

other body and whoever sits as our great President, whether it be President Clinton or President Bush, have found the ability and a way to work with the leadership of both bodies. That is part of what this experiment is about.

We have great confidence that the American people, who are the special interests to each and every one of us, the special interests and the needs of farmers and the needs of Americans, will be heard by our President, by each Member of the Senate and this body; and that is why we are moving this legislation forward.

I do not think that we would ask someone ahead of time what they are going to do with that, but rather to allow them the chance to debate and work through the changes. Compromise happens all of the time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, reclaiming my time, I guess that answer means, no, we do not have assurance from the other body that they will move on this; and, no, we cannot give assurances to the family farmers who are watching us here today that in fact the relief that they seek will be enacted anytime soon.

My follow-up question will be if the gentleman gets his way and his leadership gets its way and this bill moves forward with the House-passed bankruptcy reform bill attached to it, it goes over to the other body and they decide to filibuster it, is there agreement on how long we are going to wait until we help our family farmers, or will this go on indefinitely?

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. MCGOVERN. I yield to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. SESSIONS. The American people will have a lot to say about that as they talk with Members of the other body; and based upon that wisdom and as a result of what the leadership does, we will catch a good signal. We believe it will be on their agenda, and we are proud of what we are doing.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for his response; but it is not satisfactory, not only to those of us on this side of the aisle, but to those who may be watching this who are hopeful that we will actually do something of substance and that we will help family farmers looking for relief.

Mr. Speaker, the problem here is that we have an opportunity to do something good, to actually help some people; and we are turning this into political theatrics. I think that is unfortunate. I oppose the rule.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I appreciate what the gentleman is saying. I appreciate that he wants to know what the agreements are between the bodies as they work together. I respect that, but I would say to the gentleman that I respect more the 315

votes from this body that chose to speak on the subject the last time we voted.

Perhaps it is true there are some frustrations that come about as a result of the business which we engage in. Certainly there are frustrations that 315 people, time after time after time that vote for this important bill, are thwarted in the process; but I believe rather than becoming frustrated, it is up to us to think through how we will accomplish those things that are necessary, to retry, to renegotiate, to do those things that are dealing with negativism of, oh, it will never happen, to keep searching, and that is what the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER), the chairman of the Committee on the Judiciary, has done. He looked at a piece of legislation with 315 vote, and knew how important it was. Rather than accepting a defeatist mentality, he took the attitude he would be proactive and work on behalf of our special constituencies that all of us as Members of Congress have, the American people.

Mr. Speaker, 315 votes is a clear and simple overwhelming majority of this body. I am proud of what we are doing. Obviously, what we are trying to do here is to make sure that we pass this bill. Since 1986, this ad hoc approach which has talked about reauthorizing chapter 12 relief has allowed this relief for small farms to lapse six times. Today we are going to make it permanent. Today we are providing an answer. Today it is a change. I am proud of what we are doing. Our great chairman, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER), has not only worked diligently on behalf of farmers but also on behalf of consumers of this country. I think we will pass this bill. I think it is the right thing, and I welcome the opportunity to join the chairman down at the White House when our great President signs this legislation into law.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on S. 1920.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LAHOOD). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Wisconsin?

There was no objection.

BANKRUPTCY ABUSE PREVENTION AND CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT OF 2003

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SESSIONS). Pursuant to House Resolution

503 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the Senate bill, S. 1920.

□ 1343

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the Senate bill (S. 1920) to extend for 6 months the period for which chapter 12 of title 11 of the United States Code is reenacted, with Mr. LAHOOD in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the Senate bill.

The CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to the rule, the Senate bill is considered as having been read the first time.

Under the rule, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER) and the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WATT) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER).

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, the amendment in the nature of a substitute to S. 1920 made in order by the rule replaces the text of that bill with the text of H.R. 975, the bankruptcy bill passed by the House by an overwhelming bipartisan vote of 315-113 on March 19, 2003.

The administration has without qualification endorsed this legislation. Nevertheless, this bill has languished in the other body now for almost a year. The question that has been asked is, why are we engaged in what admittedly may appear to be a redundant undertaking? While the other body is often described as the saucer in which the coffee cools, H.R. 975 has become nearly frozen in that proverbial saucer.

□ 1345

Today I seek to reignite congressional consideration of bankruptcy reform.

Some of my colleagues may also ask, "Why now? What's the rush?" There are many answers. A major reason is that the current bankruptcy system is broken, and it gets worse every day that we fail to act. Bankruptcy filings continue to break record after record, straining the system's resources. The proliferation of bankruptcy filings is not just a temporary event, but part of a consistent upward trend. In 4 years, the number of bankruptcy filings has jumped by 150 percent to nearly 1.7 million cases as of fiscal year 2003.

Another reason has to do with the growing extent of fraud and abuse in the current bankruptcy system. Bankruptcy relief should be available to honest debtors, but current law allows, if not encourages, dishonest debtors to file abusive bankruptcies that overburden the system. According to the Justice Department, bankruptcy fraud and abuse is "serious and far-reaching."

While some debtors fraudulently conceal assets, others try to discharge debt despite their ability to repay their obligations. The current system is overburdened and ill equipped to aggressively detect and deter identity theft and other basic forms of bankruptcy fraud, let alone more creative schemes such as the so-called "credit card bust-outs." The Justice Department reports that debtors are obtaining credit cards despite having little or no income, incurring huge debts, paying those debts with worthless checks, and then filing for bankruptcy relief to discharge their massive liabilities. We need to give our law enforcement agencies and the judiciary the tools necessary to fight fraud and abuse in the bankruptcy system.

A third reason, I admit, has to do with money. According to some analyses, the increase in consumer bankruptcy filings has significant adverse financial consequences for our Nation's economy and the economic well-being of our citizens. For instance, it has been estimated that in 1997 alone, more than \$40 billion of debt was discharged as a result of bankruptcy cases. These losses, according to one estimate, translate into a \$400 annual "tax" on every household in our Nation in the form of higher prices and higher interest rates. For the sake of our family farmers, we ought to relieve them of this \$400 tax so that they can do a better job in producing food and fiber for our Nation's tables as well as for export.

More importantly, there are moral reasons for supporting the need for bankruptcy reform. The current system allows deadbeat parents to use bankruptcy to avoid their child support obligations. Likewise, it permits corporate criminals to use bankruptcy to shield their mansions from the claims of those whom they have defrauded.

Let me be perfectly clear. If this bill is voted down in the substitute amendment that has been made in order by the Committee on Rules, deadbeat parents will have a better opportunity to use bankruptcy to escape their court-ordered child support enforcement obligations. That means that the people who are opposing this move are giving these deadbeat parents a get-out-of-obligation-free card so that they can stiff their custodial former spouses. We plug that loophole.

Furthermore, this bill plugs the so-called "homestead exemption" that has allowed corporate criminals to be able to use bankruptcy to shield their assets and huge mansions in the States that have unlimited homestead exemptions from bankruptcy and leave employees in the lurch, employees that could use those assets to be able to allow them to find new jobs as a result of a corporation going bankrupt as a result of executive and management abuse.

Perhaps among the most important reasons to support bankruptcy reform

is that it will help some of the most needy and deserving members of our society. As the title of the bill indicates, these reforms are not just about preventing abuse, but they also provide long overdue consumer protections. For example, domestic support claimants will receive very much-needed, special protections under this legislation. These reforms will ensure that families with pensions and education IRAs will not have to use these assets to pay creditors. Those protections will not be there if this bill is voted down.

As part of their monthly credit card billing statements, consumers will be given more meaningful disclosures about the consequences of making minimum monthly payments. It will require the appointment of an ombudsman to serve as a watchdog for patients in health care facilities in bankruptcy. It more than doubles employee priority wage claims.

If this bill is voted down, those that vote "no" turn their back on all of these improvements. These are just a few examples of the many benefits that consumers will finally be able to enjoy once bankruptcy reforms are enacted.

I urge my colleagues to move forward with bankruptcy reform. This is a comprehensive bill. It is a good bill. It does not hurt the ability of somebody who is truly down and out to be able to file for bankruptcy and get their discharge and start anew. But what it will do is plug the loophole of those who wish to use the Bankruptcy Code as a financial planning tool, a financial planning tool that ends up stiffing every family that pays their bills on time and, as agreed upon, \$400 a year in a hidden tax. That is a hidden tax that the lack of bankruptcy reform has stuck on all of our constituents who ought to be our special interest.

I urge my colleagues to support the enactment of the amendment in the nature of a substitute to S. 1920.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. WATT. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, let me begin by offering my unequivocal support for S. 1920 that would provide for an extension of chapter 12 of the Bankruptcy Code which expired last December. That piece of legislation is noncontroversial and necessary to ensure that the farmers in our country have access to the bankruptcy protections they so earnestly deserve as they struggle to keep our food supply thriving and to maintain their farms.

As ranking member of the Subcommittee on Commercial and Administrative Law and a former conferee on H.R. 975, I continue to oppose the substance of H.R. 975 and further believe that the current maneuver to force the hand of the Senate is irresponsible and will only result in further delay in extending the family farmer protections everyone agrees should be extended.

The gentleman from Wisconsin's amendment tacks on to this otherwise

noncontroversial bill H.R. 975, the product of a conference on which I served last term minus the negotiated provision that would prevent those who commit acts of violence against women and abortion clinics from avoiding penalties by declaring bankruptcy. This bill did not pass last year, and I believe it will meet the same fate this year. Therefore, the only result will be that the family farmer will be held hostage to efforts to leverage support for the larger bankruptcy reform.

My opposition to H.R. 975 has not changed. I believe that the omnibus bankruptcy reform bill is an unfortunate convergence of expedience and politics. There obviously is abuse in the bankruptcy system and reform is necessary, but I continue to believe that H.R. 975 is not a rational way to respond to abuse to set up a separate set of rules for what is, in effect, a pauper's bankruptcy court system and a different set of rules for a higher income bankruptcy court system.

Mr. Chairman, I believe that we should stop playing games with the family farmer. Like the National Farmers Union, and I quote from their letter to the House leadership, I "reject this legislative strategy as an insensitive, cruel and malicious effort that will only serve to increase the level of distress of farm families who are already experiencing severe financial difficulties." I urge my colleagues to vote against this bill and for a process that will respect the plight of the farmers of this country.

In response to the comments of the gentleman from Wisconsin, let me submit to this body that the primary reason we have an increasing number of bankruptcies, although there may be some abuse and I do not argue with that, but the primary reason we are having an increase in the number of bankruptcies in this country is job loss and economics which is being driven by this administration.

Second, I want to know how many times the House has to beat itself on the chest on this issue and try to force this issue. We have got a bill that is already in conference, I thought, in the other body; and this bill, if the Senate wanted to take it up, would take it up. So what are we doing beating our chests again this year saying we support bankruptcy reform?

And finally, I would just submit that this is an effort to find someone to blame for the failure to pass the bankruptcy reform legislation. The last time I checked, the Republicans were in control of the House, the Republicans were in control of the Senate, the Republicans were in control of the Presidency. It would seem to me, if you are in control of this process and you want to pass the bankruptcy reform bill, you would pass the bankruptcy reform bill and we would not be here going through this charade, blaming it on somebody else for failure to pass this bill. It is a convenient way to blame others, but it is a terrible way to do business.

NATIONAL FARMERS UNION,
January 23, 2004.

Hon. DENNIS J. HASTERT,
Speaker, House of Representatives, Washington,
DC.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Democratic Leader, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SPEAKER HASTERT AND DEMOCRATIC LEADER PELOSI: On behalf of the family farmer and rancher members of the National Farmers Union I write to encourage the House of Representatives to immediately adopt the language contained in S. 1920 which passed the Senate late last year and extended the chapter 12 provisions of title 11 of the United States Code for an additional six months retroactive to January 1, 2004.

The Chapter 12 provisions, which allow the development of alternative financial reorganization plans for farmers and ranchers within the bankruptcy code, expired at the end of 2003 when the House failed to take action on the Senate bill even though these provisions have been considered non-controversial by both parties over the course of several years. Any delay in approving an extension of Chapter 12 places agricultural producers and their families who are faced with bankruptcy in a serious and untenable position.

We understand there are some in Congress who wish to utilize the extension of the agriculture provisions as a means to leverage support for a broader bankruptcy reform measure that contains highly controversial and divisive provisions unrelated to the farm bankruptcy law. We reject this legislative strategy as an insensitive, cruel and malicious effort that will only serve to increase the level of distress of farm families who are already experiencing severe financial difficulties.

Thank you for your attention to this important issue.

Sincerely,

DAVID J. FREDERICKSON,
President.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

There have been times when I have been the chairman of the committee where we have given the other body a choice. I seem to recall that in the last Congress the House passed two versions of the visa and border security bill. One contained provisions extending section 245(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act and one did not, and the Senate chose to take up the bill that did not contain section 245(i) and passed it. Both bills, I believe, were supported both by the gentleman from North Carolina and myself. So sometimes giving the other body a choice speeds things along, and that is what this bill proposes to do.

Mr. Chairman, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Tennessee (Mrs. BLACKBURN).

Mrs. BLACKBURN. Mr. Chairman, I would like to rise in support of the amendment and to commend the chairman of the Committee on the Judiciary for offering this important amendment.

As we have noted, last March this body did pass important bankruptcy reform; and that is very important to my folks in Tennessee, but unfortunately it has languished over on the Senate side. I have heard from credit

unions and banks in Tennessee. Their message is very clear. Bankruptcy is all too often used as the first resort instead of the last resort, and this makes it increasingly difficult for them to operate in a State where small business is our major employer. As the number of bankruptcy filings continues to rise, bankruptcy losses have a heavier impact upon those credit union members and on the banks who are fiscally responsible. What we have seen since 1998 when bankruptcies topped 1 million in their filings, they are up over 150 percent. We know the trend is continuing upward.

I do feel this amendment is a compassionate one. People who seek bankruptcy because of job loss, medical problems, divorce and other personal problems will be unaffected.

Mr. Chairman, it is time for us to move forward.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE CHAIRMAN

The CHAIRMAN. Members are reminded not to criticize the Senate.

□ 1400

Mr. WATT. Mr. Chairman, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. NADLER).

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Chairman, I rise to plead for our Nation's family farmers and family fishing operations. And some people may ask why the representative from Manhattan and Brooklyn is rising to plead for family farmers. When I was a child, we had a family farm which we lost to foreclosure because of policies similar to what the majority party is urging on us today. This is the 11th time we have been here to debate a temporary extension of chapter 12. To string farmers along, especially in these very hard times, is simply unconscionable; but this is even worse. Instead of passing this bill last year, the chapter 12 extension bill, when we could have sent it directly to the President, the majority refused to act and allow chapter 12 to sunset. Even now they refuse to act and instead are using family farmers again to try to pass an overall bankruptcy bill that is not going to pass again because the Senate will not go along with it; so they are just using it as a charade and putting at risk all the farmers. But a bill that should not pass anyway. A bill whose main and essentially only effect is to enable the big banks and the credit card companies to reach their hands into the pockets of low- and middle-income people who, because usually of either a divorce or being laid off from their jobs or health emergency, are in bankruptcy and at that time to enable the big banks and the credit card companies to put their hands into these low- and middle-income pockets and take more money out of it for the big banks and the credit card companies in 60 or 70 different ways. That is what this bill does. And this bill is a lot more important, the majority would have us believe, than extending chapter 12 for the benefits of family farmers and family fishing operators.

Even if we pass this bill as amended by putting on the entire bankruptcy reform bill, so-called, on the back of the chapter 12 extension, and even if the Senate agrees to allow the House to circumvent them entirely, family farmers would still have to sit and wait while Congress fiddles.

We do have another choice. We could reject this maneuver entirely and send the 6-month extension to the President today. We could adopt the gentlewoman from Wisconsin's (Ms. BALDWIN) substitute and enact a part of this bill that is both uncontroversial and necessary immediately to make chapter 12 permanent and update it to provide needed relief. But the Republican leadership appears unwilling to do either. They appear intent on using the plight of family farmers yet again to advance the agenda of the credit industry and to do so by threatening and hurting the family farmers by engaging in a legislative maneuver that has already resulted in chapter 12's expiring and that they know will now result in its being allowed to lapse further.

This is simply wrong. I urge my colleagues to reject this outrageous stunt. This bill has been on the verge of passing "any minute" since 1997. How much longer must our farmers and fishermen and women wait? They have waited long enough. I urge my colleagues to support the gentlewoman from Wisconsin and save our family farms and stop using the plight of the family farmers to try to put the entire agenda of the banks and the credit card companies on the backs of the family farmers. Pass a family farm bill; then bring in a bankruptcy bill. We will debate it on the merits or demerits of that, I would say the demerits; but stop trying to put that entire burden on the family farmers' backs because their backs are already broken.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chairman, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from Alabama (Mr. BACHUS).

Mr. BACHUS. Mr. Chairman, I thank the chairman for yielding me this time.

Mr. Chairman, I rise in strong support of this bill and would urge this body to adopt it. I would like to adopt the words of Edith Jones, who served on the Bankruptcy Commission and is on the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, when she said "bankruptcy reform legislation is essential to restoring integrity to personal and business bankruptcies, redressing the imbalances and opportunities for manipulation that plague current law, and encouraging individual responsibility in financial affairs." However, and I say this to the gentleman from Wisconsin (Chairman SENSENBRENNER), he has done an outstanding job on this legislation. It is very much a thankless job, and it is with some hesitancy that I rise simply to point out one provision that I share with Judge Jones when she says, however, "Section 414, in removing investment bankers from a rigorous standard of disinterestedness, is out of character

with the rest of this important legislation and should be eliminated."

Section 414 of the present legislation, I think, is a large snake. It is the proverbial fox in the henhouse. And what section 414 does is it eliminates the disinterested rule. That rule has existed in bankruptcy law for 66 years. Under current law, a person that advises the trustee must be "disinterested" in order to avoid conflicts of interest. Section 414 eliminates that exclusion. Consequently, section 414 would allow the same entities that may be engaged in negligence or even fraud prior to bankruptcy to advise the trustee during the bankruptcy process.

Our experience alone with the recent wave of corporate scandals means that we need to carefully examine any provision that would weaken the conflict of interest standards. Weakening those standards in the bankruptcy code promotes conflicts of interest rather than corporate reform.

Let me quote the Wall Street Journal addressing this section 414: "Relaxing the disinterestedness rules will serve to reward firms that had some part of the company's demise . . . By allowing firms that helped the company into bankruptcy continue to stay on the payroll, the firms are being rewarded for essentially failing at the task for which they were hired."

Eliot Spitzer has testified against section 414. He says, "The inherent conflict of interest created by section 414 and the perverse incentives created by such a section ought to be clear to all," and I would agree with him. And here we have the Attorney General of New York and we have the very conservative Judge Jones agreeing on this point, as did almost all the bankruptcy commissioners.

No convincing case has been made for drastically weakening the current standard as section 414 does. Indeed, one would be hard pressed to offer any public policy rationale for this change. As Judge Jones said, section 414 is totally out of character with the rest of this important legislation. And I include a copy of her letter.

Let me conclude by saying that section 414, which is contrary to the legislation's goal of creating a fair and more streamlined bankruptcy system, must be addressed at conference. Nonetheless, I strongly support this much-needed bankruptcy reform legislation which will limit abuses of the bankruptcy system without affecting bankruptcy protection to all who truly need it.

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FIFTH CIRCUIT,
March 11, 2003.

Hon. F. JAMES SENSENBRENNER, JR.,
Chairman, House Committee on the Judiciary,
Rayburn House Office Building, Wash-
ington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: I understand that the House Committee on the Judiciary will consider H.R. 975, bankruptcy reform legislation, on the morning of March 11, 2003. I also understand that the Committee may consider whether or not to retain Section 414 of the bill, which would amend the "disin-

terested person" standard codified at 11 U.S.C. §101(14). As a former member of the National Bankruptcy Review Commission and, in that capacity, a consistent advocate of maintaining strict disinterestedness standards for bankruptcy professionals, I urge the Committee not to change existing law. I support Congressman Bachus's effort to remove Section 414.

The National Bankruptcy Review Commission was asked to recommend a modification of the disinterestedness standard in order to accommodate, as I recall, the geographic growth and increasing sophistication of professional firms of all kinds involved in Chapter 11 bankruptcy practice. Despite fervent lobbying by prominent bankruptcy professionals and scholars, the Commission resisted making such a recommendation. We voted (by a lopsided majority, I believe) to retain the standard as it has existed since the 1930's.

The Commission report cites two reasons for retaining a strict prophylactic standard for all bankruptcy professionals. These are worth brief restatement. First, such a standard can alone protect integrity in the bankruptcy process. If professionals who have previously been associated with the debtor continue to work for the debtor during a bankruptcy case, they will often be subject to conflicting loyalties that undermine their foremost fiduciary duty to the creditors. Strict disinterestedness, required by current law, eliminates such conflicts or potential conflicts.

Second, enforcing a strict standard of disinterestedness is necessary to maintain public confidence in the integrity of the bankruptcy system. A bankruptcy case should not be subject to the criticism that professional fees are generated to no purpose or for a bad purpose such as delay. The courts' efforts to ensure that fees remain reasonable are enhanced when, because of the complete disinterestedness of participating professionals, no hidden motives may be imputed to the actors in the case.

One need not focus solely on today's high-profile bankruptcy cases to realize that the challenge of maintaining disinterested professional services has permeated modern corporate reorganization law. The Commission, for instance, voted to retain the original standard in the wake of the criminal conviction of a prominent bankruptcy lawyer and several well-known instances in which law firms were required to disgorge part of their fees—all for violating disinterestedness standards. Given the ongoing nature of the problem, I do not see how any professional group can advocate, consistent with the public interest, eliminating the statutory requirement of disinterestedness. Moreover, as it appears likely that many future complex bankruptcy cases will arise in which the role of investment bankers will have to be explored, it seems particularly unwise to grant that group—alone among bankruptcy professionals—a status insulated from the strict disinterestedness requirement.

Since the close of the Commission's work in October 1997, I have been a proponent of the bankruptcy reform legislation that has been repeatedly passed by Congress. I still believe the bankruptcy reform legislation is essential to restoring integrity to personal and business bankruptcies, redressing the imbalances and opportunities for manipulation that plague current law, and encouraging individual responsibility in financial affairs. Section 414, in removing investment bankers from a rigorous standard of disinterestedness, is out of character with the rest of this important legislation, however, and it should be eliminated.

Very truly yours,

EDITH H. JONES.

Mr. WATT. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself 30 seconds.

I am a little perplexed by the gentleman's statement. He was yielded 4 minutes. He took 3 minutes and 50 seconds to talk about the problems with the bill and 10 seconds to praise the bill; yet he is going to support it. If there is no public policy justification for this provision, it seems to me that the gentleman would be voting against this bill.

Mr. Chairman, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from the District of Columbia (Ms. NORTON).

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman for yielding me this time, and I want to thank the chairman and all who have worked so hard on this bill and have been delayed for so long.

I rise to take strong exception to putting this bill once again in jeopardy by reinserting anti-choice language, language that was agreed upon in a bipartisan fashion and that again would put this bill in jeopardy.

As I understand the anti-choice movement, and I respect them for the view which I believe is sincere, the movement disavows violence. Each and every time there is violence in their name, the movement is clear that violence shall not occur in their name. And not only do I not have any reason to doubt them, I have every reason to believe they are sincere.

Why in the world then would we want to take out the bipartisan Hatch-Schumer language that was agreed upon and do so unilaterally? After all, the point of this bill is to remedy the abuse of the bankruptcy laws. Is it not an abuse to avoid a lawful judgment of a court of law rendered through imposition of fines after finding that a party had, for example, committed violence? Would anybody condone going into bankruptcy in order to avoid that lawful judgment? I see no reason why anybody would want to sign up for that, much less jeopardize this bill.

Mr. Chairman, I just want to say at the beginning of this session we have gotten to the point where bipartisan compromise does not matter anymore in this House. We know conference reports do not matter. We know that Democrats did not even get to conference. But the notion that Mr. SCHUMER and Mr. HATCH could reach a compromise on something as controversial in its underlying content as choice and then have that torn up by the House should be unthinkable. I do not think Mr. HATCH would have agreed to it, and as I understood it, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. HYDE) agreed to it, that it was a kind of compromise. The gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER), all of them agreed that this was what should be done to get the bill through. Why throw it in their face and in our face by taking that compromise out of the bill? This used to be known as breaking one's word; and one thing I thought good politicians, let alone ethical men and women, never did was to

break their word. This is a breaking of the word. I ask them to reconsider. Please let us begin this session, 2004, bright. Let us not go back to the bad old days of 2003.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Ms. HART).

Ms. HART. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman from Wisconsin (Chairman SENSENBRENNER) for his patience with trying to get this bankruptcy legislation through in a form that can be supported across the board and in fact in a form where it deals with the issue of bankruptcy. The Congress has been working on this legislation for a number of years, actually since before I got here; and this passage of this bill is long overdue.

Since Congress began working on this legislation, bankruptcy filings continue to rise. In fact, data recently released by the Administrative Office of United States Courts showed personal bankruptcies continued to rise at a record-setting pace of 7.4 percent last year.

Some of this is necessary. Some of this is abuse of the bankruptcy system. It has had a negative impact on our economy, amounting to a loss of \$110 million a day. The abuse of the bankruptcy code continues with opportunistic filings and abusive loopholes in the code. One most notable, as I serve on the Committee on Financial Services, dealing with corporate crooks, this bill closes the mansion loophole for greedy corporate culprits.

□ 1415

Under current bankruptcy law, debtors living in certain States can shield from their creditors virtually all of the equity in their homes. That includes a \$3 million estate.

Congress spent a considerable amount of time discussing the issue of corporate responsibility, and this bill closes that loophole to continue the work we began last year. Some debtors have moved to particular States in order to take advantage of this loophole. This bill closes the loophole. It requires those debtors to reside in the State for at least 2 years before they can claim a homestead exemption; they have to have owned that home for at least 40 months; and most importantly, it caps the amount at \$125,000, a reasonable amount for a family to keep a roof over their heads, but certainly not \$3 million that they can just save from their prosecution.

This legislation also helps women and children in bankruptcy. It prioritizes the collection and payment of spousal and child support, giving them the highest payment priority under the bankruptcy law. The legislation also allows child and domestic violence proceedings to continue, notwithstanding the debtor's filing for bankruptcy protection.

Mr. Chairman, it is crazy for us not to move this bill at our, finally, hopefully, last opportunity.

Mr. WATT. Mr. Chairman, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) the ranking member of Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman from North Carolina, the manager of the bill, and I rise and take this time not to go over a piece of legislation that has been around here since 1997, started in 1996 with a commission, has been up and down and around, and here we are today taking the bill up yet another time.

Well, is it sufficient that 35 national organizations, civil rights groups, unions, public interest research groups, consumer organizations, women's organizations, law organizations, the Neighborhood Assistance Corporation, Legal Defense and Education Fund, 34 organizations, I would appreciate it if anybody could tell me why they think all of these organizations do not get the picture, do not understand why this bill should be rejected yet another time?

But my emphasis this evening is upon the parliamentary process by which the bankruptcy bill was brought to the floor today, and that is to say that the bill is being brought to a conference and the Senate has never passed this bill. This bill is being brought on the sham of a Chapter 12, 6-month, noncontroversial extension entitled "The Debts of the Family Farmer," and that is being used to force a several-hundred-page bill into conference.

The Senate has not acted. It is shameful that the leadership, the Committee on Rules of this House, would permit this bill, as large, as controversial, as complex as it is, to be taken, that little tale, and brought in here yet again. In other words, we are holding the farm families of America hostage by substituting the controversial omnibus bankruptcy bill to push anticonsumer changes to bankruptcy laws and bypass the Senate debate on the bill.

So I would like to point out that there happens to be a very big problem on the other side. Notwithstanding the parliamentary shenanigans in the House, again with this attempt to end-run around the Senate, the antichoice lawmakers have to answer this one question: Why do they oppose the compromise of Hyde-Schumer that would hold people who illegally harass, intimidate, commit crimes of violence, blockade and blow up clinics and innocent people, who abuse the bankruptcy system, to evade their lawful debts?

Will somebody on this floor, to whom I will yield, explain to me why they would support criminal conduct as a reason not to allow this bill to go through? I will yield to anybody.

And I would like someone else, further, to explain to me, who has stronger views on abortion than the gentleman from Illinois (Chairman HYDE) of the Committee on International Relations? He is the cosponsor of the bill

that you are trying so desperately to keep this provision out of.

I think this is another example of the disgraceful, dishonest tactics being used in this House to get through anything by any means necessary, and I object to it very strenuously.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) is very right in saying that there were extensive negotiations relative to the so-called Hatch-Schumer abortion protestors' amendment during the conference in the last Congress. Those negotiations lasted the better part of a year. There were both public and private meetings with the principals involved.

At the end of the process, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. HYDE) and the Senator from New York, Mr. SCHUMER, reached an agreement on compromise language that was put into the conference report on H.R. 333, which was the bankruptcy bill in the last Congress.

The gentleman from Illinois (Mr. HYDE) lived up to his word. He supported the rule that made that conference report in order. Unfortunately, that rule was rejected on November 14, 2002, by a roll call vote of 172 "yes" to 243 "no." I notice my friend from Michigan was one of the 243 that voted "no." If he wanted to get that language enacted into law, he could have supported bringing up the conference report on H.R. 333. For whatever reason, he chose not to do so.

But to answer the arguments that he made on the merits, it is that fines and forfeitures from offenses, both criminal and civil, have never been dischargeable in bankruptcy, irrespective of the offense that gave rise to the fine and forfeiture being imposed. So to say that the omission of language relating to abortion clinic protestors is a way of shielding criminal activity is a complete red herring. Fines and forfeitures that are imposed on abortion clinic protestors in a court of law are not dischargeable in bankruptcy today under the existing law nor, should this bill be enacted, under the provisions of this bill.

Now, having said that, I feel very strongly that abortion really should not become an issue in the debate on a bankruptcy bill. The position of this House has always been that abortion is not a part of the bankruptcy debate. There is a time and place to debate issues relating to abortion, but this is not it.

The other body has always disagreed. At some times in the last Congress we had a provision in the conference report that did reach a compromise on this issue. The House refused to consider it. There are other times when the conference in previous Congresses omitted the Schumer language that was passed by the Senate, and the conference report was passed by the Senate by a vote of 70-to-28 on December 7,

2000. That bill would have become law without the abortion clinic protestor language, except that President Clinton pocket-vetoed the bill.

So I just do not like to see the entire issue of abortion being mixed into it. But I think that the arguments that are made that the omission of the Hyde-Schumer language is an issue of bad faith is a complete red herring. We were not able to pass the bill with it in; we were able to pass it without it.

Mr. Chairman, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. SMITH).

Mr. SMITH of Michigan. Mr. Chairman, with regard to the original bill that came over from the Senate, the gentlewoman from Wisconsin (Ms. BALDWIN) and I, have introduced, co-sponsored, about six bills either to make the Chapter 12 permanent or to at least extend it. I would just like to tell my colleagues that in calling the bankruptcy judges that handled these farm cases, there has never been a farm case thrown out because the law expired. Sometimes it has been reacting late, but we have always made it retroactive in every case so those farmers that wanted to use the provisions of Chapter 12 have been able to do that.

So I would like to make Chapter 12 permanent, but I would also like to make some of the corrections that incorporate some of my language in a larger bankruptcy bill. I hope we can do that. I think it is important for our financial institutions to have some of the additional concerns that are addressed in this bill. This bill will also at the same time expand the availability of loaned money, of available credit money, to more people.

So I would hope we would pass the bill as provided by the Committee on Rules and send the bankruptcy bill in total over to the Senate and, hopefully, resolve it in conference for final passage.

Mr. WATT. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. WATT. I yield to the gentleman from Michigan.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman for yielding to me.

I would just like to respond to the distinguished chairman of the Committee on the Judiciary, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER), who feels very strongly that the abortion consideration has no place in this bill.

Well, I will be happy to report that to the predecessor chairman of the Committee on the Judiciary, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. HYDE). He will be happy to know that you do not feel it does and that a whole group of Senators, not to mention a fairly substantial number of Members of the House, all think that it does, and to think that by running an end-run around this provision with an arcane debt farmers provision, it is not going to work.

Now, for my friend, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. SMITH), who has served with great distinction in the Congress, I will be happy to let his farmers know that everything is okay, that the provision has expired; but somehow he can get into court, or somebody, and they can just continue on, that with the judges, even though the provision has no effect, that the farmers are okay. I am sure they will be very comforted to hear that.

Mr. WATT. Reclaiming my time, Mr. Chairman, let me also just make a couple of responses to the statement of the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER).

Number one, it is interesting that the chairman thinks that the abortion issue should not be part of the bankruptcy bill. Seemingly, everybody who abuses the bankruptcy process other than people who have had judgments against them for destroying or damaging bankruptcy clinics would be an appropriate subject for this. I thought this whole thing was to try to get to people who are abusing the system. If that is not an abuse, then I am not sure I understand what it is.

Second, in response to the gentleman's comments about this bill preserving criminal discharges, this is not about criminal discharges, this is about people who have gotten judgments against abortion clinic bombers or damagers, civil judgments, and had those defendants thumb their noses at those judgments by saying "I am just going to declare bankruptcy so I do not have to pay this judgment."

□ 1430

So if that is not an abuse, then I do not understand what an abuse is. If this bill is about dealing with abuse, then it seems to me people who fall into the category of abortion clinic abusers of the process should be equally accountable.

Mr. Chairman, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. SCOTT).

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to the bill in its present form. Instead of passing the bipartisan bill to help family farmers, we have substituted a controversial bill that violates traditional bankruptcy principles.

For centuries, American bankruptcy laws had the principle that if people get over their heads in debt, they can cash in all of their assets, pay off all the debts they can, and then get a fresh start. For policy reasons, a few assets have historically been exempted and a few debts have historically been non-dischargeable, especially those that have been incurred by fraud, a result of crime, or through abuse of the bankruptcy system. Yet the principle has always been the same: cash in all you have and get a fresh start.

This bill violates the basic principle. People who incurred debts because of illness, unemployment, business failure and have debts they can never pay off

will be denied an opportunity to get a fresh start. They will be stripped of every penny of income after basic expenses of food and rent without reasonable allowance for unforeseen emergencies such as automobile repairs, which will inevitably come up. People in these circumstances will be in economic slavery for 5 years and will probably be worse off at the end of 5 years than they were before.

The bill has no rational measure of determining a person's ability to pay off debts. If someone can pay off \$10,000 in his debts over 5 years, that is \$167 a month, then he is not entitled to a discharge. A person could cosign a spouse's business loan only to have the spouse die or disappear. If that person has a \$50,000 salary, he may find himself owing \$1 million, never even able to make interest payments, and that person would be denied relief under this bill. A person with hospital bills could have hospital bills of hundreds of thousands of dollars. That person will be denied relief under this bill. This will cause many Americans who have unforeseen business failures, health problems, or unemployment to find themselves unable to pay their debts and be trapped with no way out. And for 5 years that person would have nothing to lose.

Mr. Chairman, if our goal is to create a situation where people are stressed out with nothing to lose and to maximize the chances that a person would totally lose control and terrorize a community or its coworkers, this is it. Last year in Washington, D.C., we saw the impact of financial distress. A North Carolina farmer drove his tractor into the pond near the National Mall and was quoted as saying, "I am broke. I am busted. I am out." No one in the community is safe when we have increased the number of neighbors who feel like they have nothing to lose.

Finally, Mr. Chairman, we have to consider the impact the bill will have on small business entrepreneurs. How many people will be willing to take a chance on a new business if any failure will result not just in bankruptcy but no relief for the family for 5 years? No bank in the future will lend a business any cash, especially one in financial distress that actually needs the money without the personal signature of the owner. And so who will risk not only loss of everything but also risk family poverty with no relief for 5 years if the business fails?

Long ago we decided that there would be no debtors prisons in America. This bill represents an effort to take a giant step backwards towards that bygone era.

So I urge my colleagues to reject this bill in its present form so that we can return to the original bill and help family farmers.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

The gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WATT) seemed to imply that because this bill does not contain the so-

called Schumer language as compromised, people who protested abortion clinics will end up being able to stiff the owners and operators and the folks who work at that clinic of any judgment that might be obtained.

Now, the current law, Bankruptcy Code section 523(a)(6) makes non-dischargeable debts incurred by willful or malicious injury by the debtor to another entity or to the property of another entity. That law is not changed in this bill. So if somebody trashes an abortion clinic for whatever reason and gets a civil judgment against them, that civil judgment is nondischargeable because the actions were willful and malicious.

Mr. Chairman, again, I looked at this roll call when the rule was voted down to bring up the legislation that did what the gentleman wanted to do, and that was the compromise Schumer-Hyde language in last Congress's bankruptcy bill. We did what my colleague asked, and he still voted "no."

So I think that the arguments that have been made are really a red herring to try to defeat an overall bankruptcy reform that the House has supported overwhelmingly on many occasions since this issue first came up at least 7 years ago.

Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CANNON).

Mr. CANNON. Mr. Chairman, first of all I would like to associate myself with the comments of the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER) on these two points that he has just made and then point out in response to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. SCOTT) this bill is about getting money from people who have it. It is not about oppressing the poor. And I think the structure of the bill, if you look at it fairly, will show that I rise in support of Senate 1920.

The amendment in the nature of a substitute of the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER) merely makes technical corrections to H.R. 975, which was passed by the House early last year. Given the uncontroversial nature of these revisions, I urge my colleagues to support the amendment.

Last March the House passed H.R. 975 by an overwhelming bipartisan vote of 315 to 113. The administration has endorsed this legislation. The House has voted affirmatively on five separate occasions to pass this bill. Today we are reconsidering this bill in an attempt to reignite a stalled process. We must take action. America's bankruptcy system is, in fact, broken. It gets worse every day with more filings that break record after record, putting an enormous strain on the judiciary's resources. I have seen numbers that indicate the exponential growth to the number of bankruptcy filings.

I believe the increase in consumer bankruptcy filings will have adverse financial consequences for the American economy. In 1997 alone, more than \$40

billion was discharged as a result of bankruptcy cases. This loss translates into a \$400 annual tax on every household in our Nation in the form of higher prices and higher interest rates.

I urge my colleagues to support the enactment of the amendment in the nature of a substitute to S. 1920.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. CANNON. I yield to the gentleman from Virginia.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Chairman, if the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CANNON) suggested that what I said was not accurate, I ask what did I say that was not accurate?

Mr. CANNON. Mr. Chairman, reclaiming my time, what I would like to point out is if you look at the structure of the bill, this is not intended to keep people in slavery or economic servitude. It is intended to take money from those people who are gaming the system who have a large ability to earn income.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. If the gentleman would yield, I said that people who have \$2 million in debt that could pay \$10,000 of that debt that they obviously can never pay will not be able to get relief under this bill. Is that true?

Mr. WATT. Mr. Chairman, I yield as much time as he may consume to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. SCOTT) to pursue this discussion.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Chairman, I said that somebody who can pay off \$10,000 but can never pay off the \$2 million, are they denied relief under this bill?

Mr. CANNON. Mr. Chairman, if the gentleman will continue to yield, will they be able to pay off the \$10,000?

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. They can pay \$10,000 on a \$2 million debt. The fact is they can never pay off the debt. They will be denied relief under the bill. Is that right?

Mr. CANNON. If they can pay off \$10,000? In other words, is it possible that someone who owes millions and millions of dollars in debt may be held responsible for \$10,000? We would certainly hope so.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Chairman, reclaiming my time, so that someone who owes \$2 million in debt can pay \$10,000 and can never pay it will be in economic slavery because every dime they make over food and rent will go into the fund to help pay the \$10,000.

Mr. WATT. Mr. Chairman, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from New York (Mr. NADLER).

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Chairman, I think the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CANNON) misunderstands the question of the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. SCOTT). The question as I understand it was not if someone owes \$2 million and can pay \$10,000 should be then forced to pay \$10,000. Yes. The question was, is it not true that under this bill if he owes \$2 million, can afford to pay only \$10,000, he can never get relief even if he pays the \$10,000 he can afford to.

Mr. CANNON. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. NADLER. I yield to the gentleman from Utah.

Mr. CANNON. Mr. Chairman, it is my understanding of this bill that the court can impose a structured pay-out. And that is \$10,000, and he can pay \$10,000, then he is relieved under the bill.

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Chairman, if the gentleman will yield, so every dime that they make over food and rent goes into the fund to help pay the \$10,000. If that is all they can pay, they have to pay that so they are down to food and rent for 5 years although they can only pay \$10,000 on a \$2 million debt. They cannot get relief from the \$2 million under this bill. And the gentleman agrees with that.

Mr. CANNON. Mr. Chairman, I believe I understand the gentleman's question, and the point is that the person can get discharged in the course of bankruptcy including a payment, but that payment is not related to what his grocery bill is. It is related to what he can earn and presumably based upon the judgment and discretion of the court what should be paid in addition to a general discharge.

Mr. WATT. Mr. Chairman, it is obvious that maybe all of my colleagues need to read this bill. Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chairman, I am prepared to close the general debate.

Mr. WATT. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself the balance of the time, although I doubt that I will use it.

Let me just correct a couple of things that have been put out here that seem to me to need correction. First of all, child support and alimony are already nondischargeable and all of the women's and children's advocacy groups oppose this bill. So do not be misled by this claim that somehow or another this bill is going to do something to help women's and children's advocacy groups with child support.

Second, the implication has been made that there is somehow a cap on the homestead exemption in this bill, and that is not the case. We tried to get one on several occasions. It has never worked. It has always failed. And so anybody who is proceeding on the assumption that there is some kind of cap in this bill should dissuade themselves of that notion.

Having made those corrections and comments, Mr. Chairman, I presume the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER) will have the last word. I encourage my colleagues to vote against the bill on the grounds that it will play Russian roulette with family farmers. We ought to proceed with the family farmer bill, which needs to be extended to protect family farmers and not get them caught up in all of this other politics about abortion and in a larger bankruptcy reform bill.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Chairman, the bill that is in the substitute made in order by the Committee on Rules, which is the version of the bill that passed the House last March by about a three to one margin, is better for family farmers than what the Senate sent over to us. But the Senate sent over to us what is merely a 6-month extension of chapter 12 of the bankruptcy code.

The substitute amendment made in order at the Committee on Rules makes chapter 12 permanent. So you have a choice of saying that the other body's bill should be on the President's desk tonight, which means we will go through this entire debate again in 6 months, the end of June, when the Senate bill's provisions expire, or we will be able to pass this bill and take care of the chapter 12 problem permanently.

To protect our family farmers and to give them certainty in the law, let us do the permanent extension, pass the substitute amendment, and then pass the bill with its other provisions because that will protect everybody from being stiffed by the \$400 per household that is passed down in the cost of higher goods and services and interest rates as a result of the current bankruptcy system.

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to S. 1920, the bill to extend for 6 months the period for which Chapter 12 of Title 11 of the United States Code is reenacted. This legislation covers a significant amount of ground—consumer filings, small business bankruptcy, ancillary and cross-border cases, financial contract provisions, amendments to chapter 12 governing family farmer reorganization, and health care and employee benefits. These issues affect many constituents; therefore, we as creators of legislation must not take lightly the consideration of its passage. On its face, S. 1920 temporarily extends Chapter 12, the family farmer bankruptcy protection provision, for 6 months, retroactive to January 1, 2004 through June 30, 2004.

If we allow the amendment offered by Mr. SENSENBRENNER to pass favorably, it will essentially incorporate H.R. 975, the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act. H.R. 975 passed the House last March by vote of 315 but did not surpass the Senate by virtue of a contentious debate related to preventing abortion protesters from filing for bankruptcy to avoid civil fines and judgment.

H.R. 975 is a significant departure from the current bankruptcy laws that would make it more difficult for individuals to obtain relief from their debts through bankruptcy proceedings. Attorneys practicing in this field would be faced with more complicated technical requirements, and judgment debtors would be faced with additional filing requirements and a "means test."

The "means test" entails the use of a formula for debtors to determine their eligibility for Chapter 7 or Chapter 13 bankruptcy relief based on their ability to repay debt, relying in part on Internal Revenue Service (IRS) calculations of estimated living expenses. Debtors whose remaining income over a 5-year pe-

riod—after allowable expenses are deducted—is sufficient to repay at least 25 percent of their unsecured debt or \$100 a month over 5 years, whichever is greater, or \$10,000, would not be eligible for relief under Chapter 7. Under the measure, the current monthly income of the debtor would be calculated using the 6-month period ending on the last day of the month immediately before the bankruptcy filing was made. Monthly income would not include Social Security benefits and payments to victims of war crimes or crimes against humanity, or victims of international or domestic terrorism. Under the measure, if a debtor's income meets or exceeds the means-test threshold, there would be a "presumption of abuse." Under current law, there is a presumption in favor of granting the debtor a discharge" i.e., forgiving the debt, so this proposal will severely curtail the rights currently enjoyed by taxpayers. Under this measure, debtors can refute the presumption of abuse by demonstrating "special circumstances" that justify additional expenses or adjustment to their income to challenge the means-test formula. The debtors would have to itemize and document each additional expense or income adjustment—a very onerous and laborious ordeal.

This legislation is simply the wrong measure proffered at the wrong time. It will do nothing to address the critical problems facing our country. It will unfairly benefit the credit card and banking industries, rewarding large financial institutions—those paid for by those least able to afford it. The bill includes an extreme means test to determine whether a family can file for bankruptcy protection that helps them get out of debt, or whether the family must enter into a stringent repayment plan under Chapter 13 of the IRS Code.

Currently, less than one-third of Chapter 13 plans are successfully completed, and this rigid "one-size-fits-all" means test would result in an even greater number of failed repayment plans, increased administrative costs to the courts, and unnecessary constraints on families in genuine need of bankruptcy relief. The bill, along with the amendment that incorporates H.R. 975 hurts families. The problem with escalating personal bankruptcy filings is not that families are abusing the bankruptcy system. Ninety percent of bankruptcies are attributable to a crisis in the debtor's family such as job loss, divorce, or excessive medical bills. In addition, credit card companies are extending credit far too easily. Credit card companies want all the benefits of a deregulated credit industry, with high interest rates and low minimum-payment requirements. They continue to irresponsibly extend credit to already debt-laden consumers and then run to Congress for help to apply pressure to consumers already struggling in this troubled economy.

While the bill purports to elevate the priority of child support payments, in reality, credit card companies would receive repayment of debt at the same rate as child support obligations. Those provisions would have a severe impact on the most vulnerable members of society, including women and children who rely on alimony and child support payments to live. The bill's homestead exemption cap does little to address the problem of wealthy debtors shielding their assets from creditors by purchasing million-dollar homes. Sophisticated, wealthy debtors can easily plan ahead and evade the cap. Under the bill, with a little plan-

ning, chief executive officers like Ken Lay, formerly of Enron, would be able to keep their homes, while lower-income renters—the former janitors at Enron, for example—could end up homeless.

The bill also imposes artificial deadlines and cumbersome new paperwork requirements on small businesses trying to reorganize and unnecessarily limits the discretion of bankruptcy judges in crafting the best possible result for small business debtors and creditors. The overbroad requirements called for will force many viable small businesses to permanently close their doors. The bill is great for credit card companies, but bad for everyone else. In fact, it hurts those who most need the second chance offered by bankruptcy.

I do, however, support amendment No. 2 of House Report No. 108-407 offered by Ms. BALDWIN of Wisconsin. This amendment would make Chapter 12 of Title 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code that deals with "family farmer" reorganization permanent and would expand the eligibility requirements found within that Chapter. The number of Chapter 12 filings has risen in the past two years. Allowing this law to lapse would be irresponsible for us as legislators. Farmers with debts up to \$1.5 million can qualify for Chapter 12 protection if 80 percent of that debt is related to farm operations. In normal bankruptcy proceedings, all assets are subject to liquidation, but under Chapter 12, land and equipment is exempt, allowing a family farmer to keep farming.

From its incipency, this has always been a bad bill—one that kicks honest debtors when they are already down on their luck—but the timing could not be worse. The policy message that is being conveyed with this legislative scheme amounts to a slap in the face of the families of our brave men and women in uniform who fought and are still fighting in the expensive "Operation Iraqi Freedom," a war that has to date not been substantially justified. This bill should be defeated so that Congress instead of using the public's time and money to pay back credit card companies for their campaign contributions, can get back to work addressing the very real problems facing our country.

For the reasons stated above, Mr. Chairman, I oppose this bill.

Mr. OXLEY. Mr. Chairman, I rise today in support of S. 1920, and the amendment offered by the distinguished chairman of the Committee on the Judiciary, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER).

As you know, the gentleman's amendment consists of the text of H.R. 975, the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2003. That bill was additionally referred to the Committee on Financial Services, which I chair, based on its jurisdiction over banks and banking, credit, and securities and exchanges.

Mr. Chairman, this legislation is vitally important to the Nation. In particular, those provisions addressing the "netting" of financial contracts are an important part of ensuring that our economic recovery continues, as the Chairman of the Federal Reserve Board of Governors, Alan Greenspan, has said time and time again.

Accordingly, I wholeheartedly support any effort to move this legislation forward to enactment. For the record, I am submitting an exchange of letters between the Chairman of the Committee on the Judiciary and myself regarding H.R. 975. I appreciate his willingness

to work constructively with the Committee on Financial Services and look forward to working with him to achieve enactment of these important reforms.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
COMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL SERVICES,
Washington, DC, March 14, 2003.

Hon. F. JAMES SENSENBRENNER, Jr.,
Chairman, Committee on the Judiciary
Washington, DC.

DEAR JIM: On March 12, 2003, the Committee on the Judiciary ordered reported H.R. 975, the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2003. As you know, the Committee on Financial Services was granted an additional referral upon the bill's introduction pursuant to the Committee's jurisdiction under Rule X of the Rules of the House of Representatives over banks and banking, credit, and securities and exchanges.

Because of your willingness to consult with the Committee on Financial Services regarding this matter, your continuing support for our requested changes, and the need to move this legislation expeditiously, I will waive consideration of the bill by the Financial Services Committee. By agreeing to waive its consideration of the bill, the Financial Services Committee does not waive its jurisdiction over H.R. 975. In addition, the Committee on Financial Services reserves its authority to seek conferees on any provisions of the bill that are within the Financial Services Committee's jurisdiction during any House-Senate conference that may be convened on this legislation. I ask your commitment to support any request by the Committee on Financial Services for conferees on H.R. 975 or related legislation.

I request that you include this letter and your response as part of your committee's report on the bill and the Congressional Record during consideration of the legislation on the House floor.

Thank you for your attention to these matters.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL G. OXLEY,
Chairman.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY,
Washington, DC, March 17, 2003.

Hon. MICHAEL G. OXLEY,
Chairman, Committee on Financial Services,
House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MICHAEL: This letter responds to your letter dated March 14, 2003, concerning H.R. 975, the "Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2003."

I agree that the bill contains matters within the Financial Services Committee's jurisdiction and appreciate your willingness to be discharged from further consideration of H.R. 975 so we may proceed to the floor.

Pursuant to your request, a copy of your letter and this letter will be included in the report of the Committee on the Judiciary on H.R. 975.

Sincerely,

F. JAMES SENSENBRENNER, Jr.,
Chairman.

Mr. CANTOR. Mr. Chairman, I rise today to speak in favor of bankruptcy reform, an issue this body has voted in favor of time and time again.

This reform is long overdue and will go a long way to stop abuses of the bankruptcy code.

This measure will permanently extend the agricultural chapter of the bankruptcy code and will add new protections for the American people, including a "bill of rights" for those who file for bankruptcy.

Additionally, this measure will provide new protections for parents and will strengthen their ability to collect child support. This legislation will also fix the system so that high-income debtors attempting to protect their excessive lifestyles will be held accountable and not continue to live lavishly at the expense of working families.

By establishing a means test for those who file for bankruptcy, this legislation will ensure that those who can repay their debts will no longer be able to abuse the system. These abuses negatively affect the economy by raising the price of goods while simultaneously lowering the availability of credit. This measure is a victory for the majority of Americans who play by the rules over those who choose to play by their own.

Mr. Chairman, the time has come for us to pass bankruptcy reform. These reforms are necessary to protect the American people; and I urge passage of this legislation.

Mr. BISHOP of Georgia. Mr. Chairman, I rise today in support of S. 1920 and for the rule which preserves the institution of bankruptcy, and provides an important safety net for American families, individuals, and businesses.

At first glance, the bill before us, S. 1920, provides for a 6 month extension of Chapter 12 bankruptcy protection for America's family farmers. I am again happy to support this greatly needed extension, but there's more to this bill than that.

The rule that we are also considering today substitutes into S. 1920 the text of the much larger bankruptcy reform bill (H.R. 975) which we in the House passed on March 19, 2003 by a vote of 315-113. This was great news and progress in preserving the institution of bankruptcy protection. Unfortunately, the bill has not yet been taken up in the Senate—not surprisingly since previous House versions of bankruptcy protection have died on the vine in the Senate when extraneous provisions were included.

So today we have an opportunity for a second bite at that apple. The provisions in S. 1920 (and H.R. 975 by incorporation) preserve bankruptcy by ensuring this protection to those who really need it as a result of unforeseeable medical bills, unemployment, and other legitimate needs. I am also extremely pleased that it also includes a permanent extension of Chapter 12 family farmer bankruptcy protection, and I'd like to also acknowledge the efforts of Representative BALDWIN, whose amendment we are also considering, similarly makes permanent this important protection. Importantly, H.R. 975 ensures that more family farmers will be eligible for Chapter 12 by easing some of the income and debt limitations that currently restrict access to this type of bankruptcy relief. While reasonable minds may differ as to the best vehicle for family farmer bankruptcy protection, currently family farmers are without the bankruptcy protection they need. This is completely unacceptable.

Broadly speaking, Mr. Chairman, the bankruptcy system in America is broken and needs to be fixed. Bankruptcy filings have soared in recent years, with thousands of filers who are capable of repaying their debts, simply walking away from their debts and obligations through the current bankruptcy filing system.

We need a greater and more sustainable safety net for all Americans, and we need it now. The bill before us protects those who

truly need it most, while also including protections for business so that they can get back on track and get back to work.

This bill is a good deal for Americans, Mr. Chairman, saving American taxpayers billions of dollars each and every year. It is a powerful and greatly needed measure that protects consumers and creditors against those who would abuse the system, while ensuring a fresh start to those who legitimately need the safety net that is the bankruptcy system.

Let me be perfectly clear—one way or another, we must pass family farmer bankruptcy protection now in order to lift up America's farmers by making this protection permanent. I believe that the bill before us holds this promise. But if this bill fails for any number of political obstacles between the House and the Senate, we must still honor our responsibility to ensure that our family farmers are protected. I know that I will, and I urge my colleagues to do the same.

Mr. BEREUTER. Mr. Chairman, this Member rises today to express his support for S. 1920, as amended. The Rules Committee has reported-out a rule (H. Res. 503) which upon passage, automatically modifies this bill by substituting the text of H.R. 975 which the House passed on March 19, 2003. This Member was a cosponsor of this earlier passed measure.

It is important to note that bankruptcy reforms bills have passed both the House and Senate in the 105th, 106th, and 107th Congresses. In the 105th Congress, the House passed a bankruptcy reform conference report, while the Senate failed to pass the conference report. In the 106th Congress, former President Bill Clinton pocket vetoed a bankruptcy reform conference report. During the 107th Congress, the rule under which the bankruptcy reform conference report was to be considered was defeated in the House because of a tenuous connection drawn to the subject of abortion clinics by conferees from the other body.

This Member would thank the distinguished gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER), the Chairman of the Judiciary Committee, for his efforts in bringing, S. 1920, as amended to the House Floor for consideration. This Member supports S. 1920, as amended, for numerous reasons; however, the most important reasons include the following:

First, this Member supports the provision which provides for a means testing (needs-based) formula when determining whether an individual should file for Chapter 7 or Chapter 13 bankruptcy. Chapter 7 bankruptcy allows a debtor to be discharged of his or personal liability for many unsecured debts. In addition, there is no requirement that a Chapter 7 filer repay many of his or her debts. However, Chapter 13 bankruptcy filers commit to repay some portion of his or her debts under a repayment plan.

Some Chapter 7 filers actually have the capacity to repay some of what they owe, but they choose Chapter 7 bankruptcy and are able to walk away from these debts. For example, the stories in which an individual filed for Chapter 7 bankruptcy and then proceeds to take a nice vacation and/or buys a new car are too common. Moreover, the status quo is costing the average American individual and family increased costs for consumer goods and credit because of the amount of debt which is never repaid to creditors.

As a response to these concerns, the needs-based test of this legislation will help ensure that high income filers, who could repay some of what they owe, are required to file Chapter 13 bankruptcy as compared to Chapter 7. This needs-based system takes a debtor's income, expenses, obligations and any special circumstances into account to determine whether he or she has the capacity to repay a portion of their debts.

Second, this Member supports the additional monthly expense items that are exempted from consideration under the needs-based test which determines, under this legislation, whether a person can file either a Chapter 7 or 13 version of bankruptcy. These expenses include the following: reasonable expenses incurred to maintain the safety of the debtor and debtor's family from domestic violence; an additional food and clothing allowance if demonstrated to be reasonable and necessary; and actual expenses for the care and support of an elderly, chronically ill, or disabled member of the debtor's household or immediate family.

Third, this Member supports the permanent extension of Chapter 12 bankruptcy in this legislation since it allows family farmers to reorganize their debts as compared to liquidating their assets. Using the Chapter 12 bankruptcy provision has been an important and necessary option for family farmers to reorganize their assets in manner which balances the interests of creditors and the future success of the involved farmer.

It is important to note that S. 1920, as passed by the other body on November 25, 2003, would extend Chapter 12 bankruptcy for family farms and ranches through July 1, 2004. Chapter 12 bankruptcy expired on January 1, 2004.

If Chapter 12 bankruptcy provisions are not permanently extended for family farmers, its expiration on January 1, 2004, would continue to be a very painful blow to an agricultural sector already reeling from low commodity prices. Not only will many family farmers have no viable option but to end their operations, it likely will also cause land values to plunge. Such a decrease in value of farmland will affect the ability of family farmers to obtain adequate credit to maintain a viable farm operation. It will impact the manner in which banks conduct their agricultural lending activities. Furthermore, this Member has received many contacts from his constituents supporting the extension of Chapter 12 bankruptcy because of the situation now being faced by our Nation's farm families. It is clear that the agricultural sector is hurting and by a permanent extension of the Chapter 12 authorization, Congress can avoid one more negative possibility.

Lastly, this Member supports the provisions in this legislation, which requires that people convicted of a felony or who owe a debt from a securities fraud violation in the 5 years before filing for bankruptcy cannot claim an unlimited homestead exemption. This Member believes that this provision in the conference report is imperative in light of the recent corporate scandals at Enron and WorldCom. For example, this provision would apply to the \$7 million penthouse in Houston of Kenneth Lay (if he still owns it), the former chairman of Enron, if he both files for personal bankruptcy in the future and owes a debt due to any conviction of securities fraud. In addition, this provision may also be relevant to Scott D. Sul-

livan, the former chief financial officer of WorldCom, who at one time was building a \$15 million mansion in Boca Raton, Florida.

In closing, for these aforementioned reasons and many others, this Member urges his colleagues to support S. 1920, as amended.

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Mr. Chairman I support this bill. It allows consumers to benefit from the changes to the bankruptcy system that were approved by this House last year.

It's time for Congress to enact permanent meaningful bankruptcy reform. Recent surveys show that 70 percent of Americans support reforming our nation's bankruptcy laws. Unless we take action, consumers will continue to be negatively impacted by the current system and fraudulent filings will continue to be rewarded rather than discouraged.

In 1980, 300,000 bankruptcy petitions were filed. This past year, over 1.2 million were reported during just the first nine months. Many of these filings are legitimate attempts by debtors to pay their debts and obtain a fresh start. However, bankruptcy is too often used as a way to avoid responsibilities.

Unnecessary Bankruptcy filings continue to increase at dramatic rates. This is bad for consumers and bad for our economy. The costs of these filings are passed on to America's businesses and consumers, who should not have to absorb these debts. We must ensure that debtors actually belong in bankruptcy and are not using the system to avoid their obligations.

This legislation encourages personal responsibility, protects consumers, and ensures that bankruptcy is used only as a last resort and is not abused by those who can afford to repay their debts.

Bankruptcy reform is good for consumers, family farmers, and our economy. I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

□ 1445

The CHAIRMAN. All time for general debate has expired.

Pursuant to the rule, the amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of H.R. 975 as passed by the House shall be considered as an original bill for the purpose of amendment under the 5-minute rule and shall be considered read.

The text of the amendment in the nature of a substitute is as follows:

H.R. 975

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; REFERENCES; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2003".

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; references; table of contents.

TITLE I—NEEDS-BASED BANKRUPTCY

- Sec. 101. Conversion.
- Sec. 102. Dismissal or conversion.
- Sec. 103. Sense of Congress and study.
- Sec. 104. Notice of alternatives.
- Sec. 105. Debtor financial management training test program.
- Sec. 106. Credit counseling.

Sec. 107. Schedules of reasonable and necessary expenses.

TITLE II—ENHANCED CONSUMER PROTECTION

Subtitle A—Penalties for Abusive Creditor Practices

- Sec. 201. Promotion of alternative dispute resolution.
- Sec. 202. Effect of discharge.
- Sec. 203. Discouraging abuse of reaffirmation agreement practices.
- Sec. 204. Preservation of claims and defenses upon sale of predatory loans.
- Sec. 205. GAO study and report on reaffirmation agreement process.

Subtitle B—Priority Child Support

- Sec. 211. Definition of domestic support obligation.
- Sec. 212. Priorities for claims for domestic support obligations.
- Sec. 213. Requirements to obtain confirmation and discharge in cases involving domestic support obligations.
- Sec. 214. Exceptions to automatic stay in domestic support obligation proceedings.
- Sec. 215. Nondischargeability of certain debts for alimony, maintenance, and support.
- Sec. 216. Continued liability of property.
- Sec. 217. Protection of domestic support claims against preferential transfer motions.
- Sec. 218. Disposable income defined.
- Sec. 219. Collection of child support.
- Sec. 220. Nondischargeability of certain educational benefits and loans.

Subtitle C—Other Consumer Protections

- Sec. 221. Amendments to discourage abusive bankruptcy filings.
- Sec. 222. Sense of Congress.
- Sec. 223. Additional amendments to title 11, United States Code.
- Sec. 224. Protection of retirement savings in bankruptcy.
- Sec. 225. Protection of education savings in bankruptcy.
- Sec. 226. Definitions.
- Sec. 227. Restrictions on debt relief agencies.
- Sec. 228. Disclosures.
- Sec. 229. Requirements for debt relief agencies.
- Sec. 230. GAO study.
- Sec. 231. Protection of personally identifiable information.
- Sec. 232. Consumer privacy ombudsman.
- Sec. 233. Prohibition on disclosure of name of minor children.

TITLE III—DISCOURAGING BANKRUPTCY ABUSE

- Sec. 301. Reinforcement of the fresh start.
- Sec. 302. Discouraging bad faith repeat filings.
- Sec. 303. Curbing abusive filings.
- Sec. 304. Debtor retention of personal property security.
- Sec. 305. Relief from the automatic stay when the debtor does not complete intended surrender of consumer debt collateral.
- Sec. 306. Giving secured creditors fair treatment in chapter 13.
- Sec. 307. Domiciliary requirements for exemptions.
- Sec. 308. Reduction of homestead exemption for fraud.
- Sec. 309. Protecting secured creditors in chapter 13 cases.
- Sec. 310. Limitation on luxury goods.
- Sec. 311. Automatic stay.
- Sec. 312. Extension of period between bankruptcy discharges.
- Sec. 313. Definition of household goods and antiques.

- Sec. 314. Debt incurred to pay nondischargeable debts.
- Sec. 315. Giving creditors fair notice in chapters 7 and 13 cases.
- Sec. 316. Dismissal for failure to timely file schedules or provide required information.
- Sec. 317. Adequate time to prepare for hearing on confirmation of the plan.
- Sec. 318. Chapter 13 plans to have a 5-year duration in certain cases.
- Sec. 319. Sense of Congress regarding expansion of rule 9011 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.
- Sec. 320. Prompt relief from stay in individual cases.
- Sec. 321. Chapter 11 cases filed by individuals.
- Sec. 322. Limitations on homestead exemption.
- Sec. 323. Excluding employee benefit plan participant contributions and other property from the estate.
- Sec. 324. Exclusive jurisdiction in matters involving bankruptcy professionals.
- Sec. 325. United States trustee program filing fee increase.
- Sec. 326. Sharing of compensation.
- Sec. 327. Fair valuation of collateral.
- Sec. 328. Defaults based on nonmonetary obligations.
- Sec. 329. Clarification of postpetition wages and benefits.
- Sec. 330. Delay of discharge during pendency of certain proceedings.
- TITLE IV—GENERAL AND SMALL BUSINESS BANKRUPTCY PROVISIONS**
- Subtitle A—General Business Bankruptcy Provisions**
- Sec. 401. Adequate protection for investors.
- Sec. 402. Meetings of creditors and equity security holders.
- Sec. 403. Protection of refinancing of security interest.
- Sec. 404. Executory contracts and unexpired leases.
- Sec. 405. Creditors and equity security holders committees.
- Sec. 406. Amendment to section 546 of title 11, United States Code.
- Sec. 407. Amendments to section 330(a) of title 11, United States Code.
- Sec. 408. Postpetition disclosure and solicitation.
- Sec. 409. Preferences.
- Sec. 410. Venue of certain proceedings.
- Sec. 411. Period for filing plan under chapter 11.
- Sec. 412. Fees arising from certain ownership interests.
- Sec. 413. Creditor representation at first meeting of creditors.
- Sec. 414. Definition of disinterested person.
- Sec. 415. Factors for compensation of professional persons.
- Sec. 416. Appointment of elected trustee.
- Sec. 417. Utility service.
- Sec. 418. Bankruptcy fees.
- Sec. 419. More complete information regarding assets of the estate.
- Subtitle B—Small Business Bankruptcy Provisions**
- Sec. 431. Flexible rules for disclosure statement and plan.
- Sec. 432. Definitions.
- Sec. 433. Standard form disclosure statement and plan.
- Sec. 434. Uniform national reporting requirements.
- Sec. 435. Uniform reporting rules and forms for small business cases.
- Sec. 436. Duties in small business cases.
- Sec. 437. Plan filing and confirmation deadlines.
- Sec. 438. Plan confirmation deadline.
- Sec. 439. Duties of the United States trustee.
- Sec. 440. Scheduling conferences.
- Sec. 441. Serial filer provisions.
- Sec. 442. Expanded grounds for dismissal or conversion and appointment of trustee.
- Sec. 443. Study of operation of title 11, United States Code, with respect to small businesses.
- Sec. 444. Payment of interest.
- Sec. 445. Priority for administrative expenses.
- Sec. 446. Duties with respect to a debtor who is a plan administrator of an employee benefit plan.
- Sec. 447. Appointment of committee of retired employees.
- TITLE V—MUNICIPAL BANKRUPTCY PROVISIONS**
- Sec. 501. Petition and proceedings related to petition.
- Sec. 502. Applicability of other sections to chapter 9.
- TITLE VI—BANKRUPTCY DATA**
- Sec. 601. Improved bankruptcy statistics.
- Sec. 602. Uniform rules for the collection of bankruptcy data.
- Sec. 603. Audit procedures.
- Sec. 604. Sense of Congress regarding availability of bankruptcy data.
- TITLE VII—BANKRUPTCY TAX PROVISIONS**
- Sec. 701. Treatment of certain liens.
- Sec. 702. Treatment of fuel tax claims.
- Sec. 703. Notice of request for a determination of taxes.
- Sec. 704. Rate of interest on tax claims.
- Sec. 705. Priority of tax claims.
- Sec. 706. Priority property taxes incurred.
- Sec. 707. No discharge of fraudulent taxes in chapter 13.
- Sec. 708. No discharge of fraudulent taxes in chapter 11.
- Sec. 709. Stay of tax proceedings limited to prepetition taxes.
- Sec. 710. Periodic payment of taxes in chapter 11 cases.
- Sec. 711. Avoidance of statutory tax liens prohibited.
- Sec. 712. Payment of taxes in the conduct of business.
- Sec. 713. Tardily filed priority tax claims.
- Sec. 714. Income tax returns prepared by tax authorities.
- Sec. 715. Discharge of the estate's liability for unpaid taxes.
- Sec. 716. Requirement to file tax returns to confirm chapter 13 plans.
- Sec. 717. Standards for tax disclosure.
- Sec. 718. Setoff of tax refunds.
- Sec. 719. Special provisions related to the treatment of State and local taxes.
- Sec. 720. Dismissal for failure to timely file tax returns.
- TITLE VIII—ANCILLARY AND OTHER CROSS-BORDER CASES**
- Sec. 801. Amendment to add chapter 15 to title 11, United States Code.
- Sec. 802. Other amendments to titles 11 and 28, United States Code.
- TITLE IX—FINANCIAL CONTRACT PROVISIONS**
- Sec. 901. Treatment of certain agreements by conservators or receivers of insured depository institutions.
- Sec. 902. Authority of the FDIC and NCUAB with respect to failed and failing institutions.
- Sec. 903. Amendments relating to transfers of qualified financial contracts.
- Sec. 904. Amendments relating to disaffirmance or repudiation of qualified financial contracts.
- Sec. 905. Clarifying amendment relating to master agreements.
- Sec. 906. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991.
- Sec. 907. Bankruptcy law amendments.
- Sec. 908. Recordkeeping requirements.
- Sec. 909. Exemptions from contemporaneous execution requirement.
- Sec. 910. Damage measure.
- Sec. 911. SIPC stay.
- TITLE X—PROTECTION OF FAMILY FARMERS AND FAMILY FISHERMEN**
- Sec. 1001. Permanent reenactment of chapter 12.
- Sec. 1002. Debt limit increase.
- Sec. 1003. Certain claims owed to governmental units.
- Sec. 1004. Definition of family farmer.
- Sec. 1005. Elimination of requirement that family farmer and spouse receive over 50 percent of income from farming operation in year prior to bankruptcy.
- Sec. 1006. Prohibition of retroactive assessment of disposable income.
- Sec. 1007. Family fishermen.
- TITLE XI—HEALTH CARE AND EMPLOYEE BENEFITS**
- Sec. 1101. Definitions.
- Sec. 1102. Disposal of patient records.
- Sec. 1103. Administrative expense claim for costs of closing a health care business and other administrative expenses.
- Sec. 1104. Appointment of ombudsman to act as patient advocate.
- Sec. 1105. Debtor in possession; duty of trustee to transfer patients.
- Sec. 1106. Exclusion from program participation not subject to automatic stay.
- TITLE XII—TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS**
- Sec. 1201. Definitions.
- Sec. 1202. Adjustment of dollar amounts.
- Sec. 1203. Extension of time.
- Sec. 1204. Technical amendments.
- Sec. 1205. Penalty for persons who negligently or fraudulently prepare bankruptcy petitions.
- Sec. 1206. Limitation on compensation of professional persons.
- Sec. 1207. Effect of conversion.
- Sec. 1208. Allowance of administrative expenses.
- Sec. 1209. Exceptions to discharge.
- Sec. 1210. Effect of discharge.
- Sec. 1211. Protection against discriminatory treatment.
- Sec. 1212. Property of the estate.
- Sec. 1213. Preferences.
- Sec. 1214. Postpetition transactions.
- Sec. 1215. Disposition of property of the estate.
- Sec. 1216. General provisions.
- Sec. 1217. Abandonment of railroad line.
- Sec. 1218. Contents of plan.
- Sec. 1219. Bankruptcy cases and proceedings.
- Sec. 1220. Knowing disregard of bankruptcy law or rule.
- Sec. 1221. Transfers made by nonprofit charitable corporations.
- Sec. 1222. Protection of valid purchase money security interests.
- Sec. 1223. Bankruptcy Judgeships.
- Sec. 1224. Compensating trustees.
- Sec. 1225. Amendment to section 362 of title 11, United States Code.
- Sec. 1226. Judicial education.
- Sec. 1227. Reclamation.
- Sec. 1228. Providing requested tax documents to the court.
- Sec. 1229. Encouraging creditworthiness.
- Sec. 1230. Property no longer subject to redemption.
- Sec. 1231. Trustees.
- Sec. 1232. Bankruptcy forms.
- Sec. 1233. Direct appeals of bankruptcy matters to courts of appeals.

