

SENATE—Monday, May 9, 2011

The Senate met at 2 p.m. and was called to order by the Honorable RICHARD BLUMENTHAL, a Senator from the State of Connecticut.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, Dr. Barry C. Black, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray.

Merciful God, take possession of our hearts so that we will do Your will. Use us for Your glory as beacons of light and inspiration in our Nation and world. We desire for Your name to receive the honor it is due. So show us Your ways and teach us Your path. Lord, be gracious to our Members of this body, showering them liberally with Your wisdom. Let Your love fill and rule their lives, preparing them for that bliss You will give to those who love You.

We pray in Your great name. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Honorable RICHARD BLUMENTHAL led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

APPOINTMENT OF ACTING PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will please read a communication to the Senate from the President pro tempore (Mr. INOUE).

The legislative clerk read the following letter:

U.S. SENATE,
PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE,
Washington, DC, May 9, 2011.

To the Senate:

Under the provisions of rule I, paragraph 3, of the Standing Rules of the Senate, I hereby appoint the Honorable RICHARD BLUMENTHAL, a Senator from the State of Connecticut, to perform the duties of the Chair.

DANIEL K. INOUE,
President pro tempore.

Mr. BLUMENTHAL thereupon assumed the chair as Acting President pro tempore.

RECOGNITION OF THE MAJORITY LEADER

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The majority leader is recognized.

SCHEDULE

Mr. REID. Mr. President, following the ceremony that will take place very

shortly, and following any leader remarks, the Senate will be in morning business until 4:30 p.m. At that time there will be 1 hour of debate on the nomination of James Cole to be Deputy Attorney General. At approximately 5:30, there will be a cloture vote on the Cole nomination.

Last week, we were able to enter into a consent agreement on the nomination of Edward Chen. We expect to vote on this nomination sometime this week.

I note the absence of a quorum.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

CERTIFICATE OF APPOINTMENT

The VICE PRESIDENT. The Chair lays before the Senate the certificate of appointment to fill the vacancy created by the resignation of former Senator John Ensign of Nevada. The certificate, the Chair is advised, is in the form suggested by the Senate.

If there be no objection, the reading of the certificate will be waived and it will be printed in full in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

STATE OF NEVADA
Executive Department

CERTIFICATE OF APPOINTMENT

To the President of the Senate of the United States:

This is to certify that, pursuant to the power vested in me by the Constitution of the United States and the laws of the State of Nevada, I, Brian Sandoval, the governor of said State, do hereby appoint Dean Heller a Senator from said State to represent said State in the Senate of the United States until the vacancy therein caused by the resignation of John Ensign, is filled by election as provided by law.

Witness: His excellency our governor Brian Sandoval, and our seal hereto affixed at Carson City, Nevada, this third day of May, in the year of our Lord 2011.

By the governor:

BRIAN SANDOVAL,
Governor.
ROSS MILLER,
Secretary of State.

[State Seal Affixed]

ADMINISTRATION OF OATH OF OFFICE

The VICE PRESIDENT. If the Senator-designate will now present himself

at the desk, the Chair will administer the oath of office.

The Senator-designate, DEAN HELLER, escorted by Mr. REID, advanced to the desk of the Vice President; the oath prescribed by law was administered to him by the Vice President; and he subscribed to the oath in the Official Oath Book.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Congratulations.

Mr. HELLER. Thank you very much. (Applause, Senators rising.)

Mr. UDALL of New Mexico. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

MEASURE PLACED ON THE CALENDAR—H.R. 3

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I am told that H.R. 3 is at the desk and due for a second reading.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The clerk will read the bill by title for the second time.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 3) to prohibit taxpayer funded abortions and to provide for conscience protections, and for other purposes.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I would now object to any further proceedings at this time.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Objection having been heard, the bill will be placed on the calendar under rule XIV.

SCHEDULE

Mr. REID. Mr. President, every time we have a peaceful transfer of power at any level of our government, it speaks to the strength of our democracy. Today is no different.

Today, Nevada welcomes our newly appointed Senator, DEAN HELLER—until a few minutes ago, Congressman HELLER—to this side of the Capitol. Nevada is still reeling, more than most, from the Wall Street recession that crashed our housing and jobs markets. I look forward to working with our new junior Senator to make the tough choices that will help our State and our citizens recover.

The Senate will soon confront one of those tough choices. We will continue our conversation about how to save

● This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.

taxpayer money and lower our Nation's deficit and debt. We have to recognize that we cannot do either so long as we keep giving away money to oil companies that clearly do not need taxpayer handouts. As gas prices and oil company profits keep rising, each Senator will soon have the opportunity to stand with the millionaires or with the middle class.

Also, today the Senate will vote on whether to advance the nomination of the Attorney General's top deputy, Jim Cole. The Deputy Attorney General runs the day-to-day operations at the Department of Justice. He also supervises the National Security Division and makes critical decisions each day that affect the safety of our great Nation. For instance, Jim Cole is one of the only people at the Department of Justice who can sign the critical warrants that permit our intelligence officials to conduct surveillance on suspected terrorists.

In the last week, our country has been reminded of the incredibly important role our intelligence community plays. It is unthinkable that partisanship and legislative ploys are keeping a public servant as well qualified as Jim Cole out of this important national security role. I hope the Senate will confirm him quickly this evening.

RECOGNITION OF THE MINORITY LEADER

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Republican leader is recognized.

WELCOMING SENATOR HELLER

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, first, we just swore in our new colleague, DEAN HELLER. The majority leader is giving a reception for him this afternoon. We hope many Members will take the opportunity to go by and welcome him to the Senate.

GAS PRICES

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I am going to devote my leader time this afternoon to an issue which may not be on the Democrats' legislative agenda this week but which is certainly on the minds of most Americans every day. I am referring, of course, to the high cost of gasoline. All across the country, people are suffering from the runup in gas prices we have seen over the past few months. It is squeezing family budgets, tightening margins at already struggling small businesses, and it poses a mortal threat to any economic rebound.

This is a critical issue. Americans are looking for answers. Yet all they are getting from the President and the Democratic leaders in Congress are gimmicks and deflection. We have seen

this before. Every time gas prices go up, Democrats claim there is nothing they can do about it. Then they propose something completely counterproductive just to quiet their critics. This time, it is a tax increase. That is the Democratic response to high gas prices—a tax hike.

Well, the first thing to say about this proposal is that it will not do a thing to lower gas prices—not a thing. In fact, raising taxes on American energy production will increase the price of gas. Oh, and it would also make us even more dependent on foreign sources of oil. Now, that is not my view. That is the view of the independent Congressional Research Service, which concluded in March that the Democrats' proposed tax increase on energy production would "make oil and natural gas more expensive for U.S. consumers and likely increase foreign dependence." It sounds like a brilliant strategy to me.

Beyond raising taxes, Democrats insist there is nothing they can do about gas prices, but I think most Americans feel differently. I think most Americans believe it is time to stop talking about what we cannot do and start talking about what we can do. If the President and Democrats in Congress are truly serious about lowering gas prices and making us less dependent on foreign sources of oil, here are a few suggestions.

First, if ever there was a moment to develop our resources here at home, it is now. For decades, Democrats have resisted efforts to tap our American resources. Then when gas prices go up, they tell us how many years it would take to get the product to market. It is time to take this excuse off the table by breaking the cycle.

Second, Democrats need to allow energy companies to cut through the bureaucratic redtape that prevents companies that are authorized to explore here from getting to work and putting thousands of Americans back to work.

Third, they need to stop penalizing America's producers with new fees and threats of tax hikes, which only drive energy companies overseas and help our foreign competitors and create jobs in places such as Venezuela. And they need to call an end to the anti-energy crusade of the EPA.

In short, Democrats need to throw away the old playbook—throw that one away—and face this crisis with a new kind of creativity, independence, and common sense that the American people are demanding.

Democrats need to stop deflecting attention from their own complicity in our Nation's overdependence on foreign oil. They need to stop paying lip service to the need for American exploration while quietly supporting efforts to suppress it. They need to end an approach that has not changed, frankly, since the days of Jim Carter. Just like Car-

ter before them, today's Democrats are using the crisis of the moment as an excuse to push their own vision of the future with a "windfall profits tax" on energy companies. And just like Carter before them, they have rightly been accused of bringing a BB gun to the war.

This is a serious crisis. It is time for serious solutions—solutions that create jobs instead of moving them overseas, solutions that decrease our dependence on foreign sources of oil rather than increase it, solutions that offer relief rather than mere rhetoric.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

MORNING BUSINESS

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, the Senate will be in a period of morning business for debate only until 4:30 p.m., with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

The Senator from Arizona.

WELCOMING SENATOR HELLER

Mr. KYL. Mr. President, I note that Vice President BIDEN was just here in the Chamber for the swearing-in of our newest Senator, DEAN HELLER from Nevada. I add my congratulations to now-Senator HELLER joining this body.

REDUCING THE DEBT

Mr. KYL. Vice President BIDEN has been kind enough to host discussions—starting last week and going into this week and perhaps beyond—with Members of the Senate and the House of Representatives to try to find a way to reduce the huge debt that hangs over the United States, as a prelude, I am sure he would put it, to the Congress acting on the President's request that Congress increase the debt ceiling.

There have been generally two ways suggested on how to deal with our debt. Many Democrats believe the wealthy in the United States do not pay enough taxes, and therefore one way to reduce the debt is for taxes to be increased, especially on the wealthy. Most Republicans believe that is a bad idea, that since debt is our problem and we got into debt because we have been spending too much, the better way for us to deal with the problem is to begin reducing our spending and to make sure over the years we are able to do that.

There are a couple of interesting things that have just come out in the news recently that I think bear on this argument.

A lot of folks wonder about the debt burden in the United States, and I

think it is useful to point out the fact that last week the Wall Street Journal reported that the Joint Committee on Taxation found that “the percentage of U.S. households paying no federal income tax . . . reached 51% for [the year] 2009.” I think that is the first time in the history of America that over half of Americans didn’t pay Federal income taxes. I do not think that is a good thing. While certainly people in the lower income brackets are not able to pay very much in the way of taxes, I think even a very small amount, an affordable amount, would be appropriate so everybody has what they call skin in the game, so everybody understands the relationship between the burdens and the benefits of government. I would not impose a significant tax on the lower half or certainly not the lower 10 percent, but I think it is important for all Americans to know we all have a stake in this, and that more than half of the people cannot just expect the so-called wealthy to bear all of the burdens of government.

But the question remains, are American wealthy taxpayers undertaxed? I think a useful measure to look at here is a comparison with other countries, for example. The OECD countries—which stands for Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development—are generally regarded as the most advanced economies in the world, and the United States is one of those countries.

A study that is based on 2008 statistics found that the highest earning 10 percent of the U.S. population paid the largest share among 24 countries examined, even after adjusting for their relatively higher incomes, and it concluded:

“Taxation is most progressively distributed in the United States,” the OECD concluded.

The bottom line here is that for a country to be competitive, the people who provide the capital for job creation, for economic growth, have to have some capital remaining after they have earned it in order to invest that capital, return it to their businesses, hire more people, be more productive, create more wealth, and thereby provide for the families of the people who own the businesses and, by earning more income, increase the amount the Federal Government and the State government take in as revenues.

Republicans are very happy to concede it would be helpful if the government has more revenues in order to help close this debt gap we have. The question is how we get more revenues. We believe more revenues are a function of a growing economy. Here too some statistics that just came out over the weekend, I believe it was, demonstrated that we can actually delay the increase in the debt ceiling by some period of time because revenues to the Federal Treasury have been a

little higher than previously expected. Why? Because the economy grew more than expected, and as people made more money, they therefore paid more in withholding and in Federal income taxes. That is the way for the government to get more revenue—for the economy to do better, for Americans to do better.

So if you tax more the people who are the ones likely to do the investing into businesses, will you get more investment? Will you get more Federal revenue? Well, you will get a little bit more to begin with, but in the long run, you will get less. One of the reasons it is not a good idea to tax more the very people whom we are referring to in this study is because half of all the small business income reported is reported as part of the highest income tax bracket for individuals. In other words, small businesses do not pay as corporations, they pay as individuals, and when a small businessman has to report his earnings, he reports all of the income from his enterprise. A lot of that is business expense, but that is how he has to report it. So you are talking here about half of all that income reported being taxed at a higher rate, if, in fact, the President and some of his colleagues have their way. That will reduce the amount of investment and growth in the economy and thereby make it harder for us to pay off this large debt.

The advocates of a gigantic tax increase are really very shortsighted, therefore, in assuming that if they raise tax rates, they are going to get more revenues. That is what they tried to do in Japan during the late 1990s. It did not work out. Japan went back into a deep recession, and it is not going to be possible for them to generate existing revenue with their higher tax rates.

The way you get robust growth is not with higher tax rates but with lower tax rates. A rapidly expanding economy does create new jobs and income for investment and wealth-creating enterprises, and obviously some of that wealth flows back to the government and can be used to reduce the debt.

But the policy tools we decide upon in these negotiations will have a lot to say about how we are able to reduce the debt and whether part of that will be a result of economic growth in the future. Obviously, the point here is not just to have economic growth so the Federal Government can earn more in income tax revenue but to promote American prosperity and a better future for our families.

So the question is, Will we impose tax hikes that discourage investment and punish job creation or will we make the tax system more efficient and conducive to growth?

I wish to cite a couple of studies to show why it is most important for us to focus on reducing spending rather than raising tax rates, because spend-

ing cuts, not tax hikes, are the best way to close the massive budget gap and help to produce economic growth in our country.

One study was performed by two Harvard economists, Alberto Alesina and Silvia Ardagna. By studying large-scale fiscal adjustments by wealthy developed countries from 1990 to 2007, they determined that “spending cuts are much more effective than tax increases in stabilizing the debt and avoiding economic downturns.” Moreover, they found “several episodes in which spending cuts adopted to reduce deficits have been associated with economic expansions rather than recessions.”

Two economists at Goldman Sachs, Ben Broadbent and Kevin Daly, undertook a similar study and reviewed every major fiscal correction in wealthy nations since 1975. They found:

Decisive budgetary adjustments that have focused on reducing government expenditures have (i) been successful in correcting fiscal imbalances; (ii) typically boosted growth; and (iii) resulted in significant bond and equity market outperformance. Tax-driven fiscal adjustments, by contrast, typically fail to correct fiscal imbalances and are damaging for growth.

So reducing spending was the way not only to reduce the debt of the country, but it also boosted growth; whereas, tax-driven adjustments had exactly the opposite effect and failed to correct fiscal imbalances and were damaging for growth.

A final study—and I think this is interesting because it focuses on what we think are big-spending countries. It is by the same two economists, Broadbent and Daly. They pointed specifically to Ireland, Sweden, and Canada. They pointed out cases driven by cuts in public spending. Sweden, in particular, which is famous for being a generous welfare state, was able to trim the size of government substantially—all of which suggests to me that if Stockholm can do it, Washington ought to be able to do it today.

Reducing the short-term deficit and stabilizing the long-term debt are critically important to American prosperity and living standards, and if you do it by reducing spending rather than increasing tax rates, you can also have the additional benefit of increasing prosperity not just for businesses and families but for the U.S. Government, which would then make more in terms of income tax revenues.

The bottom line here is when we work to forge this bipartisan compromise that everybody is looking to us to reach, we should bear these basics principles in mind: Cutting spending, not raising taxes, is the answer.

I ask unanimous consent to have two documents printed in the RECORD. The first one was published in the National Review Online, dated May 9, called “The Future of American Prosperity,”

which I authored. The second is a publication which was in weekly-standard.com on May 16, by Frederick Kagan and Kimberly Kagan.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

[From the National Review Online, May 9, 2011]

THE FUTURE OF AMERICAN PROSPERITY
(By Senator Jon Kyl)

SPENDING CUTS, NOT TAX INCREASES, ARE THE SOLUTION TO OUR DEBT CRISIS

Members of both parties agree that Washington's present fiscal course is dangerously unsustainable. We're now borrowing 40 cents for every dollar we spend. This profligacy continues to weaken the dollar, threatening its status as the global reserve currency and fostering anxiety in the bond markets. Last month, Standard & Poor's delivered a sobering wake-up call when it revised its outlook on the U.S. long-term credit rating from "stable" to "negative."

No question, our accounts must be brought into balance—but not at the expense of economic growth. Those who advocate gigantic tax increases are short-sighted. Amid a sluggish recovery, abrupt tax hikes could drive the economy back into recession. (That's what happened in Japan during the late 1990s.) Moreover, it will be impossible to generate sufficient revenue without robust growth. A rapidly expanding economy creates new jobs and income for investment in wealth-creating enterprises. Some of that wealth flows back to the government and can be used to reduce the debt.

The policy tools we use to restore fiscal stability will go a long way toward shaping the future of American prosperity and promoting the economic expansion we need. Will we impose tax hikes that discourage investment and punish job creation? Or will we make the tax system more efficient and conducive to growth?

As these and other questions are debated, policymakers should consult the evidence from other industrialized countries, which overwhelmingly suggests that spending cuts, not tax hikes, are the best way to close massive budget gaps and help produce economic growth. Indeed, after studying large-scale fiscal adjustments by wealthy, developed countries between 1970 and 2007, Harvard economists Alberto Alesina and Silvia Ardagna determined that "spending cuts are much more effective than tax increases in stabilizing the debt and avoiding economic downturns." Moreover, they found "several episodes in which spending cuts adopted to reduce deficits have been associated with economic expansions rather than recessions."

Goldman Sachs economists Ben Broadbent and Kevin Daly recently undertook a similar study that reviewed every major fiscal correction in wealthy nations since 1975. They found that "decisive budgetary adjustments that have focused on reducing government expenditures have (i) been successful in correcting fiscal imbalances; (ii) typically boosted growth; and (iii) resulted in significant bond and equity market outperformance. Tax-driven fiscal adjustments, by contrast, typically fail to correct fiscal imbalances and are damaging for growth."

Broadbent and Daly pointed to successful fiscal adjustments in Ireland (1987–89), Sweden (1994–98), and Canada (1994–97). In each case, the adjustment was driven primarily by

cuts in public spending. Sweden in particular is famous for the generosity of its welfare state. Yet, when faced with a crisis, Swedish officials were able to trim the size of government substantially. If Stockholm could do it back in the mid-1990s, Washington can do it today.

Reducing the short-term deficit and stabilizing the long-term debt are critically important to American prosperity and living standards. But studies show that if fiscal consolidation relies heavily on tax increases, it will stifle economic growth and prove counterproductive.

This is the lesson we must apply as we try to forge a genuine bipartisan compromise to deal with our debt crisis.

[From WeeklyStandard.com, May 16, 2011]

BIN LADEN IS DEAD . . .

BUT AL QAEDA ISN'T—WE SHOULD BUILD ON OUR SUCCESS IN ABBOTTABAD BY REDOUBLING OUR EFFORTS TO DEFEAT HIS MOVEMENT

(By Frederick W. Kagan and Kimberly Kagan)

Osama bin Laden's killing was a great moment for America and for decent people around the world. But allowing the euphoria of that moment to drive us to irresponsible decisions in South Asia would be devastating to America's interests and security. Al Qaeda has not yet been dismantled or defeated.

Osama bin Laden's death has no implications for the number of American or international forces in Afghanistan, for their mission, or for the timeline for their reduction. George W. Bush sent forces into Afghanistan not to kill bin Laden, but to oust al Qaeda from its safe haven there, defeat that organization, and create political conditions that would preclude its return to Afghanistan. Barack Obama reaffirmed that mission in his December 2009 speech setting out the current strategy. He chose a counter-insurgency approach because a return of the Taliban regime to Afghanistan would allow al Qaeda to re-establish safe havens there, whether drawing on the historical friendliness between the two or the inability of the Taliban to prevent their return to the country. Furthermore, the protracted, virulent insurgency creates opportunities for al Qaeda-linked Pakistani proxies such as the Haqqani network to invigorate international terrorist groups and use them in the fight in Afghanistan. President Obama has been pursuing the right strategy, and the forces the United States and its international partners have committed to executing it are—just barely—adequate to achieve it.

The outcome of the war in Afghanistan hangs in the balance. American forces and their allies made dramatic gains last year, clearing the Taliban out of safe havens throughout southern Afghanistan, their heartland. Eastern Afghanistan, where al Qaeda-linked groups have a stronger presence, has also seen considerable progress. Contrary to some media reporting, neither al Qaeda nor Lashkar-e-Taiba has established safe havens in the wake of the withdrawal of U.S. forces from isolated river valleys in Kunar Province. In fact, a series of offensive operations in the valleys and the province has inflicted great harm on elements of those organizations. Kunar's capital, Asadabad, is a growing and increasingly thriving town, as we saw on a recent visit. And Afghan Army troops have remained in some of the outposts from which U.S. forces withdrew, demonstrating their determination to control their own territory.

Although al Qaeda has not reestablished sanctuaries in Afghanistan, it has not been for lack of trying. U.S. forces only recently killed a senior Afghan al Qaeda official in Kunar, and there is ample evidence that al Qaeda and Lashkar-e-Taiba, among other Islamist groups, would welcome the opportunity to set themselves up in a lawless Afghanistan once again. The need to help Afghans establish a state that can prevent the reemergence of terrorist sanctuaries remains after bin Laden's death, and the current strategy, adequately resourced, is the only way to achieve that goal. Calling for accelerating the withdrawal is tantamount to declaring that Afghanistan has become irrelevant with bin Laden's death and that succeeding there is no longer important for America's security.

Consequently, there is a great deal of fighting ahead. Continued military engagements are needed to make precarious improvements enduring and handle other challenges. The enemy will work hard this year to retake its lost sanctuaries in the south, to conduct spectacular attacks in Kabul and elsewhere, and to strengthen its remaining safe havens in the east. Our forces will try to hold and expand security gains in the south and make progress in the east, but conditions are not set for any major reductions in those forces.

If there is cause for cautious optimism in Afghanistan, there are ample grounds for pessimism on the other fronts in the struggle with militant Islamism. Bin Laden's presence in Pakistan has once again concentrated the minds of Americans on the fact that Pakistan's leadership has yet to come to consensus about the need to combat and defeat militant Islamist groups within Pakistan's borders. Nor has the United States developed any real strategy for addressing this challenge. We can hardly expand the campaign of targeted strikes further, particularly after the recent raid deep into Pakistani territory. And the drone campaign will not defeat the virulent terrorist groups it is attacking. Overreacting to suspicions of Pakistani complicity in bin Laden's presence in Abbottabad by suspending all aid or military ties or by taking other drastic actions would make it much harder, not easier, to operate against the terrorists who threaten us.

On the contrary, withdrawing forces from Afghanistan and cutting all aid to Pakistan would merely reinforce two of the most prevalent conspiracy theories in South Asia—that the United States will always abandon those who rely on it, and that we were only there to get bin Laden anyway. We should, instead, build on the symbolic victory of killing bin Laden by following through with the president's strategy to dismantle and defeat the militant Islamist groups supported as proxies by some in the Pakistani security apparatus. Only by defeating those proxies can we reasonably hope to compel Pakistan to reevaluate its security interests and develop a policy to oppose and suppress all militant Islamists operating within its borders.

But al Qaeda has not confined itself to its sanctuaries in Pakistan and Afghanistan. Al Qaeda thrives in political weakness and has been in the process of expanding around the globe. The core al Qaeda group of which bin Laden was the head (often referred to as Al Qaeda Central) has long had at best only a tenuous control over the operations of its dispersed franchises. That control rested partly on resources Al Qaeda Central directed, partly on the value of its recognition of a particular group as worthy of the al

Qaeda brand, but largely on the symbolic importance of the charismatic bin Laden. Bin Laden's likely successor, Egyptian doctor Ayman al-Zawahiri, is far less charismatic. His accession to the leadership role could prompt a competition between Al Qaeda Central and its franchises over which group really is at the center of the movement. Such competitions, unfortunately, unfold in the form of spectacular attacks, particularly those conducted on the territory of Western states.

Al Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula (AQAP), in Yemen, is the most active and perhaps the most dangerous al Qaeda franchise in the world. The Arab Spring has reached Yemen with a vengeance—massive protests have led to the defection of elements of the Yemeni military, with the result that armed forces are concentrating for potential civil war in and around the capital and elsewhere in the country. Attempts to broker a negotiated departure for Yemen's hated president, Ali Abdullah Saleh, have broken down. It is far from clear that any such agreement would keep the peace there for very long in any case. Already Saleh has brought back to his capital some of the elite, U.S.-trained Special Forces units supposedly dedicated to the fight against AQAP. As the work of Katherine Zimmerman at AEI's Critical Threats Project has shown, almost any likely scenario going forward will give AQAP more freedom to train, plan, stage, and conduct attacks from increasingly lawless tribal areas in which it has considerable local support. The combination of Yemen's slide toward state failure and bin Laden's death could create a tremendous opportunity for AQAP. His death may also lead to an increase in AQAP's efforts to conduct spectacular attacks against the United States and the West.

Another al Qaeda affiliate already has control over large portions of a state: Al Shabab is the de facto government of much of southern Somalia outside of Mogadishu. It has not been formally recognized as an al Qaeda franchise, but its ties with AQAP are long and deep, and its ideology closely mirrors al Qaeda's. Shabab is kept from controlling all of southern and central Somalia only by the presence of peacekeepers from Uganda and Burundi, who have been barely able to hold parts of the capital. Shabab is unlikely to suffer at all from bin Laden's death, but it may see a chance—or feel the need—to expand the reach of its strikes in sympathetic retaliation.

Al Qaeda in Iraq, fortunately, remains relatively ineffective, despite efforts to revive itself as American forces withdraw. But the continued presence even of American military trainers in Iraq after the end of this year remains in doubt, and it is not clear that the Iraqi military on its own will be able to maintain the necessary degree of pressure on that al Qaeda franchise. If the complete withdrawal of American forces now underway leads to the explosion of ethnic conflict between Iraqi Arabs and Kurds, as some analysts fear, Al Qaeda in Iraq could find fertile ground to reestablish itself, undoing the progress we have made since 2006.

A protracted stalemate in Libya could also set conditions for al Qaeda groups to pose again as the only reliable allies of eastern fighters feeling abandoned by the United States and the West. Although the current Libyan resistance leadership is not penetrated by al Qaeda or supportive of that organization or its ideology, eastern Libya is the area that has produced the most al

Qaeda fighters in that country and that has the conditions most conducive to the injection of al Qaeda's ideas and leaders.

More remote scenarios could see the rise of al Qaeda franchises or fellow travelers in Egypt, elsewhere in North Africa, the Levant, or Equatorial Africa, but there is no need to belabor the point. The struggle with al Qaeda, to say nothing of the larger struggle against militant Islamism generally, is far from over. Clear and present dangers are, in fact, emerging. It can be tempting to argue that these threats merely show the wisdom of withdrawing from Afghanistan, which is not now a center of al Qaeda activity, to focus on more pressing problems elsewhere. We must resist that temptation. Our struggle against Al Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula will not be helped by our giving its affiliates and allies free rein in Afghanistan and returning Taliban leader Mullah Omar, whom all al Qaeda affiliates recognize as "the leader of the faithful," to a position of power.

Success in Afghanistan and Iraq remains vital. American withdrawal from either commitment will be taken throughout the Islamist community as a sign of weakness and indecision. But success in those two theaters is not enough. This moment in the war with militant Islamism is the time to take stock of our global strategy and to develop coherent approaches to the dangers already visible on the horizon. No one wants to invade Yemen, Somalia, Libya, or any other country. But the strategies we have been relying on in Libya and Yemen are failing, and we have never had a strategy for Somalia. The United States must seek every possible way of averting the dangers of stalemate, state collapse, and the triumph of al Qaeda groups, preferably without deploying more of our own forces.

It may be that, in the end, America simply cannot be secure if terrorist groups with international ambitions have uncontested control over sanctuaries and resources. But the U.S. government has never yet focused its attention fully on these challenges, let alone focused resources on them. It is past time to do so. Those sincerely concerned with America's security should be demanding that kind of commitment and should reject utterly the notion that bin Laden's death will allow us to declare "mission accomplished" and withdraw from the Middle East, and the world.

Mr. KYL. Mr. President, since my time is about expired, I will say this is one of the best statements I have seen recently, by Frederick and Kimberly Kagan, where they write about the result of the death of bin Laden, not offering an excuse to end the war in Afghanistan or our other efforts against terrorists but, rather, that success will come to us when we understand the nature of the threat and maintain our efforts to root it out wherever it may be, whether that be in Afghanistan, Iraq, Pakistan, Yemen, Somalia, or wherever. I think it is an excellent piece. I commend it to my colleagues as suggesting the way forward as we continue to fight the radical Islamists who would continue to visit ill on the United States and other western powers.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Florida is recognized.

FLORIDA VOTING

Mr. NELSON of Florida. Mr. President, I want to call to the attention of the Senate the fact that a number of State legislatures, including our State legislature in Florida, have been enacting election law bills that severely constrict the right of the people to express their vote.

This has just occurred in the State of Florida, with the legislature adjourning in the early morning hours of Saturday, enacting a bill that has been sent to the Governor that would make it harder for the people of Florida to vote, harder for them to have their vote counted, and harder for the people to be able to register to vote.

Common sense would tell you what we ought to be doing is exactly the opposite—that we ought to be making things easier to vote, and especially in a State such as ours, which went through that awful experience in November of 2000, when there was so much chaos, not only in the voting in the Presidential election but then in the counting of the votes. Of course, we all know how that ended up—Bush v. Gore in the U.S. Supreme Court, which stopped the recount that was proceeding.

Because of that experience, to the credit of the State legislature, they started to make voting easier. For example, instead of just voting on election day, they had a 2-week period for early voting—something that other States have been doing for some period of time, so that people could go to designated polling places prior to election day. It certainly made it a lot easier on the supervisors of elections, the very people who are charged with the responsibility of registering voters and counting votes, because it spread the amount of people coming in to vote over time, so that all of them weren't there just within a 12-hour period on election day. This has turned out to be so popular in Florida that half of the voters in the last two elections voted prior to election day.

Well, can you believe that the State legislature has seen fit to cut the 14-day early vote period back to 8, under the guise, well, we are going to make the amount of hours the same by giving the supervisors of elections discretion so that they could increase the voting days on early votes from 8 to 12 hours? But that is a ruse, because that means the election supervisors are going to have to pay time and a half, and those election supervisors are under the same kind of fiscal constraints that all of the other levels of government are right now and, as a result, what is going to happen is the voting hours are not going to be extended, and the State legislature has just constricted the number of voting days from 14 down to 8—and, by the way, they didn't let it run right up to the day before the election; they

backed it off several days before the election, which would be the last day of early voting.

Why, when we want to make it easier to vote? Well, doesn't the legislature—and I hope the Governor, who has this bill coming to him—understand that it is a tremendous convenience to senior citizens to make it easier for them, instead of having to stand in a long line on election day, that over a 2-week period they can go and vote in a designated place?

Is there some reason they are trying to make it harder for senior citizens to vote? Well, it could be a lot of politics in this, but the fact is they are making it harder to vote, when in fact it ought to be the opposite.

I wish I could report to the Senate that that was the only thing they have done, but it is not. They made it harder to register to vote. As a matter of fact, well-respected organizations, such as the League of Women Voters, for years and years have taken it as their responsibility to go out and try to register people to vote. The League of Women Voters is a nonpartisan organization, which has as its sole goal to try to promote activities that promote our democracy. Here is what they did. They said if you go out and register people to vote, and under current law, there is a period of something like 1½ weeks to 2 weeks that you can turn in the names you have registered—no, no.

This time, what the legislature has done is said if you don't turn those new registration forms in within 48 hours, you are going to be subject to a fine and possibly a criminal penalty. And the President of the League of Women Voters of Florida, Diedre McNabb, has said, in effect, what that means is that they will not put that onus on their members of a fine and a criminal penalty and, in effect, they will stop registering people ahead of time.

What the election laws ought to do is exactly the opposite. We ought to have laws that encourage the registration of voters and try to get more people to participate. But that is not what the Florida legislature has done. It has done exactly the opposite.

I wish I could report to the Senate that was the only thing they have done. But they did more. For four decades, Florida has had a law, in a highly mobile society, if you have moved and you go on election day to cast your vote, and your registration address is different than the address that you show, for example, where you registered to vote years ago—maybe even a year ago—but in the meantime you have moved and your documentation—say, your driver's license—shows your new address, for four decades the law of Florida has said that a voter can change their address in the polling place to update that record, showing proper identification of who they are and that their signature matches.

Not so now. The legislature of Florida has just changed the law that if your address or your name changes—what happens if you got married in the last year and now your name doesn't match your registration name, but you still want to vote? What has the legislature of Florida done? They are going to require that you not cast a ballot. You are going to have to cast a provisional ballot, and you are going to have to have your authenticity certified after the fact.

The experience with provisional ballots in the last Presidential election in Florida, in 2008, was that of the over 35,000 ballots cast, 17,000—half of them—were not counted.

Who are the people who have been operating and have benefited by that law in Florida for four decades? They have been people who have gotten married and their name has changed. They have been people in the mobile society in which we live who have moved and bought a new house or moved into a new apartment. In other words, all of us—we and our neighbors.

Who else especially might have been the reason for the legislature of Florida to change this four decades-old law? The last Presidential election, college students in Florida voted in record numbers because college students in Florida in the town of their college went down where they had their registration. Yet their identification showed their address as their parents' home, not the registration address they had registered in their college town.

That is not making it easier to vote. That is not encouraging college students to vote. That is doing exactly the opposite. That is suppressing the vote.

What I am reporting to the Senate has been widely commented on in Florida in almost every editorial page in the State of Florida, with the bottom-line conclusion of what I have just said: It is trying to suppress the vote by making it harder to vote, harder to register to vote, and harder to have one's vote counted as it was intended.

I have written the Governor, and I have asked the Governor to consider all these things. It is widely commented in the Florida press that the Governor will sign the bill, thus constricting, restricting—whatever word you want to use—the right of the people to vote. If the Governor does sign the bill or lets it go into law without his signature, then our only other mechanism at this point, since there are 5 counties in Florida's 67 counties that are under a watch list under the civil rights legislation of the Voting Rights Act of 1965—it is my intention to encourage the Department of Justice, the Civil Rights Division, to examine this legislation with regard to the Voting Rights Act. Preparatory to that, I had sent a letter to Thomas Perez, the Assistant Attorney General

of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice, alerting him to this fact.

I have quoted in that letter several supervisors of election, both Democrats and Republicans, who have said that cutting the early voting period from 14 days to 8 will shrink poll access by 50 percent and disenfranchise a significant number of voters. That is what the supervisors of election, the elected officials in each of the counties, were telling me.

I wish to quote a Republican supervisor of election, Deborah Clark, in Pinellas County, which is the county of St. Petersburg and Clearwater, FL. This is what she said:

Not allowing address or name change changes on election day will create an undue burden on eligible voters.

She continues:

It will also result in long lines at the polls and discourage many voters from voting.

It is self-evident, and this is an assault upon our democracy that should not be tolerated. But it happened and it happened in the last week of the legislative session. I hope—I hope—there will be such an outcry that this legislative policy gets reversed.

I yield the floor.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Nebraska.

HONORING OUR ARMED FORCES

SPECIALIST JOSEPH CEMPER

Mr. JOHANNES. Mr. President, I rise today to remember a fallen hero, U.S. Army SPC Joseph Cemper. Specialist Cemper was based in eastern Afghanistan, in the area east of Kabul bordering Pakistan. This area is one of the areas where the fighting in the Afghan war has been the most intense.

Specialist Cemper was serving with the 101st Special Troops Battalion of the 101st Airborne Division, one of the Army's most elite units. He and four fellow American soldiers were killed in a suicide attack that ultimately took 10 lives.

Specialist Cemper had a long desire to serve his country, and was rightfully proud of his commitment to defend and to protect.

He is mourned by his parents, three sisters, two brothers, a fiancée, and an infant son Liam. I know his family is proud of him, and will always remember his spirit, enthusiasm, competitiveness, and can-do attitude. They are the type of American family that constitute the pillars of our Armed Forces, and are the reason our Nation remains safe from its enemies.

Joseph's father, SFC Eugene Cemper, has made service to the Army his life's work. As an Army recruiter, Sergeant Cemper had the unique experience of personally recruiting his son into the Army.

As a father and a leader, Sergeant Cemper inspired both Specialist

Cemper and his younger brother, PFC Noah Cemper, to wear the uniform of an American soldier with pride.

The Cemper family laid their son to rest in Papillion, NE, on April 29, 2011. Specialist Cemper returned to his birthplace with valor and honor having been awarded both the Purple Heart and the Bronze Star Medals.

I know I speak for all Nebraskans, and all Americans, when I say that despite our sorrow, we are deeply honored to have him.

I cannot imagine the pain the Cemper family is suffering today. The loss unexpectedly of a son in combat is one of the most extreme trials a parent or loved one could face. I know, at this point, my words cannot ease their hurt.

So I will end this tribute by saying what Specialist Cemper held close to his heart, so close that his family has inscribed it in a scrapbook which will one day be seen by his son. It reads:

When I stand before God at the end of my life, I hope that I would not have a single bit of talent left, and I could say that I used everything you gave me.

I hope he rests knowing that he died the bravest and most honorable death an American could. May God bless the Cemper family, their father and son still serving in the Armed Forces, and all our fighting men and women in harm's way.

I yield the floor. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that I be allowed to speak for up to 15 minutes.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

SOUTHEASTERN TORNADOES

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I want to discuss today the tragedy that has occurred in Alabama and other States across the Southeast as a result of the tornadoes that hit our region in a 24-hour period between 8 a.m. in the morning on April 27 and 8 a.m. in the morning on April 28. The National Weather Service estimates there were a total of 312 tornadoes across the Southeast. The worst outbreak previously reported occurred in April of 1974, and that was with 148 tornadoes.

The Birmingham-Tuscaloosa F4 tornado had a path with a maximum width of 1.5 miles and a length, from the Tuscaloosa to Birmingham area, of 80 miles. It stayed on the ground almost continuously—very unusual. It

went through a number of populated areas, and that tornado alone resulted in 65 deaths. Alabama's current death toll is nearing 250, with thousands injured. Frankly, after seeing the damage to the affected areas, I am amazed we did not lose more lives. As I talked to mayors and others on the ground, they said the same thing.

I talked to Mayor Gunnin in Hackleburg today. I believe he was the one who told me there were about 18 killed, and he was pleased it was that low. They were hammered with an F5, the highest, strongest tornado, which basically destroyed his whole town. All his businesses, including the distribution center for a jeans manufacturing company, have been destroyed. It is very difficult for them to pay for anything. Their businesses that pay a sales tax that goes to the city have been damaged, and he has made the point—and it is a good example—that he, in this little town of Hackleburg, had emergency funds, but they have been on massive overtime for the week since the event and other costs are arising and it is very difficult for him.

I want to thank President Obama for the quick response he made to the tragedy. The people of Alabama appreciated the fact that he, and later Cabinet members, actually visited some of the devastated areas. We appreciate the quick action in declaring Alabama and other areas major disaster areas. That does help in a lot of different ways.

I also had the opportunity to be with him in Tuscaloosa when he came there. Mrs. Obama, of course, did a beautiful job also of talking to the people who have lost so much and comforting them. Secretary Napolitano came on Sunday to the Pratt City area in Birmingham, along with several other Cabinet members. I think they also got a real appreciation for the severity of the damage and reassured Alabamians that help would be on the way in an appropriate fashion.

It is certain that it will take, for a number of our communities, an integrated, coordinated State, local, and Federal response to get these communities back on track. That is why we have a Federal Emergency Management Agency. That is why we have monies in the budget for these kinds of things, although this one is a particularly damaging event, I have to say.

As the ranking member on the Budget Committee, I am aware we have to be careful about how we spend money. We certainly don't have any money—not a dime—to waste.

I have to tell you, every time I have been there or I have talked to people on the ground, they tell me how impressed they are with the volunteers who are arriving from all over the country, bringing food and water and helping people who are already working. They are bringing chainsaws to

help clear roads and highways and driveways to people's homes. That has been real encouraging, and it makes me very proud to represent a group of people who have the integrity and the work ethic and the determination to overcome tragedy. It has been encouraging to me.

Having walked through the devastated neighborhoods less than 24 hours after the tornado, I can tell you people were stunned at the damages, at the complete loss of homes and belongings. Many of the people believed themselves lucky to be alive. Their entire roof was gone, most of the walls were gone, and yet somehow they came out with minor injuries or less severe injuries. Others, of course, did not survive, and others received severe injuries. It is always amazing to me in a tornado situation how a house can be just obliterated, and persons can come out of it with not too severe an injury, and for that they were expressing great appreciation. I think it is a reflection of the faith these individuals have in a higher being who, I think, gives them the courage to go on.

One of the things that is perfectly clear is that housing in some areas will be a critical matter. Many houses are totally destroyed—nothing but a concrete slab left. Of course, many mobile homes or manufactured homes were completely lost. They are not on a slab, so those homes have been rolled over and completely demolished or disappeared basically. So we are going to need to work in a way that FEMA has done before to provide emergency housing.

In the larger areas where there is more housing around—there is vacant housing in some of our areas—they ought to be moved promptly into that vacant housing that currently exists. In some areas there is just not housing for individuals to move into. I was told today by two mayors that they have people still in recreational areas—gyms and that kind of thing—using those as a place for shelter. We are definitely not where we need to be.

Yet some FEMA trailers are being moved into areas of the State. That may have to be done. I wish we could avoid that step, but in many areas it cannot be avoided—avoided in the sense that, to me, the best way to handle a situation where a person's home is gone is to help that person move as quickly as possible into what could be a permanent residence—either through rental or purchase. The longer that person is in a temporary residence the more likely they are also often receiving Federal assistance. As long as they are in this temporary limbo circumstance, their life is less stable, and the Federal Government is spending more money, money that could be utilized better if we can avoid spending it for temporary housing so it could be used to facilitate permanent housing.

That would be a more effective policy, but it is not easy. In some instances, it cannot be done.

Initial reports indicate that Alabama's losses may rival or surpass its \$1 billion loss in Hurricane Katrina. That is a factor we do not normally expect from tornadoes. We will wrestle with those costs as we go forward. But dollar losses are nothing compared to the severe loss of life. We have a record-setting loss of life.

Going through the Rosedale Court area of Tuscaloosa, AL, seeing first responders and volunteers frantically trying to help—in particular, they were searching for a missing young girl. They kept on and there were a large number of people there throughout this area where metal was twisted and roofs were gone and no walls, hardly, were standing. Materials were 3 feet deep on the floor, of plywood, roofing and the like. They found that young child, but unfortunately it was too late and her life had been lost.

That is the kind of thing that has been happening throughout the State. Our people are responding with courage and dignity and hard work. Volunteers from all over the country and all over Alabama are assisting. I was with a seafood group Friday, down from Bayou La Batre, AL, the seafood capital, in many ways, of the Gulf of Mexico, and they had been helped so many times over the decades because of various hurricanes that came through, they wanted to help so they brought large amounts of shrimp and seafood and their cookers. They were going to Tuscaloosa or some of the other areas and serving people out there who were volunteering or were emergency responders who were working to help in that neighborhood. That is the kind of thing that makes us proud and makes us all recognize the good that we have in our people.

I wished to share these thoughts and to note I have filed a resolution that deals with this disaster, expressing the condolences of the United States and noting many of the factors that are relevant to this damage and I will be asking the Senate agree to that. I note it has been cosponsored by Senator SHELBY, my colleague from Alabama, Senators ALEXANDER and CORKER from Tennessee, Senators COCHRAN and WICKER from Mississippi, Senators CHAMBLISS and ISAKSON from Georgia, and I understand others are signing on as we proceed.

I thank the administration for helping to respond properly. I thank the volunteers from all over America who have come to our State to assist those in need.

I yield the floor and suggest the absence of a quorum.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that I be able to speak as in morning business for up to 15 minutes.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

COLE NOMINATION

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I want to speak in opposition to the nomination of James Cole to be Deputy Attorney General of the United States, on whom we will be voting a little later this afternoon.

Despite President Obama's recess appointment of Mr. Cole, who has had significant opposition in the committee, and was not looking at smooth sailing—I do believe we should oppose his confirmation and his permanent appointment based on some concerns I have with his record, specifically his criminal justice view on the war on terror, which I believe is utterly wrong, and his questionable decisions as an outside consultant for AIG, the big insurance company that had to be bailed out to the tune of, I think, \$170 billion.

He was an independent consultant, supposed to be monitoring that company for other errors they had made previously. So that is a concern to me.

I served 15 years in the Department of Justice—as the U.S. attorney for almost 12, and as an assistant U.S. attorney. I respect the Department. I love the Department of Justice, but I am getting concerned about it. I am not happy with some of the decisions and philosophies that are emanating from the Department. I believe they do not reflect the highest standards and qualities that we expect from that great Department.

This nominee has a lot of good qualities. I believe he has a number of strengths that—has management and some experience in the Department for which I would give him credit. But at this point in history, I believe his approach, particularly to the war on terror, along with the Attorney General's approach to the war on terror are not good. I have just about had enough of them.

I am just going to say this: I am not voting for another nominee—I am not going to vote for this one—who spent their time defending terrorists before they went to the Department. It is all right to defend an unpopular person, but 13 to 16 members of the Department of Justice, political appointees by this administration, have had as their background defending terrorists, including the Solicitor General nomi-

nee who is going to be coming up in committee this week, and also working for or representing the ACLU.

So when we get this much of a tilt in the leadership of the Department, it gives me great concern that the great Department I love and respect is getting off base. So I think it is important to note that right now one of the top priorities at the Department of Justice must be the recent warnings we received that the terrorist groups "almost certainly" will try to avenge the death of Osama bin Laden, and the continuing economic crisis that faces our country.

So I believe the President should be nominating proven prosecutors—prosecutors of terrorists, frankly—for top positions in the law enforcement agency, the U.S. Department of Justice. I do not think we need any more terrorist defense attorneys. When I was the U.S. attorney I hired a lot of assistant U.S. attorneys. I looked for proven prosecutors wherever I could find them. I did not go around to look for people who spent their spare time volunteering to defend terrorists or writing papers defending criminals. That is just the way I see it, frankly. I have to be honest about it.

So we have had this one, we have had that one, we have had another one, and another and another. Now we have 13 to 16 who have been appointed to the Department of Justice who have had this background.

Defending the unpopular is not disqualifying. We voted, and I voted, for a number of people in the Department who have been involved in these kinds of defense efforts, who filed lawsuits against President Bush. They thought they were doing something great. I guess they did not turn down the evidence if it helped in any way lead to the location of Osama bin Laden.

We do have standards about how we should gather evidence, and lines should not be crossed. But that does not mean we are not in a war. It does not mean the people who are attacking us are common criminals who need to be tried in civilian courts. They are at war with us. Bin Laden said he is at war with us. He declared war on us. You do not treat prisoners of wars, captured enemy combatants, like you treat common criminals. This is fundamental.

I served in the Army Reserve a number of years, some of that time as a JAG officer. I taught courses on prisoners of war and how to treat prisoners and the standards of the field manual. I do not claim to be a great expert at it, but I did it. I had some experience in it.

Mr. Cole consistently—and some of these nominees to the Department—takes the view that terrorists are criminals and not unlawful combatants. Let me just say briefly, if a person is caught—a murderer, a rapist, or

virtually any kind of criminal—when they are taken into custody, as the Presiding Officer knows, who was a good prosecutor himself, they have to be—before you can interview them, once they are in custody you have to give them Miranda warnings. That authorizes and tells them—basically tells them: You did not have to make any statements at all. It basically says: If you are an idiot, you will make statements. You are entitled to a lawyer. If you do not have any money, we will appoint you a lawyer. You have to go before a magistrate within a matter of hours. You are entitled to discovery of the government's case in short order, and you are entitled to a speedy trial. You are entitled to prowl around in the government's case and find all of the evidence the government has.

In war, that is not so. A classic case was *Ex parte Quirin* in World War II when German saboteurs were dropped off on our coast from a submarine. They were going to sabotage the United States of America. They were apprehended, taken to military tribunals, tried, and most of them were executed in a matter of months. The case went to the Supreme Court, *Ex parte Quirin*, and was affirmed.

There has never been any doubt that unlawful combatants can be tried for their crimes in military courts. It is done all over the world. It is an established principle.

Now, let's get one thing straight. If you are a lawful combatant, and you are captured on the battlefield—whether you are a Japanese soldier or German soldier or Italian soldier—and you comply with the laws of war and you wear your uniform and you do not attack deliberately men, women, and children, civilians, and try to kill them, and you comply with other rules of war, you cannot be tried. You can just be detained until the war is over, but you do not get lawyers. You do not get trials and discovery and all of that sort of thing. But if in conducting your military campaign you violate the internally respected laws of war, you cannot only be held as a prisoner of war, but the nation that is holding you can try you for violations of the laws of war.

So that is how these 9/11 attackers who did not wear uniforms, who attacked deliberately civilians, are perfectly fit to be tried as war criminals or unlawful combatants. They have announced their intention to destroy the United States, to attack the United States. They have said they are at war with us. But they have done it in an unlawful way, and they can be tried in military commissions. This allows the military to conduct interrogations according to the laws of war over a period of months, years even. Sometimes after months a prisoner will start to talk. You never know why they start talking.

But to deny ourselves the right to allow those kinds of things to happen, to say we have to try these individuals, such as Khalid Sheikh Mohammed, in civilian courts is clearly in error. But that is the Attorney General's position. I asked him about it last week when he testified before the Judiciary Committee. He said: It still remains the policy of the Department of Justice that persons who are arrested as terrorists are presumed to be tried in civilian court, although Congress has passed a law prohibiting moneys to be expended for that, on the 9/11 attackers. The Attorney General is in a huff and said Khalid Sheikh Mohammed will be tried in Guantanamo under military procedures as an unlawful combatant, but he does not like it. That is not his view. It looks like everybody he wants to hire to be in the Department of Justice agrees with that erroneous view.

It is not a close question. This is not a close question. There is no reason a terrorist who is apprehended in the United States ought to be provided lawyers and Miranda warnings. They are combatants. They are not common criminals. Thinking this way has caused dangerous confusion.

As our troops and intelligence community continue to work night and day to keep our country safe, it is imperative that we view the war on terror as a real war and not a criminal matter and regard those who wish to perpetrate terror on this country as enemy combatants, not plain criminals. Like many in the administration, Mr. Cole disagrees.

In 2002, not long after the 9/11 attacks, he wrote an op-ed and published it criticizing then-Attorney General John Ashcroft's decision to try the 9/11 terrorists in military commissions. They researched the law. Attorney General Ashcroft knew what he was doing. They decided they were going to try these individuals by military commissions. He had written an op-ed attacking the Attorney General for it.

So now that is the man we have as the nominee for the Deputy Attorney General of the United States. At his hearing last Congress, Mr. Cole repeated the prevailing and confusing Justice Department position that decisions regarding whether captured terrorists should be tried in civilian courts or before military commissions "should be made on a case-by-case basis based on all of the relevant facts and circumstances available at the time of a suspect's capture." Is this going to happen in Yemen, Afghanistan, Pakistan, wherever else they may be in the United States is not a practical policy because we have to tell the individuals who are making those captures what the rules are. As the Attorney General said, they still adhere to the view that the presumption is, the individual will be tried in civilian

court. Therefore, the presumption is, within a short time of their being taken into custody, they should be given Miranda warnings, offered a lawyer, and set for a preliminary court appearance, which could reveal to all the other terrorists that their partner in war has been captured and allow them to escape.

It is a wrong view, and why they persist in this is beyond my understanding. Congress understands it and the American people do also.

This administration has established a policy that declares there is a presumption of civilian trials and has failed to articulate a clear policy for designating captured terrorists as enemy combatants or criminal defendants. So I remain very unconvinced that the next captured terror suspect will not be given the rights of a common criminal and told he has the right to remain silent to the detriment of crucial intelligence gathering. One of the most significant findings of the 9/11 Commission was that intelligence gathering, intelligence possession about what the enemy is doing is the best way to protect our country, not prosecuting them after the fact. So telling someone they have the right to remain silent and they have a lawyer who is going to insist that they not make any statements, does that help us gather intelligence? If it is required by the U.S. Constitution, we will do it. We will just plain do it, regardless, but it is not required by law, history or the Constitution. Law, history, and the Constitution allow these enemy combatants to be tried in military commissions and they don't have to be given Miranda warnings, which was a court-created rule a number of years ago that never was understood before and is not practiced, to my knowledge, in any other Nation in the whole world. Of course, all this provides poor guidance for our law enforcement, military, and intelligence officers as they go about their efforts, and it is a grievous and dangerous mistake to continue this policy.

It seems to me that Mr. Cole and Attorney General Holder are cut from the same cloth on this issue. I am uneasy about these two individuals holding the top two positions in the Department of Justice. Now the Solicitor General nominee seems to hold similar views and, if confirmed, he will be one of the highest ranking people in the Department. Their policy views appear to control the Department of Defense. In other words, if they say this is the rule, the Department of Defense has to give the Miranda warnings and so forth if they are involved in a capture, and it directly controls the FBI, which is part of the Department of Justice.

As the acting second in command at the Justice Department, Mr. Cole would play a lead role in decision-making in the terror prosecutions throughout the country. The Justice

Department's continued insistence on a presumption of civilian trials for terrorists confirms my concerns that Mr. Cole has adhered to the failed pre-9/11 law enforcement approach to terrorists, an approach the 9/11 Commission and the Nation as a whole recognized was in error and should be changed. I thought we had clearly made that move. Apparently, we haven't.

Also of concern, from 2003 to 2007, Mr. Cole represented a Saudi Prince against insurance carriers and September 11 victims who alleged that the Saudi Prince helped finance terrorists. Reportedly, Mr. Cole's client was linked through Treasury Department documents to the financial support of extremist groups through the Al-Haramain Foundation, a Saudi charity that had diverted funds to al-Qaida before and after 9/11. While attorneys are free to, and should be free to, represent unpopular clients, Mr. Cole is one of a long line of political appointees at the Department of Justice who seem to me to be questionable choices for key posts at the agency that is charged with defending national security, given their choices to represent the very individuals and groups whose goal it is to attack this country or kill Americans.

According to press reports, at least 13 to 16 current Obama administration political appointees, including the current Solicitor General nominee who represented Jose Padilla, previously provided legal counsel to suspected or convicted terrorists and enemy combatants being held in detention or to leftwing organizations that actively sought to reverse Bush administration antiterrorist and detainee policies—policies, I might add, that were a contributing factor to the elimination of bin Laden and many other terrorists throughout this past decade. I am curious to know if they have appointed anyone to key positions in the Department of Justice who has ever prosecuted a terrorist. I would like to know that. Maybe they have. Surely, somebody has, but it looks odd to me that so many of those who have been on the other side have been given top appointments.

On another subject, I am very disappointed with this administration's abdication of its duty to defend congressionally enacted laws, specifically the Defense of Marriage Act. Attorney General Holder has stated President Obama had decided he would no longer defend this law, after reviewing the Attorney General's recommendation and that the law falls under the exception in which "the Department of Justice cannot offer a reasonable argument in defense of the statute's constitutionality."

Well, it has been defended and upheld by a number of courts. How do we waltz in there and decide we are not going to defend a congressionally enacted statute signed into law by President Clin-

ton because they don't like it? That is how it appears to me. The administration apparently came to this conclusion after unilaterally deciding that "classifications based on sexual orientation warrant heightened scrutiny"—in the face of precedent from 11 circuit courts of appeal holding that such classifications should be reviewed under the much lower rational basis standard.

There is a very big difference between refusing to defend a law the administration regards as unconstitutional and refusing to defend a law that the administration opposes on the policy grounds.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator has used 15 minutes.

Mr. SESSIONS. I ask unanimous consent to speak for 1 additional minute.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, the Department of Justice is a great department, and they have some very fine people there. I know Mr. Cole has some good qualities. I supported Mr. Holder for Attorney General, but I am very uneasy about the direction the Department is taking on a large number of issues, and I believe one of the reasons this is happening is because they have surrounded themselves with a group of leftist lawyers, activist lawyers who don't operate according to the more traditional views of law and justice in America. That is my view. Other Senators may disagree. That is my view. I am not able to support Mr. Cole for that and the reasons I have stated. I hope in the future the administration will appoint more nominees that have proven records of independence, effective prosecution, and commitment to law.

I thank the Chair and yield the floor.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Maryland.

Mr. CARDIN. Mr. President, I greatly respect my friend from Alabama, Senator SESSIONS, although I come to a different conclusion in regard to Jim Cole.

I have worked with Jim Cole. I was part of a legislative committee in the House of Representatives that had to do some very difficult work on an ethics issue involving a former Speaker of the House of Representatives. It was a tough decision to bring together six Members of the House—three Democrats, three Republicans—and do it in a way that would maintain the nonpartisan requirements of an ethics investigation. The atmosphere was very partisanly charged around the work we were doing. I know this sounds familiar. People in Maryland and Connecticut and around the Nation understand we are working in a very partisan environment, and they expect the people who are charged at the Department of Justice to work in a nonpartisan manner.

This is not a partisan position, the Deputy Attorney General. This is a person who is working with the Attorney General, the Nation's lawyer. We want somebody who has the experience, someone who has the character and commitment to carry out this very important position.

As I said, I have known Jim Cole. He has 13 years' experience within the Department of Justice. He is a public interest attorney. That has been the largest part of his professional career, the service of public interests. He has always followed policy, not politics. He has a very distinguished career in law, and he is the type of person we like to see within the Department of Justice.

