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PALESTINIAN EDUCATION—TEACHING PEACE OR WAR?

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 30, 2003

U.S. SENATE,
SUBCOMMITTEE ON LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES,
COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS,
Washington, DC.

The subcommittee met at 9:30 a.m., in room SD–192, Dirksen Senate Office Building, Hon. Arlen Specter (chairman) presiding.
Present: Senator Specter.
Also present: Senator Clinton.

OPENING STATEMENT OF SENATOR ARLEN SPECTER

Senator Specter. It is 9:30, the scheduled starting time for the hearing of the Appropriations Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education.

This morning, we are going to take up the issue of education of Palestinian young people, the issue of the funding of the U.S. Government for the Palestinian Authority, and the implications on the Mid-East peace process.

A few days ago, I had an opportunity to see some videos of young Palestinians talking about suicide bombings and the benefits of participating in that kind of a suicide bombing as an entry to heaven and as an entry to paradise. Notwithstanding some substantial experience in terrorism and what is going on in the Mid-East I found these videos to be absolutely shocking—absolutely shocking that teenagers, attractive young Palestinians, were stating a view that the desired goal in life is to be a suicide bomber, to kill as many Israelis as they could as an entry to nirvana, to heaven, to paradise.

This hearing has been scheduled as promptly as we could because of our views that these films ought to be known by the people of the United States and the people of the world as to what is going on. We have seen and heard a lot about suicide bombings, but I believe these videos have a portrayal and a depiction on an entirely different level.

Consideration was given to having this hearing in the Foreign Operations subcommittee, where I'm a member, and I discussed it with the chairman there, Senator McConnell, and the decision was made to proceed in this subcommittee because the Foreign Operations appropriation bill is now under consideration by the full Senate and it was thought this was the better committee to proceed.
We are going to be hearing from administration authorities. We're going to be hearing from the representatives of the Palestinian Authority. We're going to be hearing from people who are in favor of the peace process, people who have questions about the peace process.

Since the Oslo Accords in 1993, the U.S. Government has contributed $1,200,000,000 to the Palestinian Authority, almost all of it going to non-governmental agencies. This year, some $20 million has been allocated under waiver provisions, allocated by the Department of State, and we're going to be questioning the wisdom of that, in light of the terrorism that the Palestinian Authority is a party to. Before, some $36 million went directly to the Palestinian Authority, so most of the funding has been going to non-governmental agencies. But, even there, there's a substantial question as to where the money ends up.

In 1995, Senator Shelby and I introduced an amendment to the Foreign Operations bill which prohibited governmental funding to the Palestinian Authority until the Palestinian Authority made a maximum effort to avoid terrorism and to recognize the State of Israel.

Now, that is a very, very brief overview and a very brief introduction.

**STATEMENT OF ITAMAR MARCUS, DIRECTOR, PALSTINIAN MEDIA WATCH**

Senator SPECTER. We have a very distinguished panel of witnesses. We're going to start, really, out of turn here today, with Mr. Itamar Marcus, who is the director of the Palestinian Media Watch, showing us these videos, which, as I say, I saw a few days ago, and that will set the stage for the witnesses from the administration, the witnesses from the Palestinian Authority, and our other witnesses.

Mr. Marcus, if you would identify yourself for the record, I would appreciate it.

Mr. MARCUS. Yes, director of Palestinian Media Watch.

Senator SPECTER. And do you have in your possession certain videos?

Mr. MARCUS. Yes.

Senator SPECTER. And where were the videos obtained?

Mr. MARCUS. Everything that you'll see was filmed in Palestinian Authority television. This is PBC, Palestine Broadcast Company, that is owned and operated by the Palestinian Authority.

Senator SPECTER. All right, would you please show those to the subcommittee?

**VIDEO PRESENTATION**

“Ask for death” is the message the Palestinian Authority has been conveying to its children since the start of violence in October 2000.

In July 2002, two articulate 11-year-old girls were interviewed in a studio of official Palestinian television.

What has caused this compelling desire for death among these children? The Palestinian Authority has been making a supreme effort to convince their own children that there is no greater achievement than to die for Allah in battle, known as Shahada. This indoctrination film clip is designed to offset a child’s natural fear of death. It portrays Shahada as both heroic and tranquil, and was broadcast repeatedly over the last 2 years.
The film’s hero, a nice-looking school boy, leaves his father a farewell letter explaining his choice to carry out Shahada. This film was broadcast on official Palestinian television. Most of the scenes portray blood and death. The film ends with this screen displaying, in Arabic and in English, “Ask for death. The life will be given to you.”

In another film clip, “I am the shahid, my mother,” mothers are urged to be joyous over the Shahada death of their own children. The Palestinian Authority’s Ministry of Education textbooks portray Shahada as an ideal. For example, the poem of the shahid appears in textbooks on four grade levels and extols yearning for death. A song honoring Wafa Idris, the first woman suicide terrorist, was broadcast on Palestinian television three times.

The Shahada mandate comes from top Palestinian political leadership. The Palestinian Authority gives significant media exposure to parents who praise their children’s choice to die. Palestinian religious leaders have been a driving force in calling for Palestinians to kill Jews, especially through suicide bombings, and direct these messages at children, as well. Palestinian polls show that 72 to 80 percent of Palestinian children desire death as Shahids. Having been repeatedly exposed to this indoctrination, Palestinian children today actively set their sights on Shahada as a personal goal.

The Palestinian indoctrination has already led to the death of Palestinian children. Young children have written farewell letters to their parents in which they express pride in their desire to die and have set out on suicide terrorist attacks. The child Yusef Zakut wrote: “Don’t cry for me. Bury me with my brothers and with the Shahids.”

The Palestinian Authority has created a violent death-seeking reality for their children, having taught them to see death for Allah, Shahada, as an ideal which they are expected to achieve.

The examples presented in this report are a representative selection demonstrating the comprehensive campaign waged daily by the Palestinian Authority. Even if just 1 percent of the children attempt to fill their duty and seek Shahada through suicide terrorism, the ramifications will be cataclysmic. The targets of the future Palestinian terror wave will be Israel and, in all likelihood, other Western democracies, as well.

This education is an indelible stain on Palestinian society and places the Palestinian Authority among the greatest child abusers in history.

Senator Specter. Thank you very much, Mr. Marcus.

The characterization at the end about child abusers is a vast understatement. They’re civilization abusers. The children are their means to destroy civilization.

STATEMENT OF HON. DAVID SATTERFIELD, DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF STATE FOR NEAR EASTERN AFFAIRS, DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Senator Specter. I will now proceed to the administration witnesses. We will first call on Mr. David Satterfield. Ambassador Satterfield is the Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for Near Eastern Affairs. He previously had served as ambassador to Lebanon, director of the State Department’s Office of Israel and Arab-Israeli Affairs on the National Security Council staff. Satterfield is a graduate of the University of Maryland and Georgetown University.

Welcome, Mr. Satterfield.

We are going to limit the opening statements of witnesses to 5 minutes. I have been commenting, when the announcement is made about the limitation of time, to the Memorial service for Ambassador Walter Annenberg, where the time was 3 minutes, President Ford and Secretary of State Colin Powell and I and others were given 3 minutes. So I want you to know what a lengthy period 5 minutes is for an opening statement.
Thank you for joining us, Ambassador Satterfield, and the floor is yours.

Ambassador SATTERFIELD. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I would ask, first, that my prepared statement be entered into the record, and I do have some brief opening remarks to make.

The video clips that we’ve just seen—and there are many others that could have been chosen—do, indeed, cultivate a climate of alienation, hostility, incitement, what has been termed a culture of death rather than a culture of life, hope, and promise. As I said, many more images of this kind could have been chosen.

The subject of this hearing today is a very serious one for the administration. Indeed, Mr. Chairman, if we look back on the record of the modern peace process, since 1993, the issue which has been most problematic for us and for our partners in peacemaking to address, has been the challenge of how one changes minds, hearts, and attitudes. Frankly, too little attention was given to this challenge during many years of the peace process when focus was placed upon treaties, agreements, understandings. They have their place certainly, but the fundamental changes in the way people look at each other and deal with each other, the way they look at themselves and their culture and society, that also has to be addressed.

The administration, in pursuit of President Bush’s vision of two states, Israel and Palestinian—Palestine, living together in peace and security—has been focused upon building institutions for Palestinian statehood. Upon confronting the issue of incitement, incitement to violence, incitement to death, wherever it may be found, this is a major challenge, and I cannot minimize for you, Mr. Chairman, the magnitude of this problem.

We have been making efforts to try, with our partners in the region and outside, with the Palestinian Authority and with the Government of Israel, and with institutions drawn from civil society on both sides, to find ways in which to tackle this problem. I cannot tell you that this is an issue which we have succeeded in addressing, which we have succeeded in transforming from the type of images of hostility and death that you just saw to something else. But we do believe some progress—and I’ll be quite honest—some progress is being made.

It’s most important, if we look at how one changes minds and hearts, to begin at the earliest age possible in changing the nature of the culture and changing the nature of views of one people towards another. We have been successful, to an extent, in introducing changes into the textbooks used by the Palestinian educational system, the public educational system. Those textbooks, in the past, were marked by overt anti-Semitism, rejection of Israel, images of hostility towards Jews and Israelis which were absolutely unacceptable in any climate of peacemaking.

The current textbooks, which are in the process of being introduced through grades kindergarten to 12, sin more now by omission rather than commission. The images of anti-Semitism have been largely removed, but we want to see positive images of embracing Israel as a state, Israelis as a people, put in. We don’t just want the absence of negative image. We want the presence of positive images, and this is a challenge that remains before us. Some
progress, as I said, has been made, but much more needs to be done.

Mr. Chairman, those images which we witnessed, the challenge that confronts us as we deal with Israel/Palestinian peacemaking also is reflected on a broader regional scale. We are committed to doing everything possible, not just to address the call for death, the call for martyrdom, which we saw here today, but also the continuing images of anti-Semitism, rejection of Israel, rejection of Israelis, rejection of Jews as a people who merit a life in peace and security in the Middle East and elsewhere throughout the Middle East and the world.
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Here, too, the challenge remains before us. Here, too, we have not been fully successful in our efforts with other governments in the region, indeed outside, in addressing this challenge. But the administration is fully committed to applying what resources we have to this goal, and we welcome the opportunity to appear here today in that pursuit.

Thank you.

[The statement follows:]

PREPARED STATEMENT OF HON. DAVID SATTERFIELD

Thank you, Chairman Specter. I wish to express my gratitude to all the Members of this Subcommittee for this opportunity to discuss Palestinian education.

Mr. Chairman, let me begin by stating that we are in full agreement with the goals underlying this hearing. We all recognize that an education system that promotes peace and tolerance best prepares children for a bright future. We welcome this Committee's interests in the Palestinian education system and share its concerns. This is why we have placed such great emphasis on working with the Palestinian Authority to revise their curriculum to promote principles of human rights, democracy, diversity, tolerance and pluralism. That approach to education will provide a foundation for democracy and peace in the region, and the basis for a shared future.

Of concern to our discussions today is the question, to what extent does the current Palestinian education system promote the hate and violence that undermine the foundations for stability and peace? It is clear that the old Palestinian curriculum in the West Bank and Gaza is unacceptable to us and everyone else in the international community genuinely interested in an education system that teaches children the truth, as opposed to hatred. The United States and other donor nations, as well as Israel, have long been concerned that materials used in the Palestinian education system and the UNRWA schools incited hatred. The curriculum—formed around a core of outdated Egyptian and Jordanian textbooks that fell out of use in those countries long ago—contained anti-Semitic and anti-Israeli content and promoted gender stereotypes. Moreover, the textbooks failed to promote critical-thinking, but rather favored rote memorization. The Palestinian Authority, at the urging of the United States and the international community, recognized that neither intolerance nor deprivation of independent thought would serve future generations of the Palestinian people. Accordingly, the Palestinian Authority undertook the revision of the entire curriculum.

The revised curriculum represents a significant and positive step forward. There are no longer references to the Jews as "the evil enemy" or as being "treacherous", but rather there is clearly an avoidance of dealing with the Jewish-Islamic relationships in negative contexts. The new books seek to advance inter-religious tolerance and diversity, and have rid themselves of their anti-Semitic content. Concepts of good citizenship and ethics are stressed, as is respect for the environment and for others. The new curriculum also reflects an effort to promote active learning and critical thinking, over passive acceptance.

Despite these important gains, the new books fall short of expectations in important ways. Israel's existence is not explicitly acknowledged either in narratives or on maps. Discussions of "historic Palestine" are ambiguous on the status of Israeli...
cities such as Haifa and Nazareth. Encouragement of inter-religious tolerance focuses on Islam and Christianity, without mentioning Judaism.

A true peace curriculum must contain neither the sins of commission nor of omission. Therefore we will continue to press for further change and have dedicated the resources to do so. Revisions are underway for the remaining grades that use the older texts (grades 5, 10, 11, 12). Moreover, the Ministry of Education regards the development of the new curriculum as a continuing process, with further amendments expected once President Bush’s two-state vision becomes reality. Obviously, that is not soon enough. We recognize that the new textbooks still contain certain historical and political omissions and inaccuracies. What they do not contain, however, is a call to violence or reason for hate. To further ensure that the positive concepts of peace and tolerance in the textbooks are being promoted, with funding appropriated by Congress, we have charged the Israel/Palestine Center for Research and Information (IPCRI)—a well-respected NGO—with continued monitoring of the content, distribution, and use of these new books, and with the training of Palestinian teachers in peace and tolerance. IPCRI’s materials stress Israel’s existence as a political and historical reality.

We call upon your Committee to work to strengthen the hand of the President and Secretary as they seek to lead the region away from violence and towards peace by supporting these efforts. The future of the region depends on its children.

Thank you very much Mr. Chairman and Members of the Subcommittee. I’d be pleased to take your questions.

Senator SPECTER. Ambassador Satterfield, the roadmap states that: “All official Palestinian institutions must end incitement against Israel,” and the roadmap requires the Palestinian Authority to: “undertake efforts on the ground to arrest, disrupt, and restrain individuals and groups conducting and planning violent attacks on Israelis anywhere.” Are those requirements being complied with by the Palestinian Authority?

Ambassador SATTERFIELD. No, Mr. Chairman, they are not. We have not seen those basic steps necessary by the Palestinian Authority to confront violence and terror being taken to the extent that the roadmap requires and, indeed, any meaningful progress towards peace mandates.

Senator SPECTER. And the depiction shows Chairman Yasser Arafat on film talking about Shahid. What efforts are being made to combat Arafat’s efforts as depicted and shown on the screen?

Ambassador SATTERFIELD. Mr. Chairman, the position of this administration towards Mr. Arafat is very well known. We do not regard him as an interlocutor for the purpose of peacemaking. We have sought, working with the Government of Israel, working with individuals in the Palestinian Authority, and our partners in the region and the international community, to see an empowered, credible Palestinian leadership take office and move against terror and violence, as it moves towards the necessary institutional steps required, to see a Palestinian State achieved.

Senator SPECTER. Now, Ambassador Satterfield, in light of this kind of filming, and in light of the failure of the Palestinian Authority to act to restrain violence, what’s the justification for the U.S. Government this year advancing $20 million to the Palestinian Authority?

Ambassador SATTERFIELD. Mr. Chairman, that decision was made after careful reflection, upon the state of Prime Minister Abu Masen’s government, the credibility of Minister of Finance Salam Fayed, the institutional checks and balances, which both our own system and auditing mechanisms in place and the credibility of Abu Masen and Salam Fayed, established. We would not have taken this step—did not take this step lightly.
We believe that that decision was appropriate. We believe that those monies are accountable, fully, and that they went to purposes for which they were intended. Obviously, the administration continued to look very closely at any future issue of direct financing for the Palestinian Authority. No such financing is under contemplation at this point. Were we to do so, we would obviously consult with the Congress. We would also reflect very carefully on the issue of transparency and accountability for funds.

Senator Specter. Well, you say the monies have been accounted for. Where did the $20 million go?

Ambassador Satterfield. They went through the Ministry of Finance, under mechanisms which we believe are transparent and accountable, for both salary payments and repayment of debt to the Palestinian private sector.

Senator Specter. Can you be more specific than that?

Ambassador Satterfield. Mr. Chairman, we can provide detailed specifics on where the $20 million——

Senator Specter. We'd like you to——

Ambassador Satterfield [continuing]. Went.

Senator Specter [continuing]. Tell this subcommittee——

Ambassador Satterfield. We'd be happy to.

Senator Specter [continuing]. Where every dime of the $20 million went.

Ambassador Satterfield. We'd be happy to.

[The information follows:]

In July, $20 million from the Wartime Supplemental Appropriations Act was transferred to the Palestinian Authority (PA) though a “Cash Transfer Grant Agreement” between the PA (represented by the Ministry of Finance) and the U.S. Government (represented by USAID). Use of the $20 million was subject to a variety of controls and monitoring mechanisms.

Under the terms of the agreement, up to $9 million could be spent on the financing of utility payments. On August 28 the USAID West Bank/Gaza mission approved the use of $9 million to pay the Israeli Electric Company for bills owed by the PA. The PA made the payment on the same day.

For the remaining $11 million of the Grant, the Ministry of Finance has proposed 65 projects—25 in the West Bank and 40 in Gaza—with a total estimated cost of $10,878,280. Most of the projects involve repair and rehabilitation of roads, water and wastewater facilities, and municipal halls.

On September 22, following site visits by USAID staff engineers, USAID approved 30 projects, totaling $4,410,000.

On October 21, USAID approved an additional 11 projects totaling $1,310,000. This brought the total of approved projects to 41, with an estimated cost of $5,720,000.

Twenty-four projects ($5,158,280) proposed by the Finance Ministry have not yet been approved. Several of these will likely be approved once project designs and cost estimates are completed by the Ministry and reviewed by USAID engineers. The Finance Ministry will propose substitutions for any projects that are rejected.

Funds for a given project are drawn down by the Finance Ministry in five tranches, corresponding to work completed and subject to USAID approval at each stage.

The following mechanisms, incorporated into the Agreement and subsequent Implementation Letters, allow USAID to monitor use of the money:

—The Ministry of Finance has certified that none of the money will go to anyone involved in “armed hostilities or other acts of violence” or for the purchase of military or police equipment;

—The Ministry has established a separate bank account solely for this money, so as to ensure it will not commingle with funds from any other source;

—The Ministry has sent an authorization letter to its bank, authorizing USAID to access directly information about the account;

—The PA has agreed to keep detailed records and provide them for USAID to review and audit;
—Contractors are vetted using standard USAID procedures;
—Reports are provided quarterly by the Ministry of Finance, including copies of bank statements and a list of agreed-upon uses;
—The agreement contains a clause saying that the Ministry may be required to pay back any money not supported by appropriate documentation as being in accordance with the agreement;
—At the conclusion of each project, a USAID engineer will visit the site to confirm that the work was done as planned; and
—USAID’s regional Inspector-General will conduct a financial audit of the Cash Transfer activity after all $20 million has been expended.

Senator SPECTER. Essentially, what you’re saying is that $20 million was given to the Palestinian Authority to help Abu Masen in his effort to become the Prime Minister. Is that about the size of it?

Ambassador SATTERFIELD. Mr. Chairman, it was given to the Palestinian Authority in a way that we believe bolstered the credible empowered authority of Prime Minister Abu Masen, of the Ministry of Finance, and institutional mechanisms and safeguards which have been put in place under Minister Salam Fayyed.

Senator SPECTER. Well, are you essentially saying that the way things are today, with former Prime Minister Abu Masen having departed, that there will be no further payments by the U.S. Government to the Palestinian Authority?

Ambassador SATTERFIELD. Mr. Chairman, that was a one-time direct payment, the only such payment of its kind. Before we would contemplate any such step in the future, we would need to see in place an empowered, credible Prime Minister and Cabinet, with unified security services under the control of the Prime Minister and tangible steps taken on the ground to confront terror and violence, yes.

Senator SPECTER. Would you go further and require proof that the Palestinian Authority is not going to be showing these videos, with young people glorifying and—self-sacrifice and being suicide bombers?

Ambassador SATTERFIELD. Mr. Chairman, we have made very clear that the Palestinian Authority, to be viewed as a credible partner for peace, must not just move against terror and violence—that’s obviously a central critical priority—but also must move against the climate and culture of incitement and violence which underlies the actual acts of terror.

Senator SPECTER. Well, that’s not quite a flat statement that additional funds would not be advanced to the Palestinian Authority unless this kind of propaganda was stopped. Can you give this subcommittee that assurance flatly?

Ambassador SATTERFIELD. I can assure that we would not make any direct funding available to the Palestinian Authority if there was any question whatsoever regarding the commitment of that authority, translated into action on the ground, including with respect to incitement.

Senator SPECTER. Like this——

Ambassador SATTERFIELD. As was seen here.

Senator SPECTER [continuing]. Video.

Well, okay, thank you, Ambassador Satterfield. We appreciate your coming over. We know you have commitments today and have asked to be excused at this point, and you are excused by the subcommittee.
Customarily, we like the witnesses to stay, because there may be some comments at a later time for a response, but I understand your official duties, so we'll thank you.

Ambassador SATTERFIELD. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Appreciate this opportunity.

STATEMENT OF JAMES KUNDER, DEPUTY ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR FOR ASIA AND NEAR EASTERN AFFAIRS, DIRECTOR, AFGHAN RELIEF AND RECONSTRUCTION, USAID

Senator SPECTER. Our next witness is Deputy Assistant Administrator for Asia and Near Eastern Affairs, Mr. James Kunder, Director for Afghan Relief and Reconstruction. Mr. Kunder received his master's degree in international relations from Georgetown, and his bachelor's in political science from Harvard University.

Thank you for joining us, Mr. Kunder, and we look forward to your testimony.

Mr. KUNDER. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I have a prepared statement that, with your permission, I'd like to submit for the record.

Senator SPECTER. Your full statement will be made a part of the record.

Mr. KUNDER. I want to give a quick overview of the U.S. Foreign Assistance Program in the areas in which the Committee has expressed an interest. But just to start with a personal comment of, USAID throughout the world, with the support of taxpayer dollars, uses the media for a number of positive, what we refer to as, social marketing activities in AIDS prevention and better healthcare, participation of girls in school. And I just have to say, at a personal level, to see this kind of perversion of media targeted at children, knowing the powerful impact that media has on children, it's an abomination to see this thing firsthand.

The U.S. Agency for International Development Program targeted at Palestinians is, as you described earlier, primarily targeted at international or Palestinian non-governmental organizations, with the exception of the $20 million direct cash transfer this past year. It works in the area of humanitarian assistance and, more broadly, at building Palestinian civil society so that there are voices of moderation in the political debate in Palestine. And that includes support for independent media organizations that show debate in the Palestinian Legislative Council, and otherwise bring in groups whose voices might not be heard in the Palestinian debate. Following the congressional guidance and the law, we do not give direct assistance to the Palestinian Broadcasting Company.

We recognize that our attempts to bring voices of moderation into the political process, however, is subject to diversion of resources, and, therefore, we have put in place a range of safeguards, including the vetting within the American Embassy of all of the organizations, all the grantees and program partners with which we work. We have, in all of our grant agreements, a certification requirement that the organization receiving U.S. taxpayer dollars is not passing any of that money through to terrorist organizations. We have an extensive oversight operation where, consistent with the security situation on the ground, either U.S. Government direct-hire employees or our contractors are out monitoring the use of our funds to ensure that there are not abuses or diversions, and we are
discussing these issues on a regular basis with the Israeli authorities in the West Bank and Gaza.
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So from our view, the totality of the U.S. foreign aid program is contributing to moderate voices being part of the Palestinian debate, and we have implemented a range of safeguards that we think ensure that U.S. taxpayer dollars are going to the purposes for which they are intended.

I'd be glad to answer any questions, sir.

[The statement follows:]

PREPARED STATEMENT OF HON. JAMES KUNDER

Mr. Chairman: Thank you for the opportunity to testify today on behalf of the U.S. Agency for International Development. I would like to begin by echoing the words of Deputy Assistant Secretary Satterfield: We appreciate the importance of education as a force for peace and progress in the world, and share the concern of this Subcommittee whenever the education of children is perverted to serve as a platform for violence and hatred.

PROGRAM OVERVIEW

In order to provide context for the Subcommittee’s inquiries today, I would like briefly to summarize the U.S. foreign assistance program in the West Bank and Gaza. In general terms, the Mission responds to the humanitarian situation, providing relief to the poorest segments of the Palestinian population, while at the same time implements longer term development projects, supporting the road map and other U.S. foreign policy goals in the region.

Consistent with Congressional guidance and in support of U.S. foreign policy priorities, the U.S. foreign assistance program in West Bank and Gaza consists of several elements. First, we are addressing basic human needs resulting from the severe economic downturn of the past three years. Our programs provide food, medical care and emergency water and create jobs for those who have lost them as a result of the depressed economy and the limited employment opportunities within Israel. Working with U.S. partners such as the YMCA, Save the Children, ANERA, CHF Inc. and local NGOs, we have created more than 1.9 million person days of employment since 2001. In addition, our support to food aid delivery through WFP and ICRC has ensured that more than 650,000 of the most vulnerable Palestinians have received a nutritional food basket on a regular basis. We also support vaccination projects, supply clinics and health NGOs with emergency medicines, and fund special training for doctors and nurses through our grants with CARE International.

Second, we are promoting a wide range of reform efforts within the Palestinian Territories. Since the 1996 national elections, we have been the lead donor working with the Palestinian Legislative Council, which within the last 12 months has challenged the PALESTINIAN Executive Branch on issues relating to the designation of a Cabinet and delineation of the Prime Minister’s responsibilities. In 1999, we initiated a rule of law program, which has trained scores of Palestinian judges and prosecutors and has led to the formation of two commercial Alternative Dispute Resolution Centers. We have also funded programs to develop the capacity of the Palestinian Monetary Authority, to assist the Minister of Finance in strengthening the internal audit capacity of the Palestinian Authority, and to restructure the new Ministry of National Economy. We are poised to support a Palestinian election process, having already conducted a comprehensive electoral assessment and provided technical assistance to the Central Election Commission. Lastly, we have a robust civil society program that funds Palestinian NGOs, which are advocates for reform and democratization.