Sec. 1234. Involuntary cases.
 Sec. 1235. Federal election law fines and penalties as nondischargeable debt.

TITLE XIII—CONSUMER CREDIT DISCLOSURE

Sec. 1301. Enhanced disclosures under an open end credit plan.
 Sec. 1302. Enhanced disclosure for credit extensions secured by a dwelling.
 Sec. 1303. Disclosures related to “introductory rates”.
 Sec. 1304. Internet-based credit card solicitations.
 Sec. 1305. Disclosures related to late payment deadlines and penalties.
 Sec. 1306. Prohibition on certain actions for failure to incur finance charges.
 Sec. 1307. Dual use debit card.
 Sec. 1308. Study of bankruptcy impact of credit extended to dependent students.
 Sec. 1309. Clarification of clear and conspicuous.

TITLE XIV—GENERAL EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS

Sec. 1401. Effective date; application of amendments.

TITLE XV—PREVENTING CORPORATE BANKRUPTCY ABUSE

Sec. 1501. Employee wage and benefit priorities.
 Sec. 1502. Fraudulent transfers and obligations.
 Sec. 1503. Payment of insurance benefits to retired employees.
 Sec. 1504. Effective date; application of amendments.

TITLE I—NEEDS-BASED BANKRUPTCY

SEC. 101. CONVERSION.

Section 706(c) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting “or consents to” after “requests”.

SEC. 102. DISMISSAL OR CONVERSION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 707 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking the section heading and inserting the following:

“§ 707. Dismissal of a case or conversion to a case under chapter 11 or 13”;

and

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) by inserting “(1)” after “(b)”;

(B) in paragraph (1), as so redesignated by subparagraph (A) of this paragraph—

(i) in the first sentence—

(1) by striking “but not at the request or suggestion of” and inserting “trustee (or bankruptcy administrator, if any), or”;

(2) by inserting “; or, with the debtor’s consent, convert such a case to a case under chapter 11 or 13 of this title,” after “consumer debts”; and

(3) by striking “a substantial abuse” and inserting “an abuse”; and

(ii) by striking the next to last sentence; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(2)(A)(i) In considering under paragraph (1) whether the granting of relief would be an abuse of the provisions of this chapter, the court shall presume abuse exists if the debtor’s current monthly income reduced by the amounts determined under clauses (ii), (iii), and (iv), and multiplied by 60 is not less than the lesser of—

“(I) 25 percent of the debtor’s nonpriority unsecured claims in the case, or \$6,000, whichever is greater; or

“(II) \$10,000.

“(ii)(I) The debtor’s monthly expenses shall be the debtor’s applicable monthly expense amounts specified under the National Standards and Local Standards, and the debtor’s actual monthly expenses for the categories specified as Other Necessary Ex-

penses issued by the Internal Revenue Service for the area in which the debtor resides, as in effect on the date of the order for relief, for the debtor, the dependents of the debtor, and the spouse of the debtor in a joint case, if the spouse is not otherwise a dependent. Notwithstanding any other provision of this clause, the monthly expenses of the debtor shall not include any payments for debts. In addition, the debtor’s monthly expenses shall include the debtor’s reasonably necessary expenses incurred to maintain the safety of the debtor and the family of the debtor from family violence as identified under section 309 of the Family Violence Prevention and Services Act, or other applicable Federal law. The expenses included in the debtor’s monthly expenses described in the preceding sentence shall be kept confidential by the court. In addition, if it is demonstrated that it is reasonable and necessary, the debtor’s monthly expenses may also include an additional allowance for food and clothing of up to 5 percent of the food and clothing categories as specified by the National Standards issued by the Internal Revenue Service.

“(II) In addition, the debtor’s monthly expenses may include, if applicable, the continuation of actual expenses paid by the debtor that are reasonable and necessary for care and support of an elderly, chronically ill, or disabled household member or member of the debtor’s immediate family (including parents, grandparents, siblings, children, and grandchildren of the debtor, the dependents of the debtor, and the spouse of the debtor in a joint case who is not a dependent) and who is unable to pay for such reasonable and necessary expenses.

“(III) In addition, for a debtor eligible for chapter 13, the debtor’s monthly expenses may include the actual administrative expenses of administering a chapter 13 plan for the district in which the debtor resides, up to an amount of 10 percent of the projected plan payments, as determined under schedules issued by the Executive Office for United States Trustees.

“(IV) In addition, the debtor’s monthly expenses may include the actual expenses for each dependent child less than 18 years of age, not to exceed \$1,500 per year per child, to attend a private or public elementary or secondary school if the debtor provides documentation of such expenses and a detailed explanation of why such expenses are reasonable and necessary, and why such expenses are not already accounted for in the National Standards, Local Standards, or Other Necessary Expenses referred to in subclause (I).

“(V) In addition, the debtor’s monthly expenses may include an allowance for housing and utilities, in excess of the allowance specified by the Local Standards for housing and utilities issued by the Internal Revenue Service, based on the actual expenses for home energy costs if the debtor provides documentation of such actual expenses and demonstrates that such actual expenses are reasonable and necessary.

“(iii) The debtor’s average monthly payments on account of secured debts shall be calculated as the sum of—

“(I) the total of all amounts scheduled as contractually due to secured creditors in each month of the 60 months following the date of the petition; and

“(II) any additional payments to secured creditors necessary for the debtor, in filing a plan under chapter 13 of this title, to maintain possession of the debtor’s primary residence, motor vehicle, or other property necessary for the support of the debtor and the debtor’s dependents, that serves as collateral for secured debts; divided by 60.

“(iv) The debtor’s expenses for payment of all priority claims (including priority child support and alimony claims) shall be calculated as the total amount of debts entitled to priority, divided by 60.

“(B)(i) In any proceeding brought under this subsection, the presumption of abuse may only be rebutted by demonstrating special circumstances that justify additional expenses or adjustments of current monthly income for which there is no reasonable alternative.

“(ii) In order to establish special circumstances, the debtor shall be required to itemize each additional expense or adjustment of income and to provide—

“(I) documentation for such expense or adjustment to income; and

“(II) a detailed explanation of the special circumstances that make such expenses or adjustment to income necessary and reasonable.

“(iii) The debtor shall attest under oath to the accuracy of any information provided to demonstrate that additional expenses or adjustments to income are required.

“(iv) The presumption of abuse may only be rebutted if the additional expenses or adjustments to income referred to in clause (i) cause the product of the debtor’s current monthly income reduced by the amounts determined under clauses (ii), (iii), and (iv) of subparagraph (A) when multiplied by 60 to be less than the lesser of—

“(I) 25 percent of the debtor’s nonpriority unsecured claims, or \$6,000, whichever is greater; or

“(II) \$10,000.

“(C) As part of the schedule of current income and expenditures required under section 521, the debtor shall include a statement of the debtor’s current monthly income, and the calculations that determine whether a presumption arises under subparagraph (A)(i), that show how each such amount is calculated.

“(3) In considering under paragraph (1) whether the granting of relief would be an abuse of the provisions of this chapter in a case in which the presumption in subparagraph (A)(i) of such paragraph does not arise or is rebutted, the court shall consider—

“(A) whether the debtor filed the petition in bad faith; or

“(B) the totality of the circumstances (including whether the debtor seeks to reject a personal services contract and the financial need for such rejection as sought by the debtor) of the debtor’s financial situation demonstrates abuse.

“(4)(A) The court, on its own initiative or on the motion of a party in interest, in accordance with the procedures described in rule 9011 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, may order the attorney for the debtor to reimburse the trustee for all reasonable costs in prosecuting a motion filed under section 707(b), including reasonable attorneys’ fees, if—

“(i) a trustee files a motion for dismissal or conversion under this subsection; and

“(ii) the court—

“(I) grants such motion; and

“(II) finds that the action of the attorney for the debtor in filing under this chapter violated rule 9011 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

“(B) If the court finds that the attorney for the debtor violated rule 9011 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the court, on its own initiative or on the motion of a party in interest, in accordance with such procedures, may order—

“(i) the assessment of an appropriate civil penalty against the attorney for the debtor; and

“(ii) the payment of such civil penalty to the trustee, the United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any).

“(C) The signature of an attorney on a petition, pleading, or written motion shall constitute a certification that the attorney has—

“(i) performed a reasonable investigation into the circumstances that gave rise to the petition, pleading, or written motion; and

“(ii) determined that the petition, pleading, or written motion—

“(I) is well grounded in fact; and

“(II) is warranted by existing law or a good faith argument for the extension, modification, or reversal of existing law and does not constitute an abuse under paragraph (1).

“(D) The signature of an attorney on the petition shall constitute a certification that the attorney has no knowledge after an inquiry that the information in the schedules filed with such petition is incorrect.

“(5)(A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B) and subject to paragraph (6), the court, on its own initiative or on the motion of a party in interest, in accordance with the procedures described in rule 9011 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, may award a debtor all reasonable costs (including reasonable attorneys’ fees) in contesting a motion filed by a party in interest (other than a trustee or United States trustee (or bankruptcy administrator, if any)) under this subsection if—

“(i) the court does not grant the motion; and

“(ii) the court finds that—

“(I) the position of the party that filed the motion violated rule 9011 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure; or

“(II) the attorney (if any) who filed the motion did not comply with the requirements of clauses (i) and (ii) of paragraph (4)(C), and the motion was made solely for the purpose of coercing a debtor into waiving a right guaranteed to the debtor under this title.

“(B) A small business that has a claim of an aggregate amount less than \$1,000 shall not be subject to subparagraph (A)(i)(I).

“(C) For purposes of this paragraph—

“(i) the term ‘small business’ means an unincorporated business, partnership, corporation, association, or organization that—

“(I) has fewer than 25 full-time employees as determined on the date on which the motion is filed; and

“(II) is engaged in commercial or business activity; and

“(ii) the number of employees of a wholly owned subsidiary of a corporation includes the employees of—

“(I) a parent corporation; and

“(II) any other subsidiary corporation of the parent corporation.

“(6) Only the judge or United States trustee (or bankruptcy administrator, if any) may file a motion under section 707(b), if the current monthly income of the debtor, or in a joint case, the debtor and the debtor’s spouse, as of the date of the order for relief, when multiplied by 12, is equal to or less than—

“(A) in the case of a debtor in a household of 1 person, the median family income of the applicable State for 1 earner;

“(B) in the case of a debtor in a household of 2, 3, or 4 individuals, the highest median family income of the applicable State for a family of the same number or fewer individuals; or

“(C) in the case of a debtor in a household exceeding 4 individuals, the highest median family income of the applicable State for a family of 4 or fewer individuals, plus \$525 per month for each individual in excess of 4.

“(7)(A) No judge, United States trustee (or bankruptcy administrator, if any), trustee, or other party in interest may file a motion under paragraph (2) if the current monthly income of the debtor and the debtor’s spouse

combined, as of the date of the order for relief when multiplied by 12, is equal to or less than—

“(i) in the case of a debtor in a household of 1 person, the median family income of the applicable State for 1 earner;

“(ii) in the case of a debtor in a household of 2, 3, or 4 individuals, the highest median family income of the applicable State for a family of the same number or fewer individuals; or

“(iii) in the case of a debtor in a household exceeding 4 individuals, the highest median family income of the applicable State for a family of 4 or fewer individuals, plus \$525 per month for each individual in excess of 4.

“(B) In a case that is not a joint case, current monthly income of the debtor’s spouse shall not be considered for purposes of subparagraph (A) if—

“(i)(I) the debtor and the debtor’s spouse are separated under applicable nonbankruptcy law; or

“(II) the debtor and the debtor’s spouse are living separate and apart, other than for the purpose of evading subparagraph (A); and

“(ii) the debtor files a statement under penalty of perjury—

“(I) specifying that the debtor meets the requirement of subclause (I) or (II) of clause (i); and

“(II) disclosing the aggregate, or best estimate of the aggregate, amount of any cash or money payments received from the debtor’s spouse attributed to the debtor’s current monthly income.”

(b) DEFINITION.—Section 101 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting after paragraph (10) the following:

“(10A) ‘current monthly income’—

“(A) means the average monthly income from all sources that the debtor receives (or in a joint case the debtor and the debtor’s spouse receive) without regard to whether such income is taxable income, derived during the 6-month period ending on—

“(i) the last day of the calendar month immediately preceding the date of the commencement of the case if the debtor files the schedule of current income required by section 521(a)(1)(B)(ii); or

“(ii) the date on which current income is determined by the court for purposes of this title if the debtor does not file the schedule of current income required by section 521(a)(1)(B)(ii); and

“(B) includes any amount paid by any entity other than the debtor (or in a joint case the debtor and the debtor’s spouse), on a regular basis for the household expenses of the debtor or the debtor’s dependents (and in a joint case the debtor’s spouse if not otherwise a dependent), but excludes benefits received under the Social Security Act, payments to victims of war crimes or crimes against humanity on account of their status as victims of such crimes, and payments to victims of international terrorism (as defined in section 2331 of title 18) or domestic terrorism (as defined in section 2331 of title 18) on account of their status as victims of such terrorism.”

(c) UNITED STATES TRUSTEE AND BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATOR DUTIES.—Section 704 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “(a)” before “The trustee shall—”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(b)(1) With respect to a debtor who is an individual in a case under this chapter—

“(A) the United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any) shall review all materials filed by the debtor and, not later than 10 days after the date of the first meeting of creditors, file with the court a statement as to whether the debtor’s case would be presumed to be an abuse under section 707(b); and

“(B) not later than 5 days after receiving a statement under subparagraph (A), the court shall provide a copy of the statement to all creditors.

“(2) The United States trustee (or bankruptcy administrator, if any) shall, not later than 30 days after the date of filing a statement under paragraph (1), either file a motion to dismiss or convert under section 707(b) or file a statement setting forth the reasons the United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any) does not consider such a motion to be appropriate, if the United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any) determines that the debtor’s case should be presumed to be an abuse under section 707(b) and the product of the debtor’s current monthly income, multiplied by 12 is not less than—

“(A) in the case of a debtor in a household of 1 person, the median family income of the applicable State for 1 earner; or

“(B) in the case of a debtor in a household of 2 or more individuals, the highest median family income of the applicable State for a family of the same number or fewer individuals.”

(d) NOTICE.—Section 342 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(d) In a case under chapter 7 of this title in which the debtor is an individual and in which the presumption of abuse arises under section 707(b), the clerk shall give written notice to all creditors not later than 10 days after the date of the filing of the petition that the presumption of abuse has arisen.”

(e) NONLIMITATION OF INFORMATION.—Nothing in this title shall limit the ability of a creditor to provide information to a judge (except for information communicated ex parte, unless otherwise permitted by applicable law), United States trustee (or bankruptcy administrator, if any), or trustee.

(f) DISMISSAL FOR CERTAIN CRIMES.—Section 707 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(c)(1) In this subsection—

“(A) the term ‘crime of violence’ has the meaning given such term in section 16 of title 18; and

“(B) the term ‘drug trafficking crime’ has the meaning given such term in section 924(c)(2) of title 18.

“(2) Except as provided in paragraph (3), after notice and a hearing, the court, on a motion by the victim of a crime of violence or a drug trafficking crime, may when it is in the best interest of the victim dismiss a voluntary case filed under this chapter by a debtor who is an individual if such individual was convicted of such crime.

“(3) The court may not dismiss a case under paragraph (2) if the debtor establishes by a preponderance of the evidence that the filing of a case under this chapter is necessary to satisfy a claim for a domestic support obligation.”

(g) CONFIRMATION OF PLAN.—Section 1325(a) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (5), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (6), by striking the period and inserting a semicolon; and

(3) by inserting after paragraph (6) the following:

“(7) the action of the debtor in filing the petition was in good faith;”

(h) APPLICABILITY OF MEANS TEST TO CHAPTER 13.—Section 1325(b) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)(B), by inserting “to unsecured creditors” after “to make payments”; and

(2) by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

“(2) For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘disposable income’ means current

monthly income received by the debtor (other than child support payments, foster care payments, or disability payments for a dependent child made in accordance with applicable nonbankruptcy law to the extent reasonably necessary to be expended for such child) less amounts reasonably necessary to be expended—

“(A)(i) for the maintenance or support of the debtor or a dependent of the debtor, or for a domestic support obligation, that first becomes payable after the date the petition is filed; and

“(ii) for charitable contributions (that meet the definition of ‘charitable contribution’ under section 548(d)(3) to a qualified religious or charitable entity or organization (as defined in section 548(d)(4)) in an amount not to exceed 15 percent of gross income of the debtor for the year in which the contributions are made; and

“(B) if the debtor is engaged in business, for the payment of expenditures necessary for the continuation, preservation, and operation of such business.

“(3) Amounts reasonably necessary to be expended under paragraph (2) shall be determined in accordance with subparagraphs (A) and (B) of section 707(b)(2), if the debtor has current monthly income, when multiplied by 12, greater than—

“(A) in the case of a debtor in a household of 1 person, the median family income of the applicable State for 1 earner;

“(B) in the case of a debtor in a household of 2, 3, or 4 individuals, the highest median family income of the applicable State for a family of the same number or fewer individuals; or

“(C) in the case of a debtor in a household exceeding 4 individuals, the highest median family income of the applicable State for a family of 4 or fewer individuals, plus \$525 per month for each individual in excess of 4.”

(i) SPECIAL ALLOWANCE FOR HEALTH INSURANCE.—Section 1329(a) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2) by striking “or” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (3) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; or”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(4) reduce amounts to be paid under the plan by the actual amount expended by the debtor to purchase health insurance for the debtor (and for any dependent of the debtor if such dependent does not otherwise have health insurance coverage) if the debtor documents the cost of such insurance and demonstrates that—

“(A) such expenses are reasonable and necessary;

“(B)(i) if the debtor previously paid for health insurance, the amount is not materially larger than the cost the debtor previously paid or the cost necessary to maintain the lapsed policy; or

“(ii) if the debtor did not have health insurance, the amount is not materially larger than the reasonable cost that would be incurred by a debtor who purchases health insurance, who has similar income, expenses, age, and health status, and who lives in the same geographical location with the same number of dependents who do not otherwise have health insurance coverage; and

“(C) the amount is not otherwise allowed for purposes of determining disposable income under section 1325(b) of this title; and upon request of any party in interest, files proof that a health insurance policy was purchased.”

(j) ADJUSTMENT OF DOLLAR AMOUNTS.—Section 104(b) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking “and 523(a)(2)(C)” each place it appears and inserting “523(a)(2)(C), 707(b), and 1325(b)(3)”.

(k) DEFINITION OF ‘MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME’.—Section 101 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting after paragraph (39) the following:

“(39A) ‘median family income’ means for any year—

“(A) the median family income both calculated and reported by the Bureau of the Census in the then most recent year; and

“(B) if not so calculated and reported in the then current year, adjusted annually after such most recent year until the next year in which median family income is both calculated and reported by the Bureau of the Census, to reflect the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers during the period of years occurring after such most recent year and before such current year;”

(k) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 7 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 707 and inserting the following:

“707. Dismissal of a case or conversion to a case under chapter 11 or 13.”

SEC. 103. SENSE OF CONGRESS AND STUDY.

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of the Treasury has the authority to alter the Internal Revenue Service standards established to set guidelines for repayment plans as needed to accommodate their use under section 707(b) of title 11, United States Code.

(b) STUDY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the Executive Office for United States Trustees shall submit a report to the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate and the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives containing the findings of the Director regarding the utilization of Internal Revenue Service standards for determining—

(A) the current monthly expenses of a debtor under section 707(b) of title 11, United States Code; and

(B) the impact that the application of such standards has had on debtors and on the bankruptcy courts.

(2) RECOMMENDATION.—The report under paragraph (1) may include recommendations for amendments to title 11, United States Code, that are consistent with the findings of the Director under paragraph (1).

SEC. 104. NOTICE OF ALTERNATIVES.

Section 342(b) of title 11, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(b) Before the commencement of a case under this title by an individual whose debts are primarily consumer debts, the clerk shall give to such individual written notice containing—

“(1) a brief description of—

“(A) chapters 7, 11, 12, and 13 and the general purpose, benefits, and costs of proceeding under each of those chapters; and

“(B) the types of services available from credit counseling agencies; and

“(2) statements specifying that—

“(A) a person who knowingly and fraudulently conceals assets or makes a false oath or statement under penalty of perjury in connection with a case under this title shall be subject to fine, imprisonment, or both; and

“(B) all information supplied by a debtor in connection with a case under this title is subject to examination by the Attorney General.”

SEC. 105. DEBTOR FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT TRAINING TEST PROGRAM.

(a) DEVELOPMENT OF FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND TRAINING CURRICULUM AND MATERIALS.—The Director of the Executive Office for United States Trustees (in this section

referred to as the “Director”) shall consult with a wide range of individuals who are experts in the field of debtor education, including trustees who serve in cases under chapter 13 of title 11, United States Code, and who operate financial management education programs for debtors, and shall develop a financial management training curriculum and materials that can be used to educate debtors who are individuals on how to better manage their finances.

(b) TEST.—

(1) SELECTION OF DISTRICTS.—The Director shall select 6 judicial districts of the United States in which to test the effectiveness of the financial management training curriculum and materials developed under subsection (a).

(2) USE.—For an 18-month period beginning not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, such curriculum and materials shall be, for the 6 judicial districts selected under paragraph (1), used as the instructional course concerning personal financial management for purposes of section 111 of title 11, United States Code.

(c) EVALUATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—During the 18-month period referred to in subsection (b), the Director shall evaluate the effectiveness of—

(A) the financial management training curriculum and materials developed under subsection (a); and

(B) a sample of existing consumer education programs such as those described in the Report of the National Bankruptcy Review Commission (October 20, 1997) that are representative of consumer education programs carried out by the credit industry, by trustees serving under chapter 13 of title 11, United States Code, and by consumer counseling groups.

(2) REPORT.—Not later than 3 months after concluding such evaluation, the Director shall submit a report to the Speaker of the House of Representatives and the President pro tempore of the Senate, for referral to the appropriate committees of the Congress, containing the findings of the Director regarding the effectiveness of such curriculum, such materials, and such programs and their costs.

SEC. 106. CREDIT COUNSELING.

(a) WHO MAY BE A DEBTOR.—Section 109 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(h)(1) Subject to paragraphs (2) and (3), and notwithstanding any other provision of this section, an individual may not be a debtor under this title unless such individual has, during the 180-day period preceding the date of filing of the petition by such individual, received from an approved nonprofit budget and credit counseling agency described in section 111(a) an individual or group briefing (including a briefing conducted by telephone or on the Internet) that outlined the opportunities for available credit counseling and assisted such individual in performing a related budget analysis.

“(2)(A) Paragraph (1) shall not apply with respect to a debtor who resides in a district for which the United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any) determines that the approved nonprofit budget and credit counseling agencies for such district are not reasonably able to provide adequate services to the additional individuals who would otherwise seek credit counseling from such agencies by reason of the requirements of paragraph (1).

“(B) The United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any) who makes a determination described in subparagraph (A) shall review such determination not later than 1 year after the date of such determination, and not less frequently than

annually thereafter. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, a nonprofit budget and credit counseling agency may be disapproved by the United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any) at any time.

“(3)(A) Subject to subparagraph (B), the requirements of paragraph (1) shall not apply with respect to a debtor who submits to the court a certification that—

“(i) describes exigent circumstances that merit a waiver of the requirements of paragraph (1);

“(ii) states that the debtor requested credit counseling services from an approved nonprofit budget and credit counseling agency, but was unable to obtain the services referred to in paragraph (1) during the 5-day period beginning on the date on which the debtor made that request; and

“(iii) is satisfactory to the court.

“(B) With respect to a debtor, an exemption under subparagraph (A) shall cease to apply to that debtor on the date on which the debtor meets the requirements of paragraph (1), but in no case may the exemption apply to that debtor after the date that is 30 days after the debtor files a petition, except that the court, for cause, may order an additional 15 days.”

(b) CHAPTER 7 DISCHARGE.—Section 727(a) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (9), by striking “or” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (10), by striking the period and inserting “; or”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(11) after filing the petition, the debtor failed to complete an instructional course concerning personal financial management described in section 111, except that this paragraph shall not apply with respect to a debtor who resides in a district for which the United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any) determines that the approved instructional courses are not adequate to service the additional individuals who would otherwise be required to complete such instructional courses under this section (The United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any) who makes a determination described in this paragraph shall review such determination not later than 1 year after the date of such determination, and not less frequently than annually thereafter).”

(c) CHAPTER 13 DISCHARGE.—Section 1328 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(g)(1) The court shall not grant a discharge under this section to a debtor unless after filing a petition the debtor has completed an instructional course concerning personal financial management described in section 111.

“(2) Paragraph (1) shall not apply with respect to a debtor who resides in a district for which the United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any) determines that the approved instructional courses are not adequate to service the additional individuals who would otherwise be required to complete such instructional course by reason of the requirements of paragraph (1).

“(3) The United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any) who makes a determination described in paragraph (2) shall review such determination not later than 1 year after the date of such determination, and not less frequently than annually thereafter.”

(d) DEBTOR'S DUTIES.—Section 521 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “(a)” before “The debtor shall—”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(b) In addition to the requirements under subsection (a), a debtor who is an individual shall file with the court—

“(1) a certificate from the approved nonprofit budget and credit counseling agency that provided the debtor services under section 109(h) describing the services provided to the debtor; and

“(2) a copy of the debt repayment plan, if any, developed under section 109(h) through the approved nonprofit budget and credit counseling agency referred to in paragraph (1).”

(e) GENERAL PROVISIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 1 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§ 111. Nonprofit budget and credit counseling agencies; financial management instructional courses

“(a) The clerk shall maintain a publicly available list of—

“(1) nonprofit budget and credit counseling agencies that provide 1 or more services described in section 109(h) currently approved by the United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any); and

“(2) instructional courses concerning personal financial management currently approved by the United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any), as applicable.

“(b) The United States trustee (or bankruptcy administrator, if any) shall only approve a nonprofit budget and credit counseling agency or an instructional course concerning personal financial management as follows:

“(1) The United States trustee (or bankruptcy administrator, if any) shall have thoroughly reviewed the qualifications of the nonprofit budget and credit counseling agency or of the provider of the instructional course under the standards set forth in this section, and the services or instructional courses that will be offered by such agency or such provider, and may require such agency or such provider that has sought approval to provide information with respect to such review.

“(2) The United States trustee (or bankruptcy administrator, if any) shall have determined that such agency or such instructional course fully satisfies the applicable standards set forth in this section.

“(3) If a nonprofit budget and credit counseling agency or instructional course did not appear on the approved list for the district under subsection (a) immediately before approval under this section, approval under this subsection of such agency or such instructional course shall be for a probationary period not to exceed 6 months.

“(4) At the conclusion of the applicable probationary period under paragraph (3), the United States trustee (or bankruptcy administrator, if any) may only approve for an additional 1-year period, and for successive 1-year periods thereafter, an agency or instructional course that has demonstrated during the probationary or applicable subsequent period of approval that such agency or instructional course—

“(A) has met the standards set forth under this section during such period; and

“(B) can satisfy such standards in the future.

“(5) Not later than 30 days after any final decision under paragraph (4), an interested person may seek judicial review of such decision in the appropriate district court of the United States.

“(c)(1) The United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any) shall only approve a nonprofit budget and credit counseling agency that demonstrates that it will provide qualified counselors, maintain adequate provision for safekeeping and payment of client funds, provide adequate counseling with respect to client credit problems, and

deal responsibly and effectively with other matters relating to the quality, effectiveness, and financial security of the services it provides.

“(2) To be approved by the United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any), a nonprofit budget and credit counseling agency shall, at a minimum—

“(A) have a board of directors the majority of which—

“(i) are not employed by such agency; and

“(ii) will not directly or indirectly benefit financially from the outcome of the counseling services provided by such agency;

“(B) if a fee is charged for counseling services, charge a reasonable fee, and provide services without regard to ability to pay the fee;

“(C) provide for safekeeping and payment of client funds, including an annual audit of the trust accounts and appropriate employee bonding;

“(D) provide full disclosures to a client, including funding sources, counselor qualifications, possible impact on credit reports, and any costs of such program that will be paid by such client and how such costs will be paid;

“(E) provide adequate counseling with respect to a client's credit problems that includes an analysis of such client's current financial condition, factors that caused such financial condition, and how such client can develop a plan to respond to the problems without incurring negative amortization of debt;

“(F) provide trained counselors who receive no commissions or bonuses based on the outcome of the counseling services provided by such agency, and who have adequate experience, and have been adequately trained to provide counseling services to individuals in financial difficulty, including the matters described in subparagraph (E);

“(G) demonstrate adequate experience and background in providing credit counseling; and

“(H) have adequate financial resources to provide continuing support services for budgeting plans over the life of any repayment plan.

“(d) The United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any) shall only approve an instructional course concerning personal financial management—

“(1) for an initial probationary period under subsection (b)(3) if the course will provide at a minimum—

“(A) trained personnel with adequate experience and training in providing effective instruction and services;

“(B) learning materials and teaching methodologies designed to assist debtors in understanding personal financial management and that are consistent with stated objectives directly related to the goals of such instructional course;

“(C) adequate facilities situated in reasonably convenient locations at which such instructional course is offered, except that such facilities may include the provision of such instructional course by telephone or through the Internet, if such instructional course is effective; and

“(D) the preparation and retention of reasonable records (which shall include the debtor's bankruptcy case number) to permit evaluation of the effectiveness of such instructional course, including any evaluation of satisfaction of instructional course requirements for each debtor attending such instructional course, which shall be available for inspection and evaluation by the Executive Office for United States Trustees, the United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any), or the chief bankruptcy judge for the district in which such instructional course is offered; and

“(2) for any 1-year period if the provider thereof has demonstrated that the course meets the standards of paragraph (1) and, in addition—

“(A) has been effective in assisting a substantial number of debtors to understand personal financial management; and

“(B) is otherwise likely to increase substantially the debtor’s understanding of personal financial management.

“(e) The district court may, at any time, investigate the qualifications of a nonprofit budget and credit counseling agency referred to in subsection (a), and request production of documents to ensure the integrity and effectiveness of such agency. The district court may, at any time, remove from the approved list under subsection (a) a nonprofit budget and credit counseling agency upon finding such agency does not meet the qualifications of subsection (b).

“(f) The United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any) shall notify the clerk that a nonprofit budget and credit counseling agency or an instructional course is no longer approved, in which case the clerk shall remove it from the list maintained under subsection (a).

“(g)(1) No nonprofit budget and credit counseling agency may provide to a credit reporting agency information concerning whether a debtor has received or sought instruction concerning personal financial management from such agency.

“(2) A nonprofit budget and credit counseling agency that willfully or negligently fails to comply with any requirement under this title with respect to a debtor shall be liable for damages in an amount equal to the sum of—

“(A) any actual damages sustained by the debtor as a result of the violation; and

“(B) any court costs or reasonable attorneys’ fees (as determined by the court) incurred in an action to recover those damages.”

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 1 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“111. Nonprofit budget and credit counseling agencies; financial management instructional courses.”

(f) LIMITATION.—Section 362 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(i) If a case commenced under chapter 7, 11, or 13 is dismissed due to the creation of a debt repayment plan, for purposes of subsection (c)(3), any subsequent case commenced by the debtor under any such chapter shall not be presumed to be filed not in good faith.

“(j) On request of a party in interest, the court shall issue an order under subsection (c) confirming that the automatic stay has been terminated.”

SEC. 107. SCHEDULES OF REASONABLE AND NECESSARY EXPENSES.

For purposes of section 707(b) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by this Act, the Director of the Executive Office for United States Trustees shall, not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, issue schedules of reasonable and necessary administrative expenses of administering a chapter 13 plan for each judicial district of the United States.

TITLE II—ENHANCED CONSUMER PROTECTION

Subtitle A—Penalties for Abusive Creditor Practices

SEC. 201. PROMOTION OF ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION.

(a) REDUCTION OF CLAIM.—Section 502 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(k)(1) The court, on the motion of the debtor and after a hearing, may reduce a claim filed under this section based in whole on an unsecured consumer debt by not more than 20 percent of the claim, if—

“(A) the claim was filed by a creditor who unreasonably refused to negotiate a reasonable alternative repayment schedule proposed on behalf of the debtor by an approved nonprofit budget and credit counseling agency described in section 111;

“(B) the offer of the debtor under subparagraph (A)—

“(i) was made at least 60 days before the date of the filing of the petition; and

“(ii) provided for payment of at least 60 percent of the amount of the debt over a period not to exceed the repayment period of the loan, or a reasonable extension thereof; and

“(C) no part of the debt under the alternative repayment schedule is nondischargeable.

“(2) The debtor shall have the burden of proving, by clear and convincing evidence, that—

“(A) the creditor unreasonably refused to consider the debtor’s proposal; and

“(B) the proposed alternative repayment schedule was made prior to expiration of the 60-day period specified in paragraph (1)(B)(i).”

(b) LIMITATION ON AVOIDABILITY.—Section 547 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(h) The trustee may not avoid a transfer if such transfer was made as a part of an alternative repayment schedule between the debtor and any creditor of the debtor created by an approved nonprofit budget and credit counseling agency.”

SEC. 202. EFFECT OF DISCHARGE.

Section 524 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(i) The willful failure of a creditor to credit payments received under a plan confirmed under this title, unless the order confirming the plan is revoked, the plan is in default, or the creditor has not received payments required to be made under the plan in the manner required by the plan (including crediting the amounts required under the plan), shall constitute a violation of an injunction under subsection (a)(2) if the act of the creditor to collect and failure to credit payments in the manner required by the plan caused material injury to the debtor.

“(j) Subsection (a)(2) does not operate as an injunction against an act by a creditor that is the holder of a secured claim, if—

“(1) such creditor retains a security interest in real property that is the principal residence of the debtor;

“(2) such act is in the ordinary course of business between the creditor and the debtor; and

“(3) such act is limited to seeking or obtaining periodic payments associated with a valid security interest in lieu of pursuit of in rem relief to enforce the lien.”

SEC. 203. DISCOURAGING ABUSE OF REAFFIRMATION AGREEMENT PRACTICES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 524 of title 11, United States Code, as amended section 202, is amended—

(1) in subsection (c), by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

“(2) the debtor received the disclosures described in subsection (k) at or before the time at which the debtor signed the agreement;” and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(k)(1) The disclosures required under subsection (c)(2) shall consist of the disclosure statement described in paragraph (3), completed as required in that paragraph, to-

gether with the agreement specified in subsection (c), statement, declaration, motion and order described, respectively, in paragraphs (4) through (8), and shall be the only disclosures required in connection with entering into such agreement.

“(2) Disclosures made under paragraph (1) shall be made clearly and conspicuously and in writing. The terms ‘Amount Reaffirmed’ and ‘Annual Percentage Rate’ shall be disclosed more conspicuously than other terms, data or information provided in connection with this disclosure, except that the phrases ‘Before agreeing to reaffirm a debt, review these important disclosures’ and ‘Summary of Reaffirmation Agreement’ may be equally conspicuous. Disclosures may be made in a different order and may use terminology different from that set forth in paragraphs (2) through (8), except that the terms ‘Amount Reaffirmed’ and ‘Annual Percentage Rate’ must be used where indicated.

“(3) The disclosure statement required under this paragraph shall consist of the following:

“(A) The statement: ‘Part A: Before agreeing to reaffirm a debt, review these important disclosures.’;

“(B) Under the heading ‘Summary of Reaffirmation Agreement’, the statement: ‘This Summary is made pursuant to the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code.’;

“(C) The ‘Amount Reaffirmed’, using that term, which shall be—

“(i) the total amount of debt that the debtor agrees to reaffirm by entering into an agreement of the kind specified in subsection (c), and

“(ii) the total of any fees and costs accrued as of the date of the disclosure statement, related to such total amount.

“(D) In conjunction with the disclosure of the ‘Amount Reaffirmed’, the statements—

“(i) ‘The amount of debt you have agreed to reaffirm’; and

“(ii) ‘Your credit agreement may obligate you to pay additional amounts which may come due after the date of this disclosure. Consult your credit agreement.’;

“(E) The ‘Annual Percentage Rate’, using that term, which shall be disclosed as—

“(i) if, at the time the petition is filed, the debt is an extension of credit under an open end credit plan, as the terms ‘credit’ and ‘open end credit plan’ are defined in section 103 of the Truth in Lending Act, then—

“(I) the annual percentage rate determined under paragraphs (5) and (6) of section 127(b) of the Truth in Lending Act, as applicable, as disclosed to the debtor in the most recent periodic statement prior to entering into an agreement of the kind specified in subsection (c) or, if no such periodic statement has been given to the debtor during the prior 6 months, the annual percentage rate as it would have been so disclosed at the time the disclosure statement is given to the debtor, or to the extent this annual percentage rate is not readily available or not applicable, then

“(II) the simple interest rate applicable to the amount reaffirmed as of the date the disclosure statement is given to the debtor, or if different simple interest rates apply to different balances, the simple interest rate applicable to each such balance, identifying the amount of each such balance included in the amount reaffirmed, or

“(III) if the entity making the disclosure elects, to disclose the annual percentage rate under subclause (I) and the simple interest rate under subclause (II);

“(ii) if, at the time the petition is filed, the debt is an extension of credit other than under an open end credit plan, as the terms ‘credit’ and ‘open end credit plan’ are defined in section 103 of the Truth in Lending Act, then—

“(I) the annual percentage rate under section 128(a)(4) of the Truth in Lending Act, as disclosed to the debtor in the most recent disclosure statement given to the debtor prior to the entering into an agreement of the kind specified in subsection (c) with respect to the debt, or, if no such disclosure statement was given to the debtor, the annual percentage rate as it would have been so disclosed at the time the disclosure statement is given to the debtor, or to the extent this annual percentage rate is not readily available or not applicable, then

“(II) the simple interest rate applicable to the amount reaffirmed as of the date the disclosure statement is given to the debtor, or if different simple interest rates apply to different balances, the simple interest rate applicable to each such balance, identifying the amount of such balance included in the amount reaffirmed, or

“(III) if the entity making the disclosure elects, to disclose the annual percentage rate under (I) and the simple interest rate under (II).

“(F) If the underlying debt transaction was disclosed as a variable rate transaction on the most recent disclosure given under the Truth in Lending Act, by stating ‘The interest rate on your loan may be a variable interest rate which changes from time to time, so that the annual percentage rate disclosed here may be higher or lower.’

“(G) If the debt is secured by a security interest which has not been waived in whole or in part or determined to be void by a final order of the court at the time of the disclosure, by disclosing that a security interest or lien in goods or property is asserted over some or all of the debts the debtor is reaffirming and listing the items and their original purchase price that are subject to the asserted security interest, or if not a purchase-money security interest then listing by items or types and the original amount of the loan.

“(H) At the election of the creditor, a statement of the repayment schedule using 1 or a combination of the following—

“(i) by making the statement: ‘Your first payment in the amount of \$ _____ is due on _____ but the future payment amount may be different. Consult your reaffirmation agreement or credit agreement, as applicable.’, and stating the amount of the first payment and the due date of that payment in the places provided;

“(ii) by making the statement: ‘Your payment schedule will be’, and describing the repayment schedule with the number, amount, and due dates or period of payments scheduled to repay the debts reaffirmed to the extent then known by the disclosing party; or

“(iii) by describing the debtor’s repayment obligations with reasonable specificity to the extent then known by the disclosing party.

“(I) The following statement: ‘Note: When this disclosure refers to what a creditor “may” do, it does not use the word “may” to give the creditor specific permission. The word “may” is used to tell you what might occur if the law permits the creditor to take the action. If you have questions about your reaffirming a debt or what the law requires, consult with the attorney who helped you negotiate this agreement reaffirming a debt. If you don’t have an attorney helping you, the judge will explain the effect of your reaffirming a debt when the hearing on the reaffirmation agreement is held.’

“(J)(i) The following additional statements:

“Reaffirming a debt is a serious financial decision. The law requires you to take certain steps to make sure the decision is in your best interest. If these steps are not

completed, the reaffirmation agreement is not effective, even though you have signed it.

“‘1. Read the disclosures in this Part A carefully. Consider the decision to reaffirm carefully. Then, if you want to reaffirm, sign the reaffirmation agreement in Part B (or you may use a separate agreement you and your creditor agree on).

“‘2. Complete and sign Part D and be sure you can afford to make the payments you are agreeing to make and have received a copy of the disclosure statement and a completed and signed reaffirmation agreement.

“‘3. If you were represented by an attorney during the negotiation of your reaffirmation agreement, the attorney must have signed the certification in Part C.

“‘4. If you were not represented by an attorney during the negotiation of your reaffirmation agreement, you must have completed and signed Part E.

“‘5. The original of this disclosure must be filed with the court by you or your creditor. If a separate reaffirmation agreement (other than the one in Part B) has been signed, it must be attached.

“‘6. If you were represented by an attorney during the negotiation of your reaffirmation agreement, your reaffirmation agreement becomes effective upon filing with the court unless the reaffirmation is presumed to be an undue hardship as explained in Part D.

“‘7. If you were not represented by an attorney during the negotiation of your reaffirmation agreement, it will not be effective unless the court approves it. The court will notify you of the hearing on your reaffirmation agreement. You must attend this hearing in bankruptcy court where the judge will review your reaffirmation agreement. The bankruptcy court must approve your reaffirmation agreement as consistent with your best interests, except that no court approval is required if your reaffirmation agreement is for a consumer debt secured by a mortgage, deed of trust, security deed, or other lien on your real property, like your home.

“‘Your right to rescind (cancel) your reaffirmation agreement. You may rescind (cancel) your reaffirmation agreement at any time before the bankruptcy court enters a discharge order, or before the expiration of the 60-day period that begins on the date your reaffirmation agreement is filed with the court, whichever occurs later. To rescind (cancel) your reaffirmation agreement, you must notify the creditor that your reaffirmation agreement is rescinded (or canceled).

“‘What are your obligations if you reaffirm the debt? A reaffirmed debt remains your personal legal obligation. It is not discharged in your bankruptcy case. That means that if you default on your reaffirmed debt after your bankruptcy case is over, your creditor may be able to take your property or your wages. Otherwise, your obligations will be determined by the reaffirmation agreement which may have changed the terms of the original agreement. For example, if you are reaffirming an open end credit agreement, the creditor may be permitted by that agreement or applicable law to change the terms of that agreement in the future under certain conditions.

“‘Are you required to enter into a reaffirmation agreement by any law? No, you are not required to reaffirm a debt by any law. Only agree to reaffirm a debt if it is in your best interest. Be sure you can afford the payments you agree to make.

“‘What if your creditor has a security interest or lien? Your bankruptcy discharge does not eliminate any lien on your property. A “lien” is often referred to as a security interest, deed of trust, mortgage or security deed. Even if you do not reaffirm and your personal liability on the debt is dis-

charged, because of the lien your creditor may still have the right to take the security property if you do not pay the debt or default on it. If the lien is on an item of personal property that is exempt under your State’s law or that the trustee has abandoned, you may be able to redeem the item rather than reaffirm the debt. To redeem, you make a single payment to the creditor equal to the current value of the security property, as agreed by the parties or determined by the court.’

“(ii) In the case of a reaffirmation under subsection (m)(2), numbered paragraph 6 in the disclosures required by clause (i) of this subparagraph shall read as follows:

“‘6. If you were represented by an attorney during the negotiation of your reaffirmation agreement, your reaffirmation agreement becomes effective upon filing with the court.’

“(4) The form of such agreement required under this paragraph shall consist of the following:

“‘Part B: Reaffirmation Agreement. I (we) agree to reaffirm the debts arising under the credit agreement described below.

“‘Brief description of credit agreement:

“‘Description of any changes to the credit agreement made as part of this reaffirmation agreement:

“‘Signature: Date:

“‘Borrower:

“‘Co-borrower, if also reaffirming these debts:

“‘Accepted by creditor:

“‘Date of creditor acceptance:’.

“(5) The declaration shall consist of the following:

“(A) The following certification:

“‘Part C: Certification by Debtor’s Attorney (If Any).

“‘I hereby certify that (1) this agreement represents a fully informed and voluntary agreement by the debtor; (2) this agreement does not impose an undue hardship on the debtor or any dependent of the debtor; and (3) I have fully advised the debtor of the legal effect and consequences of this agreement and any default under this agreement.

“‘Signature of Debtor’s Attorney: Date:’.

“(B) If a presumption of undue hardship has been established with respect to such agreement, such certification shall state that in the opinion of the attorney, the debtor is able to make the payment.

“(C) In the case of a reaffirmation agreement under subsection (m)(2), subparagraph (B) is not applicable.

“(6)(A) The statement in support of such agreement, which the debtor shall sign and date prior to filing with the court, shall consist of the following:

“‘Part D: Debtor’s Statement in Support of Reaffirmation Agreement.

“‘1. I believe this reaffirmation agreement will not impose an undue hardship on my dependents or me. I can afford to make the payments on the reaffirmed debt because my monthly income (take home pay plus any other income received) is \$ _____, and my actual current monthly expenses including monthly payments on post-bankruptcy debt and other reaffirmation agreements total \$ _____, leaving \$ _____ to make the required payments on this reaffirmed debt. I understand that if my income less my monthly expenses does not leave enough to make the payments, this reaffirmation agreement is presumed to be an undue hardship on me and must be reviewed by the court. However, this presumption may be overcome if I explain to the satisfaction of the court how I can afford to make the payments here: _____.

“‘2. I received a copy of the Reaffirmation Disclosure Statement in Part A and a completed and signed reaffirmation agreement.’.

“(B) Where the debtor is represented by an attorney and is reaffirming a debt owed to a

creditor defined in section 19(b)(1)(A)(iv) of the Federal Reserve Act, the statement of support of the reaffirmation agreement, which the debtor shall sign and date prior to filing with the court, shall consist of the following:

"I believe this reaffirmation agreement is in my financial interest. I can afford to make the payments on the reaffirmed debt. I received a copy of the Reaffirmation Disclosure Statement in Part A and a completed and signed reaffirmation agreement."

"(7) The motion that may be used if approval of such agreement by the court is required in order for it to be effective, shall be signed and dated by the movant and shall consist of the following:

"Part E: Motion for Court Approval (To be completed only if the debtor is not represented by an attorney.). I (we), the debtor(s), affirm the following to be true and correct:

"I am not represented by an attorney in connection with this reaffirmation agreement.

"I believe this reaffirmation agreement is in my best interest based on the income and expenses I have disclosed in my Statement in Support of this reaffirmation agreement, and because (provide any additional relevant reasons the court should consider):

"Therefore, I ask the court for an order approving this reaffirmation agreement."

"(8) The court order, which may be used to approve such agreement, shall consist of the following:

"Court Order: The court grants the debtor's motion and approves the reaffirmation agreement described above."

"(l) Notwithstanding any other provision of this title the following shall apply:

"(1) A creditor may accept payments from a debtor before and after the filing of an agreement of the kind specified in subsection (c) with the court.

"(2) A creditor may accept payments from a debtor under such agreement that the creditor believes in good faith to be effective.

"(3) The requirements of subsections (c)(2) and (k) shall be satisfied if disclosures required under those subsections are given in good faith.

"(m)(1) Until 60 days after an agreement of the kind specified in subsection (c) is filed with the court (or such additional period as the court, after notice and a hearing and for cause, orders before the expiration of such period), it shall be presumed that such agreement is an undue hardship on the debtor if the debtor's monthly income less the debtor's monthly expenses as shown on the debtor's completed and signed statement in support of such agreement required under subsection (k)(6)(A) is less than the scheduled payments on the reaffirmed debt. This presumption shall be reviewed by the court. The presumption may be rebutted in writing by the debtor if the statement includes an explanation that identifies additional sources of funds to make the payments as agreed upon under the terms of such agreement. If the presumption is not rebutted to the satisfaction of the court, the court may disapprove such agreement. No agreement shall be disapproved without notice and a hearing to the debtor and creditor, and such hearing shall be concluded before the entry of the debtor's discharge.

"(2) This subsection does not apply to reaffirmation agreements where the creditor is a credit union, as defined in section 19(b)(1)(A)(iv) of the Federal Reserve Act."

(b) LAW ENFORCEMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 9 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"§ 158. Designation of United States attorneys and agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation to address abusive reaffirmations of debt and materially fraudulent statements in bankruptcy schedules

"(a) IN GENERAL.—The Attorney General of the United States shall designate the individuals described in subsection (b) to have primary responsibility in carrying out enforcement activities in addressing violations of section 152 or 157 relating to abusive reaffirmations of debt. In addition to addressing the violations referred to in the preceding sentence, the individuals described under subsection (b) shall address violations of section 152 or 157 relating to materially fraudulent statements in bankruptcy schedules that are intentionally false or intentionally misleading.

"(b) UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS AND AGENTS OF THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION.—The individuals referred to in subsection (a) are—

"(1) the United States attorney for each judicial district of the United States; and

"(2) an agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for each field office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

"(c) BANKRUPTCY INVESTIGATIONS.—Each United States attorney designated under this section shall, in addition to any other responsibilities, have primary responsibility for carrying out the duties of a United States attorney under section 3057.

"(d) BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURES.—The bankruptcy courts shall establish procedures for referring any case that may contain a materially fraudulent statement in a bankruptcy schedule to the individuals designated under this section."

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 9 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"158. Designation of United States attorneys and agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation to address abusive reaffirmations of debt and materially fraudulent statements in bankruptcy schedules."

SEC. 204. PRESERVATION OF CLAIMS AND DEFENSES UPON SALE OF PREDATORY LOANS.

Section 363 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (o) as subsection (p), and

(2) by inserting after subsection (n) the following:

"(o) Notwithstanding subsection (f), if a person purchases any interest in a consumer credit transaction that is subject to the Truth in Lending Act or any interest in a consumer credit contract (as defined in section 433.1 of title 16 of the Code of Federal Regulations (January 1, 2002), as amended from time to time), and if such interest is purchased through a sale under this section, then such person shall remain subject to all claims and defenses that are related to such consumer credit transaction or such consumer credit contract, to the same extent as such person would be subject to such claims and defenses of the consumer had such interest been purchased at a sale not under this section."

SEC. 205. GAO STUDY AND REPORT ON REAFFIRMATION AGREEMENT PROCESS.

(a) **STUDY.**—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study of the reaffirmation agreement process that occurs under title 11 of the United States Code, to determine the overall treatment of consumers within the context of such process, and shall include in such study consideration of—

(1) the policies and activities of creditors with respect to reaffirmation agreements; and

(2) whether consumers are fully, fairly, and consistently informed of their rights pursuant to such title.

(b) **REPORT TO THE CONGRESS.**—Not later than 18 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the President pro tempore of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives a report on the results of the study conducted under subsection (a), together with recommendations for legislation (if any) to address any abusive or coercive tactics found in connection with the reaffirmation agreement process that occurs under title 11 of the United States Code.

Subtitle B—Priority Child Support

SEC. 211. DEFINITION OF DOMESTIC SUPPORT OBLIGATION.

Section 101 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking paragraph (12A); and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (14) the following:

"(14A) 'domestic support obligation' means a debt that accrues before or after the date of the order for relief in a case under this title, including interest that accrues on that debt as provided under applicable nonbankruptcy law notwithstanding any other provision of this title, that is—

"(A) owed to or recoverable by—

"(i) a spouse, former spouse, or child of the debtor or such child's parent, legal guardian, or responsible relative; or

"(ii) a governmental unit;

"(B) in the nature of alimony, maintenance, or support (including assistance provided by a governmental unit) of such spouse, former spouse, or child of the debtor or such child's parent, without regard to whether such debt is expressly so designated;

"(C) established or subject to establishment before or after the date of the order for relief in a case under this title, by reason of applicable provisions of—

"(i) a separation agreement, divorce decree, or property settlement agreement;

"(ii) an order of a court of record; or

"(iii) a determination made in accordance with applicable nonbankruptcy law by a governmental unit; and

"(D) not assigned to a nongovernmental entity, unless that obligation is assigned voluntarily by the spouse, former spouse, child of the debtor, or such child's parent, legal guardian, or responsible relative for the purpose of collecting the debt;"

SEC. 212. PRIORITIES FOR CLAIMS FOR DOMESTIC SUPPORT OBLIGATIONS.

Section 507(a) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking paragraph (7);

(2) by redesignating paragraphs (1) through (6) as paragraphs (2) through (7), respectively;

(3) in paragraph (2), as so redesignated, by striking "First" and inserting "Second";

(4) in paragraph (3), as so redesignated, by striking "Second" and inserting "Third";

(5) in paragraph (4), as so redesignated—

(A) by striking "Third" and inserting "Fourth"; and

(B) by striking the semicolon at the end and inserting a period;

(6) in paragraph (5), as so redesignated, by striking "Fourth" and inserting "Fifth";

(7) in paragraph (6), as so redesignated, by striking "Fifth" and inserting "Sixth";

(8) in paragraph (7), as so redesignated, by striking "Sixth" and inserting "Seventh"; and

(9) by inserting before paragraph (2), as so redesignated, the following:

"(1) First:

“(A) Allowed unsecured claims for domestic support obligations that, as of the date of the filing of the petition in a case under this title, are owed to or recoverable by a spouse, former spouse, or child of the debtor, or such child’s parent, legal guardian, or responsible relative, without regard to whether the claim is filed by such person or is filed by a governmental unit on behalf of such person, on the condition that funds received under this paragraph by a governmental unit under this title after the date of the filing of the petition shall be applied and distributed in accordance with applicable nonbankruptcy law.

“(B) Subject to claims under subparagraph (A), allowed unsecured claims for domestic support obligations that, as of the date of the filing of the petition, are assigned by a spouse, former spouse, child of the debtor, or such child’s parent, legal guardian, or responsible relative to a governmental unit (unless such obligation is assigned voluntarily by the spouse, former spouse, child, parent, legal guardian, or responsible relative of the child for the purpose of collecting the debt) or are owed directly to or recoverable by a governmental unit under applicable nonbankruptcy law, on the condition that funds received under this paragraph by a governmental unit under this title after the date of the filing of the petition be applied and distributed in accordance with applicable nonbankruptcy law.

“(C) If a trustee is appointed or elected under section 701, 702, 703, 1104, 1202, or 1302, the administrative expenses of the trustee allowed under paragraphs (1)(A), (2), and (6) of section 503(b) shall be paid before payment of claims under subparagraphs (A) and (B), to the extent that the trustee administers assets that are otherwise available for the payment of such claims.”.

SEC. 213. REQUIREMENTS TO OBTAIN CONFIRMATION AND DISCHARGE IN CASES INVOLVING DOMESTIC SUPPORT OBLIGATIONS.

Title 11, United States Code, is amended—
(1) in section 1129(a), by adding at the end the following:

“(14) If the debtor is required by a judicial or administrative order, or by statute, to pay a domestic support obligation, the debtor has paid all amounts payable under such order or such statute for such obligation that first become payable after the date of the filing of the petition.”;

(2) in section 1208(c)—

(A) in paragraph (8), by striking “or” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (9), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(10) failure of the debtor to pay any domestic support obligation that first becomes payable after the date of the filing of the petition.”;

(3) in section 1222(a)—

(A) in paragraph (2), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (3), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(4) notwithstanding any other provision of this section, a plan may provide for less than full payment of all amounts owed for a claim entitled to priority under section 507(a)(1)(B) only if the plan provides that all of the debtor’s projected disposable income for a 5-year period beginning on the date that the first payment is due under the plan will be applied to make payments under the plan.”;

(4) in section 1222(b)—

(A) by redesignating paragraph (11) as paragraph (12); and

(B) by inserting after paragraph (10) the following:

“(11) provide for the payment of interest accruing after the date of the filing of the petition on unsecured claims that are non-dischargeable under section 1228(a), except that such interest may be paid only to the extent that the debtor has disposable income available to pay such interest after making provision for full payment of all allowed claims;”;

(5) in section 1225(a)—

(A) in paragraph (5), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (6), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(7) the debtor has paid all amounts that are required to be paid under a domestic support obligation and that first become payable after the date of the filing of the petition if the debtor is required by a judicial or administrative order, or by statute, to pay such domestic support obligation.”;

(6) in section 1228(a), in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by inserting “, and in the case of a debtor who is required by a judicial or administrative order, or by statute, to pay a domestic support obligation, after such debtor certifies that all amounts payable under such order or such statute that are due on or before the date of the certification (including amounts due before the petition was filed, but only to the extent provided for by the plan) have been paid” after “completion by the debtor of all payments under the plan”;

(7) in section 1307(c)—

(A) in paragraph (9), by striking “or” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (10), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; or”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(11) failure of the debtor to pay any domestic support obligation that first becomes payable after the date of the filing of the petition.”;

(8) in section 1322(a)—

(A) in paragraph (2), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (3), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(4) notwithstanding any other provision of this section, a plan may provide for less than full payment of all amounts owed for a claim entitled to priority under section 507(a)(1)(B) only if the plan provides that all of the debtor’s projected disposable income for a 5-year period beginning on the date that the first payment is due under the plan will be applied to make payments under the plan.”;

(9) in section 1322(b)—

(A) in paragraph (9), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(B) by redesignating paragraph (10) as paragraph (11); and

(C) inserting after paragraph (9) the following:

“(10) provide for the payment of interest accruing after the date of the filing of the petition on unsecured claims that are non-dischargeable under section 1328(a), except that such interest may be paid only to the extent that the debtor has disposable income available to pay such interest after making provision for full payment of all allowed claims; and”;

(10) in section 1325(a), as amended by section 102, by inserting after paragraph (7) the following:

“(8) the debtor has paid all amounts that are required to be paid under a domestic support obligation and that first become payable after the date of the filing of the petition if the debtor is required by a judicial or administrative order, or by statute, to pay such domestic support obligation; and”;

(11) in section 1328(a), in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by inserting “, and in the case of a debtor who is required by a judicial or administrative order, or by statute, to pay a domestic support obligation, after such debtor certifies that all amounts payable under such order or such statute that are due on or before the date of the certification (including amounts due before the petition was filed, but only to the extent provided for by the plan) have been paid” after “completion by the debtor of all payments under the plan”.

SEC. 214. EXCEPTIONS TO AUTOMATIC STAY IN DOMESTIC SUPPORT OBLIGATION PROCEEDINGS.

Section 362(b) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

“(2) under subsection (a)—

“(A) of the commencement or continuation of a civil action or proceeding—

“(i) for the establishment of paternity;

“(ii) for the establishment or modification of an order for domestic support obligations;

“(iii) concerning child custody or visitation;

“(iv) for the dissolution of a marriage, except to the extent that such proceeding seeks to determine the division of property that is property of the estate; or

“(v) regarding domestic violence;

“(B) of the collection of a domestic support obligation from property that is not property of the estate;

“(C) with respect to the withholding of income that is property of the estate or property of the debtor for payment of a domestic support obligation under a judicial or administrative order or a statute;

“(D) of the withholding, suspension, or restriction of a driver’s license, a professional or occupational license, or a recreational license, under State law, as specified in section 466(a)(16) of the Social Security Act;

“(E) of the reporting of overdue support owed by a parent to any consumer reporting agency as specified in section 466(a)(7) of the Social Security Act;

“(F) of the interception of a tax refund, as specified in sections 464 and 466(a)(3) of the Social Security Act or under an analogous State law; or

“(G) of the enforcement of a medical obligation, as specified under title IV of the Social Security Act.”.

SEC. 215. NONDISCHARGEABILITY OF CERTAIN DEBTS FOR ALIMONY, MAINTENANCE, AND SUPPORT.

Section 523 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by striking paragraph (5) and inserting the following:

“(5) for a domestic support obligation;”;

and

(B) by striking paragraph (18);

(2) in subsection (c), by striking “(6), or (15)” each place it appears and inserting “or (6)”; and

(3) in paragraph (15), as added by Public Law 103-394 (108 Stat. 4133)—

(A) by inserting “to a spouse, former spouse, or child of the debtor and” before “not of the kind”;

(B) by inserting “or” after “court of record,”; and

(C) by striking “unless—” and all that follows through the end of the paragraph and inserting a semicolon.

SEC. 216. CONTINUED LIABILITY OF PROPERTY.

Section 522 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (c), by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the following:

“(1) a debt of a kind specified in paragraph (1) or (5) of section 523(a) (in which case, notwithstanding any provision of applicable

nonbankruptcy law to the contrary, such property shall be liable for a debt of a kind specified in section 523(a)(5);”;

(2) in subsection (f)(1)(A), by striking the dash and all that follows through the end of the subparagraph and inserting “of a kind that is specified in section 523(a)(5); or”; and

(3) in subsection (g)(2), by striking “subsection (f)(2)” and inserting “subsection (f)(1)(B)”.

SEC. 217. PROTECTION OF DOMESTIC SUPPORT CLAIMS AGAINST PREFERENTIAL TRANSFER MOTIONS.

Section 547(c)(7) of title 11, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(7) to the extent such transfer was a bona fide payment of a debt for a domestic support obligation;”.

SEC. 218. DISPOSABLE INCOME DEFINED.

Section 1225(b)(2)(A) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting “or for a domestic support obligation that first becomes payable after the date of the filing of the petition” after “dependent of the debtor”.

SEC. 219. COLLECTION OF CHILD SUPPORT.

(a) DUTIES OF TRUSTEE UNDER CHAPTER 7.—Section 704 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 102, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in paragraph (8), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (9), by striking the period and inserting a semicolon; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(10) if with respect to the debtor there is a claim for a domestic support obligation, provide the applicable notice specified in subsection (c); and”;

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(c)(1) In a case described in subsection (a)(10) to which subsection (a)(10) applies, the trustee shall—

“(A)(i) provide written notice to the holder of the claim described in subsection (a)(10) of such claim and of the right of such holder to use the services of the State child support enforcement agency established under sections 464 and 466 of the Social Security Act for the State in which such holder resides, for assistance in collecting child support during and after the case under this title;

“(ii) include in the notice provided under clause (i) the address and telephone number of such State child support enforcement agency; and

“(iii) include in the notice provided under clause (i) an explanation of the rights of such holder to payment of such claim under this chapter;

“(B)(i) provide written notice to such State child support enforcement agency of such claim; and

“(ii) include in the notice provided under clause (i) the name, address, and telephone number of such holder; and

“(C) at such time as the debtor is granted a discharge under section 727, provide written notice to such holder and to such State child support enforcement agency of—

“(i) the granting of the discharge;

“(ii) the last recent known address of the debtor;

“(iii) the last recent known name and address of the debtor’s employer; and

“(iv) the name of each creditor that holds a claim that—

“(I) is not discharged under paragraph (2), (4), or (14A) of section 523(a); or

“(II) was reaffirmed by the debtor under section 524(c).

“(2)(A) The holder of a claim described in subsection (a)(10) or the State child support enforcement agency of the State in which such holder resides may request from a creditor described in paragraph (1)(C)(iv) the last known address of the debtor.

“(B) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a creditor that makes a disclosure of a last known address of a debtor in connection with a request made under subparagraph (A) shall not be liable by reason of making such disclosure.”.

(b) DUTIES OF TRUSTEE UNDER CHAPTER 11.—Section 1106 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in paragraph (6), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (7), by striking the period and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(8) if with respect to the debtor there is a claim for a domestic support obligation, provide the applicable notice specified in subsection (c).”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(c)(1) In a case described in subsection (a)(8) to which subsection (a)(8) applies, the trustee shall—

“(A)(i) provide written notice to the holder of the claim described in subsection (a)(8) of such claim and of the right of such holder to use the services of the State child support enforcement agency established under sections 464 and 466 of the Social Security Act for the State in which such holder resides, for assistance in collecting child support during and after the case under this title; and

“(ii) include in the notice required by clause (i) the address and telephone number of such State child support enforcement agency;

“(B)(i) provide written notice to such State child support enforcement agency of such claim; and

“(ii) include in the notice required by clause (i) the name, address, and telephone number of such holder; and

“(C) at such time as the debtor is granted a discharge under section 1141, provide written notice to such holder and to such State child support enforcement agency of—

“(i) the granting of the discharge;

“(ii) the last recent known address of the debtor;

“(iii) the last recent known name and address of the debtor’s employer; and

“(iv) the name of each creditor that holds a claim that—

“(I) is not discharged under paragraph (2), (4), or (14A) of section 523(a); or

“(II) was reaffirmed by the debtor under section 524(c).

“(2)(A) The holder of a claim described in subsection (a)(8) or the State child support enforcement agency of the State in which such holder resides may request from a creditor described in paragraph (1)(C)(iv) the last known address of the debtor.

“(B) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a creditor that makes a disclosure of a last known address of a debtor in connection with a request made under subparagraph (A) shall not be liable by reason of making such disclosure.”.

(c) DUTIES OF TRUSTEE UNDER CHAPTER 12.—Section 1202 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (4), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (5), by striking the period and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(6) if with respect to the debtor there is a claim for a domestic support obligation, provide the applicable notice specified in subsection (c).”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(c)(1) In a case described in subsection (b)(6) to which subsection (b)(6) applies, the trustee shall—

“(A)(i) provide written notice to the holder of the claim described in subsection (b)(6) of

such claim and of the right of such holder to use the services of the State child support enforcement agency established under sections 464 and 466 of the Social Security Act for the State in which such holder resides, for assistance in collecting child support during and after the case under this title; and

“(ii) include in the notice provided under clause (i) the address and telephone number of such State child support enforcement agency;

“(B)(i) provide written notice to such State child support enforcement agency of such claim; and

“(ii) include in the notice provided under clause (i) the name, address, and telephone number of such holder; and

“(C) at such time as the debtor is granted a discharge under section 1228, provide written notice to such holder and to such State child support enforcement agency of—

“(i) the granting of the discharge;

“(ii) the last recent known address of the debtor;

“(iii) the last recent known name and address of the debtor’s employer; and

“(iv) the name of each creditor that holds a claim that—

“(I) is not discharged under paragraph (2), (4), or (14A) of section 523(a); or

“(II) was reaffirmed by the debtor under section 524(c).

