



United States
of America

Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 119th CONGRESS, FIRST SESSION

Vol. 171

WASHINGTON, TUESDAY, OCTOBER 14, 2025

No. 169

Senate

The Senate met at 3 p.m. and was called to order by the President pro tempore (Mr. GRASSLEY).

PRAYER

The Chaplain, Dr. Barry C. Black, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray.

O God, who has spread out the heavens and marches on the waves of the sea, Your great works are too marvelous to understand.

Lord, thank You for Your prevailing providence that sustains us. Thank You for the cease-fire between Gaza and Israel, for the joyful return of hostages, and for the prospect of an even more enduring peace in the Middle East.

Lord, strengthen our lawmakers, empower them to mount up on wings like eagles. Make them one in the common cause of justice, righteousness, and truth.

And, Lord, bring a cease-fire to our legislative combat.

We pray in Your loving Name. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The President pro tempore led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. HUSTED). Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under previous order, the Senate will be in a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

RECOGNITION OF THE MAJORITY LEADER

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader is recognized.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS AND EXTENSIONS ACT, 2026—Motion to Proceed

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I move to proceed to Calendar No. 168, H.R. 5371.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the motion.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

Motion to proceed to Calendar no. 168, H.R. 5371, making continuing appropriations and extensions for fiscal year 2026, and for other purposes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Iowa.

ISRAEL

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, as we all know, the last of the living hostages taken into Gaza by terrorists on October 7, 2 years ago, have now been returned to their families. We can all say: Praise the Lord.

I think we all know that this would not have happened without the persistent and skilled leadership of President Trump. The deal that President Trump was able to strike involves a cease-fire and withdrawal of Israeli forces from Gaza, with Hamas not allowed to resume control of Gaza.

Remember, the only reason the Israeli Defense Forces entered Gaza in the first place was because Hamas planned a horrific attack on peaceful Israeli communities, killing over 1,200 people, including 46 Americans.

The Israeli Defense Forces have found written instructions from the leaders of Hamas in Gaza instructing terrorists to broadcast their sadistic torture and killing of Israeli civilians to strike fear in the hearts of Israelis and to destabilize the country. Hamas also thought those images would set off

an uprising in the West Bank and with fellow Iranian-backed terrorist groups in the region, with the ultimate goal of destroying Israel.

Once again, Israel destroyed those who sought Israel's destruction.

I have sympathy for everyone who has suffered from Hamas's rule of Gaza, Israelis and Palestinians alike, and other people as well. However, those who called for a unilateral cease-fire without the release of all hostages, while leaving Hamas in charge of Gaza, cannot call themselves anti-war because this would reward Hamas for starting that war.

What made this cease-fire possible was President Trump's diplomatic efforts with others in the Arab world to pressure Hamas into accepting that they must give up power.

It is vital that our partners in the Arab world and throughout the West maintain a consistent message that terrorism will not be rewarded.

Israel is here to stay. Those who dreamed of its destruction need to accept that fact—that Israel is here to stay—and learn to live in peace. This is the only way for real and lasting peace.

And while I am at it, we ought to think of another Trump success in his first term, and that is the Abraham Accords.

If the principle behind the Abraham Accords can be accepted by other Arab nations, besides peace, there will be a great economic benefit to all nations.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader.

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I want to join my colleague Senator GRASSLEY from Iowa and, before I begin, mention the release of the hostages on Monday. After more than 2 years in captivity, the final 20 living hostages were returned to Israel and reunited with their loved ones.

To see the peace process moving forward is an occasion for immense gratitude, and I hope that both sides will

• This “bullet” symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.



Printed on recycled paper.

adhere to the terms of the agreement so that this can mark the beginning of an end to the bloodshed. That includes the prompt and respectful return by Hamas of the remains of the deceased hostages.

I know that President Trump, without whom this would not have happened, Secretary Rubio, and others continue to work to hammer out the remaining steps of the peace process. That will have to include disarming Hamas and ensuring that the organization plays no future role in governance in the Gaza Strip.

My prayers are with the President, Secretary Rubio, and all of those on both sides who are working to make peace a reality.

GOVERNMENT FUNDING

Mr. President, “Every day gets better for us.” So said the Democrat leader in an interview published on Thursday, hours before Democrats, once again, voted to keep the government closed and to deny servicemembers a paycheck. Federal workers, including law enforcement officers, missed part of their pay last Friday, but, hey, at least, life is getting better for Senate Democrats.

A CNN article from Thursday said that Democrats are preparing to keep on with this shutdown for “several more weeks.”

I guess Democrats are not going to be satisfied until military families and government workers are lining up at food banks or visiting payday lenders or simply charging necessary items like milk and bread on their credit cards to be repaid later, if they can, along with the big interest charges that come with that.

But, hey, while military families and government workers may be deeply stressed, at least, life is getting better every day for Senate Democrats.

I could, at least, understand a little bit more where Democrats are coming from if Republicans were asking them to swallow a lot of new Republican policies to reopen the government.

But Republicans aren't asking the Democrats for anything. We are not asking them to support any new Republican policies. We are not asking them to swallow any partisan policy riders.

We have put forward, and the House has passed, a clean, nonpartisan CR. That is the bill Democrats are rejecting.

And, by the way, you certainly don't have to take my word for it that we put forward a clean bill. Here is what one mainstream news publication said last week:

It's important to note that Democrats instigated this shutdown. They rejected a “clean” funding proposal and demanded significant and expensive health care policy changes in exchange for their votes.

It's important to note that Democrats instigated this shutdown. They rejected a clean funding proposal and demanded significant and expensive health care policy changes in exchange for their votes.

Yes, that pretty much captures it right there, in a nutshell. Democrats

like to whine that Republicans aren't negotiating, but negotiation is what you do when each side has a list of demands and you need to meet in the middle.

Republicans, as I and a lot of other people have pointed out, haven't put forward any demands. Only Democrats have made demands—by the way, very expensive demands—and they have taken government funding hostage in an attempt to force through their partisan measures.

I remember when Democrats used to care about the effects of a government shutdown. In fact, I could fill a whole speech—many speeches, actually—with quotes from Democrats talking about how terrible shutdowns are.

I used to think they were sincere in those opinions, but it has become abundantly clear that their previous statements weren't based on principle but on political advantage.

Democrats were against shutdowns when it suited their political purposes, and now that it suits their political purposes, they think, to keep the government closed—now they support shutdowns.

I suspect their political calculations are flawed because, after all, 65 percent of voters think Democrats should reopen the government, instead of holding out for their partisan demands. But I guess Democrats are more worried about gaining the approval of their far-left base than about getting the approval of everyday Americans.

It is day 14 of the Democrats' shutdown. Our economy is losing billions of dollars. Federal workers, including law enforcement officers, are going without pay. Critical government programs are in jeopardy.

But, hey, at least life is getting better every day for Senate Democrats.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The majority whip.

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, today is day 14 of the Schumer shutdown, and Democrats continue to betray the American people.

Let me read some of the headlines I have seen over the last several days. The Military Times writes: “Military families seek out food assistance [during] shutdown anxiety.”

An Axios headline warns that there could be “insufficient funds for food stamps.”

A local New York TV station reports: “Government shutdown threatens food access for Long Island's most vulnerable.”

And the Wall Street Journal reads: “Thin Air-Traffic Staffing Spurs Delays at U.S. Airports.”

Anxiety, concerns about food, concerns about our airports, these are real-life impacts of the Schumer shutdown, and the pain is getting worse each and every day.

And what does Senator SCHUMER do? He treats it as a game. A game. Lives are in the balance; treats it as a game. He bragged about shutting down the government. Bragged about it. It was carefully planned, and in a carefully planned interview with Punchbowl News, he gloated.

He said:

Every day gets better for us.

That is what SCHUMER said.

Every day gets better for us.

Who is the “us”? Certainly not the American people.

The Washington Post pointed out: This is a dangerous political game—because it really matters to the American people, even though it may be just a game being played by the Democrats in this body.

You take a look at this and say: Whose lives are getting better every day? Certainly not the lives of the men and women in uniform who are worried about their paychecks. They are the ones who protect our country.

