74 .In 8/14

93-13 FUTURE FISCAL YEAR BUDGET AUTHORIZATIONS FOR THE TRUST TERRITORY

13 74 13-13

GOVERNMENT UMENTS

Storage

UN 1 2 1973 -

E LIBRARY HEARING

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

BEFORE THE

SUBCOMMITTEE ON TERRITORIAL AND INSULAR AFFAIRS

OF THE

COMMITTEE ON
INTERIOR AND INSULAR AFFAIRS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NINETY-THIRD CONGRESS

FIRST SESSION

ON

H.R. 6129, H.R. 6378 and H.R. 6680

TO AMEND SECTION 2 OF THE ACT OF JUNE 30, 1954, PROVIDING FOR THE CONTINUANCE OF CIVIL GOVERNMENT FOR THE TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS

HEARING HELD IN WASHINGTON, D.C. APRIL 12, 1973

Serial No. 93-13

Printed for the use of the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs



U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE WASHINGTON: 1973

A11500 692014

COMMITTEE ON INTERIOR AND INSULAR AFFAIRS

JAMES A. HALEY, Florida, Chairman

ROY A. TAYLOR, North Carolina HAROLD T. JOHNSON, California MORRIS K. UDALL, Arizona PHILLIP BURTON, California THOMAS S. FOLEY, Washington ROBERT W. KASTENMEIER, Wisconsin JAMES G. O'HARA, Michigan PATSY T. MINK, Hawaii LLOYD MEEDS, Washington ABRAHAM KAZEN, JR., Texas ROBERT G. STEPHENS, Jr., Georgia JOSEPH P. VIGORITO, Pennsylvania JOHN MELCHER, Montana TENO RONCALIO, Wyoming JONATHAN B. BINGHAM, New York JOHN F. SEIBERLING, Ohio HAROLD RUNNELS, New Mexico YVONNE BRATHWAITE BURKE, California PAUL W. CRONIN, Massachusetts ANTONIO BORJA WON PAT, Guam WAYNE OWENS, Utah RON DE LUGO, Virgin Islands

JAMES R. JONES, Oklahoma

JOHN P. SAYLOR, Pennsylvania, Ranking Minority Member CRAIG HOSMER, California JOE SKUBITZ, Kansas SAM STEIGER, Arizona DON H. CLAUSEN, California PHILIP E. RUPPE, Michigan JOHN N. HAPPY CAMP, Oklahoma MANUEL LUJAN, JR., New Mexico JOHN DELLENBACK, Oregon KEITH G. SEBELIUS, Kansas RALPH S. REGULA, Ohio ALAN STEELMAN, Texas JOSEPH J. MARAZITI, New Jersey DAVID TOWELL, Nevada JAMES G. MARTIN, North Carolina WILLIAM M. KETCHUM, California DON YOUNG, Alaska

SIDNEY L. McFARLAND, Staff Director and Chief Clerk LEWIS A. SIGLER, Counsel CHARLES LEPPERT, Jr., Minority Counsel

SUBCOMMITTEE ON TERRITORIAL AND INSULAR AFFAIRS

PHILLIP BURTON, California, Chairman

ROY A. TAYLOR, North Carolina THOMAS S. FOLEY, Washington ROBERT W. KASTENMEIER, Wisconsin PATSY T. MINK, Hawaii LLOYD MEEDS, Washington ROBERT G. STEPHENS, Jr., Georgia JOSEPH P. VIGORITO, Pennsylvania JONATHAN B. BINGHAM, New York YVONNE BRATHWAITE BURKE, California JOSEPH J. MARAZITI, New Jersey ANTONIO BORJA WON PAT, Guam RON DE LUGO, Virgin Islands

DON H. CLAUSEN, California JOHN P. SAYLOR, Pennsylvania CRAIG HOSMER, California JOE SKUBITZ, Kansas PHILIP E. RUPPE, Michigan MANUEL LUJAN, JR., New Mexico KEITH G. SEBELIUS, Kansas RALPH S. REGULA, Ohio JAMES G. MARTIN, North Carolina

ADRIAN P. WINKEL, Consultant on Territorial and Insular Affairs

Note.—The chairman of the full committee is an ex officio voting member of this subcommittee. The first listed minority member is counterpart to the subcommittee chairman.

CONTENTS

Hearing held in Washington, D.C.	Page
April 12, 1973	1
Text of:	
H.R. 6129	1
H.R. 6378	1
Statements:	
Carpenter, Hon. Stanley S., Deputy Assistant Secretary for Ter-	2
ritorial Affairs, Department of the Interior	-
	4
Setik, Hon. Raymond, Representative, Congress of Micronesia,	-
Chairman of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget	49
Letters:	
Carpenter, Hon. Stanley S., Director, Office of Territorial Affairs, to	
Hon, Don Clausen, dated May 14, 1973	40
Clausen, Hon, Don, Member of Congress, to Hon, Raymond Setik,	
Congress of Micronesia, dated April 24, 1973. Clausen, Hon. Don, Member of Congress, to Stanley S. Carpetner,	41
Clausen, Hon. Don, Member of Congress, to Stanley S. Carpetner,	
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Territorial Affairs, Department	
of the Interior dated April 24 1973	40
Clausen, Hon. Don, Member of Congress, to High Commissioner	
Edward E. Johnston, Trust Territory, dated April 24, 1973	37
Coleman, Peter T., Deputy High Commissioner, Trust Territory, to Hon. Don H. Clausen, dated May 10, 1973 (response to letter	
to Hon. Don H. Clausen, dated May 10, 1973 (response to letter	27
of April 24, 1973)	37
Setik, Hon. Raymond, Chairman, Joint Committee on Program and	
Budget Planning, Congress of Micronesia, to Hon. Don H. Clausen, dated May 8, 1973 (plus enclosures)	41
Setik, Raymond, Chairman, Joint Committee on Program	41
and Budget Planning, Congress of Micronesia, to Hon. Harrison	
Loesch, dated November 30, 1972	42
Setik, Congressman Ray, and Senator Bailey Olter, Congress of	
Micronesia, to Hon. Rogers C. B. Morton, dated January 18,	
1973	44
Morton, Hon. Rogers C. B., Secretary of the Interior, to Hon.	
Ray Setik, and Hon. Bailey Olter Congress of Micronesia,	
dated February 13, 1973	44
Additional information:	0-
Revenue: Congress of Micronesia (table)	35
Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, comparison of fiscal year 1973	39
and fiscal year 1974 budgets (table)	59
Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands Operations Summary, 1972–1979 (table)	17
	19
Headquarters Marianas	21
Marshalls District	22
Palau District	24
Panape	26
Truk	27
Yap District	29
Yap DistrictCapital improvements program 1972–79	31

PUBLIC HEARING ON H.R. 6129 AND H.R. 6378 TO AMEND SECTION 2 OF THE ACT OF JUNE 30, 1954

THURSDAY, APRIL 12, 1973

House of Representatives,
Subcommittee on Territorial and Insular Affairs,
Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs,
Washington, D.C.

The subcommittee met, pursuant to notice, at 10 p.m., in room 1302, Longworth House Office Building, the Honorable Phillip Burton

(chairman of the subcommittee) presiding.

Mr. Burton. We will call the subcommittee to order. I would like to personally welcome our friends and colleagues from Micronesia. As I hope you know, this particular subcommittee considers itself, right or wrongly, as a friendly group. We will do our best to be of help to the Micronesian people in these rather interesting days.

I am going to first call Mr. Carpenter to testify with respect to

H.R. 6129.

Mr. Carpenter, do you want to come up? It is good to have you with us again today.

Mr. Burton. At this point I would like to have the bills H.R. 6129 and H.R. 6378 placed in the record.

[H.R. 6129 and H.R. 6378 follow:]

[H.R. 6129, 93d Cong., 1st sess.]

A BILL To amend section 2 of the Act of June 30, 1954, as amended, providing for the continuance of civil government for the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That section 2 of the Act of June 30, 1954 (68 Stat. 330), as amended, is amended by deleting "for each of the fiscal years 1971, 1972, and 1973, \$60,000,000", and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "such sums as may be necessary".

[H.R. 6378, 93d Cong., 1st sess.]

A BILL To amend section 2 of the Act of June 30, 1954, as amended, providing for the continuance of civil government for the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That section 2 of the Act of June 30, 1954 (68 Stat. 330), as amended, is amended by deleting "for each of the fiscal years 1971, 1972, and 1973, \$60,000,000", and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "such sums as may be necessary".

Mr. Burton. Before you start your testimony, would you like to do the honors and introduce our friends?

Mr. CARPENTER. Yes: I'd like to do that.

May I first introduce the High Commissioner, Mr. Johnston; and then we have three congressmen from the Congress of Micronesia, Congressman Ray Setik, who is chairman of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget Planning; Senator Pangelinan, member of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget Planning; and Congressman Endy Dois.

We also have Mr. Frank Ada, who is the District Administrator of

the Marianas Islands.

STATEMENT OF HON. STANLEY S. CARPENTER, DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR TERRITORIAL AFFAIRS, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Mr. Carpenter. Mr. Chairman, it's a pleasure to appear before the subcommittee this morning, and I appreciate the opportunity in support of identical bills, H.R. 6129 and H.R. 6378, and recommend

enactment of this legislation by the Congress.

Public Law 91-578 currently authorizes the appropriation of \$60 million for fiscal years 1971, '72, and '73, but it makes no provision for funds for the civil government of the trust territory beyond fiscal year 1973. The proposed bills would authorize the appropriation of such sums as may be necessary for the civil government of the trust territory.

The Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands is administered by the United States pursuant to a strategic trusteeship agreement concluded in 1947 with the Security Council of the United Nations. Under this agreement, the United States is charged with the promotion of politi-

cal, social, educational and economic development.

The trust territory was originally under the administration of the Secretary of the Navy, but in 1951, the administrative responsibility

was transferred to the Secretary of the Interior.

By secretarial order, there has been established a territorial government which generally follows the American separation-of-powers model: Executive authority is vested in a High Commissioner appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate; the bicameral Congress of Micronesia is elected by the citizens of the trust territory and is vested with comprehensive legislative authority; and the judicial authority rests in the High Court whose three justices are appointed by the Secretary of the Interior.

Substantial strides have been made in the development of political institutions of which the establishment in 1964 of the territorial legislative body, the Congress of Micronesia, has been the most

notable step.

Educational progress also has been substantial, and universal education through the 12th grade has been established as an attainable goal. Utilization of the area's limited natural resources has lagged until recently although tourism and the utilization of the resources of the surrounding seas present immediate opportunities for gainful employment and income.

The trust territory government has made substantial progress in recent years in developing and implementing a coordinated program, which is normally projected 5 years in advance. The complexity of the current political situation with respect to Micronesia, however,

makes it difficult to predict with certainty the needs for that long a period into the future. We are recommending, therefore, an appropriation authorization not restricted as to either an annual amount or for

a single year.

While the 1974 budget includes an appropriation of \$56 million for the trust territory, we do not foresee this amount as necessarily an indication of appropriation levels for future years, since the outcome of the present negotiations on the future political status of the area is so uncertain.

Even with the status negotiations continuing, however, it seems clear that appropriations for the civil government of the trust territory are likely to continue for some period beyond fiscal 1974. Accordingly, we believe it is desirable not to limit the amount or period of years for which appropriations are authorized for maintaining the civil govern-

ment.

The U.S. Government has for over 3 years been negotiating with a delegation of the Congress of Micronesia towards a mutually beneficial form of association. We have come a long way in developing with that Congress the basis of a compact of free association under which Micronesia would be internally self-governing and the United States would be responsible for the foreign affairs and defense of Micronesia. Other areas of the relationship have yet to be resolved, but future negotiations are expected to outline in greater detail the framework of the obligations and commitments between the United States and Micronesia.

It should be noted also that separate negotiations have been initiated with, and at the request of, the people of the Mariana Islands District, looking toward their desired goal of a close association with the United States, separate from the rest of Micronesia. Moreover, it is possible that an agreement on an association of the Mariana Islands

with the United States may develop rather quickly.

The High Commissioner will speak in greater detail to notable aspects of the trust territory program for FY 1974 and subsequent years. Basically, the fiscal year 1974 program reflects a policy of holding increasing costs of operations to a minimum in order that maximum amounts may be made available for needed capital im-

provements.

Additional staffing will also be held to a minimum but consistent with the need to insure the operation of new facilities. The replacement of United States personnel by qualified Micronesians characterizes many programs during this program, and emphasis will continue to be directed toward the development of a physical infrastructure.

Mr. Chairman, I will be pleased to answer any questions which

members of the committee may have.

Mr. Burton. Thank you, Mr. Carpenter.

It occurs to me it may be useful to have the High Commissioner join you at the table.

So, Mr. Johnston, could you please come forward also?

We would like to welcome the High Commissioner. Do you have a statement for us, Mr. Johnston? If so, would you please give it to us?

Mr. Johnston. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

If I may, Mr. Chairman, in the interest of time, a few of the section—

Mr. Burton. You may read it in full.

Mr. Johnston. All right, sir.

STATEMENT OF HON. EDWARD E. JOHNSTON, HIGH COMMISSIONER OF THE TRUST TERRITORY, ACCOMPANIED BY MR. WILLIAM OYLER, PROGRAM AND BUDGET OFFICER FOR THE TRUST TERRITORY

Mr. Johnston. We are pleased to appear today in support of H.R. 6129 and H.R. 6378, identical bills providing for continuance of civil government for the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands and authorizing appropriation of such sums as may be necessary for that purpose. Our current authorization of \$60 million, which has been in effect

since fiscal year 1971, expires June 30, 1973.

The Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands includes the Northern Mariana Islands, the Eastern, and Western Carolina Islands, and the Marshall Islands. There is a total of 2,141 islands. Although they are scattered over 3 million square miles in the Western Pacific Ocean, they have but 700 square miles of land. An estimated population of 107,000 inhabits 97 of the islands. The territory is divided for administrative purposes in six districts, each of which exhibits notable cultural and linguistic differences.

The responsibilities and mission of the United States in Micronesia are set forth in the July 18, 1947, Trusteeship Agreement between the United States and the United Nations Security Council. The obligations assumed under that agreement require the United States to promote the economic, social, political, health, and educational

development of Micronesia toward self-government.

Executive Order 11021 of May 8, 1962, vested executive, legislative, and judicial authority for the administration of civil government in the Secretary of the Interior. Secretarial Order No. 2918 of December 27, 1968, as amended, delimits the extent and nature of the authority of the government of the trust territory and prescribes the manner in which the relationships of that government shall be established and maintained with the Congress of the United States, the Department of the Interior and other Federal agencies, and with foreign governments and international bodies.

Following the U.S. separation-of-powers model, executive authority is vested in a High Commissioner appointed by the President. A bicameral Congress of Micronesia exercises legislative authority. Judicial authority rests in a high court whose three justices are appointed by the Secretary of the Interior. District administrators, appointed by the High Commissioner, are responsible for territorial affairs, including execution of laws passed by the district legislature,

in their respective jurisdictions.

The trust territory program derives from the fundamental need of any government to provide programs and services to the people which cannot be effectively or efficiently supplied by the individual or family. The territorial government as a whole renders the full range of services comparable in range, if not in size, to those obtaining at Federal, State, and local levels of the U.S. Government.

The United States is directly responsible within the territory only for national defense, the postal system, and the monetary system. Indirect responsibility is exercised over other functions through executive and legislative control of U.S. appropriations and grants to finance the major share of trust territory government operations.

Programs include education, health services, resources and development, public works, public affairs, transportation and communications, the attorney general, personnel, finance and several staff functions. Directors of these activities are responsible for establishment and implementation of territory-wide policies and programs. District administrators are responsible for program operations of each activity in their districts. Decentralization of authority and responsibility for program operations is proceeding in the face of immense problems involving communications, distance, and the lack of trained, qualified personnel at the district level.

The United States has only recently begun to finance the level of government services required to help bring Micronesia and the Micronesians into the 20th century. There still exists a tremendous backlog of requirements for even rudimentary public facilities and programs. A start has been made to provide basic infrastructure in the centers

of population.

Systems for water supply, sewage disposal, and electric power are still essentially limited to government and the larger commercial establishments, although private consumers are beginning to receive utility services. Adequate docks, harbors, airports, and roads are vital to continuing development of this scattered island society, but present facilities are far from suitable.

A new fleet of trust territory inter-island ships is an absolute necessity in view of the rapid and increasing deterioration of our vessels, most of which were constructed during or shortly following

World War II.

The level of health is quite good in the trust territory, but the majority of health care is rendered in poorly constructed, crowded, and inadequately equipped hospitals and outer-island dispensaries.

Universal elementary education is currently provided for the most part by local teachers who possess, on the average, slightly less than a high school education. Lack of classroom and dormitory facilities and instructional staff make it as yet impossible to enroll all eligible

elementary graduates in high school.

Negotiations have been underway for over 3 years now to develop a long-term relationship between Micronesia and the United States. Progress has been made but many details remain to be mutually agreed upon. It is anticipated that the relationship will include specific agreement on future financial support by the United States, but the status negotiations have not yet focused on this point in detail. Pending agreement on the future status of Micronesia, we are requesting continuing U.S. support of the trust territory through the regular authorization and appropriation process.

Programs for the trust territory have been developed in anticipation of gradual increases in the level of U.S. appropriations over the next several years. Such increases would allow orderly progress toward meeting our objectives for economic, social, political, educational and

health development in the trust territory.

They would also make it possible to keep up with the ever-increasing cost of living and providing Government services. The President's budget for fiscal year 1974 is \$56 million. Future budget requests will be based on annual evaluation of requirements in the budget process.

We sincerely appreciate the opportunity to present our need to you today, Mr. Chairman, and offer at this time to answer any questions which you or the members of the committee may wish to raise.

Thank you.

Mr. Burton. Thank you, Mr. Johnston.

Why don't we start out with a little elementary school education

for us on how your appropriated amounts are available to you?

If, in a given year, you don't spend that money which is appropriated, does it automatically revert to the general fund, or does it automatically become an add-on to the next year's appropriation, or must there be some reprograming authority exercised by the appropriate appropriations subcommittees?

Mr. Johnston. The moneys which are not expended by the end of a fiscal year do carry over, but are subject to reprograming in the operational area by the Appropriations Committees of the House and

Senate.

Mr. Burton. What has been the pattern?

Mr. Johnston. The pattern has normally been, Mr. Chairman, that there was some carryover, particularly during the past year, a carryover in the capital improvements are due to two problems. One is the difficulty, sometimes, of certifying land on which public improvements are to be constructed, and the other problem is just the distance of the trust territory, the process of having something engineered, designed, and constructed which doesn't always move quite as rapidly in a remote area as it would in a more affluent and sophisticated part of the United States.

Mr. Burton. If you had moneys appropriated and you obligate them, do they then show up as having been, in effect, expended?

Mr. Johnston. Once they have been obligated, yes, they follow the normal course of U.S. appropriations and expenditures.

Mr. CLAUSEN. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. Burton. I vield to the gentleman from California.

Mr. CLAUSEN. Thank you.

What is the amount of unobligated funds that are carried over from preceding years that would be available to the trust territory of the

Pacific Islands during fiscal 1974?

Mr. Johnston. At the end of fiscal 1973 we anticipate no carryover in operations, but in the area of capital improvements, we have a \$10 million reserve plus possibly another \$3 million in carryover on capital improvements, a total of possibly \$13 million.

Mr. Clausen. What would be the kinds of capital improvements that you consider to be in the position of priority wherein there would be a commitment or reprograming of these unobligated funds

in the next financial year?

Mr. Johnston. Well, the carryover funds in capital improvements are mostly for our infrastructure, for power, water, sewers, airports, harbors, the basic infrastructure needs of the trust territory.

Mr. Clausen. Those you have alluded to in your testimony?

Mr. Johnston. I beg your pardon?

Mr. CLAUSEN. Those that you alluded to in your testimony.

Mr. Johnston. Yes, sir, that is correct.

Mr. Burton. If we accept your statement, and that of Mr. Carpenter's, that there is a good deal that remains to be done, I can't under-

stand why you haven't considered that more lead time may be required than is the case here on the mainland.

To me, if there are unmet capital improvement needs and the money has been available I have some difficulty understanding why

the needs haven't been met.

Mr. Johnston. Well, Mr. Chairman, in trying to speed up the process a couple of years ago, we turned to the Navy's OICC, officer in charge of construction, and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to obligate the funds to them and have them supervise the projects for us.

However, this system has not worked out perfectly, although it has made an improvement over previous practices. We are now strongly considering and will probably go into a turnkey operation where a contract will be awarded to one firm to handle the design, engineering, and construction of the project from start to finish. This will cut down on the lead time that we need, first to award an engineering or design contract, or architectural engineering contract, and later award the construction contract.

The turnkey operation has been highly recommended to us by a construction expert loaned to us by the Department of Interior, and I think this would be the type of operation that we will go into very

shortly.

Mr. Burton. The trust territory also is included in a number of the local or the Federal-State programs. That is in addition, is it not, to this basic authorization because those are separate, distinct authorizations.

Mr. Johnston. That is correct, Mr. Chairman. We do participate

in various Federal programs over and above the U.S. grants.

Mr. Burton. Now, those programs have been cut back throughout the country upon the advent of Federal revenue sharing.

Mr. Johnston. Yes, sir.

Mr. Burton. I assume there is a proportionate cutback for the trust territory as there has been for the rest of the States.

Mr. Johnston. Well, Mr. Chairman, on the 23d of March Mr.

Carpenter and I—

Mr. Burton. I am sorry I have not asked my question yet.

Mr. Johnston. Oh, excuse me, sir.

Mr. Burton. So if there is a cutback from previous levels in these other areas, would it not be necessary for you, through this authorization, if you will to pick up the slack, or have available an add-on amount that would leave you at least no worse off than you were before revenue sharing? This question in turn is related to the speculation we dealt with in our first hearing this year, namely that there are some who believe these cutbacks are part of an economic pressure policy with relation to Micronesia, rather than being as it appears to me, given what information I have at my disposal, merely a consequence of the cutbacks generally. This may be misunderstood in Micronesia, which I gather is the case. I want you to testify with reference to this because you have not moved in to pick up the slack where these programs have been cut back.

Now, that's a multifaceted kind of question, but I would be interested in your response and then the response of your colleagues.

Mr. Johnston. Yes, sir.

That is a relatively complicated question, Mr. Chairman, but on the 23d of March Mr. Carpenter and I attended, along with others, in Honolulu, a briefing session by the region 9 Directors from San Francisco of the various Federal agencies involved in these other programs, and it was made clear in that meeting that there apparently is still no really clearcut decision as to what extent the trust territory will participate in the special revenue-sharing programs.

