FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

REPRINTED

FROM THE 2007 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CONGRESSIONAL-EXECUTIVE COMMISSION ON CHINA

ONE HUNDRED TENTH CONGRESS

FIRST SESSION

OCTOBER 10, 2007

Printed for the use of the Congressional-Executive Commission on China



Available via the World Wide Web: http://www.cecc.gov

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

40-480 PDF

WASHINGTON : 2008

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office Internet: bookstore.gpo.gov Phone: toll free (866) 512–1800; DC area (202) 512–1800 Fax: (202) 512–2104 Mail: Stop IDCC, Washington, DC 20402–0001

CONGRESSIONAL-EXECUTIVE COMMISSION ON CHINA

LEGISLATIVE BRANCH COMMISSIONERS

Senate

House

House SANDER LEVIN, Michigan, Chairman MARCY KAPTUR, Ohio MICHAEL M. HONDA, California TOM UDALL, New Mexico TIMOTHY J. WALZ, Minnesota DONALD A. MANZULLO, Illinois JOSEPH R. PITTS, Pennsylvania EDWARD R. ROYCE, California CHRISTOPHER H. SMITH, New Jersey

Senate BYRON DORGAN, North Dakota, Co-Chairman MAX BAUCUS, Montana CARL LEVIN, Michigan DIANNE FEINSTEIN, California SHERROD BROWN, Ohio SAM BROWNBACK, Kansas CHUCK HAGEL, Nebraska GORDON H. SMITH, Oregon MEL MARTINEZ, Florida

EXECUTIVE BRANCH COMMISSIONERS

PAULA DOBRIANSKY, Department of State CHRISTOPHER R. HILL, Department of State HOWARD M. RADZELY, Department of Labor

DOUGLAS GROB, Staff Director MURRAY SCOT TANNER, Deputy Staff Director

(II)

FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

INTRODUCTION

The Commission's previous recommendations addressed three areas where China's citizens do not enjoy the right to free expression. First, the Commission has noted that restrictions on the free flow of information threaten the well-being of Chinese citizens and, increasingly, citizens around the world. In its 2003 Annual Report, the Commission noted that China's news media restrictions prevented citizens from being fully informed during the 2003 SARS crisis. After China began considering a proposal in 2006 to further limit media coverage during public emergencies, the Commission recommended in its 2006 Annual Report that the President and Congress urge China's leaders to recognize the importance of com-plete transparency in the administration of public health, and the importance of an unimpeded press in providing critical information to the public in a timely manner. Recent international concern over the global health impacts of food, drugs, consumer products, disease outbreaks, and pollution originating from China underscore the importance of the free flow of information.

Over the last five years, public access to government information, at least on paper, has improved, but major obstacles to government transparency remain, reflecting the Communist Party's overarching concern that it maintain control over the flow of information. In 2007, the government passed China's first national "freedom of in-formation" regulation, but it remains subject to a "state secrets" exception that gives the government broad latitude to withhold information. The Party and government continue to maintain tight control over the press, and the prospects for a free press remain dim. While foreign reporters in theory were granted some increased press freedom in accordance with promises China made in 2001 as part of its successful bid to host the 2008 Summer Olympic Games, China continues to use upcoming important events such as the Party's 17th Congress in October 2007, and corruption among Chinese reporters, as a pretext for increased restrictions on domestic media. The lack of a free press to monitor the government leaves citizens poorly informed about major problems and unable to fully investigate the root causes of such problems and the extent to which the Party or the government should be held accountable.

Second, previous Commission reports highlighted China's pervasive censorship of the Internet and other electronic media. In its Annual Reports from 2002 to 2006, the Commission recommended that the President and Congress urge the Chinese government to stop blocking access to foreign news broadcasts and Web sites, and allow its citizens freer access to information on the Internet, particularly information concerning the rights of Chinese citizens to free speech and a free press. The Commission has also recommended that the President and Congress urge China to cease detaining journalists and writers, many of whom are punished for posting essays critical of the Chinese government on the Internet.

Over the last five years, the Party and government have continued to emphasize management and control over the Internet. They have done so by requiring Web sites to be licensed, blocking access to politically sensitive information on the Internet, and detaining citizens who criticize the government online. In 2007, Hu Jintao called for "purifying" the Internet, saying "the stability of the state" depended on the Party taking full advantage of and successfully controlling the Internet. The Internet poses a daunting challenge for the Party. In 2007, citizen activists used the Internet and cell phones to raise public awareness about cases involving slave labor and the construction of a hazardous chemical plant, driving the reporting agendas of the state-controlled press and forcing the government to address these problems. Their success, however, reflects the creativity of China's citizenry in evading censors and the difficulty in trying to monitor China's growing online environment, rather than any government policy of liberalization. Furthermore, journalists and writers who criticize the government online continue to face imprisonment for such crimes as "inciting subversion."

Third, the Commission's previous reports have noted China's prior restraints on publishing, which prevent citizens from freely expressing ideas and opinions. In its Annual Reports from 2003 to 2006, the Commission recommended that the President and Congress urge the Chinese government to eliminate prior restraints on publishing. Over the last five years, public officials in China have maintained prior restraints on publishing and continue to ban and confiscate books and magazines that do not conform to the Party's political requirements. This past year, publication and propaganda officials stepped up their efforts to clean up the publishing industry in preparation for the Party's 17th Congress to be held in October 2007.

FREE FLOW OF INFORMATION

Improvements and Obstacles to Government Transparency

The Commission notes that over the last five years, the Chinese government has made progress in increasing public access to government sources of information. The Communist Party and State Council have directed all levels of government to increase transparency.¹ In its 2003 Annual Report, the Commission noted that most provinces and major cities had set up detailed government Web sites.² By March 2007, 86 percent of all government agencies had official Web sites.³ Many of the Web sites provide detailed and substantive information.⁴ In addition, by the end of 2006, most central government institutions and all provinces, autonomous regions, centrally administered municipalities, and top-level courts had established public spokesperson systems.⁵

Over the last five years, the government has also sought to improve its ability to respond to public emergencies and make information available to the public more quickly. The government's slow response to the SARS disease outbreak in 2003 and to the Songhua River chemical spill in 2005 led to passage of measures to prevent provincial and local officials from covering up such incidents.⁶ The Regulation on the Handling of Public Health Emergencies, for example, requires provincial governments to report a public health emergency to central officials within one hour and requires central officials, or provincial governments who have received approval from central officials, to release information in a timely manner.⁷ However, as the Commission noted in its 2003 and 2006 Annual Reports, these reforms were not intended to relax the government's control over the media or the free flow of information to the general public.⁸ Rather, the goal was to increase the flow of information to central authorities in Beijing, control how the press reported on the matter, and prevent private citizens from publishing opinions regarding the government's handling of the crisis.

In April 2007, the State Council issued the Regulation on the Public Disclosure of Government Information (Public Disclosure Regulation), the first national "freedom of information" regulation requiring all government agencies to release important information to the public in a timely manner.⁹ The new regulation, which takes effect on May 1, 2008, requires government agencies to timely disclose vital information regarding the government's handling of issues that have been at the forefront of controversy in recent years, such as food, drug, and product safety, public health emergencies, environmental protection, land expropriation, the sale of state-owned property, and population planning.10 The regulation also provides citizens, legal persons, and other organizations with the right to request information from a government agency and to file an administrative lawsuit to appeal an agency's decision not to provide information.¹¹ The State Environmental Protection Administration subsequently issued implementing measures in April mandating public disclosure of information on China's environment.¹² [See Section II—Environment.] The impact of these freedom of information regulations is lim-

ited, however, by the presence of a "state secrets" exception that gives the government broad latitude to withhold information from the public.¹³ This policy reflects the continuing perception by the Party that relinquishing too much control over the flow of information will cause "social instability" and challenge the Party's supremacy. Chinese laws and regulations provide lists of what may be deemed a state secret, but these lists are broad and vague, en-compassing essentially all matters of public concern.¹⁴ For example, information about China's environmental pollution that would reflect negatively on China's foreign affairs work" is considered a state secret.¹⁵ Legal scholars in China have noted that the inclusion of a "state secrets" exception in the Public Disclosure Regulation gives officials too much discretion to withhold information.¹⁶ In addition, the Public Disclosure Regulation's heavy penalties for officials who fail to protect state secrets may encourage even less transparency.¹⁷ Moreover, citizens and journalists have encoun-tered resistance from local officials when requesting information under similar administrative rules already in place in some Chinese cities. In June 2006, a Shanghai journalist sued the Shanghai Municipal Planning Bureau under a similar freedom of information regulation, but lost the case and was fired from his job as a result.¹⁸ Some legal experts in China have also questioned whether

provisions in such regulations, granting citizens the right to request information, would apply to citizens acting in their role as journalists, an interpretation that would severely limit the law's impact.¹⁹

The National People's Congress recently issued the Emergency Response Law, which requires people's governments to publicly disclose accurate and timely information regarding emergencies.²⁰ The law was issued in August 2007 and will take effect on November 1, 2007. The Commission noted in its 2006 Annual Report that a draft of this law contained a provision that would have imposed a heavy fine on domestic or foreign media who reported on a public emergency without government approval.²¹ The Commission noted that the provision would have impeded the efficiency of the Global Public Health Intelligence Network, an electronic surveillance system used by the World Health Organization to monitor the Internet for reports of communicable diseases and communicable disease syndromes. In a positive step, the provision was removed from the final version of the law.²² The law, however, now contains a provision prohibiting the fabrication and spread of "false information." 23 Media who violate this provision may be shut down.²⁴ This provision could have a chilling effect on journalists who worry that the government retains too much discretion to determine whether information is false or not.²⁵ In January 2006, for example, public officials sentenced journalist Li Changqing to three years in prison for violating a Criminal Law provision that prohibits the "intentional dissemination of terrorist information that is knowingly fabricated to disturb public order," even though Li's reporting on a dengue fever outbreak turned out to be materially similar to the government's own accounts.²⁶

Public officials have punished citizens for sharing second-hand information over the Internet or cell phones, threatening the free flow of information and forcing citizens to wait for the government's official version of the "truth" before discussing important public events. Commentators in China have expressed concern over the government's liberal application of Article 25 of the Public Security Administration Punishment Law, which provides for the detention of citizens who spread rumors with the intent to disturb public order.²⁷ [See Section II—Rights of Criminal Suspects and Defendants for more information about this law.] For example, in July 2007, officials in Jinan city, Shandong province, detained a resident for noting in an online discussion that she had heard that citizens had perished in heavy flooding that hit the city.²⁸

The Supreme People's Court (SPC) has continued its campaign to increase public access to court proceedings. As the Commission noted in its 2003 Annual Report, the SPC has taken steps to improve the quality and availability of judicial decisions.²⁹ In June 2007, the SPC issued several opinions calling on courts to provide public access to all stages of the trial process,³⁰ and to make more judgments available in publications and over the Internet.³¹ The opinions, however, contain the "state secrets" exception, which courts have commonly used to conduct politically charged trials behind closed doors.³² [See Section II—Rights of Criminal Suspects and Defendants for more information about these opinions.] In addition, court officials concerned about media threats to judicial independence have sought to limit media reporting of court activities. In September 2006, top officials at the SPC announced a policy prohibiting news media from interviewing judges or court officials without government permission and directing the media not to issue commentary on pending court cases.³³

NO FREE PRESS

China's restrictions on the press violate the right to freedom of expression as provided for under international human rights standards and China's Constitution. Both the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights³⁴ (ICCPR) and the Universal Declaration on Human Rights³⁵ (UDHR) guarantee the freedom to seek, receive, and impart information, through any media, regardless of frontiers. Article 35 of China's Constitution provides China's citizens freedom of speech and the press.³⁶ While this freedom is not absolute, the ICCPR and UDHR provide that restrictions may be imposed only to protect the following interests: national security or public order, public health or morals, or the rights or reputations of others. Furthermore, the restriction must be prescribed by law and must not exceed the scope necessary to protect a compelling interest.37 China restricts the press for political and ideological reasons. Restrictions such as directives from propaganda officials are not prescribed by law because they are issued by a Communist Party entity, rather than one of the parties authorized to pass legislation under China's Legislation Law.

Party and Government Control Over Media

China's media could play an important role in helping inform the public about important events but, as noted above, recent laws and regulations dealing with government disclosure and public emergencies limit this potential. A more fundamental limitation, however, is the Party's continued control over all media in China, either directly or through its control over the government agencies that regulate China's media. The Party exercises direct control over the media through the Central Propaganda Department (CPD). The CPD issues directives informing publishers and editors what stories can and cannot be covered. It works together with lowerlevel propaganda departments to deliver these directives to all media and to appoint media managers to monitor each publication.38 The CPD also requires editors and publishers to attend indoctrination sessions. In addition, government agencies heavily regulate the media. News publishers must be licensed by the General Administration of Press and Publication (GAPP) and have a government sponsor.³⁹ GAPP requires all journalists to be licensed.⁴⁰ The State Administration of Radio, Film, and Television (SARFT) controls the content of radio, television, satellite, and Internet broadcasts.

