EDUCATING OUR EDUCATORS: HOW FEDERAL POLICY CAN BETTER SUPPORT TEACHERS AND SCHOOL LEADERS

JOINT HEARING

BEFORE THE

SUBCOMMITTEE ON EARLY CHILDHOOD, ELEMENTARY, AND SECONDARY EDUCATION and the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION AND WORKFORCE INVESTMENT OF THE

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND LABOR U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

ONE HUNDRED SIXTEENTH CONGRESS

FIRST SESSION

HEARING HELD IN WASHINGTON, DC, JULY 17, 2019

Serial No. 116-36

Printed for the use of the Committee on Education and Labor



Available via the World Wide Web: www.govinfo.gov; or Committee address: https://edlabor.house.gov

U.S. GOVERNMENT PUBLISHING OFFICE ${\bf WASHINGTON} \ : 2021$

 $37\text{--}330~\mathrm{PDF}$

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND LABOR

ROBERT C. "BOBBY" SCOTT, Virginia, Chairman

Susan A. Davis, California Raúl M. Grijalva, Arizona Joe Courtney, Connecticut Marcia L. Fudge, Ohio Gregorio Kilili Camacho Sablan, Northern Mariana Islands Frederica S. Wilson, Florida Suzanne Bonamici, Oregon Mark Takano, California Alma S. Adams, North Carolina Mark DeSaulnier, California Donald Norcross, New Jersey Pramila Jayapal, Washington Joseph D. Morelle, New York Susan Wild, Pennsylvania Josh Harder, California Lucy McBath, Georgia Kim Schrier, Washington Lauren Underwood, Illinois Jahana Hayes, Connecticut Donna E. Shalala, Florida Andy Levin, Michigan* Ilhan Omar, Minnesota David J. Trone, Maryland Haley M. Stevens, Michigan Susie Lee, Nevada Lori Trahan, Massachusetts Joaquin Castro, Texas * Vice-Chair

Virginia Foxx, North Carolina, Ranking Member David P. Roe, Tennessee Glenn Thompson, Pennsylvania Tim Walberg, Michigan Brett Guthrie, Kentucky Bradley Byrne, Alabama Glenn Grothman, Wisconsin Elise M. Stefanik, New York Rick W. Allen, Georgia Lloyd Smucker, Pennsylvania Jim Banks, Indiana Mark Walker, North Carolina James Comer, Kentucky Ben Cline, Virginia Russ Fulcher, Idaho Van Taylor, Texas Steve Watkins, Kansas Ron Wright, Texas Daniel Meuser, Pennsylvania William R. Timmons, IV, South Carolina Dusty Johnson, South Dakota Fred Keller, Pennsylvania

Véronique Pluviose, Staff Director Brandon Renz, Minority Staff Director

SUBCOMMITTEE EARLY CHILDHOOD, ELEMENTARY, AND SECONDARY EDUCATION

GREGORIO KILILI CAMACHO SABLAN, Northern Mariana Islands, Chairman

Kim Schrier, Washington Jahana Hayes, Connecticut Donna E. Shalala, Florida Susan A. Davis, California Frederica S. Wilson, Florida Mark DeSaulnier, California Joseph D. Morelle, New York Rick W. Allen, Georgia,
Ranking Member
Glenn "GT" Thompson, Pennsylvania
Glenn Grothman, Wisconsin
Van Taylor, Texas
William R. Timmons, IV, South Carolina

SUBCOMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION AND WORKFORCE INVESTMENT

SUSAN A. DAVIS, California, Chairwoman

Joe Courtney, Connecticut
Mark Takano, California
Pramila Jayapal, Washington
Josh Harder, California
Andy Levin, Michigan
Ilhan Omar, Minnesota
David Trone, Maryland
Susie Lee, Nevada
Lori Trahan, Massachusetts
Joaquin Castro, Texas
Raúl M. Grijalva, Arizona
Gregorio Kilili Camacho Sablan,
Northern Mariana Islands
Suzanne Bonamici, Oregon
Alma S. Adams, North Carolina
Donald Norcross, New Jersey

Lloyd Smucker, Pennsylvania,
Ranking Member
Brett Guthrie, Kentucky
Glenn Grothman, Wisconsin
Elise Stefanik, New York
Jim Banks, Indiana
Mark Walker, North Carolina
James Comer, Kentucky
Ben Cline, Virginia
Russ Fulcher, Idaho
Steve C. Watkins, Jr., Kansas
Dan Meuser, Pennsylvania
William R. Timmons, IV, South Carolina

CONTENTS

Hearing held on July 17, 2019	Page 1
Statement of Members:	-
Allen, Hon. Rick W., Ranking Member, Subcommittee Early Childhood, Elementary, and Secondary Education Prepared statement of Davis, Hon. Susan A., Chairwoman, Subcommittee on Higher Education and Workforce Investment Prepared statement of	3 4 4 6
Sablan, Hon. Gregorio Kilili Camacho, Chairman, Subcommittee Early Childhood, Elementary, and Secondary Education	1
Prepared statement of	3 6 7
Statement of Witnesses:	
Brosnan, Michael, Teacher and Early Leadership Institute Coach, Bridge- port Public Schools, Milford, CT	9 11
sity, Richmond, VA Prepared statement of McManus, Ms. Tricia, Assistant Superintendent for Leadership, Profes-	35 37
sional Development, and School Transformation, Hillsaborough County Public Schools, Tampa, FL Prepared statement of	14 17
White, Mr. John, State Superintendent of Education, State of Louisiana, Baton Rouge, LA Prepared statement of	26 29
Additional Submissions: Chairwoman Davis: Link: Principal Pipelines Chairman Sablan:	84
Prepared statement from National Association of Secondary School Principals (NASSP) Article: The Freedom Teach Questions submitted for the record by:	86 90
Scott, Hon. Robert C. "Bobby", a Representative in Congress from the State of Virginia	96
Mr. Brosnan	96 93

EDUCATING OUR EDUCATORS: HOW FEDERAL POLICY CAN BETTER SUPPORT TEACHERS AND SCHOOL LEADERS

Wednesday, July 17, 2019 House of Representatives, Subcommittee on Early Childhood, Elementary, and Secondary Education, Joint with Subcommittee on Higher Education and Workforce Investment, Washington, D.C.

The subcommittees met, pursuant to call, at 10:14 a.m., in Room 2175, Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Gregorio Kilili Camacho Sablan (Chairman of the Subcommittee on Early Childhood, Elementary and Secondary Education) presiding.

Present: Representatives Sablan, Davis, Courtney, Wilson, Bonamici, Takano, Adams, DeSaulnier, Norcross, Jayapal, Morelle, Harder, Schrier, Hayes, Shalala, Levin, Omar, Trone, Lee, Trahan, Castro, Allen, Smucker, Thompson, Guthrie, Grothman, Stefanik, Banks, Walker, Comer, Cline, Watkins, Meuser, and Timmons.

Also Present: Representatives Scott and Foxx.
Staff Present: Tylease Alli, Chief Clerk; Katie Berger, Professional Staff; Christian Haines, General Counsel; Ariel Jona, Staff Assistant; Stephanie Lalle, Deputy Communications Director; Andre Lindsay, Staff Assistant; Jaria Martin, Clerk/Assistant to the Staff Director; Max Moore, Office Aide; Jacque Mosely, Director of Education Policy; Lakeisha Steele, Professional Staff; Loredana Valtierra, Education Policy Fellow; Banyon Vassar, Deputy Director of Information Technology; Rolie Adrienne Webb, Education Policy Fellow; Cyrus Artz, Minority Parliamentarian; Courtney Butcher, Minority Director of Member Services and Coalitions; Bridget Handy, Minority Communications Assistant; Dean Johnson, Minority Staff Assistant; Hannah Matesic, Minority Director of Operations; Carlton Norwood, Minority Press Secretary; Brandon Renz, Minority Staff Director; Alex Řicci, Minority Professional Staff Member; Chance Russell, Minority Legislative Assistant; Mandy Schaumburg, Minority Chief Counsel and Deputy Director of Education Policy; and Brad Thomas, Minority Senior Education Policy Advisor.

Chairman Sablan. The Committee on Early Childhood, Elementary and Secondary Education and Higher Education and Workforce Investment will come to order.

Good morning, and welcome, everyone. I note that a quorum is present.

Both subcommittees are meeting today in a legislative hearing to hear testimony on educating our educators, how Federal policy can better support teachers and school leaders.

So pursuant to Committee Rule 7(c), opening statements are limited to the Chair's and the Ranking Members. This allows us to hear from our witnesses sooner and provides all Members with adequate time to ask questions. So I recognize myself now for the

purpose of making an opening statement.

So, today, we are looking at the Federal Government's responsibility to make sure America's children have the teachers they need. We can all agree that every child in the United States from the Marianas to Maine deserve quality instructions from quality teachers. Unfortunately, for our children, we know that far too many of their most qualified teachers are leaving the profession.

This departure suffers serious impact on the quality of education, especially the education of children from low-income families and especially for children of color. The Learning Policy Institute reports teacher turnover rates are 50 percent higher in Title 1 schools which serve low-income children than in non-Title 1 schools. Mathematics and science teachers turnover, in particular, is nearly 70 percent greater than in Title 1 schools.

Children of color are, likewise, disproportionately impacted. Turnover rates are 70 percent higher for teachers in schools serving the largest concentrations of children of color. Furthermore, teachers of these schools often have fewer years of experience and

are often significantly less well trained.

So as a Nation, we really must do better. We have to understand how we can train teachers better and to understand what forces teachers to leave their profession, because our children's education is at stake.

We do have some research explaining teacher turnover rates. It shows that teachers are pushed out of their profession because they are not well enough prepared to begin with because school systems do not support teachers as they should and because teachers are

underpaid.

Insufficient preparation and weak support systems are areas where there may be a Federal role. So in the last Congress, committee Democrats introduced the Aim Higher Act, a comprehensive reauthorization of Federal higher education policy. One goal of the Act is to strengthen programs for teachers. Specifically, the Act requires identification of those preparation programs that are not producing the teachers who do not stick with the profession and provides technical assistance to fix those problems. The Act also prepares those who will later support other teachers within their schools by including leadership development as part of any teachers training.

And I am sure there is more we could do, which is why I welcome today's witnesses, all teachers themselves, for joining us today to share their perspective on these issues.

My two youngest are themselves public school teachers, and I never stop listening. Sometimes I ignore listening to them. That is all they talk about when they have nothing else to say.

So now I yield to the distinguished chair of the Subcommittee on Higher Education and Workforce Investment hearing, Congresswoman Davis, for her opening statement.

Okay. I take it back.

I now yield to the Ranking Rember of the subcommittee, Mr. Allen, for his opening statements. I apologize.

[The statement of Chairman Sablan follows:]

Prepared Statement of Hon. Gregorio Kilili Camacho Sablan, Chairman, Subcommittee Early Childhood, Elementary, and Secondary Education

Today, we are looking at the federal government's responsibility to make sure America's children have the teachers they need.

We can all agree that every child in the United States—from the Marianas to Maine—deserves quality instruction from quality teachers.

Unfortunately for our children, we know that far too many of their most qualified teachers are leaving the profession.

These departures have a serious impact on the quality of education, especially the education of children from low- income families, and especially for children of color.

The Learning Policy Institute reports teacher turnover rates are 50 percent higher in Title I schools, which serve low-income children, than in non-Title I schools. Mathematics and science teacher turnover is nearly 70 percent greater in Title I schools

Children of color are likewise disproportionately impacted. Turnover rates are 70 percent higher for teachers in schools serving the largest concentrations of children of color. Furthermore, teachers at these schools often have fewer years of experience and are, often, significantly less prepared.

As a nation, we must do better. We have to understand how we can train teachers better and to understand what forces teachers to leave their profession. Because our children's education is at

We do have some research explaining teacher turnover rates. It shows that teachers are pushed out of their profession because they are not well prepared, because school systems do not support teachers as they should, and because teachers are un-

Insufficient preparation and weak support systems are areas where there may be a federal role. That is why in the last Congress, Committee Democrats introduced the Aim Higher Act—a comprehensive reauthorization of federal higher education policy. One goal of the Act is to strengthen preparation programs for teachers.

I am sure there is more we can do.

Which is why I welcome today's witnesses, all educators themselves, for joining us today to share their perspective on these issues.

Mr. Allen. That is no problem. Where I come from, it is always ladies first, but I will do my thing here.

And thank you for yielding, Mr. Chairman, and holding this

hearing today.

I am the son of two educators and, of course, our dinner conversations were typically about education. And I just wish that the problems we had then are the only problems we have now. It is quite a big difference, but I know firsthand how important it is for K-12 teachers and school leaders to have the tools they need to succeed. When educators are well-prepared and able to meet the growing demands of our classrooms, children are better able to learn and thrive.

Unfortunately, the current system is failing to provide the K-12 education system with an adequate number of teachers equipped to meet the challenges of modern classrooms. In addition, communities around the country are facing teacher shortages, particularly in rural areas and hard-to-staff areas, such as special education, English learners, science, technology, engineering, and math and

STEM subjects.

Ultimately, we can only expect our students to be as successful as the teachers and school leaders who educate them. The K-12 educator pipeline needs attention and reform in our schools if our schools are going to flourish. Most importantly, I believe that the Federal Government needs to get out of the classroom, needs to allow our teachers to do what they are called to do, and that is to teach and not deal with all of this regulatory compliance requirement.

Today's discussion will provide incredibly valuable insight as we work to improve the educator pipeline. I want to thank our witnesses here today and to the educators in this country who dedicate their lives to helping America's children learn and succeed.

My sixth grade teacher, Ms. Ward, changed my life, and I went from a C student to an A student because of Ms. Ward. So I owe her a great debt of gratitude, as I would assume most everybody in this body had some similar experience in their time in school.

And with that, I yield back.

[The statement of Mr. Allen follows:]

Prepared Statement of Hon. Rick W. Allen, Ranking Member, Subcommittee Early Childhood, Elementary, and Secondary Education

As the son of two educators, our dinner table conversations were typically about education. So I know firsthand how important it is for K–12 teachers and school leaders to have the tools they need to succeed. When educators are well-prepared and able to meet the growing demands of our classrooms, children are better able to learn and thrive.

Unfortunately, the current system is failing to provide the K-12 education system with an adequate number of educators equipped to meet the challenges of modern classrooms. In addition, communities around the country are facing teacher shortages, particularly in rural areas and hard-to staff areas such as special education, English learners, and science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM) subjects.

English learners, and science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM) subjects. Ultimately, we can only expect our students to be as successful as the teachers and school leaders who educate them. The K–12 educator pipeline needs attention and reform if our schools are going to flourish. Most importantly, I believe the federal government needs to get out of the classroom and let teachers do what they are called to do: teach. Today's discussion will provide incredibly valuable insight as we work to improve the educator pipeline. Thank you to our witnesses here today and to the educators in this country who dedicate their lives to helping America's children learn and succeed.

I yield back.

Chairman Sablan. Thank you very much, Mr. Allen.

And so now I recognize the distinguished chairwoman of the Higher Education and Workforce Investment Subcommittee, Mrs. Davis, for the purpose of her making an opening statement.

Mrs. DAVIS. Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you, Ranking Member Allen, for yielding as well.

I am pleased that we are having today's hearing on how to best support our educators and provide our children with high-quality classroom and school instruction.

So, today, we are not only discussing the need to invest in teacher preparation, but also the need to invest in school leader preparation. In fact, studies show that effective school leadership is one of

the most consistent factors behind teachers' decisions to stay or leave a school or the profession entirely. Teachers who feel unsupported by their school leaders are nearly twice as likely to leave, and at the same time, we know that effective school leadership significantly improves teacher academic performance, particularly in reading and math.

So despite the clear benefits of strong school leadership for both teachers and students, school leader preparation receives little attention compared to teacher preparation, and that is why our Aim Higher Act last Congress expanded key teacher preparation grants to include proven school leader preparation programs that provide participants with graduate-level coursework, as well as ongoing support while on the job, that we know is critically important.

We also know that professional training for teachers and school leaders can only go so far without support to help educators overcome the significant cost barriers through entering and staying in the profession. Today, the gap between teacher wages and the wages of other college graduates is wider than it has ever been.

One recent study found that teachers with as much as 10 years of experience and who are their family's breadwinners may need Federal financial support, and this gap is particularly devastating for teachers of color who already shoulder disproportionately more student debt than their White peers. As a result, our teacher workforce lacks diversity, which research shows can hurt students' academic outcomes.

For decades, Congress has recognized its responsibility to eliminate cost barriers to serving as a teacher, particularly regarding student loans, and today, qualified teachers can receive Federal student loan relief through public service loan forgiveness for teaching in low-income schools and for teaching in the special education and STEM fields.

But nevertheless, despite that continued financial barriers to becoming a teacher, we just have to do more. As this committee works to reauthorize the Higher Education Act, we must consider the provisions included in the Aim Higher Act to make college more affordable and student debt easier to repay for our educators. These provisions include streamlining student loan repayment and expanding the loan forgiveness program to ensure that educators and other public servants are not burdened by debt.

Each day, we rely on teachers and school administrators to shape the future of our country. So if we are to provide our children with the best education possible, we must ensure that educators do not face overbearing cost barriers and inadequate preparation that prevent them from doing what they do best: empowering our children to reach their full potential.

I want to thank our witnesses here today. We look forward to your comments. We look forward to hearing your expertise and your insight into all of these issues.

I now yield to the Ranking Member of the Subcommittee on Higher Education and Workforce Investment, Mr. Smucker, to make an opening statement.

[The statement of Mrs. Davis follows:]

Prepared Statement of Hon. Susan A. Davis, Chairwoman, Subcommittee on Higher Education and Workforce Investment

Thank you, Ranking Member Allen, for yielding. I am pleased that we are having today's hearing on how to best support our educators and provide our children with high-quality classroom and school instruction.

Today, we are not only discussing the need to invest in teacher preparation, but

also the need to invest in school leader preparation.

In fact, studies show that effective school leadership is one of the most consistent factors behind teachers' decisions to stay or leave a school, or the profession entirely. Teachers who feel unsupported by their school leaders are nearly twice as likely to leave. At the same time, we know that effective school leadership signifi-cantly improves students' academic performance, particularly in reading and math. Despite the clear benefits of strong school leadership for both teachers and students, school leader preparation receives little attention compared to teacher preparation.

That is why our Aim Higher Act last Congress expanded key teacher preparation grants to include proven school leader preparation programs that provide participants with graduate-level coursework as well as ongoing supports while on the job. But we also know that professional training for teachers and school leaders can

only go so far without support to help educators overcome the significant cost bar-

riers to entering and staying in the profession.

Today, the gap between teacher wages and the wages of other college graduates is wider than it's ever been. One recent study found that teachers with as much as 10 years of experience and who are their family's breadwinners may need federal financial support. This gap is particularly devastating for teachers of color, who already shoulder disproportionally more student debt than their white peers. As a result, our teacher workforce lacks diversity, which research shows can hurt students' academic outcomes.

For decades, Congress has recognized its responsibility to eliminate cost barriers to serving as a teacher, particularly regarding student loans. Today, qualified teachers can receive federal student loan relief through Public Service Loan Forgiveness, for teaching in low-income schools, and for teaching in the special education and

STEM fields.

Nonetheless, continued financial barriers to becoming a teacher demonstrate that Congress must do more. As this Committee works to reauthorize the Higher Education Act, we must consider the provisions included in the Aim Higher Act to make college more affordable, and student debt easier to repay, for our educators. These provisions include streamlining student loan repayment and expanding the PSLF program to ensure that educators and other public servants are not burdened by

Each day, we rely on teachers and school administrators to shape the future of our country. If we are to provide our children with the best education possible, we must ensure that educators do not face overbearing cost barriers and inadequate preparation that prevent them from doing what they do best: empowering our children to reach their full potential.

Thank you, again, to our witnesses for joining us today. I now yield to the Ranking Member of the Subcommittee on Higher Education and Workforce Investment,

Mr. Smucker, to make an opening statement.

Mr. SMUCKER. Thank you for yielding.

As the Ranking Member from Georgia, Mr. Allen, noted that educator pipeline is critical to the success of our Nation's teachers, school leaders, and most importantly, to our students. Ensuring that America's educators are prepared when they enter their classrooms so that their students can hit the books is one of the most important ways to guarantee a successful school system in the United States.

Title 2 of the Higher Education Act funds a program that seeks to do just that. It awards competitive grants for teacher and school leader preparation, but it falls short of providing school systems an adequate pipeline of talent. Title 2 provides funding to a limited number of institutions in exchange for a significant reporting burden that offers limited insight into program effectiveness.

Republicans have proposed eliminating Title 2 because of its ineffectiveness, but if Title 2 continues as part of a reformed HEA, we must ensure that it supports State efforts to reform their teacher and school leader preparation systems. States must lead the way in reforming the preparation system that most of us agree is currently failing too many teachers and students. The success of our preparation programs is directly tied to our students' success. If we truly want America's teachers and students to prosper, we need to work together.

Today's hearing touches on the overall health of the education system in the United States from K-12 through higher education. So I look forward to hearing from today's witnesses about how we can better prepare our schoolteachers and leaders so American students are successful at every stage of education.

Thank you. I yield back.

[The statement of Mr. Smucker follows:]

Prepared Statement of Hon. Lloyd Smucker, Ranking Member, Subcommittee on Higher Education and Workforce Investment

As my colleague from Georgia, Mr. Allen not ed, the educator pipeline is critical to the success of our nation's teachers, school leaders, and most importantly, students. Ensuring America's educators are prepared when they hit the classrooms so their students can hit the books is one of the most important ways to guarantee a successful school system in the United States.

Title II of the Higher Education Act (HEA) funds a program that seeks to do just that. It awards competitive grants for teacher and school leader preparation, but it falls short of providing school systems an adequate pipeline of talent. Title II provides funding to a limited number of institutions in exchange for a significant reporting burden that offers limited insight into program effectiveness.

Republicans have proposed eliminating Title II because of its ineffectiveness. But

Republicans have proposed eliminating Title II because of its ineffectiveness. But if Title II continues as part of a reformed HEA, we must ensure that it supports state efforts to reform their teacher and school leader preparation systems. States must lead the way in reforming the preparation system that most of us agree is currently failing too many teachers and students. The success of our preparation programs is directly tied to our student students' success. If we truly want America's teachers and students to prosper, we need to work together.

teachers and students to prosper, we need to work together.

Today's hearing touches on the overall health of the education ion system in the United States - from K-12 through higher education. So I look forward to hearing from today's witnesses about how we can better prepare our school teachers and leaders so America's students are successful at every stage of education.

Chairman Sablan. Thank you very much, Mr. Smucker.

And without objection, all other Members who wish to insert written statements into the record may do so by submitting them to the Committee Clerk electronically in Microsoft Word format by 5 p.m. on July 31, 2019.

I will now introduce our witnesses. Michael Brosnan is the early leadership institute coach at Bridgeport Public Schools in Connecticut. He attended a traditional education preparation program at Fairfield University in Connecticut, and last taught high school history at Bridgeport Public Schools his entire teaching career of 14 years. Having served as a cooperating teacher to student teachers, mentor for two induction programs over his tenure, and peer observer for beginning teachers in 2017, he became the learning and development coordinator for all teachers from years zero to four. He partners with local universities to secure placement for student teaching and seats some two local university advisory councils.

Michael coordinates and delivers professional development for all first-year teachers to Bridgeport in partnership with the Connecticut Education Association. He is a district facilitator for the TEAM induction program that is nationally recognized as an excellent program for beginning teachers.

Welcome, Mr. Brosnan.

Next, Ms. Tricia McManus—I got that right—is the assistant superintendent of leadership, professional development and school transformation at Hillsborough County Public Schools in Tampa, Florida. Ms. McManus supports the professional learning of leaders, teachers, and instructional support staff, and oversees improvement efforts of the district's lowest performing schools. She received her bachelor's and master's degree from the University of South Florida.

For 17 years, she served as a teacher, assistant principal, and principal in Hillsborough County Public Schools. For 6 of those years, she served as a turnaround principal in two high-needs elementary schools where she significantly advanced student outcomes and raised school grades, resulting in recognition from the Florida Department of Education. For 8 years, she served as executive director of leadership development for Hillsborough County Public Schools, and in this role, she provided training and support for school and district leaders and developed and oversaw the Hillsborough Principal Pipeline, a comprehensive talent management system for recruiting, selecting, hiring, developing, and evaluating schoolteachers.

Welcome, Ms. McManus.

Mr. John White was named Louisiana's State Superintendent of Education in January of 2012. Prior to being named State Superintendent, Mr. White served as superintendent of the Louisiana Recovery School District, overseeing the Nation's first system of policy, a publicly funded charter and nonpublic schools in New Orleans, and launching the Baton Rouge Achievement Zone to replicate successes in New Orleans.

Prior to moving to Louisiana, Mr. White worked in New York City as deputy chancellor under Mayor Michael Bloomberg and Chancellor Joel Klein. He previously served as executive director of Teach for America Chicago and Teach for America New Jersey. He began his career as an English teacher in Jersey City, New Jersey. Mr. White received a B.A. in English, with distinction, from the University of Virginia, and a master's in public administration from New York University.

Welcome, Mr. White.

And finally, Dr. Andrew Daire, has been the dean of the Virginia Commonwealth University School of Education since in 2016, and came to VCU from the University of Houston where he served as the College of Education's associate dean for research for nearly 2 years. His resume includes more than 25 years of experience in higher education, 13 of which came at the University of Central Florida, where he cofounded the university's Marriage and Family Research Institute, among other accomplishments.

Combining an academic and clinical background in counseling and psychology with expertise in research, Dr. Daire's style of transformative leadership emphasizes personal and professional development and for motivating faculty, staff, and students towards excellence, innovation, and impact in their work every day.

So we appreciate all the witnesses for being here today, and look forward to your testimony. Let me remind the witnesses that we have read your written statements and they will appear in full in the hearing record.

Pursuant to Rule 7(d) and committee practice, each of you is asked to limit your oral presentation to a five-minute summary of

your written statement.

Let me remind you, all of you, that pursuant to Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001, it is illegal to knowingly and willfully falsify any statement, representation, writing, document, or material fact presented to Congress or otherwise conceal or cover

up a material fact.

Before you begin your testimony, please remember to press the button on the microphone in front of you so that it will turn on and the Members can hear you. As you begin to speak, the light in front of you will turn green. After four minutes, the light will turn yellow to signal that you have one minute remaining. When the light turns red, your five minutes have expired, and we ask that you please wrap up.

We will let the entire panel make their presentation before we move to Member questions. When answering a question, please re-

member to, once again, turn your microphone on.

I will now recognize Mr. Brosnan.

STATEMENT OF MICHAEL BROSNAN, TEACHER AND EARLY LEADERSHIP INSTITUTE COACH, BRIDGEPORT PUBLIC SCHOOLS, MILFORD, CT

Mr. Brosnan. Good morning, Committee Chairs Sablan and Davis, Ranking Members Smucker and Allen, and committee Members. Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today regarding challenges facing the teaching profession.

