

avoid our responsibility to fund American infrastructure and to rebuild and renew this great country.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. BOUSTANY. Madam Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

I appreciate the gentleman's passion and intellect, and we have had many conversations. We do agree that we have to fix our deplorable infrastructure, and he and I have worked on some of these things together; but I have to say this: When I was in medical school—and I am a heart surgeon and I have had years of medical training—one of the things we learned a long time ago in medicine was to avoid iatrogenic treatment, which is a fancy, Greek-derived word which means to avoid a treatment that makes the problem worse. That is what this \$10.25 tax would do on a barrel of oil.

I have often referred to that plaque above the Speaker's desk. It is a quote from Daniel Webster. The very first line of that reads: "Let us develop the resources of our land." I think it goes beyond simple concepts of highway transportation. It is all the resources of our land.

We should be embracing the energy revolution that has been unleashed by American innovation, not taxing it into oblivion, not overregulating it into oblivion. This has offered tremendous hope not only for Americans, but for the world over, to offer a new view of energy security, taking us away from the Iranian approach or the OPEC approach or a Russian view by which they hoard resources and use this for their own political purposes. America can reshape it by embracing this energy revolution, and we can grow the economy, create jobs, improve wages, and have the revenues to take care of our infrastructure.

As the gentleman well knows, Ronald Reagan believed that a user fee was important, a specific user fee. I think he and I would both agree that a specific user fee is important for infrastructure. This is not a user fee. This is a detrimental tax on American competitiveness, on American jobs, on American wages, on American energy security, and it hits at the very foundation of our national security. It is the wrong way to go. It is an iatrogenic solution, a harmful solution. It is not pro-growth. We are not proud of the economic performance we have seen in recent months: 0.8 percent economic growth in the first quarter, only 38,000 non-farm jobs created last month, according to the U.S. Bureau of Labor. That is deplorable.

America must lead, and America can lead by embracing the energy revolution. Let's look at all of the impacts it will have across our entire economy, and then we can fashion specific solutions for transportation and infrastructure and for the other things we need to do.

This is why I stand here. That is why I oppose this tax. That is why I think

this debate was important, and that is why I think it is very important to go on record as opposing this very detrimental tax.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I rise this morning in opposition to H. Con. Res. 112, expressing the sense of Congress opposing the President's proposed \$10 tax on every barrel of oil.

During my time in Congress, I have represented all five major refineries and countless energy production firms in East Harris County. I know the importance of the domestically produced and refined oil to the U.S. economy.

I also know the importance of a well-funded transportation system. Houston is growing rapidly and our transportation system needs to expand with our population.

I stand in opposition to today's Sense of Congress because of this knowledge and experience.

But to clarify, we shouldn't make things tougher on American companies and domestically-produced crude.

I do not support a \$10 dollar tax on our natural resources.

I do not support a \$10 dollar tax on wildcaters in West Texas, North Dakota or any other areas in the U.S. that supply crude to the Texas Gulf Coast.

It is these companies that are responsible for the energy renaissance in the U.S.

These entrepreneurs lowered our gas prices, reduced our foreign dependence and made the U.S. the largest producer of oil in the world.

I do support a \$10 dollar tax on imported oil from foreign sources.

Imported oil from countries that may or may not be our friends does not benefit our national security or domestic economy.

We should sharpen our competitive edge and expand our 21st century transportation system by taxing imported oil.

I stand with our domestic companies, we should continue to produce and refine U.S. crude for the benefit of U.S. consumers and workers.

But I stand in opposition of this overly expansive Sense of Congress and I ask my colleagues to do the same.

Mr. MARCHANT. Mr. Speaker, putting a regressive tax on hardworking Americans is not the way to strengthen the economy, balance the budget, or create jobs.

The President's proposed \$10.25 per barrel tax on crude oil is an administrative grab to increase spending and tax a targeted industry. Thousands of jobs have been lost in these uncertain times for the oil and gas industry and impacted communities.

Now is not the time to make matters worse for an important economic engine and slow an already weak economic recovery.

The Obama Administration knows this tax would be passed down to American families.

The non-partisan Congressional Research Service reported that this tax could increase the price of a gallon of gasoline by 25 cents—which is a 10 percent hike on today's prices.

That would increase the cost of a wide range of goods for all consumers.

The resolution before us takes a strong stand and makes perfectly clear that Congress will not allow the President's harmful tax to go forward.

It also pushes for a tough review of the effects of ill-conceived tax proposals that target

specific industries, as the President's tax does.

We must ensure that tax policy decisions are made in a reasoned way that protects working families—rather than harms them in a single-minded hunt for revenue.

Mr. Speaker, I encourage my colleagues to join me in supporting House Concurrent Resolution 112 and voting for its passage.

Mr. CASTRO of Texas. Mr. Speaker, today, the House of Representatives will consider H. Con. Res. 112—Expressing the sense of Congress opposing the President's proposed \$10 tax on every barrel of oil. This unserious, non-binding resolution is simply nothing more than a cynical Republican political messaging bill. Indeed, the resolution purposely fails to include that the proposal was a serious attempt by the President to finance the critical infrastructure needs our country most certainly requires. The energy industry is critical to the global economy. Unfortunately, the manner in which the majority has decided to have this discussion leaves little room for thought or earnest debate. For these reasons, I will vote Present, and will encourage my colleagues to continue to work in earnest to find a long-term, sustainable solution to move forward with putting Americans to work in building out our transportation needs.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. WOODALL). All time for debate has expired.

Pursuant to House Resolution 767, the previous question is ordered.

The question is on the concurrent resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. BOUSTANY. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

#### LEGISLATIVE BRANCH APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2017

##### GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. GRAVES of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and to include extraneous material on the further consideration of H.R. 5325 and that I may include tabular material on the same.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Georgia?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 771 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 5325.

Will the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. FOXX) kindly take the chair.

□ 1114

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole

House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 5325) making appropriations for the Legislative Branch for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2017, and for other purposes, with Ms. FOXX (Acting Chair) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Acting CHAIR. When the Committee of the Whole rose on Thursday, June 9, 2016, the Chair had announced that it was in order to consider amendment No. 7, printed in House Report 114-611.

□ 1115

AMENDMENT NO. 8 OFFERED BY MR. GOSAR

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 8 printed in House Report 114-611.

Mr. GOSAR. Madam Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. \_\_\_\_ . None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to deliver a printed copy of the United States House of Representatives Telephone Directory to the office of any Member of the House of Representatives (including a Delegate or Resident Commissioner to the Congress).

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 771, the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. GOSAR) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Arizona.

Mr. GOSAR. Madam Chairman, I rise today to offer a commonsense amendment that will prevent wasteful spending in this bill and the unsolicited delivery of printed copies of the House telephone directory to 435 House congressional offices.

I hold here the United States House of Representatives Telephone Directory for 2016. This book, printed by the Government Publishing Office, contains 378 pages of names, addresses, and the contact information for Members of Congress and their staffs. While the Clerk of the House does get a deal from the GPO on these printing costs, this directory is sold to the public online at a cost of \$52 per book. GPO stated that 14,080 copies of this directory were sent this year to the House Postal Operations for delivery.

This year, all 435 House Member offices received this stack—this whole stack right here—unsolicited from the Office of the Clerk, 20 copies, total, for each office.

Each year we get this directory and, to be frank, it is not needed. All the information contained within these pages is readily available online, both publicly and through House Web sites.

To make matters worse, often, the information contained is out of date by the time we receive these bound copies. For example, by the time I received my 20 copies of this directory, the information listed for my staff was no longer current.

According to a CRS report from 2011, approximately 97 percent of all government documents originate in digital form and are distributed electronically but are not printed. This same CRS report estimated that it costs Congress about \$134 per page for prepress costs for miscellaneous publications, of which this directory is one.

Madam Chairman, I don't think I need to remind anyone here that we are currently \$19 trillion-plus in debt as a result of excessive and unnecessary spending. I will be the first to admit that this amendment will not be saving millions of dollars this year alone, but in a time of such financial crisis, we should remain vigilant and save every penny we can.

This book is unnecessary, and its unsolicited distribution en masse is excessive. Why does each D.C. office get 20 unsolicited copies? My D.C. office only has eight employees, none of which utilize these wasteful directories.

I ask my colleagues to support this commonsense amendment that will save precious taxpayer money and prevent future unsolicited deliveries of this directory in every single House office on the Hill.

I thank the distinguished chair and ranking member for their work on this bill.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. GOSAR).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 9 OFFERED BY MR. GOSAR

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 9 printed in House Report 114-611.

Mr. GOSAR. Madam Chairman, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. \_\_\_\_ . None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to deliver a printed copy of the Budget of the United States Government; Analytical Perspectives, Budget of the United States Government; or the Appendix, Budget of the United States Government, to the office of any Member of the House of Representatives (including a Delegate or Resident Commissioner to the Congress).

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 771, the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. GOSAR) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Arizona.

Mr. GOSAR. Madam Chairman, I rise today to offer another commonsense amendment that will prevent wasteful spending in this bill by preventing the delivery of this packet of nearly 2,000 pages containing the President's budget request to 435 House congressional offices.

In its 2017 budget justification, the Government Publishing Office states:

“Since 2012, GPO has made the annual Budget of the U.S. Government available as a mobile app. The FY 2016 Budget app, released in January of 2015, provided users with access to the text and images of the Budget, including the Budget Message of the President, information on the President's priorities, and budget overviews organized by agency. This app provides links to GPO's FDsys where summary tables and additional books of the Budget, including the Analytical Perspectives, Appendix, and Historical Tables, are available.”

This package, which contains the President's budget, analytical perspectives of the budget, and the appendix of the budget are all available on an app for your phone for free. Furthermore, all three are available in their entirety online at [www.whitehouse.gov/omb/](http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/), where they are more easily searchable.

While the Office of Management and Budget does get a great deal from GPO on printing costs, each individual copy sells online for \$38, \$56, and \$79, respectively. These documents comprise 170 pages, 409 pages, and 1,413 pages, respectively. OMB orders one copy of the budget for all 435 Members of the House, and this publication is then printed by the Government Publishing Office and delivered by House Postal Operations.

In a time when our Nation is facing a fiscal crisis and has a \$19 trillion-plus debt as a result of excessive and unnecessary spending, we should not be squandering more money printing nearly 2,000 pages of the President's budget that most Members throw in the trash, recycle, or don't even open.

Furthermore, this massive document is not even a serious proposal and has been routinely rejected with strong bipartisan support. The Senate defeated President Obama's budget by a vote of 97-0 for fiscal year 2011, 99-0 in fiscal year 2012, and 98-1 last year.

Again, I will be the first one to admit that this amendment will not save millions of dollars this year alone, but, in a time of such fiscal crisis, we should remember the old adage that a penny saved is a penny earned.

