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BUSINESS MEETING

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 17, 2019

U.S. SENATE,
COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS,
Washington, DC.

The Committee met, pursuant to notice, at 9:36 a.m., in room 406, Dirksen Senate Building, Hon. John Barrasso (Chairman of the Committee) presiding.


OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. JOHN BARRASSO,
U.S. SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF WYOMING

Senator BARRASSO. Good morning. I call this business meeting to order.

Today we are going to consider one bill, one nomination, and 19 General Services Administration resolutions.

Senator Carper and I have agreed that we will begin voting at 9:45. At that time, I will call up the items on the agenda. We will not debate the items on the agenda while we are voting. Instead, we will debate the items on the agenda before we begin voting. We will also be happy to recognize any member who still wishes to speak after the voting concludes.

Today, we will consider S. 3051, America’s Conservation Enhancement Act, or the ACE Act. I introduced the ACE Act, along with Senator Carper, and then Senators Cramer, Cardin, Capito, and Van Hollen have all joined as cosponsors. I thank them for working with me to craft this important piece of legislation.

The ACE Act is a comprehensive, bipartisan, wildlife conservation bill. It protects both wildlife and wildlife habitat, while protecting livestock from predatory species. It addresses threats to communities and native wildlife from invasive species, as well as from emerging disease.

More specifically, the bill reauthorizes the important environmental programs, including the North American Wetlands Conservation Act, the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation Act, and the Chesapeake Bay Program. The ACE Act also enshrines in statute partnerships among public agencies and other interested parties to promote fish conservation.

The ACE Act addresses the terrible, degenerative, highly contagious brain disease known as chronic wasting disease. We have heard in several hearings how chronic wasting disease impacts wildlife, including degeneration of the brain, loss of bodily control,
and untimely death. Detected nearly 40 years ago, chronic wasting disease has spread to 26 States and 4 Canadian provinces.

The ACE Act establishes a Chronic Wasting Disease Task Force at the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. This task force will bring States, relevant Federal agencies, scientists, managers, and farmers to the table. Together, they can better coordinate prevention and control efforts and target future research to address unanswered questions.

The ACE Act helps livestock producers better manage and cope with the interactions between livestock and wildlife. It authorizes funds for livestock producers to carry out non-lethal activities that reduce livestock loss due to predation by federally protected species. It also compensates ranchers and farmers for livestock lost due to predation.

The ACE Act also authorizes the Director of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to issue permits to livestock producers that will help prevent black vultures and ravens from killing newborn calves and lambs.

The ACE Act also includes studies that will help them form how to improve successful conservation and recovery of endangered and threatened species.

The bill supports recreational hunting and sport fishing through the wildlife conservation dollars that these activities generate by authorizing financial and technical assistance under the Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Fund to States for the promotion of hunting and recreational shooting.

Together, these provisions will make America's wildlife healthier and more abundant, while allowing rural communities to thrive at the same time. I encourage all my colleagues to support it.

Today, we will also consider the nomination of Robert Feitel to be the Inspector General of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. The Subcommittee on Clean Air and Nuclear Safety held a hearing on Mr. Feitel's nomination earlier this month.

I would like to thank the Chairman of the Subcommittee, Senator Braun, for holding the hearing and assisting the full Committee in considering Mr. Feitel's nomination. Mr. Feitel is well qualified, and will bring a wealth of experience and expertise to this important position.

Last, we will consider 19 resolutions to approve prospectuses providing for General Services Administration leases.

I urge my colleagues to support passage of the bill, the nominee, and the resolutions we are considering today.

In a few minutes, we will hear from the Ranking Member, as well as Senator Cardin. While we are waiting, I will submit for the record a number of letters of stakeholder support.

The Committee has received statements in support of America's Conservation Enhancement Act, or the ACE Act, from stakeholders, including the National Wildlife Federation, the Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies, Trout Unlimited, Congressional Sportsmen Foundation, the Northeast Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies, the American Sportfishing Association, the Wyoming Game and Fish Department, the Wyoming Stock Growers Association.
Without objection, I ask unanimous consent to submit these letters for the record.
And it is so done.
[The referenced information follows:]
January 7, 2020

The Honorable John Barrasso  
Chairman  
Committee on Environment & Public Works  
United States Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Thomas Carper  
Ranking Member  
Committee on Environment & Public Works  
United States Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Chairman Barrasso and Ranking Member Carper:

The undersigned organizations, representing America’s hunters, anglers, related businesses, wildlife professionals, and other conservationists, write to express thanks for your leadership in the introduction and prompt committee consideration of S. 3051, America’s Conservation Enhancement Act.

S. 3051 includes an array of priority conservation provisions and its strongly bipartisan passage by the Environment and Public Works Committee represents an important step forward in addressing growing challenges to species and habitat health. Specifically, these include:

- Reauthorization of the North American Wetlands Conservation Act (NAWCA) at $60M annually through Fiscal Year (FY) 2025. Leveraging private matches to federal funds at a rate of 3:1, NAWCA has improved over 30 million acres of wetlands since its enactment, making it one of our nation’s most effective voluntary conservation programs.
- Establishment of a U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service-led task force to address the spread of Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD). CWD has thus far been detected in 26 states. Ensuring that states have a coordinated plan to research, surveil and manage the spread of the disease is critical to containment.
- Codification of the National Fish Habitat Partnership (NFHP). Since 2006, the NFHP has overseen over 840 projects to benefit fish habitat and populations. Codifying the program will serve to ensure future funding for the NFHP.
- Modernization of the Pittman-Robertson (PR) Fund. S. 3051 would amend the Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Act to allow states greater flexibility in the use of funds to recruit and retain sportsmen and women. The American model of conservation is dependent upon a strong population of hunters and anglers. It is thereby critical that states are afforded the ability to utilize trust fund dollars to recruit, reactivate, and retain hunters.
- Reauthorization of the Chesapeake Bay Program at $90M through FY2025, as well as authorization and funding to carry out recommendations put forth in the Chesapeake Clean Water Blueprint.
- Authorization and $15M in annual funding to support coordinated research and monitoring of binational fisheries within the Great Lakes Basin through FY2025.
Passage of America’s Conservation Enhancement Act will not only have wide-ranging ecological impacts but will facilitate outdoor recreation on behalf of millions of Americans, strengthening conservation funding streams for years to come. We look forward to working with you to bring this important legislation to passage in the coming months.

Thank you for your continued commitment to conservation.

Sincerely,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>American Fisheries Society</th>
<th>Safari Club International</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Sportfishing Association</td>
<td>The Wildlife Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Woodcock Society</td>
<td>Theodore Roosevelt Conservation Partnership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archery Trade Association</td>
<td>Trout Unlimited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies</td>
<td>Whietails Unlimited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backcountry Hunters and Anglers</td>
<td>Wildlife Forever</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Waterfowl Association</td>
<td>Wildlife Mississippi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Campfire Club of America | }
| Congressional Sportsmen’s Foundation | }
| The Conservation Fund | }
| Dallas Safari Club | }
| Delta Waterfowl Foundation | }
| Ducks Unlimited | }
| Fly Fishers International | }
| Guy Harvey Ocean Foundation | }
| Houston Safari Club | }
| Izaak Walton League of America | }
| Masters of Foxhounds Foundation | }
| National Bobwhite Conservation Initiative | }
| National Deer Alliance | }
| National Marine Manufacturers Association | }
| National Wildlife Federation | }
| National Wild Turkey Federation | }
| North American Falconers Association | }
| North American Grouse Partnership | }
| Orion: The Hunter’s Institute | }
| Pheasants Forever | }
| Pope & Young Club | }
| Professional Outfitters and Guides of America | }
| Public Lands Foundation | }
| Quail Forever | }
| Quality Deer Management Association | }
| Ruffed Grouse Society | }
January 7, 2020

The Honorable John Barrasso
Chairman
Committee on Environment & Public Works
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Thomas Carper
Ranking Member
Committee on Environment & Public Works
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Chairman Barrasso and Ranking Member Carper:

The Boone and Crockett Club, Congressional Sportsmen’s Foundation, Mule Deer Foundation, Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation, Safari Club International, and Wild Sheep Foundation represent sportsmen and other conservationists who write to express our enthusiasm and gratitude for the introduction and swift Committee consideration of S. 3051, the America’s Conservation Enhancement Act.

We are grateful for the strong bipartisan support on the Environment and Public Works Committee and will assist in recommending the same bipartisanship for Senate passage.

The ACE Act provides important benefits to conservation including continuation of key programs and new ideas and funding for present conservation challenges. We thank you particularly for provisions of direct interest to us:

- Establishment of a U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service-led task force to address the spread of Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD). This disease is a persistent and growing threat to one of the greatest species conservation achievements in the world. Deer, elk, and moose were restored during the last century by the concerted efforts of sportsmen and state wildlife agencies. We are working closely together now to protect these species from the growing losses to CWD, which has been detected in 26 states and continues to spread. We need the coordinated help of the Departments of Interior and Agriculture to join the effort for a complete approach toward solving it.

- Establishment of a grant program to compensate livestock producers. The cost of non-lethal preventative measures against losses due to predation by wolves and grizzly bears, and the cost of losses that occur, threaten the margins that keep producers in business. Federal assistance with these costs is good policy. It promotes partnerships in conflict resolution and pairs precaution with indemnity.

Thank you for leading the development and reporting to the Senate of this bill to advance conservation. Sportsmen will help rally all conservationists to support this wide-ranging package. We look forward to working with and assisting you to pass the America’s Conservation Enhancement Act.

Sincerely,

Boone and Crockett Club * Congressional Sportsmen’s Foundation * Mule Deer Foundation * Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation * Safari Club International * Wild Sheep Foundation
January 9, 2020

The Honorable John Barrasso, Chairman
The Honorable Tom Carper, Ranking Member
United States Senate
Committee on Environment and Public Works
410 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Chairman Barrasso and Ranking Member Carper:

On behalf of the Family Farm Alliance (Alliance), we write to express our support for S. 3051, “America’s Conservation Enhancement Act” (“ACE Act”). The ACE Act is a comprehensive, bipartisan wildlife conservation bill. The legislation is broadly intended to protect both wildlife and wildlife habitat, while protecting livestock from predatory species.

The Alliance is a grassroots organization of family farmers, ranchers, irrigation districts and allied industries in 16 Western states. The Alliance is focused on one mission. To ensure the availability of reliable, affordable irrigation water supplies to Western farmers and ranchers. The Alliance is also on record for consistently supporting collaborative, coordinated and incentive-driven voluntary efforts to implement species conservation programs. Our members also have strong concerns regarding the need to properly manage invasive species with priority.

Several titles of the ACE Act address issues that are outside the scope of our organization’s mission. Therefore, we will not address those components of the legislation in this letter.

We do support Section 401 of this legislation, which outlines the “Sense of the Senate” regarding conservation agreements and activities:

1. Voluntary conservation agreements benefit species and the habitats on which the species rely;
2. States, Indian Tribes, units of local government, landowners, and other stakeholders should be encouraged to participate in voluntary conservation agreements; and
3. The United States Fish and Wildlife Service the National Marine Fisheries Service should consider the enrollment in, and performance of, conservation agreements and investment in, and implementation of, general conservation activities by States, Indian Tribes, units of local government, landowners, and other stakeholders in making determinations under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973.
Sections 402 and 403 of the ACE Act take further positive steps towards improving implementation of the ESA by authorizing studies that will help inform how to improve successful conservation and recovery of endangered and threatened species.

**Protection of Water and Wildlife from Invasive Species**

Section 105 of the ACE Act includes important provisions intended to protect water and wildlife from invasive species. The Alliance for the past ten years has supported administrative and legislative actions and funding for biological controls, mitigation management, and elimination of invasive species, including, but not limited to, quagga mussels and striped bass. In places like California’s Bay-Delta, there is a critical need to reduce and remove invasive vegetation and predator fish species in the Delta that adversely affect water supply operations and the health of ecosystems. In other parts of California, predation of ESA-protected fish like salmon by non-native fish needs to be controlled.

In the Colorado River Basin, there is also an important need to balance invasive removal efforts with an emphasis on restoration of native plants and the river processes that sustain them. We are hopeful that Section 105 of the ACE Act will increase and coordinate the removal of invasive plants that negatively impact the natural water cycle and native plant and animal endangered species (examples are tamarisk/salt cedar, Russian Olive, Golden Algae, and other phreatophytes).

Section 105 authorizes $2.5 million to the Army Corps of Engineers and $2.5 million to the Secretary of the Interior for each of fiscal years 2021 through 2025 to implement Section 10 of the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, which protects water, oceans, coast and wildlife from invasive species. Section 105 further improves participation of stakeholders – including nongovernmental organizations and industry – in invasive species control efforts. We urge that this program provide opportunities for the Interior Department’s Bureau of Reclamation’s water and power customers to work in partnership with Reclamation in all aspects of program implementation.

**Conclusion**

This bill takes important steps towards improving successful conservation and recovery of endangered and threatened species in the Western United States, an issue that is a top priority with our membership. It also helps address the critical challenges Western water users face regarding invasive species management. We strongly support Sections 105, 401, 402 and 403 of the ACE Act, which are issues of great importance to our Western farmers, ranchers and water agencies.

I encourage you or your staff to contact Dan Keppen at (541)-892-6244 if you have any questions regarding this letter.

Sincerely,

Patrick O’Toole  
President

Dan Keppen  
Executive Director
January 10, 2020

The Honorable John Barrasso
Chairman, Committee on Environment
and Public Works
United States Senate
507 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Tom Carper
Ranking Member, Committee on Environment
and Public Works
United States Senate
513 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Chairman Barrasso and Ranking Member Carper,

State departments of agriculture appreciate your ongoing efforts to improve conservation outcomes across the country. Meeting the next generation of environmental challenges will require a robust federal-state partnership and innovative land management approaches. That is why NASDA is pleased to support America’s Conservation Enhancement (ACE) Act.

NASDA members and their counterparts in state wildlife agencies play a critical role in the delivery of conservation programs and initiatives. The ACE Act recognizes the unique role of states and mandates their involvement in policy- and decision-making processes. It also draws on successful federal-state funding models, such as block grants, to address policy implementation challenges.

Turning to specific issues, the ACE Act advances the priorities of state departments of agriculture in four key areas: Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD) response; livestock predation issues; invasive pests management; and improvement of Endangered Species Act (ESA) effectiveness and efficiency.

First, CWD poses an immediate threat to wild deer populations and possibly domesticated Cervidae herds in the U.S. More research is needed about CWD transmission mechanisms and the potential impacts on agriculture and food production.1 The ACE Act authorizes a National Academy of Sciences study on the issue and creates a CWD Task Force charged with implementing a national action plan. NASDA supports the inclusion of clear timelines for the action plan and appreciates the emphasis on building clear accountability mechanisms into Task Force operations.

Second, the ACE Act enhances the ability of states to respond to livestock predation and support producers, particularly when dealing with federally-protected species. For example, enabling the

1 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Accessed on December 27, 2019 from
https://www.cdc.gov/animals/cwd/cwd-animals.html
permitted taking of black vultures and ravens during calving and lambing season will protect vulnerable livestock herds from catastrophic losses. Likewise, new state block grants will help compensate livestock producers for losses attributed to federally-protected species like gray wolves and grizzly bears.

Third, the ACE Act dedicates new federal resources to fighting invasive species in the U.S. According to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, invasive species cost the U.S. economy billions of dollars annually.\(^2\) Agricultural productivity, native fisheries, tourism, and outdoor recreation can all be jeopardized, with severe consequences for rural communities.

One successful model for a coordinated response to invasive species comes from the Western Weed Action Plan for the Sagebrush Biome.\(^3\) The initiative brought together a broad cross-section of stakeholders to address ecosystem degradation caused by cheat grass and other invasive vegetation on western rangeland.

Finally, the ACE Act provides additional momentum for ESA efforts. A new study will investigate barriers to effective ESA implementation and explain why many recovered species have not been downlisted. The study will provide fresh impetus to comprehensive ESA legislation, which will ultimately be needed to enact a substantive overhaul.

The ACE Act takes important steps towards enhancing land management and improving environmental outcomes in the U.S. Nonetheless, state and local involvement will continue to serve as a cornerstone of the conservation approach. NASDA looks forward to working with you and your staff to promote environmental stewardship and conserve America’s natural resources. Should you have any further questions or need assistance, please contact Max Moncaster (max.moncaster@nasda.org).

Sincerely,

Barbara P. Glenn, Ph.D.
Chief Executive Officer

---


January 14, 2020

The Honorable John Barrasso
Chairman
Committee on Environment & Public Works
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Thomas Carper
Ranking Member
Committee on Environment & Public Works
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Chairman Barrasso and Ranking Member Carper:

I am writing to thank you for your support and swift passage of S. 3051, America’s Conservation Enhancement Act. S. 3051 includes several of our conservation priorities and is an important advancement for wildlife policy.

At Pheasants Forever and Quail Forever we are especially supportive of Reauthorization of the North American Wetlands Conservation Act (NAWCA) at $60M annually through Fiscal Year (FY) 2025. NAWCA has improved over 30 million acres of wetlands and associated upland habitat. NAWCA funds have been used to support habitat projects and acquisitions that benefit pheasants and quail in many states while NAWCA staff and Pheasants Forever and Quail Forever have also had a successful partnership to achieve program implementation.

Thank you again for your leadership and if there is anything we can do to help advance identical legislation in the House please let us know.

Respectfully yours,

[Signature]

Howard K. Vincent
President and CEO
December 16, 2019

The Honorable John Barrasso, Chair
Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works
United States Senate
Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Thomas R. Carper, Ranking Member
Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works
United States Senate
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Chairman Barrasso, Ranking Member Carper, and members of the Committee:

Trout Unlimited writes to thank you for introducing S. 3051, America’s Conservation Enhancement Act, and we urge the Committee to advance it in tomorrow’s mark up. This bill includes valuable provisions to conserve fish and wildlife habitat that will sustain outstanding hunting and fishing opportunities across the country. We appreciate the strong leadership demonstrated by Senators Barrasso, Carper and the bill’s bipartisan co-sponsors, in advancing sportsmen’s conservation issues.

The bill includes the reauthorization of some of our nation’s most successful conservation programs such as the North American Wetlands Conservation Act, and the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation (NFWF). NFWF has been especially valuable to Trout Unlimited for many years, providing funding for some of the Nation’s best stream and river restoration projects. For example, in Wyoming, TU partnered with landowners and the State to implement projects throughout the Greybull River drainage, including culvert improvement and riparian restoration projects that restore and reconnect historical habitat for Yellowstone cutthroat trout.

The bill would also reauthorize the Chesapeake Bay Program. The Chesapeake is one of the Nation’s hunting and fishing gems. Damaged by nutrient pollution, the Bay is making a good comeback thanks to the Chesapeake Bay Program and the incredible partnership of state, federal, local, farming and conservation interests. In the Bay’s headwaters in West Virginia and Virginia, TU has successfully used Chesapeake Bay and NFWF funds to work with farmers to restore streams, thereby improving fishing in the mountains but also improving water quality in the Bay.

We are especially pleased that the bill includes the National Fish Habitat Conservation Through Partnerships Act, which would encourage fish habitat partnerships nationwide to work with landowners of all types to achieve broad scale fisheries benefits. Twenty partnerships are already underway, restoring fish Habitat from Alaska to Maine, and this bill will ensure that those partnerships will be sustained and enhanced.

[Trout Unlimited logo]

A mission to conserve, protect, & restore North America’s coldwater fisheries and their watersheds.
National Office: 1777 N Kent St., Suite 100, Arlington, VA 22209
T: (703) 284-9600  F: (703) 284-9609  toun@tu.org  www.tu.org
The linchpin to all of these programs is partnership. These programs bring together a broad range of partners to protect and restore thousands of miles and acres of habitat, leverage state, federal and non-profit funding sources as matching dollars, and to assist private landowners with conservation. Together, these habitat programs have amassed years of proven conservation and partnership successes, and this bill will make sure that these successes continue.

Again, we thank you for your leadership on the bill, and we urge members to advance S. 3051, America’s Conservation Enhancement Act.

Sincerely,

Steve Moyer
Vice President for Government Affairs
Trout Unlimited
December 19, 2019

Senator John Barrasso  
Chairman  
Senate EPW Committee  
Washington, DC

Senator Tom Carper  
Ranking Member  
Senate EPW Committee  
Washington, DC

RE: ACE Act

Dear Chairman Barrasso and Ranking Member Carper:

The Wyoming Stock Growers Association (WSGA) commends you for your efforts in introducing and passing out of your committee the “America’s Conservation Enhancement Act”. The inclusion of key provisions critical to sustaining the viability of the ranching industry demonstrates your recognition that ranching is a key component of conservation of our nation’s natural resources.

Predation by federally protected species on livestock, in particular young calves and lambs, has taken a major toll on our industry. The reintroduction of wolves into Wyoming and the vibrant recovery of grizzly bears have resulted in the loss of multi-generational ranching operations in Western Wyoming. While state-funded damage payment programs have enabled other ranching operations to survive, they are today inadequate to fully compensate for losses to these species. The granting of additional federal dollars provided for in the ACE Act will significantly assist livestock producers while sharing the burden that has unfairly been placed on our Wyoming Game & Fish Department and our sportsmen and women.

Across Wyoming predatory avian species, ranging from the fully recovered Bald and Golden Eagles to ravens have taken an annual toll on calves and lambs. The inclusion of these species in the grant program will provide a significant economic benefit to impacted ranchers.

For the past several years has worked with USDA, Wildlife Services, and the Wyoming Game & Fish in an attempt to strengthen the ability of both livestock producers and wildlife agencies to remove ravens that are causing significant impacts on both newly born livestock and wildlife including sage-grouse. The authorization provided for in Section 103 of the Ace Act will provide an important tool for livestock producers provided that the USFWS proceeds to develop a mechanism that allows for timely issuance of permits.

As has been made clear to you in the recent testimony of Wyoming Game & Fish Director Brian Nesvik, Chronic Wasting Disease is rapidly advancing in deer populations across Wyoming. This
disease, by affecting both the harvest and movement of wildlife populations, has an indirect impact on our livestock producers. We are, in general, proud of the habitat that our lands provide for healthy wildlife populations and commend you for bringing federal resources to addressing this issue.

Overall, the ACE Act addresses key issues in wildlife management while recognizing and responding to the interrelationships and, at time, conflicts that exist between certain wildlife species and our ranching operations. We hope that your Senate colleagues will be receptive to moving this legislation forward in a timely manner.

Sincerely,

Jim Magagna
Executive Vice President
December 16, 2019

The Honorable John Barrasso
Chairman
Senate Environment and Public Works Committee
405 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Tom Carper
Ranking Member
Senate Environment and Public Works Committee
513 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Chairman Barrasso and Ranking Member Carper,

On behalf of the nation’s recreational fishing industry, the American Sportfishing Association (ASA) thanks you for introducing S. 3051, America’s Conservation Enhancement Act, and urges swift consideration and passage of this bill through the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee.

The American Sportfishing Association is the sportfishing industry’s trade association, committed to representing the interests of the entire sportfishing community. We represent manufacturers, wholesalers and retailers of fishing equipment and accessories, as well as outdoor media, fishing organizations and agencies. We give the industry a unified voice, speaking out when emerging laws and policies could significantly affect sportfishing business or sportfishing itself. The nation’s 49 million recreational fishermen have a $125 billion a year economic impact and support over 800,000 jobs.

We are extremely appreciative of the hard work of you and your staff in putting together this bipartisan package of bills that will support natural resource conservation and benefit recreational fishing opportunities throughout the country.

Provisions within S. 3051 of particular importance to ASA include:

- **Title III, the National Fish Habitat Conservation Through Partnerships Act:** This title is near-identical to a bill introduced earlier this year by Sens. Crapo & Carlin (S. 754), and language that passed the House last week as part of H.R. 729. Supported by the state fish & wildlife agencies and dozens of fisheries organizations, it authorizes a highly successful USFWS program that has helped conduct hundreds of fish habitat restoration projects throughout the country, all for the benefit of fisheries conservation and sportfishing opportunities. The National Fish Habitat Conservation Through Partnerships Act would provide long-overdue Congressional authorization, making several improvements to the structure and function of the program.

- **Sec. 108, Modification of definition of sport fishing equipment under Toxic Substances Control Act:** This section would follow through on several years of similar, bipartisan language in the appropriations bills, providing fishing tackle with a similar exemption as currently exists in statute for ammunition. Periodically, the EPA is petitioned to ban fishing equipment containing lead, despite having no scientific basis. Thankfully the Obama Administration rejected the most recent petition in 2010, but the sportfishing industry and the nation’s 49 million anglers are seeking certainty against future unwarranted petitions.
• **Secs. 109-111. Chesapeake Bay conservation:** These three sections collectively authorize or reauthorize important programs related to the health and restoration of the Chesapeake Bay, which is not only critical to the recreational fishing community around the Bay itself, but also to the entire Mid-Atlantic region, as the Bay is an important nursery for migratory fish like striped bass.

• **Sec. 112. Great Lakes monitoring, assessment, science, and research:** The USGS conducts biological assessments that serve as the foundation for fishery management decisions made by federal, state, and tribal agencies. The USGS's work is essential but, because of a governance nuance for freshwater, the function has operated without an explicit authorization. This section, which is modeled after the Great Lakes Fishery Research Authorization Act (S. 446), will help ensure uninterrupted delivery of basinwide biological assessments and monitoring, support the deployment of new technologies for better fishery management, and improve the multi-billion Great Lakes fishery.

This legislative package contains many other bills that will support our nation's natural resources and sportsmen's access. Thank you again for your continued leadership to improve federal management and conservation of the nation's public lands and waters.

Sincerely,

Mike Leonard
Vice President, Government Affairs
January 3, 2020

The Honorable John Barrasso, Chairman
The Honorable Tom Carper, Ranking Member
Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works

Dear Chairman Barrasso and Ranking Member Carper:

On behalf of the 750,000 members of Ducks Unlimited, we proudly support S. 3051, America’s Conservation Enhancement Act, introduced by Chairman Barrasso and Ranking Member Carper. This important wildlife package includes reauthorization of the North American Wetlands Conservation Act (NAWCA), the Chesapeake Watershed Investments for Landscape Defense (Chesapeake WILD) Act, the Pittman-Robertson Modernization Act, and reauthorization of the Chesapeake Bay Program, among other provisions. Measures like these support the conservation of wetlands and waterfowl habitat for landowners, farmers, hunters, anglers and all kinds of outdoor enthusiasts across the country for years to come.

NAWCA is a voluntary matching grant program that leverages non-federal and federal funds for wetland restoration. Since enactment in 1989, NAWCA has conserved more than 30 million acres and created an average of 7,500 new jobs annually. Every dollar spent by the federal government, on average, receives a $3 match from program partners like Ducks Unlimited. NAWCA is the nation’s most successful wetlands conservation program. The ACE Act reauthorizes NAWCA at $60 million a year until 2024.

The Chesapeake Bay is the largest estuary in the United States and, historically, one of the most productive bodies of water in the world. The Chesapeake Bay program is a unique regional partnership, managed by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), whose mission is to reverse the degradation of the bay and restore its watershed and wildlife. The ACE Act reauthorizes appropriations for the Chesapeake Bay Program at $90 million through 2025.

The Chesapeake WILD Act will create a grant program within the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) to support habitat restoration in the Chesapeake Bay region. In addition, the Secretary of Interior must work with federal, state and local agencies and organizations to identify, prioritize and implement restoration activities within the watershed.

The Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Act directs a federal excise tax on firearms and ammunition purchased by sportsmen and outdoor enthusiasts toward a Wildlife Restoration Trust Fund to aid the efforts of state entities to manage habitat and conserve wildlife populations. The Pittman-Robertson Modernization Act will provide state agencies with greater flexibility to manage and distribute resources allocated through the fund and enable the use of resources to recruit and educate the next generation of hunters and outdoorsmen.
Again, Ducks Unlimited wants to express our full support for this package and these important pieces of legislation that will create wildlife habitat and help secure the future of America’s outdoor and hunting heritage.

Ducks Unlimited Inc. is the world’s largest nonprofit organization dedicated to conserving North America’s continually disappearing waterfowl habitats. Established in 1937, Ducks Unlimited has conserved more than 14.5 million acres thanks to contributions from more than a million supporters across the continent. Guided by science and dedicated to program efficiency, DU works toward the vision of wetlands sufficient to fill the skies with waterfowl today, tomorrow and forever.

Thank you in advance for your efforts on behalf of our nation’s critical wetlands and waterfowl resources. We look forward to continuing to work with you on S. 3051 and all measures that conserve and restore our precious wetland and waterfowl habitats.

Sincerely,

Dan Winn
National Director of Government Affairs
Ducks Unlimited, Inc.
December 17, 2019

The Honorable John Barrasso
Chairman
Committee on Environment and Public Works
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Thomas R. Carper
Ranking Member
Committee on Environment and Public Works
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Chairman Barrasso and Ranking Member Carper,

On behalf of the National Audubon Society, its 1.6 million plus members, we write to urge your support of S. 3051, America’s Conservation Enhancement Act (ACE Act), that will be the subject of a December 17th, 2019 mark-up before the committee.

