President requested in his fiscal year 2011 budget for the agencies and programs funded in this Military Construction, Veterans Affairs and Related Agencies bill.

The fiscal year 2011 appropriations bill for Military Construction, Veterans' Affairs and Related Agencies provides \$18.7 billion for military construction, family housing and quality of life projects for those serving in our all-volunteer military. For those that have served our nation in the military, the bill provides nearly \$121 billion for mandatory and discretionary programs within the Department of Veterans Affairs. The bill also provides \$286 million for the monuments and cemeteries that honor our fallen heroes, and \$1.3 billion for the war on terror.

The Military Construction portion of this bill includes \$18.7 billion in new budget authority for fiscal year 2011. These funds will allow the military to construct projects that are a high priority for the services, the commanders in the field, and to the families that equally share in the burden of service to our country. To that end, we want to recognize the continuation of the guard/reserve and troop trainee barracks initiatives that are funded in the bill. The \$390 million to fund these critical unmet needs are a prime example of this subcommittee ensuring that we are working together to meet unbudgeted, yet high priority, requirements for all of our troops.

We support the decision to promote the more efficient and expeditious use of funding for the war on terror by providing these funds to construction categories rather than to specific projects. This approach should allow commanders in the field some flexibility to address facility requirements without the notification requirements that can take several weeks to clear through the bureaucracy. We look forward to working with the majority to ensure that this approach is adopted by the conference committee.

Funding for the Department of Veterans Affairs in the bill is \$121 billion, of which \$64 billion is mandatory. The bill includes \$50.6 billion in advance appropriations for VA medical care for fiscal year 2012. This is in addition to the \$48.6 billion in advance appropriations for VA medical care that the fiscal year 2010 bill made available ensuring that regardless of where we stand on October 1, 2010 with the appropriations process, our veterans should have full access to their medical care. While on the subject of advance appropriations, we are concerned that the timeframes for OMB's apportionment process and VA's allocation process are hard to predict, and as a result advance appropriations may not get apportioned/allocated in a timely manner. We remain committed to the language in the fiscal year 2010 conference report and we expect the advance appropriations funds to be made available to the Veterans Integrated Service Networks on October 1, 2010.

The Chairman's subcommittee mark recommended a \$50 million cut to the VA Information Technology (IT) account. While the cut is minuscule in contrast to the \$1.7 billion in unobligated balances that the VA is projecting at the end of fiscal year 2010 and fiscal year 2011 in this account, the fact that it represents a cut is commendable. The Committee has used these funds to increase VA's Minor Construction account by \$40 million, the National Cemetery Administration by \$8.5 million, and Arlington National Cemetery by \$1.5 million. There were three amendments that were offered at the Subcommittee that cut an additional \$35 million from this account.

VA's IT account has consistently received negative reviews from the General Accounting Office and VA's Inspector General. The VA has taken steps to address IT management, and we believe they have made some improvements; however, \$1.7 billion in unobligated balances projected for two successive fiscal years illustrate that the VA has a ways to go before these issues are resolved.

The Office of Inspector General provides invaluable audit and inspection oversight to the Committee, the Congress and the Secretary. Unfortunately, this is the only account in VA that did not receive an increase even though the fiscal year 2011 budget request increased overall by more than 10 percent over fiscal year 2010. The IG's budget request of \$109 million would only have allowed it to maintain current services.

The IG testified in February, and indicated additional funds above the request would allow the IG to focus on the quality of outsourced care which has grown to \$3.8 billion; increase proactive investigations to expose fiduciary and procurement fraud; expose VHA employee drug diversion; increase use of computer forensics; allow for timely investigations of alleged misconduct by senior officials; and allow the expansion of audit coverage of IT systems development, acquisition, and implementation.

This is the one account that represents effective stewardship of taxpayer dollars, and, as such, Ranking Member Wamp offered an amendment at Subcommittee to provide an additional \$6 million for the VA Inspector General. The Subcommittee overwhelmingly adopted the amendment.