As I pointed out, I worked with Jim Cole when I was in the House of Representatives. We worked on a very difficult investigation involving the former Speaker of the House of Representatives who at the time was Speaker. The chairman of the committee was Porter Goss, a Republican from Florida. Porter Goss's observations of Jim Cole were that he was a brilliant prosecutor, extraordinarily talented. Then Mr. Goss goes on to say that over time, he brought our committee to a bipartisan cooperation which was desperately needed in order to successfully complete that matter. At the end of the day, the six of us came together in a unanimous recommendation. That is the type of person Jim Cole is. He was professional and put policy ahead of politics.

Former Senator John Danforth testified at Jim Cole's confirmation hearing. John Danforth is a former Republican Member of the Senate. He called Jim Cole "a lawyer's lawyer."

Jim Cole has support from Democrats and Republicans. Former high officials within the Department of Justice have all recommended him, including former Deputy Attorneys General appointed by both Republicans and Democrats.

Let me quote one other person I had hoped would be greatly respected on both sides of the aisle; that is, Fred Fielding, the White House counsel for former President George W. Bush. He said Mr. Cole "combines all the qualities you want in a 'citizen public servant'—he understands both sides of the street and is smart and tenacious, and is a person of unquestioned honor and integrity."

That is what Fred Fielding, the former White House counsel to President Bush said, about Jim Cole.

Jim Cole is supported by former RNC officials and DNC officials because he is nonpartisan. He is a nonpartisan person who has put public interest law as his top priority.

I was listening to Senator SESSIONS talk about terrorism. We have had a spirited political debate taking place in this country over the best way to bring terrorists to justice. Mr. Cole,

however, will always put principle over politics, and he is committed to evaluating each case and matter that comes before him based on the facts and the law. That is what you want from the Department of Justice. They are the values and the character we want in our Nation's Department of Justice, and Jim Cole will bring that to the Department of Justice—already brought it to the Department of Justice.

The bottom line about Mr. Cole's approach on fighting terrorists is one I believe we all believe in. We are a nation at war with al-Qaida, the Taliban, and their associated forces. We need tough, aggressive, and flexible policies that recognize the paramount importance of providing the President with the ability to use all of the lawful tools—all of the lawful tools—of our national power to protect the American people and bring terrorists to justice.

Jim Cole believes in that. He is committed to working with the Congress so we use all available tools. We make the judgment in each individual case as to what is the most effective way to bring a terrorist or criminal to justice.

He not only has expertise in handling terrorists and bringing them to justice, he has had very important positions in the Department of Justice supervising the criminal prosecution of white-collar crimes. He understands the full breadth of the Department of Justice and is a very valuable player in making sure the Department of Justice follows in the fine tradition of that agency.

I urge my colleagues to vote to move forward. At least vote to allow this nomination to get an up-or-down vote. This is a very important position: the Deputy Attorney General. We talk about we were sent here to Washington to make tough votes. OK. I do not think this is a tough vote. I think Jim Cole is the best person for this critically important job, and I do not think he is at all a partisan person. I know him well. I know him to be a career type individual who is interested in doing what is right. But this is not a nominee where you should be using a filibuster to prevent an up-or-down vote.

This is a very important position for our country. For the dignity of the Senate and the Department of Justice and the decency of Jim Cole, I urge my colleagues to allow us to go forward with an up-or-down vote on his confirmation, and I urge my colleagues to support his confirmation to be Deputy Attorney General of the United States.

Mr. President, I yield the floor and suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. COONS). The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, I know we are in morning business. I ask unanimous consent to speak on the nomination of James Cole to be Deputy Attorney General.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, I rise in opposition to the motion to invoke cloture on the nomination of James Cole to be the Deputy Attorney General at the Department of Justice. I oppose proceeding to a vote on the nominee for a number of reasons.

I have concerns regarding Mr. Cole's qualifications and am troubled by President Obama's recess appointment of Mr. Cole to this position. I have been consistent in my opposition to recess appointments over the years. Whenever the President bypasses the Senate by making recess appointments, such nominees will not receive my support. We have a process in place for nominations and if the President is not willing to work with Senators to clear nominations, the nominee should not get a second bite at the apple.

In addition to my general opposition to recess appointments, I have consistently warned this administration that I would not cooperate in moving nominees for the Department of Justice, until they cooperated with my request for oversight materials. Last month, I went to the floor to describe what I have learned in the course of my investigation into whistleblower allegations at the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives, ATF. According to whistleblowers, guns found at the scene of the murder of Border Patrol Agent Brian Terry had been purchased illegally by a known straw buyer a year earlier, with the blessing of the ATF as part of an operation known as Fast and Furious.

I first asked about this issue on January 27. On February 16, I requested specific documents from the Justice Department. I reiterated that request on March 3.

When the Justice Department failed to produce any responsive documents, I partnered with House Oversight and Government Reform Chairman DARRRELL ISSA, who first requested documents and then issued a subpoena to the ATF after his voluntary request was ignored. On April 13, my staff learned that the Justice Department was making certain documents available for Chairman ISSA's staff to review at the Department. Not only did the Department fail to notify me of this document review, when I sent two of my staff members to participate, they were turned away at the door of the Justice Department.

To this day, the Justice Department has still not produced a single page of documents in response to my inquiries and has provided only previously re-

leased public documents in response to Chairman ISSA. I received a letter on May 2, 2011, declining to provide my staff with access to the documents on the grounds that "the Executive Branch . . . has taken the position that only a chairman can speak for a committee in conducting oversight work." According to the DC Circuit Court of Appeals, however:

It would be an inappropriate intrusion into the legislative sphere for the courts to decide without congressional direction that, for example, only the chairman of a committee shall be regarded as the official voice of the Congress for purposes of receiving such information, as distinguished from its ranking minority member, other committee members, or other members of the Congress. Each of them participates in the law-making process; each has a voice and a vote in that process; and each is entitled to request such information from the executive agencies as will enable him to carry out the responsibilities of a legislator.

That is from *Murphy v. Department of the Army*, 1979.

I said on the floor on April 14 that if the Justice Department did not cooperate and provide the information we need, I would consider exercising my right to object to unanimous consent requests on a nomination. Since that time, I have received nothing but stonewalling from the Department. As the chief operating officer of the Department, Mr. Cole is in a position to ensure the Justice Department meaningfully cooperates with my inquiries and complies with my document requests. He has failed to do so.

I also am troubled by the Department's continued resistance to oversight requests from Senator CHAMBLISS, the vice chairman of the Select Committee on Intelligence. Senator CHAMBLISS has requested that the Department of Justice share important documents with Congress regarding the Guantanamo Bay Detainee Review Task Force. This task force reviewed the case files of many detainees that were released or transferred from U.S. custody. Unfortunately, we now know that over 25 percent of those detainees later returned to fight against us or our allies.

These documents are part of a legitimate exercise of our constitutional duty to conduct oversight. The Department's repeated stonewalling of Senator CHAMBLISS's request should not be rewarded with a cloture vote on a controversial nominee.

The Deputy Attorney General is the second in command at the Justice Department and responsible for overseeing the day-to-day operations of the Department. Managing this vast bureaucracy is a difficult task that requires a serious commitment to protecting our national security, enforcing our criminal laws, and safeguarding taxpayer dollars. We need a qualified individual to fill this slot, an individual who possesses the ability to not

only provide leadership for the Department but also an individual who has the smarts, capability and willingness to manage Department programs and root out inefficiencies and abuses in those programs. After reviewing all his responses and his hearing testimony, I concluded that I could not support Mr. Cole's nomination to be the Deputy Attorney General.

In particular, I am seriously concerned about Mr. Cole's views on national security and terrorism. Back in 2002, Mr. Cole was the author of an opinion piece in the *Legal Times*. In that piece, he stated:

For all the rhetoric about war, the Sept. 11 attacks were criminal acts of terrorism against a civilian population, much like the terrorist acts of Timothy McVeigh in blowing up the Federal building in Oklahoma City, or of Omar Abdel-Rahman in the first effort to blow up the World Trade Center. The criminals responsible for these horrible acts were successfully tried and convicted under our criminal justice system, without the need for special procedures that altered traditional due process rights.

He added that, "The acts of Sept. 11 were horrible, but so are . . . other things." The other things he referred to were the drug trade, organized crime, rape, child abuse and murder. Mr. Cole's opinion piece argued that notwithstanding the involvement of foreign organizations, such as al-Qaida, we have never treated criminal acts influenced by foreign nationals or governments as a basis for "ignoring the core constitutional protections engrained in our criminal justice system."

Mr. Cole concludes his opinion piece by arguing that in addition to stopping future terrorist attacks, the Attorney General is a criminal prosecutor and that he has a special duty to apply constitutional protections engrained in our criminal justice system to everyone, including terrorists captured on a foreign battlefield.

Mr. Cole wrote this opinion piece 2 days short of the first anniversary of the September 11 attacks. Given the close proximity in time to the September 11 attacks, we must understand this opinion piece to be Mr. Cole's true beliefs about the application of the civilian criminal justice system to terrorism cases, including those who masterminded the 9/11 attacks.

From the opinion piece and his responses to our inquiries, it appears that if given a choice of prosecuting high ranking terrorists in civilian courts or military commissions, Mr. Cole would likely favor civilian courts based upon his longstanding belief in the role the Attorney General plays in protecting the principles of the criminal justice system. Absent a clear statement from Mr. Cole about what factors would warrant selecting a civilian or a military forum, it is hard to look at his entire record of past opinions, his testimony, and responses to

our questions and reach a different conclusion.

Military tribunals have many advantages to civilian criminal courts and are better equipped to deal with dangerous terrorists and classified evidence while preserving due process. I am troubled that Mr. Cole does not appear to share this belief. Based upon his responses and testimony, I have serious concerns about Mr. Cole's support for civilian trials for terrorists captured on a foreign battlefield given that the Deputy Attorney General oversees the national security branch at the Justice Department.

Second, I have concerns about Mr. Cole's abilities relative to oversight of government programs. First, in his responses about oversight of DOJ grant programs, Mr. Cole failed to commit to a top to bottom review of the programs.

We have had enough examples of the tremendous inefficiencies, duplications, and waste in these programs. I am disappointed that Mr. Cole has failed to recognize that there is a need for comprehensive review of the Department of Justice's grant program, not only for the sake of saving taxpayer dollars but also to ensure that grant objectives are being met in the most efficient and effective manner possible.

Third, I do not have confidence regarding Mr. Cole's abilities based on his performance as an independent consultant tasked with overseeing AIG. By way of background, the Justice Department provided copies of the reports Mr. Cole issued when he was overseeing AIG, but they were labeled "committee confidential." Consequently, I cannot discuss in a specific manner the content of those documents publicly.

Nevertheless, when taken into context with the public responses provided by Mr. Cole to my questions, a troubling picture develops about Mr. Cole's performance in his independent consultant responsibilities. The responses and reports do not dispel the serious questions raised about Mr. Cole's independence and completeness. Further, they reveal what appears to be a level of deference to AIG management one would not expect to see from someone tasked as an "independent" monitor.

In order to clarify a number of questions on this matter, Senator COBURN and I sent a followup letter seeking additional answers from Mr. Cole. Mr. Cole's reply clarified that DOJ, SEC, and the New York State Attorney General's office were aware of his practice of seeking input from AIG and making modifications to the reports. He indicated that the changes AIG made were often factual changes, such as AIG employee names, dates of materials, and events. He also indicated that some of the changes requested by AIG were included in a section of the report entitled "AIG Response." However, he said

that "on a few occasions" AIG would "suggest a stylistic change of phrasing in the analytical section of the report." He stated that while he included the edits made by AIG, he "did not believe that a detailed presentation of this factual review was necessary for an understanding of each party's position." As a result, the report did not necessarily show which edits AIG made that were incorporated. Instead, he said that those changes were available in working papers that were "available to the SEC, the DOJ, the New York Attorney General's Office." Unfortunately, he added, "the agencies—which were aware of this practice—did not request such documents."

While I appreciate Mr. Cole's responses to these clarifying questions, they raise concerns about how independent his monitoring was, what changes were ultimately requested by AIG, what changes were included, and how much the SEC and the DOJ really knew about edits AIG was making to the "independent" reports.

Finally, I have serious concerns about Mr. Cole's decision to suspend the compliance review at AIG's Financial Products Division following the government bailout. In his testimony, Mr. Cole acknowledged that following the government bailout of AIG, he scaled back his efforts until the future of AIG as a corporation was determined. After Mr. Cole suspended his monitoring, AIG restructured its compliance office and terminated a number of staff overseeing the company's compliance with the Securities and Exchange Commission regulations. Mr. Cole said that after it was determined that AIG's Financial Products Division would not be dissolved, the compliance and monitoring were "revived and are being reviewed and implemented where applicable." Under Mr. Cole's watch, AIG not only got \$182 billion of taxpayer money, it was able to talk the independent consultant—Mr. Cole—out of monitoring what the company was doing.

Based upon these factors, I am concerned about Mr. Cole's ability to perform the duties required of Deputy Attorney General. He would be in a position to potentially influence future compliance monitors appointed under settlements between the Justice Department, the Securities and Exchange Commission, and other corporations that have violated the law. Independent monitors need to be truly independent and completely transparent. They are selected and appointed to ensure that the interests of the American people are protected.

I cannot support the nomination of Mr. Cole to be Deputy Attorney General and, therefore, will vote against cloture. I urge all of my colleagues to join me in opposing this cloture vote to

send a message to the Justice Department to stop the stonewalling of legitimate oversight inquiries from Members of the Senate.

I yield the floor.

CONCLUSION OF MORNING
BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Morning business is closed.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

NOMINATION OF JAMES MICHAEL
COLE TO BE DEPUTY ATTORNEY
GENERAL

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will proceed to executive session to consider the following nomination, which the clerk will report.

The bill clerk read the nomination of James Michael Cole, of the District of Columbia, to be Deputy Attorney General.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, there will be 1 hour of debate equally divided and controlled between the two leaders or their designees.

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, I yield 10 minutes to the Senator from North Carolina.

Mr. BURR. Mr. President, I thank the Senator. In less than an hour, this body will be asked to vote on cloture to proceed to the nomination of James Michael Cole to be Deputy Attorney General. I rise in opposition to that cloture vote on the nomination of James Cole, and I urge my colleagues to strongly oppose it.

As a member of the Senate Intelligence Committee, I share the views of the vice chairman, Senator CHAMBLISS, and the ranking member of the Judiciary Committee, Senator GRASSLEY, as expressed in their letter to Republican colleagues dated May 6, 2011, opposing cloture on this nomination.

I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the RECORD this letter from Republican colleagues.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

U.S. SENATE,
Washington, DC, May 6, 2011.

DEAR COLLEAGUE: The Majority Leader has filed cloture on James Cole, the President's nominee to be the Deputy Attorney General. At this time, we do not support Mr. Cole's appointment and urge you to oppose cloture on his nomination.

During the last Congress, Mr. Cole's nomination was not considered by the full Senate for several reasons. First, the Department of Justice has refused to comply with repeated minority requests since August 2010 for documents and information related to the activities of the Guantanamo Bay Detainee Review Task Force. Second, Mr. Cole's comments and hearing testimony regarding the

September 11th terrorist attacks raise significant concerns about his suitability to be the Deputy Attorney General of the United States. Third, we have concerns about Mr. Cole's abilities based on his performance as an Independent Consultant tasked with overseeing the insurance group, AIG. As a result, the Senate returned the nomination to the President. Unfortunately, on December 29, 2010, Mr. Cole was recess appointed to a one-year term while the Senate was adjourned and sworn in shortly thereafter. Notwithstanding Mr. Cole's recess appointment, our reasons for opposing his nomination remain.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE STONEWALLING DOCUMENT AND INFORMATION REQUESTS BY RANKING MEMBERS

For several years, the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence has been reviewing the process used by the Administration's Guantanamo Bay Detainee Review Task Force to detain, transfer, or release detainees from the Guantanamo Bay facility. Given that the recidivism rate among these detainees has now risen above 25 percent, Congress must have clear insight into this process to determine whether additional legislation is needed to protect our national security.

The Attorney General has been asked repeatedly to provide Congress with: (1) any guidance or recommendations related to the Task Force process (including a September 2009 Attorney General memorandum concerning a presumption to be applied in favor of transfer or release for certain detainees); (2) the Task Force's unredacted recommendations regarding each detainee; and (3) a list of the 92 detainees who were approved for transfer as of August 28, 2009, prior to the issuance of the September 2009 memo. In spite of these specific written requests from Senators in the minority, including a request from all of the minority members of the Select Committee on Intelligence, the Justice Department has not provided the information, instead asserting a questionable "deliberative process" privilege to justify its lack of compliance.

Aside from this dubious assertion of privilege, the repeated failure of the Justice Department to comply with this oversight request is part of a disturbing pattern of refusing to recognize legitimate oversight requests from ranking minority members. For example, the Justice Department is currently refusing to turn over documents requested by the Ranking Member of the Senate Judiciary Committee regarding serious allegations that the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives knowingly allowed straw purchasers to buy firearms that were then provided to criminal drug cartels in Mexico. At least two of these weapons were later found at the scene where Border Patrol agent Brian Terry was murdered.

MR. COLE'S VIEWS ON TERRORISM

A September 2002 opinion piece by Mr. Cole raises serious questions about his judgment and his current views on terrorism. In that article, he noted that "[f]or all the rhetoric about war, the September 11th attacks were criminal acts of terrorism against a civilian population" and were no more horrible than "the scourge of the drug trade, the reign of organized crime, and countless acts of rape, child abuse, and murder." He also argued that the protections of our criminal justice system "must be applied to everyone to be effective."

While the United States must use every means at our disposal—criminal, intel-

ligence, and military—to fight terrorism, not every terrorist deserves the valued protections of our criminal justice system. Although Mr. Cole has downplayed his comments, he has not rejected the comparison of September 11th to ordinary criminal acts or answered whether he favors trying terrorists in civilian courts. His failure to do so exhibits a lack of understanding about the real threat of terrorism.

MR. COLE'S PERFORMANCE IN OVERSEEING AIG

We have a number of concerns about Mr. Cole's abilities based on his performance as an Independent Consultant tasked with overseeing AIG. Some of these concerns cannot be shared in this letter, because the Judiciary Committee has labeled the relevant reports as "Committee Confidential." Nonetheless, these reports and Mr. Cole's responses reveal what appears to be a level of deference to AIG management that one would not expect to see from someone tasked as an "independent" monitor. Also, we have serious concerns about Mr. Cole's decision to suspend the compliance review of AIG's Financial Products division following the government bailout.

CONCLUSION

We believe that before Mr. Cole's nomination receives an up-or-down vote in the Senate, the Department of Justice must immediately comply with the long-standing requests for documents and information related to the Guantanamo Bay Detainee Review Task Force. Moreover, we are not yet convinced that Mr. Cole's recess appointment should be ratified by the Senate in light of the remaining concerns about his suitability for this very important position.

Again, we urge you to oppose cloture of Mr. Cole's nomination at this time.

Sincerely,

CHARLES E. GRASSLEY,
Ranking Member, Senate
Committee on the
Judiciary.

SAXBY CHAMBLISS,
Vice Chairman, Senate
Select Committee on
Intelligence.

Mr. BURR. Mr. Cole's nomination is troubling on several fronts. First, the Department of Justice, where he now serves as second in command since his recess appointment this past December, refuses to provide the Senate Intelligence Committee with documents we have been requesting for months.

More than 2 years ago, the Intelligence Committee learned that the recidivism rate—the number of prisoners we release who go back into the fight—at Gitmo was 11 percent. Today it stands at over 25 percent. In this effort to close the detention facility at Gitmo, the President ordered a task force run by the Attorney General to review the status of all detainees still housed at Gitmo. Through much of 2009, the Gitmo detainee review task force examined every detainee's case and made recommendations to the administration on whether to transfer, release, or detain each one.

At a time when Congress is aware that former Gitmo detainees are returning to their old ways, we have an obligation to the American people—an obligation to the American people—to make sure no more detainees are released who could cause us harm. Even

though Gitmo remains open right now, efforts to transfer or release many of these detainees continue today. The documents the Intelligence Committee is seeking all relate to the task force process and will help the committee understand why the task force made the recommendations it did, especially with respect to those detainees who may have raised red flags for the intelligence community.

We know that the Attorney General provided recommendations on how the task force should make its transfer decisions because of separate information provided to the committee. We do not have everything, however, including the September 2009 memorandum in which the Attorney General reportedly recommends that an entire category of detainees be presumed to be eligible for transfer—presumed eligible for transfer. While we have asked for this memorandum and any other recommendations repeatedly, the Department has refused to provide them. If the Attorney General of the United States recommended that certain detainees be treated favorably, possibly in spite of the intelligence, the Senate Intelligence Committee has a clear oversight interest in reviewing the September memorandum and seeing if and to whom it was applied.

In addition to refusing to provide the September 2009 memorandum, the Justice Department has also denied the Intelligence Committee the recommendations of the task force. The committee cannot determine why the task force made its recommendations without seeing the description of how the task force came to the positions it did. The Department claims that both the September 2009 memorandum and the unredacted recommendations are protected from disclosure to Congress because of deliberative process. This is an assertion ordinarily used in a FOIA case or in the context of Executive privilege, not to inhibit congressional oversight of a Federal agency. An interesting inconsistency in this assertion is that the administration has willingly provided the Intelligence Committee with the recommendations of the past administration.

I understand that in the last few days, the Attorney General has reached out to the vice chairman of the Intelligence Committee in an effort to resolve these issues before today's vote. Given the Department's months of delays and obstruction in complying with this request, I believe cloture on this nomination is not appropriate until the documents requested have been provided in full.

In addition to the document issue, Mr. Cole has not explained some highly charged comments he made about 9/11. An op-ed he authored back in September 2002 called the 9/11 attacks "criminal acts of terrorism against a civilian population." He went on to dis-

miss the severity of 9/11, calling it no more horrible than "the scourge of the drug trade, the reign of organized crime, and countless acts of rape, child abuse, and murder."

Mr. Cole has not rejected or fully explained those comments. Until he does so and until the Department ends its refusal to comply with reasonable congressional requests for information, I cannot support the move to consider his nomination. I urge my colleagues to reject cloture today.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I assume we are on the nomination of Jim Cole.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator is correct.

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, to make a parliamentary inquiry: Am I correct that time runs to 5:30?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator is correct.

Mr. LEAHY. Time has been consumed by this quorum call, and so I ask unanimous consent that any time consumed in further quorum calls be equally divided on both sides.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, the majority leader has been required to file cloture in this extraordinary case in an attempt to overcome a Republican filibuster on the nomination of Jim Cole to be Deputy Attorney General. This is a key national security position and the No. 2 position at the Department of Justice. Certainly, with what has happened in the past week or so, it is important for this President or any President to have a full national security team.

I thought back, and I could not remember a time in my 37 years here where the Senate has filibustered a President's nomination to be Deputy Attorney General. I asked Senate Judiciary Committee staff to check that and they found that the Senate has never filibustered a President's nomination to be Deputy Attorney General. In fact, during the time I was chairman of the committee, we quickly moved on President Bush's Deputy Attorneys General, even on those who would not have been my choice. We knew it was a national security position and it is important at a time when we face the threats we do here and abroad that we have that position filled. In fact, I thought it would be unconscionable, whether it was President Bush, President Reagan or any other President, to stall a Deputy Attorney General.

Mr. Cole's nomination to fill this critical national security position was

blocked last year, when it was pending on the Senate's Executive Calendar for 155 days after it was reported favorably by the Judiciary Committee. The nomination was reported favorably by the Judiciary Committee again in March, and incredibly, it is again being filibustered. People have asked me how this could be happening. It is hard to believe that one week after the successful operation that killed Osama bin Laden, the world's number one terrorist, we cannot take this step to ensure that President Obama has his full national security team in place. It is similar to "Alice in Wonderland."

Now that a measure of justice has been secured for the victims of September 11, I have expressed hope that we could come together, as we did in the weeks and months following September 11. We should be ensuring that we are extra vigilant these days. There are widespread reports that experts are concerned about this being a time in which al-Qaida will seek reprisals. Most Americans believe we should be concerned about them trying to strike back. This is not a time for further delay or obstruction. Let us join together and confirm this qualified nominee. We also ought to show the rest of the world that no matter what our political labels might be, we believe in the President of the United States having his national security team in place.

This weekend, the Washington Post editorial board called this delay "ridiculous," referring to the Deputy Attorney General as "essentially the chief operating officer of the Justice Department, including its national security operations." This delay is ridiculous and dangerous to every single American. I hope other Senators will see it as such and help end it.

We have the opportunity to set aside partisanship and join with our President to keep America safe. I recall in the aftermath of 9/11 we took immediate steps—Republicans and Democrats together—to do what we could to make sure the President's entire law enforcement team was in place.

We expedited the nominations of 14 U.S. attorneys that had been received in the Senate only 1 week before, reporting them from the Judiciary Committee on September 13 and confirming them by voice vote the very next day. Those nominations included the nomination of Paul McNulty to the Eastern District of Virginia, one of the key districts where terrorism defendants like Zacarias Moussaoui, one of the conspirators in the 9/11 attacks, are tried. We continued to expedite nominations in the weeks and months that followed, confirming an additional 58 officials to posts at the Justice Department in those weeks and before the end of 2001.

Republican Senators helped a Republican President to get his security team in place to protect the Nation, but now are not going to help a Democratic President to get his security

team in place. It is the same Nation and the security threats are the same against Republican Presidents and Democratic Presidents. We ought to come together as Americans first on this important issue.

Last week at the Judiciary Committee's oversight hearing on the Department of Justice, the Attorney General of the United States reiterated the need for final Senate action on the nomination of the Deputy Attorney General. He urged the Senate to confirm Jim Cole to help the Department fulfill all of its critical tasks, including protecting national security, in a time of heightened concern about retaliatory attacks stemming from Osama bin Laden's death. Yet, rather than take action to end the unnecessary and unexplained delays and finally confirm the nomination of Jim Cole, the unprecedented Republican filibuster continues. This is wrong. It should end.

I hope that Senators on the other side of the aisle will listen to former Deputy Attorneys General of the United States who served in both Republican and Democratic administrations. Last December, they wrote to the leaders of the Senate and urged the Senate to consider Mr. Cole's nomination without delay. These former officials who served with distinction in that post wrote that the Deputy is "the chief operating officer of the Department of Justice, supervising its day-to-day operations" and that "the Deputy is also a key member of the president's national security team, a function that has grown in importance and complexity in the years since the terror attacks of September 11." They were right and their advice rings true today.

As the former Deputies, 3 of whom served under President George W. Bush, noted in their letter, "Because of the responsibilities of the position of Deputy Attorney General, votes on nomination for this position usually proceed quickly." I wish the Senate had heeded their advice and voted to confirm Mr. Cole last year. Now another 5 months have passed.

When we first reported Jim Cole's nomination last July, I said that I hoped the Senate would treat his nomination to this critical national security and law enforcement position with the same urgency and seriousness with which we treated all four of the Deputy Attorneys General who served under President Bush. All four were confirmed by the Senate by voice vote an average of 21 days after they were reported by the Judiciary Committee. In fact, we confirmed President Bush's first nomination to be Deputy Attorney General the day it was reported by the committee. That is not the treatment that Deputy Attorney General Cole has received.

The Senate's treatment of the Cole nomination represents a sharp break from the Senate's longstanding prac-

tice of deference to the administration and timely consideration of critical national and law enforcement nominations. In their letter last December, the 8 former Deputy Attorneys General noted that, of the 11 nominations to fill this position over the last 20 years from Democratic and Republican Presidents, "none remained pending for longer than 32 days." I remember some of President Bush's nominations to this position remained pending even less than that.

Jim Cole's nomination has been pending on the floor for 222 days combined, nearly seven times longer than any nominee in the last 20 years. In fact, dating back to 1981, 15 of the 16 Deputy Attorney General nominations pending on the Executive Calendar were confirmed unanimously, the only exception being President Obama's first Deputy Attorney General nomination, of David Ogden, which was confirmed 65-28 after cloture was filed and a time agreement was reached. All of the nominees of Presidents Reagan, George H.W. Bush, Clinton and George Bush were confirmed unanimously by the Senate, in an average of less than 2 weeks.

Last December, after the nomination had already been delayed for over 4 months without explanation, I came to the floor and asked unanimous consent that at a time to be determined by the majority and minority leaders, the Senate consent to a time agreement for a debate and a vote on the Cole nomination. I asked that Senators have the courage to step forward, not hide behind the filibuster, and to either vote yes or no on this critical national security position. Republicans objected to that request in December and have still, 5 months later, refused to agree to a time to debate and vote on the nomination. It is time finally for the Senate to vote. The American people expect us to vote. The security of this country is threatened.

Jim Cole's nomination was pending last year for 5 months while Republican Senators objected time and time again to calling it up for a vote. I believe that Mr. Cole would have been confirmed by the Senate had his nomination been given an up-or-down vote. I believe he should be confirmed. As it was, after the Senate did not take final action on the nomination, President Obama exercised his authority after the Senate had recessed for the year to appoint him in order to make sure this critical national security and law enforcement post was filled. The President promptly renominated him when Congress returned this year. Recess appointments have not prevented Republican Senators from voting to confirm nominations by Republican Presidents. Given the history of obstruction of this nomination, it is time for the Senate to vote.

This is not a nomination that should have been controversial. It is a nomi-

nation supported by former Republican Senator Jack Danforth, who worked with Jim Cole for more than 15 years. When he introduced Mr. Cole at his confirmation hearing, Senator Danforth described Mr. Cole as someone without an ideological or political agenda. He also wrote to the committee that "Jim is a 'lawyer's lawyer.' He is exceedingly knowledgeable, especially on matters relating to legal and business ethics, public integrity and compliance with government regulations. He is highly regarded [] as a skillful litigator. As his resume demonstrates, he has a long and deep experience in the Department of Justice." I agree.

Jim Cole served as a career prosecutor at the Justice Department for a dozen years and has a well-deserved reputation for fairness, integrity and toughness. He has demonstrated that he understands the issues of crime and national security that are at the center of the Deputy Attorney General's job. Nothing suggests that he will be anything other than a steadfast defender of America's safety.

We have received numerous letters of support for the nomination of Jim Cole to be Deputy Attorney General, including letters from many former Republican public officials. I ask unanimous consent that these three letters be printed in the RECORD at the conclusion of my remarks.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

(See exhibit 1.)

Mr. LEAHY. Among these is a letter from Michael Toner, former Chief Counsel of the Republican National Committee and former General Counsel to the Bush-Cheney 2000 Campaign, who wrote "[i]n light of his extensive experience, legal acumen, professionalism and integrity, I can think of no better person than Mr. Cole to serve as Deputy Attorney General."

Chuck Rosenberg, former Chief of Staff for Deputy Attorney General James Comey, who served under President George W. Bush, wrote, "I know how important it is for this crucial position to be filled by the right person. Jim is the right person. He is smart, experienced, thoughtful and has the proper skills and temperament to help Attorney General Eric Holder lead the Justice Department."

In his letter recommending Mr. Cole, Michael J. Madigan, a Republican counsel on many high-level Senate investigations, described Mr. Cole as "one of those somewhat rare individuals in this city about whom you will never hear even the mildest of criticism." He concluded that Mr. Cole "is a good man and perfectly suited for the challenging position for which the President has wisely nominated him."

Mr. Cole's critics have been wrong to try to blame him for the actions of AIG. His limited role was as an outside

monitor of other corporate functions and there is no evidence showing he did not perform his assignment well. Let us hold those responsible at AIG accountable. Not a single person at AIG has been. There is no basis for making Mr. Cole the scapegoat for the action of AIG. Blame the AIG agents and employees, blame its officers, blame its board, or even criticize the lack of oversight by state and Federal regulators and law enforcement officials if you like. But scapegoating this good man is wrong. As *The Washington Post* observed in an editorial last year when Mr. Cole's nomination was being blocked on the Senate floor, "There is no suggestion that Mr. Cole suffers from the kind of ethical or legal problems that would disqualify a nominee."

There is no justification for the failure to act on this critical national security nomination, and for failing to make sure that the administration has its full national security team in place. During the time when I was chairman we moved very quickly on President Bush's nominees for Deputy Attorney General because of the importance of the security of the United States. It is important for every President to succeed, no matter their party.

I hope that the Senate will reject this destructive and unprecedented filibuster so that we can finally consider and confirm Jim Cole after many months of unnecessary delays. As I said, I could not remember a time in my 37 years here where we had filibustered a nominee to be Deputy Attorney General and that proved to be true.

EXHIBIT 1

BRYAN CAVE,

Washington, DC, June 7, 2010.

Hon. PATRICK J. LEAHY,
U.S. Senate, Russell Senate Office Building,
Washington, DC.

Hon. JEFF SESSIONS,
U.S. Senate, Russell Senate Office Building,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATOR LEAHY AND SENATOR SESSIONS: I write in strong support of Jim Cole's nomination to serve as Deputy Attorney General of the United States.

By way of background, I am a Partner at Bryan Cave LLP in Washington, DC. Prior to joining Bryan Cave, I was Chairman of the Federal Election Commission (FEC) and was a Commissioner on the FEC from 2002–2007. Prior to being appointed to the FEC, I served as Chief Counsel of the Republican National Committee, General Counsel of the Bush-Cheney 2000 Campaign, and General Counsel of the 2000 Bush-Cheney Transition Team.

I have known Jim Cole for approximately 15 years and have had the privilege of being a colleague of Mr. Cole's at Bryan Cave for the last three years. I first met Mr. Cole when he served as Special Counsel for the House Ethics Committee's inquiry concerning Speaker Gingrich and I was an attorney representing Speaker Gingrich in the matter. Although Mr. Cole and I obviously had conflicting interests in the Gingrich matter, I was tremendously impressed with the thoroughness and professionalism by which Mr. Cole conducted himself in the Gingrich matter, and that has been a hallmark of all of my experiences with Mr. Cole over the last 15 years.

Mr. Cole is superbly qualified to serve as Deputy Attorney General of the United States. Mr. Cole is one of the smartest and most able criminal lawyers in the country, and Mr. Cole's prior service at the Justice Department will be invaluable experience in working with Attorney General Holder in managing and leading the Justice Department. In light of his extensive experience, legal acumen, professionalism and integrity, I can think of no better person than Mr. Cole to serve as Deputy Attorney General.

Jim Cole has my highest recommendation to serve as Deputy Attorney General of the United States and it is an honor to have the opportunity to write on Mr. Cole's behalf. If confirmed, I believe that Mr. Cole would serve the Department of Justice and the country with great distinction in the years ahead.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL E. TONER.

PHELPS DUNBAR,
New Orleans, LA, June 10, 2010.

Re Nomination of Jim Cole to be next Deputy Attorney General of the United States of America.

Hon. PATRICK J. LEAHY,
Chairman, Senate Judicial Committee,
U.S. Senate, Russell Office Building,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATOR LEAHY: I am writing this letter to recommend, without hesitation, Jim Cole to be confirmed as the next Deputy Attorney General in the United States Department of Justice.

As a former United States Attorney in Louisiana, I worked with Jim Cole when he prosecuted a corrupt federal judge. I also have worked with Mr. Cole for more than a decade while he worked in the private sector.

I know Jim Cole to be bright, hard-working, dedicated and beyond reproach. If confirmed by the United States Senate, I believe Jim Cole will be an asset to both the Justice Department and the citizens of the United States. I respectfully ask you to consider my wholehearted support of Jim Cole as the next Deputy Attorney General.

I know that you, and the other members of the Judiciary Committee as well as the Senate, strive for bipartisan cooperation. As a Republican Presidential appointee, I believe it is critical for members of the Justice Department to have bipartisan support and the confidence of the American people regardless of party affiliation. I appreciate your consideration of my views as to the soundness of the nomination of Jim Cole for Deputy Attorney General and would welcome an opportunity to provide you with additional information if you so choose.

Thanking you again for your courtesies and with best regards, I remain,

Sincerely,

HARRY ROSENBERG.

ORRICK,
Washington, DC, June 8, 2010.

Re James M. Cole, Nominee for Deputy Attorney General.

Hon. PATRICK J. LEAHY,
U.S. Senate, Russell Senate Office Building,
Washington, DC.

Hon. JEFF SESSIONS,
U.S. Senate, Russell Senate Office Building,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATORS LEAHY AND SESSIONS: It is my great privilege and honor to add my voice, wholeheartedly, to those supporting the nomination of Jim Cole for the critically important position of Deputy Attorney General of the United States.

I have known Jim for years and he is and has been a truly outstanding lawyer and, most importantly, an even better person. For the last two years I have had the honor of serving with Jim on the ABA-DOJ Dialogue Group where he has been an always thoughtful and important member.

Jim, as you already know, has had an outstanding career both as a federal prosecutor and as a criminal and civil trial lawyer. Indeed, Jim, I dare say, is one of those somewhat rare individuals in this city about whom you will never hear even the mildest of criticism. He is a good man and is perfectly suited for the challenging position for which the President has wisely nominated him.

I am honored to offer unqualified support for Jim's nomination.

Respectfully yours,

MICHAEL J. MADIGAN.

Mr. LEAHY. I see the distinguished Senator from Texas is here, so I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Texas.

Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, the distinguished chairman of the Judiciary Committee has pointed out the Deputy Attorney General is a member of the national security team of the President, and the President has already used the authority under the Constitution to make a recess appointment of this nominee. But the question before the Senate today is whether the Senate should confirm the nomination of James Cole to serve as Deputy Attorney General.

There are three reasons why I oppose this nomination. The first is Mr. Cole is one of the earliest and most vociferous advocates of bringing foreign al-Qaida terrorists to American cities for civilian trials—a position since repudiated by the Attorney General himself in the case of Khalid Shaikh Mohammed, and I am grateful for that. But Mr. Cole has never recanted his position that, in effect, these are criminal cases to be prosecuted as ordinary crimes rather than terrorist acts during a time of war.

The problem, of course, with the paradigm of treating terrorism as a criminal case is that we don't punish the terrorists until they have actually been successful in committing a terrorist attack. In war, half the battle—maybe more than half the battle—is trying to stop the terrorist from actually accomplishing his or her goal of killing innocent people. We do that by interrogating detainees and finding out what they know about the organization and plans of terrorist attacks. Mr. Cole, unfortunately, stands by the outdated, outmoded characterization of these terrorist attacks being ordinary crimes. Of course, they are something much worse indeed.

Quite frankly, as Mr. Holder's Deputy, Mr. Cole will only exacerbate the worst tendencies of the Department of Justice when it comes to distinguishing between criminal prosecutions and fighting a war against terrorists. This was, of course, the primary

reason why Mr. Cole's nomination was unanimously rejected by Republicans in the Judiciary Committee. The American people want a Department of Justice that is committed to enforcing the law and protecting the innocent, not creating new civil rights for terrorists or treating them as ordinary criminals when they are something else indeed.

In fact, the recent death of Osama bin Laden was a product of a lot of intelligence gathering that occurred over the years. That would never have occurred under Mr. Cole's proposed model of Mirandizing these people when they are arrested; telling them they do not have to provide any information because they are being treated as ordinary criminals rather than as terrorists who are eligible for rough interrogation, if necessary, in order to find out what they know in order to save innocent lives.

Rather than listening to the concerns of Republicans on the Judiciary Committee about Mr. Cole's narrow view of the war on terror and of the views of the American people and perhaps reconsidering this flawed nomination, the President decided to plow ahead and bypass the advise and consent process with a recess appointment. As I said, he, of course, has the right to do so.

There are actually a couple other reasons why I oppose the nomination, and I wish to first express my appreciation to Senator CHAMBLISS and Senator GRASSLEY. Senator CHAMBLISS, of course, is the ranking member of the Senate's Select Committee on Intelligence, and Senator GRASSLEY is the ranking member of the Senate Judiciary Committee. They have continued to demand information from the Department of Justice and have been stonewalled at every turn. Senator CHAMBLISS and his colleagues on the Intelligence Committee have made perfectly reasonable requests consistent with the committee's oversight responsibilities related to the Obama administration's Guantanamo Detainee Review Task Force. Senator GRASSLEY, on the other hand, from his position as the ranking Republican on the Judiciary Committee, on which I serve, has requested documents concerning serious allegations that the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives knowingly allowed straw purchasers to buy firearms which were then provided to criminal drug cartels in Mexico. It has later been reported that at least two of these weapons were found at the scene where a Border Patrol agent named Brian Terry was murdered.

I fully support Senators GRASSLEY and CHAMBLISS and regret that repeated requests for information that were well within the purview of the oversight responsibilities of Congress have been unreasonably rejected. When

a minority in the Senate is denied the usual and customary information necessary for us to do our job, we are left with very few options. One of those options is to force a resolution by exercising our rights as a minority to block cloture. That is not necessarily a permanent move. It means debate continues on the nomination and we cannot come to a vote. But I submit, if rational minds would come together—if Senator GRASSLEY and Senator CHAMBLISS could get the information they and their committees are entitled to and discharge their oversight responsibilities—we could come much closer to resolving the differences on this particular nominee.

Mr. CHAMBLISS. Mr. President, I rise in opposition to cloture on the nomination of James Cole to be the Deputy Attorney General of the United States.

Last December, I objected to further consideration of Mr. Cole's nomination because of the refusal of the Department of Justice, DOJ, to comply with reasonable document requests from the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence. Unfortunately, the President decided to circumvent the Senate and recess-appointed Mr. Cole on December 29, 2010.

Here we are 5 months later: the Justice Department is still thwarting the Intelligence committee's oversight.

The documents we have requested all relate to the Guantanamo Detainee Review Task Force that made recommendations to the Administration on whether to transfer, release, or detain Gitmo detainees. Over 2 years ago, the committee became aware of rising recidivism rates among former Gitmo detainees. At that time, the rate was around 11 percent—it is now above 25 percent. Congress has a unique obligation to the American people to ensure that no more dangerous detainees are released from Gitmo, and that those who have been released do not resume their terrorist ways. Each one of the documents we are seeking is essential to understanding why the task force made certain recommendations about certain detainees, especially those detainees our intelligence professionals judged were too dangerous to transfer.

The detainees remaining at Gitmo are among the worst of the worst, yet many are still designated for transfer. Given the upward trend in recidivism rates, the Intelligence Committee is reasonably concerned that some of the detainees who have been or may be transferred to third countries will re-engage in terrorist activities. Lingering questions about the monitoring capabilities of countries that have accepted detainees add to these concerns.

In making its recommendations, the task force operated under guidance and recommendations from the Attorney General. The Department of Justice, however, refuses to provide a Sep-

tember 2009 Attorney General memorandum that reportedly recommends that an entire category of detainees be presumed to be eligible for transfer. If classes of detainees are to be presumed to be eligible for transfer by DOJ, then I think the Intelligence Committee should know about it and why such guidance was considered appropriate.

The Department has also refused to provide the Intelligence committee with the task force's recommendations for the disposition of the detainees. The task force documents we have been given have entire portions of their recommendations blacked out. This is no way to conduct oversight and it certainly puts the committee at a disadvantage in trying to understand why transfer decisions were made. Interestingly, the Department has provided the recommendations made by review boards during the previous administration.

As with the September 2009 memorandum, the Department argues against giving this information to Congress because of "deliberative process." That assertion may work in a FOIA case or in the context of executive privilege, but there is no legal basis for using it to deny congressional oversight, especially where the documents pertain to national security matters. It is time for the Justice Department to abandon this baseless argument and give us the documents.

The Intelligence committee is also waiting for a list of the 92 detainees who were approved for transfer as of August 28, 2009, prior to the application of the September 2009 memorandum. The Department indicated in November 2010 that the list would be provided, but the committee has yet to receive it.

Last Friday, we heard from the Department for the first time in months, wanting to work something out on the documents in advance of the cloture vote on the Cole nomination. This is a bit ironic, considering that letters and e-mails from last year have gone unanswered. The best thing they can do now is to honor our request and give us the documents that we have requested.

The Department's obstruction of a congressional review is not the only reason I am opposing cloture. Mr. Cole still has not explained comments he made about the 9/11 attacks. In September 2002, he wrote an op-ed in which he called these attacks "criminal acts of terrorism against a civilian population." Following this logic, he diminished 9/11 to being no more than "the scourge of the drug trade, the reign of organized crime, and countless acts of rape, child abuse, and murder." He also argued that the protections of our criminal justice system "must be applied to everyone to be effective." I could not disagree more with this statement—no terrorist deserves the benefits of our criminal justice system.

Mr. Cole has neither rejected these comments, nor really explained why he made them. Until he does so, I have to question his judgment and his suitability to be the second-in-command at the Justice Department.

It is for these reasons, I cannot support cloture on the nomination of Mr. Cole at this time.

I yield the floor and suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, I ask for the regular order.

CLOTURE MOTION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order and pursuant to rule XXII, the Chair lays before the Senate the pending cloture motion, which the clerk will state.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, hereby move to bring to a close debate on the nomination of James Michael Cole, of the District of Columbia, to be Deputy Attorney General.

Harry Reid, Patrick J. Leahy, Herb Kohl, Dianne Feinstein, Al Franken, Christopher A. Coons, Richard Blumenthal, Amy Klobuchar, Sheldon Whitehouse, Sherrod Brown, Mark Udall, Richard J. Durbin, Thomas R. Carper, Bernard Sanders, John D. Rockefeller IV, Jeanne Shaheen, Charles E. Schumer.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. By unanimous consent, the mandatory quorum call has been waived.

The question is, Is it the sense of the Senate that debate on the nomination of James Michael Cole, of the District of Columbia, to be Deputy Attorney General shall be brought to a close?

The yeas and nays are mandatory under the rule.

The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from California (Mrs. BOXER), the Senator from Louisiana (Ms. LANDRIEU), and the Senator from Vermont (Mr. SANDERS) are necessarily absent.

Mr. KYL. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. BARRASSO), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. GRAHAM), the Senator from Utah (Mr. HATCH), the Senator from Arizona (Mr. MCCAIN), the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MORAN), the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. TOOMEY), and the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. VITTER).

Further, if present and voting, the Senator from Utah (Mr. HATCH) would have voted "nay."

The yeas and nays resulted—yeas 50, nays 40, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 67 Ex.]

YEAS—50

Akaka	Hagan	Murray
Baucus	Harkin	Nelson (NE)
Begich	Inouye	Nelson (FL)
Bennet	Johnson (SD)	Pryor
Bingaman	Kerry	Reed
Blumenthal	Klobuchar	Rockefeller
Brown (OH)	Kohl	Schumer
Cantwell	Lautenberg	Shaheen
Cardin	Leahy	Stabenow
Carper	Levin	Tester
Casey	Lieberman	Udall (CO)
Conrad	Lugar	Udall (NM)
Coons	Manchin	Warner
Durbin	McCaskill	Webb
Feinstein	Menendez	Whitehouse
Franken	Merkley	Wyden
Gillibrand	Mikulski	

NAYS—40

Alexander	DeMint	Murkowski
Ayotte	Enzi	Paul
Blunt	Grassley	Portman
Boozman	Heller	Reid
Brown (MA)	Hoeven	Risch
Burr	Hutchison	Roberts
Chambliss	Inhofe	Rubio
Coats	Isakson	Sessions
Coburn	Johanns	Shelby
Cochran	Johnson (WI)	Snowe
Collins	Kirk	Thune
Corker	Kyl	Wicker
Cornyn	Lee	
Crapo	McConnell	

NOT VOTING—10

Barrasso	Landrieu	Toomey
Boxer	McCain	Vitter
Graham	Moran	
Hatch	Sanders	

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. MANCHIN). On this vote, the yeas are 50, the nays are 40. Three-fifths of the Senators duly chosen and sworn not having voted in the affirmative, the motion is rejected.

The majority leader is recognized.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I enter a motion to reconsider the vote by which cloture was rejected.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The motion is entered.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate return to legislative session.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to a period of morning business for debate only with Senators permitted to speak for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

TRIBUTE TO ALICE SAUDARGAS

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I rise today to honor an outstanding Illinoisan, Alice Saudargas, and to thank her for her many years of service as she ends her term on the Rockford School Board.

Alice Saudargas is a remarkable public servant. She has dedicated her life to working with high-poverty schools and troubled children. Alice and her late husband Alex spent more than 70 years educating students in Rockford, and as she recently said "we loved every minute of it."

Alice Christine Nesheim was born in 1916 in northern Illinois to Norwegian immigrants. She graduated first in her high school class and was the first in her family to attend college. She graduated with a degree from DeKalb State Teachers College, which is now Northern Illinois University. While there, she met her husband Alex Saudargas and they moved to Rockford to start a family.

Alice raised ten children and supported Alex as he led the basketball team at West High School to two legendary state championships in 1955 and 1956. In those days, Alice could always be seen at West's basketball games, cheering on the team.

But Alice wanted to have a personal impact on the lives of Rockford's neediest students. She went back to school and earned her master's degree in education from Northern Illinois University. Alice worked as a special education teacher and eventually became a principal of Elmwood Center, a school for emotionally disturbed children. The students there called her "Big Mama" and they always appreciated the love, support, and care she showed them.

Alice retired from the school district in 1986 at the age of 70, but she didn't slow down or lose her passion for education. She led committees to help make the Rockford schools more inclusive of all children and to maintain the legacy of West High School. At the age of 84, Alice was appointed to complete a term on the Rockford School Board. She was subsequently elected in her own right and served on the board for 11 years. Her last day as a Rockford School Board member was April 26.

Alice is supported in all her endeavors by her 9 surviving children, 16 grandchildren, and 14 great-grandchildren as well as the hundreds of students she has supported and mentored throughout her long career. She has touched the lives of countless individuals in my state. She is renowned for her commitment to Rockford's neediest children, her strong spirit, and of course her trademark laugh.

Although Alice's time on the Rockford School Board has come to an end, I know that this won't be the end of her service or commitment to the community. I understand that she plans to write a book about her life and work. That will be quite a story.

I thank Alice for her lifelong efforts to improve the lives of others in and around Rockford. I wish her all the best.

TRIBUTE TO JODY HERNANDEZ

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, in the midst of all our other business, I would like to just pause and take a moment to recognize the outstanding work of Jody Hernandez, who left us yesterday after 16 years of dedicated Senate service. Jody came to Washington, by way of San Antonio, after graduating from Vanderbilt University. Over the years, she has lent her talents to the Republican Policy Committee, the Budget Committee, and with Senator Don Nickles on and off the Hill. David Schiappa convinced her to come back to the Senate in 2005, and she has been an indispensable part of the Senate floor team ever since. Whenever any of us had a question, she had the answer. She has been a friendly and welcoming presence in the cloakroom, regardless of how long her day was. She has been a tremendous help to every one of us. And we will all miss her. But we are all delighted that she has found her partner in life, and we wish her and her new husband, LCDR Glenn Wright, U.S. Navy, many years of happiness and every success as they begin their life together. Jody and Glenn met on a church trip to Israel in October and recently tied the knot. So I am sure many adventures lie ahead. We thank her for her good cheer, her professionalism, and her service.

RECOGNIZING THE ALLY FOUNDATION

Mr. BROWN of Massachusetts. Mr. President, I rise today to honor The ALLY Foundation, an inspirational organization in Massachusetts. In the summer of 2002, a young woman named Alexandra "Ally" Zapp walked into a fast food restaurant's restroom in Massachusetts and was brutally murdered.

Soon after Ally's death, her parents learned that the man who killed Ally was not just an employee of the restaurant but an extremely dangerous sexual predator with 24 previous criminal convictions, including rape and kidnapping.

Ally's mother, Andrea Casanova, and stepfather, Steven Stiles, turned their anger to resolve and their sadness to hope and founded The ALLY Foundation. The ALLY Foundation is dedicated to changing the way our society deals with sexual predators and educating policymakers, employers, and the general public on sexual violence. Their work initially involved learning all they could about sexual violence, poring over research, attending conferences, and interviewing dozens of experts. Andrea soon became an expert herself and a compelling presence at sex offender management conferences.

Andrea and Steve's tireless research confirms that current criminal statutes and incarceration guidelines as they pertain to sexual violence often go unenforced and are at best incon-

sistent. There are an estimated 600,000 sex offenders in the country and authorities have not accounted for as many as 100,000 offenders.

The ALLY Foundation does more than merely raise awareness of a problem; they're helping to solve it. Within 2 years of Ally's murder, The ALLY Foundation had already made a significant impact on public policy, including helping to pass Massachusetts's sexually dangerous commitment law—known as the Ally Zapp Law—to keep sex offenders predators off the street after they complete their criminal sentence.

Ally's tragic death and countless other attacks were the result of a legal system largely unequipped to handle the unique dynamics of sexual predation. Ally's killer should never have been free, let alone work around the general public.

In less than a decade, The ALLY Foundation has had a profound impact on public policy. It is impossible to know how many lives have been saved or how many were spared the physical and emotional scars of sexual abuse and violence. But the fact remains that thanks to The ALLY Foundation, public officials and employers are far better educated and equipped to enact laws and adjust policies to reflect the unique nature of sexual violence. I commend Andrea and Steve for all they do.

ROONEY NOMINATION

Mr. WYDEN. Mr. President, in March, I was compelled to place a hold on the nomination of Jo Ann Rooney to be Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, when I was not satisfied that the military had properly investigated the mistreatment of some members of the Oregon National Guard who were demobilizing at Joint Base Lewis-McChord, JBLM.

I am pleased to say that today I am lifting that hold.

I have had meetings and exchanged letters with Secretary of the Army John McHugh, Army Vice Chief of Staff General Peter Chiarelli, Major General Philip Volpe, Jo Ann Rooney, and Dr. Clifford Stanley, who will be Dr. Rooney's supervisor if she is confirmed. I have also received several documents related to the investigations, and written answers to more than 60 questions about the investigations.

I am satisfied that the actions taken by the Army put them on the right path to ensuring that future National Guard soldiers receive all of the care and benefits to which they are entitled.

I will continue to closely monitor the implementation of the changes the Army is making. However, I believe that Dr. Rooney would, if confirmed, work to ensure that all servicemem-

bers get appropriate medical care, and improve the demobilization process.

I would urge the Senate to quickly and positively act on Dr. Rooney's nomination.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

TRIBUTE TO THOMAS J. PAMPERIN

• Mr. AKAKA. Mr. President, I would like to take a moment today to recognize the long and distinguished career of Mr. Thomas J. Pamperin of the Department of Veterans Affairs, VA. After nearly four decades of public service, beginning with the U.S. Army and now as the VA's Deputy Under Secretary for Disability Assistance, Tom is retiring. From his days as a VA claims examiner in Milwaukee to his present leadership position, he has ably served our Nation's veterans.

Tom has earned an excellent reputation with Members of Congress and their staff, especially with that of the Senate Committee on Veterans' Affairs, of which I was proud to serve as chairman during the 109th and 110th Congresses. He also receives high praise from leaders of veterans service organizations, the Department of Defense, the Social Security Administration, and the Department of Justice. He has represented the VA with distinction before the Congress, other Federal agencies, and foreign delegations.

During my chairmanship of the Veterans' Affairs Committee, Tom was the "go to person" for matters of critical importance to veterans, especially those seeking compensation for their war wounds. When time was of the essence, he cut through the red tape and personally saw to it that the veteran got the benefits that were due. More broadly, he has led efforts to improve the delivery of benefits to all veterans, including initiatives to ease the burden of proof for those suffering from post-traumatic stress disorder, to enable veterans to begin the claims process before discharge from military service, to better coordinate the delivery of military and VA benefits, and to automate claims for higher education benefits under the new G.I. bill.

In particular, I thank Tom for the work he did in improving VA's evaluation of and ratings for veterans with traumatic brain injuries, TBI. Soon after becoming chairman of the Veterans' Affairs Committee, I asked former VA Under Secretary for Benefits, Daniel L. Cooper, about limitations on TBI ratings to 10 percent "and no more." Tom played a significant role in VA's response: He developed temporary guidance so that VA could promptly address cases where the limitation should not be applied and developed final regulations to ensure more

appropriate ratings in subsequent claims. Tom's actions had an immediate and sustained impact on the lives of veterans who were injured in Iraq and Afghanistan.

Nearly 40 years of service to the Nation demonstrates a commitment to public service matched by few. Tom has worked tirelessly to ensure that veterans receive the benefits that they deserve, a goal that has become ever more challenging with increases in the number of servicemembers returning from the conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan who have serious injuries, the demand for G.I. bill benefits, and the number of compensable illnesses. Over the course of his career, Tom has devoted himself to delivering on the Nation's promise to care for the veteran and his widow. I applaud his dedication, hard work, and countless achievements, and I ask my colleagues to join me in thanking him for his many years of service to the country and to the many veterans whose lives he has improved in such crucial ways. His record is an example of public service at its best, and I deeply appreciate his long commitment to those who have worn the nation's uniform. I wish him all the best in his future endeavors and know that all of us who have counted on him over the years will miss him.●

WORLD WAR II HEROES FLIGHT

● Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, today I wish to acknowledge and honor a very special group of veterans. In appreciation for their selfless service to our country, Brookshire's Grocery Store and Super 1 Foods have sponsored a World War II Heroes Flight that has brought 33 World War II veterans to Washington, DC, free of charge.

I want to take a moment to thank these brave veterans visiting our Nation's Capital, including six that are from Texas: John Connolly, Longview, TX; Gene Germaine, Longview, TX; Glen Kernohan, Longview, TX; Hugh Neeld, Jacksonville, TX; Dale Whitton, Tyler, TX; and Jim David Woolverton, Tyler, TX.

During this trip, these veterans will tour Arlington National cemetery, the Iwo Jima Memorial, the World War II Memorial, the United States Capitol, and other sites. This program provides many veterans with their only opportunity to see the great memorials dedicated to their service and sacrifice.

