

§ 405.1028, a discussion of the new evidence and the good cause determination that was made;

(iii) The procedures for obtaining additional information concerning the decision; and

(iv) Notification of the right to appeal the decision to the Council, including instructions on how to initiate an appeal under this section.

(3) *Limitation on decision.* When the amount of payment for an item or service is an issue before the ALJ or attorney adjudicator, the ALJ or attorney adjudicator may make a finding as to the amount of payment due. If the ALJ or attorney adjudicator makes a finding concerning payment when the amount of payment was not an issue before the ALJ or attorney adjudicator, the contractor may independently determine the payment amount. In either of the aforementioned situations, an ALJ's or attorney adjudicator's decision is not binding on the contractor for purposes of determining the amount of payment due. The amount of payment determined by the contractor in effectuating the ALJ's or attorney adjudicator's decision is a new initial determination under § 405.924.

(b) *Decisions on requests for review of a QIC dismissal*—(1) *General rule.* Unless the ALJ or attorney adjudicator dismisses the request for review of a QIC dismissal, or the QIC's dismissal is vacated and remanded, the ALJ or attorney adjudicator will issue a written decision affirming the QIC's dismissal. OMHA mails or otherwise transmits a copy of the decision to all the parties that received a copy of the QIC's dismissal.

(2) *Content of the notice.* The decision must be written in a manner calculated to be understood by a beneficiary and must include—

(i) The specific reasons for the determination, including a summary of the evidence considered and applicable authorities;

(ii) The procedures for obtaining additional information concerning the decision; and

(iii) Notification that the decision is binding and is not subject to further review, unless reopened and revised by the ALJ or attorney adjudicator.

(c) *Recommended decision.* An ALJ or attorney adjudicator issues a recommended decision if he or she is directed to do so in the Council's remand order. An ALJ or attorney adjudicator may not issue a recommended decision on his or her own motion. The ALJ or attorney adjudicator mails a copy of the recommended decision to all the parties at their last known address.

[82 FR 5119, Jan. 17, 2017, as amended at 84 FR 19871, May 7, 2019]

§ 405.1048 The effect of an ALJ's or attorney adjudicator's decision.

(a) The decision of the ALJ or attorney adjudicator on a request for hearing is binding on all parties unless—

(1) A party requests a review of the decision by the Council within the stated time period or the Council reviews the decision issued by an ALJ or attorney adjudicator under the procedures set forth in § 405.1110, and the Council issues a final decision or remand order or the appeal is escalated to Federal district court under the provisions at § 405.1132 and the Federal district court issues a decision.

(2) The decision is reopened and revised by an ALJ or attorney adjudicator or the Council under the procedures explained in § 405.980;

(3) The expedited access to judicial review process at § 405.990 is used;

(4) The ALJ's or attorney adjudicator's decision is a recommended decision directed to the Council and the Council issues a decision; or

(5) In a case remanded by a Federal district court, the Council assumes jurisdiction under the procedures in § 405.1138 and the Council issues a decision.

(b) The decision of the ALJ or attorney adjudicator on a request for review of a QIC dismissal is binding on all parties unless the decision is reopened and revised by the ALJ or attorney adjudicator under the procedures in § 405.980.

[82 FR 5119, Jan. 17, 2017]

§ 405.1050 Removal of a hearing request from OMHA to the Council.

If a request for hearing is pending before OMHA, the Council may assume responsibility for holding a hearing by