Messrs. ALLARD, STOCKMAN, and TRAFICANT changed their vote from "aye" to "no."

Mr. MARTINI, Mr. FIELDS of Louisiana, and Ms. MILLENDE-MCDONALD changed their vote from "no" to "aye." So the amendment was rejected. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded. The CHAIRMAN. Are there any further amendments to the bill? If not, under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. JONES) having assumed the chair, Mr. CAMP, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that the Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 3610) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1997, and for other purposes, pursuant to House Resolution 453, he reported the bill back to the House with sundry amendments adopted by the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. JONES). Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

It is a separate vote demanded on any amendments? If not, the Chair will put them en gros.

The amendments were agreed to. The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and the third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill. Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XV, the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 278, nays 126, not voting 30, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yeas</th>
<th>Nays</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yea (278)</td>
<td>Nae (126)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Clerk announced the following pair: On this vote: Mr. Bilbray for, with Mr. Ackerman, against.

Mr. CLAY changed his vote from "nay" to "yea." So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded. A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. BONIOR asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I yield to my friend from Texas [Mr. ARMSTRONG], the distinguished majority leader, for the purpose of engaging in a colloquy to find out the schedule for the rest of the week and next week.

Mr. ARMSTRONG. I think the gentleman is yielding.

Mr. Speaker, in just a few minutes we will be taking under consideration a very important resolution regarding the burning of churches. By common agreement out of consideration for the Members of the body and the lateness of the hour, we can assure Members due to the generosity on both sides of the aisle that there will not be a recorded vote on that matter. That being the case, I can announce that we have just had the last vote for the evening and for the week.

On Monday next, June 17, the House will meet in pro forma session. Members should note, contrary to the original House schedule, we will not have legislative business or votes on Monday.

On Tuesday, June 18, the House will meet at 9 a.m. for morning hour, and 10 a.m. for legislative business. Members
should be advised that recorded votes will be postponed until 12 noon on Tuesday, June 18.

On Tuesday, the House will take up six bills under suspension of the rules: H.R. 3305, the Securities Amendments of 1996; H.R. 1393, the Anti-Car Theft Improvements Act of 1996; H.R. 3525, the Church Arson Prevention Act of 1996; H.R. 3572, a bill to designate the William H. Natcher Bridge; H.R. 3184, the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996; and H.R. 3107, the Iran Iran Sanctions Act of 1996.

On Wednesday, June 19, and Thursday, June 20, the House will meet at 10 a.m. for legislative business. We will consider the Interior and the VA-HUD appropriations bills, both of which will be subject to rules.

And, Mr. Speaker, if I might just add, we are continuing to talk to Members on both sides of the aisle that are concerned with the VA appropriation bill, and it is hoped that possibly we might be able to work that out for consideration on Tuesday. That has not yet been settled.

I would like to remind Members, though, Mr. Speaker, that we may take up a resolution holding the President's aides in contempt of Congress. It is our hope that the President will be forthcoming with the subpoenaed Travelgate documents before next week; however, in the event that these key documents are not provided, we may find a need to act on the contempt resolution.

Next week, Mr. Speaker, we should conclude legislative business and have the Members on their way home by 6 p.m. on Thursday, June 20.

Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I thank my colleague for his information for this week and next week.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, will the gentlewoman yield?

Mr. BONIOR. I yield to the gentlewoman from New York.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I am a little bit concerned. We need to be taking up these appropriation bills this coming week. Interior and the HUD-independent agencies bill. I have a Committee on Rules to run upstairs and the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. BONIOR] served on that committee for many years. He knows that if we are going to be coming back here, which we are willing to do in the Committee on Rules Monday night and put out a rule so that we can have the HUD-independent agencies bill on the floor Tuesday, we need to know this evening.

Members have the right to know what they are going to be doing. If we are going to have to be coming back here on Monday, we need to make reservations. Under the laws now we cannot have two or three or four backup representatives. And, I would suggest my good friend, the majority leader, who I know wants to cooperate, and the gentleman from Missouri [Mr. GEPhardt], work this thing out and let us know to-night what we are going to be doing, one way or the other, so that these Members can schedule their weekends and the valuable time they have back in their districts. That is only fair.

We are willing to sacrifice coming back here a day or early to do it. So think about that. It is important to all of us. Mr. ARMY. If the gentleman would continue to yield for a moment, I would advise the chairman of the Committee on Rules that we are having discussions on this. They are going cordially, and as soon as we have more complete discussions, we will let the gentleman and the committee know. Mr. SOLOMON. I appreciate that.