We do not know at this point exactly where we stand and exactly

how the cutbacks will affect us.

Mr. Burton. Well, isn't that, therefore, a vital reason why you need more flexibility in the authorization you are receiving because you are dealing with an unpredictable need?

Mr. Johnston. That is very correct, Mr. Chairman, and to give you one example of a program that is being cut back on the basis of mainland United States facts, the teacher training programs in the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare are being eliminated because it was pointed out that there is an overage of some 75,000 teachers in the United States of America, but we pointed out in the meeting, and as I mentioned in my presentation today, this bears no relationship to the situation in Micronesia where we need trained teachers and where we do not have an overage of trained teachers.

So that's an example of one program that if it is dropped out of a Federal support program, would necessarily have to be picked up under our regular Federal grants because we still very definitely need

teacher training programs.

Mr. Burton. I do not understand why you did not make reference

to this in your testimony.

Let me give you my posture on this matter. I think you failed to use at least one of the obvious bases for justifying an open-ended authorization, because you can't predict what your needs are going to be. Because of the unpredictable nature of the next 2 or 3 years, I think

we have got to try to get as much flexibility as possible.

On the other hand, if we do give you this flexibility, we give you a pretty long leash and we have no assurance that you will continue to fund in equivalent amounts the special programs that are being cut back as a result of the revenue sharing and the concomitant cutbacks in social programs throughout the United States. Because the trust territory doesn't participate in revenue sharing you are going to find, I think that you will increasingly have to use this authorization to provide the money you will need for the programs which are being cut.

Mr. Johnston. Well, I would think, Mr. Chairman, that the Congress of Micronesia would certainly see to it that these programs were continued. The Congress very definitely enters into the budgeting process. We hold hearings before committees of the Congress of Micronesia just as we do before the Committees of the Congress of the United States, and it might be that Congressman Setik, who is here with us today, and as chiarman of the joint program and budget committee of the Congress of Micronesia, might be able to speak even more toward that point, but I feel-

Mr. Burton. Pardon me, but the point is that if you have in your own budget a set-aside amount for capital improvements, that set-

aside cannot be ignored, can it?

Can that which you have slotted for capital improvements be spent for salaries, administrative overhead?

Mr. Johnston. No. We cannot transfer—

Mr. Burton. All right.

If you can't do that, then you need more money for controllables, if you will. You would have to have more on the side that would permit you to move in and pick up this corresponding slack, because if you have asked for less money this year than last, and you have had some cutback this year already in the social programs, who knows what you will be given next year. No State knows, so certainly the trust territory doesn't.

Shouldn't you have sought more money, even in this fiscal year? Mr. Johnston. Well, yes, Mr. Chairman. Actually, the amount of money that is requested in the budget request for this year is not slightly more than last year. It is less. The budget last year was \$60 million. The budget request for this year is \$56 million, which includes \$1 million specifically earmarked for the economic development loan fund, and \$55 million, or a drop of \$5 million from last year in the regular grant appropriations.

Mr. Burton. Well, how does that make any sense at all, if I have

not misstated the facts?

Mr. Johnston. Well, Mr. Chairman, this is in line with the general tightening of the budget throughout the United States, and this was

the trust territory's share of it.

Mr. Burton. Well, I understand that, but you are here seeking flexibility which one can assume means you may need a little more than you can predict right now. Also you are telling us that you have two things affecting the trust territory. One, a reduction in the Federal grant-in-aid programs, and then, two, you are seeking something close to a 10-percent reduction in the amount of new money for fiscal year 1974.

So, in two respects, leaving the capital improvement issue aside, you're going to have less money this next fiscal year than you have

had in this fiscal year. Is that not correct?

Mr. Johnston. That is correct, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Burton. Well, you've got to come down one way or the other on how we can be of help. If you are seeking less money, and the trust territory in two respects is having a cutback, you are headed in a pretty funny direction if at the same time you are asking us to increase, in effect, your authorization.

Now, we want to be of help. I'm just trying to see the philosophical

economic consistency of your position.

Mr. Carpenter. If I could just interject, Mr. Chairman, I would

make one point.

Of course, the fiscal year 1974 budget request for the present \$56 million was developed last fall before there were any indications, I believe, of cutbacks in categoric grant programs and the development of a new special revenue-sharing program.

Mr. Burton. All right.

Have you made an effort through OMB to get back to your authorized level in light of this new development which took place subsequent to your constructing your budget?

Congresswoman Hansen is under the impression that she is giving you all you are asking for. I don't think she understands that is not

all you're going to need this next fiscal year.

Have you informed her that your budget was constructed before these other Federal cutbacks?

Mr. Carpenter. Our hearings before her are next week, Mr.

Chairman.

Mr. Burton. Are you going to bring this to her attention?

Mr. CARPENTER. We certainly will.

Mr. Burton. Are you permitted to request that they bring you up to the authorized amount?

Mr. Carpenter. We, as far as the administration is concerned,

are not in a position to ask for more at this point.

Mr. Burton. Well, have you asked the OMB? The trust territory is in the same position as the Virgin Islands, Guam, Puerto Rico, and American Samoa. They've all been kind of forgotten about, and if you don't catch up with this problem in the next 6 weeks, there's going to be chaos in all of the offshore areas before the end of this coming fiscal year.

Mr. Carpenter. What we are doing, Mr. Chairman, is to try to make sure that as the new special revenue-sharing bills come forward, provision is made in the bills for the territories, including, of course, the trust territory. The only one of these so far that has yet been

presented to Congress is the one on education.

Mr. Burton. Well, that's not going anywhere. I can assure you of that. It's not going to get out of the Education-Labor Committee. That's an understood, stated fact, and there's little we can do for our own States, perhaps, but there is something we can do, hopefully, for the offshore areas.

Why have you not asked OMB for an additional amount that would bring you up to the authorized ceiling when you know that, with these cutbacks, you are going to be in trouble, because the cutbacks took place, in effect, after you constructed your budget? Your budget was bottomed on the premise that other funds would also be available.

Under these circumstances, I just simply can't believe that you come to this committee and ask for unlimited authorization, although I think a fair case can be made for that. I can't believe in this era of impoundment that at least you can't ask of the Appropriations Committee an extra \$5 million to give you some flexibility where it really counts. At least you would have the money in hand with, perhaps, an understanding that OMB could hold a fail-safe on that amount in the event they ultimately think you should not spend it. If you don't get the money, you can't spend it even if you want to and even if OMB says it would be all right, because the process just takes so much time.

Mr. CARPENTER. Well, your point is well taken, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Burton. I will restate this problem in the presence of our former colleague, John Kyl, who understands the process so well. The trust territory's budget was constructed prior to the development and announcement of the policy of special revenue sharing, and, before the cutbacks were announced in a great number of the social programs. The important thing to remember is that the trust territory will not receive any revenue-sharing funds, and they are getting the other end of it, namely, their proportionate reduction in moneys for the social programs. Finally, the budget sought is about \$5 million less than authorized, and that \$5-million reduction was asked for at a time when they didn't know these other cutbacks were taking place.

It's my point that if this committee is to ask for a nonstated ceiling on authorizations, the least that can be done is that the Appropriations Committee be informed of the administration's support of the add-on funds needed to get up to the authorized amount. This action will give you that dollar flexibility you are asking us to authorize. If I don't perceive that you are going to use the flexibility you seek from this committee for the purpose of picking up this unpredictable slack for the trust territory, then I have to keep you on a shorter leash, and that doesn't make sense for us or you.

Mr. Carpenter. Well, of course, if we are able to secure the necessary flexibility in our authorization, and as the situation on categoric grant programs becomes clear, then we will have a certain amount

of built-in flexibility of an extra-

Mr. Burton. My dear friend, you have one opportunity a year, and you are not now, for the next year, seeking a fully authorized amount.

I can't conceive that OMB is so rigid in its policies that they wouldn't give you a green light in the sense that you could tell them you may need another \$5 million, as long as it is openly understood we are not going to clear the obligation of that \$5 million until we see how all this settles down for the trust territory. Then at least you're in a position to respond reasonably quickly to that situation. You are in no position to respond if you wait until revenue sharing clears up.

Are you permitted to tell us whether this is all you sought, or

whether this is all you are permitted to seek by OMB?

Mr. Carpenter. The administration position, of course, is the two identical bills which are now before you here on the authorization.

Mr. Burton. I'm talking about the appropriations for next year.

Mr. Burton. I'm talking about the appropriations for next year. Mr. Carpenter. As far as the appropriation, yes, that \$56 million was an administration figure, and the cutback from the previous \$60 million was part of the general reduction in funds throughout the

Mr. Burron. What kind of a policy is this when we're in the middle

of negotiations, and we're cutting back on the trust territory?

That's inconceivable in terms of anyone's interests, just inconceiv-

able.

Mr. Clausen and I may want to talk with you and Mr. Kyl about this. Under these circumstances, I can't understand asking for anything less than you are authorized. You may not spend it. We may be displeased about that or pleased, but you ought to have the funds available to spend if in the judgment of the administration it is still needed.

Do you want to respond to that?

Mr. Carpenter. May I point out, Mr. Chairman, that the fiscal year 1974 appropriation request for \$56 million is dependent upon the necessary authorization bill. We don't have an authorization bill now beyond 1973, so that the budget request for \$56 million was submitted predicated on obtaining the necessary authorization request for 1974.

Mr. Skubitz. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. Burton. I yield to the gentleman from Kansas.

Mr. Skubitz. Do I correctly understand that if we approve an open-ended authorization bill, the administration would be in a position to come back later for additional funds for some other program?

Mr. Carpenter. That is correct, sir.

Mr. Skubitz. This is the administration's position?

Mr. CARPENTER. In veiw of the general-

Mr. Skubitz. It's difficult to conceive of the administration pursuing such a course. It is proposing this \$56 million authorization, but if it later required funds, for example, for an education program or some other program, it could with this open-ended authorization, request a \$62 million or even a \$70 million appropriation that could be made in a supplementary appropriation later on?

Mr. Carpenter. Yes, sir; that is correct.

Mr. Burton. The gentleman from California, Mr. Clausen.

Mr. Clausen. Gentleman, I will have a number of questions that I want to propound. Prior to doing so I think that I should make at least this observation, that the questions that we are asking of you are not only designed to build a record for this subcommittee, but also to justify our position before the full committee, and then also, of course, defend it on the floor of the House. I think it is fair to state that there has been generally expressed concern by some key members of our committee, and one in particular, and with the current chairman of the full committee, with regard to his personal reservations about open-ended authorizations per se.

So, I think I should just make the point that I would, at this point, have to have some reservations about open-ended authorization both

from the standpoint of money as well as time.

Now, you have alluded to the fact that there are other Federal programs coming from other Federal agencies. I am just wondering if you could provide us with the amounts of funds to be contributed to the trust territories in the Pacific Islands by other Federal Agencies during the fiscal year of 1974.

Do you have that figure? Mr. Johnston. Yes, sir.

The estimate for fiscal year 1973 is around \$9,311,000 from various additional Federal programs. The estimate for fiscal 1974 was \$8,071,000, but of course, as we have been discussing, this is highly problematical at the present time because of our briefing just last month that some of these programs may be discontinued and that we are not yet included in the revenue sharing programs.

So, where we are anticipating \$8 million, it may be considerably less

than that.

Mr. CLAUSEN. All right.

You made reference to the meeting of the Federal officials of region 9.

Mr. Johnston. Yes, sir.

Mr. Clausen. One, was there any indication from those who were handling the briefing that the trust territory would be participating in revenue sharing?

Was there any indication, and if so, to what extent will they par-

ticipate in the amount of financial reservation?

Mr. Johnston. I would say that there was an indication that there there would be an attempt to include the trust territory in some of the special revenue-sharing programs, but not a commitment.

Mr. Burton. Would the gentleman yield? Mr. Clausen. I would be happy to.

Mr. Burton. You are going to have a long period of uncertainty about the special revenue-sharing bills, such as special education revenue sharing. Because of that uncertainty, why should we not, at

least in the area where we can directly affect it, eliminate the un-

certainty as it applies to the offshore islands.

That's the long and short of my position. Give you the flexibility. You ought to have the money so if you make the political decision to spend it, it is there.

Our position is really much more in the interests of the adminis-

tration than that which I perceive to be advanced.

Mr. CLAUSEN. All right.

In the existing, ongoing categorical aid programs is there the same matching fund requirement that applies to the States and local

political subdivisions.

Mr. Johnston. In most cases; yes. The ruling has been made, however, that the U.S. grant funds which are sent to Micronesia and are then commingled with the fairly meager locally raised revenues, lose their identity to a certain extent as Federal funds, and that these can be used for the matching grants.

The best example of that possibly that we have at the present time is under the Federal Assistance to Airports Act where we put up the matching funds for building our much-needed airports and the Federal Government through the FAA puts up the balance of the

money.

Mr. Clausen. I believe that's been on a 75 to 25 specifically for

trust territories.

Mr. Johnston. Yes, sir, but in some cases our matching funds, as I recall, have been waived. We are sometimes given slightly different treatment than other areas, but normally we do have the same match-

ing fund requirements.

Mr. Clausen. You know, Mr. Chairman, I'm not going to take too much time because I have some other questions, but I think I should at least bring to the attention of this committee a bit of a problem has come up as a result of the Interior Foreign Commerce recommendations the other day to eliminate the head tax which has been, as I understand, an inhibiting factor for some of the trust territories in being able to raise funds to meet their 25-percent requirement, and I won't prolong the discussion, but I think they have the rather unique situation—and there was an attempt to come up with some sort of an exemption. I will be very candid. It will be my attempt to discuss with the chairman of this to see if we couldn't go to the committee and maybe come up with an amendment, hopefully a committee amendment that would recognize this unique situation.

I won't take the time to go into it now, but I can see by the nodding of your head that you are aware of this situation and I want to discuss

this.

Would you like to elaborate on that particular problem, their

inability to come up with the matching funds?

Mr. Johnston. Yes, sir; if I may. In our ability to come up with matching funds, or to support categorical programs that are dropped, in both cases we are a little bit in a bind compared to many other areas, in that our local revenues are very small. The Congress of Micronesia now, on a territory-wide basis can hopefully raise somewhere between \$4 million and \$6 million in the fiscal year 1974. The local governments have very limited revenues, and where in an American city or an American State which is included under the

general revenue-sharing programs, they may be able to fairly quickly pick up some of the programs that are dropped, and undoubtedly will be, we are not in that position because our local revenues are not that strong. The same applies to our ability to provide the matching funds, but out of a very limited budget, a budget which, it has been pointed out, is even cut back from what we had anticipated. We are in a very tight position to provide either matching funds or to pick up any of the categorical programs that are dropped.

Mr. CLAUSEN. All right. What is the comparitive matching fund

formula under the categorical aid programs?

Is it the same to that which obtains to the States? I don't think I got an answer to that question.

Mr. Johnston. In most cases, yes, it is.

Mr. Clausen. So then, in some ways, the so-called revenue-sharing approach, if adopted and applied, might have the potential of minimizing some of the problems that the local entities have in raising the matching funds, which I think is a situation that occurs in many other sections of the country, and was one of the prime reasons, I believe, for advancing the special revenue-sharing program.

Is this correct?

Mr. Johnston. I think it would alleviate our problems considerably. Mr. Clausen. But I am a little bit concerned, as expressed by the chairman, that there is a degree of uncertainty here about whether or not all or any part of the special revenue-sharing package will go forward, and in the meantime we could conceivably—well, I should say you could conceivably put in something in the way of an administrative limbo in being able to make the necessary budget commitments, and so therefore we're going to have to, I believe, have some continuing sessions on this between the executive as well as the legislative branch because of continuity, flexibility, all of these factors.

Mr. Skubitz. Will the gentleman yield? Mr. Clausen. I'd be happy to yield.

Mr. Skubitz. I want to state to my colleague that I have brought to the attention of the Committee on Interstate Commerce the need for permitting the trust territories and the Virgin Islands the right to continue to charge a head tax because of their airport situation.

I had intended to present an amendment yesterday to this effect but I had cattlemen running out of my ears yesterday and my office was full and the telephone ringing with three of us on it answering calls from Kansas cattlemen about the proposed rollback. I couldn't get down to the committee meeting, and therefore Mr. Kuykendall made the presentation for me, but I am sorry to learn it was defeated.

I am hopeful, Mr. Chairman that we are able to do something on the floor because I'm fearful that my colleagues on the Interstate Commerce Committee are not fully cognizant of the real problems

that exist in the islands.

One other point. I know on the Virgin Islands that even though \$1½ million is available to be matched, the Virgins have been able to match only about \$11,000. This, I believe, emphasizes the dire circumstances that exist in the Virgin Islands.

There has been some suggestion that perhaps the matching basis be on a 90–10 percentage unless the islands were to be permitted to

exempt themselves entirely.

How does that sound: That in place of a 75-25 matching grant, it be

changed to 90 and 10?

Mr. CARPENTER. Well, looking at it from our standpoint-and of course we have general responsibility for all the territories—I would say that a reduction to 90–10 would certainly be very helpful if there couldn't be approval of some waiver exemption for the territories for the head tax.

Mr. Skubitz. Thank you.

Mr. Clausen. Yes. Well, I am pleased that my friend from Kansas did bring this up. I was aware of the fact that he had intended to offer the amendment, and I think it would be helpful if we could have you, Mr. Carpenter, prepare a statement for some of us that would like to work out a special exemption provision that would permit the trust territories to continue the head tax in their areas, and explain the uniqueness of the situation because I see we have one of two alternatives.

If they can continue the head tax in those areas, it is my understanding that this would provide them with enough in the way of basic funds to provide the matching funds, is my understanding. I'm a little bit afraid that if we go to the 90-10, we may set in motion some sort of a hassle on the formula that may apply elsewhere, and

then defeat our objective.

Mr. Skubitz. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. Clausen. Yes. Mr. Skubitz. I think the difficulty is that the trust territory and Guam and the Virgin Islands want to be exempt, but we aren't getting much cooperation at the moment from Puerto Rico. Obviously it is difficult to exempt some and not all.

Mr. DE Lugo. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. CLAUSEN. I will yield.

Mr. DE Lugo. Let me first of all thank our good friends, the gentlemen from California and the gentleman from Kansas for raising this point, and the Chairman, who has also supported us on this. I'm very glad it has been raised because it will take very strong, unified action

at this point to save the day.

The gentleman from Kansas has pointed out he went to battle for us. We made as strong a case as we could for the exemption, but there was a general reluctance by a large number of members of the committee to give us this exemption. They felt that this would open the dikes. There was more sympathy for the change in formula to 90-10, since it is not unusual to treat the territories or the offshore areas differently or they have unique problems, which are understood by the Members of Congress. It is the reason we have been able to progress because we have friends such as you in the Congress.

Again, I thank the gentlemen, and I hope that we will be able to

go to the committee as a unified group.

Mr. Clausen. Returning to—would it be possible then, Mr. Carpenter, for you to give some thought to giving us the benefit of your thinking in the form of a memorandum so that we can discuss this matter with the Committees on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, and the pros and cons of the two suggestions; namely, the continuation of the head tax on the one side, and the possibility of a 90-10 formula and the situation as you see it.

Mr. CARPENTER. We'd be glad to.

Mr. CLAUSEN. This could be an informal memorandum, as far as I

am concerned, and I will work it out with these gentlemen.

Mr. Carpenter, what is the case or point you are making to this committee to justify authorization of appropriations for the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands?

Mr. Carpenter. As far as an open-ended approach?

Mr. CLAUSEN. Yes.

Mr. Carpenter. This is based primarily on the uncertainty surrounding the political status negotiations which, as you know, have been going on now for over 3 years. We have felt that we did need considerable flexibility for the next few years, depending on how these talks developed, and that is the basic reason for our request for an open-ended type authorization.

Mr. Clausen. What is the projected time frame—and I don't like to set and establish dates when we're dealing with sensitive questions, but what would you say is the projected time frame for hopefully a successful negotiation to be finalized on the political status question?

Are we talking, as I understand, possibly 2 or 3 years, some-

thing like this?

Mr. Carpenter. I think at this point it's almost impossible to say. I don't think we have reached the point where we can clearly see the end of the road, particularly as far as the negotiations with Micronesia as opposed to those with the Mariana Islands.

Mr. Clausen. Well, I am—again, I think that we as a committee have this prime responsibility of continuing oversight and review, and

I have some reservations about the open-ended.

I am wondering if—I don't know why, but I come down on a figure in my own mind that possibly a 3-year authorization might serve your purposes in giving you sufficient flexibility, and at the same time, Mr. Chairman, give us the kind of an opportunity for continuing oversight and review and report back in line with our basic responsibility.

Mr. Skubitz. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. Clausen. Yes.

Mr. Skubitz. First of all, I am not in favor of giving open-end authority for 3 years. I thought our chairman brought to light some matters that required airing on the need for additional funds above the \$56 million because of the cutbacks in other areas. I am just wondering whether we shouldn't consider authorizing whatever sums that are necessary for fiscal year 1974 and not allow a 3-year open-end authority.

Mr. Clausen. Well, I'm sure that's something we can discuss in the markup, but I would like to have a response, if it is at all possible,

as to that kind of suggestion.

Mr. Carpenter. Well, obviously, we would prefer to have as much flexibility as possible, if not an open-ended bill, we would hope to have, say, 3 or more years. This would be our preference.

Now, one reason I say that is that this would permit better planning, particularly on the part of the High Commissioner and his staff and

the Congress of Micronesia, for a longer period of time.

Mr. Clausen. Now, if this committee authorizes, say, \$60 million for fiscal years 1974, 1975, and 1976, or if we were to extend it to 1977 and 1978, which is the difference between the 3- or the 5-year authori-

zation, we would need the background information and the facts that justify that amount.

What facts can you give us to justify the authorization of those

amounts?

Mr. Johnston. Well, Congressman Clausen, if I might respond to that, we are, of course, in another 5-year planning cycle, and our 5-year plans as developed with the Congress of Micronesia by the government of the trust territory, are to ask for \$70 million in fiscal 1975, 1976, and 1977, and \$80 million in the following 2 years, in 1978 and 1979, and that is the amount that we can justify in our 5-year planning cycle.

Mr. CLAUSEN. Would you then be kind enough to submit this? How large a document is that, the justification and background

information?

Mr. Johnston. Not too large.

Mr. Burton. We would like to have a copy of that and have the information placed in the record at this point.

