



United States
of America

Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 119th CONGRESS, SECOND SESSION

Vol. 172

WASHINGTON, THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 24, 2026

No. 152

Senate

The Senate met at 10 a.m. and was called to order by the President pro tempore (Mr. GRASSLEY).

PRAYER

The Chaplain, Dr. Barry C. Black, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray.

Eternal and everlasting God, we open our hearts to You with humble gratitude for the precious gift of another day. Lord, thank You for the blessings of life, for Your unfailing love, and for Your mercy that brings us the unfolding of Your loving providence. Continue to watch over our Senators. Renew, revitalize, and refresh their spirits. Give them strength for today, peace for their hearts, and clarity for their minds.

Lord, have mercy upon our Nation and the world in these turbulent times. Give the Members of our branches of government Your wisdom, truth, and righteousness that will guide them in their leadership. Provide them with wisdom beyond their own understanding, patience in moments of disagreement, and discernment in difficult decisions.

We pray in Your magnificent Name. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The President pro tempore led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. SHEEHY). Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

CONCLUSION OF MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Morning business is closed.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

PROTECT COLLEGE SPORTS ACT OF 2026—Resumed

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will resume consideration of S. 4668, which the clerk will report.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 4668) to protect the name, image, and likeness rights of, and provide protections for, student athletes and to promote fair competition among intercollegiate athletics, and for other purposes.

Pending:

Thune (for Cruz) amendment No. 6776, in the nature of a substitute.

Thune amendment No. 6777 (to amendment No. 6776), to change the enactment date.

Thune amendment No. 6778 (to amendment No. 6777), of a perfecting nature.

Thune amendment No. 6779 (to the language proposed to be stricken by amendment No. 6776), to change the enactment date.

Thune amendment No. 6780 (to amendment No. 6779), of a perfecting nature.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The President pro tempore.

HEALTHCARE FRAUD

Mr. GRASSLEY. Happy news in the fight against fraud: This week, the White House Task Force to Eliminate Fraud took steps to stop fraud and to prevent bad actors from gaming ObamaCare.

The administration is working to stop fraudulent and improper ObamaCare enrollment. Now, just think, over 600,000 consumers attested to unauthorized enrollment or plan switching.

This task force has the potential to save billions in taxpayer dollars. This action will ensure resources are only going to those who are eligible and have signed up or authorized their enrollment.

Too often, taxpayer money is lost to fraud, waste, and abuse. This not only wastes taxpayer dollars, but it also limits how effectively those dollars

could be used to help the most vulnerable: those people that Medicaid and ObamaCare were intended to serve.

The administration's action complements my work in the Senate and the work of Iowa's Representatives HINSON, MILLER-MEEKS, NUNN, and FEENSTRA in the House, accomplished when we passed the 2025 Working Families Tax Cut law.

The tax cuts law not only prevented the largest tax increase in American history, but it also established program integrity reforms to stop fraud, waste, and abuse as well as preserve programs like Medicaid and ObamaCare.

In the past year, I have come to this floor highlighting how my own oversight along with inspector general audits and Government Accountability Office reports have found taxpayer dollars being wasted on deceased enrollment and providers, enrollees participating in two different State Medicaid Programs and who are dually enrolled in Medicaid and ObamaCare, excess ObamaCare subsidies not being paid back, and consumers being fraudulently enrolled in ObamaCare.

The Working Families Tax Cut Act put an end to much of this fraud, waste, and abuse in the Medicaid and Obama programs.

To bolster these efforts, last month I introduced a bill with the title "Healthcare Fraud Prevention and Improvement Act." This bill will improve and will invest in the Healthcare Fraud and Abuse Control Program. That program was created to combat fraud across Federal health programs. But that program needs improvement.

Federal healthcare spending is expected to exceed \$24 trillion over the next decade. Through the Working Families Tax Cut Act and by improving the Healthcare Fraud and Abuse Control Program, we can crack down on bad actors stealing the taxpayer's money and really protect resources for the most vulnerable Americans.

● This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.



Printed on recycled paper.

S4959

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

RECOGNITION OF THE MAJORITY LEADER

The majority leader is recognized.

DEMOCRAT PARTY

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, if there is one thing that quickly became clear at the start of the 119th Congress, it was that anything we were going to accomplish for hard-working Americans we were going to have to accomplish alone. There is always some partisanship in Congress—and healthy disagreement—but it became clear very quickly that Democrats were going to be taking partisanship to a new and rarefied level in the 119th Congress. That started with Presidential nominations.