Major media, such as the People's Daily and Xinhua, remain closely affiliated with a Party or government entity.⁴¹ Central Party and government officials use journalists to gather information so that they can monitor provincial and local officials, under a policy called "public opinion supervision."⁴² Stories they deem too critical or politically sensitive to be published in the media are instead forwarded as intelligence reports to relevant officials through classified channels.⁴³ Commercialization of the industry in the 1990s and the "public opinion supervision" policy has led to the development of media with a reputation for more hard-hitting journalism, including Southern Metropolitan Daily and Caijing.⁴⁴ Yet, even these more independent media remain subject to control by propaganda officials and have been singled out for punishment in the past.⁴⁵

Roles the Media Is Expected to Play

The media in China is expected to act as the Party's mouthpiece.⁴⁶ Just before becoming President and Party General Secretary, Hu Jintao, in 2002, reiterated this longstanding policy, which has remained firmly in place during Hu's first five years in power.⁴⁷ For example, the Party's Central Committee issued a resolution at the end of its sixth plenum meeting in October 2006, calling on the news media to promote Hu's "harmonious society" policy.⁴⁸ To create a "positive public opinion atmosphere" for the Party's 17th Congress in October 2007, propaganda officials issued guidelines restricting media coverage of 20 topics, including the 50th anniversary of the anti-Rightist campaign, judicial corruption, and campaigns by legal rights defenders.⁴⁹ SARFT ordered television stations to air only "ethically inspired TV series" during prime time in the months leading up to the Party Congress.⁵⁰

The Party also expects the media to paint central Party and government officials in a positive light. While media may report critically on the activities of provincial and local officials, their criticisms must remain at that level and may not threaten Party supremacy. The media must emphasize efforts by central Party and government officials to remedy the situation. For example, after news media and Internet activists exposed the widespread use of forced labor in brick kilns in May and June 2007, authorities chided local officials for trying to hide information from the media, but then instructed journalists to limit their coverage and to applaud the rescue efforts of central Party and government officials.⁵¹

Media that disobey propaganda directives or publish content unacceptable to censors continue to risk being disciplined or censored by the Party. In November 2006, the CPD ordered senior executives at the Beijing-based weekly magazine, Lifeweek, to engage in self-criticism and required its journalists to undergo political training after the magazine violated a Party directive not to highlight politically sensitive events.⁵² Staff at a newspaper in Sichuan province were suspended for inadvertently running an advertisement that included a veiled reference to the Chinese government's June 4, 1989 crackdown on the Tiananmen Square democracy protests.⁵³ In March 2007, Caijing was reportedly ordered to withdraw an issue containing an article about a contentious draft of the Property Law then under consideration.⁵⁴

Consequences of the Lack of a Free Press

Over the last five years, events such as the SARS crisis in 2003 and more recent government scandals show that the Party's control over the press denies citizens critical information at important times. Chinese citizens and citizens around the world cannot effectively monitor the Chinese government because they remain dependent on the willingness of one unsupervised source, the Party, to provide accurate, timely, and unbiased information. Some recent examples include:

• Even after measures implemented following the SARS crisis in 2003 discouraged local officials from hiding information, local officials in the provinces of Jilin and Heilongjiang delayed notifying relevant officials and the general public about a chemical plant explosion in 2005 that released chemicals into the Songhua River, the main water source for the Heilongjiang capital of Harbin.⁵⁵ They imposed a two-week press blackout, and the incident led to panic among citizens and a diplomatic incident with Russia.

• When the top Party official in Shanghai was forced to step down in September 2006 amid allegations that he had mismanaged the city's nine billion yuan (US\$1.2 billion) pension fund,⁵⁶ propaganda officials ordered local media to publish only official news reports from Xinhua.⁵⁷ During this time, Shanghai's municipal government reportedly did not hold a press conference for almost four months.⁵⁸

• In May 2007, international and Hong Kong officials complained that Chinese officials were tight-lipped about a rumored epidemic affecting pigs in a province near Hong Kong, and about contaminated pet food that had reportedly caused large numbers of cats and dogs in the United States to become ill.⁵⁹ China's media had reportedly issued few reports on the incidents.⁶⁰

• In July 2007, the Financial Times reported that officials at the State Environmental Protection Administration and Ministry of Health asked the World Bank to remove from a joint report the figure of 750,000 premature deaths every year in China, caused mainly by air pollution.⁶¹ Officials reportedly said the information was "too sensitive" and could cause "social unrest." ⁶² A foreign ministry official denied the charge that any information had been censored.⁶³

• In July 2007, propaganda officials ordered restrictions on food safety reports after a Beijing reporter issued a false news report alleging that food vendors were filling steamed buns with pieces of cardboard.⁶⁴

Limited Prospects for a Free Press

Central government officials have urged local officials to cooperate more with the media, but this development should not be interpreted as a shift in government policy to allow for a freer press.⁶⁵ For example, in July 2007, a State Council Information Office official criticized local officials for blocking media coverage of the forced labor scandal at brick factories in central China.⁶⁶ This criticism is consistent with the central government's "public opinion supervision" policy of relying on journalists to gather information so that they can monitor provincial and local officials. The central government's support of this policy has, however, given commentators in China justification for calling for broader press freedom, although they have been careful to do so in the context of local initiatives to restrict press freedom and to fashion arguments consistent with "public opinion supervision."⁶⁷ For example, a deputy editor at Southern Weekend argued in an editorial that the purpose of news is not to serve as a propaganda tool, and that the central government's "public opinion supervision" policy is intended for the press to be a check on public power.⁶⁸ The editorial was in response to the Anhui provincial government's issuance in October 2006 of rules requiring journalists to write a minimum number of "positive" stories about Anhui in order to receive a promotion.⁶⁹

The Chinese government also allowed foreign journalists greater freedom in 2007. To fulfill China's commitment to give journalists "complete freedom" to report on China when it bid for the 2008 Summer Olympic Games in 2001,⁷⁰ Premier Wen Jiabao signed into law new regulations in December 2006, which eliminate the requirement that foreign journalists must obtain government per-mission before conducting interviews.⁷¹ The new rules, which went into effect on January 1, 2007 and expire on October 17, 2008,⁷² have had mixed results. The Foreign Correspondents Club of China, an association of Beijing-based foreign journalists, and Human Rights Watch both issued reports noting that while some journalists have said that China's reporting environment has improved, harassment, intimidation, and detention of foreign journalists and the Chinese citizens they interact with remains commonplace.⁷³ Problems have included intimidation of citizens who speak to foreign journalists,⁷⁴ harassment of journalists in politically sen-sitive areas such as the Tibet Autonomous Region,⁷⁵ harassment of citizens who work with foreign journalists,76 and the refusal of local officials to recognize that the new rules extend to non-Olym-pics related coverage.⁷⁷ It remains to be seen whether the rules will be extended beyond the Olympics and what effect they will have on domestic journalists. For a more detailed and updated analysis on the impact of these regulations on freedom of expression in China, see the Commission's Web site at www.cecc.gov.

One obstacle to press freedom in China is that the state's control over the media contributes to corruption in the media. According to David Bandurski, a research associate at the China Media Project at the University of Hong Kong: "Media corruption is facilitated by the quasi-official status of reporters, who are seen by many Chinese as government functionaries with special authority. This combination of power and profit motive is a key ingredient in many extortion attempts." ⁷⁸ In May 2007, the People's Daily reported that a person who had posed as a reporter and top editor at the paper had collected 3.79 million yuan (US\$500,000) in bribes before being caught and sentenced to life in prison.⁷⁹ Problems of journalists asking for bribes in return for not publishing negative news or writing a positive story are reportedly widespread.⁸⁰

news or writing a positive story are reportedly widespread.⁸⁰ This corruption has provided the state with a pretext to restrict China's media even more.⁸¹ In March 2007, for example, the GAPP issued a notice requiring media to take greater measures to purge their local offices of unlicensed journalists after one was beaten to death by the owner of an illegal coal mine who thought the journalist was seeking a bribe.⁸² Later in 2007, a Beijing journalist falsified a report on food vendors filling steamed buns with cardboard. Amid rising international concern over China's food exports, China responded with a crackdown on false news and illegal publications, including "illegal political newspapers and magazines that fabricate political rumors." ⁸³

INTERNET CENSORSHIP

China's Internet Policy

Since the Internet first became popular in the late 1990s, China's policy has emphasized management and control over this medium. In a January 2007 speech to Politburo officials, Communist Party General Secretary Hu Jintao called for "purifying" the Internet environment, saying that "the stability of the state" depended on the Party taking full advantage of and successfully controlling the Internet.⁸⁴ China has controlled the Internet through licensing requirements for Web sites, shutting down and blocking access to Web sites that post political content, and detaining citizens who criticize the government online or post politically sensitive content. Its efforts have been relatively successful. Despite heavy censorship, many citizens consider the Internet in China to be quite free, with unprecedented access to information about sports, entertainment, and business, and in some cases, political content that China fails to block. According to a recent survey, more than 80 percent of Internet users in China are satisfied with the diversity of content.⁸⁵

Far from simply limiting online information that runs counter to the Party's ideology, the Party has sought to use the Internet to bolster its monopoly on political power and to drive China's economy. According to the World Bank, information and communication technologies have led China's economic ascent, growing two to three times faster than China's overall GDP over the last 10 years.⁸⁶ Internet use has skyrocketed from 59 million users in 2002 to 162 million in June 2007.⁸⁷ According to Tim Wu, an expert on China and a professor at Columbia Law School, "the Chinese government has seen the Internet as an enormous opportunity at igniting public opinion in its favor." 88 During his January 2007 speech to Politburo officials, President Hu emphasized the central role the Internet plays in the Party's efforts to shape public opinion.⁸⁹ China views the Internet as a battleground for public opinion that is currently monopolized by the West,⁹⁰ and has sought to overcome this perceived monopoly by increasing Chinese sources for online information. The fact that it is easy to communicate with large numbers of people over the Internet, and that users rely heavily on the Internet for news and information, make the Internet a powerful platform for promoting the Party's ideology and policies.

Measures To Control the Internet

China's measures to control the Internet do not conform to international standards for freedom of expression. Under the ICCPR and UDHR, such restrictions may be imposed only if they are provided by law and are necessary to protect national security or public order, public health or morals, or the rights or reputations of others.⁹¹ In some cases, China has imposed restrictions to address issues of public concern, such as privacy protection, false advertisements, spam, online pornography, and youth addiction to the Internet.⁹² But public officials in China also prohibit citizens from accessing or posting online content if they find such content to be politically unacceptable without any formal determination of necessity based on ICCPR and UDHR standards.