It is not our Border Patrol agents. Oh no, it is not getting better for them each and every day. They are busy keeping out illegal immigrants.

It is not the air traffic controllers who keep our skies safe. No, it is not getting better for them each and every day. It is not the military families who are lining up at food banks. Oh, no, it is not getting better for them every day.

So I ask again, when CHUCK SCHUMER tells the press that “every day it is getting better for us,” whose lives are getting better by what he is doing and the Democrats are doing to the American people?

Whose lives are getting better every day because of the Schumer shutdown? It is not the American people.

It is fascinating. You know, we go home on weekends. We talk to people at home. They are concerned about what is happening to their lives during the time of a government shutdown.

They are not worried but are concerned about what they see happening here in Washington, where they see the Democrats beholden to the far-left, radical, extreme, dangerous, scary wing of the party because that is what is happening here in this body.

You look across the aisle, we see an entire group of Democrats being held hostage. Who is this group? Well, it is the Marxists, anti-ICE, anti-America wing of the Democrat Party that are controlling the party. The “us” the minority leader is talking about are them. That is whom he is talking about. They are running the Democrat Party right now.

And in the process, they are running our country into the ground. In the same interview that Senator SCHUMER gave last week to Punchbowl News,

when he said that “every day it is getting better for them,” he also said and admitted, he said:

We thought about this long in advance.

The far-left wing of the party, long in advance, planned for this shutdown, and they did it with CHUCK SCHUMER in his office. They organized a war room. They orchestrated the shutdown that brought all of this pain on the American people.

The minority leader handed the reins to that far-left wing and now they are the ones driving the stagecoach and they are driving the stagecoach over the cliff. And it is a mistake and it is an error and it is hurting the American people. And they don’t seem to care because they are treating it as a game, even though it is a dangerous game, as the Washington Post points out.

So what does all this mean in this big political game? Well, there is one person trying to save his own hide, and we know that is the minority leader, especially as we get closer to this radical rally that is scheduled in Washington on October 18.

Democrats don’t want to disappoint the radicals who are descending upon this city next weekend. This is the far-left activist group. It is called Indivisible. You may have heard of them.

This is what one of the organizers of the radical rally had to say. He said the head of the group clearly exposed their threat to the minority leader.

He said:

Millions will be out there cheering you on, or they’ll be calling for new leadership.

And they are controlling the party. They are calling the tune, and we see the Democrats dance, not for the American people but for the far-left wing of their party. And they are holding the reins. They are telling the minority leader: You keep this shutdown going or you pack up your office and leave.

They don’t seem to care that the American people are suffering, not one bit. What we see is the minority leader playing politics and choosing politics as a game over doing what is right for the American people.

And the Democrats are playing a dangerous game, and it is hurting all of us. And why are they playing the game? Because one of them is trying to use it for political gain.

We could end this shutdown today. We are going to have a vote here this afternoon, an opportunity to end the shutdown. And the pain and the suffering and the anxiety that the American people are feeling—when I say “American people,” I am talking about American citizens who are all around the world defending us, keeping us free.

All we are asking is for a vote on a clean continuing resolution. The Democrats did it 13 times when Joe Biden was in the White House. They are not willing to do it now because they have an organized, orchestrated plan to shut down the government, keep it shut down.

Now, some Democrats have voted with us. We have had a bipartisan group. It has 55 votes on the votes that we have had. We need five more Democrats. I don’t expect the minority leader to ever join that group to open the government, ever, no matter how obvious it is, how necessary it is, how much pain he is causing.

But that is what we have and that we are facing today, and we have a chance just this afternoon, 5:30 this afternoon, on a vote of this body to pick up and pass the bipartisan bill, a continuing resolution that they have done 13 times in the House and the Senate when Joe Biden was President.

And yet the Democrats in this body have been voting no, time after time after time. We need a few more Democrats, a few who care about helping the American people and care more about helping the American people than they do about helping the minority leader.

He has a political disaster on his hands. That is not a problem for all of us. But we are suffering the consequences of the toxicity of that position of the minority leader within his own party, in his effort to try to find absolution at a time causing significant pain and grief for American people who are struggling to get by, facing a loss of paychecks, a loss of nutrition, a loss of care for their children, a loss of help for small businesses. You can go down the list.

All of this could be resolved today with a simple vote for a continuing resolution to open the government once again. That is what we should do today.

That is the right thing for this body and the right thing for our country.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

RECOGNITION OF THE MINORITY LEADER

The Democratic leader is recognized.

ISRAEL

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, I would like to begin today by underscoring some really wonderful news. Yesterday, the last living hostages, brutally held by the vicious Hamas organization, were released. Now they are back home finally with their loved ones.

This is an immense, overwhelming sigh of relief for everyone. I commend the enormous advocacy of the tireless hostage families and all who helped make this moment happen.

Over the last 2 years, I have gotten to know many of these hostage families well. I have been inspired by their strength and their courage and their persistence—they never gave up; they were here all the time—in bringing their loved ones home. Now, after so

long, so much pain, so many sleepless nights, it warms my heart to see the joyous images of those families and many others reuniting with their loved ones.

But, as we all know, there is still a whole lot of work to be done. I remain fully committed to bringing home the remains of all the hostages who died in captivity—essential in Jewish law and Jewish burial—including the remains of my two constituents Omer Neutra and Itay Chen.

Hersh Goldberg Polin’s mother Rachel reminded us of the beautiful Jewish teaching during Sukkot that sums up this moment so fiercely. She said:

There is a time to sob, and there is a time to dance, and we have to do both right now.

Of course, they lost their loved one; they lost their child.

This cease-fire represents a real opportunity to finally ensure a lasting end to this painful war, to surge humanitarian assistance and relief to the suffering Palestinians in Gaza, to make progress on negotiations for stability and governance in Gaza to ensure this is more than just a temporary cease-fire, and finally—finally—to build a lasting peace ultimately through a two-state solution.

For the last year on this floor, I have told the Trump administration, the Netanyahu administration, and everybody else that the best and only long-term solution to ultimate, long-term peace in the Middle East is a two-state solution. Well, now there is a potential opening to get that, and everyone involved must move in that direction, even if we have to move mountains. It ensures security, prosperity, and dignity for Israelis and Palestinians alike. Only then will a true peace be realized.

ARGENTINA

On Argentina, if Americans want to know just how little Donald Trump seems to care about their healthcare, look at what he is doing today in the Oval Office. As we speak, the administration is approving \$20 billion in taxpayer dollars to bail out Argentina in the middle of a shutdown.

I have just one question: If this administration has \$20 billion to spare for a MAGA-friendly foreign government, how can they say we don’t have the money to lower healthcare costs here at home?

The overwhelming majority of Americans say “We need help with our healthcare,” and they are busy spending \$20 billion because Trump seems to really like the leader of Argentina. They are both sort of MAGA types.

The administration is giving this money away to help Argentina’s hard-right President in his election, where his party is in serious trouble. It is a bailout. It is nonsensical. And at the same time, the American people are frightened, scared, and worried about losing their healthcare. It makes no sense to give money to Argentina and then say we don’t have enough money to help Americans with healthcare. It is so against what Americans want. I

am sure if we had a vote here in this country, it would be 99 to 1 almost that the money belongs here, and that is what we should be focused on.

Meanwhile, farmers across America are looking at this Argentina bailout and wondering whose side the administration is on. It has been a devastating year for our soybean farmers because this administration has bungled trade talks with Beijing, and as a consequence, they have killed our soybean exports to that country. Now China is looking precisely to Argentina to cut new soybean deals while our farmers at home suffer backbreaking losses.

On top of all that, you mean to tell me the administration is fine with sending \$20 billion of taxpayer dollars to help foreign farmers compete against American farmers here at home in the middle of a shutdown?

It is insane—utterly insane. This Argentina bailout is a slap in the face to both American farmers and to working families worried about keeping their healthcare.

I will say it again. If this administration has \$20 billion to spare for a MAGA-friendly foreign government, they cannot turn around and say we don't have the money to lower healthcare costs here at home. Those costs are going through the roof.