Third, our Mission has supported the “revitalization” of the private sector. Activities include direct technical assistance at the firm level to assist in their internal restructuring, grants to repair damaged business premises and providing loan capital for mid-size businesses. Our emergency micro lending programs have provided much needed cash to small business owners, mostly women. With $3 million of USAID funds, we have leveraged funds for small loans from two commercial banks in the amount of $17 million.

Fourth, I would like to emphasize that we have maintained some of our longer-term development projects. These include the construction of much needed water in-
structure, which has provided potable water to much of the population in the Southern West Bank. We are also repairing small scale water infrastructure in several cities, working closely with the municipal governments. Our community services projects, which build schools, clinics, agricultural roads, youth centers, and other small infrastructure, are active in 50 per cent of the villages in the West Bank and Gaza.

Fifth, through our scholarship and training programs, we are developing the human capital that a democratic Palestinian state will require. Since 2000, 90 Palestinians have received or are in the process of receiving Masters Degree scholarships from U.S. universities. In addition to their academic studies, many of the returned scholars serve to educate their fellow-citizens about the reality of life in the United States. The Mission also has provided more than 300 full scholarships to Palestinians for study in local universities and funds short training programs in the IT sector that has benefited more than 115 Palestinians to date.

Last, using the $50 million we received as part of the fiscal year 2003 emergency wartime supplemental appropriation, we responded quickly to acute problems on the ground, repairing roads in 5 cities in the West Bank and repairing bridges, greenhouses, damaged business premises, and agricultural wells in Northern Gaza. Of this Supplemental, we used $20 million as a cash transfer to the Palestinian Authority. With the very tight restrictions and safeguards we attached to this cash transfer, I am happy to report that it is being used in a transparent manner to pay utility bills owed to the Israeli Electric Company and to make municipal infrastructure repairs throughout the West Bank and Gaza.

These results have been achieved, notwithstanding the on-going violence, because of the dedication and commitment of our contractors, grantees and Palestinian partners, and with the full support and cooperation of the Government of Israel. Through creative mechanisms, which I will describe below, we have maintained adequate oversight to ensure that US taxpayer resources are well spent and support the foreign policy goals of the Administration.

Having provided general background on the USAID program, let me now address the specific issues covered by this hearing related to Palestinian education and other issues related to the promotion of peace and moderation.

EDUCATION

Palestinians put a very high priority on education for children. USAID does not work specifically on curriculum development or textbooks. We do fund significant training programs for teachers and students, which help students deal in alternative ways with trauma and anger. Our “psycho-social” training project has reached over 32,000 students between the ages of 6 and 18 and their teachers. Activities under this project, which is implemented by Save the Children, include play and art activities for children, geared towards helping them deal with the tension of the situation on the ground, and group discussions with parents and teachers. This program is implemented throughout the West Bank and Gaza, including such urban centers as Jenin, Ramallah, and Gaza City. The project is also active in rural areas. We also improve the learning environment by building and repairing classrooms, libraries, and labs. The 800 classrooms that USAID has remodeled and rebuilt provide improved learning environments for children. Among other things, these new classrooms provide the opportunity for girls to go to school in areas that they previously were unable to because of space limitations.

In addition, our NGO support project, TAMKEEN, implemented by U.S. contractor CHEMONICS, has awarded some grants related to education. One NGO in Gaza supports university students' work on issues of democratic practice, including peer mediation and conflict resolution. Another NGO has provided extremely high quality civic education to thousands of people (mostly high school students) throughout the West Bank and Gaza. Our Moderate Voices program has awarded NGOs to work with teachers, Ministry of Education, and school administrators on a peace curriculum integrated with the regular school curriculum. It has also supported an initiative with high school students promoting democratic dialogue, attitudes, and skills, and an ongoing project in the Gaza Strip to enrich and emphasize democratic and human rights oriented values in the standard curriculum. Also in Gaza, a peer mediation and conflict resolution program conducted in UNWRA schools disseminates desired values and identifies and training peer leaders to act as mediators in conflict situations.

One part of the the Wye-funded People to People program, being implemented by Professor Dan Bar-On of Ben Gurion University and Dr. Sami Adwan of Bethlehem University, works with Palestinian Ministry of Education and Israeli public school
teachers on developing a curriculum that recognizes the views, values, narrative, and humanity of each side in the conflict.

MEDIA

Since 1998 USAID has been prohibited from supporting the Palestinian Broadcasting Company. Still, through activities in our democracy and governance portfolio, we have funded various media programs produced by the independent television and radio stations and prepared for daily newspapers that provide outlets for voices of moderation and peace. For example, our “Moderate Voices” NGO project has funded the development and broadcasting of a popular soap opera series, which examine the daily conflicts inherent to Palestinian life, including, but not entirely focused on the interface with Israel and Israelis. Our flagship civil society support project, TAMKEEN, provides grants to Palestinian NGOs for media programs that provide voice to marginalized groups, including women and disabled, and recently convened a workshop for eight media broadcasting outlets and teaching institutes to improve professionalism and competency. Lastly, our legislative assistance program has included a televised series regarding the role of women in the Council and in politics, televised proceedings of the Council and widely broadcast town hall meeting events with Council members. Through these programs and others, we have helped Palestinian media outlets provide information about democratic processes and facilitated debate among democratic actors.

In a situation like the West Bank and Gaza, there is always a concern that U.S. assistance dollars may be diverted from their intended purposes and inadvertently be used to foment hatred or violence, in educational programs or in some other way. To counter this possibility, USAID has instituted a number of safeguards in our programs. I would like to describe briefly two such safeguards: our system of vetting program partners, and our system of program oversight.

VETTING

The Mission is well aware of the dangers associated with providing assistance to terrorist organizations or those who are affiliated with such organizations. Consequently, beginning in November 2001, the Mission implemented a program whereby Palestinian grantees and contractors must be vetted by the U.S. Country Team. This applies to all contracts in excess of $100,000 and to all grants regardless of dollar value. In each case, the organization and its key personnel are reviewed to determine whether they are engaged in terrorist activity. Also, individuals applying for scholarships or to participate in USAID funded training programs are similarly vetted. To date, the Mission has vetted more than 1000 Palestinian organizations and individuals.

OVERSIGHT

To oversee the USAID Mission’s large portfolio, project managers and contracting officers at Mission headquarters and local staff work closely together, even when travel restrictions preclude face-to-face meetings. The Mission’s staff includes three engineers residing in Gaza and another three in the West Bank, ensuring visits to construction sites during periods when U.S. staff are unable to enter the West Bank and Gaza.

The Mission also utilizes information communications technology to ensure effective oversight. Telecommuting is commonplace for staff unable to travel to Tel Aviv on a regular basis, and video-conferencing is used to maintain contacts between project officers in Tel Aviv and contractors/grantees with offices in Ramallah or Gaza. Our engineers often make use of digital photography to help keep the home office suitably informed of construction project progress.

The Mission has pioneered a GIS-based performance monitoring system under which project information data are entered by project managers. This system allows almost immediate retrieval of data on any given activity. The system has been implemented for the Mission’s largest strategic objective and will soon be applied to all the others.

The Mission conducts portfolio reviews several times a year, and publishes a report detailing the status of each activity twice a year. In addition to periodic audits, the Mission, with congressional encouragement, has developed a robust risk assessment strategy. All Mission institutional contracts and grants—approximately 100—are audited on an annual basis by local accounting firms under the guidance and direction of USAID’s Inspector General. Preliminary findings on the first 10 auditable units appear to indicate that except for some questioned costs, general compliance and internal controls appear to be adequate.
Mr. Chairman: Once again, I appreciate the opportunity to testify today and the interest of this Subcommittee in the work of the U.S. Agency for International Development. We believe our stewardship of the U.S. foreign aid program in West Bank and Gaza has been a force for peace, and not for war; a force for moderation, and not for conflict. I welcome the Subcommittee's questions on our programs and oversight mechanisms.

Senator Specter. Well, thank you very much, Mr. Kunder.

STATEMENT OF RICHARD SOLOMON, PRESIDENT, U.S. INSTITUTE OF PEACE

ACCOMPANIED BY STEVEN RISKIN, PROGRAM OFFICER, GRANT PROGRAM, U.S. INSTITUTE OF PEACE

Senator Specter. We have one further witness on this panel, Mr. Richard Solomon, president of the U.S. Institute for Peace. We'd like Mr. Solomon to come forward at this time.

Dr. Solomon has served as the president of the U.S. Institute of Peace since 1993, previously served as Assistant Secretary of State for East Asia and Pacific Affairs and Director of Policy Planning at the Department of State. He holds a Ph.D. in political science from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Thank you for joining us, Dr. Solomon, and we look forward to your testimony.

Dr. Solomon. Good morning, Mr. Chairman. And I and my colleagues really appreciate the opportunity to be with you this morning. As you know, our institution reports directly to the Labor, Health, and Human Services Committee. You fund our work directly, inasmuch as we're an independent federal institution. Normally, since I come up asking for financial support, it's a pleasure to be able to describe to you some of our activities that relate to this very serious issue that is on the agenda today.

The Institute of Peace, as I think you know, was created as an educational institution, and what I will describe is a shift in our work over the last 20 years from educational activities largely focused here within the United States to activities in zones of conflict around the world. And those activities very substantially focus on the effort to promote educational reform in Muslim societies, ranging from Northern Africa, the Middle East, through South Asia, and Southeast Asia.

Our objective is to try to mobilize those interested in reform, reconciliation within these societies in the interest of promoting peaceful resolution of disputes, reconciliation, tolerance, and certainly countering incitement.

What we have discovered through a decade of activities that began with work after the Dayton Accords, when we went into the Balkans and worked with educators to promote an educational system that had been corrupted by the Milosevic regime, was, of course, that education is a very powerful tool for reform, for teaching reconciliation and breaking the cycles of hatred and violence. Indeed, the power of education is precisely why the extremists have been trying to capture the madrasa system and turn it against even existing Muslim governments, whether it's in Pakistan, Malaysia, or Indonesia. And so our work is attempting to empower those who seek to use the educational system for purposes of reconciliation. And one of the dilemmas our friends in these various parts of the world face is that many of them do not have the na-
tional government resources to fully fund their educational system, so that money comes in from abroad from what you might characterize as interested parties who are trying to turn the madrasa system in the direction of the kind of horrific propagation of violence that we saw in the opening video.

Let me describe three very brief examples of the kind of work that the U.S. Institute of Peace supports through its full range of programming—our grant-giving, our fellowship activity, our education/rule-of-law programs, and our professional training activities.

The first example would be the effort to support the Anti-Incitement Commission that was established after the 1998 Wye River agreement. And two of our board members, former board members now, Father Theodore Hesburgh, of Notre Dame, and Shibley Telhami, professor at the University of Maryland, were on the Anti-Incitement Commission. And two of my colleagues who are with me here today, Dr. Jeff Helsing, who is with the Education Program, and Steven Riskin, who is with our Grant Commission, they are more specialists on this region than certainly I am. They brief the Commission on our experience about educational reform and efforts to limit incitement. Unfortunately, as Father Hesburgh concluded after a number of efforts to engage both sides in the region, was that issues of limiting incitement were just not open to discussion. This is the late 1990s.

A second example is our support for Seeds of Peace, an effort to bring teenagers from the Israeli/Palestinian societies together in the pristine, secure environment of Northern Maine, an effort that's gone on for 10 years and has engaged over 2,500 teenagers. And I think the Seeds of Peace experience, which is documented in a book that we've published, written by John Wallach, the late John Wallach, who, tragically, died rather early, was that this kind of cloistered experience, if run professionally, can help to break stereotypes, hostilities, establish friendships. And, indeed, what we find is that many of the Palestinian kids who went back to societies totally unsupportive of this reconciliation work demonstrated real courage in resisting the return to the kind of attitudes that we've seen here.

The third project was an effort in which we supported a book project by a George Washington University professor, Dr. Nathan Brown, who analyzed the situation in the Palestinian areas of reform following the Oslo Accords. And his conclusion, and he should, of course, speak for himself, was that there had been modest change in the direction of a moving away from the kind of inciteful textbooks and the educational material that we've seen here today, but clearly much more incitement than we want to see in these instances, but some movement away from what it had been in earlier times. And the clear fact is that the political context which would support the moderating efforts of work like Seeds of Peace or the kinds of reform efforts that Dr. Brown describes are, at this point in time, just not supportive of significant reform.

So, in conclusion, based on the experience of institute work, I would say the four issues I would stress is that intergenerational cycles of conflict, hatred, can be broken, especially if you work with teenagers, those who are much more impressionable. But, unfortu-
nately, as we’ve seen in these videos, you can also see the opposite effect.

Second, educational reform is not a short-term process. Our work with educational reformers in Northern Ireland indicates that this is a decades-long effort.

Third, we have found professional educators who are receptive to reform, and our efforts are to support, at the civil society level, those who want to see a curriculum that will encourage reconciliation and peace.

PREPARED STATEMENT

But, fourth, and most disturbing, of course, is that the political context, the leadership that would encourage these kinds of reforms, is, in the Palestinian areas, apparently totally lacking at this point, and that unless we have that kind of leadership, it seems unlikely we will see sustained efforts to promote this kind of reform.

Thank you.

[The statement follows:]

PREPARED STATEMENT OF DR. RICHARD H. SOLOMON

INTRODUCTION

Thank you, Senator Specter, for inviting me to testify this morning before the Senate Appropriations Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services and Education. The U.S. Institute of Peace has traditionally testified before this Subcommittee to discuss its annual budget, which is funded by this Subcommittee. We are honored to be here today to discuss an issue that is at the core of the Institute’s mandate: educating people in the perspectives of tolerance and mutual understanding, and training professionals in the skills of conflict management and resolution.

As you know, the Institute was created nearly two decades ago as an educational institution. In our first decade of work, we focused on raising the awareness of American educators about war and peace issues and conflict resolution strategies. During the 1990s, in the context of efforts to stabilize the post-Dayton peace in the Balkans, the Institute developed programs beyond our borders to promote conflict resolution education in zones of conflict. What the Institute has learned through these activities abroad is that education reform is a powerful force for change. Indeed, it is critical in efforts to break the cycle of violence in conflict-ridden societies. Educating for tolerance and reconciliation, and overcoming entrenched attitudes of prejudice and hatred, requires intensive efforts at a people-to-people level, with educators and other leaders of civil society.

We recognize that educating for peace is not a substitute for a successful political process. In an atmosphere poisoned by relentless violence, it is tempting to conclude that education and other dialogue programs are either irrelevant or impossible to sustain. But such a conclusion ignores the reality that any peace process can be sustained only with broad public support.

The Institute’s ongoing work with Israeli and Palestinian educators, religious leaders, and legal experts, even in the face of terrorist violence, however, sustains hope among leaders in these societies, leaders who some day will be the builders of peace. These are the people, in both societies, whose support will be critical to any future peace agreement. They are the ones who will be called upon to promote reconciliation once the political process gets back on track.

Thus, the Institute is sustaining its educational programs despite the horrendous violence over the last three years. Despite occasions when our activities are disrupted by a bombing or a failure of diplomacy, we are heartened that Israeli and...
Palestinian educators have the courage to seek reforms and promote mutual understanding.

Given the topic of today's hearing, I would like to describe what the Institute has done, and is doing, through its range of programs—our Grant, Education, Training, and Fellowship programs—to help bring Palestinians and Israelis together, to reduce incitement to conflict, and build constituencies in support of reconciliation. I will also summarize some lessons the Institute has learned, through its work in conflict zones around the world, about how education can be used as a peacebuilding tool.

WYE RIVER MEMORANDUM AND THE ANTI-INCITEMENT SUBCOMMITTEE

The Institute became involved with the issue of incitement to violence in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict after the signing in 1998 of the Wye River Memorandum. That agreement established a Trilateral Anti-Incitement Committee “whose purpose [was] to reduce tensions and create a positive atmosphere of positive cohabitation. Its purpose [was] not to incriminate but to solve pressing problems.” Among those serving on the Committee were former Institute Board members Father Theodore Hesburgh, President Emeritus of Notre Dame University, and Dr. Shibley Telhami, Anwar Sadat Chair for Peace and Development at the University of Maryland. As Father Hesburgh noted at the time, getting both sides to discuss educational reform was a daunting challenge. In a recent conversation on the issue, Father Hesburgh recalled, “Both sides were not open to discussion.”

As the Trilateral Anti-Incitement Committee began its work, two Institute staff members, Dr. Jeffrey Helsing and Mr. Steven Riskin, briefed the Committee about the Institute’s experience on this topic and also provided useful lessons from other societies that had been working to reduce incitement by reforming their educational curricula.

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF EDUCATION REFORM

As relations between Palestinians and Israelis have evolved since Madrid and Oslo, U.S. officials, researchers, and education specialists have increasingly recognized the importance of reforming education systems in the promotion of peace, tolerance, and reconciliation. Educators in Israel and the Palestinian territories have begun over the past decade to examine ways the formal educational systems—through school textbooks and other means—address such topics as the history of the region. A primary objective of revising textbooks is to lay the groundwork to advance mutual understanding, encourage greater tolerance, and promote coexistence. Reconciliation cannot happen until long-held prejudices are challenged and the history, culture, and religion of the other side are recognized as having their own legitimacy.

This is obviously a monumental task given the long history and current intensity of the Arab-Israeli conflict. The Institute is using its resources in support of educational reforms—however incremental—and confidence-building measures that will end incitement to conflict and violence.

Let me give you a few examples of our programs in this regard.

INSTITUTE-RELATED GRANTS

Through the Institute’s Grant Program, we have supported the work of Seeds of Peace, a summer camp experience that sends Arab and Israeli teenagers for six weeks of coexistence training in Maine. Since 1993, Seeds of Peace has brought together more than 2,500 young people who have learned of alternatives to hatred and conflict.

In 1999, the Institute awarded a grant to Seeds of Peace to develop an educational, interactive CD–ROM program based on the experiences of Arab and Israeli youth who have participated in the summer camp program for use in classrooms around the Middle East. The initiative has also prepared related curricular materials, a manual to guide educators in the use of the CD–ROM program, and a students’ handbook. The start of the current Intifada has slowed dissemination of the CD–ROM, but it is reaching schools across the region.

I should also note that the Seeds of Peace founder John Wallach, who tragically passed away last year, was an Institute fellow in 1998. During his fellowship, John documented the work of his remarkable organization in breaking down stereotypes and seeking an end to incitement and violence. His fellowship year culminated in

---

3As mandated by Congress, the Institute distributes funds each year ($3.25 million in fiscal year 2003) in support of research and conflict resolution programs worldwide.
the publication by the Institute of a study, *The Enemy Has a Face: The Seeds of Peace Experience*.

The Institute also provided a grant in 1999–2000 to George Washington University Professor Dr. Nathan Brown to study the reforms underway in Palestinian politics in the wake of the Oslo peace accords. The culmination of this grant was his recently released book, *Palestinian Politics After the Oslo Accords; Resuming Arab Palestine*. Dr. Brown focuses his work on five areas: legal development, constitution drafting, the Palestinian Legislative Council, civil society, and the effort to reform education and write a new curriculum. He devotes a chapter of this book to “Democracy, Nationalism, and Contesting the Palestinian Curriculum.” In this latter chapter, Dr. Brown sheds light on the challenges facing Palestinian educators in their efforts to reform education in Palestinian society, advance modern and democratic approaches to learning, and develop and implement new curricula.

In this important work, Dr. Brown outlines the debates among Palestinian educators and politicians about how to build a new generation of Palestinian citizens committed to democracy and coexistence. He writes that the textbooks in use in the West Bank and Gaza Strip before the establishment of the Palestinian Authority were old Jordanian and Egyptian textbooks used when the territories were held by those two countries prior to the 1967 war. They contained many offensive and inaccurate passages regarding the history of the region and the State of Israel. These educators confronted the need to remove outdated and inaccurate accounts of history and geography from the curriculum and replace them with new perspectives that are consistent with the two-state solution that Israeli and Palestinian leaders, as well as President Bush, now hold out as the goal of a political process.

In reworking their own textbooks, however, Palestinian educators confronted the fact that no Palestinian state yet exists, and that their relationship with the Israelis is still undefined. As a result of this uncertainty, Dr. Brown concluded, the new Palestinian textbooks do not fully reflect a commitment to educating for coexistence. Nevertheless, he asserts, these new Palestinian teaching materials represent a significant improvement over the old textbooks.

Dr. Brown’s assessment of Palestinian textbooks reveals a mixed record of reform and considerable room for improvement. But that is not a reason for despair. The Institute’s experience is that there are many moderates on both sides of this conflict prepared to improve the quality of education on behalf of coexistence. While political leaders must create the context for peace, the Institute’s responsibility is to support educational professionals who are laying the basis for mutual understanding.

Another example of our grant-making and education work is our collaboration with the Middle East Children’s Association, a joint Israeli/Palestinian non-government organization that works with teachers to improve intercommunal relations and promote curriculum development. As one of the few educational organizations still working across the Green Line, the Middle East Children’s Association was awarded an Institute grant in the summer of 2001 to engage Palestinian and Israeli elementary and middle school teachers to:

1. assess the impact of the current violence on teachers and their professional capacities in the classroom;
2. develop educational materials that introduce students to human rights concepts and their relevance to tolerance and mutual understanding; and,
3. design a work plan for continued inter-ethnic engagement among educators in the context of the ongoing violence.

With Institute support, the Middle East Children’s Association has trained more than 250 Palestinian and Israeli elementary, middle, and high school teachers to cope with trauma resulting from the conflict. The initiative will result in a guide for implementing seminars for educators dealing with trauma, tools assessing the impact of such sessions on the capacity of educators to identify and cope with the trauma of their students, and educational materials for use in the classroom.

At the end of November, the Institute’s Education Program will be training 40 Israeli and Palestinian educators in new cross-cultural learning techniques and curricular materials that were developed by teachers in other zones of conflict (specifically, Northern Ireland and Macedonia). As part of this program, training materials from the “Education for Mutual Understanding” curriculum mandated throughout Northern Ireland are being translated into Hebrew and Arabic. In addition, the November workshop will help Israeli and Palestinian educators to enhance their facilitation and conflict resolution skills so that they can work effectively in bi-national teams.

Other relevant Institute activities in the educational area include:

---

A recent grant to Catholic Relief Services is supporting a project to explore how issues of peace and tolerance can be addressed in Pakistani religious educational institutions. The initiative will result in a report on ways to integrate
peace education into the religious curriculum and will produce a peace education module to be implemented in those institutions.

—With Institute funding, a study based at Brown University is examining religious educational materials used in schools across the Middle East, in an effort to identify and promote more tolerant Islamic curricula.

—A 1997 grant to Hebrew University of Jerusalem examined middle and secondary school history and civics textbooks used by Palestinian and Israeli students, focusing particular attention on the treatment of Israeli-Palestinian history and interaction from 1949 to 1987. A joint Israeli and Palestinian research team produced a report that examined national narratives in the textbooks and identified negative stereotypes of the other in an attempt to weed out these stereotypes and hostile references of each other.

—A 2002 grant to the Hebrew University of Jerusalem is supporting a human rights education project to develop, implement and evaluate a pilot teacher training course in Hebrew and Arabic on theories of and approaches to promoting human rights. Targeting some two dozen Arab and Jewish teachers from underserved areas in Israel and East Jerusalem, the program will result in a “Human Rights Reader” in Arabic to accompany its Hebrew analogue, provide teachers with educational tools to integrate human rights concepts into lesson plans, and produce a model for human rights education that will be disseminated to university schools of education and other teacher training institutions in Israel.

—A 2003 grant to the Israeli organization, Yesodot—The Center for the Study of Torah and Democracy—is underwriting a faith-based peace building training program for 16 religiously observant Jewish and Muslim teachers in Israel that explores the theological, psychological and social roots of intolerance and conflict. The initiative will result in a manual for facilitators of future religious Jewish and Muslim school encounters and a curriculum on coexistence for teachers that includes religious Muslim and Jewish sources and simulation exercises. A previous Institute grant to Yesodot supported an education program that brought together principals of Jewish, Muslim, and Christian religious schools in a series of bi-monthly workshops exploring religious tolerance, coexistence and citizenship issues.

LESSONS LEARNED ABOUT EDUCATION REFORM IN OTHER ZONES OF CONFLICT

The Institute’s work in conflict zones around the world has yielded lessons that we are applying in our work with Israelis and Palestinian. Our Education Program recently convened scholars from Israel, Northern Ireland, Macedonia, and South Africa (via the Internet) to evaluate the effectiveness of cross-community relationship-building and to share experiences of teaching and curriculum development.

The experience of Northern Ireland is particularly instructive, as schools there were reinforcing negative stereotypes and promoting incitement to conflict. In the 1970s, a small group of Protestant and Catholic parents and teachers wanted to transform education in Northern Ireland so that it promoted tolerance, respect, non-violence, and human rights. The curriculum they developed began in only a few schools, but after twenty years—or one generation—it has been adopted as a requirement by the educational authorities throughout Northern Ireland. A new curriculum on civic education is now being developed by a combined group of Protestant and Catholic educators. Northern Ireland will be a significant case study for a workshop on conflict resolution education that the Institute’s Education Program is putting together at Hebrew University of Jerusalem.

The Institute’s extensive work in the Balkans has included peace education. A 1997 grant to the Centre for Transition and Civil Society Research in Zagreb, Croatia, sought to examine the content of history, politics, and literature textbooks at the primary and secondary school level in Bosnia, Croatia, and Serbia. The study, conducted by a multinational team, assessed the utility of existing textbooks in promoting reconciliation, and developed recommendations for designing and implementing peace education programs in the former Yugoslavia.

