

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND  
RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2010

---

OCTOBER 28, 2009.—Ordered to be printed

---

Mr. DICKS, from the committee of conference,  
submitted the following

CONFERENCE REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 2996]

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2996), making appropriations for the Department of the Interior, environment, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and for other purposes, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows;

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate and agree to the same with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted by the Senate amendment, insert the following:

REFERENCES

*SECTION 1. Except as expressly provided otherwise, any reference to “this Act” contained in any division of this Act shall be treated as referring only to the provisions of that division.*

*DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2010*

*The following sums are appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the Department of the Interior, environment, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and for other purposes, namely:*

## TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

## MANAGEMENT OF LANDS AND RESOURCES

## (INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

*For necessary expenses for protection, use, improvement, development, disposal, cadastral surveying, classification, acquisition of easements and other interests in lands, and performance of other functions, including maintenance of facilities, as authorized by law, in the management of lands and their resources under the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Land Management, including the general administration of the Bureau, and assessment of mineral potential of public lands pursuant to Public Law 96-487 (16 U.S.C. 3150(a)), \$959,571,000, to remain available until expended; of which \$3,000,000 shall be available in fiscal year 2010 subject to a match by at least an equal amount by the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation for cost-shared projects supporting conservation of Bureau lands; and such funds shall be advanced to the Foundation as a lump sum grant without regard to when expenses are incurred.*

*In addition, \$45,500,000 is for the processing of applications for permit to drill and related use authorizations, to remain available until expended, to be reduced by amounts collected by the Bureau and credited to this appropriation that shall be derived from \$6,500 per new application for permit to drill that the Bureau shall collect upon submission of each new application, and in addition, \$36,696,000 is for Mining Law Administration program operations, including the cost of administering the mining claim fee program; to remain available until expended, to be reduced by amounts collected by the Bureau and credited to this appropriation from mining claim maintenance fees and location fees that are hereby authorized for fiscal year 2010 so as to result in a final appropriation estimated at not more than \$959,571,000, and \$2,000,000, to remain available until expended, from communication site rental fees established by the Bureau for the cost of administering communication site activities: Provided, That notwithstanding section 430 of division E of Public Law 111-8, the amount of \$1,000,000 made available to the Bureau of Land Management for the shipment and storage of oil shale core samples in the State of Colorado, as described in the table entitled "Congressionally Designated Spending" contained in the joint explanatory statement, is rescinded.*

## CONSTRUCTION

*For construction of buildings, recreation facilities, roads, trails, and appurtenant facilities, \$8,626,000, to remain available until expended.*

## LAND ACQUISITION

*For expenses necessary to carry out sections 205, 206, and 318(d) of Public Law 94-579, including administrative expenses and acquisition of lands or waters, or interests therein, \$29,650,000, to be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund and to remain available until expended: Provided, That, notwithstanding*

*the joint explanatory statement of the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives accompanying Public Law 111-8 (123 Stat. 524), the amount of \$2,000,000 made available for the Henry's Lake ACEC in the State of Idaho (as described in the table entitled "Congressionally Designated Spending" contained in section 430 of that joint explanatory statement) shall be made available for the Upper Snake/South Fork River ACEC/SRMA in the State of Idaho.*

#### OREGON AND CALIFORNIA GRANT LANDS

*For expenses necessary for management, protection, and development of resources and for construction, operation, and maintenance of access roads, reforestation, and other improvements on the revested Oregon and California Railroad grant lands, on other Federal lands in the Oregon and California land-grant counties of Oregon, and on adjacent rights-of-way; and acquisition of lands or interests therein, including existing connecting roads on or adjacent to such grant lands; \$111,557,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That 25 percent of the aggregate of all receipts during the current fiscal year from the revested Oregon and California Railroad grant lands is hereby made a charge against the Oregon and California land-grant fund and shall be transferred to the General Fund in the Treasury in accordance with the second paragraph of subsection (b) of title II of the Act of August 28, 1937 (50 Stat. 876).*

#### FOREST ECOSYSTEM HEALTH AND RECOVERY FUND

##### (REVOLVING FUND, SPECIAL ACCOUNT)

*In addition to the purposes authorized in Public Law 102-381, funds made available in the Forest Ecosystem Health and Recovery Fund can be used through fiscal year 2015 for the purpose of planning, preparing, implementing and monitoring salvage timber sales and forest ecosystem health and recovery activities, such as release from competing vegetation and density control treatments. The Federal share of receipts (defined as the portion of salvage timber receipts not paid to the counties under 43 U.S.C. 1181f and 43 U.S.C. 1181f-1 et seq., and Public Law 106-393) derived from treatments funded by this account shall be deposited through fiscal year 2015 into the Forest Ecosystem Health and Recovery Fund.*

#### RANGE IMPROVEMENTS

*For rehabilitation, protection, and acquisition of lands and interests therein, and improvement of Federal rangelands pursuant to section 401 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701), notwithstanding any other Act, sums equal to 50 percent of all moneys received during the prior fiscal year under sections 3 and 15 of the Taylor Grazing Act (43 U.S.C. 315 et seq.) and the amount designated for range improvements from grazing fees and mineral leasing receipts from Bankhead-Jones lands transferred to the Department of the Interior pursuant to law, but not less than \$10,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That not to exceed \$600,000 shall be available for administrative expenses.*

#### SERVICE CHARGES, DEPOSITS, AND FORFEITURES

*For administrative expenses and other costs related to processing application documents and other authorizations for use and disposal of public lands and resources, for costs of providing copies of official public land documents, for monitoring construction, operation, and termination of facilities in conjunction with use authorizations, and for rehabilitation of damaged property, such amounts as may be collected under Public Law 94-579, as amended, and Public Law 93-153, to remain available until expended: Provided, That, notwithstanding any provision to the contrary of section 305(a) of Public Law 94-579 (43 U.S.C. 1735(a)), any moneys that have been or will be received pursuant to that section, whether as a result of forfeiture, compromise, or settlement, if not appropriate for refund pursuant to section 305(c) of that Act (43 U.S.C. 1735(c)), shall be available and may be expended under the authority of this Act by the Secretary to improve, protect, or rehabilitate any public lands administered through the Bureau of Land Management which have been damaged by the action of a resource developer, purchaser, permittee, or any unauthorized person, without regard to whether all moneys collected from each such action are used on the exact lands damaged which led to the action: Provided further, That any such moneys that are in excess of amounts needed to repair damage to the exact land for which funds were collected may be used to repair other damaged public lands.*

#### MISCELLANEOUS TRUST FUNDS

*In addition to amounts authorized to be expended under existing laws, there is hereby appropriated such amounts as may be contributed under section 307 of the Act of October 21, 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701), and such amounts as may be advanced for administrative costs, surveys, appraisals, and costs of making conveyances of omitted lands under section 211(b) of that Act, to remain available until expended.*

#### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

*The Bureau of Land Management may carry out the operations funded under this Act by direct expenditure, contracts, grants, cooperative agreements and reimbursable agreements with public and private entities. Projects funded pursuant to a written commitment by a State government to provide an identified amount of money in support of the project may be carried out by the bureau upon receipt of the written commitment. Appropriations for the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) shall be available for purchase, erection, and dismantlement of temporary structures, and alteration and maintenance of necessary buildings and appurtenant facilities to which the United States has title; up to \$100,000 for payments, at the discretion of the Secretary, for information or evidence concerning violations of laws administered by the Bureau; miscellaneous and emergency expenses of enforcement activities authorized or approved by the Secretary and to be accounted for solely on the Secretary's certificate, not to exceed \$10,000: Provided, That notwithstanding 44 U.S.C. 501, the Bureau may, under cooperative cost-sharing and partnership arrangements authorized by law, procure printing services from cooperators in connection with jointly produced publica-*

tions for which the cooperators share the cost of printing either in cash or in services, and the Bureau determines the cooperator is capable of meeting accepted quality standards: Provided further, That projects to be funded pursuant to a written commitment by a State government to provide an identified amount of money in support of the project may be carried out by the Bureau on a reimbursable basis. Appropriations herein made shall not be available for the destruction of healthy, unadopted, wild horses and burros in the care of the Bureau of Land Management or its contractors or for the sale of wild horses and burros that results in their destruction for processing into commercial products: Provided further, That title I of division E of the Omnibus Appropriations Act, 2009, is further amended, under the heading "Department of the Interior—Bureau of Land Management—Administrative Provisions" in the second paragraph: (1) by striking the phrase "mining law administration" and inserting "from mining claim holders the mining claim maintenance fees and location"; and (2) by striking "those": Provided further, That section 28f(a) of title 30, United States Code, is amended by striking the phrase "for years 2004 through 2008," and replacing it with "; to the extent provided in advance in Appropriations Acts,". Section 28g of title 30, United States Code, is amended by striking the phrase "and before September 30, 2008," and replacing it with "; to the extent provided in advance in Appropriations Acts,". Section 28i of title 30, United States Code, is amended by striking "28k" and replacing it with "28l".

#### UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

##### RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

For necessary expenses of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, as authorized by law, and for scientific and economic studies, general administration, and for the performance of other authorized functions related to such resources, \$1,269,406,000, to remain available until September 30, 2011 except as otherwise provided herein: Provided, That \$2,500,000 is for high priority projects, which shall be carried out by the Youth Conservation Corps: Provided further, That not to exceed \$22,103,000 shall be used for implementing subsections (a), (b), (c), and (e) of section 4 of the Endangered Species Act, as amended, (except for processing petitions, developing and issuing proposed and final regulations, and taking any other steps to implement actions described in subsection (c)(2)(A), (c)(2)(B)(i), or (c)(2)(B)(ii)), of which not to exceed \$11,632,000 shall be used for any activity regarding the designation of critical habitat, pursuant to subsection (a)(3), excluding litigation support, for species listed pursuant to subsection (a)(1) prior to October 1, 2009: Provided further, That of the amount available for law enforcement, up to \$400,000, to remain available until expended, may at the discretion of the Secretary be used for payment for information, rewards, or evidence concerning violations of laws administered by the Service, and miscellaneous and emergency expenses of enforcement activity, authorized or approved by the Secretary and to be accounted for solely on the Secretary's certificate: Provided further, That of the amount provided for environmental contaminants, up to \$1,000,000 may remain available until expended for contaminant sample analyses.

## CONSTRUCTION

*For construction, improvement, acquisition, or removal of buildings and other facilities required in the conservation, management, investigation, protection, and utilization of fishery and wildlife resources, and the acquisition of lands and interests therein; \$37,439,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That funds provided under this heading in Public Law 111–8, division E for Kealia Pond National Wildlife Refuge, Nisqually National Wildlife Refuge, Patuxent Research Refuge, Tennessee National Wildlife Refuge, and Mammoth Springs National Fish Hatchery may be reallocated to acquire migratory bird survey aircraft and for construction at Neosho National Fish Hatchery.*

## LAND ACQUISITION

*For expenses necessary to carry out the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965, as amended (16 U.S.C. 460l–4 through 11), including administrative expenses, and for acquisition of land or waters, or interest therein, in accordance with statutory authority applicable to the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, \$86,340,000, to be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund and to remain available until expended, of which, notwithstanding 16 U.S.C. 460l–9, not more than \$4,000,000 shall be for land conservation partnerships authorized by the Highlands Conservation Act of 2004, including not to exceed \$120,000 for administrative expenses: Provided, That none of the funds appropriated for specific land acquisition projects may be used to pay for any administrative overhead, planning or other management costs.*

## COOPERATIVE ENDANGERED SPECIES CONSERVATION FUND

*For expenses necessary to carry out section 6 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), as amended, \$85,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$29,000,000 is to be derived from the Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund, of which \$5,145,706 shall be for the Idaho Salmon and Clearwater River Basins Habitat Account pursuant to the Snake River Water Rights Act of 2004; and of which \$56,000,000 is to be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund.*

## NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE FUND

*For expenses necessary to implement the Act of October 17, 1978 (16 U.S.C. 715s), \$14,500,000.*

## NORTH AMERICAN WETLANDS CONSERVATION FUND

*For expenses necessary to carry out the provisions of the North American Wetlands Conservation Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 4401–4414), \$47,647,000, to remain available until expended.*

## NEOTROPICAL MIGRATORY BIRD CONSERVATION

*For expenses necessary to carry out the Neotropical Migratory Bird Conservation Act, as amended, (16 U.S.C. 6101 et seq.), \$5,000,000, to remain available until expended.*

## MULTINATIONAL SPECIES CONSERVATION FUND

*For expenses necessary to carry out the African Elephant Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 4201–4203, 4211–4214, 4221–4225, 4241–4246, and 1538), the Asian Elephant Conservation Act of 1997 (16 U.S.C. 4261–4266), the Rhinoceros and Tiger Conservation Act of 1994 (16 U.S.C. 5301–5306), the Great Ape Conservation Act of 2000 (16 U.S.C. 6301–6305), and the Marine Turtle Conservation Act of 2004 (16 U.S.C. 6601–6606), \$11,500,000, to remain available until expended.*

## STATE AND TRIBAL WILDLIFE GRANTS

*For wildlife conservation grants to States and to the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, the United States Virgin Islands, the Northern Mariana Islands, American Samoa, and Indian tribes under the provisions of the Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956 and the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, for the development and implementation of programs for the benefit of wildlife and their habitat, including species that are not hunted or fished, \$90,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the amount provided herein, \$7,000,000 is for a competitive grant program for Indian tribes not subject to the remaining provisions of this appropriation: Provided further, That \$5,000,000 is for a competitive grant program for States, territories, and other jurisdictions with approved plans, not subject to the remaining provisions of this appropriation: Provided further, That the Secretary shall, after deducting \$12,000,000 and administrative expenses, apportion the amount provided herein in the following manner: (1) to the District of Columbia and to the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, each a sum equal to not more than one-half of 1 percent thereof; and (2) to Guam, American Samoa, the United States Virgin Islands, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, each a sum equal to not more than one-fourth of 1 percent thereof: Provided further, That the Secretary shall apportion the remaining amount in the following manner: (1) one-third of which is based on the ratio to which the land area of such State bears to the total land area of all such States; and (2) two-thirds of which is based on the ratio to which the population of such State bears to the total population of all such States: Provided further, That the amounts apportioned under this paragraph shall be adjusted equitably so that no State shall be apportioned a sum which is less than 1 percent of the amount available for apportionment under this paragraph for any fiscal year or more than 5 percent of such amount: Provided further, That the Federal share of planning grants shall not exceed 75 percent of the total costs of such projects and the Federal share of implementation grants shall not exceed 65 percent of the total costs of such projects: Provided further, That the non-Federal share of such projects may not be derived from Federal grant programs: Provided further, That any amount apportioned in 2010 to any State, territory, or other jurisdiction that remains unobligated as of September 30, 2011, shall be reapportioned, together with funds appropriated in 2012, in the manner provided herein.*

## ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

*The Fish and Wildlife Service may carry out the operations of Service programs by direct expenditure, contracts, grants, cooperative agreements and reimbursable agreements with public and private entities. Appropriations and funds available to the United States Fish and Wildlife Service shall be available for repair of damage to public roads within and adjacent to reservation areas caused by operations of the Service; options for the purchase of land at not to exceed \$1 for each option; facilities incident to such public recreational uses on conservation areas as are consistent with their primary purpose; and the maintenance and improvement of aquaria, buildings, and other facilities under the jurisdiction of the Service and to which the United States has title, and which are used pursuant to law in connection with management, and investigation of fish and wildlife resources: Provided, That notwithstanding 44 U.S.C. 501, the Service may, under cooperative cost sharing and partnership arrangements authorized by law, procure printing services from cooperators in connection with jointly produced publications for which the cooperators share at least one-half the cost of printing either in cash or services and the Service determines the cooperator is capable of meeting accepted quality standards: Provided further, That the Service may accept donated aircraft as replacements for existing aircraft.*

## NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

## OPERATION OF THE NATIONAL PARK SYSTEM

*For expenses necessary for the management, operation, and maintenance of areas and facilities administered by the National Park Service (including expenses to carry out programs of the United States Park Police), and for the general administration of the National Park Service, \$2,261,559,000, of which \$9,982,000 for planning and interagency coordination in support of Everglades restoration and \$98,622,000 for maintenance, repair or rehabilitation projects for constructed assets, operation of the National Park Service automated facility management software system, and comprehensive facility condition assessments shall remain available until September 30, 2011.*

## PARK PARTNERSHIP PROJECT GRANTS

*For expenses necessary to carry out provisions of section 814(g) of Public Law 104-333 relating to challenge cost-share agreements, \$15,000,000, to remain available until expended for Park Partnership signature projects and programs: Provided, That not less than 50 percent of the total cost of each project or program is derived from non-Federal sources in the form of donated cash, assets, or a pledge of donation guaranteed by an irrevocable letter of credit: Provided further, That, of the amount made available under this heading, \$10,000,000 shall be derived from the transfer of prior year unobligated balances available in the National Park Service recreation enhancement fee program established by title VIII, division J, Public Law 108-447.*



## NATIONAL RECREATION AND PRESERVATION

*For expenses necessary to carry out recreation programs, natural programs, cultural programs, heritage partnership programs, environmental compliance and review, international park affairs, statutory or contractual aid for other activities, and grant administration, not otherwise provided for, \$68,436,000, of which \$4,600,000 shall be for Preserve America grants as authorized by section 7302 of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–11).*

## HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND

*For expenses necessary in carrying out the Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C. 470), and the Omnibus Parks and Public Lands Management Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–333), \$79,500,000, to be derived from the Historic Preservation Fund and to remain available until September 30, 2011; of which \$25,000,000 shall be for Save America’s Treasures grants as authorized by section 7303 of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–11): Provided, That of the funds provided for Save America’s Treasures, \$10,200,000 shall be allocated in the amounts specified for those projects and purposes in accordance with the terms and conditions specified in the joint explanatory statement of the managers accompanying this Act.*

## CONSTRUCTION

*For construction, improvements, repair or replacement of physical facilities, including modifications authorized by section 104 of the Everglades National Park Protection and Expansion Act of 1989, \$232,969,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That, beginning in fiscal year 2010 and thereafter, procurements for the removal and restoration of the Elwha and Glines Canyon dams as authorized in Public Law 102–495 may be issued which include the full scope of the project: Provided further, That the solicitation and contract shall contain the clause “availability of funds” found at 48 CFR 52.232.18: Provided further, That funds provided under this heading shall be made available without regard to the requirements of section 8(b) of Public Law 102–543, as amended.*

## LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND

## (RESCISSION)

*The contract authority provided for fiscal year 2010 by 16 U.S.C. 460l–10a is rescinded.*

## LAND ACQUISITION AND STATE ASSISTANCE

*For expenses necessary to carry out the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965, as amended (16 U.S.C. 460l–4 through 11), including administrative expenses, and for acquisition of lands or waters, or interest therein, in accordance with the statutory authority applicable to the National Park Service, \$126,266,000, to be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund and to remain available until expended, of which \$40,000,000 is for the State assistance program and of which \$9,000,000 shall be for the American*

*Battlefield Protection Program grants as authorized by section 7301 of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-11).*

#### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

*In addition to other uses set forth in section 407(d) of Public Law 105-391, franchise fees credited to a sub-account shall be available for expenditure by the Secretary, without further appropriation, for use at any unit within the National Park System to extinguish or reduce liability for Possessory Interest or leasehold surrender interest. Such funds may only be used for this purpose to the extent that the benefitting unit anticipated franchise fee receipts over the term of the contract at that unit exceed the amount of funds used to extinguish or reduce liability. Franchise fees at the benefitting unit shall be credited to the sub-account of the originating unit over a period not to exceed the term of a single contract at the benefitting unit, in the amount of funds so expended to extinguish or reduce liability.*

*For the costs of administration of the Land and Water Conservation Fund grants authorized by section 105(a)(2)(B) of the Gulf of Mexico Energy Security Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-432), the National Park Service may retain up to 3 percent of the amounts which are authorized to be disbursed under such section, such retained amounts to remain available until expended.*

*National Park Service funds may be transferred to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Department of Transportation, for purposes authorized under 23 U.S.C. 204. Transfers may include a reasonable amount for FHWA administrative support costs.*

#### UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

##### SURVEYS, INVESTIGATIONS, AND RESEARCH

*For expenses necessary for the United States Geological Survey to perform surveys, investigations, and research covering topography, geology, hydrology, biology, and the mineral and water resources of the United States, its territories and possessions, and other areas as authorized by 43 U.S.C. 31, 1332, and 1340; classify lands as to their mineral and water resources; give engineering supervision to power permittees and Federal Energy Regulatory Commission licensees; administer the minerals exploration program (30 U.S.C. 641); conduct inquiries into the economic conditions affecting mining and materials processing industries (30 U.S.C. 3, 21a, and 1603; 50 U.S.C. 98g(1)) and related purposes as authorized by law; and to publish and disseminate data relative to the foregoing activities; \$1,111,740,000, to remain available until September 30, 2011, of which \$65,561,000 shall be available only for cooperation with States or municipalities for water resources investigations; of which \$40,150,000 shall remain available until expended for satellite operations; of which \$7,321,000 shall be available until expended for deferred maintenance and capital improvement projects that exceed \$100,000 in cost; and of which \$2,000,000 shall be available to fund the operating expenses for the Civil Applications Committee: Provided, That none of the funds provided for the biological research*

*activity shall be used to conduct new surveys on private property, unless specifically authorized in writing by the property owner: Provided further, That no part of this appropriation shall be used to pay more than one-half the cost of topographic mapping or water resources data collection and investigations carried on in cooperation with States and municipalities.*

#### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

*From within the amount appropriated for activities of the United States Geological Survey such sums as are necessary shall be available for reimbursement to the General Services Administration for security guard services; contracting for the furnishing of topographic maps and for the making of geophysical or other specialized surveys when it is administratively determined that such procedures are in the public interest; construction and maintenance of necessary buildings and appurtenant facilities; acquisition of lands for gauging stations and observation wells; expenses of the United States National Committee on Geology; and payment of compensation and expenses of persons on the rolls of the Survey duly appointed to represent the United States in the negotiation and administration of interstate compacts: Provided, That activities funded by appropriations herein made may be accomplished through the use of contracts, grants, or cooperative agreements as defined in 31 U.S.C. 6302 et seq.: Provided further, That the United States Geological Survey may enter into contracts or cooperative agreements directly with individuals or indirectly with institutions or nonprofit organizations, without regard to 41 U.S.C. 5, for the temporary or intermittent services of students or recent graduates, who shall be considered employees for the purpose of chapters 57 and 81 of title 5, United States Code, relating to compensation for travel and work injuries, and chapter 171 of title 28, United States Code, relating to tort claims, but shall not be considered to be Federal employees for any other purposes.*

#### MINERALS MANAGEMENT SERVICE

##### ROYALTY AND OFFSHORE MINERALS MANAGEMENT

*For expenses necessary for minerals leasing and environmental studies, regulation of industry operations, and collection of royalties, as authorized by law; for enforcing laws and regulations applicable to oil, gas, and other minerals leases, permits, licenses and operating contracts; for energy-related or other authorized marine-related purposes on the Outer Continental Shelf; and for matching grants or cooperative agreements, \$175,217,000, to remain available until September 30, 2011, of which \$89,374,000 shall be available for royalty management activities; and an amount not to exceed \$156,730,000, to be credited to this appropriation and to remain available until expended, from additions to receipts resulting from increases to rates in effect on August 5, 1993, and from cost recovery fees: Provided, That notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, in fiscal year 2010, such amounts as are assessed under 31 U.S.C. 9701 shall be collected and credited to this account and shall be available until expended for necessary expenses: Provided further, That to the extent \$156,730,000 in addition to receipts are not realized from the*

*sources of receipts stated above, the amount needed to reach \$156,730,000 shall be credited to this appropriation from receipts resulting from rental rates for Outer Continental Shelf leases in effect before August 5, 1993: Provided further, That the term "qualified Outer Continental Shelf revenues", as defined in section 102(9)(A) of the Gulf of Mexico Energy Security Act, division C of Public Law 109-432, shall include only the portion of rental revenues that would have been collected at the rental rates in effect before August 5, 1993: Provided further, That not to exceed \$3,000 shall be available for reasonable expenses related to promoting volunteer beach and marine cleanup activities: Provided further, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, \$15,000 under this heading shall be available for refunds of overpayments in connection with certain Indian leases in which the Director of MMS concurred with the claimed refund due, to pay amounts owed to Indian allottees or tribes, or to correct prior unrecoverable erroneous payments: Provided further, That for the costs of administration of the Coastal Impact Assistance Program authorized by section 31 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act, as amended (43 U.S.C. 1456a), in fiscal year 2010, MMS may retain up to 4 percent of the amounts which are disbursed under section 31(b)(1), such retained amounts to remain available until expended.*

*For an additional amount, \$10,000,000, to remain available until expended, which shall be derived from non-refundable inspection fees collected in fiscal year 2010, as provided in this Act: Provided, That to the extent that such amounts are not realized from such fees, the amount needed to reach \$10,000,000 shall be credited to this appropriation from receipts resulting from rental rates for Outer Continental Shelf leases in effect before August 5, 1993.*

#### OIL SPILL RESEARCH

*For necessary expenses to carry out title I, section 1016, title IV, sections 4202 and 4303, title VII, and title VIII, section 8201 of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, \$6,303,000, which shall be derived from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund, to remain available until expended.*

#### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

*Notwithstanding the provisions of section 35(b) of the Mineral Leasing Act, as amended (30 U.S.C. 191(b)), the Secretary shall deduct 2 percent from the amount payable to each State in fiscal year 2010 and deposit the amount deducted to miscellaneous receipts of the Treasury.*

#### OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING RECLAMATION AND ENFORCEMENT

##### REGULATION AND TECHNOLOGY

*For necessary expenses to carry out the provisions of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977, Public Law 95-87, as amended, \$127,180,000, to remain available until September 30, 2011: Provided, That appropriations for the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement may provide for the travel and per diem expenses of State and tribal personnel attending Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement sponsored training.*

## ABANDONED MINE RECLAMATION FUND

*For necessary expenses to carry out title IV of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977, Public Law 95–87, as amended, \$35,588,000, to be derived from receipts of the Abandoned Mine Reclamation Fund and to remain available until expended: Provided, That pursuant to Public Law 97–365, the Department of the Interior is authorized to use up to 20 percent from the recovery of the delinquent debt owed to the United States Government to pay for contracts to collect these debts: Provided further, That funds made available under title IV of Public Law 95–87 may be used for any required non-Federal share of the cost of projects funded by the Federal Government for the purpose of environmental restoration related to treatment or abatement of acid mine drainage from abandoned mines: Provided further, That such projects must be consistent with the purposes and priorities of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act: Provided further, That amounts provided under this heading may be used for the travel and per diem expenses of State and tribal personnel attending Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement sponsored training.*

## ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

*With funds available for the Technical Innovation and Professional Services program in this Act, the Secretary may transfer title for computer hardware, software and other technical equipment to State and tribal regulatory and reclamation programs.*

## BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS

## OPERATION OF INDIAN PROGRAMS

## (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

*For expenses necessary for the operation of Indian programs, as authorized by law, including the Snyder Act of November 2, 1921 (25 U.S.C. 13), the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act of 1975 (25 U.S.C. 450 et seq.), as amended, the Education Amendments of 1978 (25 U.S.C. 2001–2019), and the Tribally Controlled Schools Act of 1988 (25 U.S.C. 2501 et seq.), as amended, \$2,335,965,000, to remain available until September 30, 2011 except as otherwise provided herein; of which not to exceed \$30,000 may be for official reception and representation expenses; of which not to exceed \$74,915,000 shall be for welfare assistance payments: Provided, That in cases of designated Federal disasters, the Secretary may exceed such cap, from the amounts provided herein, to provide for disaster relief to Indian communities affected by the disaster; and of which, notwithstanding any other provision of law, including but not limited to the Indian Self-Determination Act of 1975, as amended, not to exceed \$166,000,000 shall be available for payments for contract support costs associated with ongoing contracts, grants, compacts, or annual funding agreements entered into with the Bureau prior to or during fiscal year 2010, as authorized by such Act, except that tribes and tribal organizations may use their tribal priority allocations for unmet contract support costs of ongoing contracts, grants, or compacts, or annual funding agreements and for unmet welfare assistance costs; of which not to exceed*

\$568,702,000 for school operations costs of Bureau-funded schools and other education programs shall become available on July 1, 2010, and shall remain available until September 30, 2011; of which \$25,000,000 shall be for public safety and justice programs as authorized by the Emergency Fund for Indian Safety and Health, established by section 601 of Public Law 110-293 (25 U.S.C. 443c); and of which not to exceed \$59,895,000 shall remain available until expended for housing improvement, road maintenance, attorney fees, litigation support, the Indian Self-Determination Fund, land records improvement, and the Navajo-Hopi Settlement Program: Provided further, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, including but not limited to the Indian Self-Determination Act of 1975, as amended, and 25 U.S.C. 2008, not to exceed \$43,373,000 within and only from such amounts made available for school operations shall be available for administrative cost grants associated with ongoing grants entered into with the Bureau prior to or during fiscal year 2009 for the operation of Bureau-funded schools, and up to \$500,000 within and only from such amounts made available for administrative cost grants shall be available for the transitional costs of initial administrative cost grants to grantees that assume operation on or after July 1, 2009, of Bureau-funded schools: Provided further, That any forestry funds allocated to a tribe which remain unobligated as of September 30, 2011, may be transferred during fiscal year 2012 to an Indian forest land assistance account established for the benefit of the holder of the funds within the holder's trust fund account: Provided further, That any such unobligated balances not so transferred shall expire on September 30, 2012: Provided further, That in order to enhance the safety of Bureau field employees, the Bureau may use funds to purchase uniforms or other identifying articles of clothing for personnel.

#### CONSTRUCTION

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For construction, repair, improvement, and maintenance of irrigation and power systems, buildings, utilities, and other facilities, including architectural and engineering services by contract; acquisition of lands, and interests in lands; and preparation of lands for farming, and for construction of the Navajo Indian Irrigation Project pursuant to Public Law 87-483, \$225,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That such amounts as may be available for the construction of the Navajo Indian Irrigation Project may be transferred to the Bureau of Reclamation: Provided further, That not to exceed 6 percent of contract authority available to the Bureau of Indian Affairs from the Federal Highway Trust Fund may be used to cover the road program management costs of the Bureau: Provided further, That any funds provided for the Safety of Dams program pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 13 shall be made available on a nonreimbursable basis: Provided further, That for fiscal year 2010, in implementing new construction or facilities improvement and repair project grants in excess of \$100,000 that are provided to grant schools under Public Law 100-297, as amended, the Secretary of the Interior shall use the Administrative and Audit Requirements and Cost Principles for Assistance Programs contained in 43 CFR part 12 as the regulatory requirements: Provided further,

*That such grants shall not be subject to section 12.61 of 43 CFR; the Secretary and the grantee shall negotiate and determine a schedule of payments for the work to be performed: Provided further, That in considering grant applications, the Secretary shall consider whether such grantee would be deficient in assuring that the construction projects conform to applicable building standards and codes and Federal, tribal, or State health and safety standards as required by 25 U.S.C. 2005(b), with respect to organizational and financial management capabilities: Provided further, That if the Secretary declines a grant application, the Secretary shall follow the requirements contained in 25 U.S.C. 2504(f): Provided further, That any disputes between the Secretary and any grantee concerning a grant shall be subject to the disputes provision in 25 U.S.C. 2507(e): Provided further, That in order to ensure timely completion of construction projects, the Secretary may assume control of a project and all funds related to the project, if, within eighteen months of the date of enactment of this Act, any grantee receiving funds appropriated in this Act or in any prior Act, has not completed the planning and design phase of the project and commenced construction: Provided further, That this appropriation may be reimbursed from the Office of the Special Trustee for American Indians appropriation for the appropriate share of construction costs for space expansion needed in agency offices to meet trust reform implementation.*

INDIAN LAND AND WATER CLAIM SETTLEMENTS AND MISCELLANEOUS  
PAYMENTS TO INDIANS

*For payments and necessary administrative expenses for implementation of Indian land and water claim settlements pursuant to Public Laws 99-264, 100-580, 101-618, 108-447, 109-379, 109-479, 110-297, and 111-11, and for implementation of other land and water rights settlements, \$47,380,000, to remain available until expended.*

INDIAN GUARANTEED LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

*For the cost of guaranteed loans and insured loans, \$8,215,000, of which \$1,629,000 is for administrative expenses, as authorized by the Indian Financing Act of 1974, as amended: Provided, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize total loan principal, any part of which is to be guaranteed or insured, not to exceed \$93,807,956.*

INDIAN LAND CONSOLIDATION

*For consolidation of fractional interests in Indian lands and expenses associated with redetermining and redistributing escheated interests in allotted lands, and for necessary expenses to carry out the Indian Land Consolidation Act of 1983, as amended, by direct expenditure or cooperative agreement, \$3,000,000, to remain available until expended.*

## ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

*The Bureau of Indian Affairs may carry out the operation of Indian programs by direct expenditure, contracts, cooperative agreements, compacts and grants, either directly or in cooperation with States and other organizations.*

*Notwithstanding 25 U.S.C. 15, the Bureau of Indian Affairs may contract for services in support of the management, operation, and maintenance of the Power Division of the San Carlos Irrigation Project.*

*Appropriations for the Bureau of Indian Affairs (except the Revolving Fund for Loans Liquidating Account, Indian Loan Guaranty and Insurance Fund Liquidating Account, Indian Guaranteed Loan Financing Account, Indian Direct Loan Financing Account, and the Indian Guaranteed Loan Program account) shall be available for expenses of exhibits.*

*Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no funds available to the Bureau of Indian Affairs for central office oversight and Executive Direction and Administrative Services (except executive direction and administrative services funding for Tribal Priority Allocations, regional offices, and facilities operations and maintenance) shall be available for contracts, grants, compacts, or cooperative agreements with the Bureau of Indian Affairs under the provisions of the Indian Self-Determination Act or the Tribal Self-Governance Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-413).*

*In the event any tribe returns appropriations made available by this Act to the Bureau of Indian Affairs, this action shall not diminish the Federal Government's trust responsibility to that tribe, or the government-to-government relationship between the United States and that tribe, or that tribe's ability to access future appropriations.*

*Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no funds available to the Bureau, other than the amounts provided herein for assistance to public schools under 25 U.S.C. 452 et seq., shall be available to support the operation of any elementary or secondary school in the State of Alaska.*

*Appropriations made available in this or any other Act for schools funded by the Bureau shall be available only to the schools in the Bureau school system as of September 1, 1996. No funds available to the Bureau shall be used to support expanded grades for any school or dormitory beyond the grade structure in place or approved by the Secretary of the Interior at each school in the Bureau school system as of October 1, 1995. Funds made available under this Act may not be used to establish a charter school at a Bureau-funded school (as that term is defined in section 1146 of the Education Amendments of 1978 (25 U.S.C. 2026)), except that a charter school that is in existence on the date of the enactment of this Act and that has operated at a Bureau-funded school before September 1, 1999, may continue to operate during that period, but only if the charter school pays to the Bureau a pro rata share of funds to reimburse the Bureau for the use of the real and personal property (including buses and vans), the funds of the charter school are kept separate and apart from Bureau funds, and the Bureau does not assume any obligation for charter school programs of the State in which the school is located if the charter school loses such funding. Employees of Bureau-funded schools sharing a campus*



*with a charter school and performing functions related to the charter schools operation and employees of a charter school shall not be treated as Federal employees for purposes of chapter 171 of title 28, United States Code.*

*Notwithstanding any other provision of law, including section 113 of title I of appendix C of Public Law 106-113, if in fiscal year 2003 or 2004 a grantee received indirect and administrative costs pursuant to a distribution formula based on section 5(f) of Public Law 101-301, the Secretary shall continue to distribute indirect and administrative cost funds to such grantee using the section 5(f) distribution formula.*

#### DEPARTMENTAL OFFICES

##### OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

*For necessary expenses for management of the Department of the Interior, \$118,836,000; of which not to exceed \$25,000 may be for official reception and representation expenses; and of which up to \$1,000,000 shall be available for workers compensation payments and unemployment compensation payments associated with the orderly closure of the United States Bureau of Mines; and of which \$12,136,000 for consolidated appraisal services is to be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund and shall remain available until expended: Provided, That, for fiscal year 2010 up to \$400,000 of the payments authorized by the Act of October 20, 1976, as amended (31 U.S.C. 6901-6907) may be retained for administrative expenses of the Payments in Lieu of Taxes Program: Provided further, That no payment shall be made pursuant to that Act to otherwise eligible units of local government if the computed amount of the payment is less than \$100: Provided further, That for fiscal years 2008 through 2012 the Secretary may reduce the payment authorized by 31 U.S.C. 6901-6907, as amended, for an individual county by the amount necessary to correct prior year overpayments to that county: Provided further, That for fiscal years 2008 through 2012 the amount needed to correct a prior year underpayment to an individual county shall be paid from any reductions for overpayments to other counties and the amount necessary to cover any remaining underpayment is hereby appropriated and shall be paid to individual counties using current fiscal year funds.*

#### INSULAR AFFAIRS

##### ASSISTANCE TO TERRITORIES

*For expenses necessary for assistance to territories under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Interior, \$85,195,000, of which: (1) \$75,915,000 shall remain available until expended for technical assistance, including maintenance assistance, disaster assistance, insular management controls, coral reef initiative activities, and brown tree snake control and research; grants to the judiciary in American Samoa for compensation and expenses, as authorized by law (48 U.S.C. 1661(c)); grants to the Government of American Samoa, in addition to current local revenues, for construction and support of governmental functions; grants to the Government of the*

*Virgin Islands as authorized by law; grants to the Government of Guam, as authorized by law; and grants to the Government of the Northern Mariana Islands as authorized by law (Public Law 94-241; 90 Stat. 272); and (2) \$9,280,000 shall be available until September 30, 2011 for salaries and expenses of the Office of Insular Affairs: Provided, That all financial transactions of the territorial and local governments herein provided for, including such transactions of all agencies or instrumentalities established or used by such governments, may be audited by the Government Accountability Office, at its discretion, in accordance with chapter 35 of title 31, United States Code: Provided further, That Northern Mariana Islands Covenant grant funding shall be provided according to those terms of the Agreement of the Special Representatives on Future United States Financial Assistance for the Northern Mariana Islands approved by Public Law 104-134: Provided further, That of the amounts provided for technical assistance, sufficient funds shall be made available for a grant to the Pacific Basin Development Council: Provided further, That of the amounts provided for technical assistance, sufficient funding shall be made available for a grant to the Close Up Foundation: Provided further, That the funds for the program of operations and maintenance improvement are appropriated to institutionalize routine operations and maintenance improvement of capital infrastructure with territorial participation and cost sharing to be determined by the Secretary based on the grantee's commitment to timely maintenance of its capital assets: Provided further, That any appropriation for disaster assistance under this heading in this Act or previous appropriations Acts may be used as non-Federal matching funds for the purpose of hazard mitigation grants provided pursuant to section 404 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5170c).*

#### COMPACT OF FREE ASSOCIATION

*For grants and necessary expenses, \$5,318,000, to remain available until expended, as provided for in sections 221(a)(2), 221(b), and 233 of the Compact of Free Association for the Republic of Palau; and section 221(a)(2) of the Compacts of Free Association for the Government of the Republic of the Marshall Islands and the Federated States of Micronesia, as authorized by Public Law 99-658 and Public Law 108-188.*

#### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

*At the request of the Governor of Guam, the Secretary may transfer discretionary funds or mandatory funds provided under section 104(e) of Public Law 108-188 and Public Law 104-134, that are allocated for Guam, to the Secretary of Agriculture for the subsidy cost of direct or guaranteed loans, plus not to exceed three percent of the amount of the subsidy transferred for the cost of loan administration, for the purposes authorized by the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 and section 306(a)(1) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act for construction and repair projects in Guam, and such funds shall remain available until expended: Pro-*

*vided, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: Provided further, That such loans or loan guarantees may be made without regard to the population of the area, credit elsewhere requirements, and restrictions on the types of eligible entities under the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 and section 306(a)(1) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act: Provided further, That any funds transferred to the Secretary of Agriculture shall be in addition to funds otherwise made available to make or guarantee loans under such authorities.*

*OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR*

*SALARIES AND EXPENSES*

*For necessary expenses of the Office of the Solicitor, \$65,076,000.*

*OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL*

*SALARIES AND EXPENSES*

*For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General, \$48,590,000.*

*OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL TRUSTEE FOR AMERICAN INDIANS*

*FEDERAL TRUST PROGRAMS*

*(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)*

*For the operation of trust programs for Indians by direct expenditure, contracts, cooperative agreements, compacts, and grants, \$185,984,000, to remain available until expended, of which not to exceed \$56,536,000 from this or any other Act, shall be available for historical accounting: Provided, That funds for trust management improvements and litigation support may, as needed, be transferred to or merged with the Bureau of Indian Affairs, "Operation of Indian Programs" account; the Office of the Solicitor, "Salaries and Expenses" account; and the Office of the Secretary, "Salaries and Expenses" account: Provided further, That funds made available through contracts or grants obligated during fiscal year 2010, as authorized by the Indian Self-Determination Act of 1975 (25 U.S.C. 450 et seq.), shall remain available until expended by the contractor or grantee: Provided further, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, the statute of limitations shall not commence to run on any claim, including any claim in litigation pending on the date of the enactment of this Act, concerning losses to or mismanagement of trust funds, until the affected tribe or individual Indian has been furnished with an accounting of such funds from which the beneficiary can determine whether there has been a loss: Provided further, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary shall not be required to provide a quarterly statement of performance for any Indian trust account that has not had activity for at least 18 months and has a balance of \$15.00 or less: Provided further, That the Secretary shall issue an annual account statement and maintain a record of any such accounts and shall permit the balance in each such account to be withdrawn upon the express*

*written request of the account holder: Provided further, That not to exceed \$50,000 is available for the Secretary to make payments to correct administrative errors of either disbursements from or deposits to Individual Indian Money or Tribal accounts after September 30, 2002: Provided further, That erroneous payments that are recovered shall be credited to and remain available in this account for this purpose.*

#### DEPARTMENT-WIDE PROGRAMS

##### WILDLAND FIRE MANAGEMENT

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

*For necessary expenses for fire preparedness, suppression operations, fire science and research, emergency rehabilitation, hazardous fuels reduction, and rural fire assistance by the Department of the Interior, \$794,897,000, to remain available until expended, of which not to exceed \$6,137,000 shall be for the renovation or construction of fire facilities: Provided, That such funds are also available for repayment of advances to other appropriation accounts from which funds were previously transferred for such purposes: Provided further, That persons hired pursuant to 43 U.S.C. 1469 may be furnished subsistence and lodging without cost from funds available from this appropriation: Provided further, That notwithstanding 42 U.S.C. 1856d, sums received by a bureau or office of the Department of the Interior for fire protection rendered pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 1856 et seq., protection of United States property, may be credited to the appropriation from which funds were expended to provide that protection, and are available without fiscal year limitation: Provided further, That using the amounts designated under this title of this Act, the Secretary of the Interior may enter into procurement contracts, grants, or cooperative agreements, for hazardous fuels reduction activities, and for training and monitoring associated with such hazardous fuels reduction activities, on Federal land, or on adjacent non-Federal land for activities that benefit resources on Federal land: Provided further, That the costs of implementing any cooperative agreement between the Federal Government and any non-Federal entity may be shared, as mutually agreed on by the affected parties: Provided further, That notwithstanding requirements of the Competition in Contracting Act, the Secretary, for purposes of hazardous fuels reduction activities, may obtain maximum practicable competition among: (1) local private, nonprofit, or cooperative entities; (2) Youth Conservation Corps crews, Public Lands Corps (Public Law 109-154), or related partnerships with State, local, or non-profit youth groups; (3) small or micro-businesses; or (4) other entities that will hire or train locally a significant percentage, defined as 50 percent or more, of the project workforce to complete such contracts: Provided further, That in implementing this section, the Secretary shall develop written guidance to field units to ensure accountability and consistent application of the authorities provided herein: Provided further, That funds appropriated under this head may be used to reimburse the United States Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service for the costs of carrying out their responsibilities under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) to consult and*

conference, as required by section 7 of such Act, in connection with wildland fire management activities: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Interior may use wildland fire appropriations to enter into non-competitive sole source leases of real property with local governments, at or below fair market value, to construct capitalized improvements for fire facilities on such leased properties, including but not limited to fire guard stations, retardant stations, and other initial attack and fire support facilities, and to make advance payments for any such lease or for construction activity associated with the lease: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture may authorize the transfer of funds appropriated for wildland fire management, in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$50,000,000, between the Departments when such transfers would facilitate and expedite jointly funded wildland fire management programs and projects: Provided further, That funds provided for wildfire suppression shall be available for support of Federal emergency response actions: Provided further, That no less than \$125,000,000 in prior-year wildfire suppression balances shall be made available in addition to amounts provided in this Act for that purpose.

#### FLAME WILDFIRE SUPPRESSION RESERVE FUND

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For deposit in the FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund created in title V, section 502(b) of this Act, \$61,000,000, to remain available until expended.

#### CENTRAL HAZARDOUS MATERIALS FUND

For necessary expenses of the Department of the Interior and any of its component offices and bureaus for the response action, including associated activities, performed pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.), \$10,175,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That Public Law 110–161 (121 Stat. 2116) under this heading is amended by striking “in advance of or as reimbursement for remedial action or response activities conducted by the Department pursuant to section 107 or 113(f) of such Act” and inserting in lieu thereof “including any fines or penalties”.

#### NATURAL RESOURCE DAMAGE ASSESSMENT AND RESTORATION

##### NATURAL RESOURCE DAMAGE ASSESSMENT FUND

To conduct natural resource damage assessment and restoration activities by the Department of the Interior necessary to carry out the provisions of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.), the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.), the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2701 et seq.), and Public Law 101–337, as amended (16 U.S.C. 19jj et seq.), \$6,462,000, to remain available until expended.

## WORKING CAPITAL FUND

*For the acquisition of a departmental financial and business management system and information technology improvements of general benefit to the Department, \$85,823,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That none of the funds in this Act or previous appropriations Acts may be used to establish reserves in the Working Capital Fund account other than for accrued annual leave and depreciation of equipment without prior approval of the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations: Provided further, That the Secretary may assess reasonable charges to State, local and tribal government employees for training services provided by the National Indian Program Training Center, other than training related to Public Law 93-638: Provided further, That the Secretary may lease or otherwise provide space and related facilities, equipment or professional services of the National Indian Program Training Center to State, local and tribal government employees or persons or organizations engaged in cultural, educational, or recreational activities (as defined in 40 U.S.C. 3306(a)) at the prevailing rate for similar space, facilities, equipment, or services in the vicinity of the National Indian Program Training Center: Provided further, That all funds received pursuant to the two preceding provisos shall be credited to this account, shall be available until expended, and shall be used by the Secretary for necessary expenses of the National Indian Program Training Center.*

## ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

*There is hereby authorized for acquisition from available resources within the Working Capital Fund, 15 aircraft, 10 of which shall be for replacement and which may be obtained by donation, purchase or through available excess surplus property: Provided, That existing aircraft being replaced may be sold, with proceeds derived or trade-in value used to offset the purchase price for the replacement aircraft.*

## GENERAL PROVISIONS, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

## EMERGENCY TRANSFER AUTHORITY—INTRA-BUREAU

*SEC. 101. Appropriations made in this title shall be available for expenditure or transfer (within each bureau or office), with the approval of the Secretary, for the emergency reconstruction, replacement, or repair of aircraft, buildings, utilities, or other facilities or equipment damaged or destroyed by fire, flood, storm, or other unavoidable causes: Provided, That no funds shall be made available under this authority until funds specifically made available to the Department of the Interior for emergencies shall have been exhausted: Provided further, That all funds used pursuant to this section must be replenished by a supplemental appropriation which must be requested as promptly as possible.*

## EMERGENCY TRANSFER AUTHORITY—DEPARTMENT-WIDE

*SEC. 102. The Secretary may authorize the expenditure or transfer of any no year appropriation in this title, in addition to the*

*amounts included in the budget programs of the several agencies, for the suppression or emergency prevention of wildland fires on or threatening lands under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Interior; for the emergency rehabilitation of burned-over lands under its jurisdiction; for emergency actions related to potential or actual earthquakes, floods, volcanoes, storms, or other unavoidable causes; for contingency planning subsequent to actual oil spills; for response and natural resource damage assessment activities related to actual oil spills; for the prevention, suppression, and control of actual or potential grasshopper and Mormon cricket outbreaks on lands under the jurisdiction of the Secretary, pursuant to the authority in section 1773(b) of Public Law 99-198 (99 Stat. 1658); for emergency reclamation projects under section 410 of Public Law 95-87; and shall transfer, from any no year funds available to the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, such funds as may be necessary to permit assumption of regulatory authority in the event a primacy State is not carrying out the regulatory provisions of the Surface Mining Act: Provided, That appropriations made in this title for wildland fire operations shall be available for the payment of obligations incurred during the preceding fiscal year, and for reimbursement to other Federal agencies for destruction of vehicles, aircraft, or other equipment in connection with their use for wildland fire operations, such reimbursement to be credited to appropriations currently available at the time of receipt thereof: Provided further, That for wildland fire operations, no funds shall be made available under this authority until the Secretary determines that funds appropriated for "wildland fire operations" and "FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund" shall be exhausted within 30 days: Provided further, That all funds used pursuant to this section must be replenished by a supplemental appropriation which must be requested as promptly as possible: Provided further, That such replenishment funds shall be used to reimburse, on a pro rata basis, accounts from which emergency funds were transferred.*

#### AUTHORIZED USE OF FUNDS

*SEC. 103. Appropriations made to the Department of the Interior in this title shall be available for services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, when authorized by the Secretary, in total amount not to exceed \$500,000; purchase and replacement of motor vehicles, including specially equipped law enforcement vehicles; hire, maintenance, and operation of aircraft; hire of passenger motor vehicles; purchase of reprints; payment for telephone service in private residences in the field, when authorized under regulations approved by the Secretary; and the payment of dues, when authorized by the Secretary, for library membership in societies or associations which issue publications to members only or at a price to members lower than to subscribers who are not members.*

#### AUTHORIZED USE OF FUNDS

*SEC. 104. Appropriations made in this Act under the headings Bureau of Indian Affairs and Office of the Special Trustee for American Indians and any unobligated balances from prior appropriations Acts made under the same headings shall be available for expenditure or transfer for Indian trust management and reform ac-*

*tivities. Total funding for historical accounting activities shall not exceed amounts specifically designated in this Act for such purpose.*

#### REDISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS

*SEC. 105. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of the Interior is authorized to redistribute any Tribal Priority Allocation funds, including tribal base funds, to alleviate tribal funding inequities by transferring funds to address identified, unmet needs, dual enrollment, overlapping service areas or inaccurate distribution methodologies. No tribe shall receive a reduction in Tribal Priority Allocation funds of more than 10 percent in fiscal year 2010. Under circumstances of dual enrollment, overlapping service areas or inaccurate distribution methodologies, the 10 percent limitation does not apply.*

#### TWIN CITIES RESEARCH CENTER

*SEC. 106. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in conveying the Twin Cities Research Center under the authority provided by Public Law 104-134, as amended by Public Law 104-208, the Secretary may accept and retain land and other forms of reimbursement: Provided, That the Secretary may retain and use any such reimbursement until expended and without further appropriation: (1) for the benefit of the National Wildlife Refuge System within the State of Minnesota; and (2) for all activities authorized by 16 U.S.C. 460zz.*

#### PAYMENT OF FEES

*SEC. 107. The Secretary of the Interior may use discretionary funds to pay private attorney fees and costs for employees and former employees of the Department of the Interior reasonably incurred in connection with Cobell v. Salazar to the extent that such fees and costs are not paid by the Department of Justice or by private insurance. In no case shall the Secretary make payments under this section that would result in payment of hourly fees in excess of the highest hourly rate approved by the District Court for the District of Columbia for counsel in Cobell v. Salazar.*

#### MASS MARKING OF SALMONIDS

*SEC. 108. The United States Fish and Wildlife Service shall, in carrying out its responsibilities to protect threatened and endangered species of salmon, implement a system of mass marking of salmonid stocks, intended for harvest, that are released from federally operated or federally financed hatcheries including but not limited to fish releases of coho, chinook, and steelhead species. Marked fish must have a visible mark that can be readily identified by commercial and recreational fishers.*

#### ELLIS, GOVERNORS, AND LIBERTY ISLANDS

*SEC. 109. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of the Interior is authorized to acquire lands, waters, or interests therein including the use of all or part of any pier, dock, or landing within the State of New York and the State of New Jersey, for the purpose of operating and maintaining facilities in the sup-*



port of transportation and accommodation of visitors to Ellis, Governors, and Liberty Islands, and of other program and administrative activities, by donation or with appropriated funds, including franchise fees (and other monetary consideration), or by exchange; and the Secretary is authorized to negotiate and enter into leases, subleases, concession contracts or other agreements for the use of such facilities on such terms and conditions as the Secretary may determine reasonable.

#### PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS

SEC. 110. (a) Any proposed new use of the Arizona & California Railroad Company's Right of Way for conveyance of water shall not proceed unless the Secretary of the Interior certifies that the proposed new use is within the scope of the Right of Way.

(b) No funds appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of the Interior may be used, in relation to any proposal to store water underground for the purpose of export, for approval of any right-of-way or similar authorization on the Mojave National Preserve or lands managed by the Needles Field Office of the Bureau of Land Management, or for carrying out any activities associated with such right-of-way or similar approval.

#### CONTRIBUTION AUTHORITY

SEC. 111. Title 43 U.S.C. 1473, as amended by Public Law 111-8, is further amended by striking "in fiscal years 2008 and 2009 only" and inserting "in fiscal years 2010 through 2013".

#### USE OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS

SEC. 112. For fiscal year 2010, and each fiscal year thereafter, the Secretary of the Interior may enter into cooperative agreements with a State or political subdivision (including any agency thereof), or any not-for-profit organization if the agreement will: (1) serve a mutual interest of the parties to the agreement in carrying out the programs administered by the Department of the Interior; and (2) all parties will contribute resources to the accomplishment of these objectives. At the discretion of the Secretary, such agreements shall not be subject to a competitive process.

#### ICE AGE NATIONAL SCENIC TRAIL

SEC. 113. Funds provided in this Act for Federal land acquisition by the National Park Service for Ice Age National Scenic Trail may be used for a grant to a State, a local government, or any other land management entity for the acquisition of lands without regard to any restriction on the use of Federal land acquisition funds provided through the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 as amended.

#### CONFORMING AMENDMENT

SEC. 114. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, Sections 109 and 110 of the Federal Oil and Gas Royalty Management Act (30 U.S.C. 1719 and 1720) shall, for fiscal year 2010 and each fiscal year thereafter, apply to any lease authorizing exploration for or development of coal, any other solid mineral, or any geothermal re-

source on any Federal or Indian lands and any lease, easement, right of way, or other agreement, regardless of form, for use of the Outer Continental Shelf or any of its resources under sections 8(k) or 8(p) of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1337(k) and 1337(p)) to the same extent as if such lease, easement, right of way, or other agreement, regardless of form, were an oil and gas lease, except that in such cases the term “royalty payment” shall include any payment required by such lease, easement, right of way or other agreement, regardless of form, or by applicable regulation.

#### OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF INSPECTION FEES

SEC. 115. (a) In fiscal year 2010, the Minerals Management Service (MMS) shall collect a non-refundable inspection fee, which shall be deposited in the “Royalty and Offshore Minerals Management” account, from the designated operator for facilities subject to inspection by MMS under 43 U.S.C. 1348(c) that are above the waterline, except mobile offshore drilling units, and are in place at the start of fiscal year 2010.

(b) Fees for 2010 shall be:

(1) \$2,000 for facilities with no wells, but with processing equipment or gathering lines;

(2) \$3,250 for facilities with one to ten wells, with any combination of active or inactive wells; and

(3) \$6,000 for facilities with more than ten wells, with any combination of active or inactive wells.

(c) MMS will bill designated operators within 60 days of enactment of this Act, with payment required within 30 days of billing.

#### PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS, POINT REYES NATIONAL SEASHORE

SEC. 116. None of the funds in this Act may be used to further reduce the number of Axis or Fallow deer at Point Reyes National Seashore below the number as of the date of enactment of this Act.

#### YOSEMITE NATIONAL PARK AUTHORIZED PAYMENTS, AMENDMENT

SEC. 117. Section 101(a)(1) of Public Law 109–131 is amended by striking “2009” and inserting “2013”.

#### SAN JUAN ISLAND NATIONAL HISTORIC PARK AUTHORIZATION

SEC. 118. Section 4 of Public Law 89–565, as amended (16 U.S.C. 282c), relating to San Juan Island National Historic Park, is amended by striking “\$5,575,000” and inserting “\$13,575,000”.

#### JAPANESE AMERICAN CONFINEMENT SITES, AMENDMENT

SEC. 119. Section 1(c)(2) of Public Law 109–441 is amended by adding after subparagraph (D) the following new subparagraph:

“(E) Heart Mountain, depicted in Figure 6.3 of the Site Document.”.

#### NORTHERN PLAINS HERITAGE AREA, AMENDMENT

SEC. 120. Section 8004 of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–11; 123 Stat. 1240) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (g) through (i) as subsections (h) through (j), respectively;

(2) in subsection (h)(1) (as redesignated by paragraph (1)), in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking “subsection (i)” and inserting “subsection (j)”; and

(3) by inserting after subsection (f) the following:

“(g) **REQUIREMENTS FOR INCLUSION AND REMOVAL OF PROPERTY IN HERITAGE AREA.**—

“(1) **PRIVATE PROPERTY INCLUSION.**—No privately owned property shall be included in the Heritage Area unless the owner of the private property provides to the management entity a written request for the inclusion.

“(2) **PROPERTY REMOVAL.**—

“(A) **PRIVATE PROPERTY.**—At the request of an owner of private property included in the Heritage Area pursuant to paragraph (1), the private property shall be immediately withdrawn from the Heritage Area if the owner of the property provides to the management entity a written notice requesting removal.

“(B) **PUBLIC PROPERTY.**—On written notice from the appropriate State or local government entity, public property included in the Heritage Area shall be immediately withdrawn from the Heritage Area.”.

#### PEARL HARBOR NAVAL COMPLEX, JOINT TICKETING

**SEC. 121. (a) DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **HISTORIC ATTRACTION.**—The term “historic attraction” mean a historic attraction within the Pearl Harbor Naval Complex, including—

(A) the USS Bowfin Submarine Museum and Park;

(B) the Battleship Missouri Memorial;

(C) the Pacific Aviation Museum-Pearl Harbor; and

(D) any other historic attraction within the Pearl Harbor Naval Complex that—

(i) the Secretary identifies as a Pearl Harbor historic attraction; and

(ii) is not administered or managed by the Secretary.

(2) **MONUMENT.**—The term “Monument” means the World War II Valor in the Pacific National Monument in the State of Hawaii.

(3) **SECRETARY.**—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

(4) **VISITOR CENTER.**—The term “Visitor Center” means the visitor center located within the Pearl Harbor Naval Complex on land that is—

(A) within the Monument; and

(B) managed by the Secretary, acting through the Director of the National Park Service.

(b) **FACILITATION OF ADMISSION TO HISTORIC ATTRACTIONS WITHIN PEARL HARBOR NAVAL COMPLEX.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—In managing the Monument, the Secretary may enter into an agreement with any organization that is authorized to administer or manage a historic attraction—

(A) to allow visitors to the historic attraction to gain access to the historic attraction by passing through security screening at the Visitor Center; and

(B) to allow the sale of tickets to a historic attraction within the Visitor Center by—

- (i) employees of the National Park Service; or
- (ii) the organization that administers or manages the historic attraction.

(2) *TERMS AND CONDITIONS.*—In any agreement entered into under paragraph (1), the Secretary—

(A) shall require the organization administering or managing the historic attraction to pay to the Secretary a reasonable fee to recover administrative costs of the Secretary associated with the use of the Visitor Center for public access and ticket sales;

(B) shall ensure that the liability of the United States is limited with respect to any liability arising from—

- (i) the admission of the public through the Visitor Center to a historic attraction; and
- (ii) the sale or issuance of any tickets to the historic attraction; and

(C) may include any other terms and conditions that the Secretary determines to be appropriate.

(3) *USE OF FEES.*—The proceeds of any amounts collected as fees under paragraph (2)(A) shall remain available, without further appropriation, for use by the Secretary for the Monument.

(4) *LIMITATION OF AUTHORITY.*—Nothing in this section authorizes the Secretary—

(A) to regulate or approve the rates for admission to a historic attraction;

(B) to regulate or manage any visitor services within the Pearl Harbor Naval Complex (other than the services managed by the National Park Service as part of the Monument); or

(C) to charge an entrance fee for admission to the Monument.

(5) *PROTECTION OF RESOURCES.*—Nothing in this section authorizes the Secretary or any organization that administers or manages a historic attraction to take any action in derogation of the preservation and protection of the values and resources of the Monument.

#### ASSISTANCE FOR THE REPUBLIC OF PALAU

*SEC. 122. (a) IN GENERAL.*—Subject to subsection (c), the United States Government, through the Secretary of the Interior shall provide to the Government of Palau for fiscal year 2010 grants in amounts equal to the annual amounts specified in subsections (a), (c), and (d) of section 211 of the Compact of Free Association between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of Palau (48 U.S.C. 1931 note) (referred to in this section as the “Compact”).

(b) *PROGRAMMATIC ASSISTANCE.*—Subject to subsection (c), the United States shall provide programmatic assistance to the Republic of Palau for fiscal year 2010 in amounts equal to the amounts provided in subsections (a) and (b)(1) of section 221 of the Compact.

(c) *LIMITATIONS ON ASSISTANCE.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The grants and programmatic assistance provided under subsections (a) and (b) shall be provided to the same extent and in the same manner as the grants and assistance were provided in fiscal year 2009.

(2) *TRUST FUND.*—If the Government of Palau withdraws more than \$5,000,000 from the trust fund established under section 211(f) of the Compact, amounts to be provided under subsections (a) and (b) shall be withheld from the Government of Palau.

GOLDEN GATE NATIONAL RECREATION AREA, FORT BAKER  
AMENDMENT

SEC. 123. Section 120 of title I of H.R. 3423 (Appendix C) as enacted into law by section 1000(a)(3) of division B of Public Law 106–113 is amended by striking the last sentence.

POINT REYES NATIONAL SEASHORE, EXTENSION OF PERMIT

SEC. 124. Prior to the expiration on November 30, 2012 of the Drake's Bay Oyster Company's Reservation of Use and Occupancy and associated special use permit ("existing authorization") within Drake's Estero at Point Reyes National Seashore, notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of the Interior is authorized to issue a special use permit with the same terms and conditions as the existing authorization, except as provided herein, for a period of 10 years from November 30, 2012: Provided, That such extended authorization is subject to annual payments to the United States based on the fair market value of the use of the Federal property for the duration of such renewal. The Secretary shall take into consideration recommendations of the National Academy of Sciences Report pertaining to shellfish mariculture in Point Reyes National Seashore before modifying any terms and conditions of the extended authorization. Nothing in this section shall be construed to have any application to any location other than Point Reyes National Seashore; nor shall anything in this section be cited as precedent for management of any potential wilderness outside the Seashore.

NATIONAL PARK SYSTEM, SPECIAL RESOURCE STUDY

SEC. 125. (a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of the Interior (referred to in this section as the "Secretary") shall conduct a special resource study of the national significance, suitability, and feasibility of including the Honouliuli Gulch and associated sites within the State of Hawaii in the National Park System.

(b) *GUIDELINES.*—In conducting the study, the Secretary shall use the criteria for the study of areas for potential inclusion in the National Park System described in section 8 of Public Law 91–383 (16 U.S.C. 1a–5).

(c) *CONSULTATION.*—In conducting the study, the Secretary shall consult with—

- (1) the State of Hawaii;
- (2) appropriate Federal agencies;
- (3) Native Hawaiian and local government entities;
- (4) private and nonprofit organizations;
- (5) private land owners; and
- (6) other interested parties.