Our diverse array of birds, fish and other wildlife are in crisis with more than one-third of all species at risk of becoming endangered. Challenges facing our birds, fish and other wildlife include habitat loss, climate change, invasive species, and emerging diseases.

Specifically, birds need conservation action now more than ever. A recent study published in the journal Science paints a grave picture of population decline in the recent past, showing that North America has lost 3 billion birds since 1970. Audubon’s recent report, Surviving By Degrees: 389 Species on the Brink, provides us with a stark choice for our future. Audubon scientists found that two-thirds of North American birds are threatened with extinction due to climate impacts.

Therefore, we specifically support the following provisions included in the ACE Act that would benefit both the marine and coastal habitats for birds and other wildlife.

- **The North American Wetlands Conservation Act (Sec. 106)** would be reauthorized until 2025. This is a highly successful program that has significantly benefitted birds, other wildlife, and people for 30 years. It has funded nearly 3,000 projects that have positively improved or restored 30 million acres of wildlife habitat, and its 6,200 non-federal partners have contributed more than $3.5 billion to match $1.75 billion in grants making it a wise investment for our wetlands, birds and economic health of the regions where these wetlands are found.

- **Reauthorization of the Chesapeake Bay Program (Secs. 109-110) and Creation of the Chesapeake Watershed Investments for Landscape Defense (WILD) Program (Sec. 111)** would reauthorize the Chesapeake Bay Program and create a new grant program to support ecosystem preservation and restoration efforts to improve the health of the Bay, enhance Bay ecosystems, and enhance ecological and community resilience to changing watershed conditions. The Chesapeake Bay is the largest estuary in the U.S., which is home to critically important habitats
for birds and other species and commercial fisheries that contribute billions of dollars to the regional economy. However, the health and resiliency of the Bay is threatened by pollution, climate change, and other impacts. This legislation would not only improve regional efforts to restore and improve the health of the Bay, but it would also provide vital funding for important restoration and natural infrastructure projects that will benefit both birds and communities.

Again, Audubon’s members urge you to vote “YES” on S. 3051, America’s Conservation Enhancement Act (ACE Act) and we look forward to working with you on issues that protect birds and the places they need now and into the future.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Jesse Walls
Government Affairs Director
National Audubon Society
December 18, 2019

The Honorable John Barrasso
Chair, Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works
410 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Tom Carper
Ranking Member, Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works
513 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Chairman Barrasso and Ranking Member Carper:

On behalf of the Association of Fish & Wildlife Agencies (Association), I am writing to confirm our strong support for the America’s Conservation Enhancement Act (S. 3051). Since 1982, the Association has represented the collective interests of the state fish and wildlife agencies, and our membership includes eleven Canadian Provinces. We have a strong interest in federal legislation, policies, and programs that affect state fish and wildlife management and collaboration with federal and provincial agencies and nongovernmental conservation partners.

The Association applauds and thanks you along with members of the Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works for advancing the America’s Conservation Enhancement Act (S. 3051). This bill contains pieces of legislation that are vitally important to our state fish and wildlife agency members, our conservation partners, and for sustaining our collaborative approach to fish and wildlife conservation throughout the country. The Association strongly supports S. 3051 and is pleased to see included therein the Modernizing the Pittman-Robertson Fund for Tomorrow’s Needs Act, the National Fish Habitat Conservation Through Partnerships Act, the North American Wetlands Conservation Act and other highly beneficial provisions.

Further, thank you for placing a priority on Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD), and we look forward to implementing the provisions of the bill that provides for a CWD Task Force to advance our understanding and management of this challenging and complex disease.

We urge members of the Committee and the Senate to expedite this bill through the legislative process. The Association will do everything within our ability to support your efforts to enact this crucial package of legislation as soon as possible this Congress.

Sincerely,

Kelly Hepler
President

ASSOCIATION OF FISH & WILDLIFE AGENCIES
www.fishwildlife.org
December 23, 2019

The Honorable John Barrasso, MD
Chairman
Committee on Environment and Public Works
United States Senate
410 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Thomas R. Carper
Ranking Member
Committee on Environment and Public Works
United States Senate
410 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Leader McConnell, Leader Schumer, Chairman Barrasso, and Ranking Member Carper:

The Public Lands Council (PLC), National Cattlemen’s Beef Association (NCBA), and American Sheep Industry Association (ASI) support S. 3051, the America’s Conservation Enhancement (ACE) Act. PLC is the only national organization dedicated solely to representing the roughly 22,000 ranchers who hold federal grazing permits and operate on federal lands. NCBA is the beef industry’s largest and oldest national marketing and trade association, representing American cattlemen and women who provide much of the nation’s supply of food and own or manage a large portion of America’s private property. ASI is a federation of forty-five state sheep associations representing a diverse industry since 1865 and has been the national trade organization representing the interests of the 100,000 sheep ranchers located throughout the country who produce America’s lamb and wool.

This legislation provides an array of benefits, not only to wildlife and the land but to the ranching families that work daily to conserve habitat, preserve open spaces, and maintain the land for future generations. Section 102 bolsters programs for livestock producers to be properly compensated for losses from federally protected species. Predators, both avian and mammalian, which are protected under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act, Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA), or Endangered Species Act inflict significant financial hardship on ranching families when they prey upon livestock herds. While the most financially viable solution for these small businesses is to avoid predation altogether, adequate compensation should be afforded to producers when losses are incurred. Authorizing $15 million in grants to existing indemnity and conflict-prevention programs is a significant step in the right direction.

The bill also allows for increased flexibility in the MBTA take permitting process. Black vultures (Coragyps atratus) and common ravens (Corvus corax) pose a particular threat during calving and lambing season. Oftentimes these avian predators will attack calves and lambs during parturition, killing the animal before the birthing process is complete. This represents a significant financial loss to the bottom line of ranching operations. By allowing greater flexibility in take permitting, livestock producers will be better able to protect their families’ livelihoods from these airborne predators.

Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathy, more commonly known as Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD), is very concerning to ranchers—particularly those who operate on federal grazing allotments. This legislation represents a major step forward in addressing the issue of CWD in wild Cervidae nationwide. The proposed task force’s leadership will be instrumental in determining the appropriate national response to stop the spread of CWD; ensure the pathology of this disease is adequately studied and understood, and share ideas between a broad array of wildlife management agencies.

PLC, NCBA, and ASI appreciate the opportunity to provide our input on behalf of our members – the nation’s food and fiber producers. We urge passage of S. 3051, America’s Conservation Enhancement Act.

Sincerely,

The Honorable John Barrasso  
Chair, Committee on Environment and  
Public Works  
United States Senate  
410 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510

December 16, 2019

Dear Chairman Barrasso and Ranking Member Corper,

On behalf of the National Wildlife Federation and our more than six million members, I am writing to express our support for S. 3051—America’s Conservation Enhancement Act. This package of legislation is good for America’s wildlife and water. It reauthorizes and invests in programs that have been beneficial to conservation for decades, while also looking to the future to address the ever-increasing challenges facing our nation’s fish and wildlife.

Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD) is a threat to deer, elk and moose, and to hunting and conservation in North America as we currently know it. We are glad the Committee is taking this threat seriously by standing up a CWD Task Force within the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and establishing a framework for intensive action. We hope additional funding can be authorized and appropriated to support state and tribal responses to CWD.

Modernizing the Pittman-Robertson Fund makes a much needed investment in the recruitment and diversification of the next generation of hunters and conservationists. Since 1937, more than $18 billion has been distributed to state fish and wildlife agencies from taxes on hunting gear, but this conservation funding mechanism will be in jeopardy if the number of Americans that participate in hunting continues to decline.

The National Fish Habitat Conservation Through Partnerships Act will conserve fish and their habitat through partnerships, and improve fishing, recreation, and communities from the Atlantic coast and Appalachian across the Great Lakes and Great Plains to the Pacific Coast and Hawaii.

The North American Wetlands Conservation Act (NAWCA) has been extremely effective in leveraging non-federal funds to protect, restore, and manage wetland habitat for migratory birds and other wildlife and deserves to be reauthorized.
NWF is also pleased to see coexistence with wildlife addressed in this package. By incentivizing new and innovative ways to reduce conflicts between people and wildlife, including predators, Congress can help maintain balance and ensure wildlife populations remain healthy across America.

NWF applauds the inclusion of Chesapeake Bay provisions to reauthorize wildlife and habitat conservation programs essential to maintaining and restoring clean water and a robust outdoor economy throughout the watershed. The package authorizes $90 million annually for the multistate Chesapeake Bay Program, which coordinates Chesapeake Bay watershed restoration and protection efforts. This is the first increase in funding for the Chesapeake in six years and will help focus state and local efforts to achieve on-the-ground restoration, moving the region toward its clean-up goals in 2025. The bill also authorizes $15 million annually for a new Chesapeake Watershed Investments for Landscape Defense program, which will give the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) a direct role in the restoration and protection of living resources and their habitat in the Chesapeake Bay region. This will allow USFWS to more fully engage in habitat restoration activities, assisting local partners with on-the-ground work to enhance progress toward Bay watershed goals, including wetland restoration and protecting fish and wildlife habitat. The package also reauthorizes the Chesapeake Bay Gateways and Watertrails Network Program, which supports outdoor recreation and public access throughout the watershed.

The Endangered Species Act is a critical but chronically underfunded conservation tool. We are optimistic the proposed reports on the ESA will identify funding gaps, such as the recovery of endangered species, and lead to increased funding and improved conservation strategies to more effectively implement this bedrock wildlife law.

This legislative package encompasses a wide range of issues important to the National Wildlife Federation. We encourage the Committee to support S. 3051.

Please feel free to reach out with any questions.

Thanks,
Mike Leahy

[Signature]

Mike Leahy
Director of Wildlife, Hunting & Fishing Policy
National Wildlife Federation.
December 16, 2019

The Honorable John Barrasso
Chair, U.S. Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works
410 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Tom Carper
Ranking Member
Senate Environment and Public Works Committee
513 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Barrasso and Ranking Member Carper:

On behalf of the Northeast Association of Fish & Wildlife Agencies, Inc., I am writing to confirm our strong support for the America’s Conservation Enhancement Act (S. 3051). This legislation is crucial to enhancing conservation programs throughout the United States.

The Northeast Association of Fish & Wildlife Agencies, Inc. (NEAFWA) is a quasi-governmental organization representing the interests of state fish and wildlife agencies from Virginia to Maine, along with six eastern Canadian Provinces. As such, we have a strong interest in federal legislation, policies, and programs that have an impact on state fish and wildlife management.

I wish to express gratitude to the sponsors of this bipartisan legislation, along with members of the U.S. Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works, for advancing this critical bill. NEAFWA is pleased with the underlying premise that conservation is best accomplished through partnerships of federal and state agencies, along with nongovernmental organizations that share our commitment for the enhancement of natural resources, and the enjoyment of those resources by the American public.

Among other key provisions of S. 3051, we are pleased to see the priority the Committee has placed on Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD). We look forward to implementing the provisions of
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the bill that provides for a CWD Task Force to advance our understanding and management of this disease.

We also applaud the inclusion of several other key initiatives within America’s Conservation Enhancement Act, including the Modernizing the Pittman-Robertson Fund for Tomorrow’s Act, the National Fish Habitat Conservation Through Partnerships Act, and the North American Wetlands Conservation Act. These are high priorities for state fish and wildlife agencies, and for our diverse conservation partners.

Finally, we appreciate and support the important provisions that advance the restoration and health of the Chesapeake Bay. The bay is an important nursery for numerous fish species and is a critical economic driver in the entire Mid-Atlantic for the recreational fishing community.

We look forward to a positive consideration of this legislation both in the Committee and the full Senate. I assure you that NEAFWA will do everything within our ability to support the Committee and other elected leaders as this crucial legislation moves forward.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Johannsen
President
Northeast Association of Fish & Wildlife Agencies, Inc.

C: Ron Regan, Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies
Date: 16 December 2019

To: United States Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works

RE: Committee Business Meeting on S. 3051

From: The Congressional Sportsmen’s Foundation
Jeffrey S. Crane
President

Dear Chairman Barrasso, Ranking Member Carper, and Members of the Committee:

The Congressional Sportsmen’s Foundation (CSF) would like to express strong support for S. 3051, the America’s Conservation Enhancement Act, and for the Committee’s swift efforts to hold a markup on this legislation shortly after introduction. CSF would also like to express gratitude for the Committee’s continued commitment to America’s sportsmen and women.

Established in 1989, CSF works with the Congressional Sportsmen’s Caucus (CSC), the largest, most active bipartisan caucus on Capitol Hill. With approximately 250 Members of Congress from both the House and Senate, current Senate CSC Co-Chairs are Senators Deb Fischer (NE) and Martin Heinrich (NM) and Vice-Chairs are Senators John Boozman (AR) and Joe Manchin (WV).

S. 3051 includes many strong provisions that will conserve fish and wildlife habitat, help combat wildlife disease, and increase public access for hunting, fishing, and other outdoor dependent recreation. While CSF strongly supports S. 3051 in its entirety, we would like to draw particular attention to a number of longstanding priorities for the Congressional Sportsmen’s Foundation, including:

**Title I – Wildlife Enhancement, Disease, and Predation**

**Sec. 104: Chronic Wasting Disease Task Force**

Section 104 of S. 3051 establishes a task force within the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) to combat Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD).

CWD is a progressive, degenerative, and always-fatal neurological disease affecting both farmed and free-ranging cervids. Since first identified in 1967, CWD has been detected in wild and/or farmed cervid populations in 26 states and several Canadian provinces, and has recently been detected in areas and facilities that had previously been CWD-free. Because of the lengthy incubation period of the disease, infected deer, elk, and moose may not present visible symptoms...
until years after the time of infection. Even as visible symptoms, such as weight loss, stumbling and tremors, become observable, the commonality of these symptoms among cervid maladies, such as epizootic hemorrhagic disease (EHD), prevents CWD from being readily diagnosed. As it currently stands, there is no way to conclusively identify the presence of CWD within an animal until a necropsy has been performed. With no known treatment or vaccine available, CWD continues to prove fatal in all documented cases.

Therefore, the number one objective in the management of CWD must be to prevent its spread into areas that are presently CWD-free. However, a lack of reliable information about the disease and its transmission pathways presents this objective as an arduous task.

Specifically, the task force proposed in Section 104 will consist of a representative from the Service, the U.S. Geological Service, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, and representatives from state agencies that are currently tasked with combating CWD in both farmed and free-ranging cervids. The task force will be required to submit a study to the Secretaries of Agriculture and the Interior that will help provide a better understanding of CWD. The report will include information regarding the pathways and mechanisms of CWD transmission, infection rates for each identified pathway and transportation mechanism, the frequency of transmission of each pathway and mechanism, among others, all of which seek to address this disease.

Section 104 is an innovative, non-controversial provision that could pay dividends in terms of informing strategies to effectively manage CWD and providing a better understanding of the disease.

Sec. 106: North American Wetlands Conservation Act

Section 106 of S. 3051, the North American Wetlands Conservation Act (NAWCA), is an important program that would authorize funding to ensure the conservation of critical wetland habitat. Sec. 106 authorizes $60 million for each fiscal year from 2021-2025 to conduct on-the-ground, long lasting wetland conservation projects. Originally passed in 1989, NAWCA helps support the conservation efforts of the North American Waterfowl Management Plan by providing financial resources to carry out wetland conservation projects in the United States, Canada, and Mexico. Now in its 30th year, NAWCA has completed more than 2,833 on-the-ground conservation projects while conserving more than 29.8 million acres in all 50 states, Canada, and Mexico.

NAWCA requires that for every federal dollar contributed to the program, a non-federal source must equally match the federal contribution. However, the program is often matched at a rate of $3 of non-federal money for every $1 of federal money, a sign that conservation groups, including sportsmen and women, are willing to have skin in the game. In the last 30 years, NAWCA has received over $1.6 billion in federal funds while being leveraged with more than $4.68 billion in non-federal funds.

NAWCA was originally enacted in 1989, in part, to support the North American Waterfowl Management Plan, signed in 1986 by the United States and Canada, and in 1994 by Mexico. This
plan serves as the blueprint for wetland conservation across North America. Prior to distribution, the funds provided through NAWCA must be approved by the Migratory Bird Conservation Commission, which is currently Chaired by Secretary of the Interior David Bernhardt, and made up of CSC Vice-Chairs Senators John Boozman (AR) and Martin Heinrich (NM), CSC Members Representatives Rob Wittman (VA) and Mike Thompson (CA) as well as the Secretary of Agriculture Sonny Perdue and Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Andrew Wheeler.

A recent publication on September 19, 2019 in the Journal Science reported the United States and Canada have lost nearly 3 billion birds, or 29 percent of the entire population since 1970. However in the same time, waterfowl species, which rely on wetlands for their habitat needs, have increased by 56 percent, a sign that wetland programs such as NAWCA are some of the most effective conservation programs our nation’s fish and wildlife managers have in their toolboxes. Additionally, passage of this authorization as part of S. 3051 will help improve flood mitigation in addition to air and water quality as wetlands serve as a critical tool to filter toxins coming off the land and air.

**Sec. 108: Modification of Definition of Sport Fishing Equipment under Toxic Substances Control Act**

Section 108 would amend the Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA) to clarify that an existing exemption from TSCA regulation for sport fishing equipment as defined in the IRS Code, would be made permanent. Congress has already approved permanent protections to traditional ammunition, so this title would create a similar exemption for articles of fishing tackle subject to Wallot-Breaux and Dingell-Johnson excise taxes.

Anti-hunting and fishing interests have petitioned the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to force the agency to expand its TSCA authority in order to regulate traditional ammunition and recreational fishing tackle. These organizations assert that this is necessary to address significant impacts to wildlife populations that are resulting nationwide from the use of traditional tackle and ammunition. These exaggerations are little more than misleading scare tactics with no credible supporting science. Our state natural resource professionals already have the necessary tools to address and mitigate any localized issues that might arise without the unwarranted involvement of the EPA and TSCA.

Moreover, EPA’s exercise of TSCA authority over recreational fishing tackle would likely result in significant increases in the price of tackle for sportsmen and women due to the exponentially higher raw materials and manufacturing costs associated with using alternative metals. Not only would this result in the loss of anglers, but it would also have detrimental impacts on the fishing tackle industry and would ultimately reduce the excise taxes sport fishing manufacturers pay on their products as a means of funding habitat conservation and boating safety throughout the country. In fact, much of our country’s fish and wildlife habitat exists solely as the result of these contributions.
Section 108 would amend TSCA in a manner that serves to protect and enhance our fishing heritage while concurrently facilitating the important benefits that the recreational fishing industries contribute to the betterment of our nation’s economy and treasured natural resources.

**Title II – Modernizing the Pittman-Robertson Fund for Tomorrow’s Needs**

This provision will clarify that one of the purposes of the Pittman-Robertson Fund is to provide and extend financial and technical assistance to the states for hunter and recreational shooter recruitment efforts in order to ensure the future of America’s conservationists and next generation of sportsmen and women.

The Pittman-Robertson Act directs existing excise taxes on firearms, ammunition, and archery equipment to state wildlife agencies for wildlife management, species and habitat conservation, scientific research, population monitoring, hunter education and hunting and target shooting access. Through these excise taxes and associated purchases of hunting licenses, archery enthusiasts, hunters, and recreational shooters are the nation’s primary funders of wildlife conservation. Unfortunately, this “user-pays, public-benefits” system of conservation funding that benefits all citizens is in jeopardy.

In 1978, 16.2 million of the nation’s 222 million citizens purchased a hunting license, representing 7% of the U.S. population. Now, there are over 328 million people in the United States, 13.3 million of which are certified hunting license holders, or less than 4% of the nation’s population. This steady decline in participation over the past four decades threatens not only America’s hunting heritage, but also represents a fundamental challenge to maintaining funding streams that are vital to state-based wildlife conservation.

To confront this challenge, state wildlife agencies need added flexibility to use Pittman-Robertson funds to develop and implement strategies to recruit, retain, and reactivate (R3) the nation’s next generation of hunters and target shooters, the primary objective of Title II. Doing so will ensure that America’s sportsmen and women continue to serve as a crucial source of funding for wildlife conservation that benefits citizens in every state.

It is also worth noting that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service’s Sport Fish Restoration program (Dingell-Johnson/Wallop-Breaux) already allows state agencies to use their apportioned funds for recruitment, education, and outreach of fishing and boating programs to the general public thanks to previous Congressional authorization. This legislation will provide parity for state agencies to use Pittman-Robertson Funds for R3-related activities as they are already doing on the fishing and boating side with Dingell-Johnson/Wallop-Breaux funds. This legislation does not mandate a state agency use their funds for R3 efforts, but simply provides them the flexibility to do so as they judiciously see fit.

**Title III – National Fish Habitat Conservation through Partnerships**

Title III of S. 3051 will statutorily authorize the National Fish Habitat Partnership (NFHP) concept, which was first developed in 2006 by the Sport Fishing and Boating Partnership Council to enhance public-private partnerships for fisheries conservation efforts. This title will
provide Congressional oversight of NFHP by authorizing the current level of $7.2 million in funding for this important conservation program.

Today, the NFHP has grown to 20 partnerships with numerous stakeholders, including federal, state, and local agencies; conservation and other sportsmen’s groups; private land and riparian owners; and businesses. Working together, the partnership’s mission is to implement the National Fish Habitat Action Plan, protect and restore fish populations and enhance recreational fishing opportunities. To date, more than 840 projects in 50 states have been completed, and non-federal dollars have been leveraged at a 2:1 ratio for every federal dollar spent.

It is important to note that this legislation does not seek to establish a new program, but rather ensure the existing program and partnerships remain state and locally driven through a bottom up, grassroots approach. Congressional authorization of NFHP through this legislation would codify the roles of involved parties and help secure an avenue for consistent funding for on-the-ground fish and aquatic habitat conservation in the states. Providing Congressional oversight over the financial resources will also help ensure long term, dedicated funding is provided to the Partnership.

Summary

In closing, S. 3051 is a common sense, non-controversial piece of legislation that would have a significant impact on the conservation of America’s fish and wildlife as well as our nation’s sportsmen and women. These provisions are good for the American economy, our treasured lands and waters, and our nation’s fish and wildlife.

CSF would like to thank Chairman Barrasso, Ranking Member Carper, and the members of the Committee for holding a markup on this critically important piece of legislation. We look forward to working with you to pass this package out of Committee, out of the Senate, and enacted into law. Thank you.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Jeffrey S. Crane
President
December 20, 2019

The Honorable John Barrasso
Chair, Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works
410 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Tom Carper
Ranking Member, Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works Committee
513 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Chairman Barrasso and Ranking Member Carper:

On behalf of the Southeastern Association of Fish & Wildlife Agencies (SEAFWA), I am writing to confirm our strong support for the America’s Conservation Enhancement Act (S. 3051) and are extremely pleased that it passed your committee by unanimous consent on December 17, 2019. SEAFWA is an organization whose members are the state agencies with primary responsibility for management and protection of the fish and wildlife resources in 15 states, Puerto Rico and the United States Virgin Islands. Member states are Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia. We have a strong interest in federal legislation, policies, and programs that affect state fish and wildlife management responsibilities and efforts; collaboration among state, federal, and territorial agencies; nongovernmental conservation partners; and private landowners.

The SEAFWA members applaud and thank you, along with members of the Senate Committee on Environment, and Public Works for advancing the America’s Conservation Enhancement Act (S. 3061). This bill contains pieces of legislation that are vitally important to our state fish and wildlife agency members and our conservation partners. Provisions therein will help foster important collaborative approaches to fish and wildlife conservation throughout the country.

SEAFWA strongly supports S. 3051 and is pleased to see included therein the Modernizing the Pittman-Robertson Fund for Tomorrow’s Needs Act. The Act will help us recruit and retain the next generation of hunters and recreational target shooters who are needed to ensure funding for wildlife conservation and management activities in the future.
SEAFWA is home to the Southeastern Aquatic Resources Partnership, one of the 20 fish habitat partnerships that will benefit from the provisions in the National Fish Habitat Conservation Through Partnerships Act. The North American Wetlands Conservation Act (NAWCA) provides for lifecycle conservation of our nation's waterfowl populations and is critical for supporting the wetland habitats upon which these and other wetland dependent species depend.

The State of the Birds Report published in September 2019, indicated we have lost 3 billion birds since 1970, with the exception of waterfowl and raptor populations which have increased 56% and over 200%, respectively. The NAWCA is, in part, responsible for the positive trends in these species’ populations and is an integral component of our state agencies’ conservation strategies to manage waterfowl populations through voluntary, incentive-based habitat conservation measures.

Finally, thank you for placing a priority on Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD), and we look forward to working with our colleagues to implement the provisions of the bill that provide for a CWD Task Force. These provisions are critically needed to further much needed research, assistance, informed management strategies, and improve our understanding of this challenging and complex disease. Many of our rural communities depend on the economic benefits of deer hunting for their livelihoods and well-being, which is jeopardized by CWD.

We respectfully request that you work with other members of the Committee, the full Senate, and Senate leadership to swiftly advance this bill through the legislative process in the Senate as soon as possible. SEAFWA stands ready to support your efforts and to help enact this crucial package of legislation as soon as possible during this Congress.

Sincerely,

Charles P. Sykes
President
Southeastern Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies
http://www.seafwa.org
December 20, 2019

John Barrasso, Chairman
Senate Environment and Public Works (EPW) Committee
307 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Chairman Barrasso:

I write to convey the Wyoming Game and Fish Department’s (Department) support of the America’s Conservation Enhancement (ACE) Act. I want to thank you for your leadership regarding wildlife related issues that affect Wyoming.

I understand this bill would establish the chronic wasting disease (CWD) task force that I testified on earlier this month. The Department is committed to furthering our understanding of CWD, and greatly supports the formation of a task force to address the issue, as this is a national problem that will continue to affect all of us into the future.

This bill would also provide a venue to compensate livestock producers for losses to predation by federally listed species. The Department spent $1,175,207 in fiscal year 2018 alone in damage compensation payments to landowners. The proposed program would complement our existing damage compensation program and potentially enhance relief to Wyoming ranchers.

Additionally, promoting fish habitat conservation through partnerships fits well within our enduring model that provides and maintains world class angling opportunities for our citizens.

Thank you again for your continued focus on important issues facing Wyoming’s citizens and wildlife.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Brian R. Nesvik
Director
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"Conserving Wildlife - Serving People"
Senator BARRASSO. Senator Cardin.

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. BENJAMIN L. CARDIN, U.S. SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF MARYLAND

Senator CARDIN. Mr. Chairman, I understand we are going to be voting in a couple of minutes. Until we get the necessary quorum here, let me just thank you on behalf of all the members of this Committee, both Democrats and Republicans, for putting together a bill on conservation that I hope will enjoy not only broad support, I hope it has unanimous support. I think you have put together a well balanced package.

I want to thank you and Senator Capito in regard to the Chesapeake Bay. We have been working—it won't be a surprise to this Committee that I mention the Chesapeake Bay as my first issue, but the reauthorization of the program, which we have acted on in the past. We have updated it, and I thank you for your help in updating it, and I have an amendment that will be incorporated either in the manager's package or when we vote, that points out the importance of the Chesapeake Bay Program.

I might also add, I want to thank the appropriators, because they put more money into the program. So we are getting pretty close to the authorized levels here. All that, I think, is really strong news in regard to the Federal partnership in regard to the Chesapeake Bay Program.

I also want to thank you for including some other provisions in this bill, including the Gateways Program, which is something we have also authorized in the past.

I know Senator Van Hollen is here, I want to applaud his efforts in working in regard to the fish and wildlife programs as it relates to the Chesapeake Bay. So in all those examples, I think the Bay gets the proper attention in this bill.

Senator Gardner and I have worked on a bill that allows Fish and Wildlife to, in regard to the fines, be able to use that in regard to conservation. I understand that Senator Sullivan has a concern about that provision, and in talking with the Chairman, it is our understanding that will be included in the package as it moves forward, provided we work out the issue in regard to Senator Sullivan's issue.

With that, I was hoping that Senator Carper would be here by now. It looks like we have a quorum.

Senator BARRASSO. We do have a quorum. So we will wait for him.

Senator SULLIVAN. Mr. Chairman.

Senator BARRASSO. Senator Sullivan.

Senator SULLIVAN. I am just becoming aware of this issue, with Senator Cardin. I want to work with him on this.

It does raise some issues that are very, very, very important to my State. The ANILCA Federal law that passed in 1980, which was not supported by most Alaskans, is a law that is highly sensitive. Anything that impacts that law, it is a Federal law that only relates to Alaska. There are a lot of those, unfortunately, for my State.

So I want to make sure that this doesn't negatively impact that. We are looking at it. But these are really important issues to me
and my constituents. The U.S. Supreme Court, in a nine to zero case, just this year interpreted this ANILCA Federal law essentially saying, hey, Federal agencies have been misinterpreting this in Alaska for decades; nine to zero, Kagan opinion. We agree with that.