[The information referred to follows:]

TRUST TERRITORYWIDE
TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS OPERATIONS SUMMARY, 1972–1979
[Dollars in thousands]

				Fiscal	years			
Program activity	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979
Direct appropriation. Public affairs. Resources and development. Tealth services. ducation. Protection to persons and property Transportation and communications. Administration.	2, 114 4, 953 6, 372 10, 679 1, 966 2, 881 6, 589 4, 426	638 2, 132 4, 520 6, 905 11, 400 2, 176 3, 146 7, 804 4, 944	811 2, 100 5, 733 7, 575 12, 744 2, 350 3, 267 7, 958 5, 238	861 2, 080 5, 137 8, 045 13, 803 2, 593 3, 435 9, 080 5, 553	887 2, 131 5, 641 8, 770 15, 113 2, 826 3, 598 9, 795 5, 798	917 2, 123 6, 694 9, 402 16, 082 2, 975 3, 684 10, 695 6, 122	943 2, 205 7, 158 10, 250 17, 300 3, 166 3, 843 11, 605 6, 434	974 2, 236 7, 431 10, 877 18, 738 3, 326 3, 944 12, 525 6, 518
Grand total DIRECT APPROPRIATION	40, 610	43, 665	47,776	50, 587	54, 559	58, 694	62, 904	66, 569
Office of the High Commissioner. High Commissioner and Deputy High Commissioner. Special Assistant/District Affairs. Special Assistant/Legislative Affairs. Special Consultant. udiciary.	293	313	411	439	442	450	453	462
Total, Direct Appropriation	630	638	811	861	887	917	943	974
PUBLIC AFFAIRS		1000					1000	
Office of the Directoregislative Liaison	156 112 232 341 267 493 300 10	124 164 119 231 344 272 483 250 20 125	107 169 126 239 356 263 455 250 10 125	123 185 130 209 374 285 359 250 40 125	127 202 137 216 387 305 362 250 20 125	135 210 139 222 403 331 268 250 40 125	144 222 146 232 427 354 250 285 20 125	148 232 148 246 446 372 194 285 40
Total, Public Affairs	2.114	2, 132	2,100	2,080	2, 131	2, 123	2, 205	2. 23

TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS OPERATIONS SUMMARY, 1972–1979—Continued [Dollars in thousands]

		-		Fiscal	years			89.00
Program activity	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	197
RESOURCES AND DEVELOPMENT								
office of the Director griculture conomic Development larine Resources ands and Surveys and Commissions abor Division	67 1, 439 547 485 1, 265 488 62	70 1, 515 561 489 1, 306 506 73	74 1, 571 592 576 1, 328 517 75	74 1, 666 638 725 1, 398 550 86	76 1,760 669 853 1,458 580 95	78 1, 834 701 893 1, 545 621 97	80 1, 933 742 968 1, 601 730 104	2, 01 76 1, 01 1, 66 77
DLF	600				150	925	1,000	1,00
Total, Resources and Development	4, 953	4, 520	5, 733	5, 137	5, 641	6, 694	7, 158	7, 43
HEALTH SERVICES								
ledical Administration ospital and Out-Island Services nvironmental Health and Sanitation ental Services rust Territory School of Nursing	359 5, 167 270 345 231	370 5, 651 265 385 234	364 6, 243 304 427 237	373 6, 638 322 472 240	390 7, 288 346 506 240	354 7, 901 362 545 240	383 8, 662 379 586 240	9, 21 40 62 24
Total, Health Services	6, 372	6, 905	7, 575	8, 045	8,770	9, 402	10, 250	10, 87
EDUCATION dministration	869 4,755	952 5, 066	973 5, 793	1,220	1, 313	1, 319	1, 407	1, 50
lementaryecondaryservice Teacher Training		3, 114	3,726	6,055	6, 615 4, 733	7, 192 5, 054	7, 739 5, 455	8, 34 6, 09
cholarship and Medical Education	614 778 410	632 796 413 55 212	633 868 460 79 212	660 895 484 83 212	677 968 509 86 212	700 981 534 90 212	750 1,069 574 94 212	1, 08 61 9 21
Total, Education	10, 679	11, 400	12,744	13, 803	15, 113	16, 082	17, 300	18, 73
ROTECTION TO PERSONS AND PROPERTY				77.				
ffice of the Attorney General nmigration. ublic Safety ublic Defender ocal Judiciary	417 96 1, 049 176 228	431 109 1, 130 260 246	458 120 1, 236 276 260	496 135 1, 387 298 277	525 148 1, 545 314 294	509 168 1, 661 325 312	535 176 1, 785 341 329	56 18 1, 88 34 34
Total, Protection to Persons and Property_	1, 966	2, 176	2, 350	2, 593	2, 826	2, 975	3, 166	3, 32
RANSPORTATION AND COMMUNICATIONS						A 1/15 7512	1741	
ffice of the Director	63 331 1,400 1,087	68 363 1,480 1,235	58 411 1,530 1,268	60 466 1,590 1,319	64 475 1,647 1,412	67 477 1,676 1,464	70 491 1,746 1,536	7, 50 1, 80 1, 56
Total, Transportation and Communications	2, 881	3, 146	3, 267	3, 435	3, 598	3, 684	3, 843	3,94
PUBLIC WORKS								75/13
ngineering and Administration perations and Maintenancetilities	582 3, 997 2, 010	604 4, 304 2, 896	611 4, 509 2, 838	703 4, 845 3, 532	743 5, 142 3, 910	783 5, 524 4, 388	822 5, 952 4, 831	6, 43
Total, Public Works		7, 804	7, 958	9, 080	9, 795	10, 695	11,605	12, 52
ADMINISTRATION =			17,55			AVE.		
ffice of the District Administratordministrative Services (HQ):	1,091	1, 113	1, 137	1, 238	1, 291	1, 410	1, 520	1, 56
Management Services LNO—Guam LNO—Okinawa LNO—Honolulu.	98 106 42 49	94 107 45	95 109 43 46	96 112 38 47	101 112 40 47	100 116 41 48	103 117 41 49	10
LNO—Washington Executive Operations	22	21	22	23	24	25	26	
inance: Office of the Director Finance and Accounting Procurement and Supply Automatic Data Processing	46 716 889 295	52 718 898 300	53 751 927 309	54 795 968 320	55 847 1, 025 332	56 879 1, 089 339	61 949 1, 191 370	97 1, 17 38
Financial Management System Implementa-	233	300	202	220	206	300	310	30

TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS OPERATIONS SUMMARY, 1972–1979—Continued [Dollars in thousands]

				Fiscal	years			
Program activity	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	19
ADMINISTRATION—Continued								
Personnel : Personnel Office Personnel Training	100	398	444	464	493	520	449	4
Personnel Training Leased Housing Fund Other (HQ):	430	104 330	101 330	102 330	105 330	110 591	118 630	6:
Internal Audit Program and Budget	111 223 263	117 235 263	120 241 280	124 257 330	129 270 340	133 256 350	148 251 350	1:
Personnel Benefits Disaster Control Office	28	31	52	55	57	59	61	
Total, Administration	4, 426	4, 944	5, 238	5, 553	5, 798	6, 122	6, 434	6, 5
	HE	ADQUART	TERS	100000				
Direct appropriation	630 1, 488	638	811	861 1, 277	887 1, 263	917 1, 181	943	9
Public affairs Resources and development Health services	2, 137 2, 048 2, 679	1 4/8	1, 376 2, 465 2, 353 2, 677	1.551	1 756	2, 560 2, 656 2, 954 1, 174	1, 200 2, 742 2, 925	2, 7
ducation	2, 679 858	2, 207 2, 699 969	2, 677 1, 023	2, 424 2, 757 1, 079	2, 611 2, 935 1, 141	2, 954	3, 149	3, 2
Protection to persons and property Transportation and communications	2.357	2 582	1, 023 2, 663 1, 222	2, 766 1, 267	2,879	2, 920	3.042	3, 1
Public worksAdministration	1, 139 2, 638	1, 201 3, 132	1, 222 3, 359	1, 267 3, 518	1, 350 3, 638	1, 371 3, 767	1, 468 3, 887	1, 4
Grand total	15, 974	16, 280	17, 949	17, 500	18, 460	19, 500	20,600	21,0
DIRECT APPROPRIATION								
Office of the High Commissioner High Commissioner and Deputy High Com- missioner. Special Assistant/Dist. Affairs.	293	313	411	439	442	450	453	1
Special Assistant/Legis. Affairs. Special Consultant. Iudiciary	337	325	400	422	445	467	490	
Total, Direct Appropriation	630	638	811	861	887	917	943	9
PUBLIC AFFAIRS		7						
Office of the Director	78	76	48	51	53	53	54	
Legislative LiaisonCivic Affairs	46 58	46 62	50 65	50 67	51 69	52 69	53 70	
Public Information	205	205	210	176	180	179	188	1
BroadcastingCommunity Development	139	131 88	137 90	136 92	133 96	127 100	139 106	1
Grant-in-Aid	439	421 250	391 250	290 250	286 250	186 250	160 285	1 2
Housing Loan Fund Congress of Micronesia—Election Congress of Micronesia—Operations	300	20 125	10	40	20	40	20	
	125		125	125	125	125	125	1
Total, Public Affairs	1, 488	1, 424	1, 376	1, 277	1, 263	1, 181	1, 200	1, 1
RESOURCES AND DEVELOPMENT		70	7.4	74	70	70	00	
Office of the Director	67 315	70 334	74 341	74 351	76 367	78 373	80 397	
Economic Development	276 200	294 168	311 176	320 230	329 243	335 246	360 280	3
Marine Resources Lands and Surveys	644	527	527	539	553	564	585	(
Economic Development Loan Fund Labor Division	600	35	1,000	37	150 38	925 39	1,000	1, (
Total, Resources and Development	2, 137	1, 428	2, 465	1, 551	1, 756	2, 560	2, 742	2, 7
HEALTH SERVICES								
Medical Administration	359	370	364	373 1, 723	390	354 1, 967	383 2, 205	2
Hospital and Out-Island Services Environmental Health and Sanitation	1, 399	1, 548	1,667	73	77	80	82	2,2
Dental Services Trust Territory School of Nursing	9 231	13 234	14 237	15 240	15 240	15 240	15 240	2
						11 10 10		
Total, Health Services	2,048	2, 207	2, 353	2, 424	2, 611	2,656	2, 925	2, 9

TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS OPERATIONS SUMMARY, 1972–1979—Continued HEADQUARTERS—Continued

				Fiscal	years			
Program activity	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	197
EDUCATION	7.5	TA TOTAL		-		7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		S. Maria
dministrationlementary	24	431	425	423	483	437	450	47
econdary. nservice Teacher Training cholarship and Medical Education ficronesian Occupational Center community College of Micronesia ficronesian Maritime Center kid to Non-Public Schools.	47 161 614 778 410	160 632 796 413 55 212	633 868 460 79 212	660 895 484 83 212	677 968 509 86 212	700 981 534 90 212	750 1, 069 574 94 212	78 1, 08 61 9
Total, Education	2, 679	2, 699	2, 677	2, 757	2, 935	2, 954	3, 149	3, 26
ROTECTION TO PERSONS AND PROPERTY	- 27 4 19	rail Days						
Office of the Attorney General mmigration. Ublic Safety wildlic Safety wildlic Defender ocal Judiciary	251 30 173 176 228	253 32 178 260 246	264 35 188 276 260	275 36 193 298 277	292 37 204 314 294	263 48 226 325 312	276 50 248 341 329	25
Total, Protection to Persons	858	969	1,023	1,079	1, 141	1, 174	1, 244	1, 28
TRANSPORTATION AND COMMUNICATIONS								
ffice of the Director ransportation Office ea Transportation ommunications	63 331 1, 400 563	68 363 1, 480 671	58 378 1,530 697	60 396 1,590 720	64 405 1, 647 763	67 407 1,676 770	70 421 1, 746 805	1, 8
Total, Transportation and Communica-	2, 357	2, 582	2, 663	2, 766	2, 879	2, 920	3, 042	3, 1
PUBLIC WORKS								
ngineering and Administrationperations and Maintenance.tilities.		604 504 93	611 532 79	703 479 85	743 517 90	783 518 70	822 566 80	8 5
Total, Public Works	1, 139	1, 201	1, 222	1, 267	1, 350	+1,371	1, 468	1, 4
ADMINISTRATION								
iffice of the District Administrator dministrative Services (HQ): Management Services LNO—Guam LNO—Okinawa LNO—Honolulu Executive Operations LNO—Washington	00	94 107 45 21	95 109 43 46 22	96 112 38 47 23	101 112 40 47 24	100 116 41 48 25	103 117 41 49 26	10
Office of the Director - Finance and Accounting - Procurement and Supply - Automatic Data Processing - Financial Management System Imple-	46 487 547 295	52 481 562 300	53 504 571 309	54 528 592 320	55 549 628 332	56 559 650 339	61 607 710 370	6 7 3
ersonnel: Personnel Office Personnel Training Leased Housing Fund.	304	262 104 330	305 101 330	310 102 330	319 105 330	334 110 591	245 118 630	2 1 6
ther (HQ): Internal Audit Program and Budget Personnel Benefits Disaster Control Office	111 223	117 235 263 31	120 241 280 52	124 257 330 55	129 270 340 57	133 256 350 59	148 251 350 61	1 2 3
Total, Administration		3, 132	3. 359	3, 518	3, 638	3, 767	3, 887	3.9

TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS OPERATIONS SUMMARY, 1972–1979—Continued MARIANAS

	,							
				Fiscal	years			
Program activity	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	197
Direct appropriation Public affairs Resources and development lealth services ducation Protection to persons and property Public works	86 639 651 1,102 259	107 691 680 1,150 283	109 733 772 1,347 306 33 1,642	117 850 833 1, 469 345 70 1, 861	125 894 889 1,598 372 70 2,068	136 938 944 1,724 400 70 2,260	139 987 1,005 1,848 430 70 2,620	14 1, 03 1, 05 1, 98 46 7 2, 86
Administration	274	279	302	347	379	405	431	44
Grand total	4, 443	4, 895	5, 244	5, 892	6, 395	6, 877	7, 530	8, 07
PUBLIC AFFAIRS Office of the director Legislative liaison Civic affairs Public information Broadcasting Community development Grant-in-aid Jousing loan fund Congress of Micronesia—election Congress of Micronesia—operations	17 8 5 35 14 7	10 18 10 5 35 20 9	10 18 10 5 35 21 10	11 19 11 6 37 22 11	12 20 11 6 40 24 12	15 21 12 9 42 25 12	13 22 12 9 44 26 13	1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Total, public affairs	86	107	109	117	125	136	139	14
RESOURCES AND DEVELOPMENT							MA THE	
Office of the Director agriculture. Conomic Development Marine resources. ands and surveys. and commissions. abor division.	347 64 126 98 4	372 64 148 101 6	393 65 0 162 107 6	434 75 56 168 110 7	456 80 59 174 117 8	478 84 63 181 124 8	501 89 67 190 131 9	5.
Total, resources and development	639	691	733	850	894	938	987	1, 0
HEALTH SERVICES ledical Administration ospital and Out-Island Services nvironmental Health and Sanitation ental Services rust Territory School of Nursing	31 48	590 34 56	674 36 62	724 40 69	774 42 73	823 44 77	878 46 81	9
	651	680	770	833	889	944	1, 005	1.0
Total, Health ServicesEDUCATION			772					
dministration. lementary. econdary. sservice Teacher Training. cholarship and Medical Education. licronesian Occupational Center. ommunity College of Micronesia. licronesian Maritime Center. id to Non-Public Schools.	93 657 532	86 729 335	140 692 515	148 754 567	166 814 618	177 885 662	190 956 702	1, 0, 7
Total, Education	1, 102	1, 150	1, 347	1,469	1, 598	1,724	1,848	1, 9
PROTECTION TO PERSONS AND PROPERTY								
ffice of the Attorney General nmigration ublic Safety ublic Defender ocal Judiciary		8 22 253	8 25 273	9 31 305	9 33 330	10 35 355	10 37 383	4
Total, Protection to Persons and Property	259	283	306	345	372	400	430	4
Total, Frotestion to Fersons and Froperty	200	200	300	040	012	400	400	7

TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS OPERATIONS SUMMARY, 1972-1979—Continued MARIANAS—Continued

	[Dullars	in thousa	illusj	A MARKET			THE NEW	
				Fiscal	years			
Program activity	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	19
RANSPORTATION AND COMMUNICATIONS								
iffice of the Director ransportation Office ea Transportation ommunications.			33	70	70	70	70	
Total, Transportation and Communications			33	70	70	70	70	7
PUBLIC WORKS								
ngineering and Administration perations and Maintenance tilities	782 650	865 840	964 678	1, 037 824	1, 100 968	1, 189 1, 071	1, 293 1, 327	1, 4
Total, Public Works	1, 432	1,705	1, 642	1,861	2,068	2, 260	2, 620	2, 8
ADMINISTRATION ffice of the District Administrator				258	272	286	300	3
nance: Office of the Director Finance and Accounting. Procurement and Supply. Automatic Data Processing. Financial Management System Implementation.	35 22	37 23	40 25	41 24	50 25	58 27	63 31	
Personnel: Personnel Office Personnel Training Leased Housing Fund her (HQ): Internal Audit Program and Budget Personnel Benefits Disaster Control Office						34	37	
Total, Administration		279	302	347	379	405	431	4
	MARSHA	LLS DIST	TRICT					
rect appropriation								
blic affairs seources and development salth services lucation otection to persons and property ansportation and communications blic works ministration	120 263 820 1, 387 151 124 866 363	129 283 900 1,599 151 135 1,064 372	138 268 971 1, 887 163 141 1, 115 389	156 287 1, 055 2, 057 191 153 1, 307 430	171 383 1, 146 2, 242 210 167 1, 432 473	187 417 1, 252 2, 454 226 179 1, 604 523	202 522 1, 326 2, 700 244 189 1, 749 550	2 5 1, 4 2, 9 2 1 1, 9 5
Grand total	4, 094	4, 633	5, 072	5, 636	6, 224	6, 842	7, 482	8, 0
PUBLIC AFFAIRS								
fice of the Director gislative Liaison	9	10 19 8	11 20 11	15 25 9	16 26 10	19 26 11	25 28 12	
oadcasting ommunity Development ant-in-Aid ousing Loan Fund ngress of Micronesia—Election	32 47 10	35 47 10	37 49 10	42 53 12	44 61 14	50 65 16	50 69 18	
ongress of Micronesia—Election ongress of Micronesia—Operations								
Total, Public Affairs	120	129	138	156	171	187	202	2

TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS OPERATIONS SUMMARY, 1972-1979—Continued MARSHALLS DISTRICT—Continued

				Fiscal	years			
Program activity	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	197
RESOURCES AND DEVELOPMENT						i leto		
Office of the Director								
gricultureconomic Development	145 39	155 40	161 42	169 47	176 52	174 57	187 60	20
Marine Resources					75	80	83	8
ands and Surveysand Commissions	74	82	59	64	70	95	100	1
abor Division	5	6	6	7	10	11	12	
Total, Resources & Development	263	283	268	287	383	417	522	5
HEALTH SERVICES								
Medical Administration								
lospital and Out-Island Services	720	799	866	935	1, 020	1, 113	1, 175	1, 2
nvironmental Health and Sanitation	35 65	31 70	31 74	36 84	41 85	44 95	48	1
rust Territory School of Nursing			, , ,					
Total, Health Services	820	900	971	1, 055	1, 146	1, 252	1, 326	1, 4
EDUCATION								
Administration	60	101	104	235	256	271	301	3
lementary	941	1,035	1. 253	1, 191	1, 277	1,427	1,532	1,6
secondarynservice Teacher Training	386	463	530	631	709	756	867	9
nservice Teacher Training cholarship and Medical Education dicronesian Occupational Center								
Aicronesian Occupational Center Community College of Micronesia								
Aicronesian Maritime Center								
Aid to Nonpublic Schools								
Total, Education	1, 387	1, 599	1, 887	2, 057	2, 242	2, 454	2, 700	2, 9
PROTECTION TO PERSONS AND PROPERTY								
Office of the Attorney General	34	35	37	48	52	54	57	
mmigration	11 106	12 104	14	21 122	25 133	27 145	29 158	1
Public Safety Public Defender	100	104	112	122	133	145	138	1
ocal Judiciary								
Total, Protection to Persons and Property_	151	151	163	191	210	226	244	2
TRANSPORTATION & COMMUNICATIONS								
Office of the Director								We to
ransportation Office								
Communications	124	135	141	153	167	179	189	1
Total, Transportation and Communica-					Salak			1 = 170
tions	124	135	141	153	167	179	189	1
PUBLIC WORKS								
ngineering and Administration								
Derations and Maintenance Utilities	607 259	713 351	752 363	839 468	890 542	982 622	1, 072 677	1, 1
Total, Public Works	866	1, 064	1, 115	1, 307	1, 432	1,604	1,749	1, 9
ADMINISTRATION =	000	1,004	1, 113	1, 307	1, 432	1,004	1, 743	1, 3
Office of the District Administrator	220	SEC	267	296	227	200	202	4
Administrative Services (HQ):	238	256	267	290	327	360	392	4
Management Services								
LNO—Guam LNO—Okinawa								

TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS OPERATIONS SUMMARY, 1972–1979—Continued MARSHALLS DISTRICT—Continued

