open, people return. When schools open, business returns, and life begins to return to normal.

In the coming days and weeks, we must act expeditiously to provide the help that the entire Gulf coast community needs from its schools. If they get what they need, I am confident they will come back, stronger than ever before. Let’s get it done.

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I want to take a moment, on behalf of myself, my family and Americans across this country, to express our heartfelt gratitude for the outpouring of generosity by more than 90 countries and international organizations that have offered assistance to the recovery efforts after Hurricane Katrina.

The United States is coping with devastation and destruction on a scale not seen in our lifetimes. It has been shocking and sobering to see how woefully unprepared our Government was to help the victims of this disaster. The chaos that has ensued has also raised concerns about the adequacy of our preparedness to respond to terrorist attacks, despite the many billions of dollars the Department of Homeland Security has spent since 9/11.

Yet there is silver lining in such a disaster: it is that it unites Americans and it unites the world in the common cause of alleviating suffering. A massive relief effort is underway, albeit belatedly, involving everyone from the Coast Guard to the Red Cross to private citizens who are rescuing people who were stranded and caring for those who lost their homes and possessions. Organizations such as the United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs, the World Food Program, World Health Organization, UNICEF and the U.N. High Commissioner for Refugees have all contributed personnel and resources to help in the recovery. Canadian search and rescue teams from as far away as British Columbia were on the ground in some Louisiana communities as early as August 31, even before FEMA had mobilized American relief workers.

It is not often that we, the world’s only superpower, find ourselves on the receiving end of foreign aid. It is almost always the other way around, when Americans respond, as we do continuously, to the needs of people suffering from famine, conflict and natural disasters overseas. It is notable that our own disaster relief specialists and international organizations that require a voltage not readily available in this country. There are also problems of limited access to airfields and ground transportation, and the necessary personnel to handle and distribute. But these obstacles should have been anticipated. Bureaucratic backlogs and bungling have kept foreign relief planes grounded for days, medical personnel on standby, and tons of food and water required to reach the desperate people of Louisiana and Mississippi.

The sluggish response has left foreign governments frustrated and baffled. I think it needs to be emphasized how much they have liked our generous offers of help. It is unfortunate that our government was so unprepared to receive this aid in a timely manner, when lives could have been saved and suffering alleviated. Let us hope that the bottlenecks are being removed so the State Department, the Department of Homeland Security, and particularly FEMA, can speed the delivery of aid from our friends around the world without further delay.

LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT ENHANCEMENT ACT OF 2005

Mr. SMITH. Mr. President, I rise today to speak about the need for hate crimes legislation. Each Congress, Senator KENNEDY and I introduce hate crimes legislation that would add new categories to current hate crimes law, sending a signal that violence of any kind is unacceptable in our society. Like other senators, I have come to believe that the floor to highlight a separate hate crime that has occurred in our country.

On February 27, 2004, James Maestas and Joshua Stockham received extensive physical injuries by a group of men after a confrontation at a restaurant in Santa Fe, NM. The apparent motivation for the beating was that they were a gay couple. Maestas, who spent more than a week in intensive care, had to undergo extensive physical and speech therapy, according to the district attorney.

I believe that the Government’s first duty is to defend its citizens, to defend them against the harms that are born out of hate. The Local Law Enforcement Enhancement Act is a symbol that can become substance. I believe that by passing this legislation and changing current law, we can change hearts and minds as well.

BROAD SUPPORT FOR RESPONSIBLE GUN STORAGE

Mr. LEVIN. Mr. President, according to a report last year by the Brady Campaign to Prevent Gun Violence, teenagers and children are involved in more than 10,000 accidental shootings in which close to 800 people die each year. Further, about 1,500 children age 14 and under are treated in hospital emergency rooms for unintentional firearm injuries per year. About 38 percent of them have injuries severe enough to require hospitalization. We can do more to reduce the number of these tragedies, the vast majority of which could be prevented by safer storage techniques were more widely practiced.