So I want to make sure that whatever, and I want to work with Senator Cardin. I am a little reluctant to kind of sign off on something that we just saw yesterday that might have an impact on my State in a way that is very, very—could be very serious. I just want to make sure all the questions that I have on this and my State have on this are answered before we move forward.

I would certainly want to work with Senator Cardin on an amendment for the floor. I think we can work this out soon. I was just made aware of this, my staff was just—just yesterday. I was made aware this morning. These ANILCA issues are really important to me.

Senator Cardin. Mr. Chairman, if I could, I thank Senator Sullivan, and we certainly will—I always enjoy working things out with you. So I am certain we will be able to do this.

I think it probably helps you. One reason why is, because it prevents OMB from trying to use this as a budget issue. So we are really, I think, in a way, helping you in regard to your concerns about the moneys coming in from this program being used as a filler in the budget. That prevents that from happening, because it keeps it within conservation.

But I will be glad to work with Senator Sullivan to make sure that your issue is addressed.

Senator Barrasso. We do have enough members here for a quorum. We are waiting on Senator Carper. But I know others have other obligations as well, and need to leave shortly. So we have enough members here.

I would like to move to the votes on the items on today’s agenda. The Ranking Member and I have agreed to vote on S. 3051, America’s Conservation Enhancement Act, by voice vote.

The Ranking Member and I have further agreed to vote on the nomination and 19 General Services Administration resolutions en bloc by voice vote. Members may choose to have their votes recorded for a specific item in that bloc after the first vote.

So the first vote, S. 3051, America’s Conservation Enhancement Act, I would like to call that up at this time.

[The text of S. 3051 follows:]
AMENDMENT NO._________ Calendar No._______

Purpose: In the nature of a substitute.


S. 3051

To improve protections for wildlife, and for other purposes.

Referred to the Committee on _______________ and

ordered to be printed

Ordered to lie on the table and to be printed

AMENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE intended
to be proposed by _______________

Viz:

1 Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the fol-

owing:

3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the

“America’s Conservation Enhancement Act”.

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for

this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

TITLE I—WILDLIFE ENHANCEMENT, DISEASE, AND PREDATION

Sec. 101. Theodore Roosevelt Genius Prize for reducing human-predator con-

flict.

Sec. 102. Losses of livestock due to predation by federally protected species.

Sec. 103. Depredation permits for black vultures and common ravens.

Sec. 104. Chronic Wasting Disease Task Force.

Sec. 105. Invasive species.


Sec. 108. Modification of definition of sport fishing equipment under Toxic Substances Control Act.
Sec. 109. Reauthorization of Chesapeake Bay Program.
Sec. 110. Reauthorization of Chesapeake Bay Initiative Act of 1998.
Sec. 111. Chesapeake watershed investments for landscape defense.
Sec. 112. Great Lakes monitoring, assessment, science, and research.

TITLE II—MODERNIZING THE PITTMAN-ROBERTSON FUND FOR TOMORROW'S NEEDS

Sec. 201. Purpose.
Sec. 203. Apportionment of available amounts.
Sec. 204. Expenditures for management of wildlife areas and resources.
Sec. 205. Firearm and bow hunter education and safety program grants.
Sec. 206. Multistate conservation grant program.

TITLE III—NATIONAL FISH HABITAT CONSERVATION THROUGH PARTNERSHIPS

Sec. 301. Purpose.
Sec. 302. Definitions.
Sec. 303. National Fish Habitat Board.
Sec. 304. Fish Habitat Partnerships.
Sec. 305. Fish Habitat Conservation Projects.
Sec. 306. Technical and scientific assistance.
Sec. 307. Coordination with States and Indian Tribes.
Sec. 308. Interagency Operational Plan.
Sec. 309. Accountability and reporting.
Sec. 310. Effect of this title.
Sec. 311. Nonapplicability of Federal Advisory Committee Act.
Sec. 312. Funding.
Sec. 313. Prohibition against implementation of regulatory authority by Federal agencies through Partnerships.

TITLE IV—MISCELLANEOUS

Sec. 401. Sense of the Senate regarding conservation agreements and activities.
Sec. 402. Study to review conservation factors.
Sec. 403. Study and report on expenditures.
Sec. 404. Use of value of land for cost sharing.

1 TITLE I—WILDLIFE ENHANCEMENT, DISEASE, AND PREDATION

4 SEC. 101. THEODORE ROOSEVELT GENIUS PRIZE FOR REDUCING HUMAN-PREDATOR CONFLICT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 7001(d) of the John D. Dingell, Jr. Conservation, Management, and Recreation
Act (16 U.S.C. 742b note; Public Law 116–9) is amended—

(1) in paragraphs (2)(C)(v), (3)(C)(v),
(4)(C)(v), (5)(C)(v), and (6)(C)(v), by striking
“paragraph (7)(A)” each place it appears and in-
serting “paragraph (8)(A)”;

(2) in paragraphs (2)(D)(ii), (2)(F)(ii),
(3)(D)(ii), (3)(F)(ii), (4)(D)(ii), (4)(F)(ii),
(5)(D)(ii), (5)(F)(ii), (6)(D)(ii), and (6)(F)(ii) by
striking “paragraph (7)(B)” each place it appears
and inserting “paragraph (8)(B)”;

(3) in paragraph (6)(C)(iv), in the matter pre-
ceeding clause (i), by striking “subparagraph (C)”
and inserting “clause (iii)”;

(4) by redesignating paragraph (7) as para-
graph (8);

(5) by inserting after paragraph (6) the fol-
lowing:

“(7) Theodore Roosevelt Genius Prize
for Reducing Human-Predator Conflict.—

“(A) Definitions.—In this paragraph:

“(i) Board.—The term ‘Board’
means the Reducing Human-Predator Con-
flict Technology Advisory Board estab-
lished by subparagraph (C)(i).
“(ii) PRIZE COMPETITION.—The term ‘prize competition’ means the Theodore Roosevelt Genius Prize for reducing human-predator conflict established under subparagraph (B).

“(B) AUTHORITY.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of the America’s Conservation Enhancement Act, the Secretary shall establish under section 24 of the Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980 (15 U.S.C. 3719) a prize competition, to be known as the ‘Theodore Roosevelt Genius Prize for reducing human-predator conflict’—

“(i) to encourage technological innovation with the potential to advance the mission of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service with respect to reducing the frequency of human-predator conflict using nonlethal means; and

“(ii) to award 1 or more prizes annually for a technological advancement that promotes reducing human-predator conflict using nonlethal means, which may include the application and monitoring of tagging technologies.
“(C) ADVISORY BOARD.—

“(i) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established an advisory board, to be known as the ‘Reducing Human-Predator Conflict Technology Advisory Board’.

“(ii) COMPOSITION.—The Board shall be composed of not fewer than 9 members appointed by the Secretary, who shall provide expertise in—

“(I) predator-human interactions;

“(II) the habitats of large predators;

“(III) biology;

“(IV) technology development;

“(V) engineering;

“(VI) economics;

“(VII) business development and management; and

“(VIII) any other discipline, as the Secretary determines to be necessary to achieve the purposes of this paragraph.

“(iii) DUTIES.—Subject to clause (iv), with respect to the prize competition, the Board shall—
“(I) select a topic;

“(II) issue a problem statement;

“(III) advise the Secretary regarding any opportunity for technological innovation to reduce human-predator conflict using nonlethal means; and

“(IV) advise winners of the prize competition regarding opportunities to pilot and implement winning technologies in relevant fields, including in partnership with conservation organizations, Federal or State agencies, federally recognized Indian Tribes, private entities, and research institutions with expertise or interest relating to reducing human-predator conflict using nonlethal means.

“(iv) CONSULTATION.—In selecting a topic and issuing a problem statement for the prize competition under subclauses (I) and (II) of clause (iii), respectively, the Board shall consult widely with Federal and non-Federal stakeholders, including—
“(I) 1 or more Federal agencies with jurisdiction over the management of native wildlife species at risk due to conflict with human activities;

“(II) 1 or more State agencies with jurisdiction over the management of native wildlife species at risk due to conflict with human activities;

“(III) 1 or more State, regional, or local wildlife organizations, the mission of which relates to the management of native wildlife species at risk due to conflict with human activities; and

“(IV) 1 or more wildlife conservation groups, technology companies, research institutions, institutions of higher education, industry associations, or individual stakeholders with an interest in the management of native wildlife species at risk due to conflict with human activities.

“(v) REQUIREMENTS.—The Board shall comply with all requirements under paragraph (8)(A).
“(D) AGREEMENT WITH NATIONAL FISH AND WILDLIFE FOUNDATION.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall offer to enter into an agreement under which the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation shall administer the prize competition.

“(ii) REQUIREMENTS.—An agreement entered into under clause (i) shall comply with all requirements under paragraph (8)(B).

“(E) JUDGES.—

“(i) APPOINTMENT.—The Secretary shall appoint not fewer than 3 judges who shall, except as provided in clause (ii), select the 1 or more annual winners of the prize competition.

“(ii) DETERMINATION BY SECRETARY.—The judges appointed under clause (i) shall not select any annual winner of the prize competition if the Secretary makes a determination that, in any fiscal year, none of the technological advancements entered into the prize competition merits an award.
“(F) Consultation with NOAA.—The Secretary shall consult with the Secretary of Commerce, acting through the Administrator of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, in the case of a cash prize awarded under the prize competition for a technology that addresses conflict between marine predators under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of Commerce, acting through the Administrator of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and humans.

“(G) Report to Congress.—Not later than 60 days after the date on which a cash prize is awarded under this paragraph, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives a report on the prize competition that includes—

“(i) a statement by the Board that describes the activities carried out by the Board relating to the duties described in subparagraph (C)(ii);

“(ii) if the Secretary has entered into an agreement under subparagraph (D)(i),
a statement by the National Fish and
Wildlife Foundation that describes the ac-
activities carried out by the National Fish
and Wildlife Foundation relating to the du-
ties described in paragraph (8)(B); and

“(iii) a statement by 1 or more of the
judges appointed under subparagraph (E)
that explains the basis on which the winner
of the cash prize was selected.

“(H) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—The
Board and all authority provided under this
paragraph shall terminate on December 31,
2023.”; and

(6) in paragraph (8) (as so redesignated)—

(A) in subparagraph (A), in the matter
preceding clause (i), by striking “or (6)(C)(i)”
and inserting “(6)(C)(i), or (7)(C)(i)”); and

(B) in subparagraph (B)—

(i) in the matter preceding clause (i),
by striking “or (6)(D)(i)” and inserting
“(6)(D)(i), or (7)(D)(i)”); and

(ii) in clause (i)(VII), by striking
“and (6)(E)” and inserting “(6)(E), and
(7)(E)).
(b) Sense of Congress.—It is the sense of Congress that data collected from the tagging of predators can inform innovative management of those predators and innovative education activities to minimize human-predator conflict.

SEC. 102. LOSSES OF LIVESTOCK DUE TO DEPREDATION BY FEDERALLY PROTECTED SPECIES.

(a) Definitions.—In this section:

(1) Depredation.—

(A) In general.—The term “depredation” means actual death, injury, or destruction of livestock that is caused by a federally protected species.

(B) Exclusions.—The term “depredation” does not include damage to real or personal property other than livestock, including—

(i) damage to—

(I) other animals;

(II) vegetation;

(III) motor vehicles; or

(IV) structures;

(ii) diseases;

(iii) lost profits; or

(iv) consequential damages.
(2) **Federally protected species.**—The term “federally protected species” means a species that is or previously was protected under—

(A) the Act of June 8, 1940 (commonly known as the “Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act”) (54 Stat. 250, chapter 278; 16 U.S.C. 668 et seq.);

(B) the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.); or

(C) the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 U.S.C. 703 et seq.).

(3) **Indian tribe.**—The term “Indian tribe” has the meaning given the term in section 4 of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 5304).

(4) **Livestock.**—

(A) **In general.**—The term “livestock” means horses, mules and asses, rabbits, llamas, cattle, bison, swine, sheep, goats, poultry, bees, honey and beehives, or any other animal generally used for food or in the production of food or fiber.

(B) **Inclusion.**—The term “livestock” includes guard animals actively engaged in the
protection of livestock described in subpara-
graph (A).

(5) PROGRAM.—The term “program” means
the grant program established under subsection
(b)(1).

(6) SECRETARIES.—The term “Secretaries”
means—

(A) the Secretary of the Interior, acting
through the Director of the United States Fish
and Wildlife Service; and

(B) the Secretary of Agriculture, acting
through the Administrator of the Animal and
Plant Health Inspection Service.

(b) GRANT PROGRAM FOR LOSSES OF LIVESTOCK
DUE TO DEPREDATION BY FEDERALLY PROTECTED SPE-
CIES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretaries shall estab-
lish a program to provide grants to States and In-
dian tribes to supplement amounts provided by
States, Indian tribes, or State agencies under 1 or
more programs established by the States and Indian
tribes (including programs established after the date
of enactment of this Act)—

(A) to assist livestock producers in car-
rying out—
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(i) proactive and nonlethal activities
to reduce the risk of livestock loss due to
depredation by federally protected species
occurring on—

(I) Federal, State, or private
land within the applicable State; or

(II) land owned by, or held in
trust for the benefit of, the applicable
Indian tribe; and

(ii) research relating to the activities
described in clause (i); and

(B) to compensate livestock producers for
livestock losses due to depredation by federally
protected species occurring on—

(i) Federal, State, or private land
within the applicable State; or

(ii) land owned by, or held in trust for
the benefit of, the applicable Indian tribe.

(2) ALLOCATION OF FUNDING.—

(A) REPORTS TO THE SECRETARIES.—Not
later than September 30 of each year, a State
or Indian tribe desiring to receive a grant under
the program shall submit to the Secretaries a
report describing, for the 1-year period ending
on that September 30, the losses of livestock
due to depredation by federally protected species occurring on—

(i) Federal, State, or private land within the applicable State; or

(ii) land owned by, or held in trust for the benefit of, the applicable Indian tribe.

(B) ALLOCATION.—The Secretaries shall allocate available funding to carry out this Act among States and Indian tribes for a 1-year period ending on September 30 based on the losses described in the reports submitted for the previous 1-year period ending on September 30 under subparagraph (A).

(3) ELIGIBILITY.—To be eligible to receive a grant under paragraph (1), a State or Indian tribe shall—

(A) designate an appropriate agency of the State or Indian tribe to administer the 1 or more programs supplemented by the grant funds;

(B) establish 1 or more accounts to receive grant funds;

(C) maintain files of all claims received and paid under grant-funded programs, including supporting documentation; and
(D) submit to the Secretaries—
   (i) annual reports that include—
       (I) a summary of claims and expenditures under the program during
           the year; and
       (II) a description of any action taken on the claims; and
   (ii) such other reports as the Secretaries may require to assist the Secretaries
        in determining the effectiveness of assisted activities under this section.

(c) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that—
   (1) no State or Indian tribe is required to participate in the program; and
   (2) the program supplements, and does not replace or supplant, any State compensation programs
        for depredation.

(d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section
$15,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2021 through 2025, of which—
   (1) $5,000,000 shall be used to provide grants for the purposes described in subsection (b)(1)(A); and
(2) $10,000,000 shall be used to provide grants for the purpose described in subsection (b)(1)(B).

SEC. 103. DEPREDAKION PERMITS FOR BLACK VULTURES AND COMMON RAVENS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Director of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (referred to in this section as the “Secretary”), may issue depredation permits to livestock producers authorizing takings of black vultures or common ravens otherwise prohibited by Federal law to prevent those vultures or common ravens from taking livestock during the calving season or lambing season.

(b) LIMITED TO AFFECTED STATES OR REGIONS.—The Secretary may issue permits under subsection (a) only to livestock producers in States and regions in which livestock producers are affected or have been affected in the previous year by black vultures or common ravens, as determined by Secretary.

(c) REPORTING.—The Secretary shall require, as a condition of a permit under subsection (a), that the permit holder shall report to the appropriate enforcement agencies the takings of black vultures or common ravens pursuant to the permit.
SEC. 104. CHRONIC WASTING DISEASE TASK FORCE.

(a) Definition of Chronic Wasting Disease.—

In this section, the term “chronic wasting disease” means the animal disease afflicting deer, elk, and moose populations that—

(1) is a transmissible disease of the nervous system resulting in distinctive lesions in the brain; and

(2) belongs to the group of diseases known as transmissible spongiform encephalopathies, which group includes scrapie, bovine spongiform encephalopathy, and Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease.

(b) Establishment.—

(1) In general.—There is established within the United States Fish and Wildlife Service a task force, to be known as the “Chronic Wasting Disease Task Force” (referred to in this subsection as the “Task Force”).

(2) Duties.—The Task Force shall—

(A) collaborate with foreign governments to share research, coordinate efforts, and discuss best management practices to reduce, minimize, prevent, or eliminate chronic wasting disease in the United States;

(B) develop recommendations, including recommendations based on findings of the study
conducted under subsection (c), and a set of
best practices regarding—

(i) the interstate coordination of prac-
tices to prevent the new introduction of
chronic wasting disease;

(ii) the prioritization and coordination
of the future study of chronic wasting dis-
ease, based on evolving research needs;

(iii) ways to leverage the collective re-
resources of Federal, State, and local agen-
cies, Indian Tribes, and foreign govern-
ments, and resources from private, non-
governmental entities, to address chronic
wasting disease in the United States and
along the borders of the United States;

(iv) any other area where containment
or management efforts relating to chronic
wasting disease may differ across jurisdic-
tions;

(C) draw from existing and future aca-
ademic and management recommendations to de-
velop an interstate action plan under which
States and the Federal Government agree to
enact consistent management, educational, and
research practices relating to chronic wasting
disease; and

(D) facilitate the creation of a cooperative
agreement by which States and relevant Fed-
eral agencies agree to commit funds to imple-
ment best practices described in the interstate
action plan developed under subparagraph (C).

(3) Membership.—

(A) In general.—The Task Force shall
be composed of—

(i) 1 representative of the United
States Fish and Wildlife Service with expe-
rience in chronic wasting disease, to be ap-
pointed by the Secretary of the Interior
(referred to in this subsection as the “Sec-
retary”);

(ii) 1 representative of the United
States Geological Survey;

(iii) 2 representatives of the Depart-
ment of Agriculture with experience in
chronic wasting disease, to be appointed by
the Secretary of Agriculture—

(I) 1 of whom shall have exper-
tise in research; and
(II) 1 of whom shall have expertise in wildlife management;

(iv) in the case of each State in which chronic wasting disease among elk, mule deer, white-tailed deer, or moose has been reported to the appropriate State agency, not more than 2 representatives, to be nominated by the Governor of the State—

(I) not more than 1 of whom shall be a representative of the State agency with jurisdiction over wildlife management or wildlife disease in the State; and

(II) in the case of a State with a farmed cervid program or economy, not more than 1 of whom shall be a representative of the State agency with jurisdiction over farmed cervid regulation in the State;

(v) in the case of each State in which chronic wasting disease among elk, mule deer, white-tailed deer, or moose has not been documented, but that has carried out measures to prevent the introduction of chronic wasting disease among those spe-
cies, not more than 2 representatives, to be
nominated by the Governor of the State;

(vi) not more than 2 representatives
from an Indian tribe or tribal organization
chosen in a process determined, in con-
sultation with Indian tribes, by the Sec-
retary; and

(vii) not more than 5 nongovern-
mental members with relevant expertise
appointed, after the date on which the
members are first appointed under clauses
(i) through (vi), by a majority vote of the
State representatives appointed under
clause (iv).

(B) EFFECT.—Nothing in this paragraph
requires a State to participate in the Task
Force.

(4) CO-CHAIRS.—The Co-Chairs of the Task
Force shall be—

(A) the Federal representative described in
paragraph (3)(A)(i); and

(B) 1 State representative appointed under
paragraph (3)(A)(iv), to be selected by a major-
ity vote of those State representatives.

(5) DATE OF INITIAL APPOINTMENT.—
(A) **In General.**—The members of the Task Force shall be appointed not later than 180 days after the date on which the study is completed under subsection (c).

(B) **Notification.**—On appointment of the members of the Task Force, the Co-Chairs of the Task Force shall notify the Chairs and Ranking Members of the Committees on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and Natural Resources of the House of Representatives.

(6) **Vacancies.**—Any vacancy in the members appointed to the Task Force—

(A) shall not affect the power or duty of the Task Force; and

(B) shall be filled not later than 30 days after the date of the vacancy.

(7) **Meetings.**—The Task Force shall convene—

(A) not less frequently than twice each year; and

(B) at such time and place, and by such means, as the Co-Chairs of the Task Force determine to be appropriate, which may include the use of remote conference technology.
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(8) INTERSTATE ACTION PLAN.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date on which the members of the Task Force are appointed, the Task Force shall submit to the Secretary, and the heads of the State agencies with jurisdiction over wildlife disease and farmed cervid regulation of each State with a representative on the Task Force, the interstate action plan developed by the Task Force under paragraph (2)(C).

(B) COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—To the maximum extent practicable, the Secretary, any other applicable Federal agency, and each applicable State shall enter into a cooperative agreement to fund necessary actions under the interstate action plan submitted under subparagraph (A).

(ii) TARGET DATE.—The Secretary shall make the best effort of the Secretary to enter into any cooperative agreement under clause (i) not later than 180 days after the date of submission of the interstate action plan under subparagraph (A).

(C) MATCHING FUNDS.—
(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (ii), for each fiscal year, the Federal Government shall provide funds to carry out an interstate action plan through a cooperative agreement under subparagraph (B) in the amount of funds provided by the applicable States.

(ii) LIMITATION.—The amount provided by the Federal Government under clause (i) for a fiscal year shall be not greater than $5,000,000.

(9) REPORTS.—Not later than September 30 of the first full fiscal year after the date on which the first members of the Task Force are appointed, and each September 30 thereafter, the Task Force shall submit to the Secretary, and the heads of the State agencies with jurisdiction over wildlife disease and farmed cervid regulation of each State with a representative on the Task Force, a report describing—

(A) progress on the implementation of actions identified in the interstate action plan submitted under paragraph (8)(A), including the efficacy of funding under the cooperative
agreement entered into under paragraph (8)(B);

(B) updated resource requirements that are needed to reduce and eliminate chronic wasting disease in the United States;

(C) any relevant updates to the recommended best management practices included in the interstate action plan submitted under paragraph (8)(B) to reduce or eliminate chronic wasting disease;

(D) new research findings and emerging research needs relating to chronic wasting disease; and

(E) any other relevant information.

(c) CHRONIC WASTING DISEASE TRANSMISSION IN CERVIDAE RESOURCE STUDY.—

(1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

(A) ACADEMY.—The term “Academy” means the National Academy of Sciences.

(B) CERVID.—The term “cervid” means any species within the family Cervidae.

(C) SECRETARIES.—The term “Secretaries” means the Secretary of Agriculture, acting through the Administrator of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, and the
Secretary of the Interior, acting through the
Director of the United States Geological Sur-
vey, acting jointly.

(2) STUDY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretaries shall
enter into an arrangement with the Academy
under which the Academy shall conduct, and
submit to the Secretaries a report describing
the findings of, a special resource study to iden-
tify the predominant pathways and mechanisms
of the transmission of chronic wasting disease
in wild, captive, and farmed populations of
cervids in the United States.

(B) REQUIREMENTS.—The arrangement
under subparagraph (A) shall provide that the
actual expenses incurred by the Academy in
conducting the study under subparagraph (A)
shall be paid by the Secretaries, subject to the
availability of appropriations.

(3) CONTENTS OF THE STUDY.—The study
under paragraph (2) shall—

(A) with respect to wild, captive, and
farmed populations of cervids in the United
States, identify—
(i)(I) the pathways and mechanisms for the transmission of chronic wasting disease within live cervid populations and cervid products, which may include pathways and mechanisms for transmission from Canada;

(II) the infection rates for each pathway and mechanism identified under subclause (I); and

(III) the relative frequency of transmission of each pathway and mechanism identified under subclause (I);

(ii)(I) anthropogenic and environmental factors contributing to new chronic wasting disease emergence events;

(II) the development of geographical areas with increased chronic wasting disease prevalence; and

(III) the overall geographical patterns of chronic wasting disease distribution;

(iii) significant gaps in current scientific knowledge regarding the transmission pathways and mechanisms identified under clause (i)(I) and potential pre-
vention, detection, and control methods
identified under clause (v);

(iv) for prioritization the scientific re-
search projects that will address the knowl-
edge gaps identified under clause (iii),
based on the likelihood that a project will
contribute significantly to the prevention
or control of chronic wasting disease; and

(v) potential prevention, detection, or
control measures, practices, or technologies
to be used to mitigate the transmission
and spread of chronic wasting disease in
wild, captive, and farmed populations of
cervids in the United States;

(B) assess the effectiveness of the potential
prevention, detection, or control measures, prac-
tices, or technologies identified under subpara-
graph (A)(v); and

(C) review and compare science-based best
practices, standards, and guidance regarding
the prevention, detection, and management of
chronic wasting disease in wild, captive, and
farmed populations of cervids in the United
States that have been developed by—
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(i) the National Chronic Wasting Disease Herd Certification Program of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service;

(ii) the United States Geological Survey;

(iii) State wildlife and agricultural agencies, in the case of practices, standards, and guidance that provide practical, science-based recommendations to State and Federal agencies for minimizing or eliminating the risk of transmission of chronic wasting disease in the United States; and

(iv) industry or academia, in the case of any published guidance on practices that provide practical, science-based recommendations to cervid producers for minimizing or eliminating the risk of transmission of chronic wasting disease within or between herds.

(4) DEADLINE.—The study under paragraph (2) shall be completed not later than 180 days after the date on which funds are first made available for the study.
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(5) DATA SHARING.—The Secretaries shall share with the Academy, as necessary to conduct the study under paragraph (2), subject to the avoidance of a violation of a privacy or confidentiality requirement and the protection of confidential or privileged commercial, financial, or proprietary information, data and access to databases on chronic wasting disease under the jurisdiction of—

(A) the Veterinary Services Program of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service;

and

(B) the United States Geological Survey.

(6) REPORT.—Not later than 60 days after the date of completion of the study, the Secretaries shall submit to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry, the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Agriculture and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives a report that describes—

(A) the findings of the study; and

(B) any conclusions and recommendations that the Secretaries determine to be appropriate.
(d) Authorization of Appropriations.—There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section—

(1) for the period of fiscal years 2021 through 2025, $5,000,000 to the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Director of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, to carry out administrative activities under subsection (b);

(2) for fiscal year 2021, $1,200,000 to the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Director of the United States Geological Survey, to carry out activities to fund research under subsection (c); and

(3) for fiscal year 2021, $1,200,000 to the Secretary of Agriculture, acting through the Administrator of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, to carry out activities to fund research under subsection (e).

SEC. 105. INVASIVE SPECIES.

Section 10 of the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (16 U.S.C. 666e–1) is amended—

(1) in subsection (c)(2)—

(A) in subparagraph (A)—

(i) by redesignating clauses (i) and (ii) as clauses (ii) and (iii), respectively; and
(ii) by inserting before clause (ii) (as so redesignated) the following:

“(i) relevant Federal agencies;”;

(B) by redesignating subparagraphs (B) and (C) as subparagraphs (C) and (D), respectively; and

(C) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following:

“(B) in consultation with stakeholders, including nongovernmental organizations and industry;”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(p) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section for each of fiscal years 2021 through 2025—

“(1) $2,500,000 to the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers; and

“(2) $2,500,000 to the Secretary of the Interior.”.

SEC. 106. NORTH AMERICAN WETLANDS CONSERVATION ACT.

Section 7(c) of the North American Wetlands Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 4406(c)) is amended by striking “not to exceed—” in the matter preceding paragraph (1) and all that follows through paragraph (5) and inserting
“not to exceed $60,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2021 through 2025.”.

SEC. 107. NATIONAL FISH AND WILDLIFE FOUNDATION ESTABLISHMENT ACT.

(a) BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF FOUNDATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 3 of the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation Establishment Act (16 U.S.C. 3702) is amended—

(A) in subsection (b)—

(i) by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

“(2) APPOINTMENT OF DIRECTORS.—After consulting with the Secretary of Commerce and considering the recommendations submitted by the Board, the Secretary of the Interior shall appoint 28 Directors who, to the maximum extent practicable, shall—

“(A) be knowledgeable and experienced in matters relating to the conservation of fish, wildlife, or other natural resources; and

“(B) represent a balance of expertise in ocean, coastal, freshwater, and terrestrial resource conservation.”; and

(ii) by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the following:
“(3) TERMS.—Each Director (other than a Director described in paragraph (1)) shall be appointed for a term of 6 years.”; and

(B) in subsection (g)(2)—

(i) in subparagraph (A), by striking “(A) Officers and employees may not be appointed until the Foundation has sufficient funds to pay them for their service. Officers” and inserting the following:

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Officers”; and

(ii) by striking subparagraph (B) and inserting the following:

“(B) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR.—The Foundation shall have an Executive Director who shall be—

“(i) appointed by, and serve at the direction of, the Board as the chief executive officer of the Foundation; and

“(ii) knowledgeable and experienced in matters relating to fish and wildlife conservation.”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 4(a)(1)(B) of the North American Wetlands Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 4403(a)(1)(B)) is amended
by striking “Secretary of the Board” and inserting “Executive Director of the Board”.

