

Calendar No. 529

106TH CONGRESS }
2d Session }

SENATE

{ REPORT
{ 106-290

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATION BILL, 2001

MAY 11, 2000.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. BURNS, from the Committee on Appropriations,
submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany S. 2521]

The Committee on Appropriations reports the bill (S. 2521) making appropriations for military construction, family housing, and base realignment and closure for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes, reports favorably thereon and recommends that the bill do pass.

DIVISION A

Total of bill as reported to Senate	\$8,634,000,000
Amount of 2001 budget estimate	8,033,908,000
Amount of 2000 appropriations	8,341,950,000
The bill as reported to the Senate:	
Over the budget estimate, 2001	600,092,000
Over appropriations for fiscal year 2000	292,050,000

CONTENTS

DIVISION A

	Page
Background:	
Purpose of the bill	4
Comparative statement	5
Compliance with section 308 of the Budget Control Act	8
Committee recommendation	8
Items of special interest	9
Military construction, Army	15
Military construction, Navy	16
Military construction, Air Force	17
Military construction, Defense-wide	17
Military construction, Reserve components	21
NATO Security Investment Program	25
Family housing overview	25
Family housing, Army	26
Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps	27
Family housing, Air Force	28
Family housing, Defense-wide	29
Family housing improvement fund	29
Homeowners assistance fund, Defense	29
Base realignment and closure account, part IV	31
General provisions	32
Compliance with paragraph 7, rule XVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate ...	33
Project listing by location	33

DIVISION B

TITLE I

COUNTERNARCOTICS

CHAPTER 1

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—MILITARY

Procurement: Aircraft Procurement, Army	52
Other Department of Defense Programs:	
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense:	
Assistance for Plan Colombia	52
Classified Programs	53
General provisions—this chapter	53

CHAPTER 2

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Military Construction, Defense-wide	53
General provision—this chapter	54

CHAPTER 3

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

U.S. Coast Guard:	
Operating expenses	54

	Page
U.S. Coast Guard—Continued	
Acquisition, construction and improvements	55
Reserve training	55
TITLE II	
PEACEKEEPING OPERATIONS IN KOSOVO AND OTHER NATIONAL SECURITY MATTERS	
CHAPTER 1	
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—MILITARY	
Storm Damage and Natural Disasters	56
Operation and Maintenance, Army	56
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	57
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund	57
Classified Programs	58
Procurement: Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	58
Other Department of Defense programs: Defense Health Program	58
General provisions—this chapter	59
CHAPTER 2	
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	
Atomic energy defense activities:	
Weapons Activities	61
Other Defense Activities	62
CHAPTER 3	
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE	
Military Construction, Defense-Wide	63
Military Construction, Army National Guard	63
Military Construction, Army Reserve	63
General provisions—this chapter	63
TITLE III	
General provisions—this division	64

BACKGROUND

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The Military Construction appropriation bill provides necessary funding for the planning, design, construction, alteration, and improvement of military facilities worldwide, both for Active and Reserve Forces. It also finances the construction, alteration, improvement, operation, and maintenance of military family housing, including payments against past housing mortgage indebtedness. Certain types of community impact assistance may be provided, as well as assistance to members of the military who face loss on the sale of private residences due to installation realignments and closures. The bill is also the source for the U.S. share of the NATO Security Investment Program. In addition, the bill provides funding to implement base closures and realignments authorized by law.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

The Committee recommends appropriations totaling \$8,634,000,000 for fiscal year 2001 military construction, family housing, and base closure. The following table displays the Committee recommendation in comparison with the current fiscal year, and the President's fiscal year 2001 request.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2000 enacted	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Increase (+) or decrease (-) compared with—	
				2000 enacted	Budget estimate
Military construction, Army	1,042,033	897,938	823,503	- 218,530	- 74,435
Military construction, Navy	901,531	753,422	828,278	- 73,253	+ 74,856
Military construction, Air Force	777,238	530,969	777,793	+ 555	+ 246,824
Military construction, Defense-wide	593,615	784,753	801,098	+ 207,483	+ 16,345
Total, Active components	3,314,417	2,967,082	3,230,672	- 83,745	+ 263,590
Military construction, Army National Guard	227,456	59,130	233,675	+ 6,219	+ 174,545
Military construction, Air National Guard	263,724	50,179	183,029	- 80,695	+ 132,850
Military construction, Army Reserve	111,340	81,713	99,888	- 11,452	+ 18,175
Military construction, Naval Reserve	28,457	16,103	38,532	+ 10,075	+ 22,429
Military construction, Air Force Reserve	64,404	14,851	25,533	- 38,871	+ 10,682
Total, Reserve components	695,381	221,976	580,657	- 114,724	+ 358,681
Total, Military construction	4,009,798	3,189,058	3,811,329	- 198,469	+ 622,271
NATO Security Investment Program	81,000	190,000	175,000	+ 94,000	- 15,000
Family housing, Army:					
New construction	41,000	91,974	150,974	+ 109,974	+ 59,000
Construction improvements	35,400	63,590	63,590	+ 28,190
Planning and design	4,300	6,542	6,542	+ 2,242
Subtotal, construction	80,700	162,106	221,106	+ 140,406	+ 59,000
Operation and maintenance	1,086,312	978,275	958,364	- 127,948	- 19,911
Total, Family housing, Army	1,167,012	1,140,381	1,179,470	+ 12,458	+ 39,089
Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps:					
New construction	134,674	159,317	188,760	+ 54,086	+ 29,443
Construction improvements	189,682	183,547	184,047	- 5,635	+ 500
Planning and design	17,715	19,958	19,958	+ 2,243

57

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2000 enacted	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Increase (+) or decrease (–) compared with—	
				2000 enacted	Budget estimate
General reduction and revised economic assumptions	– 1,000			+ 1,000	
Subtotal, construction	341,071	362,822	392,765	+ 51,694	+ 29,943
Operation and maintenance	891,470	882,638	881,567	– 9,903	– 1,071
Total, Family housing, Navy	1,232,541	1,245,460	1,274,332	+ 41,791	+ 28,872
Family housing, Air Force:					
New construction	203,411	36,677	47,275	– 156,136	+ 10,598
Construction improvements	129,952	174,046	174,046	+ 44,094	
Planning and design	17,093	12,760	12,760	– 4,333	
Foreign currency reduction			– 6,839	– 6,839	– 6,839
General reduction and revised economic assumptions	– 1,000			+ 1,000	
Subtotal, construction	349,456	223,483	227,242	– 122,214	+ 3,759
Operation and maintenance	818,392	826,271	820,879	+ 2,487	– 5,392
Total, Family housing, Air Force	1,167,848	1,049,754	1,048,121	– 119,727	– 1,633
Family housing, Defense-wide: Operation and maintenance	41,440	44,886	44,886	+ 3,446	
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	2,000			– 2,000	
Total, Family housing	3,610,841	3,480,481	3,546,809	– 64,032	+ 66,328
New construction	(379,085)	(287,968)	(387,009)	(+ 7,924)	(+ 99,041)
Construction improvements	(355,034)	(421,183)	(421,683)	(+ 66,649)	(+ 500)
Planning and design	(39,108)	(39,260)	(39,260)	(+ 152)	
General reduction	(– 2,000)			(+ 2,000)	
Operation and maintenance	(2,837,614)	(2,732,070)	(2,705,696)	(– 131,918)	(– 26,374)
Family Housing Improvement Fund	(2,000)			(– 2,000)	
Base realignment and closure accounts: Part IV	672,311	1,174,369	1,174,369	+ 502,058	

GENERAL PROVISIONS

General provision (Sec. 125)					
Across-the-board cut (.38 percent) (Public Law 106-113)	- 32,000	- 73,507	- 73,507	- 73,507	- 73,507
Grand total: New budget (obligational) authority	8,341,950	8,634,000	+ 292,050	+ 600,092	

COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 308 OF THE BUDGET CONTROL ACT

Section 308(a) of the Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344) requires that the Committee include in its report a comparison of its recommendations with levels contained in the first concurrent resolution. Appropriate data are reflected below:

BUDGETARY IMPACT OF BILL

PREPARED IN CONSULTATION WITH THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE PURSUANT TO SEC. 308(a), PUBLIC LAW 93-344, AS AMENDED

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget authority		Outlays	
	Committee allocation	Amount of bill	Committee allocation	Amount of bill
Comparison of amounts in the bill with Committee allocations to its subcommittees of amounts in the First Concurrent Resolution for 2001: Subcommittee on Military Construction:				
General purpose, defense discretionary		8,634		15,044
General purpose, non-defense discretionary				23
Mandatory				
Projections of outlays associated with the recommendation:				
2000				² 4,598
2001				1,384
2002				3,977
2003				2,015
2004				800
2005 and future year				536
Financial assistance to State and local governments for 2001	NA		NA	

¹Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

²Excludes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

NA: Not applicable.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends new fiscal year 2001 appropriations of \$8,634,000,000. This is \$600,092,000 over the budget request, and \$292,050,000 over the appropriations for fiscal year 2000. The basis for this recommendation is contained in the following "Items of special interest," and under the discussions pertaining to each individual appropriation. Complete project detail is provided in the tables at the end of the report.

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

COMPLIANCE WITH 302(b) ALLOCATION

The Appropriations Committee conformed fully to the budget resolution for defense spending in its 302(b) allocation. This allocation divided the budget authority and outlays among the subcommittees with jurisdiction over discretionary spending. In this recommended bill, the Appropriations Committee has remained within the tight constraints of its 302(b) allocation for military construction.

HEARINGS

The Subcommittee on Military Construction held hearings on the fiscal year 2001 budget request during February and March 2000. The subcommittee heard testimony from representatives of the military services and defense agencies concerning fiscal year 2001 budget priorities and base realignment and closure issues.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The budget request for fiscal year 2001 reflects an increase of \$292,050,000 from the amount enacted in fiscal year 2000. The Committee notes, with great concern, the continuous under investment by the Department of Defense in military facilities and infrastructure. This proposed level of funding does not provide sufficient resources to continue the Department's efforts to modernize, renovate, and improve aging defense facilities.

The effects of sustained and structural inattention by the Department and the military services to basic infrastructure are apparent on nearly every military installation. This will continue to have long-term implications as facilities continue to age disproportionately without a sustained level of investment in maintenance and repair.

The requested funding level reflects a lack of commitment by the administration to reduce the serious backlog of readiness, revitalization and quality of life projects. The Committee urges the Department to develop a comprehensive funding strategy and plan to address these serious infrastructure problems.

The Committee recommends an additional \$600,092,000 above the fiscal year 2001 budget request. The total recommended appropriation for fiscal year 2001 is \$8,634,000,000, an increase of \$292,050,000 from fiscal year 2000 funding.

CONFORMANCE WITH AUTHORIZATION BILL

The Senate has not yet considered the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 which normally contains authorization for the military construction, family housing and base realignment funding contained in this bill.

CONTINGENCY FUNDING

The Department of Defense requested no contingency funding for military construction and family housing projects in the fiscal year 2001 budget request. The Committee believes that some level of contingency funding is essential for the efficient and cost-effective completion of these projects. If the Department loses this funding flexibility, it will be incapable of supporting requirements generated by unforeseen needs, such as environmental and regulatory requirements, unanticipated subsurface conditions and changes in the bid climate.

Construction cost growth has averaged 7–8 percent for the Department of Defense, compared with 10 percent typical for the construction industry. Approximately 80 percent of cost growth is related to unforeseen site conditions, design errors and omissions, and contractor schedule impacts. The remaining 20 percent of cost growth is for essential mission, operation, and equipment changes.

Without sufficient contingency funds, each unforeseen requirement would require deletion of an equivalent amount of work on another project. This potentially puts other military construction projects in jeopardy as well. These actions will slow construction progress, increase the risk of work suspension, delay completion dates, and increase inspection and overhead costs. Ultimately, the total cost of construction will significantly increase with a corresponding decrease in the scope, quality and timeliness of completed projects. The Committee directs the Department to include 5 percent contingency funding when requesting construction funds in the fiscal year 2002 budget submission and for future year projects.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE PROJECTS

The Department requested \$25,660,000 for environmental compliance project construction during fiscal year 2001. The Federal Facilities Compliance Act requires all Federal facilities to meet both Federal and State standards. These projects are considered class I violations and are out of compliance; have received an enforcement action from regulators; and/or a compliance agreement has been signed or consent order received. Environmental projects that are class I violations are required to be funded, and therefore, placed at the top of the priority list. The Committee has approved the request of \$25,660,000 in full. In order to help address these class I violations, an additional \$75,636,000 is recommended. The total appropriation for environmental compliance projects in this bill is \$101,296,000.

Following is a list of all environmental projects funded in this bill:

Installation/project title	Request	Committee recommendation
Army: Kansas: Fort Riley: Advance Waste Water Treatment Facility	\$22,000,000
Army National Guard: Pennsylvania: Fort Indiantown Gap: Replace Waste Treatment Plant Sewage line	8,197,000
Navy:		
Hawaii: Ford Island: Sewer Force Main	6,900,000

Installation/project title	Request	Committee recommendation
Washington: Puget Sound Naval Shipyard: Oily Wastewater Collection	\$6,600,000	6,600,000
Air Force:		
Alaska:		
Cape Romanzov AFS: Generator Fuel Storage	3,900,000	3,900,000
Eielson AFB: Hazardous Material Storage	1,450,000	1,450,000
California:		
Beale AFB: Water Treatment Plant	3,800,000	3,800,000
Vandenberg AFB: Upgrade Water Distribution System	4,650,000	4,650,000
Georgia: Moody AFB: Water Treatment Plant	2,500,000	2,500,000
Nebraska: Offutt AFB: Fire/Crash Rescue Station	9,765,000
New Mexico: Holloman AFB: Repair Bonito Pipeline	18,380,000
Wyoming: FE Warren AFB: Upgrade Storm Water Drainage	10,394,000
Turkey: Incirlik Air Base: Fire Training Facility	1,000,000	1,000,000
Air National Guard: Arkansas: Fort Smith: Fire Training Facility	1,760,000	1,760,000
Total	25,660,000	101,296,000

The Committee again directs the Department to devote the maximum amount of resources to actual cleanups and to limit resources expended on administration, support, studies, and investigations to the greatest extent possible.

REPROGRAMMING RULES/CRITERIA

The following rules apply for all military construction and family housing reprogrammings. A projects or account (including the subelements of an account) which has been specifically reduced by the Congress in acting on the appropriation request is considered to be a congressional interest item. A prior approval reprogramming is required for any increase to an item that has specifically reduced by the Congress. Accordingly, no below threshold reprogrammings to an item specifically reduced by the Congress are permitted.

The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects (25 percent of the funded amount or \$2,000,000, whichever is less) continue to apply to new housing construction projects and to improvements over \$2,000,000. To provide the individual services the flexibility to proceed with construction contracts without disruption or delay, the costs associated with environmental hazard remediation such as asbestos removal, radon abatement, lead-based paint removal or abatement, and any other legislated environmental hazard remediation may be excluded, provided that such remediation requirements could not be reasonably anticipated at the time of budget submission. This exclusion applies to projects authorized in the budget year, and also projects authorized in prior years for which construction contracts have not been completed.

Furthermore, in instances where a prior approval reprogramming request for a project or account has been approved by the Committee, the amount approved becomes the new base for any future increase or decrease via below threshold reprogrammings (provided that the project or account is not a congressional interest item).

TRANSFER AUTHORITY

The budget request proposed a general provision which would allow the transfer of up to \$67,000,000 between any accounts in the bill, and this could be accomplished at the determination of the Secretary of Defense and upon the approval of OMB. Congress would be given an “after the fact” notification. The Committee believes that the existing reprogramming procedures are sufficient in solving urgent, high priority funding problems within available resources and denies this request.

FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATIONS

The U.S. dollar has significantly improved against most foreign currencies since the Department of Defense submitted its fiscal year 2001 budget. Accordingly, the Committee recommends a total reduction of \$43,852,000 to the following appropriations due to these favorable fluctuations in exchange rates:

Military Construction, Army	-\$635,000
Military Construction, Navy	-2,889,000
Military Construction, Defense-Wide	-7,115,000
Family Housing, Army	-19,911,000
Family Housing, Navy and Marine Corps	-1,071,000
Family Housing, Air Force	-12,231,000
Total	-43,852,000

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

The Committee has included \$1,174,369,000 for the “Base realignment and closure” account. The Committee has fully funded the budget request for base closure and realignment. This includes funding of the requirements for environmental cleanup at closing and realigning bases.

BARRACKS CONSTRUCTION

The fiscal year 2001 budget request includes \$668,025,000 to construct or modernize 38 barracks projects.

The Committee recommends \$712,219,000 for 43 barracks construction projects in fiscal year 2001, an increase of \$44,194,000 over the budget request. This additional funding is provided to help reduce the unaccompanied housing deficit and modernize existing barracks.