GOVERNMENT FUNDING

Mr. President, on day 14 of the Trump shutdown, I want to remind everybody why we are here in the first place. Democrats made it very clear day in and day out: We want to open the government and have a serious negotiation to fix the healthcare premium crisis that is set to wallop the American people.

Nearly everyone agrees that looming ACA premium hikes are a devastating problem. The public knows it, we Democrats know it, the White House privately knows it, and even Republicans here in Congress know it. They admit it. Yet Republicans remain dug in and insist that there is nothing to negotiate when it comes to healthcare, that they won't even sit down and talk with Democrats about it. That makes no sense. That is not the way we always get our CRs done.

The Republican leader has now scheduled seven votes on the Republican partisan CR, and it has failed to secure enough votes to pass this Chamber each time. That means, like it or not, the Republican leader needs to work with Democrats in a bipartisan way to reopen the government, just as we did when we passed 13 CRs when I was majority leader.

Last week, we saw what happens when Republicans choose to work with Democrats in a serious way. We passed the NDAA—it was a strong national defense bill—with a strong bipartisan vote. We need the same enthusiasm from Republicans that we saw on the NDAA here on the healthcare issue.

Of course, the biggest roadblock right now to reopening the government is the Speaker of the House. The

Speaker has kept Congress on vacation for 3 weeks. It is just incredible. Government workers must work without getting paid; House Republicans get paid without working.

JOHNSON continues to insist there is nothing to negotiate, but I want to make one point very clear: Republican leaders can say all they want that there is nothing to negotiate, but if Republicans don't change course, then very soon 20 million Americans are going to face financial catastrophe when their healthcare premiums go through the roof. And many more Americans will face it than 20 million, but 20 million are right on the frontlines here because of the ACA. That is a reality Republicans can't make disappear by just digging in.

The ACA premium crisis is not a fix-it-later issue but, rather, a fix-it-now issue because open enrollment starts in less than a month, and window-shopping has already begun in several States, where people have the awful choice: Should I go without healthcare altogether with my family? What if my kid or my spouse or I get sick? Or should I cut back on almost everything else because I need to pay huge increases in my healthcare insurance?

So Republicans may think they can dig in until the next ice age, but a fork in the road is coming their way whether they like it or not: Either work with Democrats to fix ACA premiums or sit by as 20 million Americans pay thousands of dollars more out of pocket.

If Republicans remain dug in, the American people will hold them responsible for rising healthcare costs. If Republicans do nothing, they will be the ones triggering a massive healthcare panic that will be felt from coast to coast. This is a nonnegotiable fact.

Democrats want to avoid reaching that point. We want to work in a serious way with our Republican colleagues and with President Trump to defuse this healthcare crisis before it starts, but we are running out of time.

POLITICO REPORT

Mr. President, I want to close by speaking briefly on an extremely disturbing report I just read in POLITICO. Earlier today, POLITICO published a story purporting to show thousands of private messages containing racist, sexist, and violent comments by young Republican leaders across the country. The leaked conversations are revolting. They make you want to throw up. They include comments supposedly from young Republican leaders saying things like “I love Hitler.” Can you believe it? They include gas chamber jokes—how sick. They include racist obscenities, including the N-word. They include jokes with sexual assault.

Many of these individuals who allegedly made these comments have positions of leadership in the Young Republican organization. It is hard to believe. You can't make this up. It is awful. It is revolting. It is disgusting. It is obnoxious. It is the kind of gar-

bage the worst kinds of people say when they think no one is watching.

If this report is accurate, every single Republican leader from President Trump on down, including every Republican Senator, including the Republican National Committee, ought to condemn these comments swiftly and unequivocally, and the people should no longer have any position of power in any Republican organization—the people who said it.

At this delicate political moment, party leaders of all sides have an obligation to speak out against this kind of violent and vile rhetoric. Republican leaders cannot be silent if these sickening reports are true.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The minority whip.

ILLINOIS

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, last Friday, Senator TAMMY DUCKWORTH, my colleague from Illinois, and I went back home to Illinois, to Broadview, which is a suburb of the city of Chicago. It has become quite well known around the country because it is a detention facility for ICE. I have been there many times in the past.

Every Friday morning, two wonderful women, Sister Pat and Sister Joanne, used to go to the Broadview facility and pray a rosary and then go inside and say goodbye to the detainees who were being deported by plane that very morning. It was a peaceful and reassuring experience because so many people were praying for those folks who were going to see their lives turned upside down.

The people who ran the facility couldn't have been more cooperative. They had a lawful responsibility, but they did not object to my entering the Broadview facility in years gone by, saying goodbye to the detainees and a few words of encouragement with the nuns who would accompany me. It became kind of a ritual which we were very proud of.

How things have changed. How things have changed. Senator DUCKWORTH and I were simply asking if we could go inside and see what the facility looked like. Reports are that there seem to be large groups of people moving through there. There are only two cells and one bathroom. Those are the sorts of things which are part of oversight for us—to look at facilities and make sure they are humane, consistent with our values. But, unfortunately, over and over again, ICE has refused to allow Senator DUCKWORTH and myself access to their operations in Chicago. I don't understand why. If they thought these operations were compliant with the law and accomplishing something good for America, they would certainly want the people to see them—those who are responsible for funding their Agency. The opposite has been true.

It was 3 or 4 weeks ago that we went up to the Great Lakes naval training station north of Chicago because it had been disclosed that they were leasing a

building to ICE. Senator DUCKWORTH and I went there because this is a critically important Department of Defense facility. Every sailor in the U.S. Navy goes through training at Great Lakes—every one of them—and we are very proud of the good work that is done to train them to serve our Nation. We wanted to know what ICE was going to do if they came to Great Lakes naval training station, as they promised. We have a legitimate concern.

Every Thursday, 900 to 1,000 of these sailors go through a graduation ceremony, commencement ceremony, and their proud parents sit in bleachers with their cameras following the events of the day, which are historic for each family.

So we understand that with 900 to 1,000 graduates, families come from all over the United States to witness this moment in family history that will be talked about forever—the day their son or daughter graduated from Great Lakes. We were concerned if ICE is going to go through and check everybody who looks suspicious—the Kavanaugh test: Do they look like they are Hispanic? What impact is that going to have on Great Lakes, on the men and women who work there, on the young graduates of the training course? What impact would that have if someone from ICE decided to start asking for identification papers—passports, driver's licenses—of those sitting in the bleachers? It is not beyond the realm of possibility. In fact, it is a real possibility because we are watching what ICE is doing in the city of Chicago. It is terrible. It is indefensible. It is embarrassing.

I don't know if the ICE agents who are responsible for this conduct have been well trained, whether they are veterans of the force, or whether they answer the ads that show up on Chicago television all the time that "If you will sign up for ICE, we will give you a \$50,000 check, a bonus, to sign up." I don't know who is signing up. I don't know how many are signing up. ICE and the Department of Homeland Security refused to disclose that to Congress or to the American people.

They say it is justified. It is justified, the tactics they are using—wearing masks, with no insignia on their person that shows that they work for any Federal Agency whatsoever. They justify this by saying that it is so dangerous in the city of Chicago—dangerous in the city of Chicago.

I thought about that this weekend. They say in criticism of Chicago that people are fleeing the forces of violence. Well, there was some fleeing going on in Chicago this weekend: 53,000 runners came for the Chicago Marathon.

We are told that it is so dangerous in the city of Chicago that this President has to send in National Guard volunteers from the State of Texas who have been federalized and controlled by the Federal Government. Fifty-three thousand runners and over half a million

tourists. Dangerous city? Not what I see. Can we do better when it comes to reducing crime? You bet. Isn't that true for just about every city in America?

When it gets down to it, the danger is not in the streets in the city of Chicago; the danger is what is happening when troops are being sent in to stand on street corners with rifles.

I am a fan of the National Guard. TAMMY DUCKWORTH, who was with me, spent 24 years in the Guard before she was shot down in Iraq. She loves those Guard men and women, considers them her brothers and sisters. I am sorry they are being dragged into this political theater being created by President Trump.