(b) RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS OF FOUNDATION.—

Section 4 of the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation Establishment Act (16 U.S.C. 3703) is amended—

(1) in subsection (e)—

(A) by striking “(c) Powers.—To carry out its purposes under” and inserting the following:

“(c) Powers.—

“(1) In general.—To carry out the purposes described in”;

(B) by redesignating paragraphs (1) through (11) as subparagraphs (A) through (K), respectively, and indenting appropriately;

(C) in subparagraph (D) (as redesignated by subparagraph (B)), by striking “that are insured by an agency or instrumentality of the United States” and inserting “at 1 or more financial institutions that are members of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or the Securities Investment Protection Corporation”;

(D) in subparagraph (E) (as redesignated by subparagraph (B)), by striking “paragraph
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(3) or (4)” and inserting “subparagraph (C) or (D)”;

(E) in subparagraph (J) (as redesignated by subparagraph (B)), by striking “and” at the end;

(F) by striking subparagraph (K) (as redesignated by subparagraph (B)) and inserting the following:

“(K) to receive and administer restitution and community service payments, amounts for mitigation of impacts to natural resources, and other amounts arising from legal, regulatory, or administrative proceedings, subject to the condition that the amounts are received or administered for purposes that further the conservation and management of fish, wildlife, plants, and other natural resources; and

“(L) to do acts necessary to carry out the purposes of the Foundation.”; and

(G) by striking the undesignated matter at the end and inserting the following:

“(2) TREATMENT OF REAL PROPERTY.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of this Act, an interest in real property shall be treated as including easements or other rights for pres-
ervation, conservation, protection, or enhance-
ment by and for the public of natural, scenic,
historic, scientific, educational, inspirational, or
recreational resources.

“(B) ENCUMBERED REAL PROPERTY.—A
gift, devise, or bequest may be accepted by the
Foundation even though the gift, devise, or be-
quest is encumbered, restricted, or subject to
beneficial interests of private persons if any
current or future interest in the gift, devise, or
bequest is for the benefit of the Foundation.

“(3) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—The acceptance and
administration of amounts by the Foundation under
paragraph (1)(K) does not alter, supersede, or limit
any regulatory or statutory requirement associated
with those amounts.”;

(2) by striking subsections (f) and (g); and

(3) by redesignating subsections (h) and (i) as
subsections (f) and (g), respectively.

(e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Section
10 of the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation Estab-
lishment Act (16 U.S.C. 3709) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking paragraph (1)
and inserting the following:
“(1) IN GENERAL.—There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this Act for each of fiscal years 2021 through 2025—

“(A) $15,000,000 to the Secretary of the Interior;

“(B) $5,000,000 to the Secretary of Agriculture; and

“(C) $5,000,000 to the Secretary of Commerce.”;

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the following:

“(1) AMOUNTS FROM FEDERAL AGENCIES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In addition to the amounts authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a), Federal departments, agencies, or instrumentalities are authorized to provide funds to the Foundation through Federal financial assistance grants and cooperative agreements, subject to the condition that the amounts are used for purposes that further the conservation and management of fish, wildlife, plants, and other natural resources in accordance with this Act.
“(B) ADVANCES.—Federal departments, agencies, or instrumentalities may advance amounts described in subparagraph (A) to the Foundation in a lump sum without regard to when the expenses for which the amounts are used are incurred.

“(C) MANAGEMENT FEES.—The Foundation may assess and collect fees for the management of amounts received under this paragraph.”;

(B) in paragraph (2)—

(i) in the paragraph heading, by striking “FUNDs” and inserting “AMOUNTs”;

(ii) by striking “shall be used” and inserting “may be used”; and

(iii) by striking “and State and local government agencies” and inserting “, State and local government agencies, and other entities”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) ADMINISTRATION OF AMOUNTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In entering into contracts, agreements, or other partnerships pursuant to this Act, a Federal department, agency, or instrumentality shall have discretion to waive
any competitive process applicable to the department, agency, or instrumentality for entering into contracts, agreements, or partnerships with the Foundation if the purpose of the waiver is—

“(i) to address an environmental emergency resulting from a natural or other disaster; or

“(ii) as determined by the head of the applicable Federal department, agency, or instrumentality, to reduce administrative expenses and expedite the conservation and management of fish, wildlife, plants, and other natural resources.

“(B) REPORTS.—The Foundation shall include in the annual report submitted under section 7(b) a description of any use of the authority under subparagraph (A) by a Federal department, agency, or instrumentality in that fiscal year.”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(d) USE OF GIFTS, DEVISES, OR BEQUESTS OF MONEY OR OTHER PROPERTY.—Any gifts, devises, or bequests of amounts or other property, or any other amounts or other property, transferred to, deposited with, or other-
wise in the possession of the Foundation pursuant to this
Act, may be made available by the Foundation to Federal
departments, agencies, or instrumentalities and may be
accepted and expended (or the disposition of the amounts
or property directed), without further appropriation, by
those Federal departments, agencies, or instrumentalities,
subject to the condition that the amounts or property be
used for purposes that further the conservation and man-
agement of fish, wildlife, plants, and other natural re-
sources.”.

(d) LIMITATION ON AUTHORITY.—Section 11 of the
National Fish and Wildlife Foundation Establishment Act
(16 U.S.C. 3710) is amended by inserting “exclusive” be-
fore “authority”.

SEC. 108. MODIFICATION OF DEFINITION OF SPORT FISH-
ING EQUIPMENT UNDER TOXIC SUBSTANCES
CONTROL ACT.

Section 3(2)(B) of the Toxic Substances Control Act
(15 U.S.C. 2602(2)(B)) is amended—

(1) in clause (v), by striking “and” at the end;
(2) in clause (vi) by striking the period at the
end and inserting “; and”; and
(3) by inserting after clause (vi) the following:
“(vii) any sport fishing equipment (as such
term is defined in section 4162(a) of the Internal
Revenue Code of 1986) the sale of which is subject to the tax imposed by section 4161(a) of such Code (determined without regard to any exemptions from such tax provided by section 4162 or 4221 or any other provision of such Code), and sport fishing equipment components.”.

SEC. 109. REAUTHORIZATION OF CHESAPEAKE BAY PROGRAM.

Section 117 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1267) is amended by striking subsection (j) and inserting the following:

“(j) Authorization of Appropriations.—There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section—

“(1) for fiscal year 2020, $90,000,000;
“(2) for fiscal year 2021, $90,500,000;
“(3) for fiscal year 2022, $91,000,000;
“(4) for fiscal year 2023, $91,500,000; and
“(5) for fiscal year 2024, $92,000,000.”.

SEC. 110. REAUTHORIZATION OF CHESAPEAKE BAY INITIATIVE ACT OF 1998.

Section 502(c) of the Chesapeake Bay Initiative Act of 1998 (Public Law 105–312; 112 Stat. 2963; 129 Stat. 2579; 132 Stat. 691) is amended by striking “2019” and inserting “2025”.
SEC. 111. CHESAPEAKE WATERSHED INVESTMENTS FOR LANDSCAPE DEFENSE.

(a) Definitions.—In this section:

(1) Chesapeake Bay Agreements.—The term “Chesapeake Bay agreements” means the formal, voluntary agreements—

(A) executed to achieve the goal of restoring and protecting the Chesapeake Bay watershed ecosystem and the living resources of the Chesapeake Bay watershed ecosystem; and

(B) signed by the Chesapeake Executive Council.

(2) Chesapeake Bay Program.—The term “Chesapeake Bay program” means the program directed by the Chesapeake Executive Council in accordance with the Chesapeake Bay agreements.

(3) Chesapeake Bay Watershed.—The term “Chesapeake Bay watershed” means the region that covers—

(A) the Chesapeake Bay;

(B) the portions of the States of Delaware, Maryland, New York, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and West Virginia that drain into the Chesapeake Bay; and

(C) the District of Columbia.
(4) CHESAPEAKE EXECUTIVE COUNCIL.—The term “Chesapeake Executive Council” means the council comprised of—

(A) the Governors of each of the States of Delaware, Maryland, New York, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and West Virginia;

(B) the Mayor of the District of Columbia;

(C) the Chair of the Chesapeake Bay Commission; and

(D) the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency.

(5) CHESAPEAKE WILD PROGRAM.—The term “Chesapeake WILD program” means the nonregulatory program established by the Secretary under subsection (b)(1).

(6) GRANT PROGRAM.—The term “grant program” means the Chesapeake Watershed Investments for Landscape Defense grant program established by the Secretary under subsection (c)(1).

(7) RESTORATION AND PROTECTION ACTIVITY.—The term “restoration and protection activity” means an activity carried out for the conservation, stewardship, and enhancement of habitat for fish and wildlife—
(A) to preserve and improve ecosystems
and ecological processes on which the fish and
wildlife depend; and

(B) for use and enjoyment by the public.

(8) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means
the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Di-
rector of the United States Fish and Wildlife Serv-
ice.

(b) PROGRAM ESTABLISHMENT.—

(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—Not later than 180 days
after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
retary shall establish a nonregulatory program, to be
known as the “Chesapeake Watershed Investments
for Landscape Defense program”.

(2) PURPOSES.—The purposes of the Ches-
apeake WILD program include—

(A) coordinating restoration and protection
activities among Federal, State, local, and re-
gegional entities and conservation partners
throughout the Chesapeake Bay watershed;

(B) engaging other agencies and organiza-
tions to build a broader range of partner sup-
port, capacity, and potential funding for
projects in the Chesapeake Bay watershed;
(C) carrying out coordinated restoration and protection activities, and providing for technical assistance, throughout the Chesapeake Bay watershed—

(i) to sustain and enhance restoration and protection activities;

(ii) to improve and maintain water quality to support fish and wildlife, habitats of fish and wildlife, and drinking water for people;

(iii) to sustain and enhance water management for volume and flood damage mitigation improvements to benefit fish and wildlife habitat;

(iv) to improve opportunities for public access and recreation in the Chesapeake Bay watershed consistent with the ecological needs of fish and wildlife habitat;

(v) to facilitate strategic planning to maximize the resilience of natural ecosystems and habitats under changing watershed conditions;

(vi) to engage the public through outreach, education, and citizen involvement to increase capacity and support for ec-
ordinated restoration and protection activities in the Chesapeake Bay watershed;

(vii) to sustain and enhance vulnerable communities and fish and wildlife habitat;

(viii) to conserve and restore fish, wildlife, and plant corridors; and

(ix) to increase scientific capacity to support the planning, monitoring, and research activities necessary to carry out coordinated restoration and protection activities.

(3) DUTIES.—In carrying out the Chesapeake WILD program, the Secretary shall—

(A) draw on existing plans for the Chesapeake Bay watershed, or portions of the Chesapeake Bay watershed, including the Chesapeake Bay agreements, and work in consultation with applicable management entities, including Chesapeake Bay program partners, such as the Federal Government, State and local governments, the Chesapeake Bay Commission, and other regional organizations, as appropriate, to identify, prioritize, and implement restoration
and protection activities within the Chesapeake Bay watershed;

(B) adopt a Chesapeake Bay watershed-wide strategy that—

(i) supports the implementation of a shared set of science-based restoration and protection activities developed in accordance with subparagraph (A); and

(ii) targets cost-effective projects with measurable results; and

(C) establish the grant program in accordance with subsection (e).

(4) COORDINATION.—In establishing the Chesapeake WILD program, the Secretary shall consult, as appropriate, with—

(A) the heads of Federal agencies, including—

(i) the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency;

(ii) the Administrator of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration;

(iii) the Chief of the Natural Resources Conservation Service;

(iv) the Chief of Engineers;
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(v) the Director of the United States
Geological Survey;
(vi) the Secretary of Transportation;
(vii) the Chief of the Forest Service;
and
(viii) the head of any other applicable
agency;
(B) the Governors of each of the States of
Delaware, Maryland, New York, Pennsylvania,
Virginia, and West Virginia and the Mayor of
the District of Columbia;
(C) fish and wildlife joint venture partner-
ships; and
(D) other public agencies and organiza-
tions with authority for the planning and imple-
mentation of conservation strategies in the
Chesapeake Bay watershed.
(e) GRANTS AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—
(1) CHESAPEAKE WILD GRANT PROGRAM.—To
the extent that funds are made available to carry out
this subsection, the Secretary shall establish and
carry out, as part of the Chesapeake WILD pro-
gram, a voluntary grant and technical assistance
program, to be known as the “Chesapeake Water-
shed Investments for Landscape Defense grant pro-
gram”, to provide competitive matching grants of
varying amounts and technical assistance to eligible
entities described in paragraph (2) to carry out ac-
tivities described in subsection (b)(2).

(2) ELIGIBLE ENTITIES.—The following entities
are eligible to receive a grant and technical assis-
tance under the grant program:

(A) A State.

(B) The District of Columbia.

(C) A unit of local government.

(D) A nonprofit organization.

(E) An institution of higher education.

(F) Any other entity that the Secretary de-
termines to be appropriate in accordance with
the criteria established under paragraph (3).

(3) CRITERIA.—The Secretary, in consultation
with officials and entities described in subsection
(b)(4), shall establish criteria for the grant program
to help ensure that activities funded under this sub-
section—

(A) accomplish 1 or more of the purposes
described in subsection (b)(2); and

(B) advance the implementation of priority
actions or needs identified in the Chesapeake
Bay watershed-wide strategy adopted under subsection (b)(3)(B).

(4) COST SHARING.—

(A) DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR SHARE.—The Department of the Interior share of the cost of a project funded under the grant program shall not exceed 50 percent of the total cost of the project, as determined by the Secretary.

(B) NON-DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR SHARE.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—The non-Department of the Interior share of the cost of a project funded under the grant program may be provided in cash or in the form of an in-kind contribution of services or materials.

(ii) OTHER FEDERAL FUNDING.—Non-Department of the Interior Federal funds may be used for not more than 25 percent of the total cost of a project funded under the grant program.

(5) ADMINISTRATION.—The Secretary may enter into an agreement to manage the grant pro-
gram with an organization that offers grant manage-
ment services.

(d) REPORTING.—Not later than 180 days after the
date of enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter,
the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report describ-
ing the implementation of this section, including a descrip-
tion of each project that has received funding under this sec-
tion.

(e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—There is authorized to be
appropriated to carry out this section $15,000,000
for each of fiscal years 2021 through 2025.

(2) SUPPLEMENT, NOT SUPPLANT.—Funds
made available under paragraph (1) shall supple-
ment, and not supplant, funding for other activities
conducted by the Secretary in the Chesapeake Bay
watershed.

SEC. 112. GREAT LAKES MONITORING, ASSESSMENT,
SCIENCE, AND RESEARCH.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) DIRECTOR.—The term “Director” means
the Director of the United States Geological Survey.

(2) GREAT LAKES BASIN.—The term “Great
Lakes Basin” means the air, land, water, and living
organisms in the United States within the drainage
basin of the Saint Lawrence River at and upstream
from the point at which such river and the Great
Lakes become the international boundary between
Canada and the United States.

(b) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) The Great Lakes support a diverse eco-
system, on which the vibrant and economically valu-
able Great Lakes fisheries depend.

(2) To continue successful fisheries manage-
ment and coordination, as has occurred since signing
of the Convention on Great Lakes Fisheries between
the United States and Canada on September 10,
1954, management of the ecosystem and its fisheries
require sound, reliable science, and the use of mod-
ern scientific technologies.

(3) Fisheries research is necessary to support
multi-jurisdictional fishery management decisions
and actions regarding recreational and sport fishing,
commercial fisheries, tribal harvest, allocation deci-
sions, and fish stocking activities.

(4) President Richard Nixon submitted, and
Congress approved, Reorganization Plan No. 4 (84
Stat. 2090), conferring science activities and man-
agement of marine fisheries to the National Oceanic
and Atmospheric Administration.
(5) Reorganization Plan No. 4 expressly excluded fishery research activities within the Great Lakes from the transfer, retaining management and scientific research duties within the already-established jurisdictions under the 1954 Convention on Great Lakes Fisheries, including those of the Great Lakes Fishery Commission and the Department of the Interior.

c) MONITORING, ASSESSMENT, SCIENCE, AND RESEARCH.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Director may conduct monitoring, assessment, science, and research, in support of the binational fisheries within the Great Lakes Basin.

(2) SPECIFIC AUTHORITIES.—The Director shall, under paragraph (1)—

(A) execute a comprehensive, multi-lake, freshwater fisheries science program;

(B) coordinate with and work cooperatively with regional, State, tribal, and local governments; and

(C) consult with other interested entities groups, including academia and relevant Canadian agencies.
(3) INCLUDED RESEARCH.—To properly serve the needs of fisheries managers, monitoring, assessment, science, and research under this section may include—

(A) deepwater ecosystem sciences;

(B) biological and food-web components;

(C) fish movement and behavior investigations;

(D) fish population structures;

(E) fish habitat investigations;

(F) invasive species science;

(G) use of existing, new, and experimental biological assessment tools, equipment, vessels, other scientific instrumentation and laboratory capabilities necessary to support fishery management decisions; and

(H) studies to assess impacts on Great Lakes Fishery resources.

(4) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this section is intended or shall be construed to impede, supersede, or alter the authority of the Great Lakes Fishery Commission, States, and Indian tribes under the Convention on Great Lakes Fisheries between the United States of America and Canada on September
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(16 U.S.C. 931 et seq.).

(d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—For each of fiscal years 2021 through 2025, there is author-
ized to be appropriated $15,000,000 to carry out this sec-
tion.

TITLE II—MODERNIZING THE PITTMAN-ROBERTSON FUND
FOR TOMORROW’S NEEDS

SEC. 201. PURPOSE.

The first section of the Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Act (16 U.S.C. 669) is amended by adding
at the end the following: “One of the purposes of this Act is to provide financial and technical assistance to the
States for the promotion of hunting and recreational shooting.”.

SEC. 202. DEFINITIONS.

Section 2 of the Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Act (16 U.S.C. 669a) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (2) through
(9) as paragraphs (4) through (11), respectively;
and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (1) the fol-
lowing:
“(2) for the purposes of determining the number of paid hunting-license holders in a State, the term ‘fiscal year’ means the fiscal year or license year of the State;

“(3) the term ‘hunter recruitment and recreational shooter recruitment’ means any activity or project to recruit or retain hunters and recreational shooters, including by—

“(A) outreach and communications as a means—

“(i) to improve communications with hunters, recreational shooters, and the general public with respect to hunting and recreational shooting opportunities;

“(ii) to reduce barriers to participation in these activities;

“(iii) to advance the adoption of sound hunting and recreational shooting practices;

“(iv) to promote conservation and the responsible use of the wildlife resources of the United States; and

“(v) to further safety in hunting and recreational shooting;
“(B) providing education, mentoring, and field demonstrations;

“(C) enhancing access for hunting and recreational shooting, including through range construction; and

“(D) providing education to the public about the role of hunting and recreational shooting in funding wildlife conservation;”.

SEC. 203. APPORTIONMENT OF AVAILABLE AMOUNTS.

(a) APPORTIONMENT OF CERTAIN TAXES.—The first subsection (c) of section 4 of the Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Act (16 U.S.C. 669c) is amended—

(1) by inserting “APPORTIONMENT OF REVENUES FROM PISTOLS, REVOLVERS, BOWS, AND ARROWS.—” after the enumerator;

(2) by striking “One-half” and inserting the following:

“(1) In general.—Subject to paragraph (2), $\frac{1}{2}$”;

(3) by striking “: Provided, That” and inserting a period;

(4) by striking “each State shall be apportioned not more than 3 per centum and not less than 1 per centum of such revenues” and inserting the following:
“(2) CONDITION.—The amount apportioned to each State under paragraph (1) shall be not greater than 3 percent and not less than 1 percent of the revenues described in that paragraph”;

(5) in paragraph (2) (as so designated), by striking “one-sixth of 1 per centum of such revenues” and inserting “1/6 of 1 percent of those revenues”;

(6) by striking “For the purpose” and inserting the following:

“(3) POPULATION DETERMINATION.—For the purpose”; and

(7) by adding at the end the following:

“(4) USE OF FUNDS.—In addition to other uses authorized under this Act, amounts apportioned under this subsection may be used for hunter recruitment and recreational shooter recruitment.”.

(b) TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS.—Section 4 of the Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Act (16 U.S.C. 669e) is amended—

(1) by redesignating the second subsection (c) and subsection (d) as subsections (d) and (e), respectively; and
(2) in subsection (e) (as so redesignated), in paragraph (3), by striking “subsection (e)” and inserting “subsection (d)”.

SEC. 204. EXPENDITURES FOR MANAGEMENT OF WILDLIFE AREAS AND RESOURCES.

Section 8 of the Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Act (16 U.S.C. 669g) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), in the third sentence, by striking “and public relations”; and

(2) in subsection (b)(1), by striking “, as a part of such program”.

SEC. 205. FIREARM AND BOW HUNTER EDUCATION AND SAFETY PROGRAM GRANTS.

Section 10(a)(1)(A) of the Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Act (16 U.S.C. 669h–1(a)(1)(A)) is amended—

(1) in clause (iii), by striking “and” at the end; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(v) the enhancement of hunter recruitment and recreational shooter recruit-
ment; and”.
SEC. 206. MULTISTATE CONSERVATION GRANT PROGRAM.

(a) In General.—Section 11 of the Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Act (16 U.S.C. 669h–2) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1)—

(A) by striking “Not more than” and inserting the following:

“(A) In General.—Not more than”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(B) Availability for Hunter and Recreational Shooter Grants.—Not more than $5,000,000 of the revenues covered into the fund from any tax imposed under section 4161(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 for a fiscal year shall be available to the Secretary exclusively for making hunter recruitment and recreational shooter recruitment grants that promote a national hunting and shooting sport recruitment program, including related communication and outreach activities.”;

(2) in subsection (b)(3), in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking “Inter-

(3) in subsection (e)(2)(A)—
(A) in the matter preceding clause (i), by striking “International”; and
(B) in clause (i), by inserting “or to recreational shooting activities” after “wildlife”; and
(4) in subsection (d), by inserting “or to recreational shooting activities” after “wildlife”.
(b) STUDY.—Not later than 10 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Director of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, shall—
(1) review and evaluate the effects of the funds made available under subparagraph (B) of section 11(a)(1) of the Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Act (16 U.S.C. 669h–2(a)(1)) on funds available for wildlife conservation; and
(2) submit a report describing the results of the review and evaluation under paragraph (1) to—
(A) the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate; and
(B) the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives.
TITLE III—NATIONAL FISH HABITAT CONSERVATION THROUGH PARTNERSHIPS

SEC. 301. PURPOSE.

The purpose of this title is to encourage partnerships among public agencies and other interested persons to promote fish conservation—

(1) to achieve measurable habitat conservation results through strategic actions of Fish Habitat Partnerships that lead to better fish habitat conditions and increased fishing opportunities by—

(A) improving ecological conditions;

(B) restoring natural processes; or

(C) preventing the decline of intact and healthy systems;

(2) to establish a consensus set of national conservation strategies as a framework to guide future actions and investment by Fish Habitat Partnerships;

(3) to broaden the community of support for fish habitat conservation by—

(A) increasing fishing opportunities;

(B) fostering the participation of local communities, especially young people in local communities, in conservation activities; and
(C) raising public awareness of the role healthy fish habitat play in the quality of life and economic well-being of local communities;

(4) to fill gaps in the National Fish Habitat Assessment and the associated database of the National Fish Habitat Assessment—

(A) to empower strategic conservation actions supported by broadly available scientific information; and

(B) to integrate socioeconomic data in the analysis to improve the lives of humans in a manner consistent with fish habitat conservation goals; and

(5) to communicate to the public and conservation partners—

(A) the conservation outcomes produced collectively by Fish Habitat Partnerships; and

(B) new opportunities and voluntary approaches for conserving fish habitat.

SEC. 302. DEFINITIONS.

In this title:

(1) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—The term “appropriate congressional committees” means—
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(A) the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate; and

(B) the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives.

(2) BOARD.—The term “Board” means the National Fish Habitat Board established by section 303.

(3) DIRECTOR.—The term “Director” means the Director of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service.

(4) ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR.—The term “Environmental Protection Agency Assistant Administrator” means the Assistant Administrator for Water of the Environmental Protection Agency.

(5) INDIAN TRIBE.—The term “Indian Tribe” has the meaning given to the term “Indian tribe” in section 4 of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 5304).

(6) NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR.—The term “National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Assistant Administrator” means the Assist-
ant Administrator for Fisheries of the National Oce-
anic and Atmospheric Administration.

(7) **PARTNERSHIP.**—The term “Partnership”
means an entity designated by Congress as a Fish
Habitat Partnership under section 304.

(8) **REAL PROPERTY INTEREST.**—The term
“real property interest” means an ownership interest
in—

(A) land; or

(B) water (including water rights).

(9) **MARINE FISHERIES COMMISSIONS.**—The
term “Marine Fisheries Commissions” means—

(A) the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries
Commission;

(B) the Gulf States Marine Fisheries Com-
mission; and

(C) the Pacific States Marine Commission.

(10) **SECRETARY.**—The term “Secretary”
means the Secretary of the Interior.

(11) **STATE.**—The term “State” means each of
the several States, Puerto Rico, American Samoa,
Guan, the Northern Mariana Islands, the United
States Virgin Islands, and the District of Columbia.

(12) **STATE AGENCY.**—The term “State agen-
cy” means—
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(A) the fish and wildlife agency of a State;

and

(B) any department or division of a department or agency of a State that manages in the public trust the inland or marine fishery resources of the State or sustains the habitat for those fishery resources pursuant to State law or the constitution of the State.

SEC. 303. NATIONAL FISH HABITAT BOARD.

(a) Establishment.—

(1) Fish habitat board.—There is established a board, to be known as the "National Fish Habitat Board", whose duties are—

(A) to promote, oversee, and coordinate the implementation of this title;

(B) to establish national goals and priorities for fish habitat conservation;

(C) to recommend to Congress entities for designation as Partnerships; and

(D) to review and make recommendations regarding fish habitat conservation projects.

(2) Membership.—The Board shall be composed of 26 members, of whom—

(A) one shall be a representative of the Department of the Interior;
(B) one shall be a representative of the United States Geological Survey;

(C) one shall be a representative of the Department of Commerce;

(D) one shall be a representative of the Department of Agriculture;

(E) one shall be a representative of the Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies;

(F) four shall be representatives of State agencies, one of whom shall be nominated by a regional association of fish and wildlife agencies from each of the Northeast, Southeast, Midwest, and Western regions of the United States;

(G) two shall be representatives of either—

(i) Indian Tribes in the State of Alaska; or

(ii) Indian Tribes in States other than the State of Alaska;

(H) one shall be a representative of either—

(i) the Regional Fishery Management Councils established under section 302 of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1852); or
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(ii) a representative of the Marine Fisheries Commissions;

(I) one shall be a representative of the Sportfishing and Boating Partnership Council;

(J) seven shall be representatives selected from at least one from each of the following:

(i) the recreational sportfishing industry;

(ii) the commercial fishing industry;

(iii) marine recreational anglers;

(iv) freshwater recreational anglers;

(v) habitat conservation organizations;

and

(vi) science-based fishery organizations;

(K) one shall be a representative of a national private landowner organization;

(L) one shall be a representative of an agricultural production organization;

(M) one shall be a representative of local government interests involved in fish habitat restoration;

(N) two shall be representatives from different sectors of corporate industries, which may include—
(i) natural resource commodity interests, such as petroleum or mineral extraction;

(ii) natural resource user industries;

and

(iii) industries with an interest in fish and fish habitat conservation; and

(O) one shall be a leadership private sector or landowner representative of an active partnership.

(3) COMPENSATION.—A member of the Board shall serve without compensation.

(4) TRAVEL EXPENSES.—A member of the Board may be allowed travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, at rates authorized for an employee of an agency under subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code, while away from the home or regular place of business of the member in the performance of the duties of the Board.

(b) APPOINTMENT AND TERMS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this section, a member of the Board described in any of subparagraphs (F) through (O) of subsection (a)(2) shall serve for a term of 3 years.
(2) Initial Board Membership.—

(A) In General.—The initial Board shall consist of representatives as described in sub-
paragraphs (A) through (F) of subsection (a)(2).

(B) Remaining Members.—Not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the representatives of the initial Board under subparagraph (A) shall appoint the re-
remaining members of the Board described in subparagraphs (H) through (O) of subsection (a)(2).

(C) Tribal Representatives.—Not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall provide to the Board a rec-
ommendation of not fewer than three Tribal representatives, from which the Board shall ap-
point one representative pursuant to subpara-

graph (G) of subsection (a)(2).

(3) Staggered Terms.—Of the members des-
cribed in subsection (a)(2)(J) initially appointed to the Board—

(A) two shall be appointed for a term of 1 year;
(B) two shall be appointed for a term of 2 years; and

(C) three shall be appointed for a term of 3 years.