Thus, today, I ask my colleagues to join me in honoring these great Americans and thanking them for their devotion and service to our Nation.●

TRIBUTE TO REV. ROBERT A. WILD, S.J.

● Mr. KOHL. Mr. President, I wish to honor Reverend Robert A. Wild, S.J., president of Marquette University in Milwaukee. Father Wild began his du-

ties as president of Marquette University on June 17, 1996, with a pledge that he would "spare no effort in keeping Marquette on a strong and clear path into the future." As he enters retirement on July 31, 2011, it is my belief that my friend has not strayed from his pledge.

As a Catholic, Jesuit institution, Marquette promotes an academically rigorous, values-centered curriculum. Throughout his presidency, Father Wild has encouraged the practical preparation of students for work in an increasingly complex and diverse world, advocating for the formation of individuals as ethical and informed leaders in their religious, cultural, professional, and civic communities. Through this work, Father Wild has demonstrated a deep care and understanding of the development of young people.

Early in his career as president, Father Wild oversaw the rewriting of Marquette's mission statement in an effort to clearly define what all people, young and old, should strive toward on a daily basis. The mission statement was reorganized under the key values of excellence, faith, leadership and service. These values have permeated all aspects of Father Wild's tenure as he has constantly inspired the Marquette community in the fostering of personal and professional excellence, the promotion of a life of faith, and the development of leadership expressed in service to others.

Father Wild has boldly committed Marquette to making higher education accessible to all students, regardless of financial means. To accomplish this, Marquette became the first university in the country to partner with the Boys & Girls Clubs of America to offer full-tuition scholarships. This program and others have helped many first-generation students attend college, so much so that 20 percent of Marquette's students are the first in their families to attend college.

The more than 36,000 students who have received a Marquette degree under Father Wild's tenure have had the advantage of learning from renowned faculty members who work to advance knowledge and improve the world around them. Over Father Wild's career, faculty members and students have seen a 130-percent increase in total research and sponsored project dollars.

Beyond research and academics, Father Wild has placed an emphasis on community interaction that has made Marquette a focal point for discussion of the region's most important and complex societal issues. Thanks to these interactions, Marquette's presence in southeast Wisconsin is stronger than ever before. Father Wild has expanded the university's outreach through service and faculty research, and currently more than 85 percent of

Marquette students serve their community.

The physical growth of the Marquette campus is one of the most obvious indicators of Father Wild's commitment to the university. Father Wild oversaw the financing, construction and completion of a new facility for the Marquette University School of Dentistry, training the State's future dentists and promoting oral health through outreach programs that target underserved patients in six clinical sites throughout the State, making Marquette one of the largest Medicaid providers in the State. All of this growth has been fueled by unprecedented fundraising led by Father Wild.

In addition to promoting academics and service, Father Wild was strategic in helping Marquette enter the Big East Athletic Conference in 2005. In 6 short years, the school has enjoyed success in many of its athletic programs, most prominently a Sweet 16 run in the 2011 NCAA Men's Basketball Tournament.

I offer my gratitude and sincerest thanks to my friend Father Wild on his retirement from Marquette University. His presidency, based in the values of excellence, faith, leadership and service, has inspired countless individuals not only in the Marquette community but in Milwaukee and throughout Wisconsin that will be felt for years to come.●

HOOSIER ESSAY CONTEST WINNERS

● Mr. LUGAR. Mr. President, I wish today to take the opportunity to express my congratulations to the winners of the 2010-2011 Dick Lugar/Indiana Farm Bureau/Indiana Farm Bureau Insurance Companies Youth Essay Contest.

In 1985, I joined with the Indiana Farm Bureau to sponsor an essay contest for 8th grade students in my home State. The purpose of this contest is to encourage young Hoosiers to recognize and appreciate the importance of Indiana agriculture in their lives and subsequently craft an essay responding to the assigned theme. The theme chosen for this year was "Agriculture: Then and Now."

Along with my friends at the Indiana Farm Bureau and Indiana Farm Bureau Insurance Companies, I am pleased with the annual response to this contest and the quality of the essays received over the years. I applaud each of this year's participants on their thoughtful work and wish, especially, to highlight the submissions of the 2010-2011 contest winners Alexis J. Carmony of Falmouth, Indiana, and Collin Bowlin of Jasper, Indiana. I submit for the RECORD the complete text of Alexis's and Collin's respective essays. I am pleased, also, to include the names of the many district and county winners of the contest.

The essays and winners follow.

AGRICULTURE: THEN AND NOW

(By Alexis J. Carmony)

Indiana agriculture is important to me because of the basic values it instills in my life. Agriculture is about hard work, appreciation for life and living things, the importance of our soil and water conservation, perseverance, ability to overcome hardships, and being creative in dealing with factors beyond man's control.

Indiana agriculture has an amazing responsibility. Years ago, Indiana farmers were proud to feed their families from the farm; today Indiana farmers are proud to feed the world from their farms. The heart of Indiana agriculture has not changed from decades ago. Past generations had to physically work hard to produce their product, whereas the present generations have to work as hard for the skill it takes to market their product.

From the time I went with my great grandfather to feed his cattle and sensed his love for those animals, I was hooked on agriculture. Even when those animals did not cooperate, grandpa still loved them. He has cared for livestock for years, and he enjoys it today as he did years ago. My great grandfather continues to be active in Indiana agriculture today and models what agriculture is all about: hard work, appreciation for livestock and plants, and good stewardship of our earth.

In closing, I will leave you with a quote from William Jennings Bryan, "American Politician and Orator"; 1860-1925, that summarizes my thoughts on Indiana agriculture. "Burn down your cities and leave our farms, and your cities will spring up again by magic; but destroy our farms and the grass will grow in the streets of every city in the country." I believe agriculture was the foundation of our country then and still is today.

AGRICULTURE: THEN AND NOW

(By Collin Bowlin)

Slowly standing up from the seat of his Case-IH tractor in which he has been sitting for the past two hours, he wears a smile that turns into a grimace until the shooting pain eases and his knee pops back into place. The pain in his knee is the result of the many years of hard work he has put into farming this land. Steadily stepping down from the tractor, he points to where he stopped making the windrows of hay and now I climb onto the tractor. It is my turn to take over, where he left off.

He has made his livelihood from farming these rolling hills in southern Indiana, growing crops of corn, soybeans, and hay, and raising livestock which include beef cattle, swine, and poultry. He is my grandfather. He has instilled in me to take good care of these blessings, land and livestock. As a young boy, Grandpa worked the land with a team of horses. Now we have horsepower to more efficiently produce the food that people rely upon every day. After his eighth grade year in school, Grandpa had no choice but to end his schooling early in order to help out on his family's farm. As an eighth grade student today, I have many choices, and I know I will attend college in the future.

My love for raising, showing, and judging beef cattle and pigs has given me many opportunities. Agriculture will always play a huge role in my life. I am proud to be one voice supporting the agricultural industry which provides food, fiber, and fuel to the world. The economic and environmental issues facing farmers today need to be addressed by knowledgeable people. So now, it

is my turn to take over, where Grandpa left off.

2010-2011 DISTRICT ESSAY WINNERS

DISTRICT 1

Gabrielle Carlson, Quinn McGovern.

DISTRICT 2

Katie Lopshire, William Joseph Rockey.

DISTRICT 3

Shelbi Perry, Dakota Burghardt.

DISTRICT 4

Rachel Girod, Nathan Chou.

DISTRICT 5

Shane Slaven, Kiersten Mundy.

DISTRICT 6

Katie Pfaff, Max Keller.

DISTRICT 7

Easton Booe, Whitney Halfhill.

DISTRICT 8

Josh Orschell, Alexis J. Carmony.

DISTRICT 9

Anna Hagedorn, Collin Bowlin.

DISTRICT 10

Amber Moore, Clayton Pottschmidt.

2010-2011 COUNTY ESSAY WINNERS

ADAMS

Eli Hill, Adams Central Middle School; Rachel Girod, Bellmont Middle School.

ALLEN

Robert Ottenweller and Aubrey Fespel, Saint Joseph Hessen Cassel School.

BARTHOLOMEW

Mark Buffo and Meredith Dickerson, Central Middle School.

CLARK

David Elias Book, Borden Junior-Senior High School.

CLAY

Easton Booe, Clay City Junior-Senior High School; Whitney Halfhill, North Clay Middle School.

DEARBORN

Matthew Bourquein, Sunman-Dearborn Middle School.

DECATUR

Byron Haley and Sarah Gilley, South Decatur Junior-Senior High School.

DELAWARE

Joseph Dorton and Chelsie Taylor, Delta Middle School.

DUBOIS

Collin Bowlin, Jasper Middle School; Anna Hagedorn, Forest Park Junior-Senior High School.

ELKHART

Doris Mullett, Clinton Central Junior-Senior High School.

FLOYD

Ryan Didat and Erin Embrey, Our Lady of Perpetual Help School.

FRANKLIN

Josh Orschell, St. Michael School; Ella Knight, Mount Carmel School.

GREENE

Drew Witty and Aubri Lehman, Linton-Stockton Junior High School.

HAMILTON

Matthew Hodges and Paige Bousamra, Carmel Middle School.

HENRY

Jake Wicker and Katie Pfaff, Tri Junior-Senior High School.

HOWARD

Nathan Chou and Ava McClure, Northwestern Middle School.

JACKSON

Clayton Pottschmidt, Immanuel Lutheran School; Jaylyn Quade, St. John's Lutheran School.

JASPER

Sydney Dobson and Austin Fleming, Rensselaer Central Middle School.

JAY

Brandon Muhlenkamp and Catherine Dunn, East Jay Middle School.

JEFFERSON

Kaitlyn Boehm, Shawe Memorial Junior-Senior High School.

LAKE

William Barney and Teresa Vazquez, Our Lady of Grace School.

LAWRENCE

Anna Hawkins, St. Vincent de Paul Catholic School.

MARION

Max Keller, Immaculate Heart of Mary School; Emma Moore, Creston Middle School.

NOBLE

William Joseph Rockey and Rachel Flory, Central Noble Middle School.

ORANGE

Andrew Hawkins, Orleans Junior-Senior High School; Keisha Levi, Paoli Junior-Senior High School.

PARKE

Shane Slaven and Molly Jones, Rockville Junior-Senior High School.

PORTER

William Alex Sanders, Kouts Middle School; Gabrielle Carlson, Victory Christian Academy.

PULASKI

Quinn McGovern, Winamac Middle School.

PUTNAM

Troy Davis and Kiersten Mundy, Cloverdale Middle School.

RIPLEY

Liam Tuveson and Brooke Siefert, Saint Louis Catholic School.

RUSH

Austin Rogers and Sarah Innis, Benjamin Rush Middle School.

SCOTT

Sarah Grace Hamelman, Scottsburg Middle School.

ST. JOSEPH

Nicholas Kuyers and Adeline Jongsma, Covenant Christian School.

STEUBEN

Sam Wilcox and Katie Lopshire, Angola Middle School.

SWITZERLAND

Riley Phagan and Amber Moore, Switzerland County Middle School.

VANDERBURGH

Cooper Pratt, Plaza Park Middle School; Megan O'Leary, Good Shepherd School.

VERMILLION

David Craft and Bethany Lewis, North Vermillion Junior-Senior High School.

WABASH

Devin Tracy and Jensen Zumbaugh, Northfield Junior-Senior High School.

WARREN

Curitis White and Maddie Rhea, Seeger Memorial Junior-Senior High School.

WAYNE

Evan Liggett and Michaela Castleman, Centerville Junior High School.

WHITE

Dakota Burghardt and Shelbi Perry, Frontier Junior High School.●

MESSAGES FROM THE PRESIDENT

Messages from the President of the United States were communicated to the Senate by Mr. Pate, one of his secretaries.

EXECUTIVE MESSAGES REFERRED

As in executive session the Presiding Officer laid before the Senate messages from the President of the United States submitting sundry nominations and a withdrawal which were referred to the appropriate committees.

(The nominations received today are printed at the end of the Senate proceedings.)

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

At 2:12 p.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Mrs. Cole, one of its reading clerks, announced that pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 276h, and the order of the House of January 5, 2011, the Speaker appointed the following Member of the House of Representatives to the Mexico-United States Interparliamentary Group: Mr. PASTOR of Arizona.

MEASURES PLACED ON THE CALENDAR

The following bill was read the second time, and placed on the calendar:

H.R. 3. An act to prohibit taxpayer funded abortions and to provide for conscience protections, and for other purposes.

EXECUTIVE AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS

The following communications were laid before the Senate, together with accompanying papers, reports, and documents, and were referred as indicated:

EC-1512. A communication from the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Farm Credit Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to the Administration's 2011 compensation program adjustments; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC-1513. A communication from the Secretary of Defense, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to the current and future military strategy of Iran (DCN OSS 2011-0754); to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-1514. A communication from the Assistant Secretary, Bureau of Political-Military Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, an addendum to a certification, transmittal number: DDTC 11-008, of the proposed sale or export of defense articles, including technical data, and defense services to a Middle East country regarding

any possible affects such a sale might have relating to Israel's Qualitative Military Edge over military threats to Israel; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-1515. A joint communication from the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Energy, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to nuclear weapons (DCN OSS 2011-0746); to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-1516. A communication from the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics), transmitting, pursuant to law, a report entitled "Report on Activities and Programs for Countering Proliferation and NBC Terrorism" (DCN OSS 2011-0758); to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-1517. A communication from the Deputy Secretary of Defense, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization's Third Quarter Report for Calendar Year 2010 (DCN OSS Control 2011-2137); to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-1518. A communication from the Assistant Secretary, Bureau of Political-Military Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, an addendum to a certification, transmittal number: DDTC 11-009, of the proposed sale or export of defense articles, including technical data, and defense services to a Middle East country regarding any possible affects such a sale might have relating to Israel's Qualitative Military Edge over military threats to Israel; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-1519. A communication from the Director of Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy, Department of Defense, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement: Guidance on Personal Services" ((RIN0750-AG72) (DFARS Case 2009-D028)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 4, 2011; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-1520. A communication from the Director of Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy, Department of Defense, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement: Electronic Ordering Procedures" ((RIN0750-AH20) (DFARS Case 2009-D037)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 4, 2011; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-1521. A communication from the Deputy Secretary of Defense, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to the activities of the Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-1522. A communication from the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Reserve Affairs), transmitting a report relative to additional Reserve component equipment procurement and military construction; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-1523. A communication from the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Legislative Affairs), transmitting legislative proposals relative to the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-1524. A communication from the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Legislative Affairs), transmitting a legislative proposal relative to the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-1525. A communication from the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration, Bureau of Industry and Security, Depart-

ment of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Wassenaar Arrangement 2010 Plenary Agreements Implementation: Categories 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 Parts I and II, 6, 7, 8, and 9 of the Commerce Control List, Definitions, Reports" (RIN0694-AF11) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 4, 2011; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-1526. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Office of Policy, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Connecticut: Prevention of Significant Deterioration; Greenhouse Gas Permitting Authority and Tailoring Rule Revision" (FRL No. 9286-4) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 4, 2011; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-1527. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Office of Policy, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Multi-walled Carbon Nanotubes; Significant New Use Rule" (FRL No. 8865-4) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 4, 2011; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-1528. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Office of Policy, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Imperial County Air Pollution Control District (ICAPCD)" (FRL No. 9292-4) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 4, 2011; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-1529. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Office of Policy, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District" (FRL No. 9292-7) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 4, 2011; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-1530. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Office of Policy, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Wisconsin: Incorporation by Reference of Approved State Hazardous Waste Management Program" (FRL No. 9293-9) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 4, 2011; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-1531. A communication from the Director of Human Resources, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to a vacancy in the position of Assistant Administrator for Water, received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 4, 2011; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-1532. A communication from the Director of Congressional Affairs, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Nuclear Power Plant Stimulation Facilities for Use in Operator Training, License Examinations, and Applicant Experience Requirements" (Regulatory Guide 1.149, Revision 4) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on April 18, 2011; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-1533. A communication from the Regulations Coordinator, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Medicare Program: Hospital Inpatient Value-Based Purchasing Program" (RIN0938-AQ55) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 4, 2011; to the Committee on Finance.

EC-1534. A communication from the Regulations Coordinator, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Medicare and Medicaid Programs: Changes Affecting Hospital and Critical Access Hospital Conditions of Participation: Telemedicine Credentialing and Privileging" (RIN0938-AQ05) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 4, 2011; to the Committee on Finance.

EC-1535. A communication from the Regulations Coordinator, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Medicare Program; Inpatient Psychiatric Facilities Prospective Payment System—Update for Rate Year Beginning July 1, 2011 (RY2012)" (RIN0938-AQ23) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 4, 2011; to the Committee on Finance.

EC-1536. A communication from the Chief of the Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Section 118 Clean Coal" (Rev. Proc. 2011-30) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 4, 2011; to the Committee on Finance.

EC-1537. A communication from the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Legislative Affairs), transmitting a legislative proposal relative to the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC-1538. A communication from the Acting Assistant Secretary of Legislative Affairs, U.S. Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, the 2010 annual report on voting practices in the United Nations; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC-1539. A communication from the Assistant Legal Adviser for Treaty Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to the Case-Zablocki Act, 1 U.S.C. 112b, as amended, the report of the texts and background statements of international agreements, other than treaties (List 2011-0053—2011-0067); to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC-1540. A communication from the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Legislative Affairs), transmitting a legislative proposal relative to the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC-1541. A communication from the Chief Human Capital Officer, Corporation for National and Community Service, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to a vacancy in the position of Inspector General at the Corporation for National and Community Service; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC-1542. A communication from the Chairman of the Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report on D.C. Act 19-53 "District of Columbia Board of Elections and Ethics Primary Date Alteration Amendment Act of 2011"; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-1543. A communication from the Chairman of the Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report on D.C. Act 19-54 "Third and H Streets, N.E. Economic Development Technical Clarification Temporary Act of 2011"; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-1544. A communication from the Chairman of the Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report on D.C. Act 19-55 "Real Property Tax Appeals Commission Establishment Temporary Amendment Act of 2011"; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-1545. A communication from the Chairman of the Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report on D.C. Act 19-56 "Clean and Affordable Energy Fiscal Year 2011 Fund Balance Temporary Amendment Act of 2011"; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-1546. A communication from the Chairman of the Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report on D.C. Act 19-57 "Not-for-Profit Hospital Corporation Board Chairperson Designation Temporary Amendment Act of 2011"; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-1547. A communication from the Chairman of the Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report on D.C. Act 19-58 "Allen Chapel A.M.E. Senior Residential Rental Project Property Tax Exemption Clarification Temporary Act of 2011"; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-1548. A communication from the Chairman, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Inspector General's Semiannual Report for the six-month period from October 1, 2010 through March 31, 2011; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-1549. A communication from the Chief of the Border Securities Regulations Branch, Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Technical Corrections to Remove Obsolete References to Non-automated Carriers from Electronic Cargo Manifest Regulations and to Update Terminology" (CBP Dec. 11-10) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 4, 2011; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-1550. A communication from the Staff Director, United States Commission on Civil Rights, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of the appointment of members to the Alabama Advisory Committee; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

EC-1551. A communication from the Staff Director, United States Commission on Civil Rights, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of the appointment of members to the Illinois Advisory Committee; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

EC-1552. A communication from the Staff Director, United States Commission on Civil Rights, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of the appointment of members to the Minnesota Advisory Committee; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

EC-1553. A communication from the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Legislative Affairs), transmitting legislative proposals relative to the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

EC-1554. A communication from the Director, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to time limitations established for deciding habeas corpus death penalty petitions; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

EC-1555. A communication from the Secretary of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, an annual report entitled "Report to the Congress on the Refugee Resettlement Program"; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

EC-1556. A communication from the Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legislative Affairs, Department of Justice, transmitting, pursuant to law, an annual report on applications made by the Government for authority to conduct electronic surveillance and physical searches during calendar year 2010; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

EC-1557. A communication from the Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legislative Affairs, Department of Justice, transmitting, pursuant to law, an annual report on the Department's activities during calendar years 2008 and 2009 relative to prison rape abatement; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

EC-1558. A communication from the Chair, U.S. Sentencing Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the amendments to the federal sentencing guidelines that were proposed by the Commission during the 2010-2011 amendment cycle; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

EC-1559. A communication from the Clerk, United States Court of Appeal, transmitting an opinion of the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

EC-1560. A communication from the Deputy General Counsel, Office of Financial Assistance, Small Business Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Intermediary Lending Pilot Program" (RIN3245-AG18) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 4, 2011; to the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship.

EC-1561. A communication from the Associate Administrator, Office of Government Contracting and Business Development, Small Business Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, an annual 408 Report on the 8(a) Business Development Program; to the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship.

EC-1562. A communication from the Acting Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legislative Affairs, Department of Justice, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report entitled "Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act of 1994 (USERRA) Quarterly Report to Congress; Second Quarter of Fiscal Year 2011"; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

EC-1563. A communication from the Director of the Regulations Policy and Management Office, Veterans Health Administration, Department of Veterans Affairs, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Caregivers Program" (RIN2900-AN94) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on May 4, 2011; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

The following reports of committees were submitted:

By Mr. LIEBERMAN, from the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, with an amendment:

S. 498. A bill to ensure objective, independent review of task and delivery orders (Rept. No. 112-16).

EXECUTIVE REPORTS OF COMMITTEE

The following executive reports of nominations were submitted:

By Mr. LEAHY for the Committee on the Judiciary.

Lisa O. Monaco, of the District of Columbia, to be an Assistant Attorney General.

Bernice Bouie Donald, of Tennessee, to be United States Circuit Judge for the Sixth Circuit.

Virginia A. Seitz, of the District of Columbia, to be an Assistant Attorney General.

Denise Ellen O'Donnell, of New York, to be Director of the Bureau of Justice Assistance.

(Nominations without an asterisk were reported with the recommendation that they be confirmed.)

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

By Mrs. SHAHEEN (for herself and Ms. AYOTTE):

S. 910. A bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to ensure that veterans in each of the 48 contiguous States are able to receive services in at least one full-service Department of Veterans Affairs medical center in the State or receive comparable services provided by contract in the State, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

By Mr. ROCKEFELLER (for himself and Mrs. HUTCHISON):

S. 911. A bill to establish the sense of Congress that Congress should enact, and the President should sign, bipartisan legislation to strengthen public safety and to enhance wireless communications; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

By Mr. WICKER:

S. 912. A bill to prevent foreign states that do business, issue securities, or borrow money in the United States, and fail to satisfy United States court judgments totaling \$100,000,000 or more based on such activities, from inflicting further economic injuries in the United States, from undermining the integrity of United States courts, and from discouraging responsible lending to poor and developing nations by undermining the secondary and primary markets for sovereign debt; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

By Mr. ROCKEFELLER:

S. 913. A bill to require the Federal Trade Commission to prescribe regulations regarding the collection and use of personal information obtained by tracking the online activity of an individual, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

By Mr. BEGICH (for himself, Mr. GRASSLEY, and Mr. TESTER):

S. 914. A bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to authorize the waiver of the collection of copayments for telehealth and telemedicine visits of veterans, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

By Mr. SANDERS:

S. 915. A bill to provide for health care for every American and to control the cost and

enhance the quality of the health care system; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. BINGAMAN:

S. 916. A bill to facilitate appropriate oil and gas development on Federal land and waters, to limit dependence of the United States on foreign sources of oil and gas, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

By Mr. BINGAMAN:

S. 917. A bill to amend the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act to reform the management of energy and mineral resources on the Outer Continental Shelf, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

By Mr. BAUCUS:

S. 918. A bill to direct the Secretary of Transportation to carry out programs and activities to improve highway safety; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

By Mr. HARKIN (for himself and Mrs. GILLIBRAND):

S. 919. A bill to authorize grant programs to ensure successful, safe, and healthy students; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Mr. BLUNT (for himself, Ms. STABENOW, and Mrs. McCASKILL):

S. 920. A bill to create clean energy jobs and set efficiency standards for small-duct high-velocity air conditioning and heat pump systems, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

By Mr. SCHUMER (for himself, Mr. KYL, and Mr. KIRK):

S. 921. A bill to allow otherwise eligible Israeli nationals to receive E-2 non-immigrant visas if similarly situated United States nationals are eligible for similar non-immigrant status in Israel; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mrs. GILLIBRAND:

S. 922. A bill to amend the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 to authorize the Secretary of Labor to provide grants for Urban Jobs Programs, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Mr. VITTER (for himself, Ms. AYOTTE, Mr. KYL, and Mr. INHOFE):

S. 923. A bill to withhold United States contributions to the United Nations until the United Nations formally retracts the final report of the "United Nations Fact Finding Mission on the Gaza Conflict"; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

By Mr. BEGICH:

S. 924. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide commuter flexible spending arrangements, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mrs. BOXER:

S. 925. A bill to designate Mt. Andrea Lawrence; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

By Mr. MENENDEZ (for himself, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Ms. MIKULSKI, and Mr. CARDIN):

S. 926. A bill to amend the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act to permanently prohibit the conduct of offshore drilling on the outer Continental Shelf in the Mid-Atlantic and North Atlantic planning areas; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

SUBMISSION OF CONCURRENT AND SENATE RESOLUTIONS

The following concurrent resolutions and Senate resolutions were read, and referred (or acted upon), as indicated:

By Ms. KLOBUCHAR (for herself and Mr. THUNE):

S. Res. 173. A resolution designating the week of May 1 through May 7, 2011, as "National Physical Education and Sport Week"; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. LIEBERMAN (for himself and Ms. COLLINS):

S. Res. 174. A resolution expressing the sense of the Senate that effective sharing of passenger information from inbound international flight manifests is a crucial component of our national security and that the Department of Homeland Security must maintain the information sharing standards required under the 2007 Passenger Name Record Agreement between the United States and the European Union; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 146

At the request of Mr. BAUCUS, the name of the Senator from Arkansas (Mr. BOOZMAN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 146, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to extend the work opportunity credit to certain recently discharged veterans.

S. 296

At the request of Ms. KLOBUCHAR, the name of the Senator from New York (Mr. SCHUMER) was added as a cosponsor of S. 296, a bill to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to provide the Food and Drug Administration with improved capacity to prevent drug shortages.

S. 390

At the request of Mr. WEBB, the name of the Senator from Nebraska (Mr. NELSON) was added as a cosponsor of S. 390, a bill to ensure that the right of an individual to display the Service Flag on residential property not be abridged.

S. 394

At the request of Mr. KOHL, the names of the Senator from Ohio (Mr. BROWN) and the Senator from West Virginia (Mr. MANCHIN) were added as cosponsors of S. 394, a bill to amend the Sherman Act to make oil-producing and exporting cartels illegal.

S. 426

At the request of Mr. SANDERS, the name of the Senator from Washington (Mrs. MURRAY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 426, a bill to strengthen student achievement and graduation rates and prepare young people for college, careers, and citizenship through innovative partnerships that meet the comprehensive needs of children and youth.

S. 465

At the request of Mrs. GILLIBRAND, the name of the Senator from Ohio (Mr. BROWN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 465, a bill to prevent mail, telemarketing, and Internet fraud targeting seniors in the United States, to promote efforts to increase public awareness of the enormous impact that mail, telemarketing, and Internet

fraud have on seniors, to educate the public, seniors, and their families, and their caregivers about how to identify and combat fraudulent activity, and for other purposes.

S. 481

At the request of Mr. HARKIN, the name of the Senator from Wisconsin (Mr. KOHL) was added as a cosponsor of S. 481, a bill to enhance and further research into the prevention and treatment of eating disorders, to improve access to treatment of eating disorders, and for other purposes.

S. 486

At the request of Mr. WHITEHOUSE, the name of the Senator from Florida (Mr. NELSON) was added as a cosponsor of S. 486, a bill to amend the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act to enhance protections for members of the uniformed services relating to mortgages, mortgage foreclosure, and eviction, and for other purposes.

S. 489

At the request of Mr. REED, the name of the Senator from Michigan (Mr. LEVIN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 489, a bill to require certain mortgages to evaluate loans for modifications, to establish a grant program for State and local government mediation programs, and for other purposes.

S. 496

At the request of Mr. MCCAIN, the name of the Senator from New Hampshire (Ms. AYOTTE) was added as a cosponsor of S. 496, a bill to amend the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act to repeal a duplicative program relating to inspection and grading of catfish.

S. 501

At the request of Mr. THUNE, the name of the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. JOHNSON) was added as a cosponsor of S. 501, a bill to establish pilot projects under the Medicare program to provide incentives for home health agencies to utilize home monitoring and communications technologies.

S. 506

At the request of Mr. CASEY, the name of the Senator from Colorado (Mr. UDALL) was added as a cosponsor of S. 506, a bill to amend the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 to address and take action to prevent bullying and harassment of students.

S. 534

At the request of Mr. KERRY, the name of the Senator from Alaska (Mr. BEGICH) was added as a cosponsor of S. 534, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide a reduced rate of excise tax on beer produced domestically by certain small producers.

S. 542

At the request of Mr. BEGICH, the name of the Senator from Arkansas (Mr. PRYOR) was added as a cosponsor of S. 542, a bill to amend title 10,

United States Code, to authorize space-available travel on military aircraft for members of the reserve components, a member or former member of a reserve component who is eligible for retired pay but for age, widows and widowers of retired members, and dependents.

S. 576

At the request of Mr. HARKIN, the names of the Senator from Alaska (Mr. BEGICH), the Senator from Ohio (Mr. BROWN), the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. LAUTENBERG) and the Senator from New York (Mrs. GILLIBRAND) were added as cosponsors of S. 576, a bill to amend the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 to improve standards for physical education.

S. 625

At the request of Ms. KLOBUCHAR, the names of the Senator from Vermont (Mr. LEAHY) and the Senator from Vermont (Mr. SANDERS) were added as cosponsors of S. 625, a bill to amend title 23, United States Code, to incorporate regional transportation planning organizations into statewide transportation planning, and for other purposes.

S. 634

At the request of Mr. SCHUMER, the name of the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. BROWN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 634, a bill to ensure that the courts of the United States may provide an impartial forum for claims brought by United States citizens and others against any railroad organized as a separate legal entity, arising from the deportation of United States citizens and others to Nazi concentration camps on trains owned or operated by such railroad, and by the heirs and survivors of such persons.

S. 641

At the request of Mr. DURBIN, the name of the Senator from Delaware (Mr. COONS) was added as a cosponsor of S. 641, a bill to provide 100,000,000 people with first-time access to safe drinking water and sanitation on a sustainable basis within six years by improving the capacity of the United States Government to fully implement the Senator Paul Simon Water for the Poor Act of 2005.

S. 668

At the request of Mr. CORNYN, the names of the Senator from Arkansas (Mr. BOOZMAN) and the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. HOEVEN) were added as cosponsors of S. 668, a bill to remove unelected, unaccountable bureaucrats from seniors' personal health decisions by repealing the Independent Payment Advisory Board.

S. 720

At the request of Mr. THUNE, the name of the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. JOHNSON) was withdrawn as a cosponsor of S. 720, a bill to repeal the CLASS program.

S. 730

At the request of Ms. MURKOWSKI, the names of the Senator from Hawaii (Mr. AKAKA) and the Senator from Hawaii (Mr. INOUE) were added as cosponsors of S. 730, a bill to provide for the settlement of certain claims under the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act, and for other purposes.

S. 738

At the request of Ms. STABENOW, the name of the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. MENENDEZ) was added as a cosponsor of S. 738, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide for Medicare coverage of comprehensive Alzheimer's disease and related dementia diagnosis and services in order to improve care and outcomes for Americans living with Alzheimer's disease and related dementias by improving detection, diagnosis, and care planning.

S. 740

At the request of Mr. REED, the name of the Senator from North Dakota (Mr. CONRAD) was added as a cosponsor of S. 740, a bill to revise and extend provisions under the Garrett Lee Smith Memorial Act.

S. 781

At the request of Mr. THUNE, the name of the Senator from Arkansas (Mr. BOOZMAN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 781, a bill to amend the Clean Air Act to conform the definition of renewable biomass to the definition given the term in the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002.

S. 807

At the request of Mr. ENZI, the names of the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. BURR) and the Senator from North Carolina (Mrs. HAGAN) were added as cosponsors of S. 807, a bill to authorize the Department of Labor's voluntary protection program and to expand the program to include more small businesses.

S. 838

At the request of Mr. TESTER, the name of the Senator from Alaska (Ms. MURKOWSKI) was added as a cosponsor of S. 838, a bill to amend the Toxic Substances Control Act to clarify the jurisdiction of the Environmental Protection Agency with respect to certain sporting good articles, and to exempt those articles from a definition under that Act.

S. 853

At the request of Mrs. HAGAN, the name of the Senator from Washington (Mrs. MURRAY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 853, a bill to provide for financial literacy education.

S. 868

At the request of Mr. HATCH, the name of the Senator from Oklahoma (Mr. INHOFE) was added as a cosponsor of S. 868, a bill to restore the long-standing partnership between the States and the Federal Government in managing the Medicaid program.

S. 877

At the request of Mr. HATCH, the name of the Senator from Texas (Mr. CORNYN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 877, a bill to prevent taxpayer-funded elective abortions by applying the longstanding policy of the Hyde amendment to the new health care law.

S. 878

At the request of Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, the name of the Senator from Nebraska (Mr. JOHANNIS) was added as a cosponsor of S. 878, a bill to amend section 520 of the Housing Act of 1949 to revise the requirements for areas to be considered as rural areas for purposes of such Act.

S. 883

At the request of Mr. LIEBERMAN, the name of the Senator from Louisiana (Ms. LANDRIEU) was added as a cosponsor of S. 883, a bill to authorize National Mall Liberty Fund D.C. to establish a memorial on Federal land in the District of Columbia to honor free persons and slaves who fought for independence, liberty, and justice for all during the American Revolution.

S. 896

At the request of Mr. BINGAMAN, the name of the Senator from California (Mrs. BOXER) was added as a cosponsor of S. 896, a bill to amend the Public Land Corps Act of 1993 to expand the authorization of the Secretaries of Agriculture, Commerce, and the Interior to provide service opportunities for young Americans; help restore the nation's natural, cultural, historic, archaeological, recreational and scenic resources; train a new generation of public land managers and enthusiasts; and promote the value of public service.

S. RES. 80

At the request of Mr. KIRK, the name of the Senator from Alaska (Mr. BEGICH) was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 80, a resolution condemning the Government of Iran for its state-sponsored persecution of its Baha'i minority and its continued violation of the International Covenants on Human Rights.

S. RES. 150

At the request of Mr. INHOFE, the name of the Senator from Indiana (Mr. COATS) was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 150, a resolution calling for the protection of religious minority rights and freedoms in the Arab world.

S. RES. 172

At the request of Mrs. FEINSTEIN, the names of the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. LAUTENBERG), the Senator from Oklahoma (Mr. INHOFE), the Senator from Georgia (Mr. ISAKSON) and the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. BROWN) were added as cosponsors of S. Res. 172, a resolution recognizing the importance of cancer research and the contributions made by scientists and clinicians across the United States who are dedicated to finding a cure for can-

cer, and designating May 2011, as "National Cancer Research Month".

STATEMENTS ON INTRODUCED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

By Mrs. SHAHEEN (for herself and Ms. AYOTTE):

S. 910. A bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to ensure that veterans in each of the 48 contiguous States are able to receive services in at least one full-service Department of Veterans Affairs medical center in the State or receive comparable services provided by contract in the State, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

Mrs. SHAHEEN. Mr. President, today I am introducing the Veterans Health Equity Act of 2011. This bill would require the Department of Veterans Affairs to ensure that every State has either a full-service veterans hospital or, in the alternative, that veterans in every State have access to comparable in-state hospital care and medical services. I am pleased that my colleague from New Hampshire, Senator AYOTTE, has agreed to be an original cosponsor of this measure.

New Hampshire is currently the only State that does not have either a full-service veterans medical center or a military hospital providing comparable services to veterans. While the staff of the Manchester VA Medical Center does an excellent job of caring for our State's veterans, this facility does not provide inpatient surgical care, emergency services or care in a number of critical specialties. This imposes a great burden on many New Hampshire veterans who are forced to travel out of state for a range of medical services.

New Hampshire has over 130,000 veterans and this number continues to grow as our troops return from major deployments in the Middle East. It is unconscionable that our veterans must board shuttles to larger VA facilities in Massachusetts or Vermont to get the medical care they have been promised in exchange for their service. Often, especially during the winter months, travel is difficult in New England, and our veterans should not be forced to drive long distances in order to receive the medical care they have earned and deserve.

Our goal is to ensure that New Hampshire veterans get the care they need as close to home as possible. This legislation provides the Department of Veterans Affairs with the flexibility to achieve this end in the most cost-effective manner. If it is not feasible for the VA to construct a new full-service hospital in New Hampshire or to provide the full panoply of hospital services at its existing medical center in Manchester, the legislation simply requires the VA to contract with other health providers to offer comparable in-state care.

I introduced similar legislation in the 111th Congress with our former colleague, Senator Judd Gregg. Since that time, the VA has established an effective contractual relationship with one hospital in New Hampshire, Concord Hospital, to expand in-state care for our veterans. I believe this type of partnership could be readily expanded. I have begun working with officials at the Department of Veterans Affairs to find innovative ways to enhance public-private health care partnerships in New Hampshire and look forward to furthering that dialogue.

Our veterans deserve access to first-rate medical care, regardless of where they live. There are full-service veterans hospitals in 47 States and veterans in Alaska and Hawaii are able to receive care at military hospitals. New Hampshire alone has neither. I am hopeful that my colleagues will recognize this inequity and support this effort to provide New Hampshire veterans with the same access to quality local health care that veterans in every other State enjoy.

I look forward to working with the entire New Hampshire congressional delegation, with my Senate colleagues and with the Obama administration to end this injustice.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 910

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Veterans Health Equity Act of 2011".

SEC. 2. AVAILABILITY OF FULL-SERVICE DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS MEDICAL CENTERS IN CERTAIN STATES OR PROVISION OF COMPARABLE SERVICES THROUGH CONTRACT WITH OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS IN THE STATE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 17 of title 38, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1706 the following new section: "**§ 1706A. Management of health care: access to full-service Department medical centers in certain States or comparable services through contract**

"(a) REQUIREMENT.—With respect to each of the 48 contiguous States, the Secretary shall ensure that veterans in the State eligible for hospital care and medical services under section 1710 of this title have access—

"(1) to at least one full-service Department medical center in the State; or

"(2) to hospital care and medical services comparable to the services typically provided by full-service Department medical centers through contract with other health care providers in the State.

"(b) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in subsection (a) shall be construed to limit the ability of the Secretary to provide enhanced care to an eligible veteran who resides in one State in a Department medical center in another State.

"(c) LIMITATION ON REQUIREMENT.—Subsection (a) shall be effective in any fiscal

year only to the extent and in the amount provided in advance in appropriations Acts.

“(d) FULL-SERVICE DEPARTMENT MEDICAL CENTER DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘full-service Department medical center’ means a facility of the Department that provides medical services, including hospital care, emergency medical services, and surgical care rated by the Secretary as having a surgical complexity level of standard.”

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1706 the following new item:

“1706A. Management of health care: access to full-service Department medical centers in certain States or comparable services through contract.”

(c) REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall submit to Congress a report describing the extent to which the Secretary has complied with the requirement imposed by section 1706A of title 38, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), including the effect of such requirement on improving the quality and standards of care provided to veterans.

Ms. AYOTTE. Mr. President, I rise today to highlight the Veteran's Health Equity Act, a bill I am introducing with my colleague from the Granite State, Senator JEANNE SHAHEEN. I am pleased to support this bipartisan legislation that addresses an issue of importance to our Nation's heroic military veterans, especially in my home State of New Hampshire.

As a military spouse, I personally understand the commitment and sacrifice required of our service members and their families, and I am fully committed to ensuring that our heroes have access to the support and care they have earned. The bill we are introducing would level the playing field for veterans by requiring the Department of Veterans Affairs to guarantee that veterans in every State have access to hospital care within their borders. As it stands now, New Hampshire is the only state in the nation without a full-service VA hospital or military hospital providing equivalent care to veterans. Specifically, the Veteran's Health Equity Act would require the VA to either provide a full-service VA hospital in every State or contract with civilian hospitals to provide veterans with a comparable level of care.

While some States, like Alaska and Hawaii, rely on large military medical facilities to compensate for gaps in VA medical care, New Hampshire lacks the military medical facilities to compensate for a lack of a full-service VA hospital. Yet, New Hampshire has one of the highest rates of veterans per capita in the country. New Hampshire veterans must travel out of State to Maine, Massachusetts, or Vermont to access certain kinds of specialty care. Elderly veterans are often bused by volunteers during the treacherous winter months to an out of state service provider only to have their appoint-

ment canceled. Simply put, the lack of a full-service VA hospital in New Hampshire is unacceptable and our veterans deserve better.

As a member of the Armed Services Committee, I will continue to press for a full-service VA hospital in New Hampshire and explore all legislative remedies to ensure that our New Hampshire veterans receive the care they deserve. My 95 year old grandfather, John Sullivan, a World War II veteran, and veterans like him who have selflessly served our country, have earned high-quality medical care that is commensurate with their courageous service. We must honor our commitments to America's brave veterans. The Veteran's Health Equity Act will help ensure every veteran in the United States can access quality medical care without having to travel to another State.

By Mr. ROCKEFELLER:

S. 913. A bill to require the Federal Trade Commission to prescribe regulations regarding the collection and use of personal information obtained by tracking the online activity of an individual, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

Mr. ROCKEFELLER. Mr. President, I rise to introduce the Do-Not-Track Online Act of 2011; and I ask for unanimous consent that the bill be printed for the record. This bill is a first step towards furthering consumer privacy by empowering Americans with the ability to control their personal information and prevent online companies from collecting and using that information, if they so choose.

Do-Not-Track is a simple concept. It allows consumers, with a simple click of the mouse or the press of the button, to tell the entire online world, “Do not collect information about me. I care about my privacy. And I do not want my information used in ways I do not expect or approve of.” Under my bill, online companies would have to honor that user declaration, and cease the information collection and use practices to which consumers have said, “no.” My bill would direct the Federal Trade Commission to issue regulations that establish standards for a do-not-track mechanism and obligate online companies to accommodate that consumer preference.

This bill is necessary because Americans' privacy is increasingly under surveillance as they conduct their affairs online. Whether it is a mother at home on a computer researching the symptoms of her sick child, a man exploring how to change jobs or buy a home, or a teenager using her smartphone while riding the subway, online companies are collecting vast amounts of information about all of this activity, often surreptitiously and with consumers completely unaware. There are a vast

array of companies collecting this information in numerous ways: third-party advertising networks place “cookies” on computer web-browsers to keep track of the websites consumers have visited; analytic and marketing companies identify individual computers by recognizing the unique configuration, or “fingerprint,” of web-browsers; and software applications installed on mobile devices, colloquially known as “apps”, that collect, use, and disseminate information about consumer location, contact information, and other personal matters. All of this information is being stored on computer servers around the world and is used for a variety of purposes, ranging from online behavioral advertising to internal analytics to the creation of personal dossiers by data brokers who build comprehensive profiles on individual Americans.

My bill will empower consumers, if they so choose, to stem the tide. It gives them the means to prohibit the collection of their information from the start. Consumers will be able to notify companies who are collecting their personal information that they want those collection practices to stop. If online companies fail to obey this request, they will face stiff penalties from the Federal Trade Commission or state Attorneys General.

The strength of this bill is its simplicity. Congress has long grappled with consumer privacy through the lens of “notice and consent.” That is, for over a decade in the Senate Commerce Committee, which I chair, we have tried to determine how online companies can provide clear and conspicuous notice to consumers about their commercial information practices; and once this notice has been given, further determine how consumers can either opt-in or opt-out of those information collection practices.

The endeavor has proven complicated and often unworkable: privacy policies are often long and tedious, replete with technical legalese. These notices don't work well on a full screen computer, much less on a small hand-held mobile device, and consumers often ignore them. Further, consumer consent has been dependent on the type of information that is being collected and who is doing the collection. For instance, should a third-party advertising network be subject to the same restrictions as the Washington Post website that hosts the ad network? Should Apple be allowed to collect information about a person's iPhone, but an application be prohibited? Should companies differentiate between particularly sensitive information—such as health or political activities—and more innocuous information such as which sports teams someone may like?

My Do-Not-Track bill avoids all of these messy policy considerations and provides consumers with the opportunity to take advantage of an easy

mechanism that says “no” to anyone and everyone collecting their information. Period.

I think it is worth noting that the FTC has recognized the utility of do-not-track in its December 2010 report on consumer privacy. The report states: “Such a mechanism would ensure that consumers would not have to exercise choices on a company-by-company or industry-by-industry basis, and that such choices would be persistent. It should also address some of the concerns with the existing browser mechanisms, by being more clear, easy-to-locate, and effective, and by conveying directly to websites the user’s choice to opt out of tracking.” Indeed, the private sector has similarly recognized the utility of do-not-track. Mozilla’s popular web browser, Firefox, and Apple’s web browser, Safari, already allow consumers to affirmatively declare a do-not-track preference to websites. The problem is that online companies have no legal obligation to honor this request. My bill fixes that.

Let me say a few words about what this bill does not do. My bill would not “break the Internet.” I am sure that we will hear such hyperbole in opposition to the bill. The truth is that my bill makes all of the necessary accommodations for online companies to use information as is necessary to allow companies to provide the content and services consumers have grown to expect and enjoy. For instance, websites will still be able to use IP addresses to deliver content, and will be allowed to collect data to perform internal analytics and improve performance. Applications will still be able to use a phone’s Unique Device Identifier—also known as UDID—to perform their functions as they are supposed to. However, when consumers state that they do not want to be tracked, online services will no longer be allowed to collect and use this information for any extraneous purpose, and they will be obligated to immediately destroy or anonymize the information once it is no longer needed to provide the service requested. Furthermore, my bill allows online companies to collect and maintain consumer information when it has been voluntarily provided by the consumer. Consumers also can allow companies they trust to collect and use their information by providing specific consent that overrides a general do-not-track preference.

As such, my bill empowers consumers to stop online companies from collecting and using their information, but also preserves the ability of those online companies to conduct their business and deliver the content and services that consumers expect. The bill provides the FTC with rulemaking authority to use its expertise to protect the privacy interests of consumers while addressing the legitimate needs of industry.

To be clear, my bill is not a comprehensive consumer privacy bill, nor is it meant to be. Do-not-track is just one aspect to consumer privacy albeit an important one. Other Members of the Commerce Committee are actively engaged in protecting consumer privacy interests. I want to commend Senator KERRY, who is a senior Member of the Commerce Committee, and Senator MCCAIN for their efforts and for introducing legislation designed to establish a broad privacy framework. I also commend Senator PRYOR’s dedication to privacy protection and the vigorous oversight of his Subcommittee. I expect consumer privacy to remain a focus of the Congress and the Members of the Commerce Committee with more legislation being introduced in the coming weeks and months.

In the end, my Do-Not-Track bill is a part of the ongoing discussion on consumer privacy in Congress. It is simple, yet powerful. It allows consumers, if they choose—and I should emphasize that many will not make such a choice—to stop the constant, almost mind-boggling sweep of online companies that are collecting vast amounts of consumer information. It prohibits those lurking in the cyber-shadows from surreptitiously profiting off of the personal, private information of ordinary Americans. I look forward to working with my colleagues on this and other privacy legislative efforts in the Commerce Committee and on the Senate floor.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 913

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Do-Not-Track Online Act of 2011”.

SEC. 2. REGULATIONS RELATING TO “DO-NOT-TRACK” MECHANISMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Federal Trade Commission shall promulgate—

(1) regulations that establish standards for the implementation of a mechanism by which an individual can simply and easily indicate whether the individual prefers to have personal information collected by providers of online services, including by providers of mobile applications and services; and

(2) rules that prohibit, except as provided in subsection (b), such providers from collecting personal information on individuals who have expressed, via a mechanism that meets the standards promulgated under paragraph (1), a preference not to have such information collected.

(b) EXCEPTION.—The rules promulgated under paragraph (2) of subsection (a) shall allow for the collection and use of personal information on an individual described in such paragraph, notwithstanding the expressed preference of the individual via a mechanism that meets the standards promulgated under paragraph (1) of such subsection, to the extent—

(1) necessary to provide a service requested by the individual, including with respect to such service, basic functionality and effectiveness, so long as such information is anonymized or deleted upon the provision of such service; or

(2) the individual—

(A) receives clear, conspicuous, and accurate notice on the collection and use of such information; and

(B) affirmatively consents to such collection and use.

(c) FACTORS.—In promulgating standards and rules under subsection (a), the Federal Trade Commission shall consider and take into account the following:

(1) The appropriate scope of such standards and rules, including the conduct to which such rules shall apply and the persons required to comply with such rules.

(2) The technical feasibility and costs of—

(A) implementing mechanisms that would meet such standards; and

(B) complying with such rules.

(3) Mechanisms that—

(A) have been developed or used before the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(B) are for individuals to indicate simply and easily whether the individuals prefer to have personal information collected by providers of online services, including by providers of mobile applications and services.

(4) How mechanisms that meet such standards should be publicized and offered to individuals.

(5) Whether and how information can be collected and used on an anonymous basis so that the information—

(A) cannot be reasonably linked or identified with a person or device, both on its own and in combination with other information; and

(B) does not qualify as personal information subject to the rules promulgated under subsection (a)(2).

(6) The standards under which personal information may be collected and used, subject to the anonymization or deletion requirements of subsection (b)(1)—

(A) to fulfill the basic functionality and effectiveness of an online service, including a mobile application or service;

(B) to provide the content or services requested by individuals who have otherwise expressed, via a mechanism that meets the standards promulgated under subsection (a)(1), a preference not to have personal information collected; and

(C) for such other purposes as the Commission determines substantially facilitates the functionality and effectiveness of the online service, or mobile application or service, in a manner that does not undermine an individual’s preference, expressed via such mechanism, not to collect such information.

(d) RULEMAKING.—The Federal Trade Commission shall promulgate the standards and rules required by subsection (a) in accordance with section 553 of title 5, United States Code.

SEC. 3. ENFORCEMENT OF “DO-NOT-TRACK” MECHANISMS.

(a) ENFORCEMENT BY FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION.—

(1) UNFAIR OR DECEPTIVE ACTS OR PRACTICES.—A violation of a rule promulgated under section 2(a)(2) shall be treated as an unfair and deceptive act or practice in violation of a regulation under section 18(a)(1)(B) of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 57a(a)(1)(B)) regarding unfair or deceptive acts or practices.

(2) POWERS OF COMMISSION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (C), the Federal Trade Commission shall enforce this Act in the same manner, by the same means, and with the same jurisdiction, powers, and duties as though all applicable terms and provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 41 et seq.) were incorporated into and made a part of this Act.

(B) PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES.—Except as provided in subparagraph (C), any person who violates this Act shall be subject to the penalties and entitled to the privileges and immunities provided in the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 41 et seq.).

(C) NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS.—The Federal Trade Commission shall enforce this Act with respect to an organization that is not organized to carry on business for its own profit or that of its members as if such organization were a person over which the Commission has authority pursuant to section 5(a)(2) of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 45(a)(2)).

(b) ENFORCEMENT BY STATES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—In any case in which the attorney general of a State has reason to believe that an interest of the residents of the State has been or is threatened or adversely affected by the engagement of any person subject to a rule promulgated under section 2(a)(2) in a practice that violates the rule, the attorney general of the State may, as parens patriae, bring a civil action on behalf of the residents of the State in an appropriate district court of the United States—

(A) to enjoin further violation of such rule by such person;

(B) to compel compliance with such rule;

(C) to obtain damages, restitution, or other compensation on behalf of such residents;

(D) to obtain such other relief as the court considers appropriate; or

(E) to obtain civil penalties in the amount determined under paragraph (2).

(2) CIVIL PENALTIES.—

(A) CALCULATION.—Subject to subparagraph (B), for purposes of imposing a civil penalty under paragraph (1)(E) with respect to a person that violates a rule promulgated under section 2(a)(2), the amount determined under this paragraph is the amount calculated by multiplying the number of days that the person is not in compliance with the rule by an amount not greater than \$16,000.

(B) MAXIMUM TOTAL LIABILITY.—The total amount of civil penalties that may be imposed with respect to a person that violates a rule promulgated under section 2(a)(2) shall not exceed \$15,000,000 for all civil actions brought against such person under paragraph (1) for such violation.

(C) ADJUSTMENT FOR INFLATION.—Beginning on the date on which the Bureau of Labor Statistics first publishes the Consumer Price Index after the date that is 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter, the amounts specified in subparagraphs (A) and (B) shall be increased by the percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index published on that date from the Consumer Price Index published the previous year.

(3) RIGHTS OF FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION.—

(A) NOTICE TO FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in clause (iii), the attorney general of a State shall notify the Federal Trade Commission in writing that the attorney general intends to bring a civil action under paragraph (1) before initiating the civil action.

(ii) CONTENTS.—The notification required by clause (i) with respect to a civil action

shall include a copy of the complaint to be filed to initiate the civil action.

(iii) EXCEPTION.—If it is not feasible for the attorney general of a State to provide the notification required by clause (i) before initiating a civil action under paragraph (1), the attorney general shall notify the Federal Trade Commission immediately upon instituting the civil action.

(B) INTERVENTION BY FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION.—The Federal Trade Commission may—

(i) intervene in any civil action brought by the attorney general of a State under paragraph (1); and

(ii) upon intervening—

(I) be heard on all matters arising in the civil action; and

(II) file petitions for appeal of a decision in the civil action.

(4) INVESTIGATORY POWERS.—Nothing in this subsection may be construed to prevent the attorney general of a State from exercising the powers conferred on the attorney general by the laws of the State to conduct investigations, to administer oaths or affirmations, or to compel the attendance of witnesses or the production of documentary or other evidence.

(5) PREEMPTIVE ACTION BY FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION.—If the Federal Trade Commission institutes a civil action or an administrative action with respect to a violation of a rule promulgated under section 2(a)(2), the attorney general of a State may not, during the pendency of such action, bring a civil action under paragraph (1) against any defendant named in the complaint of the Commission for the violation with respect to which the Commission instituted such action.

(6) VENUE; SERVICE OF PROCESS.—

(A) VENUE.—Any action brought under paragraph (1) may be brought in—

(i) the district court of the United States that meets applicable requirements relating to venue under section 1391 of title 28, United States Code; or

(ii) another court of competent jurisdiction.

(B) SERVICE OF PROCESS.—In an action brought under paragraph (1), process may be served in any district in which the defendant—

(i) is an inhabitant; or

(ii) may be found.

(7) ACTIONS BY OTHER STATE OFFICIALS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—In addition to civil actions brought by attorneys general under paragraph (1), any other officer of a State who is authorized by the State to do so may bring a civil action under paragraph (1), subject to the same requirements and limitations that apply under this subsection to civil actions brought by attorneys general.

(B) SAVINGS PROVISION.—Nothing in this subsection may be construed to prohibit an authorized official of a State from initiating or continuing any proceeding in a court of the State for a violation of any civil or criminal law of the State.

SEC. 4. BIENNIAL REVIEW AND ASSESSMENT.

Not later than 2 years after the effective date of the regulations initially promulgated under section 2, the Federal Trade Commission shall—

(1) review the implementation of this Act;

(2) assess the effectiveness of such regulations, including how such regulations define or interpret the term “personal information” as such term is used in section 2;

(3) assess the effect of such regulations on online commerce; and

(4) submit to Congress a report on the results of the review and assessments required by this section.

By Mr. BEGICH (for himself, Mr. GRASSLEY, and Mr. TESTER):

S. 914. A bill to amend title 38, United States Code, to authorize the waiver of the collection of copayments for telehealth and telemedicine visits of veterans, and for other purposes; and to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

Mr. BEGICH. Mr. President, today I rise to introduce legislation to amend title 38, related to this Nation's obligation to provide benefits to our veterans. Specifically, the bill I introduce today with my distinguished colleagues, Senator GRASSLEY of Iowa and Senator TESTER of Montana, will waive collection of copayments for telehealth and telemedicine visits for Veterans.

More than 42,000 veterans are receiving care in their homes, enrolled in the Veterans Health Administration's, VHA, Telemedicine program as one form of treatment. In Alaska, as of March 2010, there were 226 veterans receiving this service. Just over a 100 of those live in rural Alaska.

Home Telehealth programs provide needed care for the 2-3 percent of veterans who account for 30 percent or more of agency resources. These men and women are frequent clinic attendees and often require urgent hospital admissions. VHA programs have demonstrated reduced hospital admissions and clinic and emergency room visits, and contribute to an improved quality of life for our veterans.

For no group of veterans is this service more important than for those who live in rural and remote America. Telemedicine has become an increasingly integral component in addressing the needs of veterans residing in rural and remote areas, and is critical to ensuring they have proper access to health care, especially in rural areas.

While the VHA is saving taxpayers money by using telemedicine, currently all telemedicine visits require veterans receiving these treatments to make copayments. My legislation would implement a simple fix. It would waive the required copayments—sometimes up to \$50 per visit—to lessen the burden on our veterans, who have sacrificed in service to our great nation. I believe that waiving these fees may encourage more veterans to take advantage of VHA's telehealth programs, which can be a godsend for rural veterans with few other viable options.

For rural veterans in Alaska, who have to travel by small float planes or boats or even snow machines to get to the nearest clinic for monitoring of their diabetes, high blood pressure, or other chronic conditions, Congress can go a long way in repaying this Nation's debt to our veterans by passing this legislation.