Through the Institute’s Jennings Randolph Fellowship Program, in 2000–2001 we hosted an educator from Macedonia (Violeta Petroska-Beska) who conducted a project on “Education for Interculturalism: Learning to Live Together in a Multicultural Society.” With our support, Dr. Petroska-Beska has written curriculum development guides for teachers and trainers in Macedonia on topics such as combating ethnic stereotyping and promoting ethnic tolerance. Dr. Petroska-Beska is one of the education experts participating in our workshop with the Middle East Children’s Association.
The history of South Africa also provides insight about how to address curriculum reform and how the reform process requires a long-term commitment to be successful. With the end of apartheid in South Africa there were, initially, many school programs on tolerance (including a strong human rights component) and conflict management. But transforming the official education curriculum, particularly on issues such as history and race relations, has now taken more than a decade and is not scheduled to be completed before 2005. Education reform is not a short-term process.

Through its Grant Program, the Institute of Peace is currently funding a major research initiative focusing on how the teaching of history—through textbooks and school-based programs—affects attitudes about former enemies and contested pasts. The project will involve comparisons among case studies (Northern Ireland, Russia, Kazakhstan, and North and South Korea) and is likely to yield fresh insights for educators seeking to advance reconciliation in conflict and post-conflict settings.

OTHER INSTITUTE PROGRAMS PROMOTING ISRAELI-PALESTINIAN CONFLICT RESOLUTION

As mentioned earlier, the Institute is working with a range of civil society leaders in Israeli and Palestinian societies to support conflict resolution. The Institute’s Religion and Peacemaking Program continues to support the follow-up work to the Alexandria Declaration, signed in January 2002 by prominent Jewish, Muslim, and Christian leaders from Israel and the West Bank. The Declaration stresses that none of the three religious faiths legitimates political violence, and calls for an end to all violence in the region. Because of the religious arguments used by extremists in this conflict to justify the use of violence, it is especially important to mobilize religious leaders to speak out for peace and coexistence—to undercut the arguments of the extremists.

The Institute’s Training Program works with Palestinians, other Arabs, and Israelis to promote alternative strategies to the use of violence in bringing about change. During 2000 and 2001, Institute staff conducted two workshops for 40 young Arab and Israeli leaders from universities, governments, the media, non-governmental organizations, and civil society with the goals of networking and preparing for a non-violent future. Institute facilitators used training in conflict management and resolution to illustrate how skills such as analysis and negotiation could resolve conflicts and achieve political and social goals. Arabs and Israelis engaged in joint problem solving, many working with each other for the first time. They also engaged in dialogue on their visions of the future in the Middle East, while grappling with the ongoing violence between their communities. The Institute’s Training Program is currently organizing another skills-building workshop for Palestinians and Israelis in the first half of 2004.

The Institute’s Rule of Law Program, at the request of the Israeli and Palestinian ministers of justice, organized a special initiative on a Palestinian-Israeli legal dialogue. The Institute seeks to build professional relationships between the two legal communities and enable them to jointly solve common problems—a process they had not been able to start without outside facilitation, and that no other international party had undertaken. At roundtables and follow-on working groups in the region, members of the two legal communities and foreign experts discuss issues affecting the daily interaction of their two systems, and develop proposed solutions to common problems. Over 120 members of the two legal communities have participated to date. Although the dialogue was suspended during the recent violence, the Institute is exploring ways to reactivate the program.

CONCLUSION AND POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

To summarize, the U.S. Institute of Peace is committed to the concept that education, through curriculum reform and the training of teachers, can be an effective component of conflict resolution efforts between Israelis and Palestinians. We support this commitment with our funding and programming, and we are sustaining our efforts despite today’s daunting environment of hostility and violence!

In the case of the Palestinians’ education curriculum, the process of change that supports coexistence is incomplete, incremental, and still controversial. But continued attention to this issue by Members of Congress and the Administration is one important way of encouraging further progress.

Educational reform in the Palestinian Authority will fully advance only in the context of political reconciliation, a process that, as President Bush has stressed, is at the heart of the “roadmap” effort. Educational reform will be a vital means of ensuring that any future peace agreement is widely understood, supported, and sustained over the long-term. Thus, the links the Institute is helping to build today between Palestinian and Israeli educators, legal professionals, and religious leaders
will be part of the public support structure necessary for preventing the kind of collapse that ended the Oslo process. It is an investment in future peace.

Confronting deeply held views of history and territory, much less revising them in the interest of accommodation with an adversary, is one of the most difficult and long-term tasks in conflict resolution. But such changes in attitude are essential to helping peace take root and preventing future outbreaks of conflict. The U.S. Institute of Peace is committing its resources to support Israelis, Palestinians, and other communities in conflict to educate their children for peace by teaching conflict resolution skills and promoting the values of mutual understanding, tolerance, and respect for the other.

We thank the Committee for its support of our work. My colleagues and I look forward to responding to your questions.

Senator Specter. Well, Dr. Solomon, when you talk about breaking the pattern if there is education of teenagers, isn’t it all going in the wrong direction? Is there any effort being made to educate teenagers away from the culture of violence?

Dr. Solomon. We have seen some efforts of that sort. We have funded some activities—

Senator Specter. Such as what?

Dr. Solomon. Well, here again, I think, at this point, we may want my colleagues to give you much more detail. My formal testimony gives a number of examples of institutions of civil society, not associated directly with the Palestinian Authority, that are trying to promote a curriculum of reconciliation.

Senator Specter. Well, what are they accomplishing?

Mr. Riskin. Well, the institution has supported several initiatives—

Senator Specter. Well, we hadn’t planned to have more witnesses, but step up and identify yourself, and—

Mr. Riskin. This is Steven Riskin, of our—

Senator Specter [continuing]. Talk to the question, if you can.

Mr. Riskin [continuing]. Of our Grant Program.

Senator Specter. What is your name, sir?

Mr. Riskin. Steve Riskin. I work in the institute’s Grant Program, and I’m a—

Senator Specter. I would like to know specifically what the Institute of Peace is doing. We fund you $17½ million from this subcommittee.

Mr. Riskin. Correct.

Senator Specter. And I believe there is going to be an additional allocation of funding in the supplemental appropriation. And my question to you, What specifically are you doing to counteract that kind of virulent terrorism which is depicted in those videos?

Mr. Riskin. We’re a bridge-builder. We work with a variety of organizations in the region that are moderate and that are interested in removing the hate—

Senator Specter. What are they doing?

Mr. Riskin. What are they doing? In one instance, for example, Yesodot, which is the Center for the Study of Torah and Democracy, they are bringing teachers together—

Senator Specter. Study of Torah and Democracy?

Mr. Riskin. Torah and—Muslim, Christian, and Jewish liturgy—to talk about and to ferret out the areas where there is promotion of tolerance and reconciliation.

Senator Specter. Are you reaching—

Mr. Riskin. These are—
Senator SPECTER [continuing]. Are you reaching teenagers, such as those you saw in the videos?

Mr. RISKIN. The work with the teachers—the work that we are doing with the teachers does trickle down into the classroom. We have found that the religious communities, particularly—and educators, but the religious communities were not involved or engaged in the Oslo process, and this is one area that, in the future, they will not only be—they have—religion has been seen as being an impediment to the peace process, but here are people on both sides, religious people, who are committed to promoting nonviolence and peace.

One of the other activities that we have been involved with as an institute is the Alexandria Declaration. David Smock, who works in our Religion and Peacemaking Program, has been a proponent of this, and this brought together Jewish, Christian, and Muslim leaders in Cairo to—and they signed a declaration in support of the peace process and nonviolent approaches to resolving the conflict, and there is an array of activities that are flowing from that.

Senator SPECTER. Well, I think—

Mr. RISKIN. Getting—

Senator SPECTER [continuing]. I think at the level that you describe, it’s commendable, but it’s not too impressive to talk about “trickle-down.” How much of it—

Mr. RISKIN. There—

Senator SPECTER. Excuse me. Finish the question. How much of it reaches the kind of young people we see on these videos?

Mr. RISKIN. There are other organizations that we have and are supporting, like Neve Shalom, in addition to the Seeds of Peace Program, that directly relates to youth and gets them engaged with the other in mutual understanding.

Senator SPECTER. Palestinian youth?

Mr. RISKIN. Palestinian youth. There are organizations that work both in Israel, with Jews and Arabs, and across the Green Line, because it’s our view that what is happening in relations between Jews and Arabs in Israel does have an impact across the Green Line, as well. But there are materials that are being developed—human rights materials at the Hebrew University, both for Jewish and Arab classrooms. There is teacher training that’s going on, and this is certainly connected to moving toward a resolution of the conflict.

Senator SPECTER. How would you evaluate the effectiveness—how would you evaluate the effectiveness of all of that, contrasted with these propaganda videos, which are shown on Palestinian terrorism?

Mr. RISKIN. Well, this is a difficult environment, obviously. The last 3 years, the intensity of the conflict, it is very difficult to say, okay, here’s a huge success that we have had. We are working with courageous moderate educators—in some cases, legal specialists—across the Green Line. These are people who are committed to working together to resolve the conflict. These are two competing narratives on the education front. We have supported work—and it’s in the testimony—Jewish and Arab educators together, looking at what is in the textbooks and seeing how events were portrayed
and trying to work out, not a unified history, but at least an appreciation that can then be—and work has been done to translate that appreciation into material that’s used in classrooms.

Senator SPECTER. Let me come back——

Mr. RISKIN. It is——

Senator SPECTER [continuing]. Let me come back to my question. How effective is that against this kind of video propaganda?

Mr. RISKIN. That's a difficult—it's a difficult question to answer. We know it is effective with the teachers who are engaged in these activities, because they are committed to working with the other and mutual understanding. And it is our hope—and this work is to expand the pool of moderate, in this case, educators——

Senator SPECTER. We have seen——

Mr. RISKIN. [continuing]. Working through the system.

Senator SPECTER [continuing]. We have seen the textbooks in the Palestinian schools, for a decade or more, preaching violence, terrorism, and hatred. Have those textbooks been changed?

Mr. RISKIN. Dr. Solomon referred to the study here that we funded, in part, that looked at those textbooks. And before 1993, as you may know, the textbooks that were used in Gaza and the West Bank were Egyptian and Jordanian, and there was hateful material in those. And those are no longer, by and large, used. There is now new material coming out, and the study that we funded indicates that progress has been made. Still, there are problems with it, but significant progress about removing hateful references to Jews and Israel—in fact, the omissions that I think were mentioned earlier talking about Israel from a Palestinian perspective is a difficult thing to do, as it is for Israelis talking about it. Where do you draw the lines for a state? It's very difficult to present maps, for example, when you don't know where the limits of your——

Senator SPECTER. Never mind the maps or the delineation of the states. Do the current textbooks being given to—in Palestinian schools to fourth-graders, fifth-graders, sixth-graders, seventh-graders contain hateful information about Israel and Jews?

Mr. RISKIN. I have not done the study of those textbooks. I can only refer to some of the work that's been done here. It's been less than 10 years since there was a Ministry of Education, and there had—reform is underway, and I think a few—textbooks at a few levels—and there will be speakers later, I think, who can address this—there are textbooks at some of the levels that have come out that are a step clearly in the right direction, of removing hateful material.

Dr. SOLOMON. Mr. Chairman, let me just add——

Senator SPECTER. Excuse me——

Dr. SOLOMON. Sure.

Senator SPECTER [continuing]. Dr. Solomon.

The subcommittee would like an answer to the question specifically. It shouldn't be too hard to answer. Those materials are available, and the subcommittee would like to know whether, currently, the textbooks being used in Palestinian schools have hateful and inciteful matters against Israel and Jews.

You wanted to say something, Dr. Solomon?
Dr. Solomon. Well, I was just going to repeat—I think my bottom line on this is, until you get a leadership in the Palestinian Authority that is committed to reform and reconciliation, the people that we work with in civil society are not going to be reinforced, empowered by their own leadership. And so that puts a substantial constraint on the impact of the kinds of things we’re supporting.

But you are certainly entitled to a full accounting of the projects that we support and as best an answer to your question as we can provide you.

Senator Specter. Well, we’d like to have it, because every year we take a look at your request for money, and the budget is extremely tight, and we’d like to know what value is being received by the U.S. Government for the $17 million a year.

[The information follows:]

Teaching About Combating Incitement in Palestinian Schools

Hate and incitement in Palestinian schools is a serious issue and a central concern of all the Institute’s education work. We commend you, Senator Specter, for raising this issue to the attention of your colleagues and the American people, and taking a leadership role in addressing this very disturbing problem. Teaching children to hate is unacceptable, and the Institute is doing its part to address this problem.

While hateful and inciting materials were clearly evident in older Palestinian textbooks, improvements are being made to eliminate such overt incitement statements in more recently published Palestinian textbooks. When the Palestinian Authority (PA) assumed control over education in the West Bank and Gaza in 1994, it initially relied on Jordanian and Egyptian textbooks, pending the composition of a new, Palestinian curriculum. That new curriculum was designed between 1995 and 1998, and the first new textbooks (for grades 1 and 6) were introduced in 2000. Two grades have been switched over to the new textbooks each year; as of the beginning of the current school year, grades 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, and 9 all use the new textbooks. In grade 5 and the remaining high school grades the older Jordanian and Egyptian books are still in use as of this writing. Israeli schools for Palestinians in East Jerusalem follow the same pattern, using the same books. No academic study has been carried out regarding the older books, but they have few defenders. Thus, to our understanding, they do include material that is hostile to Jews and Israel.

The newer Palestinian textbooks have been studied extensively by academics and by non-governmental organizations including:

—Nathan J. Brown, professor of political science and international affairs at George Washington University, has conducted research on the new curriculum with funding from a grant from the U.S. Institute of Peace and a Fulbright research and teaching grant in Israel.

—The U.S. Department of State, through our embassy in Tel Aviv and the consulate in Jerusalem, commissioned a study conducted by Israeli, Palestinian, and international educational experts under the auspices of the Israel-Palestine Center for Research and Information (IPCR).

—A joint project was conducted by an Israeli academic (Ruth Firer) and a Palestinian academic (Sami Adwan), funded in part by the U.S. Institute of Peace, and carried out under the auspices of the George Eckert Institute in Germany, covered both Israeli and Palestinian education.

—The Center for Monitoring the Impact of Peace (CMIP), an Israeli non-governmental organization, has focused on the topic since 1998.

—The Middle East Media Research Institute (MEMRI) released a report on the first new Palestinian textbooks in 2002.

All five assessments agree that explicit incitement has decreased or been eliminated in the new Palestinian textbooks. The latter two organizations still find problematic material and implicit incitement. The first three studies, however, found no material that could be fairly called incitement. The new textbooks, however, do not treat Israel or Jews in any consistent manner. The little material they do include reflects a strongly nationalistic point of view (for instance, they include illustrations of home demolitions and a short unit on the 1948 massacre at Deir Yassin). The new textbooks do not make efforts at peace education, either avoiding many of the most sensitive topics or treating them obliquely. The new textbooks show some pro-
gressive themes—as on gender, democracy, and human rights issues—but they are quite timid on issues related to Israel.

The Institute is well aware of the serious problem of textbooks teaching hate and incitement as well as wars, and has approached it from a number of vantage points. Institute staff have worked with our former Board member Father Theodore Hesburgh, who traveled to Israel a number of times as a member of an official delegation looking into the issue of incitement following the signing of the 1998 Wye Agreement. The Institute has approached the issue of Palestinian education primarily through its Education Program and Grant Program, which are involved in teacher training and the development of learning materials for Palestinian and Israeli teachers to use in the classroom. The Institute has learned from its work in other zones of conflict, such as Northern Ireland and the Balkans, that a central component of any effective strategy for reaching students is to work with their teachers. This has a multiplier effect by influencing the students in the classrooms. If teachers are not sensitive to the issue of hate and incitement, then what is in the textbooks will have limited effect.

The following is an account of how the U.S. Institute of Peace is doing its part to address incitement by working directly with American, Israeli and Palestinian educators and civic groups through our Education and Grant making programs:

1. The Institute has worked with the Middle East Children’s Association (MECA), a joint Palestinian-Israeli NGO, in a project that brings over 250 Palestinian and Israeli elementary and middle school teachers together to create learning materials for their students that incorporate peace, tolerance, respect, responsibility and nonviolence. From November 28–30, 2003, the Institute’s Education staff conducted a training workshop in Cyprus for MECA participants. In this workshop, Palestinian and Israeli teachers worked with curriculum developers and teacher trainers from other zones of conflict. They drew on the lessons and experiences of teachers in other conflict zones, such as Violeta Petroska-Beska, a Macedonian educator who was a 2000–2001 Jennings Randolph Fellow at the Institute and wrote curriculum development guides for teachers and trainers in Macedonia on topics such as combating ethnic stereotyping and promoting ethnic tolerance. The MECA participants will be training additional teachers inside Israel, the West Bank and Gaza Strip. MECA’s training initiatives, with the Institute’s support, will not only engage educators from both sides of the conflict, but will directly impact several thousand students.

2. Promoting understanding and respect for human rights is another critical aspect of the Institute’s efforts to reduce incitement and strengthen peaceful approaches to resolving differences. A 2002 grant to the Hebrew University of Jerusalem mentioned in my testimony is supporting a human rights education project to develop, implement and evaluate a pilot teacher-training course in Hebrew and Arabic on theories of and approaches to promoting human rights. Targeting some two dozen Arab and Jewish teachers from underserved areas in Israel and East Jerusalem, the program will produce a “Human Rights Reader” in Arabic to accompany its Hebrew analogue, provide teachers with educational tools to integrate human rights concepts into lesson plans, and produce a model for human rights education that will be disseminated to university schools of education and other teacher-training institutions throughout the country. In the initial phase, this program will reach several hundred Jewish and Arab Israeli students as well as Palestinian students in East Jerusalem. In collaboration with Haifa University the program will soon be launching training programs that will engage between 80–100 Arab and Jewish teachers in northern Israel—impacting hundreds of classrooms and thousands of students. Discussions are now underway with Ben Gurion University of the Negev to mount a similar program for teachers in the southern part of the country.

3. The Institute is a supporter of the Seeds of Peace organization, a summer camp experience that sends Arab and Israeli teenagers for six weeks of coexistence training in Maine. In 1999, the Institute awarded a grant to Seeds of Peace to develop an educational, interactive CD–ROM program based on the experiences of Arab and Israeli youth who have participated in the summer camp program for use in classrooms around the Middle East. The initiative has also prepared related curricular materials, a manual to guide educators in the use of the CD–ROM program, and a students’ handbook. The start of the current Intifada has slowed dissemination of the CD–ROM, but even so it is reaching schools.
4. The Institute also provided through a grant to Seeds of Peace support for an October 10–17, 2003, Seeds of Peace International Youth Conference “Breaking News, Making Headlines.” The Conference enabled 125 youth ages 15–19, including Palestinian and Israeli, to develop the independent thinking skills necessary to decipher media and use it to make their voices heard. The Conference moved beyond identifying the factors that contribute to conflict towards constructive action to reverse these trends in their societies, specifically focusing on the question: How can we redirect the power of the media towards the positive aim of building a culture of peace.

5. As noted in my testimony, a 2003 Institute grant to the Israeli organization, Yesodot—The Center for the Study of Torah and Democracy—is enabling this organization of religiously observant Jewish Israelis to reach communities long neglected by programs seeking to advance tolerance, mutual understanding and peace. This peace-building training program for 16 religiously observant Jewish and Muslim teachers in Israel explores the theological, psychological, and social roots of intolerance and conflict. While modest in its early stages, the project will expand the small but growing number of religious educators promoting shared values of coexistence and mutual acceptance, and will reach several hundred students. Moreover, the potential growth of this program is significant, with the number of Muslim and Jewish student beneficiaries likely to reach several thousand.

6. A 2003 Institute grant to the Jerusalem-based Citizens’ Accord Forum is funding a coexistence leadership training program for Jewish and Arab middle school youth. In the first phase, targeting students between the ages of 14–15, the initiative will train and develop the skills of a future cadre of outstanding community leaders motivated and equipped to be active in the field of coexistence work on a local and national level. The initiative will also develop curricula and study materials in peacemaking and coexistence, and disseminate a new model of collaboration and partnership among Arab and Jewish youth, parents, educators, and their broader communities.

7. As stated above, an Institute-funded project in 1997 based at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem examined middle and secondary school history and civics textbooks used by Palestinian and Israeli students, focusing particular attention on the treatment of Israeli-Palestinian history and interaction from 1949 to 1987. A joint Israeli and Palestinian research team produced several reports that examined national narratives in the textbooks and identified negative stereotypes of the other in an attempt to weed out these stereotypes and hostile references of each other. The soon-to-be published findings of this research have already been used by and had a direct impact on curriculum development in the Palestinian Ministry of Education, and the development of new junior high school curriculum in Hebrew and Arabic on the subjects of geography, literature and civics, is now underway.

8. As stated above, the Institute provided a grant in 1999–2000 to George Washington University Professor Dr. Nathan Brown to study the reforms underway in Palestinian politics in the wake of the Oslo peace accords. The culmination of this grant was his recently released book, Palestinian Politics After the Oslo Accords; Resuming Arab-Palestine. He devotes a chapter of this book to “Democracy, Nationalism, and Contesting the Palestinian Curriculum.” In this chapter, Dr. Brown sheds light on the challenges facing Palestinian educators in their efforts to reform education in Palestinian society, advance more modern and democratic approaches to learning, and develop and implement new curricula.

9. With Institute grant funding in 2003, a study based at Brown University is currently examining religious educational materials used in schools across the Middle East, in an effort to identify and promote more tolerant Islamic curricula. The initiative will also assess contemporary Islamic education in comparison with the classical tradition, explore points of convergence and reciprocity among different religious traditions in the Middle East, and probe models for Islamic religious education that can inform the development of new, more tolerant and inclusive curricula.

10. In addition, in May 2004, the Institute is planning to conduct a conflict resolution education program at Hebrew University of Jerusalem that will supplement the education graduate students are receiving in conflict resolution studies. The training program will bring together Israeli and Palestinians educators who are working to incorporate principles of conflict resolution, peace and non-violence into their teaching and their classrooms.

In closing, the Institute is committed to expanding its work with educators on anti-incitement education wherever we find receptive Israelis and Palestinians partners. We understand that these efforts will be substantially constrained until there is leadership in the Palestinian Authority that is committed to reform and reconciliation. In the meantime, the Institute measures the impact of its programs based on
increasing dialogues between Israeli and Palestinian teachers and getting them to teach their students about peace and conflict resolution.

I want to express the Institute's deep appreciation for your support of its work, which is sustained by appropriations from this Subcommittee. We look forward to working with you and other members of this Subcommittee on this very important subject.

Senator Specter. Mr. Kunder, I note that the administration requested $75 million in direct aid for the Palestinian Authority for the fiscal year 2004. The bill drafted by the Senate does not contain a specific dollar amount for the Palestinians. Is there still a request by the administration for $75 million in direct aid for the Palestinian Authority, or has that changed with the deterioration of the Palestinian Government and the change of Prime Minister?

Mr. Kunder. Sir, I think Ambassador Satterfield stated exactly what the situation is now, that there would be no additional direct—it would be considered on a case-by-case basis. There would not be additional assistance, except in those cases—in those circumstances that he described, that all those guarantees would be met. So I take that, his answer to your earlier question, as the current state of play.

Senator Specter. Mr. Kunder, where you fund humanitarian projects in the West Bank and Gaza, does that result in the indirect release of funds, which can be used by the Palestinian Authority for terrorism?

Mr. Kunder. Sir, whenever we're acting as stewards of the taxpayer dollars anywhere around the world, I think the question of fungibility always comes up, and we cannot deny that in any circumstance a dollar is a dollar, so that a dollar going to any entity or any nation or any NGO around the world can be seen as a dollar that that organization does not have to locate or access from some other source. So at that level of generality, of course dollars going to any entity provide resources that don't have to be raised somewhere else.

Senator Specter. Well, it obviously poses a very difficult choice. You don't want to free up money to go to terrorist operations.

Mr. Kunder. Yes, sir.

Senator Specter. And I know there is a real effort to try to stimulate some moderate view——

Mr. Kunder. Yes, sir.

Senator Specter [continuing]. Within the Palestinian Authority to try to advance the peace process. But, to the extent possible, are you looking at situations where USAID might release money for terrorism, to avoid it where at all possible?

Mr. Kunder. We have a specific certification required of all of our grantees that's quite specific—we could certainly make a copy of it available to you—that goes into quite detailed requirements by any of our recipients, in terms of what they can do and cannot do, in terms of the pass-through of money.

Senator Specter. I'm familiar with the certification.

Mr. Kunder. Yes, sir.

Senator Specter. It provides that the Palestinian entities will not provide material, support, or resources to any individual or entity which it knows or has reason to know is acting as an agent for any individual or entity that advocates, plans, sponsors, en-
gages in, or is engaged in terrorist activity. So that’s what you were referring to.

Mr. KUNDER. Yes, sir.

Senator SPECTER. And we’ve been advised that many Palestinian partners have refused to sign the pledge. Is that correct?

Mr. KUNDER. That’s correct. Yes, sir.

Senator SPECTER. But where they refuse to sign the pledge, do you give them American money?

Mr. KUNDER. I'm sorry, sir? They——

Senator SPECTER. Where they refused to sign the pledge, do you advance U.S. aid to them?

Mr. KUNDER. If this is an—the certification is an absolute requirement to receive USAID assistance. If an organization does not sign the certification, it will not receive any assistance.

Senator SPECTER. Well, okay, that's good news.

Well, thank you very much, gentlemen. It's a very, very difficult issue, but what I think we have to look for is something that does hand-to-hand combat with those videos. Is there any avenue available for the United States or any other entity to put on videos to compete with the videos which we have seen?

Mr. KUNDER. Sir, if I could, the—on page 3 of my testimony—I didn’t capture it in my quick overview—but we go into some of the peace curriculum and conflict resolution work that is being done, the development of curriculum to be inserted into the Palestinian school systems. And these programs, in answer to your question about what kind of impact, have reached tens of thousands of students, and we will be glad to provide detailed descriptions of those programs. So there are certainly competing curricula out there.