(4) VACANCIES.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—A vacancy of a member of the Board described in subparagraph (H), (I), (J), (K), (L), (M), (N), or (O) of subsection (a)(2) shall be filled by an appointment made by the remaining members of the Board.

(B) TRIBAL REPRESENTATIVES.—Following a vacancy of a member of the Board described in subparagraph (G) of subsection (a)(2), the Secretary shall recommend to the Board a list of not fewer than three Tribal representatives, from which the remaining members of the Board shall appoint a representative to fill the vacancy.

(5) CONTINUATION OF SERVICE.—An individual whose term of service as a member of the Board expires may continue to serve on the Board until a successor is appointed.

(6) REMOVAL.—If a member of the Board described in any of subparagraphs (H) through (O) of subparagraph (a)(2) misses three consecutive regu-
larly scheduled Board meetings, the members of the
Board may—

(A) vote to remove that member; and

(B) appoint another individual in accord-
ance with paragraph (4).

(e) CHAIRPERSON.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The representative of the
Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies appointed
under subsection (a)(2)(B) shall serve as Chair-
person of the Board.

(2) TERM.—The Chairperson of the Board shall
serve for a term of 3 years.

(d) MEETINGS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Board shall meet—

(A) at the call of the Chairperson; but

(B) not less frequently than twice each cal-
endar year.

(2) PUBLIC ACCESS.—All meetings of the
Board shall be open to the public.

(e) PROCEDURES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Board shall establish
procedures to carry out the business of the Board,
including—
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(A) a requirement that a quorum of the
members of the Board be present to transact
business;

(B) a requirement that no recommenda-
tions may be adopted by the Board, except by
the vote of two-thirds of all members;

(C) procedures for establishing national
goals and priorities for fish habitat conservation
for the purposes of this title;

(D) procedures for designating Partners-
ships under section 304; and

(E) procedures for reviewing, evaluating,
and making recommendations regarding fish
habitat conservation projects.

(2) QUORUM.—A majority of the members of
the Board shall constitute a quorum.

SEC. 304. FISH HABITAT PARTNERSHIPS.

(a) AUTHORITY TO RECOMMEND.—The Board may
recommend to Congress the designation of Fish Habitat
Partnerships in accordance with this section.

(b) PURPOSES.—The purposes of a Partnership shall
be—

(1) to work with other regional habitat con-
servation programs to promote cooperation and co-
ordination to enhance fish populations and fish habitats;

(2) to engage local and regional communities to build support for fish habitat conservation;

(3) to involve diverse groups of public and private partners;

(4) to develop collaboratively a strategic vision and achievable implementation plan that is scientifically sound;

(5) to leverage funding from sources that support local and regional partnerships;

(6) to use adaptive management principles, including evaluation of project success and functionality;

(7) to develop appropriate local or regional habitat evaluation and assessment measures and criteria that are compatible with national habitat condition measures; and

(8) to implement local and regional priority projects that improve conditions for fish and fish habitat.

(e) CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATION.—An entity seeking to be designated by Congress as a Partnership shall—
(1) submit to the Board an application at such time, in such manner, and containing such information as the Board may reasonably require; and

(2) demonstrate to the Board that the entity has—

(A) a focus on promoting the health of important fish and fish habitats;

(B) an ability to coordinate the implementation of priority projects that support the goals and national priorities set by the Board that are within the Partnership boundary;

(C) a self-governance structure that supports the implementation of strategic priorities for fish habitat;

(D) the ability to develop local and regional relationships with a broad range of entities to further strategic priorities for fish and fish habitat;

(E) a strategic plan that details required investments for fish habitat conservation that addresses the strategic fish habitat priorities of the Partnership and supports and meets the strategic priorities of the Board;

(F) the ability to develop and implement fish habitat conservation projects that address
strategic priorities of the Partnership and the Board; and

(G) the ability to develop fish habitat conservation priorities based on sound science and data, the ability to measure the effectiveness of fish habitat projects of the Partnership, and a clear plan as to how Partnership science and data components will be integrated with the overall Board science and data effort.

(d) REQUIREMENTS FOR RECOMMENDATION TO CONGRESS.—The Board may recommend to Congress for designation an application for a Partnership submitted under subsection (c) if the Board determines that the applicant—

(1) meets the criteria described in subsection (c)(2);

(2) identifies representatives to provide support and technical assistance to the Partnership from a diverse group of public and private partners, which may include State or local governments, nonprofit entities, Indian Tribes, and private individuals, that are focused on conservation of fish habitats to achieve results across jurisdictional boundaries on public and private land;
(3) is organized to promote the health of important fish species and important fish habitats, including reservoirs, natural lakes, coastal and marine environments, coral reefs, and estuaries;

(4) identifies strategic fish and fish habitat priorities for the Partnership area in the form of geographical focus areas or key stressors or impairments to facilitate strategic planning and decision making;

(5) is able to address issues and priorities on a nationally significant scale;

(6) includes a governance structure that—

(A) reflects the range of all partners; and

(B) promotes joint strategic planning and decision making by the applicant;

(7) demonstrates completion of, or significant progress toward the development of, a strategic plan to address declines in fish populations, rather than simply treating symptoms, in accordance with the goals and national priorities established by the Board; and

(8) promotes collaboration in developing a strategic vision and implementation program that is scientifically sound and achievable.

(e) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than February 1 of the first fiscal year beginning after the date of enactment of this Act and each February 1 thereafter, the Board shall develop and submit to the appropriate congressional committees an annual report, to be entitled “Report to Congress on Future Fish Habitat Partnerships and Modifications”, that—

(A) identifies each entity that—

(i) meets the requirements described in subsection (d); and

(ii) the Board recommends to Congress for designation as a Partnership;

(B) describes any proposed modifications to a Partnership previously designated by Congress under subsection (f);

(C) with respect to each entity recommended for designation as a Partnership, describes, to the maximum extent practicable—

(i) the purpose of the recommended Partnership; and

(ii) how the recommended Partnership fulfills the requirements described in subsection (d).

(2) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY; NOTIFICATION.—
The Board shall—
(A) make the report publicly available, including on the internet; and

(B) provide to the appropriate congressional committees and the State agency of any State included in a recommended Partnership area written notification of the public availability of the report.

(f) DESIGNATION OR MODIFICATION OF PARTNERSHIP.—Congress shall have the exclusive authority to designate or modify a Partnership.

(g) EXISTING PARTNERSHIPS.—

(1) DESIGNATION REVIEW.—Not later than 5 years after the date of enactment of this Act, any partnership receiving Federal funds as of the date of enactment of this Act shall be subject to a designation review by Congress in which Congress shall have the opportunity to designate the partnership under subsection (f).

(2) INELIGIBILITY FOR FEDERAL FUNDS.—A partnership referred to in paragraph (1) that Congress does not designate as described in that paragraph shall be ineligible to receive Federal funds under this title.
SEC. 305. FISH HABITAT CONSERVATION PROJECTS.

(a) Submission to Board.—Not later than March 31 of each year, each Partnership shall submit to the Board a list of priority fish habitat conservation projects recommended by the Partnership for annual funding under this title.

(b) Recommendations by Board.—Not later than July 1 of each year, the Board shall submit to the Secretary a priority list of fish habitat conservation projects that includes a description, including estimated costs, of each project that the Board recommends that the Secretary approve and fund under this title for the following fiscal year.

(c) Criteria for Project Selection.—The Board shall select each fish habitat conservation project recommended to the Secretary under subsection (b) after taking into consideration, at a minimum, the following information:

(1) A recommendation of the Partnership that is, or will be, participating actively in implementing the fish habitat conservation project.

(2) The capabilities and experience of project proponents to implement successfully the proposed project.

(3) The extent to which the fish habitat conserva—
(A) fulfills a local or regional priority that is directly linked to the strategic plan of the Partnership and is consistent with the purpose of this title;

(B) addresses the national priorities established by the Board;

(C) is supported by the findings of the habitat assessment of the Partnership or the Board, and aligns or is compatible with other conservation plans;

(D) identifies appropriate monitoring and evaluation measures and criteria that are compatible with national measures;

(E) provides a well-defined budget linked to deliverables and outcomes;

(F) leverages other funds to implement the project;

(G) addresses the causes and processes behind the decline of fish or fish habitats; and

(H) includes an outreach or education component that includes the local or regional community.

(4) The availability of sufficient non-Federal funds to match Federal contributions for the fish
habitat conservation project, as required by subsection (e).

(5) The extent to which the fish habitat conservation project—

(A) will increase fish populations in a manner that leads to recreational fishing opportunities for the public;

(B) will be carried out through a cooperative agreement among Federal, State, and local governments, Indian Tribes, and private entities;

(C) increases public access to land or water for fish and wildlife-dependent recreational opportunities;

(D) advances the conservation of fish and wildlife species that have been identified by a State agency as species of greatest conservation need;

(E) where appropriate, advances the conservation of fish and fish habitats under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.) and other relevant Federal law and State wildlife action plans; and
(F) promotes strong and healthy fish habitats so that desired biological communities are able to persist and adapt.

(6) The substantiability of the character and design of the fish habitat conservation project.

(d) LIMITATIONS.—

(1) REQUIREMENTS FOR EVALUATION.—No fish habitat conservation project may be recommended by the Board under subsection (b) or provided financial assistance under this title unless the fish habitat conservation project includes an evaluation plan designed using applicable Board guidance—

(A) to appropriately assess the biological, ecological, or other results of the habitat protection, restoration, or enhancement activities carried out using the assistance;

(B) to reflect appropriate changes to the fish habitat conservation project if the assessment substantiates that the fish habitat conservation project objectives are not being met;

(C) to identify improvements to existing fish populations, recreational fishing opportunities, and the overall economic benefits for the
local community of the fish habitat conservation project; and

(D) to require the submission to the Board of a report describing the findings of the assessment.

(2) ACQUISITION AUTHORITIES.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—A State, local government, or other non-Federal entity is eligible to receive funds for the acquisition of real property from willing sellers under this title if the acquisition ensures—

(i) public access for fish and wildlife-dependent recreation; or

(ii) a scientifically based, direct enhancement to the health of fish and fish populations, as determined by the Board.

(B) STATE AGENCY APPROVAL.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—All real property interest acquisition projects funded under this title must be approved by the State agency in the State in which the project is occurring.

(ii) PROHIBITION.—The Board may not recommend, and the Secretary may not provide any funding for, any real property
interest acquisition that has not been approved by the State agency.

(C) ASSESSMENT OF OTHER AUTHORITIES.—The Board may not recommend, and the Secretary may not provide any funding under this title for, any real property interest acquisition unless the Partnership that recommended the project has conducted a project assessment, submitted with the funding request and approved by the Board, to demonstrate all other Federal, State, and local authorities for the acquisition of real property have been exhausted.

(D) RESTRICTIONS.—A real property interest may not be acquired pursuant to a fish habitat conservation project by a State, local government, or other non-Federal entity conducted with funds provided under this title, unless—

(i) the owner of the real property authorizes the State, local government, or other non-Federal entity to acquire the real property; and

(ii) the Secretary and the Board determine that the State, local government, or other non-Federal entity would benefit
from undertaking the management of the real property being acquired because that is in accordance with the goals of a Partnership.

(e) NON-FEDERAL CONTRIBUTIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraphs (2) and (4), no fish habitat conservation project may be recommended by the Board under subsection (b) or provided financial assistance under this title unless at least 50 percent of the cost of the fish habitat conservation project will be funded with non-Federal funds.

(2) NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—Such non-Federal share of the cost of a fish habitat conservation project—

(A) may not be derived from another Federal grant program; and

(B) may include in-kind contributions and cash.

(3) SPECIAL RULE FOR INDIAN TRIBES.—Notwithstanding paragraph (1) or any other provision of law, any funds made available to an Indian Tribe pursuant to this title may be considered to be non-Federal funds for the purpose of paragraph (1).
(4) Waiver Authority.—The Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Commerce with respect to marine or estuarine projects, may waive the application of paragraph (2)(A) with respect to a State or an Indian Tribe, or otherwise reduce the portion of the non-Federal share of the cost of an activity required to be paid by a State or an Indian Tribe under paragraph (1), if the Secretary determines that the State or Indian Tribe does not have sufficient funds not derived from another Federal grant program to pay such non-Federal share, or portion of the non-Federal share, without the use of loans.

(f) Approval.—

(1) In general.—Not later than 90 days after the date of receipt of the recommended priority list of fish habitat conservation projects under subsection (b), and subject to subsection (d) and based, to the maximum extent practicable, on the criteria described in subsection (c), the Secretary, after consulting with the Secretary of Commerce on marine or estuarine projects, shall approve or reject any fish habitat conservation project recommended by the Board.
(2) FUNDING.—If the Secretary approves a fish
habitat conservation project under paragraph (1),
the Secretary shall use amounts made available to
carry out this title to provide funds to carry out the
fish habitat conservation project.

(3) NOTIFICATION.—If the Secretary rejects
under paragraph (1) any fish habitat conservation
project recommended by the Board, not later than
90 days after the date of receipt of the recommenda-
tion, the Secretary shall provide to the Board, the
appropriate Partnership, and the appropriate con-
gressional committees a written statement of the
reasons that the Secretary rejected the fish habitat
conservation project.

SEC. 306. TECHNICAL AND SCIENTIFIC ASSISTANCE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Director, the National Oce-
anic and Atmospheric Administration Assistant Adminis-
trator, the Environmental Protection Agency Assistant
Administrator, and the Director of the United States Geo-
logical Survey, in coordination with the Forest Service and
other appropriate Federal departments and agencies, may
provide scientific and technical assistance to Partnerships,
participants in fish habitat conservation projects, and the
Board.
(b) INCLUSIONS.—Scientific and technical assistance provided under subsection (a) may include—

(1) providing technical and scientific assistance to States, Indian Tribes, regions, local communities, and nongovernmental organizations in the development and implementation of Partnerships;

(2) providing technical and scientific assistance to Partnerships for habitat assessment, strategic planning, and prioritization;

(3) supporting the development and implementation of fish habitat conservation projects that are identified as high priorities by Partnerships and the Board;

(4) supporting and providing recommendations regarding the development of science-based monitoring and assessment approaches for implementation through Partnerships;

(5) supporting and providing recommendations for a national fish habitat assessment;

(6) ensuring the availability of experts to assist in conducting scientifically based evaluation and reporting of the results of fish habitat conservation projects; and

(7) providing resources to secure State agency scientific and technical assistance to support Part-
nerships, participants in fish habitat conservation projects, and the Board.

SEC. 307. COORDINATION WITH STATES AND INDIAN TRIBES.

The Secretary shall provide a notice to, and cooperate with, the appropriate State agency or Tribal agency, as applicable, of each State and Indian Tribe within the boundaries of which an activity is planned to be carried out pursuant to this title, including notification, by not later than 30 days before the date on which the activity is implemented.

SEC. 308. INTERAGENCY OPERATIONAL PLAN.

Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, and every 5 years thereafter, the Director, in cooperation with the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Assistant Administrator, the Environmental Protection Agency Assistant Administrator, the Director of the United States Geological Survey, and the heads of other appropriate Federal departments and agencies (including, at a minimum, those agencies represented on the Board) shall develop an interagency operational plan that describes—

(1) the functional, operational, technical, scientific, and general staff, administrative, and material needs for the implementation of this title; and
(2) any interagency agreements between or
among Federal departments and agencies to address
those needs.

SEC. 309. ACCOUNTABILITY AND REPORTING.

(a) REPORTING.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 5 years after
the date of enactment of this Act, and every 5 years
thereafter, the Board shall submit to the appropriate
congressional committees a report describing the
progress of this title.

(2) CONTENTS.—Each report submitted under
paragraph (1) shall include—

(A) an estimate of the number of acres,
stream miles, or acre-feet, or other suitable
measures of fish habitat, that was maintained
or improved by Partnerships under this title
during the 5-year period ending on the date of
submission of the report;

(B) a description of the public access to
fish habitats established or improved under this
title during that 5-year period;

(C) a description of the improved opportu-
nities for public recreational fishing achieved
under this title; and
(D) an assessment of the status of fish
habitat conservation projects carried out with
funds provided under this title during that pe-
period, disaggregated by year, including—

(i) a description of the fish habitat
conservation projects recommended by the
Board under section 305(b);

(ii) a description of each fish habitat
conservation project approved by the Sec-
retary under section 305(f), in order of
priority for funding;

(iii) a justification for—

(I) the approval of each fish
habitat conservation project; and

(II) the order of priority for
funding of each fish habitat conserva-
tion project;

(iv) a justification for any rejection of
a fish habitat conservation project rec-
ommended by the Board under section
305(b) that was based on a factor other
than the criteria described in section
305(c); and

(v) an accounting of expenditures by
Federal, State, or local governments, In-
dian Tribes, or other entities to carry out
fish habitat conservation projects under
this title.

(b) STATUS AND TRENDS REPORT.—Not later than
December 31, 2021, and every 5 years thereafter, the
Board shall submit to the appropriate congressional com-
mittees a report that includes—

(1) a status of all Partnerships designated
under this title;

(2) a description of the status of fish habitats
in the United States as identified by designated
Partnerships; and

(3) enhancements or reductions in public access
as a result of—

(A) the activities of the Partnerships; or

(B) any other activities carried out pursu-
ant to this title.

SEC. 310. EFFECT OF THIS TITLE.

(a) WATER RIGHTS.—Nothing in this title—

(1) establishes any express or implied reserved
water right in the United States for any purpose;

(2) affects any water right in existence on the
date of enactment of this Act;

(3) preempts or affects any State water law or
interstate compact governing water; or
(4) affects any Federal or State law in existence on the date of enactment of the Act regarding water quality or water quantity.

(b) AUTHORITY TO ACQUIRE WATER RIGHTS OR RIGHTS TO PROPERTY.—Only a State, local government, or other non-Federal entity may acquire, under State law, water rights or rights to property with funds made available through section 312.

(c) STATE AUTHORITY.—Nothing in this title—

(1) affects the authority, jurisdiction, or responsibility of a State to manage, control, or regulate fish and wildlife under the laws and regulations of the State; or

(2) authorizes the Secretary to control or regulate within a State the fishing or hunting of fish and wildlife.

(d) EFFECT ON INDIAN TRIBES.—Nothing in this title abrogates, abridges, affects, modifies, supersedes, or alters any right of an Indian Tribe recognized by treaty or any other means, including—

(1) an agreement between the Indian Tribe and the United States;

(2) Federal law (including regulations);

(3) an Executive order; or

(4) a judicial decree.
(e) **ADJUDICATION OF WATER RIGHTS.**—Nothing in this title diminishes or affects the ability of the Secretary to join an adjudication of rights to the use of water pursuant to subsection (a), (b), or (c) of section 208 of the Departments of State, Justice, Commerce, and The Judiciary Appropriation Act, 1953 (43 U.S.C. 666).

(f) **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AUTHORITY.**—Nothing in this title affects the authority, jurisdiction, or responsibility of the Department of Commerce to manage, control, or regulate fish or fish habitats under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.).

(g) **EFFECT ON OTHER AUTHORITIES.**—

(1) **PRIVATE PROPERTY PROTECTION.**—Nothing in this title permits the use of funds made available to carry out this title to acquire real property or a real property interest without the written consent of each owner of the real property or real property interest, respectively.

(2) **MITIGATION.**—Nothing in this title authorizes the use of funds made available to carry out this title for fish and wildlife mitigation purposes under—

(A) the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.);
(B) the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act
(16 U.S.C. 661 et seq.);
(C) the Water Resources Development Act
of 1986 (Public Law 99–662; 100 Stat. 4082);
or
(D) any other Federal law or court settle-
ment.
(3) CLEAN WATER ACT.—Nothing in this title
affects any provision of the Federal Water Pollution
Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.), including any
definition in that Act.
SEC. 311. NONAPPLICABILITY OF FEDERAL ADVISORY COM-
MITTEE ACT.
The Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.)
shall not apply to—
(1) the Board; or
(2) any Partnership.
SEC. 312. FUNDING.
(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—
(1) FISH HABITAT CONSERVATION PROJECTS.—
There is authorized to be appropriated to the Sec-
retary $7,200,000 for each of fiscal years 2021
through 2025 to provide funds for fish habitat con-
servation projects approved under section 305(f), of
which 5 percent is authorized only for projects car-
ried out by Indian Tribes.

(2) ADMINISTRATIVE AND PLANNING EXPENSES.—There is authorized to be appropriated to
the Secretary for each of fiscal years 2021 through
2025 an amount equal to 5 percent of the amount
appropriated for the applicable fiscal year pursuant
to paragraph (1)—

(A) for administrative and planning exp-
enses under this title; and

(B) to carry out section 309.

(3) TECHNICAL AND SCIENTIFIC ASSISTANCE.—
There is authorized to be appropriated for each of
fiscal years 2021 through 2025 to carry out, and
provide technical and scientific assistance under, sec-
tion 306—

(A) $400,000 to the Secretary for use by
the United States Fish and Wildlife Service;

(B) $400,000 to the National Oceanic and
Atmospheric Administration Assistant Adminis-
trator for use by the National Oceanic and At-
mospheric Administration;

(C) $400,000 to the Environmental Pro-
tection Agency Assistant Administrator for use
by the Environmental Protection Agency;
(D) $400,000 to the Secretary for use by
the United States Geological Survey; and
(E) $400,000 to the Secretary of Agri-
culture, acting through the Chief of the Forest
Service, for use by the Forest Service.

(b) AGREEMENTS AND GRANTS.—The Secretary
may—

(1) on the recommendation of the Board, and
notwithstanding sections 6304 and 6305 of title 31,
United States Code, and the Federal Financial Assis-
tance Management Improvement Act of 1999 (31
U.S.C. 6101 note; Public Law 106–107), enter into
a grant agreement, cooperative agreement, or con-
tract with a Partnership or other entity to provide
funds authorized by this title for a fish habitat con-
servation project or restoration or enhancement
project;

(2) apply for, accept, and, subject to the avail-
ability of appropriations, use a grant from any indi-
vidual or entity to carry out the purposes of this
title; and

(3) subject to the availability of appropriations,
make funds authorized by this Act available to any
Federal department or agency for use by that de-
partment or agency to provide grants for any fish
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1 habitat protection project, restoration project, or en-
2 hancement project that the Secretary determines to
3 be consistent with this title.
4 (c) DONATIONS.—
5 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may—
6 (A) enter into an agreement with any orga-
7 nization described in section 501(c)(3) of the
8 Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that is exempt
9 from taxation under section 501(a) of that
10 Code to solicit private donations to carry out
11 the purposes of this title; and
12 (B) accept donations of funds, property,
13 and services to carry out the purposes of this
14 title.
15 (2) TREATMENT.—A donation accepted under
16 this title—
17 (A) shall be considered to be a gift or be-
18 quest to, or otherwise for the use of, the United
19 States; and
20 (B) may be—
21 (i) used directly by the Secretary; or
22 (ii) provided to another Federal de-
23 partment or agency through an inter-
24 agency agreement.
SEC. 313. PROHIBITION AGAINST IMPLEMENTATION OF REGULATORY AUTHORITY BY FEDERAL AGENCIES THROUGH PARTNERSHIPS.

Any Partnership designated under this title—

(1) shall be for the sole purpose of promoting fish conservation; and

(2) shall not be used to implement any regulatory authority of any Federal agency.

TITLE IV—MISCELLANEOUS

SEC. 401. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING CONSERVATION AGREEMENTS AND ACTIVITIES.

It is the sense of the Senate that—

(1) voluntary conservation agreements benefit species and the habitats on which the species rely;

(2) States, Indian Tribes, units of local government, landowners, and other stakeholders should be encouraged to participate in voluntary conservation agreements; and

(3) the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Director of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, and the Secretary of Commerce, acting through the Assistant Administrator of the National Marine Fisheries Service, should consider the enrollment in, and performance of, conservation agreements and investment in, and implementation of, general conservation activities by States, Indian
Tribes, units of local government, landowners, and other stakeholders in making determinations under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.).

SEC. 402. STUDY TO REVIEW CONSERVATION FACTORS.

(a) DEFINITION OF SECRETARIES.—In this section, the term “Secretaries” means—

(1) the Secretary of Agriculture;

(2) the Secretary of Commerce, acting through the Assistant Administrator of the National Marine Fisheries Service; and

(3) the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Director of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service.

(b) STUDY.—To assess factors affecting successful conservation activities under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), the Secretaries shall carry out a study—

(1) to review any factors that threaten or endanger a species for which a listing under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) would not contribute to the conservation of the species;

(2) to review any barriers to—
(A) the delivery of Federal, State, local, or private funds for such conservation activities, including statutory or regulatory impediments, staffing needs, and other relevant considerations; or

(B) the implementation of conservation agreements, plans, or other cooperative agreements, including agreements focused on voluntary activities, multispecies efforts, and other relevant considerations;

(3) to review factors that impact the ability of the Federal Government to successfully implement the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.);

(4) to develop recommendations regarding methods to address barriers identified under paragraph (2), if any;

(5) to review determinations under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) in which a species is determined to be recovered by the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Director of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, or the Secretary of Commerce, acting through the Assistant Administrator of the National Marine
Fisheries Service, but remains listed under that Act, including—

(A) an explanation of the factors preventing a delisting or downlisting of the species; and

(B) recommendations regarding methods to address the factors described in subparagraph (A); and

(6) to review any determinations under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) in which a species has been identified as needing listing or uplisting under that Act but remains unlisted or listed as a threatened species, respectively, including—

(A) an explanation of the factors preventing a listing or uplisting of the species; and

(B) recommendations regarding methods to address the factors described in subparagraph (A).

(c) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretaries shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations and Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committees on Appropriations and Natural Resources of the House of Rep-
resentatives and make publicly available a report describ-
ing the results of the study under subsection (b).

SEC. 403. STUDY AND REPORT ON EXPENDITURES.

(a) REPORTS ON EXPENDITURES.—

(1) FEDERAL DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—At the determination of
the Comptroller General of the United States
(referred to in this section as the “Comptroller
General”), to facilitate the preparation of the
reports from the Comptroller General under
paragraph (2), the head of each Federal depart-
ment and agency shall submit to the Com-
troller General data and other relevant informa-
tion that describes the amounts expended or
disbursed (including through loans, loan guar-
antees, grants, or any other financing mecha-
ism) by the department or agency as a direct
result of any provision of the Endangered Spe-
cies Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) (in-
cluding any regulation promulgated pursuant to
that Act) during—

(i) with respect to the first report
under paragraph (2), the 3 fiscal years
preceeding the date of submission of the re-
port; and
(ii) with respect to the second report under paragraph (2), the 2 fiscal years preceding the date of submission of the report.

(B) REQUIREMENTS.—Data and other relevant information submitted under subparagraph (A) shall describe, with respect to the applicable amounts—

(i) the programmatic office of the department or agency on behalf of which each amount was expended or disbursed;

(ii) the provision of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) (or regulation promulgated pursuant to that Act) pursuant to which each amount was expended or disbursed; and

(iii) the project or activity carried out using each amount, in detail sufficient to reflect the breadth, scope, and purpose of the project or activity.

(2) COMPTROLLER GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years and 4 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations, Commerce, Science, and Transportation, and Environment and Public
Works of the Senate and the Committee on Appropriations and Natural Resources of the House of Representatives a report that describes—

(A) the aggregate amount expended or disbursed by all Federal departments and agencies as a direct result of any provision of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) (including any regulation promulgated pursuant to that Act) during—

(i) with respect to the first report, the 3 fiscal years preceding the date of submission of the report; and

(ii) with respect to the second report, the 2 fiscal years preceding the date of submission of the report;

(B) the provision of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) (or regulation promulgated pursuant to that Act) pursuant to which each such amount was expended or disbursed; and

(C) with respect to each relevant department or agency—

(i) the total amount expended or disbursed by the department or agency as described in subparagraph (A); and
(ii) the information described in clauses (i) through (iii) of paragraph (1)(B).

(b) REPORT ON CONSERVATION ACTIVITIES.—

(1) FEDERAL DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES.—

At the determination of the Comptroller General, to facilitate the preparation of the report under paragraph (2), the head of each Federal department and agency shall submit to the Comptroller General data and other relevant information that describes the conservation activities by the Federal department or agency as a direct result of any provision of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) (including any regulation promulgated pursuant to that Act) during—

(A) with respect to the first report under paragraph (2), the 3 fiscal years preceding the date of submission of the report; and

(B) with respect to the second report under paragraph (2), the 2 fiscal years preceding the date of submission of the report.

(2) COMPTROLLER GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years and 4 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the Committees on Commerce, Science, and Transpor-
tation and Environment and Public Works of the
Senate and the Committee on Natural Resources of
the House of Representatives a report that—

(A) describes the conservation activities by
all Federal departments and agencies for spe-
cies listed as a threatened species or endan-
gered species under the Endangered Species
Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), as re-
ported under paragraph (1), during—

(i) with respect to the first report, the
3 fiscal years preceding the date of submis-
sion of the report; and

(ii) with respect to the second report,
the 2 fiscal years preceding the date of
submission of the report;

(B) is organized into categories with re-
spect to whether a recovery plan for a species
has been established;

(C) includes conservation outcomes associ-
ated with the conservation activities; and

(D) as applicable, describes the conserva-
tion activities that required interaction between
Federal agencies and between Federal agencies
and State and Tribal agencies and units of local
governments pursuant to the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.).