				Fiscal	years			
Program activity	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	197
ADMINISTRATION—Continued				J. Commission		N. BOA		
inance:								
Office of the Director								4800
Finance and Accounting Procurement and Supply Automatic Data Processing	39	37	40	44	48	53	55	Tribu.
Procurement and Supply	- 65	58	60	66	71	80	70	
Financial Management System								
Implementation								
ersonnel:	01	01	00		07	00	00	
Personnel Office Personnel Training Leased Housing Fund	21	21	22	24	21	30	33	
Leased Housing Fund								
ther (HQ):								
Internal Audit								
Program and Budget Personnel Benefits								
Disaster Control Office								
Total, Administration	-	372	389	430	473	523	550	5
Total, Administration		372	303	430	4/3	323	330	3
	PALAU	DISTRI	СТ			401.70		
irect appropriation		100	100	107	150	100	170	
ublic affairs esources and development	- 112 539	120 578	120 518	137 639	152 678	163 716	178 752	1 7
ealth services	630	678	740	802	878	951	1.025	1, 1
ducation	96/	1, 108	1, 263	1, 393	1,504	1,603	1,690	1,8
rotection to persons and propertyransportation and communications	187	214	229	256	288	305	322	3
ublic works	- 79 - 903	86 1, 077	86 1, 158	1, 327	1, 420	1, 544	1, 575	1, 6
dministration		260	256	290	330	358	378	4
Grand total	3, 674	4, 121	4, 433	4, 937	5, 356	5, 755	6, 041	6, 4
PUBLIC AFFAIRS								
ffice of the Director		10	11	12	13	14	15	
egislative Liaison	22	23	22	24	31	30	33	
ivic Affairsublic Information	9 4	9 5	10	11	12	12	15	
roadcasting	32	35	36	7 42	45	48	51	
roadcastingommunity Development	36	27	23	28	29	34	39	
rant-in-Aid	9	11	12	13	15	16	17	
ousing Loan Fund ongress of Micronesia—Election								
ongress of Micronesia—Operations								
Total, Public Affairs	112	120	120	137	152	163	178	1
RESOURCES AND DEVELOPMENT	- 112	120	120	137	132	103	170	-
ffice of the Directorgriculture	162	168	171	181	191	202	212	
conomic Development	48	39	37	44	47	52	52	-
griculture_ conomic Development larine Resources ands and Surveys	121	139	145	149	155	161	168	1
ands and Surveysand Commissions	103 100	118 106	119	134	146	155	166	1
abor Division		8	102	118 13	124	133	140 14	1
Total, Resources and Development		578	581	639	678	716	752	7
HEALTH SERVICES		0,0	001	000	0.0	710	702	,
ledical Administration	Company of	6	in I					
ospital and Out-Island Services	534	574	632	685	749	811	874	9
nvironmental Health and Sanitation	36	39	40	42	44	47	49	1
ental Services rust Territory School of Nursing	- 60	65	68	75	85	93	102	1
		070	740	000	070	0.51	1 005	
Total, Health Services	630	678	740	802	878	951	1, 025	1, 1
	-							

TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS OPERATIONS SUMMARY, 1972–1979—Continued PALAU DISTRICT—Continued

56 83 28 67 34 11 42		91 692 480	1, 393			98 994 598	
83 28 667 34 11 42	1, 108 36 11 167	1, 263	789 505	876 542	943 570	994 598	1, 04
83 28 667 34 11 42	1, 108 36 11 167	1, 263	789 505	876 542	943 570	994 598	1, 04
67	1, 108 36 11 167	1, 263	1, 393	542	570	598	68
67	1, 108 36 11 167	1, 263	1, 393				
67 34 11 42	1, 108 36 11 167	1, 263	1, 393				
67	1, 108 36 11 167	1, 263	1, 393				
67 34 11 42	1, 108 36 11 167	1, 263	1, 393				
67 34 11 42	1, 108 36 11 167	1, 263	1, 393				
34 11 42	1, 108 36 11 167	1, 263	1, 393			1, 690	1 0
34 11 42	36 11 167	39		1, 304	1,003	1, 050	
11 42	11 167						1, 83
11 42	11 167						
42	167		44	45	47	52	
		176	15 197	16 227	17 241	18 252	26
- DI		170	13/	441	241	232	21
87							
1111	214	229	256	288	305	322	3
79					1 10 100		11111
-	86	86	93	106	115	121	13
79	86	86	93	106	115	121	1
	1						
							7
100	11111111		MAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A	/61	861	863	89
03	1,077	1, 158	1, 327	1, 420	1, 544	1, 575	1, 66
32	135	127	147	168	186	195	2
					222221		
40	42	43	47	52	55	57	
66	63	65	72	84	89	94	. 1
10	20	01	04	00	00	00	
19	20	21	24	26	28	32	
							7
						22222	
1	32 40 666	73 555 03 1,077 32 135 40 42 66 63 19 20	73 555 598 03 1,077 1,158 32 135 127 	73 555 598 709 03 1,077 1,158 1,327 32 135 127 147 32 40 42 43 47 66 63 65 72	73 555 598 709 761 03 1,077 1,158 1,327 1,420 32 135 127 147 168 33 1,077 1,158 1,327 1,420 340 42 43 47 52 460 63 65 72 84 19 20 21 24 26	73 555 598 709 761 861 03 1,077 1,158 1,327 1,420 1,544 32 135 127 147 168 186	73 555 598 709 761 861 863 03 1,077 1,158 1,327 1,420 1,544 1,575 32 135 127 147 168 186 195 33

TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS OPERATIONS SUMMARY, 1972–1979—Continued PONAPE

	10000							15.16
				Fiscal	years			
Program activity	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979
Direct appropriation	123 625 676 1, 773 197 103 893	129 693 763 1, 846 208 112 1, 090	129 740 832 2, 069 252 118 1, 132	148 796 913 2, 345 277 114 1, 234	153 875 1, 031 2, 594 314 123 1, 299	168 935 1, 223 2, 795 333 132 1, 387	178 984 1, 428 3, 011 348 139 1, 467	186 1, 084 1, 597 3, 444 368 146 1, 549
AdministrationGrand total-	4, 707	5, 133	300 5, 572	6, 138	6, 670	303 7, 276	7, 899	8, 677
PUBLIC AFFAIRS	4, 707	3, 133	3, 372	0, 130	0, 070	7, 270	7, 033	0, 07
Office of the Director	18 9 4 40 42 10	19 10 5 40 45 10	7 21 10 5 42 34 10	13 26 10 5 45 38 11	11 28 11 5 47 40 11	11 29 11 6 51 48 12	12 33 12 6 53 49 13	12 32 12 7 56 54 1
Total, Public Affairs	123	129	129	148	153	168	178	186
RESOURCES AND DEVELOPMENT Office of the Director	264 42 60 159 96 4 625	272 43 65 199 108 6 693	278 51 80 210 114 7 740	290 55 93 228 123 7 796	313 59 121 243 131 8 875	331 63 134 258 140 9 935	348 67 140 273 147 9 984	366 77 155 288 156 3 1, 048
Dental Services Trust Territory School of Nursing	63	72	80	90	97	103	109	118
Total, Health Services	676	763	832	913	1, 031	1, 223	1, 428	1, 597
EDUCATION Administration Elementary Secondary Inservice Teacher Training Scholarship and Medical Education Micronesian Occupational Center Community College of Micronesia Micronesian Maritime Center Alid to Non-Public Schools						106 1, 565 1, 124	115 1, 678 1, 218	121 1, 814 1, 509
Total, Education	1,773	1, 846	2, 069	2, 345	2, 594	2, 795	3, 011	3, 444
PROTECTION TO PERSONS AND PROPERTY			SAME					ings.
Office of the Attorney General	31 9 157	34 10 164	37 11 204	39 11 227	42 12 260	44 13 276	46 14 288	4: 1: 30
Total, Protection to Persons and Property_	197	208	252	277	314	333	348	368

TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS OPERATIONS SUMMARY, 1972-1979-Continued

PONAPE—Continued

				Fiscal	years			
Program activity	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	19
RANSPORTATION AND COMMUNICATIONS								
ffice of the Directorransportation Office								
ea Transportationcommunications	103	112	118	114	123	132	139	ī
Total, Transportation and Communica-	103	112	118	114	123	132	139	1
PUBLIC WORKS								MANE
ngineering and Administration perations and Maintenance	593	638 452	657	715 519	750 549	809 578	865 602	9
tilities Total, Public Works	300 893	1,090	1, 132	1, 234	1, 299	1, 387	1, 467	1, 5
ADMINISTRATION						27		
ffice of the District Administratordministrative Services (HQ): Management Services LNO—Guam	184	161	165	162	139	149	156	1
LNO—Honolulu Executive Operations office of the Director								
Finance and Accounting Procurement and Supply Automatic Data Processing Financial Management System Implementation	44 64	42 60	43 63	51 67	52 53	56 59	59 88	
ersonnel: Personnel Office Personnel Training Leased Housing Fund ther (HQ): Internal Audit	25	29	29	31	37	39	41	
Program and Budget Personnel Benefits Disaster Control Office								
Total, Administration	317	292	300	311	281	303	344	3
		TRUK						
irect appropriation	96	115	116	119	129	132	138	1
ublic affairsesources and developmentealth servicesealth	464	531 1, 175	586 1, 358	630	657	690	723	1, 9
fucation	1, 086 2, 032 183	2, 216 201	2, 634	2, 831 280	3, 195	3, 456	1, 801 3, 723 362	4, 0
rotection to persons and propertyransportation and communications	108	118	117	117	124	132	139	1, 7
ıblic works	714 308	885 329	859 337	1, 164 329	1, 223	368	1, 509 390	1, 4
Grand total	4, 991	5, 570	6, 233	6, 893	7, 525	8, 207	8, 785	9, 4
PUBLIC AFFAIRS								
fice of the Director	18	9 21	10 20	10 20	11 24	11 23	12 24	
gislative Liaison vic Affairs	10	10	10	10	11	11	11	
iblic Information	32	5 34	5 35	5 37	6 39	6 42	6 44	
mmunity Development	21 11	24 12	24 12	26 11	26 12	27 12	28 13	
ousing Loan Fund ongress of Micronesia—Election								
ongress of Micronesia—Operations								

TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS OPERATIONS SUMMARY, 1972-1979—Continued

TRUK—Continued

	Fiscal years									
Program activity	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	197		
RESOURCES AND DEVELOPMENT				por Last	Hy Ma	Levan	"Manage	18181		
ffice of the Director										
gricultureconomic Development	134 40	142 41	152 45	161 52	173 55	183	194	20		
arine Resources	104	117	145	165	167	59 174	193	19		
ands and Surveys	82	123	132	139	141	148	141	13		
and Commissionsabor Division	99	102	106	106	113	118	126	13		
Total, Resources & Development	464	531	586	630	657	690	723	74		
HEALTH SERVICES			3 1 1 1 1 1							
ledical Administrationospit I and Out-Island Services	002	1 007	1 220	1 004	1 407	1 500				
nvironmental Health and Sanitation	983 45	1,067	1, 230	1, 294	1, 407	1, 522	1,635	1, 75		
ental Services rust Territory School of Nursing	58	62	78	81	90	97	108	11		
Total, Health Services	1, 086	1. 175	1, 358	1, 423	1, 550	1,674	1,801	1, 9:		
EDUCATION		====			-,	1,01	-,	-, -		
dministration	100	109	93	169	164	175	186	2		
ementary	1, 173 759	1, 237 870	1,504	1,498	1,680	1,848	2, 026	2,2		
service Teacher Training			1, 037	1, 164	1, 351	1, 433	1,511	1,5		
cholarship and Medical Education										
icronesian Occupational Center ommunity College of Micronesia										
icronesian Mariti ne Centor										
d to Non-Public Schools										
Total, Education	_2, 032	2, 216	2,634	2, 831	3, 195	3, 456	3.723	4.0		
PROTECTION TO PERSONS AND PROPERTY				-						
ffice of the Attorney General	34	36	43	47	48	51	53			
nmigration	9	10	10	9	10	10	11			
ublic Safetyublic Defender	140	155	173	224	250	278	298	3		
ocal Judiciary										
Total, Protection to Persons and Property	183	201	226	280	308	339	362			
TRANSPORTATION AND COMMUNICATIONS								-		
ffice of the Director										
ransportation Officeea Transportation										
ommunications	108	118	117	117	124	132	139	1		
Total, Transportation and Communica-	108	118	117	117	124	132	139			
PUBLIC WORKS										
ngineering and Administration										
perations and Maintenance	522	563	518	573	595	640	680			
tilities	192	322	341	591	628	776	829			
Total, Public Works	714	885	859	1, 164	1, 223	1, 416	1, 509	1,		
ADMINISTRATION										
ffice of the District Administrator	185	192	191	182	179	193	204	11-6		
dministrative Services (HQ): Management Services										
LNO—Guam										
LNO—Okinawa										
LNO—Honolulu										

TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS OPERATIONS SUMMARY, 1972-1979—Continued

TRUK—Continued

	Fiscal years									
Program activity	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	197		
ADMINISTRATION—Continued										
inance:										
Office of the Director	39	43	44	45	48	50	54			
Procurement and Supply	61	70	77	75	84	95	100			
Automatic Data Processing										
Financial Management System Implemen- tation										
ersonnel:										
Personnel Office	23	24	25	27	28	30	32			
Personnel Training Leased Housing Fund										
ther (HQ):										
Internal Audit Program and Budget										
Personnel Benefits										
Disaster Control Office										
Total, Administration	308	329	337	329	339	368	390	41		
	YAP	DISTRIC	Т							
rect appropriations	90	108	112	126	138	156	170	17		
esources and development	285	316	360	384	398	438	448	4		
ealth services	460	502	549	595	665	702	740	1,2		
ducationotection to persons and property	739 131	782 150	867 151	951 165	1,045	1,096	1, 179 216	1, 2		
ansportation and communications	111	113	109	122	129	136	143	1		
ublic worksdministration	643	762 280	830 295	920 328	1, 003 358	1, 113	1, 217 454	1, 3		
Grand total	2,728	3, 033	3, 273	3, 591	3, 929	4, 237	4, 567	4, 7		
PUBLIC AFFAIRS						1000				
ffice of the Director		9	10	11	11	12	13			
egislative Liaisonivic Affairs	17 10	18 10	18 10	21 12	22	29 13	29 14			
ublic Information	5	6	8	10	13 12	13	15			
roadcastingommunity Development	31 19	34 21	34 22	35 26	39 29	43 32	46 37			
rant-in-Aid	8	10	10	11	12	14	16			
ousing Loan Fund										
ongress of Micronesia—Election ongress of Micronesia—Operations										
Total, Public Affairs	90	108	112	126	138	156	170	1		
RESOURCES AND DEVELOPMENT				-						
ffice of the Director										
griculture	72 38	72	75	80	84	93	94			
conomic Development	38	40	41 30	45 32	47	51 35	54 37			
arine Resourcesands and Surveys	76	109	119	126	131	144	146	1		
and Commissions	94	89	88	93	95	106	106	1		
abor Division	5	6	7	8	8	9	448			
Total, Resources and Development HEALTH SERVICES	285	316	360	384	398	438	448	4		
edical Administration										
ospital and Out-Island Services	368	420	462	498	561	594	627	6		
in a market Health and Conitation	31	35	36	39 58	43	43 65	45 68			
nvironmental Health and Sanitation										
ental Services	41	47	51	58	01	03	00			
nyronmental Health and Sanitation nital Services rust Territory School of Nursing Total, Health Services	41	502	549	595	665	702	740	7		

TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS OPERATIONS SUMMARY, 1972–1979—Continued YAP DISTRICT—Continued

	Iponaro	III ciiodou	ina			300				
	Fiscal years									
Program activity	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	978	1979		
EDUCATION								7.0		
Administration Elementary Secondary Inservice Teacher Training Scholarship and Medical Education Micronesian Occupational Center Community College of Micronesia Micronesian Maritime Center	61 361 317	65 378 339	52 435 380	56 465 430	60 495 490	63 524 509	67 553 559	70 582 572		
Micronesian Maritime CenterAid to Non-Public Schools										
Total, Education	739	782	867	951	1, 045	1, 096	1, 179	1, 224		
PROTECTION TO PERSONS AND PROPERTY										
Office of the attorney general Immigration Public safety Public defender Local judiciary		29 12 109	30 11 110	34 12 119	37 15 141	40 18 140	41 17 158	44 20 155		
Total, protection to persons and property.	131	150	151	165	193	193	216	219		
TRANSPORTATION AND COMUNICATIONS										
Office of the directorTransportation Office										
Transportation OfficeSea transportation Communications		113	109	122	129	136	143	150		
Total, transportation and communi- cations	111	113	109	122	129	136	143	150		
PUBLIC WORKS										
Engineering and administrationOperations and maintenanceUtilitiesUtilities	471 172	499 283	526 304	584 336	631 372	703 410	764 453	829 497		
Total, public works	643	782	830	920	1,003	1, 113	1, 217	1, 326		
ADMINISTRATION										
Office of the district administrator	155	162	171	193	206	236	273	247		
LNO—Okinawa LNO—Honolulu Executive operations										
Finance: Office of the director Finance and accounting Procurement and supply Automatic data processing	32 64	36 62	37 66	39 72	48	48 89	54 93	53 103		
Financial management system implemen- tation										
Personel: Personnel office Personnel training Leased housing fund Other (HQ): Internal audit Program and budget										
Personnel benefits Distaster control office										
Total, Administration	269	280	295	328	358	398	454	431		

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM 1972-79

[Unit: \$1,000]

		Fiscal years—								
Program activity	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	tot 1975-7	
ublic affairs				60		150			21	
idiciary				25	90	100	100 426	50	75	
egal affairs				148	90	40	420	50	/:	
chool construction: Elementary			80	492	947	340	970	1, 363	4, 11	
Secondary			1, 317	2, 287	1,599	1,961	4, 759	1,407	12, 01	
Post secondary			100							
Total									16, 12	
ublic health			4, 650	3,014	33		205	1,092	4, 3	
ransportation and communications:			50	1,540	434	725	859	865	4, 4	
Roads and bridges Airfields			50	1, 833	1, 264 1, 814	100	900	1 000	5. 0	
Airfields Docks, harbors, and warehouses Interisland ships				1, 358 2, 600	1,814	1,722	2, 173	1, 344	8, 4	
Interisland ships				2, 600	2, 800	1,500	1,600	1,800	10, 3	
TelephonesCommunications				225	130	400	250	324	1, 1	
Total									29, 6	
			40	868	575	400	520		2, 3	
esources development ommerce and industry								50		
ommunity development			1,050	1, 327	664	735	320	340	3, 3	
ater, sewerage and power: Water				1, 294 200	1,432	583 350	851 800	929 50	5, 0	
Sewerage				200	1, 494	350	800	50	2, 8	
Power			790	1, 125	1, 411	1, 053	1, 321	1,510	6, 4	
Total									14, 4	
aintenance and rehabilitation eneral support			100 47	897 120	654 40	600 547	795 232	700 607	3, 6	
Grand total, capital improve- ments			8, 224	19, 413	15, 441	11, 306	17, 096	13, 431	76, 6	
					Fiscal	years				
Program activity		1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	19	
ublic affaire					60		150			
					60 25		150 100	100		
diciary					60 25 148	90	150 100 40	100 426		
diciary gal affairs chool construction:					25	90	100	100 426		
diciary gal affairs chool construction: Elementary Schools:					25 148		100	100 426		
cdiciary egal affairs chool construction: Elementary Schools: Marianas					25	90	100	100 426		
diciary					25 148 50	122 190	100 40	165		
diciary					25 148 50	122 190	100 40	165		
diciary gal affairs Lool construction: Elementary Schools: Marianas. Marshalls. Palau. Ponape Truk.				80	25 148 50	122 190	100 40	165	(
diciary ggal affairs Elementary Schools: Marianas Marshalls Palau Ponape Truk Yap				80	25 148 50 338 104	122 190 58 577	100 40 124 216	165 140 595 70	(
diciary ggal affairs Elementary Schools: Marianas Marshalls Palau Ponape Truk Yap Total, Elementary				80	25 148 50	122 190	100 40 124 216	165 140 595	6	
diciary egal affairs				80	25 148 50 338 104 492	122 190 58 577 947	100 40 124 216	165 140 595 70 970	1, 3	
diciary				80	25 148 50 338 104	122 190 58 577	100 40 124 216 340	426 165 140 595 70 970 887 917	1, 3	
diciaryegal affairsehool construction: Elementary Schools: Marianas. Marshallsehoupe				80	25 148 50 338 104 492 85 765	122 190 58 577 947 294 1,180	100 40 124 216 340	426 165 140 595 70 970 887 917 2,000	6666	
diciary ggal affairs Elementary Schools: Marianas Marshalls Palau Ponape Truk Yap Total, Elementary Secondary: Marshalls Palau Ponape Trune Yap Total, Elementary Ponape Marshalls Palau Ponape				80 80	25 148 50 338 104 492 85 765	122 190 58 577 947	100 40 124 216 340 447 450 600	426 165 140 595 70 970 887 917 2, 000 500	1, 3	
diciary agal affairs				80	25 148 50 338 104 492 85 765	122 190 58 577 947 294 1,180	100 40 124 216 340	426 165 140 595 70 970 887 917 2,000	1, 3	
diciary agal affairs				80 80 850 457	25 148 50 338 104 492 85 765 907 395 135	122 190 58 577 947 294 1, 180	100 40 124 216 340 447 450 600 464	426 165 140 595 70 970 887 917 2,000 300 300 315 120	1, 3	
egal affairs				80 80 850	25 148 50 338 104 492 85 765 907 395	122 190 58 577 947 294 1,180	100 40 124 216 340 447 450 600	426 165 140 595 70 970 887 917 2,000 500 335	6661,3	
diciary— egal affairs— chool construction: Elementary Schools: Marianas— Marshalls— Palau— Ponape— Truk— Yap— Total, Elementary— Secondary: Marianas— Marshalls— Palau— Ponape— Truk— Yap— Total, Secondary: Yap— Total, Secondary— Total, Secondary— Total, Secondary— Post Secondary:				80 80 850 457	25 148 50 338 104 492 85 765 907 395 135	122 190 58 577 947 294 1, 180	100 40 124 216 340 447 450 600 464	426 165 140 595 70 970 887 917 2,000 300 300 315 120	1, 3	
diciary gal affairs Hool construction: Elementary Schools: Marianas Marshalls Palau Ponape Truk Yap Total, Elementary Secondary: Marianas Marshalls Palau Ponape Truk Yap Total, Secondary Total, Secondary				80 80 850 457	25 148 50 338 104 492 85 765 907 395 135	122 190 58 577 947 294 1, 180	100 40 124 216 340 447 450 600 464	426 165 140 595 70 970 887 917 2,000 300 300 315 120	1, 3	
diciary ggal affairs Elementary Schools: Marianas Marshalls Palau Ponape Truk Yap Total, Elementary Marshalls Palau Ponape Truk Yap Total, Secondary: Marianas Marshalls Palau Ponape Truk Marianas Marshalls Palau Ponape Truk Yap Total, Secondary Post Secondary: MOC CCM				80 80 850 457 10 1, 317	25 148 50 338 104 492 85 765 907 395 135	122 190 58 577 947 294 1, 180	100 40 124 216 340 447 450 600 464	426 165 140 595 70 970 887 917 2,000 300 300 315 120	1,3	
diciary ggal affairs Elementary Schools: Marianas Marshalls Palau Ponape Truk Yap Total, Elementary Marshalls Palau Ponape Truk Yap Total, Secondary: Marianas Marshalls Palau Ponape Truk Ponape Truk Palau Ponape Truk Yap Total Secondary: Marianas				80 80 850 457 10 1, 317	25 148 50 338 104 492 85 765 907 395 135	122 190 58 577 947 294 1, 180	100 40 124 216 340 447 450 600 464	426 165 140 595 70 970 887 917 2,000 300 300 315 120	1,3	