“(2)(A) The holder of a claim described in subsection (b)(6) or the State child support enforcement agency of the State in which such holder resides may request from a creditor described in paragraph (1)(C)(iv) the last known address of the debtor.

“(B) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a creditor that makes a disclosure of a last known address of a debtor in connection with a request made under subparagraph (A) shall not be liable by reason of making that disclosure.”.

(d) DUTIES OF TRUSTEE UNDER CHAPTER 13.—Section 1302 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (4), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (5), by striking the period and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(6) if with respect to the debtor there is a claim for a domestic support obligation, provide the applicable notice specified in subsection (d).”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(d)(1) In a case described in subsection (b)(6) to which subsection (b)(6) applies, the trustee shall—

“(A)(i) provide written notice to the holder of the claim described in subsection (b)(6) of such claim and of the right of such holder to use the services of the State child support enforcement agency established under sections 464 and 466 of the Social Security Act for the State in which such holder resides, for assistance in collecting child support during and after the case under this title; and

“(ii) include in the notice provided under clause (i) the address and telephone number of such State child support enforcement agency;

“(B)(i) provide written notice to such State child support enforcement agency of such claim; and

“(ii) include in the notice provided under clause (i) the name, address, and telephone number of such holder; and

“(C) at such time as the debtor is granted a discharge under section 1328, provide written notice to such holder and to such State child support enforcement agency of—

“(i) the granting of the discharge;

“(ii) the last recent known address of the debtor;”

“(iii) the last recent known name and address of the debtor’s employer; and

“(iv) the name of each creditor that holds a claim that—

“(I) is not discharged under paragraph (2) or (4) of section 523(a); or

“(II) was reaffirmed by the debtor under section 524(c).

“(2)(A) The holder of a claim described in subsection (b)(6) or the State child support enforcement agency of the State in which such holder resides may request from a creditor described in paragraph (1)(C)(iv) the last known address of the debtor.

“(B) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a creditor that makes a disclosure of a last known address of a debtor in connection with a request made under subparagraph (A) shall not be liable by reason of making that disclosure.”

SEC. 220. NONDISCHARGEABILITY OF CERTAIN EDUCATIONAL BENEFITS AND LOANS.

Section 523(a) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking paragraph (8) and inserting the following:

“(8) unless excepting such debt from discharge under this paragraph would impose an undue hardship on the debtor and the debtor’s dependents, for—

“(A)(i) an educational benefit overpayment or loan made, insured, or guaranteed by a governmental unit, or made under any program funded in whole or in part by a governmental unit or nonprofit institution; or

“(ii) an obligation to repay funds received as an educational benefit, scholarship, or stipend; or

“(B) any other educational loan that is a qualified education loan, as defined in section 221(d)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, incurred by a debtor who is an individual.”

Subtitle C—Other Consumer Protections

SEC. 221. AMENDMENTS TO DISCOURAGE ABUSIVE BANKRUPTCY FILINGS.

Section 110 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1), by striking “or an employee of an attorney” and inserting “for the debtor or an employee of such attorney under the direct supervision of such attorney”;

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by adding at the end the following: “If a bankruptcy petition preparer is not an individual, then an officer, principal, responsible person, or partner of the bankruptcy petition preparer shall be required to—

“(A) sign the document for filing; and

“(B) print on the document the name and address of that officer, principal, responsible person, or partner.”; and

(B) by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

“(2)(A) Before preparing any document for filing or accepting any fees from a debtor, the bankruptcy petition preparer shall provide to the debtor a written notice which shall be on an official form prescribed by the Judicial Conference of the United States in accordance with rule 9009 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

“(B) The notice under subparagraph (A)—

“(i) shall inform the debtor in simple language that a bankruptcy petition preparer is not an attorney and may not practice law or give legal advice;

“(ii) may contain a description of examples of legal advice that a bankruptcy petition preparer is not authorized to give, in addition to any advice that the preparer may not give by reason of subsection (e)(2); and

“(iii) shall—

“(I) be signed by the debtor and, under penalty of perjury, by the bankruptcy petition preparer; and

“(II) be filed with any document for filing.”;

(3) in subsection (c)—

(A) in paragraph (2)—

(i) by striking “(2) For purposes” and inserting “(2)(A) Subject to subparagraph (B), for purposes”; and

(ii) by adding at the end the following:

“(B) If a bankruptcy petition preparer is not an individual, the identifying number of the bankruptcy petition preparer shall be the Social Security account number of the officer, principal, responsible person, or partner of the bankruptcy petition preparer.”;

(B) by striking paragraph (3);

(4) in subsection (d)—

(A) by striking “(d)(1)” and inserting “(d)”;

and

(B) by striking paragraph (2);

(5) in subsection (e)—

(A) by striking paragraph (2); and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(2)(A) A bankruptcy petition preparer may not offer a potential bankruptcy debtor any legal advice, including any legal advice described in subparagraph (B).

“(B) The legal advice referred to in subparagraph (A) includes advising the debtor—

“(i) whether—

“(I) to file a petition under this title; or

“(II) commencing a case under chapter 7, 11, 12, or 13 is appropriate;

“(ii) whether the debtor’s debts will be discharged in a case under this title;

“(iii) whether the debtor will be able to retain the debtor’s home, car, or other property after commencing a case under this title;

“(iv) concerning—

“(I) the tax consequences of a case brought under this title; or

“(II) the dischargeability of tax claims;

“(v) whether the debtor may or should promise to repay debts to a creditor or enter into a reaffirmation agreement with a creditor to reaffirm a debt;

“(vi) concerning how to characterize the nature of the debtor’s interests in property or the debtor’s debts; or

“(vii) concerning bankruptcy procedures and rights.”;

(6) in subsection (f)—

(A) by striking “(f)(1)” and inserting “(f)”;

and

(B) by striking paragraph (2);

(7) in subsection (g)—

(A) by striking “(g)(1)” and inserting “(g)”;

and

(B) by striking paragraph (2);

(8) in subsection (h)—

(A) by redesignating paragraphs (1) through (4) as paragraphs (2) through (5), respectively;

(B) by inserting before paragraph (2), as so redesignated, the following:

“(1) The Supreme Court may promulgate rules under section 2075 of title 28, or the Judicial Conference of the United States may prescribe guidelines, for setting a maximum allowable fee chargeable by a bankruptcy petition preparer. A bankruptcy petition preparer shall notify the debtor of any such maximum amount before preparing any document for filing for a debtor or accepting any fee from the debtor.”;

(C) in paragraph (2), as so redesignated—

(i) by striking “Within 10 days after the date of the filing of a petition, a bankruptcy petition preparer shall file a” and inserting “A”;

(ii) by inserting “by the bankruptcy petition preparer shall be filed together with the petition,” after “perjury”; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following: “If rules or guidelines setting a maximum fee for services have been promulgated or prescribed under paragraph (1), the declaration under this paragraph shall include a certification that the bankruptcy petition preparer complied with the notification requirement under paragraph (1).”;

(D) by striking paragraph (3), as so redesignated, and inserting the following:

“(3)(A) The court shall disallow and order the immediate turnover to the bankruptcy trustee any fee referred to in paragraph (2) found to be in excess of the value of any services—

“(i) rendered by the bankruptcy petition preparer during the 12-month period immediately preceding the date of the filing of the petition; or

“(ii) found to be in violation of any rule or guideline promulgated or prescribed under paragraph (1).

“(B) All fees charged by a bankruptcy petition preparer may be forfeited in any case in which the bankruptcy petition preparer fails to comply with this subsection or subsection (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), or (g).

“(C) An individual may exempt any funds recovered under this paragraph under section 522(b).”; and

(E) in paragraph (4), as so redesignated, by striking “or the United States trustee” and inserting “the United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any) or the court, on the initiative of the court.”;

(9) in subsection (i)(1), by striking the matter preceding subparagraph (A) and inserting the following:

“(i)(1) If a bankruptcy petition preparer violates this section or commits any act that the court finds to be fraudulent, unfair, or deceptive, on the motion of the debtor, trustee, United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any), and after notice and a hearing, the court shall order the bankruptcy petition preparer to pay to the debtor—

(10) in subsection (j)—

(A) in paragraph (2)—

(i) in subparagraph (A)(i)(I), by striking “a violation of which subjects a person to criminal penalty”;

(ii) in subparagraph (B)—

(I) by striking “or has not paid a penalty” and inserting “has not paid a penalty”; and

(II) by inserting “or failed to disgorge all fees ordered by the court” after “a penalty imposed under this section.”;

(B) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (4); and

(C) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following:

“(3) The court, as part of its contempt power, may enjoin a bankruptcy petition preparer that has failed to comply with a previous order issued under this section. The injunction under this paragraph may be issued on the motion of the court, the trustee, or the United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any).”; and

(11) by adding at the end the following:

“(1)(1) A bankruptcy petition preparer who fails to comply with any provision of subsection (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), or (h) may be fined not more than \$500 for each such failure.

“(2) The court shall triple the amount of a fine assessed under paragraph (1) in any case in which the court finds that a bankruptcy petition preparer—

“(A) advised the debtor to exclude assets or income that should have been included on applicable schedules;

“(B) advised the debtor to use a false Social Security account number;

“(C) failed to inform the debtor that the debtor was filing for relief under this title; or

“(D) prepared a document for filing in a manner that failed to disclose the identity of the bankruptcy petition preparer.

“(3) A debtor, trustee, creditor, or United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any) may file a motion for an order imposing a fine on the bankruptcy petition preparer for any violation of this section.

“(4)(A) Fines imposed under this subsection in judicial districts served by United States trustees shall be paid to the United States trustee, who shall deposit an amount equal to such fines in a special account of the United States Trustee System Fund referred to in section 586(e)(2) of title 28. Amounts deposited under this subparagraph shall be available to fund the enforcement of this section on a national basis.

“(B) Fines imposed under this subsection in judicial districts served by bankruptcy administrators shall be deposited as offsetting receipts to the fund established under section 1931 of title 28, and shall remain available until expended to reimburse any appropriation for the amount paid out of such appropriation for expenses of the operation and maintenance of the courts of the United States.”.

SEC. 222. SENSE OF CONGRESS.

It is the sense of Congress that States should develop curricula relating to the subject of personal finance, designed for use in elementary and secondary schools.

SEC. 223. ADDITIONAL AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 11, UNITED STATES CODE.

Section 507(a) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 212, is amended by inserting after paragraph (9) the following:

“(10) Tenth, allowed claims for death or personal injury resulting from the operation of a motor vehicle or vessel if such operation was unlawful because the debtor was intoxicated from using alcohol, a drug, or another substance.”.

SEC. 224. PROTECTION OF RETIREMENT SAVINGS IN BANKRUPTCY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 522 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (2)—

(i) in subparagraph (A), by striking “and” at the end;

(ii) in subparagraph (B), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”;

(iii) by adding at the end the following:

“(C) retirement funds to the extent that those funds are in a fund or account that is exempt from taxation under section 401, 403, 408, 408A, 414, 457, or 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.”; and

(iv) by striking “(2)(A) any property” and inserting:

“(3) Property listed in this paragraph is—

“(A) any property”;

(B) by striking paragraph (1) and inserting:

“(2) Property listed in this paragraph is property that is specified under subsection (d), unless the State law that is applicable to the debtor under paragraph (3)(A) specifically does not so authorize.”;

(C) by striking “(b) Notwithstanding” and inserting “(b)(1) Notwithstanding”;

(D) by striking “paragraph (2)” each place it appears and inserting “paragraph (3)”;

(E) by striking “paragraph (1)” each place it appears and inserting “paragraph (2)”;

(F) by striking “Such property is—”; and

(G) by adding at the end the following:

“(4) For purposes of paragraph (3)(C) and subsection (d)(12), the following shall apply:

“(A) If the retirement funds are in a retirement fund that has received a favorable determination under section 7805 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, and that determination is in effect as of the date of the filing of the petition in a case under this title,

those funds shall be presumed to be exempt from the estate.

“(B) If the retirement funds are in a retirement fund that has not received a favorable determination under such section 7805, those funds are exempt from the estate if the debtor demonstrates that—

“(i) no prior determination to the contrary has been made by a court or the Internal Revenue Service; and

“(ii)(I) the retirement fund is in substantial compliance with the applicable requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; or

“(II) the retirement fund fails to be in substantial compliance with the applicable requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and the debtor is not materially responsible for that failure.

“(C) A direct transfer of retirement funds from 1 fund or account that is exempt from taxation under section 401, 403, 408, 408A, 414, 457, or 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, under section 401(a)(31) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, or otherwise, shall not cease to qualify for exemption under paragraph (3)(C) or subsection (d)(12) by reason of such direct transfer.

“(D)(i) Any distribution that qualifies as an eligible rollover distribution within the meaning of section 402(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 or that is described in clause (ii) shall not cease to qualify for exemption under paragraph (3)(C) or subsection (d)(12) by reason of such distribution.

“(ii) A distribution described in this clause is an amount that—

“(I) has been distributed from a fund or account that is exempt from taxation under section 401, 403, 408, 408A, 414, 457, or 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; and

“(II) to the extent allowed by law, is deposited in such a fund or account not later than 60 days after the distribution of such amount.”; and

(2) in subsection (d)—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “subsection (b)(1)” and inserting “subsection (b)(2)”;

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(12) Retirement funds to the extent that those funds are in a fund or account that is exempt from taxation under section 401, 403, 408, 408A, 414, 457, or 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.”.

(b) AUTOMATIC STAY.—Section 362(b) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (17), by striking “or” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (18), by striking the period and inserting a semicolon; and

(3) by inserting after paragraph (18) the following:

“(19) under subsection (a), of withholding of income from a debtor’s wages and collection of amounts withheld, under the debtor’s agreement authorizing that withholding and collection for the benefit of a pension, profit-sharing, stock bonus, or other plan established under section 401, 403, 408, 408A, 414, 457, or 501(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, that is sponsored by the employer of the debtor, or an affiliate, successor, or predecessor of such employer—

“(A) to the extent that the amounts withheld and collected are used solely for payments relating to a loan from a plan under section 408(b)(1) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 or is subject to section 72(p) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; or

“(B) a loan from a thrift savings plan permitted under subchapter III of chapter 84 of title 5, that satisfies the requirements of section 8433(g) of such title;

but nothing in this paragraph may be construed to provide that any loan made under

a governmental plan under section 414(d), or a contract or account under section 403(b), of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 constitutes a claim or a debt under this title.”.

(c) EXCEPTIONS TO DISCHARGE.—Section 523(a) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 215, is amended by inserting after paragraph (17) the following:

“(18) owed to a pension, profit-sharing, stock bonus, or other plan established under section 401, 403, 408, 408A, 414, 457, or 501(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, under—

“(A) a loan permitted under section 408(b)(1) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, or subject to section 72(p) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; or

“(B) a loan from a thrift savings plan permitted under subchapter III of chapter 84 of title 5, that satisfies the requirements of section 8433(g) of such title;

but nothing in this paragraph may be construed to provide that any loan made under a governmental plan under section 414(d), or a contract or account under section 403(b), of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 constitutes a claim or a debt under this title; or”.

(d) PLAN CONTENTS.—Section 1322 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(f) A plan may not materially alter the terms of a loan described in section 362(b)(19) and any amounts required to repay such loan shall not constitute ‘disposable income’ under section 1325.”.

(e) ASSET LIMITATION.—

(1) LIMITATION.—Section 522 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(n) For assets in individual retirement accounts described in section 408 or 408A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, other than a simplified employee pension under section 408(k) of such Code or a simple retirement account under section 408(p) of such Code, the aggregate value of such assets exempted under this section, without regard to amounts attributable to rollover contributions under section 402(c), 402(e)(6), 403(a)(4), 403(a)(5), and 403(b)(8) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, and earnings thereon, shall not exceed \$1,000,000 in a case filed by a debtor who is an individual, except that such amount may be increased if the interests of justice so require.”.

(2) ADJUSTMENT OF DOLLAR AMOUNTS.—Paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 104(b) of title 11, United States Code, are amended by inserting “522(n),” after “522(d).”.

SEC. 225. PROTECTION OF EDUCATION SAVINGS IN BANKRUPTCY.

(a) EXCLUSIONS.—Section 541 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (4), by striking “or” at the end;

(B) by redesignating paragraph (5) as paragraph (9); and

(C) by inserting after paragraph (4) the following:

“(5) funds placed in an education individual retirement account (as defined in section 530(b)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) not later than 365 days before the date of the filing of the petition in a case under this title, but—

“(A) only if the designated beneficiary of such account was a child, stepchild, grandchild, or stepgrandchild of the debtor for the taxable year for which funds were placed in such account;

“(B) only to the extent that such funds—

“(i) are not pledged or promised to any entity in connection with any extension of credit; and

“(ii) are not excess contributions (as described in section 4973(e) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986); and

“(C) in the case of funds placed in all such accounts having the same designated beneficiary not earlier than 720 days nor later than 365 days before such date, only so much of such funds as does not exceed \$5,000;

“(6) funds used to purchase a tuition credit or certificate or contributed to an account in accordance with section 529(b)(1)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 under a qualified State tuition program (as defined in section 529(b)(1) of such Code) not later than 365 days before the date of the filing of the petition in a case under this title, but—

“(A) only if the designated beneficiary of the amounts paid or contributed to such tuition program was a child, stepchild, grandchild, or stepgrandchild of the debtor for the taxable year for which funds were paid or contributed;

“(B) with respect to the aggregate amount paid or contributed to such program having the same designated beneficiary, only so much of such amount as does not exceed the total contributions permitted under section 529(b)(7) of such Code with respect to such beneficiary, as adjusted beginning on the date of the filing of the petition in a case under this title by the annual increase or decrease (rounded to the nearest tenth of 1 percent) in the education expenditure category of the Consumer Price Index prepared by the Department of Labor; and

“(C) in the case of funds paid or contributed to such program having the same designated beneficiary not earlier than 720 days nor later than 365 days before such date, only so much of such funds as does not exceed \$5,000;” and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(e) In determining whether any of the relationships specified in paragraph (5)(A) or (6)(A) of subsection (b) exists, a legally adopted child of an individual (and a child who is a member of an individual’s household, if placed with such individual by an authorized placement agency for legal adoption by such individual), or a foster child of an individual (if such child has as the child’s principal place of abode the home of the debtor and is a member of the debtor’s household) shall be treated as a child of such individual by blood.”

(b) DEBTOR’S DUTIES.—Section 521 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 106, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(c) In addition to meeting the requirements under subsection (a), a debtor shall file with the court a record of any interest that a debtor has in an education individual retirement account (as defined in section 530(b)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) or under a qualified State tuition program (as defined in section 529(b)(1) of such Code).”

SEC. 226. DEFINITIONS.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 101 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following:

“(3) ‘assisted person’ means any person whose debts consist primarily of consumer debts and the value of whose nonexempt property is less than \$150,000;”

(2) by inserting after paragraph (4) the following:

“(4A) ‘bankruptcy assistance’ means any goods or services sold or otherwise provided to an assisted person with the express or implied purpose of providing information, advice, counsel, document preparation, or filing, or attendance at a creditors’ meeting or appearing in a proceeding on behalf of another or providing legal representation with respect to a case or proceeding under this title;” and

(3) by inserting after paragraph (12) the following:

“(12A) ‘debt relief agency’ means any person who provides any bankruptcy assistance to an assisted person in return for the payment of money or other valuable consideration, or who is a bankruptcy petition preparer under section 110, but does not include—

“(A) any person who is an officer, director, employee, or agent of a person who provides such assistance or of the bankruptcy petition preparer;

“(B) a nonprofit organization that is exempt from taxation under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986;

“(C) a creditor of such assisted person, to the extent that the creditor is assisting such assisted person to restructure any debt owed by such assisted person to the creditor;

“(D) a depository institution (as defined in section 3 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act) or any Federal credit union or State credit union (as those terms are defined in section 101 of the Federal Credit Union Act), or any affiliate or subsidiary of such depository institution or credit union; or

“(E) an author, publisher, distributor, or seller of works subject to copyright protection under title 17, when acting in such capacity.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 104(b) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting “101(3),” after “sections” each place it appears.

SEC. 227. RESTRICTIONS ON DEBT RELIEF AGENCIES.

(a) ENFORCEMENT.—Subchapter II of chapter 5 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§ 526. Restrictions on debt relief agencies

“(a) A debt relief agency shall not—

“(1) fail to perform any service that such agency informed an assisted person or prospective assisted person it would provide in connection with a case or proceeding under this title;

“(2) make any statement, or counsel or advise any assisted person or prospective assisted person to make a statement in a document filed in a case or proceeding under this title, that is untrue and misleading, or that upon the exercise of reasonable care, should have been known by such agency to be untrue or misleading;

“(3) misrepresent to any assisted person or prospective assisted person, directly or indirectly, affirmatively or by material omission, with respect to—

“(A) the services that such agency will provide to such person; or

“(B) the benefits and risks that may result if such person becomes a debtor in a case under this title; or

“(4) advise an assisted person or prospective assisted person to incur more debt in contemplation of such person filing a case under this title or to pay an attorney or bankruptcy petition preparer fee or charge for services performed as part of preparing for or representing a debtor in a case under this title.

“(b) Any waiver by any assisted person of any protection or right provided under this section shall not be enforceable against the debtor by any Federal or State court or any other person, but may be enforced against a debt relief agency.

“(c)(1) Any contract for bankruptcy assistance between a debt relief agency and an assisted person that does not comply with the material requirements of this section, section 527, or section 528 shall be void and may not be enforced by any Federal or State court or by any other person, other than such assisted person.

“(2) Any debt relief agency shall be liable to an assisted person in the amount of any fees or charges in connection with providing

bankruptcy assistance to such person that such debt relief agency has received, for actual damages, and for reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs if such agency is found, after notice and a hearing, to have—

“(A) intentionally or negligently failed to comply with any provision of this section, section 527, or section 528 with respect to a case or proceeding under this title for such assisted person;

“(B) provided bankruptcy assistance to an assisted person in a case or proceeding under this title that is dismissed or converted to a case under another chapter of this title because of such agency’s intentional or negligent failure to file any required document including those specified in section 521; or

“(C) intentionally or negligently disregarded the material requirements of this title or the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure applicable to such agency.

“(3) In addition to such other remedies as are provided under State law, whenever the chief law enforcement officer of a State, or an official or agency designated by a State, has reason to believe that any person has violated or is violating this section, the State—

“(A) may bring an action to enjoin such violation;

“(B) may bring an action on behalf of its residents to recover the actual damages of assisted persons arising from such violation, including any liability under paragraph (2); and

“(C) in the case of any successful action under subparagraph (A) or (B), shall be awarded the costs of the action and reasonable attorneys’ fees as determined by the court.

“(4) The district courts of the United States for districts located in the State shall have concurrent jurisdiction of any action under subparagraph (A) or (B) of paragraph (3).

“(5) Notwithstanding any other provision of Federal law and in addition to any other remedy provided under Federal or State law, if the court, on its own motion or on the motion of the United States trustee or the debtor, finds that a person intentionally violated this section, or engaged in a clear and consistent pattern or practice of violating this section, the court may—

“(A) enjoin the violation of such section; or

“(B) impose an appropriate civil penalty against such person.

“(d) No provision of this section, section 527, or section 528 shall—

“(1) annul, alter, affect, or exempt any person subject to such sections from complying with any law of any State except to the extent that such law is inconsistent with those sections, and then only to the extent of the inconsistency; or

“(2) be deemed to limit or curtail the authority or ability—

“(A) of a State or subdivision or instrumentality thereof, to determine and enforce qualifications for the practice of law under the laws of that State; or

“(B) of a Federal court to determine and enforce the qualifications for the practice of law before that court.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 5 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 525, the following:

“526. Restrictions on debt relief agencies.”

SEC. 228. DISCLOSURES.

(a) DISCLOSURES.—Subchapter II of chapter 5 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 227, is amended by adding at the end the following:

§ 527. Disclosures

“(a) A debt relief agency providing bankruptcy assistance to an assisted person shall provide—

“(1) the written notice required under section 342(b)(1); and

“(2) to the extent not covered in the written notice described in paragraph (1), and not later than 3 business days after the first date on which a debt relief agency first offers to provide any bankruptcy assistance services to an assisted person, a clear and conspicuous written notice advising assisted persons that—

“(A) all information that the assisted person is required to provide with a petition and thereafter during a case under this title is required to be complete, accurate, and truthful;

“(B) all assets and all liabilities are required to be completely and accurately disclosed in the documents filed to commence the case, and the replacement value of each asset as defined in section 506 must be stated in those documents where requested after reasonable inquiry to establish such value;

“(C) current monthly income, the amounts specified in section 707(b)(2), and, in a case under chapter 13 of this title, disposable income (determined in accordance with section 707(b)(2)), are required to be stated after reasonable inquiry; and

“(D) information that an assisted person provides during their case may be audited pursuant to this title, and that failure to provide such information may result in dismissal of the case under this title or other sanction, including a criminal sanction.

“(b) A debt relief agency providing bankruptcy assistance to an assisted person shall provide each assisted person at the same time as the notices required under subsection (a)(1) the following statement, to the extent applicable, or one substantially similar. The statement shall be clear and conspicuous and shall be in a single document separate from other documents or notices provided to the assisted person:

“IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT BANKRUPTCY ASSISTANCE SERVICES FROM AN ATTORNEY OR BANKRUPTCY PETITION PREPARER.

“If you decide to seek bankruptcy relief, you can represent yourself, you can hire an attorney to represent you, or you can get help in some localities from a bankruptcy petition preparer who is not an attorney. THE LAW REQUIRES AN ATTORNEY OR BANKRUPTCY PETITION PREPARER TO GIVE YOU A WRITTEN CONTRACT SPECIFYING WHAT THE ATTORNEY OR BANKRUPTCY PETITION PREPARER WILL DO FOR YOU AND HOW MUCH IT WILL COST. Ask to see the contract before you hire anyone.

“The following information helps you understand what must be done in a routine bankruptcy case to help you evaluate how much service you need. Although bankruptcy can be complex, many cases are routine.

“Before filing a bankruptcy case, either you or your attorney should analyze your eligibility for different forms of debt relief available under the Bankruptcy Code and which form of relief is most likely to be beneficial for you. Be sure you understand the relief you can obtain and its limitations. To file a bankruptcy case, documents called a Petition, Schedules and Statement of Financial Affairs, as well as in some cases a Statement of Intention need to be prepared correctly and filed with the bankruptcy court. You will have to pay a filing fee to the bankruptcy court. Once your case starts, you will have to attend the required first meeting of creditors where you may be questioned by a

court official called a ‘trustee’ and by creditors.

“If you choose to file a chapter 7 case, you may be asked by a creditor to reaffirm a debt. You may want help deciding whether to do so. A creditor is not permitted to coerce you into reaffirming your debts.

“If you choose to file a chapter 13 case in which you repay your creditors what you can afford over 3 to 5 years, you may also want help with preparing your chapter 13 plan and with the confirmation hearing on your plan which will be before a bankruptcy judge.

“If you select another type of relief under the Bankruptcy Code other than chapter 7 or chapter 13, you will want to find out what should be done from someone familiar with that type of relief.

“Your bankruptcy case may also involve litigation. You are generally permitted to represent yourself in litigation in bankruptcy court, but only attorneys, not bankruptcy petition preparers, can give you legal advice.”

“(c) Except to the extent the debt relief agency provides the required information itself after reasonably diligent inquiry of the assisted person or others so as to obtain such information reasonably accurately for inclusion on the petition, schedules or statement of financial affairs, a debt relief agency providing bankruptcy assistance to an assisted person, to the extent permitted by nonbankruptcy law, shall provide each assisted person at the time required for the notice required under subsection (a)(1) reasonably sufficient information (which shall be provided in a clear and conspicuous writing) to the assisted person on how to provide all the information the assisted person is required to provide under this title pursuant to section 521, including—

“(1) how to value assets at replacement value, determine current monthly income, the amounts specified in section 707(b)(2) and, in a chapter 13 case, how to determine disposable income in accordance with section 707(b)(2) and related calculations;

“(2) how to complete the list of creditors, including how to determine what amount is owed and what address for the creditor should be shown; and

“(3) how to determine what property is exempt and how to value exempt property at replacement value as defined in section 506.

“(d) A debt relief agency shall maintain a copy of the notices required under subsection (a) of this section for 2 years after the date on which the notice is given the assisted person.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 5 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 227, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 526 the following:

“527. Disclosures.”

SEC. 229. REQUIREMENTS FOR DEBT RELIEF AGENCIES.

(a) ENFORCEMENT.—Subchapter II of chapter 5 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by sections 227 and 228, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§ 528. Requirements for debt relief agencies

“(a) A debt relief agency shall—

“(1) not later than 5 business days after the first date on which such agency provides any bankruptcy assistance services to an assisted person, but prior to such assisted person’s petition under this title being filed, execute a written contract with such assisted person that explains clearly and conspicuously—

“(A) the services such agency will provide to such assisted person; and

“(B) the fees or charges for such services, and the terms of payment;

“(2) provide the assisted person with a copy of the fully executed and completed contract;

“(3) clearly and conspicuously disclose in any advertisement of bankruptcy assistance services or of the benefits of bankruptcy directed to the general public (whether in general media, seminars or specific mailings, telephonic or electronic messages, or otherwise) that the services or benefits are with respect to bankruptcy relief under this title; and

“(4) clearly and conspicuously use the following statement in such advertisement: ‘We are a debt relief agency. We help people file for bankruptcy relief under the Bankruptcy Code.’ or a substantially similar statement.

“(b)(1) An advertisement of bankruptcy assistance services or of the benefits of bankruptcy directed to the general public includes—

“(A) descriptions of bankruptcy assistance in connection with a chapter 13 plan whether or not chapter 13 is specifically mentioned in such advertisement; and

“(B) statements such as ‘federally supervised repayment plan’ or ‘Federal debt restructuring help’ or other similar statements that could lead a reasonable consumer to believe that debt counseling was being offered when in fact the services were directed to providing bankruptcy assistance with a chapter 13 plan or other form of bankruptcy relief under this title.

“(2) An advertisement, directed to the general public, indicating that the debt relief agency provides assistance with respect to credit defaults, mortgage foreclosures, eviction proceedings, excessive debt, debt collection pressure, or inability to pay any consumer debt shall—

“(A) disclose clearly and conspicuously in such advertisement that the assistance may involve bankruptcy relief under this title; and

“(B) include the following statement: ‘We are a debt relief agency. We help people file for bankruptcy relief under the Bankruptcy Code.’ or a substantially similar statement.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 5 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 227 and 228, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 527, the following:

“528. Requirements for debt relief agencies.”

SEC. 230. GAO STUDY.

(a) STUDY.—Not later than 270 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study of the feasibility, effectiveness, and cost of requiring trustees appointed under title 11, United States Code, or the bankruptcy courts, to provide to the Office of Child Support Enforcement promptly after the commencement of cases by debtors who are individuals under such title, the names and social security account numbers of such debtors for the purposes of allowing such Office to determine whether such debtors have outstanding obligations for child support (as determined on the basis of information in the Federal Case Registry or other national database).

(b) REPORT.—Not later than 300 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the President pro tempore of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives a report containing the results of the study required by subsection (a).

SEC. 231. PROTECTION OF PERSONALLY IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION.

(a) LIMITATION.—Section 363(b)(1) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking the period at the end and inserting the following:

“, except that if the debtor in connection with offering a product or a service discloses to an individual a policy prohibiting the

transfer of personally identifiable information about individuals to persons that are not affiliated with the debtor and if such policy is in effect on the date of the commencement of the case, then the trustee may not sell or lease personally identifiable information to any person unless—

“(A) such sale or such lease is consistent with such policy; or

“(B) after appointment of a consumer privacy ombudsman in accordance with section 332, and after notice and a hearing, the court approves such sale or such lease—

“(i) giving due consideration to the facts, circumstances, and conditions of such sale or such lease; and

“(ii) finding that no showing was made that such sale or such lease would violate applicable nonbankruptcy law.”

(b) DEFINITION.—Section 101 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting after paragraph (41) the following:

“(41A) ‘personally identifiable information’ means—

“(A) if provided by an individual to the debtor in connection with obtaining a product or a service from the debtor primarily for personal, family, or household purposes—

“(i) the first name (or initial) and last name of such individual, whether given at birth or time of adoption, or resulting from a lawful change of name;

“(ii) the geographical address of a physical place of residence of such individual;

“(iii) an electronic address (including an e-mail address) of such individual;

“(iv) a telephone number dedicated to contacting such individual at such physical place of residence;

“(v) a social security account number issued to such individual; or

“(vi) the account number of a credit card issued to such individual; or

“(B) if identified in connection with 1 or more of the items of information specified in subparagraph (A)—

“(i) a birth date, the number of a certificate of birth or adoption, or a place of birth; or

“(ii) any other information concerning an identified individual that, if disclosed, will result in contacting or identifying such individual physically or electronically.”

SEC. 232. CONSUMER PRIVACY OMBUDSMAN.

(a) CONSUMER PRIVACY OMBUDSMAN.—Title 11 of the United States Code is amended by inserting after section 331 the following:

“§ 332. Consumer privacy ombudsman

“(a) If a hearing is required under section 363(b)(1)(B), the court shall order the United States trustee to appoint, not later than 5 days before the commencement of the hearing, 1 disinterested person (other than the United States trustee) to serve as the consumer privacy ombudsman in the case and shall require that notice of such hearing be timely given to such ombudsman.

“(b) The consumer privacy ombudsman may appear and be heard at such hearing and shall provide to the court information to assist the court in its consideration of the facts, circumstances, and conditions of the proposed sale or lease of personally identifiable information under section 363(b)(1)(B). Such information may include presentation of—

“(1) the debtor’s privacy policy;

“(2) the potential losses or gains of privacy to consumers if such sale or such lease is approved by the court;

“(3) the potential costs or benefits to consumers if such sale or such lease is approved by the court; and

“(4) the potential alternatives that would mitigate potential privacy losses or potential costs to consumers.

“(c) A consumer privacy ombudsman shall not disclose any personally identifiable in-

formation obtained by the ombudsman under this title.”

(b) COMPENSATION OF CONSUMER PRIVACY OMBUDSMAN.—Section 330(a)(1) of title 11, United States Code, is amended in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by inserting “a consumer privacy ombudsman appointed under section 332,” before “an examiner”.

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for subchapter II of chapter 3 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“332. Consumer privacy ombudsman.”

SEC. 233. PROHIBITION ON DISCLOSURE OF NAME OF MINOR CHILDREN.

(a) PROHIBITION.—Title 11 of the United States Code, as amended by section 106, is amended by inserting after section 111 the following:

“§ 112. Prohibition on disclosure of name of minor children

“The debtor may be required to provide information regarding a minor child involved in matters under this title but may not be required to disclose in the public records in the case the name of such minor child. The debtor may be required to disclose the name of such minor child in a nonpublic record that is maintained by the court and made available by the court for examination by the United States trustee, the trustee, and the auditor (if any) serving under section 586(f) of title 28, in the case. The court, the United States trustee, the trustee, and such auditor shall not disclose the name of such minor child maintained in such nonpublic record.”

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 1 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 106, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 111 the following:

“112. Prohibition on disclosure of name of minor children.”

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 107(a) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting “and subject to section 112” after “section”.

TITLE III—DISCOURAGING BANKRUPTCY ABUSE

SEC. 301. TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.

Section 523(a)(17) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “by a court” and inserting “on a prisoner by any court”;

(2) by striking “section 1915(b) or (f)” and inserting “subsection (b) or (f)(2) of section 1915”; and

(3) by inserting “(or a similar non-Federal law)” after “title 28” each place it appears.

SEC. 302. DISCOURAGING BAD FAITH REPEAT FILINGS.

Section 362(c) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) if a single or joint case is filed by or against debtor who is an individual in a case under chapter 7, 11, or 13, and if a single or joint case of the debtor was pending within the preceding 1-year period but was dismissed, other than a case refiled under a chapter other than chapter 7 after dismissal under section 707(b)—

“(A) the stay under subsection (a) with respect to any action taken with respect to a debt or property securing such debt or with respect to any lease shall terminate with respect to the debtor on the 30th day after the filing of the later case;

“(B) on the motion of a party in interest for continuation of the automatic stay and upon notice and a hearing, the court may ex-

tend the stay in particular cases as to any or all creditors (subject to such conditions or limitations as the court may then impose) after notice and a hearing completed before the expiration of the 30-day period only if the party in interest demonstrates that the filing of the later case is in good faith as to the creditors to be stayed; and

“(C) for purposes of subparagraph (B), a case is presumptively filed not in good faith (but such presumption may be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence to the contrary)—

“(i) as to all creditors, if—

“(I) more than 1 previous case under any of chapters 7, 11, and 13 in which the individual was a debtor was pending within the preceding 1-year period;

“(II) a previous case under any of chapters 7, 11, and 13 in which the individual was a debtor was dismissed within such 1-year period, after the debtor failed to—

“(aa) file or amend the petition or other documents as required by this title or the court without substantial excuse (but mere inadvertence or negligence shall not be a substantial excuse unless the dismissal was caused by the negligence of the debtor’s attorney);

“(bb) provide adequate protection as ordered by the court; or

“(cc) perform the terms of a plan confirmed by the court; or

“(III) there has not been a substantial change in the financial or personal affairs of the debtor since the dismissal of the next most previous case under chapter 7, 11, or 13 or any other reason to conclude that the later case will be concluded—

“(aa) if a case under chapter 7, with a discharge; or

“(bb) if a case under chapter 11 or 13, with a confirmed plan that will be fully performed; and

“(ii) as to any creditor that commenced an action under subsection (d) in a previous case in which the individual was a debtor if, as of the date of dismissal of such case, that action was still pending or had been resolved by terminating, conditioning, or limiting the stay as to actions of such creditor; and

“(4)(A)(i) if a single or joint case is filed by or against a debtor who is an individual under this title, and if 2 or more single or joint cases of the debtor were pending within the previous year but were dismissed, other than a case refiled under section 707(b), the stay under subsection (a) shall not go into effect upon the filing of the later case; and

“(ii) on request of a party in interest, the court shall promptly enter an order confirming that no stay is in effect;

“(B) if, within 30 days after the filing of the later case, a party in interest requests the court may order the stay to take effect in the case as to any or all creditors (subject to such conditions or limitations as the court may impose), after notice and a hearing, only if the party in interest demonstrates that the filing of the later case is in good faith as to the creditors to be stayed;

“(C) a stay imposed under subparagraph (B) shall be effective on the date of the entry of the order allowing the stay to go into effect; and

“(D) for purposes of subparagraph (B), a case is presumptively filed not in good faith (but such presumption may be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence to the contrary)—

“(i) as to all creditors if—

“(I) 2 or more previous cases under this title in which the individual was a debtor were pending within the 1-year period;

“(II) a previous case under this title in which the individual was a debtor was dismissed within the time period stated in this paragraph after the debtor failed to file or

amend the petition or other documents as required by this title or the court without substantial excuse (but mere inadvertence or negligence shall not be substantial excuse unless the dismissal was caused by the negligence of the debtor's attorney), failed to provide adequate protection as ordered by the court, or failed to perform the terms of a plan confirmed by the court; or

“(III) there has not been a substantial change in the financial or personal affairs of the debtor since the dismissal of the next most previous case under this title, or any other reason to conclude that the later case will not be concluded, if a case under chapter 7, with a discharge, and if a case under chapter 11 or 13, with a confirmed plan that will be fully performed; or

“(ii) as to any creditor that commenced an action under subsection (d) in a previous case in which the individual was a debtor if, as of the date of dismissal of such case, such action was still pending or had been resolved by terminating, conditioning, or limiting the stay as to such action of such creditor.”.

SEC. 303. CURBING ABUSIVE FILINGS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 362(d) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2), by striking “or” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (3), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; or”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(4) with respect to a stay of an act against real property under subsection (a), by a creditor whose claim is secured by an interest in such real property, if the court finds that the filing of the petition was part of a scheme to delay, hinder, and defraud creditors that involved either—

“(A) transfer of all or part ownership of, or other interest in, such real property without the consent of the secured creditor or court approval; or

“(B) multiple bankruptcy filings affecting such real property.

If recorded in compliance with applicable State laws governing notices of interests or liens in real property, an order entered under paragraph (4) shall be binding in any other case under this title purporting to affect such real property filed not later than 2 years after the date of the entry of such order by the court, except that a debtor in a subsequent case under this title may move for relief from such order based upon changed circumstances or for good cause shown, after notice and a hearing. Any Federal, State, or local governmental unit that accepts notices of interests or liens in real property shall accept any certified copy of an order described in this subsection for indexing and recording.”.

(b) AUTOMATIC STAY.—Section 362(b) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by paragraph (19), the following:

“(20) under subsection (a), of any act to enforce any lien against or security interest in real property following entry of the order under subsection (d)(4) as to such real property in any prior case under this title, for a period of 2 years after the date of the entry of such an order, except that the debtor, in a subsequent case under this title, may move for relief from such order based upon changed circumstances or for other good cause shown, after notice and a hearing;

“(21) under subsection (a), of any act to enforce any lien against or security interest in real property—

“(A) if the debtor is ineligible under section 109(g) to be a debtor in a case under this title; or

“(B) if the case under this title was filed in violation of a bankruptcy court order in a prior case under this title prohibiting the

debtor from being a debtor in another case under this title;”.

SEC. 304. DEBTOR RETENTION OF PERSONAL PROPERTY SECURITY.

Title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in section 521(a), as so designated by section 106—

(A) in paragraph (4), by striking “, and” at the end and inserting a semicolon;

(B) in paragraph (5), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(6) in a case under chapter 7 of this title in which the debtor is an individual, not retain possession of personal property as to which a creditor has an allowed claim for the purchase price secured in whole or in part by an interest in such personal property unless the debtor, not later than 45 days after the first meeting of creditors under section 341(a), either—

“(A) enters into an agreement with the creditor pursuant to section 524(c) with respect to the claim secured by such property; or

“(B) redeems such property from the security interest pursuant to section 722.

If the debtor fails to so act within the 45-day period referred to in paragraph (6), the stay under section 362(a) is terminated with respect to the personal property of the estate or of the debtor which is affected, such property shall no longer be property of the estate, and the creditor may take whatever action as to such property as is permitted by applicable nonbankruptcy law, unless the court determines on the motion of the trustee filed before the expiration of such 45-day period, and after notice and a hearing, that such property is of consequential value or benefit to the estate, orders appropriate adequate protection of the creditor's interest, and orders the debtor to deliver any collateral in the debtor's possession to the trustee.”; and

(2) in section 722, by inserting “in full at the time of redemption” before the period at the end.

SEC. 305. RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY WHEN THE DEBTOR DOES NOT COMPLETE INTENDED SURRENDER OF CONSUMER DEBT COLLATERAL.

Title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in section 362, as amended by section 106—

(A) in subsection (c), by striking “(e), and (f)” and inserting “(e), (f), and (h)”;

(B) by redesignating subsection (h) as subsection (k) and transferring such subsection so as to insert it after subsection (j) as added by section 106; and

(C) by inserting after subsection (g) the following:

“(h)(1) In a case in which the debtor is an individual, the stay provided by subsection (a) is terminated with respect to personal property of the estate or of the debtor securing in whole or in part a claim, or subject to an unexpired lease, and such personal property shall no longer be property of the estate if the debtor fails within the applicable time set by section 521(a)(2)—

“(A) to file timely any statement of intention required under section 521(a)(2) with respect to such personal property or to indicate in such statement that the debtor will either surrender such personal property or retain it and, if retaining such personal property, either redeem such personal property pursuant to section 722, enter into an agreement of the kind specified in section 524(c) applicable to the debt secured by such personal property, or assume such unexpired lease pursuant to section 365(p) if the trustee does not do so, as applicable; and

“(B) to take timely the action specified in such statement, as it may be amended before expiration of the period for taking action,

unless such statement specifies the debtor's intention to reaffirm such debt on the original contract terms and the creditor refuses to agree to the reaffirmation on such terms.

“(2) Paragraph (1) does not apply if the court determines, on the motion of the trustee filed before the expiration of the applicable time set by section 521(a)(2), after notice and a hearing, that such personal property is of consequential value or benefit to the estate, and orders appropriate adequate protection of the creditor's interest, and orders the debtor to deliver any collateral in the debtor's possession to the trustee. If the court does not so determine, the stay provided by subsection (a) shall terminate upon the conclusion of the hearing on the motion.”; and

(2) in section 521, as amended by sections 106 and 225—

(A) in subsection (a)(2) by striking “consumer”;

(B) in subsection (a)(2)(B)—

(i) by striking “forty-five days after the filing of a notice of intent under this section” and inserting “30 days after the first date set for the meeting of creditors under section 341(a)”;

(ii) by striking “forty-five day” and inserting “30-day”;

(C) in subsection (a)(2)(C) by inserting “, except as provided in section 362(h)” before the semicolon; and

(D) by adding at the end the following:

“(d) If the debtor fails timely to take the action specified in subsection (a)(6) of this section, or in paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 362(h), with respect to property which a lessor or bailor owns and has leased, rented, or bailed to the debtor or as to which a creditor holds a security interest not otherwise voidable under section 522(f), 544, 545, 547, 548, or 549, nothing in this title shall prevent or limit the operation of a provision in the underlying lease or agreement that has the effect of placing the debtor in default under such lease or agreement by reason of the occurrence, pendency, or existence of a proceeding under this title or the insolvency of the debtor. Nothing in this subsection shall be deemed to justify limiting such a provision in any other circumstance.”.

SEC. 306. GIVING SECURED CREDITORS FAIR TREATMENT IN CHAPTER 13.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1325(a)(5)(B)(i) of title 11, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(i) the plan provides that—

“(I) the holder of such claim retain the lien securing such claim until the earlier of—

“(aa) the payment of the underlying debt determined under nonbankruptcy law; or

“(bb) discharge under section 1328; and

“(II) if the case under this chapter is dismissed or converted without completion of the plan, such lien shall also be retained by such holder to the extent recognized by applicable nonbankruptcy law; and”.

(b) RESTORING THE FOUNDATION FOR SECURED CREDIT.—Section 1325(a) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“For purposes of paragraph (5), section 506 shall not apply to a claim described in that paragraph if the creditor has a purchase money security interest securing the debt that is the subject of the claim, the debt was incurred within the 910-day preceding the date of the filing of the petition, and the collateral for that debt consists of a motor vehicle (as defined in section 30102 of title 49) acquired for the personal use of the debtor, or if collateral for that debt consists of any other thing of value, if the debt was incurred during the 1-year period preceding that filing.”.

(c) DEFINITIONS.—Section 101 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting after paragraph (13) the following:

“(13A) ‘debtor’s principal residence’—

“(A) means a residential structure, including incidental property, without regard to whether that structure is attached to real property; and

“(B) includes an individual condominium or cooperative unit, a mobile or manufactured home, or trailer;”;

(2) by inserting after paragraph (27), the following:

“(27A) ‘incidental property’ means, with respect to a debtor’s principal residence—

“(A) property commonly conveyed with a principal residence in the area where the real property is located;

“(B) all easements, rights, appurtenances, fixtures, rents, royalties, mineral rights, oil or gas rights or profits, water rights, escrow funds, or insurance proceeds; and

“(C) all replacements or additions;”.

SEC. 307. DOMICILIARY REQUIREMENTS FOR EXEMPTIONS.

Section 522(b)(3) of title 11, United States Code, as so designated by section 106, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A)—

(A) by striking “180 days” and inserting “730 days”; and

(B) by striking “, or for a longer portion of such 180-day period than in any other place” and inserting “or if the debtor’s domicile has not been located at a single State for such 730-day period, the place in which the debtor’s domicile was located for 180 days immediately preceding the 730-day period or for a longer portion of such 180-day period than in any other place”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“If the effect of the domiciliary requirement under subparagraph (A) is to render the debtor ineligible for any exemption, the debtor may elect to exempt property that is specified under subsection (d).”.

SEC. 308. REDUCTION OF HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION FOR FRAUD.

Section 522 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 224, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(3)(A), as so designated by this Act, by inserting “subject to subsections (o) and (p),” before “any property”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(o) For purposes of subsection (b)(3)(A), and notwithstanding subsection (a), the value of an interest in—

“(1) real or personal property that the debtor or a dependent of the debtor uses as a residence;

“(2) a cooperative that owns property that the debtor or a dependent of the debtor uses as a residence;

“(3) a burial plot for the debtor or a dependent of the debtor; or

“(4) real or personal property that the debtor or a dependent of the debtor claims as a homestead;

shall be reduced to the extent that such value is attributable to any portion of any property that the debtor disposed of in the 10-year period ending on the date of the filing of the petition with the intent to hinder, delay, or defraud a creditor and that the debtor could not exempt, or that portion that the debtor could not exempt, under subsection (b), if on such date the debtor had held the property so disposed of.”.

SEC. 309. PROTECTING SECURED CREDITORS IN CHAPTER 13 CASES.

(a) STOPPING ABUSIVE CONVERSIONS FROM CHAPTER 13.—Section 348(f)(1) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in subparagraph (B)—

(A) by striking “in the converted case, with allowed secured claims” and inserting “only in a case converted to a case under

chapter 11 or 12, but not in a case converted to a case under chapter 7, with allowed secured claims in cases under chapters 11 and 12”; and

(B) by striking the period and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(C) with respect to cases converted from chapter 13—

“(i) the claim of any creditor holding security as of the date of the petition shall continue to be secured by that security unless the full amount of such claim determined under applicable nonbankruptcy law has been paid in full as of the date of conversion, notwithstanding any valuation or determination of the amount of an allowed secured claim made for the purposes of the case under chapter 13; and

“(ii) unless a prebankruptcy default has been fully cured under the plan at the time of conversion, in any proceeding under this title or otherwise, the default shall have the effect given under applicable nonbankruptcy law.”.

(b) GIVING DEBTORS THE ABILITY TO KEEP LEASED PERSONAL PROPERTY BY ASSUMPTION.—Section 365 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(p)(1) If a lease of personal property is rejected or not timely assumed by the trustee under subsection (d), the leased property is no longer property of the estate and the stay under section 362(a) is automatically terminated.

“(2)(A) If the debtor in a case under chapter 7 is an individual, the debtor may notify the creditor in writing that the debtor desires to assume the lease. Upon being so notified, the creditor may, at its option, notify the debtor that it is willing to have the lease assumed by the debtor and may condition such assumption on cure of any outstanding default on terms set by the contract.

“(B) If, not later than 30 days after notice is provided under subparagraph (A), the debtor notifies the lessor in writing that the lease is assumed, the liability under the lease will be assumed by the debtor and not by the estate.

“(C) The stay under section 362 and the injunction under section 524(a)(2) shall not be violated by notification of the debtor and negotiation of cure under this subsection.

“(3) In a case under chapter 11 in which the debtor is an individual and in a case under chapter 13, if the debtor is the lessee with respect to personal property and the lease is not assumed in the plan confirmed by the court, the lease is deemed rejected as of the conclusion of the hearing on confirmation. If the lease is rejected, the stay under section 362 and any stay under section 1301 is automatically terminated with respect to the property subject to the lease.”.

(c) ADEQUATE PROTECTION OF LESSORS AND PURCHASE MONEY SECURED CREDITORS.—

(1) CONFIRMATION OF PLAN.—Section 1325(a)(5)(B) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 306, is amended—

(A) in clause (i), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) in clause (ii), by striking “or” at the end and inserting “and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(iii) if—

“(I) property to be distributed pursuant to this subsection is in the form of periodic payments, such payments shall be in equal monthly amounts; and

“(II) the holder of the claim is secured by personal property, the amount of such payments shall not be less than an amount sufficient to provide to the holder of such claim adequate protection during the period of the plan; or”.

(2) PAYMENTS.—Section 1326(a) of title 11, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(a)(1) Unless the court orders otherwise, the debtor shall commence making payments not later than 30 days after the date of the filing of the plan or the order for relief, whichever is earlier, in the amount—

“(A) proposed by the plan to the trustee;

“(B) scheduled in a lease of personal property directly to the lessor for that portion of the obligation that becomes due after the order for relief, reducing the payments under subparagraph (A) by the amount so paid and providing the trustee with evidence of such payment, including the amount and date of payment; and

“(C) that provides adequate protection directly to a creditor holding an allowed claim secured by personal property to the extent the claim is attributable to the purchase of such property by the debtor for that portion of the obligation that becomes due after the order for relief, reducing the payments under subparagraph (A) by the amount so paid and providing the trustee with evidence of such payment, including the amount and date of payment.

(2) A payment made under paragraph (1)(A) shall be retained by the trustee until confirmation or denial of confirmation. If a plan is confirmed, the trustee shall distribute any such payment in accordance with the plan as soon as is practicable. If a plan is not confirmed, the trustee shall return any such payments not previously paid and not yet due and owing to creditors pursuant to paragraph (3) to the debtor, after deducting any unpaid claim allowed under section 503(b).

(3) Subject to section 363, the court may, upon notice and a hearing, modify, increase, or reduce the payments required under this subsection pending confirmation of a plan.

(4) Not later than 60 days after the date of filing of a case under this chapter, a debtor retaining possession of personal property subject to a lease or securing a claim attributable in whole or in part to the purchase price of such property shall provide the lessor or secured creditor reasonable evidence of the maintenance of any required insurance coverage with respect to the use or ownership of such property and continue to do so for so long as the debtor retains possession of such property.”.

SEC. 310. LIMITATION ON LUXURY GOODS.

Section 523(a)(2)(C) of title 11, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(C)(i) for purposes of subparagraph (A)—

“(I) consumer debts owed to a single creditor and aggregating more than \$500 for luxury goods or services incurred by an individual debtor on or within 90 days before the order for relief under this title are presumed to be nondischargeable; and

“(II) cash advances aggregating more than \$750 that are extensions of consumer credit under an open end credit plan obtained by an individual debtor on or within 70 days before the order for relief under this title, are presumed to be nondischargeable; and

“(ii) for purposes of this subparagraph—

“(I) the terms ‘consumer’, ‘credit’, and ‘open end credit plan’ have the same meanings as in section 103 of the Truth in Lending Act; and

“(II) the term ‘luxury goods or services’ does not include goods or services reasonably necessary for the support or maintenance of the debtor or a dependent of the debtor.”.

SEC. 311. AUTOMATIC STAY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 362(b) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by sections 224 and 303, is amended by inserting after paragraph (21), the following:

“(22) subject to subsection (n), under subsection (a)(3), of the continuation of any

eviction, unlawful detainer action, or similar proceeding by a lessor against a debtor involving residential property in which the debtor resides as a tenant under a lease or rental agreement and with respect to which the lessor has obtained before the date of the filing of the bankruptcy petition, a judgment for possession of such property against the debtor;

“(23) subject to subsection (o), under subsection (a)(3), of an eviction action that seeks possession of the residential property in which the debtor resides as a tenant under a lease or rental agreement based on endangerment of such property or the illegal use of controlled substances on such property, but only if the lessor files with the court, and serves upon the debtor, a certification under penalty of perjury that such an eviction action has been filed, or that the debtor, during the 30-day period preceding the date of the filing of the certification, has endangered property or illegally used or allowed to be used a controlled substance on the property;

“(24) under subsection (a), of any transfer that is not avoidable under section 544 and that is not avoidable under section 549;”.

(b) LIMITATIONS.—Section 362 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by sections 106 and 305, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(1)(1) Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, subsection (b)(22) shall apply on the date that is 30 days after the date on which the bankruptcy petition is filed, if the debtor files with the petition and serves upon the lessor a certification under penalty of perjury that—

“(A) under nonbankruptcy law applicable in the jurisdiction, there are circumstances under which the debtor would be permitted to cure the entire monetary default that gave rise to the judgment for possession, after that judgment for possession was entered; and

“(B) the debtor (or an adult dependent of the debtor) has deposited with the clerk of the court, any rent that would become due during the 30-day period after the filing of the bankruptcy petition.

“(2) If, within the 30-day period after the filing of the bankruptcy petition, the debtor (or an adult dependent of the debtor) complies with paragraph (1) and files with the court and serves upon the lessor a further certification under penalty of perjury that the debtor (or an adult dependent of the debtor) has cured, under nonbankruptcy law applicable in the jurisdiction, the entire monetary default that gave rise to the judgment under which possession is sought by the lessor, subsection (b)(22) shall not apply, unless ordered to apply by the court under paragraph (3).

“(3)(A) If the lessor files an objection to any certification filed by the debtor under paragraph (1) or (2), and serves such objection upon the debtor, the court shall hold a hearing within 10 days after the filing and service of such objection to determine if the certification filed by the debtor under paragraph (1) or (2) is true.

“(B) If the court upholds the objection of the lessor filed under subparagraph (A)—

“(i) subsection (b)(22) shall apply immediately and relief from the stay provided under subsection (a)(3) shall not be required to enable the lessor to complete the process to recover full possession of the property; and

“(ii) the clerk of the court shall immediately serve upon the lessor and the debtor a certified copy of the court’s order upholding the lessor’s objection.

“(4) If a debtor, in accordance with paragraph (5), indicates on the petition that there was a judgment for possession of the

residential rental property in which the debtor resides and does not file a certification under paragraph (1) or (2)—

“(A) subsection (b)(22) shall apply immediately upon failure to file such certification, and relief from the stay provided under subsection (a)(3) shall not be required to enable the lessor to complete the process to recover full possession of the property; and

“(B) the clerk of the court shall immediately serve upon the lessor and the debtor a certified copy of the docket indicating the absence of a filed certification and the applicability of the exception to the stay under subsection (b)(22).

“(5)(A) Where a judgment for possession of residential property in which the debtor resides as a tenant under a lease or rental agreement has been obtained by the lessor, the debtor shall so indicate on the bankruptcy petition and shall provide the name and address of the lessor that obtained that pre-petition judgment on the petition and on any certification filed under this subsection.

“(B) The form of certification filed with the petition, as specified in this subsection, shall provide for the debtor to certify, and the debtor shall certify—

“(i) whether a judgment for possession of residential rental housing in which the debtor resides has been obtained against the debtor before the date of the filing of the petition; and

“(ii) whether the debtor is claiming under paragraph (1) that under nonbankruptcy law applicable in the jurisdiction, there are circumstances under which the debtor would be permitted to cure the entire monetary default that gave rise to the judgment for possession, after that judgment of possession was entered, and has made the appropriate deposit with the court.

“(C) The standard forms (electronic and otherwise) used in a bankruptcy proceeding shall be amended to reflect the requirements of this subsection.

“(D) The clerk of the court shall arrange for the prompt transmittal of the rent deposited in accordance with paragraph (1)(B) to the lessor.

“(m)(1) Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, subsection (b)(23) shall apply on the date that is 15 days after the date on which the lessor files and serves a certification described in subsection (b)(23).

“(2)(A) If the debtor files with the court an objection to the truth or legal sufficiency of the certification described in subsection (b)(23) and serves such objection upon the lessor, subsection (b)(23) shall not apply, unless ordered to apply by the court under this subsection.

“(B) If the debtor files and serves the objection under subparagraph (A), the court shall hold a hearing within 10 days after the filing and service of such objection to determine if the situation giving rise to the lessor’s certification under paragraph (1) existed or has been remedied.

“(C) If the debtor can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the court that the situation giving rise to the lessor’s certification under paragraph (1) did not exist or has been remedied, the stay provided under subsection (a)(3) shall remain in effect until the termination of the stay under this section.

“(D) If the debtor cannot demonstrate to the satisfaction of the court that the situation giving rise to the lessor’s certification under paragraph (1) did not exist or has been remedied—

“(i) relief from the stay provided under subsection (a)(3) shall not be required to enable the lessor to proceed with the eviction; and

“(ii) the clerk of the court shall immediately serve upon the lessor and the debtor

a certified copy of the court’s order upholding the lessor’s certification.

“(3) If the debtor fails to file, within 15 days, an objection under paragraph (2)(A)—

“(A) subsection (b)(23) shall apply immediately upon such failure and relief from the stay provided under subsection (a)(3) shall not be required to enable the lessor to complete the process to recover full possession of the property; and

“(B) the clerk of the court shall immediately serve upon the lessor and the debtor a certified copy of the docket indicating such failure.”.

SEC. 312. EXTENSION OF PERIOD BETWEEN BANKRUPTCY DISCHARGES.

Title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in section 727(a)(8), by striking “six” and inserting “8”; and

(2) in section 1328, by inserting after subsection (e) the following:

“(f) Notwithstanding subsections (a) and (b), the court shall not grant a discharge of all debts provided for in the plan or disallowed under section 502, if the debtor has received a discharge—

“(1) in a case filed under chapter 7, 11, or 12 of this title during the 4-year period preceding the date of the order for relief under this chapter, or

“(2) in a case filed under chapter 13 of this title during the 2-year period preceding the date of such order.”.

SEC. 313. DEFINITION OF HOUSEHOLD GOODS AND ANTIQUES.

(a) DEFINITION.—Section 522(f) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(4)(A) Subject to subparagraph (B), for purposes of paragraph (1)(B), the term ‘household goods’ means—

“(i) clothing;

“(ii) furniture;

“(iii) appliances;

“(iv) 1 radio;

“(v) 1 television;

“(vi) 1 VCR;

“(vii) linens;

“(viii) china;

“(ix) crockery;

“(x) kitchenware;

“(xi) educational materials and educational equipment primarily for the use of minor dependent children of the debtor;

“(xii) medical equipment and supplies;

“(xiii) furniture exclusively for the use of minor children, or elderly or disabled dependents of the debtor;

“(xiv) personal effects (including the toys and hobby equipment of minor dependent children and wedding rings) of the debtor and the dependents of the debtor; and

“(xv) 1 personal computer and related equipment.

“(B) The term ‘household goods’ does not include—

“(i) works of art (unless by or of the debtor, or any relative of the debtor);

“(ii) electronic entertainment equipment with a fair market value of more than \$500 in the aggregate (except 1 television, 1 radio, and 1 VCR);

“(iii) items acquired as antiques with a fair market value of more than \$500 in the aggregate;

“(iv) jewelry with a fair market value of more than \$500 in the aggregate (except wedding rings); and

“(v) a computer (except as otherwise provided for in this section), motor vehicle (including a tractor or lawn tractor), boat, or a motorized recreational device, conveyance, vehicle, watercraft, or aircraft.”.

(b) STUDY.—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the Executive Office for United States Trustees shall submit a report to the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate and

the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives containing its findings regarding utilization of the definition of household goods, as defined in section 522(f)(4) of title 11, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), with respect to the avoidance of nonpossessory, nonpurchase money security interests in household goods under section 522(f)(1)(B) of title 11, United States Code, and the impact such section 522(f)(4) has had on debtors and on the bankruptcy courts. Such report may include recommendations for amendments to such section 522(f)(4) consistent with the Director's findings.

SEC. 314. DEBT INCURRED TO PAY NON-DISCHARGEABLE DEBTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 523(a) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting after paragraph (14) the following:

“(14A) incurred to pay a tax to a governmental unit, other than the United States, that would be nondischargeable under paragraph (1);”.

(b) DISCHARGE UNDER CHAPTER 13.—Section 1328(a) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking paragraphs (1) through (3) and inserting the following:

- “(1) provided for under section 1322(b)(5);
“(2) of the kind specified in paragraph (2), (3), (4), (5), (8), or (9) of section 523(a);
“(3) for restitution, or a criminal fine, included in a sentence on the debtor's conviction of a crime; or
“(4) for restitution, or damages, awarded in a civil action against the debtor as a result of willful or malicious injury by the debtor that caused personal injury to an individual or the death of an individual.”.

SEC. 315. GIVING CREDITORS FAIR NOTICE IN CHAPTERS 7 AND 13 CASES.

(a) NOTICE.—Section 342 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 102, is amended—

- (1) in subsection (c)—
(A) by inserting “(1)” after “(c)”;
(B) by striking “, but the failure of such notice to contain such information shall not invalidate the legal effect of such notice”; and
(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(2)(A) If, within the 90 days before the commencement of a voluntary case, a creditor supplies the debtor in at least 2 communications sent to the debtor with the current account number of the debtor and the address at which such creditor requests to receive correspondence, then any notice required by this title to be sent by the debtor to such creditor shall be sent to such address and shall include such account number.
“(B) If a creditor would be in violation of applicable nonbankruptcy law by sending any such communication within such 90-day period and if such creditor supplies the debtor in the last 2 communications with the current account number of the debtor and the address at which such creditor requests to receive correspondence, then any notice required by this title to be sent by the debtor to such creditor shall be sent to such address and shall include such account number.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:
“(e)(1) In a case under chapter 7 or 13 of this title of a debtor who is an individual, a creditor at any time may both file with the court and serve on the debtor a notice of address to be used to provide notice in such case to such creditor.
“(2) Any notice in such case required to be provided to such creditor by the debtor or the court later than 5 days after the court and the debtor receive such creditor's notice of address, shall be provided to such address.
“(f)(1) An entity may file with any bankruptcy court a notice of address to be used by all the bankruptcy courts or by particular

bankruptcy courts, as so specified by such entity at the time such notice is filed, to provide notice to such entity in all cases under chapters 7 and 13 pending in the courts with respect to which such notice is filed, in which such entity is a creditor.

“(2) In any case filed under chapter 7 or 13, any notice required to be provided by a court with respect to which a notice is filed under paragraph (1), to such entity later than 30 days after the filing of such notice under paragraph (1) shall be provided to such address unless with respect to a particular case a different address is specified in a notice filed and served in accordance with subsection (e).

“(3) A notice filed under paragraph (1) may be withdrawn by such entity.

“(g)(1) Notice provided to a creditor by the debtor or the court other than in accordance with this section (excluding this subsection) shall not be effective notice until such notice is brought to the attention of such creditor. If such creditor designates a person or an organizational subdivision of such creditor to be responsible for receiving notices under this title and establishes reasonable procedures so that such notices receivable by such creditor are to be delivered to such person or such subdivision, then a notice provided to such creditor other than in accordance with this section (excluding this subsection) shall not be considered to have been brought to the attention of such creditor until such notice is received by such person or such subdivision.

“(2) A monetary penalty may not be imposed on a creditor for a violation of a stay in effect under section 362(a) (including a monetary penalty imposed under section 362(k)) or for failure to comply with section 542 or 543 unless the conduct that is the basis of such violation or of such failure occurs after such creditor receives notice effective under this section of the order for relief.”.

(b) DEBTOR'S DUTIES.—Section 521 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by sections 106, 225, and 305, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), as so designated by section 106, by amending paragraph (1) to read as follows:

- “(1) file—
“(A) a list of creditors; and
“(B) unless the court orders otherwise—
“(i) a schedule of assets and liabilities;
“(ii) a schedule of current income and current expenditures;
“(iii) a statement of the debtor's financial affairs and, if section 342(b) applies, a certificate—

“(I) of an attorney whose name is indicated on the petition as the attorney for the debtor, or a bankruptcy petition preparer signing the petition under section 110(b)(1), indicating that such attorney or the bankruptcy petition preparer delivered to the debtor the notice required by section 342(b); or
“(II) if no attorney is so indicated, and no bankruptcy petition preparer signed the petition, of the debtor that such notice was received and read by the debtor;
“(iv) copies of all payment advices or other evidence of payment received within 60 days before the date of the filing of the petition, by the debtor from any employer of the debtor;

“(v) a statement of the amount of monthly net income, itemized to show how the amount is calculated; and
“(vi) a statement disclosing any reasonably anticipated increase in income or expenditures over the 12-month period following the date of the filing of the petition;”;

(2) by adding at the end the following:
“(e)(1) If the debtor in a case under chapter 7 or 13 is an individual and if a creditor files with the court at any time a request to re-

ceive a copy of the petition, schedules, and statement of financial affairs filed by the debtor, then the court shall make such petition, such schedules, and such statement available to such creditor.

“(2)(A) The debtor shall provide—
“(i) not later than 7 days before the date first set for the first meeting of creditors, to the trustee a copy of the Federal income tax return required under applicable law (or at the election of the debtor, a transcript of such return) for the most recent tax year ending immediately before the commencement of the case and for which a Federal income tax return was filed; and
“(ii) at the same time the debtor complies with clause (i), a copy of such return (or if elected under clause (i), such transcript) to any creditor that timely requests such copy.

“(B) If the debtor fails to comply with clause (i) or (ii) of subparagraph (A), the court shall dismiss the case unless the debtor demonstrates that the failure to so comply is due to circumstances beyond the control of the debtor.

“(C) If a creditor requests a copy of such tax return or such transcript and if the debtor fails to provide a copy of such tax return or such transcript to such creditor at the time the debtor provides such tax return or such transcript to the trustee, then the court shall dismiss the case unless the debtor demonstrates that the failure to provide a copy of such tax return or such transcript is due to circumstances beyond the control of the debtor.

“(3) If a creditor in a case under chapter 13 files with the court at any time a request to receive a copy of the plan filed by the debtor, then the court shall make available to such creditor a copy of the plan—

- “(A) at a reasonable cost; and
“(B) not later than 5 days after such request is filed.