The reality is, what the ICE agents are doing in the city of Chicago is nothing short of outrageous. Innocent people involved in peaceful, constitutional, legal conduct are being assaulted by these officers. We live in an age of cell phones and videos. This is not something that we are making up; it is on the news every night. The people of Chicago resent it, and they should, and I join them in that feeling.

Yes, follow the law, but to abuse people who are simply there to protest the presence of these ICE forces—that is guaranteed acceptable and legal by our Constitution. Article I of the Bill of Rights makes that very clear.

So we left water for the volunteers who were there and those who were participating.

We asked to go into the Broadview facility, and once again, we were turned down. We are doing our best to make sure we continue to keep an eye on what is happening.

Restaurants in Chicago feel that the ICE agents are making things worse, not better. They started putting notices on the windows and doors and entries to some restaurants that ICE agents are not welcome—and for good reason. When ICE shows up, people know it. Word spreads quickly, and people flee and hide for fear of what is going to happen to them. That is the reality of the strategy in Chicago, and it is the strategy which has to come to an end and should very soon.

If these men and women who are representing our government and ICE are proud of what they are going to do, let's have full disclosure. Let's find out what they have done, how they are spending the billions of dollars we are sending their way, and why that money isn't being spent on law enforcement now. If you want to help reduce crime and violent crime and murder, work with the police and law enforcement. But, no, that is not what the administration wants to do. They want their own show. They want their own theater.

I have tried to visit these facilities many times. Once again, I was disappointed this last Friday, but that is not the end of my effort. As long as I am serving in this capacity, I will accept my constitutional responsibility to watch how the money is being spent.

On Saturday, I spoke to peaceful protesters who have been demonstrating at Broadview for weeks. I went to St. Eulalia Church, which is just on the outskirts of Chicago, near Broadview. Hundreds of people showed up at that church to begin a holy procession to the Broadview facility and to ask if they could be allowed to go in and, if not, if they could distribute communion among the demonstrators as well as the law enforcement who were there.

It was a peaceful demonstration, and I was proud to be a part of it. It was an effort to bring to light, publicly, what is going on and to make it clear that Chicago is not a dangerous city and that the presence of troops sent by this President and this administration was totally unnecessary. Protesters facing tear gas and pepper spray from DHS is not making America any safer or Chicago any safer.

Hundreds of people rallied outside the ICE facility in Broadview, peacefully protesting. Were some arrested? Yes. Last Friday, of the hundreds who went there, how many were arrested? Four—four. Does that seem like a situation where you want to call in the National Guard from Texas?

And to think that the President claims that using a Federal military force will improve the situation. The reality is that the opposite is true. While the Guard is in Illinois now, it could be in your State next. It could be your family taken from their homes and their beds in the middle of the night, in a violent raid, as happened on September 30 in the 2200 block of the South Shore.

While I will continue to do everything in my power to push back on the President's military intrusion into our cities, I implore my Republican colleagues to stop and reflect on what is happening; to reflect on the deployment of troops into States which are not asking for help at all and don't need it. This is an illegal, unconstitutional power grab by the President, who is determined to consolidate his power and stifle any dissent. It does not make America safer.

REMEMBERING SISTER JEAN DOLORES SCHMIDT

Mr. President, on a separate topic, the phrase "cura personalis" is the lodestar of Jesuit education. It means "care of the whole person," and it emphasizes the need for teachers to cultivate not just a person's mind but their spirit as well. No one embodied this ethos better than Sister Jean Dolores Schmidt, and it is with a heavy heart that I share the news of her passing.

For more than half a century, Sister Jean shared her faith with her community in Chicago. She was a teacher—a professor—at Mundelein College before it affiliated with Loyola University, where she became an academic advisor.

She was famous on the basketball scene. Basketball players might remember Sister Jean as the de facto chaplain and mascot of the Loyola

Ramblers of the city of Chicago. Her cheers were louder than anyone else's in the arena. She helped to lead the Ramblers to the Final Four in 2018.

She was a wonderful person, and it was my good fortune to get to know her. Students at Loyola remember her as the person who always kept her office door open, a symbol of her constant willingness to take care of students, the whole person. In her pregame prayers with the team, Sister Jean would ask for God's grace in one sentence and give coaching advice to individual players in the next. She was more than a loyal fan and a coach. She was Loyola's spiritual captain.

I had the great fortune of working with former President Biden to obtain a Presidential proclamation to honor Sister Jean and the thousands of lives that she touched.

In thinking of her passing, I am reminded of Job 14:7. This passage speaks of the tree that was cut down and reminds us that it may, one day, grow again.

While Sister Jean is no longer with us, her legacy of kindness and grace will continue to push others to serve just as she did for her entire life. Her tender branch will sprout once more, embodied in those who choose faith, service, and love. Sister Jean will be dearly missed.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Massachusetts.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT REQUEST—S. 2965

Ms. WARREN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs be discharged from further consideration of S. 2965 and the Senate proceed to its immediate consideration; that the bill be considered read a third time and passed and the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table, with no intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

The Senator from South Carolina.

Mr. SCOTT of South Carolina. Mr. President, I rise to oppose S. 2965. This bill would impair Treasury's ability to support an important ally in South America, a region this administration has rightly prioritized.

Argentina has the third largest economy in Latin America and has been a strong partner in supporting U.S. security and economic goals. Eliminating Treasury's ability to use the Exchange Stabilization Fund to support this important ally is unnecessary. I, therefore, oppose this bill and urge my Senate colleagues to do the same.

I object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The objection is heard.

The Senator from Massachusetts.

Ms. WARREN. Mr. President, the reason that I asked for unanimous consent to proceed with the No Argentina Bailout Act—it is a bill that I coauthored with Senators Kaine, Van Hollen, Booker, Smith, Gallego, Sand-

ers, and Welch, and the reason for this is because, in his Presidential campaign, Donald Trump promised to put "America First"—not Argentina first; America first.

Right now, American families are struggling to pay for groceries and rent because of Donald Trump's chaotic tariffs and other disastrous economic policies.

President Trump and congressional Republicans shut down the government because they refused to work with Democrats to pass a bipartisan budget that would protect 15 million Americans' healthcare and prevent insurance premium hikes for tens of millions more.

Now, Republicans would rather shut down the government than help Americans afford healthcare. Because of the Republican shutdown, more than a quarter of a million Federal employees won't receive their scheduled paychecks. National parks are understaffed, and some are even closed entirely. Air traffic controllers and TSA agents aren't getting their paychecks, and shutdown-related staff shortages have led to flight delays.

Critical government Agency functions are being affected across the board, but one government function that President Trump didn't want to shut down: sending \$20 billion—American taxpayer dollars—to Argentina.

That is right. Even while the Trump administration is trying to fire more American workers and shut down more services here in the United States, Donald Trump is carefully keeping open the office at the Treasury Department responsible for executing his bailout of Argentina's financial markets.

The administration agreed to send \$20 billion in American taxpayer money to Argentina's central bank, and that loan is evidently just the start. Secretary Bessent also revealed the Treasury started purchasing Argentine pesos directly and promised that going forward, Treasury would do whatever it takes to keep Argentina's financial markets afloat, including buying up the country's debt.

Secretary Bessent seems to be saying that the American economy can tank, and Americans can pay higher prices, but there will be no end to using American taxpayer dollars to bail out Argentina.

For Trump, the leader of Argentina is more important than American families struggling with rising costs for healthcare. This is a bitter blow, but for American farmers, the story is even worse.

For months now, American farmers, particularly American soybean farmers, have been suffering under the weight of Trump's sweeping tariffs. Buyers around the world have been reluctant to purchase from our farmers when Trump is slapping tariffs on their home countries.

While our farmers suffer, other countries sense an opportunity for themselves. A few weeks ago, Argentina cut

a deal with China to boost the sales of their soybeans and leave American soybean farmers out in the cold. After Argentina took those actions, after Argentina cut a deal to take the legs out from underneath American farmers, Trump authorized a \$20 billion bailout for Argentina.

