

Calendar No. 637

108TH CONGRESS }
2d Session }

SENATE

{ REPORT
{ 108-309

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATION BILL, 2005

JULY 15, 2004.—Ordered to be printed

Mrs. HUTCHISON, from the Committee on Appropriations,
submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany S. 2674]

The Committee on Appropriations reports the bill (S. 2674) making appropriations for military construction, family housing, and base realignment and closure for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2005, and for other purposes, reports favorably thereon and recommends that the bill do pass.

Total of bill as reported to Senate	\$10,003,000,000
Amount of 2005 budget estimate	9,553,375,000
Amount of 2004 appropriations	9,316,000,000
The bill as reported to the Senate:	
Over the budget estimate, 2005	449,625,000
Above appropriations for fiscal year 2004	687,000,000

CONTENTS

	Page
Background:	
Purpose of the bill	3
Comparative statement	3
Compliance with section 308 of the Budget Control Act	8
Committee recommendation	8
Items of special interest	9
Military construction, Army	18
Military construction, Navy	18
Military construction, Air Force	19
Military construction, Defense-wide	21
Military construction, Reserve components	24
NATO Security Investment Program	26
Family housing overview	27
Family housing, Army	27
Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps	29
Family housing, Air Force	30
Family housing, Defense-wide	32
Family housing improvement fund	32
Base realignment and closure account, part IV	33
General provisions	35
Compliance with paragraph 7, rule XVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate ...	36
Compliance with paragraph 7(c), rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	36
Compliance with paragraph 12, rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	37
Project listing by location	38

BACKGROUND

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The Military Construction appropriation bill provides necessary funding for the planning, design, construction, alteration, and improvement of military facilities worldwide, both for Active and Reserve Forces. It also finances the construction, alteration, improvement, operation, and maintenance of military family housing, including payments against past housing mortgage indebtedness. Certain types of community impact assistance may be provided, as well as assistance to members of the military who face loss on the sale of private residences due to installation realignments and closures. The bill is also the source for the U.S. share of the NATO Security Investment Program. In addition, the bill provides funding to implement base closures and realignments authorized by law.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

The Committee recommends appropriations totaling \$10,003,000,000 for fiscal year 2005 military construction, family housing, and base closure. The following table displays the Committee recommendation in comparison with the current fiscal year, and the President's fiscal year 2005 request.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY
 [In thousands of dollars]

Item	2004 enacted	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Increase (+) or decrease (—) compared with—	
				2004 enacted	Budget estimate
Military construction, Army	1,448,239	1,771,285	1,977,166	+ 528,927	+ 205,881
Rescissions	— 183,615			+ 183,615	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 108–106)	162,100			— 162,100	
Total	1,426,724	1,771,285	1,977,166	+ 550,442	+ 205,881
Military construction, Navy	1,238,458	1,060,455	1,016,315	— 222,143	— 44,140
Rescissions	— 45,622			+ 45,622	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 108–106)	45,530			— 45,530	
Total	1,238,366	1,060,455	1,016,315	— 222,051	— 44,140
Military construction, Air Force	1,067,751	663,964	841,131	— 226,620	+ 177,167
Rescission	— 23,000			+ 23,000	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 108–106)	292,550			— 292,550	
Total	1,337,301	663,964	841,131	— 496,170	+ 177,167
Military construction, Defense-wide	653,656	709,337	696,491	+ 42,835	— 12,846
Rescission	— 72,309			+ 72,309	
Total	581,347	709,337	696,491	+ 115,144	— 12,846
Total, Active components	4,583,738	4,205,041	4,531,103	— 52,635	+ 326,062
Military construction, Army National Guard	311,592	295,657	381,765	+ 70,173	+ 86,108
Military construction, Air National Guard	222,908	127,368	231,083	+ 8,175	+ 103,715
Military construction, Army Reserve	88,451	87,070	66,325	— 22,126	— 20,745
Military construction, Naval Reserve	45,498	25,285	33,735	— 11,763	+ 8,450
Military construction, Air Force Reserve	62,032	84,556	101,373	+ 39,341	+ 16,817
Total, Reserve components	730,481	619,936	814,281	+ 83,800	+ 194,345
Total, Military construction	5,314,219	4,824,977	5,345,384	+ 31,165	+ 520,407
Appropriations	(5,138,585)	(4,824,977)	(5,345,384)	(+ 206,799)	(+ 520,407)

Rescissions	(- 324,546)		(- 324,546)		(+ 324,546)	
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program	189,300	165,800	165,800	165,800	- 3,500	
Rescission	- 8,000				+ 8,000	
Total	161,300	165,800	165,800	165,800	+ 4,500	
Family housing construction, Army	383,591	636,099	636,099	636,099	+ 252,508	
Rescission	- 94,151				+ 94,151	
Total	289,440	636,099	636,099	636,099	+ 346,659	
Family housing operation and maintenance, Army	1,033,026	928,907	928,907	928,907	- 104,119	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 108-106)	11,420				- 11,420	
Total	1,044,446	928,907	928,907	928,907	- 115,539	
Family housing construction, Navy and Marine Corps	184,193	139,107	139,107	139,107	- 45,086	
Rescission	- 40,508				+ 40,508	
Total	143,685	139,107	139,107	139,107	- 4,578	
Family housing operation and maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps	883,078	704,504	704,504	704,504	- 130,574	
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 108-106)	6,280				- 6,280	
Total	841,358	704,504	704,504	704,504	- 136,854	
Family housing construction, Air Force	657,065	846,959	846,959	846,959	+ 189,894	
Rescission	- 19,347				+ 19,347	
Total	637,718	846,959	846,959	846,959	+ 209,241	
Family housing operation and maintenance, Air Force	816,074	863,896	863,896	856,114	+ 40,040	- 7,782
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 108-106)	6,981				- 6,981	
Total	823,055	863,896	863,896	856,114	+ 33,059	- 7,782
Family housing construction, Defense-wide	350	49	49	49	- 301	
Family housing operation and maintenance, Defense-wide	49,440	49,575	49,575	49,575	+ 135	
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	300	2,500	2,500	2,500	+ 2,200	
Rescission	- 9,692				+ 9,692	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY—Continued
[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2004 enacted	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Increase (+) or decrease (–) compared with—	
				2004 enacted	Budget estimate
Total	–9,392	2,500	2,500	+ 11,892	
Total, Family housing	3,820,100	4,171,596	4,163,814	+ 343,714	–7,782
Chemical demilitarization construction, Defense	119,815	81,886	81,886	– 37,929	
Base realignment and closure account	370,427	246,116	246,116	– 124,311	
General provision (sec. 118)	55,000	63,000		– 55,000	– 63,000
Grand total:					
New budget (obligational) authority	9,840,861	9,553,375	10,003,000	+ 162,139	+ 449,625
Appropriations	(9,812,244)	(9,553,375)	(10,003,000)	(+ 190,756)	(+ 449,625)
Emergency appropriations	(524,861)			(– 524,861)	
Rescissions	(– 496,244)			(+ 496,244)	
SUMMARY OF APPROPRIATIONS ACCOUNTS					
Military Construction, Army	1,426,724	1,771,285	1,977,166	+ 550,442	+ 205,881
Military Construction, Navy	1,238,366	1,060,455	1,016,315	– 222,051	– 44,140
Military Construction, Air Force	1,337,301	663,964	841,131	– 496,170	+ 177,167
Military Construction, Defense-wide	581,347	709,337	696,491	+ 115,144	– 12,846
Total, Active components	4,583,738	4,205,041	4,531,103	– 52,635	+ 326,062
Military Construction, Army National Guard	311,592	295,657	381,765	+ 70,173	+ 86,108
Military Construction, Air National Guard	222,908	127,368	231,083	+ 8,175	+ 103,715
Military Construction, Army Reserve	88,451	87,070	66,325	– 22,126	– 20,745
Military Construction, Naval Reserve	45,498	25,285	33,735	– 11,763	+ 8,450
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve	62,032	84,556	101,373	+ 39,341	+ 16,817
Total, Reserve components	730,481	619,936	814,281	+ 83,800	+ 194,345
Total, Military Construction	5,314,219	4,824,977	5,345,384	+ 31,165	+ 520,407

North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program	161,300	165,800	165,800	+ 4,500	
Family Housing, Army:					
Construction	289,440	636,099	636,099	+ 346,659	
Operation and Maintenance	1,044,446	928,907	928,907	- 115,539	
Total, Family Housing, Army	1,333,886	1,565,006	1,565,006	+ 231,120	
Family Housing, Navy and Marine Corps:					
Construction	143,685	139,107	139,107	- 4,578	
Operation and Maintenance	841,358	704,504	704,504	- 136,854	
Total, Family Housing, Navy and Marine Corps	985,043	843,611	843,611	- 141,432	
Family Housing, Air Force:					
Construction	637,718	846,959	846,959	+ 209,241	
Operation and Maintenance	823,055	863,896	856,114	+ 33,059	- 7,782
Total, Family Housing, Air Force	1,460,773	1,710,855	1,703,073	+ 242,300	- 7,782
Family Housing, Defense-wide:					
Construction	350	49	49	- 301	
Operation and Maintenance	49,440	49,575	49,575	+ 135	
Total, Family Housing, Defense-wide	49,790	49,624	49,624	- 166	
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	- 9,392	2,500	2,500	+ 11,892	
Total, Family Housing	3,820,100	4,171,596	4,163,814	+ 343,714	- 7,782
Chemical demilitarization construction, Defense	119,815	81,886	81,886	- 37,929	
Base Realignment and Closure Account	370,427	246,116	246,116	- 124,311	
General provision	55,000	63,000		- 55,000	- 63,000
Grand Total	9,840,861	9,553,375	10,003,000	+ 162,139	+ 449,625

COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 308 OF THE BUDGET CONTROL ACT

Section 308(a) of the Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344) requires that the Committee include in its report a comparison of its recommendations with levels contained in the first concurrent resolution. Appropriate data are reflected below:

BUDGETARY IMPACT OF BILL

PREPARED IN CONSULTATION WITH THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE PURSUANT TO SEC.
308(a), PUBLIC LAW 93–344, AS AMENDED

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget authority		Outlays	
	Committee allocation ¹	Amount of bill	Committee allocation ¹	Amount of bill
Comparison of amounts in the bill with Committee allocations to its subcommittees of amounts in the Budget Resolution for 2005: Subcommittee on Military Construction:				
Discretionary	NA	10,003	NA	¹ 9,995
Projection of outlays associated with the recommendation:				
2005				² 2,438
2006				3,591
2007				2,244
2008				939
2009 and future years				669
Financial assistance to State and local governments for 2005	NA		NA	

¹ Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

² Excludes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

NA: Not applicable.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends new fiscal year 2005 appropriations of \$10,003,000,000. This is \$449,625,000 over the budget request, and \$687,000,000 above the appropriations for fiscal year 2004. The basis for this recommendation is contained in the following “Items of special interest,” and under the discussions pertaining to each individual appropriation. Complete project detail is provided in the tables at the end of the report.

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

HEARINGS

The Subcommittee on Military Construction held two hearings on the fiscal year 2005 budget request. On March 30, 2004, the subcommittee heard testimony from representatives of the Department of Defense and the United States Air Force concerning fiscal year 2005 budget priorities, base realignment and closure [BRAC], and the impending restructuring of United States military facilities overseas. On April 7, 2004 the subcommittee held a hearing on the budget requests of the United States Army and United States Navy.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The budget request for fiscal year 2005 reflects an increase of \$237,375,000 from the amount enacted in fiscal year 2004.

The Committee recommends an additional \$449,625,000 above the fiscal year 2005 budget request. The total recommended appropriation for fiscal year 2005 is \$10,003,000,000, an increase of \$687,000,000 from fiscal year 2004 funding.

OVERSEAS MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

The Committee continues to be concerned about expenditures for military facilities outside the United States. The report accompanying the fiscal year 2004 Military Construction Appropriations bill noted that the Department of Defense was more than a year overdue in reporting to this Committee on its overseas basing master plan. In the face of great uncertainty over future basing, the Committee declined funding for numerous overseas construction projects.

A year later, the master plan still has not been received, and the Department has yet to announce the results of its global basing and presence study. The Committee recognizes the Department's efforts, in the absence of a completed plan, to reduce somewhat its request for overseas construction, and concurs with that decision. However, in the face of continued uncertainty about the future of the U.S. military presence overseas, the Committee recommends against funding several construction projects outside the United States. The Committee looks forward to the opportunity to carefully consider the Department's plans, once they are released, as well as the report of the Commission on the Review of the Overseas Military Facility Structure of the United States.

OVERSEAS BASING COMMISSION

The fiscal year 2004 Military Construction Appropriations Act (Public Law 108-132) created the Commission on Review of Overseas Military Facility Structure of the United States (the Overseas

Basing Commission) to provide Congress an independent assessment of the Nation's requirement for overseas military bases. The Commission's final report to the Congress and the President will help inform the Base Realignment and Closure [BRAC] process, congressional consideration of the Department's global basing and presence plan, and congressional consideration of the fiscal year 2006 military construction appropriations request.