SEC. 404. USE OF VALUE OF LAND FOR COST SHARING.

The Pittman-Robertson Wildlife Restoration Act (16 U.S.C. 669 et seq.) is amended—

(1) by redesignating section 13 as section 14; and

(2) by inserting after section 12 the following:

“SEC. 13. VALUE OF LAND.

“Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any institution eligible to receive Federal funds under the Agricultural Research, Extension, and Education Reform Act of 1998 (7 U.S.C. 7601 et seq.) shall be allowed to use the value of any land owned by the institution as an in-kind match to satisfy any cost sharing requirement under this Act.”
Senator BARRASSO. Members have filed amendments to the bill. So before we proceed, I want to acknowledge several amendments. Senator Carper, we would love to have you, if you would like to, make an opening statement. I am just reading through some of the amendments that we have on this bill that we have talked about. Senators Merkley and Rounds have filed an amendment regarding pollinators on roadsides and highways rights of way. We are going to work with Senators Merkley and Rounds to address the issue of pollinators as we move the highway bill to the floor.

[The text of Merkley Amendment #1 follows:]
Merkley Amendment #1 Summary

This amendment directs the U.S. Department of Transportation to create a discretionary grant program for state departments of transportation, tribes, or federal land management agencies to implement activities designed to benefit pollinators on roadsides and highway rights-of-way, including, among other activities, the planting and seeding of native, locally appropriate grasses, wildflowers, and milkweed; practices relating to mowing strategies; and / or the implementation of an integrated vegetation management plan.
S. 3051, Merkley #1

AMENDMENT NO.______ Calendar No.______

Purpose: To require the Secretary of Transportation to establish a program to provide grants to carry out activities to benefit pollinators on roadsides and highway rights-of-way, including the planting and seeding of native, locally-appropriate grasses and wildflowers, including milkweed.


S. 3051

To improve protections for wildlife, and for other purposes.

Referred to the Committee on ________________ and
ordered to be printed

Ordered to lie on the table and to be printed

AMENDMENT intended to be proposed by Mr. Merkley

Viz:

1. At the end of title IV, add the following:

2 SEC. 4. POLLINATOR-FRIENDLY PRACTICES ON ROADSIDES AND HIGHWAY RIGHTS-OF-WAY.

3. (a) In general.—Chapter 3 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

4. **§331. Pollinator-friendly practices on roadsides and highway rights-of-way**

9. **(a) In general.—The Secretary shall establish a program to provide grants to eligible entities to carry out**
activities to benefit pollinators on roadsides and highway
rights-of-way, including the planting and seeding of na-
tive, locally-appropriate grasses and wildflowers, including
milkweed.

"(b) ELIGIBLE ENTITIES.—An entity eligible to re-
ceive a grant under this section is—

“(1) a State department of transportation;
“(2) an Indian tribe; or
“(3) a Federal land management agency.

“(c) APPLICATION.—To be eligible to receive a grant
under this section, an eligible entity shall submit to the
Secretary an application at such time, in such manner,
and containing such information as the Secretary may re-
quire, including a pollinator-friendly practices plan de-
scribed in subsection (d).

“(d) POLLINATOR-FRIENDLY PRACTICES PLAN.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—An eligible entity shall in-
clude in the application under subsection (c) a plan
that describes the pollinator-friendly practices that
the eligible entity has implemented or plans to im-
plement, including—

“(A) practices relating to mowing strate-
gies that promote early successional vegetation
and limit disturbance during periods of highest
use by target pollinator species on roadsides and highway rights-of-way, such as—

“(i) reducing the mowing swath outside of the State-designated safety zone;

“(ii) increasing the mowing height;

“(iii) reducing the mowing frequency;

“(iv) refraining from mowing monarch and other pollinator habitat during periods in which monarchs or other pollinators are present;

“(v) use of a flushing bar and cutting at reduced speeds to reduce pollinator deaths due to mowing; or

“(vi) reducing raking along roadsides and highway rights-of-way;

“(B) implementation of an integrated vegetation management plan that includes approaches such as mechanical tree and brush removal, targeted and judicious use of herbicides, and mowing, to address weed issues on roadsides and highway rights-of-way;

“(C) planting or seeding of native, locally-appropriate grasses and wildflowers, including milkweed, on roadsides and highway rights-of-
way to enhance pollinator habitat, including larva
val host plants;

“(D) removing nonnative grasses from
planting and seeding mixes, except for use as
nurse or cover crops; or

“(E) any other pollinator-friendly practices
the Secretary determines to be appropriate.

“(2) COORDINATION.—In developing a plan
under paragraph (1), an eligible entity that is a
State department of transportation or a Federal
land management agency shall coordinate with appli
cable State agencies, including State agencies with
jurisdiction over agriculture and fish and wildlife.

“(3) CONSULTATION.—In developing a plan
under paragraph (1)—

“(A) an eligible entity that is a State de
partment of transportation or a Federal land
management agency shall consult with affected
or interested Indian tribes; and

“(B) any eligible entity may consult with
nonprofit organizations, institutions of higher
education, metropolitan planning organizations,
and any other relevant entities.

“(e) AWARD OF GRANTS.—
“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall provide a grant to each eligible entity that submits an application under subsection (c), including a plan under subsection (d), that the Secretary determines to be satisfactory.

“(2) AMOUNT OF GRANTS.—The amount of a grant under this section—

“(A) shall be based on the number of pollinator-friendly practices the eligible entity has implemented or plans to implement; and

“(B) shall not exceed $150,000.

“(f) USE OF FUNDS.—An eligible entity that receives a grant under this section shall use the funds for the implementation, improvement, or further development of the plan under subsection (d).

“(g) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of the cost of an activity carried out with a grant under this section shall be 100 percent.

“(h) BEST PRACTICES.—The Secretary shall develop and make available to eligible entities best practices for, and a priority ranking of, pollinator-friendly practices on roadsides and highway rights-of-way.

“(i) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—On request of an eligible entity that receives a grant under this section, the Secretary shall provide technical assistance with the imple-
mentation, improvement, or further development of a plan
under subsection (d).

"(j) ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—For each fiscal year,
the Secretary may use not more than 2 percent of the
amounts made available to carry out this section for the
administrative costs of carrying out this section.

"(k) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date
on which the first grant is provided under this section,
the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Environ-
ment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee
on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
Representatives a report on the implementation of the pro-
gram under this section.

"(l) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—There is authorized to be
appropriated to carry out this section $5,000,000 for
each of fiscal years 2020 through 2026.

"(2) AVAILABILITY.—Amounts made available
under this section shall remain available for a period
of 3 years after the last day of the fiscal year for
which the funds are authorized."

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chap-
ter 3 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by add-
ing at the end the following:

"331. Pollinator-friendly practices on roadsides and highway rights-of-way."
Senator BARRASSO. Senator Carper, would you like to say a few words, either about specifically the Merkley-Rounds amendment or in general about the markup today?

OPENING STATEMENT OF HON. THOMAS R. CARPER, U.S. SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF DELAWARE

Senator CARPER. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I will be brief.

Colleagues, good morning, everyone.

Good morning, Mr. Chair, and thank you.

We have 19 General Services Administration resolutions on our agenda, as well as the nomination of Robert Feitel to be the Inspector General of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Before I begin, I want to say I am encouraged that our Committee is moving expeditiously to advance this nomination. We cannot be complacent when it comes to nuclear safety. We need to ensure that our Nation’s nuclear industry is held to the very highest standards. That means we need a strong and independent NRC Inspector General. I believe Mr. Feitel is well qualified and prepared to take on this important responsibility.

I also want to use this opportunity to focus on the bipartisan legislation we have before us today, America’s Conservation Enhancement Act, or the ACE Act. This Congress, our Committee has examined a number of wildlife conservation challenges, including invasive species and wildlife disease. At each hearing, our witnesses emphasized the need for Federal action to address these conservation challenges.

After weeks of negotiation, Chairman Barrasso and I introduced the bipartisan ACE Act, late last week, to enable some of those actions. In addition to creating innovative new programs, the ACE Act will reauthorize existing wildlife and habitat conservation projects that have a demonstrated history of success. Many of these programs leverage private dollars and local partnerships that will create tremendous opportunities in my State of Delaware.

I am going to ask that the rest of my statement be entered for the record. I am pleased with our action today, and I thank my colleagues.

[The prepared statement of Senator Carper was not received at time of print.]

Senator BARRASSO. Without objection, it will be.

Senators Booker, Merkley, and Whitehouse filed an amendment regarding wildlife corridors. I worked with Senators Carper and Booker on wildlife corridors in the highway bill, and we are going to continue to work with them on wildlife mitigation issues.

[The text of Booker Amendment #1 follows:]
S. 3051, Booker #1

Booker 1 establishes National Wildlife Corridors to provide for the protection and restoration of certain native fish, wildlife, and plant species.
AMENDMENT NO._______ Calendar No._______

Purpose: To establish National Wildlife Corridors to provide for the protection and restoration of certain native fish, wildlife, and plant species.


S. 3051

To improve protections for wildlife, and for other purposes.

Referred to the Committee on ______________ and ordered to be printed

Ordered to lie on the table and to be printed

AMENDMENT intended to be proposed by Mr. BOOKER (for himself, Mr. MERKLEY, and Mr. WHITEHOUSE)

Viz:

1 After title III, insert the following:

2 TITLE IV—WILDLIFE CORRIDORS CONSERVATION

3 SEC. 401. FINDINGS AND PURPOSES.
4 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—
5 (1) the native fish, wildlife, and plant species in the United States are part of a rich natural heritage and an important legacy to pass on to future generations;
6 (2) the populations of many native fish, wildlife, and plant species in the United States are in decline;
(3) scientists estimate that 1 in 5 animal and plant species in the United States is at risk of extinction, and many species are declining in numbers;

(4) threats to the survival and diversity of many native fish, wildlife, and plant species in the United States include the loss, degradation, fragmentation, and obstruction of natural habitats;

(5) climate change threatens native fish, wildlife, and plant species;

(6) the 2019 global assessment report from the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services found that—

(A) 1,000,000 wildlife and plant species worldwide are now threatened with extinction;

and

(B) 75 percent of the land-based environment, and approximately 66 percent of the marine environment, have been significantly altered by human actions;

(7) the conservation of new and existing landscape and seascape corridors, through which native species can transition from 1 habitat to another, plays an important role in helping—

(A) to conserve native biodiversity; and
(B) to ensure resiliency against impacts from a range of biotic and abiotic stressors;

(8) the conservation, restoration, and establishment of new ecological connections to facilitate the movement of species into more suitable habitats is a key climate change adaptation strategy;

(9) the protection of new and existing corridors is often one of the first steps in restoration and recovery planning;

(10) States have recognized the importance of habitat connectivity, including—

(A) a New England Governors and Eastern Canadian Premiers' Conference on the importance of connectivity for ecosystem adaptability and resilience, biodiversity, and human communities; and

(B) an expired 2007 policy resolution of the Western Governors’ Association;

(11) the strategic plan of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service to respond to accelerating climate change entitled “Rising to the Urgent Challenge” acknowledges that “processes such as pollination, seed dispersal, nutrient cycling, natural disturbance cycles, predator-prey relations, and others must be part of the natural landscapes we seek to
maintain or restore . . . and are likely to function more optimally in landscapes composed of large habitat blocks connected by well-placed corridors";

(12) Federal and State agencies continue to develop policies to address—

(A) the importance of conserving fish, wildlife, and plant corridors;

(B) the gap between science and management for at-risk species; and

(C) ecological connectivity; and

(13) Federal policies consistently recognize the importance of voluntary improvement projects by private landowners to habitat conservation and restoration for native species.

(b) PURPOSES.—The purposes of this title are—

(1) to support a diverse array of native species, including species protected under Federal, State, and Tribal law, that have experienced or may experience habitat loss, degradation, fragmentation, or obstruction to connectivity;

(2) to provide long-term habitat connectivity for native species migration, dispersal, adaptation to climate and other environmental change, and genetic exchange;
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(3) to help restore wildlife movements that have been disrupted by habitat loss, degradation, fragmentation, or obstruction;

(4) to facilitate coordinated landscape- and seascape-scale connectivity planning and management across jurisdictions; and

(5) to support State, Tribal, local, voluntary private landowner, and Federal agency decision-makers in the planning and development of National Wildlife Corridors.

SEC. 402. DEFINITIONS.

In this title:

(1) APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS.—The term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

(A) the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate;

(B) the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate;

(C) the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate;

(D) the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives;

(E) the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives; and
(F) the Committee on Appropriations of
the House of Representatives.

(2) CONNECTIVITY.—The term “connectivity”
means the degree to which the landscape or seascape
facilitates native species movement.

(3) CORRIDOR.—The term “corridor” means a
feature of the landscape or seascape that—

(A) provides habitat or ecological
connectivity; and

(B) allows for native species movement or
dispersal.

(4) DATABASE.—The term “Database” means
the National Wildlife Corridors Database established
under section 441(a).

(5) FEDERAL LAND OR WATER.—The term
“Federal land or water” means any land or water,
or interest in land or water, owned by the United
States.

(6) FUND.—The term “Fund” means the Wild-
life Corridors Stewardship Fund established by sec-
tion 451(a).

(7) HABITAT.—The term “habitat” means
land, water, and substrate occupied at any time dur-
ing the life cycle of a native species that is nec-
essary, with respect to the native species, for spawn-
ing, breeding, feeding, growth to maturity, or migration.

(8) **INDIAN LAND.**—The term "Indian land" means land of an Indian tribe, or an Indian individual, that is—

(A) held in trust by the United States; or

(B) subject to a restriction against alienation imposed by the United States.

(9) **INDIAN TRIBE.**—The term "Indian tribe" has the meaning given the term in section 4 of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 5304).

(10) **NATIONAL COORDINATION COMMITTEE.**—The term "National Coordination Committee" means the National Coordination Committee established under section 432(a).

(11) **NATIONAL WILDLIFE CORRIDOR.**—The term "National Wildlife Corridor" means any Federal land or water designated as a National Wildlife Corridor under section 411(a).

(12) **NATIONAL WILDLIFE CORRIDOR SYSTEM.**—The term "National Wildlife Corridor System" means the system of National Wildlife Corridors established by section 411(a).
(13) NATIVE SPECIES.—The term "native species" means—

(A) an indigenous fish, wildlife, or plant species of the United States, including subspecies and plant varieties;

(B) a fish, wildlife, or plant species not indigenous to the United States that the Secretary determines to be—

(i) noninvasive; or

(ii) beneficial to the biodiversity of the natural ecosystem; and

(C) a migratory bird species that is native to the United States or its territories (as defined in section 2(b) of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 U.S.C. 703(b))).

(14) REGIONAL OCEAN PARTNERSHIP.—The term "regional ocean partnership" means a regional organization of coastal or Great Lakes States, territories, or possessions voluntarily convened by Governors to address cross-jurisdictional ocean matters, or the functional equivalent of such a regional ocean organization designated by the Governor or Governors of a State or States.

(15) REGIONAL WILDLIFE MOVEMENT COUNCIL.—The term "regional wildlife movement council"
means a regional wildlife movement council established under section 433(a).

(16) SECRETARIES.—The term "Secretaries" means—

(A) the Secretary of Agriculture;

(B) the Secretary of Commerce;

(C) the Secretary of Defense;

(D) the Secretary of the Interior; and

(E) the Secretary of Transportation.

(17) SECRETARY.—The term "Secretary" means the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Director of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service.

(18) TRIBAL WILDLIFE CORRIDOR.—The term "Tribal Wildlife Corridor" means a corridor established by the Secretary under section 421(a)(1)(C).

(19) UNITED STATES.—The term "United States", when used in a geographical sense, means—

(A) a State;

(B) the District of Columbia;

(C) the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico;

(D) Guam;

(E) American Samoa;
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(F) the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands;
(G) the Federated States of Micronesia;
(H) the Republic of the Marshall Islands;
(I) the Republic of Palau;
(J) the United States Virgin Islands; and
(K) the territorial sea (within the meaning of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation
and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.)) and the exclusive economic zone (as defined in
section 3 of that Act (16 U.S.C. 1802)) within the jurisdiction or sovereignty of the Federal
Government.

(20) WILDLIFE MOVEMENT.—The term “wild-
life movement” means the passage of individual
members or populations of a fish, wildlife, or plant
species across a landscape or seaseape.

Subtitle A—National Wildlife Cor-
ridor System on Federal Land
and Water

SEC. 411. NATIONAL WILDLIFE CORRIDORS.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established a system
of corridors on Federal land and water, to be known as
the “National Wildlife Corridor System”, which shall con-
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sist of National Wildlife Corridors designated as part of
the National Wildlife Corridor System by—

(1) statute;

(2) rulemaking under section 412; or

(3) a land management plan developed or re-
vised under section 202 of the Federal Land Policy

(b) STRATEGY.—Not later than 18 months after the
date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall develop
a strategy for the effective development of the National
Wildlife Corridor System—

(1) to support the fulfillment of the purposes
described in section 401(b);

(2) to ensure coordination and consistency
across Federal agencies in the development, imple-
mentation, and management of National Wildlife
Corridors; and

(3) to develop a timeline for the implementa-
ion of National Wildlife Corridors.

SEC. 412. ADMINISTRATIVE DESIGNATION OF NATIONAL
WILDLIFE CORRIDORS.

(a) RULEMAKING.—

(1) NATIONAL WILDLIFE CORRIDORS.—Not
later than 2 years after the date of enactment of
this Act, the Secretary, in consultation with the Sec-
retaries, pursuant to the land, water, and resource
management planning and conservation authorities
of the Secretaries, shall establish a process, by regu-
lation, for the designation and management of Na-
tional Wildlife Corridors on Federal land or water
under the respective jurisdictions of the Secretaries.

(2) Federal land and water manage-
ment.—The Secretaries shall consider the designa-
tion of National Wildlife Corridors in any process re-
lating to the issuance, revision, or modification of a
management plan for land or water under the re-
spective jurisdiction of the Secretaries.

(b) Criteria for designation.—The regulations
promulgated by the Secretary under subsection (a)(1)
shall ensure that, in designating a National Wildlife Cor-
ridor, the Secretaries—

(1) base the designation of the National Wild-
life Corridor on—

(A) coordination with existing—

(i) National Wildlife Corridors;

(ii) corridors established by States;

and

(iii) Tribal Wildlife Corridors; and

(B) the best available science of—

(i) existing native species habitat; and
(ii) likely future native species habitats;

(2) determine that the National Wildlife Corridor supports the connectivity, persistence, resilience, and adaptability of the native species for which it has been designated by providing for—

(A) dispersal and genetic exchange between populations;

(B) range shifting, range expansion, or range restoration, such as in response to climate change;

(C) seasonal movement or migration; or

(D) succession, movement, or recolonization following—

(i) a disturbance, such as fire, flood, drought, or infestation; or

(ii) population decline or previous extirpation;

(3) consult the Database; and

(4) consider recommendations from the National Coordination Committee under section 432(e)(2)(C).

(c) DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL LAND OR WATER REQUIRING RESTORATION OR CONNECTION OF HABITAT.—
The Secretaries may designate as a National Wildlife Corridor land or water that—

(1) is necessary for the natural movements of 1 or more native species;

(2) requires restoration, including—

(A) land or water that is degraded; and

(B) land or water from which a species is currently absent—

(i) but may be colonized or recolonized by the species naturally; or

(ii) to which the species may be reintroduced or restored based on habitat changes; and

(3) is fragmented or consists of only a portion of the habitat required for the connectivity needs of 1 or more native species.

(d) NOMINATION FOR DESIGNATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—In establishing the process for designation under subsection (a)(1), the Secretary shall include procedures under which—

(A) any State, Tribal, or local government, or a nongovernmental organization engaged in the conservation of native species and the improvement of the habitats of native species, may submit to the Secretaries a nomination to des-
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(1) designate as a National Wildlife Corridor an area under the respective jurisdiction of the Secretaries; and

(B) the Secretaries shall consider and, not later than 1 year after the date on which the nomination was submitted under subparagraph (A), respond to any nomination submitted under that subparagraph.

(2) SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION.—A nomination for designation under paragraph (1)(A) shall include supporting documentation, including—

(A) the native species for which the National Wildlife Corridor would be designated;

(B) summaries and references of, with respect to the designation of a National Wildlife Corridor—

(i) the best science available at the time of the submission of the nomination for designation documenting why the corridor is needed; and

(ii) the most current scientific reports available at the time of the submission of the nomination for designation;
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(C) information with respect to how the nomination was coordinated with potential partners;

(D) a description of supporting stakeholders, such as States, Indian tribes, local governments, scientific organizations, nongovernmental organizations, and affected voluntary private landowners; and

(E) any additional information the Secretaries, in consultation with the National Coordination Committee, determine is relevant to the nomination.

(c) DESIGNATION ON MILITARY LAND.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Any designation of a National Wildlife Corridor on a military installation (as defined in section 100 of the Sikes Act (16 U.S.C. 670))—

(A) shall be consistent with the use of military installations and State-owned National Guard installations to ensure the preparedness of the Armed Forces; and

(B) may not result in a net loss in the capability of military installation lands to support the military mission of the installation.
(2) Suspension or termination of designation.—The Secretary of Defense may suspend or terminate the designation of any National Wildlife Corridor on a military installation if the Secretary of Defense considers the suspension or termination to be necessary for military purposes, after public notice of—

(A) the suspension or termination; and

(B) any voluntary steps taken by the Department of Defense to attempt to provide similar ecological connectivity elsewhere on the military installation.

SEC. 413. MANAGEMENT OF NATIONAL WILDLIFE CORRIDORS.

(a) In General.—The Secretaries shall, consistent with other applicable Federal land and water management requirements, laws, and regulations, manage each National Wildlife Corridor under the respective administrative jurisdiction of the Secretaries in a manner that contributes to the long-term connectivity, persistence, resilience, and adaptability of native species for which the National Wildlife Corridor is identified, including through—

(1) the maintenance and improvement of habitat connectivity within the National Wildlife Corridor;
(2) the implementation of strategies and activities that enhance the ability of native species to respond to climate change and other environmental factors;

(3) the maintenance or restoration of the integrity and functionality of the National Wildlife Corridor;

(4) the mitigation or removal of human infrastructure that obstructs the natural movement of native species; and

(5) the use of existing conservation programs, including Tribal Wildlife Corridors, under the respective jurisdiction of the Secretaries to contribute to the connectivity, persistence, resilience, and adaptability of native species.

(b) NATIONAL WILDLIFE CORRIDORS SPANNING MULTIPLE JURISDICTIONS.—In the case of a National Wildlife Corridor that spans the administrative jurisdiction of 2 or more of the Secretaries, the relevant Secretaries shall coordinate management of the National Wildlife Corridor in accordance with section 414(b) to advance the purposes described in section 401(b).

(c) ROAD MITIGATION.—In the case of a National Wildlife Corridor that intersects, adjoins, or crosses a new or existing State, Tribal, or local road or highway, the rel-
event Secretaries shall coordinate with the Secretary of Transportation and State, Tribal, and local transportation agencies, as appropriate, to identify and implement voluntary environmental mitigation measures—

(1) to improve public safety and reduce vehicle-caused native species mortality while maintaining habitat connectivity; and

(2) to mitigate damage to the natural movements of native species through strategies such as—

(A) the construction, maintenance, or replacement of native species underpasses, overpasses, and culverts; and

(B) the maintenance, replacement, or removal of dams, bridges, culverts, and other hydrological obstructions.

(d) COMPATIBLE USES.—A use of Federal land or water that was authorized before the date on which the Federal land or water is designated as a National Wildlife Corridor may continue if the applicable Secretaries determine that the use is compatible with the wildlife movements of the species for which the National Wildlife Corridor was designated, consistent with applicable Federal laws and regulations.
SEC. 414. COLLABORATION AND COORDINATION.

(a) COLLABORATION.—The Secretaries may partner with and provide funds to States, local governments, Indian tribes, the National Coordination Committee, voluntary private landowners, and the regional wildlife movement councils to support the purposes described in section 401(b).

(b) COORDINATION.—To the maximum extent practicable and consistent with applicable law, the Secretary or Secretaries, as applicable, shall develop the strategy under section 411(b), designate National Wildlife Corridors under section 412, and manage National Wildlife Corridors under section 413—

(1) in consultation and coordination with—

(A) other relevant Federal agencies;

(B) States, including—

(i) State fish and wildlife agencies;

and

(ii) other State agencies responsible for managing the natural resources and wildlife;

(C) Indian tribes;

(D) units of local government;

(E) other interested stakeholders identified by the Secretary, including applicable voluntary private landowners;
(F) landscape- and seascape-scale partnerships, including—

(i) the National Fish Habitat Partnership;

(ii) the National Marine Fisheries Service;

(iii) regional fishery management councils established under section 302(a) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1852(a));

(iv) relevant regional ocean partnerships;

(v) the Climate Science Centers of the Department of the Interior; and

(vi) the Landscape Conservation Cooperative Network;

(G) the National Coordination Committee; and

(H) the regional wildlife movement councils.

SEC. 415. EFFECT.

(a) RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER CONSERVATION LAWS.—Nothing in this title amends or otherwise affects
any other law (including regulations) relating to the con-
servation of native species.

(b) JURISDICTION OF STATES AND INDIAN
TRIBES.—Nothing in this title or an amendment made by
this title affects the jurisdiction of a State or an Indian
tribe with respect to fish and wildlife management, includ-
ing the regulation of hunting, fishing, and trapping, in a
National Wildlife Corridor or a Tribal Wildlife Corridor.

Subtitle B—Tribal Wildlife
Corridors

SEC. 421. TRIBAL WILDLIFE CORRIDORS.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—

(A) NOMINATIONS.—An Indian tribe may
nominate a corridor within Indian land of the
Indian tribe as a Tribal Wildlife Corridor by
submitting to the Secretary, in consultation
with the Director of the Bureau of Indian Af-
fairs (referred to in this section as the “Sec-
retary”), an application at such time, in such
manner, and containing such information as the
Secretary may require.

(B) DETERMINATION.—Not later than 90
days after the date on which the Secretary re-
ceives an application under subparagraph (A),
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the Secretary shall determine whether the nominated Tribal Wildlife Corridor described in the application meets the criteria established under paragraph (2).

(C) Publication.—On approval of an application under subparagraph (B), the Secretary shall publish in the Federal Register a notice of the establishment of the Tribal Wildlife Corridor, which shall include a map and legal description of the land designated as a Tribal Wildlife Corridor.

(2) Criteria.—

(A) In General.—Not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall establish criteria for determining whether a corridor nominated by an Indian tribe under paragraph (1)(A) qualifies as a Tribal Wildlife Corridor.

(B) Inclusions.—The criteria established under subparagraph (A) shall include, at a minimum, the following:

(i) The restoration of historical habitat for the purposes of facilitating connectivity.
(ii) The management of land for the purposes of facilitating connectivity.

(iii) The management of land to prevent the imposition of barriers that may hinder current or future connectivity.

(3) REMOVAL.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—An Indian tribe may elect to remove the designation of a Tribal Wildlife Corridor on the Indian land of the Indian tribe by notifying the Secretary.

(B) EFFECT OF REMOVAL.—An Indian tribe that elects to remove a designation under subparagraph (A) may not receive assistance under subsection (c) or (d)(1) or section 431.

(b) COORDINATION OF LAND USE PLANS.—Section 202 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1712) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) by striking "Indian tribes by" and inserting the following: "Indian tribes—" (1) by";

(B) in paragraph (1) (as so designated), by striking the period at the end and inserting "; and"

(C) by adding at the end the following:
“(2) for the purposes of determining whether the land use plans for land in the National Forest System would provide additional connectivity to benefit the purposes of a Tribal Wildlife Corridor established under section 421(a)(1) of the America’s Conservation Enhancement Act.”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(g) TRIBAL WILDLIFE CORRIDORS.—On the establishment of a Tribal Wildlife Corridor under section 421(a)(1) of the America’s Conservation Enhancement Act, the Secretary shall conduct a meaningful consultation with the Indian tribe that administers the Tribal Wildlife Corridor to determine whether, through the revision of 1 or more existing land use plans, the Tribal Wildlife Corridor can—

“(1) be expanded into public lands; or

“(2) otherwise benefit connectivity (as defined in section 402 of that Act) between public lands and the Tribal Wildlife Corridor.”.

(c) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—The Secretary shall provide to Indian tribes technical assistance relating to the establishment, management, and expansion of a Tribal Wildlife Corridor, including assistance with accessing wildlife data and working with voluntary private landowners
to access Federal and State programs to improve wildlife
habitat and connectivity on non-Federal land.

(d) AVAILABILITY OF ASSISTANCE.—

(1) CONSERVATION PROGRAMS CONSIDER-
ATION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—In evaluating applica-
tions under conservation programs described in
subparagraph (B), the Secretary of Agriculture
may consider whether a project would enhance
connectivity through the expansion of a Tribal
Wildlife Corridor.