Licensing System

As noted in the Commission's 2006 Annual Report, the government requires all Web sites in China to be either licensed by, or registered with, the Ministry of Information Industry (MII).⁹³ Web sites that fail to register or obtain a license may be shut down and their operators fined.94 Authorities appear to be shutting down more Web sites in preparation for the 17th Party Congress, many for being unregistered.⁹⁵ Anyone wishing to post or transmit news reports or commentary relating to politics and economics, or military, foreign, and public affairs, must also have a government li-cense.⁹⁶ According to the OpenNet Initiative, "In large measure, the registration regulation is designed to induce Web site owners to forego potentially sensitive or prohibited content, such as polit-ical criticism, by linking their identities to that content. The regu-lation operates through a chilling effect."⁹⁷ China continues to draft regulations to bring new forms of online media into the reg-istration system. In April 2007, for example, Xinhua reported that the General Administration of Press and Publication (GAPP) had drafted the Regulation on the Supervision of Internet Publishing, which would require online magazines to be examined and approved by GAPP prior to publication.98

Monitoring, Blocking Access, and Filtering Content

China has continued to block access to foreign Web sites, which it is able to do because it controls access at the gateway connection between China and the global Internet.⁹⁹ Over the past five years, the Commission has noted that at various times China has blocked the Web sites of AltaVista, Google, and foreign news providers such as the Voice of America, Radio Free Asia, and the BBC, and human rights advocacy groups such as Human Rights Watch, Human Rights in China, Reporters Without Borders, and the Committee to Protect Journalists. The Commission has noted in its recommendations on the Internet that China's censorship system prevents its citizens from accessing information about their rights and China's violations of them. Since May 2005, the Chinese government has prevented its citizens from accessing the Commission's Web site. In June 2007, China reportedly unblocked access to the English Wikipedia Web site after it had been blocked for most of the last 18 months, but the version of Wikipedia designed for Chinese users remained blocked. Bloggers reported that certain pages on the English site remained blocked as well, such as those relating to Tibet or Tiananmen Square.¹⁰⁰ In July, Yahoo!'s photo sharing Web site, Flickr, reported that China had blocked its site, after ruling out the possibility of a technical problem ¹⁰¹ ing out the possibility of a technical problem.¹⁰¹

China employs a large number of public security officials to monitor the Internet and is improving its monitoring capabilities as Internet usage grows. In April 2007, Xinhua reported that by the end of June, all major portals and online forums would be monitored by "virtual cops" of the Ministry of Public Security.¹⁰² In May, the MII announced that by October the ministry would complete a database of registered Web sites that would make it easier for law enforcement officials to keep track of the rapidly growing number of Web sites.¹⁰³ Xinhua reported that more than 2,000 Web sites are registered each day.¹⁰⁴

China compels Internet companies to assist in censorship by requiring them to filter search results and to monitor the Internet activities of its customers to ensure that "harmful information" does not come online. Chinese search engines such as Baidu, and the China-based search engines of Yahoo!, MSN, and Google filter search results, including those relating to the Voice of America, Radio Free Asia, and human rights.¹⁰⁵ Providers of Internet access and services must monitor customers' online activity, maintain records of such activity, provide such information to officials as part of a "legal investigation," and remove any "harmful" information.¹⁰⁶ In February 2007, Radio Free Asia reported that Sohu.com, a major Chinese Internet portal, had shut down two of the blogs of Pu Zhiqiang, a prominent lawyer who has promoted citizens' legal rights.¹⁰⁷ Internet cafes, where many Chinese access the Internet, are also required to record the identities of their customers, monitor their online activity, and maintain records of both for not less than 60 days.¹⁰⁸

Internet companies have also repeatedly pledged publicly to support China's censorship policies over the last five years, although they have shown a willingness to resist some proposals. This past year, the Internet Society of China (ISC), a think tank affiliated with the MII, sought to implement a policy requiring all bloggers to register under their real names. Real name systems may be useful for encouraging civil discourse and accountability, but in the context of China's tightly censored Internet it threatens what has become a haven for expression, as bloggers had come to rely on a veneer of anonymity¹⁰⁹ that had emboldened many to publicly express opinions they otherwise would not have. Real name systems that have already been implemented have reportedly led to dramatic drops in participation.¹¹⁰ In May 2007, the ISC decided against making the proposal mandatory following industry resistance.¹¹¹ Instead, major Internet companies such as Sina Corpora-tion, NetEase.com, Inc., TOM Online, Inc., Yahoo! China, which Yahoo! retains a minority stake in but reportedly does not have day-to-day operational control over,¹¹² and MSN's China service, signed a self-discipline pledge in August to encourage Internet users to use their real name when posting blogs or essays online.¹¹³ Yahoo! and MSN, however, both indicated that there were no current plans to require customers to use their real names to register for blogging services.¹¹⁴

Imprisoning Online Critics

Over the last five years, public officials in China have frequently used Article 105 of the Criminal Law to detain citizens for criticizing the government and the Party online, especially on Web sites outside of China.¹¹⁵ Article 105 outlaws "subversion" or "incitement of subversion." The UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention has criticized China's use of such "vague, imprecise, and sweeping" provisions to punish peaceful expression of rights guaranteed in the UDHR and ICCPR.¹¹⁶

Over the past year, public officials in China have punished numerous online critics in the run-up to the 17th Party Congress and the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympic Games.

• In October 2006, a court in Hebei province sentenced Internet essayist Guo Qizhen to four years in prison for inciting subversion in connection with 30 essays he posted on a U.S.based Web site.¹¹⁷

• In October 2006, a court in Shandong province sentenced Internet essayist Li Jianping to two years in prison for inciting subversion in connection with essays he posted on foreign Web sites.¹¹⁸

• In March 2007, a court in Zhejiang province sentenced writer Zhang Jianhong (whose pen name is Li Hong) to six years in prison for inciting subversion by "slandering" the government and China's social system in 60 essays he posted on foreign Web sites.¹¹⁹

In April 2007, a Zhejiang court sentenced painter and writer Yan Zhengxue to three years in prison for inciting subversion by "attacking the Party's leaders" on foreign Web sites.¹²⁰
In August 2007, a Zhejiang court sentenced writer Chen

• In August 2007, a Zhejiang court sentenced writer Chen Shuqing to four years in prison for inciting subversion after he criticized the government online.¹²¹

The above individuals in Zhejiang were reportedly members of the China Democracy Party (CDP) or charged with being a CDP member,¹²² and joined other reported CDP members in Zhejiang who were punished this past year, including Chi Jianwei and Lü Gengsong. Chi was sentenced to three years in prison in March for "using a cult to undermine implementation of the law"¹²³ and Lü was detained in August on charges of inciting subversion.¹²⁴ [See Section III—Civil Society for more information on the CDP.] Authorities also refused to renew the license of Li Jianqiang, the lawyer who represented Chen, Zhang, Yan, and Guo.¹²⁵ Li has represented numerous writers and activists, including freelance writer Yang Tongyan (whose pen name is Yang Tianshui), sentenced in May 2006 to 12 years in prison on "subversion" charges for criticizing the government online and attempting to form a branch of the CDP.¹²⁶

Public officials in China have also used Article 105 to punish citizens who criticize China's human rights record in the context of the 2008 Olympic Games. In August 2007, public security officials in Jiamusi city, Heilongjiang province, arrested Yang Chunlin and charged him with inciting subversion after he organized an open letter titled "We Want Human Rights, Not the Olympics," and gathered more than 10,000 signatures from farmers who had reportedly lost their land.¹²⁷

Additional information on these cases and others is available on the Commission's Political Prisoner Database [See Section I—Political Prisoner Database].

Both the UDHR and ICCPR allow for restrictions on free speech only to the extent necessary to protect national security. Available opinions from these cases, however, provide no examples of any subversive language and make no attempt to show that the actions in question caused or were likely to cause a threat to China's national security.¹²⁸ Moreover, the courts did not place any constitutional limitations on the authority of the government to criminalize certain types of speech, or balance the need to protect national security with the right to freedom of expression. Chinese officials have also begun to punish citizens for simply looking up and viewing Web sites deemed to be reactionary or a threat to its power. Zhang Jianping was barred from using the Internet for six months after he allegedly accessed the Web site for the Epoch Times, a New York-based newspaper linked to Falun Gong and known for its critical coverage of China.¹²⁹

Challenges to Control

The Internet presents a daunting challenge for the Party. Its decentralized nature and the ability to send information to large numbers of people quickly makes it increasingly difficult to control.¹³⁰ This challenge is expected to increase over time as more people use the Internet and rely on it for information. With a penetration rate of only 12.3 percent of China's population, below the world average of 17.6 percent, there is plenty of room to grow.¹³¹ The average number of hours per week spent online rose from 11.5 in 2002 to 18.6 in June 2007. Almost all Internet users in China look to the Internet first for information and more than threefourths said that they first found out about a major news event from the Internet.

Commentators have noted recently that the Internet and blogs in particular are becoming a powerful vehicle for citizens to provide one another information that contrasts with information in the state-controlled press and Party propaganda. The number of blogs, personalized Web pages that citizens use to provide running commentary on all kinds of topics, has grown to an estimated 20 million in China.¹³² Xiao Qiang, Director of the China Internet Project at the University of California at Berkeley, testified at the Commission's hearing in September 2006 that "[o]nline discussions of current events, especially through Internet bulletin board systems (BBS) and Weblogs, or 'blogs,' are having real agenda-setting power." According to Ashley Esarey, a Middlebury College professor and expert on China's media controls, China's blogs exhibit much higher freedom and pluralism than the state-controlled press.¹³³ The Internet has provided a platform for "citizen journalists" who operate largely outside of the censorship system for traditional media¹³⁴ and citizens are using less regulated blogs to break news stories. "[E]very blogger is a potential source of news. The Internet has the power to take any local news story and make it national news overnight," said Li Datong, the ousted former editor of Freezing Point, a weekly published by the China Youth Daily, who now writes for the current affairs Web site openDemocracy.¹³⁵

Other information sharing technologies, especially cell phones, are posing similar challenges to China's information control. Cell phone use is ubiquitous in China and popular among broad segments of the population. By July 2007, cell phone usage had grown to 500 million, almost 40 percent of the population.¹³⁶ Rural residents made up nearly half of China Mobile's 53 million new cell phone subscribers in 2006.¹³⁷ While cell phones are a less condu-

cive platform for exchanging large amounts of information, in China they are a popular tool for sending short text messages. Chinese of all ages use the "text messaging" function much more often than in the United States, where it has remained largely the province of the young.¹³⁸ China also employs censorship technology to filter out politically sensitive text messages.¹³⁹

Citizens have been using the Internet and cell phones with increasing success to shape and even drive the reporting agendas of mainstream news outlets, and to force governments to address problems. Censors have not been able to stop an initial tide of information and instead have been left to contain the situation after the fact. Several high-profile instances over the last year include:

• Officials in the southeastern port city of Xiamen, home to more than 2 million people, planned to build a 300-acre, 10.5 billion yuan (US\$1.4 billion) hazardous chemical plant in a heavily populated neighborhood.¹⁴⁰ In March 2007, central government officials criticized the project's safety,¹⁴¹ but officials in Xiamen kept local residents in the dark about the concerns and made sure local media touted the project's economic benefits.¹⁴² A local resident who became aware of the concerns began to use his blog to organize opposition to the plant, telling readers the plant would hurt the local property market and tourism industry.¹⁴³ Word quickly spread over the Internet. Meanwhile, residents began to circulate cell phone text messages comparing the plant to an "atomic bomb."¹⁴⁴ Xinhua reported that citizens sent nearly one million text messages opposing the project, leading local officials to suspend construction in May 2007.¹⁴⁵ Despite local officials' efforts to censor the Internet and cell phones, area residents used both to organize and document protest marches in early June that attracted thousands.¹⁴⁶

• The Internet also helped bring nationwide and international attention to the kidnapping of migrant workers forced into labor in brick factories in central China. In early June 2007, the relative of a rescued child posted a plea on the Internet on behalf of hundreds of parents still looking for missing children.¹⁴⁷ The post was rejected by a Xinhua forum for containing "sensitive content," but was successfully posted on another forum. Her original post and a re-posting were each viewed hundreds of thousands of times. Following the postings, China's traditional media outlets gave the story extensive coverage, exposing in graphic detail the large numbers of migrant workers, including many children and mentally ill, who were forced under heavy guard to work for no pay and little food.¹⁴⁸ In response, the government launched raids involving a reported 35,000 policemen, ordered media to highlight the Party's rescue efforts, sought to discredit the Internet activist who helped uncover the scandal, and warned parents and lawyers for victims not to speak to journalists.¹⁴⁹ [See Section II—Worker Rights for more information on the labor issues relating to this case.]

