AUTHENTICATED
U.S. GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION
GPO

SUBCHAPTER C—AIR PROGRAMS (CONTINUED)

PART 72—PERMITS REGULATION

Subpart A—Acid Rain Program General Provisions

Sec.
72.1 Purpose and scope.
72.2 Definitions.
72.3 Measurements, abbreviations, and acronyms.
72.4 Federal authority.
72.5 State authority.
72.6 Applicability.
72.7 New units exemption.
72.8 Retired units exemption.
72.9 Standard requirements.
72.10 Availability of information.
72.11 Computation of time.
72.12 Administrative appeals.
72.13 Incorporation by reference.

Subpart B—Designated Representative

72.20 Authorization and responsibilities of the designated representative.
72.21 Submissions.
72.22 Alternate designated representative.
72.23 Changing the designated representative, alternate designated representative; changes in the owners and operators.
72.24 Certificate of representation.
72.25 Objections.
72.26 Delegation by designated representative and alternate designated representative.

Subpart C—Acid Rain Permit Applications

72.30 Requirement to apply.
72.31 Information requirements for Acid Rain permit applications.
72.32 Permit application shield and binding effect of permit application.
72.33 Identification of dispatch system.

Subpart D—Acid Rain Compliance Plan and Compliance Options

72.40 General.
72.41 Phase I substitution plans.
72.42 Phase I extension plans.
72.43 Phase I reduced utilization plans.
72.44 Phase II repowering extensions.

Subpart E—Acid Rain Permit Contents

72.50 General.
72.51 Permit shield.

Subpart F—Federal Acid Rain Permit Issuance Procedures

72.60 General.
72.61 Completeness.
72.62 Draft permit.
72.63 Administrative record.
72.64 Statement of basis.
72.65 Public notice of opportunities for public comment.
72.66 Public comments.
72.67 Opportunity for public hearing.
72.68 Response to comments.
72.69 Issuance and effective date of acid rain permits.

Subpart G—Acid Rain Phase II Implementation

72.70 Relationship to title V operating permit program.
72.71 Acceptance of State Acid Rain programs—general.
72.72 Criteria for State operating permit program.
72.73 State issuance of Phase II permits.
72.74 Federal issuance of Phase II permits.

Subpart H—Permit Revisions

72.80 General.
72.81 Permit modifications.
72.82 Fast-track modifications.
72.83 Administrative permit amendment.
72.84 Automatic permit amendment.
72.85 Permit reopenings.

Subpart I—Compliance Certification

72.90 Annual compliance certification report.
72.91 Phase I unit adjusted utilization.
72.92 Phase I unit allowance surrender.
72.93 Units with Phase I extension plans.
72.94 Units with repowering extension plans.
72.95 Allowance deduction formula.
72.96 Administrator's action on compliance certifications.

APPENDIX A TO PART 72—METHODOLOGY FOR ANNUALIZATION OF EMISSIONS LIMITS
APPENDIX B TO PART 72—METHODOLOGY FOR CONVERSION OF EMISSIONS LIMITS
APPENDIX C TO PART 72—ACTUAL 1985 YEARLY SO_2 EMISSIONS CALCULATION
APPENDIX D TO PART 72—CALCULATION OF POTENTIAL ELECTRIC OUTPUT CAPACITY

AUTHORITY: 42 U.S.C. 7401, 7403, 7410, 7411, 7426, 7601, *et seq*.

SOURCE: 58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, unless otherwise noted.

Subpart A—Acid Rain Program General Provisions

§ 72.1 Purpose and scope.

(a) *Purpose*. The purpose of this part is to establish certain general provisions and the operating permit program requirements for affected sources and affected units under the Acid Rain Program, pursuant to title IV of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401, *et seq.*, as amended by Public Law 101–549 (November 15, 1990).

(b) *Scope*. The regulations under this part set forth certain generally applicable provisions under the Acid Rain Program. The regulations also set forth requirements for obtaining three types of Acid Rain permits, during Phases I and II, for which an affected source may apply: Acid Rain permits issued by the United States Environmental Protection Agency during Phase I; the Acid Rain portion of an operating permit issued by a State permitting authority during Phase II; and the Acid Rain portion of an operating permit issued by EPA when it is the permitting authority during Phase II. The requirements under this part supplement, and in some cases modify, the requirements under parts 70 and 71 of this chapter and other regulations implementing title V for approving and implementing State operating permit programs and for Federal issuance of operating permits under title V, as such requirements apply to affected sources under the Acid Rain Program.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55475, Oct. 24, 1997]

§ 72.2 Definitions.

The terms used in this part, in parts 73, 74, 75, 76, 77 and 78 of this chapter shall have the meanings set forth in the Act, including sections 302 and 402 of the Act, and in this section as follows:

Account number means the identification number given by the Administrator to each Allowance Tracking System account pursuant to § 73.31(d) of this chapter.

Acid Rain compliance option means one of the methods of compliance used by an affected unit under the Acid Rain Program as described in a compliance plan submitted and approved in accordance with subpart D of this part, part 74 of this chapter or part 76 of this chapter.

Acid Rain emissions limitation means:

(1) For purposes of sulfur dioxide emissions:

(i) The tonnage equivalent of the allowances authorized to be allocated to the affected units at a source for use in a calendar year under section 404(a)(1), (a)(3), and (h) of the Act, or the basic Phase II allowance allocations authorized to be allocated to an affected unit for use in a calendar year, or the allowances authorized to be allocated to an opt-in source under section 410 of the Act for use in a calendar year;

(ii) As adjusted:

(A) By allowances allocated by the Administrator pursuant to section 403, section 405 (a)(2), (a)(3), (b)(2), (c)(4), (d)(3), and (h)(2), and section 406 of the Act;

(B) By allowances allocated by the Administrator pursuant to subpart D of this part; and thereafter

(C) By allowance transfers to or from the compliance account for that source that were recorded or properly submitted for recordation by the allowance transfer deadline as provided in § 73.35 of this chapter, after deductions and other adjustments are made pursuant to § 73.34(c) of this chapter; and

(2) For purposes of nitrogen oxides emissions, the applicable limitation under part 76 of this chapter.

Acid Rain emissions reduction requirement means a requirement under the Acid Rain Program to reduce the emissions of sulfur dioxide or nitrogen oxides from a unit to a specified level or by a specified percentage.

Acid Rain permit or permit means the legally binding written document or portion of such document, including any permit revisions, that is issued by a permitting authority under this part and specifies the Acid Rain Program requirements applicable to an affected source and to the owners and operators and the designated representative of the affected source or the affected unit.

Acid Rain Program means the national sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides air pollution control and emissions reduction program established in accordance with title IV of the Act, this

part, and parts 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, and 78 of this chapter.

Act means the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401, *et seq.* as amended by Public Law No. 101–549 (November 15, 1990).

Actual SO_2 emissions rate means the annual average sulfur dioxide emissions rate for the unit (expressed in lb/mmBtu), for the specified calendar year; *provided* that, if the unit is listed in the NADB, the ''1985 actual SO_2 emissions rate'' for the unit shall be the rate specified by the Administrator in the NADB under the data field ''SO2RTE.''

Add-on control means a pollution reduction control technology that operates independent of the combustion process.

Additional advance auction means the auction of advance allowances that were offered the previous year for sale in an advance sale.

Administrator means the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency or the Administrator's duly authorized representative.

Advance allowance means an allowance that may be used for purposes of compliance with a source Acid Rain sulfur dioxide emissions limitation requirements beginning no earlier than seven years following the year in which the allowance is first offered for sale.

Advance auction means an auction of advance allowances.

Advance sale means a sale of advance allowances.

Affected source means a source that includes one or more affected units.

Affected States means any affected States as defined in part 71 of this chapter.

Affected unit means a unit that is subject to any Acid Rain emissions reduction requirement or Acid Rain emissions limitation under § 72.6 or part 74 of this chapter.

Affiliate shall have the meaning set forth in section 2(a)(11) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, 15 U.S.C. 79b(a)(11), as of November 15, 1990.

Air Emission Testing Body (AETB) means a company or other entity that provides to the owner or operator the certification required by section 6.1.2(b) of appendix A to part 75 of this chapter.

Allocate or allocation means the initial crediting of an allowance by the Administrator to an Allowance Tracking System compliance account or general account.

Allowable SO_2 emissions rate means the most stringent federally enforceable emissions limitation for sulfur dioxide (in lb/mmBtu) applicable to the unit or combustion source for the specified calendar year, or for such subsequent year as determined by the Administrator where such a limitation does not exist for the specified year; provided that, if a Phase I or Phase II unit is listed in the NADB, the ''1985 allowable SO_2 emissions rate'' for the Phase I or Phase II unit shall be the rate specified by the Administrator in the NADB under the data field ''1985 annualized boiler SO_2 emission limit.''

Allowance means an authorization by the Administrator under the Acid Rain Program to emit up to one ton of sulfur dioxide during or after a specified calendar year.

Allowance deduction, or deduct when referring to allowances, means the permanent withdrawal of allowances by the Administrator from an Allowance Tracking System compliance account to account for the number of tons of SO_2 emissions from the affected units at an affected source for the calendar year, for tonnage emissions estimates calculated for periods of missing data as provided in part 75 of this chapter, or for any other allowance surrender obligations of the Acid Rain Program.

Allowances held or hold allowances means the allowances recorded by the Administrator, or submitted to the Administrator for recordation in accordance with § 73.50 of this chapter, in an Allowance Tracking System account.

Allowance reserve means any bank of allowances established by the Administrator in the Allowance Tracking System pursuant to sections 404(a)(2) (Phase I extension reserve), 404(g) (energy conservation and renewable energy reserve), or 416(b) (special allowance reserve) of the Act, and implemented in accordance with part 73, subpart B of this chapter.

Allowance Tracking System or ATS means the Acid Rain Program system

by which the Administrator allocates, records, deducts, and tracks allowances.

Allowance Tracking System account means an account in the Allowance Tracking System established by the Administrator for purposes of allocating, holding, transferring, and using allowances.

Allowance transfer deadline means midnight of March 1 (or February 29 in any leap year) or, if such day is not a business day, midnight of the first business day thereafter and is the deadline by which allowances may be submitted for recordation in an affected source's compliance account for the purposes of meeting the source's Acid Rain emissions limitation requirements for sulfur dioxide for the previous calendar year.

Alternative monitoring system means a system or a component of a system designed to provide direct or indirect data of mass emissions per time period, pollutant concentrations, or volumetric flow, that is demonstrated to the Administrator as having the same precision, reliability, accessibility, and timeliness as the data provided by a certified CEMS or certified CEMS component in accordance with part 75 of this chapter.

As-fired means the taking of a fuel sample just prior to its introduction into the unit for combustion.

Auction subaccount means a subaccount in the Special Allowance Reserve, as specified in section 416(b) of the Act, which contains allowances to be sold at auction in the amount of 150,000 per year from calendar year 1995 through 1999, inclusive, and 200,000 per year for each year begnning in calendar year 2000, subject to the adjustments noted in the regulations in part 73, subpart E of this chapter.

Authorized account representative means a responsible natural person who is authorized, in accordance with part 73 of this chapter, to transfer and otherwise dispose of allowances held in an Allowance Tracking System general account; or, in the case of a compliance account, the designated representative of the owners and operators of the affected source and the affected units at the source.

Automated data acquisition and handling system means that component of the CEMS, COMS, or other emissions monitoring system approved by the Administrator for use in the Acid Rain Program, designed to interpret and convert individual output signals from pollutant concentration monitors, flow monitors, diluent gas monitors, moisture monitors, opacity monitors, and other component parts of the monitoring system to produce a continuous record of the measured parameters in the measurement units required by part 75 of this chapter.

Award means the conditional set-aside by the Administrator, based on the submission of an early ranking application pursuant to subpart D of this part, of an allowance from the Phase I extension reserve, for possible future allocation to a Phase I extension applicant's Allowance Tracking System unit account.

Backup fuel means a fuel for a unit where: (1) For purposes of the requirements of the monitoring exception of appendix E of part 75 of this chapter, the fuel provides less than 10.0 percent of the heat input to a unit during the three calendar years prior to certification testing for the primary fuel and the fuel provides less than 15.0 percent of the heat input to a unit in each of those three calendar years; or the Administrator approves the fuel as a backup fuel; and (2) For all other purposes under the Acid Rain Program, a fuel that is not the primary fuel (expressed in mmBtu) consumed by an affected unit for the applicable calendar year.

Baseline means the annual average quantity of fossil fuel consumed by a unit, measured in millions of British Thermal Units (expressed in mmBtu) for calendar years 1985 through 1987; *provided* that in the event that a unit is listed in the NADB, the baseline will be calculated for each unit-generator pair that includes the unit, and the unit's baseline will be the sum of such unit-generator baselines. The unit-generator baseline will be as provided in the NADB under the data field ''BASE8587'', as adjusted by the outage hours listed in the NADB under the data field ''OUTAGEHR'' in accordance with the following equation:

Baseline = BASE8587 × {26280 / (26280 − OUTAGEHR)} × {36 / (36 − months not on line)} × 10^6

''Months not on line'' is the number of months during January 1985 through December 1987 prior to the commencement of firing for units that commenced firing in that period, i.e., the number of months, in that period, prior to the on-line month listed under the data field ''BLRMNONL'' and the on-line year listed in the data field ''BLRYRONL'' in the NADB.

Basic Phase II allowance allocations means:

(1) For calendar years 2000 through 2009 inclusive, allocations of allowances made by the Administrator pursuant to section 403 and section 405 (b)(1), (3), and (4); (c)(1), (2), (3), and (5); (d)(1), (2), (4), and (5); (e); (f); (g)(1), (2), (3), (4), and (5); (h)(1); (i); and (j).

(2) For each calendar year beginning in 2010, allocations of allowances made by the Administrator pursuant to section 403 and section 405 (b)(1), (3), and (4); (c)(1), (2), (3), and (5); (d)(1), (2), (4), and (5); (e); (f); (g)(1), (2), (3), (4), and (5); (h)(1) and (3); (i); and (j).

Bias means systematic error, resulting in measurements that will be either consistently low or high relative to the reference value.

Boiler means an enclosed fossil or other fuel-fired combustion device used to produce heat and to transfer heat to recirculating water, steam, or any other medium.

Bypass operating quarter means a calendar quarter during which emissions pass through a stack, duct or flue that bypasses add-on emission controls.

Bypass stack means any duct, stack, or conduit through which emissions from an affected unit may or do pass to the atmosphere, which either augments or substitutes for the principal stack exhaust system or ductwork during any portion of the unit's operation.

Calibration error means the difference between:

(1) The response of a gaseous monitor to a calibration gas and the known concentration of the calibration gas;

(2) The response of a flow monitor to a reference signal and the known value of the reference signal; or

(3) The response of a continuous opacity monitoring system to an attenuation filter and the known value of the filter after a stated period of operation during which no unscheduled maintenance, repair, or adjustment took place.

Calibration gas means:

(1) A standard reference material;

(2) A standard reference material-equivalent compressed gas primary reference material;

(3) A NIST traceable reference material;

(4) NIST/EPA-approved certified reference materials;

(5) A gas manufacturer's intermediate standard;

(6) An EPA protocol gas;

(7) Zero air material; or

(8) A research gas mixture.

Capacity factor means either:

(1) The ratio of a unit's actual annual electric output (expressed in MWe/hr) to the unit's nameplate capacity (or maximum observed hourly gross load (in MWe/hr) if greater than the nameplate capacity) times 8760 hours; or

(2) The ratio of a unit's annual heat input (in million British thermal units or equivalent units of measure) to the unit's maximum rated hourly heat input rate (in million British thermal units per hour or equivalent units of measure) times 8,760 hours.

CEMS precision or precision as applied to the monitoring requirements of part 75 of this chapter, means the closeness of a measurement to the actual measured value expressed as the uncertainty associated with repeated measurements of the same sample or of different samples from the same process (e.g., the random error associated with simultaneous measurements of a process made by more than one instrument). A measurement technique is determined to have increasing ''precision'' as the variation among the repeated measurements decreases.

Centroidal area means a representational concentric area that is geometrically similar to the stack or duct cross section, and is not greater than 1 percent of the stack or duct cross-sectional area.

Certificate of representation means the completed and signed submission required by § 72.20, for certifying the appointment of a designated representative for an affected source or a group of

identified affected sources authorized to represent the owners and operators of such source(s) and of the affected units at such source(s) with regard to matters under the Acid Rain Program.

Certifying official, for purposes of part 73 of this chapter, means:

(1) For a corporation, a president, secretary, treasurer, or vice-president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy or decision-making functions for the corporation;

(2) For partnership or sole proprietorship, a general partner or the proprietor, respectively; and

(3) For a local government entity or State, Federal, or other public agency, either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official.

Coal means all solid fuels classified as anthracite, bituminous, subbituminous, or lignite by the American Society for Testing and Materials Designation ASTM D388–92 ''Standard Classification of Coals by Rank'' (as incorporated by reference in § 72.13).

Coal-derived fuel means any fuel, whether in a solid, liquid, or gaseous state, produced by the mechanical, thermal, or chemical processing of coal (e.g., pulverized coal, coal refuse, liquified or gasified coal, washed coal, chemically cleaned coal, coal-oil mixtures, and coke).

Coal-fired means the combustion of fuel consisting of coal or any coal-derived fuel (except a coal-derived gaseous fuel that meets the definition of ''very low sulfur fuel'' in this section), alone or in combination with any other fuel, where:

(1) For purposes of the requirements of part 75 of this chapter, a unit is ''coal-fired'' independent of the percentage of coal or coal-derived fuel consumed in any calendar year (expressed in mmBtu); and

(2) For all other purposes under the Acid Rain Program, except for purposes of applying part 76 of this chapter, a unit is ''coal-fired'' if it uses coal or coal-derived fuel as its primary fuel (expressed in mmBtu); *provided* that, if the unit is listed in the NADB, the primary fuel is the fuel listed in the NADB under the data field ''PRIMEFUEL''.

Cogeneration unit means a unit that has equipment used to produce electric energy and forms of useful thermal energy (such as heat or steam) for industrial, commercial, heating, or cooling purposes, through sequential use of energy.

Combustion source means a stationary fossil fuel fired boiler, turbine, or internal combustion engine that has submitted or intends to submit an opt-in permit application under § 74.14 of this chapter to enter the Opt-in Program.

Commence commercial operation means to have begun to generate electricity for sale, including the sale of test generation.

Commence construction means that an owner or operator has either undertaken a continuous program of construction or has entered into a contractual obligation to undertake and complete, within a reasonable time, a continuous program of construction.

Commence operation means to have begun any mechanical, chemical, or electronic process, including start-up of an emissions control technology or emissions monitor or of a unit's combustion chamber.

Common pipe means an oil or gas supply line through which the same type of fuel is distributed to two or more affected units.

Common pipe operating time means the portion of a clock hour during which fuel flows through a common pipe. The common pipe operating time, in hours, is expressed as a decimal fraction, with valid values ranging from 0.00 to 1.00.

Common stack means the exhaust of emissions from two or more units through a single flue.

Compensating unit means an affected unit that is not otherwise subject to Acid Rain emissions limitation or Acid Rain emissions reduction requirements during Phase I and that is designated as a Phase I unit in a reduced utilization plan under § 72.43; provided that an opt-in source shall not be a compensating unit.

Compliance account means an Allowance Tracking System account, established by the Administrator under § 73.31(a) or (b) of this chapter or § 74.40(a) of this chapter for an affected source and for each affected unit at the source.

Compliance certification means a submission to the Administrator or permitting authority, as appropriate, that is required by this part, by part 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, or 78 of this chapter, to report an affected source or an affected unit's compliance or non-compliance with a provision of the Acid Rain Program and that is signed and verified by the designated representative in accordance with subparts B and I of this part and the Acid Rain Program regulations generally.

Compliance plan, for the purposes of the Acid Rain Program, means the document submitted for an affected source in accordance with subpart C of this part or subpart E of part 74 of this chapter, or part 76 of this chapter, specifying the method(s) (including one or more Acid Rain compliance options as provided under subpart D of this part or subpart E of part 74 of this chapter, or part 76 of this chapter) by which each affected unit at the source will meet the applicable Acid Rain emissions limitation and Acid Rain emissions reduction requirements.

Compliance use date means the first calendar year for which an allowance may be used for purposes of meeting a source's Acid Rain emissions limitation for sulfur dioxide.

Conditionally valid data means data from a continuous monitoring system that are not quality-assured, but which may become quality-assured if certain conditions are met. Examples of data that may qualify as conditionally valid are: data recorded by an uncertified monitoring system prior to its initial certification; or data recorded by a certified monitoring system following a significant change to the system that may affect its ability to accurately measure and record emissions. A monitoring system must pass a probationary calibration error test, in accordance with section 2.1.1 of appendix B to part 75 of this chapter, to initiate the conditionally valid data status. In order for conditionally valid emission data to become quality-assured, one or more quality assurance tests or diagnostic tests must be passed within a specified time period in accordance with § 75.20(b)(3).

Conservation Verification Protocol means a methodology developed by the Administrator for calculating the kilowatt hour savings from energy conservation measures and improved unit efficiency measures for the purposes of title IV of the Act.

Construction means fabrication, erection, or installation of a unit or any portion of a unit.

Consumer Price Index or CPI means, for purposes of the Acid Rain Program, the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics unadjusted Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the U.S. city average, for All Items on the latest reference base, or if such index is no longer published, such other index as the Administrator in his or her discretion determines meets the requirements of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990.

(1) *CPI (1990)* means the CPI for all urban consumers for the month of August 1989. The ''CPI (1990)'' is 124.6 (with 1982–1984 = 100). Beginning in the month for which a new reference base is established, ''CPI (1990)'' will be the CPI value for August 1989 on the new reference base.

(2) *CPI (year)* means the CPI for all urban consumers for the month of August of the previous year.

Continuous emission monitoring system or CEMS means the equipment required by part 75 of this chapter used to sample, analyze, measure, and provide, by means of readings recorded at least once every 15 minutes (using an automated data acquisition and handling system (DAHS)), a permanent record of SO_2, NO_X, or CO_2 emissions or stack gas volumetric flow rate. The following are the principal types of continuous emission monitoring systems required under part 75 of this chapter. Sections 75.10 through 75.18, and § 75.71(a) of this chapter indicate which type(s) of CEMS is required for specific applications:

(1) A sulfur dioxide monitoring system, consisting of an SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor and an automated DAHS. An SO_2 monitoring system provides a permanent, continuous record of SO_2 emissions in units of parts per million (ppm);

(2) A flow monitoring system, consisting of a stack flow rate monitor and an automated DAHS. A flow monitoring system provides a permanent,

continuous record of stack gas volumetric flow rate, in units of standard cubic feet per hour (scfh);

(3) A nitrogen oxides (NO_X) emission rate (or NO_X-diluent) monitoring system, consisting of a NO_X pollutant concentration monitor, a diluent gas (CO_2 or O_2) monitor, and an automated DAHS. A NO_X-diluent monitoring system provides a permanent, continuous record of: NO_X concentration in units of parts per million (ppm), diluent gas concentration in units of percent O_2 or CO_2 (% O_2 or CO_2), and NO_X emission rate in units of pounds per million British thermal units (lb/mmBtu);

(4) A nitrogen oxides concentration monitoring system, consisting of a NO_X pollutant concentration monitor and an automated DAHS. A NO_X concentration monitoring system provides a permanent, continuous record of NO_X emissions in units of parts per million (ppm). This type of CEMS is used only in conjunction with a flow monitoring system to determine NO_X mass emissions (in lb/hr) under subpart H of part 75 of this chapter;

(5) A carbon dioxide monitoring system, consisting of a CO_2 pollutant concentration monitor (or an oxygen monitor plus suitable mathematical equations from which the CO_2 concentration is derived) and the automated DAHS. A carbon dioxide monitoring system provides a permanent, continuous record of CO_2 emissions in units of percent CO_2 (% CO_2); and

(6) A moisture monitoring system, as defined in §75.11(b)(2) of this chapter. A moisture monitoring system provides a permanent, continuous record of the stack gas moisture content, in units of percent H_2O (% H_2O)

Continuous opacity monitoring system or COMS means the equipment required by part 75 of this chapter to sample, measure, analyze, and provide, with readings taken at least once every 6 minutes, a permanent record of opacity or transmittance. The following components are included in a continuous opacity monitoring system:

(1) Opacity monitor; and

(2) An automated data acquisition and handling system.

Control unit means a unit employing a qualifying Phase I technology in accordance with a Phase I extension plan under § 72.42.

Coverage Factor k means, in general, a value chosen on the basis of the desired level of confidence to be associated with the interval defined by $U = ku_c$. Typically, k is in the range 2 to 3. When the normal distribution applies and u_c is a reliable estimate of the standard deviation of y, $U = 2\ u_c$ (*i.e.*, k = 2) defines an interval having a level of confidence of approximately 95%, and $U = 3\ u_c$ (*i.e.*, k = 3) defines an interval having a level of confidence greater than 99%.

Customer means a purchaser of electricity not for the purposes of retransmission or resale. For generating rural electrical cooperatives, the customers of the distribution cooperatives served by the generating cooperative will be considered customers of the generating cooperative.

Decisional body means any EPA employee who is or may reasonably be expected to act in a decision-making role in a proceeding under part 78 of this chapter, including the Administrator, a member of the Environmental Appeals Board, and a Presiding Officer, and any staff of any such person who are participating in the decisional process.

Demand-side measure means a measure:

(1) To improve the efficiency of consumption of electricity from a utility by customers of the utility; or

(2) To reduce the amount of consumption of electricity from a utility by customers of the utility without increasing the use by the customer of fuel other than: Biomass (*i.e.*, combustible energy-producing materials from biological sources, which include wood, plant residues, biological wastes, landfill gas, energy crops, and eligible components of municipal solid waste), solar, geothermal, or wind resources; or industrial waste gases where the party making the submission involved certifies that there is no net increase in sulfur dioxide emissions from the use of such gases. ''Demand-side measure'' includes the measures listed in part 73, appendix A, section 1 of this chapter.

Designated representative means a responsible natural person authorized by the owners and operators of an affected

source and of all affected units at the source or by the owners and operators of a combustion source or process source, as evidenced by a certificate of representation submitted in accordance with subpart B of this part, to represent and legally bind each owner and operator, as a matter of Federal law, in matters pertaining to the Acid Rain Program. Whenever the term ''responsible official'' is used in part 70 of this chapter, in any other regulations implementing title V of the Act, or in a State operating permit program, it shall be deemed to refer to the ''designated representative'' with regard to all matters under the Acid Rain Program.

Desulfurization refers to various procedures whereby sulfur is removed from petroleum during or apart from the refining process. ''Desulfurization'' does not include such processes as dilution or blending of low sulfur content diesel fuel with high sulfur content diesel fuel from a diesel refinery not eligible under 40 CFR part 73, subpart G.

Diesel-fired unit means, for the purposes of part 75 of this chapter, an oil-fired unit that combusts diesel fuel as its fuel oil, where the supplementary fuel, if any, shall be limited to natural gas or gaseous fuels containing no more sulfur than natural gas.

Diesel fuel means a low sulfur fuel oil of grades 1–D or 2–D, as defined by the American Society for Testing and Materials standard ASTM D975–91, ''Standard Specification for Diesel Fuel Oils,'' grades 1–GT or 2–GT, as defined by ASTM D2880–90a, ''Standard Specification for Gas Turbine Fuel Oils,'' or grades 1 or 2, as defined by ASTM D396–90a, ''Standard Specification for Fuel Oils'' (incorporated by reference in § 72.13).

Diesel reciprocating engine unit means an internal combustion engine that combusts only diesel fuel and that thereby generates electricity through the operation of pistons, rather than by heating steam or water.

Diluent cap value means a default value of percent CO_2 or O_2 which may be used to calculate the hourly NO_X emission rate, when the measured hourly average percent CO_2 is below the default value or when the measured hourly average percent O_2 is above the default value. The diluent cap values for boilers are 5.0 percent CO_2 and 14.0 percent O_2. For combustion turbines, the diluent cap values are 1.0 percent CO_2 and 19.0 percent O_2.

Diluent gas means a major gaseous constituent in a gaseous pollutant mixture, which in the case of emissions from fossil fuel-fired units are carbon dioxide and oxygen.

Diluent gas monitor means that component of the continuous emission monitoring system that measures the diluent gas concentration in a unit's flue gas.

Direct public utility ownership means direct ownership of equipment and facilities by one or more corporations, the principal business of which is sale of electricity to the public at retail. Percentage ownership of such equipment and facilities shall be measured on the basis of book value.

Dispatch means the assignment within a dispatch system of generating levels to specific units and generators to effect the reliable and economical supply of electricity, as customer demand rises or falls, and includes:

(1) The operation of high-voltage lines, substations, and related equipment; and

(2) The scheduling of generation for the purpose of supplying electricity to other utilities over interconnecting transmission lines.