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM 1972-79-Continued

[Unit: \$1,000]

	Fiscal years									
Program activity	1972 1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	197			
ealth services:										
District Hospitals: Marianas			150			120				
Marshalls						85	1.00			
raidu		4, 500	600							
Ponape Truk							3			
Yap		150	2, 264							
Total		4, 650	3, 014			205	1, 03			
Subdistrict Hospital and Super dispen-						100000				
saries: Marianas—Rota				33			5			
Total				33			5			
		4, 650	3, 014			205	1, 09			
ansportation and communications: Roads and Bridges:							-,			
MarianasMarshalls						239				
Palau			841		525	199	69			
Ponape		50	324	257	200	271				
Yap		50	375	177		150	17			
0 1 10:1 7.1		50	1, 540	434	725	859	86			
Airfields:										
Marianas			150 .							
Marshalls Palau			350	1, 264						
Ponape			20 .	1, 204	100	900	1,00			
TrukYap			1,313							
Airfields, Total			1, 833	1, 264	100	900	1,00			
			1,000	1, 201	100	300	1,00			
Docks and Harbors: Marianas (Rota)					616	350				
Ebeye				129	180					
Jaluit			958		30	28 157	35			
Palau						13/	33			
Satawan			250	200	450					
Truk Lagoon Truk Outer Islands				285	200		40			
Moen				1,300						
					168	1, 138				
						70				
Docks and Harbors, total			1, 208	1,714	1, 572	1, 843	1, 09			
Interisland Ships			2,600	2,800	1, 500	1,600	1, 80			
			150	100	150	330	25			
Total, Transportation			7, 331	6, 312	4, 047	5, 532	5, 00			
CommunicationsTelephones			225	190	400	250 15	32			
Total, transportation and communications		50	7, 556	6, 502	4, 447	5, 797	5, 333			
mmunity development:							N. Pay S			
Bikini Civic Action Team Other		330 720	677 650	104 560	135 600	320	340			
Total		1, 050	1, 327	664	735	320	340			
		1,000	1, 521	004	155	320	040			

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM 1972-79-Continued

[Unit: \$1,000]

	Fiscal years							
Program activity	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	197
esources and development:								
Agriculture:				-				
Marianas				50	50	50	50 _	
Marshalls				169			180	
TrukEquipment, Trust territorywide							180 _	
Total				219	50	50	230 _	
Reefers and Ice Plants (Marshalls) Fisheries development:					235			
						100,_		
Palau								
Ponape				343	100 _			
Truk			40	150	190	150	190 _	
Yap				56 .				
Trust territorywide				100		100	100 _	
Total			40	649	290	350	290 _	
Total, resources and development_ ommerce and industry			40	868	575	400	520 _	
ator sower nower.	-							7
ater, sewer, power: Water—District Centers:								
Saipan				658 .			435 _	
Majuro				242				
Palau				100	450	350		
Ponape				100	36 _	330 _	50 _	
Yap				194	611		50	3
Total, District Centers				1. 194	1. 097	350	535	34
Water—Out Islands:								10
Marshalls							66 _	
Palau					20	100 _		
Ponape				100	100 _			
Truk					215	133	250	4
Yap								
Total, Out Islands				100	335	233	316	58
Total, water				1, 294	1, 432	583	851	92
Sewer—District Centers:					410			
Saipan					410 -			
Marshalls					584 _			
Palau					500	300 _		
Ponape Truk					300	50	800	
Yap								
Total, District Centers	Design to				1, 494	350	800	
Out Island Sewers				200				
Total, sewer				200	1, 494	350	800	
Power—District Centers:		M. Control	75 37 1			1	7.	1/1/25
Saipan			350	185	266	65	76	6
Majuro								
Koror				400		300	60	2
Moen				340		300	00	1
Yap Ponape			300	50	500	343 .	250	
								The said
Total, District Centers			650	975	766	710	385	9

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM 1972-79-Continued

[Unit: \$1,000]

Program activity		Fiscal years							
	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	
Water, Sewer, Power—Continued Power—Out Island: Marianas						42	91	160	
Marshalls Palau				100	20	131 100	180		
Ponape Truk Yap			30 110	50	300 325	70	180 285	400	
Total, Out Island			140	150	645	343	936	560	
Total, Power			790	1, 125	1, 411	1, 053	1, 321	1, 510	
Total, Water, Sewer, Power			790	2, 619	4, 337	1,986	2, 972	2, 489	

Mr. Clausen. I think that would save some time, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Burton. Would the gentleman yield?

Mr. Clausen. Yes.

Mr. Burton. Mr. Commissioner, you are still confronted with the uncertainty that if you lose the bulk of that \$9 million from these other programs, you are about \$10 million short, aren't you? Mr. Johnston. Yes, sir, the only program in there of a substantial

amount, \$1 million or more for the Peace Corps activities, apparently is going to be continued, but most of the other programs at this point are in doubt.

Mr. Burton. Well, if they are in doubt, then those figures, to the extent they are reduced, have to be reflected in an increase in the numbers you have given us. Am I correct?

Mr. Johnston. That is correct, yes, sir. Mr. Burton. I think it would be unrealistic to expect an openended authorization beyond, if you will, the Nixon fiscal years. I think that that probably is the outer limit that you are talking about.

I think we could develop maximum, bipartisan support if we were talking about the fiscal year ending June 30, 1977. You get beyond that and then you open up a whole variety of possibly divisive considerations. So for purposes of your thinking, there isn't any prospect that I would consider going beyond the last fiscal year of the Nixon administration.

I am sure our committee is not going to be willing to put itself completely out of the business of reviewing your authorizations.

Mr. Clausen. Well, that follows, Mr. Chairman, the line of reasoning that I had personally developed. After all, you fellows are committed to and serve at the pleasure of this administration, and there may be new factors, new policies that the American people would decide upon, and that's one of the reasons I chose that time

Now, moving along hurriedly—and I'm sorry I'm taking up so much time, Mr. Chairman—do you have any figures and sources on the tax revenues generated within the trust territory, and I am wondering if you do not, could you supply this information?

Mr. Johnston. Yes, sir, we have it right here, and we'd be glad

to supply it for the record.

Mr. Clausen. Well, could you tell me what it is, then?

I would like to ask to have these figures into the record.

Mr. Johnston. Our total estimated collections—well, the total collections for fiscal year 1972 from all sources were \$3,733,000. The estimated for fiscal 1973 is about \$4,615,000 and estimated collections for fiscal 1974, slightly under \$5 million.

Mr. CLAUSEN. All right; then what tax sources, and from what

sources are funds raised by the district legislature?

Mr. Johnston. Well, these are territorywide taxes, and the sources of these taxes are the territorywide income tax, import taxes mostly on luxury items, export taxes, a fuel excise tax, and other miscellaneous sources such as business licenses and the leasing of public land. The Congress of Micronesia gets the revenues from the leasing of public lands. The district legislatures have their own fundraising sources, but these are in much smaller amounts, running in the neighborhood, I believe—the maximum is around \$200,000 for any one district, and they are not included in our budget. The district legislatures and the district administrations have their own budgets and raise their own local revenues, but when we're speaking of local revenues here in relation to the U.S. grant funds, these are the revenues that are raised on a territorywide basis.

Mr. Clausen. Well, you may want to amplify that a little bit. As a minimum, would you supply the information that you are reading on that particular sheet for the record, and it will be included at this

point in the record, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Johnston. We certainly will.

Mr. Burton. So ordered.

[The information referred to follows:]

REVENUE: CONGRESS OF MICRONESIA

	Antoni ani	l-att	Estimated collections		
	Actual collections		Estimated collections		
	Fiscal year 9171	Fiscal year 1972	Fiscal year 1973	Fiscal year 1974	
Income taxes: Micronesian wage tax Non-Micronesian wage tax Business gross revenue tax		\$713, 223 1, 053, 156 833, 832	\$600,000 1,500,000 1,200,000	\$650,000 1,600,000 1,300,000	
Subtotal		2, 600, 211	3, 300, 000	3, 550, 000	
Import taxes: Cigarettes and tobacco Beer and malt beverages Alcoholic beverages Food, materials and others	\$228, 697 180, 381 115, 425 220, 191	219, 256 162, 993 124, 379 254, 376	230, 000 190, 000 150, 000 310, 000	240, 000 200, 000 160, 000 330, 000	
Subtotal	744, 694	761, 004	880,000	930,000	
Export taxes: CopraTrochusScrap metal.		69 _	500	500	
Subtotal	82, 081	1, 441	500	500	
Fuel excise tax	50, 850	54, 161	60,000	65, 000	
Other revenue: Court fines, penalties, etc. Unimproved land rental. Other property rental. Corporation tax (Mobil). Business licenses. Other licenses and permits. U.S. grant for salaries. Miscellaneous.	24, 376 40, 128 68, 748 82, 000 23, 678 1, 800 66, 762 9, 507	47, 383 28, 426 74, 855 -29, 770 2, 732 125, 000 7, 979	40,000 45,000 80,000 50,000 20,000 7,000 125,000 8,000	40,000 50,000 85,000 45,000 22,600 8,000 125,000	
Subtotal	316, 999	316, 145	375, 000	385, 000	
Total	1, 194, 624	3, 732, 962	4, 615, 500	4, 930, 500	

Mr. Clausen. Mr. Johnston, do you have an itemized breakdown on the items making up the President's budget request of \$56 million for fiscal year 1974?

I assume that you had some input as to how that \$56 million figure

was arrived at.

If so, would you elaborate on that?

Mr. Johnston. Yes, sir.

This is broken down into \$45,965,000 for operations and \$8,224,000

for capital improvements.

Now, this is under what we call grants, reimbursements and operating income. There is a small amount of direct, Federal appropriation for the trust territory for the Office of the High Commissioner and the operation of the judiciary. This amounts to \$811,000.

Mr. Burton. Could the gentleman yield?

Mr. CLAUSEN. Yes.

Mr. Burton. Let us go over this item by item.

What are the direct appropriations to the High Commissioner? Mr. Johnston. To the Office of the High Commissioner, for fiscal 1974, \$411,000, and the judiciary, \$400,000.

Mr. Burton. And what is the amount for grants and operations?

Mr. Johnston. \$45,965,000

Mr. Burton. And what is the capital improvements request?

Mr. Johnston. \$8,224,000.

Then there is an additional \$1 million under separate legislation

for the economic development loan fund.

Mr. Burton. In other words, there's been an increase of about 25 percent in the amount of direct appropriations to the High Commissioner. There has been an increase of maybe 1 or 2 percent in grants in operations, and there has been a decrease of more than 50 percent in the capital improvements.

Mr. Johnston. Yes, Sir. Mr. Burton. If you were asked, knowing what you do, what would you conclude from those three mathematical observations?

Mr. Carpenter. I think we should add into the capital improvement money, though, the rather large carryover from previous fiscal years which has approached \$13 million.

Mr. Burton. Having stated that, what other conclusions would

you reach, though?

Mr. Johnston. I could see what conclusions you might draw, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Burton. Well, what might those be?

Mr. Johnston. They might be that we are building up operations

at the expense of capital improvements.

However, one of the soul searching problems that we have had in the negotiations between our executive branch and the legislative branch of the trust territory government is what to do about this problem because at the present funding levels the amounts available for operations is sort of a bare minimum to operate the governments of these six, widely spread, far flung districts, and the territorial government. We have to make a decision one way or another, either we cut back operations or we just don't build any more public improvements.

We don't have the type of budget that has much fat in it. We don't have gymnasiums and recreation areas and the things that normal American communities have, and we have to operate our schools and our hospitals and our public works programs and if we take money out of those programs to build capital improvements, then people have to be laid off. The government is still the greatest source of employment and the greatest source of economic life in the trust territory. So that is the problem that we are faced with at the present time.

Mr. CLAUSEN. Mr. Chairman, I think that there are others that have questions, so in the interest of time, I would like to ask unanimous consent that I be permitted to submit additional questions to these gentlemen, that they could expound on, and they would be included

at this point in the record.

Mr. Burton. So ordered. The information referred to follows:

> COMMITTEE ON INTERIOR AND INSULAR AFFAIRS, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, D.C., April 24, 1973.

High Commissioner Edward E. Johnston, Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, Department of the Interior, Saipan, Marianas.

DEAR MR. JOHNSTON: You will recall that at the hearing on H.R. 6129 and H.R. 6378 (Continuance of Civil Government in the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands) conducted in Washington, D.C., on April 12, 1973, I was granted permission to submit additional questions in writing for the record. Accordingly,

your responses, at the earliest possible convenience, are requested on the following:

"Mr. Clausen. Please, Mr. High Commissioner, summarize the degree of success you anticipate arising from your proposed FY 1974 Budget Submission in meeting United States obligations in Micronesia under the 1947 U.N. Trusteeship Agreement. In your response, indicate the amount of FY 1974 moneys compared to FY 1973 programmed for education, transportation and communication, resources and development, health services, and public works; further, explain any significant shortfalls that you expect due to a lack of adequate funding.

"Mr. CLAUSEN. Mr. High Commissioner, would you outline the procedures which are underway to foster governmental decentralization within the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands? Specifically, I am referring to district contract

authority.

Your informative testimony and further cooperation on this important matter are indeed appreciated.

Cordially,

DON CLAUSEN, Member of Congress.

TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS,
OFFICE OF THE HIGH COMMISSIONER,
Saipan, Mariana Islands, May 10, 1973,

Hon. DON H. CLAUSEN Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, D.C.

DEAR REPRESENTATIVE CLAUSEN: In response to your letter of April 24, 1973, to High Commissioner Johnston, we are pleased to enclose the attached response to two additional questions for the record.

Please let us know if we may be of further assistance.

Sincerely yours,

PETER T. COLEMAN, Deputy High Commissioner.

Mr. Clausen. Please, Mr. High Commissioner, summarize the degree of success you anticipate arising from your proposed FY 1974 Budget Submission in meeting United States obligations in Micronesia under the 1947 U.N. Trustee ship Agreement. In your response, indicate the amount of FY 1974 moneys compared to FY 1973 programed for education, transportation and communications, resources and development, health services, and public works; further, explain any significant shortfalls that you expect due to a lack of adequate

funding.

Mr. Johnston. The President's Budget for FY 1974 includes \$56 million for the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, as compared with an appropriation of \$60 million for FY 1973. We have prepared the attached tabular summary to show these comparisons in more detail. It can be seen that most of the operational program areas are slightly higher in FY 1974 to reflect increases in pay scales and the generally higher costs of maintaining existing program levels in light of price increases. Notable exceptions are found in Education and Health Services where program increases are requested to staff new facilities and to upgrade existing levels of service for a growing population.

We think that the increases requested for operations in FY 1974 will allow us generally to keep pace with increasing requirements and to allow meaningful progress toward meeting our responsibilities under the U.N. Trusteeship

Agreement.

It can also be seen that funds for Capital Improvement Projects total \$8,224 thousand in FY 1974 as compared with \$16,335 thousand in FY 1973. Rising operational costs have been financed, of necessity, by reducing funds for Capital

Improvement Projects.

Any discussion of shortfalls due to a lack of adequate funding must be grounded upon an understanding of the base from which such shortfalls are measured. Each year's construction program in the Trust Territory is a discrete program consisting of different projects within the same program areas. The identification of shortfalls, therefore, cannot proceed from a comparison of the construction program between different years. Such an identification can be examined, however, by comparing funds requested with funds planned to be requested at earlier stages of the budget process. For this purpose, we have included data on the table furnished herewith relative to the amounts included in the FY 1974 preliminary budget as submitted to the Congress of Micronesia.

The preliminary budget for FY 1974 included \$22,287 thousand for the Capital Improvements Program, as compared with \$8,224 thousand included in the FY 1974 President's budget. This decrease of \$14,063 thousand represents the programs and projects which can be evaluated with respect to shortfalls anticipated

for FY 1974.

There are three areas in the Capital Improvement Program in which we expect to encounter significant shortfalls in FY 1974. These are Education facilities, Transportation and Communications facilities, and Water, Sewer, and Power facilities. Under Education facilities, the most severe shortfall expected will be in the Secondary school construction program. There are not yet sufficient places in high schools to accommodate all eighth-grade graduates who desire to attend Secondary school. We have developed a long-range plan to overcome this deficiency through construction of school rooms, dormitories, and necessary related facilities through FY 1979. This goal will now not be met until at least one year later. Planned expansion of our post-secondary vocational education facilities was deferred as was construction of elementary classrooms programmed to alleviate a current problem of double sessions in some schools and to replace undesirable classrooms with more modern and suitable facilities.

The large majority of Transportation and Communications facilities deferred under the FY 1974 budget are airfield projects. New airfields in three districts will be postponed at least another year. Road projects of much smaller magnitude

will also be deferred.

Sewerage projects and power projects in about equal proportions represent areas of shortfall under Water, Sewerage, and Power facilities. Provision of utility services for residential, commercial, and government users will be slowed or deferred in most of the centers of population.

TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS, COMPARISON OF FISCAL YEAR 1973 AND FISCAL YEAR 1974 BUDGETS

[In thousands of dollars]

					All the same of th
	Approved fiscal year 1973 budget \$60,000,000	Final fiscal year 1974 budget \$56,000,000	Original fiscal year 1974 budget \$70,000,000	Changes between fiscal year 1974 final and 1973 (2)-(1)	Changes between fiscal year 1974 final and 1974 original (2)-(3)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Direct appropriation: High Commissioner's Office Judiciary	313 325	411 400	331 415	98 75	80 (15)
Total direct appropriation	638	811	746	173	65
Grants:					
Operations: Health services Education Public affairs Resources and development	6, 924 11, 490 2, 139 4, 548	7, 594 12, 834 2, 107 4, 760	7, 641 13, 163 2, 133 4, 993	1, 344 (32) 212	(47) (329) (26) (233)
Protection to persons and property	2, 186	2, 360	2, 391	174	(31)
Transportation and communi- cations Public works Administration	3, 158 7, 838 4, 744	3, 247 8, 025 5, 038	3, 264 8, 275 5, 107	89 187 294	(17) (250) (69)
Capital improvement projects: Public affairs. Legal affairs. Education. Health services.	0 0 3, 110 690	0 0 1, 497 4, 650	50 10 5, 208 3, 053	0 0 (1,613) 3,960	(50) (10) (3,711) 1,597
Transportation and communications Resources and development Community development Water, sewer, and power Maintenance and rehabilitation General support	6, 669 0 1, 276 4, 098 392 100	50 40 1,050 790 100 47	6, 117 632 1, 050 5, 504 300 363	(6, 619) 40 (226) (3, 308) (292) (53)	(6, 067) (592) 0 (4, 714) (200) (316)
Subtotal, grants	59, 362	54, 189	69, 254	(5, 173)	(15, 065)
Subtotal, direct appropria- tions and grants	60,000	55, 000	70,000	(5, 000)	(15, 000)
Economic Development Loan Fund	0	1,000	0	1,000	1,000
Grand total	60,000	56, 000	70,000	(4,000)	(14, 000)

Mr. Clausen. Mr. High Commissioner, would you outline the procedures which are underway to foster governmental decentralization within the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands? Specifically, I am referring to district contract

authority.

Mr. Johnston. Decentralization of contract authority to the districts must take into account the capabilities of the District Administrators and their supporting staff as well as the capabilities of local contractors within the districts. It is the policy of the Trust Territory to make maximum use of local contractors in the construction of Capital Improvement Projects. It is also the policy of the Trust Territory to delegate authority to the District Administrators to enter into and administer contracts which are designated as local contracts. The monetary limitation on local contracts has been set at \$50,000. This amount was raised from \$25,000 during the current fiscal year. Designation of local contracts in excess of \$50,000 now requires the prior approval of the Office of Territorial Affairs in the Department of the Interior.

The Secretary of the Interior has provided recent policy guidance that the present limitation of \$50,000 appears to be a realistic one in terms of present district staff capabilities. The Trust Territory Government seeks to delegate contracting authority to districts commensurate with district capabilities. It is therefore probable that increases in the present limitation will be requested in the future as districts are able to acquire and demonstrate additional capability.

COMMITTEE ON INTERIOR AND INSULAR AFFAIRS, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, D.C., April 24, 1973.

Mr. STANLEY S. CARPENTER, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Territorial Affairs, Department of the Interior. Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. CARPENTER. You will recall that at the hearing on H.R. 6129 and H.R. 6378 (Continuance of Civil Government in the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands) conducted on April 12, 1973, I was granted permission to submit additional questions in writing for the record. Accordingly, your response, at the earliest possible convenience, is requested on the following:

"Mr. Clausen. In your opening statement, Mr. Carpenter, you mentioned that '... the Fiscal Year 1974 Program reflects a policy of holding the increased cost of operations to a minimum . . .' Nevertheless, there appears to be approximately \$3 million more in the operational and administrative area requested for FY 1974 than is estimated for FY 1973. Would you itemize what this increase entails and explain the underlying rationale therefor?"

Your informative testimony and further cooperation on this important matter

are indeed appreciated.

Cordially,

DON CLAUSEN, Member of Congress.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY, Washington, D.C., May 14, 1973.

Hon. DON CLAUSEN: Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs, House of Representatives, Washington D.C.

Dear Mr. Clausen: I am pleased to submit for the record the answer to the additional question you submitted on April 12, 1973 at the hearing on H.R. 6129 and H.R. 6378 (Continuance of Civil Government in the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands). This question requested additional explanation of the increase of approximately \$3 million more in the operational and administrative area for FY 1974 than is estimated for FY 1973.

The \$3,111,000 increase in operations for FY 1974 is distributed as follows: \$1,248,000 will be required for normal salary increments for 654 U.S. and 6,453 Micronesian on-going positions. Normal within grade increases must be awarded except in the case of unsatisfactory performance. The Micronesian staff is a young staff and the pattern of an older staff higher in grade and increments retiring and being replaced by youth in lower grades and beginning increments will not be evident for some years to come.

2. \$1,075,000 will be needed for full funding of positions partially lapsed in FY 1973. Positions for which recruitment was intentionally delayed in FY 1973 will require full funding in FY 1974.