(d) *THEMES.*—*The study shall evaluate the Honouliuli Gulch, associated sites located on Oahu, and other islands located in the State of Hawaii with respect to—*

*(1) the significance of the site as a component of World War II;*

*(2) the significance of the site as the site related to the forcible internment of Japanese Americans, European Americans, and other individuals; and*

*(3) historic resources at the site.*

(e) *REPORT.*—*Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate a report describing the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the study required under this section.*

#### CONTROL OF BORDER

*SEC. 126. None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to impede, prohibit, or restrict activities of the Secretary of Homeland Security on public lands to achieve operational control (as defined in section 2(b) of the Secure Fence Act of 2006 (8 U.S.C. 1701 note; Public Law 109–367) over the international land and maritime borders of the United States with respect to section 102(b) of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 (8 U.S.C. 1103 note).*

#### NATIONAL HERITAGE AREA, OPT OUT PROVISION

*SEC. 127. Any owner of private property within an existing or new National Heritage Area may opt out of participating in any plan, project, program, or activity conducted within the National Heritage Area if the property owner provides written notice to the local coordinating entity.*

#### PLACEMENT OF PLAQUE AT WORLD WAR II MEMORIAL

*SEC. 128. Notwithstanding any other law, the Secretary of the Interior shall install in the area of the World War II Memorial in the District of Columbia a suitable plaque to commemorate the extraordinary leadership of Senator Robert J. Dole in making the Memorial a reality on the National Mall: Provided, That the Secretary shall design, procure, prepare and install the plaque: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Interior is authorized to accept and expend contributions toward the cost of preparing and installing the plaque, without further appropriation: Provided further, That Federal funds may be used to design, procure, or install the plaque.*

#### MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR. MEMORIAL AUTHORITY, EXTENSION

*SEC. 129. Section 508(b)(2) of the Omnibus Parks and Public Lands Management Act of 1996, as amended (40 U.S.C. 8903 note; 110 Stat. 4157, 114 Stat. 26, 117 Stat. 1347, 119 Stat. 527, 122 Stat. 5034) shall be amended by striking “November 12, 2009” and inserting “September 30, 2010”.*

## JOHN ADAMS MEMORIAL AUTHORITY, EXTENSION

*SEC. 130. Notwithstanding section 8903(e) of title 40, United States Code, the authority provided by Public Law 107-62 and Public Law 107-315 shall continue to apply through September 30, 2010.*

## TITLE II

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

*For science and technology, including research and development activities, which shall include research and development activities under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended; necessary expenses for personnel and related costs and travel expenses; procurement of laboratory equipment and supplies; and other operating expenses in support of research and development, \$846,049,000, to remain available until September 30, 2011.*

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS AND MANAGEMENT

*For environmental programs and management, including necessary expenses, not otherwise provided for, for personnel and related costs and travel expenses; hire of passenger motor vehicles; hire, maintenance, and operation of aircraft; purchase of reprints; library memberships in societies or associations which issue publications to members only or at a price to members lower than to subscribers who are not members; administrative costs of the brownfields program under the Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act of 2002; and not to exceed \$9,000 for official reception and representation expenses, \$2,993,779,000, to remain available until September 30, 2011: Provided, That of the funds included under this heading, not less than \$608,441,000 shall be for the Geographic Programs specified in the explanatory statement accompanying this Act.*

## OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

*For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$44,791,000, to remain available until September 30, 2011.*

## BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

*For construction, repair, improvement, extension, alteration, and purchase of fixed equipment or facilities of, or for use by, the Environmental Protection Agency, \$37,001,000, to remain available until expended, of which up to \$500,000 shall be made available for preliminary planning and design of a high-performance green building to consolidate the multiple offices and research facilities of the Environmental Protection Agency in Las Vegas, Nevada.*

## HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE SUPERFUND

## (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

*For necessary expenses to carry out the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended, including sections 111(c)(3), (c)(5), (c)(6), and (e)(4) (42 U.S.C. 9611) \$1,306,541,000, to remain available until expended, consisting of such sums as are available in the Trust Fund on September 30, 2009, as authorized by section 517(a) of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA) and up to \$1,306,541,000 as a payment from general revenues to the Hazardous Substance Superfund for purposes as authorized by section 517(b) of SARA, as amended: Provided, That funds appropriated under this heading may be allocated to other Federal agencies in accordance with section 111(a) of CERCLA: Provided further, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, \$9,975,000 shall be paid to the "Office of Inspector General" appropriation to remain available until September 30, 2011, and \$26,834,000 shall be paid to the "Science and Technology" appropriation to remain available until September 30, 2011.*

## LEAKING UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK TRUST FUND PROGRAM

*For necessary expenses to carry out leaking underground storage tank cleanup activities authorized by subtitle I of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, \$113,101,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$78,671,000 shall be for carrying out leaking underground storage tank cleanup activities authorized by section 9003(h) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended; \$34,430,000 shall be for carrying out the other provisions of the Solid Waste Disposal Act specified in section 9508(c) of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended: Provided, That the Administrator is authorized to use appropriations made available under this heading to implement section 9013 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act to provide financial assistance to federally recognized Indian tribes for the development and implementation of programs to manage underground storage tanks.*

## OIL SPILL RESPONSE

*For expenses necessary to carry out the Environmental Protection Agency's responsibilities under the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, \$18,379,000, to be derived from the Oil Spill Liability trust fund, to remain available until expended.*

## STATE AND TRIBAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS

*For environmental programs and infrastructure assistance, including capitalization grants for State revolving funds and performance partnership grants, \$4,970,223,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$2,100,000,000 shall be for making capitalization grants for the Clean Water State Revolving Funds under title VI of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (the "Act"); of which \$1,387,000,000 shall be for making capitalization grants for the Drinking Water State Revolving Funds under section 1452 of the Safe Drinking Water Act, as amended: Provided, That*



for fiscal year 2010, to the extent there are sufficient eligible project applications, not less than 20 percent of the funds made available under this title to each State for Clean Water State Revolving Fund capitalization grants and not less than 20 percent of the funds made available under this title to each State for Drinking Water State Revolving Fund capitalization grants shall be used by the State for projects to address green infrastructure, water or energy efficiency improvements, or other environmentally innovative activities; \$17,000,000 shall be for architectural, engineering, planning, design, construction and related activities in connection with the construction of high priority water and wastewater facilities in the area of the United States-Mexico Border, after consultation with the appropriate border commission; \$13,000,000 shall be for grants to the State of Alaska to address drinking water and wastewater infrastructure needs of rural and Alaska Native Villages: Provided further, That, of these funds: (1) the State of Alaska shall provide a match of 25 percent; (2) no more than 5 percent of the funds may be used for administrative and overhead expenses; and (3) the State of Alaska shall make awards consistent with the State-wide priority list established in conjunction with the Agency and the U.S. Department of Agriculture for all water, sewer, waste disposal, and similar projects carried out by the State of Alaska that are funded under section 221 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1301) or the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act (7 U.S.C. 1921 et seq.) which shall allocate not less than 25 percent of the funds provided for projects in regional hub communities; \$156,777,000 shall be for making special project grants and technical corrections to prior-year grants for the construction of drinking water, wastewater and storm water infrastructure and for water quality protection in accordance with the terms and conditions specified for such grants in the joint explanatory statement of the managers accompanying this Act, and, for purposes of these grants, each grantee shall contribute not less than 45 percent of the cost of the project unless the grantee is approved for a waiver by the Agency; \$100,000,000 shall be to carry out section 104(k) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended, including grants, interagency agreements, and associated program support costs; \$60,000,000 shall be for grants under title VII, subtitle G of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, as amended; \$20,000,000 shall be for targeted airshed grants in accordance with the terms and conditions of the joint explanatory statement of the managers accompanying this Act; and \$1,116,446,000 shall be for grants, including associated program support costs, to States, federally recognized tribes, interstate agencies, tribal consortia, and air pollution control agencies for multimedia or single media pollution prevention, control and abatement and related activities, including activities pursuant to the provisions set forth under this heading in Public Law 104-134, and for making grants under section 103 of the Clean Air Act for particulate matter monitoring and data collection activities subject to terms and conditions specified by the Administrator, of which \$49,495,000 shall be for carrying out section 128 of CERCLA, as amended, \$10,000,000 shall be for Environmental Information Exchange Network grants, including associated program support costs, \$18,500,000 of the funds available for grants under section 106 of

the Act shall be for water quality monitoring activities, \$10,000,000 shall be for competitive grants to communities to develop plans and demonstrate and implement projects which reduce greenhouse gas emissions and, in addition to funds appropriated under the heading "Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund Program" to carry out the provisions of the Solid Waste Disposal Act specified in section 9508(c) of the Internal Revenue Code other than section 9003(h) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, \$2,500,000 shall be for grants to States under section 2007(f)(2) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended: Provided further, That notwithstanding section 603(d)(7) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, the limitation on the amounts in a State water pollution control revolving fund that may be used by a State to administer the fund shall not apply to amounts included as principal in loans made by such fund in fiscal year 2010 and prior years where such amounts represent costs of administering the fund to the extent that such amounts are or were deemed reasonable by the Administrator, accounted for separately from other assets in the fund, and used for eligible purposes of the fund, including administration: Provided further, That for fiscal year 2010, and notwithstanding section 518(f) of the Act, the Administrator is authorized to use the amounts appropriated for any fiscal year under section 319 of that Act to make grants to federally recognized Indian tribes pursuant to sections 319(h) and 518(e) of that Act: Provided further, That for fiscal year 2010, notwithstanding the limitation on amounts in section 518(c) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act and section 1452(i) of the Safe Drinking Water Act, up to a total of 2 percent of the funds appropriated for State Revolving Funds under such Acts may be reserved by the Administrator for grants under section 518(c) and section 1452(i) of such Acts: Provided further, That for fiscal year 2010, in addition to the amounts specified in section 205(c) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, up to 1.2486 percent of the funds appropriated for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund program under the Act may be reserved by the Administrator for grants made under title II of the Clean Water Act for American Samoa, Guam, the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas, and United States Virgin Islands: Provided further, That for fiscal year 2010, notwithstanding the limitations on amounts specified in section 1452(j) of the Safe Drinking Water Act, up to 1.5 percent of the funds appropriated for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund programs under the Safe Drinking Water Act may be reserved by the Administrator for grants made under section 1452(j) of the Safe Drinking Water Act: Provided further, That not less than 30 percent of the funds made available under this title to each State for Clean Water State Revolving Fund capitalization grants and not less than 30 percent of the funds made available under this title to each State for Drinking Water State Revolving Fund capitalization grants shall be used by the State to provide additional subsidy to eligible recipients in the form of forgiveness of principal, negative interest loans, or grants (or any combination of these), except that for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund capitalization grant appropriation this section shall only apply to the portion that exceeds \$1,000,000,000: Provided further, That no funds provided by this appropriations Act to address the water, wastewater and other critical infrastructure needs of the colonias in the United States along

*the United States-Mexico border shall be made available to a county or municipal government unless that government has established an enforceable local ordinance, or other zoning rule, which prevents in that jurisdiction the development or construction of any additional colonia areas, or the development within an existing colonia the construction of any new home, business, or other structure which lacks water, wastewater, or other necessary infrastructure.*

**ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
AGENCY**

**(INCLUDING TRANSFER AND RESCISSION OF FUNDS)**

*For fiscal year 2010, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 6303(1) and 6305(1), the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, in carrying out the Agency's function to implement directly Federal environmental programs required or authorized by law in the absence of an acceptable tribal program, may award cooperative agreements to federally recognized Indian tribes or Intertribal consortia, if authorized by their member Tribes, to assist the Administrator in implementing Federal environmental programs for Indian Tribes required or authorized by law, except that no such cooperative agreements may be awarded from funds designated for State financial assistance agreements.*

*The Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency is authorized to collect and obligate pesticide registration service fees in accordance with section 33 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, as amended by Public Law 110-94, the Pesticide Registration Improvement Renewal Act.*

*Title II of Public Law 109-54, as amended by title II of division E of Public Law 111-8 (123 Stat. 729), is amended in the fourth paragraph under the heading "Administrative Provisions" by striking "2011" and inserting "2015."*

*The Administrator is authorized to transfer up to \$475,000,000 of the funds appropriated for the Great Lakes Initiative under the heading "Environmental Programs and Management" to the head of any Federal department or agency, with the concurrence of such head, to carry out activities that would support the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative and Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement programs, projects, or activities; to enter into an interagency agreement with the head of such Federal department or agency to carry out these activities; and to make grants to governmental entities, nonprofit organizations, institutions, and individuals for planning, research, monitoring, outreach, and implementation in furtherance of the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative and the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement.*

*From unobligated balances to carry out projects and activities funded through the "State and Tribal Assistance Grants" and "Hazardous Substance Superfund" accounts, \$40,000,000 are permanently rescinded: Provided, That no amounts may be rescinded from amounts that were designated by Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget or the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended.*

*Not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Administrator, in consultation with other Federal agencies,*

*shall carry out and submit to Congress the results of a study on domestic and international black carbon emissions that shall include an inventory of the major sources of black carbon, an assessment of the impacts of black carbon on global and regional climate, an assessment of potential metrics and approaches for quantifying the climatic effects of black carbon emissions (including its radiative forcing and warming effects) and comparing those effects to the effects of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases, an identification of the most cost-effective approaches to reduce black carbon emissions, and an analysis of the climatic effects and other environmental and public health benefits of those approaches.*

*For fiscal year 2010 the requirements of section 513 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1372) shall apply to the construction of treatment works carried out in whole or in part with assistance made available by a State water pollution control revolving fund as authorized by title VI of that Act (33 U.S.C. 1381 et seq.), or with assistance made available under section 205(m) of that Act (33 U.S.C. 1285(m)), or both.*

*For fiscal year 2010 the requirements of section 1450(e) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-9(e)) shall apply to any construction project carried out in whole or in part with assistance made available by a drinking water treatment revolving loan fund as authorized by section 1452 of that Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12).*

### TITLE III

#### RELATED AGENCIES

#### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

##### FOREST SERVICE

##### FOREST AND RANGELAND RESEARCH

*For necessary expenses of forest and rangeland research as authorized by law, \$312,012,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the funds provided, \$66,939,000 is for the forest inventory and analysis program.*

##### STATE AND PRIVATE FORESTRY

*For necessary expenses of cooperating with and providing technical and financial assistance to States, territories, possessions, and others, and for forest health management, including treatments of pests, pathogens, and invasive or noxious plants and for restoring and rehabilitating forests damaged by pests or invasive plants, cooperative forestry, and education and land conservation activities and conducting an international program as authorized, \$308,061,000, to remain available until expended, as authorized by law; of which \$76,460,000 is to be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund; and of which \$2,000,000 may be made available to the Pest and Disease Revolving Loan Fund established by section 10205(b) of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (16 U.S.C. 2104a(b)).*

## NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM

## (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

*For necessary expenses of the Forest Service, not otherwise provided for, for management, protection, improvement, and utilization of the National Forest System, \$1,551,339,000, to remain available until expended, which shall include 50 percent of all moneys received during prior fiscal years as fees collected under the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965, as amended, in accordance with section 4 of the Act (16 U.S.C. 460l-6a(i)): Provided, That, through fiscal year 2012, the Secretary may authorize the expenditure or transfer of up to \$10,000,000 to the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, for removal, preparation, and adoption of excess wild horses and burros from National Forest System lands, and for the performance of cadastral surveys to designate the boundaries of such lands.*

## CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE

## (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

*For necessary expenses of the Forest Service, not otherwise provided for, \$556,053,000, to remain available until expended, for construction, capital improvement, maintenance and acquisition of buildings and other facilities and infrastructure; and for construction, capital improvement, decommissioning, and maintenance of forest roads and trails by the Forest Service as authorized by 16 U.S.C. 532-538 and 23 U.S.C. 101 and 205: Provided, That \$90,000,000 shall be designated for urgently needed road decommissioning, road and trail repair and maintenance and associated activities, and removal of fish passage barriers, especially in areas where Forest Service roads may be contributing to water quality problems in streams and water bodies which support threatened, endangered or sensitive species or community water sources: Provided further, That funds provided herein shall be available for the decommissioning of roads, including unauthorized roads not part of the transportation system, which are no longer needed: Provided further, That no funds shall be expended to decommission any system road until notice and an opportunity for public comment has been provided on each decommissioning project: Provided further, That the decommissioning of unauthorized roads not part of the official transportation system shall be expedited in response to threats to public safety, water quality, or natural resources: Provided further, That funds becoming available in fiscal year 2010 under the Act of March 4, 1913 (16 U.S.C. 501) shall be transferred to the General Fund of the Treasury and shall not be available for transfer or obligation for any other purpose unless the funds are appropriated.*

## LAND ACQUISITION

*For expenses necessary to carry out the provisions of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965, as amended (16 U.S.C. 460l-4 through 11), including administrative expenses, and for acquisition of land or waters, or interest therein, in accordance with statutory authority applicable to the Forest Service, \$63,522,000, to*

*be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund and to remain available until expended.*

#### ACQUISITION OF LANDS FOR NATIONAL FORESTS SPECIAL ACTS

*For acquisition of lands within the exterior boundaries of the Cache, Uinta, and Wasatch National Forests, Utah; the Toiyabe National Forest, Nevada; and the Angeles, San Bernardino, Sequoia, and Cleveland National Forests, California, as authorized by law, \$1,050,000, to be derived from forest receipts.*

#### ACQUISITION OF LANDS TO COMPLETE LAND EXCHANGES

*For acquisition of lands, such sums, to be derived from funds deposited by State, county, or municipal governments, public school districts, or other public school authorities, and for authorized expenditures from funds deposited by non-Federal parties pursuant to Land Sale and Exchange Acts, pursuant to the Act of December 4, 1967, as amended (16 U.S.C. 484a), to remain available until expended (16 U.S.C. 460l-516-617a, 555a; Public Law 96-586; Public Law 76-589, 76-591; and Public Law 78-310).*

#### RANGE BETTERMENT FUND

*For necessary expenses of range rehabilitation, protection, and improvement, 50 percent of all moneys received during the prior fiscal year, as fees for grazing domestic livestock on lands in National Forests in the 16 Western States, pursuant to section 401(b)(1) of Public Law 94-579, as amended, to remain available until expended, of which not to exceed 6 percent shall be available for administrative expenses associated with on-the-ground range rehabilitation, protection, and improvements.*

#### GIFTS, DONATIONS AND BEQUESTS FOR FOREST AND RANGELAND RESEARCH

*For expenses authorized by 16 U.S.C. 1643(b), \$50,000, to remain available until expended, to be derived from the fund established pursuant to the above Act.*

#### MANAGEMENT OF NATIONAL FOREST LANDS FOR SUBSISTENCE USES

*For necessary expenses of the Forest Service to manage Federal lands in Alaska for subsistence uses under title VIII of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (Public Law 96-487), \$2,582,000, to remain available until expended.*

#### WILDLAND FIRE MANAGEMENT

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

*For necessary expenses for forest fire presuppression activities on National Forest System lands, for emergency fire suppression on or adjacent to such lands or other lands under fire protection agreement, hazardous fuels reduction on or adjacent to such lands, and for emergency rehabilitation of burned-over National Forest System lands and water, \$2,103,737,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That such funds including unobligated balances under this heading, are available for repayment of advances from*

other appropriations accounts previously transferred for such purposes: Provided further, That such funds shall be available to reimburse State and other cooperating entities for services provided in response to wildfire and other emergencies or disasters to the extent such reimbursements by the Forest Service for non-fire emergencies are fully repaid by the responsible emergency management agency: Provided further, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, \$8,000,000 of funds appropriated under this appropriation shall be used for Fire Science Research in support of the Joint Fire Science Program: Provided further, That all authorities for the use of funds, including the use of contracts, grants, and cooperative agreements, available to execute the Forest and Rangeland Research appropriation, are also available in the utilization of these funds for Fire Science Research: Provided further, That funds provided shall be available for emergency rehabilitation and restoration, hazardous fuels reduction activities in the urban-wildland interface, support to Federal emergency response, and wildfire suppression activities of the Forest Service: Provided further, That of the funds provided, \$350,285,000 is for hazardous fuels reduction activities, \$11,600,000 is for rehabilitation and restoration, \$23,917,000 is for research activities and to make competitive research grants pursuant to the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Research Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1641 et seq.), \$71,250,000 is for State fire assistance, \$9,000,000 is for volunteer fire assistance, \$20,752,000 is for forest health activities on Federal lands and \$11,428,000 is for forest health activities on State and private lands: Provided further, That no less than \$75,000,000 in prior-year wildfire suppression balances shall be made available in addition to amounts provided in this Act for that purpose: Provided further, That of the funds provided for hazardous fuels reduction, \$10,000,000 shall be deposited in the Collaborative Forest Landscape Restoration Fund for ecological restoration treatments as authorized by 16 U.S.C. 7303(f): Provided further, That amounts in this paragraph may be transferred to the "State and Private Forestry", "National Forest System", and "Forest and Rangeland Research" accounts to fund State fire assistance, volunteer fire assistance, forest health management, forest and rangeland research, the Joint Fire Science Program, vegetation and watershed management, heritage site rehabilitation, and wildlife and fish habitat management and restoration: Provided further, That up to \$15,000,000 of the funds provided under this heading for hazardous fuels treatments may be transferred to and made a part of the "National Forest System" account at the sole discretion of the Chief 30 days after notifying the House and the Senate Committees on Appropriations: Provided further, That the costs of implementing any cooperative agreement between the Federal Government and any non-Federal entity may be shared, as mutually agreed on by the affected parties: Provided further, That up to \$15,000,000 of the funds provided herein may be used by the Secretary of Agriculture to enter into procurement contracts or cooperative agreements, or issue grants, for hazardous fuels reduction activities and for training and monitoring associated with such hazardous fuels reduction activities, on Federal land, or on adjacent non-Federal land for activities that benefit resources on Federal land: Provided further, That funds made available to implement the Community Forest Restoration Act, Public Law 106-393, title VI, shall be avail-

able for use on non-Federal lands in accordance with authorities made available to the Forest Service under the State and Private Forestry Appropriation: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture may authorize the transfer of funds appropriated for wildland fire management, in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$50,000,000, between the Departments when such transfers would facilitate and expedite jointly funded wildland fire management programs and projects: Provided further, That of the funds provided for hazardous fuels reduction, not to exceed \$5,000,000, may be used to make grants, using any authorities available to the Forest Service under the State and Private Forestry appropriation, for the purpose of creating incentives for increased use of biomass from national forest lands: Provided further, That funds designated for wildfire suppression shall be assessed for cost pools on the same basis as such assessments are calculated against other agency programs.

FLAME WILDFIRE SUPPRESSION RESERVE FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For deposit in the FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund created in title V, section 502(b) of this Act, \$413,000,000, to remain available until expended.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS, FOREST SERVICE

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Appropriations to the Forest Service for the current fiscal year shall be available for: (1) purchase of passenger motor vehicles; acquisition of passenger motor vehicles from excess sources, and hire of such vehicles; purchase, lease, operation, maintenance, and acquisition of aircraft from excess sources to maintain the operable fleet for use in Forest Service wildland fire programs and other Forest Service programs; notwithstanding other provisions of law, existing aircraft being replaced may be sold, with proceeds derived or trade-in value used to offset the purchase price for the replacement aircraft; (2) services pursuant to 7 U.S.C. 2225, and not to exceed \$100,000 for employment under 5 U.S.C. 3109; (3) purchase, erection, and alteration of buildings and other public improvements (7 U.S.C. 2250); (4) acquisition of land, waters, and interests therein pursuant to 7 U.S.C. 428a; (5) for expenses pursuant to the Volunteers in the National Forest Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 558a, 558d, and 558a note); (6) the cost of uniforms as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901–5902; and (7) for debt collection contracts in accordance with 31 U.S.C. 3718(c).

Any appropriations or funds available to the Forest Service may be transferred to the Wildland Fire Management appropriation for forest firefighting, emergency rehabilitation of burned-over or damaged lands or waters under its jurisdiction, and fire preparedness due to severe burning conditions five days after the Secretary notifies the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations that all fire suppression funds appropriated under the headings “Wildland Fire Management” and “FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund” shall be fully obligated within 30 days: Provided, That all funds used pursuant to this paragraph must be replenished by a



*supplemental appropriation which must be requested as promptly as possible.*

*Funds appropriated to the Forest Service shall be available for assistance to or through the Agency for International Development in connection with forest and rangeland research, technical information, and assistance in foreign countries, and shall be available to support forestry and related natural resource activities outside the United States and its territories and possessions, including technical assistance, education and training, and cooperation with United States and international organizations.*

*None of the funds made available to the Forest Service in this Act or any other Act with respect to any fiscal year shall be subject to transfer under the provisions of section 702(b) of the Department of Agriculture Organic Act of 1944 (7 U.S.C. 2257), section 442 of Public Law 106-224 (7 U.S.C. 7772), or section 10417(b) of Public Law 107-107 (7 U.S.C. 8316(b)).*

*None of the funds available to the Forest Service may be reprogrammed without the advance approval of the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations in accordance with the reprogramming procedures contained in the joint explanatory statement of the managers accompanying this Act.*

*Not more than \$78,350,000 of funds available to the Forest Service shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund of the Department of Agriculture and not more than \$19,825,000 of funds available to the Forest Service shall be transferred to the Department of Agriculture for Department Reimbursable Programs, commonly referred to as Greenbook charges. Nothing in this paragraph shall prohibit or limit the use of reimbursable agreements requested by the Forest Service in order to obtain services from the Department of Agriculture's National Information Technology Center.*

*Funds available to the Forest Service shall be available to conduct a program of up to \$5,000,000 for priority projects within the scope of the approved budget, of which \$2,500,000 shall be carried out by the Youth Conservation Corps and \$2,500,000 shall be carried out under the authority of the Public Lands Corps Healthy Forests Restoration Act of 2005, Public Law 109-154.*

*Of the funds available to the Forest Service, \$4,000 is available to the Chief of the Forest Service for official reception and representation expenses.*

*Pursuant to sections 405(b) and 410(b) of Public Law 101-593, of the funds available to the Forest Service, \$3,000,000 may be advanced in a lump sum to the National Forest Foundation to aid conservation partnership projects in support of the Forest Service mission, without regard to when the Foundation incurs expenses, for projects on or benefitting National Forest System lands or related to Forest Service programs: Provided, That the Foundation shall obtain, by the end of the period of Federal financial assistance, private contributions to match on at least one-for-one basis funds made available by the Forest Service: Provided further, That the Foundation may transfer Federal funds to Federal or a non-Federal recipient for a project at the same rate that the recipient has obtained the non-Federal matching funds: Provided further, That authorized investments of Federal funds held by the Foundation may be made only in interest-bearing obligations of the United*

*States or in obligations guaranteed as to both principal and interest by the United States.*

*Pursuant to section 2(b)(2) of Public Law 98–244, \$3,000,000 of the funds available to the Forest Service shall be advanced to the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation in a lump sum to aid cost-share conservation projects, without regard to when expenses are incurred, on or benefitting National Forest System lands or related to Forest Service programs: Provided, That such funds shall be matched on at least a one-for-one basis by the Foundation or its sub-recipients: Provided further, That the Foundation may transfer Federal funds to a Federal or non-Federal recipient for a project at the same rate that the recipient has obtained the non-Federal matching funds.*

*Funds appropriated to the Forest Service shall be available for interactions with and providing technical assistance to rural communities and natural resource-based businesses for sustainable rural development purposes.*

*Funds appropriated to the Forest Service shall be available for payments to counties within the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area, pursuant to section 14(c)(1) and (2), and section 16(a)(2) of Public Law 99–663.*

*An eligible individual who is employed in any project funded under title V of the Older American Act of 1965 (42 U.S.C. 3056 et seq.) and administered by the Forest Service shall be considered to be a Federal employee for purposes of chapter 171 of title 28, United States Code.*

*Any funds appropriated to the Forest Service may be used to meet the non-Federal share requirement in section 502(c) of the Older American Act of 1965 (42 U.S.C. 3056(c)(2)).*

*Funds available to the Forest Service, not to exceed \$55,000,000, shall be assessed for the purpose of performing fire, administrative and other facilities maintenance. Such assessments shall occur using a square foot rate charged on the same basis the agency uses to assess programs for payment of rent, utilities, and other support services.*

*Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any appropriations or funds available to the Forest Service not to exceed \$500,000 may be used to reimburse the Office of the General Counsel (OGC), Department of Agriculture, for travel and related expenses incurred as a result of OGC assistance or participation requested by the Forest Service at meetings, training sessions, management reviews, land purchase negotiations and similar non-litigation related matters. Future budget justifications for both the Forest Service and the Department of Agriculture should clearly display the sums previously transferred and the requested funding transfers.*

*The 19th unnumbered paragraph under heading “Administrative Provisions, Forest Service” in title III of the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2006, Public Law 109–54, is amended by striking “2009” and inserting “2014”.*

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

## INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE

## INDIAN HEALTH SERVICES

*For expenses necessary to carry out the Act of August 5, 1954 (68 Stat. 674), the Indian Self-Determination Act, the Indian Health Care Improvement Act, and titles II and III of the Public Health Service Act with respect to the Indian Health Service, \$3,657,618,000, together with payments received during the fiscal year pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 238(b) and 238b for services furnished by the Indian Health Service: Provided, That funds made available to tribes and tribal organizations through contracts, grant agreements, or any other agreements or compacts authorized by the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act of 1975 (25 U.S.C. 450), shall be deemed to be obligated at the time of the grant or contract award and thereafter shall remain available to the tribe or tribal organization without fiscal year limitation: Provided further, That \$779,347,000 for contract medical care, including \$48,000,000 for the Indian Catastrophic Health Emergency Fund, shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That \$18,251,000 is provided for Headquarters operations and information technology activities and, notwithstanding any other provision of law, the amount available under this proviso shall be allocated at the discretion of the Director of the Indian Health Service: Provided further, That of the funds provided, up to \$32,000,000 shall remain available until expended for implementation of the loan repayment program under section 108 of the Indian Health Care Improvement Act: Provided further, That \$16,391,000 is provided for the methamphetamine and suicide prevention and treatment initiative and \$10,000,000 is provided for the domestic violence prevention initiative and, notwithstanding any other provision of law, the amounts available under this proviso shall be allocated at the discretion of the Director of the Indian Health Service and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That funds provided in this Act may be used for annual contracts and grants that fall within two fiscal years, provided the total obligation is recorded in the year the funds are appropriated: Provided further, That the amounts collected by the Secretary of Health and Human Services under the authority of title IV of the Indian Health Care Improvement Act shall remain available until expended for the purpose of achieving compliance with the applicable conditions and requirements of titles XVIII and XIX of the Social Security Act, except for those related to the planning, design, or construction of new facilities: Provided further, That funding contained herein for scholarship programs under the Indian Health Care Improvement Act (25 U.S.C. 1613) shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That amounts received by tribes and tribal organizations under title IV of the Indian Health Care Improvement Act shall be reported and accounted for and available to the receiving tribes and tribal organizations until expended: Provided further, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, of the amounts provided herein, not to exceed \$398,490,000 shall be for payments to tribes and tribal organizations for contract or grant support costs associated with contracts, grants, self-governance compacts, or annual*

*funding agreements between the Indian Health Service and a tribe or tribal organization pursuant to the Indian Self-Determination Act of 1975, as amended, prior to or during fiscal year 2010, of which not to exceed \$5,000,000 may be used for contract support costs associated with new or expanded self-determination contracts, grants, self-governance compacts, or annual funding agreements: Provided further, That the Bureau of Indian Affairs may collect from the Indian Health Service, tribes and tribal organizations operating health facilities pursuant to Public Law 93-638, such individually identifiable health information relating to disabled children as may be necessary for the purpose of carrying out its functions under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (20 U.S.C. 1400, et seq.): Provided further, That the Indian Health Care Improvement Fund may be used, as needed, to carry out activities typically funded under the Indian Health Facilities account.*

#### INDIAN HEALTH FACILITIES

*For construction, repair, maintenance, improvement, and equipment of health and related auxiliary facilities, including quarters for personnel; preparation of plans, specifications, and drawings; acquisition of sites, purchase and erection of modular buildings, and purchases of trailers; and for provision of domestic and community sanitation facilities for Indians, as authorized by section 7 of the Act of August 5, 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2004a), the Indian Self-Determination Act, and the Indian Health Care Improvement Act, and for expenses necessary to carry out such Acts and titles II and III of the Public Health Service Act with respect to environmental health and facilities support activities of the Indian Health Service, \$394,757,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds appropriated for the planning, design, construction, renovation or expansion of health facilities for the benefit of an Indian tribe or tribes may be used to purchase land on which such facilities will be located: Provided further, That not to exceed \$500,000 shall be used by the Indian Health Service to purchase TRANSAM equipment from the Department of Defense for distribution to the Indian Health Service and tribal facilities: Provided further, That none of the funds appropriated to the Indian Health Service may be used for sanitation facilities construction for new homes funded with grants by the housing programs of the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development: Provided further, That not to exceed \$2,700,000 from this account and the "Indian Health Services" account shall be used by the Indian Health Service to obtain ambulances for the Indian Health Service and tribal facilities in conjunction with an existing interagency agreement between the Indian Health Service and the General Services Administration: Provided further, That not to exceed \$500,000 shall be placed in a Demolition Fund, to remain available until expended, and be used by the Indian Health Service for the demolition of Federal buildings.*

#### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS, INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE

*Appropriations provided in this Act to the Indian Health Service shall be available for services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109 at rates not to exceed the per diem rate equivalent to the maximum*

*rate payable for senior-level positions under 5 U.S.C. 5376; hire of passenger motor vehicles and aircraft; purchase of medical equipment; purchase of reprints; purchase, renovation and erection of modular buildings and renovation of existing facilities; payments for telephone service in private residences in the field, when authorized under regulations approved by the Secretary; uniforms or allowances therefor as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901–5902; and for expenses of attendance at meetings that relate to the functions or activities of the Indian Health Service.*

*In accordance with the provisions of the Indian Health Care Improvement Act, non-Indian patients may be extended health care at all tribally administered or Indian Health Service facilities, subject to charges, and the proceeds along with funds recovered under the Federal Medical Care Recovery Act (42 U.S.C. 2651–2653) shall be credited to the account of the facility providing the service and shall be available without fiscal year limitation. Notwithstanding any other law or regulation, funds transferred from the Department of Housing and Urban Development to the Indian Health Service shall be administered under Public Law 86–121, the Indian Sanitation Facilities Act and Public Law 93–638, as amended.*

*Funds appropriated to the Indian Health Service in this Act, except those used for administrative and program direction purposes, shall not be subject to limitations directed at curtailing Federal travel and transportation.*

*None of the funds made available to the Indian Health Service in this Act shall be used for any assessments or charges by the Department of Health and Human Services unless identified in the budget justification and provided in this Act, or approved by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations through the reprogramming process.*

*Notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds previously or herein made available to a tribe or tribal organization through a contract, grant, or agreement authorized by title I or title V of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act of 1975 (25 U.S.C. 450), may be deobligated and reobligated to a self-determination contract under title I, or a self-governance agreement under title V of such Act and thereafter shall remain available to the tribe or tribal organization without fiscal year limitation.*

*None of the funds made available to the Indian Health Service in this Act shall be used to implement the final rule published in the Federal Register on September 16, 1987, by the Department of Health and Human Services, relating to the eligibility for the health care services of the Indian Health Service until the Indian Health Service has submitted a budget request reflecting the increased costs associated with the proposed final rule, and such request has been included in an appropriations Act and enacted into law.*

*With respect to functions transferred by the Indian Health Service to tribes or tribal organizations, the Indian Health Service is authorized to provide goods and services to those entities on a reimbursable basis, including payments in advance with subsequent adjustment. The reimbursements received therefrom, along with the funds received from those entities pursuant to the Indian Self-Determination Act, may be credited to the same or subsequent appropriation account from which the funds were originally derived, with such amounts to remain available until expended.*

*Reimbursements for training, technical assistance, or services provided by the Indian Health Service will contain total costs, including direct, administrative, and overhead associated with the provision of goods, services, or technical assistance.*

*The appropriation structure for the Indian Health Service may not be altered without advance notification to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.*

#### NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH

##### NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SCIENCES

*For necessary expenses for the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences in carrying out activities set forth in section 311(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, and section 126(g) of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, \$79,212,000.*

##### AGENCY FOR TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND DISEASE REGISTRY

##### TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL PUBLIC HEALTH

*For necessary expenses for the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) in carrying out activities set forth in sections 104(i) and 111(c)(4) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended; section 118(f) of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA), as amended; and section 3019 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, \$76,792,000, of which up to \$1,000 per eligible employee of the Agency for Toxic Substance and Disease Registry shall remain available until expended for Individual Learning Accounts: Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, in lieu of performing a health assessment under section 104(i)(6) of CERCLA, the Administrator of ATSDR may conduct other appropriate health studies, evaluations, or activities, including, without limitation, biomedical testing, clinical evaluations, medical monitoring, and referral to accredited health care providers: Provided further, That in performing any such health assessment or health study, evaluation, or activity, the Administrator of ATSDR shall not be bound by the deadlines in section 104(i)(6)(A) of CERCLA: Provided further, That none of the funds appropriated under this heading shall be available for ATSDR to issue in excess of 40 toxicological profiles pursuant to section 104(i) of CERCLA during fiscal year 2010, and existing profiles may be updated as necessary.*

#### OTHER RELATED AGENCIES

##### EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

##### COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AND OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

*For necessary expenses to continue functions assigned to the Council on Environmental Quality and Office of Environmental Quality pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Environmental Quality Improvement Act of 1970, and Reorga-*

nization Plan No. 1 of 1977, and not to exceed \$750 for official reception and representation expenses, \$3,159,000: *Provided, That notwithstanding section 202 of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1970, the Council shall consist of one member, appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, serving as chairman and exercising all powers, functions, and duties of the Council.*

#### CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

*For necessary expenses in carrying out activities pursuant to section 112(r)(6) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, including hire of passenger vehicles, uniforms or allowances therefor, as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 5901–5902, and for services authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109 but at rates for individuals not to exceed the per diem equivalent to the maximum rate payable for senior level positions under 5 U.S.C. 5376, \$11,147,000: Provided, That the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board (Board) shall have not more than three career Senior Executive Service positions: Provided further, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, the individual appointed to the position of Inspector General of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) shall, by virtue of such appointment, also hold the position of Inspector General of the Board: Provided further, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Inspector General of the Board shall utilize personnel of the Office of Inspector General of EPA in performing the duties of the Inspector General of the Board, and shall not appoint any individuals to positions within the Board: Provided further, That of the funds appropriated under this heading, \$600,000 shall be for a study by the National Academy of Sciences to examine the use and storage of methyl isocyanate including the feasibility of implementing alternative chemicals or processes and an examination of the cost of alternatives at the Bayer CropScience facility in Institute, West Virginia.*

#### OFFICE OF NAVAJO AND HOPI INDIAN RELOCATION

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

*For necessary expenses of the Office of Navajo and Hopi Indian Relocation as authorized by Public Law 93–531, \$8,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That funds provided in this or any other appropriations Act are to be used to relocate eligible individuals and groups including evictees from District 6, Hopi-partitioned lands residents, those in significantly substandard housing, and all others certified as eligible and not included in the preceding categories: Provided further, That none of the funds contained in this or any other Act may be used by the Office of Navajo and Hopi Indian Relocation to evict any single Navajo or Navajo family who, as of November 30, 1985, was physically domiciled on the lands partitioned to the Hopi Tribe unless a new or replacement home is provided for such household: Provided further, That no relocatee will be provided with more than one new or replacement home: Provided further, That the Office shall relocate any certified eligible relocatees who have selected and received an approved homesite on the Navajo reservation or selected a replacement resi-*

*dence off the Navajo reservation or on the land acquired pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 640d–10.*

*INSTITUTE OF AMERICAN INDIAN AND ALASKA NATIVE CULTURE AND  
ARTS DEVELOPMENT*

*PAYMENT TO THE INSTITUTE*

*For payment to the Institute of American Indian and Alaska Native Culture and Arts Development, as authorized by title XV of Public Law 99–498, as amended (20 U.S.C. 56 part A), \$8,300,000.*

*SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION*

*SALARIES AND EXPENSES*

*For necessary expenses of the Smithsonian Institution, as authorized by law, including research in the fields of art, science, and history; development, preservation, and documentation of the National Collections; presentation of public exhibits and performances; collection, preparation, dissemination, and exchange of information and publications; conduct of education, training, and museum assistance programs; maintenance, alteration, operation, lease agreements of no more than 30 years, and protection of buildings, facilities, and approaches; not to exceed \$100,000 for services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109; and purchase, rental, repair, and cleaning of uniforms for employees, \$636,161,000, to remain available until September 30, 2011, except as otherwise provided herein; of which not to exceed \$19,117,000 for the instrumentation program, collections acquisition, exhibition reinstallation, the National Museum of African American History and Culture, and the repatriation of skeletal remains program shall remain available until expended; of which \$1,553,000 is for fellowships and scholarly awards; of which \$250,000 may be made available to carry out activities under the Civil Rights History Project Act of 2009 (20 U.S.C. 80s et seq.), to remain available until expended; and including such funds as may be necessary to support American overseas research centers: Provided, That funds appropriated herein are available for advance payments to independent contractors performing research services or participating in official Smithsonian presentations.*

*FACILITIES CAPITAL*

*For necessary expenses of repair, revitalization, and alteration of facilities owned or occupied by the Smithsonian Institution, by contract or otherwise, as authorized by section 2 of the Act of August 22, 1949 (63 Stat. 623), and for construction, including necessary personnel, \$125,000,000, to remain available until expended, of which not to exceed \$10,000 is for services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109.*

*LEGACY FUND*

*(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)*

*For the purpose of developing a public-private partnership to facilitate the reopening of the Arts and Industries Building of the Smithsonian Institution, \$30,000,000, to remain available until ex-*



*pended, for repair, renovation and revitalization of the building: Provided, That such funds shall be matched on a 1:1 basis by private donations: Provided further, That major in-kind donations that contribute significantly to the redesign and purpose of the reopened building be considered to qualify toward the total private match: Provided further, That privately contributed endowments, which are designated for the care and renewal of permanent exhibitions installed in the Arts and Industries Building, be considered as qualifying toward the total private match: Provided further, That this appropriation may be made available to the Smithsonian Institution incrementally as private funding becomes available: Provided further, That any other provision of law that adjusts the overall amount of the Federal appropriation for this account shall also apply to the privately contributed requirement: Provided further, That the unobligated balances provided under this heading in Public Law 110-161 and Public Law 111-8 are hereby rescinded.*

#### NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

*For the upkeep and operations of the National Gallery of Art, the protection and care of the works of art therein, and administrative expenses incident thereto, as authorized by the Act of March 24, 1937 (50 Stat. 51), as amended by the public resolution of April 13, 1939 (Public Resolution 9, Seventy-sixth Congress), including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109; payment in advance when authorized by the treasurer of the Gallery for membership in library, museum, and art associations or societies whose publications or services are available to members only, or to members at a price lower than to the general public; purchase, repair, and cleaning of uniforms for guards, and uniforms, or allowances therefor, for other employees as authorized by law (5 U.S.C. 5901-5902); purchase or rental of devices and services for protecting buildings and contents thereof, and maintenance, alteration, improvement, and repair of buildings, approaches, and grounds; and purchase of services for restoration and repair of works of art for the National Gallery of Art by contracts made, without advertising, with individuals, firms, or organizations at such rates or prices and under such terms and conditions as the Gallery may deem proper, \$110,746,000, of which not to exceed \$3,386,000 for the special exhibition program shall remain available until expended.*

##### REPAIR, RESTORATION AND RENOVATION OF BUILDINGS

*For necessary expenses of repair, restoration and renovation of buildings, grounds and facilities owned or occupied by the National Gallery of Art, by contract or otherwise, as authorized, \$56,259,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of this amount, \$40,000,000 shall be available for repair of the National Gallery's East Building façade: Provided further, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, a single procurement for the foregoing Major Critical Project may be issued which includes the full scope of the project: Provided further, That the solicitation and contract shall contain the clause "availability of funds" found at 48 CFR 52.232.18: Provided further, That contracts awarded for environ-*

*mental systems, protection systems, and exterior repair or renovation of buildings of the National Gallery of Art may be negotiated with selected contractors and awarded on the basis of contractor qualifications as well as price.*

*JOHN F. KENNEDY CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS*

*OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE*

*For necessary expenses for the operation, maintenance and security of the John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts, \$23,000,000: Provided, That of the funds included under this heading, \$500,000 is available until expended to implement a program to train arts managers throughout the United States.*

*CAPITAL REPAIR AND RESTORATION*

*For necessary expenses for capital repair and restoration of the existing features of the building and site of the John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts, \$17,447,000, to remain available until expended.*

*WOODROW WILSON INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR SCHOLARS*

*SALARIES AND EXPENSES*

*For expenses necessary in carrying out the provisions of the Woodrow Wilson Memorial Act of 1968 (82 Stat. 1356) including hire of passenger vehicles and services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, \$12,225,000, to remain available until September 30, 2011.*

*NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES*

*NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS*

*GRANTS AND ADMINISTRATION*

*For necessary expenses to carry out the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, \$167,500,000 shall be available to the National Endowment for the Arts for the support of projects and productions in the arts, including arts education and public outreach activities, through assistance to organizations and individuals pursuant to section 5 of the Act, for program support, and for administering the functions of the Act, to remain available until expended.*

*NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE HUMANITIES*

*GRANTS AND ADMINISTRATION*

*For necessary expenses to carry out the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, \$167,500,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$153,200,000 shall be available for support of activities in the humanities, pursuant to section 7(c) of the Act and for administering the functions of the Act; and \$14,300,000 shall be available to carry out the matching grants program pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Act including \$9,500,000 for the purposes of section 7(h): Provided, That appropriations for carrying out section 10(a)(2) shall be avail-*

*able for obligation only in such amounts as may be equal to the total amounts of gifts, bequests, and devises of money, and other property accepted by the chairman or by grantees of the Endowment under the provisions of subsections 11(a)(2)(B) and 11(a)(3)(B) during the current and preceding fiscal years for which equal amounts have not previously been appropriated.*

#### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

*None of the funds appropriated to the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities may be used to process any grant or contract documents which do not include the text of 18 U.S.C. 1913: Provided, That none of the funds appropriated to the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities may be used for official reception and representation expenses: Provided further, That funds from nonappropriated sources may be used as necessary for official reception and representation expenses: Provided further, That the Chairperson of the National Endowment for the Arts may approve grants of up to \$10,000, if in the aggregate this amount does not exceed 5 percent of the sums appropriated for grant-making purposes per year: Provided further, That such small grant actions are taken pursuant to the terms of an expressed and direct delegation of authority from the National Council on the Arts to the Chairperson.*

#### COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

*For expenses made necessary by the Act establishing a Commission of Fine Arts (40 U.S.C. 104), \$2,294,000: Provided, That the Commission is authorized to charge fees to cover the full costs of its publications, and such fees shall be credited to this account as an offsetting collection, to remain available until expended without further appropriation: Provided further, That the Commission is authorized to accept gifts, including objects, papers, artwork, drawings and artifacts, that pertain to the history and design of the Nation's Capital or the history and activities of the Commission of Fine Arts, for the purpose of artistic display, study or education.*

#### NATIONAL CAPITAL ARTS AND CULTURAL AFFAIRS

*For necessary expenses as authorized by Public Law 99-190 (20 U.S.C. 956a), as amended, \$9,500,000.*

#### ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

*For necessary expenses of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (Public Law 89-665, as amended), \$5,908,000: Provided, That none of these funds shall be available for compensation of level V of the Executive Schedule or higher positions.*

## NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

*For necessary expenses, as authorized by the National Capital Planning Act of 1952 (40 U.S.C. 71–71i), including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, \$8,507,000: Provided, That one-quarter of 1 percent of the funds provided under this heading may be used for official reception and representational expenses associated with hosting international visitors engaged in the planning and physical development of world capitals.*

## UNITED STATES HOLOCAUST MEMORIAL MUSEUM

## HOLOCAUST MEMORIAL MUSEUM

*For expenses of the Holocaust Memorial Museum, as authorized by Public Law 106–292 (36 U.S.C. 2301–2310), \$49,122,000, of which \$515,000 for the Museum’s equipment replacement program, \$1,900,000 for the museum’s repair and rehabilitation program, and \$1,264,000 for the museum’s exhibition design and production program shall remain available until expended.*

## PRESIDIO TRUST

## PRESIDIO TRUST FUND

*For necessary expenses to carry out title I of the Omnibus Parks and Public Lands Management Act of 1996, \$23,200,000 shall be available to the Presidio Trust, to remain available until expended.*

## DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER MEMORIAL COMMISSION

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

*For necessary expenses, including the costs of construction design, of the Dwight D. Eisenhower Memorial Commission, \$3,000,000, to remain available until expended.*

## CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION

*For necessary expenses of the Dwight D. Eisenhower Memorial Commission for design and construction of a memorial in honor of Dwight D. Eisenhower, as authorized by Public Law 106–79, \$16,000,000, to remain available until expended.*

## TITLE IV

## GENERAL PROVISIONS

## (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

## LIMITATION ON CONSULTING SERVICES

*SEC. 401. The expenditure of any appropriation under this Act for any consulting service through procurement contract, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 3109, shall be limited to those contracts where such expenditures are a matter of public record and available for public inspection, except where otherwise provided under existing law, or under existing Executive Order issued pursuant to existing law.*

## RESTRICTION ON USE OF FUNDS

*SEC. 402. No part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall be available for any activity or the publication or distribution of literature that in any way tends to promote public support or opposition to any legislative proposal on which Congressional action is not complete other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in 18 U.S.C. 1913.*

## OBLIGATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

*SEC. 403. No part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year unless expressly so provided herein.*

## PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR PERSONAL SERVICES

*SEC. 404. None of the funds provided in this Act to any department or agency shall be obligated or expended to provide a personal cook, chauffeur, or other personal servants to any officer or employee of such department or agency except as otherwise provided by law.*

## DISCLOSURE OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

*SEC. 405. Estimated overhead charges, deductions, reserves or holdbacks from programs, projects, activities and subactivities to support government-wide, departmental, agency or bureau administrative functions or headquarters, regional or central operations shall be presented in annual budget justifications and subject to approval by the Committees on Appropriations. Changes to such estimates shall be presented to the Committees on Appropriations for approval.*

## GIANT SEQUOIA

*SEC. 406. None of the funds in this Act may be used to plan, prepare, or offer for sale timber from trees classified as giant sequoia (*Sequoiadendron giganteum*) which are located on National Forest System or Bureau of Land Management lands in a manner different than such sales were conducted in fiscal year 2009.*

## TRANSFER OF FUNDS AUTHORITY

*SEC. 407. None of the funds made available in this Act may be transferred to any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States Government except pursuant to a transfer made by, or transfer provided in, this Act or any other Act.*

## MINING APPLICATIONS

*SEC. 408. (a) LIMITATION OF FUNDS.—None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available pursuant to this Act shall be obligated or expended to accept or process applications for a patent for any mining or mill site claim located under the general mining laws.*

*(b) EXCEPTIONS.—The provisions of subsection (a) shall not apply if the Secretary of the Interior determines that, for the claim concerned: (1) a patent application was filed with the Secretary on or before September 30, 1994; and (2) all requirements established*

*under sections 2325 and 2326 of the Revised Statutes (30 U.S.C. 29 and 30) for vein or lode claims and sections 2329, 2330, 2331, and 2333 of the Revised Statutes (30 U.S.C. 35, 36, and 37) for placer claims, and section 2337 of the Revised Statutes (30 U.S.C. 42) for mill site claims, as the case may be, were fully complied with by the applicant by that date.*

*(c) REPORT.—On September 30, 2010, the Secretary of the Interior shall file with the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate a report on actions taken by the Department under the plan submitted pursuant to section 314(c) of the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1997 (Public Law 104–208).*

*(d) MINERAL EXAMINATIONS.—In order to process patent applications in a timely and responsible manner, upon the request of a patent applicant, the Secretary of the Interior shall allow the applicant to fund a qualified third-party contractor to be selected by the Bureau of Land Management to conduct a mineral examination of the mining claims or mill sites contained in a patent application as set forth in subsection (b). The Bureau of Land Management shall have the sole responsibility to choose and pay the third-party contractor in accordance with the standard procedures employed by the Bureau of Land Management in the retention of third-party contractors.*

#### CONTRACT SUPPORT COSTS

*SEC. 409. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, amounts appropriated to or otherwise designated in committee reports for the Bureau of Indian Affairs and the Indian Health Service by Public Laws 103–138, 103–332, 104–134, 104–208, 105–83, 105–277, 106–113, 106–291, 107–63, 108–7, 108–108, 108–447, 109–54, 109–289, division B and Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2007 (division B of Public Law 109–289, as amended by Public Laws 110–5 and 110–28), Public Laws 110–92, 110–116, 110–137, 110–149, 110–161, 110–329, 111–6, and 111–8 for payments for contract support costs associated with self-determination or self-governance contracts, grants, compacts, or annual funding agreements with the Bureau of Indian Affairs or the Indian Health Service as funded by such Acts, are the total amounts available for fiscal years 1994 through 2009 for such purposes, except that the Bureau of Indian Affairs, tribes and tribal organizations may use their tribal priority allocations for unmet contract support costs of ongoing contracts, grants, self-governance compacts, or annual funding agreements.*

#### FOREST MANAGEMENT PLANS

*SEC. 410. The Secretary of Agriculture shall not be considered to be in violation of subparagraph 6(f)(5)(A) of the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. 1604(f)(5)(A)) solely because more than 15 years have passed without revision of the plan for a unit of the National Forest System. Nothing in this section exempts the Secretary from any other requirement of the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act (16 U.S.C. 1600 et seq.) or any other law: Provided, That if the Secretary is not acting expeditiously and in good faith, within*

*the funding available, to revise a plan for a unit of the National Forest System, this section shall be void with respect to such plan and a court of proper jurisdiction may order completion of the plan on an accelerated basis.*

#### PROHIBITION WITHIN NATIONAL MONUMENTS

*SEC. 411. No funds provided in this Act may be expended to conduct preleasing, leasing and related activities under either the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 181 et seq.) or the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1331 et seq.) within the boundaries of a National Monument established pursuant to the Act of June 8, 1906 (16 U.S.C. 431 et seq.) as such boundary existed on January 20, 2001, except where such activities are allowed under the Presidential proclamation establishing such monument.*

#### INTERNATIONAL FIREFIGHTER COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS

*SEC. 412. In entering into agreements with foreign fire organizations pursuant to the Temporary Emergency Wildfire Suppression Act (42 U.S.C. 1856m–1856o), the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of the Interior are authorized to enter into reciprocal agreements in which the individuals furnished under said agreements to provide wildfire services are considered, for purposes of tort liability, employees of the fire organization receiving said services when the individuals are engaged in fire suppression or presuppression: Provided, That the Secretary of Agriculture or the Secretary of the Interior shall not enter into any agreement under this provision unless the foreign fire organization agrees to assume any and all liability for the acts or omissions of American firefighters engaged in fire suppression or presuppression in a foreign country: Provided further, That when an agreement is reached for furnishing fire suppression or presuppression services, the only remedies for acts or omissions committed while engaged in fire suppression or presuppression shall be those provided under the laws applicable to the fire organization receiving the fire suppression or presuppression services, and those remedies shall be the exclusive remedies for any claim arising out of fire suppression or presuppression activities in a foreign country: Provided further, That neither the sending country nor any legal organization associated with the firefighter shall be subject to any legal action, consistent with the applicable laws governing sovereign immunity, pertaining to or arising out of the firefighter's role in fire suppression or presuppression, except that if the foreign fire organization is unable to provide immunity under laws applicable to it, it shall assume any and all liability for the United States or for any legal organization associated with the American firefighter, and for any and all costs incurred or assessed, including legal fees, for any act or omission pertaining to or arising out of the firefighter's role in fire suppression or presuppression.*

#### CONTRACTING AUTHORITIES

*SEC. 413. In awarding a Federal contract with funds made available by this Act, notwithstanding Federal Government procurement and contracting laws, the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of the Interior (the "Secretaries") may, in evaluating bids*

*and proposals, give consideration to local contractors who are from, and who provide employment and training for, dislocated and displaced workers in an economically disadvantaged rural community, including those historically timber-dependent areas that have been affected by reduced timber harvesting on Federal lands and other forest-dependent rural communities isolated from significant alternative employment opportunities: Provided, That notwithstanding Federal Government procurement and contracting laws the Secretaries may award contracts, grants or cooperative agreements to local non-profit entities, Youth Conservation Corps or related partnerships with State, local or non-profit youth groups, or small or micro-business or disadvantaged business: Provided further, That the contract, grant, or cooperative agreement is for forest hazardous fuels reduction, watershed or water quality monitoring or restoration, wildlife or fish population monitoring, or habitat restoration or management: Provided further, That the terms “rural community” and “economically disadvantaged” shall have the same meanings as in section 2374 of Public Law 101–624: Provided further, That the Secretaries shall develop guidance to implement this section: Provided further, That nothing in this section shall be construed as relieving the Secretaries of any duty under applicable procurement laws, except as provided in this section.*

#### LIMITATION ON TAKINGS

*SEC. 414. Unless otherwise provided herein, no funds appropriated in this Act for the acquisition of lands or interests in lands may be expended for the filing of declarations of taking or complaints in condemnation without the approval of the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations: Provided, That this provision shall not apply to funds appropriated to implement the Everglades National Park Protection and Expansion Act of 1989, or to funds appropriated for Federal assistance to the State of Florida to acquire lands for Everglades restoration purposes.*

#### HUNTERS POINT ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP

*SEC. 415. In addition to the amounts otherwise provided to the Environmental Protection Agency in this Act, \$8,000,000, to remain available until expended, is provided to EPA to be transferred to the Department of the Navy for clean-up activities at the Treasure Island Naval Station—Hunters Point Annex.*

#### EXTENSION OF GRAZING PERMITS

*SEC. 416. The terms and conditions of section 325 of Public Law 108–108, regarding grazing permits at the Department of the Interior and the Forest Service shall remain in effect for fiscal year 2010.*

#### NATIONAL COUNCIL ON THE ARTS MEMBERSHIP

*SEC. 417. Section 6 of the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965 (Public Law 89–209, 20 U.S.C. 955), as amended, is further amended as follows:*

*(1) In the first sentence of subsection (b)(1)(C), by striking “14” and inserting in lieu thereof “18”; and*



(2) *In the second sentence of subsection (d)(1), by striking “Eight” and inserting in lieu thereof “Ten”.*

#### NATIONAL CAPITAL ARTS AND CULTURAL AFFAIRS AUTHORIZATION

SEC. 418. *The item relating to “National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs” in the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1986, as enacted into law by section 101(d) of Public Law 99–190 (99 Stat. 1261; 20 U.S.C. 956a), is amended—*

*(1) in the second sentence of the first paragraph, by striking “\$7,500,000” and inserting “\$10,000,000”; and*

*(2) in the second sentence of the fourth paragraph, by striking “\$500,000” and inserting “\$650,000”.*

#### ALASKA NATIVE HEALTH CARE SERVICES

SEC. 419. (a) *Notwithstanding any other provision of law and until October 1, 2011, the Indian Health Service may not disburse funds for the provision of health care services pursuant to Public Law 93–638 (25 U.S.C. 450 et seq.) to any Alaska Native village or Alaska Native village corporation that is located within the area served by an Alaska Native regional health entity.*

*(b) Nothing in this section shall be construed to prohibit the disbursement of funds to any Alaska Native village or Alaska Native village corporation under any contract or compact entered into prior to May 1, 2006, or to prohibit the renewal of any such agreement.*

*(c) For the purpose of this section, Eastern Aleutian Tribes, Inc., the Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments, and the Native Village of Eyak shall be treated as Alaska Native regional health entities to which funds may be disbursed under this section.*

#### EXTENSION OF FOREST BOTANICAL PRODUCT AUTHORITIES

SEC. 420. *Section 339(h) of the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2000, as amended, concerning a pilot program for the sale of forest botanical products by the Forest Service, is further amended by striking “September 30, 2009” and inserting “September 30, 2014”.*

#### TIMBER SALE REQUIREMENTS

SEC. 421. *The Forest Service shall use the residual value approach to appraising all timber sales in Alaska’s Region 10 that contain a component of Western red cedar and shall only offer sales that contain a component of Western red cedar that are not deficit. Western red cedar shall be appraised using lower 48 State domestic values if the timber might be eligible for shipment to the lower 48 States. All of the Western red cedar timber from those sales which is surplus to the needs of domestic processors in Alaska shall be made available to domestic processors in the contiguous 48 United States at prevailing domestic prices in the contiguous 48 United States. Western red cedar shall be deemed “surplus to the needs of domestic processors in Alaska” if the Forest Service determines it is surplus or if the timber sale holder has presented to the Forest Service documentation that the Forest Service determines is valid of the inability to sell Western red cedar logs from a given sale to domestic*

*Alaska processors at a price equal to or greater than the log selling value stated in the contract. All additional Western red cedar volume not sold to Alaska or to contiguous 48 United States domestic processors may be exported to foreign markets if the Forest Service determines it is surplus to the needs of the 50 States. All Alaska yellow cedar may be sold at prevailing export prices if the Forest Service determines it is surplus to the needs of the 50 States.*

#### COLORADO COOPERATIVE CONSERVATION AUTHORITY

*SEC. 422. Section 331(e) of the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2001, (Public Law 106–291), as added by section 336 of division E of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (Public Law 108–447), concerning cooperative forestry agreements known as the Colorado Good Neighbor Act Authority is amended by striking “September 30, 2009” and inserting “September 30, 2013”.*

#### GEOHERMAL ENERGY RECEIPTS

*SEC. 423. All monies received by the United States in fiscal year 2010 from sales, bonuses, rentals, and royalties under the Geothermal Steam Act of 1970 shall be disposed of as provided by section 20 of that Act (30 U.S.C. 1019), as in effect immediately before enactment of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (Public Law 109–58), and without regard to the amendments contained in sections 224(b) and section 234 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 17673).*

#### PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS

*SEC. 424. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, none of the funds made available in this Act or any other Act may be used to promulgate or implement any regulation requiring the issuance of permits under title V of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7661 et seq.) for carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide, water vapor, or methane emissions resulting from biological processes associated with livestock production.*

#### GREENHOUSE GAS REPORTING RESTRICTIONS

*SEC. 425. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, none of the funds made available in this or any other Act may be used to implement any provision in a rule, if that provision requires mandatory reporting of greenhouse gas emissions from manure management systems.*

#### REPORT ON USE OF CLIMATE CHANGE FUNDS

*SEC. 426. Not later than 120 days after the date on which the President’s fiscal year 2011 budget request is submitted to Congress, the President shall submit a report to the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate describing in detail all Federal agency obligations and expenditures, domestic and international, for climate change programs and activities in fiscal year 2009 and fiscal year 2010, including an accounting of expenditures by agency with each agency identifying climate change activities and associated costs by line item as presented in the President’s Budget Appendix.*

## PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS

*SEC. 427. None of the funds made available under this Act may be distributed to the Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now (ACORN) or its subsidiaries.*

## GUANTANAMO BAY DETAINEES, FUNDING RESTRICTIONS

*SEC. 428. (a) None of the funds made available in this or any other Act may be used to release an individual who is detained, as of June 24, 2009, at Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, into the continental United States, Alaska, Hawaii, or the District of Columbia, into any of the United States territories of Guam, American Samoa (AS), the United States Virgin Islands (USVI), the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI).*

*(b) None of the funds made available in this or any other Act may be used to transfer an individual who is detained, as of June 24, 2009, at Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, into the continental United States, Alaska, Hawaii, or the District of Columbia, into any of the United States territories of Guam, American Samoa (AS), the United States Virgin Islands (USVI), the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI), for the purpose of detention, except as provided in subsection (c).*

*(c) None of the funds made available in this or any other Act may be used to transfer an individual who is detained, as of June 24, 2009, at Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, into the continental United States, Alaska, Hawaii, or the District of Columbia, into any of the United States territories of Guam, American Samoa (AS), the United States Virgin Islands (USVI), the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI), for the purposes of prosecuting such individual, or detaining such individual during legal proceedings, until 45 days after the plan described in subsection (d) is received.*

*(d) The President shall submit to Congress, in classified form, a plan regarding the proposed disposition of any individual covered by subsection (c) who is detained as of June 24, 2009. Such plan shall include, at a minimum, each of the following for each such individual:*

*(1) A determination of the risk that the individual might instigate an act of terrorism within the continental United States, Alaska, Hawaii, the District of Columbia, or the United States territories if the individual were so transferred.*

*(2) A determination of the risk that the individual might advocate, coerce, or incite violent extremism, ideologically motivated criminal activity, or acts of terrorism, among inmate populations at incarceration facilities within the continental United States, Alaska, Hawaii, the District of Columbia, or the United States territories if the individual were transferred to such a facility.*

*(3) The costs associated with transferring the individual in question.*

*(4) The legal rationale and associated court demands for transfer.*

(5) A plan for mitigation of any risks described in paragraphs (1), (2), and (7).