It has long been standard practice in the Senate to expedite uncontroversial nominations to allow a President to get his team in place. But from the very beginning of the 119th Congress, Democrats made clear that the history of bipartisan cooperation was over. Democrats chose to slow down every single nomination, no matter how uncontroversial, for the majority of the 119th Congress. But slow-walking nominations was just the beginning.

At the top of our priority list for this Congress was preventing the massive tax hike that was set to hit hard-working Americans. And for a party that claims to be the party of working men and women, you would think the Democrats would have been eager to work with us. They weren't. Not only were they not interested in working with us, but every single Democrat in both Houses of Congress voted against tax relief for the American people. They voted against preventing a massive tax hike, and they voted against all the new tax relief Republicans implemented—from no tax on overtime to a new bonus deduction for seniors.

And just in case you thought Democrat opposition was a matter of high-minded principle, since Republicans passed the Working Families Tax Cuts, a number of Democrats have tried to associate themselves with some of the tax relief in the bill despite universally opposing the legislation in Congress.

And the partisanship continues. Last week, the Senate attempted to take up legislation on an issue of great importance to working families; namely, energy prices. The legislation we tried to take up, the Ratepayer Protection Act, would have protected hard-working Americans from the increased energy costs associated with data centers. The bill was so obviously commonsense that it passed the House overwhelmingly, with almost every Democrat as well as Republican supporting it. But in the Senate, Senate Democrats ob-

jected to passing the bill, and so it failed. Presumably, Democrats wanted to deny Republicans the legislative success in the lead-up to the elections, even though hard-working Americans are the ones that are going to pay the price.

The same thing happened yesterday. Republicans and the White House have been negotiating for the better part of a year with Democrats over permitting reform legislation—a long-overdue priority that would help lower energy bills for American families by streamlining Federal regulations for energy projects. And we closed a deal with them that we could bring to the floor that incorporated essentially all of the Democrats' demands. But when it began to look like we could consider the bill this work period, Democrats changed their tune. Because while they may acknowledge the need for permitting reform, what they really care about is denying Republicans another legislative win. This is an example of, again, just blatant partisanship.

Republicans and Democrats have been working for months on a bipartisan basis with two committees of jurisdiction: the Environment and Public Works Committee and the Energy and Natural Resources Committee, the two committees that have jurisdiction over all of the elements related to permitting reform. And I have had Democrats come up to me on the floor countless times and say: We really want to get this done. We are working together. The White House will work with us on this or that.

So we communicated with the White House. The White House has been engaged in these conversations and discussions for the past several weeks and essentially gave the Democrats the things they were asking for. Democrats can't take yes for an answer. It is like they caught the car and they don't know what to do. They said "we want to work on this" because permitting reform affects not just energy projects but projects in every sector of the economy. Energy projects—of course, obviously, both conventional energy and renewable energy projects are being affected by the delays and the bureaucracy and the redtape and the excessive litigation that comes with trying to do anything in this country of major consequence.

So both sides had agreed this was a worthwhile objective: Let's work together. Let's see if we can sit down and hammer out a compromise that incorporates the concerns, the issues, that both sides bring to the table. And that has been going on for months. I have been watching it, getting regular readouts, talking to Members on both sides—Democrats and Republicans—on both committees of jurisdiction and others who are interested in this issue. And we get to a point where Democrats flat can't take yes for an answer.

So why is that? Well, if you agree on the substance, if you come to an agreement on the policy, which they had—I

mean, everybody in these negotiations, in the discussions, have basically said: OK. This is the deal. Here is the counteroffer; counteroffer back; counteroffer back. The last counteroffer from the Democrats was agreed to by the White House, by our Senate Republicans. They couldn't take yes for an answer.

So the only conclusion one can draw is that the Democrats have concluded that it is good politics for them not to allow the Republicans to "get a victory" or the President to "get a victory" in the middle of a political season. That is really the only conclusion you can draw, after literally months and months and months of bipartisan negotiations in which the very last offer put on the table was one made by Democrats and accepted by the White House and Senate Republicans.

That is where we are. That is what it is. Let's call it what it is. It is blatant politics.

So my Democrat colleagues may talk a good game about working families, but the truth is that hard-working Americans take a distant back seat to Democrats' political priorities.

Perhaps the most spectacular example of the party of "no" came last fall when Democrats shut down the government for 43 days—the longest full government shutdown in history—over a clean, temporary, and nonpartisan spending bill. That is right. It was clear that Congress would need more time to get the fiscal year 2026 appropriations bills finished, so Republicans proposed a clean, short-term funding measure free from poison pills or partisan policies. Let's just fund the government so we can continue the conversation about how we are going to fund it for the full year.