Senator SPECTER. Any—never mind curricula—any television? Curricula is very passive. Television is very active. Any competing television?

Mr. KUNDER. Yes, sir. We are——

Senator SPECTER. Such as?

Mr. KUNDER. Both in terms of participation, we are funding the coverage, for example, of town meetings and alternate moderate voices that—to lobby the Palestinian Legislative Council. We have actually funded—because we know the power of this kind of media, we have actually funded some soap operas that portray—and sometimes in some pretty controversial terms—but Israel-Palestinian dialogue. So, yes, sir, we take your point, and we're doing some of that.

Senator SPECTER. Anything that, head-on, hits the inducement to these young Palestinians to commit suicide with a bombing?

Mr. KUNDER. Sir, to the best of my knowledge, I don’t think so, but I will follow up and get that information to the committee.

[The information follows:]

USAID funds a broad range of activities in the West Bank and Gaza that engage the youth population, and are aimed at dissuading Palestinian youth from aspiring to be suicide bombers. For example, as the attached table of civil society and community service activities indicates:

—Our democracy and governance projects teach the skills of democratic, civil, non-violent mobilization and advocacy. They reach out to school children and university students, providing mentoring, counseling, and structure, and at the
same time imparting skills, knowledge, and appreciation for non-violent conflict resolution techniques.

—USAID-supported civic education media programs are widely disseminated and artfully designed to deliver and reinforce the message that while there are problems, violence is not a solution.

—Town hall meetings, panel discussions, and young leader training programs reach out into the heart of the communities that have been identified as prime breeding grounds for suicide bombers, providing avenues of communication and organization that are effective and healthy alternatives to violence.

—Through our various community service programs, we are trying to inculcate skills and positive experiences that will support non-violent conflict resolution behaviors. For Palestinians teens and young adults, we support programs that “get them off the street” into positive, healthy, mentored situations where they are engaged in constructive activities and, at the same time, developing attitudes and problem-solving techniques that are conducive to adopting non-violent approaches to resolving the national conflict.

In addition, a significant portion of our overall programming is geared to meeting emergency health and humanitarian needs, creating jobs, providing educational opportunities, and supporting economic development. In this way, USAID programs give Palestinian youth hope for a better life and future.

This hearing has been useful in sharpening USAID’s focus on the nature of the issue of suicide bombings. Consequently, this fiscal year we plan to use available funds to design and implement additional targeted activities, within the parameters of current U.S. law.

USAID/WEST BANK AND GAZA CIVIL SOCIETY PORTFOLIO CONTRIBUTION TO ANTI INCITEMENT 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NGO Activity Name/Implementing Partner(s)</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Voices: Academy for Educational Development (AED); Search for Common Ground (SEARCH)</td>
<td>$54,965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Palestinian Center for Helping to Resolve Community Disputes. Gaza Conflict Resolution Project—Peer Mediation Nablus Youth Parliament</td>
<td>$26,662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East Non-violence and Democracy (MEND). Non-Violence Training of Trainers Non Violence Radio Soap Opera</td>
<td>$49,949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel Palestinian Center for Research and Information. Training of Media Professionals in skills that Promote Non-Violence</td>
<td>$27,824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palestinian Forum for Democracy Nablus Youth Parliament</td>
<td>$25,212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USAID/WEST BANK AND GAZA CIVIL SOCIETY PORTFOLIO CONTRIBUTION TO ANTI INCITEMENT 1</td>
<td>$4,902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanweer Chemonics Inc. Advocating Democracy and Human Rights through Combating Social Violence.</td>
<td>$70,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Palestinian Center for Micro Project Development. Women in Gaza: Expression through Theater</td>
<td>$85,660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fekra For Educational Art Women and Child Development Association. Democracy Awareness Program for Children in Rafah</td>
<td>$51,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Training and Crisis Management. Civic Education Program of Youth in the Shejaiya and Zeitoun areas</td>
<td>$64,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAAN. Palestinian Children Taking Part—A Right and a Responsibility</td>
<td>$98,412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Palestinian Youth League. Democracy and Citizenship Summer Camp</td>
<td>$68,543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panorama. Youth Assembly on Leadership</td>
<td>$96,705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Interest Groups for Community participation via Advocacy and Policy Development.</td>
<td>$99,475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisan Advocacy for a Regional Public Policy on Youth in Civic and Democratic Participation (Nablus).</td>
<td>$97,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaza Community Mental Health Programme. Cooperation through Video Conferencing</td>
<td>$24,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Palestinian Association for Legal Women and Child Development Association.</td>
<td>$78,530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic Forum. Strengthening Palestinian Civil Society and Grassroots Democratic Development in the Northern, Central, and Southern West Bank.</td>
<td>$297,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Mamal Foundation for Contemporary Art. Contemporary Art for Palestinian Youth</td>
<td>$85,286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maghazi Community Rehabilitation Society. To Enhance Community Based Education in Maghazi Camp</td>
<td>$90,155</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NGO</th>
<th>Activity Name/Implementing Partner(s)</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Palestinian Institute for Community Research and Training.</td>
<td>Enhancing university Students Role in Public Issues</td>
<td>50,610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enhancing Students’ Role and Participation in Civil Society Issues and Democratic Reforms in Al Aqsa University.</td>
<td>50,725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Jalaa For Culture and Arts</td>
<td>Civic Education for Children through Drama</td>
<td>95,913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Karmel Cultural Association</td>
<td>Enhancing Democracy and Creativity Among the Children</td>
<td>51,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaza Center for Rights and Law</td>
<td>Civil Education in Democracy and Human Rights for Young Leadership</td>
<td>47,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Private Sector Development.</td>
<td>The Palestinian Private Sector—Towards a More Vibrant Role</td>
<td>92,682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashfar Theatre</td>
<td>Abu Shaker’s Affairs 2002. A Theatre Performance as a Tool for Change.</td>
<td>93,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha International</td>
<td>Monitoring Attitudes and Perception of High School Students in Palestine and Utilizing Derived Indicators to Conduct an Array of Public Advocacy Activities on Various Aspects of Survey Findings.</td>
<td>94,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Network Center</td>
<td>Strengthening the Role of Education in Building Civil Society in Palestine.</td>
<td>99,925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arab Thought Forum</td>
<td>Civil Society Participation Project</td>
<td>99,292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Citizen’s Rights Project</td>
<td>99,412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Youth Leadership Project</td>
<td>98,644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ayyam Al Masrah</td>
<td>Theatre Plays with Kids in Gaza and Hebron</td>
<td>83,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civitas</td>
<td>Participating Agencies Services Agreement (PASA) with Public Diplomacy, Department of State</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palestinian Youth Association for Leadership and Rights Activation.</td>
<td>Youth4Change</td>
<td>19,535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Continuing Education</td>
<td>Birzeit University</td>
<td>24,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association for Women’s Action for Training and Rehabilitation.</td>
<td>Developing Young Female Leaders</td>
<td>20,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palestinian Center for Resolving Community Disputes.</td>
<td>Empowering Women in the Nablus Area to Understand Legislation and Increase their Capacity for Political Participation.</td>
<td>20,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Ongoing sub-grants only, not including completed or planned activities under these projects.

Senator Specter. Well, it’s a subtle matter as to how you combat it. Do you have people working on it to figure out how you do it, where you have some, perhaps, other Palestinian teenagers talking about living and affirming life, and not hatred and suicide?

Mr. Kunder. Frankly, sir, your hearing here today will cause us to look at all these issues more sharply in the future, and we will look at that.

Senator Specter. Well, that’s the first time I’ve heard any hearing doing any good, but——

Mr. Kunder. I’m quite serious. I’m not saying that to make you feel good. I’m being quite serious.

Senator Specter. All right. Let us know what is being done at the present time——

Mr. Kunder. Yes, sir.

Senator Specter. [continuing]. To combat that kind of inciting video of the suicide bombers and what you have plans to do to come to hand-to-hand combat with that kind of trash, garbage, and incitement.

Mr. Kunder. Yes, sir.

Senator Specter. Okay, thank you very much, gentlemen.

Dr. Solomon. Thank you.

Senator Specter. I’d like to proceed now to our second panel, Dr. Hassan Abdel Rahman, Dr. Ziad Asali, and Dr. Morton Klein, and also Mr. Itamar Marcus.
Mr. Marcus, you have already identified yourself, and thank you for making those videos available to Members of the Senate earlier and for providing them to the hearing today. The floor is now yours for 5 minutes.

Mr. MARCUS. Okay, thank you.

One of the challenges, I think, facing any funding of the Palestinian Authority is that not only do the Palestinians use television as we’ve seen, the Palestinians use the full range of social structures and cultural structures within Palestinian society in order to promote these values. And I wanted to give you some examples, and you can actually follow with some of these texts there on the screen.

So, for example, this summer, there was a whole summer-camp infrastructure, which we presume is to get children out of the cities and out into the country, and yet the summer-camp infrastructure was one that was focused, as well, on the suicide terrorists.

So, for example, there was a summer camp named after Wafa Idris, who was the first woman suicide bomber. And if you look at the bottom of the article here on the screen, you’ll see that UNICEF was thanked for its support of the camps at the closing ceremonies. So you have a camp named after the first woman suicide bomber, UNICEF funding for this camp.

We had another summer camp for the Ayyat al-Akras, 17-year-old girl, youngest suicide bomber, again this summer. So that summer camps are used as this means, as well.

Sporting events, which, again, is entertainment around the world for children, have been a means also to rave and to create role models for children who are terrorists. So that, for example, just this past month, there was a soccer tournament, a major, major soccer tournament, in the Palestinian Authority. The sponsors of the tournament were Saab Erekat, Yasser Arafat, Jibril Rajoub, the Minister of Sport, the mufti, all of the heads of the Palestinian Authority sponsoring a soccer tournament. And the 24 teams, each of the 24 teams was named after a different shahid, a different martyr, including people like Yechya Ayash, who was the first Hamas engineer, Dalil Mughrabi, who—involved in a hijacking killing 36, including an American. So all of the heads of the Palestinian Authority this summer put their names on a sport tournament glorifying terrorists.

This role-modeling, by the way, and naming after terrorists, is not limited to terrorist who have killed Israeli’s; it includes terrorists who have killed Americans in Iraq. So, for example, we found in the Palestinian newspaper, just 4 days after the first suicide Iraqi terrorist killed four U.S. marines, the P.A. renamed a square in Jenin after that suicide terrorist. So this role-modeling and turning the terrorists into heroes is directed not just at Israelis, but at Americans, as well.

Now, I want to step back for one moment, and I want to show you a film here. We’ve been discussing the level of terrorism, but what we haven’t discussed at all is the level of ideology. And I think this must be understood, because unless we understand why the Palestinians are teaching their children to fight, we won’t understand why they are participating in terrorism. And I want to show you a short item here from an educational program on Pales-
tinian television. It’s significant the person speaking is the head of a history department. And in understanding what he is saying to these children, you will get a sense of the foundation of the conflict that we are having today, that continues to until today.

[Video presentation.]

Mr. MARCUS. Again, I start with this because I think this is why we still have a conflict today. This message, we have heard hundreds and hundreds of times, to Palestinians, both in formal and informal structures, all of Jewish history is lies, everything belongs to the Palestinians.

Interestingly, the new Prime Minister made this comment, which appeared in a Palestinian daily just after President Bush had made the speech in June of this year talking about the Palestinians recognizing Israel as Jewish state. And he said: “What is the meaning and the concept of a Jewish state? Does this mean that this is a Jewish state, this is Sunni, this is Shi’ite, this one is Christian? These differences could plunge the region into a whirlpool.” Even the new Prime Minister refuses to acknowledge that the Jews are a nation having a right to a state. He puts this in the category of a religion. And so we’re seeing that this message isn’t coming in the formal education, it’s coming from the political leader. It permeates Palestinian society, and I feel this is the foundation of the conflict that continues to date.

This message continues even in the new school books. And I will beg to differ, it’s not just a sin of omission in the new school books; the new schools books continue to de-legitimize Israel. And I have a couple of items here that appear in the very new school books. Israel is defined as a colony. And to the chapter on colonialism, Palestine faced British occupation after the first world war, and Israeli occupation in 1948. Israel is foreign. They are an occupier.

Referring to Israel cities and regions, like Beersheba and Negev, they’re talked about Southern Palestine. The Sea of Galilee is referred to as part of Palestine. There is no recognition in the school books. People look at the maps, as you see here, in the Palestinian school books and say, it is because there is no borders, final borders. That is not the way they’re presenting it to their children. They’re presenting it to their children that this is the Palestine. These are all pictures from new school books.

Senator SPECTER. Mr. Marcus, you’re a minute over time. Could you sum up at this point?

Mr. MARCUS. Yes.

I just want to put the two messages together with this final short video that you will see. This is from a Palestinian graduation this summer, and it combines the ideology, as well as the desire that the children have—are being taught for the use of force to achieve their goals. Again, this is Palestinian television, a film from a high school graduation.

[Video presentation.]

PREPARED STATEMENT

Mr. MARCUS. Okay. This summarizes the essential conflict. Israeli cities are still being portrayed to the children as Palestine, and they will liberate it through their stone and their knife.

Thank you.
PREPARED STATEMENT OF ITAMAR MARCUS

Mr. Chairman, Senators, there is significant evidence documenting Palestinian Authority (PA) incitement of its children to hatred, violence and Death for Allah—the Shahada. This incitement is advanced by the PA through the entire social-educational structure, including sporting events and summer camps, the media including music videos for children and schoolbooks. Jews and Judaism are presented as inherently evil, Israel existence as a state is de-legitimized and denied, and fighting Jews and Judaism is presented as justified and heroic.

The PA Ministries of Education and Sport have turned the most abhorrent murderers of Jews into role models and heroes for Palestinian youth. A soccer tournament for 11-year-old boys was named for Abd Al-Baset Odeh—the terrorist who murdered 30 in the Passover Seder suicide bombing. [Sports section, Al Hayat Al Jadida Jan 21, 2003]. This past summer, during the period of the U.S. sponsored Road Map, numerous summer camps were named for suicide bombers, including a camp for teenagers named after a teenage suicide bomber, a 17 year old girl, Ayyat Al Akhras. Another camp for girls was named after Wafa Idris, the first woman suicide bomber. Many schools, cultural events, educational programs, and trophies, are named after terrorist murderers and suicide bombers. There can be no greater incitement to hatred and violence than the recurring portrayal of Palestinian terrorists as role models for children. As recently as September this year PA Chairman Arafat and 19 PA Leaders jointly sponsored a soccer tournament honoring arch terrorists. The PA leaders included Saeb Erikat; Jibril Rajoub; the Minister of Sport—Abdul Fatach Hamal; the Mufti of the PA Ikrama Sabri; and 10 other senior PA officials. Each of the 24 soccer teams was named for a terrorist or other Shahids [Martyrs] including some of the most infamous murderers like Yechya Ayash, the first Hamas bomb engineer, who initiated the suicide bombings, and Dalal Mughrabi, a terrorist woman who hijacked a bus killing 36 including American Gail Ruben in 1978; [Al Ayyam, Sept. 21, 2003] At the completion of this tournament Saeb Erikat distributed the trophies.

While Music videos around the world are used to entertain children, in the PA they are used to indoctrinate children to hatred, violence, and Shahada. Regularly broadcast PA music videos have actors depicting Israelis carrying out execution-style murders of old men, woman and children, or blowing up mothers with their babies. In one music video broadcast continuously in 2003, actors portray a woman being murdered in cold blood in front of her daughter. In another, broadcast tens of times in 2003, the image of young girl on a swing turns into a flaming inferno, and a football blows up after being kicked by a child. Children are taught through these videos not only to hate and to be violent, but are openly encouraged to aspire to death through Shahada [Martyrdom]. Clips designed to offset a child's natural fear of death portraying child Shahada as both heroic and tranquil, have appeared on PA TV thousands of times over three years. [2000–2003] One clip for children ends with the words: “Ask for Death—the Life will be Given to you”. In another, a child writes a farewell letter and goes off to die. Children who have achieved death through suicide missions have been turned into PA heroes and role models by the PA leaders.

The hatred, anti-Semitism and Shahada encouragement appear in the PA schoolbooks as well. The poem The Shahid [The Martyr] in a new PA schoolbook includes the phrase: “I see my death, but I hasten my steps toward it” [Our Beautiful Language, grade 7, p. 97] The PA argument that some of the books are copies of Jordanian books is not relevant, as a child being taught that Jews are evil is not going to be less influenced because of the identity of the publisher. Furthermore, even the new PA-produced schoolbooks teach hatred, de-legitimize Israel, and include anti-Semitic themes. This education will perpetuate the conflict into the next generation.

It is important to note that the PA is making use of foreign funding to promote this hatred among its children. Summer camps named for suicide bombers this summer were funded by UNICEF. [Al-Hayat Al-Jadida, July 22, 2003, Al-Ayyam July 18, 2003, Al-Quds, July 23, 2003]. Renovation of a school named for Dalal Mughrabi, a terrorist who participated in the murder of 36 including an American, was funded by USAID [Al-Hayat Al-Jadida July 30 2002]. And whereas the PA announced two days later that they had changed the name, in order to receive the USAID funding, PA press reports indicated that the name was still being used. [Al-Hayat Al-Jadida, August 16, 2002]

Clearly, children are being incited to hatred, violence and Shahada, not merely by fringe elements in the PA, but by the entire mainstream of PA leadership and
society. This incitement to hatred and violence penetrates the minds of the PA children and, after terrorism itself, is the single greatest long-term obstacle to peace.

Under the Oslo accords and subsequently under the Wye accords, the PA obligated itself to cease this incitement, but has ignored its own laws. In the interest of achieving a lasting peace, pressure must be brought on the PA, through all available means, including temporary political isolation and the temporary freezing of financial support, in order to impress upon the Palestinians the importance of peace education.

The following concrete steps should be taken by the PA immediately:

1. Music videos promoting hatred, violence, and Shahada must never again be broadcast on PA TV.
2. The practice of naming schools, cultural events, educational programs, sport events and trophies after terrorists and suicide bombers must cease. Educational institutions and cultural frameworks currently named for terrorists must be changed.
3. PA children must be taught that Israel is a legitimate country with a right to exist.
4. There is no greater incitement against Israels legitimacy as a state, than to mark the word Palestine or occupied Palestine in place of Israel on all maps in the PA. These maps must be removed from Palestinian schools, schoolbooks and TV broadcasting and be replaced by maps that show Israel by name in Arabic. This will be the most important act of recognition of Israel by the PA, more important than the signing of the Oslo Accords. To continue the current practice, makes the statements of recognition of Israel at Oslo irrelevant and sends a clear message to the population that is was not said with integrity.
5. The hatred and anti-Semitism in the PA schoolbooks must be removed. The PA argument that many of the books are copies of Jordanian books is not relevant to the issues at hand, which is, the educational damage being done to the children. A child being taught that Jews are evil is not going to be less influenced because of the identity of the publisher. In addition, even the new PA produced schoolbooks educate to hatred, de-legitimize Israel, and include anti-Semitic themes. The PA schoolbooks must be reprinted without the hatred before the start of the next school year.

Senator Specter. Thank you very much, Mr. Marcus.

STATEMENT OF HASSAN ABDEL RAHMAN, CHIEF REPRESENTATIVE, PLO MISSION

Senator Specter. We now turn to Dr. Hassan Abdel Rahman, chief representative of the Palestinian Liberation Organization and the Palestinian National Authority in the United States. He attended universities in Puerto Rico before earning his Ph.D. from the City University of New York.

Thank you for joining us, Dr. Rahman, and we look forward to your testimony.

Dr. Rahman. Thank you, Senator Specter.

Let me, at the outset, start on a personal note. I have four children, three boys and a girl. The four of them attended the camp of Seeds of Peace. I am a founder or co-founder, with John Wallach, late John Wallach, of that organization, and my name was on the board of that organization.

I am a believer in the coexistence between the Palestinians and the Israelis. I have struggled for that objective since my beginning as a representative of the PLO in New York at the United Nations since 1974. I don't need to establish my credentials as a supporter of peace.

I have, on many occasions, on Arabic television as well as on American television, objected, condemned suicide bombing. I am opposed to it. I am against it. And this is the official policy also of the Palestinian National Authority.

Mr. Marcus lives on a settlement on the West Bank. It is stolen from the Palestinian people. It is a territory that has been taken
away from Palestinians. In violation of the policy of the U.S. Government, which opposes the building of settlements in the Palestine territories, it is in violation of the Fourth Geneva Convention, which considers the transfer of population of the occupying power to the occupied territory as illegal and as a war crime.

Having said that, I really hesitated before coming to appear before this subcommittee for the very simple reason, there are two parties to this conflict, Palestinians and the Israelis. And there is incitement on both sides. I see only the Palestinians brought to a task here, and no mention of what the Israeli media, what the Israeli textbooks say or do not say about the Palestinians. I would have liked, Mr. Chairman, in the spirit of fairness, to have a hearing on the incitement in both textbooks and both medias, Palestinians as well as Israelis, and then we would have not hesitated to appear before this subcommittee. Because there are studies that are made on Israeli textbooks, 1,600 textbooks, that never mentions the word Palestine or the history of the Palestinian people in historic Palestine. Whenever there is a reference to Palestine, it is called Israel.

Mr. Marcus and his colleagues, the 200,000 armed settlers in the West Bank and Gaza who stole the land of the Palestinians, are armed, and they call the West Bank and Gaza as Judea and Samaria. They never call it by its name.

No amount of education or teaching of the Palestinians how important it is will change certain realities, Mr. Specter. When there is the generation of Palestinians who have been living under foreign military occupation, 35 years of brutal military occupation, where bulldozers are used to demolish homes, where Apache helicopters given by the United States to the Government of Israel are attacking civilians in Gaza and elsewhere, where the Israeli Army sanctions the assassination, extra-judicially, of Palestine leaders without what you cherish the most, the due process of law, in this country, people are not—or do not need to be told that the Israeli settlers and the Israeli soldiers are bad. They have to live in the West Bank and Gaza in order to dislike and even hate the Israelis, because the average Palestinian, Mr. Specter, do not see your civilian in Ramallah. The average Palestinian encounters two kinds of Israelis, and both are armed, the soldier and the settler, and both are there to humiliate, oppress, suppress the Palestinians.

If any American would live holed in his home for 20 or 30 days under curfew, I am sure they would be angry, and anger is expressed by different people in different ways. I, personally, will express my anger in a different way. Others express it in a totally different way, which we do not sanction. But instead of cursing the darkness, we have to light a candle. We have to stop Israeli from building more settlements. We have to improve the conditions for the Palestinians so they can have a stake in changing their attitudes. But when they see their parents, their neighbors, their mothers, fathers, their sisters giving birth on checkpoints, humiliated by Israeli soldiers, I assure you they will be angry, and you would be angry.

I looked at those distorted tapes collected by Mr. Marcus, and I can take an issue with every statement that was made there. But that’s not really the objective and my goal today, because they are
taken out of context, they are translated out of the cultural meaning of what is said. I remember that the battle cry for Patrick Henry, who wanted to freedom. He said, “Give me liberty or give me death,” and that was the battle cry for the independence of this country. Every society has its way of encouraging people to make sacrifices for independence, for freedom, and for dignity.

We need an understanding from you and from the Congress of the United States that the only way to end incitement is by drying off the causes of incitement, freedom for the Palestinians so they can live as equal neighbors to Israel. But I assure you that the continued occupation of the Palestinians, their denial of the God-given right to live as a free, dignified people in their own country, is the biggest source of incitement. Let’s deal with real issues, and not with the effects. Let’s deal with the causes of incitement.

Thank you.

Senator Specter. Well, thank you, Dr. Rahman.

We invited you here today, and others, to speak on behalf of the Palestinian Authority because of our interest in hearing what you had to say.

Dr. Rahman. Yes, thank you.

Senator Specter. And when you asked for time to state the incitement by Israel, we’re prepared to give you that time. You spoke for longer than the allotted time, but there was quite a bit on the other side, and I thought, as a matter of fairness, to hear you out, and I would have cared to hear you further——

Dr. Rahman. Thank you.

Senator Specter [continuing]. If you care to amplify as to the incitement on the part of the Israelis. We’re prepared to give you whatever time that you’d like to have.

Dr. Rahman. No, Mr. Chairman, I know you are a fair person, and I know that you want to help. But, again, what I wanted to say, that after the Wye River Accords we established a trilateral commission of the Israelis, Palestinians, and Americans to monitor the media and monitor incitement on both sides. And a great deal has been done in that regard. And we continue to express our readiness to work with the Israelis and with the Americans to monitor incitement on both sides.

But I cannot accept that the basis for the position of the U.S. Senate will be a distorted videotape collected by Mr. Marcus, who is a settler on the West Bank. That is absolutely unfair to the Palestinians, because those are a collection of items taken out of context, Senator. They are not accurate translations of what has been said.

Senator Specter. Well, Dr. Rahman, let’s examine that. Customarily, we go through the entire panel before questioning, but we’re going to proceed just a little differently because of what you’ve said. I’m going to take a few minutes, then yield to Senator Clinton to give her an opportunity to raise questions.

Where you’re saying the comments were taken out of context——

Dr. Rahman. Right.

Senator Specter [continuing]. We just saw the videos, and I am not in a position to have translated them, but we have seen teenagers, an 11-year-old girl——

Dr. Rahman. Yes.
Senator Specter [continuing]. Say that she was prepared to give her life as a suicide bomber in order to go to heaven. Was that an inaccurate translation of what she said?

Dr. Rahman. Well, what she was saying, she was making a religious statement, which every religion—if I go to the Torah, I will find references that I may not like, and that—I have, in fact, a statement here about what happened in Jericho after the invasion of Jericho, and it really—it is—I may not like it. So we cannot translate religious statements into policies. There is a difference there, Mr. Chairman, and I’m sure that you are aware of that.