The Committee did not provide funding for the unaccompanied contractor housing at Kwajalien Atoll. Funding for the expeditionary airmen quarters at Manta AB, Ecuador, was provided in the fiscal year 2000 supplemental. The approved projects are as follows:

BARRACKS CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

Location	Request	Recommended
Army:		
California: Fort Irwin	\$31,000,000	\$31,000,000
Georgia:		
Fort Benning	24,000,000	24,000,000
Fort Stewart	26,000,000	26,000,000

BARRACKS CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS—Continued

Location	Request	Recommended
Hawaii:		
Schofield Barracks	46,400,000	46,400,000
Wheeler Army Air Field	43,800,000	43,800,000
Kansas: Fort Riley	15,000,000	15,000,000
Kentucky: Fort Campbell	9,400,000	9,400,000
Maryland: Fort Meade		19,000,000
New Jersey: Fort Dix		9,975,000
North Carolina:		
Fort Bragg	26,000,000	26,000,000
Fort Bragg	45,600,000	45,600,000
Fort Bragg	38,600,000	38,600,000
Germany:		
Bamberg	7,800,000	7,800,000
Bamberg	3,850,000	3,850,000
Darmstadt	5,700,000	5,700,000
Darmstadt	5,600,000	5,600,000
Mannheim	4,050,000	4,050,000
Korea:		
Camp Humphreys	14,200,000	14,200,000
Camp Page	19,500,000	19,500,000
Kwajalein: Kwajalein Atoll	18,000,000	
Navy/Marine Corps:		
California: Lemoore Naval Air Station	8,260,000	8,260,000
District of Columbia: Washington Marine Barracks	17,197,000	17,197,000
Georgia: NAS Atlanta		5,970,000
Hawaii:		
Kaneohe Bay Marine Corps Base	18,400,000	18,400,000
Pearl Harbor Naval Station	16,500,000	16,500,000
Illinois:		
Great Lakes Naval Training Center	37,000,000	37,000,000
Great Lakes Naval Training Center	37,700,000	37,700,000
North Carolina: Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base	14,300,000	14,300,000
Virginia: Norfolk Naval Shipyard	16,100,000	16,100,000
CONUS Various	11,500,000	11,500,000
Italy: Naples Naval Support Activity	15,000,000	15,000,000
Air Force:		
Alaska:		
Eielson AFB	14,540,000	14,540,000
Elmendorf AFB	15,920,000	15,920,000
Colorado: Peterson AFB	11,000,000	11,000,000
Florida: Eglin AFB	5,600,000	5,600,000
Georgia: Moody AFB		8,818,000
Louisiana: Barksdale AFB	6,390,000	6,390,000
New York: Niagara Air Reserve Station		6,881,000
Oklahoma: Tinker AFB	5,800,000	5,800,000
Texas: Lackland AFB	5,500,000	5,500,000
Utah: Hill AFB		11,550,000
Virginia: Langley AFB	7,470,000	7,470,000
Italy: Aviano AB	8,000,000	8,000,000
Korea: Osan AB	11,348,000	11,348,000
Total	668,025,000	712,219,000

REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE REPORTING REQUIREMENT

The Committee recommends a continuation of the following general rules for repairing a facility under Operations and Maintenance account funding:

Components of the facility may be repaired by replacement, and such replacement can be up to current standards or code.

Interior arrangements and restorations may be included as repair, but additions, new facilities, and functional conversions must be performed as military construction projects.

Such projects may be done concurrent with repair projects, as long as the final conjunctively funded project is a complete and usable facility.

The appropriate Service Secretary shall submit a 21-day notification prior to carrying out any repair project with an estimated cost in excess of \$7,500,000.

The Department is directed to continue to provide the real property maintenance backlog at all installations for which there is a requested construction project in future budget requests. This information is to be provided on Form 1390. In addition, for all troop housing requests, the Form 1391 is to continue to show all real property maintenance conducted in the past 2 years and all future requirements for unaccompanied housing at that installation.

CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTERS

The fiscal year 2001 budget request includes \$17,040,000 for 4 child development center projects. The Committee recommends \$33,236,000 for a total of 7 projects.

The following child development center projects are provided for fiscal year 2001:

Location	Request	Recommended
Army: Germany: Kaiserslautern	\$3,400,000	\$3,400,000
Puerto Rico: Fort Buchanan		3,700,000
Navy: North Carolina: Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base	4,420,000	4,420,000
Air Force:		
Alaska: Elmendorf AFB		7,666,000
District of Columbia: Bolling AFB	4,520,000	4,520,000
Texas: Lackland AFB		4,830,000
Defense-Wide: Pennsylvania: Susquehanna Defense Dist Depot	4,700,000	4,700,000
Total	17,040,000	33,236,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2000	\$1,042,033,000
Budget estimate, 2001	897,938,000
Committee recommendation	823,503,000

The Committee recommends \$823,503,000 for the Army for fiscal year 2001. This is a decrease of \$74,435,000 from the budget request for fiscal year 2001. This also reflects the realignment of the Chemical Demilitarization Program responsibility from the Army. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2001 as practical:

Birch Hill Trail and lighting, Fort Wainwright, AK.—Of the \$20,200,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Military Construction, Army” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$280,000 be made available for modifications to the Birch Hill Trail.

Certified chemical lab, White Sands Missile Range, NM.—Of the \$20,200,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Military Construction, Army” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,000,000 be made available to construct a certified chemical lab.

Biathlon live fire course, Fort Wainwright, AK.—Of the \$20,200,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Military Construction, Army” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$900,000 be made available to construct a biathlon live fire course.

Power train modernization facility, Anniston Army Depot, AL.—Of the \$84,706,000 provided for planning and design within the “Military Construction, Army” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,600,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Historic properties, Fort Vancouver, WA.—Of the \$20,200,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Military Construction, Army” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,500,000 be made available for protection of historic facilities at Fort Vancouver, WA.

Fire station addition, Fort Richardson, AK.—Of the \$20,200,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Military Construction, Army” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,500,000 be made available to build an addition to the fire station at Fort Wainwright.

Replace airfield, Dugway Proving Ground, UT.—Of the \$84,706,000 provided for planning and design within the “Military Construction, Army” account, the Committee directs that up to \$1,500,000 be made available for the design of these repairs for Michael Army Airfield, Dugway Proving Ground, UT.

MOUT facility, Fort Richardson, AK.—Of the \$84,706,000 provided for planning and design within the “Military Construction, Army” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,500,000 be made available for the design of a MOUT facility.

RAH-66 training facility, Fort Rucker, AL.—Of the \$84,706,000 provided for planning and design within the “Military Construction, Army” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,000,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2000	\$901,531,000
Budget estimate, 2001	753,422,000
Committee recommendation	828,278,000

The Committee recommends \$828,278,000 for Navy and Marine Corps military construction for fiscal year 2001. This amount is an increase of \$74,856,000 from the fiscal year 2001 budget request. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2001 as practical:

Channel dredging, Naval Station Pascagoula, MS.—Of the \$11,659,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Military Construction, Navy” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,400,000 be made available for channel dredging at the Naval Station, Pascagoula, MS.

Fleet recreation center, Bremerton, WA.—Of the \$11,659,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Military Construction, Navy” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,400,000 be made available to construct a fleet recreation center.

Wastewater treatment pipeline/facility, Meridan NAS, MS.—Of the \$11,659,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Military Construction, Navy” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,200,000 be made available to construct a wastewater treatment pipeline/facility.

Education center, Corpus Christi Naval Station, TX.—Of the \$11,659,000 provided for unspecified minor construction with the “Military Construction, Navy” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,250,000 be made to construct an education center at the Corpus Christi Naval Station, TX.

BEQ, Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, NH.—Of the \$71,000,000 provided for planning and design within the “Military Construction, Navy” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,500,000 be made available for the design of a BEQ.

Applied instruction building, Newport NS, RI.—Of the \$71,000,000 provided for planning and design within the “Military Construction, Navy” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,000,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

National maritime technology information center, Carderock Division, NSWC, MD.—Of the \$71,000,000 provided for planning and design within the “Military Construction, Navy” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,000,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2000	\$777,238,000
Budget estimate, 2001	530,969,000
Committee recommendation	777,793,000

The Committee recommends \$777,793,000 for the Air Force in fiscal year 2001. This is an increase of \$246,824,000 to the fiscal year 2001 budget request. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2001 as practical:

Range support squadron facility, Mt. Home AFB, ID.—Of the \$11,350,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Military Construction, Air Force” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,500,000 be made available to construct a range support squadron facility.

HQ, PACAF Revitalization, Hickam AFB, HI.—Of the \$69,337,000 provided for planning and design within the “Military Construction, Air Force” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,000,000 be made available for the planning and design work necessary to revitalize and operationalize this facility.

Control tower, Dover AFB, DE.—The Committee notes that the control tower at Dover AFB is antiquated, inadequately sited, and lacks modern air traffic control equipment. Given the activity level and mission critical nature of this base, the project appears to be an excellent candidate for the President’s fiscal year 2002 budget. Accordingly, the Committee urges the Secretary of the Air Force to review this project, and to expedite its advancement into the fiscal year 2002 budget.

Ramp extension, Minot AFB, ND.—The Committee notes that a shortage of ramp space at Minot AFB has affected the operations and efficiency of the 5th Bomb Wing. Design for a ramp extension project to correct this situation is complete, and the Committee urges the Air Force to advance this project into the President’s fiscal year 2002 budget so that it can be undertaken without delay.

C-17 facilities.—Of the \$69,337,000 provided for planning and design within the “Military Construction, Air Force” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$3,600,000 be made available for planning and site assessments of Elmendorf AFB and Hickam AFB in order to provide long-term support for C-17 aircraft within the PACOM theater of operations.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2000	\$593,615,000
Budget estimate, 2001	784,753,000
Committee recommendation	801,098,000

The Committee recommends \$801,098,000 for projects considered within the “Defense-wide” account. The amount recommended is an increase of \$16,345,000 from the fiscal year 2001 budget request. This increase reflects the realignment of the Chemical Demilitarization Program responsibility to this appropriation. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION

The budget request identified a requirement of \$175,400,000 for the construction of the following chemical weapon demilitarization facilities in fiscal year 2001. The Committee recommends a total of \$175,400,000 for this program.

State/installation/project	Request	Recommended
Arkansas: Pine Bluff Arsenal: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Phase V	\$43,600,000	\$43,600,000
Colorado: Pueblo Depot Activity: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Phase II	10,700,000	10,700,000
Indiana: Newport Army Ammunition Plant: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Phase III	54,400,000	54,400,000
Kentucky: Bluegrass Army Depot: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Phase II	8,500,000	8,500,000
Maryland:		
Aberdeen Proving Ground: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Phase II	45,700,000	45,700,000
Aberdeen Proving Ground: Munitions Assessment/Processing Systems Facility	3,100,000	3,100,000
Oregon: Umatilla Depot Activity: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Phase VI	9,400,000	9,400,000
Total	175,400,000	175,400,000

The budget request proposes that these amounts should be appropriated under the “Military Construction, Army” account. As in prior years, the Committee recommends that these amounts be appropriated under the “Military Construction, Defense-wide” account, in order to facilitate the tracking of expenses for the Chemical Demilitarization Program, and to avoid distorting the size of the Army’s military construction program.

The Committee believes that the focus on this program must remain at the Office of the Secretary of the Defense rather than at the service level and directs the Department to submit the fiscal year 2002 budget accordingly.

The following chart displays the scope of the military construction investment in the overall chemical demilitarization program:

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM MILITARY CONSTRUCTION COSTS

[In millions of dollars]

	1999 and prior	Fiscal year—						Total
		2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	
Tooele	198.00							
Anniston	174.20	7.0						
Umatilla Depot	11.20							
Umatilla Facility	157.40	25.9	9.4					
Pine Bluff Depot	10.00							
Pine Bluff Facility	58.00	49.8	43.6					
Pueblo Depot	6.30							
Pueblo Facility			10.7	80.5	83.4	10.9		
Blue Grass Depot		2.0	8.5					
Blue Grass Facility				20.0	78.0	87.0	10.0	
Aberdeen CDTF	16.10							
Aberdeen Depot	1.90							

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM MILITARY CONSTRUCTION COSTS—Continued

[In millions of dollars]

	1999 and prior	Fiscal year—						Total
		2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	
Aberdeen Facility	26.50	53.5	45.7	51.6
Newport Depot	2.00
Newport Facility	11.50	35.9	54.4	78.0
MAPS Facility	3.1
Planning & Design	114.50
Total	787.60	174.1	175.4	230.1	161.4	97.9	10.0	1,636.5

MEDICAL CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

The fiscal year 2001 budget request included \$177,887,000 for 13 projects and for unspecified minor construction to provide hospital and medical facilities, including treatment, training, and medical research and development facilities. The Committee recommends a total of \$138,037,000 for Department of Defense medical projects. Funding for the Naples, Italy medical/dental facility replacement project is deferred until the issues surrounding further construction in the Naples area are resolved.

The following hospital and medical projects are recommended for fiscal year 2001:

Location/project title	Request	Recommended
Alaska: Fort Wainwright: Hospital Replacement (Phase II)	\$44,000,000	\$44,000,000
California:		
Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base: Fleet Hospital Ops/Training Command Support Fac	2,900,000	2,900,000
Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base: Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement (Horno)	3,950,000	3,950,000
Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base: Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement (Las Flores)	3,550,000	3,550,000
Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base: Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement (Las Pulgas)	3,750,000	3,750,000
Edwards AFB: Medical Clinic Addition/Dental Clinic Alteration	17,900,000	17,900,000
Florida:		
Eglin AFB: Add/Alter Hospital/Life Safety Upgrade	37,600,000	37,600,000
Patrick AFB: Medical Clinic	2,700,000	2,700,000
Tyndall AFB: Add/Alter Medical Clinic	7,700,000	7,700,000
New Hampshire: Pease International Trade Port: Medical Training Facility	4,000,000
New York: Fort Drum: Veterinary Treatment Facility	1,400,000	1,400,000
Germany:		
Kitzingen: Health /Dental Clinic Life Safety Upgrade	1,400,000	1,400,000
Wiesbaden AB: Add/Alter Health/Dental Clinic	7,187,000	7,187,000
Italy: Naples Naval Support Activity: Medical/Dental Facility Replacement	43,850,000
Total	177,887,000	138,037,000

Armed Forces Institute of Pathology (AFIP).—The Committee recognizes the importance of the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology. It is concerned about the deplorable condition of the current facili-

ties. Recent studies have identified extensive safety, occupational health and operational deficiencies. The Committee notes the requirement contained in the conference report accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for 2000 (Public Law 106-65), for the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on alternative methods of improving AFIP, including private funding and lease back. To date, this report has not been submitted. The Committee directs the Department of Defense to provide this report which provides alternatives and funding recommendations for improving the AFIP facilities to the congressional defense committees without further delay. Thirty days after the Secretary submits the above mentioned report to Congress, the Secretary may make available up to \$3,500,000 from funds available for "Military Construction, Defense-Wide" planning and design for this project, if such action is consistent with the findings of the report.

Contingency construction.—The Committee has provided \$10,000,000 for the Secretary of Defense "Contingency construction" account in accordance with the budget request. This account provides funds which may be used by the Secretary of Defense for unforeseen facility requirements. The Committee believes that the funding provided to the account is adequate to meet the needs of the Department.

Forward operating locations [FOLS].—The fiscal year 2001 budget requests \$76,823,000 for the construction of facilities at FOLS in Ecuador, Curaçao and Aruba, Netherland Antilles. These three forward deployment sites are to support counterdrug operations in the transit and source zones.

The Committee provided these funds as part of the Fiscal Year 2000 Emergency Supplemental bill.

WEAPONS STORAGE AND MAINTENANCE FACILITY, FORT BRAGG, NC.

The Committee understands that the U.S. Special Operations Command maintains, repairs, and sustains foreign and non-standard military small arms in support of Special Operations Forces (SOF) units. Further, the Committee understands that the facility where the Command currently performs this SOF-unique small arms training may be inadequate for current and future mission requirements. The Committee directs the Special Operation Command to provide a report of the needed improvements in the overall infrastructure required to ensure the safety certification and efficiency of those foreign weapons. This report should be provided to the Committee by June 15, 2001.

ENERGY CONSERVATION AND IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

The Department of Defense has a total of \$39,500,000 in unobligated balances available for this initiative. Accordingly, the fiscal year 2001 budget request for \$33,570,000 of additional funding is not recommended.

ALKALI SILICA REACTIVITY

The Committee continues to be concerned about the effects of alkali silica reactivity (ASR) on concrete facilities, including aprons, taxiways, runways, and tarmacs, and therefore, directs the Sec-

retary of Defense and the Corps of Engineers to investigate instances of ASR at domestic and international defense installations.