The VHA plans to expand Home Telehealth for weight management, substance abuse, mild traumatic brain injury, dementia, and palliative care, as well as enabling veterans to use mobile

devices to access care. I would hate to see these vital services go unused by veterans living in remote villages and communities because of the cost of copayments. But, this is not primarily about saving veterans money. This is about the federal government doing what is good for our veterans. The monetary benefits for veterans are a plus.

Basically, this legislation will amend title 38 to authorize the waiver of the collection of copayments for telehealth and telemedicine visits of veterans by giving the Secretary the authority to do so.

In closing, I must say it is an honor for me to serve as a member of the Senate Veterans' Affairs Committee. I feel very privileged to be involved with policy formation that helps our veterans. I appreciate my distinguished colleagues on the committee.

This is a bipartisan bill to address an issue with no partisan connection. I strongly encourage my colleagues to join Senators GRASSLEY, TESTER, and me in cosponsoring this legislation, and I urge expeditious consideration of the legislation to address a growing need for our rural veterans.

By Mr. BINGAMAN:

S. 916. A bill to facilitate appropriate oil and gas development on Federal land and waters, to limit dependence of the United States on foreign sources of oil and gas, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

Mr. BINGAMAN. Mr. President, today I am introducing the Oil and Gas Facilitation Act of 2011. This is a bill to facilitate appropriate oil and gas development on Federal land and waters, and to limit the dependence of the United States on foreign sources of energy.

For example, its provisions will increase our understanding of our oil and gas resources, coordinate interagency activity on permitting for oil and gas development, and facilitate transportation of Alaskan oil and natural gas.

Its provisions are drawn from a bill reported out of the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources on a bipartisan basis in the last Congress. I look forward to working with my colleagues on both sides of the aisle as we move forward on these issues in this Congress.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of this bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 916

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) **SHORT TITLE.**—This Act may be cited as the "Oil and Gas Facilitation Act of 2011".

(b) **TABLE OF CONTENTS.**—The table of contents of this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

Sec. 2. Definition of Secretary.

TITLE I—OIL AND GAS LEASING

Sec. 101. Extension of Oil and Gas Permit Processing Improvement Fund.

Sec. 102. Facilitation of coproduction of geothermal energy on oil and gas leases.

TITLE II—OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF

Sec. 201. Comprehensive inventory of outer Continental Shelf resources.

Sec. 202. Alaska OCS permit processing coordination office.

Sec. 203. Phase-out of mandatory Outer Continental Shelf deep water and deep gas royalty relief for future leases.

TITLE III—MISCELLANEOUS

Sec. 301. Facilitation of Alaska natural gas pipeline.

Sec. 302. Exemption of trans-Alaska oil pipeline system from certain requirements.

Sec. 303. Permits for natural gas pipeline in Denali National Park and Preserve.

SEC. 2. DEFINITION OF SECRETARY.

In this Act, the term "Secretary" means the Secretary of the Interior.

TITLE I—OIL AND GAS LEASING

SEC. 101. EXTENSION OF OIL AND GAS PERMIT PROCESSING IMPROVEMENT FUND.

Section 35(c) of the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 191(c)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(4) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is authorized to be appropriated from the Fund, or to the extent adequate funds in the Fund are not available from miscellaneous receipts of the Treasury, for the coordination and processing of oil and gas use authorizations and for oil and gas inspection and enforcement on onshore Federal land under the jurisdiction of the Pilot Project offices described in section 365(d) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 15924(d)) \$20,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2016 through 2020, to remain available until expended."

SEC. 102. FACILITATION OF COPRODUCTION OF GEOTHERMAL ENERGY ON OIL AND GAS LEASES.

Section 4(b) of the Geothermal Steam Act of 1970 (30 U.S.C. 1003(b)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(4) **LAND SUBJECT TO OIL AND GAS LEASE.**—Land under an oil and gas lease issued pursuant to the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 181 et seq.) or the Mineral Leasing Act for Acquired Lands (30 U.S.C. 351 et seq.) that is subject to an approved application for permit to drill and from which oil and gas production is occurring may be available for leasing under subsection (c) by the holder of the oil and gas lease—

"(A) on a determination that—

(i) geothermal energy will be produced from a well producing or capable of producing oil and gas; and

(ii) the public interest will be served by the issuance of such a lease; and

"(B) in order to provide for the coproduction of geothermal energy with oil and gas."

TITLE II—OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF

SEC. 201. COMPREHENSIVE INVENTORY OF OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF RESOURCES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 357 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 15912) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by striking the first sentence of the matter preceding paragraph (1) and inserting the following: "The Secretary shall conduct a comprehensive inventory of oil and natural gas (including executing or otherwise facilitating seismic studies of resources) and prepare a summary (the latter prepared with the assistance of, and based on information provided by, the heads of appropriate Federal agencies) of the information obtained under paragraph (3), for the waters of the United States Outer Continental Shelf (referred to in this section as the 'OCS') in the Atlantic Region, the Eastern Gulf of Mexico, and the Alaska Region.";

(B) in paragraph (2)—

(i) by striking "3-D" and inserting "2-D and 3-D"; and

(ii) by adding "and" at the end; and

(C) by striking paragraphs (3) through (5) and inserting in the following:

"(3) use existing inventories and mapping of marine resources undertaken by the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration and with the assistance of and based on information provided by the Department of Defense and other Federal and State agencies possessing relevant data, and use any available data regarding alternative energy potential, navigation uses, fisheries, aquaculture uses, recreational uses, habitat, conservation, and military uses."; and

(2) by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

"(b) **IMPLEMENTATION.**—The Secretary shall carry out the inventory and analysis under subsection (a) in 3 phases, with priority given to all or part of applicable planning areas of the outer Continental Shelf—

(1) estimated to have the greatest potential for energy development in barrel of oil equivalent; and

(2) outside of any leased area or area scheduled for leasing prior to calendar year 2011 under any outer Continental Shelf 5-year leasing program or amendment to the program under section 18 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1344).

"(c) **PLAN.**—

"(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this paragraph, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives a report that provides a plan for executing or otherwise facilitating the seismic studies required under this section, including an estimate of the costs to complete the seismic inventory by region and environmental and permitting activities to facilitate expeditious completion.

(2) **FIRST PHASE.**—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this paragraph, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report describing the results of the first phase of the inventory and analysis under subsection (a).

(3) **SUBSEQUENT PHASES.**—Not later than 2 years after the date on which the report is submitted under paragraph (2) and 2 years thereafter, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report describing the results of the second and third phases, respectively, of the inventory and analysis under subsection (a).

(4) **PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.**—A report submitted under paragraph (2) or (3) shall be—

(A) made publicly available; and

(B) updated not less frequently than once every 5 years."

(b) **RELATIONSHIP TO 5-YEAR PROGRAM.**—The requirement that the Secretary carry

out the inventory required by the amendment made by subsection (a) shall not be considered to require, authorize, or provide a basis or justification for delay by the Secretary or any other agency of the issuance of any outer Continental Shelf leasing program or amendment to the program under section 18 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1344), or any lease sale pursuant to that section.

(c) PERMITS.—Nothing in this section or an amendment made by this section—

(1) precludes the issuance by the Secretary of a permit to conduct geological and geophysical exploration of the outer Continental Shelf in accordance with the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1331 et seq.) and other applicable law; or

(2) otherwise alters the requirements of applicable law with respect to the issuance of such a permit or any other activities undertaken by the Secretary in connection with the inventory.

(d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section, to be available until expended without fiscal year limitation—

(1) \$100,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2012 through 2017; and

(2) \$50,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2018 through 2022.

SEC. 202. ALASKA OCS PERMIT PROCESSING COORDINATION OFFICE.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary shall establish a regional joint outer Continental Shelf lease and permit processing office for the Alaska outer Continental Shelf region.

(b) MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall enter into a memorandum of understanding for the purposes of carrying out this section with—

(A) the Secretary of Commerce;

(B) the Chief of Engineers;

(C) the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency; and

(D) any other Federal agency that may have a role in permitting activities.

(2) STATE PARTICIPATION.—The Secretary shall request that the Governor of Alaska be a signatory to the memorandum of understanding.

(c) DESIGNATION OF QUALIFIED STAFF.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the signing of the memorandum of understanding under subsection (b), each Federal signatory party shall, if appropriate, assign to the office described in subsection (a) an employee who has expertise in the regulatory issues administered by the office in which the employee is employed relating to leasing and the permitting of oil and gas activities on the outer Continental Shelf.

(2) DUTIES.—An employee assigned under paragraph (1) shall—

(A) not later than 90 days after the date of assignment, report to the office described in subsection (a);

(B) be responsible for all issues relating to the jurisdiction of the home office or agency of the employee; and

(C) participate as part of the applicable team of personnel working on proposed oil and gas leasing and permitting, including planning and environmental analyses.

(d) TRANSFER OF FUNDS.—For the purposes of coordination and processing of oil and gas use authorizations for the Alaska outer Continental Shelf region, the Secretary may authorize the expenditure or transfer of such funds as are necessary to—

(1) the Secretary of Commerce;

(2) the Chief of Engineers;

(3) the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency;

(4) any other Federal agency having a role in permitting activities; and

(5) the State of Alaska.

(e) SAVINGS PROVISION.—Nothing in this section affects—

(1) the operation of any Federal or State law; or

(2) any delegation of authority made by the head of a Federal agency for employees that are assigned to the coordination office.

(f) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$2,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2012 through 2022, to remain available until expended.

SEC. 203. PHASE-OUT OF MANDATORY OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF DEEP WATER AND DEEP GAS ROYALTY RELIEF FOR FUTURE LEASES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Sections 344 and 345 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 15904, 15905) are repealed.

(b) ADMINISTRATION.—The Secretary shall not be required to provide for royalty relief in the lease sale terms beginning with the first lease sale held on or after the date of enactment of this Act for which a final notice of sale has not been published.

TITLE III—MISCELLANEOUS

SEC. 301. FACILITATION OF ALASKA NATURAL GAS PIPELINE.

Section 116 of the Alaska Natural Gas Pipeline Act (15 U.S.C. 720n) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(3)—

(A) in the first sentence, by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, except that a holder of a certificate may request the Secretary to extend the period to issue Federal guarantee instruments for not more than 180 days following the date of resolution of any reopening, contest, or other proceeding relating to the certificate”; and

(B) in the second sentence, by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, or connecting to pipeline infrastructure capable of delivering commercially economic quantities of natural gas to the continental United States”;

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) by striking paragraph (2);

(B) by redesignating paragraphs (3) and (4) as paragraphs (2) and (3), respectively; and

(C) in paragraph (2) (as so redesignated), by striking “and completion guarantees”;

(3) in subsection (c)(2), by striking “\$18,000,000,000” and inserting “\$30,000,000,000”;

(4) in subsection (d)—

(A) in the first sentence of paragraph (1), by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, except that an issued loan guarantee instrument shall apply to not less than 80 percent of project costs unless by previous consent of the borrower”; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “An eligible” and inserting “A”; and

(5) in subsection (g)—

(A) by striking paragraph (2);

(B) by redesignating paragraphs (3) and (4) as paragraphs (2) and (3), respectively; and

(C) in paragraph (2) (as so redesignated), by inserting before the period at the end the following: “under subsection (a)(3), including direct lending from the Federal Financing Bank of all or a part of the amount to the holder, in lieu of a guarantee”.

SEC. 302. EXEMPTION OF TRANS-ALASKA OIL PIPELINE SYSTEM FROM CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS.

The Trans-Alaska Pipeline Authorization Act (43 U.S.C. 1651 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 208. EXEMPTION OF TRANS-ALASKA OIL PIPELINE SYSTEM FROM CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (b), no part of the trans-Alaska oil pipeline system shall be considered to be a district, site, building, structure, or object for purposes of section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470f), regardless of whether all or part of the trans-Alaska oil pipeline system may otherwise be listed on, or eligible for listing on, the National Register of Historic Places.

“(b) INDIVIDUAL ELEMENTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsection (c), the Secretary of the Interior may identify up to 3 sections of the trans-Alaska oil pipeline system that possess national or exceptional historic significance, and that should remain after the pipeline is no longer used for the purpose of oil transportation.

“(2) HISTORIC SITE.—Any sections identified under paragraph (1) shall be considered to be a historic site.

“(3) VIEWS.—In making the identification under this subsection, the Secretary shall consider the views of—

“(A) the owners of the pipeline;

“(B) the State Historic Preservation Officer;

“(C) the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation; and

“(D) the Federal Coordinator for Alaska Natural Gas Transportation Projects.

“(c) CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, RESTORATION, AND REHABILITATION ACTIVITIES.—Subsection (b) does not prohibit the owners of the trans-Alaska oil pipeline system from carrying out construction, maintenance, restoration, or rehabilitation activities on or for a section of the system described in subsection (b).”.

SEC. 303. PERMITS FOR NATURAL GAS PIPELINE IN DENALI NATIONAL PARK AND PRESERVE.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) APPURTENANCE.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The term “appurtenance” includes cathodic protection or test stations, valves, signage, and buried communication and electric cables relating to the operation of high-pressure natural gas transmission.

(B) EXCLUSIONS.—The term “appurtenance” does not include compressor stations.

(2) PARK.—The term “Park” means the Denali National Park and Preserve in the State of Alaska.

(b) PERMIT.—The Secretary may issue right-of-way permits for—

(1) a high-pressure natural gas transmission pipeline (including appurtenances) in non-wilderness areas within the boundary of Denali National Park within, along, or near the approximately 7-mile segment of the George Parks Highway that runs through the Park; and

(2) any distribution and transmission pipelines and appurtenances that the Secretary determines to be necessary to provide natural gas supply to the Park.

(c) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—A permit authorized under subsection (b)—

(1) may be issued only—

(A) if the permit is consistent with the laws (including regulations) generally applicable to utility rights-of-way within units of the National Park System;

(B) in accordance with section 1106(a) of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 3166(a)); and

(C) if, following an appropriate analysis prepared in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), the route of the right-of-way is

the route through the Park with the least adverse environmental effects for the Park; and

(2) shall be subject to such terms and conditions as the Secretary determines to be necessary.

By Mr. BINGAMAN:

S. 917. A bill to amend the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act to reform the management of energy and mineral resources on the Outer Continental Shelf, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

Mr. BINGAMAN. Mr. President, today I am introducing the Outer Continental Shelf Reform Act of 2011. This is a bill intended to reform the management of energy resources on the Outer Continental Shelf, and to create a culture of excellence for the industry and the regulatory agency going forward.

Following the tragic Deepwater Horizon oil rig accident last year, we have learned a lot about changes that need to be made by the industry and the regulatory agency to ensure that accidents like this never happen again. In addition, we should do more, and create a system for the management of offshore energy development that is a model for the world.

This bill is intended to put in place the changes that can achieve these goals. It is identical to a bill reported unanimously by the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources in the last Congress. In the intervening time since the committee's action, there have been developments and new information that may indicate the need to update or change some parts of the bill. But, as we begin to work on this issue again in the committee, I believe that it is sensible to start with last year's bill. I look forward to working with my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to address these important issues.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of this bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 917

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the “Outer Continental Shelf Reform Act of 2011”.

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

- Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
- Sec. 2. Purposes.
- Sec. 3. Definitions.
- Sec. 4. National policy for the outer Continental Shelf.
- Sec. 5. Structural reform of outer Continental Shelf program management.
- Sec. 6. Safety, environmental, and financial reform of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act.

Sec. 7. Study on the effect of the moratoria on new deepwater drilling in the Gulf of Mexico on employment and small businesses.

Sec. 8. Reform of other law.

Sec. 9. Safer oil and gas production.

Sec. 10. National Commission on Outer Continental Shelf Oil Spill Prevention.

Sec. 11. Classification of offshore systems.

Sec. 12. Savings provisions.

Sec. 13. Budgetary effects.

SEC. 2. PURPOSES.

The purposes of this Act are—

(1) to rationalize and reform the responsibilities of the Secretary of the Interior with respect to the management of the outer Continental Shelf in order to improve the management, oversight, accountability, safety, and environmental protection of all the resources on the outer Continental Shelf;

(2) to provide independent development and enforcement of safety and environmental laws (including regulations) governing—

(A) energy development and mineral extraction activities on the outer Continental Shelf; and

(B) related offshore activities; and

(3) to ensure a fair return to the taxpayer from, and independent management of, royalty and revenue collection and disbursement activities from mineral and energy resources.

SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(1) DEPARTMENT.—The term “Department” means the Department of the Interior.

(2) OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF.—The term “outer Continental Shelf” has the meaning given the term in section 2 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1331).

(3) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

SEC. 4. NATIONAL POLICY FOR THE OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF.

Section 3 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1332) is amended—

(1) by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the following:

“(3) the outer Continental Shelf is a vital national resource reserve held by the Federal Government for the public, which should be managed in a manner that—

“(A) recognizes the need of the United States for domestic sources of energy, food, minerals, and other resources;

“(B) minimizes the potential impacts of development of those resources on the marine and coastal environment and on human health and safety; and

“(C) acknowledges the long-term economic value to the United States of the balanced and orderly management of those resources that safeguards the environment and respects the multiple values and uses of the outer Continental Shelf;”;

(2) in paragraph (4)(C), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon;

(3) in paragraph (5), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(4) by redesignating paragraph (6) as paragraph (7);

(5) by inserting after paragraph (5) the following:

“(6) exploration, development, and production of energy and minerals on the outer Continental Shelf should be allowed only when those activities can be accomplished in a manner that provides reasonable assurance of adequate protection against harm to life, health, the environment, property, or other users of the waters, seabed, or subsoil; and”;

(6) in paragraph (7) (as so redesignated)—

(A) by striking “should be” and inserting “shall be”; and

(B) by adding “best available” after “using”.

SEC. 5. STRUCTURAL REFORM OF OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF PROGRAM MANAGEMENT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1331 et seq.) is amended by adding to the end the following:

“SEC. 32. STRUCTURAL REFORM OF OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF PROGRAM MANAGEMENT.

“(a) LEASING, PERMITTING, AND REGULATION BUREAUS.—

“(1) ESTABLISHMENT OF BUREAUS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the discretion granted by Reorganization Plan Number 3 of 1950 (64 Stat. 1262; 43 U.S.C. 1451 note), the Secretary shall establish in the Department of the Interior not more than 2 bureaus to carry out the leasing, permitting, and safety and environmental regulatory functions vested in the Secretary by this Act and the Federal Oil and Gas Royalty Management Act of 1982 (30 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.) related to the outer Continental Shelf.

“(B) CONFLICTS OF INTEREST.—In establishing the bureaus under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall ensure, to the maximum extent practicable, that any potential organizational conflicts of interest related to leasing, revenue creation, environmental protection, and safety are eliminated.

“(2) DIRECTOR.—Each bureau shall be headed by a Director, who shall be appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate.

“(3) COMPENSATION.—Each Director shall be compensated at the rate provided for level V of the Executive Schedule under section 5316 of title 5, United States Code.

“(4) QUALIFICATIONS.—Each Director shall be a person who, by reason of professional background and demonstrated ability and experience, is specially qualified to carry out the duties of the office.

“(b) ROYALTY AND REVENUE OFFICE.—

“(1) ESTABLISHMENT OF OFFICE.—Subject to the discretion granted by Reorganization Plan Number 3 of 1950 (64 Stat. 1262; 43 U.S.C. 1451 note), the Secretary shall establish in the Department of the Interior an office to carry out the royalty and revenue management functions vested in the Secretary by this Act and the Federal Oil and Gas Royalty Management Act of 1982 (30 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.).

“(2) DIRECTOR.—The office established under paragraph (1) shall be headed by a Director, who shall be appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate.

“(3) COMPENSATION.—The Director shall be compensated at the rate provided for level V of the Executive Schedule under section 5316 of title 5, United States Code.

“(4) QUALIFICATIONS.—The Director shall be a person who, by reason of professional background and demonstrated ability and experience, is specially qualified to carry out the duties of the office.

“(c) OCS SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY BOARD.—

“(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary shall establish, under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.), an Outer Continental Shelf Safety and Environmental Advisory Board (referred to in this subsection as the ‘Board’), to provide the Secretary and the Directors of the bureaus established under this section with independent peer-reviewed scientific and technical advice on

safe and environmentally compliant energy and mineral resource exploration, development, and production activities.

“(2) MEMBERSHIP.—

“(A) SIZE.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—The Board shall consist of not more than 12 members, chosen to reflect a range of expertise in scientific, engineering, management, and other disciplines related to safe and environmentally compliant energy and mineral resource exploration, development, and production activities.

“(ii) CONSULTATION.—The Secretary shall consult with the National Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Engineering to identify potential candidates for membership on the Board.

“(B) TERM.—The Secretary shall appoint Board members to staggered terms of not more than 4 years, and shall not appoint a member for more than 2 consecutive terms.

“(C) CHAIR.—The Secretary shall appoint the Chair for the Board.

“(3) MEETINGS.—The Board shall—

“(A) meet not less than 3 times per year; and

“(B) at least once per year, shall host a public forum to review and assess the overall safety and environmental performance of outer Continental Shelf energy and mineral resource activities.

“(4) REPORTS.—Reports of the Board shall—

“(A) be submitted to Congress; and

“(B) made available to the public in an electronically accessible form.

“(5) TRAVEL EXPENSES.—Members of the Board, other than full-time employees of the Federal Government, while attending a meeting of the Board or while otherwise serving at the request of the Secretary or the Director while serving away from their homes or regular places of business, may be allowed travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, as authorized by section 5703 of title 5, United States Code, for individuals in the Federal Government serving without pay.

“(d) SPECIAL PERSONNEL AUTHORITIES.—

“(1) DIRECT HIRING AUTHORITY FOR CRITICAL PERSONNEL.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding sections 3104, 3304, and 3309 through 3318 of title 5, United States Code, the Secretary may, upon a determination that there is a severe shortage of candidates or a critical hiring need for particular positions, recruit and directly appoint highly qualified accountants, scientists, engineers, or critical technical personnel into the competitive service, as officers or employees of any of the organizational units established under this section.

“(B) REQUIREMENTS.—In exercising the authority granted under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall ensure that any action taken by the Secretary—

“(i) is consistent with the merit principles of chapter 23 of title 5, United States Code; and

“(ii) complies with the public notice requirements of section 3327 of title 5, United States Code.

“(2) CRITICAL PAY AUTHORITY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section 5377 of title 5, United States Code, and without regard to the provisions of that title governing appointments in the competitive service or the Senior Executive Service and chapters 51 and 53 of that title (relating to classification and pay rates), the Secretary may establish, fix the compensation of, and appoint individuals to critical positions needed to carry out the functions of any of the organizational units established under this section, if the Secretary certifies that—

“(i) the positions—

“(I) require expertise of an extremely high level in a scientific or technical field; and

“(II) any of the organizational units established in this section would not successfully accomplish an important mission without such an individual; and

“(ii) exercise of the authority is necessary to recruit an individual exceptionally well qualified for the position.

“(B) LIMITATIONS.—The authority granted under subparagraph (A) shall be subject to the following conditions:

“(i) The number of critical positions authorized by subparagraph (A) may not exceed 40 at any 1 time in either of the bureaus established under this section.

“(ii) The term of an appointment under subparagraph (A) may not exceed 4 years.

“(iii) An individual appointed under subparagraph (A) may not have been an employee of the Department of the Interior during the 2-year period prior to the date of appointment.

“(iv) Total annual compensation for any individual appointed under subparagraph (A) may not exceed the highest total annual compensation payable at the rate determined under section 104 of title 3, United States Code.

“(v) An individual appointed under subparagraph (A) may not be considered to be an employee for purposes of subchapter II of chapter 75 of title 5, United States Code.

“(C) NOTIFICATION.—Each year, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a notification that lists each individual appointed under this paragraph.

“(3) REEMPLOYMENT OF CIVILIAN RETIREES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding part 553 of title 5, Code of Federal Regulations (relating to reemployment of civilian retirees to meet exceptional employment needs), or successor regulations, the Secretary may approve the reemployment of an individual to a particular position without reduction or termination of annuity if the hiring of the individual is necessary to carry out a critical function of any of the organizational units established under this section for which suitably qualified candidates do not exist.

“(B) LIMITATIONS.—An annuitant hired with full salary and annuities under the authority granted by subparagraph (A)—

“(i) shall not be considered an employee for purposes of subchapter III of chapter 83 and chapter 84 of title 5, United States Code;

“(ii) may not elect to have retirement contributions withheld from the pay of the annuitant;

“(iii) may not use any employment under this paragraph as a basis for a supplemental or recomputed annuity; and

“(iv) may not participate in the Thrift Savings Plan under subchapter III of chapter 84 of title 5, United States Code.

“(C) LIMITATION ON TERM.—The term of employment of any individual hired under subparagraph (A) may not exceed an initial term of 2 years, with an additional 2-year appointment under exceptional circumstances.

“(e) CONTINUITY OF AUTHORITY.—Subject to the discretion granted by Reorganization Plan Number 3 of 1950 (64 Stat. 1262; 43 U.S.C. 1451 note), any reference in any law, rule, regulation, directive, or instruction, or certificate or other official document, in force immediately prior to the date of enactment of this section—

“(1) to the Minerals Management Service that pertains to any of the duties and authorities described in this section shall be deemed to refer and apply to the appropriate

bureaus and offices established under this section;

“(2) to the Director of the Minerals Management Service that pertains to any of the duties and authorities described in this section shall be deemed to refer and apply to the Director of the bureau or office under this section to whom the Secretary has assigned the respective duty or authority; and

“(3) to any other position in the Minerals Management Service that pertains to any of the duties and authorities described in this section shall be deemed to refer and apply to that same or equivalent position in the appropriate bureau or office established under this section.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 5316 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking “Director, Bureau of Mines, Department of the Interior” and inserting the following:

“Bureau Directors, Department of the Interior (2).

“Director, Royalty and Revenue Office, Department of the Interior.”.

SEC. 6. SAFETY, ENVIRONMENTAL, AND FINANCIAL REFORM OF THE OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF LANDS ACT.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 2 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1331) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(r) SAFETY CASE.—The term ‘safety case’ means a complete set of safety documentation that provides a basis for determining whether a system is adequately safe for a given application in a given environment.”.

(b) ADMINISTRATION OF LEASING.—Section 5(a) of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1334(a)) is amended in the second sentence—

(1) by striking “The Secretary may at any time” and inserting “The Secretary shall”; and

(2) by inserting after “provide for” the following: “operational safety, the protection of the marine and coastal environment.”.

(c) MAINTENANCE OF LEASES.—Section 6 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1335) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(f) REVIEW OF BOND AND SURETY AMOUNTS.—Not later than May 1, 2011, and every 5 years thereafter, the Secretary shall—

“(1) review the minimum financial responsibility requirements for mineral leases under subsection (a)(11); and

“(2) adjust for inflation based on the Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor, and recommend to Congress any further changes to existing financial responsibility requirements necessary to permit lessees to fulfill all obligations under this Act or the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2701 et seq.).

“(g) PERIODIC FISCAL REVIEWS AND REPORTS.—

“(1) ROYALTY RATES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this subsection and every 4 years thereafter, the Secretary shall carry out a review of, and prepare a report that describes—

“(i) the royalty and rental rates included in new offshore oil and gas leases and the rationale for the rates;

“(ii) whether, in the view of the Secretary, the royalty and rental rates described in subparagraph (A) would yield a fair return to the public while promoting the production of oil and gas resources in a timely manner; and

“(iii) whether, based on the review, the Secretary intends to modify the royalty or rental rates.

“(B) PUBLIC PARTICIPATION.—In carrying out a review and preparing a report under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall provide to the public an opportunity to participate.

“(2) COMPARATIVE REVIEW OF FISCAL SYSTEM.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this subsection and every 4 years thereafter, the Secretary in consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury, shall carry out a comprehensive review of all components of the Federal offshore oil and gas fiscal system, including requirements for bonus bids, rental rates, royalties, oil and gas taxes, income taxes and other significant financial elements, and oil and gas fees.

“(B) INCLUSIONS.—The review shall include—

“(i) information and analyses comparing the offshore bonus bids, rents, royalties, taxes, and fees of the Federal Government to the offshore bonus bids, rents, royalties, taxes, and fees of other resource owners (including States and foreign countries); and

“(ii) an assessment of the overall offshore oil and gas fiscal system in the United States, as compared to foreign countries.

“(C) INDEPENDENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE.—

In carrying out a review under this paragraph, the Secretary shall convene and seek the advice of an independent advisory committee comprised of oil and gas and fiscal experts from States, Indian tribes, academia, the energy industry, and appropriate non-governmental organizations.

“(D) REPORT.—The Secretary shall prepare a report that contains—

“(i) the contents and results of the review carried out under this paragraph for the period covered by the report; and

“(ii) any recommendations of the Secretary and the Secretary of the Treasury based on the contents and results of the review.

“(E) COMBINED REPORT.—The Secretary may combine the reports required by paragraphs (1) and (2)(D) into 1 report.

“(3) REPORT DEADLINE.—Not later than 30 days after the date on which the Secretary completes each report under this subsection, the Secretary shall submit copies of the report to—

“(A) the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate;

“(B) the Committee on Finance of the Senate;

“(C) the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives; and

“(D) the Committee on Ways and Means of the House of Representatives.”.

(d) LEASES, EASEMENTS, AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY.—Section 8 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1337) is amended by striking subsection (d) and inserting the following:

“(d) DISQUALIFICATION FROM BIDDING.—No bid for a lease may be submitted by any entity that the Secretary finds, after prior public notice and opportunity for a hearing—

“(1) is not meeting due diligence, safety, or environmental requirements on other leases; or

“(2)(A) is a responsible party for a vessel or a facility from which oil is discharged, for purposes of section 1002 of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2702); and

“(B) has failed to meet the obligations of the responsible party under that Act to provide compensation for covered removal costs and damages.”.

(e) EXPLORATION PLANS.—Section 11 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1340) is amended—

(1) in subsection (c)—

(A) in the fourth sentence of paragraph (1), by striking “within thirty days of its submission” and inserting “by the deadline described in paragraph (5)”;

(B) by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the following:

“(3) MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—An exploration plan submitted under this subsection shall include, in such degree of detail as the Secretary by regulation may require—

“(i) a complete description and schedule of the exploration activities to be undertaken;

“(ii) a description of the equipment to be used for the exploration activities, including—

“(I) a description of the drilling unit;

“(II) a statement of the design and condition of major safety-related pieces of equipment;

“(III) a description of any new technology to be used; and

“(IV) a statement demonstrating that the equipment to be used meets the best available technology requirements under section 21(b);

“(iii) a map showing the location of each well to be drilled;

“(iv)(I) a scenario for the potential blowout of the well involving the highest expected volume of liquid hydrocarbons; and

“(II) a complete description of a response plan to control the blowout and manage the accompanying discharge of hydrocarbons, including—

“(aa) the technology and timeline for regaining control of the well; and

“(bb) the strategy, organization, and resources to be used to avoid harm to the environment and human health from hydrocarbons; and

“(v) any other information determined to be relevant by the Secretary.

“(B) DEEPWATER WELLS.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Before conducting exploration activities in water depths greater than 500 feet, the holder of a lease shall submit to the Secretary for approval a deepwater operations plan prepared by the lessee in accordance with this subparagraph.

“(ii) TECHNOLOGY REQUIREMENTS.—A deepwater operations plan under this subparagraph shall be based on the best available technology to ensure safety in carrying out the exploration activity and the blowout response plan.

“(iii) SYSTEMS ANALYSIS REQUIRED.—The Secretary shall not approve a deepwater operations plan under this subparagraph unless the plan includes a technical systems analysis of—

“(I) the safety of the proposed exploration activity;

“(II) the blowout prevention technology; and

“(III) the blowout and spill response plans.”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(5) DEADLINE FOR APPROVAL.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In the case of a lease issued under a sale held after March 17, 2010, the deadline for approval of an exploration plan referred to in the fourth sentence of paragraph (1) is—

“(i) the date that is 90 days after the date on which the plan or the modifications to the plan are submitted; or

“(ii) the date that is not later than an additional 180 days after the deadline described in clause (i), if the Secretary makes a find-

ing that additional time is necessary to complete any environmental, safety, or other reviews.

“(B) EXISTING LEASES.—In the case of a lease issued under a sale held on or before March 17, 2010, the Secretary, with the consent of the holder of the lease, may extend the deadline applicable to the lease for such additional time as the Secretary determines is necessary to complete any environmental, safety, or other reviews.”;

(2) by redesignating subsections (e) through (h) as subsections (f) through (i), respectively; and

(3) by striking subsection (d) and inserting the following:

“(d) DRILLING PERMITS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall, by regulation, require that any lessee operating under an approved exploration plan obtain a permit—

“(A) before the lessee drills a well in accordance with the plan; and

“(B) before the lessee significantly modifies the well design originally approved by the Secretary.

“(2) ENGINEERING REVIEW REQUIRED.—The Secretary may not grant any drilling permit until the date of completion of a full review of the well system by not less than 2 agency engineers, including a written determination that—

“(A) critical safety systems (including blowout prevention) will use best available technology; and

“(B) blowout prevention systems will include redundancy and remote triggering capability.

“(3) MODIFICATION REVIEW REQUIRED.—The Secretary may not approve any modification of a permit without a determination, after an additional engineering review, that the modification will not compromise the safety of the well system previously approved.

“(4) OPERATOR SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT REQUIRED.—The Secretary may not grant any drilling permit or modification of the permit until the date of completion and approval of a safety and environmental management plan that—

“(A) is to be used by the operator during all well operations; and

“(B) includes—

“(i) a description of the expertise and experience level of crew members who will be present on the rig; and

“(ii) designation of at least 2 environmental and safety managers that—

“(I) are employees of the operator;

“(II) would be present on the rig at all times; and

“(III) have overall responsibility for the safety and environmental management of the well system and spill response plan; and

“(C) not later than May 1, 2012, requires that all employees on the rig meet the training and experience requirements under section 21(b)(4).

“(e) DISAPPROVAL OF EXPLORATION PLAN.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall disapprove an exploration plan submitted under this section if the Secretary determines that, because of exceptional geological conditions in the lease areas, exceptional resource values in the marine or coastal environment, or other exceptional circumstances, that—

“(A) implementation of the exploration plan would probably cause serious harm or damage to life (including fish and other aquatic life), property, mineral deposits, national security or defense, or the marine, coastal or human environments;

“(B) the threat of harm or damage would not disappear or decrease to an acceptable

extent within a reasonable period of time; and

“(C) the advantages of disapproving the exploration plan outweigh the advantages of exploration.

“(2) COMPENSATION.—If an exploration plan is disapproved under this subsection, the provisions of subparagraphs (B) and (C) of section 25(h)(2) shall apply to the lease and the plan or any modified plan, except that the reference in section 25(h)(2)(C) to a development and production plan shall be considered to be a reference to an exploration plan.”

(f) OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF LEASING PROGRAM.—Section 18 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1344) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in the second sentence, by inserting after “national energy needs” the following: “and the need for the protection of the marine and coastal environment and resources”;

(B) in paragraph (1), by striking “considers” and inserting “gives equal consideration to”;

(C) in paragraph (3), by striking “, to the maximum extent practicable,”;

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (3), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (4), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”;

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(5) provide technical review and oversight of the exploration plan and a systems review of the safety of the well design and other operational decisions;

“(6) conduct regular and thorough safety reviews and inspections, and;

“(7) enforce all applicable laws (including regulations).”;

(3) in the second sentence of subsection (d)(2), by inserting “, the head of an interested Federal agency,” after “Attorney General”;

(4) in the first sentence of subsection (g), by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, including existing inventories and mapping of marine resources previously undertaken by the Department of the Interior and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, information provided by the Department of Defense, and other available data regarding energy or mineral resource potential, navigation uses, fisheries, aquaculture uses, recreational uses, habitat, conservation, and military uses on the outer Continental Shelf”;

(5) by adding at the end the following:

“(i) RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out a program of research and development to ensure the continued improvement of methodologies for characterizing resources of the outer Continental Shelf and conditions that may affect the ability to develop and use those resources in a safe, sound, and environmentally responsible manner.

“(2) INCLUSIONS.—Research and development activities carried out under paragraph (1) may include activities to provide accurate estimates of energy and mineral reserves and potential on the outer Continental Shelf and any activities that may assist in filling gaps in environmental data needed to develop each leasing program under this section.

“(3) LEASING ACTIVITIES.—Research and development activities carried out under paragraph (1) shall not be considered to be leasing or pre-leasing activities for purposes of this Act.”

(g) ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES.—Section 20 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1346) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (a) through (f) as subsections (b) through (g), respectively;

(2) by inserting before subsection (b) (as so redesignated) the following:

“(a) COMPREHENSIVE AND INDEPENDENT STUDIES.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall develop and carry out programs for the collection, evaluation, assembly, analysis, and dissemination of environmental and other resource data that are relevant to carrying out the purposes of this Act.

“(2) SCOPE OF RESEARCH.—The programs under this subsection shall include—

“(A) the gathering of baseline data in areas before energy or mineral resource development activities occur;

“(B) ecosystem research and monitoring studies to support integrated resource management decisions; and

“(C) the improvement of scientific understanding of the fate, transport, and effects of discharges and spilled materials, including deep water hydrocarbon spills, in the marine environment.

“(3) USE OF DATA.—The Secretary shall ensure that information from the studies carried out under this section—

“(A) informs the management of energy and mineral resources on the outer Continental Shelf including any areas under consideration for oil and gas leasing; and

“(B) contributes to a broader coordination of energy and mineral resource development activities within the context of best available science.

“(4) INDEPENDENCE.—The Secretary shall create a program within the appropriate bureau established under section 32 that shall—

“(A) be programmatically separate and distinct from the leasing program;

“(B) carry out the environmental studies under this section;

“(C) conduct additional environmental studies relevant to the sound management of energy and mineral resources on the outer Continental Shelf;

“(D) provide for external scientific review of studies under this section, including through appropriate arrangements with the National Academy of Sciences; and

“(E) subject to the restrictions of subsections (g) and (h) of section 18, make available to the public studies conducted and data gathered under this section.”;

(3) in the first sentence of subsection (b)(1) (as so redesignated), by inserting “every 3 years” after “shall conduct”.

(h) SAFETY RESEARCH AND REGULATIONS.—Section 21 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1347) is amended—

(1) in the first sentence of subsection (a), by striking “Upon the date of enactment of this section,” and inserting “Not later than May 1, 2011, and every 3 years thereafter.”;

(2) by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

“(b) BEST AVAILABLE TECHNOLOGIES AND PRACTICES.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—In exercising respective responsibilities under this Act, the Secretary, and the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating, shall require, on all new drilling and production operations and, to the maximum extent practicable, on existing operations, the use of the best available and safest technologies and practices, if the failure of equipment would have a significant effect on safety, health, or the environment.

“(2) IDENTIFICATION OF BEST AVAILABLE TECHNOLOGIES.—Not later than May 1, 2011, and not later than every 3 years thereafter, the Secretary shall identify and publish an updated list of best available technologies for key areas of well design and operation, including blowout prevention and blowout and oil spill response.

“(3) SAFETY CASE.—Not later than May 1, 2011, the Secretary shall promulgate regulations requiring a safety case be submitted along with each new application for a permit to drill on the outer Continental Shelf.

“(4) EMPLOYEE TRAINING.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than May 1, 2011, the Secretary shall promulgate regulations setting standards for training for all workers on offshore facilities (including mobile offshore drilling units) conducting energy and mineral resource exploration, development, and production operations on the outer Continental Shelf.

“(B) REQUIREMENTS.—The training standards under this paragraph shall require that employers of workers described in subparagraph (A)—

“(i) establish training programs approved by the Secretary; and

“(ii) demonstrate that employees involved in the offshore operations meet standards that demonstrate the aptitude of the employees in critical technical skills.

“(C) EXPERIENCE.—The training standards under this section shall require that any offshore worker with less than 5 years of applied experience in offshore facilities operations pass a certification requirement after receiving the appropriate training.

“(D) MONITORING TRAINING COURSES.—The Secretary shall ensure that Department employees responsible for inspecting offshore facilities monitor, observe, and report on training courses established under this paragraph, including attending a representative number of the training sessions, as determined by the Secretary.”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(g) TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH AND RISK ASSESSMENT PROGRAM.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out a program of research, development, and risk assessment to address technology and development issues associated with outer Continental Shelf energy and mineral resource activities, with the primary purpose of informing the role of research, development, and risk assessment relating to safety, environmental protection, and spill response.

“(2) SPECIFIC AREAS OF FOCUS.—The program under this subsection shall include research, development, and other activities related to—

“(A) risk assessment, using all available data from safety and compliance records both within the United States and internationally;

“(B) analysis of industry trends in technology, investment, and interest in frontier areas;

“(C) analysis of incidents investigated under section 22;

“(D) reviews of best available technologies, including technologies associated with pipelines, blowout preventer mechanisms, casing, well design, and other associated infrastructure related to offshore energy development;

“(E) oil spill response and mitigation;

“(F) risks associated with human factors; and

“(G) renewable energy operations.

“(3) INFORMATION SHARING ACTIVITIES.—

“(A) DOMESTIC ACTIVITIES.—The Secretary shall carry out programs to facilitate the exchange and dissemination of scientific and technical information and best practices related to the management of safety and environmental issues associated with energy and mineral resource exploration, development, and production.

“(B) INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION.—The Secretary shall carry out programs to cooperate with international organizations and foreign governments to share information and best practices related to the management of safety and environmental issues associated with energy and mineral resource exploration, development, and production.

“(4) REPORTS.—The program under this subsection shall provide to the Secretary, each Bureau Director under section 32, and the public quarterly reports that address—

“(A) developments in each of the areas under paragraph (2); and

“(B)(i) any accidents that have occurred in the past quarter; and

“(ii) appropriate responses to the accidents.

“(5) INDEPENDENCE.—The Secretary shall create a program within the appropriate bureau established under section 32 that shall—

“(A) be programmatically separate and distinct from the leasing program;

“(B) carry out the studies, analyses, and other activities under this subsection;

“(C) provide for external scientific review of studies under this section, including through appropriate arrangements with the National Academy of Sciences; and

“(D) make available to the public studies conducted and data gathered under this section.

“(6) USE OF DATA.—The Secretary shall ensure that the information from the studies and research carried out under this section inform the development of safety practices and regulations as required by this Act and other applicable laws.”

(i) ENFORCEMENT.—Section 22 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1348) is amended—

(1) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) in the first sentence, by inserting “, each loss of well control, blowout, activation of the blowout preventer, and other accident that presented a serious risk to human or environmental safety,” after “fire”; and

(ii) in the last sentence, by inserting “as a condition of the lease” before the period at the end;

(B) in the last sentence of paragraph (2), by inserting “as a condition of lease” before the period at the end;

(2) in subsection (e)—

(A) by striking “(e) The” and inserting the following:

“(e) REVIEW OF ALLEGED SAFETY VIOLATIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) INVESTIGATION.—The Secretary shall investigate any allegation from any employee of the lessee or any subcontractor of the lessee made under paragraph (1).”; and

(3) by adding at the end of the section the following:

“(g) INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—At the request of the Secretary, the National Transportation Safety Board may conduct an independent investigation of any accident, occurring in the outer Continental Shelf and involving activities under this Act, that does not otherwise fall within the definition of an accident or major marine casualty, as those terms are

used in chapter 11 of title 49, United States Code.

“(2) TRANSPORTATION ACCIDENT.—For purposes of an investigation under this subsection, the accident that is the subject of the request by the Secretary shall be determined to be a transportation accident within the meaning of that term in chapter 11 of title 49, United States Code.

“(h) INFORMATION ON CAUSES AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—For each incident investigated under this section, the Secretary shall promptly make available to all lessees and the public technical information about the causes and corrective actions taken.

“(2) PUBLIC DATABASE.—All data and reports related to an incident described in paragraph (1) shall be maintained in a database that is available to the public.

“(i) INSPECTION FEE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—To the extent necessary to fund the inspections described in this paragraph, the Secretary shall collect a non-refundable inspection fee, which shall be deposited in the Ocean Energy Enforcement Fund established under paragraph (3), from the designated operator for facilities subject to inspection under subsection (c).

“(2) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary shall establish, by rule, inspection fees—

“(A) at an aggregate level equal to the amount necessary to offset the annual expenses of inspections of outer Continental Shelf facilities (including mobile offshore drilling units) by the Department of the Interior; and

“(B) using a schedule that reflects the differences in complexity among the classes of facilities to be inspected.

“(3) OCEAN ENERGY ENFORCEMENT FUND.—There is established in the Treasury a fund, to be known as the ‘Ocean Energy Enforcement Fund’ (referred to in this subsection as the ‘Fund’), into which shall be deposited amounts collected under paragraph (1) and which shall be available as provided under paragraph (4).

“(4) AVAILABILITY OF FEES.—Notwithstanding section 3302 of title 31, United States Code, all amounts collected by the Secretary under this section—

“(A) shall be credited as offsetting collections;

“(B) shall be available for expenditure only for purposes of carrying out inspections of outer Continental Shelf facilities (including mobile offshore drilling units) and the administration of the inspection program;

“(C) shall be available only to the extent provided for in advance in an appropriations Act; and

“(D) shall remain available until expended.

“(5) ANNUAL REPORTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 60 days after the end of each fiscal year beginning with fiscal year 2011, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives a report on the operation of the Fund during the fiscal year.

“(B) CONTENTS.—Each report shall include, for the fiscal year covered by the report, the following:

“(i) A statement of the amounts deposited into the Fund.

“(ii) A description of the expenditures made from the Fund for the fiscal year, including the purpose of the expenditures.

“(iii) Recommendations for additional authorities to fulfill the purpose of the Fund.

“(iv) A statement of the balance remaining in the Fund at the end of the fiscal year.”

(j) REMEDIES AND PENALTIES.—Section 24 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1350) is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

“(b) CIVIL PENALTY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraphs (2) through (3), if any person fails to comply with this Act, any term of a lease or permit issued under this Act, or any regulation or order issued under this Act, the person shall be liable for a civil administrative penalty of not more than \$75,000 for each day of continuance of each failure.

“(2) ADMINISTRATION.—The Secretary may assess, collect, and compromise any penalty under paragraph (1).

“(3) HEARING.—No penalty shall be assessed under this subsection until the person charged with a violation has been given the opportunity for a hearing.

“(4) ADJUSTMENT.—The penalty amount specified in this subsection shall increase each year to reflect any increases in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor.”;

(2) in subsection (c)—

(A) in the first sentence, by striking “\$100,000” and inserting “\$10,000,000”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“The penalty amount specified in this subsection shall increase each year to reflect any increases in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor.”; and

(3) in subsection (d), by inserting “, or with reckless disregard,” after “knowingly and willfully”.

(k) OIL AND GAS DEVELOPMENT AND PRODUCTION.—Section 25 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1351) is amended by striking “, other than the Gulf of Mexico,” each place it appears in subsections (a)(1), (b), and (e)(1).

(l) CONFLICTS OF INTEREST.—Section 29 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1355) is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 29. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST.

“(a) RESTRICTIONS ON EMPLOYMENT.—No full-time officer or employee of the Department of the Interior who directly or indirectly discharges duties or responsibilities under this Act shall—

“(1) within 2 years after his employment with the Department has ceased—

“(A) knowingly act as agent or attorney for, or otherwise represent, any other person (except the United States) in any formal or informal appearance before;

“(B) with the intent to influence, make any oral or written communication on behalf of any other person (except the United States) to; or

“(C) knowingly aid, advise, or assist in—

“(i) representing any other person (except the United States) in any formal or informal appearance before; or

“(ii) making, with the intent to influence, any oral or written communication on behalf of any other person (except the United States) to,

any department, agency, or court of the United States, or any officer or employee thereof, in connection with any judicial or other proceeding, application, request for a ruling or other determination, regulation, order, lease, permit, rulemaking, inspection, enforcement action, or other particular matter involving a specific party or parties in which the United States is a party or has a

direct and substantial interest which was actually pending under his official responsibility as an officer or employee within a period of one year prior to the termination of such responsibility or in which he participated personally and substantially as an officer or employee;

“(2) within 1 year after his employment with the Department has ceased—

“(A) knowingly act as agent or attorney for, or otherwise represent, any other person (except the United States) in any formal or informal appearance before;

“(B) with the intent to influence, make any oral or written communication on behalf of any other person (except the United States) to; or

“(C) knowingly aid, advise, or assist in—

“(i) representing any other person (except the United States) in any formal or informal appearance before, or

“(ii) making, with the intent to influence, any oral or written communication on behalf of any other person (except the United States) to,

the Department of the Interior, or any officer or employee thereof, in connection with any judicial, rulemaking, regulation, order, lease, permit, regulation, inspection, enforcement action, or other particular matter which is pending before the Department of the Interior or in which the Department has a direct and substantial interest; or

“(3) accept employment or compensation, during the 1-year period beginning on the date on which employment with the Department has ceased, from any person (other than the United States) that has a direct and substantial interest—

“(A) that was pending under the official responsibility of the employee as an officer or employee of the Department during the 1-year period preceding the termination of the responsibility; or

“(B) in which the employee participated personally and substantially as an officer or employee.

“(b) **PRIOR EMPLOYMENT RELATIONSHIPS.**—No full-time officer or employee of the Department of the Interior who directly or indirectly discharges duties or responsibilities under this Act shall participate personally and substantially as a Federal officer or employee, through decision, approval, disapproval, recommendation, the rendering of advice, investigation, or otherwise, in a proceeding, application, request for a ruling or other determination, contract, claim, controversy, charge, accusation, inspection, enforcement action, or other particular matter in which, to the knowledge of the officer or employee—

“(1) the officer or employee or the spouse, minor child, or general partner of the officer or employee has a financial interest;

“(2) any organization in which the officer or employee is serving as an officer, director, trustee, general partner, or employee has a financial interest;

“(3) any person or organization with whom the officer or employee is negotiating or has any arrangement concerning prospective employment has a financial interest; or

“(4) any person or organization in which the officer or employee has, within the preceding 1-year period, served as an officer, director, trustee, general partner, agent, attorney, consultant, contractor, or employee has a financial interest.

“(c) **GIFTS FROM OUTSIDE SOURCES.**—No full-time officer or employee of the Department of the Interior who directly or indirectly discharges duties or responsibilities under this Act shall, directly or indirectly,

solicit or accept any gift in violation of subpart B of part 2635 of title V, Code of Federal Regulations (or successor regulations).

“(d) **EXEMPTIONS.**—The Secretary may, by rule, exempt from this section clerical and support personnel who do not conduct inspections, perform audits, or otherwise exercise regulatory or policy making authority under this Act.

“(e) **PENALTIES.**—

“(1) **CRIMINAL PENALTIES.**—Any person who violates paragraph (1) or (2) of subsection (a) or subsection (b) shall be punished in accordance with section 216 of title 18, United States Code.

“(2) **CIVIL PENALTIES.**—Any person who violates subsection (a)(3) or (c) shall be punished in accordance with subsection (b) of section 216 of title 18, United States Code.”.

SEC. 7. STUDY ON THE EFFECT OF THE MORATORIA ON NEW DEEPWATER DRILLING IN THE GULF OF MEXICO ON EMPLOYMENT AND SMALL BUSINESSES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Energy, acting through the Energy Information Administration, shall publish a monthly study evaluating the effect of the moratoria resulting from the blowout and explosion of the mobile offshore drilling unit *Deepwater Horizon* that occurred on April 20, 2010, and resulting hydrocarbon releases into the environment, on employment and small businesses.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act and at the beginning of each month thereafter during the effective period of the moratoria described in subsection (a), the Secretary of Energy, acting through the Energy Information Administration, shall submit to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate and the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives a report regarding the results of the study conducted under subsection (a), including—

(1) a survey of the effect of the moratoria on deepwater drilling on employment in the industries directly involved in oil and natural gas exploration in the outer Continental Shelf;

(2) a survey of the effect of the moratoria on employment in the industries indirectly involved in oil and natural gas exploration in the outer Continental Shelf, including suppliers of supplies or services and customers of industries directly involved in oil and natural gas exploration;

(3) an estimate of the effect of the moratoria on the revenues of small business located near the Gulf of Mexico and, to the maximum extent practicable, throughout the United States; and

(4) any recommendations to mitigate possible negative effects on small business concerns resulting from the moratoria.

SEC. 8. REFORM OF OTHER LAW.

Section 388(b) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (43 U.S.C. 1337 note; Public Law 109-58) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(4) **FEDERAL AGENCIES.**—Any head of a Federal department or agency shall, on request of the Secretary, provide to the Secretary all data and information that the Secretary determines to be necessary for the purpose of including the data and information in the mapping initiative, except that no Federal department or agency shall be required to provide any data or information that is privileged or proprietary.”.

SEC. 9. SAFER OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION.

(a) **PROGRAM AUTHORITY.**—Section 999A of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 16371) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by striking “ultra-deepwater” and inserting “deepwater”; and

(B) by inserting “well control and accident prevention,” after “safe operations.”;

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the following:

“(1) Deepwater architecture, well control and accident prevention, and deepwater technology, including drilling to deep formations in waters greater than 500 feet.”; and

(B) by striking paragraph (4) and inserting the following:

“(4) Safety technology research and development for drilling activities aimed at well control and accident prevention performed by the Office of Fossil Energy of the Department.”; and

(3) in subsection (d)—

(A) in the subsection heading, by striking “NATIONAL ENERGY TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY” and inserting “OFFICE OF FOSSIL ENERGY OF THE DEPARTMENT”; and

(B) by striking “National Energy Technology Laboratory” and inserting “Office of Fossil Energy of the Department”.

(b) **DEEPWATER AND UNCONVENTIONAL ONSHORE NATURAL GAS AND OTHER PETROLEUM RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.**—Section 999B of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 16372) is amended—

(1) in the section heading, by striking “**ULTRA-DEEPWATER AND UNCONVENTIONAL ONSHORE NATURAL GAS AND OTHER PETROLEUM**” and inserting “**SAFE OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION AND ACCIDENT PREVENTION**”;

(2) in subsection (a), by striking “, by increasing” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting “and the safe and environmentally responsible exploration, development, and production of hydrocarbon resources.”;

(3) in subsection (c)(1)—

(A) by redesignating subparagraphs (D) and (E) as subparagraphs (E) and (F), respectively; and

(B) by inserting after subparagraph (C) the following:

“(D) projects will be selected on a competitive, peer-reviewed basis.”; and

(4) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (6), by striking “ultra-deepwater” and inserting “deepwater”;

(B) in paragraph (7)—

(i) in subparagraph (A)—

(I) in the subparagraph heading, by striking “**ULTRA-DEEPWATER**” and inserting “**DEEPWATER**”;

(II) by striking “development and” and inserting “research, development, and”; and

(III) by striking “as well as” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting “aimed at improving operational safety of drilling activities, including well integrity systems, well control, blowout prevention, the use of non-toxic materials, and integrated systems approach-based management for exploration and production in deepwater.”;

(ii) in subparagraph (B), by striking “and environmental mitigation” and inserting “use of non-toxic materials, drilling safety, and environmental mitigation and accident prevention”;

(iii) in subparagraph (C), by inserting “safety and accident prevention, well control and systems integrity,” after “including”; and

(iv) by adding at the end the following:

“(D) **SAFETY AND ACCIDENT PREVENTION TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.**—Awards from allocations under section

999H(d)(4) shall be expended on areas including—

“(i) development of improved cementing and casing technologies;

“(ii) best management practices for cementing, casing, and other well control activities and technologies;

“(iii) development of integrity and stewardship guidelines for—

“(I) well-plugging and abandonment;

“(II) development of wellbore sealant technologies; and

“(III) improvement and standardization of blowout prevention devices.”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(8) STUDY; REPORT.—

“(A) STUDY.—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this paragraph, the Secretary shall enter into an arrangement with the National Academy of Sciences under which the Academy shall conduct a study to determine—

“(i) whether the benefits provided through each award under this subsection during calendar year 2011 have been maximized; and

“(ii) the new areas of research that could be carried out to meet the overall objectives of the program.

“(B) REPORT.—Not later than January 1, 2012, the Secretary shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report that contains a description of the results of the study conducted under subparagraph (A).

“(C) OPTIONAL UPDATES.—The Secretary may update the report described in subparagraph (B) for the 5-year period beginning on the date described in that subparagraph and each 5-year period thereafter.”;

(5) in subsection (e)—

(A) in paragraph (2)—

(i) in the second sentence of subparagraph (A), by inserting “to the Secretary for review” after “submit”; and

(ii) in the first sentence of subparagraph (B), by striking “Ultra-Deepwater” and all that follows through “and such Advisory Committees” and inserting “Program Advisory Committee established under section 999D(a), and the Advisory Committee”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(6) RESEARCH FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPLEMENTATION.—The Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior and the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, shall publish in the Federal Register an annual report on the research findings of the program carried out under this section and any recommendations for implementation that the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior and the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, determines to be necessary.”;

(6) in subsection (i)—

(A) in the subsection heading, by striking “UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY” and inserting “DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR”; and

(B) by striking “, through the United States Geological Survey,”; and

(7) in the first sentence of subsection (j), by striking “National Energy Technology Laboratory” and inserting “Office of Fossil Energy of the Department”.

(c) ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR AWARDS.—Section 999C(b) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 16373(b)) is amended by striking “an ultra-deepwater technology or an ultra-deepwater architecture” and inserting “a deepwater technology”.

(d) PROGRAM ADVISORY COMMITTEE.—Section 999D of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 16374) is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 999D. PROGRAM ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

“(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—Not later than 270 days after the date of enactment of the Safe and Responsible Energy Production Improvement Act of 2010, the Secretary shall establish an advisory committee to be known as the ‘Program Advisory Committee’ (referred to in this section as the ‘Advisory Committee’).