3. \$330,000 will be required for strengthening of staff in Health Services. Sixty-six new Micronesian positions will be required in FY 1974 primarily to diminish staffing shortages in district hospitals and to strengthen administrative

staff at Headquarters.

4. \$404,000 is needed for strengthening of education staff particularly in the elementary and secondary school education programs, partially offset by the elimination of the inservice teacher training program. 106 new Micronesian positions will be required in FY 1974 primarily to help carry out the elementary and secondary school programs throughout the Territory. These positions are also needed for additional facilities and to overcome staffing shortages in order to improve the teacher/pupil ratio especially in the district centers.

5. \$54,000 will be required for minor increase in operation and maintenance of ships. In FY 74, the first of the planned Trust Territory fleet of new district vessels will be in operation. The small increase required will provide for normal wage increments for the crews and to cover increased costs of operation and

maintenance of ships.

Sincerely yours,

STANLEY S. CARPENTER, Director, Office of Territorial Affairs: COMMITTEE ON INTERIOR AND INSULAR AFFAIRS, U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, Washington, D.C. April 24, 1973.

Hon. Raymond Setik, Chairman, Joint Committee on Program and Budget Planning, Congress of Micronesia, Saipan, Marianas

Dear Mr. Chairman: You will recall that at the hearing on H.R. 6129 and H.R. 6378 (Continuance of Civil Government in the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands) conducted in Washington, D.C., on April 12, 1973, I was granted permission to submit additional questions in writing for the record. Accordingly, your response, at the earliest possible convenience, is requested on the following:

"Mr. Clausen. Congressman Setik, would you explain the procedures currently underway to foster greater government responsibility and authority at the district level? Especially, I am interested in progress pertinent to the problems of land

certification."

Your informative testimony and further cooperation in this important matter are indeed appreciated.

Cordially,

DON CLAUSEN, Member of Congress.

Congress of Micronesia,
Joint Committee on Program and Budget Planning,
Saipan, Mariana Islands, May 8, 1973.

Hon. Don H. Clausen, Member, House Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, D.C.

Dear Representative Clausen: I have just received your letter of April 24 in Truk, E.C.I. and have today, May 8 sent you a cable response. This letter constitutes my written confirmation and in addition I am enclosing some recent correspondence with the Department of Interior concerning the management exercised in the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands.

"Mr. Clausen. Congressman Setik, would you explain the precedures currently underway to foster greater government responsibility and authority at the district level? Especially, I am interested in progress pertinent to the prob-

lems of land certification."

Responding to your letter and question of April 24 just received. We have identified three actions which the Congress feels would significantly enhance district government responsibility and authority and improve overal lability to

accomplish programs in Micronesia.

First land certification should be a district function and not be done at the Headquarters Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands. Certain relocation of this function will be of great assistance to the Administration process primarily because the most prevalent reason for lack of program accomplishment will have been removed and action responsibility lodged in one place. High Commissioner Johnston supports this concept and will transfer the authority and responsibility to the districts on 1 May 1972.

A second item is that all projects and programs of \$75,000 or less must be given to the District Administrator for accomplishment, unless specifically refused by the District Administrator. Currently, all Congress of Micronesia projects and programs, about \$5.0 million per year, are directly funded to the district for their administration; one individual project for road and air field work on Majuro was

let for \$550,000 recently, for example.

Secretary Carpenter and staff are against such a clear designation of responsibility and authority to the districts. We are adamant about this matter, however, and will continue to press for adoption. We must have capable administration in our districts regardless of what form future status takes. The only way to practice

self government is to do it.

A third item of change was presented to the prior semi-annual District Administrator Conference in November. That proposal which was adopted by the District Administrators was that one of them should be present at TTPI Headquarters on a weekly rotation for a period of six months. The District TTPI Officer would be complete with secretary and they would be in Saipan to press for solutions and answers for their problems as well as represent the interests of the other five districts.

This action would put them in Saipan every sixth week, and it would help dissolve the time, space and government level dichotomy. It would put the districts constantly on the scene and the activity would be of limited duration. When the effort cost becomes more than the results they would discontinue the rotation. At the time this recommendation was advanced the High Commissioner saw fit to man a Special Assistant for District Affairs in his office. A step contrary in effect if not in purpose to our suggestion. It produces more rather than less centralization.

One item in the correspondence should be pointed out because of its special relevance—and its humorous aspects as well. The fourth paragraph of Secretary Morton's letter of February 13, 1973 states that "The present pattern calls for a limitation (of District Administrator administrative and contractual authority) set at \$50,000 and this level appears to be a realistic one in terms of present district staff capabilities."

Concerned that such a policy could be in effect without our knowledge I asked some District Administrators if they knew of any such policy. They had not heard

of it.

I also inquired of several T.T.P.I. Headquarters staff and they had no knowledge of it. In fact one staffer referred to the letter as one he had heard about containing the new authority. I might add the impreciseness of the quoted sentence is a classic example of bureaucratese, in the present pattern.

I wish to take this opportunity to advise you that one of my responses to Senator Bible's written questions for the record was censored by someone in the Ad-

ministration.

The censoring took place in my response to the question: "Mr. Setik, I was also interested in your proposal for Micronesian government self-management with a 90–10 federal-local funding ratio. Please expand on that proposal for the Committee." An extract of that part of the reply containing the underlined censored portion is quoted. "This lack exists because such responsibility is withheld from the Districts by the central government of the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, not that this withholding is done because the policy is entirely made by Trust Territory headquarters, for it is not, but because withholding of policy is done in Washington; not at the Department of the Interior alone, not in the Office of Management and Budget alone, nor by the close-knit Praetorian-Executive guard around the White House, nor by the President's cotery, but by all of these in sum."

Very truly yours,

RAYMOND SETIK, Chairman, Joint Committee on Program and Budget Planning.

Enclosures.

Congress of Micronesia, Joint Committee on Program and Budget Planning, Saipan, Mariana Islands, November 30, 1972.

Hon. Harrison Loesch, Assistant Secretary of the Interior, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Secretary: The Committee at this time feels that it is now in a position to extend the remarks contained in our interim reply of October 18 relative to financial and program problems anticipated in Fiscal Years 1973 and 1974. The Committee went to each administrative district in the Trust Territory on the return trip from Honolulu after the discussions with Secretary Carpenter in early October. The trip, with interruptions, extended from October 8 to November 11, 1972. The trip report in draft form accompanies this letter as Attachment No. 1. The substance of the report can be summarized in two points.

1. Land certification problems, except symptomatically, do not constitute the essential reason for the \$12.9 million of CIP unobligated carry over from FY 1972 and FY 1973. The \$12.9 million represents the sum of administrative problems. Reductions in carry over will not be achieved, we feel, by adding more staff at Trust Territory headquarters. Reductions in the carry over will be achieved by granting more responsibility and authority and staff, in a few instances, to the District Administrators. The indicated solution is to transfer on January 1, 1973, to each District Administrator, the complete responsibility and authority, i.e., total, legal and otherwise, to act, administer and manage all contracts under \$75,000. The District Administrator may have larger contracts, but he must have the \$75,000 contracts unless he specifically refuses. The need for capable

district administration, capable and realistic in terms of ability and needs of the Marshall, of Ponape, of Yap, etc., exists. We have those capable of such administration in all districts at this time. Additionally, this transfer of responsibility and authority will allow the concentration of efforts by the headquarters staff to be centered on the major dollar projects. Equally, of course, it must be recognized that the procurement standards in the districts will not be up to those required and available in St. Louis; contract administration will not be up to the standards of San Francisco; engineering won't match New York, and the practice of law won't equal Philadelphia, but the jobs can be accomplished, and the contracts brought into completion, faster in most, if not all, instances. In any event, the moral and ethical factors can be served as well in these districts as in the cities mentioned above.

2. A persistent item became a distinct need and surfaced district by district during the hearings—the need for a way to increase participation of the districts in the operational program. The presence of the district administrator at the Trust Territory headquarters to pursue in his own right his programs was a clear summary of actions indicated. The district administrator would represent himself at the Trust Territory headquarters, as well as the other district administrators, when they were there on a continual rotational basis, i.e., every sixth week. It goes without saying that the district administrators would have to do this themselves—no staff of theirs or others could do the job for them. This rotation will continue until it will not pay to continue it further. This rotational concept and the \$75,000 program authority in the executive area, as well as others, are contained in the minutes of the meeting held Thanksgiving Day (see Attachment No. 2).

In the memo for the meeting with the district administrators on Thanksgiving Day, these two objectives, numbers 1 and 2 above, came under a suggested overall goal which was stated as follows: "To provide immediate managerial improvement for timely accomplishment of all programs; Trust Territory headquarters; Trust Territory district administration, local district programs and Congress of Micronesia programs." We ask that you support this goal and the two objectives under it and recommend them for adoption. Additionally, we will ask High Com-

missioner Johnston to undertake them.

Regarding the balance of FY 1973 and problems and programs known at this time, Micronesia's interests, as defined by the goals above, will need your intercession and aid to accomplish their mutual ends. A few figures will define the FY 1973 problem better than many words.

As of this date, as presented in an Obligation Control Plan for the Trust

Territory CIP program, some prime factors for analysis follow:

Funds to be available by quarter fiscal year 1973	Million
First quarter	\$6.4
Second quarter	3. 4
Third quarter	3. 3
Fourth quarter (effectively contains the \$12.9 million fiscal year 1973	10 1
carry over)	16. 1
Total	29. 2

It should be noted that \$3.6 million of the fourth quarter represents six projects of reprogrammed money whose availability is transferrred to the last

quarter.

As we are beginning the month of December, we have effectively seven months left of this FY. The only possible improvement that can be made must occur in the third quarter and unless a significant transfer of money occurs, in reality the reversal of currently programmed third and fourth quarter funding, the Trust Territory will enter FY 1974 with a larger carry over than that which we have

been discussing.

There are 295 projects in the Obligation Control Plan totalling \$21.2 million. This includes the \$3.6 million reprogram funded projects. Deleting the projects which have been obligated, there remains 61 projects over \$75,00 each, requiring \$17.6 million, and 139 projects of \$75,000 and under, requiring \$6 million. If the authority to contract all of the \$75,000 and under projects was provided to the district administrators on January 1, this dollar impact will not alone solve the problem of carry over to FY 1974. The managerial impact, however, will be significantly great. It would free the administration at the Trust Territory headquarters to work on the 61 large CIP items.

It is apparent that a maximum effort using new methods must be made by the Trust Territory government to obligate both the over and under \$75,000 projects in the time remaining in FY 1973. Assuming these steps will be undertaken by 1 January, the Department of the Interior must provide the \$16.2 million by 1 January, starting at the third quarter.

This \$16.2 million funding thus becomes the third point in this letter and for all three of which we ask both the Department of the Interior and the Trust Territory Government for their wholehearted support in their accomplishment.

Sincerely yours,

RAYMOND SETIK, Chairman, Joint Committee on Program and Budget Planning.

JANUARY 18, 1973.

Hon. ROGERS C. B. MORTON, Secretary of the Interior. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Secretary: This letter is written to interest you in and to secure a response to our letter of November 30, 1972 addressed to then Assistant Secretary Harrison Loesch, a copy of which is attached. This letter concerns a matrix of management problems and suggested solutions relative to the Congress of Micronesia and the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands' government.

The three main points of the November 30th letter can be summarized as

follows:

(1) A redelegation of administration and contractual authority must occur immediately from Headquarters' Trust Territory government to District Administrators to preclude a substantially increased carryover of capital program funds into Fiscal Year 1974. At \$75,000 per item, redelegation would divide 295 listed capital projects into (a) the districts having 139 projects costing \$6 million and (b) the headquarters having 61 projects costing \$17.6 million. This would free the Headquarters to work on major dollar projects and provide meaningful decentralization.

(2) Take action to reverse the TTPI program allotments. The fourth quarter allotment of \$17.1 million together with the current management process will guarantee an equal amount of carryover in FY 1974. More money with no change in management method and process will produce no beneficial change. The current third quarter allotment of \$3.3 million should be allocated in the

fourth fiscal quarter.

(3) It is the conviction of our committee after recent consultations in each district of Micronesia that the land certification problems often described as being a main, if not the main deterrent in accomplishing these capital programs is not in fact a major retardant. The major retardant, not a major retardant, is the absence of authority and responsibility permitting the District Administrators to act; concerning which substantial rectification is contained in item (1).

Sincerely yours,

Congressman RAY SETIK, Chairman, Joint Committee on Program and Budget Planning. Senator BAILEY OLTER, Cochairman, Joint Committee on Program and Budget Planning.

> U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY, Washington, D.C., February 13, 1973.

Hon. RAY SETIK, Chairman,

Hon. Bailey Olter, Cochairman, Joint Committee on Program and Budget Planning, Congress of Micronesia Saipan, Mariana Islands.

DEAR CHAIRMEN SETIK AND OLTER: This is in response to your letter of January 18, 1973, calling my attention to the fact that your earlier letter addressed to the Assistant Secretary for Public Land Management on management problems in the Trust Territory has not been answered. I regret that there has been such a long delay

The concern of the Congress of Micronesia with respect to the large carryover of capital improvement funds is one we share in the Department of the Interior,

and we have been working closely with the High Commissioner to resolve this problem. New procedures are being established which should considerably reduce certain of the problems. The recent assignment of a former District Administrator to the High Commissioner's staff as Special Assistant for District Affairs also should obviate many of the administrative problems between Headquarters and the Districts which in the past has held up programs.

The three points outlined in your November 30, 1972, letter and repeated in

your present letter have been noted.

At this stage it does not appear feasible to drastically change procedures on administrative and contractual authority. This is not to say that the District Administrators should not directly supervise capital improvement projects but such supervision obviously must be within the capabilities of the district administration staff to adequately handle and supervise such projects. The present pattern calls for a limitation set at \$50,000 and this level appears to be a realistic one in terms of present district staff capabilities.

Your comments on the Trust Territory program allotments by quarter are noted and you may be assured that this matter is being carefully reviewed. Finally, we note with considerable interest the belief of your Committee that land certification problems, often called the main deterrent in accomplishing the

capital improvement program, are not in fact the major retardants. During a recent visit to Washington of the High Commissioner and five of the District Administrators, land problems came in for considerable attention during the discussion on the capital improvement programs.

We agree that there are other important factors which have slowed down the capital improvement program, i.e., the shipping strike, the lack of qualified staff, both at Headquarters and district levels. Yet, over and over, in some form or other during the recent discussions here, District Administrators brought up the

matter of land certification and other related land matters as the major problem they face in speeding up capital improvement projects.

The Department, particularly the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Territorial Affairs and his staff, is trying to do all it can to assist the Trust Territory Administration in bringing about a better solution to the problems you cite. In the final analysis though, the solutions can only come at the field level. It is important, then, that the Congress of Micronesia make its desires known to the High Commissioner, who, as the Chief Executive of the Trust Territory, has the responsibility for executing the day-by-day program of activities in the Trust Territory. I am confident that, if the Congress of Micronesia and the Executive work in close cooperation, many of the problems can be solved.

Sincerely yours,

ROGERS C. B. MORTON, Secretary of the Interior.

Mr. Burton. The gentleman from Pennsylvania, Mr. Vigorito. Mr. Vigorito. I don't know. I have so many questions racing through my mind, Mr. Chairman, as I'm listening to the testimony, and other questions from other Congressmen being gradually answered, but one I would like to ask, as you mentioned this almost \$4.6 million collected in revenue this year, is that subtracted from what the Federal Government's share of contributions?

Mr. Johnston. No, sir; it is not. It is additional revenues, and these

revenues are appropriated by the Congress of Micronesia.

Mr. Vigorito. I am surprised to find that the Congress of Micronesia is bi-cameral with only 107,000 population.

Why do they need two chambers?

Mr. Johnston. Well, sir, this was by their own choice. There was consideration after the Congress of Micronesia was founded, of converting it to a unicameral body, and this was voted down. Our six district legislatures are all unicameral, although in one district legislature there is now a proposal which was just introduced last week to make it a bi-cameral body. But the Congress of Micronesia has two Senators from each of the six districts, and it has 21 representatives chosen on the basis of population from the districts, very similar to the system used by the U.S. Congress.

Mr. Vigorito. I still haven't heard about why the necessity of going from appropriating for a given period of years to an open ended.

Who decided this all of a sudden? Why does this question come up?

Why can't we continue authorizing and appropriating funds for the next 3 years at a given amount?

Who wants this-

Mr. Carpenter. Well, this is the administration's proposed bill. Up until now we have had a 3-year authorization bill, as you know, for \$60 million. The administration felt that an open-ended authorization would give us greater flexibility in view of the somewhat uncertain future.

Mr. Vigorito. Well, you probably know that we have open ended on the social service programs, and it went from a few hundred million dollars to \$4 billion in a matter of months, so the Congress then had to step in and put a ceiling of \$2½ billion per year.

I mean, what is going to be the ceiling here on this open-ended? I am not keen on changing the method. As the bill stands now, I will not support it. I will not vote for a blank check, and that's what I see this is.

Now, it's hard for me to believe the administration on one program wants, under social service wants a ceiling and then on another program, an open ended, even though this will be in millions, but I can, see where this goes up to \$100 million very easily.

Mr. Carpenter. Well, the yearly appropriation request would be subject to full control by the appropriate Appropriations Committees

of Congress, regardless of the authorization.

Mr. Burton. Our colleague from Pennsylvania has always been cooperative in these matters, but I think his statement does reflect a body of opinion in the House that all of us should be mindful of.

Mr. Vigorito. That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you.

Mr. Burton. The gentleman from the Virgin Islands, Mr. de Lugo.

Mr. DE Lugo. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Carpenter, Mr. High Commissioner, I wish to welcome you here today and say that this has been a little traumatic for me. It almost wrenched me back to the 1950's when the Virgin Islands had to come before the Congress for annual appropriations. I am sure you realize that as a new member of this committee, coming from a rather small area, the Virgin Islands, it is quite difficult for me to grasp immediately the situation in Micronesia, where we are talking about 700 square miles, 2,141 islands, but a population approximately the same as the Virgin Islands today, 100,000 people. Our population is on three islands, while 100,000 or so in Micronesia are spread over 97 islands.

Now, I know the problems of just being divided by a little water has caused for us over the years in the Virgin Islands, so I'm well aware of the problems this must give to you in administering these areas. But whereas I may have some difficulty in grasping the enormity of the geographical area, the political situation is fairly familiar to me. I am concerned, and I would like to address this particularly to Mr. Carpenter of the Interior Department, whether the Interior Department will be aggressive enough and aware enough of the problems that we have, as offshore areas, whether we be in the Pacific or the Caribbean or the Atlantic.

I am deeply concerned by what I have heard here this morning. I know some of you gentlemen personally, and I realize, of course, there are certain constraints put on you, but we have to depend upon you to aggressively present our case, especially within the

administration.

The chairman has eloquently pointed out the problems that we face at the present time in the Virgin Islands, Puerto Rico, Guam, American Samoa, and the trust territory. He has noted that all of the various Federal categorical programs we depend upon, education, teacher training, et cetera, et cetera, are being cut back. The compensation we are told will be revenue sharing. Offshore areas, the territories, and Puerto Rico do not participate in revenue sharing. I get the very distinct impression—and I know that the Governor of the Virgin Islands does also as we have talked about this—that there is not enough thought being given by the administration—

Mr. Burton. I would like to have everyone hear this plea because it is particularly relevant to the dilemma that we are confronted with. Would you return to the sentence you started with so everyone

can hear it, because it is important.

Mr. DE Lugo. Well, I will take it up at the point that I said that I would like to welcome back the ranking member on the minority side, our good friend, Congressman Clausen, who has worked so closely with us on these problems. Let me preface that remark by saying that the progress that we have made in our areas has been because we have

had this bipartisan approach of these last years.

I said that this morning's meeting was slightly traumatic for me. It took me back to the 1950's when the Virgin Islands depended upon coming before a committee for annual appropriations. The outstanding concern I have today is that the members of the administration, aggressively present the case of these offshore areas, whether they be the trust territory, American Samoa, Puerto Rico, Guam, or the Virgin Islands because we have very unique problems. I am deeply concerned, and I know that the Governor of the Virgin Islands is deeply concerned—he is in town today and this is one of the reasons—that a great number of vital programs for these areas are being cut back without thought of replacement. These are programs that are lifting the people of these areas up, moving them toward self-government. They are education programs, teacher training programs and so on. All of these vital services are being cut back through Federal programs, and the only mention of compensation for this is revenue sharing.

But we in these areas do not participate in revenue sharing.
Mr. Vigorito. Would the gentleman yield at that point?

Mr. DE Lugo. Yes, Mr. Vigorito.

Mr. Vigorito. These areas, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Micronesia, Guam, Samoa, do they pay Federal income tax into the Treasury here in Washington?

Mr. DE Lugo. This is a point that has been decided by the

Congress-

Mr. Burton. The gentleman from Pennsylvania's point is well taken.

I associate myself fully with the remarks of the gentleman from the Virgin Islands. If I may anticipate somewhat, Secretary Morton served on this committee and had a great amount of sympathy and understanding of the problem of these offshore areas. Unfortunately, he

has been essentially indisposed in the last few months. In his absence we are not getting aggressive advocacy by the Department, nor do I perceive even a sensitivity to the problems of these areas. My distinguished colleague, Mr. Clausen and I have repeatedly stated that we want to work with the Executive and work with the Department, and together we have to find a way out of this present financial dilemma.

The fiscal status of the trust territory for the next fiscal year is before us today, and we have the same general problem in all of the other offshore areas. We are not going to be in a position to move unilaterally. We must work collaboratively with the administration on this, and something must be done to prevent the situation we are

headed for in the offshore areas.

The territory doesn't know how to come to grips with what they're heading for in this coming fiscal year, and we are not certain about what we should do. It's a strong reason why I favor an open-ended authorization, but I would hope, Mr. Secretary and Mr. Kyl, that our staff would be contacted and that we would have an opportunity to sit down and work out some way to anticipate and deal with these problems.

We have a responsibility to insure that the voice of the offshore areas is heard, and that they are protected to the extent that they can be protected. We are headed for disaster in this coming fiscal year and I don't perceive the kind of determined advocacy by the Department which is necessary. I must state in all candor, I think if Secretary Morton was around and well in Washington, we would probably be

well down the road to some kind of a solution.

Right now we are stuck with a grab bag approach to the Appropriations Committee. We are trying desperately to get some addons over the OMB approved budget. It's probably not going to work.

You are not asking for enough for the trust territory, and we do not know what you are asking for the other offshore areas. In many respects, the trust territory will probably get a little more constructive attention than the other areas, but we have to work together and anticipate this problem and come to grips with it. It's not going to reflect favorably on any of us who have responsibility in this area if we fail to fulfill it.