A study released Tuesday by researchers from the Center for Disease Control and Prevention, reportedly the largest of its kind ever carried out, analyzed the prevalence of firearms in homes and the storage practices of gun owners. Researchers analyzed survey results from more than 230,000 randomly selected households in all 50 States and the District of Colombia. While the rates of gun ownership and the storage practices vary widely from State to State, the CDC researchers found that nearly a third of households in the U.S. have firearms. According to the study, in 18 different States more than 10 percent of households contain loaded firearms. In one State, the number is higher than 19 percent. In addition, in 23 different States more than 5 percent of households keep firearms unlocked and loaded. The most startling statistic researchers found is that 1.69 million children in the U.S. live in households where firearms are kept unlocked and loaded.

Statistics like these should give us pause, especially when we consider the impact that safe gun storage practices can have on preventing accidental shootings and suicides by kids using guns. A study published recently in the Journal of the American Medical Association found that the risk of unintentional shooting or suicide among minors using a gun is reduced by as much as 61 percent when ammunition in the home is locked up. Simply storing ammunition separately from the gun reduces such occurrences by more than 50 percent.

Recently, I joined with 69 of my colleagues in voting for an amendment offered by Senator KOHL that would require licensed dealers, manufacturers, and importers to include a safe gun storage or gun safety device with every handgun they sell. Hopefully Senator KOHL’s amendment will become law.

We can do more to help stop accidents involving children and guns. I
urge my colleagues to join me in supporting common sense gun storage requirements so that fewer families will have to endure the pain of losing a child or loved one because of a preventable tragedy involving a firearm.

THE WINDFALL PROFITS REBATE ACT OF 2005

Mr. DORGAN. Mr. President, yesterday I introduced legislation called the Windfall Profits Rebate Act of 2005 that attempts to address the spiraling cost of oil and gasoline. Let me explain why I introduced this measure.

I come from a State of 622,000 citizens spread out in a landmass 10 times the size of Massachusetts.

As a result of being a large Northern Great Plains State with a small population and being a predominately agricultural State, we use a substantial amount of energy, specifically gasoline.

In fact, North Dakota uses twice as much gasoline per capita than New York does. Though these price spikes hurt all Americans, they are especially devastating to citizens who live in rural States.

Let me say first that the tragedy that we are witnessing on the Gulf Coast is devastating. The effects of Hurricane Katrina will be felt by the people of Louisiana, Mississippi and Alabama for a long time to come and my prayers go out to them in this time of need. The people in the gulf region have lost so much.

It is also the case that the hurricane has affected America’s energy supply in the short term. A number of refineries were shut down. A couple of major pipelines were unable to operate and there has been and will be an impact on our energy supply and marketing as a result of this hurricane.

But it important to understand that the price spikes that we have seen with the price of oil and gasoline cannot be blamed exclusively on this hurricane.

We were headed down this road long before Hurricane Katrina hit.

In fact, since the beginning of 2004, we have seen the price of oil double from $35 a barrel to nearly $70 a barrel and that has resulted in consumers having to pay more than $3 a gallon for gasoline in many parts of the country.

It is important to point out that at $35 a barrel the energy industry was making record profits. Now the oil industry claims that these higher prices are a result of supply-and-demand issues and that they need these additional profits for exploration.

However, I believe that the extra $30 per barrel that is tacked on to a barrel of oil these days represents a windfall or excess profit to the major integrated oil companies, and I propose that we recapture part of that with an excise tax and rebate it to consumers who are paying these higher gas prices.

Here are some numbers to remember: We use 21 million barrels of oil a day in this country. Forty percent of that comes from domestically produced oil. The major integrated oil companies are larger and have more economic clout than they have had previously. They are experiencing the $30-a-barrel increased price above the $35 price that existed in January 2004, and that means they are reaping $7 billion a month in windfall or excess profits. That is $80 billion a year in excess or windfall profits. That is being paid by consumers who drive up to the service station and now receive the sticker shock of paying an arm and a leg for a tank full of gas.