ANTILLES CONSOLIDATED SCHOOL SYSTEM

The Committee is concerned about recent reports concerning the condition of Department of Defense schools in Puerto Rico. Problems such as dilapidated buildings, inadequate supplies, inconsistent teaching quality, and lack of curriculum standards have continued to undermine confidence in these schools. Given the importance of providing quality education to dependent children of military personnel, the Committee directs the Department to provide the congressional defense committees a report on these deficiencies by August 1, 2000. This report should also provide corrective measures that the Department of Defense intends to implement and an associated time line for resolving these issues.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MILITARY UNACCOMPANIED HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

The budget request proposed a general provision, which would allow the transfer of funds from the military construction accounts to the Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund. Due to the absence of any programmed or anticipated projects under this fund, the Committee denies the Department's request for transfer authority.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, RESERVE COMPONENTS

Appropriations, 2000	\$695,381,000
Budget estimate, 2001	221,976,000
Committee recommendation	580,657,000

The Committee recommends \$580,657,000 for military construction projects for the Guard and Reserve components. This amount is \$358,681,000 above the fiscal year 2001 budget request. This increase reflects the Committee's continued strong support for the Guard and Reserve.

The Committee's recommended action on each Reserve component project is reflected in the State list at the end of this report.

The Committee recommends approval of military construction, Reserve component as outlined in the following table:

RESERVE COMPONENT

Component	Request	Recommended
Army National Guard	\$59,130,000	\$233,675,000
Air National Guard	50,179,000	183,029,000
Army Reserve	81,713,000	99,888,000
Naval Reserve	16,103,000	38,532,000
Air Force Reserve	14,851,000	25,533,000
Total	221,976,000	580,657,000

Weapons of Mass Destruction—Civil Support Teams.—The Committee notes the continued progress by the Department in creating

the Weapons of Mass Destruction—Civil Support Teams (WMDCST).

However, as the National Guard assumes this new mission, many of these teams do not have adequate facilities from which to train, to coordinate with other federal and state agencies, and to store decontamination and reconnaissance equipment. Any outstanding requirements in this area must be fully integrated into the overall resourcing strategy for responding to weapons of mass destruction. The Committee has provided the Army National Guard an additional \$25,000,000 specifically for unspecified minor construction funding to directly support WMDCST requirements. The Committee directs the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to report to the congressional defense committees on the distribution of these funds no later than February 15, 2001.

The Committee has added \$28,563,000 for specific Reserve component planning and design initiatives and minor construction projects listed below. The Department is expected to program the construction funds for these projects.

Planning and design.—The Committee recommendation provides an additional \$33,975,000 over the budget request for planning and design activities for the Reserve components.

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2001 as practical:

Upgrade airfield facilities, Des Moines IAP, IA.—Of the \$19,119,000 provided for planning and design with the “Air National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$800,000 be made available for the design of these upgrades.

Armed Services Reserve Center, Kalispell, MT.—Of the \$22,232,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$627,000 be made available for the design of an Armed Forces Reserve Center.

Army aviation support facility, Sante Fe, NM.—Of the \$22,232,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,440,000 be made available for the design of an Army aviation support facility.

Composite aircraft maintenance complex, Nashville IAP, TN.—Of the \$19,119,000 provided for planning and design within the “Air National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$800,000 be made available for the design on this facility.

Entry way/guard facility, Fort Harrison, MT.—Of the \$22,232,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$100,000 be made available for the design of an entry way/guard facility at Fort Harrison, MT.

Training site barracks/dining/administration complex, Camp Rapid, SD.—Of the \$22,232,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$980,000 be made available for the design of a training site barracks/dining/administration/parking complex.

C-12 hangar, Helena, MT.—Of the \$12,775,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” ac-

count, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,200,000 be made available to construct a C-12 hangar.

Rural armory improvement, NV.—Of the \$12,775,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,490,000 be made available for rural armory improvements in Elko, Ely, Winnemucca, Fallon and Yerington, NV.

Combined Public Safety Training Center, Spokane, WA.—The Committee notes the meritorious nature of the joint use Army National Guard Public Safety Training Center planned for Spokane, WA. Planning and design funds have previously been made available for this project, and the Committee urges the Army National Guard to advance the project into the President’s fiscal year 2002 budget.

Infrastructure improvements, Fort Harrison, MT.—Of the \$12,775,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,200,000 be made available for infrastructure improvements.

Army aviation support facility, Camp Robinson, AR.—The Committee notes the urgent requirement for the replacement of the Army aviation support facility at Camp Robinson, AR. The current facility is wholly inadequate in terms of accommodating both equipment and personnel. The Committee encourages the Army National Guard to advance this project into the President’s fiscal year 2002 budget.

BOQ/BEQ, Fort Harrison, MT.—Of the \$12,775,000 provide for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,200,000 be made available for construction of a BOQ/BEQ.

Readiness centers, WV.—Of the \$22,232,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,400,000 be made available for the design of readiness centers in Summersville, Sam Black Church, and Moorefield, WV.

Army Aviation Support Center, Fort Leonardwood, MO.—Of the \$12,775,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,260,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Armory addition, Fairfield, IA.—Of the \$12,775,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,066,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Aviation classification and repair activity depot, Fresno, CA.—Of the \$22,232,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,000,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Load crew training facility, Great Falls, MT.—Of the \$19,119,000 provided for planning and design within the “Air National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$300,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Armed Forces Reserve Center, Bergstrom AFB, TX.—Of the \$22,232,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army

National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,000,000 be made available for the design of an Armed Forces Reserve Center.

Expand arm/dearm area, Great Falls, MT.—Of the \$8,000,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Air National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,400,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Arm/dearm pads, Hector IAP, ND.—Of the \$8,000,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Air National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$900,000 be made available for the construction of this facility

Aviation support facility, Burlington IAP, VT.—Of the \$22,232,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,000,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Pararescue training complex, Kulis ANGB, AK.—Of the \$19,119,000 provided for planning and design within the “Air National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$650,000 be made available for the design of this replacement facility.

Simulation training center, Newington, NH.—Of the \$19,119,000 provided for planning and design within the “Air National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$250,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Controlled humidity storage complex, Gulfport CB Base, MS.—Of the \$11,200,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army Reserve” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$3,000,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Scout armories, AK.—Of the \$12,775,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,500,000 be made available for the construction of Scout armories in Scammon Bay, Kasigluk and Chevak, Alaska.

Idaho National Guard facilities.—The Committee recognizes the growing reliance on the National Guard and Reserve to support ongoing peace keeping operations such as those contingents in Kosovo and Bosnia. The Committee also recognizes that such operations place considerable strain on the National Guard and Reserve. Military Construction plays an important role in improving operational conditions and quality-of-life. The Idaho National Guard faces a significant shortage in readiness space at its facilities at Gowen Field. This shortage could impact readiness and the ability of the Idaho National Guard to effectively and efficiently prepare for deployment. The Mobilization Readiness Center modifications and additions are needed to provide space for Army Guard units currently located in World War II-era buildings or other facilities that are overcrowded and inadequate for that purpose. The Committee urges the National Guard Bureau to place this project in the FYDP at the earliest practicable date.

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT
PROGRAM

Appropriations, 2000	\$81,000,000
Budget estimate, 2001	190,000,000
Committee recommendation	175,000,000

The Committee has provided \$175,000,000 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO] Security Investment Program for fiscal year 2001. This amount is \$15,000,000 below the President's fiscal year 2001 budget request and an increase of \$94,000,000 above the appropriation for fiscal year 2000. The Committee is aware of the unobligated balances in this account. The Committee notes that the actual fiscal year 2000 requirement for the NATO security investment program was \$191,000,000. Sufficient funding for the account was provided by funds appropriated in the Fiscal Year 1999 Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act (Public Law 106-31).

The Committee continues the requirement that no funds will be used for projects (including planning and design) related to the enlargement of NATO and the Partnership for Peace program, unless Congress is notified 21 days in advance of the obligation of funds. In addition, the Committee's intent is that section 121 of the General Provisions shall apply to this program.

The Department of Defense is directed to identify separately the level of effort anticipated for NATO enlargement and for Partnership for Peace for that fiscal year in future budget justifications.

FAMILY HOUSING OVERVIEW

The Committee has provided \$3,546,809,000 for family housing construction, operations and maintenance, and the Department's family housing improvements fund. This amount is \$66,328,000 above the fiscal year 2001 budget request and \$64,032,000 below the amount appropriated in fiscal year 2000.

CONTRACTOR SUPPORT FOR FAMILY HOUSING PRIVATIZATION

The Committee is very concerned to learn that the Army is spending excessive amounts on contractor support to evaluate and develop family housing privatization proposals. The Army's expenditures on this initiative far exceed the other military services for family housing privatization. The realignment of fiscal year 2000 Army Family Housing Operation funds to the Residential Communities Initiative (RCI), has negatively impacted family housing operations worldwide. The Committee wants to ensure the Department understands its position with respect to these costs.

Section 2883 of 10 U.S.C. provides the authority to transfer Family Housing Construction funds into the Family Housing Improvement Fund (FHIF) to finance a privatization deal. This section also provides authority to use Family Housing Operation and Maintenance funds to develop, evaluate, and oversee a privatization deal. While the Military Construction appropriations' language specifies that the FHIF is the sole source of funds for the administration and oversight of privatization efforts, this language is intended to limit the funds spent to operate the Competitive Sourcing and Pri-

vativation Directorate, formerly known as the Housing Revitalization Support Office (HRSO).

In the future, all privatization deals will be financed as currently authorized, i.e., Family Housing Construction funds will be transferred into the FHIF to finance privatization deals and the amounts appropriated into the FHIF will be the sole source of funds to finance the operation of the Competitive Sourcing and Privatization Directorate. Family Housing Operations and Maintenance will be the sole source of funds to develop, evaluate and oversee privatization deals and pay consultants; however, these funds will be separately identified and justified as a sub-element of the Family Housing Operation account similar to management. Further, this sub-element is considered a congressional interest item and may not be increased from the amount enacted without prior approval of the congressional appropriations committees.

FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY

Appropriations, 2000	\$1,167,012,000
Budget estimate, 2001	1,140,381,000
Committee recommendation	1,179,470,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$1,179,470,000 for family housing, Army, in fiscal year 2001. This is \$39,089,000 over the fiscal year 2001 budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$150,974,000 for new construction instead of \$91,974,000 as requested, as shown below:

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
Alaska: Fort Wainwright (75 units)		\$24,000,000
Arizona: Fort Huachuca (110 units)	\$16,224,000	16,224,000
Hawaii: Schofield Barracks (72 units)	15,500,000	15,500,000
Kentucky:		
Fort Campbell (56 units)	7,800,000	7,800,000
Fort Campbell (128 units)		20,000,000
Maryland: Fort Detrick (48 units)	5,600,000	5,600,000
North Carolina: Fort Bragg (112 units)	14,600,000	14,600,000
South Carolina: Fort Jackson (1 unit)	250,000	250,000
Texas:		
Fort Bliss (64 units)	10,200,000	10,200,000
Fort Sam Houston (70 units)		10,000,000
Korea: Camp Humphreys (60 units)	21,800,000	21,800,000
Puerto Rico: Fort Buchanan (31 units)		5,000,000
Total	91,974,000	150,974,000

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

Location/installation/project	Recommended
Alaska: Fort Wainwright (28 units)	\$7,200,000
District of Columbia: Fort McNair (8 units)	1,300,000
New York: West Point (59 units)	9,100,000
Virginia: Fort Belvoir (148 units)	14,000,000

<i>Location / installation / project</i>	<i>Recommended</i>
Germany:	
Ansbach (42 units)	4,200,000
Heidelberg (276 units)	8,200,000
Wiesbaden (144 units)	13,200,000
Wuerzburg (64 units)	6,300,000
Korea: Yongsan (1 unit)	90,000
Total	63,590,000

FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2000	\$1,232,541,000
Budget estimate, 2001	1,245,460,000
Committee recommendation	1,274,332,000

The Committee recommends \$1,274,332,000 for family housing, Navy and Marine Corps, in fiscal year 2001. This amount is \$28,872,000 over the fiscal year 2001 budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$188,760,000 for new construction instead of \$159,317,000 as requested, as shown below:

<i>Location/project</i>	<i>Requested</i>	<i>Recommended</i>
California:		
Lemoore Naval Air Station (160 units)	\$27,768,000	\$27,768,000
Twentynine Palms (79 units)	13,923,000	13,923,000
Hawaii:		
Pearl Harbor Naval Complex (98 units)	22,230,000	22,230,000
Pearl Harbor Naval Complex (62 units)	14,237,000	14,237,000
Pearl Harbor Naval Complex (112 units)	23,654,000	23,654,000
Kaneohe Bay Marine Corp Base (84 units)	21,910,000	21,910,000
Maine: Brunswick Naval Air Station (168 units)	18,722,000	18,722,000
Mississippi: Pascagoula Naval Station (140 units)		21,605,000
North Carolina: Camp Lejeune (149 units)		7,838,000
Washington: Whidbey Island Naval Air Station (98 units)	16,873,000	16,873,000
Total	159,317,000	188,760,000

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

<i>Location / installation / project</i>	<i>Recommended</i>
California:	
NB San Diego: Murphy Canyon, Phs 4 (347 units)	\$27,123,000
MCB Camp Pendleton: San Onofre Housing Area (332 units)	24,969,000
Connecticut: NSB New London:	
Nautilus Park I, Phs I (111 units)	10,429,000
Nautilus Park 2, Phs 4 (184 units)	18,694,000
Hawaii: CNB Pearl Harbor: Hale Allii (12 units)	2,729,000
Illinois: NTC Great Lakes: Forrestal Village, Phs 10 (180 units)	23,293,000
Maryland:	
NAVCAD Annapolis: Academy Yard (7 units)	2,654,000
NAS Patuxent River: Solomon's Annex (17 units)	822,000
New Jersey: NAES Lakehurst: Pinehurst Estates, Phs I (72 units)	7,759,000
Tennessee: NAS Memphis: Wherry Housing (250 units)	10,892,000
Virginia:	
Hampton Roads Little Creek: Shelton/Gela Point (308 units)	18,617,000

<i>Location / installation / project</i>	<i>Recommended</i>
Hampton Roads Stanley Court: Stanley Court (125 units)	9,318,000
Washington: NAS Whidbey Island: Maylor/Faf Phs I (28 units)	1,851,000
Washington, DC: Marine Barracks, 8th & I:	
ACMC Quarters (1 unit)	223,000
Quarters #2 (1 unit)	178,000
Quarters #4 (1 unit)	190,000
Quarters #6 (1 unit)	500,000
Iceland: NAS Keflavik (44 units)	9,016,000
Japan:	
PWC Yokosuka: Towers 5 & 6 (96 units)	11,884,000
MCAS Iwakuni:	
3 Midrises (132 units)	873,000
Midrise 955 (44 units)	2,033,000
Total	184,047,000

FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2000	\$1,167,848,000
Budget estimate, 2001	1,049,754,000
Committee recommendation	1,048,121,000

The Committee recommends \$1,048,121,000 for family housing, Air Force, in fiscal year 2001, which is \$1,633,000 below the budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$47,275,000 for new construction, instead of \$36,677,000 as requested, as shown below:

<i>Location/project</i>	<i>Requested</i>	<i>Recommended</i>
District of Columbia: Bolling AFB (136 units)	\$17,137,000	\$17,137,000
Idaho: MT Home AFB (46 units)		10,598,000
North Dakota:		
Cavalier (2 units)	443,000	443,000
Minot AFB (134 units)	19,097,000	19,097,000
Total	36,677,000	47,275,000

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

Fiscal year 2001 MFH improvement program

<i>State / installation / project</i>	<i>Recommended</i>
Alaska: Elmendorf AFB: Improve Electrical System	\$1,127,000
Arizona: Luke AFB: Improve Electrical System	1,109,000
Arkansas: Little Rock AFB: Privatize Family Housing (1,535 Units)	2,000,000
California: Vandenberg AFB: Privatize Family Housing (506 Units)	7,013,000
Colorado: Peterson AFB: Improve Neighborhood	721,000
District of Columbia: Bolling AFB: Improve Family Housing (22 Units)	216,000
Georgia: Moody AFB: Privatize Family Housing (696 Units)	8,401,000
Louisiana: Barksdale AFB: Improve Water Supply System	513,000
Massachusetts: Hanscom AFB: Improve Utility System	711,000
Missouri: Whiteman AFB: Improve Storm Drainage	470,000
Nebraska: Offutt AFB: Privatize Family Housing (2,580 Units)	14,982,000
North Carolina: Pope AFB: Improve Infrastructure	919,000

Fiscal year 2001 MFH improvement program—Continued

<i>State/installation/project</i>	<i>Recommended</i>
North Dakota: Cavalier AFS: Improve Family Housing (12 Units)	426,000
Oklahoma: Tinker AFB: Improve Family Housing (144 Units)	7,741,000
South Carolina: Charleston AFB: Privatize Family Housing (488 Units)	2,000,000
Tennessee: Arnold AFS: Improve Family Housing (40 Units)	1,007,000
Utah: Hill AFB:	
Privatize Family Housing (1, 116 Units)	11,271,000
Improve Family Housing (8 Units)	1,011,000
Germany:	
Ramstein AB: Improve Family Housing (434 Units)	45,813,000
Spangdahlem AB: Improve Family Housing (162 Units)	15,342,000
Japan: Kadena AB: Improve Family Housing (52 Units)	9,074,000
Korea: Osan AB: Improve Family Housing (10 Units)	2,169,000
United Kingdom:	
RAF Fairford: improve Family Housing (106 Units)	10,923,000
RAF Lakenheath: Improve Family Housing (158 Units)	15,910,000
RAF Molesworth: Improve Family Housing (130 Units)	13,177,000
Total	174,046,000

FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2000	\$41,440,000
Budget estimate, 2001	44,886,000
Committee recommendation	44,886,000

The Committee recommends \$44,886,000 for family housing, defense-wide, in fiscal year 2001. This amount is equal to the budget request. Specific details are included in the tables at the end of the report.

FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

Appropriations, 2000	\$2,000,000
Budget estimate, 2001	
Committee recommendation	

The Committee recommends no funding for the family housing privatization fund in fiscal year 2001 consistent with the budget request.

HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND, DEFENSE

Appropriations, 2000	
Budget estimate, 2001	
Committee recommendation	

The Committee recommends no appropriation for the Homeowners Assistance Fund. This is equal to the budget request for fiscal year 2001, and equal to the appropriation for fiscal year 2000. Requirements for fiscal year 2000 were financed by a prior year carryover, revenue, and transfers from other accounts.

The Homeowners Assistance Fund is a non-expiring revolving fund which finances a program for providing assistance to homeowners by reducing their losses incident to the disposal of their homes when military installations at or near where they are serving or employed are ordered to be closed or the scope of operations is reduced. The Fund was established in recognition of the fact that base closure and reduction actions can have serious economic effects on local communities. The Fund receives funding from several

sources: appropriations, borrowing authority, reimbursable authority, prior fiscal year unobligated balances, revenue from sale of acquired properties, and recovery of prior year obligations.

The total estimated requirements for fiscal year 2001 are estimated at \$29,323,000 and will be funded with transfers from the Base Realignment and Closure account, revenue from sales of acquired property, and prior year unobligated balances.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

OVERVIEW

The Congress has appropriated, to date, a net total of \$20,362,218,000 for the Base Realignment and Closure program for fiscal years 1990 through 2000. In the bill for fiscal year 2001, the Committee is recommending total funding of \$1,174,369,000 under one account, as requested. These funds are necessary to ensure closure schedules can be met and anticipated savings will be realized. In addition, funding is essential for accelerated cleanup which is necessary for reuse of surplus properties and future job creation.

The Committee, in appropriating such funds, has provided the Department with the flexibility to allocate funds by Service, by function and by base. The Committee, in recognizing the complexities of realigning and closing bases and providing for environmental restoration, has provided such flexibility to allow the Office of the Secretary of Defense to monitor the program execution of the Services and to redistribute unobligated balances as appropriate to avoid delays and to effect timely execution of realignment and closures along with environmental restoration.

The following table displays the total amount appropriated for each round of base closure including amounts recommended for fiscal year 2001:

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
[Total funding, fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2001]

	Fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 1999	Fiscal year 2000 enacted	Fiscal year 2001	Total recommended
Part I	\$2,684,577,000	NA	NA	\$2,684,577,000
Part II	4,915,636,000	NA	NA	4,915,636,000
Part III	7,269,267,000	NA	NA	7,269,267,000
Part IV	4,820,427,000	\$672,311,000	\$1,174,369,000	6,667,107,000
Total	19,689,907,000	672,311,000	1,174,369,000	21,536,587,000

NA: Not applicable.

Since the start of the current process for Base Realignment and Closure, Military Construction Appropriations Acts have appropriated a net total of \$20,362,218,000 for the entire program for fiscal years 1990 through 2000. Within this total, the Department has allocated \$5,994,179,000 for activities associated with environmental restoration. The total amount appropriated combined with the budget requested for fiscal year 2001 Base Realignment and Closure is a total of \$21,536,587,000.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV

Appropriations, 2000	\$672,311,000
Budget estimate, 2001	1,174,369,000
Committee recommendation	1,174,369,000

The Committee recommends \$1,174,369,000 for the base realignment and closure account, part IV. This is an increase of \$502,058,000 from the amount appropriated for fiscal year 2000 but equal to the budget request. The Committee notes assurances from the Department that the requested amount is adequate to fully execute the requirements for the program in the coming fiscal year with no impact on meeting the targeted BRAC completion date of July 13, 2001.

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE TASK FORCE

The Defense Environmental Response Task Force (DERTF) was established in fiscal year 1991 to report on ways to improve inter-agency coordination and to improve and streamline policies and procedures relating to environmental response actions at closing installations. Since all closures resulting from Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission decisions will be completed by July 13, 2001, the Committee believes that the mission of the DERTF will be completed by that time and that no further meetings of the DERTF are necessary.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

The following lists general provisions proposed by the Committee. The Committee recommends inclusion of several proposals which have been incorporated in previous appropriations acts, provisions requested by the Defense Department, and new provisions. The Committee recommendations are as follows:

SEC. 101. Restricts payments under a cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contract for work, except in cases of contracts for environmental restoration at base closure sites.

SEC. 102. Permits use of funds for hire of passenger motor vehicles.

SEC. 103. Permits use of funds for defense access roads.

SEC. 104. Prohibits construction of new bases inside the continental United States for which specific appropriations have not been made.

SEC. 105. Limits the use of funds for purchase of land or land easements.

SEC. 106. Prohibits the use of funds to acquire land, prepare a site, or install utilities for any family housing except housing for which funds have been made available.

SEC. 107. Limits the use of minor construction funds to transfer or relocate activities among installations.

SEC. 108. Prohibits the procurement of steel unless American producers, fabricators, and manufacturers have been allowed to compete.

SEC. 109. Prohibits payments of real property taxes in foreign nations.

SEC. 110. Prohibits construction of new bases overseas without prior notification.

SEC. 111. Establishes a threshold for American preference of \$500,000 relating to architect and engineering services.

SEC. 112. Establishes preference for American contractors for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific, and on Kwajalein Atoll, or in the Arabian Gulf.

SEC. 113. Requires notification of military exercises involving construction in excess of \$100,000.

SEC. 114. Limits obligations during the last 2 months of the year.

SEC. 115. Permits funds appropriated in prior years to be available for construction authorized during the current session of Congress.

SEC. 116. Permits the use of expired or lapsed funds to pay the cost of supervision for any project being completed with lapsed funds.

SEC. 117. Permits obligation of funds from more than 1 fiscal year to execute a construction project, provided that the total obligation for such project is consistent with the total amount appropriated for the project.

SEC. 118. Allows expired funds to be transferred to the “Foreign currency fluctuations, construction, defense” account.

SEC. 119. Directs the Department to report annually on actions taken to encourage other nations to assume a greater share of the common defense budget.

SEC. 120. Allows transfer of proceeds from “Base realignment and closure accounts, part I” to the continuing base realignment and closure accounts.

SEC. 121. Restricts the use of funds for the Partnership for Peace Program.

SEC. 122. Requires the Secretary of Defense to notify the congressional defense committees of all family housing privatization solicitations and agreements which contain any clause providing consideration for base realignment and closure, force reductions and extended deployments.

SEC. 123. Provides transfer authority to the Homeowners Assistance Program.

SEC. 124. Requires that all Military Construction Appropriations Acts be the sole funding source of all operation and maintenance for family housing, including flag and general officer quarters, and limits the repair on flag and general officer quarters to \$25,000 per year without prior notification to the defense committees.

SEC. 125. Rescinds unobligated balances within military construction and family housing appropriations.

SEC. 126. Provides transfer authority to the Navy regarding a military construction project at NAS Memphis, TN.

SEC. 127. Provides additional authority to the Army concerning a fiscal year 1997 military construction project.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7, RULE XVI, OF THE
STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 7 of rule XVI requires that Committee reports on general appropriations bills identify each Committee amendment to the House bill “which proposes an item of appropriation which is not made to carry out the provisions of an existing law, a treaty stipulation, or an act or resolution previously passed by the Senate during that session.”

Due to the early Committee action on the fiscal year 2001 Military Construction appropriations bill, an original Senate bill was reported by the Appropriations Committee to the Senate.

The Committee has acted in good faith in its attempt to comply fully with requirements stipulated under paragraph 7, rule XVI.

The Committee anticipates that Congress will authorize the amounts appropriated in this act.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
ALABAMA			
ARMY: REDSTONE ARSENAL: SPACE AND MISSILE DEFENSE COMMAND BUILDING	23,400	39,000	+ 15,600

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
AIR FORCE: MAXWELL AFB: OFFICER TRAINING SCHOOL ACADEMIC FACILITY	3,825	3,825
TOTAL, ALABAMA	27,225	42,825	+ 15,600
ALASKA			
ARMY: FORT RICHARDSON: CENTRAL VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	3,000	3,000
AIR FORCE:			
CAPE ROMANZOV LONG RANGE RADAR SITE: GENERATOR FUEL STORAGE	3,900	3,900
EIELSON AFB:			
DORMITORY (120 RM)	14,540	14,540
HAZARDOUS MATERIAL STORAGE	1,450	1,450
JOINT MOBILITY COMPLEX	25,000	+ 25,000
ELMENDORF AFB:			
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	7,666	+ 7,666
DORMITORY (144 RM)	15,920	15,920
UPGRADE HANGAR COMPLEX	11,600	11,600
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: KULIS ANGB: CORRISION CONTROL FACILITY	12,000	+ 12,000
DEFENSE-WIDE: FORT WAINWRIGHT: HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE II)	44,000	44,000
NAVY RESERVE: ELMENDORF AFB: MARINE CORPS RESERVE TRAINING CENTER	6,403	6,403
TOTAL, ALASKA	100,813	145,479	+ 44,666
ARIZONA			
ARMY: FORT HUACHUCA: FIELD OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,250	1,250
NAVY:			
CAMP NAVAJO NAVY DETACHMENT: MAGAZINE MODERNIZATION	2,940	2,940
YUMA MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: COMBAT AIRCRAFT LOADING APRON	8,200	8,200
AIR FORCE: DAVIS MONTHAN AFB: FITNESS CENTER	7,900	7,900
TOTAL, ARIZONA	20,290	20,290
ARKANSAS			
ARMY:			
PINE BLUFF ARSENAL:			
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE V)	43,600	- 43,600
CHEMICAL DEFENSE QUALIFICATION FACILITY (PHASE I)	15,500	18,000	+ 2,500
AIR FORCE:			
LITTLE ROCK AFB:			
C-130 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT	7,960	7,960
EASEMENT BLACKJACK DROP ZONE	1,259	+ 1,259
FITNESS CENTER	9,100	9,100
DEFENSE-WIDE: PINE BLUFF ARSENAL: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE V)	43,600	+ 43,600
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
FORT SMITH MAP:			
OPERATION AND TRAINING FACILITY	7,200	+ 7,200
REGIONAL FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	1,760	1,760
TOTAL, ARKANSAS	77,920	88,879	+ 10,959
CALIFORNIA			
ARMY: FORT IRWIN: BARRACKS COMPLEX—NORTH	31,000	31,000
NAVY:			
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE:			
ARMOR/ANTI-ARMOR TRACKING RANGE	4,100	4,100
INFANTRY SQUADRON BATTLE COURSE	4,000	4,000
LEMOORE NAVAL AIR STATION: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	8,260	8,260
MIRAMAR MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: GROUND COMBAT TRAINING RANGE	7,350	7,350

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
NORTH ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION: BERTHING WHARF (INCREMENT II)	12,800	12,800
NORTH ISLAND NAVAL AVIATION DEPOT: COMPONENT REPAIR CLEAN ROOM FACILITY	4,340	4,340
PORT HUEMEME NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER: WEAPON/COMBAT SYSTEM INTEG LAB	10,200	10,200
POINT MUGU NAVAL AIR WARFARE CTR WPNS DIV: ADD/ALTER RANGE OPERATIONS CENTER	11,400	11,400
SAN CLEMENTE ISLAND NAVAL FACILITY: AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS BUILDING	8,860	8,860
SAN DIEGO NAVAL STATION: BERTHING PIER (INCREMENT I)	35,700	35,700
TWENTYNINE PALMS: URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	2,100	2,100
AIR FORCE:			
BEALE AFB:			
CONTROL TOWER		6,299	+ 6,299
WATER TREATMENT PLANT AND DISTRIBUTION LINE	3,800	3,800
LOS ANGELES AFB: FITNESS CENTER	6,580	6,580
VANDENBERG AFB: UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	4,650	4,650
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE:			
FLEET HOSPITAL OPS/TRAINING COMMAND SUPPORT FAC	2,900	2,900
MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT (HORNO)	3,950	3,950
MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT (LAS FLORES)	3,550	3,550
MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT (LAS PULGAS)	3,750	3,750
CORONADO NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE: APPLIED INSTRUCTION FACILITY	4,300	4,300
NORTH ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION: REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANKS ..	5,900	5,900
TWENTYNINE PALMS MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: FUEL STORAGE FACILITY	2,200	2,200
EDWARDS AFB: MEDICAL CLINIC ADDITION/DENTAL CLINIC ALTERATION	17,900	17,900
NORTH ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION: SMALL CRAFT BERTHING FACILITY	1,350	1,350
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
BAKERSFIELD: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,380		- 1,380
COLTON: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	489		- 489
ESCONDIDO: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,380		- 1,380
FRESNO: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,869	2,847	+ 978
LOS ALAMITOS: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	489		- 489
PARKS: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP		6,062	+ 6,062
RICHMOND: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	489		- 489
SAN JOSE: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,869		- 1,869
SAN MATEO: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	461		- 461
SANTA BARBARA: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	483		- 483
NAVY RESERVE: ALAMEDA NAVAL AIR STATION: SEAWALL	950	950
TOTAL, CALIFORNIA	210,799	217,098	+ 6,299
COLORADO			
ARMY: PUEBLO DEPOT ACTIVITY: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE II)	10,700		- 10,700
AIR FORCE:			
BUCKLEY AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE: SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM POWER CONNECTION	2,750	2,750
PETERSON AFB:			
COMPUTER NETWORK DEFENSE FACILITY		6,826	+ 6,826
DORMITORY (144 RM)	11,000	11,000
OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY	2,260	2,260
SCHRIEVER AFB: ADD TO OPERATIONAL SUPPORT FACILITY	8,450	8,450
US AIR FORCE ACADEMY: ADD TO ATHLETIC FACILITY	18,960	18,960
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: BUCKLEY AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE: REPLACE JOINT MUNITIONS MAINT AND STORAGE COMPLEX		6,000	+ 6,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
DEFENSE-WIDE: PUEBLO DEPOT ACTIVITY: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE II)		10,700	+ 10,700
TOTAL, COLORADO	54,120	66,946	+ 12,826
CONNECTICUT			
NAVY: NEW LONDON NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE: DRYDOCK SUPPORT FACILITY	3,100	3,100
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: ORANGE AIR NATIONAL GUARD STATION: AIR CONTROL SQUADRON COMPLEX		12,000	+ 12,000
TOTAL, CONNECTICUT	3,100	15,100	+ 12,000
DELAWARE			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: SMYRNA: READINESS CENTER		7,020	+ 7,020
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA			
NAVY:			
WASHINGTON COMMANDANT NAVAL DISTRICT: NAVY MUSEUM ANNEX ..	2,450	2,450
WASHINGTON MARINE BARRACKS: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	17,197	17,197
AIR FORCE: BOLLING AFB: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	4,520	4,520
TOTAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	24,167	24,167
FLORIDA			
NAVY:			
FORT LAUDERDALE NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CTR DETACHMENT:			
SEAWALL AND SHIP BERTHING FACILITY	3,570	3,570
PANAMA CITY: AMPHIBIOUS WARFARE INTEGRATION FACILITY		9,960	+ 9,960
WHITING FIELD NAVAL AIR STATION:			
JPATS T-6A GSE SUPPORT/PAINT FACILITY	3,900	3,900
JPATS T-6A OPERATIONS/MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,230	1,230
AIR FORCE:			
EGLIN AFB:			
PRECISION GUIDED MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	3,340	3,340
UPGRADE DORMITORY (72 RM)	5,600	5,600
EGLIN AUXILIARY FIELD 9:			
DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD	2,360	2,360
UPGRADE ACCESS ROADS	5,600	5,600
PATRICK AFB: DEFENSE EQUAL OPPORTUNITY MANAGEMENT INSTITUTE FAC	12,970	12,970
TYNDALL AFB:			
F-22 ADD/ALTER MAINTENANCE FACILITY	18,500	18,500
F-22 OPERATIONS FACILITY	6,800	6,800
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
EGLIN AFB: ADD/ALTER HOSPITAL/LIFE SAFETY UPGRADE	37,600	37,600
EGLIN AUXILIARY FIELD 9:			
AGE MAINTENANCE DISPATCH COMPLEX	4,750	4,750
AIRFIELD READINESS IMPROVEMENTS	3,000	3,000
CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	8,100	8,100
HOT CARGO PAD	7,354	7,354
MACDILL AFB: REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	16,956	16,956
PATRICK AFB: MEDICAL CLINIC	2,700	2,700
TYNDALL AFB: ADD/ALTER MEDICAL CLINIC	7,700	7,700
ARMY RESERVE: ORLANDO: ADD/ALTER AFR CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINT SHOP	17,953	17,953
TOTAL, FLORIDA	169,983	179,943	+ 9,960
GEORGIA			
ARMY:			
FORT BENNING:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX (KELLEY HILL) (PHASE III-B)	24,000	24,000
FIXED WING AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	15,800	15,800

