very new and very capable leader of the NCTC that hopefully will tell me I am wrong, that they can produce this kind of capability to understand a threat group like al Qaeda.

I rise tonight, Mr. Speaker, to raise the importance of intelligence collaboration. We can never allow ourselves to return back to the days prior to 9/11, to the days where individual agencies or individual agencies that think that they have all of the answers in providing security for our country and intelligence agencies for our policymakers. Mr. Speaker, we can never return to the days of 1999 and 2000, and I hope this is not the case today, but back in those days where the agency bureaucrats were fighting with each other over who would take credit for the best information. Let me read a couple of excerpts, Mr. Speaker.

Back in 1999, when I was pushing the CIA to establish this collaborative capability and our military was actually using that capability, focusing on emerging threats like al Qaeda, this conversation went back and forth, Mr. Speaker, September 1999. This is, by the way, written from military intelligence officers, a summary of notes to me.

At the military’s inception, the CIA drags its feet and limits its support to the effort. In an off-the-record conversation between the DCI and the CIA representative to this military unit, a man that I will call Dave and our military intelligence officer explains that even though he understands the military’s effort is against the global infrastructure of al Qaeda, he tells me that the CIA will, and I quote, never provide the best information on al Qaeda, end quote. Why would they not do that? Because of the effort that they were taking as part of a finding they had on bin Laden himself and if the military’s project was successful it would, quote, steal their thunder. Steal the CIA’s thunder. That is our job, not your job.

Never again, Mr. Speaker, can we allow agency bureaucrats to argue over who is going to get the credit for solving the next attack or planned attack against us. I do not rise tonight, Mr. Speaker, to embarrass anyone. I rise tonight because of my own frustration. We knew 6 years ago that direction we had to go. The agency said we do not need that, Congressman, we know better than the Congress. Trust us.

Thank goodness President Bush put that system in place when he took office. If we had had that system in 1999 and 2000, which the military had already developed as a prototype, and if we had followed the lead of the military entity that identified the al Qaeda cell of Mohamed Atta, then perhaps, Mr. Speaker, 9/11 would never have occurred. Certainly, taking out the Mohamed Atta cell and two of the terrorists that were with him, would have had a profound positive impact in shutting down the major plan against us that moved forward on September 11, 2001.

Mr. Speaker, I have placed these documents in the RECORD because I want our colleagues to have a chance to read them. I want our colleagues to see the facts and the information, and I want to support the gentleman from California (Mr. HUNTER) and the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. HOEKSTRA) as they move forward with an investigation.

We have to ask the question, why did the 9/11 Commission not investigate this entire situation? Why did the 9/11 Commission not ask the question about the military’s recommendation against the Mohamed Atta cell? Why did the 9/11 Commission not document the internal battles and disputes between agency personnel going after the same terrorist organization al Qaeda?

If we are truly going to have an understanding of the need to reform our intelligence system, then we have to be honest with the American people about the past.

Mr. Speaker, I rise tonight because I am very troubled by what I have seen and by what I have heard. I have interviewed and talked to some very brave military intelligence officers who, back in 1999 and 2000, were involved in protecting America. They knew what we needed, and they were trying to do it. As I have read to you, there were some in other agencies, especially the CIA and some in DIA, who were saying you cannot do that, that is not your area. That is our area. You cannot steal our thunder. That is our job.

The Deputy Chief of Staff said, well, we don’t want to go down that direction, focusing on emerging threats like al Qaeda, that you have to answer the question, why did they not want to go down that direction? Where will that lead us? Why do we not want to see the answers to the questions I have raised tonight? Who made the decision to tell our military not to pursue Mohamed Atta? Who made the decision that said that we are fearful of the fallout from Waco political correctness?

Were those decisions made by lawyers? Were they made by policymakers? Who within the administration in 2000 was responsible for those actions? This body and the American people need to know.
There was no objection.
Is a separate vote demanded on any amendment? If not, the Chair will put them en gros.
The amendments were agreed to.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question of ordering the engrossment and third reading of the bill.
The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:
Mr. ABERCROMBIE (at the request of Ms. PELOSI) for today on account of personal business.
Mr. BISHOP of New York (at the request of Ms. PELOSI) for today on account of personal business.
Mr. BOYD (at the request of Ms. PELOSI) for June 22 and the balance of that week on account of medical reasons.
Mr. CARSON (at the request of Ms. PELOSI) for today on account of business in the district.
Mrs. JONES of Ohio (at the request of Ms. PELOSI) for today on account of personal business.
Mr. HICKS (at the request of Ms. PELOSI) for today on account of official business.
Ms. KILPATRICK of Michigan (at the request of Ms. PELOSI) for today.
Mr. MICHAUD (at the request of Ms. PELOSI) for today and June 28 on account of official business.
Mr. RAHALL (at the request of Ms. PELOSI) for today on account of official business.
Mr. ROSS (at the request of Ms. PELOSI) for today on account of personal business.
Mr. ISTOOK (at the request of Mr. DELAY) for today on account of official business in New York City.
Mr. MCHUGH (at the request of Mr. DELAY) for today and June 28 on account of official business in his district.
Mr. MCKEON (at the request of Mr. DELAY) for today on account of travel logistics.
Mr. WALDEN of Oregon (at the request of Mr. DELAY) for today on account of family business.