The printing and distribution of the President's budget to 435 House offices is excessive. I ask my colleagues to support this commonsense amendment, and we will save precious taxpayer money and prevent future mass deliveries. Again, all these publications are online in their entirety, where they are more easily searchable, and they are also on a free mobile app.

I thank the distinguished chair and ranking member for their work on this bill.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. GOSAR).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 10 OFFERED BY MR. GRAYSON

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 10 printed in House Report 114-611.

Mr. GRAYSON. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. \_\_\_\_\_. None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to enter into a contract with any offeror or any of its principals if the offeror certifies, as required by Federal Acquisition Regulation, that the offeror or any of its principals—

(1) within a three-year period preceding the offer, has been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against it for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, State, or local) contract or subcontract; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes relating to the submission of offers; or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, tax evasion, violating Federal criminal tax laws, or receiving stolen property;

(2) are presently indicted for, or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity with, commission of any of the offenses enumerated above in paragraph (1); or

(3) within a three-year period preceding the offer, has been notified of any delinquent Federal taxes in an amount that exceeds \$3,000 for which the liability remains unsatisfied.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 771, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. GRAYSON) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Florida.

Mr. GRAYSON. Madam Chair, this is an amendment that is identical to other amendments that have been inserted by voice vote into every appropriations bill considered under an open rule during the 113th and 114th Congresses. I extend my thanks to the Rules Committee for ruling this amendment in order.

My amendment expands the list of parties with whom the Federal Government is prohibited from contracting due to serious misconduct on the part of the contractors. I hope that this amendment remains noncontroversial, as it has been, and will again be passed unanimously by the House.

I yield to the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ).

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Madam Chair, I support the gentleman's amendment.

This is a commonsense amendment which would prohibit funding in this bill from being used to pay contractors engaged in fraud or tax evasion. As the gentleman said, similar amendments have been adopted on other appropriations bills.

I urge Members to vote "aye."

Mr. GRAYSON. Madam Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. GRAYSON).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 11 OFFERED BY MR. TAKANO

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 11 printed in House Report 114-611.

Mr. TAKANO. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. \_\_\_\_\_. There is appropriated, for salaries and expenses of the Office of Technology Assessment as authorized by the Technology Assessment Act of 1972 (2 U.S.C. 471 et seq.) \$2,500,000, to be derived from a reduction of \$2,500,000 in the amount provided in this Act for the item for "Architect of the Capitol, Capital Construction and Operations".

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 771, the gentleman from California (Mr. TAKANO) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

Mr. TAKANO. Madam Chair, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise today in support of my amendment, which would restore funding to the Office of Technology Assessment, or OTA. The foundation for good policy is accurate and objective analysis; and for more than two decades, the OTA set that foundation by providing relevant, unbiased technical and scientific assessments for Members of Congress and staff.

In 1995, the OTA was defunded, stripping Congress of a valuable resource to understand both emerging technologies as well as the nuances of the legislative process. In its absence, the need for OTA has only grown. Many of the issues OTA studied 20 years ago are even more pressing today: antibiotic-resistant bacteria, electronic surveillance in the digital age, and testing in America's schools. These are the complex challenges our Nation will continue to face, and Congress should have access to the thorough and insightful analysis OTA can provide.

Investing in the OTA now will actually save us money in the future. In the last year it operated, OTA's budget was \$23 million, but its studies on the Synthetics Fuels Corporation saved taxpayers tens of billions of dollars.

Our amendment restores a modest \$2.5 million to the OTA account for salaries and expenses to begin rebuilding the office. The cost is offset by a reduction of the same amount to the AOC's capital construction and operations account, which is an administrative account. So this will not take resources from specific construction projects.

Madam Chair, a great surgeon does not operate without modern tools, a master chef does not cook without fresh ingredients, and Members of Congress should not make policy decisions without relevant and unbiased information.

I urge Members to vote "yes" on this amendment to restore funding to the Office of Technology Assessment.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GRAVES of Georgia. Madam Chair, I rise in opposition.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. GRAVES of Georgia. Madam Chair, I want to thank the gentleman from California. I know he has great intentions with this amendment.

As we discuss the Legislative Branch Appropriations bill, we are really discussing what is important to the House of Representatives, because that is what this bill reflects.

I know that this office was created in 1972 and was eliminated years later, but in 1972, I was 2 years old. Technology was very different. I see no need to re-create something that was started dealing with technology when I was 2 years old, almost two decades prior to the first Web site.

Currently, these tasks are being handled by GAO. They are being handled sufficiently. They are being handled with the \$2.5 million already, and we have yet to receive any complaints.

Now, if there is a more comprehensive need for technology assessment, I think that is a bigger discussion for cyber policy in general, and that is a conversation that should take place outside of the Legislative Branch Subcommittee's jurisdiction.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. TAKANO. Madam Chair, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ).

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. I rise in support of the amendment to revive the Office of Technology Assessment.

When I was chair of the subcommittee, we tried to restart it within the Government Accountability Office. In fiscal years 2008 to 2010, I included \$2.5 million in this bill with GAO to support that initiative. However, the supporters of the amendment make an impassioned case that the Office of Technology Assessment should be a part of Congress itself, rather than GAO, in order to provide objective analysis of complex, scientific, and technical issues which certainly, I think we can all agree, actually exist today.

We are not trying to go back to 20th century technology. We have important issues that need to be reviewed, and we don't always have the expertise in Congress necessary to be able to make sure we can get that cogent analysis, particularly when we are still at funding levels back to 2010 in the Legislative Branch Appropriations bill.

This is a bill in which we are tackling copyright modernization, specifically dealing with technology challenges, and an OTA would add to the rigor of our analysis on that topic and others.

I urge support of the amendment.

Mr. GRAVES of Georgia. Madam Chair, I will just point out that one of our focuses in the Legislative Branch Appropriations bill is to be very responsible with taxpayer dollars. During these lean times when we are \$19 trillion in debt, we have really led the

charge when it comes to reducing spending from our operations, down 13.2 percent. We have eliminated some agencies and programs and even, in this bill, eliminate the Open World Center.

□ 1130

I don't see this as the time that we need to restart a new program that was eliminated 20 years ago.

Madam Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. TAKANO. Madam Chair, how much time is remaining on my side?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from California has 2 minutes remaining.

Mr. TAKANO. Madam Chair, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. FOSTER), a member of the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology and a respected physicist.

Mr. FOSTER. Madam Chair, thank you to the gentleman from California (Mr. TAKANO) and to my colleagues, the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Ms. ESTY) and the gentleman from New Mexico (Mr. BEN RAY LUJÁN) for helping to bring this amendment to the floor.

This amendment would provide \$2.5 million to resurrect the Office of Technology Assessment to revive this crucial service of providing Congress with unbiased, nonpartisan reports on a wide range of issues in science and technology.

This office is no less necessary today than when it first started in 1972. As technology continues to advance at an increasingly rapid pace and our partisan divide seems to grow deeper, Congress needs this now more than ever.

I ask my colleagues to consider just one single one of the recommendations from the Office of Technology Assessment, that the United States rapidly adopt a standardized electronic medical record format. Had this been done, we would have been able to save hundreds of millions of dollars in medical costs over the last decades and hundreds of thousands of lives of Americans through prevention of preventable medical accidents.

I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this amendment to restore this vital source of credible and nonpartisan scientific expertise in Congress.

Mr. TAKANO. Madam Chair, I reiterate my support for the Office of Technology Assessment. Congress does not suffer from a lack of information, but it suffers from a lack of trusted information to help make wise policy decisions. We need information that is not spun even by our own agencies, the FBI or other agencies. We need information that is not spun from particular sectors. This agency, this Office of Technology Assessment, will be overseen by a bipartisan group of lawmakers who will vet the experts that work for it.

Madam Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. GRAVES of Georgia. Madam Chair, I will just again thank my colleague from California for his thoughtful and well-debated argument here for the need, as he sees it. I will again reiterate that the GAO provides a valuable service which I believe can continue doing the job that is necessary.

In these lean times, I would encourage our colleagues to oppose this amendment not because of the gentleman from California, but just because of the lean times and the concept in which it is just not the right time to adopt that. I will oppose the amendment.

Madam Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. TAKANO).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. TAKANO. Madam Chair, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from California will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 12 OFFERED BY MR. RUSSELL

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 12 printed in House Report 114-611.

Mr. RUSSELL. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. \_\_\_\_\_. None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to deliver a printed copy of the Federal Register to a Member of the House of Representatives (including a Delegate or Resident Commissioner to the Congress) unless the Member requests a copy.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 771, the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. RUSSELL) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Oklahoma.

Mr. RUSSELL. Madam Chair, the fiscal year 2017 Legislative Branch Appropriations Act contains several excellent provisions to cut down on unnecessary printing of paper documents in the House of Representatives. Section 102 of the act, for example, prohibits printed copies of bills from being sent to Members of Congress unless they specifically request them. This amendment is very similar. It prohibits the Federal Register from being sent to Members unless they specifically request it. It uses the exact same terminology as section 102.

The Federal Register, while important because it contains rules, proposals, and various other publications released by Federal agencies, unfortunately every business day Members of Congress receive paper copies of this

Register, while it is available online and queryable. Sadly, most of these hundreds of pages in length end up in the waste bin.

The Federal Register, being available online, is a better way to go with this measure. The Government Printing Office sends 617 copies of the Register every single day to House Members alone. This includes subscriptions for personal offices, committees, archival offices, and others. Each annual subscription costs the Government Printing Office \$750 a year to produce in paper and ink alone. These costs are charged to Federal agencies that publish in the Federal Register.

Among all the Members of Congress and six nonvoting Members in the House, paying for an annual subscription for all of these costs and other estimated delivery costs exceeds \$400,000 annually. To put that into perspective, that could pay for the annual salaries of a dozen Special Forces sergeants who are defending our country abroad.

None of the funds made available by this act may be used to deliver a printed copy of the Federal Register to a Member of the House of Representatives, including a Delegate or Resident Commissioner to Congress, unless the Members request specifically a copy.

This simple amendment will build on the reforms of the congressional printing of sections 102, 103, and 105, allowing Federal agencies to better use precious taxpayer dollars. I encourage support for this amendment, Madam Chair, because, once again, we will never win the war on our national debt in some giant spending measure that will only divide us within our respective parties and within the Chamber. Instead, we will win it by combating waste one agency at a time.

Madam Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. RUSSELL).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 13 OFFERED BY MR. PEARCE

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 13 printed in House Report 114-611.

Mr. PEARCE. Madam Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Page 2, line 12, after the dollar amount, insert "(reduced by \$190,970)".

Page 5, line 14, after the dollar amount, insert "(reduced by \$190,970)".

Page 6, line 1, after the dollar amount, insert "(reduced by \$190,970)".

Page 42, line 17, after the dollar amount, insert "(increased by \$190,970)".

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 771, the gentleman from New Mexico (Mr. PEARCE) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New Mexico.