So we keep religious discussion out of it. When there’s a reference to the history, the—of history, who said something about the war, that it did not exist. But if you ask the Jewish—followers of the Jewish religion and ask them, “Did Mohammed—was he a prophet?” they will tell you no. I don’t take that as an offense. That is their religious belief. We have to put religion aside.

So—and we deal with politics here. If we want to take a statement that was made by a sheik in a mosque and base our policy on that statement, we go nowhere, and we would reach the wrong conclusions.

I am saying that we have textbooks that we have to deal with. We have television stations we have to deal with. We have incitement, yes. But the incitement is the product of the conditions that exist in the Palestinian territories.

Senator Specter. Well, Dr. Rahman, how about the part where the young man had written a letter to his father saying, “Do not grieve for me. I have given my life for my country, and I have sacrificed myself so that I can go to heaven.” Was that also a religious statement or——

Dr. Rahman. Yeah, I would——

Senator Specter. Well, let me finish my question.

Dr. Rahman. Yes, I’m sorry.

Senator Specter. Or wasn’t that a statement by a young man who had, in fact, been a suicide bomber?

Dr. Rahman. If I remember correctly what was said, the kid is 14 years old. He’s saying to his father, “When I become 18, I’m going to fight for my country and be a shahid for my country.” He did not do it, he was not a shahid yet.

Senator Specter. Well, Dr. Rahman——

Dr. Rahman. If I recall correctly what I saw.

Senator Specter. Well, Dr. Rahman, isn’t it true that there have been very young people, Palestinians, who have become suicide bombers?

Dr. Rahman. Yes.

Senator Specter [continuing]. Really in carrying out just exactly the theme which we saw on the videos?

Dr. Rahman. Yes, sir. I believe that there has been, and there is, and there may be going to be more suicide bombing. Because you go today to Gaza, where 70 percent of the population are unemployed and hungry. Where do they turn to? They turn to God. They turn to the mosque. And they are recruited there by the most vicious people. So instead of—why did not in the year 2000, Senator Specter, did not have one suicide bombing? Why not? Because there was a light at the end of the tunnel. People felt that finally
they will be free. Not one single suicide bombing in the year 2000 until the beginning of the intifada. Why? People felt there is a possibility for peace.

So instead of cursing the darkness, we have really to light candles, and candles are telling people: “Listen, no more Jewish settlement on Palestinian territories. There’s no apartheid wall in the West Bank. There is no assassination, no demolishing of homes, no destruction of crops, no, no, no.” Then people will have something to look for. But as long as those things are continuing, I cannot guarantee that there will not be suicide bombing.

Senator Specter. Well, Dr. Rahman, I agree with you totally that, as you have articulated, instead of cursing the darkness, let us light a candle. Where do we go from here? When former Prime Minister Barak offered statehood at Camp David and it was declined by Chairman Arafat, where do we go from here? Where do we light the candle? How?

Dr. Rahman. Well, sir, I happened to be a witness to the Camp David, and I assure you that what Mr. Barak offered them was not an independent Palestinian state. He offered a free—in the West Bank that were not independent. We have the roadmap today that is ahead of us. We agreed to it, we accept it, and I believe that it can guide our efforts to achieve peace. If the Israelis are serious, we are serious. We can get tomorrow into the implementation of the roadmap.

What was offered in Camp David was unacceptable to the Palestinians, because it does not give back to them what—the minimum. The Palestinians then were asking for 22 percent of historic Palestine, and they were, in exchange, conceding and recognizing the right of Israel within 78 percent of historic Palestine.

Senator Specter. Well, Dr. Rahman, in articulating the view that you accept the roadmap, the roadmap calls for the Palestinian Authority to exercise its maximum efforts to stop violence.

Dr. Rahman. Yes.

Senator Specter. Wouldn’t that comprehend stopping the playing of these kinds of videos, which incite suicide bombing by teenagers?

Dr. Rahman. Yes, the roadmap asked both parties to do certain things, asked the Palestinians to stop violence and do everything within their power to do that, and we did achieve 51 days of total calm; while, on the other side, it asked Israel to dismantle Jewish settlements, outposts, in the West Bank. Did not. They asked Israel to stop assassination of Palestinians. It did not. It asked Israel to stop building the wall. It did not. So there were, on both sides, not total compliance. But we had 51 days of total quiet, while on the Israeli side, within 51 days, over 80 Palestinians were killed by Israel.

Senator Specter. If you have something to add, fine. If not, let’s turn to Dr. Ziad Asali, and we’ll come back to you, Dr. Rahman, if you care to——

Dr. Rahman. Thank you, Senator.

Senator Specter [continuing]. Address the subject further.
STATEMENT OF ZIAD ASALI, PRESIDENT, AMERICAN TASK FORCE ON PALESTINE

Senator SPECTER. Now, Dr. Asali is president and founder of the American Task Force on Palestine. He has been a member of the Chairman’s Council of the American-Arab Anti-Discrimination Committee since 1982, received his undergraduate degree from American University at Beirut, and an M.D. from the American University of Beirut Medical School.

Thank you for joining us, Dr. Asali, and we look forward to your testimony.

Dr. ASALI. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It’s an honor and a privilege to appear before you.

Senator SPECTER. Dr. Asali, they have just started a vote. And before you testify, not to interrupt you, it would be a good time for me to leave, and I will be back in a very, very few minutes. And you can start at the beginning and—without interruption.

Dr. ASALI. Yes, we will gladly wait.

Senator SPECTER. We will recess for a few moments.

Dr. ASALI. Thank you.

Senator SPECTER. The hearing will resume. For those who are uninformed about the interruption, when we have a vote, that takes precedence over everything. Sometimes a group of Members will be at the White House on some very important matter, talking to the President. If the word comes through that there is a vote, we all leave. The President can’t even come and vote. It’s kind of impolite, in a sense, but when the vote is called, we all go to vote.

But I came back as soon as I could, because we want to proceed. We have other witnesses, and we’re on a very important subject. I saw Senator Clinton on her way to vote. She’s going to return.

So now, Dr. Asali, the floor is yours.

Dr. ASALI. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

It is an honor and a privilege to appear before you. I received an invitation to this hearing the night before last while at an Iftar dinner at the table of the President of the United States. At that time, I learned that other Arab-American Palestinian leaders had turned down this opportunity, and I, myself, was strongly advised against accepting it. It is, however, my judgment that each and every occasion should be explored to bring about peace and amity to the longsuffering Palestinian and Israeli people.

Before—I appear here before you as a citizen, a man concerned about the tragic and dehumanizing cycle in the Middle East, and physicians who want to maintain the health and well-being of all people and individuals, as an individual who was born and raised in Jerusalem and was privileged to become an American citizen and enjoy the attendant benefits such as testifying before this august body.

Fear, anger, despair, violence, and an almost exclusive sense of victimization on both sides, the Palestinians and Israelis have their most damaging consequences in narrowing the space needed for policy options and rational debate. Public discourse is stunted, simplistic, and crude. It is easier in this climate to follow the safe course of demonizing and dehumanizing “the other.” To assume the worst, and to impugn the motives of “the other” is much safer than
to explore the possibilities of compromise and working out solutions.

This is the kind of atmosphere that makes it possible to advance racist and fascist arguments, sometimes openly stated, but more often felt and implied. They are not human, they understand nothing but force and violence, we should never show them any mercy, because they will think it is a sign of weakness, a face for an eye. In short, a prescription for more disasters and mayhem.

The problem with history is that it has been around for too long. It has provided arguments based in fact, fiction, or perceived wisdom for each party to the conflict, and even for those who seem to have no axe to grind. The difference between the Palestinian and Israeli narratives continues to feed polarizing and centrifugal forces that fail to see the existential need for compromise. Each and every effort directed against divisional peace, the two-state solution so clearly stated by President Bush, is yet another tool to extend the violent and destructive realities of the status quo. It is in this context that we should view all facets of this conflict, education included.

Because the time allotted for me is so brief, and because others, I know, who have spent years studying this subject and writing about it are not present on this panel, I'll sketch briefly the contours of the argument, as I see them, for education.

I am, for the record, including what I think are useful and thoughtful studies about the issue of Palestinian textbooks and hope that people entrusted with making decisions about it, or are serious students of it, will take time to read them.

Jordanian textbooks in the West Bank and Egyptian textbooks in Gaza continued to be taught to students from 1948 through 1967, and for decades, several decades, after that, under Israeli occupation, till the problem of their content was faced after Oslo by the Palestinian Authority in 1994. At that time, the Curriculum Development Center, CDC, was established, and it began studying and overhauling the educational system and started over to phase in a new set of books, beginning with the academic year 2000/2001.

Much, if not all, of the criticism leveled at the Palestinian textbooks for incitement, anti-Semitism, or marginalizing Jewish history has, in fact, been directed at the Egyptian and Jordanian textbooks over which the Palestinians had no control. In fact, it was the Palestinians who toiled for years after Oslo to give birth to reasoned and thoughtful solutions through the unique issues that face a people under occupation and how they should educate their children.

No serious scholarly substantiated criticism has so far been directed against the new books, although strident, emotionally charged, and factually challenged statements continue to be bandied about.

Akiva Eldar, the renowned Ha'aretz columnist, wrote, in January 2, 2001, “The Palestinians are punished twice. First, they are criticized for books produced by the education ministries of others. Second, their children study from books that ignore their own nation's narrative.” I have included his article for the record.

The European Union, in a statement issued in Brussels on May 15, 2002, concluded that quotations attributed earlier by the Cen-
ter for Monitoring the Impact on Peace, CMIP, are not found in the new Palestinian Authority school books. New textbooks, although not perfect, are free of inciteful content and improve on the previous textbooks, constituting a valuable contribution to the education of young Palestinians. It concluded: “Therefore, allegations against the new textbooks funded by EU members have proven unfounded.” I have included that statement for the record.

The eminent scholar, Nathan Brown, professor of political science and international affairs at George Washington University, issued a 26-page report in November 2001, prepared for the Adam Institute, on democracy, history, and a contest over the Palestinian curriculum that made a most significant contribution to this subject. He concluded by saying, “Harsh external critics of the PNA curriculum and textbooks have had to rely on misleading and contentious reports to support their claim of incitement.” A reading of his full report that I included for the record is most compelling.

The daily life of the Palestinian children with occupation, closures, violence, demolitions, checkpoints, bravado, fear, suicide bombing, air raids, humiliations, economic hardship, vengeance, religious extremism, as well as a breakdown of traditional values are realities—realities that cannot be dissociated from the classroom. It is those realities that we need to resolve by bringing about peace and security for all.

Textbooks that Israeli students read can also be reviewed to bridge the gap between their realities and their classrooms as we improve on those realities, too.

PREPARED STATEMENT

In conclusion, I would like to say that history has been unkind to the Jews, the Israelis, and the Palestinians. There are narratives of pogroms, ghettos, holocaust survival and achievement, on the one hand, and dispossession, occupation, demolition, and humiliation, as well as resistance and persistence, on the other hand, are but just sad tales of two people caught in the complex web of history. Let us at least, those of us with hope for humanity, try with our thoughts focused on the future of our children rather than the past of our forefathers, work for peace and dignity for these two courageous peoples. Let us not allow the demagogues, demagogues of all sides, the violent elements and the ones with the least sense of fundamental human values, dictate the agenda and undermine peace.

Thank you for your attention.

[The statement follows:]
East, a physician sworn to maintain the health and well being of all people and an individual who was born and raised in Jerusalem and was privileged to become an American citizen and enjoy the attendant benefits such as testifying before this august body.

Fear, anger, despair, violence and an almost exclusive sense of victimization on both sides, the Palestinians and Israelis, have their most damaging consequences in narrowing the space needed for policy options and rational debate. Public discourse is stunted, simplistic and crude. It is easier in this climate to follow the safe course of demonizing and dehumanizing “the other”. To assume the worst and to impugn the motives of the other is much safer than to explore possibilities of compromise and working out solutions. This is the kind of atmosphere that makes it possible to advocate racist and fascist arguments sometimes openly stated but more often felt and implied, “They are not human; they understand nothing but force and violence; we should never show them any mercy because they will think it is a sign of weakness; a face for an eye”. In short a prescription for more disasters and mayhem.

The problem with history is that it has been around too long. It has provided arguments, based in fact, fiction or perceived wisdom, for each party to the conflict and even for those who seem to have no axe to grind. The difference between the Palestinian and Israeli narratives continues to feed polarizing and centrifugal forces that fail to see the existential need for compromise. Each and every effort directed against the vision of peace, the two-state solution so clearly stated by President Bush, is yet one more tool to extend the violent and destructive realities of the status quo. It is in this context that we should view all facets of this conflict, education included.

Because the time allotted to me is so brief, and because others I know who have spent years studying this subject and writing about it are not present on this panel, I will sketch briefly the contours of the arguments as I see them. I am for the record enclosing what I think are useful and thoughtful studies about the issue of Palestinian textbooks and hope that people entrusted with making decisions about it, or are serious students of it, will take time to read them.

Jordanian Textbooks in the West Bank and Egyptian Textbooks in Gaza continued to be taught to students from 1948 through 1967 and for several decades after that under Israeli occupation till the problem of their content was faced after Oslo by the Palestinian authority in 1994. At that time the Curriculum Development Center (CDC) was established and it began studying and overhauling the educational system and started over to phase in a new set of books beginning with the academic year 2000–2001. Much, if not all of the criticism leveled at the “Palestinian Textbooks” for incitement, anti-Semitism or marginalizing Jewish history has in fact been directed at the Egyptian and Jordanian textbooks over which the Palestinians had no control. In fact it was the Palestinians who toiled for years after Oslo to give birth to reasoned and thoughtful solutions to the unique issues that face a people under occupation and how they should educate their children. No serious scholarly substantiated criticism has so far been directed against the new textbooks, although strident, emotionally-charged and factually-challenged statements continue to be bandied about.

Akiva Eldar, the renowned Ha’aretz columnist wrote in January 2, 2001: “The Palestinians are punished twice. First, they are criticized for books produced by the education ministries of others. Secondly, their children study from books that ignore their own nation’s narratives.” I have included his article for the record.

The European Union, in a statement issued in Brussels on May 15, 2002 concluded that “Quotations attributed by earlier Center for Monitoring the Impact on Peace, CMIP, are not found in the new Palestinian Authority schoolbooks”. “New Textbooks, although not perfect, are free of inciteful content and improve the previous textbooks, constituting a valuable contribution to the education of young Palestinians.” It concluded, “Therefore, allegations against the new textbooks funded by EU members have proven unfounded”. I have included that statement in the record.

The eminent scholar Nathan Brown, Professor of political science and international affairs at the George Washington University issued a 26-page report in November 2001 prepared for the Adam Institute on Democracy, History, and the Contest over the Palestinian Curriculum that made a most significant contribution to this subject. He concluded by stating, “Harsh external critics of the PNA curriculum and textbooks have had to rely on misleading and tendentious reports to support their claim of incitement.” A reading of this full report that I included for the record is most enlightening.

No full understanding of this issue can be claimed without reading the Israel/Palestine Center for Research and Information IPCRI Report I submitted to the Public Affairs Office, US Consulate General in Jerusalem on March 2003. This scholarly,
textured report grounded in a context, cannot be reduced to a concluding statement but it sheds light on complicated issues that ought not be subjected to strident and simplistic generalizations. A careful reading of this document that I submit for the record is most informative.

The daily life of these children, with occupation, closures, violence, demolitions, checkpoints, bravado, fear, suicide bombing, air raids, humiliation, economic hardship, vengeance, religious extremism as well as breakdown of traditional values are realities that cannot be dissociated from the classroom. It is those realities that we need to resolve by bringing about peace and security for all. Textbooks that Israeli students read can also be reviewed to bridge the gap between their realities and their classrooms as we improve on those realities too.

In conclusion I would like to say that history has been unkind to the Jews, the Israelis and the Palestinians. Their narratives of pogroms, ghettos, Holocaust, survival and achievement on the one hand, and dispossession, occupation, demolition; and humiliation as well as resistance and persistence on the other are but just sad tales of two people caught in a complex web of history. Let us, at least those of us with hope for humanity, try with our thoughts focused on the future of our children rather than the past of our forefathers, work for peace and dignity for these two courageous people. Let us not allow the demagogues of all sides, the violent elements, and the ones with the least sense of fundamental human values, dictate the agenda and undermine peace.

Thank you for your attention and for the opportunity to speak.

Attachments.

DEMOCRACY, HISTORY, AND THE CONTEST OVER THE PALESTINIAN CURRICULUM

[By Nathan J. Brown, Professor of Political Science and International Affairs, The George Washington University, Washington, DC 20052]

Almost any discussion of education in the Middle East posits it as part of the problem rather than part of the solution. Those who seek peace, democracy, or economic development generally claim that existing educational institutions and practices stand in their way. Palestinian education is particularly notable for the number and variety of its detractors. Outside the country, critics charge that it incites rather than educates; Palestinian critics claim that education does little to foster democratic and productive citizens.

The external and internal critics may be placing an unrealistic burden on what any curriculum and cadre of teachers can accomplish. Palestinian political and economic realities are often grim, and schools hardly have a monopoly on communicating ways to interpret such realities, especially in matters that are so deeply felt and encountered on a daily basis. Still, the critics charge, the Palestinian educational system, and especially the curriculum, exacerbates existing problems.

This paper is devoted to an examination of the Palestinian curriculum, especially as it approaches issues of history and identity. More specifically, the paper is broken into four sections:

—First, it will be necessary to clear up some misconceptions prevalent about the curriculum and the textbooks: the Palestinian curriculum is not a war curriculum; while highly nationalistic, it does not incite hatred, violence, and anti-Semitism. It cannot be described as a “peace curriculum” either, but the charges against it are often wildly exaggerated or inaccurate.

—Second, the treatment of history in the Palestinian curriculum will be examined in some detail. The purpose will be to present patterns both in what it covers and what it declines to cover.

—Third, the goals that motivate this coverage of history will be examined. Two primary goals—inculcation of identity and respect for authority—will receive special attention. While the curriculum can thus be presented as authoritarian in some respects, it will also be observed that it is simultaneously democratic in its determination to reflect the national consensus rather than develop an elitist approach.

—Fourth and finally, the paper will examine an alternative educational vision that has been crystallizing among Palestinian educators and the effect of that alternative on the existing curriculum. That alternative vision—that the educational system should promote the development of active learners, critical
INTRODUCTION: A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF PALESTINIAN EDUCATION

After 1948, the West Bank was annexed to Jordan and Gaza was administered by Egypt. Accordingly, West Bank schools followed the Jordanian curriculum, while Gazan schools adopted the Egyptian. In 1967, Israel occupied both areas and maintained the existing curricula for Palestinian schools. It did attempt unsuccessfully to bring its own curriculum into Jerusalem, and it also reviewed Jordanian and Egyptian books, censoring material that it found objectionable. In 1994, Palestinian education in the West Bank (including, to a limited and unacknowledged extent, Jerusalem) and Gaza was transferred to the new Palestinian National Authority (PNA). The PNA immediately established a “Curriculum Development Center” to formulate its own approach. While the Center was working, two interim measures were taken. First, the Jordanian and Egyptian curricula were restored temporarily in their entirety. Second, a supplementary series of texts covering National Education was hastily written for grades one through six to compensate for the non-Palestinian nature of the temporary curriculum.

The Curriculum Development Center completed its work in 1996 and presented a 600-page report that amounted to a stinging indictment of current educational institutions, practices, and pedagogy. The Ministry of Education drew back from some of the radical proposals of the report in developing its own plan, which it presented in 1997 to the cabinet and the Palestinian Legislative Council. After receiving approval from both bodies, the Ministry established a new Curriculum Development Center to write new books, which were to be introduced two grades at a time, beginning with the 2000/2001 school year. As of this writing, the plan has proceeded on schedule, with the new curriculum and textbooks in effect in grades one, two, six, and seven. The other grades will shift over to the new curriculum and books over the next three years.

THE INCITEMENT CHARGE

Any treatment of Palestinian education must confront at the outset the oft-repeated claims that Palestinian textbooks instill hatred of Israel and Jews. In a sense, this issue is at most tangential to this paper, which focuses on internal Palestinian politics and portrays textbooks as outcomes of domestic struggle more than producers of international conflict. But virtually every discussion in English on Palestinian education repeats the charge that Palestinian textbooks incite students against Jews and Israel. It may therefore come as a surprise to readers that the books authored under the PNA are largely innocent of these charges. What is more remarkable than any statements they make on the subject is their silence—the PNA-authored books often stubbornly avoid treating anything controversial regarding current Palestinian national identity, forcing them into awkward omissions and gaps. The first generation of Palestinian textbooks written in 1994, the National Education series, make no mention of any location as Palestinian outside of the territories occupied by Israel in 1967; those books go to some lengths to avoid saying anything about Israel at all and the few exceptions are hardly pejorative. The second generation of books—issued beginning in 2000—breaks some of that silence but with neither the consistency nor the stridency that critics of the textbooks allege.

Then where do persistent reports of incitement in Palestinian textbooks come from? Virtually all can be traced back to the work of a single organization, the “Center for Monitoring the Impact of Peace.” The Center claims that its purpose is “to encourage the development and fostering of peaceful relations between peoples and nations, by establishing a climate of tolerance and mutual respect founded on the rejection of violence as a means to resolving conflicts.” Critics charge that the Center’s real purpose is to launch attacks on the Palestinian National Authority, and it would be difficult to contest such a conclusion. They point to the identity of the Center’s first director, Itamar Marcus, to support their suspicions. See the Center’s website, www.edume.org.

An Israeli resident of the West Bank settlement of Efrat, Marcus previously lobbied to keep West Bank aquifers under Israeli control. His work on textbooks led Prime Minister Binyamin Netanyahu to appoint him to a joint committee with the Palestinians on incitement. He then

Continued
The Center’s own reports suggest such suspicions are well-founded. The Center began operation by issuing its first report in 1998 on Palestinian textbooks that might best be described as tendentious and highly misleading. When the PNA issued a new series of books for grades one and six in 2000, the Center rushed out its second report that passed over significant changes quite quickly before presenting its allegations of “delegitimization of Israel’s existence,” implicit “seeking of Israel’s destruction,” “defamation of Israel,” and “encouraging militarism and violence.” However, in contrast to the alarm and acrimony with which it studied Palestinian textbooks, the Center’s work on Israeli textbooks showed a far more generous spirit and proceeded at a far more leisurely pace, taking years rather than months. The report on Israeli books followed a very different method: rather than quoting example after example of offending passages with little historical context or explanation (a method that would have produced a very damning report indeed), the report on Israeli textbooks is nuanced and far more careful. Incendiary quotations are explained, analyzed and contextualized in the report on Israeli books; they are listed with only brief and sensationalist explanations in the reports on Palestinian books. In short, the Center is fair, balanced, and understanding for Israeli textbooks but tendentious on Palestinian books.

The Center’s work has been widely circulated: its reports are the source for virtually any quotation in English from the Palestinian curriculum. Indeed, its influence has begun to be felt in policy circles, and has informed congressional and presidential statements in the United States, numerous newspaper columns, and—more recently—by resolution by some external donors to cut off funds for Palestinian education. Recently some European parliamentarians have begun to press their governments and the European Union as a whole, and an Israeli cabinet minister has spoken of taking the issue to the United Nations. Since the Center’s reports have dominated the public debate with considerable effect and little contestation, it makes some sense to examine them.

While often highly misleading and always unreliable, most of the contents of the Center’s reports are not fabricated. Clearly false statements are rare, though when they do occur they are far from minor. For instance, the Center’s first report on Palestinian textbooks, issued in 1998, included the statement that “PA TV is a division of the Palestinian Authority Ministry of Education,” which allowed the report to saddle the Palestinian educational establishment with any statement broadcast on Palestinian television. The statement was false, however. In its second comprehensive report on Palestinian textbooks, issued in 2000 on the new books for the first and sixth grades, the Center claims that “the PA has rejected international calls” to modify books for the other grades. In fact, as will become clear, the plan to replace the textbooks in question was as old as the PNA itself and was proceeding according to a well-published schedule when the Center’s report was issued. Several lesser errors occur throughout the Center’s work.

But the real problems with the Center’s reports lie elsewhere. In particular, three sets of flaws characterize its work (and much of the public debate about Palestinian textbooks more generally). First, the Center generally ignores any historical context in a way that renders some of its claims sharply misleading. In its 1998 report, the Center added numerous incendiary statements about Israel and Jews from books in use in Palestinian schools. The statements quoted were accurate. Some indeed were highly offensive to Jews and sharply anti-Israeli. Yet they came not from books authored by Palestinians but from Egyptian and Jordanian books used in Gaza and the West Bank, respectively. The books were distributed by the PNA, to be sure, but they antedated its establishment. (The Center’s report does hold the
My son attended a Tel Aviv school which celebrated "tolerance day," assuring all students that Israelis can be religious or secular, light-skinned or dark-skinned, and Jewish or Arab. Following the Center's methodology, such a unit might be lambasted for failing to include Palestinians who do not hold Israeli citizenship and for denying Palestinian identity (by not mentioning it).

The PNA responsible for distributing the Egyptian and Jordanian books and therefore holds Palestinians responsible for the content. Here it displays an odd double standard: it does not note that Israel has distributed the exact same books in East Jerusalem, removing only the cover. The only books that the Israelis refused to distribute after 1994 were those authored by the PNA—the National Education series—even though those books were free of the content that Israel objected to. The likely reason for this odd policy is that Palestinian sovereignty in Jerusalem—implied by using PNA-authored books—was far more problematic for Israel than anti-Semitism.