This development is so terrible for American farmers and so embarrassing that the Treasury Secretary was caught looking at frantic texts from President Trump's own Agriculture Secretary, complaining that the United States is bailing out a country that cozied up to China at the expense of American farmers.

So why is Donald Trump backpedaling on "America First" to make it Argentina first? Because Donald Trump's personal friend, close political ally, and "favorite President," Argentine President Javier Milei, is facing a critical midterm election in the coming weeks, and he is in trouble.

Milei's popularity has plummeted in recent months because of his ongoing corruption scandals and the complete failure of his radical economic agenda. As a result, his party suffered a surprising defeat in local elections in September.

Now, the election coming up on October 26 will determine whether Milei can keep exerting control over Argentina's legislature. With global investors pulling their money from the country trying to get off his sinking ship and destabilizing its financial markets, his party's electoral chances looked really slim.

So Trump is sending 20 billion U.S. taxpayer dollars to bail out Argentina and prop up Milei so can stay in power. That is Argentina first, not "America First."

But there is more. The bailout for Argentina also helps Treasury Secretary Scott Bessent's billionaire hedge fund buddies. Bessent's pals have bought up Argentina's debts, and if Argentina goes down, those Wall Street billionaires could lose a lot of money.

Bailing out Argentina gives an offramp to the Wall Street giants who want to exit their investments. And meanwhile, here at home, the Trump administration is pushing 15 million Americans toward an unwanted offramp from their current healthcare.

The No Argentina Bailout Act is really very simple. It would stop Donald Trump from using Treasury's Exchange Stabilization Fund to provide direct or indirect financial assistance to Argentina, including through the use of currency swap lines, currency or sovereign debt purchases, or direct loans.

Any existing financial contracts or instruments that violate this prohibition would have to be sold or terminated within 7 days. The prohibition expires at the end of President Milei's term in December 2027. And if, for some unforeseen reason, it is actually in America's interest to provide economic aid to Argentina during this period, the

administration can come here to Congress and get approval.

Today, President Trump hosted the President of Argentina at the White House after showering his country with American taxpayer money. It is shameful that President Trump is propping up a foreign government while he shuts down the American government.

It is simple: If you really want to put “America First,” then you will support American farmers and American families and urge passage of the No Argentina Bailout Act.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. CURTIS). The Senator from Arkansas.

WAIVING QUORUM CALL

Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to waive the mandatory quorum call with respect to the motion to proceed to Calendar No. 168, H.R. 5371.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

CLOTURE MOTION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Pursuant to rule XXII, the Chair lays before the Senate the pending cloture motion, which the clerk will state.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on the motion to proceed to Calendar No. 168, H.R. 5371, a bill making continuing appropriations and extensions for fiscal year 2026, and for other purposes.

John Thune, Eric Schmitt, Jim Justice, James E. Risch, Tom Cotton, Steve Daines, Ted Budd, John R. Curtis, John Boozman, Mike Rounds, Kevin Cramer, Bernie Moreno, Ron Johnson, John Barrasso, Markwayne Mullin, James Lankford, Tim Sheehy.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. By unanimous consent, the mandatory quorum call has been waived.

The question is, Is it the sense of the Senate that debate on the motion to proceed to H.R. 5371, a bill making continuing appropriations and extensions for fiscal year 2026, and for other purposes, shall be brought to a close?

The yeas and nays are mandatory under the rule.

The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. BARRASSO. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. CASSIDY), the Senator from Tennessee (Mr. HAGERTY), the Senator from Kentucky (Mr. MCCONNELL), and the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. TILLIS).

Further, if present and voting, the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. TILLIS) would have voted “yea,” and the Senator from Tennessee (Mr. HAGERTY) would have voted “yea.”

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from Illinois (Ms. DUCKWORTH), and the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. FETTERMAN), are necessarily absent.

The yeas and nays resulted—yeas 49, nays 45, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 571 Leg.]

YEAS—49

Banks	Fischer	Moran
Barrasso	Graham	Moreno
Blackburn	Grassley	Mullin
Boozman	Hawley	Murkowski
Britt	Hoeven	Ricketts
Budd	Husted	Risch
Capito	Hyde-Smith	Rounds
Collins	Johnson	Schmitt
Cornyn	Justice	Scott (FL)
Cortez Masto	Kennedy	Scott (SC)
Cotton	King	Sheehy
Cramer	Lankford	Sullivan
Crapo	Lee	Tuberville
Cruz	Lummis	Wicker
Curtis	Marshall	Young
Daines	McCormick	
Ernst	Moody	

NAYS—45

Alsobrooks	Kaine	Sanders
Baldwin	Kelly	Schatz
Bennet	Kim	Schiff
Blumenthal	Klobuchar	Schumer
Blunt Rochester	Luján	Shaheen
Booker	Markley	Slotkin
Cantwell	Merkley	Smith
Coons	Murphy	Thune
Durbin	Murray	Van Hollen
Gallego	Ossoff	Warner
Gillibrand	Padilla	Warnock
Hassan	Paul	Warren
Heinrich	Peters	Welch
Hickenlooper	Reed	Whitehouse
Hirono	Rosen	Wyden

NOT VOTING—6

Cassidy	Fetterman	McConnell
Duckworth	Hagerty	Tillis

The PRESIDING OFFICER. On this vote, the yeas are 49, the nays are 45.

Three-fifths of the Senators duly chosen and sworn not having voted in the affirmative, the motion is not agreed to.

The motion was rejected.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader.

MOTION TO RECONSIDER

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I enter a motion to reconsider.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The motion is entered.

CLOTURE MOTION

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I send a cloture motion to the desk.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The cloture motion having been presented under rule XXII, the Chair directs the clerk to read the motion.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on the motion to proceed to Calendar No. 168, H.R. 5371, a bill making continuing appropriations and extensions for fiscal year 2026, and for other purposes.

John Thune, Eric Schmitt, Jim Justice, James E. Risch, Tom Cotton, Steve Daines, Ted Budd, John R. Curtis, John Boozman, Mike Rounds, Kevin Cramer, Bernie Moreno, Ron Johnson, John Barrasso, Markwayne Mullin, James Lankford, Tim Sheehy.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I move to proceed to executive session to consider Calendar No. 460.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the motion.

The motion was agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the nomination.

The bill clerk read the nomination of Harold D. Moaty III, of Alabama, to be United States District Judge for the Northern District of Alabama.

CLOTURE MOTION

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I send a cloture motion to the desk.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The cloture motion having been presented under rule XXII, the Chair directs the clerk to read the motion.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on the nomination of Executive Calendar No. 460, Harold D. Moaty III, of Alabama, to be United States District Judge for the Northern District of Alabama.

John Thune, Bernie Moreno, Katie Boyd Britt, Chuck Grassley, James Lankford, Pete Ricketts, Markwayne Mullin, Tim Sheehy, Jon A. Husted, Eric Schmitt, Jim Justice, James E. Risch, Tom Cotton, Steve Daines, Ted Budd, John R. Curtis, John Boozman.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I move to proceed to legislative session.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the motion.

The motion was agreed to.

MOTION TO PROCEED WITHDRAWN

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I withdraw the motion to proceed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator has that right.

The motion was withdrawn.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2026—Motion to Proceed

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I move to proceed to Calendar No. 136, H.R. 4016.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the motion.

The bill clerk read as follows:

Motion to proceed to Calendar No. 136, H.R. 4016, a bill making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2026, and for other purposes.

CLOTURE MOTION

Mr. THUNE. I send a cloture motion to the desk.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The cloture motion having been presented under rule XXII, the Chair directs the clerk to read the motion.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on the motion to proceed to Calendar No. 136, H.R. 4016, a bill making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2026, and for other purposes.

John Thune, Jon A. Husted, Roger F. Wicker, Joni Ernst, Susan M. Collins, Rick Scott of Florida, Mike Crapo, Tim Sheehy, Mike Rounds, James E. Risch, Cindy Hyde-Smith, Tommy Tuberville, Marsha Blackburn, Tom Cotton, Steve Daines, Lindsey Graham, John Boozman.