Mr. PEARCE. Madam Chair, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Chair, despite what has been said about this amendment, it is very simple. There are two bodies that are funded through the appropriations process in the U.S. Congress. One is the House Committee on Ethics. That is the one that we all know as Members of Congress. But there is another body called the Office of Congressional Ethics that works pretty well outside of this body.

Now, my amendment is simply taking this year's increase away from that outside body. Again, no change to the ethical process inside the body, the one that we are all familiar with and feel accountable to. But we are deducting \$191,000 from this outside group because in this time of budget constraints, when I look at my office and all the other offices, our spending has been reduced. Our budgets have been reduced by approximately \$200,000 since 2008.

Now, we have to deal with 750,000 to 900,000 constituents. I have five field offices. Generally we drive, as a staff, somewhere between 50,000 and 100,000 miles per year to deal with our constituents. Our budgets have gone down \$200,000, with a small increase this year of \$12,000.

Then, on the other hand, I see a \$191,000 increase on this outside group. I just feel like that is extraordinary and would suggest that the appropriations bill, H.R. 5325, be reduced in that amount in this budget area.

Madam Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Madam Chair, I claim the time in opposition to the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentlewoman from Florida is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Madam Chair, the Office of Congressional Ethics is crucial to ensuring accountability and transparency in this body. Any attempts to cut its budget would only serve to erode our constituents' trust and faith in Congress, which certainly has already suffered a significant amount of erosion.

As many of my colleagues will recall, the House created the Office of Congressional Ethics nearly a decade ago to improve the integrity of the ethics process in the House. The House was recovering from the Mark Foley scandal, and it was clear that we needed to do something to rebuild the American people's trust in their elected Representatives. That is why OCE's core "mission is to assist the U.S. House in upholding high ethical standards with an eye toward increasing transparency and providing information to the public."

I acknowledge that there are proposals to improve the operations of the Office of Congressional Ethics, and we should certainly take a look at those, Madam Chair, but it is common sense that these improvements can't be made by cutting funding for the office that we are actually seeking to improve.

Moreover, the issue of congressional ethics is far too important to reduce to a 10-minute debate on the House floor. For these reasons, I urge my colleagues to oppose this misguided amendment.

Madam Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. PEARCE. Madam Chair, I find it odd that we received the words today on the House floor that we are going to increase transparency through the Office of Congressional Ethics. That is exactly what they do not do.

The Sixth Amendment of the Constitution gives the accused the right to be confronted with the witnesses against him. I will quote from a letter, a legal letter that was given to the OCE:

This investigation has again revealed due process deficiencies within the OCE rules. While the Sixth Amendment of the United States provides for the fundamental right to confront one's accusers, the OCE rules do not allow to confront the accused with the accusers.

Secondly, the Sixth Amendment gives us the right to a lawyer. I will again quote from PAUL SOLIS, an employee of the OCE, in an email to my chief of staff:

I forgot to mention on our call that should you retain a lawyer for the office, that lawyer would most likely be prohibited under our rules from representing a subject of this review to the extent that subject is a current staff member.

So the OCE, in their email to our office, says you don't have the right to legal counsel, even though the Sixth Amendment of the Constitution says that you do.

The third thing that I see is that we should be able to find out the nature of the charges under the Sixth Amendment. Again, our experience and the experience of others who have confronted OCE realizes you do not know what the charges are, you are not going to get to get a lawyer, and you cannot know who is accusing you. This hardly meets the word "transparency" that my good friend alluded to.

Madam Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Madam Chair, while I can appreciate the gentleman's concerns, he has listed a number of substantive differences of opinion with the way the Office of Congressional Ethics handles their work. This appropriations bill is not the appropriate place to address those.

The Office of Congressional Ethics was created through legislation. It is a substantive issue, and it is one that should be debated and discussed on an authorizing bill, not on the funding of the legislative branch. You don't just cut the budget of an office with whose decisions you disagree. We can debate and discuss these concerns, but cutting \$190,000 out of the OCE's budget is not the way to address that.

For those reasons and the fact that the public already has some pretty significant concerns with the way we do business here, this would send the wrong message. If we are going to have

this discussion, we should do it in a forum that allows for more robust discussion and debate over how to address those challenges long term.

Madam Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

□ 1145

Mr. PEARCE. Madam Chair, I would remind my friend and colleague that this amendment only addresses the funding. I simply used my time in order to advertise for this agency and the way that they operate.

I would like to quote from an email that I got this morning:

I cried when I saw what your boss did last night on the Leg Branch.

This is referring to my amendment.

I was unfairly targeted by OCE in 2013, for an action in 2008, which had been approved by the Ethics Committee. OCE even admitted there was no evidence. I complied with every provision of the policy, without exception. One of the staffers that was being investigated in this same circumstance left the Hill early on. I considered doing the same thing. I certainly had to endure all the phases of the OCE process, including referral to the Ethics Committee.

The Ethics Committee dismissed the case against us, but it is, by far, the worst thing that has ever happened to me in my 21 years on the Hill. I am a strong person with resources, and was an emotional wreck over the thought of losing my credibility over an ethics investigation. I cried virtually every day for several months. And the prolonged process over many, many months took a toll on my life.

And we are asking to give this agency another \$191,000 to continue this kind of action? I think this debate is exactly called for at this moment on this bill and on this spending.

Madam Chair, I urge Members to support the amendment to give notice to the OCE that we are watching what they are doing.

Madam Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Madam Chair, how much time do I have remaining?

The Acting CHAIR. The gentlewoman from Florida has 3 minutes remaining.

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Madam Chair, I have tremendous respect for the gentleman from New Mexico and his concerns for the operation of the Office of Congressional Ethics. However, all that we would be doing here, if his amendment were to pass, is to send a \$190,000 message to the Office of Congressional Ethics. It would not achieve any of the gentleman's goals.

If we do need to take a look at the way the office functions, then there is a process for doing that. The only thing we achieve here by adopting this amendment is cutting their budget by \$190,000.

So, if the majority believes that it is important to take a look at the function of this office, then there is a process for doing that and to take up legislation to change the way they do business. That is certainly appropriate. But we don't accomplish any of the gentleman's goals by cutting \$190,000.

In fact, the public has certainly already sent multiple messages to the United States Congress that they don't have a whole lot of confidence in the business that we are doing here. This would send the absolute wrong message back to them—that we don't get it.

So I urge Members to oppose the amendment because it would not achieve the gentleman's goals and because we have a more appropriate place to actually achieve those goals in the authorizing committee.

Madam Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New Mexico (Mr. PEARCE).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Madam Chair, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New Mexico will be postponed.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, proceedings will now resume on those amendments printed in House Report 114-611 on which further proceedings were postponed, in the following order:

Amendment No. 2 by Mr. ELLISON of Minnesota.

Amendment No. 6 by Mrs. BLACKBURN of Tennessee.

Amendment No. 11 by Mr. TAKANO of California.

Amendment No. 13 by Mr. PEARCE of New Mexico.

The Chair will reduce to 2 minutes the minimum time for any electronic vote after the first vote in this series.

AMENDMENT NO. 2 OFFERED BY MR. ELLISON

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. ELLISON) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 157, noes 241, not voting 36, as follows:

[Roll No. 289]

AYES—157

Ashford	Brady (PA)	Cartwright
Beatty	Brown (FL)	Castor (FL)
Becerra	Brownley (CA)	Castro (TX)
Bera	Bustos	Chu, Judy
Beyer	Butterfield	Cicilline
Bishop (GA)	Capps	Clark (MA)
Blumenauer	Capuano	Clarke (NY)
Bonamici	Cárdenas	Clay
Boyle, Brendan	Carney	Cleaver
F.	Carson (IN)	Connolly

Conyers	Kennedy	Rangel	Palazzo	Roskam	Trott
Courtney	Kildee	Rice (NY)	Palmer	Ross	Turner
Crowley	Kilmer	Richmond	Paulsen	Rothfus	Upton
Cuellar	Kind	Roybal-Allard	Pearce	Rouzer	Valadao
Cummings	Kirkpatrick	Ruiz	Perry	Royce	Wagner
Davis (CA)	Kuster	Ruppersberger	Peterson	Russell	Walberg
DeFazio	Langevin	Rush	Pittenger	Salmon	Walden
DeGette	Larsen (WA)	Ryan (OH)	Pitts	Sanford	Walker
Delaney	Lawrence	Sánchez, Linda T.	Poe (TX)	Scalise	Walorski
DeLauro	Levin	Sanchez, Loretta	Poliquin	Schrader	Walters, Mimi
DeBene	Loebsack	Sarbanes	Polis	Schweikert	Weber (TX)
DeSaulnier	Lofgren	Schakowsky	Pompeo	Scott, Austin	Webster (FL)
Deutch	Lowenthal	Schiff	Posey	Sensenbrenner	Wenstrup
Dingell	Lowe	Scott (VA)	Price, Tom	Sessions	Westerman
Doggett	Lujan Grisham (NM)	Scott, David	Ratcliffe	Shimkus	Westmoreland
Doyle, Michael F.	Luján, Ben Ray (NM)	Serrano	Reed	Shuster	Whitfield
Duckworth	Lynch	Sewell (AL)	Reichert	Simpson	Williams
Edwards	Maloney, Carolyn	Sherman	Renacci	Smith (MO)	Wilson (SC)
Ellison	Maloney, Sean	Sinema	Ribble	Smith (NE)	Wittman
Eshoo	Matsui	Slaughter	Rice (SC)	Smith (NJ)	Womack
Frankel (FL)	McCollum	Smith (WA)	Rigell	Smith (TX)	Woodall
Gabbard	McDermott	Speier	Roby	Stefanik	Yoder
Gallego	McGovern	Swalwell (CA)	Roe (TN)	Stewart	Yoho
Garamendi	McNerney	Takai	Rogers (AL)	Stivers	Young (AK)
Graham	Meng	Takano	Rogers (KY)	Stutzman	Young (IA)
Grayson	Moore	Thompson (CA)	Rohrabacher	Thompson (PA)	Young (IN)
Green, Al	Moulton	Thompson (MS)	Rokita	Thornberry	Zeldin
Green, Gene	Murphy (FL)	Titus	Rooney (FL)	Tiberi	Zinke
Grijalva	Nadler	Tonko	Ros-Lehtinen	Tipton	
Gutiérrez	Napolitano	Torres			
Hahn	Nolan	Tsongas	Adams	Farr	Larson (CT)
Hastings	Norcross	Van Hollen	Amodei	Fattah	Lee
Heck (WA)	O'Rourke	Vargas	Barletta	Fincher	Lewis
Higgins	Pallone	Veasey	Bass	Franks (AZ)	Lieu, Ted
Honda	Pascrell	Vela	Black	Fudge	Luetkemeyer
Hoyer	Pelosi	Velázquez	Brat	Gosar	Meeks
Huffman	Perlmutter	Visclosky	Brooks (IN)	Hardy	Miller (MI)
Israel	Peters	Walz	Clyburn	Herrera Beutler	Neal
Johnson (GA)	Pingree	Wasserman Schultz	Cohen	Hinojosa	Payne
Johnson, E. B.	Kaptur	Watson Coleman	Davis, Danny	Hunter	Sires
Kaptur	Keating	Welch	Duffy	Jackson Lee	Waters, Maxine
Keating	Kelly (IL)	Quigley	Engel	Jeffries	Yarmuth