By sharp contrast to the Egyptian and Jordanian books, the 1994 National Education series, actually authored by the PNA, verged on blandness. The first generation of books made no mention of any Palestinian area within the 1967 borders (the second generation of books—written after the Center's first report—reversed this policy). Indeed, the 1994 books went to some length to avoid any controversial matter whatsoever. An organization claiming to "monitor the impact of peace" might be expected to compare the older, non-Palestinian books with the newer, Palestinian ones. Indeed, such a task would seem basic to its mission. The Center goes beyond failing to live up to its name; its reports are written to obfuscate the distinction between the old and new books. It does not simply fail to note the change, but, in one of its rare falsehoods, the Center claims that in the 1994 series, Israel does not exist. (The treatment of Palestinian history in the 1994 books is extremely brief, but Israel is indeed referred to; remarkably, the 1994 texts resorts to awkward phrasing to avoid citing Israel in some negative contexts.) It is difficult escape the conclusion that the Center was far more interested in criticizing the PNA than in an honest assessment of the changes produced in Palestinian education by the Oslo Accords.

The second problem with the Center's work is its prosecutorial style. Its reports offer little more than brief themes and then list statement after statement purporting to prove the point. Any evidence that contradicts the Center's harsh message is ignored, obscured, or dismissed, such as maps that clearly draw Palestinian governorates as covering only the West Bank and Gaza, an extended and laudatory treatment of Gandhi's nonviolence, or an tour of Palestinian cities that includes only those under PNA rule. Other evidence is interpreted inaccurately. For instance, a topographical map of Palestine (inserted most likely to avoid drawing any sensitive political issues regarding borders) is presented as a denial of Israel's existence. Many of the selections included are presented in a highly tendentious manner: a unit on tolerance is criticized for omitting Jews, while a reading of the entire unit makes perfectly clear that its topic is tolerance within Palestinian society. Izz al-Din al-Qassam is mentioned in texts as a Palestinian national hero; the Center's 2000 report explains:

"The primary terrorist organization operating against Israel since the signing of the Oslo Accords is the Hamas, whose members terrorized Israeli citizens with suicide attacks, primarily on buses. The terror wing of the group is called the "Az Aldin Al Kassam" squad, named after the terrorist who fought the British and Jews before the establishment of the State of Israel. The new PA schoolbook glorifies Kassam . . . ."

In essence, the Center provides a context for the mention of al-Qassam that, while accurate, is irrelevant to the text: it deliberately obscures how the text itself presents al-Qassam or how Palestinians would understand a reference to him. Al-Qassam was killed at the beginning of his attempt to organize a rebellion against the British mandate. Subsequent generations of Palestinians have been able to read various dimensions into his short career: for mainstream nationalists, he is a rebel against the British, for Islamists, a warrior for Islam, and for leftists, he is a mobilizer of the popular classes. To imply that mentioning al-Qassam is an implicit endorsement of suicide attacks and bus bombings is thus based on a hostile, inaccurate, and even dishonest reading—what matters is not whether the textbooks cite

---


My son attended a Tel Aviv school which celebrated "tolerance day," assuring all students that Israelis can be religious or secular, light-skinned or dark-skinned, and Jewish or Arab. Following the Center's methodology, such a unit might be lambasted for failing to include Palestinians who do not hold Israeli citizenship and for denying Palestinian identity (by not mentioning it).
him but how they present him. Palestinian texts mention him only as a martyr in the struggle against British imperialism.\(^8\)

CLERK’S NOTE: The full report can be found on the web.

WHAT DID YOU STUDY IN SCHOOL TODAY, PALESTINIAN CHILD?\(^{[By Akiva Eldar]}\)

After the sovereignty on the Temple Mount and the Palestinian right of return, the debate is now turning to the textbooks, the poisonous material brainwashing the young minds of school children in the territories. Even the firmest supporters of the left have a problem with the anti-Semitic quotes that Jewish organizations and right-wing research institutes find in the textbooks used by our partners in peace. Their huge advertisements, published in newspapers in Israel and the United States, remind us week after week who we are dealing with. What fool will hand over vital territory and holy sites to a neighbor who teaches his children that the neighbor across the street is a cruel and evil enemy? How can one build a relationship of trust with regimes that educate their sons and daughters to hate Israel and the Jews?

And who, dear children, is taught in the first grade that the Jews are treacherous people and the Israelis are evil enemies? Please circle the correct answer: Egyptian President Hosni Mubarak’s grandson, Jordanian King Abdullah’s nephew, or Yasser Arafat’s daughter (when she is not in Paris with her mother?) The answer: These anti-Semitic and racist stereotypes are taken from Jordanian and Egyptian textbooks. For the past 33 years, these books have also been used by the Palestinian schools in the West Bank, the Gaza Strip and East Jerusalem. Every prime minister, military governor and Jerusalem mayor knows that to this day the Palestinians have not had any impact on the contents of the textbooks their children learn from in class (due to budgetary problems, the students are required to leave the books in school).

The harsh abuse against Israel included in the various Jordanian and Egyptian textbooks has for years starred in the Foreign Ministry’s public relations material, as well as in “the white paper” recently published by the Prime Minister’s Office. But these book critiques have not been directed against the charming king to the east, nor against the important president to the south. There are no such things in their countries. And if there are, “the fundamentalist opposition” is surely to blame. Not their narrative.

On the other hand, the Palestinians are punished twice. First, they are criticized for books produced by the education ministries of others. Secondly, their children study from books that ignore their own nation’s narrative. The Arab states are uncomfortable with Palestinian children born in their countries knowing that they did not make much of an effort to help the refugees. Even today, the Jordanians do not allow national aspirations to be cultivated among the children of the refugees living under the Hashemite rule. Their textbooks simply skip over the insignificant event of the creation of the refugee problem in 1948.

The Palestinians are being rebuked where they should in fact be praised. For this school year the Palestinian Authority has, for the first time ever, printed its own textbooks. A research team from the Harry S. Truman Research Institute for the Advancement of Peace, led by Dr. Ruth Firer, has established that the new books are “freer of negative stereotypes of Jews and Israelis, compared to Jordanian and Egyptian books.” The defense establishment has investigated and confirmed this finding.

The Truman team compared the new Palestinian books with Israeli textbooks from the 1930s and 1950s that were then used by the state as a tool for political indoctrination. “We were surprised to find how moderate the anger directed toward Israelis in the Palestinian textbooks is, compared to the Palestinian predicament and suffering,” Firer says. “This surprise is doubled when you compare the Palestinian books to Israeli ones from the 1950s and 1960s, which mentioned gentiles [only] in the context of pogroms and the Holocaust.”

The study, performed in compliance with universal criteria for textbook analysis, took five years to complete and will soon be published. The researchers examined the narrative of the Israeli-Arab conflict from the end of the 19th century until

---

\(^8\)To follow the Center’s methodology, an American textbook from the late 1930s mentioning Abraham Lincoln might be seen as carrying a pro-Communist message because of the role of the Abraham Lincoln Brigade in the Spanish Civil War. Certainly the Center’s logic could be used to cite any Israeli textbook mentioning Yitzhak Shamir as encouraging massacres of Palestinians and political assassinations of British and U.N. officials.
present days, through 20 books on both sides of the conflict. The team reviewed history and civics textbooks, as well as some literature books, for junior high and high school students in the territories. According to Firer, “the Palestinian narrative describes Jews, Israelis and Zionism in a negative way, as part of Western colonialism in the Middle East. The Arabs, and especially the Palestinians, are portrayed as victims. This narrative follows through to the new books published by the Palestinian Authority, but these books are freer of negative stereotypes compared to their Jordanian and Egyptian equivalents.”

A comparison between Palestinian textbooks and Israeli ones also astounded the scholars. “Israeli books, especially those published from the 1980s and on, include almost no derogative stereotypes of Arabs or Palestinians,” Firer says, “but the basic narrative is still the same, at least until the mid 1990s. The change in the Zionist narrative which portrayed Israelis as a heroic, pioneering people, was prompted by the publications of the New Historians. Wherever this does occur, it is usually on a very small scale, and sometimes as an afterthought to the traditional narrative. New history books stirred such public debate that some were even taken off the curriculum (as happened with “A World of Changes: History for Ninth Grade” (1999), edited by Danny Ya’akobi).

KIDS CAN’T BE DUPED

This Thursday at the Truman Institute, Firer will be the last speaker at the seminar on the image of the other in textbooks on Middle East conflicts. Before that, Itamar Marcus, who runs the Center for Monitoring the Impact of Peace (CMIP), will be speaking about how Palestinians perceive Jews. In recent years Marcus has been making a living translating and disseminating defamatory communications against Israel, extracted by his staff from Palestinian publications. Marcus, a settler, used to work for David Bar Ilan, Benjamin Netanyahu’s PR chief, and served on the Joint Israeli Palestinian Anti-Incitement Committee. Marcus’s center routinely feeds the media with excerpts from “Palestinian” textbooks that call for Israel’s annihilation. He doesn’t bother to point out that the texts quoted in fact come from Egypt and Jordan.

In an executive summary he published for Thursday’s seminar, Marcus makes a report of the 14 new textbooks published by the PA’s “Center for Developing the Palestinian Curricula,” replacing the old books. Marcus concedes there were “a few changes,” like the fact that “The open calls for Israel’s destruction found in the previous books are no longer present,” and that “references defining Jews and Israelis as ‘treacherous’ or ‘the evil enemy,’ common in the previous books, are likewise not present.” But this, to Marcus, is not enough. He complains that the new books “continue to teach non-recognition of Israel,” and that the maps portray greater Palestine, with no boundaries separating the territories and Israel (just like the official textbooks and maps used by most Israeli institutions). “A chapter in ‘National Education for Grade Six’ is dedicated to ‘Tolerance.’ Yet the PA schoolbook does not mention Jews or Israelis in the entire chapter. A prominent picture shows a Christian shaking hands with a Muslim,” Marcus complains.

Firer’s co-researcher, Prof. Sami Adwan, of Bethlehem University, can’t make up his mind whether to laugh or cry at Marcus’s grievances. “How does he expect my child to interpret a Jew’s handshake, the same hand that causes my child daily suffering. Textbooks become a dead letter if the message is too far removed from reality. The teacher has to be able to answer a child’s question, ‘Why should I love Israelis?’ Can your textbooks decree that you must love Germans? I know it isn’t the same, but suffering is suffering. What am I supposed to tell my kids after settlers attack us on the way to visit their 85-year-old grandfather? Kids can’t be duped.”

The educator from Beit Jala says that what children see on the street, on TV and on the Net has a far greater impact than any textbook. “How can a Palestinian write in a textbook that Israelis or Jews should be loved, while what he is experiencing is death, land expropriation, demolition of homes and daily degradation? Give us a chance to teach loving. [That will happen] when they stop seeing Israelis as soldiers or bulldozer operators. Let us breathe. Give us a chance to love you.”

Adwan will not be attending the seminar on Mount Scopus. His village is under closure. Only Israelis will be speaking at the seminar on the image of the other, and all the presentations will be in Hebrew.

PALESTINIAN SCHOOLBOOKS

In September 2000 Palestinian Authority and UNRWA schools introduced the new Palestinian school curriculum and a first set of textbooks (grades 1 and 6) pub-
lished by the Palestinian Authority, that were to replace the previous textbooks. At the beginning of the 2001 academic year, books for grades 2 and 7 were introduced. This replacement process will be gradually implemented over the coming years.

Parallel to this process, allegations of anti-Israeli and anti-Jewish bias and incitement contained in Palestinian textbooks were made, directly or indirectly based on documentation prepared by the CMIP (Centre for Monitoring the Impact on Peace). CMIP based those claims on specific quotations from these books inciting anti-Semitism and urging the destruction of Israel.

A number of EU donors support the Palestinian education sector and a few of them sponsored the preparation of the first Palestinian curriculum and the production of new textbooks.

Information gathered by the EU missions on the ground, as well as independent studies carried out by Israeli and Palestinian academics and educators that have examined the new textbooks, show that:

1. Quotations attributed by earlier CMIP reports to the Palestinian textbooks are not found in the new Palestinian Authority schoolbooks funded by some EU Member States; some were traced to the old Egyptian and Jordanian text books that they are replacing, some to other books outside the school curriculum, and others not traced at all. While many of the quotations attributed to the new textbooks by the most recent CMIP report of November 2001 could be confirmed, these have been found to be often badly translated or quoted out of context, thus suggesting an anti-Jewish incitement that the books do not contain.

2. New textbooks, though not perfect, are free of inciteful content and improve the previous textbooks, constituting a valuable contribution to the education of young Palestinians. Palestinian Authority Ministry of Education has accepted the need for ongoing review, revision and improvement.

Therefore, allegations against the new textbooks funded by EU members have proven unfounded.

In the line with the EU’s political and financial commitment to help establish a sovereign, peaceful, democratic and viable Palestinian State, continued support to the Palestinian education sector is essential.

EU missions on the ground will keep the issue under review and assist in the task of monitoring the content of Palestinian Authority textbooks as they are published.

In the framework of the UNESCO Executive Board Resolution of June 2001, Israeli and PLO representatives agreed to undertake a joint review of Israeli and Palestinian textbooks.

REPORT I.—ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION OF THE NEW PALESTINIAN CURRICULUM

REVIEWING PALESTINIAN TEXTBOOKS AND TOLERANCE EDUCATION PROGRAM—SUBMITTED TO: THE PUBLIC AFFAIRS OFFICE, U.S. CONSULATE GENERAL, JERUSALEM, MARCH 2003

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Palestinian Authority (PA) established the Curriculum Development Center (CDC) in 1994. It was commissioned with formulating a Palestinian vision of a national educational policy and of a national curriculum. Work on a comprehensive framework was completed in 1996. Shortly after that, the PA’s Ministry of Education (MOE) established a new curriculum center commissioned with writing new school textbooks. The curriculum plan assumed concrete form during the 2000–2001 school year.

In the past three years, the Palestinian MOE introduced a number of new textbooks and a few teachers’ guides for grades 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, and 8. The production of these textbooks involved hundreds of authors, reviewers, supervisors, teacher trainers, illustrators and technical support personnel.

The present investigation is an earnest attempt to present a professional analysis/evaluation of the new Palestinian curriculum, especially as it relates to the principles of civil society, peace, tolerance and diversity. It covers all textbooks that relate to the objectives and tasks of the investigation. However, a special focus is placed on language arts, religious education, history, civil education, and national education curricula.

The major goals of the new Palestinian educational system are nationalistic, cognitive and social in nature. A review of the new textbooks revealed that the major goals of the history, national education, civil education, religious education and language arts textbooks are to reinforce the Palestinian national, civic and religious identity and to promote respect for authority (local and national government, family and religious and civic institutions). The curriculum attempts, among other things,
to promote national aspirations and condemn occupation practices. In doing so, it briefly and inadequately addresses some of the conflictive and sensitive issues that relate to the prevailing political situation.

Another interesting dimension of the curriculum is its focus on promoting students' faculties of critical thinking, creative thinking, decision-making and problem solving. Moreover, the innovative instructional strategies recommended (role-playing, simulation, case studies, and other cooperative learning techniques) point to the national interest in promoting the principles of human rights, democracy, diversity, tolerance and pluralism which, in turn, help in the development of active learners and democratic citizens.

The curriculum, moreover, attempts to (re)shape students' perceptions, beliefs and attitudes toward a number of concepts and issues, many of which relate to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. Thus, one finds references in almost all disciplines to the concepts of loving peace, openness to and respect for other cultures, and promotion of peace, global and environmental awareness. Most of these instances, however, fail to reflect the critical dimension of a truly regional and global multicultural perspective that promotes mutual understanding, respect, and tolerance.

The curriculum undoubtedly bears the marks of unresolved (historical and contemporary) controversies both among Palestinians and with the neighbors of the emerging Palestinian state. As such, the textbooks do not openly or adequately reflect the multietnic, multicultural and multi-religious history of the region. Furthermore, they do not present a multi-perspective account of several of the formative historical events and several of the still-unresolved issues (Jerusalem, water, borders, settlements and refugees). According to a MOE position paper (December 2002), "The new curriculum, politically speaking, and as reflected in the textbooks already produced, remains to be a tentative and transitional attempt to account for the political complexities at this political juncture."

Educationally speaking, the curriculum adopts a student-centered pedagogy that acknowledges and utilizes the pluralism of intelligence and a diversity of learning styles in the learning process. It is also an activity-based and issue-oriented curriculum that encourages cooperative learning, and is structured to assist learners in viewing all subject-matter content in the context of their own communities and the surrounding ones.

Peace and Tolerance.—The overall orientation of the curriculum is peaceful despite the harsh and violent realities on the ground. It does not openly incite against Israel and the Jews. It does not openly incite hatred and violence. Religious and political tolerance is emphasized in a good number of textbooks and in multiple contexts. Some textbooks devote whole units or lessons to talking about these values and encourage students to adopt them. Inter-religious tolerance towards the followers of the other monotheistic religions, traditionally referred to as "Ahl al-Kitab" (the People of the Book), is emphasized in the framework of the teachings of Islam. In principle, these calls apply to both Christians and Jews. However, the textbooks fail to extend these principles and concepts to include Jews and to the State of Israel. In addition, and although the curriculum provides the opportunity for students to recognize and respect beliefs and practices of "others," the concept of the "other," in most cases, is limited to Christians.

Civil Society.—Although many concepts, principles and skills that relate to civil society and democracy figure prominently in the new Palestinian textbooks (human rights, freedom of speech, the justice system, pluralism, the role of central and local government, the legislative council, elections, voluntary work, teamwork, fair resolution and fair competition, a sense of right and wrong, respect for law, and accepting responsibility), other essential ones are lacking. These include ethical and moral judgment, community understanding, independence of thought, genuine understanding and respect for differences, information management, and taking action.

Another interesting dimension of the curriculum is its focus on promoting students' faculties of critical thinking, creative thinking, decision-making and problem solving. Moreover, the innovative instructional strategies recommended (role-playing, simulation, case studies, and other cooperative learning techniques) point to the national interest in promoting the principles of human rights, democracy, diversity, tolerance and pluralism which, in turn, help in the development of active learners and democratic citizens.

Cultural Literacy.—The curriculum also falls short in its attempt to promote the concept of "cultural literacy" as presented by Hirsch (1987). Although the principles that embody "cultural literacy" are included in the curriculum framework, the authoring teams have failed to adequately translate the principles and concepts into subject matter content and activities. Thus, one finds few references that focus on
the inclusion of people, places, events or any ideas that reflect both a broader nature of multiculturalism and a more international perspective, thereby giving credence to contributions coming from other ethnic and religious groups.

Coverage of Historical Events.—Generally speaking, coverage and presentation of history and historical facts can be characterized as being selective. History textbooks, one cannot fail to notice, treat the ancient and modern history of the region and that of Palestine in a selective way, ignoring some historical events while depicting others from one perspective. In particular, one notices a number of cases in which Judaism and the Jews are inadequately and inappropriately represented in relation to their presence in the region. Different dimensions of region's positive and intercultural history are sometimes missing, thus giving the impression of insignificance of other cultures, religions and political institutions in the development of the region's present-day profile.

One also notices the lack of a sustained account of the recent history of Palestine and the absence or the peripheral treatment of some of the formative events in the region's history. The focus, moreover, is on the national Palestinian narrative. The materials do not openly reflect readiness to consider the Jewish and Israeli narratives.

The history curriculum, however, does not show signs of “misuse of history.” Although some may feel that history is being used as an instrument of ideological manipulation, there are very few instances in which texts are implicitly exploited to promote intolerance and ultra-nationalistic or racist issues.

National, Civil, and Religious Identity.—In the National Education and the Civic Education textbooks, one notices a focus on Arab and Palestinian ethnic, national, civil and religious identity. The same applies to the focus on the Arab character of East Jerusalem. It does not deny the Israeli and Jewish character of, for example, the Jewish holy places or Jewish history in the city, but does seem to ignore their existence or their importance to Jews and to the State of Israel. One also notices an emphasis on the duty of students to love their land, family, towns, state, the Arab world and the Islamic world.

Palestine/Homeland, Jerusalem.—The concept of “Palestine” is used in both historical and modern contexts, the former being in a general geo-historical sense and the latter in reference a political entity in the making. Generally speaking, “Palestine” is mostly presented in its historical context. References to “Palestine” are mostly made to reflect the Palestine of pre-1948 War, the pre-Partition plan. The concept of “The Homeland,” in almost all instances refers to the West Bank and the Gaza Strip. This creates significant confusion particularly when maps are presented showing towns and cities that are located within the State of Israel while indicating a map of Palestine.

Jerusalem is portrayed (historically, religiously, culturally, socially, demographically) in relation to its Arab and Islamic nature. Several references are made of the Christian presence in Jerusalem. All illustrations and photos of Jerusalem reflect those found in the Eastern or Arab part of the city (mostly, the old city). It is also almost always referred to as the “Capital of Palestine.” References reflect the national, political, cultural, economic and religious importance of the city and its Arab and Islamic characteristics. However, there is no mention of its religious and historical significance to Judaism and to the Jews, or that of the State of Israel for which Jerusalem is its capital.

Israel/Israelis/Judaism/Jews.—Israel, as a sovereign state (political and geographic entity), is not clearly or adequately represented in the textbooks. Israel is referred to indirectly using different terms such as “the Land of the 1948”, “the Interior”, etc. In some contexts, Jews, in historical and modern-day contexts (occupation, Zionism, settlers) are negatively represented in Palestinian textbooks.

References to the “other/Israel/Israelis” are also presented in excerpts from the modern Palestinian literature. Most references reflect pain, suffering and bitterness experienced by Palestinians as a result of the ongoing Israeli-Arab conflict. In several instances, the State of Israel is presented as a usurper, an occupation force and a foreign occupier of Palestine. Israel is blamed for the suffering of the Palestinian people.

There are several references to Jews relating to the life and death of Jesus Christ. Jews are also presented in reference to Jesus' teachings on marriage and divorce in the Jewish and Christian traditions. These instances reflect that state of affairs that prevailed in the Holy Land at the time of Jesus, especially as it related to religious and social sects, such as the Sadducees and the Pharisees.

Holy Places.—Holy sites in Palestine do not include those of Jews except for the ones that are holy to both Muslims and Jews (Al-Buraq Wall/the Wailing Wall, the Sanctuary of Abraham/Al-Haram al-Ibrahimi as-Shareef, Jacob’s Well and Joseph’s
Maps.—Several books contain and make reference to maps of historical Palestine as a geographical and historical entity. Some of the maps show Palestine as part of the Arab world (regional maps), whereas others show it in isolation. Some maps highlight the location of the Israeli settlements in the West Bank and the Gaza Strip. In all cases, the maps are not labeled in any way. In some maps the boundaries of the West Bank and Gaza Strip are contoured. This is the case when reference is made to the demographic distribution of Palestinians and to the administrative breakdown of the PA territory in terms of governorates.

Jihad and Martyrdom.—References to jihad and shahadah or istishad (martyrdom) are made in historical and modern contexts. References are made in militant as well as peaceful and constructive contexts. Along the same lines, the concepts of defending and liberating Palestine as the “homeland” are presented both in historical and present-day contexts (Crusaders, Ottoman Empire, British Mandate, Israeli occupation). In several instances, jihad and martyrdom are presented both as a “religious” and a “national” duty. There are also few examples (linguistic and other) that praise the use of violence against others. These examples are present in the framework of talking about the duty to defend and liberate the homeland.

The Right of Return.—The right of return of the Palestinian refugees to their homeland, as stipulated in the U.N. resolutions, is emphasized in several texts. It is also an important part of the Palestinian national anthem, the words of which carry the meanings of sacrifice for the homeland and the determination to reclaim it.

Bilateral and International Agreements.—There is not much mention of or many references to the international and bilateral agreements signed between the Israeli government and the PLO. The Oslo Accords, the Declaration of Principles, the Taba and Hebron agreements are not frequently mentioned and not adequately discussed. When mentioned, the reference is usually made in the context of talking about the PA, demographic and economic issues.

CLERK’S NOTE.—The full report can be found on the web.

Senator SPECTER. Well, Dr. Asali, thank you very much for joining this subcommittee today and for that very profound statement. When you talk, as you did at the opening, about: “fear, anger, despair, violence, and almost an exclusive sense of victimization on both sides,” very poignant, very profound. And your conclusion about the unkindness of history to the Jews, Israelis, Palestinians, and your call to prohibit the demagogues and the violent elements and the ones with the least sense of fundamental human values dictate the agenda and undermine peace, again, profound and right to the point.

What would your suggestion be as to where we go from this point forward?

Dr. ASALI. It has been a most frustrating problem to me that the collective will of people who do want peace, who want a two-state solution, as expressed in polls showing that 70 percent of the American people, 70 percent of Jewish Americans, 65 percent of Israelis, are all for a two-state solution, as we understand it with the general two-for-two based—two states share Jerusalem, et cetera, et cetera. That collective will has been undermined, vetoed, and prevented from fruition by more strident voices, more energetic and polarizing forces that appeals to the lower instincts of people on all sides. It is time to redefine this conflict, in my mind, as not one between the Israelis and the Palestinians, between the Arabs and the Jews, between the Muslims and the Christians. It is, rather, between those who are for peace from all these categories, who are for a peaceful resolution of this long conflict, to establish a two-state solution, as defined by so many people, and those who oppose it vehemently. It is time to have those bridges established and have
fundamental relations and political forces realigned courageously and publicly, and take the credit or the blame for these stands in order to thwart the forces that have used demagoguery, violence, and whatever political or military clout that they could to frustrate the rest of us.

Senator Specter. Thank you, Dr. Asali.

Dr. Asali. Thank you.

Senator Specter. Senator Clinton, would you care to make an opening statement at this time, or question?

OPENING STATEMENT OF SENATOR HILLARY RODHAM CLINTON

Senator Clinton. Well, I thank you very much, Senator Specter, for holding this important hearing, and I will submit my entire statement for the record.

I wholeheartedly agree with what I heard as I came in, from Dr. Asali’s testimony about advocating for a two-state solution that is premised on security and peace and opportunity. And it’s long been my position that’s the only option available for people of good faith.