(6) A copy of a notification to the Governor of the State to which the individual will be transferred, to the Mayor of the District of Columbia if the individual will be transferred to the District of Columbia, or to any United States territories with a certification by the Attorney General of the United States in classified form at least 14 days prior to such transfer (together with supporting documentation and justification) that the individual poses little or no security risk to the United States.

(7) An assessment of any risk to the national security of the United States or its citizens, including members of the Armed Services of the United States, that is posed by such transfer and the actions taken to mitigate such risk.

(e) None of the funds made available in this or any other Act may be used to transfer or release an individual detained at Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, as of June 24, 2009, to the country of such individual's nationality or last habitual residence or to any other country other than the United States or to a freely associated State, unless the President submits to the Congress, in classified form, at least 15 days prior to such transfer or release, the following information:

(1) The name of any individual to be transferred or released and the country or the freely associated State to which such individual is to be transferred or released.

(2) An assessment of any risk to the national security of the United States or its citizens, including members of the Armed Services of the United States, that is posed by such transfer or release and the actions taken to mitigate such risk.

(3) The terms of any agreement with the country or the freely associated State for the acceptance of such individual, including the amount of any financial assistance related to such agreement.

(f) In this section, the term "freely associated States" means the Federated States of Micronesia (FSM), the Republic of the Marshall Islands (RMI), and the Republic of Palau.

(g) Prior to the termination of detention operations at Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, the President shall submit to the Congress a report in classified form describing the disposition or legal status of each individual detained at the facility as of the date of enactment of this Act.

#### JUNGO DISPOSAL SITE EVALUATION

SEC. 429. Using funds made available under this Act, the Director of the United States Geological Survey may conduct an evaluation of the aquifers in the area of the Jungo Disposal Site in Humboldt County, Nevada (referred to in this section as the "site"), to evaluate—

(1) how long it would take waste seepage (including asbestos, discarded tires, and sludge from water treatment plants) from the site to contaminate local underground water resources;

(2) the distance that contamination from the site would travel in each of—

(A) 95 years; and

(B) 190 years;

(3) the potential impact of expected waste seepage from the site on nearby surface water resources, including Rye Patch Reservoir and the Humboldt River;

(4) the size and elevation of the aquifers; and

(5) any impact that the waste seepage from the site would have on the municipal water resources of Winnemucca, Nevada.

#### BUYOUT AND RELOCATION

SEC. 430. (a) As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (referred to in this section as the “Administrator”) is encouraged to consider all appropriate criteria relating to the buyout and relocation of residents of properties in Treece, Kansas, that are subject to risk relating to, and that may endanger the health of occupants as a result of risks posed by, chat (as defined in section 278.1(b) of title 40, Code of Federal Regulations (as in effect on the date of enactment of this Act)).

(b) For the purpose of the remedial action under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.) that includes permanent relocation of residents of Treece, Kansas, any such relocation shall not be subject to the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 4601 et seq.).

(c) Nothing in this section shall in any way affect, impede, or change the relocation or remediation activities pursuant to the Record of Decision Operable Unit 4, Chat Piles, Other Mine and Mill Waste, and Smelter Waste, Tar Creek Superfund Site, Ottawa County, Oklahoma (OKD980629844) issued by the Environmental Protection Agency Region 6 on February 20, 2008, or any other previous Record of Decision at the Tar Creek, Oklahoma, National Priority List Site, by any Federal agency or through any funding by any Federal agency.

#### AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AUTHORIZATION

SEC. 431. Section 404(c) of the Agricultural Research, Extension, and Education Reform Act of 1998 (7 U.S.C. 7624(c)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “Agricultural Research Service” and inserting “Agricultural Research Service and the Forest Service”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) **AUTHORITY OF SECRETARY.**—To carry out a cooperative agreement with a private entity under paragraph (1), the Secretary may rent to the private entity equipment, the title of which is held by the Federal Government.”.

#### NATIONAL FOREST FOUNDATION

SEC. 432. Section 403(a) of the National Forest Foundation Act (16 U.S.C. 583j–1(a)) is amended, in the first sentence, by striking “fifteen Directors” and inserting “not more than 30 Directors”.

#### CABIN USER FEES

SEC. 433. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, none of the funds made available by this or any other Act may be used by

*the Secretary of Agriculture to increase a recreation residence user fee for calendar year 2010 by more than 25 percent of the recreation residence user fee applicable to the recreation residence for calendar year 2009.*

#### PROHIBITION ON NO-BID CONTRACTS

*SEC. 434. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act to executive branch agencies may be used to enter into any Federal contract unless such contract is entered into in accordance with the requirements of the Federal Property and Administrative Service Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253) or chapter 137 of title 10, United States Code, and the Federal Acquisition Regulations, unless:*

*(1) Federal law specifically authorizes a contract to be entered into without regard for these requirements, including formula grants for States, or federally recognized Indian tribes; or*

*(2) such contract is authorized by the Indian Self-Determination and Education and Assistance Act (Public Law 93-638, 25 U.S.C. 450 et seq., as amended) or by any other Federal laws that specifically authorize a contract within an Indian tribe as defined in section 4(e) of that Act (25 U.S.C. 450b(e)); or*

*(3) such contract was awarded prior to the date of enactment of this Act.*

#### POSTING OF REPORTS

*SEC. 435. (a) Any agency receiving funds made available in this Act, shall, subject to subsections (b) and (c), post on the public website of that agency any report required to be submitted by the Congress in this or any other Act, upon the determination by the head of the agency that it shall serve the national interest.*

*(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to a report if—*

*(1) the public posting of the report compromises national security; or*

*(2) the report contains proprietary information.*

*(c) The head of the agency posting such report shall do so only after such report has been made available to the requesting Committee or Committees of Congress for no less than 45 days.*

#### NATIONAL CONSERVATION AREA MAP AMENDMENT

*SEC. 436. Section 1971(1) of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (16 U.S.C. 460www note; Public Law 111-11) is amended by striking “December 18, 2008” and inserting “September 20, 2009”.*

#### TAR CREEK SUPERFUND SITE

*SEC. 437. (a) IN GENERAL.—To expedite the cleanup of the Federal land and Indian land at the Tar Creek Superfund Site (referred to in this section as the “site”), any purchase of chat (as defined in section 278.1(b) of title 40, Code of Federal Regulations (or a successor regulation)), from the site shall be—*

*(1) counted at twice the purchase price of the chat; and*

(2) eligible to be counted toward meeting the federally required disadvantaged business enterprise set-aside on federally funded projects.

(b) *RESTRICTED INDIAN OWNERS.*—Subsection (a) shall only apply if the purchase of chat is made from 1 or more restricted Indian owners or an Indian tribe.

(c) *APPLICABLE LAW.*—The use of chat acquired under subsection (a) shall conform with applicable laws (including the regulations for the use of chat promulgated by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency).

#### NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS GRANT GUIDELINES

*SEC. 438. Of the funds provided to the National Endowment for the Arts—*

(1) *The Chairperson shall only award a grant to an individual if such grant is awarded to such individual for a literature fellowship, National Heritage Fellowship, or American Jazz Masters Fellowship.*

(2) *The Chairperson shall establish procedures to ensure that no funding provided through a grant, except a grant made to a State or local arts agency, or regional group, may be used to make a grant to any other organization or individual to conduct activity independent of the direct grant recipient. Nothing in this subsection shall prohibit payments made in exchange for goods and services.*

(3) *No grant shall be used for seasonal support to a group, unless the application is specific to the contents of the season, including identified programs and/or projects.*

#### NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS PROGRAM PRIORITIES

*SEC. 439. (a) In providing services or awarding financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965 from funds appropriated under this Act, the Chairperson of the National Endowment for the Arts shall ensure that priority is given to providing services or awarding financial assistance for projects, productions, workshops, or programs that serve underserved populations.*

*(b) In this section:*

(1) *The term “underserved population” means a population of individuals, including urban minorities, who have historically been outside the purview of arts and humanities programs due to factors such as a high incidence of income below the poverty line or to geographic isolation.*

(2) *The term “poverty line” means the poverty line (as defined by the Office of Management and Budget, and revised annually in accordance with section 673(2) of the Community Services Block Grant Act (42 U.S.C. 9902(2)) applicable to a family of the size involved.*

*(c) In providing services and awarding financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and Humanities Act of 1965 with funds appropriated by this Act, the Chairperson of the National Endowment for the Arts shall ensure that priority is given to providing services or awarding financial assistance for projects,*

*productions, workshops, or programs that will encourage public knowledge, education, understanding, and appreciation of the arts.*

*(d) With funds appropriated by this Act to carry out section 5 of the National Foundation on the Arts and Humanities Act of 1965—*

*(1) the Chairperson shall establish a grant category for projects, productions, workshops, or programs that are of national impact or availability or are able to tour several States;*

*(2) the Chairperson shall not make grants exceeding 15 percent, in the aggregate, of such funds to any single State, excluding grants made under the authority of paragraph (1);*

*(3) the Chairperson shall report to the Congress annually and by State, on grants awarded by the Chairperson in each grant category under section 5 of such Act; and*

*(4) the Chairperson shall encourage the use of grants to improve and support community-based music performance and education.*

#### ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT, TECHNICAL CORRECTION

*SEC. 440. Section 208(a)(2)(E) of the Energy and Water Development and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2010 is amended by striking “\$45,000,000” and inserting “\$5,000,000”.*

#### AWARDS TO FOR-PROFIT ENTITIES

*SEC. 441. Specific projects contained in the report of the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives accompanying this Act (H. Rept. 111–180) that are considered congressional earmarks for purposes of clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives, when intended to be awarded to a for-profit entity, shall be awarded under a full and open competition.*

#### PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS

*SEC. 442. None of the funds made available for the Environmental Protection Agency in this Act may be expended by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency to issue a final rule that includes fuel sulfur standards applicable to existing steamships that operate exclusively within the Great Lakes, and their connecting and tributary waters.*

#### AUTHORIZATION FOR REFINANCING

*SEC. 443. The Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency shall allow the State of Mississippi to refinance the Clean Water State Revolving Loans made to the Hancock Water and Sewer District and the Hancock Utility Authority for a period not to exceed one year with the payment schedule amortized over that additional period.*

#### INCORPORATION OF CONGRESSIONALLY REQUESTED PROJECTS

*SEC. 444. Within the amounts appropriated in this Act, funding shall be allocated in the amounts specified for those projects and purposes delineated in the table titled “Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects” included in the joint explanatory state-*



ment of the managers accompanying this Act, except that such funding appropriated for land acquisition, construction, and capital improvement and maintenance may be reallocated to other projects in that table funded by the same appropriation account if such reallocation has been approved by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations; and, such funding appropriated for “National Park Service—Historic Preservation Fund” for Save America’s Treasures grants may be reallocated to be used for competitive grants under the Save America’s Treasures program if such reallocation has been approved by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

## TITLE V—FLAME ACT OF 2009

### **SEC. 501. SHORT TITLE.**

*This title may be cited as the “Federal Land Assistance, Management, and Enhancement Act of 2009” or “FLAME Act of 2009”.*

### **SEC. 502. FLAME WILDFIRE SUPPRESSION RESERVE FUNDS.**

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—*In this section:*

(1) **FEDERAL LAND.**—*The term “Federal land” means—*

(A) *public land, as defined in section 103 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1702);*

(B) *units of the National Park System;*

(C) *refuges of the National Wildlife Refuge System;*

(D) *land held in trust by the United States for the benefit of Indian tribes or members of an Indian tribe; and*

(E) *land in the National Forest System, as defined in section 11(a) of the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. 1609(a)).*

(2) **FLAME FUND.**—*The term “FLAME Fund” means a FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund established by subsection (b).*

(3) **RELEVANT CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.**—*The term “relevant congressional committees” means the Committee on Appropriations, the Committee on Natural Resources, and the Committee on Agriculture of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Appropriations, the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, and the Committee on Indian Affairs of the Senate.*

(4) **SECRETARY CONCERNED.**—*The term “Secretary concerned” means—*

(A) *the Secretary of the Interior, with respect to—*

(i) *Federal land described in subparagraphs (A),*

(B), (C), and (D) *of paragraph (1); and*

(ii) *the FLAME Fund established for the Department of the Interior; and*

(B) *the Secretary of Agriculture, with respect to—*

(i) *National Forest System land; and*

(ii) *the FLAME Fund established for the Department of Agriculture.*

(b) **ESTABLISHMENT OF FLAME FUNDS.**—*There is established in the Treasury of the United States the following accounts:*

(1) *The FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund for the Department of the Interior.*

(2) *The FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund for the Department of Agriculture.*

(c) *PURPOSE OF FLAME FUNDS.—The FLAME Funds shall be available to cover the costs of large or complex wildfire events and as a reserve when amounts provided for wildfire suppression and Federal emergency response in the Wildland Fire Management appropriation accounts are exhausted.*

(d) *FUNDING.—*

(1) *CREDITS TO FUNDS.—A FLAME Fund shall consist of the following:*

(A) *Such amounts as are appropriated to that FLAME Fund.*

(B) *Such amounts as are transferred to that FLAME Fund under paragraph (5).*

(2) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—*

(A) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the FLAME Funds such amounts as are necessary to carry out this section.*

(B) *CONGRESSIONAL INTENT.—It is the intent of Congress that, for fiscal year 2011 and each fiscal year thereafter, the amounts requested by the President for a FLAME Fund should be not less than the amount estimated by the Secretary concerned as the amount necessary for that fiscal year for wildfire suppression activities of the Secretary that meet the criteria specified in subsection (e)(2)(B)(i).*

(C) *SENSE OF CONGRESS ON DESIGNATION OF FLAME FUND APPROPRIATIONS, SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDING REQUEST, AND SUPPLEMENT TO OTHER SUPPRESSION FUNDING.—It is the sense of Congress that for fiscal year 2011 and each fiscal year thereafter—*

(i) *amounts appropriated to a FLAME Fund in excess of the amount estimated by the Secretary concerned as the amount necessary for that fiscal year for wildfire suppression activities of the Secretary that meet the criteria specified in subsection (e)(2)(B)(i) should be designated as amounts necessary to meet emergency needs;*

(ii) *the Secretary concerned should promptly make a supplemental request for additional funds to replenish the FLAME Fund if the Secretary determines that the FLAME Fund will be exhausted within 30 days; and*

(iii) *funding made available through the FLAME Fund should be used to supplement the funding otherwise appropriated to the Secretary concerned for wildfire suppression and Federal emergency response in the Wildland Fire Management appropriation accounts.*

(3) *AVAILABILITY.—Amounts in a FLAME Fund shall remain available to the Secretary concerned until expended.*

(4) *NOTICE OF INSUFFICIENT FUNDS.—The Secretary concerned shall notify the relevant congressional committees if the Secretary estimates that only 60 days worth of funds remain in the FLAME Fund administered by that Secretary.*

(5) *TRANSFER AUTHORITY.—If a FLAME Fund has insufficient funds, the Secretary concerned administering the other*

*FLAME Fund may transfer amounts to the FLAME Fund with insufficient funds. Not more than \$100,000,000 may be transferred from a FLAME Fund during any fiscal year under this authority.*

*(e) USE OF FLAME FUND.—*

*(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraphs (2) and (3), amounts in a FLAME Fund shall be available to the Secretary concerned to transfer to the Wildland Fire Management appropriation account of that Secretary to pay the costs of wildfire suppression activities of that Secretary that are separate from amounts for wildfire suppression activities annually appropriated to that Secretary under the Wildland Fire Management appropriation account of that Secretary.*

*(2) DECLARATION REQUIRED.—*

*(A) IN GENERAL.—Amounts in a FLAME Fund shall be available for transfer under paragraph (1) only after that Secretary concerned issues a declaration that a wildfire suppression event is eligible for funding from the FLAME Fund.*

*(B) DECLARATION CRITERIA.—A declaration by the Secretary concerned under subparagraph (A) may be issued only if—*

*(i) in the case of an individual wildfire incident—*

*(I) the fire covers 300 or more acres; or*

*(II) the Secretary concerned determines that the fire has required an emergency Federal response based on the significant complexity, severity, or threat posed by the fire to human life, property, or resources; or*

*(ii) the cumulative costs of wildfire suppression and Federal emergency response activities for the Secretary concerned will exceed, within 30 days, all of the amounts previously appropriated (including amounts appropriated under an emergency designation, but excluding amounts appropriated to the FLAME Fund) to the Secretary concerned for wildfire suppression and Federal emergency response.*

*(3) STATE, PRIVATE, AND TRIBAL LAND.—Use of a FLAME Fund for emergency wildfire suppression activities on State land, private land, and tribal land shall be consistent with any existing agreements in which the Secretary concerned has agreed to assume responsibility for wildfire suppression activities on the land.*

*(f) TREATMENT OF ANTICIPATED AND PREDICTED ACTIVITIES.—For fiscal year 2011 and subsequent fiscal years, the Secretary concerned shall request funds within the Wildland Fire Management appropriation account of that Secretary for regular wildfire suppression activities that do not meet the criteria specified in subsection (e)(2)(B)(i).*

*(g) PROHIBITION ON OTHER TRANSFERS.—The Secretary concerned may not transfer funds from non-fire accounts to the Wildland Fire Management appropriation account of that Secretary unless amounts in the FLAME Fund of that Secretary and any amounts appropriated to that Secretary for the purpose of wildfire suppression will be exhausted within 30 days.*

*(h) ACCOUNTING AND REPORTS.—*

*(1) ACCOUNTING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary concerned shall account and report on amounts transferred from the respective FLAME Fund in a manner that is consistent with existing National Fire Plan reporting procedures.*

*(2) ANNUAL REPORT.—The Secretary concerned shall submit to the relevant congressional committees and make available to the public an annual report that—*

*(A) describes the obligation and expenditure of amounts transferred from the FLAME Fund; and*

*(B) includes any recommendations that the Secretary concerned may have to improve the administrative control and oversight of the FLAME Fund.*

*(3) ESTIMATES OF WILDFIRE SUPPRESSION COSTS TO IMPROVE BUDGETING AND FUNDING.—*

*(A) IN GENERAL.—Consistent with the schedule provided in subparagraph (C), the Secretary concerned shall submit to the relevant congressional committees an estimate of anticipated wildfire suppression costs for the applicable fiscal year.*

*(B) INDEPENDENT REVIEW.—The methodology for developing the estimates under subparagraph (A) shall be subject to periodic independent review to ensure compliance with subparagraph (D).*

*(C) SCHEDULE.—The Secretary concerned shall submit an estimate under subparagraph (A) during—*

*(i) the first week of March of each year;*

*(ii) the first week of May of each year;*

*(iii) the first week of July of each year; and*

*(iv) if a bill making appropriations for the Department of the Interior and the Forest Service for the following fiscal year has not been enacted by September 1, the first week of September of each year.*

*(D) REQUIREMENTS.—An estimate of anticipated wildfire suppression costs shall be developed using the best available—*

*(i) climate, weather, and other relevant data; and*

*(ii) models and other analytic tools.*

*(i) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority of the Secretary concerned to use the FLAME Fund established for that Secretary shall terminate at the end of the third fiscal year in which no appropriations to, or withdrawals from, that FLAME Fund have been made for a period of three consecutive fiscal years. Upon termination of such authority, any amounts remaining in the affected FLAME Fund shall be transferred to, and made a part of, the Wildland Fire Management appropriation account of the Secretary concerned for wildland suppression activities.*

**SEC. 503. COHESIVE WILDFIRE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY**

*(a) STRATEGY REQUIRED.—Not later than one year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture, acting jointly, shall submit to Congress a report that contains a cohesive wildfire management strategy, consistent with the recommendations described in recent reports of the Government Accountability Office regarding management strategies.*

(b) *ELEMENTS OF STRATEGY.*—The strategy required by subsection (a) shall provide for—

(1) the identification of the most cost-effective means for allocating fire management budget resources;

(2) the reinvestment in non-fire programs by the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture;

(3) employing the appropriate management response to wildfires;

(4) assessing the level of risk to communities;

(5) the allocation of hazardous fuels reduction funds based on the priority of hazardous fuels reduction projects;

(6) assessing the impacts of climate change on the frequency and severity of wildfire; and

(7) studying the effects of invasive species on wildfire risk.

(c) *REVISION.*—At least once during each five-year period beginning on the date of the submission of the cohesive wildfire management strategy under subsection (a), the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture shall revise the strategy to address any changes affecting the strategy, including changes with respect to landscape, vegetation, climate, and weather.

This division may be cited as the “Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2010”.

## ***DIVISION B—FURTHER CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS, 2010***

*SEC. 101.* The Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 (division B of Public Law 111–68) is amended by striking the date specified in section 106(3) and inserting “December 18, 2009”.

*SEC. 102.* Section 129 of the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 (division B of Public Law 111–68) is amended by striking “2009” and inserting “2008”, and such amendment shall apply as if included in such public law on the date of its enactment.

*SEC. 103.* Subsections (c)(1) and (e)(3) of section 9503, and subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C) of section 9504(b)(2), of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 are each amended by inserting “the last amendment to” after “on the date of the enactment of”.

*SEC. 104.* The Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2010 (division B of Public Law 111–68) is amended by adding after section 164 the following new sections:

“*SEC. 165.* In addition to amounts provided in section 101, amounts are provided for ‘Small Business Administration—Business Loans Program Account’, for the cost (as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974) of guaranteed loans as authorized by section 7(a) of the Small Business Act, at a rate for operations of \$80,000,000.

“*SEC. 166. (a) LOAN LIMIT FLOOR BASED ON 2008 LEVELS.*—For mortgages for which the mortgagee issues credit approval for the borrower during calendar year 2010, if the dollar amount limitation on the principal obligation of a mortgage determined under section 203(b)(2) of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1709(b)(2)) for any size residence for any area is less than such dollar amount limitation that was in effect for such size residence for such area for 2008 pursuant to section 202 of the Economic Stimulus Act of 2008 (Public Law 110–185; 122 Stat. 620), notwithstanding any other provision of law or of this joint resolution, the maximum dollar amount

*limitation on the principal obligation of a mortgage for such size residence for such area for purposes of such section 203(b)(2) shall be considered (except for purposes of section 255(g) of such Act (12 U.S.C. 1715z-20(g))) to be such dollar amount limitation in effect for such size residence for such area for 2008.*

*“(b) DISCRETIONARY AUTHORITY FOR SUB-AREAS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law or of this joint resolution, if the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development determines, for any geographic area that is smaller than an area for which dollar amount limitations on the principal obligation of a mortgage are determined under section 203(b)(2) of the National Housing Act, that a higher such maximum dollar amount limitation is warranted for any particular size or sizes of residences in such sub-area by higher median home prices in such sub-area, the Secretary may, for mortgages for which the mortgagee issues credit approval for the borrower during calendar year 2010, increase the maximum dollar amount limitation for such size or sizes of residences for such sub-area that is otherwise in effect (including pursuant to subsection (a) of this section), but in no case to an amount that exceeds the amount specified in section 202(a)(2) of the Economic Stimulus Act of 2008.*

*“SEC. 167. (a) LOAN LIMIT FLOOR BASED ON 2008 LEVELS.—For mortgages originated during calendar year 2010, if the limitation on the maximum original principal obligation of a mortgage that may be purchased by the Federal National Mortgage Association or the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation determined under section 302(b)(2) of the Federal National Mortgage Association Charter Act (12 U.S.C. 1717(b)(2)) or section 305(a)(2) of the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Act (12 U.S.C. 1754(a)(2)) respectively, for any size residence for any area is less than such maximum original principal obligation limitation that was in effect for such size residence for such area for 2008 pursuant to section 201 of the Economic Stimulus Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-185; 122 Stat. 619), notwithstanding any other provision of law or of this joint resolution, the limitation on the maximum original principal obligation of a mortgage for such Association and Corporation for such size residence for such area shall be such maximum limitation in effect for such size residence for such area for 2008.*

*“(b) DISCRETIONARY AUTHORITY FOR SUB-AREAS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law or of this joint resolution, if the Director of the Federal Housing Finance Agency determines, for any geographic area that is smaller than an area for which limitations on the maximum original principal obligation of a mortgage are determined for the Federal National Mortgage Association or the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, that a higher such maximum original principal obligation limitation is warranted for any particular size or sizes of residences in such sub-area by higher median home prices in such sub-area, the Director may, for mortgages originated during calendar year 2010, increase the maximum original principal obligation limitation for such size or sizes of residences for such sub-area that is otherwise in effect (including pursuant to subsection (a) of this section) for such Association and Corporation, but in no case to an amount that exceeds the amount specified in the matter following the comma in section 201(a)(1)(B) of the Economic Stimulus Act of 2008.*

*“SEC. 168. Notwithstanding any other provision of this joint resolution, for mortgages for which the mortgagee issues credit approval for the borrower during calendar year 2010, the second sentence of section 255(g) of the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1715z–20(g)) shall be considered to require that in no case may the benefits of insurance under such section 255 exceed 150 percent of the maximum dollar amount in effect under the sixth sentence of section 305(a)(2) of the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Act (12 U.S.C. 1454(a)(2)).*

*“SEC. 169. Notwithstanding any other provision of this joint resolution, other than section 106, up to \$200,000,000 of the funds provided by Public Law 111–8 that are available on October 1, 2009, in the ‘Tenant-Based Rental Assistance’ account may be available to adjust allocations for public housing agencies to prevent termination of assistance to families.”*

And the Senate agree to the same.

DAVID R. OBEY,  
NORMAN D. DICKS,  
JAMES P. MORAN,  
ALAN B. MOLLOHAN,  
BEN CHANDLER,  
MAURICE D. HINCHEY,  
JOHN W. OLVER,  
ED PASTOR,  
DAVID E. PRICE,

*Managers on the Part of the House.*

DIANNE FEINSTEIN,  
ROBERT C. BYRD,  
PATRICK J. LEAHY,  
BYRON L. DORGAN,  
BARBARA A. MIKULSKI,  
HERB KOHL,  
TIM JOHNSON,  
JACK REED,  
BEN NELSON,  
JON TESTER,  
DANIEL K. INOUE,  
LAMAR ALEXANDER,  
THAD COCHRAN,  
ROBERT F. BENNETT,  
JUDD GREGG,  
LISA MURKOWSKI,  
SUSAN M. COLLINS,

*Managers on the Part of the Senate.*





## JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and the Senate at the conference on disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2996), making appropriations for the Department of the Interior, Environment and Related Agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and the Senate in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report.

The conference agreement on H.R. 2996 incorporates some of the provisions of both the House and the Senate versions of the bill. Report language and allocations set forth in either House Report 111-180 or Senate Report 111-38 that are not changed by the conference are approved by the committee of conference. The statement of the managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not negate the language referenced above unless expressly provided herein.

Except as expressly provided otherwise, any reference to “this Act” or “at the end of this statement” shall be treated as referring only to the provisions of this division.

### DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2010

#### REPROGRAMMING GUIDELINES

The following are the procedures governing reprogramming actions for programs and activities funded in the Department of the Interior, Environment and Related Agencies Appropriations Act.

*Definitions.*—“Reprogramming,” as defined in these procedures, includes the reallocation of funds from one budget activity, budget line-item or program area, to another within any appropriation funded in this Act. In cases where either the House or Senate Committee report displays an allocation of an appropriation below those levels, that more detailed level shall be the basis for reprogramming.

For construction, land acquisition and forest legacy accounts, a reprogramming constitutes the reallocation of funds, including unobligated balances, from one construction, land acquisition, or forest legacy project to another such project. The construction, land acquisition and forest legacy projects and amounts identified in the “Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects” table at the end of this statement of managers may be reprogrammed, but only pursuant to Section 444 of this Act.

A reprogramming shall also consist of any significant departure from the program described in the agency's budget justifications. This includes proposed reorganizations, especially those of significant national or regional importance, even without a change in funding. Any change to the organization table presented in the budget justification shall be subject to this requirement.

*General Guidelines for Reprogramming.*—

(a) A reprogramming should be made only when an unforeseen situation arises; and then only if postponement of the project or the activity until the next appropriation year would result in actual loss or damage.

(b) Any project or activity, which may be deferred through reprogramming, shall not later be accomplished by means of further reprogramming; but, instead, funds should again be sought for the deferred project or activity through the regular appropriations process.

(c) Except under the most urgent situations, reprogramming should not be employed to initiate new programs or increase allocations specifically denied or limited by Congress, or to decrease allocations specifically increased by the Congress.

(d) Reprogramming proposals submitted to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations for approval shall be considered approved 30 calendar days after receipt if the Committees have posed no objection. However, agencies will be expected to extend the approval deadline if specifically requested by either Committee.

*Criteria and Exceptions.*—A reprogramming must be submitted to the Committees in writing prior to implementation if it exceeds \$1,000,000 annually or results in an increase or decrease of more than 10 percent annually in affected programs, with the following exceptions:

(a) With regard to the Tribal priority allocations of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, there is no restriction on reprogrammings among these programs. However, the Bureau shall report on all reprogrammings made during a given fiscal year no later than 60 days after the end of the fiscal year.

(b) With regard to the Environmental Protection Agency, State and Tribal Assistance Grants account, the Committee does not require reprogramming requests associated with States and Tribes Partnership Grants.

*Assessments.*—“Assessment” as defined in these procedures shall refer to any charges, reserves, or holdbacks applied to a budget activity or budget line item for costs associated with general agency administrative costs, overhead costs, working capital expenses, or contingencies.

(a) No assessment shall be levied against any program, budget activity, subactivity, budget line item, or project funded by the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act unless such assessment and the basis therefore are presented to the Committees on Appropriations in the budget justifications and are subsequently approved by the Committees. The explanation for any assessment in the budget justification shall show the amount of the assessment, the activities assessed, and the purpose of the funds.

(b) Proposed changes to estimated assessments, as such estimates were presented in annual budget justifications, shall be sub-

mitted through the reprogramming process and shall be subject to the same dollar and reporting criteria as any other reprogramming.

(c) The Committees direct that each agency or bureau which utilizes assessments shall submit an annual report to the Committees which provides details on the use of all funds assessed from any other budget activity, line item, subactivity, or project.

(d) In no case shall contingency funds or assessments be used to finance projects and activities disapproved or limited by Congress, or to finance programs or activities that could be foreseen and included in the normal budget review process.

*Quarterly Reports.*—All reprogrammings between budget activities, budget line-items, program areas or the more detailed activity levels shown in the Statement of the Managers, including those below the monetary thresholds established above, shall be reported to the Committees within 60 days of the end of each quarter and shall include cumulative totals for each budget activity, budget line item, or construction, land acquisition, or forest legacy project.

*Land Acquisitions, Easements, and Forest Legacy.*—Lands shall not be acquired for more than the approved appraised value (as addressed in section 301(3) of Public Law 91-646), unless such acquisitions are submitted to the Committees on Appropriations for approval in compliance with these procedures.

*Land Exchanges.*—Land exchanges, wherein the estimated value of the Federal lands to be exchanged is greater than \$1,000,000, shall not be consummated until the Committees have had a 30-day period in which to examine the proposed exchange. In addition, the Committee shall be provided advance notification of exchanges valued between \$500,000 and \$1,000,000.

*Budget Structure.*—The budget activity or line item structure for any agency appropriation account shall not be altered without advance approval of the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

*Report Language.*—Any limitation or directive contained in either the House or Senate report which is not contradicted by the other report nor specifically denied in the conference report shall be considered as having been approved by both Houses of Congress.

## RENEWABLE ENERGY AND PUBLIC LANDS

The conferees understand that renewable energy will become a more significant source of power for the Nation and that the Department of the Interior and the Forest Service will play a prominent role in its development. However, the conferees are concerned about the impacts these projects may have on the landscape and water resources, particularly those for wind and solar power. Proposed solar projects can each cover several square miles and the newest wind turbines are over 500 feet tall. Appropriate siting of these projects and cost-appropriate size limitations are critical to ensuring that the pristine landscapes, limited water resources, and magnificent views of the country's public lands and coastlines are protected.

Accordingly, within 180 days of enactment, the conferees direct the Department of the Interior to submit a report in consultation with the Forest Service on the criteria used for siting renewable energy projects, including the extent to which protection of scenic

landscapes, ridgetops, water resources, habitat including that for endangered species, and shorelines will be considered. The report should also provide a detailed strategic plan on how the Department and the Forest Service will coordinate the development of such projects, particularly in areas where there is mixed ownership or management by the Department of the Interior, Forest Service, Department of Defense, and non-Federal landowners. Additionally, the report should identify specifically what areas of the public lands and the Outer Continental Shelf will be considered for projects based on: (1) their potential for renewable energy generation; (2) what additional transmission lines will be necessary to connect these new sources of power to the energy grid; (3) where these transmission lines will be placed; (4) the methodology to be used to limit the size of solar troughs and photovoltaic facilities, and (5) the impact on water resources.

The report should also include an analysis of the useful life of renewable energy sites and provide an explanation of how the infrastructure will be removed from the public lands when it is no longer functional. The conferees believe that some mechanism, such as a bond put forth by the permittees, should be utilized by the Department and the Forest Service so that the government does not have to pay for the removal of these large facilities after they are no longer viable.

The Department of the Interior and Forest Service should consult with the Congress on a regular basis as they proceed with the development of policies and the preparation of environmental documents and permitting of renewable energy projects.

The conferees believe that renewable energy developers should have less difficulty permitting their projects on disturbed private lands than on pristine public lands, in order to facilitate greater species protection and stewardship of public resources and public lands. The conferees recommend that the Secretary evaluate whether a cooperative agreement with States under Section 6 of the Endangered Species Act, the establishment of a Section 4(d) rule under the same Act, or the creation of a template "general habitat conservation plan" would improve the permitting process for solar projects on private lands in the California desert.

#### GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE SCIENCE AND ADAPTATION

The conference agreement includes a major investment in science and management related to impacts of global warming. Overall, the bill provides over \$400,000,000, including funds for the Department of the Interior climate change initiative and substantial investments at the Environmental Protection Agency, Forest Service, and Smithsonian Institution. The conference agreement supports direction provided by both the House and the Senate regarding this issue. This includes the need for a national strategy for dealing with climate change, as well as continued development of the National Climate Change and Wildlife Science Center at the U.S. Geological Survey as a model for further implementation of an integrated approach to climate change science and adaptation by the Interior Department bureaus. The conference agreement also includes a provision in Section 426 requiring a detailed report on the Administration's obligations, expenditures and activities re-

garding climate change programs. The conferees expect that the next budget request will include cross-cutting tables for all Federal climate change related activities including climate change observation, science, and management implementation of adaptation and mitigation.

The conferees note the previous direction provided within the fiscal year 2009 appropriations act directing the Secretary of the Interior to develop a national strategy to assist fish, wildlife, plants, and associated ecological processes in becoming more resilient, adapting to, and surviving the impacts of climate change. This conference agreement provides ample funds to accomplish substantial scientific and management activities, but this needs to be done within the context of an integrated approach among the various Federal departments, States, Tribes and other institutions. The conferees urge the Council on Environmental Quality, working closely with the Department of the Interior as the lead department, to develop a national, government-wide strategy to address climate impacts on fish, wildlife, plants, and associated ecological processes. It should provide that there is integration, coordination, and public accountability to ensure efficiency and avoid duplication. The conferees expect to receive a timeline and a blueprint for the completion of such a national strategic planning effort, as well as regular updates as progress is made.

The conferees are encouraged by aspects of the recent Interior Department Secretarial Order addressing the impacts of climate change on America's water, land and other natural and cultural resources, as well as the draft Fish and Wildlife Service national strategy for climate change adaptation, mitigation and engagement. However, it is essential that further departmental implementation of the Secretary's order on climate change build upon the successful National Climate Change and Wildlife Science Center (NCCWSC) and its approach to provide regional science application centers focused on fauna, flora and ecological processes as previously described in Congressional direction and the budget request. The future identity and activities of the NCCWSC must be distinct and accountable, while also working with other departmental and national efforts on climate change science and applications.

#### LAND ACQUISITION

The conferees are concerned that lands acquired with funds appropriated via the Land and Water Conservation Fund are being, or have been, made available for uses inconsistent with the recreation, conservation or public access for which they were purchased. Accordingly, the conferees direct the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture to notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations before any land use or management decision is made that will change the use of the land from conservation or recreational use. Additionally, the conferees encourage the Secretaries of Agriculture and Interior to include land acquisition projects that provide increased access to our Federally-owned public lands to provide opportunities for the public to recreate and enjoy our nation's natural resources.

The conferees direct the agencies to use inholdings funding to acquire high priority lands that are threatened by development and are partially or entirely bordered by land currently owned by the Federal government. The conferees have been advised that each of the land management agencies has unique inholding acquisition policies and practices that have not been coordinated with each of the other agencies. It is the intention of the conferees that there be a single set of policies for implementing Land and Water Conservation Fund acquisitions to the maximum extent possible. Therefore, the Secretaries of the Interior and Agriculture are directed to jointly examine the policies and practices of each land management agency and submit a report on findings and recommendations to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by June 30, 2010.

The conferees continue to be concerned about the delays in obtaining adequate appraisals for acquisition of Federal lands. Consistent with the language included in the House report, the conferees direct the Department of the Interior to revisit the Department-wide appraisal services consolidation and immediately address the undue delays in obtaining appraisals for Federal land acquisition projects.

## TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

#### MANAGEMENT OF LANDS AND RESOURCES

##### (INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$958,571,000 for Management of Lands and Resources instead of \$950,496,000 as proposed by the House and \$965,721,000 as proposed by the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by activity for this account is included in the table at the end of the statement. In addition to the directions provided in the House and Senate committee reports, the conference agreement also provides the following directions:

*Range Management.*—Within the funds provided for range management, the agreement designates \$1,000,000 to help reduce the backlog in grazing permits. The conferees recognize that the increasing numbers of expiring permits, increased costs for processing, and litigation, has resulted in a significant backlog and workload in processing permits. This funding should be targeted to those areas where litigation is causing significant delays.

*Cultural Resources Management.*—The conference agreement includes \$500,000 above the request for cultural resource activities in wilderness lands as authorized by the Omnibus Public Lands Act of 2009. The Senate had recommended \$1,000,000 for this activity.

*Wild Horse and Burro Management.*—The conference agreement provides \$63,986,000 for wild horse and burro management, an increase of \$23,373,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level. This is a 58 percent increase, by far the largest increase ever provided for this program. The conference agreement requires the Bureau to follow the Senate direction for this program. The conferees note that the bill language proposed by the Senate within administrative

provisions provides that funds shall not be available for the destruction of healthy, unadopted, wild horses and burros in the care of the BLM or its contractors, or for the sale of wild horses and burros that results in their destruction for processing into commercial products.

*Wildlife Management.*—Within the funds provided for wildlife management, the conference agreement includes increases of \$500,000 each above the request for the general wildlife and plant conservation programs as was proposed by the House.

*Threatened and Endangered Species Management.*—The conference agreement includes the Senate-proposed additions of \$200,000 for the general program and \$300,000 for redband trout and salmon habitat assessment and restoration in Nevada.

*Realty and Ownership Management.*—The conference agreement includes the Senate proposed additions of \$100,000 for the general cadastral survey program and \$300,000 for the Utah Rural Cadastral Data Program.

The conferees strongly encourage the BLM to apply the necessary resources to complete landscape scale assessments by the end of fiscal year 2010 on the Mojave Basin and Range, Central Basin and Range, Sonoran Desert, and the Colorado Plateau. These assessments should, at a minimum, include spatial analyses of priority conservation areas, renewable energy potential, invasive species, and wildfires.

*Resource Protection and Maintenance.*—The conference agreement includes a \$500,000 increase for law enforcement and the Senate proposed addition of \$1,000,000 for travel and transportation plans for lands authorized by the Omnibus Public Lands Act of 2009.

*National Monuments and Conservation Areas.*—The conference agreement includes a \$2,500,000 general program increase above the request for national monuments and conservation area management.

*Bill Language.*—The conference agreement includes a \$1,000,000 rescission of funds provided in fiscal year 2009 for oil shale core samples; this project was accomplished from other funding sources. A technical change is included to correct language regarding mining claim maintenance fees and location fees.

#### CONSTRUCTION

The conference agreement includes the funds requested for Construction, plus additions recommended by the Senate of \$36,000 for architectural and engineering services and \$2,000,000 for the California National Historic Trail visitor center interpretative displays in Nevada. The funding includes:

State	Project	Amount
AK .....	Anchorage Field Office—Campbell Airstrip Safety Fencing .....	\$190,000
AZ .....	Gila District—Browning Ranch House Preservation .....	124,000
AZ .....	Lake Havasu—Partners Point Waterline .....	110,000
CA .....	California Radio Fencing and Grounding Improvement .....	537,000
CA .....	Hollister Field Office—El Toro Creek Parking Project .....	1,209,000
CA .....	Barstow—Sawtooth Campground and Trail .....	541,000
CO .....	Grand Junction Field Office—Bridgeport Access Trail .....	176,000
ID .....	Salmon Field Office—Lemhi River Total Maximum Daily Load Road Maintenance .....	1,588,000
ID .....	Salmon Field Office—Sharkey Hot Springs Renovation .....	287,000

State	Project	Amount
NV .....	California National Historic Trail Interpretive Center .....	2,000,000
UT .....	Salt Lake District—Five Mile Pass Recreation Site Facility .....	362,000
UT .....	West Desert District—Knolls Facility .....	381,000
UT .....	Pelican Lake Recreation Site Reconstruction .....	697,000
	Subtotal, projects .....	8,202,000
	Architectural and engineering services .....	424,000
	Total .....	8,626,000

#### LAND ACQUISITION

The conference agreement includes \$29,650,000 instead of \$26,529,000 as proposed by the House and \$28,650,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes the following distribution of funds:

State	Project	Amount
CA .....	California Wilderness .....	\$1,500,000
CA .....	Johnson Canyon Area of Critical Environmental Concern .....	1,500,000
CA .....	King Range National Conservation Area .....	2,000,000
CA .....	Lacks Creek Area of Critical Environmental Concern .....	750,000
CA .....	Santa Rosa and San Jacinto Mountains National Monument .....	500,000
CA .....	Upper Sacramento River Area of Critical Environmental Concern .....	2,800,000
MT .....	Blackfoot River Special Recreation Management Area .....	4,500,000
MT .....	Meeteetsee Spires Area of Critical Environmental Concern .....	1,500,000
NM .....	La Cienega Area of Critical Environmental Concern/El Camino Real De Tierra Adentro National Historic Trail .....	3,000,000
NM .....	Lesser Prairie Chicken Habitat Area of Critical Environmental Concern .....	1,500,000
OR .....	Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument .....	1,000,000
OR .....	Sandy River/Oregon National Historic Trail .....	2,100,000
WY .....	Craig Thomas Little Mountain Special Management Area .....	2,000,000
	Subtotal, Line Item Projects .....	24,650,000
	Acquisition Management .....	2,000,000
	Inholdings, Emergencies, and Hardships .....	3,000,000
	Total, BLM Land Acquisition .....	29,650,000

*Bill Language.*—The conference agreement includes bill language making available \$2,000,000 for the Upper Snake/South Fork River Area of Critical Environmental Concern/Special Resource Management Area from funds appropriated in FY 2009 for the Henry's Lake Area of Critical Environmental Concern, as proposed by the Senate.

#### OREGON AND CALIFORNIA GRANT LANDS

The conference agreement provides \$111,557,000 as requested and proposed by both the House and the Senate for Oregon and California Grant Lands. The detailed allocation of funding by activity is included in the table at the end of the statement.

#### FOREST ECOSYSTEM HEALTH AND RECOVERY FUND

##### (REVOLVING FUND, SPECIAL ACCOUNT)

The conference agreement includes language, as in the past, allowing funds made available in the Forest Ecosystem Health and Recovery Fund to be used for various forestry purposes including planning, preparing, implementing and monitoring salvage timber



sales and forest ecosystem restoration activities. This authority is extended through fiscal year 2015 as proposed by the House.

#### RANGE IMPROVEMENTS

The conference agreement includes an indefinite appropriation of not less than \$10,000,000 to be derived from public lands receipts and Bankhead-Jones Farm Tenant Act lands grazing receipts. This was requested and was proposed by both the House and the Senate. Receipts are used for construction, purchase, and maintenance of range improvements, such as seeding, fence construction, weed control, water development, fish and wildlife habitat improvement, and planning and design of these projects.

#### SERVICE CHARGES, DEPOSITS, AND FORFEITURES

The conference agreement includes an indefinite appropriation estimated to be \$31,255,000 for Service Charges, Deposits, and Forfeitures as requested and proposed by both the House and the Senate. The appropriation is offset with fees collected under specified sections of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 and other Acts to pay for reasonable administrative and other costs.

#### MISCELLANEOUS TRUST FUNDS

The conference agreement includes an indefinite appropriation estimated to be \$20,130,000 for Miscellaneous Trust Funds as requested and proposed by both the House and the Senate.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

The conference agreement includes the Administrative Provisions as requested, and includes two additional items proposed by the Senate. The first provides authority for the BLM to carry out operations by direct expenditure, contracts, grants, cooperative agreements and reimbursable agreements with public and private entities. The second provides that appropriations shall not be available for destruction of healthy, unadopted, wild horses and burros in the care of the BLM or its contractors or for the sale of wild horses and burros that results in their destruction for processing into commercial products. The agreement also includes a technical correction to a minor amendment made in fiscal year 2009 regarding mining claim maintenance and location fees.

#### UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

##### RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

The conference agreement includes \$1,269,406,000 instead of \$1,248,756,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,244,386,000 as proposed by the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program area and activity is included in the table at the end of the statement. In addition to the directions included in the House and Senate Committee reports, the conference agreement includes the following directions:

*Ecological Services.*—The conference agreement includes \$311,227,000 instead of \$295,127,000 as proposed by the House and \$305,677,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Within the funds provided for the listing program there is \$11,632,000 for critical habitat and \$10,471,000 for listing. Within the funds for candidate conservation, there is \$1,000,000 for sage grouse conservation in Idaho and a general increase of \$1,000,000. There is an increase in the consultation program of \$2,500,000 for increased monitoring and record-keeping pursuant to recommendations by the GAO.

Within the funds for the recovery program, there is \$3,000,000 for responding to the State of the Birds report, \$1,000,000 for the livestock loss demonstration program, \$1,900,000 for increased research and monitoring on white nose syndrome in bats, \$350,000 for Lahontan cutthroat trout restoration, \$1,500,000 for endangered species grants to be administered by the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation, \$350,000 for sea eider conservation efforts, \$500,000 for whooping crane breeding facilities in Louisiana, and an increase of \$200,000 for additional wolf monitoring in western States.

The conferees support the requested funding for aplomado falcon and California condor recovery. The Service is encouraged to continue to support these ongoing, successful recovery efforts.

The conferees intend that the funding included for the State of the Birds report be used to begin to initiate actions in response to the recently published multi-agency report, *The State of the Birds, United States of America, 2009*. This report provides a comprehensive overview of the crises and challenges confronting birds in every part of the country. The situation for native birds in Hawaii is particularly dire. Seventy-one known species of Hawaiian birds have gone extinct. Predator control and habitat preservation are critical to the survival of the 31 species of endangered birds remaining in Hawaii. The conferees therefore recommend that a significant portion of this funding be used to develop a comprehensive strategy, hire staff, and begin on the ground projects to recover endangered and threatened bird species in Hawaii.

The conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 for the Wolf Livestock Loss Demonstration Project as authorized by the Omnibus Public Lands Management Act of 2009. These funds will be used to provide grants to States and Indian Tribes to assist livestock producers in undertaking proactive, non-lethal activities to reduce the risk of livestock loss due to predation by wolves, and to compensate livestock producers, as appropriate, for livestock losses due to such predation. This is a new demonstration program and the conferees encourage the agencies to act quickly to implement the program.

The Service should implement program guidelines that establish criteria for the disbursement of funds to ensure that the funds are spent efficiently and effectively with a minimum potential for waste and abuse. The Service should consult with representatives from the relevant agencies and key stakeholders to create the guidelines. The guidelines should ensure that each participating State/Tribe allocates money evenly between compensation and non-lethal activities specified in the Act and, in order to work towards

reducing depredations overall, that only livestock owners who demonstrate reasonable use of nonlethal methods will remain eligible for compensation after one initial incident of reimbursable depredation.

The conferees recommend \$1,900,000 for research, monitoring, and related activities to respond to the massive mortality in bats from white nose syndrome (WNS) in the northeastern and Appalachian States. This is an increase of \$1,400,000 over the Senate proposed amount. WNS is spreading rapidly and poses threats of extinction to several bat species. The Service is spearheading efforts to better understand this deadly disease and learn how to limit its spread, working in conjunction with the U.S. Geological Survey, National Park Service, U.S. Forest Service, State and local partners, scientists, caving groups and conservation organizations.

Within the funds for the partners for fish and wildlife program, there is \$6,000,000 for climate change projects, \$1,000,000 for invasive species management in Hawaii, \$350,000 for the Natural Resources Economic Enterprises Program at Mississippi State University, \$500,000 for milfoil control in Maine lakes, and \$500,000 for stream bank restoration in Georgia.

Within the funds provided, the conferees have included \$750,000 for the Secretary of the Interior to contract with the National Academy of Sciences to conduct studies in support of sustainable water and environmental management of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta in California. A study shall be completed no later than March 15, 2010, addressing questions drafted by the Secretary on the subjects of (1) whether the science supports the assumptions and conclusions in the biological opinions regarding the Bureau of Reclamation operations in the Central Valley, and (2) whether lesser restrictions on pumping could avoid jeopardy to the species.

There are program increases of \$1,000,000 for the coastal program, \$250,000 for the national wetlands inventory and \$500,000 for the environmental contaminants program.

*National Wildlife Refuge System.*—The conference agreement provides \$503,279,000 for the National Wildlife Refuge System as proposed by the House, instead of \$488,629,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Within the funds provided for the refuge system there are increases over the request of \$16,000,000 for wildlife and habitat management, \$1,000,000 for the volunteer program, \$2,000,000 for refuge law enforcement, and \$1,000,000 for conservation planning. Within the funding provided for refuge system maintenance, there is a \$2,000,000 increase for annual maintenance and a \$2,000,000 decrease for deferred maintenance. Within the funds provided for wildlife and habitat management, the Service is directed to provide \$1,200,000 for invasive rat eradication on Palmyra Atoll to protect native bird populations.

The conferees remain concerned about the situation on the southwest border and encourage the Service to direct a portion of the increase for law enforcement to the southwest.

The conferees are concerned that the Service is not dedicating sufficient resources to the management of the new marine national monuments and urge the Service to increase resources for man-

aging the monuments and partnering with other Federal, international, and private entities.

*Migratory Bird Management, Law Enforcement, and International Affairs.*—The conference agreement provides \$134,743,000 for migratory bird management, law enforcement and international affairs instead of \$133,593,000 as proposed by the House and \$133,573,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Within the funds provided for migratory birds, law enforcement and international programs, there are increases of \$500,000 for new urban treaties as a part of the Department-wide youth initiative, \$1,000,000 for joint ventures under the North American Waterfowl Management Plan, \$2,000,000 for law enforcement operations, \$1,000,000 for the wildlife without borders program, and \$150,000 for the Caddo Lake Ramsar Center. The increase for joint ventures is intended to provide all approved joint ventures with sufficient base funding.

The conferees are aware of the impacts of the chytrid disease on amphibian species worldwide. Amphibian species are disappearing at over 200 times their historic rate. The conferees urge the Service to use a portion of the increase provided for the wildlife without borders program to work with the international conservation community to establish conservation and captive breeding programs as well as to support the development and testing of novel methods to combat amphibian chytrid to conserve the most imperiled of these species.

*Fisheries.*—The conference agreement provides \$148,345,000 for the fisheries program instead of \$144,195,000 as proposed by the House and \$143,695,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Within the funds provided for fisheries and aquatic resource conservation, there is: \$2,150,000 to conduct scientific review of the Klamath, North Coast, and Central Valley hatchery operations in California; \$1,000,000 for mass marking fisheries in the Great Lakes; \$500,000 general increase for fish hatchery operations; \$500,000 for native freshwater mussel recovery; \$1,300,000 to establish a Fisheries Resource Office in West Virginia to focus on aquatic species restoration and management in the Appalachian Highlands; \$2,000,000 to control the spread of and eradicate invasive quagga and zebra mussels; and \$200,000 for sea otter and Steller sea lion conservation in Alaska.

The conference agreement includes \$2,000,000 above the President's request for the Service to respond to the urgent nationwide problem of invasive mussels entering lakes and rivers in the U.S. These mussels crowd out native species and encrust any hard surface, including municipal water supply pipes and boat motors. They are easily spread by watercraft from one location to another and are nearly impossible to eradicate once established. For example, the infestation of quagga mussels in Lake Mead was first found in 2007. The number of quagga mussels has grown to 3 trillion since then and is likely irreversible. Today, infestation by quagga and zebra mussels and Asian clams is threatening the pristine waters of Lake Tahoe. The introduction of these aquatic nuisance species to the Lake Tahoe region could have devastating effects to the regional economy, including effects on recreation, tourism, property values, and other infrastructure. Therefore, the conferees strongly

encourage the Service to devote a significant portion of the increase to the study, construction, staffing, and other expenses necessary for watercraft inspection and decontamination stations to be located away from boat and vessel ramps at Lake Tahoe, Echo Lake, and Fallen Leaf Lake. The conferees recommend that such inspection and decontamination stations be located on each of the seven roads leading to the Lake Tahoe region. Further, the conferees believe that the Service should increase its coordination with local, State and Federal entities, including the U.S. Forest Service, to prevent quagga mussels and other aquatic invasive species from entering the Lake Tahoe ecosystem.

*Climate Change Adaptive Science.*—The conference agreement includes \$20,000,000 for climate change adaptive science, as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

The conferees have included the requested funding for climate change activities. Consistent with language included elsewhere in this statement, the Service is directed to implement its climate change activities, including the landscape conservation cooperatives, within the scope of the Service's national strategy for climate change, the Secretary's order on climate change, and the National Climate Change and Wildlife Science Center in the U.S. Geological Survey. Additionally, the Service should fully integrate these activities with other Federal agencies, States, Tribes and other partners.

*General Administration.*—The conference agreement provides \$152,812,000 for general administration as proposed by the Senate instead of \$153,562,000 as proposed by the House.

Within the funds provided for general administration there is an increase of \$750,000 for necessary maintenance at the National Conservation Training Center. Funding for the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation is \$7,537,000 as proposed by the Senate.

*Sale of Surplus Property.*—The conference agreement includes the proposed reduction of \$1,000,000 due to the sale of surplus property.

#### CONSTRUCTION

The conference agreement includes \$37,439,000 instead of \$21,139,000 as proposed by the House and \$39,741,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes the following distribution of funds:

State	Project	Amount
National Wildlife Refuge		
Projects:		
CA .....	Don Edwards San Francisco Bay National Wildlife Refuge—Salt Pond Restoration .....	\$4,000,000
GU .....	Guam National Wildlife Refuge, Invasive Species Fence Construction .....	866,000
HI .....	Kilauea Point National Wildlife Refuge—Lighthouse Repair .....	1,000,000
IN .....	Big Oaks National Wildlife Refuge, Old Timbers Dam Rehabilitation .....	100,000
MN .....	Fergus Falls Wetland Management District, Stang Lake Dam .....	175,000
MS .....	Theodore Roosevelt National Wildlife Refuge—Visitor Center/Office .....	2,000,000
OK .....	Wichita Mountains Wildlife Refuge, Lake Rush Dam .....	4,100,000
WA .....	Turnbull National Wildlife Refuge, Lower Pine Lake Dam .....	250,000
WV .....	Canaan Valley National Wildlife Refuge—Trails .....	850,000
WV .....	Ohio River Islands National Wildlife Refuge—Erosion Control .....	800,000
Mult. ....	National Wildlife Refuge System Visitor Facility Enhancements .....	3,000,000
Mult. ....	National Wildlife Refuge System Green Energy Projects .....	2,000,000

State	Project	Amount
<b>National Fish Hatchery Projects:</b>		
AZ .....	Willow Beach National Fish Hatchery, Water Treatment .....	482,000
PA .....	Allegheny National Fish Hatchery, Fish Production and Electrical Systems .....	1,500,000
WA .....	Quinalt National Fish Hatchery, Replace Electric Fish Barriers .....	1,000,000
WV .....	White Sulphur Springs National Fish Hatchery—Water Supply System .....	1,500,000
WY .....	Jackson National Fish Hatchery, Replace Water Supply Line .....	1,650,000
Mult. ....	National Fish Hatchery System Visitor Facility Enhancements .....	400,000
Mult. ....	National Fish Hatchery System Green Energy Projects .....	600,000
<b>Other Projects:</b>		
NV .....	Nevada Water Catchments .....	150,000
	Subtotal, Line Item Projects .....	26,423,000
	Dam & Bridge Safety Inspections .....	1,855,000
	Nationwide Engineering Services .....	9,161,000
	Total, FWS Construction .....	37,439,000

#### LAND ACQUISITION

The conference agreement includes \$86,340,000 instead of \$69,250,000 as proposed by the House and \$82,790,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes the following distribution of funds:

State	Project	Amount
AK .....	Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge .....	\$300,000
AK .....	Togiak National Wildlife Refuge .....	325,000
AK .....	Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge .....	365,000
AL .....	Bon Secour National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
AZ .....	Leslie Canyon National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
CA .....	Grasslands Wetland Management Area .....	1,000,000
CA .....	San Joaquin River National Wildlife Refuge .....	2,000,000
CT .....	Stewart McKinney National Wildlife Refuge .....	2,000,000
DE .....	Prime Hook National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,000,000
FL .....	Crystal River National Wildlife Refuge, Three Sisters Spring .....	1,500,000
FL .....	St. Marks National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
GA .....	Bond Swamp National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,200,000
HI .....	James Campbell National Wildlife Refuge .....	7,400,000
IA .....	Driftless Area National Wildlife Refuge .....	450,000
IA, MN .....	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
IA, MN, WI, IL .....	Upper Mississippi River National Wildlife & Fish Refuge .....	1,200,000
IL .....	Cypress Creek National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
IN .....	Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,150,000
KY .....	Clarks River National Wildlife Refuge .....	750,000
LA .....	Red River National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,000,000
LA .....	Upper Ouachita National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
MA, CT, VT, NH .....	Silvio Conte National Wildlife Refuge .....	2,500,000
MD .....	Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge .....	2,000,000
ME .....	Maine Coastal Islands National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,000,000
ME .....	Rachel Carson National Wildlife Refuge .....	3,000,000
MO .....	Big Muddy National Fish & Wildlife Refuge .....	300,000
MS .....	Panther Swamp National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
MT .....	Red Rock Lakes National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,000,000
MT .....	Rocky Mountain Front Conservation Area .....	3,750,000
ND, SD .....	Dakota Tallgrass Prairie Wetland Management Area .....	1,000,000
ND .....	North Dakota Wetland Management Area .....	1,000,000
NE .....	Rainwater Basin Wetlands Management District .....	500,000
NH .....	Lake Umbagog National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,000,000
NJ .....	Cape May National Wildlife Refuge .....	2,000,000
NJ .....	Edwin B. Forsythe National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,100,000
NJ .....	Great Swamp National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,000,000
NJ .....	Wallkill National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,400,000

State	Project	Amount
NM .....	Sevilleta National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
OR .....	Nestucca Bay National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,000,000
PA .....	Cherry Valley National Wildlife Refuge .....	750,000
PA, CT, NJ, NY .....	Highlands Conservation Act .....	4,000,000
RI .....	John H. Chafee National Wildlife Refuge .....	900,000
SC .....	Ernest F. Hollings ACE Basin National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
SC .....	Waccamaw National Wildlife Refuge .....	600,000
TN .....	Chickasaw National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
TX .....	Balcones Canyonlands National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,000,000
TX .....	Laguna Atascosa National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
TX .....	Lower Rio Grande Valley National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,000,000
TX .....	San Bernard National Wildlife Refuge, Austin's Woods Unit .....	1,250,000
UT .....	Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge .....	1,300,000
VA .....	Back Bay National Wildlife Refuge .....	545,000
VA .....	Great Dismal Swamp National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
VA .....	James River National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,000,000
VA .....	Rappahannock River National Wildlife Refuge, Bowers Property .....	500,000
WA .....	Nisqually National Wildlife Refuge .....	500,000
WA .....	Turnbull National Wildlife Refuge .....	1,500,000
WA .....	Willapa National Wildlife Refuge .....	750,000
Subtotal, Line Item Projects .....		66,785,000
Acquisition Management .....		10,555,000
Cost Allocation Methodology .....		2,000,000
Exchanges .....		2,000,000
Inholdings, Emergencies, and Hardships .....		5,000,000
Total, FWS Acquisition .....		86,340,000

*Bill Language.*—The conference agreement includes bill language allowing the Service to fund limited administrative costs for the Highlands Conservation Act program administration.

#### COOPERATIVE ENDANGERED SPECIES CONSERVATION FUND

The conference agreement provides \$85,000,000 for the Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund instead of \$100,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$85,001,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The detailed allocation of funding by program area and activity is included in the table at the end of the statement.

#### NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE FUND

The conference agreement provides \$14,500,000 for payments to counties authorized by the National Wildlife Refuge Fund, as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$14,100,000 as proposed by the House.

#### NORTH AMERICAN WETLANDS CONSERVATION FUND

The conference agreement provides \$47,647,000 for the North American Wetlands Conservation Fund instead of \$52,647,000 as proposed by the House and \$45,147,000 as proposed by the Senate. A detailed allocation of funding by activity is included in the table at the end of this section of the statement.

## NEOTROPICAL MIGRATORY BIRD CONSERVATION

The conference agreement provides \$5,000,000 for Neotropical Migratory Bird Conservation, as proposed by the Senate instead of \$5,250,000 as proposed by the House.

## MULTINATIONAL SPECIES CONSERVATION FUND

The conference agreement provides \$11,500,000 for the Multinational Species Conservation Fund as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program area and activity is included in the table at the end of the statement.

## STATE AND TRIBAL WILDLIFE GRANTS

The conference agreement provides \$90,000,000 for State and Tribal Wildlife Grants instead of \$115,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$80,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program area and activity is included in the table at the end of the statement. In addition to the directions included in the House and Senate Committee reports, the conference agreement includes the following directions:

The conference agreement includes \$12,000,000 for competitive grants, including \$7,000,000 for Tribes and \$5,000,000 for States. The conferees are supportive of these competitive grant programs as a way to promote wildlife conservation. The conferees direct the Service to report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on the use of these competitive funds in fiscal year 2008 and 2009, including the types of grants administered and the extent to which these grants were coordinated with other State and Tribal conservation plans. The Service should submit this report within 90 days of enactment of this Act.

The conference agreement includes \$78,000,000, an increase of \$15,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level for the State and Tribal apportioned grants. The conferees recognize the need for States, Tribes and Territories to update their plans to respond to climate change, but feel that this can be done within the framework of the required plan updates. Therefore, the conferees have not included language directing a portion of these funds for additional planning efforts focused on climate change. The conferees consider climate change to be an integral component of State and Tribal wildlife action plan implementation and recommend that the States use the increased funding provided for on-the-ground conservation projects to adapt and mitigate the effects of climate change on wildlife populations.