While there is certainly room for growth in many areas, the recruitment and retention of a high-quality teaching force is an excel-

lent place to start.

My name is Michael Brosnan, and I have been teaching in Bridgeport Connecticut's public schools for the past 16 years. I taught history at Warren Harding for 14, and for most recently the past 2 years, I have been responsible for supporting new educators across the city. I coordinate partnerships with educator preparation programs and place student teachers, coordinate and deliver over 25 hours of professional development for all first-year teachers, observe and support beginning teachers as their peer, and facilitate the State teacher induction program.

Essentially, my main goal is to not have a job in a few years. I am hoping that our efforts are so successful that we will be able

to retain most, if not all, of our new educators.

Bridgeport is the largest district in Connecticut, serving more than 23,000 students and employing slightly more than 1,500 educators. Because this former industrial city has seen very difficult times, the number of students qualifying for free and reduced lunch is so high that the meal program is fully subsidized throughout the district for all students. Bridgeport schools are also among the

State's most diverse. In fact, the school where I taught most recently, at Warren Harding High School, over 95 percent of our students are students of color and represent more than 100 nations.

Our city is ripe for reinvention and culturally rich, but the fact is we are plagued by perpetual underfunding, and that means we face many obstacles. Recruiting teachers and retaining them is one of them. Effectively retaining teachers will significantly close our shortage problem. Bridgeport currently has an annual attrition rate of 10 to 12 percent, and many of those who leave the profession are educators with under 5 years in the district.

The hope is that through expanded professional development specifically geared toward teachers' needs, additional peer supports, and an innovative approach to mentorship, we will be able to significantly reduce that attrition rate.

Recruitment of new educators is also a challenge for our district. Despite being a large urban district, the surrounding towns' salaries far surpass ours, and realistically, our working conditions are far more challenging. While Bridgeport Public Schools does have the highest number of teachers of color in Connecticut, the faculty is still far from a mirror image of our students. It is important for our students of color to be able to see themselves in their teachers.

In addition, there are certainly barriers for entering the profession, and one of these is obviously the cost of higher education. In most States, including Connecticut, teachers are required to have multiple degrees in order to continue practicing; however, there is a large gap between the amount degrees cost and the salaries earned.

To that end, it is vital that we invest in perspective educators by protecting Federal loan programs like the Public Loan Service Forgiveness Program, the Teacher Loan Forgiveness Program, and TEACH Grants.

Despite some recent progress in recruitment and retention, there are systemic challenges that certainly go beyond my purview, and I thank you for seriously considering ways to improve our educational system and the lives of our educators. Certainly, there is much work to be done, and I appreciate your time this morning and your work on these items. Thank you.

[The statement of Mr. Brosnan follows:]

Michael Brosnan Testimony 17July19

Good morning Committee Chairs Sablan and Davis, Ranking Members Smucker and Allen, and committee members. Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today regarding challenges facing the teaching profession. While there is certainly room for growth in many areas, the recruitment and retention of a high-quality teaching workforce is an excellent place to start

My name is Michael Brosnan and I have been teaching in Bridgeport Connecticut's public schools for the past sixteen years. Bridgeport is the largest district in Connecticut, serving more than 23,000 students and employing slightly more than 1,500 educators. Because this former industrial city has seen very difficult times, the number of students qualifying for free/reduced lunch is so high that the meal program is fully subsidized throughout the district for all students. Bridgeport's schools are also among the state's most diverse. In fact, at the school where I taught most recently, Warren Harding High School, nearly 90% of our students are students of color, and our 3,000 students represent more than 100 nations. Our city is ripe for reinvention, and culturally rich. But the fact is, we're plagued by perpetual under funding, and that means we face many obstacles. Teacher recruitment and retention is one of them.

I taught history at Warren Harding, for fourteen years. During that time, I had over twenty school administrators and hundreds of colleagues. While welcoming fresh faces each year, or in the middle of each year, was certainly a pleasure, it did little for school stability or student achievement. After serving as a mentor for our state teacher induction programs and as a cooperating teacher for college enrolled student teachers, it became rather clear that many of our newer folks needed significantly more preparation and support if they were to stay in the profession.

For almost two years, I have served in a different position within the district – specifically, I have been responsible for supporting new educators. I coordinate partnerships with educator preparation programs and place student teachers, coordinate and deliver over 25 hours of professional development for all first-year teachers, observe and support beginning teachers as their peer and facilitate the state teacher induction program – in short, I work with all of our teachers for the first four years they are in their jobs. Essentially, my main goal is to not have a job in a few years! I am hoping our efforts will be so successful that we will be able to retain most, if not all, of our new educators. Effectively retaining teachers will significantly close our shortage problem. Bridgeport currently has an annual attrition rate of 10% to 12%, and many of those who leave the profession are educators with under five years in the district. The hope is that through expanded professional development specifically geared toward teachers' needs, additional peer supports and an innovative approach to mentorship, we will be able to significantly reduce that attrition rate.

Recruitment of new educators remains a challenge for our district as well. Despite being a large urban district, the surrounding towns' salaries far surpass ours and, realistically, our working conditions are more challenging. The purpose of improving our student teaching programs is, in part, to welcome our newest educators to the city and introduce them to success in an urban

environment. As I mention in my opening letter to student teachers, Bridgeport is a great place to work! I student taught here 17 years ago and never left.

Despite some recent progress in recruitment and retention, there are systemic challenges that certainly go beyond my purview and I thank you for considering those challenges and potential solutions.

Barriers to Entry:

There are certainly barriers for entering the profession and obviously one of these is the cost of higher education. In most states, teachers are required to have multiple degrees in order to continue practicing; however, there is a large gap between the amount degrees cost and the salaries earned. I am sure we all agree that a highly educated teacher is an excellent asset, but unfortunately the cost associated with that has become almost prohibitive. Part of the appeal of becoming a career educator was the benefit of a pension despite a lower than average salary as compared with similarly educated professionals in other fields. But as we see in many states, even that benefit is under attack. To that end, it is vital we invest in prospective educators by protecting federal programs like Public Service Loan Forgiveness, Teacher Loan Forgiveness, and TEACH Grants.

Although Bridgeport Public Schools does have the highest number of teachers of color in Connecticut, the faculty is still far from a mirror image of our students. It is important for students of color to be able to see themselves in their teachers.

In addition to these barriers, there are number of factors that deter brilliant individuals from the teaching profession. From my experience – I have had students who would have been wonderful teachers and hopefully will one day become teachers, but what they've experienced in their own schools has not sold them on the profession. The revolving door of school administrators, violence, under funding, poor salaries, visible lack of support, crumbling facilities – it isn't necessarily surprising that, despite my enthusiasm, students see the tremendous demands on educators and the difficult working conditions and would rather seek a different career.

There is also the growing strength of alternative routes to teaching where college graduates can fulfill alternate requirements and be able to enter a classroom. Many of these programs do not have the intense course work in pedagogy, social emotional learning or teaching diverse learners and therefore put their candidates at a disadvantage. While I don't necessarily believe these programs prepare as well as a traditional teaching degree, it is certainly understandable why a college student would seek these paths – they cost significantly less than a traditional educator preparation program. And realistically, they are filling a need as urban districts, such as mine, have a number of teacher vacancies at the start of each school year. A pointed focus on retention of high quality educators by districts across the nation would curtail the need for alternative routes to the profession. The student achievement data suggests that more experienced teachers are a significant factor in increasing achievement; therefore, it stands to reason that we make every effort to retain high quality faculty.

Pre-service Shortages:

Improvements are needed in both traditional and non-traditional educator preparation programs. While it's difficult to generalize even with my partner universities in Bridgeport, I can confidently say that not all graduates are "classroom ready" on day one of teaching. I think I can also confidently say that they couldn't possibly be expected to be. The programs I work with directly have varying degrees of field work prior to the one semester of student teaching. From my own experience and from having worked with nine student teachers over the years, I know that it is during this period that beginning teachers learn the most. The preservice classroom preparation is wonderful at many of our universities, but it is largely based on a research/academic model and not one of classroom or practical experience. When teaching candidates spend more time in schools, they tend to be more ready for student teaching and employment.

The importance of the student teaching experience cannot be understated. It is an opportunity for prospective teachers to discover who they are in the classroom, reflect on their practice as well as their reactions to events, and to try varied lessons, all with the safety net of a master teacher present. This is authentic learning and authentic practice.

As more and more states move towards adopting new and different performance measures for initial teaching licensure to be completed during student teaching, I wonder if this will not simply be another barrier. While I don't think this is necessarily is bad, I do know that it occupies the student teacher's mind more than next week's lessons. A potential solution to this is to extend the student teaching portion for two semesters to allow for both assessment completion and true development. Of course, that adds an additional year of college to the preparation program, but if some of the financial burdens are alleviated for those who choose to serve the public as educators, it could potentially be a valuable change.

Retention:

Based on exit interviews, the number one reason teachers left my district the past four years is "lack of support." That lack of support can take many forms. It could be the biology or art teacher with absolutely no equipment or budget for materials. It could be the new teacher who was never introduced to any other faculty member or the one who was evaluated by a supervisor as if he'd already been teaching ten years. It could be the overall lack of support from school administrators, who themselves are over-worked, or the shortage of resources, such as text books, materials, and over-crowded classrooms, – regardless of the specific reason, there is one clear solution. Mentorship.

When a novice teacher is assigned or paired with a mentor, the benefits are significant. Not only is that beginning teacher more likely to stay at their school, they are more likely to stay in the profession. Most states and districts have some sort of mentorship or induction programs, but this is one practical area of focus that could begin to slow the tide of attrition. Liam Goldrick, of the New Teacher Center, in March of 2016 included the following in his policy report "Support from The Start":

"Mentoring support makes a huge difference to teachers. We know because we ask them. A 2014 survey released by the National Network of State Teachers of the Year and the American Institutes for Research indicates that 55 percent of new teachers listed "access to a mentor" as

having the largest impact on developing their effectiveness as a teacher. A 2015 federal analysis found that beginning teachers who were assigned a first-year mentor were significantly more likely to remain in the profession than those who were not assigned a first-year mentor."

Dr. Richard Ingersoll, Professor of Education and Sociology from the University of Pennsylvania perhaps added more urgency to the conversation about mentorship when he noted changes in the actual make-up of the teaching force. In his 2012 article in Education Week, he noted that the teaching force as a whole is getting younger and newer. He wrote that in 1988, the average teacher was a fifteen-year veteran, yet in 2008 a full 25% of the teaching force had fewer than five years' experience. This statistic, though dated, further emphasizes the need for mentorship. While each state has its own version of induction and mentorship, it is important work as it does have direct results on our most important population – the students.

Research also shows that when first-year teachers have access to high-quality mentoring and induction, their students perform as well as the students of fourth-year teachers who have NOT had quality mentoring and induction. In other words, beginning teachers can become more skillful faster when they are mentored well. And the confidence they gain will help keep them in their schools, and in the profession.

Michael Strong, Professor of Education from the University of California, Santa Cruz, wrote in his 2006 article that took a deep look at the correlation between teacher mentorship and student achievement, show that when first-year teachers have access to high-quality mentoring and induction, their students perform as well as the students of fourth-year teachers who have NOT had quality mentoring and induction. In other words, beginning teachers can become more skillful faster when they are mentored well. And the confidence they gain will help keep them in their schools, and in the profession.

Mentoring is worth it – especially paired with the evidence that mentorship reduces the attrition rate of high-quality teachers which tends to be disproportionately higher in hard to staff urban districts. Bridgeport, like many urban districts, benefits from Title II-A funding that supports the development and retention of highly qualified teachers and principals. This investment in our instructional faculty not only addresses the staffing needs of districts, but has a clear impact on student achievement. I would urge you to fully fund Title II-A – for the benefit of dedicated educators and for the benefit of our nation's children.

Representatives, thank you for taking the time to seriously consider ways to improve our educational system and the lives of our educators. Certainly, there is much work to be done, and I appreciate your time this morning and your work on these items.

Chairman Sablan. Thank you very much, Mr. Brosnan. Wow, you saved us a lot of time.

So, Ms. McManus, you have five minutes, please.

STATEMENT OF TRICIA MCMANUS, ASSISTANT SUPER-INTENDENT FOR LEADERSHIP, PROFESSIONAL DEVELOP-MENT, AND SCHOOL TRANSFORMATION, HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS, TAMPA, FL

Ms. McManus. Good morning, Chairman Sablan, Chairwoman Davis, Ranking Members Allen and Smucker, and Members of the committee. My name is Tricia McManus, and I am the assistant superintendent for Leadership, Professional Development, and School Transformation in Hillsborough County Public Schools. In this role, I oversee our Principal Pipeline, professional development for schoolteachers and leaders, and 50 of our schools who have historically struggled with performance. I am truly grateful for the op-

portunity to testify about the importance of preservice training and ongoing support in development of school leaders. The success of our schools and the students entrusted upon us greatly depend on the effectiveness of the adults that have chosen this noble profession of education.

I come before you today as an educator with 28 years of experience with one goal in mind throughout my career: to make the lives of our students better and to strengthen access and opportunities for students who have been underserved in order to close achievement gaps and give every student the future they so greatly deserve.

A strong educational experience for each student requires system and school change that is driven by equity and the belief that we must differentiate resources and supports to level the playing field if we are going to provide every student a chance at a better tomorrow. It means that equal opportunity for high-quality, on-grade level, and rigorous coursework and instruction exists for every student regardless of race and ZIP Code. It means that exposure to electives and extracurricular activities that promote positive social, emotional, mental, and physical health and can lead to future college and career choices are available to every student, and it means that facilities that house every one of our students are equipped with updated technologies and are safe and inviting places to learn. It means that racial and other biases and disparities are addressed and eliminated and that all students have a voice. None of this can be achieved absent great leadership.

Research has shown over and over again that student achievement doesn't improve in our schools without an effective school principal. Strong leadership allows teachers to flourish, which leads to improved student outcomes. These are the leaders we are working hard to develop, support, and retain.

Hillsborough County Public Schools is the eighth largest district in the country. We serve nearly 218,000 students in more than 300 schools. A majority of our students are students of color and qualify for free and reduced lunch.

Seven years ago, Hillsborough partnered with the Wallace Foundation to study whether student outcomes could be positively impacted if we built the comprehensive principal pipeline that ensured the placement of strong principals into school leader vacancies. After implementation in our district and five others, a RAND report found that principal pipelines do work. The study showed that schools with prepared and supported principals, via a principal pipeline, markedly outperformed similar schools in comparison districts in both reading and math.

Before the pipeline, Hillsborough had a preservice program that was not grounded in strong leader standards, which resulted in principals not ready to lead in high-need schools. In tackling this and other issues, we developed a system that addressed four key components: leader standards, preservice training, which included recruitment and selection processes, selective hiring, and on-the-job evaluation and support. We identified five standards that make an effective school leader: achievement and results focused, instructional expertise, strong people management and development skills, the ability to build relationships and positive school culture,

and strategic change management with problem-solving targeted at the root of an issue.

From here we designed two preservice programs and two on-thejob support programs grounded in the five leader standards. All of the leadership development programs have been extremely impactful for AP and principal readiness, an early success in the role. We work closely with five local universities who shifted their coursework to allow with our standards.

Our Preparing New Principals program takes place over 2 years, and coursework and on-the-job learning experiences teach our aspiring leaders how to lead from an equity-focused place and in a culturally responsive manner, challenging implicit bias, established and restorative school environments, leading a school equity audit, and having hard conversations, among many other things. Our principals also participate in a 2-year induction program with monthly cohort sessions that build upon the learning from the PNP program and allow them to share problems of practice and solve them together. Every new principal is assigned a full-released, high-performing principal as an induction coach who provides ongoing—onboarding and transition coaching.

The role of school principal is complex, and the expectations set upon them require that they are developed prior to the job, while on the job, and throughout their time in the role. Principals are the ones that set the right conditions for staff and students to be successful, and we must ensure they have the tools needed to accomplish this heavy lift. This is why it is critical that Federal policy support the development of school principals the same way it does for classroom teachers. The magic happens in our schools when leaders and teachers work together in support of our students.

The results have been positive in HCPS. New leaders have shown commitment to equity by closing graduation rate gaps, decreasing student of color discipline referrals and suspensions, and increasing the number of support programs available to students.

We must make school leadership a priority as we think about ways to improve schools across the country. We are a Nation with vast resources, and we should prioritize funding to States and districts to support the preparation, development, and ongoing support of leaders who have been given the charge to create brighter outcomes for students they serve.

The Higher Education Act should reflect what practitioners already know to be true: Effective school leadership is one of the most important levers to ensure an equitable education for all students.

Thank you.

[The statement of Ms. McManus follows:]

Testimony on

"Educating our Educators: How Federal Policy Can Better Support Teachers and School Leaders."

Committee on Education and Labor U.S. House of Representatives

Tricia McManus, Assistant Superintendent, Leadership Professional Development and School Transformation Hillsborough County Public Schools Tampa, Florida

July 17, 2019

Good morning, Chairman Sablan, Chairwoman Davis, Ranking Members Allen and Smucker, and members of the Committee. My name is Tricia McManus, and I am the Assistant Superintendent for Leadership, Professional Development and School Transformation in Hillsborough County Public Schools. In this role, I oversee our Principal Pipeline, professional development for teachers and leaders, and fifty of our schools who have historically struggled with performance. Thank you for inviting me to speak today, and thank you for your leadership and service to our country. I am truly grateful for the opportunity to testify about the importance of pre-service training and ongoing support and development of school leaders. The success of our schools and the students entrusted upon us greatly depend on the effectiveness of the adults that have chosen this noble profession of education.

OVERVIEW

I come before you today as an educator with twenty-eight years of experience. I have served as a teacher, assistant principal, principal, and district leader with one goal in mind throughout my career, to make the lives of our students better and to strengthen access and opportunities for students who have been underserved in order to close achievement gaps and give every student the future they so greatly deserve. A strong educational experience for each student requires system and school change that is driven by equity and the belief that we must differentiate resources and supports to level the playing field if we are to provide every student a chance at a better tomorrow. It means that equal opportunity for high-quality, on-grade level, and rigorous coursework and instruction exists for every student regardless of race and zip code. It means that exposure to electives and extracurricular activities that promote positive social, emotional, mental and physical health and can lead to future college and career choices are available to every student. It means that facilities that house every one of our students are equipped with updated technologies and are safe and inviting places to learn. It means that racial and other biases are addressed, disparities in how students of color are disciplined are eliminated, and

student connectedness to school is greatly improved due to strong relationships with adults and opportunities to have a voice.

None of this can be achieved absent great leadership. We cannot afford to have pockets of great leaders in some schools and then others who drive adults away, allow students to fall through the cracks, and stay in reactive mode verses creating sustainable systems and structures that lead to dramatic improvements for students. According to researchers, Louis, Leithwood, Wahlstrom, and Anderson, "We have not found a single case of a school improving its student achievement record in the absence of talented leadership." They further state, "There are no documented instances of troubled schools being turned around without intervention by a powerful leader."

Recent research shows the impact of a strong leader on improving outcomes for schools and its students. These are leaders capable of transforming a school environment so that its students and teachers can flourish. These are the kinds of leaders we are working so hard to recruit, develop, hire, support, and retain as we achieve success for each and every student in our system. This is the why behind the Principal Pipeline in Hillsborough County Public Schools and other districts across the country.

LOCAL CONTEXT

Hillsborough County Public Schools is the 8th largest district in the country. We serve nearly 218,000 students in more than 300 school sites including 142 K-5 elementary schools, 43 middle schools, 27 high schools, 5 K-8 schools, 9 exceptional centers, 4 career centers, 4 technical colleges, 68 adult programs and 49 charter schools.

Hillsborough County Public Schools serves a diverse student population. Our student demographics include 4.2% Asian, 21.13% Black, 35.40% Hispanic, .22% Indian, 5.63% Multi-Racial, and 33.42% White. 60.62% of our students are economically disadvantaged, 11.75% are English Language Learners, and 14.19% are students with exceptionalities.

HCPS is the largest employer in Hillsborough County with more than 25,000 employees. On the Nation's Report Card, which shows the results of the NAEP assessment, Hillsborough ranks at the top of twenty-seven large districts across America. Fourth graders tied for 1st place in reading and math and eight graders tied for first place in reading and second place in math. Our graduation rates have risen from 73.5% in 2014, to 85.8% in 2018. As a learning organization, we celebrate these successes but know we have a long way to go in our effort to completely close achievement gaps.

THE WHY BEHIND THE PRINCIPAL PIPELINE

Seven years ago, Hillsborough County Public Schools was one of six large-urban districts selected to partner with the Wallace Foundation to study the following question, "If districts put in place a comprehensive and aligned principal pipeline, ensuring the placement of strong principals into school leader vacancies, would student outcomes be positively impacted?" Seven years later and after six years of designing and implementing specific components of the pipeline to create one comprehensive system in each district, a RAND report commissioned by the

Wallace Foundation (April 2019), shows that principal pipelines do work. The study shows that schools in large urban districts that built principal pipelines markedly outperformed similar schools in comparisons districts in both reading and math.

Before the pipeline, Hillsborough had select components of the work in place such as a set of standards and a pre-service program called the Preparing New Principals Program but we were lacking a deep understanding of the leader standards and they were not the foundation of recruitment, hiring, developing and evaluating school leaders. In other words, the components were acting in isolation and there was no clear and aligned talent management system for principals. This led to a lack of clarity in the system and hiring decisions based on "gut" rather than specific competency-based data. This also led to a talent pool of hundreds of leaders, many of whom were not ready for AP and Principal roles, especially in our most struggling schools. In addition, principal training components were very technical in nature and lacked the deep leadership knowledge and experiences needed to truly prepare leaders to transform schools. The graphic below shows old way of work vs new way of work in our quest to have better prepared leaders. The pipeline was the key lever we knew we had to address if we were going to get the best results for our schools and the students we serve.

	OLD WAY	NEW WAY
Core Competencies	Ten state standards were used to evaluate principals, but were not used as the driving force behind how we recruit, hire and develop aspiring and current school leaders.	The District worked with school leaders to develop <i>five come competencies</i> that form the basis of the Principal Pipeline program. These core competencies drive everything that is done within the District regarding school leadership, from hiring and interviewing to selection of candidates, developing and evaluating. Each program of the Pipeline, from the Future Leaders Academy to the Principal Induction Program, is developed to center on the core competencies and prepare and support assistant principals and principals in their roles.
Selection and Pre-Service Training Programs	Recruitment: No official recruitment efforts existed. Those aspiring to school leadership self-selected into an Education Leadership program to receive their certification and become an assistant principal. Assistant principals self-selected to move into Preparing New Principals and the principal role.	Recruitment: The District has established formalized partnerships with several local universities to host yearly recruitment fairs. Principals give invitations to teachers that they feel are good candidates for school leadership. Data is used to identify leadership areas for which candidates are needed, and the District works to fill those needed areas.
	Selection: In order to get into the assistant principal pool, aspiring school leaders as through a 15-minute panel interview where they were asked general questions related to school leadership. A similar process was used to get into the principal applicant pool.	Selection: A comprehensive selection process driven by the core competencies is used. Interviews for FLA are 90 minutes (120 minutes for PNP candidates) and include performance assessments and interview questions, tied to the core competencies. Trained interview teams rate the candidates on the core competencies, and the best candidates move into the pre-service training programs.

	OLD WAY	NEW WAY
Selection and Pre-Service Training Programs	Pre-Service Training: Aspiring assistant principals secured an Educational Leadership Certification. The District did not work with local universities to align program espectations. The Preparing New Principals program was an isolated program that did not address the changing and evolving role of principals.	Pre-Service Training: The District works closely with five local universities who have shifted their coursework and experiences to align with the District's standards. These universities went through an assessment profit on ensure their programs were producing quality candidates. Candidates must secure an Educational Leadership Certification and upon selection, enter the IA program to begin their school leadership journey. Changes were made to the Preparing New Principals program that addressed the changing and evolving role of the principals and aligned with national principal preparation expectations. Candidates of FLA and PNP must successfully exit the pra-service programs before they enter the assistant principal and principal applicant pools.
Succession Planning and Hiring	No succession planning existed. It was a very reactive process and vacancies were posted as they occurred. The District advertised a job and selected a candidate from the applications in a more immediate manner, sometimes resulting in an incompatible selection.	Formal succession planning takes place, with vacancies identified by the District 3 to 12 months in advance. District leaders look ahead at upcoming vacancies and meet to discuss how the existing candidate pool filts with the upcoming vacancies. A Leader-Selection Tool was created to house all the data from candidates in the Pipeline program who are qualified to enter the vacancies. After reviewing the data of who would best fit the position, a short list of candidates is developed. Thanks to the Pipeline program, the District is able to maintain at 27 and of candidates for each three. For every one vacancy posted, the District is able to vet three qualified candidates for consideration and appointment.
On-the-Job Evaluation and Support	Support: Once a principal was hired, keys to the school were turned over and they began their job. No official onboarding process existed to help transition principals in their new role and acclimate them to the new school. Area Directors (nov called Area Superintendents) were focused on operations and management, and not on the instructional process. Continuing education courses were offered spradically and focused on information sharing.	Support: Once appointed, principals immediately attend an onboarding and transition meeting that happens before even stepping on the school campus. A principal coach is assigned immediately that will support the growth of the principal for two years, as they progress through the Principal induction Program, the final phase of HPTA. And rea Superintendent and Area Leadership team helps support principals in both an information sharing and professional development capacity. Goal satting is a formalized process and is used each year as the bluspir for the school year, aligned to the five core competencies with which principals are trained. Principals attend courses on a quarterly basis that are based on their needs and established goals. Additionally, programs like School Administration Marager, or SAM, which is a professional development process to support instructional leadershy, have been institude, designed for principals by principals.
	Evaluation: Principals were assessed by a generic rubric of principal practice based on the 10 state standards.	Evaluation: Each year, principals are assessed by a competency assessment rubric that shows how the continuum of practice aligns with the core competencies. Principals review their results with their principal coston and/or Area Superintendent and the results are used to determine goals for the next year, as well as identify each principal's strength and improvement areas.

THE PIPELINE COMPONENTS

Due to need, proven research, and a partner to support our learning, we made the decision to embark on the Principal Pipeline Initiative. This meant a commitment to designing a comprehensive school leader talent management system grounded in leader standards/competencies that defined the role of principal in our district. In order to create this system, we had to address four key components: leader standards/competencies, pre-service training which included recruitment and selection processes, selective hiring, and on the job evaluation and support.

- 1. Defined Leadership: Redesign job responsibilities for principals, assistant principals and area directors to encompass new leadership standards.
- 4. On-the-Job Evaluation and Support: Evaluate principals regularly and provide professional development opportunities, including mentoring.
- 2. Pre-Service Training:
 Overhaul the recruitment,
 selection and training
 process to ensure the
 most effective leaders are
 included.
- Selective Hiring: Recruit, hire and promote only the most effective and well-trained candidates.