A friend of mine gassed up his car and his son’s car with 15 gallons in each car the other day and paid $103.00 at the gas pump.

In addition to the windfall profits being gathered by the major integrated oil companies in our country, it is also the case that 60 percent of that which we use comes from off our shores. That increased revenue is sharing from American consumers to the Saudis, Kuwaitis, and others. There is not much we can do about that in the short term except to implement policies that try to wean us away from the dependency on foreign oil.

However, with respect to domestic companies and domestic production and domestic pricing, we can do something.

My legislation calls for a 50-percent excise tax on crude oil profits over $40 a barrel when those prices are earned by the largest oil companies with the most ability to control the overall price. These are the companies that, in many cases, move oil from the ground to gas pumps and control all of the processes in between. The revenue that would be collected from this excise tax would be used to provide a rebate to American consumers to help them offset the burden of higher energy costs that they are now forced to pay.

But my legislation also has a very important exemption. If the oil company is using the revenue from the extra $30 a barrel receiving to increase exploration, or make investments to search for additional sources of energy, or to increase refining capacity, or to pursue investments in other renewable forms of energy, then that money would be exempt from this windfall profits tax.

In other words, these large companies would decide themselves whether they pay this tax. If they are backing the continued search for additional sources of energy, in which to engage in mergers and acquisitions, then they would be paying the excise tax and the consumers would be receiving the benefit of that excise tax. I am not trying to hurt the oil companies per se, I just want additional robust domestic exploration that would be exempt from the windfall profits tax.

However, American consumers should not pay these inflated prices just to fatten the corporate treasuries of the major integrated oil companies.

The substantial rise in the price of oil and gas has produced both pain and gain. The pain is for American consumers and the gain has been for the major integrated oil companies and OPEC countries, and I think Congress ought to weigh in on behalf of American consumers. That is what my bill attempts to do.

And with the exemption for investment in exploration, it does not attempt to help consumers by punishing oil companies.

I hope Congress will immediately consider adopting this measure and provide some help to American consumers at a time when gasoline prices are providing sticker shock every single day.

INQUIRY ON GASOLINE PRICES

Mr. NELSON of Nebraska. Mr. President, I appreciate this opportunity to speak about S. 1610, a bill requiring the Federal Trade Commission to conduct an inquiry into the retail price of gasoline. I thank my colleagues, Senators FRIST and HARRY REID, for their leadership and Senators LANDRIEU and VITTER and so many others for their efforts in working through this time of unprecedented destruction and human suffering.

I am here today to lend my support for giving U.S. gasoline consumers a more thorough understanding of the pricing mechanisms that are at play at the pump. The legislation I propose calls for the Federal Trade Commission to conduct an immediate study into whether rising gas prices are the result of a struggling market or whether market manipulation or a form of gouging is taking place during this time of tragedy.

Even before Hurricane Katrina, the Consumer Federation of America cited several reasons for the dramatic increase in gasoline prices, including tight crude oil inventories, inadequate oil refinery capacity, lack of competition and the oil industry’s increasing market power. These are all plausible reasons for price spikes, but they are not enough to stop the questions of people concerned with their tighter budgets.

Between Nebraska and Iowa, more than 500 complaints have been made to State officials about high prices. Officials in both States indicate they have seen evidence of market manipulation by retailers. Retailers are not singled out as the focus of this bill.

My bill calls for a 2-week study on the root of rising gasoline prices, including both regular gasoline and ethanol-blended gasoline. The report would then be forwarded to Congress for further action. If the report determines no market manipulation is occurring, the FTC would notify the U.S. Secretary of Energy, who would use the information to determine whether the current price levels should need to be tapped for additional oil.

But if manipulation is found, we will have the opportunity to act quickly.