• In March 2007, Chinese bloggers made a national news sensation of a couple in Chongqing city in western China who resisted pressure to sell their home to developers, leaving their house protruding in the air like a nail after the land around it had been excavated.¹⁵⁰ Bloggers posted photos of the "awesome nail house" and traveled to the scene to conduct their own reporting of the story, which hit the headlines shortly after the landmark Property Law had been passed.¹⁵¹

While these technological tools have offered citizens new opportunities to express themselves and to elude censors, they have not increased citizens' freedom of expression per se, as the Chinese government has consistently responded to these outpourings of discontent with increased restrictions. Officials imposed restrictions on media coverage, blocked access to or removed offending blogs and cell phone text messages, and in some cases warned citizens not to speak with the media.¹⁵² After the Xiamen chemical plant protests, for example, local officials drafted legislation that would prohibit area Internet users from commenting on blogs and discussion forums anonymously and require local Internet service providers to improve their capability to filter out "harmful and unhealthy" information.¹⁵³

FREEDOM TO PUBLISH IDEAS AND OPINIONS

Government Policy Toward Publishing

The Chinese government's licensing scheme for print media¹⁵⁴ that has remained in place over the last five years does not conform to international standards for freedom of the press.¹⁵⁵ An individual who wishes to publish a book, newspaper, or magazine may not do so on their own, but must do so through a publisher that has been licensed by the General Administration of Press and Publication (GAPP).¹⁵⁶ The GAPP requires that to obtain a license, publishers must have a government sponsor and meet minimum financial requirements.¹⁵⁷ Every book, newspaper, and magazine must have a unique serial number, and the GAPP maintains exclusive control over the distribution of these numbers.¹⁵⁸ GAPP officials have explicitly linked the allotment of book numbers to the political orientation of publishers.¹⁵⁹

While not speaking specifically about this licensing scheme, Premier Wen Jiabao acknowledged in March that government agencies with too much licensing authority, and little restraint or oversight, had bred corruption among officials.¹⁶⁰ In July, popular writer Wang Shuo accused television censors of abusing their authority and collecting bribes in exchange for a television show's approval, a situation that one official acknowledged, but denied being widespread.¹⁶¹ Concern over corruption has not stopped officials from continuing to expand their licensing authority over free expression. In April 2007, the Ministry of Culture announced that it would begin to require actors, singers, directors, and other artists to receive certification in order to be hired.¹⁶²

Publishers and writers must serve the Communist Party's interests. Long Xinmin said in October 2006 while he was director of GAPP that press and publishing departments must "insist on the unwavering guiding position" of Marxism and the Party.¹⁶³ In November, President Hu Jintao told writers that the Party hoped that "each would make their own contribution to building a harmonious society." ¹⁶⁴ In March 2007, Long Xinmin said that press and publishing industries must "firmly grasp the correct guidance of public opinion and create a good public opinion environment" for the Party's 17th Congress and "harmonious society" policy.¹⁶⁵

Banning and Confiscating Illegal Publications

The government continues to target publications that contain political and religious information and opinions with which the government disagrees or for simply not having a license to publish. Between 2002 and 2006, public security officials in China confiscated 590 million "illegal publications." 166 Many of the publications are targeted for violating intellectual property rights or containing pornographic content, but in 2004, for example, public officials con-fiscated hundreds of thousands of copies of publications solely because of their political content. In 2005, officials seized 996,000 copies of "illegal political publications." During a two-month period in 2006, officials seized 303,000 copies of "illegal publications" deemed to have harmed social stability, endangered state security, or incited ethnic separatism.¹⁶⁷ During that same period, officials confiscated 616,000 unauthorized newspapers and periodicals.¹⁶⁸ In February 2007, a GAPP official explained that a crackdown on "illegal political publications," including those that "attacked the Par-ty's leaders," "slandered the socialist system," or concerned Falun Gong, would be a major focus of the ongoing Sweep Away Pornography and Strike Down Illegal Publications campaign in preparation for the Party's 17th Congress.¹⁶⁹ [See Section II—Freedom of Religion-Religious Speech for more information on restrictions on religious publications.] In the first three months of 2007 alone, authorities confiscated 357,000 copies of publications deemed to have harmed social stability, endangered state security, or incited ethnic separatism.¹⁷⁰

China's onerous licensing requirements encourage citizens to publish illegally, eroding the rule of law, and subjecting them to the risk that they will be caught and their publication shut down. One editor of a college magazine in China said in June 2007 that he had set up his own campus magazine because he had been disappointed with other magazines in China, which he described as "homogeneous, very contrived, and lacking in energetic content."¹⁷¹ A professor commenting on the publications, however, said that without a publication number the students were engaged in illegal publishing. The professor said the licensing system was intended to ensure that publications were not "abused by certain groups."¹⁷²

Censoring Publications

Authors who have published through a licensed publisher still risk being censored. Propaganda officials decide what to censor behind closed doors, making verification difficult and a legal challenge impossible. The Hong Kong-based South China Morning Post reported that at a meeting in January 2007, GAPP said it had banned eight books because propaganda officials determined they had "overstepped the line." ¹⁷³ The books dealt with topics such as China's media, SARS, the Cultural Revolution, the Great Leap Forward, and democracy. Officials reportedly criticized one of the books for "romanticizing" Japan's occupation of China in the 1930s and 1940s and others for revealing state secrets. 174

In response to media attempts to confirm the ban, GAPP officials denied its existence.¹⁷⁵ Publishers, however, confirmed the ban.¹⁷⁶ As punishment, authorities reportedly required the editors at one publisher to write self-criticisms and forego bonuses, and reduced the publisher's allotment of book numbers by 20 percent. Zhang Yihe, the daughter of a prominent rightist figure from the 1950s and whose book on the repression faced by classical opera stars in 1960s China was banned, sought to have a Chinese court overturn the action, but two courts in Beijing refused to accept her application.¹⁷⁷

Preventing Writers From Traveling Freely

Chinese officials have also punished critics by restricting their travel. In February 2007, local police officials prevented 20 writers from attending an International PEN conference in Hong Kong by refusing to approve their travel documents or warning them not to go.¹⁷⁸ The writers included Zhang Yihe and Zan Aizong, a journalist who was detained in 2006 after he posted reports on foreign Web sites about detentions of Protestants protesting the destruction of a church in Zhejiang province.

POLITICAL PRISONER DEVELOPMENTS

The case of Shi Tao, a Chinese journalist currently serving a 10year sentence for "illegally providing state secrets to a foreign organization," 179 gained greater attention outside of China in 2007, as new information about his case became public. In 2004, Shi Tao reportedly e-mailed notes to a New York-based democracy Web site that were from a propaganda document restricting media coverage during the 15th anniversary of the 1989 Tiananmen democracy protests. Shi Tao's conviction in 2005 was based in part on information provided by Yahoo! China, then under the control of Yahoo!.180 In July 2007, the Dui Hua Foundation and Boxun released a copy of the request Chinese police made to Yahoo! China seeking information about Shi Tao's e-mail account. The release of the request brought to light new information about the basis of the request as communicated to Yahoo! China because it indicates that the request related specifically to a suspected "illegal provision of state secrets" case.¹⁸¹ In addition, Shi Tao's case remains significant because he exposed China's censorship of its media. As the global impact of events within China has grown, China's censorship of the media has become more important because the rest of the world relies on China's media to better understand such events. The Commission will continue to monitor and note future actions by Chinese officials to punish citizens for exposing censorship of China's media, in violation of these citizens' internationally pro-

tected right to freedom of expression. Another journalist, Zhao Yan, completed his three-year sentence for fraud and was released in September 2007.¹⁸² Authorities originally arrested Zhao, a Chinese researcher for the New York Times (NYT), for providing state secrets to foreigners.¹⁸³ Sources said the "state secret" was information that former President and Communist Party General Secretary Jiang Zemin had offered to resign as Chairman of the Central Military Commission. Jiang's resignation was later reported in the official press. In August 2006, an intermediate court in Beijing sentenced Zhao to three years in prison on an unrelated fraud charge dating from 2001, but acquitted him of disclosing state secrets. Jerome Cohen, an expert on Chinese law and advisor to the NYT on Zhao's case, testified at a Commission hearing in September 2006 that Zhao was "sentenced to three years in prison after another trial that can only be regarded as a farce, and after highly illegal—according to Chinese law—pre-trial detention, interrogation, et cetera."

In a positive sign, one journalist was released early while another received a sentence reduction. Local officials released former Xinhua journalist Gao Qinrong from a prison in Shanxi province in December 2006, 4 years before his 12-year sentence was to expire.¹⁸⁴ Gao was sentenced in 1999 after he exposed corruption at an irrigation project in Yuncheng district, Shanxi province, that implicated top provincial officials. Xu Zerong received a nine-month sentence reduction on an unknown date and is due for release in September 2012.¹⁸⁵ Xu, a senior research fellow at the Guangdong Academy of Social Sciences in Guangzhou city and head of an independent publishing company in Hong Kong, was sentenced to 13 years in prison in 2001 for revealing state secrets by copying and sending historical material dating from the 1950s about the Korean War to researchers overseas, and illegally operating a business by selling books and periodicals without officially issued book numbers.

Additional information on these cases and others is available on the Commission's Political Prisoner Database [see Section I—Political Prisoner Database]. Endnotes

¹CECC, 2005 Annual Report, 11 October 05, 103. According to a 2005 State Council Informa-tion Office White Paper: "The Chinese government requires its subordinate departments at all levels to make public their administrative affairs as far as possible, so as to enhance the trans-parency of government work and guarantee the people's right to know, participate in and super-vise the work of the government." State Council Information Office, White Paper on Political Democracy, 19 October 05. ²CECC, 2003 Annual Report, 2 October 03, 61–62. ³ "China Effectively Promotes Administrative Transparency," People's Daily (Online), 23 Marghe 02.

March 07.

⁴For example, the Web site for the State Environmental Protection Administration contains links to relevant policies, laws, and regulations, a daily report on air quality in major cities, and news stories on the environment. State Environmental Protection Administration of China

and news stories on the environment. State Environmental Protection Administration of China (Online), visited on August 28, 2007. ⁵ "China's Media Announcement Work and Construction of Media Spokesperson System Makes New Progress" [Zhongguo xinwen fabu gongzuo he xinwen fayanren zhidu jianshe qude xin fazhan], China.com.cn (Online), 22 January 07; "Supreme People's Court and High Courts Have Already All Established News Spokespersons" [Zhongguo zuigaofayuan he gaojifayuan yi quanbu jianli xinwen fayanren], Xinhua, reprinted in People's Daily (Online), 12 September 06. ⁶ See, e.g., Regulation on the Handling of Public Health Emergencies [Tufa gonggong weisheng shijian yingji tiaoli], issued 9 May 03, art. 45; Ching-Ching Ni, "China Toughens Stance on En-vironmental Protection," Los Angeles Times (Online), 22 February 06; Elaine Kurtenbach, "En-vironmental Agency Says Disasters Must Be Reported Within One Hour," Associated Press, re-printed in South China Morning Post (Online), 7 February 06. ⁷ Regulation on the Handling of Public Health Emergencies, arts. 19, 25. ⁸ CECC, 2003 Annual Report, 37; CECC, 2006 Annual Report, 20 September 06, 102. ⁹ Regulation of the People's Republic of China on the Public Disclosure of Government Infor-mation [Zhonghua renmin gongheguo zhengfu xinxi gongkai tiaoli], issued 5 April 07, art. 1. ¹⁰ [Ibid., arts. 10, 11, 12.

¹⁰ Ibid, arts. 10, 11, 12.
 ¹¹ Ibid, arts. 13, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24.
 ¹² Measures on Environmental Information Disclosure (Trial) [Huanjing xinxi gongkai banfa (shixing)], issued 11 April 07.
 ¹³ Regulation on Public Disclosure of Government Information, art. 14; Measures on Environ-

¹³Regulation on Public Disclosure of Government Information, art. 14; Measures on Environmental Information Disclosure (Trial), art. 12. ¹⁴See, e.g., Provisions on the Protection of Secrets in News Publishing [Xinwen chuban baomi guiding], issued 13 June 92, art. 14: "Anyone wishing to provide a foreign news publishing organization a report or publication with contents that relate to the nation's government, economy, diplomacy, technology or military shall first apply to this agency or their supervising organ or unit for examination and approval." See also PRC Law on the Protection of State Secrets [Zhonghua renmin gongheguo baoshou guojia mimi fa], issued 5 September 88, art. 8; Measures for the Implementation of the Law on the Protection of State Secrets [Zhonghua renmin gongheguo baoshou guojia mimi fa], issued 25 April 90, art. 4; and Article 1 of the Explanation of Certain Issues Regarding the Specific Laws to be Used in Adjudicating Cases of Stealing or Spying to Obtain, or Illegally Supplying, State Secrets or Intelligence for Foreigners [Guanyu shenli wei jingwai qiequ, citan, shoumai, feifa tigong guojia mimi, qingbao anjian juti yingyong falü ruogan wenti de jieshil, issued 20 November 00, which states: "The term 'intelligence' in Article 111 of the Criminal Law refers to items which involve the security and interests of the nation, but which are not public or which, according to relevant regulations, such as the security and interests of the nation, but which are not public or which, according to relevant regulations. and interests of the nation, but which are not public or which, according to relevant regulations, should not be made public." See also "Secrets Protection Knowledge" [Baomi zhishi], posted on the Administration for the Protection of State Secrets of Guangdong province Web site, which states: "Relating to the security and interests of the nation," means that, if a secret matter were States: "Relating to the scurity and interests of the nation," means that, if a secret matter were known by people who do not currently know it, it would result in various kinds of harm to the security and interests of the nation." In September 2003, the Guangzhou Daily published a warning to readers that everyone from Internet users to garbage collectors can run afoul of China's state secrets legislation. "If a Nanny Can Disclose State Secrets, Then Average Citizens Should Raise Their Awareness of Preserving Secrets" (Baomu jingran xielou guojia jimi baixing yexu tigao baomi yishi), People's Daily (Online), 5 September 03.
 ¹⁵ Regulations on the Specific Scope of State Secrets in Environmental Protection Work, issued 28 December 04, art. 2; Human Rights in China (Online), "State Secrets: China's Legal Labyrinth," June 2007, 174.
 ¹⁶ Ye Doudou and Duan Hongqing, "How Wide Is the Door to Chinese Governments' Information Disclosure," Caijing (Online), 2 May 07; "China Issues Landmark Decree To Encourage Gov't Transparency," Xinhua (Online), 24 April 07.
 ¹⁷ Human Rights in China, "State Secrets: China's Legal Labyrinth," 51.
 ¹⁸ Committee to Protect Journalists (Online), "Falling Short, As the 2008 Olympics Approach, China Falters on Press Freedom," August 2007, 17; "Shanghai Journalist Sues Municipal Authorities for Refusing Interviews" [Caifang zao jujue shanghai jizhe qisu shi guihua ju xinxi bu gongkai], Xinhua (Online), 2 June 06.

gongkai], Xinhua (Online), 2 June 06. ¹⁹ Ibid.

