

SA 136. Ms. HIRONO (for herself and Mr. PETERS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 137. Ms. HIRONO (for herself and Mr. PETERS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 138. Ms. HIRONO (for herself and Mr. PETERS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 139. Ms. HIRONO (for herself and Mr. PETERS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 140. Ms. HIRONO (for herself and Mr. PETERS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 141. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 142. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 143. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 144. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 145. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 146. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 147. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 148. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 149. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 150. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 151. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 152. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 153. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 154. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 155. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 156. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 157. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 158. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 159. Ms. HIRONO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

#### TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

**SA 98.** Mr. WHITEHOUSE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2025 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2026 through 2034; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 48, strike lines 13 through 18.

**SA 99.** Mr. HEINRICH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2025 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2026 through 2034; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place in title IV, add the following:

#### **SEC. 4. POINT OF ORDER AGAINST INCREASING THE COST OF CONSUMER GOODS.**

(a) POINT OF ORDER.—It shall not be in order in the Senate to consider any bill, joint resolution, motion, amendment, amendment between the Houses, or conference report that would increase the costs of consumer goods and services bought for consumption by households in the United States as measured by the Consumer Price Index published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor.

(b) WAIVER AND APPEAL.—Subsection (a) may be waived or suspended in the Senate only by an affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under subsection (a).

**SA 100.** Mr. HEINRICH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2025 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2026 through 2034; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place in title IV, add the following:

#### **SEC. . POINT OF ORDER AGAINST LIMITING VETERAN-OWNED BUSINESSES ACCESS TO SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION LOAN PROGRAMS.**

(a) POINT OF ORDER.—It shall not be in order in the Senate to consider any bill, joint resolution, motion, amendment, amendment between the Houses, or conference report that limit veteran-owned

businesses access to Small Business Administration loan programs.

(b) WAIVER AND APPEAL.—Subsection (a) may be waived or suspended in the Senate only by an affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under subsection (a).

**SA 101.** Mr. HEINRICH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2025 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2026 through 2034; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title III, add the following:

#### **SEC. . DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND RELATING TO FUNDING FOR GRANTS AWARDED BY THE OFFICE ON VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN.**

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to providing funding for grants awarded by the Office on Violence Against Women of the Department of Justice that are designed to develop the capacity of the United States to reduce domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking by strengthening services to victims and holding offenders accountable, by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over the period of the total of fiscal years 2025 through 2034.

**SA 102.** Mr. HEINRICH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution S. Con. Res. 7, setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2025 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2026 through 2034; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title III, add the following:

#### **SEC. 3. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND RELATING TO ACCEPTABLE PROOFS OF UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP FOR TRIBAL CITIZENS.**

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to protecting Tribal citizens from immigration enforcement efforts by requiring that the Secretary of Homeland Security consult with Indian Tribes and issue guidance to U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement and Indian Tribes on forms of Tribal identification that are acceptable proofs of United States citizenship by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over the period of the total of fiscal years 2025 through 2034.