Although the Department expressed its opposition to creation of the Commission, the Commission became law when the President signed the fiscal year 2004 Military Construction Appropriations Act on November 22, 2003. The law provides that "the Commission may secure directly from any Federal department or agency such information as the Commission considers necessary . . .". The law also provides authority for the Department of Defense to detail to the Commission any employee, without compensation. Regardless of the Department's original antipathy, the Commission is law and the Committee expects the Defense Department's full cooperation with the Commission on these and all matters provided for in the authorizing legislation.

Because of delays in the appointment of commissioners, the establishment of suitable Commission facilities, and the submission to the Congress of the Department's global basing and presence plan, the deadline for the Commission's final report is extended to August 15, 2005. This will make the Commission's life coterminous with its funding, which under current law expires September 30, 2005, and will provide an opportunity for the Commission to interact with the Base Closure and Realignment Commission, whose members need not be appointed until March 15, 2005. However, in order to inform both BRAC and consideration of the fiscal year 2006 military construction appropriations bill, the committee urges the Overseas Basing Commission to present its preliminary conclusions to the Congress no later than March 31, 2005.

UNPROGRAMMED PROJECTS

The Committee is greatly concerned about the increasing frequency with which the Department has submitted requests for out-of-cycle and over-threshold construction projects purporting to rectify "life/safety/health" deficiencies. This justification has been used especially often to justify projects, such as new gates or large vehicle inspection facilities, intended to correct anti-terrorism/force protection [ATFP] deficiencies.

The Committee fully recognizes the need to improve security and provide adequate protection to personnel working and living on military installations. In the fiscal year 2002 supplemental appropriation for the Department of Defense, the Committee provided broad authority to expend appropriations from the Defense Emergency Response Fund [DERF] for just such military construction projects, including some \$113,000,000 for access control initiatives identified by the Department.

However, as reiterated in the Senate Armed Services Committee report accompanying the fiscal year 2004 National Defense Authorization Act, the life/safety/health exception is intended solely for urgent construction projects addressing deficiencies whose sudden emergence could not have been anticipated and which pose so im-

mediate a threat to the life, safety, or health of personnel that their correction cannot wait until the next appropriation cycle. The exception is not intended as a catch-all provision enabling the Department to use excess funds for miscellaneous projects for which it has failed to properly program. Unfortunately, the Department appears to view the provision in just such a way as it has submitted numerous projects under the rubric of life/safety/health that do not meet these criteria. For example:

- The Navy cited this provision as justification for building a shooting range in Bahrain, on the theory that sailors not properly trained in small arms would be unable to carry out their defensive mission, thus endangering their lives and those of their comrades. While the Committee firmly believes in providing adequate resources to support training requirements, there is virtually no construction project—tank ranges, aircraft simulators, recruit training barracks—which could not be justified under such an expansive definition of “life/safety/health.” Such an expansive reading renders the exception meaningless.
- The Air Force notified the Committee in June 2004—three quarters of the way through fiscal year 2004—of its intent to correct “life/safety/health” ATRP deficiencies that “were identified and fully defined in mid fiscal year 2003.” If these deficiencies truly posed an immediate threat to the life, safety or health of personnel, the Air Force was woefully negligent in waiting 15 months to correct them. The Committee prefers to believe that the Air Force is merely attempting to use this exception as a convenient excuse to meet a requirement for which it had not budgeted.
- On April 9, 2003, the Department notified the Committee of its intent to expend \$29,500,000 of DERF funds to improve gate security at seven Army bases in the United States. In February 2004, the Army cited “life/safety/health” as the authority to proceed with several of the same projects, asserting that the security deficiencies posed “unacceptable and imminent risk to personnel.” Asked why the projects had not been executed in accordance with the previous year’s notification, the Army explained that the Office of the Secretary of Defense had withdrawn the funding—without notifying Congress—to use it for other purposes. If the Department itself did not feel sufficient urgency to execute the projects nearly a year earlier, when it not only had the funding available but also had notified the Congress of its intention to proceed, it is difficult for the Committee to take seriously later claims of imminent life/safety/health risks.

Force protection is a serious matter; the Committee treats it as such and is committed to providing the resources necessary to adequately protect Department personnel. However, nearly 3 years after September 11, it is unthinkable that the Department continues to discover force protection deficiencies that require immediate remediation. Indeed, in light of the Committee’s appreciation for the capabilities and competence of the Department, it is not credible that the Department is unaware of such deficiencies and is unable to program for them.

The Department's credibility is also becoming strained with respect to projects justified as "emergency" construction under Section 2803, Title 10, USC. This provision has been cited to justify unauthorized construction projects that the Department reasonably should have been able to anticipate and program for, or which are of questionable urgency.

The Committee will scrutinize carefully any out-of-cycle construction projects justified on the basis of life/safety/health or emergency considerations to ensure there is compelling evidence that such projects meet these criteria. The Committee directs the Department to submit to congressional defense committees no later than December 31, 2004, a comprehensive list of outstanding antiterrorism/force protection construction requirements for United States military bases, including the location, project description, estimated cost, and fiscal year in which the project is expected to be funded.

ANTI-TERRORIST/FORCE PROTECTION [ATFP] CONSTRUCTION TECHNOLOGY

Revised ATFP standards have imposed significant additional requirements on military construction, including greater blast resistance, increased standoff distances, and resistance to chemical and biological weapons. These requirements make it imperative that the United States employs the most cost-effective technology to enhance the security of its military personnel. The Committee is aware that the Ministry of Defense of the United Kingdom has accepted for use a steel sandwich construction system comprising two steel plates separated by transverse steel bars and capable of being filled with various materials which provide a range of protection levels. The Committee directs the Department to examine the potential suitability of this technology for use in U.S. military construction and to report its findings to the Committee no later than December 31, 2004.

STEEL FRAMING

The Committee understands that the Department currently uses cold-formed steel for the framing of both residential and non-residential construction projects in tropical and coastal regions. Steel is more resistant than other building materials to the hurricane, mold, rot, and insect problems indigenous to those areas. Further, steel is fire resistant, domestically produced, and can have lower life cycle costs. The Committee applauds the Department for its current use, and encourages the Department to expand the use of steel framing to other regions as well.

BARRACKS CONSTRUCTION

The fiscal year 2005 budget request includes \$1,035,895,000 to construct or modernize 35 barracks projects.

The Committee recommends \$1,015,145,000 for barracks construction projects in fiscal year 2005, a decrease of \$20,750,000 from the budget request.

CHARTER SCHOOLS

The Committee notes that the military housing privatization program has been very successful in improving the quality of housing for military families and has encouraged more military families with school age children to live on or adjacent to military installations. The Committee also recognizes that the privatization program can impact the demographics of the communities surrounding military installations, and has a potentially significant impact on some local school districts. In view of the current Base Realignment and Closure process and the Defense Department's Global Posture Review, both of which could consolidate more military families at fewer installations, the Committee believes it is increasingly important to understand the impact of housing privatization on local educational resources. The privatization program has generated various approaches to address the resultant educational impact on local communities. One particularly innovative example is the charter school at the Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base, Belle Chasse, Louisiana. The Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to study the feasibility of using charter schools in conjunction with the privatization program to address military school requirements, and to explore the feasibility of using the Belle Chasse charter school as a model to be replicated in other parts of the country.

BARRACKS CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Service	Installation	Project	Request	Recommended
Alaska	Army	Fort Richardson	Barracks Complex (60 Person)	7,600	7,600
	Army	Fort Wainwright	Barracks Complex—Lorraine Road (144 Person)	39,815	39,815
	Army	Fort Wainwright	Barracks Complex Renewal—Santiago Road (144 Person)	30,912	30,912
Arizona	Navy	Yuma	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (150 Room)	18,740	18,740
	Air Force	Luke AFB	Dormitory (120 Room)	10,000	10,000
California	Navy	Camp Pendleton	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (200 Room)	19,975	19,975
Colorado	Army	Fort Carson	Barracks Complex—Hospital Area (128 Person)	14,108	14,108
Georgia	Army	Fort Benning	Barracks Complex—Kelley Hill/Main Post (456 Person)	49,565	49,565
	Army	Fort Stewart	Barracks Complex—5Th & 16Th St Ph 2 (336 Person)	32,950	32,950
Hawaii	Army	Schofield Barracks	Barracks Complex Renewal—Capron Ave Ph 3 (200 Person)	48,000	48,000
	Army	Schofield Barracks	Barracks Complex—Quad E, Ph 2 (150 Person)	36,000	36,000
Illinois	Navy	Great Lakes	RTC Barracks (1,056 Person)	35,920	35,920
	Navy	Great Lakes	RTC Barracks (1,056 Person)	38,851	38,851
Kansas	Army	Fort Riley	Barracks Complex Renewal (312 Person)	41,000	41,000
Kentucky	Army	Fort Campbell	Barracks Complex—42Nd St/Indiana Ave Ph 1 (504 Person)	30,000	30,000
Louisiana	Air Force	Barksdale AFB	Dormitory (168 Room)	13,800	13,800
Minnesota	Air National Guard	Duluth IAP	Air Sovereignty Alert—Crew Quarters (12 Person)	3,000	3,000
New York	Army	Fort Drum	Barracks Complex—Wheeler Sack AAF Ph 2 (480 Person)	48,000	48,000
North Carolina	Army	Fort Bragg	Barracks Complex Renewal Blackjack St Ph 1 (200 Person)	49,000	49,000
	Army	Fort Bragg	Barracks Complex—Bastogne Dr Ph 2 (768 Person)	48,000	48,000
	Army	Fort Bragg	Barracks Complex—Donovan Street Ph 5 (240 Person)	15,500	15,500
	Navy	New River	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (216 Room)	20,780	20,780
Texas	Army	Fort Hood	Barracks Complex (480 Person)	49,888	49,888
	Air Force	Sheppard AFB	Student Dormitory (300 Room)	29,000	29,000
Virginia	Army	Fort Myer	Barracks Complex—Sheridan Ave Ph 1 (420 Person)	49,526	49,526
Washington	Navy	Quantico	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (130 Room)	15,090	15,090
	Army	Fort Lewis	Barracks Complex—41St Div Dr/B St Ph 2 (420 Person)	48,000	48,000
	Navy	Bremerton	BEQ—Shipboard Ashore (198 Room) [Phase I]	34,125	34,125
Bahamas	Navy	Andros Island	Bachelor Quarters (76 Room)	20,750	20,750
Greenland	Air Force	Thule AB	Dorm (72 Room)	19,800	19,800
Germany	Army	Grafenwoehr	Barracks Complex	28,500	28,500
	Army	Grafenwoehr	Barracks Complex—Brigade	34,000	34,000
Korea	Air Force	Kunsan AB	Dormitory (144 Room)	18,550	18,550

Total	Air Force	Kunsan AB	Dormitory (144 Room)	18,550	18,550
				18,600	18,600
Total	Air Force	Osan AB	Dormitory (156 Room)	1,035,895	1,015,145
Army Total	Air Force	Osan AB	Dormitory (156 Room)	700,364	700,364
				204,231	183,481
Navy Total	Air Force	Osan AB	Dormitory (156 Room)	128,300	128,300
				3,000	3,000
Air Force Total	Air Force	Osan AB	Dormitory (156 Room)		
Air National Guard Total	Air Force	Osan AB	Dormitory (156 Room)		

CONFORMANCE WITH AUTHORIZATION BILL

The Committee strongly supports the authorization-appropriation process. However, the Committee has reported the appropriation bill prior to completion of the authorization process. Therefore, the Committee has provided construction funds for specific projects which were included in the Senate version of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005.

REPROGRAMMING RULES/CRITERIA

The following rules apply for all military construction and family housing reprogrammings. A project or account (including the subelements of an account) which has been specifically reduced by the Congress in acting on the appropriation request is considered to be a congressional interest item. A prior approval reprogramming is required for any increase to an item that has been specifically reduced by the Congress. Accordingly, no below threshold reprogrammings to an item specifically reduced by the Congress are permitted.

The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects (25 percent of the funded amount or \$2,000,000, whichever is less) continue to apply to new housing construction projects and to improvements over \$2,000,000. To provide the individual Services the flexibility to proceed with construction contracts without disruption or delay, the costs associated with environmental hazard remediation such as asbestos removal, radon abatement, lead-based paint removal or abatement, and any other legislated environmental hazard remediation may be excluded, provided that such remediation requirements could not be reasonably anticipated at the time of budget submission. This exclusion applies to projects authorized in the budget year, and also projects authorized in prior years for which construction contracts have not been completed.

Furthermore, in instances where a prior approval reprogramming request for a project or account has been approved by the Committee, the amount approved becomes the new base for any future increase or decrease via below threshold reprogrammings (provided that the project or account is not a congressional interest item).

REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE REPORTING REQUIREMENT

The Committee recommends a continuation of the following general rules for repairing a facility under Operations and Maintenance account funding:

Components of the facility may be repaired by replacement, and such replacement can be up to current standards or code.

Interior arrangements and restorations may be included as repair, but additions, new facilities, and functional conversions must be performed as military construction projects.

Such projects may be done concurrent with repair projects, as long as the final conjunctively funded project is a complete and usable facility.

The appropriate Service Secretary shall submit a 21-day notification prior to carrying out any repair project with an estimated cost in excess of \$7,500,000.