NOES—241

Abraham	Dold	Jones
Aderholt	Donovan	Jordan
Aguilar	Duncan (SC)	Joyce
Allen	Duncan (TN)	Katko
Amash	Ellmers (NC)	Kelly (MS)
Babin	Emmer (MN)	Kelly (PA)
Barr	Farenthold	King (IA)
Barton	Fitzpatrick	King (NY)
Benishek	Fleischmann	Kinzinger (IL)
Bilirakis	Fleming	Kline
Bishop (MI)	Flores	Knight
Bishop (UT)	Forbes	Labrador
Blackburn	Fortenberry	LaHood
Blum	Foster	LaMalfa
Bost	Foxx	Lamborn
Boustany	Frelinghuysen	Lance
Brady (TX)	Garrett	Latta
Bridenstine	Gibbs	Lipinski
Brooks (AL)	Gibson	LoBiondo
Buchanan	Gohmert	Long
Buck	Goodlatte	Loudermilk
Bucshon	Gowdy	Love
Burgess	Granger	Lucas
Byrne	Graves (GA)	Lummis
Calvert	Graves (LA)	MacArthur
Carter (GA)	Graves (MO)	Marchant
Carter (TX)	Griffith	Marino
Chabot	Grothman	Massie
Chaffetz	Guinta	McCarthy
Clawson (FL)	Guthrie	McCaul
Coffman	Hanna	McClintock
Cole	Harper	McHenry
Collins (GA)	Harris	McKinley
Collins (NY)	Hartzler	McMorris
Comstock	Heck (NV)	Rodgers
Conaway	Hensarling	McSally
Cook	Hice, Jody B.	Meadows
Cooper	Hill	Meehan
Costa	Himes	Messer
Costello (PA)	Holding	Mica
Cramer	Hudson	Miller (FL)
Crawford	Huelskamp	Moolenaar
Crenshaw	Huizenga (MI)	Mooney (WV)
Culberson	Hultgren	Mullin
Curbelo (FL)	Hurd (TX)	Mulvaney
Davidson	Hurt (VA)	Murphy (PA)
Davis, Rodney	Issa	Neugebauer
Denham	Jenkins (KS)	Newhouse
Dent	Jenkins (WV)	Noem
DeSantis	Johnson (OH)	Nugent
DesJarlais	Johnson, Sam	Nunes
Diaz-Balart	Jolly	Olson

NOT VOTING—36

Adams	Farr	Larson (CT)
Amodei	Fattah	Lee
Barletta	Fincher	Lewis
Bass	Franks (AZ)	Lieu, Ted
Black	Fudge	Luetkemeyer
Brat	Gosar	Meeks
Brooks (IN)	Hardy	Miller (MI)
Clyburn	Herrera Beutler	Neal
Cohen	Hinojosa	Payne
Davis, Danny	Hunter	Sires
Duffy	Jackson Lee	Waters, Maxine
Engel	Jeffries	Yarmuth

□ 1208

Messrs. DIAZ-BALART, WITTMAN, and COLLINS of New York changed their vote from "aye" to "no."

So the amendment was rejected. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated against: Mrs. BLACK. Madam Chair, on rollcall No. 289 on agreeing to the Ellison Amendment for H.R. 5325, I am not recorded because I was unavoidable detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "nay."

AMENDMENT NO. 6 OFFERED BY MRS. BLACKBURN

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Tennessee (Mrs. BLACKBURN) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered. The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 165, noes 237, not voting 32, as follows:

[Roll No. 290]

AYES—165

Abraham	Bishop (MI)	Brady (TX)
Allen	Black	Brat
Amash	Blackburn	Bridenstine
Babin	Blum	Brooks (AL)
Barton	Bost	Brooks (IN)
Bilirakis	Boustany	Buchanan

Buck  
Bucshon  
Burgess  
Byrne  
Carter (GA)  
Chabot  
Chaffetz  
Clawson (FL)  
Coffman  
Collins (GA)  
Collins (NY)  
Comstock  
Conaway  
Cook  
Cooper  
Cramer  
Crawford  
Culberson  
Davidson  
DeSantis  
DesJarlais  
Duncan (SC)  
Duncan (TN)  
Ellmers (NC)  
Farenthold  
Fitzpatrick  
Fleming  
Flores  
Forbes  
Foxy  
Franks (AZ)  
Garrett  
Gibbs  
Gohmert  
Goodlatte  
Gowdy  
Graves (GA)  
Graves (LA)  
Graves (MO)  
Griffith  
Grothman  
Guinta  
Guthrie  
Harris  
Hartzler  
Hensarling  
Hice, Jody B.  
Hill  
Holding  
Hudson

Huelskamp  
Huizenga (MI)  
Hultgren  
Hurd (TX)  
Johnson (OH)  
Johnson, Sam  
Jones  
Kelly (MS)  
King (IA)  
Kline  
Knight  
Labrador  
LaMalfa  
Lamborn  
Lance  
Latta  
LoBiondo  
Long  
Loudermilk  
Love  
Lucas  
Lummis  
McCarthy  
McCaull  
McClintock  
McHenry  
McMorris  
Rodgers  
McSally  
Meadows  
Messer  
Miller (FL)  
Moolenaar  
Mooney (WV)  
Mullin  
Mulvaney  
Murphy (PA)  
Neugebauer  
Noem  
Olson  
Palazzo  
Palmer  
Pearce  
Perry  
Pitts  
Poliquin  
Polis  
Pompeo  
Posey  
Price, Tom

Ratcliffe  
Ribble  
Rice (SC)  
Roe (TN)  
Rohrabacher  
Rokita  
Rothfus  
Rouzer  
Royce  
Russell  
Salmon  
Sanford  
Scalise  
Schweikert  
Scott, Austin  
Sensenbrenner  
Sessions  
Shuster  
Sinema  
Smith (MO)  
Smith (NE)  
Smith (TX)  
Stewart  
Stutzman  
Tipton  
Trott  
Upton  
Vela  
Wagner  
Walberg  
Walden  
Walker  
Walorski  
Walters, Mimi  
Weber (TX)  
Webster (FL)  
Wenstrup  
Westerman  
Williams  
Wilson (SC)  
Wittman  
Woodall  
Yoder  
Yoho  
Young (IA)  
Young (IN)  
Zeldin  
Zinke

NOES—237

Aderholt  
Aguilar  
Ashford  
Barr  
Bass  
Beatty  
Becerra  
Benishkek  
Bera  
Beyer  
Bishop (GA)  
Bishop (UT)  
Blumenauer  
Bonamici  
Boyle, Brendan  
F.  
Brady (PA)  
Brown (FL)  
Brownley (CA)  
Bustos  
Butterfield  
Calvert  
Capps  
Capuano  
Cárdenas  
Carney  
Carson (IN)  
Carter (TX)  
Cartwright  
Castor (FL)  
Castro (TX)  
Chu, Judy  
Cicilline  
Clark (MA)  
Clarke (NY)  
Clay  
Clever  
Clyburn  
Cole  
Connolly  
Conyers  
Costello (PA)  
Courtney  
Crenshaw  
Crowley  
Cuellar  
Cummings

Curbelo (FL)  
Davis (CA)  
Davis, Rodney  
DeFazio  
DeGette  
Delaney  
DeLauro  
DelBene  
Denham  
Dent  
DeSaulnier  
Deutch  
Diaz-Balart  
Dingell  
Doggett  
Dold  
Donovan  
Doyle, Michael  
F.  
Duckworth  
Edwards  
Ellison  
Emmer (MN)  
Eshoo  
Esty  
Fleischmann  
Fortenberry  
Foster  
Frankel (FL)  
Frelinghuysen  
Gabbard  
Gallego  
Garamendi  
Gibson  
Graham  
Granger  
Grayson  
Green, Al  
Green, Gene  
Grijalva  
Gutiérrez  
Hahn  
Hanna  
Harper  
Hastings  
Heck (NV)  
Heck (WA)

Higgins  
Himes  
Hoyer  
Huffman  
Israel  
Issa  
Jeffries  
Jenkins (KS)  
Jenkins (WV)  
Johnson (GA)  
Johnson, E. B.  
Jolly  
Joyce  
Kaptur  
Katko  
Keating  
Kelly (IL)  
Kelly (PA)  
Kennedy  
Kildee  
Kilmer  
Kind  
King (NY)  
Kinzinger (IL)  
Kirkpatrick  
Kuster  
LaHood  
Langevin  
Larsen (WA)  
Larson (CT)  
Lawrence  
Levin  
Lewis  
Lipinski  
Loebsack  
Lofgren  
Lowenthal  
Lowe  
Lujan Grisham  
(NM)  
Luján, Ben Ray  
(NM)  
Lynch  
MacArthur  
Maloney,  
Carolyn  
Maloney, Sean

Marchant  
Marino  
Massie  
Matsui  
McCollum  
McDermott  
McGovern  
McKinley  
McNeerney  
Meehan  
Meng  
Mica  
Moore  
Moulton  
Murphy (FL)  
Nadler  
Napolitano  
Newhouse  
Nolan  
Norcross  
Nugent  
Nunes  
O'Rourke  
Pallone  
Pascarell  
Paulsen  
Pelosi  
Perlmutter  
Peters  
Peterson  
Pingree  
Pittenger  
Pocan  
Poe (TX)  
Price (NC)

Quigley  
Rangel  
Reed  
Reichert  
Renacci  
Rice (NY)  
Richmond  
Rigell  
Roby  
Rogers (AL)  
Rogers (KY)  
Rooney (FL)  
Ros-Lehtinen  
Roskam  
Ross  
Roybal-Allard  
Ruiz  
Ruppersberger  
Ryan (OH)  
Sanchez, Linda  
T.  
Sanchez, Loretta  
Sarbanes  
Schakowsky  
Schiff  
Schrader  
Scott (VA)  
Scott, David  
Serrano  
Sewell (AL)  
Sherman  
Shimkus  
Simpson  
Slaughter  
Smith (NJ)

NOT VOTING—32

Adams  
Amodei  
Barletta  
Cohen  
Costa  
Davis, Danny  
Duffy  
Engel  
Farr  
Fattah  
Fincher

Fudge  
Gosar  
Hardy  
Herrera Beutler  
Hinojosa  
Honda  
Hunter  
Hurt (VA)  
Jackson Lee  
Jordan  
Lee

Lieu, Ted  
Luetkemeyer  
Meeks  
Miller (MI)  
Neal  
Payne  
Rush  
Sires  
Waters, Maxine  
Yarmuth

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR  
The Acting CHAIR (during the vote).  
There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1212

So the amendment was rejected.  
The result of the vote was announced  
as above recorded.