*Bill Language.*—The conference agreement modifies bill language included in the House and Senate bills changing the State share of implementation grants to 35 percent instead of 25 percent as proposed by the House and 50 percent as proposed by the Senate. In addition, the conference agreement does not include language included by the House that limits funding to States or territories that do not have approved wildlife action plans. The conferees understand that all States, territories and other jurisdictions now have approved plans.

The conference agreement does not include requested funds for Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration.



## ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

*Bill Language.*—The conference agreement includes language, as in the Senate bill, allowing the Service to carry out the operations of programs by direct expenditure, contracts, grants, cooperative agreements and reimbursable agreements with public and private entities. This language was previously included in the Resource Management account.

## NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

## OPERATION OF THE NATIONAL PARK SYSTEM

The conference agreement provides \$2,261,559,000 for the Operation of the National Park System instead of \$2,260,684,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,261,309,000 as proposed by the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program and activity for this account is included in the table at the end of the statement. The conference agreement also includes the following specific funding levels and directions:

*Resource Stewardship.*—Within the amount provided, the conference agreement provides the request of \$10,000,000 for the Climate Impacts Initiative as proposed by the House instead of \$8,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The agreement also provides \$1,250,000 for the Enhanced Ocean and Coastal Resources program, as proposed by the House instead of \$2,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

*Visitor Services.*—Within the amount provided, the conference agreement provides \$247,386,000 for Visitor Services as proposed by the Senate instead of \$246,511,000 as proposed by the House. The conferees have provided \$375,000 for the web learning component of the Interpretative Renaissance Plan and redirect \$1,000,000 of the request to a new pilot program for teaching American history and civics in the National Parks as proposed by the Senate. The conferees further direct the Service to work with the Department of Education to develop curriculum and bring scholars to park units to instruct students and teachers and within 90 days of enactment provide a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations concerning the status of the pilot program.

*Facilities Operations and Maintenance.*—The conference agreement provides \$702,013,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$703,013,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within the amount provided, the conferees provide \$4,388,000 for the facility management software system.

*Bill Language.*—The conference agreement includes language to limit the amount for maintenance, repair or rehabilitation projects to \$98,622,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$99,622,000 as proposed by the Senate.

*National Mall Concerts.*—As proposed by the House, the conferees direct the National Park Service to increase funding for this program by \$350,000 over the level provided in fiscal year 2009.

*Sesquicentennial Civil War Planning.*—The conferees encourage the National Park Service, in collaboration with the Civil War Preservation Trust and other organizations, to update the content

of its website and the information available at its Civil War parks and to employ modern technology and adaptive and interactive media to present this information to the public.

*Regional Reorganizations.*—The conferees have recently become aware of a planned reorganization of the Northeast Regional Office of the Park Service. The conferees appreciate the Service's willingness to provide information about the planned reorganization and ask that the Service continue to report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations its plans for managing the current programs administered by the Boston Regional Office and Service proposals to further change the staffing plan for that office.

*Sequoia National Park.*—The conferees are aware that the Department of the Interior has been negotiating the renewal terms of a special use permit for the Kaweah hydroelectric project inside Sequoia National Park. Initial proposals from the Department would have resulted in a 2,545 percent fee increase to the operator. The conferees find that situation unacceptable. As such, the Department is directed to continue its negotiations in an effort to reach a fair, cost-effective agreement for the terms of a 10-year special use permit.

*Mississippi River Study.*—The conferees note that the Mississippi River is one of the Nation's great natural treasures, an integral part of the country's history, and a critical transportation artery for modern commerce. As such, the protection and preservation of natural resources along the Mississippi River, and the telling of the history of this great resource is an important and worthy goal. The conferees therefore direct the Service to identify those natural and cultural resources most in need of protection and preservation and to begin to craft a plan that would address these needs. In undertaking this task, the Service shall consult with the various Federal, State and local units of government along the corridor, and with non-governmental organizations and partner coalitions working on preservation and interpretation initiatives within or along the corridor.

#### PARK PARTNERSHIP PROJECT GRANTS

The conference agreement provides \$15,000,000 for Park Partnership Project Grants instead of \$25,000,000 as proposed by the House. Of the amount provided, \$10,000,000 will be proportionally derived from the unobligated balance in the recreation fee account. The conferees urge the Director to fund signature projects and programs consistent with the original intent of the program. The conferees direct the Service to provide a report within 90 days of enactment of this Act that (1) outlines the status of the projects announced in January 2009; and (2) provides the criteria to be used to select new projects. After the Service competes the remaining funds for new projects, the Service will provide the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations a complete description of the new projects selected and describe the funds allotted for each project from both Federal and non-Federal sources.

*Bill Language.*—The conferees have included language that authorizes the National Park Service to use a portion of the high unobligated recreation fee balance to fund projects selected through the Park Partnerships Program.

*Recreation Fees.*—The conferees remain concerned by the National Park Service's ineffective management of its recreation fee revenues which has led to high unobligated carryover balances over many years. It is clear that dramatic changes are needed to address this problem.

The conferees understand that the Service, in response to Congressional expressions of concern, has developed a phased plan to aggressively reduce the recreation fee carryover balance which was more than \$270,000,000 at the beginning of fiscal year 2009. Further, the conferees recognize that existing authority provides the Service sufficient flexibility to address this challenge by reducing the allocation of fee revenues to the largest collecting parks from 80 percent to 60 percent as needed. The conferees encourage the Park Service to exercise this authority fully to meet its goal, as outlined by the Service, to reduce the end-of-year carryover balance to no more than \$80,000,000 by January, 2011.

When implemented fully, this plan will redirect funds away from collecting parks with high carryover balances to fund nationally ranked projects that can be commenced quickly. Parks will receive at least 60 percent of their annual collections, which is the minimum allowed by law. To allow these changes to take effect, the conferees will not direct specific changes to the management of the fee program at this time. However, the conferees will closely monitor the implementation of this effort to ensure it dramatically reduces recreation fee carryover balances and results in more efficient use of fee revenues. Lastly, the conferees reserve the option of taking future action to ensure adequate management of recreation fee dollars.

#### NATIONAL RECREATION AND PRESERVATION

The conference agreement provides \$68,436,000 for the National Recreation and Preservation program instead of \$59,386,000 as proposed by the House and \$67,438,000 as proposed by the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program and activity for this account is included in the table at the end of the statement. The conference agreement also includes the following specific funding levels and directions:

*Cultural Programs.*—The conferees have included bill language in General Provisions, Department of the Interior, amending the Japanese-American Confinement Site Grants program by authorizing land acquisition grants at the Heart Mountain Relocation Center, WY, as proposed by the House. Similar House bill language authorizing land acquisition grants and donations at Minidoka National Historic Site is not included. At the request of the Department, the conferees have withdrawn the language because of an unresolved issue involving a pre-existing right-of-way and crossing agreement for the Southwest Intertie Project's renewable energy transmission line within or near the Minidoka National Historic Site boundary. The conferees urge the Secretary to resolve the issue quickly, giving fair consideration to the existing rights of all land and permit holders, refraining from acquiring any donations of land or interests therein during the 90 day period following enactment of this Act so that a suitable resolution can be reached, and reporting any progress to the House and Senate Committees

on Appropriations within 90 days of enactment of this Act. The conferees remain supportive of the Secretary's renewable energy initiative and also recognize that the Federal government has an obligation to preserve lands for the Minidoka National Historic Site and other relocation centers and to provide for their preservation and interpretation. Accordingly, the conferees have provided \$350,000 for land acquisition and \$3,000,000 for the Japanese-American Confinement Site Grants program.

*Heritage Partnership Program.*—Within the amount provided, the conference agreement provides \$16,805,000 for commissions and grants as proposed by the House instead of \$16,732,000 as proposed by the Senate. The increase above the request will provide at least \$150,000 to new heritage areas without approved plans.

*Preserve America.*—The conference agreement provides \$4,600,000 for Preserve America. The conferees have funded this program under the National Recreation and Preservation account, instead of the Historic Preservation Fund account as proposed by the House.

*Statutory or Contractual Aid.*—The conference agreement provides \$5,850,000 for Statutory or Contractual Aid, instead of \$1,900,000 as proposed by the House and \$5,350,000 proposed by the Senate. The funds provided are to be distributed as follows:

State	Project	Amount
CA .....	Angel Island Immigration Station, PL 109–119 .....	\$1,000,000
CA .....	Yosemite National Park schools, PL 109–131 .....	400,000
DC .....	Sewall Belmont House, PL 99–498 .....	1,000,000
HI .....	National Tropical Botanical Garden, PL 111–11 .....	500,000
HI .....	Native Hawaiian Culture & Arts Program, PL 99–498 .....	500,000
MD .....	Star Spangled Banner National Historic Trail .....	500,000
MD, VA, DC .....	Chesapeake Bay Gateways, PL 107–308 .....	1,000,000
NH .....	Lamprey Wild & Scenic River, PL 90–542 .....	200,000
VT, NY .....	Hudson-Fulton-Champlain Quadricentennial, PL 110–229 .....	750,000
Total, Line Item Projects .....		5,850,000

*Bill Language.*—The conference agreement includes language which designates the amount for Preserve America grants.

#### HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND

The conference agreement provides \$79,500,000 for the Historic Preservation Fund instead of \$91,675,000 as proposed by the House and \$74,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement funds the Preserve America program in the National Recreation and Preservation account as proposed by the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program and activity for this account is included in the table at the end of the statement. The conference agreement also includes the following specific funding levels and directions:

*Save America's Treasures.*—The conference agreement includes a total of \$25,000,000 for Save America's Treasures. Of this amount, \$14,800,000 is for competitive grants and the balance of the funds are to be distributed as follows:

State	Project	Amount
AL .....	Historic Fort Payne Coal and Iron Building Rehabilitation .....	\$150,000

State	Project	Amount
AL .....	Historic Montevallo Main Hall Renovation .....	150,000
AL .....	Swayne Hall, Talladega .....	490,000
CA .....	Mission Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara .....	650,000
CO .....	Shenandoah-Dives Mill National Historic Landmark .....	150,000
CT .....	Harriet Beecher Stowe Center Preservation .....	150,000
CT .....	Sterling Opera House Renovation .....	150,000
FL .....	Freedom Tower, Miami, FL .....	500,000
GA .....	Morehouse College (King papers) .....	200,000
IA .....	Des Moines Art Center, Des Moines .....	200,000
ID .....	Historic Old Pen Site Stabilization Project .....	150,000
IL .....	Repairs to Historic Chicago Landmark .....	50,000
KS .....	Colonial Fox Theater, Pittsburg .....	500,000
KY .....	Judge Joseph Holt House Historic Restoration .....	150,000
MA .....	Hancock Shaker Village Restoration .....	150,000
MA .....	Stockbridge Mission House Renovation .....	117,000
MD .....	Harmony Hall Restoration .....	100,000
MI .....	Big Sable Lighthouse, Ludington .....	100,000
MN .....	CSPS Sokol Hall .....	150,000
MN .....	Restoration of Historic Coe Mansion .....	150,000
MS .....	Madison County Courthouse .....	500,000
MS .....	Medgar Evers site, Jackson .....	250,000
MT .....	City of Bozeman Main Street Historic District Restoration .....	150,000
NC .....	Bellamy Mansion Slave Quarters .....	100,000
NJ .....	Georgian Court Mansion Restoration .....	200,000
NJ .....	South Orange Village Hall Restoration .....	150,000
NV .....	Lincoln County Courthouse, Pioche .....	200,000
NY .....	Historic Owego Municipal Building Rehabilitation .....	150,000
NY .....	Hudson River Sloop Clearwater Restoration .....	150,000
NY .....	Richardson Olmsted Complex, Buffalo .....	200,000
NY .....	Strand Theater, Plattsburgh .....	200,000
NY .....	Tarrytown Music Hall Restoration .....	150,000
NY .....	Village Park Historic Preservation .....	150,000
OR .....	Wallowa County Courthouse, Enterprise .....	200,000
PA .....	Hatborough Union Library Restoration .....	38,000
PA .....	Saylor Cement Kilns Historic Preservation .....	200,000
PR .....	San Juan North Portal Restoration .....	150,000
RI .....	Warwick City Hall, Warwick .....	350,000
SC .....	Chesterfield Courthouse Restoration .....	150,000
SC .....	Cypress Historic Meeting Compound .....	200,000
SC .....	Modjeska Simkins Home Restoration .....	150,000
SD .....	State Theater, Sioux Falls .....	200,000
TN .....	Blount Mansion, Knoxville .....	250,000
UT .....	Historic Fisher Mansion Restoration Project .....	150,000
VA .....	Belgian Building Preservation .....	150,000
VA .....	Chesterfield County Historic Preservation .....	150,000
VA .....	Fort Ward Park Preservation .....	75,000
WA .....	Schooner Adventuress Restoration .....	180,000
WI .....	Bayfield Historic Courthouse Restoration .....	150,000
WV .....	Capitol Theater, Wheeling .....	200,000
WV .....	Claymont Court Historic Site Restoration .....	150,000
WV .....	Cottrill's Opera House Restoration .....	150,000
Total, Line Item Projects .....		10,200,000

*Bill Language.*—The conference agreement includes language which designates the amount for Save America's Treasures and incorporates the projects into law by reference.

#### CONSTRUCTION

The conference agreement provides \$232,969,000 for Construction instead of \$213,691,000 as proposed by the House and \$219,731,000 as proposed by the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program and activity for this account is included in the

table at the end of the statement. The conference agreement also includes the following specific funding levels and directions:

*Line Item Construction.*—The bill allocates funding for line item construction projects and activities as follows:

State	Project	Amount
AK .....	Katmai, replace failing infrastructure at Brooks Camp .....	\$6,471,000
AZ .....	Chiricahua, Replace Failing Sewer Systems .....	2,410,000
AZ .....	Grand Canyon, employee housing .....	16,890,000
AZ .....	Saguaro National Park Trail Improvements .....	398,000
CA .....	Golden Gate National Recreation Area (Alcatraz) .....	1,400,000
CA .....	Joshua Tree National Park Visitor Center .....	300,000
CA .....	Manzanar National Historical Site .....	900,000
CA .....	Point Reyes, restore critical dune habitat .....	2,803,000
CO .....	Mesa Verde curation center .....	11,675,000
CO .....	Mesa Verde Visitor Information Center .....	10,500,000
DC .....	African American Civil War Memorial, security enhancements .....	220,000
DC .....	National Capital Region, GW Memorial Parkway, Theodore Roosevelt rehabilitation site .....	1,706,000
DC .....	National Capital Region, preserve and protect Meridian Hill Park .....	3,844,000
FL .....	Castillo de San Marcos National Monument .....	500,000
FL .....	Everglades Mod Waters (NPS) .....	4,200,000
FL .....	Everglades Mod Waters (COE) .....	4,200,000
GA .....	Fort Pulaski, replace Cockspur Lighthouse revetment .....	1,577,000
IN .....	George Rogers Clark NHP, restore and rehabilitate historic Wabash River floodwall .....	3,600,000
IN .....	Restore Good Fellow Lodge, Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore .....	1,000,000
MA .....	New Bedford Whaling National Historical Park (Bourne bldg) .....	1,500,000
MI .....	Keweenaw National Historical Park (Quincy Smelting Works) .....	1,000,000
MI .....	Keweenaw National Historical Park Union Building .....	1,380,000
MO .....	Harry S. Truman NHS, rehabilitate interior grounds of Historic Noland House and install interpretive exhibits.	1,018,000
MT .....	Glacier NP, safety improvements at Many Glacier Hotel .....	8,507,000
NC .....	Blue Ridge Parkway, repair Craggy Gardens retaining and guardwalls .....	2,728,000
NJ .....	Gateway NRA, Sandy Hook Repair of Historic Gun Batteries .....	800,000
NJ, PA .....	Delaware Water Gap NRA, demolish and remove hazardous structures .....	2,234,000
NY .....	Fire Island Land Trust Historic Restoration .....	250,000
OH .....	Cuyahoga Valley National Park Site and Structure Rehabilitation Program .....	500,000
OK .....	Chickasaw National Recreation Area Visitor Center .....	500,000
OR .....	Crater Lake Visitor Education Center .....	350,000
PA .....	Delaware Water Gap NRA, rehabilitate Childs Park .....	3,048,000
PA .....	Flight 93 National Memorial .....	725,000
PA .....	Valley Forge National Park Visitor Center .....	325,000
TN .....	Great Smoky Mountains National Park (curatorial facility) .....	1,500,000
TN .....	Great Smoky Mountains National Park (Tremont/Cosby water) .....	1,940,000
TN .....	Moccasin Bend National Archeological District .....	500,000
UT .....	Timpanogos Cave National Monument Interagency Visitors Center .....	1,600,000
UT .....	Utah Public Lands Artifact Preservation Act, PL 107–329 .....	1,000,000
VA .....	Fort Hunt NCO Quarters Restoration .....	250,000
WA .....	Olympic National Park, restore Elwha River ecosystem and fisheries .....	20,000,000
WI .....	Apostle Islands Lighthouse Restoration .....	2,000,000
WI .....	Ice Age National Scenic Trail .....	265,000
WV .....	Harpers Ferry National Historical Park .....	275,000
WV .....	New River Gorge National River .....	1,025,000
WY .....	Grand Teton National Park, construct critical housing .....	13,174,000
Total, Line Item Projects .....		142,988,000

*Everglades.*—The conference agreement includes \$8,400,000 for the Modified Water Deliveries Project at Everglades National Park as proposed by the House. This includes \$4,200,000 which the President had requested in the budget for the Army Corps of Engineers. These funds will allow for continuous work on the Tamiami Trail bridge and road modifications as a first step to return water flow to the Park. It is critical that the bridging of the Tamiami Trail be completed at the earliest possible date so that flows can

be restored between Everglades National Park and the State-managed Water Conservation Areas.

*Paterson Great Falls National Historical Park.*—Of the amount provided, the conferees have included \$500,000 for the Park Service's general management plan for the Paterson Great Falls National Historical Park.

*Castillo de San Marcos National Monument.*—The conferees have included \$500,000 for preliminary planning and design of the Castillo de San Marcos National Monument restoration project with the understanding that construction will not commence until fee simple title of the affected property is conveyed to the Federal government by the City of St. Augustine, FL and the State of Florida.

*Bill Language.*—The conference agreement includes language for the Everglades Modified Water Projects as proposed by the House. The agreement also authorizes a single contract for the full scope of the Elwha Dam project. The conference agreement does not include statutory language proposed by the House to authorize a special resources study along the Mississippi River. The conferees have addressed this issue in report language under the Operation of the National Park System account. The conference agreement also provides that construction funds for the Quincy Smelter stabilization project at Keweenaw National Historical Park shall be made without regard to requirements in section 8(b) of Public Law 102–543, as amended.

#### LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND

##### (RESCISSION)

The conference agreement rescinds \$30,000,000, as in previous years, in annual contract authority. There are no plans to use this authority in fiscal year 2010.

#### LAND ACQUISITION AND STATE ASSISTANCE

The conference agreement includes \$126,266,000 for Land Acquisition and State Assistance instead of \$113,222,000 as proposed by the House and \$118,586,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes the following distribution of funds:

State	Project	Amount
AL .....	Little River Canyon National Preserve .....	\$1,500,000
AR, OK .....	Ft. Smith National Historic Site .....	362,000
AZ .....	Petrified Forest National Park .....	4,575,000
CA .....	Golden Gate National Recreation Area .....	5,000,000
CA .....	Mojave National Preserve, Joshua Tree National Park, Death Valley National Park .....	1,000,000
CA .....	Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area .....	1,000,000
GA .....	Chattahoochee River National Recreation Area .....	3,100,000
ID .....	Minidoka National Historic Site .....	350,000
KY .....	Cumberland Gap National Historical Park .....	1,150,000
MI .....	Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore .....	1,000,000
MO .....	Harry S. Truman National Historic Site .....	1,300,000
MS .....	Natchez National Historical Park .....	264,000
NC .....	Guilford Courthouse National Military Park .....	880,000
NH .....	Appalachian National Scenic Trail .....	1,375,000
NM .....	Petroglyph National Monument .....	1,000,000
OH .....	Cuyahoga Valley National Park .....	4,000,000
PA .....	Appalachian National Scenic Trail .....	1,820,000

State	Project	Amount
SC .....	Congaree National Park .....	1,320,000
TN .....	Shiloh National Military Park .....	250,000
TX .....	Big Thicket National Preserve .....	5,000,000
TX .....	Fort Davis National Historic Site .....	500,000
TX .....	Palo Alto Battlefield National Park .....	4,120,000
VA .....	Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania National Military Park .....	200,000
VA .....	Prince William Forest Park .....	425,000
VA, NC .....	Blue Ridge Parkway .....	1,250,000
VI .....	Virgin Islands National Park .....	3,250,000
VT .....	Appalachian National Scenic Trail .....	625,000
WA .....	Mt. Ranier National Park .....	2,150,000
WA .....	Olympic National Park .....	3,000,000
WA .....	San Juan Island National Historical Park .....	6,000,000
WI .....	Ice Age National Scenic Trail .....	2,000,000
Mult. ....	Civil War Battlefield Grants .....	9,000,000
Subtotal, Line Item Projects .....		68,766,000
Acquisition Management .....		9,500,000
Inholdings and Exchanges .....		5,000,000
Emergencies, and Hardships .....		3,000,000
Total, National Park Service Land Acquisition .....		86,266,000
Stateside conservation grants .....		37,200,000
Administrative expenses .....		2,800,000
Total, Assistance to States .....		40,000,000
Grand Total, Land Acquisition and State Assistance .....		126,266,000

The funding provided for the Virgin Islands National Park includes \$2,250,000 for the Maho Bay property and \$1,000,000 for the Hawksnest Bay property.

The conferees have included report language under National Recreation and Preservation guiding the acquisition of lands or interests therein at the Minidoka National Historic Site.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The bill continues administrative provisions applicable to the National Park Service as proposed by the House and the Senate.

#### UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

##### SURVEYS, INVESTIGATIONS, AND RESEARCH

The conference agreement provides \$1,111,740,000 for Surveys, Investigations and Research instead of \$1,105,744,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,104,340,000 as proposed by the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by activity for this account is included in the table at the end of the statement. In addition to the guidance included in both the House and Senate committee reports, the conference agreement provides the following direction:

*Geographic Research and Remote Sensing.*—The conference agreement includes the House proposed bill language and direction concerning the Civil Applications Committee, and provides \$1,650,000 above the budget request for its operation.

*Geologic Hazards Assessments.*—The conference agreement includes the following increases to the request: \$1,000,000 for LIDAR



and high risk seismology activities and \$250,000 for the Global Seismographic Network as proposed by the House; and \$250,000 for the UH-Manoa/HVO volcano research/monitoring partnership in Hawaii as proposed by the Senate.

*Geologic Resource Assessments.*—The conference agreement includes the Senate proposed increase of \$650,000 to continue the Nye County minerals assessment project, NV.

*Ground Water Resources.*—The conference agreement includes the following increases to the request: \$300,000 for the South Arkansas Sparta Aquifer Recovery Initiative and \$280,000 for the McHenry County groundwater and storm water protection study as proposed by the House; and \$900,000 to continue the San Diego Formation mapping project as proposed by the Senate.

*Hydrologic Research and Development.*—The conference agreement includes the following increases to the request: \$1,000,000 for the U.S.-Mexico Transboundary Aquifer Assessment Program; \$200,000 for the Hood Canal Dissolved Oxygen Study, WA; and \$400,000 for the Survey's participation in the work of the Long Term Estuary Assessment Group, LA.

*Hydrologic Networks and Analysis.*—The conference agreement includes the following increases to the request, as proposed by the Senate: \$346,000 for Lake Champlain Basin streamflow monitoring/toxic studies; \$500,000 for a water resources assessment of Maryland's Coastal Plain and Piedmont aquifer systems; and \$500,000 for water resources monitoring, investigations and research in Hawaii. The Survey is encouraged to consider maintaining its activities at the San Pedro River Basin, AZ, as authorized by section 321 of Public Law 108-136.

*Biological Research.*—The conference agreement includes the following increases to the request: \$220,000 for the Conte Anadromous Fish Research Lab, MA; \$1,000,000 for San Francisco Bay Salt Ponds restoration monitoring/research; \$750,000 for general genetics and genomic research; \$600,000 for tropical ecosystems and watershed health research; \$2,000,000 within biological information management and delivery to support the coordinators of the national network of State conservation data agencies; \$750,000 for National Biological Information Infrastructure activities; and \$350,000 for the design and testing of monitoring protocols on invasive species, including zebra mussels, in the Columbia River Basin in collaboration with Washington State University and its partners. The Survey is encouraged to conduct further research and analyses regarding the alarming interaction of endocrine disrupters on water quality and fish development.

*Enterprise Information.*—The conference agreement includes the \$2,000,000 requested for USGS participation in the Department of the Interior's 21st Century Youth Conservation Corps initiative.

*Global Climate Change Research Program.*—The conference agreement includes \$58,177,000 as requested and proposed by both the House and the Senate for the global climate change research program. Additional funds for climate change related research are also in other budget activities. The agreement includes \$15,000,000 as requested for the National Climate Change and Wildlife Science Center (NCCWSC), including support for a national office, regional

hubs, and intra- and extra-mural research. The NCCWSC should oversee and coordinate research on the potential effects of climate change on wildlife, fish, and flora including both terrestrial and aquatic habitats. Locations for the regional centers are to be selected through a collaborative process that engages other Federal, State and Tribal agencies, universities, and other partners. Additional direction concerning the adoption of an integrated approach to climate change science and management is in the front of this statement.

#### MINERALS MANAGEMENT SERVICE

##### ROYALTY AND OFFSHORE MINERALS MANAGEMENT

The conference agreement includes \$175,217,000 for Royalty and Offshore Minerals Management, as proposed by the Senate instead of \$174,317,000 as proposed by the House. The detailed allocation of funding by program area and activity is included in the table at the end of the statement.

In addition, the use of \$166,730,000 in receipts and cost recovery fees is included, as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The conference agreement includes \$900,000 to continue the Center for Marine Resources and Environmental Technology project in Mississippi.

The conferees support the Administration's efforts to secure a balanced energy portfolio that carefully weighs what is in the best interest of our energy-dependent nation with what is in the best interest of our natural environment. Future coordinated efforts to pursue additional oil and gas resources in the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) must include the opportunity to apply advanced technologies, be based on the best available science, and take into account the potential environmental impacts of such potential development. Therefore, the conferees direct the Minerals Management Service, pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act, to conduct a Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) to evaluate potential significant environmental effects of multiple geological and geophysical activities in the Atlantic OCS and provide a detailed timeline for completion of the PEIS no later than 90 days after enactment of this Act. The conferees believe this request is consistent with the Department's stated desire to fill in information gaps relating to resource potential in the OCS.

The conferees note that over the last several years there have been expanded areas available for oil and gas leasing in the Beaufort and Chukchi Seas and approved exploration plans involving seismic testing and exploratory drilling in these waters. These waters currently host a rich diversity of wildlife and fish resources and are critical to the survival of the subsistence culture of the Inupiat people of Arctic Alaska. To ensure sound science-based planning with regard to these important resources, a continuing comprehensive assessment of the health, biodiversity, and functioning of Arctic marine and coastal ecosystems, including the impacts of industrial activities and of climate change is needed. To inform this continuing assessment, the conferees believe that there should be scientific analysis conducted by an independent entity to assess existing scientific information and identify any relevant ad-

ditional information to ensure adequate environmental review of proposed industrial activities in the region. This assessment should also include recommendations for obtaining the identified relevant scientific information.

#### OIL SPILL RESEARCH

The conference agreement includes \$6,303,000 for Oil Spill Research as proposed by both the House and Senate.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

The conference agreement continues language from the fiscal year 2009 enacted bill on a legislative matter which deducts two percent of State royalties to help cover Federal administrative costs, resulting in a \$45,000,000 scoring credit for the bill.

#### OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING RECLAMATION AND ENFORCEMENT

##### REGULATION AND TECHNOLOGY

The conference agreement includes \$127,180,000 for Regulation and Technology as proposed by both the House and the Senate. In addition, there is also an indefinite appropriation estimated to be \$100,000 for civil penalties for a total program level of \$127,280,000. The detailed allocation of funding by program area and activity is included in the table at the end of the statement.

#### ABANDONED MINE RECLAMATION FUND

The conference agreement includes \$35,588,000 for the Abandoned Mine Reclamation Fund instead of \$32,088,000 as proposed by the House and \$39,588,000 as proposed by the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program area and activity is included in the table at the end of the statement.

#### BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS

##### OPERATION OF INDIAN PROGRAMS

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement includes \$2,335,965,000 for the Operation of Indian Programs instead of \$2,300,099,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,309,322,000 as proposed by the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program area and activity is included in the table at the end of the statement. In addition to the directions included in the House and Senate Committee reports, the conference agreement includes the following directions:

*Tribal Government.*—The conference agreement includes \$429,778,000 instead of \$422,862,000 as proposed by the House and \$418,572,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within the funds for Tribal government, there is \$166,000,000 for contract support costs, an increase of \$18,706,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level.

*Human Services.*—The conference agreement includes \$136,996,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$138,059,000 as proposed by the Senate.

*Trust—Natural Resource Management.*—The conference agreement includes \$175,618,000 instead of \$174,768,000 as proposed by

the House and \$161,618,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within the funds for trust resource management, there is an increase of \$12,000,000 for rights protection programs, \$350,000 for the Upper Columbia United Tribes, \$500,000 for the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe's prairie management program, and a general increase of \$2,000,000 for fish hatchery operations within the fish, wildlife and parks program.

The conferees direct the Bureau to distribute the increase provided for rights protection using a merit-based process for programs with existing memoranda of understanding, legal settlements, treaty rights, or past merit-based funding history, in accordance with language included in the administration's budget justification.

*Trust—Real Estate Services.*—The conference agreement includes \$152,493,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

*Education.*—The conference agreement includes \$799,400,000 instead of \$796,300,000 as proposed by the House and \$797,900,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within the funds provided there are increases of \$500,000 for Haskell and SIPI colleges, and \$600,000 for United Tribes Technical College and Navajo Technical College. The increases provided should be divided proportionally between the respective schools. There is also a general increase of \$2,000,000 for Tribal colleges and universities and \$2,000,000 for student transportation.

*Public Safety and Justice.*—The conference agreement includes \$328,855,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$303,855,000 as proposed by the House. Within the funds provided for public safety and justice, law enforcement there are increases of \$10,000,000 for criminal investigation, \$5,000,000 for detention and corrections, \$1,000,000 for special initiatives, \$1,000,000 for Indian police academy, \$3,000,000 for program management, and \$5,000,000 for Tribal courts.

The conferees commend the Shoshone-Bannock Tribes of the Fort Hall Indian Reservation for their initiative in addressing their law enforcement needs by constructing a justice center to house their adult and juvenile detention and rehabilitation center, Tribal courts, and police department. The conferees encourage the Bureau of Indian Affairs to work with the Shoshone-Bannock Tribes to ensure that the Center and the programs it will provide will operate effectively. Additionally, the conferees encourage the Bureau to consider establishing regional detention centers at new or existing facilities as it works to combat the crime problem in Indian Country.

*Community and Economic Development.*—The conference agreement includes \$44,910,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$43,910,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within the funds for community and economic development, there is an increase of \$1,000,000 for community development programs for training and apprenticeship opportunities.

*Executive Direction and Administrative Services.*—The conference agreement includes \$267,915,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

The conferees intend that the Department will utilize funds available in the Operation of Indian Programs account or the In-

dian Land Consolidation account for estate planning assistance as provided for under Section 207(f) of the Indian Land Consolidation Act (25 U.S.C. 2206(f)).

#### CONSTRUCTION

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement includes \$225,000,000 for Construction as proposed by the Senate instead of \$200,000,000 as proposed by the House. The detailed allocation of funding by program area and activity is included in the table at the end of the statement. In addition to the directions included in the House and Senate Committee reports, the conference agreement includes the following directions:

*Public Safety and Justice.*—The conference agreement includes \$64,407,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$39,407,000 as proposed by the House. Within the funds provided there are increases of \$20,000,000 for detention center replacement and \$5,000,000 for employee housing for new and existing housing needs in remote areas to promote recruitment and retention of law enforcement officers.

#### INDIAN LAND AND WATER CLAIMS SETTLEMENTS AND MISCELLANEOUS PAYMENTS TO INDIANS

The conference agreement includes \$47,380,000 for Indian Land and Water Claims Settlements and miscellaneous payments to Indians as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program area and activity is included in the table at the end of the statement.

#### INDIAN GUARANTEED LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The conference agreement provides \$8,215,000 for the Indian Guaranteed Loan Program Account as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

#### INDIAN LAND CONSOLIDATION

The conference agreement provides \$3,000,000 for Indian Land Consolidation as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

#### DEPARTMENTAL OFFICES

##### OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides \$118,836,000 for the Office of the Secretary as requested and as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program and activity is included in the table at the end of the statement. The conference agreement also includes the following directions:

*Bill Language.*—The conference agreement includes language that within the appropriated amount, \$12,136,000 is to be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund for consolidated appraisal services and remain available until expended, as requested and as proposed by the House. In addition, of the funds provided

\$25,000 may be used for official reception and representation activities, as proposed by the Senate instead of \$15,000 as proposed by the House. Language also is included permitting the Secretary for fiscal years 2008–2012 to correct prior year overpayments and underpayments to counties under the Payments In Lieu of Taxes program as proposed by the Senate.

#### INSULAR AFFAIRS

##### ASSISTANCE TO TERRITORIES

The conference agreement provides \$85,195,000 for Assistance to Territories instead of \$83,995,000 as proposed by the House and \$81,095,000 as proposed by the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by activity for this account is included in the table at the end of the statement. The conference agreement follows the House proposed bill and report language, including \$900,000 above the request for critical wastewater system repairs and improvements in the U.S. Virgin Islands. The conference agreement also includes \$1,200,000 within the technical assistance activity to be used by the Secretary to assist American Samoa with recovery from the catastrophic tsunami which hit the islands on September 29, 2009.

##### COMPACT OF FREE ASSOCIATION

The conference agreement provides \$5,318,000 for the Compact of Free Association as requested and proposed by the House and the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program is included in the table at the end of the statement. As proposed by the House, the bill language regarding the authority to use a USDA loan program in Guam is included under the Administrative Provision heading for Insular Affairs.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement includes the Administrative Provision proposed by the House. Similar language was under both the Assistance to Territories and the Compact of Free Association headings in the budget request and the Senate proposal. This language will allow the Interior Department to transfer certain funds designated for Guam to the U.S. Department of Agriculture, when requested by the Governor of Guam, as a subsidy for direct or guaranteed rural development loans to Guam for construction and repair projects. This language, which does not supplant any existing USDA authority, will help the government of Guam respond to extensive change as the military relocates major facilities and personnel to Guam.

#### OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides \$65,076,000 for the Office of the Solicitor as requested and as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program and activity is included in the table at the end of the statement.

## OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides \$48,590,000 for the Office of the Inspector General as requested and as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program and activity is included in the table at the end of the statement.

## OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL TRUSTEE FOR AMERICAN INDIANS

## FEDERAL TRUST PROGRAMS

## (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement includes \$185,984,000 for the Office of the Special Trustee for American Indians, Federal Trust Programs as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The conference agreement does not include bill language added by the Senate that directs funding to estate planning. The detailed allocation of funding by program area and activity is included in the table at the end of the statement.

*Bill Language.*—The conference agreement includes bill language, as in previous years, limiting the amount of funding that can be used for historical accounting.

## DEPARTMENT-WIDE PROGRAMS

## WILDLAND FIRE MANAGEMENT

## (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$919,897,000 for Department of the Interior Wildland Fire Management instead of \$932,780,000 as proposed by the House and \$904,637,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement also includes an additional \$61,000,000 for the new Department of the Interior FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund as described below. The Senate had included \$75,000,000 for a contingency reserve fund within this account, whereas the House had included \$75,000,000 in a separate Wildland Fire Suppression Contingency Reserve account as was in the budget request. The detailed allocation of funding by activity for these accounts is included in the table at the end of the statement. The conferees note that the funding provided includes the use of \$125,000,000 in prior year non-emergency funds because the suppression activity had very large carry-over balances from fiscal year 2009. In addition to the directions provided in the House and Senate committee reports, the conference agreement also provides the following directions:

*Wildfire Preparedness.*—The conference agreement provides \$290,452,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$289,192,000 as proposed by the Senate.

*Wildfire Suppression Operations.*—The conference agreement includes \$383,797,000 for wildland fire suppression instead of \$369,797,000 as proposed by the House and \$294,797,000 as proposed by the Senate. The Senate recommendation included an allo-

cation of \$75,000,000 within the suppression amount for a wildfire suppression contingency reserve. The conferees note the use of \$125,000,000 in prior year funding. An additional \$61,000,000 for suppression activities is provided in the new FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund. The conferees note that the Forest Service wildland fire suppression accounts are provided an additional \$1,410,505,000, including \$413,000,000 in the new Forest Service FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund. Thus, the sum for wildfire suppression for both Departments, including both the suppression and the FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund accounts, is \$1,855,302,000. This sum is equal to the request and \$526,164,000 above the fiscal year 2009 funding level. This is the largest funding increase ever provided for non-emergency wildfire suppression activities.

The conferees reiterate that both the Interior Department and the Forest Service should ensure that cost containment is an important priority when suppressing wildland fires. Both Departments must examine and report promptly to the Congress and on agency websites, using independent panels, on each and every individual wildfire incident which results in suppression expenses greater than \$10,000,000.

The conferees intend that amounts provided through the FLAME Fund, together with amounts provided through the Wildland Fire Management appropriations account, should fully fund anticipated wildland fire suppression requirements in advance of the fire season and prevent future borrowing from non-fire programs. To satisfy this requirement, the conferees direct the Secretaries to develop new methods for formulating fire suppression funding estimates for the Wildland Fire Management and FLAME Fund appropriations accounts as part of their fiscal year 2011 budget request. In formulating these estimates, the conferees expect the Secretaries to consider data regarding actual prior-year fire suppression expenditures, predictive modeling, and any other criteria that they deem appropriate, consistent with the direction provided in this Act. It is the intent of conferees to provide sufficient funds for FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve accounts to preclude the necessity for transferring funds from other non-fire programs and activities except in unusual circumstances.

*Other Wildland Fire Management Operations.*—The conference agreement provides \$206,206,000 for hazardous fuels reduction activities at the Department of the Interior as requested and proposed by the Senate instead of \$233,089,000 as proposed by the House. The conference agreement provides the requested funding for the other subactivities as was proposed by both the House and the Senate.

*Bill Language.*—The conference agreement includes the House proposed language allowing up to \$50,000,000 to be transferred between the Interior Department and the Forest Service when such transfers would facilitate and expedite jointly funded wildland fire management programs and projects. Bill language is included that directs the Department to make no less than \$125,000,000 in prior year non-emergency suppression funds available for wildfire suppression purposes in addition to amounts otherwise provided. The agreement also includes the Senate proposed language stating that



wildfire suppression funds may be used for support of Federal emergency response actions.

The conference does not include the Wildland Fire Contingency Reserve Fund which was in the request and the House recommendation. Instead, funds are provided for the FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund, as described below.

#### FLAME WILDFIRE SUPPRESSION RESERVE FUND

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$61,000,000 for the new Department of the Interior FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund established in the FLAME Act of 2009 (Title V of this Act). The Senate had included \$75,000,000 within the Wildland Fire Management account for a suppression reserve, whereas the House had included, as requested, \$75,000,000 for a separate Wildland Fire Suppression Contingency Reserve Fund. Further direction on the use of this new account is provided in Title V.

A similar account in the Forest Service is also provided an additional \$413,000,000. The conferees note that this is the first year for this new account, so adjustments will be required by the Federal wildfire community. The funding provided this year as a beginning allocation is equal to the actual expenditures during fiscal year 2009 by the Department of the Interior on large wildfire suppression events, as defined by the FLAME Act of 2009. The conferees have established these funding levels to give the Department of the Interior and the Forest Service some degree of funding flexibility as they develop appropriate procedures and infrastructure for the FLAME Funds. However, these levels are not intended to represent a final method for calculating FLAME Fund budget requests. Instead, as provided in Title V, the conferees expect the agencies to develop new methods for formulating fire suppression funding estimates for the Wildland Fire Management and FLAME Fund appropriations accounts as part of their fiscal year 2011 budget request.

#### CENTRAL HAZARDOUS MATERIALS FUND

The conference agreement provides the requested amount, \$10,175,000, for the Central Hazardous Materials Fund as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

#### NATURAL RESOURCE DAMAGE ASSESSMENT AND RESTORATION

##### NATURAL RESOURCE DAMAGE ASSESSMENT FUND

The conference agreement provides \$6,462,000 for the Natural Resource Damage Assessment Fund as requested and as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by activity is included in the table at the end of the statement.

#### WORKING CAPITAL FUND

The conference agreement provides \$85,823,000 for the Working Capital Fund as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$75,823,000 as proposed by the House.

## GENERAL PROVISIONS, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Sec. 101. Retains a provision included by both the House and the Senate providing Secretarial authority to transfer program funds for expenditures in cases of emergency.

Sec. 102. Retains a provision included by both the House and the Senate providing for expenditure or transfer of funds by the Secretary in the event of actual or potential emergencies including forest fires, range fires, earthquakes, floods, volcanic eruptions, storms, oil spills, grasshopper and Mormon cricket outbreaks, and surface mine reclamation emergencies.

Sec. 103. Retains a provision included by both the House and the Senate providing for use of appropriated funds for purchase and replacement of motor vehicles, contracts, rental cars and aircraft, certain library memberships, and certain telephone expenses.

Sec. 104. Retains a provision included by both the House and the Senate permitting the transfer of funds between the Bureau of Indian Affairs and the Office of the Special Trustee for American Indians.

Sec. 105. Retains a provision included by both the House and the Senate permitting the redistribution of Tribal priority allocation and Tribal base funds to alleviate funding inequities.

Sec. 106. Retains a provision included by both the House and the Senate permitting the conveyance of the Twin Cities Research Center of the former Bureau of Mines for the benefit of the National Wildlife Refuge System.

Sec. 107. Retains a provision included by both the House and the Senate allowing the Secretary to pay private attorney fees for employees and former employees incurred in connection with *Cobell v. Salazar*.

Sec. 108. Retains a provision included by the House requiring the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to mark hatchery salmon.

Sec. 109. Continues a provision included by both the House and the Senate authorizing the Secretary of the Interior to acquire lands for the operation and maintenance of facilities in support of transportation of visitors to Ellis, Governors, and Liberty Islands.

Sec. 110. Retains a provision included by the Senate, directing the Secretary of the Interior to make certain certifications with respect to existing rights-of-way.

Sec. 111. Retains a provision included by the House allowing the Minerals Management Service to accept contributions to complete environmental documents prior to energy exploration and production through 2013.

Sec. 112. Retains a provision included by the Senate extending permanently the authority of the Secretary to enter into cooperative agreements where such agreements are in the interest of the Department of the Interior.

Sec. 113. Retains a provision included by the House authorizing funds provided for land acquisition at the Ice Age National Scenic Trail to be granted to a State, a local government, or any other land management entity.

Sec. 114. Retains a provision included by the House providing the Secretary with civil and criminal penalty authority for revenue

collection of solid minerals, geothermal, and offshore alternative energy activities. This authority would correct existing deficiencies in sections 109 and 110 of the Federal Oil and Gas Royalty Management Act (FOGRMA). The Senate bill contained a similar provision.

Sec. 115. Retains a provision included by the House allowing the Minerals Management Service to charge outer continental shelf oil and gas operators a fee for the required MMS inspections.

Sec. 116. Retains a provision included by the Senate prohibiting the use of funds to reduce the number of Axis and Fallow deer at Point Reyes National Seashore.

Sec. 117. Retains language included by the Senate extending the authorization for certain school payments at Yosemite National Park until 2013.

Sec. 118. Retains language included by the House amending authority for land purchase at San Juan Island National Historic Park.

Sec. 119. Modifies a provision included by the House amending the boundary of Heart Mountain. The agreement does not include language amending the boundary of Minidoka National Historic Site authorization.

Sec. 120. Retains language included by the Senate amending the Northern Plains National Heritage Area Act by including a private property opt-in provision to clarify that private landowners will not have to include their land in the Northern Plains National Heritage Area unless they provide written consent for inclusion.

Sec. 121. Retains language included by the Senate authorizing the Secretary of the Interior to enter into a joint ticketing agreement at the U.S.S. Arizona Memorial in Hawaii with certain non-profit entities for the convenience of visitors.

Sec. 122. Retains language included by the Senate extending the authorization of certain payments to the Republic of Palau for fiscal year 2010.

Sec. 123. Retains language included by the Senate amending Golden Gate Recreation Area to allow for concurrent jurisdiction. This change will allow the National Park Service to enhance its law enforcement and fire protection services.

Sec. 124. Modifies language included by the Senate providing the Secretary discretion to issue a special use permit to Drake's Bay Oyster Company within Drake's Estero at Point Reyes National Seashore.

Sec. 125. Retains language included by the Senate directing the Secretary of the Interior to conduct a special resource study of the Honouliuli Gulch and associated sites in Hawaii.

Sec. 126. Modifies language included by the Senate regarding the security along the southwest border.

Sec. 127. Retains language included by the Senate allowing property owners to opt-out of a National Heritage Area plan, project or activity.

Sec. 128. The agreement includes a new provision proposed by the Senate which directs the Secretary of the Interior to commemorate the leadership of Senator Robert J. Dole in bringing about the World War II Memorial on the National Mall.

Sec. 129. The agreement includes a new provision proposed by the Senate to extend the Martin Luther King, Jr. Memorial authority until September 30, 2010.

Sec. 130. The agreement includes a new provision proposed by the Senate to extend the John Adams Memorial authority until September 30, 2010.

The conference agreement does not include language regarding elk management in Theodore Roosevelt National Park as proposed by the Senate.

## TITLE II—ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

The conference agreement provides \$846,049,000 for Science and Technology programs instead of \$849,649,000 as proposed by the House and \$842,799,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement also transfers \$26,834,000 from the Hazardous Substance Superfund account to this account. The detailed allocation of funding by program area and activity for this account is included in the table at the end of the statement. The conference agreement also includes the following specific funding levels and directions:

*Homeland Security.*—From within the amount provided, the conference agreement fully funds the remaining two Water Security Initiative pilot projects.

*Research/National Priorities.*—The conference agreement provides \$5,700,000 for this program and allocates the funds as proposed by the House instead of a competitive grant program proposed by the Senate. The conferees have agreed to the following allocation of funds: \$2,000,000 for the Water Environment Research Foundation; \$1,700,000 for the Water Research Foundation; \$1,000,000 for the Southwest Consortium for Environmental Research and Policy; and \$1,000,000 for the Consortium for Plant Biotechnology Research.

*Research: Human Health and Ecosystems.*—The conference agreement includes no less than \$11,442,000 for endocrine disruptor research. In addition, the conferees direct a portion of the increase provided for the Integrated Risk Information System Health Assessments to expedite the risk assessment for trichloroethylene (TCE). The conference agreement includes an additional \$3,000,000 for children's environmental health research instead of \$5,000,000 as proposed by the House. Of this amount \$2,000,000 is directed to increase the base program for centers of excellence on children's environmental health and is to be divided equally among the four centers. The conferees urge that at least one of these centers focus on child care settings. The remaining \$1,000,000 is directed to accelerate research on the effects of environmental chemicals and toxins on children.

*Air Quality Research.*—The conferees encourage the Agency to establish a competitively awarded, national research facility to help address many of the issues relating to air quality, as noted in the House report. The conferees note the need for additional air quality research such as that conducted by the University of California, Riverside.

*Hydraulic Fracturing Study.*—The conferees urge the Agency to carry out a study on the relationship between hydraulic fracturing and drinking water, using a credible approach that relies on the best available science, as well as independent sources of information. The conferees expect the study to be conducted through a transparent, peer-reviewed process that will ensure the validity and accuracy of the data. The Agency shall consult with other Federal agencies as well as appropriate State and interstate regulatory agencies in carrying out the study, which should be prepared in accordance with the Agency's quality assurance principles.

*Health Effects of Fuel Efficiency and Emission Reduction Efforts.*—The conferees are aware that efforts to improve fuel efficiency and to reduce greenhouse gas emissions will require careful evaluation for potential consequences for human health and the environment. To ensure that the Agency can meet the need for this critical information in a timely and credible manner, the conferees encourage the Agency to work with experienced and independent extramural research partners to strengthen ongoing human health research and assessment efforts on alternative fuels, engines, and emission reduction technologies.

*Black Carbon.*—The conferees have included a modified version of language originally proposed by the Senate in the Administrative Provisions section requiring the Administrator to complete and transmit a study on black carbon to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, the House Energy and Commerce Committee and the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee no later than 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act. The conferees agree that the Administrator shall carry out the study in consultation with other relevant Federal partners. The conferees further direct the Agency to fund this study from within amounts provided to the Office of Air and Radiation in either the Science and Technology account or the Environmental Programs and Management account or a combination of funds from each account. Bill language has been included in the Administrative Provisions section mandating this report.

*Great Lakes Emission Control Areas.*—Language has been included in the General Provisions section concerning the rule to control emissions from new marine compression-ignition engines. EPA is conducting a rulemaking (74 Fed. Reg. 44442) regarding fuel sulfur standards that will apply to vessels including those that are powered by large marine diesel engines, called Category 3 engines. While these standards can achieve significant health and welfare benefits, they can also impose significant costs on the industry and on a region whose economy is already reeling. EPA has received comments detailing significant negative economic impacts for carriers that operate Category 3 engine vessels exclusively within the Great Lakes and their connecting and tributary waters and therefore for the economy of the region. Because of these economic impacts, EPA should include waiver provisions similar to those in other EPA rules in the final rule—one to waive the 10,000 ppm sulfur standard for Great Lakes Category 3 diesel engine vessels that burn residual fuel if EPA determines that 10,000 ppm residual fuel is not available; and one to waive fuel requirements for an owner/operator of a Great Lakes Category 3 diesel engine vessel based

upon a showing of serious economic hardship. It is important that EPA structure such a waiver provision similar to the other fuels rules, where parties can apply for and receive a waiver in sufficient time prior to the implementation of the requirements. Finally, EPA should perform a study and issue a report within six months that evaluates the economic impact of the final rule on Great Lakes carriers.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS AND MANAGEMENT

The conference agreement provides \$2,993,779,000 for Environmental Programs and Management activities instead of \$3,022,054,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,878,780,000 as proposed by the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program area and activity for this account is included in the table at the end of the statement. The conference agreement also includes the following specific funding levels and directions:

*Brownfields.*—The conference agreement provides the request of \$1,246,000 for the Smart Growth Program. As proposed by the Senate, the agreement does not provide the requested funds for additional personnel. The House had proposed to fund one-half of the increase.

*Compliance.*—From within the amount provided, the conferees direct \$25,070,000 for compliance assistance and centers and \$9,702,000 for compliance incentives.

*Environmental Protection/National Priorities.*—The conference agreement provides \$16,950,000 for this program and allocates the funds as proposed by the House instead of a competitive grant program as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have agreed to the following allocation of funds: \$13,000,000 for the National Rural Water Association; \$2,500,000 for the Rural Community Assistance Partnership; \$700,000 for the Water Systems Council/WellCare program; and \$750,000 for the National Biosolids Partnership.

*Geographic Programs.*—The conference agreement provides \$608,441,000 for this program area instead of \$628,941,000 as proposed by the House and \$478,696,000 as proposed by the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by specific geographic program area is included in the table at the end of the statement. In addition to these specific amounts, the conference agreement includes the following directives for certain programs.

*Great Lakes Restoration Initiative.*—The conference agreement provides \$475,000,000 for this new initiative. The conferees support the Administration's efforts to restore the Great Lakes and have agreed to the request to fund an interagency restoration effort, led by the Environmental Protection Agency. In addition, the conferees have agreed to consolidate and expand funding for the Agency's Great Lakes National Program Office and Great Lakes Legacy Act programs within this new program-project.

Bill language has been included to allow the Agency to transfer funding to or establish interagency agreements with other Federal agencies, and to make grants to relevant entities as appropriate. The conferees direct the Agency to work with the other Federal agencies to ensure these funds supplement and expand, not supplant, base Great Lakes programs when compared to fiscal year 2009 levels. The Agency is directed to provide, starting on March

1, 2010, annual reports that provide funding allocations by Agency and that identify any adjustments from the request. Beginning in 2011 and each year thereafter, the Agency is directed to provide detailed yearly program accomplishments and compare specific funding levels allocated for participating Federal agencies from fiscal year to fiscal year. This includes programs funded at or below \$500,000 that may not otherwise be identified in cross-cut budgets. The Agency and its Federal partners are expected to limit overhead.

The Agency is directed to use the Great Lakes Regional Collaboration Strategy as a guide to maximize funding for actual restoration activities that achieve measurable results. A substantial portion of the funds shall be provided for restoration activities conducted by non-Federal partners to accomplish restoration objectives where appropriate and cost-effective. The conferees direct the Agency and the other Federal agencies to exercise maximum flexibility to minimize non-Federal match requirements in recognition of the exceptional economic circumstances of the region and the significant ongoing investments made by non-Federal partners.

To guide the activities of the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative through fiscal year 2014, including funding decisions in fiscal year 2011 and future years, the conferees direct the Agency to develop a comprehensive, multi-year restoration action plan that will lead to the restoration of the Great Lakes. The action plan should build upon the foundation of the Great Lakes Regional Collaboration Strategy, utilize input from Great Lakes stakeholders, outline Federal agency actions to help protect and restore the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the Great Lakes Basin ecosystem, and include targets and measurable objectives expected for fiscal years 2010 through 2014. The Agency should ensure that the goals, objectives, and targets of the Action Plan are aligned with those of the Great Lakes State, local and Tribal governments. The plan should also include a description of the process used to track and measure progress, target restoration priorities and adapt and modify those priorities in the outyears. In addition, the conferees expect the Agency to establish a process that:

- (1) Allows the Great Lakes area governors, mayors, Tribal leaders, regional organizations and other stakeholders to provide advice, guidance, and recommendations that will assist the Agency in making annual decisions on restoration priorities, activities, projects, and funding levels that reflect the highest priority needs;
- (2) Coordinates restoration activities in the U.S. with those of the Canadian and provincial governments;
- (3) Engages an independent, scientific panel to review the scientific credibility of the plan to optimize the likelihood of successful restoration at appropriate scales; and,
- (4) Ensures monitoring and reporting on the progress of the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative, using scientific research to revise restoration priorities as needed, and adapt and modify activities beginning in fiscal year 2011.

In considering the appropriate science for the process, the Agency shall consider that independent scientific reviews are the preferred method for some elements and activities to ensure that the best available science guides efforts to restore the Great Lakes.

However, the Agency may selectively use and scale these scientific reviews so that the rate of progress is optimized at the same time scientific validation is ensured. The Agency shall determine those elements for which additional scientific validation is needed to expedite restoration while providing the Agency with the basis for optimizing successful restoration programs and projects to advance the goals, objectives, and restoration priorities of the action plan.

The conferees support funding Habitat and Wildlife Protection and Restoration through the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration at the Department of Commerce, as proposed by the Administration, and expect that funds will be expended on land conservation priorities that meaningfully contribute to the goals of the Initiative through the Coastal and Estuarine Land Conservation Program (CELCP). As with the other funds provided for the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative, the conferees further expect that these funds would supplement, rather than replace, CELCP funds provided in any other appropriations bill for priority projects in the Great Lakes region.

*Chesapeake Bay.*—The conference agreement includes \$50,000,000 for this program. In light of the new Executive Order on the Bay, which places additional demands on and expectations of the Agency and States, the conferees have included an increase above the request. The conferees direct the Agency to report within 30 days of enactment a proposed allocation of the additional funds. The conferees expect this allocation to recognize that the funds are intended to support additional regulatory and accountability programs to control urban, suburban and agricultural runoff in the watershed. The allocation should emphasize increases to State grant programs to support and expand the States' regulatory and enforcement capabilities.

*Puget Sound.*—The conference agreement includes \$50,000,000 for this program. From within the funds provided, \$4,000,000 is included for the Puget Sound Ecosystem Research Initiative at the University of Washington's College of the Environment. These funds are to conduct, coordinate, and disseminate scientific research to inform policy decisions necessary to carry out the Puget Sound Action Agenda. The remaining funds are for the Agency's intramural costs, cooperative agreements, interagency agreements, contracts and competitive grants, including a competitive grant to manage implementation of the Action Agenda. Prior to announcing any requests for proposals for competitive grants, the conferees expect the Agency to coordinate with the State on priorities for the proposals.

*San Francisco Bay.*—The conference agreement includes \$7,000,000 to continue its competitive grant program to restore the San Francisco Bay watershed and improve water quality. The Agency shall use no more than five percent of the funds provided for the costs of administering the program.

*Long Island Sound.*—The conferees direct the Agency to work with the appropriate stakeholders to determine the proper allocation of funds between the Long Island Sound Restoration Act and the Long Island Sound Stewardship Act. The Agency is directed to report to the Committees on the final distribution of the funds.



*Other Geographic Activities.*—The conference agreement includes \$2,000,000 for a competitive grant program to protect the Potomac Highlands. The conferees recognize the Agency's commitment to protecting the Potomac Highlands through conservation and ecological restoration initiatives. The Agency shall use no more than 10 percent of the funds for intramural costs, with the remaining funds awarded on a competitive basis for projects identified in the Highlands Action Plan.

*Information Exchange/Outreach.*—The conference agreement provides \$129,972,000 for this program area. Within this amount, the conferees direct the following levels: \$6,515,000 for children and other sensitive populations; \$50,480,000 for Congressional, intergovernmental, external relations; \$9,038,000 for environmental education; and, \$16,860,000 for the exchange network. The conference agreement does not include additional funding for the Office of Children's Health Protection as proposed by the House.

*IT/Data Management/Security.*—The conference agreement provides \$104,320,000 for this program. The conferees direct that funding for the Agency's library system be exempted from this reduction.

*Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic Review.*—The conference agreement provides \$123,788,000 for this program. The conferees have not provided funds for the Performance Track program. The conferees direct the Agency to provide, at a minimum, the requested level for the Smart Growth Program. The conference agreement reflects the reduction to the Regulatory/Economic-Management and Analysis program as proposed by the House.

*Operations and Administration.*—The conference agreement provides \$501,895,000 for this program, which includes a \$5,000,000 reduction to the request for the Financial System Modernization Project. The Agency may take the remaining \$5,000,000 reduction from rent, utilities and/or security savings.

*Water: Ecosystems.*—From within the amount provided, the conference agreement includes \$22,400,000 for the National Estuary Grant Program instead of \$28,000,000 proposed by the House and \$16,800,000 proposed by the Senate. This increase will provide \$800,000 to each National Estuary Program funded under section 320 of the Clean Water Act.

*Water Quality Protection.*—From within the amount provided, the conference agreement includes \$208,437,000 for the Surface Water Protection program.

*Bill Language.*—Language is included which mandates the amount allocated to the Geographic Programs.

*National Vehicle Mercury Switch Recovery Program.*—The conferees are aware that the National Vehicle Mercury Switch Recovery Program is an effective way to reduce mercury emissions from end-of-life vehicles. The conferees have not included bill language as proposed by the Senate; however, the conferees remain concerned that the program's operating fund and bounty fund have both been depleted since August, 2009. The conferees urge the Agency to assist program stakeholders in exploring all options to ensure the program's continued operation.

*OCS Air Permits.*—The conferees are concerned about the ability of the Agency to effectively carry out its responsibilities to proc-

ess oil and gas permits in the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) in Alaska and the Eastern Gulf of Mexico. The Agency is directed to allocate sufficient funds and personnel to process OCS air permits in a timely manner consistent with all environmental laws. Within 90 days of enactment of this Act, the Agency is directed to report to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees on its progress to comply with this directive, provide a detailed timeline for issuance of the pending permits, and submit its plan to address this issue consistently among all affected regional offices. Lastly, the conferees expect the Agency to set clear, reasonable national guidelines for issuing OCS air permits.

*Gulf of Maine.*—The conferees urge the Agency to undertake a study of pollution and water quality issues in the Gulf of Maine with the assistance of regional stakeholders to determine whether a comprehensive restoration plan should be developed for this region.

#### OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement provides \$44,791,000 for the Office of Inspector General as requested and as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program area and activity for this account is included in the table at the end of the statement. The conference agreement also includes \$9,975,000 to be transferred from the Hazardous Substance Superfund account to this account.

The conference agreement again includes bill language which authorizes the Agency's Inspector General (IG) to serve as the IG for the Chemical Safety and Hazards Investigation Board (the Board). The conferees have not included language in the Board's section of the bill to transfer funds from the Board's account to this account, as proposed by the House. The Office of the Inspector General (OIG) has confirmed that it has sufficient funds in fiscal year 2010 to perform its duties as IG for the Board. The conferees expect the OIG to continue to expand reviews of the Board beyond those mandated in law to include follow up on earlier GAO recommendations.

The conferees also are concerned by the numerous reorganization proposals submitted by the Agency's OIG and the lack of sufficient notice regarding such proposals. The conferees direct the IG to submit a report describing any proposed reorganization within the OIG at least 90 days before any reorganization is to take effect. Any report filed shall describe the purpose and need for the reorganization, the impact to personnel and anticipated costs. The submission also should contain charts of the current and proposed organizational structure.

The conferees have included language in the Administrative Provisions section expressing their displeasure with the inadequate OIG analysis of the Agency's unliquidated balances.

#### BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

The conference agreement provides \$37,001,000 for Buildings and Facilities activities instead of \$33,001,000 as proposed by the House and \$35,001,000 as proposed by the Senate. The detailed al-

location of funding by program area and activity for this account is included in the table at the end of the statement.

*Bill Language.*—The conferees have included modified language, as proposed by the Senate, directing the Agency to use up to \$500,000 provided under this heading to begin preliminary planning and design work to consolidate the numerous offices and laboratories in the Las Vegas, NV area into a single sustainable building complex. As part of its planning and design process, the conferees expect the Agency to develop precise estimates of total cost savings from improved administrative efficiencies, workspace proximity and reductions in water and energy consumption of such a consolidation. Given recent legislative and executive mandates for Federal agencies to display leadership in adopting sustainable technologies such as the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPAct of 2005, Executive Orders 13423 and 13514, and the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, the conferees expect this project to further the Agency's mission to set an example for energy efficiency and environmental stewardship. Further, the conferees urge the Agency to include full funding for the next phase of this project as part of the Agency's fiscal year 2011 budget request.

#### HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE SUPERFUND

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$1,306,541,000 for the Hazardous Substance Superfund program as proposed by the House instead of \$1,308,541,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes bill language to transfer \$9,975,000 to the Inspector General account and \$26,834,000 to the Science and Technology account as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program area and activity for this account is included in the table at the end of the statement. The conference agreement also includes the following specific direction:

*Operations and Administration.*—The conference agreement provides \$6,068,000 for human resources management as proposed by the House instead of \$8,068,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided only a small increase above the fiscal year 2009 level because the Agency's budget assumes a personnel decrease in this account.

*Brookfield Avenue Landfill.*—The conferees encourage the agency to reevaluate the inclusion of the Brookfield Avenue Landfill in Staten Island, NY on the Superfund National Priority List as proposed by the House.

#### LEAKING UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK TRUST FUND PROGRAM

The conference agreement provides \$113,101,000 for the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund Program, as recommended by the House instead of \$114,171,000 as proposed by the Senate. From within this amount, the conference agreement provides the request of \$34,430,000 for the Energy Policy Act grants as proposed by the House instead of \$35,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program

area and activity for this account is included in the table at the end of the statement.

#### OIL SPILL RESPONSE

For the Oil Spill Response account, the conference agreement provides the request of \$18,379,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program area and activity for this account is included in the table at the end of the statement.

