House of Representatives

The House was not in session today. Its next meeting will be held on Thursday, October 2, 2008, at 12 p.m.

Senate

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 30, 2008

(Legislative day of Wednesday, September 17, 2008)

The Senate met at 10:01 a.m., on the expiration of the recess, and was called to order by the Acting President pro tempore (Mr. WEBB).

PRAYER
The Chaplain, Dr. Barry C. Black, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray.

God of all the worlds that are, redeemer of humanity, govern our Nation and world. Lord, may the dawning of Rosh Hashanah provide all people who believe in You with opportunities to review past mistakes and to resolve to make improvements in the days to come.

In these tumultuous times, lead our lawmakers. Help them not to second-guess the destinations to which You may take us but to focus on doing what is right. Keep them from being reluctant to make courageous decisions that may take them through valleys before they see the mountain peaks. May our Senators seek to do what pleases You, their most important constituent, and to work faithfully to do what is best for America.

You are our Lord and Savior. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
The Honorable JIM WEBB led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

RECOGNITION OF THE MAJORITY LEADER
The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The majority leader is recognized.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The SCHEDULE

Mr. REID. Mr. President, following the remarks of myself and Senator MCCONNELL, we are going to move to continue on the consideration of H.R. 2095, the rail safety-Amtrak legislation. Cloture was invoked on the motion to concur in the House amendment yesterday, so we will continue to debate this today during the 30 hours. There will be no rollover votes today in view of the Rosh Hashanah holiday, but we will have votes tomorrow evening after sundown. We are still working on an agreement to consider the United States-India nuclear agreement. I am quite certain we will finalize that so there can be a vote on that tomorrow.

Mr. President, on the financial crisis facing our country, the blame game needs to end and we need to move forward on doing what is right for our country. Yesterday’s drop on Wall Street amounted to a loss of more than a trillion dollars—about $1.2 trillion, to be exact. Most of that money doesn’t come from Wall Street titans but from the pensions of people who have retired and who have worked for city government, county government, State government, or in some business they worked at for many years, or people who have frugally worked during their lifetime to save a few dollars and put it into a retirement account. These people are rightfully worried that the security of their golden years will be compromised by what has happened with the irresponsibility in the financial sector. So the most important job we have as Members of Congress is to safeguard the physical and fiscal security of the American people. Despite yesterday’s setback in the House of Representatives, this continues to be our No. 1 goal.

Last night, I spoke with the President’s Chief of Staff, Josh Bolten. I talked to him again this morning and spent quite a long time with him on the telephone. I spoke to Senator OBAMA this morning, who had just completed a conversation with President Bush. I mention that not to be a name-dropper but to indicate that we are working together to try to resolve this important issue.

Senators BENNETT, CORZINE, and GREGG on the Republican side, as well as Senators DODD, BAUCUS, CONRAD, DURBIN, SCHUMER, and JACK REED on
the Democratic side, have done an exceptionally good bipartisan job to move the rescue legislation forward here in the Senate. Their work continues as we speak. I will also continue to work closely with the minority leader, Senator MCCAIN, as well as our counterparts in the House of Representatives. We are all committed to keeping the progress on this rescue package moving forward. So in the coming days, I will continue doing everything possible to see that this dire and avoidable financial crisis moves to the best possible outcome and toward a future of stability and growth for our country. I am going to have a meeting within an hour with the Senators I have just spoken about on the Democratic side. They have extremely good contacts on the Republican side.

I wish to say, Mr. President, that I thought the statement made yesterday by JUDD GREGG was an extremely good statement. JUDD GREGG is the past chairman of the Budget Committee and someone both sides respect for his knowledge of finances. So I commend and applaud Senator GREGG for his statement.

I am hopeful and I am confident that all sides, House and Senate and White House, will work together to achieve a goal that will be good for the American people.

RECOGNITION OF THE MINORITY LEADER

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Republican leader is recognized.

FINANCIAL CRISIS

Mr. MCCONNELL, Mr. President, I think the majority leader set precisely the right tone. I, too, want to reassure the American people that we intend to pass this legislation this week. We will pass it on a broad bipartisan basis, both sides cooperating, to prevent this financial crisis from persisting.

The message from the markets yesterday was clear. The time for finger-pointing indeed has come to an end. As the senior Senator from Tennessee likes to remind us, this is not the time to fix the blame, this is the time to fix the problem. Those also are the words of Senator MCCAIN, with whom I spoke yesterday, who is in exactly the same place as all of us are on a bipartisan basis.

So we will get the job done, we will get it done this week, and I think, hopefully, that will reassure the American people that Congress can rise to the occasion—act like grownups, if you will—and get the job done for all of our people.

I yield the floor.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The majority leader.

TRIBUTE TO SENATORS

PETE DOMENICI

Mr. REID, Mr. President, a lot of people think they know Senator PETE DOMENICI. They know him as a man who has been a leader in the Senate for decades on energy issues, and he was the chairman of that committee, and on budget issues, and he has been the chairman of that committee. He is a man who has been a leader on the Appropriations Committee. I have known Senator DOMENICI in that regard, as have the American people, but what I think is so interesting about PETE DOMENICI is a side that a lot of people don't know about him. Here is a man that can talk to the media, he can talk about financial markets, he can talk about the budgetary problems facing this country, but in a personal, private conversation, he can talk about baseball.

Here is a man who was a star athlete. He was a great baseball player. As a young man, he played American Legion Baseball. I played American Legion Baseball, but PETE's team was good. Mine wasn't so good. PETE led his team to the region National. American Legion Baseball used to be the baseball for young men.

They did not have all the State tournaments they had in high schools, so in the summer, the best athletes would get together and play American Legion Ball and, the winter regional championship was significant.

Senator DOMENICI went on to letter all 4 years, of course, in high school. He was a standout pitcher for the University of New Mexico, and he was All Conference. His final year he had a record of 14 and 3. That is quite a record. In those days, when Senator DOMENICI was in high school and college, they would play a lot of games, as they do now. A record of 14 and 3 is a very significant record.

But that was not the end of his career. He went on to play professional baseball. After college he played for the hometown crowd as a left-handed pitcher for the Albuquerque Dukes. I know he must have had a great fastball and a great curveball to accomplish what he did in baseball. But in the Senate, PETE DOMENICI does not throw curveballs, it is the high hard one all the time. He is a person who tells people how he feels.

With my long-time relationship with Senator DOMENICI, I only had one problem my entire career with PETE DOMENICI and that was the jujitsu routine. I frankly, do not remember whether I was the ranking member of the subcommittee or the chairman of the subcommittee because we went back and forth often. That was the Energy and Water Subcommittee of Appropriations.

As a relatively young Senator, I had a position of power, and I thought what I would do is go and talk to members of that conference and get the votes. I did it very quietly. I did not say a word to Senator DOMENICI, he surprised everybody. I called for a vote unexpectedly and I won. Senator DOMENICI did not say a word to me there publicly. Well, when that was over, we had a little heart-to-heart talk. He said: We have to work together. If we are going to work together on this subcommittee, I want to tell you something about how we do things in the Senate. We do not surprise each other. If you have a problem, you let me know. If you have the votes, you do not need to try to embarrass me publicly, you go ahead and do what you need to do.

I learned a great lesson there. I learned a lesson that can only come by someone teaching you, such as when I practiced law. It is not pleasant to talk about, but you learn from your mistakes in the practice of law. When you make a mistake, you never do that again. As a result of the teaching moment I had with Senator DOMENICI, I never, ever did that again. So I appreciate, if for no other reason than that, that one experience with PETE DOMENICI. It made me a better Senator and a better person.

It was very clear that when Senator DOMENICI realized he would not be playing for the New York Yankees, even though he was a good athlete, he decided he would become a teacher. Then he went to law school, and after graduating PETE DOMENICI was involved in politics. First, he was elected to the city commission in Albuquerque. Then he climbed up that ladder of local politics and became mayor of Albuquerque and was elected in 1972 as a young man to the House of Representatives.

My relationship with Senator DOMENICI began, my first experience coming to the Senate, in 1986. I was very fortunate that year. I was a brand-new Senator. I got on the Appropriations Committee. As we now know, Senators wait a long time to get on that committee. I was so fortunate that BARBARA MUKLSKI and HARRY REID, two brand-new Senators, were placed on that committee. From that day, I got to know PETE DOMENICI.

My experience on the Appropriations Committee goes back to the day that John Stennis, the Senator from Mississippi, was chairman of that committee. By the time I got to the Senate, he was in very frail health. He had been shot in a robbery, he had lost a leg, he had cancer. So he was very weak.

His chief of staff was a man by the name of John Sullivan. He had been a staff of this Committee. Armed Services Committee, now the Appropriations Committee. And he called me. After I met Senator Stennis, he called me in his office and said to me: Senator REID, you got on the best committee in the entire Senate. He said: You can do a lot of good things for your State, but do not be greedy.

That was a real good lesson for me. I have always tried to follow that. Senator DOMENICI has been someone I have worked with on the issue that came to me. I did not immediately get on the Energy and Water Subcommittee. It takes a while to get on that. That is one of the most
sought-after committees you can get on in the Appropriations process. I worked with PETE DOMENICI since the first day I have been in the Senate but on a very close basis from the time I got on that subcommittee. So we worked closely with the Energy and Water Subcommittee for 22 years. Some of these years PETE was the chairman, as I indicated, or I was the ranking member, and other years it was the reverse.

But, frankly, for the two of us, it did not matter which party controlled the Chamber. We continued to work for the people of Nevada and New Mexico and the country on a bipartisan basis. We have traveled the country. We have gone to some of the labs that are so necessary for our country’s science—Livermore—and the great facilities we have in New Mexico—Sandia. I can remember going there so clearly. It was a wonderful experience. The two labs in New Mexico are among the best. We also travelled to a facility we fund in Missouri.

Anyway, we have done a lot of things together over the years. In addition to that, because of the relationship of the spouses, his wonderful wife Nancy and my wife Landra, have become very good friends. They are very small people physically but big people in other ways. They are both generous, thoughtful, kind wives, mothers and good people. They have done a great job of raising our children, and they have many conversations about the good and the bad, as all families have in raising their children.

PETE DOMENICI is now the longest serving U.S. Senator in the history of his State, New Mexico. But longevity does not tell the story of DOMENICI’s legacy. He has established himself as one of America’s premier leaders on energy policy, national security, scientific research. While I talk about national security, I am saying them, science-based stockpile stewardship. I wish there were things around here that worked that way, that you did not have to work so hard to make something happen. But you have to work here.

It has been my pleasure to work on many measures, so people will know it is not just talk when you say you work bipartisan measures. The Appropriations Subcommittee, on Energy and Water, a strange-sounding title. We have had the task of maintaining the safety of the nuclear arsenal. We were given a brandnew approach, this Senator and 1, to saving and securing our arsenal without testing for the first time.

So we inherited a job of seeing that nuclear weapons were safe, and we were no longer going to test them as we had to do, and we received each other’s proxy. The bipartisanship had been enough to have a very successful career.

But for the millions of Americans who suffer from mental illness, PETE DOMENICI is the hero. He has joined Senator KENNEDY, as I have indicated, the late Senator Wellstone, as national champions on issues related to mental health.

So I would hope that one of the last things we do during the year, that will be the end of that Senate career, is figure out a way to make sure we get this legislation passed. Senator DOMENICI made his farewell remarks this past Saturday. He described himself as nearly incapable of sitting still in a crisis. With West in New Mexico and our country, that description fits him perfectly. Pete and Nancy have eight wonderful children.

Now, how can I describe in my words how I feel about PETE DOMENICI leaving? I guess we should, as Dr. Seuss said: ‘‘. . . not cry before it’s over, smile because it happened.’’ That certainly applies to our relationship: Don’t cry before it is over, even though there are times when you would like to shed a tear, smile because it happened.

No distance or place or lapse of time can lessen the friendship of those who are thoroughly persuaded of his work. I am persuaded of the work of my friend, PETE DOMENICI.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore, The Senator from New Mexico.

MR. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I rise to say thank you to the distinguished majorities for his kind words about my service in the Senate with him and my service in the Senate generally. I wish to say you have been far too generous in your words. I accept them and appreciate them abundantly. I also wish one slight error, I was a right-hander, not a left-hander. But that is all right. Everything else you said was correct.

MR. REID. I have described him as left-handed all the time I have known him because I did not think we had two right-handed pitchers. I thought BUNNING was the only right-hander.

MR. DOMENICI. My pitch was a very gifted one. I was right handed, but the ball broke automatically as if I was pitching a left handed pitcher. When you have a right-handed pitcher who throws a certain kind of fastball that breaks into the right-handed batter, that is the screwball.

You go to a lot of trouble throwing a screwball; but mine, I did not have to go to a lot of trouble, it did it anyway. I wish there were things around here that worked that way, that you did not have to work so hard to make something happen. You have to work here.

So I give you this one example: Two Senators did that. No audiences. No television. They were all welcome. It was open. Mr. President, it was about our business. As we moved along, nobody could tell who was chairman and who was ranking member. It was a pleasure. I could count on you and you could count on me. I do not think we ever quite deceived each other.

Your story about my getting perturbed at you was slightly different than it was. You were ranking member and you went to the Republican side and got a proxy. What I told you was to never do that again. When you get a proxy from a Republican on my side, you have to tell me. And you were very apologetic and found out that I was telling you right. We never had another problem. We never had another problem where proxies got mixed up. Republican proxies were sought after by the Republican person. If you couldn’t get them, you would go somewhere else. But you had to have an open hand there somewhere where you could get them.

That is the way we did it. We told each other the truth. With the truth came great things from that subcommittee on which we were totally bipartisan.

We had kept the nuclear arsenal safe enough where those who ran the three Laboratories could tell the President every year that the United States nuclear arsenal was safe and sound. They
must do that as a matter of law, you recall.
I say thank you. I close and say I, too, am sorry about leaving. You indi-
cated something about sadness, but I am hopeful things will be all right with me, and the Senate will have to continue to be a great place.
As we close, we had this one dialog this morning, and I have the chance, before my distinguished Republicans wait to speak, just to say I hope with all the strength of my being that we can put together a package that will gather the votes in the House and Senate to put this plan, this recovery plan, in place so we are not going to suffer irreparable harm for the people by the financial markets falling apart.
I am so sorry we got started with this concept of calling it a bailout. There is nothing to bail out. We are buying as-
sets that are stopping up the system. I don’t want to hear the word ‘bail out.’ You buy them and you own some-
thing and you sell it later. If you don’t buy it, the entire system behind those bad assets, which were stuffed into the system over a number of years because we sold them as assets that were good mortgages—I wish the people could un-
derstand that we are not bailing out Wall Street. We are not bailing out anything. We are trying to make sure the American financial markets in your backyard—your bank, your savings and loan, all the other things, your payroll checks—are going to func-
tion under this very fabulous American financial system which has some very big kinks in it now. It won’t work. We have to make it work.
Again, I thank the majority leader for his comments.
The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tem-
porum, The Senator from Arizona.
Mr. KYL. Mr. President, while both the majority leader and Senator DOMENICI are here, I want to say I ap-
preciate the opening remarks of the majority leader today. It is precisely that tone of necessity and bipartisan-
ship that will enable us to achieve the result to which Senator DOMENICI referred. I hope to continue in that same vein with some comments I will make in a moment.
If I could turn to Senator DOMENICI, the majority leader pointed out several of the things that have been com-
mented upon before regarding Senator DOMENICI’s leadership. I want to focus on two other things briefly. The major-
ity leader asked the question in a bipartisan man-
ers relating to the preservation of our great National Laboratory assets, two of which are located in New Mex-
ico. He referred to Senator DOMENICI’s leadership on mental health reform, on nuclear proliferation, and on the pro-
duction of electricity on which Senator DOMENICI has worked so hard. I don’t recall if he mentioned all of the budget reform that Senator DOMENICI put in when he was chairman of the commit-
te, but we are certainly all aware of that.
I would like to briefly mention two others, to express appreciation to Sen-
ator DOMENICI for his help in achieving one of the landmark Indian water set-
tlements in the history of the country related to Arizona a couple of years ago. Without his help, that wouldn’t have been possible. And I want to indi-
cate something that probably not a lot of folks are aware of. I was recently in New Mex-
ico will become aware of, that Sen-
ator DOMENICI has worked hard to lay the foundation for an equally historic water settlement for New Mexico. Un-
 fortunately, that will not be completed before the end of Senator DOMENICI’s service, but it will not be completed without the foundation he helped to lay.
Finally, something that has hap-
pened recently that only his Republic-
ian colleagues would be familiar with, but in these last several weeks in which we have confronted this finan-
cial crisis, several leaders have risen to accept the challenge of leadership. Sen-
ator DOMENICI is one of those. Perhaps because he has a long time ago had the respect of his colleagues, al-
ways spoke thoughtfully on these issues, it would be expected that he would perhaps rise to that leadership role. I know in our Republican con-
ference during the meetings we have had to discuss this, and others, it was frequently the case that Senator DOMENICI stood and thoughtfully and quietly expressed the words that only very respected leaders can speak. He did that on one occasion to bridge a gap between two groups of Repub-
licans, to compliment one group and to demon-
strate how we all could work to-
together to restore confidence to our markets. He has done that subse-
quently in a thoughtful and, I even suggest, profound way.
I have heard Senator DOMENICI speak eloquently before, but I have never heard him speak more eloquently than when he has been addressing this crisis. It allows us to return to the propo-
sitions that Senator DOMENICI referred to the end of his service in the Senate for the people of New Mexico and the peo-
ple of America, he is joining together in a bipartisan way to work on a prob-
lem of great significance to the people. He has done everything he can.
I know when he leaves, he will be able to say he did everything he could do—and he did it well. I appreciate his service. I have appreciated the personal relationship we have had, the friend-
ship we have had, his assistance to me. I know that will continue even though he and Nancy will not be here in the Senate. But we will be close, since we are neighbors in the great Southwest. I join the remarks of the distinguished majority leader and compliment my friend for his years of service to the people of this country.
The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tem-
porum, The Senator from New Mexico.
Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I once again the end of good from Arizona. He has given me far too much credit, but I appreciate it. I have tried to un-
derstand the significance of this agree-
ment. I only wish that every Member had the luxury of understanding it be-
cause I don’t think they do. I don’t think some do. I wish far more that the millions of Americans who are writing their Congressmen saying this bailout should fail, it is no good, it doesn’t help. I wish they would understand the way I have been privileged to un-
derstand. I want them to know it didn’t come easy.
The first couple of days I didn’t un-
derstand, maybe the first 3 days. It looked like it was me and wild and it would never work and what were we trying to fix. It turns out I fi-
nally got it.
Once I did, there was no citizen who could write to me and say I shouldn’t vote for this because it is bad because I would have to call them and tell them they didn’t understand. That is why I am talking to you. I hope some addi-
tional citizens hear us.
If they say: Why should he be telling us we don’t understand, I am telling you, citizens, you don’t understand if you are against this on the basis that it bails out Wall Street. There is no bail out. If it bails out nothing, how can it bailout Wall Street? It buys something. We have to discuss that, it will buy something. But the something it is buying is an asset that is clogging up the financial rivers of America because they are toxic. They are not good mortgages. If you don’t buy them up, they will continue to clog it up.
So, citizens, turn some of your Mem-
bers loose whom you are holding hos-
tage by telegram and phone call to al-
legations that are not correct, that are untrue. If we continue to have our citi-
zens believe them and thus lead our Members into not permitting this vote to occur with a majority vote, we are going to do irreparable harm to a sys-

tem that brings us the luxury of Amer-
ica, the luxuries of everyday life, the luxuries of buying so many things which come from a financial system, the luxury of buying cars that come from a financial system. Nothing is paid for in cash today.
I don’t want to offend the few people who do pay in cash. Some people pay in cash, but 99.9 percent of every trans-
action has some credit in it. If it has some credit in it, it is not going to work a couple of weeks from now be-
cause it has fallen apart.
When we started it off we would have huddled and said: How do we talk about this? They are still using the phraseology “bailout” this morn-
ing. In fact, some are saying “the bail-
out,” but then they say: But it isn’t a bail-
out. But they started by saying it is a bail-
out. So we have citizens all over the place telling House Members who are running for office — and I don’t blame them—don’t vote for the bailout.
I have taken these few minutes. I pro-
bably won’t come back to the floor this morning. I hope not. I have bur-
dened the Senate enough. I have broth-
ered you enough. You just came down
to say a few words. Here I got up and said it all over again. What I didn’t do, I say to the Senator from Tennessee, I didn’t use the metaphor about a super-highway.

Mr. KYL. I will use that.

Mr. DOMENICI. I dreamt it up with my staff, and it is pretty darn good. That is one where you are going to say, if the American people are telling their Congressmen that this is a bailout, if they listen to you, they will find out there is no bailout. They will find out there are some broken down cars in the middle of the road, and they have to be moved.

In any event, let me say one other thing about your mentioning my activities and just say to you, a number of things I have done lately I could not have done without your help and your leadership. I want to tell you one of them because it is a good one—I will be gone, and you need to stand up for it; if you have to filibuster, you have to—that is opening all of the offshore of America for drilling for natural gas and crude oil.

If the new President or the majority tries to reinstate those moratoria, I am saying thanks for helping me who started that thing. I got it started with a little bill because my staff and I said: What is the biggest thing we need. And we needed that so we put it in. Then, thanks to this leader, we made the bill grow. Then it grew, and then the people bought it. That is how it happened. The people drill.

Don’t let it go away when I am gone. I am just asking you. You are a good filibuster, so do it. The first time they want to close up some of that, and the first one will be California, you tell them to get an estimate of how many billions California will get if they start that. Then you ask that Governor: How would you like to have a gift for your people over the next 10 years, 15 years of, say, for California, maybe $12 billion. They may fall out of a chair if you get that, and that might be the case. I don’t know the number. I am just telling you it is big.

With that, I say thanks. It is nice being here again with you.

RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

FEDERAL RAILROAD SAFETY IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 2007

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Under the previous order, the Senate will resume consideration of the bill to accompany H.R. 2095, which the clerk will report.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

Message from the House of Representatives to accompany H.R. 2095, entitled an Act to amend title 49, United States Code, to prevent railroad fatalities, injuries, and hazardous materials releases, to authorize the Federal Railroad Safety Administration, and for other purposes.

PENDING:

Reid amendment No. 5677 (to the motion to concur in the amendment of the House of Representatives to the amendment of the Senate to the bill), to establish the enactment date.

Reid amendment No. 5678 (to amendment No. 5677), of a perfecting nature.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Arizona.

NOT A BAILOUT

Mr. KYL. Mr. President, I would like to continue the conversation Senator DOMENICI and I were engaged in. I assure him that Senator ALEXANDER and I came to the floor this morning to try to do exactly what he suggested; that is, to tell the stories of real Americans who are confronting the challenges of the market that need to be fixed. Senator ALEXANDER and I will do that for a few minutes to demonstrate that this is not a problem that requires a bailout of Wall Street. It is unfortunate that the media likes to use shorthand to describe problems, but it can do great damage. It is wrong to call this a bailout.

About 3 weeks ago, the Federal Reserve Board and the Department of Treasury did bail out some businesses and Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. Those were bailouts. They acquired assets, took back and restricted the compensation of the people running the companies and did all the rest.

This is something different. It addresses the problem that Senator DOMENICI has described akin to a big automobile accident in the middle of the freeway.

One of those great freeways in the State of the Acting President pro tempore can flow very nicely until there is an accident. Then when there is an accident, particular involving four or five cars, it stops traffic for a long time, and unless somebody comes and unclogs it, it is stopped dead. That is the analogy he has used to describe the problem in our economy today.

I am going to indulge my colleagues for just a moment and go back in time. When my grandmother, who was an immigrant from Holland, was running their household with my grandfather, they never bought anything on credit. Everything was cash. They paid for their modest house when they had the cash to buy it and lived in it the entire time in a small community in Nebraska until they passed away. When they would buy a car, they would not buy it until they had the cash. That was the way a lot of people who lived through the Great Depression had to work because there was no credit during the Great Depression.

It is not a bad lesson for all of us to try to have a little more cash in our hand when we think about financial transactions because America has gotten into a bit of a bad habit. It is the habit of leveraging everything, buying everything on credit and, in effect, creating a situation where you have so many loans, so many credit card debts. You bought your home on credit absolutely to the hilt. You have mortgaged it. Your car is on credit. And, by the way, the day after you come your way car off the lot, it is worth less than the loan you have to repay. That is now the situation with a lot of homes because home values have declined to the point that some of the mortgages exceed the real value of the homes.

I say to the Senator from Tennessee, I think that is opening all of the offshore of America for drilling for natural gas and crude oil.

None of us like Government involvement in our free market. We want to keep it as low as possible. But in times of crisis, sometimes it is up to the Government to step in and lead the way so the private market can get unclogged and begin to work again. Just as with the freeway, we do call the public ambulance and the public highway patrol, and so on. This is a case where the public, represented by the Members of Congress and by the administration, need to come up with something to get that freeway cleared.

Secretary Paulson and President Bush and the administration, as well as the Chairman of the Federal Reserve Board, Ben Bernanke, came to us a week ago and said: We have a huge
wreck in the freeway. It is not going to be cleared up by the private sector. The Government has to get involved and clear it or credit in this country will absolutely come to a halt and, as we said, since the country runs to a large extent on credit, everybody comes gradually to a grinding halt in their personal lives and in their businesses in terms of being able to function financially.

So the plan was to clear the freeway by having the Government come in and buy those cars that are clogging the freeway, buy the assets that do not have full value. We do not know what they are worth, so nobody in the private sector wants to buy them. But the Government could buy them with up to $700 billion in cash, in money to buy them, and we will try to buy them at a price the owner of that car or the owner of this mortgaged-backed security—maybe it is not as much as he would have liked to have gotten for it, but he will like it in order to get some cash out of it and have cash to continue to operate—but at a value that is not so high that when the Government decides to then fix up that car that was in the accident or take this mortgage-backed security—these loans we are talking about—to take them back to the market and sell them, that the Government will not have paid such a high price that it is not getting the money back for the taxpayers.

So the Secretary Paulson proposed. We will buy those assets at a reduced price, and then we will sell them, hopefully getting our money back, so the American taxpayer will have all the return on that $700 billion. They talk about a $700 billion bailout as if that money is all gone. Well, the idea, if it works, is to get all that money back.

One of the good things about the legislation that was drafted is that it all goes to reduce the Federal debt. That is great for the taxpayers.

So the legislation was drafted. Everybody realizes now that the House of Representatives failed to pass it yesterday. One of the things Senator Alexander and Senator McConnell and I and Senator Gregg from New Hampshire, who was so involved in the drafting of this plan, spoke yesterday was the fact that this cannot fail. We have to put Humpty Dumpty back together again and get it passed in the House and Senate, and that seems to be a great deal of goodwill on both sides of the aisle and in both the House and the Senate to get this done and get it done before the end of the week.

People are talking about the specific ways in which that might be done. They do not do any damage to the basic idea the Congress and Secretary Paulson worked on and, in fact, I think improve it a little bit.

But now, Senator Alexander and I want to do is talk about a few examples of why this is not about some bank on Wall Street. This is about folks back home. Let me give three examples of correspondence I have gotten in the last few days from people who wrote about their real-life problems. And we could repeat this story over and over with phone calls we have gotten. I have talked to so many people in Arizona who said: You have to do something about this because it is affecting me, it is affecting my family, and we are not going to be able to operate our business, or we are not going to be able to send our child to school or whatever the situation might have been. But let me recount three specific situations.

I am going to quote from the correspondence I received. One is from a small businessperson in Arizona, and I am not going to put the names in, but you will get the gist. He said, Senator:

I wanted to write to you to provide a real life example of the impact this issue has caused to my business and personal life. We need to be assured you are remembering and representing the foundation of America, the small business owner.

We opened a department store in March 2006 and now have 8 stores operating, four in AZ two in TX and two in FL. Two of these stores are corporate stores and 6 are franchise locations. Collectively the operations generate over $3.5M in annual sales and employ 40 people.

It is a typical small business in America. He goes on:

I hear politicians talking about what could happen if the bailout is not finalized, I want to tell you it has already had significant, negative impact to our business and only getting worse.

Let me provide you with a real life example of the issue I am discussing.

As with many small businesses we used equity in our homes to secure lines of business credit. We conservatively used the credit to address cash flow issues or make investments in goods or capital to expand the business.

We were notified about two weeks ago that our credit line capped to our current balance, our total available credit percentages went from 30% to 100%. This in turn reduced our credit score from 750 to 680. This has put us under the 720 requirements for me to finance our home and put us under the requirements for certain loans options as a business and on a personal level.

We have not missed a payment, our business has not changed, but due to this action we have had a significant drop in our credit score. In fact the business is very healthy as we have realized a 40% increase over last year.

We want to expand, hire more employees and create jobs. Without the flow of capital the people that can actually help the economy recover are being left out while the banks use our money to shore up their business. We are told just wait in line, when we are solid we will see if we can help you.

Just to interject, that is the message a lot of banks are sending to people, and I do not blame them because they need to hold their cash because of the requirements the law requires. So he concludes:

As individual businesses we are nothing in the grand scheme—

By the way, I would choose to disagree. These are the backbone of what makes our country work. But he goes on:

but, as a group, we are the most rapid and viable solution to job creation and economic recovery. We want to expand, we want to create jobs and do our part. Help us help you.

Why is it an eloquent statement from an Arizona businessman who appreciates how this crisis can affect everybody else and tells us how it is affecting him.

Let me cite one other businessperson in Arizona. I am going to let you know I support the emergency bailout now in review. I would like to bring one thing to your attention though. Something I have not heard mentioned at all in the media, and I believe is being played out across the Nation.

My wife owns a small business in Tucson. Her business is actually up 5 percent from last year. She was unable to get a loan for opening the store 3 years ago and thus we put a Home Equity Line of Credit on our house, and she opened the store. The cards who claimed they specialized in small businesses, 

She has not been late with payments, has not been over limit on the cards, nothing. A decent model of paying your bills on time in line with the card’s terms. Yet both the cards have raised her interest rate to 36 percent, purely because she was small. This in effect doubles her minimum payment, and pushes her business from being able to maintain economic health, to being stressed. With the additional stress from the unjustly raised interest rates, she has had to let employees go from the store, adding to the unemployment problem in Arizona today.

Now, the third and last example I want to cite is the State of Arizona itself and its municipal and other political subdivisions because governments are hurt by this just as the private sector. The Arizona State treasurer invests the State’s and most of the individual localities of the State’s day-to-day operating funds in commercial paper. A lot of these are called overnight funds. They get cash in during the day, and they have to have a place to put it over night before they then use it the next day to disburse it or do whatever they need. They can make a fraction of a percent by putting it in Government commercial paper. Sometimes they put it with a brokerage house or an investment bank, and in Arizona’s case some of this fund was put with Lehman Brothers, the entity that collapsed a couple weeks ago.

The State, as a result, is going to need to sell as much as $250 million in funds at a loss. This directly affects taxpayers. Here is one of the excerpts from what the State treasurer said:

However, with the current headline risk and market uncertainty, they [local governments] will likely flock to insured accounts if they are available. Without the same insurance, state backed investment pools may face a multi-billion dollar run on the bank. Both State and local governments will realize losses.

A run on the bank would force assets and holdings to be sold at below par in order to make redemptions, and governments transfer their investments from state-operated pools ...
The result is taxpayers at all levels would then be liable for losses on investments that are subject to force selling due to redemptions by investors transferring their funds, and losses on yields gained on their local investment dollars since private sector funds generally charge more for managing those investments than state-operated pools on top of the fees involved at the federal level in the guarantee program.

But in regular English, it means they are going to have to sell at a loss. The State will take a loss, these local governments will take a loss, and that will replicate itself throughout both the public sector and the private sector the more this goes on.

These are just three examples of why it is important to do something now. It is up to leaders, people such as Senator DOMENICI, who was speaking earlier, to explain that it is not a bailout; that the legislation that has been put together has numerous taxpayer protections in it; that we would hope to be able to get the taxpayer investment back; and that there is direct involvement by the Federal Government in the business, they will totally control executive compensation and everything else relating to the executives; that even when they buy assets they are significant assets, those corporate executives’ salaries will be subject to taxation rules, which will, in effect, remove both their ability and their corporation’s ability to deduct these salaries from taxes.

The bottom line is, all of the things our constituents have been asking us to do, through painstaking, bipartisan negotiations, have been put into this legislation. What I hope is that whatever modest change, if any, is needed to cause the support for this legislation to be manifested in a positive vote in the House and in the Senate will occur quickly; that we can reassure both the markets, which, as everyone knows, lost over $1 trillion yesterday, and our constituents before that, that this week we can pass the legislation through both Houses of Congress and get it to the President.

I don’t blame anyone in the House of Representatives who voted the other way. The time for blame is gone. We need to fix the problem, not the blame, as the Senator from Tennessee has said. For those who believe there are one or two changes that would cause them to support the legislation, I welcome that. My intention is that is what it takes to get this done.

When we talk about over $1 trillion lost in the market yesterday, that is really not the right way to put it. Our constituents—the person who is retired and has money in the stock market, you and me, all of us; over 50 percent of Americans own stock—all of us lost a lot of money yesterday. It is on paper, but, after all, that is where the value is. Thousands and thousands of dollars. Everyone in the gallery who has an investment. All of us here. All of our staff who are participants in the Federal retirement program lost money. This is real money for people in America. We can stop it if we provide the assurance that we are going to address the problem in a sensible way to restore the confidence in the market and the confidence of the American people. If we do not do this, then we will be harming arizona—whom I quoted will surely come to pass. Small businesses will fail, families will be hurt, and America will be on a downturn that could be very difficult to stop at that point.

So I wish to thank my colleagues who have worked on this problem in a bipartisan way. They have spent a lot of time and effort. The time to point blame fingers is over. We have proven we can get together and work together. House and Senate Democrats and Republicans and work with an administration that is desperately trying to work on the problem as well. We can get this done before the end of the week. I urge my colleagues to continue their efforts; to do our job in representing the people of America, who, after all, are counting on us to do what they cannot do but what we are in a position to do.

Mr. ALEXANDER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to speak as in the morning business.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Tennessee is recognized.

Mr. ALEXANDER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to speak as in morning business.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. ALEXANDER. I wish to congratulate the Senator from Arizona on his usual clear exposition on what is happening here and to thank the majority leader, Senator REID, and Senator MCCONNELL, the Republican leader, and the assistant Republican leader, Senator KYL, all for making it clear that the Senate has not finished its work on the recovery plan and we plan to continue our work and discuss it today and tomorrow and to complete our work on that by the end of the week. That is our intention. We believe that will happen. We are united in that purpose in a bipartisan way. We have been for the last week. We are disappointed by what happened yesterday in the House of Representatives. However, photographs of legislation are best taken sometimes at the beginning and at the end but not in the middle, and we are in the middle right now.

Senator KYL and I have been giving Senator DOMENICI credit for an idea he had about how to explain what we are about in trying to deal with the financial crisis. He uses the analogy of a wreck on a highway.

The Presiding Officer is a good Scotch Irishman from Virginia. He heard the song "Wreck on the Highway," which was a big song back in the 1960s and the 1950s. That is what we have here. We have had someone who should have known better empty a big pile of cars—or had mortgage loans, based on the securitizations on these loans—right in the middle of the interstate, and it slowed down all the economic traffic. One lane might be your auto loan, the other lane might be your mortgage loan, another lane might be a student loan, in another one might be the trucks carrying your paycheck, or another lane might be the money for your farm credit loan, and you can't get anywhere because there is this pile of junk in the middle of the road. It happened, the car stopped, and when the blame.

That seems to be what we are doing in the Congress. It is the equivalent of somebody saying, well, they needed a stop light; or, he should have made a left turn; or, she was driving too fast. The crowd might get bigger around the wreck and say, well, it is a stolen car; or, he didn't have insurance; or, one was driving too close to the other. Senator if one might have said, the wreck happened because this person was on the cell phone or this one wasn't wearing a seatbelt. Someone else might say, let's have a piece of legislation that would lower the speed limit or increase the speed limit. A lawyer might show up and say, well, let's sue the manufacturer and start interviewing witnesses. So we are standing around just looking at the wreck. That is kind of what we are doing today in the Congress. We are just standing around looking at the wreck when somebody ought to be moving the wreck off the highway so the economic traffic can proceed. There is going to be plenty of time to talk about who caused the wreck and where the blame lies. There will be plenty of time to do that. But today we should fix the problem. Next week or the next week we should fix the blame.

There is a lot of blame we need to talk about, apparently. The New York Times reported yesterday—well, we
know this, to begin with: The Federal Government’s compassion got way out ahead of its common sense, going all the way back to the 1970s, by encouraging some people to buy homes who couldn’t afford to pay for the homes. Then, our financiers created exotic instruments, which were backed on some of the loans that turned out not to be so good, and some of these exotic instruments turned out to be worth less than the loans. Then, people who should have known better, who should have gone to jail for what they did—loans or in their own financial institutions or in their own companies, didn’t understand what was going on, or they misled people, or they turned a blind eye to it. We need to find out about that.

As the New York Times described it 2 days ago in an article, what apparently has happened is that mortgage foreclosures set off questions about the quality of debts across the entire credit spectrum. These questions set off a spiral of insufficient capital. The result is capital in the case of banks. So we end up with this massive wreck in the middle of the highway, and all of the vehicles carrying our auto loans, our student loans, our credit cards are stopped while we in Congress stand around looking at the wreck instead of trying to get somebody to get it off the road.

We are going to call the sheriff or the wrecker or somebody else to come get it. In Tennessee, we call the guy with the wrecker or somebody else to come get it. In Tennessee, the Secretary of the Treasury. We could maybe find some other people to get it off the road as well. Senator DOMENICI, who first suggested that we think of this metaphor of the wreck on the highway, the Secretary of the Treasury, Senator KYL, who talked about it a little bit, pointed out that the citizens aren’t going to go get the wreck off the highway. They are either going to go call the sheriff or the wrecker or somebody else to come get it. In Tennessee, we call the guy with the wrecker who has a wrecker, and he comes to get it. In our case, I guess what we have to do is call the Secretary of the Treasury. We have to give him enough money, enough authority to be able to buy all the junk in the middle of the highway, get it off the road, and hope he is able to sell it for about what he paid for it—or at least to minimize our losses.

That is why it is wrong to call it a $700 billion bailout, because he may need up to $700 billion to buy all of this stuff in the middle of the highway. But he is going to buy it, and then he is going to sell it. We put in some taxpayer protections to try to make sure that we have clear oversight, and that people don’t get golden parachutes as a result of this, and that the Congress is involved, and that there is a board of directors to whom the Secretary must report—all of these are taxpayer protections. We want to make sure this Secretary, whose job it is to get everything, to do everything, to keep us informed about what is happening. We don’t want to be guilty of having turned our backs and not paying attention to dealing with taxpayers’ money on this.

I think the conclusion we have to come to by the end of the week is that we are not just going to sit around and look at the wreck. We are going to get off the road and get it off the highway. We have to find the right way to do it. I believe most of the American people will understand that, agree with that, and be glad we did it. Most of my calls are like most of the other telephones that are coming in. People don’t like this. They are angry about it. If you have a wreck, you are mad about that as well. Somebody might have run into you, or you took your eye off the road. Of course we are mad about it. I am angry about it. But I am not just going to sit there and look at the wreck; I am going to try to solve the problem and then fix the blame next week.

The good news is that is the attitude of Senator RIEZ, the Democratic majority leader. As I hear the House speaking, the Speaker of the House, a Democrat, the Republican leader, they say we are going to go back to work and see what we can do to fix this problem. We understand it is a big problem.

Well, the stock market yesterday went down, 777 points. That used to be something that people could say: Oh, that is a few rich tycoons on Wall Street, but we know better than that today. It was already down, and more than 50 percent of Americans own stocks. So as the Senator from Arizona said, that affects our pension funds, and that affects our IRAs and retirement accounts all across America. And that affects our individual accounts—so that is real money. That is $1.2 trillion yesterday.

We are talking about an economic recovery plan that would have the authority of AIG. That is $1.2 trillion, but on the credit market. So we will have to turn to the Secretary of the Treasury. We could agree with that, and be glad we did it. What we are trying to do is prevent a long recession, of coming back—and we will come back—and we will lead the world in a great many areas. But we don’t want to cause unnecessary trouble for ourselves by leaving a big wreck sitting in the middle of the economy.

When housing gradually begins to come back, the economy will begin to come back. Some things we take for granted: that the credit market—had sunk so far that most investors will accept almost no return on their money as long as they believe their money is safe. In other words, bury it in a hole or put it under a mattress. You don’t make any interest on it, but at least you think it is safe.

I think in a country such as ours, if everybody puts their money under the mattress or invests it somewhere else, that is when money is safe, almost no return, what that will mean is that many of the big boys and the big girls will be all right. A lot of the big corporations have a lot of cash. They don’t need to borrow very much money. What it will mean, though, is they will not be expanding. If they are a restaurant company, they will not be building new restaurants and hiring more people. They may even close a few restaurants. But the small business owner, the State and local governments that represent taxpayers, as we do, the one Senator KYL of Arizona talked about, they are going to be hurt.

The State of Tennessee is in the same situation as the State of Arizona. The State of Tennessee has a triple A bond rating and very little debt. But it has to go on the market every now and then to borrow some money. Its short-term borrowing was twice as much last year. It went from $4 billion to $8 billion the week before. That cost is passed directly on to the taxpayers of the State of Tennessee.
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Half our college students in America have a Federal grant or a loan to help pay for college. I used to be president of the University of Tennessee. I know how important that is.

We made some unwise decisions in Congress last year, in my opinion, that limits the amount of student loans that are available to students. But if banks are not lending to each other at night because they are hoarding and if you and I are rich, cash is investing in Treasury bills instead of money markets or other investments that then, in turn, can be loaned to other people, there is not going to be any money for student loans. And lots of people of all ages are going to have a harder time going to college. Or if there is money, they are going to have to pay a much higher interest rate because there is a shortage of money to lend. We know how that works. If Volkswagen has a small supply, the price goes up. If there is a small supply of money for credit, then your student loan is going to cost more. If you go to the University of Tennessee, Virginia, Notre Dame—wherever you go—you are going to pay a lot more and you are paying a lot today.

I was at the Volkswagen headquarters’ opening in Virginia two weeks ago. The head of Volkswagen Credit told me Volkswagen—which is the largest European car maker and is also opening a new car plant in Chattanooga, which we are very excited about—goes to the market every month to get about $300 million. Where do they get it? They get it probably from people who put money into banks, into money markets. It is our money, upon which they pay some interest. Do they do it? They turn around and loan it to you and me and so we can buy a VW. I said something about this a week ago, and some people thought I meant the Volkswagen plant in Tennessee wasn’t going to be built. They are going to build the Tennessee Volkswagen in the State, about a third of our manufacturing jobs are auto-related jobs. We have a big Nissan plant, we have a big General Motors plant, and we have 4,000 Toyota jobs and suppliers. So if the big credit companies for automotive manufacturers cannot easily get money or have to pay a lot for the money they get, what do you suppose happens to us? When we go to get a car loan, either we can’t get it or the price of it is too high and don’t buy the car if we don’t buy the car, then Volkswagen in Chattanooga or Nissan in Smyrna or General Motors, the Saturn plant, in Spring Hill, or the Toyota suppliers that are all over the State, they don’t make as many parts, they don’t have as many supplies, and they don’t have as many jobs. That is what happens when the credit squeeze comes.

Those are some of the personal examples that are happening in Tennessee. We can see them all over the country.

On PBS’s “Nightly Business Report,” September 26, which was Friday, Darren Gersh, Washington bureau chief, reported:

You know, Susie, we just heard a lot from Washington, but I want to tell you something I heard from Ohio today. When I was there for the primaries, I met a machinist named Ron Gexaw. Well, I talked to Ron this morning and he told me that his boss came to him in tears and said: “Look, Ron, you know, because we are down, we can’t—we’re not getting business and we have to let you go.” So this credit crunch is now hitting home. That’s evidence of how it’s hitting the ordinary American.

This is a Main Street problem. I know we have been getting a lot of telephone calls saying don’t do this, but a lot of my telephone calls are changing. We are elected to come here and understand the problem and act before there is a crisis, not after there is a crisis.

When I was Governor of Tennessee in the 1980s, because of some problems with east Tennessee banks that represented illegal activity, we had about 40–50 bank failures a week. I don’t want to go through that again because what that does is cause the stockholders to lose all their money.

You say: Well, the FDIC comes in and buys the assets, straightens it out, and gets the banks and the insurance program. They do, and they have done it in the last couple weeks a couple or three times, but it completely tears up the community when the bank fails. It disrupts relationships. It means individual bankruptcies that have depended on loans have a harder time getting them. It means there are individual bankruptcies as a result of it.

If we had 50 bank failures in Tennessee, as we did 20 years ago, that would be a thousand across this country. We don’t want to go through that. We don’t want to have banks, insurance companies, individuals, small businesses, auto dealerships close. An automobile dealership is one of the largest in the country, closed the other day. It was one of 13 dealerships for this particular Chevrolet company.

The company had some other problems, but one reason it closed was because it could not get credit for its floor plan, for its ability to finance its cars, and it is out of business, all 13 of those locations, and 7,200 employees in those 13 locations are out of a job.

Senator Reid, Senator McConnell, Senator Dodd, and Senator Kyl, and I wish to say to the American people and the American markets and the world markets that we intend to do our job in the Congress. We are not going to sit around and look at the wreck and argue about who is to blame. We can do that next week and the next week and the next week, and we should do that.

We obviously need different kinds of regulations. No one seems to have understood what these exotic instruments based on mortgages were, or how they were sold, or whether they are properly valued. We need to figure that out. We need to deal with that. Maybe the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Federal Reserve, and other agencies need to do more.

These rules and regulations we have today are primarily a result of the calamities in the 1930s—the Great Depression. I am sure many of them need to be changed.

Next week, we need to fix the blame and find a long term fix for the problem. Today, tomorrow, and the rest of this week, we need to continue our discussion of the Paulson plan, which has been significantly amended by the congressional negotiators over the last week to protect the taxpayers. Then we need to act on it before the end of the week. The majority leader has very wisely given Members of the Senate—many of whom are here and some have gone back to their States these extra days to read the legislation, to consider it, to come to the floor, to debate the legislation, and to make up our minds whether we like this Paulson plan, as significantly amended to protect the taxpayers.

I am going to vote for it, even if the stock market continues to go up today and tomorrow, which I hope it does. I do not want to see a credit freeze come. I want to get the vehicle traffic back on the highway. I want to get the vehicles carrying the auto loans, and the mortgage loans, and the farm credit loans, and the money for payroll checks, moving again. We can get that moving again. It is a small step we will have to take.

Then we will have the time to have aggressive supervision of the Secretary of Treasury, who will then have all the authority he needs to get the wreck off the highway and get things moving. And we can set about making sure we create a proper regulatory system for the kind of world in which we live.

I am greatly encouraged by the tone and the words and the actions of the Democratic leader and the Republican leader in the Senate. I look forward to working with them over the next three days. We intend to finish our job before we go home this week. We intend to get that wreck off the highway so the economic traffic can start flowing again.

I yield the floor, and I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The clerk will call the roll. The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. ALEXANDER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. ALEXANDER. Mr. President, I would like to add to my remarks, briefly, these opinions and these examples about the credit crunch.

The Washington Post this morning there is an article by Michael A. Fletcher and V. Dion Haynes, in which they say the following:

Meanwhile, tightening credit has made it harder and more expensive for many small businesses to borrow money, a process that many analysts say could accelerate with the turmoil on Wall Street.
Dee Smith, who runs a small contracting firm that renovates and sells homes in Charlotte, Mich., said a bank he has dealt with for more than a decade has decided to finance a smaller share of his projects. While the bank would once give him construction loans for 80 percent of a property’s appraised value, it now will pony up only 75 percent. That might seem like small change, but Smith said it has shaken up his entire business.

Because he cannot afford to put out the extra cash, he said, he has laid off four of his six workers. Meanwhile, because of the slowdown in the housing market, he’s been unable to sell three houses he has renovated.

Laura Richards said sales are down 10 percent at her two California Tortilla restaurants in Bowie and Annapolis. . . .

That is in Maryland.

She said she’s trying to attract customers with promotions.

Worse still, with banks tightening credit, she’s been forced to put off expansion plans. "Any plans of opening new restaurants are on the back burner until we see what’s going on on Wall Street," she said. "Originally, I said that five locations was a goal. Now I'm trying to manage my down side. It will take two or three years to get back to where I was a year ago."

Although some corporations are sitting on large reserves of cash, and those with top bond ratings are enjoying favorable access to credit markets—others are paying much more for short-term loans, if they can get them at all.

And on the front page in, the main article in the Washington Post business section, Tuesday, September 30, Heather Landy and Renae Merle write:

Underlying the panic is a seizing-up of the credit markets that have enabled companies with financing for expenses such as payroll and inventory. Analysts said banks are lending less as they try to conserve cash for their own balance sheets, while nervous investors are forcing companies to pay higher interest rates to borrow in the debt markets.

The article quotes someone as saying:

"The credit markets are kind of like the oil for cars. Without it, you can't go anywhere. And if they don't have the ability to finance that at a reasonable cost, then all of the sudden their profit margins could get squeezed and they're perhaps not going to be able to hold it together."

They’ll come to understand that the giant sucking sound they hear is of a massive deleveraging of the global economy and the global financial subsidiaries, governments, businesses and investment funds adjust to living in a world with less debt and more inflation.

And they will come around, reluctantly to the understanding that the only way to get out of these situations is to have governments all around the world borrow gobs of money and effectively nationalize large swaths of the financial system so it can be restructured, recapitalized, reformed and returned to private ownership once the crisis has passed and the economy has gotten back on its feet.

In the next few weeks, the center of attention here in the United States will shift from the Congress and an exhausted Treasury to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corp., which will now have to rescue any number of failing banks, either by taking them over directly or managing their transfer into stronger hands. It will also shift back to the Federal Reserve and other central banks, which will have to mount their efforts to maintain liquidity in money markets and prevent the credit crunch from taking down the entire system.

These will, alas, be only holding actions. Restoring real stability to financial markets will require the kind of systemic approach and extraordinary government interventions that the public has refused to authorize and finance. In better times the public might have put aside its reluctance in response to the strong argument made by many financial, political and business leaders. But it is a measure of how little trust remains in both Washington and Wall Street that voters are willing to risk a serious hit to their wealth and income rather than follow their lead.

Mr. ALEXANDER. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. SALAZAR). The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. GREGG. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection.

Mr. GREGG. Mr. President, I wanted to speak a little about where we stand. I know everybody is concerned about this relative to our efforts to provide for the economy and free up the credit markets and make sure Main Street continues to function and jobs are created and economic activity is pursued. Obviously, the House vote on Monday was disappointing, and I think it had an immediate effect on America, with $1.2 trillion in wealth taken out of the American system almost instantly—a 770-point drop in value in the exchange. Those dollars are real. That is pension fund value. Most people who work have pension funds. It is IRAs and 401(k)s all losing value very quickly. People who are right on the cusp of retiring are especially impacted by that. Today, it is up a little bit, which is good. I am glad calmer heads have prevailed in the markets and that fear is not driving the markets today. That is good. But the problem that drove that hasn’t gone away.

The problem that created that event—and that is that the credit markets, not the equity markets—and this is important for people to understand; the stock market is different from the credit market—the credit markets are moving toward the point of freezing up. What does that mean? That means the local employer who maybe has to finance his or her payroll, the small restaurant or the small seasonal business that one week doesn’t make enough to cover that payroll but the next week makes more than the payroll, in the week where they have to take all the money to make the payroll from the bank, they are not going to be able to do it or it will be less than what the total payroll is. The person who buys inventory—a restaurant has to buy food, a small business has to buy materials, a store has to buy its inventory and the things it puts on its shelves. That is all done through credit on Main Street. That credit won’t be available. The family who sends their child to college has a home equity that is almost worthless. They have to maintain their debt and create credit. The family who uses a credit card—that again energizes a debt and creates credit. All these Main Street activities are freezing up.

What does that lead to? It leads to a loss of jobs, a loss of economic activity, the loss of savings, the loss of the basic character of America to be a place of commerce and economic well-being. Unfortunately, whether we like it or not, we are on the cusp of that event, and it is severe and it is serious and it is real.

So what did we suggest as a proposal? Well, the Treasury came to us and said the way to free up these markets, the way to get credit moving again—or part of the way to get credit moving again—is to buy off the books of a large number of the financial entities in this country what are known as non-performing loans—loans which are based on mortgages, mortgages on homes that have appreciated so precipitously that the mortgage actually exceeds the value of the home or, alternatively, or in combination, the
mortgage reset because it was a subprime mortgage and the payer of the mortgage can't afford to pay the mortgage anymore because interest rates went up so much on it. Those nonperforming loans are sitting on the books of a lot of lending institutions, and there is no value in the loan because the value of those underlying assets has dropped so much. The practical effect of that is those institutions can't lend against those assets.

So if we were going to do was to step in and buy those assets, those nonperforming loans, so that those lending institutions could start to lend against real assets—valued assets—and thus create credit. Then we were going to take those assets which we purchased and we were going to hold them while the market started to settle down and the economy, hopefully, would improve, and then we would resell them into the market and we would get money back to the taxpayer.

So even though $700 billion is the number we hear—and it has been, in the most inappropriate way, hyperbolized and demagogued, that we are taking $700 billion and throwing it at Wall Street, and that is not happening. We are taking $700 billion and with that taxpayer money we are buying assets which the taxpayer will own, and then later on we are going to sell those assets. We may sell them for what we bought them, we may sell them for less than we paid for them, or we may actually sell them for more than we paid for them—in fact, a lot of people think we will. So the cost to the taxpayer is not going to be great, and it is not going to be anywhere near $700 billion. There is a chance—an outside chance—the taxpayer may make some money. That is not our goal, but that is an opportunity that lies here.

Let me describe it this way, to put it in simpler terms and more vivid terms, that mortgage reset has dropped so much. The rate on it went up so much on it. Those people who live in their homes. The American taxpayers and the people work better and work to the benefit of the hospital, the money that made the hospital, the economy, hopefully, would improve.

We were going to do was to take those assets which we purchased and we were going to hold them while the market started to settle down and the economy, hopefully, would improve, and then we would resell them into the market and we would get money back to the taxpayer.

If we don't go forward, there are going to be severe events, and most of the burden of the severe events is going to be borne by Main Street. Ordinary Americans are going to bear the burden. They are going to be the ones whose jobs are affected because payrolls can't be paid, and so they will lose their jobs. They are going to be the ones who can't borrow money to buy a car, who can't borrow money to buy a house or borrow money to send their kids to school. It is their hospitals that are not going to be able to roll over their revenue bonds. It is their industrial parks, where their jobs are located, that won't be able to roll over their bonds to do the improvements that create the entities that expand the economy. Those are all the things that are going to be impacted here—on Main Street America.

This is not a situation which is going to get any better with time. It is going to get significantly worse, and at some point it is like the snowball rolling down the hill: It is going to get going so fast that to stop the damage that is going to be caused is going to be dramatically lessened.

Is this proposal we came forward with perfect? No, but it has a lot of merit. It is safer guarded. This was all added on through the negotiation—at which negotiation, by the way, were representatives of all the players: the House Members, House Republicans and Democrats; the Senate Members, Senate Republicans and Democrats; and Treasury. We put in very strict, very aggressive taxpayer protection.

For example, all the dollars which we get from selling these assets are going to go to reduce the debt. We limit executive compensation so people cannot take advantage of the situation. We limit golden parachutes. We put in place language which says that if we get these assets and they are mortgages of people who are in their home, we want to try to keep them in their home, we try to reorient that mortgage so they can afford it. We have aggressive oversight, which is extremely important. So we have done a series of moves to make this work better and work to the benefit of the American taxpayers and the people who live in their homes.

But it is critical that we do it now. Does it solve the problem? No, it doesn't solve the problem completely or even totally in any way. But here is what it does do as a practical matter: As a person who has received a severe wound, and I mean a life-threatening wound. We are putting a tourniquet on that wound and stabilizing that person so we can take them to the hospital and hopefully get them cured and get them on the road again to a prosperous life.

That is what we are doing with the economy. We are putting a tourniquet on the economy to stabilize it so it can stand back up on its own two feet and get going again.

If we do go forward, there are going to be severe events, and most of the burden of the severe events is going to be borne by Main Street. Ordinary Americans are going to bear the burden. They are going to be the ones whose jobs are affected because payrolls can't be made, and so they will lose their jobs. They are going to be the ones who can't borrow money to buy a car, who can't borrow money to buy a house or borrow money to send their kids to school. It is their hospitals that are not going to be able to roll over their revenue bonds. It is their industrial parks, where their jobs are located, that won't be able to roll over their bonds to do the improvements that create the entities that expand the economy. Those are all the things that are going to be impacted here—on Main Street America.

So I think our responsibility as a government is to take action. But in this instance, people have been misrepresenting what is happening. They have been hyperbolizing, and there has been a lot of theater in the marketplace by some people who basically are being irresponsible and demagogic, in my opinion, about what we were doing, and so the general public has been misinformed and really grossly misinformed. Of course, they naturally trust the Government, and they probably should. We should all be a little suspicious of the Government, to say the least. But as a very practical matter, in this instance, there has been a tremendous amount of misinformation, especially about the immediate impact this will have on people on Main Street if we don't act.

So we have this situation, and I think what we need to do is to step back, calm these waters, and act in a responsible way and move forward in a way that allows us to take this opportunity to try to settle out the markets, free up the markets, get credit flowing again, and hopefully relieve some of the pressure every American is going to be facing from what is a very severe economic situation.

I hope we will vote soon. I would like the Senate to vote as soon as we can—and not in any way impinge on the Jewish holiday—but before we need to do that. We need to pass this legislation because the consequences of not passing it are so extraordinary and will be so detrimental to our country and to our people that it would be totally irresponsible of us to not take this action.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Virginia is recognized.

Mr. WEBB. Mr. President, what is the business of the Senate?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate is under cloture on the motion to concur.

Mr. WEBB. I ask unanimous consent to speak as in morning business.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. WEBB. Mr. President, I would like to add my voice to a number of others who have spoken today about this economic bailout situation and, perhaps from a bit different perspective, suggest that people need to
calm down a little, that all of us are arguing responsibly with different approaches, but serious approaches, to try to resolve the issue.

There is a lot of commentary out there today alleging that people in the Congress, particularly people in the Senate, are simply playing politics with this volatile issue. There is commentary about how the average American does not understand the problem and, as a result, has objected to the approach that has been taken. There are accusations that Congress is not doing its job because of the approach that was taken in the vote in the House yesterday.

I would suggest there are a lot of people who are not playing politics, who do understand the problem, who are doing their job, and yet, who still have grave concerns about the approach that has been taken with this proposed solution.

Let’s take a minute and review what has been going on. Only 11 days ago, Secretary Paulson, on behalf of the Bush administration, came forward with a proposal, a three-page proposal, saying the Congress needed, within a couple days, to give him $700 billion or the United States would be plunged with very little supervision or oversight, in a very dramatic transfer of power to one individual.

Then we started having this great discussion in the media and on the floor, not only quickly. Let me, first, say I was one of the first Members of this body to speak on this floor on September 22, on that Monday, questioning publicly the approach that had been suggested in this Bush-Paulson proposal to address our crisis.

Also, last Friday, was joined by eight other Members of the Senate, urging that any bipartisan compromise addressing this crisis contain several important principles. I would say, with due respect, I was joined by Senator from New Hampshire and others, that there has been tremendous effort over this week to try to take a three-page proposal and make it into a piece of legislation we can all live with and that addresses the problems. They all have my appreciation and my admiration for that effort. But at the same time, we can do more. I hope, as they reconsider the vote yesterday, the drafters can take a hard look at some of these areas and tighten these provisions so some, myself included, can feel more comfortable in supporting it.

Firstly, we need to recognize that we have, in this legislation, taken into consideration the idea that we are going to transfer a substantive amount of power to the executive branch of this Government. This, at a time when the executive branch, over the past 8 years, has accumulated more power, than perhaps at any time in our history, as a result of 9/11, the war powers, and regarding the privacy of individuals, etc.

We are not only transferring that power to the executive branch, we are giving the authority to one person, the Secretary of Treasury, who, as Senator Feinstein had pointed out, may have a conflict of interest. This is an individual who has made hundreds of millions of dollars working on Wall Street. I respect his confidence and I respect his capabilities.

But at the same time, as a matter of policy, is this the best place or best way for us to transfer the money that would be invested in order to save our economy? In the past, when these situations arose, money has been transferred to entities such as the RTC. There were proposals brought forward, noting perhaps the necessity for a board of people, honest brokers, wise men and women who would make these determinations rather than simply one individual.

I know in this process we have left oversight to individual discretion, but perhaps we ought to think about a panel of three or some other form where there is some assurance that there would not be a conflict of interest on the other side.

A number of us in this body and the other body have said, we need to proceed forward with an appropriate regulatory structure. There is language in the bill that was voted on yesterday that had a commitment to move forward, to looking at the problem but no specificity from the Congress saying we need to fix the problem, or that we need to deal with it.

There was an article in the Wall Street Journal today written by Robert Morgenthau, the Manhattan district attorney. In this article he points out:

There is $1.9 trillion, almost all of it run out of the New York metropolitan area, that sits in the Cayman Islands, a secrecy jurisdiction. Another $1.3 trillion is lodged in four secrecy jurisdictions.

And Morgenthau adds:

Any significant infusion to the financial system must carry assurances that it will not add to the pool of money beyond the safety net and supervisory structure of the United States. Moreover, the trillions of dollars currently offshore and invested in funds that can impact the American economy must be brought under appropriate supervision.

This is a point that has been made many times on the Senate floor by other Members, particularly Senator Dorgan of North Dakota. This is a moment in which a commitment by the Congress that regulatory structures will be put in place in order to properly protect our economy.

Another area where you see the abandonment of regulatory structure, to the detriment of our economy, is in the commodities market. We had debates during the consideration of the Energy bill in August where many Senators came to the floor speaking relative to what happened when we took regulation out of the oil futures market. A number of us have said $2. When this Congress voted to go to war in Iraq. Since then the price has gone all the way up to $147. When you see the fluctuation in the oil markets that has attended this crisis, you see this is not an old-style commodities market, where people who are using the product are the ones who were purchasing the futures. This is now a speculative market. Just like a regular stock market, commodities should have a similar regulatory structure.

We need, and I have pointed out, along with other Members of this body; the need to provide clear caps on executive compensation. The bill that was voted on yesterday has significant improvements over the Paulson bill which was totally lacking in this area. It could be tightened further. I would recant a conversation I had with an individual who had a long respected career on Wall Street, is one of the most brilliant Wall Street analysts, and now retired. When I called—I was calling around to as many people from different professional environments as I could to try and understand this crisis.

This is someone who made good money on Wall Street and is very well respected. I asked him about the issue of executive compensation. His comment to me was, “The people who perpetrated this situation ought to be punished.”

That was his word, “punished.” I do not believe specifically in punishing them, but I certainly believe strongly, as do most Americans, that the people who have gotten us into this situation should not be unjustly enriched as we fix it.

Finally, we need to give the American taxpayer a clear up side in this process, as the securities and assets are bought and sold by whichever entity ends up doing that. An “up side” in the sense of returning money back to the Treasury and, an up side in the sense that our legislation should do something that directly helps the people who are at the bottom in this crisis, the people who want to stay in their homes.

Again, there has been movement in that direction in the last week, but this area is where better assurances, clearer assurances could bring more people over to the side of passing this legislation. We want a solution. We all recognize there is a problem. My reaction, quite frankly, to the situation from yesterday, is that it brings me back to a saying that was in the Marine Corps that sometimes you have an opportunity that is masking itself as a disaster.

Perhaps we can tighten this proposal, get the right kind of formula—it will not take a great deal of change—and bring the Congress to supporting provisions and move into the future with a strengthened economy, a better regulatory process, and an environment that truly takes care of the interests of the taxpayers who are going to have to foot the bill.
HONOR FLIGHT NETWORK

The resolution (S. Res. 695) commending the Honor Flight Network, was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

The resolution, with its preamble, reads as follows:

S. RES. 695

Whereas, in 2004, nearly 60 years after World War II ended, veterans of that war and all those who supported the war effort at home received recognition for their service, sacrifice, and victory by the dedication of the national World War II Memorial located on the National Mall in Washington, District of Columbia;

Whereas many veterans of World War II who fought with courage and valor for the United States and their families, and who have not had the opportunity, or the ability because of physical or financial limitations, to visit the Nation's capital to see the World War II Memorial for themselves;

Whereas Jeff Miller of North Carolina and Earl Morse of Ohio created the Honor Flight Network to enable World War II veterans to travel to the Memorial;

Whereas the Honor Flight Network, now operating in communities in more than 30 States, is a grassroots, nonprofit organization that uses commercial andchartered flights to send veterans on all-expenses paid trips to Washington, District of Columbia;

Whereas the Honor Flights, as those trips are called, are staffed by volunteers and funded by donations;

Whereas former Senator Bob Dole, himself a wounded veteran of World War II, led the fundraising campaign to build the Memorial and often greets veterans arriving at the Memorial through the Honor Flight Network;

Whereas, of the 15,000,000 veterans who served in World War II, an estimated 2,500,000 are alive today, and those veterans are dying at a rate of more than 900 a day; and

Whereas the Honor Flight Network is working against time to thank the Nation's World War II veterans for their service: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate expresses deepest appreciation to the Honor Flight Network and the Network's volunteers and donors for honoring the Nation's World War II veterans by providing opportunity to visit the World War II Memorial in Washington, District of Columbia.

NATIONAL YOUTH COURT MONTH

The resolution (S. Res. 697) designating September 2008 as “National Youth Court Month,” was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

The resolution, with its preamble, reads as follows:

S. RES. 697

Whereas, the use of the Internet in the United States, to communicate, conduct business, or generate commerce that benefits the overall United States economy, is ubiquitous;

Whereas more than 216,000,000 people use the Internet in the United States, 70 percent of whom connect through broadband connections, to communicate with family and friends, manage finances and pay bills, access educational opportunities, shop at home, participate in online entertainment and games, and stay informed of news and current events;

Whereas the number of children who constantly come across while using such services; and

Whereas consumers face significant financial and personal privacy losses due to personally identifiable information being more personally identifiable information have been lost, stolen, or breached, threatening customers, as well as enhanced public safety;

Whereas the National Strategy to Secure Cyberspace, published in February 2003, recognizes the need to increase awareness of computer security and the need for enhanced computer security in the United States;

Whereas millions of records containing personally identifiable information have been lost, stolen, or breached, threatening the security and financial well-being of United States citizens;

Whereas the nearly 27,000,000 United States small businesses, which represent more than 98 percent of all employers and which employ more than 50 percent of the private workforce, increasingly rely on the Internet to manage their businesses, expand their customer reach, and enhance the management of their supply chain; and

Whereas, by holding juvenile offenders accountable, reconciling victims, communities, juvenile offenders, and their families, and reducing caseloads for the juvenile justice system, youth courts address offenses that might otherwise go unaddressed until the offending behavior escalates and redirect the efforts of offenders toward becoming contributing members of their communities;

Whereas Federal, State, and local governments, corporations, foundations, service organizations, educational units, juvenile welfare, and juvenile justice agencies, and individual adults support youth courts because youth court programs actively promote and contribute to building stronger families and futures for the youth of the United States;

Whereas a fundamental correlation exists between youth service and lifelong adult commitment to, and involvement in, one's community;

Whereas volunteer service and related service learning opportunities enable young people to develop and enhance life-skills, such as responsibility, decision-making, time management, teamwork, public speaking, and leadership, which prospective employers will value; and

Whereas participating in youth court programs encourages youth court members to become valuable members of their communities; Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate designates September 2008 as “National Youth Court Month”.

NATIONAL CYBER SECURITY AWARENESS MONTH

The resolution (S. Res. 697) supporting the goals and ideals of National Cyber Security Awareness Month, was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

The resolution, with its preamble, reads as follows:

S. RES. 697

Whereas the nearly 27,000,000 United States small businesses, which represent more than 98 percent of all employers and which employ more than 50 percent of the private workforce, increasingly rely on the Internet to manage their businesses, expand their customer reach, and enhance the management of their supply chain; and

Whereas the nearly 27,000,000 United States small businesses, which represent more than 98 percent of all employers and which employ more than 50 percent of the private workforce, increasingly rely on the Internet to manage their businesses, expand their customer reach, and enhance the management of their supply chain; and

Whereas the nearly 27,000,000 United States small businesses, which represent more than 98 percent of all employers and which employ more than 50 percent of the private workforce, increasingly rely on the Internet to manage their businesses, expand their customer reach, and enhance the management of their supply chain; and
Cyber Security Alliance and the Multi-State Information Sharing and Analysis Center, has designated October 2008 as the fifth annual National Cyber Security Awareness Month to educate the people of the United States about the importance of computer security: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) supports the goals and ideals of National Cyber Security Awareness Month;

(2) congratulates the National Cyber Security Division of the Department of Homeland Security, the National Cyber Security Alliance, the Multi-State Information Sharing and Analysis Center, and other organizations working to improve cyber security in the United States on the fifth anniversary of the National Cyber Security Month during October 2008; and

(3) continues to work with Federal agencies, national organizations, businesses, and educational institutions to encourage the development and implementation of voluntary standards, practices, and technologies in order to enhance the state of computer security in the United States.

NATIONAL MAMMOGRAPHY DAY

The resolution (S. Res. 699) designating October 17, 2008, as “National Mammography Day,” was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

The resolution, with its preamble, reads as follows:

S. Res. 699

Whereas, according to the American Cancer Society, 160,000 women will be diagnosed with invasive breast cancer and 40,480 women will die from that disease;

Whereas it is estimated that about 2,000,000 women are at risk of developing breast cancer in the 1990s, and that in nearly 500,000 of those cases the cancer resulted in death;

Whereas approximately 3,000,000 women in the United States are living with breast cancer, about 2,300,000 have been diagnosed with the disease, and an estimated 1,000,000 do not yet know they have the disease;

Whereas African-American women suffer a 36 percent greater mortality rate from breast cancer than white women and more than a 100 percent greater mortality rate from breast cancer than women from the African, Asian, and American Indian populations;

Whereas the risk of breast cancer increases with age, with a woman at age 70 having a 3% chance of developing the disease as a woman at age 50;

Whereas at least 90 percent of the women who get breast cancer have no family history of the disease;

Whereas mammograms, when operated professionally at a certified facility, can provide safe screening and early detection of breast cancer in women;

Whereas mammography is an excellent method for early detection of localized breast cancer, which has a 5-year survival rate of 98 percent;

Whereas the National Cancer Institute and the American Cancer Society continue to recommend periodic mammograms; and

Whereas the National Breast Cancer Coalition recommends that each woman and her health care provider make an individual decision about mammography: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) designates October 17, 2008, as “National Mammography Day”;

(2) supports the people of the United States to observe the day with appropriate programs and activities.

FIRE PREVENTION WEEK

The resolution (S. Res. 699) supporting the goals and ideals of Fire Prevention Week, was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

The resolution, with its preamble, reads as follows:

S. Res. 699

Whereas firefighters have maintained their dedication to the health and safety of the American public since the first American fire departments were organized in the colonial era;

Whereas more than 1,140,000 firefighters protect the United States through their heroic service;

Whereas approximately 1,600,000 fires are reported annually;

Whereas 102 firefighters lost their lives in the line of duty in 2007;

Whereas fire departments responded to nearly 400,000 home fires in 2006;

Whereas, in 2006, there were an estimated 396,000 reported home structure fires resulting in 2,580 civilian deaths and 12,500 civilian injuries, and $18.1 billion in direct property damage in the United States;

Whereas home fires cause 80 percent of civilian fire deaths and 76 percent of injuries;

Whereas heating equipment and smoking are the leading causes of civilian home fire deaths;

Whereas children under 5 and older adults face the highest risk of home fire death, but young adults face a higher risk of home fire injury;

Whereas electrical distribution and lighting equipment cause an estimated 20,000 reported home fires in 2005;

Whereas home fires in 2005 resulted in 500 civilian deaths and 1,100 injuries, with an estimated $852,000,000 in direct property damage per year;

Whereas working smoke alarms cut the risk of dying in reported home structure fires in half;

Whereas 65 percent of reported home fire deaths in 2000 through 2004 resulted from fires in homes with no smoke alarms or no working smoke alarms;

Whereas Fire Prevention Week is the longest running public health and safety observance on record;

Whereas we have honored firefighters for educating the American public since President Harding declared the first Fire Prevention Week in 1922;

Whereas the National Fire Protection Association has designated the week of October 5-11, 2008, as Fire Prevention Week; and

Whereas educating Americans on methods to prevent home fires continues to be a priority for all firefighters: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) supports the work of firefighters to educate and protect the Nation’s communities; and

(2) supports the goals and ideals of Fire Prevention Week, October 5-11, 2008, as designated by the National Fire Protection Association.