Draft Acid Rain permit or draft permit means the version of the Acid Rain permit, or the Acid Rain portion of an operating permit, that a permitting authority offers for public comment.

Dual-fuel reciprocating engine unit means an internal combustion engine that combusts any combination of natural gas and diesel fuel and that thereby generates electricity through the operation of pistons, rather than by heating steam or water.

Eligible Indian tribe means any eligible Indian tribe as defined in part 71 of this chapter.

Emergency fuel means either:

(1) For purposes of the requirements for a fuel flowmeter used in an excepted monitoring system under appendix D or E of part 75 of this chapter, the fuel identified by the designated representative in the unit's monitoring plan as the fuel which is combusted

only during emergencies where the primary fuel is not available; or

(2) For purposes of the requirement for stack testing for an excepted monitoring system under appendix E of part 75 of this chapter, the fuel identified in a federally-enforceable permit for a plant and identified by the designated representative in the unit's monitoring plan as the fuel which is combusted only during emergencies where the primary fuel is not available.

Emissions means air pollutants exhausted from a unit or source into the atmosphere, as measured, recorded, and reported to the Administrator by the designated representative and as determined by the Administrator, in accordance with the emissions monitoring requirements of part 75 of this chapter.

Environmental Appeals Board means the three-member board established pursuant to § 1.25(e) of this chapter and authorized to hear appeals pursuant to part 78 of this chapter.

EPA means the United States Environmental Protection Agency.

EPA Protocol Gas means a calibration gas mixture prepared and analyzed according to section 2 of the ''EPA Traceability Protocol for Assay and Certification of Gaseous Calibration Standards,'' September 1997, as amended August 25, 1999, EPA–600/R–97/121 (incorporated by reference, see § 72.13) or such revised procedure as approved by the Administrator.

EPA Protocol Gas Production Site means a site that produces or blends calibration gas mixtures prepared and analyzed according to section 2 of the ''EPA Traceability Protocol for Assay and Certification of Gaseous Calibration Standards,'' September 1997, as amended August 25, 1999, EPA–600/R–97/121 (incorporated by reference, *see* § 72.13) or such revised procedure as approved by the Administrator.

EPA Protocol Gas Verification Program or PGVP means a calibration gas audit program described in § 75.21(g) of this chapter and implemented by EPA in cooperation with the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

EPA trial staff means an employee of EPA, whether temporary or permanent, who has been designated by the Administrator to investigate, litigate, and present evidence, arguments, and positions of EPA in any evidentiary hearing under part 78 of this chapter. Any EPA or permitting authority employee, consultant, or contractor who is called as a witness in the evidentiary hearing by EPA trial staff shall be deemed to be ''EPA trial staff''.

Equivalent diameter means a value, calculated using the Equation 1–1 in section 12.2 of Method 1 in part 60, appendix A of this chapter, and used to determine the upstream and downstream distances for locating CEMS or CEMS components in flues or stacks with rectangular cross sections.

Ex parte communication means any communication, written or oral, relating to the merits of an adjudicatory proceeding under part 78 of this chapter, that was not originally included or stated in the administrative record, in a pleading, or in an evidentiary hearing or oral argument under part 78 of this chapter, between the decisional body and any interested person outside EPA or any EPA trial staff. *Ex parte* communication shall not include:

(1) Communication between EPA employees other than between EPA trial staff and a member of the decisional body; or

(2) Communication between the decisional body and interested persons outside the Agency, or EPA trial staff, where all parties to the proceeding have received prior written notice of the proposed communication and are given an opportunity to be present and to participate therein.

Excepted monitoring system means a monitoring system that follows the procedures and requirements of § 75.15 of this chapter, § 75.19 of this chapter, § 75.81(b) of this chapter or of appendix D, or E to part 75 for approved exceptions to the use of continuous emission monitoring systems.

Excess emissions means:

(1) Any tonnage of sulfur dioxide emitted by the affected units at an affected source during a calendar year that exceeds the Acid Rain emissions limitation for sulfur dioxide for the source; and

(2) Any tonnage of nitrogen oxide emitted by an affected unit during a calendar year that exceeds the annual

tonnage equivalent of the Acid Rain emissions limitation for nitrogen oxides applicable to the affected unit taking into account the unit's heat input for the year.

Existing unit means a unit (including a unit subject to section 111 of the Act) that commenced commercial operation before November 15, 1990 and that on or after November 15, 1990 served a generator with nameplate capacity of greater than 25 MWe. ''Existing unit'' does not include simple combustion turbines or any unit that on or after November 15, 1990 served only generators with a nameplate capacity of 25 MWe or less. Any ''existing unit'' that is modified, reconstructed, or repowered after November 15, 1990 shall continue to be an ''existing unit.''

Expanded uncertainty means a measure of uncertainty that defines an interval about the measurement result y within which the value of the measurand Y can be confidently asserted to lie. Although the combined standard uncertainty u_c is used to express the uncertainty of many measurement results, for some commercial, industrial, and regulatory applications (*e.g.*, when health and safety are concerned), what is often required is an expanded uncertainty, suggested symbol U, and is obtained by multiplying $u_c(y)$ by a coverage factor, suggested symbol k. Thus $U = ku_c(y)$ and it is confidently believed that Y is greater than or equal to $y - U$, and is less than or equal to $y + U$, which is commonly written as $Y = y \pm U$.

Facility means any institutional, commercial, or industrial structure, installation, plant, source, or building.

File means to send or transmit a document, information, or correspondence to the official custody of the person specified to take possession in accordance with the applicable regulation. Compliance with any ''filing'' deadline shall be determined by the date that person receives the document, information, or correspondence.

Flow meter accuracy means the closeness of the measurement made by a flow meter to the reference value of the fuel flow being measured, expressed as the difference between the measurement and the reference value.

Flow monitor means a component of the continuous emission monitoring system that measures the volumetric flow of exhaust gas.

Flue means a conduit or duct through which gases or other matter are exhausted to the atmosphere.

Flue gas desulfurization system means a type of add-on emission control used to remove sulfur dioxide from flue gas, commonly referred to as a ''scrubber.''

Forced outage means the removal of a unit from service due to an unplanned component failure or other unplanned condition that requires such removal immediately or within 7 days from the onset of the unplanned component failure or condition. For purposes of §§ 72.43, 72.91, and 72.92, ''forced outage'' also includes a partial reduction in the heat input or electrical output due to an unplanned component failure or other unplanned condition that requires such reduction immediately or within 7 days from the onset of the unplanned component failure or condition.

Fossil fuel means natural gas, petroleum, coal, or any form of solid, liquid, or gaseous fuel derived from such material.

Fossil fuel-fired means the combustion of fossil fuel or any derivative of fossil fuel, alone or in combination with any other fuel, independent of the percentage of fossil fuel consumed in any calendar year (expressed in mmBtu).

Fuel flowmeter QA operating quarter means a unit operating quarter in which the unit combusts the fuel measured by the fuel flowmeter for at least 168 unit operating hours (as defined in this section).

Fuel flowmeter system means an excepted monitoring system (as defined in this section) which provides a continuous record of the flow rate of fuel oil or gaseous fuel, in accordance with appendix D to part 75 of this chapter. A fuel flowmeter system consists of one or more fuel flowmeter components, all necessary auxiliary components (e.g., transmitters, transducers, etc.), and a data acquisition and handling system (DAHS).

Fuel oil means any petroleum-based fuel (including diesel fuel or petroleum derivatives such as oil tar) as defined by the American Society for Testing

and Materials in ASTM D396–90a, ''Standard Specification for Fuel Oils'' (incorporated by reference in § 72.13), and any recycled or blended petroleum products or petroleum by-products used as a fuel whether in a liquid, solid or gaseous state; *provided* that for purposes of the monitoring requirements of part 75 of this chapter, ''fuel oil'' shall be limited to the petroleum-based fuels for which applicable ASTM methods are specified in Appendices D, E, or F of part 75 of this chapter.

Fuel supply agreement means a legally binding agreement between a new IPP or a firm associated with a new IPP and a fuel supplier that establishes the terms and conditions under which the fuel supplier commits to provide fuel to be delivered to the new IPP.

Fuel usage time means the portion of a clock hour during which a unit combusts a particular type of fuel. The fuel usage time, in hours, is expressed as a decimal fraction, with valid values ranging from 0.00 to 1.00.

Gas-fired means:

(1) For all purposes under the Acid Rain Program, except for part 75 of this chapter, the combustion of:

(i) Natural gas or other gaseous fuel (including coal-derived gaseous fuel), for at least 90.0 percent of the unit's average annual heat input during the previous three calendar years and for at least 85.0 percent of the annual heat input in each of those calendar years; and

(ii) Any fuel, except coal or solid or liquid coal-derived fuel, for the remaining heat input, if any.

(2) For purposes of part 75 of this chapter, the combustion of:

(i) Natural gas or other gaseous fuel (including coal-derived gaseous fuel) for at least 90.0 percent of the unit's average annual heat input during the previous three calendar years and for at least 85.0 percent of the annual heat input in each of those calendar years; and

(ii) Fuel oil, for the remaining heat input, if any.

(3) For purposes of part 75 of this chapter, a unit may initially qualify as gas-fired if the designated representative demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Administrator that the requirements of paragraph (2) of this definition are met, or will in the future be met, through one of the following submissions:

(i) For a unit for which a monitoring plan has not been submitted under § 75.62 of this chapter, the designated representative submits either:

(A) Fuel usage data for the unit for the three calendar years immediately preceding the date of initial submission of the monitoring plan for the unit under § 75.62; or

(B) If a unit does not have fuel usage data for one or more of the three calendar years immediately preceding the date of initial submission of the monitoring plan for the unit under § 75.62, the unit's designated fuel usage; all available fuel usage data (including the percentage of the unit's heat input derived from the combustion of gaseous fuels), beginning with the date on which the unit commenced commercial operation; and the unit's projected fuel usage.

(ii) For a unit for which a monitoring plan has already been submitted under § 75.62, that has not qualified as gas-fired under paragraph (3)(i) of this definition, and whose fuel usage changes, the designated representative submits either:

(A) Three calendar years of data following the change in the unit's fuel usage, showing that no less than 90.0 percent of the unit's average annual heat input during the previous three calendar years, and no less than 85.0 percent of the unit's annual heat input during any one of the previous three calendar years, is from the combustion of gaseous fuels and the remaining heat input is from the combustion of fuel oil; or

(B) A minimum of 720 hours of unit operating data following the change in the unit's fuel usage, showing that no less than 90.0 percent of the unit's heat input is from the combustion of gaseous fuels and the remaining heat input is from the combustion of fuel oil, and a statement that this changed pattern of fuel usage is considered permanent and is projected to continue for the foreseeable future.

(iii) If a unit qualifies as gas-fired under paragraph (3)(i) or (ii) of this definition, the unit is classified as gas-

fired as of the date of the submission under such paragraph.

(4) For purposes of part 75 of this chapter, a unit that initially qualifies as gas-fired under paragraph (3)(i) or (ii) of this definition must meet the criteria in paragraph (2) of this definition each year in order to continue to qualify as gas-fired. If such a unit combusts only gaseous fuel and fuel oil but fails to meet such criteria for a given year, the unit no longer qualifies as gas-fired starting January 1 of the year after the first year for which the criteria are not met. If such a unit combusts fuel other than gaseous fuel or fuel oil and fails to meet such criteria in a given year, the unit no longer qualifies as gas-fired starting the day after the first day for which the criteria are not met. If a unit failing to meet the criteria in paragraph (2) of this definition initially qualified as a gas-fired unit under paragraph (3) of this definition, the unit may qualify as a gas-fired unit for a subsequent year only if the designated representative submits the data specified in paragraph (3)(ii)(A) of this definition.

Gas manufacturer's intermediate standard (GMIS) means a compressed gas calibration standard that has been assayed and certified by direct comparison to a standard reference material (SRM), an SRM-equivalent PRM, a NIST/EPA-approved certified reference material (CRM), or a NIST traceable reference material (NTRM), in accordance with section 2.1.2.1 of the ''EPA Traceability Protocol for Assay and Certification of Gaseous Calibration Standards,'' September 1997, EPA–600/R–97/121.

Gaseous fuel means a material that is in the gaseous state at standard atmospheric temperature and pressure conditions and that is combusted to produce heat.

General account means an Allowance Tracking System account that is not a compliance account.

Generator means a device that produces electricity and was or would have been required to be reported as a generating unit pursuant to the United States Department of Energy Form 860 (1990 edition).

Generator Output capacity means the full-load continuous rating of a generator under specific conditions as designed by the manufacturer.

Hearing clerk means an EPA employee designated by the Administrator to establish a repository for all books, records, documents, and other materials relating to proceedings under part 78 of this chapter.

Heat input rate means the product (expressed in mmBtu/hr) of the gross calorific value of the fuel (expressed in mmBtu/mass of fuel) and the fuel feed rate into the combustion device (expressed in mass of fuel/hr) and does not include the heat derived from preheated combustion air, recirculated flue gases, or exhaust from other sources.

Hour before and *hour after* means, for purposes of the missing data substitution procedures of part 75 of this chapter, the quality-assured hourly SO_2 or CO_2 concentration, hourly flow rate, hourly NO_X concentration, hourly moisture, hourly O_2 concentration, or hourly NO_X emission rate (as applicable) recorded by a certified monitor during the unit or stack operating hour immediately before and the unit or stack operating hour immediately after a missing data period.

Hybrid generation facility means a plant that generates electrical energy derived from a combination of qualified renewable energy (wind, solar, biomass, or geothermal) and one or more other energy resources.

Independent auditor means a professional engineer who is not an employee or agent of the source being audited.

Independent Power Production Facility (IPP) means a source that:

(1) Is nonrecourse project financed, as defined by the Secretary of Energy at 10 CFR part 715;

(2) Is used for the generation of electricity, eighty percent or more of which is sold at wholesale; and

(3) Is a new unit required to hold allowances under Title IV of the Clean Air Act; but only if direct public utility ownership of the equipment comprising the facility does not exceed 50 percent.

Investor-owned utility means a utility that is organized as a tax-paying for-profit business.

Kilowatthour saved or *savings* means the net savings in electricity use (expressed in Kwh) that result directly from a utility's energy conservation measures or programs.

Least-cost plan or *least-cost planning process* means an energy conservation and electric power planning methodology meeting the requirements of § 73.82(a)(4) of this chapter.

Life-of-the-unit, firm power contractual arrangement means a unit participation power sales agreement under which a utility or industrial customer reserves, or is entitled to receive, a specified amount or percentage of nameplate capacity and associated energy generated by any specified generating unit and pays its proportional amount of such unit's total costs, pursuant to a contract:

(1) For the life of the unit;

(2) For a cumulative term of no less than 30 years, including contracts that permit an election for early termination; or

(3) For a period equal to or greater than 25 years or 70 percent of the economic useful life of the unit determined as of the time the unit was built, with option rights to purchase or release some portion of the nameplate capacity and associated energy generated by the unit at the end of the period.

Long-term cold storage means the complete shutdown of a unit intended to last for an extended period of time (at least two calendar years) where notice for long-term cold storage is provided under § 75.61(a)(7).

Low mass emissions unit means an affected unit that is ''gas-fired'' or ''oil-fired'' (as defined in this section), and that qualifies to use the low mass emissions excepted methodology in § 75.19 of this chapter.

Mail or serve by mail means to submit or serve by means other than personal service.

Maximum potential hourly heat input means an hourly heat input used for reporting purposes when a unit lacks certified monitors to report heat input. If the unit intends to use appendix D of part 75 of this chapter to report heat input, this value should be calculated, in accordance with part 75 of this chapter, using the maximum fuel flow rate and the maximum gross calorific value. If the unit intends to use a flow monitor and a diluent gas monitor, this value should be reported, in accordance with part 75 of this chapter, using the maximum potential flow rate and either the maximum carbon dioxide concentration (in percent CO_2) or the minimum oxygen concentration (in percent O_2).

Maximum potential NO_X emission rate or MER means the emission rate of nitrogen oxides (in lb/mmBtu) calculated in accordance with section 3 of appendix F to part 75 of this chapter, using the maximum potential nitrogen oxides concentration (MPC), as defined in section 2.1.2.1 of appendix A to part 75 of this chapter, and either the maximum oxygen concentration (in percent O_2) or the minimum carbon dioxide concentration (in percent CO_2) under all operating conditions of the unit except for unit start-up, shutdown, and upsets. The diluent cap value, as defined in this section, may be used in lieu of the maximum O_2 or minimum CO_2 concentration to calculate the MER. As a second alternative, when the NO_X MPC is determined from emission test results or from historical CEM data, as described in section 2.1.2.1 of appendix A to part 75 of this chapter, quality-assured diluent gas (*i.e.*, O_2 or CO_2) data recorded concurrently with the MPC may be used to calculate the MER. For the purposes of §§ 75.4(f), 75.19(b)(3), and 75.33(c)(7) in part 75 of this chapter and section 2.5 in appendix E to part 75 of this chapter, the MER is specific to the type of fuel combusted in the unit.

Maximum rated hourly heat input rate means a unit-specific maximum hourly heat input rate (mmBtu/hr) which is the higher of the manufacturer's maximum rated hourly heat input rate or the highest observed hourly heat input rate.

Missing data period means the total number of consecutive hours during which any certified CEMS or approved alternative monitoring system is not providing quality-assured data, regardless of the reason.

Monitor accuracy means the closeness of the measurement made by a CEMS to the reference value of the emissions

or volumetric flow being measured, expressed as the difference between the measurement and the reference value.

Monitor operating hour means any unit operating hour or portion thereof over which a CEMS, or other monitoring system approved by the Administrator under part 75 of this chapter is operating, regardless of the number of measurements (*i.e.*, data points) collected during the hour or portion of an hour.

Most stringent federally enforceable emissions limitation means the most stringent emissions limitation for a given pollutant applicable to the unit, which has been approved by the Administrator under the Act, whether in a State implementation plan approved pursuant to title I of the Act, a new source performance standard, or otherwise. To determine the most stringent emissions limitation for sulfur dioxide, each limitation shall be converted to lbs/mmBtu, using the appropriate conversion factors in appendix B of this part; *provided* that for determining the most stringent emissions limitation for sulfur dioxide for 1985, each limitation shall also be annualized, using the appropriate annualization factors in appendix A of this part.

Multi-header generator means a generator served by ductwork from more than one unit.

Multi-header unit means a unit with ductwork serving more than one generator.

Multiple stack configuration refers to an exhaust configuration in which the flue gases from a particular unit discharge to the atmosphere through two or more stacks. The term also refers to a unit for which emissions are monitored in two or more ducts leading to the exhaust stack, in lieu of monitoring at the stack.

Nameplate capacity means the maximum electrical generating output (expressed in MWe) that a generator can sustain over a specified period of time when not restricted by seasonal or other deratings, as listed in the NADB under the data field ''NAMECAP'' if the generator is listed in the NADB or as measured in accordance with the United States Department of Energy standards if the generator is not listed in the NADB.

National Allowance Data Base or *NADB* means the data base established by the Administrator under section 402(4)(C) of the Act.

Natural gas means a naturally occurring fluid mixture of hydrocarbons (e.g., methane, ethane, or propane) produced in geological formations beneath the Earth's surface that maintains a gaseous state at standard atmospheric temperature and pressure under ordinary conditions. Natural gas contains 20.0 grains or less of total sulfur per 100 standard cubic feet. Additionally, natural gas must either be composed of at least 70 percent methane by volume or have a gross calorific value between 950 and 1100 Btu per standard cubic foot. Natural gas does not include the following gaseous fuels: landfill gas, digester gas, refinery gas, sour gas, blast furnace gas, coal-derived gas, producer gas, coke oven gas, or any gaseous fuel produced in a process which might result in highly variable sulfur content or heating value.

NERC region means the North American Electric Reliability Council region or, if any, subregion.

Net income neutrality means, in the case of energy conservation measures undertaken by an investor-owned utility whose rates are regulated by a State utility regulatory authority, rates and charges established by the State utility regulatory authority that ensure that the net income earned by the utility on its State-jurisdictional equity investment will be *no lower* as a consequence of its expenditures on cost-effective qualified energy conservation measures and any associated lost sales than it would have been had the utility not made such expenditures, or that the State utility regulatory authority has implemented a ratemaking approach designed to meet this objective.

New independent power production facility or *new IPP* means a unit that:

(1) Commences commercial operation on or after November 15, 1990;

(2) Is nonrecourse project-financed, as defined in 10 CFR part 715;

(3) Sells 80% of electricity generated at wholesale; and

(4) Does not sell electricity to any affiliate or, if it does, demonstrates it

cannot obtain the required allowances from such an affiliate.

New unit means a unit that commences commercial operation on or after November 15, 1990, including any such unit that serves a generator with a nameplate capacity of 25 MWe or less or that is a simple combustion turbine.

Ninetieth (90th) percentile means a value that would divide an ordered set of increasing values so that at least 90 percent are less than or equal to the value and at least 10 percent are greater than or equal to the value.

Ninety-fifth (95th) percentile means a value that would divide an ordered set of increasing values so that at least 95 percent of the set are less than or equal to the value and at least 5 percent are greater than or equal to the value.

NIST/EPA-approved certified reference material or NIST/EPA-approved CRM means a calibration gas mixture that has been approved by EPA and the National Institutes of Standards and Technologies (NIST) as having specific known chemical or physical property values certified by a technically valid procedure as evidenced by a certificate or other documentation issued by a certifying standard-setting body.

NIST traceable reference material (NTRM) means a calibration gas mixture tested by and certified by the National Institutes of Standards and Technologies (NIST) to have a certain specified concentration of gases. NTRMs may have different concentrations from those of standard reference materials.

Offset plan means a plan pursuant to part 77 of this chapter for offsetting excess emissions of sulfur dioxide that have occurred at an affected source in any calendar year.

Oil-fired means:

(1) For all purposes under the Acid Rain Program, except part 75 of this chapter, the combustion of:

(i) Fuel oil for more than 10.0 percent of the average annual heat input during the previous three calendar years or for more than 15.0 percent of the annual heat input during any one of those calendar years; and

(ii) Any solid, liquid or gaseous fuel (including coal-derived gaseous fuel), other than coal or any other coal-derived solid or liquid fuel, for the remaining heat input, if any.

(2) For purposes of part 75 of this chapter, combustion of only fuel oil and gaseous fuels, provided that the unit involved does not meet the definition of gas-fired.

Opacity means the degree to which emissions reduce the transmission of light and obscure the view of an object in the background.

Operating when referring to a combustion or process source seeking entry into the Opt-in Program, means that the source had documented consumption of fuel input for more than 876 hours in the 6 months immediately preceding the submission of a combustion source's opt-in application under § 74.16(a) of this chapter.

Operating permit means a permit issued under part 70 of this chapter and any other regulations implementing title V of the Act.

Opt in or opt into means to elect to become an affected unit under the Acid Rain Program through the issuance of the final effective opt-in permit under § 74.14 of this chapter.

Opt-in permit means the legally binding written document that is contained within the Acid Rain permit and sets forth the requirements under part 74 of this chapter for a combustion source or a process source that opts into the Acid Rain Program.

Opt-in source means a combustion source or process source that has elected to become an affected unit under the Acid Rain Program and whose opt-in permit has been issued and is in effect.

Out-of-control period means any period:

(1) Beginning with the hour corresponding to the completion of a daily calibration error, linearity check, or quality assurance audit that indicates that the instrument is not measuring and recording within the applicable performance specifications; and

(2) Ending with the hour corresponding to the completion of an additional calibration error, linearity check, or quality assurance audit following corrective action that demonstrates that the instrument is measuring and recording within the applicable performance specifications.

Oversubscription payment deadline means 30 calendar days prior to the allowance transfer deadline.

Owner means any of the following persons:

(1) Any holder of any portion of the legal or equitable title in an affected unit or in a combustion source or process source; or

(2) Any holder of a leasehold interest in an affected unit or in a combustion source or process source; or

(3) Any purchaser of power from an affected unit or from a combustion source or process source under a life-of-the-unit, firm power contractual arrangement as the term is defined herein and used in section 408(i) of the Act. However, unless expressly provided for in a leasehold agreement, owner shall not include a passive lessor, or a person who has an equitable interest through such lessor, whose rental payments are not based, either directly or indirectly, upon the revenues or income from the affected unit; or

(4) With respect to any Allowance Tracking System general account, any person identified in the submission required by § 73.31(c) of this chapter that is subject to the binding agreement for the authorized account representative to represent that person's ownership interest with respect to allowances.

Owner or operator means any person who is an owner or who operates, controls, or supervises an affected unit, affected source, combustion source, or process source and shall include, but not be limited to, any holding company, utility system, or plant manager of an affected unit, affected source, combustion source, or process source.

Ozone nonattainment area means an area designated as a nonattainment area for ozone under subpart C of part 81 of this chapter.

Ozone season means the period of time beginning May 1 of a year and ending on September 30 of the same year, inclusive.

Ozone transport region means the ozone transport region designated under Section 184 of the Act.

Peaking unit means:

(1) A unit that has:

(i) An average capacity factor of no more than 10.0 percent during the previous three calendar years and

(ii) A capacity factor of no more than 20.0 percent in each of those calendar years.

(2) For purposes of part 75 of this chapter, a unit may initially qualify as a peaking unit if the designated representative demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Administrator that the requirements of paragraph (1) of this definition are met, or will in the future be met, through one of the following submissions:

(i) For a unit for which a monitoring plan has not been submitted under § 75.62, the designated representative submits either:

(A) Capacity factor data for the unit for the three calendar years immediately preceding the date of initial submission of the monitoring plan for the unit under § 75.62; or

(B) If a unit does not have capacity factor data for one or more of the three calendar years immediately preceding the date of initial submission of the monitoring plan for the unit under § 75.62, all available capacity factor data, beginning with the date on which the unit commenced commercial operation; and projected capacity factor data.

(ii) For a unit for which a monitoring plan has already been submitted under § 75.62, that has not qualified as a peaking unit under paragraph (2)(i) of this definition, and where capacity factor changes, the designated representative submits either:

(A) Three calendar years of data following the change in the unit's capacity factor showing an average capacity factor of no more than 10.0 percent during the three previous calendar years and a capacity factor of no more than 20.0 percent in each of those calendar years; or

(B) One calendar year of data following the change in the unit's capacity factor showing a capacity factor of no more than 10.0 percent and a statement that this changed pattern of operation resulting in a capacity factor less than 10.0 percent is considered permanent and is projected to continue for the foreseeable future.

(3) For purposes of part 75 of this chapter, a unit that initially qualifies as a peaking unit must meet the criteria in paragraph (1) of this definition

each year in order to continue to qualify as a peaking unit. If such a unit fails to meet such criteria for a given year, the unit no longer qualifies as a peaking unit starting January 1 of the year after the year for which the criteria are not met. If a unit failing to meet the criteria in paragraph (1) of this definition initially qualified as a peaking unit under paragraph (2) of this definition, the unit may qualify as a peaking unit for a subsequent year only if the designated representative submits the data specified in paragraph (2)(ii)(A) of this definition.

(4) A unit required to comply with the provisions of subpart H of part 75 of this chapter, under a State or Federal NO_X mass emissions reduction program, may, pursuant to §75.74(c)(11) in part 75 of this chapter, qualify as a peaking unit on an ozone season basis rather than an annual basis, if the owner or operator reports NO_X mass emissions and heat input data only during the ozone season.

Permit revision means a permit modification, fast track modification, administrative permit amendment, or automatic permit amendment, as provided in subpart H of this part.

Permitting authority means either:

(1) When the Administrator is responsible for administering Acid Rain permits under subpart G of this part, the Administrator or a delegatee agency authorized by the Administrator; or

(2) The State air pollution control agency, local agency, other State agency, or other agency authorized by the Administrator to administer Acid Rain permits under subpart G of this part and part 70 of this chapter.

Person includes an individual, corporation, partnership, association, State, municipality, political subdivision of a State, any agency, department, or instrumentality of the United States, and any officer, agent, or employee thereof.

Phase I means the Acid Rain Program period beginning January 1, 1995 and ending December 31, 1999.

Phase I unit means any affected unit, except an affected unit under part 74 of this chapter, that is subject to an Acid Rain emissions reduction requirement or Acid Rain emissions limitation beginning in Phase I; or any unit exempt under §72.8 that, but for such exemption, would be subject to an Acid Rain emissions reduction requirement or Acid Rain emissions limitation beginning in Phase I.

Phase II means the Acid Rain Program period beginning January 1, 2000, and continuing into the future thereafter.

Phase II unit means any affected unit, except an affected unit under part 74 of this chapter, that is subject to an Acid Rain emissions reduction requirement or Acid Rain emissions limitation during Phase II only.