“(f) At the request of the court, the United States trustee, or any party in interest in a case under chapter 7, 11, or 13, a debtor who is an individual shall file with the court—

“(1) at the same time filed with the taxing authority, a copy of each Federal income tax return required under applicable law (or at the election of the debtor, a transcript of such tax return) with respect to each tax year of the debtor ending while the case is pending under such chapter;

“(2) at the same time filed with the taxing authority, each Federal income tax return required under applicable law (or at the election of the debtor, a transcript of such tax return) that had not been filed with such authority as of the date of the commencement of the case and that was subsequently filed for any tax year of the debtor ending in the 3-year period ending on the date of the commencement of the case;

“(3) a copy of each amendment to any Federal income tax return or transcript filed with the court under paragraph (1) or (2); and

“(4) in a case under chapter 13—

“(A) on the date that is either 90 days after the end of such tax year or 1 year after the date of the commencement of the case, whichever is later, if a plan is not confirmed before such later date; and

“(B) annually after the plan is confirmed and until the case is closed, not later than the date that is 45 days before the anniversary of the confirmation of the plan; a statement, under penalty of perjury, of the income and expenditures of the debtor during the tax year of the debtor most recently concluded before such statement is filed under this paragraph, and of the monthly income of the debtor, that shows how income, expenditures, and monthly income are calculated.

“(g)(1) A statement referred to in subsection (f)(4) shall disclose—

“(A) the amount and sources of the income of the debtor;

“(B) the identity of any person responsible with the debtor for the support of any dependent of the debtor; and

“(C) the identity of any person who contributed, and the amount contributed, to the household in which the debtor resides.

“(2) The tax returns, amendments, and statement of income and expenditures described in subsections (e)(2)(A) and (f) shall be available to the United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any), the trustee, and any party in interest for inspection and copying, subject to the requirements of section 315(c) of the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2003.

“(h) If requested by the United States trustee or by the trustee, the debtor shall provide—

“(1) a document that establishes the identity of the debtor, including a driver's license, passport, or other document that contains a photograph of the debtor; or

“(2) such other personal identifying information relating to the debtor that establishes the identity of the debtor.”

(c)(1) Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts shall establish procedures for safeguarding the confidentiality of any tax information required to be provided under this section.

(2) The procedures under paragraph (1) shall include restrictions on creditor access to tax information that is required to be provided under this section.

(3) Not later than 540 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts shall prepare and submit to the President pro tempore of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives a report that—

(A) assesses the effectiveness of the procedures established under paragraph (1); and

(B) if appropriate, includes proposed legislation to—

(i) further protect the confidentiality of tax information; and

(ii) provide penalties for the improper use by any person of the tax information required to be provided under this section.

SEC. 316. DISMISSAL FOR FAILURE TO TIMELY FILE SCHEDULES OR PROVIDE REQUIRED INFORMATION.

Section 521 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by sections 106, 225, 305, and 315, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(i)(1) Subject to paragraphs (2) and (4) and notwithstanding section 707(a), if an individual debtor in a voluntary case under chapter 7 or 13 fails to file all of the information required under subsection (a)(1) within 45 days after the date of the filing of the petition, the case shall be automatically dismissed effective on the 46th day after the date of the filing of the petition.

“(2) Subject to paragraph (4) and with respect to a case described in paragraph (1), any party in interest may request the court to enter an order dismissing the case. If requested, the court shall enter an order of dismissal not later than 5 days after such request.

“(3) Subject to paragraph (4) and upon request of the debtor made within 45 days after the date of the filing of the petition described in paragraph (1), the court may allow the debtor an additional period of not to exceed 45 days to file the information required under subsection (a)(1) if the court finds justification for extending the period for the filing.

“(4) Notwithstanding any other provision of this subsection, on the motion of the

trustee filed before the expiration of the applicable period of time specified in paragraph (1), (2), or (3), and after notice and a hearing, the court may decline to dismiss the case if the court finds that the debtor attempted in good faith to file all the information required by subsection (a)(1)(B)(iv) and that the best interests of creditors would be served by administration of the case.”

SEC. 317. ADEQUATE TIME TO PREPARE FOR HEARING ON CONFIRMATION OF THE PLAN.

Section 1324 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “After” and inserting the following:

“(a) Except as provided in subsection (b) and after”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(b) The hearing on confirmation of the plan may be held not earlier than 20 days and not later than 45 days after the date of the meeting of creditors under section 341(a), unless the court determines that it would be in the best interests of the creditors and the estate to hold such hearing at an earlier date and there is no objection to such earlier date.”

SEC. 318. CHAPTER 13 PLANS TO HAVE A 5-YEAR DURATION IN CERTAIN CASES.

Title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by amending section 1322(d) to read as follows:

“(d)(1) If the current monthly income of the debtor and the debtor's spouse combined, when multiplied by 12, is not less than—

“(A) in the case of a debtor in a household of 1 person, the median family income of the applicable State for 1 earner;

“(B) in the case of a debtor in a household of 2, 3, or 4 individuals, the highest median family income of the applicable State for a family of the same number or fewer individuals; or

“(C) in the case of a debtor in a household exceeding 4 individuals, the highest median family income of the applicable State for a family of 4 or fewer individuals, plus \$525 per month for each individual in excess of 4, the plan may not provide for payments over a period that is longer than 5 years.

“(2) If the current monthly income of the debtor and the debtor's spouse combined, when multiplied by 12, is less than—

“(A) in the case of a debtor in a household of 1 person, the median family income of the applicable State for 1 earner;

“(B) in the case of a debtor in a household of 2, 3, or 4 individuals, the highest median family income of the applicable State for a family of the same number or fewer individuals; or

“(C) in the case of a debtor in a household exceeding 4 individuals, the highest median family income of the applicable State for a family of 4 or fewer individuals, plus \$525 per month for each individual in excess of 4, the plan may not provide for payments over a period that is longer than 3 years, unless the court, for cause, approves a longer period, but the court may not approve a period that is longer than 5 years.”

(2) in section 1325(b)(1)(B), by striking “three-year period” and inserting “applicable commitment period”; and

(3) in section 1325(b), as amended by section 102, by adding at the end the following:

“(4) For purposes of this subsection, the ‘applicable commitment period’—

“(A) subject to subparagraph (B), shall be—

“(i) 3 years; or

“(ii) not less than 5 years, if the current monthly income of the debtor and the debtor's spouse combined, when multiplied by 12, is not less than—

“(1) in the case of a debtor in a household of 1 person, the median family income of the applicable State for 1 earner;

“(II) in the case of a debtor in a household of 2, 3, or 4 individuals, the highest median family income of the applicable State for a family of the same number or fewer individuals; or

“(III) in the case of a debtor in a household exceeding 4 individuals, the highest median family income of the applicable State for a family of 4 or fewer individuals, plus \$525 per month for each individual in excess of 4; and

“(B) may be less than 3 or 5 years, whichever is applicable under subparagraph (A), but only if the plan provides for payment in full of all allowed unsecured claims over a shorter period.”; and

(4) in section 1329(c), by striking “three years” and inserting “the applicable commitment period under section 1325(b)(1)(B)”.

SEC. 319. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING EXPANSION OF RULE 9011 OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE.

It is the sense of Congress that rule 9011 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (11 U.S.C. App.) should be modified to include a requirement that all documents (including schedules), signed and unsigned, submitted to the court or to a trustee by debtors who represent themselves and debtors who are represented by attorneys be submitted only after the debtors or the debtors' attorneys have made reasonable inquiry to verify that the information contained in such documents is—

(1) well grounded in fact; and

(2) warranted by existing law or a good faith argument for the extension, modification, or reversal of existing law.

SEC. 320. PROMPT RELIEF FROM STAY IN INDIVIDUAL CASES.

Section 362(e) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “(1)” after “(e)”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), in a case under chapter 7, 11, or 13 in which the debtor is an individual, the stay under subsection (a) shall terminate on the date that is 60 days after a request is made by a party in interest under subsection (d), unless—

“(A) a final decision is rendered by the court during the 60-day period beginning on the date of the request; or

“(B) such 60-day period is extended—

“(i) by agreement of all parties in interest; or

“(ii) by the court for such specific period of time as the court finds is required for good cause, as described in findings made by the court.”

SEC. 321. CHAPTER 11 CASES FILED BY INDIVIDUALS.

(a) PROPERTY OF THE ESTATE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter I of chapter 11 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§ 1115. Property of the estate

“(a) In a case in which the debtor is an individual, property of the estate includes, in addition to the property specified in section 541—

“(1) all property of the kind specified in section 541 that the debtor acquires after the commencement of the case but before the case is closed, dismissed, or converted to a case under chapter 7, 12, or 13, whichever occurs first; and

“(2) earnings from services performed by the debtor after the commencement of the case but before the case is closed, dismissed, or converted to a case under chapter 7, 12, or 13, whichever occurs first.

“(b) Except as provided in section 1104 or a confirmed plan or order confirming a plan, the debtor shall remain in possession of all property of the estate.”

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for subchapter I of chapter 11 of

title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"1115. Property of the estate."

(b) CONTENTS OF PLAN.—Section 1123(a) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (6), by striking "and" at the end;

(2) in paragraph (7), by striking the period and inserting "; and"; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

"(8) in a case in which the debtor is an individual, provide for the payment to creditors under the plan of all or such portion of earnings from personal services performed by the debtor after the commencement of the case or other future income of the debtor as is necessary for the execution of the plan."

(c) CONFIRMATION OF PLAN.—

(1) REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO VALUE OF PROPERTY.—Section 1129(a) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 213, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(15) In a case in which the debtor is an individual and in which the holder of an allowed unsecured claim objects to the confirmation of the plan—

"(A) the value, as of the effective date of the plan, of the property to be distributed under the plan on account of such claim is not less than the amount of such claim; or

"(B) the value of the property to be distributed under the plan is not less than the projected disposable income of the debtor (as defined in section 1325(b)(2)) to be received during the 5-year period beginning on the date that the first payment is due under the plan, or during the period for which the plan provides payments, whichever is longer."

(2) REQUIREMENT RELATING TO INTERESTS IN PROPERTY.—Section 1129(b)(2)(B)(ii) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting before the period at the end the following: ", except that in a case in which the debtor is an individual, the debtor may retain property included in the estate under section 1115, subject to the requirements of subsection (a)(14) of this section".

(d) EFFECT OF CONFIRMATION.—Section 1141(d) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2), by striking "The confirmation of a plan does not discharge an individual debtor" and inserting "A discharge under this chapter does not discharge a debtor who is an individual"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(5) In a case in which the debtor is an individual—

"(A) unless after notice and a hearing the court orders otherwise for cause, confirmation of the plan does not discharge any debt provided for in the plan until the court grants a discharge on completion of all payments under the plan;

"(B) at any time after the confirmation of the plan, and after notice and a hearing, the court may grant a discharge to the debtor who has not completed payments under the plan if—

"(i) the value, as of the effective date of the plan, of property actually distributed under the plan on account of each allowed unsecured claim is not less than the amount that would have been paid on such claim if the estate of the debtor had been liquidated under chapter 7 on such date; and

"(ii) modification of the plan under section 1127 is not practicable; and"

(e) MODIFICATION OF PLAN.—Section 1127 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(e) If the debtor is an individual, the plan may be modified at any time after confirmation of the plan but before the completion of payments under the plan, whether or not the plan has been substantially consummated,

upon request of the debtor, the trustee, the United States trustee, or the holder of an allowed unsecured claim, to—

"(1) increase or reduce the amount of payments on claims of a particular class provided for by the plan;

"(2) extend or reduce the time period for such payments; or

"(3) alter the amount of the distribution to a creditor whose claim is provided for by the plan to the extent necessary to take account of any payment of such claim made other than under the plan.

"(f)(1) Sections 1121 through 1128 and the requirements of section 1129 apply to any modification under subsection (a).

"(2) The plan, as modified, shall become the plan only after there has been disclosure under section 1125 as the court may direct, notice and a hearing, and such modification is approved."

SEC. 322. LIMITATIONS ON HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION.

(a) EXEMPTIONS.—Section 522 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by sections 224 and 308, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(p)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2) of this subsection and sections 544 and 548, as a result of electing under subsection (b)(3)(A) to exempt property under State or local law, a debtor may not exempt any amount of interest that was acquired by the debtor during the 1215-day period preceding the date of the filing of the petition that exceeds in the aggregate \$125,000 in value in—

"(A) real or personal property that the debtor or a dependent of the debtor uses as a residence;

"(B) a cooperative that owns property that the debtor or a dependent of the debtor uses as a residence;

"(C) a burial plot for the debtor or a dependent of the debtor; or

"(D) real or personal property that the debtor or dependent of the debtor claims as a homestead.

"(2)(A) The limitation under paragraph (1) shall not apply to an exemption claimed under subsection (b)(3)(A) by a family farmer for the principal residence of such farmer.

"(B) For purposes of paragraph (1), any amount of such interest does not include any interest transferred from a debtor's previous principal residence (which was acquired prior to the beginning of such 1215-day period) into the debtor's current principal residence, if the debtor's previous and current residences are located in the same State.

"(q)(1) As a result of electing under subsection (b)(3)(A) to exempt property under State or local law, a debtor may not exempt any amount of an interest in property described in subparagraphs (A), (B), (C), and (D) of subsection (p)(1) which exceeds in the aggregate \$125,000 if—

"(A) the court determines, after notice and a hearing, that the debtor has been convicted of a felony (as defined in section 3156 of title 18), which under the circumstances, demonstrates that the filing of the case was an abuse of the provisions of this title; or

"(B) the debtor owes a debt arising from—

"(i) any violation of the Federal securities laws (as defined in section 3(a)(47) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934), any State securities laws, or any regulation or order issued under Federal securities laws or State securities laws;

"(ii) fraud, deceit, or manipulation in a fiduciary capacity or in connection with the purchase or sale of any security registered under section 12 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or under section 6 of the Securities Act of 1933;

"(iii) any civil remedy under section 1964 of title 18; or

"(iv) any criminal act, intentional tort, or willful or reckless misconduct that caused

serious physical injury or death to another individual in the preceding 5 years.

"(2) Paragraph (1) shall not apply to the extent the amount of an interest in property described in subparagraphs (A), (B), (C), and (D) of subsection (p)(1) is reasonably necessary for the support of the debtor and any dependent of the debtor."

(b) ADJUSTMENT OF DOLLAR AMOUNTS.—Paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 104(b) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 224, are amended by inserting "522(p), 522(q)," after "522(n),".

SEC. 323. EXCLUDING EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN PARTICIPANT CONTRIBUTIONS AND OTHER PROPERTY FROM THE ESTATE.

Section 541(b) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 225, is amended by adding after paragraph (6), as added by section 225(a)(1)(C), the following:

"(7) any amount—

"(A) withheld by an employer from the wages of employees for payment as contributions—

"(i) to—

"(I) an employee benefit plan that is subject to title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 or under an employee benefit plan which is a governmental plan under section 414(d) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986;

"(II) a deferred compensation plan under section 457 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; or

"(III) a tax-deferred annuity under section 403(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986;

except that such amount under this subparagraph shall not constitute disposable income as defined in section 1325(b)(2); or

"(ii) to a health insurance plan regulated by State law whether or not subject to such title; or

"(B) received by an employer from employees for payment as contributions—

"(i) to—

"(I) an employee benefit plan that is subject to title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 or under an employee benefit plan which is a governmental plan under section 414(d) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986;

"(II) a deferred compensation plan under section 457 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; or

"(III) a tax-deferred annuity under section 403(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986;

except that such amount under this subparagraph shall not constitute disposable income, as defined in section 1325(b)(2); or

"(ii) to a health insurance plan regulated by State law whether or not subject to such title;"

SEC. 324. EXCLUSIVE JURISDICTION IN MATTERS INVOLVING BANKRUPTCY PROFESSIONALS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1334 of title 28, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b), by striking "Notwithstanding" and inserting "Except as provided in subsection (e)(2), and notwithstanding"; and

(2) by striking subsection (e) and inserting the following:

"(e) The district court in which a case under title 11 is commenced or is pending shall have exclusive jurisdiction—

"(1) of all the property, wherever located, of the debtor as of the commencement of such case, and of property of the estate; and

"(2) over all claims or causes of action that involve construction of section 327 of title 11, United States Code, or rules relating to disclosure requirements under section 327."

(b) APPLICABILITY.—This section shall only apply to cases filed after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 325. UNITED STATES TRUSTEE PROGRAM FILING FEE INCREASE.

(a) ACTIONS UNDER CHAPTER 7 OR 13 OF TITLE 11, UNITED STATES CODE.—Section 1930(a) of title 28, United States Code, is amended by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the following:

“(1) For a case commenced—

“(A) under chapter 7 of title 11, \$160; or
“(B) under chapter 13 of title 11, \$150.”.

(b) UNITED STATES TRUSTEE SYSTEM FUND.—Section 589a(b) of title 28, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the following:

“(1)(A) 40.63 percent of the fees collected under section 1930(a)(1)(A) of this title in cases commenced under chapter 7 of title 11; and

“(B) 70.00 percent of the fees collected under section 1930(a)(1)(B) of this title in cases commenced under chapter 13 of title 11;”;

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking “one-half” and inserting “three-fourths”; and

(3) in paragraph (4), by striking “one-half” and inserting “100 percent”.

(c) COLLECTION AND DEPOSIT OF MISCELLANEOUS BANKRUPTCY FEES.—Section 406(b) of the Judiciary Appropriations Act, 1990 (28 U.S.C. 1931 note) is amended by striking “pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1930(b)” and all that follows through “28 U.S.C. section 1931” and inserting “under section 1930(b) of title 28, United States Code, and 31.25 percent of the fees collected under section 1930(a)(1)(A) of that title, 30.00 percent of the fees collected under section 1930(a)(1)(B) of that title, and 25 percent of the fees collected under section 1930(a)(3) of that title shall be deposited as offsetting receipts to the fund established under section 1931 of that title”.

SEC. 326. SHARING OF COMPENSATION.

Section 504 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(c) This section shall not apply with respect to sharing, or agreeing to share, compensation with a bona fide public service attorney referral program that operates in accordance with non-Federal law regulating attorney referral services and with rules of professional responsibility applicable to attorney acceptance of referrals.”.

SEC. 327. FAIR VALUATION OF COLLATERAL.

Section 506(a) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by—

(1) inserting “(1)” after “(a)”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) If the debtor is an individual in a case under chapter 7 or 13, such value with respect to personal property securing an allowed claim shall be determined based on the replacement value of such property as of the date of the filing of the petition without deduction for costs of sale or marketing. With respect to property acquired for personal, family, or household purposes, replacement value shall mean the price a retail merchant would charge for property of that kind considering the age and condition of the property at the time value is determined.”.

SEC. 328. DEFAULTS BASED ON NONMONETARY OBLIGATIONS.

(a) EXECUTORY CONTRACTS AND UNEXPIRED LEASES.—Section 365 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (1)(A), by striking the semicolon at the end and inserting the following: “other than a default that is a breach of a provision relating to the satisfaction of any provision (other than a penalty rate or penalty provision) relating to a default arising from any failure to perform nonmonetary obligations under an unexpired lease of real property, if it is impossible for

the trustee to cure such default by performing nonmonetary acts at and after the time of assumption, except that if such default arises from a failure to operate in accordance with a nonresidential real property lease, then such default shall be cured by performance at and after the time of assumption in accordance with such lease, and pecuniary losses resulting from such default shall be compensated in accordance with the provisions of this paragraph;”; and

(B) in paragraph (2)(D), by striking “penalty rate or provision” and inserting “penalty rate or penalty provision”;

(2) in subsection (c)—

(A) in paragraph (2), by inserting “or” at the end;

(B) in paragraph (3), by striking “; or” at the end and inserting a period; and

(C) by striking paragraph (4);

(3) in subsection (d)—

(A) by striking paragraphs (5) through (9); and

(B) by redesignating paragraph (10) as paragraph (5); and

(4) in subsection (f)(1) by striking “; except that” and all that follows through the end of the paragraph and inserting a period.

(b) IMPAIRMENT OF CLAIMS OR INTERESTS.—Section 1124(2) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by inserting “or of a kind that section 365(b)(2) expressly does not require to be cured” before the semicolon at the end;

(2) in subparagraph (C), by striking “and” at the end;

(3) by redesignating subparagraph (D) as subparagraph (E); and

(4) by inserting after subparagraph (C) the following:

“(D) if such claim or such interest arises from any failure to perform a nonmonetary obligation, other than a default arising from failure to operate a nonresidential real property lease subject to section 365(b)(1)(A), compensates the holder of such claim or such interest (other than the debtor or an insider) for any actual pecuniary loss incurred by such holder as a result of such failure; and”.

SEC. 329. CLARIFICATION OF POSTPETITION WAGES AND BENEFITS.

Section 503(b)(1)(A) of title 11, United States Code, is amended to read as follows: “(A) the actual, necessary costs and expenses of preserving the estate including—

“(i) wages, salaries, and commissions for services rendered after the commencement of the case; and

“(ii) wages and benefits awarded pursuant to a judicial proceeding or a proceeding of the National Labor Relations Board as back pay attributable to any period of time occurring after commencement of the case under this title, as a result of a violation of Federal or State law by the debtor, without regard to the time of the occurrence of unlawful conduct on which such award is based or to whether any services were rendered, if the court determines that payment of wages and benefits by reason of the operation of this clause will not substantially increase the probability of layoff or termination of current employees, or of nonpayment of domestic support obligations, during the case under this title;”.

SEC. 330. DELAY OF DISCHARGE DURING PENDING OF CERTAIN PROCEEDINGS.

(a) CHAPTER 7.—Section 727(a) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 106, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (10), by striking “or” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (11) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; or”; and

(3) by inserting after paragraph (11) the following:

“(12) the court after notice and a hearing held not more than 10 days before the date of the entry of the order granting the discharge finds that there is reasonable cause to believe that—

“(A) section 522(q)(1) may be applicable to the debtor; and

“(B) there is pending any proceeding in which the debtor may be found guilty of a felony of the kind described in section 522(q)(1)(A) or liable for a debt of the kind described in section 522(q)(1)(B).”.

(b) CHAPTER 11.—Section 1141(d) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 321, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(C) unless after notice and a hearing held not more than 10 days before the date of the entry of the order granting the discharge, the court finds that there is no reasonable cause to believe that—

“(i) section 522(q)(1) may be applicable to the debtor; and

“(ii) there is pending any proceeding in which the debtor may be found guilty of a felony of the kind described in section 522(q)(1)(A) or liable for a debt of the kind described in section 522(q)(1)(B).”.

(c) CHAPTER 12.—Section 1228 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a) by striking “As” and inserting “Subject to subsection (d), as”;

(2) in subsection (b) by striking “At” and inserting “Subject to subsection (d), at”;

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(f) The court may not grant a discharge under this chapter unless the court after notice and a hearing held not more than 10 days before the date of the entry of the order granting the discharge finds that there is no reasonable cause to believe that—

“(1) section 522(q)(1) may be applicable to the debtor; and

“(2) there is pending any proceeding in which the debtor may be found guilty of a felony of the kind described in section 522(q)(1)(A) or liable for a debt of the kind described in section 522(q)(1)(B).”.

(d) CHAPTER 13.—Section 1328 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 106, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a) by striking “As” and inserting “Subject to subsection (d), as”;

(2) in subsection (b) by striking “At” and inserting “Subject to subsection (d), at”;

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(h) The court may not grant a discharge under this chapter unless the court after notice and a hearing held not more than 10 days before the date of the entry of the order granting the discharge finds that there is no reasonable cause to believe that—

“(1) section 522(q)(1) may be applicable to the debtor; and

“(2) there is pending any proceeding in which the debtor may be found guilty of a felony of the kind described in section 522(q)(1)(A) or liable for a debt of the kind described in section 522(q)(1)(B).”.

TITLE IV—GENERAL AND SMALL BUSINESS BANKRUPTCY PROVISIONS**Subtitle A—General Business Bankruptcy Provisions****SEC. 401. ADEQUATE PROTECTION FOR INVESTORS.**

(a) DEFINITION.—Section 101 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting after paragraph (48) the following:

“(48A) ‘securities self regulatory organization’ means either a securities association registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission under section 15A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or a national securities exchange registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission under section 6 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934;”.

(b) AUTOMATIC STAY.—Section 362(b) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by

sections 224, 303, and 311, is amended by inserting after paragraph (24) the following:

“(25) under subsection (a), of—

“(A) the commencement or continuation of an investigation or action by a securities self regulatory organization to enforce such organization’s regulatory power;

“(B) the enforcement of an order or decision, other than for monetary sanctions, obtained in an action by such securities self regulatory organization to enforce such organization’s regulatory power; or

“(C) any act taken by such securities self regulatory organization to delist, delete, or refuse to permit quotation of any stock that does not meet applicable regulatory requirements;”.

SEC. 402. MEETINGS OF CREDITORS AND EQUITY SECURITY HOLDERS.

Section 341 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(e) Notwithstanding subsections (a) and (b), the court, on the request of a party in interest and after notice and a hearing, for cause may order that the United States trustee not convene a meeting of creditors or equity security holders if the debtor has filed a plan as to which the debtor solicited acceptances prior to the commencement of the case.”.

SEC. 403. PROTECTION OF REFINANCE OF SECURITY INTEREST.

Subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C) of section 547(e)(2) of title 11, United States Code, are each amended by striking “10” each place it appears and inserting “30”.

SEC. 404. EXECUTORY CONTRACTS AND UNEXPIRED LEASES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 365(d)(4) of title 11, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(4)(A) Subject to subparagraph (B), an unexpired lease of nonresidential real property under which the debtor is the lessee shall be deemed rejected, and the trustee shall immediately surrender that nonresidential real property to the lessor, if the trustee does not assume or reject the unexpired lease by the earlier of—

“(i) the date that is 120 days after the date of the order for relief; or

“(ii) the date of the entry of an order confirming a plan.

“(B)(i) The court may extend the period determined under subparagraph (A), prior to the expiration of the 120-day period, for 90 days on the motion of the trustee or lessor for cause.

“(ii) If the court grants an extension under clause (i), the court may grant a subsequent extension only upon prior written consent of the lessor in each instance.”.

(b) EXCEPTION.—Section 365(f)(1) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking “subsection” the first place it appears and inserting “subsections (b) and”.

SEC. 405. CREDITORS AND EQUITY SECURITY HOLDERS COMMITTEES.

(a) APPOINTMENT.—Section 1102(a) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(4) On request of a party in interest and after notice and a hearing, the court may order the United States trustee to change the membership of a committee appointed under this subsection, if the court determines that the change is necessary to ensure adequate representation of creditors or equity security holders. The court may order the United States trustee to increase the number of members of a committee to include a creditor that is a small business concern (as described in section 3(a)(1) of the Small Business Act), if the court determines that the creditor holds claims (of the kind represented by the committee) the aggregate

amount of which, in comparison to the annual gross revenue of that creditor, is disproportionately large.”.

(b) INFORMATION.—Section 1102(b) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(3) A committee appointed under subsection (a) shall—

“(A) provide access to information for creditors who—

“(i) hold claims of the kind represented by that committee; and

“(ii) are not appointed to the committee;

“(B) solicit and receive comments from the creditors described in subparagraph (A); and

“(C) be subject to a court order that compels any additional report or disclosure to be made to the creditors described in subparagraph (A).”.

SEC. 406. AMENDMENT TO SECTION 546 OF TITLE 11, UNITED STATES CODE.

Section 546 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating the second subsection (g) (as added by section 222(a) of Public Law 103-394) as subsection (h);

(2) in subsection (h), as so redesignated, by inserting “and subject to the prior rights of holders of security interests in such goods or the proceeds of such goods” after “consent of a creditor”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(i)(1) Notwithstanding paragraphs (2) and (3) of section 545, the trustee may not avoid a warehouseman’s lien for storage, transportation, or other costs incidental to the storage and handling of goods.

“(2) The prohibition under paragraph (1) shall be applied in a manner consistent with any State statute applicable to such lien that is similar to section 7-209 of the Uniform Commercial Code, as in effect on the date of enactment of the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2003, or any successor to such section 7-209.”.

SEC. 407. AMENDMENTS TO SECTION 330(a) OF TITLE 11, UNITED STATES CODE.

Section 330(a) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3)—

(A) by striking “(A) In” and inserting “In”; and

(B) by inserting “to an examiner, trustee under chapter 11, or professional person” after “awarded”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(7) In determining the amount of reasonable compensation to be awarded to a trustee, the court shall treat such compensation as a commission, based on section 326.”.

SEC. 408. POSTPETITION DISCLOSURE AND SOLICITATION.

Section 1125 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(g) Notwithstanding subsection (b), an acceptance or rejection of the plan may be solicited from a holder of a claim or interest if such solicitation complies with applicable nonbankruptcy law and if such holder was solicited before the commencement of the case in a manner complying with applicable nonbankruptcy law.”.

SEC. 409. PREFERENCES.

Section 547(c) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

“(2) to the extent that such transfer was in payment of a debt incurred by the debtor in the ordinary course of business or financial affairs of the debtor and the transferee, and such transfer was—

“(A) made in the ordinary course of business or financial affairs of the debtor and the transferee; or

“(B) made according to ordinary business terms;”;

(2) in paragraph (8), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; or”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(9) if, in a case filed by a debtor whose debts are not primarily consumer debts, the aggregate value of all property that constitutes or is affected by such transfer is less than \$5,000.”.

SEC. 410. VENUE OF CERTAIN PROCEEDINGS.

Section 1409(b) of title 28, United States Code, is amended by inserting “, or a debt (excluding a consumer debt) against a non-insider of less than \$10,000,” after “\$5,000”.

SEC. 411. PERIOD FOR FILING PLAN UNDER CHAPTER 11.

Section 1121(d) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “On” and inserting “(1) Subject to paragraph (2), on”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(2)(A) The 120-day period specified in paragraph (1) may not be extended beyond a date that is 18 months after the date of the order for relief under this chapter.

“(B) The 180-day period specified in paragraph (1) may not be extended beyond a date that is 20 months after the date of the order for relief under this chapter.”.

SEC. 412. FEES ARISING FROM CERTAIN OWNERSHIP INTERESTS.

Section 523(a)(16) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “dwelling” the first place it appears;

(2) by striking “ownership or” and inserting “ownership.”;

(3) by striking “housing” the first place it appears; and

(4) by striking “but only” and all that follows through “such period,” and inserting “or a lot in a homeowners association, for as long as the debtor or the trustee has a legal, equitable, or possessory ownership interest in such unit, such corporation, or such lot.”.

SEC. 413. CREDITOR REPRESENTATION AT FIRST MEETING OF CREDITORS.

Section 341(c) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting at the end the following: “Notwithstanding any local court rule, provision of a State constitution, any other Federal or State law that is not a bankruptcy law, or other requirement that representation at the meeting of creditors under subsection (a) be by an attorney, a creditor holding a consumer debt or any representative of the creditor (which may include an entity or an employee of an entity and may be a representative for more than 1 creditor) shall be permitted to appear at and participate in the meeting of creditors in a case under chapter 7 or 13, either alone or in conjunction with an attorney for the creditor. Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to require any creditor to be represented by an attorney at any meeting of creditors.”.

SEC. 414. DEFINITION OF DISINTERESTED PERSON.

Section 101(14) of title 11, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(14) ‘disinterested person’ means a person that—

“(A) is not a creditor, an equity security holder, or an insider;

“(B) is not and was not, within 2 years before the date of the filing of the petition, a director, officer, or employee of the debtor; and

“(C) does not have an interest materially adverse to the interest of the estate or of any class of creditors or equity security holders, by reason of any direct or indirect relationship to, connection with, or interest in, the debtor, or for any other reason.”.

SEC. 415. FACTORS FOR COMPENSATION OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONS.

Section 330(a)(3) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (D), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) by redesignating subparagraph (E) as subparagraph (F); and

(3) by inserting after subparagraph (D) the following:

“(E) with respect to a professional person, whether the person is board certified or otherwise has demonstrated skill and experience in the bankruptcy field; and”.

SEC. 416. APPOINTMENT OF ELECTED TRUSTEE.

Section 1104(b) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “(1)” after “(b)”;

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(2)(A) If an eligible, disinterested trustee is elected at a meeting of creditors under paragraph (1), the United States trustee shall file a report certifying that election.

“(B) Upon the filing of a report under subparagraph (A)—

“(i) the trustee elected under paragraph (1) shall be considered to have been selected and appointed for purposes of this section; and

“(ii) the service of any trustee appointed under subsection (d) shall terminate.

“(C) The court shall resolve any dispute arising out of an election described in subparagraph (A).”.

SEC. 417. UTILITY SERVICE.

Section 366 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “subsection (b)” and inserting “subsections (b) and (c)”;

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(c)(1)(A) For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘assurance of payment’ means—

“(i) a cash deposit;

“(ii) a letter of credit;

“(iii) a certificate of deposit;

“(iv) a surety bond;

“(v) a prepayment of utility consumption; or

“(vi) another form of security that is mutually agreed on between the utility and the debtor or the trustee.

“(B) For purposes of this subsection an administrative expense priority shall not constitute an assurance of payment.

“(2) Subject to paragraphs (3) and (4), with respect to a case filed under chapter 11, a utility referred to in subsection (a) may alter, refuse, or discontinue utility service, if during the 30-day period beginning on the date of the filing of the petition, the utility does not receive from the debtor or the trustee adequate assurance of payment for utility service that is satisfactory to the utility.

“(3)(A) On request of a party in interest and after notice and a hearing, the court may order modification of the amount of an assurance of payment under paragraph (2).

“(B) In making a determination under this paragraph whether an assurance of payment is adequate, the court may not consider—

“(i) the absence of security before the date of the filing of the petition;

“(ii) the payment by the debtor of charges for utility service in a timely manner before the date of the filing of the petition; or

“(iii) the availability of an administrative expense priority.

“(4) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, with respect to a case subject to this subsection, a utility may recover or set off against a security deposit provided to the utility by the debtor before the date of the filing of the petition without notice or order of the court.”.

SEC. 418. BANKRUPTCY FEES.

Section 1930 of title 28, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “Notwithstanding section 1915 of this title, the” and inserting “The”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(f)(1) Under the procedures prescribed by the Judicial Conference of the United States, the district court or the bankruptcy court may waive the filing fee in a case under chapter 7 of title 11 for an individual if the court determines that such individual has income less than 150 percent of the income official poverty line (as defined by the Office of Management and Budget, and revised annually in accordance with section 673(2) of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981) applicable to a family of the size involved and is unable to pay that fee in installments. For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘filing fee’ means the filing required by subsection (a), or any other fee prescribed by the Judicial Conference under subsections (b) and (c) that is payable to the clerk upon the commencement of a case under chapter 7.

“(2) The district court or the bankruptcy court may waive for such debtors other fees prescribed under subsections (b) and (c).

“(3) This subsection does not restrict the district court or the bankruptcy court from waiving, in accordance with Judicial Conference policy, fees prescribed under this section for other debtors and creditors.”.

SEC. 419. MORE COMPLETE INFORMATION REGARDING ASSETS OF THE ESTATE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—

(1) DISCLOSURE.—The Judicial Conference of the United States, in accordance with section 2075 of title 28 of the United States Code and after consideration of the views of the Director of the Executive Office for United States Trustees, shall propose amended Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and in accordance with rule 9009 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure shall prescribe official bankruptcy forms directing debtors under chapter 11 of title 11 of United States Code, to disclose the information described in paragraph (2) by filing and serving periodic financial and other reports designed to provide such information.

(2) INFORMATION.—The information referred to in paragraph (1) is the value, operations, and profitability of any closely held corporation, partnership, or of any other entity in which the debtor holds a substantial or controlling interest.

(b) PURPOSE.—The purpose of the rules and reports under subsection (a) shall be to assist parties in interest taking steps to ensure that the debtor’s interest in any entity referred to in subsection (a)(2) is used for the payment of allowed claims against debtor.

Subtitle B—Small Business Bankruptcy Provisions

SEC. 431. FLEXIBLE RULES FOR DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND PLAN.

Section 1125 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1), by inserting before the semicolon “and in determining whether a disclosure statement provides adequate information, the court shall consider the complexity of the case, the benefit of additional information to creditors and other parties in interest, and the cost of providing additional information”;

(2) by striking subsection (f), and inserting the following:

“(f) Notwithstanding subsection (b), in a small business case—

“(1) the court may determine that the plan itself provides adequate information and that a separate disclosure statement is not necessary;

“(2) the court may approve a disclosure statement submitted on standard forms approved by the court or adopted under section 2075 of title 28; and

“(3)(A) the court may conditionally approve a disclosure statement subject to final approval after notice and a hearing;

“(B) acceptances and rejections of a plan may be solicited based on a conditionally approved disclosure statement if the debtor provides adequate information to each holder of a claim or interest that is solicited, but a conditionally approved disclosure statement shall be mailed not later than 25 days before the date of the hearing on confirmation of the plan; and

“(C) the hearing on the disclosure statement may be combined with the hearing on confirmation of a plan.”.

SEC. 432. DEFINITIONS.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 101 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking paragraph (51C) and inserting the following:

“(51C) ‘small business case’ means a case filed under chapter 11 of this title in which the debtor is a small business debtor;

“(51D) ‘small business debtor’—

“(A) subject to subparagraph (B), means a person engaged in commercial or business activities (including any affiliate of such person that is also a debtor under this title and excluding a person whose primary activity is the business of owning or operating real property or activities incidental thereto) that has aggregate noncontingent liquidated secured and unsecured debts as of the date of the petition or the date of the order for relief in an amount not more than \$2,000,000 (excluding debts owed to 1 or more affiliates or insiders) for a case in which the United States trustee has not appointed under section 1102(a)(1) a committee of unsecured creditors or where the court has determined that the committee of unsecured creditors is not sufficiently active and representative to provide effective oversight of the debtor; and

“(B) does not include any member of a group of affiliated debtors that has aggregate noncontingent liquidated secured and unsecured debts in an amount greater than \$2,000,000 (excluding debt owed to 1 or more affiliates or insiders);”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 1102(a)(3) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting “debtor” after “small business”.

(c) ADJUSTMENT OF DOLLAR AMOUNTS.—Section 104(b) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 226, is amended by inserting “101(51D),” after “101(3),” each place it appears.

SEC. 433. STANDARD FORM DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AND PLAN.

Within a reasonable period of time after the date of enactment of this Act, the Judicial Conference of the United States shall prescribe in accordance with rule 9009 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure official standard form disclosure statements and plans of reorganization for small business debtors (as defined in section 101 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by this Act), designed to achieve a practical balance between—

(1) the reasonable needs of the courts, the United States trustee, creditors, and other parties in interest for reasonably complete information; and

(2) economy and simplicity for debtors.

SEC. 434. UNIFORM NATIONAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.

(a) REPORTING REQUIRED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 3 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 307 the following:

“§ 308. Debtor reporting requirements

“(a) For purposes of this section, the term ‘profitability’ means, with respect to a debtor, the amount of money that the debtor has earned or lost during current and recent fiscal periods.

“(b) A small business debtor shall file periodic financial and other reports containing information including—

“(1) the debtor’s profitability;

“(2) reasonable approximations of the debtor’s projected cash receipts and cash disbursements over a reasonable period;

“(3) comparisons of actual cash receipts and disbursements with projections in prior reports;

“(4)(A) whether the debtor is—

“(i) in compliance in all material respects with postpetition requirements imposed by this title and the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure; and

“(ii) timely filing tax returns and other required government filings and paying taxes and other administrative expenses when due;

“(B) if the debtor is not in compliance with the requirements referred to in subparagraph (A)(i) or filing tax returns and other required government filings and making the payments referred to in subparagraph (A)(ii), what the failures are and how, at what cost, and when the debtor intends to remedy such failures; and

“(C) such other matters as are in the best interests of the debtor and creditors, and in the public interest in fair and efficient procedures under chapter 11 of this title.”.

(2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for chapter 3 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 307 the following:

“308. Debtor reporting requirements.”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall take effect 60 days after the date on which rules are prescribed under section 2075 of title 28, United States Code, to establish forms to be used to comply with section 308 of title 11, United States Code, as added by subsection (a).

SEC. 435. UNIFORM REPORTING RULES AND FORMS FOR SMALL BUSINESS CASES.

(a) **PROPOSAL OF RULES AND FORMS.**—The Judicial Conference of the United States shall propose in accordance with section 2073 of title 28 of the United States Code amended Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and shall prescribe in accordance with rule 9009 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure official bankruptcy forms, directing small business debtors to file periodic financial and other reports containing information, including information relating to—

(1) the debtor’s profitability;

(2) the debtor’s cash receipts and disbursements; and

(3) whether the debtor is timely filing tax returns and paying taxes and other administrative expenses when due.

(b) **PURPOSE.**—The rules and forms proposed under subsection (a) shall be designed to achieve a practical balance among—

(1) the reasonable needs of the bankruptcy court, the United States trustee, creditors, and other parties in interest for reasonably complete information;

(2) a small business debtor’s interest that required reports be easy and inexpensive to complete; and

(3) the interest of all parties that the required reports help such debtor to understand such debtor’s financial condition and plan the such debtor’s future.

SEC. 436. DUTIES IN SMALL BUSINESS CASES.

(a) **DUTIES IN CHAPTER 11 CASES.**—Subchapter I of chapter 11 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 321, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§ 1116. Duties of trustee or debtor in possession in small business cases

“In a small business case, a trustee or the debtor in possession, in addition to the duties provided in this title and as otherwise required by law, shall—

“(1) append to the voluntary petition or, in an involuntary case, file not later than 7 days after the date of the order for relief—

“(A) its most recent balance sheet, statement of operations, cash-flow statement, Federal income tax return; or

“(B) a statement made under penalty of perjury that no balance sheet, statement of operations, or cash-flow statement has been prepared and no Federal tax return has been filed;

“(2) attend, through its senior management personnel and counsel, meetings scheduled by the court or the United States trustee, including initial debtor interviews, scheduling conferences, and meetings of creditors convened under section 341 unless the court, after notice and a hearing, waives that requirement upon a finding of extraordinary and compelling circumstances;

“(3) timely file all schedules and statements of financial affairs, unless the court, after notice and a hearing, grants an extension, which shall not extend such time period to a date later than 30 days after the date of the order for relief, absent extraordinary and compelling circumstances;

“(4) file all postpetition financial and other reports required by the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure or by local rule of the district court;

“(5) subject to section 363(c)(2), maintain insurance customary and appropriate to the industry;

“(6)(A) timely file tax returns and other required government filings; and

“(B) subject to section 363(c)(2), timely pay all taxes entitled to administrative expense priority except those being contested by appropriate proceedings being diligently prosecuted; and

“(7) allow the United States trustee, or a designated representative of the United States trustee, to inspect the debtor’s business premises, books, and records at reasonable times, after reasonable prior written notice, unless notice is waived by the debtor.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for chapter 11 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 321, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1115 the following:

“1116. Duties of trustee or debtor in possession in small business cases.”.

SEC. 437. PLAN FILING AND CONFIRMATION DEADLINES.

Section 1121 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (e) and inserting the following:

“(e) In a small business case—

“(1) only the debtor may file a plan until after 180 days after the date of the order for relief, unless that period is—

“(A) extended as provided by this subsection, after notice and a hearing; or

“(B) the court, for cause, orders otherwise;

“(2) the plan and a disclosure statement (if any) shall be filed not later than 300 days after the date of the order for relief; and

“(3) the time periods specified in paragraphs (1) and (2), and the time fixed in section 1129(e) within which the plan shall be confirmed, may be extended only if—

“(A) the debtor, after providing notice to parties in interest (including the United States trustee), demonstrates by a preponderance of the evidence that it is more likely than not that the court will confirm a plan within a reasonable period of time;

“(B) a new deadline is imposed at the time the extension is granted; and

“(C) the order extending time is signed before the existing deadline has expired.”.

SEC. 438. PLAN CONFIRMATION DEADLINE.

Section 1129 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(e) In a small business case, the court shall confirm a plan that complies with the applicable provisions of this title and that is

filed in accordance with section 1121(e) not later than 45 days after the plan is filed unless the time for confirmation is extended in accordance with section 1121(e)(3).”.

SEC. 439. DUTIES OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE.

Section 586(a) of title 28, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3)—

(A) in subparagraph (G), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) by redesignating subparagraph (H) as subparagraph (I); and

(C) by inserting after subparagraph (G) the following:

“(H) in small business cases (as defined in section 101 of title 11), performing the additional duties specified in title 11 pertaining to such cases; and”;

(2) in paragraph (5), by striking “and” at the end;

(3) in paragraph (6), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

(4) by adding at the end the following:

“(7) in each of such small business cases—

“(A) conduct an initial debtor interview as soon as practicable after the date of the order for relief but before the first meeting scheduled under section 341(a) of title 11, at which time the United States trustee shall—

“(i) begin to investigate the debtor’s viability;

“(ii) inquire about the debtor’s business plan;

“(iii) explain the debtor’s obligations to file monthly operating reports and other required reports;

“(iv) attempt to develop an agreed scheduling order; and

“(v) inform the debtor of other obligations;

“(B) if determined to be appropriate and advisable, visit the appropriate business premises of the debtor, ascertain the state of the debtor’s books and records, and verify that the debtor has filed its tax returns; and

“(C) review and monitor diligently the debtor’s activities, to identify as promptly as possible whether the debtor will be unable to confirm a plan; and

“(8) in any case in which the United States trustee finds material grounds for any relief under section 1112 of title 11, the United States trustee shall apply promptly after making that finding to the court for relief.”.

SEC. 440. SCHEDULING CONFERENCES.

Section 105(d) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “, may”;

(2) by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the following:

“(1) shall hold such status conferences as are necessary to further the expeditious and economical resolution of the case; and”.

SEC. 441. SERIAL FILER PROVISIONS.

Section 362 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by sections 106, 305, and 311, is amended—

(1) in subsection (k), as so redesignated by section 305—

(A) by striking “An” and inserting “(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), an”;

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) If such violation is based on an action taken by an entity in the good faith belief that subsection (h) applies to the debtor, the recovery under paragraph (1) of this subsection against such entity shall be limited to actual damages.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(n)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), subsection (a) does not apply in a case in which the debtor—

“(A) is a debtor in a small business case pending at the time the petition is filed;

“(B) was a debtor in a small business case that was dismissed for any reason by an

order that became final in the 2-year period ending on the date of the order for relief entered with respect to the petition;

“(C) was a debtor in a small business case in which a plan was confirmed in the 2-year period ending on the date of the order for relief entered with respect to the petition; or

“(D) is an entity that has acquired substantially all of the assets or business of a small business debtor described in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C), unless such entity establishes by a preponderance of the evidence that such entity acquired substantially all of the assets or business of such small business debtor in good faith and not for the purpose of evading this paragraph.

“(2) Paragraph (1) does not apply—

“(A) to an involuntary case involving no collusion by the debtor with creditors; or

“(B) to the filing of a petition if—

“(i) the debtor proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the filing of the petition resulted from circumstances beyond the control of the debtor not foreseeable at the time the case then pending was filed; and

“(ii) it is more likely than not that the court will confirm a feasible plan, but not a liquidating plan, within a reasonable period of time.”.

SEC. 442. EXPANDED GROUNDS FOR DISMISSAL OR CONVERSION AND APPOINTMENT OF TRUSTEE.

(a) **EXPANDED GROUNDS FOR DISMISSAL OR CONVERSION.**—Section 1112 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

“(b)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2) of this subsection, subsection (c) of this section, and section 1104(a)(3), on request of a party in interest, and after notice and a hearing, absent unusual circumstances specifically identified by the court that establish that the requested conversion or dismissal is not in the best interests of creditors and the estate, the court shall convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 or dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, if the movant establishes cause.

“(2) The relief provided in paragraph (1) shall not be granted absent unusual circumstances specifically identified by the court that establish that such relief is not in the best interests of creditors and the estate, if the debtor or another party in interest objects and establishes that—

“(A) there is a reasonable likelihood that a plan will be confirmed within the timeframes established in sections 1121(e) and 1129(e) of this title, or if such sections do not apply, within a reasonable period of time; and

“(B) the grounds for granting such relief include an act or omission of the debtor other than under paragraph (4)(A)—

“(i) for which there exists a reasonable justification for the act or omission; and

“(ii) that will be cured within a reasonable period of time fixed by the court.

“(3) The court shall commence the hearing on a motion under this subsection not later than 30 days after filing of the motion, and shall decide the motion not later than 15 days after commencement of such hearing, unless the movant expressly consents to a continuance for a specific period of time or compelling circumstances prevent the court from meeting the time limits established by this paragraph.

“(4) For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘cause’ includes—

“(A) substantial or continuing loss to or diminution of the estate and the absence of a reasonable likelihood of rehabilitation;

“(B) gross mismanagement of the estate;

“(C) failure to maintain appropriate insurance that poses a risk to the estate or to the public;

“(D) unauthorized use of cash collateral substantially harmful to 1 or more creditors;

“(E) failure to comply with an order of the court;

“(F) unexcused failure to satisfy timely any filing or reporting requirement established by this title or by any rule applicable to a case under this chapter;

“(G) failure to attend the meeting of creditors convened under section 341(a) or an examination ordered under rule 2004 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure without good cause shown by the debtor;

“(H) failure timely to provide information or attend meetings reasonably requested by the United States trustee (or the bankruptcy administrator, if any);

“(I) failure timely to pay taxes owed after the date of the order for relief or to file tax returns due after the date of the order for relief;

“(J) failure to file a disclosure statement, or to file or confirm a plan, within the time fixed by this title or by order of the court;

“(K) failure to pay any fees or charges required under chapter 123 of title 28;

“(L) revocation of an order of confirmation under section 1144;

“(M) inability to effectuate substantial consummation of a confirmed plan;

“(N) material default by the debtor with respect to a confirmed plan;

“(O) termination of a confirmed plan by reason of the occurrence of a condition specified in the plan; and

“(P) failure of the debtor to pay any domestic support obligation that first becomes payable after the date of the filing of the petition.

“(5) The court shall commence the hearing on a motion under this subsection not later than 30 days after filing of the motion, and shall decide the motion not later than 15 days after commencement of such hearing, unless the movant expressly consents to a continuance for a specific period of time or compelling circumstances prevent the court from meeting the time limits established by this paragraph.”.

(b) **ADDITIONAL GROUNDS FOR APPOINTMENT OF TRUSTEE.**—Section 1104(a) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “or” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; or”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) if grounds exist to convert or dismiss the case under section 1112, but the court determines that the appointment of a trustee or an examiner is in the best interests of creditors and the estate.”.

SEC. 443. STUDY OF OPERATION OF TITLE 11, UNITED STATES CODE, WITH RESPECT TO SMALL BUSINESSES.

Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Administrator of the Small Business Administration, in consultation with the Attorney General, the Director of the Executive Office for United States Trustees, and the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, shall—

(1) conduct a study to determine—

(A) the internal and external factors that cause small businesses, especially sole proprietorships, to become debtors in cases under title 11, United States Code, and that cause certain small businesses to successfully complete cases under chapter 11 of such title; and

(B) how Federal laws relating to bankruptcy may be made more effective and efficient in assisting small businesses to remain viable; and

(2) submit to the President pro tempore of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of

Representatives a report summarizing that study.

SEC. 444. PAYMENT OF INTEREST.

Section 362(d)(3) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “or 30 days after the court determines that the debtor is subject to this paragraph, whichever is later” after “90-day period”; and

(2) by striking subparagraph (B) and inserting the following:

“(B) the debtor has commenced monthly payments that—

“(i) may, in the debtor’s sole discretion, notwithstanding section 363(c)(2), be made from rents or other income generated before or after the commencement of the case by or from the property to each creditor whose claim is secured by such real estate (other than a claim secured by a judgment lien or by an unmatured statutory lien); and

“(ii) are in an amount equal to interest at the then applicable nondefault contract rate of interest on the value of the creditor’s interest in the real estate; or”.

SEC. 445. PRIORITY FOR ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.

Section 503(b) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (5), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (6), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(7) with respect to a nonresidential real property lease previously assumed under section 365, and subsequently rejected, a sum equal to all monetary obligations due, excluding those arising from or relating to a failure to operate or a penalty provision, for the period of 2 years following the later of the rejection date or the date of actual turnover of the premises, without reduction or setoff for any reason whatsoever except for sums actually received or to be received from an entity other than the debtor, and the claim for remaining sums due for the balance of the term of the lease shall be a claim under section 502(b)(6);”.

SEC. 446. DUTIES WITH RESPECT TO A DEBTOR WHO IS A PLAN ADMINISTRATOR OF AN EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 521(a) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by sections 106 and 304, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (5), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (6), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding after paragraph (6) the following:

“(7) unless a trustee is serving in the case, continue to perform the obligations required of the administrator (as defined in section 3 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974) of an employee benefit plan if at the time of the commencement of the case the debtor (or any entity designated by the debtor) served as such administrator.”.

(b) **DUTIES OF TRUSTEES.**—Section 704(a) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by sections 102 and 219, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (10), by striking “and” at the end; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(11) if, at the time of the commencement of the case, the debtor (or any entity designated by the debtor) served as the administrator (as defined in section 3 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974) of an employee benefit plan, continue to perform the obligations required of the administrator; and”.

(c) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 1106(a)(1) of title 11, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(1) perform the duties of the trustee, as specified in paragraphs (2), (5), (7), (8), (9), (10), and (11) of section 704.”.

SEC. 447. APPOINTMENT OF COMMITTEE OF RETIRED EMPLOYEES.

Section 1114(d) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “appoint” and inserting “order the appointment of”, and

(2) by adding at the end the following: “The United States trustee shall appoint any such committee.”.

TITLE V—MUNICIPAL BANKRUPTCY PROVISIONS

SEC. 501. PETITION AND PROCEEDINGS RELATED TO PETITION.

(a) **TECHNICAL AMENDMENT RELATING TO MUNICIPALITIES.**—Section 921(d) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting “notwithstanding section 301(b)” before the period at the end.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 301 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “(a)” before “A voluntary”; and

(2) by striking the last sentence and inserting the following: “(b) The commencement of a voluntary case under a chapter of this title constitutes an order for relief under such chapter.”.

SEC. 502. APPLICABILITY OF OTHER SECTIONS TO CHAPTER 9.

Section 901(a) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “555, 556,” after “553.”; and

(2) by inserting “559, 560, 561, 562,” after “557.”.

TITLE VI—BANKRUPTCY DATA

SEC. 601. IMPROVED BANKRUPTCY STATISTICS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 6 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§ 159. Bankruptcy statistics

“(a) The clerk of the district court, or the clerk of the bankruptcy court if one is certified pursuant to section 156(b) of this title, shall collect statistics regarding debtors who are individuals with primarily consumer debts seeking relief under chapters 7, 11, and 13 of title 11. Those statistics shall be in a standardized format prescribed by the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts (referred to in this section as the ‘Director’).

“(b) The Director shall—

(1) compile the statistics referred to in subsection (a);

(2) make the statistics available to the public; and

(3) not later than July 1, 2006, and annually thereafter, prepare, and submit to Congress a report concerning the information collected under subsection (a) that contains an analysis of the information.

“(c) The compilation required under subsection (b) shall—

(1) be itemized, by chapter, with respect to title 11;

(2) be presented in the aggregate and for each district; and

(3) include information concerning—

“(A) the total assets and total liabilities of the debtors described in subsection (a), and in each category of assets and liabilities, as reported in the schedules prescribed pursuant to section 2075 of this title and filed by debtors;

“(B) the current monthly income, average income, and average expenses of debtors as reported on the schedules and statements that each such debtor files under sections 521 and 1322 of title 11;

“(C) the aggregate amount of debt discharged in cases filed during the reporting period, determined as the difference between the total amount of debt and obligations of

a debtor reported on the schedules and the amount of such debt reported in categories which are predominantly nondischargeable;

“(D) the average period of time between the date of the filing of the petition and the closing of the case for cases closed during the reporting period;

“(E) for cases closed during the reporting period—

“(i) the number of cases in which a reaffirmation agreement was filed; and

“(ii)(I) the total number of reaffirmation agreements filed;

“(II) of those cases in which a reaffirmation agreement was filed, the number of cases in which the debtor was not represented by an attorney; and

“(III) of those cases in which a reaffirmation agreement was filed, the number of cases in which the reaffirmation agreement was approved by the court;

“(F) with respect to cases filed under chapter 13 of title 11, for the reporting period—

“(i)(I) the number of cases in which a final order was entered determining the value of property securing a claim in an amount less than the amount of the claim; and

“(II) the number of final orders entered determining the value of property securing a claim;

“(ii) the number of cases dismissed, the number of cases dismissed for failure to make payments under the plan, the number of cases refiled after dismissal, and the number of cases in which the plan was completed, separately itemized with respect to the number of modifications made before completion of the plan, if any; and

“(iii) the number of cases in which the debtor filed another case during the 6-year period preceding the filing;

“(G) the number of cases in which creditors were fined for misconduct and any amount of punitive damages awarded by the court for creditor misconduct; and

“(H) the number of cases in which sanctions under rule 9011 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure were imposed against debtor’s attorney or damages awarded under such Rule.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for chapter 6 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“159. Bankruptcy statistics.”.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by this section shall take effect 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 602. UNIFORM RULES FOR THE COLLECTION OF BANKRUPTCY DATA.

(a) **AMENDMENT.**—Chapter 39 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§ 589b. Bankruptcy data

“(a) **RULES.**—The Attorney General shall, within a reasonable time after the effective date of this section, issue rules requiring uniform forms for (and from time to time thereafter to appropriately modify and approve)—

“(1) final reports by trustees in cases under chapters 7, 12, and 13 of title 11; and

“(2) periodic reports by debtors in possession or trustees in cases under chapter 11 of title 11.

“(b) **REPORTS.**—Each report referred to in subsection (a) shall be designed (and the requirements as to place and manner of filing shall be established) so as to facilitate compilation of data and maximum possible access of the public, both by physical inspection at one or more central filing locations, and by electronic access through the Internet or other appropriate media.

“(c) **REQUIRED INFORMATION.**—The information required to be filed in the reports re-

ferred to in subsection (b) shall be that which is in the best interests of debtors and creditors, and in the public interest in reasonable and adequate information to evaluate the efficiency and practicality of the Federal bankruptcy system. In issuing rules proposing the forms referred to in subsection (a), the Attorney General shall strike the best achievable practical balance between—

“(1) the reasonable needs of the public for information about the operational results of the Federal bankruptcy system;

“(2) economy, simplicity, and lack of undue burden on persons with a duty to file reports; and

“(3) appropriate privacy concerns and safeguards.

“(d) **FINAL REPORTS.**—The uniform forms for final reports required under subsection (a) for use by trustees under chapters 7, 12, and 13 of title 11 shall, in addition to such other matters as are required by law or as the Attorney General in the discretion of the Attorney General shall propose, include with respect to a case under such title—

“(1) information about the length of time the case was pending;

“(2) assets abandoned;

“(3) assets exempted;

“(4) receipts and disbursements of the estate;

“(5) expenses of administration, including for use under section 707(b), actual costs of administering cases under chapter 13 of title 11;

“(6) claims asserted;

“(7) claims allowed; and

“(8) distributions to claimants and claims discharged without payment,

in each case by appropriate category and, in cases under chapters 12 and 13 of title 11, date of confirmation of the plan, each modification thereto, and defaults by the debtor in performance under the plan.

“(e) **PERIODIC REPORTS.**—The uniform forms for periodic reports required under subsection (a) for use by trustees or debtors in possession under chapter 11 of title 11 shall, in addition to such other matters as are required by law or as the Attorney General in the discretion of the Attorney General shall propose, include—

“(1) information about the industry classification, published by the Department of Commerce, for the businesses conducted by the debtor;

“(2) length of time the case has been pending;

“(3) number of full-time employees as of the date of the order for relief and at the end of each reporting period since the case was filed;

“(4) cash receipts, cash disbursements and profitability of the debtor for the most recent period and cumulatively since the date of the order for relief;

“(5) compliance with title 11, whether or not tax returns and tax payments since the date of the order for relief have been timely filed and made;

“(6) all professional fees approved by the court in the case for the most recent period and cumulatively since the date of the order for relief (separately reported, for the professional fees incurred by or on behalf of the debtor, between those that would have been incurred absent a bankruptcy case and those not); and

“(7) plans of reorganization filed and confirmed and, with respect thereto, by class, the recoveries of the holders, expressed in aggregate dollar values and, in the case of claims, as a percentage of total claims of the class allowed.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections for chapter 39 of title 28, United

States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“589b. Bankruptcy data.”.

SEC. 603. AUDIT PROCEDURES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—

(1) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROCEDURES.—The Attorney General (in judicial districts served by United States trustees) and the Judicial Conference of the United States (in judicial districts served by bankruptcy administrators) shall establish procedures to determine the accuracy, veracity, and completeness of petitions, schedules, and other information that the debtor is required to provide under sections 521 and 1322 of title 11, United States Code, and, if applicable, section 111 of such title, in cases filed under chapter 7 or 13 of such title in which the debtor is an individual. Such audits shall be in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and performed by independent certified public accountants or independent licensed public accountants, provided that the Attorney General and the Judicial Conference, as appropriate, may develop alternative auditing standards not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) PROCEDURES.—Those procedures required by paragraph (1) shall—

(A) establish a method of selecting appropriate qualified persons to contract to perform those audits;

(B) establish a method of randomly selecting cases to be audited, except that not less than 1 out of every 250 cases in each Federal judicial district shall be selected for audit;

(C) require audits of schedules of income and expenses that reflect greater than average variances from the statistical norm of the district in which the schedules were filed if those variances occur by reason of higher income or higher expenses than the statistical norm of the district in which the schedules were filed; and

(D) establish procedures for providing, not less frequently than annually, public information concerning the aggregate results of such audits including the percentage of cases, by district, in which a material misstatement of income or expenditures is reported.

(b) AMENDMENTS.—Section 586 of title 28, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking paragraph (6) and inserting the following:

“(6) make such reports as the Attorney General directs, including the results of audits performed under section 603(a) of the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2003;” and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(f)(1) The United States trustee for each district is authorized to contract with auditors to perform audits in cases designated by the United States trustee, in accordance with the procedures established under section 603(a) of the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2003.

“(2)(A) The report of each audit referred to in paragraph (1) shall be filed with the court and transmitted to the United States trustee. Each report shall clearly and conspicuously specify any material misstatement of income or expenditures or of assets identified by the person performing the audit. In any case in which a material misstatement of income or expenditures or of assets has been reported, the clerk of the district court (or the clerk of the bankruptcy court if one is certified under section 156(b) of this title) shall give notice of the misstatement to the creditors in the case.

“(B) If a material misstatement of income or expenditures or of assets is reported, the United States trustee shall—

“(i) report the material misstatement, if appropriate, to the United States Attorney pursuant to section 3057 of title 18; and

“(ii) if advisable, take appropriate action, including but not limited to commencing an adversary proceeding to revoke the debtor’s discharge pursuant to section 727(d) of title 11.”.

(c) AMENDMENTS TO SECTION 521 OF TITLE 11, U.S.C.—Section 521(a) of title 11, United States Code, as so designated by section 106, is amended in each of paragraphs (3) and (4) by inserting “or an auditor serving under section 586(f) of title 28” after “serving in the case”.

(d) AMENDMENTS TO SECTION 727 OF TITLE 11, U.S.C.—Section 727(d) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2), by striking “or” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (3), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; or”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(4) the debtor has failed to explain satisfactorily—

“(A) a material misstatement in an audit referred to in section 586(f) of title 28; or

“(B) a failure to make available for inspection all necessary accounts, papers, documents, financial records, files, and all other papers, things, or property belonging to the debtor that are requested for an audit referred to in section 586(f) of title 28.”.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 604. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING AVAILABILITY OF BANKRUPTCY DATA.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the national policy of the United States should be that all data held by bankruptcy clerks in electronic form, to the extent such data reflects only public records (as defined in section 107 of title 11, United States Code), should be released in a usable electronic form in bulk to the public, subject to such appropriate privacy concerns and safeguards as Congress and the Judicial Conference of the United States may determine; and

(2) there should be established a bankruptcy data system in which—

(A) a single set of data definitions and forms are used to collect data nationwide; and

(B) data for any particular bankruptcy case are aggregated in the same electronic record.

TITLE VII—BANKRUPTCY TAX PROVISIONS

SEC. 701. TREATMENT OF CERTAIN LIENS.

(a) TREATMENT OF CERTAIN LIENS.—Section 724 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b), in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by inserting “(other than to the extent that there is a properly perfected unavoidable tax lien arising in connection with an ad valorem tax on real or personal property of the estate)” after “under this title”;

(2) in subsection (b)(2), by inserting “(except that such expenses, other than claims for wages, salaries, or commissions that arise after the date of the filing of the petition, shall be limited to expenses incurred under chapter 7 of this title and shall not include expenses incurred under chapter 11 of this title)” after “507(a)(1)”;

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(e) Before subordinating a tax lien on real or personal property of the estate, the trustee shall—

“(1) exhaust the unencumbered assets of the estate; and

“(2) in a manner consistent with section 506(c), recover from property securing an allowed secured claim the reasonable, necessary costs and expenses of preserving or disposing of such property.

“(f) Notwithstanding the exclusion of ad valorem tax liens under this section and subject to the requirements of subsection (e), the following may be paid from property of the estate which secures a tax lien, or the proceeds of such property:

“(1) Claims for wages, salaries, and commissions that are entitled to priority under section 507(a)(4).

“(2) Claims for contributions to an employee benefit plan entitled to priority under section 507(a)(5).”.

(b) DETERMINATION OF TAX LIABILITY.—Section 505(a)(2) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking “or” at the end;

(2) in subparagraph (B), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; or”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(C) the amount or legality of any amount arising in connection with an ad valorem tax on real or personal property of the estate, if the applicable period for contesting or redetermining that amount under any law (other than a bankruptcy law) has expired.”.

SEC. 702. TREATMENT OF FUEL TAX CLAIMS.

Section 501 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(e) A claim arising from the liability of a debtor for fuel use tax assessed consistent with the requirements of section 31705 of title 49 may be filed by the base jurisdiction designated pursuant to the International Fuel Tax Agreement (as defined in section 31701 of title 49) and, if so filed, shall be allowed as a single claim.”.

SEC. 703. NOTICE OF REQUEST FOR A DETERMINATION OF TAXES.

Section 505(b) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the first sentence, by inserting “at the address and in the manner designated in paragraph (1)” after “determination of such tax”;

(2) by striking “(1) upon payment” and inserting “(A) upon payment”;

(3) by striking “(A) such governmental unit” and inserting “(i) such governmental unit”;

(4) by striking “(B) such governmental unit” and inserting “(ii) such governmental unit”;

(5) by striking “(2) upon payment” and inserting “(B) upon payment”;

(6) by striking “(3) upon payment” and inserting “(C) upon payment”;

(7) by striking “(b)” and inserting “(2)”;

and

(8) by inserting before paragraph (2), as so designated, the following:

“(b)(1)(A) The clerk shall maintain a list under which a Federal, State, or local governmental unit responsible for the collection of taxes within the district may—

“(i) designate an address for service of requests under this subsection; and

“(ii) describe where further information concerning additional requirements for filing such requests may be found.

“(B) If such governmental unit does not designate an address and provide such address to the clerk under subparagraph (A), any request made under this subsection may be served at the address for the filing of a tax return or protest with the appropriate taxing authority of such governmental unit.”.

SEC. 704. RATE OF INTEREST ON TAX CLAIMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter I of chapter 5 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§ 511. Rate of interest on tax claims

“(a) If any provision of this title requires the payment of interest on a tax claim or on

an administrative expense tax, or the payment of interest to enable a creditor to receive the present value of the allowed amount of a tax claim, the rate of interest shall be the rate determined under applicable nonbankruptcy law.

“(b) In the case of taxes paid under a confirmed plan under this title, the rate of interest shall be determined as of the calendar month in which the plan is confirmed.”

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for subchapter I of chapter 5 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“511. Rate of interest on tax claims.”.

SEC. 705. PRIORITY OF TAX CLAIMS.

Section 507(a)(8) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A)—

(A) in the matter preceding clause (i), by inserting “for a taxable year ending on or before the date of the filing of the petition” after “gross receipts”;

(B) in clause (i), by striking “for a taxable year ending on or before the date of the filing of the petition”; and

(C) by striking clause (ii) and inserting the following:

“(ii) assessed within 240 days before the date of the filing of the petition, exclusive of—

“(I) any time during which an offer in compromise with respect to that tax was pending or in effect during that 240-day period, plus 30 days; and

“(II) any time during which a stay of proceedings against collections was in effect in a prior case under this title during that 240-day period, plus 90 days.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“An otherwise applicable time period specified in this paragraph shall be suspended for any period during which a governmental unit is prohibited under applicable nonbankruptcy law from collecting a tax as a result of a request by the debtor for a hearing and an appeal of any collection action taken or proposed against the debtor, plus 90 days; plus any time during which the stay of proceedings was in effect in a prior case under this title or during which collection was precluded by the existence of 1 or more confirmed plans under this title, plus 90 days.”.