(B) PROGRAMS DESCRIBED.—The con-
servation programs referred to in subparagraph
(A) are any of the following conservation pro-
grams administered by the Secretary of Agri-
culture:

(i) The conservation reserve program
established under subchapter B of chapter
1 of subtitle D of title XII of the Food Se-
curity Act of 1985 (16 U.S.C. 3831 et
seq.).

(ii) The environmental quality incen-
tives program established under subchapter
A of chapter 4 of subtitle D of title XII of
the Food Security Act of 1985 (16 U.S.C. 3839aa et seq.).

(iii) The conservation stewardship program established under subchapter B of chapter 4 of subtitle D of title XII of the Food Security Act of 1985 (16 U.S.C. 3839aa–21 et seq.).

(iv) The agricultural conservation easement program established under subtitle H of title XII of the Food Security Act of 1985 (16 U.S.C. 3865 et seq.).

(2) WILDLIFE MOVEMENTS GRANT PROGRAM.—An Indian tribe that has a Tribal Wildlife Corridor established on the Indian land of the Indian tribe shall be eligible for a grant under the wildlife movements grant program under section 431, subject to other applicable requirements of that grant program.

(e) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this section authorizes or affects the use of private property or Indian land.

SEC. 422. PROTECTION OF INDIAN TRIBES.

(a) FEDERAL TRUST RESPONSIBILITY.—Nothing in this title amends, alters, or waives the Federal trust responsibility to Indian tribes.

(b) FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT.—
(1) **Exemption.**—Information described in paragraph (2) shall not be subject to disclosure under section 552 of title 5, United States Code (commonly known as the "Freedom of Information Act"), if the head of the agency that receives the information, in consultation with the Secretary and the affected Indian tribe, determines that disclosure may—

(A) cause a significant invasion of privacy;

(B) risk harm to human remains or resources, cultural items, uses, or activities; or

(C) impede the use of a traditional religious site by practitioners.

(2) **Information described.**—Information referred to in paragraph (1) is information received by a Federal agency—

(A) pursuant to this title relating to—

(i) the location, character, or ownership of human remains of a person of Indian ancestry; or

(ii) resources, cultural items, uses, or activities identified by an Indian tribe as traditional or cultural because of the long-established significance or ceremonial nature to the Indian tribe; or
(B) pursuant to the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (25 U.S.C. 3001 et seq.).

Subtitle C—Wildlife Movement Grant Program on Non-Federal Land and Water

SEC. 431. WILDLIFE MOVEMENTS GRANT PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall establish a wildlife movements grant program (referred to in this section as the "grant program") to encourage wildlife movement in accordance with this section.

(b) GRANTS.—Beginning not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary, based on recommendations from the National Coordination Committee under section 432(e)(2)(C), shall make grants to 1 or more projects that—

(1) are a regional priority project identified by a regional wildlife movement council;

(2) satisfy the purposes described in section 401(b); and

(3) increase connectivity for native species.

(c) ELIGIBLE RECIPIENTS.—A person that is eligible to receive a grant under the grant program is—

(1) a voluntary private landowner or group of landowners;
(2) a State fish and wildlife agency or other State agency responsible for managing natural resources and wildlife;

(3) an Indian tribe;

(4) a unit of local government;

(5) an agricultural cooperative;

(6) water, irrigation, or rural water districts or associations, or other organizations with water delivery authority (including acequias and land grant communities in the State of New Mexico);

(7) institutions of higher education;

(8) an entity approved for a grant by a regional wildlife movement council; and

(9) any group of entities described in paragraphs (1) through (8).

(d) REQUIREMENTS.—In administering the grant program, the Secretary shall use the criteria, guidelines, contracts, reporting requirements, and evaluation metrics developed by the National Coordination Committee under subparagraphs (A) and (B) of section 432(a)(2).

SEC. 432. NATIONAL COORDINATION COMMITTEE.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—Not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall establish a committee, to be known as the “National Coordination Committee.”
(b) **Administrative Support.**—The Secretary shall provide administrative support for the National Coordination Committee.

(c) **Membership.**—The National Coordination Committee shall be composed of—

1. (1) the Secretary (or a designee);
2. (2) the Secretary of Transportation (or a designee);
3. (3) the Secretary of Agriculture (or a designee);
4. (4) the Secretary of Commerce (or a designee);
5. (5) the Secretary of Defense (or a designee);
6. (6) the Director of the Bureau of Indian Affairs (or a designee);
7. (7) the Executive Director of the Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies (or a designee);
8. (8) 2 representatives of intertribal organizations, to be appointed by the Secretary;
9. (9) the chairperson of each regional wildlife movement council (or a designee); and
10. (10) not more than 3 representatives of nongovernmental, science, or academic organizations with expertise in wildlife conservation and habitat connectivity, to be appointed by the Secretary in a manner that ensures that the membership of the
National Coordination Committee is fair and balanced.

(d) **Chairperson.**—The National Coordination Committee shall select a Chairperson and Vice Chairperson from among the members of the National Coordination Committee.

(e) **Duties.**—The National Coordination Committee—

(1) shall establish standards for regional wildlife movement plans to allow for better cross-regional collaboration; and

(2) shall, with respect to the wildlife movements grant program under section 431—

(A) establish criteria and develop guidelines for the solicitation of applications for grants by regional wildlife movement councils;

(B) develop standardized contracts, reporting requirements, and evaluation metrics for grant recipients; and

(C) make recommendations annually to the Secretary for the selection of grant recipients on the basis of the ranked lists of regional priority projects received from the regional wildlife movement councils under section 433(c)(4) that
are consistent with the purposes described in section 401(b).

(f) APPLICABILITY OF FACA.—Except as otherwise provided in this section, the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall apply to the National Coordination Committee.

SEC. 433. REGIONAL WILDLIFE MOVEMENT COUNCILS.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall establish not less than 4 regional wildlife movement councils with separate geographic jurisdictions that encompass the entire United States.

(b) MEMBERSHIP.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Each regional wildlife movement council shall be composed of—

(A) the director of each State fish and wildlife agency within the jurisdiction of the regional wildlife movement council (or a designee);

(B) balanced representation from Tribal governments within the jurisdiction of the regional wildlife movement council;

(C) to serve as a Federal agency liaison and nonvoting, ex officio member—
(i) the Director of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (or a designee); or

(ii) the director of any applicable regional office of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (or a designee);

(D) not more than 3 representatives of nongovernmental, science, or academic organizations with expertise in native species conservation and the habitat connectivity needs of the region covered by the regional wildlife movement council; and

(E) not more than 3 voluntary representatives of private landowners with property in the applicable region, not less than 1 of whom shall be a farmer or rancher.

(2) REQUIREMENTS.—

(A) MEMBERSHIP.—The Secretary shall ensure that the membership of each regional wildlife movement council is fair and balanced in terms of expertise and perspectives represented.

(B) EXPERTISE.—Each regional wildlife movement council shall include experts in eco-
logical connectivity, native species ecology, and ecological adaptation.

(3) **CHAIRPERSON.**—Each regional wildlife movement council shall select a Chairperson from among the members of the regional wildlife movement council.

(c) **DUTIES.**—Each regional wildlife movement council shall—

(1) not later than 2 years after the date of establishment of the regional wildlife movement council and in accordance with any standards established by the National Coordination Committee, prepare and submit to the Secretary and the National Coordination Committee a regional wildlife movement plan that maintains natural wildlife movement by identifying research priorities and data needs for the Database that is revised, amended, or updated not less frequently than once every 5 years;

(2) provide for public engagement, including engagement of Indian tribes, at appropriate times and in appropriate locations in the region covered by the regional wildlife movement council, to allow all interested persons an opportunity to be heard in the development and implementation of a regional wildlife movement plan under paragraph (1);
(3) solicit applications for wildlife movement grants under section 431 in accordance with the criteria and guidelines established by the National Coordination Council under section 432(e)(2)(A);

(4) in accordance with the criteria and guidelines established under section 432(e)(2)(A), submit to the National Coordination Committee an annual list of regional priority projects, in ranked order, for wildlife movements grants under section 431 to maintain wildlife movements in the area under the jurisdiction of the regional wildlife movement council; and

(5) submit to the Secretary and the National Coordination Committee, and make publicly available, an annual report describing the activities of the regional wildlife movement council.

(d) COORDINATION.—If applicable, to increase habitat connectivity between designated Federal land and water and non-Federal land and water, a regional wildlife movement council shall coordinate with—

(1) Federal agencies;

(2) Indian tribes;

(3) regional fishery management councils established under section 302(a) of the Magnuson-Stevens
Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1852(a));

(4) migratory bird joint ventures partnerships recognized by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service with respect to migratory bird species;

(5) State fish and wildlife agencies;

(6) regional associations of fish and wildlife agencies;

(7) nongovernmental organizations;

(8) applicable voluntary private landowners;

(9) the National Coordination Committee;

(10) fish habitat partnerships;

(11) other regional wildlife movement councils with respect to crossregional projects;

(12) international wildlife management entities with respect to transboundary species in accordance with trade policies of the United States; and

(13) Federal and State transportation agencies.

(e) APPLICABILITY OF FACA.—Except as otherwise provided in this section, the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall apply to the regional wildlife movement councils.
Subtitle D—National Wildlife Corridors Database

SEC. 441. NATIONAL WILDLIFE CORRIDORS DATABASE.

(a) In General.—Not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the United States Geological Survey (referred to in this section as the “Director”), in consultation with the National Coordination Committee and the regional wildlife movement councils, shall establish a database, to be known as the “National Wildlife Corridors Database”.

(b) Contents.—

(1) In General.—The Database shall—

(A) include maps, data, models, surveys, and descriptions of native species habitats, wildlife movements, and corridors that have been developed by Federal agencies that pertain to Federal land and water;

(B) include maps, models, analyses, and descriptions of projected shifts in habitats, wildlife movements, and corridors of native species in response to climate change or other environmental factors;

(C) reflect the best scientific data and information available; and
(D) in accordance with the requirements of the Geospatial Data Act of 2018 (Public Law 115–254), have the data, models, and analyses included in the Database available at scales useful to State, Tribal, local, and Federal agency decisionmakers and the public.

(e) REQUIREMENTS.—Subject to subsection (d), the Director, in collaboration with the National Coordination Committee, the regional wildlife movement councils, and the Administrator of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, shall—

(1) design the Database to support State, Tribal, local, voluntary private landowner, and Federal agency decisionmakers and the public with data that will allow those entities—

(A) to prioritize and target natural resource adaptation strategies and enhance existing State and Tribal corridor protections;

(B) to assess the impacts of proposed energy, water, transportation, and transmission projects, and other development activities, and to avoid, minimize, and mitigate the impacts of those projects and activities on National Wildlife Corridors;
(C) to assess the impact of new and existing development on native species habitats and National Wildlife Corridors; and

(D) to develop strategies that promote habitat connectivity to allow native species to move—

(i) to meet biological and ecological needs;

(ii) to adjust to shifts in habitat; and

(iii) to adapt to climate change;

(2) establish a coordination process among Federal agencies to update maps and other information with respect to landscapes, seascapes, native species habitats and ranges, habitat connectivity, National Wildlife Corridors, and wildlife movement changes as information based on new scientific data becomes available; and

(3) not later than 5 years after the date of enactment of this Act, and not less frequently than once every 5 years thereafter, develop, submit a report to the Secretary and the appropriate committees of Congress, and make publicly available a report, that, with respect to the Database—

(A) outlines the categories for data that may be included in the Database;
(B) outlines the data protocols and standards for each category of data in the Database;

(C) identifies gaps in native species habitat and National Wildlife Corridor information;

(D) prioritizes research and future data collection activities for use in updating the Database; and

(E) evaluates and quantifies the efficacy of the Database to meet the needs of the entities described in paragraph (1).

(d) PROPRIETARY INTERESTS AND PROTECTED INFORMATION.—In developing the Database, the Director shall—

(1) as applicable, protect proprietary interests with respect to any licensed information, licensed data, and other items contained in the Database; and

(2) protect information in the Database with respect to the habitats and ranges of specific native species to prevent poaching, illegal taking and trapping, and other related threats to native species.

Subtitle E—Funding

SEC. 451. WILDLIFE CORRIDORS STEWARDSHIP FUND.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT AND CONTENTS.—There is established in the Treasury a fund, to be known as the
“Wildlife Corridors Stewardship Fund”, that consists of donations of amounts accepted under subsection (e).

(b) USE.—The Fund—

(1) shall be administered by the Secretary and the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation, acting jointly; and

(2) may be used by the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation to enhance the management and protection of National Wildlife Corridors by providing financial assistance to the Federal Government, Indian tribes, and nongovernmental, science, and academic organizations.

(c) DONATIONS.—The National Fish and Wildlife Foundation may solicit and accept donations of amounts for deposit into the Fund.

(d) COORDINATION.—In administering the Fund, the Secretary and the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation may coordinate with regional wildlife movement councils, regional ocean partnerships, and the National Coordination Committee to the maximum extent practicable.

(c) DISCLOSURE OF USE.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter, the Secretary and the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation shall make publicly available a description of usage of the Fund during the preceding calendar year.
SEC. 452. WORKING LANDSCAPES.

The Secretary of Agriculture may—

(1) direct investments in working landscapes through conservation programs under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of Agriculture, including programs under title XII of the Food Security Act of 1985 (16 U.S.C. 3801 et seq.), to support the purposes described in section 401(b); and

(2) notwithstanding any other provision of law, give priority under the conservation programs described in paragraph (1) to non-Federal land and water for the purposes described in section 401(b).

SEC. 453. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

(a) NATIONAL WILDLIFE CORRIDOR SYSTEM.—

There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out subtitle A for fiscal year 2020 and each fiscal year thereafter—

(1) to the Secretary, $7,500,000;

(2) to the Secretary of Agriculture, $3,000,000;

(3) to the Secretary of Defense, $1,500,000;

(4) to the Secretary of Commerce, $3,000,000;

and

(5) to the Secretary of Transportation, $3,000,000.

(b) TRIBAL WILDLIFE CORRIDORS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out subtitle B
(c) WILDLIFE MOVEMENTS GRANT PROGRAM AND
REGIONAL WILDLIFE MOVEMENT COUNCILS.—

(1) WILDLIFE MOVEMENT GRANT PROGRAM.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—There is authorized to
be appropriated to the Secretary to carry out
the wildlife movements grant program under
section 431 $50,000,000 for fiscal year 2022
and each fiscal year thereafter.

(B) REQUIREMENTS.—Amounts appro-
priated under subparagraph (A) may be used to
complement or match other Federal or non-
Federal funding received by the projects funded
by those grants.

(C) ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT.—Not
more than 5 percent of amounts appropriated
under subparagraph (A) may be used for ad-
ministrative support.

(2) REGIONAL WILDLIFE MOVEMENT COUN-
CILS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—There is authorized to
be appropriated to the Secretary to provide sup-
port for the regional wildlife movement councils
to carry out section 433 $1,000,000 for fiscal year 2020 and each fiscal year thereafter.

(B) **Equal Division.**—Amounts appropriated under subparagraph (A) shall be proportionally divided between each regional wildlife movement council.

(d) **National Wildlife Corridors Database.**—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary to carry out section 441—

(1) $3,000,000 for fiscal year 2020; and

(2) $1,500,000 for fiscal year 2021 and each fiscal year thereafter.
Senator BARRASSO. There is an amendment we were discussing earlier filed by Senator Cardin regarding the National Wildlife Refuges, and Senator Sullivan appropriately requested additional time to study the issue. We are not going to consider that amendment at this time, but I look forward to working with both of them to address the matter in the future.

The Ranking Member and I have agreed to vote on the three amendments en bloc by voice vote. Members may choose to have their votes recorded for a specific item in that bloc after the voice vote.

We have agreed to consider Barrasso-Carper No. 1, Cardin-Van Hollen No. 1, and also Merkley-Booker No. 3, which we agreed to accept as filed after the filing deadline, and which we circulated yesterday afternoon. I am pleased to accept these amendments.

So I would like to now call up Barrasso-Carper 1, Cardin-Van Hollen No. 1, and Merkley-Booker No. 3 en bloc. I ask that members hold discussion on their agreed upon amendments until after we complete voting.

I move to approve Barrasso-Carper 1, Cardin-Van Hollen 1, and Merkley-Booker No. 3 en bloc. Is there a second?

Senator CARPER. Second.

Senator BARRASSO. All those in favor, say aye.

[Chorus of ayes.]

Senator BARRASSO. Opposed, nay.

[No audible response.]

Senator BARRASSO. In the opinion of the Chair, the ayes have it.

We have adopted and agreed to Barrasso-Carper 1, Cardin-Van Hollen 1, Merkley-Booker No. 3.

Seeing no further members wishing to offer amendments, I ask unanimous consent that the Chair be authorized to incorporate the amendments we have agreed to into a single amendment in the nature of a substitute to S. 3051, without objection.

I now move to approve the substitute amendment to S. 3051 and report S. 3051, as amended, favorably to the Senate. Is there a second?

Senator CARDIN. Second.

Senator BARRASSO. All those in favor, say aye.

[Chorus of ayes.]

Senator BARRASSO. Opposed, nay.

[No audible response.]

Senator BARRASSO. In the opinion of the Chair, the ayes have it.

We have approved S. 3051 as amended. That will be reported favorably to the Senate.

Now I would like to call up Presidential Nomination 1247, Robert Feitel, of Maryland, to be Inspector General of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and 19 General Services Administration Resolutions en bloc.

Senator CARDIN. Mr. Chairman.

Senator BARRASSO. Senator Cardin.

Senator CARDIN. I would ask unanimous consent to submit for the record a letter from GSA. This letter provides assurances that none of the funds authorized for consolidated activities programs for fiscal year 2020 will be used toward the FBI headquarters. The letter is dated December 16th of this year.
Senator BARRASSO. Without objection, so ordered.
[The referenced information follows:]
December 16, 2019

The Honorable Benjamin L. Cardin
United States Senate
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Cardin:

This letter is in response to a phone call from a member of the Senate Committee on the Environment and Public Works (EPPW) staff on December 13, 2019, requesting a letter from the U.S. General Services Administration (GSA) confirming that no funds identified in the fiscal year (FY) 2020 Consolidation Activities Program prospectus submissions would be used for the U.S. Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation’s (FBI) new headquarters project. GSA confirms that no such funds would be used for the FBI’s new headquarters project.

Given this information, I respectfully request that the Committee on Environment and Public Works approve the FY 2020 prospectus at the Committee’s next business meeting.

If you have any additional questions or concerns, please contact me at (202) 501-0563.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey A. Post
Associate Administrator
Senator BARRASSO. We move now to approve and report Presidential Nomination 1247 and approve 19 GSA Resolutions en bloc. Is there a second?

Senator CARPER. Second.

Senator BARRASSO. All those in favor, say aye.

[Chorus of ayes.]

Senator BARRASSO. Opposed, nay.

[No audible response.]

Senator BARRASSO. In the opinion of the Chair, the ayes have it. We have approved Presidential Nomination 1247, which will be reported favorably to the Senate. We have also approved 19 GSA Resolutions.

The voting part of the meeting has concluded. I would be happy to recognize any member who wishes to speak.

Senator CARPER. Mr. Chairman.

Senator BARRASSO. Senator Carper.

Senator CARPER. Could I just say one thing before we leave? Senator Sullivan and I were fortunate to sit together for the Army-Navy football game on Saturday. We were proud of our teams, and proud of the Army as well. It was great.

There all kinds of people wearing Army uniforms, Navy uniforms, Marine, Air Force uniforms that day. I said, different uniforms, same team. Different uniforms, same team. And I feel that on this Committee, we wear different uniforms, but on a day like today, same team.

Thank you.

Senator BARRASSO. Thank you very much, Senator Carper.

Now that we have approved this, would anyone like to make statements on any of—Senator Van Hollen.

Senator VAN HOLLEN. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to thank you and Senator Carper for teaming up and proposing this really important piece of bipartisan legislation. Thank you for that.

I want to second some of the comments Senator Cardin made regarding the EPA program for the Chesapeake Bay, both the reauthorization at a somewhat higher level, the Chesapeake Gateways Programs and specifically the new Chesapeake WILD Act, which will provide Fish and Wildlife with the authority to work with folks in the Bay to better restore habitat for wildlife. I am grateful for the inclusion of that, and thank you both for your leadership.

Senator BARRASSO. Thank you very much, Senator Van Hollen.

Senator MERKLEY. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate your team working with my team for the Amendment No. 3, and for your statement regarding the Monarch Pollinators Highway.

The Monarch has suffered a huge decline in its center of the country. There are two main Monarch populations: one east of the Rockies, one west of the Rockies. West of the Rockies is even more devastated, with 90-plus percent loss within the last decade, and an indication that this year is half of last year. So it is a very dramatic impact, and I look forward to having that conversation as part of the highway bill.

The whole idea is based on Tennessee, which enabled plots of milkweed and other pollinator friendly plants, to be patched along
the State highways. It just seems like a simple way to help provide additional habitat, and a valuable thing to undertake. Thank you for your offer to help when we come to the highway bill.

Senator BARRASSO. Absolutely. Thank you for your leadership on this.

Senator Carper.

Senator CARPER. Thanks. I want to commend Senator Merkley for his amendment and for shining a light on the plight of our Nation's pollinators. Pollinators like Monarch butterflies and bees have experienced population declines in recent years due to climate change, habitat loss, pesticide use, and other factors. These declines not only present a serious threat to the health of natural ecosystems, but also to our Nation's food supply and agricultural economy.

This last Saturday, we celebrated the 75th anniversary of the Delaware Farm Bureau, the establishment of the Delaware Farm Bureau in the State of Delaware. I don't think I ever go to a Farm Bureau meeting without some farmer raising this issue with me in the first day.

It is important that we take steps to protect and enhance the health of these vulnerable populations. Senator Merkley's amendment would create a discretionary grant program for States and tribes to create pollinator friendly habitats on roadsides and rights of way. I am proud to be a cosponsor of the standalone legislation from which Senator Merkley drafted this amendment.

All that said, our Committee included carefully negotiated pollinator provisions in our bipartisan highway bill.

Chairman Barrasso, I would like to work with you and Senator Merkley, if we could, to try to improve upon those provisions as the bill heads to the floor, instead of amending the ACE Act to include this program. We look forward to doing that, and I appreciate your willingness to work with us toward that end.

Thank you.

Senator BARRASSO. At this time, I ask unanimous consent that the staff have authority to make technical and conforming changes to each of the matters approved today, without objection.

And with that, our business meeting is concluded; the meeting is adjourned.

[Whereupon, at 9:58 a.m., the meeting was adjourned.]

[Additional legislation submitted for the record follows:]
This amendment makes technical and minor substantive corrections to the introduced bill. It fixes a textual error relating to the inclusion of Indian tribes or tribal organizational representatives to the CWD Task Force under section 104. It also clarifies that payment by the specified Secretaries of actual expenses incurred by the National Academy of Science in conducting the CWD transmission study is subject to available appropriations, while adding additional study content, data sharing privacy protections, and adjusting the study report’s deadline. The amendment changes the name of section 105, while specifying that the Secretary of the Interior is the agency’s recipient of the $2.5 million in authorized appropriations. The amendment adjusts the funding section of Title III to make the fiscal years during which appropriations are authorized for National Fish Habitat Conservation through Partnerships consistent with other authorizations under this Act. Finally, it clarifies that when conducting the study under section 402 that is to review conservation factors under the Endangered Species Act, it should review factors under which a listing under ESA would not contribute to the conservation of the species.
AMENDMENT NO.______

Calendar No.______

Purpose: To improve the bill.


S.3051

To improve protections for wildlife, and for other purposes.

Referred to the Committee on ________________________ and ordered to be printed

Ordered to lie on the table and to be printed

AMENDMENTS intended to be proposed by

________________________

Viz:

1  On page 22, line 13, strike "for each of clauses (iv) and (v)".

3  On page 22, line 18, strike "(v)" and insert "(vi)".

4  On page 27, line 24, strike "Secretaries." and insert "Secretaries, subject to the availability of appropriations."

7  On page 29, line 4, strike "(i)(I); and" and insert "(i)(I) and potential prevention, detection, and control methods identified under clause (v);".

10 On page 29, line 7, strike "(iii); and" and insert "(iii), based on the likelihood that a project will contribute
On page 29, between lines 7 and 8, insert the following:

(v) potential prevention, detection, or control measures, practices, or technologies to be used to mitigate the transmission and spread of chronic wasting disease in wild, captive, and farmed populations of cervids in the United States;

(B) assess the effectiveness of the potential prevention, detection, or control measures, practices, or technologies identified under subparagraph (A)(v); and

On page 29, line 8, strike "(B)" and insert "(C)".

On page 29, line 10, insert "prevention, detection, and" before "management".

On page 29, line 19, strike "and".

On page 30, line 2, strike "States." and insert "States; and".

On page 30, between lines 2 and 3, insert the following:

(iv) industry or academia, in the case of any published guidance on practices that provide practical, science-based rec-
commendations to cervid producers for minimizing or eliminating the risk of transmission of chronic wasting disease within or between herds.

On page 30, lines 8 and 9, strike “entity conducting the study under paragraph (2)” and insert “Academy, as necessary to conduct the study under paragraph (2), subject to the avoidance of a violation of a privacy or confidentiality requirement and the protection of confidential or privileged commercial, financial, or proprietary information.”.

On page 30, line 15, strike “On” and insert “Not later than 60 days after the date of”.

On page 31, strike line 21 and insert the following:

SEC. 105. INVASIVE SPECIES.

On page 32, lines 21 through 23, strike “Interior, acting through the Director of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service.” and insert “Interior.”.

On page 94, line 5, strike “2020” and insert “2021”.

On page 96, line 4, strike “308” and insert “208”.

On page 97, lines 22 and 23, strike “2019 through 2023” and insert “2021 through 2025”.

On page 98, lines 5 and 6, strike “2019 through 2023” and insert “2021 through 2025”.
On page 98, line 14, strike "2020 through 2024" and insert "2021 through 2025".

On page 99, lines 3 through 5, strike "the Chief of the Forest Service for use by the United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service." and insert "the Secretary of Agriculture, acting through the Chief of the Forest Service, for use by the Forest Service."

On page 102, lines 22 and 23, strike "lead to a sustainable population of the species," and insert "contribute to the conservation of the species."
Summary

S.3051, Cardin #1
The amendment reauthorizes the Chesapeake Bay Program at $90 million for Fiscal Year 2020 and provides an incremental $500,000 per-year increase over five years to $92 million for FY24.
AMENDMENT NO. _____ Calendar No. _____

Purpose: To increase the authorization of appropriations for the Chesapeake Bay Program.


S. 3051

To improve protections for wildlife, and for other purposes.

Referred to the Committee on _______ and ordered to be printed

Ordered to lie on the table and to be printed

AMENDMENT intended to be proposed by Mr. CARDIN

Viz:

1 Strike section 109 and insert the following:

2 SEC. 109. REAUTHORIZATION OF CHESAPEAKE BAY PROGRAM.

3 Section 117 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1267) is amended by striking subsection (j) and inserting the following:

4 “(j) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section—

5 “(1) for fiscal year 2020, $90,000,000;

6 “(2) for fiscal year 2021, $90,500,000;

7 “(3) for fiscal year 2022, $91,000,000;
“(4) for fiscal year 2023, $91,500,000; and

“(5) for fiscal year 2024, $92,000,000.”.
Merkley Amendment #3 Summary

This amendment adds listing and uplisting determinations to the Section 402 study assessing factors affecting successful conservation activities under the Endangered Species Act.
AMENDMENT NO.______  Calendar No.______

Purpose: To include in the study to review conservation factors a review of species that have been identified as needing listing or uplisting but remain unprotected.


S. 3051

To improve protections for wildlife, and for other purposes.

Referred to the Committee on ___________ and ordered to be printed

Ordered to lie on the table and to be printed

AMENDMENTS intended to be proposed by Mr. MERKLEY
(for himself and Mr. BOOKER)

Viz:

1. On page 103, line 17, strike “and”.

2. On page 104, lines 7 and 8, strike “subparagraph (A)” and insert “subparagraph (A); and”.

3. On page 104, between lines 8 and 9, insert the following:

(6) to review any determinations under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) in which a species has been identified as needing listing or uplisting under that Act but remains unlisted or listed as a threatened species, respec-

4. tively, including—
2

1. (A) an explanation of the factors preventing a listing or uplisting of the species; and
2. (B) recommendations regarding methods to address the factors described in subpara-
3. graph (A).
NOMINATION REFERENCE AND REPORT

PN1247

AS IN EXECUTIVE SESSION,
SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES,
October 30, 2019.

Ordered, That the following nomination be referred sequentially to the Committee on Environment and Public Works; when reported by the Committee on Environment and Public Works, pursuant to an order of January 7, 2009, to be sequentially referred to the Committee on Homeland Security and Government Affairs for 20 calendar days:

Robert J. Feitel, of Maryland, to be Inspector General, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, vice Hubert T. Bell, Jr., retired.