Pipeline natural gas means a naturally occurring fluid mixture of hydrocarbons (e.g., methane, ethane, or propane) produced in geological formations beneath the Earth's surface that maintains a gaseous state at standard atmospheric temperature and pressure under ordinary conditions, and which is provided by a supplier through a pipeline. Pipeline natural gas contains 0.5 grains or less of total sulfur per 100 standard cubic feet. Additionally, pipeline natural gas must either be composed of at least 70 percent methane by volume or have a gross calorific value between 950 and 1100 Btu per standard cubic foot.

Pollutant concentration monitor means that component of the continuous emission monitoring system that measures the concentration of a pollutant in a unit's flue gas.

Potential electrical output capacity means the MWe capacity rating for the units which shall be equal to 33 percent of the maximum design heat input capacity of the steam generating unit, as calculated according to appendix D of part 72.

Power distribution system means the portion of an electricity grid owned or operated by a utility that is dedicated to delivering electric energy to customers.

Power purchase commitment means a commitment or obligation of a utility to purchase electric power from a facility pursuant to:

(1) A power sales agreement;

(2) A state regulatory authority order requiring a utility to:

(i) Enter into a power sales agreement with the facility;

(ii) Purchase from the facility; or

(iii) Enter into arbitration concerning the facility for the purpose of establishing terms and conditions of the utility's purchase of power;

(3) A letter of intent or similar instrument committing to purchase power (actual electrical output or generator output capacity) from the source at a previously offered or lower price and a power sales agreement applicable to the source is executed within the time frame established by the terms of the letter of intent but no later than November 15, 1993 or, where the letter of intent does not specify a time frame, a power sale agreement applicable to the source is executed on or before November 15, 1993; or

(4) A utility competitive bid solicitation that has resulted in the selection of the qualifying facility or independent power production facility as the winning bidder.

Power sales agreement is a legally binding agreement between a QF, IPP, new IPP, or firm associated with such facility and a regulated electric utility that establishes the terms and conditions for the sale of power from the facility to the utility.

Presiding Officer means an Administrative Law Judge appointed under 5 U.S.C. 3105 and designated to preside at a hearing in an appeal under part 78 of this chapter or an EPA lawyer designated to preside at any such hearing under § 78.6(b)(3)(ii) of this chapter.

Primary fuel or primary fuel supply means the main fuel type (expressed in mmBtu) consumed by an affected unit for the applicable calendar year.

Probationary calibration error test means an on-line calibration error test performed in accordance with section 2.1.1 of appendix B to part 75 of this chapter that is used to initiate a conditionally valid data period.

Proposed Acid Rain permit or proposed permit means, in the case of a State operating permit program, the version of an Acid Rain permit that the permitting authority submits to the Administrator after the public comment period, but prior to completion of the EPA permit review period, as provided for in part 70 of this chapter.

QA operating quarter means a calendar quarter in which there are at least 168 unit operating hours (as defined in this section) or, for a common stack or bypass stack, a calendar quarter in which there are at least 168 stack operating hours (as defined in this section).

Qualified individual (QI) means an individual who is identified by an AETB as meeting the requirements described in ASTM D 7036–04 ''Standard Practice for Competence of Air Emission Testing Bodies'' (incorporated by reference, see § 72.13), as of the date of testing.

Qualifying facility (QF) means a ''qualifying small power production facility'' within the meaning of section 3(17)(C) of the Federal Power Act or a ''qualifying cogeneration facility'' within the meaning of section 3(18)(B) of the Federal Power Act.

Qualifying Phase I technology means a technological system of continuous emission reduction that is demonstrated to achieve a ninety (90) percent (or greater) reduction in emissions of sulfur dioxide from the emissions that would have resulted from the use of fossil fuels that were not subject to treatment prior to combustion, as provided in § 72.42.

Qualifying power purchase commitment means a power purchase commitment in effect as of November 15, 1990 without regard to changes to that commitment so long as:

(1) The identity of the electric output purchaser; or

(2) The identity of the steam purchaser and the location of the facility, remain unchanged as of the date the facility commences commercial operation; and

(3) The terms and conditions of the power purchase commitment are not changed in such a way as to allow the costs of compliance with the Acid Rain Program to be shifted to the purchaser.

Qualifying repowering technology means:

(1) Replacement of an existing coal-fired boiler with one of the following clean coal technologies: Atmospheric or pressurized fluidized bed combustion, integrated gasification combined cycle, magnetohydrodynamics, direct and indirect coal-fired turbines, integrated gasification fuel cells, or as determined by the Administrator, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, a derivative of one or more of these

technologies, and any other technology capable of controlling multiple combustion emissions simultaneously with improved boiler or generation efficiency and with significantly greater waste reduction relative to the performance of technology in widespread commercial use as of the date of enactment of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990; or

(2) Any oil- or gas-fired unit that has been awarded clean coal technology demonstration funding as of January 1, 1991, by the Department of Energy.

Quality-assured monitor operating hour means any unit operating hour or portion thereof over which a certified CEMS, or other monitoring system approved by the Administrator under part 75 of this chapter, is operating:

(1) Within the performance specifications set forth in part 75, appendix A of this chapter and the quality assurance/quality control procedures set forth in part 75, appendix B of this chapter, without unscheduled maintenance, repair, or adjustment; and

(2) In accordance with § 75.10(d), (e), and (f) of this chapter.

Receive or receipt of means the date the Administrator or a permitting authority comes into possession of information or correspondence (whether sent in writing or by authorized electronic transmission), as indicated in an official log, or by a notation made on the information or correspondence, by the Administrator or the permitting authority in the regular course of business.

Recordation, record, or recorded means, with regard to allowances, the transfer of allowances by the Administrator from one Allowance Tracking System account to another.

Reduced utilization means a reduction, during any calendar year in Phase I, in the heat input (expressed in mmBtu for the calendar year) at a Phase I unit below the unit's baseline, where such reduction subjects the unit to the requirement to submit a reduced utilization plan under § 72.43; or, in the case of an opt-in source, means a reduction in the average utilization, as specified in § 74.44 of this chapter, of an opt-in source below the opt-in source's baseline.

Reference method means any direct test method of sampling and analyzing for an air pollutant as specified in part 60, appendix A of this chapter.

Reference value or reference signal means the known concentration of a calibration gas, the known value of an electronic calibration signal, or the known value of any other measurement standard approved by the Administrator, assumed to be the true value for the pollutant or diluent concentration or volumetric flow being measured.

Relative accuracy means a statistic designed to provide a measure of the systematic and random errors associated with data from continuous emission monitoring systems, and is expressed as the absolute mean difference between the pollutant or moisture concentration or volumetric flow measured by the pollutant concentration or flow monitor or moisture monitor and the value determined by the applicable reference method(s) plus the 2.5 percent error confidence coefficient of a series of tests divided by the mean of the reference method tests in accordance with part 75 of this chapter.

Replacement unit means an affected unit replacing the thermal energy provided by an opt-in source, where both the affected unit and the opt-in source are governed by a thermal energy plan.

Research gas mixture (RGM) means a calibration gas mixture developed by agreement of a requestor and NIST that NIST analyzes and certifies as ''NIST traceable.'' RGMs may have concentrations different from those of standard reference materials.

Schedule of compliance means an enforceable sequence of actions, measures, or operations designed to achieve or maintain compliance, or correct non-compliance, with an applicable requirement of the Acid Rain Program, including any applicable Acid Rain permit requirement.

Secretary of Energy means the Secretary of the United States Department of Energy or the Secretary's duly authorized representative.

Serial number means, when referring to allowances, the unique identification number assigned to each allowance by the Administrator, pursuant to § 73.34(d) of this chapter.

Simple combustion turbine means a unit that is a rotary engine driven by a gas under pressure that is created by the combustion of any fuel. This term includes combined cycle units without auxiliary firing. This term excludes combined cycle units with auxiliary firing, unless the unit did not use the auxiliary firing from 1985 through 1987 and does not use auxiliary firing at any time after November 15, 1990.

Site lease, as used in part 73, subpart E of this chapter, means a legally-binding agreement signed between a new IPP or a firm associated with a new IPP and a site owner that establishes the terms and conditions under which the new IPP or the firm associated with the new IPP has the binding right to utilize a specific site for the purposes of operating or constructing the new IPP.

Small diesel refinery means a domestic motor diesel fuel refinery or portion of a refinery that, as an annual average of calendar years 1988 through 1990 and as reported to the Department of Energy on Form 810, had bona fide crude oil throughput less than 18,250,000 barrels per year, and the refinery or portion of a refinery is owned or controlled by a refiner with a total combined bona fide crude oil throughput of less than 50,187,500 barrels per year.

Solid waste incinerator means a source as defined in section 129(g)(1) of the Act.

Source means any governmental, institutional, commercial, or industrial structure, installation, plant, building, or facility that emits or has the potential to emit any regulated air pollutant under the Act, provided that one or more combustion or process sources that have, under § 74.4(c) of this chapter, a different designated representative than the designated representative for one or more affected utility units at a source shall be treated as being included in a separate source from the source that includes such utility units for purposes of parts 72 through 78 of this chapter, but shall be treated as being included in the same source as the source that includes such utility units for purposes of section 502(c) of the Act. For purposes of section 502(c) of the Act, a ''source'', including a ''source'' with multiple units, shall be considered a single ''facility.''

Span means the highest pollutant or diluent concentration or flow rate that a monitor component is required to be capable of measuring under part 75 of this chapter.

Specialty Gas Company means an organization that wholly or partially owns or operates one or more EPA Protocol gas production sites.

Spot allowance means an allowance that may be used for purposes of compliance with a source's Acid Rain sulfur dioxide emissions limitation requirements beginning in the year in which the allowance is offered for sale.

Spot auction means an auction of a spot allowance.

Spot sale means a sale of a spot allowance.

Stack means a structure that includes one or more flues and the housing for the flues.

Stack operating hour means a clock hour during which flue gases flow through a particular stack or duct (either for the entire hour or for part of the hour) while the associated unit(s) are combusting fuel.

Stack operating time means the portion of a clock hour during which flue gases flow through a particular stack or duct while the associated unit(s) are combusting fuel. The stack operating time, in hours, is expressed as a decimal fraction, with valid values ranging from 0.00 to 1.00.

Standard conditions means 68 °F at 1 atm (29.92 in. of mercury).

Standard reference material or *SRM* means a calibration gas mixture issued and certified by NIST as having specific known chemical or physical property values.

Standard reference material-equivalent compressed gas primary reference material (SRM-equivalent PRM) means those gas mixtures listed in a declaration of equivalence in accordance with section 2.1.2 of the ''EPA Traceability Protocol for Assay and Certification of Gaseous Calibration Standards,'' September 1997, EPA–600/R–97/121.

State means one of the 48 contiguous States and the District of Columbia, any non-federal authorities in or including such States or the District of Columbia (including local agencies,

interstate associations, and State-wide agencies), and any eligible Indian tribe in an area in such State or the District of Columbia. The term ''State'' shall have its conventional meaning where such meaning is clear from the context.

State operating permit program means an operating permit program that the Administrator has approved under part 70 of this chapter.

Stationary gas turbine means a turbine that is not self-propelled and that combusts natural gas, other gaseous fuel with a total sulfur content no greater than the total sulfur content of natural gas, or fuel oil in order to heat inlet combustion air and thereby turn a turbine in addition to or instead of producing steam or heating water.

Steam sales agreement is a legally binding agreement between a QF, IPP, new IPP, or firm associated with such facility and an industrial or commercial establishment requiring steam that establishes the terms and conditions under which the facility will supply steam to the establishment.

Submit or serve means to send or transmit a document, information, or correspondence to the person specified in accordance with the applicable regulation:

(1) In person;

(2) By United States Postal Service; or

(3) By other equivalent means of dispatch, or transmission, and delivery. Compliance with any ''submission'', ''service'', or ''mailing'' deadline shall be determined by the date of dispatch, transmission, or mailing and not the date of receipt.

Substitute data means emissions or volumetric flow data provided to assure 100 percent recording and reporting of emissions when all or part of the continuous emission monitoring system is not functional or is operating outside applicable performance specifications.

Substitution unit means an affected unit, other than a unit under section 410 of the Act, that is designated as a Phase I unit in a substitution plan under § 72.41.

Sulfur-free generation means the generation of electricity by a process that does not have any emissions of sulfur dioxide, including hydroelectric, nuclear, solar, or wind generation. A ''sulfur-free generator'' is a generator that is located in one of the 48 contiguous States or the District of Columbia and produces ''sulfur-free generation.''

Supply-side measure means a measure to improve the efficiency of the generation, transmission, or distribution of electricity, implemented by a utility in connection with its operations or facilities to provide electricity to its customers, and includes the measures set forth in part 73, appendix A, section 2 of this chapter.

Thermal energy means the thermal output produced by a combustion source used directly as part of a manufacturing process but not used to produce electricity.

Ton or tonnage means any ''short ton'' (*i.e.*, 2,000 pounds). For the purpose of determining compliance with the Acid Rain emissions limitations and reduction requirements, total tons for a year shall be calculated as the sum of all recorded hourly emissions (or the tonnage equivalent of the recorded hourly emissions rates) in accordance with part 75 of this chapter, with any remaining fraction of a ton equal to or greater than 0.50 ton deemed to equal one ton and any fraction of a ton less than 0.50 ton deemed not to equal any ton.

Total planned net output capacity means the planned generator output capacity, excluding that portion of the electrical power which is designed to be used at the power production facility, as specified under one or more qualifying power purchase commitments or contemporaneous documents as of November 15, 1990; ''Total installed net output capacity'' shall be the generator output capacity, excluding that portion of the electrical power actually used at the power production facility, as installed.

Transfer unit means a Phase I unit that transfers all or part of its Phase I emission reduction obligations to a control unit designated pursuant to a Phase I extension plan under § 72.42.

Underutilization means a reduction, during any calendar year in Phase I, of the heat input (expressed in mmBtu for the calendar year) at a Phase I unit below the unit's baseline.

Unit means a fossil fuel-fired combustion device.

Unit load means the total (*i.e.*, gross) output of a unit or source in any calendar year (or other specified time period) produced by combusting a given heat input of fuel, expressed in terms of:

(1) The total electrical generation (MWe) for use within the plant and for sale; or

(2) In the case of a unit or source that uses part of its heat input for purposes other than electrical generation, the total steam pressure (psia) produced by the unit or source.

Unit operating day means a calendar day in which a unit combusts any fuel.

Unit operating hour means a clock hour during which a unit combusts any fuel, either for part of the hour or for the entire hour.

Unit operating quarter means a calendar quarter in which a unit combusts any fuel.

Unit operating time means the portion of a clock hour during which a unit combusts any fuel. The unit operating time, in hours, is expressed as a decimal fraction, with valid values ranging from 0.00 to 1.00.

Utility means any person that sells electricity.

Utility competitive bid solicitation is a public request from a regulated utility for offers to the utility for meeting future generating needs. A qualifying facility, independent power production facility, or new IPP may be regarded as having been ''selected'' in such solicitation if the utility has named the facility as a project with which the utility intends to negotiate a power sales agreement.

Utility regulatory authority means an authority, board, commission, or other entity (limited to the local-, State-, or federal-level, whenever so specified) responsible for overseeing the business operations of utilities located within its jurisdiction, including, but not limited to, utility rates and charges to customers.

Utility system means all interconnected units and generators operated by the same utility operating company.

Utility unit means a unit owned or operated by a utility:

(1) That serves a generator in any State that produces electricity for sale, or

(2) That during 1985, served a generator in any State that produced electricity for sale.

(3) Notwithstanding paragraphs (1) and (2) of this definition, a unit that was in operation during 1985, but did not serve a generator that produced electricity for sale during 1985, and did not commence commercial operation on or after November 15, 1990 is not a utility unit for purposes of the Acid Rain Program.

(4) Notwithstanding paragraphs (1) and (2) of this definition, a unit that cogenerates steam and electricity is not a utility unit for purposes of the Acid Rain Program, unless the unit is constructed for the purpose of supplying, or commences construction after November 15, 1990 and supplies, more than one-third of its potential electrical output capacity and more than 25 MWe output to any power distribution system for sale.

Utilization means the heat input (expressed in mmBtu/time) for a unit.

Very low sulfur fuel means either:

(1) A fuel with a total sulfur content no greater than 0.05 percent sulfur by weight;

(2) Natural gas or pipeline natural gas, as defined in this section; or

(3) Any gaseous fuel with a total sulfur content no greater than 20 grains of sulfur per 100 standard cubic feet.

Volumetric flow means the rate of movement of a specified volume of gas past a cross-sectional area (e.g., cubic feet per hour).

Zero air material means either:

(1) A calibration gas certified by the gas vendor not to contain concentrations of SO_2, NO_X, or total hydrocarbons above 0.1 parts per million (ppm), a concentration of CO above 1 ppm, or a concentration of CO_2 above 400 ppm;

(2) Ambient air conditioned and purified by a CEMS for which the CEMS manufacturer or vendor certifies that the particular CEMS model produces conditioned gas that does not contain concentrations of SO_2, NO_X, or total hydrocarbons above 0.1 ppm, a concentration of CO above 1 ppm, or a concentration of CO_2 above 400 ppm;

(3) For dilution-type CEMS, conditioned and purified ambient air provided by a conditioning system concurrently supplying dilution air to the CEMS; or

(4) A multicomponent mixture certified by the supplier of the mixture that the concentration of the component being zeroed is less than or equal to the applicable concentration specified in paragraph (1) of this definition, and that the mixture's other components do not interfere with the CEM readings.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993]

EDITORIAL NOTE: For FEDERAL REGISTER citations affecting § 72.2, see the List of CFR Sections Affected, which appears in the Finding Aids section of the printed volume and at *www.fdsys.gov*.

§ 72.3 Measurements, abbreviations, and acronyms.

Measurements, abbreviations, and acronyms used in this part are defined as follows:

acfh—actual cubic feet per hour.
atm—atmosphere.
bbl—barrel.
Btu—British thermal unit.
°C—degree Celsius (centigrade).
CEMS—continuous emission monitoring system.
cfm—cubic feet per minute.
cm—centimeter.
dcf—dry cubic feet.
DOE—Department of Energy.
dscf—dry cubic feet at standard conditions.
dscfh—dry cubic feet per hour at standard conditions.
EIA—Energy Information Administration.
eq—equivalent.
°F—degree Fahrenheit.
fps—feet per second.
gal—gallon.
hr—hour.
in—inch.
°K—degree Kelvin.
kacfm—thousands of cubic feet per minute at actual conditions.
kscfh—thousands of cubic feet per hour at standard conditions.
Kwh—kilowatt hour.
lb—pounds.
m—meter.
mmBtu—million Btu.
min—minute.
mol. wt.—molecular weight.
MWe—megawatt electrical.
MWge—gross megawatt electrical.
NIST—National Institute of Standards and Technology.
ppm—parts per million.
psi—pounds per square inch.
°R—degree Rankine.
RATA—relative accuracy test audit.
scf—cubic feet at standard conditions.
scfh—cubic feet per hour at standard conditions.
sec—second.
std—at standard conditions.
CO_2—carbon dioxide.
NO_X—nitrogen oxides.
O_2—oxygen.
THC—total hydrocarbon content.
SO_2—sulfur dioxide.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 64 FR 28588, May 26, 1999]

§ 72.4 Federal authority.

(a) The Administrator reserves all authority under sections 112(r)(9), 113, 114, 120, 301, 303, 304, 306, and 307(a) of the Act, including, but not limited to, the authority to:

(1) Secure information needed for the purpose of developing, revising, or implementing, or of determining whether any person is in violation of, any standard, method, requirement, or prohibition of the Act, this part, parts 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, and 78 of this chapter;

(2) Make inspections, conduct tests, examine records, and require an owner or operator of an affected unit to submit information reasonably required for the purpose of developing, revising, or implementing, or of determining whether any person is in violation of, any standard, method, requirement, or prohibition of the Act, this part, parts 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, and 78 of this chapter.

(3) Issue orders, call witnesses, and compel the production of documents.

(b) The Administrator reserves the right under title IV of the Act to take any action necessary to protect the orderly and competitive functioning of the allowance system, including actions to prevent fraud and misrepresentation.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 17113, Apr. 4, 1995]

§ 72.5 State authority.

Consistent with section 116 of the Act, the provisions of the Acid Rain Program shall not be construed in any manner to preclude any State from adopting and enforcing any other air quality requirement (including any continuous emissions monitoring) that is not less stringent than, and does not

alter, any requirement applicable to an affected unit or affected source under the Acid Rain Program; *provided* that such State requirement, if articulated in an operating permit, is in a portion of the operating permit separate from the portion containing the Acid Rain Program requirements.

§ 72.6 Applicability.

(a) Each of the following units shall be an affected unit, and any source that includes such a unit shall be an affected source, subject to the requirements of the Acid Rain Program:

(1) A unit listed in table 1 of § 73.10(a) of this chapter.

(2) A unit that is listed in table 2 or 3 of § 73.10 of this chapter and any other existing utility unit, except a unit under paragraph (b) of this section.

(3) A utility unit, except a unit under paragraph (b) of this section, that:

(i) Is a new unit; or

(ii) Did not serve a generator with a nameplate capacity greater than 25 MWe on November 15, 1990 but serves such a generator after November 15, 1990.

(iii) Was a simple combustion turbine on November 15, 1990 but adds or uses auxiliary firing after November 15, 1990;

(iv) Was an exempt cogeneration facility under paragraph (b)(4) of this section but during any three calendar year period after November 15, 1990 sold, to a utility power distribution system, an annual average of more than one-third of its potential electrical output capacity and more than 219,000 MWe-hrs electric output, on a gross basis;

(v) Was an exempt qualifying facility under paragraph (b)(5) of this section but, at any time after the later of November 15, 1990 or the date the facility commences commercial operation, fails to meet the definition of qualifying facility;

(vi) Was an exempt IPP under paragraph (b)(6) of this section but, at any time after the later of November 15, 1990 or the date the facility commences commercial operation, fails to meet the definition of independent power production facility; or

(vii) Was an exempt solid waste incinerator under paragraph (b)(7) of this section but during any three calendar year period after November 15, 1990 consumes 20 percent or more (on a Btu basis) fossil fuel.

(b) The following types of units are not affected units subject to the requirements of the Acid Rain Program:

(1) A simple combustion turbine that commenced commercial operation before November 15, 1990.

(2) Any unit that commenced commercial operation before November 15, 1990 and that did not, as of November 15, 1990, and does not currently, serve a generator with a nameplate capacity of greater than 25 MWe.

(3) Any unit that, during 1985, did not serve a generator that produced electricity for sale and that did not, as of November 15, 1990, and does not currently, serve a generator that produces electricity for sale.

(4) A cogeneration facility which:

(i) For a unit that commenced construction on or prior to November 15, 1990, was constructed for the purpose of supplying equal to or less than one-third its potential electrical output capacity or equal to or less than 219,000 MWe-hrs actual electric output on an annual basis to any utility power distribution system for sale (on a gross basis). If the purpose of construction is not known, the Administrator will presume that actual operation from 1985 through 1987 is consistent with such purpose. However, if in any three calendar year period after November 15, 1990, such unit sells to a utility power distribution system an annual average of more than one-third of its potential electrical output capacity and more than 219,000 MWe-hrs actual electric output (on a gross basis), that unit shall be an affected unit, subject to the requirements of the Acid Rain Program; or

(ii) For units which commenced construction after November 15, 1990, supplies equal to or less than one-third its potential electrical output capacity or equal to or less than 219,000 MWe-hrs actual electric output on an annual basis to any utility power distribution system for sale (on a gross basis). However, if in any three calendar year period after November 15, 1990, such unit sells to a utility power distribution system an annual average of more than

one-third of its potential electrical output capacity and more than 219,000 MWe-hrs actual electric output (on a gross basis), that unit shall be an affected unit, subject to the requirements of the Acid Rain Program.

(5) A qualifying facility that:

(i) Has, as of November 15, 1990, one or more qualifying power purchase commitments to sell at least 15 percent of its total planned net output capacity; and

(ii) Consists of one or more units designated by the owner or operator with total installed net output capacity not exceeding 130 percent of the total planned net output capacity. If the emissions rates of the units are not the same, the Administrator may exercise discretion to designate which units are exempt.

(6) An independent power production facility that:

(i) Has, as of November 15, 1990, one or more qualifying power purchase commitments to sell at least 15 percent of its total planned net output capacity; and

(ii) Consists of one or more units designated by the owner or operator with total installed net output capacity not exceeding 130 percent of its total planned net output capacity. If the emissions rates of the units are not the same, the Administrator may exercise discretion to designate which units are exempt.

(7) A solid waste incinerator, if more than 80 percent (on a Btu basis) of the annual fuel consumed at such incinerator is other than fossil fuels. For solid waste incinerators which began operation before January 1, 1985, the average annual fuel consumption of non-fossil fuels for calendar years 1985 through 1987 must be greater than 80 percent for such an incinerator to be exempt. For solid waste incinerators which began operation after January 1, 1985, the average annual fuel consumption of non-fossil fuels for the first three years of operation must be greater than 80 percent for such an incinerator to be exempt. If, during any three calendar year period after November 15, 1990, such incinerator consumes 20 percent or more (on a Btu basis) fossil fuel, such incinerator will be an affected source under the Acid Rain Program.

(8) A non-utility unit.

(9) A unit for which an exemption under § 72.7 or § 72.8 is in effect. Although such a unit is not an affected unit, the unit shall be subject to the requirements of § 72.7 or § 72.8, as applicable to the exemption.

(c) A certifying official of an owner or operator of any unit may petition the Administrator for a determination of applicability under this section.

(1) *Petition Content*. The petition shall be in writing and include identification of the unit and relevant facts about the unit. In the petition, the certifying official shall certify, by his or her signature, the statement set forth at § 72.21(b)(2). Within 10 business days of receipt of any written determination by the Administrator covering the unit, the certifying official shall provide each owner or operator of the unit, facility, or source with a copy of the petition and a copy of the Administrator's response.

(2) *Timing*. The petition may be submitted to the Administrator at any time but, if possible, should be submitted prior to the issuance (including renewal) of a Phase II Acid Rain permit for the unit.

(3) *Submission*. All submittals under this section shall be made by the certifying official to the Director, Acid Rain Division, (6204J), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460.

(4) *Response*. The Administrator will issue a written response based upon the factual submittal meeting the requirements of paragraph (c)(1) of this section.

(5) *Administrative appeals*. The Administrator's determination of applicability is a decision appealable under 40 CFR part 78 of this chapter.

(6) *Effect of determination*. The Administrator's determination of applicability shall be binding upon the permitting authority, unless the petition is found to have contained significant errors or omissions.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 58 FR 15648, Mar. 23, 1993; 62 FR 55475, Oct. 24, 1997; 64 FR 28588, May 26, 1999; 66 FR 12978, Mar. 1, 2001]

§ 72.7 New units exemption.

(a) *Applicability*. This section applies to any new utility unit that has not previously lost an exemption under paragraph (f)(4) of this section and that, in each year starting with the first year for which the unit is to be exempt under this section:

(1) Serves during the entire year (except for any period before the unit commenced commercial operation) one or more generators with total nameplate capacity of 25 MWe or less;

(2) Burns fuel that does not include any coal or coal-derived fuel (except coal-derived gaseous fuel with a total sulfur content no greater than natural gas); and

(3) Burns gaseous fuel with an annual average sulfur content of 0.05 percent or less by weight (as determined under paragraph (d) of this section) and nongaseous fuel with an annual average sulfur content of 0.05 percent or less by weight (as determined under paragraph (d) of this section).

(b)(1) Any new utility unit that meets the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section and that is not allocated any allowances under subpart B of part 73 of this chapter shall be exempt from the Acid Rain Program, except for the provisions of this section, §§ 72.2 through 72.6, and §§ 72.10 through 72.13.

(2) The exemption under paragraph (b)(1) of this section shall be effective on January 1 of the first full calendar year for which the unit meets the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section. By December 31 of the first year for which the unit is to be exempt under this section, a statement signed by the designated representative (authorized in accordance with subpart B of this part) or, if no designated representative has been authorized, a certifying official of each owner of the unit shall be submitted to permitting authority otherwise responsible for administering a Phase II Acid Rain permit for the unit. If the Administrator is not the permitting authority, a copy of the statement shall be submitted to the Administrator. The statement, which shall be in a format prescribed by the Administrator, shall identify the unit, state the nameplate capacity of each generator served by the unit and the fuels currently burned or expected to be burned by the unit and their sulfur content by weight, and state that the owners and operators of the unit will comply with paragraph (f) of this section.

(3) After receipt of the statement under paragraph (b)(2) of this section, the permitting authority shall amend under § 72.83 the operating permit covering the source at which the unit is located, if the source has such a permit, to add the provisions and requirements of the exemption under paragraphs (a), (b)(1), (d), and (f) of this section.