MORNING BUSINESS

H.J. RES. 104, H.J. RES. 105, AND H.J. RES. 106

Mr. LEE. Mr. President, last week, the Senate passed three resolutions of disapproval under the Congressional Review Act, CRA, H.J. Res. 104, H.J. Res. 105, and H.J. Res. 106. Each resolution responds to actions by the Biden administration to eliminate multiple uses on Federal lands, defying the multiple-use and sustained-yield mandate of existing law. Following their enactment, the Bureau of Land Management, BLM, will have the opportunity to promulgate Resource Management Plans, RMPs, that properly reflect the multiple-use and sustained yield mandate while addressing the concerns raised by Congress and the impacted States. While Congress has long known that it can use the CRA to disapprove of a resource management plan, it has yet to. But the Biden BLM's attacks on American energy producers through these RMPs were so offensive, Congress needed to act.

On November 20, 2024, BLM issued a Record of Decision and Approved RMP Amendment for the Miles City Field Office in Montana. Within the planning area, this amendment prohibited coal leasing on an additional 1.2 million acres and curtailed multiple use by creating an additional 22,000 acres of special recreation management areas. It is these policies that Congress disapproves of through the Congressional Review Act. Governor Greg Gianforte, eastern Montana coal communities, and other impacted parties also opposed the ARMP. Governor Gianforte warned that the plan would result in a loss of \$4.32 billion in future revenue for the State and would have devastating impacts on Montana schools and rural communities. With the enactment of H.J. Res. 104, were BLM to promulgate a new RMP or an amendment to the current RMP, it must not limit coal leasing or limit multiple use in a way similar to the 2024 Miles City Plan. Instead, BLM, should issue a plan that emphasizes access and multiple use in coordination with the State and local governments, as mandated by the Federal Land Policy and Management Act, FLPMA.

Prior to finalizing the North Dakota Field Office RMP in 2025, the North Dakota legislature and congressional delegation wrote letters to the Biden administration opposing the BLM's draft proposal due to the negative impact it would have on energy production in the State. Ignoring these objections, on January 8, 2025, BLM issued the Record

of Decision and RMP for the North Dakota Field Office. The RMP prohibited coal leasing on 4 million acres within the planning area and restricted energy production by restricting an additional 213,000 acres from future mineral development. Congress disapproves of these policies through the Congressional Review Act. H.J. Res. 105 would nullify the Record of Decision and Approved RMP for the North Dakota Field Office and revert land use plans to the 1988 RMP that North Dakota has relied on for decades. With the enactment of H.J. Res. 105, were BLM to promulgate a new RMP or an amendment to the 1988 RMP, it must not limit coal leasing or limit mineral development in a way similar to the 2025 North Dakota Plan. Instead, BLM should issue a plan that emphasizes access, multiple use, and coordination by the Secretary of the Interior with State and local governments, as mandated by the Federal Land Policy and Management Act, FLPMA.

H.J. Res. 106 would nullify the Record of the Decision and Approved RMP for Alaska's Central Yukon planning area that was issued on November 12, 2024. H.J. Res. 106 requires BLM to revert to plans that the State has relied on to manage Federal lands since 1991, 1986, and 1981. The 2024 Central Yukon Resource Management Plan, RMP, significantly restricted future energy and mineral development in northern Alaska by designating approximately 3.6 million acres as Areas of Critical Environmental Concern, ACECs, and by withdrawing large tracts of land adjacent to the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System, TAPS, corridor and Dalton Highway from new mineral entry and leasing. These withdrawals and designations directly contradict congressional intent under the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act, ANILCA, which recognized the need to maintain access for transportation and resource development in this region. Congress disapproves of these policies through the Congressional Review Act. With the enactment of H.J. Res. 106, were BLM to promulgate a new RMP or an amendment to the 1991, 1986, or 1981 plans, it must not withdraw land that is critical for energy development or limit access to natural resources in a way similar to the 2024 Central Yukon RMP. Instead, BLM should issue a plan that emphasizes access, multiple use, and coordination by the Secretary of the Interior with State and local governments, as mandated by the Federal Land Policy and Management Act, FLPMA.

On September 3, 2025, the Office of Management and Budget issued a Statement of Administration Policy saying, in part, that the RMPs are "out of touch rules that collectively raise costs for Americans by artificially restricting energy and mineral production. These rules further undermine energy security by furthering our dependence on foreign countries and limiting America's preeminence in powering in-

novation across our great economy. These RMPs are rules of general applicability and prospective effect because they directly threaten our nation's energy security, increase American dependence on foreign nations for coal and mineral production, and damage the economy while devastating communities. This administration will continue to unleash America's affordable and reliable energy and always put the American people and their paycheck first." This statement and the administration's intention to promulgate new land management plans that emphasize the multiple-use and sustained yield mandate were key considerations in the Senate's deliberation on these resolutions. I ask unanimous consent that this statement, as well as a letter from Deputy Secretary MacGregor to Majority Leader Thune be printed in the RECORD.

Last week, the Senate took action to clear the way for the Department of the Interior to promulgate new RMPs properly based on the productive use of Federal lands. In my view, this will not require a wholly new environmental analysis, as we are not disapproving the underlying analyses. Rather, we are disapproving the administrative decisions: the final RMPs and RMP amendments issued by BLM. BLM will soon have the opportunity to address this disapproval, and I look forward to working with them and my colleagues as the Trump administration continues to expand access to and use of Federal lands.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

THE DEPUTY SECRETARY
OF THE INTERIOR,
Washington, DC, October 6, 2025.

Hon. JOHN THUNE,
Senate Majority Leader,
U.S. Senate, Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATOR THUNE: On September 3, 2025, a majority of the members in the House of Representatives voted affirmatively on three resolutions of disapproval for three resource management plan (RMP) decisions finalized by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) under the Biden administration (H.J. Res. 104, Roll no. 224; H.J. Res. 105, Roll no. 226; and H.J. Res. 106, Roll no. 225). By this action, the House of Representatives made a conclusive determination under the Congressional Review Act (CRA) that these RMP decisions approved pursuant to section 202 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA), 43 U.S.C. §1712, are considered "rules" under the CRA, 5 U.S.C. §804(3).

As we await Senate consideration of the resolutions, I wanted to respond to several questions we have received regarding the Department of the Interior's prospective management of these public lands upon passage and final enactment. For ease, I have included tables of the defining or "key" management features of the RMP decisions currently subject to Congressional disapproval.

Should a joint resolution of disapproval be enacted, the RMP decision and associated Record of Decision (ROD) would be immediately invalidated and the immediately preceding RMP would go into effect. BLM would be prohibited from re-issuing a RMP that is "substantially the same" as the disapproved RMP decision; that is, it would be prohibited

from re-issuing the defining features and decisions contained in those documents which differentiate it from the immediately preceding RMP. As you will see, in all cases the preceding land use plans provide greater access for multiple-use and sustained yield than the Biden-era land use plans.

The management decisions finalized in the Biden-era plans significantly curtail multiple uses on many of the underlying parcels in question. Impacts range from eliminating access to much needed coal, oil and gas, and mineral resources, and in some cases, even reduced access to livestock grazing and off-highway vehicle use, to name a few of the key restrictions.

In reviewing the Congressional Record, it is clear that the House of Representatives has directly responded with specificity to these resource-limiting actions of the Biden-era RMPs. Passage of these corrective CRA resolutions would not limit BLM from continuing to manage public lands in accordance with all applicable laws, including FLPMA, the Mineral Leasing Act, and the One Big Beautiful Bill Act. Instead, it would only limit BLM from promulgating RMP decisions that are "substantially the same as" the Biden-era RMPs which significantly restricted the multiple use and sustained yield of the underlying parcels.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

KATHARINE SINCLAIR MACGREGOR.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE RECEIVED DURING ADJOURNMENT

ENROLLED JOINT RESOLUTION SIGNED

Under the authority of the order of the Senate of January 3, 2025, the Secretary of the Senate, on October 10, 2025, during the adjournment of the Senate, received a message from the House of Representatives announcing that the Speaker has signed the following enrolled joint resolution:

H.J. Res. 104. Joint resolution providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of the rule submitted by the Bureau of Land Management relating to "Miles City Field Office Record of Decision and Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment".