²⁰ PRC Emergency Response Law, enacted 30 August 07, art. 53.

²¹ CECC, 2006 Annual Report, 20.
 ²² "China Adopts Emergency Response Law," People's Daily (Online), 30 August 07.
 ²³ PRC Emergency Response Law, art. 54.

²⁴ Ibid., art. 65.
 ²⁵ The South China Morning Post quoted one Shanghai journalist as saying, "Who gets to define what false information is? It's still up to the government. They can still do whatever they

want. As long as the system stays the same, I can't imagine any major improvement." Ting Shi, "Journalists Welcome Revision of Rules on Reporting Emergencies," South China Morning Post

want. As long as the system stays the same, i can be integrated as the system stays the same, i can be integrated as a system stays the same, i can be integrated as a system stay of Rules on Reporting Emergencies," South China Morning Post (Online), 26 June 07. ²⁶ "Li Changqing Gets Three Years Imprisonment for Reporting Disease Outbreak," CECC Human Rights and Rule of Law Update, February 2006, 15–16. ²⁷ PRC Public Security Administration Punishment Law, enacted 28 August 05, art. 25. See, e.g., Yan Lieshan, "Xin Yanhua's Luck and the Bad Fortune of the Three Xinyi Netizens" [Xin Yanhua's Luck and the Bad Fortune of the Three Xinyi Netizens" [Xin Yanhua's Luck and the Bad Fortune of the Three Xinyi Netizens" [Xin Yanhua's Luck and the Bad Fortune of the Three Xinyi Netizens" [Xin Yanhua's Luck and the Bad Fortune of the Three Xinyi Netizens" [Xin Yanhua's Luck and the Bad Fortune of the Three Xinyi Netizens" [Xin Yanhua's Luck and the Bad Fortune of the Three Xinyi Netizens" [Xin Yanhua's Luck and the Bad Fortune of the Three Xinyi Netizens" [Xin Yanhua's Luck and the Bad Fortune of the Three Xinyi Netizens" [Xin Yanhua's Luck and the Bad Fortune of the Three Xinyi Netizens" [Xin Yanhua's Luck and the Bad Fortune of the Three Xinyi Netizens" [Xin Yanhua's Luck and the Bad Fortune of the Three Xinyi Netizens" [Xin Yanhua's Luck and the Bad Fortune of the Three Xinyi Netizens" [Xin Yanhua's Luck and the Bad Fortune of the Three Xinyi Netizens" [Xin Yanhua's Luck and the Bad Fortune of the Three Xinyi Netizens"] [Xin Yanhua's Luck and the Bad Fortune of the Three Xinyi Netizens"] [Xin Yanhua's Luck and the Bad Fortune of the Three Xinyi Netizens"] [Xin Yanhua's Luck and the Bad Fortune of the Three Xinyi Netizens"] [Xin Yanhua's Luck and the Bad Fortune of the Three Xinyi Netizens'] [Xin Yanhua's Luck and the Bad Fortune of the Three Xinyi Netizens'] [Xin Yanhua's Luck and the Bad Fortune of the Three Xinyi Netizens'] [Xin Yanhua's Luck and the Bad Fortune of the Three Xinyi Netizens'] [Xin Yanhua's Luck and

Daily (Online), 27 July 07. ²⁸Yu Wei, "Accused of Spreading Rumors While Participating in Discussion Over Rainstorm, 23 Year Old Female Jinan Internet User Who Posted Is Detained" (Canyu bayou taolun bei zhi sanbu yaoyan jinan 23 sui nuwangyou gentie bei jul, Southern Metropolitan Daily (Online), 25

sanbu yaoyan jinan 20 sur 12-16 July 07. ²⁹ CECC, 2003 Annual Report, 64. ³⁰ Supreme People's Court Several Opinions on Strengthening Open Adjudication Work of the People's Courts [Zui gao renmin fayuan guanyu jiaqiang renmin fayuan shenpan gongkai gongzuo de ruogan yijian], issued 4 June 07, arts. 5, 15. ³¹ Ibid., art. 22. ³² Ibid., art. 3.

³¹ Ibid., art. 22.
 ³² Ibid., art. 3.
 ³³ "Supreme People's Court Clarifies 'Restricted Area' for People's Court News Publishing Work" [Zuigaofayuan minque renminfayuan xinwen fabu gongzuo "jinqu"], Xinhua (Online), 13 September 06. For a discussion of the competing roles that the media and the courts play for the Party, and the media's influence over China's courts and legal development, see Benjamin L. Liebman, "Watchdog or Demagogue? The Media in the Chinese Legal System," 105 Colum.
 L. Rev. 1, 7 (2005).

³⁴International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, adopted by General Assembly resolu-tion 2200A(XXI) of 16 December 66, entry into force 23 March 76 [hereinafter ICCPR]. China has signed, but has not yet ratified, the ICCPR. The Chinese government has committed itself has signed, but has not yet ratified, the ICCPR. The Chinese government has committed itself to ratifying, and thus bringing its laws into conformity with, the ICCPR, and reaffirmed its com-mitment as recently as April 13, 2006, in its application for membership in the UN Human Rights Council. China's top leaders have previously stated on three separate occasions that they are preparing for ratification of the ICCPR, including in a September 6, 2005, statement by Po-litburo member and State Councilor Luo Gan at the 22nd World Congress on Law, in state-ments by Chinese Premier Wen Jiabao during his May 2005 Europe tour, and in a January 27, 2004, speech by Chinese President Hu Jintao before the French National Assembly. Article 19 of the ICCPR states: "1. Everyone shall have the right to hold opinions without interference. 2, Everyone shall have the right to freedom of expression; this right shall include freedom to seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds, regardless of frontiers, either orally, in writing or in print, in the form of art, or through any other media of his choice."

either orally, in writing or in print, in the form of art, or through any other media of his choice." ³⁵Universal Declaration of Human Rights, adopted and proclaimed by General Assembly reso-lution 217A(III) of 10 December 48 [hereinafter UDHR]. Article 19 of the UDHR states: "Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opin-ions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers."

⁶ PRC Constitution, art. 35. Article 35 of China's Constitution states: "Citizens of the People's Republic of China enjoy freedom of speech, of the press, of assembly, of association, of procession and of demonstration." ³⁷This language is found in Article 19 of the ICCPR. Article 29 of the UDHR states the fol-

lowing: "everyone shall be subject only to such limitations as are determined by law solely for the purpose of securing due recognition and respect for the rights and freedoms of others and of meeting the just requirements of morality, public order and the general welfare in a democratic society." ³⁸Ashley Esarey, "Speak No Evil, Mass Media Controls in Contemporary China," Freedom

cratic society." ³⁸ Ashley Esarey, "Speak No Evil, Mass Media Controls in Contemporary China," Freedom House, February 2006, 3–4. ³⁹ Article 11(2) of the Regulations on the Administration of Publishing states that publishing work units must have a sponsoring work unit and a managing work unit recognized by the State Council's publishing administration agency. The "sponsoring work unit must asswer to its spon-soring work unit and managing work unit. Circular Regarding Issuance of the "Temporary Pro-visions on the Functions of the Sponsoring Work Unit and the Managing Work Unit for Pub-lishing Work Units" [Guanyu fabu "Guanyu chuban danwei de zhuban danwei he zhuguan danwei zhize de zanxing guiding" de tongzhi], issued 29 June 93, arts. 5–6; Regulations on the Administration of Publishing [Chuban guanli tiaoli], issued 25 December 01, art. 11(2). ⁴⁰ Measures for the Administration of Journalist Accreditation Cards [Xinwen jizhezheng guanli banfa], issued 10 January 05; Measures for the Administration of News Bureaus [Baoshejizhezhan guanli banfa], issued 10 January 05; Interim Implementation Rules for the Administra-tion of Those Employed as News Reporters and Editors [Guanyu xinwen caibian renyuan congye guanli de guiding (shixing)], issued 22 March 05; Interim Implementation Rules for the Admini-istration of Those Employed as Radio and Television News Reporters and Editors [Guangdianzongju yinfa "guangbo yingshi xinwen caipian renyuan congye guanli de shishi fangan (shixing) de tongzhi"], issued 1 April 05. GAPP has used its licensing authority to punish journalists for their reporting. In September 2006, GAPP revoked the license of Zan Aizong, a journalist who was detained for one week in August 2006 after he posted reports on foreign Web sites about detentions of Protestants who were protesting the destruction of a church in Xiaoshan city, Nanjing province. "September 17–21, 2006" [2006" in 9 yue 17 ri – 9 yue 21 ri], Mediainchina.org.cn, 27 September 06. In March 2007, police in the city of Nanjin

edly harassed a reporter for the U.S.-based news Web site Boxun, accusing him of working for

edly harassed a reporter for the U.S.-based news Web site Boxun, accusing him of working for an illegal news outlet and failing to have a journalist license. Committee to Protect Journalists (Online), "China Reporter Arrested Following Months of Police Harassment," 4 June 07. ⁴¹ Liebman, "Watchdog or Demagogue?," 18–20. ⁴² CECC, 2004 Annual Report, 5 October 04, 47; CECC, 2005 Annual Report, 56–57. ⁴³ Provisions on the Protection of Secrets in News Publishing. For example, in April 2003, two editors at the Xinhua news agency were fired for publishing a news report about SARS that had been classified as secret. "Two Chinese Editors Sacked over Confidential SARS Document," South China Morring Post 29 April 2003.

had been classified as secret. "Two Chinese Editors Sacked over Confidential SARS Document," South China Morning Post, 29 April 2003. ⁴⁴ Committee to Protect Journalists, "Falling Short," 25. ⁴⁵ See, e.g., CECC, 2004 Annual Report, 48; and Andrew Batson, Geoffrey Fowler, and Juying Qin, "China Magazine Is Pulled," Wall Street Journal (Online), 9 March 07. ⁴⁶ CECC, 2004 Annual Report, 47; CECC, 2005 Annual Report, 56–57. ⁴⁷ "Hu Jintao Delivers Important Remarks at National Meeting of Propaganda Department Directors" [Hu Jintao zai quanguo xuanchuan buzhang huiyi shang fabiao zhongyao jianghua], Yinbua (Onlino). 12 January 01

Directors' [Itu Jintao zar quanguo xuanchuan ouznang nany, anang inany and the second state of the second second state of the second second second state of the second seco Brunan Rights and Rights and Politikuro member, and Liu Yunshan, a top Party official and Director of the Central Propaganda Department, told journalists that their "foremost duty is to study, publicize, and carry out" the spirit of the sixth plenum and the important statements of President Hu to unify the thoughts of the whole party and the whole nation, and to be "loyal to the Party's news work and protect the interests of the Party and the people." The duty of journalists to be caretakers of the Party's ideology is also embodied in formal regulations. See, e.g., the Interim Provisions on the Administration of Those Employed as News Reporters and Editors issued jointly by the General Administration of Radio, Film and Television in 2005, which provides that reporters and editors must be "guided by Marxism, Leninism, Mao Zedong Thought, Deng Xiaoping Theory, and the important ideology of the Three Represents', support the leadership of the Chinese Communist Party, and support the socialist system" and "protect the interest of the Party and the government." Interim Provisions on the Administration of Those Employed as News Reporters and Editors, art. 1. One Chinese court has recently held that for purposes of China's criminal law, journalists at state-owned newspapers are state functionaries. "Former China Business Times Reporter Meng Huaihu Final Sentence of 12 Years for Extortion" [Zhonghua gongshang shibao jizhe Meng Huaihu Jinal Sentence of 12 Years for Extortion" [Zhonghua gongshang shibao jizhe Meng Huaihu Final Sentence of 12 Years for Extortion" (Zhonghua gongshang shibao jizhe Meng Huaihu Sing Work, To Create a Positive Cultural Environment for the 17th Party Congress" [Liu Yunshan: wei shiqi da yingzao lianghao sixiang yulun qifen], Xinhua (Online), 9 July 07, "Perform Well News Publishing Work, To Create a Positive Cultural fenvironment for the 17th Party Congress" [Liu Yunshan: wei shiqi da zhoze, governatory 07, 5° China To Show Only 'Ethically Inspiring TV Series' in Prime Time From Ne

sure of the magazine) and issued a serious internal variants to the field of the magazine and magazine bisciplined by Party," South China Morning Post (Online), 26 April 07. ⁵³ Kristine Kwok, "Two Newspaper Staff Suspended for 'June 4' Advert," South China Morning Post (Online), 8 June 07. ⁵⁴ Batson, Fowler, and Qin, "China Magazine Is Pulled." ⁵⁵ CECC, 2006 Annual Report, 102. ⁵⁶ "Shanghai's Top Leader Removed Over Scandal Involving Alleged Misuse of City Pension Funds," Associated Press (Online), 25 September 06; James T. Areddy, "China Warns of Broader Corruption Probe," Wall Street Journal (Online), 27 September 06. ⁵⁷ "Media Told To Downplay Demise of Party Boss," South China Morning Post (Online), 27 September 06.