The Department is directed to continue to provide the real property maintenance backlog at all installations for which there is a requested construction project in future budget requests. This information is to be provided on Form 1390. In addition, for all troop housing requests, the Form 1391 is to continue to show all real property maintenance conducted in the past 2 years and all future requirements for unaccompanied housing at that installation.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2004	\$1,426,724,000
Budget estimate, 2005	1,771,285,000
Committee recommendation	1,977,166,000

The Committee recommends \$1,977,166,000 for the Army for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$205,881,000 over the budget request for fiscal year 2005. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2005 as practical:

Ammunition Igloo Door Installation, Toole Army Depot, UT.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,400,000 be made available for this project.

Facilities Upgrade, Camp Rudder, Eglin AFB, FL.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$365,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MacGregor Range Tank Bypass, Holloman AFB, NM.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,656,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Soldier Service Center, Fort Campbell, KY.—This project, currently programmed for fiscal year 2009, will consolidate soldier support facilities under one roof. During the 101st Airborne Division's deployment and redeployment in support of Operation Iraqi Freedom, these activities were spread out over numerous locations and required a physical fitness center to be shut down in order to serve as a soldier processing center. This facility will improve greatly the power projection capabilities of the 101st Airborne by enhancing their ability to prepare and process individual soldiers to deploy out of Fort Campbell. The Committee strongly urges the Army to move this project forward to fiscal year 2006.

Taxiway Improvements, Godman Army Airfield, Fort Knox, KY.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$940,000 be made available for completion of this project.

Woodlawn Road Relocation, Fort Belvoir, VA.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,890,000 be made available for the design of this project.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2004	\$1,238,366,000
Budget estimate, 2005	1,060,455,000
Committee recommendation	1,016,315,000

The Committee recommends \$1,016,315,000 for Navy and Marine Corps military construction for fiscal year 2005. This amount is a decrease of \$44,140,000 from the fiscal year 2005 budget request. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following project to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2005 as practical:

Waterfront Operations Facilities, Kaneohe Bay, HI.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$600,000 be made available for the design of these facilities.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2004	\$1,337,301,000
Budget estimate, 2005	663,964,000
Committee recommendation	841,131,000

The Committee recommends \$841,131,000 for the Air Force in fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$177,167,000 from the fiscal year 2005 budget request. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

SPANGDAHLEM AIR BASE, GERMANY

Spangdahlem Air Base, Germany, currently hosts an Air Force fighter wing and is an integral part of the program to move airlift capabilities from Rhein Main Air Base. The future of the fighter presence at Spangdahlem is uncertain in light of the Department's ongoing global basing and presence review, but given significant investments made under the Rhein Main Transition Program, a continued U.S. presence at this base is likely. Increased military construction requirements are already forcing an expansion into areas northwest of the base, and future plans call for the potential acquisition of still more land outside the base's current boundaries. However, significant acreage around the Spangdahlem flight line is occupied by aging hardened shelters in which fighter aircraft are housed. These shelters provide substandard work space, are expensive to maintain, and are arrayed to enhance the security of the aircraft, not to maximize the utilization of space. A legacy of the cold war, the aircraft shelters may not be appropriate to current and projected military requirements; demolishing them and putting the space to better use may be a more cost-effective alternative to further expansion of the base. The Committee directs the Air Force to examine this alternative, given projected military requirements at Spangdahlem, and report its findings to the congressional defense committees no later than January 31, 2005.

SCOTT AIR FORCE BASE, ILLINOIS

The Committee is aware of new and expanded missions at Scott Air Force Base [IL], including procurement of C-40C aircraft to be flown by the 932nd Airlift Wing with the 375th Air Wing as an active associate and the transfer of C-9C aircraft from Andrews Air Force Base [MD] to Scott AFB. The expanded C-9 mission and the new C-40 mission will tax U.S. TRANSCOM and Tanker Airlift Command Center headquarters and require additional administra-

tive and operations space/structures. The Committee recognizes the importance of Scott Air Force Base to our national defense. In order to accommodate additional capacity at the Base and fully support the new and expanded missions, the Committee directs the Air Force to pursue options, including consideration of an agreement with St. Clair County, Illinois, to expedite the construction of joint administrative and operations structures at Scott Air Force Base.

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2005 as practical:

Clear Rinse Facility, Hickam AFB, HI.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$92,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Joint Regional Combat Arms Training Center, Hickam AFB, HI.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$693,000 be made available for the design of this training center.

Land Acquisition, Dover Air Force Base, DE.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$740,000 be made available for this land acquisition.

Main/Satellite Fire/Crash Rescue Station, Hickam AFB, HI.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,340,000 be made available for the design of this rescue station. This is an urgent requirement, and the Committee urges the Air Force to retain it in the fiscal year 2006 budget submission.

Parking Structure, Los Angeles AFB, CA.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$640,000 be made available for the design of this structure.

Port of Anchorage Stryker Access Road, Elmendorf AFB/Fort Richardson, AK.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$2,000,000 be made available for the design of this project.

Propulsion Energetics Science Laboratory, Edwards AFB, CA.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,314,000 be made available for the design of this laboratory.

Visiting Airmen's Quarters, Elmendorf AFB, AK.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$140,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Water Treatment Facility, Indian Springs Air Force Auxiliary Field, NV.—The committee directs the Air Force to conduct a study on the feasibility of constructing a waste water treatment facility in Indian Springs, Nevada, and report to the congressional defense committees no later than December 31, 2004. The study should be conducted jointly with the Clark County Water Reclamation District and the township of Indian Springs, Nevada. The study should take into account the proposed expansion of the Air Force mission at Indian Springs Auxiliary Field, as well as the long term needs of the community. Efficiencies and cost savings resulting from a jointly constructed and managed facility should be explored in detail.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2004	\$581,347,000
Budget estimate, 2005	709,337,000
Committee recommendation	696,491,000

The Committee recommends \$696,491,000 for projects considered within the “Defense-wide” account. The amount recommended is a decrease of \$12,846,000 from the fiscal year 2005 budget request. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following project to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2005 as possible:

Special Operations Forces Cold Weather Training Facility, Kodiak Island, AK.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$720,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MEDICAL CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

The fiscal year 2005 budget request includes \$183,138,000 for nine major construction projects. The Committee recommends a total of \$187,838,000 for 10 projects, an increase of \$4,700,000.

MEDICAL CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Service	Installation	Project	Request	Recommended
Alaska	Defense Wide	Fort Wainwright	Hospital Replacement Phase VI	9,900	9,900
California	Air National Guard	Fresno-Yosemite Int'l Airport ...	Medical Training & Security Forces Complex	4,700	4,700
Colorado	Defense Wide	Buckley Air Force Base	Aeromedical Clinic Addition/Alteration	2,100	2,100
Florida	Defense Wide	Jacksonville	Hospital Addition/Alteration	28,438	28,438
Georgia	Defense Wide	Fort Benning	Consolidated Health Clinic	7,100	7,100
South Carolina	Defense Wide	Parris Island	Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement	25,000	25,000
Virginia	Defense Wide	Langley AFB	Hospital Addition/Alteration	50,800	50,800
Diego Garcia	Defense Wide	Fort Belvoir	Hospital Replacement Phase I	43,000	43,000
Germany	Defense Wide	Diego Garcia	Dental Clinic Replacement	3,800	3,800
.....	Defense Wide	Grafenwoehr	Dispensary/Dental Clinic Addition/Alteration	13,000	13,000
Total				183,138	187,838
Defense Wide Total				183,138	183,138
Air National Guard Total				4,700	4,700

CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION

The Committee has provided \$10,000,000 for the Secretary of Defense "Contingency construction" account in accordance with the budget request. This account provides funds which may be used by the Secretary of Defense for unforeseen facility requirements. The Committee believes that the funding provided in the account is adequate to meet the needs of the Department.

ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM

The Committee recommends the full budget request of \$60,000,000 for the Energy Conservation Investment Program [ECIP]. The Committee maintains a strong interest in renewable energy resources, including wind, solar, and geothermal, and commends the Services for the awards they have received for renewable energy initiatives, including the Presidential Awards for Leadership in Federal Energy Management. The Committee also commends the Navy for its geothermal energy program at China Lake, California. According to the General Accounting Office, the program generates a revenue stream of, on average, \$14,700,000 a year, two-thirds of which the Navy invests in a variety of energy conservation and renewable energy projects. However, the other Services do not have any similar renewable energy revenue streams, and overall, renewable energy efforts to date represent a very small percentage of the potential for increased renewable energy use at Department of Defense [DoD] installations.

In fiscal year 2002, the Committee provided \$10,000,000 in ECIP funding to initiate an assessment of renewable energy opportunities on or near U.S. Defense installations (Senate Report 107-68). In fiscal year 2004, the Committee provided an additional \$2,500,000 to enable the Air Force, which serves as lead agent for the assessment, to complete the study by November 30, 2004.

It is the understanding of the Committee that the 2004 funding has not yet been released, and that the study cannot be completed on time if the funding is not forthcoming. The Committee is concerned that the delays have been caused by a lack of leadership and cooperation between OSD and the Services. The Committee believes that renewable energy holds great potential for helping DoD achieve energy efficiency targets and reduce energy costs. Moreover, in the face of continued instability in the Middle East and rising threats to overseas oil production, renewable energy resources have become an increasingly important component of energy security and reliability.

For these reasons, the Committee directs the Department to release the funding needed to complete the renewable energy assessment as expeditiously as possible. The Committee also directs the Department to provide to the congressional defense committees a strategy and projected budget for implementing the findings and recommendations of the report within 90 days after the completion of the report. The plan should include the following elements: a detailed budget proposal and timeline encompassing short term and long term objectives; management and personnel requirements to execute the plan in each of the Services; and an explanation of any changes needed in procurement, legal, or regulatory requirements

to streamline the procurement of renewable energy at U.S. defense installations. The plan should also provide a detailed description of standardized processes and procedures to provide ongoing program support and address operational, environmental, cost, education, and technology issues.

Because of the delays over the past year, the Committee extends the deadline for the report until December 31, 2004. Further, the Committee directs that, of the funds provided in this bill for ECIP projects, \$3,000,000 be made available for solar structures, including roofing shingles and free-standing solar shade structures, to be added to or integrated into new or existing military construction or family housing projects to promote energy conservation as well as to provide other benefits, including improved working conditions for military personnel who maintain equipment outdoors.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, RESERVE COMPONENTS

Appropriations, 2004	\$730,481,000
Budget estimate, 2005	619,936,000
Committee recommendation	814,281,000

The Committee recommends \$814,281,000 for military construction projects for the Guard and Reserve components. This amount is \$194,345,000 above the fiscal year 2005 budget request. The Committee applauds the Department for its significantly increased Reserve Component budget request but believes funding for the Reserve Component still falls woefully short of requirements, particularly in the face of the extensive demands made on the Guard and Reserve in the current war effort. Accordingly, the Committee recommends significant increases to Reserve Component funding.

The Committee's recommended action on each Reserve component project is reflected in the State list at the end of this report.

The Committee recommends approval of military construction, Reserve component as outlined in the following table:

RESERVE COMPONENT

Component	Request	Recommended
Army National Guard	\$295,657,000	\$381,765,000
Air National Guard	127,368,000	231,083,000
Army Reserve	87,070,000	66,325,000
Naval Reserve	25,285,000	33,735,000
Air Force Reserve	84,556,000	101,373,000
Total	619,936,000	814,281,000

The Committee has added funding for specific Reserve component planning and design initiatives. The Committee recommendation also provides additional funding over the budget request for minor construction activities for the Reserve components.

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2005 as practical:

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Auditorium/Instructor Support Building, Camp Murray Air National Guard Station, WA.—Of the funds provided for unspecified

minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,390,000 be made available for construction of this facility.

Multi-Purpose Machine Gun Range, Fort Chaffee, AR.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,282,000 be made available for the construction of this range.

National Guard Armory, Ontario, OR.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$473,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

National Guard Armory, Winchester, VA.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$530,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Readiness Center and Organizational Maintenance Shop, Sacramento, CA.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$2,015,000 be made available for the design of these facilities.

Taxiway/Apron, Williamstown, WV.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,500,000 be made available for construction of this facility.

Training Area Railhead, Gowen Field, ID.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,349,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Weapons of Mass Destruction/Civil Support Team Facility, Fort Dix, NJ.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,402,000 be made available for construction of this facility.

Weapons of Mass Destruction/Civil Support Team Facility, Fort Meade, MD.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,414,000 be made available for construction of this facility.

Weapons of Mass Destruction/Civil Support Team Facility, Jackson, MS.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,313,000 be made available for construction of this facility.

Weapons of Mass Destruction/Civil Support Team Facility, Rapid City, SD.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,500,000 be made available for construction of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Air National Guard C-5 Conversion Program.—The Committee recognizes the importance of the Air National Guard C-5 conversion program as an essential element of the Air Force transformation initiative. In order to keep this program on schedule, it is imperative that all C-5 facility conversion requirements at Memphis, Tennessee, and Martinsburg, West Virginia, be fully funded by fiscal year 2007 or earlier to ensure that the facilities are ready for the arrival of the C-5 aircraft in 2008. The Committee therefore urges the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Air Force to maintain the current funding schedule, or accelerate the schedule where feasible, to provide full funding for the remainder of these facilities in the fiscal year 2006 and 2007 time frame.