#### STATE AND TRIBAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS

The conference agreement provides \$4,970,223,000 for the State and Tribal Assistance Grants (STAG) instead of \$5,215,446,000 as proposed by the House and \$4,954,274,000 as proposed by the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program area and activity for this account is included in the table at the end of the statement. The conference agreement also includes the following specific funding levels and directions:

*Infrastructure Assistance.*—The bill provides \$3,853,777,000 for infrastructure assistance instead of \$4,100,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,843,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

*State Revolving Funds.*—The conference agreement provides \$2,100,000,000 for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (SRF) and \$1,387,000,000 for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund. Both amounts are at the Senate proposed levels. These funds, combined with the STAG Infrastructure Grants, provide a total of \$3,643,777,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements. Bill language provides that not less than 30 percent of the funds for each State drinking water SRF capitalization grant and 30 percent of the funds made available for State clean water SRF capitalization grants above \$1,000,000,000 shall be used by the States to provide forgiveness of principal, negative interest loans or grants, or any combination of these. The conferees believe that priority for additional subsidies should be given to projects in communities that could not otherwise afford such projects and directs the Agency and the States to track how these subsidies are used and by what types of communities.

*Mexican Border Infrastructure.*—The conference agreement provides \$17,000,000 for the Mexico Border program instead of \$20,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$10,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. From within the amount provided, \$2,500,000 is directed to the El Paso and Brownsville, TX projects as in prior years. The conferees direct the Agency to reduce unliquidated balances in this program and expedite construction of projects along the border.

*Targeted Airshed Grants.*—The conference agreement includes \$20,000,000 for this program as proposed by the Senate to reduce air pollution in the Nation's most polluted air districts. Of these funds, \$10,000,000 shall be divided equally between the San Joaquin Air Pollution Control District and the South Coast Air Quality Management District. These grants shall continue emission reduction activities in the transportation, agriculture and ports sectors and shall be matched at least on a one-to-one basis. The remaining

funds are for competitive grants to reduce air pollution in non-attainment areas that the Agency determines are ranked as the top five most polluted areas relative to annual ozone or particulate matter<sub>2.5</sub> standards. The Agency is expected to follow the program directives included in the Senate report.

*Brownfields.*—The conference agreement includes \$100,000,000 for Brownfields programs as proposed by the House instead of \$101,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. From within the amount provided, the conferees direct \$1,800,000 for the Technical Assistance to Brownfields Communities program and expect the Agency to expand this program in future years.

*STAG Infrastructure Grants.*—The conference agreement includes \$156,777,000 for infrastructure assistance grants instead of \$160,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$150,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Bill language has been included to incorporate these projects into law by reference and to require a local match of 45 percent of the total project costs as proposed by the House and Senate. The conferees note that technical corrections to prior year project designations have been included as part of the table below.

The conferees have agreed to the following distribution of funds:

	State	Project	Amount
1.	AK	City of Buckland for construction of a piped water and sewer system	\$500,000
2.	AK	City of Homer for planning and design of a new drinking water system	500,000
3.	AK	City of Kodiak for water and sewer improvements	300,000
4.	AK	City of Soldotna for a water and wastewater improvements project	500,000
5.	AK	Municipality of Skagway for a wastewater treatment facility expansion project	300,000
6.	AL	City of Brewton for a wastewater improvements project	300,000
7.	AL	East Alabama Water Sewer and Fire Protection District for wastewater system planning	275,000
8.	AL	Fayette County for the construction of a drinking water reservoir	6,000,000
9.	AL	The City of Enterprise for the Enterprise Southeast lagoon upgrade project	500,000
10.	AL	The City of Sulligent for a water well and storage tank project	500,000
11.	AL	Washington County Commission for the Washington County sanitary sewer extension	500,000
12.	AR	Cabot Waterworks for wastewater improvements	500,000
13.	AR	City of Dardanelle for water treatment plant expansion	300,000
14.	AR	City of Forrest City for water infrastructure improvements	300,000
15.	AR	City of Warren for water infrastructure improvements	300,000
16.	AR	Fort Chaffee Redevelopment Authority for water system improvements	300,000
17.	AR	The City of Fayetteville for Elkins Outfall Sewer Line sewer replacement	500,000
18.	AZ	City of Safford for water infrastructure improvements	300,000
19.	AZ	The Pascua Yacqui Tribe for the master drainage plan	1,000,000
20.	AZ	The Town of Chino Valley for water and wastewater infrastructure	500,000
21.	AZ	The Town of Miami for sewer collection system upgrades	220,000
22.	CA	Big Bear Department of Water and Power for Big Bear Lake water system infrastructure improvements	750,000
23.	CA	Carlsbad for Vista-Carlsbad joint wastewater project	500,000
24.	CA	City of East Palo Alto for the East Palo Alto water supply and stormwater management improvements	875,000
25.	CA	City of Eureka for the Martin Slough interceptor project	875,000
26.	CA	City of Galt for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades	500,000
27.	CA	City of Rialto for Inland empire groundwater remediation and drinking water system improvements	300,000
28.	CA	City of Santa Monica for the Santa Monica water system reliability project	875,000
29.	CA	City of Westminster for Stormwater System improvements	875,000
30.	CA	Helix Water District for the El Monte Valley groundwater recharge project	500,000
31.	CA	Monterey County Water Resources Agency for the Lower Carmel River and Lagoon Floodplain restoration and enhancement project	500,000
32.	CA	Municipal Water District of Orange County for water supply improvements	875,000
33.	CA	Palmdale Water District for water main replacement	500,000
34.	CA	Shasta County for Elk Trail Water System Improvements	875,000
35.	CA	South Montebello Irrigation District for water system infrastructure improvements	550,000
36.	CA	South Pasadena for Wilson Reservoir replacement	300,000

37.	CA	The City of Arcadia for the Arcadia and Sierra Madre joint water infrastructure project	500,000
38.	CA	The City of Bell for Sewer Infrastructure Modernization	675,000
39.	CA	The City of Calimesa for storm drain improvements	500,000
40.	CA	The City of Cathedral City for South City Improvement District groundwater protection	500,000
41.	CA	The City of Ceres for East Service Road sanitary sewer extension	500,000
42.	CA	The City of Culver City for storm water improvements	500,000
43.	CA	The City of Los Angeles for the Elysian Park water recycling project	500,000
44.	CA	The City of Ridgecrest for wastewater treatment facility infrastructure	400,000
45.	CA	The City of San Jose for the San Jose Redevelopment Area sewer main rehabilitation	300,000
46.	CA	The City of San Juan Capistrano for ground water recovery plant expansion and regional distribution facility	625,000
47.	CA	The City of Temple City for storm drain installation	200,000
48.	CA	The City of Vallejo for Mare Island sanitary sewer and storm drain improvements	750,000
49.	CA	Western Municipal Water District for Arlington Desalter Bionitrification	625,000
50.	CO	City of Monte Vista for wastewater facility consolidation	300,000
51.	CO	City of Rifle for drinking water infrastructure improvements	300,000
52.	CT	City of Norwich for wastewater treatment facility improvements	300,000
53.	CT	The Mattabasset District for wastewater treatment facility upgrades	500,000
54.	CT	The Town of Prospect for drinking water infrastructure	495,000
55.	CT	Town of East Lyme for drinking water system improvements	300,000
56.	DE	New Castle County for Turkey Run interceptor improvements	300,000
57.	DE	Sussex County Council for the Johnson's Corner wastewater improvement project	300,000
58.	FL	City of West Palm Beach for water infrastructure improvements	500,000
59.	FL	Jacksonville Water and Sewer Expansion Authority for septic tank replacement	500,000
60.	FL	Santa Rosa County for Navarre Beach water clarifier	220,000
61.	FL	South Seminole and North Orange County Wastewater Transmission Authority for wastewater infrastructure improvements	500,000
62.	FL	St. Johns River Water Management District for the East-Central Florida Integrated Water Resources Project	300,000
63.	FL	The City of Clearwater for wastewater treatment facility improvements	500,000
64.	FL	The City of Homestead for water utility upgrades	500,000
65.	FL	The City of Opa-Locka Public Works Division for wastewater infrastructure improvements	500,000
66.	FL	The City of Quincy for inflow and infiltration improvements	440,000
67.	FL	The City of Sunrise for a water reclamation system	1,000,000
68.	FL	City of Tampa for reclaimed water expansion project	300,000
69.	GA	City of Rome for construction of a new drinking water transmission main	300,000
70.	GA	Fort Valley Utility Commission for wastewater reclamation facility	500,000
71.	GA	Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District for multiple water and wastewater system improvements	500,000
72.	GA	The City of Atlanta for sewer system infrastructure improvements	500,000
73.	GA	The City of Crawfordville for the sewer rehabilitation	500,000

74.	GA	The City of Kingsland for water and sewer infrastructure	500,000
75.	GU	Guam Waterworks Authority for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	600,000
76.	HI	County of Kauai for the Waimea Wastewater Treatment Plant expansion project	1,000,000
77.	HI	Hawaii County for the Hawaii Ocean View Estates drinking water source development project	220,000
78.	HI	Hawaii County for the Kapulena drinking water source development project	739,750
79.	HI	Maui County for infrastructure improvements at the Kamole Water Treatment Plant	1,000,000
80.	HI	Maui County for Kaa Force main replacement	1,000,000
81.	IA	City of Boone for wastewater and stormwater infrastructure improvements	300,000
82.	IA	City of Clinton for construction of a new wastewater treatment facility	300,000
83.	IA	City of Keokuk for a stormwater and sewer separation project	300,000
84.	IA	City of Ottumwa for wastewater and stormwater infrastructure improvements	300,000
85.	IA	The City of Garner for wastewater treatment infrastructure improvements	500,000
86.	ID	City of American Falls for construction of a wastewater treatment facility	300,000
87.	ID	Granite Reeder Water and Sewer District for construction of a sewage collection system	300,000
88.	ID	The City of Buhl for wastewater treatment infrastructure	750,000
89.	IL	City of Decatur for water infrastructure improvements	250,000
90.	IL	City of Lexington for water infrastructure improvements	100,000
91.	IL	City of Peoria for sewer and stormwater improvements	300,000
92.	IL	Naperville Heritage Society, Naperville, for stormwater management at Naper Settlement	500,000
93.	IL	Sharpsburg and Neighboring Area Water System for infrastructure	500,000
94.	IL	The Village of Buckner for a water storage tank	352,000
95.	IL	The Village of Carol Stream for Tubeway Drive storm water lift station rehabilitation	192,500
96.	IL	The Village of Hopedale for wastewater treatment facility upgrades	180,000
97.	IL	The Village of Johnsburg for wastewater treatment infrastructure	500,000
98.	IL	The Village of Park Forest for sanitary sewer infrastructure	500,000
99.	IL	Will County for Ridgewood water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	550,000
100.	IL	Macoupin County for water infrastructure improvements	250,000
101.	IN	City of Tipton for drinking water and wastewater infrastructure upgrades project	300,000
102.	IN	Clinton County Government for the Eastside Regional stormwater improvements	500,000
103.	IN	The City of Portage for water infrastructure improvements	800,000
104.	IN	Wadesville-Blairsville Regional Sewer District for the sanitary sewer system project	500,000
105.	KS	City of Buhler for construction of an adsorption media drinking water treatment facility	600,000
106.	KS	City of Iola for drinking water and wastewater pipe improvements project	300,000
107.	KS	City of Junction City for construction of a drinking water project	250,000
108.	KS	City of Marion for construction of a wastewater project	150,000
109.	KS	City of Russell for replacement of cast iron drinking water lines	400,000



110.	KS	Pottawatomie County for construction of a main pump wastewater station	400,000
111.	KS	The City of DeSoto for water treatment infrastructure improvements at the Sunflower Army Ammunition Plant	500,000
112.	KS	The City of Rose Hill for the Berlin Drainage Project	500,000
113.	KY	City of Burgin for upgrades to the drinking water distribution system	340,000
114.	KY	City of Eubank for a water line replacement project	200,000
115.	KY	City of Franklin for a sewer line replacement project	100,000
116.	KY	City of Vine Grove for construction of additional sewer lines	840,000
117.	KY	Fleming County for a sewer collection expansion project	620,000
118.	KY	Franklin County Fiscal Court for the Farmdale Area wastewater treatment plant	900,000
119.	KY	Owensboro-Daviess County Regional Water Resource Agency for the Locust Hills Subdivision sewer installation project	220,000
120.	KY	Perry County Sanitation District No. 1 for wastewater treatment infrastructure	500,000
121.	KY	The City of Paris for combined utilities water plan improvements	500,000
122.	KY	The City of Tompkinsville for a water treatment plant backwash lagoon project	189,750
123.	KY	The City of Wurtland for the Wurtland/Greenup/Lloyd regional sewer project	500,000
124.	LA	City of Baton Rouge for East Baton Rouge Parish wastewater system improvements	300,000
125.	LA	City of Lake Charles for wastewater system improvements	300,000
126.	LA	Lafayette Utilities System for drinking water and wastewater line relocations and upgrades project	300,000
127.	LA	St. Tammany Parish for Bayou Chinchuba Regional water retention	500,000
128.	LA	The City of Monroe for a wastewater treatment system	500,000
129.	LA	City of Grambling for drinking water system improvements	300,000
130.	MA	City of Gloucester for Essex Avenue Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrade	500,000
131.	MA	City of Marlborough for infrastructure upgrades at the Westerly Wastewater Treatment Facility	300,000
132.	MA	Pioneer Valley Planning Commission for the Connecticut River CSO	871,500
133.	MA	The Cities of Fall River and New Bedford and the Towns of Acushnet, Mansfield, Norton, and Foxboro for Bristol County CSO upgrades	750,000
134.	MA	The City of Malden for citywide lead water service replacement	500,000
135.	MD	City of Frostburg for combined sewer overflow improvements	300,000
136.	MD	Maryland Department of the Environment for Salisbury cast iron distribution pipe	500,000
137.	MD	The City of Rockville for sanitary sewer rehabilitation	750,000
138.	MD	The Town of Chesapeake Beach for WWTP Enhanced Nutrient Removal Upgrade and Expansion	700,000
139.	MD, DC, VA	Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission (MD), Washington Area Sewer Authority (DC), and Fairfax County Public Works Department (VA) for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements at the Blue Plains Wastewater Treatment Plant	1,200,000
140.	ME	City of Portland for a combined sewer overflow and storm water runoff improvements project	1,250,000

141.	ME	Limestone Water and Sewer District for design and construction of new wastewater pipes and pumping stations	550,000
142.	ME	The Town of Machias for sewer system upgrades	500,000
143.	MI	City of Port Huron for combined sewer overflow improvements	300,000
144.	MI	Lansing Board of Water & Light for Lansing energy efficient drinking water system	500,000
145.	MI	Oakland/Macomb County Drain Drainage District for interceptor improvements	500,000
146.	MI	The City of Detroit DEGC for East Riverfront wastewater infrastructure	500,000
147.	MI	The City of Grand Rapids for Eastside CSO separation	500,000
148.	MI	Wayne County for the Rouge River Wet Weather Demonstration Project	500,000
149.	MN	City of Faribault for wastewater infrastructure improvements	150,000
150.	MN	City of St. Cloud for water infrastructure improvements	300,000
151.	MN	Grand Rapids Public Utilities Commission for wastewater facilities improvements	1,000,000
152.	MN	South Bend Township for water and sewer infrastructure	500,000
153.	MN	The City of Maple Plain for water treatment facility infrastructure	500,000
154.	MO	City of Lee's Summit for a wastewater infrastructure improvements project	1,500,000
155.	MO	City of New Haven for consolidation and replacement of wastewater pump stations	300,000
156.	MO	PWSD #1 of McDonald County for wastewater infrastructure	465,000
157.	MO	The City of East Prairie for stormwater and sewer infrastructure	200,000
158.	MO	The City of Saint Joseph for stormwater and wastewater infrastructure	500,000
159.	MS	Black Bayou Water Association for drinking water improvements	250,000
160.	MS	City of Batesville for design and construction of wastewater improvements projects	275,000
161.	MS	City of Carthage for a wastewater improvements and rehabilitation project	275,000
162.	MS	City of Pearl for rehabilitation of wastewater gravity mains	277,000
163.	MS	City of Ridgeland for construction of a new potable water well	200,000
164.	MS	Hinds County Board of Supervisors for planning and design of a centralized wastewater system	300,000
165.	MS	Leflore County Board of Supervisors for a stormwater project	143,000
166.	MS	Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians for rehabilitation of wastewater pump stations	380,000
167.	MS	Tunica County Utility District for construction of a wastewater treatment facility	400,000
168.	MT	Butte-Silver Bow Consolidated Government for drinking water improvements for the City of Butte	500,000
169.	MT	City of Bozeman for water treatment facility improvements	500,000
170.	MT	City of Missoula for wastewater facility improvements	200,000
171.	MT	Crow Tribe in Crow Agency for wastewater infrastructure improvements	300,000
172.	MT	Em-Kayan County Water and Sewer District for infrastructure improvements	290,600
173.	NC	City of Raleigh Public Utilities Department for the Dempsey E. Benton Water Treatment Plant Backwash Waste Facility	500,000
174.	NC	Greenville Utilities Commission for construction of a wastewater pumping station	300,000
175.	NC	McDowell County for water system improvements	500,000
176.	NC	Town of Ahoskie for wastewater system improvements	300,000

177.	NC	Town of Cary Public Works and Utilities Department for Western Wake regional wastewater management facility	1,000,000
178.	ND	City of Valley City for drinking water system improvements	400,000
179.	ND	City of Washburn for drinking water treatment facility upgrades	400,000
180.	ND	Stutsman Rural Water District, Stutsman County for drinking water system improvements	400,000
181.	NE	City of Plattsmouth for combined sewer overflow improvements	1,200,000
182.	NE	The City of Omaha for CSO controls	500,000
183.	NH	City of Berlin for replacement and upgrades of water lines and mains	450,000
184.	NH	City of Keene for a wastewater treatment facility upgrades project	300,000
185.	NH	City of Manchester for the Phase II combined sewer overflow abatement program	450,000
186.	NH	City of Nashua for combined sewer overflow improvements	300,000
187.	NH	Conway Village Fire District for water and wastewater treatment extension project	300,000
188.	NH	Town of Winchester for a wastewater treatment facility upgrades project	300,000
189.	NJ	City of Hackensack for the Clay Street area combined sewer overflow improvement project	300,000
190.	NJ	City of New Brunswick for water pumping station improvements	300,000
191.	NJ	City of Orange Township for drinking water system improvements	300,000
192.	NJ	City of Perth Amboy for drinking water infrastructure improvements	300,000
193.	NJ	Monmouth County for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	500,000
194.	NJ	Passaic Valley Sewerage Commission for a Combined Sewage Overflow Project	750,000
195.	NJ	The Borough of Califon for Railroad Ave./Main St. stormwater improvements	500,000
196.	NJ	The Borough of Fort Lee for CSO abatement upgrades	500,000
197.	NJ	The Borough of Hopatcong for drinking water infrastructure improvements	500,000
198.	NM	The Pueblo of San Felipe for wastewater infrastructure	400,000
199.	NM	City of Carlsbad for a water reuse project	300,000
200.	NM	City of Portales for wastewater treatment plant improvements	300,000
201.	NV	City of Boulder City for water infrastructure improvements	290,000
202.	NV	City of Carson City for the Marlette-Hobart water system improvements	350,000
203.	NV	City of Fernley for a wastewater infrastructure project	300,000
204.	NV	Las Vegas Paiute Tribe for water infrastructure improvements	550,000
205.	NV	Lyon County Utilities for wastewater infrastructure improvements at Mound House	500,000
206.	NY	Gowanus Canal Conservancy for Gowanus Canal water quality improvement	300,000
207.	NY	Onondaga County for storm water infrastructure improvements	400,000
208.	NY	Rockland Co. Sewer District No. 1 for Ramapo wastewater treatment	500,000
209.	NY	The City of Glen Cove for water and stormwater infrastructure improvements	500,000
210.	NY	The City of New York, New York City Department of Parks and Recreation for Bronx River stormwater management	550,000
211.	NY	The City of Rochester for the Highland Reservoir	600,000
212.	NY	The City of White Plains for a drinking water transmission line	500,000
213.	NY	The Town of Pendleton for the replacement of grinder pumps	500,000
214.	NY	The Town of Urbana for water and wastewater infrastructure	500,000
215.	NY	The Village of Saugerties for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	800,000

216.	NY	Westchester Joint Water Works for water main rehabilitation	517,000
217.	NY	Nassau County for Bay Park STP outfall project	300,000
218.	NY	Saratoga Hospital in Saratoga, NY for water supply improvements	300,000
219.	OH	Belmont County Commissioners for construction of sanitary sewer system	400,000
220.	OH	Butler County Commissioners for the Ross Township sewer project	500,000
221.	OH	City of Fostoria for the planning, design and construction of a new sanitary pump station and force main	500,000
222.	OH	City of Fremont for combined sewer overflow improvements	500,000
223.	OH	Knox County for construction of wastewater collection and treatment system	400,000
224.	OH	Muskingum County Commissioners for Maysville sewer improvements	500,000
225.	OH	Ottawa County for the Ottawa County sanitary sewer project	500,000
226.	OH	The City of Ashland for a waterline replacement project	500,000
227.	OH	The City of Stow for sanitary sewer system infrastructure	500,000
228.	OH	The City of Vandalia for airport access road water and sewer extensions	500,000
229.	OH	The City of Worthington for sanitary sewer improvements	500,000
230.	OH	The Village of Dillonvale for water meter replacement	100,000
231.	OH	The Village of Tiro for a water distribution system	500,000
232.	OH	Trumbull County Commissioners for wastewater infrastructure improvements	300,000
233.	OK	City of Enid for planning, design and construction of a wastewater treatment plant	300,000
234.	OK	Lawton Ft. Sill Chamber of Commerce for Lawton Industrial Park Expansion for Water and Sewer Line Extensions	750,000
235.	OR	City of Vernonia wastewater system improvements	300,000
236.	OR	Umatilla County for Milton-Freewater stormwater system improvements	300,000
237.	PA	Allegheny County Sanitary Authority for the Three Rivers Wet Weather Demonstration Program	225,000
238.	PA	Chester County Economic Development Council for the Upper Worthington Infrastructure Improvement Project	225,000
239.	PA	Findlay Township Municipal Authority for water and sewer upgrades	500,000
240.	PA	Haines Aaronsburg Municipal Authority for water line interconnection	250,000
241.	PA	Hegins-Hubley Authority for facility improvements	68,000
242.	PA	Lehigh County Authority for the Vera Cruz wastewater collection system	500,000
243.	PA	Municipal Authority of the City of Lower Burrell for Wildlife Lodge Road sanitary sewer extension	800,000
244.	PA	Northampton, Bucks County Municipal Authority for wastewater infrastructure improvements	500,000
245.	PA	The City of Reading for wastewater infrastructure improvements at Fritz's Island	500,000
246.	PA	Thornbury Township for Cheyney University/Thornbury Township wastewater treatment facility improvements	250,000
247.	PA	Tri-County Joint Municipal Authority for water treatment infrastructure	393,000
248.	PA	Westmoreland County Industrial Development Corporation for wastewater infrastructure replacement	300,000
249.	PA	York City Sewer Authority for wastewater facility infrastructure	225,000
250.	RI	City of Cranston for wastewater infrastructure	400,000
251.	RI	City of East Providence for drinking water infrastructure improvements	400,000
252.	RI	The City of Newport for UV disinfection system improvements	500,000

253.	RI	Town of North Providence for storm water infrastructure improvements	400,000
254.	SC	Laurens Commission of Public Works for construction of a pump station, water lines and water tank	300,000
255.	SC	The City of Rock Hill for the Phase II Hagins-Fewell Neighborhood Infrastructure Improvement Project	600,000
256.	SC	The Town of Coward for drinking water and wastewater improvements	500,000
257.	SD	City of Elk Point for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	400,000
258.	SD	City of Lead for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	400,000
259.	SD	City of Rapid City for wastewater infrastructure improvements	300,000
260.	SD	Brant Lake Sanitary District for wastewater infrastructure improvements	400,000
261.	TN	Campbell County Government for Campbell County waterline improvements	500,000
262.	TN	City of Tusculum for planning, design and construction of a wastewater treatment facility and collection system	500,000
263.	TN	Dickson County Water Authority for construction of a drinking water system	250,000
264.	TN	Hancock County for a drinking water extension project	500,000
265.	TN	Springville Utility District of Henry County for drinking water system improvements	500,000
266.	TN	The City of Harrogate for wastewater system improvements	500,000
267.	TX	City of Beaumont for a sewer line rehabilitation project	400,000
268.	TX	City of Lubbock for a treated drinking water pipeline project	200,000
269.	TX	City of Lufkin for design and construction of drinking water infrastructure, storage and treatment capacity	400,000
270.	TX	City of Nacogdoches for construction of two detention ponds	500,000
271.	TX	City of Round Rock for planning, design and construction of a regional water supply system	300,000
272.	TX	The City of Andrews for Andrews arsenic filtration pilot project	400,000
273.	TX	The City of Austin for Austin Sanitary Sewer Overflow Prevention	500,000
274.	TX	The City of Baytown for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	500,000
275.	TX	The City of Crystal City for water infrastructure improvements	500,000
276.	TX	The City of Gainesville for the water treatment plant expansion project	500,000
277.	TX	The City of Joshua for the Joshua drainage project in Johnson County	1,000,000
278.	TX	The City of La Vernia for drinking water infrastructure	500,000
279.	TX	The City of Petersburg for elevated water tank replacement	439,000
280.	TX	The City of Temple for industrial park wastewater line and interceptor	500,000
281.	UT	City of Lindon for channel improvements in a stormwater detention and management area	500,000
282.	UT	City of Taylorsville for stormwater infrastructure improvements and upgrades	500,000
283.	UT	Clearfield City for a drinking water and wastewater improvements project	300,000
284.	UT	Draper City for construction of a culinary reservoir	500,000
285.	UT	South Salt Lake City for a waterline replacement project	300,000
286.	UT	Weber County for the Weber County storm water master plan	500,000
287.	VA	Caroline County for the Dawn Community Decentralized Wastewater System project	300,000
288.	VA	Halifax County Service Authority for Maple Avenue wastewater plant upgrades	500,000
289.	VA	The City of Alexandria for a water reuse project	500,000
290.	VA	The City of Alexandria, Arlington County for Four Mile Run infrastructure improvements	500,000

291.	VA	The City of Falls Church for storm water infrastructure	500,000
292.	VA	Town of Onancock for wastewater treatment system improvements	300,000
293.	VT	Ferrisburgh Fire District #1 for water infrastructure improvements	300,000
294.	VT	Town of Guilford for drinking water system improvements	375,000
295.	VT	Village of Waterbury for wastewater system improvements	825,000
296.	WA	City of Puyallup for wastewater pump and main force upgrades	500,000
297.	WA	Cowlitz Public Utility District in Cowlitz County for replacement of wastewater infrastructure	400,000
298.	WA	Jefferson County Department of Community Development for the Port Hadlock wastewater system	1,000,000
299.	WA	The City of Buckley for emergency intertie booster station	333,850
300.	WA	The City of Lacey for regional reclaimed water project	500,000
301.	WA	The City of Rock Island for wastewater system infrastructure	500,000
302.	WA	The City of Seattle for the Magnuson Park Wetlands project	500,000
303.	WA	The City of South Bend for the Willapa Regional wastewater facilities project	500,000
304.	WA	The City of Tacoma for the Tacoma downtown sustainable storm drainage system	1,500,000
305.	WA	West Sound Utility District for the Port Orchard reclaimed water distribution system	165,000
306.	WA	Whatcom County for stormwater system improvements	300,000
307.	WI	City of Janesville for wastewater treatment plant improvements	400,000
308.	WI	City of Waukesha Water Utility for drinking water system improvements	400,000
309.	WI	Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District for the replacement of a central sewer system	400,000
310.	WI	The City of Abbotsford for water treatment infrastructure	1,000,000
311.	WI	The City of Park Falls for sewer infrastructure	550,000
312.	WI	The Village of Athens for wastewater treatment facility upgrades	1,000,000
313.	WI	The Village of Stetsonville for a public drinking water system	1,000,000
314.	WV	Marshall County Sewerage District for wastewater infrastructure improvements	800,000
315.	WV	Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission of organic detection system improvements	1,200,000
316.	WV	The Town of Rowlesburg for drinking water infrastructure improvements	500,000
317.	WV	Town of Moorefield for wastewater treatment facility upgrades	2,500,000
318.	AL	Fayette County for water system upgrades	
319.	AL	The City of Thomasville for a water facility project	
320.	AL	The City of Thomasville for regional water supply distribution	
321.	AL	The City of Thomasville for the Southwest Alabama Rural/Municipal Water System	
322.	AL	The City of Thomasville for water infrastructure improvements	
323.	CA	San Bernardino Municipal Water Department for the Inland Empire alternative water supply project	
324.	IL	The City of Quincy for drinking water system improvements	
325.	KS	City of Manhattan for a water mainline extension project	
326.	KS	The City of Manhattan for the Konza Water Main Extension project	
327.	KS	The City of Prescott for a wastewater treatment plant construction	
328.	KS	The City of Wichita for a storm water technology pilot project	

329.	MO	Johnson County for a drinking water and wastewater infrastructure project
330.	MO	Pemiscot Consolidated Public Water Supply District 1 for a drinking water source protection infrastructure project
331.	MO	PWSD #1 of McDonald County for wastewater infrastructure expansion
332.	MO	The Gravois Arm Sewer District for a wastewater infrastructure project
333.	SD	The City of Lake Norden for drinking water infrastructure improvements

*Categorical Grants.*—The bill provides \$1,116,446,000 for categorical grants instead of \$1,115,446,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,111,274,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within this program area, the conference agreement includes:

*Climate Change Initiative Grants for Local Communities.*—The conference agreement includes \$10,000,000 to continue this competitive grant program, which provides assistance to local governments to establish and implement climate change initiatives. The Agency is expected to follow the program directives included in the House report.

*Section 106 Water Quality Grants.*—The conferees are very concerned by recent reports that EPA and the States are not keeping pace with Clean Water Act enforcement requirements and believe that increased oversight and enforcement efforts related to water quality are essential. The conferees recognize that Section 106 grants are the primary tool for funding State water quality and enforcement programs and have agreed to provide the requested funding increase of \$10,769,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level. The Agency is directed to ensure that the increased funds are used to strengthen State permitting and enforcement efforts and to ensure that these funds supplement and expand, not supplant, base State enforcement program resources. Consistent with recommendations from both the House and the Senate, the conference agreement does not include language proposed by the President regarding water quality monitoring. The conferees direct the Agency to follow the historic allocation criteria between State capacity-building and national surveys.

*Bill Language.*—Language is included within the STAG account, instead of in the Administrative Provisions section as proposed by the House, to address green infrastructure projects funded from the State Revolving Funds. The language, including technical modifications, clarifies that the type of projects eligible for the 20 percent green infrastructure set-aside includes environmentally innovative activities as proposed by the Senate. Language is included to provide for additional subsidies from the State Revolving Funds as proposed by the House in the Administrative Provisions section.

Language is included, as proposed by the Senate, to provide direction on the allocation of funds to address drinking water and waste water infrastructure needs of Alaska Native villages, including that not less than 25 percent of those funds be used for projects in regional hub communities.

The conferees have included language as proposed by the House to increase the set-asides for Tribes and territories from the State Revolving Funds instead of language proposed by the Senate.

As noted below, the conferees have modified language, as proposed by the Senate, making technical corrections to prior year infrastructure grants. These project corrections are now incorporated into law by inclusion in the table above.

*Technical Corrections to Prior Year STAG Infrastructure Grants.*—As proposed by the Senate, technical corrections to prior-year infrastructure grants are as follows:

AL—Item number 9 in House Report 107–272 for the Southeast Alabama Regional Water Authority for a water facility project shall be made available to the City of Thomasville for that project.



AL—Item number 20 in House Report 107–272 for the Alabama Regional Water Authority for the Southwest Alabama Rural/Municipal Water System shall be made available to the City of Thomasville for that project.

AL—Item number 20 in House Report 108–10 for the Southwest Alabama Regional Water Authority for water infrastructure improvements shall be made available to the City of Thomasville for that project.

AL—Item number 31 in House Report 108–401 for the Southwest Alabama Regional Water Supply District for regional water supply distribution shall be made available to the City of Thomasville for that project.

AL—Item number 30 in House Report 108–401 for the Tom Bevell Reservoir Management Area for construction of a drinking water reservoir shall be made available to Fayette County for water system upgrades.

CA—Item number 44 in the joint explanatory statement to accompany P.L. 111–8 for the San Bernardino Municipal Water District for the Inland Empire alternative water supply project shall be made available to the San Bernardino Municipal Water Department for that project.

IL—Item number 95 in the joint explanatory statement to accompany P.L. 111–8 for the Village of Crestwood for water storage improvements shall be made available for the City of Quincy for drinking water system improvements.

KS—Of the funds made available for item number 96 in the joint explanatory statement to accompany P.L. 110–161 for the City of Prescott for a wastewater treatment plant construction, \$170,800 shall be made available to the City of Prescott for that wastewater treatment plant construction and \$129,200 shall be made available to the City of Wichita for a storm water technology pilot project.

KS—Item number 108 in the joint explanatory statement to accompany P.L. 111–8 for the City of Manhattan for a sewer mainline extension project shall be made available to City of Manhattan for a water mainline extension project.

KS—Item number 111 in the joint explanatory statement to accompany P.L. 111–8 for the Riley County Board of Commissioners for the Konza Sewer Main Extension shall be made available to the City of Manhattan for the Konza Water Main Extension Project.

MO—Item number 154 in the joint explanatory statement to accompany P.L. 111–8 for the City of Warrensburg for a drinking water and wastewater infrastructure project shall be made available to Johnson County for that project.

MO—Item number 151 in the joint explanatory statement to accompany P.L. 111–8 for the City of Gravois Mills for wastewater infrastructure shall be made available to the Gravois Arm Sewer District for that project.

MO—Item number 155 in the joint explanatory statement to accompany P.L. 111–8 for McDonald County for a wastewater infrastructure expansion project shall be made available to PWSD #1 of McDonald County for that project.

MO—Item number 131 in the joint explanatory statement to accompany P.L. 110–161 for the City of Hayti, Pemiscot Consoli-

dated Public Water Supply District 1 for a water storage tank shall be made available to Pemiscot Consolidated Public Water Supply District 1 for a drinking water source protection infrastructure project.

SD—Item number 245 in the joint explanatory statement to accompany P.L. 111–8 for the City of Lake Norden for wastewater infrastructure improvements shall be made available to the City of Lake Norden for drinking water infrastructure improvements.

*Hancock, County, MS State Revolving Fund Loans.*—The agreement includes a general provision that directs EPA to extend loan repayment periods for the Hancock County, MS Water and Sewer District and the Hancock Utility authority by one year in order to allow the community to explore all possible remedies to avoid defaulting on loans. The conferees see this as an extraordinary action based upon the devastating effect of Hurricane Katrina on Hancock County. Due to the population loss and economic impact caused by Hurricane Katrina, the community has sought, and been granted, this one year extension.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
(INCLUDING TRANSFER AND RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House to extend until 2015 authority provided in P.L. 109–54 (as amended by Title II of Division E of Public Law 111–8) which provides special hiring authority for the Agency’s Office of Research and Development. The conferees believe that it is in the public’s best interest to have elite scientists at the EPA addressing human health-related issues, and that they should be compensated at or near market rates. To that end, for the past five years the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations have been supportive of extending to the EPA on a pilot basis the authorities originally granted the Department of Health and Human Services under Title 42 U.S.C. § 209. The conference agreement extends this authority for another five years, through FY 2015. The conferees are aware that the National Academy of Sciences is in the process of assessing and evaluating the EPA’s use of Title 42 authority, and the conferees look forward to its report. Further, the conferees urge the EPA to work with the appropriate authorizing committees to seek more permanent authorization. The conferees understand that the EPA has made 11 Title 42 appointments to date utilizing Sections 209(g) and 209(h) authorities, but has not utilized Section 209(f) authority. The conferees direct the EPA to notify the Appropriations and Authorizing Committees prior to announcing any position to be filled utilizing Section 209(f) authority.

Modified language is included to authorize the Administrator to transfer up to \$475,000,000 of funds appropriated for the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative. Language authorizing a green infrastructure set-aside from the State Revolving Funds has been included in the STAG account as proposed by the Senate instead of in the Administrative Provisions section as proposed by the House. Language proposed by the House authorizing subsidized assistance from the State Revolving Funds has been included in the STAG account. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by

the House to provide specific wage rate requirements for the Clean Water and Drinking Water State Revolving Funds.

*Rescission.*—The conference agreement modifies rescission language proposed by the House and the Senate and rescinds \$40,000,000 from the STAG and Superfund accounts. Based on information provided by the Agency, the conferees have expanded the scope of the rescission to include the Superfund account. For the STAG component of the rescission, the conferees direct the Agency to use unobligated balances from prior year categorical and other grant programs. Unlike in previous years, the Agency is not to include as part of the rescission unobligated balances from prior year special project infrastructure grants. The conferees are concerned about the method by which the Agency has selected infrastructure grants as eligible for rescission and therefore will not allow the use of these types of funds for this specific rescission. The conferees further direct the Agency to report within 90 days of enactment of this Act the status of unobligated balances from the Title II Construction Grants program and a proposed plan to resolve legal and administrative impasses to either the use of these funds or their rescission.

In light of the significant amount of unliquidated obligations remaining on prior year grants, the conferees direct the Agency to improve procedures to ensure that grant funds are expended in a timely manner. In addition, the conferees are very concerned about the quality of information and analysis provided by the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) concerning the Agency's unliquidated obligation balances. Any future submissions from the OIG on this topic should, at a minimum, identify the appropriation account for each program with unliquidated obligations. In addition, the OIG should not submit any estimates unless and until it has met with the Agency to review each obligation and determine together whether or not it is actually available for rescission. Only after consultation with and agreement from the Agency, should the OIG report to Congress as available for rescission any funds attached to grants with active performance periods.

*Black Carbon Study.*—The conferees have included a modified version of the language proposed by the Senate requiring the Agency to conduct a study on black carbon emissions and have provided additional direction under the Science and Technology account.

### TITLE III—RELATED AGENCIES

#### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

##### FOREST SERVICE

The conference agreement provides a total of \$5,297,256,000 for all Forest Service accounts instead of \$5,420,138,000 as proposed by the House and \$5,368,758,000 as proposed by the Senate (including the entire \$834,000,000 proposed by the Senate in their section 431 version of the FLAME Act). The detailed allocation of funding by appropriation account and budget activity is included in the table at the end of the statement.

The conference agreement concurs with the House proposed language encouraging the Forest Service to accelerate the longleaf

pine restoration effort using funds provided within the National Forest System, State and Private Forestry, and Forest and Rangeland Research accounts.

#### FOREST AND RANGELAND RESEARCH

The conference agreement provides \$312,012,000 for Forest and Rangeland Research instead of \$308,612,000 as proposed by the House and \$307,012,000 as proposed by the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by activity for this account is included in the table at the end of the statement. In addition to the directions provided in the House and Senate committee reports, the conference agreement also provides the following directions:

*Research and Development Programs.*—The conference agreement includes the \$5,000,000 funding increase and the directions proposed by the House concerning the global climate change program increase and the Senate proposed increase above the request of \$400,000 to increase the budget request for the Center for Bottomlands Hardwood Research, MS, for a total of \$800,000. The House proposed fixed cost increase is not included. The conferees reiterate their support for the amount requested for the Northeastern States Research Cooperative and expect the Service to allocate funds among participating entities.

*Forest Inventory and Analysis.*—The conference agreement includes an increase of \$5,000,000 above the request as recommended by the Senate. The Senate directions concerning this program should be followed.

#### STATE AND PRIVATE FORESTRY

The conference agreement provides \$308,061,000 for State and Private Forestry instead of \$307,486,000 as proposed by the House and \$276,946,000 as proposed by the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by activity for this account is included in the table at the end of the statement. In addition to the directions provided in the House and Senate committee reports, the conference agreement also provides the following directions:

*Forest Health Management.*—The conference agreement provides an increase of \$2,000,000 above the request for high priority work in the Federal program as described by the House. The cooperative program includes the \$2,000,000 increase for emerald ash borer urban restoration as recommended by the House as well as the Senate proposed \$750,000 increase for gypsy moth activities. The conferees encourage the Service to pursue additional emerald ash borer response activities such as those discussed by the Senate to help the State of Wisconsin, within the normal program.

As detailed below, the conference agreement also allows up to \$2,000,000 within the cooperative Forest Health Management program to be made available for the Pest and Disease Revolving Loan Fund established by Public Law 110–246.

*Cooperative Fire Protection.*—The conference agreement includes an increase of \$4,000,000 for State Fire Assistance as recommended by the House, which should be targeted for activities related to implementing State-wide Assessment and Resource Strategy plans.

*Forest Stewardship.*—The conference agreement includes the House proposed increase of \$1,000,000 for the Chesapeake Bay program but the agreement does not include the other increases proposed by the House.

*Forest Legacy.*—The Forest Legacy program is funded at a total level of \$79,460,000, of which \$3,000,000 is derived from prior-year unobligated funds. This includes \$6,200,000 for program administration, \$500,000 to initiate the community forest program, and \$72,760,000 for forest legacy projects. The Service should fund projects in priority order according to their competitively selected national priority list for fiscal year 2010.

*Urban and Community Forestry.*—The conference agreement includes the following projects proposed by the House: \$150,000 for the Baltimore Urban Forestry Watershed Demonstration Cooperative Project; \$300,000 to support the Menomonee Valley Partners Inc., Urban Forestry Project in Milwaukee, WI; and \$1,000,000 to continue the Seattle-Tacoma regional urban forestry restoration effort, WA.

*Economic Action Program.*—The conference agreement includes the following projects proposed by the Senate: \$300,000 to the Missouri Forest Foundation for a biomass demonstration project; \$200,000 to the Utah Department of Agriculture for a fuels-for-schools biomass utilization project; \$2,500,000 to the Service's Region 5, for small forest products infrastructure assistance grants in California; \$500,000 to the State of Vermont for the Vermont Wood Products Collaborative; and \$500,000 to the Blue Mountain Community Renewable Council for the Calaveras Healthy Impact Product Solutions biomass utilization project (CHIPS) in Calaveras, California. An additional \$1,000,000 above the request is also provided to increase the budget request for the Wood Education and Resource Center in Princeton, WV, for technical assistance and business development activities, for a total of \$1,900,000.

*International Program.*—The conference agreement provides \$9,818,000 for the International program, an increase of \$750,000 above the request.

*Bill Language.*—The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate within the National Forest System account, which allows up to \$2,000,000 to be made available to the Pest and Disease Revolving Loan Fund as established by section 10205(b) of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (16 U.S.C. 2104a(b)).

#### NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$1,551,339,000 for the National Forest System instead of \$1,564,801,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,552,429,000 as proposed by the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by activity for this account is included in the table at the end of the statement. The House proposed increases within several budget activities for climate change adaptation are not included. In addition to the directions provided in the House and Senate committee reports, the conference agreement also provides the following directions:

*Land management planning.*—The conference agreement includes \$399,000 above the request to partially offset fixed cost increases.

*Inventory and Monitoring.*—The conference agreement includes \$1,807,000 above the request to partially offset fixed cost increases.

*Recreation, Heritage, and Wilderness.*—The conference agreement does not include the House proposed increases for fixed costs or the youth and conservation initiative. The agreement does include an increase of \$5,000,000 above the request to assist priority recreation operations.

*Wildlife and Fisheries Habitat Management.*—The conference agreement includes \$1,543,000 above the request to partially offset fixed cost increases.

*Forest Products.*—The conference agreement does not include the Senate proposed increase for regions with recently closed and at-risk mills but does include an increase above the request of \$6,513,000 to offset fixed costs. The conferees expect the Service to consider regional needs to maintain at-risk forest products infrastructure as it allocates the fixed cost increase. The Senate proposed increase of \$1,250,000 to increase the budget request for the Tongass National Forest timber pipeline program, AK, is included.

*Vegetation and Watershed Management.*—The conference agreement includes \$3,500,000 above the request to expand efforts to fund cleanup activities associated with marijuana eradication on national forest lands and \$2,174,000 above the request to partially offset fixed cost increases.

*Minerals and Geology Management.*—The conference agreement includes \$590,000 above the request to partially offset fixed cost increases.

*Land Ownership Management.*—The conference agreement includes \$1,234,000 above the request to partially offset fixed cost increases.

*Law Enforcement Operations.*—The conference agreement includes an increase of \$10,000,000 above the request to expand the Service's marijuana eradication activities on national forest system lands as proposed by the Senate and discussed during House floor action. The conferees have retained Senate direction regarding the allocation of this increase.

*Bill Language.*—The conference agreement provides three-year authority for the Forest Service to transfer up to \$10,000,000 to the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, for certain wild horse and cadastral survey work they perform on behalf of the Service.

#### CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE

##### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$556,053,000 for Capital Improvement and Maintenance instead of \$560,673,000 as proposed by the House and \$513,418,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees note that they have agreed to offset these funds with a \$18,000,000 scoring credit, as proposed by the House and Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by activity for this account is included in the table at the end of the statement. In addition to the

directions provided in the House and Senate committee reports, the conference agreement also provides the following directions:

*Facilities Capital Improvement.*—The conference agreement provides for the following projects: \$595,000 increase for facilities improvements on the Monongahela National Forest, WV; an increase of \$800,000 above the budget request to continue construction of research stations in Hawaii, for a total of \$1,460,000; \$500,000 to complete construction of a Cherokee National Forest work center, TN; \$1,900,000 for relocation of the Northern Great Plains Interagency Dispatch Center on the Black Hills National Forest, SD; and \$475,000 to redesign the Ratcliff Lake Recreation Area and campground, TX.

*Road Capital Improvement.*—The conference agreement includes an increase of \$1,521,000 for road improvements for the Monongahela National Forest, WV.

*Trail Maintenance and Capital Improvement.*—The conference agreement includes the House proposed \$2,000,000 increase for fixed costs and the \$1,200,000 designated for Sawtooth National Recreation Area, ID trail construction and maintenance. The agreement also includes the Senate-proposed increase of \$100,000 to the Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit for improvements to the Tahoe Rim Trail, NV, to be conducted by the Tahoe Rim Trail Association.

*Legacy Road and Trail Remediation.*—The conference agreement provides \$90,000,000 for the legacy road and trail remediation program. The Service should follow the direction as described by the House.

*Bill Language.*—The conference agreement includes the House proposed language concerning the availability of funds for decommissioning of roads. The conference agreement includes the Senate proposed language limiting funds to decommission any system road until notice and an opportunity for public comment has been provided on each decommissioning project.

#### LAND ACQUISITION

The conference agreement includes \$63,522,000 for Land Acquisition instead of \$36,782,000 as proposed by the House and \$67,784,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes the following distribution of funds:

State	Project	Amount
CA .....	Angeles National Forest, Bighorn Mine .....	\$1,750,000
CA .....	Angeles National Forest, Shoemaker Canyon .....	500,000
CA .....	Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest .....	2,400,000
CA .....	Los Padres National Forest, Big Sur Ecosystem .....	1,500,000
CA .....	San Bernardino National Forest, Garner Home Ranch .....	500,000
CA .....	Tahoe and El Dorado National Forests, Sierra Nevada Checkerboard .....	1,000,000
CO .....	Uncompahgre National Forest .....	1,000,000
FL .....	Florida National Scenic Trail .....	500,000
FL .....	Osceola National Forest, Pinhook Swamp Wildlife Corridor .....	500,000
GA .....	Chattahoochee-Oconee National Forest .....	1,200,000
ID .....	Sawtooth National Recreation Area, Piva Parcel .....	400,000
IN .....	Hoosier National Forest .....	825,000
KY .....	Daniel Boone National Forest .....	900,000
MI .....	Ottawa National Forest, Great Lakes/Great Lands .....	1,500,000
MN .....	Chippewa/Superior National Forest, Minnesota Wilderness .....	900,000
MO .....	Mark Twain National Forest, Missouri Ozark .....	500,000

State	Project	Amount
MT .....	Gallatin and Custer National Forests, Greater Yellowstone Area .....	2,000,000
MT .....	Helena National Forest, Blackfoot Challenge .....	1,000,000
MT .....	Lewis and Clark National Forest .....	1,500,000
NC .....	Pisgah National Forest, Catawba Falls Access & Trail Acquisition .....	713,000
NC .....	Uwharrie National Forest, Uwharrie Trail .....	500,000
NH .....	White Mountain National Forest .....	434,000
NM .....	Gila National Forest, Bear Creek Ranch .....	3,000,000
PA .....	Allegheny National Forest .....	500,000
SD .....	Black Hills National Forest, Lady C Ranch .....	1,640,000
TN .....	Cherokee National Forest, Rocky Fork .....	6,000,000
UT .....	Bonneville Shoreline Trail .....	1,500,000
UT .....	Dixie National Forest .....	2,500,000
UT .....	Uinta & Wasatch-Cache National Forests, High Uintas .....	1,500,000
VT .....	Green Mountain National Forest .....	2,250,000
WA .....	Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie/Wenatchee National Forests, Cascades Ecosystem .....	1,000,000
WA .....	Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest, Wild Sky Wilderness .....	1,700,000
WA, OR .....	Wallowa-Whitman National Forest, Hells Canyon National Recreation Area .....	1,500,000
WI .....	Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest, Wisconsin Wild Waterways .....	2,125,000
WV .....	Monongahela National Forest, Cummings Tract .....	985,000
WV .....	Monongahela National Forest, Dolly Sods Conservation Area .....	2,800,000
Subtotal, Line Item Projects .....		51,022,000
Acquisition Management .....		8,000,000
Equalization .....		1,000,000
Inholdings .....		3,500,000
Total, Forest Service Land Acquisition .....		63,522,000

#### ACQUISITION OF LANDS FOR NATIONAL FORESTS SPECIAL ACTS

The conference agreement includes \$1,050,000 as requested and as proposed by both the House and the Senate for Acquisition of Lands for National Forests Special Acts.

#### ACQUISITION OF LANDS TO COMPLETE LAND EXCHANGES

The conference agreement includes \$250,000 as requested and as proposed by both the House and the Senate for Acquisition of Lands to Complete Land Exchanges.

#### RANGE BETTERMENT FUND

The conference agreement includes \$3,600,000 as requested and as proposed by both the House and the Senate for the Range Betterment Fund.

#### GIFTS, DONATIONS AND BEQUESTS FOR FOREST AND RANGELAND RESEARCH

The conference agreement includes \$50,000 as requested and as proposed by both the House and the Senate for Gifts, Donations and Bequests for Forest and Rangeland Research.

#### MANAGEMENT OF NATIONAL FOREST LANDS FOR SUBSISTENCE USES

The conference agreement includes \$2,582,000 as requested and as proposed by both the House and the Senate for Management of National Forest Lands for Subsistence Uses.



WILDLAND FIRE MANAGEMENT  
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$2,178,737,000 for Forest Service Wildland Fire Management instead of \$2,370,288,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,817,637,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement also includes an additional \$413,000,000 for the new Forest Service FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund established in the FLAME Act of 2009 (Title V of this Act). The Senate had included \$282,000,000 for a contingency reserve fund within Wildland Fire Management, whereas the House had included \$282,000,000 in a separate Wildland Fire Suppression Contingency Reserve account, as requested. The Senate recommendation also included in section 431 an additional \$834,000,000 for the inter-departmental FLAME Fund and an additional \$10,000,000 in a separate Collaborative Forest Landscape Restoration Fund.

The detailed allocation of funding by activity for the wildfire accounts is included in the table at the end of the statement. The conferees note that the funding provided includes the use of \$75,000,000 in prior year funds because the suppression activity had large, non-emergency carry-over balances from fiscal year 2009. In addition to the directions provided in the House and Senate committee reports, the conference agreement also provides the following directions:

*Wildfire Preparedness.*—The conference agreement provides \$675,000,000 as requested for preparedness but not the funds recommended by the House and the Senate for fixed costs or firefighter retention. The conferees have retained direction directing the Secretary of Agriculture, after notifying the House and Senate Appropriations Committees, to adjust allocations between preparedness and suppression funds to ensure that readiness needs are fully funded for this fiscal year. However, the conferees are concerned that these shifts of aviation, personnel and overhead costs to the suppression appropriation have continued to grow exponentially and cloaked the true cost of the agency's readiness needs. The conferees believe an analysis of base preparedness requirements must be an essential element of the firefighting budget reforms proposed in Title V and has provided additional direction to that effect within the description of that title. Further, the conferees note that firefighter retention initiatives for fiscal year 2010 will be fully funded by prior-year appropriations as appropriate to maintain experienced and qualified staffing.

The conferees reiterate the House and Senate direction concerning readiness required for public safety and the requirement that the Forest Service provide a copy of its report on Federal air tanker needs, including an estimate of replacement costs, within 30 days of enactment of this Act.

*Wildfire Suppression Operations.*—The conference agreement includes \$997,505,000 for wildland fire suppression instead of \$1,128,505,000 as proposed by the House and \$369,505,000 as proposed by the Senate. As noted above, the Senate had also included \$834,000,000 in section 431 for an inter-departmental FLAME fund. The conferees note the use of \$75,000,000 in prior-year fund-

ing. An additional \$413,000,000 for suppression activities is provided in the new Forest Service FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund. The conferees note that the Department of the Interior wildland fire suppression accounts are provided \$444,797,000, including \$61,000,000 in the new Department of the Interior FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund. Thus, the sum for wildfire suppression for both Departments, including both the suppression and the FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund accounts is \$1,855,302,000. This total is equal to the request for all wildfire suppression accounts and is \$526,164,000 above the fiscal year 2009 funding level. This is the largest non-emergency funding increase ever provided for wildfire suppression.

The conferees note that additional direction concerning the use of the new Forest Service and Interior Department FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Funds is included in Title V accompanying the FLAME Act of 2009. In particular, the conferees note that direction has been provided to the Service in Title V relating to the formulation of future fire suppression budget estimates for Wildland Fire Management and FLAME Fund appropriations. The use of the FLAME funds is entirely consistent with the risk-informed wildfire suppression reforms discussed in the budget request, including expanded use of the Wildland Fire Decision Support System, which will result in strengthened oversight and accountability of suppression spending.

The conferees reiterate that both the Interior Department and the Forest Service should ensure that cost containment is an important priority when suppressing wildland fires. Both Departments must examine and report promptly to the Congress and on agency websites, using independent panels on each and every individual wildfire incident which results in suppression expenses greater than \$10,000,000.

The conferees note that bill language included in the Administrative Provisions provides authority for the Forest Service to transfer non-wildfire funds for emergency wildfire suppression once all the funds in this account and the new FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund will be exhausted within 30 days.

*Hazardous Fuels Reduction.*—The conference agreement provides \$350,285,000 for hazardous fuels reduction activities at the Forest Service instead of \$378,086,000 as proposed by the House and \$340,285,000 as proposed by the Senate. The agreement provides a \$25,000,000 increase for this program; the Service is directed to allocate this increase to areas that face the highest risk of catastrophic wildfire based on fuel loads and values at risk. The conference agreement also includes \$10,000,000 for fuels reduction and restoration activities authorized by the Collaborative Forest Landscape Restoration Act (P.L. 111–11) within this account instead of within a separate appropriations account as proposed by the Senate. The conferees expect the Service to follow direction included in the Senate report regarding the submission of forest landscape projects funded through this new program no later than March 1, 2010. The agreement does not include the general program increase recommended by the House. The agreement includes the House recommendation of \$5,000,000 for certain biomass grants as was requested.

*Rehabilitation.*—The conference agreement includes the \$100,000 increase proposed by the House for the San Bernardino, CA, urban youth conservation corps. The conferees note that there have been extreme wildfires in recent years, which will require extensive rehabilitation and restoration activities. The Service is urged to provide a detailed assessment of future needs and accomplishments in the next budget request.

*Forest Health Management.*—The conference agreement includes general program increases above the fiscal year 2009 level of \$3,500,000 for Federal lands forest health management and \$1,500,000 for cooperative lands forest health management.

*State Fire Assistance.*—The conference agreement includes a general program increase of \$15,000,000. This will help the States accomplish national fire plan activities including hazardous fuels reduction and implementation of community wildfire protection plans. The agreement also includes the following increases above the request as proposed by the Senate: \$4,000,000 for the South Lake Tahoe Public Utility District to increase the budget request for the Lake Tahoe Community Fire Protection Project to fund water system improvements by local utility districts to enhance firefighting capability, for a total of \$5,000,000; \$2,000,000 for fire risk reduction activities by California Fire Safe Council chapters, including activities in the Lake Tahoe Basin, for a total of \$5,000,000; and \$250,000 for the City of Reno, NV to fund firefighting equipment for the wildland-urban interface. The conferees have agreed that a 25 percent local match shall be required for Fire Safe Council grants.

*Bill Language.*—The conference agreement modifies the language in the budget request and House recommendation concerning procurements and cooperative agreements for hazardous fuels and associated monitoring activities so it is limited to \$15,000,000. The conference agreement includes Senate-proposed language: (1) allowing up to \$15,000,000 in hazardous fuels funding to be transferred to the National Forest System account at the sole discretion of the Forest Service Chief 30 days after notifying the Committees on Appropriations; and (2) concerning the use of funds on adjacent non-Federal lands and the availability of funds to implement the Community Forest Restoration Act. As previously noted, modified language is also included that allows \$10,000,000 of funds made available for hazardous fuels reduction to be deposited in the Collaborative Forest Restoration Fund. Bill language is included that directs the Forest Service to make no less than \$75,000,000 in prior year non-emergency wildfire suppression funds available for wildfire suppression purposes in addition to amounts otherwise provided. The agreement includes the House proposed language allowing up to \$50,000,000 to be transferred between the Interior Department and the Forest Service when such transfers would facilitate and expedite jointly funded wildland fire management programs and projects.

The conference agreement does not include the Wildland Fire Contingency Reserve Fund, which was in the request and the House recommendation. Instead, funds are provided for the FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund, as described below.

FLAME WILDFIRE SUPPRESSION RESERVE FUND  
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$413,000,000 for the new Forest Service FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund established in the FLAME Act of 2009 (Title V of this Act). The Senate had included \$282,000,000 within the Wildland Fire Management account for a suppression reserve, whereas the House had included, as requested, \$282,000,000 for a separate Wildland Fire Suppression Contingency Reserve Fund. Further direction on the use of this new account is provided in Title V. The conferees note that funding provided this year as a beginning allocation is equal to the actual expenditures during fiscal year 2009 by the Forest Service on large wildfire suppression events, as defined by the FLAME Act of 2009 (Title V of this Act). A similar account in the Department of the Interior is also provided an additional \$61,000,000. The conferees have established these funding levels to give the Department of the Interior and the Forest Service some degree of funding flexibility as they develop appropriate procedures and infrastructure for the FLAME Funds. However, these levels are not intended to represent a final method for calculating FLAME Fund budget requests. Instead, as provided in Title V, the conferees expect the agencies to develop new methods for formulating fire suppression funding estimates for the Wildland Fire Management and FLAME Fund appropriations accounts as part of their fiscal year 2011 budget request.

The conferees appreciate the Administration's recognition that budgeting for wildfire suppression using the 10-year rolling average has failed to keep pace with actual funding requirements and has led to significant disruption as agencies borrow from non-fire program accounts when funds are exhausted. The conferees intend that, for fiscal year 2010 and beyond, amounts provided through the FLAME Fund, together with amounts provided through the Wildland Fire Management account, should fully fund anticipated wildland fire suppression requirements and prevent future borrowing from non-fire programs.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS, FOREST SERVICE  
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement includes administrative provisions similar to previous years. This includes limiting transfers to the USDA for reimbursable charges to the requested amount and allowing up to \$55,000,000 to be assessed for fire, administrative and other facilities maintenance. The House recommended language continuing a provision concerning the use of funds for certain Puerto Rico school expenses is included. The conference agreement provides \$3,000,000 for the National Forest Foundation and \$3,000,000 for the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation; it is acceptable for these foundations to make grants to Federal recipients, including Forest Service offices. As recommended by the House, the Government Accountability Office should conduct an independent analysis of centralized business services of the Forest Service, including a comprehensive review of the purchase card program.

The bill language concerning transfers of funds for certain emergency wildfire suppression needs has been modified from the versions recommended by either the House or the Senate to be consistent with the FLAME Act of 2009. Non-wildfire suppression funds may not be transferred unless all other funds, including both those in the Wildland Fire Management account and the FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund, will be fully exhausted within 30 days. The Service should take its first fire suppression transfers from unobligated balances, if available, from the Knutson-Vandenberg Trust Fund and other permanent and trust fund accounts, and use those balances, as appropriate, before transferring funds from discretionary accounts.

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE

#### INDIAN HEALTH SERVICES

The conference agreement provides \$3,657,618,000 for Indian Health Services as proposed by the House, instead of \$3,639,868,000 as proposed by the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program area and activity for this account is included in the table at the end of the statement. The conference agreement also includes the following directions:

*Domestic Violence Prevention.*—The conference agreement provides a total of \$10,000,000 as proposed by the House for domestic violence and sexual assault prevention and treatment, instead of \$7,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. From within those funds, the Indian Health Service (IHS) is directed to implement a nationally coordinated Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner/Sexual Assault Response Team (SAFE/SART) program to be used to fund IHS and Tribally managed hospitals, clinics and/or other facilities that provide 24/7 emergency care through competitive grants, 638 contracts and/or program awards to build local SAFE and SART capacity. In addition, the Service is directed to expand its national domestic violence grant program through competitive grants, 638 contracts and/or program awards to address the growing need for these services.

As the need for domestic violence programs increases, so do the number of cases that need to be prosecuted. However, there have been reports that the Department of Health and Human Services policy on witness subpoenas impedes the ability of IHS personnel to present evidence or testimony in these cases. This can cause the cases to be dropped and the perpetrators to potentially walk free. This scenario is simply unacceptable. Therefore, the Department and the Service are directed to reevaluate and revise this policy to ensure that IHS personnel are able to testify and present evidence in these cases and to report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on their revised policy within 90 days of enactment of this Act.

*Dental Health.*—The conference agreement provides \$152,634,000 for dental health programs as proposed by the House, instead of \$151,384,000 as proposed by the Senate. Of those funds, \$1,000,000 is for the Headquarters Division of Oral Health to ex-

pand the dental residency program and \$250,000 is to expand the summer extern program. In addition, the Service is directed to further its dental health efforts by utilizing a portion of the health information technology funds to refine and expedite the deployment schedule of the electronic dental record system.

*Urban Indian Health Program.*—The conference agreement provides \$43,139,000 as proposed by the House for urban health programs, instead of \$38,139,000 as proposed by the Senate. The increase is provided to assist the Service in addressing shortfalls within this program that have accumulated over the last several years. Bill language from previous years has not been included because the conferees are satisfied that the Administration does not intend to eliminate this program.

#### INDIAN HEALTH FACILITIES

The conference agreement provides \$394,757,000 for Indian Health Facilities as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program area and activity for this account is included in the table at the end of the statement. The conference agreement also includes the following directions:

The conferees remain concerned about the systemic weaknesses in the IHS inventory management system, as identified by the Government Accountability Office (GAO). A recently released follow-up investigation by the GAO suggests these weaknesses persist. The conferees expect the Service to ensure that the provision of health care services is not adversely affected by these problems and demonstrate that it is working aggressively to strengthen administration and accountability. The Service is directed to evaluate its inventory management system, identify and correct any deficiencies, and provide a detailed report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on its efforts within 60 days of enactment of this Act.

The conferees are concerned about the persistent backlog of Indian Health Service health facilities construction projects serving American Indians and Alaska Natives. The conferees believe that the joint venture program provides a cost-effective means to address this backlog and to increase access to health care services for American Indians and Alaska Natives. The conferees are aware that IHS is currently reviewing competitive applications from Tribes and Tribal organizations to participate in the 2010 joint venture program and encourage the Service to move forward with the process in an expeditious manner.

#### NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH

##### NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SCIENCES

The conference agreement provides \$79,212,000 for the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences as requested and as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

AGENCY FOR TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND DISEASE REGISTRY  
TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL PUBLIC HEALTH

The conference agreement provides \$76,792,000 for the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry as requested and as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The conferees continue to be concerned with the CDC's administrative costs. The conferees are aware that the CDC plans to commission a study on administrative costs. The conferees direct the ATSDR to submit the results of that study, as soon as it becomes available. If necessary, the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations may consider a cap on administration expenses.