September 06. ⁵⁸ "Shanghai City Government Press Conferences Come Back Online, No Mention of Chen

Liangyu" [Shanghai shi zhengfu xinwen fabuhui chongxin dengchang bu ti Chen Liangyu], Boxun (Online), 4 November 06. ⁵⁹Keith Bradsher, "China Tells Little About Illness That Kills Pigs, Officials Say," New York

Times (Online), 8 May 07. 60 Ibid

⁶¹ Richard McGregor, "750,000 A Year Killed by Chinese Pollution," Financial Times (Online), 2 July 07. The article also said that the World Bank removed a map showing the areas with the most deaths because it was too sensitive. ⁶² Ibid.

⁶³ "China Denies Requiring WB to Delete Environmental Data from Report," Xinhua, re-printed in People's Daily (Online), 5 July 07. ⁶⁴ "Censors Clamp Down on Food Safety Reports," South China Morning Post (Online), 31

July 07. ⁶⁵For example, in March 2007, State Council Information Office (SCIO) Director Cai Wu said that "leaders should not be afraid of reporters." "Cai Wu: Some Leaders Fear Facing Reporters

Because They Worry They Will Lose Their Official Posts" [Cai Wu: moxie lingdao pa jian jizhe shi danxin diudiao ziji de wushamao], Chinanews.com, 9 March 07. In January 2007, SCIO's vice-minister, speaking about foreign journalists, said that the Chinese government was moving away from its practice of "managing the media" and was preparing to "serve" and not shy away from reporters. "China Gov'ts 'Serve Media, Not Manage Them,'" China Daily (Online), 4 Janu-66 "Official: Transparency Key to Public Faith," China Daily (Online), 29 July 07.

⁶⁶⁴⁶⁰Official: Transparency Key to Public Faith," China Daily (Online), 29 July 07. ⁶⁷⁶⁷ Anhui Requires Journalists To Write 'Positive' Reports for Promotion," CECC China Human Rights and Rule of Law Update, December 2006, 18–19 ⁶⁸ "Linking Professional Evaluations to Positive Reporting Is Absurd" [Zhicheng pingding yu zhengmian baodao guagou tai huangtang], Southern Metropolitan Daily (Online), 27 October 06. ⁶⁹⁶⁹ "Anhui Requires Journalists To Write 'Positive' Reports for Promotion," CECC China Human Rights and Rule of Law Update, December 2006, 18–19 ⁷⁰ In 2001, when the Chinese government was bidding to host the 2008 Summer Olympic Games, Wang Wei, then the Secretary-General of the Beijing Bid Committee, said that the gov-ernment would give the news media "complete freedom" to report on China and that the gou-ernment would give the news media "complete freedom" to report on China and that the gou-ernment would give the news media. "Journalists To Write Whatever They Like if Beijing Holds 2008 Games," China Daily (Online), 12 July 01. ⁷¹ Regulations on Reporting Activities in China by Foreign Journalists During the Beijing Olympic Games and the Preparatory Period [Beijing auyunhui ji qi choubei qijian waiguo jizhe

Olympic Games and the Preparatory Period [Beijing auyunhui ji qi choubei qijian waiguo jizhe

⁷²The regulations expire one month after Beijing augumini ji qi chouber qijian waiguo jizhe zai hua caifang guidingl, issued 1 December 06.
⁷²The regulations expire one month after Beijing hosts the 13th Paralympic Games. The Paralympic Games follow the 2008 Summer Olympics Games, which run from August 8 to August 24, 2008. "Paralympic Games Schedules Set," China Daily (Online), 22 May 06.
⁷³In a survey of 163 journalists conducted by the Foreign Correspondents Club of China and released in August 2007, 43 percent of the respondents said that China's reporting environment bad improved although 95 percent soid renoving conditions still did not most what they considered the set of the

had improved, although 95 percent said reporting conditions still did not meet what they consid-ered to be international standards. Respondents reported 157 incidents of interference, including 57 instances of intimidation of local citizens who spoke with foreign reporters. Foreign Cor-respondents Club of China, "Foreign Correspondents: China Yet To Fulfill Olympic Pledge of Free Media Coverage, Harassment Still Common," 1 August 07. A report by Human Rights Watch also found that government and state security officials, as well as unidentifiable thugs, were harassing, intimidating, and detaining foreign journalists, but that some foreign reporters also said that the new rules "significantly widened access to sources and topics previously taboo, such as access to certain prominent political dissidents and to villages with public health emer-gencies." Human Rights Watch (Online), "Beijing 2008 China's Olympian Human Rights Chal-lenges," 10 August 07. ⁷⁴ Foreign Correspondents Club of China, "China Yet To Fulfill Olympic Pledge of Free Media

Coverage." ⁷⁵Ibid. In May 2007, a foreign ministry official reportedly summoned two foreign journalists ⁷⁰ Ibid. In May 2007, a foreign ministry official reportedly summoned two foreign journalists to the ministry to reprimand them for stories they had written about the TAR. Reporters With-out Borders (Online), "Two Foreign Reporters Summoned and Warned About Tibet Stories," 25 May 07. The new regulations do not contain any exception or carve-out for Tibet or any other region of China. Foreign ministry officials, however, have indicated orally that existing regula-tions applicable to Tibet, such as special permit requirements, remain in effect. In a February 13, 2007, press conference Foreign Ministry Spokeswoman Jiang Yu said the following about the new rule's applicability to Tibet: "The new Regulations should be abided by generally when foreign journalists conduct reporting activities in Tibet and elsewhere. In the meantime, due to restraints in natural conditions and reception capabilities, Tibetan local authorities have some regulations for foreigners' access there, which should be abided by. Please contact the local for-

 Protection of the second reporting activities not only on the Beijing Olympic Games and the preparatory period, but also on politics, economy, society, and culture of China." Beijing Organizing Committee for the Games of the XXIX Olympiad, "Service Guide for Overseas Media Coverage of the Beijing Olym-

Games of the AAIA Orympiad, Service Guide for Overseas Media Coverage of the Beijing Olympic Games and the Preparatory Period, "3. ⁷⁸Christopher Bodeen, "China Media Seen as Corrupt, But Experts Blame Communist Controls for Skewing System," Associated Press (Online), 31 January 07. ⁷⁹Fraudster Who Impersonated People's Daily Deputy Editor-in-Chief Liu Yonghong Sentenced to Life" [Maochong renmin ribao fu zongbianji zha pian zhe Liu Yonghong bei pan wuqi tuxing], People's Daily (Online), 9 May 07. ⁸⁰Edward Codu "Enclower Lower Bitter in China Seen ca "Excercent", "Washington Better and Section 2016 (Control of Control of C

⁸⁰Edward Cody, "Blackmailing By Journalists in China Seen as 'Frequent'," Washington Post (Online), 25 January 07; Winny Wang, "China To Improve Supervision of Reporters," Shanghai Daily (Online), 9 July 07.

⁸¹The Commission noted in its 2004 Annual Report that the media in China often focus on the ethical problems within its own industry. CECC, 2004 Annual Report, 48. ⁸²Notice Regarding Further Improving Standards for Supervision of Press Journalist's Sta-tions [Guanyu jin yibu guifan baoshe jizhezhan guanli de tongzhi], issued 18 March 07. ⁸³ "China Targets 'False News' Ahead of Party's Congress," Associated Press (Online), 16 Au-gust 07; "Special National Operation Launched To Resolutely Rid News Publishing of the 'Four Dangers'' [Quanguo kaizhan zhuanxiang xingdong jianjue qingchu xinwen chuban "si hai"], Peo-relation 15 Aurgust 07. ¹ Bel's Daily (Online), 15 August 07.
 ⁸⁴ "Hu Jintao: Increase the Building and Administration of Internet Culture with a Spirit of a spirit of the spirit

Innovation" [Hu Jintao: yi chuangxin de jingshen jiqiang wangluo wenhua jianshe he guanli], Xinhua (Online), 24 January 07; "Hu Asks Officials To Better Cope With Internet," Xinhua (Online), 24 January 07. ⁸⁵China Internet Network Information Center, 20th Statistical Survey on Internet Develop-

ment in China, 18 July 07.

⁸⁶ 'Infocom Is 'Vital' for China," Xinhua (Online), 27 April 07.
 ⁸⁷ China Internet Network Information Center, 11th Statistical Survey on Internet Development in China, 15 January 03; China Internet Network Information Center, 20th Statistical

Survey. ⁸⁸ "China's Internet Conundrum," Podcast with Tim Wu, CNET News.com (Online), 1 June 07.

⁵⁹ "Hu Jintao: Increase the Building and Administration of Internet Culture with a Spirit of Innovation," Xinhua. In his January 2007 speech, President Hu Jintao: laso said it was important to "strengthen the battlefield position over ideology and public opinion on the Internet." ⁹⁰ "Build Up An Online Culture, Solidify Our Position Online" Jianshe wangluo wenhua gonggu wangshang zhendi], Guangming Daily, reprinted in Xinhua (Online), 19 June 07. ⁹¹ This language is found in Article 19 of the ICCPR. Article 29 of the UDHR states the fol-

lowing: "everyone shall be subject only to such limitations as are determined by law solely for the purpose of securing due recognition and respect for the rights and freedoms of others and of meeting the just requirements of morality, public order and the general welfare in a demo-

of meeting the just requirements of morality, public order and the general welfare in a demo-cratic society." ⁹² Ariana Eunjung Cha, "In China, Stern Treatment for Young Internet 'Addicts'," Washington Post (Online), 22 February 07; "New Measures Come Out: Excessive Senders of Junk Mail To Be Recorded on 'Black List" [Xin cuoshi chutai lanfa lese youjian jiang jiru "hei mingdan"], Xinhua (Online), 1 March 06; "Authorities Crack Down on Internet Porn," Agence France-Press, reprinted in South China Morning Post (Online), 15 August 07; "China's News Websites Vow To Clean Up the Internet," Xinhua, reprinted in China Daily (Online), 18 May 07. ⁹³ All commercial Web sites must obtain a government license. Measures for the Administra-tion of Internet Information Services [Hulianwang xinxi fuwu guali banfa], issued 20 Sep-tember 00. All non-commercial Internet Information Services [Fei jingyingxing hulianwang xinxi fuwu bei'an guani banfa], issued 28 January 05. Because the Mill's registration system gives the government discretion to reject an application based on content (i.e., whether the Web site operator intends to post "news," and if so, whether it is authorized to do so), it is qualitatively different from registration which all Web site operators must undertake with a domain reg-istrar, and constitutes a de facto licensing scheme.