(c)(1) Any new utility unit that meets the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section and that is allocated one or more allowances under subpart B of part 73 of this chapter shall be exempt from the Acid Rain Program, except for the provisions of this section, §§ 72.2 through 72.6, and §§ 72.10 through 72.13, if each of the following requirements are met:

(i) The designated representative (authorized in accordance with subpart B of this part) or, if no designated representative has been authorized, a certifying official of each owner of the unit submits to the permitting authority otherwise responsible for administering a Phase II Acid Rain permit for the unit a statement (in a format prescribed by the Administrator) that:

(A) Identifies the unit and states the nameplate capacity of each generator served by the unit and the fuels currently burned or expected to be burned by the unit and their sulfur content by weight;

(B) States that the owners and operators of the unit will comply with paragraph (f) of this section;

(C) Surrenders allowances equal in number to, and with the same or earlier compliance use date as, all of those allocated to the unit under subpart B of part 73 of this chapter for the first year that the unit is to be exempt under this section and for each subsequent year; and

(D) Surrenders any proceeds for allowances under paragraph (c)(1)(i)(C) or this section withheld from the unit

under § 73.10 of this chapter. If the Administrator is not the permitting authority, a copy of the statement shall be submitted to the Administrator.

(ii) The Administrator deducts from the compliance account of the source that includes the unit allowances under paragraph (c)(1)(i)(C) of this section and receives proceeds under paragraph (c)(1)(i)(D) of this section. Within 5 business days of receiving a statement in accordance with paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section, the Administrator shall either deduct the allowances under paragraph (c)(1)(i)(C) of this section or notify the owners and operators that there are insufficient allowances to make such deductions.

(2) The exemption under paragraph (c)(1) of this section shall be effective on January 1 of the first full calendar year for which the requirements of paragraphs (a) and (c)(1) of this section are met. After notification by the Administrator under the third sentence of paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section, the permitting authority shall amend under § 72.83 the operating permit covering the source at which the unit is located, if the source has such a permit, to add the provisions and requirements of the exemption under paragraphs (a), (c)(1), (d), and (f) of this section.

(d) Compliance with the requirement that fuel burned during the year have an annual average sulfur content of 0.05 percent by weight or less shall be determined as follows using a method of determining sulfur content that provides information with reasonable precision, reliability, accessibility, and timeliness:

(1) For gaseous fuel burned during the year, if natural gas is the only gaseous fuel burned, the requirement is assumed to be met;

(2) For gaseous fuel burned during the year where other gas in addition to or besides natural gas is burned, the requirement is met if the annual average sulfur content is equal to or less than 0.05 percent by weight. The annual average sulfur content, as a percentage by weight, for the gaseous fuel burned shall be calculated as follows:

$$\%S_{annual} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{last} \%S_n V_n d_n}{\sum_{n=1}^{last} V_n d_n}$$

where:

$\%S_{annual}$ = annual average sulfur content of the fuel burned during the year by the unit, as a percentage by weight;

$\%S_n$ = sulfur content of the nth sample of the fuel delivered during the year to the unit, as a percentage by weight;

V_n = volume of the fuel in a delivery during the year to the unit of which the nth sample is taken, in standard cubic feet; or, for fuel delivered during the year to the unit continuously by pipeline, volume of the fuel delivered starting from when the nth sample of such fuel is taken until the next sample of such fuel is taken, in standard cubic feet;

d_n = density of the nth sample of the fuel delivered during the year to the unit, in lb per standard cubic foot; and

n = each sample taken of the fuel delivered during the year to the unit, taken at least once for each delivery; or, for fuel that is delivered during the year to the unit continuously by pipeline, at least once each quarter during which the fuel is delivered.

(3) For nongaseous fuel burned during the year, the requirement is met if the annual average sulfur content is equal to or less than 0.05 percent by weight. The annual average sulfur content, as a percentage by weight, shall be calculated using the equation in paragraph (d)(2) of this section. In lieu of the factor, volume times density (V_n d_n), in the equation, the factor, mass (M_n), may be used, where M_n is: mass of the nongaseous fuel in a delivery during the year to the unit of which the nth sample is taken, in lb; or, for fuel delivered during the year to the unit continuously by pipeline, mass of the nongaseous fuel delivered starting from when the nth sample of such fuel is taken until the next sample of such fuel is taken, in lb.

(e)(1) A utility unit that was issued a written exemption under this section and that meets the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section shall be exempt from the Acid Rain Program, except for the provisions of this section, §§ 72.2 through 72.6, and §§ 72.10 through 72.13 and shall be subject to the requirements of paragraphs (a), (d),

(e)(2), and (f) of this section in lieu of the requirements set forth in the written exemption. The permitting authority shall amend under §72.83 the operating permit covering the source at which the unit is located, if the source has such a permit, to add the provisions and requirements of the exemption under this paragraph (e)(1) and paragraphs (a), (d), (e)(2), and (f) of this section.

(2) If a utility unit under paragraph (e)(1) of this section is allocated one or more allowances under subpart B of part 73 of this chapter, the designated representative (authorized in accordance with subpart B of this part) or, if no designated representative has been authorized, a certifying official of each owner of the unit shall submit to the permitting authority that issued the written exemption a statement (in a format prescribed by the Administrator) meeting the requirements of paragraph (c)(1)(i)(C) and (D) of this section. The statement shall be submitted by June 31, 1998 and, if the Administrator is not the permitting authority, a copy shall be submitted to the Administrator.

(f) *Special Provisions.* (1) The owners and operators and, to the extent applicable, the designated representative of a unit exempt under this section shall:

(i) Comply with the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section for all periods for which the unit is exempt under this section; and

(ii) Comply with the requirements of the Acid Rain Program concerning all periods for which the exemption is not in effect, even if such requirements arise, or must be complied with, after the exemption takes effect.

(2) For any period for which a unit is exempt under this section:

(i) For purposes of applying parts 70 and 71 of this chapter, the unit shall not be treated as an affected unit under the Acid Rain Program and shall continue to be subject to any other applicable requirements under parts 70 and 71 of this chapter.

(ii) The unit shall not be eligible to be an opt-in source under part 74 of chapter.

(3) For a period of 5 years from the date the records are created, the owners and operators of a unit exempt under this section shall retain at the source that includes the unit records demonstrating that the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section are met. The 5-year period for keeping records may be extended for cause, at any time prior to the end of the period, in writing by the Administrator or the permitting authority.

(i) Such records shall include, for each delivery of fuel to the unit or for fuel delivered to the unit continuously by pipeline, the type of fuel, the sulfur content, and the sulfur content of each sample taken.

(ii) The owners and operators bear the burden of proof that the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section are met.

(4) Loss of exemption. (i) On the earliest of the following dates, a unit exempt under paragraphs (b), (c), or (e) of this section shall lose its exemption and for purposes of applying parts 70 and 71 of this chapter, shall be treated as an affected unit under the Acid Rain Program:

(A) The date on which the unit first serves one or more generators with total nameplate capacity in excess of 25 MWe;

(B) The date on which the unit burns any coal or coal-derived fuel except for coal-derived gaseous fuel with a total sulfur content no greater than natural gas; or

(C) January 1 of the year following the year in which the annual average sulfur content for gaseous fuel burned at the unit exceeds 0.05 percent by weight (as determined under paragraph (d) of this section) or for nongaseous fuel burned at the unit exceeds 0.05 percent by weight (as determined under paragraph (d) of this section).

(ii) Notwithstanding §72.30(b) and (c), the designated representative for a unit that loses its exemption under this section shall submit a complete Acid Rain permit application on the later of January 1, 1998 or 60 days after the first date on which the unit is no longer exempt.

(iii) For the purpose of applying monitoring requirements under part 75 of this chapter, a unit that loses its exemption under this section shall be treated as a new unit that commenced

commercial operation on the first date on which the unit is no longer exempt.

[62 FR 55476, Oct. 24, 1997, as amended at 71 FR 25377, Apr. 28, 2006; 70 FR 25334, May 12, 2005]

§ 72.8 Retired units exemption.

(a) This section applies to any affected unit (except for an opt-in source) that is permanently retired.

(b)(1) Any affected unit (except for an opt-in source) that is permanently retired shall be exempt from the Acid Rain Program, except for the provisions of this section, §§ 72.2 through 72.6, §§ 72.10 through 72.13, and subpart B of part 73 of this chapter.

(2) The exemption under paragraph (b)(1) of this section shall become effective on January 1 of the first full calendar year during which the unit is permanently retired. By December 31 of the first year that the unit is to be exempt under this section, the designated representative (authorized in accordance with subpart B of this part), or, if no designated representative has been authorized, a certifying official of each owner of the unit shall submit a statement to the permitting authority otherwise responsible for administering a Phase II Acid Rain permit for the unit. If the Administrator is not the permitting authority, a copy of the statement shall be submitted to the Administrator. The statement shall state (in a format prescribed by the Administrator) that the unit is permanently retired and will comply with the requirements of paragraph (d) of this section.

(3) After receipt of the notice under paragraph (b)(2) of this section, the permitting authority shall amend under § 72.83 the operating permit covering the source at which the unit is located, if the source has such a permit, to add the provisions and requirements of the exemption under paragraphs (b)(1) and (d) of this section.

(c) A unit that was issued a written exemption under this section and that is permanently retired shall be exempt from the Acid Rain Program, except for the provisions of this section, §§ 72.2 through 72.6, §§ 72.10 through 72.13, and subpart B of part 73 of this chapter, and shall be subject to the requirements of paragraph (d) of this section in lieu of the requirements set forth in the written exemption. The permitting authority shall amend under § 72.83 the operating permit covering the source at which the unit is located, if the source has such a permit, to add the provisions and requirements of the exemption under this paragraph (c) and paragraph (d) of this section.

(d) *Special Provisions.* (1) A unit exempt under this section shall not emit any sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides starting on the date that the exemption takes effect. The owners and operators of the unit will be allocated allowances in accordance with subpart B of part 73 of this chapter. If the unit is a Phase I unit, for each calendar year in Phase I, the designated representative of the unit shall submit a Phase I permit application in accordance with subparts C and D of this part 72 and an annual certification report in accordance with §§ 72.90 through 72.92 and is subject to §§ 72.95 and 72.96.

(2) A unit exempt under this section shall not resume operation unless the designated representative of the source that includes the unit submits a complete Acid Rain permit application under § 72.31 for the unit not less than 24 months prior to the later of January 1, 2000 or the date on which the unit is first to resume operation.

(3) The owners and operators and, to the extent applicable, the designated representative of a unit exempt under this section shall comply with the requirements of the Acid Rain Program concerning all periods for which the exemption is not in effect, even if such requirements arise, or must be complied with, after the exemption takes effect.

(4) For any period for which a unit is exempt under this section:

(i) For purposes of applying parts 70 and 71 of this chapter, the unit shall not be treated as an affected unit under the Acid Rain Program and shall continue to be subject to any other applicable requirements under parts 70 and 71 of this chapter.

(ii) The unit shall not be eligible to be an opt-in source under part 74 of chapter.

(5) For a period of 5 years from the date the records are created, the owners and operators of a unit exempt

under this section shall retain at the source that includes the unit records demonstrating that the unit is permanently retired. The 5-year period for keeping records may be extended for cause, at any time prior to the end of the period, in writing by the Administrator or the permitting authority. The owners and operators bear the burden of proof that the unit is permanently retired.

(6) Loss of exemption. (i) On the earlier of the following dates, a unit exempt under paragraph (b) or (c) of this section shall lose its exemption and for purposes of applying parts 70 and 71 of this chapter, shall be treated as an affected unit under the Acid Rain Program:

(A) The date on which the designated representative submits an Acid Rain permit application under paragraph (d)(2) of this section; or

(B) The date on which the designated representative is required under paragraph (d)(2) of this section to submit an Acid Rain permit application.

(ii) For the purpose of applying monitoring requirements under part 75 of this chapter, a unit that loses its exemption under this section shall be treated as a new unit that commenced commercial operation on the first date on which the unit resumes operation.

[62 FR 55477, Oct. 24, 1997; 62 FR 66279, Dec. 18, 1997, as amended at 71 FR 25377, Apr. 28, 2006]

§ 72.9 Standard requirements.

(a) *Permit Requirements.* (1) The designated representative of each affected source and each affected unit at the source shall:

(i) Submit a complete Acid Rain permit application (including a compliance plan) under this part in accordance with the deadlines specified in § 72.30;

(ii) Submit in a timely manner a complete reduced utilization plan if required under § 72.43; and

(iii) Submit in a timely manner any supplemental information that the permitting authority determines is necessary in order to review an Acid Rain permit application and issue or deny an Acid Rain permit.

(2) The owners and operators of each affected source and each affected unit at the source shall:

(i) Operate the unit in compliance with a complete Acid Rain permit application or a superseding Acid Rain permit issued by the permitting authority; and

(ii) Have an Acid Rain Permit.

(b) *Monitoring Requirements.* (1) The owners and operators and, to the extent applicable, designated representative of each affected source and each affected unit at the source shall comply with the monitoring requirements as provided in part 75 of this chapter.

(2) The emissions measurements recorded and reported in accordance with part 75 of this chapter shall be used to determine compliance by the source or unit, as appropriate, with the Acid Rain emissions limitations and emissions reduction requirements for sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides under the Acid Rain Program.

(3) The requirements of part 75 of this chapter shall not affect the responsibility of the owners and operators to monitor emissions of other pollutants or other emissions characteristics at the unit under other applicable requirements of the Act and other provisions of the operating permit for the source.

(c) *Sulfur Dioxide Requirements.* (1) The owners and operators of each source and each affected unit at the source shall:

(i) Hold allowances, as of the allowance transfer deadline, in the source's compliance account (after deductions under § 73.34(c) of this chapter) not less than the total annual emissions of sulfur dioxide for the previous calendar year from the affected units at the source; and

(ii) Comply with the applicable Acid Rain emissions limitation for sulfur dioxide.

(2) Each ton of sulfur dioxide emitted in excess of the Acid Rain emissions limitations for sulfur dioxide shall constitute a separate violation of the Act.

(3) An affected unit shall be subject to the requirements under paragraph (c)(1) of this section as follows:

(i) Starting January 1, 1995, an affected unit under § 72.6(a)(1);

(ii) Starting on or after January 1, 1995 in accordance with §§ 72.41 and 72.43, an affected unit under § 72.6(a) (2) or (3) that is a substitution or compensating unit;

(iii) Starting January 1, 2000, an affected unit under § 72.6(a)(2) that is not a substitution or compensating unit; or

(iv) Starting on the later of January 1, 2000 or the deadline for monitor certification under part 75 of this chapter, an affected unit under § 72.6(a)(3) that is not a substitution or compensating unit.

(4) Allowances shall be held in, deducted from, or transferred among Allowance Tracking System accounts in accordance with the Acid Rain Program.

(5) An allowance shall not be deducted, in order to comply with the requirements under paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section, prior to the calendar year for which the allowance was allocated.

(6) An allowance allocated by the Administrator under the Acid Rain Program is a limited authorization to emit sulfur dioxide in accordance with the Acid Rain Program. No provision of the Acid Rain Program, the Acid Rain permit application, the Acid Rain permit, or an exemption under §§ 72.7 or 72.8 and no provision of law shall be construed to limit the authority of the United States to terminate or limit such authorization.

(7) An allowance allocated by the Administrator under the Acid Rain Program does not constitute a property right.

(d) *Nitrogen Oxides Requirements.* The owners and operators of the source and each affected unit at the source shall comply with the applicable Acid Rain emissions limitation for nitrogen oxides.

(e) *Excess Emissions Requirements.* (1) The designated representative of an affected source that has excess emissions in any calendar year shall submit a proposed offset plan, as required under part 77 of this chapter.

(2) The owners and operators of an affected source that has excess emissions in any calendar year shall:

(i) Pay without demand the penalty required, and pay upon demand the interest on that penalty, as required by part 77 of this chapter; and

(ii) Comply with the terms of an approved offset plan, as required by part 77 of this chapter.

(f) *Recordkeeping and Reporting Requirements.* (1) Unless otherwise provided, the owners and operators of the source and each affected unit at the source shall keep on site at the source each of the following documents for a period of 5 years from the date the document is created. This period may be extended for cause, at any time prior to the end of 5 years, in writing by the Administrator or permitting authority.

(i) The certificate of representation for the designated representative for the source and each affected unit at the source and all documents that demonstrate the truth of the statements in the certificate of representation, in accordance with § 72.24; *provided* that the certificate and documents shall be retained on site at the source beyond such 5-year period until such documents are superseded because of the submission of a new certificate of representation changing the designated representative.

(ii) All emissions monitoring information, in accordance with part 75 of this chapter; *provided* that to the extent that part 75 provides for a 3-year period for recordkeeping, the 3-year period shall apply.

(iii) Copies of all reports, compliance certifications, and other submissions and all records made or required under the Acid Rain Program.

(iv) Copies of all documents used to complete an Acid Rain permit application and any other submission under the Acid Rain Program or to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of the Acid Rain Program.

(2) The designated representative of an affected source and each affected unit at the source shall submit the reports and compliance certifications required under the Acid Rain Program, including those under subpart I of this part and part 75 of this chapter.

(g) *Liability.* (1) Any person who knowingly violates any requirement or prohibition of the Acid Rain Program, a complete Acid Rain permit application, an Acid Rain permit, or an exemption under § 72.7 or § 72.8, including any requirement for the payment of any penalty owed to the United States,

shall be subject to enforcement pursuant to section 113(c) of the Act.

(2) Any person who knowingly makes a false, material statement in any record, submission, or report under the Acid Rain Program shall be subject to criminal enforcement pursuant to section 113(c) of the Act and 18 U.S.C. 1001.

(3) No permit revision shall excuse any violation of the requirements of the Acid Rain Program that occurs prior to the date that the revision takes effect.

(4) Each affected source and each affected unit shall meet the requirements of the Acid Rain Program.

(5) Any provision of the Acid Rain Program that applies to an affected source (including a provision applicable to the designated representative of an affected source) shall also apply to the owners and operators of such source and of the affected units at the source.

(6) Any provision of the Acid Rain Program that applies to an affected unit (including a provision applicable to the designated representative of an affected unit) shall also apply to the owners and operators of such unit.

(7) Each violation of a provision of this part, parts 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, and 78 of this chapter, by an affected source or affected unit, or by an owner or operator or designated representative of such source or unit, shall be a separate violation of the Act.

(h) *Effect on Other Authorities.* No provision of the Acid Rain Program, an Acid Rain permit application, an Acid Rain permit, or an exemption under § 72.7 or § 72.8 shall be construed as:

(1) Except as expressly provided in title IV of the Act, exempting or excluding the owners and operators and, to the extent applicable, the designated representative of an affected source or affected unit from compliance with any other provision of the Act, including the provisions of title I of the Act relating to applicable National Ambient Air Quality Standards or State Implementation Plans.

(2) Limiting the number of allowances a source can hold; *provided,* that the number of allowances held by the source shall not affect the source's obligation to comply with any other provisions of the Act.

(3) Requiring a change of any kind in any State law regulating electric utility rates and charges, affecting any State law regarding such State regulation, or limiting such State regulation, including any prudence review requirements under such State law.

(4) Modifying the Federal Power Act or affecting the authority of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission under the Federal Power Act.

(5) Interfering with or impairing any program for competitive bidding for power supply in a State in which such program is established.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 17113, Apr. 4, 1995; 62 FR 55478, Oct. 24, 1997; 66 FR 12978, Mar. 1, 2001; 70 FR 25334, May 12, 2005]

§ 72.10 Availability of information.

The availability to the public of information provided to, or otherwise obtained by, the Administrator under the Acid Rain Program shall be governed by part 2 of this chapter.

§ 72.11 Computation of time.

(a) Unless otherwise stated, any time period scheduled, under the Acid Rain Program, to begin on the occurrence of an act or event shall begin on the day the act or event occurs.

(b) Unless otherwise stated, any time period scheduled, under the Acid Rain Program, to begin before the occurrence of an act or event shall be computed so that the period ends on the day before the act or event occurs.

(c) Unless otherwise stated, if the final day of any time period, under the Acid Rain Program, falls on a weekend or a Federal holiday, the time period shall be extended to the next business day.

(d) Whenever a party or interested person has the right, or is required, to act under the Acid Rain Program within a prescribed time period after service of notice or other document upon him or her by mail, 3 days shall be added to the prescribed time.

§ 72.12 Administrative appeals.

The procedures for appeals of decisions of the Administrator under this part are contained in part 78 of this chapter.

§ 72.13 Incorporation by reference.

The materials listed in this section are incorporated by reference in the corresponding sections noted. These incorporations by reference were approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. These materials are incorporated as they existed on the date of approval, and a notice of any change in these materials will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The materials are available for purchase at the corresponding address noted below and are available for inspection at the Public Information Reference Unit of the U.S. EPA, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC and at the Library (MD–35), U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to: *http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html*.

(a) The following materials are available for purchase from the following address: American Society for Testing and Material (ASTM) International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, P.O. Box C700, West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania 19428–2959, phone: 610–832–9585, *http://www.astm.org/DIGITAL_LIBRARY/index.shtml*.

(1) ASTM D388–92, Standard Classification of Coals by Rank for § 72.2 of this chapter.

(2) ASTM D396–90a, Standard Specification for Fuel Oils, for § 72.2 of this chapter.

(3) ASTM D975–91, Standard Specification for Diesel Fuel Oils, for § 72.2 of this chapter.

(4) ASTM D2880–90a, Standard Specification for Gas Turbine Fuel Oils, for § 72.2 of this part.

(5) ASTM D 7036–04, Standard Practice for Competence of Air Emission Testing Bodies, for § 72.2.

(b) A copy of the following material is available from *http://www.epa.gov/ttn/emc/news.html* (*see* postings for Sections 1, 2, 3, 4, Appendices, Spreadsheets, and the ''Read before downloading Section 2'' revision posted August 27, 1999): EPA–600/R–97/121, EPA Traceability Protocol for Assay and Certification of Gaseous Calibration Standards, September 1997, as amended August 25, 1999, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, for § 72.2.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26526, May 17, 1995; 62 FR 55478, Oct. 24, 1997; 76 FR 17306, Mar. 28, 2011]

Subpart B—Designated Representative

§ 72.20 Authorization and responsibilities of the designated representative.

(a) Except as provided under § 72.22, each affected source, including all affected units at the source, shall have one and only one designated representative, with regard to all matters under the Acid Rain Program concerning the source or any affected unit at the source.

(b) Upon receipt by the Administrator of a complete certificate of representation, the designated representative of the source shall represent and, by his or her representations, actions, inactions, or submissions, legally bind each owner and operator of the affected source represented and each affected unit at the source in all matters pertaining to the Acid Rain Program, not withstanding any agreement between the designated representative and such owners and operators. The owners and operators shall be bound by any order issued to the designated representative by the Administrator, the permitting authority, or a court.

(c) The designated representative shall be selected and act in accordance with the certifications set forth in § 72.24(a) (4), (5), (7), and (9).

(d) No Acid Rain permit shall be issued to an affected source, nor shall any allowance transfer be recorded for an Allowance Tracking System account of an affected unit at a source, until the Administrator has received a complete certificate of representation for the designated representative of the source and the affected units at the source.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 71 FR 25378, Apr. 28, 2006]

§ 72.21 Submissions.

(a) Each submission under the Acid Rain Program shall be submitted, signed, and certified by the designated representative for all sources on behalf of which the submission is made.

(b) In each submission under the Acid Rain Program, the designated representative shall certify, by his or her signature:

(1) The following statement, which shall be included verbatim in such submission: ''I am authorized to make this submission on behalf of the owners and operators of the source or units for which the submission is made.''

(2) The following statement, which shall be included verbatim in such submission: ''I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined, and am familiar with, the statements and information submitted in this document and all its attachments. Based on my inquiry of those individuals with primary responsibility for obtaining the information, I certify that the statements and information are to the best of my knowledge and belief true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false statements and information or omitting required statements and information, including the possibility of fine or imprisonment.''

(c) The Administrator and the permitting authority shall accept or act on a submission made on behalf of owners or operators of an affected source and an affected unit only if the submission has been made, signed, and certified in accordance with paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section.

(d)(1) The designated representative of a source shall serve notice on each owner and operator of the source and of an affected unit at the source:

(i) By the date of submission, of any Acid Rain Program submissions by the designated representative and

(ii) Within 10 business days of receipt of a determination, of any written determination by the Administrator or the permitting authority,

(iii) Provided that the submission or determination covers the source or the unit.

(2) The designated representative of a source shall provide each owner and operator of an affected unit at the source a copy of any submission or determination under paragraph (d)(1) of this section, unless the owner or operator expressly waives the right to receive such a copy.

(e) The provisions of this section shall apply to a submission made under parts 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, and 78 of this chapter only if it is made or signed or required to be made or signed, in accordance with parts 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, and 78 of this chapter, by:

(1) The designated representative; or

(2) The authorized account representative or alternate authorized account representative of a compliance account.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 17113, Apr. 4, 1995; 70 FR 25334, May 12, 2005]

§ 72.22 Alternate designated representative.

(a) The certificate of representation may designate one and only one alternate designated representative, who may act on behalf of the designated representative. The agreement by which the alternate designated representative is selected shall include a procedure for the owners and operators of the source and affected units at the source to authorize the alternate designated representative to act in lieu of the designated representative.

(b) Upon receipt by the Administrator of a complete certificate of representation that meets the requirements of § 72.24 (including those applicable to the alternate designated representative), any representation, action, inaction, or submission by the alternate designated representative shall be deemed to be an action, representation, or failure to act by the designated representative.

(c) In the event of a conflict, any action taken by the designated representative shall take precedence over any action taken by the alternate designated representative if, in the Administrator's judgement, the actions are concurrent and conflicting.

(d) Except in this section, § 72.23, and § 72.24, whenever the term ''designated representative'' is used under the Acid Rain Program, the term shall be construed to include the alternate designated representative.

(e)(1) Notwithstanding paragraph (a) of this section, the certification of representation may designate two alternate designated representatives for a unit if:

(i) The unit and at least one other unit, which are located in two or more of the contiguous 48 States or the District of Columbia, each have a utility system that is a subsidiary of the same company; and

(ii) The designated representative for the units under paragraph (e)(1)(i) of this section submits a NO_X averaging plan under § 76.11 of this chapter that covers such units and is approved by the permitting authority, *provided* that the approved plan remains in effect.

(2) Except in this paragraph (e), whenever the term ''alternate designated representative'' is used under the Acid Rain Program, the term shall be construed to include either of the alternate designated representatives authorized under this paragraph (e). Except in this section, § 72.23, and § 72.24, whenever the term ''designated representative'' is used under the Acid Rain Program, the term shall be construed to include either of the alternate designated representatives authorized under this paragraph (e).

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55480, Oct. 24, 1997; 71 FR 25378, Apr. 28, 2006]

§ 72.23 Changing the designated representative, alternate designated representative; changes in the owners and operators.

(a) *Changing the designated representative.* The designated representative may be changed at any time upon receipt by the Administrator of a superseding complete certificate of representation. Notwithstanding any such change, all representations, actions, inactions, and submissions by the previous designated representative prior to the time and date when the Administrator receives the superseding certificate of representation shall be binding on the new designated representative and on the owners and operators of the source represented and the affected units at the source.

(b) *Changing the alternate designated representative.* The alternate designated representative may be changed at any time upon receipt by the Administrator of a superseding complete certificate of representation. Notwithstanding any such change, all representations, actions, inactions, and submissions by the previous alternate designated representative prior to the time and date when the Administrator receives the superseding certificate of representation shall be binding on the new alternate designated representative and on the owners and operators of the source represented and the affected units at the source.

(c) *Changes in the owners and operators.* (1) In the event an owner or operator of an affected source or an affected unit is not included in the list of owners and operators submitted in the certificate of representation, such owner or operator shall be deemed to be subject to and bound by the certificate of representation, the representations, actions, inactions, and submissions of the designated representative and any alternative designated representative of the source or unit, and the decisions, actions, and inactions of the Administrator and permitting authority, as if the owner or operator were included in such list.

(2) Within 30 days following any change in the owners and operators of an affected unit, including the addition of a new owner or operator, the designated representative or any alternative designated representative shall submit a revision to the certificate of representation amending the list of owners and operators to include the change.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 71 FR 25378, Apr. 28, 2006]

§ 72.24 Certificate of representation.

(a) A complete certificate of representation for a designated representative or an alternate designated representative shall include the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(1) Identification of the affected source and each affected unit at the source for which the certificate of representation is submitted, including identification and nameplate capacity of each generator served by each such unit.

(2) The name, address, and telephone and facsimile numbers of the designated representative and any alternate designated representative.

(3) A list of the owners and operators of the affected source and of each affected unit at the source.

(4) The following statement: ''I certify that I was selected as the 'designated representative' or 'alternate designated representative,' as applicable, by an agreement binding on the owners and operators of the affected source and each affected unit at the source.''