C-5 Facilities, Martinsburg, WV.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$3,000,000 be made available for the design of these facilities.

Fire/Rescue Replacement and Deployment Training Facility, Hector, ND.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$702,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Munitions Maintenance and Storage Facility, Gowen Field, ID.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$900,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Pararescue Readiness Facility, Francis Gabreski Airport, Westhampton, NY.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$990,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Security Police Annex, Great Falls International Airport, MT.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$900,000 be made available for construction of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, U.S. ARMY RESERVE

Armed Forces Reserve Center, Houston, TX.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$3,278,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVAL RESERVE

Aircraft Rescue Firefighting Facility, New Orleans Naval Air Station/Joint Reserve Base, LA.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$750,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Fire Crash Rescue Station, Niagara Air Reserve Station, NY.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$702,000 be made available for the design of this station.

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION

SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Appropriations, 2004	\$161,300,000
Budget estimate, 2005	165,800,000
Committee recommendation	165,800,000

The Committee has provided \$165,800,000 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO] Security Investment Program for fiscal year 2005, fully funding the budget request.

The Committee continues the requirement that no funds will be used for projects (including planning and design) related to the enlargement of NATO and the Partnership for Peace program, unless Congress is notified 21 days in advance of the obligation of funds.

In addition, the Committee's intent is that section 121 of the General Provisions shall apply to this program.

The Department of Defense is directed to identify separately the level of effort anticipated for NATO enlargement and for Partnership for Peace for that fiscal year in future budget justifications.

The Committee continues to direct that no funds appropriated in this or any other Act for the NATO Security Investment Program be obligated or expended for missile defense studies.

FAMILY HOUSING OVERVIEW

The Committee has provided \$4,163,814,000 for family housing construction, operations and maintenance, and the Department's family housing improvement fund. This amount is \$7,782,000 below the fiscal year 2005 budget request but \$343,714,000 above the amount appropriated in fiscal year 2004.

FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY

Appropriations, 2004	\$1,333,886,000
Budget estimate, 2005	1,565,006,000
Committee recommendation	1,565,006,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$1,565,006,000 for family housing, Army, in fiscal year 2005. This is equal to the fiscal year 2005 budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$394,900,000 for new construction, as shown below:

ARMY FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project Title	Request	Recommended
Alaska	Fort Wainwright	Family Housing New Construction (100 Units)	41,000	41,000
	Fort Wainwright	Family Housing Replacement Construction (60 Units)	37,000	37,000
	Fort Wainwright	Family Housing Replacement Construction (86 Units)	46,000	46,000
	Fort Richardson	Family Housing Replacement Construction (92 Units)	42,000	42,000
Arizona	Fort Huachuca	Family Housing Replacement Construction (205 Units)	41,000	41,000
	Yuma	Family Housing Replacement Construction (55 Units)	14,900	14,900
Kansas	Fort Riley	Family Housing Replacement Construction (126 Units)	33,000	33,000
New Mexico	White Sands	Family Housing Replacement Construction (156 Units)	31,000	31,000
Oklahoma	Fort Sill	Family Housing Replacement Construction (247 Units)	47,000	47,000
Virginia	Fort Lee	Family Housing Replacement Construction (218 Units)	46,000	46,000
	Fort Monroe	Family Housing Replacement Construction (68 Units)	16,000	16,000
Total			394,900	394,900

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

ARMY CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project Title	Request	Recommended
Alabama	Redstone Arsenal	Family Housing Privatization	590	590
	Fort Rucker	Family Housing Privatization	24,000	24,000
Georgia	Fort Benning	Family Housing Privatization	57,000	57,000
	Fort Gordon	Family Housing Privatization	9,000	9,000
Kansas	Fort Leavenworth	Family Housing Privatization	15,000	15,000
	Fort Riley	Family Housing Privatization	30,000	30,000
Kentucky	Fort Knox	Family Housing Privatization	31,000	31,000
New York	U.S. Military Academy ..	Family Housing Privatization	10,600	10,600
South Carolina	Fort Jackson	Family Housing Privatization	20,000	20,000
Germany	Grafenwoehr	Family Housing Privatization	5,300	5,300
	Stuttgart	Family Housing Privatization	9,500	9,500
Total			211,990	211,990

FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2004	\$985,043,000
Budget estimate, 2005	843,611,000
Committee recommendation	843,611,000

The Committee recommends \$843,611,000 for family housing, Navy and Marine Corps, in fiscal year 2005. This amount is equal to the fiscal year 2005 budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$27,002,000 for new construction, as shown below:

NAVY AND MARINE CORPS FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project	Request	Recommended
North Carolina	Cherry Point MCAS	Slocum Village Replacement Phase III (198 Units).	27,002	27,002

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

NAVY AND MARINE CORPS CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project Title	Request	Recommended
California	Twentynine Palms MCAGCC ...	Whole House Improvement	25,702	25,702
Missouri	Kansas City MCSA	Whole House Improvement	20,238	20,238
North Carolina	Camp Lejeune MCB	Whole House Improvement	56,165	56,165
Japan	Yokosuka CNF	Whole House Improvement	10,000	10,000
Total			112,105	112,105

FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2004	\$1,460,773,000
Budget estimate, 2005	1,710,855,000
Committee recommendation	1,703,073,000

The Committee recommends \$1,703,073,000 for family housing, Air Force, in fiscal year 2005, which is \$7,782,000 below the budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$570,340,000 for new construction, as requested, as shown below:

AIR FORCE FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project Title	Request	Recommended
Arizona	Davis-Monthan AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 6 (250 Units)	48,500	48,500
California	Edwards AFB	Replace Family Housing (218 Units)	41,202	41,202
California	Vandenberg AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 8 (120 Units)	30,906	30,906
Florida	MacDill AFB	Construct Housing Maintenance Facility	1,250	1,250
Florida	MacDill AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 6 (61 Units)	21,723	21,723
Idaho	Mountain Home AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 6 (147 Units)	39,333	39,333
Mississippi	Columbus AFB	Family Housing Management Facility	711	711
Missouri	Whiteman AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 6 (160 Units)	37,087	37,087
Montana	Malmstrom AFB	Replace Family Housing (115 Units)	29,910	29,910
North Carolina	Seymour Johnson AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 8 (167 Units)	32,693	32,693
North Dakota	Minot AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 11 (142 Units)	37,087	37,087
North Dakota	Grand Forks AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 2 (90 Units)	26,169	26,169
South Carolina	Charleston AFB	Construct Huntley Park Fire Station	1,976	1,976
South Dakota	Ellsworth AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 4 (75 Units)	21,482	21,482
Texas	Goodfellow AFB	Construct Family Housing Phase 1 (127 Units)	20,604	20,604
Texas	Dyess AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 5 (127 Units)	28,664	28,664
Germany	Ramstein AB	Replace Family Housing (144 Units)	57,691	57,691
Italy	Aviano AB	Replace Housing Office	2,542	2,542
Korea	Osan AB	Construct Family Housing Phase 3 (117 Units)	46,834	46,834
United Kingdom	Royal Air Force Lakenheath	Replace Family Housing (154 Units)	43,976	43,976
Total			570,340	570,340

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

AIR FORCE CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project Title	Request	Recommended
Alaska	Eielson AFB	Construct Utility Corridor	3,762	3,762
Colorado	AF Academy	Improve Infrastructure	173	173
	Peterson AFB	Improve Parking	414	414
District of Columbia	Bolling AFB	Improve Electrical System	310	310
Florida	Patrick AFB	Construct River Walk	442	442
	Patrick AFB	Construct Tot Lot	100	100
	Tyndall AFB	Privatize Family Housing		
Georgia	Robins AFB	Improve Family Housing	24,146	24,146
	Robins AFB	Improve Infrastructure	1,236	1,236
Illinois	Scott AFB	Privatize Family Housing	5,151	5,151
Louisiana	Barksdale AFB	Improve Family Housing, Phase I	9,109	9,109
Maryland	Andrews AFB	Improve Family Housing	36,381	36,381
Mississippi	Columbus AFB	Privatize Family Housing		
	Keesler AFB	Privatize Family Housing	35,854	35,854
Montana	Malmstrom AFB	Construct Access Road	200	200
New Mexico	Holloman AFB	Privatize Family Housing	24,448	24,448
North Carolina	Pope AFB	Improve Housing Office	360	360
Tennessee	Arnold AFB	Improve Infrastructure	1,222	1,222
Texas	Randolph AFB	Improve Family Housing	28,900	28,900
Virginia	Langley AFB	Improve Electrical System	1,726	1,726
Washington	Fairchild AFB	Privatize Family Housing	17,410	17,410
Japan	Kadena AB	Improve Family Housing	26,722	26,722
	Kadena AB	Install Government Furnished Materials	843	843
	Kadena AB	Install Government Furnished Materials	730	730
	Kadena AB	Improve Erosion Control	750	750
	Kadena AB	Improve Storm Drainage System	110	110
	Misawa AB	Construct Storage Sheds	1,089	1,089
	Yokota AB	Improve Family Housing	16,483	16,483
	Yokota AB	Improve Family Housing	282	282
Total			238,353	238,353

FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2004	\$49,790,000
Budget estimate, 2005	49,624,000
Committee recommendation	49,624,000

The Committee recommends \$49,624,000 for family housing, Defense-wide, in fiscal year 2005. This amount is equal to the budget request. Specific details are included in the tables at the end of the report.

FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

Appropriations, 2004	\$300,000
Budget estimate, 2005	2,500,000
Committee recommendation	2,500,000

The Committee recommends \$2,500,000 for the Family Housing Improvement Fund. This amount is equal to the budget request.

CHEMICAL WEAPONS DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION

Appropriations, 2004	\$119,815,000
Budget Estimate, 2005	81,886,000
Committee recommendation	81,886,000

The Committee recommends \$81,886,000 for chemical weapons demilitarization construction, an amount equal to the budget request.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV

Appropriations, 2004	\$370,427,000
Budget estimate, 2005	246,116,000
Committee recommendation	246,116,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$246,116,000 for the base realignment and closure account, part IV. This is an amount equal to the budget request and a decrease of \$124,311,000 from the fiscal year 2004 appropriation. The Committee notes, however, that the Department of the Navy requested no funds for BRAC cleanup, informing the committee that it intended to expend in fiscal year 2005 at least \$115,000,000 in anticipated proceeds from the sale of excess land from previous BRAC rounds. The Committee regards this as a commitment by the Department and fully expects it to expend these funds for BRAC cleanup in fiscal year 2005. With the additional monies, the funding for BRAC environmental cleanup and caretaker costs totals \$361,116,000. The Military Departments have assured the Committee that this level of funding is adequate to address urgent BRAC requirements for fiscal year 2005.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT OVERVIEW

Since the start of the current process for Base Realignment and Closure [BRAC], Military Construction Appropriations Acts have appropriated a net total of \$22,957,591,000 for the entire program for fiscal years 1990 through 2004. The total amount appropriated combined with the Committee recommendation for fiscal year 2005 BRAC \$23,203,727,000.

In appropriating these funds, the Committee continues to provide the Department with the flexibility to allocate funds by Service, by function, and by installation. The Committee recognizes the complexity of the base realignment and closure process, and particularly of the environmental clean up requirements, and believes that it is important to give the Department a significant degree of flexibility in order to execute the program efficiently.

The following table displays the total amount appropriated for each round of base closure, including amounts recommended for fiscal year 2005.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

[Total funding, fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2005]

	Fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2003	Fiscal year 2004 enacted	Fiscal year 2005 Committee rec- ommended	Total
Part I	\$2,684,577,000	(¹)	(¹)	\$2,684,577,000
Part II	4,915,636,000	(¹)	(¹)	4,915,636,000
Part III	7,269,267,000	(¹)	(¹)	7,269,267,000

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE—Continued
[Total funding, fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2005]

	Fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2003	Fiscal year 2004 enacted	Fiscal year 2005 Committee rec- ommended	Total
Part IV	7,717,704,000	\$370,427,000	\$246,116,000	8,334,247,000
Total	22,587,184,000	370,427,000	246,116,000	23,203,727,000

¹ Not Applicable.

FORT HUNTER LIGGETT, CALIFORNIA

The Committee is aware of interest by the U.S. Forest Service in incorporating Fort Hunter Liggett Army Reserve Base in California into the National Forest System in the event that the Defense Department determines that the base is no longer needed for military purposes. Currently, Fort Hunter Liggett fulfills a critical requirement as an Army Reserve training base, and the Committee is aware of no plans to close the base at this time. However, in view of the ongoing Base Realignment and Closure process, and the unique attributes of Fort Hunter Liggett, the Committee believes it is important to lay the groundwork for the possible future disposition of the installation.