Democrats, well, they just said: No, not going to do it. It didn't matter to them that the bill was nonpartisan. It didn't matter to them that it would only be in effect, actually, for a few weeks. And it certainly didn't matter to them that they were putting literally thousands of Americans out of work. They blocked it. A clean, nonpartisan spending bill, and they shut down the government for 6 weeks.

Of course, the fall 2025 government shutdown wasn't the only time this Congress that Democrats just said no to government funding. In the spring, Senate Democrats initiated the longest partial government shutdown in history due to their unwillingness to fund immigration law enforcement or border security. That is right. The Democrats kept the Department of Homeland Security—the Department of Homeland Security—shut down for an unprecedented 76 days. And while they eventually agreed to reopen most of the Department, they still refused to fund immigration enforcement or Border Patrol.

Clearly, democratic socialism and its philosophy of zero immigration enforcement and open borders has gained a lot more than a foothold in the Democrat Party.

Whether the subject is protecting opportunities for women and girls in sports or tax relief for hard-working Americans, Democrats have spent the past 21 months throwing up every roadblock that they can. And I am proud that, despite the incredible amount of Democrat opposition, we still managed to accomplish a lot for the American people—from regulatory relief and border security to the Working Families Tax Cuts.

There is more work to be done, and I hope that we will get the chance to continue our work not only in this Congress but also in the next. Given the Democrats' obsession with opposing President Trump and their demonstrated opposition to bipartisan cooperation, I think it is safe to say that hard-working Americans would undoubtedly take a back seat in a Democrat-led Congress. And the American people, they deserve better than the party of "no."

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

RECOGNITION OF THE MINORITY LEADER

The Democratic leader is recognized.

FREEDOM OF THE PRESS

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, on the Trump media ban, you can tell Trump's desperate, repressive media ban is flagrantly unconstitutional when it gets blocked by a judge he appointed.

Trump can attack the First Amendment all he wants, but the roots of our democracy run deeper than he could ever fathom. No President should be able to use censorship to escape accountability, to deprive Americans of the truth, and to take our country down the path to authoritarianism.

Is Trump so fragile, so thin-skinned, so desperate that he can't handle reporting that reflects the truth that he doesn't want to hear? If Trump's Presidency and economy are going as well as he claims they are, then he should have nothing to fear from the cameras.

We all know what this media ban was about. Trump himself told the real truth when he said he was trying to protect his reputation with this ban. To protect his reputation—can you believe it? The chutzpah of a President to say, "I am going to shut down the media because I don't like what they are saying," when he knows that 250 grand years of freedom of speech has been the hallmark of this country.

Of course, his statements were so out of line—and even his supporters criticized it—that Trump had to backtrack by trying to label these news outlets a so-called "national security risk."

No one bought that excuse for a second. The only thing Trump was trying to defend was himself.

Trump knows he is in rough shape. He knows the American people are furious with him and his party. He knows just how much of a disaster his record is. And since Trump can't clean up his mess, he tries to sweep it under the rug, and he failed miserably.

Trump can't outrun the press, and he can't outrun his record.

You hear that, Donald? You can't outrun the press, and you can't outrun your miserable record.

CHINA

Mr. President, on the Xi Jinping visit, Trump's soft-handed treatment of the Chinese Communist Party is on full display this week during Xi Jinping's visit. No sooner had Xi landed yesterday, than the Trump administration announced it is extending its trade relief to China for another 2 months.

Really? Trump ought to take that trade war truce up north to Canada, instead of giving it to China and Xi and his authoritarian regime.

As usual, Trump acts based solely on who flatters him at the moment, where the nearest photo-op is, and what headline he covers.

If you are foreign or authoritarian, Trump just won't invite you to America; he will greet you planeside. That is what Trump did, sort of as a supplicant for Xi yesterday. That is what Trump did for Putin in Alaska last year.

This man has an atavistic attraction to dictators, to bad people. Something is so wrong up there with him.

And now Trump and Rubio want to roll out the red carpet for Putin again at the G20 in Florida this year.

Trump needs to finally show some backbone. America can't afford for Trump to roll over on blocking China's access to our advanced AI tech, stopping the flow of fentanyl, protecting oil workers, strengthening American innovation, and holding the CCP accountable for aiding our adversaries or any of the other pressing issues we face with the PRC.

Meeting an authoritarian at the bottom of the stairs is easy. Standing up to them at the negotiating table is the hard part. So far, Trump hasn't managed it.

IRAN

Mr. President, on the Iran war, as Trump tries to tread water as his disastrous war with Iran gets worse by the day, the American people are drowning in costs. Gas is nearly \$4.50 a gallon, and diesel is still breaking highs at \$6.51 a gallon because of Trump's war.