SPECIAL ORDERS GRANTED

By unanimous consent, permission to address the House, following the legislative program and any special orders heretofore entered, was granted to:
(The following Members (at the request of Mr. SCHIFF) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material)
Mr. DeFAZIO, for 5 minutes, today.
Mrs. MccARTHY, for 5 minutes, today.
Ms. WOOLSEY, for 5 minutes, today.
Mr. Brown of Ohio, for 5 minutes, today.
Mr. SCHIFF, for 5 minutes, today.
Mr. Berman, for 5 minutes, today.
Ms. Watson, for 5 minutes, today.
Ms. Linda T. SANCHEZ of California, for 5 minutes, today.
Ms. WATERS, for 5 minutes, today. (The following Members (at the request of Mr. GUTENREICH) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material)
Mr. Moran of Kansas, for 5 minutes, June 29.
Mr. Franks of Arizona, for 5 minutes, June 29 and 30.
Mr. Jones of North Carolina, for 5 minutes, June 28, 29, and 30.
Mr. Price of Georgia, for 5 minutes, today.
Ms. Foxx, for 5 minutes, June 28.
Mr. Gohmert, for 5 minutes, today.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. WELDON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.
The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 11 o’clock and 54 minutes m.), under the previous order, the House adjourned until tomorrow, Tuesday, June 28, 2005, at 9 a.m., for morning hour debates.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 8 of rule XII, executive communications were taken from the Speaker’s table and referred as follows:

2466. A letter from the Acting Assistant Secretary for Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting notification of an Accountability Review Board to examine the facts and the circumstances of the loss of life at a U.S. mission aboard and to report and make recommendations at a U.S. mission abroad, pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 4831 et. seq., to the Committee on International Relations.

2467. A letter from the Director, U.S. Census Bureau, Department of Commerce, transmitting the final rule — Requirements for Reporting the Kimberley Process Certificate Number for Exports and Reexportations of Certain Artifacts (RIN: 0607- AA44) received May 19, 2005, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on International Relations.

2468. A letter from the Acting Assistant Secretary for Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting pursuant to section 36(c) and (d) of the Arms Export Control Act, certification regarding the proposed transfer of major defense equipment to the Government of Japan (Transmittal No. DFDC 022-35); to the Committee on International Relations.

2469. A letter from the Acting Assistant Secretary for Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting pursuant to section 36(c) of the Arms Export Control Act, certification regarding the proposed license for the export of defense articles or defense services from the Government of Japan (Transmittal No. DFDC 08-65); to the Committee on International Relations.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Mr. Lincoln Diaz-Balart of Florida: Committee on Rules. House Resolution 341. Resolution providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 3057) making appropriations for foreign operations, export financing, and related programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2006, and for other purposes (Rept. 109-158). Referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. Lincoln Diaz-Balart of Florida: Committee on Rules. House Resolution 342. Resolution providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 3058) making appropriations for the Departments of Transportation, Treasury, and Housing and Urban Development, the Judiciary, District of Columbia, and independent agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2006, and for other purposes (Rept. 109-156). Referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. Borello: Committee on Science. H. 426. A bill to encourage the development and integrated use by the public and private sectors of remote sensing and other spatial information for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 109-157). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union.

Mr. Borello: Committee on Science. H. 1022. A bill to provide for a Near-Earth Object Survey program to detect, track, catalogue, and characterize certain near-earth asteroids and comets (Rept. 109-158). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XII, public bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred, as follows:

By Mr. Calvert (for himself and Mr. Borello):
H. R. 3070. A bill to reauthorize the human space flight, aeronautics, and science programs of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Science.

By Mr. Ney (for himself and Ms. Millender-McDonald):
H. R. 3071. A bill to permit the individuals currently serving as Executive Director, Deputy Executive Directors, and General Counsel of the Office of the Deputy Executive Directors and one additional term; to the Committee on House Administration.

By Mr. Davis of Illinois:
H. R. 3072. A bill to revive the system of parole for Federal prisoners, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. Lewis of Kentucky:
H. R. 3073. A bill to allow Congress to reverse the judgments of the United States Supreme Court; to the Committee on the Judiciary, and in addition to the Committee on Rules, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. Paul:
H. R. 3074. A bill to ensure and foster continued patient safety and quality of care by exempting health care professionals from the Federal antitrust laws in their negotiations with health plans and health insurance issuers; to the Committee on the Judiciary.