“(b) MEMBERSHIP.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Advisory Committee shall be composed of members appointed by the Secretary, including—

“(A) individuals with extensive research experience or operational knowledge of hydrocarbon exploration and production;

“(B) individuals broadly representative of the affected interests in hydrocarbon production, including interests in environmental protection and safety operations;

“(C) representatives of Federal agencies, including the Environmental Protection Agency and the Department of the Interior;

“(D) State regulatory agency representatives; and

“(E) other individuals, as determined by the Secretary.

“(2) LIMITATIONS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Advisory Committee shall not include individuals who are board members, officers, or employees of the program consortium.

“(B) CATEGORICAL REPRESENTATION.—In appointing members of the Advisory Committee, the Secretary shall ensure that no class of individuals described in any of subparagraphs (A), (B), (D), or (E) of paragraph (1) comprises more than 1/5 of the membership of the Advisory Committee.

“(c) SUBCOMMITTEES.—The Advisory Committee may establish subcommittees for separate research programs carried out under this subtitle.

“(d) DUTIES.—The Advisory Committee shall—

“(1) advise the Secretary on the development and implementation of programs under this subtitle; and

“(2) carry out section 999B(e)(2)(B).

“(e) COMPENSATION.—A member of the Advisory Committee shall serve without compensation but shall be entitled to receive travel expenses in accordance with subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code.

“(f) PROHIBITION.—The Advisory Committee shall not make recommendations on funding awards to particular consortia or other entities, or for specific projects.”.

(e) DEFINITIONS.—Section 999G of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 16377) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “200 but less than 1,500 meters” and inserting “500 feet”;

(2) by striking paragraphs (8), (9), and (10);

(3) by redesignating paragraphs (2) through (7) and (11) as paragraphs (4) through (9) and (10), respectively;

(4) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following:

“(2) DEEPWATER ARCHITECTURE.—The term ‘deepwater architecture’ means the integration of technologies for the exploration for, or production of, natural gas or other petroleum resources located at deepwater depths.

“(3) DEEPWATER TECHNOLOGY.—The term ‘deepwater technology’ means a discrete technology that is specially suited to address 1 or more challenges associated with the exploration for, or production of, natural gas or other petroleum resources located at deepwater depths.”; and

(5) in paragraph (10) (as redesignated by paragraph (3)), by striking “in an economi-

cally inaccessible geological formation, including resources of small producers”.

(f) FUNDING.—Section 999H of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 16378) is amended—

(1) in the first sentence of subsection (a) by striking “Ultra-Deepwater and Unconventional Natural Gas and Other Petroleum Research Fund” and inserting “Safe and Responsible Energy Production Research Fund”;

(2) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “35 percent” and inserting “21.5 percent”;

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “32.5 percent” and inserting “21 percent”;

(C) in paragraph (4)—

(i) by striking “25 percent” and inserting “30 percent”;

(ii) by striking “complementary research” and inserting “safety technology research and development”; and

(iii) by striking “contract management,” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting “and contract management.”; and

(D) by adding at the end the following:

“(5) 20 percent shall be used for research activities required under sections 20 and 21 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1346, 1347).”.

(3) in subsection (f), by striking “Ultra-Deepwater and Unconventional Natural Gas and Other Petroleum Research Fund” and inserting “Safer Oil and Gas Production and Accident Prevention Research Fund”.

(g) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subtitle J of title IX of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 16371 et seq.) is amended in the subtitle heading by striking “Ultra-Deepwater and Unconventional Natural Gas and Other Petroleum Resources” and inserting “Safer Oil and Gas Production and Accident Prevention”.

SEC. 10. NATIONAL COMMISSION ON OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF OIL SPILL PREVENTION.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established in the Legislative Branch the National Commission on Outer Continental Shelf Oil Spill Prevention (referred to in this section as the “Commission”).

(b) PURPOSES.—The purposes of the Commission are—

(1) to examine and report on the facts and causes relating to the Deepwater Horizon explosion and oil spill of 2010;

(2) to ascertain, evaluate, and report on the evidence developed by all relevant governmental agencies regarding the facts and circumstances surrounding the incident;

(3) to build upon the investigations of other entities, and avoid unnecessary duplication, by reviewing the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of—

(A) the Committees on Energy and Natural Resources and Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate;

(B) the Committee on Natural Resources and the Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations of the House of Representatives; and

(C) other Executive branch, congressional, or independent commission investigations into the Deepwater Horizon incident of 2010, other fatal oil platform accidents and major spills, and major oil spills generally;

(4) to make a full and complete accounting of the circumstances surrounding the incident, and the extent of the preparedness of the United States for, and immediate response of the United States to, the incident; and

(5) to investigate and report to the President and Congress findings, conclusions, and

recommendations for corrective measures that may be taken to prevent similar incidents.

(c) COMPOSITION OF COMMISSION.—

(1) MEMBERS.—The Commission shall be composed of 10 members, of whom—

(A) 1 member shall be appointed by the President, who shall serve as Chairperson of the Commission;

(B) 1 member shall be appointed by the majority or minority (as the case may be) leader of the Senate from the Republican Party and the majority or minority (as the case may be) leader of the House of Representatives from the Republican Party, who shall serve as Vice Chairperson of the Commission;

(C) 2 members shall be appointed by the senior member of the leadership of the Senate from the Democratic Party;

(D) 2 members shall be appointed by the senior member of the leadership of the House of Representatives from the Republican Party;

(E) 2 members shall be appointed by the senior member of the leadership of the Senate from the Republican Party; and

(F) 2 members shall be appointed by the senior member of the leadership of the House of Representatives from the Democratic Party.

(2) QUALIFICATIONS; INITIAL MEETING.—

(A) POLITICAL PARTY AFFILIATION.—Not more than 5 members of the Commission shall be from the same political party.

(B) NONGOVERNMENTAL APPOINTEES.—An individual appointed to the Commission may not be a current officer or employee of the Federal Government or any State or local government.

(C) OTHER QUALIFICATIONS.—It is the sense of Congress that individuals appointed to the Commission should be prominent United States citizens, with national recognition and significant depth of experience and expertise in such areas as—

- (i) engineering;
- (ii) environmental compliance;
- (iii) health and safety law (particularly oil spill legislation);
- (iv) oil spill insurance policies;
- (v) public administration;
- (vi) oil and gas exploration and production;
- (vii) environmental cleanup; and
- (viii) fisheries and wildlife management.

(D) DEADLINE FOR APPOINTMENT.—All members of the Commission shall be appointed on or before September 15, 2010.

(E) INITIAL MEETING.—The Commission shall meet and begin the operations of the Commission as soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act.

(3) QUORUM; VACANCIES.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—After the initial meeting of the Commission, the Commission shall meet upon the call of the Chairperson or a majority of the members of the Commission.

(B) QUORUM.—6 members of the Commission shall constitute a quorum.

(C) VACANCIES.—Any vacancy in the Commission shall not affect the powers of the Commission, but shall be filled in the same manner in which the original appointment was made.

(d) FUNCTIONS OF COMMISSION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The functions of the Commission are—

- (A) to conduct an investigation that—
 - (i) investigates relevant facts and circumstances relating to the Deepwater Horizon incident of April 20, 2010, and the associated oil spill thereafter, including any relevant legislation, Executive order, regulation, plan, policy, practice, or procedure; and

(ii) may include relevant facts and circumstances relating to—

- (I) permitting agencies;
- (II) environmental and worker safety law enforcement agencies;
- (III) national energy requirements;
- (IV) deepwater and ultradeepwater oil and gas exploration and development;
- (V) regulatory specifications, testing, and requirements for offshore oil and gas well explosion prevention;
- (VI) regulatory specifications, testing, and requirements offshore oil and gas well casing and cementing regulation;
- (VII) the role of congressional oversight and resource allocation; and
- (VIII) other areas of the public and private sectors determined to be relevant to the Deepwater Horizon incident by the Commission;

(B) to identify, review, and evaluate the lessons learned from the Deepwater Horizon incident of April 20, 2010, regarding the structure, coordination, management policies, and procedures of the Federal Government, and, if appropriate, State and local governments and nongovernmental entities, and the private sector, relative to detecting, preventing, and responding to those incidents; and

(C) to submit to the President and Congress such reports as are required under this section containing such findings, conclusions, and recommendations as the Commission determines to be appropriate, including proposals for organization, coordination, planning, management arrangements, procedures, rules, and regulations.

(2) RELATIONSHIP TO INQUIRY BY CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—In investigating facts and circumstances relating to energy policy, the Commission shall—

(A) first review the information compiled by, and any findings, conclusions, and recommendations of, the committees identified in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of subsection (b)(3); and

(B) after completion of that review, pursue any appropriate area of inquiry, if the Commission determines that—

- (i) those committees have not investigated that area;
- (ii) the investigation of that area by those committees has not been completed; or
- (iii) new information not reviewed by the committees has become available with respect to that area.

(e) POWERS OF COMMISSION.—

(1) HEARINGS AND EVIDENCE.—The Commission or, on the authority of the Commission, any subcommittee or member of the Commission, may, for the purpose of carrying out this section—

(A) hold such hearings, meet and act at such times and places, take such testimony, receive such evidence, and administer such oaths; and

(B) require, by subpoena or otherwise, the attendance and testimony of such witnesses and the production of such books, records, correspondence, memoranda, papers, documents, tapes, and materials;

as the Commission or such subcommittee or member considers to be advisable.

(2) SUBPOENAS.—

(A) ISSUANCE.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—A subpoena may be issued under this paragraph only—

(I) by the agreement of the Chairperson and the Vice Chairperson; or

(II) by the affirmative vote of 6 members of the Commission.

(ii) SIGNATURE.—Subject to clause (i), a subpoena issued under this paragraph—

(I) shall bear the signature of the Chairperson or any member designated by a majority of the Commission;

(II) and may be served by any person or class of persons designated by the Chairperson or by a member designated by a majority of the Commission for that purpose.

(B) ENFORCEMENT.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—In the case of contumacy or failure to obey a subpoena issued under subparagraph (A), the United States district court for the district in which the subpoenaed person resides, is served, or may be found, or where the subpoena is returnable, may issue an order requiring the person to appear at any designated place to testify or to produce documentary or other evidence.

(ii) JUDICIAL ACTION FOR NONCOMPLIANCE.—Any failure to obey the order of the court may be punished by the court as a contempt of that court.

(iii) ADDITIONAL ENFORCEMENT.—In the case of any failure of any witness to comply with any subpoena or to testify when summoned under authority of this subsection, the Commission may, by majority vote, certify a statement of fact constituting such failure to the appropriate United States attorney, who may bring the matter before the grand jury for action, under the same statutory authority and procedures as if the United States attorney had received a certification under sections 102 through 104 of the Revised Statutes (2 U.S.C. 192 through 194).

(3) CONTRACTING.—The Commission may, to such extent and in such amounts as are provided in appropriation Acts, enter into contracts to enable the Commission to discharge the duties of the Commission under this section.

(4) INFORMATION FROM FEDERAL AGENCIES.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Commission may secure directly from any Executive department, bureau, agency, board, commission, office, independent establishment, or instrumentality of the Federal Government, information, suggestions, estimates, and statistics for the purposes of this section.

(B) COOPERATION.—Each Federal department, bureau, agency, board, commission, office, independent establishment, or instrumentality shall, to the extent authorized by law, furnish information, suggestions, estimates, and statistics directly to the Commission, upon request made by the Chairperson, the Chairperson of any subcommittee created by a majority of the Commission, or any member designated by a majority of the Commission.

(C) RECEIPT, HANDLING, STORAGE, AND DISSEMINATION.—Information shall be received, handled, stored, and disseminated only by members of the Commission and the staff of the Commission in accordance with all applicable laws (including regulations and Executive orders).

(5) ASSISTANCE FROM FEDERAL AGENCIES.—

(A) GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION.—The Administrator of General Services shall provide to the Commission on a reimbursable basis administrative support and other services for the performance of the functions of the Commission.

(B) OTHER DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES.—In addition to the assistance prescribed in subparagraph (A), departments and agencies of the United States may provide to the Commission such services, funds, facilities, staff, and other support services as are determined to be advisable and authorized by law.

(6) GIFTS.—The Commission may accept, use, and dispose of gifts or donations of services or property, including travel, for the direct advancement of the functions of the Commission.

(7) **POSTAL SERVICES.**—The Commission may use the United States mails in the same manner and under the same conditions as departments and agencies of the United States.

(f) **PUBLIC MEETINGS AND HEARINGS.**—

(1) **PUBLIC MEETINGS AND RELEASE OF PUBLIC VERSIONS OF REPORTS.**—The Commission shall—

(A) hold public hearings and meetings, to the extent appropriate; and

(B) release public versions of the reports required under paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (j).

(2) **PUBLIC HEARINGS.**—Any public hearings of the Commission shall be conducted in a manner consistent with the protection of proprietary or sensitive information provided to or developed for or by the Commission as required by any applicable law (including a regulation or Executive order).

(g) **STAFF OF COMMISSION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—

(A) **APPOINTMENT AND COMPENSATION.**—

(i) **IN GENERAL.**—The Chairperson, in consultation with the Vice Chairperson and in accordance with rules agreed upon by the Commission, may, without regard to the civil service laws (including regulations), appoint and fix the compensation of a staff director and such other personnel as are necessary to enable the Commission to carry out the functions of the Commission.

(ii) **MAXIMUM RATE OF PAY.**—No rate of pay fixed under this subparagraph may exceed the equivalent of that payable for a position at level V of the Executive Schedule under section 5316 of title 5, United States Code.

(B) **PERSONNEL AS FEDERAL EMPLOYEES.**—

(i) **IN GENERAL.**—The staff director and any personnel of the Commission who are employees shall be considered to be employees under section 2105 of title 5, United States Code, for purposes of chapters 63, 81, 83, 84, 85, 87, 89, and 90 of that title.

(ii) **MEMBERS OF COMMISSION.**—Clause (i) shall not apply to members of the Commission.

(2) **DETAILEES.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—An employee of the Federal Government may be detailed to the Commission without reimbursement.

(B) **CIVIL SERVICE STATUS.**—The detail of the employee shall be without interruption or loss of civil service status or privilege.

(3) **PROCUREMENT OF TEMPORARY AND INTERMITTENT SERVICES.**—The Chairperson of the Commission may procure temporary and intermittent services in accordance with section 3109(b) of title 5, United States Code, at rates for individuals that do not exceed the daily equivalent of the annual rate of basic pay prescribed for level V of the Executive Schedule under section 5316 of that title.

(h) **COMPENSATION AND TRAVEL EXPENSES.**—

(1) **COMPENSATION OF MEMBERS.**—

(A) **NON-FEDERAL EMPLOYEES.**—A member of the Commission who is not an officer or employee of the Federal Government shall be compensated at a rate equal to the daily equivalent of the annual rate of basic pay prescribed for level IV of the Executive Schedule under section 5315 of title 5, United States Code, for each day (including travel time) during which the member is engaged in the performance of the duties of the Commission.

(B) **FEDERAL EMPLOYEES.**—A member of the Commission who is an officer or employee of the Federal Government shall serve without compensation in addition to the compensation received for the services of the member as an officer or employee of the Federal Government.

(2) **TRAVEL EXPENSES.**—A member of the Commission shall be allowed travel expenses,

including per diem in lieu of subsistence, at rates authorized for an employee of an agency under subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code, while away from the home or regular place of business of the member in the performance of the duties of the Commission.

(i) **SECURITY CLEARANCES FOR COMMISSION MEMBERS AND STAFF.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to paragraph (2), the appropriate Federal agencies or departments shall cooperate with the Commission in expeditiously providing to the members and staff of the Commission appropriate security clearances, to the maximum extent practicable, pursuant to existing procedures and requirements.

(2) **PROPRIETARY INFORMATION.**—No person shall be provided with access to proprietary information under this section without the appropriate security clearances.

(j) **REPORTS OF COMMISSION; ADJOURNMENT.**—

(1) **INTERIM REPORTS.**—The Commission may submit to the President and Congress interim reports containing such findings, conclusions, and recommendations for corrective measures as have been agreed to by a majority of members of the Commission.

(2) **FINAL REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Commission shall submit to the President and Congress a final report containing such findings, conclusions, and recommendations for corrective measures as have been agreed to by a majority of members of the Commission.

(3) **TEMPORARY ADJOURNMENT.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The Commission, and all the authority provided under this section, shall adjourn and be suspended, respectively, on the date that is 60 days after the date on which the final report is submitted under paragraph (2).

(B) **ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIVITIES BEFORE TERMINATION.**—The Commission may use the 60-day period referred to in subparagraph (A) for the purpose of concluding activities of the Commission, including—

(i) providing testimony to committees of Congress concerning reports of the Commission; and

(ii) disseminating the final report submitted under paragraph (2).

(C) **RECONVENING OF COMMISSION.**—The Commission shall stand adjourned until such time as the President or the Secretary of Homeland Security declares an oil spill of national significance to have occurred, at which time—

(i) the Commission shall reconvene in accordance with subsection (c)(3); and

(ii) the authority of the Commission under this section shall be of full force and effect.

(k) **FUNDING.**—

(1) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section—

(A) \$10,000,000 for the first fiscal year in which the Commission convenes; and

(B) \$3,000,000 for each fiscal year thereafter in which the Commission convenes.

(2) **AVAILABILITY.**—Amounts made available to carry out this section shall be available—

(A) for transfer to the Commission for use in carrying out the functions and activities of the Commission under this section; and

(B) until the date on which the Commission adjourns for the fiscal year under subsection (j)(3).

(l) **NONAPPLICABILITY OF FEDERAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ACT.**—The Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall not apply to the Commission.

SEC. 11. CLASSIFICATION OF OFFSHORE SYSTEMS.

(a) **REGULATIONS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary and the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating shall jointly issue regulations requiring systems (including existing systems) used in the offshore exploration, development, and production of oil and gas in the outer Continental Shelf to be constructed, maintained, and operated so as to meet classification, certification, rating, and inspection standards that are necessary—

(A) to protect the health and safety of affiliated workers; and

(B) to prevent environmental degradation.

(2) **THIRD-PARTY VERIFICATION.**—The standards established by regulation under paragraph (1) shall be verified through certification and classification by independent third parties that—

(A) have been preapproved by both the Secretary and the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating; and

(B) have no financial conflict of interest in conducting the duties of the third parties.

(3) **MINIMUM SYSTEMS COVERED.**—At a minimum, the regulations issued under paragraph (1) shall require the certification and classification by an independent third party who meets the requirements of paragraph (2) of—

(A) mobile offshore drilling units;

(B) fixed and floating drilling or production facilities;

(C) drilling systems, including risers and blowout preventers; and

(D) any other equipment dedicated to the safety systems relating to offshore extraction and production of oil and gas.

(4) **EXCEPTIONS.**—The Secretary and the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating may waive the standards established by regulation under paragraph (1) for an existing system only if—

(A) the system is of an age or type where meeting such requirements is impractical; and

(B) the system poses an acceptably low level of risk to the environment and to human safety.

(b) **AUTHORITY OF COAST GUARD.**—Nothing in this section preempts or interferes with the authority of the Coast Guard.

SEC. 12. SAVINGS PROVISIONS.

(a) **EXISTING LAW.**—All regulations, rules, standards, determinations, contracts and agreements, memoranda of understanding, certifications, authorizations, appointments, delegations, results and findings of investigations, or any other actions issued, made, or taken by, or pursuant to or under, the authority of any law (including regulations) that resulted in the assignment of functions or activities to the Secretary, the Director of the Minerals Management Service (including by delegation from the Secretary), or the Department (as related to the implementation of the purposes referenced in this Act) that were in effect on the date of enactment of this Act shall continue in full force and effect after the date of enactment of this Act unless previously scheduled to expire or until otherwise modified or rescinded by this Act or any other Act.

(b) **EFFECT ON OTHER AUTHORITIES.**—This Act does not amend or alter the provisions of other applicable laws, unless otherwise noted.

SEC. 13. BUDGETARY EFFECTS.

The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purpose of complying with the Statutory

Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement titled "Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation" for this Act, submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairman of the Senate Budget Committee, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage.

By Mr. HARKIN (for himself and Mrs. GILLIBRAND):

S. 919. A bill to authorize grant programs to ensure successful, safe, and healthy students; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

Mr. HARKIN. Mr. President, one of our greatest national priorities is ensuring that all students in all schools are in settings that are safe; classrooms that support learning; situations that ensure our children will be successful.

To be a successful student, to be a contributing citizen to our democracy, to be prepared for college and the workforce of tomorrow, our students need to be of sound mind, of sound body, and have access to resources that will support their success.

Students who travel to school safely; who attend classes in structurally sound buildings where the adults model positive teamwork and collaboration skills; where good nutrition is available and where opportunities for physical activity are available and expected; where they have a safe, supportive social environment, students who have all of these conditions in their schools will be prepared to achieve high academic standards.

In a country where almost one in every five children is obese, where thousands of students are bullied and harassed daily, and where access to high-quality mental and physical health care is limited, students must have these basic conditions for learning in order to be successful.

While the Department of Justice reports that the rate of serious incidents of school violence continue to decline, according to the National Center for Education Statistics, bullying remains a pervasive problem that affects almost one in four students each year. As we have seen in recent times, sometimes bullying results in the worst possible tragedy, the death of a child.

Fifteen-year-old Phoebe Prince, a freshman at South Hadley High School in Massachusetts, endured nearly three months of routine torment by classmates. On January 14, 2010, Phoebe hanged herself in the stairwell of her family's home, following weeks of taunting by classmates. The day before she died, she told a friend: "School has been close to intolerable lately." In California, thirteen-year-old Seth Walsh committed suicide this past October because of the bullying he experienced in his school. We need to have the expectations in all of our schools that all students will be valued and all

students will have a safe haven to learn and achieve. In New York City, middle schooler Gurwinder Singh was targeted by bullies who bashed his head into a metal pole while bystanders watched, because of his Sikh religion. Luckily, Gurwinder survived, and has become an outspoken proponent of bullying prevention. We cannot stand idly by when school becomes a hostile place for kids.

Thus, today, I am introducing the Successful, Safe and Healthy Students Act. This legislation will advance student achievement and promote the positive physical, mental, and emotional health of students throughout the nation. It will help to reduce violence in schools, prevent bullying and harassment, help students make responsible choices about drugs, tobacco, and alcohol, and create the type of school environments where students can do their best work and achieve the highest possible academic outcomes, while also becoming healthy, happy and productive members of their communities.

Essential conditions for learning include schools that provide for adequate physical activity, positive mental health, and safe environments. Those conditions include physical and emotional safety for both students and school personnel and promote positive character development in our youth. Schools with the essential conditions for learning also provide for opportunities for good nutrition and healthy living, and are free of violence, harassment, bullying and other forms of interpersonal aggression. Schools that have the right conditions for learning are free of weapons and prevent the use and abuse of drugs and alcohol. And schools with good conditions for learning have positive adult role models with high expectations for students' development, conduct, and academic achievement.

For those who might be skeptical about these critical conditions for learning, we only need to look to the States and their efforts to improve school performance and accountability. Many States are moving beyond the limited measures of school performance required by No Child Left Behind and have started to collect data on school-wide factors that are associated with student success. Some of these areas include school climate, physical activity of students, and physical and emotional safety. In fact, a March 2011 report from the RAND Corporation indicated that many States are now establishing accountability systems that include school safety, school climate, family involvement, and student engagement.

This legislation will provide to each State the support necessary to measure the conditions for learning in each school in each school in the State. Resources will also be available to offer grants to school districts to establish

policies and activities to improve the conditions for learning in each of their schools. This legislation gives State and local school districts the resources and opportunities to create safe, healthy schools that will enhance the academic achievement of students.

This legislation is an essential tool for our States and local schools to support students who are prepared for college, a career, and to be world-class citizens.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 919

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Successful, Safe, and Healthy Students Act of 2011".

SEC. 2. PURPOSE.

The purpose of this Act is to assist States in developing and implementing comprehensive programs and strategies to foster positive conditions for learning in public schools, in order to increase academic achievement for all students through the provision of Federal assistance to States for—

- (1) promotion of student physical health and well-being, nutrition, and fitness;
- (2) promotion of student mental health and well-being;
- (3) prevention of violence, harassment (which includes bullying), and substance abuse among students; and
- (4) promotion of safe and supportive schools.

SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(1) CHILD AND ADOLESCENT PSYCHIATRIST; OTHER QUALIFIED PSYCHOLOGIST; SCHOOL COUNSELOR; SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGIST; SCHOOL SOCIAL WORKER.—The terms "child and adolescent psychiatrist", "other qualified psychologist", "school counselor", "school psychologist", and "school social worker" shall have the meanings given the terms in section 5421(e) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7245(e)).

(2) CONDITIONS FOR LEARNING.—The term "conditions for learning" means conditions that advance student achievement and positive child and youth development by proactively supporting schools (inclusive of in and around the school building, pathways to and from the school and students' homes, school-sponsored activities, and electronic and social media involving students or school personnel) that—

- (A) promote physical, mental, and emotional health;
- (B) ensure physical and emotional safety for students and staff;
- (C) promote social, emotional, and character development; and
- (D) have the following attributes:
 - (i) Provide opportunities for physical activity, good nutrition, and healthy living.
 - (ii) Are free of harassment (which includes bullying), abuse, dating violence, and all other forms of interpersonal aggression or violence.
 - (iii) Prevent use and abuse of drugs (including tobacco, alcohol, illegal drugs, and unauthorized use of pharmaceuticals).

(iv) Are free of weapons.

(v) Do not condone or tolerate unhealthy or harmful behaviors, including discrimination of any kind.

(vi) Help staff and students to model positive social and emotional skills, including tolerance and respect for others.

(vii) Promote concern for the well-being of students, including through the presence of caring adults.

(viii) Employ adults who have—

(I) high expectations for student conduct, character, and academic achievement; and

(II) the capacity to establish supportive relationships with students.

(ix) Engage families and community members in meaningful and sustained ways to promote positive student academic achievement, developmental, and social outcomes.

(3) CONDITIONS FOR LEARNING MEASUREMENT SYSTEM.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The term “conditions for learning measurement system” means a State reporting and information system that measures conditions for learning in the State and is, to the extent possible, part of the State’s statewide longitudinal data system and with the State’s system for reporting the data required under section 1111 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6311).

(B) DESCRIPTION OF SYSTEM.—Such system shall—

(i) contain, at a minimum, data from valid and reliable surveys of students and staff and the indicators in clause (ii) that allow staff at the State, local educational agencies, and schools to examine and improve school-level conditions for learning;

(ii) collect school-level data on—

(I) physical education indicators;

(II) individual student attendance and truancy;

(III) in-school suspensions, out-of-school suspensions, expulsions, referrals to law enforcement, school-based arrests, and disciplinary transfers (including placements in alternative schools) by student;

(IV) the frequency, seriousness, and incidence of violence and drug-related offenses resulting in disciplinary action in elementary schools and secondary schools in the State; and

(V) the incidence and prevalence, age of onset, perception of health risk, and perception of social disapproval of drug use and violence, including harassment (which includes bullying), by youth and school personnel in schools and communities;

(iii) collect and report data, including, at a minimum, the data described in subclauses (II), (III), and (V) of clause (ii), in the aggregate and disaggregated by the categories of race, ethnicity, gender, disability status, migrant status, English proficiency, and status as economically disadvantaged, and cross tabulated across all of such categories by gender and by disability;

(iv) protect student privacy, consistent with applicable data privacy laws and regulations, including section 444 of the General Education Provisions Act (20 U.S.C. 1232g, commonly known as the “Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974”); and

(v) to the extent possible, utilize a web-based reporting system.

(C) COMPILING STATISTICS.—In compiling the statistics required to measure conditions for learning in the State—

(i) the offenses described in subparagraph (B)(ii)(IV) shall be defined pursuant to the State’s criminal code, and aligned to the extent possible, with the Federal Bureau of In-

vestigation’s Uniform Crime Reports categories, but shall not identify victims of crimes or persons accused of crimes and the collected data shall include incident reports by school officials, anonymous student surveys, and anonymous teacher surveys;

(ii) the performance metrics that are established under section 5(i) shall be collected and the performance on such metrics shall be defined and reported uniformly statewide;

(iii) the State shall collect, analyze, and use the data under subparagraph (B)(ii), as required under section 5(g)(5), at least annually, except the indicators under subparagraph (B)(ii)(V) may be collected, at a minimum, every 2 years; and

(iv) grant recipients and subgrant recipients shall use the data for planning and continuous improvement of activities implemented under this Act, and may collect data for indicators that are locally defined, and that are not reported to the State, to meet local needs (so long as such indicators are aligned with the conditions for learning).

(4) DRUG AND VIOLENCE PREVENTION.—The term “drug and violence prevention” means—

(A) with respect to drugs, prevention, early intervention, rehabilitation referral, or education related to the abuse and illegal use of drugs (including tobacco, alcohol, illegal drugs, and unauthorized use of pharmaceuticals) to—

(i) raise awareness about the costs and consequences of substance use and abuse;

(ii) change attitudes, perceptions, and social norms about the dangers and acceptability of alcohol, tobacco, and drugs; and

(iii) reduce access to and use of alcohol, tobacco, and drugs; and

(B) with respect to violence, the promotion of school safety on school premises, going to and from school, and at school-sponsored activities, through the creation and maintenance of a school environment that—

(i) is free of weapons;

(ii) fosters individual responsibility and respect for the rights and dignity of others;

(iii) employs positive, preventative approaches to school discipline, such as schoolwide positive behavior supports and restorative justice, that improve student engagement while minimizing students’ removal from instruction and reducing disparities among the subgroups of students described in section 1111(b)(2)(C)(v) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)); and

(iv) demonstrates preparedness and readiness to respond to, and recover from, incidents of school violence, such that students and school personnel are free from—

(I) violent and disruptive acts;

(II) harassment (which includes bullying);

(III) sexual harassment, dating violence, and abuse; and

(IV) victimization associated with prejudice and intolerance.

(5) ELIGIBLE LOCAL APPLICANT.—The term “eligible local applicant” means a local educational agency, a consortium of local educational agencies, or a nonprofit organization that has a track record of success in implementing the proposed activities and has signed a memorandum of understanding with a local educational agency or consortium of local educational agencies to—

(A) implement school-based activities; and

(B) conduct school-level measurement of conditions for learning that are consistent with this Act.

(6) HARASSMENT.—The term “harassment” means conduct, including bullying, that is sufficiently severe, persistent, or pervasive

to limit a student’s ability to participate in or benefit from a program or activity of a public school or educational agency, or to create a hostile or abusive educational environment at a program or activity of a public school or educational agency, including acts of verbal, nonverbal, or physical aggression, intimidation, or hostility, if such conduct is based on—

(A) a student’s actual or perceived race, color, national origin, sex, disability, sexual orientation, gender identity, or religion;

(B) the actual or perceived race, color, national origin, sex, disability, sexual orientation, gender identity, or religion of a person with whom a student associates or has associated; or

(C) any other distinguishing characteristics that may be defined by a State or local educational agency.

(7) LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCY.—The term “local educational agency” has the meaning given the term in section 9101 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7801).

(8) PHYSICAL EDUCATION INDICATORS.—The term “physical education indicators” means a set of measures for instruction on physical activity, health-related fitness, physical competence, and cognitive understanding about physical activity. Such indicators shall be publicly reported annually in the State’s conditions for learning measurement system, and shall include—

(A) for the State, for each local educational agency in the State, and for each school in the State, the average number of minutes that all students spend in required physical education, and the average number of minutes that all students engage in moderate to vigorous physical activity, as measured against established recommended guidelines of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Department of Health and Human Services;

(B) for the State, the percentage of local educational agencies that have a required, age-appropriate physical education curriculum that adheres to Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines and State standards;

(C) for the State, for each local educational agency in the State, and for each school in the State, the percentage of elementary school and secondary school physical education teachers who are State licensed or certified to teach physical education;

(D) for the State, and for each local educational agency in the State, the percentage of schools that have a State certified or licensed physical education teacher certified in adapted physical education; and

(E) for each school in the State, the number of indoor square feet and the number of outdoor square feet used primarily for physical education.

(9) PROGRAMS TO PROMOTE MENTAL HEALTH.—The term “programs to promote mental health” means programs that—

(A) develop students’ social and emotional competencies; and

(B) link students with local mental health systems as follows:

(i) Enhance, improve, or develop collaborative efforts between school-based service systems and mental health service systems to provide, enhance, or improve prevention, diagnosis, and treatment services to students, and to improve student social emotional competencies.

(ii) Enhance the availability of crisis intervention services, appropriate referrals for students potentially in need of mental

health services, including suicide prevention, and ongoing mental health services.

(iii) Provide training for the school personnel and mental health professionals who will participate in the program.

(iv) Provide technical assistance and consultation to school systems, mental health agencies, and families participating in the program.

(v) Provide services that establish or expand school counseling and mental health programs that—

(I) are comprehensive in addressing the counseling, social, emotional, behavioral, mental health, and educational needs of all students;

(II) use a developmental, preventive approach to counseling and mental health services;

(III) are linguistically appropriate and culturally responsive;

(IV) increase the range, availability, quantity, and quality of counseling and mental health services in the elementary schools and secondary schools of the local educational agency;

(V) expand counseling and mental health services through school counselors, school social workers, school psychologists, other qualified psychologists, or child and adolescent psychiatrists;

(VI) use innovative approaches to—

(aa) increase children's understanding of peer and family relationships, work and self, decisionmaking, or academic and career planning; or

(bb) improve peer interaction;

(VII) provide counseling and mental health services in settings that meet the range of student needs;

(VIII) include professional development appropriate to the activities covered in this paragraph for teachers, school leaders, instructional staff, and appropriate school personnel, including training in appropriate identification and early intervention techniques by school counselors, school social workers, school psychologists, other qualified psychologists, or child and adolescent psychiatrists;

(IX) ensure a team approach to school counseling and mental health services in the schools served by the local educational agency;

(X) ensure work toward ratios recommended—

(aa) by the American School Counselor Association of 1 school counselor to 250 students;

(bb) by the School Social Work Association of America of 1 school social worker to 400 students; and

(cc) by the National Association of School Psychologists of 1 school psychologist to 700 students; and

(XI) ensure that school counselors, school psychologists, other qualified psychologists, school social workers, or child and adolescent psychiatrists paid from funds made available under this program spend a majority of their time counseling or providing mental health services to students or in other activities directly related to such processes.

(10) PROGRAMS TO PROMOTE PHYSICAL ACTIVITY, EDUCATION, FITNESS, AND NUTRITION.—The term "programs to promote physical activity, education, fitness, and nutrition" means programs that increase and enable active student participation in physical well-being activities and provide teacher professional development. Such programs shall be comprehensive in nature, and include opportunities for professional development for

teachers of physical education to stay abreast of the latest research, issues, and trends in the field of physical education, and 1 or more of the following activities:

(A) Fitness education and assessment to help students understand, improve, or maintain their physical well-being.

(B) Instruction in a variety of motor skills and physical activities designed to enhance the physical, mental, social, and emotional development of every student.

(C) Development of, and instruction in, cognitive concepts about motor skill and physical fitness that support a lifelong healthy lifestyle.

(D) Opportunities to develop positive social and cooperative skills through physical activity.

(E) Instruction in healthy eating habits and good nutrition.

(11) SECRETARY.—The term "Secretary" means the Secretary of Education.

(12) STATE.—The term "State" has the meaning given the term in section 9101 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7801).

SEC. 4. RESERVATIONS.

From amounts made available under section 9, the Secretary shall reserve—

(1) for the first 3 years for which funding is made available under such section to carry out this Act—

(A) not more than 30 percent of such amounts or \$30,000,000, whichever amount is more, for State conditions for learning measurement system grants, distributed to every State (by an application process consistent with section 5(d)(1) in an amount proportional to each State's share of funding under part A of title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6311 et seq.), to develop the State's conditions for learning measurement system, and to conduct a needs analysis to meet the requirements of section 5(d)(2)(D); and

(B) not more than 68 percent of such amounts for Successful, Safe, and Healthy Students State Grants under section 5;

(2) for the fourth year and each subsequent year for which funding is made available under section 9 to carry out this Act, not less than 98 percent of such amounts for Successful, Safe, and Healthy Students State Grants under section 5; and

(3) in each year for which funding is made available under section 9 to carry out this Act, not more than 2 percent of such amounts for technical assistance and evaluation.

SEC. 5. SUCCESSFUL, SAFE, AND HEALTHY STUDENTS STATE GRANTS.

(a) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this section is to provide funding to States to implement comprehensive programs that address conditions for learning in schools in the State. Such programs shall be based on—

(1) scientifically valid research; and

(2) an analysis of need that considers, at a minimum, the indicators in the conditions for learning measurement system.

(b) STATE GRANTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—From amounts reserved under section 4 for Successful, Safe, and Healthy Students State Grants, the Secretary shall award grants to States to carry out the purpose of this section.

(2) AWARDS TO STATES.—

(A) FORMULA GRANTS.—If the total amount reserved under section 4 for Successful, Safe, and Healthy Students State Grants for a fiscal year is \$500,000,000 or more, the Secretary shall allot to each State with an approved application an amount that bears the same relationship to such total amount as the

amount received under part A of title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6311 et seq.) by such State for such fiscal year bears to the amount received under such part for such fiscal year by all States.

(B) COMPETITIVE GRANTS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—If the total amount reserved under section 4 for Successful, Safe, and Healthy Students State Grants for a fiscal year is less than \$500,000,000, the Secretary shall award grants under this section on a competitive basis.

(ii) SUFFICIENT SIZE AND SCOPE.—In awarding grants on a competitive basis pursuant to clause (i), the Secretary shall ensure that grant awards are of sufficient size and scope to carry out required and approved activities under this section.

(c) ELIGIBILITY.—To be eligible to receive a grant under this section, a State shall demonstrate that it has—

(1) established a statewide physical education requirement that is consistent with widely recognized standards; and

(2) required all local educational agencies in the State to—

(A) establish policies that prevent and prohibit harassment (which includes bullying) in schools; and

(B) provide—

(i) annual notice to parents and students describing the full range of prohibited conduct contained in such local educational agency's discipline policies; and

(ii) grievance procedures for students or parents to register complaints regarding the prohibited conduct contained in such local educational agency's discipline policies, including—

(I) the name of the local educational agency officials who are designated as responsible for receiving such complaints; and

(II) timelines that the local educational agency will follow in the resolution of such complaints.

(d) APPLICATIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—A State that desires to receive a grant under this section shall submit an application at such time, in such manner, and containing such information as the Secretary may require.

(2) CONTENT OF APPLICATION.—At a minimum, the application shall include—

(A) documentation of the State's eligibility to receive a grant under this section, as described in subsection (c);

(B) an assurance that the policies used to prohibit harassment (which includes bullying) in schools required under subsection (c)(2)(A) emphasize alternatives to school suspension that minimize students' removal from grade-level instruction, promote mental health, and only allow out-of-school punishments in severe or persistent cases;

(C) a plan for improving conditions for learning in schools in the State in a manner consistent with the requirements of the program that may be a part of a broader statewide child and youth plan, if such a plan exists and is consistent with the requirements of this Act;

(D) a needs analysis of the conditions for learning in schools in the State, which—

(i) shall include a description of, and data measuring, the State's conditions for learning; and

(ii) may be a part of a broader statewide child and youth needs analysis, if such an analysis exists and is consistent with the requirements of this Act;

(E) a description of how the activities the State proposes to implement with grant funds are responsive to the results of the

needs analysis described in subparagraph (C); and

(F) a description of how the State will—

(i) develop, adopt, adapt, or implement the State's conditions for learning measurement system, and how the State will ensure that all local educational agencies and schools in the State participate in such system;

(ii) ensure the quality of the State's conditions for learning data collection, including the State's plan for survey administration and for ensuring the reliability and validity of survey instruments;

(iii) coordinate the proposed activities with other Federal and State programs, including programs funded under this Act, which may include programs to expand learning time and for before- and after-school programming in order to provide sufficient time to carry out the activities described in this Act;

(iv) assist local educational agencies to align activities with funds the agencies receive under the program with other funding sources in order to support a coherent and non-duplicative program;

(v) solicit and approve subgrant applications, including how the State will—

(I) allocate funds for statewide activities and subgrants for each year of the grant, consistent with allocation requirements under subsection (h)(2); and

(II) consider the results of the analysis described in subparagraph (C) in the State's distribution of subgrants;

(vi) address the needs of diverse geographic areas in the State, including rural and urban communities;

(vii) provide assistance to local educational agencies and schools in their efforts to prevent and appropriately respond to incidents of harassment (which includes bullying), including building the capacity of such agencies and schools to educate family and community members regarding the agencies' and schools' respective roles in preventing and responding to such incidents; and

(viii) provide assistance to local educational agencies and schools in their efforts to implement positive, preventative approaches to school discipline, such as schoolwide positive behavior supports and restorative justice, that improve student engagement while minimizing students' removal from instruction and reducing significant school discipline rates and disciplinary disparities among the subgroups of students described in section 1111(b)(2)(C)(v) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)).

(3) PEER REVIEW.—The Secretary shall establish a peer review process to review applications submitted under this subsection.

(e) DURATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—A State that receives a grant under this section may receive funding for not more than 5 years in accordance with this subsection.

(2) INITIAL PERIOD.—The Secretary shall award grants under this section for an initial period of not more than 3 years.

(3) GRANT EXTENSION.—The Secretary may extend a competitive grant awarded to a State under this section for not more than an additional 2 years if the State shows sufficient improvement, as determined by the Secretary, against baseline data for the performance metrics established under subsection (i).

(f) RESERVATION AND USE OF FUNDS.—A State that receives a grant under this section shall—

(1) reserve not more than 10 percent of the grant funds for administration of the pro-

gram, technical assistance, and the development, improvement, and implementation of the State's conditions for learning measurement system, as described in paragraphs (1) through (5) of subsection (g); and

(2) use the remainder of grant funds after making the reservation under paragraph (1) to award subgrants, on a competitive basis, to eligible local applicants.

(g) REQUIRED STATE ACTIVITIES.—A State that receives a grant under this section shall—

(1) not later than 1 year after receipt of the grant, develop, adapt, improve, or adopt and implement a statewide conditions for learning measurement system (unless the State can demonstrate, to the satisfaction of the Secretary, that an appropriate system has already been implemented) that annually measures the State's progress in the conditions for learning for every public school in the State;

(2) collect information in each year of the grant on the conditions for learning at the school-building level through comprehensive needs assessments of students, school staff, and family perceptions, experiences, and behaviors;

(3) collect annual incident data at the school-building level that are accurate and complete;

(4) publicly report, at the school level and district level, the data collected in the conditions for learning measurement system each year in a timely and highly accessible manner;

(5) use, on a continuous basis, the results of the conditions for learning measurement system to—

(A) identify and address conditions for learning statewide;

(B) help subgrantees identify and address school and student needs; and

(C) provide individualized assistance to the lowest-performing schools (consistent with section 1116 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 6316)) and schools with significant conditions for learning weaknesses as identified through the conditions for learning measurement system with implementation of activities under this Act; and

(6) award subgrants, consistent with subsection (h), to eligible local applicants.

(h) SUBGRANTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—

(A) AWARDING OF SUBGRANTS.—A State that receives a grant under this section shall award subgrants, on a competitive basis, to eligible local applicants (which may apply in partnership with 1 or more community-based organizations)—

(i) based on need as identified by data from State and local conditions for learning measurement systems;

(ii) that are of sufficient size and scope to enable subgrantees to carry out approved activities; and

(iii) to implement programs that—

(I) are comprehensive in nature;

(II) are based on scientifically valid research;

(III) are consistent with achieving the conditions for learning;

(IV) are part of a strategy to achieve all the conditions for learning; and

(V) address 1 or more of the categories described in paragraph (2)(A).

(B) ASSISTANCE.—A State that receives a grant under this section shall provide assistance to subgrant applicants and recipients in the selection of scientifically valid programs and interventions.

(2) ALLOCATION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—In awarding subgrants under this section, each State shall ensure that, for the aggregate of all subgrants awarded by the State—

(i) not less than 20 percent of the subgrant funds are allocated to carry out drug and violence prevention;

(ii) not less than 20 percent of the subgrant funds are allocated to carry out programs to promote mental health; and

(iii) not less than 20 percent of the subgrant funds are allocated to carry out programs to promote physical activity, education, fitness, and nutrition.

(B) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to require States, in making subgrants to eligible local applicants, to require subgrant recipients to use 20 percent of grant funds for drug and violence prevention, 20 percent of grant funds for the promotion of mental health, and 20 percent of grant funds for the promotion of physical activity, education, fitness, and nutrition.

(3) APPLICATIONS.—An eligible local applicant that desires to receive a subgrant under this subsection shall submit to the State an application at such time, in such manner, and containing such information as the State may require.

(4) PRIORITY.—In awarding subgrants under this subsection, a State shall give priority to applications that—

(A) demonstrate the greatest need according to the results of the State's conditions for learning survey; and

(B) propose to serve schools with the highest concentrations of poverty, based on the percentage of students receiving or are eligible to receive a free or reduced price lunch under the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1751 et seq.).

(5) ACTIVITIES OF SUBGRANT RECIPIENTS.—Each recipient of a subgrant under this subsection shall, for the duration of the subgrant—

(A) carry out activities—

(i) the need for which has been identified, at a minimum, through the conditions for learning measurement system; and

(ii) that are part of a comprehensive strategy or framework to address such need, in 1 or more of the 3 categories identified in paragraph (2)(A);

(B) ensure that each framework, intervention, or program selected be based on scientifically valid research and be used for the purpose for which such framework, intervention, or program was found to be effective;

(C) use school-level data from the statewide conditions for learning measurement system to inform the implementation and continuous improvement of activities carried out under this Act;

(D) use data from the statewide conditions for learning measurement system to identify challenges outside of school or off school grounds, (including the need for safe passages for students to and from school), and collaborate with 1 or more community-based organization to address such challenges;

(E) collect and report to the State educational agency, data for schools served by the subgrant recipient, in a manner consistent with the State's conditions for learning measurement system;

(F) establish policies to expand access to quality physical activity opportunities, (including school wellness policies) and establish active school wellness councils, consistent with the requirements of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1771 et seq.),

which may be part of existing school councils, if such councils exist and have the capacity and willingness to address school wellness;

(G) engage family members and community-based organizations in the development of conditions for learning surveys, and in the planning, implementation, and review of the subgrant recipient's efforts under this Act; and

(H) consider and accommodate the unique needs of students with disabilities and English language learners in implementing activities.

(i) ACCOUNTABILITY.—

(1) ESTABLISHMENT OF PERFORMANCE METRICS.—The Secretary, acting through the Director of the Institute of Education Sciences, shall establish program performance metrics to measure the effectiveness of the activities carried out under this Act.

(2) ANNUAL REPORT.—Each State that receives a grant under this Act shall prepare and submit an annual report to the Secretary, which shall include information relevant to the conditions for learning, including on progress towards meeting outcomes for the metrics established under paragraph (1).

SEC. 6. FUNDS RESERVED FOR SECRETARY.

From the amount reserved under section 4(3), the Secretary shall—

(1) direct the Institute of Education Sciences to conduct an evaluation of the impact of the practices funded or disseminated by the Successful, Safe, and Healthy Students State Grants program; and

(2) provide technical assistance to applicants, recipients, and subgrant recipients of the programs funded under this Act.

SEC. 7. PROHIBITED USES OF FUNDS.

No funds appropriated under this Act may be used to pay for—

(1) school resource officer or other security personnel salaries, metal detectors, security cameras, or other security-related salaries, equipment, or expenses;

(2) drug testing programs; or

(3) the development, establishment, implementation, or enforcement of zero-tolerance discipline policies, other than those expressly required under the Gun-Free Schools Act (20 U.S.C. 7151 et seq.).

SEC. 8. FEDERAL AND STATE NONDISCRIMINATION LAWS.

Nothing in this Act shall be construed to invalidate or limit nondiscrimination principles or rights, remedies, procedures, or legal standards available to victims of discrimination under any other Federal law or law of a State or political subdivision of a State, including title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d et seq.), title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. 1681 et seq.), section 504 or 505 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794 and 794a), or the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.). The obligations imposed by this Act are in addition to those imposed by title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d et seq.), title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. 1681 et seq.), section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794), and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.).

SEC. 9. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this Act \$1,000,000,000 for fiscal year 2012 and such sums as may be necessary for each of the 5 succeeding fiscal years.

SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 173—DESIGNATING THE WEEK OF MAY 1 THROUGH MAY 7, 2011, AS “NATIONAL PHYSICAL EDUCATION AND SPORT WEEK”

Ms. KLOBUCHAR (for herself and Mr. THUNE) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary:

S. RES. 173

Whereas a decline in physical activity has contributed to the unprecedented epidemic of childhood obesity, which has more than tripled in the United States since 1980;

Whereas regular physical activity is necessary to support normal and healthy growth in children and is essential to the continued health and well-being of children;

Whereas according to the Centers for Disease Control, overweight adolescents have a 70 to 80 percent chance of becoming overweight adults, increasing their risk for chronic disease, disability, and death;

Whereas physical activity reduces the risk of heart disease, high blood pressure, diabetes, and certain types of cancers;

Whereas type 2 diabetes can no longer be referred to as “late in life” or “adult onset” diabetes because type 2 diabetes presently occurs in children as young as 10 years old;

Whereas the Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans issued by the Department of Health and Human Services recommend that children engage in at least 60 minutes of physical activity on most, and preferably all, days of the week;

Whereas according to the Centers for Disease Control, only 19 percent of high school students are meeting the goal of 60 minutes of physical activity each day;

Whereas children spend many of their waking hours at school and, as a result, need to be active during the school day to meet the recommendations of the Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans;

Whereas nationally, according to the Centers for Disease Control, 1 out of 4 children does not attend any school physical education classes, and fewer than 1 in 4 children get 20 minutes of vigorous activity every day;

Whereas teaching children about physical education and sports not only ensures that the children are physically active during the school day, but also educates the children on how to be physically active and the importance of physical activity;

Whereas according to a 2006 survey by the Department of Health and Human Services, 3.8 percent of elementary schools, 7.9 percent of middle schools, and 2.1 percent of high schools provide daily physical education (or an equivalent) for the entire school year, and 22 percent of schools do not require students to take any physical education courses at all;

Whereas according to that 2006 survey, 13.7 percent of elementary schools, 15.2 percent of middle schools, and 3.0 percent of high schools provide physical education (or an equivalent) at least 3 days per week for the entire school year for students in all grades in the school;

Whereas research shows that fit and active children are more likely to thrive academically;

Whereas increased time in physical education classes can help the attention, concentration, and achievement test scores of children;

Whereas participation in sports teams and physical activity clubs, often organized by the school and run outside of the regular school day, can improve grade point average, school attachment, educational aspirations, and the likelihood of graduation;

Whereas participation in sports and physical activity improves self-esteem and body image in children and adults;

Whereas children and youths who partake in physical activity and sports programs have increased motor skills, healthy lifestyles, social skills, a sense of fair play, strong teamwork skills, self-discipline, and avoidance of risky behaviors;

Whereas the social and environmental factors affecting children are in the control of the adults and the communities in which the children live, and therefore, the people of the United States share a collective responsibility in reversing the childhood obesity epidemic;

Whereas if efforts are made to intervene with unfit children to bring those children to physically fit levels, then there may also be a concomitant rise in the academic performance of those children; and

Whereas Congress strongly supports efforts to increase physical activity and participation of children and youth in sports: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) designates the week of May 1 through May 7, 2011, as “National Physical Education and Sport Week”;

(2) recognizes National Physical Education and Sport Week and the central role of physical education and sports in creating a healthy lifestyle for all children and youth;

(3) supports the implementation of local school wellness policies (as that term is described in section 9A of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1758b)) that include ambitious goals for physical education, physical activity, and other activities that address the childhood obesity epidemic and promote child wellness; and

(4) encourages schools to offer physical education classes to students and work with community partners to provide opportunities and safe spaces for physical activities before and after school and during the summer months for all children and youth.

SENATE RESOLUTION 174—EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF THE SENATE THAT EFFECTIVE SHARING OF PASSENGER INFORMATION FROM INBOUND INTERNATIONAL FLIGHT MANIFESTS IS A CRUCIAL COMPONENT OF OUR NATIONAL SECURITY AND THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY MUST MAINTAIN THE INFORMATION SHARING STANDARDS REQUIRED UNDER THE 2007 PASSENGER NAME RECORD AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND THE EUROPEAN UNION

Mr. LIEBERMAN (for himself and Ms. COLLINS) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs:

S. RES. 174

Whereas the National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States—

(1) found that “[t]argeting travel is at least as powerful a weapon against terrorists as targeting their money”;

(2) recommended that the United States “combine terrorist travel intelligence, operations, and law enforcement in a strategy to intercept terrorist, find terrorist travel facilitators, and constrain terrorist mobility”;

Whereas terrorists continue to target international travel to the United States, as evidenced by Umar Farouk Abdulmutallab’s attempt to detonate a bomb on board Northwest Airlines Flight 253 on December 25, 2009, en route from Amsterdam to Detroit;

Whereas Congress responded to the attacks of September 11, 2001, by mandating that all air carriers flying into the United States provide passenger name record (referred to in this resolution as “PNR”) data concerning all inbound passengers to U.S. Customs and Border Protection to assist the Department of Homeland Security in fulfilling its missions of protecting the border and enhancing border security;

Whereas there is bipartisan agreement on the need to collect and share passenger travel data, which—

(1) has served as a cornerstone for interdicting terrorists by the administrations of President Barack Obama and former President George W. Bush; and

(2) continues to fulfill the mandate for increased information sharing set by Congress in—

(A) the Aviation and Transportation Security Act (Public Law 107-71);

(B) the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (Public Law 108-458);

(C) the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007 (Public Law 110-53); and

(D) other laws requiring information sharing internationally and within the United States Government to promote greater security;

Whereas the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007 required nations to enter into an agreement to exchange passenger information with the United States in order to qualify for the United States’ visa waiver program;

Whereas international law and treaties have recognized that—

(1) advance information about travelers is a critical tool in identifying high-risk passengers; and

(2) the intelligence gained from the analysis of passenger travel data is critical for—

(A) protecting the United States against terrorists entering the United States; and

(B) preventing terrorists from boarding international flights bound for the United States;

Whereas the Agreement Between the United States of America and the European Union on the Processing and Transfer of Passenger Name Record (PNR) Data by Air Carriers to the United States Department of Homeland Security (DHS), done at Brussels and Washington on July 23 and 26, 2007 (referred to in this resolution as the “EU-U.S. PNR Agreement”)—

(1) succeeded a series of agreements between 2002 and October 2006;

(2) was intended to remain in effect until 2014; and

(3) complied with European Union and United States privacy laws by providing assurances that the United States would use PNR data for limited purposes;

Whereas PNR data gathered pursuant to the EU-U.S. PNR Agreement has been used to identify and arrest a number of dangerous terrorists, including—

(1) David Headley, who was planning an attack on Denmark and who contributed to the tragedy in Mumbai; and

(2) Faisal Shahzad, who was attempting to flee the country after attempting to set off a car-bomb in Times Square.

Whereas PNR data has been used to prevent the travel of many other individuals considered to be national security threats or otherwise inadmissible to the United States;

Whereas the privacy protections in the current EU-U.S. PNR Agreement are robust, and a February 2010 joint review by both signatories found no privacy violations, misuse, or injury from the collection of PNR data by the Department of Homeland Security;

Whereas although the United States and the European Union have different governing mechanisms that lead to differences in how oversight is conducted, both governments have a firm commitment to the protection of data and the respect of individual privacy;

Whereas in February 2011, the European Commission proposed that the European Union create its own PNR system in order to identify potential terrorists and other dangerous criminals;

Whereas in 2010, the Washington Post—

(1) recognized the important role that PNR data plays in securing international aviation; and

(2) recommended that data sharing should not be restricted without demonstrating specific problems with the operation of current agreement; Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) acknowledges the grave threat posed by terrorists and other dangerous criminals who seek to exploit international aviation to do harm to our countries;

(2) urges the Department of Homeland Security to reject any efforts by the European Union to modify existing PNR data sharing mechanisms in a way that would degrade the usefulness of the PNR data for identifying terrorists and other dangerous criminals;

(3) urges the Department of Homeland Security to not enter into any agreement that would impose European oversight structures on the United States; and

(4) opposes any effort by the European Union to interfere with counterterrorism cooperation and information sharing between the Department of Homeland Security and non-European countries.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 319. Mr. REID (for Mr. LUGAR) proposed an amendment to the resolution S. Res. 153, recognizing the 25th anniversary of the Chernobyl nuclear disaster.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 319. Mr. REID (for Mr. LUGAR) proposed an amendment to the resolution S. Res. 153, recognizing the 25th anniversary of the Chernobyl nuclear disaster; as follows:

In paragraph (2) of the resolving clause, strike “, including the assistance that the United States and the international community have given to the Chernobyl Shelter Fund and the Interim Spent Fuel Storage Facility”.

NOTICE OF HEARING

COMMITTEE ON RULES AND ADMINISTRATION

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, the Committee on Rules and Administration will meet on Wednesday, May 11,

2011, at 2 p.m., to conduct an executive business meeting to consider the nomination of William J. Boardman, of Maryland, to be the public printer, followed by a legislative business meeting to consider S. Res. 116, to provide for expedited Senate consideration of certain nominations subject to advice and consent and S. 739, a bill to authorize the Architect of the Capitol to establish battery recharging stations for privately owned vehicles in parking areas under the jurisdiction of the Senate at no net cost to the Federal Government.