SEC. 706. PRIORITY PROPERTY TAXES INCURRED.

Section 507(a)(8)(B) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking “assessed” and inserting “incurred”.

SEC. 707. NO DISCHARGE OF FRAUDULENT TAXES IN CHAPTER 13.

Section 1328(a)(2) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 314, is amended by striking “paragraph” and inserting “section 507(a)(8)(C) or in paragraph (1)(B), (1)(C).”.

SEC. 708. NO DISCHARGE OF FRAUDULENT TAXES IN CHAPTER 11.

Section 1141(d) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by sections 321 and 330, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(6) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the confirmation of a plan does not discharge a debtor that is a corporation from any debt—

“(A) of a kind specified in paragraph (2)(A) or (2)(B) of section 523(a) that is owed to a domestic governmental unit, or owed to a person as the result of an action filed under subchapter III of chapter 37 of title 31 or any similar State statute; or

“(B) for a tax or customs duty with respect to which the debtor—

“(i) made a fraudulent return; or

“(ii) willfully attempted in any manner to evade or to defeat such tax or such customs duty.”.

SEC. 709. STAY OF TAX PROCEEDINGS LIMITED TO PREPETITION TAXES.

Section 362(a)(8) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking “the debtor”

and inserting “a corporate debtor’s tax liability for a taxable period the bankruptcy court may determine or concerning the tax liability of a debtor who is an individual for a taxable period ending before the date of the order for relief under this title”.

SEC. 710. PERIODIC PAYMENT OF TAXES IN CHAPTER 11 CASES.

Section 1129(a)(9) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in subparagraph (C), by striking “deferred cash payments,” and all that follows through the end of the subparagraph, and inserting “regular installment payments in cash—

“(i) of a total value, as of the effective date of the plan, equal to the allowed amount of such claim;

“(ii) over a period ending not later than 5 years after the date of the order for relief under section 301, 302, or 303; and

“(iii) in a manner not less favorable than the most favored nonpriority unsecured claim provided for by the plan (other than cash payments made to a class of creditors under section 1122(b)); and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(D) with respect to a secured claim which would otherwise meet the description of an unsecured claim of a governmental unit under section 507(a)(8), but for the secured status of that claim, the holder of that claim will receive on account of that claim, cash payments, in the same manner and over the same period, as prescribed in subparagraph (C).”.

SEC. 711. AVOIDANCE OF STATUTORY TAX LIENS PROHIBITED.

Section 545(2) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting before the semicolon at the end the following: “, except in any case in which a purchaser is a purchaser described in section 6323 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, or in any other similar provision of State or local law”.

SEC. 712. PAYMENT OF TAXES IN THE CONDUCT OF BUSINESS.

(a) PAYMENT OF TAXES REQUIRED.—Section 960 of title 28, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “(a)” before “Any”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(b) A tax under subsection (a) shall be paid on or before the due date of the tax under applicable nonbankruptcy law, unless—

“(1) the tax is a property tax secured by a lien against property that is abandoned under section 554 of title 11, within a reasonable period of time after the lien attaches, by the trustee in a case under title 11; or

“(2) payment of the tax is excused under a specific provision of title 11.

“(c) In a case pending under chapter 7 of title 11, payment of a tax may be deferred until final distribution is made under section 726 of title 11, if—

“(1) the tax was not incurred by a trustee duly appointed under chapter 7 of title 11; or

“(2) before the due date of the tax, an order of the court makes a finding of probable insufficiency of funds of the estate to pay in full the administrative expenses allowed under section 503(b) of title 11 that have the same priority in distribution under section 726(b) of title 11 as the priority of that tax.”.

(b) PAYMENT OF AD VALOREM TAXES REQUIRED.—Section 503(b)(1)(B)(i) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting “whether secured or unsecured, including property taxes for which liability is in rem, in personam, or both,” before “except”.

(c) REQUEST FOR PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE TAXES ELIMINATED.—Section 503(b)(1) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in subparagraph (C), by adding “and” at the end; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(D) notwithstanding the requirements of subsection (a), a governmental unit shall not be required to file a request for the payment of an expense described in subparagraph (B) or (C), as a condition of its being an allowed administrative expense;”.

(d) PAYMENT OF TAXES AND FEES AS SECURED CLAIMS.—Section 506 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b), by inserting “or State statute” after “agreement”; and

(2) in subsection (c), by inserting “, including the payment of all ad valorem property taxes with respect to the property” before the period at the end.

SEC. 713. TARDILY FILED PRIORITY TAX CLAIMS.

Section 726(a)(1) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking “before the date on which the trustee commences distribution under this section;” and inserting the following: “on or before the earlier of—

“(A) the date that is 10 days after the mailing to creditors of the summary of the trustee’s final report; or

“(B) the date on which the trustee commences final distribution under this section;”.

SEC. 714. INCOME TAX RETURNS PREPARED BY TAX AUTHORITIES.

Section 523(a) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by sections 215 and 224, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)(B)—

(A) in the matter preceding clause (i), by inserting “or equivalent report or notice,” after “a return;”;

(B) in clause (i), by inserting “or given” after “filed”; and

(C) in clause (ii)—

(i) by inserting “or given” after “filed”; and

(ii) by inserting “, report, or notice” after “return”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“For purposes of this subsection, the term ‘return’ means a return that satisfies the requirements of applicable nonbankruptcy law (including applicable filing requirements). Such term includes a return prepared pursuant to section 6020(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, or similar State or local law, or a written stipulation to a judgment or a final order entered by a nonbankruptcy tribunal, but does not include a return made pursuant to section 6020(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, or a similar State or local law.”.

SEC. 715. DISCHARGE OF THE ESTATE’S LIABILITY FOR UNPAID TAXES.

Section 505(b)(2) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 703, is amended by inserting “the estate,” after “misrepresentation,”.

SEC. 716. REQUIREMENT TO FILE TAX RETURNS TO CONFIRM CHAPTER 13 PLANS.

(a) FILING OF PREPETITION TAX RETURNS REQUIRED FOR PLAN CONFIRMATION.—Section 1325(a) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by sections 102, 213, and 306, is amended by inserting after paragraph (8) the following:

“(9) the debtor has filed all applicable Federal, State, and local tax returns as required by section 1308.”.

(b) ADDITIONAL TIME PERMITTED FOR FILING TAX RETURNS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter I of chapter 13 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§ 1308. Filing of prepetition tax returns

“(a) Not later than the day before the date on which the meeting of the creditors is first

scheduled to be held under section 341(a), if the debtor was required to file a tax return under applicable nonbankruptcy law, the debtor shall file with appropriate tax authorities all tax returns for all taxable periods ending during the 4-year period ending on the date of the filing of the petition.

“(b)(1) Subject to paragraph (2), if the tax returns required by subsection (a) have not been filed by the date on which the meeting of creditors is first scheduled to be held under section 341(a), the trustee may hold open that meeting for a reasonable period of time to allow the debtor an additional period of time to file any unfiled returns, but such additional period of time shall not extend beyond—

“(A) for any return that is past due as of the date of the filing of the petition, the date that is 120 days after the date of that meeting; or

“(B) for any return that is not past due as of the date of the filing of the petition, the later of—

“(i) the date that is 120 days after the date of that meeting; or

“(ii) the date on which the return is due under the last automatic extension of time for filing that return to which the debtor is entitled, and for which request is timely made, in accordance with applicable nonbankruptcy law.

“(2) After notice and a hearing, and order entered before the tolling of any applicable filing period determined under this subsection, if the debtor demonstrates by a preponderance of the evidence that the failure to file a return as required under this subsection is attributable to circumstances beyond the control of the debtor, the court may extend the filing period established by the trustee under this subsection for—

“(A) a period of not more than 30 days for returns described in paragraph (1); and

“(B) a period not to extend after the applicable extended due date for a return described in paragraph (2).

“(c) For purposes of this section, the term ‘return’ includes a return prepared pursuant to subsection (a) or (b) of section 6020 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, or a similar State or local law, or a written stipulation to a judgment or a final order entered by a nonbankruptcy tribunal.”

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for subchapter I of chapter 13 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“1308. Filing of prepetition tax returns.”

(c) DISMISSAL OR CONVERSION ON FAILURE TO COMPLY.—Section 1307 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (e) and (f) as subsections (f) and (g), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subsection (d) the following:

“(e) Upon the failure of the debtor to file a tax return under section 1308, on request of a party in interest or the United States trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court shall dismiss a case or convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 of this title, whichever is in the best interest of the creditors and the estate.”

(d) TIMELY FILED CLAIMS.—Section 502(b)(9) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, and except that in a case under chapter 13, a claim of a governmental unit for a tax with respect to a return filed under section 1308 shall be timely if the claim is filed on or before the date that is 60 days after the date on which such return was filed as required”.

(e) RULES FOR OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS AND TO CONFIRMATION.—It is the sense of Congress that the Judicial Conference of the

United States should, as soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, propose amended Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure that provide—

(1) notwithstanding the provisions of Rule 3015(f), in cases under chapter 13 of title 11, United States Code, that an objection to the confirmation of a plan filed by a governmental unit on or before the date that is 60 days after the date on which the debtor files all tax returns required under sections 1308 and 1325(a)(7) of title 11, United States Code, shall be treated for all purposes as if such objection had been timely filed before such confirmation; and

(2) in addition to the provisions of Rule 3007, in a case under chapter 13 of title 11, United States Code, that no objection to a claim for a tax with respect to which a return is required to be filed under section 1308 of title 11, United States Code, shall be filed until such return has been filed as required.

SEC. 717. STANDARDS FOR TAX DISCLOSURE.

Section 1125(a)(1) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “including a discussion of the potential material Federal tax consequences of the plan to the debtor, any successor to the debtor, and a hypothetical investor typical of the holders of claims or interests in the case,” after “records;” and

(2) by striking “a hypothetical reasonable investor typical of holders of claims or interests” and inserting “such a hypothetical investor”.

SEC. 718. SETOFF OF TAX REFUNDS.

Section 362(b) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by sections 224, 303, 311, and 401, is amended by inserting after paragraph (25) the following:

“(26) under subsection (a), of the setoff under applicable nonbankruptcy law of an income tax refund, by a governmental unit, with respect to a taxable period that ended before the date of the order for relief against an income tax liability for a taxable period that also ended before the date of the order for relief, except that in any case in which the setoff of an income tax refund is not permitted under applicable nonbankruptcy law because of a pending action to determine the amount or legality of a tax liability, the governmental unit may hold the refund pending the resolution of the action, unless the court, on the motion of the trustee and after notice and a hearing, grants the taxing authority adequate protection (within the meaning of section 361) for the secured claim of such authority in the setoff under section 506(a);”

SEC. 719. SPECIAL PROVISIONS RELATED TO THE TREATMENT OF STATE AND LOCAL TAXES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—

(1) SPECIAL PROVISIONS.—Section 346 of title 11, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 346. Special provisions related to the treatment of State and local taxes

“(a) Whenever the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 provides that a separate taxable estate or entity is created in a case concerning a debtor under this title, and the income, gain, loss, deductions, and credits of such estate shall be taxed to or claimed by the estate, a separate taxable estate is also created for purposes of any State and local law imposing a tax on or measured by income and such income, gain, loss, deductions, and credits shall be taxed to or claimed by the estate and may not be taxed to or claimed by the debtor. The preceding sentence shall not apply if the case is dismissed. The trustee shall make tax returns of income required under any such State or local law.

“(b) Whenever the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 provides that no separate taxable es-

tate shall be created in a case concerning a debtor under this title, and the income, gain, loss, deductions, and credits of an estate shall be taxed to or claimed by the debtor, such income, gain, loss, deductions, and credits shall be taxed to or claimed by the debtor under a State or local law imposing a tax on or measured by income and may not be taxed to or claimed by the estate. The trustee shall make such tax returns of income of corporations and of partnerships as are required under any State or local law, but with respect to partnerships, shall make such returns only to the extent such returns are also required to be made under such Code. The estate shall be liable for any tax imposed on such corporation or partnership, but not for any tax imposed on partners or members.

“(c) With respect to a partnership or any entity treated as a partnership under a State or local law imposing a tax on or measured by income that is a debtor in a case under this title, any gain or loss resulting from a distribution of property from such partnership, or any distributive share of any income, gain, loss, deduction, or credit of a partner or member that is distributed, or considered distributed, from such partnership, after the commencement of the case, is gain, loss, income, deduction, or credit, as the case may be, of the partner or member, and if such partner or member is a debtor in a case under this title, shall be subject to tax in accordance with subsection (a) or (b).

“(d) For purposes of any State or local law imposing a tax on or measured by income, the taxable period of a debtor in a case under this title shall terminate only if and to the extent that the taxable period of such debtor terminates under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

“(e) The estate in any case described in subsection (a) shall use the same accounting method as the debtor used immediately before the commencement of the case, if such method of accounting complies with applicable nonbankruptcy tax law.

“(f) For purposes of any State or local law imposing a tax on or measured by income, a transfer of property from the debtor to the estate or from the estate to the debtor shall not be treated as a disposition for purposes of any provision assigning tax consequences to a disposition, except to the extent that such transfer is treated as a disposition under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

“(g) Whenever a tax is imposed pursuant to a State or local law imposing a tax on or measured by income pursuant to subsection (a) or (b), such tax shall be imposed at rates generally applicable to the same types of entities under such State or local law.

“(h) The trustee shall withhold from any payment of claims for wages, salaries, commissions, dividends, interest, or other payments, or collect, any amount required to be withheld or collected under applicable State or local tax law, and shall pay such withheld or collected amount to the appropriate governmental unit at the time and in the manner required by such tax law, and with the same priority as the claim from which such amount was withheld or collected was paid.

“(i)(1) To the extent that any State or local law imposing a tax on or measured by income provides for the carryover of any tax attribute from one taxable period to a subsequent taxable period, the estate shall succeed to such tax attribute in any case in which such estate is subject to tax under subsection (a).

“(2) After such a case is closed or dismissed, the debtor shall succeed to any tax attribute to which the estate succeeded under paragraph (1) to the extent consistent with the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

“(3) The estate may carry back any loss or tax attribute to a taxable period of the debtor that ended before the date of the order for relief under this title to the extent that—

“(A) applicable State or local tax law provides for a carryback in the case of the debtor; and

“(B) the same or a similar tax attribute may be carried back by the estate to such a taxable period of the debtor under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

“(j)(1) For purposes of any State or local law imposing a tax on or measured by income, income is not realized by the estate, the debtor, or a successor to the debtor by reason of discharge of indebtedness in a case under this title, except to the extent, if any, that such income is subject to tax under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

“(2) Whenever the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 provides that the amount excluded from gross income in respect of the discharge of indebtedness in a case under this title shall be applied to reduce the tax attributes of the debtor or the estate, a similar reduction shall be made under any State or local law imposing a tax on or measured by income to the extent such State or local law recognizes such attributes. Such State or local law may also provide for the reduction of other attributes to the extent that the full amount of income from the discharge of indebtedness has not been applied.

“(k)(1) Except as provided in this section and section 505, the time and manner of filing tax returns and the items of income, gain, loss, deduction, and credit of any taxpayer shall be determined under applicable nonbankruptcy law.

“(2) For Federal tax purposes, the provisions of this section are subject to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and other applicable Federal nonbankruptcy law.”

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 3 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 346 and inserting the following:

“346. Special provisions related to the treatment of State and local taxes.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Title 11 of the United States Code is amended—

(1) by striking section 728;

(2) in the table of sections for chapter 7 by striking the item relating to section 728;

(3) in section 1146—

(A) by striking subsections (a) and (b); and
(B) by redesignating subsections (c) and (d) as subsections (a) and (b), respectively; and

(4) in section 1231—

(A) by striking subsections (a) and (b); and
(B) by redesignating subsections (c) and (d) as subsections (a) and (b), respectively.

SEC. 720. DISMISSAL FOR FAILURE TO TIMELY FILE TAX RETURNS.

Section 521 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by sections 106, 225, 305, 315, and 316, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(j)(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of this title, if the debtor fails to file a tax return that becomes due after the commencement of the case or to properly obtain an extension of the due date for filing such return, the taxing authority may request that the court enter an order converting or dismissing the case.

“(2) If the debtor does not file the required return or obtain the extension referred to in paragraph (1) within 90 days after a request is filed by the taxing authority under that paragraph, the court shall convert or dismiss the case, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate.”.

TITLE VIII—ANCILLARY AND OTHER CROSS-BORDER CASES

SEC. 801. AMENDMENT TO ADD CHAPTER 15 TO TITLE 11, UNITED STATES CODE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting after chapter 13 the following:

“CHAPTER 15—ANCILLARY AND OTHER CROSS-BORDER CASES

“Sec.

“1501. Purpose and scope of application.

“SUBCHAPTER I—GENERAL PROVISIONS

“1502. Definitions.

“1503. International obligations of the United States.

“1504. Commencement of ancillary case.

“1505. Authorization to act in a foreign country.

“1506. Public policy exception.

“1507. Additional assistance.

“1508. Interpretation.

“SUBCHAPTER II—ACCESS OF FOREIGN REPRESENTATIVES AND CREDITORS TO THE COURT

“1509. Right of direct access.

“1510. Limited jurisdiction.

“1511. Commencement of case under section 301 or 303.

“1512. Participation of a foreign representative in a case under this title.

“1513. Access of foreign creditors to a case under this title.

“1514. Notification to foreign creditors concerning a case under this title.

“SUBCHAPTER III—RECOGNITION OF A FOREIGN PROCEEDING AND RELIEF

“1515. Application for recognition.

“1516. Presumptions concerning recognition.

“1517. Order granting recognition.

“1518. Subsequent information.

“1519. Relief that may be granted upon filing petition for recognition.

“1520. Effects of recognition of a foreign main proceeding.

“1521. Relief that may be granted upon recognition.

“1522. Protection of creditors and other interested persons.

“1523. Actions to avoid acts detrimental to creditors.

“1524. Intervention by a foreign representative.

“SUBCHAPTER IV—COOPERATION WITH FOREIGN COURTS AND FOREIGN REPRESENTATIVES

“1525. Cooperation and direct communication between the court and foreign courts or foreign representatives.

“1526. Cooperation and direct communication between the trustee and foreign courts or foreign representatives.

“1527. Forms of cooperation.

“SUBCHAPTER V—CONCURRENT PROCEEDINGS

“1528. Commencement of a case under this title after recognition of a foreign main proceeding.

“1529. Coordination of a case under this title and a foreign proceeding.

“1530. Coordination of more than 1 foreign proceeding.

“1531. Presumption of insolvency based on recognition of a foreign main proceeding.

“1532. Rule of payment in concurrent proceedings.

“§ 1501. Purpose and scope of application

“(a) The purpose of this chapter is to incorporate the Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency so as to provide effective mechanisms for dealing with cases of cross-border insolvency with the objectives of—

“(1) cooperation between—

“(A) courts of the United States, United States trustees, trustees, examiners, debtors, and debtors in possession; and

“(B) the courts and other competent authorities of foreign countries involved in cross-border insolvency cases;

“(2) greater legal certainty for trade and investment;

“(3) fair and efficient administration of cross-border insolvencies that protects the interests of all creditors, and other interested entities, including the debtor;

“(4) protection and maximization of the value of the debtor’s assets; and

“(5) facilitation of the rescue of financially troubled businesses, thereby protecting investment and preserving employment.

“(b) This chapter applies where—

“(1) assistance is sought in the United States by a foreign court or a foreign representative in connection with a foreign proceeding;

“(2) assistance is sought in a foreign country in connection with a case under this title;

“(3) a foreign proceeding and a case under this title with respect to the same debtor are pending concurrently; or

“(4) creditors or other interested persons in a foreign country have an interest in requesting the commencement of, or participating in, a case or proceeding under this title.

“(c) This chapter does not apply to—

“(1) a proceeding concerning an entity, other than a foreign insurance company, identified by exclusion in section 109(b);

“(2) an individual, or to an individual and such individual’s spouse, who have debts within the limits specified in section 109(e) and who are citizens of the United States or aliens lawfully admitted for permanent residence in the United States; or

“(3) an entity subject to a proceeding under the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970, a stockbroker subject to subchapter III of chapter 7 of this title, or a commodity broker subject to subchapter IV of chapter 7 of this title.

“(d) The court may not grant relief under this chapter with respect to any deposit, escrow, trust fund, or other security required or permitted under any applicable State insurance law or regulation for the benefit of claim holders in the United States.

“SUBCHAPTER I—GENERAL PROVISIONS

“§ 1502. Definitions

“For the purposes of this chapter, the term—

“(1) ‘debtor’ means an entity that is the subject of a foreign proceeding;

“(2) ‘establishment’ means any place of operations where the debtor carries out a non-transitory economic activity;

“(3) ‘foreign court’ means a judicial or other authority competent to control or supervise a foreign proceeding;

“(4) ‘foreign main proceeding’ means a foreign proceeding pending in the country where the debtor has the center of its main interests;

“(5) ‘foreign nonmain proceeding’ means a foreign proceeding, other than a foreign main proceeding, pending in a country where the debtor has an establishment;

“(6) ‘trustee’ includes a trustee, a debtor in possession in a case under any chapter of this title, or a debtor under chapter 9 of this title;

“(7) ‘recognition’ means the entry of an order granting recognition of a foreign main proceeding or foreign nonmain proceeding under this chapter; and

“(8) ‘within the territorial jurisdiction of the United States’, when used with reference to property of a debtor, refers to tangible

property located within the territory of the United States and intangible property deemed under applicable nonbankruptcy law to be located within that territory, including any property subject to attachment or garnishment that may properly be seized or garnished by an action in a Federal or State court in the United States.

“§ 1503. International obligations of the United States

“To the extent that this chapter conflicts with an obligation of the United States arising out of any treaty or other form of agreement to which it is a party with one or more other countries, the requirements of the treaty or agreement prevail.

“§ 1504. Commencement of ancillary case

“A case under this chapter is commenced by the filing of a petition for recognition of a foreign proceeding under section 1515.

“§ 1505. Authorization to act in a foreign country

“A trustee or another entity (including an examiner) may be authorized by the court to act in a foreign country on behalf of an estate created under section 541. An entity authorized to act under this section may act in any way permitted by the applicable foreign law.

“§ 1506. Public policy exception

“Nothing in this chapter prevents the court from refusing to take an action governed by this chapter if the action would be manifestly contrary to the public policy of the United States.

“§ 1507. Additional assistance

“(a) Subject to the specific limitations stated elsewhere in this chapter the court, if recognition is granted, may provide additional assistance to a foreign representative under this title or under other laws of the United States.

“(b) In determining whether to provide additional assistance under this title or under other laws of the United States, the court shall consider whether such additional assistance, consistent with the principles of comity, will reasonably assure—

“(1) just treatment of all holders of claims against or interests in the debtor’s property;

“(2) protection of claim holders in the United States against prejudice and inconvenience in the processing of claims in such foreign proceeding;

“(3) prevention of preferential or fraudulent dispositions of property of the debtor;

“(4) distribution of proceeds of the debtor’s property substantially in accordance with the order prescribed by this title; and

“(5) if appropriate, the provision of an opportunity for a fresh start for the individual that such foreign proceeding concerns.

“§ 1508. Interpretation

“In interpreting this chapter, the court shall consider its international origin, and the need to promote an application of this chapter that is consistent with the application of similar statutes adopted by foreign jurisdictions.

“SUBCHAPTER II—ACCESS OF FOREIGN REPRESENTATIVES AND CREDITORS TO THE COURT

“§ 1509. Right of direct access

“(a) A foreign representative may commence a case under section 1504 by filing directly with the court a petition for recognition of a foreign proceeding under section 1515.

“(b) If the court grants recognition under section 1515, and subject to any limitations that the court may impose consistent with the policy of this chapter—

“(1) the foreign representative has the capacity to sue and be sued in a court in the United States;

“(2) the foreign representative may apply directly to a court in the United States for appropriate relief in that court; and

“(3) a court in the United States shall grant comity or cooperation to the foreign representative.

“(c) A request for comity or cooperation by a foreign representative in a court in the United States other than the court which granted recognition shall be accompanied by a certified copy of an order granting recognition under section 1517.

“(d) If the court denies recognition under this chapter, the court may issue any appropriate order necessary to prevent the foreign representative from obtaining comity or cooperation from courts in the United States.

“(e) Whether or not the court grants recognition, and subject to sections 306 and 1510, a foreign representative is subject to applicable nonbankruptcy law.

“(f) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, the failure of a foreign representative to commence a case or to obtain recognition under this chapter does not affect any right the foreign representative may have to sue in a court in the United States to collect or recover a claim which is the property of the debtor.

“§ 1510. Limited jurisdiction

“The sole fact that a foreign representative files a petition under section 1515 does not subject the foreign representative to the jurisdiction of any court in the United States for any other purpose.

“§ 1511. Commencement of case under section 301 or 303

“(a) Upon recognition, a foreign representative may commence—

“(1) an involuntary case under section 303; or

“(2) a voluntary case under section 301 or 302, if the foreign proceeding is a foreign main proceeding.

“(b) The petition commencing a case under subsection (a) must be accompanied by a certified copy of an order granting recognition. The court where the petition for recognition has been filed must be advised of the foreign representative’s intent to commence a case under subsection (a) prior to such commencement.

“§ 1512. Participation of a foreign representative in a case under this title

“Upon recognition of a foreign proceeding, the foreign representative in the recognized proceeding is entitled to participate as a party in interest in a case regarding the debtor under this title.

“§ 1513. Access of foreign creditors to a case under this title

“(a) Foreign creditors have the same rights regarding the commencement of, and participation in, a case under this title as domestic creditors.

“(b)(1) Subsection (a) does not change or codify present law as to the priority of claims under section 507 or 726, except that the claim of a foreign creditor under those sections shall not be given a lower priority than that of general unsecured claims without priority solely because the holder of such claim is a foreign creditor.

“(2)(A) Subsection (a) and paragraph (1) do not change or codify present law as to the allowability of foreign revenue claims or other foreign public law claims in a proceeding under this title.

“(B) Allowance and priority as to a foreign tax claim or other foreign public law claim shall be governed by any applicable tax treaty of the United States, under the conditions and circumstances specified therein.

“§ 1514. Notification to foreign creditors concerning a case under this title

“(a) Whenever in a case under this title notice is to be given to creditors generally or

to any class or category of creditors, such notice shall also be given to the known creditors generally, or to creditors in the notified class or category, that do not have addresses in the United States. The court may order that appropriate steps be taken with a view to notifying any creditor whose address is not yet known.

“(b) Such notification to creditors with foreign addresses described in subsection (a) shall be given individually, unless the court considers that, under the circumstances, some other form of notification would be more appropriate. No letter or other formality is required.

“(c) When a notification of commencement of a case is to be given to foreign creditors, such notification shall—

“(1) indicate the time period for filing proofs of claim and specify the place for filing such proofs of claim;

“(2) indicate whether secured creditors need to file proofs of claim; and

“(3) contain any other information required to be included in such notification to creditors under this title and the orders of the court.

“(d) Any rule of procedure or order of the court as to notice or the filing of a proof of claim shall provide such additional time to creditors with foreign addresses as is reasonable under the circumstances.

“SUBCHAPTER III—RECOGNITION OF A FOREIGN PROCEEDING AND RELIEF

“§ 1515. Application for recognition

“(a) A foreign representative applies to the court for recognition of a foreign proceeding in which the foreign representative has been appointed by filing a petition for recognition.

“(b) A petition for recognition shall be accompanied by—

“(1) a certified copy of the decision commencing such foreign proceeding and appointing the foreign representative;

“(2) a certificate from the foreign court affirming the existence of such foreign proceeding and of the appointment of the foreign representative; or

“(3) in the absence of evidence referred to in paragraphs (1) and (2), any other evidence acceptable to the court of the existence of such foreign proceeding and of the appointment of the foreign representative.

“(c) A petition for recognition shall also be accompanied by a statement identifying all foreign proceedings with respect to the debtor that are known to the foreign representative.

“(d) The documents referred to in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (b) shall be translated into English. The court may require a translation into English of additional documents.

“§ 1516. Presumptions concerning recognition

“(a) If the decision or certificate referred to in section 1515(b) indicates that the foreign proceeding is a foreign proceeding and that the person or body is a foreign representative, the court is entitled to so presume.

“(b) The court is entitled to presume that documents submitted in support of the petition for recognition are authentic, whether or not they have been legalized.

“(c) In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the debtor’s registered office, or habitual residence in the case of an individual, is presumed to be the center of the debtor’s main interests.

“§ 1517. Order granting recognition

“(a) Subject to section 1506, after notice and a hearing, an order recognizing a foreign proceeding shall be entered if—

“(1) such foreign proceeding for which recognition is sought is a foreign main proceeding or foreign nonmain proceeding within the meaning of section 1502;

“(2) the foreign representative applying for recognition is a person or body; and

“(3) the petition meets the requirements of section 1515.

“(b) Such foreign proceeding shall be recognized—

“(1) as a foreign main proceeding if it is pending in the country where the debtor has the center of its main interests; or

“(2) as a foreign nonmain proceeding if the debtor has an establishment within the meaning of section 1502 in the foreign country where the proceeding is pending.

“(c) A petition for recognition of a foreign proceeding shall be decided upon at the earliest possible time. Entry of an order recognizing a foreign proceeding constitutes recognition under this chapter.

“(d) The provisions of this subchapter do not prevent modification or termination of recognition if it is shown that the grounds for granting it were fully or partially lacking or have ceased to exist, but in considering such action the court shall give due weight to possible prejudice to parties that have relied upon the order granting recognition. A case under this chapter may be closed in the manner prescribed under section 350.

“§ 1518. Subsequent information

“From the time of filing the petition for recognition of a foreign proceeding, the foreign representative shall file with the court promptly a notice of change of status concerning—

“(1) any substantial change in the status of such foreign proceeding or the status of the foreign representative’s appointment; and

“(2) any other foreign proceeding regarding the debtor that becomes known to the foreign representative.

“§ 1519. Relief that may be granted upon filing petition for recognition

“(a) From the time of filing a petition for recognition until the court rules on the petition, the court may, at the request of the foreign representative, where relief is urgently needed to protect the assets of the debtor or the interests of the creditors, grant relief of a provisional nature, including—

“(1) staying execution against the debtor’s assets;

“(2) entrusting the administration or realization of all or part of the debtor’s assets located in the United States to the foreign representative or another person authorized by the court, including an examiner, in order to protect and preserve the value of assets that, by their nature or because of other circumstances, are perishable, susceptible to devaluation or otherwise in jeopardy; and

“(3) any relief referred to in paragraph (3), (4), or (7) of section 1521(a).

“(b) Unless extended under section 1521(a)(6), the relief granted under this section terminates when the petition for recognition is granted.

“(c) It is a ground for denial of relief under this section that such relief would interfere with the administration of a foreign main proceeding.

“(d) The court may not enjoin a police or regulatory act of a governmental unit, including a criminal action or proceeding, under this section.

“(e) The standards, procedures, and limitations applicable to an injunction shall apply to relief under this section.

“(f) The exercise of rights not subject to the stay arising under section 362(a) pursuant to paragraph (6), (7), (17), or (27) of section 362(b) or pursuant to section 362(n) shall not be stayed by any order of a court or administrative agency in any proceeding under this chapter.

“§ 1520. Effects of recognition of a foreign main proceeding

“(a) Upon recognition of a foreign proceeding that is a foreign main proceeding—

“(1) sections 361 and 362 apply with respect to the debtor and the property of the debtor that is within the territorial jurisdiction of the United States;

“(2) sections 363, 549, and 552 apply to a transfer of an interest of the debtor in property that is within the territorial jurisdiction of the United States to the same extent that the sections would apply to property of an estate;

“(3) unless the court orders otherwise, the foreign representative may operate the debtor’s business and may exercise the rights and powers of a trustee under and to the extent provided by sections 363 and 552; and

“(4) section 552 applies to property of the debtor that is within the territorial jurisdiction of the United States.

“(b) Subsection (a) does not affect the right to commence an individual action or proceeding in a foreign country to the extent necessary to preserve a claim against the debtor.

“(c) Subsection (a) does not affect the right of a foreign representative or an entity to file a petition commencing a case under this title or the right of any party to file claims or take other proper actions in such a case.

“§ 1521. Relief that may be granted upon recognition

“(a) Upon recognition of a foreign proceeding, whether main or nonmain, where necessary to effectuate the purpose of this chapter and to protect the assets of the debtor or the interests of the creditors, the court may, at the request of the foreign representative, grant any appropriate relief, including—

“(1) staying the commencement or continuation of an individual action or proceeding concerning the debtor’s assets, rights, obligations or liabilities to the extent they have not been stayed under section 1520(a);

“(2) staying execution against the debtor’s assets to the extent it has not been stayed under section 1520(a);

“(3) suspending the right to transfer, encumber or otherwise dispose of any assets of the debtor to the extent this right has not been suspended under section 1520(a);

“(4) providing for the examination of witnesses, the taking of evidence or the delivery of information concerning the debtor’s assets, affairs, rights, obligations or liabilities;

“(5) entrusting the administration or realization of all or part of the debtor’s assets within the territorial jurisdiction of the United States to the foreign representative or another person, including an examiner, authorized by the court;

“(6) extending relief granted under section 1519(a); and

“(7) granting any additional relief that may be available to a trustee, except for relief available under sections 522, 544, 545, 547, 548, 550, and 724(a).

“(b) Upon recognition of a foreign proceeding, whether main or nonmain, the court may, at the request of the foreign representative, entrust the distribution of all or part of the debtor’s assets located in the United States to the foreign representative or another person, including an examiner, authorized by the court, provided that the court is satisfied that the interests of creditors in the United States are sufficiently protected.

“(c) In granting relief under this section to a representative of a foreign nonmain proceeding, the court must be satisfied that the relief relates to assets that, under the law of the United States, should be administered in

the foreign nonmain proceeding or concerns information required in that proceeding.

“(d) The court may not enjoin a police or regulatory act of a governmental unit, including a criminal action or proceeding, under this section.

“(e) The standards, procedures, and limitations applicable to an injunction shall apply to relief under paragraphs (1), (2), (3), and (6) of subsection (a).

“(f) The exercise of rights not subject to the stay arising under section 362(a) pursuant to paragraph (6), (7), (17), or (27) of section 362(b) or pursuant to section 362(n) shall not be stayed by any order of a court or administrative agency in any proceeding under this chapter.

“§ 1522. Protection of creditors and other interested persons

“(a) The court may grant relief under section 1519 or 1521, or may modify or terminate relief under subsection (c), only if the interests of the creditors and other interested entities, including the debtor, are sufficiently protected.

“(b) The court may subject relief granted under section 1519 or 1521, or the operation of the debtor’s business under section 1520(a)(3), to conditions it considers appropriate, including the giving of security or the filing of a bond.

“(c) The court may, at the request of the foreign representative or an entity affected by relief granted under section 1519 or 1521, or at its own motion, modify or terminate such relief.

“(d) Section 1104(d) shall apply to the appointment of an examiner under this chapter. Any examiner shall comply with the qualification requirements imposed on a trustee by section 322.

“§ 1523. Actions to avoid acts detrimental to creditors

“(a) Upon recognition of a foreign proceeding, the foreign representative has standing in a case concerning the debtor pending under another chapter of this title to initiate actions under sections 522, 544, 545, 547, 548, 550, 553, and 724(a).

“(b) When a foreign proceeding is a foreign nonmain proceeding, the court must be satisfied that an action under subsection (a) relates to assets that, under United States law, should be administered in the foreign nonmain proceeding.

“§ 1524. Intervention by a foreign representative

“Upon recognition of a foreign proceeding, the foreign representative may intervene in any proceedings in a State or Federal court in the United States in which the debtor is a party.

“SUBCHAPTER IV—COOPERATION WITH FOREIGN COURTS AND FOREIGN REPRESENTATIVES

“§ 1525. Cooperation and direct communication between the court and foreign courts or foreign representatives

“(a) Consistent with section 1501, the court shall cooperate to the maximum extent possible with a foreign court or a foreign representative, either directly or through the trustee.

“(b) The court is entitled to communicate directly with, or to request information or assistance directly from, a foreign court or a foreign representative, subject to the rights of a party in interest to notice and participation.

“§ 1526. Cooperation and direct communication between the trustee and foreign courts or foreign representatives

“(a) Consistent with section 1501, the trustee or other person, including an examiner, authorized by the court, shall, subject to the

supervision of the court, cooperate to the maximum extent possible with a foreign court or a foreign representative.

“(b) The trustee or other person, including an examiner, authorized by the court is entitled, subject to the supervision of the court, to communicate directly with a foreign court or a foreign representative.

“§ 1527. Forms of cooperation

“Cooperation referred to in sections 1525 and 1526 may be implemented by any appropriate means, including—

“(1) appointment of a person or body, including an examiner, to act at the direction of the court;

“(2) communication of information by any means considered appropriate by the court;

“(3) coordination of the administration and supervision of the debtor’s assets and affairs;

“(4) approval or implementation of agreements concerning the coordination of proceedings; and

“(5) coordination of concurrent proceedings regarding the same debtor.

“SUBCHAPTER V—CONCURRENT PROCEEDINGS

“§ 1528. Commencement of a case under this title after recognition of a foreign main proceeding

“After recognition of a foreign main proceeding, a case under another chapter of this title may be commenced only if the debtor has assets in the United States. The effects of such case shall be restricted to the assets of the debtor that are within the territorial jurisdiction of the United States and, to the extent necessary to implement cooperation and coordination under sections 1525, 1526, and 1527, to other assets of the debtor that are within the jurisdiction of the court under sections 541(a) of this title, and 1334(e) of title 28, to the extent that such other assets are not subject to the jurisdiction and control of a foreign proceeding that has been recognized under this chapter.

“§ 1529. Coordination of a case under this title and a foreign proceeding

“If a foreign proceeding and a case under another chapter of this title are pending concurrently regarding the same debtor, the court shall seek cooperation and coordination under sections 1525, 1526, and 1527, and the following shall apply:

“(1) If the case in the United States pending at the time the petition for recognition of such foreign proceeding is filed—

“(A) any relief granted under section 1519 or 1521 must be consistent with the relief granted in the case in the United States; and

“(B) section 1520 does not apply even if such foreign proceeding is recognized as a foreign main proceeding.

“(2) If a case in the United States under this title commences after recognition, or after the date of the filing of the petition for recognition, of such foreign proceeding—

“(A) any relief in effect under section 1519 or 1521 shall be reviewed by the court and shall be modified or terminated if inconsistent with the case in the United States; and

“(B) if such foreign proceeding is a foreign main proceeding, the stay and suspension referred to in section 1520(a) shall be modified or terminated if inconsistent with the relief granted in the case in the United States.

“(3) In granting, extending, or modifying relief granted to a representative of a foreign nonmain proceeding, the court must be satisfied that the relief relates to assets that, under the laws of the United States, should be administered in the foreign nonmain proceeding or concerns information required in that proceeding.

“(4) In achieving cooperation and coordination under sections 1528 and 1529, the court

may grant any of the relief authorized under section 305.

“§ 1530. Coordination of more than 1 foreign proceeding

“In matters referred to in section 1501, with respect to more than 1 foreign proceeding regarding the debtor, the court shall seek cooperation and coordination under sections 1525, 1526, and 1527, and the following shall apply:

“(1) Any relief granted under section 1519 or 1521 to a representative of a foreign nonmain proceeding after recognition of a foreign main proceeding must be consistent with the foreign main proceeding.

“(2) If a foreign main proceeding is recognized after recognition, or after the filing of a petition for recognition, of a foreign nonmain proceeding, any relief in effect under section 1519 or 1521 shall be reviewed by the court and shall be modified or terminated if inconsistent with the foreign main proceeding.

“(3) If, after recognition of a foreign nonmain proceeding, another foreign nonmain proceeding is recognized, the court shall grant, modify, or terminate relief for the purpose of facilitating coordination of the proceedings.

“§ 1531. Presumption of insolvency based on recognition of a foreign main proceeding

“In the absence of evidence to the contrary, recognition of a foreign main proceeding is, for the purpose of commencing a proceeding under section 303, proof that the debtor is generally not paying its debts as such debts become due.

“§ 1532. Rule of payment in concurrent proceedings

“Without prejudice to secured claims or rights in rem, a creditor who has received payment with respect to its claim in a foreign proceeding pursuant to a law relating to insolvency may not receive a payment for the same claim in a case under any other chapter of this title regarding the debtor, so long as the payment to other creditors of the same class is proportionately less than the payment the creditor has already received.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of chapters for title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to chapter 13 the following:

“15. Ancillary and Other Cross-Border Cases 1501”.
SEC. 802. OTHER AMENDMENTS TO TITLES 11 AND 28, UNITED STATES CODE.

(a) APPLICABILITY OF CHAPTERS.—Section 103 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by inserting before the period the following: “, and this chapter, sections 307, 362(n), 555 through 557, and 559 through 562 apply in a case under chapter 15”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(k) Chapter 15 applies only in a case under such chapter, except that—

“(1) sections 1505, 1513, and 1514 apply in all cases under this title; and

“(2) section 1509 applies whether or not a case under this title is pending.”.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—Section 101 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking paragraphs (23) and (24) and inserting the following:

“(23) ‘foreign proceeding’ means a collective judicial or administrative proceeding in a foreign country, including an interim proceeding, under a law relating to insolvency or adjustment of debt in which proceeding the assets and affairs of the debtor are subject to control or supervision by a foreign court, for the purpose of reorganization or liquidation;

“(24) ‘foreign representative’ means a person or body, including a person or body ap-

pointed on an interim basis, authorized in a foreign proceeding to administer the reorganization or the liquidation of the debtor’s assets or affairs or to act as a representative of such foreign proceeding.”.

(c) AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 28, UNITED STATES CODE.—

(1) PROCEDURES.—Section 157(b)(2) of title 28, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (N), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) in subparagraph (O), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(P) recognition of foreign proceedings and other matters under chapter 15 of title 11.”.

(2) BANKRUPTCY CASES AND PROCEEDINGS.—Section 1334(c) of title 28, United States Code, is amended by striking “Nothing in” and inserting “Except with respect to a case under chapter 15 of title 11, nothing in”.

(3) DUTIES OF TRUSTEES.—Section 586(a)(3) of title 28, United States Code, is amended by striking “or 13” and inserting “13, or 15”.

(4) VENUE OF CASES ANCILLARY TO FOREIGN PROCEEDINGS.—Section 1410 of title 28, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 1410. Venue of cases ancillary to foreign proceedings

“A case under chapter 15 of title 11 may be commenced in the district court of the United States for the district—

“(1) in which the debtor has its principal place of business or principal assets in the United States;

“(2) if the debtor does not have a place of business or assets in the United States, in which there is pending against the debtor an action or proceeding in a Federal or State court; or

“(3) in a case other than those specified in paragraph (1) or (2), in which venue will be consistent with the interests of justice and the convenience of the parties, having regard to the relief sought by the foreign representative.”.

(d) OTHER SECTIONS OF TITLE 11.—Title 11 of the United States Code is amended—

(1) in section 109(b), by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the following:

“(3)(A) a foreign insurance company, engaged in such business in the United States; or

“(B) a foreign bank, savings bank, cooperative bank, savings and loan association, building and loan association, or credit union, that has a branch or agency (as defined in section 1(b) of the International Banking Act of 1978 in the United States.”;

(2) in section 303, by striking subsection (k);

(3) by striking section 304;

(4) in the table of sections for chapter 3 by striking the item relating to section 304;

(5) in section 306 by striking “, 304,” each place it appears;

(6) in section 305(a) by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

“(2)(A) a petition under section 1515 for recognition of a foreign proceeding has been granted; and

“(B) the purposes of chapter 15 of this title would be best served by such dismissal or suspension.”; and

(7) in section 508—

(A) by striking subsection (a); and

(B) in subsection (b), by striking “(b)”.

TITLE IX—FINANCIAL CONTRACT PROVISIONS

SEC. 901. TREATMENT OF CERTAIN AGREEMENTS BY CONSERVATORS OR RECEIVERS OF INSURED DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS.

(a) DEFINITION OF QUALIFIED FINANCIAL CONTRACT.—

(1) FDIC-INSURED DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS.—Section 11(e)(8)(D) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1821(e)(8)(D)) is amended—

(A) by striking “subsection—” and inserting “subsection, the following definitions shall apply:”; and

(B) in clause (i), by inserting “, resolution, or order” after “any similar agreement that the Corporation determines by regulation”.

(2) INSURED CREDIT UNIONS.—Section 207(c)(8)(D) of the Federal Credit Union Act (12 U.S.C. 1787(c)(8)(D)) is amended—

(A) by striking “subsection—” and inserting “subsection, the following definitions shall apply:”; and

(B) in clause (i), by inserting “, resolution, or order” after “any similar agreement that the Board determines by regulation”.

(b) DEFINITION OF SECURITIES CONTRACT.—
(1) FDIC-INSURED DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS.—Section 11(e)(8)(D)(ii) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1821(e)(8)(D)(ii)) is amended to read as follows:

“(i) SECURITIES CONTRACT.—The term ‘securities contract’—

“(I) means a contract for the purchase, sale, or loan of a security, a certificate of deposit, a mortgage loan, or any interest in a mortgage loan, a group or index of securities, certificates of deposit, or mortgage loans or interests therein (including any interest therein or based on the value thereof) or any option on any of the foregoing, including any option to purchase or sell any such security, certificate of deposit, mortgage loan, interest, group or index, or option; and including any repurchase or reverse repurchase transaction on any such security, certificate of deposit, mortgage loan, interest, group or index, or option;”

“(II) does not include any purchase, sale, or repurchase obligation under a participation in a commercial mortgage loan unless the Corporation determines by regulation, resolution, or order to include any such agreement within the meaning of such term;”

“(III) means any option entered into on a national securities exchange relating to foreign currencies;”

“(IV) means the guarantee by or to any securities clearing agency of any settlement of cash, securities, certificates of deposit, mortgage loans or interests therein, group or index of securities, certificates of deposit, or mortgage loans or interests therein (including any interest therein or based on the value thereof) or option on any of the foregoing, including any option to purchase or sell any such security, certificate of deposit, mortgage loan, interest, group or index, or option;”

“(V) means any margin loan;”

“(VI) means any other agreement or transaction that is similar to any agreement or transaction referred to in this clause;”

“(VII) means any combination of the agreements or transactions referred to in this clause;”

“(VIII) means any option to enter into any agreement or transaction referred to in this clause;”

“(IX) means a master agreement that provides for an agreement or transaction referred to in subclause (I), (III), (IV), (V), (VI), (VII), or (VIII), together with all supplements to any such master agreement, without regard to whether the master agreement provides for an agreement or transaction that is not a securities contract under this clause, except that the master agreement shall be considered to be a securities contract under this clause only with respect to each agreement or transaction under the master agreement that is referred to in subclause (I), (III), (IV), (V), (VI), (VII), or (VIII); and

“(X) means any security agreement or arrangement or other credit enhancement related to any agreement or transaction referred to in this clause, including any guarantee or reimbursement obligation in connection with any agreement or transaction referred to in this clause.”.

(2) INSURED CREDIT UNIONS.—Section 207(c)(8)(D)(ii) of the Federal Credit Union Act (12 U.S.C. 1787(c)(8)(D)(ii)) is amended to read as follows:

“(i) SECURITIES CONTRACT.—The term ‘securities contract’—

“(I) means a contract for the purchase, sale, or loan of a security, a certificate of deposit, a mortgage loan, or any interest in a mortgage loan, a group or index of securities, certificates of deposit, or mortgage loans or interests therein (including any interest therein or based on the value thereof) or any option on any of the foregoing, including any option to purchase or sell any such security, certificate of deposit, mortgage loan, interest, group or index, or option, and including any repurchase or reverse repurchase transaction on any such security, certificate of deposit, mortgage loan, interest, group or index, or option;”

“(II) does not include any purchase, sale, or repurchase obligation under a participation in a commercial mortgage loan unless the Board determines by regulation, resolution, or order to include any such agreement within the meaning of such term;”

“(III) means any option entered into on a national securities exchange relating to foreign currencies;”

“(IV) means the guarantee by or to any securities clearing agency of any settlement of cash, securities, certificates of deposit, mortgage loans or interests therein, group or index of securities, certificates of deposit, or mortgage loans or interests therein (including any interest therein or based on the value thereof) or option on any of the foregoing, including any option to purchase or sell any such security, certificate of deposit, mortgage loan, interest, group or index, or option;”

“(V) means any margin loan;”

“(VI) means any other agreement or transaction that is similar to any agreement or transaction referred to in this clause;”

“(VII) means any combination of the agreements or transactions referred to in this clause;”

“(VIII) means any option to enter into any agreement or transaction referred to in this clause;”

“(IX) means a master agreement that provides for an agreement or transaction referred to in subclause (I), (III), (IV), (V), (VI), (VII), or (VIII), together with all supplements to any such master agreement, without regard to whether the master agreement provides for an agreement or transaction that is not a securities contract under this clause, except that the master agreement shall be considered to be a securities contract under this clause only with respect to each agreement or transaction under the master agreement that is referred to in subclause (I), (III), (IV), (V), (VI), (VII), or (VIII); and

“(X) means any security agreement or arrangement or other credit enhancement related to any agreement or transaction referred to in this clause, including any guarantee or reimbursement obligation in connection with any agreement or transaction referred to in this clause.”.

(c) DEFINITION OF COMMODITY CONTRACT.—

(1) FDIC-INSURED DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS.—Section 11(e)(8)(D)(iii) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1821(e)(8)(D)(iii)) is amended to read as follows:

“(iii) COMMODITY CONTRACT.—The term ‘commodity contract’ means—

“(I) with respect to a futures commission merchant, a contract for the purchase or sale of a commodity for future delivery on, or subject to the rules of, a contract market or board of trade;”

“(II) with respect to a foreign futures commission merchant, a foreign future;”

“(III) with respect to a leverage transaction merchant, a leverage transaction;”

“(IV) with respect to a clearing organization, a contract for the purchase or sale of a commodity for future delivery on, or subject to the rules of, a contract market or board of trade that is cleared by such clearing organization, or commodity option traded on, or subject to the rules of, a contract market or board of trade that is cleared by such clearing organization;”

“(V) with respect to a commodity options dealer, a commodity option;”

“(VI) any other agreement or transaction that is similar to any agreement or transaction referred to in this clause;”

“(VII) any combination of the agreements or transactions referred to in this clause;”

“(VIII) any option to enter into any agreement or transaction referred to in this clause;”

“(IX) a master agreement that provides for an agreement or transaction referred to in subclause (I), (II), (III), (IV), (V), (VI), (VII), or (VIII), together with all supplements to any such master agreement, without regard to whether the master agreement provides for an agreement or transaction that is not a commodity contract under this clause, except that the master agreement shall be considered to be a commodity contract under this clause only with respect to each agreement or transaction under the master agreement that is referred to in subclause (I), (II), (III), (IV), (V), (VI), (VII), or (VIII); or

“(X) any security agreement or arrangement or other credit enhancement related to any agreement or transaction referred to in this clause, including any guarantee or reimbursement obligation in connection with any agreement or transaction referred to in this clause.”.

(2) INSURED CREDIT UNIONS.—Section 207(c)(8)(D)(iii) of the Federal Credit Union Act (12 U.S.C. 1787(c)(8)(D)(iii)) is amended to read as follows:

“(iii) COMMODITY CONTRACT.—The term ‘commodity contract’ means—

“(I) with respect to a futures commission merchant, a contract for the purchase or sale of a commodity for future delivery on, or subject to the rules of, a contract market or board of trade;”

“(II) with respect to a foreign futures commission merchant, a foreign future;”

“(III) with respect to a leverage transaction merchant, a leverage transaction;”

“(IV) with respect to a clearing organization, a contract for the purchase or sale of a commodity for future delivery on, or subject to the rules of, a contract market or board of trade that is cleared by such clearing organization, or commodity option traded on, or subject to the rules of, a contract market or board of trade that is cleared by such clearing organization;”

“(V) with respect to a commodity options dealer, a commodity option;”

“(VI) any other agreement or transaction that is similar to any agreement or transaction referred to in this clause;”

“(VII) any combination of the agreements or transactions referred to in this clause;”

“(VIII) any option to enter into any agreement or transaction referred to in this clause;”

“(IX) a master agreement that provides for an agreement or transaction referred to in subclause (I), (II), (III), (IV), (V), (VI), (VII),

or (VIII), together with all supplements to any such master agreement, without regard to whether the master agreement provides for an agreement or transaction that is not a commodity contract under this clause, except that the master agreement shall be considered to be a commodity contract under this clause only with respect to each agreement or transaction under the master agreement that is referred to in subclause (I), (II), (III), (IV), (V), (VI), (VII), or (VIII); or

“(X) any security agreement or arrangement or other credit enhancement related to any agreement or transaction referred to in this clause, including any guarantee or reimbursement obligation in connection with any agreement or transaction referred to in this clause.”

(d) DEFINITION OF FORWARD CONTRACT.—

(1) FDIC-INSURED DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS.—Section 11(e)(8)(D)(iv) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1821(e)(8)(D)(iv)) is amended to read as follows:

“(iv) FORWARD CONTRACT.—The term ‘forward contract’ means—

“(I) a contract (other than a commodity contract) for the purchase, sale, or transfer of a commodity or any similar good, article, service, right, or interest which is presently or in the future becomes the subject of dealing in the forward contract trade, or product or byproduct thereof, with a maturity date more than 2 days after the date the contract is entered into, including, a repurchase transaction, reverse repurchase transaction, consignment, lease, swap, hedge transaction, deposit, loan, option, allocated transaction, unallocated transaction, or any other similar agreement;

“(II) any combination of agreements or transactions referred to in subclauses (I) and (III);

“(III) any option to enter into any agreement or transaction referred to in subclause (I) or (II);

“(IV) a master agreement that provides for an agreement or transaction referred to in subclauses (I), (II), or (III), together with all supplements to any such master agreement, without regard to whether the master agreement provides for an agreement or transaction that is not a forward contract under this clause, except that the master agreement shall be considered to be a forward contract under this clause only with respect to each agreement or transaction under the master agreement that is referred to in subclause (I), (II), or (III); or

“(V) any security agreement or arrangement or other credit enhancement related to any agreement or transaction referred to in subclause (I), (II), (III), or (IV), including any guarantee or reimbursement obligation in connection with any agreement or transaction referred to in any such subclause.”

(2) INSURED CREDIT UNIONS.—Section 207(c)(8)(D)(iv) of the Federal Credit Union Act (12 U.S.C. 1787(c)(8)(D)(iv)) is amended to read as follows:

“(iv) FORWARD CONTRACT.—The term ‘forward contract’ means—

“(I) a contract (other than a commodity contract) for the purchase, sale, or transfer of a commodity or any similar good, article, service, right, or interest which is presently or in the future becomes the subject of dealing in the forward contract trade, or product or byproduct thereof, with a maturity date more than 2 days after the date the contract is entered into, including, a repurchase transaction, reverse repurchase transaction, consignment, lease, swap, hedge transaction, deposit, loan, option, allocated transaction, unallocated transaction, or any other similar agreement;

“(II) any combination of agreements or transactions referred to in subclauses (I) and (III);

“(III) any option to enter into any agreement or transaction referred to in subclause (I) or (II);

“(IV) a master agreement that provides for an agreement or transaction referred to in subclauses (I), (II), or (III), together with all supplements to any such master agreement, without regard to whether the master agreement provides for an agreement or transaction that is not a forward contract under this clause, except that the master agreement shall be considered to be a forward contract under this clause only with respect to each agreement or transaction under the master agreement that is referred to in subclause (I), (II), or (III); or

“(V) any security agreement or arrangement or other credit enhancement related to any agreement or transaction referred to in subclause (I), (II), (III), or (IV), including any guarantee or reimbursement obligation in connection with any agreement or transaction referred to in any such subclause.”

(e) DEFINITION OF REPURCHASE AGREEMENT.—

(1) FDIC-INSURED DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS.—Section 11(e)(8)(D)(v) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1821(e)(8)(D)(v)) is amended to read as follows:

“(v) REPURCHASE AGREEMENT.—The term ‘repurchase agreement’ (which definition also applies to a reverse repurchase agreement)—

“(I) means an agreement, including related terms, which provides for the transfer of one or more certificates of deposit, mortgage-related securities (as such term is defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934), mortgage loans, interests in mortgage-related securities or mortgage loans, eligible bankers’ acceptances, qualified foreign government securities or securities that are direct obligations of, or that are fully guaranteed by, the United States or any agency of the United States against the transfer of funds by the transferee of such certificates of deposit, eligible bankers’ acceptances, securities, mortgage loans, or interests with a simultaneous agreement by such transferee to transfer to the transferor thereof certificates of deposit, eligible bankers’ acceptances, securities, mortgage loans, or interests as described above, at a date certain not later than 1 year after such transfers or on demand, against the transfer of funds, or any other similar agreement;

“(II) does not include any repurchase obligation under a participation in a commercial mortgage loan unless the Corporation determines by regulation, resolution, or order to include any such participation within the meaning of such term;

“(III) means any combination of agreements or transactions referred to in subclauses (I) and (IV);

“(IV) means any option to enter into any agreement or transaction referred to in subclause (I) or (III);

“(V) means a master agreement that provides for an agreement or transaction referred to in subclause (I), (III), or (IV), together with all supplements to any such master agreement, without regard to whether the master agreement provides for an agreement or transaction that is not a repurchase agreement under this clause, except that the master agreement shall be considered to be a repurchase agreement under this subclause only with respect to each agreement or transaction under the master agreement that is referred to in subclause (I), (III), or (IV); and

“(VI) means any security agreement or arrangement or other credit enhancement re-

lated to any agreement or transaction referred to in subclause (I), (III), (IV), or (V), including any guarantee or reimbursement obligation in connection with any agreement or transaction referred to in any such subclause.

For purposes of this clause, the term ‘qualified foreign government security’ means a security that is a direct obligation of, or that is fully guaranteed by, the central government of a member of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (as determined by regulation or order adopted by the appropriate Federal banking authority).”

(2) INSURED CREDIT UNIONS.—Section 207(c)(8)(D)(v) of the Federal Credit Union Act (12 U.S.C. 1787(c)(8)(D)(v)) is amended to read as follows:

“(v) REPURCHASE AGREEMENT.—The term ‘repurchase agreement’ (which definition also applies to a reverse repurchase agreement)—

“(I) means an agreement, including related terms, which provides for the transfer of one or more certificates of deposit, mortgage-related securities (as such term is defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934), mortgage loans, interests in mortgage-related securities or mortgage loans, eligible bankers’ acceptances, qualified foreign government securities or securities that are direct obligations of, or that are fully guaranteed by, the United States or any agency of the United States against the transfer of funds by the transferee of such certificates of deposit, eligible bankers’ acceptances, securities, mortgage loans, or interests with a simultaneous agreement by such transferee to transfer to the transferor thereof certificates of deposit, eligible bankers’ acceptances, securities, mortgage loans, or interests as described above, at a date certain not later than 1 year after such transfers or on demand, against the transfer of funds, or any other similar agreement;

“(II) does not include any repurchase obligation under a participation in a commercial mortgage loan unless the Board determines by regulation, resolution, or order to include any such participation within the meaning of such term;

“(III) means any combination of agreements or transactions referred to in subclauses (I) and (IV);

“(IV) means any option to enter into any agreement or transaction referred to in subclause (I) or (III);

“(V) means a master agreement that provides for an agreement or transaction referred to in subclause (I), (III), or (IV), together with all supplements to any such master agreement, without regard to whether the master agreement provides for an agreement or transaction that is not a repurchase agreement under this clause, except that the master agreement shall be considered to be a repurchase agreement under this subclause only with respect to each agreement or transaction under the master agreement that is referred to in subclause (I), (III), or (IV); and

“(VI) means any security agreement or arrangement or other credit enhancement related to any agreement or transaction referred to in subclause (I), (III), (IV), or (V), including any guarantee or reimbursement obligation in connection with any agreement or transaction referred to in any such subclause.

For purposes of this clause, the term ‘qualified foreign government security’ means a security that is a direct obligation of, or that is fully guaranteed by, the central government of a member of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (as determined by regulation or order adopted

by the appropriate Federal banking authority).”.

(f) DEFINITION OF SWAP AGREEMENT.—

(1) FDIC-INSURED DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS.—Section 11(e)(8)(D)(vi) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1821(e)(8)(D)(vi)) is amended to read as follows:

“(vi) SWAP AGREEMENT.—The term ‘swap agreement’ means—

“(I) any agreement, including the terms and conditions incorporated by reference in any such agreement, which is an interest rate swap, option, future, or forward agreement, including a rate floor, rate cap, rate collar, cross-currency rate swap, and basis swap; a spot, same day-tomorrow, tomorrow-next, forward, or other foreign exchange or precious metals agreement; a currency swap, option, future, or forward agreement; an equity index or equity swap, option, future, or forward agreement; a debt index or debt swap, option, future, or forward agreement; a total return, credit spread or credit swap, option, future, or forward agreement; a commodity index or commodity swap, option, future, or forward agreement; or a weather swap, weather derivative, or weather option;

“(II) any agreement or transaction that is similar to any other agreement or transaction referred to in this clause and that is of a type that has been, is presently, or in the future becomes, the subject of recurrent dealings in the swap markets (including terms and conditions incorporated by reference in such agreement) and that is a forward, swap, future, or option on one or more rates, currencies, commodities, equity securities or other equity instruments, debt securities or other debt instruments, quantitative measures associated with an occurrence, extent of an occurrence, or contingency associated with a financial, commercial, or economic consequence, or economic or financial indices or measures of economic or financial risk or value;

“(III) any combination of agreements or transactions referred to in this clause;

“(IV) any option to enter into any agreement or transaction referred to in this clause;

“(V) a master agreement that provides for an agreement or transaction referred to in subclause (I), (II), (III), or (IV), together with all supplements to any such master agreement, without regard to whether the master agreement contains an agreement or transaction that is not a swap agreement under this clause, except that the master agreement shall be considered to be a swap agreement under this clause only with respect to each agreement or transaction under the master agreement that is referred to in subclause (I), (II), (III), or (IV); and

“(VI) any security agreement or arrangement or other credit enhancement related to any agreements or transactions referred to in subclause (I), (II), (III), (IV), or (V), including any guarantee or reimbursement obligation in connection with any agreement or transaction referred to in any such subclause.

Such term is applicable for purposes of this subsection only and shall not be construed or applied so as to challenge or affect the characterization, definition, or treatment of any swap agreement under any other statute, regulation, or rule, including the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970, the Commodity Exchange Act, the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, and the Legal Certainty for Bank Products Act of 2000.”.

(2) INSURED CREDIT UNIONS.—Section 207(c)(8)(D) of the Federal Credit Union Act (12 U.S.C. 1787(c)(8)(D)) is amended by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(vi) SWAP AGREEMENT.—The term ‘swap agreement’ means—

“(I) any agreement, including the terms and conditions incorporated by reference in any such agreement, which is an interest rate swap, option, future, or forward agreement, including a rate floor, rate cap, rate collar, cross-currency rate swap, and basis swap; a spot, same day-tomorrow, tomorrow-next, forward, or other foreign exchange or precious metals agreement; a currency swap, option, future, or forward agreement; an equity index or equity swap, option, future, or forward agreement; a debt index or debt swap, option, future, or forward agreement; a total return, credit spread or credit swap, option, future, or forward agreement; a commodity index or commodity swap, option, future, or forward agreement; or a weather swap, weather derivative, or weather option;

“(II) any agreement or transaction that is similar to any other agreement or transaction referred to in this clause and that is of a type that has been, is presently, or in the future becomes, the subject of recurrent dealings in the swap markets (including terms and conditions incorporated by reference in such agreement) and that is a forward, swap, future, or option on one or more rates, currencies, commodities, equity securities or other equity instruments, debt securities or other debt instruments, quantitative measures associated with an occurrence, extent of an occurrence, or contingency associated with a financial, commercial, or economic consequence, or economic or financial indices or measures of economic or financial risk or value;

“(III) any combination of agreements or transactions referred to in this clause;

“(IV) any option to enter into any agreement or transaction referred to in this clause;

“(V) a master agreement that provides for an agreement or transaction referred to in subclause (I), (II), (III), or (IV), together with all supplements to any such master agreement, without regard to whether the master agreement contains an agreement or transaction that is not a swap agreement under this clause, except that the master agreement shall be considered to be a swap agreement under this clause only with respect to each agreement or transaction under the master agreement that is referred to in subclause (I), (II), (III), or (IV); and

“(VI) any security agreement or arrangement or other credit enhancement related to any agreements or transactions referred to in subclause (I), (II), (III), (IV), or (V), including any guarantee or reimbursement obligation in connection with any agreement or transaction referred to in any such subclause.

Such term is applicable for purposes of this subsection only and shall not be construed or applied so as to challenge or affect the characterization, definition, or treatment of any swap agreement under any other statute, regulation, or rule, including the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970, the Commodity Exchange Act, the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, and the Legal Certainty for Bank Products Act of 2000.”.

(g) DEFINITION OF TRANSFER.—

(1) FDIC-INSURED DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS.—Section 11(e)(8)(D)(viii) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C.

1821(e)(8)(D)(viii)) is amended to read as follows:

“(viii) TRANSFER.—The term ‘transfer’ means every mode, direct or indirect, absolute or conditional, voluntary or involuntary, of disposing of or parting with property or with an interest in property, including retention of title as a security interest and foreclosure of the depository institution’s equity of redemption.”.

(2) INSURED CREDIT UNIONS.—Section 207(c)(8)(D) of the Federal Credit Union Act (12 U.S.C. 1787(c)(8)(D)) (as amended by subsection (f) of this section) is amended by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(viii) TRANSFER.—The term ‘transfer’ means every mode, direct or indirect, absolute or conditional, voluntary or involuntary, of disposing of or parting with property or with an interest in property, including retention of title as a security interest and foreclosure of the depository institution’s equity of redemption.”.

(h) TREATMENT OF QUALIFIED FINANCIAL CONTRACTS.—

(1) FDIC-INSURED DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS.—Section 11(e)(8) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1821(e)(8)) is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (A)—

(i) by striking “paragraph (10)” and inserting “paragraphs (9) and (10)”;

(ii) in clause (i), by striking “to cause the termination or liquidation” and inserting “such person has to cause the termination, liquidation, or acceleration”; and

(iii) by striking clause (ii) and inserting the following new clause:

“(ii) any right under any security agreement or arrangement or other credit enhancement related to one or more qualified financial contracts described in clause (i);”;

(B) in subparagraph (E), by striking clause (ii) and inserting the following:

“(ii) any right under any security agreement or arrangement or other credit enhancement related to one or more qualified financial contracts described in clause (i);”.

(2) INSURED CREDIT UNIONS.—Section 207(c)(8) of the Federal Credit Union Act (12 U.S.C. 1787(c)(8)) is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (A)—

(i) by striking “paragraph (12)” and inserting “paragraphs (9) and (10)”;

(ii) in clause (i), by striking “to cause the termination or liquidation” and inserting “such person has to cause the termination, liquidation, or acceleration”; and

(iii) by striking clause (ii) and inserting the following new clause:

“(ii) any right under any security agreement or arrangement or other credit enhancement related to 1 or more qualified financial contracts described in clause (i);”;

(B) in subparagraph (E), by striking clause (ii) and inserting the following new clause:

“(ii) any right under any security agreement or arrangement or other credit enhancement related to 1 or more qualified financial contracts described in clause (i);”.

(i) AVOIDANCE OF TRANSFERS.—

(1) FDIC-INSURED DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS.—Section 11(e)(8)(C)(i) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1821(e)(8)(C)(i)) is amended by inserting “section 5242 of the Revised Statutes of the United States or any other Federal or State law relating to the avoidance of preferential or fraudulent transfers,” before “the Corporation”.

(2) INSURED CREDIT UNIONS.—Section 207(c)(8)(C)(i) of the Federal Credit Union Act (12 U.S.C. 1787(c)(8)(C)(i)) is amended by inserting “section 5242 of the Revised Statutes of the United States or any other Federal or

State law relating to the avoidance of preferential or fraudulent transfers," before "the Board".

SEC. 902. AUTHORITY OF THE FDIC AND NCUAB WITH RESPECT TO FAILED AND FAILING INSTITUTIONS.

(a) FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 11(e)(8) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1821(e)(8)) is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (E), by striking "other than paragraph (12) of this subsection, subsection (d)(9)" and inserting "other than subsections (d)(9) and (e)(10)"; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

"(F) CLARIFICATION.—No provision of law shall be construed as limiting the right or power of the Corporation, or authorizing any court or agency to limit or delay, in any manner, the right or power of the Corporation to transfer any qualified financial contract in accordance with paragraphs (9) and (10) of this subsection or to disaffirm or repudiate any such contract in accordance with subsection (e)(1) of this section.

"(G) WALKAWAY CLAUSES NOT EFFECTIVE.—"
 "(i) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding the provisions of subparagraphs (A) and (E), and sections 403 and 404 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991, no walkaway clause shall be enforceable in a qualified financial contract of an insured depository institution in default.