Stated for:  
Mr. HURT of Virginia. Madam Chair, I was  
not present for rollcall vote No. 290 on the  
Blackburn of Tennessee Amendment No. 6.  
Had I been present, I would have voted "yes."

AMENDMENT NO. 11 OFFERED BY MR. TAKANO  
The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished  
business is the demand for a recorded  
vote on the amendment offered by the  
gentleman from California (Mr.  
TAKANO) on which further proceedings  
were postponed and on which the noes  
prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the  
amendment.  
The Clerk redesignated the amend-  
ment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote  
has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.  
The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2-  
minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic de-  
vice, and there were—ayes 179, noes 223,  
not voting 32, as follows:

[Roll No. 291]

AYES—179

Aguilar  
Amash  
Ashford

Bass  
Beatty  
Becerra

Bera  
Beyer  
Bishop (GA)

Blumenauer  
Bonamici  
Boyle, Brendan  
F.  
Brady (PA)  
Brown (FL)  
Brownley (CA)  
Bustos  
Butterfield  
Capps  
Capuano  
Cárdenas  
Carney  
Carson (IN)  
Cartwright  
Castor (FL)  
Castro (TX)  
Chaffetz  
Chu, Judy  
Clark (MA)  
Clarke (NY)  
Clay  
Clever  
Clyburn  
Connolly  
Conyers  
Cooper  
Costa  
Courtney  
Crowley  
Cuellar  
Cummings  
Curbelo (FL)  
Davis (CA)  
DeFazio  
DeGette  
Delaney  
DeLauro  
DelBene  
DeSaulnier  
Deutch  
Dingell  
Doggett  
Dold  
Doyle, Michael  
F.  
Duckworth  
Edwards  
Ellison  
Eshoo  
Esty  
Farenthold  
Foster  
Frankel (FL)  
Gallego  
Garamendi  
Graham  
Grayson  
Green, Al

Grothman  
Hahn  
Hastings  
Heck (WA)  
Higgins  
Himes  
Honda  
Hoyer  
Huffman  
Hultgren  
Israel  
Jeffries  
Johnson (GA)  
Johnson, E. B.  
Jones  
Kaptur  
Keating  
Kelly (IL)  
Kennedy  
Kildee  
Kilmer  
Kind  
Kirkpatrick  
Kuster  
Lance  
Langevin  
Larsen (WA)  
Larson (CT)  
Lawrence  
Levin  
Lewis  
Lipinski  
LoBiondo  
Loebsack  
Lofgren  
Lowenthal  
Lowe  
Lujan Grisham  
(NM)  
Luján, Ben Ray  
(NM)  
Lynch  
MacArthur  
Maloney,  
Carolyn  
Maloney, Sean

Pallone  
Pascarell  
Pelosi  
Perlmutter  
Peters  
Pingree  
Pocan  
Polis  
Price (NC)  
Quigley  
Roybal-Allard  
Rangel  
Rice (NY)  
Richmond  
Ros-Lehtinen  
Roskam  
Ruiz  
Ruppersberger  
Ryan (OH)  
Salmon  
Sanchez, Linda  
T.  
Sanchez, Loretta  
Sarbanes  
Schakowsky  
Schiff  
Schrader  
Scott (VA)  
Scott, David  
Serrano  
Sewell (AL)  
Sherman  
Shimkus  
Simpson  
Slaughter  
Smith (WA)  
Speier  
Stefanik  
Swalwell (CA)  
Takai  
Takano  
Thompson (CA)  
Thompson (MS)  
Titus  
Tonko  
Torres  
Tsongas  
Van Hollen  
Vargas  
Veasey  
Velázquez  
Visclosky  
Walz  
Wasserman  
Schultz  
Watson Coleman  
Welch  
Westmoreland  
Whitfield  
Wilson (FL)  
Womack  
Young (AK)

NOES—223

Abraham  
Aderholt  
Allen  
Babin  
Barr  
Barton  
Benishkek  
Bilirakis  
Bishop (MI)  
Bishop (UT)  
Black  
Blackburn  
Blum  
Bost  
Boustany  
Brady (TX)  
Brat  
Bridenstine  
Brooks (AL)  
Brooks (IN)  
Buchanan  
Buck  
Bucshon  
Burgess  
Byrne  
Calvert  
Carter (GA)  
Carter (TX)  
Chabot  
Clawson (FL)  
Coffman  
Cole  
Collins (GA)  
Collins (NY)  
Comstock  
Conaway  
Cook  
Costello (PA)

Cramer  
Crawford  
Crenshaw  
Culberson  
Davidson  
Davis, Rodney  
Denham  
Dent  
DeSantis  
DesJarlais  
Diaz-Balart  
Donovan  
Duncan (SC)  
Duncan (TN)  
Ellmers (NC)  
Emmer (MN)  
Fitzpatrick  
Fleischmann  
Fleming  
Flores  
Forbes  
Fortenberry  
Foy  
Franks (AZ)  
Frelinghuysen  
Gabbard  
Garrett  
Gibbs  
Gibson  
Gohmert  
Goodlatte  
Gowdy  
Granger  
Graves (GA)  
Graves (LA)  
Graves (MO)  
Green, Gene  
Griffith

Guinta  
Guthrie  
Hanna  
Harper  
Harris  
Hartzler  
Heck (NV)  
Hensarling  
Hice, Jody B.  
Hill  
Holding  
Hudson  
Huelskamp  
Huizenga (MI)  
Hunter  
Hurd (TX)  
Hurt (VA)  
Issa  
Jenkins (KS)  
Jenkins (WV)  
Johnson (OH)  
Johnson, Sam  
Jolly  
Jordan  
Joyce  
Katko  
Kelly (MS)  
Kelly (PA)  
King (IA)  
King (NY)  
Kinzinger (IL)  
Kline  
Knight  
Labrador  
LaHood  
LaMalfa  
Lamborn  
Latta

Long	Peterson	Smith (MO)	Carter (TX)	Kelly (MS)	Reichert	McClintock	Rice (NY)	Takano
Loudermilk	Pittenger	Smith (NE)	Chabot	Kelly (PA)	Renacci	McCollum	Richmond	Thompson (CA)
Love	Pitts	Smith (NJ)	Chaffetz	King (IA)	Ribble	McDermott	Rigell	Thompson (MS)
Lucas	Poe (TX)	Smith (TX)	Clawson (FL)	Kinzinger (IL)	Rice (SC)	McGovern	Roby	Thompson (PA)
Lummis	Poliquin	Stewart	Collins (GA)	Kline	Roe (TN)	McKinley	Rooney (FL)	Tiberi
MacArthur	Pompeo	Stutzman	Conaway	Labrador	Rogers (AL)	McMorris	Ros-Lehtinen	Tipton
Marino	Posey	Thompson (PA)	Crawford	Lamborn	Rogers (KY)	Rodgers	Rothfus	Titus
Massie	Price, Tom	Thornberry	Crenshaw	Lance	Rohrabacher	McNerney	Rouzer	Tonko
McCarthy	Ratcliffe	Tiberi	Culberson	Long	Rokita	McSally	Roybal-Allard	Torres
McCauley	Reed	Tipton	Davidson	Loudermilk	Roskam	Meehan	Royce	Trott
McClintock	Reichert	Trott	DesJarlais	Lucas	Ross	Meng	Ruiz	Tsongas
McHenry	Renacci	Turner	Diaz-Balart	Lummis	Russell	Mica	Ruppersberger	Turner
McKinley	Ribble	Upton	Duncan (SC)	MacArthur	Salmon	Moore	Rush	Valadao
McMorris	Rice (SC)	Rigell	Duncan (TN)	Marchant	Schweikert	Moulton	Ryan (OH)	Van Hollen
Rodgers	Rigell	Roby	Farenthold	Marino	Scott, Austin	Murphy (FL)	Sanchez, Linda	Vargas
McSally	Roby	Roe (TN)	Fleischmann	McCarthy	Sensenbrenner	Murphy (PA)	T.	Veasey
Meadows	Roe (TN)	Rogers (AL)	Flores	McCauley	Sessions	Nadler	Sanchez, Loretta	Vela
Meehan	Rogers (AL)	Rogers (KY)	Foxx	McHenry	Simpson	Napolitano	Sanford	Velázquez
Messer	Rogers (KY)	Rohrabacher	Walker	Meadows	Sinema	Noem	Sarbanes	Visclosky
Mica	Rohrabacher	Rokita	Walorski	Messer	Smith (MO)	Nolan	Scalise	Wagner
Miller (FL)	Rokita	Rooney (FL)	Walters, Mimi	Miller (FL)	Smith (NE)	Norcross	Schakowsky	Walden
Moolenaar	Rooney (FL)	Roskam	Weber (TX)	Moolenaar	Smith (TX)	O'Rourke	Schiff	Walker
Mooney (WV)	Roskam	Ross	Webster (FL)	Mooney (WV)	Stewart	Pallone	Schrader	Walorski
Mullin	Ross	Rothfus	Westerman	Mullin	Stivers	Pascarell	Scott (VA)	Walters, Mimi
Mulvaney	Rothfus	Rouzer	Westmoreland	Mulvaney	Stutzman	Paulsen	Scott, David	Walz
Murphy (PA)	Rouzer	Royce	Whitfield	Neugebauer	Thornberry	Pelosi	Serrano	Wasserman
Neugebauer	Royce	Sanford	Williams	Newhouse	Upton	Perlmutter	Sewell (AL)	Schultz
Newhouse	Sanford	Scalise	Wilson (SC)	Nugent	Walberg	Peters	Sherman	Watson Coleman
Noem	Scalise	Schradler	Womack	Nunes	Weber (TX)	Pingree	Shimkus	Welch
Nugent	Schradler	Schweikert	Woodall	Olson	Webster (FL)	Pittenger	Shuster	Wilson (FL)
Nunes	Schweikert	Scott, Austin	Yoder	Palazzo	Wenstrup	Pocan	Slaughter	Wittman
Olson	Scott, Austin	Sensenbrenner	Yoho	Palmer	Westerman	Poliquin	Smith (NJ)	Yoder
Palazzo	Sensenbrenner	Sessions	Young (AK)	Pearce	Hice, Jody B.	Polis	Smith (WA)	Young (IA)
Palmer	Sessions	Shimkus	Young (IA)	Perry	Hill	Price (NC)	Speier	Young (IN)
Paulsen	Shimkus	Shuster	Young (IN)	Peterson	Holding	Quigley	Stefanik	Zeldin
Pearce	Shuster	Simpson	Zeldin	Pitts	Huizenga (MI)	Rangel	Swalwell (CA)	Zinke
Perry	Simpson	Zeldin		Poe (TX)	Hultgren	Reed	Takai	