(5) [Reserved]

(6) The following statement: ''I certify that I have all necessary authority to carry out my duties and responsibilities under the Acid Rain Program on behalf of the owners and operators of the affected source and of each affected unit at the source and that each such owner and operator shall be fully bound by my representations, actions, inactions, or submissions.''

(7) [Reserved]

(8) The following statement: ''I certify that the owners and operators of the affected source and of each affected unit at the source shall be bound by any order issued to me by the Administrator, the permitting authority, or a court regarding the source or unit.''

(9) The following statement: ''Where there are multiple holders of a legal or equitable title to, or a leasehold interest in, an affected unit, or where a utility or industrial customer purchases power from an affected unit under a life-of-the-unit, firm power contractual arrangement, I certify that:

(i) ''I have given a written notice of my selection as the 'designated representative' or 'alternate designated representative', as applicable, and of the agreement by which I was selected to each owner and operator of the affected source and of each affected unit at the source; and

(ii) ''Allowances and proceeds of transactions involving allowances will be deemed to be held or distributed in proportion to each holder's legal, equitable, leasehold, or contractual reservation or entitlement, except that, if such multiple holders have expressly provided for a different distribution of allowances by contract, that allowances and the proceeds of transactions involving allowances will be deemed to be held or distributed in accordance with the contract.''

(10) [Reserved]

(11) The signature of the designated representative and any alternate designated representative who is authorized in the certificate of representation and the date signed.

(b) Unless otherwise required by the Administrator or the permitting authority, documents of agreement or notice referred to in the certificate of representation shall not be submitted to the Administrator or the permitting authority. Neither the Administrator nor the permitting authority shall be under any obligation to review or evaluate the sufficiency of such documents, if submitted.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55480, Oct. 24, 1997; 71 FR 25378, Apr. 28, 2006; 70 FR 25334, May 12, 2005; 72 FR 59205, Oct. 19, 2007]

§ 72.25 Objections.

(a) Once a complete certificate of representation has been submitted in accordance with § 72.24, the Administrator will rely on the certificate of representation unless and until a superseding complete certificate is received by the Administrator.

(b) Except as provided in § 72.23, no objection or other communication submitted to the Administrator or the permitting authority concerning the authorization, or any representation, action, inaction, or submission, of the designated representative shall affect any representation, action, inaction, or submission of the designated representative, or the finality of any decision by the Administrator or permitting authority, under the Acid Rain Program. In the event of such communication, the Administrator and the permitting authority are not required to stay any allowance transfer, any submission, or the effect of any action or inaction under the Acid Rain Program.

(c) Neither the Administrator nor any permitting authority will adjudicate any private legal dispute concerning the authorization or any submission, action, or inaction of any designated representative, including private legal disputes concerning the proceeds of allowance transfers.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55480, Oct. 24, 1997; 71 FR 25378, Apr. 28, 2006]

§ 72.26 Delegation by designated representative and alternate designated representative.

(a) A designated representative may delegate, to one or more natural persons, his or her authority to make an electronic submission (in a format prescribed by the Administrator) to the Administrator provided for or required under this part and parts 73 through 77 of this chapter.

(b) An alternate designated representative may delegate, to one or more natural persons, his or her authority to make an electronic submission (in a format prescribed by the Administrator) to the Administrator provided for or required under this part and parts 73 through 77 of this chapter.

(c) In order to delegate authority to make an electronic submission to the Administrator in accordance with paragraph (a) or (b) of this section, the designated representative or alternate designated representative, as appropriate, must submit to the Administrator a notice of delegation, in a format prescribed by the Administrator, that includes the following elements:

(1) The name, address, e-mail address, telephone number, and facsimile transmission number (if any) of such designated representative or alternate designated representative;

(2) The name, address, e-mail address, telephone number, and facsimile transmission number (if any) of each such natural person (referred to as an ''agent'');

(3) For each such natural person, a list of the type or types of electronic submissions under paragraph (a) or (b) of this section for which authority is delegated to him or her; and

(4) The following certification statements by such designated representative or alternate designated representative, as appropriate:

(i) ''I agree that any electronic submission to the Administrator that is by an agent identified in this notice of delegation and of a type listed for such agent in this notice of delegation and that is made when I am a designated representative or alternate designated representative, as appropriate, and before this notice of delegation is superseded by another notice of delegation under 40 CFR 72.26(d) shall be deemed to be an electronic submission by me.''

(ii) ''Until this notice of delegation is superseded by another notice of delegation under 40 CFR 72.26(d), I agree to maintain an e-mail account and to notify the Administrator immediately of any change in my e-mail address unless all delegation of authority by me under 40 CFR 72.26 is terminated.''

(d) A notice of delegation submitted under paragraph (c) of this section shall be effective, with regard to the designated representative or alternate designated representative identified in such notice, upon receipt of such notice by the Administrator and until receipt by the Administrator of a superseding notice of delegation submitted by such designated representative or alternate designated representative, as appropriate. The superseding notice of delegation may replace any previously identified agent, add a new agent, or eliminate entirely any delegation of authority.

(e) Any electronic submission covered by the certification in paragraph (c)(4)(i) of this section and made in accordance with a notice of delegation effective under paragraph (d) of this section shall be deemed to be an electronic submission by the designated representative or alternate designated representative submitting such notice of delegation.

[71 FR 25378, Apr. 28, 2006]

Subpart C—Acid Rain Permit Applications

§ 72.30 Requirement to apply.

(a) *Duty to apply*. The designated representative of any source with an affected unit shall submit a complete Acid Rain permit application by the

applicable deadline in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section, and the owners and operators of such source and any affected unit at the source shall not operate the source or unit without a permit that states its Acid Rain program requirements.

(b) *Deadlines*—(1) *Phase 1.* (i) The designated representative shall submit a complete Acid Rain permit application governing an affected unit during Phase I to the Administrator on or before February 15, 1993 for:

(A) Any source with such a unit under § 72.6(a)(1); and

(B) Any source with such a unit under § 72.6(a) (2) or (3) that is designated a substitution or compensating unit in a substitution plan or reduced utilization plan submitted to the Administrator for approval or conditional approval.

(ii) Notwithstanding paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section, if a unit at a source not previously permitted is designated a substitution or compensating unit in a submission requesting revision of an existing Acid Rain permit, the designated representative of the unit shall submit a complete Acid Rain permit application on the date that the submission requesting the revision is made.

(2) *Phase II.* (i) For any source with an existing unit under § 72.6(a)(2), the designated representative shall submit a complete Acid Rain permit application governing such unit during Phase II to the permitting authority on or before January 1, 1996.

(ii) For any source with a new unit under § 72.6(a)(3)(i), the designated representative shall submit a complete Acid Rain permit application governing such unit to the permitting authority at least 24 months before the later of January 1, 2000 or the date on which the unit commences operation.

(iii) For any source with a unit under § 72.6(a)(3)(ii), the designated representative shall submit a complete Acid Rain permit application governing such unit to the permitting authority at least 24 months before the later of January 1, 2000 or the date on which the unit begins to serve a generator with a nameplate capacity greater than 25 MWe.

(iv) For any source with a unit under § 72.6(a)(3)(iii), the designated representative shall submit a complete Acid Rain permit application governing such unit to the permitting authority at least 24 months before the later of January 1, 2000 or the date on which the auxiliary firing commences operation.

(v) For any source with a unit under § 72.6(a)(3)(iv), the designated representative shall submit a complete Acid Rain permit application governing such unit to the permitting authority before the later of January 1, 1998 or March 1 of the year following the three calendar year period in which the unit sold to a utility power distribution system an annual average of more than one-third of its potential electrical output capacity and more than 219,000 MWe-hrs actual electric output (on a gross basis).

(vi) For any source with a unit under § 72.6(a)(3)(v), the designated representative shall submit a complete Acid Rain permit application governing such unit to the permitting authority before the later of January 1, 1998 or March 1 of the year following the calendar year in which the facility fails to meet the definition of qualifying facility.

(vii) For any source with a unit under § 72.6(a)(3)(vi), the designated representative shall submit a complete Acid Rain permit application governing such unit to the permitting authority before the later of January 1, 1998 or March 1 of the year following the calendar year in which the facility fails to meet the definition of an independent power production facility.

(viii) For any source with a unit under § 72.6(a)(3)(vii), the designated representative shall submit a complete Acid Rain permit application governing such unit to the permitting authority before the later of January 1, 1998 or March 1 of the year following the three calendar year period in which the incinerator consumed 20 percent or more fossil fuel (on a Btu basis).

(c) *Duty to reapply.* The designated representative shall submit a complete Acid Rain permit application for each source with an affected unit at least 6 months prior to the expiration of an existing Acid Rain permit governing

the unit during Phase II or an opt-in permit governing an opt-in source or such longer time as may be approved under part 70 of this chapter that ensures that the term of the existing permit will not expire before the effective date of the permit for which the application is submitted.

(d) The original and three copies of all permit applications for Phase I and where the Administrator is the permitting authority, for Phase II, shall be submitted to the EPA Regional Office for the Region where the affected source is located. The original and three copies of all permit applications for Phase II, where the Administrator is not the permitting authority, shall be submitted to the State permitting authority for the State where the affected source is located.

(e) Where two or more affected units are located at a source, the permitting authority may, in its sole discretion, allow the designated representative of the source to submit, under paragraph (a) or (c) of this section, two or more Acid Rain permit applications covering the units at the source, *provided* that each affected unit is covered by one and only one such application.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 58 FR 15649, Mar. 23, 1993; 60 FR 17113, Apr. 4, 1995; 62 FR 55480, Oct. 24, 1997]

§ 72.31 Information requirements for Acid Rain permit applications.

A complete Acid Rain permit application shall include the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(a) Identification of the affected source for which the permit application is submitted;

(b) Identification of each Phase I unit at the source for which the permit application is submitted for Phase I or each affected unit (except for an opt-in source) at the source for which the permit application is submitted for Phase II;

(c) A complete compliance plan for each unit, in accordance with subpart D of this part;

(d) The standard requirements under § 72.9; and

(e) If the Acid Rain permit application is for Phase II and the unit is a new unit, the date that the unit has commenced or will commence operation and the deadline for monitor certification.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55480, Oct. 24, 1997]

§ 72.32 Permit application shield and binding effect of permit application.

(a) Once a designated representative submits a timely and complete Acid Rain permit application, the owners and operators of the affected source and the affected units covered by the permit application shall be deemed in compliance with the requirement to have an Acid Rain permit under § 72.9(a)(2) and § 72.30(a); *provided* that any delay in issuing an Acid Rain permit is not caused by the failure of the designated representative to submit in a complete and timely fashion supplemental information, as required by the permitting authority, necessary to issue a permit.

(b) Prior to the date on which an Acid Rain permit is issued or denied, an affected unit governed by and operated in accordance with the terms and requirements of a timely and complete Acid Rain permit application shall be deemed to be operating in compliance with the Acid Rain Program.

(c) A complete Acid Rain permit application shall be binding on the owners and operators and the designated representative of the affected source and the affected units covered by the permit application and shall be enforceable as an Acid Rain permit from the date of submission of the permit application until the issuance or denial of an Acid Rain permit covering the units.

(d) If agency action concerning a permit is appealed under part 78 of this chapter, issuance or denial of the permit shall occur when the Administrator takes final agency action subject to judicial review.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55480, Oct. 24, 1997]

§ 72.33 Identification of dispatch system.

(a) Every Phase I unit shall be treated as part of a dispatch system for purposes of §§ 72.91 and 72.92 in accordance with this section.

(b)(1) The designated representatives of all affected units in a group of all units and generators that are interconnected and centrally dispatched and that are included in the same utility system, holding company, or power pool, may jointly submit to the Administrator a complete identification of dispatch system.

(2) Except as provided in paragraph (f) of this section, each unit or generator may be included in only one dispatch system.

(3) Any identification of dispatch system must be submitted by January 30 of the first year for which the identification is to be in effect. A designated representative may request, and the Administrator may grant at his or her discretion, an exemption allowing the submission of an identification of dispatch system after the otherwise applicable deadline for such submission.

(c) A complete identification of dispatch system shall include the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(1) The name of the dispatch system.

(2) The list of all units and generators (including sulfur-free generators) in the dispatch system.

(3) The first calendar year for which the identification is to be in effect.

(4) The following statement: ''I certify that, except as otherwise required under a petition as approved under 40 CFR 72.33(f), the units and generators listed herein are and will continue to be interconnected and centrally dispatched, and will be treated as a dispatch system under 40 CFR 72.91 and 72.92, during the period that this identification of dispatch system is in effect. During such period, all information concerning these units and generators and contained in any submissions under 40 CFR 72.91 and 72.92 by me and the other designated representatives of these units shall be consistent and shall conform with the data in the dispatch system data reports under 40 CFR 72.92(b). I am aware of, and will comply with, the requirements imposed under 40 CFR 72.33(e)(2).''

(5) The signatures of the designated representative for each affected unit in the dispatch system.

(d) In order to change a unit's current dispatch system, complete identifications of dispatch system shall be submitted for the unit's current dispatch system and the unit's new dispatch system, reflecting the change.

(e)(1) Any unit or generator not listed in a complete identification of dispatch system that is in effect shall treat its utility system as its dispatch system and, if such unit or generator is listed in the NADB, shall treat the utility system reported under the data field ''UTILNAME'' of the NADB as its utility system.

(2) During the period that the identification of dispatch system is in effect all information that concerns the units and generators in a given dispatch system and that is contained in any submissions under §§ 72.91 and 72.92 by designated representative of these units shall be consistent and shall conform with the data in the dispatch system data reports under § 72.92(b). If this requirement is not met, the Administrator may reject all such submissions and require the designated representatives to make the submissions under §§ 72.91 and 72.92 (including the dispatch system data report) treating the utility system of each unit or generator as its respective dispatch system and treating the identification of dispatch system as no longer in effect.

(f)(1) Notwithstanding paragraph (e)(1) of this section or any submission of an identification of dispatch system under paragraphs (b) or (d) of this section, the designated representative of a Phase I unit with two or more owners may petition the Administrator to treat, as the dispatch system for an owner's portion of the unit, the dispatch system of another unit.

(i) The owner's portion of the unit shall be based on one of the following apportionment methods:

(A) *Owner's share of the unit's capacity in 1985–1987.* Under this method, the baseline of the owner's portion of the unit shall equal the baseline of the unit multiplied by the average of the owner's percentage ownership of the capacity of the unit for each year during 1985–1987. The actual utilization of the owner's portion of the unit for a year in Phase I shall equal the actual utilization of the unit for the year that is attributed to the owner.

(B) *Owner's share of the unit's baseline.* Under this method, the baseline of the owner's portion of the unit shall equal the average of the unit's annual utilization in 1985–1987 that is attributed to the owner. The actual utilization of the owner's portion of the unit for a year in Phase I shall equal the actual utilization of the unit for the year that is attributed to the owner.

(ii) The annual or actual utilization of a unit shall be attributed, under paragraph (f)(1)(i) of this section, to an owner of the unit using accounting procedures consistent with those used to determine the owner's share of the fuel costs in the operation of the unit during the period for which the annual or actual utilization is being attributed.

(iii) Upon submission of the petition, the designated representative may not change the election of the apportionment method or the baseline of the owner's portion of the unit.

The same apportionment method must be used for all portions of the unit for all years in Phase I for which any petition under paragraph (f)(1) of this section is approved and in effect.

(2) The petition under paragraph (f)(1) of this section shall be submitted by January 30 of the first year for which the dispatch system proposed in the petition will take effect, if approved. A complete petition shall include the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(i) The election of the apportionment method under paragraph (f)(1)(i) of this section.

(ii) The baseline of the owner's portion of the unit and the baseline of any other owner's portion of the unit for which a petition under paragraph (f)(1) of this section has been approved or has been submitted (and not disapproved) and a demonstration that the sum of such baselines and the baseline of any remaining portion of the unit equals 100 percent of the baseline of the unit. The designated representative shall also submit, upon request, either:

(A) Where the unit is to be apportioned under paragraph (f)(1)(i)(A) of this section, documentation of the average of the owner's percentage ownership of the capacity of the unit for each year during 1985–1987; or

(B) Where the unit is to be apportioned under paragraph (f)(1)(i)(B) of this section, documentation showing the attribution of the unit's utilization in 1985, 1986, and 1987 among the portions of the unit and the calculation of the annual average utilization for 1985–1987 for the portions of the unit.

(iii) The name of the proposed dispatch system and a list of all units (including portions of units) and generators in that proposed dispatch system and, upon request, documentation demonstrating that the owner's portion of the unit, along with the other units in the proposed dispatch system, are a group of all units and generators that are interconnected and centrally dispatched by a single utility company, the service company of a single holding company, or a single power pool.

(iv) The following statement, signed by the designated representatives of all units in the proposed dispatch system: ''I certify that the units and generators in the dispatch system proposed in this petition are and will continue to be interconnected and centrally dispatched, and will be treated as a dispatch system under 40 CFR 72.91 and 72.92, during the period that this petition, as approved, is in effect.''

(v) The following statement, signed by the designated representatives of all units in all dispatch systems that will include any portion of the unit if the petition is approved: ''During the period that this petition, if approved, is in effect, all information that concerns the units and generators in any dispatch system including any portion of the unit apportioned under the petition and that is contained in any submissions under 40 CFR 72.91 and 72.92 by me and the other designated representatives of these units shall be consistent and shall conform to the data in the dispatch system data reports under 40 CFR 72.92(b). I am aware of, and will comply with, the requirements imposed under 40 CFR 72.33(f) (4) and (5).''

(3)(i) The Administrator will approve in whole, in part, or with changes or conditions, or deny the petition under paragraph (f)(1) of this section within 90 days of receipt of the petition. The Administrator will treat the petition, as changed or conditioned upon approval, as amending any identification

of dispatch system that is submitted prior to the approval and includes any portion of the unit for which the petition is approved. Where any portion of a unit is not covered by an approved petition, that remaining portion of the unit shall continue to be part of the unit's dispatch system.

(ii) In approving the petition, the Administrator will determine, on a case-by-case basis, the proper calculation and treatment, for purposes of the reports required under §§ 72.91 and 72.92, of plan reductions and compensating generation provided to other units.

(4) The designated representative for the unit for which a petition is approved under paragraph (f)(3) of this section and the designated representatives of all other units included in all dispatch systems that include any portion of the unit shall submit all annual compliance certification reports, dispatch system data reports, and other reports required under §§ 72.91 and 72.92 treating, as a separate Phase I unit, each portion of the unit for which a petition is approved under paragraph (f)(3) of this section and the remaining portion of the unit. The reports shall include all required calculations and demonstrations, treating each such portion of the unit as a separate Phase I unit. Upon request, the designated representatives shall demonstrate that the data in all the reports under §§ 72.91 and 72.92 has been properly attributed or apportioned among the portions of the unit and the dispatch systems and that there is no undercounting or double-counting with regard to such data.

(i) The baseline of each portion of the unit for which a petition is approved shall be determined under paragraphs (f)(1) (i) and (ii) of this section. The baseline of the remaining portion of such unit shall equal the baseline of the unit less the sum of the baselines of any portions of the unit for which a petition is approved.

(ii) The actual utilization of each portion of the unit for which a petition is approved shall be determined under paragraphs (f)(l) (i) and (ii) of this section. The actual utilization of the remaining portion of such unit shall equal the actual utilization of the unit less the sum of the actual utilizations of any portions of the unit for which a petition is approved. Upon request, the designated representative of the unit shall demonstrate in the annual compliance certification report that the requirements concerning calculation of actual utilization under paragraph (f)(1)(ii) and any requirements established under paragraph (f)(3) of this section are met.

(iii) Except as provided in paragraph (f)(5) of this section, the designated representative shall surrender for deduction the number of allowances calculated using the formula in § 72.92(c) and treating, as a separate Phase I unit, each portion of unit for which a petition is approved under paragraph (f)(3) of this section and the remaining portion of the unit.

(5) In the event that the designated representatives fail to make all the proper attributions, apportionments, calculations, and demonstrations under paragraph (f)(4) of this section and §§ 72.91 and 72.92, the Administrator may require that:

(i) All portions of the unit be treated as part of the dispatch system of the unit in accordance with paragraph (e)(1) of this paragraph and any identification of dispatch system submitted under paragraph (b) or (d) of this section;

(ii) The designated representatives make all submissions under §§ 72.91 and 72.92 (including the dispatch system data report), treating the entire unit as a single Phase I unit, in accordance with paragraph (e)(1) of this paragraph and any identification of dispatch system submitted under paragraph (b) or (d) of this section; and

(iii) The designated representative surrender for deduction the number of allowances calculated, consistent with the reports under paragraph (f)(5)(ii) of this section and §§ 72.91 and 72.92, using the formula in § 72.92(c) and treating the entire unit as a single Phase I unit.

(6) The designated representative may submit a notification to terminate an approved petition by January 30 of the first year for which the termination is to take effect. The notification must be signed and certified by the designated representatives of all units included in all dispatch systems

that include any portion of the unit apportioned under the petition. Upon receipt of the notification meeting the requirements of the prior two sentences by the Administrator, the approved petition is no longer in effect for that year and the remaining years in Phase I and the designated representatives shall make all submissions under §§ 72.91 and 72.92 treating the petition as no longer in effect for all such years.

(7) Except as expressly provided in paragraphs (f)(1) through (6) of this section or the Administrator's approval of the petition, all provisions of the Acid Rain Program applicable to an affected source or an affected unit shall apply to the entire unit regardless of whether a petition has been submitted or approved, or reports have been submitted, under such paragraphs. Approval of a petition under such paragraphs shall not constitute a determination of the percentage ownership in a unit under any other provision of the Acid Rain Program and shall not change the liability of the owners and operators of an affected unit that has excess emissions under § 72.9(e).

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 18468, Apr. 11, 1995; 62 FR 55481, Oct. 24, 1997]

Subpart D—Acid Rain Compliance Plan and Compliance Options

§ 72.40 General.

(a) For each affected unit included in an Acid Rain permit application, a complete compliance plan shall:

(1) For sulfur dioxide emissions, certify that, as of the allowance transfer deadline, the designated representative will hold allowances in the compliance account of the source where the unit is located (after deductions under § 73.34(c) of this chapter) not less than the total annual emissions of sulfur dioxide from the affected units at the source. The compliance plan may also specify, in accordance with this subpart, one or more of the Acid Rain compliance options.

(2) For nitrogen oxides emissions, certify that the unit will comply with the applicable emission limitation under § 76.5, § 76.6, or § 76.7 of this chapter or shall specify one or more Acid Rain compliance options, in accordance with part 76 of this chapter.

(b) *Multi-unit compliance options.* (1) A plan for a compliance option, under § 72.41, § 72.42, § 72.43, or § 72.44 of this part, under § 74.47 of this chapter, or a NO_X averaging plan under § 76.11 of this chapter, that includes units at more than one affected source shall be complete only if:

(i) Such plan is signed and certified by the designated representative for each source with an affected unit governed by such plan; and

(ii) A complete permit application is submitted covering each unit governed by such plan.

(2) A permitting authority's approval of a plan under paragraph (b)(1) of this section that includes units in more than one State shall be final only after every permitting authority with jurisdiction over any such unit has approved the plan with the same modifications or conditions, if any.

(c) *Conditional Approval.* In the compliance plan, the designated representative of an affected unit may propose, in accordance with this subpart, any Acid Rain compliance option for conditional approval, except a Phase I extension plan; *provided* that an Acid Rain compliance option under section 407 of the Act may be conditionally proposed only to the extent provided in part 76 of this chapter.

(1) To activate a conditionally-approved Acid Rain compliance option, the designated representative shall notify the permitting authority in writing that the conditionally-approved compliance option will actually be pursued beginning January 1 of a specified year. If the conditionally approved compliance option includes a plan described in paragraph (b)(1) of this section, the designated representative of each source governed by the plan shall sign and certify the notification. Such notification shall be subject to the limitations on activation under subpart D of this part and part 76 of this chapter.

(2) The notification under paragraph (c)(1) of this section shall specify the first calendar year and the last calendar year for which the conditionally approved Acid Rain compliance option

is to be activated. A conditionally approved compliance option shall be activated, if at all, before the date of any enforceable milestone applicable to the compliance option. The date of activation of the compliance option shall not be a defense against failure to meet the requirements applicable to that compliance option during each calendar year for which the compliance option is activated.

(3) Upon submission of a notification meeting the requirements of paragraphs (c) (1) and (2) of this section, the conditionally-approved Acid Rain compliance option becomes binding on the owners and operators and the designated representative of any unit governed by the conditionally-approved compliance option.

(4) A notification meeting the requirements of paragraphs (c) (1) and (2) of this section will revise the unit's permit in accordance with § 72.83 (administrative permit amendment).

(d) *Termination of compliance option.* (1) The designated representative for a unit may terminate an Acid Rain compliance option by notifying the permitting authority in writing that an approved compliance option will be terminated beginning January 1 of a specified year. If the compliance option includes a plan described in paragraph (b)(1) of this section, the designated representative for each source governed by the plan shall sign and certify the notification. Such notification shall be subject to the limitations on termination under subpart D of this part and part 76 of this chapter.

(2) The notification under paragraph (d)(1) of this section shall specify the calendar year for which the termination will take effect.

(3) Upon submission of a notification meeting the requirements of paragraphs (d) (1) and (2) of this section, the termination becomes binding on the owners and operators and the designated representative of any unit governed by the Acid Rain compliance option to be terminated.

(4) A notification meeting the requirements of paragraphs (d) (1) and (2) of this section will revise the unit's permit in accordance with § 72.83 (administrative permit amendment).

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 17113, Apr. 4, 1995; 62 FR 55481, Oct. 24, 1997; 64 FR 25842, May 13, 1999; 70 FR 25334, May 12, 2005]

§ 72.41 Phase I substitution plans.

(a) *Applicability.* This section shall apply during Phase I to the designated representative of:

(1) Any unit listed in table 1 of § 73.10(a) of this chapter; and

(2) Any other existing utility unit that is an affected unit under this part, provided that this section shall not apply to a unit under section 410 of the Act.

(b)(1) The designated representative may include, in the Acid Rain permit application for a unit under paragraph (a)(1) of this section, a substitution plan under which one or more units under paragraph (a)(2) of this section are designated as substitution units, provided that:

(i) Each unit under paragraph (a)(2) of this section is under the control of the owner or operator of each unit under paragraph (a)(1) of this section that designates the unit under paragraph (a)(2) of this section as a substitution unit; and

(ii) In accordance with paragraph (c)(3) of this section, the emissions reductions achieved under the plan shall be the same or greater than would have been achieved by all units governed by the plan without such plan.

(2) The designated representative of each source with a unit designated as a substitution unit in any plan submitted under paragraph (b)(1) of this section shall incorporate in the permit application each such plan.

(3) The designated representative may submit a substitution plan not later than 6 months (or 90 days if submitted in accordance with § 72.82), or a notification to activate a conditionally approved plan in accordance with § 72.40(c) not later than 60 days, before the allowance transfer deadline applicable to the first year for which the plan is to take effect.

(c) *Contents of a substitution plan.* A complete substitution plan shall include the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(1) Identification of each unit under paragraph (a)(1) of this section and each substitution unit to be governed by the substitution plan. A unit shall not be a substitution unit in more than one substitution plan.

(2) Except where the designated representative requests conditional approval of the plan, the first calendar year and, if known, the last calendar year in which the substitution plan is to be in effect. Unless the designated representative specifies an earlier calendar year, the last calendar year will be deemed to be 1999.

(3) Demonstration that the total emissions reductions achieved under the substitution plan will be equal to or greater than the total emissions reductions that would have been achieved without the plan, as follows:

(i) For each substitution unit:

(A) The unit's baseline.

(B) Each of the following: the unit's 1985 actual SO_2 emissions rate; the unit's 1985 allowable SO_2 emissions rate; the unit's 1989 actual SO_2 emissions rate; the unit's 1990 actual SO_2 emissions rate; and, as of November 15, 1990, the most stringent unit-specific federally enforceable or State enforceable SO_2 emissions limitation covering the unit for 1995–1999. For purposes of determining the most stringent emissions limitation, applicable emissions limitations shall be converted to lbs/mmBtu in accordance with appendix B of this part. Where the most stringent emissions limitation is not the same for every year in 1995–1999, the most stringent emissions limitation shall be stated separately for each year.

(C) The lesser of: the unit's 1985 actual SO_2 emissions rate; the unit's 1985 allowable SO_2 emissions rate; the greater of the unit's 1989 or 1990 actual SO_2 emissions rate; or, as of November 15, 1990, the most stringent unit-specific federally enforceable or State enforceable SO_2 emissions limitation covering the unit for 1995–99. Where the most stringent emissions limitation is not the same for every year during 1995–1999, the lesser of the emissions rates shall be determined separately for each year using the most stringent emissions limitation for that year.