The district began by identifying the competencies most important for a principal to be able to demonstrate in order to successfully lead a school that advances outcomes for students. The competencies include:

<u>Achievement Focus and Results Orientation</u> – Principals must hold themselves and others accountable for high academic achievement for all students, communicate a clear, compelling vision of high academic achievement, and exhibit a commitment to equity by creating a collective sense of urgency to close achievement gaps and prepare all students for college and career success

<u>Instructional Expertise</u> – Principals must conduct high-quality classroom observations, identify effective teaching practices and understand pedagogy that results in improved student learning. They must ensure that students master standards by aligning curriculum, instructional strategies and assessments.

<u>Managing and Developing People</u> – Principals must use multiple methods to evaluate teacher and staff effectiveness and provide timely, targeted, and actionable feedback. They must also use data to plan job-embedded professional development for teachers. They must distribute and develop staff leadership and build teacher teams able to advance teaching and learning. Finally,

they must be able to exhibit effective recruitment, interview and selection skills that lead to quality hiring decisions and be able to retain high performers.

<u>Culture and Relationship Building</u> – Principals must create a positive and safe environment for teachers, students, families and the community. They must embrace diverse viewpoints, communicate effectively with all stakeholders, and inspire other adults to feel ownership and take action.

<u>Problem Solving and Strategic Change Management</u> – Principals must be able to collect, analyze, and use multiple forms of data to make decisions. They must be able to identify the root cause of problems and develop effective strategies for resolving issues as well as develop and implement clear action plans and systems to advance school goals all while building buy-in from diverse stakeholders.



Knowing the expectations/competencies for the role of principal, we then began designing all other components to align to these competencies. Pre-service programs and on-the-job support were two of the components that were extremely impactful for AP and Principal readiness and early success in the role. Hillsborough instituted two pre-service programs. Both programs

were designed using a cohort model and following best practices for high quality professional development.

Future Leaders Academy (FLA)

Future Leaders Academy was designed for aspiring APs. The program lasts six months and takes place after a candidate has graduated from an accredited Educational Leadership Program. In six months, participants take part in coursework related to leading for equity, cultural responsiveness, creating a restorative culture, providing effective feedback, having hard conversations and more. They also gain more exposure to the leader competencies by participating in job-embedded learning experiences similar to those they will experience upon entering the role of AP.

Preparing New Principals (PNP)

The second pre-service program takes place prior to becoming a principal. It is for Assistant Principals with three years of successful experience who want to begin applying for principal positions. Preparing New Principals Program is a two-year program that includes coursework, job embedded learning experiences, and the design of a 90-day entry plan that is used upon entering a school as principal. Although the PNP program has been in existence for over thirty years, it was completely overhauled to meet expectations of the new competencies. Courses and content addressed in PNP include Leading for Equity, Implicit Bias, Poverty Simulations, Culturally Responsive Leadership, Developing Systems of Support for Teachers, Student and Staff Culture, Leading Change, Recruiting and Hiring High Quality Staff, Design Thinking, and more. Job embedded experiences include creating a vision for leadership, leading an equity audit in their school and planning next steps, creating and implementing a schools-wide PD plan, leading a change initiative, etc. The final project of the two years is to present a ninety-day entry plan that addresses the five leader competencies and content learned throughout the program. Entry plans are also presented during the interview process for principal positions. On the job coaching takes place during both pre-service programs by current principals.

In addition to two pre-service programs, Hillsborough also designed two induction programs to support new APs and Principals in their first two years in the job.

Assistant Principal Induction Program (APIP)

APIP is a two year program to support new APs. In addition to monthly sessions with cohort members designed to address problems of practice, leaders continue to develop their knowledge and skills through ongoing coursework that builds upon FLA. They are assigned an experienced and carefully selected AP mentor to support their growth over the two years.

Principal Induction Program (PIP)

PIP is a two year program designed to support new principals. Participants take part in monthly cohort sessions that build upon the learnings from PNP and allow principals to share problems of practice and solve them together as they grow in their craft. Every new principal is assigned an induction coach who provides onboarding and transition coaching as the new principal enters, and weekly coaching supports throughout the two years. Coaches are full-released, high performing principals, hired specifically to ensure the success of our new principals.



The Pipeline to Leadership



www.QurStudentsDurFuture.org



The four development programs were designed to ensure readiness and ongoing support of our school leaders. These programs have been instrumental is setting clear expectations for our leaders and providing them with the training, coaching, and support needed to demonstrate mastery and strong performance on the leader competencies.

Turn Around Leader Pathways (TLP)

The district also formed a Turn-Around Leader Pathways program to recruit experienced leaders with a proven track record into our schools in need of turn around and those that have faced chronic underperformance. Coursework for this program was designed in partnership with two local universities who had a similar vision for changing outcomes for our most vulnerable students in our most underserved communities. Each year, HCPS in partnership with our universities, select aspiring APs for an M.Ed in Leadership for School Turn Around (University of Tampa) and veteran principals for an Ed.S in Leadership for School Turn Around (University of South Florida). The programs once again build on our leader competencies while specifically focusing on the competencies most needed to turn around struggling schools. We want our leaders completely prepared for this important work.



Other components that were designed or changed as part of the Principal Pipeline Initiative included: redesigning selection and hiring processes so that they truly allowed us to assess candidate performance on our leader competencies, developing succession planning processes to forecast vacancies and planning ahead to ensure strong candidates in the pool, developing a leader tracking system to house data on all of our leaders to help inform hiring decisions, redesigning our principal evaluation to assess leader competencies, re-designing the role of principal supervisor from being one of manager and fire-fighter to one of principal coach and instructional leader, and restructuring systems of support for principals.

All of these factors worked and continue to work in an aligned and sustained system that allows the district to place the right leaders in positions where they can make the most difference for students, families, and overall school communities.

The role of school principal is complex and the expectations set upon them require that they are developed prior to the job, while on the job, and throughout their time in the role. We have to create the same learning organization for our principals that we expect them to create with their staffs. Principals are the ones that set the right conditions for staff and students to be successful and we must ensure they have the tools needed to accomplish this heavy lift. This is why it is critical that federal policy support the development of school principals the same way it does for our classroom teachers. The magic happens in our schools, when leaders and teachers work together in support of students.

TAKING THE PIPELINE TO SCALE

Over the six years of implementation, the Wallace Foundation provided \$12.5 million dollars to HCPS to support district learning to advance the pipeline components. Every component we developed was designed to be sustained by embedding it into the culture of the district, creating

systems and structures that could be replicated over time as well as figuring out local and state funding sources that could support leadership development efforts such as Title II, Title 1 and other grants. A pipeline sustainability study released in February 2019 showed that each of the six districts were able to sustain their pipeline components outside of Wallace funding thanks to others funding sources. The learnings and findings from the six districts are now available to other systems who may want to replicate the work in their districts.

RESULTS

The results have been extremely positive in HCPS. We have approximately 50 aspiring principals and 130 aspiring APs in our talent pool and ten experienced principals in the Turn-Around Leadership Pathways. All of these leaders were selected under new competencies and more rigorous processes and are high performing in their current roles. We have had less than 2% turnover in principal positions since the inception of the pipeline. Other results include:

- The Principal Pipeline was designated a promising district practice by Advanced ED
- There was an increase in diverse and effective Principal and AP candidate pools at a 3:1 ratio, meaning three strong candidates for every vacancy.
- New principals and APs are better prepared than ever before based on impact surveys, rubric ratings, teacher feedback, and student outcomes.
- New leaders are committed to equity based on closing of gaps in graduation rates, decreasing of discipline referrals and suspensions of students of color, and increasing the number of mentoring programs and other student supports.

CONCLUSION

Education is the great equalizer for our students. Without great schools, more and more students will lack the skills needed to be high level readers, problem solvers and thinkers, which are skills that are very much needed for future success. We must make school leadership a priority as we think about ways to improve schools across the country. When we think of other professions, no organization is successful without strong leadership. The difference is, when our schools are not successful, students' futures and lives are at stake.

We are a nation with vast resources and we should prioritize funding to states and districts to support the preparation, development and ongoing support of leaders who have been given the charge to create brighter outcomes for our students by breaking down barriers that prevent them from becoming all that they can be. We cannot rest until 100 percent of our students receive the education they deserve. The Higher Education Act should reflect what practitioners already know to be true: effective school leadership is one of the most important levers to ensure an equitable education for all of our students.

Thank you for allowing me the time to address why strong leadership preparation and development programs, specifically principal pipelines are so important to the future of education. Leadership matters! Our students matter! I look forward to answering any questions.

Chairman Sablan. Thank you. Thank you, Ms. McManus. I now recognize Mr. White for five minutes, please.

STATEMENT OF JOHN WHITE, STATE SUPERINTENDENT OF EDUCATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. BATON ROUGE. LA

Mr. WHITE. Respective Chairmen, Ranking Members, and Members, I thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today about the Higher Education Act.

I have been blessed to serve as State superintendent of education for Louisiana for nearly the last 8 years. Our State has implemented its plan for improvement called Louisiana Believes, in partnership with the Federal Government, and we have utilized the tools that Congress has given our State to develop a plan by and for our State. Among those tools is Title II of the Higher Education Act, the goals of which are laudable, but the effect of which is not, in its current iteration, profound at improving educator preparation

programs.

While our national conversation focuses frequently on whether today's teachers are effective, we have come to think that if a teacher is ineffective after four years of preparation in a college of education funded by Federal and State tax dollars, it should not be shame on him, it should be shame on us. We owe it to teachers to prepare them in a professional manner and to declare them effective before they take a full-time job in the classroom.

Research shows that aspiring teachers who prepare for the job alongside mentors with proven track records of success demonstrate classroom performance akin to teachers with 2 additional years of classroom experience. With high-quality preparation, we can end the tragic phenomenon of the hapless first-year teacher. However, research also shows us that there is wide variation in the quality and effectiveness of educator preparation programs.

In 2014, Louisiana surveyed its teachers in order to gather feedback on teacher preparation experiences. More than 6,000 responded, overwhelmingly saying that they were not fully prepared to teach in their first years in the classroom and that they wished they had more time to practice actually teaching under the tutelage of a mentor educator. We soon, thereafter, launched Believe and Prepare, providing the State's most innovative school systems and colleges of education with grants and with support to develop residency models in which aspiring teachers gain a full year of practice as college seniors, under the supervision of a certified mentor educator. Believe and Prepare began with just seven school systems and seven preparation providers.

In 2017, after years of hard work, however, our State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education codified the Believe and Prepare model into State regulation, requiring all aspiring teachers in Louisiana to experience a full-year residency under a State certified mentor.

Revisions to Title II of the Higher Education Act could further support models like Believe and Prepare, enabling States to use work study and teacher quality partnership grants to support comprehensive visions for improving educator preparation.

Alternative certification provided by universities and others must be part of the discussion as well. While there are some outstanding alternative certification programs, some still provide minimal practice and minimal mentoring during the transition to the classroom. Eight rural school systems in Louisiana are currently piloting costeffective models of year-long mentorship for alternative certification candidates.

In the first year of the pilot, the amount of time alternative certifications candidates spent with mentors radically increased. On average, these candidates are spending one period per day every day during the entire school year with their mentor, a practice we hope will soon be expanded statewide.

Again, teacher quality partnership grants would have been a beneficial funding source, but the program has been tailored to small and narrow partnerships rather than to statewide improvement efforts.

Finally, the complement to developing and supporting better educator preparation programs is defining what programs must achieve in the first place and identifying both positive performance and evidence challenges.

In 2016, Louisiana developed an accountability system for both traditional and alternative preparation programs. Our system identifies programs, strengths, and weaknesses in three factors: an onsite review, the percentage of candidates who are placed in highneed settings and subject areas, and the learning outcomes of students taught by program alumni. When combined, these measures create a summative rating for all programs in the State.

Revisions to Title II of the Higher Education Act could simplify the complex data reporting requirements, focusing States on the limited set of measures that set shine light on States' true effectiveness. Fortunately, other States are also making important steps forward on these issues, frequently supported by the Council of Chief State School Officers, Chiefs for Change, and Deans for Impact. The Higher Education Act can best support such plans by requiring clear reporting of the facts and by funding plans for systemwide improvement.

I appreciate greatly the chance to share Louisiana's story and look forward to today's discussion.

[The statement of Mr. White follows:]

Testimony to the House Subcommittee on Early Childhood, Elementary, and Secondary Education and
the House Subcommittee on Higher Education and Workforce Investment

John White, Louisiana State Superintendent of Education

July 17, 2019

Chairman Sablan, Chairwoman Davis, Ranking Members Allen and Smucker, and members of the subcommittees, I thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today about the Higher Education Act and its role in preparing the next generation of classroom teachers and school leaders. It is my hope that in offering Louisiana's story, I can provide an example of how states can lead efforts at improvement, making full use of the tools and support Congress has provided.

Louisiana Believes

I have been blessed to serve as state superintendent of education for nearly eight years. The plan our state has developed and implemented in those years, called Louisiana Believes, is predicated on one essential belief: that the children of Louisiana are as smart and as capable as any children in America, and that they have been given by God gifts no lesser than those given to any child on this earth.

Louisiana Believes has five pillars, all modeled on policies essential to the nation's and world's highest achieving education systems.

We have brought together child care, Head Start, public pre-kindergarten, and nonpublic pre-kindergarten into one, unified system of early childhood care and education.

We have aligned learning standards, curriculum, assessment, and professional development in every school system, providing teachers a clear sense of what they are responsible for teaching.

We have provided high school graduates a pathway to a funded next step in education and a good first job, by expanding early college courses, by revitalizing the career and technical system, and by becoming the first state in the nation to require that all graduates choose affirmatively whether or not to apply for financial aid.

We have focused relentlessly on the needs of students stuck in persistently struggling schools through comprehensive improvement efforts such as the restoration of New Orleans schools in the wake of Hurricane Katrina.

And, as I will discuss today, we have placed educator preparation where it most belongs, in the classroom, on the job. College seniors aspiring to be teachers in Louisiana now experience yearlong residencies under the tutelage of full-time mentor educators singularly dedicated to the resident's development, so that every graduate of our colleges of education is validated as an effective teacher before his or her first day of full employment.

As a consequence of these reforms, more students graduated high school this year than in any year in the state's history. More students than ever before also earned early college credits and high-wage industry certifications. More than ever before achieved eligibility for the state's college scholarship program. In fact, nearly 80 percent of all seniors completed federal financial aid forms this year, indicating an aspiration to pursue postsecondary education, tops in the nation. And a record number of graduates this year enrolled in college following graduation. Louisiana is a better educated state than at any point in the state's history.

Our state has implemented Louisiana Believes in partnership with the federal government at every turn, and we have utilized the tools Congress has given us to develop a plan by and for the state of Louisiana. Among those tools is Title II of the Higher Education Act, the goals of which are laudable. However, it remains the case that educator preparation and support in America is, on the whole, badly in need of improvement, and that Title II in its present form is not a profound contributor to a better system of preparation for the next generation.

I'll turn now to the story of our state's efforts to improve the way we support aspiring teachers and administrators.

Believe and Prepare

Too often our national teacher quality conversation has been focused solely on the full-time teachers, already on the job. We question whether they are effective or ineffective for the children they serve. But in our state, we have come to think that if a teacher is ineffective at his job after four years of preparation for the job in a college of education, funded by state and federal tax dollars, it should not be, "Shame on him." It should be, "Shame on us." We owe it to teachers and to their students to prepare them in a professional manner and to declare them effective before they take a full-time job as a classroom teacher.

There is voluminous evidence that high-quality mentoring for aspiring teachers prior to them entering the classroom, over the course of an entire school year, can be a powerful driver of effective teaching. Recent research shows us that aspiring teachers who prepare for the job alongside mentors who have a proven track record of student success demonstrate classroom performance akin to teachers with two more years of experience. With high quality preparation, we can end the tragic phenomenon of the hapless first year teacher.

However, research tells us there is wide variation in the quality of educator preparation programs. In Louisiana, our Board of Regents has published data for years indicating that some programs' graduates go on to lead their students to significant academic progress, while others' graduates struggle persistently.

Setting out to achieve greater effectiveness at preparing teachers, in 2014, Louisiana surveyed its teachers, the programs that prepared them, and the schools and districts that hire and support them, in order to gather feedback on teacher preparation experiences and how they could be improved. More than 6,000 educators responded, and the results were humbling: educators overwhelmingly said they were not prepared to teach in their first years in the classroom, and that they wished they would have had more time to practice actually teaching under the tutelage of a highly educated mentor educator prior to their first day alone in the classroom.

Louisiana soon after launched *Believe and Prepare*, a nationally-recognized initiative that provided the state's most innovative school systems and colleges with grants and support to develop residency models in which aspiring teachers gain a full year of practice as college seniors under a certified mentor. *Believe and Prepare* began with seven school systems and seven preparation providers, most of them colleges of education. In 2015, the program grew to more than 20 school systems and more than 15 preparation providers, and in 2016, to over 30 school systems and over 20 preparation providers. In 2017, after years of hard work, the state Board of Elementary and Secondary Education codified the Believe and Prepare model into state regulation, requiring all aspiring teachers in all universities to experience a full-year residency under a state-certified mentor.

The board's actions were important not only because the state would now educate, certify, and validate the quality of mentors, but also because, by virtue of their status as state-certified mentors, mentors in Louisiana are now 40 percent of the way toward obtaining a certificate to be a school leader. This initiative has thus not only changed our approach to mentoring teachers but also to developing the pool of future school leaders. To date, more than 1,600 Louisiana educators have completed or are currently participating in mentor education.

Mentors and residents in Louisiana are paid roles, and federal funding has been critical to this project. In particular, Title II of the Every Student Succeeds Act has proven invaluable to the support of both mentors and residents. Revisions to Title II of the Higher Education Act could further support the Believe and Prepare model, enabling our state and others to use Work Study and Teacher Quality Partnership grants to support comprehensive visions for improving educator preparation.

Alternative Certification

When discussing teacher preparation efforts, the conversation often centers on traditional baccalaureate programs run by colleges of education. But both universities and private

organizations operate alternative certification models that expedite the transition into the classroom for mid-career professionals and non-education majors. There are many outstanding alternative certification programs; I am proud to have been recruited by Teach For America, for example. TNTP, which certifies TFA corps members in Louisiana, ranks among the most effective preparation programs in our state year after year. However, teacher candidates in some alternative certification programs receive little to no practice and mentoring during their transition to the classroom. In turn, they are more likely to leave the profession sooner than their peers. And the effect of this falls disproportionately on students in low-income and rural communities.

Alternative certification provided by universities and other organizations must be a part of the teacher preparation discussion. Eight rural school systems in Louisiana that rely heavily on alternative certification programs are piloting cost-effective models of yearlong mentorship for these certification candidates. In the first year of the pilot, the amount of time alternative certification candidates spent with a mentor radically increased. These pilots included co-teaching and mentoring for, on average, one period per day, every day, during the entire school year, a practice we hope soon will be expanded statewide.

Again, we funded these pilot programs using a variety of state and federal funds, including a federal Teacher Incentive Fund (TIF) grant. As with our undergraduate residency programs, the Teacher Quality Partnership grant program authorized in Title II of the Higher Education Act would have been a beneficial funding source, but it has been tailored to small district-provider partnerships rather than large-scale, statewide improvement efforts.

Accountability

The complement to developing and supporting better preparation programs in any state is defining what programs must achieve and ensuring there is a system for identifying both positive performance and evident challenges.

In order to develop such an accountability system, in 2016, Louisiana convened a nationally respected task force, led by the dean of the University of Virginia's Curry School of Education and Human Development, Dr. Robert Pianta. The task force developed a system of measurement, reporting, and rating for both traditional and alternative certification programs, as well as a plan to phase in the measures and reports over five years, rigorously studying each measure.

The Louisiana Teacher Preparation Quality Rating System identifies programs' strengths and weaknesses, forming a summative rating of quality based on three domains: "Preparation Program Experience," as measured by an on-site review conducted by outside experts; "Meeting Educator Workforce Needs," as measured by the percentage of candidates placed in high-need rural and urban schools and the number of program completers in high-need certification areas; and "Teacher Quality," as measured by the learning outcomes of students taught by program completers. The rating system has been piloted for two years, and official performance reports will be publicly released in 2020.

Accountability is an essential part of teacher preparation program improvement, and data play an important role in that. We developed this system in the absence of requirements or guidance from Congress. In its next iteration, the Higher Education Act should strike an appropriate balance between usable data that focuses programs on quality without dictating the exact terms of accountability in every state. Revisions to Title II of the Higher Education Act should streamline and simplify data reporting requirements, focusing states on identifying a limited set of measures that shed light on programs' effectiveness.

Early Childhood Education

While everything I have discussed so far relates to Louisiana's K-12 educator workforce, it's important that teacher preparation in the early childhood setting not go undiscussed. Since 2012, Louisiana has enacted sweeping changes in early childhood education. We built one unified system across Head Start, child care, and pre-k, and we established a framework for teaching excellence

shared among these programs. We adopted the CLASS observation system and now conduct visits twice per year to each publicly funded classroom in the state, providing meaningful feedback to educators. We further publish public performance profiles based on these observations for each early childhood center, with details on how well sites are caring for and teaching young children each year.

None of this is meaningful, however, in the absence of legitimate expectations for teacher preparation and skill. Prior to these reforms, child care teachers in Louisiana were only required to be 18 years of age and did not even need to hold a high school diploma. Today, every early childhood teacher in Louisiana, including each child care teacher, is required to have a Child Development Associate certificate, or CDA, earned through an approved preparation program and funded through a novel system of tax credits that support families, teachers, and early childhood centers alike.

Conclusion

Louisiana is far from having achieved the education system its students and citizens deserve. But we are proud of the improvements we have set in motion and of the accomplishments of our students. We are also proud to have pioneered a system of educator preparation that takes its cues from the world's most effective school systems. Our teachers and their students deserve it.

Fortunately, other states have made important strides in this direction as well, frequently supported by the Council of Chief State School Officers and Chiefs for Change. The Higher Education Act can best support such ambitions through requiring honest and clear reporting of the facts and through providing funds that incentivize plans for system-wide improvement. I appreciate greatly the opportunity to share Louisiana's story and look forward to today's discussion.

Chairman SABLAN. Thank you very much, Mr. White. And now, Mr. Daire, you have five minutes, please. Thank you.

STATEMENT OF DR. ANDREW DAIRE, DEAN, SCHOOL OF EDUCATION, VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY, RICHMOND, VA

Mr. Daire. Chairman Sablan, Chairwoman Davis, Ranking Member Allen, Ranking Member Smucker, and Members of the committee, good morning. I am proud to be dean of Virginia Commonwealth University School of Education. VCU is an urban research community-engaged university in Richmond. Based on the recent U.S. News and World report ranking, our school of education is our country's 20th best graduate school of education, 11th best public graduate school of education, and third best for online programs. We are home to both the traditional teacher prep pro-

gram and an innovative residency program, which together graduates about 250 teaching and school counseling candidates each year.

We are constantly thinking about how we can do more to prepare teachers for high-quality and hard-to-staff schools in our community and across the country. Dr. Martin Haberman said: Completing a traditional program of teacher education as preparation for working in urban high-need schools is like preparing to swim the English Channel by doing laps in the university pool.

Not everyone wants the challenge of swimming the English Channel and not every high-need school is the English Channel, but we must do our best to find and prepare those who are up to

the challenge.

It is imperative that programs better prepare teachers to meet the needs of our increasingly diverse K-12 student population. We know that the most effective and successful teachers understand their students' broader cultural context and approach teaching in a student-centered way.

Woven throughout our programs are strategies to address the needs of more racially, ethnically, linguistically, and economically diverse student populations. Attention to these items is critical to

improving student achievement and teacher retention.

To further improved teacher preparation, I offer the following recommendations. First, we need to provide earlier and extended opportunities for in-classroom experience which often comes too late. VCU's Richmond Teacher Residency program, supported by the Teacher Quality Partnership program, addresses this problem. RTR's intensive yearlong experience places teaching candidates in high-need schools under the mentorship of a master teacher. RTR has had positive impacts on teacher retention, student performance, and diversification of the teaching workforce.

Additionally, we have successfully leveraged our TQP award into additional funding commitments from the State legislature, local school districts, and corporate philanthropic entities to ensure sus-

tainability after our TQP award ends.

To provide early classroom exposure, VCU has also launched Substitute Teaching the VCU Way, which recruits and trains students across campus to substitute teach in high-need school districts.

Second, we must prepare teachers for reality. The intersection of poverty, economic immobility, and insecurity in housing, food and safety have a real impact on the academic success of underrepresented minority and generationally poor students. Our teachers must understand these factors.

Third, we must focus on teacher retention as well as recruitment and preparation. America's public schools are hemorrhaging teachers. Hardest hit are those serving low income and minority students forcing schools to hire unprepared provisionally licensed teachers and spend millions of dollars each year that they can't afford on recruitment and retention. This has a severe impact on student achievement. We are addressing this by providing 2 years of professional development and induction support for our graduates teaching in Title I schools, and we are designing a residency program for principals in high-need schools.

Fourth, we must expand pathways to teaching. This is key to addressing teacher shortages. One pathway at VCU is RTR. Another is the VCU Pathways to Teaching career switcher program which equips and supports second career professionals for the transition to teach in high-need schools. We are also excited to offer five new undergraduate degrees in teaching this fall.

The research is clear: The quality of teachers in our schools is the most important school-based factor in student achievement.

As you consider improvements to teacher preparation programs, immediate innovation and action is required to address the challenges in high-needs and low-performing schools. The challenges faced by many students in schools are not average and will not be met with average efforts. As the late John Stanford said, the victory is in the classroom. We must prepare teachers to meet the needs of all students.

Thank you for your time, and I look forward to answering your questions.

[The statement of Mr. Daire follows:]

Testimony Provided to the
U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Education and Labor
Subcommittee on Early Childhood, Elementary and Secondary Education and
Subcommittee on Higher Education and Workforce Investment

"Educating our Educators: How Federal Policy Can Better Support Teachers and School Leaders"

> Andrew P. Daire, Ph.D. Dean and Professor, School of Education Virginia Commonwealth University

> > July 17, 2019

Chairman Sablan, Chairwoman Davis, Ranking Member Allen, Ranking Member Smucker, and members of the committee: good morning to you all. I am pleased to be here to discuss the importance of high-quality teacher preparation programs and how Congress can support them under reauthorization of the Higher Education Act.

I am proud to serve as Dean of Virginia Commonwealth University's School of Education. What attracted me to and excited me about VCU is its commitment to research and community engagement along with its position as an urban serving university. With a Carnegie classification as *Research I*, which signifies a university with very high research activity, and Carnegie classification of *Community Engaged*, indicating VCU's institutional mission and commitment to collaboration between the institution and the larger community, we are committed to addressing the most pressing issues facing our region and nation. We educate 31,000 students from all 50 states and students from around the world. We are a comprehensive university with a large health system and boast an economic impact of over \$6 billion on the Commonwealth of Virginia.

I'm proud to say that the VCU School of Education is ranked #20 for best graduate schools of education, #11 best public graduate school of education, and #3 for best online program by U.S. News & World Report. The School of Education has approximately 700 masters and doctoral students with approximately 250 being trained to be teachers and professional school counselors in our K-12 schools. We will significantly increase this number of educators and their preparation for hard-to-staff schools over the next few years.