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

ENROLLED JOINT RESOLUTION SIGNED

The President pro tempore (Mr. GRASSLEY) announced that on today, October 14, 2025, he had signed the following enrolled joint resolution, previously signed by the Speaker of the House:

H.J. Res. 104. Joint resolution providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of the rule submitted by the Bureau of Land Management relating to "Miles City Field Office Record of Decision and Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment".

EXECUTIVE AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS

The following communications were laid before the Senate, together with accompanying papers, reports, and documents, and were referred as indicated:

EC-2053. A communication from the Associate General Counsel for General Law, Of-

fice of the General Counsel, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, three (3) reports relative to nominations, vacancies, designations of service in acting roles, discontinuations of service in acting roles and actions on nominations for positions covered by the Federal Vacancies Reform Act of 1998, received in the Office of the President of the Senate on October 9, 2025; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-2054. A communication from the Chairman of the Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report on D.C. Act 26-151, "Robert F. Kennedy Campus Redevelopment Amendment Act of 2025"; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC-2055. A communication from the Alternate Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement: Assessing Contractor Implementation of Cybersecurity Requirements (DFARS Case 2019-D041)" (RIN0750-AK81) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on October 9, 2025; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC-2056. A communication from the Chief Counsel, Foreign Claims Settlement Commission of the United States, Department of Justice, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Commission's annual report for calendar year 2024; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC-2057. A communication from the Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service, Department of Agriculture, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Tart Cherries Grown in the States of Michigan, New York, Pennsylvania, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wisconsin; Amendments to the Marketing Order" (Docket No. AMS-SC-22-0052) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on October 9, 2025; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC-2058. A communication from the Chief, Regulatory Analysis and Development, Department of Agriculture, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "National Poultry Improvement Plan and Auxiliary Provisions" (RIN0579-AE74) (Docket No. APHIS-2022-0056) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on October 9, 2025; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC-2059. A communication from the Deputy General Counsel for Administration, Office of the General Counsel, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, two (2) reports relative to nominations, vacancies, designations of service in acting roles, discontinuations of service in acting roles and actions on nominations for positions covered by the Federal Vacancies Reform Act of 1998, received in the Office of the President of the Senate on October 9, 2025; to the Committee on Finance.

EC-2060. A communication from the Chief for Regulatory Development, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Restoring Integrity to the Issuance of Non-Domiciled Commercial Drivers Licenses (CDL)" (RIN2126-AC98) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on October 9, 2025; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-2061. A communication from the Deputy General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, Department of Agriculture, transmitting, pursuant to law, four (4) reports relative to nominations, vacancies, designations of service in acting roles, discontinuations of service in acting roles

and actions on nominations for positions covered by the Federal Vacancies Reform Act of 1998, received in the Office of the President of the Senate on October 9, 2025; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-2062. A communication from the Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Legislative Affairs, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to material violations or suspected material violations of regulations relating to Treasury auctions and other Treasury securities offerings for the period of January 1, 2024 through December 31, 2024; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-2063. A communication from the Assistant General Counsel for Regulatory Affairs, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans; Interest Assumptions for Valuing Benefits" (29 CFR Part 4044) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on October 9, 2025; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC-2064. A communication from the Assistant Secretary for Legislation, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report entitled "Fiscal Year 2020 and Fiscal Year 2021 Report to Congress on the Administration of the Indian Health Service Tribal Self-Governance Program"; to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

The following reports of committees were submitted:

By Mr. CRUZ, from the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute:

S. 320. A bill to authorize the Earthquake Hazards Reduction Act of 1977, and for other purposes (Rept. No. 119-74).

S. 1442. A bill to amend title 49, United States Code, to allow for eligibility for projects for the installation of human trafficking awareness signs at rest stops, and for other purposes (Rept. No. 119-75).

By Ms. MURKOWSKI, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, without amendment:

S. 550. A bill to provide for the equitable settlement of certain Indian land disputes regarding land in Illinois, and for other purposes (Rept. No. 119-76).

S. 621. A bill to accept the request to revoke the charter of incorporation of the Lower Sioux Indian Community in the State of Minnesota at the request of that Community, and for other purposes (Rept. No. 119-77).

S. 622. A bill to amend the Leech Lake Band of Ojibwe Reservation Restoration Act to provide for the transfer of additional Federal land to the Leech Lake Band of Ojibwe, and for other purposes (Rept. No. 119-78).

S. 748. A bill to reaffirm the applicability of the Indian Reorganization Act to the Lytton Rancheria of California, and for other purposes (Rept. No. 119-79).

By Mr. CRUZ, from the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute:

S. 190. A bill to enhance the operations of the North Pacific Research Board (Rept. No. 119-80).

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first

and second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

By Mr. COTTON:

S. 3003. A bill to prohibit the consideration of perceived gender identity in sentencing; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. LEE (for himself and Mr. CURTIS):

S. 3004. A bill to direct the Secretary of the Interior to convey certain Bureau of Land Management land to the city of Price, Utah, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

By Ms. ERNST:

S. 3005. A bill to require Executive agencies to submit reports to Congress and to the Office of Personnel Management regarding employees who are furloughed during any period during which there is a lapse in appropriations, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

By Mr. PETERS:

S. 3006. A bill to amend the SUPPORT for Patients and Communities Act to reauthorize the youth prevention and recovery initiative, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

By Ms. LUMMIS:

S. 3007. A bill to prohibit the enforcement of laws relating to the installation, certification, and maintenance of emissions control devices under the Clean Air Act, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

SUBMISSION OF CONCURRENT AND SENATE RESOLUTIONS

The following concurrent resolutions and Senate resolutions were read, and referred (or acted upon), as indicated:

By Mr. HEINRICH (for himself, Mr. LUJÁN, Mr. BOOKER, Ms. DUCKWORTH, Mr. GALLEGUO, Mr. HICKENLOOPER, Ms. HIRONO, Mr. KING, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Mr. PADILLA, Mr. SANDERS, Ms. WARREN, Mr. KAIN, Mr. MERKLEY, Mr. SCHATZ, and Mr. SCHIFF):

S. Res. 450. A resolution expressing support for the designation of the second Monday in October 2025 as “Indigenous Peoples’ Day” to celebrate and honor Indigenous Peoples and their shared history and culture; to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 65

At the request of Mr. CORNYN, the name of the Senator from Florida (Mrs. MOODY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 65, a bill to allow reciprocity for the carrying of certain concealed firearms.

S. 286

At the request of Mr. SCOTT of Florida, the name of the Senator from Florida (Mrs. MOODY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 286, a bill to establish vetting standards for the placement of unaccompanied alien children with sponsors, and for other purposes.

S. 339

At the request of Mr. CRAPO, the name of the Senator from Maryland (Mr. VAN HOLLEN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 339, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide for Medicare coverage of multi-cancer early detection screening tests.

S. 556

At the request of Mr. SULLIVAN, the name of the Senator from Kentucky

(Mr. MCCONNELL) was added as a cosponsor of S. 556, a bill to impose sanctions with respect to persons engaged in logistical transactions and sanctions evasion relating to oil, gas, liquefied natural gas, and related petrochemical products from the Islamic Republic of Iran, and for other purposes.

S. 709

At the request of Ms. KLOBUCHAR, the name of the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MARSHALL) was added as a cosponsor of S. 709, a bill to provide incentives to physicians to practice in rural and medically underserved communities, and for other purposes.

S. 1027

At the request of Mr. KAIN, the names of the Senator from Vermont (Mr. WELCH) and the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. MCCORMICK) were added as cosponsors of S. 1027, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to make employers of spouses of military personnel eligible for the work opportunity credit.

S. 1538

At the request of Mr. BLUMENTHAL, the name of the Senator from New Mexico (Mr. LUJÁN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1538, a bill to amend the Animal Welfare Act to expand and improve the enforcement capabilities of the Attorney General, and for other purposes.