*Bill Language.*—The conference agreement includes language that provides up to \$1,000 per eligible employee of the ATSDR for Individual Learning Accounts as proposed by the House.

OTHER RELATED AGENCIES

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AND OFFICE OF  
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

The conference agreement provides \$3,159,000 for the Council on Environmental Quality and Office of Environmental Quality as requested and as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The conference agreement also includes the following directions:

*Appalachian Surface Coal Mining Interagency Plan.*—The conferees expect the Council on Environmental Quality to abide by the language in Senate Report 111–38 referencing the Administration's Interagency Action Plan to “diversify and strengthen the Appalachian regional economy.” The conferees direct the Council, in coordination with the Appalachian Regional Commission, to provide a detailed report no later than March 31, 2010, on how the Administration intends to achieve its goals.

CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides \$11,147,000 for the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board instead of \$10,547,000 as proposed by the House and \$11,195,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes language that: limits the Board to not more than three career SES positions; directs that EPA's Inspector General serve as the Board's Inspector General; and instructs the Board to utilize the personnel in EPA's Office of Inspector General. The conferees have not included language to transfer funds from the Board's account to the EPA OIG account, as proposed by the House. The Inspector General has confirmed that he has sufficient funds in fiscal year 2010 to perform this function. The conference agreement also includes the following specific funding levels and directions:

*Methyl isocyanate report.*—The conference agreement includes bill language that designates \$600,000 for a National Academy of

Sciences report on the use and storage of methyl isocyanate and alternatives at the Bayer CropScience facility in Institute, WV.

#### OFFICE OF NAVAJO AND HOPI INDIAN RELOCATION

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides \$8,000,000 for the Office of Navajo and Hopi Indian Relocation, Salaries and Expenses as requested and proposed by both the House and the Senate.

#### INSTITUTE OF AMERICAN INDIAN AND ALASKA NATIVE CULTURE AND ARTS DEVELOPMENT

##### PAYMENT TO THE INSTITUTE

The conference agreement provides \$8,300,000 for the Institute of American Indian and Alaska Native Culture and Arts Development as requested and proposed by both the House and the Senate.

#### SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes \$636,161,000 for the Salaries and Expenses account of the Smithsonian Institution, instead of \$634,161,000 as requested and as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program area and activity is included in the table at the end of this section of the statement. The increase above the request is designated for the museum-wide collections care initiative to assist the Institution in strengthening its efforts to preserve priceless historical collections. The conference agreement includes bill language proposed by the House concerning two-year funding availability. Language is also included in the bill as proposed by the Senate stipulating that from within the funds provided, \$250,000 will be used to carry out activities under the Civil Rights History Project Act of 2009.

The conferees note that some Smithsonian Institution collections, such as the priceless military uniform collection at the National Museum of American History, may be stored in unsatisfactory conditions. The conferees urge the Smithsonian to take the necessary steps to preserve these irreplaceable historical collections and ensure that preservation of its collections is made a high priority.

##### FACILITIES CAPITAL

The conference agreement includes \$125,000,000 as requested and as proposed by the Senate instead of \$140,000,000 as proposed by the House for the Facilities Capital account.

##### LEGACY FUND

##### (INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement includes a net increase of \$234,000 as proposed by the Senate for the Legacy Fund instead of no appropriation as proposed by the House. The conference agreement includes the Senate proposal to rescind \$29,766,000 in prior year bal-



ances in this account and appropriate \$30,000,000 for revitalization of the Arts and Industries building on the National Mall, provided the funds are matched on a 1:1 basis with private contributions.

The conference agreement does not include the House recommended administrative provision, which allowed the fiscal year 2008 Legacy Fund appropriation to be transferred into the Facilities Capital account, to be used under the terms and conditions of that account.

#### NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides \$110,746,000 for the Salaries and Expenses account of the National Gallery of Art as proposed by both the House and the Senate. Bill language is included which allows up to \$3,386,000 for the Special Exhibition Program, as proposed by both the House and the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by activity for this account is included in the table at the end of this section of the statement.

##### REPAIR, RESTORATION AND RENOVATION OF BUILDINGS

The conference agreement provides \$56,259,000 for the Repair, Restoration and Renovation account as proposed by the House instead of \$54,499,000 as proposed by the Senate. Bill language is included which provides \$40,000,000 for the repair of the East Building exterior marble facade, as proposed by the House. The conferees have provided this significant increase in light of the considerable public safety hazard posed by the deterioration of the façade. In addition, the agreement includes bill language as proposed by the Senate to allow for a single contract for the full scope of this project.

#### JOHN F. KENNEDY CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS

##### OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

The conference agreement provides \$23,000,000 for the Operations and Maintenance account instead of \$25,000,000 proposed by the House and \$22,500,000 proposed by the Senate. Bill language has been included as proposed by the House directing \$500,000 toward the Center's efforts to assist arts organizations nationwide with tools to manage the challenges posed by the economy. Such assistance should address issues including board governance, budgeting, marketing, and technology. The Kennedy Center is directed to submit a spending plan for these funds no later than 30 days after enactment of this Act.

##### CAPITAL REPAIR AND RESTORATION

The conference agreement provides \$17,447,000 for the Capital Repair and Restoration account as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

## WOODROW WILSON INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR SCHOLARS

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides \$12,225,000 for the Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars as proposed by the House instead of \$10,225,000 as proposed in the request and by the Senate. Within the overall increase, \$650,000 is provided for the Kissinger Institute on China and the United States, which was established by the Center last year, and other related Asia programs. While the conferees understand that the Institute was originally to be supported solely with private contributions, the downturn in the economy has slowed the response to the Center's initial fundraising efforts. This program support will allow the Institute to move forward with its first initiatives at a critical stage in its development. An additional amount of \$600,000 is provided for necessary administrative costs that were not covered in the request. Language has been included in the bill, as proposed by the House, to make the Center's appropriation available for two years in order to accommodate any issues that may arise because of discrepancies between the obligation of grant monies and the fiscal year calendar.

## NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND HUMANITIES

## NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS

## GRANTS AND ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement provides \$167,500,000 for the National Endowment for the Arts (NEA) instead of \$170,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$161,315,000 as requested and proposed by the Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program area for this account is included in the table at the end of the statement.

The conferees commend the National Endowment for the Arts for promoting literacy and reading in the United States through the highly acclaimed Big Read program. The Big Read engages communities of all sizes and Americans of all ages by celebrating the literary works of American writers. Since 2005, the NEA has awarded grants—leveraged with millions of private sector dollars—in every State and virtually every Congressional district in the United States. The NEA study, *Reading on the Rise*, released last year, documents a definitive increase in the number of American adults who read with the biggest increase in young adults aged 18–24. This new growth reverses two decades of downward trends cited in previous NEA reports. The conferees remain committed to the Big Read program and direct the NEA to report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, no later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, with a detailed funding plan for the continuation of this popular and successful program.

*Bill Language.*—The conference agreement includes three provisions in Title IV, General Provisions, which relate to the NEA. The first, Section 417, concurs with the administration's request to reinstate four positions on the National Council on the Arts that were eliminated in 1996. This expansion will enable the National

Endowment to receive counsel and advice from a more diverse body that represents a broader array of arts disciplines and fields.

Sections 438 and 439 include the full text of language regarding program priorities and grant guidelines, which in recent years has been incorporated by reference to sections 309 and 311 of P.L. 108–447. These provisions are in addition to the instructions provided under the agency’s most recent authorization regarding the awarding of grants. The conferees have taken this step in light of recent controversies in order to restate for the Endowment and the general public the guidelines within which the agency is expected to conduct its work and distribute taxpayer dollars in support of the arts.

Finally, the conferees note that section 402 of Title IV, General Provisions, prohibits any agency, including the NEA, from any activity, publication or distribution of literature that “in any way (emphasis added) tends to promote public support or opposition to any legislative proposal on which Congressional action is not complete other than to communicate to Members of Congress. . . .” The conferees urge the NEA to take immediate steps to ensure that all employees are aware of these provisions when conducting any activities funded by this appropriation.

#### NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE HUMANITIES

##### GRANTS AND ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement provides \$167,500,000 for the National Endowment for the Humanities (NEH) instead of \$170,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$161,315,000 as requested and proposed by the Senate. The conferees do not agree with the proposal to fund the National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs grant program through the NEH. Instead, the conferees agree to continue administering the National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs grant program through the Commission of Fine Arts as proposed by both the House and Senate. The detailed allocation of funding by program area for this account is included in the table at the end of this section of the statement.

##### COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides \$2,294,000 for the Commission of Fine Arts, as requested and proposed by both the House and the Senate.

##### NATIONAL CAPITAL ARTS AND CULTURAL AFFAIRS

The conference agreement provides \$9,500,000 for the National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs grant program as proposed by the Senate instead of \$10,000,000 as proposed by the House. Language is included in the bill in title IV, General Provisions, to adjust the authorized funding level for this program to \$10,000,000 and increase the maximum allowable grant level to \$650,000 per recipient per year in agreement with the House proposal. The Senate bill did not contain this provision.

## ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides \$5,908,000 for the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation as requested and as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

## NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides \$8,507,000 for the National Capital Planning Commission, as requested and proposed by both the House and the Senate.

## UNITED STATES HOLOCAUST MEMORIAL MUSEUM

## HOLOCAUST MEMORIAL MUSEUM

The conference agreement provides \$49,122,000 for the United States Holocaust Memorial Museum as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$48,551,000 as requested and as proposed by the House. The increase above the request is provided to sustain the additional security measures that were implemented by the Museum earlier this year immediately following the tragic shooting of an employee there. The conferees understand that further steps may be taken upon completion of a security review by the Department of Homeland Security based on the findings contained therein.

## PRESIDIO TRUST

## PRESIDIO TRUST FUND

The conference agreement provides \$23,200,000 for the Presidio Trust Fund as proposed by the House, instead of \$17,230,000 as proposed by the Senate.

## DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER MEMORIAL COMMISSION

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides \$3,000,000 for the Dwight D. Eisenhower Memorial Commission for salaries and expenses as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$2,000,000 as proposed by the House.

## CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION

The conference agreement provides \$16,000,000 for the Dwight D. Eisenhower Memorial Commission for capital construction as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$10,000,000 as proposed by the House.

## TITLE IV—GENERAL PROVISIONS

## (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

Sec. 401. Retains a provision included by both the House and the Senate on consulting services.

Sec. 402. Retains a provision included by both the House and the Senate limiting certain activities related to support or opposition to legislative proposals.

Sec. 403. Retains a provision included by the House which provides that appropriations in the bill are available only for the current fiscal year, unless otherwise stated.

Sec. 404. Retains a provision included by both the House and the Senate prohibiting funds for certain personal services.

Sec. 405. Retains a provision included by both the House and the Senate which limits overhead charges, deductions, reserves or holdbacks for certain functions.

Sec. 406. Retains a provision included by the Senate prohibiting the sale of giant sequoia trees.

Sec. 407. Retains a provision included by the House limiting transfer of funds except as provided in this or other Acts.

Sec. 408. Retains, with minor technical changes, a provision included by both the House and the Senate limiting funds for patents for mining or mill site claims.

Sec. 409. Modifies a provision included by both the House and the Senate limiting payments for BIA and IHS contract support costs in past years to the funds available in law.

Sec. 410. Retains a provision included by both the House and the Senate regarding Forest Service land management planning.

Sec. 411. Retains a provision included by the House and the Senate limiting certain mineral, oil and gas leasing activities within the boundaries of certain National Monuments.

Sec. 412. Retains, with minor technical changes, a provision included by both the House and the Senate concerning wildfire suppression assistance with foreign countries.

Sec. 413. Retains a provision included by both the House and the Senate pertaining to the awarding of Federal contracts by the Secretaries of Agriculture and Interior in certain disadvantaged communities.

Sec. 414. Modifies a provision which restricts funding for acquisition of land from being used for declarations of taking or complaints in condemnation.

Sec. 415. Retains a provision included by the Senate regarding the clean-up activities at the Treasure Island Naval Station—Hunters Point Annex.

Sec. 416. Retains, with minor technical changes, a provision included by both the House and the Senate continuing for one year certain authorities to renew grazing permits or leases administered by the Forest Service or Department of the Interior.

Sec. 417. Retains a provision included by both the House and the Senate that amends the authorization for the National Council on the Arts.

Sec. 418. Retains a provision included by the House that amends the authorization for the National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs program.

Sec. 419. Retains a provision included by the Senate that places a two-year prohibition on the ability of Alaska Native villages to assume the administration of health services contracts under certain circumstances, and clarifying that the Eastern Aleutian Tribes, Inc., the Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments,

and the Native Village of Eyak be considered regional health entities for purposes of disbursement of funds.

Sec. 420. Retains a provision included by the House extending the pilot program for the sale of forest botanical products by the Forest Service through fiscal year 2014.

Sec. 421. Modifies a provision included by the Senate making Alaska red cedar timber available to domestic mills.

Sec. 422. Retains a provision included by both the House and the Senate extending the authority for the Colorado Cooperative Conservation Authority until 2013.

Sec. 423. Retains a provision included by the House reverting the formula for geothermal receipts to the distribution used before 2005.

Sec. 424. Retains a provision included by the Senate regarding greenhouse gas reporting requirements associated with livestock production. The House version contained minor technical differences.

Sec. 425. Modifies a provision included by the Senate regarding greenhouse gas reporting requirements for animal waste.

Sec. 426. Modifies a provision included by the House regarding reporting of climate change expenditures.

Sec. 427. Retains a provision included by the Senate prohibiting the distribution of funds to ACORN or its subsidiaries.

Sec. 428. Modifies a provision included by the House that prohibits detainees from Guantanamo Bay from being transferred or released into the United State or its territories except under certain circumstances.

Sec. 429. Retains a provision included by the Senate authorizing an aquifer study of the Jungo disposal site in the Humboldt National Forest.

Sec. 430. Retains a provision included by the Senate encouraging the EPA Administrator to reassess the cost effectiveness of the buyout and relocation of residents in Treece, KS due to certain environmental risks.

Sec. 431. Modifies a provision included by the Senate changing the authority for Forest Service research on biobased products.

Sec. 432. Retains a provision included by the Senate modifying the composition of the board of the National Forest Foundation.

Sec. 433. Modifies a provision included by the Senate limiting the ability of the Secretary of Agriculture to increase recreational residence user fees.

Sec. 434. Modifies language included by the Senate prohibiting no-bid contracts and grants.

Sec. 435. Modifies language included by the Senate requiring public disclosure of certain reports.

Sec. 436. Retains language included by the Senate modifying the "Beaver Dam Wash National Conservation Area" map.

Sec. 437. Retains language included by the Senate to expedite the cleanup of Federal and Indian land at the Tar Creek Superfund site.

Sec. 438. Restates the full text of bill language delineating the grant guidelines for the National Endowment for the Arts.

Sec. 439. Restates the full text of bill language delineating the priorities for the programs managed by the National Endowment for the Arts.

Sec. 440. Contains new language making a technical correction to the Energy and Water Development and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2010.

Sec. 441. Contains new language restricting awards to for-profit entities with funds from this Act.

Sec. 442. Contains new language limiting the Environmental Protection Agency from implementing fuel standards for certain vessels in the Great Lakes.

Sec. 443. Contains new language concerning State revolving loans made to the Hancock Water and Sewer District and the Hancock Utility Authority in Mississippi.

Sec. 444. Modifies language included by both the House and the Senate regarding the incorporation of Congressionally requested priorities.

The conference agreement does not include a provision included by the Senate prohibiting the use of funds in this or any other Act for competitive sourcing studies and associated activities involving the Forest Service.

The conference agreement does not retain language included by the Senate expressing the sense of the Senate that the National Vehicle Mercury Switch Recovery Program effectively addresses mercury pollution and should continue. Instead, the conferees have included language on this issue as part of the joint explanatory statement for the Environmental Programs and Management account.

The conference agreement does not include a provision included by the House that required bonus bids for lease sales of coal to be paid at the time of sale rather than over a five-year period.

The conference agreement does not include a provision included by the House that prevented the Secretary of the Interior from transferring funding to the Secretary of Energy for certain energy research purposes.

The conference agreement does not include a provision included by the House that allowed funding under the Secure Rural Schools Act to be used for certain purposes.

The conferees have not agreed to statutory language proposed by the Senate in its section 424 regarding limitations on the use of certain funds made available through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (P.L. 111-5) to the Forest Service. The conferees note that the Service was given legal authority under the Recovery Act to fund \$250,000,000 of hazardous fuels reduction, forest health and ecosystem restoration projects, including urban forestry projects, using all authorities available to the Service through the State and Private Forestry appropriation. However, the conferees believe that greater priority should have been given to projects that both create jobs and reduce fire risk. The conferees note that there appears to be an inadequate relationship between the geographical allocation of project funding by the Forest Service and the need for jobs to reduce unemployment. Further, the conferees remain troubled by the lack of transparency and the lack of communication from the Service and the Department of Agriculture

related to the project selection process. The Service is directed to provide to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees, within 30 days of enactment of this Act, a comprehensive list of all projects selected for Recovery Act funding; a detailed description, including proposed accomplishments, for each project; and a detailed description of criteria used to select each project. Finally, the conferees direct that no additional funds from the Recovery Act be expended on urban and community forestry projects unless previously announced by the Secretary, and that in the future, any additional projects will be devoted to activities that directly reduce fire hazards on public and private lands.

#### TITLE V—FEDERAL LAND ASSISTANCE, MANAGEMENT AND ENHANCEMENT ACT OF 2009 (FLAME ACT OF 2009)

Title V of the conference agreement includes the FLAME Act of 2009. This Act is very similar to the FLAME Act included in sections 431 and 432 in the Senate recommended bill and H.R. 1404, which passed the House of Representatives on March 26, 2009. The conference agreement has strengthened the workability and usefulness of the previous FLAME Acts while retaining most major provisions. The main change is the creation of FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Funds in both the Forest Service and the Department of the Interior, and the appropriation of funds for these funds under normal appropriation accounts within title I and title III. These changes also make the FLAME Act consistent with other wildfire suppression reforms recommended in the Administration budget request. This includes risk-informed wildfire suppression reforms discussed in the budget request, and expanded use of the Wildland Fire Decision Support System, which will result in strengthened oversight and accountability of suppression spending.

The conferees expect the budget reforms provided through this title, together with changes to the agencies' appropriations accounts in titles I and III, will lead to improved transparency regarding the true costs of fire preparedness and suppression activities. The conferees expect these budget reforms to be accompanied by a commitment from the Administration that fire readiness and suppression activities will not be funded at the expense of other programs, and that any non-fire program transfers will be accounted for and reported to the appropriate Congressional committees in a transparent manner and will be promptly repaid.

*Section 501. Short Title.*—Section 501 consists of the short title of the Act, the Federal Land Assistance, Management and Enhancement Act of 2009 or, for short, the FLAME Act of 2009.

*Section 502. FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Funds.*—Section 502 includes the major portions of the FLAME Act of 2009.

The FLAME Act of 2009 includes a clear purpose statement: the FLAME Funds shall be available to cover the costs of large or complex wildfire events and as a reserve when amounts provided for wildfire suppression and Federal emergency response in the Wildland Fire Management appropriation accounts are exhausted (section 502(c)).

The Department of the Interior and the Forest Service each are authorized to have their own account, but there are the same requirements for the two accounts (section 502(b)). The FLAME re-



serve accounts are transfer accounts, from which each Secretary may transfer funds into their respective Wildland Fire Management account for wildfire suppression activities, if certain conditions are met. These conditions require a Secretarial declaration and are nearly the same as required in the previous Senate and House FLAME acts: either the wildfire event is large or complex, or the respective wildland fire suppression account will be exhausted within 30 days (section 502(e)).

The conferees intend that amounts provided through the FLAME Fund, together with amounts provided through the Wildland Fire Management appropriations account, should fully fund anticipated wildland fire suppression requirements in advance of fire season and prevent future borrowing from non-fire programs. To satisfy this requirement, the conferees direct the Secretaries to develop new methods for formulating fire suppression funding estimates for the Wildland Fire Management and FLAME Fund appropriations accounts as part of their fiscal year 2011 budget request. In formulating these estimates, the conferees expect the Secretaries to consider data regarding actual prior-year fire suppression expenditures, predictive modeling, and any other criteria that they deem appropriate, consistent with the direction provided in this title. Further, as noted previously, the conferees expect the Service to analyze current readiness requirements and to submit a realigned preparedness budget in fiscal year 2011 that accurately reflects anticipated readiness costs instead of relying on large-scale shifts to the suppression appropriation.

In addition, each Secretary is given authority to transfer up to \$100,000,000 per fiscal year to the other department's FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Fund if one department has much greater expenses than the other (section 502(d)(5)). A Congressional intent statement indicates that the President should request funding for the FLAME funds so that large or complex wildfire event activities are funded adequately. The Act includes Sense of Congress statements that: (1) future FLAME appropriations in excess of funds needed for large or complex fires should be designated as amounts necessary to meet emergency needs (section 502(d)(2)(C)(i)); and (2) each Secretary should make a supplemental budget request for wildfire suppression if their FLAME fund will be exhausted in 30 days (section 502(d)(2)(C)(ii)).

The FLAME Act of 2009 retains many provisions of the Senate recommendation and H.R. 1404. This includes the definitions (section 502(a)) and the authorization of such amounts as are necessary to carry out the section (section 502(d)(2)(A)). The Act retains the provision that funds are available until expended (section 502(d)(3)) and the requirement that the Secretary notify the Congressional Committees if the Secretary estimates that only 60 days of funds remain in the respective FLAME fund (section 502(d)(4)).

As in the previous versions of the FLAME Act, the FLAME funds cannot be used until the Secretary makes a declaration that the wildfire event is large or complex, or that the cumulative costs of suppression and Federal emergency activities will exceed within 30 days all available funds (section 502(e)). The conferees expect the Agencies to develop a streamlined declaration process that

minimizes the administrative burden and ensures that funding is made available for eligible wildfire events in an expedited manner.

As in previous versions of the FLAME Act, the FLAME funds may be used for State, private and Tribal land wildfire suppression activities consistent with any existing agreements (section 502(e)(3)). The Act retains the prohibition on transfers of non-fire funds for wildland fire suppression unless amounts in the FLAME funds and all other funds previously provided for wildland fire suppression will be exhausted within 30 days (section 502(g)). The Act retains accounting and reporting requirements (section 502(h)) and retains the requirement that estimates of wildfire suppression costs be provided to the Congress in an orderly fashion (section 502(h)(3)).

As in the Senate recommended version, the FLAME Act of 2009 includes a termination clause if no appropriations to, or withdrawals from, each FLAME fund have been made for three consecutive fiscal years (section 502(i)). The conference agreement includes an additional provision that if such termination occurs, remaining funds in each FLAME fund shall be transferred to and made a part of the Wildland Fire Management appropriation account of that Secretary (section 502(i)).

*Section 503. Cohesive Wildfire Management Strategy.*—Section 503 consists of the requirement for the Secretaries of Agriculture and the Interior to submit a joint report to Congress within one year that contains a cohesive wildfire management strategy consistent with recommendations described in recent reports of the Government Accountability Office. Nearly identical language was in the Senate recommended section 432 and similar language was in section 3 of H.R. 1404.

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT**  
**[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
Bureau of Land Management	Management of Lands and Resources	NV	Redband Trout and Salmon habitat assessment and restoration	\$300,000
Bureau of Land Management	Management of Lands and Resources	UT	Utah Rural Cadastral Data Program	\$300,000
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	NV	California National Historic Trail Interpretive Center	\$2,000,000
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	CA	California Wilderness	\$1,500,000
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	CA	Johnson Canyon Area of Critical Environmental Concern	\$1,500,000
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	CA	Santa Rosa and San Jacinto Mountains National Monument	\$500,000
Bureau of Land Management	Resource Management	AK	Sea Otter and Steller Sea Lion Education and Conservation	\$200,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	AK	Stellers and Spectacled Sea Eider Research	\$350,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	CA	National Academy of Sciences California Delta Study	\$750,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	CA	Review of the Klamath, North Coast, and Central Valley Hatchery Operations in California	\$2,150,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	GA	Georgia Streambank Restoration	\$500,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	HI	Hawaii invasive species management	\$1,000,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	HI	Palmyra Atoll NWR rat eradication	\$1,200,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	ID	Idaho Sage-Grouse Management Plan	\$1,000,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	LA	Endangered Whooping Crane Propagation Facility	\$500,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	ME	Maine lakes invasive species/habitat restoration	\$500,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	MI	Mass Marking of Hatchery Fish in the Great Lakes	\$1,000,000

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	MS	Mississippi State Natural Resources Economic Enterprise Program	\$350,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	NV	Lahontan Cutthroat Trout	\$350,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	TX	Caddo Lake Institute of Texas	\$150,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	WV	National Conservation Training Center	\$750,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	WV	West Virginia Fisheries Resource Office	\$1,300,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	CA	Don Edwards San Francisco Bay National Wildlife Refuge, Salt Ponds Restoration	\$4,000,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	HI	Kilauea Point National Wildlife Refuge, Lighthouse Repair	\$1,000,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	MS	Theodore Roosevelt National Wildlife Refuge, Visitor Center/Office	\$2,000,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	NV	Nevada Water Catchments	\$150,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	WV	Canaan Valley National Wildlife Refuge, Trails	\$850,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	WV	Ohio River Islands National Wildlife Refuge, Erosion Control	\$800,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	WV	White Sulphur Springs National Fish Hatchery, Water Supply System	\$1,500,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	CT	Stewart McKinney National Wildlife Refuge	\$2,000,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	FL	Crystal River National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,500,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	HI	James Campbell National Wildlife Refuge	\$7,400,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	IA	Driftless Area National Wildlife Refuge	\$450,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	KY	Clarks River National Wildlife Refuge	\$750,000

Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	LA	Red River National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,000,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	MA, CT, VT, NH	Silvio O. Conte National Wildlife Refuge	\$2,500,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	ME	Maine Coastal Islands National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,000,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	NE	Rainwater Basin Wetlands Management District	\$500,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	NH	Lake Umbagog National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,000,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	NJ	Great Swamp National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,000,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	NJ	Walkill National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,400,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	PA	Cherry Valley National Wildlife Refuge	\$750,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	RI	John H. Chafee National Wildlife Refuge	\$900,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	UT	Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge	\$1,300,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	VA	Great Dismal Swamp National Wildlife Refuge	\$500,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	VA	Rappahannock River National Wildlife Refuge, Bowers property	\$500,000
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	WA	Turnbull National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,500,000
National Park Service	Statutory or Contractual Aid	CA	Angel Island Immigration Station	\$1,000,000
National Park Service	Statutory or Contractual Aid	CA	Yosemite National Park schools, PL 109-131	\$400,000
National Park Service	Statutory or Contractual Aid	DC	Sewall Belmont House	\$1,000,000
National Park Service	Statutory or Contractual Aid	HI	National Tropical Botanical Garden, PL 111-11	\$500,000
National Park Service	Statutory or Contractual Aid	HI	Native Hawaiian Culture & Arts Program, PL 99-498	\$500,000
National Park Service	Statutory or Contractual Aid	MD	Star Spangled Banner National Historic Trail	\$500,000

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
National Park Service	Statutory or Contractual Aid	MD, VA, DC	Chesapeake Bay Gateways	\$1,000,000
National Park Service	Statutory or Contractual Aid	NH	Lamprey Wild & Scenic River, PL 90-542	\$200,000
National Park Service	Statutory or Contractual Aid	VT, NY	Hudson-Fulton-Champlain Quadricentennial, PL 110-229	\$750,000
National Park Service	Construction	AZ	Saguaro National Park Trail Improvements	\$398,000
National Park Service	Construction	CA	Golden Gate National Recreation Area (Alcatraz)	\$1,400,000
National Park Service	Construction	CA	Joshua Tree National Park Visitor Center	\$300,000
National Park Service	Construction	CA	Manzanar National Historical Site	\$900,000
National Park Service	Construction	DC	African American Civil War Memorial, security enhancements	\$220,000
National Park Service	Construction	FL	Castillo de San Marcos National Monument	\$500,000
National Park Service	Construction	IN	Restore Good Fellow Lodge, Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore	\$1,000,000
National Park Service	Construction	MA	New Bedford Whaling National Historical Park (Bourne bldg)	\$1,500,000
National Park Service	Construction	MI	Keweenaw National Historical Park (Quincy Smelting Works)	\$1,000,000
National Park Service	Construction	MI	Keweenaw National Historical Park Union Building	\$1,380,000
National Park Service	Construction	NJ	Gateway NRA, Sandy Hook Repair of Historic Gun Batteries	\$800,000
National Park Service	Construction	NJ	Paterson Great Falls National Historic Park	\$500,000
National Park Service	Construction	NY	Fire Island Land Trust Historic Restoration	\$250,000
National Park Service	Construction	OH	Cuyahoga Valley National Park Site and Structure Rehabilitation Program	\$500,000

National Park Service	Construction	OK	Chickasaw National Recreation Area Visitor Center	\$500,000
National Park Service	Construction	OR	Crater Lake Visitor Education Center	\$350,000
National Park Service	Construction	PA	Flight 93 National Memorial	\$725,000
National Park Service	Construction	PA	Valley Forge National Park Visitor Center	\$325,000
National Park Service	Construction	TN	Great Smoky Mountains National Park (curatorial facility)	\$1,500,000
National Park Service	Construction	TN	Great Smoky Mountains National Park (Tremont/Cosby water)	\$1,940,000
National Park Service	Construction	TN	Moccasin Bend National Archeological District	\$500,000
National Park Service	Construction	UT	Timpanogos Cave National Monument Interagency Visitors Center	\$1,600,000
National Park Service	Construction	UT	Utah Public Lands Artifact Preservation Act, PL 107-329	\$1,000,000
National Park Service	Construction	VA	Fort Hunt NCO Quarters Restoration	\$250,000
National Park Service	Construction	WI	Apostle Islands Lighthouse Restoration	\$2,000,000
National Park Service	Construction	WI	Ice Age National Scenic Trail	\$265,000
National Park Service	Construction	WV	Harpers Ferry National Historical Park	\$275,000
National Park Service	Construction	WV	New River Gorge National River	\$1,025,000
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	AL	Little River Canyon National Preserve	\$1,500,000
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Mojave National Preserve, Joshua Tree National Park, Death Valley National Park	\$1,000,000
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area	\$1,000,000
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	KY	Cumberland Gap National Historical Park, Fern Lake	\$1,150,000
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	MI	Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore	\$1,000,000
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	NH	Appalachian National Scenic Trail	\$1,375,000

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	NM	Petroglyph National Monument	\$1,000,000
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	OH	Cuyahoga Valley National Park	\$4,000,000
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	PA	Appalachian National Scenic Trail	\$1,820,000
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	TN	Shiloh National Military Park	\$250,000
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	TX	Fort Davis National Historic Site	\$500,000
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	VA	Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania National Military Park, Binns property	\$200,000
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	VT	Appalachian National Scenic Trail	\$625,000
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	WI	Ice Age National Scenic Trail	\$2,000,000
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	AR	South Arkansas Sparta Aquifer Recovery Initiative	\$300,000
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	AZ, NM	U.S.-Mexico Transboundary Aquifer Assessment Program	\$1,000,000
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	CA	San Diego formation mapping	\$900,000
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	CA	San Francisco Bay Salt Ponds restoration monitoring/research	\$1,000,000
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	HI	Volcano research/monitoring partnership UH-Manoa/HVO	\$250,000
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	HI	Water resources monitoring, investigations and research	\$500,000
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	IL	McHenry County groundwater and stormwater protection	\$280,000
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	LA	Long Term Estuary Assessment Group support	\$400,000
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	MA	Conte Anadromous Fish Research Lab	\$220,000



U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	MD	Coastal plain & fractured rock study	\$500,000
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	NV	Nye County minerals assessment project	\$650,000
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	VT	Lake Champlain Basin streamflow monitoring/toxic studies	\$346,000
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	WA	Columbia River Basin, design/test monitoring protocols-invasive species	\$350,000
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	WA	Hood Canal Dissolved Oxygen Study	\$200,000
Minerals Management Service	Royalty and Offshore Minerals Management	MS	Center for Marine Resources and Environmental Technology	\$900,000
Bureau of Indian Affairs	Operation of Indian Programs	Multi	Upper Columbia United Tribes, resource management program	\$350,000
Bureau of Indian Affairs	Operation of Indian Programs	ND	United Tribes Technical College	\$400,000
Bureau of Indian Affairs	Operation of Indian Programs	NM	Navajo Technical College	\$200,000
Bureau of Indian Affairs	Operation of Indian Programs	SD	Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, prairie management program	\$500,000
Insular Affairs	Assistance to Territories	VI	Critical Wastewater System Repairs and Improvements	\$900,000
Environmental Protection Agency	Science & Technology	CO	Water Research Foundation	\$1,700,000
Environmental Protection Agency	Science & Technology	GA	Consortium for Plant Biotechnology Research	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	Science & Technology	TX	Southwest Consortium for Environmental Research and Policy (SCERP)	\$1,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	Science & Technology	VA	Water Environment Research Foundation	\$2,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	Environmental Programs and Management	CA	San Francisco Bay competitive grant program	\$7,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	Environmental Programs and Management	DC	Rural Community Assistance Partnership	\$2,500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	Environmental Programs and Management	DC	Water Systems Council Wellcare Program	\$700,000
Environmental Protection Agency	Environmental Programs and Management	OK	National Rural Water Association	\$13,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	Environmental Programs and Management	VA	National Biosolids Partnership	\$750,000

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
Environmental Protection Agency	Environmental Programs and Management	VT	Lake Champlain environmental improvement program	\$4,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency		WA	Puget Sound Ecosystem Research Initiative at the University of Washington	\$4,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	Buildings and Facilities	NV	Las Vegas Facilities Consolidation Study	\$500,000
Environmental Protection Agency	Other	CA	Hunters Point Naval Shipyard environmental cleanup	\$8,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG—Other	AK	Alaska Native Villages water infrastructure program	\$13,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG—Other	CA	Emissions Reduction Grants to the South Coast Air Quality Management District and San Joaquin Air Pollution Control District	\$10,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG—Other	TX	The cities of El Paso and Brownsville for water and wastewater infrastructure	\$2,500,000
US Forest Service	Research	MS	Center for Bottomlands Hardwood Research	\$800,000
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	CA	Blue Mountain Community Renewable Council for the Calaveras Healthy Impact Product Solutions biomass utilization project	\$500,000
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	CA	Region 5, USFS for small forest products infrastructure assistance grants	\$2,500,000
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	MD	Baltimore Urban Forestry Watershed Demonstration Cooperative Project	\$150,000
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	MO	Missouri Forest Foundation for biomass demonstration project	\$300,000
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	UT	Utah Department of Agriculture for a fuels-for-schools biomass utilization project	\$200,000
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	VT	State of Vermont for the Vermont Wood Products Collaborative	\$500,000
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	WA	Seattle-Tacoma Regional Urban Forestry Restoration Project	\$1,000,000
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	WI	Menomonee Valley Partners Inc; Urban Forestry Project	\$300,000

US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	WV	Wood Education and Resource Center in Princeton	\$1,900,000
US Forest Service	National Forest System	AK	Tongass National Forest timber pipeline program	\$2,500,000
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	HI	PSW, Hawaii Research Field Stations	\$1,460,000
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	ID	Sawtooth National Recreation Area trail construction and maintenance	\$1,200,000
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	NV	Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit for trail improvements by the Tahoe Rim Trail Association	\$100,000
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	SD	Relocation of the Northern Great Plains Interagency Dispatch Center on the Black Hills National Forest	\$1,900,000
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	TN	Complete construction of a Cherokee National Forest work center	\$500,000
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	TX	Redesign Ratcliff Lake Recreation Area and Campground	\$475,000
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	WV	Facilities improvements on the Monongahela National Forest	\$595,000
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	WV	Road improvements for the Monongahela National Forest	\$1,521,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Angeles National Forest, Bighorn Mine	\$1,750,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Angeles National Forest, Shoemaker Canyon	\$500,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest	\$2,400,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Los Padres National Forest—Big Sur Ecosystem	\$1,500,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CO	Uncompahgre National Forest	\$1,000,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	FL	Florida National Scenic Trail	\$500,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	FL	Osceola National Forest, Pinhook Swamp Wildlife Corridor	\$500,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	GA	Chattahoochee-Oconee National Forest	\$1,200,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	ID	Sawtooth National Recreation Area, Piva Parcel	\$400,000

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Incorporation of Congressionally Requested Projects]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	IN	Hoosier National Forest	\$825,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	KY	Daniel Boone National Forest	\$900,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	MN	Chippewa/Superior National Forest—Minnesota Wilderness	\$900,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	MT	Gallatin and Custer National Forests—Greater Yellowstone Area	\$2,000,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	MT	Lewis and Clark National Forest	\$1,500,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	NC	Pisgah National Forest, Catawba Falls Access & Trail Acquisition	\$713,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	NC	Uwharrie National Forest, Uwharrie Trail	\$500,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	NM	Gila National Forest—Bear Creek Ranch	\$3,000,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	SD	Black Hills National Forest—Lady C Ranch	\$1,640,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	TN	Cherokee National Forest—Rocky Fork	\$6,000,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	UT	Bonneville Shoreline Trail	\$1,500,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	UT	Dixie National Forest	\$2,500,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	VT	Green Mountain National Forest	\$2,250,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	WA	Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest—Wild Sky Wilderness	\$1,700,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	WI	Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest—Wisconsin Wild Waterways	\$2,125,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	WV	Monongahela National Forest, Cummings Tract	\$985,000
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	WV	Monongahela National Forest, Dolly Sods Conservation Area	\$2,800,000

US Forest Service	Wildland Fire Management	CA	California Fire Safe Councils	\$5,000,000
US Forest Service	Wildland Fire Management	CA	Lake Tahoe Community Fire Protection Project	\$5,000,000
US Forest Service	Wildland Fire Management	CA	San Bernardino Urban Youth Conservation Corp	\$100,000
US Forest Service	Wildland Fire Management	NV	City of Reno to fund firefighting equipment for the wildland-urban interface	\$250,000

DISCLOSURE OF EARMARKS AND CONGRESSIONALLY  
DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

Following is a list of Congressional earmarks and Congressionally directed spending items (as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives and rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, respectively) included in the conference report or the accompanying joint statement of managers, along with the name of each Senator, House Member, Delegate, or Resident Commissioner who submitted a request to the Committee of jurisdiction for each item so identified. Neither the conference report nor the joint statement of managers contains any limited tax benefits or limited tariff benefits as defined in the applicable House or Senate rules. Pursuant to clause 9(b) of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives, neither the conference report nor the joint statement of managers contains any Congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits that were not (1) committed to the conference committee by either House or (2) in a report of a committee of either House on this bill or on a companion measure.

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT**  
**[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)		
					Administration	House	Senate
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	AK	Anchorage Field Office, Campbell Airstrip Safety Fencing	\$190,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	AZ	Gila District, Browning Ranch House Preservation	\$124,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	AZ	Lake Havasu, Partners Point Waterline	\$110,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	CA	Barstow, Sawtooth Campground	\$541,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	CA	California Radio Fencing and Grounding Improvement	\$537,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	CA	Hollister Field Office, El Toro Creek Parking Project	\$1,209,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	CO	Grand Junction Field Office, Bridgeport Access Trail	\$176,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	ID	Salmon Field Office, Lemhi River Road Maintenance	\$1,588,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	ID	Salmon Field Office, Sharkey Hot Springs Renovation	\$287,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	UT	Pelican Lake Recreation Site Reconstruction	\$697,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	UT	Salt Lake District, Five Mile Pass Recreation Site Facility	\$362,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	UT	West Desert District, Knolls Facilities	\$381,000	The President		

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)		
					Administration	House	Senate
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	CA	California Wilderness	\$1,500,000	The President		Feinstein
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	CA	King Range National Conservation Area	\$2,000,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	CA	Lacks Creek Area of Critical Environmental Concern	\$750,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	CA	Upper Sacramento River Area of Critical Environmental Concern	\$2,800,000	The President		
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	MT	Blackfoot River Special Recreation Management Area	\$4,500,000	The President	Rehberg	Baucus; Tester
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	MT	Meeteetse Spires Area of Critical Environmental Concern	\$1,500,000	The President	Rehberg	Baucus; Tester
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	NM	La Cienega Area of Critical Environmental Concern/EI Camino Real De Tierra Adento National Historic Trail	\$3,000,000	The President	Lujan	Bingaman; Udall, Tom
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	NM	Lesser Prairie Chicken Habitat Area of Critical Environmental Concern	\$1,500,000	The President		Bingaman; Udall, Tom
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	OR	Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument	\$1,000,000	The President	Pelosi	Merkley; Wyden
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	OR	Sandy River/Oregon National Historic Trail	\$2,100,000	The President	Blumenauer	Merkley; Wyden
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	WY	Craig Thomas Little Mountain Special Management Area	\$2,000,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	AZ	Willow Beach National Fish Hatchery, Water Treatment	\$482,000	The President		



Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	GU	Guam National Wildlife Refuge, Invasive Species Fence Construction	\$866,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	IN	Big Oaks National Wildlife Refuge, Old Timbers Dam Rehabilitation	\$100,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	MN	Fergus Falls Wetland Management District, Stang Lake Dam	\$175,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	OK	Wichita Mountains Wildlife Refuge, Lake Rush Dam	\$4,100,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	PA	Allegheny National Fish Hatchery, Fish Production and Electrical Systems	\$1,500,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	WA	Quinalt National Fish Hatchery, Replace Electric Fish Barriers	\$1,000,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	WA	Turnbull National Wildlife Refuge, Lower Pine Lake Dam	\$250,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	WY	Jackson National Fish Hatchery, Replace Water Supply Line	\$1,650,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	AK	Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge	\$300,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	AK	Togiak National Wildlife Refuge	\$325,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	AK	Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge	\$365,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	AL	Bon Secour National Wildlife Refuge	\$500,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	AZ	Leslie Canyon National Wildlife Refuge	\$500,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Grasslands Wetland Management Area	\$1,000,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	CA	San Joaquin River National Wildlife Refuge	\$2,000,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	DE	Prime Hook National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,000,000	The President		Carper, Kaufman

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)		
					Administration	House	Senate
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	FL	St. Marks National Wildlife Refuge	\$500,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	GA	Bond Swamp National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,200,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	HI	James Campbell National Wildlife Refuge	\$7,400,000	The President	Abercrombie; Hirono	Akaka; Inouye
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	IA, MN	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge	\$500,000	The President		Harkin; Klobuchar
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	IA, MN, WI, IL	Upper Mississippi River National Wildlife & Fish Refuge	\$1,200,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	IL	Cypress Creek National Wildlife Refuge	\$500,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	IN	Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,150,000	The President		Lugar
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	LA	Red River National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,000,000	The President		Landrieu
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	LA	Upper Ouachita National Wildlife Refuge	\$500,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	MA, CT, VT, NH	Silvio O. Conte National Wildlife Refuge	\$2,500,000	The President	Hodes; Courtney; Murphy (CT); Over	Dodd; Gregg; Kennedy; Kerry; Leahy; Lieberman
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	MD	Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge	\$2,000,000	The President	Kratovil	Cardin
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	ME	Rachel Carson National Wildlife Refuge	\$3,000,000	The President	Pingree (ME)	Collins; Snowe
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	MO	Big Muddy National Wildlife Refuge	\$300,000	The President		

Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	MS	Panther Swamp National Wildlife Refuge	\$500,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	MT	Red Rock Lakes National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,000,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	MT	Rocky Mountain Front Conservation Area	\$3,750,000	The President	Rehberg	Baucus; Tester
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	ND	North Dakota Wetland Management Area	\$1,000,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	ND, SD	Dakota Tallgrass Prairie Wetland Management Area	\$1,000,000	The President	Herseth Sandlin	Johnson
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	NJ	Cape May National Wildlife Refuge	\$2,000,000	The President	LoBiondo; Sires; Rothman (NJ)	Lautenberg; Menendez
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	NJ	Edwin B. Forsythe National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,100,000	The President	Adler (NJ); LoBiondo; Sires; Rothman (NJ)	Lautenberg; Menendez
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	NM	Sevilleta National Wildlife Refuge	\$500,000	The President		Bingaman
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	OR	Nestucca Bay National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,000,000	The President	Schrader	Merkley; Wyden
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	PA	Cherry Valley National Wildlife Refuge	\$750,000	The President	Kanjorski	Casey; Specter
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	SC	Ernest F. Hollings ACE Basin National Wildlife Refuge	\$500,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	SC	Waccamaw National Wildlife Refuge	\$600,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	TN	Chickasaw National Wildlife Refuge	\$500,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	TX	Balcones Canyonlands National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,000,000	The President		Hutchison
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	TX	Laguna Atascosa National Wildlife Refuge	\$500,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	TX	Lower Rio Grande Valley National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,000,000	The President	Ortiz	Hutchison

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)		
					Administration	House	Senate
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	TX	San Bernard National Wildlife Refuge-Austin's Woods Unit	\$1,250,000	The President	Paul	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	UT	Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge	\$1,300,000	The President	Bishop (UT)	Bennett; Hatch
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	VA	Back Bay National Wildlife Refuge	\$545,000	The President	Nye	Warner; Webb
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	VA	James River National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,000,000	The President	Moran (VA); Scott (VA)	Warner; Webb
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	WA	Nisqually National Wildlife Refuge	\$500,000	The President		
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	WA	Willapa National Wildlife Refuge	\$750,000	The President		
National Park Service	Construction	AK	Katmai, replace failing infrastructure at Brooks Camp	\$6,471,000	The President		
National Park Service	Construction	AZ	Chiricahua, Replace Failing Sewer Systems	\$2,410,000	The President		
National Park Service	Construction	AZ	Grand Canyon, employee housing	\$16,890,000	The President		
National Park Service	Construction	CA	Point Reyes, restore critical dune habitat	\$2,803,000	The President		
National Park Service	Construction	CO	Mesa Verde curation center	\$11,675,000	The President		
National Park Service	Construction	CO	Mesa Verde Visitor Information Center	\$10,500,000	The President	Salazar	
National Park Service	Construction	DC	National Capital Region, GW Memorial Parkway, Theodore Roosevelt rehabilitation site	\$1,706,000	The President		

National Park Service	Construction	DC	National Capital Region, preserve and protect Meridian Hill Park	\$3,844,000	The President		
National Park Service	Construction	GA	Fort Pulaski, replace Cockspur Lighthouse revetment	\$1,577,000	The President		
National Park Service	Construction	IN	George Rogers Clark NHP, restore and rehabilitate historic Wabash River floodwall	\$3,600,000	The President		
National Park Service	Construction	MO	Harry S. Truman NHS, rehabilitate interior grounds of Historic Noland House and install interpretive exhibits	\$1,018,000	The President		
National Park Service	Construction	MT	Glacier NP, safety improvements at Many Glacier Hotel	\$8,507,000	The President		
National Park Service	Construction	NC	Blue Ridge Parkway, repair Craggy Gardens retaining and guardwalls	\$2,728,000	The President		
National Park Service	Construction	NJ, PA	Delaware Water Gap NRA, demolish and remove hazardous structures	\$2,234,000	The President		
National Park Service	Construction	PA	Delaware Water Gap NRA, rehabilitate Childs Park	\$3,048,000	The President		
National Park Service	Construction	WA	Olympic National Park, restore Elwha River ecosystem and fisheries	\$20,000,000	The President		
National Park Service	Construction	WY	Grand Teton National Park, construct critical housing	\$13,174,000	The President		
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	AR, OK	Ft. Smith National Historic Site	\$362,000	The President		
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	AZ	Petrified Forest National Park	\$4,575,000	The President		
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Golden Gate National Recreation Area	\$5,000,000	The President		Feinstein

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)		
					Administration	House	Senate
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	GA	Chattahoochee River National Recreation Area	\$3,100,000	The President		Chambliss; Isakson
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	ID	Minidoka National Historic Site	\$350,000	The President		
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	MO	Harry S. Truman National Historic Site	\$1,300,000	The President		
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	MS	Natchez National Historical Park	\$264,000	The President		
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	NC	Guilford Courthouse National Military Park	\$880,000	The President		
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	SC	Congaree National Park	\$1,320,000	The President	Clyburn	Graham
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	TX	Big Thicket National Preserve	\$5,000,000	The President	Brady (TX)	Cornyn; Hutchinson
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	TX	Palo Alto Battlefield National Park	\$4,120,000	The President		
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	VA	Prince William Forest Park	\$425,000	The President		
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	VA, NC	Blue Ridge Parkway	\$1,250,000	The President		
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	VI	Virgin Islands National Park	\$3,250,000	The President	Christensen	
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	WA	Mt. Rainier National Park	\$2,150,000	The President	Reichert; McDermott	Cantwell; Murray
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	WA	Olympic National Park	\$3,000,000	The President		
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	WA	San Juan Island National Historical Park	\$6,000,000	The President	Larsen (WA)	Cantwell; Murray
Environmental Protection Agency	Environmental Programs and Management	CA	San Francisco Bay competitive grant program	\$7,000,000	The President		Feinstein

Environmental Protection Agency	Environmental Programs and Management	VT	Lake Champlain environmental improvement program	\$4,000,000	The President		Leahy
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG—Other	AK	Alaska Native Villages water infrastructure program	\$13,000,000	The President		Murkowski
US Forest Service	Research	MS	Center for Bottomlands Hardwood Research	\$800,000	The President		Cochran
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	WV	Wood Education and Resource Center in Princeton	\$1,900,000	The President		Byrd
US Forest Service	National Forest System	AK	Tongass National Forest timber pipeline program	\$2,500,000	The President	Young (AK)	Begich, Murkowski
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	AK	Chugach NF, Porcupine Creek Campground Reconstruction	\$1,911,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	AK	PNW, Juneau Lab Collocation Phase 1	\$4,980,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	AK	Tongass NF, Thorne Bay Quads Phase 2 and 3	\$906,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	AR	Ozark-St. Francis NF, Pleasant Hill Ranger District Office Addition & Renovation	\$1,500,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	AZ	Kaibab NF, Kaibab Lake Campground	\$818,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	AZ	Prescott NF, Lynx Southshore Recreation Area	\$450,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	AZ	Tonto NF, Needle Rock Campground, Phase 1	\$668,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	CA	Angeles NF, Pyramid Lake Rehabilitation	\$1,069,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	CA	Inyo NF, Ancient Bristlecone Pine Visitor Center	\$2,105,000	The President		

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)		
					Administration	House	Senate
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	CA	Klamath NF, Oak Knoll Work Center	\$1,028,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	CA	Lassen NF, Merrill Campground Phase 3	\$1,115,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	CA	San Dimas, Energy Conservation and Renewable Generation	\$400,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	CA	Six Rivers NF, Smith River National Recreation Area Warehouse	\$996,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	CA	Stanislaus NF, Long Barn Barracks	\$1,126,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	CO	Arapaho/Roosevelt NF, Pawnee Campground/Picnic Area, Phase 1	\$1,240,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	CO	Arapaho/Roosevelt NF, Shadow Mountain Village Exterior Bldg Rehabilitation	\$668,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	CO	Grand Mesa/Uncompahgre/Gunnison NF, Lottis Creek Recreation Area Rehabilitation	\$1,312,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	CO	RMRS, Ft. Collins Prospect Planning & Design	\$370,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	HI	PSW, Hawaii Research Field Stations	\$1,460,000	The President	Abercrombie, Hirono	Akaka; Inouye
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	ID	ID Panhandle NF, Nursery Roof Replacement	\$450,000	The President		



US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	ID	ID	Panhandle NF, Outlet Campground Phase 1	\$760,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	ID	ID	Payette NF, Seasonal Housing	\$2,130,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	ID	ID	Salmon-Challis NF, Central Idaho Fire Aviation Center	\$2,400,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	MI	MI	Hiawatha NF, Clear Lake Environmental Education Center	\$480,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	MI	MI	Ottawa NF, Watersmeet Administrative Site Phase 3	\$2,000,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	MN	MN	Chippewa NF, Walker Administrative Site Phase 1	\$1,000,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	MN	MN	NRS, Grand Rapids Lab Renovation	\$379,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	MN	MN	NRS, St. Paul Elevator and Roof Replacement	\$475,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	MS	MS	SRS, Wood Products Insect Laboratory	\$1,000,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	MS	MS	SRS,Oxford HVAC Replacement	\$432,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	MT	MT	Custer NF, Camp Crook Water System	\$564,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	MT	MT	Lewis & Clark NF, Interpretive Center Health and Safety Improvements	\$386,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	MT	MT	Regional Office, Aerial Fire Depot Roofing	\$381,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	MT	MT	RMRS, Bozeman Research Complex	\$906,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	MT	MT	RMRS,Tenderfoot Creek, Experimental Forest Administrative Site	\$495,000	The President		

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)		
					Administration	House	Senate
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	NJ	NRS, Silas Little Experimental Forest Re-model	\$253,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	NM	Cibola NF, Magdalena Ranger Station, Phase 1	\$1,568,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	OR	Deschutes NF, Allingham Guard Station Water System Phase 2	\$250,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	OR	Malheur NF, Prairie City Offices & Warehouse	\$1,800,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	OR	Siuslaw/Corvallis Collocation—East Wing Replacement	\$4,100,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	PA	Meadow Ponds Dam Rehabilitation	\$400,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	PR	IITF, Sabana Woodshop Renovation	\$519,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	SC	Francis Marion NF, Burrells Ford Camp-ground Rehabilitation	\$355,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	SC	Francis Marion NF, Ranger District Office Phase 2	\$1,080,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	TN	Cherokee NF, Tellico River Corridor Recreation Rehabilitation, Phase 3	\$330,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	UT	Dixie NF, Pine Valley Recreation Area Re-construction, Phase 3	\$450,000	The President		

US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	VA	George Washington/Jefferson NF, Elizabeth Furnace Water/Sanitation Rehabilitation	\$265,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	WA	Gifford Pinchot NF, Johnston Ridge Observatory Deferred Maintenance	\$410,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	WA	Mt. St. Helens National Volcanic Monument	\$1,195,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	WI	FPL, Freight Elevator Replacement	\$785,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	WV	NRS, Parsons Lab Renovation	\$254,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	WY	Bighorn NF, South Fork Campground Rehabilitation	\$490,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	WY	Medicine Bow/Routt NF, Walden Bunkhouse	\$1,080,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Angeles National Forest, Bighorn Mine	\$1,750,000	The President	Chu	Feinstein
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Los Padres National Forest—Big Sur Ecosystem	\$1,500,000	The President	Farr	Feinstein
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CA	San Bernardino National Forest—Garner Home Ranch	\$500,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Tahoe and El Dorado National Forests—Sierra Nevada Checkboard	\$1,000,000	The President		Feinstein
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	GA	Chattahoochee-Oconee National Forest	\$1,200,000	The President	Marshall	Chambliss
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	IN	Hoosier National Forest	\$825,000	The President		Lugar
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	MI	Ottawa National Forest—Great Lakes/Great Lands	\$1,500,000	The President	Stupak	Levin; Stabenow
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	MN	Chippewa/Superior National Forest—Minnesota Wilderness	\$900,000	The President	Oberstar	Klobuchar

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)		
					Administration	House	Senate
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	MO	Mark Twain National Forest—Missouri Ozark	\$500,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	MT	Galatin and Custer National Forests—Greater Yellowstone Area	\$2,000,000	The President	Rehberg	Tester, Baucus
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	MT	Helena National Forest—Blackfoot Challenge	\$1,000,000	The President	Rehberg	Baucus; Tester
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	NH	White Mountain National Forest	\$434,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	NM	Gila National Forest—Bear Creek Ranch	\$3,000,000	The President	Teague	Bingaman; Udall, Tom
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	OR, WA	Wallowa Whitman National Forests, Hells Canyon National Recreation Area	\$1,500,000	The President		Wyden; Merkley
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	PA	Allegheny National Forest	\$500,000	The President		
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	SD	Black Hills National Forest—Lady C Ranch	\$1,640,000	The President	Herseth Sandlin	Johnson
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	TN	Cherokee National Forest—Rocky Fork	\$6,000,000	The President	Price (NC)	Alexander, Burr; Corker
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	UT	Uinta and Wasatch-Cache National Forests—High Uintas	\$1,500,000	The President		Bennett; Hatch
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	VT	Green Mountain National Forest	\$2,250,000	The President		Leahy
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	WA	Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie/Wenatchee National Forests—Cascades Ecosystem	\$1,000,000	The President		Murray

US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	WI	Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest— Wisconsin Wild Waterways	\$2,125,000	The President	Kohl
US Forest Service	Wildland Fire Management	CA	California Fire Safe Councils	\$5,000,000	The President	Feinstein
US Forest Service	Wildland Fire Management	CA	Lake Tahoe Community Fire Protection Project	\$5,000,000	The President	Boxer; Feinstein

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT**  
[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
Bureau of Land Management	Management of Lands and Resources	NV	Redband Trout and Salmon habitat assessment and restoration	\$300,000		Reid
Bureau of Land Management	Management of Lands and Resources	UT	Utah Rural Cadastral Data Program	\$300,000		Bennett
Bureau of Land Management	Construction	NV	California National Historic Trail Interpretive Center	\$2,000,000		Reid
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	CA	Johnson Canyon Area of Critical Environmental Concern	\$1,500,000		Feinstein
Bureau of Land Management	Land Acquisition	CA	Santa Rosa and San Jacinto Mountains National Monument	\$500,000	Bono Mack	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	AK	Sea Otter and Steller Sea Lion Education and Conservation	\$200,000	Young (AK)	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	AK	Stellers and Spectacled Sea Eider Research	\$350,000	Young (AK)	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	CA	National Academy of Sciences California Delta Study	\$750,000		Feinstein

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	CA	Review of the Klamath, North Coast, and Central Valley Hatchery Operations in California	\$2,150,000	Thompson (CA)	
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	GA	Georgia Streambank Restoration	\$500,000	Johnson (GA); Scott (GA); Marshall	Chambliss
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	HI	Hawaii invasive species management	\$1,000,000	Abercrombie; Hirono	Akaka; Inouye
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	HI	Palmyra Atoll NWR rat eradication	\$1,200,000		Inouye
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	ID	Idaho Sage-Grouse Management Plan	\$1,000,000	Simpson	Crapo; Risch
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	LA	Endangered Whooping Crane Propagation Facility	\$500,000	Cao	Landrieu
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	ME	Maine lakes invasive species/habitat restoration	\$500,000		Collins; Snowe
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	MI	Mass Marking of Hatchery Fish in the Great Lakes	\$1,000,000	Dingell	Levin; Stabenow
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	MS	Mississippi State Natural Resources Economic Enterprise Program	\$350,000	Harper	Cochran; Wicker
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	NV	Lahontan Cutthroat Trout	\$350,000		Reid
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	TX	Caddo Lake Institute of Texas	\$150,000		Hutchison
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	WV	National Conservation Training Center	\$750,000		Byrd
Fish and Wildlife Service	Resource Management	WV	West Virginia Fisheries Resource Office	\$1,300,000	Mollohan	

Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	CA	Don Edwards San Francisco Bay National Wildlife Refuge, Salt Ponds Restoration	\$4,000,000	Feinstein
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	HI	Kilauea Point National Wildlife Refuge, Lighthouse Repair	\$1,000,000	Inouye
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	MS	Theodore Roosevelt National Wildlife Refuge, Visitor Center/Office	\$2,000,000	Cochran; Wicker
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	NV	Nevada Water Catchments	\$150,000	Reid
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	WV	Canaan Valley National Wildlife Refuge, Trails	\$850,000	Byrd
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	WV	Ohio River Islands National Wildlife Refuge, Erosion Control	\$800,000	Byrd
Fish and Wildlife Service	Construction	WV	White Sulphur Springs National Fish Hatchery, Water Supply System	\$1,500,000	Byrd
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	CT	Stewart McKinney National Wildlife Refuge	\$2,000,000	Dodd; Lieberman
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	FL	Crystal River National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,500,000	Martinez; Nelson; Bill
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	IA	Driftless Area National Wildlife Refuge	\$450,000	Harkin
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	KY	Clarks River National Wildlife Refuge	\$750,000	McConnell
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	ME	Maine Coastal Islands National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,000,000	Collins; Snowe
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	NE	Rainwater Basin Wetlands Management District	\$500,000	Nelson; Ben
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	NH	Lake Umbagog National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,000,000	Gregg; Shaheen
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	NJ	Great Swamp National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,000,000	Frelinghuysen; Sires; Rothman (NJ)

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	NJ	Walkkill National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,400,000	Garrett (NJ); Rothman (NJ)	Lautenberg; Menendez
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	RI	John H. Chafee National Wildlife Refuge	\$900,000		Reed; Whitehouse
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	VA	Great Dismal Swamp National Wildlife Refuge	\$500,000	Forbes	Warner; Webb
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	VA	Rappahannock River National Wildlife Refuge, Bowers property	\$500,000	Wittman; Moran (VA)	Warner; Webb
Fish and Wildlife Service	Land Acquisition	WA	Turnbull National Wildlife Refuge	\$1,500,000		Murray
National Park Service	Statutory or Contractual Aid	CA	Angel Island Immigration Station	\$1,000,000	Pelosi; Woolsey	Boxer; Feinstein
National Park Service	Statutory or Contractual Aid	CA	Yosemite National Park schools, PL 109–131	\$400,000		Feinstein
National Park Service	Statutory or Contractual Aid	DC	Sewall Belmont House	\$1,000,000		Landrieu
National Park Service	Statutory or Contractual Aid	HI	National Tropical Botanical Garden, PL 111–11	\$500,000	Abercrombie	Akaka; Inouye
National Park Service	Statutory or Contractual Aid	HI	Native Hawaiian Culture & Arts Program, PL 99–498	\$500,000	Abercrombie; Hirono	Akaka; Inouye
National Park Service	Statutory or Contractual Aid	MD	Star Spangled Banner National Historic Trail	\$500,000	Ruppersberger; Sarbanes; Cummings	Cardin
National Park Service	Statutory or Contractual Aid	MD, VA, DC	Chesapeake Bay Gateways	\$1,000,000	Sarbanes; Moran (VA); Cummings; Hoyer; Kratochvil	Cardin; Mikulski



National Park Service	Statutory or Contractual Aid	NH	Lamprey Wild & Scenic River, PL 90-542	\$200,000	Shea-Porter	Gregg; Shaheen
National Park Service	Statutory or Contractual Aid	VT, NY	Hudson-Fulton-Champlain Quadricentennial, PL 110-229	\$750,000	Hinckey	Leahy
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	AL	Historic Fort Payne Coal and Iron Building Rehabilitation	\$150,000	Aderholt	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	AL	Historic Montevallo Main Hall Renovation	\$150,000	Bachus; Aderholt	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	AL	Swayne Hall, Talladega	\$490,000	Rogers (AL)	Sessions
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	CA	Mission Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara	\$650,000		Feinstein
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	CO	Shenandoah-Dives Mill National Historic Landmark	\$150,000	Salazar	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	CT	Harriet Beecher Stowe Center Preservation	\$150,000	Larson (CT)	Dodd; Lieberman
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	CT	Sterling Opera House Renovation	\$150,000	DeLauro	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	FL	Freedom Tower, Miami	\$500,000		Martinez
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	GA	Morehouse College (King papers)	\$200,000	Marshall; Lewis (GA)	Isakson
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	IA	Des Moines Art Center, Des Moines	\$200,000		Harkin
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	ID	Historic Old Pen Site Stabilization Project	\$150,000	Simpson	Crapo; Risch
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	IL	Repairs to Historic Chicago Landmark	\$50,000	Davis (IL)	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	KS	Colonial Fox Theater, Pittsburg	\$500,000		Brownback; Roberts
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	KY	Judge Joseph Holt House Historic Restoration	\$150,000	Guthrie	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	MA	Hancock Shaker Village Restoration	\$150,000	Olver	Kennedy; Kerry
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	MA	Stockbridge Mission House Renovation	\$117,000	Olver	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	MD	Harmony Hall Restoration	\$100,000	Hoyer	