Fort Hunter Liggett occupies 165,000 acres in an extraordinary location, in the heart of California's Big Sur region adjacent to the Los Padres National Forest. In an effort to preserve and protect this irreplaceable land for generations to come, the Forest Service is considering a proposal that would incorporate Fort Hunter Liggett and the Los Padres National Forest into a new Big Sur National Forest, should the base be closed. The area is one of California's most pristine and scenic regions. The land provides habitat for several federally listed threatened and endangered species, and also contains numerous Native American archaeological and cultural sites. The area also offers outstanding recreational opportunities including hiking, mountain biking, equestrian use, camping, nature study, fishing and hunting. Nearly half of the land that comprises Fort Hunter Liggett was national forest before being transferred to the War Department in World War II. For these reasons, the Committee believes that if the current military use of the Forest should terminate in the future, first consideration for use of the land should be for National Forest purposes, for which it is uniquely suited.

In recognition of Fort Hunter Liggett's extraordinary attributes and unique location, and in view of the potential to preserve the land as a National Forest, the Committee recommends a provision to give the Secretary of Agriculture, whose agency oversees the Forest Service, the right of first refusal to negotiate with the Secretary of the Army to accept the administrative jurisdiction of the land that comprises Fort Hunter Liggett for incorporation into the National Forest System at such time as the base may be declared excess of Federal military needs.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

The following lists general provisions proposed by the Committee. The Committee recommends inclusion of several proposals which have been incorporated in previous appropriations acts, provisions requested by the Defense Department, and new provisions. The Committee recommendations are as follows:

SEC. 101. Restricts payments under a cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contract for work, except in cases of contracts for environmental restoration at base closure sites.

SEC. 102. Permits use of funds for hire of passenger motor vehicles.

SEC. 103. Permits use of funds for defense access roads.

SEC. 104. Prohibits construction of new bases inside the continental United States for which specific appropriations have not been made.

SEC. 105. Limits the use of funds for purchase of land or land easements.

SEC. 106. Prohibits the use of funds to acquire land, prepare a site, or install utilities for any family housing except housing for which funds have been made available.

SEC. 107. Limits the use of minor construction funds to transfer or relocate activities among installations.

SEC. 108. Prohibits the procurement of steel unless American producers, fabricators, and manufacturers have been allowed to compete.

SEC. 109. Prohibits payments of real property taxes in foreign nations.

SEC. 110. Prohibits construction of new bases overseas without prior notification.

SEC. 111. Establishes a threshold for American preference of \$500,000 relating to architect and engineering services.

SEC. 112. Establishes preference for American contractors for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific, and on Kwajalein Atoll, or in the Arabian Sea.

SEC. 113. Requires notification of military exercises involving construction in excess of \$100,000.

SEC. 114. Limits obligations during the last 2 months of the year.

SEC. 115. Permits funds appropriated in prior years to be available for construction authorized during the current session of Congress.

SEC. 116. Permits the use of expired or lapsed funds to pay the cost of supervision for any project being completed with lapsed funds.

SEC. 117. Permits obligation of funds from more than 1 fiscal year to execute a construction project, provided that the total obligation for such project is consistent with the total amount appropriated for the project.

SEC. 118. Directs the Department to report annually on actions taken to encourage other nations to assume a greater share of the common defense budget.

SEC. 119. Allows transfer of proceeds from earlier base realignment and closure accounts to the continuing base realignment and closure accounts.

SEC. 120. Permits the transfer of funds from Family Housing Construction accounts to the DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund and from Military Construction accounts to the DOD Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund.

SEC. 121. Restricts the use of funds for the Partnership for Peace Program.

SEC. 122. Requires the Secretary of Defense to notify the congressional defense committees of all family housing privatization solicitations and agreements which contain any clause providing consideration for base realignment and closure, force reductions and extended deployments.

SEC. 123. Provides transfer authority to the Homeowners Assistance Program.

SEC. 124. Requires that all Military Construction Appropriations Acts be the sole funding source of all operation and maintenance for family housing, including flag and general officer quarters, and limits the repair on flag and general officer quarters to \$35,000 per year without prior notification to the defense committees.

SEC. 125. Limits funds from being transferred from this appropriation measure into any new instrumentality without authority from an appropriation Act.

SEC. 126. Prohibits funds appropriated for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program from being obligated or expended for the purpose of missile defense studies.

SEC. 127. Modifies the due date for the final report of the Commission on the Overseas Military Facility Structure of the United States.

SEC. 128. Provides authority to expend funds from the Ford Island Improvement Account.

SEC. 129. Provides to the Secretary of Agriculture the right of first refusal to negotiate over disposal of any land at Fort Hunter Liggett, California, determined to be in excess of military needs.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7, RULE XVI, OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 7 of rule XVI requires that Committee reports on general appropriations bills identify each Committee amendment to the House bill “which proposes an item of appropriation which is not made to carry out the provisions of an existing law, a treaty stipulation, or an act or resolution previously passed by the Senate during that session.”

The Committee bill as recommended contains no such provisions.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7(C), RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Pursuant to paragraph 7(c) of rule XXVI, on July 15, 2004, the Committee ordered reported en bloc S. 2666, an original bill mak-

ing appropriations for the Legislative Branch for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2005, and S. 2674, an original bill making appropriations for Military Construction of the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2005, with each subject to amendment, by a recorded vote of 29–0, a quorum being present. The vote was as follows:

Yeas	Nays
Chairman Stevens	
Mr. Cochran	
Mr. Specter	
Mr. Domenici	
Mr. Bond	
Mr. McConnell	
Mr. Burns	
Mr. Shelby	
Mr. Gregg	
Mr. Bennett	
Mr. Campbell	
Mr. Craig	
Mrs. Hutchison	
Mr. DeWine	
Mr. Brownback	
Mr. Byrd	
Mr. Inouye	
Mr. Hollings	
Mr. Leahy	
Mr. Harkin	
Ms. Mikulski	
Mr. Reid	
Mr. Kohl	
Mrs. Murray	
Mr. Dorgan	
Mrs. Feinstein	
Mr. Durbin	
Mr. Johnson	
Ms. Landrieu	

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 12, RULE XXVI OF THE
STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 12 of rule XXVI requires that Committee reports on a bill or joint resolution repealing or amending any statute or part of any statute include “(a) the text of the statute or part thereof which is proposed to be repealed; and (b) a comparative print of that part of the bill or joint resolution making the amendment and of the statute or part thereof proposed to be amended, showing by stricken-through type and italics, parallel columns, or other appropriate typographical devices the omissions and insertions which would be made by the bill or joint resolution if enacted in the form recommended by the committee.”

**MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2004,
PUBLIC LAW 108–132**

* * * * *

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 128. (a) * * *

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(1) * * *

* * * * *

(3)(A) Not later than **December 31, 2004** *August 15, 2005*, the Commission shall submit to the President and Congress a report which shall contain a detailed statement of the findings and conclusions of the Commission, together with its recommendations for such legislation and administrative actions as it considers appropriate.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
ALABAMA			
ARMY:			
ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT: POWERTRAIN COMPONENT REBUILDING FACILITY	23,690	23,690	
FORT RUCKER: AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR		16,500	+ 16,500
DEFENSE-WIDE: HUNTSVILLE: MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY CENTER, VON BRAUN COMPLEX (PHASE II)	19,560	19,560	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CENTREVILLE: ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER	5,537	5,537	
CLANTON: ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER	3,649	3,649	
ONEONTA: ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER	4,527	4,527	
TOTAL, ALABAMA	56,963	73,463	+ 16,500
ALASKA			
ARMY:			
FORT RICHARDSON:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX	7,600	7,600	
DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE TRAINING RANGE	13,600	13,600	
SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE	3,100	3,100	
FORT WAINWRIGHT:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX—LORRAINE ROAD	39,815	39,815	
BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL—SANTIAGO ROAD	30,912	30,912	
COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	21,732	21,732	
AIR FORCE:			
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE:			
C-17 SUPPORT UTILITIES	6,400	6,400	
C-17 FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	7,700	7,700	
FITNESS CENTER	11,957	11,957	
LARGE AIRFRAME MAINTENANCE HANGAR		28,000	+ 28,000
DEFENSE-WIDE: FORT WAINWRIGHT: HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE VI)	9,900	9,900	
TOTAL, ALASKA	152,716	180,716	+ 28,000
ARIZONA			
ARMY: FORT HUACHUCA: ARMY GLOBAL INFORMATION FACILITY		18,000	+ 18,000
NAVY:			
YUMA:			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	18,740	18,740	
STATION ORDNANCE AREA	7,930	7,930	
AIR FORCE:			
DAVIS-MONTHAN AIR FORCE BASE:			
AIRFIELD OBSTRUCTION—HAZARDOUS CARGO PAD	4,243	4,243	

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
COMBAT SEARCH AND RESCUE C-130 SQUADRON OPERATIONS	5,786	5,786	
LUKE AIR FORCE BASE: DORMITORY (120 ROOM)	10,000	10,000	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP NAVAJO: QUALIFICATION TRAINING RANGE ...	3,000	3,000	
TOTAL, ARIZONA	49,699	67,699	+ 18,000
ARKANSAS			
AIR FORCE: LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE: C-130J ADDITION/ALTERATION SIMULATOR FACILITY	5,031	5,031	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP ROBINSON: ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	33,020	33,020	
FORT CHAFFEE: AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT	13,798	13,798	
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: FORT SMITH: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE & AREOSPACE COMPLEX		6,000	+ 6,000
TOTAL, ARKANSAS	51,849	57,849	+ 6,000
CALIFORNIA			
ARMY:			
FORT IRWIN:			
CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION COMMAND FIELD OPERATIONS BUILDING	2,600	2,600	
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	21,000	21,000	
LAND ACQUISITION (PHASE II)	14,500	14,500	
SIERRA ARMY DEPOT: RUNWAY EXTENSION		13,600	+ 13,600
NAVY:			
CAMP PENDLETON:			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	19,975	19,975	
CLOSE COMBAT PISTOL COURSE	6,940	6,940	
CONSOLIDATED OPERATIONS CENTER	4,910	4,910	
TERTIARY SEWAGE TREATMENT (PHASE II)	25,690	25,690	
WEIGHT HANDLING SHOP	6,630	6,630	
EL CENTRO: APRON AND HANGAR RECAPITALIZATION (PHASE I)	33,331	33,331	
MARINE CORPS RECRUIT DEPOT: INITIAL ISSUE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE ..		8,110	+ 8,110
AIR FORCE:			
BEALE AIR FORCE BASE:			
GLOBAL HAWK ADDITION TO AEROSPACE GROUND EQUIPMENT FACILITY	1,866	1,866	
GLOBAL HAWK UPGRADE DOCK 2	8,320	8,320	
EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE: ADDITION/RENOVATE JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER COMPLEX (PHASE II)	9,965	9,965	
TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE:			
C-17 ADDITION ENGINE STORAGE FACILITY	2,400	2,400	
C-17 UTILITIES/ROAD	12,844	12,844	
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CORONA: SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES MILITARY OPERATIONS IN URBAN TERRAIN TRAINING COMPLEX	13,600	13,600	
NORTH ISLAND: SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY SUPPORT BUILDING	1,000	1,000	
TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE: REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	15,100	15,100	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP PARKS: READINESS CENTER (ARMY NATIONAL GUARD DIVISION REDESIGN STUDY)	11,318	11,318	
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: FRESNO-YOSEMITE INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT: MEDICAL TRAINING & SECURITY FORCES COMPLEX		4,700	+ 4,700
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
MARCH AIR FORCE BASE:			
C-17 ALTER HANGAR TOWER	2,089	2,089	
C-17 MAINTENANCE HANGAR (PHASE II)	7,400	7,400	
TOTAL, CALIFORNIA	221,478	247,888	+ 26,410

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
COLORADO			
ARMY:			
FORT CARSON:			
ARRIVAL/DEPARTURE AIR CONTROL GROUP		12,400	+ 12,400
BARRACKS COMPLEX—HOSPITAL AREA	14,108	14,108	
DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE TRAINING RANGE	33,000	33,000	
MULTI-PURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE		3,650	+ 3,650
AIR FORCE:			
BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE:			
CHAPEL CENTER	6,147	6,147	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	6,100	6,100	
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE: ADDITION/ALTERATION AEROMEDICAL CLINIC	2,100	2,100	
PUEBLO DEPOT ACTIVITY: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE VI)	44,792	44,792	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE: ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	34,000	34,000	
FORT CARSON: AUTOMATED QUALIFICATION/TRAINING RANGE	3,205	3,205	
ARMY RESERVE: AURORA: ADDITION/ALTERATION MILITARY EQUIPMENT PARKING	1,758	1,758	
TOTAL, COLORADO	145,210	161,260	+ 16,050
CONNECTICUT			
NAVY:			
NEW LONDON:			
MK-10 SUBMARINE ESCAPE TRAINER	17,100	17,100	
REPLACE PIER 6	28,782	28,782	
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS		4,420	+ 4,420
TOTAL, CONNECTICUT	45,882	50,302	+ 4,420
DELAWARE			
AIR FORCE: DOVER AIR FORCE BASE: CONTROL TOWER		9,500	+ 9,500
TOTAL, DELAWARE		9,500	+ 9,500
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA			
NAVY: ANACOSTIA: ATOMIC CLOCK VAULT	3,239	3,239	
DEFENSE-WIDE: BOLLING AIR FORCE BASE: HEATING, VENTILATION, AND AIR CONDITIONING UPGRADE TO DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE ANALYSIS CENTER ..	6,000	6,000	
TOTAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	9,239	9,239	
FLORIDA			
NAVY:			
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE: EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE ROAD CONSTRUCTION	2,060	2,060	
MAYPORT: AIRFIELD CONTROL TOWER	6,200	6,200	
AIR FORCE:			
PATRICK AIR FORCE BASE: SECURITY FORCES OPERATIONS FACILITY ..		8,800	+ 8,800
TYNDALL AIR FORCE BASE:			
F-22 OPERATIONS FACILITY ADDITION	1,548		— 1,548
F-22 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT/HANGAR	17,414		— 17,414
DEFENSE-WIDE: JACKSONVILLE: HOSPITAL ADDITION/ALTERATION	28,438	28,438	
NAVY RESERVE: JACKSONVILLE: RESERVE TRAINING CENTER	9,300	9,300	
TOTAL, FLORIDA	64,960	54,798	— 10,162