ADJOURNMENT TO MONDAY, JUNE 17, 1996

Mr. ARMY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 2 p.m. on Monday next.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. JONES). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

DISPENSING WITH CALENDAR WEDNESDAY BUSINESS ON WEDNESDAY NEXT

Mr. ARMY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the business in order under the Calendar Wednesday rule be dispensed with on Wednesday next.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. There is no objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas.

There was no objection.

THE JOURNAL

THE SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 5 of rule I, the pending business is the question of agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Jour-nal of the last sitting. Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the journal stands approved.

COMMUNICATION FROM CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSE OVERSIGHT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Speaker, pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Chairman of the Committee on House Oversight:

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Speaker pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Chief Administrative Officer of the House of Representatives:

EXPRESSION OF CONGRESS WITH RESPECT TO RECENT CHURCH BURNINGS

Mr. WATTS of Oklahoma. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on the Judiciary be discharged from further consideration of the concurrent resolution (House Concurrent Resolution 187) expressing the sense of the Congress with respect to recent church burnings, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House; that debate on the concurrent resolution be limited to fifty minutes, equally divided and controlled by myself and the gentlewoman from North Carolina [Mrs. CLAYTON]; and that the previous question be considered as ordered on the concurrent resolution to final adoption without intervening motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

Mrs. CLAYTON. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, although I do not plan to object, let me just understand the time.

Mr. WATTS of Oklahoma. Mr. Speaker, will the gentlewoman yield?

Mrs. CLAYTON. Mr. Speaker, will the gentlewoman yield?

Mr. WATTS of Oklahoma. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on the Judiciary be discharged from further consideration of the concurrent resolution (House Concurrent Resolution 187) expressing the sense of the Congress with respect to recent church burnings, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House; that debate on the concurrent resolution be limited to fifty minutes, equally divided and controlled by myself and the gentlewoman from North Carolina [Mrs. CLAYTON]; and that the previous question be considered as ordered on the concurrent resolution to final adoption without intervening motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

Mrs. CLAYTON. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, although I do not plan to object, let me just understand the time.

Mr. WATTS of Oklahoma. Mr. Speaker, will the gentlewoman yield?

Mrs. CLAYTON. Mr. Speaker, will the gentlewoman yield?

Mr. WATTS of Oklahoma. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on the Judiciary be discharged from further consideration of the concurrent resolution (House Concurrent Resolution 187) expressing the sense of the Congress with respect to recent church burnings, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House; that debate on the concurrent resolution be limited to fifty minutes, equally divided and controlled by myself and the gentlewoman from North Carolina [Mrs. CLAYTON]; and that the previous question be considered as ordered on the concurrent resolution to final adoption without intervening motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Oklahoma?

Mrs. CLAYTON. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, although I do not plan to object, let me just understand the time.

Mr. WATTS of Oklahoma. Mr. Speaker, will the gentlewoman yield?

Mrs. CLAYTON. Mr. Speaker, will the gentlewoman yield?

Mr. WATTS of Oklahoma. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on the Judiciary be discharged from further consideration of the concurrent resolution (House Concurrent Resolution 187) expressing the sense of the Congress with respect to recent church burnings, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House; that debate on the concurrent resolution be limited to fifty minutes, equally divided and controlled by myself and the gentlewoman from North Carolina [Mrs. CLAYTON]; and that the previous question be considered as ordered on the concurrent resolution to final adoption without intervening motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

Mrs. CLAYTON. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, although I do not plan to object, let me just understand the time.

Mr. WATTS of Oklahoma. Mr. Speaker, will the gentlewoman yield?

Mrs. CLAYTON. Mr. Speaker, will the gentlewoman yield?

Mr. WATTS of Oklahoma. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on the Judiciary be discharged from further consideration of the concurrent resolution (House Concurrent Resolution 187) expressing the sense of the Congress with respect to recent church burnings, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House; that debate on the concurrent resolution be limited to fifty minutes, equally divided and controlled by myself and the gentlewoman from North Carolina [Mrs. CLAYTON]; and that the previous question be considered as ordered on the concurrent resolution to final adoption without intervening motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

Mrs. CLAYTON. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, although I do not plan to object, let me just understand the time.

Mr. WATTS of Oklahoma. Mr. Speaker, will the gentlewoman yield?