In addition to our strong teacher preparation programs, the School of Education is a leader in research. Within VCU, we are second only to the School of Medicine in external research funding, with approximately \$27 million from federal, state and local sources. These funds support important research and demonstration projects including:

- Richmond Teacher Residency Program, discussed below;
- Best in CLASS, a classroom-based intervention funded by the Institute of Education Sciences designed to provide teachers with effective strategies for working with children who have emotional and behavioral difficulties.
- Project KSR, funded by the Office of Special Education Programs within the U.S.
 Department of Education, an early childhood special education master's training program designed to prepare fully credentialed early intervention and early childhood special educators.

Our research and externally funded work is community engaged in nature and is also a driving force for the work done in our seven centers and institutes, which take our research and learning to the "real world" where it's needed most. I am very proud of the work done by our faculty, staff and students.

While our teacher preparation programs are nationally recognized, we know we have more to do to increase the number of individuals we prepare, especially for high-needs and hard-to-staff schools, and we need to strengthen how we prepare teachers to meet the needs of our increasingly diverse K-12 student population.

Recommendations to Improve Teacher Preparation

Dr. Martin Haberman, of the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee School of Education and founder of The Haberman Educational Foundation, said, "Completing a traditional program of teacher education as preparation for working in [urban, high-needs schools] is like preparing to swim the English Channel by doing laps in the university pool." The data is clear that our hardest to staff and poorest performing schools are those with high concentrations of minority students and students living in multigenerational poverty.

At VCU, we are working hard to:

- Integrate culturally responsive pedagogy and practice into our coursework so that our teacher candidates can better meet the needs of an increasingly diverse K-12 student population;
- Ensure that our teacher candidates have a deep understanding of poverty, privilege, racism, and the associated contextual stressors and trauma;
- Provide a strong focus on content and general teaching pedagogy; and
- Incorporate intensive, integrated and critically reflective implicit bias training that allows teacher candidates to self-examine their own attitudes.

Teachers who better understand the broader cultural context and who possess strong content knowledge and culturally responsive pedagogy are more effective and successful in the classroom. High quality and culturally responsive teachers also serve as better role models for underrepresented minority students who might be interested in going into education. I firmly believe that minority students are not going to choose a profession where they have not seen themselves reflected in their teachers. Teachers who do not have an opportunity to explore their own implicit bias often unwittingly say things that marginalize and stereotype minority students—what today we have come to understand as micro/macro aggression. The result: a deeper cultural/racial divide in our schools that negatively impacts minority student achievement. Not everyone wants the challenge of swimming the English Channel and not every high-needs school is the English Channel. However, we must do our best to find those who are up for the challenge and better prepare them for success in all schools with all children.

To improve teacher preparation, I offer the following recommendations:

- 1. Provide earlier and extended opportunities for teacher candidates to be in schools.
- 2. Prepare candidates for the realities of today's classrooms, especially in high-needs and low-performing schools.
- 3. Focus not only on teacher recruitment and preparation, but also on teacher retention.
- 4. Expand pathways to teaching: teacher preparation programs in colleges and universities need to provide multiple pathways for individuals to become teachers.
- Provide earlier and extended opportunities for teacher candidates to be in schools: Research from residency models and initiatives from around the country demonstrate the value of getting teacher candidates in classrooms early. Unfortunately, this experience often comes at the end of teacher preparation programs—and some

candidates even discover that they are not well suited to be educators. For this reason, early and extended opportunities for teacher candidates to work with students are essential to not only ensure that they have chosen the right career, but also to ensure that they are well-prepared.

Richmond Teacher Residency (RTR): We are completing our fifth year of funding from the U.S. Department of Education's Teacher Quality Partnership (TQP) Grant Program that helps support our Richmond Teacher Residency (RTR) Program, an intensive school-based, year-long teacher preparation program. RTR began as a partnership between VCU and Richmond Public Schools (RPS) to recruit, prepare, support, and retain highly effective teachers and teacher leaders who are committed to the students of RPS for the long term. With new federal funding from the U.S. Department of Education's Supporting Effective Educator Development (SEED) grant program, we have now expanded into the lowest-performing school system in Virginia and two suburban school systems with pockets of concentrated poverty. In the next two years, we also plan to expand RTR into rural schools that face many of the same challenges in attracting and retaining highly effective teachers.

VCU recognizes that even the best traditional teacher preparation programs have fallen short in adequately preparing individuals for high-needs, low performing schools. Urban teachers must be prepared in the context within which they will teach. While traditional teacher preparation was not fully preparing individuals for urban and high-needs classrooms, neither were alternate routes because research shows that preparation does matter. Teachers who are unprepared in curriculum, teaching methods, child development, and with no student teaching experience leave at twice the rate of teachers who have had this training (Darling-Hammond, 2003).

RTR is a "third way" of preparing teachers for hard-to-staff, underperforming schools that combines the best of traditional and alternative route programs, ensuring that outstanding candidates are well-prepared to make a positive impact on student learning on their very first day as teachers of record. Learning to teach is a complex task that requires intensive school-based experiences in which individuals have a chance to combine the theory of effective teaching in high-needs schools with extensive opportunities to practice under the tutelage of effective veteran teachers and highly trained mentors. RTR provides this in multiple ways:

- Master's level coursework (culminating in a master's or post-baccalaureate degree) designed to ensure that residents are well-prepared for high-needs classrooms.
- A year-long residency in a high-needs school co-teaching alongside a carefully selected and trained master/mentor teacher, integrating theory and practice and making it more likely that RTR graduates will remain in our hard-to-staff schools and will be effective teachers.
- Post-residency support from a highly-trained, content-specific career coach for the first two years of the RTR graduate's teaching career.

Measuring RTR's Impact

- Increasing Teacher Retention: The RTR retention rate for first-year teachers was 34 percentage points higher than the comparable group of non-RTR-prepared first year teachers (96.4% vs. 62.4%) in 2017-2018.
- <u>Diversifying the Teacher Workforce</u>: The percent of RTR residents of color far exceeds most traditional teacher prep programs. Among our newest and largest cohort, 42% identify as underrepresented minorities. In Virginia, approximately 13% of our teachers are underrepresented minorities. Nationally, 25% of those enrolled in teacher preparation programs were individuals of color.
- Raising Student Achievement: Preliminary findings from an ongoing study indicate that elementary students (grades 2-5) of RTR graduates are making faster gains in reading and mathematics on curriculum-based measures compared to students of non-RTR elementary teachers. These findings are especially encouraging because the RTR elementary teachers were assigned to lower performing students than the non-RTR teachers.
- Leveraging the Federal Investment: Best and promising practices developed from RTR are informing how we recruit and prepare educators in our traditional teacher preparation program at VCU. I cannot stress enough how critical the TQP funding has been to launching RTR and providing us resources to conduct a rigorous evaluation of the program—as well as helping us leverage this investment to grow the program. In addition to the one-to-one funding match required under TQP, the Virginia General Assembly is now providing funding to support a \$22,000 stipend for residents. Our local school district partners are now paying for the mentor stipends, costs of training the mentors and providing ongoing professional development to enhance their coaching skills, and the cost of the career coaches who support RTR graduates for their first two years as teachers of record. Without this federal investment, we would not have secured state and local funding to help sustain the program after the TQP and SEED funding ends.

Substitute Teaching the VCU Way: Substitute Teaching the VCU Way launched last year. It recruits VCU students across majors, provides them a one-day substitute teaching "boot camp" and then deploys them to the surrounding school districts. In the first year, we recruited, trained and deployed 40 substitute teachers. This program has provided some immediate relief to our school district partners with respect to providing substitute teachers, an often under-recognized challenge in our hardest to staff schools. The program is also a way to recruit VCU students who might not have considered teaching as a career and to afford our current teacher candidates early classroom engagement with diverse student populations with the added benefit of providing an opportunity to make some additional money.

<u>Prepare candidates for the realities of today's classrooms, especially in highneeds and low-performing schools.</u>

My second recommendation and passion for ensuring that teacher candidates are well prepared for the realities of today's high-needs classrooms come from my professional experience. Before my position at VCU, my training as a counseling psychologist and my over 25 years of work experience in higher education has spanned university-level

student affairs and campus life, university counseling centers and counselor education. My research examines and addresses individual and family stress, stability and economic mobility with mostly underrepresented minority populations through the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program. Additionally, I have been fortunate to receive over \$15 million in federal funding from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Administration for Children and Families (ACF), mostly under the Federal Healthy Marriage & Responsible Fatherhood Initiative (https://www.acf.hhs.gov/ofa/programs/healthy-marriage), to support four major multi-year research and demonstration grants. My work with those living in multigenerational poverty contributes to my professional and personal commitment for educational professionals to better understand the intersection of poverty and economic immobility, the myriad of insecurities (e.g. housing, food, safety) and associated stressors, and their impact on educational attainment in children and family well-being.

Attention to these intersections is critical. Without recognizing and responding to the context of students' lives, particularly those who are generationally poor and underrepresented minority populations living in urban and rural environments, we can't address the factors that impact student engagement, teaching and learning, and parental engagement. At VCU we take this responsibility seriously.

Innovative Teacher Pipeline (ITP): The Innovative Teacher Pipeline (ITP), being launched this fall at VCU, will utilize best and promising practices from RTR, using its methodologies to recruit, screen and select students interested in teaching in urban and high-needs schools. The students will participate in Substitute Teaching the VCU Way to afford them experience and exposure in the classroom. To augment the strong content and pedagogy that exists in our programs, they will participate in additional curricular and co-curricular learning opportunities to better understand poverty and resulting contextual stressors, privilege, racism, diversity, equity and inclusion. They will receive training in culturally responsive pedagogy and practice, preparing them to be more culturally responsive teachers of diverse learners. Underpinning this experience will be in-depth and ongoing critically reflective implicit bias training. Finally, they will participate in professional development with other educators in urban and high-needs schools for two years after graduation as a way of supporting their transition to teachers of record in hard-to-staff schools.

3. Focus not only on teacher recruitment and preparation, but also on teacher retention: America's public schools are hemorrhaging teachers, especially in urban districts that often are forced to hire unqualified, unprepared, provisionally licensed teachers. Even more disturbing is evidence that the most effective beginning teachers are the first to leave. A study of four urban districts found that nearly one-third of highly effective teachers left within two years, and almost half left within five (TNTP, 2012). Hardest hit are schools that serve low-income and minority students, forcing school districts that can least afford it to spend millions of dollars each year on recruitment and retention.

This churning of teachers not only affects the stability of schools, it also negatively impacts students, impeding student achievement and school reform efforts. A study of 850,000 fourth and fifth graders in New York City found that teacher turnover had a significant negative impact on student achievement in math and English, especially in high-minority and low-achieving schools. Furthermore, the turnover had a negative impact on students throughout the school (Ronfeldt, Loeb, & Wyckoff, 2013).

These statistics are what led to our inclusion of two years of support for all RTR graduates from a highly-trained career coach. The importance this kind of induction support and its effectiveness in RTR has led to our commitment to **Alumni Induction Support** in which VCU will provide two years of professional development and support for our graduates who teach in Title I schools.

In addition, our TQP-funded longitudinal study on RTR and two local studies on teacher morale and teacher retention have identified key factors that lead to high rates of teacher turnover and key factors that contribute to teacher retention.

All evidence points to the critical importance of strong school leaders to teacher retention. Good teachers will not stay in a school with a weak leader. To that end, we are working with an RTR graduate and his principal to design a residency program for principals who want to serve in high-needs schools. We believe that by building a pipeline of highly-skilled principals who have the capacity to initiate and sustain improvement for schools serving students in low-performing urban and rural communities, we can positively impact teacher retention and outcomes for students.

4. Expand pathways to teaching: Teacher preparation programs in colleges and universities need to provide multiple pathways for individuals to become teachers to meet the needs of their communities. This is critical to addressing teacher shortages. In addition to our traditional Master of Teaching programs in Elementary and Secondary Education and our traditional Master of Education for Special Education, we have developed pathways for others who may not have known they wanted to become teachers until later in life. RTR provides one of those pathways.

Another is our new VCU Pathways to Teaching: Career Switcher. This is an accelerated educator preparation program that will move prospective teachers into the classroom early, as a full-time teacher earning a salary, while working with experienced teachers and university education faculty. The mission of this program is to equip and support second career professionals for the transition to teach in urban and high-needs schools. This program is distinctive in its focus on (a) recruitment and admission of highly qualified candidates; (b) a curriculum with strong pedagogical principles based on Universal Design for Learning (UDL), culturally responsive pedagogy, integrated implicit bias training throughout and with a focus on diversity, equity and inclusion; (c) engagement of the community as a vital partner; (d) Virginia Department of Education competency-based exit requirements that ensure teachers are equipped to be successful in urban and high-needs classrooms; and (e) the VCU Induction Mentor Program providing support during their first two years of teaching.

VCU also has a robust online program for provisionally licensed special education teachers that enables them to become fully licensed and fully prepared to meet the needs of students with disabilities. We are currently working with the Virginia Department of Education to expand this program to include instructional aides who want to become teachers.

Virginia recently passed legislation allowing colleges and schools of education to offer undergraduate bachelor's degrees in education towards teacher licensure. This is critically important, considering the additional cost of obtaining a master's degree, subpar teacher salaries, and the need for stronger opportunities for colleges and schools of education to more innovatively prepare educators from their freshman year of college. Starting in the fall, the School of Education will launch five new bachelor's of science in education degree programs focused on early childhood, elementary school, secondary education with a concentration in engineering education, health and physical education, and special education. Woven throughout the new programs will be strategies that have been shown to be effective in addressing the needs of students with a variety of learning challenges, including those who live in poverty, students with disabilities, students who have experienced trauma, students who are English-language learners, and students who are racial, cultural or gender diverse.

Conclusion

At the VCU School of Education, we take seriously our responsibility to help address the most pressing issues affecting our community and its children. Immediate and innovative action is required to address the challenges in high-needs and low-performing schools. The challenges faced by many of our school children and in many of our schools are not average and will not be met with average efforts. We need to be bold and aspirational in our desires and efforts to address these challenges.

The research is clear. The quality of the teachers in our schools is the most important school-based factor in student achievement. With the changing demographics of our nation—Virginia public schools are now over 50% minority—we can no longer ignore the inequities that exist in in providing well-prepared, effective teachers for <u>all</u> students.

I'd like to close with a quote from the late John Stanford, a retired army general and the Superintendent of Seattle Public Schools, who often reminded his community that –"The victory is in the classroom." The preparation of teachers who are able to meet the needs of all students is critical to the continued success and prosperity of our nation and to our democracy.

We believe that through the innovative work of the VCU School of Education and its partners we are helping to secure the future and to achieve this victory by preparing effective, dedicated teachers who can lift up our communities from inside the classroom.

Chairman Sablan. Thank you very much, Dr. Daire.

Under Committee Rule 8(a), we will now question witnesses under the five-minute rule. As Chair, I will go first, and then we alternate, we yield to the Members on the other side of this aisle.

So I now recognize myself for five minutes.

Dr. Daire, research suggests that well-designed and well-implemented teacher residency models can create long-term benefits for districts, for schools, and most importantly, for the students they serve. So funding under the Teacher Quality Partnership grant program under Title II of the Higher Education Act can be used to support residency models; however, the Federal Government only invests around \$40 million in the sole Federal program that supports the preparation of our Nation's teachers.

Can you speak to the importance of increasing Federal invest-

ments in high-quality teacher residency programs?

Mr. DAIRE. Thank you, Chairman Sablan. The teacher residency programs we have found to be quite effective in preparing our educators. Our current cohort is, I believe, at about 42 percent underrepresented minority, which is more than double what we see in

our traditional programs.

When TQP was originally funded, I believe it was at \$300 million, and that funding has decreased. And I think it is a very strong investment in our teacher preparation because models—we are learning models and best practices from those programs that we are actually implementing in our traditional preparation programs, so I do believe that it has been a strong investment for us. And when I say us, meaning the surrounding communities in Richmond that are benefiting from the high-quality teachers that we are preparing.

Chairman Sablan. Thank you. Thank you, Doctor.

Ms. McManus, what would it mean to school districts if Congress expanded Title II A funding to include school leader preparation?

expanded Title II A funding to include school leader preparation? Ms. McManus. Title II is the funding source that is used in many districts to fund current leader and teacher preparation, and so to expand it would be more opportunities for the programs that you have heard across the group today, from residencies to preservice training programs, to on-the-job support through coaching and mentoring. Title II is that funding source we look to for that, and so by expanding it, we would be able to offer more opportunities so that our leaders and teachers are more prepared and have the supports they need once on the job.

Thank you.

Chairman Sablan. Thank you. I come from a district where we have a community college, but the only two 4-year degrees they offer are in business and—first one is teacher—in education. And so many of our students, many who are nontraditional, get their 4-year degrees either at home or here in the mainland. And then to continue to develop professional development, they now attend online courses. I just had a nephew who actually received his doctorate from—I think it is USC or UCLA, but he had to move for three summers in a row, actually had to go to campus and take courses there, do teacher residency or whatever, but it took 3 years to do that, and finally, he just got his doctorate in education. So, yeah, these programs are important, including to my district in the Northern Marianas.

And now choose to recognize the Ranking Member of the full committee, once she gets there—okay, she is there now. Dr. Foxx. Ms. Foxx. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate

that.

I want to thank the panelists for being here today.

Mr. White, I don't think I have ever talked—pardon me. I have my two teachers in Congress here again today, Mr. Chairman. They are teachers in the Fifth District, and if they could stand up. So they have a great deal of interest in this hearing today. Thank you.

Mr. White, I don't think I have ever talked to a teacher who said that he or she was reasonably prepared to enter a classroom at the end of their preparation program. Most of the testimony heard today is about how existing preparation is insufficient. I am sure part of the challenge is that teaching is hard, and preparing someone to face a classroom with students for the first time is really hard.

However, I have a basic and blunt question: Why do you think so much of the preparation that perspective teachers and school

leaders receive is so poor?

Mr. White. Well, I think that the answer that you have gotten when you have asked teachers were they fully prepared and they have said no is because so much of our experience in being prepared to be a teacher does not involve teaching. And when I talk to the teachers who are finishing their full year as residents under the tutelage of a mentor educator as full-time faculty and they are about to enter the profession on a full-time basis on their own, they tell me virtually universally that they feel prepared to do what they are about to do because they have already done it.

We have a circumstance that is affecting, in particular, low-income kids and kids in rural environments, where we routinely place first-year teachers in front of them with no legitimate prior

experience as teachers, and that needs to stop.

Ms. Foxx. Thank you very much.

Mr. White, this will relate to my first question, but like many things in education, governance of the teacher and school leader pipeline can be confusing. Just on this panel, we have a representative from a college of education, two school district representatives, and you from a State agency. Now, I know there are several other actors not represented here.

Could you explain which entities are involved in the governance structure in Louisiana and their respective roles? And then to the extent you are familiar with other States, could you explain how

these governance structures vary across States?

Mr. White. Well, the State legislatures are where it starts, of course, in governor's offices who then create rules for State boards of education, who then create rules for both school boards and for institutions of higher education. Those rules at the same time are complemented by boards of regents or whatever the name of a structure of the commission of higher education is. And then you have both university systems. Within them, you have specific universities; within them, you have specific colleges, and within the colleges you have specific departments.

And out of all of that, there is an attempt to make for a highquality education experience for somebody in whose hands will be

trusting 20, 30 young lives next year.

The governance is where much of the problem starts. And I hate to say this, but I believe that the rendition I just gave is the simple version.

In other States, some of those responsibilities are divided in two or three, making it even more complicated and the likelihood of a high-quality product being the culmination even less likely.

Ms. Foxx. Well, I think your description is very instructive for us to see how complicated it is, and I appreciated in your remarks, you are talking about accountability. I think that is where our big problem lies. And I appreciated again, your comments about changing the structure of Title II. I think what is lacking, particularly in this very complicated governance structure, is the avoidance of accountability. And I think until we can get some clear accountability measures out there, and I—honestly, this is what I talk about almost all the time. If we are going to spend hard working

taxpayer dollars well, there needs to be accountability.

Now, I had the great privilege of teaching for 15 years at Appalachian State University. I took a master of arts in college teaching and I did do a practicum in teaching, but I, frankly, got almost no preparation for teaching in that classroom, practically none, except the fact that I had sat through 7 years' worth of taking courses and was expected sort of to simply to do the same thing. So it is a real hit or miss issue. But thank you all again for being here today. I appreciate your testimony.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Chairman SABLAN. Thank you, Dr. Foxx. Mr. Courtney, questions for five minutes.

Mr. COURTNEY. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and to Chairwoman Davis, for holding this hearing, and the Ranking Members, and all the witnesses for being here today.

I would like to focus on an issue that we are going to have before us when we do higher education reauthorization and, Mr. Brosnan, you kind of referred to it very directly in your testimony when you said that one of the biggest disincentives is, quote, the large gap between the amount degrees cost and the salaries earned.

Twelve years ago, this committee actually reported and enacted the College Cost Reduction Act, which created the Public Service Loan Forgiveness Program, which, again, very intentionally was focused in terms of trying to help teachers, as a public service occupation, to be able to at least get the overhang of student loan debt discharged after 10 years of faithful payments. The commencement of discharge was supposed to have started smoothly, hopefully, back in 2017 and 2018 and 2019.

Last week, there was a lawsuit filed in U.S. District Court in Washington against the Department of Education. The statistics cited in the complaint are stunning. Out of the 75,000 applications for discharge, so far, the DOE has only processed and discharged about 500 some odd loans. That is less than 1 percent of the applications. And the lawsuit obviously is focused on the fact that the loan servicers who, again, are hardly ever held accountable in terms of just the way they handle these loans, are just not living up to the requirements of the law.

I would note that in 2018, on a bipartisan basis, we passed a measure in the omnibus, the temporary Public Service Loan Forgiveness Program, which, again, set up a system where people who were making payments for one version of a loan versus a direct student loan would get credit for those payments, because that was one of the obstacles for discharges going through. Again, as the complaint laid out last Thursday, the Department is still, even with that additional direction from Congress and resources, not following through on the intent of the law.

So I would like to just sort of follow up again on the point that Chairwoman Davis made that, you know, streamlining and making

the Public Service Loan Forgiveness Program actually functional should be one of the focuses of HEA reauthorization.

Mr. Brosnan, are you hearing from some of your colleagues about difficulties that they are having, and also whether or not that was really one of the sort of lights at the end of the tunnel for people who are taking on teaching that they could actually make it an af-

fordable occupation?

Mr. Brosnan. Thank you, Representative Courtney. I certainly agree with the Teacher Loan Forgiveness Program. I think you have heard from all of my esteemed colleagues on the panel here that bringing in high-quality teachers is a struggle across our entire country. I think that the Public Service Loan Forgiveness Program or Teacher Loan Forgiveness Program that did exist or kind of exists now is certainly a—it is certainly a benefit for folks who are interested in teaching. I think the larger population at least in—of course, all State rules are a little bit different, but I think in Connecticut, folks can teach with a bachelor's degree and appropriate training, but they can't advance to the next level's certification effectively staying in their profession without a master's degree.

Knowing that there is a loan forgiveness program, I think it adds an appeal to continuing in the profession and securing an appropriate master's degree. I can speak from that from personal experience. I began teaching for the first 5 years on a bachelor's degree, and it turns out I really liked teaching, so I figured I better go get a master's and there was a loan forgiveness program that certainly helped offset some of that cost.

Anecdotally, just based on my role working with our newer faculty, I have actually received inquiries from three of my colleagues whose loan application was denied. I am not sure of the circumstance or the reason, but at least as an anecdote, I have received those three.

Mr. COURTNEY. You are talking about loan discharge applications?

Mr. Brosnan. I am sorry. Yes. That is right.

Mr. COURTNEY. And, again, certainly I am sure I am not the only Member that is getting those calls right now. One from Coventry, Connecticut, a teacher took almost a dozen tries through the system before, and it was only because they just were obsessed with making sure they weren't going to get—take no for an answer from the Department.

And, again, just in terms of retention, particularly in the area of STEM, I mean, people have lots of other choices out there, and if you have got to pay debt payments, you know, every month, I mean, the fact of the matter is, if you have got a math degree or an engineering background, you have got much more options right now in the economy.

Would you agree that—again, you are seeing that temptation be-

cause of costs, you know, draining the ranks?

Mr. Brosnan. Absolutely. Without a doubt, I think nationwide our highest shortage areas are in the maths and the sciences and, in some cases, the engineering programs. And the salaries for a public schoolteacher are not comparable whatsoever to that of the private workforce with holding those degrees.

Chairman SABLAN. Mr. Brosnan, I am sorry, I am going to have to interrupt at this time.

And I would now like to—five minutes of questioning to Mr. Allen, the Ranking Member on this—

Mr. ALLEN. Thank you, Chairman. And thank you all for being

here this morning.

And, you know, I have had experience, like I said, growing up in the public education arena, both parents involved. And then I have also had some experiences as a Member of Congress, one, the school system—in an inner city school system that went to three elementary schools: one went STEM, one went art, one went leadership. And these young people can recite Stephen Covey's principles, and I talked to the teachers there and the teachers are very excited about what they are doing. They are making a difference.

And we have got another school that is a faith-based school, private, and basically, the folks going to this school are inner city kids who are declared losers in the other public school system, and so their parents have no choice but to send these kids. And thank goodness we had this school. I talked to those teachers, and I have never seen teachers so excited about what they are doing and the difference they are making.

And then I talked to certain other public schoolteachers, and they had to be so careful. I mean, like, if they have a child that is having issues or whatever and they can't even express their value system because they are afraid of the legal implications.

So we need to get to the bottom of this, because I know obviously compensation has something to do with it, but have you really done some surveys to get at the real reason that, you know, we are not—that public schoolteachers really don't feel like that they are able to make a difference out there? Mr. White?

Mr. White. Well, we do surveys every year of all teachers who leave their jobs. And without question, while I completely agree with Mr. Brosnan's points about the finances of it, having lived it myself, it is the teachers whose experience in the classroom and the supportive environment and the team relationships that they have create the greatest struggles for them, those are the ones who are leaving or the most frequently cited reason for while they are leaving, which I am not laying on the doorstep of anyone in particular, school leaders least of all. It is a hard job.

But it is true that where leadership is creating an environment where teachers are supported as a team, no matter how difficult the challenges students bring to the classroom, teachers are feeling persistent and resilient in the face of it; whereas, when teachers feel isolated and they don't have that climate of support, they are looking for better things.

Mr. ALLEN. There has been a huge disconnect that I recognized once at the beginning of my service on this community between the employer and the educator, and I blame that more on the employer than I do the educator, to be honest with you. I wished I had done more as a business to help educate young people because I think the employers just expect the educators to produce great employees, and there needs to be some teamwork there.

In your particular situation, have you seen those relationships evolve where, like—for example, I know that if you are in the third grade and you are not reading at the third grade level by the time you finish the third grade, you probably won't graduate, and then things don't go well for those folks who don't graduate from high school.