The budget submitted by the President proposed to cut 306 claims processors from the Education program. At the same time, the VA is projecting a 30 percent increase in workload for GI Bill benefit claims between fiscal year 2009 and fiscal year 2011. The justification to reduce claims processors is based on the assumption that productivity from more experienced staff and automated processing of new GI bill claims would allow this staff reduction. The OIG has noted that it takes about a year to become proficient in processing claims, but the Veterans Benefit Administration (VBA) was having claims processors process claims after only 2 months of training. This led to errors and time wasted.

A November 2009 OIG report said that VBA paid \$4.3 million in overtime to process education claims, with the most overtime being in September and October. The IG recommended that VBA come up with a plan to reduce its overtime or risk "employee burn out and diminishing returns". Reducing claims processors at this time will only add to this problem.

The first phase of long term IT solution was supposed to begin in spring 2010, but the VA has not shown any evidence that the solution is going to be in place to have an impact for the fall 2010 application surge. The IG is currently engaged in an audit into the emergency payments that were being made last fall/spring in which some VA offices were hand-writing benefit checks. Mr. Crenshaw offered an amendment that restored this critical staffing in the Education Program, and the amendment was adopted by the Subcommittee on a bipartisan vote.

The majority included provisions in the bill and report that direct the VA to be more transparent with their budget documents and

other reporting requirements. We commend the majority for including these provisions.

There is an alarming rate of growth in Departmental Administration accounts at the VA. Since fiscal year 2009, Departmental Administration has grown overall by 38 percent, from \$336 million in fiscal year 2009 to \$463 million in fiscal year 2011, an increase of \$127 million. A look inside some of the individual offices reveals some of the astonishing growth:

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS—DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION*
[Dollars in thousands]

	FY 2009	FY 2011	Change 2009 v. 2011
Office of Public and Intergovernmental Affairs	\$10,005	\$23,705	+\$13,700 137%
Office of Policy and Planning	\$14,602	\$28,783	+\$14,181 97%
Office of Operations	\$12,025	\$22,091	+\$10,066 84%
Office of Acquisitions, Logistics, & Construction	\$45,243	\$79,582	+\$34,339 76%
Office of Congressional and Legislative Affairs	\$4,379	\$7,247	+\$2,868 65%
Office of the Secretary	\$7,146	\$10,808	+\$3,662 51%
Office of Management	\$37,546	\$48,557	+\$11,101 29%
Office of Human Resources	\$61,901	\$76,177	+\$14,276 23%
Office of the General Counsel	\$74,343	\$91,049	+\$16,706 22%

*Accounts showing 20 percent or more increase since FY 2009.

While it is important that Departmental Administration accounts have adequate funding to provide necessary services, we believe that some of these funds would be put to better use by hiring more claims processors or even reducing the deficit.

The bill provides \$286 million for the Related Agencies. The Committee’s recommendation of \$39.6 million for Arlington National Cemetery (ANC) is \$1.5 million above the request. The additional funding is to pay for resource requirements in response to recent Army Office of Inspector General findings at the cemetery. The new Executive Director at Arlington reported on July 1 that it would take at least 45 to 60 days before she has a handle on what additional requirements she may need.

The Committee also included language directing the ANC to submit a report on implementation of the Total Cemetery Management System (TCMS). Mr. Young of Florida offered an amendment that was adopted by the Subcommittee that includes reporting requirements on benchmarks/deliverables of the TCMS.

The Committee included \$64.667 million for the American Battle Monuments Commission (ABMC), an increase of \$467,000 above the budget request to pay for an enhanced web-based virtual tour system for the ABMC. The Committee has not received any justification for this increase. The Commission Secretary did testify that the ABMC would like to begin working on this enhancement; however, this increase is without any justification whatsoever. Mr.

Lewis offered an amendment at Subcommittee that requires a spending plan be submitted to the Committee before these funds can be obligated. The amendment received the full support of the Subcommittee.

In conclusion, we commend the majority for doing an excellent job of producing a bill that shows unwavering support for our men and women in uniform, for those who have served our country in the military, and for the monuments and cemeteries that honor our fallen heroes. This bill deserves the support of all Members of the House.