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
FORT STEWART: BARRACKS COMPLEX (HUNTER AAF) (PHASE I-C)	26,000	26,000
NAVY:			
ALBANY MARINE CORPS LOGISTICS BASE: RENOVATE VEHICLE STORAGE FACILITY	1,100	1,100
KINGS BAY TRIDENT REFIT FACILITY: CONSOLIDATED SANDBLAST/PAINT FACILITY	5,200	5,200
AIR FORCE:			
FORT STEWART: AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS SQUADRON FACILITY	4,920	4,920
MOODY AFB:			
DORMITORY		8,818	+ 8,818
WATER TREATMENT PLANT	2,500	2,500
WARNER ROBBINS ALC: AIRMEN DINING FACILITY		4,095	+ 4,095
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: ROBINS AFB: B-1 MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND TRAINING COMPLEX	8,500	8,500
NAVY RESERVE:			
ATLANTA NAVAL AIR STATION:			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS (PHASE I)		5,970	+ 5,970
FITNESS CENTER ADDITION	2,650	2,650
RESERVE TRAINING BUILDING ADDITION	1,769	1,769
AIR FORCE RESERVE: DOBBINS AFB: C-130 ASSAULT STRIP	6,032	6,032
TOTAL, GEORGIA	98,471	117,354	+ 18,883
HAWAII			
ARMY:			
POHAKULOA TRAINING RANGE: SADDLE ACCESS ROAD		12,000	+ 12,000
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS: BARRACKS COMPLEX (WILSON STREET) (PHASE I-B)	46,400	46,400
WHEELER ARMY AIR FIELD: BARRACKS COMPLEX	43,800	43,800
NAVY:			
CAMP SMITH: CINCPAC HEADQUARTERS, INCREMENT II	35,600	35,600
FORD ISLAND: SEWER FORCE MAIN		6,900	+ 6,900
KANEOHE BAY MARINE CORPS BASE: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	18,400	18,400
LUALUALEI NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE DETACHMENT: CONSOLIDATED FLEET TEST SUPPORT FACILITY	2,100	2,100
PEARL HARBOR FLEET AND INDUS SUPPLY CENTER: WHARF UPGRADE	12,000	12,000
PEARL HARBOR NAVAL STATION:			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	16,500	16,500
RELOCATE SEAL DELIVERY VEHICLE TEAM	14,200	14,200
AIR FORCE: HICKAM AFB: UPGRADE HANGAR COMPLEX	4,620	4,620
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: MAUI: READINESS CENTER		11,592	+ 11,592
TOTAL, HAWAII	193,620	224,112	+ 30,492
IDAHO			
AIR FORCE: MOUNTAIN HOME AFB: ENHANCED TRAINING RANGE (PHASE III)	10,125	10,125
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: GOWEN FIELD: C-130 ASSAULT STRIP		9,000	+ 9,000
TOTAL, IDAHO	10,125	19,125	+ 9,000
ILLINOIS			
NAVY:			
GREAT LAKES NAVAL TRAINING CENTER:			
PHYSICAL TRAINING FACILITY	35,000	35,000
RECRUIT BARRACKS	37,000	37,000
RECRUIT BARRACKS	37,700	37,700
REPLACE TRAINING DRILL HALL	11,700	11,700
AIR FORCE: SCOTT AFB: MUNITIONS STORAGE/LAND ACQUISITION	3,830	3,830
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: DANVILLE: READINESS CENTER		2,435	+ 2,435

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: SCOTT AFB: KC-135E FLIGHT TRAINING FACILITY	1,500	1,500
TOTAL, ILLINOIS	126,730	129,165	+ 2,435
INDIANA			
ARMY: NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE III)	54,400	- 54,400
DEFENSE-WIDE: NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE III)	54,400	+ 54,400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
DELPHI: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,563	1,563
ELKHART: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,322	2,322
LOGANSPORT: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	739	739
PLYMOUTH: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	951	951
SOUTH BEND: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	951	951
NAVY RESERVE: GRISSOM AFB: RESERVE TRAINING FACILITY	4,730	+ 4,730
TOTAL, INDIANA	60,926	65,656	+ 4,730
KANSAS			
ARMY:			
FORT RILEY:			
ADVANCE WASTE WATER TREATMENT FACILITY	22,000	+ 22,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX (INFANTRY DRIVE) (PHASE I-C)	15,000	15,000
AIR FORCE: MCCONNELL AFB: APPROACH LIGHTING SYSTEM	2,100	+ 2,100
DEFENSE-WIDE: MCCONNELL AFB: HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	11,000	11,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: KANSAS CITY: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	641	641
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: MCCONNELL AFB: B-1 POWER CHECK PAD WITH SOUND SUPPRESSOR	1,550	+ 1,550
TOTAL, KANSAS	26,641	52,291	+ 25,650
KENTUCKY			
ARMY:			
BLUEGRASS ARMY DEPOT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION SUPPORT (PHASE II)	8,500	- 8,500
FORT CAMPBELL: BARRACKS COMPLEX (MARKET GARDEN RD) (PHASE II-C)	9,400	9,400
FORT KNOX: MULTI-PURPOSE DIGITAL TRAINING RANGE (PHASE III)	8,450	9,000	+ 550
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
BLUEGRASS ARMY DEPOT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION SUPPORT (PHASE II)	8,500	+ 8,500
FORT CAMPBELL:			
EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	4,500	4,500
FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	5,400	5,400
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT COMPLEX	6,400	6,400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FORT KNOX: PARKING AT MATES	3,929	+ 3,929
TOTAL, KENTUCKY	42,650	47,129	+ 4,479
LOUISIANA			
AIR FORCE:			
BARKSDALE AFB:			
B-52H FUEL CELL MAINTENANCE DOCK	14,074	+ 14,074
DORMITORY (96 RM)	6,390	6,390
ARMY RESERVE:			
FORT POLK: ADD/ALTER USAR CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP/EQUIPMENT CONCENTRATION SITE	9,912	9,912
NEW ORLEANS: USAR CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP/ UNHEATED STORAGE	10,375	10,375
NAVY RESERVE:			
NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY: PHYSICAL FITNESS/RECREATION AREA	1,670	+ 1,670

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
NEW ORLEANS NAVAL AIR STATION:			
AIR PASSENGER TERMINAL	590	590
WAREHOUSE ADDITION	800	800
TOTAL, LOUISIANA	28,067	43,811	+ 15,744
MAINE			
NAVY:			
BRUNSWICK NAVAL AIR STATION: AIRCRAFT DE-ICING/RINSE FACILITY	2,450	2,450
PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD: WATERFRONT CRANE RAIL SYSTEM	4,960	+ 4,960
TOTAL, MAINE	2,450	7,410	+ 4,960
MARYLAND			
ARMY:			
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND:			
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE II)	45,700	- 45,700
MUNITIONS ASSESSMENT/PROCESSING SYSTEMS FACILITY	3,100	- 3,100
FORT MEADE: BARRACKS	19,000	+ 19,000
NAVY: INDIAN HEAD NAVAL EXPLOSIVE ORD TECH CTR: JOINT SERVICE EOD EQUIPMENT SUPPORT FACILITY	6,430	6,430
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND:			
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE II)	45,700	+ 45,700
MUNITIONS ASSESSMENT/PROCESSING SYSTEMS FACILITY	3,100	+ 3,100
PATUXENT RIVER NAVAL AIR STATION: REPLACE OPERATING FUEL TANKS	8,300	8,300
FORT MEADE:			
CRITICAL UTILITY CONTROL (PHASE II)	769	769
ROUTE 32	3,459	3,459
TOTAL, MARYLAND	67,758	86,758	+ 19,000
MASSACHUSETTS			
AIR FORCE: HANSCOM AFB: RENOVATE ACQUISITION MGMT FACILITY (PHASE II)	17,845	+ 17,845
MICHIGAN			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
LANSING: COMBINED MAINTENANCE SHOP (PHASE I)	22,743	+ 22,743
AUGUSTA: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	3,600	+ 3,600
MIDLAND: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	3,600	- 3,600
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
ALPENA COUNTY REGIONAL AIRPORT: REPLACE OPERATIONS AND TRAINING COMPLEX	4,500	4,500
SELFRIIDGE ANGB: UPGRADE RUNWAY	18,000	+ 18,000
TOTAL, MICHIGAN	8,100	48,843	+ 40,743
MINNESOTA			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP RIPLEY: COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP	10,368	+ 10,368
MANKATO: READINESS CENTER	4,681	4,681
TOTAL, MINNESOTA	4,681	15,049	+ 10,368
MISSISSIPPI			
NAVY:			
MERIDIAN NAVAL AIR STATION:			
CONTROL TOWER	1,530	+ 1,530
T-45 AIRCRAFT SUPPORT FACILITIES	4,700	4,700
STENNIS SPACE CENTER: WARFIGHTING CENTER	6,950	+ 6,950

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
NEW MEXICO			
AIR FORCE:			
CANNON AFB: CONTROL TOWER		4,934	+ 4,934
HOLLOMAN AFB: REPAIR BONITO PIPELINE		18,380	+ 18,380
KIRTLAND AFB: FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION		7,352	+ 7,352
TOTAL, NEW MEXICO		30,666	+ 30,666
NEW YORK			
ARMY:			
FORT DRUM: CONSOLIDATED SOLDIER SUPPORT CENTER (PHASE II)	10,300	10,300
US MILITARY ACADEMY: CADET PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT CENTER (PHASE II-A)	13,600	13,600
DEFENSE-WIDE: FORT DRUM: VETERINARY TREATMENT FACILITY	1,400	1,400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: HANCOCK FIELD: READINESS CENTER	5,376	5,376
AIR FORCE RESERVE: NIAGARA AIR RESERVE STATION: VISITING AIRMEN QUARTERS (PHASE I)		6,881	+ 6,881
TOTAL, NEW YORK	30,676	37,557	+ 6,881
NORTH CAROLINA			
ARMY:			
FORT BRAGG:			
AMMUNITION HOLDING AREA	12,600	12,600
BARRACKS COMPLEX (BUTNER ROAD) (PHASE I)	26,000	26,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX (LONGSTREET ROAD) (PHASE I)	45,600	45,600
BARRACKS COMPLEX (TAGAYTAY STREET) (PHASE II-B)	38,600	38,600
SUNNY POINT MILITARY OCEAN TERMINAL: RAILROAD EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,300	2,300
NAVY:			
CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE:			
AMPHIB OPERATION/MAINTENANCE STORAGE COMPLEX	9,500	9,500
ARMORIES	10,000	10,000
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	14,300	14,300
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	4,420	4,420
OPERATIONS/MAINTENANCE/STORAGE FACILITY	3,650	3,650
CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: AIRCRAFT HANGAR IM- PROVEMENTS	8,480	8,480
CHERRY POINT NAVAL AVIATION DEPOT: AIRCRAFT STRIPPING FACILITY ADDITION	7,540	7,540
NEW RIVER MARINE CORPS AIR STATION:			
AIRCRAFT RINSE FACILITY	800	800
AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER	2,600	2,600
AIR FORCE: POPE AFB: DANGEROUS CARGO PADS	24,570	24,570
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE: RUSSELL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	5,914	5,914
CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANKS	5,700	5,700
FORT BRAGG: MEDIA OPERATIONS COMPLEX	8,600	8,600
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FORT BRAGG: MILITARY EDUCATION FACILITY (PHASE I)	8,709	8,709
TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA	239,883	239,883
NORTH DAKOTA			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: WAHPETON: ARMED FORCES READINESS CENTER ..		10,960	+ 10,960
TOTAL, NORTH DAKOTA		10,960	+ 10,960
OHIO			
ARMY: COLUMBUS DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER: MILITARY ENTRANCE PROC- ESSING STATION	1,832	1,832

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
AIR FORCE: WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB: REPLACE WEST RAMP (PHASE I)	22,600	22,600
NAVY RESERVE: COLUMBUS NAVAL AND MARINE CORPS RESERVE CENTER: CONSOLIDATED NAVY AND MARINE CORPS AIR RESERVE CTR	7,080	+ 7,080
TOTAL, OHIO	24,432	31,512	+ 7,080
OKLAHOMA			
ARMY: FORT SILL: TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP (PHASE II)	10,100	+ 10,100
AIR FORCE:			
ALTUS AFB: C-17 CARGO COMPARTMENT TRAINER	2,939	+ 2,939
TINKER AFB:			
DEPOT CORROSION CONTROL STRIP FACILITY	12,380	12,380
DORMITORY (96 RM)	5,800	5,800
VANCE AFB: MAINTENANCE HANGAR	10,504	+ 10,504
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: SAND SPRINGS: ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER	13,530	+ 13,530
TOTAL, OKLAHOMA	18,180	55,253	+ 37,073
OREGON			
ARMY: UMATILLA DEPOT ACTIVITY: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE VI)	9,400	- 9,400
DEFENSE-WIDE: UMATILLA DEPOT ACTIVITY: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE VI)	9,400	+ 9,400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: BAKER CITY: READINESS CENTER	3,122	3,122
NAVY RESERVE: PORTLAND INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT: ALTER RESERVE CENTER/VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,420	1,420
TOTAL, OREGON	13,942	13,942
PENNSYLVANIA			
ARMY:			
CARLISLE BARRACKS: ACADEMIC RESEARCH FACILITY	10,500	10,500
NEW CUMBERLAND DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION CENTER: MILITARY ENTRANCE PROCESSING STATION	3,700	3,700
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
SUSQUEHANNA DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT:			
REPLACE CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	4,700	4,700
REPLACE CONTROLLED HUMIDITY WAREHOUSE	13,000	13,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FORT INDIANTOWN GAP: REPAIR WASTE TREATMENT PLANT SEWAGE LINE	8,197	+ 8,197
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
PITTSBURGH IAP: COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	3,105	+ 3,105
WILLOW GROVE ARS: ALTER HANGAR AND FIRE SUPPRESSION	2,400	2,400
TOTAL, PENNSYLVANIA	34,300	45,602	+ 11,302
RHODE ISLAND			
NAVY: NEWPORT DIVISION NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE CENTER: SHORE BASED LAUNCH FACILITY	4,150	4,150
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: QUONSET STATE APT: MAINTENANCE HANGAR AND SHOPS	16,500	+ 16,500
TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND	4,150	20,650	+ 16,500
SOUTH CAROLINA			
NAVY:			
BEAUFORT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: FLIGHTLINE FIRE SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS	3,140	3,140
PARRIS ISLAND MARINE CORPS RECRUIT DEPOT: FIELD TRAINING COMPLEX	2,660	2,660
AIR FORCE:			
CHARLESTON AFB:			
BASE MOBILITY WAREHOUSE	9,449	+ 9,449

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
C-17 ADD TO FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	2,500	2,500
RUNWAY REPAIR	10,289	+ 10,289
SHAW AFB: USCENAF OPERATIONS WEATHER SQUADRON FACILITY	2,850	2,850
DEFENSE-WIDE: BEAUFORT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: LAUREL BAY PRIMARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	804	804
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: LEESBURG TRAINING CENTER: INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADES	5,682	+ 5,682
TOTAL, SOUTH CAROLINA	11,954	37,374	+ 25,420
SOUTH DAKOTA			
AIR FORCE: ELLSWORTH AFB: BASE CIVIL ENGINEER COMPLEX (PHASE I)	10,290	+ 10,290
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: SIOUX FALLS: CONSOLIDATED BARRACKS/EDUCATION FACILITY	4,818	+ 4,818
TOTAL, SOUTH DAKOTA	15,108	+ 15,108
TENNESSEE			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: HENDERSON: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP (PHASE I)	1,976	+ 1,976
TOTAL, TENNESSEE	1,976	+ 1,976
TEXAS			
ARMY:			
FORT BLISS: RAILYARD INFRASTRUCTURE	26,000	26,000
FORT HOOD:			
MULTI-PURPOSE DIGITAL TRAINING RANGE (PHASE I)	16,000	16,000
RAILHEAD FACILITY (PHASE III)	9,800	9,800
RED RIVER ARMY DEPOT: AMMUNITION CONTAINER COMPLEX	800	800
AIR FORCE:			
DYESS AFB:			
FITNESS CENTER	12,813	+ 12,813
REALISTIC BOMBER TRAINING INITIATIVE	12,175	12,175
LACKLAND AFB:			
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	4,830	+ 4,830
DORMITORY (96 RM)	5,500	5,500
ARMY RESERVE:			
CAMP BULLIS: USAR CENTER/UNHEATED STORAGE	1,464	1,464
FORT SAM HOUSTON: USAR CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP/EQUIPMENT CONCENTRATION SITE	13,678	13,678
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: ELLINGTON FIELD: REPLACE BASE SUPPLY AND CIVIL ENGINEER COMPLEX	10,000	+ 10,000
TOTAL, TEXAS	85,417	113,060	+ 27,643
UTAH			
AIR FORCE:			
HILL AFB:			
C-130 CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY (WCF)	16,500	16,500
DORMITORY	11,550	+ 11,550
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: SALT LAKE CITY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT: UPGRADE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	10,300	10,300
TOTAL, UTAH	26,800	38,350	+ 11,550
VERMONT			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: BURLINGTON IAP: AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	9,300	+ 9,300
VIRGINIA			
ARMY: FORT EUSTIS: AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTION BLDG	4,450	+ 4,450