Have you seen any energy between the employers, the business

groups, and educators on how to fix this problem?

Mr. White. Yeah. I mean, I think there are certainly places across the country that are dealing with a couple of these issues. First, you see great public-private partnerships in districts across the country in terms of providing basic support, for example, afterschool programming, out-of-school employees coming in to work with kids on reading skills, for example. And then I have also been encouraged, and your State in Georgia has been a leader on this, and employers who are providing educational experience to students who are not bound for 4-year universities to help them through apprentice programs and so on find a role that is appropriate to their level of education and to the insistence that they probably need both good jobs and further education. So I would agree with your premise, Congressman, that when employers take a systemic role, not just sending volunteers into the classroom for a nice principal for a day type thing, but really truly getting their people in to read with kids, or on the back end of it, providing substantive career-based experiences, that relationship can have an extraordinary impact.

Mr. ALLEN. Well, we don't want to lose any young, people and somehow we have got to stimulate them, now it looks like, at a very early age to pursue this, and I think the teachers would wel-

come that as well.

Mr. WHITE. Yes, sir.

Mrs. Davis. [Presiding.] Thank you, Mr. Allen.

I am going to move to Mr. Takano. Mr. Takano. Thank you, Chairwoman Davis. Mr. Brosnan, I want to follow up on some of the things you have said in your opening statement about the importance of mentors and educational leaders in terms of shaping whether or not teachers remain in the profession and whether they can start as effective teachers. And also the high turnover of principals.

I taught for 24 years, I have watched some teachers ascend very quickly into those leadership roles without even having spent more than 2 or 3 years in the classroom. I thought they were making a mistake, trying to become the principal or assistant principal so

quickly.

So let me just stop there and have you respond to what makes an importance of mentorship. What makes an effective mentor?

Mr. Brosnan. Thank you, Congressman. I will say I am really enthused by hearing Mr. White, Ms. McManus and Dr. Daire with the programs of a longer period of practicum in the classroom prior to graduation. Once somebody enters the profession I think the importance of being paired with a seasoned mentor or a seasoned teacher is critical. It is very rare in a business environment that somebody would leave college and be asked to manage 150 people on a daily basis. And realistically for most of our high schoolteachers, that is what we are asking them to do, to interact and manage the behavior and academic performance for 150 students.

Having access to a seasoned mentor certainly makes that experience more tolerable.

Mr. TAKANO. I go along with this idea of a longer practicum. I think I made a mistake in my early years of teaching. I thought just having a degree from an Ivy League school was enough to let me go and teach, that the content that I had in my brain was going to be enough.

But the problem for me was and I think for many others who were looking into going into a profession that does not pay as well as other professions the barrier to entry is if we are going to have a longer practicum, I think we have got to be able to support these people, we have got to pay them. Much like the building trades will pay an apprentice to go through such a long practicum. What do you think about the things I am saying now?

Mr. Brosnan. I actually fully agree with you. I think that a longer practicum does prepare students better, college graduates better for the classroom without a doubt. And I do agree that potentially looking at a longer program could be perceived as a barrier, but at the same time the success rate in the first and second

year of teaching for that professional would be significant.

Mr. Takano. I realize, I am struck by the lack of partisanship on this panel, I am pleased to see that. I don't mean to be focusing on only Mr. Brosnan, but—so, this longer practicum, I look back and I think that is a good idea, that people need more practice in the classroom. They do need content area knowledge, but that actual experience—especially in low-income schools and schools that have a lot of diversity. There is just a lot of ways in which a young teacher can get tripped up in that situation. And being trained under a really good mentor or a good supervising teacher for a longer period of time I think is a big part of that solution.

Ms. McMahon and Mr. White, any others, any more reactions to some of the things I brought up here in terms of how we encourage, how do we pay for this? And do you think that paying people to

go through this practicum is part of the solution too.

Mr. White. Thank you, Congressman. In our residents and mentors are both paid. If you are a college student in your fourth year in college, you are paid as an undergraduate to be faculty in that school

Mr. Takano. I like this term residency, because it kind of echoes what doctors go through. And I think we need to think of the kind of ways we teach teachers can be no less intensive than the way we think of teaching doctors, and training doctors. Do you have a comment about that?

Mr. White. I agree. I think they are called residents for a reason and it is to draw a parallel with the medical profession. I think the trick of course comes in alternative certification models that are done expressly largely because of cost reasons. They are done to minimize costs both to the candidate and to the school system. And how we find a way knowing that those numbers of teachers are increasing? How we find a way to create a cost effective but also effective programs in those cases is hard.

Mr. Takano. I hope we might as the questions go on, that the Members might ask more questions about this residency model,

how it differs that we can't have alternative methods of certification, meaning skimpier, less effective training.

My time is up. And I am rather frustrated because this is a very rich topic. And I hope we can get more of what—suss out more about this residency.

Mrs. DAVIS. Thank you, Mr. Takano. Mr. Banks.

Mr. Banks. Thank you, Madam Chair. This is an issue that I very much appreciate the committee is investing time to talk about. An issue that I worked on a little bit at the State House in Indiana when I served in the State legislature. As the Indiana State senator in 2013 I wrote legislation that was signed into law by then governor Mike Pence to establish a principal leadership institute at Indiana State University. Since then the Indiana Principal Leadership Institute has provided school principals with training and leadership, management, and communications skills to better manage their school and improve outcomes for teachers and students.

IPLI's direct impact includes 1,900 principals, 70,000 teachers and more than 1 million students in Indiana. As you can imagine, I am very proud of that piece of legislation that I was a part of writing and seeing it passed through the State legislature in my home State.

The institute has been enormously successful. With 94 percent of school superintendents reporting that the leadership capacity of their principals has increased due to them going through the course work at the IPLI. In addition, 20 of the 56 principals in the first cohort were able to increase their school level grades after just 1 year. Simply put, this institute has led to measurable and positive outcomes for principals, teachers, and students.

tive outcomes for principals, teachers, and students.
So my question, Mr. White, would you agree that we need more State level initiatives like the IPLI to better prepare principals to leave their schools and improve student outcomes? And are you aware of other State-led initiatives like the one in my State across the country that might be—might have an effective story to tell as well?

Mr. White. I am, I do believe that what you have done in Indiana is representative of the need for States to assert a view on this. A good principal in one setting should be—have essential skills that are the same as principals in other settings. And when I think about high quality models, and I would look at the National Institute for School Leadership for example which has done some fantastic work to do something to what you are describing in Louisiana, but also in Kentucky, and also in Pennsylvania and in States across the country there, founded on the best models across the world, and I am very impressed with what they have done.

I would also look at the New York City Leadership Academy, which was founded under mayor Bloomberg about 15 years ago to try to centralize the training of principals in New York City and has now expanded its model, a system that is the size of some States and some States many times over to try to scale an efficient management centric model that produces high quality leaders at scale.

Mr. BANKS. I appreciate that. With the two minutes I have left, I am going to yield the remainder of my time to Dr. Foxx.

Ms. Foxx. Thank you, Mr. Banks.

I want to add that I believe it is probably at least 40 years old that North Carolina has had a principals institute or principals program established through the Institute of Government. And my understanding over the years and we might not have time to respond to this, but that what makes a great school is the principal and parental involvement. We really haven't talked much about that today, but that is my understanding.

But I want to respond to the discussion we had a few minutes ago on teacher loan forgiveness and the public service loan forgiveness program. There is a lot of concern right now from Members of Congress, teachers, and other student loan borrowers that the PSLF program is not being run in good faith by the loan servicers and Secretary DeVos. It is time to set the record straight. It is Congress who sets the terms and conditions borrowers must meet to

receive PSLF.

If the program is not working, it is because the design was poorly done by Members of Congress. This program was designed under a Democrat administration, under a Democrat majority in the Congress. It was made unnecessarily complicated, not unlike what we have heard today about teacher preparation programs.

Furthermore, the previous administration had 8 years to spread the word about PSLF requirements that borrowers and contractors

must meet and they failed to do an adequate job.

Additionally, Congress set the terms and conditions of the TEPSLF program which expands PSLF to borrowers in the wrong repayment plan. We remain committed to fixing the errors of previous Congresses to help all borrowers. It is time to stop blaming the administration for the inaction and incompetence of the laws that were written by the Congress.

I yield back.

Mrs. DAVIS. Thank you, Dr. Foxx, and that really is an issue, an area for us to explore, because as we know so much of this takes part in ruling making. And at the same time both administrations I think had a role in that so it has got to be fixed. It is just not working the way it is.

Ms. Jayapal.

Ms. JAYAPAL. Thank you, Madam Chair. And thank you all so much for being here. I am grateful to all of you for recognizing the dire need not only to recruit teachers but also to retain them if we are going to provide our kids with the education that they need to succeed.

And I wanted to focus specifically on the barriers to the people of color face to entering and remaining in the teaching profession. While half of public school students are non White, eight of 10 American teachers are White. And it is hurting student achievement because minority students perform better on standardized tests, have improved attendance and are suspended less frequently when they have at least one teacher of the same race.

And the research shows that Black teachers are much more likely to recommended high achieving Black students for talented and gifted programs, virtually eliminating the gap in access to those programs. And I just want to take a minute to recognize my incredible colleague Jahana Hayes from Connecticut because I think she is the perfect example of what is possible if we were really able to eliminate some of the barriers that we see.

So I wanted to start with you, Dr. Daire. I saw you recently participated in a task force or diversifying Virginias educator pipeline. And it revealed that one of the main factors hurt, barring people of color from entering the teaching profession is the length and cost of the teacher preparation pathway compared to salary.

Could you just expand a little bit on that and suggest how Congress could make a teaching career more financially feasible for

people of color?

Mr. DAIRE. Thank you so much for that question. That task force, one of the recommendations that came out of that task force was for Virginia to change legislation, to go back to allowing undergraduate teacher preparation programs. And we are excited that has happened, June 20 the first round of programs were approved.

So at VCU we are actually starting five new undergraduate degree programs, because as you indicated in your question, you know, having candidates obtain a master's degree adds an additional cost that I think further exacerbates that difference that we

are seeing in salaries.

So I think being able to do that in terms of us having undergraduate degree programs, which then really positions us to strengthen and bolster teacher pipeline programs where we are partnering with our local community colleges and pipelines even going from our schools to community colleges to universities. I think we also have to look at the financial aid support, the student loan support, and I think as we look at integrating more culturally responsive practices, not only does it benefit the students, I think it also benefits the school climate where you have more educators that are more culturally responsive to each other, which is one factor that has been identified in terms of attrition of under represented minority educators.

Ms. JAYAPAL. Very important, thank you. I wonder Mr. Brosnan if you wanted to add anything to this because you, in your testimony, talked about the cost of higher education and the importance of protecting public service loan forgiveness, other things. Do you want to add anything to that?

want to add anything to that?

Okay.

We also know student debt is a factor and there is an unprecedented and unequal level of student debt among Black graduates that makes it even harder for them to be able to afford to live on a teacher's salary as it is today. On average before they have even earned their first dollar, Black college graduates already have \$7,400 more student loan debt than White graduates.

Dr. Daire or Ms. McManus, I saw you responding to that, if either of you want to speak to that. Dr. Daire would you like to

start?

Sorry, I didn't ask the question. What can Congress do specifi-

cally to address student debt for teachers?

Mr. DAIRE. Well, I think that looking at addressing some of the challenges that has been identified and the implementation or the servicing of the student loan forgiveness programs, I think that is going to be an important consideration.

We also know that more students of color are actually going to for-profit universities, which are costing a lot more and increasing the student loan debt. And so I think that is something that we all need to look at.

I think in terms of higher Ed, we need to look at more what are the standards—I shouldn't say standards, but what are the processes, and how can we be more responsive and understanding to some of the differences and challenges that we see in under represented minority students so we can increase that pipeline.

Ms. JAYAPAL. Thank you.

Ms. McManus, did you want to add anything?

Ms. McManus. I am not that knowledgeable about student loan debt or loan forgiveness, but I can say that I think States and districts need to also in Federal Government look at teacher salaries in general so that they as they do need to pay back loans, if they have accrued them, they have the means to do so.

Ms. JAYAPAL. Great. Thank you very much.

I yield back.

Mrs. DAVIS. Thank you, Ms. Jayapal.

Mr. Comer.

Mr. COMER. Thank you very much and let me begin by thanking you all for your service in public education. I am a big proponent of public education. My wife and I went to public schools, our kids are currently enrolled in public schools. So I think that is a very important role that government plays, especially State government is providing the funding for public education.

As I talked to a lot of teachers in my congressional district in southern Kentucky, the big issue—one of the many issues they have is obviously teacher shortage, one of things that we are discussing today. And I wanted to ask Mr. White when—what can we do to attract more teachers into the major shortage areas where we have with respect to STEM in the classroom?

Mr. White. Thank you, Congressman. I want to say two things, I want to echo the sentiments of Mr. Brosnan and others on the panel today who have emphasized that our teacher shortage it as much if not more a retention problem than a recruitment problem. And I think largely that is about our practice as educators, are we aware of what is happening in our system, are we aware of what is running through our teacher's minds and are we talking to them about their plans.

However from a policy perspective I do agree with you, there need to be changes. And I think we would be naive. In my State, somebody can go and work at Exxon Mobil in Baton Rouge, and work in east Asia, and work in sub-Saharan Africa and all do it for a salary three times, just out of college, what a teacher would make. It is naive for us to think that we can compete with that job if we are not making legitimate changes to the finances of it.

And the States are going to have to step up and have to change the way they distribute our tax dollars within the way we compensate educators, if we are going to legitimately address that 21st century challenge you are describing.

I will qualify this by saying I am an English teacher. In my State, as in most, we don't have a shortage of English teachers. English teachers should be able to acknowledge and other teachers like us that STEM is a different beast, that those teachers with those qualifications are able to compete for a different set of jobs, and that our school systems and States need to step up and find a way to finance an adequately competitive salary for STEM ma-

Mr. Comer. Right. Let me make sure I understand this. Who establishes the curriculum for a preparation program? And are there particular skills you think those programs should do a better job

of teaching?

Mr. White. It is a combination of the State boards of education, the boards of regents and the universities themselves, as well as Deans in colleges of education. So it is different pieces of the cur-

riculum are delegated to different entities.

But if I had to pick one, as an English teacher I think I can say this, the basic science of teaching reading is something that every teacher in America should understand. And the basic practice of teaching the foundations of reading to nonreaders is something that everyone should understand. And we all should bear responsibility from Congress on down for the fact that there are many, many, many teachers in America today who have never really been prepared to teach a child to read.

Everyone who is interested in education policy should read Emily Sanford's reporting on teacher preparation and why America's teachers too frequently do not know how to teach children to read.

Mr. Comer. All right. One of the challenges that I think Mr. Brosnan or one of panelists mentioned in attracting and retaining teachers is I think I understood this right, my teachers in Kentucky say this, the excessive amount of degrees or certification or continuing education that is required to be a teacher, is that too

As we look forward, look ahead into the future of education, obviously we have to have a good supply of quality prepared teachers, but are we requiring too many degrees? Is that costing too much money, running up their student loan debt? Is it necessary, Mr. White, to have all of the degrees that a lot of teachers are required

to have before they ever begin teaching.

Mr. White. Well, I don't begrudge any educator for pursuing continued education. I think everybody on this panel has evidence of that, some more than others, perhaps. But at the same time, I do think that we need to recognize as States and certainly here in Congress as well, every time we levy one of these requirements on to a school system or onto a school we are putting in financially on the shoulders of educators. And yes, it is true that very often schools systems have found a way to remunerate them in exchange for that. But for our youngest teachers in particular, it can be an up front barrier.

And we need to ask ourselves, if we have these evaluation systems that we fought so hard to create and we evaluate an individual repeatedly year after as effective for their children, why would we in government come back and say, no, you have to take

another step or I wouldn't allow to you do this anymore.

Mr. Comer. Right. I agree and appreciate you all's testimony and look forward to working with you in the future.

Mrs. Davis. Thank you Mr. Comer.

Ms. Haves.

Mrs. HAYES. Thank you, Madam Chair. It is so great to start my morning in a room full of teachers. You have no idea how much I needed to see you today. Thank you all for being here. And special shout out to Mr. Brosnan who is from Bridgeport, Connecticut. I know the area well. And I think the committee should know that there are two national teachers of the year in the room today. We have Terry Dozier who was the 1985 National Teacher of the Year, a fellow history teacher over there. So it is great to share the space with you this morning.

I am so happy that we are having this hearing are about teaching, because what I like to tell people and what I-teaching is not mission work, you know we work really hard. It requires expertise, commitment and preparation. And every educator knows that these single most effective driver for student success in outcomes is an effective teacher. Everybody knows that. It is the teacher that

stands in front of them.

But today I want to talk about teacher recruitment and retention. I spent the last four years of my time in the Waterbury public schools working on minority teacher recruitment and retention. So much so that our governor at the time created a task force and our State passed legislation to address this very issue of getting teachers who lived in the communities to consider working in those very same communities.

I am a first generation educator. And many of my colleagues came from education families. When you talk to people they say, my mom and my grandmother were a teacher, my father—and there were usually more than one person in the family who were teachers. So now as we are trying to attract this first generation educators we have to change the conversation.

My daughter is now a teacher. And I have to tell you she works in a school district where she is one of two minorities in her school. So how do we continue to support people after we get them into these communities? And it is not just about the money. Anyone who goes into this profession goes into it because they have a true

and a genuine investment in children.

So my first question is for Ms. McManus. Do you have any thoughts on how we can infuse the educator pipeline? We are hearing today about at the college level and then after, but I know that we are the only profession where kids have a 12 year interview. There is 12 years where we are standing in front of them and they are considering how they feel about teaching as a profession. So what can we do before they even get to the point where they are

making the decision in college to choose those classes?

Ms. McManus. Thank you. So in Hillsborough, we are very homegrown. I mean eighth largest district in the country and we have students that we have not traditionally done a great job of cultivating early on in their careers to become future educators. And so we have a task force locally as well for looking at our high school students and really encouraging them to go the route of education, even before they leave high school they know that is going to be the track that they are going to take.

Those are the same teachers and the same teachers, those are the same people that are going to be leading our schools in the future. And so the important component is that as we identify who those people are that we continue to cultivate those relationship from the time they go into their colleges of education to the time they enter the system and continue to cultivate those relationships and those skills of our students.

Our leadership pathways, we are very much focused on recruiting diverse leaders into our principal pipeline. And that is coming from the teachers in our classrooms. And so we are very targeted, our recruitment efforts. As we reach out to leader teachers of color in hopes that we can help encourage them to become the future leaders.

And so it starts from high school. It starts probably from middle school. That we start to help people down that path—

Mrs. HAYES. I was going to say that.

Ms. McManus. In probably elementary school. How many of us want to be teachers and we were encouraged to go a different path. We need to cultivate students from the time they are young into

the time they go into College to make those choices.

Mrs. HAYES. Thank you. I appreciate you saying that. I actually did a lot of work in that area. I had a young educator society club in the high school, but we decided to introduce it first in the middle school, and then even in the elementary school allow students to shadow teachers. Because what ends up happening is they hear all of the negative parts of profession, but don't see the joy that comes with teaching.

In my remaining time, Mr. Daire, we heard a lot about alternative routes to certification and it is not just about cost, these are sometimes second career educators, art teachers, technical teachers. Can you tell us what Virginia has done to ensure that those online and alternative programs are of the highest quality and pro-

ducing the best and most effective teachers?

Mr. Daire. In terms of the alternative certification programs, within the State, unfortunately I don't have a lot of detailed information on those State level requirements, but programs do have to provide a significant amount of data to the State in terms of the outputs and the quality of the teachers that are being produced.

Mrs. HAYES. Thank you. My time has expired and again thank

you all so much for being here.

Mrs. DAVIS. Thank you, Ms. Hayes.

Mr. Smucker.

Mr. SMUCKER. Thank you, Madam Chair. I am not sure how to effectively follow the national teacher of the year and asking questions about teachers. But would like to congratulate Ms. Hayes obviously on that wonderful achievement.

We should always celebrate and have deep respect and gratitude for those who choose the profession of teaching. They obviously are having an impact on our future. And so we thank you Ms. Hayes

and everyone else who has chosen that profession.

I was particularly interested in, Mr. White, in what you are doing in your State. I served for a brief amount of time as the chair of education committee in the State senate in Pennsylvania. And we spent some time looking at effective programs in other States as well as in other countries measuring what we are doing in the United States compared to other countries. And it always came

back to great teachers and great principals. And we must do everything that we can to support them to ensure that they are prepared

and that we are supporting them throughout their job.

One of interesting things that I heard you say which was one of the takeaways for me in the course of those conversations is the idea of a mentor. And then the other was in some other countries they spend more time in preparation rather than actual classroom teaching was one of the takeaways we got back. And I am just curious, have you incorporated that into your program at all?

That is in terms of working with a mentor, but maybe it is a classroom period during the week, maybe it is at the beginning of everyday, just finding more time to allow teachers to sort of plan

and interact with their fellow teachers?

Mr. WHITE. Yes.

Mr. Smucker. Has that been something you looked at all?

Mr. White. Yes. And I think that when you look at comparison between our country and for example how the school systems that Congress funds across the country spend say Title I dollars, and how the most highly effective systems of educator preparation and the teacher workforce say in South Korea or in Singapore. And you look at the difference in how we spend our dollars.

We have spent on radical reduction in class size and an increase in our workforce, they have spent on to some extent more time with students, but really Congressman as you say more time with one another. Our teachers somewhat by virtue of the way we spend somewhat by the virtue of the way we manage simple do not have structured into their day enough time together.

That is enough time with mentors, pre service and in service and it is enough time as part of a well structured team inquiring into how as well students are doing and what it means for our practice.

And as a consequence you get what some of us on the panel have described earlier, which is you have a retention problem. If teachers had that system that prioritizes structured time with one another, one-on-one, and in teams I believe deeply that our retention problem would-

Mr. Smucker. I think it is something that States—I am sorry to cut you off, but I think it is something that States should be looking at as they look to improve their system. You talked about an evaluation system of the teacher preparation programs in the State, you are in the midst of I think of a 2-year pilot program you described in your testimony. And I am just interested in knowing what you have learned during that process and how have you—how has the system being changed as a result of that?

Mr. White. Well, I think as in any accountability system there must be a clear definition of what you want programs to achieve. And I unfortunately believe between the K-12 laws and the higher Ed laws of State and Federal government, we are telling our institutions of higher education and our school systems we go achieve

10,000 things. They should be achieving A, B and C.
And in our State that is quantifiably effective teachers placed in the places that need those teachers most and practices such as I described before, teaching teachers to teach reading that are valuable to students. If you can do those three things, you are going to do well in our system.

And consequently we have seen institutions step up and make real programmatic changes to focus on things like the foundations of reading and also to start prioritizing placements in communities where oftentimes educators have not gone to be prepared, such as—

Mr. SMUCKER. I want to get one additional question in. I am sorry. You talked a little bit about Title II, and I am interested in knowing to what extent you, in your program, interact currently with Title II the Higher Education Act, what impact is it currently having on the systemwide improvement in Louisiana?

Mr. White. Well we interact with it to the extent that I don't believe it is a strategic driver of change. Unlike Title II of ESSA, I don't believe it is a strategic driver of change. Why? It requires data, obscure data that distract our attention from the things that really matter.

And two, it funds narrow partnerships between a local university and a local school system, rather than Statewide plans for change. You are not getting the most for your investment by way of Title II HEA.

Mr. SMUCKER. I think it is a message that is loud and clear and we should certainly take that into reconsideration as we look at reauthorization.

Thank you.

Mrs. DAVIS. Thank you, Mr. Smucker.

Ms. Shalala.

Ms. Shalala. Thank you very much. I actually have spent some time in schools of education teaching, and particularly teaching Teach for America students most recently in their master's program. And I am very interested in the retention issue, but I think the better model for residency is actually nursing, which has moved into residency programs.

But if you look at nursing curriculum, they introduce clinicals much earlier in their curriculum, rotating students through curriculums, almost from the beginning of their nursing education. That helps them, but the key—and if you read the literature, as all of you probably I am sure have in teacher education, it is not just doing the residency, it is whether the mentorship stays for a number of years afterwards. Because you can't learn how to teach in 1 year, I certainly didn't learn how to teach in 1 year, even with the best kind of intensive mentorship. So it is the number of years afterwards.

The number of schools of education actually stay with the students. And that is they have master teachers that actually stay with their graduates for a number of years afterwards, and that makes a difference on retention. But it can't be just that, it has to be salary, all of those elements that are coming in.

I have a particular interest in the question of why we are putting the least experienced teachers in the classroom. Mr. White, you have had experience with Teach for America and obviously desperate to find some people that will teach in the most challenging schools. We put a lot of very inexperienced people into classrooms.

And the question is what are our strategies to avoid that other than trying to pay senior teachers more? What are the incentives to try to get our most experienced people in the classroom, in the places that are the most challenge for us?

Yes.

Mr. White. Thank you. Well, I think first it does start with money. And I do think we need to be real, it is a different job. Teaching in some of the schools that Ms. McManus was describing, they certainly exist in New Orleans, and Baton Rouge and Shreveport is a different job. It does require a different level of compensation. And so there are districts like Shreveport, Louisiana that have stepped up to do that, \$15,000 per year more in the hardest to staff schools.

But also you need leaders to go, you can't just be saying teachers you go, you need your most effective leaders to go. Until the leaders go, and I believe financial incentives helped there as well, you won't create a culture that says we believe this is a promotion, this is a step up to take the hardest challenge the district has to offer.

And so I think school systems can achieve what you are describing if there is a cultural shift, because not just the low people in their first years of teachers or what have you are doing it, but the

senior most, most experienced people are doing it.

Ms. McManus. I would like to comment on that as well. We formed in Hillsboro a Turnaround Leadership pathways and it is exactly for the reason of taking our very best leaders to move to some of our most under performing schools in some of our under served communities. Our students in those schools are brilliant. They need the right teachers, which the only way to really create that environment where teachers can achieve success is through the leaders.

And so we have done exactly that, made it attractive for our very best leaders to move into our schools and that is how we attract great teachers and that is how we retain them as well.

Ms. Shalala. Any other comments from any of the other panel members on retention?

Mr. Daire. Thank you. I think in terms of retention and I agree with my panelists that we do have to look at ways of having our teacher candidates get into the schools earlier. And I think what you stated what the nursing model I think is a great example and that is what we are trying to move towards, to be able to get our students, particularly in urban high need schools earlier.

I think another point that we need to consider is that when we look at the hardest to staff schools and the poorest performing schools it is also the schools where there is a high concentration of poverty with school children that are subject to significant amount of problems, but we need to do a better job of training our educators to be more effective with all students, particularly those living in poverty with under represented minority students integrating more, culturally responsive practices and things of that nature, which I think is going to contribute to the retention because those folks are going to be better prepared for those environments that they are placed.