"(ii) WALKAWAY CLAUSE DEFINED.—For purposes of this subparagraph, the term 'walkaway clause' means a provision in a qualified financial contract that, after calculation of a value of a party's position or an amount due to or from 1 of the parties in accordance with its terms upon termination, liquidation, or acceleration of the qualified financial contract, either does not create a payment obligation of a party or extinguishes a payment obligation of a party in whole or in part solely because of such party's status as a nondefaulting party."

(2) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 11(e)(12)(A) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1821(e)(12)(A)) is amended by inserting "or the exercise of rights or powers by" after "the appointment of".

(b) NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION BOARD.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 207(c)(8) of the Federal Credit Union Act (12 U.S.C. 1787(c)(8)) is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (E) (as amended by section 901(h)), by striking "other than paragraph (12) of this subsection, subsection (b)(9)" and inserting "other than subsections (b)(9) and (c)(10)"; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

"(F) CLARIFICATION.—No provision of law shall be construed as limiting the right or power of the Board, or authorizing any court or agency to limit or delay, in any manner, the right or power of the Board to transfer any qualified financial contract in accordance with paragraphs (9) and (10) of this subsection or to disaffirm or repudiate any such contract in accordance with subsection (c)(1) of this section.

"(G) WALKAWAY CLAUSES NOT EFFECTIVE.—"
 "(i) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding the provisions of subparagraphs (A) and (E), and sections 403 and 404 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991, no walkaway clause shall be enforceable in a qualified financial contract of an insured credit union in default.

"(ii) WALKAWAY CLAUSE DEFINED.—For purposes of this subparagraph, the term 'walkaway clause' means a provision in a qualified financial contract that, after cal-

culatation of a value of a party's position or an amount due to or from 1 of the parties in accordance with its terms upon termination, liquidation, or acceleration of the qualified financial contract, either does not create a payment obligation of a party or extinguishes a payment obligation of a party in whole or in part solely because of such party's status as a nondefaulting party."

(2) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 207(c)(12)(A) of the Federal Credit Union Act (12 U.S.C. 1787(c)(12)(A)) is amended by inserting "or the exercise of rights or powers by" after "the appointment of".

SEC. 903. AMENDMENTS RELATING TO TRANSFERS OF QUALIFIED FINANCIAL CONTRACTS.

(a) FDIC-INSURED DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS.—

(1) TRANSFERS OF QUALIFIED FINANCIAL CONTRACTS TO FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS.—Section 11(e)(9) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1821(e)(9)) is amended to read as follows:

"(9) TRANSFER OF QUALIFIED FINANCIAL CONTRACTS.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—In making any transfer of assets or liabilities of a depository institution in default which includes any qualified financial contract, the conservator or receiver for such depository institution shall either—

"(i) transfer to one financial institution, other than a financial institution for which a conservator, receiver, trustee in bankruptcy, or other legal custodian has been appointed or which is otherwise the subject of a bankruptcy or insolvency proceeding—

"(I) all qualified financial contracts between any person or any affiliate of such person and the depository institution in default;

"(II) all claims of such person or any affiliate of such person against such depository institution under any such contract (other than any claim which, under the terms of any such contract, is subordinated to the claims of general unsecured creditors of such institution);

"(III) all claims of such depository institution against such person or any affiliate of such person under any such contract; and

"(IV) all property securing or any other credit enhancement for any contract described in subclause (I) or any claim described in subclause (II) or (III) under any such contract; or

"(ii) transfer none of the qualified financial contracts, claims, property or other credit enhancement referred to in clause (i) (with respect to such person and any affiliate of such person).

"(B) TRANSFER TO FOREIGN BANK, FOREIGN FINANCIAL INSTITUTION, OR BRANCH OR AGENCY OF A FOREIGN BANK OR FINANCIAL INSTITUTION.—In transferring any qualified financial contracts and related claims and property under subparagraph (A)(i), the conservator or receiver for the depository institution shall not make such transfer to a foreign bank, financial institution organized under the laws of a foreign country, or a branch or agency of a foreign bank or financial institution unless, under the law applicable to such bank, financial institution, branch or agency, to the qualified financial contracts, and to any netting contract, any security agreement or arrangement or other credit enhancement related to one or more qualified financial contracts, the contractual rights of the parties to such qualified financial contracts, netting contracts, security agreements or arrangements, or other credit enhancements are enforceable substantially to the same extent as permitted under this section.

"(C) TRANSFER OF CONTRACTS SUBJECT TO THE RULES OF A CLEARING ORGANIZATION.—In

the event that a conservator or receiver transfers any qualified financial contract and related claims, property, and credit enhancements pursuant to subparagraph (A)(i) and such contract is cleared by or subject to the rules of a clearing organization, the clearing organization shall not be required to accept the transferee as a member by virtue of the transfer.

"(D) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this paragraph, the term 'financial institution' means a broker or dealer, a depository institution, a futures commission merchant, or any other institution, as determined by the Corporation by regulation to be a financial institution, and the term 'clearing organization' has the same meaning as in section 402 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991."

(2) NOTICE TO QUALIFIED FINANCIAL CONTRACT COUNTERPARTIES.—Section 11(e)(10)(A) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1821(e)(10)(A)) is amended in the material immediately following clause (ii) by striking "the conservator" and all that follows through the period and inserting the following: "the conservator or receiver shall notify any person who is a party to any such contract of such transfer by 5:00 p.m. (eastern time) on the business day following the date of the appointment of the receiver in the case of a receivership, or the business day following such transfer in the case of a conservatorship."

(3) RIGHTS AGAINST RECEIVER AND CONSERVATOR AND TREATMENT OF BRIDGE BANKS.—Section 11(e)(10) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1821(e)(10)) is amended—

(A) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as subparagraph (D); and

(B) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following new subparagraphs:

"(B) CERTAIN RIGHTS NOT ENFORCEABLE.—

"(i) RECEIVERSHIP.—A person who is a party to a qualified financial contract with an insured depository institution may not exercise any right that such person has to terminate, liquidate, or net such contract under paragraph (8)(A) of this subsection or section 403 or 404 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991, solely by reason of or incidental to the appointment of a receiver for the depository institution (or the insolvency or financial condition of the depository institution for which the receiver has been appointed)—

"(I) until 5:00 p.m. (eastern time) on the business day following the date of the appointment of the receiver; or

"(II) after the person has received notice that the contract has been transferred pursuant to paragraph (9)(A).

"(ii) CONSERVATORSHIP.—A person who is a party to a qualified financial contract with an insured depository institution may not exercise any right that such person has to terminate, liquidate, or net such contract under paragraph (8)(E) of this subsection or section 403 or 404 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991, solely by reason of or incidental to the appointment of a conservator for the depository institution (or the insolvency or financial condition of the depository institution for which the conservator has been appointed).

"(iii) NOTICE.—For purposes of this paragraph, the Corporation as receiver or conservator of an insured depository institution shall be deemed to have notified a person who is a party to a qualified financial contract with such depository institution if the Corporation has taken steps reasonably calculated to provide notice to such person by the time specified in subparagraph (A).

"(C) TREATMENT OF BRIDGE BANKS.—The following institutions shall not be considered

to be a financial institution for which a conservator, receiver, trustee in bankruptcy, or other legal custodian has been appointed or which is otherwise the subject of a bankruptcy or insolvency proceeding for purposes of paragraph (9):

“(i) A bridge bank.

“(ii) A depository institution organized by the Corporation, for which a conservator is appointed either—

“(I) immediately upon the organization of the institution; or

“(II) at the time of a purchase and assumption transaction between the depository institution and the Corporation as receiver for a depository institution in default.”.

(b) INSURED CREDIT UNIONS.—

(1) TRANSFERS OF QUALIFIED FINANCIAL CONTRACTS TO FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS.—Section 207(c)(9) of the Federal Credit Union Act (12 U.S.C. 1787(c)(9)) is amended to read as follows:

“(9) TRANSFER OF QUALIFIED FINANCIAL CONTRACTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In making any transfer of assets or liabilities of a credit union in default which includes any qualified financial contract, the conservator or liquidating agent for such credit union shall either—

“(i) transfer to 1 financial institution, other than a financial institution for which a conservator, receiver, trustee in bankruptcy, or other legal custodian has been appointed or which is otherwise the subject of a bankruptcy or insolvency proceeding—

“(I) all qualified financial contracts between any person or any affiliate of such person and the credit union in default;

“(II) all claims of such person or any affiliate of such person against such credit union under any such contract (other than any claim which, under the terms of any such contract, is subordinated to the claims of general unsecured creditors of such credit union);

“(III) all claims of such credit union against such person or any affiliate of such person under any such contract; and

“(IV) all property securing or any other credit enhancement for any contract described in subclause (I) or any claim described in subclause (II) or (III) under any such contract; or

“(ii) transfer none of the qualified financial contracts, claims, property or other credit enhancement referred to in clause (i) (with respect to such person and any affiliate of such person).

“(B) TRANSFER TO FOREIGN BANK, FOREIGN FINANCIAL INSTITUTION, OR BRANCH OR AGENCY OF A FOREIGN BANK OR FINANCIAL INSTITUTION.—In transferring any qualified financial contracts and related claims and property under subparagraph (A)(i), the conservator or liquidating agent for the credit union shall not make such transfer to a foreign bank, financial institution organized under the laws of a foreign country, or a branch or agency of a foreign bank or financial institution unless, under the law applicable to such bank, financial institution, branch or agency, to the qualified financial contracts, and to any netting contract, any security agreement or arrangement or other credit enhancement related to 1 or more qualified financial contracts, the contractual rights of the parties to such qualified financial contracts, netting contracts, security agreements or arrangements, or other credit enhancements are enforceable substantially to the same extent as permitted under this section.

“(C) TRANSFER OF CONTRACTS SUBJECT TO THE RULES OF A CLEARING ORGANIZATION.—In the event that a conservator or liquidating agent transfers any qualified financial contract and related claims, property, and credit enhancements pursuant to subparagraph

(A)(i) and such contract is cleared by or subject to the rules of a clearing organization, the clearing organization shall not be required to accept the transferee as a member by virtue of the transfer.

“(D) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this paragraph—

“(i) the term ‘financial institution’ means a broker or dealer, a depository institution, a futures commission merchant, a credit union, or any other institution, as determined by the Board by regulation to be a financial institution; and

“(ii) the term ‘clearing organization’ has the same meaning as in section 402 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991.”.

(2) NOTICE TO QUALIFIED FINANCIAL CONTRACT COUNTERPARTIES.—Section 207(c)(10)(A) of the Federal Credit Union Act (12 U.S.C. 1787(c)(10)(A)) is amended in the material immediately following clause (ii) by striking “the conservator” and all that follows through the period and inserting the following: “the conservator or liquidating agent shall notify any person who is a party to any such contract of such transfer by 5:00 p.m. (eastern time) on the business day following the date of the appointment of the liquidating agent in the case of a liquidation, or the business day following such transfer in the case of a conservatorship.”.

(3) RIGHTS AGAINST LIQUIDATING AGENT AND CONSERVATOR AND TREATMENT OF BRIDGE BANKS.—Section 207(c)(10) of the Federal Credit Union Act (12 U.S.C. 1787(c)(10)) is amended—

(A) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as subparagraph (D); and

(B) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following new subparagraphs:

“(B) CERTAIN RIGHTS NOT ENFORCEABLE.—

“(i) LIQUIDATION.—A person who is a party to a qualified financial contract with an insured credit union may not exercise any right that such person has to terminate, liquidate, or net such contract under paragraph (8)(A) of this subsection or section 403 or 404 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991, solely by reason of or incidental to the appointment of a liquidating agent for the credit union institution (or the insolvency or financial condition of the credit union for which the liquidating agent has been appointed)—

“(I) until 5:00 p.m. (eastern time) on the business day following the date of the appointment of the liquidating agent; or

“(II) after the person has received notice that the contract has been transferred pursuant to paragraph (9)(A).

“(ii) CONSERVATORSHIP.—A person who is a party to a qualified financial contract with an insured credit union may not exercise any right that such person has to terminate, liquidate, or net such contract under paragraph (8)(E) of this subsection or section 403 or 404 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991, solely by reason of or incidental to the appointment of a conservator for the credit union or the insolvency or financial condition of the credit union for which the conservator has been appointed).

“(iii) NOTICE.—For purposes of this paragraph, the Board as conservator or liquidating agent of an insured credit union shall be deemed to have notified a person who is a party to a qualified financial contract with such credit union if the Board has taken steps reasonably calculated to provide notice to such person by the time specified in subparagraph (A).

“(C) TREATMENT OF BRIDGE BANKS.—The following institutions shall not be considered to be a financial institution for which a conservator, receiver, trustee in bankruptcy, or other legal custodian has been appointed or which is otherwise the subject of a bank-

ruptcy or insolvency proceeding for purposes of paragraph (9):

“(i) A bridge bank.

“(ii) A credit union organized by the Board, for which a conservator is appointed either—

“(I) immediately upon the organization of the credit union; or

“(II) at the time of a purchase and assumption transaction between the credit union and the Board as receiver for a credit union in default.”.

SEC. 904. AMENDMENTS RELATING TO DISAFFIRMANCE OR REPUDIATION OF QUALIFIED FINANCIAL CONTRACTS.

(a) FDIC-INSURED DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS.—Section 11(e) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1821(e)) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (11) through (15) as paragraphs (12) through (16), respectively;

(2) by inserting after paragraph (10) the following new paragraph:

“(11) DISAFFIRMANCE OR REPUDIATION OF QUALIFIED FINANCIAL CONTRACTS.—In exercising the rights of disaffirmance or repudiation of a conservator or receiver with respect to any qualified financial contract to which an insured depository institution is a party, the conservator or receiver for such institution shall either—

“(A) disaffirm or repudiate all qualified financial contracts between—

“(i) any person or any affiliate of such person; and

“(ii) the depository institution in default; or

“(B) disaffirm or repudiate none of the qualified financial contracts referred to in subparagraph (A) (with respect to such person or any affiliate of such person).”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(17) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—The meanings of terms used in this subsection are applicable for purposes of this subsection only, and shall not be construed or applied so as to challenge or affect the characterization, definition, or treatment of any similar terms under any other statute, regulation, or rule, including the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, the Legal Certainty for Bank Products Act of 2000, the securities laws (as that term is defined in section 3(a)(47) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934), and the Commodity Exchange Act.”.

(b) INSURED CREDIT UNIONS.—Section 207(c) of the Federal Credit Union Act (12 U.S.C. 1787(c)) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (11), (12), and (13) as paragraphs (12), (13), and (14), respectively;

(2) by inserting after paragraph (10) the following new paragraph:

“(11) DISAFFIRMANCE OR REPUDIATION OF QUALIFIED FINANCIAL CONTRACTS.—In exercising the rights of disaffirmance or repudiation of a conservator or liquidating agent with respect to any qualified financial contract to which an insured credit union is a party, the conservator or liquidating agent for such credit union shall either—

“(A) disaffirm or repudiate all qualified financial contracts between—

“(i) any person or any affiliate of such person; and

“(ii) the credit union in default; or

“(B) disaffirm or repudiate none of the qualified financial contracts referred to in subparagraph (A) (with respect to such person or any affiliate of such person).”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(15) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—The meanings of terms used in this subsection are applicable for purposes of this subsection only, and

shall not be construed or applied so as to challenge or affect the characterization, definition, or treatment of any similar terms under any other statute, regulation, or rule, including the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, the Legal Certainty for Bank Products Act of 2000, the securities laws (as that term is defined in section (a)(47) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934), and the Commodity Exchange Act.”.

SEC. 905. CLARIFYING AMENDMENT RELATING TO MASTER AGREEMENTS.

(a) FDIC-INSURED DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS.—Section 11(e)(8)(D)(vii) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1821(e)(8)(D)(vii)) is amended to read as follows:

“(vii) TREATMENT OF MASTER AGREEMENT AS ONE AGREEMENT.—Any master agreement for any contract or agreement described in any preceding clause of this subparagraph (or any master agreement for such master agreement or agreements), together with all supplements to such master agreement, shall be treated as a single agreement and a single qualified financial contract. If a master agreement contains provisions relating to agreements or transactions that are not themselves qualified financial contracts, the master agreement shall be deemed to be a qualified financial contract only with respect to those transactions that are themselves qualified financial contracts.”.

(b) INSURED CREDIT UNIONS.—Section 207(c)(8)(D) of the Federal Credit Union Act (12 U.S.C. 1787(c)(8)(D)) is amended by inserting after clause (vi) (as added by section 901(f)) the following new clause:

“(vii) TREATMENT OF MASTER AGREEMENT AS ONE AGREEMENT.—Any master agreement for any contract or agreement described in any preceding clause of this subparagraph (or any master agreement for such master agreement or agreements), together with all supplements to such master agreement, shall be treated as a single agreement and a single qualified financial contract. If a master agreement contains provisions relating to agreements or transactions that are not themselves qualified financial contracts, the master agreement shall be deemed to be a qualified financial contract only with respect to those transactions that are themselves qualified financial contracts.”.

SEC. 906. FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 1991.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 402 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (12 U.S.C. 4402) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2)—

(A) in subparagraph (A)(ii), by inserting before the semicolon “, or is exempt from such registration by order of the Securities and Exchange Commission”; and

(B) in subparagraph (B), by inserting before the period “, that has been granted an exemption under section 4(c)(1) of the Commodity Exchange Act, or that is a multilateral clearing organization (as defined in section 408 of this Act)”;

(2) in paragraph (6)—

(A) by redesignating subparagraphs (B) through (D) as subparagraphs (C) through (E), respectively;

(B) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following new subparagraph:

“(B) an uninsured national bank or an uninsured State bank that is a member of the Federal Reserve System, if the national bank or State member bank is not eligible to make application to become an insured bank under section 5 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act;” and

(C) by amending subparagraph (C), so redesignated, to read as follows:

“(C) a branch or agency of a foreign bank, a foreign bank and any branch or agency of

the foreign bank, or the foreign bank that established the branch or agency, as those terms are defined in section 1(b) of the International Banking Act of 1978;”;

(3) in paragraph (11), by inserting before the period “and any other clearing organization with which such clearing organization has a netting contract”;

(4) by amending paragraph (14)(A)(i) to read as follows:

“(i) means a contract or agreement between 2 or more financial institutions, clearing organizations, or members that provides for netting present or future payment obligations or payment entitlements (including liquidation or close out values relating to such obligations or entitlements) among the parties to the agreement; and”;

(5) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(15) PAYMENT.—The term ‘payment’ means a payment of United States dollars, another currency, or a composite currency, and a noncash delivery, including a payment or delivery to liquidate an unmatured obligation.”.

(b) ENFORCEABILITY OF BILATERAL NETTING CONTRACTS.—Section 403 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (12 U.S.C. 4403) is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (a) and inserting the following:

“(a) GENERAL RULE.—Notwithstanding any other provision of State or Federal law (other than paragraphs (8)(E), (8)(F), and (10)(B) of section 11(e) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, paragraphs (8)(E), (8)(F), and (10)(B) of section 207(c) of the Federal Credit Union Act, or any order authorized under section 5(b)(2) of the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970), the covered contractual payment obligations and the covered contractual payment entitlements between any 2 financial institutions shall be netted in accordance with, and subject to the conditions of, the terms of any applicable netting contract (except as provided in section 561(b)(2) of title 11, United States Code).”;

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(f) ENFORCEABILITY OF SECURITY AGREEMENTS.—The provisions of any security agreement or arrangement or other credit enhancement related to one or more netting contracts between any 2 financial institutions shall be enforceable in accordance with their terms (except as provided in section 561(b)(2) of title 11, United States Code), and shall not be stayed, avoided, or otherwise limited by any State or Federal law (other than paragraphs (8)(E), (8)(F), and (10)(B) of section 11(e) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, paragraphs (8)(E), (8)(F), and (10)(B) of section 207(c) of the Federal Credit Union Act, and section 5(b)(2) of the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970).”.

(c) ENFORCEABILITY OF CLEARING ORGANIZATION NETTING CONTRACTS.—Section 404 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (12 U.S.C. 4404) is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (a) and inserting the following:

“(a) GENERAL RULE.—Notwithstanding any other provision of State or Federal law (other than paragraphs (8)(E), (8)(F), and (10)(B) of section 11(e) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, paragraphs (8)(E), (8)(F), and (10)(B) of section 207(c) of the Federal Credit Union Act, and any order authorized under section 5(b)(2) of the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970), the covered contractual payment obligations and the covered contractual payment entitlements of a member of a clearing organization to and from all other members of a clearing organization shall be netted in accordance with and subject to the conditions of any applicable net-

ting contract (except as provided in section 561(b)(2) of title 11, United States Code).”;

and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(h) ENFORCEABILITY OF SECURITY AGREEMENTS.—The provisions of any security agreement or arrangement or other credit enhancement related to one or more netting contracts between any 2 members of a clearing organization shall be enforceable in accordance with their terms (except as provided in section 561(b)(2) of title 11, United States Code), and shall not be stayed, avoided, or otherwise limited by any State or Federal law (other than paragraphs (8)(E), (8)(F), and (10)(B) of section 11(e) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, paragraphs (8)(E), (8)(F), and (10)(B) of section 207(c) of the Federal Credit Union Act, and section 5(b)(2) of the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970).”.

(d) ENFORCEABILITY OF CONTRACTS WITH UNINSURED NATIONAL BANKS, UNINSURED FEDERAL BRANCHES AND AGENCIES, CERTAIN UNINSURED STATE MEMBER BANKS, AND EDGE ACT CORPORATIONS.—The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (12 U.S.C. 4401 et seq.) is amended—

(1) by redesignating section 407 as section 407A; and

(2) by inserting after section 406 the following new section:

“**SEC. 407. TREATMENT OF CONTRACTS WITH UNINSURED NATIONAL BANKS, UNINSURED FEDERAL BRANCHES AND AGENCIES, CERTAIN UNINSURED STATE MEMBER BANKS, AND EDGE ACT CORPORATIONS.**

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, paragraphs (8), (9), (10), and (11) of section 11(e) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act shall apply to an uninsured national bank or uninsured Federal branch or Federal agency, a corporation chartered under section 25A of the Federal Reserve Act, or an uninsured State member bank which operates, or operates as, a multilateral clearing organization pursuant to section 409 of this Act, except that for such purpose—

“(1) any reference to the ‘Corporation as receiver’ or ‘the receiver or the Corporation’ shall refer to the receiver appointed by the Comptroller of the Currency in the case of an uninsured national bank or uninsured Federal branch or agency, or to the receiver appointed by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System in the case of a corporation chartered under section 25A of the Federal Reserve Act or an uninsured State member bank;

“(2) any reference to the ‘Corporation’ (other than in section 11(e)(8)(D) of such Act), the ‘Corporation, whether acting as such or as conservator or receiver’, a ‘receiver’, or a ‘conservator’ shall refer to the receiver or conservator appointed by the Comptroller of the Currency in the case of an uninsured national bank or uninsured Federal branch or agency, or to the receiver or conservator appointed by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System in the case of a corporation chartered under section 25A of the Federal Reserve Act or an uninsured State member bank; and

“(3) any reference to an ‘insured depository institution’ or ‘depository institution’ shall refer to an uninsured national bank, an uninsured Federal branch or Federal agency, a corporation chartered under section 25A of the Federal Reserve Act, or an uninsured State member bank which operates, or operates as, a multilateral clearing organization pursuant to section 409 of this Act.

“(b) LIABILITY.—The liability of a receiver or conservator of an uninsured national bank, uninsured Federal branch or agency, a corporation chartered under section 25A of

the Federal Reserve Act, or an uninsured State member bank which operates, or operates as, a multilateral clearing organization pursuant to section 409 of this Act, shall be determined in the same manner and subject to the same limitations that apply to receivers and conservators of insured depository institutions under section 11(e) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act.

“(c) REGULATORY AUTHORITY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Comptroller of the Currency in the case of an uninsured national bank or uninsured Federal branch or agency and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System in the case of a corporation chartered under section 25A of the Federal Reserve Act, or an uninsured State member bank that operates, or operates as, a multilateral clearing organization pursuant to section 409 of this Act, in consultation with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, may each promulgate regulations solely to implement this section.

“(2) SPECIFIC REQUIREMENT.—In promulgating regulations, limited solely to implementing paragraphs (8), (9), (10), and (11) of section 11(e) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, the Comptroller of the Currency and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System each shall ensure that the regulations generally are consistent with the regulations and policies of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation adopted pursuant to the Federal Deposit Insurance Act.

“(d) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section, the terms ‘Federal branch’, ‘Federal agency’, and ‘foreign bank’ have the same meanings as in section 1(b) of the International Banking Act of 1978.”

SEC. 907. BANKRUPTCY LAW AMENDMENTS.

(a) DEFINITIONS OF FORWARD CONTRACT, REPURCHASE AGREEMENT, SECURITIES CLEARING AGENCY, SWAP AGREEMENT, COMMODITY CONTRACT, AND SECURITIES CONTRACT.—Title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in section 101—

(A) in paragraph (25)—

(i) by striking “means a contract” and inserting “means—

“(A) a contract”;

(ii) by striking “, or any combination thereof or option thereon;” and inserting “, or any other similar agreement;”;

(iii) by adding at the end the following:

“(B) any combination of agreements or transactions referred to in subparagraphs (A) and (C);

“(C) any option to enter into an agreement or transaction referred to in subparagraph (A) or (B);

“(D) a master agreement that provides for an agreement or transaction referred to in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C), together with all supplements to any such master agreement, without regard to whether such master agreement provides for an agreement or transaction that is not a forward contract under this paragraph only with respect to each agreement or transaction under such master agreement that is referred to in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C); or

“(E) any security agreement or arrangement, or other credit enhancement related to any agreement or transaction referred to in subparagraph (A), (B), (C), or (D), including any guarantee or reimbursement obligation by or to a forward contract merchant or financial participant in connection with any agreement or transaction referred to in any such subparagraph, but not to exceed the damages in connection with any such agreement or transaction, measured in accordance with section 562;”;

(B) in paragraph (46), by striking “on any day during the period beginning 90 days be-

fore the date of” and inserting “at any time before”;

(C) by amending paragraph (47) to read as follows:

“(47) ‘repurchase agreement’ (which definition also applies to a reverse repurchase agreement)—

“(A) means—

“(i) an agreement, including related terms, which provides for the transfer of one or more certificates of deposit, mortgage related securities (as defined in section 3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934), mortgage loans, interests in mortgage related securities or mortgage loans, eligible bankers’ acceptances, qualified foreign government securities (defined as a security that is a direct obligation of, or that is fully guaranteed by, the central government of a member of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development), or securities that are direct obligations of, or that are fully guaranteed by, the United States or any agency of the United States against the transfer of funds by the transferee of such certificates of deposit, eligible bankers’ acceptances, securities, mortgage loans, or interests, with a simultaneous agreement by such transferee to transfer to the transferor thereof certificates of deposit, eligible bankers’ acceptance, securities, mortgage loans, or interests of the kind described in this clause, at a date certain not later than 1 year after such transfer or on demand, against the transfer of funds;

“(ii) any combination of agreements or transactions referred to in clauses (i) and (iii);

“(iii) an option to enter into an agreement or transaction referred to in clause (i) or (ii);

“(iv) a master agreement that provides for an agreement or transaction referred to in clause (i), (ii), or (iii), together with all supplements to any such master agreement, without regard to whether such master agreement provides for an agreement or transaction that is not a repurchase agreement under this paragraph, except that such master agreement shall be considered to be a repurchase agreement under this paragraph only with respect to each agreement or transaction under the master agreement that is referred to in clause (i), (ii), or (iii); or

“(v) any security agreement or arrangement or other credit enhancement related to any agreement or transaction referred to in clause (i), (ii), (iii), or (iv), including any guarantee or reimbursement obligation by or to a repo participant or financial participant in connection with any agreement or transaction referred to in any such clause, but not to exceed the damages in connection with any such agreement or transaction, measured in accordance with section 562 of this title; and

“(B) does not include a repurchase obligation under a participation in a commercial mortgage loan;”;

(D) in paragraph (48), by inserting “, or exempt from such registration under such section pursuant to an order of the Securities and Exchange Commission,” after “1934”; and

(E) by amending paragraph (53B) to read as follows:

“(53B) ‘swap agreement’—

“(A) means—

“(i) any agreement, including the terms and conditions incorporated by reference in such agreement, which is—

“(I) an interest rate swap, option, future, or forward agreement, including a rate floor, rate cap, rate collar, cross-currency rate swap, and basis swap;

“(II) a spot, same day-tomorrow, tomorrow-next, forward, or other foreign exchange or precious metals agreement;

“(III) a currency swap, option, future, or forward agreement;

“(IV) an equity index or equity swap, option, future, or forward agreement;

“(V) a debt index or debt swap, option, future, or forward agreement;

“(VI) a total return, credit spread or credit swap, option, future, or forward agreement;

“(VII) a commodity index or a commodity swap, option, future, or forward agreement; or

“(VIII) a weather swap, weather derivative, or weather option;

“(ii) any agreement or transaction that is similar to any other agreement or transaction referred to in this paragraph and that—

“(I) is of a type that has been, is presently, or in the future becomes, the subject of recurrent dealings in the swap markets (including terms and conditions incorporated by reference therein); and

“(II) is a forward, swap, future, or option on one or more rates, currencies, commodities, equity securities, or other equity instruments, debt securities or other debt instruments, quantitative measures associated with an occurrence, extent of an occurrence, or contingency associated with a financial, commercial, or economic consequence, or economic or financial indices or measures of economic or financial risk or value;

“(iii) any combination of agreements or transactions referred to in this subparagraph;

“(iv) any option to enter into an agreement or transaction referred to in this subparagraph;

“(v) a master agreement that provides for an agreement or transaction referred to in clause (i), (ii), (iii), or (iv), together with all supplements to any such master agreement, and without regard to whether the master agreement contains an agreement or transaction that is not a swap agreement under this paragraph, except that the master agreement shall be considered to be a swap agreement under this paragraph only with respect to each agreement or transaction under the master agreement that is referred to in clause (i), (ii), (iii), or (iv); or

“(vi) any security agreement or arrangement or other credit enhancement related to any agreements or transactions referred to in clause (i) through (v), including any guarantee or reimbursement obligation by or to a swap participant or financial participant in connection with any agreement or transaction referred to in any such clause, but not to exceed the damages in connection with any such agreement or transaction, measured in accordance with section 562; and

“(B) is applicable for purposes of this title only, and shall not be construed or applied so as to challenge or affect the characterization, definition, or treatment of any swap agreement under any other statute, regulation, or rule, including the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970, the Commodity Exchange Act, the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, and the Legal Certainty for Bank Products Act of 2000;”;

(2) in section 741(7), by striking paragraph (7) and inserting the following:

“(7) ‘securities contract’—

“(A) means—

“(i) a contract for the purchase, sale, or loan of a security, a certificate of deposit, a mortgage loan or any interest in a mortgage loan, a group or index of securities, certificates of deposit, or mortgage loans or interests therein (including an interest therein or based on the value thereof), or option on any

of the foregoing, including an option to purchase or sell any such security, certificate of deposit, mortgage loan, interest, group or index, or option, and including any repurchase or reverse repurchase transaction on any such security, certificate of deposit, mortgage loan, interest, group or index, or option;

“(ii) any option entered into on a national securities exchange relating to foreign currencies;

“(iii) the guarantee by or to any securities clearing agency of a settlement of cash, securities, certificates of deposit, mortgage loans or interests therein, group or index of securities, or mortgage loans or interests therein (including any interest therein or based on the value thereof), or option on any of the foregoing, including an option to purchase or sell any such security, certificate of deposit, mortgage loan, interest, group or index, or option;

“(iv) any margin loan;

“(v) any other agreement or transaction that is similar to an agreement or transaction referred to in this subparagraph;

“(vi) any combination of the agreements or transactions referred to in this subparagraph;

“(vii) any option to enter into any agreement or transaction referred to in this subparagraph;

“(viii) a master agreement that provides for an agreement or transaction referred to in clause (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v), (vi), or (vii), together with all supplements to any such master agreement, without regard to whether the master agreement provides for an agreement or transaction that is not a securities contract under this subparagraph, except that such master agreement shall be considered to be a securities contract under this subparagraph only with respect to each agreement or transaction under such master agreement that is referred to in clause (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v), (vi), or (vii); or

“(ix) any security agreement or arrangement or other credit enhancement related to any agreement or transaction referred to in this subparagraph, including any guarantee or reimbursement obligation by or to a stockbroker, securities clearing agency, financial institution, or financial participant in connection with any agreement or transaction referred to in this subparagraph, but not to exceed the damages in connection with any such agreement or transaction, measured in accordance with section 562; and

“(B) does not include any purchase, sale, or repurchase obligation under a participation in a commercial mortgage loan;”;

(3) in section 761(4)—

(A) by striking “or” at the end of subparagraph (D); and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(F) any other agreement or transaction that is similar to an agreement or transaction referred to in this paragraph;

“(G) any combination of the agreements or transactions referred to in this paragraph;

“(H) any option to enter into an agreement or transaction referred to in this paragraph;

“(I) a master agreement that provides for an agreement or transaction referred to in subparagraph (A), (B), (C), (D), (E), (F), (G), or (H), together with all supplements to such master agreement, without regard to whether the master agreement provides for an agreement or transaction that is not a commodity contract under this paragraph, except that the master agreement shall be considered to be a commodity contract under this paragraph only with respect to each agreement or transaction under the master agreement that is referred to in subparagraph (A), (B), (C), (D), (E), (F), (G), or (H); or

“(J) any security agreement or arrangement or other credit enhancement related to

any agreement or transaction referred to in this paragraph, including any guarantee or reimbursement obligation by or to a commodity broker or financial participant in connection with any agreement or transaction referred to in this paragraph, but not to exceed the damages in connection with any such agreement or transaction, measured in accordance with section 562;”.

(b) DEFINITIONS OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTION, FINANCIAL PARTICIPANT, AND FORWARD CONTRACT MERCHANT.—Section 101 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking paragraph (22) and inserting the following:

“(22) ‘financial institution’ means—

“(A) a Federal reserve bank, or an entity (domestic or foreign) that is a commercial or savings bank, industrial savings bank, savings and loan association, trust company, federally-insured credit union, or receiver, liquidating agent, or conservator for such entity and, when any such Federal reserve bank, receiver, liquidating agent, conservator or entity is acting as agent or custodian for a customer in connection with a securities contract (as defined in section 741) such customer; or

“(B) in connection with a securities contract (as defined in section 741) an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940;”;

(2) by inserting after paragraph (22) the following:

“(22A) ‘financial participant’ means—

“(A) an entity that, at the time it enters into a securities contract, commodity contract, swap agreement, repurchase agreement, or forward contract, or at the time of the date of the filing of the petition, has one or more agreements or transactions described in paragraph (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), or (6) of section 561(a) with the debtor or any other entity (other than an affiliate) of a total gross dollar value of not less than \$1,000,000,000 in notional or actual principal amount outstanding on any day during the previous 15-month period, or has gross market positions of not less than \$100,000,000 (aggregated across counterparties) in one or more such agreements or transactions with the debtor or any other entity (other than an affiliate) on any day during the previous 15-month period; or

“(B) a clearing organization (as defined in section 402 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991);”;

(3) by striking paragraph (26) and inserting the following:

“(26) ‘forward contract merchant’ means a Federal reserve bank, or an entity the business of which consists in whole or in part of entering into forward contracts as or with merchants in a commodity (as defined in section 761) or any similar good, article, service, right, or interest which is presently or in the future becomes the subject of dealing in the forward contract trade;”.

(c) DEFINITION OF MASTER NETTING AGREEMENT AND MASTER NETTING AGREEMENT PARTICIPANT.—Section 101 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting after paragraph (38) the following new paragraphs:

“(38A) ‘master netting agreement’—

“(A) means an agreement providing for the exercise of rights, including rights of netting, setoff, liquidation, termination, acceleration, or close out, under or in connection with one or more contracts that are described in any one or more of paragraphs (1) through (5) of section 561(a), or any security agreement or arrangement or other credit enhancement related to one or more of the foregoing, including any guarantee or reimbursement obligation related to 1 or more of the foregoing; and

“(B) if the agreement contains provisions relating to agreements or transactions that

are not contracts described in paragraphs (1) through (5) of section 561(a), shall be deemed to be a master netting agreement only with respect to those agreements or transactions that are described in any one or more of paragraphs (1) through (5) of section 561(a);

“(38B) ‘master netting agreement participant’ means an entity that, at any time before the date of the filing of the petition, is a party to an outstanding master netting agreement with the debtor;”.

(d) SWAP AGREEMENTS, SECURITIES CONTRACTS, COMMODITY CONTRACTS, FORWARD CONTRACTS, REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS, AND MASTER NETTING AGREEMENTS UNDER THE AUTOMATIC-STAY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 362(b) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by sections 224, 303, 311, 401, and 718, is amended—

(A) in paragraph (6), by inserting “, pledged to, under the control of,” after “held by”;

(B) in paragraph (7), by inserting “, pledged to, under the control of,” after “held by”;

(C) by striking paragraph (17) and inserting the following:

“(17) under subsection (a), of the setoff by a swap participant or financial participant of a mutual debt and claim under or in connection with one or more swap agreements that constitutes the setoff of a claim against the debtor for any payment or other transfer of property due from the debtor under or in connection with any swap agreement against any payment due to the debtor from the swap participant or financial participant under or in connection with any swap agreement or against cash, securities, or other property held by, pledged to, under the control of, or due from such swap participant or financial participant to margin, guarantee, secure, or settle any swap agreement;”;

(D) by inserting after paragraph (26) the following:

“(27) under subsection (a), of the setoff by a master netting agreement participant of a mutual debt and claim under or in connection with one or more master netting agreements or any contract or agreement subject to such agreements that constitutes the setoff of a claim against the debtor for any payment or other transfer of property due from the debtor under or in connection with such agreements or any contract or agreement subject to such agreements against any payment due to the debtor from such master netting agreement participant under or in connection with such agreements or any contract or agreement subject to such agreements or against cash, securities, or other property held by, pledged to, under the control of, or due from such master netting agreement participant to margin, guarantee, secure, or settle such agreements or any contract or agreement subject to such agreements, to the extent that such participant is eligible to exercise such offset rights under paragraph (6), (7), or (17) for each individual contract covered by the master netting agreement in issue; and”.

(2) LIMITATION.—Section 362 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by sections 106, 305, 311, and 441, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(o) The exercise of rights not subject to the stay arising under subsection (a) pursuant to paragraph (6), (7), (17), or (27) of subsection (b) shall not be stayed by any order of a court or administrative agency in any proceeding under this title.”.

(e) LIMITATION OF AVOIDANCE POWERS UNDER MASTER NETTING AGREEMENT.—Section 546 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (g) (as added by section 103 of Public Law 101-311)—

(A) by striking “under a swap agreement”;

(B) by striking “in connection with a swap agreement” and inserting “under or in connection with any swap agreement”; and

(C) by inserting “or financial participant” after “swap participant”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(j) Notwithstanding sections 544, 545, 547, 548(a)(1)(B), and 548(b) the trustee may not avoid a transfer made by or to a master netting agreement participant under or in connection with any master netting agreement or any individual contract covered thereby that is made before the commencement of the case, except under section 548(a)(1)(A) and except to the extent that the trustee could otherwise avoid such a transfer made under an individual contract covered by such master netting agreement.”.

(f) FRAUDULENT TRANSFERS OF MASTER NETTING AGREEMENTS.—Section 548(d)(2) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (C), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in subparagraph (D), by striking the period and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(E) a master netting agreement participant that receives a transfer in connection with a master netting agreement or any individual contract covered thereby takes for value to the extent of such transfer, except that, with respect to a transfer under any individual contract covered thereby, to the extent that such master netting agreement participant otherwise did not take (or is otherwise not deemed to have taken) such transfer for value.”.

(g) TERMINATION OR ACCELERATION OF SECURITIES CONTRACTS.—Section 555 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by amending the section heading to read as follows:

“§ 555. Contractual right to liquidate, terminate, or accelerate a securities contract”;

and

(2) in the first sentence, by striking “liquidation” and inserting “liquidation, termination, or acceleration”.

(h) TERMINATION OR ACCELERATION OF COMMODITIES OR FORWARD CONTRACTS.—Section 556 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by amending the section heading to read as follows:

“§ 556. Contractual right to liquidate, terminate, or accelerate a commodities contract or forward contract”;

(2) in the first sentence, by striking “liquidation” and inserting “liquidation, termination, or acceleration”; and

(3) in the second sentence, by striking “As used” and all that follows through “right,” and inserting “As used in this section, the term ‘contractual right’ includes a right set forth in a rule or bylaw of a derivatives clearing organization (as defined in the Commodity Exchange Act), a multilateral clearing organization (as defined in the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991), a national securities exchange, a national securities association, a securities clearing agency, a contract market designated under the Commodity Exchange Act, a derivatives transaction execution facility registered under the Commodity Exchange Act, or a board of trade (as defined in the Commodity Exchange Act) or in a resolution of the governing board thereof and a right.”.

(i) TERMINATION OR ACCELERATION OF REPURCHASE AGREEMENTS.—Section 559 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by amending the section heading to read as follows:

“§ 559. Contractual right to liquidate, terminate, or accelerate a repurchase agreement”;

(2) in the first sentence, by striking “liquidation” and inserting “liquidation, termination, or acceleration”; and

(3) in the third sentence, by striking “As used” and all that follows through “right,” and inserting “As used in this section, the term ‘contractual right’ includes a right set forth in a rule or bylaw of a derivatives clearing organization (as defined in the Commodity Exchange Act), a multilateral clearing organization (as defined in the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991), a national securities exchange, a national securities association, a securities clearing agency, a contract market designated under the Commodity Exchange Act, a derivatives transaction execution facility registered under the Commodity Exchange Act, or a board of trade (as defined in the Commodity Exchange Act) or in a resolution of the governing board thereof and a right.”.

(j) LIQUIDATION, TERMINATION, OR ACCELERATION OF SWAP AGREEMENTS.—Section 560 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by amending the section heading to read as follows:

“§ 560. Contractual right to liquidate, terminate, or accelerate a swap agreement”;

(2) in the first sentence, by striking “termination of a swap agreement” and inserting “liquidation, termination, or acceleration of one or more swap agreements”;

(3) by striking “in connection with any swap agreement” and inserting “in connection with the termination, liquidation, or acceleration of one or more swap agreements”;

(4) in the second sentence, by striking “As used” and all that follows through “right,” and inserting “As used in this section, the term ‘contractual right’ includes a right set forth in a rule or bylaw of a derivatives clearing organization (as defined in the Commodity Exchange Act), a multilateral clearing organization (as defined in the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991), a national securities exchange, a national securities association, a securities clearing agency, a contract market designated under the Commodity Exchange Act, a derivatives transaction execution facility registered under the Commodity Exchange Act, or a board of trade (as defined in the Commodity Exchange Act) or in a resolution of the governing board thereof and a right.”.

(k) LIQUIDATION, TERMINATION, ACCELERATION, OR OFFSET UNDER A MASTER NETTING AGREEMENT AND ACROSS CONTRACTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 560 the following:

“§ 561. Contractual right to terminate, liquidate, accelerate, or offset under a master netting agreement and across contracts; proceedings under chapter 15

“(a) Subject to subsection (b), the exercise of any contractual right, because of a condition of the kind specified in section 365(e)(1), to cause the termination, liquidation, or acceleration of or to offset or net termination values, payment amounts, or other transfer obligations arising under or in connection with one or more (or the termination, liquidation, or acceleration of one or more)—

“(1) securities contracts, as defined in section 741(7);

“(2) commodity contracts, as defined in section 761(4);

“(3) forward contracts;

“(4) repurchase agreements;

“(5) swap agreements; or

“(6) master netting agreements, shall not be stayed, avoided, or otherwise limited by operation of any provision of this

title or by any order of a court or administrative agency in any proceeding under this title.

“(b)(1) A party may exercise a contractual right described in subsection (a) to terminate, liquidate, or accelerate only to the extent that such party could exercise such a right under section 555, 556, 559, or 560 for each individual contract covered by the master netting agreement in issue.

“(2) If a debtor is a commodity broker subject to subchapter IV of chapter 7—

“(A) a party may not net or offset an obligation to the debtor arising under, or in connection with, a commodity contract traded on or subject to the rules of a contract market designated under the Commodity Exchange Act or a derivatives transaction execution facility registered under the Commodity Exchange Act against any claim arising under, or in connection with, other instruments, contracts, or agreements listed in subsection (a) except to the extent that the party has positive net equity in the commodity accounts at the debtor, as calculated under such subchapter; and

“(B) another commodity broker may not net or offset an obligation to the debtor arising under, or in connection with, a commodity contract entered into or held on behalf of a customer of the debtor and traded on or subject to the rules of a contract market designated under the Commodity Exchange Act or a derivatives transaction execution facility registered under the Commodity Exchange Act against any claim arising under, or in connection with, other instruments, contracts, or agreements listed in subsection (a).

“(3) No provision of subparagraph (A) or (B) of paragraph (2) shall prohibit the offset of claims and obligations that arise under—

“(A) a cross-margining agreement or similar arrangement that has been approved by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission or submitted to the Commodity Futures Trading Commission under paragraph (1) or (2) of section 5c(c) of the Commodity Exchange Act and has not been abrogated or rendered ineffective by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission; or

“(B) any other netting agreement between a clearing organization (as defined in section 761) and another entity that has been approved by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

“(c) As used in this section, the term ‘contractual right’ includes a right set forth in a rule or bylaw of a derivatives clearing organization (as defined in the Commodity Exchange Act), a multilateral clearing organization (as defined in the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991), a national securities exchange, a national securities association, a securities clearing agency, a contract market designated under the Commodity Exchange Act, a derivatives transaction execution facility registered under the Commodity Exchange Act, or a board of trade (as defined in the Commodity Exchange Act) or in a resolution of the governing board thereof, and a right, whether or not evidenced in writing, arising under common law, under law merchant, or by reason of normal business practice.

“(d) Any provisions of this title relating to securities contracts, commodity contracts, forward contracts, repurchase agreements, swap agreements, or master netting agreements shall apply in a case under chapter 15, so that enforcement of contractual provisions of such contracts and agreements in accordance with their terms will not be stayed or otherwise limited by operation of any provision of this title or by order of a court in any case under this title, and to limit avoidance powers to the same extent as in a proceeding under chapter 7 or 11 of this

title (such enforcement not to be limited based on the presence or absence of assets of the debtor in the United States)."

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for chapter 5 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 560 the following:

"561. Contractual right to terminate, liquidate, accelerate, or offset under a master netting agreement and across contracts; proceedings under chapter 15."

(1) COMMODITY BROKER LIQUIDATIONS.—Title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 766 the following:

"§ 767. **Commodity broker liquidation and forward contract merchants, commodity brokers, stockbrokers, financial institutions, financial participants, securities clearing agencies, swap participants, repo participants, and master netting agreement participants**

"Notwithstanding any other provision of this title, the exercise of rights by a forward contract merchant, commodity broker, stockbroker, financial institution, financial participant, securities clearing agency, swap participant, repo participant, or master netting agreement participant under this title shall not affect the priority of any unsecured claim it may have after the exercise of such rights."

(m) STOCKBROKER LIQUIDATIONS.—Title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 752 the following:

"§ 753. **Stockbroker liquidation and forward contract merchants, commodity brokers, stockbrokers, financial institutions, financial participants, securities clearing agencies, swap participants, repo participants, and master netting agreement participants**

"Notwithstanding any other provision of this title, the exercise of rights by a forward contract merchant, commodity broker, stockbroker, financial institution, financial participant, securities clearing agency, swap participant, repo participant, or master netting agreement participant under this title shall not affect the priority of any unsecured claim it may have after the exercise of such rights."

(n) SETOFF.—Section 553 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(2)(B)(ii), by inserting before the semicolon the following: "(except for a setoff of a kind described in section 362(b)(6), 362(b)(7), 362(b)(17), 362(b)(27), 555, 556, 559, 560, or 561)";

(2) in subsection (a)(3)(C), by inserting before the period the following: "(except for a setoff of a kind described in section 362(b)(6), 362(b)(7), 362(b)(17), 362(b)(27), 555, 556, 559, 560, or 561)"; and

(3) in subsection (b)(1), by striking "362(b)(14)," and inserting "362(b)(17), 362(b)(27), 555, 556, 559, 560, 561."

(o) SECURITIES CONTRACTS, COMMODITY CONTRACTS, AND FORWARD CONTRACTS.—Title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in section 362(b)(6), by striking "financial institutions," each place such term appears and inserting "financial institution, financial participant,";

(2) in sections 362(b)(7) and 546(f), by inserting "or financial participant" after "repo participant" each place such term appears;

(3) in section 546(e), by inserting "financial participant," after "financial institution,";

(4) in section 548(d)(2)(B), by inserting "financial participant," after "financial institution,";

(5) in section 548(d)(2)(C), by inserting "or financial participant" after "repo participant";

(6) in section 548(d)(2)(D), by inserting "or financial participant" after "swap participant";

(7) in section 555—

(A) by inserting "financial participant," after "financial institution,"; and

(B) by striking the second sentence and inserting the following: "As used in this section, the term 'contractual right' includes a right set forth in a rule or bylaw of a derivatives clearing organization (as defined in the Commodity Exchange Act), a multilateral clearing organization (as defined in the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991), a national securities exchange, a national securities association, a securities clearing agency, a contract market designated under the Commodity Exchange Act, a derivatives transaction execution facility registered under the Commodity Exchange Act, or a board of trade (as defined in the Commodity Exchange Act), or in a resolution of the governing board thereof, and a right, whether or not in writing, arising under common law, under law merchant, or by reason of normal business practice.";

(8) in section 556, by inserting ", financial participant," after "commodity broker";

(9) in section 559, by inserting "or financial participant" after "repo participant" each place such term appears; and

(10) in section 560, by inserting "or financial participant" after "swap participant".

(p) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the table of sections for chapter 5—

(A) by amending the items relating to sections 555 and 556 to read as follows:

"555. Contractual right to liquidate, terminate, or accelerate a securities contract.

"556. Contractual right to liquidate, terminate, or accelerate a commodities contract or forward contract."

and

(B) by amending the items relating to sections 559 and 560 to read as follows:

"559. Contractual right to liquidate, terminate, or accelerate a repurchase agreement.

"560. Contractual right to liquidate, terminate, or accelerate a swap agreement."

and

(2) in the table of sections for chapter 7—

(A) by inserting after the item relating to section 766 the following:

"767. **Commodity broker liquidation and forward contract merchants, commodity brokers, stockbrokers, financial institutions, financial participants, securities clearing agencies, swap participants, repo participants, and master netting agreement participants.**"

and

(B) by inserting after the item relating to section 752 the following:

"753. **Stockbroker liquidation and forward contract merchants, commodity brokers, stockbrokers, financial institutions, financial participants, securities clearing agencies, swap participants, repo participants, and master netting agreement participants.**"

SEC. 908. RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS.

(a) FDIC-INSURED DEPOSITORY INSTITUTIONS.—Section 11(e)(8) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1821(e)(8)) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

"(H) RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS.—The Corporation, in consultation with the appropriate Federal banking agencies, may prescribe regulations requiring more detailed

recordkeeping by any insured depository institution with respect to qualified financial contracts (including market valuations) only if such insured depository institution is in a troubled condition (as such term is defined by the Corporation pursuant to section 32)."

(b) INSURED CREDIT UNIONS.—Section 207(c)(8) of the Federal Credit Union Act (12 U.S.C. 1787(c)(8)) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

"(H) RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS.—The Board, in consultation with the appropriate Federal banking agencies, may prescribe regulations requiring more detailed recordkeeping by any insured credit union with respect to qualified financial contracts (including market valuations) only if such insured credit union is in a troubled condition (as such term is defined by the Board pursuant to section 212)."

SEC. 909. EXEMPTIONS FROM CONTEMPORANEOUS EXECUTION REQUIREMENT.

Section 13(e)(2) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1823(e)(2)) is amended to read as follows:

"(2) EXEMPTIONS FROM CONTEMPORANEOUS EXECUTION REQUIREMENT.—An agreement to provide for the lawful collateralization of—

"(A) deposits of, or other credit extension by, a Federal, State, or local governmental entity, or of any depositor referred to in section 11(a)(2), including an agreement to provide collateral in lieu of a surety bond;

"(B) bankruptcy estate funds pursuant to section 345(b)(2) of title 11, United States Code;

"(C) extensions of credit, including any overdraft, from a Federal reserve bank or Federal home loan bank; or

"(D) one or more qualified financial contracts, as defined in section 11(e)(8)(D), shall not be deemed invalid pursuant to paragraph (1)(B) solely because such agreement was not executed contemporaneously with the acquisition of the collateral or because of pledges, delivery, or substitution of the collateral made in accordance with such agreement."

SEC. 910. DAMAGE MEASURE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting after section 561, as added by section 907, the following:

"§ 562. **Timing of damage measurement in connection with swap agreements, securities contracts, forward contracts, commodity contracts, repurchase agreements, and master netting agreements**

"(a) If the trustee rejects a swap agreement, securities contract (as defined in section 741), forward contract, commodity contract (as defined in section 761), repurchase agreement, or master netting agreement pursuant to section 365(a), or if a forward contract merchant, stockbroker, financial institution, securities clearing agency, repo participant, financial participant, master netting agreement participant, or swap participant liquidates, terminates, or accelerates such contract or agreement, damages shall be measured as of the earlier of—

"(1) the date of such rejection; or

"(2) the date or dates of such liquidation, termination, or acceleration.

"(b) If there are not any commercially reasonable determinants of value as of any date referred to in paragraph (1) or (2) of subsection (a), damages shall be measured as of the earliest subsequent date or dates on which there are commercially reasonable determinants of value.

"(c) For the purposes of subsection (b), if damages are not measured as of the date or dates of rejection, liquidation, termination, or acceleration, and the forward contract merchant, stockbroker, financial institution, securities clearing agency, repo participant, financial participant, master netting

agreement participant, or swap participant or the trustee objects to the timing of the measurement of damages—

“(1) the trustee, in the case of an objection by a forward contract merchant, stockbroker, financial institution, securities clearing agency, repo participant, financial participant, master netting agreement participant, or swap participant; or

“(2) the forward contract merchant, stockbroker, financial institution, securities clearing agency, repo participant, financial participant, master netting agreement participant, or swap participant, in the case of an objection by the trustee,

has the burden of proving that there were no commercially reasonable determinants of value as of such date or dates.”; and

(2) in the table of sections for chapter 5, by inserting after the item relating to section 561 (as added by section 907) the following new item:

“562. Timing of damage measure in connection with swap agreements, securities contracts, forward contracts, commodity contracts, repurchase agreements, or master netting agreements.”.

(b) CLAIMS ARISING FROM REJECTION.—Section 502(g) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “(1)” after “(g)”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) A claim for damages calculated in accordance with section 562 shall be allowed under subsection (a), (b), or (c), or disallowed under subsection (d) or (e), as if such claim had arisen before the date of the filing of the petition.”.

SEC. 911. SIPC STAY.

Section 5(b)(2) of the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970 (15 U.S.C. 78eee(b)(2)) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) EXCEPTION FROM STAY.—

“(i) Notwithstanding section 362 of title 11, United States Code, neither the filing of an application under subsection (a)(3) nor any order or decree obtained by SIPC from the court shall operate as a stay of any contractual rights of a creditor to liquidate, terminate, or accelerate a securities contract, commodity contract, forward contract, repurchase agreement, swap agreement, or master netting agreement, as those terms are defined in sections 101, 741, and 761 of title 11, United States Code, to offset or net termination values, payment amounts, or other transfer obligations arising under or in connection with one or more of such contracts or agreements, or to foreclose on any cash collateral pledged by the debtor, whether or not with respect to one or more of such contracts or agreements.

“(ii) Notwithstanding clause (i), such application, order, or decree may operate as a stay of the foreclosure on, or disposition of, securities collateral pledged by the debtor, whether or not with respect to one or more of such contracts or agreements, securities sold by the debtor under a repurchase agreement, or securities lent under a securities lending agreement.

“(iii) As used in this subparagraph, the term ‘contractual right’ includes a right set forth in a rule or bylaw of a national securities exchange, a national securities association, or a securities clearing agency, a right set forth in a bylaw of a clearing organization or contract market or in a resolution of the governing board thereof, and a right, whether or not in writing, arising under common law, under law merchant, or by reason of normal business practice.”.

TITLE X—PROTECTION OF FAMILY FARMERS AND FAMILY FISHERMEN

SEC. 1001. PERMANENT REENACTMENT OF CHAPTER 12.

(a) REENACTMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 12 of title 11, United States Code, as reenacted by section 149 of division C of the Omnibus Consolidated and Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1999 (Public Law 105-277), is hereby reenacted, and as here reenacted is amended by this Act.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Subsection (a) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 302 of the Bankruptcy Judges, United States Trustees, and Family Farmer Bankruptcy Act of 1986 (28 U.S.C. 581 note) is amended by striking subsection (f).

SEC. 1002. DEBT LIMIT INCREASE.

Section 104(b) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 226, is amended by inserting “101(18),” after “101(3),” each place it appears.

SEC. 1003. CERTAIN CLAIMS OWED TO GOVERNMENTAL UNITS.

(a) CONTENTS OF PLAN.—Section 1222(a)(2) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 213, is amended to read as follows:

“(2) provide for the full payment, in deferred cash payments, of all claims entitled to priority under section 507, unless—

“(A) the claim is a claim owed to a governmental unit that arises as a result of the sale, transfer, exchange, or other disposition of any farm asset used in the debtor’s farming operation, in which case the claim shall be treated as an unsecured claim that is not entitled to priority under section 507, but the debt shall be treated in such manner only if the debtor receives a discharge; or

“(B) the holder of a particular claim agrees to a different treatment of that claim;”.

(b) SPECIAL NOTICE PROVISIONS.—Section 1231(b) of title 11, United States Code, as so designated by section 719, is amended by striking “a State or local governmental unit” and inserting “any governmental unit”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS.—This section and the amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and shall not apply with respect to cases commenced under title 11 of the United States Code before such date.

SEC. 1004. DEFINITION OF FAMILY FARMER.

Section 101(18) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A)—

(A) by striking “\$1,500,000” and inserting “\$3,237,000”; and

(B) by striking “80” and inserting “50”; and

(2) in subparagraph (B)(ii)—

(A) by striking “\$1,500,000” and inserting “\$3,237,000”; and

(B) by striking “80” and inserting “50”.

SEC. 1005. ELIMINATION OF REQUIREMENT THAT FAMILY FARMER AND SPOUSE RECEIVE OVER 50 PERCENT OF INCOME FROM FARMING OPERATION IN YEAR PRIOR TO BANKRUPTCY.

Section 101(18)(A) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking “for the taxable year preceding the taxable year” and inserting the following:

“for—

“(i) the taxable year preceding; or

“(ii) each of the 2d and 3d taxable years preceding; the taxable year”.

SEC. 1006. PROHIBITION OF RETROACTIVE ASSESSMENT OF DISPOSABLE INCOME.

(a) CONFIRMATION OF PLAN.—Section 1225(b)(1) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A) by striking “or” at the end;

(2) in subparagraph (B) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; or”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(C) the value of the property to be distributed under the plan in the 3-year period, or such longer period as the court may approve under section 1222(c), beginning on the date that the first distribution is due under the plan is not less than the debtor’s projected disposable income for such period.”.

(b) MODIFICATION OF PLAN.—Section 1229 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(d) A plan may not be modified under this section—

“(1) to increase the amount of any payment due before the plan as modified becomes the plan;

“(2) by anyone except the debtor, based on an increase in the debtor’s disposable income, to increase the amount of payments to unsecured creditors required for a particular month so that the aggregate of such payments exceeds the debtor’s disposable income for such month; or

“(3) in the last year of the plan by anyone except the debtor, to require payments that would leave the debtor with insufficient funds to carry on the farming operation after the plan is completed.”.

SEC. 1007. FAMILY FISHERMEN.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 101 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting after paragraph (7) the following:

“(7A) ‘commercial fishing operation’ means—

“(A) the catching or harvesting of fish, shrimp, lobsters, urchins, seaweed, shellfish, or other aquatic species or products of such species; or

“(B) for purposes of section 109 and chapter 12, aquaculture activities consisting of raising for market any species or product described in subparagraph (A);

“(7B) ‘commercial fishing vessel’ means a vessel used by a family fisherman to carry out a commercial fishing operation;”;

(2) by inserting after paragraph (19) the following:

“(19A) ‘family fisherman’ means—

“(A) an individual or individual and spouse engaged in a commercial fishing operation—

“(i) whose aggregate debts do not exceed \$1,500,000 and not less than 80 percent of whose aggregate noncontingent, liquidated debts (excluding a debt for the principal residence of such individual or such individual and spouse, unless such debt arises out of a commercial fishing operation), on the date the case is filed, arise out of a commercial fishing operation owned or operated by such individual or such individual and spouse; and

“(ii) who receive from such commercial fishing operation more than 50 percent of such individual’s or such individual’s and spouse’s gross income for the taxable year preceding the taxable year in which the case concerning such individual or such individual and spouse was filed; or

“(B) a corporation or partnership—

“(i) in which more than 50 percent of the outstanding stock or equity is held by—

“(I) 1 family that conducts the commercial fishing operation; or

“(II) 1 family and the relatives of the members of such family, and such family or such relatives conduct the commercial fishing operation; and

“(ii) (I) more than 80 percent of the value of its assets consists of assets related to the commercial fishing operation;

“(II) its aggregate debts do not exceed \$1,500,000 and not less than 80 percent of its aggregate noncontingent, liquidated debts

(excluding a debt for 1 dwelling which is owned by such corporation or partnership and which a shareholder or partner maintains as a principal residence, unless such debt arises out of a commercial fishing operation), on the date the case is filed, arise out of a commercial fishing operation owned or operated by such corporation or such partnership; and

“(III) if such corporation issues stock, such stock is not publicly traded;

“(19B) ‘family fisherman with regular annual income’ means a family fisherman whose annual income is sufficiently stable and regular to enable such family fisherman to make payments under a plan under chapter 12 of this title;”.

(b) WHO MAY BE A DEBTOR.—Section 109(f) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting “or family fisherman” after “family farmer”.

(c) CHAPTER 12.—Chapter 12 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the chapter heading, by inserting “OR FISHERMAN” after “FAMILY FARMER”;

(2) in section 1203, by inserting “or commercial fishing operation” after “farm”; and

(3) in section 1206, by striking “if the property is farmland or farm equipment” and inserting “if the property is farmland, farm equipment, or property used to carry out a commercial fishing operation (including a commercial fishing vessel)”.

(d) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—In the table of chapters for title 11, United States Code, the item relating to chapter 12, is amended to read as follows:

“12. Adjustments of Debts of a Family Farmer or Family Fisherman with Regular Annual Income 1201”.

(e) APPLICABILITY.—Nothing in this section shall change, affect, or amend the Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976 (16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.).

TITLE XI—HEALTH CARE AND EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

SEC. 1101. DEFINITIONS.

(a) HEALTH CARE BUSINESS DEFINED.—Section 101 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 306, is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (27A) as paragraph (27B); and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (27) the following:

“(27A) ‘health care business’—

“(A) means any public or private entity (without regard to whether that entity is organized for profit or not for profit) that is primarily engaged in offering to the general public facilities and services for—

“(i) the diagnosis or treatment of injury, deformity, or disease; and

“(ii) surgical, drug treatment, psychiatric, or obstetric care; and

“(B) includes—

“(i) any—

“(I) general or specialized hospital;

“(II) ancillary ambulatory, emergency, or surgical treatment facility;

“(III) hospice;

“(IV) home health agency; and

“(V) other health care institution that is similar to an entity referred to in subclause (I), (II), (III), or (IV); and

“(ii) any long-term care facility, including any—

“(I) skilled nursing facility;

“(II) intermediate care facility;

“(III) assisted living facility;

“(IV) home for the aged;

“(V) domiciliary care facility; and

“(VI) health care institution that is related to a facility referred to in subclause (I), (II), (III), (IV), or (V), if that institution is primarily engaged in offering room, board, laundry, or personal assistance with activi-

ties of daily living and incidentals to activities of daily living;”.

(b) PATIENT AND PATIENT RECORDS DEFINED.—Section 101 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting after paragraph (40) the following:

“(40A) ‘patient’ means any individual who obtains or receives services from a health care business;

“(40B) ‘patient records’ means any written document relating to a patient or a record recorded in a magnetic, optical, or other form of electronic medium;”.

(c) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—The amendments made by subsection (a) of this section shall not affect the interpretation of section 109(b) of title 11, United States Code.

SEC. 1102. DISPOSAL OF PATIENT RECORDS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subchapter III of chapter 3 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“§ 351. Disposal of patient records

“If a health care business commences a case under chapter 7, 9, or 11, and the trustee does not have a sufficient amount of funds to pay for the storage of patient records in the manner required under applicable Federal or State law, the following requirements shall apply:

“(1) The trustee shall—

“(A) promptly publish notice, in 1 or more appropriate newspapers, that if patient records are not claimed by the patient or an insurance provider (if applicable law permits the insurance provider to make that claim) by the date that is 365 days after the date of that notification, the trustee will destroy the patient records; and

“(B) during the first 180 days of the 365-day period described in subparagraph (A), promptly attempt to notify directly each patient that is the subject of the patient records and appropriate insurance carrier concerning the patient records by mailing to the most recent known address of that patient, or a family member or contact person for that patient, and to the appropriate insurance carrier an appropriate notice regarding the claiming or disposing of patient records.

“(2) If, after providing the notification under paragraph (1), patient records are not claimed during the 365-day period described under that paragraph, the trustee shall mail, by certified mail, at the end of such 365-day period a written request to each appropriate Federal agency to request permission from that agency to deposit the patient records with that agency, except that no Federal agency is required to accept patient records under this paragraph.

“(3) If, following the 365-day period described in paragraph (2) and after providing the notification under paragraph (1), patient records are not claimed by a patient or insurance provider, or request is not granted by a Federal agency to deposit such records with that agency, the trustee shall destroy those records by—

“(A) if the records are written, shredding or burning the records; or

“(B) if the records are magnetic, optical, or other electronic records, by otherwise destroying those records so that those records cannot be retrieved.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for subchapter III of chapter 3 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“351. Disposal of patient records.”.

SEC. 1103. ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM FOR COSTS OF CLOSING A HEALTH CARE BUSINESS AND OTHER ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.

Section 503(b) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 445, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(8) the actual, necessary costs and expenses of closing a health care business incurred by a trustee or by a Federal agency (as defined in section 551(1) of title 5) or a department or agency of a State or political subdivision thereof, including any cost or expense incurred—

“(A) in disposing of patient records in accordance with section 351; or

“(B) in connection with transferring patients from the health care business that is in the process of being closed to another health care business; and”.

SEC. 1104. APPOINTMENT OF OMBUDSMAN TO ACT AS PATIENT ADVOCATE.

(a) OMBUDSMAN TO ACT AS PATIENT ADVOCATE.—

(1) APPOINTMENT OF OMBUDSMAN.—Title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 232, is amended by inserting after section 332 the following:

“§ 333. Appointment of patient care ombudsman

“(a)(1) If the debtor in a case under chapter 7, 9, or 11 is a health care business, the court shall order, not later than 30 days after the commencement of the case, the appointment of an ombudsman to monitor the quality of patient care and to represent the interests of the patients of the health care business unless the court finds that the appointment of such ombudsman is not necessary for the protection of patients under the specific facts of the case.

“(2)(A) If the court orders the appointment of an ombudsman under paragraph (1), the United States trustee shall appoint 1 disinterested person (other than the United States trustee) to serve as such ombudsman.

“(B) If the debtor is a health care business that provides long-term care, then the United States trustee may appoint the State Long-Term Care Ombudsman appointed under the Older Americans Act of 1965 for the State in which the case is pending to serve as the ombudsman required by paragraph (1).

“(C) If the United States trustee does not appoint a State Long-Term Care Ombudsman under subparagraph (B), the court shall notify the State Long-Term Care Ombudsman appointed under the Older Americans Act of 1965 for the State in which the case is pending, of the name and address of the person who is appointed under subparagraph (A).

“(b) An ombudsman appointed under subsection (a) shall—

“(1) monitor the quality of patient care provided to patients of the debtor, to the extent necessary under the circumstances, including interviewing patients and physicians;

“(2) not later than 60 days after the date of appointment, and not less frequently than at 60-day intervals thereafter, report to the court after notice to the parties in interest, at a hearing or in writing, regarding the quality of patient care provided to patients of the debtor; and

“(3) if such ombudsman determines that the quality of patient care provided to patients of the debtor is declining significantly or is otherwise being materially compromised, file with the court a motion or a written report, with notice to the parties in interest immediately upon making such determination.

“(c)(1) An ombudsman appointed under subsection (a) shall maintain any information obtained by such ombudsman under this section that relates to patients (including information relating to patient records) as confidential information. Such ombudsman may not review confidential patient records unless the court approves such review in advance and imposes restrictions on such ombudsman to protect the confidentiality of such records.