CONGRATULATING MICHAEL PHELPS AND THE U.S. OLYMPIC SWIMMING TEAM

The resolution (S. Res. 700) congratulating Michael Phelps and the members and coaches of the United States Olympic Swimming Team for their record-breaking performance at the 2008 Summer Olympic Games in Beijing, China, was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

The resolution, with its preamble, reads as follows:

S. Res. 700

Whereas, on August 10, 2008, Michael Phelps of Baltimore, Maryland, set a world-record time of 1:03.84 and won the gold medal in the men’s 400-meter individual medley relay; and Ryan Lochte of Dayton Beach, Florida, won the bronze medal in the same event;

Whereas, on August 10, 2008, Katie Hoff of Townson, Maryland, won the bronze medal in the women’s 400-meter individual medley event;

Whereas, on August 10, 2008, Natalie Coughlin of Vallejo, California, Lacey Nymeyer of Tucson, Arizona, Kara Lynn Joyce of Ann Arbor, Michigan, and Dara Torres of Los Angeles, California, set a record time for athletes from the United States of 3:42.78 and won the bronze medal in the men’s 400-meter medley relay event;

Whereas, on August 11, 2008, Michael Phelps, Garrett Weber-Gale of Milwaukee, Wisconsin, Cullen Jones of Irvington, New Jersey, and Jason Lezak of Irvine, California, set a world-record time of 3:08.24 and won the gold medal in the men’s 400-meter medley relay event, with anchor Jason Lezak coming from behind to edge the team from France by 5/10 of a second in 1 of the most dramatic finishes in Olympic swimming history;

Whereas, on August 11, 2008, Katie Hoff won the silver medal in the women’s 400-meter freestyle event;

Whereas, on August 11, 2008, Christine Magnuson of Tinley Park, Illinois, won the silver medal in the women’s 100-meter butterfly event;

Whereas, on August 12, 2008, Michael Phelps set a world-record time of 1:42.96 and won the gold medal in the men’s 200-meter freestyle event, and Peter Vanderkaay of Rochester, Michigan, won the bronze medal in the same event;

Whereas, on August 12, 2008, Natalie Coughlin set a record time for athletes from the United States of 58.96 and won the gold medal in the women’s 100-meter backstroke event; and Margaret Hoelzer of Huntsville, Alabama, won the bronze medal in the same event;

Whereas, on August 12, 2008, Aaron Peirsol of Irvine, California, set a world-record time of 52.54 and won the gold medal in the men’s 100-meter backstroke event, and Matt Grevers of Lake Forest, Illinois, won the silver medal in the same event;

Whereas, on August 12, 2008, Rebecca Soni of Plainsboro, New Jersey, won the silver medal in the women’s 100-meter breaststroke event;

Whereas, on August 13, 2008, Michael Phelps set a world-record time of 1:52.03 and won the gold medal in the men’s 200-meter individual medley event, beating the team from Burma by 3.66 seconds;

Whereas, on August 13, 2008, Michael Phelps then teamed with Ricky Berens of Charlotte, North Carolina, Ryan Lochte, and Peter Vanderkaay, to set a world-record time of 3:58.56 and win the gold medal in the men’s 800-meter freestyle relay event, becoming the first American swim team to win the men’s 800-meter freestyle relay event in more than 5 seconds and winning the tenth and 11th gold medals of Michael Phelps’ career, more than any other athlete in history;

Whereas, on August 13, 2008, Natalie Coughlin won the bronze medal in the women’s 200-meter individual medley event;
Whereas, on August 13, 2008, Katie Hoff set a record time for athletes from the United States of 1:55.78 and finished fourth in the women’s 200-meter freestyle event;

Whereas, on August 14, 2008, Allison Schmitt of Canton, Michigan, Caroline Burckle of Louisville, Kentucky, Natalie Coughlin, and Katie Hoff set a record time for athletes from the United States of 7:46.33 and won the bronze medal in the women’s 800-meter freestyle relay event;

Whereas, on August 14, 2008, Jason Lezak tied Cesar Cielo of Brazil for the bronze medal in the men’s 100-meter freestyle event;

Whereas, on August 15, 2008, Michael Phelps set a world-record time of 1:54.23 and won the silver medal in the men’s 200-meter individual medley event, and Ryan Lochte won the bronze medal in the same event;

Whereas, on August 15, 2008, Ryan Lochte set a world-record time of 1:53.94 and won the gold medal in the men’s 200-meter backstroke event, and Aaron Peirsol won the silver medal in the same event;

Whereas, on August 15, 2008, Rebecca Soni set a world-record time of 2:20.22 and won the gold medal in the women’s 200-meter breaststroke event;

Whereas, on August 15, 2008, Natalie Coughlin tied the record time for athletes from the United States of 53.39, which she herself set, and won the bronze medal in the women’s 100-meter butterfly event;

Whereas, on August 16, 2008, Michael Phelps set an Olympic-record time of 50.58 and won the gold medal in the men’s 100-meter butterfly event, tying 1972 Olympic Mark Spitz for the most gold medals, 7, won by an individual in a single Olympic Games;

Whereas, on August 16, 2008, Margaret Hoelzer won the silver medal in the women’s 200-meter backstroke event;

Whereas, on August 17, 2008, Brendan Hansen of Havertown, Pennsylvania, Aaron Peirsol, and Jason Lezak set a world-record time of 3:29.34 and won the gold medal in the men’s 400-meter medley relay event;

Whereas, on August 17, 2008, Dana Torres set a record time for athletes from the United States of 24.07 and won the silver medal in the women’s 50-meter freestyle event;

Whereas Dana Torres then teamed with Natalie Coughlin, Rebecca Soni, and Christine4 Hrovat and won a record time for athletes from the United States of 53:30 and won the silver medal in the women’s 400-meter medley relay event;

Whereas Caroline Burckle, Larsen Jensen, and Allison Schmitt each won 1 bronze medal;

Whereas Matt Grevers, Kara Lynn Joyce, and Lacey Nymeyer each won 1 silver medal;

Whereas Ricky Berens, Brendan Hansen, Cullen Jones, and Garrett Weber-Gale each won 1 gold medal;

Whereas Margaret Hoelzer won 1 silver medal and 1 bronze medal;

Whereas Christine Magnuson won 2 silver medals;

Whereas Peter Vanderkaay won 1 gold medal and 1 bronze medal;

Whereas Katie Hoff won 1 silver medal and 2 bronze medals;

Whereas Jason Lezak won 2 gold medals and 1 bronze medal;

Whereas Aaron Peirsol won 2 gold medals and 1 silver medal;

Whereas Rebecca Soni won 1 gold medal and 2 silver medals;

Whereas Ryan Lochte won 2 gold medals and 2 bronze medals;

Whereas Dana Torres—

(1) is the first swimmer from the United States to compete in 5 Olympic Games, representing the United States in the Summer Olympic Games of 1984, 1988, 1992, 2000, and 2008;

(2) won 5 medals at the 2000 Summer Olympic Games in Sydney, Australia, as the oldest member of the women’s swimming team at the age of 33;

(3) at the age of 41 is the oldest member of the women's team;

(4) won the silver medal in all 3 events in which she competed in the 2008 Summer Olympic Games;

(5) has won 12 Olympic medals, including 4 gold medals, 4 silver medals, and 4 bronze medals, over the course of her career;

(6) has won at least 1 medal in each of the 5 Olympic Games in which she has competed, making her 1 of only a handful of Olympians to earn a medal at each of the Olympic Games;

Whereas Natalie Coughlin won 1 gold medal, 2 silver medals, and 3 bronze medals, becoming the first female athlete from the United States to win 6 medals in 1 year’s Olympic Games, breaking the record of 5 medals she tied in the 2004 Summer Olympic Games;

Whereas Michael Phelps has trained under the expert tutelage of coach Bob Bowman for 12 years, first at the North Baltimore Aquatic Club and more recently at the University of Michigan;

Whereas, during the awards ceremony for the men’s 400-meter medley relay event, the Fédération Internationale de Natation, the international governing body of swimming, diving, water polo, synchronized swimming, and open water swimming, honored Michael Phelps for his historic accomplishment of—

(1) setting 7 world records and 1 Olympic record;

(2) winning 8 gold medals, the most ever by an individual athlete in a single Olympic Games; and

(3) winning 14 gold medals over the course of his Olympic career, another record for an individual athlete at the Olympic Games;

Whereas the United States Olympic Swimming Team collectively won 31 medals, including 12 gold medals, 9 silver medals, and 10 bronze medals; Now, therefore, be it
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RESOLVED, That the Senate—

(1) congratulates Michael Phelps, Natalie Coughlin, Ryan Lochte, Dana Torres, Katie Hoff, Jason Lezak, Aaron Peirsol, Rebecca Soni, and the other members of the United States Olympic Swimming Team for their record-breaking performances and commends them for their dedication, courage, and sportsmanship, and for the exemplary way in which they represented the United States of America while competing in Beijing, China;

(2) congratulates and commends for their devotion, professionalism, and tireless advocacy on behalf of the team and the sport of swimming general—

(A) National Team Head Coach Mark Schubert;

(B) Head Men’s Coach Eddie Reese;

(C) Head Women’s Coach Jack Bauerle;

(D) Assistant Coaches Bob Bowman, Gregg Troy, Frank Busch, Teri McKeever, Paul Yettter, and Sean Hutchinson;

(E) Men’s and Women’s Open Water Head Coaches John Dussiere and Bill Rose;

(F) Open Water Chief of Mission Paul Asmuth; and

(G) the staff of the United States Olympic Swimming Team; and

(3) requests the Secretary of the Senate to transmit enrolled copies of this resolution to—

(A) the United States Olympic Swimming Team at the national headquarters of USA Swimming in Colorado Springs, Colorado; and

(B) Michael Phelps and the North Baltimore Aquatic Club in Baltimore, Maryland, in honor of Michael Phelps’s singular, historic, and inspirational achievement.

FIRST LIEUTENANT NOAH HARRIS ELLIJAY POST OFFICE BUILDING

The bill (H.R. 6847) to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 156 Taunton Avenue in Seekonk, Massachusetts, as the “First Lieutenant Noah Harris Ellijay Post Office Building,” was ordered to a third reading, read the third time, and passed.

LANCE CORPORAL ERIC PAUL VALDEPENAS POST OFFICE BUILDING

The bill (H.R. 6874) to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 300 Vine Street in New Lenox, Illinois, as the “Lance Corporal Eric Paul Valdepenas Post Office Building,” was ordered to a third reading, read the third time, and passed.

JACOB M. LOWELL POST OFFICE BUILDING

The bill (H.R. 6681) to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 300 Vine Street in New Lenox, Illinois, as the “Jacob M. Lowell Post Office Building,” was ordered to a third reading, read the third time, and passed.

ARMY SPC DANIEL AGAMI POST OFFICE BUILDING

The bill (H.R. 6338) to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 4211 Industrial Boulevard in Coconut Creek, Florida, as the “Army SPC Daniel Agami Post Office Building,” was ordered to a third reading, read the third time, and passed.

MAYOR WILLIAM "BILL" SANDBERG POST OFFICE BUILDING

The bill (H.R. 6229) to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service...
The idea of bartering goods for services is by no means a unique idea, but it is an idea that allowed many Virginians the opportunity to enjoy the arts. The idea of trading “ham for Hamlet,” as Barter Theatre calls it, was a success, a success that allowed the theater to endure to today.

In 1946, the Virginia General Assembly designated Barter Theatre as the State Theatre of Virginia, the first theater in the Commonwealth of Virginia to receive this distinction, and rightly so. This honor reaches far beyond the lengthy list of famous actors who have graced its stage throughout its years and touches more on its efforts to enrich and enhance the culture of our Commonwealth.

The impact of this historic theater does not go unnoticed in southwest Virginia, as it has continually aimed to increase levels of artistic development in the region. Each year, Barter Theatre’s Appalachian Festival of Plays and Playwrights showcases and honors Appalachian history and culture for all to see upon its stage. I also want to recognize the efforts of Barter Theatre as they continue educational outreach programs to Virginia’s youth. Several programs, such as the Young Playwrights Festival, the Internet Distance Learning Program, the Student Matinee Program, and the theatre’s touring company, are in place and continue to foster creativity through playwriting and theatrical performances.

I must note that Barter Theatre remains true to its humble beginnings and pays homage to its history. At least one performance a year celebrates the Barter heritage by accepting donations for an area food bank as the price of admission. An endeavor rooted in the ideals of community continues to give back to that community today.

I am pleased by the passage of H. Con. Res. 416, and I thank my colleagues in joining me in support of this resolution.

Mr. WEBB. I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be agreed to, the preamble be agreed to, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, and that any statements relating to the resolution be printed in the Record.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The preamble was agreed to. The resolution, with its preamble, reads as follows:

S. Res. 662

Whereas communities across the country face localized increases in violence and other crime;

Whereas local law enforcement and community partnerships are an effective tool for preventing crime and addressing the fear of crime;

Whereas the National Sheriffs’ Association (NSA) and the National Crime Prevention Council (NCPC) are national resources that provide community safety and crime prevention tools tested and valued by local law enforcement agencies and communities nationwide;

Whereas the NSA and the NCPC have joined together to create the “Celebrate Safe Communities” initiative in partnership with the Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs, Department of Justice;

Whereas Celebrate Safe Communities will be launched the 1st week of October 2008 to help kick off recognition of October as Crime Prevention Month;

Whereas Celebrate Safe Communities is designed to help local communities highlight the importance of residents and law enforcement working together to keep communities safe places to live, learn, work, and play;

Whereas Celebrate Safe Communities will enhance the public awareness of vital crime prevention and safety messages and motivate Americans of all ages to learn what they can do to stay safe from crime;

Whereas Celebrate Safe Communities will help promote year-round support for locally based crime prevention and safety initiatives that help keep families, neighborhoods, schools, and businesses safe from crime; and

Whereas the week of October 2, 2008, through October 4, 2008, is an appropriate week to designate as “Celebrate Safe Communities” week; Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) designates the week of October 2, 2008, through October 4, 2008, as “Celebrate Safe Communities” week; (2) commends the efforts of the thousands of local law enforcement agencies and their countless community partners who are educating and engaging residents of all ages in the fight against crime; (3) asks communities across the country to consider how the Celebrate Safe Communities initiative can help them highlight local successes in the fight against crime; and—

(4) encourages the National Sheriffs’ Association and the National Crime Prevention Council to continue to be the remote, during Celebrate Safe Communities week and year-round, individual and collective action in collaboration with law enforcement and other supporting national agencies to reduce crime and build safer communities throughout the United States.
Mr. WEBB. I suggest the absence of a quorum. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll. The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. BOND. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. REED). Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. BOND. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that I be permitted to speak as in morning business. The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. BOND. Mr. President, I come today to talk about the subject that is on the minds of people all over America—certainly it is on the minds of my friends in Missouri—and that is the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008.

Yesterday afternoon, the House of Representatives voted on this important bill. Unfortunately, the bill failed to gain sufficient support on the floor despite strong leadership from both the Democratic and Republican Parties. The outcome of the House vote is disappointing, and clearly the financial markets registered their displeasure. I was further disappointed by finger-pointing that occurred after the vote. But I am heartened that everyone realizes that financial credit crisis is still with us and that Congress needs to get its job done.

We must get our job done. We will get it done. We owe it to our constituents, our communities, our economy, and our country. That means, first, no more finger-pointing, no more political blame games. Those who have to put off the table. We need to stop the bleeding. Right now, there is a fire raging. To mix the metaphors, we need to stem the flow of the bleeding or put out the fire. Everyone is asking for our help to come to this immediate rescue. Beyond that, we need to take a broad view of the needed changes in our regulatory system. There are mistakes and omissions. There is lots of blame to go around. There are lots of areas where Congress acted or did not act, the administration acted or did not act, and the agencies did not do the proper work.

As a 22-year housing authorizer and appropriator, I have some strong views as to what needs to be done, and I have offered those on the floor, citing a letter I sent to the Secretary of the Treasury, the Chairman of the Federal Reserve, the SEC, and the leadership of the banking committees in both Houses. I would only amend that today to say we need, either in this bill or—probably in this bill—we need to raise the limit of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance so that individuals, farmers, small businesses that do not pull it out of the banks, thus endangering the capitalization of the banks. We want those people who are the lifeblood of our economy to be able to keep it in their local banks, the regional banks, the community banks, and not draw it out and put it in Treasuries.

I heard today from a broker in Missouri who has been asked by small businesses if they can take their deposits out and put them in Treasuries. That may be a safe move, but right now that means they are going to reduce the deposits in last bank which further puts pressure on banks, other institutions, that should not be any part of this problem.

Now, Americans are angry about the prospect of using their tax dollars to fix Wall Street’s problems. I, like many other Members of Congress, share that anger. I do not want to be doing this. But what I really care about is protecting Main Street: the individuals, the families, the businesses, the farmers. We must trust in the credit markets and not draw it out and put it in Treasurys.

This plan includes the transparency I called for when I spoke on this floor yesterday. It was intended to be satisfied with the Treasury plan. I said we must do something, but we must add three things: accountability, increased oversight, and increased transparency. Well, I called on my House and Senate colleagues to go together in a bipartisan fashion and work with the administration and other public and private sector experts to move quickly and boldly but responsibly to prevent another financial credit disaster. The leadership and negotiators from both sides did just that.

It has been just 12 days since the Treasury Secretary and the Federal Reserve Chairman approached Congress about the need to act on this crisis. They said with a great deal of urgency to act quickly and get this job done. This plan includes the transparency I have demanded and the accountability that I have demanded.

...This crisis is real. This is a rare moment. This is an emergency. The credit markets have been struggling mightily for the past several weeks due to the subprime housing crisis and failing home values. Despite unprecedented intervention by the federal reserve and the Treasury, the credit market got worse. I commend those institutions for doing what they did, but that is not enough. They don’t have enough tools in their toolbox. Clearly, it is time for a comprehensive and systematic approach in order to restore stability to the credit markets to make sure that all of us, and the entire wheels of the Nation, can have the credit we need to move.

It is much more than about Wall Street; it is about average American families, individuals, small businesses, and farmers. Average American families are outraged at what is happening in the financial markets. They see excessive greed at the heart of the problem. They do not understand how many corporate executives make more in a day than many of them do in a year. They do not understand how such rich reward executives have to leave their company, given a golden parachute for failing at their job, not doing it and leaving their company in shambles. The folks in Missouri are also afraid this crisis will make them lose their retirements put in jeopardy. They do not understand how many of them do in a year. They do not understand how many retirements put in jeopardy.

This bill increases accountability by giving the Treasury Secretary specific powers to reduce executive compensation and cut golden parachutes. This bill increases accountability by giving the Treasury Secretary specific powers to reduce executive compensation and cut golden parachutes. This bill increases accountability by giving the Treasury Secretary specific powers to reduce executive compensation and cut golden parachutes. This bill increases accountability by giving the Treasury Secretary specific powers to reduce executive compensation and cut golden parachutes. This bill increases accountability by giving the Treasury Secretary specific powers to reduce executive compensation and cut golden parachutes. This bill increases accountability by giving the Treasury Secretary specific powers to reduce executive compensation and cut golden parachutes. This bill increases accountability by giving the Treasury Secretary specific powers to reduce executive compensation and cut golden parachutes. This bill increases accountability by giving the Treasury Secretary specific powers to reduce executive compensation and cut golden parachutes. This bill increases accountability by giving the Treasury Secretary specific powers to reduce executive compensation and cut golden parachutes. This bill increases accountability by giving the Treasury Secretary specific powers to reduce executive compensation and cut golden parachutes.
In addition, we expect the Treasury to do the analysis and to work within the market system to buy mortgages and other debts that are now at fire-sale prices below the prices those mortgages or other debt would sell for when the credit markets begin to work once again. That is the first level of protection. The first level of protection is to make sure Treasury has the power to put liquidity back into the system by buying this now fire-sale property at a reasonable price, but one at which the Federal Reserve can recover, and at the same time taking this bad debt off the books of the companies. They will be crippled by selling it below what they bought it for, but they will have liquidity again.

The bill provides stronger oversight by creating a special inspector general. It would be imperative that we continue to work on this bill and consider other ideas that I mentioned earlier, now both Presidential candidates back a proposal to increase the current Federal deposit insurance guarantee level from $100,000 to $250,000. That is a very good idea. I urge my colleagues to consider this proposal. Frankly, I think at least for the time being, we ought to up that limit, but we need to do it soon, and we need to do it responsibly so there will not be a silent, backdoor run on banks and small businesses that have needs for liquidity, but that the banks don’t, take all their money out of the small banks they work with and leave those banks in a perilous condition.

We need to pull together and do what each of us individually can do to address the crisis. This also means troubled homeowners must seek assistance in avoiding foreclosure. Help is available through home ownership counseling. It is available due to funding I have received for one organization counseling homeowners, 69 percent of those who received that counseling were able to avoid foreclosure. That counseling is available now. The program is working. But we need troubled homeowners to contact their counseling agency before they get into foreclosure. Contact them if you are having problems. Call the HOPE hotline: 888–995–HOPE. Again: 888–995–HOPE. A lot of the problem can be solved for homeowners if they get counseling.

Before closing, let me express my appreciation to the House and Senate leadership and lead negotiators and their staff for the hard work and long hours they have put in over the past week to pass the greatly improved proposal, originally coming from the Treasury. I thank especially Senators Dodd and Gramm for representing and leading negotiations. Those are two great admirers with long and distinguished service and I have the opportunity to go back to the school that he had attended and my wife Linda and I always enjoy and look forward to seeing Jeanne and John after their service in the Senate because they are good friends.

Not only do John and I share the UVA Law connections, but he and I were on a panel at his school, St. Albans, along with several other distinguished Members of the Senate, and we had the opportunity to go back to the school that he had attended and my son attended.

Let me go back to what John Warner has done in his impressive 32 years in the Senate. His service to the country has been devoted to this body in 1978. At age 17, John chose not to go back to St. Albans immediately but first chose to serve his country, enlisting in the U.S. Navy to help keep our Nation safe from Nazi Germany.

He, again, answered his Nation’s call to service at the outbreak of the Korean war, when he served in the U.S. Marine Corps. Since his service in our Armed Forces, John has been a tireless advocate for our military and for our veterans. For the soldier returning home after service, John has worked to improve the care our veterans receive, the care a grateful nation owes each and every one of our brave volunteers.

As chairman of the Armed Services Committee, as vice chairman, as a ranking member, as a leader in the Armed Services Committee, John has worked to ensure that the military, particularly our troops on the field in battle, have the equipment and the resources they need.
Under John’s watch, the Senate always passed a Defense authorization bill, a feat that is not only achievable because of John’s skill but because of the respect he has for Members on both sides of the aisle.

John used the legislation year after year to modernize our military to make sure they meet 21st century needs. In this way and all others, John embodies the motto of his esteemed undergraded Virginia school, Washington and Lee: “Unmindful of the Future.”

John has always kept that responsibility to the future in mind as he has worked to keep our fighting forces the best in the world.

But he has also done much in other areas. It has been my pleasure to work with him on the Environment and Public Works Committee. He was an invaluable leader, from whom I learned much. He was most important in passing the highway bill in 1998. I followed his work later on while working on the current highway bill. I owe a great deal to the skill, both the advice, and the leadership he provided in making sure we could pass the needs of our highways and our bridges. His guidance and leadership were extremely vital for the success of the bill I worked on. He has also kept his responsibility of the future in mind during his tenure on the Senate Intelligence Committee.

It has been an honor, a pleasure, and a treat to fight side by side with John on the Intelligence Committee. He has always been looking to the future, to all we could do to work on the committee to help us prevent another devastating attack on our soil such as 9/11.

John was an invaluable ally on the committee in our efforts to reform and oversee our intelligence operations. Probably no one more so than with John’s help, we passed probably the most important legislation I have had the opportunity to lead—the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act—to assure we had an early warning system again terrorist attacks.

Because of John’s work in the Senate, his heart on the battlefield, our Nation is not only a safer place but, under his guidance, wisdom, and leadership, it has become a much better place.

It has been a tremendous honor and privilege to serve with John Warren. He is an icon of the Senate. He will be missed for his ability to work across the aisle, for putting his country first, and for the friendship, personally, the friendship with Jeanne, his wife, and the rest of us. I join my colleagues in congratulating the Senator and his wife and thanking John for his many years of service.

I yield the floor and suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

THE ECONOMY

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, yesterday’s House vote has come and gone, but the threat to our economy has not. Congress must still act swiftly and decisively to protect millions of ordinary Americans from a credit crisis that they had no hand whatsoever in causing but which obviously threatens to reach into every single household in our country.

Retirees are worried about their savings. Small business owners are paniclicked because the banks will not lend. Homeowners are watching the equity they have in their houses dry up.

I yield the floor. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. President, I appreciate the statement of the Republican leader with respect to our determination to get this done. I think all of us should recognize that it is extraordinary times, and I want to sound a warning to those who have the opinion that yesterday’s drop in the stock market was simply a one-time correction; that the stock market is coming back today, and that the losses are going to absorb the shock of the lack of action on the part of the House of Representatives.

I would point out that markets are driven by future expectations, and when the stock market assumed, on the basis of the vote in the House, that we would not have any kind of Federal action on the financial rescue package, it dropped more dramatically than at any other time in its history in total number of points, and it dropped percentage-wise for the worst drop since 9/11.

Now, as there has been an expectation that the Congress will move, the stock market is back up today but nowhere near back up to the point it was before the drop occurred yesterday. If we break the expectation once again, this time the market will drop and there will be no coming back up. This time, your 401(k), your pension plan, your retirement account will be hurt in a way that will take years to recover.

Let’s talk about numbers to demonstrate the importance of this. One of the things we have heard with respect to the financial rescue plan is that $700 billion is far too big an amount for the taxpayer to absorb. Such a loss of one trillion dollars’ worth of market value was wiped off the books by the stock market drop. We must understand that it is ordinary people looking at ordinary pensions with their ordinary Main Street kind of 401(k) plans who lost that trillion dollars, and they set it in a matter of minutes. The market plunged over 700 points in a matter of minutes, and 1 trillion dollars’ worth of ordinary American value was wiped out.

This is not a trivial event, and we should pay attention to it. As I say, the stock market now believes we are going to get serious about this and get
something passed, and so it is up today about 250 points. But that is only one third of the 777 points that were lost yesterday. We should not congratulate ourselves on the 250-point rally that it has somehow removed the sting of the 777-point drop that occurred yesterday. We keep hearing, well, the markets will adjust and everything will be all right and the stock market will be OK. But let’s move away from the stock market to where the real problem lies, which is in the credit markets. We don’t have a single barometer for the credit markets the way we do with Dow Jones following the stock market, but we have indications all along the way that the credit markets throughout the world have seized up; that is, banks are not loaning to banks, banks are not making credit available to those who have been their best customers as they wait to see how this works out. That is the place where those people who are saying this applies to Wall Street are going to end up paying a huge price.

I have used this example before, but I am finding it is being duplicated in other States. Amidst the avalanche of phone calls into my office from angry Utahns against this because they say this is a bailout of Wall Street, there are one or two other phone calls that get through. One of them came from an auto dealer. In the city or town where he operates, he is the city’s largest employer. He called and said: Senator, I know you are getting a lot of calls on the other side of this issue. Let me just point out one thing with respect to my business. I am the biggest employer in this town, and I may not be able to make payroll on Wednesday. The biggest employer in town, and none of my employees will get checks because the bank won’t give me the line of credit that the bank has been making available to other car dealers.

That is the implication of the seizing up of the credit markets. That has nothing to do with the stock value of this particular car dealer. That has to do with the paychecks that go into the pockets of the people who fix the cars, who wash the cars, and who try to sell the cars. They are the ones who will pay the price of the inaction in the Congress.

There are those who say, well, we should restructure the regulatory system so this doesn’t happen again. We shouldn’t act in such a precipitous fashion until we get all of these other issues on the table and discussed. Let’s not act quickly.

I am perfectly willing to agree that the regulatory structure we have basically going back to the 1930s is inadequate for the kind of world in which we now live. And I am perfectly willing to agree the restructuring should be a serious one and a deep one. If you do a superficial restructuring of the way we handle credit markets in this country and confer with our counterparts in other countries around the world so the world structure is intelligently constructed, you are talking months, if not a year or so. And while we are putting forward our pet theories as to how that should be done, with experts on talk shows and from think tanks pontificating on cable television, payrolls may not be met in towns in my State.

This is a crisis that has to be dealt with now. We can deal with the restructuring of the financial regulatory structure of our leisure, but we must not take our eye off the seriousness of the crisis, both in terms of its size and in terms of its pressure. This morning’s financial journals make it clear that throughout many countries in the world they and their central banks have not yet addressed the seriousness of the crisis, and we will see problems overseas begin to wash up on our shores to make our problem that much worse if we don’t act.

There are those who say, well, we should give this much power to the Secretary of the Treasury. I don’t like the idea of one man having this much authority. The proposal that has been put together creates an oversight board with real power. It creates a board that wouldn’t give this power to the Secretary of the Treasury who abused his power or who got out too far in front. It is my understanding that we have built-in congressional review in the bill that the House defeated—congressional review, con press review, and congressional oversight. Whatever the Secretary or Congress have said to a Secretary of Treasury: You are too far extended, and we are going to hold back on the authority we have given you.

But we have a crisis that needs to be dealt with and needs to be dealt with now. We shouldn’t be arguing over whether the city council should second-guess the police chief as he rushes to deal with a crisis, a police chief in whom the city council had confidence when they chose him in the first place. This Secretary of the Treasury is well known as one of the more expert money managers in the country. He has been completely open in all of his discussions with members of the leadership of both parties, and members of the leadership of both parties have expressed confidence in his ability to do this. They have created the oversight board that is in the bill that will pull him back if he does it improperly. That oversight board will not be committed immediately—cannot be committed immediately. It must be handled in an orderly fashion. We understand from the Secretary that the pattern of its disbursement will run at the level of about $50 billion a month. So we are not talking about giving $700 billion overnight in a single check to a single man for him to go out and waste. Those on the talk shows who make that comment simply demonstrate they do not understand what is in the bill.

But the fact that the Secretary of the Treasury can say to the credit markets that are frozen: I have potentially $700 billion available to solve this problem, is a very powerful message that will help solve the problem. A very important part of the problem is the sense of confidence that we are serious about getting it done.

So, well, we are going to give the Secretary of the Treasury $100 billion and see how it works, that sends a message we are not confident that this will do any good. If we are going to say, well, we want a board to examine the others, it is not a number that anybody thought up as sounding pretty big. The total amount of mortgages in the United States is approximately $14 trillion, and the percentage of those mortgages that are bad and probably cannot pay out is about 5 percent. Five percent of $14 trillion is $700 billion. But the assets that the $700 billion will acquire will not be all of the bad mortgages. The assets they will acquire will be a mixture of bad mortgages and good mortgages. Why? Because nobody knows which are the bad mortgages and which are the good mortgages. The only way we are going to find out is to hold the mortgage to maturity and see which ones get paid and which ones don’t. They are all out there.

So the Secretary, by putting 5 percent of the total amount of mortgages available to acquire those that are questionable is sending a message of confidence to the market that potentially we have $700 billion available to solve this problem, and he has full authority to commit it, subject to review of the oversight board and the ultimate review of the Congress, that is a statement of confidence that the markets can believe.

Now, let me talk just briefly about where the $700 billion number comes from. It is not pulled from out of the air. It is not a number that anybody thought up as sounding pretty big. The total amount of mortgages in the United States is approximately $14 trillion, and the percentage of those mortgages that are bad and probably cannot pay out is about 5 percent. Five percent of $14 trillion is $700 billion. But the assets that the $700 billion will acquire will not be all of the bad mortgages. The assets they will acquire will be a mixture of bad mortgages and good mortgages. Why? Because nobody knows which are the bad mortgages and which are the good mortgages. The only way we are going to find out is to hold the mortgage to maturity and see which ones get paid and which ones don’t. They are all out there.

So the Secretary, by putting 5 percent of the total amount of mortgages available to acquire those that are questionable is sending a message of confidence to the market that potentially we have $700 billion available to solve this problem, and he has full authority to commit it, subject to review of the oversight board and the ultimate review of the Congress, that is a statement of confidence that the markets can believe.
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the deal that has been made recently with the Federal Reserve and Bear Stearns, the Federal Reserve stepped in with the Bear Stearns circumstances. What did they do?

They forced the sale of Bear Stearns and then opened the Fed window so Bear Stearns could borrow money. What happens when you borrow money? You pay interest. By making sure Bear Stearns did not go down, the Federal Reserve guaranteed that Bear Stearns will be able to pay the interest on the money that is made available to them. Who gets that interest when it is paid? The American taxpayer.

It will be paid into the Federal Reserve, and when the Federal Reserve makes money, their surplus gets paid to the American taxpayer. The American taxpayer will receive a benefit, a financial benefit, from the deal that was made by the Federal Reserve and others. We need this guaranteed that Bear Stearns will be able to pay the interest on the money that is made available to them. Who gets that interest when it is paid? The American taxpayer.

I go back to a quote by Winston Churchill, who commented on Americans, generally. He said:

"The Americans can always be depended upon to do the right thing after they have exhausted every other possibility."

Monday we exhausted our every other possibility. It is time to do the right thing. We in this body, as well as those in the other body, need to rise to the occasion.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Iowa.

Mr. GRASSLEY. I ask unanimous consent to speak as in morning business.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

TAXES

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, beginning in the third week of July, I have come to the floor quite often to compare the tax plans of Senator McCain and Senator Obama, our two Presidential candidates. I have talked about the relationship between party control and the likelihood of tax hikes or tax cuts. I have used the infamous thermometer chart to demonstrate. I am not going to go through all of it again because I have talked about it several times on the floor of the Senate.

But up on the top, you can see that when a Democratic President controls the White House and the Congress at the same time, you had the biggest tax increase. And then, if you come down through there, you find in various phases you have more or less tax decreases or tax increases, and you have the most tax decreases when you have a Republican President and a Republican Congress.

Now, that is over the last 28 years, approximately. In another speech I talked about the 1992 campaign promise of the middle-class tax cut. I contrasted the promised tax cut with the 1993 tax legislation that contained a world record price increase. I have used this chart that is going up there now to depict what it would look like with 16 years of tax hike amnesia and Rip Van Winkle.

In our first week back after the August recess, I returned to these topics and I discussed the effects of the proposed 17- to 33-percent increase in the top two rates. I focused on small business activity and how increased taxes hurt that small business activity and hurt the job creation machine of our great economy, which is small business.

Last week, I discussed the impact of Senator McCain’s and Obama’s tax plans on seniors. Earlier this week, I discussed the fiscal effects of Senator McCain and Senator Obama’s plans.

Today, I focus on how both tax plans would affect the middle class. The press and the candidates have focused a lot of attention on the middle class. In fact, I remember a speech of Senator Obama’s alluding to something about he never heard Senator McCain in the debate last week say anything about the middle class.

Well, Senator McCain is not comfortable in the class war-type rhetoric that some people are comfortable using, and he talks about the middle class. But what does that mean? When small business and working men and women, So we have heard a lot about the middle class. So I wish to concentrate on that.

My discussion today will focus on tax policy. But to get a handle on what is and is not middle-class relief, we need to see if we can define the term “middle class.” Today I think we need to get answers to several questions as we try to get to the bottom line of where Senators McCain and Obama are on middle-class tax relief.

The first question would be: What is the definition of “middle class”? To get at this question, we need to see what the two candidates say about who is in the middle class and how their plan defines the middle class.

The second question would be: Where are Senators McCain and Obama on the current law of middle-class tax relief that is set to expire. I am referring to the family tax relief provisions that expire at the beginning of 2011 and the alternative minimum tax fix.

To get to that question, we need to look at where each candidate’s record has been on bipartisan tax relief. We also need to look at what they plan to do with these expiring tax relief provisions, which means when the tax laws of 2001 and 2003 sunset December 31, 2010.

The third broad question is: Where would Senator McCain and Senator Obama further reduce or hike taxes on middle-class families? To get an answer to this question we will take a look at each of the candidate’s new proposals for middle-class tax cuts.

If you turn to factcheck.org, you will find the definition is not simple about what is a middle class. According to factcheck.org, there is no clear definition of middle class. Here is what they say there:

Middle class means different things to different people and politicians. There is no single, all-inclusive definition. An overwhelming majority of Americans say they are middle class or upper middle class or
OBAMA DEFINES “MIDDLE CLASS”  
(By Major Garrett)  

WASHINGTON.—I wanted to throw out for consideration and debate a question I’ve found myself asking Democrats, Republicans, Independents, and economists for years: who is in the middle class?

In the 1990s, the answers I received were almost entirely linked to income figures—the income of a family of four or of a single person in his or her twenties, or an elderly person on a fixed income determined how close or how far they were from “middle class” status.

About the time of millennium, I began to notice that the answer to who was “middle class” began to change from relatively precise figures to very broad income strata. It was as if politicians—particularly at the national level—began to believe that incomes varied as widely as the core cost of living. Therefore, an income designation, for example, linked to the U.S. Census Bureau definition of median or mean income for an individual or family, would no longer work as a means of defining with precision who was or was not middle class.

In other words, individuals or families in New York, Los Angeles, Chicago, San Francisco, Boston, and other urban areas could earn three times the median or mean family income and still feel strapped by month-to-month costs.

In other words, middle class status seemed over time to be less rooted in specific income figures, but regional differences in income and cost-of-living. It also seemed to reflect a sense among some economists that “middle class” is not just a matter of figures, but also a state of mind.

At my suggestion, my colleague Bill Hemmer was kind enough to ask Sen. Barack Obama in London how he defined the middle class.

Here is the transcript of that exchange:

HEMMER: You mentioned the economy. You travel back to the U.S. this weekend. You’re going back to a country with a limping economy. “Alling,” I think, is one of the words The Economist used at the end of last week.

You have suggested that taxes will be raised on some Americans. You have also suggested that middle-income Americans might see benefits from Obama’s plans, if they wish to discuss and debate are these:

And that’s what I’ve structured a change in the tax code where if you are making $150,000 a year or less, you’re getting a tax cut, 95 percent of the American families will get a tax cut.

HEMMER: What do you consider . . .

OBAMA: And the people who are going to see their income taxes raised, go up, are making more than $250,000 a year. So you and I will pay a little bit more in taxes because we’ve also got to invest in infrastructure. We’ve got to deal with the fact that a lot more people are unemployed and are going to need unemployment benefits. We’ve got to shore up the housing market because people are experiencing foreclosures.

And that’s why I’ve structured a change in the tax code where if you are making $150,000 a year or less, you’re getting a tax cut, 95 percent of the American families will get a tax cut.

HEMMER: I think the rich should be defined by a home, a good job, and the ability to hand our children a more prosperous home, a good job, an education and the ability to keep people’s taxes low, and increase revenues. . .

OBAMA: We’re also have a $400 billion or so budget deficit, because we’ve also got to invest in infrastructure. We’ve got to deal with the fact that a lot more people are unemployed and are going to need unemployment benefits. We’ve got to shore up the housing market because people are experiencing foreclosures.

And that’s why I’ve structured a change in the tax code where if you are making $150,000 a year or less, you’re getting a tax cut, 95 percent of the American families will get a tax cut.

HEMMER: What do you consider . . .

OBAMA: And the people who are going to see their income taxes raised, go up, are making more than $250,000 a year. So you and I will pay a little bit more in taxes because we’ve also got to invest in infrastructure. We’ve got to deal with the fact that a lot more people are unemployed and are going to need unemployment benefits. We’ve got to shore up the housing market because people are experiencing foreclosures.

And that’s why I’ve structured a change in the tax code where if you are making $150,000 a year or less, you’re getting a tax cut, 95 percent of the American families will get a tax cut.

HEMMER: I think the rich should be defined by a home, a good job, and the ability to keep people’s taxes low, and increase revenues. . .

OBAMA: We’re also have a $400 billion or so budget deficit, because we’ve also got to invest in infrastructure. We’ve got to deal with the fact that a lot more people are unemployed and are going to need unemployment benefits. We’ve got to shore up the housing market because people are experiencing foreclosures.

And that’s why I’ve structured a change in the tax code where if you are making $150,000 a year or less, you’re getting a tax cut, 95 percent of the American families will get a tax cut.

HEMMER: What do you consider . . .

OBAMA: And the people who are going to see their income taxes raised, go up, are making more than $250,000 a year. So you and I will pay a little bit more in taxes because we’ve also got to invest in infrastructure. We’ve got to deal with the fact that a lot more people are unemployed and are going to need unemployment benefits. We’ve got to shore up the housing market because people are experiencing foreclosures.

And that’s why I’ve structured a change in the tax code where if you are making $150,000 a year or less, you’re getting a tax cut, 95 percent of the American families will get a tax cut.

HEMMER: I think the rich should be defined by a home, a good job, and the ability to keep people’s taxes low, and increase revenues. . .

OBAMA: We’re also have a $400 billion or so budget deficit, because we’ve also got to invest in infrastructure. We’ve got to deal with the fact that a lot more people are unemployed and are going to need unemployment benefits. We’ve got to shore up the housing market because people are experiencing foreclosures.

And that’s why I’ve structured a change in the tax code where if you are making $150,000 a year or less, you’re getting a tax cut, 95 percent of the American families will get a tax cut.
The other area of family tax relief that Senator McCAIN is targeting is relief from the alternative minimum tax. During the last couple of weeks, the House and Senate have debated AMT extension bills. Take a look at the Congressional Record and examine the debate. If you do, you will see nearly all the Democrats and most Republicans in both bodies describe the overreach of the alternative minimum tax as a middle-class family tax problem. If the alternative minimum tax is defined as middle-class tax relief, then a question is: Who benefits from this fix?

Senator McCAIN’s second major tax relief proposal would build upon the alternative minimum tax relief. He would extend the alternative minimum tax relief for all families benefiting over $90,000 and $50,000 on the low end. And as we travel across the chart, you will see the biggest category of families benefiting to be in the $75,000 to $120,000 section and then the $200,000 category. Roughly half the families benefiting, over 9 million, earned between $100,000 and $200,000. On the higher end, we find about half a million families earning between $200,000 and $500,000 also benefited from making sure that the alternative minimum tax doesn't hit middle-income people, a group of people who could be hit if Congress didn’t fix it from year to year so that they didn’t get hit. This year, for example, 23 million families who would get hit if the Senate didn’t pass the bill we did last week.

The AMT patch relief conforms to polling data on how Americans define themselves. The AMT patch problem that McCAIN would extend the alternative minimum tax fix and enlarge it, starting in the year 2013. Under Senator McCAIN’s plan, we would start to reduce the reach of the alternative minimum tax by expanding the patch by 5 percent per year on top of the increase in exemption amount of the patch for inflation. That proposal would provide more relief to some of the 4 million families currently paying the alternative minimum tax.

If we step back and take a look, we see that Senator McCAIN would further reduce regular taxes for families between $32,000 and $120,000. Again, we have up the same charts. Senator McCAIN’s plan extends the AMT patch and gradually enhance it, and most of the families who would benefit from the AMT patch have incomes between $50,000 on the low end and $200,000 on the high end. This is the same population for AMT patch extension. Senator McCAIN proposes additional alternative minimum tax relief by expanding the AMT patch in the year 2013 and beyond.

Let’s turn to the second question. The question is, How will Senators McCAIN and Obama deal with middle-class family tax relief that will expire? The bipartisan tax cuts, from 2001 and 2003 bipartisan tax relief bills provide much needed tax relief, although it was cut because of the 2001 tax bill. In 2004, Senator McCAIN has consistently supported middle-class tax relief in his tax career. In 2004, Senator McCAIN helped prevent tax increases on middle-income families. Senator McCAIN’s budget votes have consistently provided room for the extension of the lower income tax rates as well as suspension of the harmful PEP and PEASE provisions that are now being phased out because of the 2001 tax bill. In addition, Senator McCAIN has been consistently a supporter of even the repeal of those two provisions.

On the other hand, Senator Obama voted for the Democratic budget and those tax cuts that did not provide room to protect Americans in the 25%, 28%, 33%, and 35% tax brackets from being hit with this tax increase. Senator Obama’s budget votes have consistently provided room for the extension of the lower income tax rates as well as suspension of the harmful PEP and PEASE provisions that are now being phased out because of the 2001 tax bill. salsa1037
According to the IRS, single individuals falling within the 25-percent bracket in 2008 start at taxable income of more than $32,550. They earn taxable income of no more than $78,850. Singles in the 28-percent bracket will earn taxable income of more than $32,550. They earn taxable income of more than $78,850 or less than $164,550.

Senator Obama said in the Presidential candidates’ September 29, 2008, debate he would not raise taxes a dime on people making under $250,000. But his tax-cut votes in 2008 do not provide room for him to keep that promise. In fact, he could not even make good on that promise to those singles making over $32,550 on taxable income based on the Democratic budget he voted for.

Instead, these taxpayers with over $32,550 in taxable income would be hit with a hidden marginal tax rate increase in the PEP and PEASE categories as well as a transparent marginal tax rate increase according to the budget that Senator Obama voted for.

I turn now to the harmful alternative minimum tax, or the AMT. Both parties agree the AMT is a tax on the middle class that the middle class should never have to pay. Why it hits them—and they should never have to pay it—and why Congress takes corrective action is because it was never indexed. In addition, both parties deserve blame for the problem we face, that the AMT is not indexed. However, the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993, passed strictly on party-line votes by a Democratic majority and signed into law by President Clinton, did even a lot more damage to the alternative minimum tax.

In 1993, the tax bill, the exemption level was increased to $33,750 for individuals and $45,000 for joint returns, but this was accompanied by yet great increases beyond what was already in law. Importantly, as in previous bills related to the AMT, these exemption amounts were not indexed for inflation. By the way, the 1993 tax increase was passed on strictly party-line votes, with the Democrats supplying the majority. Once again, graduated rates were introduced, except this time they were 26 percent and 28 percent. By tinkering with the rate, as well as the exemption level of the AMT, these bills were only doing what Congress has been doing on a bipartisan basis for years, which is to undertake a wholly inadequate approach to the problem that keeps getting bigger. By “problem” I mean taxing middle-income people by the alternative minimum tax—a class of people whom it was never supposed to affect.

Aside from this futile tinkering I suggested from the 1993 bill, Congress—and, of course, we have tinkered with the AMT over the years to keep it from hitting additional middle class—Congress has in other circumstances completely ignored the impact of tax legislation on taxpayers caught by the AMT. In the 1990s, a series of tax credits, such as the child tax credit and the lifetime learning credit, were adopted without any regard to the AMT. The AMT limited the use of nonrefundable credits, and that did not change.

However, Congress quickly realized the injustice of this situation. It waived the AMT disallowance of nonrefundable personal credits, but it only did it through the year 1998. In 1999, the issue again had to be dealt with. The Congress passed the Taxpayer Refund and Relief Act of 1999. In the Senate, only 19 Democrats voted for the bill. That bill included a provision to finally repeal the alternative minimum tax that was on the books from 1969 to that point. Senator McCain voted in favor of this bill to repeal the AMT. However, then-President Clinton vetoed the bill. So we still continued to have the alternative minimum tax.

Later on, in 1999, an extenders bill, including a fix good through 2001, was enacted which held harmless AMT for a little while which was not extended.

In 2001, we departed from these temporary piecemeal solutions to fix the AMT through the tax bill of 2001. That bill permanently allowed the child tax credit, the adoption tax credit, and the IRA contribution credit to be claimed against a taxpayer’s AMT. While this was certainly not a complete solution, it was a step in the right direction. More importantly, the 2001 bill was a bipartisan effort to stop the further intrusion of the alternative minimum tax hitting the middle class. The package Senator Baucus and I put together effectively prevented inflation from pulling anyone else into the AMT through the year 2005.

Our friends in the House originally wanted to enact a hold harmless only through the end of 2001. But the final compromise bill signed by the President increased the AMT exemption amount through 2005. Since the 2001 tax relief, the AMT – which has produced bipartisan packages to continue to increase exemption amounts to keep taxpayers ahead of inflation, including the bill of 2005. Most currently, the 2007 AMT patch was extended in late 2007. Hopefully, the House will go along with what we did last week, and we will extend that through 2008.

These packages put together since 2001 are very unique in that they are the first sustained attempt undertaken by Congress to stem the spread of the AMT through inflation hitting the middle class who was never intended to be hit.

Now, admittedly, these were nothing but short-term fixes. But they illustrate a comprehension of the AMT inflation problem and what needs to be done to solve it.

I now look at how the candidates have voted with respect to the AMT. Senator McCain has consistently voted to protect the alternative minimum tax. Senator McCain voted for the Tax Refund and Reconciliation Act of 1999, which contained a proposal to completely phase out the AMT. In fact, in the Senate, that conference report passed on Republican votes only, including Senator McCain’s. In 2001, when the AMT patch began, Senator McCain supported the Senate version of the tax relief bill through the AMT for the entire period of time. Moreover, Senator McCain voted for the Tax Increase Prevention and Reconciliation Act of 2005 and later bills that extended the AMT patch.

In stark contrast to Senator McCain’s voting record of providing relief from the AMT, Senator Obama voted against the AMT patch contained in the Tax Increase Prevention and Reconciliation Act of 2005. Also, Senator Obama did not join any AMT relief component in the 2008 Republican budget that omitted any revenue room for such an AMT patch. In 2008, Senator Obama supported a budget that, for the first time in this election year, provided some tax relief revenue room for fixing the AMT.

Senator McCain supported the 2008 Republican budget that provided similar revenue room for the AMT.

Therefore, when looking at each candidate’s voting record, the conclusion that becomes apparent is Senator McCain has been much more supportive of middle-class tax relief than Senator Obama.

I will now turn to that third and final question I posed at the beginning of my remarks: What new proposals do the candidates offer on middle-class tax relief? We are going to move from the actions of the candidates and look, instead, at their words and what we can anticipate on whoever is sworn in on January 20 next year.

Let’s take a look at Senator Obama’s tax plan. Senator McCain proposes to extend all of the 2001 and 2003 bipartisan tax relief. In other words, for the most part, it seems to me you can say Senator McCain does not want to increase taxes, by keeping the present tax policy basically where it has been, at least as far as not sunsetting in 2010 what we did in 2001 and 2003 and, hence, not get the biggest tax increase in the history of the country, without even a vote of Congress, because that is what happens when tax provisions expire. Also, that is where you go back to that family of four getting a $2,300 tax increase on a married couple making $50,000. Likewise, a single mom with two kids who makes $30,000 a year would save an average of $1,100 if the 2001 and 2003 tax cuts are extended. Now, we have gone through those figures before, but they are up here on the chart so you can recall what I previously had said. But I think it is necessary to emphasize it because that is exactly what is going to happen. And if Senator McCain does not step in and keep the American people, but, more importantly, the American economy, from being harmed
by the biggest tax increase in the history of the country without a vote of the Congress.

In addition, Senator McCAIN proposes additional AMT relief by expanding the AMT patch in 2013 by indexing the patch to inflation and extending the tax relief provided by the alternative minimum tax patch and extension of the dependent exemption by $500 each year beginning in 2010, until it reaches that $7,000 by the year 2016. Therefore, those families making $143,000 and below would eventually be exempt from the AMT, and this $143,000 amount would be indexed for inflation.

Senator McCAIN would also double the dependent exemption from the current amount of $3,500 to $7,000. Senator McCAIN proposes to do this by increasing the dependent exemption by $500 each year beginning in 2010, until it reaches that $7,000 by the year 2016.

Therefore, this would provide significant tax relief to married couples and single parents with one or more children. The tax relief provided by the doubling of the dependent exemption would be in addition to tax relief provided by the alternative minimum tax patch and extension of the 2001 and 2003 tax cuts.

Now let's look at Senator OBAMA. He has said he is in favor of extending what he calls the Bush tax cuts, except for those Americans who make over $250,000 a year. As I have mentioned before, these should not be referred to as the "Bush tax cuts," because if President Bush had gotten his way in 2001, they would have been much more than what Senator OBAMA and I sat down in 2001. We were the leaders of the Finance Committee, as we are still; in his case, the chairman now, and I am ranking Republican. We worked on a bipartisan basis and did some significant tax relief different than what President Bush wanted to do.

Regardless, Senator OBAMA says he would extend all of the 2001 and 2003 bipartisan tax relief for those making $250,000 or less. This includes the provision that would eventually double the alternative minimum tax patch and indexing that patch for inflation to prevent more middle-class Americans from being hit by the AMT each year.

Senator OBAMA is proposing a new $500 credit called the making work pay credit that has the effect of exempting the first $8,100 of earnings from the Social Security tax. He also proposes a credit of up to $800 equal to 10 percent of the mortgage interest paid by Americans who do not itemize deductions.

Senator OBAMA also proposes turning the current nonrefundable saver's tax credit into a refundable credit, and the maximum credit for a married couple is $500.

Senator OBAMA proposes to rename the HOPE and lifetime learning credit by calling it the American opportunity tax credit in various ways. He also claims to increase the maximum amount of this refundable credit from $1,800 to $4,000 and to make the credit refundable.

Finally, Senator OBAMA claims he wants to expand the earned-income tax credit in various ways. He also claims he wants to expand the child and dependent care credit by making it refundable.

I turn now to examine whether Senator McCAIN's and Senator OBAMA's promises regarding middle-class tax relief are realistic. Even if we assume both Senators want to enact all the tax cuts they are promising, could they deliver on these promises?

The nominally nonpartisan Tax Policy Center estimates that Senator OBAMA's tax plan will lose $2.9 trillion over 10 years when compared to current law. I have used this chart before in my speeches. I won't go into detail, but you can see the Obama plan is the top red line; $1.6 trillion by how much it would lose. As I mentioned in a previous speech, this $2.9 trillion figure inaccuracy assumes that Senator OBAMA's plan will be partially offset by $925 billion in revenue raisers. The Tax Policy Center refers to Senator OBAMA's $925 billion number as an "unverifiable campaign-provided revenue estimate." As I mentioned in that previous speech, a more realistic estimate of revenue raisers over 10 years is approximately $220 billion, meaning Senator OBAMA's tax plan would actually lose another $705 billion in revenue. Therefore, the total revenue lost from Senator OBAMA's plan is not $2.9 trillion over 10 years but instead is approximately $3.5 trillion over 10 years.

Senator OBAMA's plan is short of revenue raisers by $145 billion. Therefore, adding this $145 billion to the revenue loss of $4.2 trillion over 10 years. In addition, as I mentioned in my prior remarks to the Senate, Senator McCAIN's plan would actually lose another $705 billion in revenue. Therefore, the total revenue lost from Senator McCAIN's plan is $5.2 trillion over 10 years.

Senator OBAMA's proposal to increase the national debt by $6.5 trillion is inconsistent with his statement regarding a return to fiscally responsible policy.

Even if he really did want to provide the tax relief he is promising, would a Democratic Congress let Senator McCAIN make good on most of his promises that would provide middle-class tax relief? Also, would a Democratic Congress fight attempts by Senator McCAIN to enact the tax relief proposals he has made?

Similar promises to those made by Senator OBAMA were made by candidate Clinton in 1992. Candidate Clinton said taxes wouldn't be raised on people making under $200,000 a year. However, President Clinton then raised taxes on everyone making over $200,000 and over in 1993.

Perhaps Senator OBAMA would be able to provide some of the tax relief he has been promising but only to those Americans falling within his narrow version of the middle class, stopping at individuals making $66,000 or less, that he has been using in his campaign ads stating that he will provide three times more tax breaks than Senator MCCAIN. Senator OBAMA has changed his definition of the middle class from $250,000 and below in his public statements to those making
$66,000 and below for his campaign ads and on his campaign Web site. This is definitely a change, but if you make more than $66,000, I wouldn't think this is a change you would ever want to believe in. One man's change is another man's flip-flop.

Considering the history when the Democratic Party has had control of the House, the Senate, and the Presidency—and I am going to put my thermometer chart back up here—considering the history when the Democratic Party has had control of the House, the Senate, and the Presidency, are you confident that Democrats won't raise taxes on you if you make $67,000, which is above the middle class, according to one of Senator Obama's two inconsistent definitions of middle class? As history has shown us, the largest tax increases come when Democrats control the House, the Senate, and the Presidency, and you see it at the top of the thermometer there. The lowest rate of taxation has been when you have a Republican President and you have a Republican Congress. As you look at the bottom, the figures appear at the bottom of the thermometer.

We need to carefully scrutinize Senator Obama's claims that Senator McCain wouldn't provide any tax relief at all for 100 million Americans, citing Senator Obama's claims that Senator McCain would provide tax relief for all Americans, as did the 2001 and 2003 bipartisan tax relief packages.

EXHIBIT 1

Q: Is there a standard, accepted definition of what constitutes the “middle class”? A: No, there isn't. “Middle class” means different things to different people and politicians.

There is no standard definition, and in fact, an overwhelming majority of Americans say they are “middle class” or “upper-middle class” or “working class” in public opinion polls. Hardly anybody considers themselves “lower class” or “upper class” in America.

It's possible to come up with a definition of what constitutes “middle income,” but it will depend on how large a slice of the middle one prefers. If we look at U.S. Census Bureau statistics, which divide household income into quintiles, we could say that the “middle” quintile, or 20 percent, might be the “middle” class. In 2006, the average income for households in that middle group was $48,561 and the upper limit was $69,224. But we are reasonably uncertain of the Census figure, median family income. In 2006, the median—or “middle”—income for a family of four was $40,354. Half of all four-person families made more; half made less.

Journalist Chris Baker examined the ambiguous meaning of the term “middle class” in a 2003 Washington Times story. He, too, found no generally accepted definition, but he did get this broad one from Jared Bernstein, an economist at the liberal Economic Policy Institute, who works with families who can pay their bills, but they have to really think about such minimal expenditures—such as picking up a pizza after work, going to a movies, eating out, taking a telephone call. They may have some investments, but they depend on each paycheck for their well-being.

But others would have different definitions. Baker interviewed a man who earned about $100,000 a year and a woman who made $35,000, both of whom said they were middle class.

Public opinion polls show how slippery the term can be. An Oct. 2007 poll by the Kaiser Family Foundation, Harvard School of Public Health and National Public Radio asked 1,527 adults what income level makes a family of four middle class. About 60 percent said a family earning $50,000 or $60,000 fit that description. But 42 percent answered an income of $40,000 and 48 percent said $80,000 were both middle class.

Other polls show that 90 percent or more of Americans consider themselves to be “middle class” or “upper-middle class” or “working class.” An April 2007 poll by CBS News found that surveyed only 2 percent said they were “upper class,” and 7 percent said they were “lower class.” In another poll, taken by Gallup/USA Today in May 2007, 1 percent were “upper class,” and 6 percent said they were “lower class.” Interestingly, since 12.3 percent of Americans were living below the official federal poverty level in 2006, Pew research findings suggest many who are officially poor still consider themselves to be “middle class” or “working class.”

So what do politicians mean when they say “the middle class”? Good question. Each politician may be talking about a different group of Americans, but the message many voters hear is that the politician is talking about them.

For example, Democratic presidential candidate John Edwards calls for “tax breaks to honor and strengthen three pillars of America’s middle class: savings, work, and families.” One of his proposals is to expand a tax credit to give dollar-for-dollar matches on the investment of a family's pension and that credit would be available to families earning up to $75,000.

Republican candidate Mitt Romney, meanwhile, is calling for eliminating “taxes on dividends, capital gains, and interest on middle class families.” He defines “middle class” as anyone with an adjusted gross income of under $100,000—and acknowledges that such a proposal would affect “over 95 percent of American families.”—Lori Robertson
be no recovery of public confidence in the security of savings.

Sounds a little like today, although Franklin Delano Roosevelt then took very aggressive steps to say we are going to separate banking from risk. You are no longer going to be able to have an FDIC-insured deposit institution called a bank and merge it with the speculation in real estate and securities. You just cannot do it. The Congress passed, at the President’s request, something called the Glass-Steagall Act. That lasted for nearly 80 years, until 1999, when it was repealed.

There was a story this morning in a Wisconsin newspaper quoting me and quoting my late colleague, Paul Wellstone, who sat at the end of that row. We both spoke on the Senate floor. There were eight of us who opposed the Financial Modernization Act, they called it, because they always wrap bad things in good names. The Financial Modernization Act, what a misnomer, repealed the Glass-Steagall Act. It set the stage for large financial holding companies. It set the stage for banks to be engaged in more risk. They said: We have to do this to move forward. Senator Phil Gramm actually led the charge. Gramm-Leach-Bliley was the name. Modernization they called it.

Some of us said it was going to be an unbelievable debacle. Here are a couple things I said when it passed the Senate the first time:

I say to the people who own banks, if you want to gamble, go to Las Vegas. If you want to trade in derivatives, God bless you, do it with your own money. Do not do it through the deposits guaranteed by the American people.

Further, I said on the same day on the floor of the Senate:

This bill will, in my judgment, raise the likelihood of future massive taxpayer bailouts. I wish I had been wrong. I take no joy in being right.

When the bill came back in November as a conference report and eight of us voted against it in 1999, I said:

Fusing together the idea of banking, which requires not just safety and soundness to be successful but the perception of safety and soundness, with other inherently risky speculative activity is, in my judgment, unwise . . .

Then I said on the same day in November 1999 before the vote:

We will in 10 years time look back and say: We should not have done that.

Repeal Glass-Steagall—because we forgot the lessons of the past.

What did we allow to happen as a result of all of this? We have seen today a substantial amount of activity as a result of the collapse on Wall Street and in the banking industry. Here are just a few of the actions most recently. J.P. Morgan decided to buy Bear Stearns.

What are you going to do when a bank, Bear Stearns, was going to go belly up. And over a weekend, they worked, and the Federal Reserve Board and the Secretary of Treasury said the taxpayers will put up $29 billion so that J.P. Morgan can buy Bear Stearns because Bear Stearns doesn’t have to go belly up.

I was looking in the Wall Street Journal today, and there is something about Bear Stearns. It is kind of interesting what I am going to talk about in a whole range of these areas. It relates to something I call “dark money.” That is a massive amount of money, essentially like a bank, is moving around speculating that no one can see, no one knows who has it, where it is, how much it is.

This article is entitled “Too Much Money Is Beyond Legal Reach.” From the Wall Street Journal. It talks about the “$1.9 trillion, almost all of it run out of the New York metropolitan area, that sits in the Cayman Islands, a secrecy jurisdiction. And another $1.5 trillion is lodged in four other secrecy jurisdictions.”

Then they say:

Most recently, two Bear Stearns hedge funds, based in the Cayman Islands, but run out of New York, went belly up without any warning to its investors. Because of the location of these financial institutions—in a secrecy jurisdiction, outside the U.S. safety net created for individuals—that their demise is not even a separate financial condition went undetected until it was too late.

You run the dark money through hedge funds, through Bear Stearns, through the window of the Federal Reserve. They go belly up, no one even knows it is there. Then we have to find in a weekend that the American taxpayers should put up $29 billion so that J.P. Morgan can bail out a failed Bear Stearns.

Madam President, $300 billion immediately following that was available to investment banks that are unregulated because the Federal Reserve Board said: Investment banks can come to our loan window and get loans directly from the Federal Reserve Board. Never in the history of this country has that been allowed. Only FDIC-insured regulated banks could do that. It is estimated that $300 billion in direct loans from the Federal Reserve Board went out to unregulated Wall Street firms.

Then bailing out Freddie and Fannie, J.P. Morgan Chase in Lehman financing: They have been around since the Civil War and went belly up through bad investments. AIG, the insurance company, goes belly up, and so there is an $85 billion loan provided by our Government to prevent their failure. Why did they fail? We are told a small unit in England with about 375 employees were engaged in something I will talk about in a bit, credit default swaps, which is essentially a huge gamble, and it pulled that whole company down, so the Federal Reserve Board has to bail them out with $85 billion. And $50 billion has now been pledged as guarantees for certain money market funds.

In recent days, Washington Mutual, a big bank, had to be taken over. Then in more recent days, we have had Wachovia bank subsumed.

Here is what is happening. We have all these financial institutions we are told are too big to fail, which means we guarantee them. The Federal Reserve Board has a list of firms too big to fail. They are apparently not too big to regulate, just too big to fail, so the American taxpayer has to guarantee it.

What we have done is continue to consolidate even larger and larger these financial entities. These three firms comprise almost one-third of all the banking activity in America now. Too big to fail? What is the answer? Make them bigger. It doesn’t make any sense to me, but that is exactly where it is going.

Let me describe what I think is no-fault capitalism. You have all this dark money, and what has happened is you have had all of these fancy financial engineers who have concocted in recent years since 1999—since the shuckies were taken off to do whatever they want, by and large, and since this administration came to town bragging it wasn’t going to regulate. We hired the regulators, paid the regulators, but the regulators were not interested in regulating anything.

I am quoting Steven Pearlstein who wrote a terrific piece on this earlier this year:

Wall Street has been brilliant at dreaming up other financial innovations that picked up where junk bonds left off. These included complex futures and derivatives contracts; leverage; credit default swaps; off-balance-sheet vehicles; collateralized debt obligations . . .

And on and on.

What happens is this financial engineering that was so brilliant put everybody at risk—everybody. He says junk bonds were the first. I know something about junk bonds because I am the person who passed the legislation that brought down that market on junk bonds when, in 1980, Mike Milken, sitting in his car in the morning riding as a passenger, going to work at Drexel Burnham, was wearing a miner’s hat with a lamp on it so he could study his financial sheets. What he was doing is creating junk bonds and parking them in federally insured institutions.

The hood ornament of the excess back in those days was that the American taxpayers eventually ended up having to own and take possession of nonperforming junk bonds in one of America’s largest casinos. Think about the stupidity of all that. I passed the legislation that shut that down, so I know about those excesses.

Now we have credit default swaps and CDOs and so many other exotic instruments and, by the way, so complicated that a lot of people don’t even know what they are. Even those who have issued them cannot very easily understand them. What they have done is been able to hide risk, limitations and losses from investors. The trick has given traders a greater ability to secretly manipulate markets,” Mr. Pearlstein says, and I agree.
Let me talk about this chart, the no-fault capitalism portion.

Merrill Lynch went belly up. What did the CEO of Merrill Lynch make last year? He made $161 million for running a company that got into trouble and had to be purchased. I don’t understand.

John Mack, Morgan Stanley—they got into trouble—$41 million compensation last year.

Bear Stearns, the first company I mentioned, we had to arrange the purchase, the American taxpayers had to put $30 billion to guarantee it, and the CEO of Bear Stearns made $34 million last year.

Lehman Brothers went belly up. The CEO made $22 million last year.

Washington Mutual went belly up. The CEO made $14 million last year. By the way, they just had a new CEO, or did. He had been on the job 3 weeks and signed a contract for a $7 million bonus for stock options. Take note of that. I understand that has been voided. But it just shows you the same money is ricocheting around in the halls of these firms.

AIG, Martin Sullivan—we had to bail out AIG he made $15 million last year. “How do you do it?” Where is the discipline? There is so much money ricocheting around Wall Street from all of these issues, and now we are told they all went sour. There are toxic, mortgage-backed securities, and the American taxpayers somehow have to come up with the money.

Let me talk for a moment about hedge funds. Warren Buffett once called hedge funds “financial weapons of mass destruction” because of the damage they can do to Wall Street in an instant. I just talked about some $20 million, $160 million for folks running failed institutions. Let me talk about the big income earners. The big income earners were John Paulson. He was the top person last year. John Paulson made $3.7 billion. That means when he came home from work and his wife said, How did we do this month, sweetheart? he said: Well, we made $300 million this month. Madam President, I heard about $20 billion for folks running Merrill Lynch. Here is what John Paulson said: 

Let me go through the roots of this situation. I have done this many times. But as people sit on the edge of the chair watching what is happening to the Dow Jones Industrial Average today, they need to understand what is the root rot that exists out there, what is spoiled and rotten at the bottom. Let me describe what happened. It is not very complicated.

Almost every American has heard the radio and television ads over recent years: You know what you really need to do is get a better home mortgage, and we have one for you. We will give you a mortgage where you get a 2-percent interest rate. Yes, that is right. Sounds unbelievable; it is not. We will give you a 2-percent rate on your home mortgage. We are not going to tell you, at least not very clearly; we are going to reset in 3 years to 10 percent, but we can get you in at 2 percent. And by the way, home values are increasing. Get this loan at 2 percent, cut your monthly mortgage payment by two-thirds, and then, if 3 years from now you can’t pay the reset mortgage, you can sell the house. Between now and then, you will make a lot of money anyway because home values are continuing to go up. That was the sales pitch.

So what happened all around this country. Here is Countrywide mortgage bank. They were purchased. They were run by a guy named Mozilo. He was given the Horatio Alger Award. Barron’s named him one of the 50 most respected CEOs in America. In 2006, he made $122 million. As he was tour his company’s stock, the New York Times reports he was selling $130 million of his company’s stock, even as he was describing what a wonderful stock it was.

But here is what Countrywide said. They were advertising:

Do you have less than perfect credit? Do you have late mortgage payments? Have you been denied by other lenders? Call us.

That is their advertisement. If you have bad credit, call us. We will give you a loan. The biggest mortgage bank in the country, run by a CEO who made a fortune and then got out—and by the way, we got away with it—before the company went down.

But it is Countrywide. Here is what Millennia Mortgage said:

12 months’ payments if you call in the next 7 days. We will pay it for you. Our loan program may reduce your current monthly payment by as much as 50 percent and allow you new payments for the first 12 months. Here is a mortgage company saying, get a home mortgage from us and you don’t have to make a payment for 12 months. They didn’t, of course, say we are going to put that on the back end and that, ultimately, you will pay for that home mortgage, we are going to increase the interest rate.

Zoom Credit. I don’t know who the CEO is or what he made, but here is what they said.

Credit approval is just seconds away. Get on the fast track at Zoom Credit. At the speed of light, Zoom Credit will preapprove you for a car loan, a home loan, or a credit card. Even if your credit is in the tank, Zoom Credit’s like money in the bank. Zoom Credit specializes in credit repair and debt consolidations, too. Bankruptcy, slow credit, no credit—who cares?

There is so much money ricocheting around in the halls of these firms. But it wasn’t only Countrywide. Here is what Millennia Mortgage said: 

They also say that if you can’t pay all your principal, that is okay. You can pay a part of the principal of the mortgage. Or you don’t have to pay any principal, just pay interest. Or you don’t have to pay any principal or all the interest, just part of the interest. Or with Millennia, you don’t have to make any payments for the first 12 months. It got better and better and better. Why did they do that? Because they were lock in at bad interest rates—mortgages with teaser rates, very low, 2 percent in some cases, to be reset to a much higher rate.
in 3 years—and then they would lock in a prepayment penalty so you could never get out of it. Or to get out you would have to pay a huge penalty. Then they would sell it upstream. As they sold it upstream, they would sell a security that promised a 10-percent interest rate in 3 years with a prepayment penalty so it was unlikely the person could get out of it, and that security then had a higher yield. All these folks were amazed that they were able to buy securities with such a wonderful rate.

In the meantime, of course, it all collapsed. Because all those securities got out there on the balance sheets of these companies buying these securities in the name of greed—big returns. Then it all turned sour and began to smell like rotten fish, lying out there on the balance sheets, these nonperforming assets. It all turned sour. It began to pull under companies that were unwise enough to make these investments. It was companies all over the country.

I mentioned some of the ways they did it. This is describing part of it. No documentation loans, low documentation loans. Even as we talk about its impact today, if you think this has stopped, it has not. There is a credit lockup in this country, they say. Probably so, in some areas. But I went to the Internet a couple days ago and I found, under a search for a no doc income loan, I found 225 different places on the Internet that provide these kind of home loans right now: No credit check. Bad credit loans.

It has not yet stopped. Here is part of what I found on the Internet.

Easy loan for you. Do you have bad credit? Get approved today.

You can go find that on the Internet right now. Here is another one you can find on the Internet right now: speedybadcreditloans.com. Think of that. How unbelievably ignorant, speedybadcreditloans. When we face the crisis we now face because of this unparalleled greed and the toxic mortgage-backed securities that exist on the balance sheets of all these companies, threatening to bring down these corporations, and they are still selling them.


I think I have described what has put out a substantial amount of toxic investments throughout this country, which has caused unbelievable chaos not just in this country but across the world. I think there are a number of things we ought to do.

I know the discussion yesterday was about $700 billion bailout, or rescue fund, that did not survive in the House of Representatives. I hope now those who are going to put together some changes to that plan—I assume there will be more hearings, and I do support some of the discussion today about increasing the size of bank accounts that are FDIC insured from $100,000 to $250,000. If we had changed that over time for the value of money, it would be well over $200,000 now. So I believe it would be useful and provide some confidence to provide that additional insurance to a $250,000-per-account level.

But I strongly believe that a couple other things have to be done.

We can’t let this moment pass, and we can’t have this economy in peril because of the greed and the avarice of some who decided to take dramatic risks and gamble with other people’s money. We can’t do that. We can’t proceed without deciding we are going to regulate hedge funds and regulate the trading of derivatives. We cannot do it. Where I come from, you call that leaving the gate open. You have to close the gate.

In 1999, and even beyond, these institutions and traders and others were allowed to go hog-wild here and do almost everything with almost no supervision and no regulation. We have to learn from that and understand that part and parcel of this action by the Congress has to be re-regulation. Now, I have talked about the three Rs that are necessary, and I believe you have to do all of it here. I am willing to support some of the concepts of re-regulation. I understand the need to address this. But I also think you have to do some reform and you have to do some regulation at the same time.

You can’t say to the American people, by the way, ante up a bunch of money for recovery and forget reform and forget regulation. If we don’t patch that which we tore in 1999 and decide to take apart again the fundamental banking functions of the federally insured institutions, if we don’t separate them from the inherent risk that exists in investment banking and others, where they take these risks with things such as swaps and collateralized debt obligations, if we don’t understand the lesson, we are destined to repeat it, just as sure as I am here. You have to have reform. Reform is to back up some steps and to decide to protect the banking institutions from excessive risk. Regrettably, we went in the wrong direction in 1999. I think we need to go back some ways.