Mr. Vigorito. I still want an answer on my question. Mr. Burton. The answer to your question is no.

The gentleman from Pennsylvania many times has indicated his concern that in treating everyone equally, we ought to also seek more equal acceptance of all responsibility of citizenship. In this case our short term problem isn't that as much as it is that we have this cutback that none of the offshore areas were able to anticipate.

Mr. Carpenter. If I may respond, first in answer to your question, the territories do not pay Federal income tax into the U.S. Treasury. Therefore, they are not eligible to participate in general revenue

sharing.

Mr. Chairman, I would like to simply say on behalf of the Department of the Interior, that we have a very great concern for all the problems in all of our territories, I would like to add that as you mentioned, Secretary Morton himself, who served so ably on this committee, is deeply and personally interested, and even though temporarily he is located in San Francisco, he has been—

Mr. Burton. We're going to try to get the whole capital moved

out there one of these days.

Mr. Carpenter. But I can only emphasize again that my office is directly involved. We are greatly concerned about and actively working on many of the problems raised this morning, and we would certainly look forward to working very closely with members of this committee and your staff in trying to improve the situation in the territories.

Mr. Burton. Well, let us now hear from our distinguished colleagues who have come a long, long ways and who have a supplemental point of view, I am sure, to give us. At this time I would like to present Endy Dois, vice speaker of the House of Representatives of the Congress of Micronesia; the Honorable Raymond Setik, representative of the Congress of Micronesia, chairman of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget Planning; and the Honorable Edward Pangelinan, a senator from the Congress of Micronesia.

Welcome, gentlemen.

Your problems, as you see, are not necessarily unique.

Mr. Setik. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Burton. When did you arrive in town?

Mr. Setik. Mr. Chairman, we arrived Monday afternoon.

Mr. Burton. Have you caught up yet with the time zone so that you're not waking up at midnight or waking up at noon.

Mr. Setik. Sir, still not quite yet.

Mr. Burton. Well, when we go the other way, you can imagine our problem.

But please proceed.

Mr. Setik. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

We have a prepared statement that I will read on behalf of our delegation.

STATEMENT OF HON. RAYMOND SETIK, REPRESENTATIVE, CONGRESS OF MICRONESIA, CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT COMMITTEE ON PROGRAM AND BUDGET; HON. EDWARD DLG. PANGELIMAN, SENATOR, CONGRESS OF MICRONESIA; HON. ENDY DOIS, VICE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, CONGRESS OF MICRONESIA; AND MR. ROBERT TOOTHMAN, PROGRAM AND BUDGET OFFICER FOR THE CONGRESS OF MICRONESIA

Mr. Setik. Mr. Chairman, it is a privilege and a pleasure to be with you today in support of authorizing legislation for Micronesia.

We support the legislation presently before the committee to provide an authorized ceiling for Micronesia for an unspecified number of years. However, I would like to indicate our estimates of the amount of money that will be required to support the trust territory government during the next several years. These figures are derived from a 5-year plan which was prepared by the High Commissioner and reviewed by the Congress of Micronesia through its Joint Committee on Program and Budget Planning. We would hope to seek \$60 million in fiscal year 1974, \$70 million for fiscal year 1975 to 1977, and \$80 million for fiscal year 1978 and 1979. These estimates are based on the minimum needs of Micronesia to develop self-government and a

viable economy. A significant part of the money will be needed for vital infrastructure and other capital improvement projects necessary to build a base for the future economic development of the islands.

In the past, there have been problems regarding the obligation of funds for capital improvement projects in Micronesia. Many of these problems are management problems. The Joint Committee on Program and Budget Planning of the Congress of Micronesia has recently completed an analysis of these problems and recommend solutions to them, and feels that most of them will be solved in the very near future through the joint efforts of the High Commissioner and the

Congress of Micronesia.

We would like to request in fiscal year 1975 a direct appropriation from the Congress of the United States to the Congress of Micronesia which will terminate the intermediary functions of the Department of the Interior and permit and require the functions of the government to be discharged through the Congress of Micronesia and the High Commissioner. The Joint Committee will propose entering into a matching fund direct budgetary effort which would be composed of a 90-percent United States, 10 percent Micronesian funding base. The Congress of Micronesia would report directly to the Congress of the United States and be accountable to the Congress of the United States and the people of Micronesia for the programs carried out thereunder.

Again, Mr. Chairman, we support this legislation and will be happy

to answer any questions you may have.

But, before closing, Mr. Chairman, my colleague, Congressman Dois, would like to present the members of the subcommittee with a package of Ponape pepper which was grown on Ponape Island in Micronesia.

Thank you.

Mr. Burton. Fine. Thank you very much.

Congressman Dois, would you like to add your remarks to those of

your distinguished colleague?

Mr. Dois. I don't have any statement to make other than that presented by Congressman Ray Setik. Thank you.

Mr. Burton. Thank you. Which island are you from?

Mr. Dois. I'm from the island of Truk.

Mr. Burton. Did you come on Monday with your colleagues?

Mr. Dois. I came along with Raymond Setik.

Mr. CLAUSEN. Have you adjusted to the time change?

Mr. Dois. Yes.

Mr. Burton. Congressman—is it Congressman or Senator

Pangelinan?

You know, we really think a Congressman is more important than a Senator on this side of the Capitol, so I am not downgrading you, Senator. Is there anything you would like to add before we start the questioning?

Mr. Pangelinan. No, Mr. Chairman. But before I do that, I really feel that Congressmen are more important because they represent

people. We, in the Senate, represent islands and birds.

Mr. Burton. It used to be cows and trees on the mainland herefor our Senators. Let me pose a problem. We have two separate issues in the legislation before us. One of them is the request for an unlimited authorization which would give the administration more flexibility over the course of the next years. The second issue is for how long we should

authorize; how many years.

Now, here is a very difficult question for us. It is likely to be the case that this particular subcommittee is as friendly or more friendly to the aspirations of the Micronesian people than almost any other political forum. So if we authorize for too long a period, this does eliminate the need of the Department to come back to us to account for their actions. And I am not sure that is in the interest of the

Micronesian people.

There is some value to have us sit up here and kind of frown at the Department of Interior and the High Commissioner, and prod them a little bit to do a little more. So I assure all of you that at least in terms of whatever authority this committee has we are going to take those steps, as best as we can determine them, that will be most helpful to you in terms of our Government assisting you to help yourselves.

Let's spend a little time on this direct appropriation. Have you

requested this before this year?

Mr. Setik. Well, Mr. Chairman, the High Commissioner developed a 5-year plan which was submitted to the Congress of Micronesia through its joint committee and went along with the 5-year plan which was developed 2 years ago, which is aiming at the \$70 million level in fiscal year 1974.

Well, at that time the problem facing us is that there was no ceiling

authorization.

Mr. Burton. There was no what?

Mr. Setik. No ceiling authorization existing, which after we developed that \$70 million budget for fiscal year 1974, we were receiving instructions from Washington through the High Commissioner that the budget has to be in the level of \$55 million plus \$1 million for the economic development fund, for a total of \$56 million.

So the Congress has to go back and redevelop another budget to find where a reduction has to be made to meet that level which was—

Mr. Burton. Who first mentioned for fiscal year 1974, that the figure of \$70 million would be a target?

Mr. Setik. Well, that was a fiscal management plan which was first

developed in 1969.

Mr. Burton. By whom?

Mr. Setik. By the administration, by the High Commissioner and

the congress of Micronesia.

Mr. Burton. Well, Mr. Commissioner, then would it be fair to state that in 1969, it was anticipated that \$70 million in appropriated funds would be sought for fiscal year 1974?

Mr. Johnston. Yes, sir.

Mr. Burton. Well, then, I must assume that it is fair to conclude that this budget request represents \$14 million less, or something close to 20 percent less, then 2 years ago it was assumed would be needed to have a sound 5-year plan.

Mr. Johnston. That is correct, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Burton. Now does this \$70 million include or is it in addition to the roughly \$9 million available in these other programs?

Mr. Johnston. It was in addition, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Burton. Are these colleagues of ours going to be given the opportunity to testify before the subcommittee of the Appropriations Committee?

Mr. Johnston. Yes, sir.

Mr. Burton. Well, it would be my suggestion that you underscore the value of these 5-year plans. You should note that a few years ago it was believed that in this coming fiscal year \$70 million would be

needed, but that only \$56 million is being sought.

Mrs. Hanson, the chairwoman of the Appropriations Subcommittee, which has jurisdiction over the trust territory, is a very understanding colleague. And perhaps you will be able to state your case effectively enough to get some increase in that \$56 million requested. We will try to help in that respect. I do not know whether we will be successful.

Mr. Setik. Yes, Mr. Chairman.

Well, I think that you will realize, Mr. Chairman, that the price inflation and all of that has been projected into that \$70 million.

Mr. Burton. Yes, Well, if you thought you needed \$70 million in 1969, the rate of inflation has been even worse than you could have predicted, so that \$70 million is even less in real money, than you could have thought it was in 1969.

Is that not correct?

Mr. Setik. That is correct.

Mr. Burton. I want to examine a little bit the notion of us authorizing and directing funds to go right to the Congress of Micronesia.

Now, you tell us why you think we should do that.

Mr. Setik. Well, to tell you the truth, Mr. Chairman, we experienced a lot of problems between the legislative branch and the executive branch of the trust territory government as outlined by our position earlier that there are several areas that we felt has to be paid more attention to. It was a little bit flexible, not quite carried out as we expected.

For example, in the last fiscal year 1972, there was roughly about \$12.9 million carryover, and we are interested, the Congress of Micronesia through this committee, was very much interested why so much money was not obligated in this year, especially in the area of CIP, and of course, we are faced with the management problems and all the other problems, shipping problems, to get the goods from the west coast and whatever the materials were to be originated from.

We realized all of this, but along that same line, the land situation, which is now used as one of the biggest excuses—we felt that the method of acquiring land in Micronesia is—we are using some kind of new methods, new to us, to our environment, and we felt probably

that might be too sophisticated.

It is very hard to acquire land. I'm not quite familiar with the legal aspect of it. But it really brought it down to get the funds obligated for the several projects, that's all the observation that this committee has.

Mr. Burton. Well, how was land acquired before this new policy? Mr. Pangelinan. Mr. Chairman, we have different land tenure system in Micronesia, as you know, contrary to what we have here in the United States. Land basically belonged to clans rather than individuals. So in certain areas of Micronesia land could be acquired

rather easily by getting permission of the chiefs and the leaders of

the particular clan.

This is one way that smacked right against the tenure system that you have in the United States, where you have to get description, deed, and other legal documents to ascertain that the land is properly in order. We feel that in Micronesia, the situation is quite different, and acquisition of lands should be followed according to the local laws.

Mr. Burton. And that is not being done?

Mr. Pangelinan. We have followed the legal system that is presently used here in the United States.

Mr. Burton. Mr. Commissioner, why don't you come up to the

table?

This might be a very interesting roundtable discussion.

Mr. Johnston. Yes, sir.

Mr. Burton. Do you want to comment on this point?

Mr. Johnston. Yes, sir.

We, in 1969, started a very intensive program of decentralization of functions in Micronesia from the central territorial government to the district governments. We found fairly near to the start of the program that decentralization merely as a word would not work unless there were properly trained personnel in each district, in the various fields: In personnel management, in public works, in land management and so on.

Now, we feel that we have reached a point where we can decentralize the land certification program into the districts, and by doing so, as the congress of Micronesia recommended, we could speed up the process.

We could not do this, we felt, until we had a fully qualified district attorney in each district, who could work with the land and surveys

people in the proper legal certification of land.

We have now reached that goal. I have discussed it with each of our six district administrators, making sure that each of them felt that he was qualified and all six of them said they now have the capabilities. So, effective May the 1st, in just a few weeks, land certification will be done at the district level.

Mr. Burton. First, do you gentlemen agree with the statement

made by the High Commissioner?

Mr. Šetik. Yes, Mr. Chairman, we agree to that statement that he made.

Mr. Burton. Senator, do you do ——

Mr. Pangelinan. Yes, I follow his thinking.

Mr. Burton. You follow his thinking. That is a little short of agreement.

Is the pattern of clan ownership recognized, or do you propose that

that be altered for purposes of obtaining title?

Mr. Johnston. No, sir; it is recognized. We have sometimes needed to correct people who referred to our program as a land reform pro-

gram.

It is not. It is a land certification, identification and titling program. But the traditional system as in the Marshalls and in Palau, where land is owned by a lineage or a clan—continues to operate; in the Mariana Islands, where it is owned by individuals, their system continues to operate.

Mr. Burton. Is that the case or not, Senator?

Mr. Pangelinan. That is the situation in the two areas that the Commissioner identified. In the Mariana Islands it is different than in the other two.

Mr. Burton. How would you have done things differently, to ac-

celerate the capital improvement program?

Mr. Pangelinan. I think, Mr. Chairman, we have to perhaps amend our present legislation in the area of land tenureship to conform with the local and traditional ownership, and identification as such.

This may be one area that the Congress as well as the administration would have to sit together and try to come up with a solution for the unique problems that we face on land matters in Micronesia.

Mr. Burton. But how would you have changed the practice in the

last 2 years? Mr. Chairman, how about you?

Mr. Setik. Well, as I stated earlier, Mr. Chairman, the only way we can split it up—the utilization of capital improvement is to follow the local custom rather than using this method of what is practiced here in the United States.

Mr. Burton. Until 1969, did we follow the practice which is used in

the United States, rather than the local custom?

Mr. Setik. I am not quite sure on that, Mr. Chairman. Mr. High

Commissioner could answer that.

Mr. Johnston. No; the local customs were followed, Mr. Chairman, but no parcel of land had, in Micronesia, had what we in the United States would call a certificate of title. Some people had old, weather beaten documents they carried in their pocket, written in German, or Spanish, or Japanese.

But there was no centralized, recordkeeping system, and we embarked on a program which was eventually to cost some \$5 to \$7 million, and is well underway, to actually issue certificates of title to owners of land in Micronesia for the first time in Micronesia's history.

This has been a relatively slow process.

Mr. Burton. Well, is that a good thing or a bad thing, Senator? Mr. Pangelinan. Mr. Chairman, it depends on the area that you want to apply that system, in the Mariana Islands, it works for us; in the other districts, where ownership of land belongs to the clan, perhaps it does not quite work for that particular area.

Mr. Clausen. Are you thinking of Samoa?

Mr. Johnston. No, I think the Senator is probably referring specifically to the Marshall Islands, and we have not been able to get get the program off the ground in the Marshall Islands. We have not forced it on the Marshallese.

Their attitude toward land is entirely different than some of the other districts, and the program is going forward rapidly in the Marianas, to a great extent in some of the other districts, but is not

even started in the Marshalls.

Mr. Burton. Well, when is this goal going to be reached, Mr. Commissioner, for all of the areas except the Marshalls? When you are here next year, are you going to tell us the job is completed?

Mr. Johnston. I would say it will be several more years, Mr.

Chairman, at least 3 or 5 years before the job is completed.

Mr. Burton. One of the things that has troubled me over the 9 years I have been on this subcommittee is whenever the administra-

tion—and this is not just the current one; this is past administrations as well—comes before the subcommittee, they always have a blueprint that is just ready to be implemented, and things are going to be resolved.

Then they come back next year, and some of the blueprints are lost or discarded. We are always dealing in futures, and we have some difficulty finding out what happened to all of these glowing promises.

I am having some difficulty pinning down the interrelationship between this title problem and the unexpended capital improvement moneys. Did you know last year at this time that you would end up with this amount of unexpended capital improvements money?

Mr. Setik. No, Mr. Chairman. We didn't know until-when we

received the status report of the capital improvement.

Mr. Burton. When was that?

Mr. Setik. That was 3 months after the closing of the fiscal year. Mr. Burton. When did you first know that you were going to have unexpended funds in the capital improvement area, Mr. Commissioner?

Mr. Johnston. Oh, certainly—at least 5 or 6 months ago.

Mr. Burton. How long was it from the time you realized it until you informed the Congress of Micronesia that such was the case?

Mr. Johnston. I think we have kept the Congress informed at each stage. We have never tried to hold any information from the

Mr. Burton. Well, how long in terms of days, or weeks, was it from the time that you concluded that there was going to be less in the way of capital improvement expenditures than the Congress of Micronesia thought there was going to be and the time when this budget was

constructed?

Mr. Johnston. Well, Mr. Oyler, our program and budget officer reminded me that the Congress of Micronesia gets the monthly report of progress in capital improvements obligations at the same time the High Commissioner gets it.

Mr. Burton. I am making a different point. At some time you knew that you weren't going to be spending that which was appropri-

ated, in the area of capital improvements.

How long after you knew that you were not going to spend this money was it that you advanced that conclusion, that informed speculation or prediction, to the members of the Congress of Micronesia?

Mr. Johnston. Well, I would say, Mr. Chairman, it was a matter of only a few days, after I became aware of it, that the congress was

aware of it.

Mr. Burton. Mr. Chairman, does that square with your

recollection?

Mr. Setik. Yes; I think so, Mr. Chairman, because as I say, Mr. Chairman, that the status report was not really up to date.

We are about 2 to 3 months behind when we receive it.

Mr. Burton. When were you first told that there were x millions of dollars that would not be spent, that were appropriated and you thought were going to be spent?

Mr. Setik. I think around August, last year.

Mr. Burton. And when did you first have this information, Mr. Commissioner. It was sometime before the end of the fiscal year, was it not?

Mr. Johnston. Well, now we are talking about the fiscal year we are in at the present time, or fiscal 1972?

Mr. Burton. I am trying to ascertain how much full and frank

disclosure there is to the legislative branch of Micronesia?

You are in effect serving as the executive. You are more apt to learn earlier than anyone else, what the pattern and rate of expenditures are going to be. I am just trying to pin down, to the extent I can, how much you have fully informed, to the extent you are aware of it, our colleagues in the Congress of Micronesia?

Mr. Johnston. We follow a pattern, Mr. Chairman, that as soon as my budget staff has informed me of any form of a problem, we immediately communicate by telephone with Congressman Setik of the house, and Senator Olter, who is his counterpart in the senate, and

who is represented here today by Senator Pangelinan.

The standard rules are that as soon as we find any problem areas in budgeting, that we communicate with the chairmen of the joint committee on program and budget planning, and we have followed that for the past several years.

Mr. Setik. Yes; that statement is correct, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Burton. Let me move from left to right here and get an opinion. Do you believe that there has been a reduction of moneys made available to Micronesia because of the pending negotiations on status? Senator, I will give you the pleasure of being the first to respond.

Mr. PANGELINAN. No, Mr. Chairman, I don't think that is the case. I do recognize that there are reductions in the total Presidential budget, and I believe that is my understanding.

Mr. Burton. Did you ever believe that was the case? We in the law have a saying, silence itself is response. When did you change your mind?

Mr. Pangelinan. Are you addressing me?

Mr. Burton. Yes.

Mr. Pangelinan. I haven't changed my mind. Mr. Burton. Congressman, how about you? Mr. Dois. I haven't changed my mind either.

Mr. Burton. What do you think? Do you think we are withholding some moneys to increase our bargaining position on the status and negotiations?

Mr. Dois. No; I don't believe it. Mr. Burton. Mr. Chairman?

Mr. Setik. Well, Mr. Chairman, I may be on the wrong boat, but I suspect it bears some burden on that subject.

Mr. CLAUSEN. Would he repeat that?

Mr. Setik. I said I suspect there would be probably something in

connection with that. This is my own personal thinking.

Mr. Burton. I would like the record to reflect that our committee has pursued this in open session and privately, and we have been flatly assured that is not the case. I can say no more than that, but that is what we have been told, both publicly and privately.

Mr. Clausen. Now, you gentlemen all-

Mr. Burton. We have been flatly assured that such tactics are not being used. I was concerned about this, because there was a news article I had placed in the hearing record, which among other things,

made this observation.

We have been unable, just looking at the figures, to find a mathematical justification of that notion. I fairly strongly believe that we are not putting an economic squeeze on Micronesia, because we are finding this absolutely same problem in all offshore areas.

I could be wrong. I would hope that is not the case. But on the other hand, I have to wonder why the moneys were appropriated and aren't being spent, and I am not really satisfied yet as to why they are

not being spent.

It may be insurmountable problems; it may be incompetant administration. It may be a number of things.

What other problems, apart from the budget, would you like to

take advantage of this occasion to mention to us?

Mr. Setik. Since we discussed about the decentralization and other matters, this Congress also recommended to the High Commissioner that the all expenditures or obligations under \$75,000, must be detailed to the district.

In this way, we felt that it will help expedite those projects which are under \$75,000. I think the High Commissioner's thinking is along

the same line.

But this is the only way we think that we can get all the money obligated before the closing of this fiscal year. These are the problems that we have had in the past, and we would like to see to it that it

would change.

Other problems that I would like to—just make an observation on, is on this end-open legislation, which is pending before you. I disagree with that intent, that it will not permit us to make any 5-year plan, or any plan at all, as we just don't know how much money is going to be available to Micronesia let's say, in fiscal year 1975.

For example, we were told through the High Commissioner's office

that the—trust territory will get \$49 million in 1975.

That is a lot of reduction.

Mr. Burton. Did that figure emanate from your office, Mr. Commissioner?

Mr. Johnston. I am not sure to what extent, Mr. Chairman. I am authorized as a U.S. Federal employee to discuss advanced planning of the administration for fiscal 1975.

There have been indications, however, that the budget might be

further reduced in fiscal 1975.

Mr. Setik. Well, Mr. Chairman, bear in mind that this is—Mr. Burton. I think that is responsive enough for this purpose.

Would you continue to expand on this point then?

Mr. Setik. We felt that it might be affected because of the negotiations that haven't been resolved for the reduction from 56 down to—

Mr. Burton. I am forced to the inevitable conclusion that if we are talking about further cutbacks with dollars that buy less, I think that is the only reasonable conclusion for anybody to reach.

Please continue, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Setik. Yes, Mr. Chairman.

So this is where we stand, that we went through the district before we came here. The Joint Committee was divided into two subcommittees: One to travel to the east to cover Marshalls, Ponape, and Truk, and the other committees to travel west to cover Palau district,

Yap district, and Marianas district, at least to get ourselves prepared before a further reduction would be made down to \$49 million.

We concluded in our study that it would affect close to 1 million—I'm sorry, 1,000 jobs would be affected; a reduction in force would have to be made in order to live within that—

Mr. CLAUSEN. What kind of jobs, may I ask?