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
NAVY:			
DAHLGREN NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER:			
INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY AND INFRASTRUCTURE	11,300	11,300
JOINT WARFARE ANALYSIS CENTER	19,400	+ 19,400
LITTLE CREEK NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE: WATERFRONT OPERATIONS BUILDING	2,830	2,830
NORFOLK NAVAL AIR STATION:			
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	13,300	13,300
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	11,800	11,800
TAXIWAY EXTENSION AND LIGHTS	6,350	6,350
NORFOLK NAVAL STATION: PIER ENHANCEMENTS	4,700	4,700
NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	16,100	16,100
OCEANA NAVAL AIR STATION: AIRFIELD IMPROVEMENTS	5,250	5,250
QUANTICO MARINE CORPS COMBAT DEV COMMAND: PHYSICAL TRAINING FACILITY	8,590	8,590
WALLOPS ISLAND AEGIS COMBAT SYSTEMS CENTER: SPY-1D TEST AND EVALUATION FACILITY ADDITION	3,300	3,300
AIR FORCE: LANGLEY AFB: DORMITORY (96 RM)	7,470	7,470
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
DAM NECK FLEET COMBAT TRAINING CENTER: OPERATIONAL SUPPORT FACILITY	5,500	5,500
RICHMOND DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER: EMERGENCY SERVICES FACILITY	4,500	4,500
OCEANA NAVAL AIR STATION: REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANK	2,000	2,000
LITTLE CREEK NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE: AIR OPERATIONS FACILITY	5,400	5,400
OCEANA NAVAL AIR STATION: OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY	3,400	3,400
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND CLASSIFIED: UNIT TRAINING FACILITY	2,303	+ 2,303
ARMY RESERVE: FORT A P HILL: USAR CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP/AREA MAINTENANCE SUPPORT ACTIVITY	4,275	4,275
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: RICHLANDS: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,175	+ 1,175
TOTAL, VIRGINIA	116,065	143,393	+ 27,328
WASHINGTON			
NAVY:			
BANGOR NAVAL SUBASE:			
STRATEGIC SECURITY SUPPORT FACILITY	4,600	+ 4,600
EXPLOSIVE HANDLING WHARF MODIFICATIONS	1,400	1,400
BREMERTON NAVAL STATION: PIER REPLACEMENT (INCREMENT I)	38,000	38,000
EVERETT NAVAL STATION: AQUATIC COMBAT TRAINING FACILITY	5,500	+ 5,500
PUGET SOUND NAVAL SHIPYARD:			
CHEMICAL METALLURGICAL LABORATORY	9,400	9,400
OILY WASTEWATER COLLECTION	6,600	6,600
AIR FORCE:			
FAIRCHILD AFB: RUNWAY CENTERLINE LIGHTS	2,046	+ 2,046
MCCHORD AFB:			
C-17 ADD/ALTER NOSE DOCKS	3,750	3,750
C-17 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT	6,500	6,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
BREMERTON: READINESS CENTER	2,639	4,057	+ 1,418
YAKIMA: READINESS CENTER	5,104	6,713	+ 1,609
ARMY RESERVE:			
FORT LAWTON: TRANSFER	3,400	+ 3,400
TACOMA: USAR CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP/AREA MAINTENANCE SUPPORT ACTIVITY MARINE	14,759	14,759
TOTAL, WASHINGTON	88,152	106,725	+ 18,573

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
WEST VIRGINIA			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: YEAGER ANGB: UPGRADE PARKING APRON/TAXI-WAY	6,000	+ 6,000
NAVY RESERVE: ELEANOR: RESERVE CENTER	2,500	+ 2,500
TOTAL, WEST VIRGINIA	8,500	+ 8,500
WYOMING			
AIR FORCE:			
FE WARREN AFB:			
COMMAND AND CONTROL SUPPORT FACILITY	10,200	10,200
MINUTEMAN III MISSILE SERVICE COMPLEX	15,520	15,520
UPGRADE STORM WATER DRAINAGE	10,394	+ 10,394
TOTAL, WYOMING	25,720	36,114	+ 10,394
CONUS CLASSIFIED			
AIR FORCE: CLASSIFIED LOCATION: SPECIAL TACTICAL UNIT DETACHMENT FACILITY	1,810	1,810
CONUS VARIOUS			
NAVY: CONUS VARIOUS: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS/DINING FACILITY ..	11,500	11,500
BAHRAIN ISLAND			
NAVY: SOUTHWEST NAVAL ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT UNIT: OPERATIONS CENTER	19,400	19,400
CURAÇAO/ARUBA			
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
REINA BEATRIX INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT (ARUBA):			
AIRFIELD PAVEMENT/RINSE FACILITY	8,800	- 8,800
SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT/STORAGE	860	- 860
SMALL AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR/APRON	590	- 590
HATO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT (CURAÇAO):			
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR/NOSE/DOCK/APRON	9,200	- 9,200
AIRFIELD PAVEMENT/RINSE FACILITY	29,500	- 29,500
MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	3,000	- 3,000
SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT/STORAGE	2,200	- 2,200
TOTAL, CURAÇAO/ARUBA	54,150	- 54,150
DIEGO GARCIA			
AIR FORCE: DIEGO GARCIA: MUNITIONS STORAGE IGLOOS	5,475	5,475
ECUADOR			
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
MANTA AIR BASE:			
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR/NOSE/DOCK/APRON	6,723	- 6,723
MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	4,900	- 4,900
RESCUE STATION	2,200	- 2,200
SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT/STORAGE	2,600	- 2,600
VISITING AIRMEN QUARTERS/DINING FACILITY	4,650	- 4,650
VISITING OFFICER QUARTERS	1,600	- 1,600
TOTAL, ECUADOR	22,673	- 22,673
GERMANY			
ARMY:			
BAMBERG:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX (WARNER 7005)	7,800	7,800
BARARCKS COMPLEX (WARNER 7041)	3,850	3,850
DARMSTADT:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX (CAMBRAI FRITSCH 4002)	5,700	5,700
BARRACKS COMPLEX (KELLEY 4164)	5,600	5,600
KAISERSLAUTERN: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	3,400	3,400

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
MANNHEIM: BARRACKS COMPLEX (COLEMAN II)	4,050	4,050
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
DARMSTADT: DARMSTADT	2,450	2,450
HANAU: ARGONNER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	1,026	1,026
HOHENFELS: CONSTRUCT MIDDLE SCHOOL/HIGH SCHOOL	13,774	13,774
KITZINGEN: HEALTH/DENTAL CLINIC LIFE SAFETY UPGRADE	1,400	1,400
KLEBER KASERNE: REGIONAL FINANCE CENTER	7,500	7,500
SCHWEINFURT: SCHWEINFURT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	1,444	1,444
WIESBADEN AB: ADD/ALTER HEALTH/DENTAL CLINIC	7,187	7,187
WUERZBURG: WUERZBURG ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	1,798	1,798
TOTAL, GERMANY	66,979	66,979
GUAM			
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
ANDERSEN AFB:			
REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANKS	16,000	16,000
REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	20,000	20,000
TOTAL, GUAM	36,000	36,000
ITALY			
NAVY:			
NAPLES NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS ...	15,000	15,000
SIGONELLA NAVAL AIR STATION: COMMUNITY FACILITIES	32,029	32,029
AIR FORCE: AVIANO AIR BASE: DORMITORY (102 RM)	8,000	8,000
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
SIGONELLA NAVAL AIR STATION: REPLACE BULK FUEL STORAGE FACILITY	16,300	16,300
NAPLES NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY: MEDICAL/DENTAL FACILITY REPLACEMENT	43,850	- 43,850
SIGONELLA: SIGONELLA ELEMENTARY/HIGH SCHOOL CLASSRM ADDITION	971	971
TOTAL, ITALY	116,150	72,300	- 43,850
JAPAN			
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
IWAKUNI MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: BULK FUEL STORAGE TANKS ...	22,400	22,400
MISAWA AB: BULK FUEL STORAGE TANKS	26,400	26,400
TOTAL, JAPAN	48,800	48,800
KOREA			
ARMY:			
CAMP HUMPHREYS: BARRACKS COMPLEX	14,200	14,200
CAMP PAGE: BARRACKS COMPLEX	19,500	19,500
YONGPYONG: MOUT COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	12,400	+ 12,400
AIR FORCE:			
KUNSAN AIR BASE: UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	6,400	6,400
OSAN AIR BASE:			
DORMITORY (156RM)	11,348	11,348
UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	10,600	10,600
DEFENSE-WIDE: TAEGU AB: TACTICAL EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	1,450	1,450
TOTAL, KOREA	63,498	75,898	+ 12,400
KWAJALEIN			
ARMY: KWAJALEIN ATOLL: UNACCOMPANIED PERSONNEL HOUSING RENOVATION	18,000	- 18,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
PUERTO RICO			
ARMY: FORT BUCHANAN: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	3,700	+ 3,700
DEFENSE-WIDE: ROOSEVELT ROADS NAVAL STATION: BOAT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,241	1,241
TOTAL, PUERTO RICO	1,241	4,941	+ 3,700
SPAIN			
AIR FORCE: ROTA: ENHANCE ROTA, VARIOUS FACILITIES	5,052	5,052
TURKEY			
AIR FORCE: INCIRLIK AFB: FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	1,000	1,000
UNITED KINGDOM			
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
ROYAL AIR FORCE MILDENHALL: REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	10,000	10,000
ROYAL AIR FORCE FELTWELL:			
FELTWELL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	1,287	1,287
LAKENHEATH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	3,086	3,086
TOTAL, UNITED KINGDOM	14,373	14,373
NATO			
NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM	190,000	175,000	- 15,000
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED			
ARMY:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
HOST NATION	22,600	22,600
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	15,000	20,200	+ 5,200
PLANNING AND DESIGN	72,106	84,706	+ 12,600
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	11,500	11,000	- 500
FOREIGN CURRENCY REDUCTION	- 635	- 635
NAVY:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
DESIGN FUNDS	63,335	71,000	+ 7,665
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	7,659	11,659	+ 4,000
FOREIGN CURRENCY REDUCTION	- 2,889	- 2,889
AIR FORCE:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	9,850	11,350	+ 1,500
PLANNING AND DESIGN	54,237	69,337	+ 15,100
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
ENERGY CONSERVATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	33,570	- 33,570
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE	1,500	1,500
CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION	10,000	10,000
FOREIGN CURRENCY REDUCTION	- 7,115	- 7,115
PLANNING AND DESIGN:			
TRI-CARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY	22,000	22,000
DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY	6,786	6,786
UNDISTRIBUTED	24,000	24,000
NMD INITIAL DEPLOYMENT FACILITIES (PHASE I)	85,095	85,095
OFFICE OF SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	2,900	2,900
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	3,790	3,790
BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION	14,729	14,729
DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY	2,600	2,600
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	1,800	1,800
SUBTOTAL, PLANNING AND DESIGN	163,700	163,700
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION:			
TRI-CARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY	3,000	3,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued
 [In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION	3,694	3,694
JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	6,196	6,196
UNDISTRIBUTED	3,000	3,000
SUBTOTAL, UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	15,890	15,890
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	4,232	22,232	+ 18,000
UNSPECIFIED MINOR	2,295	12,775	+ 10,480
UNSPECIFIED MINOR-WMDCST	25,000	+ 25,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	4,000	8,000	+ 4,000
UNSPECIFIED PLANNING AND DESIGN	9,119	19,119	+ 10,000
ARMY RESERVE:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	6,400	11,200	+ 4,800
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	1,917	1,917
NAVY RESERVE: UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS: DESIGN FUNDS	1,521	2,000	+ 479
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	2,304	3,000	+ 696
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	4,115	4,115
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED	516,850	591,661	+ 74,811
WORLDWIDE VARIOUS			
NAVY: VARIOUS LOCATIONS: HOST NATION INFRASTR SUPP	142	142
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE VARIOUS	142	142
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY			
ALASKA: FORT WAINWRIGHT (75 UNITS)	24,000	+ 24,000
ARIZONA: FORT HUACHUCA (110 UNITS)	16,224	16,224
HAWAII: SCHOFIELD BARRACKS (72 UNITS)	15,500	15,500
KENTUCKY:			
FORT CAMPBELL (56 UNITS)	7,800	7,800
FORT CAMPBELL (128 UNITS)	20,000	+ 20,000
MARYLAND: FORT DETRICK (48 UNITS)	5,600	5,600
NORTH CAROLINA: FORT BRAGG (112 UNITS)	14,600	14,600
SOUTH CAROLINA: FORT JACKSON (1 UNIT)	250	250
TEXAS:			
FORT BLISS (64 UNITS)	10,200	10,200
FORT SAM HOUSTON (70 UNITS)	10,000	+ 10,000
KOREA: CAMP HUMPHREYS (60 UNITS)	21,800	21,800
PUERTO RICO: FORT BUCHANAN (31 UNITS)	5,000	+ 5,000
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	63,590	63,590
PLANNING AND DESIGN	6,542	6,542
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	162,106	221,106	+ 59,000
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
FURNISHING ACCOUNT	44,374	44,374
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	90,286	90,286
SERVICES ACCOUNT	44,855	44,855
UTILITIES	198,101	198,101
MISCELLANEOUS	855	855
LEASING	202,011	202,011
MAINTENANCE	397,792	397,792
INTEREST PAYMENT	1	1
FOREIGN CURRENCY REDUCTION	- 19,911	- 19,911
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	978,275	958,364	- 19,911

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1,140,381	1,179,470	+ 39,089
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS			
CALIFORNIA:			
LEMOORE NAVAL AIR STATION (160 UNITS)	27,768	27,768
TWENTYNINE PALMS (79 UNITS)	13,923	13,923
HAWAII:			
PEARL HARBOR NAVAL COMPLEX (98 UNITS)	22,230	22,230
PEARL HARBOR NAVAL COMPLEX (62 UNITS)	14,237	14,237
PEARL HARBOR NAVAL COMPLEX (112 UNITS)	23,654	23,654
KANEOHE BAY MARINE CORPS BASE (84 UNITS)	21,910	21,910
MAINE: BRUNSWICK NAVAL AIR STATION (168 UNITS)	18,722	18,722
MISSISSIPPI: PASCAGOULA NAVAL STATION (140 UNITS)	21,605	+ 21,605
NORTH CAROLINA: CAMP LEJUENE (149 UNITS)	7,838	+ 7,838
WASHINGTON: WHIDBEY ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION (98 UNITS)	16,873	16,873
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	183,547	184,047	+ 500
PLANNING AND DESIGN	19,958	19,958
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	362,822	392,765	+ 29,943
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
FURNISHING ACCOUNT	30,884	30,884
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	84,914	84,914
SERVICES ACCOUNT	63,953	63,953
UTILITIES	165,057	165,057
MISCELLANEOUS	1,239	1,239
LEASING	142,690	142,690
MAINTENANCE	393,830	393,830
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS	71	71
FOREIGN CURRENCY REDUCTION	- 1,071	- 1,071
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	882,638	881,567	- 1,071
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS	1,245,460	1,274,332	+ 28,872
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE			
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: BOLLING AIR FORCE BASE (136 UNITS)	17,137	17,137
IDAHO: MOUNTAIN HOME AFB (46 UNITS)	10,598	+ 10,598
NORTH DAKOTA:			
CAVALIER (2 UNITS)	443	443
MINOT AFB (134 UNITS)	19,097	19,097
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	174,046	174,046
PLANNING AND DESIGN	12,760	12,760
FOREIGN CURRENCY REDUCTION	- 6,839	- 6,839
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	223,483	227,242	+ 3,759
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
FURNISHING ACCOUNT	38,180	38,180
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	55,685	55,685
SERVICES ACCOUNT	27,997	27,997
UTILITIES	158,959	158,959
MISCELLANEOUS	2,332	2,332
LEASING	114,628	114,628
MAINTENANCE	428,456	428,456
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS	34	34
FOREIGN CURRENCY REDUCTION	- 5,392	- 5,392
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	826,271	820,879	- 5,392
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	1,049,754	1,048,121	- 1,633