Second, there is so much dark money out in this economy that you can’t see. Hedge funds. We must have a regulatory provision for hedge funds. I am not suggesting the recovery bill itself has to describe the specific set of regulations, but the bill can, as it has in a couple other areas, describe a rulemaking process for regulating hedge funds. We have been told there is somewhere around $62 trillion in notional value of credit default swaps out in this country. Most people think that sounds like a foreign language. They wouldn’t even know what it is. What are some of the things that have become toxic—securities that are lying and smelling, fouling in the bowels of the balance sheets of some of these corporations. We have to do something that does reform and regulation. There may never be another moment to be able to do it.

I understand a whole lot of folks have been opposed to this for a long time. I have pushed it for years on the floor of the Senate. Senator FEINSTEIN, I, and many others have been pushing for regulation of hedge funds and the regulation of derivative trading. But as I indicated when I started, when you have derivative concepts that deals with rescue and credit default swaps running through the Cayman Islands and they go belly up, and nobody even knew it was there—and they helped pull down this firm—then you wonder how does that happen outside the gaze or view of regulators? How on Earth does that happen?

We have, unfortunately, been looking only at this question of providing the funding. As I said, I am willing to consider processes that deals with rescue. I am willing to consider that. But I believe that if we move past this moment and don’t address the reform and the regulation piece, we will be back again—maybe in 5 years, maybe 10 years, we will be back again, almost certainly.

Warren Buffett once said, when I talked to him on the phone, that there is an old saying on Wall Street: You can’t see who is swimming naked until the tide goes out. Well, you know what, the tide is going out. We have lots of trouble, and now we see the consequences of unbelievable, rampant speculation in institutions that should have known better. We have to try to protect the financial system of the United States from collapsing. I understand that. We have to do that. But we cannot possibly ask our constituents to believe in that mission if we don’t also provide the regulation and reform that must accompany it. We can’t do half a job.

As I indicated, I am not suggesting that legislation has to, in the 130-page bill, describe exactly how you regulate hedge funds or how you regulate derivative trading.

But I do believe we ought to describe a specific date by which a rulemaking process proceeds for that regulation.

Mr. DOMENICI. Would the Senator yield?

Mr. DORGAN. I would be happy to yield.

Mr. DOMENICI. Senator, first, I apologize for not hearing all of your remarks. I was in earshot when I heard you talking about available credit, talking about what you could find on the Internet. You showed these advertisements where people are still in the business of trying to sucker Americans into buying things they cannot afford and vice versa, those companies that are treating our Americans who cannot afford it as if they are going to be able to sell them in and telling them to buy things they ultimately cannot pay for. Is that part of your talk here today?
Mr. DORGAN. That is correct. My point was, that which has occurred that has caused this unbelievable collapse, I think the Senator from New Mexico would agree that what has precipitated this is the massive amount of failure out there of mortgage-backed securities. They are held on the balance sheets of these financial institutions. They turned out to be sour. It has begun to pull down on some of these institutions.

My point was that you can go to the Internet today and you can find exactly the same kind of irresponsible advertising that existed for a long time, including the biggest mortgage bank in the country, Countrywide, which is saying: Bad credit, come over here, we will give you a loan. The same things exist. Go to the Internet today, and you will find exactly the same kind of advertising.

Mr. DOMENICI. I think Countrywide has been taken over by Bank of America.

Mr. DORGAN. It has.

Mr. DOMENICI. Let me say to the Senator—and I am giving you an observation—what has happened, it seems to me, over the years is efforts to pass a rescue package is that we started out by talking about a bailout—somebody did—and also, at the same time, a Wall Street bailout. You know, what caught my eye as a Senator wondering whether I was going to help with this, until I found out that there was no bailout and Wall Street was not being bailed out, what was happening was—well, let’s take the biggest purveyor of mortgage-backed securities, and that happened to be Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. They had most of them. What they really were, were mortgages on homes that people bought by the hundreds of thousands. As a matter of fact, those two entities have mortgageigated more than half, well over half—almost two-thirds of all American homes. They had taken these mortgage-backed securities and they were selling them. That is how they made this inordinate amount of money over the last 10 or 12 years. Then what happened is those mortgage-backed securities—people started looking at it and tried to find out: Where did they get the mortgages? I wanted to add to your scenario of where all of these bad, what we might call toxic assets, which are mortgage-backed securities that are in default, where did they come from and where are they? And I wanted to make sure that your wonderful talk about this subject included the fact that for a period of time the U.S. Government was pushing very hard on Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to accept mortgages on homes that any reasonable person knew could not be afforded, could not be paid for, and they were pushing thousands of them to get people in homes even if they could not pay for them. And that it’s thousands of families, hundreds of thousands are coming home to roost now, as I understand it, and we do not even know where we are, but we find out when a bank starts failing because they are using this as their equity—they bought them—and it turns out to be sour because they are not paying on the mortgage. You go look, and there is a house there backing up that mortgage, and maybe a family was in it. And already 6 months in default and they have left the place and it is falling down, and you have a mortgage here that you are holding.

I do not think we ever painted properly for the American people that this was not a bailout of Wall Street; it was an effort to buy up those assets, those mortgages that were out there that were not going to be paid, that could not be paid, and they had gone sour. We are trying to buy them and let the system work while we try to repackagery them and sell them. It could very well be, Senator—I think you would agree—that when this $700 billion, or whatever number it is, is used, it will come back to this country. We were going to buy the toxic assets they buy. They will be buying them and bundling them and selling them again, and they may bring more money 3 or 4 years from now than you paid for them.

So in my case it is not a bailout. It is a buyout, if anything. I wondered if you had thought of that way. Is that a fair reading, as you understand things? Mr. DORGAN. Well, let me talk about the bailout role for a minute, because the way that you and I described it is part of my concern. It used to be that when you bought a home, you would go down to the local savings and loan or the local bank and try to negotiate a home loan. Then sitting across the desk, they would evaluate what kind of job do you have, how much family income do you have, how secure is your job, is this a loan we want to provide to you because of the risk, and so on. They would make a judgment on your credit rating. That is the way it would work. It doesn’t work that way in most cases now. It does in some cases, in most cases not. This has become a big go-go effort to get home loans out there, securitize them, and sell the mortgage-backed securities.

So when we are talking about banks buying mortgage-backed securities, I asked the question: Why should they be buying mortgage-backed securities? I have to ask myself, would I buy mortgage-backed securities that are cut into these little pieces of sausage and sent upstream when they do not even know what is in them. How many of them are subprime? They don’t have any idea. All they see is an advertised yield that says: Well, if I buy this security, I am going to get a big, fat income from it.

Going back, I would like to see us get back to the day when a mortgage is something negotiated across the desk in a fair reading, as you understand things? Mr. DORGAN. Well, if I buy this security, I am going to get a big, fat income from it.

Going back, I would like to see us get back to the day when a mortgage is something negotiated across the desk in a fair reading, as you understand things? Mr. DORGAN. Well, if I buy this security, I am going to get a big, fat income from it.

Mr. DOMENICI. Going back, I would like to see us get back to the day when a mortgage is something negotiated across the desk in a fair reading, as you understand things?
passed around. Sometimes there are lots of them in there, sometimes there are fewer.

But I would hope that, like many others, you would express yourself and talk to the American people about the problem but also suggest that we do something now or the banking system, which is our lifeblood—we do not think it is, but the financial system is our lifeblood—will go belly-up. I believe, like you, that there are many changes that have to be made, but I hope we can pass this bill and then in due course have hearings and insist that we change the regulations, impose new ones, and do some of the things you have been talking about.

I thank you for letting me—I have had plenty of opportunity here on the floor, and I did not mean to barge in on you, but I thought maybe we could have a couple of minutes of exchange so we understand mutually the problem.

Let me also say, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac fooled a lot of us. I don’t ask that as a question of you because I do not want to ask you whether you know it or not, but they were the instrument of some of the things that have so many millions of homes in the hands of our people. But they were, at certain times, the instrument of pushing through, as mortgage-backed securities, hundreds of thousands of mortgage-backed securities, were being bought by purchasers who it was known could not afford what they were buying. They were in the merry business of the more the merrier, whether they pay or not, and they got away with that, and they fooled me. I am not sure whether they fooled you, but they fooled a lot of Senators and Representatives. I think they have been caught, and I think they are doing business differently. But they were the biggest ones. You can talk about a bank here and there and someone who is going to advertise that looks as though it is bad, but they were the ones that were pushing these through. And maybe they were asked to by the Government. There seems to be an enabling act passed that said they were supposed to get out there and do that even if the people could not afford it.

Our American people ultimately, when this episode has ended, are going to be embarrassed with us that during this big-borrow era of housing, we were forcing on the market hundreds upon thousands of loans and mortgages in the hands of people that it was known upfront would not be able to pay for the houses. That is what they are going to be surprised about, when they find out that was the case as the hearings commence on changing regulation, as you are suggesting, because we are probably going to be able to identify how many hundreds of thousands of those kinds of loans they have made—call them toxic assets, but they are subprime loans. Fannie Mae and our Federal Government pushed so that we would sell more houses and get more people in housing. We made a bad mistake.

I yield the floor.

Mr. DORGAN. I appreciate the comments of my colleague from New Mexico. He made a number of the points that I suggested that we have to come together to do something now or the banking system would go belly-up. I am not sure what happened, but it is interesting to look back and see how this has progressed. Everybody was making a lot of money doing it, and nobody knew what was in them. The interesting thing is that at least when you negotiated your home loan across the desk of the banker in the old days, if you found a time when you really could not make your payment or the payments were too high, you could go and they should restructure that loan. It was not that simple, but it was doable. Everybody was making a lot of money doing it, and nobody knew what was in them. The interesting thing is that at least when you negotiated your home loan across the desk of the banker in the old days, if you found a time when you really could not make your payments, you could go and they should restructure that loan. It was not that simple, but it was doable.

Let me say that the collateralizing and securitizing of these exotic instruments was not something that was done for fun; it was because it could become very profitable to securitize everything, roll them up into these little sausage deals and sell them upstream. Everybody was making a lot of money doing it, and nobody knew what was in them. The interesting thing is that at least when you negotiated your home loan across the desk of the banker in the old days, if you found a time when you really could not make your payments, you could go and they should restructure that loan. It was not that simple, but it was doable.

That makes no sense.

If you believe—and I think most people believe—that the foundation of this mess we are in is these bad mortgages out there, these toxic securities, then the quickest and best and most effective way to begin putting some sort of a foundation under home values is to allow those with those home loans that are troubled to be able to negotiate with somebody; in this case, through a bankruptcy court, to negotiate that they could continue to pay, albeit at a lower interest rate. At least you would have someone who can stay in their home. You would have a person who is making a payment every month, probably not what they had intended to pay, but they are making the payment. They are in the home. They have provided some value to that mortgage. All of a sudden that provides a foundation.

Instead of empty homes and mortgages that are destroyed, you have someone living in the home with a mortgage and monthly payments that would provide some stability for home values. It would keep some people in their homes. We have 2 million people this year who will have lost their homes. That is pretty unbelievable.

My colleague said it would be hard to put together a regime of doing the necessary regulation of hedge funds or regulation of derivatives trading. It would be difficult to do that. I am not suggesting they have to do that. I am suggesting that they mimic what they did in the original bill on a couple other pieces and require by law a rulemaking on the regulation of hedge funds, require by law a rulemaking on the regulation of derivatives by a date certain. They don’t have to describe to me exactly what the rulemaking would require in detail or what the regulation would require in detail. At least we ought to expect that we begin to reform and regulate, even as we try to prevent this from happening again.

Let me also say, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac fooled a lot of us. I don’t ask that as a question of you because I do not want to ask you whether you know it or not, but they were the instrument of some of the things that have so many millions of homes in the hands of our people. But they were, at certain times, the instrument of pushing through, as mortgage-backed securities, hundreds of thousands of mortgage-backed securities, were being bought by purchasers who it was known could not afford what they were buying. They were in the merry business of the more the merrier, whether they pay or not, and they got away with that, and they fooled me. I am not sure whether they fooled you, but they fooled a lot of Senators and Representatives. I think they have been caught, and I think they are doing business differently. But they were the biggest ones. You can talk about a bank here and there and someone who is going to advertise that looks as though it is bad, but they were the ones that were pushing these through. And maybe they were asked to by the Government. There seems to be an enabling act passed that said they were supposed to get out there and do that even if the people could not afford it.

Our American people ultimately, when this episode has ended, are going to be embarrassed with us that during this big-borrow era of housing, we were forcing on the market hundreds upon thousands of loans and mortgages in the hands of people that it was known upfront would not be able to pay for the houses. That is what they are going to be surprised about, when they find out that was the case as the hearings commence on changing regulation, as you are suggesting, because we are probably going to be able to identify how many hundreds of thousands of those kinds of loans they have made—call them toxic assets, but they are subprime loans. Fannie Mae and our Federal Government pushed so that we
London that nearly brought that whole company down. We had to bail them out. Lehman Brothers, $22 million the previous year, Merrill Lynch, $161 million. There is plenty of reason for the American people to take a look at all that and say: That is a carnival of greed. These are the exotic financial instruments they can’t even explain that are so complicated. Trading them upward and backward and sideways, everybody making massive amounts of money, and then all of a sudden it goes belly up and it will bring down the entire financial system. All of a sudden we are talking rescue, but nobody is talking regulate.

As I said, in my part of the country, they say that is not closing the gate. You have to close the gate. You have to shut the gate. If you don’t include reform and if you don’t include regulation, we are not going to solve this problem.

The next day and a half we will talk a lot about these issues. My hope is whoever is negotiating—I know some, and I have been in meetings last evening on this subject—will understand the need of some of us feel that anything that is done require the issues of reform and regulation that do not now exist in the plan that has been offered. I yield the floor and suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. Wurthhouse). The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. DODD. I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. DODD. Are we in morning business?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. We are postcloture on the motion to concur.

Mr. DODD. I ask unanimous consent to speak as in morning business.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

PAUL NEWMAN

Mr. DODD. Mr. President, I rise to celebrate the life of a man and a friend who passed away this past weekend, an American icon to many Americans—in fact, not only to Americans but to people all over the world—for more than half a century, a remarkable philanthropist, in his generosity, a terrific husband, father to six children, a daredevil both on the screen and off.

In words that have added poignancy at this moment, Paul Newman once said, “We are all spendthrifts with our lives. The trick of living is to slip on and off the planet with the least fuss you can muster. I’m not running for sainthood. I just happen to think that in life we need to be a little like the farmer, who puts back into the soil what he takes from it.”

The New York Times concluded its obituary of Paul Newman with these words. But I would like them to begin my remarks, because I don’t think that will be the last thing people should consider when they remember Paul Newman, but the very first.

Where the charitable work of public figures today often seems motivated less by the public interest than by public relations, Paul Newman was a rarity.

An enormous celebrity whose commitment to making a difference meant far more to him than any benefit, critical notice or award nomination. Believe me. Having known him or 25 years, I can attest to that.

A star, with genuine humility, he cared deeply about the people, not only of this country but around the world, and made a significant contribution to their benefit in his own way. We are all, of course, familiar with the Newman’s Own brand, which raised nearly a quarter-billion dollars for charitable causes in a quarter century.

But that was only part of the story. Paul also founded the Hole In the Wall Gang camps for children with life-threatening diseases that began in Ashford, CT and has since opened three on three different continents. Those camps serve more than 15,000 children annually, with all services provided free of charge to everyone. He also founded the Rowdy Ridge Gang Camp for families recovering from drug addiction and survivors of spousal abuse.

These were no vanity causes to which Paul Newman committed himself over his 83 years shared one fundamental quality: In fact, just this afternoon, I spoke with a friend of mine. I serve on the advisory board of the Hole in the Wall Camp in Ashford, CT, but a good friend of mine is on the board of directors of that camp. He had flown from San Francisco to be back in Connecticut today where people in the Hole in the Wall Gang camp are gathering to remember Paul Newman. They each got up and talked about his intimate involvement with that camp. Believe me, as someone who has been involved on a daily basis, he worked and cared about the maintenance of that facility, as he did the ones on the other two continents I described.

Indeed, these examples remind us that every endeavor to which Paul Newman committed himself over his 83 years shared one fundamental quality: They were the product of an enduring appreciation for the special, unique place he was afforded in our society. You could not spend any time with Paul without noticing that he had remarkable life.

A wife and family that were not there simply to support him, but to push and prod him, to tease him, to care for and to love him. They were the product of an enduring friendship, a partnership, a marriage that Paul and Joanne had to work at. They were the product of an enduring love that had no quarrel with differences of opinion but only a desire to push and prod him, to tease him, to care for and to love him.

You could not spend any time with Paul without noticing that he had remarkable life.

A wife and family that were not there simply to support him, but to push and prod him, to tease him, to care for and to love him. They were the product of an enduring friendship, a partnership, a marriage that Paul and Joanne had to work at. They were the product of an enduring love that had no quarrel with differences of opinion but only a desire to push and prod him, to tease him, to care for and to love him.

And Paul Newman knew it.

But as much as he recognized the good fortune behind his success, he also understood the obligations that came with it.

This was never someone who pretended to be something he was not. He did not rise from poverty or grow up in a broken home. His father was, in fact, a successful entrepreneur himself from the Shaker Heights section of Cleveland, OH.

But to watch Paul’s Oscar-nominated turn in that remarkable courtroom drama, “The Verdict,” is to witness someone whose true kinship was not with those who came from wealth, from power or privilege, but with those who struggled, who earned, who overcame.

For all his generosity, kind-heartedness, and compassion, there was another side to this man, one that was utterly driven to succeed, whether it was acting or directing, film or theater, charity or business.

I suspect I was not the only friend of Paul’s who did not share his passion for racing, which he used to give to our State’s Lime Rock Park.

But compared to Hollywood, Paul found racing’s lack of pretension refreshing.

The pure love he had for the sport was what made it such a thrill for him—a thrill he pursued into his eighties.

He was impossible to pigeonhole. I loved his sense of humor and irony, a devilish spirit which hid—just barely—the contempt for the predictable and lazy you couldn’t help but admire.

He once commented that the “single highest honor” paid to him was being learned, that he was 19th on Nixon’s so-called “enemies list” assembled by Charles Colson.

He named the Hole in the Wall Gang camps after Butch Cassidy’s band of outlaws and offered cowboy hats to children who had lost their hair because of chemotherapy.

The first vat of Newman’s Own salad dressing was stirred with a canoe paddle to give some idea of his sense of humor.

And one of the biographies he wrote for a local production read, “Paul Newman is probably best known for his spectacularly successful food conglomerate. In addition to giving the profits to charity he also ran Frank Sinatra out of the spaghetti sauce business. On the downside, the spaghetti sauce is overcooking his films.”

Let it never be said there wasn’t a sparkle in those famous blue eyes of Paul’s to the end.

In a career that required him to fabricate many a character and experience, Paul Newman’s rebellious yet playful nature always struck me as completely genuine.

It often masked and helped him promote some very serious work.

A resident of Westport, CT he made enduring contributions to our State. Some will remember that he insisted on holding the first movie premiere in New Haven history when “Butch Cassidy and the Sundance Kid” made
Mr. Newman’s filmography was a cavalcade of flawed heroes and winning antiheroes stretching over decades. In 1958 he was a drifting confidence man determined to double up and avoid arrest in “The Long, Hot Summer.” In 1962, in “The Verdict,” he was a washed-up alcoholic lawyer who finds a chance to redeem himself in a medical malpractice case. And in 2002, at 77, having lost none of his charm, he was a self-destructive convict in “Cool Hand Luke” (1967). Mr. Newman was too rebellious to be broken by a brutal prison system. As Butch Cassidy in “Butch Cassidy and the Sundance Kid,” he was the most amiable and antic of bank robbers, memorably paired with Robert Redford. And in “The Hustler” (1961) he was the small-town draftsman with the role he created 25 years later, now as a well-heeled middle-aged liquor salesman, in “The Color of Money” (1986). That performance, alongside Tom Cruise, brought Mr. Newman his sole Academy Award, for best actor, after he had been nominated for that prize six times. In all he received eight Oscar nominations for best actor, and his supporting actor nomination in “Road to Perdition.” “Rachel, Rachel,” which he directed, was nominated for best picture.

“When a role is right for him, he’s peerless,” the film critic Pauline Kael wrote in 1977. “Newman is most comfortable in a role when it isn’t scaled heroically; even when he plays a bastard, he’s not a big bastard—only a callow, selfish one, like Hud. He can play what he’s not—a dumb lout. But you don’t believe it when he plays someone perversely, masochistically, inane, and the beats you know him, the less you believe it. His likability is infectious; nobody should ever be asked not to like Paul Newman.”
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while he, his wife and their year-old son, Scott, headed for Yale University, where Mr. Newman intended to concentrate on directing.

He left Yale in the summer of 1952, perhaps because the money had run out and his wife was pregnant again. But almost immediately, Mr. Newman was cast as Brick, the playwright William Inge gave him a small role in “Picnic,” a play that was to run 14 months on Broadway. Soon he was playing the second-banana lead and understudying Ralph Meeker as the seedy drifter who rolls the women in a Kansas town. Mr. Newman and Ms. Woodward were attracted to each other, but the producer was against it. “Picnic” ran for 14 months on Broadway—when it opened, Mr. Newman was set to play the lead. But he was married, his wife, Joanne Woodward, has insisted that they spent the next seven years running away from each other.

In the early 1960s, his roles in live television came easily to both of them. Mr. Newman starred in segments of “You Are There,” “Goodyear Television Playhouse” and other shows.

He was also accepted as a student at the Actors Studio in New York, where he took lessons from the lateElia Kazan, who advised him to “work, work, work” and to go to Hollywood. As a result, he signed a contract with Warner Brothers in 1961, and went on to rear their three daughters far away from Hollywood.

Mr. Newman intended to concentrate on directing the film version of Tennessee Williams’s “Cat on a Hot Tin Roof,” which would become more than a matinee idol.

In 1961, with “The Hustler,” he earned his first Academy Award nomination, for best supporting actor, for his performance as a jazz-loving hustler struggling to live in a white world. Mr. Newman played a white man, reared by Indians, and went on to win the title role—“with just the right blend of physicality and vulnerability.”

In 1968—he was cast as an ice-cold race car driver in “Winning,” with Ms. Woodward playing his frustrated wife—Mr. Newman was sent to a racing school. In midlife racing became his obsession. A Web site—newman-haas.com—details his racing career, which included Steve McQueen and Faye Dunaway; Redford again to play a couple of con men, and “The Towering Inferno” (1974), in which he played an architect in an all-star cast that included Steve McQueen and Faye Dunaway.

After his fifth best-actor Oscar nomination, for his portrayal of an innocent man disfigured by a bombing, Mr. Newman turned 50, and settled into a new career as a character actor, playing the lead role—“with just the right blend of craftiness and stupidity”—in “The Verdict” (1982), and his sixth a year later, for “The Color of Money,” which won him another Oscar as best supporting actor for his performance as a disfigured prizefighter, won him the lead role in “Somebody Up There Likes Me,” again replacing Dean. When Mr. Newman’s films were commercial hits, probably none more so than “The Color of Money,” he sometimes invited Mr. Redford again to play a couple of con men, and “The Towering Inferno” (1974), in which he played an architect in an all-star cast that included Steve McQueen and Faye Dunaway.

After his fifth best-actor Oscar nomination, for his portrayal of an innocent man disfigured by a bombing, Mr. Newman turned 50, and settled into a new career as a character actor, playing the lead role—“with just the right blend of craftiness and stupidity”—in “The Verdict” (1982), and his sixth a year later, for “The Color of Money,” which won him another Oscar as best supporting actor for his performance as a disfigured prizefighter, won him the lead role in “Somebody Up There Likes Me,” again replacing Dean. When Mr. Newman’s films were commercial hits, probably none more so than “The Color of Money,” he sometimes invited Mr. Redford again to play a couple of con men, and “The Towering Inferno” (1974), in which he played an architect in an all-star cast that included Steve McQueen and Faye Dunaway.

Before the play was broadcast on PBS in 2002, as the Stage Manager in a lucrative re-creation of “Our Town,” Mr. Newman’s performance was nominated for a Tony Award, although critics thought it was mod-est. When the play was broadcast on PBS in 2003, he won an Emmy.

This year he had planned to direct “Of Mice and Men,” based on the John Steinbeck novel, in October at the Westport Country Playhouse in Connecticut. But in May he announced that he was stepping aside, citing his health.

Mr. Newman’s last screen credit was as the narrator of Bill Condon’s “Godfather Part III” (2003) and a voice-over in “The Price of Sugar,” released this year. By then he had all but announced that he was through with acting.

“I’m not able to work anymore as an actor at the level I would want to,” Mr. Newman said last year on the ABC program “Good Morning America.” “You start to lose your memory, your confidence, your invention. So this is pretty much the end.”

But he remained fulfilled by his charitable work, saying it was his greatest legacy, particularly in giving alling children a camp at which to play.

“We are such spendthrifts with our lives,” Mr. Newman once told a reporter. “The trick of living is to slip on and off the planet with the least fuss you can manage.”

Mr. Newman and Ms. Woodward were married in 1958, to divorce in 1977. They had two children, Susan and Nell, he is survived by their three daughters, Stephanie, Melissa and Clea; two grandchildren; and his brother. Mr. Newman returned to Broadway for the last time in 2002, as the Stage Manager in a reviv-al of Thornton Wilder’s “Our Town.” The performance was nominated for a Tony Award, although critics thought it was mod-est. When the play was broadcast on PBS in 2003, he won an Emmy.
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America: Washington, Jefferson, Monroe, Mason, and Henry, to name but a few.

Today, as the 110th Congress draws to a close, we say farewell to another great Virginian, a great patriot, public servant, and distinguished colleague whose name history will add to that honor roll: the name of our friend and colleague, Senator JOHN WARNER.

Senator WARNER’s career mirrors those of many Fathers in American ways. During World War II, when freedom was under attack, he enlisted in the U.S. Navy at just 17 years of age.

Following the war, he rejoined civilian life, earned a college degree, and entered law school. At the outbreak of the Korean war, he suspended his studies to serve his Nation once again, this time as an officer in the U.S. Marine Corps.

After he returned from Korea, he completed his law degree but remained an officer in the Reserves, always ready to answer the call of his Nation. Senator JOHN WARNER truly exemplifies the American tradition of the citizen-soldier.

As a civilian, JOHN WARNER continued to serve: as an assistant U.S. attorney, as Under Secretary of the Navy, and as Secretary of the Navy. During his 5 years in the Navy’s Secretariat, he demonstrated another American tradition: a commitment to both military strength and diplomacy.

It is fitting that one so steeped in the best of America’s traditions was chosen by the President, in 1976, to coordinate our Nation’s bicentennial celebrations in all 50 States and in 22 foreign countries.

It was in 1978 that the wise citizens of Virginia sent JOHN WARNER to the U.S. Senate. For 30 years, the people of America have been grateful. The hallmark of Senator WARNER’s service in the Senate has been his absolute and unwavering commitment to a strong national defense. It has been my honor to serve on two committees that bear directly upon that commitment—the Senate Armed Services Committee and the Senate Homeland Security Committee.

As the chairman and ranking member of the Armed Services Committee, Senator WARNER has consistently upheld the pledge he took to defend America when he enlisted in the Navy 63 years ago. His support for our men and women in uniform, for their families, and for our veterans is unwavering. He has been an effective and strong advocate for modernizing our military to meet the challenges of the 21st century.

Senator WARNER also understands that America’s future does not just depend upon defending our Nation against attack. I am proud to have worked with him on climate change legislation, and his leadership on the America’s Climate Security Act with our friend Senator JOE LIEBERMAN, demonstrates his commitment to protecting our environment and to securing our energy future.

Senator WARNER’s career has been defined by his involvement in some of the most pressing issues of our time. But he has also worked hard on those seemingly smaller issues that make a big difference in people’s lives. As just one example, he joined me in authoring the tax deduction for educators who spend their own money on classroom supplies. Whether in uniform or in our classrooms, JOHN WARNER believes those who have earned our gratitude and our support.

Also, as a civilian, JOHN WARNER’s pivotal role at a time when our institution of the Senate was at a threshold of chaos and dysfunction. I refer to his leadership in the so-called Gang of 14, which worked out a compromise on judicial nominations that helped save this institution from what would have otherwise been a very bleak time.

Senator WARNER has continued and enhanced the best traditions of this Nation and of the Commonwealth of Virginia. In the years that must be mentioned, before I conclude my remarks, is his unfailing civility and courtesy toward his Senate colleagues. Regardless of the significance of the issue or the intensity of the debate on any of the colleagues’ feelings, Senator WARNER has always tempered staunch advocacy for his convictions with the utmost respect for the convictions of others.

On a personal note, he has been a wonderful friend and mentor to me, the Senator from Maine. I know all Americans join me today in thanking Senator JOHN WARNER for his dedicated decades of service to his country, whether in times of peace or war, and in wishing him all the best in the years to come.

Thank you, Mr. President.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Virginia.

Mr. WARNER. Mr. President, it is sometimes advisable to be seated on this Senate floor knowing that just maybe 48 hours remain of my career in the Senate. I shall remain in office through early January, but I tell you, it takes me a few minutes to assemble my thoughts. But in your case, I would say: Look at the many things we have worked on together.

This fine Senator is so proud of the Naval installations in her State. We visited the shipyard together, indeed marvelous, located in the end, York. “O Ship of State” — I have that poem on my desk.

At this time, I ask unanimous consent to have that poem printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

O Ship of State

(By Henry Wadsworth Longfellow)

Thou, too, sail on, O Ship of State!
Sail on, O Union, strong and great!
Thou, too, sail on, O Ship of State!

Thou, too, sail on, O Ship of State!

Sail on, O Union, strong and great!

But on a serious note, we have had a magnificent, strong friendship and work relationship, and I shall miss you dearly, as I will this institution. But I do leave with the thought that you are one of the great strengths of this institution which will be called upon, as it is in this hour. The Nation calls upon this body to save.

I was looking last night, as I was trying to drift off to a rest, at the famous poem that was written, “O Ship Of State.” Do you remember that poem? And America today is looking to its Congress like few times in history. “O Ship Of State”—I have that poem on my desk.

At this time, we are unanimous consent to have that poem printed in the RECORD.
Sail on, nor fear to breast the sea!
Our hearts, our hopes, are all with thee.
Our hearts, our hopes, our prayers, our tears.
Our faith triumphant o'er our fears.
Are all with thee—and all with thee!

Mr. WARNER. I see the Senator is desiring to speak.

But those two things remind me that this great ship of State will sail on and you will be at the helm. I wish you the best.

Ms. COLLINS. Mr. President, I thank the Senator from Virginia for his very kind and thoughtful comments. At a time when we are attempting to pay tribute to him, he, of course, is gracious to others.

I thank the Senator from New Jersey for his tolerance on the extra time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senator from New Jersey is recognized.

Mr. MENENDEZ. I ask unanimous consent to speak as in morning business.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. MENENDEZ. Mr. President, I was happy to yield to the distinguished Senator from New Jersey on her recognition of Senator WARNER. I certainly join in her comments about Senator WARNER, as we did recently when the Senator appeared before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee and recognized his tremendous service to this institution and to the country. I often say, as I said to him before at the hearing, that, in fact, I am privileged I came to the Senate at a time when I got to serve with JOHN WARNER and to see some of the finest traditions of service in this country. I appreciate his tremendous service, not just to the people of Virginia but to the people of this Nation.

Mr. WARNER. Mr. President, I thank the gracious Senator from New Jersey. I appreciate those remarks. Although it has been said, as I shared in the past, we have had a good, strong working relationship; not always on the same side on several issues, but that is what democracy is all about. I thank the Senator.

Mr. MENENDEZ. I thank the distinguished Senator from Virginia.

The ECONOMY.

Mr. President, I rise to talk about the financial crisis our country is facing. I think to classify it as such is an understanding most Americans have. It is not an overstatement. The reality shows that today in a Washington Post ABC News poll, most Americans see the current financial situation as a crisis, and there is overwhelming concern that the failure of the House of Representatives to pass the economic recovery package may very well deepen that problem.

I think it is important to note the poll also revealed significant public concern with the bill that Congress rejected yesterday. Few voters have said the package did enough to protect ordinary citizens and nearly half said it did not go far enough to shore up the Nation’s economy. Half said the failed plan did not do enough to help the broader economy, and 61 percent said there was insufficient assistance for the general public.

I think it is important, as we try to move forward in this institution and show some leadership, to keep those realities in mind. We are our constituents back at home are saying. They recognize there is a crisis. They also recognize there is a challenge to them in the mainstream economy, and they feel as though that specific package didn’t do enough. So, my fellow Americans—I would say the great majority of Americans—are who are meeting their obligations with tremendous stress and challenges, who meet their monthly mortgage payments—have for years and have continued to do so. If what they reasonably want to know is what do they get out of this?

As my home State newspaper, the Star Ledger, said: Why, they continue to ask, should taxpayers have to subsidize the stupidity of people who were either too dumb to do their homework or whose homes aren’t in foreclosure?

I think the economists generally agree the Nation’s economy is at a serious risk because of the flow of credit freezing beyond where it is already. We see the interest rates at which banks lend to each other rising each and every day, suggesting that lenders are hoarding cash. I think that gets to the question of what the editorials have said in my home State and others as well: So then what is the case to be made?

Well, with banks leery of lending to each other, credit markets contract, making it impossible to obtain loans for expansion, to start new ventures or even to cover bills until unanticipated revenue comes in; car loans dry up, causing further suffering among the already ailing automakers; credit card interest rates rise, and all those forces, in essence, markets to shed jobs, creating more unemployment. Overall, this bleak fiscal picture causes consumers to scale back on spending, and thus the little shop on Main Street closes as well. That is a broad brush. I would like to focus on the specifics of how that affects us.

When we have watched the news or picked up a newspaper over the last few months, we see top stories about the problems of big institutions: Bear Stearns and Washington Mutual and Wachovia. It has been easy to see what dire straits our financial system is in, but what is not making the headlines is what this economic crisis means for people in our hometowns.

We have a lot about mortgage-backed securities, credit default swaps, and overnight lending rates. To be very honest with my colleagues, to a large number of Americans that is a foreign language—but not about what they actually mean in terms of mortgages, credit card bills, and week-to-week budgets of our families. Those are items which they clearly understand and speak about around the kitchen table, where they face challenges that problem.

I think some of us have been left with a mistaken impression that this crisis is just about Wall Street. I am worried people on every street in this country, who are being powerfully affected by this crisis, are being forgotten.

Now, the heart of this crisis is the housing market. So many houses are going into foreclosure that now it is hard for anybody to get a loan of any kind, to buy a home, to invest in a business or have that business grow, to get a college education. There is a credit freeze so businesses can’t grow. They can’t pay expenses. They can’t look to the future. It is becoming a financial fire. And, not surprisingly, our economy and burning away at the fabric of our communities. The crisis stretches across every city in, for example, my home State, but it is replicated across the landscape of the country, North and South and East and West.

In Newark, there is a single mother who has lost her job and now holds down three different part-time jobs to make up for it, while her kids are at home by themselves. In Clifton, there is a couple who work two jobs and bring in $4,000 a month together, but when the mortgage payment, the car payment, the electricity and gas, utility bills come in, and the grocery bills and the credit card bills come in every month, they worry they can’t make ends meet. In another part of the State, there is a builder who is finding it almost impossible to get funding to keep his business going. Banks want bigger deposits, bigger monthly payments, and stricter payment deadlines.

Today, I wish to focus on what the credit crunch means for every New Jerseyans and American—the jobs, the businesses or anyone who needs a loan to drive a car or go to college—and what it means for those who are closer to the twilight of their life and are thinking about their retirement and what that retirement has meant to them in terms of what is taking place and what will continue to take place if we do not act. I am very well have to extend the time in which they thought they could retire.

Let’s talk about businesses, especially small businesses, because they are the ones that create 75 percent of all the jobs in America. We have always been an entrepreneurial people. We have always had the ideas and are willing to take intelligent risks to start a business, and those businesses are the ones that create jobs. They rent stores. They buy buildings. Those people who work at businesses are gainfully employed in a way that they have income to spend in other businesses for goods and services they
need, which employ other people, and, of course, these businesses pay revenues, to their local, State, and Federal entities. So we can see the cycle of how important they are.

Now, if you want to start a business, this is one of the worst climates in our history to do so. Loans aren’t available, even to people with good credit, and especially not to entrepreneurs who are getting started. So that dream of Americans having business ownership is now forever away, and the credit crunch hurts small businesses. There are those of us who every day are feeling restricted in our spending and frugal when we open our checkbooks. That means we aren’t going, for example, to see this lady at the counter. She is ultimately at the other end of the business cycle. We are restricted in our spending. This is a reality. It is a reality we feel in our lives. We see what is happening in the country. We may already have faced some pressures in our own economic circumstances in a personal family way, so we hold back. We say: Let’s see what will happen. How do I decide? So we restrict our spending and we are frugal when we open our checkbooks. That is probably in many ways smart, but there is also a consequence. That means a lot of us aren’t going out to eat as much, which means the waitresses isn’t getting the tips she depended on to help her family. And the challenges her family has, and owners of that business aren’t getting the checks they depend on, which means restaurants have to either contract dramatically the size of their workforce, or, in the acute set of circumstances, they have to close. It means the local retailer—perhaps from whom we buy the treat we have once a week at the end of a long week or a gift we are buying for a family member or a friend’s birthday—will see depleting sales, with input decreases, they have to decrease their output, and they will be giving pink slips to their employees. It means we see more of this sign that says “store closed” for business. It means the local lunch spot or the barber will not have the same lunchtime rush or the same Saturday appointments. While we certainly can all live without a haircut as frequently or without eating our favorite sandwich, those shop owners depend on our steady output, and they depend on that appointment to make ends meet. When, in fact, that doesn’t happen, there is a consequence to them and those who work there and the families of all who are situated there.

Small businesses don’t have access to capital because banks have severely cut back in lending. So, for example, when my dear father was alive, he was an itinerant carpenter, and he used to go to the lumber shop where he had a little bit of credit to get some supplies as he needed them, and he bought it with the people who hired him—but that lumber store obviously had to get their suppliers and the credit that, in fact, they needed to get those supplies there, to then extend credit to him so he would be able to go ahead and do the job and then get paid and then pay for his supplies and the chain goes on. When, in fact, that chain is broken, there is a consequence, and the consequences can be dire for their employment. There is a ripple effect. It is not only they who lose their employment but all the resources they had in making the purchase of goods and services that ultimately hired other people and who had paid out and who had paid out and who had paid out and who had paid out and who had paid out who needed and who made expenditures. So we see the consequences of that.

In the construction field, for example, we have a set of circumstances where, in fact, you have contractors who get a job in southern New Jersey, but he doesn’t get paid for that job up-front. He makes a bid. It might be a public contract or it might be a private construction project. He doesn’t get paid up-front. So that contractor needs credit.

What does he need the credit for? He needs the credit for the supplies to bring to the job to do the work. He needs credit for floating so that he can keep his payrolls going. The people he has to pay up front every week so they can do the work that creates the home or the building or the business structure that ultimately will pay them, and they will repay their credit from their suppliers and then ultimately be able to make a profit.

Again, all of those construction materials that are provided to that contractor, those people, those entities have credit as it relates to those who provide the supplies that they sell to contractors. So there is, again, an intricate balance of all of these interests coming together in a way that affects the person wearing a hard hat on the front lines of building the infrastructure, the homes, the churches, and the businesses of our community.

Again, the reality: When a credit freeze takes place, the pink slips start getting printed, and the workforce is suddenly unemployed. Now the contractors cannot pay their suppliers, so their cash inventory drops and their ability to issue payroll at the end of the week is also jeopardized, and it pushes more families into the ranks of the unemployed. It is a vicious cycle occurring far away from Wall Street, but it is affecting our families, our neighbors, our friends on Main Street.

The credit crunch changes our ability to shop. Every business to some degree depends on this credit process for what they sell and the supplies they get. We often use our credit cards in the process of purchasing those goods. But when manufacturers cannot get loans that they need to keep the manufacturing process going to create the products that ultimately get consumed at a retail level. Contractors and retailers buy on credit and purchases it from them but gets maybe 30 days, 60 days the manufacturer needs to continue to produce the product so that ultimately it goes to that store where ultimately consumers seek to purchase, in fact, they cannot get the money to keep the product on the shelves, and, of course, the cycle is clear.

For farmers, crop planting depends greatly on the amount of available credit. Farmers cannot plant next year’s crop if they cannot get this year’s loans. So from cranberries to blueberries to all of these other products, everything you buy at the grocery is going to cost more expensive. Some food products may wind up in very short supply. They are going to be more expensive because even if you have a great credit history—as the cranberry bogs in the pinelands of New Jersey—if you have a bad credit history but the credit crunch creates a higher and higher standard for what you will borrow and under what terms and conditions you will borrow, that is going to be reflected ultimately in the end cost of the product we consume on the dining room table.

We have a challenge that is direct for farmers, for family farmers, and for all of us as consumers as we put fruits and vegetables on the table for our families to consume, and that has a direct consequence to us.

Credit cards. As loans become more and more difficult and expensive to get, people will continue to increase their usage of credit cards, and expensive if people have some disposable money that they will pay down their credit card debts. That is a good thing to be doing in these times and not be looking at spending a lot of interest on credit card debt. This is a good time, if you have the resources, to pay down credit card debt.

I know so many families who tell me they are using that credit card as they have transitions in jobs, as they meet some of their challenges. We see credit card interest rates which are already rising, and they will continue to escalate as banks look for ways to recoup the losses resulting from those defaults that are taking place.

This is an issue I raised before about credit card reform. We need to pursue reform in several sectors of our financial industry. We already have credit card debt in this country that collectively equals $850 billion. Now we are seeing the consequences of those who find themselves using their credit cards in this economy who ultimately are facing higher interest rates and, should they be somewhat late, higher fees for their payments for being slightly late. Then we will see a ripple effect of those fees pushing people beyond their limits, and when they get pushed beyond
than we have experienced, and that going to be greeted by one of the worst graduates in the next year or two are looking for a job, at this point, these current circumstances—crushing debt that is only going to rise under the other one. Graduate with enormous debt. They will carry a higher interest rate than school.

If students need loans—and I know in my own community, the first in my family to go to college, if it wasn’t for what we have done in the Federal Government through Pell grants and Perkins loans and also through other loans, I would not have been the first in my family to go to college and then law school.

Students who manage to find loans will carry a higher interest rate than they would otherwise, leaving our graduating debt. We are already seeing so many of our children graduate with enormous debt. They graduate with a diploma in one hand and enormous debt in the other one. That is only going to rise under the current circumstances—crushing debt before they even enter the job market. When they do leave school and start to look for a job, at this point, these graduates in the next year or two are going to be greeted by one of the worst job markets in 5 years. We are already at 6.1 percent unemployment and rising. We will see inaction only create a greater percentage of unemployment than we have experienced, and that will be some of the highest unemployment we have seen in well over a generation.

In addition to burdening young people who are just about to launch their careers, failing to act will exacerbate the already difficult situation facing those who are laying downs their careers and looking forward to retirement. We saw yesterday that the Dow lost the equivalent of $1.2 trillion in value. That is not just about wealthy people who have money to make investments but also about those who have 401(k)s, that is about pension plans that make investments on behalf of their pensioners, that is about a broad breadth of all of us.

Failing to act exacerbates the already difficult situation facing those who are winding down their careers and looking forward to retirement. When I looked before, the Dow was going back up, but the problem is that we see no sense of stability. Losses are real. The Dow; it is the overall S&P performance as well. These problems will see their decades of savings continue to shrink smaller and smaller as their IRAs, 401(k)s, and mutual funds drop in value.

Yesterday’s stock market alone accounted for approximately a $1.2 trillion loss. Without action, those losses will only get worse.

I know that a lot of people do not want to look at their 401(k)s right now, but everyone is going to have to look at them eventually. Those on the cusp of retirement cannot afford to wait several years for the market to stabilize on its own. They will be forced to stay in the job market long after they planned on retiring. That is a cruel reality for people who have worked a lifetime to help create families, build communities, and now find themselves in this challenge as they go into those retirement years, and they find out that their hard work will pay off. These hard-working Americans, who worked hard their whole lives, need us to act in a strong and sensible way to ensure that 30 years of savings do not get largely eliminated within 30 days.

Let’s talk about mortgages, which is at the heart of what our challenges are and the foreclosures that are mounting.

The credit crunch affects your mortgage even if you pay it on time because if you have a mortgage, whether you pay it on time or not, you are going to find it difficult, if not impossible, to refinance your mortgage or to take out a second mortgage if you need it for the college education of your children or, God forbid, there is an illness in your family that isn’t covered by the insurance you have, if you have insurance, or if you are underinsured. You are going to find yourself with higher rates and different lending conditions.

Yesterday, a woman, who is struggling and who are walking away from their homes because there is a padlock on the front door—their loss; you may think they maybe didn’t make the right decisions, maybe they are part of that 6.1 percent unemployment who lost their jobs and now find themselves in a set of circumstances where they cannot meet the mortgage payment, maybe some should have known better. But regardless of the circumstances, whether they lost their job, don’t have the income stream they had before to pay their mortgage, or whether it is because they were led to bad mortgages—I have people come into my Senate office in New Jersey and look at their information, we see they could have been very responsible borrowers at fixed rates, but they were led to mortgage instruments that, yes, were lower at the beginning but ultimately ballooned later. It is a crime that those mortgage lenders drove them to those products, knowing they could have been a very responsible borrower and had the ability to pay a long-term loan at a fixed rate, they led them to those products and had them choose a mortgage product where they find themselves losing their home.

Neighborhoods with foreclosures bring down home values for everyone in that community. I looked at the Center for Responsible Lending, and I looked at what they are saying about some of our challenges. In New Jersey alone, there are approximately 53,000 homes, and rising, in foreclosure. By the way, we are not the worst State in the Nation in this regard but by way of example. What does that mean? That affects neighborhoods and other homes and becomes a multiplier effect of enormous proportions.

When a home forecloses in your neighborhood, the overall value of homes in that neighborhood falls. In New Jersey, that is the equivalent of about an $11,000 loss on your home. Having done absolutely nothing, paying your mortgage, being responsible, you still lost $11,000 on your home because of foreclosure taking place in your neighborhood. When there is a multiplicity of those foreclosures taking place in your neighborhood, it drives the value down even more.

That has a consequence too. When values are driven down, as a former mayor I can tell you that means the ratable base begins to shrink. When the ratable base of all values begins to shrink, that is less taxes being paid. When that happens, there are two decisions to make. Either you cut services—police, fire, education—or you have to raise taxes collectively. Of course, that has a spiraling effect in and of itself.

This foreclosure crisis is very much a reality not only for those who are losing and/or have lost their homes, but it is very real for those of us who still have a home because our home simply isn’t worth as much as we paid for it.

The credit crunch makes it harder to get financing to go buy a home presently. We are a story of someone who, totally responsible, good job, buys a condo and gets preapproved for their...
loan and they sign a contract. But a week before the closing, they are told the market in which they have purchased is declining and now they have to come up with twice the downpayment they had originally been approved for. And if they may move their house in, or if they have any assets to meet the greater downpayment, they now have to make other choices or, if they have any assets, to lose value and affects the values of all other homes in that neighborhood. This has a consequence for all of us. I have tried to outline what some of the challenges are. Let me talk about what I hope we will consider moving toward. As bad as the situation has gotten, with hundreds of thousands of Americans losing their jobs and millions losing their homes, energy and health costs sky-high, with businesses in trouble and loans of any kind incredibly difficult to obtain, most Americans have been morally opposed to the rescue plan leaders in Congress and the administration presented. Most Americans aren’t too interested in a plan that risks rewarding those who got us into this mess, and they are absolutely right to be outraged.

I, personally, as someone who in March of 2007, at a Senate Banking Committee hearing, raised the fact that we were going to face a tsunami of foreclosures, we are already behind the curve and deal with that reality, unfortunately, had the administration say to me at that hearing that it was an exaggeration. Well, unfortunately, we haven’t even seen the crest of that tsunami, and this is the issue that is at the core of our challenge. So I am, personally, incredibly angry that the greatest economy in the world has been brought to this point.

But let us be very clear: Those people who are trying to do this process honestly to bring it to justice, while we consider that, the reality is we are all facing a consequence. That said, the need for accountability doesn’t take away the need for action to rescue the system they damaged. As much as maybe some reckless CEO deserves to lose their job, we can’t watch 2 to 3 million Americans lose their jobs to achieve that result. We can’t let the entire system fail to punish the few who brought us to where we are today.

We have already lost over 600,000 jobs this year alone. We have a 6.2 percent unemployment rate—the highest in 5 years. In some communities, such as the Latino community, it is 8 percent unemployment and rising. We have to be very clear. If the crisis continues, it is going to drastically change our way of life for the worse. So doing nothing is not an option. If we don’t shore up the economy’s foundation, the floor is going to cave in on all of us. We have to do more than put a bandage on the credit market, restore trust in our financial system, and put out this economic wildfire before it is too late.

Once we saw centuries-old financial institutions fail, once we saw our credit markets freeze up and Americans’ savings begin to disappear, the question wasn’t do we have to act, the question was how to craft a plan that would work and build investor’s confidence to play the taxpaying taxpayers who might fund it.

Now, I believe there is something that wasn’t in the plan but that should be included, and I appreciate Senator Specter’s suggestion of it today, which he proposed lifting the current limit on the Federal Deposit Insurance from its current limit of $100,000 to $250,000. He said he believed it would be:

A step that would boost small businesses, make our banking system more secure, and help restore public confidence in our financial system.

Right now, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation guarantees deposits up to $100,000, which is citizen or business. Meaning that if the bank goes down, the Federal Government guarantees your first $100,000 are safe. This would raise that limit, at least for a period of time.

The FDIC has a long history of experience in protecting taxpayers from an infusion of public capital, especially by preferred stocks and warrants. They know what is the right stock and warrant. These are the guarantees for taxpayers. It would stop the flight by small businesses from some banks to those banks that are considered too big to fail but leaves other institutions without the resources to be part of the lending that happens in our community. Deposits would stay in these institutions because there would be newfound confidence, and others would now be depositing their money because they would have a higher insurance and would provide liquidity to lend to those very businesses that may be placing their resources there. Again, these are the small businesses that create 75 percent of all the jobs in the country.

So I hope Congress including that provision. I think it is a good one. Change is a good part of what we are seeking to do with an institution that has a long history of being successful on behalf of the taxpayers.

I also hope we will look at homeowners. I had a pastor in my home community who had been working with not only his congregation but others with his community development to make these homes. We are told that, in fact, we are getting the lenders and the banks to reconsider the mortgages and refinance them with work with people so they can stay in their home and be responsible borrowers. This allows a performing mortgage versus one that is nonperforming and is a negative asset to that bank. So if we can keep people in their homes, making it a performing mortgage and making sure it is, in fact, an asset and not a liability to those institutions, we should do that.

Yet recently we had a situation—one example—of a home in New Jersey with a $239,000 mortgage. The homeowner was in foreclosure crisis. They offered to give $220,000 of the $238,000 through the community development corporation. The bank said no. So they are getting zero. Instead of getting zero, that person will go in to get $220,000 of the $238,000—an $18,000 difference—and they said no. So the community development corporation went to the foreclosure sale and bid the $238,000, the full amount of the mortgage. What did they think? They thought $210,000. So they preferred to have this person go in foreclosure. They bid more than they were even getting on the mortgage, even though they could have been made whole, and at the end of the day they had to pay $210,000, that was just performing. So we need to do a lot better, a lot better at what is the core of the problem.

I think the New York Times said it well when they said:

Homeowners were also given short shrift with provisions that mainly urged lenders and the Treasury to do more to help them. That’s unacceptable. The financial crisis is as much a problem for Wall Street investors as for Wall Street investors. Appeals to lenders’ better natures has not worked to bring lending relief. No. And if they are still not working in the coming months, Congress needs to revisit the issue.

I agree with them totally. It should be a basic principle of our actions now, that if we have to rescue Wall Street from their profit-seeking failures, we should also rescue homeowners, many of whom are in trouble through no fault of their own. Remembering Main Street is beneficial to all of us, and remembering that the value of every house on the block and brings down the broader economy, it doesn’t make sense to simply sign off on a plan that keeps the CEO in their office but kicks a family out of their home.

If we are going to solve the problems that are at the root of the crisis, we have to provide real relief for struggling homeowners. That is incredibly important. One way is through Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. They are now Federal entities. Not only were they federally backed at one time, but they have now been taken over by the Federal Government. They do not need legislation to have a 90-day freeze on mortgages that may be in foreclosure. We can try to rework those mortgages and make them performing loans and keep people in their homes.

Finally, let’s go back to that poll. What did Americans say? They understand this is a crisis, but they don’t see the connection in their lives, and I have to make that. They also didn’t think there was enough in the package to deal with the challenges they face. Therefore, I know our colleagues, many on the other side of the
We should institute a loan program to help jump-start one of the most important engines in America—small business. As I have said before, because of this severe credit crunch, many small businesses—especially those starting out but many well-established businesses—are having trouble finding credit on the private market. I think emergency loans should be available to small business along the lines of what we provide during a natural disaster. This is a pretty big financial storm, and temporary relief can make a big difference. After all, these are the businesses that create 75 percent of America’s jobs.

Tom Friedman put it well when he said:

If our economy were a car, the financial markets would be the transmission, but they’re not the engine. The engine of American prosperity is American innovation. And if we don’t provide courage, we don’t provide capitalization, there must be regulations to help these bad assets or find some other way of what we provide during a natural disaster.

Most importantly, if the Federal Government is either going to take on these bad assets or find some other way of capitalization, there must be regulatory reform. These regulations must be robustly enforced. We can’t have the cop on the beat, which is the regulator, ultimately hitting the snooze button instead of being at their post and making sure we don’t have excesses in the marketplace in a way that ultimately leads us to where we are today.

So we never find ourselves in this position again if we pursue robust regulation and its enforcement. If we do not do that, we will send the message that it is okay for firms to behave recklessly, and we will be forced to follow this challenge further down the line.

I do not mean to say that the move toward a rescue plan, with some of the additions I talked about, whether in that plan or following on, is going to bring the sunlight of prosperity tomorrow. I think no one here should believe that. But the consequences would be far greater.

I think it was said best in the past by President Hoover, “The fundamental business of the country is on a sound and prosperous basis.” Well, we are not on a sound and prosperous basis. It sounds similar to some of the comments being made today. We need to address some of these fundamentals. This in and of itself will not be it.

I hope the Senate will stay even after we meet this challenge in the next day or so, and hopefully the House will follow the leadership that has taken place here. I hope we will understand that there are still challenges in the days ahead. The administration has left us with bad choices, but they are choices, nonetheless, that we have to deal the best and act on in the Nation’s interest.

As a member of the Banking Committee, I agree with Chairman Dodd. We should have sessions to look very closely at the regulations we need, this administration and the one in the future, to have much more courage left to adopt. We need a strong response, but we need one that is well calibrated, has the appropriate oversight, and we want to make sure Main Street is protected as much as Wall Street.

The financial crisis we face is not an academic exercise. I know some people talk about this esoterically. It is not an academic exercise. I hope people do not treat it that way because in an academic exercise, wrong and the consequences are not great. If we think this is an academic exercise and we are wrong, then the consequences will be very significant. It is a threat to our everyday way of life, and if we do not act, we risk the flood of suffering was going over the entire country.

This is one of those moments that each Member of the Senate and each Member of the House must look to determine the courage that is necessary. We have to act in the face of something that is not very popular, obviously.

We might take a page out of John F. Kennedy’s book “Profiles in Courage.” In that book, which is stories of courage that have taken place in this institution and in the other in moments of great importance to the country, he said in that book: In whatever arena of life one meets the challenge of courage, no matter the sacrifices he makes—the loss of his friends, no loss of his contentment, even the esteem of his fellow man—the stories of past courage can teach, they can offer hope, and they can provide inspiration, but they cannot provide courage itself. For this, each man—and, I would add, each woman—must look into his own soul.

Preventing collapse, helping those in need—that is our challenge. I hope that, with some changes and a commitment to do more in the mainstream economy, we will have every Member look in their own soul and provide the courage that is necessary to do what is right for our country and its people.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Connecticut.

Mr. DODD. Before my colleague from New Jersey leaves the floor, I wish to commend him for his comments. I had an opportunity—I was not on the floor the whole time but was in the adjoining offices. Of course, with modern technology, I could listen to each other. I commend him on his speech. It was a very thorough and important hour to take. We have few opportunities which allow us to have a chance to lay this out as the Senator from New Jersey has just done, going back and examining sort of the autopsy of all of this.

We are sort of caught up in the moment and exactly what is happening from moment to moment on the stock market and the bond market, the credit markets across the country and the unemployment numbers. But I think going back and understanding the genesis of this is tremendously valuable. We have some very important and difficult decisions to make in the next few days that are critically important. He has outlined them as well. None of them are perfect. None of us like being here. But we have a challenge in front of us.

I think he did an admirable job of explaining this, of where we have come and the idea of how we come back to the decision we make in the next 24 or 48 hours but also what needs to be done to make sure that we do not find ourselves back here in a matter of weeks or months grappling with even more compound and difficult economic choices.

So I did not want to miss the opportunity to come out and thank you.

Mr. MENENDEZ. I appreciate Senator Dodd’s words, and I appreciate, above all, his leadership on the Banking Committee and here in this institution. You took a document that was sent to us that had no protections, no guarantees, and certainly nothing for the homeowner, and you dramatically made it better. I know you are working to look at what else can be done.

Above all, I appreciate the statements you have made moving beyond the immediate crisis, the leadership you will exert on the committee to have us immediately look at some of these other challenges which are incredibly important for the Nation and for every Member of this body. I appreciate the Senator’s leadership.

Mr. DODD. I thank the Senator for that. The Senator has pointed out, of course, just as the Presiding Officer, his great interest in these matters, and the Senator from New Jersey is, of course, a very worthwhile member of our committee, as is the Presiding Officer.

As we look at these questions, and I intend to do that. In fact, I am not going to wait long in this. I think that on the committee, we will move aggressively—in a matter of days—to examine further as to how we arrived at this situation, No. 1; No. 2, to monitor how the bromide that we have offered by the Secretary of the Treasury, the solution to all of this, is working; and then thirdly, of course, how do we reconstruct or construct anew the architecture for a 21st-century financial services economy or one that depends upon financial services as much as this one?

Clearly, the architecture of our regulatory system, some rules of which go back to the 19th century—many of
course, were adopted in the wake of the Great Depression back in the 1920s and 1930s—needs to be revisited. The world is a very different place today, much more complicated, global in its complexity, and clearly warrants a fresh look at some new structures. And it is my intention, along with my colleagues who serve on the committee and others who are involved in these issues, that we begin our work very quickly to address those questions.

I am a friend and colleague from Virginia. Of course, the irony or ironies is I was just about to talk about him, and this was not prearranged, him arriving on the floor, and he may have some comments to make as the system here allows us to go back and forth. I really came over to commend Senator MENENDEZ, but I have some comments I want to make about my friend from Virginia, but I do not want to deprive him of the opportunity to be heard.

Mr. WARNER. I have been very honored to be on the floor in connection with certain tributes, and I just by coincidence am here. But I am hopeful that the distinguished chairman could maybe tell us, the Senate—I am quite anxious; I have been here throughout the day, most of it—what is the state of the Senate. And last night, when I went home with heavy heart for fear that this situation was of such consequence as to almost every single American, I was trying to reflect, as so often do, on other chapters of history which confronted our great Republic. And I would say, Senator, because this is a global problem, as the chairman knows. I put together some remarks that I thought something of giving on the floor at some point in time. But I went back to a very famous letter. And the reason I raise this, I think my good friend, the Senator from Connecticut, and I have discussed many times the chapter of history during World War II and the role your father played at the conclusion of that war in terms of the Nuremberg Trials. You yourself have written eloquently on this period. So just by coincidence, I went back and thought about the year 1941 and, in particular, January of 1941 when Great Britain at that time was undergoing the full wrath of all of Hitler’s military might. It was one of the darkest hours in the long history of the British Empire.

You recall that Roosevelt penned a short note, a letter to Churchill, and it was hand-delivered to Churchill by Wendell Willkie, who was coincidentally in London. Roosevelt chose the first five lines of that famous poem of Henry Wadsworth Longfellow:

THOU, too, sail on, O Ship of State! Sail on, O UNION, strong and great! Humanity with all its fears,
With all the hopes of future years, Is hanging breathless on thy fate!

And I simply say to those, the leadership of our body and the leadership of the House, they might read that because that is how serious this problem is. It may have some parallels. That was a war, but in a sense we are in an economic titanic struggle to regain, in the United States, the confidence among our citizens—I am not talking about Wall Street or Main Street. I am talking about every citizen—a sense of confidence and how we must henceforth conduct our business for the better, the greater betterment for all Americans, whether they are rich or poor.

I just thought of that stanza. I found a great deal of encouragement and fell off to sleep thinking maybe tomorrow will be a better day. That is the way it seems to me it has been productive. I thank the Senator. I enjoy always talking history with my friend from Connecticut.

Mr. DODD. I love that as well. My colleague from Virginia, during moments of stress and strain over the years, when it looks as though all is lost and we could never come back together, he has pulled me aside in one corner or niche of this building, and I can hear him say over and over again, in the words of Winston Churchill: Never, never, never give in. We are at one of those moments.

Mr. WARNER. The Presiding Officer is a man who is a great student of history. He has shared earlier today about this situation. I think I best yield the floor so you can get down to it. I wish you great luck in all of your work, and good fortune, because it is so vitally important not just for our home but indeed for the whole world.

Mr. DODD. I thank the Senator. This is not a prearranged or staged event. It was my intent at this moment to spend a few minutes talking about my friend from Virginia with whom I have just shared, once again, another memorable moment, as he talks about the moment we are in. That is characteristic of my friend from Virginia. One of the reasons he will be missed, his well-deserved retirement, is that throughout my 28 years here—actually I have known JOHN WARNER a bit longer than that, but we have served here together for almost three decades—in every moment I can think of that we have been in a moment not unlike the moment we are in—none quite so grave economically—it has always been the posture and position of JOHN WARNER to see this body not as one that is divided by this architect that serves the party, which must confound and confuse the public as they look at us, wondering if we ever begin to think of ourselves as Americans with a great privilege of serving in this historic institution, that we would come together to find solutions to problems.

It has been characteristic of JOHN WARNER, from the first moments I have known him, to always see this divide as being sort of a silly barrier; that it probably would be a wise, although probably not a welcome idea, that the seating arrangements ought not to be based on party but maybe some other configuration where you actually have
to sit next to someone you may disagree with or of a different party from time to time. That, in itself, may serve as a crucible in which better decisions might be reached.

I am going to miss him very much on many levels. We worked together on a number of committees together over the years, not by choice but by circumstance. Yet on those occasions, I have enjoyed immensely the work of JOHN WARNER. There have been times when I would remind myself when we haven’t shared a philosophical standpoint in common over the years. But on levels far more significant and far more important to me—and I would hope with other Members as well—my relationship with JOHN WARNER is one based on a love of this institution, the importance of it. The hope and the aspirations of a people depend upon it.

That, more than anything else, is what I have enjoyed so much about working with JOHN WARNER, his reverence for this body.

I will use the words of John Stennis, the former chairman of the Armed Services Committee—the position which JOHN WARNER now holds—who spoke at a Democratic caucus meeting. He paused for a second and said nothing at all, and the room quieted, as you might imagine, to a stillness. The first words of John Stennis were: I am a Senate man.

I thought, what a remarkable moment, to hear the discourse of this institution, with those of us who were new, by describing himself as a person of this institution.

JOHN WARNER is a Senate man. He has done many things of great import in his life. But if I were to be asked by people what is a good example of personal anecdotes.

If I could, one is my great friend from Nebraska, CHUCK HAGEL, with whom I have served on both the Banking and the Foreign Relations Committees for the past 12 years, truly a wonderful person. We have worked together on a number of issues.

He got his first job at 9 years of age when he began to help his family economically. He was 16 when his dad died and took over raising his family along with his mother. I believe most of my colleagues are aware that he was a true hero of the Vietnam war. He saved his brother who, in fact, was serving with him in that conflict.

He has done a remarkable job in his public service years as well. We serve on the Foreign Relations Committee together and the Banking Committee. Whether the issue has been Iraq, Serbia, or Croatia, Cuba, regardless of who comes before our committee, no one asks tougher questions or gets straighter answers than CHUCK HAGEL.

On Cuba, for instance—again, an explosive issue politically—CHUCK and I offered a resolution to end the embargo in Cuba because we agreed that the current policy toward the island has failed the Cuban people and the American people alike and because we refused to let America wait on the sidelines while the future of one of our closest neighbors is determined by others.

Is that kind of courage that he brings to the debate, kind of blows it all apart? What is the right thing for our country and, in this case, the people of Cuba?

On the Banking Committee, Chuck and I worked for months to reinvent the infrastructure of our Nation with the creation of the infrastructure bank, 2½ years developing that bill. In fact, it was CHUCK who convinced me we ought to announce the outcome of our work one day in August last year. I argued with him a bit. I said: No one will pay any attention to announcing an infrastructure bill in August. Who wants to hear about infrastructure in August.
CHUCK said: No, let’s have that press conference and let people know that we are doing.

We met in the gallery at 10 a.m. I think we had two reporters who showed up. I said: I think I was right. CHUCK. No press conference.

By 5 o’clock that afternoon, CHUCK HAGEL and I were on every TV screen in America because, regrettably, of the great tragedy in Minneapolis that occurred after that afternoon. The bridge collapsed. Of course, infrastructure was the subject matter for the next weeks to come. So, once again, CHUCK HAGEL understood the timing of an issue in bringing it up and how important it was for our Nation. Little did we know that tragedy would fall on interstate 35-W over the Mississippi River.

There again was CHUCK HAGEL, standing with a colleague of a different background, putting aside ideology and politics to work together to find new and innovative ways to address this Nation’s most urgent priorities. That is CHUCK HAGEL, a remarkable person and a very good Senator over the years. Patriotic, never partisan, tough but fair, always engaged, sometimes even confrontational, but never, ever boring, a strong Member. This institution will miss CHUCK’s ability to transcend politics and serve the American people. As such, the people of Nebraska deserve our thanks for sending CHUCK HAGEL to serve with us over these past 12 years. I will miss him. We all appreciate him very much. He served our Nation very well during his service.

PETE DOMENICI

The last Member I want to talk about is PETE DOMENICI with whom I have had the privilege of working on so many issues over the years. In fact, only a few weeks ago I was honored to be asked to come and speak on behalf of PETE DOMENICI in Las Cruces at New Mexico State University where the Center for Public Policy is named for PETE DOMENICI. It was quite a gathering at which I was the keynote speaker, where PETE was being recognized for his contribution to the State and our country.

Jim Baker, former Secretary of State, spoke at the conference as well over that weekend. It was quite a gathering of people from that State to express their appreciation for PETE’s 36 years of serving the people of his home State. We have a legislative record that is clear and almost without peer in many ways.

Because of PETE DOMENICI our country will soon recognize that mental illness is as serious as any physical illness. He, TED KENNEDY and Paul Wellstone were so pivotal in making us all aware of how important this issue is. Without PETE’s leadership, I don’t think this would have happened. Without PETE going to his colleagues and saying: Let me tell you about my family—how we have to talk about his own family and what they have been through—it has made a difference. Today millions of people will benefit as a result of PETE’s leadership on an issue that is going to make a difference in their lives. Because of PETE’s leadership, candidates for President in both parties now acknowledge that we have to be serious about doing something about global warming; again, serious about reducing emissions, ending our dependence on oil.

Again, JOHN WARNER and PETE DOMENICI are classic examples of people who step out of what you might normally associate them with on an issue and go get a different issue, almost overnight, because they said this is worthy of our attention and certainly serious, so serious that it demands action.

Thanks to PETE’s relentless vigilance, I am confident that safe and secure nuclear energy, which I happen to be a supporter of as well, will play a large role in helping us address one of our largest problems in the years ahead. Because of PETE, last year over 40 million Americans were studied to find what character means in the classroom. PETE and I are the authors of that idea. It started out as a small idea in his State and my State, to insist that part of the day, on the athletic field, or in the classroom, for some 15 minutes—students embrace one of the six pillars of great character and make it a part of the seamless garment of a classroom.

Today, as I say, in 51 counties, as well as in practically every State, Character Counts is there, to help children learn early on the importance of what honesty and integrity mean, among the other pillars of good character.

Yet when we talk about PETE and what he has accomplished for our communities and our country, we would be doing a great disservice if we were to sum up his legacy as some series of issues. My affection for these Members of Congress is not about transcending the substantive issues which they have championed over the years. It goes deeper than that.

PETE’s contribution to the Senate will be measured in a volume of bills he introduced with a number of votes he took: some 13,000, by the way, for which I think there are only 8 or 10 Senators who have a similar record.

But who PETE DOMENICI is, is much more than that. Long before he was a Senator or a Speaker, father and husband. He grew up in a remarkable family, an immigrant family to our country—the classic American story. Many of our fellow colleagues can tell similar tales of how they arrived in this great Nation of ours and the contributions they have made.

Long before he dreamt of becoming chairman of the Senate Budget Committee, PETE was a boy counting pennies at his father’s grocery business in New Mexico. So often we hear about politicians’ negativity—and it breeds cynicism, too much, frankly. But in my experience, the most effective legislators have remarkable strength and an inner confidence. That is PETE DOMENICI in so many ways.

You only need to know his wife Nancy, whom Jackie and I have gotten to know—they are neighbors of ours on Capitol Hill. We have had wonderful family gatherings, as they would embrace and cherish the new arrivals of our family, my two daughters, and we are losing just not a colleague but a neighbor and a friend and a person I care deeply about.

Together, these two people, Nancy and PETE, have raised eight wonderful children. As one of six myself, their house reminds me so much of growing up in my own house—kids, very independent thinkers, all challenging their parents on every imaginable subject matter, and then going out the door and parroting their parents’ positions on every issue—the parents never to appreciate the fact that their words were actually carrying the day. It can be messy in those households, but it is never boring, and certainly never so in the Domenici household.

That is why there is one legislative accomplishment that best captures PETE DOMENICI, and that is the Character Counts bill that we started together in 1994. Character Counts was founded on a simple notion: that core ethical values are not just important to us as individuals, they form the foundation of a democratic society as well.

Values like trustworthiness and respect, responsibility and fairness, caring and citizenship are at the core of who PETE is as a human being. Despite the fact that it was PETE’s own family, heritage, and faith that taught him character’s importance—his mother, the nuns in his Catholic school—he recognized something that too often gets lost today: that in a society that celebrates our differences—our heritage, our personal interests as individuals—character is the one thing that transcends them, whether they be cultural, religious, economic, or social.

Somewhere along the way we lost that as a country. We forgot how important character is to the strength of our families, our communities, our institutions, and who we are as individuals.

Quite frankly, when PETE retires at the end of this year, in a matter of days now, I am worried we will be losing a piece of that from the institution in which he and I serve—the value that has brought this subject matter and so many others.

So let me say thanks to PETE for his warmth and friendship, and I wish him and Nancy the very best in the years to come. He is a remarkable individual, and one who will make a difference wherever he decides to do with the remainder of his life. I thank him for all of his contributions, and I look forward...
to seeing him and Nancy as often as we can in the years to come.

WAYNE ALLARD

Mr. President, I, again, want to say a kind word or two about WAYNE ALLARD as well, who is retiring. We serve on the Banking Committee together. He has a wonderful family history dating back decades in Colorado. Some of the earliest arrivals from the East were the Allard family in northern Colorado. That family has made wonderful contributions.

Wayne has been a wonderful member of the Banking Committee. We have not spent a lot of time on many issues together, but I can tell you, on issues such as regulatory reform and working together to see we had a good housing bill last summer, WAYNE ALLARD was a very constructive and positive member, and he can be very proud of his contribution to this body.

Certainly, as to the landmark Transportation bill we sent to the President just a few years ago, WAYNE ALLARD was as much responsible for that as any Member of this body, coming from a State where you normally would not think of transportation issues, certainly not mass transit issues as being pivotal. But WAYNE ALLARD played a very important role in all of that.

So to WAYNE ALLARD, his wife Joan, and their family, I wish them the very best as their retirement years.

Again, Mr. President, to my friend, JOHN WARNER, a special thanks, my dear friend. Now, when they say there has been a white-haired Senator roaming around the floor, they will not have to guess whether it is the guy from Virginia or the guy from Connecticut, unless someone else arrives here with a full head of white hair. So to the white-haired caucus, again to JOHN WARNER, I thank you, dear friend.

Mr. WARNER. Mr. President, I thank our distinguished colleague.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I see the distinguished majority leader.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I am going to give a speech regarding Senator WARNER in just a minute.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be discharged.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

JOHN WARNER

Mr. REID. Mr. President, it is very standard in the Senate, we say “the distinguished gentleman,” and it says that a lot, and we mean it. But it is never more meaningful than when you refer to JOHN WARNER as a distinguished gentleman because that says it all. If there were ever a distinguished gentleman, JOHN WARNER is that person.

I can remember when I first came to the Senate 22 years ago, I was so fortunate. I was placed on the Environment and Public Works Committee. JOHN WARNER, even though he had been here a while, was one of the relatively new members of that committee. Some people had been there for so long. John Chafee was the ranking Republican on that committee. What a wonderful man he was. With JOHN WARNER, he took such good care of me. He looked out for me. I sat on the other side of the dais, but he took good care of me. We were able to do some good things.