Ms. Shalala. Let me also note that one of challenges is State school boards changing the requirements. And if you look at most schools of education their teacher preparation section they note that you should be prepared if there is a change in the require-

ments and they put the burden on the students as well as on the individual schools and that is really very difficult for many people.

Thank you very much. I yield back. Mrs. DAVIS. Thank you, Ms. Shalala.

And Mr. Guthrie.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Thank you very much. I appreciate my colleague bringing that up that line of questions, Dr. Shalala. It was her credentials. That is important. And actually the one question I was going to ask I will get to that in a second. But I was in general assembly in Kentucky, and study schools that were in challenging environments, be it Appalachia, be it Louisville. And I will tell you, if you go into a school that is beating all expectations and we want them all to beat all expectations, it was a dynamic principal.

It absolutely you could feel it in the principal, you could see it in the principal and the staff, but the principal led the staff. You had to have a great group of teachers but you had to have a great principal that was able to be the school leader and just owned it

and was part of it and moved it forward.

One thing, Mr. White, I was interested in the believe and repair residency model that you have. I know that you do, it is for college seniors to gain a full year of practice experience. Have you thought about reaching into like the high school level, the high school seniors to give them kind of a taste of what teaching is so that it can inspire people to be in careers of teaching?

Mr. WHITE. Yes, absolutely. And I think Ms. McManus spoke to this a little bit earlier as well. I couldn't agree more than it has

to happen.

We actually just started a State chapter of an organization called educators rising which provides across States for a short course sequence within high schools that can be done either as an elective or as part of their core curriculum that gives students advanced standing in colleges of education so they can get some of their foundational course work out of way.

It is high school students, it gets them some clinical experience as well and more than anything get them exposure to as Congresswoman Hayes said the very positive aspects of our profession not just the challenges of our profession.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Okay. Thanks very much.

And alternative certifications interested in that as well because the teaching profession is very difficult and we have great teaching schools in Kentucky, but sometimes somebody comes with that right expertise or that right like a chemical engineer wants to see chemistry of trying to change careers. An electrical engineer wants to teach math, we see that, or somebody has a great drama history, wants to come and teach liberal arts in a high school or arts in a high school.

And when I was in general assembly we found it was easier, I have to say this right, it was easier to get a college credit for a high school course than a high school credit—so if you are at Bowling Green High and you took physics at Western Tech University, it was more difficult to get that credit at the high school because the physics professor, the Ph.D. in research at Western was not a cer-

tified teacher.

And so we had to break through some of that. And sometimes you do things in legislatures and they have better results and even anticipated doing. And we have people, they talking about now cost of college, we have people now because we came up with an alternative credentialing to make sure that college professors were certified teachers for purposes of high school credit. And we now have people graduating from high school with associate degrees. I never saw that coming. I never thought people could get 2 years of associates along with high school, but we have that happening.

And so would you talk about Louisiana or do any of you want to talk about your alternative certification models? I am sure we have absolute quality in the classroom, but sometimes there is it that right person with the right experience that is a good leader

and can come into a high school classroom.

Mr. White. First, Congressman, I agree with you. I run into the circumstances too we have people with wonderful higher Ed credentials that can't get into our K-12 system. It is ridiculous and we should all lower the barriers to that kind of transition happening.

At the same time we need to be real with the fact that too often our alternative certification models are not that. It is an empty special Ed classroom in a rural community where there is just nobody to teach. And somebody is coming in very cold, with very limited experience, and we are putting them in front of children who need their expertise and skills very badly. So we have a balance, we have to have a balance between the right barriers and the right preparation models and reducing barriers so that there is more of a fluid process. I think the way you do that is by requiring serious, serious support for all alternative certification candidates when they come in.

And I described how we have a rural parish or counties, we call them parishes in Louisiana, that are piloting a model where every single day, every alternative certification teacher co-teaches with a State certified mentor. They coteach their class for at least one period per day, which both is cost effective for the teacher and the school system, but it provides the teacher modeling and support that sadly was at least too rare in our alternative certification programs beforehand.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Anyone else want to comment on that?

Ms. McManus. Do you want to comment on that?

Ms. McManus. Yes.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Yes absolutely.

Ms. McManus. Yes. We have an alternative certification program in Hillsborough, but I will tell you, and we do get some great teachers, because of the intensity of the support on the job. The job—the role of a teacher and leader it is an evolution to become great at your craft. And where you can get the before job experience in classrooms and then you can get the on the job support after entering the role, that creates the ultimate win for our students.

Students teaching is way more than content, it is so much around the systems and the management in your classroom and how you get to know every single student and some folks come in without that and then they struggle.

And so I think, I mean, alternate certification program is working because there is a teacher shortage and we do have to look at every possible avenue to get great teachers, but the intensity of the support mentoring and for time over time is critical if you are going to come in lacking some type of pre service support.

Mr. GUTHRIE. Thank you for those answers.

I yield back.

Mrs. DAVIS. Thank you very much.

Mrs. Lee.

Mrs. Lee. Thank you, Madam Chairwoman. And thanks for hav-

ing this important discussion.

You know, in this committee we talk a lot about student debt and certainly that is something that is weighing in this country with about \$1.5 trillion in student debt having incredible consequences not only in terms of student's ability to pay it back, but also in terms of their career choices.

And then when we talk about recruiting effective teachers in the overwhelming majority of college students are then forced to take on debt. And in fact those entering the teaching profession on average take on about \$20,000 in debt for a Bachelor's degree and \$50,000 for a master degree. And then despite this investment midcareer teachers can expect to earn about 30 percent less than similar credentialed mid-career professionals.

I would like to ask Dr. Daire, can you speak about the importance of increased Federal investments in service scholarships and loan forgiveness programs in supporting a stable and strong teach-

ing workforce?

Mr. DAIRE. I think they are critically important, particularly when we consider the teachers who are even interested in going into education for the sole purpose of going into an urban high needs schools. Those tend to be more underrepresented minority students, students who have left economic ability to pay for University. So I think it is important for us to continue those financial aid programs, and Pell grants, and those student loan programs.

When we look overall, we don't do a good job as a Nation educating our bottom 50 percent SCS students. And I think that is critically important, not just in education. I mean we need that for the teaching profession, but overall we have to find ways to really support students who are at the lower income enabled in order to access higher education and especially in the teaching profession.

Mrs. Lee. Thank you. That actually plays well into my next question regarding diversity and the teaching core. And this is such—I come from Las Vegas, Henderson, Nevada. And in Clark

County district we always struggle in hiring teachers.

In fact last year we had to hire close to 2,000 teachers in 1 year. And we have a student body that represents 75 percent students of color, over 50 percent Latino students, that we only have 11 percent Latino teachers, 14 percent African-American students with about 7 percent African-American teachers. I know we know the studies that link student achievement with the representation of diversity in the teaching forces.

Fortunately, I also represent three public colleges who are minority and Hispanic serving institutions, UNLV, Nevada State College, and the College of Southern Nevada. I am proud to say that UNLV is one of the top five most diverse universities in the country

Mrs. Lee. But given that, I wanted to ask you and Dr. Daire or whoever wants to, how can we leverage the tools of the MSI/HSI programs to build a core teachers that is aligned to the socio-

economic characteristics of a student population.

Mr. Daire. Well, I think we have to really look at pipeline programs that get into the high schools. What Congresswoman Hayes said about the 12 year interview, I think that is critically important that we have to—and this hasn't been talked about here, but what is the experience that underrepresented minority students are receiving in the classroom. And is that interview process one that is likening them to want to become a teacher? And so I will add that component because that has not been discussed. And I will let some of the other panelists address some of the other fac-

But we really to start early with our students, because if we look at strategies once they are in college, we have missed a large percentage of students.

Mrs. Lee. Great. Does anyone else want to-

Mr. White. I would just note we haven't discussed today the fact that there remains an achievement gap in post secondary achievement between racial minority and other students in our country.

And if there were more schools like Georgia State University for example that had eliminated that completer gap, then you would have a much larger percentage of the college graduate population that is representative of the student population in our public

Mrs. Lee. Great. Thank you.

I yield the remainder of my time. Thank you.

Mrs. DAVIS. Thank you very much.

Mr. Watkins.

Mr. WATKINS. Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you all for being here. I appreciate it. I am the older brother of a teacher, son of a teacher, and grandson and all of this is so vitally important.

My question is for Mr. White. One of the challenges we hear about is finding and attracting good students to enter into the teaching profession. Has Louisiana addressed these standards, knowing that it is a balance between their capabilities, as well as

the needs of call it the school system?

Mr. White. I think it is first a matter first of professionalizing the path in. Undergraduates are talking with their friends who are aspiring lawyers or aspiring nurses or what have you. And seeing them get exciting experience in the work setting. And yet in teaching too often that experience is limited to a short internship.

We have to professionalize the path into our profession, and we have to do things like many of my panelists have discussed today

bringing that into the high schools.

Finally, though, we are really for the first time in some time reaching a point where it is not just that teaching is a poorly paid profession relative to other bachelors-requiring jobs, but it is at the rate of escalation in our competitor fields is now increasingly out pacing the rate of escalation within the salaries of our own field and we have to be real about that.

Debt in some form is going to continue to exist for good or for not. And it is going to continue to be somewhat the burden of college graduates and their families to repay it. And coming into our profession and saying, you could either make \$100,000 or you can make \$35,000, and then saying what is attractive about our profession is completely counterintuitive. States and local governmental entities need to step up and find a way of financing better the front end compensation of people entering the teacher profession if we are ever to make it legitimately competitive in the 21st Century.

Mr. WATKINS. Thank you. Switching gears, Mr. White, the eval-

uation system, how has that changed during the first phase?

Mr. WHITE. Well, we have piloted our evaluation system in three areas, are your graduates effective for their students, are you placing students in rural and other settings where there are graduates where they are badly needed, and third are your practices actually changing? Is the way that you are teaching teachers to teach improving? And I think of all areas, that is the area we have seen

the greatest improvement.

We have been piloting an onsite review model, modeled after the United Kingdom and what they do for their colleges of education. They are able to be onsite giving feedback on a regular basis, through our colleges of education and our alternative programs. And I can tell you they are making changes in their practice. I have seen literally in 2 years institutions improve from say a score of 2 out of 4 to a score of 3.5 out of 4, which is tremendous progress on the same instrument.

So they are improving the way they teach teachers to teach.

Mr. WATKINS. Thank you. And Madam Chair, I yield the balance of my time.

Mrs. DAVIS. Thank you very much. And we now move to Ms. Bonamici.

Ms. Bonamici. Thank you very much. Thank you to the Chairs and the Ranking Members. And thank you to our witnesses. I am glad we are having this important discussion today about how we can better support our Nation's educators.

I just want to follow up on my colleagues' comments and the testimony about professionalizing the profession or making it more at-

tractive to more people.

One of the things we can do is we can push back on all the public school bashing that we hear in our communities. That doesn't help people who are just trying to decide what profession to enter. So I invite everyone to join me in that effort to talk about the great opportunity and the importance of public education.

In my home State of Oregon, and I know Representative Jayapal talked about diversity, but we have about 40 percent of our students are students of color, but 90 percent of teacher the workforce is White. And as the chair of the Civil Rights and Human Services Subcommittee, I certainty recognize the importance and value of having diverse educators in classrooms.

Research shows there is a positive correlation between having a teacher of color in the achievement in students of color. And as we continue to advance equity in public education, we have to make sure that we address that lack of diversity both in the teacher and

school leader workforce and provide support for and opportunities for teachers of color.

Dr. Daire, nationally the majority of public school students are children of color. Yet only 20 percent of incoming teachers are persons of color. In northwest Oregon the gap is even larger. Beaverton and Hillsboro-that is Hillsboro, Oregon. I know there is another Hillsboro-the third and fourth largest school districts in Oregon are now majority students of color, but only a fraction, about 12 percent of teachers in those districts, were teachers of color.

And the school districts have actually joined with two other districts and launched a countywide Washington County Diverse Educator Pathway to address this issue and increase diversity and retention of teachers of color throughout their created educator pathways. And we know that one of barriers to increasing diversity is the cost of college.

And research shows that teachers of color are often unable to afford a high quality preparation without supports like grants, aid, and information gathered from FASFA shows that a higher percentage of Black students, more than students from any other racial group have no expected family contribution.

Can you talk about how making college more affordable could

help diversify the profession?

Mr. Daire. I think it is going to be critically important that we address that. I think the data that you articulate is reflective of what we see in Virginia. And we need to continue to invest in the different supports to help offset those costs, looking at the student loan forgiveness, looking at Pell grants, looking at other incentives.

Another issue in Virginia is the cost of licensing tests, which can be anywhere from \$300 to \$500 for students to take. So there are some other barriers that even exist after the cost of education.

And I think when we look at those numbers, that is not going to change overnight. So we have to do a better job in ensuring that the White teachers are receiving the necessary training to work with students living in poverty, to work with students who are culturally diverse from them.

Ms. Bonamici. Absolutely. Absolutely. And I know with college affordability we need short-term solutions and long-term solutions. And I am getting ready to reintroduce the SIMPLE Act, which will get and keep more student loan borrowers and income-driven repayment so the borrowers who do take on debt, for example to pursue high quality teacher preparation programs can get into incomedriven repayment.

Ms. McManus, in addition to increasing diversity among our Nation's teachers, we know that we need diversity in our school leaders as well. So how does your school district make sure that it is recruiting school leaders of color? And why is that important to

you? And what can Congress do to support those efforts?

Ms. McManus. It is very important that we also have diversity in leadership and we have heard the research as to why. Our teachers—our students need to see teachers and other adults that look like them in our schools. And also, diversity makes us smarter and stronger. And we need to have diverse perspectives in every one of our schools.

In Hillsborough again we recruit heavily into our next layer of leadership from our teacher ranks. And so we work across divisions to try to start at the teacher level, because those are the people that then we targeted, performed targeted recruitment efforts to then move into leadership positions. We started a partnership with University of Tampa, and that is to recruit leader—teachers of color into a master's program where we act—because a master's is required before you become a leader in Hillsborough, a school-based leader. We paid for half of their education so that we can have more leaders of color, have the opportunities for advancement in our district.

Ms. Bonamici. Thank you so much. I see my time has expired. I yield back, Madam Chair.

Mrs. DAVIS. Thank you very much.

Mr. Grothman.

Mr. GROTHMAN. First of all, I have a comment for Mr. White. I think one of the problems—well, you mentioned the underpayment of teachers, and I will ask you to do a Google search a little bit when you get done here. I think a lot of those statistics don't take into account fringe benefits. And I don't think we are doing future generations any favor when we run down the occupation.

I remember when I was in high school, one of the teachers got up in front of the class when I was a senior in high school, and I wanted to be a teacher, and ran down the occupation, and said how underpaid he was and blah, blah, blah, blah, blah. And so I thought, well, I am not going to be a teacher, just because my

teachers are running me down.

I recently talked to another student going to a very nice suburban high school in Milwaukee whose teacher went off, and said how underpaid he was, and ran it down. And I am sure by the time

he was done, nobody in the class wanted to be a teacher.

Someone over here, I can't remember which Congressman, said, we shouldn't be running down public education. And I do think this idea of running down the occupation of teachers being underpaid is not necessarily appropriate. And I would suggest you do a little bit of research when you take fringe benefits into account. I think you will find that teachers are not as underpaid as you think.

Now next question I have, I will switch and go to questions. I read a book once, I wish I could remember the name about how to get the best people in teaching. And they felt one way to do that was to make is more of a high status profession, not cost, but high status. And they talked about-I think the two countries they talked about were Finland and Poland.

And there what they had done is they had tried to grab the best students, I don't think they had ACTs or SAT scores in London or Poland, but whoever is doing the best on the standardized test and try to get them to go into teaching.

I wondered if any of you know right now, as far as graduates from schools of education, where we are on SAT, ACT scores com-

pared to the other schools and colleges.

Mr. White. I will clarify my first comment for you briefly, Congressman. My point was precisely your point, which is that actually States invest significantly more on the back end of the profession through retirement systems that typically start early and are relatively lucrative relative to Private Sector accounts, rather than

thinking about how do we target investments up front.

It should be looked at that in a State like mine, 25 to 30 percent of all State dollars go directly into retirement systems that are retiring people or compensating them 30 years after they start doing the job that we are talking about here today. So my point was at least related to that, not to make broadly the point that teachers are underpaid.

Secondly, you are right relative to college as a business, relative to college of engineering, and in most cases relative to colleges of arts and scientists we have then given universities the ACT scores in Louisiana, the colleges of education of lower. However, there are exceptions to that. There are institutions where the college of education have competitive ACT scores with the colleges of engineering or the colleges of business.

And I think it is in that variation that we with have to take a look at what are those places doing to, as you suggest, brand the profession as one that is fully competitive with those others. That

is what they are doing and why you get that parity.

Mr. GROTHMAN. Thank you. I appreciate your answer. I obviously keep track of Wisconsin most because that is where I am from. And I know so many of the students they have to compete to get into the school of business, or the school of engineering, or the school of nursing. And it would be good if we had the same competition

to get into the school of education.

The next question I have, I enjoy being on the Education Committee in part because I have a lot of opinions on K-12 education. But one of the things that intrigues me is the degree to which people come here and ask the Federal Government to dive into education. In my first term here we passed the Student Succeeds Act and the whole purpose of that was to get the Federal Government kind of out of education. And my local superintendents were pleased we passed the Student Succeeds Act.

Nevertheless, again and again we have here instances in which people introduce bills and want the Federal Government to dive into education more and more. As far as diving into it with money, I think it goes up and down every month it seems. But right now the Federal Government is borrowing 17 or 18 percent of our budget. And at least the State of Wisconsin went into this budget year with a big surplus. And I don't think Wisconsin is alone in that because States frequently rely on sales and income tax dollars for their budgets. And as the economy booms so does that.

What can we do to educate advocates for the education system that they should start more at the State or local level and expecting the Federal Government to dive in? Not just with dollars, but apparently with rules and regulations as to how—

Mrs. DAVIS. Excuse me, Mr. Grothman. You are leaving them no

time to respond to you.

So I am sorry, I am going to have to go to the next. Mr. Grothman. I can let them respond in writing.

Mrs. DAVIS. And we try in how you do that also for the record, if you like. And perhaps there will be another opportunity. I will try and do as well. Okay?

Mrs. Davis. Mr. Levin.

Mr. LEVIN. Thank you, Madam Chair.

Madam Chair, I am really concerned that the lack of support for teachers and school leaders is pushing teachers out of the classroom and causing a shortage of qualified educators. My wife Mary taught 6th grade for years, my sister-in-law is a special ed teacher. I am just completely surrounded by teachers, which is a beautiful thing. And so I know the importance of giving teachers the preparation, support, and resources they need to deliver the high-quality education our children deserve.

Mr. Brosnan, what would you most like the Members of this committee to know about the teaching profession, and what are your recommendations for improving teacher preparation?

Mr. Brosnan. Thank you, Congressman. I think the most resounding thing that you have heard from all of my fellow panelists, and I will reiterate, teaching is a wonderful profession. I absolutely love it and, clearly, my colleagues do as well. I think that should not be lost when we are talking about loan forgiveness and salary and other elements that we have discussed here today. We love education and we love working with our students.

I think one of the things that needs to improve in preparation programs is, like my colleague, Mr. White, outlined for us, a longer period of residency so that when that perspective teacher and graduate becomes employed, they have had a full year of experience in a classroom. I think that is an excellent model, and I am so pleased to hear that it exists, even if it is a couple thousand miles away

from me.

Mr. LEVIN. That is great. All right. Thank you.

I do want to go back to some of the financial issues, though. I think that if we are serious about supporting teachers, we need to address the issue of college affordability systematically as a Nation, and that has long been recognized as a barrier to both recruitment and retention of educators.

Today, two-thirds of individuals entering the teaching profession must take out student loans. They have an average debt of \$20,000 for a bachelor's degree and \$50,000 for a master's degree, only to enter the profession that will pay 30 percent less by mid-career when compared to similarly credentialed professionals in other

fields.

The America's College Promise Act, which I will be introducing next week, will create a partnership between the Federal Government and States to provide tuition and fee-free community college to all students. The proposal would also provide grants to cover a significant portion of tuition and fees for the first 2 years of attendance for low-income students enrolling at qualified minority-serving institutions, or MSIs. America's College Promise would mean providing support to all perspective teachers attending both community colleges and MSIs.

So, Dean Daire, how would fully covering tuition at community colleges and MSIs help make teaching a more financially viable career choice? Do you think it would, and how specifically would it?

Mr. DAIRE. Well, I think it would, particularly if we can tap those pipelines down into the K-12 schools and also ensure that we have strong articulation agreements between the 4-year—between the 4-year universities and the community colleges, and also that we can ensure that at the community college level, as my colleagues have said, we have to really make sure that our candidates are getting experiences earlier in the classroom. And so I think if we do those things, I think it would definitely be quite promising to have that type of support for teacher candidates.

Mr. LEVIN. At VCU and other similar institutions, do a number of people who go into the profession start out at community colleges? Is it a significant factor around the country—in Virginia and

around the country, to your knowledge?

Mr. DAIRE. Well, Virginia is a little bit unique in that for about the past 23 years, up until recent legislation, teachers for licensure had to receive their master's in teaching, and so that really created some challenges for strong articulation programs. That legislation has changed. VCU, we are introducing five new teacher ed undergraduate programs starting this fall, and some other universities around the State. There will be a second wave next year. We are really excited because that is going to really allow us to develop those articulation agreements with the community colleges and also programs that tap into high schools to create a solid pipeline.

Mr. LEVIN. Wonderful. Thank you so much to all of you for your

testimony today.

And, Madam Chair, I yield back.

Mrs. DAVIS. Thank you.

Mr. Meuser.

Mr. MEUSER. Thank you, Madam Chair.

Thank you all very, very much for being here with us today.

I think we certainly all agree the importance of educators, of teachers. I think the good teachers in our lives, even as old as me, still remember very well. More importantly is the teachers for my children and their—how well and effective they are. And it is interesting how you can get a lot of information if you ask the right questions about teachers, which I have done for many, many years now, and you get a good understanding of a great teacher, a good teacher, and maybe a not-so-good teacher. So I want to talk about that a little bit.

It is certainly imperative, I think we all agree as well, that we invest in the education of our students and in the educators, those who spend all the time with our children, our young people. Education is a top priority for any thriving or successful economy, clearly. It always has been really throughout history. So we are always trying to improve, of course. So innovation and tools, methods, curriculum options, what we should be providing and what options that they should have, as we now have a lot more vocational and career development even in high schools, which I think is very important. But I also think we need to innovate when it comes to teacher accountability and definitely pay, and that sometimes is a challenge.

So, Mr. White, I want to ask you about how we evaluate existing teachers, and bring to note, in Pennsylvania—I am from Pennsylvania—our teachers are evaluated either by satisfactory or unsatisfactory, two categories. Teachers get a satisfactory rating if they are deemed as distinguished, proficient, or needs improvement. Only failing teachers are given an unsatisfactory rating. And I do

believe that the satisfactory percentage is in the neighborhood of

97, perhaps 98 percent.

So I believe that States should be tasked with evaluating their teachers, but I am interested in your views evaluating teacher performance. I was in business for 25 years; regular feedback is very important. It helps you get better. You rely upon it. You need it. We were talking about principals a little bit earlier. I am sure the real good principals provide that. But I am not exactly sure what sort of innovation is taking place on that general feedback so teachers are focused on daily improving. Maybe you can speak to that, Mr. White.

Mr. White. Well, I think States should be involved in it, and thanks in part to previous prompting from Congress, States are involved in it. But at the same time, States and certainly—certainly the Federal Government, but States as well, shouldn't dictate the terms of all professional development and feedback systems that are given in schools. You need to leave room for the customization and innovation you are describing. I will give you an example.

The Teacher Advancement Program, or the TAP, system which exists in many of the States that are represented here today, is one of, from my perspective, the most comprehensive and effective systems of teacher improvement. It has its own evaluation rubric with its own set of values embedded in it for principals and other supervisors in schools to use. A State shouldn't substitute its judgment and say to a school system, you can't use an instrument like that has been proven time after time to be effective just because State government thinks it has got exactly the right way to do it. States should allow for common principles, but some distinctions as locals determine what is best in their local context.

Mr. MEUSER. So you are speaking from experience, so that is

working, that is effective in Louisiana?

Mr. White. Yeah, absolutely. I mean, teacher evaluation is a means to an end. It is a means to an end of student achievement. We should have better schools partially because schools have done the right thing, vis-à-vis, making their teachers better, and that means on some level that we as States need to insist that there are quantifiable outcomes and that teachers are getting feedback. But on the other hand, we shouldn't disrupt success and go to a school where things are progressing and say, well, now you need to do it our way. That would be an enormous mistake.

Mr. MEUSER. Do you ever consider student surveys of teachers? Mr. WHITE. Absolutely. And there is a lot of evidence to show that, done well, that is effective. At the same time, it has got to be secure and trustworthy. It has to be both valid and reliable, and that is a big investment of State energies. But there is no question there are studies to show that students give consistently helpful and often valid and reliable feedback regarding teachers.

Mr. MEUSER. I am glad to see Ms. McManus nodding, because I think that is important. There seems to have been some—I have detected some resistance there, but I think that would be impor-

tant.

Well, we are all very interested in hearing what Congress can do to support your efforts.

And, Madam Chair, I yield back.

Mrs. DAVIS. Thank you very much.

Dr. Adams.

Ms. ADAMS. Thank you, Madam Chair and to the Ranking Mem-

bers as well, for convening this hearing.

And thank you to all the witnesses for your testimony. It has been very interesting and a little bit enlightening for me. I certainly agree with a lot of what has been said by my colleagues. I want to, first of all, thank you for your dedication to students, to children, and for your work in education.

You might know that I was an educator at Bennett College in Greensboro, North Carolina, for 40 years. Started my career a little earlier than that working at a high school that is now closed, the Palmer Memorial Institute, which was the oldest college preparatory school for African Americans in a little city called Sedalia, North Carolina. It has since closed. It was actually founded by Charlotte Hawkins Brown, who was 19 years old when she founded that school.

My daughter is an assistant principal at a local elementary school in Greensboro as well. I am real proud of the work she is doing. So I do know firsthand the importance of having a school ad-

ministration behind you. That is really key.

I served in the North Carolina House for 20-1/2 years, and so education was something that we dealt with too. I am not real happy with all that North Carolina is doing in terms of really supporting public education as they should. I think we are stifling money away, and I don't know whether or not you are experiencing that in terms of vouchers and those kinds of things.

So it appears that the support that we should be giving for public education is really not what it ought to be at some of our State levels, and I speak more specifically for North Carolina. So I know it is difficult when you are faced with tight budgets and you don't have all the resources that you need, and that is why I think it is all the more reason that we have to have strong Title II funding.

And so having said that, Mr. Brosnan, if I could start with you, can you tell the committee why it is so important for teachers to have supportive school leaders, and cite any examples that you might have where the influence of school leaders has been a main determinant of whether a teacher is retained?

Mr. Brosnan. Thank you, Congresswoman. I can speak from personal experience that in 14 years at the same high school, I had 20 principals.

Ms. Adams. Wow.