NOT VOTING—32

Adams	Fudge	Marchant
Amodei	Gosar	Meeks
Barletta	Grijalva	Miller (MI)
Ciçilline	Gutiérrez	Neal
Cohen	Hardy	Payne
Davis, Danny	Herrera Beutler	Sires
Duffy	Hinojosa	Stivers
Engel	Jackson Lee	Waters, Maxine
Farr	Lee	Wittman
Fattah	Lieu, Ted	Yarmuth
Fincher	Luetkemeyer	

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1216

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

AMENDMENT NO. 13 OFFERED BY MR. PEARCE

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New Mexico (Mr. PEARCE) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This will be a 2-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 137, noes 270, not voting 27, as follows:

[Roll No. 292]

AYES—137

Abraham	Benishek	Boustany
Allen	Bilirakis	Brat
Amash	Bishop (UT)	Brooks (AL)
Babin	Black	Burgess
Barton	Blackburn	Carter (GA)

Johnson, Sam	Jordan
--------------	--------

NOES—270

Aderholt	Crowley
Aguilar	Cuellar
Ashford	Cummings
Barr	Curbelo (FL)
Bass	Davis (CA)
Beatty	Davis, Rodney
Becerra	DeFazio
Bera	DeGette
Beyer	Delaney
Bishop (GA)	DeLauro
Bishop (MI)	DelBene
Blum	Denham
Blumenauer	Dent
Bonamici	DeSantis
Bost	DeSaunier
Boyle, Brendan	Deutch
F.	Dingell
Brady (PA)	Doggett
Bridenstine	Dold
Brooks (IN)	Donovan
Brown (FL)	Doyle, Michael
Brownley (CA)	F.
Buchanan	Duckworth
Buck	Edwards
Bucshon	Ellison
Bustos	Ellmers (NC)
Butterfield	Emmer (MN)
Byrne	Eshoo
Calvert	Esty
Capps	Fitzpatrick
Capuano	Fleming
Cárdenas	Forbes
Carney	Fortenberry
Carson (IN)	Poster
Cartwright	Frankel (FL)
Castor (FL)	Gabbard
Castro (TX)	Galego
Chu, Judy	Garamendi
Ciçilline	Garrett
Clark (MA)	Gibson
Clarke (NY)	Graham
Clay	Graves (LA)
Cleaver	Grayson
Clyburn	Green, Al
Coffman	Green, Gene
Cole	Grijalva
Collins (NY)	Guinta
Comstock	Guthrie
Connolly	Gutiérrez
Conyers	Hahn
Cook	Hanna
Cooper	Hartzler
Costa	Heck (NV)
Costello (PA)	Heck (WA)
Courtney	Higgins
Cramer	Himes

Price, Tom	Ratcliffe
------------	-----------

Honda	Hurt (VA)
Hoyer	Israel
Hudson	Issa
Huelskamp	Jeffries
Huffman	Jenkins (KS)
Hurd (TX)	Jenkins (WV)
Hurt (VA)	Johnson (GA)
Israel	Johnson (OH)
Issa	Johnson, E. B.
Jeffries	Jolly
Jenkins (KS)	Jones
Jenkins (WV)	Joyce
Johnson (GA)	Kaptur
Johnson (OH)	Katko
Johnson, E. B.	Keating
Jolly	Kelly (IL)
Jones	Kennedy
Joyce	Kildee
Kaptur	Kilmer
Katko	Kind
Keating	King (NY)
Kelly (IL)	Kirkpatrick
Kennedy	Knight
Kildee	Kuster
Kilmer	LaHood
Kind	LaMalfa
King (NY)	Langevin
Kirkpatrick	Larsen (WA)
Knight	Larson (CT)
Kuster	Latta
LaHood	Lawrence
LaMalfa	Levin
Langevin	Lewis
Larsen (WA)	Lipinski
Larson (CT)	LoBiondo
Latta	Loeb
Lawrence	Loeb
Levin	Lofgren
Lewis	Love
Lipinski	Lowenthal
LoBiondo	Lowe
Loeb	Lujan Grisham
Loeb	(NM)
Lofgren	Luján, Ben Ray
Love	(NM)
Lowenthal	Lynch
Lowe	Maloney,
Lujan Grisham	Carolyn
(NM)	Maloney, Sean
Luján, Ben Ray	Massie
(NM)	Matsui

NOT VOTING—27

Adams	Fattah	Lieu, Ted
Amodei	Fincher	Luetkemeyer
Barletta	Fudge	Meeks
Brady (TX)	Gosar	Miller (MI)
Cohen	Hardy	Neal
Davis, Danny	Herrera Beutler	Payne
Duffy	Hinojosa	Sires
Engel	Jackson Lee	Waters, Maxine
Farr	Lee	Yarmuth

□ 1220

Mr. DELANEY changed his vote from “aye” to “no.”

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The Acting CHAIR. There being no further amendments, under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. HULTGREN) having assumed the chair, Ms. FOXX, Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 5325) making appropriations for the Legislative Branch for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2017, and for other purposes, and, pursuant to House Resolution 771, she reported the bill back to the House with sundry amendments adopted in the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on any amendment reported from the Committee of the Whole? If not, the Chair will put them en gros.

The amendments were agreed to.



The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Mr. CASTRO of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Mr. CASTRO of Texas. I am opposed to it in its current form.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Castro of Texas moves to recommit the bill H.R. 5325 to the Committee on Appropriations with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith with the following amendment:

In the "Capital Construction and Operations" account, on page 17, line 6, after the dollar amount, insert "(reduced by \$200,000)".

In the "Library of Congress—Salaries and Expenses" account, on page 25, line 24, after the first dollar amount, insert "(increased by \$200,000)".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Texas is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. CASTRO of Texas. Mr. Speaker, this is the final amendment to the bill, which will not kill the bill or send it back to committee. If adopted, the bill will immediately proceed to final passage, as amended.

Mr. Speaker, before I speak on this amendment, I yield to the gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ), who has been a strong advocate and leader on this issue, for an opportunity to say a few words.

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Mr. Speaker, I rise to join my colleague, Congressman JOAQUIN CASTRO, to urge the majority to finally allow the House to strike a destructive political provision that has made its way into the Legislative Branch Appropriations bill.

If those listening are wondering why we are talking about the pejorative term "illegal aliens" on the bill that funds the legislative branch, then you are not alone. This legislation's accompanying report includes language that would have the Library continue to use the term "illegal aliens," "to the extent practicable"—even though the Library itself has said that there is no practicable means to continue to use the term "illegal aliens."

The Library changes thousands of subject headings each year without interference from Congress. Why this one? Why now?

The Library once used the subject heading "Negro," then moved to "Afro-American," and now "African American." They didn't wait until the entire U.S. Code was free of the pejorative term "Negro" before they changed their subject heading. As a matter of fact, Congress only recently removed the last vestiges of the terms "Negro" and "Oriental" from the U.S. Code in May of 2016.

That bill passed with a unanimous vote, including the "yes" vote of the chairman of the Legislative Branch Subcommittee. If we removed "Negro" and "Oriental" in the subject headings of the Library of Congress before we changed the U.S. Code, then we should do the same for the now-pejorative term, "illegal alien."

The Library of Congress is our Nation's first established cultural institution, and it is hard to fathom why my colleagues on the other side of the aisle would try to tie its hands to the slow-moving wheels of the U.S. Code.

Entering into an immigration debate on the Legislative Branch Appropriations bill is a terrible precedent. If the majority is really serious about debating the U.S. Code, then let's have the Republican Rules Committee bring up the Castro bill that would remove the hurtful and inaccurate term "illegal aliens" once and for all from the U.S. Code.

We are Members of Congress, not captains of the word police. Free the card catalog and depoliticize this bill.

Mr. CASTRO of Texas. Mr. Speaker, may I inquire how much time I have remaining?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Texas has 2 minutes and 35 seconds remaining.

Mr. CASTRO of Texas. Mr. Speaker, in 1922, the only grandparent I would come to know came from Mexico to the United States. She was not a rapist or a murderer or an alien. She was a 6-year-old girl whose parents had died around the time of the Mexican Revolution, and the closest relatives who could take her and her sister in were in Texas.

I bet if we went around this Chamber, I know there would be beautiful stories, similar stories, of ancestors who came from Italy, Germany, Ireland, Africa, Asia, and every corner of the world. They are the immigrants to this country. They are the strength of this country.

Language matters. Recently, the Library of Congress decided to retire the term "illegal alien" because it is dehumanizing. For the first time in American history, today, the Congress is ready to interfere with the business of the Library of Congress.

In the years of the Congress and the Library, language has evolved. That is why we have done away with terms like "Negro," "Oriental," "lunatic," and "retarded," because we understand that even words that start off as neutral descriptors can, over time, become used as verbal weapons and knives to inflict pain and disrespect and sow division. That is the case today.

There are times in our country's history where our politics have also been a race to the bottom. Those Irish ancestors were greeted by signs that read "no Irish need apply" in cities like New York and Boston. The Japanese, German, and Italian Americans even were interned during World War II. Chinese were excluded from this coun-

try for decades. During the Eisenhower administration, many Hispanics in this country were rounded up and deported to Mexico even if they were American.

□ 1230

What I am asking is for us not to fuel the flames of this season and for us to take a better course and do the right thing. I am asking you to support this motion to recommit because the words "illegal alien" will be retired. This will change, whether it is now or 6 months from now or 10 years from now. The question for all of us is whether we, today, will do the right thing or whether a few years from now we apologize for doing the wrong thing.

Please support this motion to recommit and do the right thing.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. GRAVES of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Georgia is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. GRAVES of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I want to make this quick because I want to make sure the House knows what offensive language is in this bill. It is so offensive that I am going to read it.

To the extent practicable, the committee instructs the Library to maintain certain subject headings that reflect terminology used in title 8, United States Code.

That is what is so offensive to the minority party.

For 7½ years, we have had a President who wants to ignore the intent of the laws of our land. We will not allow this body, this House, to ignore the definitions nor the words of the laws that have been voted on in this body, passed by the Senate, and signed into law by the President.