I was fortunate, I was subcommittee chairman on the war. Senator WARNER will probably remember this. We worked on a number of things. One of the things we worked on was Alar. It was a product that people sprayed on cherries, apples, grapes to keep them from falling off the trees and vines more quickly. We legislated and legislated, and we were never able to get anything passed, but we accomplished what we set out to do because through the hearing process we focused so much attention on this that people stopped using it.

JOHN WARNER is a distinguished gentleman. There is no more distinguished gentleman than the man we refer to as JOHN WARNER—JOHN WILLIAM WARNER. I love his story about his dad who was a physician.

When JOHN was 17, he had in his heart that it was important to wear the uniform of the American service-man. He volunteered for the Navy so he could be a doctor. He says he did not do any fighting, but he would have if he had been called upon to do so.

After his first tour of duty, he returned home to his native Virginia, where he attended Washington and Lee University on the GI bill, and then the University of Virginia Law School, which, by the way, then and is now a very difficult school to get in. It is always rated as one of the top 10 law schools in the country. He says he did not do anything that would make him regret the choice.

His legal studies were interrupted again to be in the U.S. military, this time as an officer in the Marine Corps during the Korean War. His 10 years in the Marine Corps earned him the rank of captain, CAPT JOHN WARNER.

When he completed law school, he was selected as a law clerk by one of the outstanding and historic circuit court judges: E. Barrett Prettyman. What a name: E. Barrett Prettyman. But those of us who have been in the practice of law have always recognized that Prettyman wrote some pretty opinions. He was a renowned lawyer and, of course, now we have a Federal courthouse named after Judge Prettyman as a result of his being such an outstanding judge. JOHN WARNER worked for him.

After 4 years as an assistant U.S. attorney, JOHN WARNER was appointed and confirmed as Under Secretary of the Navy, then as Secretary of the Navy.

Then, one of my fond memories of JOHN WARNER is his telling a story. He was Under Secretary; John Chafee, whom I had the good fortune to serve with in the Senate, was the Secretary of the Navy. The Vietnam war was ongoing. They were asked by the Secretary of Defense, Melvin Laird, to come down and see what was going on at the Capitol Mall. So, as Senator WARNER said, they left their Cadillac somewhere else that was supplied to the Secretary and the Under Secretary, and they took off their fancy clothes and came down to the Capitol Mall. As they look around there were tens of thousands of people here, tens of thousands—hundreds of thousands of people at the Mall. They were demonstrating against the war. Frankly, after listening to the speeches and watching the crowd and seeing the fervor of the crowd, both Secretary Chafee and Under Secretary WARNER returned to the Pentagon and recommended to Melvin Laird that he better take a close look at this war, that things would have to change based on their observation of what was happening on the Capitol Mall that day.

That is JOHN WARNER perfectly described: Someone who gathers the facts, and after having an understanding of the facts, issues his honest opinion as to what is going on. He and John Chafee, two wonderful human beings, two dedicated servants of the U.S. military returned back to the Secretary of Defense and said: Things have to change.

After serving in the Department of the Navy, he did a number of other things. But the story I try to tell is, I repeat, a real JOHN WARNER portrayal because he is always eager to listen to all sides of an issue. He is always willing to part from conventional wisdom in order to do the right thing, and then once he says he is going to do something, that is it. So after serving in the Department of the Navy, he decided he wanted to accept the chairing of the national coordinator for America’s bicentennial celebration in 1976. As my colleagues know, there are a lot of things that happened during that period of time under his leadership. But as a little side story, there is a story about Virginia City, NV, Virginia City, NV, at one time was a thriving place of some 30,000 or 40,000. It was the reason Nevada became a State so far ahead of most Western territories. In 1864, we became a State. But as part of his bicentennial duties, he did a tour there, and he did a tour there when you have a job such as his, raising money and giving speeches, he was asked to go to Virginia City, this historic place in Nevada. He had never been there. It is a very winding road to get up there, and it is a dangerous road. But he was looking forward to being there because one of the patrons in the area—there are some people who are wealthy in Virginia City—decided to have dinner in honor of the bicentennial celebration. So JOHN WARNER returned to Virginia City, which now, by the way, is not 30,000 or 40,000; it is a very small community of maybe, if we are lucky,
JOHN WARNER didn't agree with, and he league in the Senate, somebody whom was his colleague. A person was run-ning as a Republican against his col-league, who was a Democrat. The person who is a Republican from Virginia would support. JOHN WARNER is a person who has class. He has clout and he has tremen-dous courage. JOHN WARNER was sitting as a Senator. A Democratic Senator was his colleague. A person was run-ning as a Republican against his col-league in the Senate, somebody whom JOHN WARNER didn’t agree with, and he said so. That takes courage. Think about that. You are a Republican from a Republican State. You are sitting with a Democrat. The person who is the nominee for the party is somebody whom you would think the senior Sen-ator from Virginia would support. JOHN WARNER, as a matter of conscience, couldn’t do that, and he didn’t. Every-body thought that Mr. WARNER. He will never get reelected.’’ But, of course, it only caused his popu-larity to grow in the State of Virginia because they know JOHN WARNER is a person who supports people for whom they are, what they do, not any polit-ical party at all. JOHN WARNER is a person whom you would think the senior Sen-ator from Virginia would support. JOHN WARNER was elected in 1978 to the first of five terms representing the Commonwealth of Virginia. Three years ago, he became the second long-est serving Senator in the history of the Commonwealth of Virginia. It is without any elaboration or fluff of any kind that now, in his 30th year as a Senator, JOHN WARNER has rightly earned the reputation as one of Amer-ica’s all time great legislators. He is an expert in a number of different areas: national security. He is a champion for the men and women in the military, there is no question about that; he served as chairman and now, the rank-ing member of the Armed Services Committee; he is a leader on envi-ronmental issues; he served as long-time senior member of the Environ-ment and Public Works Committee, where I had the pleasure of serving with him. JOHN WARNER is going to return to private life at the end of the year. The family, our family, our Senate family will lose a tremendous leader and friend. In a place where one’s integrity is paramount, I have not known anyone more honest and honorable than JOHN WILLIAM WARNER. I have served throughout my career with lots of peo-ple at city level, county level, State level, in the House of Representa-tives, and in the Senate with hundreds and hundreds of men and women. There may be, JOHN WARNER, people who are as honest and as honor-able as you, but never have I met any-one more honorable and more honest than the Senate. I have been grateful to you for your service. Even though the people of Nevada don’t know you, if they did, they would be as grateful as I am for what you have done for our country: Dedicated service in the Senate, in the Armed Services Committee, for the cause of democracy. He knows everybody. I was talking to him the day before yesterday when Paul Newman died. I said: Did you know Paul Newman? He said: Yes. My son went with his daughter for a couple years. I said to him: Was his daughter as pretty as Paul Newman was hand-some? He said: More so. That kind of speaks to his son, too, doesn’t it? JOHN WARNER, a man who had an es-tate, a number of years ago to no longer have that and moved into the city. I wish I had the words to express, to communicate, to tell him of my affection, my admira-tion. But even though I may not be able to express it very well, I want JOHN WARNER to know that JOHN WARNER will always be in my heart. The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. SALAZAR). The Senator from Virginia is recognized. Mr. WARNER. Mr. President, I think sometimes Senators should be seen and not heard from. That might be this mo-ment for me. I am deeply moved and ask for the Secretary, not me, and the President of the Senate, at this time. As I was speaking to Senator DODD about how and when both of us have an interest in the great events of our Nation, we talked about the chal-lenges facing America tonight and how fortunate we are to have leaders such as yourself and Senator MCCONNELL on this side of the aisle and Senator NADER on the other side of this situation. I am glad we didn’t dwell on those heavy matters. We touched on the light ones as we talked together. How well I remember you as the chairman of the committee; you remember we worked on batteries. For some reason, the lead battery was the center focus at that time. Mr. REID. I say to my friend, now it is your turn. Mr. WARNER. That is right. But we got some money and put it into re-search of batteries, which hopefully might be contributing in the future to our deliverance from the problems we have with reliance on foreign oil and greater use of our motor vehicles oper-ated by natural gas. But I could go on. Mr. REID. Mr. President, could I inter-rupt my friend and say one thing? I wish to say this because I try not to be envious. Envy is not anything that is good, but I have to admit that I am so envious of your hair. I mean, for a man—I mean, I am envious. I have to acknowledge that. It is great. I wish I could get up in the morning and go to the mirror and have that. Mr. WARNER. I am about breathless at the moment, but if you will spare me a minute to tell a story about that. My mother lived to be 96 years old and she bequeathed this to me. But I can tell you a number of times calls come into my office and people will inquire and ask for the Secretary, not me, and they will say my husband has a bit of a problem, but it can be solved if the Senator would say where he gets his wig. So I am not—that is true. It has happened about a dozen times in my 30 years. So that is one of the great things. Mr. REID. So you will forgive me of my envy? Mr. WARNER. Yes. Mr. REID. Thank you. Mr. WARNER. But I thank my distin-guished leader. I also wish to say, on behalf of my wife, the deep affection our two wives have. They have been privileged to serve the responsibility of shepherding the annual event for the First Lady. When that occurred in my house, everything stopped. I mean all engines, everything. The total focus for weeks was that luncheon. I think my wife succeeded your wife. Mr. REID. That is right. Mr. WARNER. My wife learned the meticulous manner in which your wife planned that event. But the wives play a vital role in this institution. While we sit here and have what I call the good old democracy, we have a chance to get together and all is forgotten. That is the strength of this Institution. I thank my good friend. I do not de-serve the rich remarks he made, but I accept them in the sense that he made them. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Sen-ator from Illinois.
can lose, or you can die. They have chosen the best of the three options, to leave of their own will.

The first Senator to whom I wish to pay tribute is on the floor. That is Senator JOHN WARNER of Virginia. I have listed the tributes from former Senators HARRY REID and CHRIS DODD and so many others and I join in the chorus. I will not recount JOHN’s illustrious career and service to our country. But he was kind enough a few weeks ago, when I called and said I do a cable show, can I drop by his office, and he agreed to it. We have captured forever, in this little cable show I do, his office. Some of the memorabilia tell the story of his life and the story of Virginia and the U.S. Navy, I might add, and he also shared so many great stories of his service to our country in so many different capacities—in the Navy, in the Marine Corps, in the President’s Cabinet, and in the Senate.

I think of JOHN WARNER and his gentle manner. He is a gentleman. I hope he will not think back to the Senate of the past but perhaps an inspiration of the Senate of the future because his friendship transcends party label.

There have been times in the Senate when I have felt alone with his independence, that he looks at issues honestly and directly and sometimes has broken from the ranks of his fellow Republicans when he felt it was necessary. I know he thinks long and hard before he makes his decisions.

There have been times when he showed extraordinary leadership during this contentious debate over this war in Iraq. He and Senator LEVIN exemplified the very best in the Senate. Even when they disagreed, they were deferential to one another’s feelings and interests. Yet they served the national purpose by engaging in a meaningful, thoughtful debate on an extremely complex issue.

During the course of the last several years—JOHN WARNER may not remember this, but I will never forget it—when I got into hot water on the floor of the Senate for words that were spoken. JOHN WARNER was one of the first to come to me afterward. He put an arm on my shoulder and said: Look, we all make mistakes. Carry on.

I know it is probably something he has forgotten, but I never will. I thank him for that generous spirit and compassion. I hope he will be part of my public service career in the future, as has exemplified his own. He showed courage so many times and foresight that will be part of his legacy.

As HARRY REID mentioned, the courage that he put in his own home State against all the odds and to take on a member of his own party with whom he disagreed in a very public way, that wasn’t missed. We noticed all across America that you were willing to show that kind of courage.

In the Senate recently, if Senator BARBARA BOXER was on the floor—if she hasn’t already done it, I am sure she will when she returns—she will tell you, were it not for JOHN WARNER’s leadership, the debate on the issue of global warming would not have gone forward in the Senate this year. Both Senator WARNER and Senator LIEBERMAN stepped up and found a bipartisan agreement to deal with this issue. We did not pass it. I wish we had. But we certainly engaged in debate many thought was impossible. We brought it to the floor. We engaged the Senate and the American people in a thoughtful discussion of an issue that will be here for generations to come.

I consider it a great honor to have served with JOHN. I think he is an exceptional individual. Virginia was lucky to have him as their voice in the Senate for 30 years. America was lucky to have him in service to our country in so many different capacities.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Virginia?

Mr. WARNER. Mr. President, I thank my colleague for his very thoughtful remarks. Our relationship has been one that included both wives. I recall an event we attended, and immediately the next morning my wife received a call from an avid reader, stayed in that book for the evenings that went on for a week or so. That is the way this great institution works. It is not all on the floor before the television cameras.

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, we are honored being the home of your mother’s birthplace. I failed to mention one other bill that I think is so important, and that is the extraordinary assistance Senator WARNER gave to his colleague, Senator WEBB, when it came to the new GI bill. That bill passed, and it will enable veterans of the wars of so many veterans and their families because we stepped forward in a bipartisan fashion. It was the first thing Senator WEBB said to me as a new Senator was his goal, and he would be the first to tell you he could never have achieved it without the support of his colleague from Virginia.

Mr. WARNER. Mr. President, how thoughtful to raise that, not in the context of this Senator but Senator WEBB. I have great respect for him, particularly his military career, which is extraordinary, where mine is of far less consequence. I joined him. He was the leader on that legislation. I always said I was the sergeant in the mere ranks of his platoon. But it did, and it enabled me to add one more chapter to what I have tried to do so much: to repay to the current generation, the men and women who very bravely wear the uniform, all the wonderful things they did for previous generations of men and women who wore the uniform from whom I learned so much throughout my entire career and public life.

This is landmark legislation, I say to my good friend from Illinois. It is something that is well-deserved for the men and women and their families. I commend you for bringing up that about our good friend and colleague, Senator Warren.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

CHUCK HAGEL

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, 12 years ago when I came to the Senate, I was joined by a new Senator from Nebraska, CHUCK HAGEL. CHUCK became a hero to this country and we have worked together on a number of issues over the years. He also, in a weak moment, agreed to do my cable show. I went to his office. We talked about his background; first, his service in Vietnam, something I personally admire. He showed in volunteering to serve in our Army, and then coming together with his brother in the same unit and both of them under fire. Both of them served our country in combat. He came back a successful businessman. He went on to serve the people of Nebraska and eventually to serve in the Senate.

We have worked over the years together. I have always found him to be a gentleman. His word is good, and he has the courage to step up and take a position once in a while that may not be popular, even in Nebraska.

I know his leadership on the issue of the war in Iraq will be remembered because during the last 2 years when we struggled to find a way to bring this war to a close, he is one who would cross the aisle and join us in an effort to find a reasonable way to end this conflict in an honorable manner. I respect him so much for that. I have one special little measure of gratitude for CHUCK HAGEL. There is a bill I introduced which is as near and dear to me as any I considered. It is called the DREAM Act, to give legal status to children of undocumented parents, grew up as Americans, never knowing any other life, any other culture, maybe not knowing any other language but English, and now find themselves graduating high school with no country. They are told officially by American law they are not wanted or needed and asked to leave. They have nowhere to go. This is home. They want a chance, just a chance to be part of America’s future in a legal way.

This DREAM Act has been controversial because it relates to immigration,
and that is not an easy issue. CHUCK HAGEL stepped up and cosponsored that legislation with me, and I will never forget it. It meant a lot for him to show that kind of courage.

Even though we did not prevail, somewhere, I believe that when that day comes, I will honor him on the floor for his exceptional courage on this matter that means so much to so many young people across our country.

PETE DOMENICI

PETE DOMENICI of New Mexico has been an institution in the Senate for many years. It has been a pleasure to serve with him for 12. I once visited New Mexico and went to a roadside stand where they sell these Christmas wreaths made out of chili peppers. There was a Mexican-American lady. I started to buy the Christmas wreath to take home to my family, and I said to her: So I understand you have a Senator in this State named DOMENICI. Oh, I love new Mexico, she said, and went on and on about what a great man he was, how much she liked him. She said: You know, I am a Democrat, but I am a Domenici Democrat. I always voted for PETE. I think he is a good man.

He is a good man. He and his wife Nancy have raised a good family. He has done so many things. He feels passionate about so many issues, but the one I wish to particularly credit him for leadership on is the issue of mental health. PETE.

He and Paul Wellstone stood up on that issue when nobody else would. Paul passed away 6 years ago in a plane crash. We have continued to find a way to pass that bill. We still have a chance in the closing hours of this session, and I hope we do.

In a magnanimous gesture, PETE came forward and said this should be known as the Wellstone-Domenici bill; Paul immediately reserved top billing on it. I am glad he did that. It showed character and the kind of man he is.

We need to pass that bill before we go home. But this Nation's Capitol is work here. But this Nation's Capitol is a great American treasure. WAYNE.

WAYNE ALLARD

The last is WAYNE ALLARD. WAYNE ALLARD is a man of few words who made a promise to the people of Colorado that he would not run for reelection, and he kept his word. He did not stand for reelection this year. WAYNE and I had an interesting responsibility, assignment, to deal with the legislative appropriations bill. It does not get a lot of attention because it just deals with Capitol Hill and the people who work here. But this Nation's Capitol is a great American treasure. WAYNE took it seriously. He held more than 90 meetings on this topic to brainstorm ideas about this Capitol and the new Capitol Visitor Center. He asked the hard questions and did it in a respectful, gentlemanly way. He was honored to sit next to him and to participate in those hearings.

I came to know him and his family and respect him. We get to see one another in the Senate gym in the morning. I go there in the morning for no other reason, but I get to at least socialize with WAYNE and a number of other colleagues. I am going to miss him and wish him the very best.

Those Senators leaving our ranks leave a void for this Senator from Illinois. The fact that I have been able to serve with them, know them, and count them as friends, I count as one of the real blessings of my service in the Senate.

WALTER J. HAGEL III of Nebraska, Mr. President, I had occasion to share my thoughts about the Senator from Virginia before and do not intend to expand on those remarks at this point other than to note that I think all of us, particularly those of us who are new, very much feel we are graced by this institution and by the opportunity we have to serve in it. Some of us have the opportunity to grace it back, and Senator WARNER of Virginia has certainly done that.

I ask unanimous consent that at the conclusion of my remarks, the distinguished Senator from Iowa, Mr. GRASSLEY, be recognized.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. WARNER. Mr. President, I wish to express my, as always, to my good friend. This man will leave his mark in this institution. I tell all that with a great sense of pride, as will the Presiding Officer. I have come to know him and work with him on many occasions.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Rhode Island.

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Mr. President, I had occasion to share my thoughts about the Senator from Virginia before and do not intend to expand on those remarks at this point other than to note that I think all of us, particularly those of us who are new, very much feel we are graced by this institution and by the opportunity we have to serve in it. Some of us have the opportunity to grace it back, and Senator WARNER of Virginia has certainly done that.

I ask unanimous consent that at the conclusion of my remarks, the distinguished Senator from Iowa, Mr. GRASSLEY, be recognized.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. WARNER. Mr. President, I wish to express my, as always, to my good friend. This man will leave his mark in this institution. I tell all that with a great sense of pride, as will the Presiding Officer. I have come to know him and work with him on many occasions.

I yield the floor.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE INVESTIGATIONS

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Mr. President, I am particularly gratified to be speaking about this now because you, the distinguished Senator from Colorado, were formerly the attorney general from Colorado at a time when I was the attorney general of Rhode Island, and I just want to make a quick point.

We all recall the very unfortunate tragedy, really, that befell the Department of Justice as a result of the failure of the management level which culminated in the forced retirement—the firing, if you will—of a significant number of U.S. attorneys for political reasons. The fallout from that disaster has obviously been profound: the Attorney General resigned, the entire top structure of the Department of Justice is gone, and a lengthy investigation has taken place.

In the last 2 days, the Office of Inspector General at the Department of Justice and the Office of Professional Responsibility of the Department of Justice have released their report. It is about this big—it is 368 pages, I think—and I have been through it.

First of all, I want to compliment the Office of Inspector General and the Office of Professional Responsibility on the work they did. It is an exhaustive, thorough, and profound piece of investigative research. But what sticks out more than anything else from that report to me is the fact that former White House lawyers and investigators were interviewed. The former counsel, a lawyer, to the White House refused to be interviewed. The President’s political adviser refused to be interviewed. More than that, the White House itself refused to provide internal e-mails relevant to this investigation to the Department of Justice.

We have been denied those things on grounds of executive privilege, but there is no executive privilege between the White House and an investigative agency. So there were no grounds for refusing to cooperate and refusing to provide those materials. There was no legal justification for it. They just said no.

Worse still, as the Presiding Officer knows, there is an office within the Department of Justice known as the Office of Legal Counsel—I repeat, within the Department of Justice. The Office of Legal Counsel itself refused to provide to the Office of Inspector General and the Office of Professional Responsibility in this investigation. It was a triple stonewall—the former White House officials, the White House itself, and the Office of Legal Counsel with respect to this investigation. As a result, the inspector general’s report itself concludes that their investigation was hampered—that is their word—that their investigation was hindered—that is their word—and that there were gaps left in this investigation as a result of the failure of the White House to cooperate and instruct the OLC not to produce the document. And indeed, one of the people who refused to cooperate—a former White House lawyer, former White House Counsel Miers—indicated that the reason she wasn’t was because to cooperate with this would be inconsistent with White House instructions not to cooperate with Congress.

So here is the point, Where is the Attorney General in this? You have been an attorney general; I have been an attorney general. What happens when you are in charge of an investigation and your investigators are hampered and hindered in their investigation in a way that leaves gaps in the investigation as a result of noncooperation by your own administration? What do you do? We were elected to our positions as attorney general. We would have known what to do.

I think this is a very important moment in the history of the Department of Justice. It is a contest of wills between the White House refusing to cooperate and the Department of Justice going about its legitimate investigative function. I think the Attorney General has an important role. I think it is vital for the Attorney General to stand with his investigators, with his
Office of Inspector General, and with his Office of Professional Responsibility. I think he has no choice, without doing lasting damage to the Department of Justice and creating forever the precedent that when it comes to the investigative responsibilities of the Department of Justice, White House participation is optional, even when the investigation leads into the White House. That is an admission by the Department of Justice at the highest level, by the Attorney General himself, that the White House is above the law in this country, which I don't think is the right answer.

I haven't been in that position. I know it is a tough call. But other Attorneys General have been in that position and they have faced that tough call. Just recently, we learned that Attorney General Ashcroft was prepared to resign in a similar face-off with the White House. Backed by Deputy Attorney General Comey and others in the Department, Ashcroft was about to resolve the issue by resigning. It was part of the Stern resolve by those men, the White House blinked and backed down. So the question now is, Does Attorney General Mukasey have that same stern resolve or will he be the one who blinks and backs down? He has appointed a new Special Prosecutor, but we don't know what is going to happen there.

As a former attorney general, the Presiding Officer knows well that could disappear into a grand jury, be protected by Rule 6(e) secrecy of the grand jury, and never be heard from again. This could be a way to put the investigation aside and quiet it rather than to see it through. But what the Attorney General can do is march up to the White House and say: This noncooperation is not tolerable, it is not acceptable, and I will not stand for it. One of two things is going to happen: Either the White House is going to cooperate with my investigation or I am going to resign.

That is the position the Attorney General is now in.

Winston Churchill used to talk about the fine agate points on which great institutions and history turn. I think Attorney General Mukasey is at one of those points, and the question for him now is, Do you blink or do you stand with your investigators?

Mr. President, I thank the distinguished Senator from Iowa. I said I would be brief; I was only marginally brief. Perhaps by Senate standards I was brief but not by real standards, and I appreciate his patience.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Iowa.

TAX TREATMENT HEALTH INSURANCE

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, I want to visit with my colleagues for a bit about the tax treatment of health insurance. Republicans and Democrats who have studied the issue agree that the current tax treatment of insurance is inequitable. Others believe our current tax rules increase health care spending and contribute to the growing number of uninsured, to add to other negative aspects of the present tax treatment of health insurance. Congress needs to take a very hard look at the Tax Code when it takes up health care reform.

There are a number of ways to structure a proposal that would change the tax treatment of health insurance. Today, I wish to talk about the way Senator MCCAIN structures his proposal to change the tax treatment of health insurance. The reason I want to do this is because, as the senior Republican tax writer, it is my obligation to set the record straight.

For too many weeks, I have heard inaccurate statements made about Senator MCCAIN's proposal for a tax credit for health insurance proposals, and I have heard them from mostly Democrats. For example, my friend, the senior Senator from Illinois and the majority whip, was on the floor of this Chamber on Thursday, September 11, saying that the tax reform would be a major "bump" for Americans' health insurance." The very next day, the junior Senator from Ohio, in an exchange with the majority whip, also said that Senator MCCAIN "wants to tax those health care policies that people want to be able to have." The senior Senator from Delaware has also been saying Senator MCCAIN wants to tax people's health insurance—not here on the floor but on the campaign trail as the Democratic nominee for President. He has also been saying that in television interviews. The junior Senator from Illinois consistently makes this explosive claim on the stump.

Well, using the words of my distinguished friend: Enough. Whether or not the tax credit for health insurance proposals taxes a worker's health insurance, the claims that have been made are half-baked, and this is the reason: The critics of the McCain plan fail to emphasize a key component of his proposal. That key component is that Senator MCCAIN would provide every American who purchases health insurance a tax credit. It appears that the critics overlook or maybe they just don't understand that the tax credit provides a bigger tax benefit to people than they would receive under the current system. So people would be better off under the McCain plan. Don't the critics want to help lower and middle income workers better afford health insurance? Don't they want to help the uninsured? Senator MCCAIN is on the side of these Americans, while his critics are favoring the status quo.

Another false claim I have heard is that the tax credit proposal would "deny the deduction employers can take when they pay for all or a portion of their employees' health insurance." Again, that is flat wrong. Even Senator Obama has said that employers will pay taxes on health insurance under the McCain plan.

In the recent Presidential debate, my friend from Illinois said:

Here's the only problem: Your employer now has to pay taxes on health care that you're getting from your employer.

I am taking the floor now to tell the junior Senator from Illinois and his Democratic colleagues, and especially the American people, that Senator OBAMA's description of his rival's proposal is inaccurate. Employers—and I emphasize this—will not pay taxes on the health insurance they offer to their workers.

I want to discuss how this issue is playing out in the media. Here is one instance. This past Sunday, on ABC "This Week," Senator MCCAIN was interviewed. In the interview, Senator MCCAIN was asked about the accuracy of Senator Obama's claim that the McCain proposal for the tax credit for health insurance would "tax health benefits for the first time by taking away the deduction that employers now get to provide health benefits."

Are the Democrats' attack plan does not—I repeat, does not—take away the employer deduction.

Employers will not pay taxes on health benefits. Businesses will continue to be able to deduct health care expenses as they do now, and they will continue to be able to provide health care, as they do now.

For employers, then, there will be no change. No change. Finally, and most importantly, Senator OBAMA's campaign has consistently stated that the McCain tax credit proposal would "raise taxes on the middle class." The left-leaning think tanks, funded by the likes of George Soros and company, have been making that same claim. So again I say enough. The McCain tax credit for health care insurance proposal would not increase taxes on the middle class. To the contrary, the proposal would provide low and middle-income workers with, get this, a tax cut. But do not take my word for it. I would like to have you listen to the Tax Policy Center, a nonpartisan think tank that has received notoriety for analyzing the tax plans of Senator MCCAIN and Senator OBAMA.

The Tax Policy Center illustrates that the McCain tax credit for health insurance produces a tax cut for workers. Len Burman, director of the Tax Policy Center, said, "It is mostly a tax break," when he was interviewed by CBS News on September 15.

I ask unanimous consent to have the CBS News report printed in the Record.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

(See Exhibit 1.)

Mr. GRASSLEY. The bottom line, the McCain tax credit for health insurance would not affect the employers' business deduction nor would employers pay taxes on health insurance. The proposal would not raise taxes on the middle class, rather it would provide a tax cut for the middle class.
with a tax credit, which is a bigger tax benefit than low- and middle-income workers receive under our current system.

I am going to slow down. Let me explain how health insurance is currently taxed, the reason is because it is vitally important that my Senate colleagues and my friends in the media understand the current rules governing the taxation of health insurance. To be clear, there are very distinct tax rules that apply to, one, an individual purchasing insurance; two, an employer paying for all or a portion of its employees’ health insurance; and, three, workers purchasing insurance through their employer.

Unfortunately, most people mix up these three different kinds of tax rules. For example, far too often I have heard people get the employee exclusion, which I will explain in a moment, confused with the employer business deduction. So I have a chart that lays it out. Employee exception and employer business deduction is not equal. Employee exclusion is for the worker; employer business deduction is for the employer.

The employee exclusion is there. Well, a worker purchasing health care through his or her employer does not pay income or payroll taxes on the cost of the health insurance policy.

In other words, the amount of health insurance coverage that is paid for by the employer is excludable from income. This means that the cost of health insurance that a worker purchases through his or her employer does not taxable for income or payroll tax purposes.

In addition, the amount of the health insurance coverage that is paid for by the individual worker on their own behalf through a salary reduction arrangement reduces the worker’s taxable income. This means that a worker has less income on which to pay income and payroll taxes.

As the chart says, the employee’s exclusion is the tax benefit provided to the worker. Let’s drill down on the employee exclusion for a moment. I want to explain how this tax benefit works.

Tax 101 teaches us that the tax benefit that you get from a tax exclusion, just like a tax deduction, is based on the tax bracket you are in. This means if you are in a high tax bracket, you receive a bigger tax benefit than someone in a lower tax bracket. So it is very regressive.

Here is a chart that illustrates how regressive the current employee exclusion of the cost of employer-provided health insurance really is.

So we have a new chart. Take a look at it. Here we assume that the average cost of a family’s health insurance policy would be about $12,000. After all, the coverage that Members of Congress get costs around $12,000. So this ought to be a good number to use. As you can see, the 10-percent tax bracket would receive 1,200 dollars worth of benefits. Compare this with a tax benefit that an upper income worker receives, and you find out it is $1,200 a year, a great amount of inequity.

We have to ask ourselves, is it fair that low- and middle-income workers receive a smaller tax benefit for health insurance than upper income workers receive?

Now, what is the employer business deduction? Here an employer paying for all or a portion of its employees’ health insurance can deduct the amounts they pay as ordinary and necessary business expenses. In essence, the Tax Code treats employer contributions for health benefits as compensation. This is consistent with how economists view employer contributions for health benefits. It is as simple as that.

It is important to note that the employer business deduction is a tax benefit provided to the employer. So we put the original chart back up. I did not want to leave out another very important point from Arizona insurance, or should I say, the lack of a tax benefit. I am speaking about the fact that people who purchase their own health insurance generally do not receive a tax benefit under our current laws.

They could if they were self-employed, but I am talking about people who are self-employed or not otherwise employed or where they do not have health insurance, and you want to purchase health insurance for yourself. The individual purchases his or her own insurance with after-tax dollars out of their own pocket. These individuals are able to deduct medical expenses that exceed 7.5 percent of their adjusted gross income.

While the individual tax credit is very, very valuable, there are very distinct tax rules that apply to, one, an individual purchasing their own health insurance; two, a worker purchasing health insurance through his or her employer.

We could simplify the tax code, the Tax Code treats employer contributions for health insurance as compensation. This is consistent with how economists view employer contributions for health benefits.

For example, far too often I have heard what several Senators have said criticizing the health insurance plan of Senator from Arizona, or should I say, the lack of a tax benefit. I am speaking about the fact that people who purchase their own health insurance generally do not receive a tax benefit under our current laws.

They could if they were self-employed, but I am talking about people who are self-employed or not otherwise employed or where they do not have health insurance, and you want to purchase health insurance for yourself. The individual purchases his or her own insurance with after-tax dollars out of their own pocket. These individuals are able to deduct medical expenses that exceed 7.5 percent of their adjusted gross income, but only if the individual itemizes their return. And exceeding the 7.5 percent of gross income to get an income tax deduction for health care and health insurance is not very common. That is why only about 6 percent of income taxes have a tax deduction.

As the chart says, the employee’s exclusion is the tax benefit provided to the worker. Let’s drill down on the employee exclusion for a moment. I want to explain how this tax benefit works.

Tax 101 teaches us that the tax benefit that you get from a tax exclusion, just like a tax deduction, is based on the tax bracket you are in. This means if you are in a high tax bracket, you receive a bigger tax benefit than someone in a lower tax bracket. So it is very regressive.

Here is a chart that illustrates how regressive the current employee exclusion of the cost of employer-provided health insurance really is.

So we have a new chart. Take a look at it. Here we assume that the average cost of a family’s health insurance policy would be about $12,000. After all, the coverage that Members of Congress get costs around $12,000. So this ought to be a good number to use. As you can see, the 10-percent tax bracket would receive 1,200 dollars worth of benefits. Compare this with a tax benefit that an upper income worker
benefit for low- and middle-income workers. I am saying the McCain tax credit makes the tax treatment of health insurance more equitable because every worker is receiving the same tax benefit.

How can some of my friends on the other side oppose making the current tax treatment of health insurance more equitable? Do my friends not want to help low- and middle-income workers? Let me show my colleagues and my friends in the media how the McCain tax credit for health insurance produces a tax cut.

Under the proposal, the health insurance a worker purchases through his or her employer would be taxed like compensation for income tax purposes. But, unlike compensation paid in the form of taxes, the proposal would not subject the cost of employer-provided health insurance to payroll taxes, as I have discussed. This means that amount of taxes a worker would be required to pay on the cost of their health insurance would only depend on the worker’s income tax bracket.

Under the proposal, the worker would apply the tax credit against the new income tax liability that is generated from taxing the worker’s health insurance.

In other words, the tax credit would offset any new income tax liability. As illustrated in this chart, because the new income tax liability would be less than the tax credit, the worker would actually receive a tax cut.

So let’s take a closer look at the chart. We have several different brackets. Let’s assume a family of four purchases a family health insurance policy of $12,000 through its employer. Under the proposal, this family would pay income taxes on a $12,000 policy. Let’s assume this family would be in the 25-percent tax bracket. This family would pay $3,000 in additional income taxes. This new liability would be offset by a $5,000 tax credit for family health insurance. As a result, $2,000 would be left over. This means the family would receive a $2,000 tax cut. This is a tax cut that would be greater if a family purchased even less expensive coverage.

As we can see, the tax credit for health insurance produces a tax cut for all workers. The tax cut is progressive because the workers in the 10-percent bracket are receiving almost five times the tax cuts for the workers in the 35-percent tax bracket.

You can see again, by looking at the chart, that a worker in the 10-percent tax bracket would receive a $3,800 tax cut, compared to the tax cut for an upper income worker in the 35-percent tax bracket of $800.

Like, most campaign-related proposals, there are a number of questions of how the idea will impact people in the long run. As the senior Republican tax writer, I will ask these questions. If I determine that Congress needs to tweak or there or there to improve it, I will recommend that we do so. But only time will tell whether we have to undertake such an exercise.

I hope my friends on the other side and those in the media have heard me. I hope they work on getting it right because it is clear, No. 1, that the McCain tax credit for health insurance produces a tax cut for workers; two, that the McCain tax credit has a revenue impact; and three, that the proposal does not adversely impact employers in any way, shape, or form.

**EXHIBIT 1**

**THE TRUTH ABOUT MCCAIN AND INSURANCE TAXES**

WASHINGTON, SEPTEMBER 15, 2008.—It’s one of the most explosive and important political charges of the election: “He wants to tax your health benefits,” Barack Obama said. “John McCain wants to raise your taxes, which is what this is all about.”

McCain’s strategy, however, is more complex. Many Americans buy health insurance through employers. Under the proposal, this family would pay in excess of $12,000 through its employer. Under the proposal, the worker would apply the tax credit against the new income tax liability that is generated from taxing the worker’s health insurance.

In other words, the tax credit would offset any new income tax liability. As illustrated in this chart, because the new income tax liability would be less than the tax credit, the worker would actually receive a tax cut.

So let’s take a closer look at the chart. We have several different brackets. Let’s assume a family of four purchases a family health insurance policy of $12,000 through its employer. Under the proposal, this family would pay income taxes on a $12,000 policy. Let’s assume this family would be in the 25-percent tax bracket. This family would pay $3,000 in additional income taxes. This new liability would be offset by a $5,000 tax credit for family health insurance. As a result, $2,000 would be left over. This means the family would receive a $2,000 tax cut. This is a tax cut that would be greater if a family purchased even less expensive coverage.

As we can see, the tax credit for health insurance produces a tax cut for all workers. The tax cut is progressive because the workers in the 10-percent bracket are receiving almost five times the tax cuts for the workers in the 35-percent tax bracket.

You can see again, by looking at the chart, that a worker in the 10-percent tax bracket would receive a $3,800 tax cut, compared to the tax cut for an upper income worker in the 35-percent tax bracket of $800.

Like, most campaign-related proposals, there are a number of questions of how the idea will impact people in the long run. As the senior Republican tax writer, I will ask these questions. If I determine that Congress needs to tweak or there or there to improve it, I will recommend that we do so. But only time will tell whether we have to undertake such an exercise.

Unfortunately, we have not provided rail travel the funding it needs to truly flourish. Every year since 2002 Amtrak has had to scrape by and continue operations on a yearly basis without adequate funds to maintain the rail system over the long haul. The system is at a breaking point. Amtrak’s equipment and no amount of maintenance can keep old equipment in service forever.

And our rail infrastructure is at the breaking point at a time when our citizens need this system the most. In July Amtrak had more passengers than in any month in its 37 year history. But Amtrak is not just a transportation system that serves 25 million people each year. Amtrak is also an economic engine that creates jobs, fights sprawl, and fosters economic activity. I know firsthand the benefits of Amtrak because over one hundred thousand New Jersey commuters depend on Amtrak’s infrastructure every day.

Some critics want Amtrak to be the one that bears the brunt of the cost in the world that operates without government subsidy. This prompts a question. Do we ask roads to pay for themselves? Some of my colleagues like to think that gas taxes pay for roads, but this has never been the case. The Texas Department of Transportation recently revealed that not a single road in Texas has ever been fully paid for by a gas tax and most roads recoup less than half their costs from the gas tax.

Asking transportation to pay for itself is a standard that is simply impossible to meet and a standard we do not hold any other mode of transportation to. Over the last 35 years we have spent less money on Amtrak than we will on highways in this year alone. When you factor in State and local subsidies for infrastructure and parking some studies suggest that up to 8 percent of our gross national product is spent on subsidies for automobile use.

This bill will not give all the funds I think Amtrak deserves or needs to meet its full potential, but I think this legislation finally authorizes the funding Amtrak needs over the next 6 years to plan ahead, adequately fund its operations and finance some critical capital improvements. But these funds are not free.

The bill requires Amtrak to tighten its belt while simultaneously improving service. The bill requires reforms that will reduce Amtrak’s operating costs by 40 percent. In addition, the bill provides funds for States to provide new passenger rail service between cities. In some instances these State operations will likely provide service that complements existing Amtrak service just as the recent light rail projects in New Jersey have done. But in other cases these funds may actually create competition for Amtrak for service between some cities. And this bill will
also require Amtrak to use its resources to provide a new level of service that improves on-time performance, upgrades onboard services, and provides easier access to other transportation systems.

The Amtrak bill has also been combined with critical rail safety legislation that would strengthen our railroad security apparatus by investing $1.6 billion in critical transportation safety initiatives.

Traditionally, we learned just over 2 weeks ago how important railroad safety is when a Metrolink commuter train plowed head-on into a Union Pacific freight locomotive just outside of Los Angeles. Twenty-five people lost their lives and over 135 people were injured in the deadliest train crash this nation has seen in 15 years.

Every one of those 25 Americans woke up and got ready for work that Friday morning just like any other day. Mothers and fathers kissed their children goodnight, never assuming this would be the last time they would see their loved ones. Weekend plans were made—but were never fulfilled. That fateful Friday morning not only ended the lives of these 25 Americans, but took away 25 mothers and fathers, sons and daughters, brothers and sisters from family members who will never be the same.

When people board a train in the morning on their way to work, they deserve to have peace of mind that they will reach their destination safely. This legislation would take significant steps to give the American people this peace of mind. It ensures that railroad officials have the resources and tools to do their job safely and effectively by implementing training standards for all safety-related railroad employees and requiring train conductors be certified that they are up to speed with the newest systems in place.

The bill also reforms hours-of-service provisions for crews and signal employees so that these critical workers are at their sharpest and most alert while on duty. In addition to these measures designed to reduce human error, we must also address the shortcomings in our rail infrastructure. Crumbling tracks, deteriorating bridges, and failing signals create an environment where it is only a matter of time before the next rail disaster strikes. This legislation fills many of these worrisome mile moments of dollars for critical improvements to infrastructure and safety features to make our rail network as safe as possible.

This bill also ensures that safety rules are strictly adhered to by strengthening the Federal Railroad Administration's enforcement tools and increasing the penalties for safety violations.

It is important to remember that our railroad network is not just critical to commerce and transportation but to national security as well. When the terrorist attacks on September 11 crippled our aviation sector, our Nation relied heavily on trains to make up the shortfall. This illustrates just how important a safe, efficient, well-operated rail transportation network is to all aspects of our nation's well-being—from commercial and economic capacity to national security.

With record high gasoline prices, congested highways and airports that are experiencing record delays, we need all of the alternative forms of transportation we can provide to the frustrated American traveler. Here in Congress we must recognize that a strong, well-funded and safe rail system is essential to our country. Please join me in voting for this critical bill.

I yield the floor and suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. MENENDEZ). The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. SALAZAR. I ask unanimous consent that the vote on the motion to order the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

YUCCA MOUNTAIN RADIATION STANDARD

Mr. REID. Mr. President, today President Bush took time away from dealing with the Nation’s economic crisis to direct his Environmental Protection Agency, EPA, to release a new standard for ‘acceptable’ public radiation exposure from the Yucca Mountain nuclear waste dump. In other words, the agency decided just how much radiation you and I can live with. Let me be clear, there is no way this weak standard will breathe life into the Bush-McCain plan to dump nuclear waste in Nevada. Instead, it will breath life into more litigation against this terrible project.

The EPA collaborates with the Department of Energy, DOE, to tweak a standard that a Federal court of appeals threw out in 2001 because it failed to comply with the Energy Policy Act of 1992 and would have left Nevadans dangerously unprotected against radioactive contamination. If the repository at Yucca Mountain was ever actually built, the DOE does not deny that water infiltration would eventually corrode nuclear waste packages and radioactive contamination would inevitably leak into Nevada’s ground water. Instead of working to protect Nevadans from a public health catastrophe, this scandal-ridden EPA has chosen to simply make the rules more lenient so DOE can legally dump waste less than 160 miles outside of Las Vegas. This is unacceptable.

Instead of working to protect the health and safety of Nevadans, EPA and DOE are casting science aside in an attempt to get the nuclear waste dump approved. Instead of warring against science, I side with Nevadans and experts who support safe and attainable solutions to our Nation’s nuclear waste. That is why I am working with Senator ENSIGN to keep nuclear waste on-site at the powerplants where it is produced in secure dry cask storage containers that are approved by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. This plan is safer, more cost effective, and makes sure that any waste at least a century to find a more permanent solution to nuclear waste.

RULE XLIV COMPLIANCE

Mr. BINGAMAN. Mr. President, there are over 150 public land bills on the Senate calendar that have been reported from the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources during the 110th Congress, for which we have not been able to get unanimous consent to take up and pass. In an effort to try to facilitate their consideration by the Senate in the limited time remaining in this session, I have assembled them into a single amendment, SA 5662, to the Mongolian Wilderness Bill, H.R. 5151. I filed the amendment last Friday, September 26, and it has been printed in the Record at S9731-S9840.

Paragraph 4 of rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate provides that:

If during consideration of a bill, a Senator proposes an amendment containing a congressionally directed spending item, which is not included in the bill as placed on the calendar or as reported by any committee, then as soon as practicable, the Senator shall ensure that a list of such items is printed in the Congressional Record.

The term “congressionally directed spending item” is broadly defined to include a provision included primarily at the request of a Senator authorizing a specific amount of discretionary budget authority for . . . expenditure with or to an entity, or targeted to a specific State, . . . . through a statutory or administrative formula-driven or competitive award process.

Although no Senator has specifically requested me to include a congressionally directed spending item in SA 5662, in the interest of furthering the transparency and accountability of the legislative process, I have posted a list of the specific authorizations in SA 5662 on the Web site of the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources. The list includes the name of the principal congressional authorizing entity, the amount of each authorization, and the congressional district, other than through a statutory or administrative formula-driven or competitive award process.

In the hope that the Senate might yet be able to consider this important amendment before we adjourn, I ask unanimous consent that the list be printed in the Record in accordance with rule XV.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the Record, as follows:

S. A. 5662—The Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2008 to H.R. 5151, The Wild Mongolian Wilderness Act

Provisions in Senate Amendment 5662 authorizing appropriations in a specific amount
for expenditure with or to an entity or targeted to a specific State, locality, or congressional district, other than through a statutory or administrative formula-driven or competitive award process:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Program or entity</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Principal sponsor(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2501(b)</td>
<td>Rio Puerco Watershed</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Bingaman/Domenici</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7101(c)</td>
<td>Kennewick National Res.</td>
<td>WA</td>
<td>Levin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7111</td>
<td>Women's Rights National Historical Park</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>Clinton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7409(g)</td>
<td>St. Augustine Commemorative Commission</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Martinez/Nelson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8001(b)</td>
<td>Sangre de Cristo National Heritage Area</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Salazar/Almond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8002(b)</td>
<td>Cape Hatteras National Heritage Area</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Allard/Salazar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8003(b)</td>
<td>South Park National Heritage Area</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Salazar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8004(b)</td>
<td>Northern Plains National Heritage Area</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Dorgan/Conrad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8005(b)</td>
<td>Baltimore National Heritage Area</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>Mink/Cardin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8006(b)</td>
<td>Freedom's Way National Heritage Area</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>Kerry/Boxer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8007(b)</td>
<td>Mississippi Hills National Heritage Area</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Cochran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8008(b)</td>
<td>Marine Corps Historic National Heritage Area</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>McCain/Boxer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8009(b)</td>
<td>Mount Rainier National Heritage Area</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8010(b)</td>
<td>Kearsarge Mountains, Turnagain Arm NHP</td>
<td>AK</td>
<td>Markey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8015(c)</td>
<td>Smoky, Bosque &amp; Poyette River Source Study</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Dodd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8022(b)</td>
<td>Sierra Vista Subwatershed Study</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>McCain/Clay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8031(c)</td>
<td>San Diego Interfry Study</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8100(c)</td>
<td>Tulelake/Smith-Modoc Water Project</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Feinstein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8110(d)</td>
<td>Madawaska Water Supply Project</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8130(e)</td>
<td>Eastern New Mexico Rural Water Project</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Bingaman/Domenici</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9016(b)</td>
<td>Jackson Gaps Rehabilitation Project</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Salazar/Almond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9016(g)</td>
<td>Rio Grande Pueblos</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Bingaman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9016(ii)</td>
<td>Santa Margarita River</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9016(j)</td>
<td>Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9016(la)</td>
<td>North Bay Water Reuse</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Feinstein/Boyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9110(a)</td>
<td>Prado Basin Treatment Project</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Feinstein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9112(b)</td>
<td>Bunker Hill Groundwater Basin</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Feinstein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9114(a)</td>
<td>Yucca Valley Water District</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9114(d)</td>
<td>San Gabriel Basin Restoration Fund</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9114(d)</td>
<td>San Gabriel Restoration Settlement</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Feinstein/Boyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9119</td>
<td>Restoration Water Settlement</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Feinstein/Boyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9065(a)</td>
<td>Navajo-Gallup Water Supply Project</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Bingaman/Domenici</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9065(b)</td>
<td>San Juan Conjuotive Use</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Bingaman/Domenici</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9065(c)</td>
<td>San Juan River Irrigation Projects</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Bingaman/Domenici</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9065(d)</td>
<td>Other Irrigation Projects</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Bingaman/Domenici</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10203</td>
<td>Nonpoint Source Water Quality Trust Fund</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Bingaman/Domenici</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10204</td>
<td>National Tropical Botan. Garden</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>Alaska</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**THE MATTHEW SHEPARD ACT OF 2007**

Mr. SMITH. Mr. President, I rise today to speak about the need for hate crimes legislation. Each Congress, Senator KENNEDY and I introduce hate crimes legislation that would add new categories to current hate crimes laws, sending a signal that violence of any kind is unacceptable in our society. Likewise, each Congress I have come to the floor on many occasions to highlight a separate violent, hate-motivated crime that has occurred in our country.

On Saturday, September 6, 2008, in Portland, ME, a 31-year-old resident was walking home at 12:30 a.m. when he was stopped by two men and assaulted. According to the police state-

**INCREASED BRAIN ANEURYSM AWARENESS**

Mr. KERRY. Mr. President, I would like to take a moment to discuss an important medical condition that, in my opinion, is in need of increased attention. Brain aneurysm is defined by the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, NINDS, as, “the dilation, bulging, or ballooning-out of part of the wall of an artery in the brain.” Nationwide, there are 27,600 patients who each year have a ruptured aneurysm. But an estimated 6 million Americans currently live with an unruptured brain aneurysm. In Massachusetts alone, more than 1,000 cases of brain aneurysm are treated annually. With few symptoms, brain aneurysm is a condition that can strike without warning and have a devastating impact on individual lives and families in our country and abroad.

I applaud the efforts of the medical profession, research institutes such as the National Institutes of Health, NIH, and key stakeholders, including business, labor organizations, education at various levels, workforce boards, economic development entities, and other community organizations.

**WORKFORCE POLICY**

Mr. BROWN. Mr. President, I rise to thank Senator MURRAY. As chairman of the HELP Subcommittee on Employment and Workplace Safety, she demonstrates leadership by protecting and championing workers and to champion skills development and employment services to adults and dislocated workers and at-risk youth succeed in this highly competitive economy. I am proud to serve on this subcommittee. I thank Senator MURRAY for her leadership in introducing the Strengthening Employment Centers to Organize Regional Success—SECTORS—Act.” Partnerships organized by industry sectors are an effective strategy to connect workers with thriving industries such as health care, emerging and transitioning industries like the energy industry, and manufacturing, which are vital to both the Ohio and Washington State economies. The strategies of both Promoting Innovation to 21st Century Careers Act and SECTORS Act are effective because they bring together key stakeholders, including business, labor organizations, education at various levels, workforce boards, economic development entities, and other community organizations.

Mr. BROWN. I thank the Senator for highlighting local workforce boards as a key stakeholder in sector partnerships. It has been brought to my attention that we can further clarify that the SECTORS Act specifies the connection to local workforce boards to emphasize that the intent for this legislation to acknowledge our local Ohio workforce boards and other regional and local workforce boards as key stakeholders in any industry sector strategy, something that is important to champion of good workforce policy.

Mrs. MURRAY. I thank the Senator for clarifying that point. The SECTORS Act models itself after many successful industry sector partnerships in our home States of Washington and Ohio, and elsewhere, such as Pennsylvania and Wisconsin. I look forward to continuing to work with the Senator to develop innovative workforce policies and programs that help our workers and communities stay competitive in this knowledge-based, technology-advanced, global economy.

**HONORING OUR ARMED FORCES**

CAPTAIN BENEDICT SMITH

Mrs. McCASKILL. Mr. President, I rise today to honor the life and sacrifice of CPT Benedict Smith, who will
forever be a hometown hero of Monroe City, MO. On Saturday, October 4, 2008, the citizens of Monroe City will gather at its local community airfield and name it in memory of Ben, who lost his life on November 7, 2008. Before piloting a U.S. Army Blackhawk helicopter near Tikrit, Iraq, he was shot down.

In a famous speech given by General Douglas MacArthur before the West Point Corps of Cadets on May 12, 1962, MacArthur exclaimed:

"Duty, Honor, Country." Those three hollowed words reverently dictate what you ought to be, what you can be, what you will be. They are your rallying points: to build courage when courage seems to fail; to regain faith when there seems to be little cause for faith; to create hope when hope becomes forlorn.

Ben Smith, a 1999 graduate of the United States Military Academy, was what MacArthur envisioned—he did not just live "Duty, Honor, Country," he was "Duty, Honor, Country." He lived a life marked by a duty to serve a higher cause; he did so with impeccable honor; and, finally, he committed and sacrificed his life for his beloved country. Today, I humbly honor Ben and his family.

But saying that Ben served and sacrificed would only be telling part of his story, because Ben was more than a military service member who attended and to support Ben's loved ones. One town rallied to honor its fallen hero and remember his life on the occasion of the naming of the Monroe City Regional Airport as the "Captain Ben Smith Airfield," we are reminded of what it means to be an American. More importantly, we recognize how great it is to have the freedoms we enjoy as we also acknowledge that our freedom did not and does not come for free.

Part of the West Point Alma-Mater reads

And when our work is done, Our course on earth is run, May it be said, "Well Done; Be Thou at Peace."

Ben is no doubt at peace today. Ben's work was not won or lost. His memory and sacrifice will always be with those of us still here on earth. Those who fly in and out of the Ben Smith Airfield may be said to take to the heavens in flight. Today I think we can safely say that Ben is flying in heaven.

IDAHOANS SPEAK OUT ON HIGH ENERGY PRICES

Mr. CRAPO. Mr. President, in mid-June, I asked Idahoans to share with me how high energy prices are affecting their lives, and they responded by the hundreds. The stories, numbering well over 1,200, are heartbreaking and touching. To respect their efforts, I am submitting every e-mail sent to me through an address set up specifically for this purpose to the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD. This is not an issue that will be easily resolved, but it is one that deserves immediate and serious attention, and Idahoans deserve to be heard. Their stories not only detail their struggles to stay within their expenses, but also have suggestions and recommendations as to what Congress can do now to tackle this problem and find solutions that last beyond today. I ask unanimous consent to have today's letters and printed in the RECORD.

Mr. CRAPO. And when our work is done, Our course on earth is run, May it be said, "Well Done; Be Thou at Peace."

Thumbing this block that is preventing us in doing what we moved back to do. This does not account for the price of all the other everyday items that are more expensive due to the retail market passing on their increased costs to the consumer.

I also just heard on the radio that meals on Wheels in Pocatello may start turning away new customers due to high gas prices.

Other energy resources, such as nuclear, should be expanded. However, our priority should be to expand our own resources. I am tired of being pushed around by a radical few. I believe that pollution is bad and that we should protect our environment as much as possible. However, I believe that a few radical environmentalists have spoken the silient majority. I think that we should tap into the oil reserves that we have in our own back yard. I think that it is ludicrous that other countries are drilling for oil just off the coast and we are not able to. By allowing them to do this, we are accepting the risk of environmental pollution without any benefit. I think that we should tap into the reserves in ANWR. I believe that the incremental compared to the benefit that we would receive. Other energy resources, such as nuclear, should be expanded. However, our priority for the near future should be to start processing our own oil.

Thank you for your work and allowing us as Idahoans express our views.

JUSTIN.

R. E., Blackfoot.

Yes, high energy costs are impacting my family quite significantly now. We moved to Idaho and convinced my hubby and family to move to Idaho about five years ago. We plan on living here forever. We sold our house in Oregon and my parents and Ash ton and her family, and to a farm house. My hubby works in Jack son, WY because he only has three years left before
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I am a retired Idahoan who spent much of his professional career working at the Idaho National Laboratory, which, as you know, is our nation's premier nuclear energy research laboratory. We have not realized the real potential of nuclear energy for decades. It was often said (at my workplace) that we will not appreciate the need for this technology until the brownouts and the cost of energy overcomes our fear of nuclear power. I think the time is near, and the sad part is that it takes years to permit and build new nuclear power plants.

As a retiree, I am constantly reminded of my family's vulnerability to the rising cost of living. Fuel prices continue to force the costs of many of the staples of our life higher and those of us on fixed incomes have no recourse to mitigate this change to our lives. It takes little imagination to realize that our food chain, and everything connected to fossil fuels will be affected by this. Energy independence is crucial for our nation's prosperity and to our children's legacy as leaders of the world. I am such an example, I think that the number one priority should be to expand our own resources. I am a retired Idahoan who spent much of his professional career working at the Idaho National Laboratory, which, as you know, is our nation's premier nuclear energy research laboratory. We have not realized the real potential of nuclear energy for decades. It was often said (at my workplace) that we will not appreciate the need for this technology until the brownouts and the cost of energy overcomes our fear of nuclear power. I think the time is near, and the sad part is that it takes years to permit and build new nuclear power plants.

As a retiree, I am constantly reminded of my family's vulnerability to the rising cost of living. Fuel prices continue to force the costs of many of the staples of our life higher and those of us on fixed incomes have no recourse to mitigate this change to our lives. It takes little imagination to realize that our food chain, and everything connected to fossil fuels will be affected by this. Energy independence is crucial for our nation's prosperity and to our children's legacy as leaders of the world. I am such an example, I think that the number one priority should be to expand our own resources. I am a retired Idahoan who spent much of his professional career working at the Idaho National Laboratory, which, as you know, is our nation's premier nuclear energy research laboratory. We have not realized the real potential of nuclear energy for decades. It was often said (at my workplace) that we will not appreciate the need for this technology until the brownouts and the cost of energy overcomes our fear of nuclear power. I think the time is near, and the sad part is that it takes years to permit and build new nuclear power plants.

As a retiree, I am constantly reminded of my family's vulnerability to the rising cost of living. Fuel prices continue to force the costs of many of the staples of our life higher and those of us on fixed incomes have no recourse to mitigate this change to our lives. It takes little imagination to realize that our food chain, and everything connected to fossil fuels will be affected by this. Energy independence is crucial for our nation's prosperity and to our children's legacy as leaders of the world. I am such an example, I think that the number one priority should be to expand our own resources. I am a retired Idahoan who spent much of his professional career working at the Idaho National Laboratory, which, as you know, is our nation's premier nuclear energy research laboratory. We have not realized the real potential of nuclear energy for decades. It was often said (at my workplace) that we will not appreciate the need for this technology until the brownouts and the cost of energy overcomes our fear of nuclear power. I think the time is near, and the sad part is that it takes years to permit and build new nuclear power plants.

As a retiree, I am constantly reminded of my family's vulnerability to the rising cost of living. Fuel prices continue to force the costs of many of the staples of our life higher and those of us on fixed incomes have no recourse to mitigate this change to our lives. It takes little imagination to realize that our food chain, and everything connected to fossil fuels will be affected by this. Energy independence is crucial for our nation's prosperity and to our children's legacy as leaders of the world. I am such an example, I think that the number one priority should be to expand our own resources. I am a retired Idahoan who spent much of his professional career working at the Idaho National Laboratory, which, as you know, is our nation's premier nuclear energy research laboratory. We have not realized the real potential of nuclear energy for decades. It was often said (at my workplace) that we will not appreciate the need for this technology until the brownouts and the cost of energy overcomes our fear of nuclear power. I think the time is near, and the sad part is that it takes years to permit and build new nuclear power plants.
he qualifies for his pension. Gas was approximately $1.50 when we moved here. It is now $4.15 and rising. Our house is heated with an old oil furnace that my dad was so proud of. Oil costs have also gone sky high here. Our savings for purchasing a house are now exhausted. My son did not get rehired for his job because the local farmer he worked for last year cannot afford to pay higher wages. He and I drive home from work in our own home by paying more taxes and utility fees. It just seems to be hitting us all at once, and Congress does not seem to be doing anything about it. I hear a greater concern for health care insurance, but that is not what is breaking our family. It is the high rising gas prices. It is going to put our country in a great financial bind. My family still has enough of what we need to continue to live. My husband, who works more than 50 hours a week to make ends meet.

I think that our first priority should be in investing in domestic energy possibilities so we do not have to depend on the foreign energy market for our supply and then nuclear power (although I am concerned about long time waste that is created from nuclear power).

SHARI, Idaho Falls.

My request for you is to pursue legislation that opens up more areas of the US to oil and gas exploration. We need more secure supply, and that means drilling in areas we control.

JIM.

In a way, the high energy costs may be the one thing that forces the majority of Americans to rely more on our own resources. Since I have been voting (1980), I have heard time and time again that we need an “energy policy”. To date, all we have been doing is nothing. I have no action on any policy. I believe that Ford, Carter, Reagan, BushI, Clinton and BushII have all talked about an energy policy. They always set the timetable for doing something long after they have left office knowing full well that nothing will be accomplished.

The best thing that could happen is for gas to go to $5–$7 per gallon because, at this level, Americans are going to demand action. No more talk. No more promises. Action. It is really sad to see that all branches of our government have really dropped the ball in so many areas.

We have the Senate holding hearings on steroids in baseball, on whether the NCAA BCS Bowl system is fair, and so on. In the meantime, the national debt is sky rocketing, we have millions of illegals living here, and we pretend that BCS and steroids are important.

We have undeveloped and undrilled deposits here in the US that we cannot touch due to environmental concerns. Instead, we spend billions of dollars overseas to countries who pretend to be our friends.

History repeats itself all the time. We are following the same footsteps of Rome followed. Have we not learned anything? Is our Senate any different from the Roman Senate? Time will tell but so far the future does not look bright.

D.

This was to be the year that my husband and I were to become debt free. We have been like other people, living paycheck to paycheck. We will soon own our home free and clear, we have no car payments, and are only luxury is a bike.

I do not work due to cost of daycare and driving distance the amount coming in compared to going out it would not add to our income. We need to focus on the current hardships what money we have extra, goes into gas for my husband to get to work.

I agree in conservation and alternative fuels, but that is for the future, not help for now. People are going through now. Some can not go out and buy a new hybrid car, and most people in this state are in the same boat, or worse they are sinking. The cycle is that with higher gas prices, the cost becomes higher, you cannot afford the gas, you cannot go to the store, people spend less, businesses have to lay off or close leaving more people out there, to lose their homes and find no way to feed and clothe their children, and what about those whose only income is Social Security. Do you know anyone that can make it on $60 a month? My mother-in-law is trying to live on, her Medicaid and food stamps were taken away, her rent from Idaho Housing went from $60 to $135 per month due to what I feel is a clerical error that no one can seem to help her with, her phone shut off because she was late, which she cannot turn back on she cannot afford the fees. We cannot help her, so what is she to do?

I am sorry, but I feel that most people in our government right now have had it too easy. They do not seem to know what it is like to be a person of means. I bet any of them live in a 30-year-old mobile home and are proud of it. They have forgotten what it is like to struggle or even know what it is like to juggle a mortgage to God that my son, now 5, will someday be able to get a higher education. I do not see it happening right now. Thank you for reading this, and giving me a chance to speak my mind.

KARI, Jerome.

I read your message: Thank you. I recently drove to the Idaho State Convention at Sandpoint. I have only good things to say about the highway 95. It was a joy to drive for the first time. I decided to drive to Sandpoint and return with a quarter tank of gas left. Of course I had tires checked for pressure so that may have helped. My complaint such as it is—my car is a 2004 Buick I had asked sometime ago about using ethanol additive in it, I was told by the dealer’s mechanic that I should use regular gasoline as the engine is not set up. So I made a point to do that and usually bought Chevron. Imagine my reaction when I discovered all gas stations are required to use 10% Ethanol. I was told that it is more than a frequent fuel change. Of course I have no idea if using ethanol improved my mpg on trip to Sandpoint, which is a possibility. The gas on my car said I got $5 per gallon because of the oil situation is to tax the oil companies and ANWR, etc. etc.) and the production of other fuels, but that is for the future, not help for now. People are going through now. Some can not go out and buy a new hybrid car, and most people in this state are in the same boat, or worse they are sinking. The cycle is that with higher gas prices, the cost becomes higher, you cannot afford the gas, you cannot go to the store, people spend less, businesses have to lay off or close leaving more people out there, to lose their homes and find no way to feed and clothe their children, and what about those whose only income is Social Security. Do you know anyone that can make it on $60 a month? My mother-in-law is trying to live on, her Medicaid and food stamps were taken away, her rent from Idaho Housing went from $60 to $135 per month due to what I feel is a clerical error that no one can seem to help her with, her phone shut off because she was late, which she cannot turn back on she cannot afford the fees. We cannot help her, so what is she to do?

I am sorry, but I feel that most people in our government right now have had it too easy. They do not seem to know what it is like to be a person of means. I bet any of them live in a 30-year-old mobile home and are proud of it. They have forgotten what it is like to struggle or even know what it is like to juggle a mortgage to God that my son, now 5, will someday be able to get a higher education. I do not see it happening right now. Thank you for reading this, and giving me a chance to speak my mind.

KARI, Jerome.

I read your message: Thank you. I recently drove to the Idaho State Convention at Sandpoint. I have only good things to say about the highway 95. It was a joy to drive for the first time. I decided to drive to Sandpoint and return with a quarter tank of gas left. Of course I had tires checked for pressure so that may have helped. My complaint such as it is—my car is a 2004 Buick I had asked sometime ago about using ethanol additive in it, I was told by the dealer’s mechanic that I should use regular gasoline as the engine is not set up. So I made a point to do that and usually bought Chevron. Imagine my reaction when I discovered all gas stations are required to use 10% Ethanol. I was told that it is more than a frequent fuel change. Of course I have no idea if using ethanol improved my mpg on trip to Sandpoint, which is a possibility. The gas on my car said I got $5 per gallon because of the oil situation is to tax the oil companies and ANWR, etc. etc.) and the production of other fuels, but that is for the future, not help for now. People are going through now. Some can not go out and buy a new hybrid car, and most people in this state are in the same boat, or worse they are sinking. The cycle is that with higher gas prices, the cost becomes higher, you cannot afford the gas, you cannot go to the store, people spend less, businesses have to lay off or close leaving more people out there, to lose their homes and find no way to feed and clothe their children, and what about those whose only income is Social Security. Do you know anyone that can make it on $60 a month? My mother-in-law is trying to live on, her Medicaid and food stamps were taken away, her rent from Idaho Housing went from $60 to $135 per month due to what I feel is a clerical error that no one can seem to help her with, her phone shut off because she was late, which she cannot turn back on she cannot afford the fees. We cannot help her, so what is she to do?

I am sorry, but I feel that most people in our government right now have had it too easy. They do not seem to know what it is like to be a person of means. I bet any of them live in a 30-year-old mobile home and are proud of it. They have forgotten what it is like to struggle or even know what it is like to juggle a mortgage to God that my son, now 5, will someday be able to get a higher education. I do not see it happening right now. Thank you for reading this, and giving me a chance to speak my mind.

KARI, Jerome.

I read your message: Thank you. I recently drove to the Idaho State Convention at Sandpoint. I have only good things to say about the highway 95. It was a joy to drive for the first time. I decided to drive to Sandpoint and return with a quarter tank of gas left. Of course I had tires checked for pressure so that may have helped. My complaint such as it is—my car is a 2004 Buick I had asked sometime ago about using ethanol additive in it, I was told by the dealer’s mechanic that I should use regular gasoline as the engine is not set up.

I, of course, definitely support drilling for oil in the Gulf States and I do not think it would be a disaster environmentally to drill and start more drilling and production in Alaska! I visited Fairbanks and also saw the pipeline and I understand the caribou used to be the year that my husband and I were to become debt free. We have been like other people, living paycheck to paycheck. We will soon own our home free and clear, we have no car payments, and are only luxuries are a bike.

I do not work due to cost of daycare and driving distance the amount coming in compared to going out it would not add to our income and most likely cost us.

My husband makes approximately $16 an hour and usually at this time of year works 60 to 70 hours per week. He drives 50 miles one way to work. Due to the rising fuel prices, no one is doing any road improvements which, in turn, the company has had to reduce our employees work. I am trying to keep them at 40 hrs per week.

The closest grocery store from our home is 35 miles. For nearly two years, we have, due to fuel costs, taken road trips to town for groceries, we even put off needed dental and medical appointments because...
caused by the present day cost of gasoline instead of looking at ways to facilitate pragmatic long term solutions to the problem!

Ron, Boise.

I would like to tell you how the high gas prices are affecting me and my wife. I am 76 years old, retired, and have a chronic heart and lung condition. Being in Salmon, a small town does not have a hospital to care for major heart and lung disease. The nearest hospital that has facilities for major heart and lung is Missoula, Montana, about 160 miles north of Salmon, making it a 320-mile round trip. My car gets 27 miles per gallon, making it about 12 gallons per trip. Today, in Salmon, gas costs $4.23 per gallon (and I am sure it will continue to go up); that is about $50 per trip in gas alone. Between the two of us, we have to make the trip to Missoula approximately 20 times per year. That equates to about $1,000 for gas alone. That hurts.

Please do what you can to stop this senseless rise in energy prices. We have the resources here in our own country. Let us start using them. Drill for oil; use nuclear; mine coal; we are smart enough to do this sensibly. Do it now.

J. C., Salmon.

Even if we opened up Alaska and any other likely resources, I doubt very much it would bring the price of oil down. Until we get rid of corporate government, nothing we are presently experiencing is likely to change, certainly not sharing stories of hardship.

John.

My husband is retired, and I am only able to work part-time. It has put a great deal of stress on us. When we did retirement planning, we planned for cost of living, i.e., food, electric, water, gas, but never could we have imagined that we would under budget our gas costs. This country has the opportunity to resolve this issue. As other costs are also rising, medical care, food, etc., this is one area that the government can step in and resolve and help our not only our economy, but also their fellow Americans. It is our congressmen to take care of this issue, if not, then who is going to take care of all the people who cannot afford the gas to get to work and do not have access to mass transit or cannot afford it. Do tell.

Jean.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

TRIBUTE TO DR. JAMES PIERPONT COMER

Mr. BAYH. Mr. President, today I honor a great Hoosier, Doctor James Pierpont Comer. Today we recognize the many accomplishments of Dr. Comer, a distinguished professor, innovator, author, and scholar.

Dr. Comer, in his role as an educator and mentor, embodies the spirit of hard work and conservation. The Maurice Falk Professor of Child Psychiatry at the Yale University School of Medicine’s Child Study Center, Dr. Comer has been a member of the Yale medical faculty since 1968. As founder of the Comer School Developmental System, Dr. Comer advanced a teamwork approach to ensuring positive child development and academic success. The “Comer Model” has been implemented at over 500 schools nationwide and has promoted the constructive growth of countless American youth.

A native of East Chicago, IN, Dr. Comer received his bachelor’s degree from Indiana University, his M.D. from Howard University, the John P. McGovern Public Service Award from the Smithsonian, the John Hope Franklin Award, the Heinz Award in the Human Condition, and the Healthtrac Foundation Prize. An unparalleled scholar, Dr. Comer has authored nine books and hundreds of articles relating to child development, childhood education, and race relations.

As we honor Dr. Comer today, the words of Henry Brooks Adams come to mind: “A teacher affects eternity; he can never tell where his influence stops.” Dr. Comer has helped countless young people develop the building blocks necessary to become the leaders of their generation, and the students whose lives he has touched over the years will undoubtedly help shape our society through the 21st century.

TRIBUTE TO RUSSELL W. PETERSON

Mr. BIDEN. Mr. President, today I celebrate the 92nd birthday of a man I have known and admired for a long time, a man who has dedicated his life to making our world a better place for us and for our children. Russell W. Peterson was one of Delaware’s most remarkable governors and has been a truly committed public servant for over 60 years.

Within the early hours of being sworn in as governor of Delaware in 1969, he delivered on a campaign promise to ease tensions in our racially charged city of Wilmington. He removed the presence of the National Guard who had patrolled the city streets for almost a year, and, along with the Delaware State Police, he enforced curfews following the assassinations of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.

One of the most memorable accomplishments of the governor’s career was the leadership role he played to protect our environment through the passage of Delaware’s Coastal Zone Act of 1971. This was a groundbreaking law, the first of its kind in our Nation. Governor Peterson became known as a dedicated environmentalist for protecting the 115 miles of Delaware’s coastline from heavy industry. As an activist for our environment, he was determined to help people on a local, state, and national level understand that living our lives is not at odds with preserving our environment. He also contributed to the landmark National Environmental Policy Act as chairman of the President’s Council on Environmental Quality.

The governor’s most recent project has already begun to rejuvenate the city of Wilmington’s riverfront. He is cochairman of the Governor’s Task Force on the Riverfront along with Delaware Audubon Society’s Honorary Chairman of the Board, Governor Peterson has brought the Delaware Riverfront Development Corporation. Through these efforts, the city’s riverfront is becoming a brilliant asset to our State as it attracts visitors and new residents to the area. This beautiful new face to our riverfront also has an urban wildlife refuge center that has been named after Governor Peterson, for his decades of remarkable work.

These are only a few of Governor Peterson’s many, many accomplishments. Through his service, he has truly improved the lives of Delawareans and has invigorated the city of Wilmington. He is currently the Delaware Audubon Society’s Honorary Chairman of the Board and has received 15 honorary doctorates and numerous other awards.

Governor Peterson is being honored for his contributions at a luncheon next Tuesday, in Wilmington. I can’t think of a more deserving individual. I wish him all the best as we celebrate his 92nd birthday.

TRIBUTE TO PAUL WEYRICH

Mr. DEMLINT. Mr. President, I would like to take a few minutes to honor Paul Weyrich, a dear friend, and a man who has spent the past 44 years fighting to protect the freedom and liberty enjoyed by Americans today. Throughout his adult life, Paul Weyrich has actively advocated for conservative policies based on our founding principles. From his days as a young college Republican and forerunner in Barry Goldwater’s 1964 Presidential campaign to his tireless efforts on and around Capitol Hill, Paul has been an instrumental force in the conservative movement of both yesterday and today. With courage and conviction, he has declared the importance of family values, religious freedom and limited government.