(D) The product of the baseline in paragraph (c)(3)(i)(A) of this section and the emissions rate in paragraph (c)(3)(i)(C) of this section, divided by 2000 lbs/ton. Where the most stringent emissions limitation is not the same for every year during 1995–1999, the product in the prior sentence shall be calculated separately for each year using the emissions rate determined for that year in paragraph (c)(3)(i)(C) of this section.

(ii)(A) The sum of the amounts in paragraph (c)(3)(i)(D) of this section for all substitution units to be governed by the plan. Except as provided in paragraph (c)(3)(ii)(B) of this section, this sum is the total number of allowances available each year under the substitution plan.

(B) Where the most stringent unit-specific federally enforceable or State enforceable SO_2 emissions limitation is not the same for every year during 1995–1999, the sum in paragraph (c)(3)(ii)(A) of this section shall be calculated separately for each year using the amounts calculated for that year in paragraph (c)(3)(i)(D) of this section. Each separate sum is the total number of allowances available for the respective year under the substitution plan.

(iii) Where, as of November 15, 1990, a non-unit-specific federally enforceable or State enforceable SO_2 emissions limitation covers the unit for any year during 1995–1999, the designated representative shall state each such limitation and propose a method for applying the unit-specific and non-unit-specific emissions limitations under paragraph (d) of this section.

(4) Distribution of substitution allowances. (i) A statement that the allowances in paragraph (c)(3)(ii) of this section are not to be distributed to any units under paragraph (a)(1) of this section that are to be governed by the plan; or

(ii) A list showing any annual distribution of the allowances in paragraph (c)(3)(ii) of this section from a substitution unit to a unit under paragraph (a)(1) of this section that, under the plan, designates the substitution unit.

(5) A demonstration that the substitution plan meets the requirement that each unit under paragraph (a)(2) of this section is under the control of the owner or operator of each unit under

paragraph (a)(1) of this section that designates the unit under paragraph (a)(2) of this section as a substitution unit. The demonstration shall be one of the following:

(i) If the unit under paragraph (a)(1) of this section has one or more owners or operators that have an aggregate percentage ownership interest of 50 percent or more in the capacity of the unit under paragraph (a)(2) of this section or the units have a common operator, a statement identifying such owners or operators and their aggregate percentage ownership interest in the capacity of the unit under paragraph (a)(2) of this section or identifying the units' common operator. The designated representative shall submit supporting documentation upon request by the Administrator.

(ii) If the unit under paragraph (a)(1) of this section has one or more owners or operators that have an aggregate percentage ownership interest of at least 10 percent and less than 50 percent in the capacity of the unit under paragraph (a)(2) of this section and the units do not have a common operator, a statement identifying such owners or operators and their aggregate percentage ownership interest in the capacity of the unit under paragraph (a)(2) of this section and stating that each such owner or operator has the contractual right to direct the dispatch of the electricity that, because of its ownership interest, it has the right to receive from the unit under paragraph (a)(2) of this section. The fact that the electricity that such owner or operator has the right to receive is centrally dispatched through a power pool will not be the basis for determining that the owner or operator does not have the contractual right to direct the dispatch of such electricity. The designated representative shall submit supporting documentation upon request by the Administrator.

(iii) A copy of an agreement that is binding on the owners and operators of the unit under paragraph (a)(2) of this section and the owners and operators of the unit under paragraph (a)(1) of this section, provides each of the following elements, and is supported by documentation meeting the requirements of paragraph (c)(6) of this section:

(A) The owners and operators of the unit under paragraph (a)(2) of this section must not allow the unit to emit sulfur dioxide in excess of a maximum annual average SO_2 emissions rate (in lbs/mmBtu), specified in the agreement, for each year during the period that the substitution plan is in effect.

(B) The maximum annual average SO_2 emissions rate for the unit under paragraph (a)(2) of this section shall not exceed 70 percent of the lesser of: the unit's 1985 actual SO_2 emissions rate; the unit's 1985 allowable SO_2 emissions rate; the greater of the unit's 1989 or 1990 actual SO_2 emissions rate; the most stringent federally enforceable or State enforceable SO_2 emissions limitation, as of November 15, 1990, applicable to the unit in Phase I; or the lesser of the average actual SO_2 emissions rate or the most stringent federally enforceable or State enforceable SO_2 emissions limitation for the unit for four consecutive quarters that immediately precede the 30-day period ending on the date the substitution plan is submitted to the Administrator. If the unit is covered by a non-unit-specific federally enforceable or State enforceable SO_2 emissions limitation in the four consecutive quarters or, as of November 15, 1990, in Phase I, the Administrator will determine, on a case-by-case basis, how to apply the non-unit-specific emissions limitation for purposes of determining whether the maximum annual average SO_2 emissions rate meets the requirement of the prior sentence. If a non-unit-specific federally enforceable SO_2 emissions limitation is not different from a non-unit-specific federally enforceable SO_2 emissions limitation that was effective and applicable to the unit in 1985, the Administrator will apply the non-unit-specific SO_2 emissions limitation by using the 1985 allowable SO_2 emissions rate.

(C) For each year that the actual SO_2 emissions rate of the unit under paragraph (a)(2) of this section exceeds the maximum annual average SO_2 emissions rate, the designated representative of the unit under paragraph (a)(1) of this section must surrender allowances for deduction from the Allowance

Tracking System account of the unit under paragraph (a)(1) of this section. The designated representative shall surrender allowances authorizing emissions equal to the baseline of the unit under paragraph (a)(2) of this section multiplied by the difference between the actual SO_2 emissions rate of the unit under paragraph (a)(2) of this section and the maximum annual average SO_2 emissions rate and divided by 2000 lbs/ton. The surrender shall be made by the allowance transfer deadline of the year of the exceedance, and the surrendered allowances shall have the same or an earlier compliance use date as the allowances allocated to the unit under paragraph (a)(2) of this section for that year. The designated representative may identify the serial numbers of the allowances to be deducted. In the absence of such identification, allowances will be deducted on a first-in, first-out basis under § 73.35(c)(2) of this chapter.

(D) The unit under paragraph (a)(2) of this section and the unit under paragraph (a)(1) of this section shall designate a common designated representative during the period that the substitution plan is in effect. Having a common alternate designated representative shall not satisfy the requirement in the prior sentence.

(E) Except as provided in paragraph (c)(6)(i) of this section, the actual SO_2 emissions rate for any year and the average actual SO_2 emissions rate for any period shall be determined in accordance with part 75 of this chapter.

(6) A demonstration under paragraph (c)(5)(iii) of this section shall include the following supporting documentation:

(i) The calculation of the average actual SO_2 emissions rate and the most stringent federally enforceable or State enforceable SO_2 emissions limitation for the unit for the four consecutive quarters that immediately preceded the 30-day period ending on the date the substitution plan is submitted to the Administrator. To the extent that the four consecutive quarters include a quarter prior to January 1, 1995, the SO_2 emissions rate for the quarter shall be determined applying the methodology for calculating SO_2 emissions set forth in appendix C of this part. This methodology shall be applied using data submitted for the quarter to the Secretary of Energy on United States Department of Energy Form 767 or, if such data has not been submitted for the quarter, using the data prepared for such submission for the quarter.

(ii) A description of the actions that will be taken in order for the unit under paragraph (a)(2) of this section to comply with the maximum annual average SO_2 emissions rate under paragraph (c)(5)(iii) of this section.

(iii) A description of any contract for implementing the actions described in paragraph (c)(6)(ii) of this section that was executed before the date on which the agreement under paragraph (c)(5)(iii) of this section is executed. The designated representative shall state the execution date of each such contract and state whether the contract is expressly contingent on the agreement under paragraph (c)(5)(iii) of this section.

(iv) A showing that the actions described under paragraph (c)(6)(ii) of this section will not be implemented during Phase I unless the unit is approved as a substitution unit.

(7) The special provisions in paragraph (e) of this section.

(d) *Administrator's action.* (1) If the Administrator approves a substitution plan, he or she will allocate allowances to the Allowance Tracking System accounts of the units under paragraph (a)(1) of this section and substitution units, as provided in the approved plan, upon issuance of an Acid Rain permit containing the plan, except that if the substitution plan is conditionally approved, the allowances will be allocated upon revision of the permit to activate the plan.

(2) In no event shall allowances be allocated to a substitution unit, under an approved substitution plan, for any year in excess of the sum calculated and applicable to that year under paragraph (c)(3)(ii) of this section, as adjusted by the Administrator in approving the plan.

(3) Where, as of November 15, 1990, a non-unit-specific federally enforceable or State enforceable SO_2 emissions limitation covers the unit for any year during 1995–1999, the Administrator

will specify on a case-by-case basis a method for using unit-specific and non-unit-specific emissions limitations in allocating allowances to the substitution unit. The specified method will not treat a non-unit-specific emissions limitation as a unit-specific emissions limitation and will not result in substitution units retaining allowances allocated under paragraph (d)(1) of this section for emissions reductions necessary to meet a non-unit- specific emissions limitation. Such method may require an end-of-year review and the adjustment of the allowances allocated to the substitution unit and may require the designated representative of the substitution unit to surrender allowances by the allowance transfer deadline of the year that is subject to the review. Any surrendered allowances shall have the same or an earlier compliance use date as the allowances originally allocated for the year, and the designated representative may identify the serial numbers of the allowances to be deducted. In the absence of such identification, such allowances will be deducted on a first-in, first-out basis under § 73.35(c)(2) of this chapter.

(e) *Special provisions*—(1) *Emissions Limitations*. (i) Each substitution unit governed by an approved substitution plan shall become a Phase I unit from January 1 of the year for which the plan takes effect until January 1 of the year for which the plan is no longer in effect or is terminated. The designated representative of a substitution unit shall surrender allowances, and the Administrator will deduct allowances, in accordance with paragraph (d)(3) of this section.

(ii) Each unit under paragraph (a)(1) of this section, and each substitution unit, governed by an approved substitution plan shall be subject to the Acid Rain emissions limitations for nitrogen oxides in accordance with part 76 of this chapter.

(iii) Where an approved substitution plan includes a demonstration under paragraphs (c)(5)(iii) and (c)(6) of this section.

(A) The owners and operators of the substitution unit covered by the demonstration shall implement the actions described under paragraph (c)(6)(ii) of this section, as adjusted by the Administrator in approving the plan or in revising the permit. The designated representative may submit proposed permit revisions changing the description of the actions to be taken in order for the substitution unit to achieve the maximum annual average SO_2 emissions rate under the approved plan and shall include in any such submission a showing that the actions in the changed description will not be implemented during Phase I unless the unit remains a substitution unit. The permit revision will be treated as an administrative amendment, except where the Administrator determines that the change in the description alters the fundamental nature of the actions to be taken and that public notice and comment will contribute to the decision-making process, in which case the permit revision will be treated as a permit modification or, at the option of the designated representative, a fast-track modification.

(B) The designated representative of the unit under paragraph (a)(1) of this section shall surrender allowances, and theAdministrator will deduct allowances, in accordance with paragraph (c)(5)(iii)(C) of this section. The surrender and deduction of allowances as required under the prior sentence shall be the only remedy under the Act for a failure to meet the maximum annual average SO_2 emissions rate, provided that, if such deduction of allowance results in excess emissions, the remedies for excess emissions shall be fully applicable.

(2) *Liability*. The owners and operators of a unit governed by an approved substitution plan shall be liable for any violation of the plan or this section at that unit or any other unit that is the first unit's substitution unit or for which the first unit is a substitution unit under the plan, including liability for fulfilling the obligations specified in part 77 of this chapter and section 411 of the Act.

(3) *Termination*. (i) A substitution plan shall be in effect only in Phase I for the calendar years specified in the plan or until the calendar year for which a termination of the plan takes effect, provided that no substitution plan shall be terminated, and no unit shall be de-designated as a substitution

unit, before the end of Phase I if the substitution unit serves as a control unit under a Phase I extension plan.

(ii) To terminate a substitution plan for a given calendar year prior to the last year for which the plan was approved:

(A) A notification to terminate in accordance with § 72.40(d) shall be submitted no later than 60 days before the allowance transfer deadline applicable to the given year; and

(B) In the notification to terminate, the designated representative of each unit governed by the plan shall state that he or she surrenders for deduction from the unit's Allowance Tracking System account allowances equal in number to, and with the same or an earlier compliance use date as, those allocated under paragraph (d)(1) of this section for all calendar years for which the plan is to be terminated. The designated representative may identify the serial numbers of the allowances to be deducted. In the absence of such identification, allowances will be deducted on a first-in, first-out basis under § 73.35(c)(2) of this chapter.

(iii) If the requirements of paragraph (e)(3)(ii) of this section are met and upon revision of the permit to terminate the substitution plan, the Administrator will deduct the allowances specified in paragraph (e)(3)(ii)(B) of this section. No substitution plan shall be terminated, and no unit shall be dedesignated as a Phase I unit, unless such deduction is made.

(iv)(A) If there is a change in the ownership interest of the owners or operators of any unit under a substitution plan approved as meeting the requirements of paragraph (c)(5)(i) or (ii) of this section or a change in such owners' or operators' right to direct dispatch of electricity from a substitution unit under such a plan and the demonstration under paragraph (c)(5)(i) or (ii) of this section cannot be made, then the designated representatives of the units governed by this plan shall submit a notification to terminate the plan so that the plan will terminate as of January 1 of the calendar year during which the change is made.

(B) Where a substitution plan is approved as meeting the requirements of paragraph (c)(5)(iii) of this section, if there is a change in the agreement under paragraph (c)(5)(iii) of this section and a demonstration that the agreement, as changed, meets the requirements of paragraph (c)(5)(iii) cannot be made, then the designated representative of the units governed by the plan shall submit a notification to terminate the plan so that the plan will terminate as of January 1 of the calendar year during which the change is made. Where a substitution plan is approved as meeting the requirements of paragraph (c)(5)(iii) of this section, if the requirements of the first sentence of paragraph (e)(1)(iii)(A) of this section are not met during a calendar year, then the designated representative of the units governed by the plan shall submit a notification to terminate the plan so that the plan will terminate as of January 1 of such calendar year.

(C) If the plan is not terminated in accordance with paragraphs (e)(3)(iv)(A) or (B) of this section, the Administrator, on his or her own motion, will terminate the plan and deduct the allowances required to be surrendered under paragraph (e)(3)(ii) of this section.

(D) Where a substitution unit and the Phase I unit designating the substitution unit in an approved substitution plan have a common owner, operator, or designated representative during a year, the plan shall not be terminated under paragraphs (e)(3)(iv)(A), (B), or (C) of this section with regard to the substitution unit if the year is as specified in paragraph (e)(3)(iv)(D)(*1*) or (*2*) of this section and the unit received from the Administrator for the year, under the Partial Settlement in *Environmental Defense Fund* v. *Carol M. Browner*, No. 93–1203 (D.C. Cir. 1993) (signed May 4, 1993), a total number of allowances equal to the unit's baseline multiplied by the lesser of the unit's 1985 actual SO_2 emissions rate or 1985 allowable SO_2 emissions rate.

(*1*) Except as provided in paragraph (e)(3)(iv)(D)(*2*) of this section, paragraph (e)(3)(iv)(D) of this section shall apply to the first year in Phase I for which the unit is and remains an active substitution unit.

(*2*) If the unit has a Group 1 boiler under part 76 of this chapter and is and

remains an active substitution unit during 1995, paragraph (e)(3)(iv)(D) of this section shall apply to 1995 and to the second year in Phase I for which the unit is and remains an active substitution unit.

(*3*) If there is a change in the owners, operators, or designated representative of the substitution unit or the Phase I unit during a year under paragraph (e)(3)(iv)(D)(*1*) or (*2*) of this section and, with the change, the units do not have a common owner, operator, or designated representative, then the designated representatives for such units shall submit a notification to terminate the plan so that the plan will terminate as of January 1 of the calendar year during which the change is made. If the plan is not terminated in accordance with the prior sentence, the Administrator, on his or her own motion, will terminate the plan and deduct the allowances required to be surrendered under paragraph (e)(3)(ii) of this section.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 58 FR 40747, July 30, 1993; 59 FR 60230, 60238, Nov. 22, 1994; 62 FR 55481, Oct. 24, 1997]

§ 72.42 Phase I extension plans.

(a) *Applicability*. (1) This section shall apply to any designated representative seeking a 2-year extension of the deadline for meeting Phase I sulfur dioxide emissions reduction requirements at any of the following types of units by applying for allowances from the Phase I extension reserve:

(i) A unit listed in table 1 of § 73.10(a) of this chapter;

(ii) A unit designated as a substitution unit in accordance with § 72.41; or

(iii) A unit designated as a compensating unit in accordance with § 72.43, except a compensating unit that is a new unit.

(2) A unit for which a Phase I extension is sought shall be either:

(i) A control unit, which shall be a unit under paragraph (a)(1) of this section and at which qualifying Phase I technology shall commence operation on or after November 15, 1990 but not later than December 31, 1996; or

(ii) A transfer unit, which shall be a unit under paragraph (a)(1)(i) of this section and whose Phase I emissions reduction obligation shall be transferred in whole or in part to one or more control units.

(3) A Phase I extension does not exempt the owner or operator for any unit governed by the Phase I extension plan from the requirement to comply with such unit's Acid Rain emissions limitations for sulfur dioxide.

(b) To apply for a Phase I extension:

(1) The designated representative for each source with a control unit may submit an early ranking application for a Phase I extension plan in person, beginning on the 40th day after publication of this subpart in the FEDERAL REGISTER, between the hours of 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. Eastern Standard Time at Acid Rain Division, Attn: Early Ranking, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 501 3rd Street NW., 4th floor, Washington, DC; or send the application by regular mail, certified mail, or overnight delivery service to Acid Rain Division, Attn: Early Ranking, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 6204 J, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460.

(2) By February 15, 1993:

(i) The designated representative for each source with a control unit shall submit a Phase I extension plan as a part of the Acid Rain permit application for the source, and

(ii) The designated representative for each source with a unit designated as a transfer unit in any plan submitted under paragraph (b)(2)(i) of this section shall incorporate in the Acid Rain permit application each such plan.

(c) *Contents of early ranking application*. A complete early ranking application shall include the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(1) Identification of each control unit. All control units in an application must be located at the same source. If the control unit is not a unit under paragraph (a)(1)(i) of this section, a substitution plan or a reduced utilization plan governing the unit shall be submitted by the deadline for submitting a Phase I permit application.

(2) Identification of each transfer unit. A unit shall not be a transfer unit in more than one early ranking application.

(3) For each control and transfer unit, the total tonnage of sulfur dioxide emitted in 1988 plus the total tonnage of sulfur dioxide emitted in 1989, divided by 2. The 1988 and 1989 tonnage figures shall be consistent with the data filed on EIA form 767 for those years and the conversion methodology specified in appendix B of this part.

(4) For each control and transfer unit:

(i) The projected annual utilization (in mmBtu) for 1995 multiplied by the projected uncontrolled emissions rate (*i.e.*, the emissions rate in the absence of title IV of the Act) for 1995 (in lbs/mmBtu), divided by 2000 lbs/ton.

(ii) The projected annual utilization (in mmBtu) for 1996 multiplied by the projected uncontrolled emissions rate (*i.e.*, the emissions rate in the absence of title IV of the Act) for 1996 (in lbs/mmBtu), divided by 2000 lbs/ton.

(5) For each control and transfer unit, the number of Phase I extension reserve allowances requested for 1995 and for 1996, not to exceed the difference between:

(i) The lesser of the value for the unit under paragraph (c)(3) of this section and the value for the unit for that year under paragraph (c)(4) of this section, and

(ii) Each unit's baseline multiplied by 2.5 lb/mmBtu, divided by 2000 lbs/ton.

(6) Documentation that the annual emissions reduction obligations transferred from all transfer units to all control units do not exceed those authorized under this section, as follows:

(i) For each control unit, the difference, calculated separately for 1995 and 1996, between:

(A) The control unit's allowance allocation in table 1 of § 73.10(2) of this chapter, the allocation under § 72.41 if the control unit is a substitution unit, or the allocation under § 72.43 if the control unit is a compensating unit; and

(B) The projected emissions resulting from 90% control after installing the qualifying Phase I technology, i.e., 10% of the projected uncontrolled emissions for the control unit for the year in accordance with paragraph (c)(4) of this section.

(ii) The sum, by year, of the results under paragraph (c)(6)(i) of this section for all control units.

(iii) The sum, by year, of Phase I extension reserve allowances requested for all transfer units.

(iv) A showing that, for each year, the sum under paragraph (c)(6)(ii) of this section is greater than or equal to the sum under paragraph (c)(6)(iii) of this section.

(7) For each control and transfer unit, the projected controlled emissions for 1997, for 1998, and for 1999 calculated as follows:

Projected annual utilization (in mmBtu) multiplied by the projected controlled emission rate (in lbs/mmBtu), divided by 2000 lbs/ton.[1]

(8) For each control unit, the number of Phase I extension reserve allowances requested for 1997, for 1998, and for 1999, calculated as follows:

The unit's baseline multiplied by 1.2 lbs/mmBtu and divided by 2000 lbs/ton, minus the projected controlled emissions (in tons/yr) under paragraph (c)(7) of this section for the given year.

(9) The total of Phase I extension reserve allowances requested for all units in the plan for 1995 through 1999.

(10) With regard to each executed contract for the design engineering and construction of qualifying Phase I technology at each control unit governed by the early ranking application, either a copy of the contract or a certification that the contract is on site at the source and will be submitted to the Administrator upon written request. The contract or contracts may be contingent on the Administrator approving the Phase I extension plan.

(11) For each contract for which a certification is submitted under paragraph (c)(10) of this section, a binding letter agreement, signed and dated by each party and specifying:

[1] In the case of a transfer unit that shares a common stack with a unit not listed in table 1 of § 73.10(a) of this chapter and whose emissions of sulfur dioxide are not monitored separately or apportioned in accordance with part 75 of this chapter, the projected figures for the transfer unit under paragraph (c)(7) of this section must be for the units combined.

(i) The type of qualifying Phase I technology to which the contract applies;

(ii) The parties to the contract;

(iii) The date each party executed the contracts;

(iv) The unit to which the contract applies;

(v) A brief list identifying each provision of the contract;

(vi) Any dates to which the parties agree, including construction completion date; and

(vii) The total dollar amount of the contract.

(12) A vendor certification of the sulfur dioxide removal efficiency guaranteed to be achievable by the qualifying Phase I technology for the type and range of fossil fuels (before any treatment prior to combustion) that will be used at the control unit; *provided* that a vendor certification shall not be a defense against a control unit's failure to achieve 90% control of sulfur dioxide.

(13) The date (not later than December 31, 1996) on which the owners and operators plan to commence operation of the qualifying Phase I technology.

(14) The special provisions of paragraph (f) of this section.

(d) *Contents of Phase I extension plan.* A complete Phase I extension plan shall include the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(1) Identification of each unit in the plan.

(2)(i) A statement that the elements in the Phase I extension plan are identical to those in the previously submitted early ranking application for the plan and that such early ranking application is incorporated by reference; or

(ii) All elements that are different from those in the previously submitted early ranking application for the plan and a statement that the early ranking application is incorporated by reference as modified by the newly submitted elements; *provided* that the Phase I extension plan shall not add any new control units or increase the total Phase I extension allowances requested; or

(iii) All elements required for an early ranking application and a statement that no early ranking application for the plan was submitted.

(e) *Administrator's action*—(1) *Early ranking applications.* (i) The Administrator may approve in whole or in part or with changes or conditions, as appropriate, or disapprove an early ranking application.

(ii) The Administrator will act on each early ranking application in the order of receipt.

(iii) The Administrator will determine the order of receipt by the following procedures:

(A) Hand-delivered submissions and mailed submissions will be deemed to have been received on the date they are received by the Administrator; *provided* that all submissions received by the Administrator prior to the 40th day after publication of this subpart in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be deemed received on the 40th day.

(B) All submissions received by the Administrator on the same day will be deemed to have been received simultaneously.

(C) The order of receipt of all submissions received simultaneously will be determined by a public lottery if allocation of Phase I extension reserve allowances to each of the simultaneous submissions would result in oversubscription of the Phase I extension reserve.

(iv) Based on the allowances requested under paragraph (c)(9) of this section, as adjusted by the Administrator in approving the early ranking application, the Administrator will award Phase I extension reserve allowances for each complete early ranking application to the extent that allowances that have not been awarded remain in the Phase I extension reserve at the time the Administrator acts on the application. The allowances will be awarded in accordance with the procedures set forth the allocation of reserve allowances in paragraph (e)(3) of this section.

(v) The Administrator's action on an early ranking application shall be conditional on the Administrator's action on a timely and complete Acid Rain permit application that includes a complete Phase I extension plan and, where the plan includes a unit under

paragraph (a)(1) (ii) and (iii) of this section, a complete substitution plan or reduced utilization plan, as appropriate.

(vi) Not later than 15 days after receipt of each early ranking application, the Administrator will notify, in writing, the designated representative of each application of the date that the early ranking application was received and one of the following:

(A) The award of allowances if the application was complete and the Phase I extension reserve as not oversubscribed;

(B) A determination that the application was incomplete and is disapproved; or

(C) If the Phase I extension reserve was oversubscribed, a list of the applications received on that date, the number of Phase I extension allowances requested in each application, and the date, time, and location of a lottery to determine the order of receipt for all applications received on that date.

(vii) The date of a lottery for all applications received on a given day will not be earlier than 15 days after the Administrator notifies each designated representative whose applications were received on that date.

(viii) Any early ranking application may be withdrawn from the lottery if a letter signed by the designated representative of each unit governed by the application and requesting withdrawal is received by the Administrator before the lottery takes place.

(2) *Phase I extension plans.* (i) The Administrator will act on each Phase I extension plan in the order that the early ranking application for that plan was received or, if no early ranking application was received, in the order that the Phase I extension plan was received, as determined under paragraph (e)(1)(iii) of this section.

(ii) Based on the allowances requested under paragraph (c)(9) of this section, as adjusted under paragraph (d) of this section and by the Administrator in approving the Phase I extension plan, the Administrator will allocate Phase I extension reserve allowances to the Allowance Tracking System account of each control and transfer unit upon issuance of an Acid Rain permit containing the approved Phase I extension plan. The allowances will be allocated using the procedures set forth in paragraph (e)(3) of this section.

(iii) The Administrator will not approve a Phase I extension plan, even if it meets the requirements of this section, unless unallocated allowances remain in the Phase I extension reserve at the time the Administrator acts on the plan.

(3) *Allowance allocations.* In addition to any allowances allocated in accordance with table 1 of § 73.10(a) of this chapter and other approved compliance options, the Administrator will allocate Phase I extension reserve allowances to each eligible unit in a Phase I extension plan in the following order.

(i) For 1995, to each control unit in the order in which it is listed in the plan and then to each transfer unit in the order in which it is listed.

(ii) For 1996, to each control unit in the order in which it is listed in the plan and then to each transfer unit in the order in which it is listed.

(iii) For 1997, to each control unit in the order in which it is listed in the plan, then likewise for 1998, and then likewise for 1999.

(iv) The Administrator will allocate any Phase I extension reserve allowances returned to the Administrator to the next Phase I extension plan, in the rank order established under paragraph (e)(1)(iii) of this section, that continues to meet the requirements of this section and this part.

(f) *Special provisions*—(1) *Emissions Limitations*—(i) *Sulfur Dioxide.* (A) If a control or transfer unit governed by an approved Phase I extension plan emits in 1997, 1998, or 1999 sulfur dioxide in excess of the projected controlled emissions for the unit specified for the year under paragraph (c)(7) of this section as adjusted under paragraph (d) of this section and by the Administrator in approving the Phase I extension plan, the Administrator will deduct allowances equal to such exceedence from the unit's annual allowance allocation in the following calendar year.[2]

[2] In the case of a transfer unit that shares a common stack with a unit not listed in table 1 of § 73.10(a) of this chapter where the units are not monitored separately or apportioned in accordance with part 75 of this chapter, the combined emissions of both

(B) Failure to demonstrate at least a 90% reduction of sulfur dioxide in 1997, 1998, or 1999 in accordance with part 75 of this chapter at a control unit governed by an approved Phase I extension plan shall be a violation of this section. In the event of any such violation, in addition to any other liability under the Act, the Administrator will deduct allowances from the control unit's compliance subaccount for the year of the violation. The deduction will be calculated as follows:

Allowances deducted = (1 − (percent reduction achieved · 90%)) × Phase I extension reserve allowances received

where:

''Percent reduction achieved'' is the percent reduction determined in accordance with part 75 of this chapter.

''Phase I extension reserve allowances received'' is the number of Phase I extension reserve allowances allocated for the year under paragraph (e)(2)(ii) of this section.

(ii) *Nitrogen Oxides*. (A) Beginning on January 1, 1997, each control and transfer unit shall be subject to the Acid Rain emissions limitations for nitrogen oxides.