For further information regarding this hearing, please contact Lynden Armstrong at the Rules and Administration Committee, 202-224-6352.

AUTHORITY FOR COMMITTEES TO MEET

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on the Judiciary be authorized to meet during the session of the Senate, on May 9, 2011, at 5:30 p.m., in S-216 of the Capitol, to continue an executive business meeting.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

RECOGNIZING 25TH ANNIVERSARY OF CHERNOBYL NUCLEAR DISASTER

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Foreign Relations Committee be discharged from further consideration of S. Res. 153 and that the Senate proceed to its consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The clerk will report the resolution by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 153) recognizing the 25th anniversary of the Chernobyl nuclear disaster.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

Mr. REID. I ask unanimous consent that the amendment at the desk be agreed to; the resolution, as amended, be agreed to; the preamble be agreed to, and the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment (No. 319) was agreed to, as follows:

(Purpose: To amend the resolving clause)

In paragraph (2) of the resolving clause, strike “, including the assistance that the United States and the international community have given to the Chernobyl Shelter Fund and the Interim Spent Fuel Storage Facility”.

The resolution (S. Res. 153), as amended, was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

The resolution, as amended, with its preamble, reads as follows:

S. RES. 153

Whereas at 1:23 A.M. on April 26, 1986, during an experiment, a major explosion occurred at the Chernobyl Nuclear Power Plant in Unit 4, a RBMK 1000-type, graphite-moderated nuclear power reactor in Prip'yat;

Whereas the initial explosion dispersed a stream of radioactive particles over nearby towns, farms, and eventually to many other countries;

Whereas 500,000 brave firefighters, engineers, technicians, and emergency workers worked for more than 6 months to minimize one of the worst civilian nuclear disasters in history;

Whereas radioactivity emanating from the Chernobyl disaster has been detected in Belarus, Poland, Russia, Scandinavia, and other areas;

Whereas since the disaster, serious health, environmental, and socioeconomic repercussions have been identified in many areas near the Chernobyl plant;

Whereas the Chernobyl Forum, an initiative by the International Atomic Energy Agency in cooperation with the World Health Organization, numerous United Nations agencies, and the governments of Ukraine, Belarus, and Russia, was launched in 2003 to examine the scientific evidence of human and environmental effects of the nuclear disaster at Chernobyl;

Whereas the Chernobyl Forum's examination of the catastrophe has contributed to the understanding of the effects caused by the nuclear disaster;

Whereas, the Chernobyl Forum found that more than 5,000,000 people lived in "contaminated" areas in Ukraine, Belarus, Russia, and other countries;

Whereas the lives and wellness of people in the affected areas continue to be impacted by the catastrophic Chernobyl nuclear disaster;

Whereas the government of the United States, the people of the United States, and the international community have provided contributions to humanitarian organizations to address the effects of the Chernobyl disaster;

Whereas the Chernobyl Shelter Fund (CSF) was established in December 1997 by the G7, in cooperation with Ukraine;

Whereas the purpose of the CSF has been to construct a safe confinement over the damaged Chernobyl Unit 4 and to convert the site to a stable and environmentally safe condition;

Whereas the Nuclear Safety Account (NSA), supported by the United States and 16 other donors, finances the Interim Spent Fuel Storage Facility that allows for the decommissioning of Chernobyl Units 1 through 3;

Whereas April 26, 2011, is the 25th anniversary of the Chernobyl nuclear disaster; and

Whereas the ongoing crisis in Japan at the Fukushima nuclear power plant serves as a reminder to the United States and the international community of the need to make strong commitments to nuclear security throughout the world; Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) recognizes the 25th anniversary of the Chernobyl nuclear disaster and the courage of the Ukrainian people in persevering to address the consequences of the disaster;

(2) commends efforts to mitigate the consequences of the Chernobyl nuclear disaster; and

(3) requests that the Secretary of the Senate transmit an enrolled copy of this resolution to the Ambassador of Ukraine to the United States.

ORDERS FOR TUESDAY, MAY 10, 2011

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it adjourn until 10 a.m. tomorrow, Tuesday, May 10; that following the prayer and pledge, the Journal of proceedings be approved to date, the morning hour be deemed expired, the time for the two leaders be reserved for their use later in the day; that following any leader remarks the Senate proceed to a period of morning business for debate only until 5 p.m., with Senators permitted to speak for up to 10 minutes each, with the first hour equally divided and controlled between the leaders or their designees, with the majority controlling the first 30 minutes and the Republicans controlling the next 30 minutes.

Finally, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate recess from 12:30 p.m. until 2:15 p.m. tomorrow for the weekly caucus meetings.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ORDER FOR ADJOURNMENT

Mr. REID. Mr. President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I ask unanimous consent that it adjourn under the previous order following the remarks of Senator ISAKSON of Georgia.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Senator from Georgia.

CONGRATULATIONS TO KEITH HATCHER

Mr. ISAKSON. Mr. President, I rise on a point of personal privilege to commend a gentleman from Georgia, Mr. Keith Hatcher.

Twenty-five years ago, when I worked with my father—my father, among other things, was the past president of the Georgia Association of REALTORS. I remember one afternoon he came into my office and said: Son, we just hired someone today who is going to be special. His name is Keith Hatcher. I want you to be sure and look him up the first time you get a chance.

Well, about a week later I met Keith. I was then a member of the Georgia Legislature, and I showed him around a little bit. He became the assistant to John Cox, who had been the venerable representative of the REALTORS for years in that State. I saw that spark in Keith Hatcher's eye, and I knew he was going to be a great one, and a great one he has been.

In his 25 years representing the Georgia association and landowners and homeowners around our State, he has fought hard for limitations and curbs on the power of eminent domain, fought hard for lower ad valorem taxes

and transfer taxes, and fought hard for reform of landlord-tenant laws. He has worked day in and day out for the landowners of our State and for the REALTORS of our State, and he has done it in the most professional, comprehensive way anybody could possibly do it.

He has another great story to tell. Keith faced a significant health hazard just a few years ago. He was about to lose a kidney, and he could have lost his life, but he went through a transplant program in Birmingham, AL. The transplant was successful, and he rehabilitated himself. Today, he works as hard as he did before the injury. Importantly, as well, he works as a member of the board of the National Kidney Foundation helping to raise money to support the transplant program so others who are afflicted as he was will have the same cure he has had.

So this week, as the REALTORS from Georgia come to town, as I think they will from every other State of the Union, to talk to the Members of the Senate about laws that affect their industry and their profession, the one from Georgia will be led by Keith Hatcher. As he has for the last 24 years, he will be a voice for home ownership, a voice for lower taxation, and a voice for wide distribution and ownership of land, which makes the United States of America the most unique country of any on the face of this Earth.

I am pleased to commend him today on the celebration of his 25th anniversary representing the Georgia Association of REALTORS.

Mr. President, I yield the floor, and I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

PROFIT OVER HEALTH

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. President, yesterday across this country, we celebrated Mother's Day, marking the contribution of mothers across our country. But 2 months ago, the health of tens of thousands of expectant mothers and their unborn children was threatened by a drug company putting profit over public health. Two months ago, there was justified public outrage that the cost of a drug hormone, progesterone, to prevent premature births went from approximately \$10 a dose—20 doses are needed through the course of a pregnancy—to \$1,500 per dose. The entire course of the 20-week treatment, therefore, was about \$200 three months ago. Two months ago, it went to \$30,000—\$200 to \$30,000.

This was once an affordable, common treatment to help women facing high-

risk labor. I visited Toledo Children's Hospital, MetroHealth in Cleveland, and St. Elizabeth's Health Center in Youngstown to hear directly from patients and physicians and hospital executives about how the outrageous price increase affects them. Patients explained what it was like to overcome a previous miscarriage and rely on this progesterone to carry to full term today. Physicians and public health advocates explained the risk to women and children's health if the therapy were no longer affordable and accessible. Hospital administrators and State Medicaid directors worried what such an exorbitant increase would mean to already-stretched budgets.

Meanwhile, several colleagues and I began to ask questions about how and why the increase happened in the first place. We are concerned about how companies, private companies, abuse the FDA approval process or manipulate existing rules to shortchange consumers while those companies reap massive windfall profits. That is especially so because, in addition to affixing such a high cost to the drug, this company, KV Pharmaceuticals from St. Louis, sent a letter threatening a cease and desist order to compounding pharmacies—those pharmacies that actually make their own progesterone, in this case—a cease and desist order to prevent these pharmacies from producing it, further solidifying KV Pharmaceutical's monopolization in the marketplace. All the while, pregnant women are left without the critical medicine their doctors prescribe for them, and either taxpayers foot the bill, insurance companies foot the bill, driving the price up, or women simply do without, increasing the number of miscarriages, increasing the number of low-birth-weight babies, increasing the cost to taxpayers, and increasing the heartache in mother after mother and father after father.

Fortunately, in an unusual response—unusual in the sense that this case was so dramatically outrageous and unbelievably greedy on the part of KV Pharmaceutical executives—the FDA did something it doesn't normally do: It asserted its authority and made clear it would not enforce the cease and desist order. What was KV's response after the public outrage, after the refusal to enforce the cease and desist order, therefore allowing the pharmacies to keep producing the progesterone? It reduced the price from \$1,500 a dose—remember, it was \$10 per dose as recently as 3 months ago. They take a shot every week for 20 weeks during the pregnancy. It was \$10 a dose, and they raised it to \$1,500. But do you know what they did after the FDA and a small number of Democratic Senator's pushed them, embarrassed them in public? They brought the price down to \$690 a dose. It went from \$10 when compounding pharmacies were doing it,

to \$1,500 when they thought they could get away with it, to \$690—as if they thought they were doing America's women a favor. That means instead of it being \$30,000 for the whole cost of the pharmaceutical, the 20 doses, it would be about \$15,000. What a bargain. On top of that, they did what companies whose hands are caught in the cookie jar always do: They hired high-powered Washington, DC, lobbyists to fight for their rights, this exclusivity for this drug, trying to prohibit the critical work of compounding pharmacists.

I agree with drug companies; generally they need to recoup their investment. I want America's drug companies to do the boldest, most innovative, most progressive research in the world, and I want them to make a profit doing it so they can afford to do it and keep doing it. They should reflect the amount of R&D to bring drugs to market, the cost of their manufacture, the cost of their distribution, but in the case of this progesterone, the case of this pharmacy compound, taxpayers—in this case, through the National Institutes of Health—funded the initial research and continue to fund critical research on premature births. KV Pharmaceutical didn't do the research; they bought the exclusive rights to a monopoly by reimbursing another company—contracting with them—I believe that actually conducted the clinical trials and incurring the costs needed for FDA approval.

Something is very wrong when a company with limited R&D investments can grossly overprice a drug that in its absence virtually guarantees an increase in premature births.

Think of the greed involved here. They paid some number of millions of dollars to do a clinical trial, which was a good thing. They then brought the price from \$10 to \$1,500—times 20, again, with the number of doses people need in their treatment. With an initial investment of less than \$200 million, the first year they would have reaped over \$3 billion in revenue. Those are the kinds of numbers they were operating on, as if that is fair.

When a company used taxpayer-funded research to produce a drug so important that it reduces infant mortality and birth defects, that company should also take on the responsibility for pricing it in a reasonable manner. But prices should never be inflated, particularly on a public health drug where this company did not do the basic foundational research; all it did was pay for clinical trials that did not prove much more than we already knew. A company should never be allowed to inflate prices of a public health drug to reap these kinds of massive profits, nor should the FDA approval process ever be manipulated to achieve that same end, which it was.

While balancing the benefits of corporate profit—and I understand the

balance, and I want the companies to continue to invest and move ahead—while it can be challenging balancing corporate earnings and societal benefits, we can't lose sight of our responsibility to make innovative medicines available and accessible to as many people as possible.

I would like to close with a story about why all this matters. Not too long ago—last month, I guess it was, early April—I was in Port Columbus International Airport about to fly to Washington when Karen Turano, whom I never met before, walked up to me to share her story. She has since e-mailed after our discussion where she talked about this drug, and she sent me this letter:

I met you at the Columbus airport with my husband Thad and our 17-month-old son Ryker. Again, I just wanted to say thank you for the work you are doing to make the progesterone shots affordable again.

Our first son, Tyler, was born August 18, 2008 and passed away the next day, August 19, 2008. I prematurely went into labor at 24 weeks and had an emergency C-section. Tyler was born at 9:59, weighing 1 pound 10 ounces.

Thad went to be with [my son] since I was recovering from surgery. He called me early the next morning and told me the worst news a new mother could hear: There was nothing more that could be done and that Tyler would pass away. My mother-in-law took me to see and hold Tyler for the first and last time in his precious life. It was devastating.

Thad and I have since worked with public health advocates to raise awareness on ways to prevent premature births—while following doctor's orders to wait 6 months before we tried again.

After I became pregnant with Ryker, I was monitored closely and started the progesterone shots at 16 weeks which continued through 36 weeks.

She had these shots through 20 consecutive treatments, once a week for 20 weeks.

I am convinced that these shots allowed me to carry the pregnancy to term.

Interrupting the letter for a moment, understand that when a doctor sees someone like Karen who has had a pregnancy like she had where a baby was born that prematurely, that doctor understands that a progesterone like this progesterone we are talking about can make a huge difference in her carrying her baby to full term.

Ryker was born at 38 weeks on October 30, 2009, my Halloween baby. My husband is a Columbus firefighter and I am an attorney practicing in workers' compensation. We look forward to more children in the near future, but the cost of this shot concerns us greatly. We have experienced the horrible pain of losing a child. No mother or father should have to go through this pain.

She writes, signed:

Sincerely, Karen, Thad, Ryker and Tyler Turano.

Today is Karen's birthday, coincidentally. She celebrates with her son Ryker and husband Thad and other family and friends—and she does with Tyler in her memory. I thank Karen

for sharing her story and the patients in Toledo, Cleveland, Youngstown, and across our Nation and State who have spoken about this, who deserve the affordable and accessible treatment they need. I am optimistic we can continue to find ways to ensure that the majority of women in this country will still have access to affordable versions of this critical lifesaving injection. It should not take public outrage, it should not take congressional action, it should not take the FDA altering a policy it normally doesn't alter for a company to do the right thing.

Mr. President, as you know, with the unemployment in your State and the unemployment in my State and the problems we have as a nation on so many levels, this is particularly outrageous because this progesterone is a public health pharmacy compound that has worked and meant many more women will have safe births with growing, healthy children, contrasted with, if they do not have the opportunity to get this progesterone at a reasonable rate, at a reasonable price, we know what happens then. But rest assured, we will keep up the outrage, and we will continue to move through Congress, if that is what it takes, to get progesterone at an affordable price to America's women.

It is an outrage what KV Pharmaceuticals did. I applaud the FDA for changing its policy to make it more accessible.

I ask KV Pharmaceuticals to again come to the table. Instead of lobbying Congress to get their way and make a huge amount of money on a relatively small investment, I ask them to come to the table and work with us so we can make this very important pharmacy compound accessible to all American women whose doctors prescribe it to them.

I yield the floor.

ADJOURNMENT UNTIL 10 A.M.
TOMORROW

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. MERKLEY). Under the previous order, the Senate stands adjourned until 10 a.m. tomorrow.

Whereupon, the Senate, at 6:58 p.m., adjourned until Tuesday, May 10, 2011, at 10 a.m.

NOMINATIONS

Executive nominations received by the Senate:

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

BARBARA K. MCQUISTON, OF CALIFORNIA, TO BE AN ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE. (NEW POSITION)

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

MICHAEL H. CORBIN, OF CALIFORNIA, A CAREER MEMBER OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE, CLASS OF MINISTER-COUNSELOR, TO BE AMBASSADOR EXTRAORDINARY AND PLENIPOTENTIARY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE UNITED ARAB EMIRATES.

JEFFREY DELAURENTIS, OF NEW YORK, A CAREER MEMBER OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE, CLASS OF COUNSELOR, TO BE ALTERNATE REPRESENTATIVE OF

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FOR SPECIAL POLITICAL AFFAIRS IN THE UNITED NATIONS, WITH THE RANK OF AMBASSADOR.

JEFFREY DELAURENTIS, OF NEW YORK, A CAREER MEMBER OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE, CLASS OF COUNSELOR, TO BE AN ALTERNATE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE SESSIONS OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE UNITED NATIONS, DURING HIS TENURE OF SERVICE AS ALTERNATE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FOR SPECIAL POLITICAL AFFAIRS IN THE UNITED NATIONS.

JEANINE E. JACKSON, OF WYOMING, A CAREER MEMBER OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE, CLASS OF MINISTER-COUNSELOR, TO BE AMBASSADOR EXTRAORDINARY AND PLENIPOTENTIARY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE REPUBLIC OF MALAWI.

WILLIAM H. MOSER, OF NORTH CAROLINA, A CAREER MEMBER OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE, CLASS OF MINISTER-COUNSELOR, TO BE AMBASSADOR EXTRAORDINARY AND PLENIPOTENTIARY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA.

MATTHEW H. TUELLER, OF UTAH, A CAREER MEMBER OF THE SENIOR FOREIGN SERVICE, CLASS OF MINISTER-COUNSELOR, TO BE AMBASSADOR EXTRAORDINARY AND PLENIPOTENTIARY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO THE STATE OF KUWAIT.

HARRY S TRUMAN SCHOLARSHIP FOUNDATION

LAURA A. CORDERO, OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE HARRY S TRUMAN SCHOLARSHIP FOUNDATION FOR A TERM EXPIRING DECEMBER 15, 2015, VICE JUANITA ALICIA VASQUEZ-GARDNER, TERM EXPIRED.

THE JUDICIARY

STEPHEN A. HIGGINSON, OF LOUISIANA, TO BE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT, VICE JACQUES L. WIENER, JR., RETIRED.

IN THE NAVY

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE UNITED STATES NAVY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 624:

To be rear admiral

REAR ADM. (LH) WILLIAM E. LEIGHER

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE UNITED STATES NAVY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 624:

To be rear admiral

REAR ADM. (LH) DOUGLAS J. VENLET

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE UNITED STATES NAVY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 624:

To be rear admiral

REAR ADM. (LH) DAVID C. JOHNSON

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE UNITED STATES NAVY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 624:

To be rear admiral

REAR ADM. (LH) DONALD E. GADDIS

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICERS FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE UNITED STATES NAVY TO THE GRADE INDICATED UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 624:

To be rear admiral

REAR ADM. (LH) BARRY L. BRUNER

REAR ADM. (LH) JERRY K. BURROUGHS

REAR ADM. (LH) JAMES D. CLOYD

REAR ADM. (LH) MICHAEL T. FRANKEN

REAR ADM. (LH) BRADLEY R. GEHRKE

REAR ADM. (LH) ROBERT P. GIRRIER

REAR ADM. (LH) PAUL A. GROSKLAGS

REAR ADM. (LH) SINCLAIR M. HARRIS

REAR ADM. (LH) MARGARET D. KLEIN

REAR ADM. (LH) RICHARD B. LANDOLT

REAR ADM. (LH) BRIAN L. LOSEY

REAR ADM. (LH) WILLIAM F. MORAN

REAR ADM. (LH) TROY M. SHOEMAKER

REAR ADM. (LH) DIXON R. SMITH

REAR ADM. (LH) ROBERT L. THOMAS, JR.

IN THE MARINE CORPS

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT AS COMMANDER, MARINE FORCES RESERVE TO THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS WHILE ASSIGNED TO A POSITION OF IMPORTANCE AND RESPONSIBILITY UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 601 AND 5144:

To be lieutenant general

MAJ. GEN. STEVEN A. HUMMER

IN THE AIR FORCE

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE REGULAR AIR FORCE UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 331(A) AND 716:

To be major

PETER J. AVALOS

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICERS FOR APPOINTMENT TO THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 624:

To be major

MICHAEL W. AAMOLD

NATHAN J. ABEL
 RYAN E. ABELLA
 KEITH A. ABSTON
 ADAM D. ACKERMAN
 JASON M. ADAMS
 MICHAEL A. ADAMS
 ROBIN E. ADAMS
 STEVEN A. ADAMS
 TODD J. ADAMS
 BRIAN C. ADKINS
 JASON M. AFTANAS
 ALLEN Y. AGNES
 BRADFORD K. AIKENS
 ERICA M. AKIN
 DAVID A. ALBIN
 MICHAEL JOHN ALBRECHT
 FREDERICK A. ALCAZAR
 NICOLAS S. ALCOCER
 WESLEY J. ALDERMAN
 NATHAN G. ALEXANDER
 TROY E. ALEXANDER
 SHANE W. ALFAR
 MICHAEL C. ALFARO
 MICHEL D. ALLAIN
 DAVID K. ALLAMANDOLA
 ANTHONY J. ALLEN
 BRADLEY B. ALLEN
 WILLIE J. ALLEN II
 SHAREEF J. ALMASRI
 MATTHEW R. ALTMAN
 BRIAN N. ALVAREZ
 ERIC G. ALVAREZ
 RYAN M. ALVEXY
 MICHAEL W. ALWES, JR.
 RYAN T. AMBROSE
 SEAN R. AMES
 MATTHEW P. ANASTAS
 ALISON M. ANDERS
 CHRISTOPHER S. ANDERSEN
 DAVID M. ANDERSON
 EDWARD L. ANDERSON
 JEFFREY P. ANDERSON
 JOSHUA THOMAS ANDERSON
 KEITH M. ANDERSON
 MATTHEW K. ANDERSON
 MICHAEL J. ANDERSON
 PETER B. ANDERSON
 TOMMY G. ANDERSON, JR.
 ROBERTO A. ANDINOBERTIEAUX
 ROBERT JAMES ANDREE
 SCOTT ANDRESEN
 NATHAN P. ANDREWS
 IONIO Q. ANDRUS
 STEVEN E. ANGELOFF
 KAREN A. ANGLIN
 JUSTIN A. ANHALT
 JASON P. ANNIS
 TONY S. APONTE
 MATTHEW APRICENO
 ERIC D. ARCARA
 JONATHAN L. ARD
 PAUL M. ARKWEILL
 TIMOTHY L. ARMENDINGER
 MATTHEW T. ARMSTRONG
 PATRICK H. ARNN
 TRENT E. ARNOLD
 WILLIAM J. ARNOLD
 STACIE M. ARRASMITH
 JAMES F. ARTHUR
 JOHNATHAN M. ARTIS
 WILLIAM C. ATKINS
 GREGORY BRIAN AUERBACH
 SCOTT E. AXELSON
 TENOCH J. AZTECATL
 CURTIS S. BAACK
 ANDREW J. BABIARZ
 JEFFREY M. BACHERT
 CHRISTIAN BACKHAUS
 RUSSELL S. BADOWSKI
 JAY P. BAER
 JONATHAN B. BAIZE
 BRIAN J. BAKER
 JACOBY L. BAKER
 STEPHEN D. BAKER
 STEVEN N. BAKER
 MIRANDA S. BALDWIN
 ERIC J. BALL
 LUCAS D. BALL
 JASON G. BALLARD
 KIMBERLY A. BALLENSKI
 ERIC A. BALLEW
 EDWARD R. BALZER
 MICHAEL R. BALZOTTI
 THOMAS J. BANASZAK
 MELISSA RUFF BANISTER
 DANE M. BANNACH
 GREGORY R. BARBER
 JOSEPH A. BARBER
 RICHARD BARBER
 KAREN D. BARBOUR
 MELINDA K. BARBOURWORD
 LINUS J. BARLOON II
 NEILS C. BARNER
 DOUGLAS R. BARNES
 JAMIE L. BARNES
 MELANIE S. BARNES
 NICHOLAS M. BARNES
 ROBERT J. BARNES
 SANDRA KAY BARNES
 MELVIN L. BARNHILL III
 KIMBERLY N. BARR
 DANIELLE J. BARRASS

JASON R. BARRASS
 ERIN MICHELL BARRETT
 HOLLIE A. BARRETT
 BRUCE D. BARRY
 ANDREY BARSHAY
 JASON R. BARTA
 PATRICE E. BARTH
 RICHARD J. BARTHOLOW
 ARTHUR C. BARTON
 MICHELLE A. BARTZ
 STEVEN F. BARYZA
 RUSSELL D. BASTIAN
 MICHAEL T. BATCHELOR, JR.
 LUKE A. BATES
 BYRON F. BATEY
 ANGELA BATTS
 JEFFERY M. BAUMGART
 HERMAN L. BAXTER
 BENJAMIN A. BEADLES
 JOSEPH DELANE BEAL
 DANIEL P. BEALL
 ANTHONY R. BEAN
 ADRIENNE DEANNA BEARD
 JEANCLAUDE BEASLEY
 MICHAEL A. BEAUDET
 MEREDITH A. BEAVERS
 BRYAN K. BECK
 ANDREW I. BECKETT
 CARL F. BECKEY
 DOUGLAS M. BECKMAN, JR.
 KENNETH B. BEEBE III
 RYAN M. BEHRINGER
 ALFORT BELIN III
 ISAAC T. BELL
 JONATHAN B. BELL
 MATTHEW L. BELL
 MATTHEW M. BELLE
 RENE D. BELLO
 JOSEPH P. BELLUCCI
 DEAR BELOVED
 JOHN D. BELT
 CHRISTOPHER P. BENDIG
 TIFFANY H. BENDORF
 JOHN T. BENGTON
 ANDRES BENITEZ
 BRANDON S. BENNETT
 CHRISTOPHER J. BENNETT
 HEATHER M. BENNETT
 DANIEL RAY BENTLEY
 DOUGLAS WILLIAM BENTON
 JAVIER L. BENTON
 KJIRSTIN A. BENTSON
 GORDON E. BERAN II
 JACOB R. BERGMANN
 MET M. BERISHA
 CLIFFORD F. BERMODES, JR.
 GEORGE E. BERRY
 MARK J. BERTHOT
 SCOTT F. BEUSCH
 JAMES C. BEYER
 FRANK A. BIANCARDI
 JASON P. BIANCHI
 JOSHUA M. BIEDERMANN
 MICHAEL P. BIELAS
 MARK C. BIGLEY
 KEVIN M. BILLUPS
 ADAM DEWAIN BINGHAM
 DAVID R. BIRD
 JONATHAN D. BIRNBAUM
 ROBERT E. BITTNER
 FREDDIE W. BIVENS
 JEFFREY R. BLACKBURN
 JOHN G. BLACKBURN
 DAVID J. BLAIR
 ERIC M. BLAKELY
 LUKE A. BLEDSOE
 PHILLIP S. BLEVINS
 JON D. BLIDE
 RONNIE KEITH BLOUNT
 NIA K. BLUFORD
 GREGORY R. BODENSTEIN
 JEFFREY A. BODWELL
 DANIEL E. BOEH
 DARIN R. BOEN
 ANNETTE S. BOENDER
 PAUL M. BOGACZ
 SHANE M. BOHLMAN
 JAROD KRISTEN BOLDT
 BEDE A. BOLIN
 BARTON J. BOMA
 CHRISTOPHER K. BONAR
 ANGELO M. BONAVITA
 DOMONIC S. BONELLO
 STEPHEN L. BONIN
 KEITH R. BONSER
 JOSEPH S. BOOKER, JR.
 MATTHEW W. BOOTH
 JEFFREY M. BORKOWSKI
 JOSEPH J. BORRELL
 DENNIS M. BORRMAN
 JESSICA K. BORRMAN
 ROSA C. BOSWELL
 ANDREW M. BOUCHARD
 ROBERT E. BOUCHILLON
 BRADLEY N. BOUDREAUX
 JOEL C. BOURNE
 ANDREW B. BOWENS
 TRACI L. BOWMAN
 BRAD P. BOWYER
 BROOKE E. BOZARTH
 BRADLEY E. BRADDOCK
 GARY A. BRADLEY

KEVIN R. BRADLEY
 TIMOTHY BRADY
 BROOKE K. BRANDER
 HERMAN BRANDON III
 DAVID WILLIAM BRANDT, JR.
 OLGA H. BRANDT
 ROBERT G. BRANHAM
 GREGORY J. BRAULT
 JASON C. BRAUN
 ROBERT L. BRAWLEY, JR.
 JEFFREY D. BRAXTON
 VAUGHN S. BRAZIL
 ANTHONY WADE BRECK
 MARK W. BRED
 TAMMY LYNN BREINER
 DAVID A. BREITENBACH
 ROBERT L. BRELAND
 JASON T. BRESLEY
 DERRICK W. BREWER
 PAUL J. BREWER
 KELLY A. BRIDGEFORTH
 ONASSIS E. BRIDGERS
 CHAD JAMES BRIGGS
 DAVID S. BRILL
 BILLY F. BRINSFIELD III
 SANTOS BRIONES
 WILLIAM L. BRITTON
 STEPHEN J. BROGAN
 PATRICK D. BROM
 MATTHEW R. BROOKS
 MICHAEL D. BROOKS
 MARK EDWARD BROW
 ANDREW F. BROWN III
 ARLENE CECILIA BROWN
 AYANNA T. BROWN
 BETHANY J. BROWN
 DONALD DANLEY BROWN
 DUSTIN W. BROWN
 GABRIEL C. BROWN
 JAMES P. BROWN
 JERRAD H. BROWN
 MARK F. BROWN
 REBECCA S. BROWN
 RICHARD ARAM BROWN
 ROBERT H. BROWN
 ROBERT J. BROWN
 STEVEN G. BROWN, JR.
 WILLIAM L. BROWN
 JASON FORBES BROWNE
 JOSEPH S. BROWNING
 KELLIE M. BROWNLEE
 ANDREW R. BRUCE
 MATTHEW R. BRUCKNER
 BENJAMIN T. BRYANT
 LEE W. BRYANT
 MARK B. BUCHY
 BRIAN J. BULLEY
 JOHN S. BULMER
 CHRISTOPHER D. BULSON
 JOYCE A. BULSON
 NATHAN D. BUMP
 DANIEL A. BUNCH
 ROGERNETTA BURBRIDGE
 ERIC W. BURGER
 SHANNON M. BURKE
 FRANK R. BURKS
 KEVIN F. BURNS
 MICHAEL L. BURRELL
 CHARLES R. BURRIS
 CODY R. BURROUGHS
 MICHAEL S. BURTON
 BRIAN M. BUSCHUR
 DONALD R. BUTCHER, JR.
 JONATHAN W. BUTTS
 ROBERT M. BYRD
 BLAIR W. BYREM
 GERARDO CABALLERO
 DAVID A. CABAN
 JAYSON WILLIAM CABELL
 BERNIE F. CABILES
 JONATHAN A. CABILLAN
 ANABELLE CABREJA
 ROBIN E. CADOW
 ERNEST L. CAGE
 MATA ELMO CAIN
 PATRICK D. CAIN
 JAMES T. CALDWELL
 JESSE P. CALDWELL
 JOHN D. CALDWELL
 SHANNON D. CALEB
 BENJAMIN G. CALHOON
 JOHNNY CALHOUN
 PAUL J. CALHOUN
 NELSON D. CALIMLIM, JR.
 BRYAN A. CALKIN
 RICKY CAM
 WILLIAM A. CAMBRON
 GLENN S. CAMERON
 JEREMIAH J. CAMP
 ANTHONY P. CAMPBELL
 CHARLES D. CAMPBELL, JR.
 ERICA CATHERINE CAMPBELL
 JOHN M. CAMPBELL
 JOSHUA S. CAMPBELL
 STEPHEN A. CAMPBELL
 PAUL A. CANCINO
 JAMES ANTHONY CANTRELL
 HUBERT J. CANTWELL
 DAVID T. CAPONIO
 JAMES M. CARBONE II
 DOMINIC A. CARDELLA
 MARCO A. CARDENAS

ROWLAND CARDONI
 BENJAMIN DONALD CARICOFE
 ANTHONY MAURICE CARISTI
 MARK E. CARL
 CHRISTOPHER J. CARNDUFF
 IAN CHRISTOPHER CARNEY
 SPEIGHT H. CAROON
 BRENT S. CARPENTER
 BRIAN N. CARPENTER
 ROBERT B. CARPENTER
 TODD E. CARPENTER
 PATRICK F. CARPIZO
 JOSEPH W. CARR, JR.
 ROBERT E. CARREIRO
 NATHAN J. CARRELL
 VICTOR CARRILLO
 JOHN J. CARROLL III
 MARCUS JAMES CARROLL
 WARREN A. CARROLL
 ANTHONY L. CARSON
 CHRISTOPHER M. CARSON
 BROOKE K. CARTER
 JAMES M. CARTER
 JOSHUA L. CARTER
 JAYLENE S. CARTERET
 LOUIS R. CARVIN
 WILLIAM R. CASAREZ
 NATHAN A. CASE
 JOHN P. CASEY
 TIMOTHY B. CASEY II
 TODD J. CASKEY
 MATTHEW S. CASPERS
 CHRISTOPHER M. CASS
 JOSHUA H. CASSON
 JEREMIAH CASTILLO
 CHRISTOPHER T. CASTLE
 PATRICIA CASTRO
 CATHLEEN E. CASWELL
 DAVID C. CASWELL
 DARRELL L. CATES
 DAVID J. CATHELL
 CAREY H. CAUDELL
 RYAN F. CAULK
 ANDREW J. CAVALLERO
 JANIS R. CAVINDER
 DALE L. CAWTHON
 TODD A. CAYER
 BRAD W. CAYWOOD
 THOMAS A. CECH
 THEODORE P. CELEN
 DAVID L. CELESTE, JR.
 AARON S. CELUSTA
 SIOBHAN C. CELUSTA
 JOEL D. CEPEDA
 ADRIAN B. CERCENIA
 DANIEL A. CHACON
 GREGORY A. CHAMBERS
 JOSHUA CORY CHAMBERS
 MICHAEL A. CHAMPAGNE
 REYNALDO CHAMPION
 YINGWAI CHAN
 ROY M. CHANDLER, JR.
 MARK D. CHANG
 PATRICK S. CHAPIN
 JOHN L. CHAPMAN
 JOSEPH D. CHAPMAN
 TIMOTHY A. CHAPMAN
 GARY W. CHARLAND, JR.
 CHRISTOPHER S. CHARLES
 MOLLY T. CHESTER
 COLE GARRETT CHILDERS
 JASON R. CHILDS
 CARRIE LYNN CHILSON
 MARK J. CHIOFOLO
 MICAH R. CHOLLAR
 BENJAMIN DOUGLAS CHOWN
 JOHN RAYMOND CHRAMPANIS
 ADRIAN S. CHRISTENSEN
 DEVON T. CHRISTENSEN
 RICK D. CHRISTIE
 DANIEL P. CHRISTMAN
 GEORGE ANDREW CHRISTOPH
 MAXWELL L. CHRISTOPHERSON
 DENISE A. CHROSNIAK
 JOSHUA J. CHUMLEY
 LANCE W. CHURCH
 KATHLEEN MARIE CICHON
 MARK E. CIPOLLA
 CHAD KENNETH CISEWSKI
 CORY L. CLAGETT
 JOSEPH C. CLANCY
 JOSEPH A. CLAPSADDLE
 ANDREW D. CLARK
 BRIAN C. CLARK
 DAVID BONE CLARK III
 DAVID L. CLARK
 MCKAYLA C. CLARK
 SHARBE L. CLARK
 ADAM E. CLARY
 RYAN CHARLES CLAYCAMP
 CLARK K. CLAYTON
 WILLIAM J. CLAYTON III
 JOHN T. CLEGG
 ANDREW G. CLEMMENSEN
 JOSEPH G. CLEMMER
 JEFFREY JOHN CLESSE
 DAVID M. CLONTZ
 CARL P. CLOSE
 JONATHAN D. CLOUGH
 BRYAN M. CLUNE
 THOMAS C. CO
 ANTHONY S. COATS

CHRISTOPHER J. COBB
BRETT W. COCHRAN
SHAWN E. COIL
JEREMY W. COLE
JOSHUA ALLEN COLE
JOSEPH A. COLELLA
IRIS P. COLEMAN
LINCOLN T. COLEMAN
CHRISTIAN E. COLLAMORE
DANIEL M. COLLETTE
GEORGE E. COLLINGS
CHRISTOPHER M. COLLINS
JEFFREY P. COLLINS
JOSE M. COLON
KENYA E. COLON
JAMES V. COMPOLI
WILLIAM M. COMPTON
NICHOLAS A. CONDON
NICHOLAS J. CONKLIN
DOMINIC D. CONLAN
MICHAEL K. CONLEE
PATRICK M. CONNELLAN
GEOFFREY B. CONNICK
CHRISTOPHER J. CONOVER
JOHN J. CONTRERAS
DANIEL W. CONVERSE
ANDREW L. COOK
DAVID M. COOK
LANE A. COOK
MARLIS C. COOK
TREVOR M. COOK
EDITH D. COON
AARON M. COOPER
CHRISTOPHER B. COOPER
GARY L. COOPER
PAUL M. COOPER
CHRISTOPHER CORBETT
LISA M. CORLEY
JOSEPH A. CORPENING
ROBERT T. CORSI
JOHN B. CORTNEY
CHRISTOPHER E. CORYELL
GARY S. COSTELLO
PAULA C. COTTRELL
BENJAMIN W. COUCHMAN
DANIEL LEE COULTER
ERIC A. COULTER
KELLIE S. COURTLAND
DEANE ERNEST COVER
ROBERT M. COWAN
RYAN N. COX
ADAM V. COYNE
CHRISTOPHER L. CRABB
CASEY R. CRABILL
DUANE A. CRAFT
TODD M. CRAIG
MARK A. CRAMER
KENT J. CRANE
DENNIS P. CRAWFORD
DAVID C. CREECH
GREGORY S. CRESSWELL
GREGORY K. CREW
CARRIE D. CREWS
JEFFREY S. CRIDER
PAUL D. CRILE
JOSEPH PAUL CRISPEN
DAMEN MARK CRISWELL
THOMAS H. CRITTENDEN
BRIAN L. CROSBY
RYAN A. CROWLEY
VERONIQUE M. CRUKSHANK
BRIAN T. CRUM
DAVID A. CRUSOE
FERNANDO J. CRUZ
STEVEN E. CRUZ
MICHAEL J. CULHANE
BRIAN D. CUMMINGS
STEPHAN E. CUMMINGS
JAMES BRIAN CUMMINS
RAQUEL W. CUMMINS
SEAN P. CUNNIFF
CHARLES R. CUNNINGHAM
IAN M. CUNNINGHAM
JEFFREY G. CUNNINGHAM
MATTHEW A. CUNNINGHAM
PETER A. CUNNINGHAM
ERIC Z. CURRIE
TERSHA LEE CURRIER
JEFFREY J. CURRY
MICHAEL J. CURRY
STEVEN D. CURTIS
TONY L. CURTIS, JR.
TODD A. CURTRIGHT
JONATHAN R. CZARNEY
KEVIN PATRICK DACY
BENJAMIN G. DAIN'Y
SHIRAZ DALAL
BRYAN A. DALTON
JEFFREY M. DAMRON
ROBERT D. DANYLUK
HOWARD K. A. DARLING
ROBERT D. DAUGHERTY
TERRY M. DAUGHERTY
SUSAN K. DAVENPORT
RYAN J. DAVIDSON
CHRISTOPHER F. DAVINO
BRADLEY G. DAVIS
BRIAN SANDERS DAVIS
DONAVON R. DAVIS
GERALD D. DAVIS
JASON A. DAVIS
JAMES M. DAVITCH

CHRISTOPHER M. DE WINNE
JASON EDWARD DECOURCEY
NICHOLAS J. DEFazio
PAMELA J. DEFazio
MARK ALAN DEGENHARDT
RYAN J. DEIS
JULIO A. DELANOY
CIRO E. DELAVEGA III
JEROME C. DELEON GUERRERO
CHARISSA V. DELION
JOHN G. DELION
JAMES L. G. DELPH
JAMES Z. DEMCHAK
SEAN G. DEMETER
JAMES J. DEMIS
KATHRYN A. DENDEKKER
GORDON Z. DENG
ALBERT S. DENNEY
ANDRETTA L. DENNIS
DAVID M. DEPIAZZA
JODELL A. DEREUS
JACLYN N. DEROUSH
BRAD JUDE DESMITH
JARED T. DETLOFF
JENNIFER K. DETTMER
ROBERT KRISTOPHER DEUEL
MATTHEW J. DEUFEMIA
MERSADIES N. DEVLIN
WILLIAM W. DEWALT
DARIN D. DIAL
SANDRA JANE DIAS
JESUS DIAZ
GEORGE S. DIBBLE
MATTHEW B. DIBBLE
EDWARD F. DICE, JR.
BRYAN E. DICK
JOHN M. DICKENS
JONATHAN C. F. DIEDRICH
JEREMY SCOTT DIERINGER
MARK J. DIESING
MARCKENSON DIEUJUSTE
JAMES K. DILLARD
STEVEN P. DILLENBURGER
DANA M. DILLING
NORMAN NERU M. DIMALALUAN
DAVID T. DINAN
MAXWELL D. DIPIETRO
KYLE M. DISHMAN
TAMILYN S. DISMUKES
DANIEL W. DITTRICH
DION R. DIXON
KENNETH E. DIXON III
PHILLIP M. DOBBERFUHL
JOHN R. DOBBINS
MARVIN O. DOBBS
JULIA P. DOCKERY
JUNO H. DOCKUM
ERIC CHARLES DOCTOR
RONALD E. DODOLAK
BRIAN A. DODSON
DERRICK R. DODSON
TIMOTHY E. DODSON
DYLAN D. DOMBRET
BRIAN R. DONEHUE
KEVIN J. DORMER
ANDREW I. DORN
DANIEL O. DORRANCE
JOEL D. DOSS
SCOTT L. DOSS
HARLEY K. DOUBET
CURTIS W. DOUGHERTY
PAUL S. DOUGHTY
GREGORY S. DOUGLAS
SCOTT K. DOYER
JESSE S. DOYLE
JEFFREY A. DRISCOLL
CARL A. DRIVER
STEVE DROTOS III
JOSEPH A. DRUMMOND
MARK O. DUCKSWORTH
MATTHEW R. DUDDERAR
JOHN C. DUEMLER III
YON P. DUGGER
EMMANUEL VALDEZ DULAY, JR.
ERIN M. DUNAGAN
TIMOTHY J. DUNAGAN
DAVID JOHN DUNCAN
JAN JAYDEE S. DUNGCA
CHRISTOPHER DUNSTON
BRIAN C. DUREN
FRANK J. DURESKY IV
DANIEL P. DUTCHER
DEREK R. DWYER
TODD C. DYE
WILLIAM P. DYER
JACK A. DYMOND, JR.
JOHN R. DYSON
DARYL A. EASLER
SHAUN M. EASLEY
GERALD G. EASTMAN, JR.
RYAN M. EASTON
JONATHAN A. ECCLES
BRET ECHARD
JEFFREY WESTON EDENS
BRANDON B. EDGE
JOSEPH O. EDINGTON
ANGELA C. EDMONDSON
EDDIE EDWARDS, JR.
FREDERICK A. EDWARDS
JENNIFER A. EDWARDS
KENNETH D. EDWARDS
NICHOLAS S. EDWARDS

RODERICK R. EDWARDS
STEPHEN R. EDWARDS
COY W. EGBERT
JOSHUA W. EHMEN
CHARLES L. EICHNER
ADELEKE O. EKUNDAYO
JOSEPH W. ELAM, JR.
MIKAL L. ELDER
MANSOUR G. ELHHI
DAVID W. ELKINS
MARK D. ELKINS
JEFFREY E. ELLIOTT
JAMES A. ELLIS, JR.
JAMES C. ELLIS
JASON M. ELLIS
KRISTINA L. ELLIS
TROY R. ELLIS
TYLER J. ELLISON
JAKE ALAN ELSASS
PETER T. EMERTON
JAMES G. EMERY
ANTHONY E. EMMERLING, JR.
JAMES K. EMORY, JR.
ANDREW N. EMSLIE
MICHAEL E. ENDRES
JESSE A. ENFIELD
ROSE A. ENGLEBERT
STEVEN J. ENGLER
SCOTT B. ENGLISH
SAMUEL J. ENSMINGER
BRIAN C. EPPERSON
JOSEPH M. EPPERSON
MATTHEW D. EPPLIN
ANTHONY PAUL ERARD
BRIAN J. ERICKSON
CHARLES ALAN ERICKSON
CHRISTINA EILLEN ERICKSON
TARA J. ERLANDSON
JUSTIN WAYNE ERWIN
STEPHEN P. ERWIN, JR.
KEMMEY J. ESTEBAN
AMY C. ESTES
GREGORY D. ETTER
ERIC W. ETTSTAD
JASON R. EVANS
KAREN VALERIE EVERMAN
ANTHONY J. EWERS
RACHAEL MUNRO OTT FAGAN
MATTHEW A. FAHRNER
ERIC KASMER FANCHER
STEVEN R. FARGO
BRANDON IGNATIUS FARINELLI
BRIAN K. FARISS
JEREMY JAKE FARLAINO
BRANDON S. FARLEY
JAYSON L. FARMER
ERIC C. FARQUHAR
SHANNON M. FARRELL
ANTHONY M. FATTIZZI
FRANK FAULHABER IV
ERIC R. FAULK
HERMAN B. FEDRICK
WILLIAM J. FEJERAN
HEATHER M. FENNEL
GERALD DAVID FENSTERER
NICHOLAS G. FERANEC
GERALD J. FERDINAND
WILLIAM M. FERGUSON
JENNIFER S. FERRAU
LAWRENCE J. FIALA
PAUL JOSEPH FIASCONARO
DANIEL B. FICKLIN
SHAWN T. FIEBIGER
DAMON G. FIELD
KENNETH W. FIELDS
ROBERT A. FIELDS
MANOLITO FIGUEROA
JOANNE L. FINAN
ROGER L. FINLEY
ERIK S. FISHER
JEFFREY G. FISHER
KAREN A. FISHER
MICHAEL JASON FISHER
BRADLEY W. FISKE
SPENCER M. FITE
KATHRYN E. FITZGERALD
THOMINA M. FITZGERALD
BRIAN M. FITZPATRICK
ROBERT J. FITZPATRICK
JAMES T. FLAGG, JR.
MATTHEW P. FLAHEVE
ERIC A. FLEMING
SHAUN S. FLINT
MICHAEL J. FLONACHER
THOMAS PIERSON FLOOD
FEDERICO FLORES III
DAVID M. FLOWERS
JARED L. FLOYD
KEVIN C. FLOYD
BRIAN M. FLUSCHE
DEREK B. FLYNN
MATTHEW T. FLYNN
MARK J. FOGEL
DESHAWN C. FOGLE
JOSHUA A. FOGLE
MATTHEW P. FOISY
BRYAN R. FOLEY
JOHN E. FOLEY
PATRICK J. FOLEY
CHRISTOPHER LEE FORD
JAY C. FORD
LANCE A. FORD

JEFFREY A. FORNESS
 DAMEN A. FORTE
 JEREMY P. FORTIER
 RAYMOND JEFFERY FORTNER
 LARRY W. FORTSON, JR.
 BRADLEY S. FOSTER
 BYRON C. FOSTER
 JOSEPH D. FOSTER, SR.
 MATTHEW FOSTER
 JASON M. FOWBLE
 JONATHAN ALLEN FOX
 JONATHAN R. FOX
 RUSSELL E. FOXWORTH
 ERIC D. FRAHM
 JAMES CHIN KAB FRANCIS
 DERRICK R. FRANCK
 SCOTT S. FRANK
 BRANDON L. FRANKLIN
 MICHAEL J. FRASCO
 KENNETH C. FRAVOR
 TANYA A. C. FRAZIER
 STEPHEN E. FREDMAN
 JONAS W. FREEL
 AUSTIN J. FREEMAN
 BRENT W. FREEMAN
 NATHANIEL FREEMAN
 RAHSUL J. FREEMAN
 WILLIAM E. FREIENMUTH
 MARK JOSEPH FREITAS
 BIF B. FRENCH
 MEGHAN M. FRIDLEY GEIGER
 ELIZABETH F. FRIEBEL
 HARRISON ISAAC FRIED
 SCOTT S. FROHARDT
 KENNETH F. FRYAR III
 TIMOTHY J. FRYAR
 JOHN C. FUCCHILLO
 CHAD D. FULLER
 GARY T. FUNKHOUSER
 WYCKLIFFE R. FURCRON
 JOSHUA L. FUTCH
 JENNIFER A. FYFFE
 TERRY L. GABLE
 THOMAS P. GABRIELE
 KATHRYN K. GAETKE
 RYAN M. GAFFANEY
 LUIS D. GALLARDO, JR.
 MICHAEL L. GALLUCCI
 ALEX S. GAPAS
 GLENN A. GARCIA
 LUIS A. GARCIA
 RUDYARD J. M. GARCIA
 MICHAEL WILLIAM GARD
 HUGH E. GARDENIER
 ANNE M. GARDNER
 CARRIE R. GARDNER
 SEAN B. GARELL
 JONATHAN M. GARNER
 SHANE W. GARNER
 BERNADETTE GARRETT
 KENDA MARIE GARRETT
 MICHAEL D. GARROTT
 MATTHEW S. GARVELINK
 JUDY A. GARZA
 RICARDO RAUL GARZA
 BRANT A. GAST
 JOHN D. GATTONI III
 DAVID JOSEPH GAULIN
 AARON RICHARD GAUTHIER
 TROY JOSEPH GEIGER
 GABRIEL D. GELDERT
 BLAKE H. GEORGE
 DANIEL M. GEORGE
 PHILLIP M. GEORGE
 WILLIAM J. GEORGE
 JASON ROBERT GERARD
 JASON A. GERBER
 ERIC J. GERGEN
 SHAUN M. GERMAIN
 MICHAEL P. GIACOMAZZI
 AARON J. GIBBS
 KEVIN P. GIBBS
 TODD D. GIBBS
 RYAN L. GIBSON
 JOHN D. GILBERT IV
 KEVIN B. GILBERT
 CELESTINA LUNA GILLOW
 JACOB M. GILMAN
 ANDREW B. GILMER
 MAXIM GIMELSHTEYN
 JOHN W. GINN
 HEATHER L. GINSBURGH
 RYAN N. GIVENS
 JASON E. GLANOVSKY
 AHMAD L. D. GLOVER
 DEANNA D. GLOVER
 JEFFREY T. GLOVER
 ANDREW L. GMYTRASIEWICZ
 CORNELIUS T. GODBEE
 DANIEL R. GODWIN
 PERRY B. GOEBEL
 GREGORY S. GOINS
 PAUL S. GOLANDO
 DAVID W. GOLDEN
 BRENT W. GOLEMBIEWSKI
 CHUCK B. GOMES
 VAUGHN GONZALEZ
 CREIGHTON ANDREWS GOODMAN
 LARRY L. GOODNITE
 RYAN J. GOODWIN
 ADOLFO U. GORBEA
 JEREMY A. GORE

JOEL E. GORHAM
 GREGORY L. GOUGH
 JEREMY L. GOULD
 ERICH F. GRADE
 JOSEPH L. GRAHAM III
 MONIQUE CHERIE GRAHAM
 MICHAEL C. GRANBERRY
 DAVID J. GRASSO
 AARON T. GRAY
 ANDREW P. GRAY
 JAMIE P. GRAY
 SAMUEL D. GRAY III
 ZACHARY C. GRAY
 ESTHER M. L. GREEN
 FLOYD F. GREEN
 KELLI R. GREEN
 KEVIN G. GREEN
 ARIANE ELISE GREENMAN
 ROBERT C. GREESON
 HEIDI N. GREGORY
 MICHAEL K. GREGSTON
 TIMOTHY GRIFFITH
 JOHN E. GRIFFITHS
 LAURA L. GRIGGS
 DAVID ALAN GRIGSBY
 ROBERT E. GRIMMETT III
 CHAD RONALD GRONDAHL
 LORINE A. GROSSO STEWART
 JON GROSSRHODE
 JOHN R. GROTH
 CHRISTOPHER A. GUARENTE
 JARED N. GUDE
 CHRISTOPHER A. GUERRETTE
 DAVID B. GUETTLER
 GREGORY M. GUEVARA
 TRAVIS C. J. GUIDT
 JEROMY B. GUNTHER
 ZACHARIAH C. GUMMERT
 IDOLA HENRY GUNN
 DAVID R. GUNTER
 JENNIFER L. GURGANUS
 LAURA K. GURGANUS
 CHRISTOPHER M. GUSTIN
 MENOLA M. GUTHRIE
 CATHERINE E. GUTIERREZ
 LUPE GUTIERREZ
 MICHAEL A. GUY
 DAVID H. GWILT
 SCOTT A. HAACK
 THOMAS W. HAAS
 CURT A. HAASE
 JAMES E. HABECK
 SAIDA M. HAGE
 JAMES C. HAGEMIER
 NICHOLAS J. HAHN
 TIMOTHY G. HAHN
 ROBERT W. HAIRSTON
 DAVID A. HAJEK
 MARSHALL E. HAKER
 CALEB MICHAEL HALEY
 BRIAN P. HALL
 MARK E. HALL
 RAYMOND LYNN HALL
 SEAN P. HALL
 JOSHUA M. HALLADA
 WARREN M. HALLE
 STEVE HAMAMGIAN
 JERRY T. HAMBRIGHT
 OMAR J. HAMILTON
 REGINALD K. HAMILTON
 TUCKER R. M. HAMILTON
 JAMES A. HAMMAN
 JOSEPH J. HAMMES
 GREGORY D. HAMMOND
 JOHN S. HAMPEL
 BETSY DOMBERT HAND
 JERAD M. HAND
 GREGORY S. HANEY
 JASON C. HANEY
 TIMOTHY B. HANKS
 JASON T. HANSBERGER
 ROBERT A. HANSEL
 AARON J. HANSEN
 CHARLES G. HANSEN
 DUSTIN H. HANSEN
 JAMIE C. HANSEN
 BROOKE L. HANSON
 MICHAEL D. HANSON
 STEVEN C. HANSON
 SEAN H. HANSTAD
 ROBERT H. HARDENSTINE
 ADAM D. HARDER
 JOSEPH J. HARDING
 DUSTIN D. HARMON
 JONATHAN D. HARMON
 THOMAS LOYALL HARNER
 EDMUND K. HARRINGTON
 JAMES M. HARRINGTON
 CATHY L. HARRIS
 EDWARD R. HARRIS
 FENCISCO N. HARRIS
 NEIL J. HARRIS
 RYAN J. HARRIS
 JOSEPH P. HARRISH III
 BRIAN K. HARRISON
 NATHAN N. HARROLD
 RICHARD K. HARROP
 DUSTIN M. HART
 ERIC W. HART
 JON M. HART
 REBECCA ANNE HART
 SAMUEL A. HART

ROBERT B. HARTLAGE
 TRAVIS N. HARTLEY
 BRANDAN M. HARTMANN
 JUSTIN K. HARVEY
 KEVIN M. HARVEY
 RYAN J. HARVEY
 RYAN K. HARVEY
 BRIAN W. HASBROUCK
 WILLIAM J. HASSEY
 JACKIE J. HATLEBERG
 DANA HATTABAUGH
 MICHELLE A. HAWK
 WADE A. HAWKINS
 CODY L. HAWKINSON
 JAMES H. HAYES III
 JOHNNY T. HAYES
 TAUNYA W. HAYES
 MARSHAL T. HAYLETT
 JOSEPH A. HAYNES
 SCOTT M. HAZY
 DANIEL T. HEALEY
 MATHEW J. HEATHVANHORN
 RICHARD M. HEBB
 ROBERT W. HEBBERT
 BRIAN DAVID HECK
 JEREMY D. HEDGES
 DANIEL B. HEELY
 DAWN L. HEFFELFINGER
 COLEITHA M. HEFFNER
 CHAD L. HEIMAN
 OWEN R. HEIN
 NATHANIEL T. HELD
 TIMOTHY M. HELFRICH
 MICHAEL M. HELGESON
 ROBERT C. HEMBACH
 MATTHEW L. HENCKE
 COLIN D. HENDERSON
 JENNIFER LEE HENDERSON
 JOSHUA JAMES HENDERSON
 MARK T. HENDERSON
 RONALD E. HENDERSON
 JACOB A. HENDRICKSON
 PAUL D. HENDRICKSON
 JONATHON I. HENRY
 SHELBY B. HENRY
 MICHAEL J. HENSON
 DAVID M. HENZE
 ANTHONY C. HENZEL
 TRAVIS J. HERBRANSON
 MARIA B. HEREDIA
 JIMMY A. HERNANDEZ
 MARIO HERNANDEZ
 CARY W. HERNDON III
 JESSE JOHN N. HERRERA
 COLIN N. HESS
 CHRISTOPHER D. HETRICK
 RANDALL J. HEUSSER
 CLIFFORD ALLEN HEWITT II
 JOSEPH A. HEXT
 MICHAEL J. HICKAM
 DONALD G. HICKS
 JUSTIN A. HICKS
 SHAWN D. HIGBEE
 TRACY SCOTT HIGHNOTE
 BENJAMIN R. HILD
 DANIEL J. HILFERTY
 NATASHA A. HINKSON
 MATTHEW J. HLIVKO
 PHIL C. HOARD
 CHRISTIAN P. HODGE
 JUSTIN A. HODGE
 LORI R. HODGE
 JON G. HODGSON
 BRIAN P. HOELZEL
 RANDAL E. HOEWT
 HANS G. HOFFMAN
 STEPHEN D. HOFMANN
 BRYAN J. HOGAN
 SEAN P. HOGGS
 LUKE A. HOHREITER
 LEE A. HOLFERT
 JEFFREY J. HOLLEY
 DOUGLAS R. HOLLIDAY
 RICHARD J. HOLLINGER
 MICHAEL J. HOLLINGSWORTH
 COLLEEN HOLLIS
 KENNETH A. HOLMES
 NICHOLAS H. HOLMES
 BRUCE E. HOLMGREN
 ETHAN D. HOLT
 JARED J. HOLT
 KARENA M. HOLT
 ROBERT C. HOLT
 CLARINDA T. HOLTON
 MELISSA C. HOLYFIELD
 PAUL B. HOMAN
 ZOLTAN L. HOMONNAY
 JASON R. HOMRIG
 JASON B. HONABARGER
 CALEB J. HONSINGER
 ANTWAN T. HOPKINS
 AUDREY L. HOPPE
 CHRISTOPHER L. HOPPIN
 JERRY R. HOPSTER
 MATTHEW I. HORNER
 MICHELLE L. HORNER
 BENJAMIN CLARK V. HORTON
 LISA A. HORTON
 MATTHEW T. HORTON
 JAMES L. HOSEY
 SONJA AMBERKUCHM HOSLER
 RICKY L. HOUSE