“(2) An ombudsman appointed under subsection (a)(2)(B) shall have access to patient records consistent with authority of such ombudsman under the Older Americans Act of 1965 and under non-Federal laws governing the State Long-Term Care Ombudsman program.”.

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for subchapter II of chapter 3 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 232, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“333. Appointment of ombudsman.”.

(b) COMPENSATION OF OMBUDSMAN.—Section 330(a)(1) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by inserting “an ombudsman appointed under section 333, or” before “a professional person”; and

(2) in subparagraph (A), by inserting “ombudsman,” before “professional person”.

SEC. 1105. DEBTOR IN POSSESSION; DUTY OF TRUSTEE TO TRANSFER PATIENTS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 704(a) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by sections 102, 219, and 446, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(12) use all reasonable and best efforts to transfer patients from a health care business that is in the process of being closed to an appropriate health care business that—

“(A) is in the vicinity of the health care business that is closing;

“(B) provides the patient with services that are substantially similar to those provided by the health care business that is in the process of being closed; and

“(C) maintains a reasonable quality of care.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 1106(a)(1) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 446, is amended by striking “and (11)” and inserting “(11), and (12)”.

SEC. 1106. EXCLUSION FROM PROGRAM PARTICIPATION NOT SUBJECT TO AUTOMATIC STAY.

Section 362(b) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting after paragraph (27), as amended by sections 224, 303, 311, 401, 718, and 907, the following:

“(28) under subsection (a), of the exclusion by the Secretary of Health and Human Services of the debtor from participation in the medicare program or any other Federal health care program (as defined in section 1128B(f) of the Social Security Act pursuant to title XI or XVIII of such Act).”.

TITLE XII—TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS

SEC. 1201. DEFINITIONS.

Section 101 of title 11, United States Code, as hereinbefore amended by this Act, is amended—

(1) by striking “In this title—” and inserting “In this title the following definitions shall apply:”;

(2) in each paragraph, by inserting “The term” after the paragraph designation;

(3) in paragraph (35)(B), by striking “paragraphs (21B) and (33)(A)” and inserting “paragraphs (23) and (35)”;

(4) in each of paragraphs (35A), (38), and (54A), by striking “; and” at the end and inserting a period;

(5) in paragraph (51B)—

(A) by inserting “who is not a family farmer” after “debtor” the first place it appears; and

(B) by striking “thereto having aggregate” and all that follows through the end of the paragraph and inserting a semicolon;

(6) by striking paragraph (54) and inserting the following:

“(54) The term ‘transfer’ means—

“(A) the creation of a lien;

“(B) the retention of title as a security interest;

“(C) the foreclosure of a debtor’s equity of redemption; or

“(D) each mode, direct or indirect, absolute or conditional, voluntary or involuntary, of disposing of or parting with—

“(i) property; or

“(ii) an interest in property;”;

(7) by indenting the left margin of paragraph (54A) 2 ems to the right; and

(8) in each of paragraphs (1) through (35), in each of paragraphs (36), (37), (38A), (38B) and (39A), and in each of paragraphs (40) through (55), by striking the semicolon at the end and inserting a period.

SEC. 1202. ADJUSTMENT OF DOLLAR AMOUNTS.

Section 104 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting “522(f)(3),” after “522(d),” each place it appears.

SEC. 1203. EXTENSION OF TIME.

Section 108(c)(2) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking “922” and all that follows through “or”, and inserting “922, 1201, or”.

SEC. 1204. TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.

Title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in section 109(b)(2), by striking “subsection (c) or (d) of”; and

(2) in section 552(b)(1), by striking “product” each place it appears and inserting “products”.

SEC. 1205. PENALTY FOR PERSONS WHO NEGLIGENCE OR FRAUDULENTLY PREPARE BANKRUPTCY PETITIONS.

Section 110(j)(4) of title 11, United States Code, as so redesignated by section 221, is amended by striking “attorneys” and inserting “attorneys”.

SEC. 1206. LIMITATION ON COMPENSATION OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONS.

Section 328(a) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting “on a fixed or percentage fee basis,” after “hourly basis.”.

SEC. 1207. EFFECT OF CONVERSION.

Section 348(f)(2) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting “of the estate” after “property” the first place it appears.

SEC. 1208. ALLOWANCE OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.

Section 503(b)(4) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting “subparagraph (A), (B), (C), (D), or (E) of” before “paragraph (3)”.

SEC. 1209. EXCEPTIONS TO DISCHARGE.

Section 523 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by sections 215 and 314, is amended—

(1) by transferring paragraph (15), as added by section 304(e) of Public Law 103-394 (108 Stat. 4133), so as to insert such paragraph after subsection (a)(14A);

(2) in subsection (a)(9), by striking “motor vehicle” and inserting “motor vehicle, vessel, or aircraft”; and

(3) in subsection (e), by striking “a insured” and inserting “an insured”.

SEC. 1210. EFFECT OF DISCHARGE.

Section 524(a)(3) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking “section 523” and all that follows through “or that” and inserting “section 523, 1228(a)(1), or 1328(a)(1), or that”.

SEC. 1211. PROTECTION AGAINST DISCRIMINATORY TREATMENT.

Section 525(c) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by inserting “student” before “grant” the second place it appears; and

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking “the program operated under part B, D, or E of” and inserting “any program operated under”.

SEC. 1212. PROPERTY OF THE ESTATE.

Section 541(b)(4)(B)(ii) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting “365 or” before “542”.

SEC. 1213. PREFERENCES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 547 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 201, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b), by striking “subsection (c)” and inserting “subsections (c) and (i)”;

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(i) If the trustee avoids under subsection (b) a transfer made between 90 days and 1 year before the date of the filing of the petition, by the debtor to an entity that is not an insider for the benefit of a creditor that is an insider, such transfer shall be considered to be avoided under this section only with respect to the creditor that is an insider.”.

(b) APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to any case that is pending or commenced on or after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1214. POSTPETITION TRANSACTIONS.

Section 549(c) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “an interest in” after “transfer of” each place it appears;

(2) by striking “such property” and inserting “such real property”; and

(3) by striking “the interest” and inserting “such interest”.

SEC. 1215. DISPOSITION OF PROPERTY OF THE ESTATE.

Section 726(b) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking “1009.”.

SEC. 1216. GENERAL PROVISIONS.

Section 901(a) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting “1123(d),” after “1123(b).”.

SEC. 1217. ABANDONMENT OF RAILROAD LINE.

Section 1170(e)(1) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking “section 11347” and inserting “section 11326(a)”.

SEC. 1218. CONTENTS OF PLAN.

Section 1172(c)(1) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking “section 11347” and inserting “section 11326(a)”.

SEC. 1219. BANKRUPTCY CASES AND PROCEEDINGS.

Section 1334(d) of title 28, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “made under this subsection” and inserting “made under subsection (c)”;

(2) by striking “This subsection” and inserting “Subsection (c) and this subsection”.

SEC. 1220. KNOWING DISREGARD OF BANKRUPTCY LAW OR RULE.

Section 156(a) of title 18, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the first undesignated paragraph—

(A) by inserting “(1) the term” before “bankruptcy”; and

(B) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”;

(2) in the second undesignated paragraph—

(A) by inserting “(2) the term” before “document”; and

(B) by striking “this title” and inserting “title 11”.

SEC. 1221. TRANSFERS MADE BY NONPROFIT CHARITABLE CORPORATIONS.

(a) SALE OF PROPERTY OF ESTATE.—Section 363(d) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking “only” and all that follows through the end of the subsection and inserting “only—

“(1) in accordance with applicable non-bankruptcy law that governs the transfer of property by a corporation or trust that is not a moneyed, business, or commercial corporation or trust; and

“(2) to the extent not inconsistent with any relief granted under subsection (c), (d), (e), or (f) of section 362.”.

(b) CONFIRMATION OF PLAN OF REORGANIZATION.—Section 1129(a) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by sections 213 and

321, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(16) All transfers of property of the plan shall be made in accordance with any applicable provisions of nonbankruptcy law that govern the transfer of property by a corporation or trust that is not a moneyed, business, or commercial corporation or trust.”.

(C) TRANSFER OF PROPERTY.—Section 541 of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 225, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(f) Notwithstanding any other provision of this title, property that is held by a debtor that is a corporation described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and exempt from tax under section 501(a) of such Code may be transferred to an entity that is not such a corporation, but only under the same conditions as would apply if the debtor had not filed a case under this title.”.

(d) APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to a case pending under title 11, United States Code, on the date of enactment of this Act, or filed under that title on or after that date of enactment, except that the court shall not confirm a plan under chapter 11 of title 11, United States Code, without considering whether this section would substantially affect the rights of a party in interest who first acquired rights with respect to the debtor after the date of the filing of the petition. The parties who may appear and be heard in a proceeding under this section include the attorney general of the State in which the debtor is incorporated, was formed, or does business.

(e) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to require the court in which a case under chapter 11 of title 11, United States Code, is pending to remand or refer any proceeding, issue, or controversy to any other court or to require the approval of any other court for the transfer of property.

SEC. 1222. PROTECTION OF VALID PURCHASE MONEY SECURITY INTERESTS.

Section 547(c)(3)(B) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking “20” and inserting “30”.

SEC. 1223. BANKRUPTCY JUDGESHIPS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This section may be cited as the “Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 2003”.

(b) TEMPORARY JUDGESHIPS.—

(1) APPOINTMENTS.—The following bankruptcy judges shall be appointed in the manner prescribed in section 152(a)(1) of title 28, United States Code, for the appointment of bankruptcy judges provided for in section 152(a)(2) of such title:

(A) One additional bankruptcy judge for the eastern district of California.

(B) Three additional bankruptcy judges for the central district of California.

(C) Four additional bankruptcy judges for the district of Delaware.

(D) Two additional bankruptcy judges for the southern district of Florida.

(E) One additional bankruptcy judge for the southern district of Georgia.

(F) Three additional bankruptcy judges for the district of Maryland.

(G) One additional bankruptcy judge for the eastern district of Michigan.

(H) One additional bankruptcy judge for the southern district of Mississippi.

(I) One additional bankruptcy judge for the district of New Jersey.

(J) One additional bankruptcy judge for the eastern district of New York.

(K) One additional bankruptcy judge for the northern district of New York.

(L) One additional bankruptcy judge for the southern district of New York.

(M) One additional bankruptcy judge for the eastern district of North Carolina.

(N) One additional bankruptcy judge for the eastern district of Pennsylvania.

(O) One additional bankruptcy judge for the middle district of Pennsylvania.

(P) One additional bankruptcy judge for the district of Puerto Rico.

(Q) One additional bankruptcy judge for the western district of Tennessee.

(R) One additional bankruptcy judge for the eastern district of Virginia.

(S) One additional bankruptcy judge for the district of South Carolina.

(T) One additional bankruptcy judge for the district of Nevada.

(2) VACANCIES.—

(A) DISTRICTS WITH SINGLE APPOINTMENTS.—Except as provided in subparagraphs (B), (C), (D), and (E), the first vacancy occurring in the office of bankruptcy judge in each of the judicial districts set forth in paragraph (1)—

(i) occurring 5 years or more after the appointment date of the bankruptcy judge appointed under paragraph (1) to such office; and

(ii) resulting from the death, retirement, resignation, or removal of a bankruptcy judge; shall not be filled.

(B) CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA.—The 1st, 2d, and 3d vacancies in the office of bankruptcy judge in the central district of California—

(i) occurring 5 years or more after the respective 1st, 2d, and 3d appointment dates of the bankruptcy judges appointed under paragraph (1)(B); and

(ii) resulting from the death, retirement, resignation, or removal of a bankruptcy judge; shall not be filled.

(C) DISTRICT OF DELAWARE.—The 1st, 2d, 3d, and 4th vacancies in the office of bankruptcy judge in the district of Delaware—

(i) occurring 5 years or more after the respective 1st, 2d, 3d, and 4th appointment dates of the bankruptcy judges appointed under paragraph (1)(F); and

(ii) resulting from the death, retirement, resignation, or removal of a bankruptcy judge; shall not be filled.

(D) SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA.—The 1st and 2d vacancies in the office of bankruptcy judge in the southern district of Florida—

(i) occurring 5 years or more after the respective 1st and 2d appointment dates of the bankruptcy judges appointed under paragraph (1)(D); and

(ii) resulting from the death, retirement, resignation, or removal of a bankruptcy judge; shall not be filled.

(E) DISTRICT OF MARYLAND.—The 1st, 2d, and 3d vacancies in the office of bankruptcy judge in the district of Maryland—

(i) occurring 5 years or more after the respective 1st, 2d, and 3d appointment dates of the bankruptcy judges appointed under paragraph (1)(F); and

(ii) resulting from the death, retirement, resignation, or removal of a bankruptcy judge; shall not be filled.

(c) EXTENSIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The temporary office of bankruptcy judges authorized for the northern district of Alabama, the district of Delaware, the district of Puerto Rico, and the eastern district of Tennessee under paragraphs (1), (3), (7), and (9) of section 3(a) of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 1992 (28 U.S.C. 152 note) are extended until the first vacancy occurring in the office of a bankruptcy judge in the applicable district resulting from the death, retirement, resigna-

tion, or removal of a bankruptcy judge and occurring 5 years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER PROVISIONS.—All other provisions of section 3 of the Bankruptcy Judgeship Act of 1992 (28 U.S.C. 152 note) remain applicable to the temporary office of bankruptcy judges referred to in this subsection.

(d) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—Section 152(a) of title 28, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking the first sentence and inserting the following: “Each bankruptcy judge to be appointed for a judicial district, as provided in paragraph (2), shall be appointed by the court of appeals of the United States for the circuit in which such district is located.”; and

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) in the item relating to the middle district of Georgia, by striking “2” and inserting “3”; and

(B) in the collective item relating to the middle and southern districts of Georgia, by striking “Middle and Southern 1”.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1224. COMPENSATING TRUSTEES.

Section 1326 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “and”;

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”;

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) if a chapter 7 trustee has been allowed compensation due to the conversion or dismissal of the debtor’s prior case pursuant to section 707(b), and some portion of that compensation remains unpaid in a case converted to this chapter or in the case dismissed under section 707(b) and refiled under this chapter, the amount of any such unpaid compensation, which shall be paid monthly—

“(A) by prorating such amount over the remaining duration of the plan; and

“(B) by monthly payments not to exceed the greater of—

“(i) \$25; or

“(ii) the amount payable to unsecured non-priority creditors, as provided by the plan, multiplied by 5 percent, and the result divided by the number of months in the plan.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(d) Notwithstanding any other provision of this title—

“(1) compensation referred to in subsection (b)(3) is payable and may be collected by the trustee under that paragraph, even if such amount has been discharged in a prior case under this title; and

“(2) such compensation is payable in a case under this chapter only to the extent permitted by subsection (b)(3).”.

SEC. 1225. AMENDMENT TO SECTION 362 OF TITLE 11, UNITED STATES CODE.

Section 362(b)(18) of title 11, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(18) under subsection (a) of the creation or perfection of a statutory lien for an ad valorem property tax, or a special tax or special assessment on real property whether or not ad valorem, imposed by a governmental unit, if such tax or assessment comes due after the date of the filing of the petition;”.

SEC. 1226. JUDICIAL EDUCATION.

The Director of the Federal Judicial Center, in consultation with the Director of the Executive Office for United States Trustees, shall develop materials and conduct such training as may be useful to courts in implementing this Act and the amendments made by this Act, including the requirements relating to the means test under section 707(b),

and reaffirmation agreements under section 524, of title 11 of the United States Code, as amended by this Act.

SEC. 1227. RECLAMATION.

(a) RIGHTS AND POWERS OF THE TRUSTEE.—Section 546(c) of title 11, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(c)(1) Except as provided in subsection (d) of this section and in section 507(c), and subject to the prior rights of a holder of a security interest in such goods or the proceeds thereof, the rights and powers of the trustee under sections 544(a), 545, 547, and 549 are subject to the right of a seller of goods that has sold goods to the debtor, in the ordinary course of such seller’s business, to reclaim such goods if the debtor has received such goods while insolvent, within 45 days before the date of the commencement of a case under this title, but such seller may not reclaim such goods unless such seller demands in writing reclamation of such goods—

“(A) not later than 45 days after the date of receipt of such goods by the debtor; or

“(B) not later than 20 days after the date of commencement of the case, if the 45-day period expires after the commencement of the case.

“(2) If a seller of goods fails to provide notice in the manner described in paragraph (1), the seller still may assert the rights contained in section 503(b)(9).”.

(b) ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.—Section 503(b) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by sections 445 and 1103, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(9) the value of any goods received by the debtor within 20 days before the date of commencement of a case under this title in which the goods have been sold to the debtor in the ordinary course of such debtor’s business.”.

SEC. 1228. PROVIDING REQUESTED TAX DOCUMENTS TO THE COURT.

(a) CHAPTER 7 CASES.—The court shall not grant a discharge in the case of an individual who is a debtor in a case under chapter 7 of title 11, United States Code, unless requested tax documents have been provided to the court.

(b) CHAPTER 11 AND CHAPTER 13 CASES.—The court shall not confirm a plan of reorganization in the case of an individual under chapter 11 or 13 of title 11, United States Code, unless requested tax documents have been filed with the court.

(c) DOCUMENT RETENTION.—The court shall destroy documents submitted in support of a bankruptcy claim not sooner than 3 years after the date of the conclusion of a case filed by an individual under chapter 7, 11, or 13 of title 11, United States Code. In the event of a pending audit or enforcement action, the court may extend the time for destruction of such requested tax documents.

SEC. 1229. ENCOURAGING CREDITWORTHINESS.

(a) SENSE OF THE CONGRESS.—It is the sense of the Congress that—

(1) certain lenders may sometimes offer credit to consumers indiscriminately, without taking steps to ensure that consumers are capable of repaying the resulting debt, and in a manner which may encourage certain consumers to accumulate additional debt; and

(2) resulting consumer debt may increasingly be a major contributing factor to consumer insolvency.

(b) STUDY REQUIRED.—The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (hereafter in this section referred to as the “Board”) shall conduct a study of—

(1) consumer credit industry practices of soliciting and extending credit—

(A) indiscriminately;

(B) without taking steps to ensure that consumers are capable of repaying the resulting debt; and

(C) in a manner that encourages consumers to accumulate additional debt; and

(2) the effects of such practices on consumer debt and insolvency.

(c) REPORT AND REGULATIONS.—Not later than 12 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Board—

(1) shall make public a report on its findings with respect to the indiscriminate solicitation and extension of credit by the credit industry;

(2) may issue regulations that would require additional disclosures to consumers; and

(3) may take any other actions, consistent with its existing statutory authority, that the Board finds necessary to ensure responsible industrywide practices and to prevent resulting consumer debt and insolvency.

SEC. 1230. PROPERTY NO LONGER SUBJECT TO REDEMPTION.

Section 541(b) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by sections 225 and 323, is amended by adding after paragraph (7), as added by section 323, the following:

“(8) subject to subchapter III of chapter 5, any interest of the debtor in property where the debtor pledged or sold tangible personal property (other than securities or written or printed evidences of indebtedness or title) as collateral for a loan or advance of money given by a person licensed under law to make such loans or advances, where—

“(A) the tangible personal property is in the possession of the pledgee or transferee;

“(B) the debtor has no obligation to repay the money, redeem the collateral, or buy back the property at a stipulated price; and

“(C) neither the debtor nor the trustee have exercised any right to redeem provided under the contract or State law, in a timely manner as provided under State law and section 108(b); or”.

SEC. 1231. TRUSTEES.

(a) SUSPENSION AND TERMINATION OF PANEL TRUSTEES AND STANDING TRUSTEES.—Section 586(d) of title 28, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “(1)” after “(d)”;

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) A trustee whose appointment under subsection (a)(1) or under subsection (b) is terminated or who ceases to be assigned to cases filed under title 11, United States Code, may obtain judicial review of the final agency decision by commencing an action in the district court of the United States for the district for which the panel to which the trustee is appointed under subsection (a)(1), or in the district court of the United States for the district in which the trustee is appointed under subsection (b) resides, after first exhausting all available administrative remedies, which if the trustee so elects, shall also include an administrative hearing on the record. Unless the trustee elects to have an administrative hearing on the record, the trustee shall be deemed to have exhausted all administrative remedies for purposes of this paragraph if the agency fails to make a final agency decision within 90 days after the trustee requests administrative remedies. The Attorney General shall prescribe procedures to implement this paragraph. The decision of the agency shall be affirmed by the district court unless it is unreasonable and without cause based on the administrative record before the agency.”.

(b) EXPENSES OF STANDING TRUSTEES.—Section 586(e) of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(3) After first exhausting all available administrative remedies, an individual appointed under subsection (b) may obtain judicial review of final agency action to deny a claim of actual, necessary expenses under this subsection by commencing an action in

the district court of the United States for the district where the individual resides. The decision of the agency shall be affirmed by the district court unless it is unreasonable and without cause based upon the administrative record before the agency.

“(4) The Attorney General shall prescribe procedures to implement this subsection.”.

SEC. 1232. BANKRUPTCY FORMS.

Section 2075 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“The bankruptcy rules promulgated under this section shall prescribe a form for the statement required under section 707(b)(2)(C) of title 11 and may provide general rules on the content of such statement.”.

SEC. 1233. DIRECT APPEALS OF BANKRUPTCY MATTERS TO COURTS OF APPEALS.

(a) APPEALS.—Section 158 of title 28, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (c)(1), by striking “Subject to subsection (b),” and inserting “Subject to subsections (b) and (d)(2).”; and

(2) in subsection (d)—

(A) by inserting “(1)” after “(d)”;

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(2)(A) The appropriate court of appeals shall have jurisdiction of appeals described in the first sentence of subsection (a) if the bankruptcy court, the district court, or the bankruptcy appellate panel involved, acting on its own motion or on the request of a party to the judgment, order, or decree described in such first sentence, or all the appellants and appellees (if any) acting jointly, certify that—

“(i) the judgment, order, or decree involves a question of law as to which there is no controlling decision of the court of appeals for the circuit or of the Supreme Court of the United States, or involves a matter of public importance;

“(ii) the judgment, order, or decree involves a question of law requiring resolution of conflicting decisions; or

“(iii) an immediate appeal from the judgment, order, or decree may materially advance the progress of the case or proceeding in which the appeal is taken;

and if the court of appeals authorizes the direct appeal of the judgment, order, or decree.

“(B) If the bankruptcy court, the district court, or the bankruptcy appellate panel—

“(i) on its own motion or on the request of a party, determines that a circumstance specified in clause (i), (ii), or (iii) of subparagraph (A) exists; or

“(ii) receives a request made by a majority of the appellants and a majority of appellees (if any) to make the certification described in subparagraph (A);

then the bankruptcy court, the district court, or the bankruptcy appellate panel shall make the certification described in subparagraph (A).

“(C) The parties may supplement the certification with a short statement of the basis for the certification.

“(D) An appeal under this paragraph does not stay any proceeding of the bankruptcy court, the district court, or the bankruptcy appellate panel from which the appeal is taken, unless the respective bankruptcy court, district court, or bankruptcy appellate panel, or the court of appeals in which the appeal is pending, issues a stay of such proceeding pending the appeal.

“(E) Any request under subparagraph (B) for certification shall be made not later than 60 days after the entry of the judgment, order, or decree.”.

(b) PROCEDURAL RULES.—

(1) TEMPORARY APPLICATION.—A provision of this subsection shall apply to appeals under section 158(d)(2) of title 28, United States Code, until a rule of practice and procedure relating to such provision and such

appeals is promulgated or amended under chapter 131 of such title.

(2) **CERTIFICATION.**—A district court, a bankruptcy court, or a bankruptcy appellate panel may make a certification under section 158(d)(2) of title 28, United States Code, only with respect to matters pending in the respective bankruptcy court, district court, or bankruptcy appellate panel.

(3) **PROCEDURE.**—Subject to any other provision of this subsection, an appeal authorized by the court of appeals under section 158(d)(2)(A) of title 28, United States Code, shall be taken in the manner prescribed in subdivisions (a)(1), (b), (c), and (d) of rule 5 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure. For purposes of subdivision (a)(1) of rule 5—

(A) a reference in such subdivision to a district court shall be deemed to include a reference to a bankruptcy court and a bankruptcy appellate panel, as appropriate; and

(B) a reference in such subdivision to the parties requesting permission to appeal to be served with the petition shall be deemed to include a reference to the parties to the judgment, order, or decree from which the appeal is taken.

(4) **FILING OF PETITION WITH ATTACHMENT.**—A petition requesting permission to appeal, that is based on a certification made under subparagraph (A) or (B) of section 158(d)(2) shall—

(A) be filed with the circuit clerk not later than 10 days after the certification is entered on the docket of the bankruptcy court, the district court, or the bankruptcy appellate panel from which the appeal is taken; and

(B) have attached a copy of such certification.

(5) **REFERENCES IN RULE 5.**—For purposes of rule 5 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure—

(A) a reference in such rule to a district court shall be deemed to include a reference to a bankruptcy court and to a bankruptcy appellate panel; and

(B) a reference in such rule to a district clerk shall be deemed to include a reference to a clerk of a bankruptcy court and to a clerk of a bankruptcy appellate panel.

(6) **APPLICATION OF RULES.**—The Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure shall apply in the courts of appeals with respect to appeals authorized under section 158(d)(2)(A), to the extent relevant and as if such appeals were taken from final judgments, orders, or decrees of the district courts or bankruptcy appellate panels exercising appellate jurisdiction under subsection (a) or (b) of section 158 of title 28, United States Code.

SEC. 1234. INVOLUNTARY CASES.

(a) **AMENDMENTS.**—Section 303 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(1), by—

(A) inserting “as to liability or amount” after “bona fide dispute”; and

(B) striking “if such claims” and inserting “if such noncontingent, undisputed claims”; and

(2) in subsection (h)(1), by inserting “as to liability or amount” before the semicolon at the end.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS.**—This section and the amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply with respect to cases commenced under title 11 of the United States Code before, on, and after such date.

SEC. 1235. FEDERAL ELECTION LAW FINES AND PENALTIES AS NONDISCHARGEABLE DEBT.

Section 523(a) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 314, is amended by inserting after paragraph (14A) the following:

“(14B) incurred to pay fines or penalties imposed under Federal election law;”.

TITLE XIII—CONSUMER CREDIT DISCLOSURE

SEC. 1301. ENHANCED DISCLOSURES UNDER AN OPEN END CREDIT PLAN.

(a) **MINIMUM PAYMENT DISCLOSURES.**—Section 127(b) of the Truth in Lending Act (15 U.S.C. 1637(b)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(11)(A) In the case of an open end credit plan that requires a minimum monthly payment of not more than 4 percent of the balance on which finance charges are accruing, the following statement, located on the front of the billing statement, disclosed clearly and conspicuously: ‘Minimum Payment Warning: Making only the minimum payment will increase the interest you pay and the time it takes to repay your balance. For example, making only the typical 2% minimum monthly payment on a balance of \$1,000 at an interest rate of 17% would take 88 months to repay the balance in full. For an estimate of the time it would take to repay your balance, making only minimum payments, call this toll-free number: _____.’ (the blank space to be filled in by the creditor).

“(B) In the case of an open end credit plan that requires a minimum monthly payment of more than 4 percent of the balance on which finance charges are accruing, the following statement, in a prominent location on the front of the billing statement, disclosed clearly and conspicuously: ‘Minimum Payment Warning: Making only the required minimum payment will increase the interest you pay and the time it takes to repay your balance. Making a typical 5% minimum monthly payment on a balance of \$300 at an interest rate of 17% would take 24 months to repay the balance in full. For an estimate of the time it would take to repay your balance, making only minimum monthly payments, call this toll-free number: _____.’ (the blank space to be filled in by the creditor).

“(C) Notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) and (B), in the case of a creditor with respect to which compliance with this title is enforced by the Federal Trade Commission, the following statement, in a prominent location on the front of the billing statement, disclosed clearly and conspicuously: ‘Minimum Payment Warning: Making only the required minimum payment will increase the interest you pay and the time it takes to repay your balance. For example, making only the typical 5% minimum monthly payment on a balance of \$300 at an interest rate of 17% would take 24 months to repay the balance in full. For an estimate of the time it would take to repay your balance, making only minimum monthly payments, call the Federal Trade Commission at this toll-free number: _____.’ (the blank space to be filled in by the creditor). A creditor who is subject to this subparagraph shall not be subject to subparagraph (A) or (B).

“(D) Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), (B), or (C), in complying with any such subparagraph, a creditor may substitute an example based on an interest rate that is greater than 17 percent. Any creditor that is subject to subparagraph (B) may elect to provide the disclosure required under subparagraph (A) in lieu of the disclosure required under subparagraph (B).

“(E) The Board shall, by rule, periodically recalculate, as necessary, the interest rate and repayment period under subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C).

“(F)(i) The toll-free telephone number disclosed by a creditor or the Federal Trade Commission under subparagraph (A), (B), or (C), as appropriate, may be a toll-free telephone number established and maintained by the creditor or the Federal Trade Commis-

sion, as appropriate, or may be a toll-free telephone number established and maintained by a third party for use by the creditor or multiple creditors or the Federal Trade Commission, as appropriate. The toll-free telephone number may connect consumers to an automated device through which consumers may obtain information described in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C), by inputting information using a touch-tone telephone or similar device, if consumers whose telephones are not equipped to use such automated device are provided the opportunity to be connected to an individual from whom the information described in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C), as applicable, may be obtained. A person that receives a request for information described in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C) from an obligor through the toll-free telephone number disclosed under subparagraph (A), (B), or (C), as applicable, shall disclose in response to such request only the information set forth in the table promulgated by the Board under subparagraph (H)(i).

“(ii)(I) The Board shall establish and maintain for a period not to exceed 24 months following the effective date of the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2003, a toll-free telephone number, or provide a toll-free telephone number established and maintained by a third party, for use by creditors that are depository institutions (as defined in section 3 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act), including a Federal credit union or State credit union (as defined in section 101 of the Federal Credit Union Act), with total assets not exceeding \$250,000,000. The toll-free telephone number may connect consumers to an automated device through which consumers may obtain information described in subparagraph (A) or (B), as applicable, by inputting information using a touch-tone telephone or similar device, if consumers whose telephones are not equipped to use such automated device are provided the opportunity to be connected to an individual from whom the information described in subparagraph (A) or (B), as applicable, may be obtained. A person that receives a request for information described in subparagraph (A) or (B) from an obligor through the toll-free telephone number disclosed under subparagraph (A) or (B), as applicable, shall disclose in response to such request only the information set forth in the table promulgated by the Board under subparagraph (H)(i). The dollar amount contained in this subclause shall be adjusted according to an indexing mechanism established by the Board.

“(II) Not later than 6 months prior to the expiration of the 24-month period referenced in subclause (I), the Board shall submit to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Financial Services of the House of Representatives a report on the program described in subclause (I).

“(G) The Federal Trade Commission shall establish and maintain a toll-free number for the purpose of providing to consumers the information required to be disclosed under subparagraph (C).

“(H) The Board shall—

“(i) establish a detailed table illustrating the approximate number of months that it would take to repay an outstanding balance if a consumer pays only the required minimum monthly payments and if no other advances are made, which table shall clearly present standardized information to be used to disclose the information required to be disclosed under subparagraph (A), (B), or (C), as applicable;

“(ii) establish the table required under clause (i) by assuming—

“(I) a significant number of different annual percentage rates;

“(II) a significant number of different account balances;

“(III) a significant number of different minimum payment amounts; and

“(IV) that only minimum monthly payments are made and no additional extensions of credit are obtained; and

“(iii) promulgate regulations that provide instructional guidance regarding the manner in which the information contained in the table established under clause (i) should be used in responding to the request of an obligor for any information required to be disclosed under subparagraph (A), (B), or (C).

“(I) The disclosure requirements of this paragraph do not apply to any charge card account, the primary purpose of which is to require payment of charges in full each month.

“(J) A creditor that maintains a toll-free telephone number for the purpose of providing customers with the actual number of months that it will take to repay the customer's outstanding balance is not subject to the requirements of subparagraph (A) or (B).

“(K) A creditor that maintains a toll-free telephone number for the purpose of providing customers with the actual number of months that it will take to repay an outstanding balance shall include the following statement on each billing statement: ‘Making only the minimum payment will increase the interest you pay and the time it takes to repay your balance. For more information, call this toll-free number: _____.’ (the blank space to be filled in by the creditor).”

(b) REGULATORY IMPLEMENTATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (hereafter in this title referred to as the “Board”) shall promulgate regulations implementing the requirements of section 127(b)(11) of the Truth in Lending Act, as added by subsection (a) of this section.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 127(b)(11) of the Truth in Lending Act, as added by subsection (a) of this section, and the regulations issued under paragraph (1) of this subsection shall not take effect until the later of—

(A) 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act; or

(B) 12 months after the publication of such final regulations by the Board.

(c) STUDY OF FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Board may conduct a study to determine the types of information available to potential borrowers from consumer credit lending institutions regarding factors qualifying potential borrowers for credit, repayment requirements, and the consequences of default.

(2) FACTORS FOR CONSIDERATION.—In conducting a study under paragraph (1), the Board should, in consultation with the other Federal banking agencies (as defined in section 3 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act), the National Credit Union Administration, and the Federal Trade Commission, consider the extent to which—

(A) consumers, in establishing new credit arrangements, are aware of their existing payment obligations, the need to consider those obligations in deciding to take on new credit, and how taking on excessive credit can result in financial difficulty;

(B) minimum periodic payment features offered in connection with open end credit plans impact consumer default rates;

(C) consumers make only the required minimum payment under open end credit plans;

(D) consumers are aware that making only required minimum payments will increase the cost and repayment period of an open end credit obligation; and

(E) the availability of low minimum payment options is a cause of consumers experiencing financial difficulty.

(3) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Findings of the Board in connection with any study conducted under this subsection shall be submitted to Congress. Such report shall also include recommendations for legislative initiatives, if any, of the Board, based on its findings.

SEC. 1302. ENHANCED DISCLOSURE FOR CREDIT EXTENSIONS SECURED BY A DWELLING.

(a) OPEN END CREDIT EXTENSIONS.—

(1) CREDIT APPLICATIONS.—Section 127A(a)(13) of the Truth in Lending Act (15 U.S.C. 1637a(a)(13)) is amended—

(A) by striking “CONSULTATION OF TAX ADVISER.—A statement that the” and inserting the following: “TAX DEDUCTIBILITY.—A statement that—

“(A) the”; and

(B) by striking the period at the end and inserting the following: “; and

“(B) in any case in which the extension of credit exceeds the fair market value (as defined under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) of the dwelling, the interest on the portion of the credit extension that is greater than the fair market value of the dwelling is not tax deductible for Federal income tax purposes.”

(2) CREDIT ADVERTISEMENTS.—Section 147(b) of the Truth in Lending Act (15 U.S.C. 1665b(b)) is amended—

(A) by striking “If any” and inserting the following:

“(1) IN GENERAL.—If any”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) CREDIT IN EXCESS OF FAIR MARKET VALUE.—Each advertisement described in subsection (a) that relates to an extension of credit that may exceed the fair market value of the dwelling, and which advertisement is disseminated in paper form to the public or through the Internet, as opposed to by radio or television, shall include a clear and conspicuous statement that—

“(A) the interest on the portion of the credit extension that is greater than the fair market value of the dwelling is not tax deductible for Federal income tax purposes; and

“(B) the consumer should consult a tax adviser for further information regarding the deductibility of interest and charges.”

(b) NON-OPEN END CREDIT EXTENSIONS.—

(1) CREDIT APPLICATIONS.—Section 128 of the Truth in Lending Act (15 U.S.C. 1638) is amended—

(A) in subsection (a), by adding at the end the following:

“(15) In the case of a consumer credit transaction that is secured by the principal dwelling of the consumer, in which the extension of credit may exceed the fair market value of the dwelling, a clear and conspicuous statement that—

“(A) the interest on the portion of the credit extension that is greater than the fair market value of the dwelling is not tax deductible for Federal income tax purposes; and

“(B) the consumer should consult a tax adviser for further information regarding the deductibility of interest and charges.”; and

(B) in subsection (b), by adding at the end the following:

“(3) In the case of a credit transaction described in paragraph (15) of subsection (a), disclosures required by that paragraph shall be made to the consumer at the time of application for such extension of credit.”

(2) CREDIT ADVERTISEMENTS.—Section 144 of the Truth in Lending Act (15 U.S.C. 1664) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(e) Each advertisement to which this section applies that relates to a consumer cred-

it transaction that is secured by the principal dwelling of a consumer in which the extension of credit may exceed the fair market value of the dwelling, and which advertisement is disseminated in paper form to the public or through the Internet, as opposed to by radio or television, shall clearly and conspicuously state that—

“(1) the interest on the portion of the credit extension that is greater than the fair market value of the dwelling is not tax deductible for Federal income tax purposes; and

“(2) the consumer should consult a tax adviser for further information regarding the deductibility of interest and charges.”

(c) REGULATORY IMPLEMENTATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Board shall promulgate regulations implementing the amendments made by this section.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Regulations issued under paragraph (1) shall not take effect until the later of—

(A) 12 months after the date of enactment of this Act; or

(B) 12 months after the date of publication of such final regulations by the Board.

SEC. 1303. DISCLOSURES RELATED TO “INTRODUCTORY RATES”.

(a) INTRODUCTORY RATE DISCLOSURES.—Section 127(c) of the Truth in Lending Act (15 U.S.C. 1637(c)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(6) ADDITIONAL NOTICE CONCERNING ‘INTRODUCTORY RATES’.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), an application or solicitation to open a credit card account and all promotional materials accompanying such application or solicitation for which a disclosure is required under paragraph (1), and that offers a temporary annual percentage rate of interest, shall—

“(i) use the term ‘introductory’ in immediate proximity to each listing of the temporary annual percentage rate applicable to such account, which term shall appear clearly and conspicuously;

“(ii) if the annual percentage rate of interest that will apply after the end of the temporary rate period will be a fixed rate, state in a clear and conspicuous manner in a prominent location closely proximate to the first listing of the temporary annual percentage rate (other than a listing of the temporary annual percentage rate in the tabular format described in section 122(c)), the time period in which the introductory period will end and the annual percentage rate that will apply after the end of the introductory period; and

“(iii) if the annual percentage rate that will apply after the end of the temporary rate period will vary in accordance with an index, state in a clear and conspicuous manner in a prominent location closely proximate to the first listing of the temporary annual percentage rate (other than a listing in the tabular format prescribed by section 122(c)), the time period in which the introductory period will end and the rate that will apply after that, based on an annual percentage rate that was in effect within 60 days before the date of mailing the application or solicitation.

“(B) EXCEPTION.—Clauses (ii) and (iii) of subparagraph (A) do not apply with respect to any listing of a temporary annual percentage rate on an envelope or other enclosure in which an application or solicitation to open a credit card account is mailed.

“(C) CONDITIONS FOR INTRODUCTORY RATES.—An application or solicitation to open a credit card account for which a disclosure is required under paragraph (1), and that offers a temporary annual percentage rate of interest shall, if that rate of interest is revocable under any circumstance or upon

any event, clearly and conspicuously disclose, in a prominent manner on or with such application or solicitation—

“(i) a general description of the circumstances that may result in the revocation of the temporary annual percentage rate; and

“(ii) if the annual percentage rate that will apply upon the revocation of the temporary annual percentage rate—

“(I) will be a fixed rate, the annual percentage rate that will apply upon the revocation of the temporary annual percentage rate; or

“(II) will vary in accordance with an index, the rate that will apply after the temporary rate, based on an annual percentage rate that was in effect within 60 days before the date of mailing the application or solicitation.

“(D) DEFINITIONS.—In this paragraph—

“(i) the terms ‘temporary annual percentage rate of interest’ and ‘temporary annual percentage rate’ mean any rate of interest applicable to a credit card account for an introductory period of less than 1 year, if that rate is less than an annual percentage rate that was in effect within 60 days before the date of mailing the application or solicitation; and

“(ii) the term ‘introductory period’ means the maximum time period for which the temporary annual percentage rate may be applicable.

“(E) RELATION TO OTHER DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS.—Nothing in this paragraph may be construed to supersede subsection (a) of section 127, or any disclosure required by paragraph (1) or any other provision of this subsection.”

(b) REGULATORY IMPLEMENTATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Board shall promulgate regulations implementing the requirements of section 127(c)(6) of the Truth in Lending Act, as added by this section.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 127(c)(6) of the Truth in Lending Act, as added by this section, and regulations issued under paragraph (1) of this subsection shall not take effect until the later of—

(A) 12 months after the date of enactment of this Act; or

(B) 12 months after the date of publication of such final regulations by the Board.

SEC. 1304. INTERNET-BASED CREDIT CARD SOLICITATIONS.

(a) INTERNET-BASED SOLICITATIONS.—Section 127(c) of the Truth in Lending Act (15 U.S.C. 1637(c)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(7) INTERNET-BASED SOLICITATIONS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In any solicitation to open a credit card account for any person under an open end consumer credit plan using the Internet or other interactive computer service, the person making the solicitation shall clearly and conspicuously disclose—

“(i) the information described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of paragraph (1); and

“(ii) the information described in paragraph (6).

“(B) FORM OF DISCLOSURE.—The disclosures required by subparagraph (A) shall be—

“(i) readily accessible to consumers in close proximity to the solicitation to open a credit card account; and

“(ii) updated regularly to reflect the current policies, terms, and fee amounts applicable to the credit card account.

“(C) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this paragraph—

“(i) the term ‘Internet’ means the international computer network of both Federal and non-Federal interoperable packet switched data networks; and

“(ii) the term ‘interactive computer service’ means any information service, system,

or access software provider that provides or enables computer access by multiple users to a computer server, including specifically a service or system that provides access to the Internet and such systems operated or services offered by libraries or educational institutions.”

(b) REGULATORY IMPLEMENTATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Board shall promulgate regulations implementing the requirements of section 127(c)(7) of the Truth in Lending Act, as added by this section.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) and the regulations issued under paragraph (1) of this subsection shall not take effect until the later of—

(A) 12 months after the date of enactment of this Act; or

(B) 12 months after the date of publication of such final regulations by the Board.

SEC. 1305. DISCLOSURES RELATED TO LATE PAYMENT DEADLINES AND PENALTIES.

(a) DISCLOSURES RELATED TO LATE PAYMENT DEADLINES AND PENALTIES.—Section 127(b) of the Truth in Lending Act (15 U.S.C. 1637(b)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(12) If a late payment fee is to be imposed due to the failure of the obligor to make payment on or before a required payment due date, the following shall be stated clearly and conspicuously on the billing statement:

“(A) The date on which that payment is due or, if different, the earliest date on which a late payment fee may be charged.

“(B) The amount of the late payment fee to be imposed if payment is made after such date.”

(b) REGULATORY IMPLEMENTATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Board shall promulgate regulations implementing the requirements of section 127(b)(12) of the Truth in Lending Act, as added by this section.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) and regulations issued under paragraph (1) of this subsection shall not take effect until the later of—

(A) 12 months after the date of enactment of this Act; or

(B) 12 months after the date of publication of such final regulations by the Board.

SEC. 1306. PROHIBITION ON CERTAIN ACTIONS FOR FAILURE TO INCUR FINANCE CHARGES.

(a) PROHIBITION ON CERTAIN ACTIONS FOR FAILURE TO INCUR FINANCE CHARGES.—Section 127 of the Truth in Lending Act (15 U.S.C. 1637) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(h) PROHIBITION ON CERTAIN ACTIONS FOR FAILURE TO INCUR FINANCE CHARGES.—A creditor of an account under an open end consumer credit plan may not terminate an account prior to its expiration date solely because the consumer has not incurred finance charges on the account. Nothing in this subsection shall prohibit a creditor from terminating an account for inactivity in 3 or more consecutive months.”

(b) REGULATORY IMPLEMENTATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Board shall promulgate regulations implementing the requirements of section 127(h) of the Truth in Lending Act, as added by this section.

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) and regulations issued under paragraph (1) of this subsection shall not take effect until the later of—

(A) 12 months after the date of enactment of this Act; or

(B) 12 months after the date of publication of such final regulations by the Board.

SEC. 1307. DUAL USE DEBIT CARD.

(a) REPORT.—The Board may conduct a study of, and present to Congress a report containing its analysis of, consumer protections under existing law to limit the liability

of consumers for unauthorized use of a debit card or similar access device. Such report, if submitted, shall include recommendations for legislative initiatives, if any, of the Board, based on its findings.

(b) CONSIDERATIONS.—In preparing a report under subsection (a), the Board may include—

(1) the extent to which section 909 of the Electronic Fund Transfer Act (15 U.S.C. 1693g), as in effect at the time of the report, and the implementing regulations promulgated by the Board to carry out that section provide adequate unauthorized use liability protection for consumers;

(2) the extent to which any voluntary industry rules have enhanced or may enhance the level of protection afforded consumers in connection with such unauthorized use liability; and

(3) whether amendments to the Electronic Fund Transfer Act (15 U.S.C. 1693 et seq.), or revisions to regulations promulgated by the Board to carry out that Act, are necessary to further address adequate protection for consumers concerning unauthorized use liability.

SEC. 1308. STUDY OF BANKRUPTCY IMPACT OF CREDIT EXTENDED TO DEPENDENT STUDENTS.

(a) STUDY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Board shall conduct a study regarding the impact that the extension of credit described in paragraph (2) has on the rate of cases filed under title 11 of the United States Code.

(2) EXTENSION OF CREDIT.—The extension of credit described in this paragraph is the extension of credit to individuals who are—

(A) claimed as dependents for purposes of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; and

(B) enrolled within 1 year of successfully completing all required secondary education requirements and on a full-time basis, in postsecondary educational institutions.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Board shall submit to the Senate and the House of Representatives a report summarizing the results of the study conducted under subsection (a).

SEC. 1309. CLARIFICATION OF CLEAR AND CONSPICUOUS.

(a) REGULATIONS.—Not later than 6 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Board, in consultation with the other Federal banking agencies (as defined in section 3 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act), the National Credit Union Administration Board, and the Federal Trade Commission, shall promulgate regulations to provide guidance regarding the meaning of the term “clear and conspicuous”, as used in subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C) of section 127(b)(11) and clauses (ii) and (iii) of section 127(c)(6)(A) of the Truth in Lending Act.

(b) EXAMPLES.—Regulations promulgated under subsection (a) shall include examples of clear and conspicuous model disclosures for the purposes of disclosures required by the provisions of the Truth in Lending Act referred to in subsection (a).

(c) STANDARDS.—In promulgating regulations under this section, the Board shall ensure that the clear and conspicuous standard required for disclosures made under the provisions of the Truth in Lending Act referred to in subsection (a) can be implemented in a manner which results in disclosures which are reasonably understandable and designed to call attention to the nature and significance of the information in the notice.

**TITLE XIV—GENERAL EFFECTIVE DATE;
APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS**

SEC. 1401. EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS.

(a) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—Except as otherwise provided in this Act, this Act and the amendments made by this Act shall take effect 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

(b) **APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as otherwise provided in this Act and paragraph (2), the amendments made by this Act shall not apply with respect to cases commenced under title 11, United States Code, before the effective date of this Act.

(2) **CERTAIN LIMITATIONS APPLICABLE TO DEBTORS.**—The amendments made by sections 308, 322, and 330 shall apply with respect to cases commenced under title 11, United States Code, on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**TITLE XV—PREVENTING CORPORATE
BANKRUPTCY ABUSE**

SEC. 1501. EMPLOYEE WAGE AND BENEFIT PRIORITIES.

Section 507(a) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3) by striking “90” and inserting “180”, and

(2) in paragraphs (3) and (4) by striking “\$4,000” and inserting “\$10,000”.

SEC. 1502. FRAUDULENT TRANSFERS AND OBLIGATIONS.

Section 548 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsections (a) and (b) by striking “one year” and inserting “2 years”,

(2) in subsection (a)—

(A) by inserting “(including any transfer to or for the benefit of an insider under an employment contract)” after “transfer” the 1st place it appears, and

(B) by inserting “(including any obligation to or for the benefit of an insider under an employment contract)” after “obligation” the 1st place it appears, and

(3) in subsection (a)(1)(B)(ii)—

(A) in subclause (II) by striking “or” at the end,

(B) in subclause (III) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; or”, and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(IV) made such transfer to or for the benefit of an insider, or incurred such obligation to or for the benefit of an insider, under an employment contract and not in the ordinary course of business.”

SEC. 1503. PAYMENT OF INSURANCE BENEFITS TO RETIRED EMPLOYEES.

Section 1114 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (l) as subsection (m), and

(2) by inserting after subsection (k) the following:

“(1) If the debtor, during the 180-day period ending on the date of the filing of the petition—

“(1) modified retiree benefits; and

“(2) was insolvent on the date such benefits were modified;

the court, on motion of a party in interest, and after notice and a hearing, shall issue an order reinstating as of the date the modification was made, such benefits as in effect immediately before such date unless the court finds that the balance of the equities clearly favors such modification.”

SEC. 1504. EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS.

(a) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—Except as provided in subsection (b), this Act and the amendments made by this Act shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) **APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this

Act shall apply only with respect to cases commenced under title 11 of the United States Code on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) **AVOIDANCE PERIOD.**—The amendment made by section 3(1) shall apply only with respect to cases commenced under title 11 of the United States Code more than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act.

The CHAIRMAN. No amendments to the amendment in the nature of a substitute are in order except the amendments printed in House Report 108-407. Each amendment may be offered only in the order printed in the report, by a Member designated in the report, shall be considered read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report, equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question.

It is now in order to consider Amendment No. 1 printed in House Report 108-407.

AMENDMENT NO. 1 OFFERED BY MR.
SENSENBRENNER

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment.

The CHAIRMAN. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Mr. SENSENBRENNER:

Strike “Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2003” each place it appears and insert “Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2004”.

In section 204 strike “2002” and insert “2003”.

Strike section 1001 and insert the following:

SEC. 1001. PERMANENT REENACTMENT OF CHAPTER 12.

(a) **REENACTMENT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 12 of title 11, United States Code, as reenacted by section 149 of division C of the Omnibus Consolidated and Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1999 (Public Law 105-277), and as in effect on December 31, 2003, is hereby reenacted.

(2) **EFFECTIVE DATE OF REENACTMENT.**—Paragraph (1) shall take effect on January 1, 2004.

(b) **AMENDMENTS.**—Chapter 12 of title 11, United States Code, as reenacted by subsection (a), is amended by this Act.

(c) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 302 of the Bankruptcy Judges, United States Trustees, and Family Farmer Bankruptcy Act of 1986 (28 U.S.C. 581 note) is amended by striking subsection (f).

In section 1201—

(1) strike paragraph (2) and insert the following:

(2) in each paragraph (other than paragraph (54A)), by inserting “The term” after the paragraph designation;

and

(2) strike paragraph (7) and insert the following:

(7) in paragraph (54A)—

(A) by striking “the term” and inserting “The term”; and

(B) by indenting the left margin of paragraph (54A) 2 ems to the right; and

Strike titles XIV and XV, and insert the following:

**TITLE XIV—PREVENTING CORPORATE
BANKRUPTCY ABUSE**

SEC. 1401. EMPLOYEE WAGE AND BENEFIT PRIORITIES.

Section 507(a) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 212, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (4) by striking “90” and inserting “180”, and

(2) in paragraphs (4) and (5) by striking “\$4,000” and inserting “\$10,000”.

SEC. 1402. FRAUDULENT TRANSFERS AND OBLIGATIONS.

Section 548 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsections (a) and (b) by striking “one year” and inserting “2 years”,

(2) in subsection (a)—

(A) by inserting “(including any transfer to or for the benefit of an insider under an employment contract)” after “transfer” the 1st place it appears, and

(B) by inserting “(including any obligation to or for the benefit of an insider under an employment contract)” after “obligation” the 1st place it appears, and

(3) in subsection (a)(1)(B)(ii)—

(A) in subclause (II) by striking “or” at the end,

(B) in subclause (III) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; or”, and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(IV) made such transfer to or for the benefit of an insider, or incurred such obligation to or for the benefit of an insider, under an employment contract and not in the ordinary course of business.”

SEC. 1403. PAYMENT OF INSURANCE BENEFITS TO RETIRED EMPLOYEES.

Section 1114 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (l) as subsection (m), and

(2) by inserting after subsection (k) the following:

“(1) If the debtor, during the 180-day period ending on the date of the filing of the petition—

“(1) modified retiree benefits; and

“(2) was insolvent on the date such benefits were modified;

the court, on motion of a party in interest, and after notice and a hearing, shall issue an order reinstating as of the date the modification was made, such benefits as in effect immediately before such date unless the court finds that the balance of the equities clearly favors such modification.”

SEC. 1404. EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS.

(a) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—Except as provided in subsection (b), this title and the amendments made by this title shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) **APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the amendments made by this title shall apply only with respect to cases commenced under title 11 of the United States Code on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) **AVOIDANCE PERIOD.**—The amendment made by section 1402(1) shall apply only with respect to cases commenced under title 11 of the United States Code more than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**TITLE XV—GENERAL EFFECTIVE DATE;
APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS**

SEC. 1501. EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS.

(a) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—Except as otherwise provided in this Act, this Act and the amendments made by this Act shall take effect 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

(b) **APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as otherwise provided in this Act and paragraph (2), the

amendments made by this Act shall not apply with respect to cases commenced under title 11, United States Code, before the effective date of this Act.

(2) CERTAIN LIMITATIONS APPLICABLE TO DEBTORS.—The amendments made by sections 308, 322, and 330 shall apply with respect to cases commenced under title 11, United States Code, on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1502. TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS.

(a) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 11 OF THE UNITED STATES CODE.—Title 11 of the United States Code, as amended by the preceding provisions of this Act, is amended—

(1) in section 507—
(A) in subsection (a)—
(i) in paragraph (5)(B)(ii) by striking “paragraph (3)” and inserting “paragraph (4)”; and

(ii) in paragraph (8)(D) by striking “paragraph (3)” and inserting “paragraph (4)”;
(B) in subsection (b) by striking “subsection (a)(1)” and inserting “subsection (a)(2)”; and

(C) in subsection (d) by striking “subsection (a)(3)” and inserting “subsection (a)(1)”;
(2) in section 523(a)(1)(A) by striking “507(a)(2)” and inserting “507(a)(3)”;
(3) in section 752(a) by striking “507(a)(1)” and inserting “507(a)(2)”;
(4) in section 766—

(A) in subsection (h) by striking “507(a)(1)” and inserting “507(a)(2)”; and
(B) in subsection (i) by striking “507(a)(1)” each place it appears and inserting “507(a)(2)”;
(5) in section 901(a) by striking “507(a)(1)” and inserting “507(a)(2)”;
(6) in section 943(b)(5) by striking “507(a)(1)” and inserting “507(a)(2)”;
(7) in section 1123(a)(1) by striking “507(a)(1), 507(a)(2)” and inserting “507(a)(2), 507(a)(3)”;
(8) in section 1129(a)(9)—

(A) in subparagraph (A) by striking “507(a)(1) or 507(a)(2)” and inserting “507(a)(2) or 507(a)(3)”; and
(B) in subparagraph (B) by striking “507(a)(3)” and inserting “507(a)(1)”;
(9) in section 1226(b)(1) by striking “507(a)(1)” and inserting “507(a)(2)”; and
(10) in section 1326(b)(1) by striking “507(a)(1)” and inserting “507(a)(2)”;.

(b) RELATED CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 6(e) of the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970 (15 U.S.C. 78fff(e)) is amended by striking “507(a)(1)” and inserting “507(a)(2)”;.

In the table of contents strike the items relating to titles XIV and XV, and insert the following items:

TITLE XIV—PREVENTING CORPORATE BANKRUPTCY ABUSE
Sec. 1401. Employee wage and benefit priorities.
Sec. 1402. Fraudulent transfers and obligations.
Sec. 1403. Payment of insurance benefits to retired employees.
Sec. 1404. Effective date; application of amendments.

TITLE XV—GENERAL EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS
Sec. 1501. Effective date; application of amendments.
Sec. 1502. Technical corrections.

The CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to House Resolution 503, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER).

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, this amendment is very straightforward. It simply makes several minor corrections to the text of H.R. 975 that was passed by the House, which according to the rule has been substituted as the text of Senate 1920.

The technical revisions consist of the following:
The short title of the bill is revised to reflect the current year. Section 1001 of the bill is amended to clarify that the reenactment of Chapter 12 is made retroactively; this ensures that cases filed by family farmers during the lapsed period can simply be converted to Chapter 12 once it is reenacted. Titles XIV and XV of the bill are renumbered as titles XV and XIV, respectively, to clarify the bill's overall effective date. An erroneous drafting instruction in section 1201 of the bill is corrected. And a new provision is added to correct statutory cross-references in current law.

This is technical and noncontroversial, and I urge my colleagues to support the amendment.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. WATT. Mr. Chairman, I claim the time in opposition to the amendment, and I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, I rise solely to advise that we have had no indication from our side that there is anybody who opposes these technical amendments and we, therefore, concur in the amendments.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The CHAIRMAN. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER).

The amendment was agreed to.

The CHAIRMAN. It is now in order to consider Amendment No. 2 printed in House Report 108-407.

AMENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE NO. 2 OFFERED BY MS. BALDWIN

Ms. BALDWIN. Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment in the nature of a substitute.

The CHAIRMAN. The Clerk will designate the amendment in the nature of a substitute.

The text of the amendment in the nature of a substitute is as follows:

Amendment in the nature of a substitute No. 2 offered by Ms. BALDWIN:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Protection of Family Farmers and Family Fishermen Act of 2004”.

SEC. 2. PERMANENT REENACTMENT OF CHAPTER 12.

(a) PERMANENT REENACTMENT.—

(1) REENACTMENT.—Chapter 12 of title 11, United States Code, as reenacted by section

149 of division C of the Omnibus Consolidated and Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1999 (Public Law 105-277), and as in effect on December 31, 2003, is hereby reenacted.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 302 of the Bankruptcy Judges, United States Trustees, and Family Farmer Bankruptcy Act of 1986 (28 U.S.C. 581 note) is amended by striking subsection (f).

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE OF REENACTMENT.—Subsection (a) shall take effect on January 1, 2004.

SEC. 3. DEBT LIMIT INCREASE.

Section 104(b) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 226, is amended by inserting “101(18),” after “101(3),” each place it appears.

SEC. 4. CERTAIN CLAIMS OWED TO GOVERNMENTAL UNITS.

(a) CONTENTS OF PLAN.—Section 1222(a)(2) of title 11, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(2) provide for the full payment, in deferred cash payments, of all claims entitled to priority under section 507, unless—

“(A) the claim is a claim owed to a governmental unit that arises as a result of the sale, transfer, exchange, or other disposition of any farm asset used in the debtor's farming operation, in which case the claim shall be treated as an unsecured claim that is not entitled to priority under section 507, but the debt shall be treated in such manner only if the debtor receives a discharge; or

“(B) the holder of a particular claim agrees to a different treatment of that claim;”.

(b) SPECIAL NOTICE PROVISIONS.—Section 1231(b) of title 11, United States Code, as so designated by section 719, is amended by striking “a State or local governmental unit” and inserting “any governmental unit”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS.—This section and the amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and shall not apply with respect to cases commenced under title 11 of the United States Code before such date.

SEC. 5. DEFINITION OF FAMILY FARMER.

Section 101(18) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A)—
(A) by striking “\$1,500,000” and inserting “\$3,237,000”; and
(B) by striking “80” and inserting “50”; and
(2) in subparagraph (B)(ii)—
(A) by striking “\$1,500,000” and inserting “\$3,237,000”; and
(B) by striking “80” and inserting “50”.

SEC. 6. ELIMINATION OF REQUIREMENT THAT FAMILY FARMER AND SPOUSE RECEIVE OVER 50 PERCENT OF INCOME FROM FARMING OPERATION IN YEAR PRIOR TO BANKRUPTCY.

Section 101(18)(A) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by striking “for the taxable year preceding the taxable year” and inserting the following:

“for—
“(i) the taxable year preceding; or
“(ii) each of the 2d and 3d taxable years preceding;
the taxable year”.

SEC. 7. PROHIBITION OF RETROACTIVE ASSESSMENT OF DISPOSABLE INCOME.

(a) CONFIRMATION OF PLAN.—Section 1225(b)(1) of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A) by striking “or” at the end;

(2) in subparagraph (B) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; or”; and
(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(C) the value of the property to be distributed under the plan in the 3-year period, or

such longer period as the court may approve under section 1222(c), beginning on the date that the first distribution is due under the plan is not less than the debtor's projected disposable income for such period."

(b) MODIFICATION OF PLAN.—Section 1229 of title 11, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(d) A plan may not be modified under this section—

"(1) to increase the amount of any payment due before the plan as modified becomes the plan;

"(2) by anyone except the debtor, based on an increase in the debtor's disposable income, to increase the amount of payments to unsecured creditors required for a particular month so that the aggregate of such payments exceeds the debtor's disposable income for such month; or

"(3) in the last year of the plan by anyone except the debtor, to require payments that would leave the debtor with insufficient funds to carry on the farming operation after the plan is completed."

SEC. 8. FAMILY FISHERMEN.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 101 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting after paragraph (7) the following:

"(7A) 'commercial fishing operation' means—

"(A) the catching or harvesting of fish, shrimp, lobsters, urchins, seaweed, shellfish, or other aquatic species or products of such species; or

"(B) for purposes of section 109 and chapter 12, aquaculture activities consisting of raising for market any species or product described in subparagraph (A);

"(7B) 'commercial fishing vessel' means a vessel used by a family fisherman to carry out a commercial fishing operation;"; and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (19) the following:

"(19A) 'family fisherman' means—

"(A) an individual or individual and spouse engaged in a commercial fishing operation—

"(i) whose aggregate debts do not exceed \$1,500,000 and not less than 80 percent of whose aggregate noncontingent, liquidated debts (excluding a debt for the principal residence of such individual or such individual and spouse, unless such debt arises out of a commercial fishing operation), on the date the case is filed, arise out of a commercial fishing operation owned or operated by such individual or such individual and spouse; and

"(ii) who receive from such commercial fishing operation more than 50 percent of such individual's or such individual's and spouse's gross income for the taxable year preceding the taxable year in which the case concerning such individual or such individual and spouse was filed; or

"(B) a corporation or partnership—

"(i) in which more than 50 percent of the outstanding stock or equity is held by—

"(I) 1 family that conducts the commercial fishing operation; or

"(II) 1 family and the relatives of the members of such family, and such family or such relatives conduct the commercial fishing operation; and

"(ii)(I) more than 80 percent of the value of its assets consists of assets related to the commercial fishing operation;

"(II) its aggregate debts do not exceed \$1,500,000 and not less than 80 percent of its aggregate noncontingent, liquidated debts (excluding a debt for 1 dwelling which is owned by such corporation or partnership and which a shareholder or partner maintains as a principal residence, unless such debt arises out of a commercial fishing operation), on the date the case is filed, arise out of a commercial fishing operation owned or

operated by such corporation or such partnership; and

"(III) if such corporation issues stock, such stock is not publicly traded;

"(19B) 'family fisherman with regular annual income' means a family fisherman whose annual income is sufficiently stable and regular to enable such family fisherman to make payments under a plan under chapter 12 of this title;";

(b) WHO MAY BE A DEBTOR.—Section 109(f) of title 11, United States Code, is amended by inserting "or family fisherman" after "family farmer";

(c) CHAPTER 12.—Chapter 12 of title 11, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the chapter heading, by inserting "**OR FISHERMAN**" after "**FAMILY FARMER**";

(2) in section 1203, by inserting "or commercial fishing operation" after "farm"; and

(3) in section 1206, by striking "if the property is farmland or farm equipment" and inserting "if the property is farmland, farm equipment, or property used to carry out a commercial fishing operation (including a commercial fishing vessel)";

(d) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—In the table of chapters for title 11, United States Code, the item relating to chapter 12, is amended to read as follows:

"12. Adjustments of Debts of a Family Farmer or Family Fisherman with Regular Annual Income 1201".

(e) APPLICABILITY.—Nothing in this section shall change, affect, or amend the Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976 (16 U.S.C. 1801, et seq.).

The CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to House Resolution 503, the gentlewoman from Wisconsin (Ms. BALDWIN) and the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER) each will control 30 minutes.

The gentlewoman from Wisconsin (Ms. BALDWIN) is recognized for 30 minutes.

Ms. BALDWIN. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, as you know, Chapter 12 family farmers bankruptcy protection is an effective and noncontroversial part of our Nation's Bankruptcy Code. Since its creation in 1986, it has allowed our Nation's family farmers who face economic hardship a greater opportunity to reorganize their debts and continue in farming.

As with many laws that we pass, the benefits of the direct impact, which can be easily measured, are often exceeded by the indirect benefits. Chapter 12 does not just benefit those using its protections. Many farmers who face the possibility of a bankruptcy never get to the point of a court filing. Bankruptcy trustees and bankruptcy attorneys are quick to point out that the very existence of the option of Chapter 12 filing promotes negotiations between farmers and their creditors, thus preventing bankruptcy filings altogether.

Chapter 12 protection is currently unavailable to our Nation's farmers. It expired on December 31, 2003. The House should have taken up the 6-month extension bill, Senate bill 1920, passed without amendment, and sent it to the President immediately. However, by approving the rule earlier today, we have foreclosed that option; therefore, I am offering this substitute amendment.

Mr. Chairman, my amendment provides the House with a clear policy choice by allowing a vote on passing a permanent Chapter 12 authorization instead of continuing to keep it tied to the controversial larger bankruptcy bill. My amendment simply uses the Chapter 12 language that was agreed to by bipartisan, bicameral conferees during the 107th Congress. It is the same as the bipartisan bill, Senate bill 2004, introduced by Members of the other body.

The amendment does the following: It makes Chapter 12 farm bankruptcy protections a permanent part of our Bankruptcy Code; it would increase the debt limits that a family farm can hold to qualify for Chapter 12 from \$1.5 million to \$3.2 million; and it would index those debt limits to the consumer price index. It would reduce from 80 percent to 50 percent the percentage of family farm liabilities that are due to farming operations; it would look at the previous 3 years, instead of only the previous year when determining whether 50 percent of income is from farming operations; and it would expand this type of bankruptcy protection to family fishermen.

These changes to Chapter 12 are not controversial and enjoy widespread bipartisan support.

Since I was first elected to Congress 5 years ago, we have passed eight, eight temporary extensions to Chapter 12. It is time to end this repetitive cycle of extensions and extensions. Our struggling family farmers should not be used as leverage. They should not have to continue to wait while we play games with Chapter 12 protections. This bill provides a textbook example that what we do here in Washington directly affects the lives of people facing real financial challenges.

In Wisconsin recently, a farmer from Columbus filed for Chapter 12 bankruptcy. He works day and night to make his farm a success. Unfortunately, like many farmers, the weather and the market conspired to disrupt his cash flow. Filing Chapter 12 bankruptcy gave his family time to negotiate with his creditors while he switched production from corn and soybeans to vegetables, which he now sells in local markets. He sells his produce in farmers markets in Madison and in Princeton, Wisconsin, and he is paying his debts.

Under Chapter 12, it was not only the Columbus farmer that benefited, his family and his creditors now are receiving their money. The people in my district can purchase his bounty, and he can continue to support his farm, his family and his obligations.

Every time we come to the floor to extend Chapter 12, we are told that a permanent extension cannot be passed separately from the big bill because taking out this terribly popular item would slow the bill's momentum. We were told that we had to strip the permanent extension of Chapter 12 from last year's farm bill because it would

slow down the progress of the bankruptcy bill. We were told in June when we extended Chapter 12 again that we had to wait. Our farmers have been waiting for more than 5 years, and it is time to get this done.

Let us end the uncertainty these extensions cause by passing a permanent authorization. That is what my amendment would do. I sort of feel like I am in the middle of the movie "Groundhog Day." Every 6 months we go through a process of extending Chapter 12 extensions again. Every session of Congress we go through a drawn-out debate regarding a larger overhaul of our bankruptcy laws. My amendment would break us out of that cycle.

It is time to stop using our farmers as pawns to push for bankruptcy reform and it is time to restore this important protection. We should not be playing politics with the livelihood of our farmers by putting the special interests who want the bankruptcy overhaul ahead of the real needs of struggling family farmers.

I urge my colleagues to pass the Baldwin substitute amendment.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, with all due respect to my colleague from Wisconsin (Ms. BALDWIN), she had an opportunity to advance the bankruptcy bill on November 14, 2002, but voted against the rule to bring up the conference report that contained the compromise language relative to the abortion clinic protestors judgment discharge, and that vote was rejected, 172 to 243. Had that rule passed, obviously the conference report would have passed, the President would have signed the bill at the end of 2002, and we would not be here talking about any of these issues here today.

But I rise in opposition to this amendment because as we begin this debate, I want to make it perfectly clear that by opposing this amendment we are not in any respect jeopardizing the financial well-being of family farmers, or as proponents of this amendment have tried to construe the issue, holding farmers hostage. Nothing could be further from the truth.