It is troubling, though, and that is something that I think we have to recognize, that with the testimony and the documentary evidence concerning the—actually, the glorification of suicide killers and the incitement of young people to aspire to that position and the martyrdom that it may, in their minds, offer them, that’s very hard, for any of us who believe there has to be some resolution of the ongoing dispute, to understand. And I don’t believe that there has been an adequate and consistent repudiation of the rhetoric of hate and the incitement of young people by the authorities in the Palestinian Authority. And I think that’s so important, and I think it needs to be not just done once, but over and over and over again.

The position that I bring to this is that for, you know, many years I’ve tried to do what I could to help children and to provide better opportunities for them. And my heart goes out to the Palestinian children, as well as the Israeli children, who had nothing to do with creating the conditions in which this violence occurs, and yet are having to grow up fearful, having to grow up and see the losses of loved ones, for whatever reason. You know, let’s not talk about who did what to whom and what the history is. But the fact is, we owe our children better than that. And I think that it is just heartbreaking to see the portrayal of martyrdom as something that a young child should be encouraged to hope for and aspire to. It’s not just in the testimony and the evidence presented today, but in many other settings. I’ve seen similar messages, and they are broadcast on the Palestinian Authority TV, played over and over again, children playing death games, children, you know, being interviewed and kind of rote-ly reciting that death by Shahada is good. It is a chilling example, and it’s a real distortion of childhood and of adult responsibility.

I mean, we can have all the arguments we want, and we can accuse each other of all the wrongdoing that goes back as far as the mind can remember, but we should not do it at the expense of, you know, further undermining the opportunities and the futures of these children.
So I just have to say that what is happening now, and what seems to be endorsed and supported by the Palestinian leadership through the P.A. TV is troubling, and that has to end. I mean, there are many other arguments still to be had. And as we all remember, you know, many people thought that we were very close, in the year 2000, from Camp David forward, and we couldn't. We couldn't continue the negotiations, we couldn't get a responsive partner on the other side. It was very discouraging.

But, from my perspective, no matter what the ongoing political, diplomatic, historical arguments must be worked out, these horrible examples of encouraging young people to be tools in this adult conflict is just not to be condoned or permitted to continue.

You know, I saw reports of a recent book called “Army of Roses” by Barbara Victor about women suicide bombers. You know, that’s a new development. Now, you know, I believe in women’s participation in societies as fully as possible. It’s just tragic that that is now a way in which some women are choosing to conduct themselves. But in this book, the author has very compelling evidence about the fact that suicide bombers often are trained and brainwashed into seeing themselves as these martyrs.

The author did something which I found, as a mother, very touching. You know, oftentimes you see the mothers of the suicide bombers, both young men and young women, and they are appearing fearless and very devoted to the cause and very proud of their daughter or their son who’s gone off to blow themselves up and kill others with them. But this author went behind the scenes and actually talked to these mothers when the cameras were off, and they were reduced to tears, and they shared the feelings that any mother would about. “What is happening? Why would my child do this?” And often these are children with some of the very best futures for a Palestinian state. These are children who are going to college. These are children who have the opportunity to contribute to building a strong Palestinian state. And, instead, they are, in my view, brainwashed into committing suicide for reasons that have very little to do, other than the continuing desire by those who encourage them to pursue a path of terrorism and violence.

PREPARED STATEMENT

So there must be a way out of this on the diplomatic and political front. But, in the meantime, all adults, no matter what our political position, no matter what the grievances that we may carry toward another, should be at least united in saying, “Let our children live to make their own decisions in the future.” And it would be extremely beneficial to achieve the goals that I think many of us, or at least I’ll speak for myself and what I heard from Dr. Asali share, of someday seeing the children of Israel and Palestine living in peace, to, without equivocation, repudiate and condemn this continuing abuse of children and this incitement to hatred that we have much more evidence of than just what has been presented by Mr. Marcus.

[The statement follows:]
Thank you, Senator Specter, for the opportunity to join you today for this important hearing. I look forward to continuing to work with you to advance this issue.

I have been speaking out against the incitement of hate and violence in Palestinian textbooks for years. In September of 2000, I had the honor of joining Nobel Peace Prize winner Elie Wiesel in New York to denounce the lessons of hatred and violence that were part of the core curriculum in Palestinian schools.

And a year and a half ago my colleague, Senator Schumer, and I wrote a letter to President Bush urging his Administration to do everything in its power to persuade the Palestinians to reverse their hateful rhetoric and embrace the opportunity to move toward a strong and lasting peace in the region. We know that if there is to be real peace between Israelis and Palestinians, there must be a full and immediate cessation of hateful rhetoric on the part of the Palestinian Authority. In our letter, we noted that the rhetoric of hate was mounting daily. At the time, Yasser Arafat delivered a speech "commemorating the 'catastrophe' of Israel's creation in 1948, in which he reiterated his theme that the violent Palestinian struggle will continue 'until the flag of Palestine is raised over holy Jerusalem.' A book that was required reading for Palestinian six graders actually starts off stating, 'There is no alternative to destroying Israel.' When Palestinian children are brought up to hate Israel, how can we ever expect a commitment to a lasting peace?"

What we see evidence of today is even more alarming. According to research done by the Palestinian Media Watch organization, the Middle East Media Research Institute, the American Jewish Committee and others, we are seeing children being indoctrinated to yearn for Shahada—or martyrdom. And according to the evidence presented here, this is a clear strategy by the Palestinian Authority.

I recently met with Itamar Marcus of Palestinian Media Watch who is here to testify. He presented me with some horrifying stories of the messages the Palestinian Authority is conveying to its children.

As you saw in the opening videos, one film clip broadcast daily on Palestinian Authority TV (PATV) called the "Farewell Letter," portrays the martyrdom as blissful and tranquil. A young boy leaves a farewell letter explaining his choice to achieve shahada. The words 'How sweet is shahada when I embrace you, oh my land!' are sung as the child actor falls dead on the ground.

Messages like this on official PATV, played over and over, have had an effect. In some Palestinian polls as many as 80 percent of Palestinian children desire death as martyrs. Children play death games, in which they take turns playing the prize role—that of the martyr. And in an interview last year, one 11-year old girl, stated that "... death by Shahada is very good. Every Palestinian child aged, say 12, says 'Oh Lord, I would like to become a Shahid.'"

These chilling examples demonstrate how deeply these impulses have seeped into the culture and into the hearts and minds of Palestinian children; and it is shameful and reproachful that these lessons come from the public officials whose aim should be to protect children—not send them to their graves.

As an advocate for children for over 25 years, I have worked to fight abuse and neglect of children wherever I saw it. I worked with doctors and nurses to help them identify abuse and worked within the legal system to protect children whose lives were at risk. What is happening in the Palestinian Authority now is frightening. It is an abdication of adult responsibility of the highest order. Instead of working to find an end to the violence, this propaganda from the Palestinian Authority appears to be taking advantage of young, supple minds and encouraging children to see the beauty of their own deaths.

Yasser Arafat has demonstrated a manifest unwillingness to address this issue. He speaks to the international community as a victim, and then encourages the children of his people to desire the ultimate sacrifice. Instead of filling young minds with the promise of their futures, Palestinian leadership—through PATV—is fabricating a deceit based on hate and destruction. The ramifications go well beyond the Middle East.

Anti-Semitism, of course, is not a new phenomenon, but it seems to have gathered new life of late as witnessed by the recent statements of the outgoing Prime Minister of Malaysia, Dr. Mahathir Mohamad. History has taught us how important it is to condemn anti-Semitism as early and as strongly as we can—which is why I co-sponsored a resolution urging President Bush to condemn Dr. Mohamad’s hateful words. The continuing rise of anti-Semitic acts and statements around the world is shocking and disturbing and must be met with clear and immediate condemnation. We cannot stand by and tolerate these kinds of remarks, especially from a Head of State.
However, Dr. Mohamad’s vicious words were not isolated. His attacks on Jews came from the same bitter well of hatred that informs a growing anti-American and anti-Western fervor worldwide, which is stoked by leaders who offer few positive solutions to their people’s problems. Mort Zuckerman recently noted in U.S. News “‘That rhetoric is the product of careful calculation by Arab political leaders who recognize the popular appeal of scapegoating Israel for their failure to provide for their own people while legitimizing their regimes.’”

What we are seeing in today’s hearing is a frightening foreboding of violence and danger to come—not only against Israelis, but against any institution of progress, freedom and democracy. This indoctrination must be stopped.

I’d like to say a final word about the effects of this indoctrination on the fabric of Palestinian families and the larger society.

I have seen reports recently about a book called Army of Roses by Barbara Victor about women suicide bombers. The author spoke about the fact that suicide bombers “training” begins “from the cradle on . . . . From the age of six years old, they talk about their desire to die and their desire to be a martyr.” She went on to discuss the mothers of suicide bombers, who appear fearless on television as they tout their child’s bravery and heroism, only to be reduced to waves of tears and weeping moments later—like any mother who lost a child. She recently said “People think these aren’t like other mothers. They don’t have the same feelings. And of course, they have.”

Only when we have a Palestinian leadership that chooses to enhance the lives of its children, rather than inciting hate and the desire for death, and a Palestinian leadership that allows mothers to mourn their lost babies, instead of putting on a show of heroism, will we have a Palestinian leadership with which we can work towards peace in a meaningful way.

The U.S. Government must deliver this message loud and clear to the Palestinian Authority: the Palestinian Authority must reverse its hateful rhetoric and embrace the opportunity to move toward a strong and lasting peace in the region. This has to be the top priority of all people who care about children, who care about peace, who care about the kind of stability, safety, and security that Israel should be guaranteed, and who care about the future of the Palestinian people. It cannot be done if we don’t take a strong stand against hateful rhetoric.

Senator SPECTER. Thank you very much, Senator Clinton.

STATEMENT OF MORTON KLEIN, PRESIDENT, ZIONIST ORGANIZATION OF AMERICA

Senator SPECTER. We now turn to our final witness on the panel, and that is Dr. Morton Klein, national president of the Zionist Organization of America, a member of the Executive Committee of the American Israeli Public Affairs Committee. He has led successful campaigns against anti-Israel bias in leading textbooks, travel guides, and the media. He served as an economist in the Nixon, Ford, and Carter administrations, and has been an outspoken advocate against terrorism and ways of bringing Palestinian terrorists to justice in the United States under our Terrorist Prosecution Act.

Thank you for joining us, Dr. Klein, and the floor is yours.

Mr. KLEIN. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for the opportunity to address this panel, and I would ask that my remarks be placed as part of the record.

Thank you——

Senator SPECTER. Your full statement will be made part of the record, as will all other full statements, without objection.

Mr. KLEIN. And thank you, Senator Clinton, for being part of this important discussion.

First of all, I want to make a few opening remarks. I was troubled by—I didn’t understand Dr. Rahman initially stating that these translations were incorrect and incorrect. And without telling us what they really said, he then went on to say that they’re sim-
ply religious statements, so they don't matter anyway. Well, it can’t
be both ways.

He also talked about the fact that Palestine—that this was Pal-
estine-Arab land all these years. In fact, we should understand
that Palestine was never a country. It was only a region controlled
by Turkey and the British until 1948. And, in fact, even Mark
Twain wrote an essay in 1868 saying he went through the length
and breadth of Palestine, and there was virtually no people there;
it was just marshes and swamps. He didn’t understand why Jews
even wanted to come and live there. And I would ask Dr. Rahman,
you know, can he name any Palestinian kings and queens. I mean,
this was not a country of Palestinian Arabs. And, in fact, of all the
censuses done in Jerusalem, the majority of people living in Jeru-
salem since the mid 1800s was Jewish.

Also, about Barak, President Clinton and Dennis Ross, our lead
negotiator, made it clear that this was a real offer of 97 percent
of Judea and Samaria. Contiguous land was emphasized, that this
was contiguous, billions of dollars in aid, all of Gaza and half of Je-
rusalem. And instead of having even a counter offer, the Palest-
inar Authority simply launched a terror war. And the reason that
the Jews in Judea and Samaria are armed are not to intimidate
Palestinian Arabs or to hurt them; it’s because they are constantly
threatened by terror. It’s to defend themselves. There’s been vir-
tually no terror attacks or killings, with rare exception, of Pales-
tinian Arabs by Jews who live in Judea and Samaria. And I find
it utterly racist, frankly, to ask Jews not to live in Judea and Sa-
maria. Why can’t 200,000 Jews live among 2 ½ million Arabs in
Judea and Samaria and Gaza, when 1 million Arabs live among 5
million Jews in Israel proper? I think we have to understand that.

By the way, there are many other polls. Mr. Asali mentioned
some polls—McLaughlin Group, Hannock Smith, who’s the Gallup
Poll of Israel—showing a clear majority of Americans and Israelis
against the state, a Palestinian state, because they believe it will
be a terrorist state.

Tragically, in the last 10 years, there’s not been a halt to anti-
Jewish and anti-Israel incitement in the schools, media, and chil-
dren’s camps. An entire culture of hatred has developed. Finally,
with the suicide bombers, the P.A. pays for such posters of killers.
This is one of the suicide bombing killers posted all over the
schools, universities, high schools, the streets, honoring suicide
bombers, paid for by the Palestinian Authority. It’s just awful.

The children’s camps teach Arab youngsters how to kidnap and
murder Jews. Streets, cities, schools, summer camps are named
after the suicide bombers, honoring them. It’s just a tragic situa-
tion.

If the Palestinian Authority was serious about peace, not only
would they end this culture of hatred and murder, they would con-
fiscate the tens of thousands of illegal weapons in the hands of ter-
orists, they would get rid of Hamas Islamic Jihad. Instead, they
refuse to do anything. They’ve arrested virtually no terrorists over
this 10-year period. And as Madeleine Albright said, it’s a revolving
door, justice. The few times they’ve arrested terrorists, they were
released within a matter of weeks or months. Even Mahmoud
Abbas and the current Prime Minister Ahmed Qureia have vowed
they will not fight against Hamas and Islamic Jihad. This is not how we can get the peace.

The human rights abuses in the region in the Palestinian Arab territories are legion. Human Rights Watch, Palestinian human rights monitoring group, have said that there is large-scale torture of dissidents perpetrated by the Palestinian Authority regime, and dozens of people have been tortured and murdered in P.A. prisons.

Christians are persecuted so ruthlessly by the P.A. regime that several U.S. courts have granted Christians asylum in American on the grounds that they would be persecuted for their religion if they returned to P.A.-controlled territories.

The P.A. continues to engage in actions hostile to the United States. It shelters dozens of terrorists who have been identified as murderers of Americans. It refused, only recently, to permit the FBI to investigate the recent terrorist murders of three U.S. diplomatic personnel. The P.A. vociferously supported Saddam Hussein and other enemies of the United States and constantly distributes vicious anti-America propaganda in the official P.A. media. It pays salaries to imprison terrorists who have murdered Americans, and named streets and parks after killers of American citizens. The P.A. runs bomb factories and smuggles weapons through tunnels from Egypt into Gaza, and the violence, of course, continues.

How should we respond to this? Until now, I believe tragically and mistakenly, the U.S. policy has been focused on trying to appease the P.A. regime. Dennis Ross said recently that they made a serious mistake ignoring this incitement for all these many years. The present administration is offering the P.A. a sovereign state and has more than doubled the annual aid allotment to $213 million. The assumption is that offering funds in the state, they would agree to live in peace. But recent studies show that suicide bombers are better educated and more affluent than their fellow Palestinians, and a recent survey shows a majority of Palestinians today want violence against Israelis to continue even if a Palestinian state is established. That survey was done only this past week. And, remember, Syria, Libya, Iraq, and North Korea are sovereign states; they're not lovely places. Sovereignty will not ensure a lovely, civilized, democratic situation.

Throughout history, appeasement has never worked. Professor Donald Kagan, of Yale, a distinguished classicist and historian, in his book on, “The Origins of War,” wrote that in studying 3,000 years of international treaties, appeasement has always failed in those 3,000 years, and it hasn't worked with the P.A. either.

The message given in speeches to Arab audiences by P.A. officials constantly say that all of Palestine includes Israel, and we must destroy Israel. The message of wiping out Israel is reinforced in the maps, in the offices, and even on P.A. official letterhead.

I happen to have an actual letterhead that Hassan Abdel Rahman has used in his own testimonies in the past. At the top, there's an emblem of the Palestinian Authority, with a map—if you see the black there, the small—it's all of Israel is Palestine, on their official stationary used in testimony before the Senate Subcommittee on Foreign Operations. And that is the message that's being sent, that all of Israel is Palestine. The same in their atlases. The yellow there, which is Israel within the green line, is described
as Palestine, not as Israel. The name Israel doesn’t appear on any of the atlases whatsoever.

The time has come for a new approach. It’s time to come to recognize that P.A. is not a partner for peace; it is a corrupt terrorist regime that must be dismantled, just as the Saddam Hussein was dismantled. Saddam’s loyalists are not allowed to serve in a new Iraqi Government, and neither should those who are officers or officials in the present regime be allowed to participate in any new regime that will be moderate and peaceful.

There is strong precedent for cutting off relations with the Palestinian Authority. The previous President Bush undertook an experiment to test the PLO’s intentions in 1988. When it failed, Bush acknowledged the failure. The first President George Bush cut off relations. I believe we must do this yet again.

Second, Congress must take immediate action with regard to the P.A.’s educational system. Raising children to hate Jews, Israel, and America dooms any hope in the region for any serious peace. If you educate for violence, you’re going to get violence.

We should make U.S. aid to the Palestine Arabs conditional, and only if they completely reform their educational system with serious new textbooks, new teachers, new maps, and other classroom materials.

Paul Johnson wrote, in his history of the Jews, “One of the principal lessons of Jewish history is that repeated verbal slanders are sooner or later followed by violent physical deeds.” And how true that statement is.

The aid should be linked not just to the small portion that goes directly to the P.A. The bulk of the $213 million aid package is not sent directly to the P.A., but does assist the P.A. since money is fungible, as we all understand.

Finally, in addition, Congress should make further U.S. contributions to UNRWA conditional on changes in the Palestine Arab schools that UNRWA administers. American public opinion supports suspension of U.S. aid. Seventy-six percent of Americans oppose financial aid to the Palestine Arabs, according to a recent poll by McLaughlin Associates.

Making the aid conditional in this way will accomplish three crucial objectives. It’ll put meaningful pressure on the P.A. to change its educational system, it’ll send a message to all regimes which promote hatred that they may forfeit American assistance or friendship if they fail to change their educational systems, and it will create the first real hope of raising a generation in Gaza and Ramallah that will be willing to live in peace with Israel. We must stop rewarding terrorism by funding this regime.

Fouad Ajami, the great scholar at Hopkins, wrote: “We buy no friendship in Arab lands with pro-Palestinian diplomacy. We ward off no Arab-American terrorism.”

I will end by saying I used to work for Professor Linus Pauling, the great two-time Nobel prize-winning chemist, as a biostatistician. I was responsible for analyzing the data at the end of experiments, and he would say to me: “Mort, I’m not interested in your hopes and dreams. Tell me what the data requires us to believe.”

I want peace, all of us want peace, but we must look at the evidence. The evidence shows the Palestinian Authority, tragically, is
not interested in peace. It’s interested in working to destroy Israel as a Jewish state. And, at this point, we should do everything at our disposal to end aid to the Palestinian Authority until it changes, and to end relations until it changes. This would have an electric effect by saying, as we haven’t said, that there is a price to be paid for the constant outrages against Israelis. It will not go by simply saying: “We’re sorry about the deaths. Let’s continue the negotiations.” If we end the negotiations, it would send the message that the P.A. would have to make a serious choice. Either negotiate and end terrorism, or there will be no hope of them achieving anything.

PREPARED STATEMENT

So I urge this panel to consider ending aid to the P.A., ending relations until there’s a dramatic transportation of the P.A. authority. Stop rewarding terrorism.

Thank you very much.

[The statement follows:]

PREPARED STATEMENT OF MORTON A. KLEIN

The Palestinian Authority’s policy of educating children to hate Jews, Israel, and America is just one part of a much bigger problem: the continuing refusal of the Palestinian Arabs to give up violence and their goal of eventually destroying the State of Israel.

The process which began with the signing of the Oslo accords in 1993 was based on the assumption that the Palestine Liberation Organization, under the leadership of Yasir Arafat, could be trusted when it claimed that it would stop all violence and live in peace with Israel. But events have proven that assumption was terribly mistaken.

Both the Oslo accords and the Bush Road Map plan require the PLO and the Palestinian Authority, which it created, to undertake a number of steps to facilitate peace and demonstrate that they would peacefully coexist with Israel. They failed to take any of those steps.

They failed to outlaw terrorist groups like Hamas and Islamic Jihad. They failed to confiscate the terrorists’ tens of thousands of weapons, to arrest terrorists, and to dismantle the terrorists’ infrastructure of training camps and arms depots. Their leaders, including past prime minister Mahmoud Abbas and current prime minister Ahmed Qurei, have vowed that they will never fight against Hamas and Islamic Jihad.

Instead of halting anti-Jewish and anti-Israel incitement, as the accords require, they increased the incitement. They developed an entire culture of hatred. The textbooks used in official PA schools teach that Jews are “treacherous,” “enemies of the prophets,” and “foment wars.” PA summer camps train Arab youngsters how to kidnap and murder Jews. Streets in PA cities are named after suicide bombers, and posters glorifying suicide bombers appear on the walls of PA schools and universities. PA-appointed Muslim clergymen regularly preach sermons of hate, which are broadcast on PA radio and television. In one recent sermon, Sheikh Ibrahim Madhi declared, “O Allah, annihilate the Jews,” while Sheikh Ibrahim Abu-Awkal urged his followers to “ cleanse the land from the filth of the Jews.”

They were obligated to implement the rule of law, to hold democratic elections, and to respect human rights. Instead they became, as one newspaper put it, “the world’s smallest police state.” Newspapers that failed to toe Arafat’s line are shut down. Critics of the regime are routinely jailed. Even pro-Arab groups such as Human Rights Watch and the Palestinian Human Rights Monitoring Group have documented what they called “large scale torture” of dissidents by the PA regime. At least 18 people have been tortured to death in PA prisons. Christians are persecuted so ruthlessly by the Muslim PA regime that several U.S. courts have granted them asylum in America on the grounds that they would be persecuted for their religion if they return to PA-controlled territories.

Moreover, the PA has continued to engage in actions hostile to the United States. It shelters dozens of terrorists who have been identified as murderers of American citizens. It refused to permit the FBI to investigate the recent terrorist murders of...
three U.S. diplomatic personnel. The PA vociferously supporting Saddam Hussein and other enemies of the United States. It constantly distributes vicious anti-American propaganda in the official PA media. It pays salaries to imprisoned terrorists who have murdered Americans; and naming streets and parks after killers of Americans.

Most of all, the PA refused to abide by the most basic obligation of the Oslo accords and the Road Map: to halt the use of violence. In October 2000, the PA launched a terrorist war against Israel that continues to this day. Arafat's own Fatah movement carries out the majority of the terrorist attacks. The PA itself runs bomb factories and smuggles weapons through tunnels from the Sinai, into Gaza. The PA's central role in the violence that began in 2000 has been amply proven by thousands of documents discovered by Israeli forces during counter-terror raids over the past year.

How should the United States respond?

Until now, U.S. policy has focused on trying to appease the PA regime. The Clinton administration bent over backwards to avoid acknowledging the PA's violations, and it gave the Palestinian Arabs $100 million each year. The Bush administration went even further. It has offered them a sovereign state, and more than doubled the annual aid allotment to $213.5 million. The assumption was that by offering them funds and a state, they would agree to live in peace.

But throughout history, appeasement has never worked. Professor Donald Kagan of Yale, in his book On the Origins of War, wrote that in studying 3,000 years of international treaties, appeasement has never worked. And it hasn't worked with the PA either, because the PA has made it clear that its goal is not the creation of a small state next door to Israel, but rather to eventually destroy all of Israel. Americans understand this. Recent polls show that by a 2-to-1 margin, we Americans believe that a Palestinian state will be a terrorist state.

In their speeches to Arab audiences, PA officials constantly invoke two models for their political strategy. First, they cite a treaty that Mohammed signed, in the 7th century CE, with an enemy tribe, which promised peace; but ten years later, when Mohammed's forces had improved their military position, he tore up the treaty and slaughtered his enemies. Second, they cite the PLO's own "Strategy of Phases," adopted in 1974, according to which the PLO will first create a small state next to Israel, and then use that as a launching pad to destroy the rest of Israel. This message of eventually wiping out Israel is reinforced every day in the maps that appear in PA schoolbooks, in the PA's offices, even on the PA's official letterhead—maps that show all of Israel labeled "Palestine."

What this means is that the appeasement approach cannot work.

The time has come for a new approach.

First, the time has come to recognize that the Palestinian Authority is not a partner for peace. Not just Yasar Arafat, but the entire PA is a corrupt terrorist regime that must be dismantled, exactly as the regimes of Hitler and Saddam Hussein were dismantled. Hitler's aides were not permitted to become officials of the postwar German government. Saddam's loyalists are not allowed to serve in the new Iraqi government. Arafat's regime is evil from top to bottom.

There is strong precedent for cutting off relations with the PA. The previous President Bush undertook the first experiment to test the PLO's intentions, and when the experiment failed, Bush acknowledged the failure. In December 1988, he opened U.S. negotiations with the PLO, based on Arafat's promise to stop engaging in terrorism. After 18 months, in the spring of 1990, the evidence of the PLO's continued involvement in terrorism was so overwhelming that the Bush administration announced it was canceling all contacts with the PLO. It did so after just 18 months. It has now been 10 years since the United States renewed contacts with the PLO, and once again the PLO has demonstrated that it never changed. The current president Bush should follow in his father's footsteps.

Second, Congress should take immediate action with regard to the PA's educational system. Raising children to hate Jews, Israel, and America dooms any hope for Middle East peace and undermines everything the United States has been trying to accomplish in the region for the past decade.

Congress should make U.S. aid to the Palestinian Arabs conditional on the complete reforming of their educational system. New textbooks, new teachers, new maps and other classroom materials.