(B) Notwithstanding paragraph (f)(1)(ii)(A) of this section, a transfer unit shall be subject to the Acid Rain emissions limitations for nitrogen oxides, under section 407 of the Act and regulations implementing section 407 of the Act, beginning on January 1 of any year for which a transfer unit is allocated fewer Phase I extension reserve allowances than the maximum amount that the designated representative could have requested in accordance with paragraph (c)(5) of this section (as adjusted under paragraph (d) of this section and by the Administrator in approving the Phase I extension plan) unless the transfer unit is the last unit allocated Phase I extension reserve allowances under the plan.

(2) *Monitoring requirements*. Each control unit shall comply with the special monitoring requirements for Phase I extension plans in accordance with part 75 of this chapter.

(3) *Reporting requirements*. Each control and transfer unit shall comply with the special reporting requirements for Phase I extension plans in accordance with § 72.93.

(4) *Liability*. The owners and operators of a control or transfer unit governed by an approved Phase I extension plan shall be liable for any violation of the plan or this section at that or any other unit governed by the plan, including liability for fulfilling the obligations specified in part 77 of this chapter and section 411 of the Act.

(5) *Termination*. A Phase I extension plan shall be in effect only in Phase I, and no Phase I extension plan shall be terminated before the end of Phase I. The designated representative may, however, withdraw a Phase I extension plan at any time prior to issuance of the Phase I Acid Rain permit that includes the Phase I extension plan, as adjusted.

§ 72.43 Phase I reduced utilization plans.

(a) *Applicability*. This section shall apply to the designated representative of:

(1) Any Phase I unit, including:

(i) Any unit listed in table 1 of § 73.10(a) of this chapter; and

(ii) Any other unit that becomes a Phase I unit (including any unit designated as a compensating unit under this section or a substitution unit under § 72.41).

(2) Any affected unit that:

(i) Is not otherwise subject to any Acid Rain emissions limitation or emissions reduction requirements during Phase I; and

(ii) Meets the requirement, as set forth in paragraphs (c)(4)(ii) and (d) of this section, that for each year for which the unit is to be covered by the reduced utilization plan, the unit's baseline divided by 2,000 lbs/ton and multiplied by the lesser of the unit's 1985 actual SO_2 emissions rate or 1985 allowable SO_2 emissions rate does not exceed the sum of

(A) The lesser of 10 percent of the amount under paragraph (a)(2)(ii) of this section or 200 tons, plus

(B) The unit's baseline divided by 2,000 lbs/ton and multiplied by the lesser of: The greater of the unit's 1989 or

units will be deemed to be the transfer unit's emissions for purposes of applying paragraph (f)(1)(i) of this section.

1990 actual SO_2 emissions rate; or, as of November 15, 1990, the most stringent federally enforceable or State enforceable SO_2 emissions limitation covering the unit for 1995–1999.

(b)(1) The designated representative of any unit under paragraph (a)(1) of this section shall include in the Acid Rain permit application for the unit a reduced utilization plan, meeting the requirements of this section, when the owners and operators of the unit plan to:

(i) Reduce utilization of the unit below the unit's baseline to achieve compliance, in whole or in part, with the unit's Phase I Acid Rain emissions limitations for sulfur dioxide; and

(ii) Accomplish such reduced utilization through one or more of the following:

(A) Shifting generation of the unit to a unit under paragraph (a)(2) of this section or to a sulfur-free generator; or

(B) Using one or more energy conservation measures or improved unit efficiency measures.

(2)(i) Energy conservation measures shall be either demand-side measures implemented after December 31, 1987 in the residence or facility of a customer to whom the unit's utility system sells electricity or supply-side measures implemented after December 31, 1987 in facilities of the unit's utility system.

(ii) The utility system shall pay in whole or in part for the energy conservation measures either directly or, in the case of demand-side measures, through payment to another person who purchases the measure.

(iii) Energy conservation measures shall not include:

(A) Conservation programs that are exclusively informational or educational in nature;

(B) Load management measures that lead to reduction of electric energy demands during a utility's peak generating period, unless kilowatt hour savings can be verified under § 72.91(b); or

(C) Utilization of industrial waste gases, unless the designated representative certifies that there is no net increase in sulfur dioxide emissions from such utilization.

(iv) For calendar years when the unit's utility system is a subsidiary of a holding company and the unit's dispatch system is or includes all units that are interconnected and centrally dispatched and included in that holding company, then:

(A) Energy conservation measures shall be either demand-side measures implemented in the residence or facility of a customer to whom any utility system in the holding company sells electricity or supply-side measures implemented in facilities of any utility system in the holding company. Such utility system shall pay in whole or in part for the measures either directly or, in the case of demand-side measures, through payment to another person who purchases the measures.

(B) The limitations in paragraph (b)(2)(iii) of this section shall apply.

(3)(i) Improved unit efficiency measures shall be implemented in the unit after December 31, 1987. Such measures include supply-side measures listed in appendix A, section 2.1 of part 73 of this chapter.

(ii) The utility system shall pay in whole or in part for the improved unit efficiency measures.

(4) The requirement to submit a reduced utilization plan shall apply in the event that the owners and operators of a Phase I unit decide, at any time during any Phase I calendar year, to rely on the method of compliance in paragraph (b)(1) of this section. In that case, the designated representative shall submit a reduced utilization plan not later than 6 months (or 90 days if sumitted in accordance with § 72.82 or § 72.83), or a notification to activate a conditionally approved plan in accordance with § 72.40(c) not later than 60 days, before the allowance transfer deadline applicable to the first year for which the plan is to take effect.

(5) The designated representative of each source with a unit designated as a compensating unit in any plan submitted under paragraphs (b) (1) or (4) of this section shall incorporate by reference in the permit application each such plan.

(c) *Contents of reduced utilization plan.* A complete reduced utilization plan shall include the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(1) Identification of each Phase I unit for which the owners and operators plan reduced utilization.

(2) Except where the designated representative requests conditional approval of the plan, the first calendar year and, if known, the last calendar year in which the reduced utilization plan is to be in effect. Unless the designated representative specifies an earlier calendar year, the last calendar year shall be deemed to be 1999.

(3) A statement whether the plan designates a compensating unit or relies on sulfur-free generation, any energy conservation measure, or any improved unit efficiency measure to account for any amount of reduced utilization.

(4) If the plan designates a compensating unit, or relies on sulfur-free generation, to account for any amount of reduced utilization:

(i) Identification of each compensating unit or sulfur-free generator.

(ii) For each compensating unit. (A) Each of the following: The unit's 1985 actual SO_2 emissions rate; the unit's 1985 allowable emissions rate; the unit's 1989 actual SO_2 emissions rate; the unit's 1990 actual SO_2 emissions rate; and, as of November 15, 1990, the most stringent unit-specific federally enforceable or State enforceable SO_2 emissions limitation covering the unit for 1995–1999. For purposes of determining the most stringent emissions limitation, applicable emissions limitations shall be converted to lbs/mmBtu in accordance with appendix B of this part. Where the most stringent emissions limitation is not the same for every year in 1995–1999, the most stringent emissions limitation shall be stated separately for each year.

(B) The unit's baseline divided by 2,000 lbs/ton and multiplied by the lesser of the unit's 1985 actual SO_2 emissions rate or 1985 allowable SO_2 emissions rate.

(C) The unit's baseline divided by 2000 lbs/ton and multiplied by the lesser of: The greater of the unit's 1989 or 1990 actual SO_2 emissions rate; or, as of November 15, 1990, the most stringent unit-specific federally enforceable or State enforceable SO_2 emissions limitation covering the unit for 1995–1999. Where the most stringent emissions limitation is not the same for every year in 1995–1999, the calculation in the prior sentence shall be made separately for each year.

(D) The difference between the amount under paragraph (c)(4)(ii)(B) of this section and the amount under paragraph (c)(4)(ii)(C) of this section. If the difference calculated in the prior sentence for any year exceeds the lesser of 10 percent of the amount under paragraph (c)(4)(ii)(B) of this section or 200 tons, the unit shall not be designated as a compensating unit for the year. Where the most stringent unit-specific federally enforceable or State enforceable SO_2 emissions limitation is not the same for every year in 1995–1999, the difference shall be calculated separately for each year.

(E) The allowance allocation calculated as the amount under paragraph (c)(4)(ii)(B) of this section. If the compensating unit is a new unit, it shall be deemed to have a baseline of zero and shall be allocated no allowances.

(F) Where, as of November 15, 1990, a non-unit-specific federally enforceable or State enforceable SO_2 emissions limitation covers the unit for any year in 1995–1999, the designated representative shall state each such limitation and propose a method for applying unit-specific and non-unit-specific emissions limitations under paragraph (d) of this section.

(iii) For each sulfur-free generator, identification of any other Phase I units that designate the same sulfur-free generator in another plan submitted under paragraph (b) (1) or (4) of this section.

(iv) For each compensating unit or sulfur-free generator not in the dispatch system of the unit reducing utilization under the plan, the system directives or power purchase agreements or other contractual agreements governing the acquisition, by the dispatch system, of the electrical energy that is generated by the compensating unit or sulfur-free generator and on which the plan relies to accomplish reduced utilization. Such contractual agreements shall identify the specific compensating unit or sulfur-free generator from which the dispatch system acquires such electrical energy.

(5) The special provisions in paragraph (f) of this section.

(d) *Administrator's action.* (1) If the Administrator approves the reduced utilization plan, he or she will allocate allowances, as provided in the approved plan, to the Allowance Tracking System account for any designated compensating unit upon issuance of an Acid Rain permit containing the plan, except that, if the plan is conditionally approved, the allowances will be allocated upon revision of the permit to activate the plan.

(2) Where, as of November 15, 1990, a non-unit-specific federally enforceable or State enforceable emissions limitation covers the unit for any year during 1995–1999, the Administrator will specify on a case-by-case basis a method for using unit-specific and non-unit specific emissions limitations in approving or disapproving the compensating unit. The specified method will not treat a non-unit-specific emissions limitation as a unit-specific emissions limitation and will not result in compensating units retaining allowances allocated under paragraph (d)(1) of this section for emissions reductions necessary to meet a non-unit-specific emissions limitation. Such method may require an end-of-year review and the disapproval and de-designation, and adjustment of the allowances allocated to, the compensating unit and may require the designated representative of the compensating unit to surrender allowances by the allowance transfer deadline of the year that is subject to the review. Any surrendered allowances shall have the same or an earlier compliance use date as the allowances originally allocated for the year, and the designated representative may identify the serial numbers of the allowances to be deducted. In the absence of such identification, such allowances will be deducted on a first-in, first-out basis under § 73.35(c)(2) of this chapter.

(e) *Failure to submit a plan.* The designated representative of a Phase I unit will be deemed not to violate, during a Phase I calendar year, the requirement to submit a reduced utilization plan under paragraph (b)(1) or (4) of this section if the designated representative complies with the allowance surrender and other requirements of §§ 72.33, 72.91, and 72.92 of this chapter.

(f) *Special provisions*—(1) *Emissions limitations.* (i) Any compensating unit designated under an approved reduced utilization plan shall become a Phase I unit from January 1 of the calendar year in which the plan takes effect until January 1 of the year for which the plan is no longer in effect or is terminated, except that such unit shall not become subject to the Acid Rain emissions limitations for nitrogen oxides in Phase I under part 76 of this chapter.

(ii) The designated representative of any Phase I unit (including a unit governed by a reduced utilization plan relying on energy conservation, improved unit efficiency, sulfur-free generation, or a compensating unit) shall surrender allowances, and the Administrator will deduct or return allowances, in accordance with paragraph (d)(2) of this section and subpart I of this part.

(2) *Reporting requirements.* The designated representative of any Phase I unit (including a unit governed by a reduced utilization plan relying on energy conservation, improved unit efficiency, sulfur-free generation, or a compensating unit) shall comply with the special reporting requirements under §§ 72.91 and 72.92.

(3) *Liability.* The owners and operators of a unit governed by an approved reduced utilization plan shall be liable for any violation of the plan or this section at that or any other unit governed by the plan, including liability for fulfilling the obligations specified in part 77 of this chapter and section 411 of the Act.

(4) *Termination.* (i) A reduced utilization plan shall be in effect only in Phase I for the calendar years specified in the plan or until the calendar year for which a termination of the plan takes effect; *provided* that no reduced utilization plan that designates a compensating unit that serves as a control unit under a Phase I extension plan shall be terminated, and no such unit shall be de-designated as a compensating unit, before the end of Phase I.

(ii) To terminate a reduced utilization plan for a given calendar year prior to its last year for which the plan was approved:

(A) A notification to terminate in accordance with § 72.40(d) shall be submitted no later than 60 days before the allowance transfer deadline applicable to the given year; and

(B) In the notification to terminate, the designated representative of any compensating unit governed by the plan shall state that he or she surrenders for deduction from the unit's Allowance Tracking System account allowances equal in number to, and with the same or an earlier compliance use date as, those allocated under paragraph (d) of this section to each compensating unit for the calendar years for which the plan is to be terminated. The designated representative may identify the serial numbers of the allowances to be deducted. In the absence of such identification, allowances will be deducted on a first-in, first-out basis under § 73.35(c)(2) of this chapter.

(iii) If the requirements of paragraph (f)(3)(ii) are met and upon revision of the permit to terminate the reduced utilization plan, the Administrator will deduct the allowances specified in paragraph (f)(3)(ii)(B) of this section. No reduced utilization plan shall be terminated, and no unit shall be dedesignated as a Phase I unit, unless such deduction is made.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 59 FR 60230, Nov. 22, 1994; 60 FR 18470, Apr. 11, 1995; 62 FR 55481, Oct. 24, 1997]

§ 72.44 Phase II repowering extensions.

(a) *Applicability*. (1) This section shall apply to the designated representative of:

(i) Any existing affected unit that is a coal-fired unit and has a 1985 actual SO_2 emissions rate equal to or greater than 1.2 lbs/mmBtu.

(ii) Any new unit that will be a replacement unit, as provided in paragraph (b)(2) of this section, for a unit meeting the requirements of paragraph (a)(1)(i) of this section.

(iii) Any oil and/or gas-fired unit that has been awarded clean coal technology demonstration funding as of January 1, 1991 by the Secretary of Energy.

(2) A repowering extension does not exempt the owner or operator for any unit governed by the repowering plan from the requirement to comply with such unit's Acid Rain emissions limitations for sulfur dioxide.

(b) The designated representative of any unit meeting the requirements of paragraph (a)(1)(i) of this section may include in the unit's Phase II Acid Rain permit application a repowering extension plan that includes a demonstration that:

(1) The unit will be repowered with a qualifying repowering technology in order to comply with the Phase II emissions limitations for sulfur dioxide; or

(2) The unit will be replaced by a new utility unit that has the same designated representative and that is located at a different site using a qualified repowering technology and the existing unit will be permanently retired from service on or before the date on which the new utility unit commences commercial operation.

(c) In order to apply for a repowering extension, the designated representative of a unit under paragraph (a) of this section shall:

(1) Submit to the permitting authority, by January 1, 1996, a complete repowering extension plan;

(2) Submit to the Administrator, before June 1, 1997, a complete petition for approval of repowering technology; and

(3) If the repowering extension plan is submitted for conditional approval, submit by December 31, 1997, a notification to activate the plan in accordance with § 72.40(c).

(d) *Contents and Review of Petition for Approval of Repowering Technology*. (1) A complete petition for approval of repowering technology shall include the following elements, in a format prescribed by the Administrator, concerning the technology to be used in a plan under paragraph (b) of this section and may follow the repowering technology demonstration protocol issued by the Administrator:

(i) Identification and description of the technology.

(ii) Vendor certification of the guaranteed performance characteristics of the technology, including:

(A) Percent removal and emission rate of each pollutant being controlled;

(B) Overall generation efficiency; and

(C) Information on the state, chemical constituents, and quantities of solid waste generated (including information on land-use requirements for disposal) and on the availability of a market to which any by-products may be sold.

(iii) If the repowering technology is not listed in the definition of a qualified repowering technology in § 72.2, a vendor certification of the guaranteed performance characteristics that demonstrate that the technology meets the criteria specified for non-listed technologies in § 72.2; *provided* that the existence of such guarantee shall not be a defense against the failure to meet the criteria for non-listed technologies.

(2) The Administrator may request any supplemental information that is deemed necessary to review the petition for approval of repowering technology.

(3) The Administrator shall review the petition for approval of repowering technology and, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, shall make a conditional determination of whether the technology described in the petition is a qualifying repowering technology.

(4) Based on the petition for approval of repowering technology and the information provided under paragraph (d)(2) of this section and § 72.94(a), the Administrator will make a final determination of whether the technology described in the petition is a qualifying repowering technology.

(e) *Contents of repowering extension plan.* A complete repowering extension plan shall include the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(1) Identification of the existing unit governed by the plan.

(2) The unit's federally-approved State Implementation Plan sulfur dioxide emissions limitation.

(3) The unit's 1995 actual SO_2 emissions rate.

(4) A schedule for construction, installation, and commencement of operation of the repowering technology approved or submitted for approval under paragraph (d) of this section, with dates for the following milestones:

(i) Completion of design engineering;

(ii) For a plan under paragraph (b)(1) of this section, removal of the existing unit from operation to install the qualified repowering technology;

(iii) Commencement of construction;

(iv) Completion of construction;

(v) Start-up testing;

(vi) For a plan under paragraph (b)(2) of this section, shutdown of the existing unit; and

(vii) Commencement of commercial operation of the repowering technology.

(5) For a plan under paragraph (b)(2) of this section:

(i) Identification of the new unit. A new unit shall not be included in more than one repowering extension plan.

(ii) Certification that the new unit will replace the existing unit.

(iii) Certification that the new unit has the same designated representative as the existing unit.

(iv) Certification that the existing unit will be permanently retired from service on or before the date the new unit commences commercial operation.

(6) The special provisions of paragraph (h) of this section.

(f) *Permitting authority's action on repowering extension plan.* (1) The permitting authority shall not approve a repowering extension plan until the Administrator makes a conditional determination that the technology is a qualified repowering technology, unless the permitting authority conditionally approves such plan subject to the conditional determination of the Administrator.

(2) *Permit issuance.* (i) Upon a conditional determination by the Administrator that the technology to be used in the repowering extension plan is a qualified repowering technology and a determination by the permitting authority that such plan meets the requirements of this section, the permitting authority shall issue the Acid Rain portion of the operating permit including:

(A) The approved repowering extension plan; and

(B) A schedule of compliance with enforceable milestones for construction, installation, and commencement of operation of the repowering technology and other requirements necessary to

ensure that Phase II emission reduction requirements under this section will be met.

(ii) Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (g) of this section, the repowering extension shall be in effect starting January 1, 2000 and ending on the day before the date (specified in the Acid Rain permit) on which the existing unit will be removed from operation to install the qualifying repowering technology or will be permanently removed from service for replacement by a new unit with such technology; *provided* that the repowering extension shall end no later than December 31, 2003.

(iii) The portion of the operating permit specifying the repowering extension and other requirements under paragraph (f)(2)(i) of this section shall be subject to the Administrator's final determination, under paragraph (d)(4) of this section, that the technology to be used in the repowering extension plan is a qualifying repowering technology.

(3) *Allowance allocation*. The Administrator will allocate allowances after issuance of an operating permit containing the repowering extension plan (or, if the plan is conditionally approved, after the revision of the Acid Rain permit under § 72.40(c)) and of the Administrator's final determination, under paragraph (d)(4) of this section, that the technology to be used in such plan is a qualifying repowering technology. Allowances will be allocated (including a pro rata allocation for any fraction of a year), as follows:

(i) To the existing unit under the approved plan, in accordance with § 73.21 of this chapter during the repowering extension under paragraph (f)(2)(ii) of this section; and

(ii) To the existing unit under the approved plan under paragraph (b)(1) of this section or, in lieu of any further allocations to the existing unit, to the new unit under the approved plan under paragraph (b)(2) of this section, in accordance with § 73.21 of this chapter, after the repowering extension under paragraph (f)(2)(ii) of this section ends.

(g) *Failed repowering projects*. (1)(i) If, at any time before the end of the repowering extension under paragraph (f)(2)(ii) of this section, the designated representative of a unit governed by an approved repowering extension plan notifies the Administrator in writing that the owners and operators have decided to terminate efforts to properly design, construct, and test the repowering technology specified in the plan before completion of construction or start-up testing and demonstrates, in a requested permit modification, to the Administrator's satisfaction that such efforts were in good faith, the unit shall not be deemed in violation of the Act because of such a termination. If the Administrator is not the permitting authority, a copy of the requested permit modification shall be sumitted to the Administrator. Where the preceding requirements of this paragraph are met, the permitting authority shall revise the operating permit in accordance with this paragraph and paragraph (g)(1)(ii) of this section and § 72.81 (permit modification).

(ii) Regardless of whether notification under paragraph (g)(1)(i) of this section is given, the repowering extension will end beginning on the earlier of the date of such notification or the date by which the designated representative was required to give such notification under § 72.94(d). The Administrator will deduct allowances (including a pro rata deduction for any fraction of a year) from the Allowance Tracking System account of the existing unit to the extent necessary to ensure that, beginning the day after the extension ends, allowances are allocated in accordance with § 73.21(c)(1) of this chapter.

(2) If the designated representative of a unit governed by an approved repowering extension plan demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Administrator, in a requested permit modification, that the repowering technology specified in the plan was properly constructed and tested on such unit but was unable to achieve the emissions reduction limitations specified in the plan and that it is economically or technologically infeasible to modify the technology to achieve such limits, the unit shall not be deemed in violation of the Act because of such failure to achieve the emissions reduction limitations. If the Administrator

is not the permitting authority, a copy of the requested permit modification shall be sumitted to the Administrator. In order to be properly constructed and tested, the repowering technology shall be constructed at least to the extent necessary for direct testing of the multiple combustion emissions (including sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides) from such unit while operating the technology at nameplate capacity. Where the preceding requirements of this paragraph are met:

(i) The permitting authority shall revise the Acid Rain portion of the operating permit in accordance with paragraphs (g)(2) (ii) and (iii) and § 72.81 (permit modification).

(ii) The existing unit may be retrofitted or repowered with another clean coal or other available control technology.

(iii) The repowering extension will continue in effect until the earlier of the date the existing unit commences commercial operation with such control technology or December 31, 2003. The Administrator will allocate or deduct allowances as necessary to ensure that allowances are allocated in accordance with paragraph (f)(3) of this section applying the repowering extension under this paragraph.

(h) *Special provisions*—(1) *Emissions Limitations*. (i) *Sulfur Dioxide*. Allowances allocated during the repowering extension under paragraphs (f)(3) and (g)(2)(iii) of this section to a unit governed by an approved repowering extension plan shall not be transferred to any Allowance Tracking System account other than the unit accounts of other units at the same source as that unit.

(ii) *Nitrogen oxides*. Any existing unit governed by an approved repowering extension plan shall be subject to the Acid Rain emissions limitations for nitrogen oxides in accordance with part 76 of this chapter beginning on the date that the unit is removed from operation to install the repowering technology or is permanently removed from service.

(iii) No existing unit governed by an approved repowering extension plan shall be eligible for a waiver under section 111(j) of the Act.

(iv) No new unit governed by an approved repowering extension plan shall receive an exemption from the requirements imposed under section 111 of the Act.

(2) *Reporting requirements*. Each unit governed by an approved repowering extension plan shall comply with the special reporting requirements of § 72.94.

(3) *Liability*. (i) The owners and operators of a unit governed by an approved repowering plan shall be liable for any violation of the plan or this section at that or any other unit governed by the plan, including liability for fulfilling the obligations specified in part 77 of this chapter and section 411 of the Act.

(ii) The units governed by the plan under paragraph (b)(2) of this section shall continue to have a common designated representative until the existing unit is permanently retired under the plan.

(4) *Terminations*. Except as provided in paragraph (g) of this section, a repowering extension plan shall not be terminated after December 31, 1999.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 58 FR 15649, Mar. 23, 1993; 62 FR 55481, Oct. 24, 1997]

Subpart E—Acid Rain Permit Contents

§ 72.50 General.

(a) Each Acid Rain permit (including any draft or proposed Acid Rain permit) will contain the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(1) All elements required for a complete Acid Rain permit application under § 72.31 of this part, as approved or adjusted by the permitting authority;

(2) The applicable Acid Rain emissions limitation for sulfur dioxide; and

(3) The applicable Acid Rain emissions limitation for nitrogen oxides.

(b) Each Acid Rain permit is deemed to incorporate the definitions of terms under § 72.2 of this part.

§ 72.51 Permit shield.

Each affected unit operated in accordance with the Acid Rain permit that governs the unit and that was issued in compliance with title IV of

the Act, as provided in this part and parts 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, and 78 of this chapter shall be deemed to be operating in compliance with the Acid Rain Program, except as provided in § 72.9(g)(6).

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55481, Oct. 24, 1997]

Subpart F—Federal Acid Rain Permit Issuance Procedures

§ 72.60 General.

(a) *Scope*. This subpart and parts 74, 76, and 78 of this chapter contain the procedures for federal issuance of Acid Rain permits for Phase I of the Acid Rain Program and Phase II for sources for which the Administrator is the permitting authority under § 72.74.

(1) Notwithstanding the provisions of part 71 of this chapter, the provisions of subparts C, D, E, F, and H of this part and of parts 74, 76, and 78 of this chapter shall govern the following requirements for Acid Rain permit applications and permits: submission, content, and effect of permit applications; content and requirements of compliance plans and compliance options; content of permits and permit shield; procedures for determining completeness of permit applications; issuance of draft permits; administrative record; public notice and comment and public hearings on draft permits; response to comments on draft permits; issuance and effectiveness of permits; permit revisions; and administrative appeal procedures. The provisions of part 71 of this chapter concerning Indian tribes, delegation of a part 71 program, affected State review of draft permits, and public petitions to reopen a permit for cause shall apply to Acid Rain permit applications and permits.

(2) The procedures in this subpart do not apply to the issuance of Acid Rain permits by State permitting authorities with operating permit programs approved under part 70 of this chapter, except as expressly provided in subpart G of this part.

(b) *Permit Decision Deadlines*. Except as provided in § 72.74(c)(1)(i), the Administrator will issue or deny an Acid Rain permit under § 72.69(a) within 6 months of receipt of a complete Acid Rain permit application submitted for a unit, in accordance with § 72.21, at the U.S. EPA Regional Office for the Region in which the source is located.

(c) *Use of Direct Final Procedures*. The Administrator may, in his or her discretion, issue, as single document, a draft Acid Rain permit in accordance with § 72.62 and an Acid Rain permit in final form and may provide public notice of the opportunity for public comment on the draft Acid Rain permit in accordance with § 72.65. The Administrator may provide that, if no significant, adverse comment on the draft Acid Rain permit is timely submitted, the Acid Rain permit will be deemed to be issued on a specified date without further notice and, if such significant, adverse comment is timely submitted, an Acid Rain permit or denial of an Acid Rain permit will be issued in accordance with § 72.69. Any notice provided under this paragraph (c) will include a description of the procedure in the prior sentence.

[62 FR 55481, Oct. 24, 1997]

§ 72.61 Completeness.

(a) *Determination of Completeness*. The Administrator will determine whether the Acid Rain permit application is complete within 60 days of receipt by the U.S. EPA Regional Office for the Region in which the source is located. The permit application shall be deemed to be complete if the Administrator fails to notify the designated representative to the contrary within 60 days of receipt.

(b) *Supplemental Information*. (1) Regardless of whether the Acid Rain permit application is complete under paragraph (a) of this section, the Administrator may require submission of any additional information that the Administrator determines to be necessary in order to review the Acid Rain permit application and issue an Acid Rain permit.

(2)(i) Within a reasonable period determined by the Administrator, the designated representative shall submit the information required under paragraph (b)(1) of this section.

(ii) If the designated representative fails to submit the supplemental information within the required time period, the Administrator may disapprove that portion of the Acid Rain permit application for the review of which the information was necessary and may deny the source an Acid Rain permit.

(3) Any designated representative who fails to submit any relevant information or who has submitted incorrect information in a permit application shall, upon becoming aware of such failure or incorrect submittal, promptly submit such supplementary information or corrected information to the Administrator.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55481, Oct. 24, 1997]

§ 72.62 Draft permit.

(a) After the Administrator receives a complete Acid Rain permit application and any supplemental information, the Administrator will issue a draft permit that incorporates in whole, in part, or with changes or conditions as appropriate, the permit application or deny the source a draft permit.

(b) The draft permit will be based on the information submitted by the designated representative of the affected source and other relevant information.

(c) The Administrator will serve a copy of the draft permit and the statement of basis on the designated representative of the affected source.

(d) The Administrator will provide a 30-day period for public comment, and opportunity to request a public hearing, on the draft permit or denial of a draft permit, in accordance with the public notice required under § 72.65(a)(1)(i) of this part.