Mr. Setik. Well, education, quality of education, would be worse. We have to hire high school teachers, high school graduates, just to meet the minimum amount of salary that can be met; and the health program has to be cut down to the absclute minimum, in the public works, to support the maintenance of the facilities has to be eliminated.

Mr. Burton. What was the last 5-year plan? When was it adopted,

and for what years?

Mr. Setik. It was adopted—well, the one that I mentioned was

developed in 1969. It was been revised every year thereafter.

Mr. Burton. Let's take about 18 months ago. What was the total amount you had in capital improvements, that you estimated you would need?

Mr. Setik. I think we will have to go to the 5-year plan that we

originally had.

Mr. Burton. Do you work out this budget with the High Commissioner's office, on the need for capital improvements, and the amounts roughly necessary?

Mr. Setik. Yes, Mr. Chairman. We go on very absolute minimum

priority orders.

Mr. Burton. So taking the last fiscal year, the current one, and the next three, you have a certain capital improvement budget? As I understand, Mr. Commissioner, it is represented by the chairman that they work with your office to construct what at that point in time you anticipate are the needs within the authorized limits, presumably for capital improvement, for a 5-year period.

Is that essentially correct? Mr. Johnston. Yes, sir.

Mr. Chairman, if I might make one other point correct on the planning for the fiscal 1975 budget which is in a cycle, we are just starting now, that we have been working on two plans: One at the same level of spending as for fiscal 1974, and the other for a possible reduction.

I emphasize for a possible reduction, and that is the area we have been having to work in for the planning for fiscal 1975; but—

Mr. Burton. How much was set up for capital improvement for the 5 year time span that I just mentioned?

We will not hold you to the particulars. You will get an opportunity to correct your record, if you misspeak.

Mr. Setik. Mr. Chairman?

Mr. Burton. Yes, sir.

Mr. Setik. Our basic problems as far as the funding of the operation, and the capital improvement in the trust territory, maybe I can summarize our review, from fiscal year 1970 up to 1973, and what is planned in 1974 and 1975.

According to the budget level that we had, in fiscal year 1970, the operation growth was from \$28,700,000, to in 1971 \$34,800,000,

or about 125 percent.

Mr. Burton. Mr. Commissioner, in ball park terms 2 years ago, how much did you anticipate the needs to be for the next 5 years, or how much below that are we obligating, and or expending?

Mr. Johnston. Well, Mr. Chairman—

Mr. Burton. Do you want your assistant there to give us the figures as best he understands them?

Identify yourself please for the record again.

Mr. Oyler. Yes, Mr. Chairman, I am William D. Oyler, Program and Budget Officer for the trust territory.

Mr. Burton. Do you work out in the trust territory, or do you work

here in Washington?

Mr. Oyler. No; I work in Saipan, sir.

Perhaps one way of getting at your interest, Mr. Chairman, is to note that in our 5-year plan for the fiscal years of 1974 through 1978, which was developed before any ceiling authorization was available, we had forecast initially a need for \$22.4 million in capital improvements.

Mr. Burton. For the total 5 years?

Mr. Oyler. Just for fiscal 1974, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Burton. I see, and in 1975?

Mr. Oyler. In 1975, under the same level ceiling, capital improvements would have been \$18.9 million.

Mr. Burton. In 1976?

Mr. Oyler. \$15 million, sir.

Mr. Burton. 1977?

Mr. Oyler. \$10.9 million. Mr. Burton. In 1978?

Mr. Oyler. In 1978, under a higher anticipated ceiling, it would

have increased to \$16.3 million.

Mr. Burton. So am I correct in concluding that you have a reduced capital improvement amount because you had assumed a flat \$16 million ceiling, and other needs had a greater priority under the limits of the ceiling.

Mr. Oyler. Yes, Mr. Chairman, within a level ceiling, our opera-

tional costs, we find—

Mr. Burton. Did you have an alternative budget that permitted you to meet your needs?

Mr. OYLER. We had not prepared one at high levels, other than

these for that cycle, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Burton. How about for the immediately preceding cycle? Mr. Oyler. For the immediately preceding cycle, we had developed a 5-year plan that went up to a total ceiling of \$80 million, roughly, much earlier; we had not sold that, so during this cycle we had tailored it back somewhat.

Mr. Burton. Well, I would like that cycle, if you will. First, what

source document are you reading from?

Mr. Oyler. At this moment, Mr. Chairman, I am reading from the trust territory's 5-year plan for fiscal years 1974 through 1978.

This is a plan of 1 year ago.

Mr. Burton. We would like to have a copy of that, and I will seek consultation with my colleague whether that is part of the committee files, or part of the record.

[Editorial note.—The material has been made a part of the file.] Mr. Oyler. Yes, sir. That will be made available.

Mr. Burton. Do you have the cycle for the immediate preceding year?

Mr. OYLER. We do have it available to us, but not here in the room at the moment, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Burton. Do you have it here in Washington?

Mr. OYLER. Yes, sir.

Mr. Burton. Would you make that available to us? Mr. Oyler. We would be very pleased to, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Burton. Now, that 5-year cycle was on the assumption of moving to \$80 million authorization upon the conclusion of this current authorization?

Mr. Oyler. To the best of my recollection it was, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Burton. You will be given an opportunity to correct your remarks. I am not pinning you down by way of memory, on specifics that are inalterable. You can alter this so it squares with the facts.

On the assumption of an \$80 million authorization, then, what was each year's, as best you can recall, capital improvement budget? For

1974, what was it?

Mr. Oyler. Subject to correction, with your permission, in the record, Mr. Chairman my best recollection would have been about \$23.8 million.

Mr. Burton. In 1975?

Mr. Oyler. A little bit less than that, \$17.7 million under the stable ceiling.

Mr. Burton. In 1976?

Mr. Oyler. On down to \$12.8 million, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Burton. Now, is that capital budget geared to this \$80 million assumption to which you previously referred?

Mr. Oyler. Essentially so, Mr. Chairman. The needs had been

projected fully, and we hoped adequately, at the time though.

Mr. Burton. Mr. Commissioner, I mentioned earlier the danger of our having a too long open-end appropriation authorization. If we make it too long, we don't have a chance to regularly review future

needs and past performances.

It would be a major tragedy if this committee, which is perhaps the most friendly of all the forums you will appear before, takes action which results in your not having to come back to us to go through this review. I might note parenthetically—that I think this is one on the real disasters of the American political system, namely, when you have policy committees which really support the mission of the executive but which must be reluctant to go all the way for fear that they will reduce their ability to have meaningful input in the implementation of the stated mission of government. This fear is increased by the fact that you don't have very much in the way of troops to mount your case within the executive. This is even particularly true because of the temporary inability of Secretary Morton to be able to spend all the time he would want to spend pursuing these matters.

Mr. Johnston. Let me say, Mr. Chairman, that I certainly am never reluctant to appear before your committee, and to discuss and explain any of our problems, or expenditures, or budgeting of the trust territory, and we would hope to be able to continue to do that. We have appreciated the consideration of the Interior Committee, and of the subcommittee. This problem of budgeting—I might if I may just comment on one angle of the capital improvements versus operations, that as you have pointed out, Mr. Chairman, we are faced in Micronesia as in other parts of the world, with increasing costs.

When we put these capital improvements in place, sometimes some of our constituents feel that we should spend even more money on capital improvements and less on operations, but when you build a hospital, you have to staff the hospital, and when you build schools—

Mr. Burton. We are not quarreling with that. We are quarreling with a much more fundamental situation. First, we have a U.N.

mandate.

Mr. Johnston. Yes, sir.

Mr. Burton. And some of us are going to be up to the U.N. this June. That will be an interesting experience, discussing what, if any, gap there is between our mandate and our performance, and I am not going to belabor what is so self-evident in this forum.

But, somewhere, somebody doesn't have this situation in very clear

focus, I think.

Mr. Setik. Mr. Chairman?

Mr. Burton. Yes.

Mr. Setik. If I may point out, it seems to be that the policy of the Interior—now—

Mr. Burton. Gentlemen, we are going to be doing without lunch. We are just doing the kind of work like we are getting paid a decent wage, and I expect all of the Department people to stay here.

Yes, sir, go on.

Mr. Setik. I was going to say that the-

Mr. Burton. And I might note parenthetically, I am also after consultation with the ranking member, going to consider going into executive session with our colleagues and their friends in the Department and their staff. But we will await judgment on that until a little later on in the proceedings.

Please go ahead.

Mr. Setik. To us, through the policy issued from the Office of the Interior, is placing the trust territory as a low priority among other programs, that we are afraid that the budget levels for trust territory may be going down and down for the next few years.

Mr. Burton. Who is the decisive political authority? To whom do you respond? Is it ultimately to the Secretary of Interior, Mr.

Commissioner?

Mr. Johnston. My channels of authority at the present time areto report directly to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Territorial Affairs, and through him, to the Secretary of the Interior.

Mr. Burton. And that is— Mr. Johnston. Mr. Carpenter.

Mr. Burton. And who is the ultimate authority on the budget? Is that OMB?

Mr. Johnston. Well, yes, sir, from the U.S. standpoint, certainly. Ultimately, I believe you would say that the President submits a budget.

Mr. Burton. What is wrong with us appropriating this money

directly to the Congress of Micronesia?

Mr. Johnston. Well, Mr. Chairman, I am on record in the past 2 years, when I have been asked that question—

Mr. Burton. Do you possess the veto authority?

Mr. Johnston. I have veto authority over congressionally passed legislation, yes. But—I was going to say, Mr. Chairman, that in the past 2 years, particularly in our appearances before Senator Bible's Senate Appropriations Committee, when the Congress of Micronesia has broached the subject, and the Senator has asked me if I felt it would work, I have been on record as saying that I felt that it would.

I feel that the Congress of Micronesia has done a very responsible job of considering their obligations, and has shown a great deal of maturity in the way they have approached the budgeting problems

of Micronesia.

Mr. Burton. If they pass an appropriations bill, what is your role

in that process?

Mr. Johnston. Well, under our present setup of government, I would have the right to line veto certain items if I felt they were improper expenditures, or excessive expenditures. I do have the legal right of line vetoing items in the budget.

Mr. Burton. Do you have the authority to reduce expenditure

levels as distinguished from striking a whole line item?

Mr. Johnston. The executive branch has the responsibility of actually making disbursements of both the Congress of Micronesia appropriations and the U.S. appropriations and our finance director would not disburse moneys that had not yet become available.

Remember, Mr. Chairman, that we have no borrowing capacity

whatsoever.

Mr. Burton. I understand that.

Mr. Johnston. Our government operates on a purely cash basis.
Mr. Burton. That may well for now prove to be a great blessing.
Mr. Johnston. It may well be, but we must make sure that the

revenues are available for disbursing before they are disbursed.

Mr. Burton. So you are stating to us, Mr. Commissioner, that
because you have a line item veto, and because your constraints
boviously are that you are not going to approve the expenditures of
sums which exceed that which is available, that this modest step of
appropriating money directly to the Congress of Micronesia isn't
necessarily unthinkable, and perhaps, to the contrary, it might be
useful to consider.

Mr. Johnston. I think it might be very useful, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Burton. Senator?

Mr. Pangelinan. Mr. Chairman, I think the Congress of Micronesia has gone on the record on several occasions, that we would like to be given the opportunity, or the authority to directly appropriate the funds from the United States. I think we have reached a level of political maturity and fiscal management that we can be directly accountable and responsible to the Congress of the United States on the money that is—

Mr. Burton. Are you stating then that it is not satisfactory for

the Commissioner to have this line item veto?

Mr. Pangelinan. Well, if this is one way of ascertaining that the money will be properly expanded, then we have no objection.

Mr. Burton. Mr. Chairman?

Mr. Setik. Well, I go along with the statement made by both the High Commissioner and my colleague the Senator, that since the High Commissioner has the veto power, I don't see any problem with that.

When we see that there is something wrong with the appropriation or expenditures, he has the legal authority to item veto whatever has

been passed by the Congress of Micronesia.

Mr. Burton. I don't want you to take my own willingness to favorably consider this idea as meaning that we are likely to end up with the result this year. That is important, that I don't misrepresent this. But I do want to pursue this enough to see what the problems are or aren't, rather than dismissing it out of hand.

The gentleman from California?

Mr. CLAUSEN. Yes.

Well, I did want to make this point, and I think I am accurate, in that we are an authorizing committee, and certainly the Appropriations Committee will have some input; the executive branch who must administer that which we legislate, must have some input.

But I do believe in light of the exchange that has taken place that while we would obviously not clutter up the legislation with a specific directive. I do believe we might be able to reach legislative history in the report, indicating that we mutually agree it be the proper course of action to bring about what I understand is a commonly accepted desire on the part of the administration to move toward helping develop the kind of mature and participatory functioning democracy in those sections.

Mr. Burton. As in all matters of life, you have a balancing problem here. To the extent we move in this direction, to that extent we would reduce any political likelihood of getting open end authorization.

In other words, it is one thing for us to consider moving in this direction. It is another thing to move in this direction in a manner that could be deemed to be a blank check, with no restraints.

Politically, that's a pretty big hurdle for us. Mr. Chairman, if you had to balance this out, are you in a position now to give a judgment

on which way we ought to lean?

Shall we lean a little more to the closed end authorization, but with this authority subject to safeguards; or should we lean to the

open end authorization for a set period of time?

Mr. Setik. Well, if you the committee felt that the open end authorization might be a way of testing how we can approach that, perhaps 1 year would be sufficient to me, because it would be very difficult on our part to make some plans for 1, 2, 3, or 4, 5 years ahead, if there should be an open end authorization.

Also, please remember that we are communicating—we are somewhat in between, that you have to reach a level of agreement before

you can come up with some fast approach.

What I mean is, we have, the trust territory government has presented the budget to the Office of Interior, and Office of Interior will in turn submit it to OMB, from OMB to the U.S. Congress. All these steps take place before it reaches the U.S. Congress.

Mr. Burton. Let me move a minute to this development fund. I hope this fund works out well. I hope we have not made a mistake.

Are you satisfied? At first you had a plan to control who can receive help under this fund, so we don't discover that we have seriously and adversely affected the different areas in Micronesia. Tell me what you think of this development fund. Are you for it,

Mr. Chairman?

Mr. Setik. Well, I think the capital of that fund is up to about \$2.9 million. The fund is being administered through the High Commissioner's office, and it is being programed out into various levels, and I think there is a plan for the utilization of the \$1 million, which is earmarked for fiscal 1974.

Mr. Burton. What is that plan, Mr. Commissioner?

Mr. Johnston. The enabling legislation which authorized a total of \$5 million to the economic development loan fund, requires us to submit jurisdictions for the actual funds that we seek; and in seeking the \$1 million for fiscal year 1974, which is included in this \$56 million of our present budget. we currently have pending loan applications of \$2.6 million, or more than double that amount.

Mr. Burton. You have loan applications for more than double

that amount?

Mr. Johnston. Yes, sir.

Mr. Burton. How many of these applications are meritorious?

Mr. Johnston. I beg your pardon?

Mr. Burton. What dollar amount of these applications are

meritorious?

Mr. Johnston. I think most of them are. We roughly, plan to break this \$1 million down into \$300,000 for fishing, \$200,000 for farming, \$200,000 for working capital of business expansion, \$150,000 to encourage local contractors, \$50,000 for auto repair, and service stations, and \$100,000 for taxi, and car rentals.

These are all small businesses.

Mr. Burton. Am I correct that these funds will only be available to Micronesians?

Mr. Johnston. Yes, sir, they are available only to Micronesian

citizens

Mr. Burton. And is that an understood administration policy, or did we put that into the law.

Mr. Johnston. I believe it is in the law.

Mr. Burton. Good.

Mr. Johnston. I believe it is in the law, but if it were not-

Mr. Burton. Are you authorized to spend more money on these development loans if you have surplus funds from, say, the capital improvements account?

Mr. Johnston. No, sir.

It would have to be budgeted or reprogramed. At the present time, there are two sources of income into the economic development loan fund: One from the U.S. congressional appropriations, and the other is around \$600,000 a year, appropriated by the Congress of Micronesia out of their funds into the economic development loan fund.

Mr. Burton. So there would be \$600,000 from Micronesia, and

\$1 million U.S. Is that it?

Mr. Johnston. That is correct, yes, sir.

Mr. Burton. So that leaves you \$1,300,000 short on loan applica-

tions. Is that right?

Mr. Johnston. Right; and I think, although some of the applications might not have merit, a great many of them do. I would say the vast majority of them are loans that we would like to make.

Mr. Burton. The Act authorized a \$5 million loan fund, Did we require that be within the ceiling, or is that just an administrative judgment? Mr. Gamble, do you have a recollection on this respect?

Mr. Gamble. I would say it was outside the ceiling.

Mr. Burton. I am almost forced to conclude that you asked a little more from OMB than they cleared for this purpose. You needn't

Mr. CLAUSEN. Will the gentleman yield? Mr. Burton. I yield to my colleague.

Mr. Clausen. On that point, on development loans, you are the participants. Are you attempting to develop local entrepreneurship, or is there a combination of talents that might be coming from either this country or other countries? Could you elaborate on this, Mr. Commissioner?

Mr. Johnston. The loans must be made to Micronesian citizens. The Micronesian citizen who builds a hotel, or starts an auto rental agency, can hire expatriates to give him technical management proficiency, if he wishes to do so; but the loans must go to Micronesian

The policy is, to encourage small local business to definitely develop

a local business sector.

Mr. Burton. Are you having any loans to cooperatives in addition to individuals?

Mr. Johnston. We do have a cooperative movement in the trust territory, yes. We have a territorial cooperative supervisor, and we do have some consumer co-ops in the various districts.

Mr. Clausen. Do you gentlemen of the Congress, do you feel that there has been satisfactory progress in this stated goal, to encourage local Micronesian entrepreneurship?

Mr. Setik. Yes, I am satisfied, yes.

Mr. Pangelinan. Mr. Chairman, there is one advantage of having a loan fund available to us, in that the present lending institutions are very limited in their capacity to lend to Micronesians because of the collateral aspects, and this gives us the very valuable capital, to go into the businesses in which we cannot go to the lending institution to borrow the money for the enterprises, or the business.

Mr. Clausen. So, then your response is, that at this point you do not have any problems with the manner in which the development fund has been administered, particularly as it relates to the protection

of the Micronesians in developing their own enterprises.

Mr. Pangelinan. Right.

Mr. Clausen. Do you agree with that, sir?

Mr. Setik. Right.

Mr. Clausen. I have one question that I would like to get on the record, one more—Mr. Commissioner, what factors have contributed to the backlog of unobligated funds, in capital investment?

Mr. Johnston. The unobligated funds for capital improvement

programs?

The basic factor is the slowness of certifying land on which the public improvements can be built. Now, when I first went to Micronesia, at times I would stick my neck out and approve proceeding with capital improvement projects before we had complete certification of the land, and it worked in a number of cases.

However, there is an increasing awareness of lawsuits in the trust territory, people are increasingly being encouraged to sue the government, and we must be increasingly more careful that we do not let a project go ahead until the land is very definitely certified, and obtained for that project. This is a slow process and is the main reason; it isn't for one minute, Congressman Clausen, that we don't need the capital improvements, or have failed to design them or any desire to go ahead with them.

It is merely the administrative process of getting the land certified and then, after that, advertising for bids, letting the contract and

Mr. CLAUSEN. Mr. Chairman, I might want to pursue this, but I think we should probably move on, because I have another meeting

Mr. Burton. All right, we shall. But I want to do this: what unfinished business do we have on the agenda for the trust territories?

There is an omnibus bill here that came out of the Senate. I don't recall, Jim, do you, what finally happened to that?

Mr. Gamble. The omnibus bill on the trust territory? Mr. Burton. Yes.

Mr. Gamble. Only one section of the Act was enacted. That was the economic development loan fund section. All the remainder was struck.

The one section that we did have on the Micronesian claims was taken care of here by the Foreign Affairs Committee under separate legislation. But all of the other sections-

Mr. Burton. Which of those do you recall?

Mr. Gamble. Yes, Mr. Chairman, they dealt with immigration and naturalization matters. We even had a section in on allowing Micronesian goods to come into the United States under the same conditions and terms that goods from the Virgin Islands and our other territories come in.

That was struck in the Senate. The House very properly took an objection to our fooling with tax matters. We also had a section in about allowing Micronesian citizens who wished to volunteer in U.S. Armed Forces to do so, which they may not do under present circumstances.

There were five titles under the Act.

Mr. Burton. I would like to go into executive session here, with our delegation from Micronesia and their people and the high commissioner, and the Department people staying here.

I just want to do this to free up a little bit any other questions that may occur to us. I am not sure that anything so dramatic is going to

If there are those who are not part of one or another of those groups of people, I would appreciate it if they would leave, because we are going into executive session.

Thank you very much for your indulgence. Is everyone here now a member of either the Micronesian delegation staff, or the Interior

Committee staff?

Let me just ask one final question. I am addressing this to our colleagues from Micronesia. Is there any unfinished business that occurs to you, apart from this authorizing bill, and apart from the

money amounts that you think we ought to be considering during

the course of the balance of this year?

Mr. Setik. Well, we—Mr. Chairman, as I mentioned earlier, that I wished they would stick to the original 5 year plan that had already been made. We hope that the committee will consider very seriously about the \$70 million level of which was originally planned for fiscal year 1974 to 1977. It really hurt the Micronesian people by curtailing the funds without ever consulting them in a timely manner because everybody seems to know that the U.S. Government does not pay more attention to Micronesian's aspirations and needs, while they expected that, because of this unsettled future status of Micronesia.

Mr. Burton. The gentleman from California.

Mr. Clausen. Yes, Mr. Chairman.

I want to commend you, and certainly all of the members of the Micronesian congress, you, Mr. Commissioner, and those who participated in this hearing. I think we have developed an excellent

hearing record.

Mr. Chairman, I believe, that it would be in the interest of the committee—primarily because you gentlemen have traveled so far, and it is not too easy for them to come to Washington, or conversely, for us to visit the area that is so important to all of us, as regularly as we would like to.

I think it would be advisable, Mr. Chairman, and I would ask unanimous consent of the Committee that we now conclude the formal session, and then move toward a more informal, off the record session, and then permit the recording clerk to be discharged, so that we

can-

Mr. Burton. Without objection, so ordered.

Mr. CLAUSEN. Thank you.

[Whereupon, at 1 p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter was adjourned, and the subcommittee went into executive session.]