History will judge us not on our titles but on the lasting ideas we advance and institutions we create. I have the honor of chairing the Senate Steering Committee, which oversees the creation of an enterprising staffer named Paul Weyrich. After helping to found the National Achievement Foundation, Paul went on to found the Heritage Foundation with Edwin J. Feulner in 1973. Paul also founded the American Legislative Exchange Council and the Committee for the Survival of a Free Congress, CSFC, which later became the Free Congress Foundation. Paul now serves as chairman and CEO of the Free Congress Foundation. And Paul’s leadership, uniting religious conservatives of all faiths, has made the social
The school district received five construction grants totaling $3,250,000. The first construction grant for $750,000 was awarded in 1999 to Capitol View Elementary, a new school just a few blocks from our State capitol building. In 2004, a $500,000 construction grant went to Moulton Extended Learning Center, a K-8 school in the heart of the city. East High School, the oldest high school in Des Moines, received a 2002 grant for $1 million to help with the construction of Community Activity Center. A 2004 construction grant for $500,000 helped build a new elementary school combining Longfellow and Wallace, now known as the George Washington Carver Community School. And in 2005, a $500,000 construction grant helped to renovate the Walnut Street School, a growing elementary school located in the city’s business district. These schools are the modern, state-of-the-art facilities that befit the educational ambitions and excellence of this school district. Indeed, they are exactly the kind of schools that every child in America deserves.

The Des Moines Independent Community School District also received five fire safety grants totaling $1,025,000 to install fire alarms and make other safety repairs at over two dozen schools including, Central Campus, home to some of the Nation’s top high school programs, McCombs Middle School, King Elementary School, East High School, Huxley, Indianola, Middle School and Lincoln High School. The Federal grants have made it possible for the district to provide quality and safe schools for their students.

Excellent schools do not just pop up like mushrooms after a rain. They are the product of vision, leadership, persistence, and a tremendous amount of collaboration among local officials and concerned citizens. I salute the entire staff, administration, and governance in the Des Moines Independent Community School District. In particular, I would like to recognize the leadership of the board of education—chair Ginny Strong, vice chair Jeanette Woods, along with members Connie Boesen, Terre Caldwell-Johnson, Patty Link, Dick Murphy and Jonathan Narcisse, and former board members Ako Abdul-Samad, Som Baccam, Margaret Borgen, Ga Brilliant, Jane Hein, Jon Neiderbach, Jim Patch, Phil Roeper, Larry Sands, Mark Schuling, Nadae Hogate and Marc Ward.

I would also like to recognize superintendent Nancy Sebring, former superintendent Eric Witherspoon, chief operating officer Bill Good, chief financial officer Patricia Schroeder, former executive director of facilities management Duane Van Hempt, grant specialist Kris Mesicek along with the principals, teachers, staff and parents at more than two dozen Des Moines schools who have helped make the most effective use of these funds.

As we mark the 10th anniversary of the Harkin school grant program in Iowa, I am obliged to point out that many thousands of school buildings and facilities across the United States are in dire need of renovation or replacement. In my State of Iowa alone, according to a recent study, some 79 percent of public schools need to be upgraded or repaired. National policy is that the average age of school buildings in the United States is nearly 50 years. Too often, our children visit ultra-modern shopping malls and gleaming sports arenas on weekends, but during the week go to school in rundown or antiquated facilities. This sends exactly the wrong message to our young people about our priorities. We have to do better.

That is why I am deeply grateful to the professionals and parents in the Des Moines Independent Community School District. There is no question that a quality public education for every child is a top priority in that school district. I wish them a very successful new school year.

### ELK HORN-KIMBALLTON COMMUNITY EDUCATION

Mr. HARKIN. Mr. President, in Iowa and across the United States, a new school year has begun. As you know, Iowa public schools have an excellent reputation nationwide, and Iowa students’ test scores are among the highest in the Nation. I would like to take just a few minutes today to salute the dedicated teachers, administrators, and school board members in the Des Moines Independent Community School District, and to report on their participation in a unique Federal partnership to repair and modernize school facilities.

This fall marks the 10th year of the Iowa Demonstration Construction Grant Program. That is its formal name, but it is better known among educators in Iowa as the Harkin grants for Iowa public schools. Since 1998, I have been fortunate to secure a total of $121 million for the State government in Iowa, which selects worthy school districts to receive these grants for a range of renovation and repair efforts—everything from updating fire safety systems to building new schools or renovating existing facilities. In many cases, this Federal funding is used to leverage public and/or private local funding, so it often has a tremendous multiplier effect in a local school district.

The Des Moines Independent Community School District is Iowa’s largest public school district, with more than 30,000 students, 5,000 teachers and staff members, and 60 schools in our State’s capitol city. Serving more students than even our State universities, Des Moines has received several grants totaling $4,275,000, to help meet the diverse and important needs at dozens of their schools.

The Elk Horn-Kimballton Community School District received a 1996 Harkin grant totaling $250,000 which it used to help build an addition to the high school. The building included a gymnasium and four classrooms for math, art and science. This school is a modern, state-of-the-art facility that befits the educational and excellence of this school district. Indeed, it is the kind of school facility that every child in America deserves.
The district also received two fire safety grants totaling $41,765 to update the fire detection system, install heat and smoke detectors and provide new wiring in the building.

Excellent schools do not just pop up like mushrooms after a rain. They are the product of vision, leadership, persistence, and a tremendous amount of collaboration among local officials and concerned citizens. I salute the entire staff, administration, and governance in the Elk Horn-Kimballton Community School District. In particular, I would like to recognize the leadership of the board of education—Tom Cannon, Doug Parker, Mark Smith, Tamie Fahn and Kevin Petersen and former board members Don Christoffersen, Tom Wall, Mike Howard and Lori Robertson. I would also like to recognize superintendent Casey Berlau and former superintendent Alan Hjelle.

As we mark the 10th anniversary of the Harkin school grant program in Iowa, I am obliged to point out that many thousands of school buildings and facilities across the United States are in dire need of renovation or replacement. In my State of Iowa alone, according to a recent study, some 79 percent of public schools need to be upgraded or repaired. The harsh reality is that the average age of school buildings in the United States is nearly 50 years.

Too often, our children visit ultra-modern shopping malls and gleaming sports arenas on weekends but during the week go to school in rundown or antiquated facilities. This sends exactly the wrong message to our young people about our priorities. We have to do better.

That is why I am deeply grateful to the professionals and parents in the Elk Horn-Kimballton Community School District. There is no question that a quality public education for every child is a top priority in that community. I salute them, and wish them a very successful new school year.

KNOXVILLE COMMUNITY EDUCATION

Mr. HARKIN. Mr. President, in Iowa and across the United States, a new school year has begun. As you know, Iowa public schools have an excellent reputation nationwide, and Iowa students’ test scores are among the highest in the Nation.

I would like to take just a few minutes today to salute the dedicated teachers, administrators, and school board members in the Knoxville Community School District and to report on their participation in a unique Federal partnership to repair and modernize school facilities.

This fall marks the 10th year of the Iowa Demonstration Construction Grant Program. That is its formal name, but it is better known among educators in Iowa as the program of Harkin grants for Iowa public schools. Since 1998, I have been fortunate to secure a total of $121 million for the State government in Iowa, which selects worthy school districts to receive these grants for a range of renovation and repair efforts—everything from updating fire safety systems to building new schools or renovating existing facilities. In many cases, this Federal funding is used to leverage public and/or private local funding, so it often has a tremendous multiplier effect in a local school district.

The Knoxville Community School District received several Harkin fire safety grants totaling $172,000. The district installed fire and smoke alarm systems, and upgraded electrical wiring, smoke detection, and warning systems throughout the district. The Federal grants have made it possible for the district to provide quality and safe schools for their students.

Excellent schools do not just pop up like mushrooms after a rain. They are the product of vision, leadership, persistence, and a tremendous amount of collaboration among local officials and concerned citizens. I salute the entire staff, administration, and governance in the Knoxville Community School District. In particular, I would like to recognize the leadership of the board of education Jeff Wallace, Tim McDonald, Dennis Goad, Mike Husted, and Leslie Miller, and former board members Mike Helle and Scott Chambers. I would also like to recognize superintendent Rocha Flack and director of maintenance Jeff Sinnard.

As we mark the 10th anniversary of the Harkin school grant program in Iowa, I am obliged to point out that many thousands of school buildings and facilities across the United States are in dire need of renovation or replacement. In my State of Iowa alone, according to a recent study, some 79 percent of public schools need to be upgraded or repaired. The harsh reality is that the average age of school buildings in the United States is nearly 50 years.

Too often, our children visit ultra-modern shopping malls and gleaming sports arenas on weekends but during the week go to school in rundown or antiquated facilities. This sends exactly the wrong message to our young people about our priorities. We have to do better.

That is why I am deeply grateful to the professionals and parents in the Knoxville Community School District. There is no question that a quality public education for every child is a top priority in that community. I salute them, and wish them a very successful new school year.

MASON CITY COMMUNITY EDUCATION

Mr. HARKIN. Mr. President, in Iowa and across the United States, a new school year has begun. As you know, Iowa public schools have an excellent reputation nationwide, and Iowa students’ test scores are among the highest in the Nation.

I would like to take just a few minutes today to salute the dedicated teachers, administrators, and school board members in the Mason City Community School District, and to report on their participation in a unique Federal partnership to repair and modernize school facilities.

This fall marks the 10th year of the Iowa Demonstration Construction Grant Program. That is its formal name, but it is better known among educators in Iowa as the program of Harkin grants for Iowa public schools. Since 1998, I have been fortunate to secure a total of $121 million for the State government in Iowa, which selects worthy school districts to receive these grants for a range of renovation and repair efforts—everything from updating fire safety systems to building new schools or renovating existing facilities. In many cases, this Federal funding is used to leverage public and/or private local funding, so it often has a tremendous multiplier effect in a local school district.

The Mason City Community School District received a 2002 Harkin grant totaling $522,000 which it used to help renovate science classrooms at Mason City High School. The district also received four fire safety grants totaling $181,262 for safety improvements throughout the district. The Federal grants have made it possible for the district to provide quality and safe schools for their students.

Excellent schools do not just pop up like mushrooms after a rain. They are the product of vision, leadership, persistence, and a tremendous amount of collaboration among local officials and concerned citizens. I salute the entire staff, administration, and governance in the Mason City Community School District. In particular, I would like to recognize the leadership of the board of education—Timothy Becker, Mark Young, Gary Hoffman, Michele Applegate, Darshini Jayawardena, Robert Thomas and Paula Recinos and former board members Jim Spicer, Janet Isaacson, Richard Hudson, Dr. Samuel Hunt, Jean Marinos and Nancy Gilman. I would also like to recognize superintendent Dr. Anita Micich, former superintendent Keith Sersland, buildings and grounds supervisor Todd Huff, former building and grounds supervisor Don O’Connor, finance director Ramona Jeffrey, former finance director James Scharff, high school principal Douglas Kennedy and former high school principal Joyce Judas.

As we mark the 10th anniversary of the Harkin school grant program in Iowa, I am obliged to point out that many thousands of school buildings and facilities across the United States are in dire need of renovation or replacement. In my State of Iowa alone, according to a recent study, some 79 percent of public schools need to be upgraded or repaired. The harsh reality is that the average age of school buildings in the United States is nearly 50 years.

Too often, our children visit ultra-modern shopping malls and gleaming sports arenas on weekends but during the week go to school in rundown or antiquated facilities. This sends exactly the wrong message to our young people about our priorities. We have to do better.

That is why I am deeply grateful to the professionals and parents in the Mason City Community School District.

There is no question that a quality public education for every child is a top priority in that community. I salute them, and wish them a very successful new school year.

There is no question that a quality public education for every child is a top priority in that community. I salute them, and wish them a very successful new school year.
that the average age of school buildings in the United States is nearly 50 years.

Too often, our children visit ultra-modern shopping malls and gleaming sports arenas on weekends, but during the week go to school in rundown or antiquated facilities. This sends exactly the wrong message to our young people about our priorities. We have to do better.

That is why I am deeply grateful to the professionals and parents in the Mason City Community School District. There is no question that a quality public education for every child is a top priority in that community. I salute them, and wish them a very successful new school year.

MEDIAPOLIS COMMUNITY EDUCATION

Mr. HARKIN. Mr. President, in Iowa and across the United States, a new school year has begun. As you know, Iowa public schools have an excellent reputation nationwide, and Iowa students' test scores are among the highest in the Nation.

I would like to take just a few minutes today to salute the dedicated teachers, administrators, and school board members in the Mediapolis Community School District, and to report on their participation in a unique Federal partnership to repair and modernize school facilities.

This fall marks the 10th year of the Iowa Demonstration Construction Grant Program. That is its formal name, but it is better known among educators in Iowa as the program of Harkin grants for Iowa public schools. Since 1998, I have been fortunate to secure a total of $121 million for the State government in Iowa, which selects worthy school districts to receive these grants for a range of renovation and modernization projects involving fire safety systems to building new schools or renovating existing facilities. In many cases, this Federal funding is used to leverage public and/or private local funding, so it often has a tremendous multiplier effect in a local school district.

The Mediapolis Community School District received several Harkin fire safety grants totaling $150,000 which it used for improvements to the fire safety system including fire exits, smoke and heat detectors, and emergency lighting at several district buildings and to replace deteriorating plaster in the middle school gymnasium. The Federal grants have made it possible for the district to provide quality and safe schools for their students.

Excellent schools do not just pop up like mushrooms after a rain. They are the product of vision, leadership, persistence, and collaboration among local officials and concerned citizens. I salute superintendent Bill Newman, the entire staff, administration, and governance in the Mediapolis Community School District. In particular, I would like to recognize the leadership of the board of education—president Sandy Hedges, vice president Kenton Klenk, Michelle Michener, Toby Gordon, Dawn Dunngan and David Baker and former members Kim Hull, Jack Bell, Sherry Spence, Kathryn Whisler, Richard Pteff, Melodee Kennedy, Julie Edwards and the late Terry Miller.

As we mark the 10th anniversary of the Harkin school grant program in Iowa, I am obliged to point out that many thousands of school buildings and facilities across the United States are in dire need of renovation or replacement. In my State of Iowa alone, according to a recent study, some 79 percent of public schools need to be upgraded or repaired. The harsh reality is that the average age of school buildings in the United States is nearly 50 years.

Too often, our children visit ultra-modern shopping malls and gleaming sports arenas on weekends, but during the week go to school in rundown or antiquated facilities. This sends exactly the wrong message to our young people about our priorities. We have to do better.

That is why I am deeply grateful to the professionals and parents in the Mediapolis Community School District. There is no question that a quality public education for every child is a top priority in that community. I salute them, and wish them a very successful new school year.

SOUTHEAST POLK COMMUNITY EDUCATION

Mr. HARKIN. Mr. President, in Iowa and across the United States, a new school year has begun. As you know, Iowa public schools have an excellent reputation nationwide, and Iowa students' test scores are among the highest in the Nation.

I would like to take just a few minutes today to salute the dedicated teachers, administrators, and school board members in the Southeast Polk Community School District, and to report on their participation in a unique Federal partnership to repair and modernize school facilities.

This fall marks the 10th year of the Iowa Demonstration Construction Grant Program. That is its formal name, but it is better known among educators in Iowa as the program of Harkin grants for Iowa public schools. Since 1998, I have been fortunate to secure a total of $121 million for the State government in Iowa, which selects worthy school districts to receive these grants for a range of renovation and modernization projects involving fire safety systems to building new schools or renovating existing facilities. In many cases, this Federal funding is used to leverage public and/or private local funding, so it often has a tremendous multiplier effect in a local school district.

The Southeast Polk Community School District received several Harkin grants totaling $2,486,945 which it used to help modernize and make improvements throughout the district.

The district received four construction grants totaling $2,108,922 to help build a new school in Runnells, to help provide additional classrooms for the new elementary schools in Delaware, and Centennial schools and to help build Clay Elementary in Altoona. The additional classrooms and new schools are needed to address rapid growth of students in the district. This school is modern, state-of-the-art facility that benefits the educational ambitions and excellence of this school district. Indeed, it is the kind of school facility that every child in America deserves. The district also received four construction grants totaling $377,000 to make safety improvements throughout the district.

Excellent schools do not just pop up like mushrooms after a rain. They are the product of vision, leadership, persistence, and a tremendous amount of collaboration among local officials and concerned citizens. I salute the entire staff, administration, and governance in the Southeast Polk Community School District. In particular, I would like to recognize the leadership of the board of education president Brad Skinner, vice president Steve Hanson, Katie Temple, Andrew McGrean, Tom Hadden, Joanne Moeller, and Lori Sallee. And for the ‘It’s For the Kids’ campaign which worked to pass the bond referendum.

As we mark the 10th anniversary of the Harkin school grant program in Iowa, I am obliged to point out that many thousands of school buildings and facilities across the United States are in dire need of renovation or replacement. In my State of Iowa alone, according to a recent study, some 79 percent of public schools need to be upgraded or repaired. The harsh reality is that the average age of school buildings in the United States is nearly 50 years.

Too often, our children visit ultra-modern shopping malls and gleaming sports arenas on weekends, but during the week go to school in rundown or antiquated facilities. This sends exactly the wrong message to our young people about our priorities. We have to do better.

That is why I am deeply grateful to the professionals and parents in the
Mr. HARKIN. Mr. President, in Iowa and across the United States, a new school year has begun. As you know, Iowa public schools have an excellent reputation nationwide, and Iowa students’ test scores are among the highest in the Nation.

I would like to take just a few minutes today to salute the dedicated teachers, administrators, and school board members in the Rock Valley Community School District, and to report on their participation in a unique Federal partnership to repair and modernize school facilities.

This fall marks the 10th year of the Iowa Demonstration Construction Grant Program. That is its formal name, but it is better known among educators in Iowa as the program of Harkin grants to Iowa public schools. Since 1998, I have been fortunate to secure a total of $121 million for the State government in Iowa, which selects worthy school districts to receive these grants for a range of renovation and repair efforts from updating fire safety systems to building new schools or renovating existing facilities. In many cases, this Federal funding is used to leverage public and/or private local funding, so it often has a tremendous multiplier effect in a local school district.

The Rock Valley Community School District received a 2005 Harkin grant totaling $500,000 which it used to help build a new elementary school which includes classroom space for pre-kindergarten classes and a new library for the school district. The district also renovated space in the high school to provide classrooms for the middle school. This school is a modern, state-of-the-art facility that benefits the educational ambitions and excellence of this school district. Indeed, it is the kind of school facility that every child in America deserves.

Excellent schools do not just pop up like mushrooms after a rain. They are the product of vision, leadership, persistence, and a tremendous amount of collaboration among local officials and concerned citizens. I salute the entire staff, administration, and governance in the Rock Valley Community School District. In particular, I would like to recognize the leadership of the board of education—President Al Vermeer, vice president Mary Pat Miller, Scott Koolma, Terry Van Maanen and James Van Veldhuizen and former board members Kevin Boeve, Gary Miller and Brian Nelsen. I would also like to recognize superintendent Dennis Mozer, high school principal Dave Meylink, elementary school principal Don Ortman, business manager Randy Taylor and the many volunteers and leaders of the community group which supported the bond referendum including Cal De Ruyter, Chris Godfredsen, Scott and Ericka Van Keeker, Terry and Sue Van Maanen, Earl De Bey, Brian and Kris Van Der Linde, Lyle Zimmerman, Betty Zimmerman, Robert Mata, former superintendent Chuck Hoogeveen and Darlene Westra.

As we mark the 10th anniversary of the Harkin school grant program in Iowa, I am obliged to point out that many thousands of school buildings and facilities across the United States are in dire need of renovation or replacement. In my State of Iowa alone, according to a recent study, some 79 percent of public schools need to be upgraded or repaired. The harsh reality is that the average age of school buildings in the United States is nearly 50 years.

Too often, our children visit ultra-modern shopping malls and gleaming sports arenas on weekends, but during the week go to school in rundown or antiquated facilities. This sends exactly the wrong message to our young people about our priorities. We have to do better.

That is why I am deeply grateful to the professionals and parents in the Rock Valley Community School District. There is no question that a quality public education for every child is a top priority in that community. I salute them, and wish them a very successful new school year.

WEST LIBERTY COMMUNITY EDUCATION

Mr. HARKIN. Mr. President, in Iowa and across the United States, a new school year has begun. As you know, Iowa public schools have an excellent reputation nationwide, and Iowa students’ test scores are among the highest in the Nation.

I would like to take just a few minutes today to salute the dedicated teachers, administrators, and school board members in the West Liberty Community School District, and to report on their participation in a unique Federal partnership to repair and modernize school facilities.

This fall marks the 10th year of the Iowa Demonstration Construction Grant Program. That is its formal name, but it is better known among educators in Iowa as the program of Harkin grants for Iowa public schools. Since 1998, I have been fortunate to secure a total of $121 million for the State government in Iowa, which selects worthy school districts to receive these grants for a range of renovation and repair efforts from updating fire safety systems to building new schools or renovating existing facilities. In many cases, this Federal funding is used to leverage public and/or private local funding, so it often has a tremendous multiplier effect in a local school district.

The Rock Valley Community School District received a 2005 Harkin grant totaling $500,000 which it used to help build a new elementary school which includes classroom space for pre-kindergarten classes and a new library for the school district. The district also renovated space in the high school to provide classrooms for the middle school. This school is a modern, state-of-the-art facility that benefits the educational ambitions and excellence of this school district. Indeed, it is the kind of school facility that every child in America deserves.

Excellent schools do not just pop up like mushrooms after a rain. They are the product of vision, leadership, persistence, and a tremendous amount of collaboration among local officials and concerned citizens. I salute the entire staff, administration, and governance in the West Liberty Community School District. In particular, I would like to recognize the leadership of the board of education—President Larry Anderson, Harry Christofferson and Richard Brand.

The new high school was the result of the dedicated community leadership of Ken Morrison, Bill Cline, Jerry Anderson, Joyce Gauger, Jim Keele, Bob Owen, Geri Owen, Melody Henderson, Tesla Graham, Darren Brooke, Lori Brooke, Mark Johnson, Jan Fulwider, Lyle Zimmerman, Betty Zimmerman, and Bob Cline and the West Liberty School Foundation whose members included Tim Schneider, Linda Riley, Barb Keele, Bill Koellner, Mary Larson, and Jerry Melick.

I mark this 10th anniversary of the Harkin School Grant program in Iowa, I am obliged to point out that many thousands of school buildings and facilities across the United States are in dire need of renovation or replacement. In my State of Iowa alone, according to a recent study, some 79 percent of public schools need to be upgraded or repaired. The harsh reality is that the average age of school buildings in the United States is nearly 50 years.

Too often, our children visit ultra-modern shopping malls and gleaming sports arenas on weekends but during the week go to school in rundown or antiquated facilities. This sends exactly the wrong message to our young people about our priorities. We have to do better.

That is why I am deeply grateful to the professionals and parents in the West Liberty Community School District. There is no question that a quality public education for every child is a top priority in that community. I salute them and wish them a very successful new school year.
TRIBUTE TO CAROL SCHEMAN

Mr. INOUYE. Mr. President, I wish to recognize a great American who has honorably served our country through her role at Uniformed Services University, USU.

In January 2006, Ms. Scheman began her service at USU. Prior to assuming the vice presidency of External Affairs at USU, she was vice president for government, community and public affairs at the University of Pennsylvania for 11 years. Before serving at University of Pennsylvania, Scheman was the deputy commissioner of external affairs at the Food and Drug Administration. She also served as vice president and director of Federal relations for the Association of American Universities before working for the FDA.

During her time at USU, Ms. Scheman has helped create the university’s first Office of External Affairs bringing together the university’s various units that deal with its external communications—mediating messages to reach/public affairs, alumni affairs, board of regents support, publications, Web site oversight, and associated visual, photo/videographic, graphic services, protocol and events, including community affairs, and government and community affairs. Her team developed the university’s first unified branding initiative that created the content for a campaign to clearly describe the university’s variety of audiences. Under her skillful leadership, USU produced its first annual report, calendar, combined university catalog and internal newsletter; launched a new Web site, and created a large variety of multimedia products designed to increase the university’s visibility. These various products are part of ongoing efforts to reflect coherent, accurate, and accessible information about the university to the general public, prospective students, faculty, local communities, and the media.

She also served as acting executive secretary to the university’s board of regents and supported efforts to increase communication with the board and ensure a seamless transition for new board members. The Office of External Affairs has developed into an interdisciplinary team that continues to develop innovative and creative ways to portray this unique institution to a wide variety of constituencies.

Ms. Scheman worked closely with DOD’s Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health Affairs on public relations outreach, with the relevant congressional committees on issues vital to the university’s continued growth, with academic and scientific societies and associations and local and state government officials on “town-grown” issues, especially as the relate to the base realignment and closure/integration process. She was instrumental in developing new relationships with local and national media and in developing new innovative opportunities for the university to reach a broader audience in order to increase the general knowledge and understanding of this academic health center’s unique role in health professions education.

We wish Ms. Scheman the best of luck on her new role as senior vice president for external affairs at Northeastern University in Boston, MA.

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message from the President of the United States was communicated to the Senate by Mrs. Neiman, one of his secretaries.

EXECUTIVE MESSAGE REFERRED

As in executive session the Presiding Officer laid before the Senate a message from the President of the United States submitting a nomination which was referred to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

(TRANSMITTED)

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

At 10:02 a.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Ms. Niland, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House has passed the following bills, in which it requests the concurrence of the Senate:

H.R. 4311. An act to designate a portion of California State Route 91 located in Los Angeles County, California, as the “Juanita Millemes-McDonald Highway”.

H.R. 6969. An act to provide that claims of the United States to certain documents relating to Franklin Delano Roosevelt shall be treated as waived and relinquished in certain circumstances.


H.R. 7175. An act to amend the Small Business Act to improve the section 7(a) lending program, and for other purposes.

H.R. 7188. An act to establish the Stephanie Tubbs Jones Gift of Life Medal for organ donors and the family of organ donors.

H.R. 7216. An act to amend section 3312 of title 5, United States Code, relating to the Selective Service registration.

H.R. 7217. An act to amend title 40, United States Code, to enhance authorities with regard to real property in the District of Columbia, and for other purposes.

H.R. 7222. An act to extend the Andean Trade Preference Act, and for other purposes.

The message also announced that the House has passed the following bills, without amendment:

S. 1276. An act to facilitate the creation of methamphetamine precursor electronic logbook systems, and for other purposes.

S. 1582. An act to reauthorize and amend the Hydrographic Services Improvement Act, and for other purposes.

S. 2394. An act to amend title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 to provide grants for the improved mental health treatment and services provided to offenders with mental illnesses, and for other purposes.

S. 3128. An act to direct the Secretary of the Interior to provide a loan to the White Mountain Apache Tribe for use in planning, engineering, and designing a certain water system project.

S. 3336. An act to extend the authority of the United States Supreme Court Police to protect court officials off the Supreme Court grounds and change the title of the Administrative Assistant to the Chief Justice.

The message further announced that the House has passed the following bills, with amendments, in which it requests the concurrence of the Senate:

H.R. 4327. An act to amend section 5402 of title 39, United States Code, to modify the authority relating to United States Postal Service air transportation contracts, and for other purposes.

The message also announced that the House has passed the following bill, with an amendment, in which it requests the concurrence of the Senate:

H.R. 7326. An act to improve the quality of Federal and State data regarding the availability and quality of broadband services and to promote the deployment of affordable broadband services to all parts of the Nation.

The message further announced that pursuant to section 333(a)(2) of the Consolidated Natural Resources Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-229), the Minority Leader appoints the following member to the Commission to Study the Potential Creation of a National Museum of the American Latino: Dr. Aida Levitan, Ph.D., of Key Biscayne, Florida.

The message also announced that pursuant to section 4(a) of the Commission on the Abolition of the Transatlantic Slave Trade Act (Public Law 110-183), the Minority Leader appoints the following member to the Commission on the Abolition of the Transatlantic Slave Trade: Mr. Eric Sheppard of Carrollton, Virginia.

The message further announced that the House agrees to the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2963) to transfer certain land in Riverside County, California, from the Bureau of Land Management to the United States to be held in trust for the Pechanga Band of Luiseno Mission Indians, and for other purposes.

The message also announced that the House agrees to the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 3530) to authorize the Secretary of Commerce to sell
or exchange certain National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration property located in Norfolk, Virginia, and for other purposes.

The message further announced that the House agrees to the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 5618) to re-authorize and amend the National Sea Grant College Program Act, and for other purposes.

The message also announced that the House agrees to the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 6098) to amend the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 to permit producers to aggregate base acres and reconstitute farms to avoid the prohibition on receiving direct payments, counter-cyclical payments, or average crop revenue election payments when the sum of the base acres of a farm is 10 acres, or less, and for other purposes.

The message further announced that the House agrees to the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 6849) to amend the commodity provisions of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 to permit producers to aggregate base acres and reconstitute farms to avoid the prohibition on receiving direct payments, counter-cyclical payments, or average crop revenue election payments when the sum of the base acres of a farm is 10 acres, or less, and for other purposes.

### ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED

The President pro tempore (Mr. BYRD) announced that on today, September 30, 2008, he had signed the following enrolled bills, previously signed by the President:

H.R. 3229. An act to require the Secretary of the Treasury to mint coins in commemoration of the legacy of the United States Army Infantry and the establishment of the National Infantry Museum and Soldier Center.

H.R. 5263. An act to amend the Public Health Service Act to provide for research with respect to various forms of muscular dystrophy, including Becker, congenital, distal Duchenne, Emery-Dreifuss facioscapulohumeral, limb-girdle, myotonic, and ocular muscular dystrophies.

H.R. 5872. An act to require the Secretary of the Treasury to mint coins in commemoration of the centennial of the Boy Scouts of America, and for other purposes.

### ENROLLED BILLS PRESENTED

The Assistant Secretary of the Senate reported that on today, September 30, 2008, she had presented to the President of the United States the following enrolled bills:

S. 2162. An act to improve the treatment and services provided by the Department of Veterans Affairs to veterans with post-traumatic stress disorder and substance use disorders, and for other purposes.

S. 2840. An act to establish a liaison with the Federal Bureau of Investigation in the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services to expedite naturalization applications filed by members of the Armed Forces and veterans, pursuant to Act of 2002.

S. 2982. An act to amend the Runaway and Homeless Youth Act to authorize appropriations, and for other purposes.

S. 3597. An act to provide that funds allocated for community food projects for fiscal year 2008 shall remain available until September 30, 2009.

### EXECUTIVE AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS

The following communications were laid before the Senate, together with accompanying papers, reports, and documents, and were referred as indicated:

**EC-8127.** A communication from the Division Director of the Policy Issuances Division, Food Safety and Inspection Service, Department of Agriculture, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Determining Where Wind Compliance for Meat and Poultry Products” (RIN0583–AD17)(73 FR 52189) received on September 18, 2008, to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

**EC-8129.** A communication from the Division Director of the Policy Issuances Division, Food Safety and Inspection Service, Department of Agriculture, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Allowing Bar-Type Cut Turkey Operations To Use J-Type Cut Maximum Line Speeds” (RIN0583–AD18)(73 FR 51889) received on September 9, 2008, to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

**EC-8130.** A communication from the Secretary of Energy, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report entitled “First Report to Congress on Actions Taken by the Department of Energy in Response to the Proposals in the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board’s December 2003 Report to Congress on Plutonium Storage at the Savannah River Site”; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

**EC-8131.** A communication from the Chief of the Publications and Regulations Unit, Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Tax-Exempt Bond Partnerships: Eligibility for Monthly Closing Elections” (Notice 2008–80) received on September 25, 2008, to the Committee on Finance.

**EC-8132.** A communication from the Chief of the Publications and Regulations Unit, Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Applicable Federal Rates—October 2008” (Rev. Rul. 2008–49) received on September 25, 2008, to the Committee on Finance.

**EC-8133.** A communication from the Chief of the Publications and Regulations Unit, Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Relaxing Restrictions on Issuing Letters to New Pre-approved Plans” (Notice 2008–79) received on September 25, 2008, to the Committee on Finance.

**EC-8134.** A communication from the Acting Assistant Secretary, Office of Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, the certification of a proposed license for the export of defense articles to Canada; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

**EC-8135.** A communication from the Program Analyst, Federal Aviation Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Airworthiness Directives; Viking Air Limited Models DHC–6–1, DHC–6–100, DHC–6–200, and DHC–6–300 Airplanes” (Docket No. FAA–2008–09370) received on August 20, 2008, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

**EC-8136.** A communication from the Program Analyst, Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Establishment of Class E5 Airspace; Long Prairie, MN” (Docket No. FAA– 2008–09369) received on August 20, 2008, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

**EC-8138.** A communication from the Program Analyst, Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Establishment of Class E Airspace; Philippe, WV” (Docket No. FAA–2008–09371) received on August 20, 2008, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

**EC-8139.** A communication from the Chief of the Regulations and Administrative Law, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Proposed Establishment of Class E Airspace; Philippi, WV” (Docket No. FAA–2008–09372) received on August 20, 2008, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

**EC-8140.** A communication from the Chief of the Regulations and Administrative Law, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Training and Service Requirements for Merchant Marine Officers” (RIN1625–AB10)(USCG–2008–26222) received on September 25, 2008, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

**EC-8141.** A communication from the Chief of the Regulations and Administrative Law, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Security Zone Regulations (including 2 beginning with USCG–2008–0823)” (RIN1625–AA87) received on September 25, 2008, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

**EC-8142.** A communication from the Chief of the Regulations and Administrative Law, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Safety Zone Regulations (including 2 beginning with USCG–2008–0823)” (RIN1625–AA87) received on September 25, 2008, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

**EC-8143.** A communication from the Chief of the Regulations and Administrative Law, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Establishment of Local Security Zones, Choptank River, Cambridge, MD” (RIN1625–AA86)(Docket No. USCG–2008–0823) received on September 25, 2008, to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

**EC-8144.** A communication from the Chief of the Regulations and Administrative Law, U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Financial Responsibility for Water Pollution (Vessels) and OPA...
INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

By Ms. SNOWE:
S. 3656. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to prevent an employee's gross income any employer-provided supplemental instructional services assistance, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. SCHUMER (for himself and Mrs. CLINTON):
S. 3656. A bill to preserve access to healthcare under the Medicare and Medicaid programs; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. BAUCUS:
S. 3657. A bill to provide additional respite care for spouses of the Armed Forces who deploy overseas, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Armed Services.

By Mr. CARPER:
S. 3658. A bill to require the accreditation of English language training programs, and for other purposes; considered and passed.

SUBMISSION OF CONCURRENT AND SENATE RESOLUTIONS

The following concurrent resolutions and Senate resolutions were read, and referred (or acted upon), as indicated:

By Mrs. DOLE (for herself, Mr. BROWN, Mr. VOINOVICH, Mr. BURR, Mr. STEVENS, Mr. VITTER, Mr. ROBERTS, and Mr. MCCONNELL):
S. Res. 695. A resolution commending the Honor Flight Network and its volunteers and donors for making it possible for World War II veterans to travel to the Nation's capital to visit the World War II Memorial created in their honor; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. STEVENS (for himself and Ms. MUIRKWICK):
S. Res. 696. A resolution designating September 2008 as "National Youth Court Month"; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. VONCEKELLER (for himself and Mr. BENNETT):
S. Res. 697. A resolution supporting the goals and ideals of National Cyber Security Awareness Month and raising awareness and enhancing the state of computer security in the United States; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. REID (for himself, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mr. CASEY, Mrs. DOLE, Mr. LEAHY, Mrs. BOXER, Mr. INHOFE, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Mr. JOHN-SON, Mr. WYDEN, and Mr. BAYH)):
S. Res. 698. A resolution designating October 17, 2008, as "National Mammography Day"; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. DODD (for himself, Ms. COL-LINAS, Mr. MCDONALD, Mr. McCAIN, Mr. LEAHY, and Mr. OBAMA):
S. Res. 699. A resolution supporting the work of firefighters to educate and protect the Nation’s communities, and the goals and ideals of Fire Prevention Week, October 5-11, 2008, as designated by the National Fire Protection Association; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. CARDIN (for himself, Ms. MIRKUSKI, Mr. LEVIN, Ms. STABENOW, Mr. MARTINEZ, Mr. DURBIN, Mrs. DOLE, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mrs. FLEINSTEIN, Mr. SHIELS, Mr. FEINGOLD, Mr. BUNNING, Mr. NELSON of Florida, Mr. BURBANK, Mrs. BOXER, and Mr. LAUTEN- BERG): S. Res. 700. A resolution congratulating Michael Phelps and the members and coach- es of the United States Olympic Team for their record-breaking performance at the 2008 Summer Olympic Games in Beijing, China; considered and agreed to.

ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 587
At the request of Mr. MENENDEZ, the names of the Senator from Vermont (Mr. SANDERS), the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. KENNEDY) and the Senator from Wisconsin (Mr. KOHL) were added as cosponsors of S. 587, a bill to require the Secretary of the Treasury to mint coins in commemoration of the Model T Ford Automobile and the 100th anniversary of the Highland Park Plant, Michigan, the birthplace of the assembly line, and for other purposes.

S. 1130
At the request of Mrs. LINCOLN, the name of the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. JOHNSON) was added as a co-sponsor of S. 1130, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to restore, increase, and make permanent the exclusion from gross income for amounts received under qualified group legal services plans.

S. 3293
At the request of Mr. SCHUMER, the name of the Senator from South Dakota (Mr. JOHNSON) was added as a co- sponsor from Wisconsin (Mr. KOHL) was added as a co-sponsor of S. 3331, a bill to require the payment of the manufacturers’ excise tax on recreational equipment be paid quarterly.

S. 3416
At the request of Mr. LAUTENBERG, the name of the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. MENENDEZ) was added as a co-sponsor of S. 3416, a bill to amend section 4012(a) of title 49, United States Code, to improve the dispute resolution process at the Federal Aviation Administration, and for other purposes.

S. 3335
At the request of Ms. LINCOLN, the name of the Senator from Minnesota (Mr. COLEMAN) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3505, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to
provide for the coverage of home infusion therapy under the Medicare Program.

S. 3539

At the request of Ms. Collins, the name of the Senator from Wyoming (Mr. Barrasso) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3539, a bill to require the Secretary of Treasury to mint coins in commemoration of the centennial of the establishment of the Girl Scouts of the United States of America.

S. 3596

At the request of Mr. Kerry, the name of the Senator from Indiana (Mr. Bayh) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3596, a bill to stabilize the small business lending market, and for other purposes.

S. 3652

At the request of Ms. Cantwell, the name of the Senator from Florida (Mr. Nelson) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3652, a bill to amend the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 to provide for country of origin labeling for dairy products.

S. RES. 616

At the request of Mrs. Clinton, the name of the Senator from Minnesota (Ms. Klobuchar) and the Senator from New Hampshire (Mr. Gregg) was added as cosponsors of S. Res. 616, a resolution reducing maternal mortality both at home and abroad.

S. RES. 630

At the request of Ms. Cantwell, the name of the Senator from Indiana (Mr. Bayh) was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 630, a resolution recognizing the importance of connecting foster youth to the workforce through internship programs, and encouraging employers to increase employment of former foster youth.

S. RES. 636

At the request of Mr. Graham, the name of the Senator from New Hampshire (Mr. Gregg) was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 636, a resolution recognizing the strategic success of the troop surge in Iraq and expressing gratitude to the members of the United States Armed Forces who made that success possible.

STATEMENTS ON INTRODUCED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

By Ms. Snowe:

S. 3655. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to exclude from an employee's gross income any employer-provided supplemental instructional services assistance, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Finance.

Ms. Snowe. Mr. President, today I rise to introduce legislation to increase access for our Nation's children to affordable, quality tutoring. The Affordable Tutoring for Our Children Act would enable middle-class families to purchase supplemental instructional services on a pre-tax basis, ensuring greater utilization of critical educational tools.

A sound education for every American child is fundamental to the well-being and prosperity of our society, both now and in the future. Yet, as we are all acutely aware, not every child learns at the same pace, nor in the same manner; face unique challenges that cannot be overcome simply in a typical classroom setting. Many children require—and greatly benefit from—additional help in academics. Regrettably, our nation's middle-class families are increasingly unable to afford this essential ancillary support for their children. These families find themselves under considerable and ever-increasing financial strain, with more and more income going to pay for gasoline, healthcare, groceries, and a multitude of other expenses. Furthermore, according to a 2007 report from Demos and the Institute on Assets & Social Policy at Brandeis University, more than half of middle-class families have no financial assets, or worse, their debts exceed their assets.

At present, employees may set aside a portion of their earnings to establish a flexible spending account, or FSA, allowing them to pay for qualified medical or dependent care expenses free from income and payroll taxes. Our legislation would permit employees to use their dependent care FSAs to cover supplemental instructional expenses, thereby saving themselves up to 40 percent of their cost. Critically, this bill is targeted to middle-class families, those who earn more than $150,000.

Indeed, only those employees making $105,000 or less per year would be able to exclude amounts paid for these services from their taxable income. Additionally, supplemental instructional expenses would be subject to a combined $5,000 cap with other dependent care expenses.

This bill would help more middle-class children to receive extra assistance for a host of subjects ranging from English and mathematics to science, government, and foreign languages. At a time when graduates who attain a bachelor's degree earn roughly 96 percent more than high school graduates, according to the U.S. Bureau of the Census, it is vital that our Nation's children get the help they need to succeed.

With middle-class families feeling the squeeze from every angle, our legislation would provide essential relief for those parents seeking to ensure that their children have the best educational experience possible. I urge my colleagues to consider the dramatic advantage our children will gain from this crucial bill, and look forward to its passage in a timely manner.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the Record.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the Record, as follows:

S. 3653

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Affordable Tutoring of Our Children Act."

SEC. 2. EXCLUSION OF EMPLOYER-PROVIDED SUPPLEMENTAL INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES ASSISTANCE.

(a) In General.—Section 129 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (relating to dependent care assistance programs) is amended—

(1) by inserting "and supplemental instructional services assistance" after "dependent care assistance" each place it appears (except in subsections (d)(4) and (e)(1) thereof), and

(2) by inserting "and supplemental instructional services" after "dependent care services" both places it appears in subsection (a)(2),

(b) SUPPLEMENTAL INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES ASSISTANCE.—Section 129(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (relating to definitions and services) is amended by redesignating paragraphs (2) through (10), respectively, and by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph:

"(2) supplemental instructional services assistance.—"

"(A) IN GENERAL.—The term 'supplemental instructional services assistance' means the payment of, or provision of, supplemental instructional services to an employee's dependent (as defined in subsection (a)(1) of section 152, determined without regard to subsection (c)(1)(C) thereof) who—

"(i) has attained the age of 5 but not the age of 19 as of the close of the calendar year in which the taxable year of the employee begins, and

"(ii) has not obtained a high school diploma or been awarded a general education diploma.

"(B) SUPPLEMENTAL INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES.—The term 'supplemental instructional services' means instructional or other academic enrichment services which are—

"(i) in addition to instruction provided during the school day,

"(ii) specifically designed to increase the academic achievement of such dependent,

"(iii) in the core academic studies of English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, social studies, and geography, and

"(iv) provided by a State certified instructor or accredited organization,

"by Exclusion for Supplemental Instructional Services Assistance Provided to Highly Compensated Employers.—Section 129(a)(2)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 relating to limitation of exclusion is amended by inserting "", except that no amount may be excluded under paragraph (1) for supplemental instructional services paid or incurred by an employee who is a highly compensated employee (within the meaning of section 414(q)) after "individual".

"(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 21(b)(2)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: "Such term shall not include aid for supplemental instructional services (as defined in section 129(e)(2)(B))."
agreed to:

Whereas the Honor Flight Network is a nonprofit organization that uses transpor- tantional services assistance after "assistance".

(1) The heading for section 129 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by inserting "and supplemental instructional services assistance" after "dependent care assistance" both places it appears.

(c) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

(2) The item relating to section 129 in the table of contents at the beginning of subpart B of chapter II of such Code is amended by inserting "and supplemental instructional services assistance" after "assistance".

(1) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2008.

SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 695—COMMENDING THE HONOR FLIGHT NETWORK AND ITS VOLUNTEERS AND DONORS FOR MAKING IT POSSIBLE FOR WORLD WAR II VETERANS TO TRAVEL TO THE NATION'S CAPITAL TO VISIT THE WORLD WAR II MEMORIAL CREATED IN THEIR HONOR.

Mrs. DOLE (for herself, Mr. BROWN, Mr. Voinovich, Mr. Burr, Mr. Stevens, Mr. Vitter, Mr. Roberts, and Mr. McCONNELL) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. Res. 695

Whereas, in 2004, nearly 60 years after World War II ended, veterans of that war and all those who supported the war effort at home received recognition for their service, sacrifice, and victory by the dedication of the national World War II Memorial located on the National Mall in Washington, District of Columbia;

Whereas many veterans of World War II who fought with courage and valor for the United States are now in their 80s and 90s, and because of the physical, financial, and housing affordability constraints of the overall United States economy, is ubiquitous; Whereas the nearly 27,000,000 United States small businesses, which represent more than 60 percent of all United States employees and employ more than 50 percent of the private workforce, increasingly rely on the Internet to manage their businesses, expand their customer reach, and manage the management of their supply chain;

Whereas the number of children who connect to the Internet at school continues to rise, and teaching children of all ages to become good cyber-citizens, secure, and ethical online behaviors and practices is essential to protect their computer systems and potentially their physical safety;

Whereas the growth and popularity of social networking websites has attracted millions of teenagers, providing access to a range of valuable services, making it all the more important to teach teenagers how to avoid potential threats like cyber bullies, predators, and identity thieves they may come across while using such websites;

Whereas cyber security is a critical part of the United States overall homeland security;

Whereas the United States critical infrastructures and economy rely on the secure and reliable operation of information networks to support the United States financial services, energy, telecommunications, transportation, health care, and emergency response systems;

Whereas cyber attacks have been attempted against the United States and the economy of the United States, and the mis- sion of the Department of Homeland Security, the National Institute of Standards and Technology, and the National Science Foundation, is essential to securing the homeland against cyber terrorism and other attacks;

Whereas Internet users and information infra- structure owners face an increasing threat of malicious crime and fraud attacks through viruses, worms, Trojans, and unwanted programs such as spyware, adware, hacking tools, and password steal- ers, that are frequent and fast in propagating, are costly to repair, and may disable entire systems;

Whereas coordination between the numerous Federal agencies involved in cyber security efforts, including the Department of Homeland Security, the National Institute of Standards and Technology, and the National Science Foundation, is essential to securing the cyber infrastructure of the United States;

Whereas millions of records containing personally identifiable information have been lost, stolen, or breached, threatening the security and financial well-being of United States citizens;

Whereas national organizations, policymakers, government agencies, private sector companies, nonprofit institutions, schools,
academic organizations, consumers, and the media recognize the need to increase awareness of computer security and the need for enhanced computer security in the United States.

Whereas the National Strategy to Secure Cyberspace, published in February 2003, recommends a comprehensive national awareness program involving all levels of government, the United States, including businesses, the general workforce, and the general public, to secure their own parts of cyberspace; and whereas the Department of Homeland Security, in conjunction with the National Cyber Security Alliance and the Multi-State Information Sharing and Analysis Center, has determined that October 2008 as the first annual National Cyber Security Awareness Month which serves to educate the people of the United States about the importance of computer security; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) supports the goals and ideals of National Cyber Security Awareness Month;
(2) congratulates the National Cyber Security Division of the Department of Homeland Security, the National Cyber Security Alliance, the Multi-State Information Sharing and Analysis Center, and other organizations working to improve cyber security in the United States on the fifth anniversary of the National Cyber Security Month during October 2008; and
(3) continues to work with Federal agencies, national organizations, businesses, and educational institutions to encourage the development and implementation of voluntary standards, practices, and technologies in order to enhance the state of computer security in the United States.

Mr. ROCKEFELLER. Mr. President, today I am pleased to submit, along with Senator BENNETT, a resolution supporting National Cyber Security Awareness Month.

The connectivity provided by the Internet has profoundly changed almost every aspect of our lives, but it has also given rise to what I view as the next great threat to our national security and our economic security, the danger of cyber attack, cyber espionage, and cyber crime.

At the policy level, responding to this threat requires the development of advanced technologies combined with creative new Government policies. Above all, we need to focus on improving the cyber security of the Federal Government and the critical infrastructure, including our electric power grid, communications, banking, and transportation systems. These are all critical to our way of life and we must work together to ensure they are secure.

Of course, whatever we do to monitor and thwart bad actors on the Internet, we must be careful to preserve the privacy and civil liberties of U.S. persons, especially during the transition from a legal structure based on older technologies to a legal structure designed for the Internet age.

We must also think about the best way to communicate our national cyber security policy to the public. Though some elements of the threat and cure are kept classified, the public needs to understand the general nature of the threat we face, the Government’s responsibility to secure the Internet, and how Government involvement will affect U.S. persons and privacy.

Fortunately, I am happy to report an increasing level of interest and debate on Capitol Hill and around the country. Here in Washington, in the past year, the full committee has held two hearings and many Member briefings, setup a working group with seven staff, sponsored two Technical Advisory Group studies, and worked with other congressional committees in a bipartisan cyber issues.

Back home in West Virginia, at our State Homeland Security Summit, we held a productive session focused on cyber security for State and local leaders. I have taken on this issue as a priority of increasing importance, but much work remains to be done. I encourage everyone to help me raise awareness and develop effective channels of communication on this issue.

One of the ways we can raise awareness is by Upcoming National Cyber Security Awareness Month, coming up in October. Each year, the National Cyber Security Division of the Department of Homeland Security joins with the National Cyber Security Alliance, the Multi-State Information Sharing and Analysis Center, and other organizations working to improve cyber security in the U.S. to support National Cyber Security Awareness Month.

The goal is to educate and empower Internet users to take simple steps to safeguard themselves from the latest online threats and respond to cyber crime; and to bring Federal agencies, national organizations, businesses, and educational institutions together to encourage development and implementation of cyber security best practices.

I thank my distinguished colleague, Senator BENNETT, for cosponsoring this resolution and for his leadership on this issue. I look forward to working with Senator BENNETT and other members of Congress to improve our cyber security in the future.

SENATE RESOLUTION 698—DESIGNATING OCTOBER 17, 2008, AS “NATIONAL MAMMOGRAPHY DAY”

Mr. REID (for Mr. BIDEN (for himself), Mr. GRASSLEY, Mr. CASEY, Mrs. DOLE, Mr. LEAHY, Mrs. BOXER, Mr. INHOFE, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. WYDEN and Mr. BAYH) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 698

Whereas, according to the American Cancer Society, in 2008, 182,460 women will be diagnosed with invasive breast cancer and 40,480 women will die of this disease;

Whereas African-American women suffer a 36 percent greater mortality rate from breast cancer than White women and more than a 100 percent greater mortality rate from breast cancer than women from Hispanic, Asian, and American Indian populations;

Whereas the risk of breast cancer increases with age, with a woman at age 70 having an estimated 1,000,000 women diagnosed with breast cancer during October 2008 as the fifth anniversary of the National Cyber Security Awareness Month;

Whereas mammograms, when operated professionally at a certified facility, can provide safe screening and early detection of breast cancer in many instances of developing the disease as a woman at age 50;

Whereas at least 90 percent of the women who get breast cancer have no family history of breast cancer;

Whereas mammography is an excellent method for early detection of localized breast cancer, which has a 5-year survival rate of 98 percent;

Whereas the National Cancer Institute and the American Cancer Society continue to recommend periodic mammograms; and

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) designates October 17, 2008, as “National Mammography Day.” This is the 16th straight year I have introduced such legislation, and I am proud to say that on each occasion the Senate has shown its support for the fight against breast cancer by approving the proposal.

Each year, as I prepare to introduce this resolution, I review the latest information from the American Cancer Society about breast cancer. For 2008, it is estimated that nearly 182,460 women will be diagnosed with invasive breast cancer and 40,480 women will die of this disease.

The first several times I introduced this resolution, I commented on how great the statistics surrounding breast cancer were. While we still must address the unfortunate trend of a higher mortality rate in African American women when compared to that of White women and women from other minority groups, there are some numbers that give us hope in our struggle to defeat this disease. As I mentioned last year, the trend over time is that the number of deaths from breast cancer is fairly stable and falling from one year to the next. According to the American Cancer Society, the death rate from breast cancer in women has decreased since 1990: between 1975-1990, the death rate decreased by 2 percent; between 1990-2004, the death rate decreased by 2.2 percent annually.

This decline in the breast cancer mortality rate has been attributed to improvements in breast cancer treatment, as well as early detection from mammograms and other screening tools. New digital techniques make the process of mammography more rapid and precise than before. In addition, early detection of breast cancer...
continues to result in extremely favorable outcomes: 98 percent of women with localized breast cancer and 84 percent of those with regional disease will survive 5 years or longer. Government programs will provide free mammograms to those who can’t afford them, as well as Medicaid eligibility for treatment if breast cancer is diagnosed. Information about treatment of breast cancer with surgery, chemotherapy, and radiation therapy has exploded, reflecting enormous research developments with regards to this disease. Thanks to the advances in research, screening, and treatment, a diagnosis of breast cancer is not a death sentence, all of us encounter long-term survivors of this disease almost daily, whether we realize it or not.

Recently, there has been discussion among scientists regarding the best and most appropriate screening tool for breast cancer, traditional mammography or more advanced technology like magnetic resonance imaging, MRI. In addition, newspapers have been filled with discussions over whether the scientific evidence actually supports the conclusion that periodic screening mammography saves lives. For those of us who are neither physicians nor scientists in this highly technical area, we look to the experts. The American Cancer Society, the National Cancer Institute, and the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force all continue to recommend annual mammography. However, it is also of note, that in 2007, an expert panel convened by the American Cancer Society released new recommendations for the use of MRIs for women at increased risk. The Society recommended annual screening, including an MRI in addition to mammography for high risk women, lifetime risk of greater than 20 percent, of developing the disease. Women with moderately increased risk of developing the disease, lifetime risk of 15 to 20 percent, should discuss with their physician the option of an MRI in addition to their annual mammogram. Women that do not fall into the high or moderate risk categories have no need to supplement their mammogram with an MRI.

I know that some women don’t get annual mammograms due to fear or forgetfulness. It is only human nature for some to avoid mammograms because of what the tests cause they are afraid of what the tests might reveal. To those who are fearful, I would say that if you get periodic routine mammograms, and the latest one indicates a possible cancer, even before any symptoms or before detection of a lump through a self-exam, you have great reason to be optimistic. Such early detected breast cancers are highly treatable.

Then there is forgetfulness. I understand how difficult it is to remember to do something each year, a date that she won’t forget: a child’s birthday, an anniversary, perhaps even the day her taxes are due. On National Mammography Day, let’s ask our loved ones: pick one of these dates, fix it in your mind along with a picture of your child, your wedding, or a meaningful date, and promise yourself to get a mammogram on that day. Once you pick a date, call your health care provider and make the appointment. If you have access to the internet, go to the American Cancer Society’s website and sign up for the mammogram reminder service—they’ll send you an e-mail to remind you about the date you picked.

Do it for yourself and your loved ones who want you to be part of their lives for as long as possible.

Mr. President, I urge my colleagues to join me in the ongoing fight against breast cancer by supporting this resolution to designate October 17, 2008, as “National Mammography Day.”


Whereas firefighters have maintained their dedication to the health and safety of the American public since the first American fire departments were organized in the colonial era;

Whereas more than 1,140,000 firefighters protect the United States through their heroic service;

Whereas approximately 1,600,000 fires are reported annually in the United States;

Whereas 162 firefighters lost their lives in the line of duty in 2007;

Whereas fire departments responded to nearly 5,000,000 home fires in 2006;

Whereas, in 2006, there were an estimated 269,000 reported home structure fires resulting in 2,580 civilian deaths and 12,500 civilian injuries, and 13,000 in direct damage in the United States;

Whereas home fires cause 80 percent of civilian fire deaths and 76 percent of injuries; whereas heating equipment and smoking are the leading causes of civilian home fire deaths;

Whereas children under 5 and older adults face the highest risk of home fire death, but young adults face a higher risk of home fire injury;

Whereas electrical distribution and lighting equipment were involved in an estimated 20,900 reported home fires in 2005;

Whereas home fires in 2005 resulted in 500 civilian deaths and 1,100 injuries, with an estimated $862,000,000 in direct property damage per year;

Whereas working smoke alarms cut the risk of dying in reported home structure fires in half;

Whereas 65 percent of reported home fire deaths in 2000 through 2004 resulted from fires in homes with no smoke alarms or no working smoke alarms;

Whereas Fire Prevention Week is the longest running public health and safety observance on record;

Whereas we have honored firefighters for educating the American public since President Harding declared the first Fire Prevention Week in 1922;

Whereas the National Fire Protection Association has designated the week of October 5–11, 2008, as Fire Prevention Week; and

Whereas educational methods to prevent home fires continues to be a priority for all firefighters: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved. That the Senate—

(1) supports the work of firefighters to educate and protect the Nation’s communities; and

(2) supports the goals and ideals of Fire Prevention Week, October 5–11, 2008, as designated by the National Fire Protection Association.

SENATE RESOLUTION 700—CONGRATULATING MICHAEL PHELPS AND THE MEMBERS AND COACHES OF THE UNITED STATES OLYMPIC SWIMMING TEAM FOR THEIR RECORD-BREAKING PERFORMANCE AT THE 2008 SUMMER OLYMPIC GAMES IN BEIJING, CHINA

Mr. CARDIN (for himself, Ms. Mikulski, Mr. Levin, Ms. Stabenow, Mr. Martinez, Mr. Durbin, Mrs. Dolle, Mr. Menendez, Mrs. Feinstein, Mr. Shelby, Mr. Feingold, Mr. Bunning, Mr. Nelson of Florida, Mr. Bush, Mrs. Boxer, and Mr. Lautenberg) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. Res. 699

Whereas firefighters have maintained their dedication to the health and safety of the American public since the first American fire departments were organized in the colonial era;

Whereas more than 1,140,000 firefighters protect the United States through their heroic service;

Whereas approximately 1,600,000 fires are reported annually in the United States;

Whereas 162 firefighters lost their lives in the line of duty in 2007;

Whereas fire departments responded to nearly 5,000,000 home fires in 2006;

Whereas, in 2006, there were an estimated 269,000 reported home structure fires resulting in 2,580 civilian deaths and 12,500 civilian injuries, and 13,000 in direct damage in the United States;

Whereas home fires cause 80 percent of civilian fire deaths and 76 percent of injuries; whereas heating equipment and smoking are the leading causes of civilian home fire deaths;

Whereas children under 5 and older adults face the highest risk of home fire death, but young adults face a higher risk of home fire injury;

Whereas electrical distribution and lighting equipment were involved in an estimated 20,900 reported home fires in 2005;

Whereas home fires in 2005 resulted in 500 civilian deaths and 1,100 injuries, with an estimated $862,000,000 in direct property damage per year;

Whereas working smoke alarms cut the risk of dying in reported home structure fires in half;

Whereas 65 percent of reported home fire deaths in 2000 through 2004 resulted from fires in homes with no smoke alarms or no working smoke alarms;

Whereas Fire Prevention Week is the longest running public health and safety observance on record;

Whereas we have honored firefighters for educating the American public since President Harding declared the first Fire Prevention Week in 1922;

Whereas the National Fire Protection Association has designated the week of October 5–11, 2008, as Fire Prevention Week; and

Whereas educating citizens about the best ways to prevent home fires continues to be a priority for all firefighters: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved. That the Senate—

(1) supports the work of firefighters to educate and protect the Nation’s communities; and

(2) supports the goals and ideals of Fire Prevention Week, October 5–11, 2008, as designated by the National Fire Protection Association.

Whereas, on August 10, 2008, Michael Phelps of Baltimore, Maryland, set a world-record time of 4:03.84 and won the gold medal in the men’s 400-meter individual medley event, and Ryan Lochte of Daytona Beach, Florida, won the bronze medal in the same event;

Whereas, on August 10, 2008, Katie Hoff of Towson, Maryland, won the bronze medal in the women’s 400-meter individual medley event;

Whereas, on August 10, 2008, Natalie Coughlin of Vallejo, California, Lacey Nymeyer of Tucson, Arizona, Kara Lynn Joyce of Ann Arbor, Michigan, and Dara Torres of Los Angeles, California, set a record time for athletes from the United States of 3:42.78 and won the silver medal in the women’s 400-meter freestyle relay event;

Whereas, on August 10, 2008, Larsen Jensen of Bakersfield, California, set a record time for athletes from the United States of 3:42.78 and won the bronze medal in the men’s 400-meter freestyle event;

Whereas, on August 11, 2008, Michael Phelps, Garrett Weber-Gale of Milwaukee, Wisconsin, Cullen Jones of Irvington, New Jersey, and Jason Lezak of Irvine, California, set a world-record time of 3:06.24 and won the gold medal in the men’s 400-meter freestyle relay event, with anchor Jason Lezak coming from behind to edge the team from France by 9⁄100 of a second in 1 of the most dramatic finishes in Olympic swimming history;

Whereas, on August 11, 2008, Katie Hoff won the silver medal in the women’s 400-meter freestyle event;

Whereas, on August 11, 2008, Christine Magnuson of Tinley Park, Illinois, won the silver medal in the women’s 400-meter individual medley event;
medal in the women’s 100-meter butterfly event;

Whereas, on August 12, 2008, Michael Phelps set a world-record time of 1:42.96 and won the gold medal in the men’s 200-meter breaststroke event, and Peter Vanderkaay of Rochester, Michigan, won the bronze medal in the same event;

Whereas on August 12, 2008, Natalie Coughlin set a record time for athletes from the United States of 58.96 and won the gold medal in the women’s 100-meter backstroke event, and Matt Grevers, of Huntsville, Alabama, won the silver medal in the same event;

Whereas, on August 12, 2008, Rebecca Soni of Plainsboro, New Jersey, won the silver medal in the women’s 100-meter breaststroke event;

Whereas, on August 13, 2008, Michael Phelps set a world-record time of 1:52.03 and won the gold medal in the men’s 200-meter butterfly event, edging Laszlo Cseh of Hungary by the width of a fingernail;

Whereas Michael Phelps then teamed with Ricky Remmington of Charlotte, North Carolina, Ryan Lochte, and Peter Vanderkaay, to set a world-record time of 5:58.56 and win the gold medal in the men’s 400-meter medley relay event, edging the team from Russia by more than 5 seconds and winning the tenth and 11th gold medals of Michael Phelps’s career, more than any other athlete in history;

Whereas, on August 13, 2008, Natalie Coughlin won the bronze medal in the women’s 200-meter individual medley event;

Whereas, on August 13, 2008, Katie Hoff set a record time for athletes from the United States of 1:55.78 and finished fourth in the women’s 200-meter freestyle event;

Whereas, on August 14, 2008, Allison Schmitt of Canton, Michigan, Caroline Burckle of Louisville, Kentucky, Natalie Coughlin, and Katie Hoff set a record time for athletes from the United States of 7:46.23 and won the bronze medal in the women’s 800-meter freestyle relay event;

Whereas, on August 14, 2008, Jason Lezak tied with Alessandro Balbi of Italy for the bronze medal in the men’s 100-meter freestyle event;

Whereas, on August 15, 2008, Michael Phelps set an Olympic-record time of 1:54.23 and won the gold medal in the men’s 200-meter individual medley event, and Ryan Lochte won the bronze medal in the same event;

Whereas, on August 15, 2008, Ryan Lochte set a world-record time of 1:53.94 and won the gold medal in the men’s 200-meter backstroke event, and Aaron Peirsol won the silver medal in the same event;

Whereas, on August 15, 2008, Rebecca Soni set a world-record time of 2:29.34 and won the gold medal in the men’s 400-meter medley relay event;

Whereas, on August 17, 2008, Dara Torres set a record time for athletes from the United States of 49.97 and won the bronze medal in the women’s 50-meter freestyle event;

Whereas Dara Torres then teamed with Natalie Coughlin, Rebecca Soni, and Christine Magnuson to set a record time for athletes from the United States of 3:53.30 and won the silver medal in the women’s 400-meter medley relay event, edging the team from Hungary for the exemplary way in which they represented the United States of America while competing in Beijing, China;

Whereas Phelps set a world-record time of 2:20.22 and won the gold medal in the men’s 200-meter individual medley event, edging Laszlo Cseh of Hungary by the width of a fingernail;

Whereas Michael Phelps then teamed with Ricky Remmington of Charlotte, North Carolina, Ryan Lochte, and Peter Vanderkaay, to set a world-record time of 5:58.56 and win the gold medal in the men’s 400-meter medley relay event, edging the team from Russia by more than 5 seconds and winning the tenth and 11th gold medals of Michael Phelps’s career, more than any other athlete in history;

Whereas, on August 15, 2008, Rebecca Soni won the bronze medal in the same event;

Whereas Phelps set a world-record time of 1:54.23 and won the gold medal in the men’s 200-meter individual medley event, edging Laszlo Cseh of Hungary by the width of a fingernail;

Whereas Michael Phelps then teamed with Ricky Remmington of Charlotte, North Carolina, Ryan Lochte, and Peter Vanderkaay, to set a world-record time of 5:58.56 and win the gold medal in the men’s 400-meter medley relay event, edging the team from Russia by more than 5 seconds and winning the tenth and 11th gold medals of Michael Phelps’s career, more than any other athlete in history;

Whereas, on August 15, 2008, Rebecca Soni won the bronze medal in the same event;

Whereas Phelps set a world-record time of 1:54.23 and won the gold medal in the men’s 200-meter individual medley event, edging Laszlo Cseh of Hungary by the width of a fingernail;

Whereas Michael Phelps then teamed with Ricky Remmington of Charlotte, North Carolina, Ryan Lochte, and Peter Vanderkaay, to set a world-record time of 5:58.56 and win the gold medal in the men’s 400-meter medley relay event, edging the team from Russia by more than 5 seconds and winning the tenth and 11th gold medals of Michael Phelps’s career, more than any other athlete in history;

Whereas, on August 15, 2008, Rebecca Soni won the bronze medal in the same event;

Whereas Phelps set a world-record time of 1:52:03 and won the gold medal in the men’s 200-meter butterfly event, edging Laszlo Cseh of Hungary by the width of a fingernail;

Whereas Michael Phelps then teamed with Ricky Remmington of Charlotte, North Carolina, Ryan Lochte, and Peter Vanderkaay, to set a world-record time of 5:58.56 and win the gold medal in the men’s 400-meter medley relay event, edging the team from Russia by more than 5 seconds and winning the tenth and 11th gold medals of Michael Phelps’s career, more than any other athlete in history;

Whereas, on August 15, 2008, Rebecca Soni won the bronze medal in the same event;

Whereas Phelps set a world-record time of 1:52:03 and won the gold medal in the men’s 200-meter butterfly event, edging Laszlo Cseh of Hungary by the width of a fingernail;

Whereas Michael Phelps then teamed with Ricky Remmington of Charlotte, North Carolina, Ryan Lochte, and Peter Vanderkaay, to set a world-record time of 5:58.56 and win the gold medal in the men’s 400-meter medley relay event, edging the team from Russia by more than 5 seconds and winning the tenth and 11th gold medals of Michael Phelps’s career, more than any other athlete in history;

Whereas, on August 15, 2008, Rebecca Soni won the bronze medal in the same event;

Whereas Phelps set a world-record time of 1:52:03 and won the gold medal in the men’s 200-meter butterfly event, edging Laszlo Cseh of Hungary by the width of a fingernail;

Whereas Michael Phelps then teamed with Ricky Remmington of Charlotte, North Carolina, Ryan Lochte, and Peter Vanderkaay, to set a world-record time of 5:58.56 and win the gold medal in the men’s 400-meter medley relay event, edging the team from Russia by more than 5 seconds and winning the tenth and 11th gold medals of Michael Phelps’s career, more than any other athlete in history;

Whereas, on August 15, 2008, Rebecca Soni won the bronze medal in the same event;

Whereas Phelps set a world-record time of 1:52:03 and won the gold medal in the men’s 200-meter butterfly event, edging Laszlo Cseh of Hungary by the width of a fingernail;

Whereas Michael Phelps then teamed with Ricky Remmington of Charlotte, North Carolina, Ryan Lochte, and Peter Vanderkaay, to set a world-record time of 5:58.56 and win the gold medal in the men’s 400-meter medley relay event, edging the team from Russia by more than 5 seconds and winning the tenth and 11th gold medals of Michael Phelps’s career, more than any other athlete in history;

Whereas, on August 15, 2008, Rebecca Soni won the bronze medal in the same event;

Whereas Phelps set a world-record time of 1:52:03 and won the gold medal in the men’s 200-meter butterfly event, edging Laszlo Cseh of Hungary by the width of a fingernail;

Whereas Michael Phelps then teamed with Ricky Remmington of Charlotte, North Carolina, Ryan Lochte, and Peter Vanderkaay, to set a world-record time of 5:58.56 and win the gold medal in the men’s 400-meter medley relay event, edging the team from Russia by more than 5 seconds and winning the tenth and 11th gold medals of Michael Phelps’s career, more than any other athlete in history;

Whereas, on August 15, 2008, Rebecca Soni won the bronze medal in the same event;

Whereas Phelps set a world-record time of 1:52:03 and won the gold medal in the men’s 200-meter butterfly event, edging Laszlo Cseh of Hungary by the width of a fingernail;

Whereas Michael Phelps then teamed with Ricky Remmington of Charlotte, North Carolina, Ryan Lochte, and Peter Vanderkaay, to set a world-record time of 5:58.56 and win the gold medal in the men’s 400-meter medley relay event, edging the team from Russia by more than 5 seconds and winning the tenth and 11th gold medals of Michael Phelps’s career, more than any other athlete in history;

Whereas, on August 15, 2008, Rebecca Soni won the bronze medal in the same event;
(2) by adding at the end the following: "(52) The term ‘accredited language training program’ means a language training program that is accredited by an accrediting agency recognized by the Secretary of Education.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

(I) IN GENERAL.—As provided in paragraph (b), the amendments made by subsection (a) shall—

(A) take effect on the date that is 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(B) apply with respect to applications for a nonimmigrant visa under section 101(a)(15)(F)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(F)(i)) that are filed on or after the effective date described in subparagraph (A).

(2) TEMPORARY EXCEPTION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section 101(a)(15)(F)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended by subsection (a), during the 3-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act, an alien seeking to enter the United States to pursue a course of study at a language training program that has been certified by the Secretary of Homeland Security and has not been accredited or denied accreditation by an entity described in section 101(a)(52) of such Act may be granted a nonimmigrant visa under section 101(a)(15)(F)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(F)(i)).

(B) ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENT.—An alien may not be granted a nonimmigrant visa under subparagraph (A) if the sponsoring institution of the language training program to which the alien seeks to enroll does not—

(i) submit an application for the accreditation of such program to a regional or national accrediting agency recognized by the Secretary of Education within 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(ii) comply with the applicable accrediting requirements of such agency.

KIDS ACT OF 2008

Mr. SALAZAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Chair lay before the Senate a message from the House to accompany S. 431.

There being no objection, the Presiding Officer laid before the Senate the following message from the House of Representatives:

Resolved, That the bill from the Senate (S. 431) entitled to establish a secure system to register sex offenders to register online identifiers, and for other purposes,” do pass with an amendment to strike out all after the enacting clause and insert:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Keeping the Internet Devoid of Sex Predators Act of 2008”.

SEC. 2. DIRECTION TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL.

(a) REQUIREMENT THAT SEX OFFENDERS PROVIDE CERTAIN INTERNET RELATED INFORMATION TO SEX OFFENDER REGISTRIES.—The Attorney General, using the authorities provided in section 114(a)(7) of the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act, shall require that each sex offender provide to the sex offender registry those Internet identifiers that are any fee established by the Attorney General for any list of the Internet identifiers of sex offenders approved by the Attorney General to use the system may conduct searches under the system as frequently as the Attorney General may direct.

(2) AUTHORITY OF ATTORNEY GENERAL TO SUSPEND USE.—The Attorney General may deny, suspend, or terminate use of the system by a social networking website approved to use such system if the Attorney General determines that the website is not using the system in a manner that is contrary to the purposes of this Act.

(3) LIMITATION ON RELEASE OF INTERNET IDENTIFIERS.—

(A) NO PUBLIC RELEASE.—Neither the Attorney General nor a social networking website approved to use the system may release to the public the list of the Internet identifiers of sex offenders contained in the system.

(B) ADDITIONAL LIMITATIONS.—The Attorney General shall limit the release of information obtained through the use of the system established under subsection (a) by social networking websites approved to use such system.

(4) PAYMENT OF FEE.—A social networking website approved to use the system shall pay any fee established by the Attorney General for use of the system.

(5) LIMITATION ON LIABILITY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—A civil claim against a social networking website, including any director, officer, employee, parent, contractor, or agent of that social networking website, arising from the use by such website of the National Sex Offender Registry, may not be brought in any Federal or State Court.

(B) INTENTIONAL, RECKLESS, OR OTHER MISCONDUCT.—Subparagraph (A) does not apply to a claim if the social networking website, or a director, officer, employee, parent, contractor, or agent of that social networking website—

(i) engaged in intentional misconduct; or

(ii) acted, or failed to act—

(I) with actual malice; or

(II) recklessly disregard a substantial risk of causing injury without legal justification; or

(III) for a purpose unrelated to the performance of any responsibility or function described in paragraph (3).

(C) MINIMIZING ACCESS.—A social networking website shall minimize the number of employees of the website who have access to the Internet identifiers for which a match has been found through the system.

(CORRECTIONS)
(6) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to require any Internet website, including a social networking website, to use the system, and no Federal or State law, or any other action, adverse consequence, shall be imposed on such website based on its decision not to do so.

SEC. 4. MODIFICATION OF MINIMUM STANDARDS.