MICHAEL J. HOVASTAK
 JARED W. HOWARD
 MATTHEW W. HOWARD
 JOSEPH A. HOWELL
 JOSEPH HOWERTON
 SEAN F. HOWLETT
 CHRISTOPHER J. HUBBARD
 KRISTIN M. HUBBARD
 TOBY M. HUBBARD
 DONALD E. HUDSON, JR.
 SEAN W. HUDSON
 BRAD S. HUEBINGER
 NICK A. HUET
 BRANDON R. HUFF
 BRYAN C. T. HUFFMAN
 JASON RAY HUFFMAN
 ANDREW W. HULL
 GABRIEL M. HULL
 SHAUN JOSEPH HUMPHREY
 SARAH L. HUMPHREYS
 JAMIE L. HUMPHRIES
 STEVEN R. HUNEYCUTT
 JENNIFER E. HUNNICUTT
 ANDREW W. HUNT
 DAVID LOUIS HUNTER
 BRIAN J. HURLEY
 ROSS HUSTINGS
 CASEY JONATHAN HUSTON
 KRISTIN E. HUSTON
 DOUGLAS J. HUTTENLOCKER
 MATTHEW T. HYLAND
 MATTHEW L. HYLTON
 SCOTT THOMAS IACONO
 AMY L. IANACONE
 NICHOLAS R. IHDE
 DAVID STEVEN ILLSLEY
 JOHN J. IMHOFF
 SCOTT W. INFINGER
 JOEY W. INGRAM
 JOSH T. IRVINE
 DAVID S. ISAKSEN
 KLAYTON A. IVES
 DERRICK J. IWANENKO
 JONATHAN IZWORSKI
 AMBER LEIGH LYNN JACKSON
 DENNIS E. JACKSON
 ELI N. JACKSON
 JOSHUA A. JACKSON
 ROBERT G. JACKSON
 STEPHANIE D. JACKSON
 BRIAN M. JACOBSEN
 MARK D. JACOBSEN
 RANDY JACOBSON
 DARREN E. JAMES
 ZINNIA P. JAMES
 DICK A. JANSSEN
 JOHN J. JANUSZ
 CARLOS B. JAYME
 JULIA E. JEFFERSON
 BILLY L. JEFFORDS, JR.
 DOUGLAS CREELMAN JEFFREY IV
 CHRISTOPHER G. JEFFREYS
 ROBERT N. JENKINS, JR.
 SHAWN P. JENKINS
 THOMAS JONATHAN JENKINS
 CHRISTOPHER A. JENNETTE
 KIMBERLY A. JENNINGS
 MICHAEL L. JENNINGS
 RODGER A. JENNIGCH
 PATRICE D. JERNIGAN
 JOHN M. JEWELL
 BLAINE M. JOERSZ
 MATTHEW W. JOHANNING
 MONIKA MAILEYOSH JOHNCOUR
 ABBILLYN MARIE JOHNSON
 DOUGLAS JOHNSON
 ERIC B. JOHNSON
 JACOB C. JOHNSON
 JAMIE J. JOHNSON
 JENNIFER M. JOHNSON
 LEWIS GREGORY JOHNSON
 TIMOTHY R. JOHNSON
 WILLIAM F. JOHNSON III
 WILLIAM G. JOHNSON, JR.
 ZACHARY D. JOHNSON
 JOHN MARK JOHNSTON
 KIRK C. JOHNSTON
 TAYLOR J. JOHNSTON
 MICHAEL P. JOKHY
 ADRIENNE BEATRICE L. JONES
 BLAINE WARREN JONES
 EDWARD E. JONES
 ERIC A. JONES
 JEFFREY E. JONES
 MARK F. JONES
 MARK N. JONES
 REBB S. JONES
 RYAN P. JONES
 STACY A. JONES
 STEPHEN G. JONES
 ALVIN G. JORDAN, JR.
 BART A. JORDAN
 JEREMY D. JORDAN
 MONICA P. JORDAN
 SETH H. JORDAN
 KENNETH JOSEPH
 MATTHEW H. JOSEPH
 ORYAN H. JOSEPH
 ROBI A. JOUBEN, JR.
 ANDREA S. JUDKINS
 CHARLES A. JUHL
 KENNETH KOBEY JUHL

ALEKSAS R. JUSKYS
 JEFFREY R. KAEPF
 MATTHEW L. KAERCHER
 KURT LEE KAISER
 SARAH S. KAISER
 SERGEY M. KAPLAN
 ERIKA N. KASPRZAK
 DANIEL R. KATKA
 KRISTEN R. KAYATA
 THOMAS R. KEEBLE
 LISA D. KEENA
 JEFFREY E. KEIM
 BRIAN S. KELLAM
 CHRISTOPHER J. KELLER
 JONATHAN ABNER KELLER
 JAMES P. KELLEY
 MARY J. KELLEY
 AMANDA M. KELLY
 CHRISTOPHER P. KELLY
 LATONYA R. KELLY
 PATRICK J. KELLY
 WILLIAM J. KELLY
 JOHN W. KENDALL
 MATTHEW R. KENNEL
 JENNIFER E. KENNEDY
 SABRINE T. KENNEDY
 SUSAN B. KENNEY
 MELISSA L. KEOUGH
 JASON D. KERBS
 STEPHEN J. KERELEJZA
 JAMES GREGORY KERLEY
 WILLIAM ROSS KERR
 BARRY M. KETCHE
 JEFFREY S. KETTERLING
 AMIT K. KHOSLA
 NATHAN W. KIBBY
 MICHAEL J. KIDD
 SHAWN T. KIDD
 CHON Y. KIM
 DAVID T. KIM
 MARK E. KIMBALL
 AMBER LYNN KIMBRELL
 BARNEY T. KING II
 JAMES C. KING, JR.
 JOSHUA ARTHUR KING
 MATTHEW L. KING
 KELLI S. KINLEY
 ALTON C. KINSEY
 LUCAS J. KIPPERT
 TIMOTHY D. KIRCH
 DANIELLE R. KIRK
 TROY R. KIRKBRIDE
 DAVID M. KIRKENDALL
 KENNETH M. KIRKPATRICK
 ALEXANDRA M. KISH
 RANDALL KLATT
 RUSSELL HENRY KLAWITTER
 AARON J. KLEIMAN
 JACOB A. KLEINSASSER
 ROBERT J. KLINE
 CLAIR L. KLING
 KORY R. KLISMITH
 JILL S. KLOOSTER
 THOMAS KLUSEK, JR.
 BRENT J. KNAPP
 JARROD E. KNAPP
 DAVID M. KNIGHT
 RONALD A. KNIGHT, JR.
 JOSEPH A. KNOTHE
 AMANDA ELIZABETH KNOTTS
 GARRETT W. KNOWLAN
 ADAM GREGORY KNOX
 SHELDON S. KNUDSEN
 TIMOTHY J. KNUDSON
 ROBERT M. KOCHAN
 PAUL I. KOECHER
 CHRISTOPHER A. KOELTZOW
 DANIEL R. KOEPPL
 STEVE D. KOLY
 ROBERT KOO
 MATTHEW W. KOPP
 ANDREW N. KORSMO
 NATHAN D. KOSS
 MARCUS K. KRAUSHAAR
 DAVID A. KREBS III
 KEVIN L. KRETZ
 MICHAEL P. KREUZER
 DAVID J. KRITZ
 PATRICK F. KRIZ
 JEREMY T. KRUGER
 KYLE R. KRUGER
 JAMES L. KUCHTA
 TIMOTHY A. KUECHLE
 MICHAEL N. KUEHNI
 TRAVIS J. KUENZI
 JASON G. KUHL
 MICHAEL A. KUMP
 MICHAEL J. KUSSAVAGE
 ERIC A. KUT
 DANIEL J. KWIATKOWSKI
 ALVIZ T. G. LACANILAO
 MATTHEW A. LACKEY
 JOSEPH P. LACLEDE
 JUSTIN N. M. LACOMB
 CHRISTINE G. LADRIDO
 JOSEPH M. LADYMON
 RUSTIN A. LAFURNEY
 MICA TYLER LAKE
 BRYAN D. LAMB
 LINDSEY D. LAMB
 RODNEY A. LAMBERT
 WILLIAM C. LAMBERT

PATRICK LOUIS LAMIE
 DAVID M. LAMME
 MATTHEW C. LANDE
 WILLIAM A. LANE
 ANTHONY L. LANG
 GLEN G. LANGDON
 BROCK E. LANGE
 CHARLES G. LANGHOFF
 JOHN D. LANGSHAW
 KYLE D. LANTO
 GREGORY NOAH LAPIDAS
 PIERRE R. LAPLUME
 RICARDO LARA III
 SHARON E. LARGE
 MYRON ALLEN LARRICK
 KEVIN D. LARSON
 MICHAEL D. LARSON
 PHILLIP K. LARSON
 RICHARD R. LARSON
 FREDERIC LATHROP
 ANTHONY T. LAU
 MICHAEL J. LAUBACH
 PHILIP A. LAUGHLIN
 JAMES A. LAWSON
 CHRISTOPHER C. LAZIDIS
 DAVID K. LEAHY
 DAVID C. LEAUMONT
 MICHAEL D. LEAVER
 KEVIN S. LEAVITT
 YOGI L. LEBBY
 JASON M. LEBLANC
 JOSE A. LEBRON
 MICHAEL P. LECCO
 JACOB D. LECK
 BRANDON T. LEDBETTER
 JEFFREY A. LEDERHOUSE
 DARRICK B. LEE
 JON C. LEE
 SHAWN P. LEE
 SIYEON LEE
 BENJAMIN D. LEESTMA
 JOSHUA J. LEETE
 JOSHUA M. LEIBEL
 ELLIOTT J. LEIGH
 CHAD E. LEISENRING
 JADE M. LEMERY
 MARY Z. LENT
 CHRISTOPHER LENYK
 CHARRI R. LEONARD
 MICHAEL JAMES LEONARD
 MATTHEW E. LESTER
 GRETCHEN T. LEWIS
 JAMES J. LEWIS
 LINDA L. LEWIS
 MARC LEWIS
 MATTHEW D. LEWIS
 MICHAEL J. LEWIS
 VICTOR S. LEWIS
 RYAN B. LEY
 THOMAS JOSEPH LEYDEN
 DANIEL THOMAS LIGHT
 BRIAN P. LIGHTSEY
 DWAYNE EDWARD LILLER
 MATTHEW D. LILLY
 MICHAEL R. LILLY, JR.
 DANIEL J. LINDLEY
 DANIEL R. LINDSEY III
 EWELL D. LINGAR
 STEVEN C. LIPINSKI
 ROYCE MICHAEL LIPPERT
 RYAN M. LIPPERT
 PATRICK W. LITTLE
 REBECCA LITTLE
 REUBEN J. LITTON
 ROBERT J. LITWIN
 ROBERT LIU
 LARRY C. LLEWELLYN II
 LAWRENCE A. LLOYD
 JOSHUA L. LOGIE
 KEVIN B. LOMBARDO
 ADAM N. LONG
 JUSTIN M. LONG
 MARK D. LONGENECKER
 TRAVIS L. LONGMIRE
 JOSEPH J. LOPEZ
 ROBERT T. LORD IV
 KEITH E. LORENZ
 NATHAN A. LOUCKS
 KENNETH Y. LOUIE
 ROBERT LEE LOUNSBURY
 DAVID L. LOUQUE, JR.
 BRIAN T. LOVE
 CLARENCE LOVEJOY III
 SEAN E. LOVELL
 DENNIS K. LOVIN
 LUIS P. LOZADA
 PAUL F. LUCAS, JR.
 BRYAN R. LUCERO
 LUKE A. LUCERO
 MEGAN M. LUKA
 MATHEW LUKACS
 HENRY R. LUKE, JR.
 TIMOTHY JAMES LUNDBERG
 HORACE R. LYNCH
 JEFFREY S. LYNCH
 MICHAEL J. LYNCH
 MICHAEL R. LYNCH
 SHAWN C. LYNCH
 RICHARD H. LYON
 STEPHEN G. LYON
 PATRICK B. LYSAGHT
 LEV S. LYUBCHENKO

DOUGLAS W. MABRY
 JEFFREY M. MACK
 ADAM S. MACKENZIE
 LUKIS M. MACKIE
 DAVID L. MACVOY
 KEVIN W. MADDEN
 KENNETH A. MADSEN
 RAYMOND MAGALLANEZ, JR.
 ELMARKO P. MAGEE
 JONATHAN H. MAGILL
 MICHAEL D. MAGINNESS
 DAVID A. MAGOC
 JOHN H. MAHAN
 SCOTT ANTHONY MAJOR
 EDWARD H. MALASKA III
 RICHARD M. MALERBA
 BOHDAN MALETZ
 JASON J. MALEWISKI
 JEFFREY P. MALINEN
 EDWARD MANGUAL
 JOHN K. MANIBUSAN, JR.
 ERIC L. MANN
 JAMES C. M. MANNA
 GENE M. MANNER
 DAVID C. MANNING
 RYAN D. MANTER
 MICHAEL S. MANUEL
 KEEGAN K. MAPLE
 CATHRINE J. MARCHESSEAULT
 RYAN C. MARCOTTE
 DANIEL A. MARCUM
 VICTOR M. MARICHAL
 FRANCIS R. MARINO
 MICHAEL P. MARIOTTI
 MARCUS C. MARIS
 JOSEPH P. MARKOWSKI
 ROBERT R. MARLOW
 KEVIN B. MARMILLION
 ROBERT C. MARQUEZ
 RONALD ANTHONY MARQUEZ
 EMILY N. MARR
 CAROL L. MARRUJO
 DAVID W. MARSHALL
 ROBERT B. MARSHALL
 TOMMY V. MARSHALL II
 STEPHEN T. MARTENZ
 DANIELA M. MARTIAN
 BRIAN A. MARTIN
 JEFFREY RICHARD MARTIN
 RICHARD J. MARTIN II
 DAVID CHRISTIAN MARTINEZ
 JOHN A. MARX
 JASON A. MASCETTA
 ERIC J. MASTERS
 PETER C. MASTRO
 ANDREW W. MASUR
 ROBINSON R. MATA
 DAVID E. MATHER
 WILLIAM L. MATHIEU
 SCOTT DAVID MAULDIN
 PETER J. MAURO
 JASON E. MAYNE
 ROMAN R. MAYNES
 JOSHUA P. MAYO
 MARC ANTHONY MAZZA, JR.
 PAUL D. MCARTOR
 MATTHEW JASON MCBLAIR
 WILLIAM T. MCBRIDE
 CARL J. MCBURNETT, JR.
 JONATHAN F. MCCALL
 JASON M. MCCANDLESS
 JASMINE M. MCCANN
 MICHAEL G. MCCARTHY
 ANTHONY S. MCCARTY
 THOMAS J. MCCARTY
 CHARLES MCCLAIN
 PETER A. MCCLELLAN
 EVE M. MCCLOUD
 PHILIP R. MCCLURE
 MATTHEW T. MCCOACH
 CHRISTOPHER M. MCCOLLUM
 JON D. MCCOMB
 BRADY S. MCCONNELL
 MICHAEL J. MCCOURT
 BRIAN M. MCCREARY
 DO HUN MCCUTCHEON
 TAMARA D. MCCUTCHEON
 EDMUND R. MCDANIEL
 WILLIAM R. MCDANIEL IV
 KRISTI A. MCDONALD
 WANDA M. MCDONALD
 WILLIAM E. MCDUGALL
 ANDREW R. MCDOWELL
 TODD A. MCDOWELL
 WILLIAM L. MCDOWELL
 PATRICK M. MCGARRY
 DAVID T. MCGARVEY
 THOMAS M. MCGILLICUDDY
 KENNETH M. MCGINNIS
 SHAUN M. MCGOWAN
 JILL R. MCGRAW
 RICHARD E. MCGREEHAN, JR.
 JONTAE S. MCGREW
 KENNETH O. MCGREW
 MICHAEL J. MCGREW
 JONATHAN W. MCGUGIN
 ROY KAIPO MCGUIRE
 RYAN M. MCGUIRE
 JOSEPH M. MCHUGH
 SAMUEL G. MCINTYRE
 JEFFREY E. MCKAMEY
 MICHAEL B. MCKENZIE

KINDAL T. MCKINNEY
 ORTAVIOUS L. MCKINZIE
 DANIEL RICHARD MCLALLEN
 KEAGAN L. MCLEESE
 SCOTT M. MCMAHON
 STEPHEN C. MCMAHON
 DAVID R. MCMANAWAY
 MARC B. MCMANNON
 BRIAN E. MCMANUS
 JEFF D. MCMASTER
 ROBERTA ELIZABETH MCMICHAEL
 BRIAN WILLIAM MCMILLIN
 WILLIAM B. MCRAVEN
 BRANDON G. MCRAY
 PATRICK MICHAEL MCSHERRY
 STEVIE MEDEIROS
 DANIEL MEDINA
 THOMAS E. MEDLAND, JR.
 DOUGLAS P. MEDLEY
 BRIAN D. MEEKER
 PHILIPPE K. MELBY
 MARK A. MELIN
 DALE A. MELTON
 MICHAEL E. MELTON
 KEITH A. MELVIN
 VICTOR O. MENAGARCIA
 DANIEL E. MENDOZA
 TIMOTHY P. MENGES
 DENNIS G. MENJIVAR
 STUART R. MENN
 ALEC J. MENONI
 NEIL A. MENZIE
 JIM L. MERCADO
 WILLIAM G. MERCER
 JAMES R. MERENDA
 JASON K. MERRILL
 JONATHAN L. MERRILL
 DAVID T. MERRITT
 ROBERT D. MERWIN
 MATTHEW D. MESHANKO
 TIMOTHY J. METZ
 SCOTT A. METZLER
 DOUGLAS S. MEYER
 KYLE JAMES MEYER
 ERIKA L. MEYERS
 CHARLES D. MICHAELS
 DERRICK CHARLES MICHAUD
 CHRISTOPHER A. MICHELE
 JASON M. MILAD
 TERRI S. MILES
 DAVID WESTLEY MILLER
 GREGORY R. MILLER
 JARED A. MILLER
 JASON M. MILLER
 NICHOLAS R. MILLER
 ROBERT D. MILLER
 VIPAWAN MILLER
 WALTER W. MILLER II
 ZACHARY R. MILLER
 AMBER LEE MILLERCHIP
 ANDREW A. MILLIGAN
 DAVID G. MILLS
 JONATHAN L. MILLS
 JUSTIN K. MILLS
 PATRICK J. MILLS
 RENATA G. MILLS
 BENJAMIN W. MINUS
 STEPHEN S. MIRACLE
 CRISTINA M. MIRANDA
 MATTHEW C. MISNER
 ALFRED C. MITCHELL, JR.
 BARRY R. MITCHELL
 CHRISTOPHER P. MITCHELL
 ELI G. MITCHELL
 NATHAN H. C. MITCHELL
 RICK E. MITCHELL
 TIMOTHY M. MITCHELL
 JOEL A. MITRE
 BRIAN S. MIX
 KRISTY L. MIX
 MICHELLE M. MOBLEY
 MICHAEL R. MODESTO
 ROSS A. MOL
 ENRIQUE D. MOLANO
 DANIEL MOLINA
 ANTHONY R. MOLLISON
 DEREK C. MOLLOY
 JASON TODD MONACO
 GILDARDO MONCADA, JR.
 ORVIN R. MONROIG
 CARLOS H. MONTANCES
 RUSSELL T. MONTANTE, JR.
 REBEKAH G. MONTGOMERY
 MICHAEL S. MONTIER
 HOWARD THOMAS MOORE
 KRISTY L. MOORE
 SAMUEL A. MOORE
 DAVID J. MORALES
 KEITH B. MORENO
 JAMES W. MORFORD
 ROBERT E. MORGAN
 ROBERT IN MORGAN
 MIA L. MORIN
 ALLEN C. MORRIS, JR.
 CHRISTOPHER A. MORRIS
 DAVID C. MORRIS
 DONALD A. MORRIS
 TIMOTHY L. MORRIS
 MARGARET A. MORRISON
 ROBERT D. MORRISSETTE
 JESSE K. MORSE
 WILLIAM B. MORSE

ARNOLD R. MOSLEY
 KIMMY L. MOSS
 SCOTT D. MOTLEY
 GREGORY M. MOULTON
 CHRISTOPHER E. MUELLER
 FREDERICK G. MUELLER, JR.
 GRAYDON L. MULLER
 MICHAEL SCOTT MULLIN
 CREIGHTON A. MULLINS
 NELSON ROBERT MUMA II
 DAVID T. MUNOZ
 WAYNE M. MURANAKA
 GALAN J. MURPHY
 MARK A. MURPHY
 MATTHEW T. MURPHY
 EAMON R. MURRAY
 RYAN E. MURRAY
 SHANE PATRICK MUSCATO
 BRIAN D. MUTO
 ANTHONY J. MYERS
 KIMBERLY A. MYERS
 JEFFREY E. NAFF
 KRISTOPHER C. NAGY
 RICARDO NAJERA
 KEITH S. NAPOLITANO
 JONATHAN S. NAVARRA
 ERIC JOHN NAVARRE
 ERIC N. NAVARRETE
 CHRISTINE NAVARRO
 FRANCIS SHANE NAVARRO
 RAYMOND A. NAYLOR IV
 BRIAN B. NEAL
 LAWRENCE B. MIO NEEDHAM III
 ALEXANDER W. NELSON
 EMILY B. NELSON
 ANDREW C. NEMETH
 BRUCE C. NESTER
 ERIC S. NEUBERT
 JESSE H. NEWBERRY
 LEA NEWMAN
 JUSTIN FRANKLIN NEWTON
 LOC H. NGUYEN
 QUOCNAM T. NGUYEN
 ROBERT W. NICHOLS
 STACEY N. NICHOLS
 MICHAEL A. NIELSEN
 GREGORY L. NISULA
 THOMAS I. NIX
 ADAM L. NOBLE
 JEFFREY W. NOBLE
 GENE H. NOH
 DAVID A. NOLAN
 RYAN P. NOONAN
 NICHOLAS H. NOREUS
 SCOTT T. NORMAN
 STEVEN NORRIS
 PETER CHARLES NORSKY
 JAMES D. NORTHAMER
 TED T. NORTHROP
 MICHAEL J. NORVELL
 VRETTOS W. NOTARAS
 DEREK S. OBLANDER
 MATTHEW OCHOA
 ANDREA M. OCONNOR
 JONATHAN ANDREW ODELL
 JUN S. OH
 SHAWN D. OHLER
 PATRICK L. OKEEFE
 MICHAEL D. OLDHAM
 JAIME OLIVARES
 STEPHEN A. OLIVARES
 TREV J. OLMAN
 MARK T. OLMSTEAD
 SETH MONROE OLSON
 TIMOTHY M. OMAHONEY
 MICAH V. ONEAL
 VIOREL OPREA
 GREGORY P. ORBINO
 URIAH L. ORLAND
 FRANCISCO J. ORTEGA, JR.
 SISTO M. ORTIZ
 JASON N. ORTOLANO
 MARC T. ORTON
 RICHARD C. ORZECZOWSKI
 NATHANIEL S. OSBORNE
 JARED J. OSTROSKI
 RYAN G. OUGH
 CHAD D. OVERTON
 NATHAN H. OWEN
 RICHARD L. OWENS
 SHAWN M. OWENS
 TYISHA L. OWENS
 DAVID O. PABST
 CHRISTOPHER C. PACE
 JEFFERY A. PACKARD
 RAUL D. PADILLA
 MATTHEW G. PAETZOLD
 RAYMOND R. PAGE
 ANNETTE M. PAGLIA
 JACOB B. PAIRSH
 MICHAEL P. PALIK
 CHRISTOPHER N. PALMER
 JOSEPH L. PALMER
 ERNESTO GOMEZ PANDES
 WILFREDO PANTOJA
 TIMOTHY KARL PAPE
 TERRY L. PARHAM
 MATTHEW A. PARKER
 STEWART J. PARKER
 RAYMOND M. PARNTHER, JR.
 KEVIN L. PARSONS
 STEPHEN M. PARSONS

RICHARD W. PARTAIN
 JARED D. PASLAY
 RIMPA PATEL
 ANDREW M. PATRICK
 TODD T. PATTERSON
 JASON VICTOR PAUL
 MICHAEL E. PAXON
 DAVID L. PAYTON
 NATHANIEL A. PEACE
 THOMAS MICHAEL PEARSALL
 PATRICK B. PEARSON
 ROBERT J. PEARSON
 COREY LEE PEAY
 ERIC VERNET PEDERSON
 NICHOLAS R. PEDERSON
 JOHN M. PELTIER
 TIFFANY E. PENCE
 JUSTIN T. PENDRY
 SERGIO P. PEREZ
 JORGE N. PEREZBENITEZ
 CHAD L. PERRY
 NATHAN C. PERRY
 JOSHUA J. PERSING
 KRIS J. PERSON
 SANDRA A. PERTUIT
 ERIC R. PESCHELL
 CHRISTOPHER R. PETEK
 DEEPAK C. PETER
 SAMUEL J. PETERS
 AMY N. PETERSON
 ANDREW J. PETERSON
 BENJAMIN W. PETERSON
 CHAD ARTHUR PETERSON
 CHRISTOPHER D. PETERSON
 ERIC M. PETERSON
 JOSEF N. PETERSON
 RYAN J. PETERSON
 MICHAEL A. PETRELLA
 KATHERINE E. PETREN
 NICHOLAS J. PETREN
 GIA L. PETZ
 JAMIE P. PHAIR
 BRIAN D. PHILLIPS
 DEANNA M. PHILLIPS
 JENNIFER M. PHILLIPS
 LANDON K. PHILLIPS
 NICHOLAS W. PHILLIPS
 THOMAS E. PHILLIPS, JR.
 MARTIN PHILOGENE
 WILLIAM M. PHIPPS
 JAY KHAM PHOMAVONG
 SEAN P. PICCIRILLI
 ANTHONY L. PICKETT
 BENJAMIN T. PIERCE
 CRAIG ALLEN PIPER
 STEPHANIE LEIGH PLAIT
 ROGER C. PLATTEBORZE
 BRETT E. PLUMMER
 ZOFIA A. PLUMMER
 CHRIS P. PLYMALE
 ARTIS M. POE III
 KENNETH POINDEXTER
 VINCENT L. POLITTE, JR.
 MATTHEW A. POLUS
 KURT C. PONSOR
 NICHOLAS JOHN POPP
 HENRY E. PORTER, JR.
 CHRISTOPHER D. POTTER
 GREGG D. POTTER
 JASON A. POWELL
 NATHAN J. POWELL
 DONALD W. POWERS
 PARNELL R. PRASSADA
 TRAVIS RICHARD PRATER
 ROBERT G. PRAUSA
 DEANA M. PRESCOTT
 BRIAN S. PRESSLER
 CHRISTOPHER D. PRICE
 LATRACIA T. D. PRICE
 MATTHEW M. PRICE
 GLENN S. PRIDY
 MARK I. PRIDE
 WALTER H. PRIEBE III
 MICHAEL L. PRIMIANO
 JESSE MICHAEL PROCTOR
 ERRYN CHRISTINE PROVENCHER
 MATTHEW J. PROVENCHER
 JONATHAN P. PROVENS
 JAMES A. PRUCHNIC
 MARK A. PRUSINOWSKI
 JOSHUA A. PUGLIESE
 ANTHONY J. PULEO
 JOSEPH C. PULLIAM
 DANIEL W. PUPICH
 NATHANIEL SHANE PURSELL
 JEREMY S. PUTMAN
 SHINGO K. PYO
 ERIC B. QUIDLEY
 JOSEPH T. QUILLIN
 SKYLAR E. QUINN
 MATTHEW J. QUINTON
 MATTHEW A. QUITTER
 MICHAEL L. RAABE
 MATTHEW R. RABE
 JENNIFER A. RACINE
 BRIAN LOUIS RAFFA
 ERNEST COREN RAHN
 NATHAN M. RAIBLEY
 EUGENE K. RAINEY
 JAMES A. RAINS
 JOSE A. RAMIREZ
 RACHEL RAMOS

KELLY SUZANNE RAMSEY
 REGGINAL E. RAMSEY
 ANTHONY M. RANDALLCLAUSEN
 DARRRELL RANDOLPH
 RUTH J. RANDOLPH
 SETH ADAM RANN
 ANTHONY S. RAPHAEL
 PATRICK J. RARING
 KENNETH M. RASZINSKI
 NICHOLAS D. RATERMANN
 STACY M. RATHJE
 ERIK P. RATHKE
 DAVID J. RATLIFF
 GRANT ANDERSON RAUP
 TIMOTHY S. RAVAS
 BRYAN E. RAY
 DARRYL R. RAY
 BRIAN S. REASER
 ERIK V. REDL
 CECIL E. REDMON II
 HOWARD L. REED
 MICHAEL ALLEN REED
 RONALD KEVIN REED
 CHRISTOPHER L. REESE
 RUSSELL T. REESE
 JEFFREY S. REGAN
 JOSEPH P. REICH III
 AARON D. REID
 RONALD J. REIDEL
 BRANT CONOR REILLY
 MICHAEL J. REIMERS
 CHAD C. REINERT
 DANIEL J. REISNER
 SARAH GILLIAN REKSTEN
 MICHAEL JOSEPH RENDOS
 CHRISTOPHER J. RETENELLER
 JOSEPH F. REVETERIANO
 JASON RICHARD REYES
 BENJAMIN A. REYNOLDS
 ERIN S. REYNOLDS
 ANTHONY G. RHOADES
 BRIAN S. RHOADES
 MERLE G. RICHARD
 MICHAEL P. RICHARD
 CHAD M. RICHARDS
 JONATHAN L. RICHARDS
 CASEY E. RICHARDSON
 JASON S. RICHARDSON
 KARLA S. RICHTER
 ZACHARY D. RICHTER
 RYAN ANDREW RICKERT
 JOHN J. RIESTER
 JESSE L. RIGGERT
 JOHN M. RIKER
 DANNY GENE RILEY II
 MARGARET MARIE RIOS
 DUSTIN C. RIPLEY
 ALEXANDER M. RISEBOROUGH
 PATRICIA A. RISNEAR
 CHRISTOPHER S. RITTER
 JEREMY J. RITTER
 BRENT G. RITZKE
 RENEE E. RIVERA
 VICTOR J. RIZZO
 SHELBY J. ROBB
 BRENT R. ROBBINS
 BRIAN C. ROBBINS
 NICHOLAS EHRICH ROBBINS
 ADAM K. ROBERTS
 JAMES E. ROBERTS
 KENNETH A. ROBERTS, JR.
 MATTHEW C. ROBERTS
 BRIAN R. ROBERTSON
 DANIEL J. ROBERTSON
 SCOTT J. ROBERTSON
 CHRISTOPHER L. ROBINSON
 DEVELON J. ROBINSON
 FRANKIE G. ROBINSON
 PAUL D. ROBINSON II
 TIMOTHY M. ROBINSON
 MICHAEL THOMAS ROCHE
 TOMMY S. E. ROCKWOOD
 JASON S. RODGERS
 KEITH FRANKLIN RODGERS
 JAMES A. RODRIGUEZ
 JORGE C. RODRIGUEZ
 RAMON P. RODRIGUEZ
 ERIC D. ROEHRKASSE
 CHAD T. ROGERS
 LOUIS P. ROGNONI III
 DAVID E. ROHLINGER
 ANDREW C. ROLLINS
 MICHAEL H. ROOD
 EUGENE F. ROOKER, JR.
 CHRISTOPHER J. ROOME
 ADAM H. ROSADO
 ROLAND ROSARIO
 ROBERT C. ROSEBROUGH
 JEFFREY RYAN ROSENBERRY
 CRAIG STEVEN ROSENGARTEN
 ALLEGRA L. ROSLER
 GREGORY K. ROSS
 MATTHEW C. ROSS
 WILLIAM D. ROSS
 ZACHARY K. ROSSON
 MICHAEL A. ROTHE
 GEORGE A. ROTHHAUPT
 METODI V. ROULEV
 NICHOLAS G. ROWE
 KEVIN P. ROWLETTE
 MARCUS W. ROWLEY
 EDWIN RUCKWARDT

JENNIFER D. RUDIGER
 ERIC E. RUDOLPH
 JUSTIN R. RUFIA
 ANDREW D. RULE
 RICHARD G. W. RULIFFSON
 DAVID G. RUNELS
 RAYMOND M. RUSCOE
 BRIAN M. RUSSELL
 ERIC J. RUSSELL
 JASON I. RUSSELL
 JERIMIAH D. RUSSIAN
 REBECCA F. RUSSO
 WILLIAM J. RUSTAD
 ETHAN A. RUTELL
 JAMES L. RUTLEDGE
 JOHN R. RYAN
 KATHERINE ANNE RYAN
 NICHOLAS PATRICK RYAN
 SCOTT D. RYDER
 MARK J. SAAR
 WILSON E. SAGENDORPH III
 TYSON KELLY SAILER
 JERMAINE S. SAILSMAN
 CONSUELO SALAZAR
 CHRISTOPHER L. SALDANA
 MICHAEL WILLIAM SALGADO
 JARED E. SALK
 TODD J. SALZWEDEL
 NICHOLAS F. SAMMONS
 DAVID L. SANDERS
 NICHOLAS B. SANDERS
 SHANE J. SANDSTROM
 CHARLES S. SANDUSKY
 MATTHEW P. SANERA
 RYAN A. SANFORD
 VONDRAY L. SANFORD
 WILLIAM W. SANFORD
 RYAN P. SANJENIS
 DERRICK C. SARACENI
 MARK W. SARGENT
 BRIAN K. SATTERLEE
 JAMES MICHAEL SATTLEIR
 NICHOLAS R. SAUCIER
 LUKE M. SAUTER
 AARON C. SAYLER
 LARRY M. SAYLOR, JR.
 TIMOTHY E. SCARIANO
 STEVEN MICHAEL SCHAAR
 ERIK D. SCHILLING
 JASON A. SCHLARB
 EDWARD E. SCHLEGEL
 KYLE S. SCHLEWINSKY
 JOHN W. SCHMIDTKE
 THOMAS E. SCHMITT
 KEITH M. SCHNEIDER
 SAMUEL P. SCHNEIDER
 CHRISTOPHER A. SCHNIPKE
 STEVEN A. SCHNOEBELN
 MARK T. SCHOENBECK
 ANDREW T. SCHOFIELD
 JILL ANN SCHOFIELD
 JOHN S. SCHOONMAKER
 TERRY A. SCHRADER
 MICHAEL W. SCHREINER
 DANIEL A. SCHREURS
 JOHN C. SCHROEDER
 MEGAN MARIE SCHRUM
 CHARLES E. SCHUCK
 DAVID W. SCHUR
 LAWRENCE F. SCHUTZ
 CHAD E. SCHWAB
 JOSEPH T. SCHWAB
 JACOB T. SCHWARTZ
 ERIK W. SCHWARZ
 DANIEL M. SCHWENDEMAN
 HAYDEN M. SCOTT
 JACOB M. SCOTT
 JESSE M. SCOTT
 LISA R. SCOTT
 MICHELLE R. SCOTT
 MICHAEL J. SCULLION
 MICHAEL D. SEAL
 KEVIN A. SEAY
 RYAN EARL SEBASTIAN
 MATTHEW JAMES SECKO
 JOSHUA S. SEDER
 RYAN N. SEEKINS
 RONEN M. SEGAL
 MICHAEL A. SELTZER
 LESLIE L. SEMRAU
 ANDRE T. SENAY
 CHARLES D. SENDRAL
 CHAD A. SESSLER
 SEAN P. SETTER
 MEGGAN M. SETTLE
 DARLENE M. SHAFFER
 ANAND D. SHAH
 THEODORE JOHN SHANKS
 KATHRYN T. SHARP
 SARAH J. SHARPE
 BENJAMIN A. SHAUB
 ANDREW W. SHAW
 AARON B. SHEETS
 HAMILTON G. SHELFLER
 ADAM W. SHELTON
 KELLY W. SHELTON
 JASON A. SHEMCHUK
 SABRINA N. SHERIDAN
 MATTHEW D. SHERK
 MITCHELL S. SHERMAN
 TERRI L. SHERRY
 DAWUD K. SHILLINGFORD

NICHOLAS A. SHIMKUS
 SAMUAL P. SHIMP
 KEVIN W. SHIPE
 BRANDY ANN SHIRLEY
 DANIEL M. SHOAF
 DENNY R. SHOFNER
 PANUMAT SHONTZ
 CHARLENE M. SHORTTTE
 KHOMANI D. SHORTTTE
 MATTHEW N. SHOVELSKI
 JOSHUA T. SHULTZ
 DAVID R. SIEMION
 MATTHEW G. SIKKINK
 JOEL B. SILK
 YONG C. SIM
 RICHARD J. SIMKO
 DOUGLAS M. SIMMERS
 JAMES S. SIMMONS
 JEREMY B. SIMMONS
 MICHAEL ANDREW SIMONICH
 SHONNA J. SIMONS CLARK
 JOSEMARCO C. SINCHONGCO
 JONATHAN ALEXANDER SIRARD
 SCOTT D. SITTERSON
 LISA M. SIWIK
 CHRISTOPHER D. SJOLIE
 JOSEPH J. SKERLY III
 BOBBIE JO SKIDMORE
 STEVEN B. SKIPPER
 DREW R. SKOVVAN
 FRANK T. SKRYPAK
 PATRICIA SKUTNIK
 MATTHEW A. SLAGER
 ANDREW SLAUGHTER
 JON P. SLAUGHTER
 RYAN A. SLAUGHTER
 JAMES N. SLEAR
 WILLIAM E. SLOTTTER
 DENNIS R. SLOWINSKI
 MICHAEL W. SMALL
 BRIAN K. SMART
 WILLIAM D. SMART
 ADAM J. SMITH
 ADAM JOSEPH SMITH
 ALLEN SMITH
 ALLEN EDWARDS SMITH
 BENJAMIN M. SMITH
 CALEB T. SMITH
 CHESLEY J. SMITH
 CHRISTOPHER C. SMITH
 DENNIS M. SMITH
 GENE T. SMITH
 GREGORY GERALD SMITH
 JAMES DANIEL SMITH
 JAMES T. SMITH
 JEFFREY N. SMITH
 JEREMY J. SMITH
 JEREMY R. SMITH
 JOSHUA K. SMITH
 LAWRENCE A. SMITH II
 MICHAEL L. SMITH
 PATRICK S. SMITH
 PAULA P. SMITH
 RICHARD R. SMITH II
 ROBERT SHELBY SMITH
 RYAN G. SMITH
 RYAN T. SMITH
 SEAN M. SMITH
 STEPHEN N. SMITH
 THOMAS E. SMITH
 EDWARD W. SMITHER
 BENJAMIN L. SNELL
 SCOTT P. SNIDER
 JENNIFER JEAN SNOW
 DANIELLE L. SNYDER
 JON M. SNYDER
 SCOTT A. SNYDER
 THOMAS WILFRED SNYDER
 ERIC M. SOBECKI
 NICHOLAS MANUEL SOLIS
 OWEN SOMERS
 SARA N. SOMERS
 ROBERT A. SONNENBERG
 DANIEL K. SORENSON
 JUSTIN EDWARD SORICE
 ELIZABETH D. SORRELLS
 LEWIS G. SORVILLO
 JOHN WILLARD SOUTHARD
 KEVIN C. SOVA
 JOSEPH C. SPAGNOLIA
 SETH W. SPANIER
 STEPHEN E. SPARKMAN
 JEREMY S. SPARKS
 LUCAS D. SPATHES
 MICHAEL B. SPECK
 ALEC THOMAS SPENCER
 JEFFREY A. SPENCER
 RONALD R. SPENCER, JR.
 BRANDY L. SPIEGLE
 ANGELIKA M. SPINK
 DAVID M. P. SPITTLER
 JENNIFER S. SPOORES
 TODD J. SPRINGER
 ROBERT S. ST CYR
 JEREMY BRENT ST JOHN
 BENJAMIN C. STAATS
 GREGORY R. STACK
 BENJAMIN G. STALLARD
 LEE W. STANFORD
 TODD EDWARD STANIEWICZ
 ERIC M. STANO
 JOSHUA P. STANTON

SHAWN M. STAPPEN
 BRYAN L. STARCHER
 MATTHEW B. STARCK
 NIKOLAOS P. STATHOPOULOS
 ADAM R. STAUBACH
 SUSANNE L. STCYR
 RYAN L. STEBBINS
 KALLEEN H. STEELE
 GREGORY M. STEENBERGE
 ROBIN E. STEENMAN
 JOSEPH L. STEINER
 EDWARD R. STEINFORT
 ADRAIN E. STEMPLER II
 PAUL E. STENSENG
 MICHELLE L. STERLING
 SHAWN P. STERMER
 AMANDA L. STEVENS
 ZACHARY A. STEVENS
 DAVID B. STEVENSON
 MARCUS U. STEVENSON
 ANDREW B. STEWART
 JAYSON STEWART
 TONY J. STIBRAL
 BRIAN A. STILES
 JOSEPH F. STILES
 MONA T. STILSON
 ROBERT D. STIMPSON
 EVETTE M. STINSONLAWSON
 RYAN P. STOLMEIER
 MICHAEL T. STONE
 SPENCER GROSSER STONE
 RANDON L. STORMS
 SAMMY E. STOVER
 ANTHONY M. STRAW
 MICHAEL K. STREET
 CRISTINA C. STRICK
 WILLIAM L. STRICKLAND
 ERIC RYAN STRIDE
 AARON JOSEPH STRODE
 NATHAN C. STUCKEY
 MATTHEW P. STUECK
 ROBERT L. STULTS
 STEPHANIE E. STULTS
 ROBERT W. STURGILL, JR.
 MICHAEL WILLIAM SUDEN
 MATTHEW SUHRE
 MICHAEL K. SUKACH
 HEATHER J. SULLIVAN
 RYAN C. SULLIVAN
 THOMAS RICHARD SULLIVAN
 STEPHEN D. SUMMERS
 LANCE L. SUMMY
 KRISHNA SURAJBALLY
 MARK A. SUTHARD
 KONSTANTIN SVERKOUNOV
 GORDON T. SWAIN
 JOHN R. SWANSON
 REESE J. SWANSON
 STEVEN D. SWAZAY
 BRETT CHRISTOPHER SWIGER
 KYLE A. SWOPE
 ADAM N. SYLVAN
 DEREK J. SYSWERDA
 GIORGIO AUGUSTIN SZABO
 JOHN T. SZCZEPANSKI
 JEANNE R. SZCZES
 CHRISTOPHER SZYMANSKI
 KRISTOPHER J. SZYMCAZAK
 DARREN E. TABOR
 KYLE A. TAKAMURA
 BRIAN C. TALLIAFERRO
 ANGELA J. TARAVELLA
 BENJAMIN F. TARKOWSKI
 JUSTIN M. TARLTON
 ADAM E. TAYLOR
 BRENDA TAYLOR
 CARLTON M. TAYLOR
 DARIUS TAYLOR
 DAVID L. TAYLOR
 EDWARD R. TAYLOR
 JACK WADE TAYLOR
 LARRY L. TAYLOR
 MATTHEW S. TAYLOR
 MICHELLE L. TAYLOR
 NATHAN J. TAYLOR
 TIFFANY S. TAYLOR
 JUSTIN RAY TEAGUE
 TIMOTHY A. TENDALL
 ANDREW H. TENENBAUM
 CHRISTOPHER J. TERRY
 JEFFREY K. TEXCELL
 MILES PEYTON THAEMERT
 RYAN JAMES THEISEN
 FRANK A. THEISING
 GREGORY C. THERIOT
 MARY A. THIGPEN
 DUSTIN T. THOMAS
 JAMES E. THOMAS
 JEROME SAMUAL T. THOMAS
 KELIE A. THOMAS
 SPENCER A. THOMAS
 STEVEN C. THOMAS
 BRENDA L. THOMPSON
 CHRISTINA M. THOMPSON
 DAVID A. THOMPSON
 DAVID W. THOMPSON
 LINWOOD A. THOMPSON
 MICHAEL J. THOMPSON
 ROBERT E. THOMPSON
 ROY W. THOMPSON
 PAUL B. THORNTON
 TIMOTHY J. THORSON

MATTHEW B. THRIFT
 CHRISTOPHER A. THUOTTE
 RENEE Z. THUOTTE
 ANDREW CHARLES TIDGELL
 RAYMOND G. TIERNEY
 GERALD J. TILLER
 AARON P. TILLMAN
 MICHAEL E. TILLYER
 DAVID W. TIPTON
 JEREMY S. TIPTON
 MARK ANTHONY TIPTON
 NELSON E. TIRADO
 SHAMEKIA N. TOLIVER
 WENDELL R. TONEY
 LEONARDO A. TONGKO
 DOMINIQUE H. TORRES
 EDWARD L. TORRES
 ELIUD E. TORRES
 PETER R. TOSCANI
 STEPHEN A. TOTH
 NATHANIEL W. TOTTON
 MICHAEL M. TOTTON
 JONATHAN M. TOWNSEND
 KANDI J. TRACE
 KHOI DINH TRAN
 JENNIFER J. TRAPP
 DANIEL A. TRAVERS
 JOHN M. TRAVIESO
 ADAM R. TRAVIS
 BOBBY A. TRAVIS
 CATHERINE J. TREDWAY
 SHANE A. TREGO
 DAVID C. TRELXER
 CHARLES M. TRICKEY
 STEVEN E. TRNKA
 TIMOTHY W. TROUP
 DAVID D. TROXELL
 CHRISTOPHER M. TROYER
 STEVEN A. TRUEBLOOD
 MAUREEN A. TRUJILLO
 MICHAEL R. TRUJILLO
 BRENT GERALD TSCHKOF
 REBECCA A. TUBMAN
 JASON L. TUCKER
 BRYAN BERFENTI TUINMAN
 FWAMAY SULLIVAN TULLIUS
 GRANT M. TULLIUS
 JOSEPH C. TULLOSS
 MICHAEL R. TURNER
 ROBYN D. TURNER
 ROBERT L. TURPIN, JR.
 JAMES M. TUTHILL
 JOSEPH BRIAN TUZZOLINO
 MARK ALLEN TYLER
 FRANCIS C. TYSON IV
 MARK C. UBERUAGA
 CHRISTOPHER J. UECKER
 ANDREW GALO ULAT
 GEOFFREY RYAN ULRICH
 RUSSELL A. UNDERWOOD
 DAVID B. UNDEUTSCH
 CASEY L. UTTERBACK
 JAMIEL VADDELL
 ANDREW J. VAIL
 MARKYVES J. VALENTIN
 JOSEPH S. VALENTINO
 GREGORY K. VAN DYK
 ERIC M. VAN VELDHUIZEN
 LEAH B. VANAGAS
 PETER A. VANAGAS
 DAVID J. VANASSCHE
 MICHAEL JOSEPH VANDERHYDE
 DAVID E. VANDEVOORDE
 MATTHEW J. VANGILDER
 GREGG LEA VANSPLUNDER
 JASON C. VAP
 COURTNEY P. VARGAS
 JEFFREY M. VASS
 KRISALYN J. VAUGHN
 DANIEL MOISES VEGA
 EMANUELL VEGA
 CHATEAU K. VENABLE
 PETER J. VENTRES, JR.
 HUGO J. VIA
 GREGORY A. VICE
 MATTHEW BENJAMIN VICKERS
 BRIAN M. VIZZONE
 JEREMY A. VOIGT
 MICHAEL A. VOLKERDING
 JUSTIN D. VONHOFE
 ADRIANNA M. VORDERBRUGGEN
 DREW T. J. VOSS
 NATHAN P. VOSTERS
 JAMIE L. WAALER
 CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL WADDELL
 KRISTOPHER L. WAECHTER
 SHANNON J. WAGNER
 ROBI M. WAINWRIGHT
 ADAM N. WAITE
 JASON T. WALDMAN
 RYAN G. WALINSKI
 VICTOR G. WALK
 ANDREW P. WALKER
 EDWARD Y. WALKER
 JASON DOUGLAS WALKER
 KRISTAL M. WALKER
 VIRGINIA S. WALKER
 JAMES A. WALL
 ANDREW P. WALLACE
 JOHN D. WALLACE
 JONATHAN C. WALLER
 KEVIN WALSH

BROOKS M. WALTERS
 DANIEL P. WALTERS
 DREW M. WALTERS
 MICHAEL C. WALTERS
 ANDRE M. WALTON
 LILLIAN J. WALTON
 DIRK J. WARD
 TRACY L. WARD
 LAUREN A. WARE
 LAWRENCE C. WARE
 MICHAEL L. WARE
 TIFFANY N. WARE
 RYAN B. WARTMAN
 DAVID A. WASHINGTON
 ZACHARY R. WATERMAN
 JOSHUA CHRISTMAN WATKINS
 WILLIAM J. WATKINS
 KEVIN R. WATRY
 JONATHAN N. WATSON
 JOSEPH A. WATSON
 TODD MICHAEL WATSON
 KEVIN L. WATTS
 CHRISTINA A. WAYNE
 DUSTEN R. WEATHERS
 BRIAN RICHARD WEBB
 JASON D. WEBB
 MICHAEL L. WEBBER
 NICHOLAS R. WEBER
 BRIAN E. WEBSTER
 CHRISTOPHER J. WEDEWER
 AARON W. WEEDMAN
 SHILO M. WEIR
 JOHN A. WEISS, JR.
 MICHAEL T. WEITZ
 CHRISTOPHER M. WELCH
 KEVIN P. WELCH
 WILLIAM D. WELDON
 JAY P. WELKER
 KURT H. WELLHAUSEN
 GARY E. WELLS
 KEVIN M. WELLS
 PAUL T. WELTER
 PAUL R. WEME
 GREGORY SCOTT WEMHOFF
 HEATHER A. WEMPE
 DANIELLE D. WEMYSS
 MATTHEW J. WEMYSS
 BRANDON D. WENGERT
 JODEN A. WERLIN
 MARCELINA B. WERNER
 MATTHEW W. WERNER
 JIMMY T. WEST
 KELLY WEST
 LATASHA NICOLE WEST
 ROBERT E. WEST
 JAMES T. WESTFALL
 JACOB M. WESTWOOD
 PHILLIP A. WHEELER
 CHRISTINE L. WHELAN
 MARK D. WHISLER
 COLLIE BARTOW WHITAKER IV
 GEOFFREY N. WHITAKER
 JONATHAN L. WHITAKER
 MARK RYAN WHITAKER
 BENJAMIN BRUCE WHITE
 BRANDON C. WHITE
 JOSHUA T. WHITE
 KEITH S. WHITE
 KEVIN D. WHITE
 KEVIN E. WHITE
 MARCUS J. WHITE
 THOMAS D. WHITE
 TIM RAY WHITELOCK
 MELVIN C. WHITLOW, JR.
 JONATHAN L. WHITTAKER
 ADAM R. WICKES
 BRYAN K. WICKS
 DANIEL PHILLIP WIESNER
 JASON M. WILBUR
 MATTHEW S. WILCOXEN
 JOSHUA D. WILD
 NICOLE N. WILEY
 BRIAN A. WILKEN
 BROOKS A. WILKERSON
 FONTEZ L. WILKERSON
 BARRY D. WILLARD
 ADAM E. WILLIAMS
 DAVID S. WILLIAMS
 FLORA E. WILLIAMS
 FORREST C. WILLIAMS
 JUDITH EVE SHANI WILLIAMS
 JUSTIN J. WILLIAMS
 KEVIN CHARLES WILLIAMS, JR.
 MICHELLE LYNN WILLIAMS
 ROBERT A. WILLIAMS
 WILLIAM C. WILLIAMS II
 GRAHAM C. WILLIFORD
 DANIEL CLYDE WILLIS
 WARD G. WILLIS
 GARLAND W. WILMOTH
 ALISON R. WILSON
 ANDREW G. WILSON
 CARL B. WILSON
 CHIRIGA O. WILSON
 DAVID C. WILSON
 DAVID J. WILSON
 FREDRICK A. WILSON
 GARRETT A. WILSON
 JONATHAN W. WILSON
 KEVIN D. WILSON
 KURTIS IAN WILSON
 NEAL M. WILSON

RICHARD N. WINFREY, JR.
 CHRISTOPHER L. WINKLEPLECK
 BRYAN W. WINNINGHAM
 ANTHONY R. WISE
 NICHOLAS G. WISNEWSKI
 WARREN ERIC WITHROW
 DAVID J. WITT
 ROBIN E. WITT
 JAMES DANIEL WOJNAREK
 BENJAMIN G. WOLAK
 DAVID A. WOLF
 KRISTOPHER S. WOLFRAM
 JAMES M. WOLONGEVICZ
 PATRICK WOLVERTON
 RYAN T. WONG
 JOHN J. P. WONNUM
 CHRISTOPHER C. WOOD
 JAMES P. WOODALL, JR.
 SCOTT C. WOODBREY
 THOMAS E. WOODRING
 JASON LEWIS WOODRUFF
 ELIZABETH ADRIENNE WOODS
 PAUL A. WOODS
 ABRAM M. WOODY
 GREGORY A. WOOLEY
 JOHN E. WORLEY
 SCOTT P. WUENSTEL
 WILLIAM L. WUNSCHER
 LAWRENCE WYATT, JR.
 STEVEN J. WYMORE
 AARON M. YAGER
 JOSEPH E. YAKUBIK
 VUE YANG
 JAMES L. YEATES
 ALAN YEE
 IAN A. YELLIN
 CHRISTOPHER W. YENGO
 DANIEL PHILIP YERRINGTON
 ILKYU P. YIM
 IAN M. YOUNG
 JAMES R. YOUNG
 JARED A. YOUNG
 KEITH A. YOUNG
 MICHAEL D. YOUNG
 SARAH M. YOUNG
 TODD E. YOUNG
 DANIELLE R. YOUNGBERG
 BENJAMIN D. YOUNGQUIST
 MINDY A. YU
 GRETCHEN M. YULE
 PETER D. YULE
 PAULL YUZAPAVIK
 MATTHEW D. ZAKRI
 KARENA K. ZALOUDEK
 JOSE L. ZAMBRANO
 ARIC L. ZEESE
 RYAN A. ZEITLER
 BAI L. ZHU
 GREG M. ZICKEFOOSE
 MARGARET I. ZIELINKO
 CHRISTOPHER R. ZIELINSKI
 JOSHUA S. ZIEMAK
 AARON J. ZOLNA
 MICHAEL ZORIJ
 JEFFREY T. ZURICK

IN THE ARMY

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICERS FOR APPOINTMENT
 TO THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES ARMY
 UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 624:

To be major

CHARLES M. ABEYAWARDENA
 CHRISTINA R. ACOJEDO
 DUNCAN B. ADAMS
 JONATHAN M. ADAMS
 ROBERT N. ADAMS
 RONALD W. ADAMS, JR.
 SHANE AGUERO
 AYE K. AGYEI
 SABRE M. AJYEMAN
 MELISSA J. ALBAUGH
 JARED J. ALBRIGHT
 DAVID A. ALFARO
 NASSER ALI
 MICHAEL A. ALLARD
 DEAN P. ALLEN
 JASON E. ALLEN
 NATHANIEL A. ALLEN
 SORSBY M. ALSTON
 JEFFREY T. ANDERSON
 TODD A. ANDERSON
 STEPHEN C. ANG
 ROMAE M. ARAUD
 JIMMY ARCHARGE
 KENNETH O. ARCHBOLD
 CRYSTAL D. ARMSTRONG
 MICHAEL L. ARNER
 BRYCE N. ASAGI
 MICHAEL E. ASTIN
 DIANICA L. ATKINS
 MARK N. AWAD
 BRIAN C. BABCOCKLUMISH
 TOMIKO BALLARD
 ERIC A. BALOUGH
 ADAM M. BANCROFT
 JAY T. BAO
 NOLAN J. BARCO
 MICHAEL W. BARKER
 BRIAN R. BARNES
 ROBERT A. BARRY
 ROBERT C. BARTON