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
GEORGIA			
ARMY:			
FORT BENNING:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX—KELLEY HILL/MAIN POST	49,565	49,565	
HAZARDOUS CARGO LOADING APRON	3,850	3,850	
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	18,362	18,362	
FORT GILLEM: RECRUITING BRIGADE OPERATIONS BUILDING	5,800	5,800	
FORT MCPHERSON: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	4,900	4,900	
FORT STEWART:			
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR (SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES)	21,100	21,100	
BARRACKS COMPLEX—5TH & 16TH ST (PHASE II)	32,950	32,950	
CHAPEL	9,500	9,500	
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	24,695	24,695	
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT COMPLEX	10,200	10,200	
NAVY: KINGS BAY: ENCLAVE FENCING AND PARKING	16,000	16,000	
AIR FORCE:			
MOODY AIR FORCE BASE: BASE SUPPORT CENTER		9,600	+ 9,600
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE: AIRCRAFT RAMP	15,000	15,000	
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
FORT BENNING: CONSOLIDATED HEALTH CLINIC	7,100	7,100	
FORT STEWART: SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES BATTALION OPERATIONS COMPLEX	17,600	17,600	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: SAVANNAH: ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	16,554	16,554	
TOTAL, GEORGIA	253,176	262,776	+ 9,600
HAWAII			
ARMY:			
HELEMANO:			
DRUM ROAD UPGRADE (PHASE I)	27,000	27,000	
TANK TRAILS—HELEMANO	7,300	7,300	
HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE: HOT CARGO PAD EXPANSION	11,200	11,200	
POHAKULOA TRAINING AREA (PTA):			
SADDLE ROAD (PHASE IIB)		10,000	+ 10,000
WEST PTA MODIFICATIONS	30,000	30,000	
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL—CAPRON AVE (PHASE III)	48,000	48,000	
BARRACKS COMPLEX—QUAD E (PHASE II)	36,000	36,000	
BATTLE AREA LIVE FIRE COMPLEX	32,000	32,000	
COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	32,542	32,542	
FIRE STATION	4,800	4,800	
QUALIFICATION TRAINING RANGE	4,950	4,950	
TACTICAL VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	3,500	3,500	
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY (PHASE I)	49,000	49,000	
WHEELER AIR FORCE BASE: DEPLOYMENT FACILITY	24,000	24,000	
AIR FORCE:			
HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE:			
C-17 ALTER MAINTENANCE/SUPPLY AREAS	9,000	9,000	
C-17 CLEAR WATER RINSE	4,300	4,300	
C-17 MAINTENANCE SHOP FACILITY	8,200	8,200	
C-17 MUNITIONS STORAGE	1,950	1,950	
C-17 SUPPORT UTILITIES (PHASE II)	2,450	2,450	
ELECTRICAL UPGRADE		8,500	+ 8,500
MAUI: AEOS PRIMARY MIRROR COATING FACILITY		7,500	+ 7,500
DEFENSE-WIDE: PEARL HARBOR: MULTI-PRODUCT INTERFACE TANK	3,500	3,500	
TOTAL, HAWAII	339,692	365,692	+ 26,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
IDAHO			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: GOWEN FIELD: ADD/ALTER BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX ..		3,500	+ 3,500
TOTAL, IDAHO		3,500	+ 3,500
ILLINOIS			
NAVY:			
GREAT LAKES:			
BATTLE STATION TRAINING FACILITY (PHASE II)	58,200	58,200	
RECRUIT BARRACKS—P738	35,920	35,920	
RECRUIT BARRACKS—P739	38,851	38,851	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
GALESBURG: READINESS CENTER (PHASE II)		4,400	+ 4,400
SPRINGFIELD: ARMY SCHOOL SYSTEM (MULTIFUNCTIONAL FACILITY)	13,596	13,596	
TOTAL, ILLINOIS	146,567	150,967	+ 4,400
INDIANA			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
GARY-CHICAGO REGIONAL AIRPORT: ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER		9,380	+ 9,380
REMINGTON: ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER	1,458	1,458	
TOTAL, INDIANA	1,458	10,838	+ 9,380
IOWA			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP DODGE: COMPANY GRADE BOQ		3,485	+ 3,485
TOTAL, IOWA		3,485	+ 3,485
KANSAS			
ARMY:			
FORT LEAVENWORTH: LEWIS & CLARK INSTRUCTIONAL FACILITY (PHASE II)	44,000	44,000	
FORT RILEY:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	41,000	41,000	
COMMUNICATIONS CENTER	3,050	3,050	
MAINTENANCE FACILITY REVITALIZATION		15,500	+ 15,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: TOPEKA: ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER	3,086	3,086	
ARMY RESERVE: HAYS: ARMY RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	7,451	7,451	
TOTAL, KANSAS	98,587	114,087	+ 15,500
KENTUCKY			
ARMY:			
FORT CAMPBELL:			
AIRFIELD VEHICLE SUPPORT FACILITY		2,400	+ 2,400
BARRACKS COMPLEX—42ND ST/INDIANA AVE (PHASE I)	30,000	30,000	
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	33,000	33,000	
SHOOT HOUSE	1,600	1,600	
FORT KNOX:			
BASIC COMBAT TRAINING COMPLEX 1 (PHASE I)	50,000	50,000	
SHOOT HOUSE		1,850	+ 1,850
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE		1,900	+ 1,900
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE V)	37,094	37,094	
FORT CAMPBELL: AQUATIC TRAINING FACILITY		3,600	+ 3,600

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
TOTAL, KENTUCKY	151,694	161,444	+ 9,750
LOUISIANA			
ARMY:			
FORT POLK:			
AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT UPGRADE	7,500	7,500	
FIXED WING AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	25,000	25,000	
HAZARDOUS CARGO LOADING APRON	14,503	14,503	
PALLET PROCESSING FACILITY	8,800	8,800	
PASSENGER PROCESSING FACILITY	11,700	11,700	
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	3,450	3,450	
AIR FORCE: BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE: DORMITORY (168 ROOM)	13,800	13,800	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP BEAUREGARD: ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	15,738	15,738	
AIR FORCE RESERVE: BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE: SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY		5,300	+ 5,300
TOTAL, LOUISIANA	100,491	105,791	+ 5,300
MAINE			
NAVY:			
NAVAL AIR STATION BRUNSWICK: WEAPONS MAGAZINE REPLACEMENT		4,690	+ 4,690
PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD: ACOUSTIC TEST AND CALIBRATION FACILITY		7,860	+ 7,860
TOTAL, MAINE		12,550	+ 12,550
MARYLAND			
ARMY: ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND: CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL SAMPLE RECEPTION FACILITY		13,000	+ 13,000
NAVY: INDIAN HEAD: AGILE CHEMICAL FACILITY	13,900	13,900	
AIR FORCE:			
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE:			
ASA—ALTER AIRCRAFT SUPPORT FACILITIES	5,000	5,000	
ASA—FIGHTER AIRCRAFT ALERT COMPLEX	11,000	11,000	
ASA—MUNITIONS STORAGE IGLOO	1,100	1,100	
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
FORT MEADE:			
CRITICAL COMMUNICATIONS PATH	3,450	3,450	
NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY DEEP WELLS	8,140	8,140	
RECONFIGURED CHILLED WATER (PHASE II)	3,417	3,417	
ARMY RESERVE: FORT MEADE: ARMY RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP/WAREHOUSE (PHASE II)	14,642	14,642	
TOTAL, MARYLAND	60,649	73,649	+ 13,000
MASSACHUSETTS			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: OTIS AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE: ELIMINATE AIR-FIELD OBSTRUCTIONS	4,000	4,000	
AIR FORCE RESERVE: WESTOVER AIR RESERVE BASE: OPERATIONS FACILITY		4,400	+ 4,400
TOTAL, MASSACHUSETTS	4,000	8,400	+ 4,400
MICHIGAN			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: GRAND LEDGE: ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	27,600	27,600	
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
ALPENA: SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY		8,500	+ 8,500
KELLOGG: FIRE CRASH RESCUE STATION		5,100	+ 5,100

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
SELFLEDGE AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE: JOINT SECURITY FORCES OPERATIONS CENTER		9,700	+ 9,700
TOTAL, MICHIGAN	27,600	50,900	+ 23,300
MINNESOTA			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
DULUTH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT:			
ASA—CREW QUARTERS	3,000	3,000	
ASA—ARM, DEARM APRON AND TAXIWAY	4,000	4,000	
ASA—RELOCATE BASE ENTRANCE ROAD	3,500	3,500	
AIR FORCE RESERVE: MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT AIR RESERVE STATION: OPERATIONS FACILITY		4,950	+ 4,950
TOTAL, MINNESOTA	10,500	15,450	+ 4,950
MISSISSIPPI			
NAVY: GULFPORT: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY		4,350	+ 4,350
AIR FORCE: COLUMBUS AIR FORCE BASE: FIRE CRASH RESCUE STATION		7,700	+ 7,700
DEFENSE-WIDE: STENNIS SPACE CENTER: SOF RIVERINE AND COMBATANT CRAFT OPERATIONS FACILITY		6,000	+ 6,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: GULFPORT: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP		4,650	+ 4,650
TOTAL, MISSISSIPPI		22,700	+ 22,700
MISSOURI			
ARMY:			
FORT LEONARD WOOD:			
COUNTERMINE TRAINING COMPLEX		10,400	+ 10,400
RANGE COMPLEX UPGRADE	2,750	2,750	
WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION RESPONDER TRAINING FACILITY	15,000	15,000	
TOTAL, MISSOURI	17,750	28,150	+ 10,400
MONTANA			
AIR FORCE: MALMSTROM AIR FORCE BASE: CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY		5,600	+ 5,600
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
DILLON: READINESS CENTER		4,786	+ 4,786
HAVRE AIR FORCE STATION: READINESS CENTER, ADDITION/ALTERATION (ARMY NATIONAL GUARD DIVISION REDESIGN STUDY)	2,398	2,398	
HELENA: ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	7,600	7,600	
TOTAL, MONTANA	9,998	20,384	+ 10,386
NEBRASKA			
AIR FORCE: OFFUTT AIR FORCE BASE: CONTROL TOWER		6,721	+ 6,721
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: HASTINGS: MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE (REMOTE TARGET SYSTEMS)	1,487	1,487	
TOTAL, NEBRASKA	1,487	8,208	+ 6,721
NEVADA			
NAVY: NAVAL AIR STATION FALLON: HIGH EXPLOSIVE MAGAZINES		4,980	+ 4,980
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: HENDERSON: READINESS CENTER		12,853	+ 12,853
TOTAL, NEVADA		17,833	+ 17,833

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
NEW HAMPSHIRE			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: PEASE AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE: AIRCRAFT PARKING RAMP REPAIR		4,900	+ 4,900
TOTAL, NEW HAMPSHIRE		4,900	+ 4,900
NEW JERSEY			
NAVY: EARLE: GENERAL PURPOSE BERTHING PIER (PHASE II)	49,200	49,200	
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: ATLANTIC CITY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT: ASA—REPLACE ALERT COMPLEX	10,400	10,400	
TOTAL, NEW JERSEY	59,600	59,600	
NEW MEXICO			
ARMY: WHITE SANDS: ELECTROMAGNETIC VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT FACILITY	33,000	33,000	
AIR FORCE: CANNON AIR FORCE BASE: DINING HALL/AIRMEN'S CENTER		9,500	+ 9,500
TOTAL, NEW MEXICO	33,000	42,500	+ 9,500
NEW YORK			
ARMY:			
BUFFALO: MILITARY ENTRANCE PROCESSING STATION	6,200	6,200	
FORT DRUM:			
AIRFIELD ARRIVAL/DEPARTURE FACILITY	4,950	4,950	
BARRACKS COMPLEX—WHEELER SACK ARMY AIRFIELD (PHASE II)	48,000	48,000	
DEFENSE LIVE FIRE RANGE		3,000	+ 3,000
FORT HAMILTON: MILITARY POLICE STATION	7,600	7,600	
U.S. MILITARY ACADEMY: LIBRARY AND LEARNING CENTER (PHASE I)	34,500	34,500	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
AUBURN:			
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP (ARMY NATIONAL GUARD DIVISION REDESIGN STUDY (ADRS))	2,472	2,472	
ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER (ADRS)	4,406	4,406	
FORT DRUM: READINESS CENTER (ADRS)	6,489	6,489	
KINGSTON: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP (ADRS)	3,827	3,827	
UTICA: READINESS CENTER ADDITION (ADRS)	5,704	5,704	
TOTAL, NEW YORK	124,148	127,148	+ 3,000
NORTH CAROLINA			
ARMY:			
FORT BRAGG:			
AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER	2,500	2,500	
BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL BLACKJACK ST (PHASE I)	49,000	49,000	
BARRACKS COMPLEX—BASTOGNE DR (PHASE II)	48,000	48,000	
BARRACKS COMPLEX—DONOVAN STREET (PHASE V)	15,500	15,500	
SOF SHOOT HOUSE	2,037	2,037	
SHOOT HOUSE	1,650	1,650	
NAVY:			
CAMP LEJEUNE:			
ARMORY, CAMP GEIGER	4,010	4,010	
COMBAT TRAINING POOL	2,410	2,410	
NEW RIVER:			
ADD TO SIMULATOR BUILDING	2,270	2,270	
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY	12,090	12,090	
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	20,780	20,780	
NAVAL OUTLYING LANDING FIELD WASHINGTON COUNTY:			
OUTLYING LANDING FIELD FACILITIES (PHASE II)	33,900	33,900	