[Signature]

with the recommendation that the nomination be confirmed.

☑ The nominee has agreed to respond to requests to appear and testify before any duly constituted committee of the Senate.
COMMITTEE RESOLUTION

ALTERATION
CONSORTIUM ACTIVITIES PROGRAM
VARIOUS BUILDINGS
PCA-0001-MU20

RESOLVED BY THE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE

that pursuant to title 40 U.S.C. § 3307, a prospectus providing for the reconfiguration and renovation of spaces within government-owned and leased buildings to improve space utilization, optimize inventory, and decrease reliance on leased space at a total cost of $75,000,000, a description of which is attached hereto and by reference made part of this resolution, is approved.

Provided, that the Administrator shall provide to the Chairman or Ranking Member of the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate, in a timely manner, requested documents and information regarding this prospectus and resulting contractual materials, without reduction other than reductions to exclude business confidential, proprietary, and/or procurement sensitive information.

Provided further, that the General Services Administration shall not delegate to any other agency the authority granted by this resolution.

Chairman

Ranking Member

Adopted: December 17, 2019
COMMITTEE RESOLUTION

ALTERATION
FIRE PROTECTION AND LIFE SAFETY PROGRAM
VARIOUS BUILDINGS
PP-0001-MU20

RESOLVED BY THE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE

that pursuant to title 40 U.S.C. § 3307, a prospectus providing for repairs and alterations to upgrade, replace, and improve fire protection systems and life safety features in government-owned buildings at a total cost of $30,000,000, a prospectus for which is attached hereto and by reference made part of this resolution, is approved.

Provided, that the Administrator shall provide to the Chairman or Ranking Member of the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate, in a timely manner, requested documents and information regarding this prospectus and resulting contractual materials, without redaction other than redactions to exclude business confidential, proprietary, and/or procurement sensitive information.

Provided further, that the General Services Administration shall not delegate to any other agency the authority granted by this resolution.

Chairman

Ranking Member

Adopted: December 17, 2019
COMMITTEE RESOLUTION

ALTERATION
JUDICIARY CAPITAL SECURITY PROGRAM
VARIOUS BUILDINGS
PICS-0091-MU29

RESOLVED BY THE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE

that pursuant to title 40 U.S.C. § 3307, a prospectus providing for repairs and alterations to upgrade, replace, and improve physical security in government-owned buildings occupied by the Judiciary and the U.S. Marshals Service at a total cost of $20,000,000, a prospectus for which is attached hereto and by reference made part of this resolution, is approved.

Provided, that the Administrator shall provide to the Chairman or Ranking Member of the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate, in a timely manner, requested documents and information regarding this prospectus and resulting contractual materials, without redaction other than redactions to exclude business confidential, proprietary, and/or procurement sensitive information.

Provided further, that the General Services Administration shall not delegate to any other agency the authority granted by this resolution.

Chairman

Ranking Member

Adopted: December 17, 2019
COMMITTEE RESOLUTION

ALTERATION
SEISMIC MITIGATION PROGRAM
VARIOUS BUILDINGS
PSE-1001-MU20

RESOLVED BY THE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE

that pursuant to title 40 U.S.C. § 3307, a prospectus providing for the installation, upgrade, and improvement of seismic performance in government-owned buildings at a total cost of $25,000,000, a description of which is attached hereto and by reference made part of this resolution, is approved.

Provided, that the Administrator shall provide to the Chairman or Ranking Member of the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate, in a timely manner, requested documents and information regarding this prospectus and resulting contractual materials, without redaction other than redactions to exclude business confidential, proprietary, and/or procurement sensitive information.

Provided further, that the General Services Administration shall not delegate to any other agency the authority granted by this resolution.

Chairman

Ranking Member

Adopted: December 17, 2019
COMMITTEE RESOLUTION

ALTERATION
HERBERT C. HOOVER BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC
PDC-0013-WA20

RESOLVED BY THE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE

that pursuant to title 40 U.S.C. § 3307, a prospectus for the modernization and construction at the Herbert C. Hoover Building, located at 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington DC at an additional design cost of $13,699,090, an additional estimated management and inspection cost of $7,221,800, and additional estimated construction cost of $335,053,000 for an estimated total cost of $355,343,000.

Provided, that the Administrator shall provide to the Chairman or Ranking Member of the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate, in a timely manner, requested documents and information regarding this prospectus and resulting contractual materials, without reduction other than reductions to exclude business confidential, proprietary, and/or procurement sensitive information.

Provided further, that the General Services Administration shall not delegate to any other agency the authority granted by this resolution.

Chairman

Ranking Member

Adopted: December 17, 2019
COMMITTEE RESOLUTION

ALTERATION
SUITLAND FEDERAL CENTER
SUITLAND, MD
PMD078/1822-MD28

RESOLVED BY THE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE

that pursuant to title 40 U.S.C. § 3307, a prospectus providing for the repair and alteration for 4600 Silver Hill North and 4600 Silver Hill South at the Suitland Federal Center at a design cost of $4,316,000, an estimated construction cost of $4,149,000, and a management and inspection cost of $3,554,000 for an estimated total project cost of $14,358,000, a description of which is attached hereeto and by reference made part of this resolution, is approved.

Provided, that the Administrator shall provide to the Chairman or Ranking Member of the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate, in a timely manner, requested documents and information regarding this prospectus and resulting contractual materials, without reduction other than reductions to exclude business confidential, proprietary, and/or procurement sensitive information.

Provided further, that the General Services Administration shall not delegate to any other agency the authority granted by this resolution.

[Signatures]
Chairman

Ranking Member

Adopted: December 17, 2019
COMMITTEE RESOLUTION

ALTERATION
JOHN F. KENNEDY FEDERAL BUILDING
BOSTON, MA
PMA-0131-HN20

RESOLVED BY THE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE

that pursuant to title 40 U.S.C. § 3307, a prospectus providing for repairs and alterations to replace the deficient roof, the conveying systems, the heating, ventilation and air conditioning systems in the John F. Kennedy Building, located in Boston, MA at a design cost of $6,550,000, an estimated construction cost of $64,291,000, and a management and inspection cost of $5,088,000 for an estimated total project cost of $75,929,000, a prospectus for which is attached hereto and by reference made part of this resolution.

Provided, that the Administrator shall provide to the Chairman or Ranking Member of the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate, in a timely manner, requested documents and information regarding this prospectus and resulting contractual materials, without redaction other than redactions to exclude business confidential, proprietary, and/or procurement sensitive information.

Provided further, that the General Services Administration shall not delegate to any other agency the authority granted by this resolution.

[Signatures]

Chairman

Ranking Member

Adopted: December 17, 2019
COMMITTEE RESOLUTION

ALTERATION
ALEXANDER HAMILTON U.S. CUSTOM HOUSE
NEW YORK, NY
PNY-0131-NY20

RESOLVED BY THE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE

that pursuant to title 40 U.S.C. § 3307, a prospectus providing for repairs and alterations to address building deficiencies at the Alexander Hamilton U.S. Custom House located in New York, NY at an additional design cost of $4,228,000, additional management and inspection cost of $4,973,000, and additional estimated construction cost of $58,996,000 for a total estimated project cost of $68,197,000, a prospectus for which is attached hereto and by reference made part of this resolution.

Provided, that the Administrator shall provide to the Chairman or Ranking Member of the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate, in a timely manner, requested documents and information regarding this prospectus and resulting contractual materials, without reduction other than reductions to exclude business confidential, proprietary, and/or procurement sensitive information.

Provided further, that the General Services Administration shall not delegate to any other agency the authority granted by this resolution.

Chairman

Ranking Member

Adopted: December 17, 2019
COMMITTEE RESOLUTION

ALTERATION

SILVIO V. MOLLO FEDERAL BUILDING AND JACOB K. JAVITS FEDERAL BUILDING
NEW YORK, NY
PNY-0333/0282-NY20

RESOLVED BY THE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS OF
THE UNITED STATES SENATE

that pursuant to title 40 U.S.C. § 3307, a prospectus providing for the first of a two phase project, the first
of which is a design for the Silvio V. Mollo Federal Building and the design and construction of swing
space at the Jacob K. Javits building at a design cost of $15,913,000, an estimated construction cost of
$26,123,000, and management and inspection cost of $1,564,000, for an estimated total cost of
$46,600,000, a prospectus for which is attached hereto and by reference made part of this resolution.

Provided, that the Administrator shall provide to the Chairman or Ranking Member of the Committee on
Environment and Public Works of the Senate, in a timely manner, requested documents and information
regarding this prospectus and resulting contractual materials, without redaction other than redactions to
exclude business confidential, proprietary, and/or procurement sensitive information.

Provided further, that the General Services Administration shall not delegate to any other agency the
authority granted by this resolution.

Chairman

Ranking Member

Adopted: December 17, 2019
COMMITTEE RESOLUTION

ALTERATION

WILLIAM J. HOLLOWAY JR. U.S. COURTHOUSE AND U.S. POST OFFICE AND COURTHOUSE
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK
POK-0046-0072-OK20

RESOLVED BY THE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS OF
THE UNITED STATES SENATE

that pursuant to title 40 U.S.C. § 3307, a prospectus providing for the design and construction of the first
of a two-phase repair and alteration project for the William J. Holloway U.S. Courthouse and U.S. Post
Office and Courthouse in Oklahoma City, OK, at a design cost of $12,129,000, an estimated construction
cost of $125,257,000, and management and inspection cost of $7,060,000, for an estimated total project
cost of $144,446,000, a prospectus for which is attached hereto and by reference made part of this
resolution.

Provided, that the Administrator shall provide to the Chairman or Ranking Member of the Committee on
Environment and Public Works of the Senate, in a timely manner, requested documents and information
regarding this prospectus and resulting contractual materials, without reduction other than reductions to
exclude business confidential, proprietary, and/or procurement sensitive information.

Provided further, that the General Services Administration shall not delegate to any other agency the
authority granted by this resolution.

Chairman

Ranking Member

Adopted: December 17, 2019
COMMITTEE RESOLUTION

ALTERATION
J.J. PICKLE FEDERAL BUILDING
AUSTIN, TX
PTX-0227-AU20

RESOLVED BY THE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE

that pursuant to title 40 U.S.C. § 3307, a prospectus providing for the repair and alteration at the J.J. Pickle Federal Building, located at 300 E. Eighth St. in Austin TX at an additional design cost of $1,640,000, an additional estimated construction cost of $13,689,000, and additional management and inspection cost of $1,079,000, for a total additional cost of $17,408,000, a prospectus for which is attached hereto and by reference made part of this resolution.

Provided, that the Administrator shall provide to the Chairman or Ranking Member of the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate, in a timely manner, requested documents and information regarding this prospectus and resulting contractual materials, without redaction other than redactions to exclude business confidential, proprietary, and/or procurement sensitive information.

Provided further, that the General Services Administration shall not delegate to any other agency the authority granted by this resolution.

Chairman

Ranking Member

Adopted: December 17, 2019
United States Senate
COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-4175

COMMITTEE RESOLUTION
CONSTRUCTION
SAN LUIS I U.S. LAND PORT OF ENTRY
SAN LUIS, AZ
PAZ-BSC-SA20

RESOLVED BY THE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS OF
THE UNITED STATES SENATE

that pursuant to title 40 U.S.C. § 3307, a prospectus providing for the design and construction of facilities
to modernize and expand the San Luis I Land Port of Entry in San Luis, AZ, at a site acquisition cost of
$1,100,000, a design cost of $18,077,000, an estimated construction cost of $217,317,000, and a
management and inspection cost of $11,828,000 for an estimated total project cost $238,322,000, a
description of which is attached hereto and by reference made part of this resolution.

Provided, that the Administrator shall provide to the Chairman or Ranking Member of the Committee on
Environment and Public Works of the Senate, in a timely manner, requested documents and information
regarding this prospectus and resulting contractual materials, without reduction other than reductions to
exclude business confidential, proprietary, and/or procurement sensitive information.

Provided further, that the General Services Administration shall not delegate to any other agency the
authority granted by this resolution.

Chairman

Ranking Member

Adopted: December 17, 2019
COMMITTEE RESOLUTION

LEASE
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION
CHICAGO O’HARE AREA, IL
PIL-01-0120

RESOLVED BY THE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE

that pursuant to title 40 U.S.C. § 3307, a prospectus providing for a lease of up to 122,000 rentable square feet, including 679 official parking spaces, for the Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration currently at 2300 E. Devon Avenue in Des Plaines, IL at a proposed annual cost of $4,593,300 for a lease term of up to 20 years, a description of which is attached hereto and by reference made part of this resolution, is approved.

Approval of this prospectus constitutes authority to execute an interim lease for all tenants, if necessary, prior to execution of the new lease.

Provided, that to the maximum extent practicable, the Administrator of General Services shall require that the procurement include energy efficiency requirements as would be required for the construction of a federal building.

Provided further, that the Administrator shall require that the delineated area of the procurement is identical to the delineated area included in the prospectus, except that, if the Administrator determines that the delineated area of the procurement should not be identical to the delineated area included in the prospectus, the Administrator shall provide an explanatory statement to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the United States Senate prior to exercising any lease authority provided in this resolution.

Provided further, that the Administrator shall provide to the Chairman or Ranking Member of the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate, in a timely manner, requested documents and information regarding this prospectus and resulting contractual materials, without reduction other than redactions to exclude business confidential, proprietary, and/or procurement sensitive information.

Provided further: the Administrator of General Services may not enter into this lease if it does not contain a provision barring any individual holding a Federally-elected office, regardless of whether such individual took office before or after execution of this lease, to directly participate in, or benefit from or under this lease or any part thereof, and that such provision provide that if this lease is found to have been made in violation of the foregoing prohibition or it is found that this prohibition has been violated during the term of the lease, the lease shall be void, except that the foregoing limitation shall not apply if the
lease is entered into with a publicly-held corporation or publicly-held entity for the general benefit of such corporation or entity.

Provided further, prior to entering into this lease or approving a novation agreement involving a change of ownership under this lease, the Administrator of General Services shall require the offeror or the parties requesting the novation, as applicable, to identify and disclose whether the owner of the leased space, including any entity involved in the financing thereof, is a foreign person or a foreign-owned entity; provided further, in such an instance, the Administrator of General Services shall notify the occupant agency(ies) in writing, and consult with such occupant agency(ies) regarding security concerns and necessary mitigation measures (if any) prior to award of the lease or approval of the novation agreement.

Provided further, that the Administrator shall not delegate to any other agency the authority granted by this resolution.

Chairman

Ranking Member

Adopted: December 17, 2019
COMMITTEE RESOLUTION

LEASE
BUREAU OF ENGRAVING AND PRINTING
SUBURBAN, MD
PND-01-WA20

RESOLVED BY THE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE

that pursuant to title 40 U.S.C. § 3307, a prospectus providing for a lease of up to 265,000 rentable square feet of space, including 8 official parking spaces for the Department of the Treasury, Bureau of Engraving and Printing, currently located in federally leased space at 3201 Pennsy Drive, Landover, MD at a proposed annual cost of $4,505,000, a description of which is attached hereto and by reference made part of this resolution, is approved.

Approval of this prospectus constitutes authority to execute an interim lease for all tenants, if necessary, prior to execution of the new lease.

Provided, that to the maximum extent practicable, the Administrator of General Services shall require that the procurement include energy efficiency requirements as would be required for the construction of a federal building.

Provided further, that the Administrator shall require that the delineated area of the procurement is identical to the delineated area included in the prospectus, except that, if the Administrator determines that the delineated area of the procurement should not be identical to the delineated area included in the prospectus, the Administrator shall provide an explanatory statement to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the United States Senate prior to exercising any lease authority provided in this resolution.

Provided further, that the Administrator shall provide to the Chairman or Ranking Member of the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate, in a timely manner, requested documents and information regarding this prospectus and resultant contractual materials, without redaction other than redactions to exclude business confidential, proprietary, and/or procurement sensitive information.

Provided further, the Administrator of General Services may not enter into this lease if it does not contain a provision barring any individual holding a Federally-elected office, regardless of whether such individual took office before or after execution of this lease, to directly participate in, or benefit from or under this lease or any part thereof and that such provision provide that if this lease is found to have been made in violation of the foregoing prohibition or it is found that this prohibition has been violated during the term of the lease, the lease shall be void, except that the foregoing limitation shall not apply if the
lease is entered into with a publicly-held corporation or publicly-held entity for the general benefit of such corporation or entity.

Provided further, prior to entering into this lease or approving a novation agreement involving a change of ownership under this lease, the Administrator of General Services shall require the offeror or the parties requesting the novation, as applicable, to identify and disclose whether the owner of the leased space, including an entity involved in the financing thereof, is a foreign person or a foreign-owned entity; provided further, in such an instance, the Administrator of General Services shall notify the occupant agency(ies) in writing, and consult with such occupant agency(ies) regarding security concerns and necessary mitigation measures (if any) prior to award of the lease or approval of the novation agreement.

Provided further, that the Administrator shall not delegate to any other agency the authority granted by this resolution.

[Signatures]

Chairman

Ranking Member

Adopted: December 17, 2019
COMMITTEE RESOLUTION

LEASE

VETERANS HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

TAMPA, FL

PFL-01-TA20

RESOLVED BY THE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE

that pursuant to title 40 U.S.C. § 3307, a prospectus providing for a lease of up to 150,000 rentable square feet, including 800 official parking spaces, for the Veterans Health Administration, currently located in 2 separate locations in Tampa, FL, at a proposed annual cost of $6,794,000, a description of which is attached hereto and by reference made part of this resolution, is approved.

Approval of this prospectus constitutes authority to execute an interim lease for all tenants, if necessary, prior to execution of the new lease.

Provided, that to the maximum extent practicable, the Administrator of General Services shall require that the procurement include energy efficiency requirements as would be required for the construction of a federal building.

Provided further, that the Administrator shall require that the delineated area of the procurement is identical to the delineated area included in the prospectus, except that, if the Administrator determines that the delineated area of the procurement should not be identical to the delineated area included in the prospectus, the Administrator shall provide an explanatory statement to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the United States Senate prior to exercising any lease authority provided in this resolution.

Provided further, that the Administrator shall provide to the Chairman or Ranking Member of the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate, in a timely manner, requested documents and information regarding this prospectus and resulting contractual materials, without reduction other than redactions to exclude business confidential, proprietary, and/or procurement sensitive information.

Provided further, the Administrator of General Services may not enter into this lease if it does not contain a provision barring any individual holding a Federally-elected office, regardless of whether such individual took office before or after execution of this lease, to directly participate in, or benefit from or under this lease or any part thereof and that such provision provide that if this lease is found to have been made in violation of the foregoing prohibition or it is found that this prohibition has been violated during the term of the lease, the lease shall be void, except that the foregoing limitation shall not apply if the lease is entered into with a publicly-held corporation or publicly-held entity for the general benefit of such corporation or entity.
Provided further, prior to entering into this lease or approving a novation agreement involving a change of ownership under this lease, the Administrator of General Services shall require the offeror or the parties requesting the novation, as applicable, to identify and disclose whether the owner of the leased space, including an entity involved in the financing thereof, is a foreign person or a foreign-owned entity; provided further, in such an instance, the Administrator of General Services shall notify the occupant agency(ies) in writing, and consult with such occupant agency(ies) regarding security concerns and necessary mitigation measures (if any) prior to award of the lease or approval of the novation agreement.

Chairman

Ranking Member

Adopted: December 17, 2019
COMMITTEE RESOLUTION

LEASE
ARCHITECT OF THE CAPITOL
WASHINGTON, DC
PDC-02-W-420

RESOLVED BY THE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE

that pursuant to title 40 U.S.C. § 3307, a prospectus providing for a lease of up to 121,000 rentable square feet for the Architect of the Capitol, currently located in federally leased space at 2 Massachusetts Ave. NE in Washington, DC, at a proposed annual cost $6,050,000, a description of which is attached hereto and by reference made part of this resolution, is approved.

Approval of this prospectus constitutes authority to execute an interim lease for all tenants, if necessary, prior to execution of the new lease.

Provided, that to the maximum extent practicable, the Administrator of General Services shall require that the procurement include energy efficiency requirements as would be required for the construction of a federal building.

Provided further, that the Administrator shall require that the delineated area of the procurement is identical to the delineated area included in the prospectus, except that, if the Administrator determines that the delineated area of the procurement should not be identical to the delineated area included in the prospectus, the Administrator shall provide an explanatory statement to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the United States Senate prior to exercising any lease authority provided in this resolution.

Provided further, that the Administrator shall provide to the Chairman or Ranking Member of the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate, in a timely manner, requested documents and information regarding this prospectus and resulting contractual materials, without reduction other than redactions to exclude business confidential, proprietary, and/or procurement sensitive information.

Provided further, the Administrator of General Services may not enter into this lease if it does not contain a provision barring any individual holding a Federally-elected office, regardless of whether such individual took office before or after execution of this lease, to directly participate in, or benefit from or under this lease or any part thereof and that such provision provide that if this lease is found to have been made in violation of the foregoing prohibition or it is found that this prohibition has been violated during the term of the lease, the lease shall be void, except that the foregoing limitation shall not apply if the lease is entered into with a publicly-held corporation or publicly-held entity for the general benefit of such corporation or entity.
Provided further, prior to entering into this lease or approving a novation agreement involving a change of ownership under this lease, the Administrator of General Services shall require the offeree or the parties requesting the novation, as applicable, to identify and disclose whether the owner of the leased space, including an entity involved in the financing thereof, is a foreign person or a foreign-owned entity; provided further, in such an instance, the Administrator of General Services shall notify the occupant agency(ies) in writing, and consult with such occupant agency(ies) regarding security concerns and necessary mitigation measures (if any) prior to award of the lease or approval of the novation agreement.

Provided further, that the Administrator shall not delegate to any other agency the authority granted by this resolution.

Chairman

Ranking Member

Adopted: December 17, 2019
RESOLVED BY THE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE

that pursuant to title 40 U.S.C. § 3307, a prospectus providing for a lease of up to 127,900 rentable square feet, including 650 official parking spaces, for the Department of Veterans Affairs, Veterans Health Administration, currently located 4237 and 4235 South Popkin Road in Lakeland, FL at a proposed annual cost of $4,732,300, a description of which is attached hereto and by reference made part of this resolution, is approved.

Approval of this prospectus constitutes authority to execute an interim lease for all tenants, if necessary, prior to execution of the new lease.

Provided, that to the maximum extent practicable, the Administrator of General Services shall require that the procurement include energy efficiency requirements as would be required for the construction of a federal building.

Provided further, that the Administrator shall require that the delineated area of the procurement is identical to the delineated area included in the prospectus, except that, if the Administrator determines that the delineated area of the procurement should not be identical to the delineated area included in the prospectus, the Administrator shall provide an explanatory statement to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the United States Senate prior to exercising any lease authority provided in this resolution.

Provided further, that the Administrator shall provide to the Chairman or Ranking Member of the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate, in a timely manner, requested documents and information regarding this prospectus and resulting contractual materials, without reduction other than redactions to exclude business confidential, proprietary, and/or procurement sensitive information.

Provided further, the Administrator of General Services may not enter into this lease if it does not contain a provision barring any individual holding a Federally-elected office, regardless of whether such individual took office before or after execution of this lease, to directly participate in, or benefit from or under this lease or any part thereof and that such provision provide that if this lease is found to have been made in violation of the foregoing prohibition or it is found that this prohibition has been violated during the term of the lease, the lease shall be void, except that the foregoing limitation shall not apply if the
lease is entered into with a publicly-held corporation or publicly-held entity for the general benefit of such corporation or entity.

Provided further, prior to entering into this lease or approving a novation agreement involving a change of ownership under this lease, the Administrator of General Services shall require the offeror or the parties requesting the novation, as applicable, to identify and disclose whether the owner of the leased space, including an entity involved in the financing thereof, is a foreign person or a foreign-owned entity; provided further, in such an instance, the Administrator of General Services shall notify the occupant agency(ies) in writing, and consult with such occupant agency(ies) regarding security concerns and necessary mitigation measures (if any) prior to award of the lease or approval of the novation agreement.

Adopted: December 17, 2019
COMMITTEE RESOLUTION

LEASE
DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS
WASHINGTON, DC
PDC-04-WA20

RESOLVED BY THE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE

that pursuant to title 40 U.S.C. § 3307, a prospectus providing for a lease of up to 309,000 rentable square feet for the Department of Veterans Affairs, currently located at 425 1st Street NW at a proposed annual cost of $15,450,000, a description of which is attached hereto and by reference made part of this resolution, is approved.

Approval of this prospectus constitutes authority to execute an interim lease for all tenants, if necessary, prior to execution of the new lease.

Provided, that to the maximum extent practicable, the Administrator of General Services shall require that the procurement include energy efficiency requirements as would be required for the construction of a federal building.

Provided further, that the Administrator shall require that the delineated area of the procurement is identical to the delineated area included in the prospectus, except that, if the Administrator determines that the delineated area of the procurement should not be identical to the delineated area included in the prospectus, the Administrator shall provide an explanatory statement to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the United States Senate prior to exercising any lease authority provided in this resolution.

Provided further, that the Administrator shall provide to the Chairman or Ranking Member of the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate, in a timely manner, requested documents and information regarding this prospectus and resulting contractual materials, without reduction other than redactions to exclude business confidential, proprietary, and/or procurement sensitive information.

Provided further, the Administrator of General Services may not enter into this lease if it does not contain a provision barring any individual holding a Federally-elected office, regardless of whether such individual took office before or after execution of this lease, to directly participate in, or benefit from or under this lease or any part thereof and that such provision provide that if this lease is found to have been made in violation of the foregoing prohibition or it is found that this prohibition has been violated during the term of the lease, the lease shall be void, except that the foregoing limitation shall not apply if the lease is entered into with a publicly-held corporation or publicly-held entity for the general benefit of such corporation or entity.
Provided further, prior to entering into this lease or approving a novation agreement involving a change of ownership under this lease, the Administrator of General Services shall require the offeror or the parties requesting the novation, as applicable, to identify and disclose whether the owner of the leased space, including any entity involved in the financing thereof, is a foreign person or a foreign-owned entity; provided further, in such an instance, the Administrator of General Services shall notify the occupant agency(ies) in writing, and consult with such occupant agency(ies) regarding security concerns and necessary mitigation measures (if any) prior to award of the lease or approval of the novation agreement.

Provided further, that the Administrator shall not delegate to any other agency the authority granted by this resolution.

Adopted: December 17, 2019

[Signatures]

Chairman

Ranking Member
COMMITTEE RESOLUTION

LEASE
DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS
AUSTIN, TX
PTX-01-AU26

RESOLVED BY THE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE

that pursuant to title 40 U.S.C. § 3307, a prospectus providing for a lease of up to 151,000 rentable square feet, including 402 official parking spaces, for the Department of Veterans Affairs, Financial Services Center and Office of Internal Controls, currently located at 7600 Metropolis Drive in Austin, TX at a proposed annual cost of $6,644,000, a description of which is attached hereto and by reference made part of this resolution, is approved.

Approval of this prospectus constitutes authority to execute an interim lease for all tenants, if necessary, prior to execution of the new lease.

Provided, that to the maximum extent practicable, the Administrator of General Services shall require that the procurement include energy efficiency requirements as would be required for the construction of a federal building.

Provided further, that the Administrator shall require that the delineated area of the procurement is identical to the delineated area included in the prospectus, except that, if the Administrator determines that the delineated area of the procurement should not be identical to the delineated area included in the prospectus, the Administrator shall provide an explanatory statement to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the United States Senate prior to exercising any lease authority provided in this resolution.

Provided further, that the Administrator shall provide to the Chairman or Ranking Member of the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate, in a timely manner, requested documents and information regarding this prospectus and resulting contractual materials, without redaction other than reductions to exclude business confidential, proprietary, and/or procurement sensitive information.

Provided further, the Administrator of General Services may not enter into this lease if it does not contain a provision barring any individual holding a Federally-elected office, regardless of whether such individual took office before or after execution of this lease, to directly participate in, or benefit from or under this lease or any part thereof and that such provision provide that if this lease is found to have been made in violation of the foregoing prohibition or if it is found that this prohibition has been violated during the term of the lease, the lease shall be void, except that the foregoing limitation shall not apply if the
lease is entered into with a publicly-held corporation or publicly-held entity for the general benefit of such corporation or entity.

Provided further, prior to entering into this lease or approving a novation agreement involving a change of ownership under this lease, the Administrator of General Services shall require the offeror or the parties requesting the novation, as applicable, to identify and disclose whether the owner of the leased space, including an entity involved in the financing thereof, is a foreign person or a foreign-owned entity; provided further, in such an instance, the Administrator of General Services shall notify the occupant agency(ies) in writing, and consult with such occupant agency(ies) regarding security concerns and necessary mitigation measures (if any) prior to award of the lease or approval of this novation agreement.

Provided further, that the Administrator shall not delegate to any other agency the authority granted by this resolution.

Chairman

Ranking Member

Adopted: December 18, 2019