The reality is that Senate 1920, as it will be voted on today, already accomplishes everything this amendment does and, indeed, much more. Since its enactment, Chapter 12 has lapsed on six occasions. The shortest lapse periods was 20 days; the longest was approximately 10 months. As with prior measures reenacting Chapter 12, the bill before us is retroactive, which will protect family farmers.

Mr. Chairman, you should know that the amendments simply extract one series of reforms from pending bankruptcy legislation. But what opponents of the amendment fail to include, however, are literally hundreds of other reforms in Senate 1920 that would benefit

farmers in many other ways and nearly all Americans as well.

Although my colleague on the other side of the aisle essentially asserts that farmers are being held hostage to bankruptcy reform, the reality is that bankruptcy reform is being held hostage. Just look at the roll calls and who voted which way in the eight or nine votes that the House has had since 1998.

Here are just a small sample of the reforms being held up by opponents of overall reforms: First, reforms giving the Justice Department and the courts the tools they need to deal with fraud and abuse in the current bankruptcy system. Voting for this amendment and against the bill means that the Justice Department and the courts will not have those tools to deal with fraud and abuse.

Second, remedies addressing the so-called "mansion loophole" by which corporate criminals and other wrongdoers can shield their million dollar homes from the just claims of their creditors. And that includes employees of major corporations that had their 401(k)s looted as a result of stock prices tanking and they could not diversify what was in the 401(k)s.

So try telling that to an Enron or WorldCom employee that we are going to allow future corporate wrongdoers to be able to stiff their employees as well. The amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Wisconsin (Ms. BALDWIN) would allow that to happen. The base bill does not.

Third, reforms representing deadbeat parents from using bankruptcy as a means of avoiding their child support obligation. This bill increases the priority of child support obligations in bankruptcy. The Baldwin amendment does not do that. The National Child Support Enforcement Association states that these reforms are crucial to the collection of child support during bankruptcy. Do not turn your back on custodial parents who have to file bankruptcy because they cannot collect their support.

□ 1500

Fourth, authorization for the appointment of additional bankruptcy judges in districts where there is a huge backlog of bankruptcy cases. Voting for the Baldwin amendment will mean justice delayed being justice denied. Voting against it and passing the bill will allow more judges to prosecute these cases to a conclusion.

Fifth, protections for victims of crimes of violence from being further victimized by criminals who file for bankruptcy relief.

Sixth, reforms requiring consumers to receive important information about the alternatives to, and consequences of, bankruptcy before they file for relief. Is it not better that people not file for bankruptcy because they can get better information and counseling to prevent them from having a scarlet letter being attached to their name be-

cause they had to go through bankruptcy?

There are also provisions waiving the filing of bankruptcy fees for the indigent. If my colleagues vote for the Baldwin amendment and against the bill, those provisions are not there.

There are reforms requiring millions of consumers to receive a monthly credit card billing statement that would include specific disclosures about the increased interest and repayment time associated with making minimum payments. A lot of people end up having to file for bankruptcy because they get themselves further and further in the hole with revolving credit card payments. If there is a warning on that and some information on that on the statements maybe not as many people will end up getting in that hole.

Also, the enactment of long-overdue reforms intended to reduce systemic risk in the banking and financial marketplace by minimizing the risk of disruption when parties to certain financial transactions become bankrupt or insolvent, the so-called netting provision. Federal Reserve Board Chairman Alan Greenspan has said these reforms are extremely important. They are extremely important for economic stability. The authors and supporters of the Baldwin amendment turn their backs on these reforms.

Also, protections against the disclosure of the name of a debtor's minor children in public bankruptcy files. Apparently, the people who want to strip these reforms out want anybody to go into a courthouse and see the names of minor children in a parent's bankruptcy file and let that become a matter of public discussion. There are also provisions preventing debtors from selling their customers' personally identifiable information.

The bill has reforms requiring the appointment of an ombudsman to safeguard the interests of patients in health care facilities that are in bankruptcy. Support the amendment and vote down the bill; there is no ombudsman to help out those patients in the bankrupt health care facility.

In light of the disastrous impact that bankruptcy cases like WorldCom and Enron have had on their employees, reforms that more than double the current monetary cap on wage and employee benefit claims entitled to priority under the bankruptcy code are included in my bill, but not the amendment that is before the House.

Other provisions would protect retirees in cases where chapter 11 debtors unilaterally modify their benefits, such as health insurance. We protect as best as possible retirees of a bankrupt company in forcing the company to try to uphold their health insurance obligation to those retirees.

Vote for the Baldwin amendment; those are not in there. My bill has got them.

These reforms would make it easier to recover excessive pre-petition compensation such as bonuses paid to insiders of a debtor that can be used to pay unpaid employee wage claims. My bill has got that. The amendment does not.

I should also point out that chapter 12 is rarely utilized by family farmers. Last year, less than 700 chapter 12 cases were filed out of the nearly 1.7 million bankruptcy cases filed during the same period. When chapter 12 lapses, as it has in the past, farmers can still seek bankruptcy relief under other chapters of the bankruptcy code; so we do not leave farmers that need to file for bankruptcy out in the cold. Merely what we do is enact chapter 12 on a permanent basis, and because of the provision in retroactivity and the amendment that was just adopted, their cases can be converted to chapter 12 once that chapter is reenacted.

While we obviously care about family farmers, we also care about the indigent, the patient, the single moms with unpaid support claims, retired employees who have lost their health benefits and the financial well-being of millions of consumers. Accordingly, Mr. Chairman, I urge my colleagues to vote against this amendment.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. BALDWIN. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

This House has already debated and voted on H.R. 975. My amendment does nothing to change that. This is truly a matter between the Republican leadership of the two bodies.

The gentleman notes that the chapter 12 provisions have expired six times of varying length, most recently on December 31, 2003.

I would note that June 23, 2003, the same gentleman said on this floor that "it is crucial that this specialized form of bankruptcy relief for farmers not be allowed to sunset for two fundamental reasons. First, family farmers absent chapter 12 would be forced to file for bankruptcy relief under the bankruptcy code's other alternatives, none of which work as well for them as does chapter 12."

We started the day with a bill before us that was simply a 6-month extension of chapter 12 bankruptcy. We can end the day with a permanent authorization of that bankruptcy code if my colleagues support my substitute amendment.

Mr. Chairman, I yield 2½ minutes to the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. HOLDEN), who has been extraordinarily active on this issue in fighting for family farmers.

Mr. HOLDEN. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentlewoman for yielding me the time.

Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of the Baldwin amendment, which simply seeks to extend chapter 12 of the bankruptcy code on a permanent basis once and for all. This amendment represents an achievable solution to a problem that has existed for more than 6 years.

In 1997, the National Bankruptcy Review Commission recommended that chapter 12 of the Federal Bankruptcy Code, the chapter that contains bankruptcy protection for family farmers, be made permanent.

Chapter 12 is by no means a controversial issue. It was enacted in 1986 as a measure to allow family farmers to repay their debts according to a plan under court supervision. Chapter 12 prevents a situation from occurring where a few bad crop years result in the loss of the family farm.

In the absence of chapter 12, family farmers are forced to file for bankruptcy relief under the bankruptcy code's other alternatives, none of which work quite as well for farmers as chapter 12 does. Chapter 11, for example, will require a farmer to sell the family farm to pay the claims of creditors. How can a farmer be expected to come up with the money to pay off his debts without his farm? Chapter 11 is an expensive process that does not accommodate the special needs of farmers.

This Congress, just as in previous Congresses, the larger Bankruptcy Reform Act, H.R. 975, includes a provision that permanently extends chapter 12. Also in this Congress, just as in previous Congresses, the larger Bankruptcy Reform Act, while enjoying a majority of support in the House, remains a controversial bill whose consideration by the other body remains a question. Simply substituting the text of H.R. 975 into this bill and sending it back over to the other body will not bring us any closer to extending chapter 12, even on a temporary basis.

For years now, family farmers have been held hostage by the contentious debate surrounding the larger bankruptcy issue. Since at least the 105th Congress, they have been made to sit on pins and needles waiting to see if we will extend these protections for another few months as we try to work out the larger bankruptcy issue.

Mr. Chairman, the family farmers have waited long enough. Family farmers cannot make long-term financial plans based on 6-month extensions. Permanently extending chapter 12 will give farmers the kind of protection they desperately need, the kind of protections we have already voted for time and time again since the 1997 National Bankruptcy Review Commission recommendation.

I urge my colleagues to accept the Baldwin amendment.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chairman, how much time remains on each side?

The CHAIRMAN. The gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER) has 20½ minutes remaining. The gentlewoman from Wisconsin (Ms. BALDWIN) has 18½ minutes remaining.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chairman, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Utah (Mr. CANNON).

Mr. CANNON. Mr. Chairman, I think it is important here to agree where

things are agreeable and to be clear about what the disagreements are.

I think it is very clear that we have had a number of extensions to the farm bill. I think it is clear that those extensions have all been retroactive. I think it is clear that every Member of this body wants to make sure that this noncontroversial provision continues in place. I think everyone should agree here that it is important that farmers are able to get credit, and balancing the issues before us are important so that that credit system stays in place and so that we also enhance, by the way, the rest of our economy.

The fact is the bill before us is a bipartisan bill. We have heard special interests uttered numerous times here, and perhaps we ought to have the same kind of response to that that we have in the Bible because it is so misleading. The fact is this is not a special interest bill. This is a bill that passed 315 to 115. This is a bipartisan bill that solves problems that we need to resolve in our economy.

On the other hand, those people who are passionate about prosecuting possible acts of people who are against abortion, that represents I believe a special interest that should not be one that sets aside this bill and allows it to go forward.

Another thing that we apparently disagree on is that this bill can be passed or not. The fact is this is a passable bill. It can be passed very quickly. It can solve the problems of our family farmers. It can reinstate chapter 12, which we all agree is very, very important, and it can move through a conference with the Senate and to the President for signature very quickly. We have done a number of things in this bill to make it helpful for Americans and for American consumers, and I would urge opposition to the amendment and support for the underlying bill.

Ms. BALDWIN. Mr. Chairman, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. WATT).

Mr. WATT. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentlewoman for yielding me the time; and, Mr. Chairman, I do not think I will take 5 minutes, but I wanted to make a couple of comments because the chairman of the full committee has chided me on one or more occasions about voting against the rule that would have allowed the old bankruptcy bill that had the abortion clinics provisions in it to come to the floor and has made it sound like I did something that was inappropriate.

Now the chairman of the committee is going to have the opportunity to show how committed he is to a permanent extension of the family farms because the gentlewoman from Wisconsin's (Ms. BALDWIN) amendment would make the family farms provisions of the bankruptcy law permanent, and he has gone out of his way to talk about how he would like to see those provisions be permanent. I will be anxious to see how he plans to vote on this

amendment because this is the clear way to make the provisions that protect family farmers permanent in the law, to keep it away from all of this abortion clinic politics, to keep it completely away from bankruptcy reform politics. This is the vote that will show either my colleagues are committed to protecting family farmers in this country or they are not.

I am anxiously awaiting how my colleagues are going to cast their vote on this, since the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER) has made such a point of pointing out that I voted against the rule that would have allowed the prior bill to come to the floor last year. So this amendment is on the floor. There will be a recorded vote. I will be anxious to see how my chairman votes on it.

It is clear that farmers in this country are having a difficult time. Whereas there was a 7 percent, almost-8 percent decline in small business or business bankruptcies in 2003 and a 7 percent increase in individual bankruptcy filings in 2003, there was a 116.8 percent increase in bankruptcy filings by farmers in this country.

□ 1515

So it is clear that farmers have been in distress.

This bill started out being a non-controversial, farmer-friendly bill that would have passed this House on the suspension calendar had the leadership decided that it would put it on the suspension calendar. It had broad bipartisan support. We have extended on several occasions before the family-farm provisions.

It is not tied up in the politics of bankruptcy reform. It is not tied up in the politics of abortion clinics and whether there ought to be abortion provisions in the bankruptcy bill. This is a clear, clear-cut vote on whether we want to permanently extend the family-farm provisions.

So let there be no mistake about it, family farmers ought to hold Members of this body accountable on this vote. It is not trapped with any kind of political agenda. It is what we all have fought for. It is what we say we all believe in. This is our opportunity to vote on it. So I want to encourage my colleagues to support the Baldwin amendment.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. GOODLATTE), the chairman of the Committee on Agriculture.

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Chairman, I want to thank the chairman, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER), for yielding me this time, and as chairman of the House Committee on Agriculture, I thank him for his leadership in bringing this legislation to the floor to help not only America's farmers and ranchers, but all small businesses dealing with the problems that exist in our current Bankruptcy Code.

The Baldwin amendment seeks to pick apart the comprehensive reforms by addressing farm and ranch bankruptcy only, which may be a popular proposal at first glance to many of us who represent farm country, but it is one the House should turn down this afternoon. If the House wants to enact full Chapter 12 reforms, including a permanent authorization, then Members should adopt the Sensenbrenner substitute, which contains these reforms.

Unfortunately, the Baldwin amendment is another cynical attempt to pit farmers and ranchers against other small-business owners and consumers. The bottom line is, adopting the Sensenbrenner comprehensive package protects family farmers, single moms, small businesses and millions of consumers. I would ask Members to vote "no" on the Baldwin amendment and keep bankruptcy reforms in a single bipartisan package of long overdue reforms to the Bankruptcy Code.

Ms. BALDWIN. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume; and in closing, I would only reiterate what I said earlier, which is that we started the day, this morning, with a very simple bill before us, a bill to extend by 6 months the Chapter 12 protections for family farmers. We could end the day, if we pass this substitute amendment, with permanent authorization of Chapter 12 bankruptcy protections for our family farmers and family fishermen who are struggling today in the United States.

Instead, we have before us a massive bankruptcy overhaul that we have already debated and voted on in this House. These parliamentary maneuvers are most unfair to the farmers across America who woke up today hoping we would provide them relief. That is what we should do, and I urge Members to support the Baldwin substitute amendment.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Chairman, I do not think that Chapter 12 is controversial. It is also not utilized very much in bankruptcy filings. The statistical report of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, for example, show that in the fiscal year that ended last September 30, there were only 19 Chapter 12 filings for the entire State of Wisconsin. So we are dealing with 19 family farmers, which is important, but there are still thousands of family farmers in my State and elsewhere that end up having to pay this \$400 per household hidden tax and higher cost of goods and services and higher interest on the money that they have to borrow because of the abuses of the bankruptcy system that my amendment seeks to plug.

Now, the major reform of all of those that we have talked about in the bankruptcy bill, that this House has voted to approve eight times in various forms

and motions, is that someone who is able to repay all or part of their debts through future earning cannot get a Chapter 7 liquidation and have all those debts discharged.

So this so-called "means test" means that someone who is really down and out and does not have the prospect of future earnings being able to repay a significant part of their debts, my bill does not impact on what their legal options are. They will still be able to file for Chapter 7, get a discharge, and be able to try to put their lives together and start anew. But somebody who does have the potential of future earnings, and, yes, a lot of these people use the bankruptcy system as a financial planning tool, my bill will allow a court to order a repayment of all or part of those debts.

Remember, every penny that is recovered this way is one less penny that has to be passed on to the 98 percent of the people of this country who pay their bills on time or as agreed to. The abuses of the bankruptcy system amount to about a \$40 billion cost shift from people who do not pay their bills to people who do pay their bills.

I ask the Members to vote down the Baldwin amendment to give us another shot at getting a conference report passed and on the President's desk, because that is the vote in the interest of saving as much money as possible for the people who do pay their bills rather than allowing continued abuses of the bankruptcy system.

Vote "no" on the Baldwin amendment, pass the underlying bill, the substitute amendment, as authorized by the Committee on Rules.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

The CHAIRMAN. All time has expired. The question is on the amendment in the nature of a substitute offered by the gentlewoman from Wisconsin (Ms. BALDWIN).

The question was taken; and the Chairman announced that the noes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Ms. BALDWIN. Mr. Chairman, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 158, noes 204, answered "present" 1, not voting 70, as follows:

[Roll No. 8]
AYES—158

Allen	Cardoza	Dicks
Andrews	Carson (IN)	Dingell
Baird	Case	Dooley (CA)
Baldwin	Clay	Doyle
Ballance	Clyburn	Emanuel
Becerra	Conyers	Engel
Berkley	Cooper	Eshoo
Berman	Costello	Etheridge
Berry	Crowley	Evans
Bishop (NY)	Cummings	Farr
Blumenauer	Davis (AL)	Filner
Boswell	Davis (CA)	Ford
Brady (PA)	Davis (IL)	Frank (MA)
Brown (OH)	Davis (TN)	Frost
Capps	DeFazio	Gonzalez
Capuano	DeLauro	Green (TX)
Cardin	Deutsch	Grijalva

Harman	McCarthy (MO)	Sánchez, Linda	Sullivan	Tiberi	Weldon (FL)
Hill	McCarthy (NY)	T. T.	Sweeney	Toomey	Weller
Hinchey	McCollum	Sánchez, Loretta	Tancred	Turner (OH)	Whitfield
Hinojosa	McDermott	Sanders	Tauzin	Upton	Wicker
Hoeffel	McGovern	Schakowsky	Taylor (NC)	Vitter	Wilson (NM)
Holden	McNulty	Schiff	Terry	Walden (OR)	Wilson (SC)
Holt	Meehan	Scott (GA)	Thornberry	Walsh	Wolf
Hooley (OR)	Menendez	Scott (VA)	Tiahrt	Wamp	Young (FL)
Hoyer	Michaud	Sherman			
Inlee	Millender-	Skelton			
Jackson (IL)	McDonald	Smith (WA)			
Jefferson	Miller (NC)	Snyder			
John	Moore	Solis			
Jones (OH)	Moran (VA)	Spratt			
Kanjorski	Murtha	Stark			
Kaptur	Nadler	Stenholm			
Kennedy (RI)	Napolitano	Strickland			
Kildee	Neal (MA)	Stupak			
Kilpatrick	Oberstar	Tauscher			
Kind	Obey	Taylor (MS)			
Klecza	Olver	Thompson (CA)			
Lampson	Owens	Thompson (MS)			
Langevin	Pallone	Tierney			
Lantos	Pascrell	Towns			
Larsen (WA)	Pastor	Udall (CO)			
Larson (CT)	Payne	Udall (NM)			
Lee	Pelosi	Van Hollen			
Levin	Pomeroy	Velázquez			
Lewis (GA)	Price (NC)	Visclosky			
Lofgren	Rahall	Watt			
Lowe	Rangel	Waxman			
Lynch	Ross	Weiner			
Majette	Rothman	Wexler			
Maloney	Rush	Woolsey			
Markey	Ryan (OH)	Wu			
Marshall	Sabo	Wynn			
Matsui					

NOES—204

Aderholt	Feeney	McCrery
Akin	Ferguson	McHugh
Baca	Flake	McKeon
Baker	Foley	Meeke (FL)
Barrett (SC)	Fossella	Mica
Bartlett (MD)	Franks (AZ)	Miller (FL)
Barton (TX)	Frelinghuysen	Miller, Gary
Bass	Garrett (NJ)	Moran (KS)
Beauprez	Gibbons	Murphy
Biggert	Gilchrest	Musgrave
Bilirakis	Gillmor	Myrick
Bishop (UT)	Gingrey	Nethercutt
Blackburn	Goode	Neugebauer
Blunt	Goodlatte	Ney
Boehert	Gordon	Northup
Boehner	Goss	Norwood
Bonilla	Granger	Nunes
Bonner	Graves	Nussle
Boozman	Green (WI)	Osborne
Boucher	Greenwood	Ose
Boyd	Gutknecht	Otter
Bradley (NH)	Hall	Oxley
Brady (TX)	Harris	Paul
Brown (SC)	Hart	Pearce
Burgess	Hastert	Pence
Burns	Hastings (WA)	Peterson (MN)
Burr	Hayes	Peterson (PA)
Burton (IN)	Hayworth	Pickering
Calvert	Hensarling	Pitts
Cannon	Herger	Platts
Cantor	Hobson	Porter
Capito	Hoekstra	Portman
Carter	Hostettler	Pryce (OH)
Castle	Hulshof	Putnam
Chabot	Isakson	Quinn
Chocola	Issa	Radanovich
Coble	Istook	Ramstad
Cole	Johnson (CT)	Regula
Collins	Johnson (IL)	Rehberg
Cox	Johnson, Sam	Renzi
Cramer	Keller	Rogers (AL)
Crenshaw	Kelly	Rogers (MI)
Cubin	Kennedy (MN)	Rohrabacher
Culberson	King (IA)	Ros-Lehtinen
Davis (FL)	King (NY)	Ryan (WI)
Davis, Jo Ann	Kingston	Saxton
Davis, Tom	Kirk	Schrock
Deal (GA)	Kline	Sensenbrenner
DeLay	Knollenberg	Sessions
DeMint	LaHood	Shadegg
Diaz-Balart, L.	Latham	Shaw
Diaz-Balart, M.	LaTourette	Shays
Doolittle	Lewis (KY)	Sherwood
Dreier	Linder	Shuster
Duncan	LoBiondo	Simmons
Dunn	Lucas (KY)	Simpson
Edwards	Lucas (OK)	Smith (MI)
Ehlers	Manzullo	Smith (NJ)
Emerson	Matheson	Smith (TX)
English	McCotter	Stearns

ANSWERED "PRESENT"—1

Ruppersberger

NOT VOTING—70

Abercrombie	Gephardt	Miller, George
Ackerman	Gerlach	Mollohan
Alexander	Gutierrez	Ortiz
Bachus	Hastings (FL)	Petri
Ballenger	Hefley	Pombo
Bell	Honda	Reyes
Bereuter	Houghton	Reynolds
Bishop (GA)	Hunter	Rodriguez
Bono	Hyde	Rogers (KY)
Brown, Corrine	Israel	Roybal-Allard
Brown-Waite,	Jackson-Lee	Royce
Ginny	(TX)	Ryun (KS)
Buyer	Jenkins	Sandlin
Camp	Johnson, E. B.	Serrano
Carson (OK)	Jones (NC)	Shimkus
Crane	Kolbe	Slaughter
Cunningham	Kucinich	Souder
DeGette	Leach	Tanner
Delahunt	Lewis (CA)	Thomas
Doggett	Lipinski	Turner (TX)
Everett	McInnis	Waters
Fattah	McIntyre	Watson
Forbes	Meeks (NY)	Weldon (PA)
Gallegly	Miller (MI)	Young (AK)

□ 1550

Mr. SAXTON and Mr. MEEK of Florida changed their vote from "aye" to "no."

Mrs. LOWEY changed her vote from "no" to "aye."

So the amendment in the nature of a substitute was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated against:

Mr. BEREUTER. Mr. Chairman, on rollcall No. 8, I was attending the Memorial Service for former Member Barber Conable. Had I been present, I would have voted "no."

Mr. REYNOLDS. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall Number 8, I was unable to be in the Chamber to cast a vote on the Baldwin Substitute Amendment to S. 1920 before time elapsed on the vote. My absence was due to my attendance at the Memorial Service for former Representative Barber Conable of New York.

Had I been present for the vote, I would have voted "no," on the Baldwin Substitute Amendment.

The CHAIRMAN. The question is on the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute, as amended.

The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute, as amended, was agreed to.

The CHAIRMAN. Under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON) having assumed the chair, Mr. LAHOOD, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the Senate bill (S. 1920) to extend for 6 months the period for which chapter 12 of title 11 of the United States Code is reenacted, pursuant to House Resolution 503, he reported the Senate bill back to the House with an amendment adopted by the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on the amendment to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute adopted by the Committee of the Whole? If not, the question is on the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute.

The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the third reading of the Senate bill.

The Senate bill was ordered to be read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMEND OFFERED BY MS.

SCHAKOWSKY

Ms. SCHAKOWSKY. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentlewoman opposed to the Senate bill?

Ms. SCHAKOWSKY. I am, Mr. Speaker, in its present form.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Ms. SCHAKOWSKY moves to recommit the bill (S. 1920) to the Committee on the Judiciary, with instructions to report the bill back to the House forthwith, with the following amendment:

After section 102 insert the following:

SEC. 102A. PROTECTING MEMBERS OF THE MILITARY, VETERANS, AND THEIR FAMILIES.

Section 707(b)(7) of title 11, United States Code, as amended by section 102, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B) by striking the close quotation marks and the period at the end; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(C) No judge, United States trustee (or bankruptcy administrator, if any), trustee, or other party in interest may file a motion under paragraph (2) if—

"(i) the debtor or the debtor's spouse is a servicemember (as defined in section 101 of the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act);

"(ii) the debtor or the debtor's spouse is a veteran (as defined in section 101(2) of title 38); or

"(iii) the debtor's spouse dies while in military service (as defined in section 101 of the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act)."

In the table of contents, after the item relating to section 102, insert the following item:

Sec. 102A. Protecting members of the military, veterans, and their families.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER (during the reading). Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the motion to recommit be considered as read and printed in the RECORD.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Wisconsin?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentlewoman from Illinois (Ms. SCHAKOWSKY) is recognized for 5 minutes in support of her motion to recommit.

Ms. SCHAKOWSKY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 2 minutes.

I rise today with the gentlewoman from California (Ms. LORETTA SANCHEZ) and the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. STRICKLAND) to offer this motion to recommit on behalf of our brave soldiers and their families and veterans across the country. My motion to recommit would provide basic protections to financially distressed military families and veterans from the harsher aspects of the means test found in the newly added text of S. 1920. This motion would provide safe harbor from the bill's means test for military and veterans' families and safe harbor for the widows of our servicewomen and men.

Without changing the bill, military personnel, veterans and their families could be dragged into court by their creditors. They could be harassed because of an arbitrary standard, the means test, that has no true reflection of whether they can pay their debt or not. The men and women who in the past have and do today risk their lives to protect us deserve protection from us in return. We should be offering them relief, not greater hardships.

Since 9/11, 350,000 reservists and guardsmen have been called to active duty and almost 40,000 are serving in Iraq. According to the National Guard, four out of 10 members of the Reserves and National Guard lose money when they leave their civilian jobs for active duty. Additionally, many left for the war thinking they would be deployed for 6 months and have ended up staying for a year or even longer. There is almost no way that they could have financially anticipated and prepared for that extension of their service.

We want to help people like Mrs. Vicky Wessel. When she appeared on "60 Minutes" last year, she expressed the concerns that many families of reservists whose husbands or wives have been called to active duty experience. What she talked about was the financial difficulty. She said, "It is because a staff sergeant's pay is a 60 percent cut in pay from my husband's regular job."

There are thousands of families like the Wessels. Make no mistake about it, these families will not be protected under the bill as it stands. These are people who, through no fault of their own, may end up in bankruptcy. They are risking their lives for us. And the veterans who have done so in the past, we should protect them. This is what my motion to recommit does.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. LORETTA SANCHEZ).

Ms. LORETTA SANCHEZ of California. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of this motion to recommit this bill with instructions to ensure that members of our military, veterans and their families are afforded bankruptcy protection under Chapter 7.

Every American knows that our Nation's greatest debt is to the men and the women who have worn the uniform

of our country so that we can live in freedom and prosperity. Unfortunately, this has come at a very high price to many of the service members and to their families.

The war on terror is taking an entirely new toll, and a considerable portion of the burden is falling on our reservists. Never before have we asked so much of our troops. Nearly 250,000, including 120,000 reservists, have been fighting or are scheduled to begin service. Nearly 40 percent of the reservists suffer a major loss in income during this time. For many, the difference can amount to tens of thousands of dollars. As a result, service members are falling behind in their mortgages, depleting their life savings, losing personal businesses and racking up significant credit card debt. My colleagues might be surprised to know that some that are suffering the worst are medical doctors, self-employed doctors who were in private practices, but now have to leave them, have to keep the fixed overhead and pay that and come back. Their patients are gone, their nurses are gone, and they have thousands of dollars in unpaid bills.

Mr. Speaker, this country has a history of undertaking efforts to secure legal protections so that service members can devote their energy to the defense needs of our Nation. This motion would continue those efforts.

I urge all of my colleagues to vote "yes."

Ms. SCHAKOWSKY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. STRICKLAND).

Mr. STRICKLAND. Mr. Speaker, it is because of the unique financial situations that veterans and military personnel often find themselves in as a result of their military service to our country that I support this motion to recommit, which would exempt them from the means test under Chapter 7.

The means test used to determine whether a debtor can file under Chapter 7 is an arbitrary bureaucratic formula. Its mathematical variables really do not reflect the unique circumstances of deployed military personnel that experience sudden loss of income. The test does not look at the debtor's actual expenses or personal situation. Rather, it uses a hypothetical expense of what a debtor's cost of living is mathematically determined to be. The means test uses this figure to calculate excess money, and when someone has too much excess money, they are prohibited from filing under Chapter 7.

□ 1600

The only recourse left to the individual denied this is a court motion for permission to use actual income and expenses, and such a motion takes time and money, something our soldiers and our veterans do not have.

So I would just simply ask my colleagues to consider what we have asked of our veterans and what we are currently asking of our military per-

sonnel. They have endured much for us, and I ask that we simply honor their service by voting for this exemption.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). The gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speaker, let us be clear. The means-based test only applies to people with incomes above the State median income average. Anybody who is below the State median income does not qualify under the means-based test, and their bankruptcy petition cannot be thrown out.

Secondly, what the motion of the gentlewoman from Illinois proposes to do is to provide an exemption for active-duty servicemembers from the means-based test. That has been taken care of in most part since 1940 under the Soldiers and Sailors Relief Act, which allows for the staying of legal proceedings against anybody who is on active duty.

And I submit to the gentlewoman from Illinois and others that next year join us in voting for a defense authorization bill that gives our servicepeople a pay raise because that is the way to prevent bankruptcies to begin with.

But I would also like to point out that this motion to recommit applies to anybody who is a veteran. There are a lot of veterans that would fall under this exemption that have a lot of income. Take, for example, the junior Senator from Massachusetts, Mr. KERRY. He gets the same salary that we do, and it is reported that his wife has significant assets on her own. Under the gentlewoman's motion to recommit, should Mr. KERRY end up in hard times and have to file for bankruptcy, he would not allow his creditors to be able to ask for a means-based bankruptcy to apply at least some of the Senate salary that he received to apply to his debts. That is wrong. Vote "no" on the motion to recommit and vote to pass the bill.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair would remind Members to refrain from improper references to Senators.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Ms. SCHAKOWSKY. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, the Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the minimum time for any electronic vote on the question of passage.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 170, noes 198, answered “present” 1, not voting 63, as follows:

[Roll No. 9]
AYES—170

Allen Harman Oberstar
 Andrews Hill Obey
 Baca Hinchey Olver
 Baird Hinojosa Owens
 Baldwin Hoeffel Pallone
 Ballance Holden Pascrell
 Becerra Holt Pastor
 Berkley Hooley (OR) Payne
 Berman Hoyer Pelosi
 Berry Insee Peterson (MN)
 Bishop (GA) Jackson (IL) Pomeroy
 Bishop (NY) Jefferson Price (NC)
 Blumenauer John Rahall
 Boswell Jones (OH) Rangel
 Boyd Kanjorski Ross
 Brady (PA) Kaptur Rothman
 Brown (OH) Kennedy (RI) Rush
 Capps Kildee Ryan (OH)
 Capuano Kilpatrick Sabo
 Cardin Kind Sánchez, Linda
 Cardoza Kleczka T.
 Carson (IN) Lampson Sanchez, Loretta
 Case Langevin Sanders
 Clay Lantos Schakowsky
 Clyburn Larsen (WA) Schiff
 Conyers Larson (CT) Scott (GA)
 Cooper Lee Scott (VA)
 Costello Levin Serrano
 Cramer Lewis (GA) Sherman
 Crowley Lofgren Simmons
 Cummings Lowey Skelton
 Davis (AL) Lucas (KY) Smith (WA)
 Davis (CA) Lynch Snyder
 Davis (FL) Majette Solis
 Davis (IL) Maloney Spratt
 Davis (TN) Markey Stark
 DeFazio Marshall Stenholm
 DeLauro Matheson Strickland
 Deutsch Matsui Stupak
 Dicks McCarthy (MO) Tauscher
 Dingell McCarthy (NY) Taylor (MS)
 Dooley McCollum Thompson (CA)
 Doyle McDermott Thompson (MS)
 Edwards McGovern Tierney
 Emanuel McNulty Towns
 Engel Meehan Udall (CO)
 Eshoo Meek (FL) Udall (NM)
 Etheridge Menendez Van Hollen
 Evans Michaud Velázquez
 Farr Millender McDonald
 Filner Miller (NC) Visclosky
 Ford Moore Watt
 Frank (MA) Moran (VA) Weiner
 Frost Murtha Wexler
 Gonzalez Nadler Woolsey
 Gordon Napolitano Wynn
 Green (TX) Neal (MA)

NOES—198

Aderholt Capito Franks (AZ)
 Akin Carter Frelinghuysen
 Bachus Castle Garrett (NJ)
 Baker Chabot Gibbons
 Barrett (SC) Chocola Gilchrist
 Bartlett (MD) Coble Gillmor
 Barton (TX) Cole Gingrey
 Bass Collins Goode
 Beauprez Cox Goodlatte
 Bereuter Crenshaw Goss
 Biggert Cubin Granger
 Bilirakis Culberson Graves
 Bishop (UT) Davis, Jo Ann Green (WI)
 Blackburn Davis, Tom Greenwood
 Blunt Deal (GA) Gutknecht
 Boehlert DeLay Hall
 Boehner DeMint Harris
 Bonilla Diaz-Balart, L. Hart
 Bonner Diaz-Balart, M. Hastings (WA)
 Boozman Doolittle Hayes
 Boucher Dreier Hayworth
 Bradley (NH) Duncan Hensarling
 Brady (TX) Dunn Herger
 Brown (SC) Ehlers Hobson
 Burgess Emerson Hoekstra
 Burns English Hostettler
 Burr Feeney Houghton
 Burton (IN) Ferguson Hulshof
 Calvert Flake Isakson
 Cannon Foley Issa
 Cantor Fossella Istook

Johnson (CT) Northup Sessions
 Johnson (IL) Norwood Shadegg
 Johnson, Sam Nunes Shaw
 Keller Nussle Shays
 Kelly Osborne Sherwood
 Kennedy (MN) Ose Shuster
 King (IA) Otter Simpson
 King (NY) Oxley Smith (MI)
 Kingston Paul Smith (NJ)
 Kirk Pearce Smith (TX)
 Kline Pence Stearns
 Knollenberg Peterson (PA) Sullivan
 Kolbe Petri Sweeney
 LaHood Pickering Tancredo
 Latham Pitts Tauzin
 LaTourette Platts Taylor (NC)
 Lewis (KY) Porter Terry
 Linder Portman Thornberry
 LoBiondo Pryce (OH) Tiahart
 Lucas (OK) Putnam Tiberi
 Manzullo Quinn Toomey
 McCotter Radanovich Turner (OH)
 McCreery Ramstad Upton
 McHugh Regula Vitter
 McKeon Rehberg Walden (OR)
 Mica Renzi Walsh
 Miller (FL) Reynolds Wamp
 Miller, Gary Rogers (AL) Weldon (FL)
 Moran (KS) Rogers (MI) Weller
 Murphy Rohrabacher Whitfield
 Musgrave Ros-Lehtinen Wicker
 Myrick Ryan (WI) Wilson (NM)
 Nethercutt Saxton Wilson (SC)
 Neugebauer Schiff Wolf
 Ney Sensenbrenner Young (FL)

ANSWERED “PRESENT”—1

Ruppersberger

NOT VOTING—63

Abercrombie Gerlach Mollohan
 Ackerman Gutierrez Ortiz
 Alexander Hastings (FL) Pombo
 Ballenger Hefley Reyes
 Bell Honda Rodriguez
 Bono Hunter Rogers (KY)
 Brown, Corrine Hyde Roybal-Allard
 Brown-Waite, Israel Royce
 Ginny Jackson-Lee Ryun (KS)
 Buyer (TX) Sandlin
 Camp Jenkins Shimkus
 Carson (OK) Johnson, E. B. Slaughter
 Crane Jones (NC) Souder
 Cunningham Kucinich Tanner
 DeGette Leach Thomas
 Delahunt Lewis (CA) Turner (TX)
 Doggett Lipinski Waters
 Everett McClanis Watson
 Fattah McIntyre Weldon (PA)
 Forbes Meeks (NY) Wu
 Gallegly Miller (MI) Young (AK)
 Gephardt Miller, George

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON) (during the vote). Members are advised that 2 minutes remain in this vote.

□ 1624

(Mr. CONYERS changed his vote from “no” to “aye.”

So the motion to recommit was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the Senate bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 265, nays 99, answered “present” 1, not voting 67, as follows:

[Roll No. 10]
YEAS—265

Aderholt Frost Nunes
 Akin Garrett (NJ) Nussle
 Andrews Gibbons Osborne
 Baca Gilchrist Ose
 Bachus Gillmor Otter
 Baird Gingrey Oxley
 Barrett (SC) Goode Pallone
 Bartlett (MD) Goodlatte Pascrell
 Barton (TX) Gordon Pastor
 Bass Goss Paul
 Beauprez Granger Pearce
 Bereuter Graves Pence
 Biggert Green (WI) Peterson (MN)
 Bilirakis Greenwood Peterson (PA)
 Bishop (GA) Gutknecht Petri
 Bishop (NY) Hall Pickering
 Bishop (UT) Harman Pitts
 Blackburn Harris Platts
 Blumenauer Hart Pomeroy
 Blunt Hastings (WA) Porter
 Blunt Hayes Portman
 Boehlert Hayworth Price (NC)
 Boehner Hensarling Pryce (OH)
 Bonilla Herger Putnam
 Boozman Hill Quinn
 Boswell Hinojosa Radanovich
 Boucher Hoekstra Rahall
 Boyd Hooley (OR) Ramstad
 Bradley (NH) Hostettler Regula
 Brady (TX) Houghton Rehberg
 Brown (SC) Hoyer Renzi
 Burgess Hulshof Rogers (AL)
 Burns Isakson Rogers (MI)
 Burr Issa Rohrabacher
 Burton (IN) Istook Ros-Lehtinen
 Calvert Jefferson Ross
 Cannon John Rothman
 Cantor Johnson (CT) Ryan (WI)
 Capito Johnson (IL) Saxton
 Cardoza Johnson, Sam Schrock
 Carter Keller Scott (GA)
 Case Kelly Sensenbrenner
 Castle Kennedy (MN) Sessions
 Chabot Kind Shadegg
 Chocola King (IA) Shaw
 Clyburn King (NY) Shays
 Coble Kingston Sherwood
 Cole Kirk Shuster
 Collins Kline Simmons
 Cox Knollenberg Simpson
 Cramer Crenshaw Skelton
 Crenshaw LaHood Smith (NJ)
 Crowley Lampson Smith (TX)
 Cubin Larsen (WA) Smith (WA)
 Culberson Larson (CT) Spratt
 Davis (AL) Latham Stearns
 Davis (FL) LaTourette Stenholm
 Davis (TN) Lewis (KY) Strickland
 Davis, Jo Ann Linder Sullivan
 Davis, Tom LoBiondo Sweeney
 Deal (GA) Lucas (KY) Tancredo
 DeLay Lucas (OK) Tauscher
 DeMint Manzullo Tauzin
 Deutsch Matheson Taylor (MS)
 Diaz-Balart, L. McCarthy (NY) Taylor (NC)
 Diaz-Balart, M. McCotter Terry
 Dicks McCreery Thompson (CA)
 Dingell McHugh Thompson (MS)
 Dooley (CA) McKeon Thornberry
 Doolittle Meek (FL) Tiahart
 Doyle Menendez Tiberi
 Dreier Mica Toomey
 Duncan Michaud Turner (OH)
 Dunn Miller (FL) Upton
 Edwards Miller, Gary Vitter
 Ehlers Moore Walden (OR)
 Emerson Moran (KS) Walsh
 English Moran (VA) Wamp
 Etheridge Murphy Weldon (FL)
 Feeney Murtha Weller
 Ferguson Musgrave Whitfield
 Flake Myrick Wicker
 Foley Nethercutt Wilson (NM)
 Ford Neugebauer Wilson (SC)
 Fossella Ney Wolf
 Franks (AZ) Northup Wynn
 Frelinghuysen Norwood Young (FL)

NAYS—99

Allen Caron (IN)
 Baldwin Clay
 Ballance Conyers
 Becerra Cooper
 Berman Costello
 Brady (PA)
 Brown (OH)
 Capps
 Capuano
 Cardin

Cummings	Lee	Rangel
Davis (CA)	Levin	Rush
Davis (IL)	Lewis (GA)	Ryan (OH)
DeFazio	Lofgren	Sabo
DeLauro	Lowey	Sánchez, Linda
Emanuel	Lynch	T.
Engel	Majette	Sanchez, Loretta
Eshoo	Maloney	Sanders
Evans	Markey	Schakowsky
Farr	Marshall	Schiff
Filner	Matsui	Scott (VA)
Frank (MA)	McCarthy (MO)	Serrano
Gonzalez	McCollum	Sherman
Green (TX)	McDermott	Snyder
Grijalva	McGovern	Solis
Hinchey	McNulty	Stark
Hoeffel	Meehan	Stupak
Holden	Millender-	Tierney
Holt	McDonald	Towns
Jackson (IL)	Miller (NC)	Udall (CO)
Jones (OH)	Nadler	Udall (NM)
Kanjorski	Napolitano	Van Hollen
Kaptur	Neal (MA)	Velázquez
Kennedy (RI)	Oberstar	Visclosky
Kildee	Obey	Watt
Kilpatrick	Olver	Waxman
Kleczka	Owens	Weiner
Langevin	Payne	Woolsey
Lantos	Pelosi	

ANSWERED "PRESENT" —1

Ruppersberger

NOT VOTING—67

Abercrombie	Gerlach	Ortiz
Ackerman	Gutierrez	Pombo
Alexander	Hastings (FL)	Reyes
Baker	Hefley	Reynolds
Ballenger	Honda	Rodriguez
Bell	Hunter	Rogers (KY)
Bono	Hyde	Roybal-Allard
Brown, Corrine	Israel	Royce
Brown-Waite,	Jackson-Lee	Ryun (KS)
Ginny	(TX)	Sandlin
Buyer	Jenkins	Shimkus
Camp	Johnson, E. B.	Slaughter
Carson (OK)	Jones (NC)	Smith (MI)
Crane	Kucinich	Souder
Cunningham	Leach	Tanner
DeGette	Lewis (CA)	Thomas
Delahunt	Lipinski	Turner (TX)
Doggett	McInnis	Waters
Everett	McIntyre	Watson
Fattah	Meeks (NY)	Weldon (PA)
Forbes	Miller (MI)	Wexler
Gallely	Miller, George	Wu
Gephardt	Mollohan	Young (AK)

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). Members are advised 2 minutes remain in this vote.

□ 1632

So the Senate bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

□ 1630

MOTION TO GO TO CONFERENCE

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 503, I offer a motion.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. SENSENBRENNER moves that the House insist on its amendment to S. 1920 and request a conference with the Senate thereon.

The motion was agreed to.

MOTION TO INSTRUCT CONFEREES

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion to instruct.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. NADLER moves that the managers on the part of the House at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the House amendment to the Senate bill (S. 1920) be instructed to disagree to section 414 of the House amendment.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). Pursuant to clause 7 of rule

XXII, the gentleman from New York (Mr. NADLER) and the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New York (Mr. NADLER).

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume. I anticipate that this debate on this motion to instruct will take only a small fraction of the time allotted to it.

Mr. Speaker, this motion would instruct the conferees to strike section 414 of the bill. Section 414 would repeal important protections in the Bankruptcy Code against conflicts of interest on the part of investment bankers involved in the reorganization of a bankrupt company.

Section 414 would relieve investment bankers of the duty of being disinterested persons before they can be retained as professionals by the bankruptcy trustee. This disinterestedness standard has been in the code since 1938. It protects the estate from conflicts of interest by professionals in the case.

Mr. Speaker, many, many people who support this bill, which I do not, are opposed to this provision and support this motion to instruct. Judge Edith Jones of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, a very conservative judge who is a member of the Bankruptcy Reform Commission and supports the bill, has written: "Such a standard can alone protect integrity in the bankruptcy process. If professionals who have previously been associated with a debtor continue to work for the debtor during a bankruptcy case, they will often be subject to conflicting loyalties that undermine their foremost fiduciary duty to the creditors. Strict disinterestedness required by current law eliminates such conflicts or potential conflicts. Section 414, in removing the rigorous standard of disinterestedness, is out of character with the rest of this important legislation, however, and it should be eliminated."

Mr. Speaker, that letter is as follows:

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS,
FIFTH CIRCUIT,
Houston, TX, March 11, 2003.

Hon. F. JAMES SENSENBRENNER, Jr.,
Chairman, House Committee on the Judiciary,
Rayburn House Office Building, Wash-
ington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: I understand that the House Committee on the Judiciary will consider H.R. 975, bankruptcy reform legislation, on the morning of March 11, 2003. I also understand that the Committee may consider whether or not to retain Section 414 of the bill, which would amend the "disinterested person" standard codified at 11 U.S.C. §101(14). As a former member of the National Bankruptcy Review Commission and, in that capacity, a consistent advocate of maintaining strict disinterestedness standards for bankruptcy professionals, I urge the Committee not to change existing law. I support Congressman Bachus's effort to remove Section 414.

The National Bankruptcy Review Commission was asked to recommend a modification of the disinterestedness standard in order to accommodate, as I recall, the geographic

growth and increasing sophistication of professional firms of all kinds involved in Chapter 11 bankruptcy practice. Despite fervent lobbying by prominent bankruptcy professionals and scholars, the Commission resisted making such a recommendation. We voted (by a lopsided majority, I believe) to retain the standard as it has existed since the 1930's.

The Commission report cites two reasons for retaining a strict prophylactic standard for all bankruptcy professionals. These are worth brief restatement. First, such a standard can alone protect integrity in the bankruptcy process. If professionals who have previously been associated with the debtor continue to work for the debtor during a bankruptcy case, they will often be subject to conflicting loyalties that undermine their foremost fiduciary duty to the creditors. Strict disinterestedness, required by current law, eliminates such conflicts or potential conflicts.

Second, enforcing a strict standard of disinterestedness is necessary to maintain public confidence in the integrity of the bankruptcy system. A bankruptcy case should not be subject to the criticism that professional fees are generated to no purpose or for a bad purpose such as delay. The courts' efforts to ensure that fees remain reasonable are enhanced when, because of the complete disinterestedness of participating professionals, no hidden motives may be imputed to the actors in the case.

One need not focus solely on today's high-profile bankruptcy cases to realize that the challenge of maintaining disinterested professional services has permeated modern corporate reorganization law. The Commission, for instance, voted to retain the original standard in the wake of the criminal conviction of a prominent bankruptcy lawyer and several well-known instances in which law firms were required to disgorge part of their fees—all for violating disinterestedness standards. Given the ongoing nature of the problem, I do not see how any professional group can advocate, consistent with the public interest, eliminating the statutory requirement of disinterestedness. Moreover, as it appears likely that many future complex bankruptcy cases will arise in which the role of investment bankers will have to be explored, it seems particularly unwise to grant that group—alone among bankruptcy professionals—a status insulated from the strict disinterestedness requirement.

Since the close of the Commission's work in October 1997, I have been a proponent of the bankruptcy reform legislation that has been repeatedly passed by Congress. I still believe the bankruptcy reform legislation is essential to restoring integrity to personal and business bankruptcies, redressing the imbalances and opportunities for manipulation that plague current law, and encouraging individual responsibility in financial affairs. Section 414, in removing investment bankers from a rigorous standard of disinterestedness, is out of character with the rest of this important legislation, however, and it should be eliminated.

Very truly yours,

EDITH H. JONES.

Mr. Speaker, why are we voting on this technical issue? Because, Mr. Speaker, it has significant real-world consequences for employees, retirees, shareholders, and creditors of a bankrupt company. Current law prevents an investment banker who had been part of the financial affairs, and perhaps of the problems, of a bankrupt company from being responsible during the bankruptcy for advising, organizing, and overseeing the reorganization.

Anyone who has read a newspaper in the last few years cannot fail to understand the importance of this motion. This deals with conflicts of interest. Conflicts of interest among investment bankers, accountants, management, and other insiders have been at the heart of the most outrageous corporate scandals that have ended up in bankruptcy court, which have been in the headlines in our front pages in the last few years.

Perhaps when this provision was first proposed several years ago, some Members may have thought it was a minor technical change. No one any longer can believe for a moment after everything that has happened that this is just a small benign change.

The chairman of the Securities and Exchange Commission, William Donaldson, has written to Senators LEAHY and SARBANES in opposition to this provision. The former chairman of the Securities and Exchange Commission, Arthur Levin, has written to us in opposition to this provision.

Mr. Speaker, that letter is as follows:

U.S. SECURITIES AND
EXCHANGE COMMISSION,
Washington, DC, May 22, 2003.

Hon. PATRICK J. LEAHY,
U.S. Senate, Russell Senate Office Building,
Washington, DC.

Hon. PAUL S. SARBANES,
U.S. Senate, Hart Senate Office Building,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATORS LEAHY AND SARBANES: Thank you for requesting the Commission's views on Section 414 of H.R. 975, which would amend the "disinterested person" definition in the conflict of interest standards of the Bankruptcy Code to remove the specific provisions covering investment bankers. On May 7, in response to a question from Senator Sarbanes at a hearing of the Senate Committee on Banking Housing and Urban Affairs on the Impact of the Global Settlement, I expressed my personal views about this amendment. Now I am pleased to convey the view of the Commission, which is that, while it may be possible to draft language that would address some of the concerns of the proponents of the amendment, Congress should proceed very cautiously before loosening any conflicts of interest restriction. While we recognize that this one-size-fits-all statutory exclusion is controversial, we believe that it would be a mistake to eliminate the exclusion in a similar one-size-fits-all manner at a time when investor confidence is fragile.

The current "disinterested person" requirement was adopted at least in part in response to a 1938 study by the Securities and Exchange Commission that provided extensive documentation and analysis of abuses in corporate reorganization. The study concluded that a firm that served as underwriter for a company's securities should not advise the company about distributions to those security holders in a reorganization plan. It further found that such a firm should not advise the company about potential claims against those involved with the company prior to the bankruptcy since this often would involve an assessment of transaction in which the firm participated. However, we should note that in the 65 years since the 1938 study was issued, bankruptcy practices and procedures have improved significantly with the addition of a dedicated bankruptcy judicial system, the establishment of the U.S. Trustee's office, and the strengthening of active creditors' committees.

We are aware of the arguments of proponents of the amendment that the current statutory exclusion is too broad because it covers firms that participated in any underwriting of the debtor, even if it was years ago and the firm has had no further involvement with the debtor. However, if the exclusion is eliminated entirely, we are concerned that the general protection in the statute—which relies on the judge, at the outset of the proceedings, to forbid those with materially adverse interests to the estate, its creditors, or its equity security holders from advising a company in bankruptcy—may well be insufficient.

We appreciate the opportunity to comment on this proposed amendment. If you or your staff need any further information, please contact my office.

Sincerely,

WILLIAM H. DONALDSON,
Chairman.

[From Reuters, May 7, 2003]

SEC CHIEF PANS BANKRUPTCY ADVISER
CHANGE

WASHINGTON (Reuters).—A measure before Congress that would let an investment bank advise a former corporate client during bankruptcy drew criticism from Securities and Exchange Commission Chairman William Donaldson on Wednesday.

"Personally, at a time like this, where investor confidence is as fragile as it is, I would want to proceed very cautiously before lifting any of the conflict of interest restrictions that we have," Donaldson told the Senate Banking Committee.

The provision redefining a "disinterested person" is contained in an overhaul of bankruptcy law that cleared the U.S. House of Representatives in March.

Sen. Paul Sarbanes, Maryland Democrat, asked Donaldson about the bankruptcy measure at a hearing on the \$1.4 billion settlement reached last week with 10 Wall Street firms over biased research.

Donaldson said he was not speaking for the five-member SEC and could not rule out that some better definition might emerge in time to let former investment bankers bring their expertise into the bankruptcy process.

"But right now, I think it personally would be a mistake to change," said Donaldson.

Sarbanes, who said the prohibition on former investment bankers acting as bankruptcy trustees dated back to 1938, thanked Donaldson and said: "I appreciate the attitude that is reflected in that response."

No date has been set in the Senate for taking up the bill, which would also make it harder for individuals to walk away from their debts through bankruptcy.

New York State Attorney General Eliot Spitzer, who has been in the forefront of the investigation and prosecution of the Wall Street scandals, has urged us not to make this change.

The people responsible in both parties, Republicans and Democrats, the people responsible for protecting corporate integrity and protecting the shareholders and the stakeholders are opposed to this change.

We should be passing legislation to tighten the rules against conflicts of interest. We should not be loosening the rules. Whatever anyone may think of the rest of this mammoth bill, I hope everyone will agree that this one provision cannot be justified and that when people on this side of the aisle joined with William Donaldson as chairman of the SEC and Judge Edith Jones, with

whom I disagree in every other provision in this bill, and Arthur Levin and Eliot Spitzer, stop, look, and listen.

This provision has been in the code since 1938. It is a protective provision. It protects shareholders, it protects workers, it protects the 401(k) accounts. Why do we need to make this change now?

I hope people will vote to instruct the conferees, whatever they do in the rest of this bill, not this change.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, section 414 does allow an investment banker that previously represented a business to continue to represent the business after it files for bankruptcy. Under current law, that investment banker would be prohibited from representing the debtor on a per se basis.

Let me say that this issue was debated in the Committee on the Judiciary. There was an amendment that was offered, and it was rejected by a vote of 12 to 17 when H.R. 975 was marked up in the committee. This issue was not brought up during the conference committee on H.R. 333 in the previous Congress. And to my knowledge, there was no motion to instruct on this issue that was ever made by anyone.

Let me say I am as sensitive to conflicts of interest as anybody else. But if you have this absolute bar, an investment banker that knows something about the business would be disqualified and then the business if it was trying to reorganize under chapter 11 would have to hire a new investment banker, and the new investment banker would end up having to be paid for all the time to get himself or that institution's self up to speed on the issues of the business.

So section 414 was designed to provide the professional advice that investment bankers give in a way that would be able to reduce the cost to the estate of the bankrupt business. And that is why I think that at least section 414 should not be stricken in its entirety and would urge a "no" vote on the motion to instruct.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. WEINER).

Mr. WEINER. Mr. Speaker, I will be brief, perhaps even less than the 3 minutes.

Of the forest of things that is wrong with the bankruptcy bill, and I voted against it, this is actually a provision that represents a tree that actually makes some sense.

So my colleagues understand this provision, it says that when an entity enters into bankruptcy no investment house that has been involved in underwriting on any level at any time in the history of that company could be involved in the reorganization. So the following not-so-hypothetical could happen: Ford Motor Company goes into

a bankruptcy proceeding and Goldman Sachs, who happened to be involved in their IPO 50 years ago and has had no investment and no underwriting since, is precluded from doing it. So who winds up benefiting from this provision? One company that is not an American company that happens not to do any underwriting.

We have been all year on this side of the aisle, more than all year, for the last several years, fighting against efforts by the Republicans to take away discretion from judges. This is a provision that says we are going to let the bankruptcy judge decide whether a party is disinterested, conflicted or not. If we are truly concerned about having conflicts of interest, theoretically we should not let accountants do business with the debtor company or a lawyer that has done business with the debtor company or anyone that has given advice to the debtor company. Yet we are singling out investment banks. Why? It does not make any sense to do that.

What we should do is take the language in this bill and make it the model for other debates on tort reform and everything else in this House. We have judges; we trust them to judge. We trust them to go through the parties, decide who is interested, who is disinterested, who has conflicts and who does not and then to draw conclusions about who is interested in doing what.

By striking 414 and putting this blanket provision that says anyone who has ever done any underwriting work cannot be involved in the proceedings, I would argue does not benefit the debtor or the stockholders or anyone else. We should want judges that say we want the very best, most talented people who are going to look out for the people who are the parties in the case. That should be how we do it. If we think the judges are doing a bad job, well, that is another question. If we think they cannot be trusted, well, that is another question. But we had a problem in this House recently. We say that juries cannot be trusted when it comes to tort reform. We say that judges cannot be trusted when it comes to this type of thing. When did we become such experts?

Apparently, the only thing American people can be trusted to do is vote for us, and then we take away all the discretion for everyone else. I think it is a very bad idea. There are 1,001 reasons why this bankruptcy bill should go into the dust bin. This is one provision that should not be changed. I urge a "no" vote.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I have two basic comments. First, in response to the comments of my distinguished colleague from New York, it is not the case that anyone who worked as an investment banker for the banker company 50 years ago is affected by this provision.

If you actually read the provision in the statute book, a disinterested person is defined as a number of things, but it says the following: "Was not an investment banker for any outstanding security of the debtor." If it is still outstanding, then he has still got a relationship and he still has an interest in that. "Has not been, within 3 years before the date of the finding of the petition, an investment banker," et cetera. So in other words, it is a 3-year bar for outstanding securities. So the situation we were told about a moment ago does not apply.

Let me say that this is not a question of discretion; it is a question of protection. And, again, all the professionals in the field, everyone to whom we ought to be looking for guidance in this comes to the same conclusion. I do not claim to be an expert in investment banking or bankruptcy law, but everyone who is basically says the same thing.

I am going to read three quotes and that will be that. This is from the senior professor at Harvard Law School, an expert on bankruptcy, Elizabeth Warren: "There is a reason why the professionals who have worked for a business that collapses into bankruptcy are not permitted to stay on.

□ 1645

"The company must go back after bankruptcy and examine its old transactions. Having the same professionals review their own work is not likely to yield the most searching inquiry."

Arthur Levitt, former Chairman of the Securities and Exchange Commission: "I haven't read a single argument made by the investment banks that would persuade me that that prohibition should be changed. What we are talking about is a significant potential conflict of interest, and I think it is outrageous that investment banks would even try to go down that road."

William Donaldson, the current Chairman of the Securities and Exchange Commission: "We are aware of the arguments of proponents of the amendment that the current statutory exclusion is too broad because it covers firms that participated in any underwriting by the debtor, even if it was years ago, and the firm has had no further involvement with the debtor. However, if the exclusion is eliminated entirely," which is what this provision does, "we are concerned that the general protection in the statute, which relies on the judge at the outset of the proceedings to forbid those with materially adverse interest to the estate, its creditors or its equity and security holders from advising a company in bankruptcy may well be insufficient."

So there is a unanimity of judgment among the people involved in protecting shareholders and stakeholders and 401(k)s and employees and everybody else with a stake in this matter. We should not do this. And just one further observation. This has been the law since 1938. We have had no prob-

lems with it. We have no great hordes of people coming to our offices saying, Get rid of this. It has caused all kind of problems. Leave it alone.

Vote for the motion to recommit.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to instruct.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to instruct offered by the gentleman from New York (Mr. NADLER).

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the yeas appeared to have it.

Mr. NADLER. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 146, nays 203, answered "present" 1, not voting 82, as follows:

[Roll No. 11]
YEAS—146

Allen	Hill	Olver
Andrews	Hinojosa	Owens
Baca	Hoefel	Pallone
Bachus	Holden	Pascrell
Baird	Holt	Pastor
Baldwin	Hoolley (OR)	Payne
Ballance	Hoyer	Pelosi
Becerra	Insee	Peterson (MN)
Berkley	Jackson (IL)	Pomeroy
Bishop (GA)	Jefferson	Price (NC)
Bishop (NY)	Johnson (CT)	Rahall
Boyd	Jones (OH)	Rangel
Brady (PA)	Kanjorski	Rohrabacher
Brown (OH)	Kaptur	Ross
Capps	Kennedy (RI)	Rothman
Capuano	Kildee	Rush
Cardin	Kilpatrick	Ryan (OH)
Carson (IN)	Kind	Sabo
Case	Kleczka	Sánchez, Linda
Clyburn	Lampson	T.
Conyers	Langevin	Sanchez, Loretta
Cooper	Lantos	Sanders
Costello	Larsen (WA)	Schakowsky
Cummings	Larson (CT)	Schiff
Davis (CA)	Lee	Scott (GA)
Davis (FL)	Levin	Scott (VA)
Davis (IL)	Lewis (GA)	Serrano
Davis, Jo Ann	Lofgren	Sherman
DeFazio	Lynch	Skelton
DeLauro	Majette	Smith (NJ)
Deutsch	Maloney	Smith (WA)
Dicks	Markey	Snyder
Dingell	Matsui	Solis
Doyle	McCarthy (MO)	Strickland
Edwards	McCollum	Stupak
Emanuel	McDermott	Thompson (CA)
Engel	McGovern	Thompson (MS)
Eshoo	Meehan	Tierney
Etheridge	Menendez	Towns
Evans	Michaud	Udall (CO)
Farr	Millender	Udall (NM)
Filner	McDonald	Van Hollen
Ford	Miller (NC)	Velázquez
Frank (MA)	Moore	Visclosky
Frost	Moran (VA)	Watt
Gonzalez	Murtha	Waxman
Green (TX)	Nadler	Wexler
Grijalva	Neal (MA)	Woolsey
Harman	Oberstar	
Hayworth	Obey	

NAYS—203

Aderholt	Blumenauer	Brown (SC)
Akin	Blunt	Burgess
Bartlett (MD)	Boehert	Burns
Barton (TX)	Bonilla	Burr
Bass	Bonner	Burton (IN)
Beauprez	Boozman	Calvert
Bereuter	Boswell	Cannon
Biggert	Boucher	Cantor
Bilirakis	Bradley (NH)	Capito
Bishop (UT)	Brady (TX)	Cardoza

Carter	Hulshof	Portman
Castle	Isakson	Pryce (OH)
Chabot	Issa	Putnam
Choccola	Istook	Quinn
Coble	John	Radanovich
Cole	Johnson (IL)	Ramstad
Cox	Johnson, Sam	Regula
Cramer	Keller	Rehberg
Crenshaw	Kelly	Renzi
Crowley	Kennedy (MN)	Reynolds
Cubin	King (IA)	Rogers (AL)
Culberson	Kingston	Rogers (MI)
Davis (AL)	Kirk	Ros-Lehtinen
Davis (TN)	Kline	Ryan (WI)
Davis, Tom	Knollenberg	Saxton
DeLay	Kolbe	Schrock
DeMint	LaHood	Sensenbrenner
Diaz-Balart, L.	Latham	Sessions
Doolley (CA)	LaTourrette	Shadegg
Doolittle	Lewis (KY)	Shaw
Dreier	Linder	Shays
Duncan	LoBiondo	Sherwood
Dunn	Lucas (KY)	Shuster
Ehlers	Lucas (OK)	Simmons
Emerson	Manzullo	Simpson
English	Marshall	Smith (MI)
Feeney	Matheson	Smith (TX)
Ferguson	McCarthy (NY)	Spratt
Flake	McCotter	Stearns
Foley	McCrery	Stenholm
Fossella	McHugh	Sullivan
Franks (AZ)	McKeon	Sweeney
Frelinghuysen	Meek (FL)	Tancredo
Garrett (NJ)	Mica	Tauscher
Gibbons	Miller (FL)	Tauzin
Gilchrest	Moran (KS)	Taylor (MS)
Gillmor	Murphy	Taylor (NC)
Gingrey	Musgrave	Terry
Goode	Myrick	Thornberry
Goodlatte	Nethercutt	Tiahrt
Gordon	Neugebauer	Tiberi
Goss	Ney	Toomey
Granger	Northup	Turner (OH)
Graves	Norwood	Upton
Green (WI)	Nunes	Vitter
Greenwood	Nussle	Walden (OR)
Gutknecht	Osborne	Walsh
Hall	Ose	Wamp
Harris	Otter	Weiner
Hart	Oxley	Weldon (FL)
Hastings (WA)	Pearce	Weller
Hayes	Pence	Whitfield
Hensarling	Peterson (PA)	Wicker
Herger	Petri	Wilson (NM)
Hobson	Pickering	Wilson (SC)
Hoekstra	Pitts	Wolf
Hostettler	Platts	Young (FL)
Houghton	Porter	

ANSWERED "PRESENT"—1

Ruppersberger

NOT VOTING—82

Abercrombie	Fattah	Miller (MI)
Ackerman	Forbes	Miller, Gary
Alexander	Galleghy	Miller, George
Baker	Gephardt	Mollohan
Ballenger	Gerlach	Napolitano
Barrett (SC)	Gutierrez	Ortiz
Bell	Hastings (FL)	Paul
Berman	Hefley	Pombo
Berry	Hinchee	Reyes
Blackburn	Honda	Rodriguez
Boehner	Hunter	Rogers (KY)
Bono	Hyde	Roybal-Allard
Brown, Corrine	Israel	Royce
Brown-Waite,	Jackson-Lee	Ryun (KS)
Ginny	(TX)	Sandlin
Buyer	Jenkins	Shimkus
Camp	Johnson, E. B.	Slaughter
Carson (OK)	Jones (NC)	Souder
Clay	King (NY)	Stark
Collins	Kucinich	Tanner
Crane	Leach	Thomas
Cunningham	Lewis (CA)	Turner (TX)
Deal (GA)	Lipinski	Waters
DeGette	Lowe	Watson
Delahunt	McInnis	Weldon (PA)
Diaz-Balart, M.	McIntyre	Wu
Doggett	McNulty	Wynn
Everett	Meeks (NY)	Young (AK)

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON) (during the vote). Members are advised that 2 minutes remain in this vote.

□ 1710

Mrs. CUBIN, Messrs. HOUGHTON, GREENWOOD and LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

So the motion to instruct was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated against:

Mr. BARRETT of South Carolina. Mr. Speaker, due to a telephone call concerning an issue critical to my district with the Deputy Secretary of the Department of Energy, I unfortunately missed one recorded vote on the House floor earlier today.

I ask that the RECORD reflect that had I not been unavoidably detained, I would have voted "no" on Rollcall vote No. 11 (Democratic Motion to Instruct Conferees on S. 1920).

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, on January 28, 2004, due to an illness, I unfortunately missed four recorded votes on S. 1920. I would like the RECORD to reflect that had I been present, I would have voted in the following manner:

Mr. Speaker, on Rollcall No. 8, I would have voted "aye."

Mr. Speaker, on Rollcall No. 9, I would have voted "aye."

Mr. Speaker, on Rollcall No. 10, I would have voted "aye."

Mr. Speaker, on Rollcall No. 11, I would have voted "aye."

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. ABERCROMBIE. Mr. Speaker, on January 27 and January 28, 2004, I was unavoidably unable to vote. Had I been present, I would have voted as follows:

Rollcall 6, H.R. 1385, Postage Stamp to benefit breast cancer research, "yes."

Rollcall 7, H.R. 3493, Medical Devices Technical Corrections Act, "yes."

Rollcall 8, Baldwin Substitute to S. 1920, federal bankruptcy law, "yes."

Rollcall 9, Motion to Recommit S. 1920, federal bankruptcy law, "yes."

Rollcall 10, Final Passage S. 1920, federal bankruptcy law, "no."

Rollcall 11, Motion to Instruct Conferees, "yes."

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. RUPPERSBERGER. Mr. Speaker, I have interest in a company that does business with a financial institution that one way or another might be impacted by this legislation, so I have decided to vote present on S. 1920, the Bankruptcy Extension Act and the accompanying amendments and motions on January 28, 2004. This includes all rollcall votes starting at No. 8 until the end of the consideration of this measure. It also includes any motion to recommit and final passage.

APPOINTMENT OF CONFEREES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the Chair appoints the following conferees:

From the Committee on the Judiciary, for consideration of the Senate bill and the House amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

Messrs. SENSENBRENNER, HYDE, SMITH of Texas, CHABOT, CANNON, Ms. HART,

and Messrs. CONYERS, BOUCHER, NADLER and WATT.

From the Committee on Financial Services, for consideration of sections 901-906, 908-909, 911, and 1301-1309 of the House amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

Messrs. OXLEY, BACHUS and SANDERS. There was no objection.

RESIGNATION AS MEMBER OF CERTAIN STANDING COMMITTEES OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following resignation as a member of certain standing committees of the House:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, January 28, 2004.

Hon. J. DENNIS HASTERT,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SPEAKER HASTERT: I hereby resign from the following committees: Committee on Government Reform, Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, and Committee on Science.

Sincerely,

JOHN SULLIVAN,
Member of Congress.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the resignation is accepted. There was no objection.

RESIGNATION AS MEMBER OF COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following resignation as a member of the Committee on Energy and Commerce:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, January 28, 2004.

Hon. J. DENNIS HASTERT,
Speaker of the House,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Please accept my resignation from the House Energy and Commerce Committee.

It has been my great pleasure to serve on the committee under the fine leadership of Chairman Tauzin.

Thank you for your attention to this request.

Sincere regards,

ROY BLUNT,
Majority Whip.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the resignation is accepted. There was no objection.

RESIGNATION AS MEMBER OF COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE AND COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT REFORM

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following resignation as a member of the Committee on Science and Committee on Government Reform:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
January 28, 2004.

Hon. DENNIS HASTERT,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

MR. SPEAKER: Effective January 28th, I hereby resign from the Committee on