(a) In General.—Subparagraph (C) of section 621(a)(1) of the Adam Walsh Child Protection and Safety Act of 2006 (42 U.S.C. 16901(a)(1)) is amended to read as follows: "(C) MINIMUM STANDARDS.—The electronic monitoring units used in the pilot program shall at a minimum—

"(i) provide a tracking device for each offender that contains a central processing unit with global positioning system; and

"(ii) permit continuous monitoring of offenders 24 hours a day.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply to grants provided on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

Mr. SALAZAR. I ask unanimous consent that the Senate concour in the House amendment, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, with no intervening action or debate, and any statements related to the bill be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows: A bill (H.R. 1594) to designate the Department of Veterans Affairs Outpatient Clinic in Hermitage, Pennsylvania, as the Michael A. Marzano Department of Veterans Affairs Outpatient Clinic.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. SALAZAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the President, I ask unanimous consent that the bill be read three times and passed, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, with no intervening action or debate, and any statements related to the bill be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (H.R. 1594) was ordered to a third reading, was read the third time, and passed.

BROADBAND DATA IMPROVEMENT ACT

Mr. SALAZAR. I ask unanimous consent that the Chair now lay before the Senate the House message to accompany S. 1492.

Mr. SALAZAR. I ask unanimous consent that the Senate concur in the House amendment, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, with no intervening action or debate; and any statements related to the bill be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The legislative clerk read as follows: A bill (H.R. 1594) to designate the Department of Veterans Affairs Outpatient Clinic in Hermitage, Pennsylvania, as the Michael A. Marzano Department of Veterans Affairs Outpatient Clinic.

Mr. SALAZAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the bill be read three times and passed, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, with no intervening action or debate, and any statements related to the bill be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (H.R. 1594) was ordered to a third reading, was read the third time, and passed.

CLARIFYING THE BOUNDARIES OF COASTAL BARRIER RESOURCES SYSTEM CLAM PASS UNIT FL–64P

Mr. SALAZAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate concur in the House amendment, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, with no intervening action or debate, and any statements related to the bill be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (H.R. 1594) was ordered to a third reading, was read the third time, and passed.

Mr. SALAZAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the bill be read three times and passed, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, with no intervening action or debate, and any statements related to the bill be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (H.R. 4544) was ordered to a third reading, was read the third time, and passed.

BULLETPROOF VEST PARTNERSHIP GRANT ACT OF 2008

Mr. SALAZAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate concur in the immediate consideration of H.R. 6045 which is at the desk.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows: A bill (H.R. 6045) to amend title 1 of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 to extend the authorization of the Bulletproof Vest Partnership Grant Program through fiscal year 2012.

Mr. SALAZAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate concur in the immediate consideration of H.R. 6045 which is at the desk.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows: A bill (H.R. 4544) to amend title 1 of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 to extend the authorization of the Bulletproof Vest Partnership Grant Program through fiscal year 2012.

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I am pleased that the Senate has acted unanimously today to reauthorize the Bulletproof Vest Partnership Grant Program. This measure marks the fifth time that I have had the privilege of working to reauthorize this life-saving Federal grant program. I first worked with Senator Campbell 10 years ago to author the Bulletproof Vest Grant Partnership Act of 1998, which responded to the tragic Carl Drega shoot-out in 1997 on the Vermont-New Hampshire border, in which two state troopers who did not have bulletproof vests were killed. The Federal officers who responded to the shooting spore were equipped with life-saving body armor, and the State and local law enforcement officers lacked protective vests because of the cost.

In 2007, as a result of the Bulletproof Vest Grant Program, jurisdictions across the country received over $28 million in Federal funds, which were used to purchase more than 180,000 armor vests. Between 1999 and 2007, $234 million has gone to the States and has assisted in the purchase of an estimated 818,044 vests. It gives me a great sense of pride to know that the law we enacted is having a real impact in directly supporting the safety and security of American law enforcement officers.

In May, the Judiciary Committee held an important hearing and learned just how crucial this program has been to our law enforcement officers. Lieutenant Michael Macarilla with the Vermont State Police testified about how valuable this program is to small jurisdictions in Vermont, which often operate on very tight budgets. And Detective David Anderson of the New York Police Department testified about his experience of being shot at point-blank range and surviving because he was
wearing a bulletproof vest. His testimony left no doubt that this is an issue of life and death.

Just this week we were reminded again of the importance of bulletproof vests for law enforcement officers. A police officer in Alexandria, VA, Officer Russell was shot in the chest during a traffic stop. According to Chief David Baker of the Alexandria Police, Officer Russell’s vest saved his life. What was a very tragic situation was prevented from being made worse due to Officer Russell’s bulletproof vest. This event, in a city close to the Nation’s Capitol, should be another reminder to Members of Congress about why this program is so important. Where Congress can help State and local jurisdictions equip their officers, there should be no hesitation to do so.

I regret that due to objections we were not able to include with the reauthorization a waiver system for those jurisdictions who cannot meet the federal requirement. In addition, a bulletproof vest would also provide authority to the Director of the Bureau of Justice Assistance at the Justice Department to waive the grant program’s matching requirement in cases of fiscal hardship. If a jurisdiction cannot meet this requirement, it is unlikely that it can afford to purchase vests on its own. I hope the next Congress will be allowed to consider these important provisions. I do not wish to return to the days when law enforcement officers were required to purchase their own vests, or simply go without. With tighter budgets and a troubled economy, it makes sense to give the Bureau of Justice Assistance the authority and the flexibility to ensure that no jurisdiction is excluded from much critical assistance simply because it can’t afford to meet the matching requirements.

We know that body armor saves lives, but the cost has put these vests out of the reach of many of the officers who need them. This program makes it more affordable for police departments of all sizes. Few things mean more to me than when I meet Vermont police officers and tell them that the protective vests they wear were made possible by this program. This is the least we should do for the officers on the front lines who put themselves in danger for us every day. I want to make sure that every police officer who needs a bulletproof vest gets one. We do not thank our Nation’s law enforcement officers enough for the sacrifices they make in order to protect all of us. But the actions the Senate has taken today in passing this legislation is a strong step forward in demonstrating Congress’ commitment to supporting our police and喔工作人员 to serve us so well. I hope the President will quickly sign this reauthorization into law.

Mr. SALAZAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the bill be read three times and passed, that the motions to reconsider be laid upon the table, with no intervening action or debate, and that any statements related to this measure be printed in the Record.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (H.R. 6045) was ordered to a third reading, was read the third time, and passed.

PROVIDING FOR FEDERAL EMPLOYEES ELECTRONIC RECEIPT OF PAYMENT STUBS

Mr. SALAZAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of Calendar No. 1073, H.R. 6073.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 6073) to provide that Federal employees receiving their pay by electronic funds transfer shall be given the option of receiving their pay statement electronically.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. SALAZAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the bill be read a third time and passed, the motions to reconsider be laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate, and that any statements related thereto be printed in the Record.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (H.R. 6073) was ordered to a third reading, was read the third time, and passed.

AUTHORIZING FUNDING TO CONDUCT A NATIONAL TRAINING PROGRAM FOR STATE AND LOCAL PROSECUTORS

Mr. SALAZAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Judiciary Committee be discharged from further consideration of H.R. 6063 and the Senate proceed to its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The clerk will report the bill by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 6063) to authorize funding to conduct a national training program for State and local prosecutors.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. SALAZAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the bill be read three times and passed, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, with no intervening action or debate, and that any statements related to the bill be printed in the Record.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (H.R. 6063) was ordered to a third reading, was read the third time, and passed.

TYAN HAIGHT ONLINE PHARMACY CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT OF 2008

Mr. SALAZAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of H.R. 6353, which was received from the House.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 6353) to amend the Controlled Substances Act to address online pharmacies.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I am pleased that the Senate will pass the Ryan Haight Online Pharmacy Consumer Protection Act, H.R. 6353—an important bipartisan bill that will create new tools for Federal law enforcement to prosecute those who bill illegally and sell drugs online, thereby allowing State authorities to shut down rogue online pharmacies even before they get started.

Earlier this week, the House of Representatives passed this important legislation. Earlier this year, the Senate passed a version of this bill, and I am glad that today it has once again given its unanimous support to this bill. I hope that the President will promptly sign this measure into law.

Senator FEINSTEIN has been a leader on this issue, and she and others have shown a strong commitment to combating illicit drug trafficking by online predators. I also thank Representative STUPAK and all of those who worked on this bill in the House. Through their hard work and diligent efforts, we have a strong bipartisan bill that includes important modifications and clarifications that will protect our children, and yet with safeguards that will allow legitimate sales of prescription drugs online. I hope this bill will help reduce the prevalence of rogue online pharmacies in our society.

We are a nation in the midst of a technological revolution. In the digital age, the Internet has provided Americans with better access to convenient and more affordable medicine. Unfortunately, the prevalence of rogue online pharmacies has also made the Internet an increasing source for the sale of dangerous controlled substances without a licensed medical practitioner’s valid prescription. Online drug traffickers have used evolving tactics to evade detection by law enforcement and circumvent the proper constraints of doctors and pharmacists.

Last year, the Judiciary Committee held a hearing on this issue. We heard compelling testimony from Francine Haight, a mother whose son died from an overdose of painkillers he purchased online from a rogue pharmacy. We also heard from Joseph Califano, the former Secretary of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare. Both strongly supported legislation to fill a gap in existing law and help protect young people from illicit drugs online.
Following our hearing, the Internet Drug Advisory Committee held a briefing for the Judiciary Committee on this matter. We heard from various members of the Internet community on how the private sector may effectively collaborate with the public sector to combat the sales of dangerous drugs online. These private sector groups will be vital in that effort, and we were happy to receive the benefit of their insights.

I understand full well the growing danger that illegitimate online pharmacies pose to youth. As the longtime cochair of the Congressional Internet Caucus, I know that the Internet offers tremendous opportunities, but I also understand that dangerous and addictive drugs are often only a click away. As a former State’s Attorney in Vermont, I will never forget how much successful prosecutions depend on whether the investigators charged with protecting the public from crime have the right tools to do so. That is why we are in urgent need of this bill. No matter how dedicated we are to solving a problem, if the laws are not clearly and sensibly drafted, no justice will be done.

This legislation does many important things. First, the bill requires the Drug Enforcement Administration report to Congress on recommendations to combat the online sale of controlled substances from foreign countries via the Internet and on ways that the private sector can assist in this effort. A key ingredient in diminishing the impact of rogue Web sites on American citizens is combating the international aspect of this problem, and strengthening the public-private sector collaboration can help provide a solution.

Second, the legislation narrows the U.S. Sentencing Commission directive to ensure that the most dangerous prescription drugs abused online are treated more severely than less harmful prescription drugs. This addition will ensure that the Commission has clear guidance to ensure that the guidelines are necessary to hold those individuals who peddle dangerous prescription drugs to minors online accountable.

Third, the bill protects legitimate retail drug chains with online Web sites for customers seeking refills on prescriptions, by exempting them from the bill’s requirements. This ensures that the bill does not target legitimate pharmacies that provide Vermonters and other Americans with access to needed medicines, nor does it burden legitimate pharmacies with additional registration and reporting requirements.

I believe this legislation will be even better with these changes. I am confident that this legislation will strengthen our Nation’s ability to effectively combat online drug trafficking. It also furthers the goals of drug enforcement and deterrence, while also providing Congress with additional oversight tools.

The administration supports this bill—and that is the right thing to do.

I know that our hard working men and women at the Drug Enforcement Agency need the added tools this bill will offer to assist their efforts to combat rogue online pharmacies. Even more, our children and grandchildren need the safety and security of operating online from home safe from being tricked into purchasing dangerous controlled substances.

I urge the President to promptly sign this bill into law.

Mrs. FEINSTEIN. Mr. President, I thank my colleagues for supporting the Ryan Haight Online Pharmacy Consumer Protection Act.

This is the House companion legislation to the Senate bill that I originally introduced, with Senator Sessions, to protect the safety of consumers who wish to fill legitimate prescriptions for controlled substances over the Internet, while holding accountable those who operate unregistered pharmacies.

Today, Congress took the first important step towards curbing online drug trafficking. Perhaps more importantly, Congress took the first steps toward ensuring that children and teens no longer overdose, or worse die, after purchasing controlled substances without a prescription from rogue Internet pharmacies.

This bill will do the following: Ban the sale or distribution of all controlled substances over the Internet without a valid prescription; Require online pharmacies to display on their Web site a statement of compliance with U.S. law and DEA regulations—allowing consumers to know which pharmacies are safe and which are not; Clarify that rogue pharmacies that sell drugs over the Internet will face the same penalties as people who illegally sell the same drugs on the street; Increase the Federal penalties for illegally distributing controlled substances; and Create a new Federal cause of action that would allow a State attorney general to shut down a rogue Web site selling controlled substances.

In addition, I would like to clarify that the Ryan Haight Online Pharmacy Consumer Protection Act of 2008 regulates practices related to the delivery, distribution, or dispensing of a controlled substance by means of the Internet. The act does not address the delivery, distribution, or dispensing of any noncontrolled substance by the Internet or any other means.

This bill does not infringe upon the powers of the Department of Health and Human Services and its Secretary with respect to noncontrolled substances. Nor does it infringe upon the traditional power of the States to regulate the practices of medicine and pharmacy with respect to the prescription of noncontrolled substances.

Delivered, distribution, or dispensing of noncontrolled substances approved by the Secretary of Health and Human Services or the regulatory bodies of the States, are not affected by the act. The Senate Committee report reflects Congress’s intent related to this issue and is applicable to H.R. 6535.

In closing, I wish to share the story of this bill’s namesake, Ryan T. Haight. Ryan was an 18-year-old honor student from La Mesa, CA, when he died of an overdose of Vicodin on February 12, 2001. His parents found a bottle of Vicodin in his room with a label from an out-of-State pharmacy.

It turns out that Ryan had been ordering addictive drugs online and paying for them with a debit card his parents gave him to buy baseball cards on eBay. Without a physical exam or his parents’ consent, Ryan had been obtaining controlled substances, some from an Internet site in Oklahoma. It only took a few months before Ryan’s life was ended by an overdose on a cocktail of painkillers.

Ryan’s story is just one of many. Rogue Internet pharmacies are making it increasingly easy for teens such as Ryan to access deadly prescription drugs. This bill is the first step against that terrible tide. It creates sensible requirements for Internet pharmacy Web sites that will not impact access to convenient, oftentimes cost-saving drugs.

I thank my House and Senate colleagues for passing this important bill. I also specifically thank Representatives BART STUPAK, LAMAR SMITH, JOHN CONTERS, MARY BONO MACK, and JOHN DINGELL, and Senators SESSIONS and LIECHTENSTEIN for their hard work and leadership in securing the passage of this bill.

I urge the President to sign this important legislation.

Mr. SALAZAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the bill be read a third time, was read the third time, and passed.

AUTHORIZED CERTAIN ACTIONS WITH RESPECT TO PARCELS OF REAL PROPERTY

Mr. SALAZAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of H.R. 6524, which was received from the House.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (H.R. 6535) was ordered to a third reading, was read the third time, and passed.
The legislative clerk read as follows: A bill (H.R. 7084) to amend section 114 of title 17, United States Code, to provide for agreements for the reproduction and performance of sound recordings by webcasters.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I am pleased that the Senate has passed the Webcaster Settlement Act of 2008, a short but important bill for all of us who love to listen to music online. I have long championed the development of new business models for transmitting music to the public, and I have been delighted to see the webcasting community grow and prosper. From tiny operations serving the smallest of musical niches, to collegiate stations playing cutting edge performers, to large established webcasters providing a whole new array of services to listeners, the online music world has truly blossomed in the last 10 years. But with all new growth comes growing pains, and must be constantly vigilant to ensure that the development of new business interests does not come at the expense of settled property rights.

When webcasting was even younger, I sponsored the Small Webcasters Settlement Act of 2004, which established a Copyright Royalty Tribunal to replace the old Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel as the administrative body for determining rates to be paid by online music providers to the performers who hold the copyrights in that music. The new system has seen its first adjudications, and this legislation reflects the need for a slight readjustment in that system. The bill simply extends the time to next February during which the parties can negotiate their own rates, even after the CRB proceeding, and will permit any deal that is negotiated by that time to bind the parties.

I am not, in the normal course, a proponent of legislative readjustments like this one, but I understand the advisability of this particular extension. I will not, however, sanction repeated returns to Congress if webcasters are again dissatisfied with the results of a system that they urged upon us in 2004, and which they applauded when it was created. The parties on both sides of these agreements—the webcasters and the copyright owners—would be well advised to continue these negotiations seriously, and to behave appropriately.

The rights of our creative artists are the life blood of the entire music industry, including that of the online music providers, and we all owe them respect. I trust the parties when they tell us that the time extension will allow them to come to terms that will ensure mutual benefit to them, and ultimate benefit to all the listeners, like myself, who enjoy music transmitted over the Internet. I am pleased the Congress has passed this measure before recessing.

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the bill be read three times and passed, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate, and any statements be printed in the Record.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (H.R. 7084) was ordered to a third reading, was read the third time, and passed.

APPOINTMENTS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair, on behalf of the President pro tempore, pursuant to Public Law 99–498, as amended by Public Law 110–315, appoints the following individual to the Advisory Committee on Student Financial Assistance: Norman Bedford of Nevada.

Mr. SALAZAR. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVISTS DEBT RELIEF ACT OF 2008

Mr. SALAZAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of Calendar No. 983, S. 3197.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 3197) to amend title 11, United States Code, to exempt for a limited period, from the application of the means-test presumption of abuse under chapter 7, qualifying members of reserve components of the Armed Forces and members of the National Guard who, after September 11, 2001, are called to active duty or to perform a homeland defense activity for not less than 90 days.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill, which had been reported from the Committee on the Judiciary, with an amendment, as follows:

(Th...
SEC. 2. AMENDMENTS.
Section 707(b)(2)(D) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—
(1) in each of clauses (i) and (ii)—
(A) by inserting, after the left margin of such clauses 2 ems to the right; and
(B) by redesigning such clauses as subclauses (I) and (II), respectively;
(2) by striking “if the debtor is a disabled veteran” and inserting the following: “if—
(I) the debtor is a disabled veteran;”;
(3) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; or”;
and
(4) by adding at the end the following:
“(II) while—
(i) whenever the debtor is—
(aa) on, and during the 540-day period beginning immediately after the debtor is relea
sured from, a period of active duty (as defined in section 101(d)(1) of title 10) of not less than 90 days; or
(bb) performing, and during the 540-day period beginning immediately after the debtor is relea
sured from, a period of active duty (as defined in section 901(1) of title 32) per
ning immediately after the debtor is released from, a period of active duty (as defined in section
(3) by striking the period at the end and in
serting “; or”;
and
(4) by adding at the end the following:
“(a) with respect to the bankruptcy system and creditors—
(I) the number of cases under title 11 of the United States Code in which members of re
serve components of the Armed Forces and members of the National Guard that qualify such
member for the benefits of such provi
ions if more than 10 percent of the aggregate amount of the debts in such case is in
ncurred as a direct or indirect result of such service,
and
(b) with respect to debt-incurrence prac
tices—
(i) any increase in the average levels of debt incurred by such members before, dur
ing, or after such service,
(ii) any changes in debt-incurrence practices adopted by such members in antici
pation of benefitting from such provi
ions in any potential case under such title; and
(iii) any indicia of abuse or potential abuse of such provisions, and
(II) if, after September 11, 2001, the debtor or while a member of a reserve component of the
Armed Forces or a member of the Na
tional Guard, was called to such active duty or performed such homeland defense activ
ity (as defined in section 901(1) of title 32) performed for a period of not less than 90 days; or
(II) if—
(1) in clauses (i) and (ii)—
(A) by indenting the left margins of such clauses 2 ems to the right, and
(B) by redesigning such clauses as sub
clauses (I) and (II), respectively;
(2) by striking “if the debtor is a dis
abled veteran” and inserting the following: “testing—
(I) the debtor is a disabled veteran;”;
(3) by striking the period at the end and in
serting “; or”;
and
(4) by adding at the end the following:
“(ii) with respect to the debtor, while the debtor is—
(I) on, and during the 540-day period begin
ning immediately after the debtor is relea
sured from, a period of active duty (as defined in section
101(d)(1) of title 10) of not less than 90 days; or
(II) performing, and during the 540-day pe
riod beginning immediately after the debtor is no
longer performing, a homeland de
fense activity (as defined in section 901(1) of title 32) per
formed for a period of not less than 90 days;
and
SEC. 3. GAO STUDY.
(a) Comptroller General Study.—Not later than 2 years after the effective date of this Act, the Comptroller General shall com
plete and transmit to the Speaker of the House of Representatives and the President pro tempore of the Senate, a study of the use and the effects of the provisions of law amended (and as amended) by this Act. Such study shall address, at a minimum—
(1) whether and to what degree members of reserve components of the Armed Forces and members of the National Guard avail themselves of the benefits of such provisions,
(2) whether and to what degree such mem
bers are debtors in cases under title 11 of the United States Code that are substantially related to service that qualifies such members for the benefits of such provisions,
(3) whether and to what degree such mem
bers are debtors in cases under such title that are materially related to such service, and
(4) the effects that the use by such mem
bers of section 707(b)(2)(D) of such title, as
amended by this Act, has on the bankruptcy system, creditors, and the debt-incurrence practices of such members.
(b) FACTORS.—For purposes of subsection (a)—
(1) a case shall be considered to be substan
tially related to the service of a member of a reserve component of the Armed Forces or a member of the National Guard that qualifies such member for the benefits of the provi
sions of law amended (and as amended) by this Act if more than 33 percent of the aggregate amount of the debts in such case is incurred as a direct or indirect result of such service,
(2) a case shall be considered to be materi
ally related to the service of a member of a reserve component of the Armed Forces or a member of the National Guard that qualifies such member for the benefits of such provi
sions if more than 10 percent of the aggregate amount of the debts in such case is incurred as a direct or indirect result of such service, and
(3) the term “effects” means—
(A) with respect to the bankruptcy system and creditors—
(i) the number of cases under title 11 of the United States Code in which members of re
serve components of the Armed Forces and members of the National Guard that qualify such
member for the benefits of such provi
sions if more than 10 percent of the aggregate amount of the debts in such case is in
ncurred as a direct or indirect result of such service,
and
(b) with respect to debt-incurrence prac
ctices—
(i) any increase in the average levels of debt incurred by such members before, dur
ing, or after such service,
(ii) any changes in debt-incurrence practices adopted by such members in antici
pation of benefitting from such provi
ions in any potential case under such title; and
(iii) any indicia of abuse or potential abuse of such provisions, and
(II) if the debtor is a disabled veteran;”;
(3) by striking the period at the end and in
serting “; or”;
and
(4) by adding at the end the following:
“testing—
(I) a case shall be considered to be substan
tially related to the service of a member of a reserve component of the Armed Forces or a member of the National Guard that qualifies such member for the benefits of such provi
sions if more than 10 percent of the aggregate amount of the debts in such case is incurred as a direct or indirect result of such service,
and
(b) with respect to debt-incurrence prac
tices—
(i) any increase in the average levels of debt incurred by such members before, dur
ing, or after such service,
(ii) any changes in debt-incurrence practices adopted by such members in antici
pation of benefitting from such provi
sions in any potential case under such title; and
(iii) any indicia of abuse or potential abuse of such provisions, and
(II) if, after September 11, 2001, the debtor or while a member of a reserve component of the
Armed Forces or a member of the Na
tional Guard, was called to such active duty or performed such homeland defense activ
ity (as defined in section 901(1) of title 32) performed for a period of not less than 90 days; or
(II) if—
(1) in clauses (i) and (ii)—
(A) by indenting the left margins of such clauses 2 ems to the right, and
(B) by redesigning such clauses as sub
clauses (I) and (II), respectively;
(2) by striking “if the debtor is a dis
abled veteran” and inserting the following: “testing—
(I) the debtor is a disabled veteran;”;
(3) by striking the period at the end and in
serting “; or”;
and
(4) by adding at the end the following:
“(ii) with respect to the debtor, while the debtor is—
(I) on, and during the 540-day period begin
ning immediately after the debtor is relea
sured from, a period of active duty (as defined in section
101(d)(1) of title 10) of not less than 90 days; or
(II) performing, and during the 540-day pe
riod beginning immediately after the debtor is no
longer performing, a homeland de
fense activity (as defined in section 901(1) of title 32) per
formed for a period of not less than 90 days;
and
SEC. 4. EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION OF
AMENDMENTS.
(a) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Except as provided in subsection (b), this Act and the amendments made by this Act shall take effect 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act.
(b) APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS.—The amendments made by this Act shall apply only with respect to cases commenced on or after the date of enactment of this Act.
Mr. SALAZAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the committee amendment be agreed to, the bill, as amended, be read a second time and passed, the motions to reconsider be laid upon the table with no intervening action or debate, and any statements related to the bill be printed in the RECORD.
The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT AGREEMENT.—H.R. 7081
Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that after the prayer and pledge and any remarks of the leaders on Wednesday, October 1, the Senate proceed to the consideration of H.R. 7081, which is at the desk; that the bill be considered under the following limitations: That there be 60 minutes of general debate on the bill, with the time equally divided and controlled between the leaders or their designees; that the only first-degree amendments in order be those listed in this agreement, with no other amendments in order, and debate time limited on each amendment to 60 minutes, with the time equally divided and controlled in the usual form; further, that an additional debate time of 15 minutes each be provided to Senators FEINGOLD and HARKIN; a Dorgan amendment re: clarify policy in event of Indian test, and a Harkin amendment re: reporting re
quirement in event of Indian test; that the amendments in this agreement be subject to an affirmative 60-vote threshold, and that if the amendment achieves that threshold, then it be agreed to and the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table; that if the amendment does not achieve that threshold, then it be withdrawn; that upon the use or yielding back of time with respect to each amendment, the Senate then proceeds to a vote on the amendment; that upon disposition of all amendments, the use or yielding back of general debate time, the bill be read a third time, and without further intervening action or de
bate, the Senate proceed to vote on passage of the bill, as amended, if amended; provided further that passage of the bill requires 60 votes.
The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT AMENDMENT.—H.R. 1424
Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that on Wednesday, Oc
tober 1, following the debate with re
spect to H.R. 7081, the Senate proceed to the consideration of Calendar No. 610, H.R. 1424; that once the bill is re
ported, the Dodd, et al., amendment, which is at the desk, be considered; ex
cept that this agreement is only valid if both leaders are in agreement with the provisions of the Dodd, et al., amendment and have so notified the Chair, and that there be general debate
on the amendment for 90 minutes, with the time equally divided and controlled between the leaders or their designees; that upon the use or yielding back of this time, the amendment be set aside, and the Senate then consider the only other amendment in order to the bill, a Sanders amendment re: tax on high-income individuals; that there be 60 minutes of debate with respect to that amendment, with the time equally divided and controlled in the usual form; that upon the use or yielding back of all time with respect to the bill and amendments, the measure be set aside to recur upon disposition of H.R. 7081; that with respect to the disposition of the amendments to H.R. 1424, the first vote occur with respect to the Sanders amendment; that upon disposition of that amendment, the Senate would then consider the Dodd, et al., amendment, that upon disposition of that amendment, the bill, as amended, if amended, be read a third time and the Senate proceed to vote on passage of the bill; that upon passage, with the above occurring without further intervening action or debate, the Dodd, et al., amendment and the bill be subject to a 60-vote threshold.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT AGREEMENT—HOUSE MESSAGE TO ACCOMPANY H.R. 2095

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that all postcloture time be yielded except for 30 minutes, and that—this is in regard to H.R. 2095, the rail safety bill—at 7 p.m., Wednesday, October 1, the Senate resume consideration of the House message with respect to H.R. 2095 and that the time until 7:30 p.m. be equally divided and controlled between the leaders or their designees; that at 7:30 p.m. the motion to concur with the amendments be withdrawn, and the Senate then proceed to vote on the motion to concur in the House amendment to the Senate amendment to the bill; that upon disposition of the House message, the Senate resume consideration of H.R. 7081, the India-U.S. Cooperative Agreement, and then proceed to vote in relation to the amendments to the bill and passage and that the amendments be voted in the order offered; that on Wednesday, October 1, there be 2 minutes of debate prior to each vote, equally divided and controlled; and that after the first vote of any sequence, the succeeding votes be 10 minutes in duration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, let me say that after long discussions with Senator Dodd, the chairman of the Finance Committee, the chairman of the Budget Committee, and other Senators, including Jack Reed, and, of course, in constant contact with the Republican leader, with maybe eight or nine conversations today, and conversations with people in the House and with the White House, it has been determined in our judgment this is the best way to move forward. This is good for the country.

Mr. President, I do not want to sound like a stuck record, but I have known the distinguished Senator from Connecticut for 26 years. We have served in the Senate together for 22 years. We have worked together on many different issues but never as we have during the housing debate which concluded successfully and this financial crisis in which we find ourselves.

Each day that goes by I am more admiring of the work he has done. Today is an example. This has been very difficult, and words cannot express well enough how satisfied I am with the work he has done and what a good thing he has done for the State of Connecticut, the State of Nevada, the State of Kentucky, and our country.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Republican leader is recognized.

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, let me just say this is one of the finer moments in the Senate. We have come together on a bipartisan basis and structured a way forward on an important rescue package for our country.

I commend the majority leader, my good friend, for his extraordinary work on this issue, as well as Senator Dodd and Senator Gregg, who have been the lead negotiators on this matter for the Senate.

This is an important accomplishment and a way forward to get a result we need to achieve for the American people.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader.

Mr. REID. Finally, Mr. President, if I could say this: One person has been with me now for the last 24 hours almost constantly; Senator Durbin from Illinois. He has helped me make dozens of phone calls today. He had a number of meetings. I want the Record to reflect his involvement in this has been important and essential.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Illinois.

ORDERS FOR WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 1, 2008

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it stand in recess until 10 a.m. tomorrow, Wednesday, October 1; that following the prayer and the pledge, the Journal of proceedings be approved to date, the time for the two leaders be reserved for their use later in the day, the Senate proceed to H.R. 7081, the India-United States Nuclear Cooperation Approval and Nonproliferation Enhancement Act, as provided for under a previous order; further, that the Senate stand in recess from 12:30 to 2:15 p.m. for the weekly conference lunches.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection? Without objection, it is so ordered.

PROGRAM

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, tomorrow we expect to have the rollcall vote on the motion to concur with respect to H.R. 2095, the rail safety-Amtrak legislation, around 7:30 p.m. Additional rollcall votes are possible tomorrow evening.

RECESS UNTIL 10 A.M. TOMORROW

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I ask unanimous consent that it stand in recess under the previous order.

There being no objection, the Senate, at 7:16 p.m., recessed until Wednesday, October 1, 2008, at 10 a.m.

NOMINATIONS

Executive nomination received by the Senate:

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

ROBERT W. MCGOWAN, OF NEVADA, TO BE A GOVERNOR OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE FOR A TERM EXPIRING DECEMBER 3, 2015. VICE ALAN CRAIG KRISLER, TERM EXPIRING.
EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

THE AMERICAN ENERGY, AMERICAN INNOVATION ACT OF 2008

HON. MARK UDALL
OF COLORADO
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. UDALL of Colorado. Madam Speaker, I rise today to introduce a bill reflecting my comprehensive energy plan, the “American Energy, American Innovation” Act of 2008. This bill reflects my effort to really put everything on the table to address rising energy prices, our dependence on foreign oil, and our need to respond to the climate change challenge.

While in Colorado in August, I stood with Senator SALAZAR to embrace the bipartisan process and principles of the so-called Gang of 10—now Gang of 20—energy proposal. But I believe that this proposal doesn’t go far enough and that’s why I’ve spent the last 2 months working on this “American Energy, American Innovation” plan.

Since then, while the Senate group has doubled in size, indicating the strong desire in Congress to achieve a compromise on energy legislation, the Senators have yet to introduce the legislation that they outlined in their principles.

And last week, the House passed a comprehensive energy bill (H.R. 6899) that includes an even stronger step towards a compromise, with provisions that will open up more of the Outer Continental Shelf to drilling and establish a national renewable electricity standard to mandate that 15 percent of our electricity be produced from renewable energy by 2020.

Along with these two provisions, that bill included several others from my energy plan and I voted for it.

However, we have not yet achieved an agreement in Congress on a compromise that can move through both bodies and get to the President’s desk. And, building upon the Gang of 20 proposal and H.R. 6899, I think my bill provides the next step in that process. That is why I am introducing this bill today, which I do not view as a final product, but more a step to further discussion on our energy policy and keep faith with the promise that I made to Coloradans in August.

My bill involves developing energy of all kinds—oil, gas, wind, solar, biofuels, nuclear. It’s a broad and pragmatic approach to solve our critical energy challenge. It reaches out to new partners and promotes new solutions. And my bill includes both short- and long-term efforts to reduce our dependence on foreign oil, lower energy prices, and protect our environment.

First, to deal with immediate problems with energy prices, my bill includes a provision bipartisan effort that will cut down on the manipulation of oil prices and increase transparency and oversight of the commodity markets. Estimates vary as to how much speculative trading on futures markets—as well as unscrupulous market manipulation—affects the cost of oil. Some estimates say as much as $30 to $60 per barrel of oil can be attributed to unfair speculative market practices.

This provision will bring transparency to the commodities and futures markets by implementing Commodity Futures Trading Commission, CFTC, recommendations to break out index fund and other data in energy and agricultural markets, and require detailed reporting from index traders and swap dealers. This is important because speculators have come up with elaborate and tricky derivatives that obscure the true value of commodities. It will curb excessive speculation by tightening regulation of foreign boards of trade that offer futures contracts in the U.S., and it will give the CFTC the authority and the means to crack down on manipulation in the energy market.

It will help us address gas prices in the short term by including a provision to release additional oil from the Strategic Petroleum Reserve, SPR. This release would provide for a quick increase in the supply of petroleum in our consumer reservoirs so we can reduce the likelihood of further short-term increases in the price of gasoline and other refined products. And, it will do this in a way that is both cost-effective and protective of our national-security interests.

But we must reach beyond oil and gas. My bill includes provisions to advance clean coal technology, including carbon capture and sequestration technology. And I believe that nuclear energy will continue to be an important part of our energy portfolio, so we must move forward on building new nuclear energy facilities.

My bill will help streamline the licensing process for these new plants and will give the Nuclear Regulatory Commission additional staff to ensure that these facilities are safe and secure.

As co-chair of the Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Caucus, I understand the important role that both renewable energy and energy efficiency will play in meeting our energy needs. My bill also includes my proposal for a Renewable Electricity Standard—just like the one that has been so successful in Colorado. Under my plan, by the year 2020, utilities—companies will be producing at least 20 percent of our electricity from American-made renewable sources like wind and solar.

My bill also includes extension of critical renewable energy tax credits. The Production Tax Credit, PTC, in particular has been instrumental in promoting the creation of a renewable energy industry. An extended PTC will provide more market certainty and we must have an extension of this key tax credit before the current credit expires at the end of 2008. My bill extends the PTC for 10 years.

The bill also authorizes new clean renewable energy bonds, CREBS, for public power providers and electric cooperatives. This is a critical tool, especially for Colorado’s rural co-ops and municipal utilities.

Of course, the cheapest kilowatt of energy is the one we don’t use and energy efficiency also has a key role in addressing our energy
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needs. This bill will provide incentives for smart grid systems, as well as for energy efficient buildings. And it includes new energy efficiency standards for appliances.

Transportation is another area where we can do more to improve our efficiency. And my bill will move us forward by requiring that all new vehicles achieve 35 miles per gallon by 2015 and 50 miles per gallon by 2030. It also includes additional incentives for Americans to purchase high efficiency vehicles and for manufacturers to produce many vehicles that use alternative fuels.

Americans want fuel choice and my bill will require 50 percent of all new vehicles to be capable of burning various biofuels (in addition to gasoline) by 2012 and 80 percent by 2015.

We need additional incentives for fuel efficiency vehicles. It also includes funding for research and development focused on the major technological barriers to alternative fuel vehicles, such as advanced batteries, to develop the next generation vehicles. And it provides incentives for Americans to purchase these new vehicles that use alternative fuels or are more efficient.

And we must pay for this new energy policy. My bill does that by ending unneeded tax breaks for the oil and gas industry and closing tax loopholes.

In conclusion, let me again emphasize that we must all work together and bring every thing to the table to address our energy challenge. This bill is a good draft to start with and I will continue to work with my colleagues to enact a comprehensive energy policy to reduce our dependence on foreign oil, lower gas prices, and protect our environment.

SUPPORTING VETERANS IN AUBURN, WASHINGTON

HON. DAVID G. REICHERT
OP WASHINGTON
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. REICHERT. Madam Speaker, I rise today in recognition of the city of Auburn, WA, the Auburn City Council and Mayor Pete Lewis for exemplary and steadfast support of the Washington National Guard. They demonstrated their patriotism and support for the military in their quick and sincere actions to create an event to honor the deployment of the 81st Heavy Combat Brigade of the Washington National Guard on August 19, 2008.

Auburn, located in the 8th District of Washington, the District I represent, is not unlike many communities around the country where budgets are tight and funds are allocated only after careful consideration. However, in this case, Auburn and its able leadership approved, without any hesitation, city resources to secure a location and provide funds to support a family BBQ with a festival-like atmosphere for deploying Guardsmen and their families. Hundreds of Guard families and Auburn residents showed up to participate in the event and enjoy the send off activities.

Auburn’s action in this instance reflects a deep and great respect for service members and veterans and is the latest example of their steadfast pride. Every November, Auburn hosts one of the largest Veterans Day parades on the west coast of the United States. Their Veterans Park is a permanent reminder of the city’s dedication and affection for veterans. Under Mayor Lewis and the current City Council, Auburn is taking the lead in Washington in supporting the military and our many brave veterans and I applaud their efforts.

COMPREHENSIVE IRAN SANCTIONS, ACCOUNTABILITY, AND DIVESTMENT ACT OF 2008

SPEECH OF
HON. SHELLIE BERKLEY
OF NEVADA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, September 26, 2008

Ms. BERKLEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support for this bill. There is no doubt about Iran’s intentions any longer: they are determined to do everything they can to harm the U.S. and annihilate our ally, Israel. They are well on their way to developing a nuclear weapon and they will not hesitate to use it against innocent civilian populations throughout the Middle East. They have armed terrorists in the past, they have built up their conventional weapons, and now they are doing everything they can to obtain the ultimate weapon.

A nuclear armed Iran is a threat to everyone, everywhere and no one can afford any longer to sit back and wait for our allies to do the “dirty work” for us and disarm Iran. We, too, must act.

The time is long past due for us to pass these sanctions against that regime and stop our nuclear ambitions before they wipe our allies off the map.

HONORING KYLE THEODORE BUCK

HON. SAM GRAVES
OF MISSOURI
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. GRAVES. Madam Speaker, I proudly pause to recognize Kyle Theodore Buck of Kansas City, Missouri. Kyle is a very special young man who has exemplified the finest qualities of citizenship and leadership by taking an active part in the Boy Scouts of America, Troop 1395, and earning the most prestigious award of Eagle Scout.

Kyle has been very active with his troop, participating in many Scout activities. Over the many years Kyle has been involved with Scouting, he has not only earned numerous merit badges, but also the respect of his family, peers, and community.

Madam Speaker, I proudly ask you to join me in commending Kyle Theodore Buck for his accomplishments with the Boy Scouts of America and for his efforts put forth in achieving the highest distinction of Eagle Scout.

HONORING MR. DONALD “MAC” MACGREGOR

HON. PATRICK J. MURPHY
OF PENNSYLVANIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. PATRICK J. MURPHY of Pennsylvania. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor Bucks County resident Donald “Mac” Macgregor. On July 4th, 2008, Mac retired after a 35 year career as the director of the Bucks County Youth Center. During those 35 years, Mac revolutionized the detention center and its program, setting a high standard for working with delinquent children requiring secure care.

Madam Speaker, Mac has served his community and has helped troubled children his entire life. In addition to serving as a Juvenile Police Officer with Upper Moreland Township, Mac also operated, along with his wife Bonnie, the first group home in Bucks County with 6 delinquent youths.

Mr. Macgregor came to the troubled Bucks County Youth Center at a time when his predecessors faced near riots within the facility. With his unique innovative approach that showed how respecting children can help them respect themselves, Mac turned the facility into a leading youth center that has helped many Bucks County kids turn their lives around.

In addition to bringing a new approach to troubled youth care, Mac also oversaw the construction and planning of winning Bucks County Juvenile Detention Center. This state-of-the-art facility has become a must-visit for all Pennsylvania municipalities who are looking to improve their youth detention facilities and programs.

Madam Speaker, Macgregor’s commitment to working with troubled children is an inspiration to the Bucks County community and the nation as a whole. During his many years of service, he has created a new way of thinking about and treating delinquent youth that has changed countless lives for the better. I would like to thank Mr. Macgregor for his lifetime of dedication to our community.

IN RECOGNITION OF DALE MYERS, U.S. AIR FORCE

HON. DOUG LAMBORN
OF COLORADO
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. LAMBORN. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize Major Dale Myers, United States Air Force. While serving on my staff as a Legislative Fellow during this Second Session of the 110th Congress, Dale has shown an exceptional commitment to duty in his daily pursuit of excellence. During his tenure here on the Hill, Dale worked on a myriad of legislative matters for me from developing draft legislation to staffing hearings and briefings.

A natural leader, Dale personifies his strengths while engaged in matters relating to taking care of our men and women in uniform. His contributions to my efforts on the House Armed Services Committee and the House Veterans’ Affairs Committee will have a resonating impact on our military service members and veterans for years to come.

Further, Dale has made a positive and lasting impact on my staff by increasing their knowledge of the inner workings of the Department of Defense. In doing this, he has given them a greater appreciation and respect for the hard work and dedication exemplified by those individuals working exhaustively to support the men and women serving on the forefront of America’s defense. As he transitions to his next Air Force assignment, I thank
TRIBUTE TO CON-WAY FREIGHT’S DAVID MAY FOR BEING NAMED 2008 ATA NATIONAL DRIVER OF THE YEAR

HON. BRIAN HIGGINS
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. HIGGINS. Madam Speaker, I rise today to congratulate David May of West Seneca, N.Y., the American Trucking Association’s 2008 National Truck Driver of the Year. David, a relay and city driver for Con-way Freight, should be proud of this impressive accomplishment and commitment to keeping our nation’s highways safe.

The National Truck Driver of the Year award is the greatest honor a professional truck driver can achieve. In his 27 years on the road, David has driven over 1.4 million miles without getting in an accident. This is a great accomplishment for David, and we are proud to claim him as our own.

David has served as a committed and influential leader by serving as America’s Road Team Captain in 2005, the driver representative on the CDL Advisory Committee in Washington, DC, and as a participant in the 2007 National Conference of State Legislatures Summit. He has spoken with the public and colleagues about the importance of improving highway safety and has addressed numerous groups including legislators and school children.

Congratulations and best wishes for continued success to David May for maintaining such high standards and encouraging others to do the same. This remarkable accomplishment should inspire us all to pursue our goals with devoted persistence.

A TRIBUTE TO NEIL A. EMORY
OF NORTH CAROLINA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. ETHERIDGE. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize the long service of Mr. Neil A. Emory to Harnett County, North Carolina. On October 31, 2008, he will retire as County Manager, capping a public service career that spans three decades. Mr. Emory began his tenure as one of the longest serving County Managers in Harnett County history 15 years ago and has been serving the citizens of North Carolina for nearly 28 years.

Mr. Emory is a native son of Weaverville, North Carolina. He attended Appalachian State University where he graduated with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Local Government Administration and went on to earn his Master of Arts degree in Political Science with a concentration in Public Administration.

Neil Emory’s innovative leadership and unique vision has benefited Harnett County in innumerable ways. Mr. Emory oversaw a period of tremendous growth in Harnett County resulting from the expansion of nearby Fort Bragg, leading up to and continuing through the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission process. His greatest accomplishments have been the many improvements to county infrastructure; the construction of a new county courthouse; the construction of new schools and the restructuring of the community college system; the expansion of emergency services; and an extensive update to the county mapping system. He accomplished all of this by working across party lines and through sound long-range planning. I remember working together in the aftermath of Hurricane Fran to address the needs of Harnett County’s ailing communities.

Neil has worn many different hats during his career, but perhaps his most important jobs are being a husband to his wife, Elizabeth, and father to his daughter, Hannah, and his son, Taylor. Ever active in his community, Mr. Emory has served as the PTO president of the Harnett County School Board and is involved in numerous associations for county managers and public administrators. He was recently named a Paul Harris Fellow as a lifetime Rotarian and has also received the International County Manager’s Association Award for 25 years of service to local governments. Mr. Emory has also found time to enjoy America’s favorite pastime, serving as assistant coach of the Dunn All-Stars for the past 12 years.

Madam Speaker, Neil Emory exemplifies dedication, leadership and commitment. As a former county commissioner, I am proud to honor the career of Neil A. Emory today. I ask all of my colleagues to join my wife, Faye, and me in celebrating his 28 years of service to Harnett County and to the State of North Carolina.

IN HONOR OF DR. EPHRAIM MCDOWELL
HON. BEN CHANDLER
OF KENTUCKY
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. CHANDLER. Madam Speaker, Dr. Ephraim McDowell, the Father of Abdominal Surgery, is one of the most well-known Kentuckians and physicians in American history. During a year-long celebration continuing through the end of 2009, Danville, Kentucky and the Sixth Congressional District will celebrate Dr. McDowell’s contributions to the field of medicine.

Originally born in Virginia in 1771, Dr. McDowell’s father, Samuel McDowell, served in the Virginia House of Burgesses. He was sent to Central Kentucky when Ephraim was 11 to serve as one of the first land court judges in the County of Kentucky.

Dr. McDowell received his early education in Danville and then returned to Virginia to study medicine under Dr. Alexander Humphries. Recognizing his great talent, Dr. Humphries encouraged him to travel to the University of Edinburgh, the seat of medical learning at the time. He studied there for two years, returning to Danville in 1795.

In December of 1802, Dr. McDowell married Sarah Hart Shelby, daughter of the first governor of Kentucky, Isaac Shelby. They had nine children, five of whom lived to adulthood.

On Christmas Day in 1809, Dr. McDowell performed the world’s first successful ovarian surgery. Mrs. Jane Todd Crawford, a 46-year-old Green County housewife, had been told by other physicians that she was pregnant with twins. Using no anesthesia, Dr. McDowell removed a twenty-two and one-half pound tumor. Mrs. Crawford recovered in 25 days and lived for 32 more years to the age of 78.

Throughout his career, Dr. McDowell performed many other surgeries, including one on future United States president, James K. Polk, who traveled from Tennessee to Kentucky for Dr. McDowell’s expertise.

He was also an original founder of Centre College—a private, liberal arts school in Danville—allowing his legacies of education and ingenuity to remain alive in Central Kentucky’s students.

Dr. McDowell lived until 1830. He died at his summer home, Cambus-Kenneth, of what is now believed to be appendicitis. Mrs. McDowell lived out her life at the home of one of her daughters. She passed away in 1840.

Dr. McDowell’s residence, apothecary and office are preserved as a museum, the McDowell House, in Danville and are designated as a National Historic Landmark. This premier historical site will serve as a host for the year-long celebration to remember the most prominent surgeon west of the Alleghenies in the early 19th century.

HONORING RYAN PATRICK WILLIAMS
HON. SAM GRAVES
OF MISSOURI
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. GRAVES. Madam Speaker, I proudly pause to recognize Ryan Patrick Williams of Platte City, Missouri. Ryan is a very special young man who has exemplified the finest qualities of citizenship and leadership by taking an active part in the Boy Scouts of America, Troop 1419, and earning the most prestigious award of Eagle Scout.

Ryan has been very active with his troop, participating in many Scout activities. Over the many years Ryan has been involved with Scouting, he has not only earned numerous merit badges, but also the respect of his family, peers, and community.

Madam Speaker, I proudly ask you to join me in commending Ryan Patrick Williams for his accomplishments with the Boy Scouts of America and for his efforts put forth in achieving the highest distinction of Eagle Scout.

HONORING DIWALI
HON. PETER J. ROSKAM
OF ILLINOIS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. ROSKAM. Madam Speaker, I rise today to acknowledge the celebration of Diwali, the “Festival of Lights.”

It is during this time that the Hindu people celebrate their heritage with festivals, fireworks, and worship.

I would like to acknowledge the thousands of Hindus in my Congressional District and their valuable contributions to our community as citizens of strong faith, families with deep...
HONORING THE WORK OF SUPERVISOR TIM SMITH

HON. LYNN C. WOOLSEY
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Ms. WOOLSEY. Madam Speaker, I rise today along with my colleague, Congressman Mike Thompson, to recognize and honor Tim Smith, who is retiring after serving for 20 years on the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors. Upon his retirement, Supervisor Smith will have earned the distinction of being the longest continuously serving supervisor in the county’s history.

Supervisor Smith began his service to our country as a Navy radioman in Vietnam. When he returned from Vietnam, he attended Sonoma State University, where he graduated with a B.A. in Political Science in 1976. Shortly thereafter, he joined the staff of State Assembyman Doug Bosco and continued as his district director when the Assemblyman was elected to the U.S. House of Representatives.

Supervisor Smith was elected to the Board in 1988. As Supervisor, he provided constituent services to 95,000 people in the Third District. The Board also sets the policy direction for the $700 million annual budget and 3,500 county employees, works extensively with the legislative delegation on legislative and regulatory issues and serves on many regional and local agencies, commissions and boards.

Just a few of these agencies, commissions and boards include the Sonoma County Agricultural Preservation and Open Space District, the National Association of Counties, the California Association of Counties, the Association of Bay Area Governments, the Sonoma County Community Development Commission and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District.

In his spare time, he has been a volunteer, advocate or fundraiser for many nonprofit organizations, including the Volunteer Center, United Way, Day of Caring, the Hate Free Community Project, the Valley of the Moon Children’s Home, the Heart Association and the Sonoma County Climate Protection Campaign.

Supervisor Smith intends to spend his well-earned leisure time traveling with his wife, Suzanne, enjoying his hobbies of golf and fly fishing, and spending more time with his three children and grandchildren.

Madam Speaker, Supervisor Smith leaves a distinguished record of public service and a lasting reputation as a problem solver who always had the best interests of the people of Sonoma County in mind as he worked on their behalf. We will miss our partnership with him but know he will continue to be a strong advocate for his community. It is appropriate that we honor and acknowledge him today for his lifetime of public service.

HONOR IN THE NAME OF THE STUDENTS AT ST. CATHERINE’S ACADEMY

HON. DENNIS J. KUCINICH
OF OHIO
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. KUCINICH. Madam Speaker, I rise today in honor of the innovative students at St. Catherine’s Academy in the Bronx, NY, who have dedicated their time to raising awareness of the plight of women in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC).

Earlier this year, students at St. Catherine’s Academy read a magazine article about the conflict in the Democratic Republic of Congo and the various humanitarian issues facing women in the country. St. Catherine’s Academy is a unique all-girls school that strives to teach its students responsibility, compassion, social justice, collaboration and concern for women’s issues. The students brainstormed and mobilized efforts to address the humanitarian crisis in the Democratic Republic of Congo. They organized fundraising bake sales to send money to Panzi Hospital in the DRC, a hospital dedicated to treating women who are survivors of rape and abuse. Through their efforts, the students raised $5,600 to send to the Panzi Hospital, which continues to treat over 60,000 women a year. The students furthered their efforts to mobilize the student body by creating a video highlighting obstacles faced by women in the DRC for the school’s annual international show.

Madam Speaker and colleagues, please join me in honor of the students of St. Catherine’s Academy for their work on raising awareness of the plight of women in the Democratic Republic of Congo and in recognition of their contributions to their community, their vision and their innovation. May their efforts serve as an example for all of us to follow.

THE INTRODUCTION OF THE FAMILY AND MEDICAL LEAVE ENHANCEMENT ACT

HON. CAROLYN B. MALONEY
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mrs. MALONEY of New York. Madam Speaker, over fifteen years ago, President Clinton signed into law the Family and Medical Leave Act (PL 103-3), legislation that allows employees to take time off from work to care for a new baby or sick family member. Because of this landmark legislation, millions of Americans have been able to take unpaid leave without the risk of losing their jobs. Today, I am introducing the Family and Medical Leave Enhancement Act. Building upon the success of the 1993 Family and Medical Leave Act, FMLA, this legislation would allow more people to benefit from FMLA by allowing employees in companies with more than 25 employees to take Family and Medical Leave and would extend employee leave for workers to meet their family’s needs. The legislation includes 24 hours of leave (during any 12-month period) for parents and grandparents, school-teacher conferences or to take their children, grandchildren or other family members to the doctor for regular medical or dental appointments.

Currently, the FMLA allows qualified workers to take up to 12 weeks of unpaid leave to care for newborns, seek emergency medical care for themselves, parents, children under 18 or legal spouse. My legislation would add commonsense enhancements to FMLA.

In 1997, this legislative measure was supported by President Bill Clinton. In February 1997, President Clinton said, “I call upon Congress to expand the family leave law to give parents an additional 24 hours of unpaid leave each year to take a child or an elderly relative to a regular doctor’s appointment or to attend parent-teacher conferences at school. In so doing, we’ll make our families stronger and our workers more productive, building the kind of country and economy we all want for our children.”

On behalf of America’s families, I urge my fellow colleagues to join me in support of the Family and Medical Leave Enhancement Act.

SUNSET MEMORIAL

HON. TRENT FRANKS
OF ARIZONA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. FRANKS of Arizona. Madam Speaker, I stand once again before this House with yet another Sunset Memorial.

It is September 29, 2008 in the land of the free and the home of the brave, and before the sun set today in America, almost 4,000 more defenseless, unborn children were killed by abortion on demand. That’s just today, Mr. Speaker. That’s more than the number of innocent lives lost on September 11 in this country, only it happens every day.

It has now been exactly 13,034 days since the tragedy called Roe v. Wade was first handed down. Since then, the very foundation of this Nation has been stained by the blood of almost 50 million of its own children. Some of them, Madam Speaker, cried and screamed as they died, but because it was amniotic fluid passing over the vocal cords instead of air, we couldn’t hear them.

All of them had at least four things in common. First, they were each just little babies who had done nothing wrong to anyone, and each one of them died a nameless and lonely death. And each one of their mothers, whether she realizes it or not, will never be quite the same. And all the gifts that these children might have brought to humanity are now lost forever. Yet even in the glare of such tragedy, this generation still clings to a blind, invincible ignorance while history repeats itself and our own silent genocide mercilessly annihilates the most helpless of all victims, those yet unborn.

Madam Speaker, perhaps it’s time for those of us in this Chamber to remind ourselves of why we are really all here. Thomas Jefferson said, “The care of human life and its happiness and the defense of its unobstructed enjoyment, are the first and only object of good government.” The phrase in the 14th Amendment capsulizes our entire Constitution. It says, “No State shall deprive any person of life, liberty or property without due process of law.” Madam Speaker, protecting the lives of our innocent citizens and their constitutional rights is why we are all here.

The bedrock foundation of this Republic is the clarion declaration of the self-evident truth...
that all human beings are created equal and
dowered by their Creator with the unalienable
rights of life, liberty and the pursuit of hap-
iness. Every conflict and battle our Nation has
ever faced can be traced to our commitment
to this core, self-evident truth.

It has made us the beacon of hope for the
entire world. Madam Speaker, it is who we are.

And yet today another day has passed, and
we in this body have failed again to honor that
foundational commitment. We have failed our
sworn oath and our God-given responsibility as
we broke faith with nearly 4,000 more inno-
cent American babies who died today without
the protection we should have given them.

So Madam Speaker, let me conclude this
Sunset Memorial in the hope that perhaps
someone new who heard it tonight will finally
embrace the truth that abortion really does kill
little babies; that it hurts mothers in ways that
we can never express; and that 13,034 days
spent killing nearly 50 million unborn children
in America is enough; and that it is time that
we stood up together again, and remembered
that we are the same America that rejected
human slavery and marched into Europe to ar-
rest the Nazi Holocaust; and we are still cour-
ageous and compassionate enough to find a
better way for mothers and their unborn ba-
dies than abortion on demand.

Madam Speaker, as we consider the plight
of unborn America tonight, may we each re-
mind ourselves that our own days in this sun-
shine of life are also numbered and that all too
soon each one of us will walk from these
Chambers for the very last time.

And if it should be that this Congress is al-
lowed to convene on yet another day to come,
may that be the day when we finally hear the
cries of innocent unborn children. May that be
the day when we find the humanity, the cour-
age, and the will to embrace together our
human and our constitutional duty to protect
these, the least of our tiny, little American
brothers and sisters from this murderous
scourge upon our Nation called abortion on
demand.

It is September 29, 2008, 13,034 days since
Roe versus Wade first stained the foundation of
this Nation with the blood of its own chil-
dren; this in the land of the free and the home
of the brave.

EARMARK DECLARATION

HON. DENNIS R. REHBERG
OF MONTANA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. REHBERG. Madam Speaker, pursuant to
the Republican Leadership standards on
earmarks, I am submitting the following infor-
mation for publication in the CONGRESSIONAL
RECORD regarding earmarks I received as part
of H.R. 2638, the Department of Homeland

Requesting Member: DENNY REHBERG, H.R.
2638.
The Bill Number: H.R. 2638.
The Account: RTD&E, Army.
Project: Mariah II Hypersonic Wind Tunnel
Development.

Amount: $3,200,000.
The entity to receive funding for this project
is MISE Technology Applications, located
at 200 Technology Way, Butte, MT 59701.
The funding would be used for continued develop-
mant of a true air hypersonic wind tunnel.

BUDGET

Task 1: Project Management.
This task includes program management,
reporting, Risk management, ES&H, and
Quality.
Estimated Cost: $500,000.

Task 2: Technology Integration.
This task includes technology and system
integration, development of the overall Tech-
nology Development Plan, preparation of top-
cal reports on technology to be developed,
and technology advocacy.
Estimated Cost: $350,000.

Task 3: Facility Development.
This task includes the development of test-
facilities at various levels. This includes
Ultra-high pressure test facility development,
plant development and MSHWT develop-
ment.
Estimated Cost: $400,000.

Task 4: Gas Supply System Technology.
This task includes gland seal development,
cross bore/check valve development/flow start-
ing valve development, storage heater devel-
velopment, seal ring/clamp seal development,
can axial flow manifold development and gas sup-
ply system dynamic analysis.
Estimated Cost: $950,000.

Continue development and evaluation of
adaptable “Data Management” network inter-
operability services based on emerging com-
mercial communications technologies and
integration and training.

FY09 Development and Testing Timeline,
Date, FY09 Estimate (AAC/MSU), and Notes:
Milestone Demo 1 FY09—Initiate efforts to
integrate sensors, data, control systems,
weapons and platforms into the Supportability
System, From: 10/08 To: 10/09, $600,000,
Development Location: AAC, MT Operations
and U.S. Navy Test Platform.

Requesting Member: DENNY REHBERG, H.R.
2638.
The Bill Number: H.R. 2638.
The Account: RTD&E, Navy.
Project: Supportability Training Services
Infrastructure.

Amount: $1,600,000.
The entity to receive funding for this project
is Advanced Acoustic Concepts, located at
910 Technology Blvd., Suite A, Bozeman,
Montana 59718. The funding would be used
for the development of system design and
software for the supportability data manage-
ment system.

BUDGET

FY09 Development and Testing Timeline,
Date, FY09 Estimate (AAC/MSU), and Notes:
Milestone Demo 1 FY09—Demon-
strate operation effectiveness, From: 10/09
To: 04/10, $500,000, Full-scale development
demonstration showing all the capabilities of
the Supportability system. Development Loca-
tion: AAC, MT Operations and U.S. Navy
Test Platform.

Milestone Demo 2 FY09/FY10—Demon-
strate operation effectiveness, From: 10/09
To: 04/10, $500,000, Initial “Limited” Rate.
Requesting Member: DENNY REHBERG, H.R.
2638.
The Bill Number: H.R. 2638.
The Account: RTD&E, Air Force.
Project: Watchkeeper.
Amount: $800,000.
The entity to receive funding for this project
is General Atomics, located at 1899 Pennsyl-
vania Ave., Suite 300, Washington DC. The
funding would be used by multiple Montana
subcontractors to focus on technologies for
completion of motion sensing radar with day/night video capture and transmission capa-

BUDGET

The final RDT&E phase/segment requires
completion of the system software, downsizing
and packaging the equipment, final develop-
ment of the ASIC chip, and demonstration of
the Watchkeeper system in real environment.
EARMARK DECLARATION

HON. RON LEWIS
OF KENTUCKY
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. LEWIS of Kentucky. Madam Speaker, pursuant to the Republican Leadership standards on earmarks, I am submitting the following information for publication in the Congressional Record regarding earmarks I received as part of H.R. 2638, the Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009.

Requesting Member: Congressman Ron Lewis
Bill Number: H.R. 2638
Account: RDTE, A
Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Owensboro Medical Health System
Address of Requesting Entity: 811 East Parrish Ave., Owensboro, KY, USA
Description of Request: Provide an earmark of $2,320,000 for roof removal and replacement at Fort Knox, U.S. Army installation. The roofs on six barracks that house soldiers at Fort Knox are in need of being replaced or repaired. This project request has the support of the Fort Knox Garrison Command and would repair the roofs on buildings 1479, 1480, 2371, 2376, 2377, 2378, 2379, 2381.

Requesting Member: Congressman Ron Lewis
Bill Number: H.R. 2638
Account: OM, A
Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Fort Knox
Address of Requesting Entity: 127 6th Ave. Ste 202, Fort Knox, KY, USA
Description of Request: Provide an earmark of $2,320,000 for roof removal and replacement at Fort Knox, U.S. Army instillation. The roofs on six barracks that house soldiers at Fort Knox are in need of being replaced or repaired. This project request has the support of the Fort Knox Garrison Command and would repair the roofs on buildings 1479, 1480, 2371, 2376, 2377, 2378, 2379, 2381.

HONORING JESSE DAKODA JEPSEN
OF MISSOURI
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. GRAVES. Madam Speaker, I proudly pause to recognize Jesse Dakoda Jepsen of Kearney, Missouri. Jesse is a very special young man who has exemplified the finest qualities of citizenship and leadership by taking an active part in the Boy Scouts of America, Troop 1397, and earning the most prestigious award of Eagle Scout. Jesse has been very active with his troop, participating in many scout activities. Over the many years Jesse has been involved with scouting, he has not only earned numerous merit badges, but also the respect of his family, peers, and community.

Madam Speaker, I proudly ask you to join me in commending Jesse Dakoda Jepsen for his accomplishments with the Boy Scouts of America and for his efforts put forth in achieving the highest distinction of Eagle Scout.

SUPPORT OF OUTRAGE OVER HIV/AIDS EPIDEMIC IN THE UNITED STATES

HON. CHARLES B. RANGEL
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. RANGEL. Madam Speaker, I rise today to express my support of the September 12, 2008 article, "Outraged by AIDS Epidemic" by Virginia Fields, president and CEO of the National Black Leadership Commission on AIDS. In this article Ms. Fields rightly expresses her outrage at the numbers of black individuals and their families across our great country.

In this article Ms. Fields rightly expresses her outrage at the numbers of black individuals and their families across our great country.

In this article Ms. Fields rightly expresses her outrage at the numbers of black individuals and their families across our great country.

In this article Ms. Fields rightly expresses her outrage at the numbers of black individuals and their families across our great country.

Outraged by AIDS Epidemic

(by C. Virginia Fields)

I was not shocked by facts I learned at the recent International AIDS Conference, but I was outraged—outraged that, three decades into this epidemic, we are still seeing the kind of numbers we’re seeing in terms of AIDS’ impact on the black community.

At the Conference, we learned that, according to Fig. 3–8 in Mexico City, delegates learned that the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention is now estimating the number of AIDS cases to be much higher than originally thought. Using more precise methodology, the agency now says there were 56,300 new HIV infections in the United States in 2006 rather than the 40,000 previously estimated.

With many problems, the black community is hit harder than the population as a whole, and AIDS is no different—in fact, this trend is greatly accentuated with AIDS. The CDC found that the infection rate for African-Americans was seven times higher than whites. Almost 25,000 new cases of HIV were measured for blacks in the reporting period. The new numbers “confirm that blacks are more heavily and disproportionately affected by HIV than any other racial/ethnic group in the U.S.” the CDC reported.

AIDS is the leading cause of death for black women between the ages of 25 and 34. New York is a leader in the country in many areas and this is one of the unfortunate ones. The cumulative number of AIDS cases in this state was more than 177,000 through 2006, according to CDC statistics. That was more than 30,000 above California, which was in second place.

Now one would think that, given these facts, the federal government would be doing all that it possibly can to fight this disease, and to target the funds where they are most needed. I wish that were true. In fact, when it comes to dealing with AIDS, the government seems to think it’s more of a crisis overseas than at home.

The President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) funnels resources to 15 countries with high rates of AIDS. While that is needed, what about our own citizens? The American President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) funnels resources to 15 countries with high rates of AIDS. While that is needed, what about our own citizens? The American President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) funnels resources to 15 countries with high rates of AIDS. While that is needed, what about our own citizens? The American President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) funnels resources to 15 countries with high rates of AIDS. While that is needed, what about our own citizens? The American President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) funnels resources to 15 countries with high rates of AIDS. While that is needed, what about our own citizens? The American President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) funnels resources to 15 countries with high rates of AIDS. While that is needed, what about our own citizens?
emerged from a conclave NBLCA facilitated last October that was co-chaired by Rev. Calvin Butts, pastor of the Abyssinian Baptist Church in New York, and Bishop T.D. Jakes, pastor of theanity Mansion in Dallas.

The strategy would set goals and time-tables and identify needed resources. It would make sure the 18 agencies that administer the programs coordinate and communicate with one another. In short, it would be a road map about how we respond to the AIDS epidemic in the United States.

The United States requires countries that receive help under PEPFAR to have such a strategy yet the United States itself does not have one. It is less ironic, that’s tragic.

The impact AIDS is having on our citizens, especially those in the black community, is alarming—and it’s getting worse. If we don’t mobilize now and make sure that we’re attacking this disease with as much energy, resources and intelligence as we can muster, we will someday wonder how we let such a crisis develop.

Despite all the bad news, there can be hope. I came away from the International AIDS Conference encouraged that we are determined as never before to fight the disease.

I came away from the conference—and I think many of my fellow delegates from all over the world did, too—much more committed to this cause.

HONORING SANDRA POINDEXTER ON BEING NAMED MICHIGAN’S PROFESSOR OF THE YEAR

HON. BART STUPAK OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. STUPAK. Madam Speaker, I rise to recognize Sandra Poindexter of Marquette, Michigan. Professor Poindexter has been named Michigan’s Professor of the Year and I ask that you, Madam Speaker, and the entire U.S. House of Representatives, join me in honoring her for this accomplishment.

Sandra Poindexter, who teaches information systems at Northern Michigan University, has been recognized as Distinguished Professor of the Year by the Presidents of Michigan’s 15 public universities. She is being honored for her sustained commitment to creating and infusing innovative teaching strategies and experiential learning opportunities into the classroom.

This special recognition for Professor Poindexter is the most recent of many she has received for her innovations to learning, which expand the classroom into the community and around the world. Some of her special innovations to learning include creatively integrating the Information Technology department and the college farm. He also expanded course offerings to more than 50 sites
throughout Sonoma County, created a study abroad program for SPJC students, and developed an educational telecommunications program at the college.

Throughout his career, Dean Olson has been an active participant in many professional associations, serving as Vice President of the California Agricultural Teachers Association, CATA, President of the North Coast CATA, founding Chairman of the State Advisory Committee on Vocational Agricultural Education, a member of the California Joint Policy Council on Higher Education in Agriculture, President of the California Agricultural Leadership Associates, and co-chair of the Northern California Advocates for Global Education. His involvement in his community has also extended to numerous non-profit organizations including the Sonoma County Farm Bureau, the Sonoma County 4-H Foundation, Chair of the Rotary Club of Santa Rosa Foundation, and Director of the Sonoma County Harvest Fair among others.

Over the years, Dean Olson has been recognized for his many accomplishments with such honors as the Friend of 4-H Award, the Rotarian of the Year Award for Northern California, the Friend of Sonoma County Agriculture Award and the Harold D. Bostock Lifetime of Service Rotary Award.

Madam Speaker, Dean Olson has had a long and distinguished career where he has been a model for his community and his profession. He plans to spend his retirement years with his wife, Elaine, and their 6 grandchildren pursuing their many hobbies. It is appropriate at this time that we honor Dean Olson for his many accomplishments and wish him well in his retirement.

CONGRATULATING THE RECIPIENTS OF THE 2008 CHESTER COUNTY COMMUNITY FOUNDATION LEGACY AWARDS

HON. JIM GERLACH
OF PENNSYLVANIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. GERLACH. Madam Speaker, I rise today to congratulate the recipients of the 2008 Chester County Community Foundation Legacy Awards.

The Foundation annually recognizes members of the community who embody its mission of making Chester County, Pennsylvania a vibrant place to live, work and raise a family.

The following awards will be presented to those who have exhibited exceptional charitable commitment and dedicated community involvement.

Carol Ware Gates, Ph.D. of Christiana will receive the Jordan Award for Lifelong Philanthropy; Peg Anderson of Coatesville will receive the Thanks For Caring Award for community involvement; Herr Foods of Nottingham will receive the Corporate Social Investment Award; John A. Featherman, III, Esq. of West Chester will receive the Door Opener Award for introducing prospective endowment fund donors to the Community Foundation; and the West Chester University Relay for Life Student Committee will receive the Youth Philanthropy Award.

The Community Foundation will honor the contributions these recipients have made to the community during the Annual Meeting and Legacy Awards Ceremony, Tuesday, October 14, 2008, in Philips Auditorium on the campus of West Chester University.

Madam Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join me in saluting all of the recipients for these well-deserved awards and commending them for giving so much of themselves while serving others and building a better Chester County.

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — Extensions of Remarks September 30, 2008
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INTRODUCTION TO H.O.P.E.
HON. LUIS V. GUTIERREZ
OF ILLINOIS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. GUTIERREZ. Madam Speaker, I rise today to announce the introduction of my bill, the H.O.P.E. resolution of 2008: Honoring Organizers for Promoting Equality.

In every community across our Nation, the contributions of community organizers are woven into the fabric of our history. They have worked to ignite movements such as the Underground Railroad, farm laborers' rights, civil rights, and women's suffrage. Today, community organizers in my own city of Chicago fight for improved housing, adult literacy initiatives, equal access to quality healthcare, and fair and just immigration laws.

As citizens of the United States—a country founded on the principles of freedom and justice—we should not discredit the serious and historic responsibilities of community organizers. I believe that there is no greater responsibility than standing up to injustice.

The men and women who followed in the footsteps of César Chávez and were committed to speak out for the voiceless, who saw the work of Jane Addams and decided to champion an unpopular cause, or who heard the words of Dr. Martin Luther King and chose to stand firm against the status quo—these men and women know where true power lies.

In educating and encouraging others to reject injustice and to demand change, organizers create better communities and a better country for us all.

Madam Speaker, let me conclude with these words from Thomas Jefferson: "Enlighten the people..." Community organizers play an integral role in empowering people of every faith, gender, race, ethnicity, and sexual orientation, and their efforts are worthy of more than a punch line.

EMARK DECLARATION
HON. BRIAN P. BILRAY
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. BILRAY. Madam Speaker, I submit the following:

Requesting Member: Congressman BRIAN BILRAY.


Account: RDT&E, Army.

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Burnham Institute for Medical Research.

Address of Requesting Entity: 10901 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037.

Description of Request: Recent world events have made abundantly clear the need for a deeper understanding of the molecular and cellular mechanisms employed by bacterial and viral pathogens that allow the design of countermeasures to weaponized biological agents such as anthrax, ricin, smallpox virus, botulinum toxin or plague bacteria. Additionally, as evidenced by the ever-present threat of viral pandemics at the relentless rise of antibiotic-resistance, there is a clear and urgent need for the development of new families of therapeutic agents—antibiotics, vaccines, antitoxins and antivirals. Given the large and growing number of recalcitrant pathogens, the most useful new therapeutics are likely to have broad-spectrum efficacy; to target immutable elements of the pathogen or host; to be rapidly adaptable in the face of natural or engineered variants; and to be physically robust.

To assist the United States Army in protecting our soldiers against these growing threats, I secured $2.4 million for the Infectious & Inflammatory Disease Center (IIDC) at the Burnham Institute for Medical Research, which will build on its studies of diseases that result from a broad range of human pathogens. The work will define and characterize host responses to infection, including innate and adaptive immunity and inflammation, providing a molecular understanding of host-pathogen interactions. Over the next ten years, many antibiotics currently prescribed to treat bacterial infections will no longer be effective owing to microbial resistance. Drug-resistant strains of some pathogens, such as the bacteria that cause tuberculosis, and MRSA, have already appeared. Several deadly viral agents have also emerged, threatening both our soldiers in the battlefield as well as large civilian populations; and, except for some vaccines, few treatments for viral infections exist to date.