I am asking this body to vote "no" on this motion to recommit, vote "yes" to uphold the laws of this land, vote "yes" for your constituents on final passage, and have a good weekend.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. CASTRO of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 and clause 9 of rule XX, this 5-minute vote on the motion to recommit will be followed by 5-minute votes on passage of the bill, adoption of House Concurrent Resolution 89, and adoption of House Concurrent Resolution 112.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 170, noes 237, not voting 27, as follows:

[Roll No. 293]

AYES—170

Aguilar Gabbard Nolan  
 Ashford Gallego Norcross  
 Bass Garamendi O'Rourke  
 Beatty Graham Pallone  
 Becerra Grayson Pascrell  
 Bera Green, Al Pelosi  
 Beyrer Green, Gene Perlmutter  
 Bishop (GA) Grijalva Peters  
 Blumenauer Gutiérrez Peterson  
 Bonamici Hahn Pingree  
 Boyle, Brendan Hastings Pocan  
 F. Heck (WA) Polis  
 Brady (PA) Higgins Price (NC)  
 Brown (FL) Himes Quigley  
 Brownley (CA) Honda Rangel  
 Bustos Hoyer Rice (NY)  
 Butterfield Huffman Richmond  
 Capps Israel Roybal-Allard  
 Capuano Jeffries Ruiz  
 Cárdenas Johnson (GA) Ruppertsberger  
 Carney Johnson, E. B. Rush  
 Carson (IN) Kaptur Ryan (OH)  
 Cartwright Keating Sánchez, Linda  
 Castor (FL) Kelly (IL) T.  
 Castro (TX) Kennedy Sanchez, Loretta  
 Chu, Judy Kildee Sarbanes  
 Cicilline Kilmer Schakowsky  
 Clark (MA) Kind Schiff  
 Clarke (NY) Kirkpatrick Schrader  
 Clay Kuster Scott (VA)  
 Cleaver Langevin Scott, David  
 Clyburn Larsen (WA) Serrano  
 Connolly Larson (CT) Sewell (AL)  
 Conyers Lawrence Sherman  
 Cooper Levin Sinema  
 Costa Lewis Slaughter  
 Courtney Lipinski Smith (WA)  
 Crowley Loebsock Speier  
 Cuellar Lofgren Swalwell (CA)  
 Cummings Lowenthal Takai  
 Davis (CA) Lowey Takano  
 DeFazio Lujan Grisham Thompson (CA)  
 DeGette (NM) Thompson (MS)  
 Delaney Luján, Ben Ray Titus  
 DeLauro (NM) Tonko  
 DelBene Lynch Torres  
 DeSaulnier Maloney, Carolyn Tsongas  
 Deutch Carolyn Van Hollen  
 Dingell Maloney, Sean Vargas  
 Doggett Matsui Veasey  
 Doyle, Michael McCollum Vela  
 F. McDermott Velázquez  
 Duckworth McGovern Visclosky  
 Edwards McNeerney Walz  
 Ellison Meng Wasserman  
 Eshoo Moore Schultz  
 Esty Moulton Watson Coleman  
 Foster Murphy (FL) Welch  
 Frankel (FL) Nadler Wilson (FL)

NOES—237

Abraham Collins (NY) Gibson  
 Aderholt Comstock Gohmert  
 Allen Conaway Goodlatte  
 Amash Cook Gowdy  
 Babin Costello (PA) Granger  
 Barr Cramer Graves (GA)  
 Barton Crawford Graves (LA)  
 Benishek Crenshaw Graves (MO)  
 Bilirakis Culberson Griffith  
 Bishop (MI) Curbelo (FL) Grothman  
 Bishop (UT) Davidson Guinta  
 Black Davis, Rodney Guthrie  
 Blackburn Denham Hanna  
 Blum Dent Harper  
 Bost DeSantis Harris  
 Boustany DesJarlais Hartzler  
 Brady (TX) Diaz-Balart Heck (NV)  
 Brat Dold Hensarling  
 Bridenstine Donovan Hice, Jody B.  
 Brooks (AL) Duncan (SC) Hill  
 Brooks (IN) Duncan (TN) Holding  
 Buchanan Ellmers (NC) Hudson  
 Buck Emmer (MN) Huelskamp  
 Buechson Farenthold Huizenga (MI)  
 Burgess Fitzpatrick Hultgren  
 Byrne Fleischmann Hunter  
 Calvert Fleming Hurd (TX)  
 Carter (GA) Flores Hurt (VA)  
 Carter (TX) Forbes Issa  
 Chabot Fortenberry Jenkins (KS)  
 Chaffetz Foxx Jenkins (WV)  
 Clawson (FL) Franks (AZ) Johnson (OH)  
 Coffman Frelinghuysen Johnson, Sam  
 Cole Garrett Jolly  
 Collins (GA) Gibbs Jones

Jordan Newhouse Shimkus  
 Joyce Noem Shuster  
 Katko Nugent Simpson  
 Kelly (MS) Nunes Smith (MO)  
 Kelly (PA) Olson Smith (NE)  
 King (IA) Palazzo Smith (NJ)  
 King (NY) Palmer Smith (TX)  
 Kinzinger (IL) Paulsen Stefaniak  
 Kline Pearce Stewart  
 Knight Perry Stivers  
 Labrador Labradore Stutzman  
 LaHood Pitts  
 LaMalfa Poe (TX) Thompson (PA)  
 Lamborn Poliquin Thornberry  
 Lance Pompeo Tiberi  
 Latta Posey Tipton  
 LoBiondo Price, Tom Trott  
 Long Ratcliffe Turner  
 Loudermilk Reed Upton  
 Love Reichert Valadao  
 Lucas Renacci Wagner  
 Lummis Ribble Walberg  
 MacArthur Rice (SC) Walden  
 Marchant Rigell Walker  
 Marino Roby Walorski  
 Massie Roe (TN) Walters, Mimi  
 McCarthy Rogers (AL) Weber (TX)  
 McCaul Rogers (KY) Webster (FL)  
 McClintock Rohrabacher Westerman  
 McHenry Rokita Westmoreland  
 McKinley Rooney (FL) Whitfield  
 McMorris Ros-Lehtinen Williams  
 Rodgers Roskam  
 McSally Ross  
 Meadows Rothfus  
 Meehan Rouzer Wittman  
 Messer Royce Womack  
 Mica Russell Woodall  
 Miller (FL) Salmon Yoder  
 Mooleenaar Sanford Yoho  
 Mooney (WV) Scalise Young (AK)  
 Mullin Schweikert Young (IA)  
 Mulvaney Scott, Austin Young (IN)  
 Murphy (PA) Sensenbrenner Zeldin  
 Neugebauer Sessions Zinke

NOT VOTING—27

Adams Fincher Luettkemeyer  
 Amodei Fudge Meeks  
 Barletta Gosar Miller (MI)  
 Cohen Hardy Napolitano  
 Davis, Danny Herrera Beutler Neal  
 Duffy Hinojosa Payne  
 Engel Jackson Lee Sires  
 Farr Lee Waters, Maxine  
 Fattah Lieu, Ted Yarmuth

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE  
 The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remain-  
 ing.

□ 1237

So the motion to recommit was re-  
 jected.

The result of the vote was announced  
 as above recorded.

Stated for:

Mrs. NAPOLITANO. Mr. Speaker, I was un-  
 avoidably detained. Had I been present, I  
 would have voted "aye" on rollcall No. 293.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The  
 question is on the passage of the bill.

Under clause 10 of rule XX, the yeas  
 and nays are ordered.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic de-  
 vice, and there were—yeas 233, nays  
 175, not voting 26, as follows:

[Roll No. 294]

YEAS—233

Abraham Bishop (MI) Buck  
 Aderholt Bishop (UT) Buechson  
 Aguilar Black Burgess  
 Black Blackburn Byrne  
 Ashford Bost Calvert  
 Babin Boustany Carter (GA)  
 Barr Brady (TX) Carter (TX)  
 Barton Brat Chabot  
 Bridenstine Chaffetz  
 Brooks (IN) Brooks (IN) Clawson (FL)  
 Buchanan Buchanan Coffman

Cole Johnson, Sam Ribble  
 Collins (GA) Jolly Rice (SC)  
 Collins (NY) Jordan Rigell  
 Comstock Joyce Roby  
 Conaway Katko Roe (TN)  
 Cook Kelly (MS) Rogers (AL)  
 Cooper Kelly (PA) Rogers (KY)  
 Costa King (NY) Rohrabacher  
 Costello (PA) Kinzinger (IL) Rokita  
 Cramer Kline Rooney (FL)  
 Crawford Knight Ros-Lehtinen  
 Crenshaw Labradore Roskam  
 Cuellar LaHood Ross  
 Culberson LaMalfa Rothfus  
 Curbelo (FL) Lamborn Rouzer  
 Davis, Rodney Lance Royce  
 Denham Latta Ruiz  
 Dent LoBiondo Russell  
 DesJarlais Long Salmon  
 Diaz-Balart Loudermilk Sanford  
 Dold Love Scalise  
 Donovan Lucas Schweikert  
 Duncan (SC) Lummis Scott, Austin  
 Duncan (TN) MacArthur Sensenbrenner  
 Emmer (MN) Marchant Sessions  
 Farenthold Marino Shimkus  
 Fitzpatrick McCarthy Shuster  
 Fleischmann McCaul Simpson  
 Flores McHenry Sinema  
 Forbes McKinley Smith (MO)  
 Fortenberry McMorris Smith (NE)  
 Foxx Rodgers Smith (NJ)  
 Franks (AZ) McSally Smith (TX)  
 Frelinghuysen Meadows Stefaniak  
 Garrett Meehan Stewart  
 Gibbs Messer Stivers  
 Gibson Mica Stutzman  
 Gohmert Miller (FL) Thompson (PA)  
 Goodlatte Mooleenaar Thornberry  
 Gowdy Mooney (WV) Tiberi  
 Mullin Tipton  
 Trott  
 Graves (GA) Mulvaney  
 Graves (LA) Murphy (PA) Turner  
 Graves (MO) Neugebauer Upton  
 Griffith Newhouse Valadao  
 Grothman Wagner Walden  
 Guthrie Nugent Walberg  
 Hanna Nunes Walden  
 Harper Olson Walker  
 Harris Palazzo Walorski  
 Hartzler Palmer Walters, Mimi  
 Heck (NV) Paulsen Webster (FL)  
 Hensarling Pearce Wenstrup  
 Hice, Jody B. Perry Westerman  
 Hill Peters Westmoreland  
 Holding Peterson Whitfield  
 Hudson Pittenger Williams  
 Huelskamp Pitts Wilson (SC)  
 Huizenga (MI) Poe (TX) Womack  
 Hultgren Poliquin Woodall  
 Hunter Pompo Yoder  
 Hurd (TX) Posey Yoho  
 Hurt (VA) Price, Tom Young (AK)  
 Issa Ratcliffe Young (IA)  
 Jenkins (KS) Reed Young (IN)  
 Jenkins (WV) Reichert Zeldin  
 Johnson (OH) Renacci Zinke