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE			
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
SERVICE ACCOUNT (NSA)	415	415
SERVICES ACCOUNT (DLA)	77	77
LEASING (NSA)	12,554	12,554
LEASING (DIA)	25,924	25,924
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (NSA)	653	653
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (DLA)	316	316
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (NSA)	146	146
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DIA)	3,564	3,564
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DLA)	22	22
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (NSA)	444	444
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (DLA)	421	421
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (NSA)	15	15
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (DLA)	271	271
MISCELLANEOUS (NSA)	64	64
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE	44,886	44,886
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV			
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV	1,174,369	1,174,369
GENERAL PROVISIONS			
GENERAL PROVISION (SEC 125)	- 73,507	- 73,507
GRAND TOTAL	8,033,908	8,634,000	+ 600,092
RECAPITULATION			
ARMY	897,938	823,503	- 74,435
NAVY	753,422	828,278	+ 74,856
AIR FORCE	530,969	777,793	+ 246,824
DEFENSE AGENCIES	784,753	801,098	+ 16,345
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	59,130	233,675	+ 174,545
AIR NATIONAL GUARD	50,179	183,029	+ 132,850
ARMY RESERVE	81,713	99,888	+ 18,175
NAVY RESERVE	16,103	38,532	+ 22,429
AIR FORCE RESERVE	14,851	25,533	+ 10,682
TOTAL MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	3,189,058	3,811,329	+ 622,271
NATO INFRASTRUCTURE	190,000	175,000	- 15,000
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1,140,381	1,179,470	+ 39,089
(NEW CONSTRUCTION)	(91,974)	(150,974)	(+ 59,000)
(CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS)	(63,590)	(63,590)
(PLANNING AND DESIGN)	(6,542)	(6,542)
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(978,275)	(958,364)	(- 19,911)
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS	1,245,460	1,274,332	+ 28,872
(NEW CONSTRUCTION)	(159,317)	(188,760)	(+ 29,443)
(CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS)	(183,547)	(184,047)	(+ 500)
(PLANNING AND DESIGN)	(19,958)	(19,958)
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(882,638)	(881,567)	(- 1,071)
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	1,049,754	1,048,121	- 1,633
(NEW CONSTRUCTION)	(36,677)	(47,275)	(+ 10,598)
(CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS)	(174,046)	(174,046)
(PLANNING AND DESIGN)	(12,760)	(12,760)
(FOREIGN CURRENCY REDUCTION)	(- 6,839)	(- 6,839)
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(826,271)	(820,879)	(- 5,392)
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE AGENCIES	44,886	44,886
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(44,822)	(44,822)
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT	1,174,369	1,174,369
GENERAL PROVISIONS	- 73,507	- 73,507

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued
[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
GRAND TOTAL	8,033,908	8,634,000	+ 600,092

DIVISION B
 TITLE I
 COUNTERNARCOTICS
 CHAPTER 1
 DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—MILITARY
 PROCUREMENT

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

The Committee recommends \$30,000,000 be made available for procurement of one Airborne Reconnaissance Low (ARL) aircraft, to replace the platform lost in the tragic crash during a mission in Colombia last year.

OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS

DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

ASSISTANCE FOR PLAN COLOMBIA

2000 appropriation to date	\$847,800,000
2000 supplemental estimate	160,800,000
Committee recommendation	85,700,000

The Administration has requested emergency supplemental appropriations of \$98,400,000 in fiscal year 2000 and \$62,400,000 in fiscal year 2001 to support Plan Colombia goals. The Committee recommendation of \$85,700,000 funds the following activities only for fiscal year 2000 and recommends no fiscal year 2001 funding at this time:

PLAN COLOMBIA

[In thousands of dollars]

	Supplemental request, fiscal year 2000	Supplemental request, fiscal year 2001	Committee rec- ommendation, fiscal year 2000
Counter-narcotics battalion support	18,200	3,000	18,200
Counter-narcotics brigade headquarters	1,000	1,000
Army aviation infrastructure support	8,200	5,000	8,200
Military reform	3,000	3,000	3,000
Organic intelligence capability	5,000
Senior Scout	5,000
Tracker aircraft modifications	7,000	3,000	7,000
AC-47 aircraft modifications	1,000	6,400	1,000
Ground-based radar	13,000	7,000

PLAN COLOMBIA—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

	Supplemental request, fiscal year 2000	Supplemental request, fiscal year 2001	Committee rec- ommendation, fiscal year 2000
Radar command and control	5,000	5,000
Andean Ridge intelligence collection	3,000	4,000	3,000
Colombian ground interdiction	5,000	5,000
Classified	34,000	34,300
Total	98,400	62,400	85,700

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the defense committees which outlines specific uses for all funds appropriated in this account, within 45 days of enactment. If any variance for the use of these funds is proposed, the Under Secretary of Defense, Comptroller shall notify the Committees on Appropriations 15 session days prior to any obligation or movement of funds. Additionally, the Assistant Secretary of Defense, Special Operations and Low-Intensity Conflict shall provide a monthly report to the defense committees, which shall include the following information for the preceding month; identification of private sector firms providing support in any capacity, the number of American citizens located overseas in execution of supporting contracts, and the number of military personnel and U.S. Government employees operating in Colombia and the surrounding region in support of Plan Colombia.

CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS

The Committee's recommendations regarding classified programs are summarized in a classified annex accompanying this report.

GENERAL PROVISIONS—THIS CHAPTER

SEC. 101. The Committee includes a provision that places a limit of \$45,000,000 on the funds made available for the provision of support for counter-drug activities of the Government of Colombia, and requires a report on all support contracts prior to obligation of any funds.

CHAPTER 2

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

2000 appropriation to date	\$593,615,000
2000 supplemental estimate	38,600,000
Committee recommendation	116,523,000

The Committee recommends \$116,523,000 for Military Construction, Defense-wide, as compared to the request of \$38,600,000. These amounts are provided as a contingent emergency appropriation for the construction of three Forward Operating Locations to support the Colombia Anti-Drug Program, as follows:

Ecuador:	
Airfield Pavement/Rinse Facility	\$38,600,000
Aircraft Maintenance Hangar/Nose/Dock Apron	6,723,000
Expeditionary Maintenance Facilities	4,900,000
Expeditionary Rescue Station	2,200,000
Expeditionary Squadron Ops/AMU/Storage	2,600,000
Expeditionary Visiting Airmen Quarters/Dining Facility	4,650,000
Expeditionary Visiting Officer Quarters	1,600,000
Subtotal, Ecuador	61,273,000
Aruba:	
Airfield Pavement/Rinse Facility	8,800,000
Expeditionary Maintenance Facilities	860,000
Small Exped. Aircraft Maintenance Hangar/Apron	590,000
Subtotal, Aruba	10,250,000
Curaçao:	
Airfield Pavement/Rinse Facility	29,500,000
Aircraft Maintenance Hangar/Nose/Dock Apron	9,200,000
Expeditionary Maintenance Facilities	3,000,000
Expeditionary Squadron Ops/AMU/Storage	2,200,000
Subtotal, Curaçao	43,900,000
Various: Planning and Design	1,100,000
Total	116,523,000

GENERAL PROVISION—THIS CHAPTER

SEC. 201. The Committee includes a provision that requires the Secretary of Defense to report on the construction, security and operation of the Forward Operating Locations (FOL) in Manta, Ecuador, Aruba and Curaçao.

CHAPTER 3

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

U.S. COAST GUARD

OPERATING EXPENSES

(EMERGENCY APPROPRIATIONS)

2000 appropriation to date	\$2,781,000,000
2000 supplemental estimate	
Committee recommendation (emergency appropriations)	262,446,000

The Committee is concerned that the Coast Guard has been forced to curtail its operations, including drug interdiction efforts, because of a shortage of qualified personnel, lack of spare parts, and aging or obsolete equipment. The declining state of readiness has severely constrained the operations of the long-range aircraft fleet in particular, which is adversely affecting the execution of search and rescue efforts as well as maritime patrols. The Committee is aware that C-130 availability has dropped 20 percent over the past 4 years and that the hours C-130 aircraft are not mission-ready due to spare parts shortage have risen three-fold. To rectify the Coast Guard's readiness concerns and to ensure an adequate level of operations, the Committee recommends \$64,446,000 for

aviation spare parts and maintenance and \$29,000,000 for programmed flight hours. The Committee directs the Coast Guard to submit a report outlining strategies for improving the capability of aviation assets and the availability of the long-range aircraft by July 1, 2000. At a minimum, the report should include analyses of upgrading the existing long-range aircraft fleet, acquiring new aircraft, including J model C-130 and other aircraft, and identifying other government or private H model C-130 aircraft that could be modified for Coast Guard use.

The Committee recommendation also includes: \$40,000,000 for military pay and benefits; \$24,000,000 for recruiting activities and recruiting and retention bonuses; \$59,000,000 to reduce the maintenance backlog of vessels, equipment, and shore facilities; and \$46,000,000 for operational fuel.

The Committee expects that supplemental operating funds will be prioritized for drug interdiction activities. It is important, however, that increased investment in drug interdiction activities not come at the expense of other Coast Guard missions. The Committee asserts that the Coast Guard should not reduce operations or asset availability for search and rescue, fisheries enforcement, maritime environmental disaster response, and other operational areas below baseline levels. The entire amount appropriated has been designated as an emergency requirement pursuant to the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended.

ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND IMPROVEMENTS

(EMERGENCY APPROPRIATIONS)

2000 appropriation to date	\$389,326,000
2000 supplemental estimate
Committee recommendation (emergency appropriations)	74,859,000

To expand the Coast Guard drug interdiction activities, the bill provides \$74,859,000 for various capital acquisition projects, to be allocated as follows: \$32,000,000 for vessels authorized by the Western Hemisphere Drug Elimination Act; \$12,000,000 for electronic sensors for Coast Guard cutters and boats, including electro-optical/infrared (EO/IR) systems; \$5,459,000 for commercial satellite communications upgrade equipment; \$7,500,000 for 5 over-the-horizon cutter boats to respond to the “go fast” drug smuggling threat; \$10,000,000 to modify the C-130 aircraft fleet cockpits to be night vision capable; and \$7,900,000 for acquisition and installation of forward looking infrared (FLIR) systems. The entire amount appropriated has been designated as an emergency requirement pursuant to the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended.

RESERVE TRAINING

(EMERGENCY APPROPRIATIONS)

2000 appropriation to date	\$72,000,000
2000 supplemental estimate
Committee recommendation (emergency appropriations)	3,750,000

The Committee recommends \$3,750,000 for reserve training. The Committee understands that this should fully fund and maintain

a Selected Reserve level of at least 8,000. The entire amount appropriated has been designated as an emergency requirement pursuant to the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended.

TITLE II

PEACEKEEPING OPERATIONS IN KOSOVO AND OTHER NATIONAL SECURITY MATTERS

CHAPTER 1

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—MILITARY

STORM DAMAGE AND NATURAL DISASTERS

The Committee recommends a total of \$128,226,000 in new budget authority for the Department of Defense for costs resulting from storm, hurricane, and earthquake damage to U.S. military bases and installations.

The supplemental request focused, admittedly, on storm damage costs related solely to Hurricane Floyd, and was prepared without detailed consideration of Service requirements. Following receipt of the supplemental request, the Committee has received additional storm damage and natural disaster cost estimates from the military services. Based on these estimates, which include costs resulting from Hurricanes Irene, Dennis, and Jose, Typhoons Bart and Olga, an earthquake which struck Southern California, and winter storm damage in Alaska, the Committee recommends funding that exceeds the request by \$100,826,000.

NATURAL DISASTERS—REPAIRS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Supplemental request	Committee rec- ommendation	Difference
Operation and Maintenance:			
Army		23,883	+ 23,883
Navy		20,565	+ 20,565
Marine Corps		37,155	+ 37,155
Air Force		38,065	+ 38,065
Defense-Wide	27,400		- 27,400
Army Reserve		2,174	+ 2,174
Army National Guard		2,851	+ 2,851
Defense Health Program		3,533	+ 3,533
Total	27,400	128,226	+ 100,826

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

The Committee directs that Operation and Maintenance, Army funds recommended in the bill for the repair of storm damage shall provide the following program in fiscal year 2000:

<i>Command</i>	<i>Committee recommendation</i>
AMC	\$1,514,000
FORSCOM	2,278,000

<i>Command</i>	<i>Committee recommendation</i>
USMA	2,464,000
USAREUR	3,841,000
TRADOC	7,204,000
ATEC	2,231,000
USARPAC	4,351,000
Total	23,883,000

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

The Committee recommends \$40,000,000 for several initiatives and requirements related to U.S. Navy relations with the people of Vieques, Puerto Rico.

OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER FUND

The Committee recommends a total of \$1,850,400,000 in new budget authority for the Department of Defense for costs related to the ongoing policing and peacekeeping activities in Kosovo and East Timor.

Despite the language contained in Senate Report 106-53, and Section 8110 of Public Law 106-79, the Secretary of Defense has, in fiscal year 1999, again failed to ensure that funds transferred from the Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund appropriation were solely for the costs of contingency operations.

For example, during fiscal year 1999, the Army transferred readiness funds appropriated for operating forces (BA1) to other service administrative activities (BA4), and subsequently requested \$1,100,000,000 in contingency costs (BA1). These funds were then allocated to a reception center in the United States, video teleconferencing equipment, and to restore congressional reductions for headquarters activities.

The following table provides details of the emergency supplemental appropriations in this chapter for the Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund.

FISCAL YEAR 2000 CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Supplemental request	Committee rec- ommendation	Difference
Kosovo:			
Military Personnel:			
Army	157,400	157,400
Navy	25,600	25,600
Marine Corps	6,400	6,400
Air Force	2,400	2,400
Total	191,800	191,800
Operation and Maintenance:			
Army	1,332,100	1,132,100	- 200,000
Navy	49,900	49,900
Marine Corps
Air Force	114,800	114,800
Defense-Wide:			
USSOCOM	24,000	24,000

FISCAL YEAR 2000 CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

	Supplemental request	Committee rec- ommendation	Difference
AFIS	300	300
DISA	52,200	52,200
DLA	2,200	2,200
Other	226,600	226,600
Total, Defense-Wide	305,300	305,300
Navy Reserve	100	100
OHDACA	12,000	12,000
Total	1,814,200	1,614,200	— 200,000
Other: Defense Health Program	19,400	19,400
Total Kosovo	2,025,400	1,825,400	— 200,000
East Timor:			
Operation and Maintenance:			
Army	9,000	9,000
Navy	12,000	12,000
Marine Corps	1,000	1,000
Air Force	3,000	3,000
Total East Timor	25,000	25,000
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund: Grand Total	2,050,400	1,850,400	— 200,000

CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS

The Committee's recommendations regarding classified programs are summarized in a classified annex accompanying this report.

PROCUREMENT

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

2000 appropriation to date	\$824,530,000
2000 supplemental estimate	73,000,000
Committee recommendation	73,000,000

The Committee recommends an emergency supplemental appropriation of \$73,000,000, the request amount, for the purchase and modification of an aircraft for the Foreign Emergency Support Team (FEST).

OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS

DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

The Committee bill includes a general provision which provides \$695,900,000 for emergent and unforeseen requirements in the Defense Health Program.

During a recent hearing on DOD medical programs, the Defense Subcommittee was informed of serious, widespread funding shortfalls within the Defense Health Program. As outlined to the Subcommittee, these shortfalls are prevalent in the current fiscal year, are already anticipated in fiscal year 2001, and have been quantified at up to \$6,000,000,000 across fiscal years 2002 through 2007.

The Committee views the most pressing of these shortfalls to be those in the direct care side of the Military Health System—those areas that directly affect quality of life for military service members and their families, and impact their ability to access and receive quality health care. Each of the three service Surgeons General are facing current year budgets in their military medical treatment facilities that are minimally executable; the Committee finds this situation unacceptable.

The Committee bill provides funds to address the most pressing and immediate requirements of the direct care system as outlined by the service Surgeons General.

Further, the Committee directs the Department to report to the congressional defense committees within 30 days of the enactment of this Act on the application and use of the funds provided.

GENERAL PROVISIONS—THIS CHAPTER

SEC. 2101. *Basic Allowance for Housing.* The Committee includes a provision which provides the Department the authority to maintain housing allowances at the 1999 levels during fiscal years 2000 and 2001.

SEC. 2102. *Fuel Inflation.* The budget request for fiscal year 2001 includes a surcharge to recover cash losses due to the cost of inflation for the purchase of bulk petroleum. Despite this surcharge, the Department of Defense projects losses for fuel price inflation to equal \$965,000,000 for fiscal years 2000–2002. The Committee includes a general provision which provides \$964,300,000 to cover the cost of inflation for bulk petroleum purchased by the Department of Defense.

SEC. 2103. *Defense Health Program.* The Committee includes a provision which provides \$695,900,000, for emergent and unforeseen requirements in the Defense Health Program.

SEC. 2104. *Biometrics Information Assurance.* The Committee recommends an additional \$5,000,000 for biometrics information assurance programs for program element 432140 for the Army Information System Security Program. The additional funding provided by the Committee supplements funding provided in fiscal year 2000 for biometrics ready computer prototypes and pilots and other biometrics activities to ensure that the Biometrics Fusion Center described below will be established immediately, and that its activities begin on an accelerated schedule. The Committee also recommends an additional \$1,000,000 each for the Navy and the Air Force to support the consolidation and coordination of Department of Defense (DOD) biometrics programs described below.