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
OUTLYING LANDING FIELD LAND ACQUISITION (PHASE I)	61,750	61,750	
AIR FORCE:			
POPE AIR FORCE BASE:			
COMBAT CONTROLLER SCHOOL EXPANSION	12,950	12,950	
INDOOR FIRING RANGE FOR COMBAT CONTROL SCHOOL	2,200	2,200	
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	22,700	22,700	
FORT BRAGG:			
KENNEDY HALL RENOVATION	11,988	11,988	
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES COMPANY OPERATIONS BUILDING—96 CAB	4,600	4,600	
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES COMPANY OPERATIONS COMPLEX	12,000	12,000	
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITY—4 POG	4,500	4,500	
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES ISOLATION UNIT TRAINING FACILITY	8,300	8,300	
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES RESISTANCE TRAINING FACILITY ..	1,500	1,500	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
BURLINGTON: ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER	1,360	1,360	
FORT BRAGG: REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE (PHASE III)	6,319	6,319	
LENOIR: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP		3,015	+ 3,015
WINDSOR: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP (ARMY NATIONAL GUARD DIVISION REDESIGN STUDY)	2,409	2,409	
NAVY RESERVE:			
ASHEVILLE: RESERVE CENTER	3,492	3,492	
WILMINGTON: RESERVE TRAINING CENTER		7,000	+ 7,000
AIR FORCE RESERVE: SEYMOUR JOHNSON AIR FORCE BASE: RESERVE SECURITY FORCES OPERATIONS	2,300	2,300	
TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA	352,515	362,530	+ 10,015
NORTH DAKOTA			
AIR FORCE: MINOT AIR FORCE BASE: ADD/ALTER DOCK 1 TO MULTI-PURPOSE HANGAR		9,900	+ 9,900
TOTAL, NORTH DAKOTA		9,900	+ 9,900
OHIO			
AIR FORCE: WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE: REPLACE STEAM LINES/TUNNELS, AREA B (PHASE I)		9,200	+ 9,200
DEFENSE-WIDE: COLUMBUS: REPLACE PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY	5,500		— 5,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: COLUMBUS: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP (ARMY NATIONAL GUARD DIVISION REDESIGN STUDY)	2,225	2,225	
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE:			
C-5 AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS (PHASE I)	4,300	4,300	
C-5 MULTI-PURPOSE HANGAR	16,821	16,821	
TOTAL, OHIO	28,846	32,546	+ 3,700
OKLAHOMA			
ARMY:			
FORT SILL:			
CONSOLIDATED MAINTENANCE COMPLEX (PHASE III)	13,100	13,100	
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	14,400	14,400	
AIR FORCE:			
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE: BASE CIVIL ENGINEERING COMPLEX (PHASE II)		10,500	+ 10,500

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
TINKER AIR FORCE BASE: ADDITION TO INTEGRATED SUPPORT FACILITY		8,000	+ 8,000
DEFENSE-WIDE: TINKER AIR FORCE BASE: ADDITION/ALTERATION HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	5,400	5,400	
TOTAL, OKLAHOMA	32,900	51,400	+ 18,500
OREGON			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: EUGENE: ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER (PHASE II)	12,635	12,635	
AIR FORCE RESERVE: PORTLAND:			
ADDITION/ALTERATION BUILDING 315 FOR PJ SQUADRON OPERATIONS	1,640	1,640	
CONSOLIDATED TRAINING BUILDING (PHASE II)	3,800	3,800	
MAINTENANCE HANGAR AND PAVEMENTS	12,400	12,400	
TOTAL, OREGON	30,475	30,475	
PENNSYLVANIA			
ARMY: LETTERKENNY ARMY DEPOT: IGLOO UPGRADE		11,400	+ 11,400
DEFENSE-WIDE: DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT NEW CUMBERLAND CONSOLIDATED MAINTENANCE FACILITY	22,300	22,300	
ARMY RESERVE: FORT INDIANTOWN GAP: ARMY RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	13,156	13,156	
NAVY RESERVE: WILLOW GROVE: FITNESS CENTER	7,700	7,700	
TOTAL, PENNSYLVANIA	43,156	54,556	+ 11,400
RHODE ISLAND			
NAVY:			
NAVAL STATION NEWPORT:			
BULK FUEL STORAGE TANK		5,490	+ 5,490
NAVAL JUSTICE SCHOOL ALTERATION		3,590	+ 3,590
TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND		9,080	+ 9,080
SOUTH CAROLINA			
NAVY: NAVAL WEAPONS STATION CHARLESTON: ELECTRONIC INTEGRATION AND SUPPORT FACILITY		18,140	+ 18,140
AIR FORCE: SHAW AIR FORCE BASE: SEWER OUTFALL LINE TO WATEREE RIVER	3,300	3,300	
DEFENSE-WIDE: PARRIS ISLAND: MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	25,000	25,000	
TOTAL, SOUTH CAROLINA	28,300	46,440	+ 18,140
SOUTH DAKOTA			
AIR FORCE: ELLSWORTH AIR FORCE BASE: BASE OPERATIONS CENTER		11,800	+ 11,800
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: MOBRIDGE: READINESS CENTER	2,944	2,944	
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: JOE FOSS FIELD: SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY		7,000	+ 7,000
TOTAL, SOUTH DAKOTA	2,944	21,744	+ 18,800
TENNESSEE			
AIR FORCE: ARNOLD AIR FORCE BASE: UPGRADE JET ENGINE AIR INDUCTION SYSTEM (PHASE V)	22,000	22,000	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
NASHVILLE: READINESS CENTER (PHASE I)		9,142	+ 9,142
SMYRNA: JOINT ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER		13,589	+ 13,589

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
MEMPHIS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT:			
C-5 AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON AND HYDRANT REFUEL SYSTEM	15,500	15,500	
C-5 CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR	26,000	26,000	
TOTAL, TENNESSEE	63,500	86,231	+ 22,731
TEXAS			
ARMY:			
FORT BLISS:			
CIDC FIELD OPERATIONS BUILDING		3,600	+ 3,600
MISSILE DEFENSE INSTRUCTION FACILITY	16,500	16,500	
FORT HOOD:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX	49,888	49,888	
DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE RANGE	28,200	28,200	
FORT SAM HOUSTON: GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING		11,400	+ 11,400
AIR FORCE:			
DYESS AIR FORCE BASE: FIRE CRASH RESCUE STATION		11,000	+ 11,000
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE: SECURITY FORCES TRAINING EXPANSION	2,596	2,596	
SHEPPARD AIR FORCE BASE:			
F-22 TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY	21,284	21,284	
STUDENT DORMITORY (300 ROOM)	29,000	29,000	
DEFENSE-WIDE: KINGSVILLE: REPLACE JET FUEL STORAGE TANK	3,900	3,900	
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE:			
ADDITION/ALTERATION C-5 AIRCRAFT GENERATION FACILITY	1,200	1,200	
C-5 TRAINING LOAD ASSEMBLY FACILITY	1,850	1,850	
C-5 TRAINING SCHOOLHOUSE COMPLEX	20,000	20,000	
TOTAL, TEXAS	174,418	200,418	+ 26,000
UTAH			
AIR FORCE:			
HILL AIR FORCE BASE:			
FITNESS CENTER	13,113	13,113	
ICBM PROPELLANT ANALYSIS COMPLEX		7,700	+ 7,700
ARMY RESERVE: OGDEN: ADDITION/ALTERATION ARMY RESERVE CENTER	7,932	7,932	
TOTAL, UTAH	21,045	28,745	+ 7,700
VERMONT			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: BURLINGTON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT: AIR MOBILIZATION FACILITY		6,000	+ 6,000
TOTAL, VERMONT		6,000	+ 6,000
VIRGINIA			
ARMY:			
FORT A.P. HILL:			
MOUT FACILITY (PHASE I)		10,800	+ 10,800
SHOOT HOUSE	3,975	3,975	
FORT MYER: BARRACKS COMPLEX—SHERIDAN AVE (PHASE I)	49,526	49,526	
NAVY:			
CAMP ELMORE MARINE CORPS DETACHMENT: COMMAND OPERATIONS FACILITY	13,500	13,500	
LITTLE CREEK: GATE 5 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	2,850	2,850	
NORFOLK:			
GATE 5 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	4,330	4,330	
PIER 11 REPLACEMENT (PHASE II)	40,000	40,000	

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
NAVAL AIR STATION OCEANA: POST 2 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	2,770	2,770	
QUANTICO:			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	15,090	15,090	
GREEN SIDE HANGAR COMPLEX	21,180	21,180	
HERITAGE CENTER ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	950	950	
THE BASIC SCHOOL ARMORY	4,580	4,580	
THE BASIC SCHOOL HEADQUARTERS/SERVICES BUILDING		4,470	+ 4,470
YORKTOWN: ORDNANCE HANDLING VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	9,870	9,870	
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
DAM NECK:			
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES HIGH EXPLOSIVE MAGAZINE	1,400	1,400	
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES OPERATIONAL TRAINER SUPPORT FACILITY ADDITION	4,300	4,300	
DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT RICHMOND:			
CONFERENCE CENTER	3,600	3,600	
SECURITY ENHANCEMENTS	6,500	6,500	
FORT A.P. HILL: SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY SUPPORT BUILDING	1,500	1,500	
FORT BELVOIR: HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE I)	43,000	43,000	
LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE: ADDITION/ALTERATION HOSPITAL	50,800	50,800	
LITTLE CREEK:			
BOAT SUPPORT FACILITY	10,500	10,500	
SEAL TEAM OPERATIONS CENTER		9,000	+ 9,000
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES COMBAT SKILLS COMPOUND	12,700	12,700	
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,000	1,000	
NAVAL AIR STATION OCEANA: BULK FUEL STORAGE TANK	3,589	3,589	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
FORT PICKETT:			
INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSE	5,170	5,170	
MILITARY OPERATIONS ON URBAN TERRAIN ASSAULT COURSE	1,409	1,409	
NAVY RESERVE: NORFOLK: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	3,290	3,290	
TOTAL, VIRGINIA	317,379	341,649	+ 24,270
WASHINGTON			
ARMY:			
FORT LEWIS:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX—41ST DIVISION DR/B ST (PHASE II)	48,000	48,000	
CHAPEL		9,200	+ 9,200
NAVY:			
BANGOR: LIMITED AREA PRODUCTION AND STAGING COMPLEX	35,770	35,770	
BREMERTON: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—SHIPBOARD ASHORE	34,125	34,125	
PUGET SOUND: AIRCRAFT CARRIER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	20,305	20,305	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP MURRAY ARMY NATIONAL GUARD STATION ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER	1,400	1,400	
ARMY RESERVE: VANCOUVER: LAND ACQUISITION	2,500		— 2,500
TOTAL, WASHINGTON	142,100	148,800	+ 6,700
WEST VIRGINIA			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
EASTERN WEST VIRGINIA REGIONAL AIRPORT (MARTINSBURG):			
C-5 MAINTENANCE HANGAR AND SHOPS	36,000	36,000	
C-5 AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON/HYDRANT SYSTEM		17,000	+ 17,000
C-5 FLIGHT SIMULATOR		4,150	+ 4,150
YEAGER AIR BASE: FIRE CRASH RESCUE STATION		6,000	+ 6,000
TOTAL, WEST VIRGINIA	36,000	63,150	+ 27,150