CLIFTON D. BASS
 JOHN A. BAUMANN
 BRIAN A. BEAM
 NICHOLAS J. BECK
 JONATHAN H. BECKMANN
 ROBERT T. BELIE
 MITCHAEAL R. BELOTE
 STEVEN R. BELTZ
 TIMOTHY M. BENNETT
 PHILIP R. BERRY II
 MAYA C. BEST
 DAVID R. BIRIE
 JAMES C. BIRK
 JONATHAN E. BISSELL
 RAYMOND W. BLAINE
 CHRISTOPHER J. BLANK
 CHRISTOPHER M. BLUHM
 BENJAMIN C. BOEKESTEIN
 ELIZABETH A. BOITANO
 TANGALA M. BOOTH
 JOEL M. BORKERT
 JAMES A. BORST
 CRAIG M. BOUCHER
 CHRISTOPHER O. BOWERS
 CLAYTON D. BOWERS
 JASON R. BOWERS
 SONYA A. BOWMAN
 CATHERINE G. BOYLSTON
 RORY P. BRADFORD
 CHRISTOPHER G. BRADKE
 DAVID H. BRADLEY
 ANDRE J. BRADY
 BRADFORD M. BRANNON
 ERIN K. BRASWELL
 ROBERT D. BRAUGHTON
 LENNY T. BRAZZLE
 JAMES L. BREDEMAN
 DANNY J. BREWER
 JEFFREY J. BRIZEK
 CALEB S. BROWN
 RICHARD F. BROWN
 STEWART N. BROWN
 JAMES D. BROWNE, JR.
 CARRIE A. BRUNNER
 LAURA C. BUDDEMEYER
 DWIGHT O. BULLARD
 KENNETH R. BULTHUIS
 TRENT B. BUNNELL, SR.
 BRIAN E. BUSZINSKI
 KARL BUTLER, JR.
 MICHELLE A. BYRON
 PHILIP M. CALA
 KEITH J. CALDWELL
 ROBERT H. CALLAHAN
 LESLIE CAMACHO
 MATTHEW J. CAMEL
 STEPHEN J. CAMERON
 MATTHEW D. CAMPBELL
 NIKOLAY N. CAMPBELL III
 THOMAS G. CAMPBELL III
 JOSE M. CAMPOS
 GABRIEL CAMPUZANO
 RAYMOND C. CANZONIER
 ANDREW M. CARRIGAN
 ROGER A. CARVAJAL
 JERROLD D. CASTRO
 MATTHEW L. CAVANAUGH
 ROBERT M. CHAMBERLAIN
 ANDRUS W. CHANEY
 KEION A. CHARLES
 JAMES T. CHASE
 SEAN M. CHASE
 JOSEPH L. CHAVES
 SUNNY S. CHEN
 INURELL CHESTER
 MONIQUE M. CHETCUTI
 MICHAEL J. CHILDS
 SAPRIYA CHILDS
 JASON J. CHOI
 PETER S. CHOI
 KIP M. CHOJNACKI
 ANTHONY W. CLARK
 BARRY L. CLARK
 PATRICK D. CLARY
 ADAM T. CLEMENTS
 ZACHARY G. COLE
 BRIAN H. COLLINS
 ERIC A. COLLINS
 JAMES B. COLLINS
 JENNIFER G. COLLINS
 ALBERT CONLEY III
 JEREMY C. CONNER
 CHRISTOPHER A. CONNOR
 ANTONIO L. CONYERS
 THOMAS J. COOK
 JACOB T. COOL
 JAMES D. COONFIELD III
 MATTHEW C. COOPER
 NOAH B. COOPER
 ZACHARIAH A. CORKE
 JAMES E. COTNER, JR.
 SETH T. COTTRELL
 CORY J. COUSINS
 DIRK P. CRAWFORD
 MATTHEW A. CRAWFORD
 STEVEN R. CREWS
 CATHERINE B. CROMBIE
 JAMES A. CRUMP
 BRIAN C. CRUMPTON
 RIVERA E. CRUZ
 MEGHAN L. CUMPSTON
 CHARLES E. CUTLIP

KOREY K. CYPRIAN
 TAMMY J. CZAPLA
 JOSHUA P. DAILEY
 ERIKA D. DARRINGTON
 EVERETTA J. DAVIS
 GREGORY S. DAVIS
 LATRICE Y. DAVIS
 RODNEY L. DAVIS
 ROGER S. DAVIS
 RYAN C. DAVIS
 SAMUEL R. DAVIS
 CHRISTOPHER P. DEAN
 TRISTAN P. DEBORD
 ANDREW W. DECKER
 WENDY K. DEDMOND
 DOMINICK L. DEFEBE
 JEFFREY B. DEMAREST
 PARSANA DEOKI
 RYAN M. DERRICK
 DAVID W. DEVIN
 RUDY DIAZSIERRA
 CHRISTOPHER M. DICKINSON
 DAVID DILLY
 ADAM N. DIPETRILLO
 AGUSTIN E. DOMINGUEZ
 VELEKA L. DOUGLAS
 JERON DUHART
 JASON J. DUMSER
 NICKOLAS A. DUNCAN
 WILLIAM R. DUNCAN
 ADAM J. DYKSTRA
 TIMOTHY J. EASTMAN
 GREGORY C. EDGREEN
 CHRISTOPHER R. EHLERS
 MATTHEW H. ELLETT
 PATRICK R. ELLIOTT
 BRIAN F. ELLIS
 SHARON D. ELMORE
 CARMEN V. ELSTON
 JOEL G. ELSTON
 PETRUS J. ENGELBRECHT
 JON V. ERICKSON
 JAIME A. ESPEJO
 MORRIS K. ESTEP
 MARCUS T. EVANS
 JORDON T. EVERS
 ISAAC J. FABER
 JOEL M. FELTZ
 GARRET D. FETT
 MATTHEW T. FETTERMAN
 EDWARD A. FIGUEROA
 ERIC W. FINCH
 RODERICK D. FISHER
 BRENDAN T. FITZPATRICK
 MICHAEL FLEISCHMANN
 ANGEL FLORES
 MICHAEL B. FOGARTY
 ROBERT A. FORE
 JASON A. FOREMAN
 ANDREW J. FORNEY
 JOSEPH R. FOUST
 DAVID J. FRANKEL
 WALTER J. FRAZIER, JR.
 JABULANI H. FULLER
 RAY E. GABRIEL
 JOSEPH GAINNEY
 SIMMOA M. GALOIA
 COREY D. GAMBLE
 MICHAEL D. GAMBONE
 MICHAEL W. GANSLER
 JOSE M. GARCIA
 JOSEPH M. GARCIA
 TIMOTHY R. GARLAND
 JASON L. GARNEAU
 NATHANIEL A. GARZA
 JOSH A. GAVRILOV
 JONATHAN A. GENDRON
 RONALD G. GEORGE
 LAMARL S. GERALD
 EDWARD O. GHELARDINI II
 ARGENTINA A. GIBSON
 CHAD M. GILBERT
 CHRISTOPHER D. GILBERT
 ROB C. GLEGHORN
 PETE J. GODBEY
 SHAWN GOLDWIRE
 ERIC J. GOLTRY
 LYHOMAR GONZALEZ
 CHRISTOPHER D. GOODRICH
 ELLIS Z. GORDON
 CLARENCE K. GRAHAM
 EDWARD B. GRAHAM
 DAVID W. GRAMLING
 DELMAR F. GRAVES
 CHERYL L. GRAY
 RUSSELL A. GRAY
 MATTHEW A. GREB
 MARK A. GREEN
 VERNON D. GREER
 NICHOLAS E. GREGOIRE
 ROBERT T. GREINER
 HOLLY Y. GREY
 JAMEELAH P. GROVER
 ADAM C. GROW
 JOSE R. GUANDIQUE
 DAVID E. GUILLES
 ERIC W. HAAGENSTAD
 SAMUEL K. HAINES
 JAMES W. HALE
 MITCHELL C. HALE IV
 CARTER J. HALFMAN
 LEAH B. HALLER

VALIANT A. HALLER
 WILLIAM M. HAMILTON
 BRIAN R. HANSEN
 TODD J. HARKRADER
 KATREINA D. HARMON
 DWAYNE D. HARMS
 JOSEPH F. HARRINGTON
 WILLIE B. HARRIS, JR.
 GLENROY HASKINS
 AMANDA J. HATCH
 ADAM C. HAUPT
 DORIS J. HAYNES
 MATTHEW B. HAYNES
 DARTANION J. HAYWARD
 JACQUELINE L. HEARNE
 ANDREW H. HENDERSON
 CHRISTOPHER R. HENRY
 MARK E. HERBERT
 THOMAS C. HERNANDEZ
 DONNA M. HESS
 JOHN L. HEWITT III
 LACHANDRA P. HILLIARD
 JASON M. HITE
 WILLIAM D. HOBBS
 JOHN M. HOGAN
 CHERRY A. HOLLENSTEINER
 BRIAN HOLLOWAY
 JUSTIN M. HORGAN
 MATTHEW J. HORTON
 JARED T. HOWARD
 ANDREW P. HUBBARD
 BARRY A. HUMPHREY
 SHARONDA E. HUMPHREY
 DAVID J. HUMPHREYS
 DAMON M. HUNT
 CALVIN K. HUTTO
 MALIDA A. IHEKWAOABA
 BRIAN T. IKE
 VICTOR A. JAFFETT
 SEAN M. JAHR
 GREGORY A. JENEMANN
 CODY W. JENSEN
 SAKINAH A. JEWETT
 CHRISTOPHER C. JHONES
 JEFFREY R. JOHNSON
 PETER JOHNSON
 SHAWNDA R. JOHNSON
 JEROME F. JOHNSTON
 LEON S. JOHNSTON
 KIRYENSKI A. JONES
 LATONYA JONES
 WILLIE D. JUMPER III
 KENTON E. JUSTICE
 GEORGE S. KAUFER
 ROSS L. KAPLAN
 ALEXANDER S. KASARDA
 MATTHEW P. KASKY
 PETER J. KATZFEY
 GARRETT J. KAYE
 GARY L. KELLY
 EVELYN M. KEMPE
 JOHN A. KENDALL
 LOGAN J. KERSCHNER
 CHRISTOPHER E. KETZ
 MICHAEL S. KILLALY
 JASON J. KIM
 SON H. KIM
 SUNG H. KIM
 JOHN W. KIMBALL
 MATHSTO KINGSADA
 ROSS S. KINKHEAD
 NASHUMA R. KNIGHT
 KEVIN M. KNOWLEN
 SUN G. KOH
 MATTHEW A. KOHLER
 RYAN J. KOLOVITZ
 JEFFRY T. KOONTZ
 KIP E. KOWALSKI
 JOHN F. KRIGBAUM
 EVA M. KRIVICKAS
 JEFFREY A. KUECHENMEISTER
 RHANA S. KURDI
 DANIEL S. LACARIA
 THOMAS M. LACY
 SEAN W. LAMBERT
 ANDRUS J. LANDRY
 KENT B. LANE
 NICHOLAS A. LANE
 MARIA M. LANFOR
 ANDREW D. LANTZ
 ERIK R. LARSEN
 JASON C. LATELLA
 QUOC B. LE
 FAITH E. LEE
 LAWRENCE R. LEE
 MICHAEL G. LEE
 MICHAEL S. LEE
 KRISTIAN K. LEIBFARTH
 JOHNATHAN L. LEMING
 JOSE M. LEON, JR.
 SANDY A. LEPPERT
 MATTHEW W. LERCH
 STEVEN E. LEWENTOWICZ
 ERIK W. LEWIS
 ROBERT A. LIKINS III
 HOWARD C. LIM
 ROY A. LINKOUS, JR.
 TILISHA C. LOCKLEY
 SVEN A. LONDON
 RYAN W. LOOMIS
 JOHN W. LORD
 JEANJACQUES LOUIS

ANDREW E. LOVEJOY
 DEREK K. LOVELAND
 JASON O. LUCKEY
 AUSTIN C. LY
 MARCO J. LYONS
 ANDREW T. MAAS
 SCOTT V. MACDONALD
 BLAKE N. MACK
 SEAN M. MADDEN
 BARRY L. MADETZKE
 GEOFFREY D. MANGUS
 WENDY W. MANNING
 BRITT T. MANOR
 DONNA M. MANRAGH
 TRAVIS J. MAPLES
 ESTHER S. MARCELLA
 MARK MARDER
 JAMES A. MARKHAM
 RODNEY D. MARTIN
 FEDERICO MARTINEZ II
 WILLIAM P. MASON
 EDWARD M. MASOTTI, JR.
 GERALD A. MATHIS
 TIMOTHY P. MAUNTLER
 NATHAN L. MAY
 MITCHELL A. MCCANN
 SCOTT W. MCCARTHY
 JOHN B. MCCARTNEY
 MICHAEL G. MCCLURE
 JEREMY A. MCHUGH
 IRVIN M. MCCLAUGHLIN
 JAMES H. MCMILLIAN, JR.
 MARK A. MCNAMARA
 SEAN C. MCNICHOLO
 KEVIN A. MCQUEARY
 ROBERT E. MEDINA
 JENNIFER E. MEDLEY
 CELINA N. MEDRANO
 BRIAN E. MEKINS
 WILLIAM MERCUCI
 MATTHEW T. MESSERSCHMITT
 DEWEY M. MILLER
 ERIC A. MILLER
 THOMAS D. MINER
 KELLY F. MITCHELL
 STACY D. MITCHELL
 TIMOTHY B. MITCHELL
 LUCAS A. MORALES
 JACOB M. MORANO
 JOSE A. MORENO
 JENNIFER M. MORRIS
 JOHN F. MORRIS
 ALFRED A. MULLIKEN III
 DOUGLAS J. MULVANEY
 KURT W. MUNIZ
 JUAN P. MURILLO
 BRENDAN P. MURPHY
 BETTY P. MYRTHIL
 WILLIAM W. NALL
 CASEY L. NAPUTI
 TRACEY C. NASH
 CHRISTOPHER D. NEYMAN
 WALLACE C. NICHOLSON
 JENNIFER L. NIHILL
 WILLIAM J. NISIEWICZ
 MICHAEL D. NORTON
 ANITA M. NUTTER
 ANDREW F. OBRIEN
 RICK A. OEHLHOF
 JONES B. OFUASIA
 JAMES M. OGLETTREE
 KATHERINE M. OGLETTREE
 CHRISTOPHER F. OLIVER
 ERIK W. OLSEN
 MARCUS D. ONEAL
 JAMES N. ONG
 FERGAL J. OREILLY
 JOSEPH M. ORTIZ
 DEIVID N. ORTIZRAMOS
 JOHN V. OTTE
 DETRICK L. OUSBY
 DONALD R. OWENS, JR.
 ROBERT B. PADGETT
 RAUL E. PADILLA
 JEFFREY T. PAINTER
 SEONG S. PAK
 DANE D. PALMER
 STEVEN L. PARKER
 ROBERT C. PARMENTER
 ERIC L. PARTRIDGE
 SCOTT A. PATTON
 KATHRYN K. PEGUES
 MARK B. PEMBERTON
 AHLON K. PEOPLES
 JUAN J. PEREZTORRES
 DAVID J. PETERSON
 DOUGLAS V. PETERSON
 CRAIG W. PETRICK
 TOBIAS S. PETROS
 JOSIAH D. PICKETT
 ADAM O. PIERCE
 ANGLIQUE A. PIFER
 DEREK K. PING
 ASHLEIGH B. PIPES
 CHRISTOPHER D. PISKAI
 ERIC D. PITTMAN
 DARIEN M. PITTS
 CARLOS A. PLATA, JR.
 RICHARD J. POIRIER
 SCOTT C. POLASEK
 BERNARD R. PORTER II
 MAYDELINE G. PORTILLO

THOMAS S. POWELL
 ROBERT D. PRESLEY
 HAROLD E. PRICE
 JOSEPH E. PRICE
 TED D. PRICE
 CHAD A. PROPST
 ANDREW S. PRUETT
 JOSEPH J. PRUITT
 MANOJ T. PUTHENPARAMPIL
 BENJAMIN L. QUIMBY
 CASEY L. RAMIREZ
 ANDREW S. RAMOS
 ARNALDO I. RAMOSGUZMAN
 CHRISTOPHER L. RAPP
 DAVID RAY
 CHRISTOPHER S. READ
 JASON G. REED
 REGINAL C. REMLEY
 GUADALUPE RESENDEZ, JR.
 ROBERT G. RHODES
 HOWARD G. RICE
 KENNETH C. RICH
 NATHAN A. RIEDEL
 YAZMIN RIOS
 RODNEY O. ROBERSON
 JEREMY S. ROCKWELL
 IVAN T. ROCOURT
 JOHN P. RODER
 GERARDO RODRIGUEZ
 HARLEY RODRIGUEZ
 JOSHUA L. RODRIGUEZ
 MICHAEL G. ROE
 WALLACE A. ROHRER
 JOHN M. ROSE
 DOUGLAS J. ROSS
 EVELYN ROSS
 MATTHEW H. RUFF
 SHAWN P. RUSSELL
 JASON R. SABOVICH
 ROBERTREL A. SACHI
 JOHN A. SACKMAN
 ANTONIO SALAZAR, JR.
 EDDIE N. SANCHEZ
 CRAIG A. SANDERS
 JOSEPH O. SANDERS
 WAYNE A. SANDERS
 SELMER C. SANTOS
 JEREMY L. SAUER
 CHRISTOPHER K. SCATES
 FRANCIS X. SCHAFER
 ROSS T. SCHEINBAUM
 ANDREW G. SCHLESSINGER
 MATTHEW J. SCHLOSSER
 CHARLES D. SCHWAB
 CORY N. SCOTT
 GEORGE A. SELLER
 SHIRWEN C. SEPARA
 PAULO A. SHAKARIAN
 BRADLEY A. SHEARER
 RYAN C. SHEERAN
 DAVID S. SHEPHERD
 KRISTEN M. SHIFRIN
 E. RAY SHISLER
 MABRY L. SHOKES
 MATTHEW R. SHOWN
 DAVID F. SIDMAN
 CHRISTOPHER P. SIGNORE
 DHRAMEN P. SINGH
 EMIRO M. SINNING
 OLIVER SIQUEIRA
 ELLIS H. SMITH II
 HARVEY C. SMITH III
 JOHN H. SMITH
 JOSHUA J. SMITH
 KESHIA SMITH
 SCOTT J. SMITH
 TROY D. SMITH
 RICKY SNELL
 ROBERT G. SNYDER
 ROBERT L. SNYDER
 ANDREA R. SO
 SHEILA D. SOILEAU
 BRIAN N. SOUTER
 FRANCIS X. SPERL III
 RAFAL H. STACHOWSKI
 LAURA E. STANLEY
 SHANNA M. STANN
 JAMES K. STARLING
 JONATHAN J. STEIGLER
 JEFFREY A. STEINLAGE
 JAY D. STERRETT III
 JAN A. STEWART
 JULIE M. STOCK
 ANDREW P. STRINGER
 DORAN R. STROUSE
 JOHN B. STUBBS
 PETER P. STUDEBAKER
 GABRIEL M. SUAREZ
 PETER K. SULEWSKI
 TERESA A. SWANSON
 WILLIAM D. SWENSON
 DAMIAN R. TAAFEMCMENAMY
 CURTIS M. TAYLOR
 SEANNERY J. TENNIMON
 JAMES C. TETERS II
 TRAVIS R. THEBEAU
 BILL S. THOMAS
 JOSHUA F. THOMAS
 MICHAEL J. THOMAS
 SPENCER T. TIMMONS
 BRIAN W. TINKLEPAUGH
 FELIX G. TORRES

KEVIN J. TOTH
 MELISSA TOVAR
 BRADLEY R. TOWNSEND
 NATHAN A. TRUCKENBROD
 KIRILL A. TSEKANOVSKIY
 DEREK L. TURESON
 JOHN J. ULSAMER
 MARK B. VANGELDER
 TAMARA B. VANHOOSEPALL
 MARK E. VANHORN
 ALEX VERSHININ
 AARON T. VEVASIS
 TODD M. VICK
 JONALD C. VITTO
 ZACHARY R. VOGT
 WILLIAM H. WAGGY II
 DANIEL M. WAGNER
 MARTIN E. WAKEFIELD
 ISAAC M. WALDON
 MATTHEW P. WALTER
 RUSSELL W. WALTER
 STEVEN D. WALTERS
 LARRY D. WALTON
 BRIAN A. WARD
 RICHARD G. WARD, JR.
 ALEXANDER E. WARING
 ADRIENNE L. WATSON
 JOHN M. WEATHERLY
 AMANDA R. WEBB
 SOLON D. WEBB
 KEVIN J. WEBER
 CHARLES T. WEEKLEY
 MATTHEW T. WEHRI
 ERICK A. WELBORN
 CHRISTOPHER M. WELLS
 CHRISTOPHER P. WELSH
 CREYONTA N. WEST
 MICKEY M. WEST
 JONATHAN E. WESTBROOK
 CHAD W. WEYHRAUCH
 WILLIAM S. WHEELER
 ROMONA D. WHETSTONE
 DONALD S. WHIFFEN II
 MICHAEL T. WHITE
 PAUL M. WHITE
 CHRISTY L. WHITFIELD
 DOMINICK J. WILKINSON
 ANDREW WILLIAMS
 DUANE M. WILLIAMS
 EUGENE U. WILLIAMS
 RAIMOND G. WILLIS
 DERECK K. WILSON
 JASON S. WIMBERLY
 ANTHONY J. WINGFIELD
 ADAM M. WINOGRAD
 LANCE A. WINTERS
 ROBB W. WITTE
 STEVEN W. WOJDAKOWSKI
 EDWARD R. WOOD
 GRAHAM D. WOOD
 KEITH A. WOODBURN
 CHARLES G. WOODRUFF III
 MICHAEL G. WOTRUBA
 KENNETH E. WRIGHT
 KLARA WRIGHT
 TIMOTHY J. WYANT
 JAMES T. YARBOROUGH
 JOHN C. YUNGBLUTH III
 RICHARD J. ZERBST
 BRADLEY J. ZIMMER
 CHARLES R. ZIPPERER, JR.

TOBY A. BIRDSSELL
 SARAH BISCIAOUDEN
 DAVONNE L. BIVINS
 FORREST L. BLACK
 LISA D. BLACK
 JANET A. BLAIR
 MICHAEL J. BLANTON
 ALICIA R. BODIEHENRY
 ADAM T. BOHLEN
 JASON P. BOOK
 WANDA L. BOOTH
 BRYAN J. BOYEA
 SIDNEY N. BRADY
 ADAM T. BRADFORD
 KEYANTE M. BRADSHAW
 MATTHEW S. BROCIUS
 FELICIA S. BROOKS
 JAMARCUS A. BROOKS
 RONALD P. BROSIUS
 BRIDGETTE N. BROWN
 DREWRY L. BROWN
 PACE E. BROWN
 ROBERT M. BROWN
 JASON R. BRUNO
 ADRIAN L. BRYAN
 RICHARD T. BRYANT
 EVAN H. BUCKLER
 JEFFREY W. BUCKNER
 SEDRIC P. BURRELL
 TIMOTHY L. BUTLER
 PAUL E. CACCIA
 MATTHEW C. CALHOUN
 CARLOS A. CAMACHO
 AMANDA S. CAMARANO
 TOMAS F. CAMPBELL
 LUIS E. CARABALLO
 ANGEL M. CARDENAS
 RODEN A. CARRIDO
 CHRISTOPHER L. CARTER
 RIAN M. CARTER
 TYONNE D. CARTER
 THOMAS A. CARVER
 STEPHEN C. CHENG
 EDDIE CHEW IV
 YEONG M. CHOI
 EVERT Y. CHUNG
 SHAWN M. CHUQUINN
 EDWIN A. CHURCHILL II
 ELVIN L. CIN'TRON
 JAY C. COATS
 RILEY P. COFFEY
 MATTHEW P. COFFMAN
 SHANDA L. COFIELD
 HUGH H. COLEMAN III
 DIANE M. COLLIVER
 RICARDO COLONACEVEDO
 REGINA COOK
 TERRIEL R. COOKE
 JERRY T. COOPER
 MARSHALL E. COOPER
 BARBARA P. COOTE
 MARTIN J. CORONADO
 MARWIN Z. CORTEZ
 CHARLES H. COSTELLO
 JUTANE M. CRAIGG
 MICHAEL A. CRAIGG
 KIMBERLY M. CULVER
 ISAAC V. CUTHBERTSON
 SHAWN O. DANIEL
 ALBERT W. DAVIS
 COREY J. DAVIS
 JOSEPH H. DAVIS
 TOMMY C. DAVIS
 LISA A. DEAN
 DARLA D. DEAUVEARO
 RUDY L. DELAROSA
 AMALIO DELEON, JR.
 SEAN E. DEMPSEY
 JEMOTT DENNARD
 SUSAN M. DEPIESSTYER
 JOAQUIN H. DEQUINTANAROO
 JUSTIN M. DEVANTIER
 MAYRA I. DIAZ
 ROBERT DIAZ
 DEREK J. DIBELLO
 JOHN R. DICKENS
 STANLEY L. DIEHL II
 MICHAEL P. DIETZ
 CHARLES M. DIGGS
 DAVID J. DIXON
 LEE W. DOGGETT
 SEAN P. DONOVAN
 HEATHER D. DORAN
 ROBERT B. DOSPOY
 DAMIAN E. DOUGLAS
 CORBY R. DUNCAN
 LATOYA D. DUNHAM
 DALLAS M. DUNN III
 BRANDY M. EASTEP
 DAVID G. EASTER
 ALEX D. ECHANIQUE
 THERESA A. ECHEVARRIA
 MARLON U. ELBELAU
 JENNIFER E. FERGUSON
 EARL R. FIELDS
 JOEEN FIGUEROARODRIGUEZ
 CRYSTALYN D. FILLMORE
 DAVID D. FITTRO
 GUSTAVO FLORES
 JON A. FLORES
 WALTER E. FLOYD
 SHELIA R. FOGARTY

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICERS FOR APPOINTMENT
 TO THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES ARMY
 UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTION 624:

To be major

LISA M. ABEL
 ELLIOTT ACEVEDO
 GABRIEL ACOSTA
 KENDALL P. ADAMS
 ELIZABETH L. ALEXANDER
 MARISSA A. ALEXANDER
 CHARLES C. ALLEN
 JAMES P. ALLEN
 JASON A. ALLEN
 JORGE ALMODOVAR
 DAVID M. ALVAREZ
 MATTHEW T. AMDELL
 DARYL L. ANDERSON, SR.
 HEIDI E. ANDERSON
 JARMARLE O. ARNOLD
 FIDEL ARVELO
 VON P. ASTUDILLO
 BRIAN H. ASTWOOD
 DAMON L. AUGUSTINE
 GREGORIO AYALA
 KATHERINE J. BAKER
 ULRIKE BANKS
 JEANICE A. BARNAS
 WILLIAM R. BENNETT
 KEVIN R. BENTZ
 FRANK J. BERLINGIS
 ROBERT D. BEST
 CONSUELA L. BEVERLY

MARK A. FOLKERTS
 SARAH L. FORSTER
 DAVID J. FORSYTH
 JACKIE L. FORTENBERRY
 KRISTIE A. FOSTER
 ALAN R. FOWLER
 SYMONE D. FRANKLIN
 WILLIAM E. FRY
 RYAN A. FRYE
 SAMANTHA J. GADDIS
 JOSEPH J. GALLEGOS
 DANIEL M. GALLOWAY
 ANDREW GARCIA IV
 PABLO M. GARCIA
 HANEDA L. GARNER
 THOMAS G. GARNER III
 WILLIE W. GARRIS
 COURTNEY J. GARY
 KATRINA M. GAWLIK
 JAMES C. GEORGE
 TRINA M. GIBBS
 DEJUAN E. GILBERT
 RYAN K. GODBEE
 DANIEL S. GONZALES
 FERMIN GONZALES, JR.
 VICTOR J. GONZALEZORTIZ
 JEROME C. GOODRICH, JR.
 TARICA L. GORDONZACHERY
 YOLANDA D. GORE
 DENNIS O. GRAVES
 ROBERT I. GRAY
 RODERICK S. GRAY
 SEAN M. GREEN
 JONATHAN R. GREGORY
 LEON K. GRISSETT, SR.
 GREGORY W. GROSSMAN
 JOHN G. GUBITOSI
 MATTHEW D. HAISTINGS
 CASSANDRA D. HALL
 DAVID J. HANKINS
 JEFFREY D. HANNAH
 TODD A. HANZES
 CHRISTOPHER G. HARRIS
 JONATHAN I. HARRIS
 TIFFANY P. HARRIS
 CHRISTI A. HAUKE
 ARON T. HAUQUITZ
 ROBERT J. HEATHERLY
 BERNARD T. HELLRUNG
 AARON S. HELMS
 JEFFREY E. HENDEL
 RONALD J. HENLEY, JR.
 STACIE L. HEPLER
 ABEL HERNANDEZ
 JULIE A. HEWETT
 SHAHEED I. HICKMAN
 VINCENT K. HIGHLEY
 WARRICK L. HIGHTOWER
 BRANDON J. HILL
 VANESSA HILL
 TIMOTHY G. HILTON
 WESLEY J. HINKLEY
 JOHN P. HOLCOMBE
 MICHAEL D. HOPKINS
 MICHAEL E. HORKAY
 ERIC S. HORTON
 KERRY M. HOUCK
 GABRIEL H. HOWARD
 ROBERT C. HOWARD
 SHEILA L. HOWELL
 DANIELLE E. HOYLE
 PAUL C. HUBBARD
 SONIA I. HUERTAS
 JAMES M. HUGULEY
 JEREMIAH J. HULL
 ERIN J. HUMELSINE
 LONNIE E. HUMPHREY, JR.
 HWAJIN HURT
 KEVIN W. HUTCHESON
 JAMES T. IANITELLI
 SHAUN P. IBE
 BRENT R. IRISH
 ONWE R. IVORY
 INA S. JACKSON
 JEREMY W. JACKSON
 LARRY JACKSON, JR.
 MARTY L. JACKSON
 SCOTT T. JACKSON
 RICKY M. JANSEN
 JEFFREY L. JENNINGS
 KIMBERLEY M. JENNINGS
 RANDY B. JETER, SR.
 JESSIE N. JEUNE
 ALICIA J. JOHNSON
 DARREL L. JOHNSON
 JAMES S. JOHNSON
 JOSHUA M. JOHNSON
 SYLVIA D. JOHNSON
 TROY S. JOHNSON
 ANDREW R. JOHNSTON
 CHRISTOPHER M. JONES
 TYRONE JONES, JR.
 JOSHUA B. JORDAN
 MARCUS L. JORDAN
 ROBERT F. JORDAN
 DAVID D. KELLEY
 RICHARD S. KELLEY
 LEAH M. KENFIELD
 MEGAN J. KEUSS
 BRIAN B. KIBITLEWSKI
 KATARINA KING
 TIMOTHY G. KIRBY

ERIC P. KLEIN
 JULIE M. KLIMACH
 MICHAEL A. KLOBNAK
 JASON M. KNAPP
 JOSEPH E. KOBES
 ANDREW J. KOCSIS
 RYAN KOSOWSKY
 JESSICA R. KOVACH
 TERRANCE L. KRATZ III
 JAMES E. KYLE
 THOMAS J. KYNE II
 ADDISON F. LADIERO
 EDWARD B. LANCE, JR.
 ROBERT A. LANE, JR.
 ANGELA S. LAPE
 TODD A. LAUVRAY
 ANJEANETTE L. LAWSON
 QUINTON B. LEATH
 NAIM R. LEE
 CALEB A. LEWIS
 MARC A. LEWIS
 PAUL Z. LICATA
 MARIA A. LINDSEY
 KEVIN M. LINZEY
 JONATHAN D. LIPSCOMB
 BLAKE L. LITTLE
 RONALD G. LOCKLEAR
 CHRISTINA L. LOGAN
 OYYIF K. LOGAN
 NEFRATERIA S. LOVETT
 SHAUN P. LUCAS
 JOSEPH L. LUGHETTA
 KARL H. LUDEMAN
 JENNIFER A. LUDWICK
 BETH L. LUTHER
 HUNG T. LY
 PATRICK T. LYONS
 LASHANDA M. MACK
 RACHELLE M. MACON
 ANTHONY S. MAGGETT
 CHARLES C. MANNING
 SHANNON D. MARBURGER
 CHARLES L. MARKLEY
 TED A. MARLATT
 DAVID MARSHALL, JR.
 DEDRICK J. MARSHALL
 ALDRIC O. MARTIN
 GREGORIO MARTINEZCHAVEZ
 TERESA L. MARVIN
 ZORAIDA I. MATHER
 JOSHUA W. MATTHEWS
 BRADLEY M. MAY
 ROBERT A. MCCANDLESS
 JOSEPH J. MCCARTHY
 JARED A. MCCORMICK
 CLAIRE MCCULLISS
 JEFFREY T. MCCULLOUGH
 JONATHAN MCDUGAL
 RYAN W. MCEWAN
 JARRON C. MCGARRY
 JOHN F. MCGEE
 TERRENCE M. MCGEE
 MICHAEL B. MCGUIRE
 JAMES S. MCKENZIE
 CHRISTOPHER Q. MCKINDRA
 SCOTT P. MCLENDON
 HOWARD G. MCLYMONT
 CARPER H. MCMILLAN
 JOSE A. MEDINA
 KARIN R. MEINDL
 LEON A. MELTON
 FRANCISCO J. MENDOZA
 KEVIN H. MENSING
 KEVIN L. MERCER
 CARLOS J. MERINO
 DEMOND J. MERRICK
 MATTHEW D. MEYER
 TRISHA A. MEYER
 MICHAEL MEZA
 MAURICE A. MILES
 REBECCA A. MILKOWSKI
 ANTHONY S. MILLER
 KARMA A. MILLER
 MICHAEL J. MILLER
 STEPHEN E. MILLER
 ASHLEY T. MILLETT
 FRANCISCO J. MIRANDAZAYAS
 CARRIE A. MITCHELL
 JERRY D. MOIZE
 BERNARD K. MONROE
 MILTON A. MONTENEGRO
 BELINDA M. MOOD
 ADAM B. MOODIE
 LILLIU P. MOODY
 TERRY D. MOODY
 TIMOTHY S. MOON
 AVERY C. MOORE
 BRIAN W. MOORE
 TORRENCE D. MOORE
 WILTON MORALES
 SCOTT T. MORI
 MARLON M. MORMAN
 TIFFANY A. MORMAN
 CAREY L. MORROW
 JOANNA MOSBY
 JAMES T. MOSLEY, JR.
 PARKER S. MOYE
 WILLIAM R. MULKEY
 WILLIAM A. MURASKI
 OPAL P. MURPHY
 SCOTT P. NALE
 TROYJOHN C. NAPUTI

BALBINO M. NAZARIO
 DOMINGO NEGRON
 KIMBERLY NELSON
 SCOTT E. NEMETH
 PHUONG H. NGUYEN
 VINH B. NGUYEN
 KATHRYN M. NILSEN
 GEORGE M. NISSON
 GEORGE S. NIX, JR.
 KELLY M. NOCKS
 KENNETH B. NORMAN II
 TARA M. OCTAVIANO
 BRENT A. ODOM
 AYOKUNLE O. OLADIPOFANIYI
 MELISSA A. OLENDORF
 CARLOS C. OQUENDO
 CHRISTOPHER T. ORLOWSKI
 RICARDO ORTIZROSARIO
 RADAMES ORTIZSANTIAGO
 JOHN P. OSULLIVAN
 LUIS R. OTERO
 JOSE M. OTEROSERRANO
 BRANDON L. OUTLAW
 CHRISTOPHER PAGE
 JOSHUA PANEK
 ERIC J. PARTIN
 MICHAEL C. PAVLISAK
 JAMIE C. PEER
 JESUS A. PENA
 LEONEL A. PENA
 ERNESTO PEREZ
 DAVID N. PETERS
 EDWARD R. PHELPS, JR.
 TODD A. PHILLIPS
 MICHELLE POPE
 ADRIENNE M. PREM
 GARY L. PRICE
 ALBERT A. PRIDE
 ALICIA L. PRUITT
 BRIAN L. PURDY
 PRESTON G. PYSH
 JOHN J. QUINN
 RAJESH RAMLAKHAN
 PHILIP S. RAUMBERGER
 TORRIONNE RECHE
 RAYMOND L. REED III
 CHARLES R. REESE
 RICHARD I. REEVES II
 TABITHA J. REID
 CESARIO J. RENDON
 CARLOS J. REYES
 PAUL R. REYES
 BRENT A. REYNOLDS
 HASSAN K. REYNOLDS
 CHRISTOPHER M. RICHARDSON
 JOSHUA RICHEY
 DAVID L. RILEY, JR.
 OCTAVIO J. RIVERAFONSECA
 ANNIE L. ROBINSON
 JUDITH F. ROBINSON
 RONDELL ROBINSON
 TANGELA V. ROBINSON
 EDUARDO RODRIGUEZ, JR.
 ERNAN D. RODRIGUEZ
 JUAN A. RODRIGUEZ
 REFUGIO RODRIGUEZ III
 DANA C. ROOD
 CARMEN J. ROSADO
 PEDRO J. ROSARIO
 JOHN M. ROY
 NATHAN T. ROZEA
 ROCHELLE S. RUIZ
 JEROME RUSSELL, JR.
 CHADRIK M. RYG
 MAXIMO A. SANCHEZGERENA
 JAMES D. SANDLIN
 PAUL F. SANTAMARIA
 EDGAR O. SANTANA
 JEANNETTE SANTANA
 FREDRICK SANTIAGO
 JAIME SANTIAGO
 TOSHIIHIDE SASAKI
 JOHN A. SCARBROUGH
 GEORGE P. SCHNEIDER, JR.
 CHARLES M. SEABERRY
 JOHN D. SEITZ
 MAX V. SELF
 CHARLES D. SESSIONS
 JON H. SHACKELFORD
 DAVID C. SHAFFER
 SCOTT L. SHAFFER
 AARON M. SHAMBLIN
 SCOTT D. SHANNON
 JOHN C. SHEAFFER
 ALEX B. SHIMABUKURO
 RHODAD J. SHUPE
 UPENDA P. SIBLEY
 LARRY M. SIMPSON
 DAWN M. SMALLS
 TROY L. SMART
 MICHAEL A. SMILEY
 ANDREW B. SMITH
 DAVID W. SMITH
 DINA M. SMITH
 JAMISON R. SMITH
 JULIUS SMITH, JR.
 STEVE C. SMITH
 THOMAS C. SMITH
 DEANA M. SOFFOS
 DERON M. SOMMERS
 KARL P. SONDERMANN
 JASON A. SOPKO

BENJAMIN SOTO
 TEX W. SOTO
 ANGEL L. SOTOVELAZQUEZ
 CHERYL N. SPARKS
 MATTHEW S. SPARKS
 JAMES G. SPEARS
 DAVID E. SPOHN
 JERRY L. STARR
 BENJAMIN A. STEADMAN
 ALAN L. STEPHENS
 CHRISTOPHER R. STEWART
 TODD F. STULL
 DOMINICK T. SUPERSAD, JR.
 JONATHAN M. SWAN
 JERALD D. SWANSON
 PEARLETHA SWATSON
 MATTHEW A. SWEENEY
 OLIVIA S. TAPLIN
 DELARIUS V. TARLTON
 GEORGE M. TAYLOR
 MICHAEL B. TAYLOR
 STEPHEN J. TEGGE
 AARON C. TELLER
 BENJAMIN M. TERWILLIGER
 PATTY L. TESAR
 MICHAEL E. THOMAS
 MICHAEL D. THOMPSON
 SHANNON N. THOMPSON
 TONY L. THORNTON
 ERIC W. TIMMERMAN
 QUETABALA L. TOBIN
 SHARON L. TOLBERT
 WILLIAM E. TOLES, JR.
 DAVID A. TOLLESON
 MARYGRACE P. TOMOMITSU
 KAREEM J. TOOMER

RICKEY J. TORRES
 ROCKY O. TORRES
 LUCAS R. TOWNE
 CHRISTOPHER M. TRAMONTANA
 BRIAN M. TRAVIS
 BRAD A. TWEEDY
 JAY S. VANDENBOS
 ELIZABETH VARGAS
 RODRIGO A. VARGAS
 FRANCISCO J. VAZQUEZ
 ANGEL G. VEGA
 BERTALINA VILLAR
 AMELIA H. WALDON
 ROBERT M. WALKER
 WILLIAM S. WALKER
 JOSHUA M. WALTER
 DAVID D. WALTERS
 CHARLES D. WARD
 VERNETTA C. WARNER
 VAUGHN P. WARREN
 JASON WATERS
 MICHAEL C. WATSON
 CHAD B. WATTS
 HEATH R. WEAVER
 WILLIAM G. WEAVER
 KENDALL C. WELLS
 APRIL J. WHARTON
 LEROY WHEELER
 CHANDRIA R. WHITE
 MARCUS J. WHITE
 KEMAU A. WHITTINGTON
 ALLIN L. WHITTLE II
 GREGORY W. WILEY
 OLRIC R. WILKINS II
 ADAM C. WILLCOXON
 DION E. WILLIAMS

LISBON J. WILLIAMS, JR.
 TAYONIA M. WILLIAMS
 TONY L. WINSTON
 EDWARD K. WOO
 SIMEON J. WOOD
 DEVIN C. WOODS
 NIKOLITSA WOOTEN
 ANGELIQUE WORTH
 PAMELA S. WRIGHT
 CHRISTOPHER C. WURST
 TRACI J. YAMADA
 ELIAS YBARRA
 LEONANI I. YORK
 RAYMOND K. YU
 SARAH K. YUN
 JOSEPH C. ZABALDANO
 CODY L. ZACH

WITHDRAWAL

Executive Message transmitted by the President to the Senate on May 9, 2011 withdrawing from further Senate consideration the following nomination:

PAUL M. TIAO, OF MARYLAND, TO BE INSPECTOR GENERAL, DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, VICE GORDON S. HEDDELL, RESIGNED, WHICH WAS SENT TO THE SENATE ON JANUARY 26, 2011.

EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

SENATE COMMITTEE MEETINGS

Title IV of Senate Resolution 4, agreed to by the Senate on February 4, 1977, calls for establishment of a system for a computerized schedule of all meetings and hearings of Senate committees, subcommittees, joint committees, and committees of conference. This title requires all such committees to notify the Office of the Senate Daily Digest—designated by the Rules Committee—of the time, place, and purpose of the meetings, when scheduled, and any cancellations or changes in the meetings as they occur.

As an additional procedure along with the computerization of this information, the Office of the Senate Daily Digest will prepare this information for printing in the Extensions of Remarks section of the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD on Monday and Wednesday of each week.

Meetings scheduled for Tuesday, May 10, 2011 may be found in the Daily Digest of today's RECORD.

MEETINGS SCHEDULED MAY 11

10 a.m.

Appropriations

Department of Defense Subcommittee

To hold hearings to examine proposed budget estimates for fiscal year 2012 for the Guard and Reserve.

SD-192

Finance

To hold hearings to examine the United States-Colombia Trade Promotion Agreement.

SD-215

Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions

Primary Health and Aging Subcommittee

To hold hearings to examine diverting non-urgent emergency room use, focusing on if it can provide better care and lower costs.

SD-430

Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

Business meeting to consider S. 772, to protect Federal employees and visitors, improve the security of Federal facilities and authorize and modernize the Federal Protective Service, S. 550, to improve the provision of assistance to fire departments, S. 792, to authorize the waiver of certain debts relating to assistance provided to individuals and households since 2005, S. Res. 174, expressing the sense of the Senate that effective sharing of passenger information from inbound international flight manifests is a crucial component of our national security and that the Department of Homeland Security must maintain the information sharing standards required under the 2007 Passenger Name Record Agreement be-

tween the United States and the European Union, H.R. 793, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 12781 Sir Francis Drake Boulevard in Inverness, California, as the "Specialist Jake Robert Velloza Post Office", S. 349, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 4865 Tallmadge Road in Rootstown, Ohio, as the "Marine Sgt. Jeremy E. Murray Post Office", and S. 655, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 95 Dogwood Street in Cary, Mississippi, as the "Spencer Byrd Powers, Jr. Post Office".

SD-342

Appropriations

Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and Related Agencies Subcommittee

To hold hearings to examine proposed budget estimates for fiscal year 2012 for the National Institutes of Health.

SD-124

Foreign Relations

Near Eastern and South and Central Asian Affairs Subcommittee

To hold hearings to examine human rights and democratic reform in Iran.

SD-419

10:15 a.m.

Judiciary

Antitrust, Competition Policy and Consumer Rights Subcommittee

To hold hearings to examine the AT&T/T-Mobile merger.

SD-226

1:30 p.m.

Armed Services

Personnel Subcommittee

To resume hearings to examine the Active, Guard, Reserve, and civilian personnel programs in review of the Defense Authorization Request for fiscal year 2012 and the Future Years Defense Program.

SR-232A

2 p.m.

Commerce, Science, and Transportation

To hold hearings to examine manufacturing our way to a stronger economy.

SR-253

Rules and Administration

Business meeting to consider the nomination of William J. Boarman, of Maryland, to be Public Printer, Government Printing Office, S. Res. 116, to provide for expedited Senate consideration of certain nominations subject to advice and consent, and S. 739, to authorize the Architect of the Capitol to establish battery recharging stations for privately owned vehicles in parking areas under the jurisdiction of the Senate at no net cost to the Federal Government.

SR-301

Armed Services

Strategic Forces Subcommittee

To hold hearings to examine military space programs in review of the Defense Authorization Request for fiscal year 2012 and the Future Years Defense Program; with the possibility of a

closed session in SVC-217 following the open session.

SD-106

Commission on Security and Cooperation in Europe

To hold hearings to examine Central Asia and the Arab spring, focusing on growing pressure for human rights and whether the factors that drove the uprisings in North Africa and the Middle East exist in any of the Central Asian States.

2322, Rayburn Building

2:30 p.m.

Energy and Natural Resources
National Parks Subcommittee

To hold hearings to examine S. 114, to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to enter into a cooperative agreement for a park headquarters at San Antonio Missions National Historical Park, to expand the boundary of the Park, to conduct a study of potential land acquisitions, S. 127, to establish the Buffalo Bayou National Heritage Area in the State of Texas, S. 140, to designate as wilderness certain land and inland water within the Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore in the State of Michigan, S. 161, to establish Pinnacles National Park in the State of California as a unit of the National Park System, S. 177, to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to acquire the Gold Hill Ranch in Coloma, California, S. 247, to establish the Harriet Tubman National Historical Park in Auburn, New York, and the Harriet Tubman Underground Railroad National Historical Park in Caroline, Dorchester, and Talbot Counties, Maryland, S. 279, to direct the Secretary of the Interior to carry out a study to determine the suitability and feasibility of establishing Camp Hale as a unit of the National Park System, S. 302, to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to issue right-of-way permits for a natural gas transmission pipeline in non-wilderness areas within the boundary of Denali National Park, S. 313, to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to issue permits for a microhydro project in nonwilderness areas within the boundaries of Denali National Park and Preserve, to acquire land for Denali National Park and Preserve from Doyon Tourism, Inc., S. 323, to establish the First State National Historical Park in the State of Delaware, S. 403, to amend the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act to designate segments of the Molalla River in the State of Oregon, as components of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System, S. 404, to modify a land grant patent issued by the Secretary of the Interior, S. 508, to establish the Chimney Rock National Monument in the State of Colorado, S. 535, to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to lease certain lands within Fort Pulaski National Monument, S. 564, to designate the Valles Caldera National Preserve as a unit of the National Park System, S. 599, to establish

● This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.

a commission to commemorate the sesquicentennial of the American Civil War, S. 713, to modify the boundary of Petersburg National Battlefield in the Commonwealth of Virginia, S. 765, to modify the boundary of the Oregon Caves National Monument, S. 779, to authorize the acquisition and protection of nationally significant battlefields and associated sites of the Revolutionary War and the War of 1812 under the American Battlefield Protection Program, S. 849, to establish the Waco Mammoth National Monument in the State of Texas, and S. 858, to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to conduct a special resource study to determine the suitability and feasibility of designating the Colonel Charles Young Home in Xenia, Ohio as a unit of the National Park System.

SD-366

MAY 12

9:15 a.m.

Foreign Relations

To hold hearings to examine assessing the situation in Libya.

SD-419

9:30 a.m.

Energy and Natural Resources

To hold hearings to examine carbon capture and sequestration legislation, including S. 699, to authorize the Secretary of Energy to carry out a program to demonstrate the commercial application of integrated systems for long-term geological storage of carbon dioxide, and S. 757, to provide incentives to encourage the development and implementation of technology to capture carbon dioxide from dilute sources on a significant scale using direct air capture technologies.

SD-366

Appropriations

Transportation and Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies Subcommittee

To hold hearings to examine proposed budget estimates for fiscal year 2012 for the Federal Aviation Administration.

SD-138

9:45 a.m.

Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions

To hold hearings to examine the middle class, focusing on if the American dream is slipping out of reach for American families.

SD-430

10 a.m.

Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs

Business meeting to consider the nominations of Peter A. Diamond, of Massachusetts, to be a Member of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, David S. Cohen, of Maryland, to be Under Secretary for Terrorism and Financial Crimes, Daniel L. Glaser, of the District of Columbia, to be Assistant Secretary for Terrorist Financing, and Timothy G. Massad, of Connecticut, to be Assistant Secretary, all of the Department of the Treasury, and Wanda Felton, of New York, to be First Vice President, and Sean Robert Mulvaney, of Illinois, to be a Member, both of the Board of Directors of the Export-Import Bank of the United States; to be immediately followed by an oversight hearing to examine the Dodd-Frank implementation, focusing

on monitoring systemic risk and promoting financial stability.

SD-538

Commerce, Science, and Transportation

To hold hearings to examine economic ramifications of cyber threats and vulnerabilities to the private sector.

SR-253

Finance

To hold hearings to examine oil and gas tax incentives and rising energy prices.

SD-215

Judiciary

Business meeting to consider S. 350, to require restitution for victims of criminal violations of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, S. 623, to amend chapter 111 of title 28, United States Code, relating to protective orders, sealing of cases, disclosures of discovery information in civil actions, S. 890, "Fighting Fraud to Protect Taxpayers Act of 2011", and the nominations of Henry F. Floyd, of South Carolina, to be United States Circuit Judge for the Fourth Circuit, Kathleen M. Williams, to be United States District Judge for the Southern District of Florida, Nelva Gonzales Ramos, to be United States District Judge for the Southern District of Texas, Richard Brooke Jackson, to be United States District Judge for the District of Colorado, Sara Lynn Darrow, to be United States District Judge for the Central District of Illinois, and Donald B. Verrilli, Jr., of the District of Columbia, to be Solicitor General of the United States, Department of Justice.

SD-226

10:30 a.m.

Appropriations

Department of Defense Subcommittee

To receive a closed briefing on the proposed budget estimates for fiscal year 2012 for the United States Special Operations Command, and the United States European Command.

SVC-217

2 p.m.

Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs

Housing, Transportation and Community Development Subcommittee

To hold hearings to examine the need for national mortgage servicing standards.

SD-538

2:30 p.m.

Environment and Public Works

Clean Air and Nuclear Safety Subcommittee

To hold hearings to examine Federal efforts to protect public health by reducing diesel emissions.

SD-406

Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

To hold hearings to examine ten years after 9/11, focusing on if intelligence reform is working.

SD-342

Appropriations

Legislative Branch Subcommittee

To hold hearings to examine proposed budget estimates for fiscal year 2012 for the Secretary of the Senate, the Senate Sergeant at Arms, and the United States Capitol Police.

SD-138

Armed Services

SeaPower Subcommittee

To receive a closed briefing on threats faced by our naval forces and the capabilities of our naval forces to respond to those threats in review of the De-

fense Authorization Request for fiscal year 2012 and the Future Years Defense Program.

SVC-217

Intelligence

To hold closed hearings to examine certain intelligence matters.

SH-219

MAY 17

10 a.m.

Foreign Relations

To hold hearings to examine strategic implications of Pakistan and the region.

SD-419

10:30 a.m.

Appropriations

Department of Defense Subcommittee

To receive a closed briefing the United States Northern Command (NORTHCOM) and the United States Southern Command (SOUTHCOM).

SVC-217

Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

Federal Financial Management, Government Information, Federal Services, and International Security Subcommittee

To hold hearings to examine addressing the U.S. Postal Service's financial crisis.

SD-342

MAY 18

10 a.m.

Armed Services

Readiness and Management Support Subcommittee

To hold hearings to examine the current materiel readiness of U.S. Forces in review of the Defense Authorization Request for fiscal year 2012 and the Future Years Defense Program.

SR-232A

Veterans' Affairs

To hold hearings to examine seamless transition, focusing on improving Veterans Affairs and Department of Defense collaboration.

SR-418

2:30 p.m.

Energy and Natural Resources

Public Lands and Forests Subcommittee

To hold hearings to examine S. 220, to provide for the reforestation of forest landscapes, protection of old growth forests, and management of national forests in the eastside forests of the State of Oregon, S. 270, to direct the Secretary of the Interior to convey certain Federal land to Deschutes County, Oregon, S. 271, to require the Secretary of Agriculture to enter into a property conveyance with the city of Wallowa, Oregon, S. 278, to provide for the exchange of certain land located in the Arapaho-Roosevelt National Forests in the State of Colorado, S. 292, to resolve the claims of the Bering Straits Native Corporation and the State of Alaska to land adjacent to Salmon Lake in the State of Alaska and to provide for the conveyance to the Bering Straits Native Corporation of certain other public land in partial satisfaction of the land entitlement of the Corporation under the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act, S. 322, to expand the Alpine Lakes Wilderness in the State of Washington, to designate the Middle Fork Snoqualmie River and Pratt River as wild and scenic rivers, S. 382, to amend

the National Forest Ski Area Permit Act of 1986 to clarify the authority of the Secretary of Agriculture regarding additional recreational uses of National Forest System land that is subject to ski area permits, and for other permits, S. 427, to withdraw certain land located in Clark County, Nevada, from location, entry, and patent under the mining laws and disposition under all laws pertaining to mineral and geothermal leasing or mineral materials, S. 526, to provide for the conveyance of certain Bureau of Land Management land in Mohave County, Arizona, to the Arizona Game and Fish Commission, for use as a public shooting range, S. 566, to provide for the establishment of the National Volcano Early Warning and Monitoring System, S. 590, to convey certain submerged lands to the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands in order to give that territory the same benefits in its submerged lands as Guam, the Virgin Islands, and American Samoa have in their submerged lands, S. 607, to designate certain land in the State of Oregon as wilderness, to provide for the exchange of certain Federal land and non-Federal land, S. 617, to require the Secretary of the Interior to convey certain Federal land to Elko County, Nevada, and to take land into trust for the Te-moak Tribe of Western Shoshone Indians of Nevada, S. 683, to provide for the conveyance of certain parcels of land to the town of Mantua, Utah, S. 684, to provide for the conveyance of certain parcels of land to the town of Alta, Utah, S. 667, to establish the Rio Grande del Norte National Conservation Area in the State of New Mexico, S. 729, to validate final patent number 27-2005-0081, S. 766, to provide for the designation of the Devil's Staircase Wilderness Area in the State of Oregon, to designate segments of Wasson and Franklin Creeks in the State of Oregon as wild rivers, S. 896, to amend the Public Land Corps Act of 1993 to expand the authorization of the Secretaries of Agriculture, Commerce, and the Interior to provide service opportunities for young Americans; help restore the nation's natural, cultural,

historic, archaeological, recreational and scenic resources; train a new generation of public land managers and enthusiasts; and promote the value of public service, and S. 897, to amend the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 to clarify that uncertified States and Indian tribes have the authority to use certain payments for certain noncoal reclamation projects and acid mine remediation programs.

SD-366

MAY 19

10 a.m.

Foreign Relations

To hold hearings to examine evaluating goals and progress in Afghanistan and Pakistan.

SD-419

MAY 24

10 a.m.

Foreign Relations

To hold hearings to examine al Qaeda, the Taliban, and other extremist groups in Afghanistan and Pakistan.

SD-419

MAY 25

10 a.m.

Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

To hold hearings to examine how to save taxpayer dollars, focusing on case studies of duplication in the Federal government.

SD-342

10:30 a.m.

Appropriations

Department of Defense Subcommittee

To hold hearings to examine proposed budget estimates for fiscal year 2012 for the Missile Defense Agency.

SD-192

2:30 p.m.

Energy and Natural Resources

Public Lands and Forests Subcommittee

To hold hearings to examine S. 233, to withdraw certain Federal land and interests in that land from location, entry, and patent under the mining laws and disposition under the mineral and geothermal leasing laws, S. 375, to

authorize the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of the Interior to enter into cooperative agreements with State foresters authorizing State foresters to provide certain forest, rangeland, and watershed restoration and protection services, S. 714, to reauthorize the Federal Land Transaction Facilitation Act, and S. 730, to provide for the settlement of certain claims under the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act.

SD-366

MAY 26

10:30 a.m.

Appropriations

Department of Defense Subcommittee

To receive a closed briefing on the United States Central Command (CENTCOM) and United States African Command (AFRICOM).

SVC-217

JUNE 15

10:30 a.m.

Appropriations

Department of Defense Subcommittee

To hold hearings to examine the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

SD-192

JUNE 16

10:30 a.m.

Energy and Natural Resources

To hold hearings to examine S. 343, to amend Title I of PL 99-658 regarding the Compact of Free Association between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of Palau, to approve the results of the 15-year review of the Compact, including the Agreement Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the Republic of Palau Following the Compact of Free Association Section 432 Review, and to appropriate funds for the purposes of the amended PL 99-658 for fiscal years ending on or before September 30, 2024, to carry out the agreements resulting from that review.

SD-366