Mr. Brosnan. The final one that I had before my new role working with new teachers across the entire city is an excellent leader. He has stabilized the school. He has stabilized the attrition rate and the faculty. And having the promise of an excellent leader with superior interpersonal skills and the academic and pedagogical knowledge to lead a struggling urban school forward is incalculable.

Ms. ADAMS. Thank you.

Ms. McManus, what role do you believe effective school leadership plays in teacher retention?

Ms. McManus. It is a key lever in retention. You just heard that. We expect a school to have great performance for students with 20

different leaders. That causes fragmentation in some other schools that are not in some of our environments that need the best. They have leaders that have stayed there for decades. That is an inequity. That is what leads to achievement gaps in our systems.

And so having a great leader is the key lever, and that is why we have chosen to invest in leadership so strongly in Hillsborough through a strong principal pipeline, because we should be able to avoid that kind of scenario when you actually identify leaders based on a common set of standards and develop them along that

path. We should not have that kind of turnover.

Ms. Adams. Okay. So, you know, we have heard a lot about the difficulty that teachers and school leaders of color have in staying in the workforce due to fiscal issues, but I am also interested in whether the culture of our education system is tailored in a way that makes their jobs easier. And can you speak to the emphasis of any that you place on mentoring for your teachers and school leaders of color and what sort of induction strategies that you implement when new educators of color come aboard? I want to ask Dr. Daire, and then any other person can respond.

Yes, sir.

Mr. DAIRE. Thank you for your question. We do need to support stronger induction programs, and that is something that we are doing at VCU, is that we are going to be providing that induction support for our graduates who are teaching in Title I schools for 2 years after they are finished. And this is really modeled after our Richmond Teacher Residency program which we have seen success in that area.

Ms. Adams. Madam Chair, I am out of time. I will have to yield back.

Mrs. DAVIS. Thank you.

Mr. Thompson.

Mr. THOMPSON. Thank you, Madam Chair.

Thank you and the Ranking Members for this hearing—joint hearing. Thank all of the Members of the panel for being here

today and bringing your expertise to this topic.

I am sure every Member of this committee can think of a teacher that had a positive influence on, not only their education, quite frankly, their life. Maybe we didn't realize it back in the day, but in retrospect looking back, and usually it was the ones that had the higher standards, the toughest teachers are the ones I look back with admiration today and had tremendous influence.

Teachers are the most underrated yet powerful professionals in the entire world, is what I believe. They truly do shape young minds. In Pennsylvania, we have a program, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania instituted a teacher in the workforce grant program that focuses on strength and collaboration between local educators and local businesses. The goal is to better prepare students with the skills for the in-demand jobs, creating really pathways to opportunity.

On the Federal side, under the current law, the Federal Teacher Quality Partnership grant connects local schools with nearby colleges and universities to create professional development programs for new teachers; however, there are not enough opportunities for established teachers to develop leadership and peer mentoring

skills that help retain teachers and boost student achievement. That is why I was proud to introduce H.R. 3108, the Teachers Are Leaders Act.

This bipartisan piece of legislation seeks to expand professional development and leadership growth opportunities for teachers. Now, specifically, the legislation would expand Teacher Quality Partnership grants by making teacher leader programs focused on professional development for established teachers eligible for funding. Programs would focus on peer coaching, family and community engagement, curriculum development, and other ways to make full use of their experience as educators and leaders within their communities.

Now, if schools want to recruit/retain high-quality teachers, then they must treat them like the professionals that they are, and that means we must allow them to grow both inside and outside the classroom.

Mr. White, thank you for being here today. I have a couple questions for you Kind of reflect a little bit on just one small thing that Pennsylvania was doing. Does Louisiana provide any State programs for established teachers who are seeking professional development?

Mr. White. We do, and much of that is done through with Federal assistance, including Title II of the Every Student Succeeds Act, and a Teacher Incentive Fund grant also from the Federal Government. And I also wanted to say that I too am supportive of programs that have classroom teachers spending time in other industries' understanding, through internships or externships, what their own students as graduates will be experiencing in the workplace.

Mr. Thompson. Yeah, I think those are outstanding programs for many—certain percentage of our students who go right into the marketplace and to the workplace, and the more the teachers understand what the skill sets are that are required, the higher degree of success, not just of achieving jobs, but growing within those businesses and industries for greater opportunity.

You talk in your testimony about mentor teachers who work with perspective teachers as part of the State's residency program. Can you tell us more about how mentor teachers are prepared and licensed in order to ensure those teachers are effective in working with new colleagues?

Mr. White. Our State has created a specific training program and a specific series of assessments, and then ultimately, a credential that mentor teachers experience. We certified more than a thousand and we are on our way to 2,000 mentor teachers. That is a permanent license. It also comes with additional funding in the form of a stipend. But I think most unique is that gaining the mentor license in the State of Louisiana makes you 40 percent of the way through to your education administrator's license.

Education preparation for people who are going to lead schools shouldn't just be about what type of training do they get; it should be about who are we recruiting. And very often we have not reached out to our best classroom teachers to provide them a bridge between the classroom and school leadership. And in my view, too many of our best teachers are saying, I want to stay in the class-

room; I don't want to take a leadership role. There should be a leadership role that gives them a bridge to leadership without removing them too far from the classroom.

Mr. THOMPSON. Thank you, Madam Chair. I yield back.

Mrs. DAVIS. Thank you very much.

Mr. Trone, are you prepared? You just happened to come up

next, having been here earlier. Thank you.

Mr. TRONE. Yes, ma'am. Thank you to the Chairs and Ranking Members for holding this hearing, and thank you to our witnesses for being here.

Teachers and school leaders are shaping our future every day. My mother was a public schoolteacher, and I know how hard it is for educators to work to make sure the next generation has an op-

portunity to succeed.

By supporting our teachers, we are supporting a better education and stronger outcomes for our students. That is why I am proud to see us highlighting these issues. I am also glad there is a consensus in Maryland, we must do more to elevate teachers and school leaders to build a world-class education system in our State.

The Kirwan Commission on Innovation and Excellence is prioritize supporting teachers as one of its five major recommendations, including increasing pay and diversity. But as we have heard today, it is critical to recruit and retain strong teachers. Data shows 40 percent of new teachers leave the class within the first 5 years. That is a crisis.

Mr. Brosnan, reducing attrition by half would virtually eliminate the teacher shortages. What support could the Federal Government

provide, in your opinion, to retain effective teachers?

Mr. Brosnan. Thank you, Congressman. I feel as though some of the things that we have discussed in preparation in preservice to teaching would certainly aid in reducing the attrition rate, but for an immediate fix, I think that States and municipalities need to take the idea of pointed mentorship very seriously. If we rework our preparation programs and put other measures in to place to help the next group of teachers, that doesn't do much for the classrooms that are opening in August. And I think States and municipalities need to take mentorship extremely seriously.

Mr. TRONE. Any other thoughts on that one?

Ms. McManus.

Ms. McManus. Yes, sir. We have a mentor program. All firstand second-year teachers have an assigned teacher. This is a fullrelease person. Title II also supports that funding for these release people to actually help ensure the success of our teachers in the first 2 years on the job. Same with leaders. Principals need that same support. Principal coaches provide support in the first 2 years, and that system of support is critical.

Mr. Trone. Thank you. I completely agree with you. We have a mentorship program at my business from its board of directors

right on down through. I love mentorships.

It was great to work with colleagues in a bipartisan basis to introduce the Teachers Are Leaders Act. This bill will expand professional development in leadership growth opportunities for teachers. I look forward to work with the committee to better support educators in the Higher Education Act reauthorization.

Last thing I had was, Ms. McManus, we have discussed, the committee, the benefits of educating the whole child. This means supporting their social/emotional development, in addition, traditional subjects, math and science. Would students benefit from including social/emotional learning, trauma-informed care, implicit bias

training for educators and actually all staff in schools?

Ms. McManus. Absolutely. Educating a child is not just about content. I said that earlier. It is about knowing their story. It is about knowing the challenges they are facing when they walk in the door every day, and then having our leaders and our teachers equipped to have strategies in their tool belt to be able to support our diverse learners. And so all of those topics, all of those content areas are critical for both teacher prep, leader prep, and for the ongoing support we give our educators.

Mr. TRONE. Secretary DeVos has rolled back several initiatives that helps teachers better support the kids, including the Obamaera discipline guidance. What has the Trump administration done,

if anything, to promote those practices?

Ms. McManus. Me? Mr. Trone. Yep.

Ms. McManus. I am not sure exactly, but what I will say is that as we approach discipline in our systems, there is a major disparity in disciplines for students of color and other students. Suspension rates, if you look at the data, are higher, especially if you look at students with exceptionalities that are students of color, even higher. And so we have got to not have one-size-fits-all approaches to disciplining students. We have got to make sure we are meeting students where they are, and having students out of school is not going to equal better outcomes for students.

So I will tell you, I have seen and I always want to ask the question, if you have seen one student that came in with some challenging behaviors turn around because of the adult interventions, how many will it take for you to believe that is the most critical

part of shaping students?

Mr. TRONE. Thank you. That is excellent.

I vield back.

Mrs. DAVIS. Thank you very much.

Mr. Cline.

Mr. CLINE. Thank you, Madam Chair and the Ranking Member, for holding the hearing, and thank our witnesses for being here.

I want to follow on Ms. McManus' comments about when it came to disciplining students, that one size does not fit all. In fact, that applies to a whole host of educational programs and ideas. The value of federalism in our education institution, when it comes to education, is critical. And my home State of Virginia is rich with education institutions both in higher ed and K-12.

During my time in the State general assembly, I authored legislation that was signed into law which gave teachers in K-12 more resources to help identify if a student may be dyslexic so that a referral for further evaluation could be made. This legislation was able to make a transformational difference in many students' lives by allowing them to get the assistance they need to flourish in

their education.

Teachers want to help, but each student is different and presents their individual needs in different ways. So it is important that teachers have the resources and preparation to be able to identify them and help their students. The Virginia General Assembly does a great job of supporting teachers so they can be effective, and they are able to do this because they know the specific needs of the State and the localities.

Federal Government needs to be cautious that it does not impede on the work that States are doing to support education by pushing one-size-fits-all solutions when, in fact, this type of policy often

does more harm than good.

I also want to take a moment to thank Dr. Daire, who is dean of a great Virginia University, VCU, for being here today as a witness. VCU prepares many future educators and maintains high national rankings for their education programs.

With that Madam Chair, I yield back.

Mrs. DAVIS. Thank you.

Mr. Castro.

Mr. CASTRO. Thank you, Chairwoman. And thank you for your testimony today.

I am a very big supporter of public schools. I went to public school every year, except for 5th grade when I was sent to Catholic school for a year. My dad was a public schoolteacher for at least 26 of the 31 years that he taught. He taught in the Edgewood Independent School District for all those years. And I had many great teachers that helped me believe in myself, but I also remember teachers that, quite honestly, I don't think should have been teach-

ing.

Let me give you a specific example. I went to middle school across the street from, at the time, what was at the time the largest housing project in the city of San Antonio, and I went to a multilingual school. And there was a teacher I remember that I had for German who on Halloween dressed up with a Nazi insignia on his lapel. There was a teacher that my brother had, who 2 or 3 days out of the week, would show movies—instead of doing instruction, would show movies the whole time to the students. There was a teacher that I had in high school that joked about wetbacks in a school that was probably 95 percent Mexican American.

So my question to you is, for those of us that are supporters of public education, that want public education to be robust for many years to come, how do we make sure that teachers like that are ei-

ther significantly improved or weeded out?

Mr. Daire. So I think one recommendation that I believe has come from all of us on the panel is that we do need to get candidates, we need to get our students studying to be teachers in the classrooms earlier, and I think we need to get them in urban and high-needs classrooms earlier. I think we need to have intensive training, as you mentioned, Congressman Trone, in terms of implicit bias, understanding racism, understanding culture, understanding poverty/privilege, so that those individuals who really don't have the dispositions to work with those students can be identified earlier and maybe redirected to another career.

And then for those who are staying or are receiving the type of training that will go along with what they are receiving in terms of content and pedagogy to be successful with all students, particularly students living in poverty and particularly underrepresented minority students, which is the concentration of where the challenges are happening.

Mr. Castro. Anyone else?

Mr. White. I would just add that, on the other side of that and maybe less inspiring, but nevertheless, due process needs to exist for any employee in our industry and, at the same time, due process need not be delayed. And there are circumstances across our country where justice is delayed because there are attitudes and occasionally actions that are fundamentally inappropriate, occasionally unlawful, and yet people are still on the rosters of public school systems on the payrolls, because State legislatures, school systems, and school boards, and occasionally labor unions, have not come together to address the issue candidly and expeditiously.

Ms. McManus. We also can't shortchange the role of the principal in this. A culture that is created with a leader that also had culturally responses, education, and implicit bias and really believes in students, those types of behaviors would be addressed because they are in their classrooms regularly. They are able to cre-

ate a culture that would not be allowed.

Mr. Castro. Thank you.

Of course, I graduated from high school in 1992, so I haven't been, you know, in a secondary school since then, and I certainly hope that things have changed since then. But that is why I asked you all the question as experts who are current in the field.

So thank you all for being here.

Mrs. Davis. Thank you.

Ms. Omar.

Ms. OMAR. Thank you, Chairwoman.

I was raised by many educators, and I feel a little alarmed about how, across the Nation, educator pay continues to erode, expanding the large gap between what teachers earn and what similar educated experienced professionals in other fields earn.

School employees from coast to coast are fed up with living paycheck to paycheck, working two to three jobs to pay the bills, and struggling with work anxiety, sleep depravity, and being burned out. More than half, 63 percent of public school districts still offer a salary starting below 40 percent. Nearly 300 districts pay first-year teachers less than \$30,000 a year.

So, Mr. Brosnan, do you agree that we must increase teachers' pay salaries to a greater incentive to the path to becoming a teach-

er?

Mr. Brosnan. Thank you, Congresswoman. I am certainly not going to say no as a practicing educator. I think my reason for saying that I agree with you is sort of twofold. I really appreciate every Member of this committee and the respect that they have for the multiple degrees that teachers do earn, whether it is required or not. I think the realistic part is that teachers do need to have a second job if they are going to live in certain areas in this country and earn the salaries that they are being paid.

For 15 years, I worked after school and on Saturdays. That is time that I could have spent looking at my own student data, plan-

ning more robust lessons.

Now, it is one example, and I would like to think I planned some good lessons as well, but I think it really—I think the salary conversation highlights that we want teachers to be spending more time focused on their students. And if they are living in an area where the cost of living exceeds their salary and they are forced to secure a second or sometimes third job, that is time that is, at least intellectual and thought time, that they are not spending on the students they are going to see the following morning.

Ms. OMAR. Did you—

Ms. McManus. I agree. I am sorry. All the nodding is because I agree with everyone and your statements. I am one of eight siblings and five of us are educators, and they tell me I am the one that went to the dark side of school leadership and they all stayed teachers. But I will tell you that, yes—and my father also being a 40-year educator. They all have had to do other things to be able to make ends meet if they—especially in a single-income household, and that is just—that is not right.

And, yes, benefits are an attractive part of profession, but we need a salary that attracts people and then keeps them in our pro-

fession, and currently that does not exist.

Ms. OMAR. And so maybe you can help me explore what are some incentives that we can provide for people to enter the profession. We know that there are many factors that prevent people, especially people of color, from becoming teachers. I had one constituent who is a teacher tell me, no student of mine who lives in poverty chooses to enter a profession that would keep them in poverty. They operate based on logic, and choosing the teacher profession, no matter how important and valiant, is also—is almost not logical.

And so how can we make this a logical choice for members of our community?

Yeah.

Mr. Brosnan. Our Congresswoman Hayes mentioned it earlier this morning that it is the only career where there is a 12-year interview, where the student is seeing what the teacher is doing for 12 years and deciding whether or not it is the career that they want. I think particularly for our students of color who are in predominantly urban environments, those schools need to reflect the fact that this is a wonderful profession and it is a profession that requires excellent people in it.

I think if we take a look at our urban education and our urban schools with our attrition rate of teachers and our attrition rate of principals and leaders as well, that has to be a focus for this country if we are serious about bringing in more teachers of color. We need to show them that the school is functional and that it is a

good experience.

Ms. OMAR. You probably heard it all day, you know, every single Member, I think, on committee has been impacted by a wonderful teacher, if they themselves do not have educators in their own families. We all positively talk about them, and I think it pains me, and I am sure it pains everyone on this committee, that we have teachers living with poverty. And so I hope we find a solution trying to elevate their lives as much as they have validated our lives.

Thank you.

Mrs. DAVIS. Thank you very much.

Ms. Schrier. Dr. Schrier.

Ms. Schrier. Thank you for all of your testimonies. My mom was a public schoolteacher, and so I grew up in that world and know how much time she spent after school planning for the next day and also know that, while the benefits were great, it would have been nice to feel rewarded appropriately by a better salary. So thank you.

A lot of the discussion today has really focused on kindergarten and up education. I am a pediatrician, so I look at the little ones and know when the real brain growth happens. And so early childhood education programs like school district provided pre-K, Head Start, child care, coop education are required to have educators that have certifications, associates, bachelor's degrees in field-specific areas like early childhood development. But—and this is really for Dr. Daire and for Mr. White.

We know that children birth through K, even birth through 8 learn in different ways than older children, and oftentimes the early childhood professionals are receiving training that is really better intended for older kiddos. And both of you mentioned focusing on early childhood education professional development. I wondered if you could talk about some effective initiatives that you believe other States and higher education institutions should consider adopting and Federal supports that might help that adoption.

Mr. White. Thank you. I would say two things first. One, child care, Head Start, public pre-K, and to the degree that it is funded publicly, private pre-Ks, do need to begin working on a common definition of excellence in the early childhood setting, and I am not just speaking for 4-year-olds; infants and toddlers. And so in our State, working with the University of Virginia, we have developed a system, we use the class instrument that uses a common definition of what a great care environment looks like for an infant or for a toddler or a 3-year-old. So there does need to be a common definition of what is good.

And then secondly, we cannot accept the huge disparity in qualifications between child care and our public pre-Ks. Child cares care for the students, in a way, with the most complicated needs, our youngest children, infants. I know. I have got one, and they are complicated. And they need to be professionals. But in our State, up until 5 years ago, you only had to be 18 years of age. You didn't even have to have a high school diploma to teach a federally funded child care program. I don't know that is something that the Federal Government should get involved in. I do know that fragmentation of Federal funding streams hurts States' ability to create unified early childhood systems, which leads to the kind of backroom corners of our system like any system that doesn't even require a high school degree. Every State should change that.

Ms. Schrier. Thank you. I appreciate that. And I also appreciate your calling attention to that notion of different standards of excellence, because as I think about schools even in my area, some really focus on academics, where really play based, in my pediatrician opinion, play based and social/emotional experience is what you really need to get a good start in kindergarten.

Did you want to comment, Dr. Daire?

Mr. DAIRE. I just wanted to add that we are looking at that in Virginia also with some folks from UVA, and we do have a commis-

sion that is exploring that at the State level.

One of the things that we are doing at VCU, you know, particularly as an urban-serving public research university, we have a child development center within our school of education. And one of the ways that we are looking to expand and to hopefully develop a model to where we can collaborate with the community, collaborate with the school system, and to be able to provide this high-quality, early childhood development, which has a training component for our students and be able to have children from some of our urban high-needs communities so that we can work together.

And I think you will find that many universities across the country have child development centers, some are affiliated with their colleges and schools of education. I think there is some rich oppor-

tunities there for collaboration.

Ms. Schrier. Fantastic. Thank you.

I had another question probably also for you two, although anybody is welcome to opine. We talked about the importance of having effective teachers in the classroom, and research from the Wallace Foundation shows that the most important in ensuring that a school has great teachers who actually stay teachers in the classroom is effective leadership and principals, and you were talking about principals a moment ago.

Despite this demonstrated importance, there is limited opportunities to provide aspiring principals with Federal support. Are there any programs or opportunities that could be expanded to in-

clude aspiring principals or principals already in place?

Mr. White. Well, I will just say briefly I think that the best way to do that is to not have separate systems of teacher development and leader development. Effectively, they should be part of one continuum, and Title II both in the higher education environment or in the K-12 environment could support both.

Ms. Schrier. Thank you. Any other comments? No.

Thank you.

Mr. DAIRE. I was going to say the same thing. So I think within TQP, I think there is an opportunity there to really support principal residency programs.

Ms. Schrier. Fantastic. Thank you all very much. Appreciate it.

Mrs. DAVIS. Thank you very much.

And I think we have basically come to almost closing the hearing, but I wanted to also ask a question. I am going to give myself five minutes, and then we will sum up. And thank you very much for being here and for all of your time and expertise.

You know, what we know—and we are obviously here wanting to understand the Federal role. And to one degree or another, sometimes, you know, people question whether there should be a Federal role, that the local and State jurisdictions have so much more investment on many levels and certainly in terms of knowing best the schools, the communities, et cetera. But I did want to talk about Title II and ask you to help us out with that at this point again.

You have spoken to many, many different issues, and we are greatly appreciative of that, but could you—and I will start with Dr. Daire—help us to sort of flush out what is it, as we reauthorize, that you would really like Title II to represent? It is sort of the Federal breather, in many ways, because that is the one place that the focus on teacher preparation and certainly on school leadership exists.

So what should that look like, and specifically in terms of the reporting requirements, what kind of data should be collected? What do you think is important that we can then build on and know that we have arrived, partly arrived, or dismally deficient? What would you like to see, Dr. Daire, and we will ask everybody? And you can

opine on should there be a strong Federal role or not.

Mr. DAIRE. Sorry. I do think there needs to be a strong Federal role. Almost all States are having a teacher shortage. We can look at the data in terms of how the U.S. is performing compared to other countries on various educational matrices, and we know that we are not succeeding in educating all students. We have to do a better job of educating students at the bottom 50 percent socioeconomic level, poor students in urban and rural areas, and under-

represented minority students.

So I do think that the Federal Government really does need to take a role, particularly even when we look at STEM and the need for more folks in STEM professions to replace individuals who are in national security. So I think it is a critically important decision. I think we need to expand TQP. I think we need to bring it back to the level of funding when it was \$300 million, and I think it is going to be important to make sure that we have good data reporting on job placement, retention, and student growth on programs that supported by TQP so that we can have those measurable outcomes to support the program.

Mrs. Davis. Thank you.

And, Mr. White, I know you expressed earlier that you didn't think Title II was as effective or as it can be. What would you change?

Mr. White. I would do two things. First, I would make the funding an attempt to catalyze change at a systems level rather than simply at a program or institutional level. Right now, it goes to fund individual partnerships. It doesn't look at a whole system. States and the higher ed and K-12 environment manage the whole system. States should play a role in the formulation of that plan.

And secondly, the data required are both cumbersome but also just obscure. The number of IHEs for every K-12 student, for example, not a data point that drives any change. You should be demanding simple, outcomes-oriented results of comprehensive improvement plans, and right now, Title II does not do either thing.

Mrs. Davis. Thank you.

Ms. McManus?

Ms. McManus. I definitely think Federal Government should play a role at the policy level, but that the voice of local teachers and leaders has got to stay at the forefront of those decisions. Title II has been instrumental in our district in supporting teacher development, in supporting leader development, and we have ex-

plained that it is a continuum. It is both at the preservice level and

on the job.

I think, when it comes to professional development, which is what Title II funds so greatly, you have to actually first see the implementation. Implementation after you have learned something new takes time and takes support. So the roles that are in our schools to provide that regular support to make sure that those newly learned skills actually come to fruition in the classroom, all of that takes funding, and then you can measure what were the outcomes of that on student outcomes.

So I think we definitely have to hold folks accountable to these dollars, but I also think we need to allow local decision makers to have input, but also have some type of continuity across States.

Mrs. DAVIS. Thank you.

Mr. Brosnan.

Mr. Brosnan. Thank you. I would agree with my colleague, Ms. McManus, that I think any funding from the Federal Government in the role of the Federal Government, especially in terms of Title II, it opens the door for locals to consider the type of timely and important professional development that their teachers need.

Mrs. DAVIS. Thank you.

Thank you very much. Actually, it was good to hear that there are ways to improve it, not to get rid of it, if I am hearing every-

body correctly. Thank you very much.

I wanted to move now quickly to a little bit of boilerplate here, and also, I want to remind my colleagues that pursuant to committee practice, materials for submission for the hearing record must be submitted to the Committee Clerk within fourteen days following the last day of the hearing, preferably in Microsoft Word format. The materials submitted must address the subject matter of the hearing and only a Member of the committee or an invited witness may submit materials for inclusion in the hearing record. Documents are limited to 50 pages each. Documents longer than 50 pages will be incorporated into the record by an internet link that you must provide to the Committee Clerk within the required time frame. Please recognize that years from now, that link may no longer work.

I wanted to submit, with unanimous consent, the RAND report on principal pipelines, for the record, which I believe is a very important study that Ms. McManus particularly spoke to.

[The information follows:]

Principal Pipelines: https://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/pubs/ research—reports/RR2600/RR2666/RAND—RR2666.pdf

Mrs. DAVIS. I certainly want to thank all of our witnesses for their participation. We know how invaluable your comments have been today, the work that you have done, the experience that you have had over the years and how you embody that to present to

The hearing record will be held open for four days in order to receive any of your responses. If you would like to elaborate on anything that you said today, particularly the role of the Federal Government in Title II which helps us with the reauthorization, we

would certainly be very supportive of that.

And I want to remind my colleagues that pursuant to committee practice, witness questions for the hearing record must be submitted to the Majority Committee Staff or Committee Clerk within seven days. The questions submitted must address the subject matter of the hearing.

And with that, I want to recognize the distinguished Ranking

Member Allen for his closing statement.

Mr. ALLEN. Well, realizing that you have been here almost a little over 3 hours, thank you. Appreciate you taking this time to come and share with us. Thank you so much for what you do for this country and for young people. It is critically important that we

figure out a way to deal with these issues.

One of the things that I was—it is a couple of hearings ago that we put—we put a graph on that board behind you, where the cost of educating young people has doubled since the 1990s, but teachers' salaries have been flat. So something's not right in the way we are doing things out there, so I believe in bottom up. I believe you can fix it. So please, please tell us what you need from us to fix it.

And, you know, going to Title II, you know, if this program is going to continue, we need to ensure that States have the opportunity to pursue a system of improvements process rather than continuing a program that has had very limited impact, and we got to change that.

Thank you so much, and I yield back.

Mrs. DAVIS. Thank you.

And I wanted to just state as well that as we work to reauthorize HEA, we want to be sure that we are educating our students, of course, for success, preparing and retaining the highest quality teacher workforce, possibly. We know that is a team effort. It requires high-quality teacher preparation programs, adequate support from well-prepared school leaders, and Federal support to pay off student loans as well.

I certainly look forward to working with my colleagues to ensure that our educators have the support and the resources necessary to lead successful, fulfilling careers, and empower our students to reach their full potential.

And with that, if there is no further business, the meeting is adjourned. Thank you all very much.

