

## Relocation Allowances

## § 302-12.120

### **§ 302-12.116 What must we consider in deciding whether to use the fixed-fee or cost-reimbursable contracting method?**

You must consider the following factors in deciding whether to use the fixed-fee or cost-reimbursable contracting method:

(a) *Risk of alternative methods.* Under a fixed fee contract, the relocation services company bears all risks not expressly contained in the contract. Under a cost-reimbursable contract, you must assume some or all risks and, therefore, must assume some management responsibilities under the contract as well. For example, under a fixed fee homesale program you are not directly liable for losses incurred if a residence does not sell immediately, while under a cost-reimbursable homesale program you assume some or all risks of selling the residence.

(b) *Cost of alternative methods.* Under the fixed fee method of contracting, the fee includes a cost component for risks assumed by the relocation services company. Under the cost-reimbursable method of contracting, you are directly responsible for some or all of the costs associated with management of the contract. In deciding whether to use cost-reimbursable contracting you, therefore, must consider the cost of resources you would require (including personnel costs) to manage a cost-reimbursable relocation services contract.

(c) *Effect on the obligation of funds.* You must obligate funds for a relocation in the fiscal year in which the purchase order is awarded under the contract. Under the fixed fee contracting method, the amount of the relocation services fee is fixed and you have a basis for determining the amount of funds to obligate. Under the cost-reimbursable contracting method, you must obligate funds based on an estimate of the costs that will be incurred. When opting for cost-reimbursable contracting you, therefore, should establish a reliable method of computing fund obligation estimates.

[FTR Amdt. 98, 66 FR 58196, Nov. 20, 2001. Redesignated by FTR Amdt. 2011-01, 76 FR 18344, Apr. 1, 2011]

### **§ 302-12.117 May we take title to an employee's residence?**

No, you may not take title to an employee's residence except as specifically provided by statute. The statutes which form the basis for the provisions of this part do not provide such authority.

[FTR Amdt. 98, 66 FR 58196, Nov. 20, 2001. Redesignated by FTR Amdt. 2011-01, 76 FR 18344, Apr. 1, 2011]

### **§ 302-12.118 Under a homesale program, may we establish a maximum home value above which we will not pay for homesale services?**

Yes, if a home exceeding the maximum value above which you will not pay is sold under your homesale program, the employee will be responsible for any additional costs. You must establish a maximum amount commensurate with your agency's experience. You may consider, among other factors, budgetary constraints, the value range of homes in areas where you have offices, and the value range of homes previously entered in your program.

[FTR Amdt. 98, 66 FR 58196, Nov. 20, 2001. Redesignated by FTR Amdt. 2011-01, 76 FR 18344, Apr. 1, 2011]

### **§ 302-12.119 Under a home sale program, may we pay an employee for losses the employee incurs on the sale of a residence?**

No, under a home sale program, you may not pay an employee for losses the employee incurs on the sale of a residence, but this does not preclude you reimbursing a relocation services company for losses incurred while the contractor holds the property.

[FTR Case 2022-05, 89 FR 12256, Feb. 16, 2024]

### **§ 302-12.120 Under a home sale program, may we direct the relocation services company to pay an employee more than the fair market value of the employee's residence?**

No, under a home sale program, you may not direct the relocation services company to pay an employee more than the fair market value (as determined by the residence appraisal process) of the employee's home.

[FTR Case 2022-05, 89 FR 12256, Feb. 16, 2024]