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	MI	Big Sable Lighthouse, Ludington	\$100,000		Levin; Stabenow
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	MN	CSPS Sokol Hall	\$150,000	McCollum	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	MN	Restoration of Historic Coe Mansion	\$150,000	Elison	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	MS	Madison County Courthouse	\$500,000		Cochran; Wicker
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	MS	Medgar Evers site, Jackson	\$250,000		Cochran
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	MT	City of Bozeman Main Street Historic District Restoration	\$150,000	Rehberg	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	NC	Bellamy Mansion Slave Quarters	\$100,000	McIntyre	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	NJ	Georgian Court Mansion Restoration	\$200,000	Smith (NJ); Rothman (NJ)	Lautenberg; Menendez
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	NJ	South Orange Village Hall Restoration	\$150,000	Payne; Pascrell	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	NV	Lincoln County Courthouse, Pioche	\$200,000		Reid
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	NY	Historic Owego Municipal Building Rehabilitation	\$150,000	Hinchey	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	NY	Hudson River Sloop Clearwater Restoration	\$150,000	Hinchey	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	NY	Richardson Olmsted Complex, Buffalo	\$200,000	Higgins	Gillibrand; Schumer
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	NY	Strand Theater, Plattsburgh	\$200,000		Gillibrand; Schumer
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	NY	Tarrytown Music Hall Restoration	\$150,000	Lowey	

National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	NY	Village Park Historic Preservation	\$150,000	McHugh	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	OR	Wallowa County Courthouse, Enterprise	\$200,000		Merkley; Wyden
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	PA	Hatborough Union Library Restoration	\$38,000	Schwartz	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	PA	Saylor Cement Kilns Historic Preservation	\$200,000	Dent	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	PR	San Juan North Portal Restoration	\$150,000	Pierluisi	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	RI	Warwick City Hall, Warwick	\$350,000	Langevin	Reed; Whitehouse
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	SC	Chesterfield Courthouse Restoration	\$150,000	Spratt	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	SC	Cypress Historic Meeting Compound	\$200,000	Brown (SC)	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	SC	Modjeska Simkins Home Restoration	\$150,000	Clyburn	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	SD	State Theater, Sioux Falls	\$200,000		Johnson
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	TN	Blount Mansion, Knoxville	\$250,000	Duncan	Alexander
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	UT	Historic Fisher Mansion Restoration Project	\$150,000	Bishop (UT)	Bennett; Hatch
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	VA	Belgian Building Preservation	\$150,000	Scott (VA)	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	VA	Chesterfield County Historic Preservation	\$150,000	Forbes	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	VA	Fort Ward Park Preservation	\$75,000	Moran (VA)	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	WA	Schooner Adventuress Restoration	\$180,000	Dicks	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	WI	Bayfield Historic Courthouse Restoration	\$150,000	Obey	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	WV	Capitol Theater, Wheeling	\$200,000		Byrd
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	WV	Claymont Court Historic Site Restoration	\$150,000	Capito	
National Park Service	Save America's Treasures	WV	Cottrill's Opera House Restoration	\$150,000	Molohan	

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
National Park Service	Construction	AZ	Saguaro National Park Trail Improvements	\$398,000	Giffords	
National Park Service	Construction	CA	Golden Gate National Recreation Area (Alcatraz)	\$1,400,000		Feinstein
National Park Service	Construction	CA	Joshua Tree National Park Visitor Center	\$300,000	Lewis (CA)	
National Park Service	Construction	CA	Manzanar National Historical Site	\$900,000		Feinstein
National Park Service	Construction	DC	African American Civil War Memorial, security enhancements	\$220,000	Norton	
National Park Service	Construction	FL	Castillo de San Marcos National Monument	\$500,000	Mica	
National Park Service	Construction	IN	Restore Good Fellow Lodge, Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore	\$1,000,000	Visclosky	
National Park Service	Construction	MA	New Bedford Whaling National Historical Park (Bourne bldg)	\$1,500,000		Kennedy; Kerry
National Park Service	Construction	MI	Keweenaw National Historical Park (Quincy Smelting Works)	\$1,000,000		Levin
National Park Service	Construction	MI	Keweenaw National Historical Park Union Building	\$1,380,000	Stupak	Levin; Stabenow
National Park Service	Construction	NJ	Gateway NRA, Sandy Hook Repair of Historic Gun Batteries	\$800,000	Pallone	
National Park Service	Construction	NJ	Paterson Great Falls National Historic Park	\$500,000	Pascrell	
National Park Service	Construction	NY	Fire Island Land Trust Historic Restoration	\$250,000	Israel; Bishop (NY)	

National Park Service	Construction	OH	Cuyahoga Valley National Park Site and Structure Rehabilitation Program	\$500,000	LaTourette; Sutton	
National Park Service	Construction	OK	Chickasaw National Recreation Area Visitor Center	\$500,000	Cole	
National Park Service	Construction	OR	Crater Lake Visitor Education Center	\$350,000	Walden; Blumenauer	Merkley; Wyden
National Park Service	Construction	PA	Flight 93 National Memorial	\$725,000	Shuster	Specter
National Park Service	Construction	PA	Valley Forge National Park Visitor Center	\$325,000	Sestak	
National Park Service	Construction	TN	Great Smoky Mountains National Park (curatorial facility)	\$1,500,000		Alexander
National Park Service	Construction	TN	Great Smoky Mountains National Park (Tremont/Cosby water)	\$1,940,000		Alexander; Corker
National Park Service	Construction	TN	Moccasin Bend National Archeological District	\$500,000	Wamp	
National Park Service	Construction	UT	Timpanogos Cave National Monument Interpretive Visitors Center	\$1,600,000	Matheson	Bennett
National Park Service	Construction	UT	Utah Public Lands Artifact Preservation Act, PL 107-329	\$1,000,000		Bennett; Hatch
National Park Service	Construction	VA	Fort Hunt NCO Quarters Restoration	\$250,000	Moran (VA)	
National Park Service	Construction	WI	Apostle Islands Lighthouse Restoration	\$2,000,000	Obey	
National Park Service	Construction	WI	Ice Age National Scenic Trail	\$265,000	Obey	
National Park Service	Construction	WV	Harpers Ferry National Historical Park	\$275,000		Byrd
National Park Service	Construction	WV	New River Gorge National River	\$1,025,000		Byrd
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	AL	Little River Canyon National Preserve	\$1,500,000	Rogers (AL)	Sessions

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Mojave National Preserve, Joshua Tree National Park, Death Valley National Park	\$1,000,000		Feinstein
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area	\$1,000,000	Berman; Sherman	Feinstein
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	KY	Cumberland Gap National Historical Park, Fern Lake	\$1,150,000	Rogers (KY)	McConnell
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	MI	Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore	\$1,000,000		Levin; Stabenow
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	NH	Appalachian National Scenic Trail	\$1,375,000	Hodes	Gregg; Shaheen
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	NM	Petroglyph National Monument	\$1,000,000	Heinrich	Bingaman
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	OH	Cuyahoga Valley National Park	\$4,000,000	Sutton; LaTourette; Ryan (OH)	Brown; Voinovich
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	PA	Appalachian National Scenic Trail	\$1,820,000		Specter
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	TN	Shiloh National Military Park	\$250,000		Alexander; Corker
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	TX	Fort Davis National Historic Site	\$500,000	Rodriguez	Hutchison
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	VA	Fredericksburg and Spotsylvania National Military Park, Binns property	\$200,000	Wittman	
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	VT	Appalachian National Scenic Trail	\$625,000		Leahy
National Park Service	Land Acquisition	WI	Ice Age National Scenic Trail	\$2,000,000	Baldwin; Obey	

U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	AR	South Arkansas Sparta Aquifer Recovery Initiative	\$300,000	Ross	
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	AZ, NM	U.S.—Mexico Transboundary Aquifer Assessment Program	\$1,000,000	Grijalva; Pastor (AZ)	Bingaman
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	CA	San Diego formation mapping	\$900,000		Feinstein
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	CA	San Francisco Bay Salt Ponds restoration monitoring/research	\$1,000,000	Stark; Lofgren; Zee; Honda; Pelosi	Feinstein
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	HI	Volcano research/monitoring partnership UH-Manoa/HVO	\$250,000		Inouye
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	HI	Water resources monitoring, investigations and research	\$500,000		Inouye; Akaka
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	IL	McHenry County groundwater and stormwater protection	\$280,000	Manzullo	
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	LA	Long Term Estuary Assessment Group support	\$400,000		Landrieu
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	MA	Conte Anadromous Fish Research Lab	\$220,000	Olver	
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	MD	Coastal plain & fractured rock study	\$500,000	Cummings	Cardin; Mukulski
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	NV	Nye County minerals assessment project	\$650,000		Reid
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	VT	Lake Champlain Basin streamflow monitoring/toxic studies	\$346,000		Leahy
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	WA	Columbia River Basin, design/test monitoring protocols-invasive species	\$350,000		Murray
U.S. Geological Survey	Surveys, Investigations & Research	WA	Hood Canal Dissolved Oxygen Study	\$200,000	Dicks	
Minerals Management Service	Royalty and Offshore Minerals Management	MS	Center for Marine Resources and Environmental Technology	\$900,000	Childers	Cochran; Wicker

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
Bureau of Indian Affairs	Operation of Indian Programs	Multi	Upper Columbia United Tribes, resource management program	\$350,000	McMorris Rodgers	Cantwell; Crapo; Murray; Risch
Bureau of Indian Affairs	Operation of Indian Programs	ND	United Tribes Technical College	\$400,000	Pomeroy	Conrad; Dorgan
Bureau of Indian Affairs	Operation of Indian Programs	NM	Navajo Technical College	\$200,000		Bingaman; Udall, Tom
Bureau of Indian Affairs	Operation of Indian Programs	SD	Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, prairie management program	\$500,000	Herseth Sandlin	Johnson
Insular Affairs	Assistance to Territories	VI	Critical Wastewater System Repairs and Improvements	\$900,000	Christensen	
Environmental Protection Agency	Science & Technology	CO	Water Research Foundation	\$1,700,000	Kissell; Rehberg; Moran (VA)	Kennedy; Kerry; Reid
Environmental Protection Agency	Science & Technology	GA	Consortium for Plant Biotechnology Research	\$1,000,000	Towns; Rogers (MI); Rehberg; Stupak; Conyers; Price (NC); Abercrombie; Lewis (GA); Rogers (KY)	Hagan; Levin; McConnell; Murray; Stabenow
Environmental Protection Agency	Science & Technology	TX	Southwest Consortium for Environmental Research and Policy (SCERP)	\$1,000,000	Reyes; Pastor (AZ)	Bingaman; Udall, Tom
Environmental Protection Agency	Science & Technology	VA	Water Environment Research Foundation	\$2,000,000	Price (NC); Moran (VA)	Begich; Bond; Brown; Burris; Cardin; Collins; Kennedy; Kohl; Lautenberg; Schumer; Snowe



Environmental Protection Agency	Environmental Programs and Management	DC	Rural Community Assistance Partnership	\$2,500,000	Olver; Rogers (KY)	Baucus; Begich; Bingham; Brown; Collins; Crapo; Johanns; Johnson; Klobuchar; Leahy; Lincoln; Merkley; Risch; Rockefeller; Shaheen; Tester; Udall; Mark; Udall, Tom; Wyden
Environmental Protection Agency	Environmental Programs and Management	DC	Water Systems Council Wellcare Program	\$700,000	Langevin; Latham; Arcuri; Boswell	Baucus; Bingham; Grassley; Harkin; Reed; Whitehouse
Environmental Protection Agency	Environmental Programs and Management	OK	National Rural Water Association	\$13,000,000	Etheridge; Jones; Kennedy	Barrasso; Bingham; Bond; Burr; Cantwell; Casey; Chambliss; Collins; Conrad; Corker; Crapo; Dorgan; Durbin; Enzi; Gribble; Graham; Grassley; Hagan; Hatch; Inhofe; Isakson; Johanns; Johnson; Kennedy; Kerry; Leahy; Levin; Lieberman; Lincoln; Menendez; Pryor; Reed; Risch; Roberts; Sanders; Schumer; Shaheen; Snowe; Specter; Stabenow; Thune; Udall; Mark; Udall, Tom; Vitter; Webb; Whitehouse; Wicker; Wyden

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
Environmental Protection Agency	Environmental Programs and Management	VA	National Biosolids Partnership	\$750,000	Moran (VA)	
Environmental Protection Agency	Environmental Programs and Management	WA	Puget Sound Ecosystem Research Initiative at the University of Washington	\$4,000,000	Smith (WA): McDermott; Dicks; Baird	
Environmental Protection Agency	Buildings and Facilities	NV	Las Vegas Facilities Consolidation Study	\$500,000		Reid
Environmental Protection Agency	Other	CA	Hunters Point Naval Shipyard environmental cleanup	\$8,000,000		Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG—Other	CA	Emissions Reduction Grants to the South Coast Air Quality Management District and San Joaquin Air Pollution Control District	\$10,000,000	Cardoza; McNeney; Costa	Boxer; Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG—Other	TX	The cities of El Paso and Brownsville for water and wastewater infrastructure	\$2,500,000	Reyes; Ortiz	Cornyn; Hutchinson
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AK	City of Buckland for construction of a piped water and sewer system	\$500,000		Begich; Murkowski
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AK	City of Homer for planning and design of a new drinking water system	\$500,000		Murkowski
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AK	City of Kodiak for water and sewer improvements	\$300,000	Young (AK)	Begich
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	AK	City of Soldotna for a water and wastewater improvements project	\$500,000		Begich; Murkowski

Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	AK	Municipality of Skagway for a wastewater treatment facility expansion project	\$300,000		Begich, Murkowski
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	AL	City of Brewton for a wastewater improvements project	\$300,000		Sessions
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	AL	East Alabama Water Sewer and Fire Protection District for wastewater system planning	\$275,000	Rogers (AL)	Sessions
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	AL	Fayette County for the construction of a drinking water reservoir	\$6,000,000	Aderholt	Shelby
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	AL	The City of Enterprise for the Enterprise Southeast lagoon upgrade project	\$500,000	Bright	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	AL	The City of Sulligent for a water well and storage tank project	\$500,000	Aderholt	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	AL	Washington County Commission for the Washington County sanitary sewer extension	\$500,000	Bonner	Sessions
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	AR	Cabot Waterworks for wastewater improvements	\$500,000	Berry	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	AR	City of Dardanelle for water treatment plant expansion	\$300,000		Lincoln; Pryor
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	AR	City of Forrest City for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Lincoln; Pryor
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	AR	City of Warren for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Lincoln; Pryor
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	AR	Fort Chaffee Redevelopment Authority for water system improvements	\$300,000		Lincoln; Pryor

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	AR	The City of Fayetteville for Elkins Outfall Sewer Line sewer replacement	\$500,000	Boozman	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	AZ	City of Safford for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Kyl
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	AZ	The Pascua Yacqui Tribe for the master drainage plan	\$1,000,000	Pastor (AZ); Grijalva	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	AZ	The Town of Chino Valley for water and wastewater infrastructure	\$500,000	Kirkpatrick (AZ)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	AZ	The Town of Miami for sewer collection system upgrades	\$220,000	Pastor (AZ)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	CA	Big Bear Department of Water and Power for Big Bear Lake water system infrastructure improvements	\$750,000	Lewis (CA)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	CA	Carlsbad for Vista-Carlsbad joint wastewater project	\$500,000	Bilbray	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	CA	City of East Palo Alto for the East Palo Alto water supply and stormwater management improvements	\$875,000	Eshoo	Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	CA	City of Eureka for the Martin Slough interceptor project	\$875,000	Thompson (CA)	Boxer; Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	CA	City of Galt for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$500,000	Lungren, Dan	

Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	CA	City of Rialto for Inland empire groundwater remediation and drinking water system improvements	\$300,000	Baca	Boxer
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	CA	City of Santa Monica for the Santa Monica water system reliability project	\$875,000		Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	CA	City of Westminster for Stormwater System improvements	\$875,000		Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	CA	Helix Water District for the El Monte Valley groundwater recharge project	\$500,000	Hunter	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	CA	Monterey County Water Resources Agency for the Lower Carmel River and Lagoon Floodplain restoration and enhancement project	\$500,000	Farr	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	CA	Municipal Water District of Orange County for water supply improvements	\$875,000		Boxer, Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	CA	Palmdale Water District for water main replacement	\$500,000	McKeon	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	CA	Shasta County for Elk Trail Water System Improvements	\$875,000		Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	CA	South Montebello Irrigation District for water system infrastructure improvements	\$550,000	Napolitano	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	CA	South Pasadena for Wilson Reservoir replacement	\$300,000	Schiff	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	CA	The City of Arcadia for the Arcadia and Sierra Madre joint water infrastructure project	\$500,000	Dreier	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	CA	The City of Bell for Sewer Infrastructure Modernization	\$675,000	Roybal-Allard	

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	CA	The City of Calimesa for storm drain improvements	\$500,000	Lewis (CA)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	CA	The City of Cathedral City for South City Improvement District groundwater protection	\$500,000	Bono Mack	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	CA	The City of Ceres for East Service Road sanitary sewer extension	\$500,000	Cardoza	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	CA	The City of Culver City for storm water improvements	\$500,000	Watson	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	CA	The City of Los Angeles for the Elysian Park water recycling project	\$500,000	Becerra	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	CA	The City of Ridgecrest for wastewater treatment facility infrastructure	\$400,000	McCarthy (CA)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	CA	The City of San Jose for the San Jose Redevelopment Area sewer main rehabilitation	\$300,000	Honda; Lofgren, Zoe	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	CA	The City of San Juan Capistrano for ground water recovery plant expansion and regional distribution facility	\$625,000	Calvert	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	CA	The City of Temple City for storm drain installation	\$200,000	Schiff	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	CA	The City of Vallejo for Mare Island sanitary sewer and storm drain improvements	\$750,000	Miller, George	

Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	CA	Western Municipal Water District for Arlington Desalter Biondenitrification	\$625,000	Calvert	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	CO	City of Monte Vista for wastewater facility consolidation	\$300,000		Bennet
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	CO	City of Rifle for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000	Salazar	Udall, Mark
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	CT	City of Norwich for wastewater treatment facility improvements	\$300,000	Courtney	Dodd; Lieberman
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	CT	The Mattabasset District for wastewater treatment facility upgrades	\$500,000	Larson (CT)	Lieberman
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	CT	The Town of Prospect for drinking water infrastructure	\$495,000	DeLauro	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	CT	Town of East Lyme for drinking water system improvements	\$300,000	Courtney	Dodd
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	DE	New Castle County for Turkey Run interceptor improvements	\$300,000	Castle	Carper; Kaufman
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	DE	Sussex County Council for the Johnson's Corner wastewater improvement project	\$300,000	Castle	Carper; Kaufman
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	FL	City of West Palm Beach for water infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Klein (FL); Wexler	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	FL	Jacksonville Water and Sewer Expansion Authority for septic tank replacement	\$500,000	Crenshaw	Martinez
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	FL	Santa Rosa County for Navarre Beach water clarifier	\$220,000	Miller (FL)	Nelson, Bill
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	FL	South Seminole and North Orange County Wastewater Transmission Authority for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Kosmas; Mica	Martinez

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	FL	St. Johns River Water Management District for the East-Central Florida Integrated Water Resources Project	\$300,000	Posey	Martinez; Nelson, Bill
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	FL	The City of Clearwater for wastewater treatment facility improvements	\$500,000	Young (FL); Bilirakis	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	FL	The City of Homestead for water utility upgrades	\$500,000	Diaz-Balart, Mario	Nelson, Bill
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	FL	The City of Opa-Locka Public Works Division for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Meek (FL)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	FL	The City of Quincy for inflow and infiltration improvements	\$440,000	Boyd	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	FL	The City of Sunrise for a water reclamation system	\$1,000,000	Wasserman Schultz; Hastings (FL)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	FL	City of Tampa for reclaimed water expansion project	\$300,000		Martinez; Nelson, Bill
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	GA	City of Rome for construction of a new drinking water transmission main	\$300,000		Isakson
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	GA	Fort Valley Utility Commission for wastewater reclamation facility	\$500,000	Bishop (GA)	



Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	GA	Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District for multiple water and wastewater system improvements	\$500,000	Scott (GA); Kingston; Deal; Johnson (GA); Gingrey (GA); Lewis (GA)	Chambliss
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	GA	The City of Atlanta for sewer system infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Lewis (GA); Johnson (GA); Bishop (GA); Barrow; Scott (GA)	Chambliss; Isakson
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	GA	The City of Crawfordville for the sewer rehabilitation	\$500,000	Barrow	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	GA	The City of Kingsland for water and sewer infrastructure	\$500,000	Kingston	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	GU	Guam Waterworks Authority for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$600,000	Bordallo	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	HI	County of Kauai for the Waimea Wastewater Treatment Plant expansion project	\$1,000,000	Abercrombie; Hirono	Inouye
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	HI	Hawaii County for the Hawaii Ocean View Estates drinking water source development project	\$220,000		Inouye
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	HI	Hawaii County for the Kapulea drinking water source development project	\$739,750		Inouye
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	HI	Maui County for infrastructure improvements at the Kanole Water Treatment Plant	\$1,000,000		Inouye
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	HI	Maui County for Kaa Force main replacement	\$1,000,000		Inouye
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	IA	City of Boone for wastewater and stormwater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Grassley; Harkin
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	IA	City of Clinton for construction of a new wastewater treatment facility	\$300,000	Braley (IA)	Grassley; Harkin

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IA	City of Keokuk for a stormwater and sewer separation project	\$300,000		Grassley; Harkin
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IA	City of Ottumwa for wastewater and stormwater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000	Loeb sack	Harkin
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IA	The City of Garner for wastewater treatment infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Latham	Grassley
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	ID	City of American Falls for construction of a wastewater treatment facility	\$300,000	Simpson	Crapo; Risch
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	ID	Granite Reeder Water and Sewer District for construction of a sewage collection system	\$300,000		Crapo; Risch
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	ID	The City of Buhl for wastewater treatment infrastructure	\$750,000	Simpson	Crapo; Risch
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IL	City of Decatur for water infrastructure improvements	\$250,000		Durbin
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IL	City of Lexington for water infrastructure improvements	\$100,000		Durbin
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IL	City of Peoria for sewer and stormwater improvements	\$300,000	Schock	Burris
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	IL	Naperville Heritage Society, Naperville, for stormwater management at Naper Settlement	\$500,000	Biggett	

Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	IL	IL	Sharksburg and Neighboring Area Water System for infrastructure	\$500,000	Shinkus	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	IL	IL	The Village of Buckner for a water storage tank	\$352,000	Costello	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	IL	IL	The Village of Carol Stream for Tubeway Drive storm water lift station rehabilitation	\$192,500	Roskam	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	IL	IL	The Village of Hopedale for wastewater treatment facility upgrades	\$180,000	Schock	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	IL	IL	The Village of Johnsburg for wastewater treatment infrastructure	\$500,000	Bean	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	IL	IL	The Village of Park Forest for sanitary sewer infrastructure	\$500,000	Jackson (IL)	Burris
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	IL	IL	Will County for Ridgewood water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$550,000	Halvorson	Burris; Durbin
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	IL	IL	Macoupin County for water infrastructure improvements	\$250,000		Durbin
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	IN	IN	City of Tipton for drinking water and wastewater infrastructure upgrades project	\$300,000		Lugar
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	IN	IN	Clinton County Government for the Eastside Regional stormwater improvements	\$500,000	Buyer	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	IN	IN	The City of Portage for water infrastructure improvements	\$800,000	Visclosky	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	IN	IN	Wadesville-Blairsville Regional Sewer District for the sanitary sewer system project	\$500,000	Ellsworth	

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	KS	City of Buhler for construction of an adsorption media drinking water treatment facility	\$600,000		Brownback
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	KS	City of Iola for drinking water and wastewater pipe improvements project	\$300,000		Roberts
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	KS	City of Junction City for construction of a drinking water project	\$250,000	Moran (KS)	Brownback; Roberts
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	KS	City of Marion for construction of a wastewater project	\$150,000		Brownback
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	KS	City of Russell for replacement of cast iron drinking water lines	\$400,000		Brownback
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	KS	Pottawatomie County for construction of a main pump wastewater station	\$400,000		Brownback
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	KS	The City of DeSoto for water treatment infrastructure improvements at the Sunflower Army Ammunition Plant	\$500,000	Moore (KS)	Roberts
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	KS	The City of Rose Hill for the Berlin Drainage Project	\$500,000	Tiahrt	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	KY	City of Burgin for upgrades to the drinking water distribution system	\$340,000		McConnell
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	KY	City of Eubank for a water line replacement project	\$200,000		Bunning

Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	KY	City of Franklin for a sewer line replacement project	\$100,000		Bunning
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	KY	City of Vine Grove for construction of additional sewer lines	\$840,000		McConnell
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	KY	Fleming County for a sewer collection expansion project	\$620,000		Bunning; McConnell
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	KY	Franklin County Fiscal Court for the Farmdale Area wastewater treatment plant	\$900,000	Chandler	Bunning
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	KY	Owensboro-Davess County Regional Water Resource Agency for the Locust Hills Sub-division sewer installation project	\$220,000	Guthrie	Bunning
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	KY	Perry County Sanitation District No. 1 for wastewater treatment infrastructure	\$500,000	Rogers (KY)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	KY	The City of Paris for combined utilities water plan improvements	\$500,000	Chandler	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	KY	The City of Tompkinsville for a water treatment plant backwash lagoon project	\$189,750	Whitfield	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	KY	The City of Wurtland for the Wurtland/Greenup/Lloyd regional sewer project	\$500,000	Davis (KY)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	LA	City of Baton Rouge for East Baton Rouge Parish wastewater system improvements	\$300,000		Landrieu; Vitter
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	LA	City of Lake Charles for wastewater system improvements	\$300,000		Landrieu
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	LA	Lafayette Utilities System for drinking water and wastewater line relocations and upgrades project	\$300,000		Landrieu; Vitter

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	LA	St. Tammany Parish for Bayou Chinchuba Regional water retention	\$500,000	Scalise	Landrieu
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	LA	The City of Monroe for a wastewater treatment system	\$500,000	Alexander	Landrieu; Vitter
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	LA	City of Grambling for drinking water system improvements	\$300,000		Landrieu
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	MA	City of Gloucester for Essex Avenue Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrade	\$500,000	Tierney	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	MA	City of Marlborough for infrastructure upgrades at the Westerly Wastewater Treatment Facility	\$300,000	McGovern	Kennedy; Kerry
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	MA	Pioneer Valley Planning Commission for the Connecticut River CSO	\$871,500	Oliver; Neal	Kennedy; Kerry
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	MA	The Cities of Fall River and New Bedford and the Towns of Acushnet, Mansfield, Norton, and Foxboro for Bristol County CSO upgrades	\$750,000	Frank (MA); McGovern	Kennedy; Kerry
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	MA	The City of Malden for citywide lead water service replacement	\$500,000	Markey (MA)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	MD	City of Frostburg for combined sewer overflow improvements	\$300,000		Mikulski
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	MD	Maryland Department of the Environment for Salisbury cast iron distribution pipe	\$500,000	Kratovil	

Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	MD	The City of Rockville for sanitary sewer rehabilitation	\$750,000	Van Hollen	Cardin
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	MD	The Town of Chesapeake Beach for WWTP Enhanced Nutrient Removal Upgrade and Expansion	\$700,000	Hoyer	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	MD, DC, VA	Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission (MD), Washington Area Sewer Authority (DC), and Fairfax County Public Works Department (VA) for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements at the Blue Plains Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$1,200,000	Edwards (MD); Ruppensberger	Cardin; Mikulski; Warner
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	ME	City of Portland for a combined sewer overflow and storm water runoff improvements project	\$1,250,000	Pingree (ME)	Collins
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	ME	Limestone Water and Sewer District for design and construction of new wastewater pipes and pumping stations	\$550,000	Michaud	Collins; Snowe
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	ME	The Town of Machias for sewer system upgrades	\$500,000	Michaud	Snowe
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	MI	City of Port Huron for combined sewer overflow improvements	\$300,000		Levin; Stabenow
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	MI	Lansing Board of Water & Light for Lansing energy efficient drinking water system	\$500,000	Rogers (MI)	Levin; Stabenow
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	MI	Oakland/Macomb County Drain Drainage District for interceptor improvements	\$500,000	Miller (MI); Levin	Levin; Stabenow
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	MI	The City of Detroit DEGC for East Riverfront wastewater infrastructure	\$500,000	Kilpatrick (MI)	Levin; Stabenow
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	MI	The City of Grand Rapids for Eastside CSO separation	\$500,000	Ehlers	

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MI	Wayne County for the Rouge River Wet Weather Demonstration Project	\$500,000	Dingell; Peters	Levin; Stabenow
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MN	City of Faribault for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$150,000		Klobuchar
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MN	City of St. Cloud for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Klobuchar
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MN	Grand Rapids Public Utilities Commission for wastewater facilities improvements	\$1,000,000	Oberstar	Klobuchar
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MN	South Bend Township for water and sewer infrastructure	\$500,000	Walz	Klobuchar
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MN	The City of Maple Plain for water treatment facility infrastructure	\$500,000	Paulsen	Klobuchar
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MO	City of Lee's Summit for a wastewater infrastructure improvements project	\$1,500,000		Bond
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MO	City of New Haven for consolidation and replacement of wastewater pump stations	\$300,000		Bond
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MO	PWSD #1 of McDonald County for wastewater infrastructure	\$465,000	Blunt	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MO	The City of East Prairie for stormwater and sewer infrastructure	\$200,000	Emerson	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	MO	The City of Saint Joseph for stormwater and wastewater infrastructure	\$500,000	Graves	



Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	MS	Black Bayou Water Association for drinking water improvements	\$250,000	Thompson (MS)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	MS	City of Batesville for design and construction of wastewater improvements projects	\$275,000		Cochran
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	MS	City of Carthage for a wastewater improvements and rehabilitation project	\$275,000		Cochran; Wicker
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	MS	City of Pearl for rehabilitation of wastewater gravity mains	\$277,000		Cochran
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	MS	City of Ridgeland for construction of a new potable water well	\$200,000		Cochran; Wicker
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	MS	Hinds County Board of Supervisors for planning and design of a centralized wastewater system	\$300,000		Cochran; Wicker
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	MS	Leflore County Board of Supervisors for a stormwater project	\$143,000	Thompson (MS)	Cochran
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	MS	Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians for rehabilitation of wastewater pump stations	\$380,000		Cochran; Wicker
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	MS	Tunica County Utility District for construction of a wastewater treatment facility	\$400,000		Cochran; Wicker
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	MT	Butte-Silver Bow Consolidated Government for drinking water improvements for the City of Butte	\$500,000	Rehberg	Baucus; Tester
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	MT	City of Bozeman for water treatment facility improvements	\$500,000	Rehberg	Baucus; Tester
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	MT	City of Missoula for wastewater facility improvements	\$200,000	Rehberg	Tester

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	MT	Crow Tribe in Crow Agency for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Baucus
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	MT	Em-Kayan County Water and Sewer District for infrastructure improvements	\$290,600	Rehberg	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	NC	City of Raleigh Public Utilities Department for the Dempsey E. Benton Water Treatment Plant Backwash Waste Facility	\$500,000	Miller (NC); Price (NC)	Hagan
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	NC	Greenville Utilities Commission for construction of a wastewater pumping station	\$300,000		Burr; Hagan
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	NC	McDowell County for water system improvements	\$500,000	Shuler	Burr
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	NC	Town of Ahsokie for wastewater system improvements	\$300,000	Butterfield	Hagan
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	NC	Town of Cary Public Works and Utilities Department for Western Wake regional wastewater management facility	\$1,000,000	Price (NC); Miller (NC)	Burr; Hagan
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	ND	City of Valley City for drinking water system improvements	\$400,000	Pomeroy	Conrad; Dorgan
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	ND	City of Washburn for drinking water treatment facility upgrades	\$400,000	Pomeroy	Conrad; Dorgan
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	ND	Stutsman Rural Water District, Stutsman County for drinking water system improvements	\$400,000	Pomeroy	Conrad; Dorgan

Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NE	City of Plattsburgh for combined sewer overflow improvements	\$1,200,000		Nelson, Ben
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NE	The City of Omaha for CSO controls	\$500,000	Terry	Nelson, Ben
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NH	City of Berlin for replacement and upgrades of water lines and mains	\$450,000		Gregg
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NH	City of Keene for a wastewater treatment facility upgrades project	\$300,000		Gregg; Shaheen
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NH	City of Manchester for the Phase II combined sewer overflow abatement program	\$450,000		Gregg
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NH	City of Nashua for combined sewer overflow improvements	\$300,000		Shaheen
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NH	Conway Village Fire District for water and wastewater treatment extension project	\$300,000		Gregg
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NH	Town of Winchester for a wastewater treatment facility upgrades project	\$300,000		Gregg
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NJ	City of Hackensack for the Clay Street area combined sewer overflow improvement project	\$300,000	Rothman (NJ)	Lautenberg; Menendez
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NJ	City of New Brunswick for water pumping station improvements	\$300,000		Lautenberg; Menendez
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NJ	City of Orange Township for drinking water system improvements	\$300,000	Payne	Lautenberg; Menendez
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NJ	City of Perth Amboy for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000	Sires	Lautenberg; Menendez
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NJ	Monmouth County for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Holt	Lautenberg; Menendez

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	NJ	Passaic Valley Sewerage Commission for a Combined Sewage Overflow Project	\$750,000	Pasciulli; Sires; Payne; Rothman (NJ)	Laufenberg; Menendez
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	NJ	The Borough of Calicon for Railroad Ave./ Main St. stormwater improvements	\$500,000	Lance	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	NJ	The Borough of Fort Lee for CSO abatement upgrades	\$500,000	Rothman (NJ)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	NJ	The Borough of Hopatcong for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Frelinghuysen	Laufenberg; Menendez
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	NM	The Pueblo of San Felipe for wastewater infrastructure	\$400,000	Lujan	Udall, Tom; Bingaman
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	NM	City of Carlsbad for a water reuse project	\$300,000	Teague	Bingaman; Udall, Tom
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	NM	City of Portales for wastewater treatment plant improvements	\$300,000		Bingaman; Udall, Tom
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	NV	City of Boulder City for water infrastructure improvements	\$290,000		Reid
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	NV	City of Carson City for the Marlette-Hobart water system improvements	\$350,000		Ensign; Reid
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	NV	City of Fernley for a wastewater infrastructure project	\$300,000	Heller	Ensign; Reid
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	NV	Las Vegas Paiute Tribe for water infrastructure improvements	\$550,000		Reid

Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NY	Lyon County Utilities for wastewater infrastructure improvements at Mound House	\$500,000	Heller	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NY	Gowanus Canal Conservancy for Gowanus Canal water quality improvement	\$300,000	Velazquez	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NY	Onondaga County for storm water infrastructure improvements	\$400,000	Maffei	Schumer
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NY	Rockland Co. Sewer District No. 1 for Ramapo wastewater treatment	\$500,000	Engel	Gillibrand
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NY	The City of Glen Cove for water and stormwater infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	King (NY)	Gillibrand
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NY	The City of New York, New York City Department of Parks and Recreation for Bronx River stormwater management	\$550,000	Serrano	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NY	The City of Rochester for the Highland Reservoir	\$600,000	Slaughter	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NY	The City of White Plains for a drinking water transmission line	\$500,000	Lowey	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NY	The Town of Pendleton for the replacement of grinder pumps	\$500,000	Lee (NY)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NY	The Town of Urbana for water and wastewater infrastructure	\$500,000	Massa	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NY	The Village of Saugerties for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$800,000	Hinchey	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NY	Westchester Joint Water Works for water main rehabilitation	\$517,000	Lowey	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NY	Nassau County for Bay Park STP outfall project	\$300,000	King (NY); McCarthy (NY)	Gillibrand; Schumer

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account		State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
						House	Senate
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	NY	Saratoga Hospital in Saratoga, NY for water supply improvements	\$300,000		Schumer
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	OH	Belmont County Commissioners for construction of sanitary sewer system	\$400,000	Wilson (OH)	Voinovich
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	OH	Butler County Commissioners for the Ross Township sewer project	\$500,000	Driehaus	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	OH	City of Fostoria for the planning, design and construction of a new sanitary pump station and force main	\$500,000	Latta	Voinovich
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	OH	City of Fremont for combined sewer overflow improvements	\$500,000		Brown; Voinovich
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	OH	Knox County for construction of wastewater collection and treatment system	\$400,000	Space	Voinovich
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	OH	Muskingum County Commissioners for Maysville sewer improvements	\$500,000	Space	Brown
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	OH	Ottawa County for the Ottawa County sanitary sewer project	\$500,000	Kaptur	Voinovich
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	OH	The City of Ashland for a waterline replacement project	\$500,000	Bocieri	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	OH	The City of Stow for sanitary sewer system infrastructure	\$500,000	LaTourette	

Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	OH	The City of Vandalia for airport access road water and sewer extensions	\$500,000	Turner	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	OH	The City of Worthington for sanitary sewer improvements	\$500,000	Kilroy	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	OH	The Village of Dillonvale for water meter replacement	\$100,000	Wilson (OH)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	OH	The Village of Tiro for a water distribution system	\$500,000	Latta	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	OH	Trumbull County Commissioners for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000	Ryan (OH)	Voinovich
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	OK	City of Enid for planning, design and construction of a wastewater treatment plant	\$300,000		Inhofe
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	OK	Lawton Ft. Sill Chamber of Commerce for Lawton Industrial Park Expansion for Water and Sewer Line Extensions	\$750,000	Cole	Inhofe
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	OR	City of Vernonia wastewater system improvements	\$300,000	Wu	Merkley, Wyden
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	OR	Umatilla County for Milton-Freewater stormwater system improvements	\$300,000	Walden	Merkley, Wyden
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	PA	Allegheny County Sanitary Authority for the Three Rivers Wet Weather Demonstration Program	\$225,000	Doyle	Casey, Specter
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	PA	Chester County Economic Development Council for the Upper Worthington Infrastructure Improvement Project	\$225,000		Specter
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	PA	Findlay Township Municipal Authority for water and sewer upgrades	\$500,000	Murphy, Tim	Casey, Specter

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	Haines Aronsburg Municipal Authority for water line interconnection	\$250,000	Thompson (PA)	Specter
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	Hegins-Hubley Authority for facility improvements	\$68,000	Holden	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	Lehigh County Authority for the Vera Cruz wastewater collection system	\$500,000	Dent	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	Municipal Authority of the City of Lower Burrell for Wildlife Lodge Road sanitary sewer extension	\$800,000	Murtha	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	Northampton, Bucks County Municipal Authority for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Murphy, Patrick	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	The City of Reading for wastewater infrastructure improvements at Fritz's Island	\$500,000	Gerlach	Casey; Specter
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	Thornbury Township for Cheyney University/Thornbury Township wastewater treatment facility improvements	\$250,000	Sestak	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	Tri-County Joint Municipal Authority for water treatment infrastructure	\$393,000	Murtha	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	PA	Westmoreland County Industrial Development Corporation for wastewater infrastructure replacement	\$300,000		Casey; Specter



Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	PA	York City Sewer Authority for wastewater facility infrastructure	\$225,000	Platts	Casey; Specter
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	RI	City of Cranston for wastewater infrastructure	\$400,000		Reed; Whitehouse
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	RI	City of East Providence for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$400,000		Reed; Whitehouse
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	RI	The City of Newport for UV disinfection system improvements	\$500,000	Kennedy	Reed; Whitehouse
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	RI	Town of North Providence for storm water infrastructure improvements	\$400,000	Kennedy	Reed; Whitehouse
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	SC	Laurens Commission of Public Works for construction of a pump station, water lines and water tank	\$300,000	Barrett (SC)	Graham
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	SC	The City of Rock Hill for the Phase II Hagins-Fewell Neighborhood Infrastructure Improvement Project	\$600,000	Spratt	Graham
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	SC	The Town of Coward for drinking water and wastewater improvements	\$500,000	Clyburn	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	SD	City of Elk Point for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$400,000		Johnson
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	SD	City of Lead for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$400,000		Johnson
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	SD	City of Rapid City for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$300,000	Herseht Sandlin	Johnson; Thune
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	SD	Brant Lake Sanitary District for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$400,000		Johnson

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account		State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
						House	Senate
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	TN	Campbell County Government for Campbell County waterline improvements	\$500,000	Davis (TN)	Alexander
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	TN	City of Tusculum for planning, design and construction of a wastewater treatment facility and collection system	\$500,000		Alexander; Corker
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	TN	Dickson County Water Authority for construction of a drinking water system	\$250,000	Tanner	Alexander
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	TN	Hancock County for a drinking water extension project	\$500,000		Alexander; Corker
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	TN	Springville Utility District of Henry County for drinking water system improvements	\$500,000	Tanner	Alexander; Corker
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	TN	The City of Harrogate for wastewater system improvements	\$500,000	Wamp	Corker
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	TX	City of Beaumont for a sewer line rehabilitation project	\$400,000		Hutchison
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	TX	City of Lubbock for a treated drinking water pipeline project	\$200,000		Cornyn; Hutchison
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	TX	City of Lufkin for design and construction of drinking water infrastructure, storage and treatment capacity	\$400,000	Gohmert	Cornyn; Hutchison
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	TX	City of Nacogdoches for construction of two detention ponds	\$500,000	Gohmert	Hutchison

Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	TX	City of Round Rock for planning, design and construction of a regional water supply system	\$300,000	Carter	Cornyn
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	TX	The City of Andrews for Andrews arsenic filtration pilot project	\$400,000	Conaway	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	TX	The City of Austin for Austin Sanitary Sewer Overflow Prevention	\$500,000	Smith (TX)	Cornyn
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	TX	The City of Baytown for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Poe (TX); Paul	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	TX	The City of Crystal City for water infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Rodriguez	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	TX	The City of Gainesville for the water treatment plant expansion project	\$500,000	Burgess	Cornyn
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	TX	The City of Joshua for the Joshua drainage project in Johnson County	\$1,000,000	Edwards (TX)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	TX	The City of La Vernia for drinking water infrastructure	\$500,000	Cuellar	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	TX	The City of Petersburg for elevated water tank replacement	\$439,000	Neugebauer	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	TX	The City of Temple for industrial park wastewater line and interceptor	\$500,000	Carter	Hutchison
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	UT	City of Lindon for channel improvements in a stormwater detention and management area	\$500,000		Bennett; Hatch
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	UT	City of Taylorsville for stormwater infrastructure improvements and upgrades	\$500,000		Bennett; Hatch

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account		State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
						House	Senate
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	UT	Clearfield City for a drinking water and wastewater improvements project	\$300,000		Bennett; Hatch
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	UT	Draper City for construction of a culinary reservoir	\$500,000		Bennett
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	UT	South Salt Lake City for a waterline replacement project	\$300,000	Matheson	Bennett; Hatch
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	UT	Weber County for the Weber County storm water master plan	\$500,000	Bishop (UT)	Bennett; Hatch
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	VA	Caroline County for the Dawn Community Decentralized Wastewater System project	\$300,000	Wittman	Warner; Webb
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	VA	Halifax County Service Authority for Maple Avenue wastewater plant upgrades	\$500,000	Periello	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	VA	The City of Alexandria for a water reuse project	\$500,000	Moran (VA)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	VA	The City of Alexandria, Arlington County for Four Mile Run infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Moran (VA)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	VA	The City of Falls Church for storm water infrastructure	\$500,000	Moran (VA)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	VA	Town of Onancock for wastewater treatment system improvements	\$300,000	Nye	Warner; Webb

Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	VT	Ferrisburgh Fire District #1 for water infrastructure improvements	\$300,000		Sanders
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	VT	Town of Guilford for drinking water system improvements	\$375,000		Leahy
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	VT	Village of Waterbury for wastewater system improvements	\$825,000		Leahy; Sanders
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	WA	City of Puyallup for wastewater pump and main force upgrades	\$500,000		Murray
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	WA	Cowlitz Public Utility District in Cowlitz County for replacement of wastewater infrastructure	\$400,000		Murray
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	WA	Jefferson County Department of Community Development for the Port Hadlock wastewater system	\$1,000,000	Dicks	Murray
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	WA	The City of Buckley for emergency intertie booster station	\$333,850	Reichert	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	WA	The City of Lacey for regional reclaimed water project	\$500,000	Smith (WA)	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	WA	The City of Rock Island for wastewater system infrastructure	\$500,000	Hastings (WA)	Cantwell
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	WA	The City of Seattle for the Magnuson Park Wetlands project	\$500,000	McDermott	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	WA	The City of South Bend for the Willapa Regional wastewater facilities project	\$500,000	Baird	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	WA	The City of Tacoma for the Tacoma downtown sustainable storm drainage system	\$1,500,000	Dicks	Cantwell

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account		State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
						House	Senate
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	WA	West Sound Utility District for the Port Orchard reclaimed water distribution system	\$165,000	Dicks	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	WA	Whatcom County for stormwater system improvements	\$300,000		Cantwell
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	WI	City of Janesville for wastewater treatment plant improvements	\$400,000		Kohl
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	WI	City of Waukesha Water Utility for drinking water system improvements	\$400,000		Kohl
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	WI	Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District for the replacement of a central sewer system	\$400,000		Kohl
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	WI	The City of Abbotstford for water treatment infrastructure	\$1,000,000	Obey	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	WI	The City of Park Falls for sewer infrastructure	\$550,000	Obey	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	WI	The Village of Athens for wastewater treatment facility upgrades	\$1,000,000	Obey	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	WI	The Village of Stetsonville for a public drinking water system	\$1,000,000	Obey	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	WV	Marshall County Sewerage District for wastewater infrastructure improvements	\$800,000		Byrd

Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	WW	Ohio River Valley Sanitation Commission of organic detection system improvements	\$1,200,000		Brown; Byrd
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	WW	The Town of Rowlesburg for drinking water infrastructure improvements	\$500,000	Molohan	
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	WW	Town of Moorefield for wastewater treatment facility upgrades	\$2,500,000	Capito	Byrd
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	AL	Fayette County for water system upgrades			Shelby
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	AL	The City of Thomasville for a water facility project			Shelby; Sessions
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	AL	The City of Thomasville for regional water supply distribution			Shelby; Sessions
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	AL	The City of Thomasville for the Southwest Alabama Rural/Municipal Water System			Shelby; Sessions
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	AL	The City of Thomasville for water infrastructure improvements			Shelby; Sessions
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	CA	San Bernardino Municipal Water Department for the Inland Empire alternative water supply project			Feinstein
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	IL	The City of Quincy for drinking water system improvements			Durbin
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	KS	City of Manhattan for a water mainline extension project			Brownback; Roberts
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	KS	The City of Manhattan for the Konza Water Main Extension project			Brownback; Roberts
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	Infrastructure	KS	The City of Prescott for a wastewater treatment plant construction			Brownback; Roberts

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	KS	The City of Wichita for a storm water technology pilot project			Brownback, Roberts
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	MO	Johnson County for a drinking water and wastewater infrastructure project			Bond
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	MO	Pemisot Consolidated Public Water Supply District 1 for a drinking water source protection infrastructure project			Bond
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	MO	PWSD #1 of McDonald County for wastewater infrastructure expansion		Blunt	Bond
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	MO	The Gravois Arm Sewer District for a wastewater infrastructure project			Bond
Environmental Protection Agency	STAG Water and Wastewater Project	SD	The City of Lake Norden for drinking water infrastructure improvements			Johnson
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	CA	Blue Mountain Community Renewable Council for the Calaveras Healthy Impact Product Solutions biomass utilization project	\$500,000		Feinstein
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	CA	Region 5, USFS for small forest products infrastructure assistance grants	\$2,500,000		Feinstein
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	MD	Baltimore Urban Forestry Watershed Demonstration Cooperative Project	\$150,000	Cummings	
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	MO	Missouri Forest Foundation for biomass demonstration project	\$300,000		Bond



US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	UT	Utah Department of Agriculture for a fuels-for-schools biomass utilization project	\$200,000		Bennett
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	VT	State of Vermont for the Vermont Wood Products Collaborative	\$500,000		Leahy
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	WA	Seattle-Tacoma Regional Urban Forestry Restoration Project	\$1,000,000	Dicks	
US Forest Service	State & Private Forestry	WI	Menomonee Valley Partners Inc; Urban Forestry Project	\$300,000	Moore (WI)	
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	ID	Sawtooth National Recreation Area trail construction and maintenance	\$1,200,000	Simpson	
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	NV	Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit for trail improvements by the Tahoe Rim Trail Association	\$100,000		Reid
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	SD	Relocation of the Northern Great Plains Interagency Dispatch Center on the Black Hills National Forest	\$1,900,000	Hereth Sandlin	Johnson
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	TN	Complete construction of a Cherokee National Forest work center	\$500,000		Alexander
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	TX	Redesign Ratcliff Lake Recreation Area and Campground	\$475,000	Barton (TX)	
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	WV	Facilities improvements on the Monongahela National Forest	\$595,000		Byrd
US Forest Service	Capital Improvement and Maintenance	WV	Road improvements for the Monongahela National Forest	\$1,521,000		Byrd
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Angeles National Forest, Shoemaker Canyon	\$500,000	McKeon	Feinstein
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CA	Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest	\$2,400,000		Feinstein

**INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	State	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
					House	Senate
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	CO	Uncompahgre National Forest	\$1,000,000	Salazar	Bennet; Udall; Mark
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	FL	Florida National Scenic Trail	\$500,000	Young (FL); Kosmas; Wexler; Mica; Meek (FL); Klein (FL); Diaz-Balart; Lincoln	
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	FL	Osceola National Forest, Pinhook Swamp Wildlife Corridor	\$500,000	Crenshaw	Nelson; Bill
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	ID	Sawtooth National Recreation Area, Piva Parcel	\$400,000	Simpson	
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	KY	Daniel Boone National Forest	\$900,000	Rogers (KY)	McConnell
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	MT	Lewis and Clark National Forest	\$1,500,000	Rehberg	Tester; Baucus
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	NC	Pisgah National Forest, Catawba Falls Access & Trail Acquisition	\$713,000	Price (NC); Shuler	Burr
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	NC	Uwharrie National Forest, Uwharrie Trail	\$500,000	Coble	
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	UT	Bonneville Shoreline Trail	\$1,500,000	Bishop (UT)	Bennett; Hatch
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	UT	Dixie National Forest	\$2,500,000	Matheson	Bennett; Hatch
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	WA	Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest—Wild Sky Wilderness	\$1,700,000	Larsen (WA); McDermott	Murray
US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	WV	Monongahela National Forest, Cummings Tract	\$985,000	Rahall	

US Forest Service	Land Acquisition	WV	Monongahela National Forest, Conservation Area	Dolly Sods	\$2,800,000	Mollohan; Capito	Byrd
US Forest Service	Wildland Fire Management	CA	San Bernardino Urban Youth Corp	Youth Conservation	\$100,000	Baca	
US Forest Service	Wildland Fire Management	NV	City of Reno to fund firefighting equipment for the wildland-urban interface		\$250,000		Reid

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
TITLE I - DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR				
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT				
Management of Lands and Resources				
Land Resources:				
Soil, water and air management.....	40,568	58,621	59,971	+18,403
Range management.....	71,881	73,493	74,193	+2,312
Forestry management.....	10,242	10,443	10,543	+301
Riparian management.....	22,127	22,518	22,718	+591
Cultural resources management.....	15,766	15,631	16,131	+365
Wild horse and burro management.....	40,613	67,486	63,986	+23,373
Subtotal.....	201,197	248,192	246,542	+45,345
Wildlife and Fisheries:				
Wildlife management.....	35,074	35,447	36,592	+1,518
Fisheries management.....	13,415	13,640	13,765	+350
Subtotal.....	48,489	49,087	50,357	+1,868
Threatened and endangered species.....	21,713	22,112	22,612	+899
Recreation Management:				
Wilderness management.....	17,881	18,221	18,421	+540
Recreation resources management.....	45,857	49,471	49,971	+4,114
Subtotal.....	63,738	67,692	68,392	+4,654
Energy and Minerals:				
Oil and gas.....	79,478	90,336	69,336	-10,142
Oil and gas permit processing fund.....	36,400	45,500	45,500	+9,100
(Pilot offices, Sec. 365, permit processing fund)...	(21,000)	---	(21,000)	---
Subtotal, Oil and gas, including permit processing fund.....	115,878	135,836	114,836	-1,042
Oil and gas offsetting permit processing fees.....	-36,400	-45,500	-45,500	-9,100
Coal management.....	9,533	9,739	9,739	+206
Other mineral resources.....	10,402	10,614	10,614	+212
Subtotal, Energy and minerals.....	99,413	110,689	89,689	-9,724
Realty and Ownership Management:				
Alaska conveyance.....	33,362	34,109	34,109	+727
Cadastral survey.....	12,904	12,463	12,863	-41
Land and realty management.....	33,779	50,660	50,660	+16,881
Subtotal.....	80,065	97,232	97,632	+17,567
Resource Protection and Maintenance:				
Resource management planning.....	48,132	48,961	49,961	+1,829
Resource protection and law enforcement.....	27,525	27,957	28,457	+932
Hazardous materials management.....	18,894	17,159	17,159	+265
Subtotal.....	92,551	94,077	95,577	+3,026
Transportation and Facilities Maintenance:				
Operations.....	5,984	6,067	6,067	+83
Annual maintenance.....	31,388	32,003	32,003	+615
Deferred maintenance.....	36,485	35,085	35,085	-1,400
Subtotal.....	73,857	73,155	73,155	-702
Land and resources information systems.....	16,581	16,754	16,754	+173
Mining Law Administration:				
Administration.....	34,696	36,696	36,696	+2,000
Offsetting fees.....	-34,696	-36,696	-36,696	-2,000

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
<b>Workforce and Organizational Support:</b>				
Information systems operations.....	15,204	15,406	15,406	+202
Administrative support.....	50,116	51,377	51,377	+1,259
Bureauwide fixed costs.....	89,572	91,277	91,277	+1,705
Subtotal.....	154,894	158,060	158,060	+3,166
Challenge cost share.....	9,500	9,500	9,500	---
National Monuments and Conservation Areas.....	26,196	26,801	31,301	+3,105
(National Landscape Conservation System, total program)	(86,705)	(72,135)	(74,635)	(+7,930)
Rescission of Oil Shale Activities.....	---	---	-1,000	-1,000
Emergency appropriations, ARA (P.L. 111-5).....	125,000	---	---	-125,000
Total, Management of lands and resources.....	1,015,194	975,351	958,571	-56,623
Appropriations.....	(890,194)	(975,351)	(959,571)	(+69,377)
Rescissions.....	---	---	(-1,000)	(-1,000)
Emergency appropriations.....	(125,000)	---	---	(-125,000)
Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....	(890,194)	(975,351)	(958,571)	(+68,377)
<b>Construction</b>				
Construction.....	6,590	6,590	6,626	+2,036
Emergency appropriations, ARA (P.L. 111-5).....	180,000	---	---	-180,000
Total, Construction.....	186,590	6,590	6,626	-177,964
<b>Land Acquisition</b>				
<b>Land Acquisition:</b>				
Acquisitions.....	11,425	21,650	24,650	+13,225
Emergencies, hardships and inholdings.....	1,500	1,500	3,000	+1,500
Acquisition management.....	1,850	1,879	2,000	+150
Total, Land acquisition.....	14,775	25,029	29,650	+14,875
<b>Oregon and California Grant Lands</b>				
Western Oregon resources management.....	95,611	97,052	97,052	+1,441
Western Oregon information and resource data systems..	2,152	2,153	2,153	+1
Western Oregon transportation & facilities maintenance	11,053	11,202	11,202	+149
Western Oregon construction and acquisition.....	313	317	317	+4
Western Oregon National Monument.....	820	833	833	+13
Total, Oregon and California Grant Lands.....	109,949	111,557	111,557	+1,608
<b>Range Improvements</b>				
Improvements to public lands.....	7,873	7,873	7,873	---
Farm Tenant Act lands.....	1,527	1,527	1,527	---
Administrative expenses.....	600	600	600	---
Total, Range Improvements.....	10,000	10,000	10,000	---
<b>Service Charges, Deposits, and Forfeitures</b>				
Rights-of-way processing.....	19,906	17,340	17,340	-2,566
Energy and minerals cost recovery.....	2,900	2,900	2,900	---
Recreation cost recovery.....	1,000	1,000	1,000	---
Adopt-a-horse program.....	375	375	375	---
Repair of damaged lands.....	5,500	5,500	5,500	---
Cost recoverable realty cases.....	840	840	840	---
Timber purchaser expenses.....	100	100	100	---
Commercial film and photography fees.....	200	200	200	---
Copy fees.....	3,000	3,000	3,000	---
Subtotal (gross).....	33,821	31,255	31,255	-2,566
Offsetting fees.....	-33,821	-31,255	-31,255	+2,566
Total, Service Charges, Deposits & Forfeitures..	---	---	---	---

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
<b>Miscellaneous Trust Funds and Permanent Operating Funds</b>				
Current appropriations.....	20,130	20,130	20,130	---
Payment from proceeds, sale of water.....	-46	---	---	+46
Naval oil shale reserves, mineral leasing receipts....	-12,996	---	---	+12,996
TOTAL, BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT.....	1,343,596	1,148,657	1,138,534	-205,062
Appropriations.....	(1,038,642)	(1,148,657)	(1,139,534)	(+100,892)
Rescissions.....	(-46)	---	(-1,000)	(-954)
Emergency appropriations.....	(305,000)	---	---	(-305,000)
Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....	(1,008,466)	(1,118,527)	(1,108,404)	(+99,938)
<b>UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE</b>				
<b>Resource Management</b>				
<b>Ecological Services:</b>				
Endangered species				
Candidate conservation.....	10,670	10,592	12,592	+1,922
Listing				
Critical habitat.....	10,458	10,632	11,632	+1,174
Listing.....	8,808	9,471	10,471	+1,663
Subtotal.....	19,266	20,103	22,103	+2,837
Consultation.....	53,462	56,863	59,363	+5,901
Recovery.....	74,575	76,599	85,399	+10,824
Subtotal, Endangered species.....	157,973	164,157	179,457	+21,484
Habitat conservation:				
Partners for fish and wildlife.....	52,943	57,841	60,191	+7,248
Project planning.....	32,048	35,235	35,985	+3,937
Coastal programs.....	14,738	14,946	15,946	+1,210
National wetlands inventory.....	5,328	5,398	5,648	+320
Subtotal, Habitat conservation.....	105,055	113,420	117,770	+12,715
Environmental contaminants.....	13,242	13,500	14,000	+758
Subtotal, Ecological Services.....	276,270	291,077	311,227	+34,957
<b>National Wildlife Refuge System:</b>				
Refuge operations:				
Wildlife and habitat management.....	199,859	214,778	230,778	+30,919
Refuge visitor services.....	75,571	78,973	79,973	+4,402
Refuge law enforcement.....	36,089	36,684	38,684	+2,595
Conservation planning.....	11,789	12,021	13,021	+1,232
Subtotal.....	323,308	342,456	362,456	+39,148
Refuge maintenance.....	139,551	140,823	140,823	+1,272
Subtotal, National Wildlife Refuge System.....	462,859	483,279	503,279	+40,420
<b>Migratory Birds, Law Enforcement &amp; International Conservation:</b>				
Migratory bird management.....	50,846	53,025	54,525	+3,679
Law enforcement operations and maintenance.....	62,667	63,839	65,839	+3,172
International affairs.....	13,204	13,229	14,379	+1,175
Subtotal.....	126,717	130,093	134,743	+8,026

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
<b>Fisheries:</b>				
National fish hatchery system operations.....	48,649	50,271	54,421	+5,772
Maintenance and equipment.....	19,046	18,367	18,367	-681
Aquatic habitat and species conservation.....	55,411	60,198	61,498	+6,087
Aquatic invasive species.....	5,352	6,244	6,244	+2,892
Marine mammals.....	3,371	5,615	5,815	+2,444
Subtotal.....	131,831	140,685	146,345	+16,514
<b>Climate Change Adaptive Science Capacity:</b>				
Climate Change Planning.....	---	10,000	10,000	+10,000
Climate Change Adaptive Science Capacity.....	---	10,000	10,000	+10,000
Subtotal.....	---	20,000	20,000	+20,000
<b>General Administration:</b>				
Central office administration.....	38,652	40,485	40,485	+833
Regional office administration.....	42,305	43,340	43,340	+1,035
Service-wide operational support.....	34,620	38,440	38,440	+1,820
National Fish and Wildlife Foundation.....	7,537	8,537	7,537	---
National Conservation Training Center.....	19,171	25,260	25,010	+5,839
Subtotal.....	143,285	154,062	152,812	+9,527
Disposal of excess property - operational savings.....	---	-1,000	-1,000	-1,000
Emergency appropriations, ARA (P.L. 111-5).....	165,000	---	---	-165,000
Total, Resource Management.....	1,305,962	1,218,206	1,269,406	-36,556
Appropriations.....	(1,140,862)	(1,218,206)	(1,269,406)	(+128,444)
Emergency appropriations.....	(165,000)	---	---	(-165,000)
Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....	(1,140,962)	(1,218,206)	(1,269,406)	(+128,444)
<b>Construction</b>				
<b>Construction and rehabilitation:</b>				
Line item construction.....	25,287	18,775	26,423	+1,156
Bridge and dam safety.....	1,350	1,855	1,855	+505
Nationwide engineering services.....	8,970	9,161	9,161	+191
Subtotal.....	35,587	29,791	37,439	+1,852
Anadromous fish program (cancellation of balances).....	-54	---	---	+54
Emergency appropriations, ARA (P.L. 111-5).....	115,000	---	---	-115,000
Total, Construction.....	150,533	29,791	37,439	-113,094
Appropriations.....	(35,587)	(29,791)	(37,439)	(+1,852)
Rescissions.....	(-54)	---	---	(+54)
Emergency appropriations.....	(115,000)	---	---	(-115,000)
Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....	(35,533)	(29,791)	(37,439)	(+1,906)
<b>Land Acquisition</b>				
<b>Fish and Wildlife Service:</b>				
Acquisitions - Federal refuge lands.....	28,315	45,445	66,785	+38,470
Inholdings/emergencies and hardships.....	3,000	5,000	5,000	+2,000
Exchanges.....	1,500	2,000	2,000	+500
Acquisition management.....	8,140	10,555	10,555	+2,415
Cost Allocation Methodology.....	1,500	2,000	2,000	+500
Total, Land Acquisition.....	42,455	65,000	86,340	+43,885
<b>Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund</b>				
Grants to States.....	10,001	14,001	11,000	+999
MCP planning grants.....	7,642	12,642	10,000	+2,358
Snake River Water Rights Act of 2004.....	5,146	5,146	5,146	---
Administration.....	2,518	2,518	2,854	+336
(Subtotal, Cooperative ES fund grants & admin).....	(25,307)	(34,307)	(29,000)	(+3,693)
Species recovery land acquisition.....	14,186	29,685	15,000	+814
MCP land acquisition.....	40,508	36,008	41,000	+492
(Subtotal, Cooperative ES fund land acquisition).....	(54,694)	(65,693)	(56,000)	(+1,308)
Total (gross).....	80,001	100,000	85,000	+4,999