[Additional submissions for the record by Mr. Sablan follow:]



House Education & Labor Subcommittees on Early Childhood, Elementary, and Secondary Education; and Higher Education Workforce Investment

Hearing on "Educating Our Educators: How Federal Policy Can Better Support Teachers and School Leaders"

July 17, 2019

The National Association of Secondary School Principals (NASSP) appreciates the opportunity to submit the following testimony for the record on how federal policy can better support teachers and school leaders. As the premier national organization and voice for principals, assistant principals, and other school leaders, NASSP seeks to transform education through school leadership, recognizing that the fulfillment of each student's potential relies on great leaders in every school committed to the success of each student.

Research from the <u>Wallace Foundation</u> has proven that effective school leadership is second only to direct classroom instruction as a factor in raising student achievement. In addition to administrative duties, principals fulfill an important instructional leadership role. To lead a school effectively, today's principals must create learning conditions that support quality teaching and learning. To do this, principals are in classrooms observing and evaluating instruction; engaging with teachers in lesson planning; and connecting teachers and content area coaches with appropriate professional learning opportunities. This expanded role means principals are significant multipliers of effective teaching and are well positioned to boost student achievement.

Given the unique role that principals play in ensuring that our nation's students have high-quality learning experiences throughout their K–12 education to be college and career ready, school leaders must be afforded the best opportunities for professional learning and support as they work to improve teaching and learning in their schools. Yet all too often, principals and assistant principals enter the profession without having received the foundational instructional leadership skills needed for success, as preparation and ongoing professional development programs have not yet paced with the dynamic and complex role of the principalship.

NASSP is also concerned about the principal shortage facing many schools and the large numbers of principals leaving the schools that need them most. Earlier this year, we partnered with the Learning Policy Institute (LPI) on a yearlong intensive research project to identify the causes and impact of principal turnover nationwide. An <u>issue brief</u> released in March found that the national average tenure of principals in their schools was four years as of 2016–17. Overall, approximately 18 percent of principals were no longer in the same position one year later. And in high-poverty schools, the turnover rate was 21 percent.

Principal turnover can be disruptive to school progress, often resulting in higher teacher turnover and ultimately lower gains in student achievement. Further, the relationship between principal turnover and declines in student outcomes is stronger in high-poverty, low-achieving schools—the schools in which students most rely on education for their future success.

In addition to the costs to students and teachers if good principals leave, schools and districts must devote time and resources to replace outgoing principals. A 2014 report released by the School Leaders Network, a nonprofit developed to build the capacity of principals in large, highneed, urban schools, conservatively estimated the typical cost of replacing a principal to be about \$75,000, but suggested that costs could be considerably higher, especially for underresourced districts experiencing high levels of turnover. Due to these significant financial implications, districts often cover the costs by redirecting funds that had been slated for the classroom.

The research identified several reasons why principals leave their jobs, including educational experience, which has direct implications on this hearing and the committee's work to reauthorize the Higher Education Act. Better-prepared principals, including those who have had internships and/or mentors, are less stressed and stay longer in the profession. This includes well-prepared principals working in high-need schools. These types of professional learning opportunities increase teacher retention and satisfaction and build the capacity of principals to lead across their full range of responsibilities, fostering school environments where adults and students thrive.

States and districts must be directed to put in place more rigorous efforts to recruit and prepare principals to be instructional leaders and improve student academic achievement in high-need schools through research-based programs. In recruiting the next generation of profession-ready school leaders, federal policy should support state and school districts' efforts to ensure that structures are in place for a principal continuum; that prospective principals commit to work in high-need schools in both urban and rural environments; and that prospective principals reflect the increasing racial, ethnic, and economic diversity of our nation's students.

To ensure that new principals are profession-ready, candidates should have an advanced degree and demonstrated record of success as a teacher and teacher leader. Individuals with strong instructional backgrounds make better instructional leaders and are better able to relate to and lead teachers, as well as identify and model effective classroom practices.

Congress should enact policies to ensure that every principal enters a school with the skills and qualities necessary to effectively lead a school. Legislation should support principal preparation programs that require candidates to demonstrate leadership competencies through an assessment prior to entry into a qualified principal preparation and certification program that includes partnerships between districts and local preparation programs. This will help ensure that the preparation programs, including curriculum and residencies, are clearly aligned with

the realities of school leadership and the "critical success factors" of an effective principal. Furthermore, qualified school leader candidates must complete a one-year principal residency program under the guidance of an accomplished school leader. Additionally, upon completion of their preparation program, aspiring principals should demonstrate a deep understanding of the domains of effective school leadership and related competencies through a performance-based assessment before commencing work as school leaders.

LPI has also conducted <u>research</u> on principal preparation programs and identified elements of those programs that are linked to improved school outcomes, including student achievement, principal and teacher effectiveness and retention, and school climate. These components include:

- Close collaboration with school districts and coordination on curriculum, recruitment of promising teacher leaders, and authentic learning opportunities
- Purposeful and targeted recruitment that identifies excellent educators with instructional leadership potential and a commitment to serve in their community
- Opportunities for aspiring principals to learn in cohorts that allow school leaders who
 often feel isolated in their positions to turn to other professionals facing the same
 challenges for support, reflection, and insight
- Networks of professional learning communities in which school leaders learn together on the job
- Problem-based learning opportunities that connect coursework and practice to enrich aspiring principals' skill development
- Internships and ongoing coaching and mentoring by strong and supportive leaders
- Learning opportunities focused on instruction and school improvement, the creation of collaborative school environments, and the use of data and collective inquiry to identify problems and address needs

Another issue on top of the minds of the nation's school leaders is educator diversity, and the NASSP Board of Directors adopted a new position statement on this issue in March 2019. In the 2015–16 school year, only 20 percent of public school teachers and 22 percent of public school principals identified as individuals of color. At the same time, students of color made up 47 percent of public school students nationwide, and the National Center for Education Statistics predicts this population will increase to 56 percent by 2024. Students benefit in many ways from having diverse teachers and leaders who are more likely to challenge racial and ethnic stereotypes, build trusting relationships with family members, encourage student engagement, and have higher expectations for their students—all of which results in higher test scores, participation in advanced courses, graduation rates, and college persistence and retention for students of color. In our position statement, we recommended that Congress increase funding and support for minority-serving institutions and the TEACH grant program to encourage more individuals of color to enter the education profession. We also urge you to ensure that teacher and principal preparation programs include strong clinical training, rigorous requirements and

training for mentors, and ongoing mentoring and support for new educators to increase the retention and effectiveness of minority educators.

NASSP urges the committee to support proposals that would strengthen principal preparation, including those offered in the Aim Higher Act introduced by Chairman Scott (D-VA) in the 115th Congress. Our organization also supports the Preparing and Retaining Education Professionals (PREP) Act (S. 752) introduced this Congress by Sens. Tim Kaine (D-VA) and Susan Collins (R-ME). These bills would support strong partnerships between school districts and institutions of higher education to ensure that educator preparation programs are designed to meet schools' needs and streamline the collection and use of data to improve program quality. The bill would prioritize funding for high-quality teacher and principal residency programs and require education preparation programs to support the development of skills needed to create inclusive learning environments and opportunities for students to meet state academic standards; effectively use technology to support instruction; and implement dual enrollment programs, advanced courses, and career and technical education programs. The PREP Act also would increase support for minority serving institutions and "grow your own" programs to address teacher and leader shortages.

NASSP also supports the Educator Preparation Reform Act, which was introduced by Sen. Jack Reed (D-RI). This bill would require principal residency programs to offer a one-year living stipend or salary to principal residents during their participation in the program. We also feel that these programs should be encouraged to provide a stipend to mentor principals who are helping to enhance their profession while also leading their own schools.

Principal certification and licensure requires a master's degree or higher in most states, which can cost tens of thousands of dollars on top of debt that many educators have already incurred in undergraduate programs. A 2014 policy brief by New America found that combined undergraduate and graduate debt for an individual pursuing a Master of Education was \$50,879—higher than individuals earning an MBA or a Master of Science degree. For this reason, many prospective school leaders pursue less daunting professions with higher salaries and a lower cost of entry. NASSP therefore encourages the committee to expand eligibility for Teach Grants and Loan Forgiveness for Teachers to also include principals and to continue support for Public Service Loan Forgiveness in order to incent ivize school leaders to enter the profession, mitigate principal turnover, and help ensure that great leaders remain in high-need schools where they will have the greatest impact on student success.

Sustained improvement in schools takes no fewer than five years to put in place, and leadership continuity during those five years is absolutely essential. Yet the most recent data indicates that 35 percent of principals don't last through the crucial first three years in a school. That means most high school principals are not in place long enough to see their freshman class graduate. But more importantly, those principals are not in place long enough to see their school improvement efforts all the way through—and efforts are rebooted with the arrival of each new principal. I submit that states and districts are already paying a significant cost for

unfulfilled improvement efforts because of principal turnover. Those resources would be far better spent on the front end to recruit, prepare and support principals so they will stay on the job long enough to see their initiatives through. That leadership continuity is an essential condition for student success. And it is a condition the federal government is uniquely positioned to advance with its next reauthorization of the Higher Education Act.

WHAT MATTERS MOST

The Freedom to Teach

Randi Weingarten, President

Ask any teacher why she entered the profession, and she's likely to talk about inspiring and nurturing students, cultivating their potential and promoting joy in learning. Ask her how she feels about teaching today, and you're likely to get a reaction ranging from exasperation to tears. We are in the midst of a crisis that is destroying the soul of teaching and hollowing out the teaching profession.

Teachers and other school employees are leaving the profession at the highest rate on record—nearly 300.000 each year, two-thirds before retirement age. Enrollment in teacher preparation programs plummeted 38 percent nationally between 2008 and 2015. There were 110,000 fewer teachers than needed in the last school year. All 50 states started the last school year with teacher shortages. And schools serving majorities of students of color and students living in poverty experience the highest teacher turnover rates.

The financial consequences of this constant churn are steep—more than \$2 billion annually, according to a conservative estimate. And losing so many educators' experience and expertise has an enormous negative impact on students' education.

More than 100,000 classrooms across the country have an instructor who is not credentialed. How many operating rooms do you think are saffed by people without the necessary qualifications? Or airplane cockpits? Why are we doing this to our kids?

This is an alarming and growing crisis. It has two major roots: deep disinvestment from public education and the deprofessionalization of teaching. America must confront both.

The teacher uprisings of the last two years have laid bare the frustration over insufficient resources, deplorable facilities, and inadequate pay and benefits for educators. This disinvestment is often a choice—to cut funds for public schools to finance tax cuts for corporations and the super-rich or to siphon funds for privatization. Twenty-five states spend less on public education than they did a decade ago. Public educ

In 38 states, teacher salaries are lower than before the Great Recession. Teachers are paid 24 percent less than other college graduates. The stories are all too common of teachers working two or three additional jobs, and even selling their blood plasma, just to get by. They're getting squeezed in both directions: lower income and higher expenses for things like healthcare and student loans.

Teachers' dissatisfaction stems not just from underfunding and disgraceful school conditions; they are frustrated and demoralized by deprofessionalization that strips them of their freedom to teach. In recent focus groups, teachers across the country spoke about entering teachIt's not rocket science to see that the United States needs to reverse course. Through the AFT Fund Our Future campaign, teachers throughout the country are fighting for adequate investment in public education—from school levies and sufficient state support to full funding of Title I and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

And we are focusing on things we can legislate and negotiate to make teaching a profession marked by trust, respect and the freedom to teach. That starts by focusing on three essential areas:

We are in a crisis that is hollowing out the teaching profession.

ing excited, optimistic and determined to make a difference in their students' lives. And they spoke with equally deep emotion about the stress and disrespect they soon experienced.

It's things like being told to teach according to a set pacing calendar, even if students need more time. It's getting in trouble for allowing students to continue a debate over two days, instead of one. It's being treated as "test preparation managers," as one teacher put it, and "drowning in a sea of paperwork," as another said—data collection, data entry and data reporting. And, too often, top-down control trumps all else. That hurts students, and it demoralizes teachers.

developing a culture of collaboration in schools and districts, creating and maintaining proper teaching and learning conditions, and ensuring teachers have voice and agency befitting their profession. These are pragmatic actions that schools and districts could start work on tomorrow.

If we want our public schools to be all we hope, if we want to attract and retain a new generation of wonderful teachers to educate the nation's children, this cannot be solely a teacher issue or a teacher union issue. We must act, and act together.

More information about the crisis in the teaching profession, and how to address it, is at aft.org/freedomtoteach.



Weingarten speaking about the crisis in teaching at the National Press Club in Washington, D.C., April 18.

FOLLOW RANDI WEINGARTEN: twitter.com/rweingarten

[Questions for the record and their responses follow:]



COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND LABOR

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 2176 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6100

August 2, 2019

Mr. Andrew Daire, Ph.D. Dean, School of Education Virginia Commonwealth University 1015 West Main Street, Box 842020 Richmond, VA 23284

Dear Dr. Daire,

I would like to thank you for testifying at the July 17, 2019, Subcommittee on Early Childhood, Elementary, and Secondary Education and the Subcommittee on Higher Education and Workforce Investment at the Joint Subcommittee hearing entitled "Educating our Educators: How Federal Policy Can Better Support Teachers and School Leaders.

Please find enclosed additional questions submitted by Committee members following the hearing. Please provide a written response no later than Friday, August 9, 2019, for inclusion in the official hearing record. Your responses should be sent to Loredana Valtierra of the Committee staff. She can be contacted at 202-225-3725 should you have any questions.

I appreciate your time and continued contribution to the work of the Committee.

ROBERT C. "BOBBY" SCOTT

Chairman

Enclosure

Subcommittee on Early Childhood, Elementary, and Secondary Education and the Subcommittee on Higher Education and Workforce Investment Joint Subcommittee Hearing "Educating our Educators: How Federal Policy Can Better Support Teachers and School Leaders"

July 17, 2019
10:15 a.m.

Chairman Bobby Scott (D-VA)

- What are challenges that colleges and universities face in preparing pre-service teachers to meet the needs of diverse student populations?
- What types of alternatives would you recommend for measuring the preparation of preservice teachers, as well as to assess teacher effectiveness?
- You mentioned some of the steps Virginia as a state has taken to remedy its teacher shortage- what role do you believe a state educational agency can play in collaborating with its higher education institutions in addressing the shortage?

What are challenges that colleges and universities face in preparing pre-service teachers to meet the needs of diverse student populations?

- <u>Recruitment and retention of underrepresented minority teachers.</u> We need to expand support
 for minority serving institutions and other institutions working hard to increase the number of
 diverse teacher education candidates. One suggestion is the development of federal incentives
 and investments for these institutions to increase recruitment, retention and successful
 program completion for underrepresented minority candidates.
- Financial support and pathways to completion for teacher education students. A significant number of those entering the teaching procession have to take on debt. It is difficult to prepare pre-service teachers if they are dropping out of school because of financial challenges, or if they're choosing not to enter the field at all due to pessimism about the return on their tuition investment in the form of future salaries. VCU has frozen tuition for this year and is focusing on scholarships. We need continued and expanded support from federal and state governments for teaching candidates, along with successful implementation of the TEACH Grant program, Public Service Loan Forgiveness program, and other initiatives to address student debt.
- Recruitment and retention of underrepresented minority faculty. Just as data supports the importance of underrepresented minority teachers in the success of underrepresented minority schoolchildren, the same holds true for college and university faculty with recruitment, retention and success of underrepresented minority teaching candidates. Colleges and schools of education face significant gaps in recruitment and retention of minority faculty. The National Institutes of Health and National Science Foundation have programs to recruit and attract minority scientists into doctoral programs in STEM disciplines. The creation of similar programs and initiatives targeted to teacher education, possibly facilitated through the Institute of Education Sciences (IES), could help increase the pipeline of minority faculty in teacher education. Support for a stronger minority teacher education pipeline would not only diversify the teacher education workforce but would also increase the diversity of the faculty who prepare our educators.
- <u>Development of evidence-based models of what works in preparing educators for hard-to-staff schools.</u> The Teacher Quality Partnership (TQP) program is critical to this goal but is hamstrung by limited appropriations. Originally authorized at \$300 million, TQP is currently funded at just \$43.1 million. Increased appropriations for TQP are needed to prepare teachers to work in hard-to-staff schools and to develop best practices in teacher education. Additionally, to expand the teaching pipeline's numbers and diversity, I suggest expanding TQP to make eligible undergraduate residency programs and modifying the requirement that residents of a TQP program earn a master's degree.
- <u>Increased research on evidence-based culturally responsive approaches.</u> More rigorous research should be supported at the federal level, possibly through IES, to study what works to increase educators' success with and in diverse student populations.

What types of alternatives would you recommend for measuring the preparation of pre-service teachers, as well as to assess teacher effectiveness?

 Institutions of higher education (IHEs) are held accountable at the institutional level, state level, and accreditor level. There is a commitment amongst IHEs to run high-quality programs and we are required to provide the state annual data supporting our commitment to maintain highquality programs. Three measures of quality Congress may wish to consider are (a) job placement, (b) retention, and (c) student growth. However, Congress must pay attention to the administrative burden of data collection, which takes financial resources and time away from

- the education of teaching candidates. It should also be noted that, currently, IHEs must make individual data requests to school districts and divisions, which often are stretched for resources. Federal support for data collection efforts is important.
- In response to a previous question, I mentioned the need for more rigorous research on what
 works. Funding and support for this type of research can identify evidence-based practices for
 effectively measuring the preparation of pre-service teachers and measuring teacher
 effectiveness.

You mentioned some of the steps Virginia as a state has taken to remedy its teacher shortage-what role do you believe a state educational agency can play in collaborating with its higher education institutions in addressing the shortage?

A state educational agency should have a close working relationship with higher education institutions in addressing teacher shortages. I believe that it is the responsibility of public colleges and schools of education to help address shortages through increased and innovative approaches to recruit, retain, and graduate high quality educators; to conduct rigorous research to develop best and evidence-based practices to address teacher shortages; and to communicate evidence-based data and best practices to inform state and federal policy.
 Teacher shortages are a national issue and require support at the federal level through TEACH grants, Public Service Loan Forgiveness, and other supports to assist state agencies and IHEs.

MAJORITY MEMBERS:

ROBERT C. "BOBBY" SCOTT, VIRGINIA,

SUBMA DAVIS CALFORNIA
MERIL GIRBANA ARRONA
JOE COURTEN, COMECTICUT
MERIL GIRBANA ARRONA
JOE COURTEN, COMECTICUT
MERIL GIRBANA ARRONA
JOEN LIBERT MARIANA ISLANDS
MORTHERIN MARIANA ISLANDS
MARIANA COLEDANIA
MARIA CERLANDRIA
MARIA CERLANDRIA
MARIA CERLANDRIA
MARIA CERLANDRIA
MORTHERIN MARIANA
MARIA CERLANDRIA
MARIA CERLANDRIA
MARIA CERLANDRIA
MARIA CERLANDRIA
MARIA CERLANDRIA
MARIANA MARIANA
MARIANA MARIANA
MARIANA MARIANA MARIANA
MARIANA MARIANA MARIANA
MARIANA MARIANA MARIANA
MARIANA MARIANA
MARIANA MARIANA
MARIANA MARIANA
MARIANA MARIANA
MARIANA MARIANA
MARIANA MARIANA
MARIANA MARIANA
MARIANA MARIANA
MARIANA MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARIANA
MARI



COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND LABOR

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 2176 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6100

August 2, 2019

MINORITI MEMBERO

/IRGINIA FOXX, NORTH CAROLINA,

GLENN THOMPSON, PENNYSLAVAN
TAWARDERO, MICHAEL
THE WARDERO, MICHAEL
BRACKET PENNEL, ALBAMA
GLENN GROTHANN WISCONSIN
ELISE MI STEPMAN, NEW YORK
LUCYO K, SMUCHEP, PINNSYLVANA
JAM BANKS, RICHAE
JAME SCORRE, RESPLICATION
BEN CLERE WIRCHES
BEN CLERE WIRCHES
BEN CLERE WIRCHES
STEVEN C WATERIS, JR. KANESA
WINGHOTT ELISE
WINGHOST
STEVEN CHARLES
STEVEN C WATERIS, JR. KANESA
STEVEN C WATERIS, JR. VANION
WILLIAM R TIMMONE N SOUTH CARBOTT
WATERIAM SOUTH
WATER

Mr. Michael Brosnan Teacher and Early Leadership Institute Coach Bridgeport Public Schools 98 Oronoque Road Milford, CT 06461

Dear Mr. Brosnan,

I would like to thank you for testifying at the July 17, 2019, Subcommittee on Early Childhood, Elementary, and Secondary Education and the Subcommittee on Higher Education and Workforce Investment at the Joint Subcommittee hearing entitled "Educating our Educators: How Federal Policy Can Better Support Teachers and School Leaders."

Please find enclosed additional questions submitted by Committee members following the hearing. Please provide a written response no later than Friday, August 9, 2019, for inclusion in the official hearing record. Your responses should be sent to Loredana Valtierra of the Committee staff. She can be contacted at 202-225-3725 should you have any questions.

I appreciate your time and continued contribution to the work of the Committee.

Sincerely,

ROBERT C. "BOBBY" SCOTT

Chairman

Enclosure

Subcommittee on Early Childhood, Elementary, and Secondary Education and the Subcommittee on Higher Education and Workforce Investment Joint Subcommittee Hearing "Educating our Educators: How Federal Policy Can Better Support Teachers and School Leaders"

July 17, 2019

10:15 a.m.

Chairman Bobby Scott (D-VA)

- What effects have you witnessed on student success when there are not enough certified teachers available to teach a class/subject area?
- What differences have you observed between teachers who have had extended and quality residency experiences as well as consistent and robust mentorship and those who have not?
- Do you observe general education teachers who are also prepared to provide equitable and rigorous instruction to students with disabilities?

 What effects have you witnessed on student success when there are not enough certified teachers available to teach a class/subject area?

This is a critical problem that districts attempt to solve in a variety of ways. The first and most obvious solution, at the secondary level, is to cancel the classes and find some other course to place students in. For example, when the school that I worked at lost its French teacher to higher-paying district, we simply didn't offer French any longer. This occurs more frequently than folks would realize. In the city I work in, only two of the four high schools offer Physics. Another potential solution that districts, including my own, have used is to have teachers certified in other areas, pick up one class in a subject for which they are not certified. In most cases they do this by giving the certified teacher the extra class as their "duty" in lieu of hall or cafeteria supervision, for example. For a brief period, I taught five classes of history and one of Spanish. At the elementary level, the solution can be equally as damaging – split grade level classes, increased class sizes, and in extreme cases, closing schools.

The district I work in has utilized long term substitutes for such vacancies as well. While some of these folks are wonderful, they lack teacher training and in most cases are completely unprepared to instruct students with varied learning styles or who have learning disabilities.

Many states also offer alternative route or temporary permits to folks who have some degree of schooling in a subject area with the promise that they will eventually secure the appropriate degrees for full certification. There are also the other alternate route staffing programs such as Teach for America, that utilize the aforementioned permits and continued degrees.

The bottom line is that when there is not a fully trained certified teacher, students are not provided the best education option possible. Student achievement suffers and, in some cases can be extremely detrimental to their continued growth. Because the highest number of vacancies exist in Mathematics and the Sciences, students may progress through middle and part of high school never having had a trained and certified Mathematics teacher. It is likely, in that case, that students will underperform in Mathematics. I have seen this occur with many students at the school I worked at – so frequently that when they finally got a trained and certified Math teacher in their junior year of high school, my colleague was forced to remediate back to freshman math in order to achieve grade level performance.

2. What differences have you observed between teachers who have had extended and quality residency experiences as well as consistent and robust mentorship and those who have not?

Residency programs and mentorship are key to shaping successful educators. If there is one particular area to focus, it would be this. In the many conversations I've had surrounding this year-long residency, I frequently use the anecdote that one wouldn't really want to have an electrician who had not yet been an apprentice re-wire their home without supervision! Or

perhaps a medical school student operate prior to residency – that would be illogical. But why that logic for trades and other professions seems like common sense, we stop just short of carrying it into education.

I believe a full year residency should become standard practice for teacher preparation. I was enthused to learn from my fellow panelist, Mr. White, that Louisiana has piloted and now implemented this process with its education preparation programs. I think especially now that many states are implementing the edTPA portfolio requirement for licensure, which is time consuming to complete, the plan from Louisiana should become the standard. A year-long residency could offer the teacher candidate an authentic experience of what teaching truly is, as opposed to the standard 12 week placement most universities utilize. The goal of extended residency is to ensure that the candidate is indeed classroom ready when they are contracted with a district.

In addition to extended residency, mentorship upon hire is critical for several reasons. Many new hires that I work with did not complete their student teaching in an urban setting, so therefore, having a trusted veteran colleague to speak about cultural responsiveness is key to their success. Connecticut does have a nationally recognized multi-year induction program that is tied to licensure. It is a well-designed, approachable, professional program designed around self-reflection and goal setting. The only unfortunate part of the program is that those educators holding those temporary permits, mentioned above, are not enrolled – and those may be the folks who require mentors the most! To combat this issue, Bridgeport will be piloting a program securing mentors for all new hires within their building. Despite the fact that no funding has been secured, the hope is that with some degree of success we could advocate for funding in the future. The goal of all mentorship is not only to increase the abilities of the novice teacher, but also to reduce attrition. Several studies have shown promising results, and I can attest first hand. Four years ago, my school had twenty-seven newly hired teachers, of a faculty of eighty-four. My colleague and I decided that we had to do something to attempt to reduce the attrition rate. We implemented a comprehensive peer observation/mentorship program and held one to two professional development sessions per month, based on what we observed. The observations were not evaluative, but rather to identify trends in either classroom management or instruction, that we could develop workshops on. After a full year of implementation, only one novice teacher resigned because she moved out of state.

3. Do you observe general education teachers who are also prepared to provide equitable and rigorous instruction to students with disabilities?

In short, both yes and no. This is not something that comes easily for novice teachers or even veterans, and, while some may be adjusting lessons appropriately, pushing the level of rigor may be challenging. In my experience, I don't believe that educator preparation programs do quite enough in this regard, but also believe that this is something that should be confronted and worked on during extended residency or with robust mentorship. Because every student does learn slightly differently, all teachers are continually improving their skills in this area. With fourteen years in the classroom, I can certainly say that each year a different type of

learner walked through my door. This is one of the things that makes teaching exciting, but I would have been in a tough place if I didn't have mentors or colleagues that I continue to speak with about student achievement.

The belief that all students can learn, despite any intellectual or emotional variance, is essential. That belief is encouraged and nurtured by mentors and colleagues because, like any profession, consultation with other professionals is essential. Unfortunately, many school districts across our nation are far too underfunded to allow for this professional time during the school day; however, some have made arrangements for extended days, professional learning communities, data teams, common planning time or teacher choice for professional development. While these are certainly helpful steps, we are far from a systemic approach to support professional collaboration.

Respectfully Submitted by: Michael Brosnan

[Whereupon, at 1:05 p.m., the subcommittees adjourned.]

 \bigcirc