It is madness. Americans are getting crushed at the gas pumps. Working families are getting cleaned out at the grocery store counters. And family farms are going under because Trump took this country to war without authorization, without a plan, without a second thought to the consequences.

We have lost at least 19 brave servicemembers. Hundreds are wounded or maimed, and tens of thousands remain in harm's way. That is just what we know, since this administration keeps

withholding information from Congress and the American people about the true number of casualties in this war.

All the while, Iran's grip on the Strait of Hormuz and other key chokepoints has grown tighter, and the entire situation in the Middle East has gotten worse. Trump's war has been one of the biggest foreign policy catastrophes in the history of this country. And, of course, as I said, the price of gasoline and the price of diesel keep going up.

From the start, I have said this war is bigger than politics, and Senate Republicans—if they knew what was good for them—would have joined us in pushing for an end to Trump's war 7 months ago.

Well, Senate Democrats will force a vote on another War Powers Resolution to end Trump's disastrous war and bring our troops home, at last, very soon. Americans will remember who votes to end Trump's war today just as they will remember who voted for the war to continue, time and time and time again, for 7 long months.

BIG OIL

Mr. President, and on the Trump Big Oil corruption report, Donald Trump's flagrant corruption costs the American people dearly every single day. We all know that.

Just this morning, I joined Senator WHITEHOUSE to unveil our Anti-corruption Working Group's staggering new report on how Trump's corrupt dealings with Big Oil billionaires are costing the American people a fortune. It is a tale as old as time in Trump's America.

In 2024, this then was brazen. I knew we were headed for trouble when I saw it back then in the papers, because in 2024 Trump invited Harold Hamm and all those Big Oil guys down to Mar-a-Lago and asked them for a \$1 billion—\$1 billion—for his campaign in exchange for political favors to boost their bottom line.

It was appalling then—pay-to-play—and openly done, bragging boldly. I mean, that shows these people have no shame. They have no worries about the strictures of democracy, of morality, of what America has always been all about. No, they brag about it: We will give you \$1 billion. Help our oil companies, ho ho ho.

But the American people paid the price. Big Oil paid up and, boy, did Donald Trump deliver.

Trump blocked clean energy projects that would have brought down families' energy costs and powered millions of homes. The administration shut down the cheapest and fastest source of energy to build and get—the cheapest source of energy, the fastest source; it is the one that goes quickest on the grid—just so billionaires, Big Oil billionaires, could get even richer.

Many of the same people making these policies are profiting off them. Trump appointed numerous fossil fuel industry leaders to his administration.

Trump's corruption is not a victimless crime; it never is. Every

time Americans see the skyrocketing costs on their electric bill or at the gas station, they know who to blame: Donald Trump and his Big Oil billionaire cronies.

Trump's greed isn't just costing Americans more for energy; it is costing them their health. A report found that the pollution from Trump's Big Oil blitz is driving up Americans' healthcare costs too.

I want to salute SHELDON WHITEHOUSE, who had a strong hand in putting together this report. He has been steadfast, brilliant, and hard-nosed on fighting this corruption, and I salute him again. Americans—all Americans—should salute him for his efforts.

Trump may think he can hide his corruption in the shadows, but we, Democrats in the Senate, will continue turning over every rock to expose how his greed is costing the American people.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The majority whip.

MOTION TO TABLE AMENDMENT NO. 6779

Mr. BARRASSO. I move to table Senate amendment No. 6779.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the motion. The motion was agreed to.

MOTION TO TABLE AMENDMENT NO. 6777

Mr. BARRASSO. I move to table Senate amendment No. 6777.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the motion. The motion was agreed to.

WAIVING QUORUM CALL

Mr. BARRASSO. I ask unanimous consent to waive the mandatory quorum call with respect to the cloture motion on S. 4668.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT AGREEMENT—H. CON. RES. 89

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that if the order with respect to H. Con Res. 89 is executed following the cloture vote on S. 4668, the Senate vote on adoption of H. Con. Res. 89 at 1:45 p.m. today.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

VOTE ON AMENDMENT NO. 6776

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question now occurs on adoption of the amendment.

Mr. BARRASSO. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

The result was announced—yeas 77, nays 23, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 242 Leg.]