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
WISCONSIN			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
TRUAX FIELD: ASA—MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE COMPLEX	5,900	5,900	
VOLK FIELD: SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY		4,500	+ 4,500
ARMY RESERVE:			
FORT MC COY:			
INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSE	2,712	2,712	
SQUAD DEFENSE RANGE	1,248	1,248	
TOTAL, WISCONSIN	9,860	14,360	+ 4,500
WYOMING			
AIR FORCE: F.E. WARREN AIR FORCE BASE: STORMWATER DRAINAGE SYSTEM		5,500	+ 5,500
BAHAMAS			
NAVY: ANDROS ISLAND: BACHELOR QUARTERS	20,750		— 20,750
TOTAL, BAHAMAS	20,750		— 20,750
DIEGO GARCIA			
NAVY: DIEGO GARCIA: SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT CENTER	17,500	17,500	
DEFENSE-WIDE: DIEGO GARCIA: DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	3,800	3,800	
TOTAL, DIEGO GARCIA	21,300	21,300	
GERMANY			
ARMY:			
GRAFENWOEHR:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX	28,500	28,500	
BARRACKS COMPLEX—BRIGADE	34,000	34,000	
BRIGADE SUPPORT COMPLEX	14,700	14,700	
AIR FORCE:			
RAMSTEIN AIR BASE:			
SMALL DIAMETER BOMB FACILITIES	1,200	1,200	
U.S. AIR FORCE THEATER AEROSPACE OPERATIONS SUPPORT CENTER	24,204	24,204	
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
GRAFENWOEHR:			
ADDITION/ALTERATION DISPENSARY/DENTAL CLINIC	13,000	13,000	
ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE SCHOOL	36,247	36,247	
VILSECK: HIGH SCHOOL RENOVATION/ADDITION	9,011	9,011	
TOTAL, GERMANY	160,862	160,862	
GREENLAND			
AIR FORCE: THULE AIR BASE: DORMITORY (72 ROOM)	19,800	19,800	
TOTAL, GREENLAND	19,800	19,800	
GUAM			
NAVY:			
AGAT: WATER TREATMENT PLANT UPGRADE	20,700	20,700	
FINEGAYAN: KILO WHARF IMPROVEMENTS	12,500	12,500	
AIR FORCE: ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE: WAR RESERVE STORAGE FACILITY	19,593	19,593	
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
AGANA HEIGHTS NAVAL HOSPITAL COMPOUND: GUAM HIGH SCHOOL REPLACEMENT	26,964	26,964	

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY: SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY SUPPORT BUILDING	2,200	2,200	
TOTAL, GUAM	81,957	81,957	
ITALY			
ARMY: LIVORNO: WAREHOUSE OPERATIONS FACILITY	26,000	26,000	
NAVY:			
SIGONELLA:			
ACCESS IMPROVEMENTS	7,430	7,430	
BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT (PHASE II)	15,120	15,120	
AIR FORCE:			
AVIANO AIR BASE:			
ADDITION/ALTERATION WEAPONS LOAD/MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY	2,300	2,300	
AIRFIELD OBSTRUCTION—EXPAND NORTH RAMP (PHASE I)	1,626	1,626	
FLIGHT SIMULATOR	2,834	2,834	
TOTAL, ITALY	55,310	55,310	
JAPAN			
AIR FORCE: MISAWA AIR BASE: EXPAND STRATEGIC AIRLIFT RAMP	6,700		— 6,700
DEFENSE-WIDE: MISAWA AIR BASE: HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	19,900		— 19,900
TOTAL, JAPAN	26,600		— 26,600
KOREA			
ARMY: CAMP HUMPHREYS: SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM	12,000	12,000	
AIR FORCE:			
KUNSAN AIR BASE:			
DORMITORY (144 ROOM)	18,550	18,550	
DORMITORY (144 ROOM)	18,550	18,550	
OSAN AIR BASE: DORMITORY (156 ROOM)	18,600	18,600	
TOTAL, KOREA	67,700	67,700	
PORTUGAL			
AIR FORCE: LAJES FIELD: ADDITION/ALTERATION FITNESS CENTER (PHASE II)	5,689	5,689	
DEFENSE-WIDE: LAJES FIELD: REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	19,113	19,113	
TOTAL, PORTUGAL	24,802	24,802	
PUERTO RICO			
ARMY RESERVE: AGUADILLA: ARMY RESERVE CENTER	21,523		— 21,523
TOTAL, PUERTO RICO	21,523		— 21,523
SPAIN			
NAVY: NAVAL STATION ROTA: COMMAND OPERATIONS CONSOLIDATION	32,700		— 32,700
AIR FORCE: NAVAL STATION ROTA: AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (PHASE II)	14,153		— 14,153
TOTAL, SPAIN	46,853		— 46,853
UNITED KINGDOM			
AIR FORCE: ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH: 4-BAY MISSION TRAINING CENTER	5,500	5,500	
DEFENSE-WIDE: ROYAL AIR FORCE MILDENHALL: SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES OPERATIONS/INTELLIGENCE FACILITY	10,200		— 10,200
TOTAL, UNITED KINGDOM	15,700	5,500	— 10,200

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION (NATO)			
NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM	165,800	165,800	
WORLDWIDE CLASSIFIED			
AIR FORCE:			
CLASSIFIED LOCATION:			
CLASSIFIED	28,090	28,090	
SPECIAL TACTICAL UNIT DETACHMENT FACILITY	704	704	
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CLASSIFIED LOCATION:			
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES BUILDING ADDITION	2,600	2,600	
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES INFORMATION OPERATIONS FACILITY ADDITION	4,800	4,800	
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE CLASSIFIED	36,194	36,194	
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED			
ARMY:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
HOST NATION SUPPORT	21,000	21,000	
PLANNING AND DESIGN	130,335	166,216	+ 35,881
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	20,000	21,400	+ 1,400
NAVY:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	87,067	110,277	+ 23,210
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	12,000	12,000	
PRESIDENTIAL HELICOPTER PROGRAMS SUPPORT FACILITY	80,000		— 80,000
WHITE SIDE COMPLEX	18,560	18,560	
AIR FORCE:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	140,786	180,507	+ 39,721
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	13,000	15,240	+ 2,240
PREDATOR B BEDDOWN	26,121	26,121	
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION	10,000	10,000	
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM	60,000	60,000	
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	2,900	2,900	
PLANNING AND DESIGN:			
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	10,566	13,146	+ 2,580
PLANNING AND DESIGN	22,216	23,790	+ 1,574
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY	29,400	29,400	
SUBTOTAL, PLANNING AND DESIGN	62,182	66,336	+ 4,154
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION:			
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY	3,002	3,002	
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	2,710	2,710	
MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY	2,769	2,769	
DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE	1,497	1,497	
UNDISTRIBUTED	3,000	3,000	
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENT EDUCATION	746	746	
THE JOINT STAFF	7,214	7,214	
SUBTOTAL, UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	20,938	20,938	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	30,845	41,269	+ 10,424
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	4,472	14,856	+ 10,384

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	13,568	27,943	+ 14,375
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	5,500	7,790	+ 2,290
ARMY RESERVE:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	11,225	14,503	+ 3,278
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	2,923	2,923	
NAVY RESERVE: UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS: PLANNING AND DESIGN	1,503	2,953	+ 1,450
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
VARIOUS WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	5,493	7,660	+ 2,167
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	5,263	5,263	
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED	785,681	856,655	+ 70,974
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY			
ALASKA:			
FORT RICHARDSON (92 UNITS)	42,000	42,000	
FORT WAINWRIGHT (100 UNITS)	41,000	41,000	
FORT WAINWRIGHT (60 UNITS)	37,000	37,000	
FORT WAINWRIGHT (86 UNITS)	46,000	46,000	
ARIZONA:			
FORT HUACHUCA (205 UNITS)	41,000	41,000	
YUMA (55 UNITS)	14,900	14,900	
KANSAS: FORT RILEY (126 UNITS)	33,000	33,000	
NEW MEXICO: WHITE SANDS (156 UNITS)	31,000	31,000	
OKLAHOMA: FORT SILL (247 UNITS)	47,000	47,000	
VIRGINIA:			
FORT LEE (218 UNITS)	46,000	46,000	
FORT MONROE (68 UNITS)	16,000	16,000	
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	211,990	211,990	
PLANNING AND DESIGN	29,209	29,209	
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	636,099	636,099	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	132,356	132,356	
SERVICES ACCOUNT	36,174	36,174	
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	74,895	74,895	
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,333	1,333	
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	37,411	37,411	
LEASING	218,033	218,033	
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	402,060	402,060	
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUM	1	1	
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	26,644	26,644	
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	928,907	928,907	
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1,565,006	1,565,006	
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS			
NORTH CAROLINA: CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION (198 UNITS)	27,002	27,002	
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	112,105	112,105	
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	139,107	139,107	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	137,226	137,226	
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	20,756	20,756	

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	81,859	81,859	
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	654	654	
SERVICES ACCOUNT	57,691	57,691	
LEASING	136,883	136,883	
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	252,383	252,383	
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUM	61	61	
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	16,991	16,991	
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	704,504	704,504	
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS	843,611	843,611	
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE			
ARIZONA: DAVIS-MONTHAN AIR FORCE BASE (250 UNITS)	48,500	48,500	
CALIFORNIA:			
EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE (218 UNITS)	41,202	41,202	
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE (120 UNITS)	30,906	30,906	
FLORIDA:			
MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE	1,250	1,250	
MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE (61 UNITS)	21,723	21,723	
IDAHO: MOUNTAIN HOME AIR FORCE BASE (147 UNITS)	39,333	39,333	
MISSISSIPPI: COLUMBUS AIR FORCE BASE	711	711	
MISSOURI: WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE (160 UNITS)	37,087	37,087	
MONTANA: MALMSTROM AIR FORCE BASE (115 UNITS)	29,910	29,910	
NORTH CAROLINA: SEYMOUR JOHNSON AIR FORCE BASE (167 UNITS)	32,693	32,693	
NORTH DAKOTA:			
GRAND FORKS AIR FORCE BASE (90 UNITS)	26,169	26,169	
MINOT AIR FORCE BASE (142 UNITS)	37,087	37,087	
SOUTH CAROLINA: CHARLESTON AIR FORCE BASE	1,976	1,976	
SOUTH DAKOTA: ELLSWORTH AIR FORCE BASE (75 UNITS)	21,482	21,482	
TEXAS:			
DYESS AIR FORCE BASE (127 UNITS)	28,664	28,664	
GOODFELLOW AIR FORCE BASE (127 UNITS)	20,604	20,604	
GERMANY: RAMSTEIN AIR BASE (144 UNITS)	57,691	57,691	
ITALY: AVIANO AIR BASE	2,542	2,542	
KOREA: OSAN AIR BASE (117 UNITS)	46,834	46,834	
UNITED KINGDOM: ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH (154 UNITS)	43,976	43,976	
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	238,353	238,353	
PLANNING AND DESIGN	38,266	38,266	
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	846,959	846,959	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	125,459	125,459	
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	70,680	62,898	— 7,782
SERVICES ACCOUNT	26,070	26,070	
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	44,459	44,459	
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	2,396	2,396	
LEASING	119,908	119,908	
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	435,782	435,782	
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUM	38	38	
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	39,104	39,104	
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	863,896	856,114	— 7,782
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	1,710,855	1,703,073	— 7,782
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE			
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS (NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY) (NSA)	49	49	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (NSA)	471	471	
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (NSA)	116	116	

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (NSA)	13	13	
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT (NSA)	53	53	
SERVICES ACCOUNT (NSA)	381	381	
LEASING (NSA)	11,257	11,257	
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (NSA)	1,939	1,939	
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY)	3,925	3,925	
LEASING (DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY)	30,199	30,199	
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA))	419	419	
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DLA)	36	36	
SERVICES ACCOUNT (DLA)	76	76	
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (DLA)	293	293	
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (DLA)	397	397	
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	49,575	49,575	
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE	49,624	49,624	
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND	2,500	2,500	
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT	246,116	246,116	
GENERAL PROVISION	63,000		— 63,000
GRAND TOTAL	9,553,375	10,003,000	+ 449,625
RECAPITULATION			
ARMY	1,771,285	1,977,166	+ 205,881
RESCISSION			
NAVY	1,060,455	1,016,315	— 44,140
RESCISSION			
AIR FORCE	663,964	841,131	+ 177,167
RESCISSION			
DEFENSE-WIDE	709,337	696,491	— 12,846
RESCISSION			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	295,657	381,765	+ 86,108
AIR NATIONAL GUARD	127,368	231,083	+ 103,715
ARMY RESERVE	87,070	66,325	— 20,745
NAVAL RESERVE	25,285	33,735	+ 8,450
AIR FORCE RESERVE	84,556	101,373	+ 16,817
TOTAL, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	4,824,977	5,345,384	+ 520,407
NATO INFRASTRUCTURE	165,800	165,800	
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1,565,006	1,565,006	
(CONSTRUCTION)	(636,099)	(636,099)	
(RESCISSION)			
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(928,907)	(928,907)	
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS	843,611	843,611	
(CONSTRUCTION)	(139,107)	(139,107)	
(RESCISSION)			
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(704,504)	(704,504)	
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	1,710,855	1,703,073	— 7,782
(CONSTRUCTION)	(846,959)	(846,959)	
(RESCISSION)			
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(863,896)	(856,114)	(— 7,782)
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE	49,624	49,624	
(CONSTRUCTION)	(49)	(49)	
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(49,575)	(49,575)	
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND	2,500	2,500	
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT	246,116	246,116	
CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE	81,886	81,886	
GENERAL PROVISION	63,000		— 63,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued
 [In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
GRAND TOTAL	9,553,375	10,003,000	+ 449,625