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Conference vs. Enacted	Conference vs. Enacted
Cancellation of prior-year balances.....	-4,500	---	---	+4,500
Total, Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund.....	75,501	100,000	85,000	+9,499
National Wildlife Refuge Fund				
Payments in lieu of taxes.....	14,100	14,100	14,500	+400
North American Wetlands Conservation Fund				
Wetlands conservation.....	40,941	50,540	45,742	+4,801
Administration.....	1,706	2,107	1,905	+199
Total, North American Wetlands Conservation Fund	42,647	52,647	47,647	+5,000
Neotropical Migratory Bird Conservation Fund				
Migratory bird grants.....	4,750	4,750	5,000	+250
Multinational Species Conservation Fund				
African elephant conservation.....	2,000	2,000	2,000	---
Rhinos and tiger conservation.....	2,500	2,500	3,000	+500
Asian elephant conservation.....	2,000	2,000	2,000	---
Great ape conservation.....	2,000	2,000	2,500	+500
Marine turtle conservation.....	1,500	1,500	2,000	+500
Total, Multinational Species Conservation Fund..	10,000	10,000	11,500	+1,500
State and Tribal Wildlife Grants				
State and tribal wildlife apportioned grants.....	63,000	63,000	78,000	+15,000
Tribal competitive grants.....	7,000	7,000	7,000	---
State competitive grants.....	5,000	5,000	5,000	---
Climate change planning.....	---	40,000	---	---
Total, State and tribal wildlife grants.....	75,000	115,000	90,000	+15,000
Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration				
Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration.....	---	28,000	---	---
Wildlife Conservation and Appreciation Fund				
Cancellation of prior-year funds.....	-497	---	---	+497
=====				
TOTAL, U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE.....	1,720,451	1,637,494	1,646,832	-73,619
Appropriations.....	(1,445,502)	(1,637,494)	(1,646,832)	(+201,330)
Rescissions.....	(-5,051)	---	---	(+5,051)
Emergency appropriations.....	(280,000)	---	---	(-280,000)
Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....	(1,440,451)	(1,637,494)	(1,646,832)	(+206,381)
=====				
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE				
Operation of the National Park System				
Park Management:				
Resource stewardship.....	315,886	347,328	346,078	+30,192
Visitor services.....	226,249	247,386	247,386	+21,137
Park protection.....	346,417	368,698	368,698	+22,281
Facility operations and maintenance.....	877,699	705,220	702,013	+24,314
Park support.....	417,223	441,854	441,854	+24,631
Subtotal, Park Management.....	1,983,474	2,110,486	2,106,029	+122,555



DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
External administrative costs.....	148,055	155,530	155,530	+7,475
Emergency appropriations, ARA (P.L. 111-5).....	146,000	---	---	-146,000
Total, Operation of the National Park System.....	2,277,529	2,266,016	2,261,559	-15,970
Appropriations.....	(2,131,529)	(2,266,016)	(2,261,559)	(+130,030)
Emergency appropriations.....	(146,000)	---	---	(-146,000)
Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....	(2,131,529)	(2,266,016)	(2,261,559)	(+130,030)
Park Partnership Project Grants				
Signature projects matching program.....	---	25,000	15,000	+15,000
Use of carryover balances - recreational fee program.....	---	---	-10,000	-10,000
Total, Park Partnership Project Grants.....	---	25,000	5,000	+5,000
National Recreation and Preservation				
Recreation programs.....	575	591	591	+16
Natural programs.....	10,008	10,713	10,713	+705
Cultural programs.....	22,655	23,026	25,026	+2,371
International park affairs.....	1,625	1,655	1,655	+30
Environmental and compliance review.....	423	434	434	+11
Grant administration.....	3,086	1,753	1,753	-1,343
Heritage Partnership Programs.....	15,702	15,736	17,814	+2,112
Preserve America.....	---	---	4,600	+4,600
Statutory or Contractual Aid.....	5,600	---	5,850	+250
Total, National Recreation and Preservation.....	59,684	53,908	68,436	+8,752
Historic Preservation Fund				
State historic preservation offices.....	42,500	46,500	46,500	+4,000
Tribal grants.....	7,000	8,000	8,000	+1,000
Save America's Treasures.....	20,000	20,000	25,000	+5,000
Preserve America.....	---	3,175	---	---
Emergency appropriations, ARA (P.L. 111-5).....	15,000	---	---	-15,000
Total (gross).....	84,500	77,675	79,500	-5,000
Cancellation of prior-year balances.....	-516	---	---	+516
Total, Historic Preservation Fund.....	83,984	77,675	79,500	-4,484
Appropriations.....	(69,500)	(77,675)	(79,500)	(+10,000)
Rescissions.....	(-516)	---	---	(+516)
Emergency appropriations.....	(15,000)	---	---	(-15,000)
Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....	(68,984)	(77,675)	(79,500)	(+10,516)
Construction				
General Program:				
Line item construction and maintenance.....	149,223	116,825	142,988	-6,235
Emergency and unscheduled.....	2,975	3,975	3,975	+1,000
Housing.....	6,000	5,000	5,000	-1,000
Dam safety.....	2,500	2,500	2,500	---
Equipment replacement.....	14,516	14,516	14,516	---
Planning, construction.....	10,100	10,117	10,117	+17
Construction program management.....	34,552	38,535	38,535	+3,983
General management plans.....	13,292	14,523	15,338	+2,046
Use of prior-year balances.....	---	---	---	---
Subtotal.....	233,158	205,991	232,969	-189
Emergency appropriations, ARA (P.L. 111-5).....	589,000	---	---	-589,000
Cancellation of Federal infrastructure improvement balances.....	-637	---	---	+637
Total, Construction.....	821,521	205,991	232,969	-588,552
Appropriations.....	(233,158)	(205,991)	(232,969)	(-189)
Rescissions.....	(-637)	---	---	(+637)
Emergency appropriations.....	(589,000)	---	---	(-589,000)
Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....	(232,521)	(205,991)	(232,969)	(+448)

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Land and Water Conservation Fund (rescission of contract authority).....	-30,000	-30,000	-30,000	---
Land Acquisition and State Assistance				
Assistance to States:				
State conservation grants.....	19,000	27,200	37,200	+18,200
Administrative expenses.....	1,000	2,800	2,800	+1,800
Subtotal.....	20,000	30,000	40,000	+20,000
Cancellation of prior-year state assistance balances	-1,000	---	---	+1,000
Subtotal (including cancellation of funds).....	19,000	30,000	40,000	+21,000
National Park Service:				
Acquisitions.....	30,940	49,527	68,766	+37,826
Emergencies and hardships.....	2,500	3,000	3,000	+500
Acquisition management.....	9,250	9,473	9,500	+250
Inholdings.....	2,500	6,000	5,000	+2,500
Subtotal.....	45,190	68,000	86,266	+41,076
Total, Land Acquisition and State Assistance.....	64,190	98,000	126,266	+62,076
Urban Parks and Recreation				
Cancellation of prior-year balances.....	-1,300	---	---	+1,300
TOTAL, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE.....	3,275,608	2,696,590	2,743,730	-531,878
Appropriations.....	(2,559,081)	(2,728,590)	(2,773,730)	(+214,669)
Rescissions.....	(-33,453)	(-30,000)	(-30,000)	(+3,453)
Emergency appropriations.....	(750,000)	---	---	(-750,000)
Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....	(2,525,608)	(2,696,590)	(2,743,730)	(+218,122)
UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY				
Surveys, Investigations, and Research				
Geographic Research, Investigations, & Remote Sensing:				
Land remote sensing.....	61,718	62,057	63,707	+1,989
Geographic analysis and monitoring.....	10,598	11,135	11,135	+537
National geospatial program.....	69,816	70,748	70,748	+932
Subtotal.....	142,132	143,940	145,590	+3,458
Geologic Hazards, Resource and Processes:				
Geologic hazards assessments.....	90,585	91,263	92,763	+2,178
Geologic landscape and coastal assessments.....	72,381	74,351	74,351	+1,970
Geologic resource assessments.....	79,178	81,367	82,017	+2,841
Subtotal.....	242,142	246,981	249,131	+6,989
Water Resources Investigations:				
Hydrologic monitoring, assessments and research:				
Ground water resources program.....	9,008	8,234	9,714	+706
National water quality assessment.....	65,056	66,507	66,507	+1,451
Toxic substances hydrology.....	10,767	11,084	11,084	+317
Hydrologic research and development.....	13,421	12,222	13,822	+401
National streamflow information program.....	22,406	27,732	27,732	+5,326
Hydrologic networks and analysis.....	30,128	30,041	31,387	+1,259
Subtotal.....	150,786	155,820	160,246	+9,460

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Federal-State program.....	64,078	65,561	65,561	+1,483
Water resources research institutes.....	6,500	6,500	6,500	---
Subtotal, Water Resources Investigations.....	221,364	227,881	232,307	+10,943
Biological Research:				
Biological research and monitoring.....	146,416	157,765	160,685	+14,269
Biological information management and delivery.....	21,965	22,196	24,946	+2,981
Cooperative research units.....	16,949	19,313	19,313	+2,364
Subtotal.....	185,330	199,274	204,944	+19,614
Enterprise Information:				
Enterprise information security and technology.....	25,176	26,263	26,263	+1,087
Enterprise information resources.....	17,478	19,706	19,706	+2,228
Subtotal.....	42,654	45,969	45,969	+3,315
Science support.....	67,430	69,225	69,225	+1,795
Facilities.....	102,123	106,397	106,397	+4,274
Global climate change research.....	40,628	58,177	58,177	+17,549
(National Global Warming & Wildlife Science Center)....	(10,000)	(15,000)	(15,000)	(+5,000)
(Climate Change Science, total program).....	(45,452)	(67,452)	(67,452)	(+22,000)
Emergency appropriations, ARA (P.L. 111-5).....	140,000	---	---	-140,000
TOTAL, UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY.....	1,183,803	1,097,844	1,111,740	-72,063
Appropriations.....	(1,043,803)	(1,097,844)	(1,111,740)	(+67,937)
Emergency appropriations.....	(140,000)	---	---	(-140,000)
Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....	(1,043,803)	(1,097,844)	(1,111,740)	(+67,937)
MINERALS MANAGEMENT SERVICE				
Royalty and Offshore Minerals Management				
Offshore Energy and Minerals Management:				
Renewable energy.....	---	21,413	21,413	+21,413
Leasing and environmental program.....	54,983	59,461	59,461	+4,478
Resource evaluation.....	33,698	34,385	35,285	+1,587
Regulatory program.....	57,268	60,281	60,281	+2,993
Information management program.....	20,270	20,454	20,454	+184
Subtotal.....	166,199	195,974	196,874	+30,675
Royalty Management:				
Compliance and asset management.....	47,985	50,940	50,940	+2,975
Revenue and operations.....	38,719	38,434	38,434	-285
Subtotal.....	86,684	89,374	89,374	+2,690
General Administration:				
Executive direction.....	2,741	2,818	2,818	+77
Policy and management improvement.....	4,236	4,328	4,328	+92
Administrative operations.....	17,654	20,029	20,029	+2,375
General support services.....	26,589	28,524	28,524	+1,935
Subtotal.....	51,220	55,699	55,699	+4,479
Total (gross).....	304,103	341,047	341,947	+37,844
Use of receipts and cost recovery fees.....	-146,730	-166,730	-166,730	-20,000
Total, Royalty and Offshore Minerals Management.....	157,373	174,317	175,217	+17,844
Oil Spill Research				
Oil spill research.....	6,303	6,303	6,303	---
Subtotal, Minerals Management Service.....	163,676	180,620	181,520	+17,844
Administrative Provisions				
State royalty administrative cost deduction.....	-47,000	---	-45,000	+2,000
TOTAL, MINERALS MANAGEMENT SERVICE.....	116,676	180,620	136,520	+19,844

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
<b>OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING RECLAMATION AND ENFORCEMENT</b>				
<b>Regulation and Technology</b>				
Environmental restoration.....	159	160	160	+1
Environmental protection.....	88,425	94,771	94,771	+6,346
Technology development and transfer.....	15,386	15,663	15,663	+277
Financial management.....	510	516	516	+6
Executive direction.....	15,676	16,070	16,070	+394
Subtotal.....	120,156	127,180	127,180	+7,024
Civil penalties.....	100	100	100	---
Total, Regulation and technology.....	120,256	127,280	127,280	+7,024
<b>Abandoned Mine Reclamation Fund</b>				
Environmental restoration.....	34,123	12,864	16,364	-17,759
Technology development and transfer.....	3,970	4,032	4,032	+62
Financial management.....	6,836	6,961	6,961	+125
Executive direction.....	6,017	6,231	6,231	+214
Subtotal.....	52,946	32,088	35,588	-17,358
Reversion of prior year balances.....	-8,500	---	---	+8,500
Total, Abandoned Mine Reclamation Fund.....	44,446	32,088	35,588	-8,858
TOTAL, OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING RECLAMATION AND ENFORCEMENT.....	164,702	159,368	162,868	-1,834
<b>BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS</b>				
<b>Operation of Indian Programs</b>				
<b>Tribal Budget System</b>				
<b>Tribal Government:</b>				
Aid to Tribal government.....	33,586	33,195	33,195	-401
Consolidated Tribal government program.....	68,933	71,659	71,659	+2,726
Self governance compacts.....	144,397	147,762	147,762	+3,365
Contract support.....	147,294	152,794	166,000	+18,706
Indian self determination fund.....	---	2,000	2,000	+2,000
New Tribes.....	311	311	311	---
Tribal government program oversight.....	8,000	8,851	8,851	+851
Subtotal.....	402,531	416,572	429,778	+27,247
<b>Human Services:</b>				
Social services.....	33,538	33,766	33,766	+228
Welfare assistance.....	74,915	74,915	74,915	---
Indian child welfare act.....	10,798	11,143	11,143	+345
Housing improvement program.....	13,614	12,620	12,620	-994
Human services Tribal design.....	444	455	455	+11
Human services program oversight.....	4,139	4,097	4,097	-42
Subtotal.....	137,448	136,996	136,996	-452
<b>Trust - Natural Resources Management:</b>				
Natural resources, general.....	4,454	4,641	4,641	+187
Irrigation operations and maintenance.....	11,922	11,970	11,970	+48
Rights protection implementation.....	18,250	18,451	30,451	+12,201
Tribal management/development program.....	5,679	4,786	5,636	-43
Endangered species.....	1,234	1,249	1,249	+15
Integrated resource information program.....	2,130	2,130	2,130	---
Agriculture and range.....	24,363	26,912	26,912	+4,549
Forestry.....	43,203	43,854	43,854	+651
Water resources.....	10,018	10,084	10,084	+66
Fish, wildlife and parks.....	7,429	9,410	11,410	+3,981
Minerals and mining.....	12,474	18,622	18,622	+6,148
Resource management program oversight.....	6,554	6,659	6,659	+105
Subtotal.....	147,710	160,768	175,618	+27,908
Trust - Real Estate Services.....	150,087	152,493	152,493	+2,406

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
<b>Education:</b>				
Elementary and secondary programs (forward funded)...	499,470	516,702	518,702	+19,232
Post secondary programs (forward funded).....	---	50,000	50,000	+50,000
Subtotal, forward funded education.....	499,470	566,702	568,702	+69,232
Elementary and secondary programs.....	75,126	77,379	77,379	+2,253
Post secondary programs.....	115,272	125,691	126,791	+11,519
Education management.....	26,265	26,528	26,528	+243
Subtotal, Education.....	716,153	796,300	799,400	+83,247
<b>Public Safety and Justice:</b>				
Law enforcement.....	255,077	283,152	303,152	+48,075
Tribal courts.....	14,508	19,704	24,704	+10,196
Fire protection.....	1,200	999	999	-201
Subtotal.....	270,785	303,855	328,855	+58,070
Community and Economic Development.....	43,589	43,910	44,910	+1,321
Executive Direction and Administrative Services.....	260,327	267,915	267,915	+7,588
Emergency appropriations, ARA (P.L. 111-5).....	40,000	---	---	-40,000
Total, Operation of Indian Programs.....	2,168,630	2,278,809	2,335,965	+167,335
Appropriations.....	(2,128,630)	(2,278,809)	(2,335,965)	(+207,335)
Emergency appropriations.....	(40,000)	---	---	(-40,000)
Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....	(2,128,630)	(2,278,809)	(2,335,965)	(+207,335)
<b>Construction</b>				
Education.....	128,837	112,994	112,994	-15,843
Public safety and justice.....	39,399	39,407	64,407	+25,008
Resources management.....	40,306	38,385	38,385	-1,921
General administration.....	2,060	2,064	2,064	+4
Construction management.....	7,066	7,150	7,150	+64
Emergency appropriations, ARA (P.L. 111-5).....	450,000	---	---	-450,000
Total, Construction.....	667,688	200,000	225,000	-442,688
Appropriations.....	(217,688)	(200,000)	(225,000)	(+7,312)
Emergency appropriations.....	(450,000)	---	---	(-450,000)
Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....	(217,688)	(200,000)	(225,000)	(+7,312)
<b>Indian Land and Water Claim Settlements and Miscellaneous Payments to Indians</b>				
White Earth Land Settlement Act (Admin).....	625	625	625	---
Hoopo-Yurok settlement fund.....	250	250	250	---
Pyramid Lake water rights settlement.....	142	142	142	---
Nez Perce/Snake River.....	15,210	15,463	15,463	+253
Navajo Water Resources Development Trust Fund.....	---	6,000	6,000	+6,000
Duck Valley Water Rights Settlement.....	---	12,000	12,000	+12,000
Puget Sound regional shellfish settlement.....	3,000	5,000	5,000	+2,000
Pueblo of Isleta settlement.....	2,400	2,400	2,400	---
Soboba Band/Luiseno Indian Settlement.....	---	5,500	5,500	+5,500
Total, Miscellaneous Payments to Indians.....	21,627	47,380	47,380	+25,753
<b>Indian Guaranteed Loan Program Account</b>				
Indian guaranteed loan program account.....	8,186	8,215	8,215	+29
Emergency appropriations, ARA (P.L. 111-5).....	10,000	---	---	-10,000
Subtotal.....	18,186	8,215	8,215	-9,971
<b>Indian Land Consolidation Account</b>				
Indian land consolidation account.....	---	3,000	3,000	+3,000
<b>TOTAL, BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS.....</b>				
Appropriations.....	2,876,131	2,537,404	2,619,560	-256,571
Emergency appropriations.....	(2,376,131)	(2,537,404)	(2,619,560)	(+243,429)
Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....	(2,376,131)	(2,537,404)	(2,619,560)	(+243,429)

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Conference Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
<b>DEPARTMENTAL OFFICES</b>				
<b>Office of the Secretary</b>				
Departmental direction.....	14,292	14,678	14,678	+386
Management and coordination.....	32,526	33,503	33,503	+977
Hearings and appeals.....	7,858	7,868	7,868	+210
Central services.....	41,876	47,851	47,851	+5,875
Bureau of Mines workers compensation/unemployment.....	623	599	599	-24
Indian Arts and Crafts Board.....	1,177	1,201	1,201	+24
Appraisal services.....	8,012	12,136	12,136	+4,124
National Museum of American Latino Commission.....	1,000	1,000	1,000	---
<b>Total (gross).....</b>	<b>107,264</b>	<b>118,836</b>	<b>118,836</b>	<b>+11,572</b>
Federal Substance Management Account (cancellation of balances).....	-108	---	---	+108
<b>Total, Office of the Secretary.....</b>	<b>107,156</b>	<b>118,836</b>	<b>118,836</b>	<b>+11,680</b>
<b>Insular Affairs</b>				
<b>Assistance to Territories</b>				
<b>Territorial Assistance</b>				
Office of Insular Affairs.....	8,850	9,280	9,280	+430
Technical assistance.....	11,018	11,000	15,302	+4,284
Maintenance assistance fund.....	2,241	2,241	2,241	---
Brown tree snake.....	2,631	2,631	3,000	+369
Insular management controls.....	1,453	1,453	---	-1,453
Coral reef initiative.....	1,000	1,000	1,000	---
Water and wastewater projects.....	1,000	1,000	1,900	+900
Guam infrastructure.....	---	2,000	2,000	+2,000
<b>Subtotal, Territorial Assistance.....</b>	<b>28,193</b>	<b>30,605</b>	<b>34,723</b>	<b>+6,530</b>
<b>American Samoa</b>				
Operations grants.....	22,752	22,752	22,752	---
<b>Northern Marianas</b>				
Covenant grants.....	27,720	27,720	27,720	---
<b>Subtotal, discretionary.....</b>	<b>22,752</b>	<b>22,752</b>	<b>22,752</b>	<b>---</b>
<b>(mandatory).....</b>	<b>(27,720)</b>	<b>(27,720)</b>	<b>(27,720)</b>	<b>---</b>
<b>Total, Assistance to Territories.....</b>	<b>78,865</b>	<b>81,077</b>	<b>85,195</b>	<b>+6,530</b>
<b>Compact of Free Association</b>				
Compact of Free Association - Federal services.....	2,818	2,818	2,818	---
Mandatory payments - program grant assistance.....	2,000	2,000	---	-2,000
Discretionary payments - program grant assistance.....	---	---	2,000	+2,000
Enewetak support.....	500	500	500	---
<b>Total, Compact of Free Association.....</b>	<b>5,318</b>	<b>5,318</b>	<b>5,318</b>	<b>---</b>
<b>Total, Insular Affairs.....</b>	<b>83,983</b>	<b>86,395</b>	<b>90,513</b>	<b>+6,530</b>
<b>Office of the Solicitor</b>				
Legal services.....	45,938	47,255	47,255	+1,317
General administration.....	14,966	16,635	16,635	+1,669
Ethics.....	1,148	1,188	1,188	+40
<b>Total, Office of the Solicitor.....</b>	<b>62,050</b>	<b>65,078</b>	<b>65,076</b>	<b>+3,026</b>
<b>Office of Inspector General</b>				
Audit and investigations.....	36,773	38,866	38,866	+2,093
Administrative services and information management.....	9,180	9,724	9,724	+544
Emergency appropriations, ARA (P.L. 111-5).....	15,000	---	---	-15,000
<b>Total, Office of Inspector General.....</b>	<b>60,953</b>	<b>48,590</b>	<b>48,590</b>	<b>-12,363</b>
<b>Appropriations.....</b>	<b>(45,953)</b>	<b>(48,590)</b>	<b>(48,590)</b>	<b>(+2,637)</b>
<b>Emergency appropriations.....</b>	<b>(15,000)</b>	<b>---</b>	<b>---</b>	<b>(-15,000)</b>

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
<b>Office of Special Trustee for American Indians*</b>				
<b>Federal Trust Programs</b>				
Program operations, support, and improvements.....	179,485	183,728	183,728	+4,243
(Office of Historical Accounting).....	(56,445)	(56,536)	(56,536)	(+91)
Executive direction.....	2,163	2,256	2,256	+93
<b>Total, Office of Special Trustee for American Indians.....</b>	<b>125,203</b>	<b>125,448</b>	<b>125,448</b>	<b>+245</b>
*Indian Land Consolidation is requested in the Bureau of Indian Affairs in FY 2010				
=====				
<b>TOTAL, DEPARTMENTAL OFFICES.....</b>	<b>495,790</b>	<b>504,881</b>	<b>508,999</b>	<b>+13,209</b>
Appropriations.....	(480,896)	(504,881)	(508,999)	(+28,101)
Rescissions.....	(-108)	---	---	(+108)
Emergency appropriations.....	(15,000)	---	---	(-15,000)
<b>Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....</b>	<b>(451,070)</b>	<b>(475,161)</b>	<b>(481,279)</b>	<b>(+30,209)</b>
=====				
<b>DEPARTMENT-WIDE PROGRAMS</b>				
<b>Wildland Fire Management</b>				
<b>Fire Operations:</b>				
Preparedness.....	281,767	285,452	290,452	+8,685
Fire suppression operations.....	335,191	369,797	363,797	+48,606
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 111-32).....	50,000	---	---	-50,000
<b>Subtotal, Fire operations.....</b>	<b>666,958</b>	<b>655,249</b>	<b>674,249</b>	<b>+7,291</b>
<b>Other Operations:</b>				
Hazardous fuels reduction.....	203,053	205,089	206,206	+3,153
Burned area rehabilitation.....	20,305	20,305	20,305	---
Fire facilities.....	6,137	6,137	6,137	---
Joint fire science.....	6,000	6,000	6,000	---
Rural fire assistance.....	7,000	7,000	7,000	---
<b>Subtotal, Other operations.....</b>	<b>242,495</b>	<b>244,531</b>	<b>245,648</b>	<b>+3,153</b>
<b>Subtotal, Wildland fire management.....</b>	<b>909,453</b>	<b>899,780</b>	<b>919,897</b>	<b>+10,444</b>
Emergency appropriations, ARA (P.L. 111-5).....	15,000	---	---	-15,000
Use of prior-year funds.....	---	---	-125,000	-125,000
<b>Total, Wildland fire management.....</b>	<b>924,453</b>	<b>899,780</b>	<b>794,897</b>	<b>-129,556</b>
Appropriations.....	(859,453)	(899,780)	(794,897)	(-84,556)
Emergency appropriations.....	(65,000)	---	---	(-65,000)
<b>Wildland Fire Suppression Contingency Reserve Fund</b>				
Wildland fire suppression contingency reserve fund.....	---	75,000	---	---
<b>FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Account</b>				
FLAME wildfire suppression reserve account.....	---	---	61,000	+61,000
<b>Total, All wildland fire accounts.....</b>	<b>924,453</b>	<b>974,780</b>	<b>855,897</b>	<b>-68,556</b>
<b>Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....</b>	<b>(859,453)</b>	<b>(974,780)</b>	<b>(855,897)</b>	<b>(-3,556)</b>
<b>Central Hazardous Materials Fund</b>				
Central hazardous materials fund.....	10,148	10,175	10,175	+27
<b>Natural Resource Damage Assessment Fund</b>				
Damage assessments.....	3,979	4,022	4,022	+43
Program management.....	1,755	1,825	1,825	+70
Restoration support.....	604	615	615	+11
<b>Total, Natural Resource Damage Assessment Fund..</b>	<b>6,338</b>	<b>6,462</b>	<b>6,462</b>	<b>+124</b>

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
<b>Working Capital Fund</b>				
Financial and Business Management System (FBMS).....	73,435	85,823	85,823	+12,388
<b>TOTAL, DEPARTMENT-WIDE PROGRAMS.....</b>	<b>1,014,374</b>	<b>1,077,240</b>	<b>958,357</b>	<b>-56,017</b>
Appropriations.....	(949,374)	(1,077,240)	(958,357)	(+8,983)
Emergency appropriations.....	(65,000)	---	---	(-65,000)
<b>TOTAL, TITLE I, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR.....</b>	<b>12,191,131</b>	<b>11,040,098</b>	<b>11,027,140</b>	<b>-1,163,991</b>
Appropriations.....	(10,183,289)	(11,070,088)	(11,056,140)	(+874,851)
Recessions.....	(-47,158)	(-30,000)	(-31,000)	(+16,158)
Emergency appropriations.....	(2,055,000)	---	---	(-2,055,000)
Mandatory.....	(59,850)	(59,850)	(57,850)	(-2,000)
Total discretionary excluding emergencies.....	(10,076,281)	(10,980,248)	(10,969,290)	(+893,009)
<b>TITLE II - ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY</b>				
<b>Science and Technology</b>				
Air toxics and quality.....	105,132	122,256	122,256	+17,124
(EISA/Renewable Fuels Rule).....	(8,000)	(21,300)	(21,327)	(+13,327)
Climate protection program.....	18,826	18,975	18,975	+2,147
Enforcement.....	15,987	15,946	15,946	+859
Homeland security.....	63,718	71,332	66,332	+2,614
(Water Security Initiative).....	(14,982)	(23,726)	(18,726)	(+3,744)
(Decontamination).....	(26,407)	(25,430)	(25,430)	(-977)
(Laboratory preparedness & response).....	(494)	(500)	(500)	(+6)
(Safe buildings).....	(1,976)	(2,000)	(2,000)	(+24)
Indoor air.....	1,120	1,157	1,157	+37
IT / Data management / Security.....	3,969	4,073	4,073	+104
Operations and administration.....	73,835	72,882	72,882	-953
(Rent).....	(34,521)	(33,947)	(33,947)	(-574)
(Utilities).....	(18,547)	(19,177)	(19,177)	(+630)
(Security).....	(11,089)	(10,260)	(10,260)	(-1,729)
Pesticide licensing.....	5,671	6,463	6,463	+792
Research: Clean air.....	98,427	104,073	104,073	+5,646
(Research: Global change).....	(17,886)	(20,909)	(20,909)	(+3,023)
Research: Clean water.....	106,164	110,363	110,363	+4,199
Research: National priorities.....	5,450	---	5,700	+250
Research: Human health and ecosystems.....	229,403	245,381	248,381	+18,978
(Research: Computational toxicology).....	(15,158)	(19,602)	(19,602)	(+4,446)
(Research: Endocrine disruptor).....	(11,486)	(11,442)	(11,442)	(-44)
(Research: Fellowship).....	(9,651)	(10,894)	(10,894)	(+1,243)
Research: Land protection.....	13,586	13,782	13,782	+196
Research: Sustainability.....	21,157	24,107	24,107	+2,950
Research: Pesticides and toxics.....	26,949	27,839	27,839	+890
Water: Human health protection.....	3,555	3,720	3,720	+165
(Transfer from Superfund).....	(26,417)	(26,834)	(26,834)	(+417)
<b>Total, Science and Technology.....</b>	<b>790,051</b>	<b>842,348</b>	<b>846,049</b>	<b>+55,998</b>



DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
<b>Environmental Programs and Management</b>				
Air toxics and quality.....	195,151	203,265	203,265	+8,114
Brownfields.....	22,957	25,254	23,904	+947
Climate protection program.....	94,271	111,634	111,634	+17,363
(Energy star).....	(49,735)	(50,748)	(50,748)	(+1,013)
(Methane to markets).....	(4,498)	(4,582)	(4,582)	(+84)
(Greenhouse gas reporting registry).....	(6,388)	(17,005)	(17,005)	(+10,617)
Compliance.....	128,826	136,631	134,831	+5,805
Enforcement.....	209,157	223,943	223,943	+14,786
(Environmental justice).....	(6,993)	(7,203)	(7,203)	(+210)
Environmental protection: National priorities.....	17,450	---	16,950	-500
<b>Geographic programs:</b>				
Great Lakes Restoration Initiative.....	---	475,000	475,000	+475,000
Chesapeake Bay.....	31,001	35,138	50,000	+18,999
Great Lakes.....	23,000	---	---	-23,000
San Francisco Bay.....	5,000	5,000	7,000	+2,000
Puget Sound.....	20,000	20,000	50,000	+30,000
Long Island Sound.....	3,000	3,000	7,000	+4,000
Gulf of Mexico.....	4,578	4,638	6,000	+1,422
Lake Champlain.....	3,000	1,434	4,000	+1,000
Lake Pontchartrain.....	978	978	1,500	+522
CARE (Community Action for a Renewed Environment).....	2,000	2,448	2,448	+448
Other geographic activities.....	3,402	3,493	5,493	+2,091
Subtotal.....	95,959	551,130	608,441	+512,482
*funding moved to Great Lakes Initiative in 2010				
Homeland security.....	23,406	23,901	23,901	+495
(Decontamination).....	(3,542)	(3,443)	(3,542)	---
Indoor air.....	25,895	26,649	26,649	+754
Information exchange / Outreach.....	126,343	131,825	129,972	+3,829
(Children and other sensitive populations:				
Agency coordination).....	(6,071)	(6,515)	(6,515)	(+444)
(Environmental education).....	(8,979)	(9,038)	(9,038)	(+59)
International programs.....	19,684	20,348	20,348	+665
(Mexico Border).....	(5,561)	(5,047)	(5,047)	(-514)
IT / Data management / Security.....	99,025	109,320	104,320	+5,295
Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic review.....	118,123	128,231	123,788	+5,665
Operations and administration.....	479,197	511,895	501,895	+22,698
(Rent).....	(160,366)	(162,040)	(157,040)	(-3,326)
(Utilities).....	(10,973)	(13,514)	(13,514)	(+2,541)
(Security).....	(25,676)	(27,997)	(27,997)	(+2,321)
Pesticide licensing.....	116,081	119,187	119,187	+3,126
Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA).....	116,891	122,131	122,131	+5,240
Toxics risk review and prevention.....	93,259	102,903	102,903	+9,644
(Endocrine disruptors).....	(8,498)	(8,659)	(8,659)	(+161)
Underground storage tanks (LUST / UST).....	11,946	12,451	12,451	+505
<b>Water: Ecosystems</b>				
Great Lakes Legacy Act.....	37,000	---	---	-37,000
National estuary program / Coastal waterways.....	26,557	26,967	32,567	+6,010
Wetlands.....	22,539	23,336	23,336	+797
Subtotal.....	86,096	50,303	55,903	-30,193
*funding moved to Great Lakes Initiative in 2010				
Water: Human health protection.....	101,585	105,726	105,726	+4,141
Water quality protection.....	210,817	223,836	221,836	+11,019
Total, Environmental Programs and Management.....	2,392,079	2,940,564	2,993,779	+601,700

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
<b>Office of Inspector General</b>				
Audits, evaluations, and investigations.....	44,791	44,791	44,791	---
Emergency appropriations, ARA (P.L. 111-5).....	20,000	---	---	-20,000
(Transfer from Superfund).....	(9,975)	(9,975)	(9,975)	---
(Transfer from Chemical Hazards Safety Board).....	(300)	(150)	---	(-300)
<b>Total, Office of Inspector General.....</b>	<b>64,791</b>	<b>44,791</b>	<b>44,791</b>	<b>-20,000</b>
Appropriations.....	(44,791)	(44,791)	(44,791)	---
Emergency appropriations.....	(20,000)	---	---	(-20,000)
<b>Buildings and Facilities</b>				
Homeland security: Protection of EPA personnel and infrastructure.....	8,070	8,070	8,070	---
Operations and administration.....	26,931	28,931	28,931	+2,000
<b>Total, Buildings and Facilities.....</b>	<b>35,001</b>	<b>37,001</b>	<b>37,001</b>	<b>+2,000</b>
<b>Hazardous Substance Superfund</b>				
Air toxics and quality.....	2,295	2,596	2,596	+301
Audits, evaluations, and investigations.....	9,975	9,975	9,975	---
Compliance.....	1,351	1,247	1,247	-104
Enforcement.....	187,776	196,034	196,034	+8,258
(Environmental justice).....	(618)	(822)	(822)	(+4)
(Superfund: Enforcement).....	(166,148)	(173,176)	(173,176)	(+7,028)
(Superfund: Federal facilities enforcement).....	(9,872)	(10,378)	(10,378)	(+506)
Homeland security.....	56,571	56,561	56,561	-10
(Laboratory preparedness and response).....	(9,586)	(9,621)	(9,621)	(+33)
(Decontamination).....	(10,613)	(10,774)	(10,774)	(+161)
Information exchange / Outreach.....	1,433	1,433	1,433	---
IT / Data management / Security.....	17,679	17,923	17,923	+244
Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic review.....	1,582	1,641	1,641	+59
Operations and administration.....	134,643	139,923	137,923	+3,280
(Rent).....	(45,353)	(44,300)	(44,300)	(-1,053)
(Utilities).....	(3,042)	(3,397)	(3,397)	(+355)
(Security).....	(6,524)	(8,299)	(8,299)	(+1,775)
Research: Human health and ecosystems.....	3,377	3,395	3,395	+18
Research: Land protection.....	20,905	21,401	21,401	+496
Research: Sustainability.....	79	---	---	-79
Superfund cleanup.....	195,043	202,843	202,843	+7,800
Superfund: Emergency response and removal.....	9,442	9,791	9,791	+349
Superfund: EPA emergency preparedness.....	31,308	32,203	32,203	+895
Superfund: Remedial.....	604,982	605,000	605,000	+18
Superfund: Support to other Federal agencies.....	6,575	6,575	6,575	---
<b>Subtotal.....</b>	<b>847,358</b>	<b>856,412</b>	<b>856,412</b>	<b>+9,054</b>
Emergency appropriations, ARA (P.L. 111-5).....	600,000	---	---	-600,000
<b>Total, Hazardous Substance Superfund.....</b>	<b>1,885,024</b>	<b>1,308,541</b>	<b>1,308,541</b>	<b>-576,483</b>
Appropriations.....	(1,285,024)	(1,308,541)	(1,308,541)	(+21,517)
Emergency appropriations.....	(600,000)	---	---	(-600,000)
(Superfund transfer to Inspector General).....	(-9,975)	(-9,975)	(-9,975)	---
(Superfund transfer to Science and Technology).....	(-26,417)	(-26,634)	(-26,634)	(-417)
<b>Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....</b>	<b>(1,285,024)</b>	<b>(1,308,541)</b>	<b>(1,308,541)</b>	<b>(+21,517)</b>
<b>Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund (LUST)</b>				
Compliance.....	817	788	788	-29
IT / Data management / Security.....	162	162	162	---
Operations and administration.....	2,057	2,190	2,190	+133
(Rent).....	(696)	(696)	(696)	---
Research: Land protection.....	475	484	484	+9

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Underground storage tanks (LUST / UST).....	109,066	109,477	109,477	+411
(LUST/UST).....	(11,105)	(11,855)	(11,855)	(+750)
(LUST Cooperative agreements).....	(62,461)	(63,192)	(63,192)	(+731)
(Energy Policy Act grants).....	(35,500)	(34,430)	(34,430)	(-1,070)
Emergency appropriations, ARA (P.L. 111-5).....	200,000	---	---	-200,000
Total, Leaking Underground Storage Tank				
Trust Fund.....	312,577	113,101	113,101	-199,476
Appropriations.....	(112,577)	(113,101)	(113,101)	(+524)
Emergency appropriations.....	(200,000)	---	---	(-200,000)
Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....	(112,577)	(113,101)	(113,101)	(+524)
011 Spill Response				
Compliance.....	277	317	317	+40
Enforcement.....	2,117	2,406	2,406	+289
IT / Data management / Security.....	24	24	24	---
Oil.....	13,953	14,397	14,397	+444
Operations and administration.....	596	498	498	-88
(Rent).....	(536)	(438)	(438)	(-100)
Research: Land protection.....	720	737	737	+17
Total, 011 Spill Response.....	17,687	18,379	18,379	+692
State and Tribal Assistance Grants (STAG)				
Clean water state revolving fund (SRF).....	689,080	2,400,000	2,100,000	+1,410,920
Drinking water state revolving fund (SRF).....	829,029	1,500,000	1,387,000	+557,971
STAG infrastructure grants.....	145,000	---	156,777	+11,777
Alaska Native villages.....	18,500	10,000	13,000	-5,500
Brownfields projects.....	97,000	100,000	100,000	+3,000
Diesel emissions grants (Energy Policy Act).....	60,000	60,000	60,000	---
Targeted watershed grants.....	15,000	---	20,000	+5,000
Mexico border.....	20,000	10,000	17,000	-3,000
Subtotal, Infrastructure Assistance Grants.....	1,873,609	4,080,000	3,853,777	+1,980,168
Categorical grants:				
Beaches protection.....	9,900	9,900	9,900	---
Brownfields.....	49,495	49,495	49,495	---
Environmental information.....	10,000	10,000	10,000	---
Hazardous waste financial assistance.....	101,346	108,346	103,346	+2,000
Homeland security.....	4,950	---	---	-4,950
Lead.....	13,564	14,564	14,564	+1,000
Climate change grants to local governments.....	10,000	---	10,000	---
Nonpoint source (Sec. 319).....	200,857	200,857	200,857	---
Pesticides enforcement.....	18,711	18,711	18,711	---
Pesticides program implementation.....	12,970	13,520	13,520	+550
Pollution control (Sec. 108).....	218,495	229,264	229,264	+10,769
(Water quality monitoring).....	(18,500)	(18,500)	(18,500)	---
Pollution prevention.....	4,940	4,940	4,940	---
Public water system supervision.....	99,100	105,700	105,700	+6,600
Radon.....	8,074	8,074	8,074	---
Sector program.....	1,828	1,828	---	-1,828
State and local air quality management.....	224,080	226,580	226,580	+2,500
Toxics substances compliance.....	5,099	5,099	5,099	---
Tribal air quality management.....	13,300	13,300	13,300	---
Tribal general assistance program.....	57,925	62,875	62,875	+4,950
Underground injection control (UIC).....	10,891	10,891	10,891	---
Underground storage tanks.....	2,500	2,500	2,500	---
Wetlands program development.....	16,830	16,830	16,830	---
Subtotal, Categorical grants.....	1,094,855	1,111,274	1,116,446	+21,591
Emergency appropriations, ARA (P.L. 111-5).....	6,400,000	---	---	-6,400,000
Total, State and Tribal Assistance Grants.....	9,368,464	5,191,274	4,970,223	-4,398,241
Appropriations.....	(2,968,464)	(5,191,274)	(4,970,223)	(+2,001,759)
Emergency appropriations.....	(6,400,000)	---	---	(-6,400,000)
Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....	(2,968,464)	(5,191,274)	(4,970,223)	(+2,001,759)

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Rescission (various EPA accounts).....	-10,000	-10,000	-40,000	-30,000
TOTAL, TITLE II, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	14,855,674	10,486,000	10,289,864	-4,565,810
Appropriations.....	(7,645,674)	(10,486,000)	(10,329,864)	(+2,684,190)
Rescissions.....	(-10,000)	(-10,000)	(-40,000)	(-30,000)
Emergency appropriations.....	(7,220,000)	---	---	(-7,220,000)
Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....	(7,635,674)	(10,486,000)	(10,289,864)	(+2,654,190)
TITLE III - RELATED AGENCIES				
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE				
FOREST SERVICE				
Forest and Rangeland Research				
Forest inventory and analysis.....	60,770	61,939	66,939	+6,169
Research and development programs.....	235,610	239,673	245,073	+9,463
(Global Climate Change Science).....	(26,857)	(26,857)	(31,857)	(+5,000)
Rescission.....	---	-1,000	---	---
Total, Forest and rangeland research.....	296,380	300,612	312,012	+15,632
State and Private Forestry				
Forest Health Management:				
Federal lands forest health management.....	54,110	55,282	57,282	+3,172
Cooperative lands forest health management.....	46,292	45,823	48,573	+2,281
Subtotal.....	100,402	101,105	105,855	+5,453
Cooperative Fire Protection:				
State fire assistance.....	35,000	35,147	39,147	+4,147
Volunteer fire assistance.....	6,000	7,000	7,000	+1,000
Subtotal.....	41,000	42,147	46,147	+5,147
Cooperative Forestry:				
Forest stewardship.....	27,000	28,369	29,369	+2,369
Forest Legacy.....	57,445	91,060	79,460	+22,015
Use of prior year balances.....	-8,000	---	-3,000	+5,000
Subtotal.....	49,445	91,060	76,460	+27,015
Urban and Community Forestry:				
Economic action programs.....	29,541	29,327	30,377	+836
Forest resource information and analysis.....	4,973	---	5,000	+27
Subtotal, Cooperative Forestry.....	5,000	5,035	5,035	+35
Subtotal, Cooperative Forestry.....	115,959	153,791	146,241	+30,282
International forestry.....	8,500	9,068	9,818	+1,318
Total, State and Private Forestry.....	285,861	308,111	308,061	+42,200
National Forest System				
Land management planning.....	48,833	45,518	45,917	-2,916
Inventory and monitoring.....	167,580	168,695	170,502	+2,922
Recreation, heritage and wilderness.....	277,635	280,117	285,117	+7,482
Wildlife and fish habitat management.....	139,385	141,471	143,014	+3,629
Grazing management.....	50,000	49,949	50,714	+714
Forest products.....	332,666	328,959	336,722	+4,056
Vegetation and watershed management.....	180,437	182,286	187,960	+7,523
Minerals and geology management.....	85,470	86,650	87,240	+1,770
Landownership management.....	93,299	94,372	95,606	+2,307
Law enforcement operations.....	135,500	135,047	145,047	+9,547
Valles Caldera National Preserve.....	4,000	3,500	3,500	-500
Undistributed funding increase.....	---	---	---	---
Rescission.....	-5,000	-10,000	---	+5,000
Total, National Forest System.....	1,509,805	1,506,564	1,551,339	+41,534

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
<b>Capital Improvement and Maintenance</b>				
<b>Facilities</b>				
Maintenance.....	70,851	86,134	86,134	+15,283
Construction.....	55,602	44,606	48,876	-6,726
Subtotal, Facilities.....	126,453	130,740	135,010	+8,557
<b>Roads</b>				
Maintenance.....	162,500	166,885	166,885	+4,385
Construction.....	66,325	68,115	69,636	+3,311
Subtotal, Roads.....	228,825	235,000	236,521	+7,696
<b>Trails</b>				
Maintenance.....	49,100	61,746	63,846	+14,746
Construction.....	31,915	20,335	21,535	-10,380
Subtotal, Trails.....	81,015	82,081	85,381	+4,366
Deferred Maintenance.....	9,100	9,141	9,141	+41
Legacy road remediation.....	50,000	50,000	90,000	+40,000
Protecting National Forests Initiative.....	---	50,000	---	---
Subtotal, Capital improvement and maintenance.....	495,393	556,962	556,053	+60,660
Deferral of road and trail fund payment.....	-13,000	---	-18,000	-5,000
Emergency appropriations, ARA (P.L. 111-5).....	650,000	---	---	-650,000
Total, Capital improvement and maintenance.....	1,132,393	556,962	538,053	-594,340
Appropriations.....	(482,393)	(556,962)	(538,053)	(+55,660)
Emergency appropriations.....	(650,000)	---	---	(-650,000)
<b>Land Acquisition</b>				
<b>Forest Service:</b>				
Acquisitions.....	39,275	21,684	51,022	+11,747
Acquisition management.....	8,000	7,000	8,000	---
Cash equalization.....	1,000	---	1,000	---
Critical inholdings/wilderness protection.....	1,500	---	3,500	+2,000
Total, Land Acquisition.....	49,775	28,684	63,522	+13,747
Acquisition of lands for national forests, special acts.....	1,050	1,050	1,050	---
Acquisition of lands to complete land exchanges.....	250	250	250	---
Range betterment fund.....	3,600	3,600	3,600	---
Gifts, donations and bequests for forest and rangeland research.....	50	50	50	---
Management of national forest lands for subsistence uses.....	5,000	2,582	2,582	-2,418
<b>Wildland Fire Management</b>				
<b>Fire operations:</b>				
Wildland fire preparedness.....	675,000	675,000	675,000	---
Wildland fire suppression operations.....	993,947	1,128,505	997,505	+3,558
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 111-32).....	200,000	---	---	-200,000
Subtotal, Fire operations.....	1,868,947	1,803,505	1,672,505	-196,442
<b>Other operations:</b>				
Hazardous fuels.....	328,086	315,285	350,285	+22,199
Rehabilitation.....	11,500	9,000	11,600	+100
Fire plan research and development.....	23,917	23,917	23,917	---
Joint fire sciences program.....	8,000	8,000	8,000	---
Forest health management (federal lands).....	17,252	14,446	20,752	+3,500
Forest health management (co-op lands).....	9,928	7,000	11,428	+1,500
State fire assistance.....	55,000	50,000	71,250	+16,250
Volunteer fire assistance.....	9,000	7,000	9,000	---
Subtotal, Other operations.....	462,683	434,642	506,232	+43,548
Subtotal, Wildland fire management.....	2,331,630	2,238,147	2,178,737	-152,893

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Emergency appropriations, ARA (P.L. 111-5).....	500,000	---	---	-500,000
Use of prior-year funds.....	---	---	-75,000	-75,000
Total, Wildland fire management.....	2,831,630	2,238,147	2,103,737	-727,893
Appropriations.....	(2,131,630)	(2,238,147)	(2,103,737)	(-27,893)
Emergency appropriations.....	(700,000)	---	---	(-700,000)
Wildland Fire Suppression Contingency Reserve Fund				
Wildland fire suppression contingency reserve fund.....	---	282,000	---	---
FLAME Wildfire Suppression Reserve Account				
FLAME wildfire suppression reserve account.....	---	---	413,000	+413,000
Total, All wildland fire accounts.....	2,831,630	2,520,147	2,516,737	-314,893
(Total discretionary, excluding emergencies).....	2,131,630	2,520,147	2,516,737	+388,517
Total, Forest Service without Wildland fire.....	3,264,164	2,706,465	2,780,519	-483,645
Appropriations.....	(2,614,164)	(2,706,465)	(2,780,519)	(+166,355)
Emergency appropriations.....	(650,000)	---	---	(-650,000)
Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....	(2,614,164)	(2,706,465)	(2,780,519)	(+166,355)
TOTAL, FOREST SERVICE.....	6,065,794	5,226,612	5,297,256	-768,538
Appropriations.....	(4,750,794)	(5,237,612)	(5,297,256)	(+466,662)
Rescissions.....	(-5,000)	(-11,000)	---	(+5,000)
Emergency appropriations.....	(1,350,000)	---	---	(-1,350,000)
Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....	(4,745,794)	(5,226,612)	(5,297,256)	(+466,662)
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES				
INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE				
Indian Health Services				
Clinical Services:				
IHS and tribal health delivery				
Hospital and health clinic programs.....	1,597,777	1,751,883	1,754,383	+156,606
(Indian Healthcare Improvement Fund).....	(15,000)	(45,543)	(45,543)	(+30,543)
(Domestic Violence Prevention Initiative).....	(7,500)	(7,500)	(10,000)	(+2,500)
(Health Information Technology).....	(2,500)	(18,251)	(18,251)	(+13,751)
Dental health program.....	141,936	151,384	152,634	+10,698
Mental health program.....	67,748	72,786	72,786	+5,038
Alcohol and substance abuse program.....	183,769	194,409	194,409	+10,640
(Methamphetamine treatment and prevention).....	(16,391)	(16,391)	(16,391)	---
Contract care.....	634,477	779,347	779,347	+144,870
(Catastrophic health emergency fund).....	(31,000)	(48,000)	(48,000)	(+17,000)
Subtotal.....	2,625,707	2,949,809	2,953,559	+327,852
Preventive Health:				
Public health nursing.....	59,885	64,071	64,071	+4,186
Health education.....	15,723	16,682	16,682	+959
Community health representatives program.....	57,796	81,828	81,628	+3,832
Immunization (Alaska).....	1,823	1,934	1,934	+111
Subtotal.....	135,227	144,315	144,315	+9,088
Urban health program.....	36,189	38,139	43,139	+6,950
Indian health professions.....	37,500	40,743	40,743	+3,243
Tribal management.....	2,586	2,586	2,586	---
Direct operations.....	65,345	88,720	68,720	+3,375
Self-governance.....	6,004	8,066	8,066	+2,062
Contract support costs.....	282,398	389,490	398,490	+116,092
Emergency appropriations, ARA (P.L. 111-5).....	85,000	---	---	-85,000
Total, Indian Health Services.....	3,275,958	3,639,868	3,657,818	+381,862
Appropriations.....	(3,190,958)	(3,639,868)	(3,657,818)	(+466,662)
Emergency appropriations.....	(85,000)	---	---	(-85,000)
(Non-contract services).....	(2,841,479)	(2,880,521)	(2,878,271)	(+236,792)
(Contract care).....	(634,477)	(779,347)	(779,347)	(+144,870)
Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....	(3,190,958)	(3,639,868)	(3,657,818)	(+466,662)

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
<b>Indian Health Facilities</b>				
Maintenance and improvement.....	53,915	53,915	53,915	---
Sanitation facilities.....	95,857	95,857	95,857	---
Construction facilities.....	40,000	29,234	29,234	-10,766
Facilities and environmental health support.....	178,329	193,087	193,087	+14,758
Equipment.....	22,067	22,664	22,664	+597
Emergency appropriations, ARA (P.L. 111-5).....	415,000	---	---	-415,000
<b>Total, Indian Health Facilities.....</b>	<b>805,168</b>	<b>394,757</b>	<b>394,757</b>	<b>-410,411</b>
Appropriations.....	(390,168)	(394,757)	(394,757)	(+4,589)
Emergency appropriations.....	(415,000)	---	---	(-415,000)
<b>Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....</b>	<b>(390,168)</b>	<b>(394,757)</b>	<b>(394,757)</b>	<b>(+4,589)</b>
<b>TOTAL, INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE.....</b>				
Appropriations.....	(3,581,124)	(4,034,625)	(4,052,375)	(+471,251)
Emergency appropriations.....	(500,000)	---	---	(-500,000)
<b>Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....</b>	<b>(3,581,124)</b>	<b>(4,034,625)</b>	<b>(4,052,375)</b>	<b>(+471,251)</b>
<b>NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH</b>				
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences....	76,074	79,212	79,212	+1,138
<b>AGENCY FOR TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND DISEASE REGISTRY</b>				
Toxic substances and environmental public health.....	74,039	76,792	76,792	+2,753
<b>TOTAL, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES..</b>				
Appropriations.....	(3,733,237)	(4,190,629)	(4,208,379)	(+475,142)
Emergency appropriations.....	(500,000)	---	---	(-500,000)
<b>OTHER RELATED AGENCIES</b>				
<b>EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT</b>				
Council on Environmental Quality and Office of Environmental Quality.....	2,703	3,159	3,159	+456
<b>CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD</b>				
Salaries and expenses.....	10,199	10,547	11,147	+948
(Transfer to EPA, IG).....	(300)	(150)	---	(-300)
<b>OFFICE OF NAVAJO AND HOPI INDIAN RELOCATION</b>				
Salaries and expenses.....	7,530	8,000	8,000	+470
<b>INSTITUTE OF AMERICAN INDIAN AND ALASKA NATIVE CULTURE AND ARTS DEVELOPMENT</b>				
Payment to the Institute.....	7,900	8,300	8,300	+400
<b>SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION</b>				
<b>Salaries and Expenses</b>				
Museum and Research Institutes:				
Museum and Research Institutes.....	---	---	---	---
Anacostia Community Museum.....	1,994	2,048	2,048	+54
Center for Folklife and Cultural Heritage.....	2,219	2,282	2,282	+63
National Museum of African American History and Culture.....	12,329	12,167	12,167	-162
National Museum of American History.....	22,037	22,209	22,209	+172
National Museum of the American Indian.....	31,998	32,870	32,870	+874
Archives of American Art.....	1,784	1,858	1,858	+74
Arthur M. Sackler Gallery/Freer Gallery of Art.....	5,906	6,113	6,113	+207
Cooper-Hewitt, National Design Museum.....	3,937	4,103	4,103	+166
Hirshhorn Museum and Sculpture Garden.....	4,272	4,412	4,412	+140
National Museum of African Art.....	4,392	4,504	4,504	+112
National Portrait Gallery.....	5,651	5,867	5,867	+216
Smithsonian American Art Museum.....	8,788	8,145	8,145	-643
National Air and Space Museum.....	17,474	18,119	18,119	+645
National Museum of Natural History.....	46,138	47,728	47,728	+1,590
National Zoological Park.....	22,437	23,190	23,190	+753

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory.....	23,612	24,323	24,323	+711
Museum Conservation Institute.....	3,031	3,119	3,119	+88
Smithsonian Environmental Research Center.....	3,443	3,596	3,596	+153
Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute.....	12,611	14,546	14,546	+1,935
Subtotal, Museums and Research Institutes.....	234,052	242,199	242,199	+8,147
Program Support and Outreach:				
Outreach.....	9,720	9,969	9,969	+249
Communications.....	2,161	2,328	2,328	+167
Institution-wide programs.....	7,839	8,839	10,839	+3,000
Office of Exhibits Central.....	2,872	2,982	2,982	+110
Major scientific instrumentation.....	3,822	3,822	3,822	---
Museum Support Center.....	1,800	1,858	1,858	+58
Smithsonian Institution Archives.....	1,968	2,064	2,064	+96
Smithsonian Institution Libraries.....	9,024	10,008	10,008	+984
Subtotal.....	39,806	41,870	43,870	+4,064
Administration.....	69,229	76,494	76,494	+7,265
Facilities services:				
Facilities maintenance.....	87,846	72,935	72,935	+5,289
Facilities operations, security and support.....	180,245	198,087	198,087	+17,842
Subtotal.....	247,891	271,022	271,022	+23,131
Inspector General.....	2,422	2,576	2,576	+154
Total, Salaries and Expenses.....	583,400	634,161	636,161	+42,761
Facilities Capital				
Capitalization.....	104,500	89,300	89,300	-15,200
Facilities planning and design.....	18,500	35,700	35,700	+17,200
Emergency appropriations, ARA (P.L. 111-5).....	25,000	---	---	-25,000
Total, Facilities Capital.....	148,000	125,000	125,000	-23,000
Non-emergency.....	(123,000)	(125,000)	(125,000)	(+2,000)
Emergency.....	(25,000)	---	---	(-25,000)
Legacy Fund				
Legacy Fund.....	15,000	---	30,000	+15,000
Rescission of prior year balances.....	---	---	-29,766	-29,766
Total, Legacy Fund.....	15,000	---	234	-14,766
TOTAL, SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION.....	756,400	759,161	761,395	+4,995
Appropriations.....	(731,400)	(759,161)	(791,161)	(+59,761)
Emergency appropriations.....	(25,000)	---	---	(-25,000)
Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....	(731,400)	(759,161)	(761,395)	(+29,995)
NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART				
Salaries and Expenses				
Acquisition and utilization of art collections.....	34,734	35,142	36,902	+2,168
Operation and maintenance of buildings and grounds.....	28,643	29,267	29,267	+624
Protection of buildings, grounds and contents.....	22,252	23,776	23,776	+1,524
General administration.....	19,759	20,801	20,801	+1,042
Total, Salaries and Expenses.....	105,388	108,986	110,746	+5,358
Repair, Restoration and Renovation of Buildings				
Conservation program.....	17,368	56,259	56,259	+38,891
TOTAL, NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART.....	122,756	165,245	167,005	+44,249



DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Conference	Conference vs. Enacted
<b>JOHN F. KENNEDY CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS</b>				
Operations and maintenance.....	21,300	22,500	23,000	+1,700
Capital repair and restoration.....	15,064	17,447	17,447	+2,383
	=====	=====	=====	=====
<b>TOTAL, JOHN F. KENNEDY CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS.....</b>	<b>36,364</b>	<b>39,947</b>	<b>40,447</b>	<b>+4,083</b>
	=====	=====	=====	=====
<b>WOODROW WILSON INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR SCHOLARS</b>				
Salaries and expenses.....	10,000	10,225	12,225	+2,225
<b>NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES</b>				
<b>National Endowment for the Arts</b>				
<b>Grants and Administration</b>				
<b>Grants</b>				
Direct grants.....	54,100	59,524	63,235	+9,135
Challenge America grants.....	9,800	10,000	10,000	+200
National Initiative: American Masterpieces.....	13,300	10,000	10,000	-3,300
<b>State partnerships</b>				
State and regional.....	42,000	41,724	42,961	+961
Underserved set-aside.....	9,000	11,292	12,529	+3,529
Subtotal.....	51,000	53,016	55,490	+4,490
Subtotal, Grants.....	128,200	132,540	138,725	+10,525
Program support.....	1,750	1,850	1,850	+100
Administration.....	25,050	26,925	26,925	+1,875
Emergency appropriations, ARA (P.L. 111-5).....	50,000	---	---	-50,000
Total, Arts.....	205,000	161,315	167,500	-37,500
Appropriations.....	(155,000)	(161,315)	(167,500)	(+12,500)
Emergency appropriations.....	(50,000)	---	---	(-50,000)
Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....	(155,000)	(161,315)	(167,500)	(+12,500)
<b>National Endowment for the Humanities</b>				
<b>Grants and Administration</b>				
<b>Grants</b>				
Federal/State partnership.....	35,000	38,515	40,370	+5,370
Preservation and access.....	16,000	16,250	17,116	+1,116
Public programs.....	14,500	14,750	15,616	+1,116
Research programs.....	14,500	16,000	16,866	+2,366
Education programs.....	14,500	14,750	15,616	+1,116
Program development.....	400	750	750	+350
We The People Initiative grants.....	15,800	14,500	14,500	-1,300
Digital Humanities Initiatives.....	4,000	4,000	4,866	+866
Subtotal, Grants.....	114,700	119,515	125,700	+11,000
Matching Grants				
Treasury funds.....	5,000	4,800	4,800	-200
Challenge grants.....	9,300	9,500	9,500	+200
Subtotal, Matching grants.....	14,300	14,300	14,300	---
Administration.....	26,000	27,500	27,500	+1,500
Total, Humanities.....	155,000	161,315	167,500	+12,500
	=====	=====	=====	=====
<b>TOTAL, NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES.....</b>	<b>360,000</b>	<b>322,630</b>	<b>335,000</b>	<b>-25,000</b>
Appropriations.....	(310,000)	(322,630)	(335,000)	(+25,000)
Emergency appropriations.....	(50,000)	---	---	(-50,000)
Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....	(310,000)	(322,630)	(335,000)	(+25,000)
	=====	=====	=====	=====

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Conference Conference	vs. Enacted
COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS				
Salaries and expenses.....	2,234	2,284	2,284	+60
NATIONAL CAPITAL ARTS AND CULTURAL AFFAIRS				
Grants.....	9,500	10,000	9,500	---
*requested in National Endowment for the Humanities in FY 2010 President's request				
ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION				
Salaries and expenses.....	5,498	5,908	5,908	+410
NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION				
Salaries and expenses.....	8,328	8,507	8,507	+179
UNITED STATES HOLOCAUST MEMORIAL MUSEUM				
Holocaust Memorial Museum.....	47,260	48,551	49,122	+1,862
PRESIDIO TRUST				
Operations.....	17,450	17,230	23,200	+5,750
DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER MEMORIAL COMMISSION				
Salaries and expenses.....	2,000	3,000	3,000	+1,000
Capital construction.....	---	16,000	16,000	+16,000
=====				
Total, DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER MEMORIAL COMMISSION.....	2,000	19,000	19,000	+17,000
=====				
TOTAL, TITLE III, RELATED AGENCIES.....	11,735,153	10,855,945	10,969,844	-765,309
Appropriations.....	(9,815,153)	(10,866,945)	(10,999,610)	(+1,184,457)
Rescissions.....	(-5,000)	(-11,000)	(-29,766)	(-24,766)
Emergency appropriations.....	(1,925,000)	---	---	(-1,925,000)
=====				
Total discretionary, excluding emergencies.....	(9,810,153)	(10,855,945)	(10,969,844)	(+1,159,691)
=====				
TITLE IV - GENERAL PROVISIONS				
Forest Service Marine fees.....	1,000	---	---	-1,000
EPA Hunter's Point Remediation (section 414).....	8,000	---	8,000	---
Compact payments, Palau (section 117).....	---	---	12,000	+12,000
Cabin user fee (S. Sec. 430).....	---	---	2,000	+2,000
Geothermal Energy Receipts(section 419).....	---	---	-15,000	-15,000
=====				
TOTAL, TITLE IV, GENERAL PROVISIONS.....	9,000	---	8,000	-1,000
=====				
GRAND TOTAL.....	38,790,958	32,382,043	32,294,848	-6,496,110
Appropriations.....	27,653,116	32,433,043	32,395,614	+4,742,498
Rescissions.....	-62,158	-51,000	-100,766	-38,608
Emergency appropriations.....	11,200,000	---	---	-11,200,000
=====				
Discretionary total.....	27,579,108	32,325,193	32,239,998	+4,660,890
=====				

## CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 2010 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 2009 amount, the 2010 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 2010 follow:

[In thousands of dollars]	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2009 .....	\$38,790,958
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2010 .....	32,382,043
House bill, fiscal year 2010 .....	32,354,850
Senate bill, fiscal year 2010 .....	32,153,734
Conference agreement, fiscal year 2010 .....	32,294,848
Conference agreement compared with:	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2009 .....	– 6,496,110
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2010 .....	– 87,195
House bill, fiscal year 2010 .....	– 60,002
Senate bill, fiscal year 2010 .....	+141,114

DIVISION B—FURTHER CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS,  
2010

Division B provides further continuing appropriations for agencies and activities that would be covered by the regular fiscal year 2010 appropriations bills not yet enacted into law. Specifically, language is included amending the first fiscal year 2010 continuing resolution (division B of Public Law 111–68) to extend its general expiration date to December 18 2009, to add certain additional necessary extensions, and to make technical corrections.

DAVID R. OBEY,  
NORMAN D. DICKS,  
JAMES P. MORAN,  
ALAN B. MOLLOHAN,  
BEN CHANDLER,  
MAURICE D. HINCHEY,  
JOHN W. OLVER,  
ED PASTOR,  
DAVID E. PRICE,

*Managers on the Part of the House.*

DIANNE FEINSTEIN,  
ROBERT C. BYRD,  
PATRICK J. LEAHY,  
BYRON L. DORGAN,  
BARBARA A. MIKULSKI,  
HERB KOHL,  
TIM JOHNSON,  
JACK REED,  
BEN NELSON,  
JON TESTER,  
DANIEL K. INOUE,  
LAMAR ALEXANDER,  
THAD COCHRAN,  
ROBERT F. BENNETT,  
JUDD GREGG,  
LISA MURKOWSKI,  
SUSAN M. COLLINS,

*Managers on the Part of the Senate.*