NAYS—175

Amash Cleaver Gabbard  
 Bass Clyburn Gallego  
 Beatty Connolly Garamendi  
 Becerra Conyers Graham  
 Beyer Courtney Grayson  
 Bishop (GA) Crowley Green, Al  
 Blum Cummings Green, Gene  
 Blumenauer Davidson Grijalva  
 Bonamici Davis (CA) Guinta  
 Boyle, Brendan DeFazio Gutiérrez  
 F. DeGette Hahn  
 Brady (PA) Delaney Hastings  
 Brooks (AL) DeLauro Heck (WA)  
 Brown (FL) DelBene Higgins  
 Brownley (CA) DeSantis Himes  
 Bustos DeSaulnier Honda  
 Butterfield Deutch Hoyer  
 Capps Dingell Huffman  
 Capuano Doggett Israel  
 Cárdenas Doyle, Michael Jeffries  
 Carney F. Johnson (GA)  
 Carson (IN) Duckworth Johnson, E. B.  
 Cartwright Edwards Jones  
 Castro (FL) Ellison Kaptur  
 Castro (TX) Ellmers (NC) Keating  
 Chu, Judy Kelly (IL) Kennedy  
 Cicilline Esty Kennedy  
 Clark (MA) Fleming Kildee  
 Clarke (NY) Foster Kilmer  
 Clay Frankel (FL) Kind

King (IA)	Moulton	Scott, David	Bucshon	Hurd (TX)	Reichert	Johnson, E. B.	Moore	Schrader
Kirkpatrick	Murphy (FL)	Serrano	Burgess	Issa	Renacci	Kaptur	Moulton	Scott (VA)
Kuster	Nadler	Sewell (AL)	Byrne	Jenkins (KS)	Ribble	Keating	Murphy (FL)	Scott, David
Langevin	Napolitano	Sherman	Calvert	Jenkins (WV)	Rice (SC)	Kelly (IL)	Nadler	Serrano
Larsen (WA)	Nolan	Slaughter	Carter (GA)	Johnson (OH)	Rigell	Kennedy	Napolitano	Sewell (AL)
Larson (CT)	Norcross	Smith (WA)	Carter (TX)	Johnson, Sam	Roby	Kildee	Nolan	Sherman
Lawrence	O'Rourke	Speier	Chabot	Jones	Roe (TN)	Kilmer	Norcross	Slaughter
Levin	Pallone	Swalwell (CA)	Chaffetz	Jordan	Rogers (AL)	Kind	O'Rourke	Smith (WA)
Lewis	Pascrell	Takai	Coffman	Joyce	Rogers (KY)	Kuster	Pallone	Speier
Lipinski	Pelosi	Takano	Cole	Katko	Rohrabacher	Langevin	Pascrell	Swalwell (CA)
Loebsack	Perlmutter	Thompson (CA)	Collins (GA)	Kelly (MS)	Rokita	Larsen (WA)	Pelosi	Takai
Lofgren	Pingree	Thompson (MS)	Collins (NY)	Kelly (PA)	Rooney (FL)	Larson (CT)	Perlmutter	Takano
Lowenthal	Pocan	Titus	Comstock	King (IA)	Ros-Lehtinen	Lawrence	Peters	Thompson (CA)
Lowe	Polis	Tonko	Conaway	King (NY)	Roskam	Levin	Pingree	Thompson (MS)
Lujan Grisham (NM)	Price (NC)	Torres	Cook	Kinzinger (IL)	Ross	Lewis	Pocan	Titus
Lujan, Ben Ray (NM)	Quigley	Tsongas	Costello (PA)	Kirkpatrick	Rothfus	Lipinski	Polis	Tonko
Lynch	Rangel	Van Hollen	Cramer	Kline	Rouzer	Loebsack	Price (NC)	Torres
Maloney, Carolyn	Rice (NY)	Vargas	Crawford	Knight	Royce	Lofgren	Quigley	Tsongas
Maloney, Sean	Richmond	Veasey	Crenshaw	Labrador	Russell	Lowenthal	Rangel	Van Hollen
Massie	Roybal-Allard	Vela	Cuellar	LaHood	Salmon	Lowe	Rice (NY)	Vargas
Matsui	Ruppersberger	Velázquez	Culberson	LaMalfa	Sanford	Lujan, Ben Ray (NM)	Richmond	Veasey
McClintock	Rush	Visclosky	Curbelo (FL)	Lamborn	Scalise	Lynch	Roybal-Allard	Vela
McCormack	Ryan (OH)	Walz	Davidson	Lance	Schweikert	Maloney, Carolyn	Ruiz	Velázquez
McDermott	Sánchez, Linda	Wasserman	Davis, Rodney	Latta	Scott, Austin	Maloney, Sean	Ruppersberger	Visclosky
McGovern	T.	Schultz	Denham	LoBiondo	Sensenbrenner	Matsui	Rush	Walz
McNerney	Sanchez, Loretta	Watson Coleman	Dent	Long	Sessions	McCollum	Ryan (OH)	Wasserman
Meng	Sarbanes	Weber (TX)	DeSantis	Loudermilk	Shimkus	McDermott	Sánchez, Linda	Schultz
Moore	Schakowsky	Welch	DesJarlais	Lucas	Shuster	McGovern	T.	Watson Coleman
	Schiff	Wilson (FL)	Diaz-Balart	Lummis	Simpson	McNerney	Sanchez, Loretta	Welch
	Schrader	Wittman	Dold	Marchant	Sinema	Meng	Sarbanes	Wilson (FL)
	Scott (VA)		Donovan	Marino	Smith (MO)		Schakowsky	
			Duncan (SC)	Massie	Smith (NE)		Schiff	
			Duncan (TN)	McCarthy	Smith (NJ)			
			Emmer (MN)	McCaul	Smith (TX)			
			Farenthold	McClintock	Stefanik			
			Fitzpatrick	McHenry	Stewart			
			Fleischmann	McKinley	Stivers			
			Fleming	McMorris	Stutzman			
			Flores	Rodgers	Thompson (PA)			
			Forbes	McSally	Thornberry			
			Fortenberry	Meadows	Tiberi			
			Fox	Meehan	Tipton			
			Franks (AZ)	Messer	Trott			
			Frelinghuysen	Mica	Turner			
			Garrett	Miller (FL)	Upton			
			Gibbs	Moolenaar	Valadao			
			Gibson	Mooney (WV)	Wagner			
			Gohmert	Mullin	Walberg			
			Goodlatte	Mulvaney	Walden			
			Gowdy	Murphy (PA)	Walker			
			Granger	Neugebauer	Walorski			
			Graves (GA)	Newhouse	Walters, Mimi			
			Graves (LA)	Noem	Weber (TX)			
			Graves (MO)	Nugent	Webster (FL)			
			Griffith	Nunes	Wenstrup			
			Grothman	Olson	Westerman			
			Guinta	Palazzo	Westmoreland			
			Guthrie	Palmer	Whitfield			
			Hanna	Paulsen	Williams			
			Harper	Pearce	Wilson (SC)			
			Harris	Perry	Wittman			
			Hartzler	Peterson	Womack			
			Heck (NV)	Pittenger	Woodall			
			Hensarling	Pitts	Yoder			
			Hice, Jody B.	Poe (TX)	Yoho			
			Hill	Poliquin	Young (AK)			
			Holding	Pompeo	Young (IA)			
			Hudson	Posey	Young (IN)			
			Huelskamp	Price, Tom	Zeldin			
			Huizenga (MI)	Ratcliffe	Reed			
			Hultgren					
			Hunter					

NOT VOTING—26

Adams	Fincher	Luetkemeyer
Amodei	Fudge	Meeks
Barletta	Gosar	Miller (MI)
Cohen	Hardy	Neal
Davis, Danny	Herrera Beutler	Payne
Duffy	Hinojosa	Sires
Engel	Jackson Lee	Waters, Maxine
Farr	Lee	Yarmuth
Fattah	Lieu, Ted	

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 1244

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF CONGRESS THAT A CARBON TAX WOULD BE DETRIMENTAL TO THE UNITED STATES ECONOMY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on adoption of the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 89) expressing the sense of Congress that a carbon tax would be detrimental to the United States economy, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the concurrent resolution.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 237, nays 163, answered “present” 2, not voting 32, as follows:

[Roll No. 295]

YEAS—237

Abraham	Benishek	Boustany
Aderholt	Bilirakis	Brady (TX)
Allen	Bishop (GA)	Brat
Amash	Bishop (MI)	Bridenstine
Ashford	Bishop (UT)	Brooks (AL)
Babin	Black	Brooks (IN)
Barr	Blum	Buchanan
Barton	Boat	Buck

Aguliar	Clark (MA)	Ellison
Bass	Clarke (NY)	Eshoo
Beatty	Clay	Esty
Becerra	Cleaver	Foster
Bera	Connolly	Frankel (FL)
Beyer	Conyers	Gabbard
Blumenauer	Cooper	Galleo
Bonamici	Costa	Garamendi
Boyle, Brendan F.	Courtney	Graham
Brady (PA)	Crowley	Grayson
Brown (FL)	Cummings	Green, Al
Brownley (CA)	Davis (CA)	Green, Gene
Bustos	DeFazio	Grijalva
Butterfield	DeGette	Gutiérrez
Capps	Delaney	Hahn
Capuano	DeLauro	Hastings
Cardenas	DelBene	Heck (WA)
Carney	DeSaulnier	Higgins
Carter (IN)	Deutch	Himes
Cartwright	Dingell	Honda
Castor (FL)	Doggett	Hoyer
Castro (TX)	Doyle, Michael F.	Huffman
Chu, Judy	Duckworth	Israel
Ciulline	Edwards	Jeffries
		Johnson (GA)

NAYS—163

Adams	Amodei	Barletta	Blackburn	Clawson (FL)	Clyburn	Cohen	Davis, Danny	Duffy	Ellmers (NC)	Engel	Farr
Fincher	Fudge	Gosar	Hardy	Herrera Beutler	Hinojosa	Hurt (VA)	Jackson Lee	Lee	Lieu, Ted	Love	
Luetkemeyer	Meeks	Miller (MI)	Neal	Payne	Sires	Waters, Maxine	Yarmuth				

ANSWERED “PRESENT”—2

Jolly	Lujan Grisham (NM)
-------	--------------------

NOT VOTING—32

Adams	Fattah	Luetkemeyer
Amodei	Fincher	Meeks
Barletta	Fudge	Miller (MI)
Blackburn	Gosar	Neal
Clawson (FL)	Hardy	Payne
Clyburn	Herrera Beutler	Sires
Cohen	Hinojosa	Waters, Maxine
Davis, Danny	Hurt (VA)	Yarmuth
Duffy	Jackson Lee	
Ellmers (NC)	Lee	
Engel	Lieu, Ted	
Farr	Love	

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 1250

So the concurrent resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. HURT of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I was not present for Roll Call vote No. 295 on H. Con. Res. 89. Had I been present, I would have voted “yes.”

EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF CONGRESS OPPOSING THE PRESIDENT'S PROPOSED \$10 TAX ON EVERY BARREL OF OIL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on adoption of the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 112) expressing the sense of Congress opposing the President's proposed \$10 tax on every barrel of oil, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the concurrent resolution.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 253, nays