⁹⁴ Peter Ford, "Why China Shut Down 18,401 Websites," Christian Science Monitor (Online),
 ⁹⁴ Peter Ford, "Why China Shut Down 18,401 Websites," Christian Science Monitor (Online),
 ⁹⁵ September 07; "MII Reports China's Government Has Met its Goals in Private Web Site Crackdown," CECC Human Rights and Rule of Law Update, September 2005, 5; "Ministry of Information Industry: Web Sites That Fail to Register May Be Shut Down," CECC Human Rights and Rule of Law Update, September 2005, 5; "Ministry of Information Industry: Web Sites That Fail to Register May Be Shut Down," CECC Human Rights and Rule of Law Update, September 2005, 5; "Ministry of Sord, "Why China Shut Down 18,401 Websites."
 ⁹⁶ Government Shuts Down Web Site; China Scholars and Activists Respond," CECC China Human Rights and Rule of Law Update, September 2006, 12–13; "Government Agencies Issue New Regulations Restricting News Reporting on the Internet," CECC China Human Rights and Rule of Law Update, September 2006, 12–13; "Government Agencies Issue New Regulations Restricting News Reporting on the Internet," CECC China Human Rights and Rule of Law Update, November 2005, 4; Provisions on the Administration of Internet News Information Services [Hulianwang xinwen xinxi fuwu guanli guiding], issued 25 September 05.
 ⁹⁷ OpenNet Initiative (Online), "OpenNet Initiative: Bulletin 011–Analysis of China's Non-Commercial Web Site Registration Regulation," 22 February 06. The Opennet Initiative comprises researchers at the Citizen Lab at the Munk Centre for International Studies, University of Toronto, Berkman Center for Internet & Society at Harvard Law School, the Advanced Network Research Group at the Cambridge Security Programme, University of Cambridge, and the Oxford Internet Institute, Oxford University.

work Research Group at the Cambridge Security Programme, University of Cambridge, and the Oxford Internet Institute, Oxford University.
⁹⁶ "GAPP Drafts Supervision Regulation, Celebrity Magazines To Be Supervised" [Xinwen chuban zongshu ni qicao guanli tiaoli mingren zazhi jiang shou jianguan], Shanghai Youth Daily, reprinted in Xinhua (Online), 23 April 07.
⁹⁹ OpenNet Initiative (Online), "Internet Filtering in China in 2004–2005: A Country Study," 14 April 05; China Internet Network Information Center, 20th Statistical Survey.
¹⁰⁰ Steven Schwankert, "English Wikipedia Unblocked in China," IDG News Service (Online), 18 June 07; Simon Burns, "Wikipedia Partly Unblocked in China," VNUnet (Online), 18 June 07

07. ¹⁰¹Juan Carlos Perez, "Flickr Investigates Blocking of Images in China," IDG News Service (Online), 11 June 07.

¹⁰² "Clean Up Cyberspace," China Daily, reprinted in Xinhua (Online), 19 April 07. ¹⁰³ "China's Law Enforcement Internet Database Set for Completion This Year," Xinhua, re-printed in People's Daily (Online), 28 May 07.

104 Ibid

¹⁰⁵CECC, 2006 Annual Report, 35.

¹⁰⁶ Measures for the Administration of Internet Information Services, arts. 14, 15, 16.

¹⁰⁷ "Lawyer Pu Zhiqiang Sees 2 Blogs Closed Within 10 Days" [Lüshi Pu Zhiqiang shi tian nei liangge boke bei guan], Radio Free Asia (Online), 21 February 07.
 ¹⁰⁸ Regulations on the Administration of Business Sites of Internet Access Services [Hulianwang shangwang fuwu yingye changsuo guanli tiaoli], issued 29 September 02, arts. 19, 23; China Internet Network Information Center, 20th Statistical Survey.
 ¹⁰⁹ Bloggers are never truly anonymous because they can be traced back to an IP address. Jason Leow, "Why China Relaxed Blogger Crackdown, Registration Plan Was Dropped In Face of Tech-Industry Protests," Wall Street Journal (Online), 17 May 07.
 ¹¹⁰ See, e.g., "Real Name Registration in Full Bloom, 'Lilac' Withers and Falls: To Post on Harbin Institute of Technology's BBS Requires Information About Full Name and School Department" [Shimingzhi shengkai zidingxiang diaoxie hagongda BBS fatie xuyao xingming he yuanxi xinxi], Southern Metropolitan Daily, 13 July 07.
 ¹¹¹ Jason Leow, "China Eases Real-Name Blog Effort," Wall Street Journal (Online), 23 May 07.

¹¹³Jason Leow, "China Eases Real-Name Biog Effort," Wall Street Journal (Online), 23 May 07. ¹¹²The Internet in China–A Tool of Freedom or Suppression?, Joint Hearing of the Sub-committee on Africa, Global Human Rights, and International Operations, and the Sub-committee on Asia and the Pacific, Committee on International Relations, U.S. House of Rep-resentatives, 15 February 06, Testimony of Michael Callahan, Senior Vice President and Gen-eral Counsel, Yahoo! Inc.; "Congressional Committee to Investigate Disparity Between Docu-ments and Hearing Testimony by Yahoo!," House Foreign Affairs Committee (Online), 3 August 07.

¹¹³ Internet Society of China (Online), "Internet Society of China Formally Issues 'Blogging Services Self-Discipline Pledge' To Promote Orderly Development of Blogging Services' [Zhongguo hulianwang xiehui zhengshi fabu "boke fuwu zilu gongyue," cujin boke fuwu youxu [Zhongguo hulianwang xiehui zhengshi fabu "boke fuwu zilu gongyue," cujin boke fuwu youxu

fazhanl, 21 August 07.
¹¹⁴ Reporters Without Borders (Online), "Yahoo! and MSN Comment on 'Self-Disciplinary Pledge," 28 August 07.
¹¹⁵ PRC Criminal Law, enacted 1 July 79, amended 14 March 97, 25 December 99, 31 August 01, 29 December 01, 28 December 02, 28 February 05, 29 June 06, art. 105.
¹¹⁶ UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention, Report of the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention, Mission to China, Addendum, 29 December 04, para. 78.
¹¹⁷ "Authorities Sentence Guo Qizhen to Four Years in Prison for Online Essays," CECC China Human Rights and Rule of Law Update, November 2006, 5–6.
¹¹⁸ "Shandong Court Sentences Internet Essayist Li Jianping to Two Years' Imprisonment," CECC Human Rights and Rule of Law Update, December 2006, 12–13.

¹¹⁹ "Well-Known Online Article Writer Zhang Jianhong Sentenced for Inciting Subversion of State Power" [Wangshang zhuanwen da Zhang Jianhong shandong dianfu guojia zhengquan an xuanpan], Xinhua, reprinted in Phoenix Television (Online), 20 March 07. ¹²⁰ Independent Chinese Pen Center (Online), "ICPC Statement Regarding Protest of Member Yan Zhengxue's Sentence" [Duli zhongwen bihui guanyu huiyuan Yan Zhengxue bei panxin de hongxie di 27 10 April 07.

kangyi shengming]," 19 April 07. ¹²¹"China Jails Internet Writer for Subversion, Disbars Lawyer," Reuters (Online), 16 August

OT. 122 Independent Chinese Pen Center, "ICPC Statement Regarding Protest of Member Yan Zhengxue's Sentence"; "Overseas Service Center of Chinese Democracy Party Calls for Attention to Case of China Democracy Party's Chen Shuqing and Li Hong (Zhang Jianghong)" [Zhongguo minzhu dang haiwai fuwu zhongxin huyu guanzhu Chen Shuqing, Li Hong (Zhang Jianhong) zhongguo minzhu dang yi an], Radio Free Asia (Online), 19 September 06.

²¹⁰Onguo minznu dang yi anj, nadio Free Asia (Online), 19 September 06.
¹²³Gao Shan, "Zhejiang China Democracy Party Member Chi Jianwei Sentenced to 3 Years in Prison" [Zhejiang sheng zhongguo minzhu dang chengyuan chi jianwei bei pan xing 3 nian tuxing], Radio Free Asia (Online), 27 March 07.
¹²⁴ Chinese Human Rights Defenders (Online), "Pro-Democracy Activist Detained for 'Inciting Subversion' Government Must End Criminalization of Free Speech," 25 August 07.
¹²⁵ "Lawyer for Journalists and Cyber-Dissidents Loses License," Reporters Without Borders (Online) 6 August 07.

(Online), 6 August 07.

¹²⁶ Authorities Arrest and Imprison Writers for Online Essays Criticizing Government," CECC China Human Rights and Rule of Law Update, November 2006, 4–5. ¹²⁷ Chinese Human Rights Defenders (Online), "Yang Chunlin Accused of 'Subversion Against the State Power," 4 September 07, "Refused Meeting With Lawyer, Yang Chunlin's Sister Re-veals Police Intimidation" [Ju lüshi huijian Yang Chunlin mei jie jingfang konghe], Epoch ^{Warged 10} September 07.

Veals Fonce intimidation for fusion human rang Chamm mer he jingtang kongnel, zpoch Times, 17 September 07.
¹²⁸See, e.g., "Authorities Sentence Guo Qizhen to Four Years in Prison for Online Essays," CECC China Human Rights and Rule of Law Update, November 2006, 5–6 and "Shandong Court Sentences Internet Essayist Li Jianping to Two Years' Imprisonment," CECC Human Rights and Rule of Law Update, December 2006, 12–13.
¹²⁹China Information Center (Online), "Administrative Penalty Decision for Zhang Jianping"
¹²⁹China Liformation Center (Online), "Administrative Penalty Decision for Zhang Jianping"

¹²⁹China Information Center (Online), "Administrative Penalty Decision for Zhang Jianping" [Xingzheng chufa jueding shu], 17 April 07. In punishing Zhang, officials relied on the Measures for the Administration of Security Protection of Computer Information Networks with Inter-national Interconnections, which prohibit individuals from using the Internet to look up "infor-mation that incites the subversion of state power and the overthrow of the socialist political sys-tem." Measures for the Administration of Security Protection of Computer Information Networks with International Interconnections [Jisuanji xinxi wangluo guoji lianwang anquan baohu guanli banfa], 11 December 97. Zhang filed an administrative appeal with the Changzhou PSB. The PSB denied the appeal on June 6 and noted that there was evidence that Zhang had browsed certain hostile foreign Web sites, and used censorship circumvention tactics. "Changzhou Public Security Administrative Reconsideration Decision Calls Tianwang A Hostile Foreign Web. Site". Security Administrative Reconsideration Decision Calls Tianwang A Hostile Foreign Web Site" [Changzhou gongan xingzheng fuyi cheng tianwang jingwai didui wangzhan], 64tianwang.com, 6 June 07.

¹³⁰ Xiao Qiang, "China Censors Internet Users With Site Bans, Cartoon Cop Spies," San Francisco Chronicle (Online), 23 September 07.
 ¹³¹ China Internet Network Information Center, 20th Statistical Survey.
 ¹³² "China Eases Off Proposal for Real-Name Registration," Xinhua (Online), 22 May 07.

¹³² "China Eases Off Proposal for Keal-Name Kegtstration," Xinhua (Online), 22 May 07.
 ¹³³ Access to Information in the People's Republic of China, Hearing of the U.S.-China Economic and Security Review Commission, 31 July 07, Written Statement Submitted by Ashley Esarey, Luce Fellow of Asian Studies and Assistant Professor of Comparative Politics, Middlebury College.
 ¹³⁴ Edward Cody, "China's Muckrakers for Hire Deliver Exposés With Impact," Washington Post (Online), 28 June 07, Because they post on the Internet, however, such journalists are still subject of China's and the Internet, Power, Such Journalists are still subject of China's post.

Post (Online), 28 June 07. Because they post on the internet, nowever, such journalists are still subject to China's censorship of that medium.
 ¹³⁵Clay Chandler, "Is China Emerging from a Media Ice Age," Fortune (Online), 1 June 07.
 ¹³⁶6500 Mln Cellphone Users Mark China's 20th Anniversary of Mobile," Xinhua, reprinted in People's Daily (Online), 20 July 07.
 ¹³⁷China Mobile Limited (Online), visited on September 27, 2007.
 ¹³⁸Mitchell Landsberg, "Chinese Activists Turn to Cellphones," Los Angeles Times (Online),

¹³⁸ Mitchell Landsberg, "Chinese Activists Turn to Cellphones," Los Angeles Times (Online),
 1 June 07.
 ¹³⁹ Louisa Lim, "China To Censor Text Message," BBC (Online), 2 July 04. Until recently, prepaid phones could be purchased anonymously. In 2005, in an apparent move to curb fraud and spamming, mostly committed via text message, the government began to require real name registration of cell phones. "China Cracking Down on Cell Phone Fraud, Spam," Reuters (Online), 28 December 05. This was aimed mostly at pre-paid phones, which in 2006 represented more than half of all mobile phones. It is unclear how widely enforced this requirement is.
 ¹⁴⁰ "Xiamen Suspends Controversial Chemical Project," Xinhua (Online), 30 May 07.
 ¹⁴¹ Ibid.

¹⁴¹ Ibid.
¹⁴² Cody, "Text Messages Giving Voice to Chinese."
¹⁴³ Landsberg, "Chinese Activists Turn to Cellphones."
¹⁴⁴ Cody, "Text Messages Giving Voice to Chinese."
¹⁴⁵ "Xiamen Suspends Controversial Chemical Project," Xinhua.
¹⁴⁶ Many around China followed the protests in real time through written reports and cell phone photos posted on blogs. Some sites were blocked but many of the reports had already been forwarded to other sites around China before censors could react. Cody, "Text Messages Giving Voice to Chinese." Voice to Chinese.