All the aid should be linked, not just the small portion that goes directly to the PA. The bulk of the $213 million aid package is not sent directly to the PA but in fact it does assist the PA, since it frees up PA money that would have otherwise been used for the projects that the indirect aid is paying for.
In addition, Congress should make further U.S. contributions to the United Nations Relief and Works Agency, or UNRWA, conditional on changes in the Palestinian Arabs schools that UNRWA administers.

American public opinion will strongly support a suspension of U.S. aid to the Palestinian Arabs. A poll earlier this year by McLaughlin & Associates found that 76 percent of Americans oppose U.S. financial aid to the Palestinian Arabs; only 11.5 percent favor it. Making the aid conditional in this way will accomplish three crucial objectives:

—It will put meaningful pressure on the PA to change its educational system.
—It will send a message to all regimes which promote hatred that they too may forfeit American assistance if they fail to change their educational systems.
—And it will create the first real hope of raising a generation in Gaza and Ramallah that will be willing to live in peace with Israel.

As you may know, I formerly worked as biostatistician for the two-time Nobel laureate Linus Pauling. I was responsible for analyzing the data of experiments, and after each experiment, Professor Pauling would say, “I am not interested in what your hopes and dreams for this experiment were, I am only interested in what the data shows.” In the same way, when you look at the evidence you must conclude that the Palestinian Arabs are not interested in peace with Israel.

Senator SPECTER. Thank you, Dr. Klein.

Before going to a round of questioning, 5 minutes, by other members of the panels here, we’ll give Dr. Rahman an opportunity, if he chooses to, to respond to any of the comments made by Dr. Klein.

Dr. RAHMAN. I honestly don’t know where to start, Senator. But I can assure you——

Senator SPECTER. You can take your time, Dr. Rahman. We have allowed overtime here because of the importance of the subject and also because of the passion of the subject.

Dr. RAHMAN. I think, at the outset of my intervention, I made it clear that I’m one of those who believe in the two-state solution. I have struggled for it. I continue to believe that’s the only way to achieve an end to the tragic situation that we both live in.

I just want to—first of all, Mr. Klein showed a poster, and he said this is a suicide bomber, and it is paid for by the Palestinian Authority. First of all, the name on that poster is that of Yechya Ayash, who was assassinated in 1999, and it is a Hamas poster, and it is not a Palestinian Authority poster. This shows you the example of the distortions that I’m talking about.

Second, I have here in my hand a map that was published just a few days ago by the Israeli Minister of Defense. I don’t see the name Palestine on it, and I don’t see a delineation of the West Bank and Gaza. On the contrary, what I see here is the wall, separating wall. So if Israel has not told us where its borders end and where the Palestinian state starts, how can we do it unilaterally?

Listen, I acknowledge, and I said that from the very beginning, that there is incitement on both sides. On the Palestinian side there is incitement, which we call nationalistic. I may agree or disagree, but that is the explanation that is given. On the Israeli side, there is not only incitement, but actions on the ground that instigate violence, which I totally oppose—the violence as well as the actions by Israel.

Let’s take some of the statement—part of the statement that Mr. Klein made. He never referred to Palestine. He never said he recognizes the rights of the Palestinian people to have a state. In fact, all his arguments were against an independent Palestinian state.
He referred to it as Judea and Samaria, rather than the West Bank and Gaza, the name which is known by everyone.

So what I’m here to say, that the demagogues on both sides, whether it is on our side or on this side, are the dangerous elements. They are really confiscating our agenda. What we are trying to do is to bring back the agenda to the people. That’s why we support efforts like those made by Mr. Ayalon, with Sari Nusseibeh. We support efforts that are made by the group that went to Geneva, the Geneva document that was laughed at by Yosi Beilin and Yasser Abed Rabbo and groups that really sanctioned by the Palestinian Authority with the hope to tell both sides that there is an alternative to this quagmire that we live in and that there is a possibility. And, Mr. Chairman, I really would like to see an effort supporting those efforts made by those people, by the people who are pushing peace and not taking us back to the confrontation like we see today here.

I am making speeches around the country of the United States to the Palestinian Arab community telling them that we have an option, and the option is—that was worked out in Geneva—the option is the roadmap, the option is the statement that was made by Sari Nusseibeh and Ayalon. Those are the kind of efforts that we want really to highlight and encourage and show that—both Palestinians and Americans that—and the Israelis—that there is a way out. And we seek your support in those efforts.

Senator SPECTER. Well, Senator Clinton has another commitment, so we’ll yield to Senator Clinton at this point for questioning.

Senator CLINTON. I thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Dr. Rahman, can I just focus on the issue before us? And perhaps it is a narrow issue, but I think it’s a fundamental and profound one. Will the Palestinian Authority direct the P.A. TV to remove any reference to martyrdom, Shahada, and the glorification of suicide bombers from the television?

Dr. RAHMAN. Senator Clinton, there was an effort made by the previous government of Mahmoud Abbas, and there was a meeting held between Nabil Amre, who is the Minister of Information, and Mr. Shalom, the Foreign Minister of Israel, working towards that end, How can we improve the coverage in both areas, in the Palestinian as well as on the Israeli television? And even Mr. Shalom acknowledged then that progress was made. Yes—the answer to your question, yes, we are making an effort.

But, again, I mean, I understand that you are—you want to focus on this issue, but I, personally, honestly cannot separate this from the wider context.

Senator CLINTON. I understand that.

Dr. RAHMAN. Yes.

Senator CLINTON. And I——

Dr. RAHMAN. And, therefore, we cannot really, Senator—and I hate to interrupt, but we cannot overlook the situation on the ground, because this will do an injustice——

Senator CLINTON. I understand your position, and I can only say that there are many—in fact, myriad of issues of importance to be discussed between Israelis and the Palestinians if there is to be any hope of resolution. But on this issue, I do not understand why the Palestinian Authority cannot separate out a legitimate perspec-
tive on what is happening—and, look, we all see everything through the prism of our own experience, so the news coverage on the Palestinian TV is certainly going to be very different than the news coverage on Israeli TV or on American TV. We all understand that. But I'm talking about the affirmative support that the Palestinian Authority is giving in rhetoric and in propaganda and through the media to this phenomenon of suicide bombing.

Now, to me, that is separable. I'm not asking that you would in any way abdicate what you view as your rightful perspective to say that you disagree with settlements or you disagree with, you know other policy of the Israeli Government. Of course. That is part of the dispute that has to be resolved.

But on this issue, it was, for many years, not a part of the repertoire of either incitement or violence by those who are influenced or directed by any group in Palestine. Now it has become the weapon of choice. And it seems to me that there is a very big difference from people arming themselves, however much I may disrespect that or disagree with it, and going forth to do battle with whom-ever they see as the enemy, and having young people strap bombs on themselves, going forth killing themselves, killing other innocent people, and then being glorified. Now, that, to me, is a separate issue that should be addressed in order to demonstrate what you are telling us, which is that the Palestinian Authority does wish to engage in an ongoing effort, they do wish to create circumstances for a two-state solution, and they do wish to be separated from the demagogues and the terrorists. This would be a very strong piece of evidence that that is not just rhetoric, but action. I don't see where it undermines the Palestinian position. In fact, I think it strengthens the legitimate Palestinian Authority position to be separate from those who would engage in such incitement and, in fact, in my view, brainwashing of young people for such horrible purposes.

Why can't we just focus on that one thing? We will never, in this forum, resolve the other issues that separate the parties.

Dr. RAHMAN. Senator, I appreciate your outrage about suicide bombers, because I am outraged by it, too, personally, and I believe that the majority of the Palestinian people, notwithstanding what has been stated here.

I agree that suicide bombing is unacceptable. It has to be rejected, et cetera, et cetera. Everything—anything that you want to say against it, I would say it even more and harder, because I will never support a culture of death. We want our children to live like I want my children to be productive and live as a productive citizens.

I have said that, and I believe that we also have to be careful about what we saw here today. There is a difference, Senator. And I don't want to be put in the position where I have to make explanations for things that I do not believe in and I don't agree with. But there's a difference between Shahada and suicide bombing. You have really to realize this. Shahada is really to sacrifice for your own country. And you say this to your people, and Americans said it, and the Israelis call on their young people to do it every day, to sacrifice in order to protect their homeland. That is Shahada. But suicide bombing is totally—something totally dif-
different, and we cannot confuse the two, and we cannot accept the confusion between the two.

So, please, what I’m trying to explain here, that what we saw today here, when you speak Shahada, that does not mean suicide bombing. It does not.

Senator Specter. Dr. Rahman, as we have seen the videos, Shahada has been equated with suicide bombing. Why do you say that there is a difference?

Dr. Rahman. I’ll tell you why. Because when President Arafat was shown here, and he—the reporter asked him, he said—asked him, “What message do you give to the people?” And he said that: “This young 14-years-old kid is facing an Israeli tank with a stone, and he was shahid,” meaning that the Israelis shot him and they killed him. He was not a suicide bomber. And this boy, yes, he was 14-years-old, and he was killed by the Israeli Army.

Senator Specter. But when——

Dr. Rahman. So he was not a suicide bomber. He was standing in front of a tank with a stone. So does that mean that he was a suicide bomber?

Senator Specter. But we have—we have seen on the videos repeatedly, an 11-year-old, a 14-year-old, a 12-year-old, say that they wished Shahada, and they plan to be—to give their life as a martyr in a suicide bombing.

Dr. Rahman. Not in a—with suicide bombers. I did not hear it once, and I’m willing to listen to it again.

Senator Specter. Well, I think that Senator Clinton has——

Dr. Rahman. I want to listen to it again. It does not say suicide bomber, Senator.

Senator Specter. Well, let’s see it again.

Dr. Rahman. Let’s see it.

Senator Specter. I think, before you do, just let me say that I think Senator Clinton has put her finger on the critical point about the Palestinian Authority repudiating suicide bombing and acting to take it off of television. And what I will do is, I’m going to send a transcript of this hearing to Chairman Arafat and to the Palestinian Authority Prime Minister with the question: Will they act to stop Palestinian television carrying these messages?

But let’s take a look at it again.

Mr. Marcus. If I may say something, the film that was—that we saw, the two girls expressed the desire to achieve the Shahada, the death for Allah. And the end, there was a caller who called in, and they spoke about a 17-year-old girl who actually did go and was a suicide bomber, Ayyat al-Akras.

Senator Specter. Let us turn to the films themselves. And if you care to make a commentary after you show the film, that would be the appropriate time.

[Video presentation replayed.]

Senator Specter. Right there, Mr. Marcus. Would you stop it? Right there——

Mr. Marcus. Yes.

Senator Specter [continuing]. Where you say “The Shahadas go to paradise,” isn’t that in the context, Dr. Rahman, of a suicide bombing?
Dr. Rahman. Not necessarily. I may become a Shahid even praying—praying, not fighting. Going to Mecca as a pilgrim, I can die and become a Shahid.

Senator Specter. Go ahead, Mr. Marcus.

Dr. Rahman. So there’s—this is a religious connotation. It has nothing to do with suicide bombing.

Senator Specter. Mr. Marcus, proceed with the——

Mr. Marcus. Yes.

Senator Specter [continuing]. Video.

Mr. Marcus. In the context of—oh, continue with the video? In the context of this video, the two first girls were talking about their desire for the Shahada. The third girl who was speaking was specifically applying this to a 17-year-old suicide bomber. And the moderator said: “Is this natural, for a 17-year-old girl to blow herself up?” And she said: “Yes, it is natural.” And the two girls, in the continuation, which wasn’t shown, for time limitations, were actually asked about this, and then expressed similar sentiment.

So Shahada definitely can mean anyone who has died in the conflict. The Palestinians define all of the suicide bombers as shahids, as martyrs——

Senator Specter. Let us proceed with the video and ask Dr. Rahman or Dr. Asali if they agree with other portions, that it equates with suicide bombers.

[Video presentation continued.]

Mr. Marcus. Okay. So that was it: “Is it natural for the 17-year-old to blow herself up to become a shahid?” And the answer was: “It is natural.” So that is the way it’s presented.

Senator Specter. What do you think, Dr. Asali?

Dr. Rahman. Yes, this is a talk show, Senator, somebody expressing. It is not—he is—the reporter is not telling her that—but she is saying that: “I want to sacrifice for my country,” and I—they asked—in fact, even al-Jazeera network, every single Arab network refers to the suicide bombers as Shahada. That is—it is a religious—whether he is Shahid or not, I am not a God to really make a judgement on him. I, personally, call it suicide bombers. Others call it Shahid. But Shahada is not exclusive to suicide bombers. That’s what I’m trying to say.

Senator Specter. Dr.——

Dr. Rahman. They——

Senator Specter. Go ahead, Dr. Rahman. I don't want to cut you off.

Dr. Rahman. No, no. What I’m trying to say here is, we—this is an inclusive. Anyone who sacrifices for his country is a Shahid. So we cannot tell people, “Don’t sacrifice for your country.”

Dr. Asali. If I may?

Senator Specter. Dr. Asali?

Dr. Asali. Actually, the literal translation, if there is such a thing for a word that exists only in Arabic, of Shahid or Shahada is the one who dies for the sake of God. It is a religious concept. Anybody who dies in conflict, for instance, at a war, would be a Shahid. Anybody who would be killed by an enemy who is fighting the Arabs or the Muslims, et cetera, would be a Shahid. Somebody who would be at prayer and he would be killed without lifting a
finger, he would be a Shahid. So we need to understand it in that context.

Actually, the—you know, we may run the risk of trying to get bogged down in minutia. I think those videos do show a level of—a highly developed level of frustration that these young people have achieved in their own life of complete frustration with the way they live, that they do, in fact, condone and consider it natural for a 17-year-old person to die like this. You know, I——

Senator SPECTER. To die as a martyr.

Dr. ASALI. As a martyr. As a martyr. I do want to mention something. You know, the day-to-day life of the Palestinians under their present circumstances is really rather unbearable. You know, there are 160 checkpoints in Palestine. There are, you know, like, 5,000 houses demolished. There are 128 Palestinian women who gave birth at checkpoints, 70 percent malnutrition, terrible way of life. These people are, by definition, liable to be exploited by those who would have appealed to their sense of frustration to do things like, you know, suicide bombing or others.

We do need to get back into a—in geopolitical context to resolve this question, and not focus very, very narrowly on these people. They're actually—the whole problem for suicide bombing, if I may say, is two problems that are lumped together. One is for the young people, themselves, who blow themselves up; and another is for the people who send them to do that. These young kids just don't go off, you know. They have to have a support system somewhere that exploits their sense of frustration.

They are, by and large, perhaps innocent, and somehow—sometimes privileged kids who feel so absolutely desperate and losing their dignity and their future, so the others, who are much more calculating, and none of them is young, and none of them would send their own kids to do this, would take advantage of that situation. That puts the whole problem in a political context that we cannot avoid.

Senator SPECTER. Well, I think we've gone about as far as we can go on this particular interpretation. It's now noon. It's been a very long hearing, and we thank you all for staying. I know people want to make additional comments, so what I would like to do is give each of you 2 minutes to sum up.

Mr. Marcus, you had asked for an opportunity to reply to some of the things which had been said. If you could limit it to 2 minutes, we'd appreciate it.

Mr. MARCUS. Okay.

Senator SPECTER. We're going to have another vote here within the hour, and there are a number of other things which have to be taken care of. So to the extent you can hold yourself to 2 minutes, we'd appreciate it.

Mr. MARCUS. The Palestinian Authority has been giving active promotion to suicide bombings. When teenage children participate in a summer camp named after Ayyat al-Akhras, a 17-year-old girl who was a suicide bomber, there is no greater promotion and no greater role-modeling for teenagers than telling them this is the person who we're admiring.

The fact that Dr. Rahman is arguing about the nuance of a film does not erase the entire society's promotion of the suicide bombing
as well as the Shahada, as well as the Shahada especially among children, as well.
Dr. Rahman commented on the religious nature of this belief, and that is not an excuse. That is, in fact, even worse. These children are taught—and we’re talking about a very religious society, the Palestinian society—these children are taught that they have the religious achievement. This is not true that these children are frustrated and that’s why they’re blowing themselves up. They are blowing themselves up because they want to aspire to the afterlife. They have been convinced, because of their religious beliefs that they have been ingrained with, that doing this, this type of a suicide bombing, or just achieving the Shahada, will actually give them great rewards in this future.
So the religious component actually compounds the problem, and that’s why 11-year-olds are talking about, “We don’t care about this life. We only care about the afterlife.”
Senator Specter. Dr. Rahman, would you care to sum?
Dr. Rahman. Well, I’m listening, and I cannot really believe what I heard, because Mr. Marcus is on the West Bank because he believes that God gave him that land. That’s a religious statement, and he is opposing Palestinians for being religious? What else of an argument that he has to be on the West Bank except that he is Jewish?
Listen, I would say the following. We do not support suicide bombers. We, the Palestinian Authority, made itself very clear on this issue over and over again. We are looking for the opportunity to take action when the Israeli Army withdraws from the West Bank, because while we have 50,000 Israeli soldiers in the West Bank and in Gaza, it is impossible for the Palestinian security forces to take action.
We are ready to do that. Our new Prime Minister just made a statement yesterday. He said: “We are ready to declare a unilateral cease fire.” He is engaged in the Palestinian organizations. We hope that the Israeli Government will reciprocate and accept an overall cease fire. That will end the violence between the two people so we can really put things on the track of political negotiations.
Senator Specter. Would that cease fire bind Hamas and Islam Jihad?
Dr. Rahman. Yes, absolutely. That’s what he said. He said: “I am negotiating with Hamas and Jihad Islam, and every other organization for a unilateral cease fire, which I’m going to take it to the Israelis and I hope that the Israelis will reciprocate and we can turn it into a permanent cease fire and move on political negotiations.” And I hope that Israelis will reciprocate.
Senator Specter. Dr. Asali, would you care to sum up?
Dr. Asali. Yes. I think it is always helpful in this conflict to tone down the rhetoric, and I think we should focus on the grand political objective. A two-state solution cannot be achieved by being negotiated between the Israelis and the Palestinians, left on their own devices. Political will, political muscle has to be applied, especially in this country, which is the only country that is in a position to do so, to make it happen by applying the needed incentives, rewards, and disincentives to both parties.
Senator SPECTER. Thank you, Dr. Asali.
Dr. Klein, would you care to sum up?
Mr. KLEIN. Yes. First of all, I wanted to mention, Israel has handed over to the Bush administration literally thousands of documents showing that the Palestinian Authority has paid for the types of posters that I just showed here. This has been written up and shown in Time magazine and many other major publications the actual documents.

In addition, the schools, camps, and streets are named after suicide bombers who have murdered Israelis, not martyrs who have died in some other way.

The polls, by the way, by—their own Palestinian Authority pollsters show that 60 to 90 percent of Palestinians tragically and shockingly support suicide bombings. And, in fact, when Joseph Lelyveld, the former editor-in-chief of the New York Times wrote an article about suicide bombers’ families, he wrote that he was shocked and stunned that when he interviewed the families they said how proud they are of their children, who have killed themselves while murdering Jews.

Finally, the checkpoints are there to stop terrorists from coming into Israel. If there was no terrorism, there would be no more checkpoints, it would be the end of checkpoints. And I find it really tragically and disappointingly racist statements to say that Jews shouldn’t live in Judea and Samaria. This was—is uninhabited land where the Jews have moved into.

The cease fire? We don’t need a temporary cease fire. That would be pleasant. It didn’t work before, and cease fires are something that will not work in any long-term situation. We must have the P.A. arrest the terrorists and outlaw Hamas and Islamic Jihad, and we must have Hassan Abdel Rahman and others in the territories and ask him to stop showing all of Israeli as Palestine on their official stationary, on their maps, on their atlases. Do I ask Dr. Rahman to change this stationary and stop sending the message that all of Israel is Palestine.

Thank you very much.

Senator SPECTER. Gentlemen, thank you very much.
I intend to send this transcript to Chairman Arafat and the Prime Minister of Palestinian Authority, with the request that they stop showing these videos on Palestinian television.

ADDITIONAL SUBMITTED STATEMENT

We have received a statement from The American Jewish Committee that will be made part of the hearing record.
[The statement follows:]

PREPARED STATEMENT OF THE AMERICAN JEWISH COMMITTEE

Thank you, Chairman Specter, for allowing me this opportunity to submit a statement for the record.

I wish to express appreciation to the Subcommittee for investigating the current nature of the Palestinian education system so that we can better understand how to further the process of replacing the teaching of hatred and violence in Palestinian schools with the teaching of principles of coexistence, democracy, and mutual understanding.

The American Jewish Committee welcomes your initiative in holding a vitally important hearing on October 30 to bring to light the rampant teaching of hatred and glorification of violence in Palestinian schools, and the concomitant incitement to vi-
cence and hate that permeates the broader Palestinian culture and is aimed in particular at young people. We encourage the Subcommittee to continue to press all American authorities that deal with the Palestinian Authority, as you strongly did at the hearing, to demand of the Palestinian Authority Ministries of Education and Sport a revamping of the educational curricula that they disseminate. The basis for shared trust must begin with clear messages from the Palestinian leadership to its children that indeed there is a bright future for pluralism and coexistence in the Middle East, precisely the opposite of what is being taught today. The celebration of hate and violence that encourages children to commit acts of terrorism, including homicide bombings, is, as Senator Clinton noted at the hearing, a form of child abuse. The cessation of such incitement must not await a resolution of the political issues underlying the Israeli-Palestinian conflicts. It is a sine qua non of that resolution.

Of additional concern, such incitement is by no means a problem limited to the Palestinian territories, but a malevolent trend to be found in far too many parts of the Muslim world. We are familiar with the vile anti-Semitic speech delivered by Malaysian Prime Minister Mahathir Mohamad to the Organization of the Islamic Conference last month, at which, to the everlasting shame of those attending this largest of gatherings of Muslim national leaders, his words were greeted not with condemnation, but with a standing ovation. And, as in the Palestinian Authority, one finds teaching of hatred that is directed at the young in other places as well. This past February, the American Jewish Committee and the Center for Monitoring Peace jointly released the most comprehensive survey ever prepared of the official Saudi worldview to which students between the ages of six and sixteen are exposed through the medium of subject textbooks. In analyzing the 93 school textbooks published by the Saudi Ministry of Education and in circulation between 1999 and 2002, the report reveals the widespread presence of contempt towards Western civilization and followers of other religions.

Here are the main findings from our report:

—Islam is taught as the only true religion and Saudi Arabia is the leader of the Muslim world.—Islam is presented as the only true religion, while all other religions are presented as false. Consequently, Muslims are portrayed as superior to followers of all other religions. Islam plays the dominant role in state and society, in the judicial and educational systems, and in everyday life. Saudi Arabia assumes, in turn, a leading role in the Muslim world and sees itself as the champion of Islam.

—Christians and Jews are denounced as infidels.—Christians and Jews are presented as enemies of Islam and of Muslims. Therefore, Muslims may not befriend them nor emulate them in any way, lest that lead to love and friendship, which is forbidden.

—The West is a decaying society on its way to extinction, and is the source of past and present misfortunes of the Muslim world.—Western civilization is presented in a state of cultural and religious decline, the symptoms of which are the absence of spirituality, the practice of adultery, and the large number of suicides in Western society. The West is also blamed for decaying world domination and targeting the Muslim world by aggressively promoting Western practices, ideologies, and lifestyle habits among Muslim society. In addition, Saudi school children are taught to reject all notions of Western democracy.

—Peace between Muslims and non-Muslims is ostensibly rejected.—Saudi Arabian schoolbooks, even grammar books, are full of phrases exalting war, jihad, and martyrdom. And though all forms of terror are rejected by the Saudi Arabian schoolbooks, it appears that such prohibitions do not apply to cases that fall in the categories of jihad and martyrdom.

—The Jews are a wicked nation, characterized by bribery, slyness, deception, and aggressiveness.—According to the Saudi schoolbooks, the present Jewish occupation of Palestine constitutes a danger to the neighboring Muslim countries. Zionism is presented as an evil movement, based on ancient Jewish notions.

—Israel is not recognized as a sovereign state in Saudi Arabian schoolbooks, and its name does not appear on any map.—All maps in Saudi schoolbooks bear only the name Palestine. Palestine is presented as a Muslim country occupied by foreigners who defile its Muslim holy places, especially the Al-Aqsa Mosque in Jerusalem. The occupation of Palestine is portrayed as the most crucial problem of the Arabs and the Muslims, who should all join forces for the total liberation of Palestine.

So that Members of the Subcommittee may familiarize themselves with our findings in greater detail, I am submitting for the record our comprehensive study, The West, Christians and Jews in Saudi Arabian Schoolbooks.
The Saudi Government has responded to criticism of its shameful education policies by claiming that it is working to bring about constructive reform in its curriculum and education system, but it is now high time for the Saudis to match deeds with words. As one of the strongest allies of the United States, the Saudi government needs to take a hard look at its educational system and introduce immediate reforms that remove hate and promote genuine tolerance of and respect for other faiths.

To this end, we urge that Congress move quickly to adopt a Congressional initiative spearheaded by Senators Gordon Smith (R-OR) and Charles Schumer (D-NY) in the Senate and Representatives Jim Davis (D-FL) and Doug Bereuter (R-NE) in the House. The resolution, S. Con. Res. 14/H. Con. Res. 242, calls on the Saudi government to “reform its education curriculum in a manner that promotes tolerance, develops civil society, and encourages functionality in the global economy.”

As always, the American Jewish Committee stands with all people of good will, regardless of their race, nationality, or religion, in an effort to promote peace, democracy, and mutual understanding. My thanks go again to the Subcommittee, and to its Chairman, for their continued attention to these matters of utmost importance.

CONCLUSION OF HEARING

Senator Specter. Thank you all very much for being here. That concludes our hearing.

[Whereupon, at 12:07 p.m., Tuesday, October 30, the hearing was concluded, and the subcommittee was recessed, to reconvene subject to the call of the Chair.]