S. 1609

At the request of Mr. BLUMENTHAL, the name of the Senator from Minnesota (Ms. KLOBUCHAR) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1609, a bill to provide for further comprehensive research at the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke on unruptured intracranial aneurysms.

S. 1844

At the request of Ms. HIRONO, the name of the Senator from Delaware (Mr. COONS) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1844, a bill to authorize the Secretary of Education to award grants to eligible entities to carry out educational programs that include the history of peoples of Asian, Native Hawaiian, and Pacific Islander descent in the settling and founding of America, the social, economic, and political environments that led to the development of discriminatory laws targeting Asians, Native Hawaiians, and Pacific Islanders and their relation to current events, and the impact and contributions of Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians, and Pacific Islanders to the development and enhancement of American life, United States history, literature, the economy, politics, body of laws, and culture, and for other purposes.

S. 1884

At the request of Mr. CORNYN, the names of the Senator from New York (Mrs. GILLIBRAND) and the Senator from Ohio (Mr. MORENO) were added as cosponsors of S. 1884, a bill to clarify the Holocaust Expropriated Art Recovery Act of 2016, to appropriately limit the application of defenses based on the

passage of time and other non-merits defenses to claims under that Act.

S. 2211

At the request of Ms. COLLINS, the names of the Senator from Ohio (Mr. HUSTED) and the Senator from Maryland (Mr. VAN HOLLEN) were added as cosponsors of S. 2211, a bill to reauthorize the Special Diabetes Program for Type 1 Diabetes and the Special Diabetes Program for Indians.

S. 2293

At the request of Mr. CRUZ, the name of the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. FETTERMAN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2293, a bill to require the President to designate the Muslim Brotherhood as a foreign terrorist organization, to direct the Secretary of State to submit a report to Congress regarding such designation, and for other purposes.

S. 2378

At the request of Mr. MORAN, the names of the Senator from West Virginia (Mrs. CAPITO) and the Senator from Colorado (Mr. HICKENLOOPER) were added as cosponsors of S. 2378, a bill to amend title 49, United States Code, to establish funds for investments in aviation security checkpoint technology, and for other purposes.

S. 2379

At the request of Mr. CORNYN, the names of the Senator from Indiana (Mr. YOUNG) and the Senator from Arizona (Mr. KELLY) were added as cosponsors of S. 2379, a bill to amend the State Justice Institute Act of 1984 to authorize the State Justice Institute to provide awards to certain organizations to establish a State judicial threat intelligence and resource center.

S. 2426

At the request of Mr. THUNE, the names of the Senator from California (Mr. SCHIFF) and the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. KENNEDY) were added as cosponsors of S. 2426, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide for pharmacy payment of certain services.

S. 2663

At the request of Mr. ROUNDS, the name of the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. CASSIDY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2663, a bill to amend the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 to generally permit holding merchant banking investments of up to 15 years.

S. 2842

At the request of Mr. BLUMENTHAL, the name of the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. MURPHY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2842, a bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to provide for congenital Cytomegalovirus screening of newborns.

S. 2907

At the request of Mrs. BLACKBURN, the name of the Senator from Florida (Mrs. MOODY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2907, a bill to prohibit health care professionals, hospitals, or clinics from

participating in the chemical or surgical mutilation of a child and to provide a private right of action for children and the parents of children whose healthy body parts have been damaged by medical professionals practicing chemical and surgical mutilation.

S. 2936

At the request of Mr. SCOTT of Florida, the name of the Senator from Florida (Mrs. MOODY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2936, a bill to designate Antifa as a domestic terrorist organization, to counter domestic terrorism and organized political violence, and for other purposes.

S. 2953

At the request of Ms. ERNST, the name of the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. KENNEDY) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2953, a bill to amend title 5, United States Code, to provide for dual pay and dual employment accountability.

S. 2955

At the request of Mr. BANKS, the names of the Senator from Oklahoma (Mr. LANKFORD) and the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. KENNEDY) were added as cosponsors of S. 2955, a bill to amend title 18, United States Code, to establish Federal penalties for the knowing and intentional administration of any abortion-inducing drug to a woman without her informed consent, if the abortion-inducing drug has been shipped or transported in interstate commerce, and for other purposes.

S. 2965

At the request of Ms. WARREN, the names of the Senator from Hawaii (Ms. HIRONO) and the Senator from Hawaii (Mr. SCHATZ) were added as cosponsors of S. 2965, a bill to prohibit the use of the Exchange Stabilization Fund of the Department of the Treasury to bail out Argentina's financial markets.

S. 3001

At the request of Mr. JOHNSON, the name of the Senator from Alaska (Mr. SULLIVAN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3001, a bill to appropriate funds for pay and allowances of excepted Federal employees, and for other purposes.

S. RES. 442

At the request of Mr. DURBIN, the names of the Senator from Michigan (Ms. SLOTKIN) and the Senator from Minnesota (Ms. KLOBUCHAR) were added as cosponsors of S. Res. 442, a resolution condemning Russian incursions into NATO territory and reaffirming Article 5 of the North Atlantic Treaty.

SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 450—EXPRESSING SUPPORT FOR THE DESIGNATION OF THE SECOND MONDAY IN OCTOBER 2025 AS “INDIGENOUS PEOPLES’ DAY” TO CELEBRATE AND HONOR INDIGENOUS PEOPLES AND THEIR SHARED HISTORY AND CULTURE

Mr. HEINRICH (for himself, Mr. LUJÁN, Mr. BOOKER, Ms. DUCKWORTH, Mr. GALLEGOS, Mr. HICKENLOOPER, Ms. HIRONO, Mr. KING, Ms. KLOBUCHAR, Mr. PADILLA, Mr. SANDERS, Ms. WARREN, Mr. Kaine, Mr. MERKLEY, Mr. SCHATZ, and Mr. SCHIFF) submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Indian Affairs:

S. RES. 450

Whereas currently over 200 municipalities and 17 States celebrate “Indigenous Peoples’ Day” on the second Monday of October;

Whereas Columbus Day is a Federal holiday, established by order of President Roosevelt in 1937, and is officially recognized by some cities and States around the United States on the second Monday of October;

Whereas Columbus Day does not currently provide an opportunity to reflect on the stories and perseverance of the Indigenous Peoples of the lands that are now part of the United States;

Whereas, prior to Western contact, Indigenous Peoples lived and flourished in the lands that are now part of the United States for thousands of years;

Whereas Western contact with the lands that are now part of the United States led to the suppression, forced assimilation, and genocide of Indigenous Peoples and their cultures;

Whereas the Senate recognizes and values the vast contributions made to the United States by Indigenous Peoples’ knowledge, science, philosophy, arts, and culture;

Whereas the Senate recognizes its responsibility to honor the history, traditions, and

cultural contributions of the Indigenous Peoples of the United States; and

Whereas the second Monday in October 2025 would be an appropriate date to designate as “Indigenous Peoples’ Day”: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) honors and recognizes the unique contributions Indigenous Peoples have made to the United States of America;

(2) encourages the people of the United States to observe “Indigenous Peoples’ Day” with appropriate ceremonies and activities that celebrate and promote awareness of Indigenous Peoples and their shared history and culture; and

(3) supports the official designation of “Indigenous Peoples’ Day” as a Federal holiday.

ORDERS FOR WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 15, 2025

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it stand adjourned until 10 a.m. on Wednesday, October 15; that following the prayer and pledge, the Journal of proceedings be approved to date, the morning hour be deemed expired, the time for the two leaders be reserved for their use later in the day, and the Senate be in a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each; finally, that the Senate recess from 12:30 p.m. until 2:15 p.m. to allow for weekly conference meetings.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. THUNE. For the information of my colleagues, Senators should expect a vote at approximately 2:15 p.m. on the motion to reconsider Calendar No. 168, H.R. 5371.

ADJOURNMENT UNTIL 10 A.M. TOMORROW

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I ask that it stand adjourned under the previous order.

There being no objection, the Senate, at 6:31 p.m., adjourned until Wednesday, October 15, 2025, at 10 a.m.