The U.S. confronts serious domestic and international threats to its information assurance infrastructure and critical asset facilities. While current methods of security are inadequate to deal with potential cyber-threats and vulnerabilities, biometrics is an evolving

technology that can provide security in depth and information assurance. Current DOD biometrics program activities are fragmented with no single agency providing vision, leadership, or coordination. Moreover, there currently does not appear to be an orchestrated effort within DOD sufficient to determine needs/requirements in research, development, and acquisition to advise the user communities in integration of biometrics technology.

Recognizing the difficulties in responding to current and potential cyber-threats, the Committee makes two recommendations requiring immediate attention. To ensure the availability of biometric technologies in the DOD, it recommends that the Army be established as the Executive Agent to lead, consolidate, and coordinate all biometrics information assurance programs of the DOD. The Committee includes language in the bill establishing the Army as Executive Agency effective with enactment of this bill into law.

To ensure the availability of biometrics technologies in the DOD, the Committee recommends immediate establishment of an interim Biometrics Fusion Center, and that initial activities of the Center should include management of test and evaluation of commercial off-the-shelf biometrics software and hardware, and definition of requirements and equipment and software standards for the DOD. The Committee believes that establishment of the Center is a timely DOD response to the President's National Plan for Information Systems Protection, published in January 2000, which directs the DOD to create organizational structures to identify and fix vulnerabilities, develop and deploy intrusion detection systems, and launch key innovative research and development projects. The Center could also support the Institute for Infrastructure Protection, which is directed by the National Plan. The Committee directs that the Biometrics Fusion Center be established immediately, and that its activities begin on an accelerated schedule.

SEC. 2105. *Patriot missile reliability enhancement program.* The Committee has included a provision which provides \$125,000,000 to the Patriot missile program.

SEC. 2106. *Operation Walking Shield.* The Committee includes a general provision which provides \$300,000 for the Department of Defense for Operation Walking Shield.

SEC. 2107. *East Timor and Mozambique.* The Committee includes a general provision to provide funds for humanitarian assistance and peacekeeping.

SEC. 2108. *Transfer of funds.* The Committee includes a general provision transferring previously appropriated funds to the EPA.

SEC. 2109. *LHD-8.* The Committee includes a general provision on LHD-8.

SEC. 2110. *Infrastructure support assistance.* Staging the Olympic and Paralympic Games will require that significant temporary resources, unavailable through Olympic and Paralympic sponsorships, be assembled in Utah. In order to meet this need, a one-time infusion of funds is needed to respond to requests for assistance from the organizing committee. The most significant need is for communications assistance, including a temporary increase to the communications infrastructure and an estimated 8,000 radios for Olympic Games operations; 2,000 of these radios will remain for use during the Paralympic Games. In order to ensure that required

equipment, infrastructure and other support is available in time for international competitions, held as test events for the Games in the fall of 2000 and winter of 2001, funds must be available for obligation in fiscal year 2000. Additionally, all infrastructure associated with the Games must be designed and installed no later than September of 2001, requiring that contracting for many Olympic support requirements begin in fiscal year 2000.

SEC. 2111. *BMDO*. The Committee includes a general provision regarding BMDO program management.

CHAPTER 2

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

2000 appropriation to date	\$4,427,052,000
2000 supplemental estimate	¹ 55,000,000
Committee recommendation	131,000,000

¹ Requested as a non-emergency supplemental.

The Committee recommends an additional appropriation of \$131,000,000 for Weapons Activities of the Department of Energy.

The amount recommended by the Committee provides \$92,000,000 for Weapons Activities of the Department of Energy for production readiness, critical workforce, and infrastructure improvements throughout the complex. The recommendation includes \$25,000,000 for the Y-12 Plant in Tennessee; \$15,000,000 for the Kansas City Plant in Missouri, \$12,000,000 for the Pantex Plant in Texas; \$20,000,000 for Los Alamos National Laboratory in New Mexico; \$17,000,000 for Sandia National Laboratory in New Mexico, and \$3,000,000 for the Savannah River Site to support national security commitments to the Department of Defense and to address critical infrastructure issues that are not being addressed within the production complex.

The Committee recommends an additional \$6,000,000 to support nuclear safeguards and security within the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA). The funds are urgently needed for the cyber security program in order to address protection of NNSA's computer systems, provide cyber security tools and capabilities, and to ensure that classified and unclassified information is protected consistently across the various sites within the NNSA. Lack of additional funding will continue to place classified and unclassified information at risk. Specifically, funding is required for extensive review of cyber security plans at NNSA sites, for development and training for people responsible for implementing cyber security programs and protection measures, and to enhance the NNSA's capabilities for cyber incident response and core cyber security architecture and intrusion detection.

The Committee recommendation also includes \$20,000,000 for cyber security upgrades. Improvements in the cyber security of the nuclear weapons complex have been accomplished at a substantial cost in 1999 and 2000. Substantially reducing the remaining risks while providing mission functionality is extremely difficult and cor-

respondingly expensive. The Committee is aware of the DOE's Information Security Management study that broadly addressed the threats with generic solutions that were estimated to cost \$850,000,000 across the complex. It is highly unlikely such resources will be available. The DOE must develop more affordable policy alternatives on information security. Therefore, the Committee directs the NNSA to perform planning, analysis, testing and evaluation necessary to develop the highest-value alternatives for improving cyber security at the laboratories, plants, field and headquarters. The Committee further directs the NNSA to submit to the Committee a detailed plan with estimated costs and schedules for a reasonable program that defends the highest value targets in the weapons complex.

The Committee recommends an additional \$13,000,000 for fleet upgrades for the Transportation Safeguards Division. The 30-Day Review found that "During the past year, DP's Transportation Safeguards Division (TSD), which is responsible for providing safe, secure and cost effective transportation for nuclear weapons in DOE custody received a 'marginal' security rating." The Committee recommends these funds to correct the problems.

The Committee recommends an additional \$5,000,000 for the construction of U1h shaft at the U1a underground facility at the Nevada Test Site. This shaft will enhance worker safety by providing additional emergency evacuation capability and expanded capacity at the U1a complex.

OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

2000 appropriation to date	\$1,722,444,000
2000 supplemental estimate	18,000,000
Committee recommendation	12,000,000

The Committee recommendation includes an additional \$12,000,000 for Other Defense Activities of the Department of Energy.

Nuclear Safeguards & Security.—The Department requested an additional \$8,000,000 for the Office of Security and Emergency Operations to support the cyber security program in the areas of policy and planning, training, operations, and technical development. The request would also fund current Federal employees and the hiring of additional Federal employees within the Office of Security and Emergency Operations to support a variety of functions that are properly within the authority and responsibility of the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration. Section 3213(b)(6) of Public Law 106–65 gives the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration authority over, and responsibility for, safeguards and security for all programs and activities of the National Nuclear Security Administration. As such, the Committee recommends \$2,000,000 for the Office of Security and Emergency Operations to support cyber security and emergency operations of the Department that are not within the responsibility and authority of the National Nuclear Security Administration.

Environmental, Safety and Health.—A number of environmental, safety and health issues have been raised concerning the Department of Energy's operation of the gaseous diffusion plants in Kentucky, Ohio and Tennessee. The Committee understands that site

reviews have found no issues warranting the shut down of current operations, but have raised significant issues concerning past practices and the impact upon the environment and worker safety. The Committee is also aware that the Department has initiated activities to address these legacy issues and that additional funding is needed to continue this work. Therefore, the Committee recommends that an additional \$10,000,000 be provided in fiscal year 2000 to continue activities to address worker medical, health and safety concerns.

CHAPTER 3

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

2000 appropriation to date	\$593,615,000
2000 supplemental estimate	
Committee recommendation	1,000,000

The Committee recommends an additional \$1,000,000 for Military Construction, Defense-wide, to augment the Corps of Engineers' planning and design work associated with the National Missile Defense system.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

2000 appropriation to date	\$227,456,000
2000 supplemental estimate	
Committee recommendation	9,145,000

The Committee recommends \$9,145,000 as a contingent emergency appropriation due to storm damage related to Hurricane Georges for a Readiness Center in Gulfport, Mississippi. Funding for this project was requested in the "Operation and maintenance, Defense-Wide" appropriation.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY RESERVE

2000 appropriation to date	\$111,340,000
2000 supplemental estimate	
Committee recommendation	12,348,000

The Committee recommends \$12,348,000 as a contingent emergency appropriation due to storm damage related to Hurricane Floyd for an Armed Forces Reserve Center in Greenville, North Carolina.

GENERAL PROVISIONS—THIS CHAPTER

SEC. 2301. Recommends \$4,700,000 as a contingent emergency for storm related damage to family housing at numerous locations, as authorized by Section 2854 of Title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 2302. Authorizes the use of private donations for the purpose of renovating the Marine Corps' historic residences. The provision requires a thirty day notification to the appropriate committees of the Congress prior to the use of such funds.

SEC. 2303. Revises Section 8168 of the Department of the Defense Appropriations Act, 2000 (Public Law 106-7) to clarify reporting requirements placed on the Department of the Air Force.

SEC. 2304. Provides further guidance to the Department of Defense concerning planning and design funds impacting the Naval Submarine Base, Bangor, Washington.

TITLE III

GENERAL PROVISIONS—THIS DIVISION

SEC. 2402. The Committee has included language that repeals certain pay date shifts that were included in the Fiscal Year 2000 Consolidated Appropriations Act. That Act provided that when military members were to be paid on September 30, 2000, or when civilian employees were to be paid on September 29, 2000, or on September 30, 2000, these groups were to be paid on October 1, 2000. Section 5002 of this Act repeals those pay date shifts.

SEC. 2404. The Committee includes a provision which provides authorization for intelligence activities in this Act.

SEC. 2405. The Committee includes a provision repealing sections 8175 and 8176 of Public Law 106–79.

SEC. 2406. The Committee includes a provision which provides a 3-year term for the first person appointed as Under Secretary for Nuclear Security of the Department of Energy.

SEC. 2407. The Committee includes a general provision which restates direction to the Navy provided in Senate Report 106–53.

SEC. 2408. The Committee amends section 509 of title 32, United States Code.

SEC. 2409. The Committee includes a general provision related to the awarding of the Medal of Honor.

SEC. 2410. The Committee has included a general provision prohibiting the expenditure of funds for the continued deployment of United States military forces in Kosovo after July 1, 2001, unless the President seeks and secures Congressional authorization to continue the deployment beyond that date.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2000
SUPPLEMENTAL

[In thousands of dollars]

Doc. No.		Supplemental request	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with supplemental request (+ or -)
	DIVISION B FISCAL YEAR 2000 SUPPLEMENTALS TITLE I—COUNTERNARCOTICS CHAPTER 1 DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—MILITARY PROCUREMENT			
.....	Aircraft procurement, Army (contingent emergency appropriations)	30,000	+ 30,000
	OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS			
106-162	Drug interdiction and counter-drug activities, Defense (emergency appropriations)	98,400	85,700	- 12,700
	Total, Chapter 1:			
	New budget (obligational) authority	98,400	115,700	+ 17,300
	Emergency appropriations	(98,400)	(85,700)	(- 12,700)
	Contingent emergency appropriations	(30,000)	(+ 30,000)
	CHAPTER 2 DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—MILITARY			
106-162	Military construction, Defense-wide (emergency appropriations)	38,600	- 38,600
.....	Contingent emergency appropriations	116,523	+ 116,523

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2000
 SUPPLEMENTAL—Continued
 [In thousands of dollars]

Doc. No.		Supplemental request	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with supplemental request (+ or -)
	CHAPTER 3 DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Coast Guard			
.....	Operating expenses (contingent emergency appropriations)		262,446	+ 262,446
.....	Acquisition, construction and improvements (contingent emergency appropriations)		74,859	+ 74,859
.....	Reserve training (contingent emergency appropriations)		3,750	+ 3,750
	Total, Chapter 3: New budget (obligational) authority		341,055	+ 341,055
	Total, title I:			
	New budget (obligational) authority	137,000	573,278	+ 436,278
	Emergency appropriations	(137,000)	(85,700)	(- 51,300)
	Contingent emergency appropriations		(487,578)	(+ 487,578)
	TITLE II—PEACEKEEPING OPERATIONS IN KOSOVO AND OTHER NATIONAL SECURITY MATTERS DEPARTMENT OF STATE CHAPTER 1 DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—MILITARY Operation and Maintenance			
.....	Operations and maintenance, Army (emergency appropriations)		23,883	+ 23,883
.....	Operation and maintenance, Navy (emergency appropriations)		20,565	+ 20,565
.....	Operation and maintenance, Marine Corps (emergency appropriations)		37,155	+ 37,155

.....	Operation and maintenance, Air Force (emergency appropriations)		38,065	+ 38,065
106-162	Operation and maintenance, Defense-wide (emergency appropriations)	27,400	40,000	+ 12,600
.....	Operation and maintenance, Army Reserve (emergency appropriations)		2,174	+ 2,174
.....	Operation and maintenance, Army National Guard (emergency appropriations)		2,851	+ 2,851
106-162	Overseas contingency operations transfer fund (emergency appropriations)	2,050,400	1,850,400	- 200,000
	Total, Operation and Maintenance	2,077,800	2,015,093	- 62,707
	Procurement			
106-162	Aircraft procurement, Air Force (emergency appropriations)	73,000	73,000
	Other Department of Defense Programs			
.....	Defense health program (emergency appropriations)		3,533	+ 3,533
	General Provisions			
.....	Defense-wide working capital fund (emergency appropriations) (sec. 2102)		964,300	+ 964,300
.....	Defense health program (emergency appropriations) (sec. 2103)		695,900	+ 695,900
.....	Biometrics (contingent emergency appropriations) (sec. 2104)		7,000	+ 7,000
.....	Patriot Mods (contingent emergency appropriations) (sec. 2105)		125,000	+ 125,000
.....	Operation Walking Shield (emergency appropriations) (sec. 2106)		300	+ 300
.....	East Timor and Mozambique humanitarian assistance (contingent emergency appropriations) (sec. 2107)		61,500	+ 61,500
.....	Macalloy (by transfer) (sec. 2108)		(9,642)	(+ 9,642)
.....	Olympic Games support (contingent emergency appropriations) (sec. 2110)		8,000	+ 8,000
	Total, Chapter 1:			
	New budget (obligational) authority	2,150,800	3,953,626	+ 1,802,826
	Emergency appropriations	(2,150,800)	(3,752,126)	(+ 1,601,326)
	Contingent emergency appropriations		(201,500)	(+ 201,500)
	(By transfer)		(9,642)	(+ 9,642)
	CHAPTER 2			
	DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY			
	Atomic Energy Defense Activities			
106-162	Weapons activities	55,000		- 55,000
.....	(Contingent emergency appropriations)		136,000	+ 136,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2000
SUPPLEMENTAL—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Doc. No.		Supplemental request	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with supplemental request (+ or -)
106-162	Other defense activities	14,000	- 14,000
	(Contingent emergency appropriations)	12,000	+ 12,000
106-162	(By transfer)	(4,000)	(- 4,000)
106-194	Defense environmental restoration and waste management (rescission)	- 13,000	+ 13,000
	Total, Atomic Energy Defense Activities	56,000	148,000	+ 92,000
	Total, Chapter 2:			
	New budget (obligational) authority	56,000	148,000	+ 92,000
	Appropriations	(69,000)	(- 69,000)
	Rescissions	(- 13,000)	(+ 13,000)
	Contingent emergency appropriations	(148,000)	(+ 148,000)
	(By transfer)	(4,000)	(- 4,000)
	CHAPTER 3			
	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—MILITARY			
.....	Military construction, Defense-Wide (contingent emergency appropriations)	1,000	+ 1,000
.....	Military construction, Army National Guard (contingent emergency appropriations)	9,145	+ 9,145
.....	Military construction, Army Reserve (contingent emergency appropriations)	12,348	+ 12,348
	Total, Military Construction	22,493	+ 22,493
	GENERAL PROVISIONS			
.....	Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps (contingent emergency appropriations) (sec. 2301)	3,000	+ 3,000

.....	Family housing, Air Force (contingent emergency appropriations) (sec. 2301)	1,700	+ 1,700
	Total, Chapter 3: New budget (obligational) authority	27,193	+ 27,193
	Total, title II:			
	New budget (obligational) authority	2,206,800	4,128,819	+ 1,922,019
	Appropriations	(69,000)	(- 69,000)
	Rescissions	(- 13,000)	(+ 13,000)
	Emergency appropriations	(2,150,800)	(3,752,126)	(+ 1,601,326)
	Contingent emergency appropriations	(376,693)	(+ 376,693)
	(By transfer)	(4,000)	(9,642)	(+ 5,642)
	Grand total, all titles:			
	New budget (obligational) authority	2,343,800	4,702,097	+ 2,358,297
	Appropriations	(69,000)	(- 69,000)
	Emergency appropriations	(2,287,800)	(3,837,826)	(+ 1,550,026)
	Contingent emergency appropriations	(864,271)	(+ 864,271)
	(By transfer)	(4,000)	(9,642)	(+ 5,642)