¹⁴⁷Zhu Hongjun, "She Started the Storm Over the Shanxi Illegal Brick Kilns" [Shanxi hei

 ¹⁴⁹ "China's Internet Justice," Wall Street Journal (Online), 12 July 07.
 ¹⁴⁹ "China's Internet Justice," Wall Street Journal (Online), 21 June 07; Josephine Ma, "Beijing's Damage Control Moves Behind the Scenes," South China Morning Post (Online), 10 July 07; Josephine Ma, "Top Official Plays Down Scale of Kiln Slavery," South China Morning Post (Online), 14 August 07. ¹⁵⁰ Howard French, "In China, Fight Over Development Creates a Star," New York Times (On-

 ¹⁵⁰ Howard French, "In China, Fight Over Development Creates a Star," New York Times (Online), 26 March 07.
 ¹⁵¹ "Blogger Also Comes to Report on the 'Awesome Nail House" [Boke ye lai baodao "zui niu dingzi hu"], Southern Metropolitan Daily (Online), 30 March 07.
 ¹⁵² Ma, "Beijing's Damage Control Moves Behind the Scenes"; Geoffrey York, "The Coolest Nail House in History," Globe and Mail (Online), 29 March 07.
 ¹⁵³ "Draft Xiamen Regulation of Online Forums Abolishes Anonymous Comment Function" [Xiamen ni guiding luntan quxiao niming fatie gongneng], Taihai Wang, reprinted in Sina.com, 4. July 07 July 07.

¹⁵⁴Regulations on the Administration of Publishing.

¹⁵⁴Regulations on the Administration of Publishing.
¹⁵⁵Although no absolute international standard prescribes what constitutes freedom of the press, international human rights standards set forth a minimum prerequisite: no legal system can be said to respect freedom of the press if it subjects the print media to any prior restraint through a licensing scheme. In 2003, the UN Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Opinion and Expression, the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE) Representative on Freedom of the Media, and the Organization of American States (OAS) Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Expression issued a joint declaration saying that licensing schemes are unnecessary and subject to abuse. The UN Human Rights Committee ruled in March 2000, that a licensing scheme in Belarus similar to China's violated Article 19 because the government of Belarus had failed to show how the licensing requirements were necessary to protect any of the legitimate

scheme in Belarus similar to China's violated Article 19 because the government of Belarus had failed to show how the licensing requirements were necessary to protect any of the legitimate purposes set forth in Article 19. The Commission has recommended in its annual reports that China eliminate this prior restraint on publishing. ¹⁵⁶Notice Regarding Prohibiting the Transmission of Harmful Information and Further Regu-lating Publishing Order [Guanyu jinzhi zhuanbo youhai xinxi jinyibu guifan chuban zhixu de tongzhi], issued 5 November 01: "No one may establish an entity whose primary purpose is to reserve in the provide the proversities of the proversities of the provide the proverse in the proversities of the proversion of the proverse blicking of the proversities of the proverse blicking of the proverse b transmit news information and engage in other news publishing activities without permission

¹⁵⁷Circular Regarding Issuance of the "Temporary Provisions on the Functions of the Spon-soring Work Unit and the Managing Work Unit for Publishing Work Units", arts. 5–6; Regula-tions on the Administration of Publishing, art. 11(2).

¹⁵⁹Guangdong Press and Publication Administration (Online), "Responsible Person at the General Administration of Press and Publication Book Office Reports on the Previous Year's Na-tional Book Publishing Administration Work" [Zongshu tushusi fuzeren tongbao qunian quanguo tushuchuban guanli gongzuo], 24 February 05 (saying that authorities should use the opinions provided when screening the selection of topics to determine the distribution of book numbers, because this "reduces the risks relating to orientation"). ¹⁶⁰ "Wen Jiabao: Pushing Forward Political Reform, Strengthening People's Supervision of the Government" [Wen Jiabao: tuijin zhengzhi tizhi gaige jiaqiang renmin zhengfu de jiandu], China Court Network (Online), 16 March 07. Premier Wen also said that more public supervision of the government was needed.
 ¹⁶¹ "China's TV Watchdog Vows To Fight Corruption in TV Drama Censorship," Xinhua, reprinted in People's Daily (Online), 21 June 07.
 ¹⁶² The move was intended to improve the quality of talent and combat commercially driven "talent shows," but it also increases the government's control over artists and entertainers. "If You Want To Be a Music or Movie Star, You'll Need Certification" [Yao dang gexing yingxing xu xian chi zheng shang gang], Beijing News (Online), 19 April 07.
 ¹⁶³ Hebei Administration of Press and Publication (Online), "GAPP Director Long Xinmin Comes to Our Province To Inspect Guidance Work" [Guojia xinwen chuban zongshu shuzhang Long Xinmin dao wo sheng diaoyan zhidao gongzuo], 15 October 06.
 ¹⁶⁴ "Party Uses Journalists, Artists, Academics To Promote 'Harmonious Society'," CECC China Human Rights and Rule of Law Update, December 2006, 10.
 ¹⁶⁵ "Long Xinmin: Publish Large Volume of Outstanding Publications To Serve Readers and as Favor to Masses" [Long Xinmin: chuban dapi youxiu chuban wu fuwu duzhe hui ji qunzhong], People's Daily (Online), 28 March 07.
 ¹⁶⁶ "Public Security Organs Capture 590 Million Illegal Publications of All Kinds Over Five Years" [Gongan jiguan 5 nian shoujiao gelei feifa chubanwu 5.9 yi jian], Xinhua (Online), 29 March 07. ¹⁶⁰ "Wen Jiabao: Pushing Forward Political Reform, Strengthening People's Supervision of the

¹⁶⁷⁴100 Day Anti-Piracy Action: 368 Business Licenses Rescinded" [Fan daoban bairi xingdong: 368 jia danwei jingying xuke zheng bei diaoxiao], People's Daily (Online), 17 September 06.

¹⁶⁸Ibid. Li Baozhong, head of GAPP's Market Supervision Department, said that "compared to pornographic publications, the harm from these kinds of illegal news and economic publica-tions is even greater. Lawbreakers follow their own prerogatives to edit and publish these publi-cations, severely deviating from the correct news orientation." General Administration on Press and Publication (Online), "Illegal Periodical 'China New Observer' Investigated and Prosecuted" [Feifa qikan "zhongguo xin guancha" bei chachul, 8 May 07.

¹⁶⁹ General Administration on Press and Publication (Ohline), "Nationwide 'Sweep Away Por-nography, Strike Down Illegal Publications' Method: Three Major Points to Implement, Main-taining High Posture" [Quanguo "saohuang dafei"ban: shishi san da zhongdian baochi gaoya taishi], 27 February 07. ¹⁷⁰ In the First 3 Months of the Year, 36 Million Pieces of Illegal Publications of All Kinds

Were Confiscated" [Zhongguo jinnian qian 3 ge yue shoujiao gelei feifa chubanwu 3600 duo wan jian], Xinhua (Online), 14 April 07.

tion" [Guangzhou daxuesheng zi ban baozhi zazhi hefaxing shou zhiyi], People's Daily (Online), 20 June 07.

¹⁷² Ibid. ¹⁷³ "Eight Books Banned in Crackdown on Dissent," South China Morning Post (Online), 19

¹⁷³ "Eight Books Banned in Crackdown on Dissent," South China Morning Post (Online), 19 January 07. ¹⁷⁴ "GAPP Director Clarifies That Regarding Reported Banning of 'Past Stories of Peking Opera Stars' and Other Books: We Never Banned Even One Book" [Zhongguo xinwen chuban zongshu chengqing "lingren wangshi deng shu bei jin": women yi ben shu dou mei chajin], Zaobao.com, 1 February 07; "Eight Books Banned in Crackdown On Dissent," South China Morning Post. ¹⁷⁵ "GAPP: Investigated and Found No Book Ban, Zhang Yihe Counters That Officials Don't Understand When To Admit Error" [Chubanzongshu: you chachu wu jin shu Zhang Yihe bochi guanyuan bu dong ren cuo], Ming Pao (Online), 9 February 07; "GAPP Director Clarifies That Regarding Reported Banning of 'Past Stories of Peking Opera Stars' and Other Books: We Never Banned Even One Book," Zaobao.com. ¹⁷⁶ "Dublishers Confirm Being Punished for Printing Controversial Books" [Chubanshe

Banned Even One Book," Zaobao.com. ¹⁷⁶ "Publishers Confirm Being Punished for Printing Controversial Books" [Chubanshe zhengshi bei fa], Ming Pao (Online), 2 February 07. ¹⁷⁷ This year is the 50th anniversary of the start of the anti-rightist movement, a purge of intellectuals that followed the Hundred Flowers Campaign's brief tolerance of dissent. Propa-ganda officials have reportedly ordered China's media to limit coverage of this topic. Vivian Wu, "Court Reject Author's Plea on Ban," South China Morning Post, 27 April 07. ¹⁷⁸ "China Keeps Its Critics At Home While Promising Greater Freedom for Foreign Media," Associated Press (Online), 5 February 07. ¹⁷⁹ CECC, 2005 Annual Report, 11 October 05, 62; Reporters Without Borders (Online), "Jour-nalist Faces Possible Life Sentence for Posting Tiananmen Document on Website," 4 February 05; Keith Bradsher, "China Announces Media Crackdown," New York Times (Online), 15 August 07.

07

¹⁸⁰ Yahoo's general counsel testified at a congressional hearing that in October 2005 Yahoo merged Yahoo' Signeral counsel testified at a congressional hearing that in October 2005 Yahoo merged Yahoo' China with Alibaba.com, a Chinese company. Yahoo' maintained a large equity stake but no longer has day-to-day operation control over Yahoo! China. The Internet in China-A Tool of Freedom or Suppression?, Testimony of Michael Callahan.
 ¹⁸¹ Dui Hua Foundation (Online), "Police Document Sheds Additional Light on Shi Tao Case," 25 July 07; "Regarding Court Decisions and Security Bureau Documents for Shi Tao, Wang Xiaoning" [Guanyu Shi Tao, Wang Xiaoning de zhongguo fayuan panjue he anquanju wenjian], Boxun (Online), 23 July 07; Reporters Without Borders (Online), "Information Supplied by Yahoo! Helped Journalist Shi Tao Get 10 Years in Prison," 6 September 05. The Internet in China-A Tool of Freedom or Suppression?, Testimony of Michael Callahan; "Congressional Committee to Investigate Disparity Between Documents and Hearing Testimony by Yahoo!," House Foreign Affairs Committee (Online), 3 August 07; Stephanie Kirchgaessner and Richard Waters, "Yahoo Faces Scrutiny in China Case," Financial Times (Online), 7 August 07. In May 2007,

Shi Tao also joined a lawsuit against Yahoo! filed with the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, alleging, among other things, that the company had aided and abetted the commission of international human rights violations. See Amended Complaint for Tort Dam-ages, Xianing et al v. Yahoo! Inc., et al., U.S. District Court Northern District California, Oak-land Division, 29 May 07. ¹⁸² Jim Yardley, "China Releases Jailed New York Times Employee," New York Times (On-line), 15 September 07. ¹⁸³ The Beijing High People's Court upheld the sentence in December 2006. "Beijing Court Re-jects Zhao Yan's Appeal, Affirms Three-Year Sentence," CECC Human Rights and Rule of Law Update, December 2006, 3–4. ¹⁸⁴ Reporters Without Borders, "Journalist Gao Qinrong Released Five Years Early," 11 De-cember 06. In August 1999, a court in Shanxi province sentenced Gao for accepting bribes, fraud, soliciting prostitutes. "After Anti-Corruption Journalists Speaks the Truth" [Fan fu jizhe jiangle zhenhua yihou], Southern Weekend (Online), 12 December 02. Gao's reporting exposed a sham irrigation project in Yuncheng in 1998. "Gao Qinrong," PEN Canada (Online), December 2006. Investigative reports by several Chinese news media found that authorities in Yuncheng detained Gao in the absence of reliable evidence, started building a criminal case against him only after he was detained, and convicted him on the basis of insufficient evidence. "After Anti-Corruption Journalists Speaks the Truth" [Fan fu jizhe jiangle zhenhua yihou], Southern Week-end (Online), 12 December 02; "To Only Have Right To Interview Is Not Enough" [Jin you caifangquan shi bugou de], Legal Daily (Online), 14 May 01. ¹⁸⁵ Dui Hua Foundation, "Nine-Month Sentence Reduction Confirmed for Xu Zerong," 26 Sep-tember 06.

tember 06.