YEAS—77

Armstrong	Gallego	Murray
Baldwin	Graham	Ossoff
Banks	Grassley	Padilla
Barrasso	Hagerty	Peters
Bennet	Hassan	Ricketts
Blackburn	Hawley	Risch
Boozman	Heinrich	Rosen
Britt	Hickenlooper	Rounds
Budd	Hoeven	Schatz
Cantwell	Husted	Schmitt
Capito	Hyde-Smith	Scott (SC)
Cassidy	Johnson	Shaheen
Collins	Justice	Sheehy
Coons	Kennedy	Slotkin
Cornyn	King	Smith
Cortez Masto	Klobuchar	Sullivan
Cotton	Lankford	Thune
Cramer	Lee	Tillis
Crapo	Lujan	Tuberville
Cruz	Lummis	Warner
Curtis	Marshall	Welch
Daines	McConnell	Whitehouse
Durbin	McCormick	Wicker
Ernst	Moran	Wyden
Fetterman	Moreno	Young
Fischer	Murkowski	

NAYS—23

Alsobrooks	Kelly	Sanders
Blumenthal	Kim	Schiff
Blunt Rochester	Markey	Schumer
Booker	Merkley	Scott (FL)
Duckworth	Moody	Van Hollen
Gillibrand	Murphy	Warnock
Hirono	Paul	Warren
Kaine	Reed	

The amendment (No. 6776) was agreed to.

CLOTURE MOTION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Pursuant to rule XXII, the Chair lays before the Senate the pending cloture motion, which the clerk will state.

The senior assistant executive clerk read as follows:

CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on Calendar No. 449, S. 4668, a bill to protect the name, image, and likeness rights of, and provide protections for, student athletes and to promote fair competition among intercollegiate athletics, and for other purposes.

John Thune, Ted Cruz, Eric Schmitt, Chuck Grassley, Tim Sheehy, John Barrasso, Steve Daines, Pete Ricketts, John Cornyn, John R. Curtis, Alan Armstrong, Ted Budd, James Lankford, Bernie Moreno, Cindy Hyde-Smith, James E. Risch, Mike Crapo.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. By unanimous consent, the mandatory quorum call has been waived.

The question is, Is it the sense of the Senate that debate on S. 4668, a bill to protect the name, image, and likeness rights of, and provide protections for, student athletes and to promote fair competition among intercollegiate athletics, and for other purposes, shall be brought to a close?

The yeas and nays are mandatory under the rule.

The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant executive clerk called the roll.

Mr. BARRASSO. The following Senator is necessarily absent: the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. TILLIS).

The yeas and nays resulted—yeas 74, nays 25, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 243 Leg.]

YEAS—74

Armstrong	Fischer	Moreno
Baldwin	Gallego	Murkowski
Banks	Graham	Murray
Barrasso	Grassley	Ossoff
Bennet	Hagerty	Padilla
Blackburn	Hassan	Peters
Boozman	Hawley	Ricketts
Britt	Heinrich	Risch
Budd	Hickenlooper	Rosen
Cantwell	Hoeven	Rounds
Capito	Husted	Schatz
Cassidy	Hyde-Smith	Schmitt
Collins	Johnson	Scott (SC)
Coons	Justice	Shaheen
Cornyn	Kennedy	Sheehy
Cortez Masto	King	Slotkin
Cotton	Klobuchar	Smith
Cramer	Lankford	Sullivan
Crapo	Lee	Thune
Cruz	Lujan	Tuberville
Curtis	Lummis	Warner
Daines	Marshall	Welch
Durbin	McConnell	Wicker
Ernst	McCormick	Young
Fetterman	Moran	

NAYS—25

Alsobrooks	Kim	Schumer
Blumenthal	Markey	Scott (FL)
Blunt Rochester	Merkley	Van Hollen
Booker	Moody	Warnock
Duckworth	Murphy	Warren
Gillibrand	Paul	Whitehouse
Hirono	Reed	Wyden
Kaine	Sanders	
Kelly	Schiff	

NOT VOTING—1

Tillis

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. HAGERTY). On this vote the yeas are 74, the nays are 25. The motion is agreed to.

The motion was agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader.

MOTION TO TABLE

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I move to table the committee-reported substitute amendment.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the motion. The motion is agreed to.

The motion was agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from New Jersey.

DIRECTING THE PRESIDENT, PURSUANT TO SECTION 5(c) OF THE WAR POWERS RESOLUTION, TO REMOVE UNITED STATES ARMED FORCES FROM HOSTILITIES WITH IRAN

Mr. KIM. Mr. President, on behalf of the two leaders, I ask the Chair to execute the order of August 8, 2026, with respect to H. Con. Res 89.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Committee on Foreign Relations is discharged from further consideration of H. Con. Res. 89, and the Senate will proceed to its immediate consideration.

The clerk will report the concurrent resolution by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 89) directing the President, pursuant to section 5(c) of the War Powers Resolution, to remove United States Armed Forces from hostilities with Iran.