With regard to infectious diseases, a major goal of the IIDC is to discover, characterize and validate novel virulence factors and toxins from infectious agents, working closely with our bioinformatics group to develop (attempt to assign function based on the DNA sequence) the rapidly expanding number of pathogen genome sequences. These combined studies facilitate the discovery of novel but conserved pathways that may be validated as targets for broad-spectrum antibiotics. Complementary strategies will be developed to produce drug-like compounds for further development, including High-Throughput Screening (HTS), 'in silico' screening, and the development and application of NMR-based fragment approaches (the Institute hosts the San Diego Chemical Library Screening Center”, one of 5 such centers nationwide). The IIDC will continue its well-funded studies of the most likely agents of bioterrorism, including anthrax (Bacillus anthracis), smallpox (Variola virus), and plague (Yersinia pestis); but it will also expand its focus to the study of emerging diseases such as SARS, West Nile and Dengue Viruses, as well as preparing countermeasures to treat a possible influenza pandemic—should avian flu strain H5NI gain the ability to transmit directly from person to person.

A major new focus of the IIDC will be to understand and exploit host responses to infection. Human cells provide the never-ending
backdrop in a contest between host-defense molecules and pathogen virulence factors that seek to subvert the host’s innate and adaptive immune responses. Identifying the players and mechanisms of the natural host responses, many of which are common to a broad range of infections, may provide novel (host-targeted) leads for broad-spectrum therapeutics, the exciting possibility of naturally boosting innate immunity, as well as the discovery of novel adjuvants for vaccine design. Vaccine technology has developed little in the past 50 years. A high priority will therefore be the development of vaccine methodologies which employ robust single-chain antigen-adjuvant combinations that facilitate rapid production and modification in the face of engineered or mutant pathogens.

The IIDC is well positioned in that it already has much of the infrastructure in place to generate novel therapeutic leads; shortly, with the opening of our new facility in Orlando, FL, we will have the additional capability of developing these leads through medicinal chemistry and pharmacology to phase I trials, the latter in collaboration with our clinical partners in Florida.

Additional funding made possible through this process to the IIDC will enable the expansion of our Center into a number of critical areas. Priorities include recruitment of new faculty members and their programs working in the fields of innate immunity, microbiology, and medicinal chemistry. Recruitment into these currently underrepresented areas within our Center will complement our existing expertise and further expedite the development of novel therapeutics.

Leveraged Funds—Based on the Burnham Institute for Medical Research’s past successful record of leveraging seed funds, we estimate that $3 million for additional scientists through this request will result in $30 million in additional grant funding for the next 10 years at the BIMR.

Current/Future/Matching Funding—Private philanthropy for the San Diego, CA area has contributed to the current research work ongoing at Burnham’s IIDC. Since BIMR scientists started focusing on the important area of research, the IIDC has secured nearly $40,000,000 in competitive federal grants from a number of sources including the DoD and the NIAID. BIMR researchers and their research are very well respected throughout these federal agencies. Researchers in the IIDC will continue to seek federal grants through the traditional competitive process, this year through funding opportunities available from the DoD and the NIAID.

TRIBUTE TO PETER AND BETSY LOWE FOR RECEIVING THE 2008 ARTHRITIS FOUNDATION AWARD

HON. ROBERT E. (BUD) CRAMER, JR.
OF ALABAMA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. CRAMER. Madam Speaker, it is with great honor that I rise today to congratulate my friends, Mr. and Mrs. Peter L. Lowe, Sr. of Huntsville, Alabama, for receiving the 2008 Arthritis Foundation Humanitarian Award. This award is given annually to the person or persons in the Huntsville community who displays exemplary community leadership. The Lowes are distinguished community advocates and civic volunteers who have given countless hours to numerous community and state-wide organizations and boards.

Peter and Betsy Lowe have led efforts to nurture the cultural development of their home town. Together with co-Chairs of the Huntsville Museum of Art’s “Masterpiece in the Making” Capital Campaign which is currently raising $11 million for improvements to the museum’s existing facilities and an endowment for the museum’s long-term financial stability. In addition to her service to the Huntsville Museum of Art, Betsy has volunteered as a board member at the Huntsville Hospital Foundation, the University of Alabama Huntsville Foundation, the Alabama Heritage Foundation and many other distinguished organizations.

Peter Lowe serves as the president of GW Jones & Sons Consulting Engineers, Inc., a highly regarded Huntsville company that specializes in real estate development, sales and appraisals. The Lowes are members of the First United Methodist Church of Huntsville and have three children and five grandchildren.

Madam Speaker, the leadership and dedication of Peter and Betsy should serve as a model for others to follow. On behalf of the people of North Alabama, I congratulate them for being named the 2008 Arthritis Foundation Humanitarian Award recipient.

FUGITIVE SAFE SURRENDER

HON. JOHN CONYERS, JR.
OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. CONYERS. Madam Speaker, I rise today to applaud the efforts of the United States Marshals Service for its pioneering program to encourage hundreds and thousands of individuals wanted for non-violent felony or misdemeanor crimes to voluntarily surrender to the law in a faith-based or other neutral setting. The initiative was conceived and is administered by the Marshals Service in states that have particularly high numbers of fugitive warrants.

The goal of Fugitive Safe Surrender is to reduce the risk to law enforcement officers who pursue fugitives, to the neighborhoods and communities where they hide, and to the fugitives themselves. Authorized by Congress in July 2006, it is believed to be the first program of its kind in the Nation.

In Detroit, Michigan, where the majority of my constituents reside, Fugitive Safe Surrender took place in June 2008, under the leadership of Robert M. Grubbs, United States Marshal for the Eastern District of Michigan. Over the course of the four-day surrender period, more than 6,500 individuals with outstanding warrants took advantage of the opportunity to surrender to law enforcement and help pave the way for a more successful future for themselves and their families. The credit for this outstanding success goes to the many city workers, judges, prosecutors, attorneys, clerks, and other leaders who contributed their time, efforts and leadership to administering the program. I am proud to salute the leadership of Dr. Edgar Vann, pastor of Second Ebenezer Church, who allowed the Marshals Service to use his beautiful facility and who devoted countless hours to mentoring government officials and fugitives during the process.

This brilliant initiative was conceived by Peter J. Elliott, United States Marshal for the Northern District of Ohio, after the death of Cleveland Police Officer Wayne Leon at the hands of a wanted fugitive. He believes that “desperate people commit desperate acts.” Marshal Elliott has helped lead the program in ten cities and has been urged by mayors from across the country to implement the program in their cities. As the Chairman of the House Judiciary Committee, I applaud Marshal Elliott for his courage to “think outside the box,” and his leadership in pairing law enforcement with communities in an amazingly successful effort to apprehend fugitives while giving thousands of individuals a second chance at life.

TRIBUTE TO POCAHONTAS AREA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

HON. TOM LATHAM
OF IOWA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. LATHAM. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize the excellence in education in the Fourth Congressional District of Iowa, and to specifically congratulate Pocahontas Area Elementary School in Pocahontas, Iowa, for making the list of the 2008 No Child Left Behind, Blue Ribbon Schools.

The No Child Left Behind-Blue Ribbon Schools Program honors public and private elementary, middle, and high schools that are either academically superior or that demonstrate dramatic gains in student achievement. Pocahontas Area Elementary School scored in the top 10 percent in Iowa with at least 40 percent of their students from disadvantaged backgrounds.

I consider it a great honor to represent Pocahontas Area Elementary Principal Lynn Moody, the teachers, students, school board members, and administrations of Pocahontas Area Schools in the United States Congress. I wish Pocahontas Elementary continued academic excellence as it provides a positive impact on future generations to come.

HONORING THE BUCKS COUNTY BAR ASSOCIATION

HON. PATRICK J. MURPHY
OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. MURPHY. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor the Bar Association of Bucks County for its 125 years of service and dedication to the legal professionals of Bucks County. In 1683, William Penn established the first courts in the county, holding an orphan’s and common pleas court in Falls Township, Pennsylvania. Twenty five years later, in 1708, Bucks County appointed its first Deputy Attorney General. In 1812 the first “old” courthouse was built, followed by the second “old” courthouse in 1877. This second courthouse was demolished in 1960 to construct the courthouse we still use today.
In 1853, seventeen attorneys formed an organization of legal practitioners, creating the Association of the Bar of Bucks County. The organization was incorporated in 1883 and had grown to 40 members. They worked then, as they do now, to improve the welfare of the judicial system, the courts, the administration of justice and service to the public.

Now with more than 700 members, the Bucks County Bar Association has made huge advancements. It now has its own legal journal, the Bucks County Law Report. They also have established a lawyer referral program, Legal Aid Society, and mock trial and law day programs. What once consisted of just 40 members now has 30 committees within the organization. The Bar Association of Bucks County has come a long way since it was incorporated over 100 years ago.

Madam Speaker, please join me in celebrating the 125th Anniversary of the Bucks County Bar Association and its service and dedication to the residents and legal professionals of Bucks County.

HONORING ALPENA, MICHIGAN’S STATE RUNNER-UP GIRLS SOFTBALL TEAM

HON. BART STUPAK
OF MICHIGAN
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. STUPAK. Madam Speaker, I rise today along with my colleague, Congresswoman Lynne Woolsey, to honor and acknowledge the Sonoma County Medical Association, SCMA. The SCMA will celebrate its 150th anniversary on November 11, 2008.

Recently discovered documents place the first call to organize the forerunner to the SCMA on April 10, 1858, with the creation of a constitution and by-laws. The group went through at least 2 subsequent reorganizations, the latter being in 1888, which had long been considered by medical historians to be the original founding date of the organization.

From 1888 to 1910 the Sonoma County Medical Society, as it was then called, held monthly meetings around such topics as “The Emotions in Their Relationship to Disease” and “Bubonic Plague: Keeping it Out of Sonoma County.” In 1906, the association elected its first woman president, Dr. Anabel Stuart. During both World Wars, 29 percent of the medical society’s membership served our country in uniform.

Since 1951, the SCMA has had only 5 full-time administrators or executive directors. Josephine Quayle served as “general helper” until her retirement in 1963. She was succeeded by Norman Brown, who served from 1963 to 1982. Roger Brown served from 1983 to 1989, followed by Tom Wagner from 1989 to 2000 and Cynthia Melody from 2000 to the present.

Over the years, the SCMA has made numerous contributions to the health of Sonoma County. In 1962, the SCMA coordinated a “Knock Out Polio” campaign that resulted in 92.3 percent of the county’s population being immunized. From the mid-1970s to the late 1990s, the SCMA created several other affiliated companies that helped increase medical services to county residents, including the Specialty Physicians Association and the Children’s Health Network. And, in 2000, the SCMA returned to its roots as a self-sustaining, non-profit county medical association supporting physicians and their efforts to enhance the health of the community.

Madam Speaker, the SMCA has a long history of assisting physicians practicing in Sonoma County and of preserving the well-being of county residents. It is appropriate that you, Madam Speaker, and the entire U.S. House of Representatives, join me in honoring the outstanding Alpena Wildcats.

No one can fault the girls for being disappointed after their 14-inning 2–1 loss to the Garden City Cougars in the state championship game. After years of finishing the season at the district tournament, the Alpena High School girls’ softball team finally broke away from that tradition and made it all the way to the State final in which they finished second. Their hard-fought advancement to the State Final is an example of the pride of a father, Billy Jim has inspired countless young men through scouting by providing the direction, purpose, leadership and team-work skills needed to develop into strong individuals and community leaders. The entire...
Brentwood community holds Billy Jim in the highest respect, and as a result of his dedication, the Billy Jim Campershie Fund for Needy Scouts was established in his name.

Billy Jim’s immeasurable impact on the community is not limited to the world of scouting, however. During World War II, Billy Jim served in the U.S. Navy Medical Corps, and he has developed a long record of service to the Nashville and Brentwood communities since that time. At Brentwood United Methodist Church, Billy Jim has taught 9th grade Sunday School for the past 54 years. Additionally, he has served on the boards of directors for American Red Cross, Hemophilia Foundation, and YMCA, among others. He worked at the United Methodist Publishing House for 44 years, and with Randstad Staffing Services for 30 years. He served as president of the National Association of Purchasing Managers, and has been recognized numerous times for his contributions to the business community.

Billy Jim Vaughn has set an example of service, leadership, caring, and civic participation that all would do well to follow. Madam Speaker, I congratulate Billy Jim Vaughn on this well-deserved award, and ask my colleagues to join me in celebrating his accomplishments. We congratulate Billy Jim and his family on this wonderful occasion.

EARMARK DECLARATION

HON. ROBIN HAYES
OF NORTH CAROLINA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. HAYES. Madam Speaker, I submit the following:

Requesting Member: Congressman ROBIN HAYES.


Account: Defense-Wide, RDTE.

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Longworth Industries.

Address of Requesting Entity: 1710 Airport Road, Monroe, NC, USA.

Description of Request: Provide an authorization of $5,000,000 for Acclimate Flame Resistant High Performance Base Layers. These base layers are designed to provide an increased degree of protection against potential exposure to heat and flame of a short duration. In a flash fire situation, Acclimate flame resistant base layers are thermostatic meaning they will remain physically intact when exposed to a short duration heat source. They will not break open, thus helping to minimize burn injuries as well as eliminating the intensified burns caused by the melting or dripping of other synthetic materials. The Marine Corps has a $27.0 million "Unfunded Requirement" to provide "modernized clothing and equipment that is more effective, lighter and more durable to support the warfighter in austere environments that have been identified in the Global War on Terrorism." The Clothing and Flame Resistant Organizational Gear (FROG) program (including the Fire Resistant Desert Combat Jacket) has been funded to meet the Marine Corps’ flame resistant apparel requirements with products like the Acclimate Flame Resistant High Performance Base Layers. A $1.6 million add was included in the final bill.

Requesting Member: Congressman ROBIN HAYES.


Account: Department of Defense, Operating Forces.

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Protective Technologies.

Address of Requesting Entity: 480 E. Main Street, Candor, NC 27229.

Description of Request: Provide an authorization of $2 million for the Department of Defense Innovation (Defense Security Technology Accelerator).

Address of Requesting Entity: 455 Ramsey Street, Fayetteville NC 28301.

Description of Request: The Partnership for Defense Innovation received an authorization for $3 million for an expansion of the PDI Special Operations Forces Wireless Testbed by establishing a testing and evaluation assessment center. This added capability will provide rapid testing and assessment, modeling and simulation, software verification, validation and accreditation, strategic analysis and consulting, and provides built out laboratories and equipment bays designed for technical testing and assessment. Capabilities will include an indoor high-bay for vehicle modification and testing, a radio frequency testing chamber for evaluation of communications equipment, and environmental testing chambers designed to test and assess the temperature and humidity impact on equipment. USSOCOM requires testing and assessment of emerging technologies in net-centric operations. USSOCOM is facing a convergence of factors constraining military bandwidth. The reliance on the vast amounts of data that the net-centric warrior requires for computing, communication, command & control, intelligence and surveillance is challenging. These different types of data are collected from a plethora of different sources and sensor types, which rely on different data transfer protocols that can affect the size of the files and thus bandwidth demands. The Lab will continue to problem-solve these issues while providing a proximate test bed for joint/intelligence new product testing and evaluations on WiFi battalions and operations. A $2 million add for this request was included in the final bill.

Requesting Member: Congressman ROBIN HAYES.


Account: Defense-Wide, RDTE & E.

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: United Press Technologies, Inc. (UPTE) 125 Bostick Blvd., Lake Park, NC 28453.

Address of Requesting Entity: United Press Technologies, Inc. (UPTE) 125 Bostick Blvd., Lake Park, NC 28453.

Description of Request: Provide an authorization of $5,000,000 for the Acquisition of a Silicon Carbide (SiC) Superlattice Nanotechnology. A $2 million add was included in the final bill.

Requesting Member: Congressman ROBIN HAYES.


Account: National Aeronautics & Space Administration (NASA).

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Northrup Grumman, 7323 Aviation Blvd, Mail Stop 1105, Baltimore, MD 21040.

Description of Request: Provide a $3 million authorization for Superlattice Nanotechnology research for the Department of Defense to be performed at UNC—Charlotte. Most of today’s compound semiconductor devices made from silicon (Si) and silicon germanium (SiGe) have high power capabilities, but are limited by defects density and other factors affecting yield, communications, and performance. One of the most promising new materials is SiC, which is used to make high power radio frequency (RF), power switching, and high current switching devices for a multitude of DoD applications. Superlattice nanotechnology can mitigate the size, yield and performance limitations of SiC by utilizing atomic level quantum confinement and growth process. This will greatly reduce the cost and improve the performance of many of the desired SiC devices. Superlattice nanotechnology will form the structure for the next dimension in RF electronics (Radar, EW, communications), radiation hard electronics (satellite, special use), and power conditioning electronics (DEW, electromagnetic gun), enabling performance levels unachievable with today’s technology. Request $5.0 million be added to the President’s FY09 Budget Request to continue development of silicon carbide (SiC) Superlattice Nanotechnology. A $2 million add was included in the final bill.

Requesting Member: Congressman ROBIN HAYES.


Account: Department of Defense, Operating Forces.

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: United Press Technologies (UPPT) 4600 H Lebanon Road, Charlotte, NC 28227 and their Locust, North Carolina facility.

Description of Request: Provide a $2 million authorization for Non-Hazardous Infrared Anti-Reflective Coatings for Army Aircraft Sensors. An alternative coating will extend the service life of expensive and critical infrared range sensor windows is now available. This coating presents none of the health or environmental impacts found in other currently used Anti-reflective coatings. Prototype examples and early stage data of this new capability have been presented to the US Army and have received very positive feedback. Key features include unprecedented environmental stability, and excellent abrasion and erosion protection. This coating may also be used on both flat windows and on dome-shaped configurations. This will enhance the survivability of sensor windows and reduce cost of ownership through an increase in operation life and performance. Army provided Cost/Benefit analysis
shows that the windows of the AH64 Targeting Sensor Array (TADS/PNVS) are currently demonstrating a Mean Time between Un-scheduled Removal of 5031 (PNVS) and 5495 (TADS) flight hours. With the current Operational Tempo AH-64’s can be expected to fly approximately 110,000 flight hours per year (total fleet). Based on the damage seen on re-moved windows, a conservative estimate is that this coating will cut unscheduled removals by 50 percent, saving $418,000/year for the Apache Airframe. Other Army airframes could show a similar effect, amounting to an additional $800,000 annually. $1.2 million was included in the final bill for this project.

Requesting Member: Congressman ROBIN HAYES.


Account: RDTE, Navy.

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Combat Displays, Inc.

Address of Requesting Entity: 100–B Industrial Drive, New Bern, NC. 28562.

Description of Request: Provide an authorization of $6,800,000 for development of envi-ronmentally sealed, ruggedized avionics displays for next generation systems and will be done in conjuncture with the Center for Vertical Lift Excellence, Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS), Cherry Point, NC in support of technology to benefit our military aviators. This request is consistent with the intended and authorized purpose of the Navy RDTE account. A $4 million add was included in the final bill.

Requesting Member: Congressman ROBIN HAYES.


Account: Other Procurement, Army.

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: North Carolina National Guard for the 30th Heavy Brigade Combat Team (30th HBCT).

Address of Requesting Entity: North Carolina National Guard Joint Forces Headquarters, Raleigh, NC.

Description of Request: Request $2M to establish a pilot project in North Carolina of geograpyically disbursed Family Assistance Centers that will support the families of deployed service members living in rural areas and locations distant from military bases. Since 9/11, the North Carolina National Guard (NCNG) has experienced an unprecedented operational pace that includes mobilizing over 95 percent of the force. Current indications are that this pace will continue for the foreseeable future. These mobilizations have a significant effect on our families and children. One of the most vital lessons learned is that they experience this impact not only during the deployment, but prior to and especially after the service member returns. Family Assistance Centers (FACs) provide emotional support and services, including counseling, legal services, education, and providing drastic life cycle cost savings.

$1 million was included in the final bill for this project.

Requesting Member: Congressman ROBIN HAYES.


Account: RDTE, DW.

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Parker Dornick Hunter (dornick hunter, Inc. A Division of Parker).

Address of Requesting Entity: Northwoods Parkway Charlotte, NC 28269.

Description of Request: Request $2 million for Nano Porous Hollow Fiber Regenerative Chemical Filter. Funding would complete live agent chemical testing of a nano porous hol-low fiber regenerative chemical filter and evaluate incorporation of this breakthrough technology into an Individual, Self-Cleaning, Protective Mask. This program meets the military requirement to protect the warrior from the full range of chemical and biological agents. Current Individual Protection Equipment, provided to warfighters and first responders for protection against chemical and biological weapons, has significant short-comings including limited chemical protection ability and a significant logistics tail. The U.S. Army and Defense Threat Reduction Agency have made the development of novel technologies for use in advanced individual Protection mask application a priority objective. Nano porous hollow fiber filter is one such breakthrough technology. The hollow fiber filter requires very little energy to operate (10–20 watts vs. 8 kilowatts for a 50 cubic ft/minute unit), is lightweight (15 lbs. vs. 150 lbs. for a 50 cubic ft/minute unit), and has a self cleaning capability. Initial chemical testing confirms protection ability. Further live agent testing in a U.S. military facility is required in order to complete the design for integration into next generation Individual Protective Equipment, thus equip-ping warfighters and first responders with complete chemical agent protection, elimi-nating the logistics burden of the current filter, and providing drastic life cycle cost savings.

$1 million was included in the final bill for this project.

Requesting Member: Congressman ROBIN HAYES.


Account: RDTE, DW.

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Concurrent Technologies Corporation (CTC).

Address of Requesting Entity: Concurrent Technologies Corporation 150 Rowan Street, Suite 206 Fayetteville, NC 28301–4920.

Description of Request: Request $5 million for Technology Infusion Cell (TIC). Under direction of U.S. Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) Program Manager Special Operations Technology Development (SOTD), provide deploying and deployed forces with ultra-responsive, independent evaluation, applied research, rapid prototype development, and time sensitive critical information; compartmented as required. The Technology Infusion Cell serves as an ultra-responsive resource, tied to academia, science/industry to meet unique Special Operations Forces unit requirements and share concepts and information with DoD organizations and rapid deployment forces. The Technology Infusion Cell serves as an ultra-responsive resource, tied to academia, science/industry to meet unique Special Operations Forces unit requirements and share concepts and information with DoD organizations and rapid deployment forces.

$1 million was included in the final bill for this project.

Requesting Member: Congressman ROBIN HAYES.


Account: RDTE, A.

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: INI Power Systems.

Address of Requesting Entity: 175 Southport Drive Morrisville, NC 27560.

Description of Request: Request $2 million for Technology Infusion Cell (TIC). Under direction of U.S. Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) Program Manager Special Operations Technology Development (SOTD), provide deploying and deployed forces with ultra-responsive, independent evaluation, applied research, rapid prototype development, and time sensitive critical information; compartmented as required. The Technology Infusion Cell serves as an ultra-responsive resource, tied to academia, science/industry to meet unique Special Operations Forces unit requirements and share concepts and information with DoD organizations and rapid deployment forces. The Technology Infusion Cell serves as an ultra-responsive resource, tied to academia, science/industry to meet unique Special Operations Forces unit requirements and share concepts and information with DoD organizations and rapid deployment forces.
critical to a dismounted soldier’s ability to leverage portable electronic equipment including laptops, GPS, night vision goggles, 2-way radios, laser-designators, chemical sensors, and other network equipment. The soldier’s technological edge is rendered ineffective though without equally portable and sustainable power sources. Currently, primary batteries based on 1960s technology—provide the majority of portable DC power for the dismounted soldier; major drawbacks are added weight, low power density and limited functionality. For example, in a typical 72 hour mission, up to half of the load is fuel weight for a dismounted soldier outfitted with standard electronic gear is in batteries. This creates a logistical problem for soldiers who must prioritize either extra batteries or adequate ammunition and supplies. The ever growing power demands for the soldier’s electronic gear aggravate this dilemma and as today’s soldier becomes increasingly networked on the battlefield, portable DC power sources must provide much higher energy density than current state-of-the-art batteries are providing. The SP3 is also eco-friendly; byproducts are water and CO2 and a swimming pool can operate continuously produces 5X less CO2 than a soldier breathing in that same span. INI Power Systems proposes to build and field test, over a two year period, twenty five (25) of its prototype SP3 power systems for Army soldier portable applications. The systems are designed to meet the specific power, weight and reliability requirements needed for demanding military operations. The 25 watt power pack, engineered for communication and weapon system applications, combines methanol—a high energy density and lightweight fuel—continuously produces power. The SP3’s self-contained, portable 500 watt system, weighing just over 3 pounds (1.5 kg), is designed to provide continuous power for 72 hours through the simple exchange of 8 oz fuel cartridges (each weighing less than 0.5 pounds) yet providing 10 hours of constant power (300 watts) at full power mode. $1.7 million was included in the final bill for this project.

Requesting Member: Congressman ROBIN HAYES
Account: RDTE, AF.
Legal Name of Requesting Entity: The Timken Company.
Address of Requesting Entity: P.O. Box 693, Canton, OH 44706-0930.

Description of Request: Request $2.4 million on for Hybrid Bearings. Standard aerospace bearings are not adequate for the demands of Joint Strike Fighter weapons systems engines with our existing engines with the new requirements placed on the weapons platforms, as well as continued high usage in extreme conditions. As a result, the Air Force has been working with industry to develop an improved bearing that is tough, corrosion resistant and can tolerate the high speeds and temperatures of the expanding mission requirements. The purpose of the project is to develop a high speed bearing for aerospace applications that will provide exceptional hot hardness, exceptional fatigue life, exceptional wear resistance, and exceptional fracture toughness. Defense applications would include the JSF main shaft bearing application, as well as other weapons platforms or devices requiring high speed bearings. $1.6 million was included in the final bill for this project.

Requesting Member: Congressman ROBIN HAYES
Account: RDTE, AF.
Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Alliant Techsystems (ATK).
Address of Requesting Entity: 1215 South Clark Street Suite 1510, Arlington, VA 22202.

Description of Request: Request $7.4 million for Individual Airburst Weapon System (IAWS). The Individual Automatic Weapons System (IAWS) is a 25mm shoulder-fired weapon that provides the U.S. infantryman—for the first time in a direct fire weapon—the ability to defeat enemy combatants in defilade and concealed positions. The program includes the rifle, target acquisition and fire control component, and 25mm high explosive precision air burst (HEAB) munitions. The program currently is in ACTD, with several weapons systems having been delivered for demonstration. Additional funding is needed to continue development, and in particular to provide sufficient weapons systems and associated ammunition and to complete user “full scale assessment” needed to qualify the system. $1 million was included in the final bill for this project.
increased by 30%, excluding one-time sales; McKesson’s and Cardinal’s were up 8% and 13%, respectively. Yost’s total paycheck last year (including stock options) was $4.8 million, less than half of Cardinal’s CEO and barely a sixth of McKesson’s chief. “He is not flamboyant or flashy,” says Banc of America Securities analyst Robert Wililamby of Yost’s inclination to be moderate. Adds John W. Ransom of Raymond James & Associates: “At 1% margins, you have to.”

Now he’s under even more pressure to watch the bottom line as his customers struggle to stay competitive. Amerisource relies heavily on smaller, independent chains that are fast being gobbled up by big players, who may have contracts with the distributor’s rivals. But Yost predicts volume will pick up over the long term. “The older we get, the more drugs we take,” says Yost, settling comfortably into a 1970s-era plaid chair (the weathered green leather chair at his desk, which he inherited from the previous CEO who took over in 1997, looks like a yard sale find).

George Barrett, the CEO of Cardinal’s drug distribution arm, says that what matters in a leader isn’t his salary but foresight. “I don’t want our people to see me as cheap but instead very efficient and cognizant of the environment where we compete,” says Barrett. But Yost insists he can be all those things. While he pays competitive salaries to attract talent, he allows employees to fly business class only if they pay for an upgrade themselves. And they must book 30 days in advance to get the best price. Yost is also investing more than $100 million over the next three to five years to improve customer service technology, and he paid $400 million to spruce up company distribution centers and consolidate operations.

THE PAYOFF

Of course, new technology also brings new ways to save money. Plant employees now wear wrist bands connected to a thimble device on their finger that uses an infrared laser that reads the bar code of what they pick up or what they return. Employees now wear bar code scanning badges throughout the company and the savings are astounding. Workers who move more product than average receive bonuses for the time they’ve saved the company.

If that sounds Orwellian to some, Yost doesn’t think so. While the Amerisource chief may not be eager to spend a buck, he certainly knows the value a dollar holds for others, as he returned a third of his free cash flow to shareholders for the last two years and used the rest for core acquisitions. “The landscape is littered with companies that think they can do a lot of businesses well,” says Yost, adding that he no longer trots out the cliché “stick to our knitting” because he fears it makes him sound stingy. “We’re focused on knitting faster, better, and more creatively than anyone else.”

DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE

HON. EDIE BERNICE JOHNSON OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas. Madam Speaker, I rise to address the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise, DBE, and the Airport Concessions Disadvantaged Business Enterprise, ACDBE, programs that are vitally important components of the aviation programs we are extending today. These programs are aimed at remedying discrimination to ensure that all American businesses have a fair chance to participate in the business opportunities available at our Nation’s airports. The DBE and ACDBE statutes and regulations have been carefully crafted and narrowly tailored to meet the rigorous constitutional standards established by the Supreme Court in Adarand v. Pena. As a result, all of the U.S. Federal circuit courts that have considered the constitutionality of the DBE program since the end of the Adarand litigation have found the statute and corresponding Federal regulations to be constitutional.

The bottom line is that, despite the assertions of some critics, the DBE and ACDBE programs remain necessary. Discrimination against minority and women business owners continues to plague airport-related industries in both the traditional contracting arena and in the concessions context. Here in Congress, we see the academic and statistical evidence and we hear the stories of business owners that confront discrimination. We know that the evidence is compelling and abundant. For instance, disparity studies have been conducted across the country. Minority and women owned businesses receive far fewer contract dollars than we would expect in a discrimination-free market. Each disparity study is a little bit different, but most of them contain both statistical and anecdotal evidence of the ongoing existence of discrimination. When these studies are considered as a group the results couldn’t be clearer: discrimination continues to be a problem for African-American, Hispanic-American, Asian-American and Native American business owners and for women business owners. This is true in every airport-related industry and in every corner of our Nation.

Earlier this month, Don O’Bannon, chair of the Airport Minority Advisory Council, AMAC, testified in the Senate about the discrimination that airport-related businesses confront. His testimony revealed the severity of the bias that minority and women owned airport-related businesses continue to confront. At that same hearing Mr. O’Bannon also submitted to the record a few of the many disparity studies that have documented discrimination in airport contracting with statistical evidence. While there are dozens of studies, from Dallas, Texas, the State of Maryland, Denver, Colorado, Phoenix, Arizona, Nashville, Tennessee and Broward County, Florida, represent only a fraction of the airport-related studies that have been conducted, they all tell the same story: discrimination still makes it impossible for our Nation’s minority and women owned businesses to contribute fully to our national economy.

Madam Speaker, I am pleased to support the continuation of the DBE and ACDBE programs and look forward to working with my colleagues to strengthen and improve these programs in the months ahead.

TRIBUTE TO MASTER WAN KO YEE

HON. CORRINE BROWN OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Ms. CORRINE BROWN of Florida. Madam Speaker, I rise to congratulate Master Wan Ko Yee, a permanent resident of the United States, on the occasion of the publication of HH Dorje Chang Buddha III, A Treasury of True Buddha-Dharma, wherein Master Yee has been recognized within the traditions of his religion as the revered master and holy leader of Buddhism.

Buddhism is the world’s fourth largest religion after Christianity, Judaism, and Islam. It is practiced by 6 million Buddhists in the United States and 360 million adherents of Buddhism worldwide. HH Dorje Chang Buddha III, A Treasury of True Buddha-Dharma, is a book that contains testimonies and affirmations through written proclamations in accordance with Buddhist traditions recognizing the primordial Dorje Chang Buddha.

Copies of HH Dorje Chang Buddha III, A Treasury of True Buddha-Dharma were presented to the Library of Congress at a special ceremony I attended with many other Members of Congress and Buddhist Dharma Kings and Rinpoche’s from around the world. The book was provided to every Member of Congress as a reference source and is being placed in all Buddhist Temples and public libraries by The International Buddhism Sangha Association, a nonprofit organization headquartered in San Francisco, CA.

Master Wan Ko Yee, who was born in Sichuan, China, is now a permanent resident of the United States and resides in California. Like many in the history of our country, he came with his wife and two children to this land where there is greater opportunity and freedom to teach and practice religion. Master Yee, who is preparing to become a citizen of the United States, stated, “The American people are kind and noble. People can freely believe in religion in the United States, a country that is spiritually wealthy, powerful and blessed.”

It is not my intention by this statement to endorse one religion or religious leader over another. Rather I rise to congratulate Master Yee for many of his many years of selflessly contributing to relieving the suffering of human beings, furthering world peace and promoting spiritual enlightenment through his teachings of Buddhism. I join his peers in recognizing Master Wan Ko Yee as the true incarnation of the primordial Buddha and commend him for his continuous and enduring contributions to his community, his new country, his religion and all human beings throughout the world.

HONORING COREY ALAN STORTS

HON. SAM GRAVES OF MISSOURI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. GRAVES. Madam Speaker, I proudly ask you to join me in commending Corey Alan Storts for his
accomplishments with the Boy Scouts of America and for his efforts put forth in achieving the highest distinction of Eagle Scout.

RECOGNIZING THE NELSON FAMILY OF COMPANIES OF SONOMA, CALIFORNIA

HON. MIKE THOMPSON OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. THOMPSON of California. Madam Speaker, I rise today along with my colleague, Congresswoman Lynn WOOLSEY, to recognize and honor the Nelson Family of Companies, which has been selected as the Business of the Year by the Sonoma Valley Chamber of Commerce.

The Nelson Family of Companies is an independently owned group of businesses that provide a wide variety of full-time and contract staffing services as well as software and support services designed to facilitate workforce management.

The first of the “Nelson Companies” opened in 1970 in San Rafael. In 1989 a corporate office was established in Sonoma. The companies currently employ more than 300 people in 25 offices throughout northern California.

In addition to being a major employer itself in Sonoma and providing support services to other local businesses, the Nelson family has been an active participant in community organizations and events. Primary beneficiaries have been the Hanna Boys Center and Sonoma Valley Hospital. The companies have also been sponsors or supporters of the Sonoma Jazz Festival, the Charles Schwab Cup Champion’s Tour event at Sonoma Golf Club, the Sonoma Wine Harvest Auction and Festival, the American Red Cross, the American Heart Association annual walk, the Blood Bank of the Redwoods annual blood drive, the Valley of the Moon Boys & Girls Club and the Valley of the Moon Teen Center and the Sonoma Valley Mentoring Alliance.

Madam Speaker, local businesses in the small communities throughout our two Congressional districts are much more than employers. They are the backbone of a support system for products, nonprofit organizations and civic events that would not be successful without their involvement. No organization better exemplifies this commitment than the Nelson Family of Companies. It is therefore, appropriate for us to honor Chairman Gary D. Nelson and his leadership team and employees, both past and present, for their great work throughout the years.

HONORING MEECO, INC.

HON. PATRICK J. MURPHY OF PENNSYLVANIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. MURPHY of Pennsylvania. Madam Speaker, today I am proud to recognize MEECO on their 60th anniversary. MEECO, Incorporated is a privately-held, family-owned manufacturing business headquartered in Warrington, Bucks County, PA. They produce and sell quality gas analysis measurement tools to industries across the globe allowing them to efficiently and safely manufacture their products.

MEECO has played an important role as a small business in our Bucks County community and the world. They employ more than 3 dozen hardworking people from the Bucks County area and have succeeded through an approach that focuses on product innovation, global expansion, and progressive management and manufacturing practices.

MEECO showed slowing down after winning 2 R&D 100 Awards for its products from R&D Magazine, developing a highly successful, award-winning spin-off company called Tiger Optics and recently celebrated the strongest financial first half in the company’s history.

Madam Speaker, please join me in congratulating MEECO, Incorporated, for their success and their high business standards. I thank them for the work they do on behalf of our community and across the world.

RECOGNIZING OCTOBER AS NATIONAL DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AWARENESS MONTH

HON. JERRY MORAN OF KANSAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. MORAN of Kansas. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize the month of October as National Domestic Violence Awareness Month. During my tenure in Congress, significant progress has been made in calling attention to domestic violence and helping victims and families recover from these abuses. However, much work still remains to be done because senseless acts of violence are still taking place in our homes and communities. Tragically, we were reminded of the need for greater efforts to combat domestic violence this past July when tragedy struck in my hometown of Kansas City.

On July 20, 1982, Jana Lynne Mackey was born in Harper, Kansas. Jana was raised primarily in Hays, Kansas, where she was an active member of 4-H, an athlete, and a talented musician. But most of all she was a vibrant and caring young woman who fought for those whose voice could not be heard.

Following high school graduation, Jana completed a bachelor’s degree where she discovered her passion—advocating for others. She went on to pursue a law degree at the University of Kansas with the goal of using education to further the cause of others. Jana tirelessly fought for equality and social justice through her work with countless local and national organizations. She was also an active volunteer at the Lawrence Daughters of the American Revolution Center, a facility that aids victims of sexual assault and domestic violence. But on July 3, 2008, Jana’s body was found in an ex-boyfriend’s home—her own promising life prematurely ended by an act of domestic violence.

All too often we think acts of domestic violence do not transpire in our communities or to people and families we know. But Jana’s story is evidence that no State, community, or family is immune to its far-reaching hands. Domestic violence is a problem that does not discriminate by race, gender, age group, education, or social status and its plague wreaks havoc on our increasingly-stressed healthcare network, our over-flowing criminal justice system, and our day-to-day life within our communities.

Domestic violence continues to impact communities in Kansas and across America. Each year nearly 4 million new incidences of domestic violence are reported in the United States, with many more unaccounted for due to fear and intimidation. Of those 4 million reported cases, nearly 100,000 Kansas women fall victim to domestic violence each year. Each day in America over 53,000 victims receive care through domestic violence programs, the programs Jana Mackey volunteered and advocated for.

Despite the harsh realities of domestic violence that loom, there is hope for a better tomorrow. It is my belief that continued education, resources, and support, victims of domestic violence can overcome their condition. In the 69 counties I represent, it is that same belief that maintains and encourages the 9 domestic violence centers in my district. These agencies are vital to our communities as they raise awareness of domestic violence, advocate for victims, and provide victims with the support, resources, and care they desperately need.

Jana made a greater impact in her 25 years than many individuals do in a lifetime. While Jana’s story is tragic, her example is a lesson and an inspiration for us all to be more active in the fight against domestic violence. This is why her family started the 1100 Torches campaign.

At Jana’s funeral, 1,100 people were in attendance, which indicates the magnitude of her impact in the lives of others. In the aftermath of her death, her mother, Christie Brungardt, and stepfather, Curt, along with her family friends, launched the 1100 Torches campaign to serve as Jana’s call to action, that despite our personal politics, we can make a difference in the world and in turn make it a better place to live. It is the campaign’s hope that through Jana’s story, 1,100 people will be inspired by her to serve others and make a difference in their communities. I encourage my colleagues and all Americans to learn more about Jana’s story and the impact of domestic violence by visiting the 1100 Torches campaign Web site at www.1100torches.org and by learning more about this issue in your local community.

We are making progress in raising awareness and drawing attention to domestic violence, yet this is a problem that continues to impact our communities. We must not forget about these violent crimes that destroy homes and families, and devastate lives. This October, let us remember the victims of domestic violence and learn from their courage as we do our best to ensure that our communities are safe places to live, work, and raise our families.

Madam Speaker, I ask for continued support and assistance for domestic violence prevention programs.
TRIBUTE TO LIEUTENANT GENERAL ROBERT T. DAIL

HON. ROBIN HAYES
OF NORTH CAROLINA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. HAYES. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor a lifetime commitment of service to the United States of America, our Defense Department, and our great United States Army by a true patriot, Lieutenant General Robert T. Dail, United States Army. On January 1st, 2009, Lieutenant General Dail will retire after 33 years and four months of dedicated and exceptionally distinguished service in the United States Army. In addition to his retirement, Lieutenant General Dail will relinquish responsibility as the 15th Director of the Defense Logistics Agency, DLA, after leading a Defense Agency that has become increasingly important to the Nation. The General’s departure is indeed a loss for our Nation since over the last two years he achieved many remarkable accomplishments. I will highlight a few of them here:

The extraordinary success of our military servicemen and women in the field, engaged in defending our freedom during times of peace and in times of war could not be accomplished without the unparalleled logistics support upon which our military so critically depends. Through General Dail’s leadership this support has increased to the extent that ninety-five percent of the materials used by the entire U.S. military is provided by the 22,000 hard-working DLA personnel around the globe. DLA has facilities in 48 states and 28 countries and each one is a source of pride for all the DLA employees.

All of the fuel supporting our jets, helicopters, ships and tanks is purchased by DLA. The food, military clothing, and supplies needed to sustain our forces is all managed by the DLA workforce. The DLA Defense Distribution system is a logistics network of 26 distribution depots here in the United States and in overseas locations such as Kuwait, Korea, Japan, Guam, Italy, and Germany, is crucial to the steady flow of materials to our troops. These DLA depots constitute a national treasure in our nation.

Remarkably, General Dail guided DLA to record-setting levels of support for today’s warfighters, our allies, and victims of natural disasters around the world, led DLA through a dramatic transformation of the Agency’s enterprise business program, and extended the DLA enterprise across all of the Department of Defense. I would add that the Agency forged partnerships with industry, the military service material commands, and other Agencies in our Federal, State, and Local Governments, and provided unprecedented support.

Thirty-three years ago, General Dail earned his commission through the Army Reserve Officer Training Corps program at the University of Richmond in Richmond, Virginia with a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration in 1975. He received a Master’s in Business Administration from Boston University, a Master’s in Military Art and Science from the United States Army Command and General Staff College, and a Master’s in National Resource Management from the National Defense University.

As a Transportation Officer, the General commanded at every level from platoon leader to brigade commander. He served as the Special Assistant to the Chief of Staff of the Army, Washington, D.C.; Director for Logistics at the United States Joint Forces Command, Norfolk, Virginia; Commanding General, United States Army Transportation Center, Fort Eustis, Virginia; Director, J-5/J-4, and Deputy Commandant Transportation Command, Scott Air Force Base, Illinois. He assumed his current position as the Director of DLA in August of 2006.

His decorations include the Distinguished Service Medal, Defense Superior Service Medal, two Legion of Merit, a Bronze Star Medal, two Meritorious Service Medals, four Army Commendation Medals, Army Achievement Medal, Master Parachutist Badge, Ranger Tab, and Army Staff Identification Badge.

In closing, I wish to commend General Dail for over 33 years of distinguished service to our Nation, protecting our freedoms of life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness and wish him the very best in his future endeavors. His departure is a great loss to our Army, but we are better for having him in our ranks. He leaves us with a Defense Logistics Agency that is indeed a world-class provider of combat capability and one that has been greatly improved under his leadership and through his dedication to excellence.

On behalf of Congress and the United States of America, I express our appreciation of General Dail for his tireless service and constant support of the warfighter. His professionalism, expertise, and efforts showcase his patriotism, and his dedication to our soldiers, sailors, airmen, and Marines.

The following phrase is often used, I can say without reservation that is appropriately so in this case, LTG Robert Dail is truly a great American. I want to personally thank LTG Dail and his entire family for their commitment, sacrifice, and the contributions they have made throughout his honorable and distinguished military career. I congratulate him on completing an exceptional and extremely successful military career and am humbled by his dedicated service to our nation. I wish LTG Dail many blessings and much success as he begins his new endeavors and embarks on new adventures.

HONORING DAVENPORT ONE

HON. BRUCE L. BRALEY
OF IOWA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. BRALEY of Iowa. Madam Speaker, I rise today to congratulate Davenport One, the chamber of commerce and economic development consortium that represents businesses in Davenport, Iowa.

Earlier this year Davenport One received the American Chamber of Commerce Executives “Chamber of the Year” award for their community leadership, organizational strength, and positive impact on key community priorities.

Davenport One’s leadership has been critical to job creation, small business development, and transportation planning in Davenport and the Quad City region. They have been strong supporters of projects that will benefit the entire community, including restoring passenger rail service to the Quad Cities and the innovative “River Vision” urban flood plain management project along the Mississippi River.

Madam Speaker, it has been a pleasure to work with Davenport One over the past year. This group of leaders is making Davenport a more sustainable and economically vibrant community.

HON. ROBERT E. ANDREWS
OF NEW JERSEY
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. ANDREWS. Madam Speaker, I rise today in strong support of Congresswoman ROSA DELAUR’ s “Breast Cancer Patient Protection Act of 2008,” H.R. 758. On September 25, 2008, I voted in favor of H.R. 758, which passed the House of Representatives with a vote of 421–2. The Breast Cancer Patient Protection Act will require that health plans provide coverage for a minimum hospital stay for mastectomies, lumpectomies, and lymph node dissection for the treatment of breast cancer and coverage for secondary consultations.

The numerous petitions and personal stories issued by Americans prove the need for enactment of Federal legislation to guarantee minimum and adequate benefits for patients with breast cancer. H.R. 758 will prevent hospitals from forcing families out of their facilities after undergoing a mastectomy, the same day. I would like to take this opportunity to make my vote in honor of the late Paula Renfroe, who died 6 years ago due to breast cancer.

Like many women in the United States, Paula was rushed out of the hospital a few hours after undergoing a mastectomy. Paula and her family were sent home with a few instructions to follow to change her wound and administer the strong medications provided to her. The Breast Cancer Patient Protection Act will prevent hospitals from forcing families to complete the medical healing process of a loved one to cut costs and instead, allow family members to provide the emotional support to their loved ones so desperately needed during such times.

HONORING ANNE D’HARONCOURT

HON. ALLYSON V. SCHWARTZ
OF PENNSYLVANIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Ms. SCHWARTZ. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor the late Anne d’Harnoncourt for her contributions as an internationally esteemed museum leader and art scholar.

When Anne d’Harnoncourt passed away on June 1, 2008, Philadelphia and the world lost a highly accomplished professional and dear friend. As a graduate of Radcliffe College and the Courtauld Institute of Art in London, she began her illustrious career at the Philadelphia Museum of Art in 1967.

Anne d’Harnoncourt was dedicated to the city of Philadelphia, and as the director and chief executive officer of the Museum of Art...
she worked tirelessly to preserve and enrich the art and culture of our city for nearly two decades.

In 1982, Anne d’Harnoncourt was named the director of the Philadelphia Museum of Art and her intellect and her unique abilities lead to her becoming the chief executive officer in 1997. She was widely respected for her ability to connect the work of the museum with the broader public, and she presided over many successful efforts to engage diverse audiences at the museum.

She directed numerous successful touring exhibitions, and led major capital campaigns to enable the expansion and renovation of the Philadelphia Museum of Art, ensuring the museum’s rightful place in the arts and cultural community of the Greater Philadelphia region and its place as one of the most esteemed arts institutions in the world.

Anne d’Harnoncourt’s long-lasting and positive impact will continue to be felt in the arts community well beyond Philadelphia and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. She served as a citizen member of the Board of Regents of the Smithsonian Institution and she was consistently recognized by her peers around the world for her meaningful, insightful, and intellectual contributions to her field through her numerous scholarly articles, books, and retrospectives on modern art and artists.

Had we not lost this devoted leader this past June, the international art community and the city of Philadelphia would have joined with Anne’s family including her husband Joseph J. Rishel, earlier this month to celebrate her 65th birthday.

Anne d’Harnoncourt will be sorely missed by all who knew her and her esteemed work.

HONORING PAUL NEWMAN

HON. JAY INSLEE
OF WASHINGTON
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. INSLEE. Madam Speaker, as you know America recently lost one of the greatest leading men the silver screen has ever known. At the request of one of our Capitol tour guides, Albert Caswell, please submit to the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD a poem written by Mr. Caswell honoring the great Paul Newman.

A Good Man . . .
A Good Life . . .
Left burning ever bright . . . of which now so stands this night!

Sunrise . . .
Sunset . . .
Day in . . . day out . . .
All in the moments that we have left . . .
All in our life’s quest . . .
As all upon this our earth as left . . . all in what our lives were about . . .
Just moments, are all we have . . .
To show our worth . . . to remind us all of what it is that so comes first!

A Good Life . . .
To play our parts . . . our starring roles, in life’s work of art!

For it is you who touches all hearts!

Upon, the golden screen of life . . . as lie our life’s work of art!

As left behind, to so touch and warm so each and everyone’s heart.

As was your Paul, as was yours . . . but a true fine work of art . . .

A great man of character, and heart . . .

A sheer work of art.

A loving Father, with a wife . . . who was but the love of his life . . .

A giver, not a taker . . .
As upon each morning as he would wake here . . .

But, his greatest beauty . . . be lie inside his heart so wide

Even, beating out those blue eyes!

As was his caring and compassion, as his life’s work to help others . . . a man of action . . .

And, humble as the day was long . . . a private man, who refused to play his own song!

Do we give? Or do we take? All in these our short lives we make!

Who will we touch, all in our life’s wake?

A Shining Star, both on and off the screen

A Bright Light . . .

Touching all hearts as we have seen!

You were but The Great American Dream . . .

A Cool Hand on the screen, but in life that was not his theme . . .

And yet, Paul’s great part!

Was but, what so inside his heart . . .

Judge a man, all the while . . . but how he so treats and loves a child!

As there, you will ever see . . . ever see Paul’s great smile . . .

For Newman’s Own, is not no more . . .

Surely, he’s up in Heaven . . . he’s the Lord’s!

For his life, was but a fine race . . . which was won . . .

Finishing First, in Heaven’s Sun . . .

“Rain drops keep falling on my head”

So wipe those tears from your eyes . . .

Because Paul, was such A Good Man, and led such A Good Life which lies!

RECOGNIZING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS STANDARDS IN AZERBAIJAN

HON. LYNN C. WOOLSEY
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Ms. WOOLSEY. Madam Speaker, I rise today to commend the formation of the Joint Working Group, JWG, in Azerbaijan. Consisting of representatives from a wide range of society and international experts, the JWG was founded in the spring of 2008 to improve and protect human rights in Azerbaijan by implementing international human rights standards.

The JWG is educating various strata of society on human rights issues, informing them about existing human rights violations, and have created a hotline and legal aid center, where services are free. It investigates complaints received on human rights violations and takes steps to restore citizen’s rights and improve national legislative acts focused on human rights.

As a part of its ongoing responsibility, the JWG was asked to participate in the planning and execution of the upcoming Presidential election to be held in Azerbaijan on October 15, 2008. JWG will help to ensure that a free, transparent, and fair election is held in accordance with international standards. Extensive training with respect to election processes including gatherings and demonstration is planned and being conducted for police officers, observers, and commissioners at all levels. The training is being conducted by internationally recognized experts. The training includes instructions to document and report all activities properly and promptly. The JWG has taken into consideration the inputs of numerous national and international entities who are concerned with many aspects of the election. These entities include the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe, OSCE, and its Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights, ODIHR.

JWG is coordinating large groups of international observers who will monitor the elections in the district of their own choosing and will cover all districts and precincts.

I applaud the work of all election observers and hope the Azerbaijani people will have an election that is fair and transparent.

EARMARK DECLARATION

HON. DEAN HELLER
OF NEVADA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. HELLER of Nevada. Madam Speaker, pursuant to the Republican Leadership standards on earmarks, I am submitting the following information for publication in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD regarding earmarks I received as part of H.R. 2638. This bill was the FY09 Continuing Resolution and also included a section titled, “Disaster Relief and Recovery Supplemental Appropriations Act” as well as three of the annual appropriations bills for fiscal year 2009: the Department of Defense Appropriations Act (Division C); the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act (Division D); and the Military Construction and Veterans Affairs Appropriations Act (Division E).

Within the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, I requested and the committee approved funding for the following projects:

$1.92 million for the Lightweight Cannon Recoil Reduction from the Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army account. This recipient of these funds will be Software and Engineering Associates, Inc., a leading computer software and engineering firm in Carson City, Nevada (1802 N. Carson Street, Suite 200, Carson, Nevada 89701). The firm specializes in developing computer software for the design and analysis of military devices. The funds being requested are to support the Army’s Future Combat System (FCS), specifically for reducing the weight of the cannon system. The FCS has an operational requirement to be as close to 20 tons as possible so that it can be rapidly transported and still maintain the fighting capabilities of the 67 ton M1 tank that is one of the systems FCS is expected to replace. A new concept is needed for the 120mm cannon system for FCS. This program will enable a 40 to 50 percent reduction in the weight of the 120mm cannon systems that is necessary to meet the weight goals for the Manned Combat System (FCS version of a tank) and the 155 mm NLOS-C vehicles (FCS self propelled howitzer).

$1.6 million for a Reconfigurable Tooling System from the Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army Account. The recipient of these funds will be 2 Phase in Dayton, Nevada, located in the 7303, Dayton, Nevada 89403. The firm specializes in developing reconfigurable tooling systems (RTS) which can make or repair parts onsite. Systems are being used and tested at Army and
Marine Corps Depots currently, with positive results. This funding will provide Army and Marine Corps Aviation Commands repair capability in theater, so forces will not have to wait for the time-consuming supply system to provide parts. To alleviate this delay, a portable rapid reconfigurable tooling system is being developed that can repair the specific tool onsite. Aircraft would be able to be put back into operational status faster, giving the Theater Commander more assets to deploy. Two systems were previously delivered to the Corpus Christi Army Depot and Cherry Point Marine Corps Depot for evaluation and testing to facilitate the repair of rotary and fixed wing aircraft, and funds in FY 09 would be used to provide additional systems to the military depots and to provide training for personnel.

$2.2 million for a Semiconductor Optical Amplifier for Responsive Space MPOI from the Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force account. The recipient of these funds will be OptiComp Company, a small business located in Zephyr Cove, Nevada (located at PO Box 10779, Zephyr Cove, NV 89448). The company's focus is the fabrication and production, solely for the Department of Defense, of all-optical and detector modules for use on avionic and space datacom platforms. These modules are used in a fabric to permit fault tolerant wideband switching between computers, sensors, and telecommunications links on the platforms. OCC has a full semiconductor clean room and fabrication facility and an extensive test and measurement facility to evaluate all devices prior to acceptance.

Within the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, I requested and the Committee approved a $3 million earmark for the Cyber Conflict Research Consortium's Distributed Environment for Critical Infrastructure Decision-making Exercises (DECIDE), a large, national university consortium that includes the University of Nevada, Reno (located at 1664 N. Virginia Street, Reno, Nevada 89557). The consortium aims to help protect the nation from cyberattacks. DECIDE directly addresses the conclusions of a 2006 report from the President's National Science and Technological Council which stated the need to target Federal research and development investment in cyber security and information assurance needs. The Cyber Conflict Research Consortium anticipates the DECIDE Financial Services Model will be completed in 2009.

Within the Military Construction and Veterans Affairs Appropriations Act, I requested and the Committee approved an $11.375 million earmark for the Nevada National Guard for the Elko Readiness Center (located at 1375 13th Street, Elko, NV 89801). Rapid growth in Nevada requires that a new Readiness Center facility in northeastern Nevada be constructed to meet the needs of the Nevada Army National Guard. The current Elko armory was built in 1961 and does not meet the security or operational requirements for the current mission. The state of Nevada is already providing funds to assist with design.

During his 8 years at Lake Perris, he was certified by the Department of Boating and Waterways as an Advanced Boating Officer and an Advanced Boating Accident Investigator. He provided over 12,000 hours of law enforcement training for lifeguards and field rangers. As Defensive Tactics Program Director, James provided over 10,000 hours of instruction and training for field rangers in the Los Lagos, Marin, and Diablo Vista Districts.

Mr. Van Cott has been a valuable ambassador for the Parks Department, working with the Federal Bureau of Investigation, Cal-Fire, Marin Municipal Water District, Marin County Watershed Care, the Navy Patrol and many other State and local agencies during his tenure. He has reached out to the community as well. While at Mt. Tamalpais, he organized, managed and directed the “Friends of California State Parks,” the fifth largest volunteer program in the State.

Madam Speaker, it is my distinct pleasure to recognize James Van Cott for his nine years of service to the State of California, and to thank him for his many contributions on behalf of our State and his community. I join his wife, Carol, and his son Josh in wishing him the best as he enters this new phase of his life.
TRINITY COLLEGE CLASS OF 1958
50TH ANNIVERSARY

HON. JAMES L. OBERSTAR
OF MINNESOTA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. OBERSTAR. Madam Speaker, the Trinity College class of 1958 celebrated its 50th anniversary of graduation this past May, in a festive gathering of classmates renewing friendships, reliving prized memories of their campus years, and sharing experiences of career paths followed since Trinity days.

With my late wife, Jo, I met and came to respect and love the extraordinary women of the class of 1958: Bobbi Marhofer, Fran Collins, Judy Pauley, Carolyn Moynihan, among others, and those, like Jo, who are no longer with us—especially Jo’s dearest friend in the class, Sara Lucas.

The 1958 commencement address delivered by Senator John F. Kennedy could not have been more compelling or memorable than the reflection of a prescient next generation addressee offered by Class of 1958 alumna Colette Hoffmann Dowling, a gifted, talented, internationally renowned writer. Ms. Dowling invites not only her classmates, but all of us to consider soberly, profoundly, the risky status of us—especially Jo’s dearest friend in the class, Sara Lucas.

The 1958 commencement address delivered by Senator John F. Kennedy could not have been more compelling or memorable than the reflection of a prescient next generation addressee offered by Class of 1958 alumna Colette Hoffmann Dowling, a gifted, talented, internationally renowned writer. Ms. Dowling invites not only her classmates, but all of us to consider soberly, profoundly, the risky status of us—especially Jo’s dearest friend in the class, Sara Lucas.

I invite my colleagues to read and reflect seriously on this message and its public policy implications.

Facing Down the Bag Lady: Older Women in America

(By Colette Dowling, LMSW)

Six years ago, in the spring of 2002, I was barricaded in a Pilkington Woodstock, my ten-year-old Saab stuffed to the tops of its windows with computer and printer, fax and stereo, with towels and sheets and comforter, with jeans and shirts and one good outfit in case I needed it. Last but not least, my writing desk, which fortunately can be dismantled for transport. Some surgeries that left me down and I’d had to give up my house in Woodstock and spend the winter at my son’s, in Massachusetts. Now I was returning to the town I’d called home for 20 years. I rather liked that I’d reached the point where I could travel light, but I had to ask myself: How light is light? I was down to my last sixty dollars and hoping for the next social security check to arrive. The market value of my JP Morgan portfolio? One-tenth of a cent, according to the statement I’d just received. Of course, once Smith ended so did the loans. I needed a job, at least for the first couple of years of analytic training, while I was getting my practice up and running. I became a counselor for homeless children in the Brooklyn public schools. The kids were great but the commute was hellish. After working with the kids I traveled an hour by bus to the Upper West Side of Manhattan to see patients in the clinic of my analytic institute. I clocked fifteen hours a week on the subways, with house music and hip hop leaking from people’s headphones. I grew up on Junior Walker and Elvis Presley and yawned for the day when I could buy an Ipod in self defense.

After a while, catching a little breathing space, I began to do some research on how women’s finances stack up against those of other older women. What I learned was shocking. The economic constraints I was experiencing were not only far from unique, the older had always been far from unique, the older had always been considered a problem, becoming an older woman who had found herself, at sixty-five, facing down the bag lady. Particularly at risk are older women who live alone. Over a U.S. Committee on Aging found that half of older women living alone had incomes below $9,000 a year. But whether or not they live alone, the picture for older women is pretty dim. According to the AARP Public Policy Institute, the median income for women over 55 is just about $3,000 above the Census definition of poverty. Older women have slightly over half the income of their male counterparts.

The truth is, women travel the borders of poverty at many points in their—as students, or young single women, or as older women living alone. The National Women’s Law Center reports that 70% of women in America earn less than $20,000 a year. Forty percent of women earn less than $15,000 a year and are twice as likely as men to be poor, a disparity that increases with age.

For some time I’d thought there must be something wrong with me for having arrived at a point where I had written off my job market, in 1958, later as a widow and a single mother. I’d always held the conviction that the economic price women pay for bearing children was temporary. It was twenty years later they catch up. Now that I’m seeing things from the other end of the age telescope, I’m beginning to get the picture. They never catch up. According to the National Center for Women and Retirement Research, for every year a woman stays home caring for a child, she has to work an extra five years to recover lost income. So I apply the five-year-per child formula to my own situation to understand why I hadn’t retired.

The dire straits women face in old age have little to do with age per se, Population Bulletin reports. It’s the economic disadvantages that face early in life that lead to the insecurity they experience when they’re older. For example, two thirds of women who work outside the home have no pensions. When they do, their benefits are half of men’s. A study from Brandeis that began in 1967 tracked 5,000 women over the course of their lives and found that poverty in old age was the direct result of low incomes they faced when they were younger.

This certainly, is not the way the public thinks about older women’s poverty, when it thinks about it at all. I’d always imagined that my work- and income-histoiy would put me in a place far different than where my mother found herself in her final years. A quarter of a century ago I anticipated having more than enough fortune can be misleading. Royalties don’t last forever. After The Cinderella Complex the money I received on subsequent books was only enough to get me through until the next proposal. Like most writers I lived from book proposal to book proposal. I’m proud of the eight books I’ve written but the work itself provided me with little security. So here I am now, in my seventies, with the hounds of heaven at my heels.
Smith College, like Trinity, has a long history of supporting women. It was a tremendous opportunity I was given when Smith accepted this older woman into its graduate program on a scholarship. Without that support I would not have had the chance to create a better life for myself during my erstwhile “retirement years.” But still, it is late. As I think in the middle of the night, I wake and wonder how long can I sustain the tremendous amount of energy it takes to keep going. I have to face the fact that when I’m in my eighties, my financial situation may not be so different than my mother’s after all.

After my father died, my mother, at 82, was barely able to make it on Social Security and my father’s small teacher’s annuity. She had to spend her late life without enough money to be sure it was going to last longer than she did. I can remember her in the lamplight, with her scarlet robe and short white hair, going over her bills. Toward the end, knowing she’d reached a point where she couldn’t stay out of poverty much longer—there were the constant co-pays on doctors’ bills, and her savings were gone—she was dreaming of finding herself in a bad part of town and not being able to get back home. She was 88 when she died, in the nick of time, in her soft white dress. It reminds me even with assurances of protection from my brother and me that she had to endure such anxiety at the end.

Studies show that concern about ending up a bag lady is women’s worst fear, greater than that of getting cancer, greater than that of dying of a heart attack. And why shouldn’t it be afraid?

To try to protect her old age, my mother had even taken on the machinations of investing. I was still young when I learned that she’d been putting her piano teacher’s savings—“pin money”, people called such women’s earnings, then—into Certificates of Deposit. That was in the 70s, when CDs were hot. Eventually her smaller earnings grew to $40,000. I was inspired by my mother’s cleverness in finding a way to support her old age, but alas, my father needed private nursing for a few months before he died and over night, my mother’s pin money disappeared.

Women have been conditioned to believe that when it’s all going to go to hell in a handbasket, the man should be putting others first. As for their later years, wouldn’t it be nice if they were treated better than a bag lady?

Financial security is a matter that permeates the thinning air past sixty. Women worry about how they’re going to survive as the years roll on—and on. They lack a financial cushion for their really old age and believe that if they keep showing up at the court, the matter will stay out of sight. They must stay healthy enough to keep on working. It’s a desperate and shame-inducing situation they find themselves in, and no one’s talking about it. That’s why it’s important for us—the first generation of women to be affected by the women’s movement and the first to have a remarkable and unexpected very rich life. It’s a matter that drives me to begin speaking up. We must insist that the voices of older women be heard, because no one is going to pick this up for us until we start shouting. We can take some strength—and reduce shame—from the recognition that our numbers are astounding. I believe that if a presidential candidate were to take this on, the ball game would be over. That’s how strong we are, if we choose to be. The more of us who speak up, just as we did in the seventies, the greater the chances that attention will be paid. Let the secret out. We owe it to our daughters and granddaughters. We owe it to ourselves. Maybe it isn’t too late, even for us.

HONORING GARFIELD HOOD ON HIS RETIREMENT AS CHIEF JUDGE OF MICHIGAN’S 12TH CIRCUIT COURT

HON. BART STUPAK
OF MICHIGAN
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 28, 2008

Mr. STUPAK. Madam Speaker, I rise to recognize the Honorable Garfield W. Hood on his 35 years of service. Judge Hood retires this year as Chief Judge of Michigan’s 12th Circuit Court, serving Houghton, Keweenaw and Baraga Counties in Michigan’s Upper Peninsula. I ask that you, Madam Speaker, and the entire U.S. House of Representatives, join me in honoring and thanking Judge Hood for service to the people of Houghton, Baraga and Keweenaw Counties.

Garfield Hood, or Gar to most, moved to Baraga County after law school to take the position of tribal attorney for the Keweenaw Bay Indian Community. He was appointed Baraga County Probate Judge in 1972, the youngest person in the State of Michigan to hold the job, and continued to serve as a tribal attorney and worked private practice in the Western Upper Peninsula. Judge Hood has been Circuit Court Judge for Michigan’s 12th Circuit. Judge Hood and his wife, Sue, reside in Baraga County. Together they have raised three wonderful daughters.

Judge Hood came to the bench by appointment in 1972. Then-Governor William Milliken needed someone to fill the Baraga County Probate judgeship and Hood was the only attorney in town. A few years later, he was appointed and in 1990 ran for election to the 12th Circuit Court.

Judge Hood has enjoyed the diversity of his work as judge and his interactions with the people he serves. On average, Judge Hood ruled on 9,200 cases a year—7,000 civil and more than 2,000 criminal matters. The attorneys, judges and staff who have spent the majority of their careers arguing cases in front of Judge Hood or working for him, say the courtroom won’t be the same without him.

Madam Speaker, Judge Hood has been an intrinsic part of Michigan’s judicial system as member of the 12th Circuit Court. I ask that you and the entire U.S. House of Representatives join with me in thanking Garfield Hood for his 35 years of service and in wishing him well as he embarks on his retirement.

RECOGNIZING THE 25TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE MAUREEN AND MIKE MANSFIELD FOUNDATION

HON. DENNIS R. REHBERG
OF MONTANA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 28, 2008

Mr. REHBERG. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize the 25th Anniversary of the Maureen and Mike Mansfield Foundation. Nearly 25 years ago Congress passed legislation authorizing funds for a foundation that would advance the lifelong efforts of Maureen and Mike Mansfield to promote understanding and cooperation between the nations and peoples of Asia and the United States. Mike Mansfield is one of Montana’s most distinguished citizens, a remarkable public servant and accomplished statesman who helped guide the United States through important transitions in the domestic arena and in its relationship with Asia.
His interest in Asia, ignited when military service took him to China in 1922, was bolstered by academic credentials from what is now The University of Montana in Missoula. Elected to the House of Representatives in 1942 and the Senate in 1952, he was a leading Asia expert in Congress and went on to become the longest serving U.S. ambassador to Japan. Maureen Mansfield not only supported Mike’s career, she inspired it. For this reason, the Foundation established by Congress to honor Mike begins with Maureen’s name.

Maureen and Mike Mansfield’s values, ideals and vision for U.S.-Asia relations continue through the exchanges, dialogues and publications of the Mansfield Foundation. For 25 years the Foundation has helped create networks among U.S. and Asian leaders, explored the underlying issues influencing public policies, and increased awareness about the nations and peoples of Asia. A centerpiece of the Foundation’s work is the Mansfield Fellowship Program, established by Congress in 1994 to build a corps of U.S. Federal Government officials with substantial Japan experience. Each year this government-to-government exchange allows a select group of Federal employees to gain proficiency in the Japanese language and practical experience working in the Japanese government. Since they were established, 86 Fellows from 22 U.S. agencies and departments have entered the program. The Foundation is also instrumental in a number of other programs.

The Mansfield Congressional Study Tour in Asia is a program that has provided opportunities for Senators and their staff to develop an authoritative understanding of Asia through meetings with government officials, business leaders, and NGO representatives in major cities and rural areas. To date, the Foundation has led 11 senatorial study trips to approximately 30 cities in China, Japan, Korea and Vietnam.

The Foundation and the Center recently co-sponsored the 24th annual Mansfield Conference, which addressed a timely and critical issue—the security implications of climate change in Asia. The Mansfield Foundation was established in 1983 with a single focus and mission—to promote understanding and cooperation in U.S.-Asia relations. I believe both Mike and Maureen would be proud of all the Mansfield Foundation has done to advance that goal in the last 25 years.

IN RECOGNITION OF THE CALIFORNIA REINVESTMENT COALITION AND ITS HONORING OF FOUR COMMUNITY HEROES

HON. DORIS O. MATSUI OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Ms. MATSUI. Madam Speaker, on October 2, 2008, the California Reinvestment Coalition, an organization that works to bring financial and housing services to low-income communities, will be honoring four community heroes for their efforts in making homeownership and financial independence a reality for many of Sacramento’s residents. These heroes are:

Elaine Abelaye of Asian Resources Inc., Ken Cross of Sacramento Habitat for Humanity, Mike Himes of NeighborWorks Sacramento, and Ron Javor of the California Department of Housing and Community Development. I ask all my colleagues to join me in honoring these distinguished individuals.

Elaine Abelaye has demonstrated true leadership and commitment to the social, community and economic development needs of low-income individuals and families in Sacramento. Her leadership has led to the development of the Dare to Dream Entrepreneurship Development and Financial Literacy Education programs for the local Hmong and Vietnamese communities. Elaine has shown true dedication to providing multiple services needed in the Sacramento area. She has truly empowered community members to become a vital part of our changing and diverse society.

Ken Cross has substantially increased production of housing for very low-income households in Sacramento. Under Ken’s leadership, Sacramento Habitat for Humanity runs the Re-Store, a building material recycler that sells second-hand and donated building materials. He has also been a strong advocate in promoting the policies that produce affordable and inclusive housing. Ken’s personal approach makes him a great advocate for Sacramento’s neediest families.

Mike Himes has demonstrated his dedication to helping families achieve successful, long-term homeownership. When the foreclosure crisis began to pound on the door of the region, Mike and his colleagues at NeighborWorks Sacramento led the way to create and promote many different collaborative relationships to assist borrowers. Mike is a smart, patient and outstanding individual who deserves recognition for his many personal and professional contributions. His expertise, strong principles and commitment are shown each time he helps a family.

Ron Javor has worked tirelessly for decades within state government and the community to improve access to safe, affordable and accessible housing for lower-income households. Ron has worked consistently to develop and improve state programs that serve the neediest Californians. As a community activist, he helped found the Sacramento Housing Alliance and serves on many local nonprofit boards. He has been a strong voice in local efforts to ensure that local governments meet their obligations to adequately serve the homeless and lower-income households.

Madam Speaker, because of the efforts of the California Reinvestment Coalition and community leaders like Elaine Abelaye, Ken Cross, Mike Himes, and Ron Javor, low-income communities and communities of color have access to fair and equal housing, banking and other financial services. It is my sincere hope the coalition and the outstanding people noted today will continue to serve the people of Sacramento for many years to come, and mentor the next generation of community leaders.

Oakland has a tradition of emphasizing service, mission, outreach, and education. In recent years the congregation has enjoyed...
widespread community support with its Harvest Day Sale and Golfing for Jessica Tournament. Oakland’s annual Fourth of July Pancake Breakfast draws hundreds of local families and serves as the kick-off of the Celebrate Cleveland Independence Day Festivities.

Today, the congregation offers a wide range of activities that minister to all ages. Members of the congregation lead the weekly Golden Circle Bible Study at the Brian Center nursing facility in Clayton. Two mission teams have been sent to New Orleans to help rebuild the community devastated by Hurricane Katrina. Ministries generously supported by the Oakland faith community include the Basic Needs Ministry, Harbor House, Operation Hope, the Relay for Life, Habitat for Humanity, wounded veterans and troops in foreign conflicts, local school teachers, and the Barium Springs Children’s Home.

Madam Speaker, I am proud to honor Oakland Presbyterian Church as it celebrates 150 years of service to the community. I urge my colleagues to join me in commending this outstanding institution.

TRIBUTE TO HISPANIC HERITAGE MONTH

HON. ANNA G. ESHOO
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Ms. ESHOO. Madam Speaker, it is a privilege for me to pay tribute to over 112,000 of my constituents during Hispanic Heritage Month. September 15th through October 15th has been selected to honor, celebrate and commemorate the history and contributions that people of Hispanic heritage have made to the United States of America.

Today there are 45.5 million Hispanic Americans and it is fitting to acknowledge their many contributions. The belief that hard work can bring a better life and future for our children is part of our shared and treasured American dream.

I would like to take this opportunity to recognize the outstanding organizations serving California’s 14th Congressional District. In addition to many other excellent organizations, the following make important contributions to our community while respecting, preserving and sharing their heritage and culture.

The Hispanic Foundation of Silicon Valley is a public foundation that cultivates philanthropy to inspire Hispanic children and families to achieve personal greatness.

The Hispanic Foundation of Silicon Valley engages leadership and bridges resources to invest in a thriving Hispanic community.

MACLA Movimiento de Arte y Cultura Latino Americana is a contemporary art space dedicated to supporting the work of emerging Latino artists and promotes programs that create opportunities between traditional and new art forms and emerging artists. Mexican Heritage Corporation is an arts and cultural organization whose focus is to affirm, celebrate, and preserve the complexity and richness of Latino art and cultural heritage. The Mexican Heritage Corporation serves Silicon Valley and Northern California by expanding Mexican and multicultural performing arts. It is one of the largest Latino cultural centers in the Nation.

Fair Oaks Community Center—The Fair Oaks Community Center is a multi-service center offering a variety of services to the broader Redwood City Community. Services include: Education, immigration and citizenship, senior services, housing, employment, legal services, and health education. They also offer emergency food programs served by a combination of City staff, as well as representatives from public and private non-profit agencies.

Madam Speaker, I ask all my colleagues to join in celebrating Hispanic Heritage Month to honor the citizens of our community daily to build a better future for all American families.

HONORING ASSEMBLYWOMAN PATTY BERG CALIFORNIA STATE ASSEMBLY, DISTRICT 1

HON. MIKE THOMPSON
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. THOMPSON of California. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize Assemblywoman Patty Berg, an extraordinary citizen of Humboldt County, who is being honored for her years of dedicated public service to the people of California.

Over the past 3 decades, Assemblywoman Berg has been a tireless advocate for families and children and for older adults. She was the founding Executive Director of the Area 1 Agency on Aging and currently serves in Del Norte Counties. Under her passionate direction the agency was recognized nationwide for its model comprehensive system providing services to California’s seniors. She has also become an indispensable resource on aging issues within the State Assembly.

The citizens of California’s 1st Assembly District recognized Patty Berg’s dedication to preparing California for its current and future challenges by electing her three times to represent them in the California Legislature. During her tenure, Patty has chaired the Committees on Aging and Long-Term Care, the Joint Committee on Fisheries and Aquaculture, and the Budget Subcommittee on Health and Human Services. She has served on many committees with jurisdiction over important public policy areas, including health, insurance, agriculture, and the environment.

Patty’s exceptional “people” skills were evident in bringing together progressive and conservative colleagues to help improve the lives of North Coast residents. She is passionate, committed to public service, hard-working, and extremely skilled.

As a champion for the rights of families, youth, and older adults, Assemblywoman Berg has touched many lives. Her K-12 comprehensive family life education curriculum was the first in California and was distributed throughout the county. She increased funding for computers and technology in our local schools. As chair of the Legislative Women’s Caucus she emphasized the need for flexible workplace policies to allow working families to care for their loved ones. Due to her relentless efforts, Trinity County’s only hospital was saved, and a vital county medical services program was preserved.

Assemblywoman Berg is being honored for her contribution to one of our Nation’s most precious rights—participation in the political process. She is being recognized for her contribution by the Humboldt County Democratic Central Committee as “Democrat of the Year, 2008.”

Madam Speaker, it is appropriate at this time that we recognize Assemblywoman Patty Berg for her unwavering commitment to public service and to the ideals and values that sustain our great Nation.

EARMARK DECLARATION

HON. CHRISTOPHER H. SMITH
OF NEW JERSEY
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Madam Speaker, I submit the following:

Requesting Member: Rep. CHRISTOPHER H. SMITH
Bill Number: HR 2638
Account: Federal Emergency Management Agency’s Pre-Disaster Mitigation
Legal Name of Requesting Entity: City of Trenton
Address of Requesting Entity: City of Trenton

Description of Request: The City of Trenton will use the $500,000 in Pre-Disaster Mitigation funding in the FY 2009 Homeland Security Appropriations Bill to begin elevating the utilities of 270 flood prone properties that have suffered severe and repetitive physical and economic loss resulting in significant economic loss.

Trenton’s Island and Glen Afton neighborhoods are greatly affected by rapidly rising flood water as a result of their proximity to the Delaware River. These two neighborhoods are bordered by the Delaware River to the south west and the Delaware and Raritan Canal to the northwest. Route 29 (a four lane state highway that traverses the City) splits the two neighborhoods; causing an island-like geography.

These waterfront communities are home to approximately 270 structures, many of which are occupied by single family working class homeowners who have suffered great financial loss as a result of water damaged electrical distribution systems, hot water heaters and central heating units, as well as the loss of personal items that can never be replaced.

Six historical river crests (occurring from the earlier part of the 20th century until present) have caused considerable property damage and interrupted sewer, electric and gas services, causing the evacuation of these neighborhoods. Although these neighborhoods are designated as a 100 year flood plain, the last two floods occurred within seven months of each other (September 2004 and April 2005). Just as the Island and Glen Afton residents saw their lives getting back to some semblance of normalcy, the second flood occurred, resulting in lost wages, belongings, property, major systems and complete dislocation.

One major contributing factor to the early flooding is the storm sewer outfall, which provides an early entry point for water to backflow into the lower parts of the Island and Glen Afton neighborhoods via the storm sewer system during early flood stages. However, once the river reaches two feet above flood stage,
the entire Island and Glen Afton neighborhoods will flood. The City of Trenton wishes to assist the most affected residents so that property loss and displacement are minimized. This project is designed to elevate the utilities of approximately 270 properties that have suffered repetitive damages. The 270 identified properties will increase the use of their basement units and elevate their major systems by rewiring the electrical system and redirecting the duct work so that the hot water heating and heating system can be moved to above the first floor; minimizing loss during future floods.

CELEBRATING CENTRAL LOUISIANA’S RICH MILITARY HERITAGE

HON. RODNEY ALEXANDER
OF LOUISIANA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. ALEXANDER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize the 66th anniversary of the creation of the Airborne at Camp Claiborne, La., and to celebrate Central Louisiana’s rich military heritage. Central Louisiana has strong historic ties to America’s military history, from colonial days to the present. It is considered as a major training location for the U.S. military forces in World War I, World War II, as well as more recent conflicts around the world.

To commemorate this day in history, the City of Alexandria and the City of Pineville, with Louisiana State University (LSU) at Alexandria, are cosponsoring a historic event on October 3, 2008. This celebration will recognize and honor the service and sacrifices of the 1st Battalion of the 509th Airborne Infantry, currently based at Fort Polk, La., which saw action in World War II.

In addition, this event will honor the service of Dr. H. Rouse Caffey, former Chancellor of the Louisiana Board of Regents, and specifically the 66th anniversary of the creation of the Airborne at Camp Claiborne, La., in 1942.

RECOGNIZING THE SAVANNAH DRAMA CLUB

HON. JACK KINGSTON
OF GEORGIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. KINGSTON. Madam Speaker, today I rise to recognize the Savannah Drama Club for their excellence in the arts. The Savannah Drama Club is a youth based ministry that presents youthful styles of praise and performance. Founded by Pastor Carl Gilliard and his wife, Lashawanda, thirteen years ago, the Savannah Drama Club is now known for its polite and respectful youth members as well as their amazing talents.

The Savannah Drama Club is visiting Washington D.C. this coming October and will be making their fifth performance at the White House. The group has also performed on the steps of the Capitol, the Nation’s monuments, as well as local jails, community centers, Christian camps, and family shelters. Through their use of theater, poetry, music, dance, spoken word, and even song, the group is able to spread the Word of God to all.

Since its inception, it’s estimated that about 900 children have been involved with the program and currently has 42 active participants. This revolutionary drama club has not only touched the lives of children who have born witness to their show, but has also had a great influence on the children involved. This program has kept students off the streets, shown them the rewards of strong discipline, and most importantly, how important it is to serve a higher power than themselves. I would like to take this time to recognize their accomplishments in the arts and ministry, and also to wish them a warm welcome to Washington.

HONORING THE 80TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE DOWNINGTOWN LIONS CLUB

HON. JIM GERLACH
OF PENNSYLVANIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. GERLACH. Madam Speaker, I rise today to congratulate the Downingtown Lions Club on its 80th Anniversary and to honor the service organization for its commitment to serving the community. Founded in 1928, the Downingtown Lions Club is part of the largest service organization in the world with approximately 1.3 million members in 202 nations.

National Lions Club founder and Chicago businessman Melvin Jones said in 1917 that the organization was created to give something back to their communities. And the Downingtown Lions Club has certainly carried out that mission admirably.

Thanks to the dedication and work of its members and volunteers, the Club built the Kerr Park Pavilion and installed a drinking fountain along the Struble Trail. Hundreds of people in the community have benefited from the Club’s distribution of free eyeglasses and funding raised for sight and hearing programs, including the Leader Dog Program.

The Club will celebrate its 80th Anniversary on Saturday, October 4th during a dinner at the Thordale Inn.

Madam Speaker, I ask that my colleagues join me today in recognizing the Downingtown Lions Club for reaching this special milestone and in commending the efforts of Club members, both past and present, for their tireless dedication and service to the Downingtown area.

THE DAILY 45: ANOTHER VIOLENT WEEKEND IN CHICAGO

HON. BOBBY L. RUSH
OF ILLINOIS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. RUSH. Madam Speaker, the Department of Justice tells us that, everyday, 45 people, on average, are fatally shot in the United States. Last weekend, while my colleagues and I worked to help restore our nation’s financial ship of state, the state of our urban communities continued to be plagued with an obscene level of gun violence. The Chicago community I serve tops our nation’s list for gun-related violence which tragically included the second loss of life, in just two months, of a Chicago police officer. Last night, 14-year veteran officer Nathaniel Taylor, Jr., 39, lost his life while serving a search warrant and he leaves behind an orphaned daughter and a grieving city.

There were also three other gun-related murders last weekend including 34-year-old James Purifoy who was shot in the back. Two others lost their lives whose names have yet to be released. And, to top it off, five people were shot on the Near West Side early Saturday night following a dice game gone bad. Fortunately, none of their wounds were life threatening.

My condolences go out to the family and friends of Officer Taylor and to Mr. Purifoy whose lives ended much too soon at the end of a gun.

Americans of conscious must come together to stop the senseless death of “The Daily 45.” When will we say ‘enough is enough, stop the killing!’

BREAST CANCER PATIENT PROTECTION ACT OF 2007

HON. BOB ETHERIDGE
OF NORTH CAROLINA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. ETHERIDGE. Madam Speaker, I rise today in strong support of H.R. 758, the Breast Cancer Patient Protection Act of 2007. This important legislation will ensure that patients have the health care they need following breast cancer surgery.

In America, there are more than 2.4 million women living with breast cancer. In 2007, more than 178,000 new cases of invasive breast cancer were diagnosed. The Breast
Cancer Patient Protection Act would help ensure that these patients will have adequate support after breast cancer surgery by guaranteeing a minimum hospital stay of 48 hours for a woman having a mastectomy or lumpectomy and 24 hours for a woman undergoing a lymphatic procedure. Unquestionably, I have watched a loved one fight this illness understand that the last thing any woman should be doing at that time is fighting with her insurance company.

Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues to join me in support of H.R. 758. With millions of working women in America being affected by this horrible disease, the Breast Cancer Patient Protection Act will ensure they have access to adequate medical support after their surgery.

TRIBUTE TO THE HONORABLE RALPH REGULA, THE HONORABLE DEBORAH PRYCE AND THE HONORABLE DAVID HOBNOW

HON. JOHN A. BOEHNER
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. BOEHNER. Mr. SMITH today I pay tribute to three of my fellow Ohioans who are retiring at the end of the 110th Congress—DEBORAH PRYCE, DAVE HOBNOW, and RALPH REGULA. All three are true public servants who have dedicated their lives to serving their constituents, Ohio, and this great Nation. This institution will miss their experience, their advocacy for critical issues, and their ability to get the job done.

DEBORAH PRYCE first served as a judge before being elected to Congress in 1992. She has ably served in critical positions in the House—on the Rules Committee and on the Financial Services Committee. She helped guide our Republican Conference as chairwoman. DEBORAH has been a tireless advocate for children and cancer research, and our country is better for her efforts. Congresswoman DEBORAH PRYCE also has been a fighter for families. As the mother of a young child, she understands the challenges working families—especially single mothers—face. DEBORAH has sacrificed more for this job than most others, and remained committed to her constituents despite personal heartache. I have seen her grow and learn more in her job than any other Member and I am proud to call her my friend.

DAVE HOBNOW and I started our careers in Congress at the same time. Previously, we served together in the Ohio General Assembly. "Uncle Dave," as many call him, may not agree with your position, but he has always been willing to give you advice on how to accomplish your goal. One thing that I always admired about Congressman HOBNOW is his ability to find himself in the middle of whatever was going on. Like me, he's a former businessman. This background has been a genuine asset and translates well to a legislative environment as it has helped him know how to broker a deal.

My constituents will perhaps feel Congressman HOBNOW's retirement the most, as our district stretches across each other and we worked very closely together over the years on issues affecting Wright Patterson Air Force Base. Dave has a real heart for our men and women in military service. He was one of our first appropriators to take up the cause of overseas military housing. He was well suited to do this because he lived it when deployed overseas during the Berlin Wall Crisis as a member of the Ohio Air National Guard.

RALPH worked closely with the Ohio delegation—has served in Congress with distinction for 36 years. As a respected cardinal on the Appropriations Committee, he chaired the Interior Subcommittee for 6 years. Subsequently, he served as chairman of the Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education Subcommittees. I served as chairman of the House Education and the Workforce Committee. Early in my tenure as chairman, Congress passed the No Child Left Behind Act to help ensure that all children have the opportunity for a quality education. RALPH worked to ensure adequate funding for critical education priorities and our children and youth are better for it. He is a true gentleman and I am pleased to have worked closely with him.

Ohio's delegation enjoys a close relationship. We're like a family—we don't always see eye-to-eye but at the end of the day, we've always been able to work through our differences and work for what's best for Ohio. One thing is clear about RALPH, DAVE, and DEBORAH. Members on both sides of the aisle respect their integrity and no one has doubted their loyalty to our constituents, the great State of Ohio, and their country. I will miss their congeniality and thank them for their leadership and service. I wish RALPH and his wife Mary, DAVE and his wife Carolyn, and DEBORAH and her daughter Mia the very best in the next stage of their lives.

EARMARK DECLARATION

HON. MICHAEL C. BURGESS
OF TEXAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. BURGESS. Madam Speaker, pursuant to the U.S. House of Representatives Republican Leadership standards on earmarks, I am submitting the following information for publication in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD regarding earmarks I received as part of H.R. 2638, Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act for 2009:

1. Institute for Science and Engineering Simulation (ISES), University of North Texas—$3.36 million—RDTE, AF—Congressman MICHAEL BURGESS.

ISES at the University of North Texas is currently working closely with the U.S. Air Force to remedy a critical requirement. Due to increased operations as a result of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan during the past 5 years, Air Force aircraft are often pushed to perform beyond their intended design criteria: this has created serious concerns for safety of both the aircraft and personnel. The Air Force requires modeling & simulation research of the performance and lifecycles of materials in aircraft in order to extend the life of current military aircraft and to perform testing on future aircraft structures and material. Utilizing state-of-the-art facilities and equipment at the University of North Texas, the research conducted at ISES will be used to predict/identify and reduce the risk of catastrophic failure in aircraft structural components, extend the life of current aircraft and increase the safety of pilots and personnel.

The University of North Texas is located at 1155 Union Circle #311277, Denton, Texas 76203–5017.

The University of North Texas is located at 1155 Union Circle #311277, Denton, Texas 76203–5017.

Mr. SMITH. Mr. SMITH of New Jersey, Madam Speaker, I submit the following:

Requesting Entity: Congresswoman DEBORAH PRYCE, 1155 Union Circle #311277, Denton, Texas 76203–5017.

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Geneva Aerospace LLC.

Account: Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army.

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Intel- ligence & Information Warfare Directorate, CERDEC, Geneva Aerospace LLC.

Address of Requesting Entity: Lakehurst Naval Engineering Station.

Description of Request Funding will be used to support the RDT&E effort to develop the Air Deployable Sensor (ADS), which is a Sonochute-Launched UAS supported by Technology Transition Agreements with multiple Navy sponsors. The Air Deployable Sensor is one of several new capabilities developed under the UFAS program.

Additional successful technology developments have included the development of an autonomous sensor and a UAS in support of UAS National Airspace Integration initiatives, UAS autonomous takeoff and landing systems and gap-filler UAS designed to support a growing DOD UAS Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) capability gap.

Geneva Aerospace is located at 4240 International Parkway, Carrolton, Texas 75007.

EARMARK DECLARATION
Network Waveform (WNW), operates. It is expected that most of the wideband operation will occur in this band vs. the full WNW band of 30–2000MHz. The C and Ku Band on UH–60M test-bed will also address other bands of interest to US Army Aviation including C-band where Full Motion Video (FMV) systems are being operated on selected helicopters, and Ku band, where UAS control and TCDL links are being implemented on selected helicopters.

An antenna development for a more sophisticated single (directional or higher gain lower bandwidth omnidirectional) will be needed to increase system data throughput for the UH–60M. As the test data is analyzed, specifications for antenna systems will emerge and it is envisioned that higher gain antenna will be needed to support future required data rates.

Program Costs

Labor (engineers techs, pilots, QA) and Labs—$1,046,000.00
Aircraft costs (UH–1 and C–12)—$150,000.00
Materials—$404,000.00
Total All—$1,600,000.00

HONORING CHARLES JOSEPH WATSON HUCKE

HON. SAM GRAVES
OF MISSOURI
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. GRAVES. Madam Speaker, I proudly pause to recognize Charles Joseph Watson Hucke of Liberty, Missouri. Charles is a very special young man who has exemplified the finest qualities of citizenship and leadership by taking an active part in the Boy Scouts of America, Troop 1374, and earning the most prestigious award of Eagle Scout.

Charles has been very active with his troop, participating in many Scout activities. Over the many years his troop has been involved with Scouting he has not only earned numerous merit badges, but also the respect of his family, peers, and community.

Madam Speaker, I proudly ask you to join me in commending Charles Joseph Watson Hucke for his accomplishments with the Boy Scouts of America and for his efforts put forth in achieving the highest distinction of Eagle Scout.

EARMARK DECLARATION

HON. K. MICHAEL CONAWAY
OF TEXAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. CONAWAY. Madam Speaker, pursuant to the Republican Leadership standards on earmarks, I am submitting the following information for publication in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD regarding the four earmarks I received as part of H.R. 2638, The Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009:

Requesting Member: Congressman K. MICAHEL CONAWAY

Bill Number: H.R. 2683

Account: Army, Other Procurement

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Texas Army National Guard

Address of Requesting Entity: Camp Mabry, Austin, Texas 78763–5218

Description of Request: Provide $1,000,000 to the Texas Military Forces (TXMF) for eight Joint Incident Scene Communication Capability (JISCC) packages required for disaster response.

The equipment enables the Texas Arm in the Joint Incident Task Force (JIATF) to command and control its interagency structure and inter- state in Texas in support of other states under the Emergency Management Assistance Compact. It supports the various disaster command posts including Joint Agencies, each headquarter, each subordinate task force command post, local incident command posts, Emergency Operations Centers, and other multi-agency coordination centers. The JISCC system also uses Department of Defense sat- ellite eliminating the persistent shortage of funds to pay for commercial satellite service. Ten JISCC packages have been authorized in previous years, but currently, the Texas National Guard has only two on-hand.

Requesting Member: Congressman K. Mi- CHAEL CONAWAY

Bill Number: H.R. 2683

Account: Army, Other Procurement

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Texas Army National Guard

Address of Requesting Entity: Camp Mabry, Austin, Texas 78763–5218

Description of Request: Provide the Texas National Guard $800,000 for the procurement of 700- and 800-Megahertz APCO-25 standard two-way radios for operational and tactical interagency interoperability in their disaster re- ponse task force project allows the Texas National Guard forces to utilize 700 and 800 MHz trunked radio systems being linked across Texas as established in the State Communications Interoperability Plan. It fur- ther fully enables interoperability to coordinate and synchronize interagency ef- forts to maintain unity of effort.

Requesting Member: Congressman K. Mi- CHAEL CONAWAY

Bill Number: H.R. 2683

Account: Army, RDT & E

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Zebra Imaging

Address of Requesting Entity: 9801 Metric Blvd., Suite 200, Austin, Texas 78758

Description of Request: Provide $2,480,000 in funding to complete the final phase of a three-year development program to provide a field-deployable version of the Enhanced Holographic Imager (EHI) system. The holographic imager system is used to produce holograms using a three-dimensional holographic image for the Army's tactical battlefield visualization program, and has proven to be an extremely useful capability for deployed Army and U.S. Special Operations Command warfighters. Over 1700 holographic images were provided to soldiers in theater in 2007. The deployable EHI will produce holograms three times faster than the current system (im- proving responsiveness to the war fighter) and is transportable allowing the imager to be located closer to the tactical users.

Requesting Member: Congressman K. Mi- CHAEL CONAWAY

Bill Number: H.R. 2683

Account: Army, RDT & E

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Texas Tech University

Address of Requesting Entity: 19th and Uni- versity, Lubbock, Texas 79409

Description of Request: Provide $3,000,000 to Texas Tech University to research the use of Compact Pulsed Power as a scientific base for integrating electrical weapons systems onto all-electric combat vehicles. Compact Pulsed Power is the use of targeted electro- magnetic radiation to disable electronic de- vice as cell phone and much research indi- cates that compact pulsed power technology could be beneficial to the Department of Defense by being able to disable Improvised Explosive Devices used in Iraq and Afghanistan. Texas Tech has developed the technology but needs to field test it in order to determine its viability and capability with current military vehicles. This research will develop a technology that will be integrated with the nation’s defense capabilities.

RECOGNIZING DEAN STEVE OLSON
OF SANTA ROSA, CALIFORNIA

HON. MIKE THOMPSON
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. THOMPSON of California. Madam Speaker, I rise today along with my colleague, Congresswoman LYNN WOOLSEY, to recognize Steve Olson, who has recently retired as Dean of Occupational Education and Economic De- velopment at Santa Rosa Junior College (SRJC).

Dean Olson began his career as a Plant Science Instructor at SRJC in 1970 and was named Department Chair in 1974. Thirteen years later, he was selected as Dean of In- struction, Educational Services and Programs. In this role he administered a variety of pro- grams for the college including the Agriculture Department, the college’s Shone Farm, inter- national education, as well as Community Outreach and Development.

During his tenure at SRJC, he helped establish the Agriculture and Natural Resources De- partment and the college farm. He also ex- panded course offerings to more than 180 sites throughout Sonoma County, created a study abroad program for SRJC students, and de- veloped an educational telecommunications program at the college.

Throughout his career, Dean Olson has been an active participant in many profes- sional associations, serving as Vice President of the California Agricultural Teachers Asso- ciation (CATA), President of the North Coast CATA, founding Chairman of the State Advi- sory Committee on Vocational Agricultural Education, a member of the California Joint Policy Council on Higher Education in Agri- culture, President of the California Agricultural Leadership Associates, and co-chair of the Northern California Advocacy Initiative for Global Edu- cation. His involvement in his community has also extended to numerous non-profit organi- zations including the Sonoma County Farm
Bureau, the Sonoma County 4–H Foundation, Chair of the Rotary Club of Santa Rosa Foundation, and Director of the Sonoma County Harvest Fair among others.

Over the years, Dean Olson has been recognized for his many accomplishments with such honors as the Friend of 4–H Award, the Rotarian of the Year Award for Northern California, the Friend of Sonoma County Agriculture Award, and the Harold D. Bostock Lifetime Service Rotary Award.

Madam Speaker, Dean Olson has had a long and distinguished career where he has been a model for his community and his profession. He plans to spend his retirement years with his wife, Elaine, and their six grandchildren pursuing many hobbies. It is appropriate at this time that we honor Dean Olson for his many accomplishments and wish him well in his retirement.

HONORING LARRY INMAN AS HE RECEIVES NMU’S DISTINGUISHED ALUMNI AWARD

HON. BART STUPAK
OF MICHIGAN
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. STUPAK. Madam Speaker, I rise to recognize Larry Inman as he receives Northern Michigan University’s Distinguished Alumni Award. Larry is a northern Michigan resident who has been an exemplary leader in business, in his community and in the State of Michigan. I ask that you, Madam Speaker, and the entire U.S. House of Representatives, join me in honoring Larry as he receives this award for his service to NMU and his community.

Larry earned an associate’s degree from Northwestern Michigan College in Traverse City before graduating from Northern Michigan University in Marquette in 1976. He is a retired vice president of Huntington National Bank. Since he was first elected in 1993, Larry has served as a Grand Traverse County Commissioner, where he has been instrumental in assisting with economic development for the county.

Beyond his career in banking and service to the Grand Traverse region, Larry has also served the State of Michigan in a number of capacities. Since 1998, he has represented a 10–county region of the Northwest Michigan Council of Governments. He serves on the Michigan Community Corrections Board, which he chaired from 1999 to 2006. Larry served 4 years on the NMU Board of Trustees and recently began a term with the NMU Foundation Board of Trustees. He is also a lifetime member of the NMU Alumni Association.

Larry remains active in the Michigan Association of Counties (MAC). He quickly rose through the ranks of MAC and served a term as president of the organization. He travels to Washington annually to represent the interests of local government across Michigan.

The Northern Michigan University Alumni Association Awards are presented to NMU alumni who have been a positive influence on their professions and their communities through public service or distinguished themselves through outstanding achievements. I can think of no one more deserving of this honor than Larry Inman.

In addition to being a good friend of mine, Larry Inman has distinguished himself through his service to his community. His public service and continued commitment to Northern Michigan University are an example for us all to follow.

Madam Speaker, I ask that you and the entire U.S. House of Representatives join me in congratulating Larry Inman as the Northern Michigan University Alumni Association Board of Directors honors him with the Distinguished Alumni Award.

EARMARK DECLARATION

HON. CHRIS CANNON
OF UTAH
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. CANNON. Madam Speaker, pursuant to the Republican Leadership standards on earmarks, I am submitting the following information for publication in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD regarding earmarks I received as part of H.R. 2638, the Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2008:

Congressman CHRIS CANNON. H.R. 2638.
Project: SENIOR SCOUT Beyond Line-of-Sight, BLOS, Satcom Data Link Project.
Recipient: L3 Communication Systems West, located at 6400 North 2200 West, Salt Lake City, UT 84116.
Description: It is my understanding that the $7 million in funds will be used to increase persistence and data rates for real-time Reachback and disinformation of intelligence to national and tactical consumers.

Spending Plan: The $7 million in funds will be used for two fixed-site SATCOM Earth Terminals supporting SENIOR SCOUT missions. One fixed site would be located in the CONUS for exploitation/analysis of SENIOR SCOUT data and the second site would be Out-of-CONUS supporting Theater tactical operations.

Congressman CHRIS CANNON. H.R. 2638.
Account: Aircraft Procurement 001 F–35.
Project: Automated Composite Technologies and Manufacturing Center Project.
Recipient: Alliant Techsystems, ATK, located at Bldg. B14, Freeport Center, Clearfield, UT.
Description: It is my understanding that the $5 million will be used to scale-up enhanced fiber placement processing technologies.

Spending Plan: The program will provide improved military capability to fulfill an unmet requirement or need identified by the Department of Defense. Funding execution and expenditure plans shall be developed and approved by the responsible program manager for the Department of Defense, Military Services or Department of Defense Agency, pursuant to applicable federal acquisition laws, regulations and guidelines.

Congressman CHRIS CANNON. H.R. 2638.
Project: JAMMA Lightweight, Armed, Hybrid, Power Generating, Tactical Vehicle Project.
Recipient: Klune Industries, located at 1800 N. 300 West, Spanish Fork, UT 84660.
Description: It is my understanding that the $2 million will be used for the Joint, All-terrain, Modular Mobility Asset (JAMMA) family of vehicles which is designed to greatly enhance mission performance and survivability and become a force multiplier for all U.S. conventional and special operations forces.

Spending Plan: The funds will be used for building test article JAMMA vehicles for User Group validation, for advanced requirements testing, and for refinement of the design in regards to lowering the manufacturing costs of the JAMMA family of vehicles.

Congressman CHRIS CANNON. H.R. 2638.
Project: Space Qualification of the Common Data Link.
Recipient: L3 Communication Systems West, located at 6400 North 2200 West, Salt Lake City, UT 84116.
Description: It is my understanding that the $1.6 million will be used to increase persistence of intelligence data from space-based systems to the combatant forces. The addition of CDL to space-based military ISR and communication systems will allow in-theater tasking collaboration, collection and dissemination that can take place in real-time by the war fighter using existing deployed CDL ground infrastructure to support multi-layered sensor networks for air, space, and cyber domains.

Spending Plan: The funds will be used to continue work directed by the Air Force Research Laboratory, Wright Patterson AFB. Specifically for Harden MODEM, Analog-to-Digital converters and other key components to space environment, “S–Qual”, and to produce and test Common Data Link system to support Operationally Responsive Space initiatives including TACSAT II and other space-based ISR platforms.

Congressman CHRIS CANNON. H.R. 2638.
Project: Fiber Optic Conformal Acoustic Velocity Sensor.
Description: It is my understanding that the $2 million will be used to accelerate development of Fiber Optic Conformal Acoustic Velocity Sensor, FOCAVES, technology for the next generation SSN, Virginia Block IV, and the follow-on Ballistic Missile Submarine. These systems need to be demonstrated in the 2009–2010 timeframe to support future ship sonar acquisition efforts.

Spending Plan: Complete development and fabrication of a SSN FOCAVES Wide Aperture Array Panel. Conduct integration and testing of the FOCAVES panel Begin risk reduction simulation/stimulation and testing at Lake Seneca.
RECOGNIZING MR. ROBERT P. PAGE

HON. CHARLIE MELANCON
OF LOUISIANA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. MELANCON. Madam Speaker, I would like to take this time to commend Mr. Robert P. Page, an outstanding citizen and business leader from Houma, Louisiana. I wanted to recognize him today as he is in the final months of his term as the President of the National Association of Professional Insurance Agents.

Mr. Page has distinguished himself throughout his career as a professional insurance agent and he has exhibited only the highest standards of honesty, integrity and professionalism, serving as the President of the Professional Insurance Agents of Louisiana.

Despite suffering personal losses as the result of Hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Gustav, Mr. Page has provided uninterrupted service to the insurance agency in Houma, going above and beyond the call of duty to assist his fellow citizens who suffered devastating losses after each storm.

Mr. Page has worked tirelessly to develop a mechanism to deal with natural catastrophes throughout the United States, serving as the founding member of the Professional Insurance Agents Natural Catastrophe Task Force.

With his years of hard work and dedication, Mr. Page has earned the respect and admiration of his many colleagues throughout the insurance industry. He has embodied the motto of his insurance association, "Local Agents Serving Main Street America".

Therefore, I’d like to congratulate and commend Robert P. Page of Houma, Louisiana upon the successful completion of his term as President of the National Association of Professional Insurance Agents.

EARMARK DECLARATION

HON. FRANK D. LUCAS
OF OKLAHOMA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. LUCAS. Madam Speaker, I submit the following:

Title: Earmark Declaration.

Requesting Member: Congressman FRANK LUCAS.

Date: September 29, 2008.

Pursuant to the Republican Leadership standards on earmarks, I am submitting the following information for publication in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD regarding earmarks I received as part of H.R. 2638.

Account: Defense-wide, RDT&E.

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Oklahoma State University.

Address of Requesting Entity: 101 Whitehurst, Stillwater, Oklahoma, USA.

Description of Request: I received an earmark of $1,600,000 for the University Multi-Spectral Laboratory (UML) and Analytical Services Center (ASCENT). This funding will be used to perform testing, integration and commercialization of chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear and explosive (CBRNE) and command, control, communications, computers, intelligence surveillance and reconnaissance (C4ISR) sensor-related technologies. This project is a SOCOM/Oklahoma State University collaboration. It will fuse academic, technical, and tactical assets and provide education and training to facilitate rapid movement of new technologies to market.

In conclusion, God bless our troops, and we will never forget September 11th.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. TIM MURPHY
OF PENNSYLVANIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. MURPHY of Pennsylvania. Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 661 H.R. 928, the Inspector General Reform Act of 2008, had I been present, I would have voted "yes".

Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 667 H.R. 7081, the United States-India Nuclear Cooperation Approval and Nonproliferation Enhancement Act, had I been present, I would have voted "yes".

Madam Speaker, on rollcall No. 663 H.R. 6707, Taking Responsible Action for Community Safety Act, had I been present, I would have voted "no".

HONORING SEAN PATRICK KENNAN

HON. SAM GRAVES
OF MISSOURI
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. GRAVES. Madam Speaker, I proudly pause to recognize Sean Patrick Kennan of Liberty, Missouri. Sean is a very special young man who has exemplified the finest qualities of citizenship and leadership by taking an active part in the Boy Scouts of America, Troop 1376, and earning the most prestigious award of Eagle Scout.

Sean has been very active with his troop, participating in many Scout activities. Over the many years Sean has been involved with Scouting, he has not only earned numerous merit badges, but also the respect of his family, peers, and community.

Madam Speaker, I proudly ask you to join me in commending Sean Patrick Kennan for his accomplishments with the Boy Scouts of America and for his efforts put forth in achieving the highest distinction of Eagle Scout.

EARMARK DECLARATION

HON. STEVE BUYER
OF INDIANA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. BUYER. Madam Speaker, the attached financial plans are provided in support of projects listed in H.R. 2638, Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009.

Detailed Finance Plan for National Test Facility for Aerospace Fuels and Propulsion

Budget:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equipment &amp; Supplies</td>
<td>$2,130,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of Match Funding</td>
<td>Purdue University</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sustainability:
Potential sources of funding along with associated sustainability possibilities include:

- Office of Energy and Defense Center for Coal Technology Research (CTCR)—Test and development support for Fischer-Tropsch (FT) fuels.
- DoD Clean Fuels Initiative—this facility will have the capabilities for long-term test operations and data collection.
- DARPA BAA—this facility will have the capabilities to conduct development work for Biofuels, a strategic initiative under DARPA.
- Polis Royce—through the Purdue University Technology Transfer Center.
- Caterpillar—long-term test operations data.
- The Boeing Company—support and development of alternative fuels initiatives underway.

**Justice for Use of Tax Dollars:**

In an executive act, President Bush has committed U.S. military installations to use green fuels. The Department of Defense is committed to approval of all aircraft for flight on synthetic fuel blends by the end of 2008. Furthermore, Boeing and Virgin intend to fly a green aircraft using biofuel. The Civil Aviation Alternative Fuel Initiative developed a road map for the integration of new fuel technologies into the aerospace industry. This project will maintain a multi-faceted National Testing Facility to support development and testing of new energy sources for aerospace space equipment, assisting the Federal government and the private aerospace sector in meeting their green fuel objectives.

**Detailed Finance Plan for National Radio Frequency R&D and Technology Transfer Center:**

The requested funds will be the only source of funding. Funds will be used as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Dollars in thousands)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Establish the Center and Participate Coordination:</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staffing and Training:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management and Project Oversight Identify and Technology to Needs:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Select Projects:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start First Project:</td>
<td>2,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial of Process:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed needed maturity for Transition to Production:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Details of project spending:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries:</td>
<td>1,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material:</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Equipment and Facility use:</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start Second Project:</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proof Process with Lessons Learned:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed needed maturity for Transition to Production:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Details of project spending:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries:</td>
<td>1,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material:</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Equipment and Facility use:</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Percent and Source of Matching Funds:**

None.

**Justice for Use of Federal taxpayer dollars:**

Our Government spends billions of dollars each year on science and technology and research and development. Many groundbreaking technologies never make it to the intended uses simply because it is difficult and time consuming to bridge the funding gaps with other administration requirements. National Radio Frequency (RF) R&D and Technology Transfer Center is intended to facilitate technology transfer production, to promote small business initiatives, and to gain higher returns on research dollars.

**EARMARK DECLARATION**

**HON. KENNY C. HULSHOF**

**OF MISSOURI**

**IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES**

**Monday, September 29, 2008**

Mr. HULSHOF. Madam Speaker, consistent with the Republican leadership’s policy on earmarks, I am requesting funding for Chemical and Biological—Protective Hangars (CAP—PH) in fiscal year 2009, in H.R. 2638, Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2008, Continuing Resolution, from account 27 0602786A: Warfighter Technology. The entity to receive funding for this project is Production Products Inc., located at 1285 Dunn Road, St. Louis, Missouri 63138. The funding would be used for the development of Chemical and Biological—Protective Hangars, CAP—PH, for the Army helicopter fleet. I certify that neither I nor my spouse has any financial interest in this project.

I hereby certify that to the best of my knowledge this request (1) is not directed to an entity or program named or will be named after a sitting Member of Congress (2) is not intended for a “front” or “pass through” entity; and (3) meets or exceeds all statutory requirements for matching funds where applicable. I further certify that should this request be included in the bill, I will place a statement in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD describing how the funds will be spent and justifying the use of Federal taxpayer funds.

**EARMARK DECLARATION**

**HON. MARIO DIAZ-BALART**

**OF FLORIDA**

**IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES**

**Monday, September 29, 2008**

Mr. DIAZ-BALART of Florida. Madam Speaker, pursuant to the Republican Leadership standards on earmarks, I am submitting the following information for publication in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD regarding earmarks I received as part of H.R. 2638, the Fiscal Year 2009 Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act.

**CENTER FOR OPTHALMIC INNOVATION**

**Requesting Member:** Representative MARIO DIAZ-BALART (FL—25)

**Bill Number:** H.R. 2638, Report 110–181

**Account:** DTRA.

**Legal Name of Requesting Entity:** University of Miami

Address of Requesting Entity: 1252 Memorial Dr, Ashe Administrative Building Room 230, Coral Gables, FL 33146.

Description of Request: I received an appropriation of $1,200,000 for the Dual Use Technologies for Bio-Defense: Drug Design and Development of Novel Therapeutics project. This funding will be used to continue the project which focuses on state-of-the-art research on technologies to treat bio-threat agents and develop methods of bio-defense for combat and civilian use. Scientists at Florida Gulf Coast University, FGCU, are utilizing a library of novel small molecule chemistries that have a high and selective binding affinity for a broad range of bio-threat agents. There is a critical need for new technologies that can be used for the treatment and inactivation of bio-threat agents and pathogens important to bio-defense efforts. Viruses and other pathogens can be attacked either externally while they are being transmitted between host cells or internally once they have infested a host cell and are actively replicating. New technologies developed at FGCU have the promise to revolutionize drug targeting by using molecular methods to design novel therapeutic molecules and by using physical methods to deliver drugs to the specific site. These technologies can be used to overcome one of the primary limitations of modern pharmacology, the inability to specifically target therapeutic molecules, and have applications for infectious diseases, cancer aging, and cardiopulmonary diseases.

**SPENDING PLAN**

**Personnel**—$600,000.

**Equipment**—$100,000.

**Supplies**—$180,000.

**Travel**—$20,000.

**Indirect**—$300,000.

**Grand Total**—$1,200,000.

**JACKSON HEALTH CENTER**

**Requesting Member:** Representative MARIO DIAZ-BALART (FL—25)

**Bill Number:** H.R. 2638, Report 110–181

**Account:** DTRA.
Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Jackson Health System.

Address of Requesting Entity: 1611 NW 12th Ave, Miami, FL 33136-1096.

Description of Request: I received an appropriation of $6,000,000 for the Military Trauma Care Core Care Planning Grant.

Project Amount: $6,000,000.

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: University of Tennessee, 328 Ferriss Hall, 1508 Middle Drive, Knoxville, TN 37996.

Description of Request: This funding will be used to explore novel energy harvesting methods, including biofuels, hydrogen-based systems, miniature nuclear batteries, etc.

Requesting Member: Congressman JOHN DUNCAN.


Project Amount: $1,600,000.

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Diamondview Glass Ceramic, 110 West Old Al Highway, Jacksonville, TN 37760.

Description of Request: This funding will be used for the rapid development of an innovative ceramic crystallite reinforced glass system for light-weight, low-cost ballistic windows for architectural use for threats including small arms and explosions.

Requesting Member: Congressman JOHN DUNCAN.

Account: Military Construction, ANG.

Project Amount: $8,000,000.

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: McGee Tyson ANG Base, located at 320 Post Avenue, McGee Tyson ANG Base, TN 37777.

Description of Request: The funding will be used to replace the current KC-135 Squadron Operations Facility located at the 134th Air Refueling Wing, McGee Tyson Air National Guard Base. The Squadron Operations are currently housed in a facility that is antiquated and not properly laid out to allow for the smooth flow of KC-135 operations.

HONORING MATTHEW JOSEPH DILLMAN

HON. SAM GRAVES

OF MISSOURI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. GRAVES. Madam Speaker, I proudly pause to recognize Matthew Joseph Dillman of Kansas City, Missouri. Matthew is a very special young man who has exemplified the finest qualities of citizenship and leadership by taking an active part in the Boy Scouts of America, Troop 1376, and earning the most prestigious award of Eagle Scout.

Matthew has been very active with his troop, participating in many scout activities. Over the many years Matthew has been involved with scouting, he has not only earned numerous merit badges, but also the respect of his family, peers, and community.

Madam Speaker, I proudly ask you to join me in commending Matthew Joseph Dillman for his accomplishments with the Boy Scouts of America and for his efforts put forth in achieving the highest distinction of Eagle Scout.

HONORING THE RETIREMENT OF OFFICER WAYNE BILES

HON. BILL FOSTER

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. FOSTER. Madam Speaker, I am submitting this statement to express congratulations and gratitude to Officer Wayne Biles on the occasion of his retirement from the Aurora Police Department.

On September 12, Officer Biles retired after nearly 33 years with the Aurora Police Department, 20 years of which he spent as president of the Association of Professional Police Officers.

During his years with the force, Officer Biles saw the department and the community grow significantly. As union president, he has worked with seven different chiefs to reach workable agreements that suit the police force and the community they serve.

Even in retirement, Officer Biles plans to remain active in his community. He exemplifies the devoted public service to which we all should strive.
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I offer my best wishes to Officer Biles and his family, and I thank him for more than three decades of service.

EARL MARK DECLARATION

HON. DOC HASTINGS
OF WASHINGTON
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. Madam Speaker, to provide open disclosure, I am submitting the following information for publication in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD regarding projects that I support for inclusion in the Department of Defense Appropriations bill and the Homeland Security Appropriations bill for FY 2009, which were included as part of H.R. 2638, the Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009.

Amount: $800,000.
Account: Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard.
Entity receiving funds: HAMMER Training and Education Center located at 2890 Horn Rapids Road, Richland, WA 99354.
Description: Funds will be used to ensure that vehicles of Civil Support Team (CST) and the Chemical Biological Radiological Nuclear High-Yield Explosives Enhanced Response Force Package (CERFP) units receive the training they need to respond to an attack using weapons of mass destruction.
Amount: $24.4 million.
Account: Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army.
Entity receiving funds: Infinia located at 6811 West Okanagan Pl, Kennewick, WA 99336.
Description: Funds will be used to provide the Army with power generation on the battlefield (a Sterling Tactical Cogeneration System or STaCS) that is small, efficient, quiet, low maintenance and reliable. This system is aimed at providing critical electricity needed by our troops on the battlefield.
Amount: $1.2 million.
Account: Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army.
Entity receiving funds: Moses Lake General Dynamics located at 9256 Randolph Road NE, Moses Lake, WA 98837.
Description: Funds will be used to provide the Army with non-lethal munitions to aid troops in the dispersion of the enemy while on the battlefield.
Amount: $3.2 million.
Account: Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy.
Entity receiving funds: Insitu located at 118 East Columbia River Way, Bingen, WA 98605.
Description: Funds will be used to provide the Navy with a Portable Launch and Recovery System that would allow small unmanned aerial vehicles to operate from Navy vessels.
Amount: $25 million.
Account: Research, Development and Operations—Laboratory Facilities.
Entity receiving funds: Pacific Northwest National Laboratory located at P.O. Box 999, Richland, WA 99352.
Description: Funds will be used to complete federal laboratory space critical to national security. The Lab supports the Department of Homeland Security, the Department of Energy Office of Science, and the National Nuclear Security Administration.

RECOGNIZING COMMUNITY OPTIONS NATIONAL DISABILITY AWARENESS WEEK

HON. PATRICK J. MURPHY
OF PENNSYLVANIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. PATRICK J. MURPHY of Pennsylvania. Madam Speaker, I rise to celebrate Community Options National Disability Awareness Week. This year, it takes place from October 20 through October 24 and I am proud to recognize it. Since 1989, Community Options, a national non-profit organization headquartered in Princeton, New Jersey, has worked to provide housing, support services and advocacy assistance to help empower people with disabilities. Through emphasizing the dignity of every person and freedom of self determination, their success has been an inspiration. Community Options has helped more than 1,300 individuals with disabilities in various States. With a history of quality, cost effectiveness and a reputation of encouraging individual choice and flexibility, they have also begun to spread their help to countries all over the world.

Madam Speaker, please join me in celebrating Community Options National Disability Awareness Week and give thanks to Community Options for making a difference in the lives of individuals with disabilities and enabling them to live a full empowered life of their choice.

EARLMARK DECLARATION

HON. MICHAEL R. TURNER
OF OHIO
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008

Mr. TURNER of Ohio. Madam Speaker, I submit the following: for the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD regarding Member requests associated with the FY2009 CRH.R. 2638 Homeland Security Appropriations Act.

1. Project—INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL START-ER/GENERATOR (IES/G).
Requesting Member: MICHAEL TURNER.
Bill Number: H.R. 2638.
Account: Air Force, RDT&E.
Requesting Entity: Air Force Research Laboratory. Address of Requesting Entity: Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, OH.
Description of Request: $1,600,000 is appropriated for an Integrated Electrical Starter/Generator in fiscal year 2009. The entity to receive funding for this project is the Air Force Research Laboratory at Wright Patterson Air Force Base in Dayton, OH. The funding will be used to develop a pre-prototype, sensorless IES/G to demonstrate the feasibility of supplying both main engine start function and the electrical power necessary to operate all aircraft systems. I certify that neither I nor my spouse has any financial interest in this project.

2. Production of Nanocomposites for Aerospac Applications.
Requesting Member: MICHAEL TURNER.
Bill Number: H.R. 2638.
Account: Air Force, RDT&E.
Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Air Force Research Laboratory.
Address of Requesting Entity: Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, OH.
Description of Request: $800,000 is appropriated for the Production of Nanocomposites for Aerospace Applications in fiscal year 2009. The entity to receive funding for this project is the Air Force Research Laboratory at Wright Patterson Air Force Base in Dayton, OH. The funding will transition nanomaterials technology into Air Force applications. I certify that neither I nor my spouse has any financial interest in this project.

Requesting Member: MICHAEL TURNER.
Bill Number: H.R. 2638.
Account: Air Force, Mil Con.
Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Wright-Patterson Air Force Base.
Address of Requesting Entity: Dayton, OH.
Description of Request: $14,000,000 is appropriated for a Security Forces Operations Facility in fiscal year 2009. The entity to receive funding for this project is Wright-Patterson Air Force Base located at Dayton, OH. The funding would be used to house the operations of the 88th Air Base Wing Security Forces Squadron (88 SFS), which provides security and police services for Wright-Patterson Air Force Base. I certify that neither I nor my spouse has any financial interest in this project.

4. Project—Tactical Metal Fabrication System (TacFab).
Requesting Member: MICHAEL TURNER.
Bill Number: H.R. 2638.
Account: Army, RDT&E.
Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Army Tank Automotive Research, Development, Engineering Center.
Address of Requesting Entity: Dearborn, MI.
Description of Request: $2,000,000 is appropriated for the Tactical Metal Fabrication System in fiscal year 2009. The entity to receive funding for this project is the Army Tank Automotive Research, Development, Engineering Center in Dearborn, MI. The funding will help Tactical Metal Fabrication (TacFab) System design, develop and build a mobile, containerized foundry, deployable overseas as a companion to RMS, the Army’s Rapid Manufacturing System. I certify that neither I nor my spouse has any financial interest in this project.

Requesting Member: MICHAEL TURNER.
Bill Number: H.R. 2638.
Account: Air Force, RDT&E.
Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Air Force Research Laboratory.
Address of Requesting Entity: Dayton, OH.
Description of Request: $800,000 is appropriated for Nano-Composite Structures Manufacturing Technology Development in fiscal year 2009. The entity to receive funding for this project is the Air Force Research Laboratory at Wright Patterson Air Force Base in Dayton, OH. The funding will enable the nano-composite materials manufacturing technology development and demonstration from this R&D project to meet national defense needs by providing lighter
EARMARK DECLARATION

HON. ILEANA ROS-LEHTINEN
OF FLORIDA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN. Madam Speaker, pursuant to Republican Leadership standards on earmarks, I am submitting the following information for publication in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD regarding earmarks I received as part of H.R. 2638, the Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009.

Name of Requesting Member: ILEANA ROS-LEHTINEN.

Account: Defense, Research and Test and Evaluation (Army).

Project Name: Research Coordinator (salary and fringe) for hybrid bearing applications which will provide exceptional hot hardness, exceptional fatigue life, exceptional wear resistance, and exceptional fracture toughness. Defense applications would include the JSF main shaft bearing application, as well as other weapons platforms or devices requiring high speed bearings. I certify that neither I nor my spouse has any financial interest in this project.

Item Cost Federal Dollar Amount Requested Percent of Total Project Cost Is This Funding Request Recommended?

- Kinloch storm sewer improvements project $4,046,507 $4,046,507
- Northeast storm sewers $1,093,163 $1,093,163
- North beachside drive drainage improvements $2,594,883 $2,594,883
- Total Project Budget $8,022,248 $8,022,248

Detailed Budget:

EARMARK DECLARATION

HON. SUE WILKINS MYRICK
OF NORTH CAROLINA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 29, 2008

Mrs. MYRICK. Madam Speaker, pursuant to the Republican Leadership standards on earmarks, I am submitting the following information for publication in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD regarding earmarks I received as part of H.R. 2638, the Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009.

Anticipated sources of funding: CMB Equipment Fund 302 (EOC), $565,314; CMB Equipment Emergency Funds (EOC), $370,817; CMB Disaster Recovery Network Access Point (NAP), $170,102; Telephone Switch at NAP, $59,744; and FY2009 DHS Request, $2,000,000.

b. Percent and source of required matching fund; and Please see the above chart. The City of Miami anticipates that the State will pay 57 percent of this project. Since the City of Miami is a local government, no match is required.

c. Justification for use of federal taxpayer dollars: The City of Miami Stormwater Project will significantly mitigate flood conditions caused by local storms and will result in a reduction of flood damage and an increase in public safety for the City of Miami by implementing stormwater drainage projects throughout the City. This project will also help control the discharge of stormwater into the Miami River and Biscayne Bay and will improve the overall water quality of Miami’s waterways.
of H.R. 2638—the Consolidated Security, Disas
taster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act:
1. Bill Number: H.R. 2638 Consolidated Secu-
ity, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009.
Account: OPM Budget Activity 01, Line #19, Items Less than $5 million.
Legal Name of Requesting Entity: IMO
Address of Requesting Entity: 1710 Airport Road, Monroe, NC.
Description of Request: Provide an authorization of $4 million for the procurement and installation of Canned Lube Pumps (CLP) on four LSD-41/49 Class amphibious ships. This funding will purchase 16 CLP units to complete the LSD-41 class. Approximately $400,000 is for technical support for installation; $2.8 million for the CLP units and installation; $600,000 for battle spares; $200,000 for prototype ship board test for LHD class.
The Navy has indicated that the total savings over the life of the LSD 41/49 class from installing the CLP is over $33.1 million, and the return investment to the Navy is 594 percent. This funding will complete the procurement and installation of the Whidbey Island Class. A $2 million add was included in the final bill for this project.
2. Bill Number: H.R. 2638 Consolidated Secu-
ity, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009.
Account: RTD&E, Army.
Legal Name of Requesting Entity: DSM Dynenea, LLC.
Address of Requesting Entity: 1101 High-
way 27 South, Stanley, NC.
Description of Request: The funding will be used to develop a helmet capable of providing 7.62-mm small arms protection, resulting in increased protection and a potential decrease in fatal and non-fatal injuries associated with 7.62-mm threats experienced in theatre. Funding will be used to support ongoing efforts to develop and test a superstrong polyethylene fiber that offers maximum strength combined with minimum weight. The final bill provides $1.44 million for this project.

HONORING PHILLIP JAMES GRACE
HON. SAM GRAVES
OF MISSOURI
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008
Mr. GRAVES. Madam Speaker, I proudly pause to recognize Philip James Grace of Kansas City, Missouri. Phillip is a very special young man who has exemplified the finest qualities of citizenship and leadership by tak-
ing an active part in the Boy Scouts of America, Troop 1376, and earning the most pres-
tigious award of Eagle Scout.
Phillip has been very active with his troop, participating in many Scout activities. Over the many years Phillip has been involved with Scouting, he has not only earned numerous merit badges, but also the respect of his fam-
ily, peers, and community.
Madam Speaker, I kindly ask you to join me in congratulating Philip James Grace for his accomplishments with the Boy Scouts of America and for his efforts put forth in achiev-
ing the highest distinction of Eagle Scout.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION
HON. JAMES T. WALSH
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008
Mr. WALSH of New York. Madam Speaker, on rollover No. 633, a motion to suspend the rules and pass as amended the Paul D. Wellstone Muscular Dystrophy Community As-
sistance, Research, and Education Amend-
ments of 2008, had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

250TH ANNIVERSARY OF FRIENDS MEETING HOUSE AND CEMETARY ASSOCIATION
HON. RODNEY P. FRELINGHUYSEN
OF NEW JERSEY
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008
Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. Madam Speaker, I rise today to commemorate the 250th anniver-
sary celebration of the Friends Meeting House and Cemetery Association of the Township of Randolph, County of Morris, NJ.
On October 11, 2008, the Friends Meeting House and Cemetery Association of the Town-
ship of Randolph will celebrate the 250th anni-
versary of the Friends Meeting House. The Meeting House is the oldest church in contin-
uous use in Morris County and the oldest Quaker Meeting House in northern New Jer-
sey.
In 1758, The Mendham Friends Meeting House and Cemetery was established by the Quakers, who migrated to the Mendham area of Morris County. The name was later changed to the Randolph Friends Meeting House, and the building was used for regular worship until 1865, when it was “laid down.” From 1865–1898, the Meeting House was used only for weddings and the occa-
sional funeral, while the grounds and cemetery were kept by descendants of the original Quaker families.
In 1898, the Friends Meeting House and Cemetery Association of Randolph Township was formed to oversee the preservation of the Meeting House site. Membership was open to anyone who had worshipped in the meeting house or was buried in the ceme-
tery as well as to members of the Friends faith who had an interest in preservation of this im-
portant place.
In 1998, the Meeting House celebrated the 100th anniversary of the founding of the asso-
ciation in its current form. In 2007, Friends Meeting House and Cemetery Association was awarded a grant from the Morris County His-
toric Preservation Trust Fund. This grant will enable the association to fully renovate and preserve the Meeting House building, which had changed little since the 18th century.
Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues and my col-
leagues to join me in congratulating Friends Meeting House and Cemetery Association of the Township of Randolph on the celebration of this special anniversary.

HONORING THE JAMES A. MICHENER ART MUSEUM
HON. PATRICK J. MURPHY
OF PENNSYLVANIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008
Mr. PATRICK J. MURPHY of Pennsylvania. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor the James A. Michener Art Museum as it cele-
brates its 20th anniversary on September 20th, 2008. As a major repository of Bucks County’s artistic heritage, the Michener Mu-
seum has preserved our region’s artistic herit-
age through an extensive permanent collec-
tion, local exhibits, scholarly publications and innovative educational programs.
To date, the museum has had 91 exhibits, featuring 250 artists from Bucks County. Mem-
bership has expanded and annual attendance has grown from just 10,000 to 125,000 in 2007. The museum reaches out to local stu-
dents and teachers as well, with 14,000 stu-
dents expected to visit the museum this year and outreach programs such as Art in the Move and Teacher Enrichment classes.
In the last 20 years, the James A. Michener Art Museum has become a pillar of culture in Bucks County and I am proud to serve as their Congressman. Please join me, Madam Speaker, in congratulating them on 20 years of excellence.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION
HON. ADAM SMITH
OF WASHINGTON
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Monday, September 29, 2008
Mr. SMITH of Washington. Madam Speaker, on February 6, 2008, I was unable to vote on the following votes: rol-
call No. 29: On motion to suspend the rules and agree to H. Res. 867. Had I been present, I would have voted “yes”; rol-
call No. 30: On motion to suspend the rules and agree to H. Res. 943. Had I been present, I would have voted “yes”, and rol-
call No. 31: On motion to suspend the rules and agree to H. Res. 943. Had I been present, I would have voted “yes”. I would have voted “yes”.

Madam Speaker, on February 7, 2008, I was unable to vote on the following votes: rol-
call No. 32: On ordering the previous question for H. Res. 956. Had I been present, I would have voted “yes”; rol-
call No. 33: On agreeing to H. Res. 956. Had I been present, I would have voted “yes”; rol-
call No. 34: On motion to suspend the rules and agree to H. Res. 283, as amended. Had I been present, I would have voted “yes”;
rolcall No. 35: On motion to suspend the rules and pass H.R. 4848, as amended. Had I been present, I would have voted “yes”; rol-
call No. 36: On agreeing to the amendment number 4 to H.R. 4137. Had I been present, I would have voted “yes”; rol-
call No. 37: On agreeing to the amendment number 5 to H.R. 4137. Had I been present, I would have voted “yes”; rol-
call No. 38: On agree to recommitt H.R. 4137 to the Committee. Had I been present, I would have voted “no”; rol-
call No. 40: On passage of H.R. 4137. Had I been present, I would have voted “yes”; rolcall
Mr. BROWN of South Carolina. Madam Speaker, on May 6, 2008, I was unable to vote on the following votes: rollover No. 260: On motion to adjourn. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes."

Madam Speaker, on July 17, 2008, I was unable to vote on the following votes: rollover No. 510: On agreeing to the resolution to H. Res. 1350. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes"; rollover No. 535: On motion to suspend the rules and agree to H. Res. 1370, as amended. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes."

Description of Project: Provide $2 million to continue development of the Army’s Tactical Metal Fabrication System (TACFAB). TACFAB will add additional capability to the Army’s Rapid Manufacturing System, which provides deployed forces a way to keep its tanks, helicopters, and other systems operating in the battlezone. Specifically, TACFAB will move forward the development of a mobile foundry which will allow units to cast parts in the field, cutting repair time down by 90 percent.

Requesting Member: Congressman HENRY E. BROWN, Jr.


Account: OM, Army.

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: South Carolina Research Authority.

Address of Requesting Entity: 2301 Avenue D, Charleston, SC.

Description of Project: Provide $1.2 million for the purchase of TRICON and QUADCON shipping containers by the U.S. Army to keep Army units and warfighters mobile. The Army estimates that they need, as a minimum, 120,000 containers to meet the needs of our troops; however, less than 50 percent have been purchased, limiting strategic mobility. Appropriation builds upon over $10 million funded from FY05 to FY08 and on a current Army contract for these critically important containers.

Requesting Member: Congressman HENRY E. BROWN, Jr.


Account: OM, Army.

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Charleston Marine Containers.

Address of Requesting Entity: 2301 Avenue D, Charleston, SC.

Description of Project: Provide $1.2 million for the purchase of TRICON and QUADCON shipping containers by the U.S. Army to keep Army units and warfighters mobile. The Army estimates that they need, as a minimum, 120,000 containers to meet the needs of our troops; however, less than 50 percent have been purchased, limiting strategic mobility. Appropriation builds upon over $10 million funded from FY05 to FY08 and on a current Army contract for these critically important containers.

Requesting Member: Congressman HENRY E. BROWN, Jr.


Account: OM, Army.

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Charleston Marine Containers.

Address of Requesting Entity: 2301 Avenue D, Charleston, SC.

Description of Project: Provide $1.2 million for the purchase of TRICON and QUADCON shipping containers by the U.S. Army to keep Army units and warfighters mobile. The Army estimates that they need, as a minimum, 120,000 containers to meet the needs of our troops; however, less than 50 percent have been purchased, limiting strategic mobility. Appropriation builds upon over $10 million funded from FY05 to FY08 and on a current Army contract for these critically important containers.

Requesting Member: Congressman HENRY E. BROWN, Jr.


Account: OM, Army.

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Charleston Marine Containers.

Address of Requesting Entity: 2301 Avenue D, Charleston, SC.

Description of Project: Provide $1.2 million for the purchase of TRICON and QUADCON shipping containers by the U.S. Army to keep Army units and warfighters mobile. The Army estimates that they need, as a minimum, 120,000 containers to meet the needs of our troops; however, less than 50 percent have been purchased, limiting strategic mobility. Appropriation builds upon over $10 million funded from FY05 to FY08 and on a current Army contract for these critically important containers.

Requesting Member: Congressman HENRY E. BROWN, Jr.


Account: OM, Army.

Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Charleston Marine Containers.

Address of Requesting Entity: 2301 Avenue D, Charleston, SC.
Requesting Member: Congressman HENRY E. BROWN, Jr.
Account: CPB Salaries and Expenses.
Legal Name of Requesting Entity: American Science and Engineering.
Address of Requesting Entity: 829 Middlesex Turnpike, Billerica, SC.
Description of Project: Provide 2 million to complete the installation of a high-energy transmission x-ray system at the Port of Charleston’s Project SEAHAWK. The funding included in this legislation will make Charleston the only port in the U.S. with a mobile “backscatter” x-ray system that allows screeners to see photo-like images of a container’s contents. Appropriation follows $8 million provided for this project in FY05 and continues the successful port security partnership at Charleston’s Project SEAHAWK.

Requesting Member: Congressman HENRY E. BROWN, Jr.
Legal Name of Requesting Entity: Charleston Air Force Base, United States Air Force.
Address of Requesting Entity: Charleston Air Force Base, South Carolina, 29404.
Description of Project: Provide $4.5 million for construction of an addition to C–17 flight simulator complex at Charleston AFB. This addition, which was included in the Administration’s Fiscal Year 2009 Budget Request, will allow the facility to accommodate a new six-axis flight simulator and loadmaster trainer with space for computers, briefing rooms, component and facility storage and other needed space. Training needs of the aircrews have exceeded the capabilities of the existing three simulators. If this new simulator space is not provided, established training goals will not be achieved at an airbase that currently houses two wings of C17s currently meeting the needs of our troops deployed abroad.
Tuesday, September 30, 2008

Daily Digest

Senate

Chamber Action

**Routine Proceedings, pages S10115–S10188**

**Measures Introduced:** Four bills and six resolutions were introduced, as follows: S. 3655–3658, and S. Res. 695–700.

**Measures Passed:**

- **Honor Flight Network:** Senate agreed to S. Res. 695, commending the Honor Flight Network and its volunteers and donors for making it possible for World War II veterans to travel to the Nation’s capital to visit the World War II Memorial created in their honor.

- **National Youth Court Month:** Senate agreed to S. Res. 696, designating September 2008 as “National Youth Court Month”.

- **National Cyber Security Awareness Month:** Senate agreed to S. Res. 697, supporting the goals and ideals of National Cyber Security Awareness Month and raising awareness and enhancing the state of computer security in the United States.

- **National Mammography Day:** Senate agreed to S. Res. 698, designating October 17, 2008, as “National Mammography Day”.

- **Fire Prevention Week:** Senate agreed to S. Res. 699, supporting the work of firefighters to educate and protect the Nation’s communities, and the goals and ideals of Fire Prevention Week, October 5–11, 2008, as designated by the National Fire Protection Association.

- **United States Olympic Swimming Team:** Senate agreed to S. Res. 700, congratulating Michael Phelps and the members and coaches of the United States Olympic Swimming Team for their record-breaking performance at the 2008 Summer Olympic Games in Beijing, China.

- **First Lieutenant Noah Harris Ellijay Post Office Building:** Senate passed H.R. 6847, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 801 Industrial Boulevard in Ellijay, Georgia, as the “First Lieutenant Noah Harris Ellijay Post Office Building”, clearing the measure for the President.

- **Lance Corporal Eric Paul Valdepenas Post Office Building:** Senate passed H.R. 6874, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 156 Taunton Avenue in Seekonk, Massachusetts, as the “Lance Corporal Eric Paul Valdepenas Post Office Building”, clearing the measure for the President.

- **Jacob M. Lowell Post Office Building:** Senate passed H.R. 6681, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 300 Vine Street in New Lenox, Illinois, as the “Jacob M. Lowell Post Office Building”, clearing the measure for the President.

- **Army SPC Daniel Agami Post Office Building:** Senate passed H.R. 6338, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 4233 West Hillsboro Boulevard in Coconut Creek, Florida, as the “Army SPC Daniel Agami Post Office Building”, clearing the measure for the President.

- **Mayor William ‘Bill’ Sandberg Post Office Building:** Senate passed H.R. 6229, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 2523 7th Avenue East in North Saint Paul, Minnesota, as the “Mayor William ‘Bill’ Sandberg Post Office Building”, clearing the measure for the President.

- **Kenneth Peter Zebrowski Post Office Building:** Senate passed H.R. 6199, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 245 North Main Street in New York, New York, as the “Kenneth Peter Zebrowski Post Office Building”, clearing the measure for the President.
Barter Theatre 75th Anniversary: Senate agreed to H. Con. Res. 416, commending Barter Theatre on the occasion of its 75th anniversary.  

“Celebrate Safe Communities” Week: Committee on the Judiciary was discharged from further consideration of S. Res. 662, raising the awareness of the need for crime prevention in communities across the country and designating the week of October 2, 2008, through October 4, 2008, as “Celebrate Safe Communities” week, and the resolution was then agreed to.

English Language Training Programs: Senate passed S. 3658, to require the accreditation of English language training programs.  

Michael A. Marzano Department of Veterans Affairs Outpatient Clinic: Senate passed H.R. 1594, to designate the Department of Veterans Affairs Outpatient Clinic in Hermitage, Pennsylvania, as the Michael A. Marzano Department of Veterans Affairs Outpatient Clinic, clearing the measure for the President.

Coastal Barrier Resources System Clam Pass Unit FL–64P: Committee on Environment and Public Works was discharged from further consideration of H.R. 1714, to clarify the boundaries of Coastal Barrier Resources System Clam Pass Unit FL–64P, and the bill was then passed, clearing the measure for the President.

Code Talkers Recognition Act: Senate passed H.R. 4544, to require the issuance of medals to recognize the dedication and valor of Native American code talkers, clearing the measure for the President.

Bulletproof Vest Partnership Grant Act: Senate passed H.R. 6045, to amend title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 to extend the authorization of the Bulletproof Vest Partnership Grant Program through fiscal year 2012, clearing the measure for the President.

Federal Employees Receipt of Electronic Pay Stubs: Senate passed H.R. 6073, to provide that Federal employees receiving their pay by electronic funds transfer shall be given the option of receiving their pay stubs electronically, clearing the measure for the President.

State and Local Prosecutors National Training Program: Committee on the Judiciary was discharged from further consideration of H.R. 6083, to authorize funding to conduct a national training program for State and local prosecutors, and the bill was then passed, clearing the measure for the President.

Ryan Haight Online Pharmacy Consumer Protection Act: Senate passed H.R. 6533, to amend the Controlled Substances Act to address online pharmacies, clearing the measure for the President.

GSA and Parcels of Real Property: Senate passed H.R. 6524, to authorize the Administrator of General Services to take certain actions with respect to parcels of real property located in Eastlake, Ohio, and Koochiching County, Minnesota, clearing the measure for the President.

Vessel Hull Design Protection Amendments: Senate passed H.R. 6531, to amend chapter 13 of title 17, United States Code (relating to the vessel hull design protection), to clarify the definitions of a hull and a deck, clearing the measure for the President.

Sound Recordings By Webcasters: Senate passed H.R. 7084, to amend section 114 of title 17, United States Code, to provide for agreements for the reproduction and performance of sound recordings by webcasters, clearing the measure for the President.

National Guard and Reservists Debt Relief Act: Senate passed S. 3197, to amend title 11, United States Code, to exempt for a limited period, from the application of the means-test presumption of abuse under chapter 7, qualifying members of reserve components of the Armed Forces and members of the National Guard who, after September 11, 2001, are called to active duty or to perform a homeland defense activity for not less than 90 days, after agreeing to the committee amendment.

Measures Considered:

Federal Railroad Safety Improvement Act: Senate continued consideration of the motion to concur in the amendment of the House of Representatives to the amendment of the Senate to H.R. 2095, to amend title 49, United States Code, to provide for the authorization of the Federal Railroad Safety Improvement Act: Senate passed H.R. 6353, to amend the Controlled Substances Act to address online pharmacies, clearing the measure for the President.

Pending:

Reid Motion to Concur in the amendment of the House of Representatives to the amendment of the Senate to the bill.

Reid Amendment No. 5677 (to the motion to concur in the amendment of the House of Representatives to the amendment of the Senate to the bill), to establish the enactment date.

Reid Amendment No. 5678 (to Amendment No. 5677), of a perfecting nature.
A unanimous-consent agreement was reached providing that at 7 p.m. on Wednesday, October 1, 2008, the Senate continue consideration of the motion to concur in the amendment of the House of Representatives to the amendment of the Senate to the bill and that all post-cloture time be yielded back; provided further, that the time until 7:30 p.m. be equally divided and controlled between the two Leaders or their designees and that at 7:30 p.m., the motion to concur with an amendment be withdrawn, and Senate vote on the motion to concur provided further, that on Wednesday, October 1, 2008, there be 2 minutes of debate equally divided prior to each vote; and that after the first vote of any sequence, the succeeding votes be 10 minutes in duration; and that no motion to refer be in order during the pendency of this message.

House Messages:

Keeping the Internet Devoid of Sexual Predators Act: Senate concurred in the amendment of the House of Representatives to S. 451, to require convicted sex offenders to register online identifiers, clearing the measure for the President.

Pages S10182–83

Broadband Date Improvement Act: Senate concurred in the amendments of the House of Representatives to S. 1492, to improve the quality of federal and state data regarding the availability and quality of broadband services and to promote the deployment of affordable broadband services to all parts of the Nation, clearing the measure for the President.

Page S10188

Appointments:

Commission on the Abolition of the Transatlantic Slave Trade: The Chair, on behalf of the Minority Leader, pursuant to Public Law 110–183, announced the appointment of the following individual as a member of the Commission on the Abolition of the Transatlantic Slave Trade: Rainier Spencer of Nevada.
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Advisory Committee on Student Financial Assistance: The Chair, on behalf of the President pro tempore, pursuant to Public Law 99–498, as amended by Public Law 110–315, appointed the following individual to the Advisory Committee on Student Financial Assistance: Norman Bedford of Nevada.

Page S10186

United States—India Nuclear Cooperation Approval and Nonproliferation Enhancement Act—Agreement: A unanimous-consent-time agreement was reached providing that at approximately 10:00 a.m. on Wednesday, October 1, 2008, Senate begin consideration of H.R. 7081, to approve the United States-India Agreement for Cooperation on Peaceful Uses of Nuclear Energy, and that there be 60 minutes of general debate on the bill, with the time equally divided and controlled between the Leaders, or their designees; that the only first-degree amendments in order be those listed in this agreement, with no other amendments in order, and debate time limited on each amendment to 60 minutes, with the time equally divided and controlled in the usual form; provided further, that an additional debate time of 15 minutes each be provided to Senators Feingold and Harkin: Dorgan amendment relative to clarifying policy in event of Indian test, Bingaman amendment relative to reporting requirement in event of Indian test, and that the amendments in this agreement be subject to an affirmative 60-vote threshold and that if the amendment achieves that threshold then it be agreed to; that if the amendment does not achieve affirmative 60-vote threshold, then it be withdrawn; that upon the use or yielding back of time with respect to each amendment, Senate vote in relation to the amendment; that upon disposition of all amendments, the use or yielding back of general debate time, the bill be read a third time, and without further intervening action or debate, Senate vote on passage of the bill, as amended, if amended; provided further that passage of the bill requires 60 votes.

Page S10187

Paul Wellstone Mental Health and Addiction Equity Act—Agreement: A unanimous-consent-time agreement was reached providing that on Wednesday, October 1, 2008, following the debate with respect to H.R. 7081, United States-India Nuclear Cooperation Approval and Nonproliferation Enhancement Act, Senate begin consideration of H.R. 1424, to amend section 712 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, section 2705 of the Public Health Service Act, section 9812 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to require equity in the provision of mental health and substance-related disorder benefits under group health plans, to prohibit discrimination on the basis of genetic information with respect to health insurance and employment; that once the bill is reported, the amendment of the Senator Dodd be considered, only if both Leaders are in concurrence with the provisions of the Dodd amendment and have so notified the Chair; provided further, that there be 90 minutes of general debate on the Dodd amendment, with the time equally divided and controlled between the Leaders or their designees; that upon the use or yielding back of this time, the Dodd amendment be set aside; provided further, that the only other amendment in order be the amendment of the Senator Sanders relative to a tax on high income individuals; that there be 60 minutes of debate with respect to the Sanders
amendment, with the time equally divided and controlled in the usual form; that upon the use or yielding back of all time with respect to the bill and amendments, the measure be set aside to recur upon the disposition of H.R. 7081; provided further, that with respect to the disposition of the amendments to H.R. 1424, the first vote occur with respect to the Sanders amendment; provided, that upon the disposition of the Sanders amendment, Senate consider the Dodd amendment, and that upon the disposition of that amendment, the bill, as amended, if amended, and Senate vote on passage of the bill, with the above occurring without further intervening action or debate; provided, that the Dodd amendment and the bill both be subject to a affirmative 60-vote threshold; provided further, that on Wednesday, October 1, 2008, there be 2 minutes of debate equally divided prior to each vote; and that after the first vote of any sequence, the succeeding votes be 10 minutes in duration.

Nomination Received: Senate received the following nomination:

Robert W. McGowan, of Nevada, to be a Governor of the United States Postal Service for a term expiring December 8, 2015.

Committee Meetings

(Committees not listed did not meet)

No committee meetings were held.
Next Meeting of the SENATE
10 a.m., Wednesday, October 1

Next Meeting of the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
12 p.m., Thursday, October 2

Senate Chamber

Program for Wednesday: Senate will begin consideration of H.R. 7081, United-States—India Nuclear Cooperation Approval and Nonproliferation Enhancement Act, and after a period of debate, consider certain amendments, and vote on passage of the bill.

(Senate will recess from 12:30 p.m. until 2:15 p.m. for their respective party conferences.)

House Chamber

Program for Thursday: To be announced.
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