§ 72.63 Administrative record.

(a) *Contents of the Administrative Record*. The Administrator will prepare an administrative record for an Acid Rain permit or denial of an Acid Rain permit. The administrative record will contain:

(1) The permit application and any supporting or supplemental data submitted by the designated representative;

(2) The draft permit;

(3) The statement of basis;

(4) Copies of any documents cited in the statement of basis and any other documents relied on by the Administrator in issuing or denying the draft permit (including any records of discussions or conferences with owners, operators, or the designated representative of affected units at the source or interested persons regarding the draft permit), or, for any such documents that are readily available, a statement of their location;

(5) Copies of all written public comments submitted on the draft permit or denial of a draft permit;

(6) The record of any public hearing on the draft permit or denial of a draft permit;

(7) The Acid Rain permit; and

(8) Any response to public comments submitted on the draft permit or denial of a draft permit and copies of any documents cited in the response and any other documents relied on by the Administrator to issue or deny the Acid Rain permit, or, for any such documents that are readily available, a statement of their location.

(b) [Reserved]

§ 72.64 Statement of basis.

(a) The statement of basis will briefly set forth significant factual, legal, and policy considerations on which the Administrator relied in issuing or denying the draft permit.

(b) The statement of basis will include:

(1) The reasons, and supporting authority, for approval or disapproval of any compliance options requested in the permit application, including references to applicable statutory or regulatory provisions and to the administrative record; and

(2) The name, address, and telephone, and facsimile numbers of the EPA office processing the issuance or denial of the draft permit.

§ 72.65 Public notice of opportunities for public comment.

(a)(1) The Administrator will give public notice of the following:

(i) The draft permit or denial of a draft permit and the opportunity for public review and comment and to request a public hearing; and

(ii) Date, time, location, and procedures for any scheduled hearing on the draft permit or denial of a draft permit.

(2) Any public notice given under this section may be for the issuance or denial of one or more draft permits.

(b) *Methods*. The Administrator will give the public notice required by this section by:

(1) Serving written notice on the following persons (except where such person has waived his or her right to receive such notice):

(i) The designated representative;

(ii) The air pollution control agencies of affected States; and

(iii) Any interested person.

(2) Giving notice by publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER and in a newspaper of general circulation in the area where the source covered by the Acid Rain permit application is located or in a State publication designed to give general public notice. Notwithstanding the prior sentence, if a draft permit requires the affected units at a source to comply with § 72.9(c)(1) and to meet any applicable emission limitation for NO_X under §§ 76.5, 76.6, 76.7, 76.8, or 76.11 of this chapter and does not include for any unit a compliance option under § 72.44, part 74 of this chapter, or § 76.10 of this chapter, the Administrator may, in his or her discretion, provide notice of the draft permit by FEDERAL REGISTER publication and may omit notice by newspaper or State publication.

(c) *Contents*. All public notices issued under this section will contain the following information:

(1) Identification of the EPA office processing the issuance or denial of the draft permit for which the notice is being given.

(2) Identification of the designated representative for the affected source.

(3) Identification of each unit covered by the Acid Rain permit application and the draft permit.

(4) Any compliance options proposed for approval in the draft permit or for disapproval and the total allowances (including any under the compliance options) allocated to each unit if the Acid Rain permit application is approved.

(5) The address and office hours of a public location where the administrative record is available for public inspection and a statement that all information submitted by the designated representative and not protected as confidential under section 114(c) of the Act is available for public inspection as part of the administrative record.

(6) For public notice under paragraph (a)(1)(i) of this section, a brief description of the public comment procedures, including:

(i) A 30-day period for public comment beginning the date of publication of the notice or, in the case of an extension or reopening of the public comment period, such period as the Administrator deems appropriate;

(ii) The address where public comments should be sent;

(iii) Required formats and contents for public comment;

(iv) An opportunity to request a public hearing to occur not earlier than 15 days after public notice is given and the location, date, time, and procedures of any scheduled public hearing; and

(v) Any other means by which the public may participate.

(d) *Extensions and Reopenings of the Public Comment Period*. On the Administrator's own motion or on the request of any person, the Administrator may, at his or her discretion, extend or reopen the public comment period where he or she finds that doing so will contribute to the decision-making process by clarifying one or more significant issues affecting the draft permit or denial of a draft permit. Notice of any such extension or reopening shall be given under paragraph (a)(1)(i) of this section.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55482, Oct. 24, 1997]

§ 72.66 Public comments.

(a) *General*. During the public comment period, any person may submit written comments on the draft permit or the denial of a draft permit.

(b) *Form*. (1) Comments shall be submitted in duplicate.

(2) The submission shall clearly indicate the draft permit issuance or denial to which the comments apply.

(3) The submission shall clearly indicate the name of the person commenting, his or her interest in the matter, and his or her affiliation, if any, to owners and operators of any unit covered by the Acid Rain permit application.

(c) *Contents.* Timely comments on any aspect of the draft permit or denial or a draft permit will be considered unless they concern:

(1) Any standard requirement under § 72.9;

(2) Issues that are not relevant, such as:

(i) The environmental effects of acid rain, acid deposition, sulfur dioxide, or nitrogen oxides generally; and

(ii) Permit issuance procedures, or actions on other permit applications, that are not relevant to the draft permit issuance or denial in question.

(d) Persons who do not wish to raise issues concerning the issuance or denial of the draft permit, but who wish to be notified of any subsequent actions concerning such matter may so indicate in writing during the public comment period or at any other time. The Administrator will place their names on a list of interested persons.

§ 72.67 Opportunity for public hearing.

(a) During the public comment period, any person may request a public hearing. A request for a public hearing shall be made in writing and shall state the issues proposed to be raised in the hearing.

(b) On the Administrator's own motion or on the request of any person, the Administrator may, at his or her discretion, hold a public hearing whenever the Administrator finds that such a hearing will contribute to the decision-making process by clarifying one or more significant issues affecting the draft permit or denial of a draft permit. Public hearings will not be held on issues under § 72.66(c) (1) and (2).

(c) During a public hearing under this section, any person may submit oral or written comments concerning the draft permit or denial of a draft permit. The Administrator may set reasonable limits on the time allowed for oral statements and will require the submission of a written summary of each oral statement.

(d) The Administrator will assure that a record is made of the hearing.

§ 72.68 Response to comments.

(a) The Administrator will consider comments on the draft permit or denial of a draft permit that are received during the public comment period and any public hearing. The Administrator is not required to consider comments otherwise received.

(b) In issuing or denying an Acid Rain permit, the Administrator will:

(1) Identify any permit provision or portion of the statement of basis that has been changed and the reasons for the change; and

(2) Briefly describe and respond to relevant comments under paragraph (a) of this section.

§ 72.69 Issuance and effective date of acid rain permits.

(a) After the close of the public comment period, the Administrator will issue or deny an Acid Rain permit. The Administrator will serve a copy of any Acid Rain permit and the response to comments on the designated representative for the source covered by the issuance or denial and serve written notice of the issuance or denial on the air pollution control agencies of affected States and any interested person. The Administrator will also give notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(b)(1) The term of every Acid Rain permit shall be 5 years commencing on its effective date.

(2) Every Acid Rain permit for Phase I shall take effect on January 1, 1995.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55482, Oct. 24, 1997]

Subpart G—Acid Rain Phase II Implementation

§ 72.70 Relationship to title V operating permit program.

(a) *Scope.* This subpart sets forth criteria for approval of State operating permit programs and acceptance of State Acid Rain programs, the procedure for including State Acid Rain programs in a title V operating permit program, and the requirements with which State permitting authorities with accepted programs shall comply,

and with which the Administrator will comply in the absence of an accepted State program, to issue Phase II Acid Rain permits.

(b) *Relationship to operating permit program.* Each State permitting authority with an affected source shall act in accordance with this part and parts 70, 74, 76, and 78 of this chapter for the purpose of incorporating Acid Rain Program requirements into each affected source's operating permit . To the extent that this part or part 74, 76, or 78 of this chapter is inconsistent with the requirements of part 70 of this chapter, this part and parts 74, 76, and 78 of this chapter shall take precedence and shall govern the issuance, denial, revision, reopening, renewal, and appeal of the Acid Rain portion of an operating permit.

[62 FR 55482, Oct. 24, 1997, as amended at 66 FR 12978, Mar. 1, 2001]

§ 72.71 Acceptance of State Acid Rain programs—general.

(a) Each State shall submit, to the Administrator for review and acceptance, a State Acid Rain program meeting the requirements of §§ 72.72 and 72.73.

(b) The Administrator will review each State Acid Rain program or portion of a State Acid Rain program and accept, by notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, all or a portion of such program to the extent that it meets the requirements of §§ 72.72 and 72.73. At his or her discretion, the Administrator may accept, with conditions and by notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, all or a portion of such program despite the failure to meet requirements of §§ 72.72 and 72.73. On the later of the date of publication of such notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER or the date on which the State operating permit program is approved under part 70 of this chapter, the State Acid Rain program accepted by the Administrator will become a portion of the approved State operating permit program. Before accepting or rejecting all or a portion of a State Acid Rain Program, the Administrator will provide notice and opportunity for public comment on such acceptance or rejection.

(c)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (c)(2) of this section, the Administrator will issue all Acid Rain permits for Phase I. The Administrator reserves the right to delegate the remaining administration and enforcement of Acid Rain permits for Phase I to approved State operating permit programs.

(2) The State permitting authority will issue an opt-in permit for a combustion or process source subject to its jurisdiction if, on the date on which the combustion or process source submits an opt-in permit application, the State permitting authority has opt-in regulations accepted under paragraph (b) of this section and an approved operating permits program under part 70 of this chapter.

[62 FR 55482, Oct. 24, 1997]

§ 72.72 Criteria for State operating permit program.

A State operating permit program (including a State Acid Rain program) shall meet the following criteria. Any aspect of a State operating permits program or any implementation of a State operating permit program that fails to meet these criteria shall be grounds for nonacceptance or withdrawal of all or part of the Acid Rain portion of an approved State operating permit program by the Administrator or for disapproval or withdrawal of approval of the State operating permit program by the Administrator.

(a) *Non-Interference with Acid Rain Program.* The State operating permit program shall not include or implement any measures that would interfere with the Acid Rain Program. In particular, the State program shall not restrict or interfere with allowance trading and shall not interfere with the Administrator's decision on an offset plan. Aspects and implementation of the State program that would constitute interference with the Acid Rain Program, and are thus prohibited, include but are not limited to:

(1) Prohibitions, inconsistent with the Acid Rain Program, on the acquisition or transfer of allowances by an affected unit or affected source under the jurisdiction of the State permitting authority;

(2) Restrictions, inconsistent with the Acid Rain Program, on an affected unit's or an affected source's ability to

sell or otherwise obligate its allowances;

(3) Requirements that an affected unit or affected source maintain a balance of allowances in excess of the level determined to be prudent by any utility regulatory authority with jurisdiction over the owners of the affected unit or affected source;

(4) Failing to notify the Administrator of any State administrative or judicial appeals of, or decisions covering, Acid Rain permit provisions that might affect Acid Rain Program requirements;

(5) Issuing an order, inconsistent with the Acid Rain Program, interpreting Acid Rain Program requirements as not applicable to an affected source or an affected unit in whole or in part or otherwise adjusting the requirements;

(6) Withholding approval of any compliance option that meets the requirements of the Acid Rain Program; or

(7) Any other aspect of implementation that the Administrator determines would hinder the operation of the Acid Rain Program.

(b) The State operating permit program shall require the following provisions, which are adopted to the extent that this paragraph (b) is incorporated by reference or is otherwise included in the State operating permit program.

(1) *Acid Rain Permit Issuance.* Issuance or denial of Acid Rain permits shall follow the procedures under this part, part 70 of this chapter, and, for combustion or process sources, part 74, including:

(i) *Permit application*—(A) *Requirement to comply.* (*1*) The owners and operators and the designated representative for each affected source, except for combustion or process sources, under jurisdiction of the State permitting authority shall be required to comply with subparts B, C, and D of this part.

(*2*) The owners and operators and the designated representative for each combustion or process source under jurisdiction of the State permitting authority shall be required to comply with subpart B of this part and subparts B, C, D, and E of part 74 of this chapter.

(B) *Effect of an Acid Rain permit application.* A complete Acid Rain permit application, except for a permit application for a combustion or process source, shall be binding on the owners and operators and the designated representative of the affected source, all affected units at the source, and any other unit governed by the permit application and shall be enforceable as an Acid Rain permit, from the date of submission of the permit application until the issuance or denial of the Acid Rain permit under paragraph (b)(1)(vii) of this section.

(ii) *Draft Permit.* (A) The State permitting authority shall prepare the draft Acid Rain permit in accordance with subpart E of this part and part 76 of this chapter or, for a combustion or process source, with subpart B of part 74 of this chapter, or deny a draft Acid Rain permit.

(B) Prior to issuance of a draft permit for a combustion or process source, the State permitting authority shall provide the designated representative of a combustion or process source an opportunity to confirm its intention to opt-in, in accordance with § 74.14 of this chapter.

(iii) *Public Notice and Comment Period.* Public notice of the issuance or denial of the draft Acid Rain permit and the opportunity to comment and request a public hearing shall be given by publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the area where the source is located or in a State publication designed to give general public notice. Notwithstanding the prior sentence, if a draft permit requires the affected units at a source to comply with § 72.9(c)(1) and to meet any applicable emission limitation for NO_X under §§ 76.5, 76.6, 76.7, 76.8, or 76.11 of this chapter and does not include for any unit a compliance option under § 72.44, part 74 of this chapter, or § 76.10 of this chapter, the State permitting authority may, in its discretion, provide notice by serving notice on persons entitled to receive a written notice and may omit notice by newspaper or State publication.

(iv) *Proposed permit.* The State permitting authority shall incorporate all changes necessary and issue a proposed Acid Rain permit in accordance with subpart E of this part and part 76 of this chapter or, for a combustion or

process source, with subpart B of part 74 of this chapter, or deny a proposed Acid Rain permit.

(v) *Direct proposed procedures.* The State permitting authority may, in its discretion, issue, as a single document, a draft Acid Rain permit in accordance with paragraph (b)(1)(ii) of this section and a proposed Acid Rain permit and may provide public notice of the opportunity for public comment on the draft Acid Rain permit in accordance with paragraph (b)(1)(iii) of this section. The State permitting authority may provide that, if no significant, adverse comment on the draft Acid Rain permit is timely submitted, the proposed Acid Rain permit will be deemed to be issued on a specified date without further notice and, if such significant, adverse comment is timely submitted, a proposed Acid Rain permit or denial of a proposed Acid Rain permit will be issued in accordance with paragraph (b)(1)(iv) of this section. Any notice provided under this paragraph (b)(1)(v) shall include a description of the procedure in the prior sentence.

(vi) *Acid Rain Permit Issuance.* Following the Administrator's review of the proposed Acid Rain permit, the State permitting authority shall or, under part 70 of this chapter, the Administrator will, incorporate any required changes and issue or deny the Acid Rain permit in accordance with subpart E of this part and part 76 of this chapter or, for a combustion or process source, with subpart B of part 74 of this chapter.

(vii) *New Owners.* An Acid Rain permit shall be binding on any new owner or operator or designated representative of any source or unit governed by the permit.

(viii) Each Acid Rain permit (including a draft or proposed permit) shall contain all applicable Acid Rain requirements, shall be a complete and segregable portion of the operating permit, and shall not incorporate information contained in any other documents, other than documents that are readily available.

(ix) No Acid Rain permit (including a draft or proposed permit) shall be issued unless the Administrator has received a certificate of representation for the designated representative of the source in accordance with subpart B of this part.

(x) Except as provided in § 72.73(b) and, with regard to combustion or process sources, in § 74.14(c)(6) of this chapter, the State permitting authority shall issue or deny an Acid Rain permit within 18 months of receiving a complete Acid Rain permit application submitted in accordance with § 72.21 or such lesser time approved under part 70 of this chapter.

(2) *Permit Revisions.* In acting on any Acid Rain permit revision, the State permitting authority shall follow the provisions and procedures set forth at subpart H of this part.

(3) *Permit Renewal.* The renewal of an Acid Rain permit for an affected source shall be subject to all the requirements of this subpart pertaining to the issuance of permits.

(4) *Acid Rain Program Forms.* In developing the Acid Rain portion of the operating permit, the permitting authority shall use the applicable forms or other formats prescribed by the Administrator under the Acid Rain Program; *provided* that the Administrator may waive this requirement in whole or in part.

(5) *Acid Rain Appeal Procedures.* (i) Appeals of the Acid Rain portion of an operating permit issued by the State permitting authority that do not challenge or involve decisions or actions of the Administrator under this part or part 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, or 78 of this chapter shall be conducted according to procedures established by the State in accordance with part 70 of this chapter. Appeals of the Acid Rain portion of such a permit that challenge or involve such decisions or actions of the Administrator shall follow the procedures under part 78 of this chapter and section 307 of the Act. Such decisions or actions include, but are not limited to, allowance allocations, determinations concerning alternative monitoring systems, and determinations of whether a technology is a qualifying repowering technology.

(ii) [Reserved]

(iii) The State permitting authority shall serve written notice on the Administrator of any State administrative or judicial appeal concerning as Acid Rain provision of any operating

permit or denial of an Acid Rain portion of any operating permit within 30 days of the filing of the appeal.

(iv) Any State administrative permit appeals procedures shall ensure that the Administrator may intervene as a matter of right in any permit appeal involving an Acid Rain permit provision or denial of an Acid Rain permit.

(v) The State permitting authority shall serve written notice on the Administrator of any determination or order in a State administrative or judicial proceeding that interprets, modifies, voids, or otherwise relates to any portion of an Acid Rain permit.

(vi) A failure of the State permitting authority to issue an Acid Rain permit in accordance with §72.73(b)(1) or, with regard to combustion or process sources, §74.14(b)(6) of this chapter shall be ground for filing an appeal.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 17113, Apr. 4, 1995; 62 FR 55482, Oct. 24, 1997; 66 FR 12978, Mar. 1, 2001; 70 FR 25334, May 12, 2005]

§ 72.73 State issuance of Phase II permits.

(a) *State Permit Issuance.* (1) A State that is authorized to administer and enforce an operating permit program under part 70 of this chapter and that has a State Acid Rain program accepted by the Administrator under §72.71 shall be responsible for administering and enforcing Acid Rain permits effective in Phase II for all affected sources:

(i) That are located in the geographic area covered by the operating permits program; and

(ii) To the extent that the accepted State Acid Rain program is applicable.

(2) In administering and enforcing Acid Rain permits, the State permitting authority shall comply with the procedures for issuance, revision, renewal, and appeal of Acid Rain permits under this subpart.

(b) *Permit Issuance Deadline.* (1) A State, to the extent that it is responsible under paragraph (a) of this section as of December 31, 1997 (or such later date as the Administrator may establish) for administering and enforcing Acid Rain permits, shall:

(i) On or before December 31, 1997, issue an Acid Rain permit for Phase II covering the affected units (other than opt-in sources) at each source in the geographic area for which the program is approved; *provided* that the designated representative of the source submitted a timely and complete Acid Rain permit application in accordance with §72.21.

(ii) On or before January 1, 1999, for each unit subject to an Acid Rain NO_X emissions limitation, amend the Acid Rain permit under §72.83 and add any NO_X early election plan that was approved by the Administrator under §76.8 of this chapter and has not been terminated and reopen the Acid Rain permit and add any other Acid Rain Program nitrogen oxides requirements; *provided* that the designated representative of the affected source submitted a timely and complete Acid Rain permit application for nitrogen oxides in accordance with §72.21.

(2) Each Acid Rain permit issued in accordance with this section shall have a term of 5 years commencing on its effective date; *provided* that, at the discretion of the permitting authority, an Acid Rain permit for Phase II issued to a source may have a term of less than 5 years where necessary to coordinate the term of such permit with the term of an operating permit to be issued to the source under a State operating permit program. Each Acid Rain permit issued in accordance with paragraph (b)(1) of this section shall take effect by the later of January 1, 2000, or, where the permit governs a unit under §72.6(a)(3) of this part, the deadline for monitor certification under part 75 of this chapter.

[62 FR 55483, Oct. 24, 1997, as amended at 70 FR 25334, May 12, 2005]

§ 72.74 Federal issuance of Phase II permits.

(a)(1) The Administrator will be responsible for administering and enforcing Acid Rain permits for Phase II for any affected sources to the extent that a State permitting authority is not responsible, as of January 1, 1997 or such later date as the Administrator may establish, for administering and enforcing Acid Rain permits for such sources under §72.73(a).

(2) After and to the extent the State permitting authority becomes responsible for administering and enforcing

Acid Rain permits under § 72.73(a), the Administrator will suspend federal administration of Acid Rain permits for Phase II for sources and units to the extent that they are subject to the accepted State Acid Rain program, except as provided in paragraph (b)(4) of this section.

(b)(1) The Administrator will administer and enforce Acid Rain permits effective in Phase II for sources and units during any period that the Administrator is administering and enforcing an operating permit program under part 71 of this chapter for the geographic area in which the sources and units are located.

(2) The Administrator will administer and enforce Acid Rain permits effective in Phase II for sources and units otherwise subject to a State Acid Rain program under § 72.73(a) if:

(i) The Administrator determines that the State permitting authority is not adequately administering or enforcing all or a portion of the State Acid Rain program, notifies the State permitting authority of such determination and the reasons therefore, and publishes such notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER;

(ii) The State permitting authority fails either to correct the deficiencies within a reasonable period (established by the Administrator in the notice under paragraph (b)(2)(i) of this section) after issuance of the notice or to take significant action to assure adequate administration and enforcement of the program within a reasonable period (established by the Administrator in the notice) after issuance of the notice; and

(iii) The Administrator publishes in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice that he or she will administer and enforce Acid Rain permits effective in Phase II for sources and units subject to the State Acid Rain program or a portion of the program. The effective date of such notice shall be a reasonable period (established by the Administrator in the notice) after the issuance of the notice.

(3) When the Administrator administers and enforces Acid Rain permits under paragraph (b)(1) or (b)(2) of this section, the Administrator will administer and enforce each Acid Rain permit issued under the State Acid Rain program or portion of the program until, and except to the extent that, the permit is replaced by a permit issued under this section. After the later of the date for publication of a notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER that the State operating permit program is currently approved by the Administrator or that the State Acid Rain program or portion of the program is currently accepted by the Administrator, the Administrator will suspend federal administration of Acid Rain permits effective in Phase II for sources and units to the extent that they are subject to the State Acid Rain program or portion of the program, except as provided in paragraph (b)(4) of this section.

(4) After the State permitting authority becomes responsible for administering and enforcing Acid Rain permits effective in Phase II under § 72.73(a), the Administrator will continue to administer and enforce each Acid Rain permit issued under paragraph (a)(1), (b)(1), or (b)(2) of this section until, and except to the extent that, the permit is replaced by a permit issued under the State Acid Rain program. The State permitting authority may replace an Acid Rain permit issued under paragraph (a)(1), (b)(1), or (b)(2) of this section by issuing a permit under the State Acid Rain program by the expiration of the permit under paragraph (a)(1), (b)(1), or (b)(2) of this section. The Administrator may retain jurisdiction over the Acid Rain permits issued under paragraph (a)(1), (b)(1), or (b)(2) of this section for which the administrative or judicial review process is not complete and will address such retention of jurisdiction in a notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(c) *Permit Issuance Deadline.* (1)(i) On or before January 1, 1998, the Administrator will issue an Acid Rain permit for Phase II setting forth the Acid Rain Program sulfur dioxide requirements for each affected unit (other than opt-in sources) at a source not under the jurisdiction of a State permitting authority that is responsible, as of January 1, 1997 (or such later date as the Administrator may establish), under § 72.73(a) of this section for administering and enforcing Acid Rain permits with such requirements; *provided*

that the designated representative for the source submitted a timely and complete Acid Rain permit application in accordance with § 72.21. The failure by the Administrator to issue a permit in accordance with this paragraph shall be grounds for the filing of an appeal under part 78 of this chapter.

(ii) Each Acid Rain permit issued in accordance with this section shall have a term of 5 years commencing on its effective date. Each Acid Rain permit issued in accordance with paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section shall take effect by the later of January 1, 2000 or, where a permit governs a unit under § 72.6(a)(3), the deadline for monitor certification under part 75 of this chapter.

(2) *Nitrogen Oxides*. Not later than 6 months following submission by the designated representative of an Acid Rain permit application for nitrogen oxides, the Administrator will amend under § 72.83 the Acid Rain permit and add any NO_X early election plan that was approved under § 76.8 of this chapter and has not been terminated and reopen the Acid Rain permit for Phase II and add any other Acid Rain Program nitrogen oxides requirements for each affected source not under the jurisdiction of a State permitting authority that is responsible, as of January 1, 1997 (or such later date as the Administrator may establish), under § 72.73(a) for issuing Acid Rain permits with such requirements; *provided* that the designated representative for the source submitted a timely and complete Acid Rain permit application for nitrogen oxides in accordance with § 72.21.

(d) *Permit Issuance*. (1) The Administrator may utilize any or all of the provisions of subparts E and F of this part to administer Acid Rain permits as authorized under this section or may adopt by rulemaking portions of a State Acid Rain program in substitution of or in addition to provisions of subparts E and F of this part to administer such permits. The provisions of Acid Rain permits for Phase I or Phase II issued by the Administrator shall not be applicable requirements under part 70 of this chapter.

(2) The Administrator may delegate all or part of his or her responsibility, under this section, for administering and enforcing Phase II Acid Rain permits or opt-in permits to a State. Such delegation will be made consistent with the requirements of this part and the provisions governing delegation of a part 71 program under part 71 of this chapter.

[62 FR 55483, Oct. 24, 1997]

Subpart H—Permit Revisions

§ 72.80 General.

(a) This subpart shall govern revisions to any Acid Rain permit issued by the Administrator and to the Acid Rain portion of any operating permit issued by a State permitting authority.

(b) Notwithstanding the operating permit revision procedures specified in parts 70 and 71 of this chapter, the provisions of this subpart shall govern revision of any Acid Rain Program permit provision.

(c) A permit revision may be submitted for approval at any time. No permit revision shall affect the term of the Acid Rain permit to be revised. No permit revision shall excuse any violation of an Acid Rain Program requirement that occurred prior to the effective date of the revision.

(d) The terms of the Acid Rain permit shall apply while the permit revision is pending, except as provided in § 72.83 for administrative permit amendments.

(e) The standard requirements of § 72.9 shall not be modified or voided by a permit revision.

(f) Any permit revision involving incorporation of a compliance option that was not submitted for approval and comment during the permit issuance process or involving a change in a compliance option that was previously submitted, shall meet the requirements for applying for such compliance option under subpart D of this part and parts 74 and 76 of this chapter.

(g) Any designated representative who fails to submit any relevant information or who has submitted incorrect information in a permit revision shall, upon becoming aware of such failure or incorrect submittal, promptly submit such supplementary information or

corrected information to the permitting authority.

(h) For permit revisions not described in §§ 72.81 and 72.82 of this part, the permitting authority may, in its discretion, determine which of these sections is applicable.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55484, Oct. 24, 1997]

§ 72.81 Permit modifications.

(a) Permit revisions that shall follow the permit modification procedures are:

(1) Relaxation of an excess emission offset requirement after approval of the offset plan by the Administrator;

(2) Incorporation of a final nitrogen oxides alternative emission limitation following a demonstration period;

(3) Determinations concerning failed repowering projects under § 72.44(g)(1)(i) and (2) of this part.

(b) The following permit revisions shall follow, at the option of the designated representative submitting the permit revision, either the permit modification procedures or the fast-track modification procedures under § 72.82 of this part:

(1) Consistent with paragraph (a) of this section, incorporation of a compliance option that the designated representative did not submit for approval and comment during the permit issuance process; except that incorporation of a reduced utilization plan that was not submitted during the permit issuance process, that does not designate a compensating unit, and that meets the requirements of § 72.43 of this part, may use the administrative permit amendment procedures under § 72.83 of this part;

(2) Changes in a substitution plan or reduced utilization plan that result in the addition of a new substitution unit or a new compensating unit under the plan;

(3) Addition of a nitrogen oxides averaging plan to a permit;

(4) Changes in a Phase I extension plan, repowering plan, nitrogen oxides averaging plan, or nitrogen oxides compliance deadline extension; and

(5) Changes in a thermal energy plan that result in any addition or subtraction of a replacement unit or any change affecting the number of allowances transferred for the replacement of thermal energy.

(c)(1) Permit modifications shall follow the permit issuance requirements of:

(i) Subparts E, F, and G of this part, where the Administrator is the permitting authority; or

(ii) Subpart G of this part, where the State is the permitting authority.

(2) For purposes of applying paragraph (c)(1) of this section, a requested permit modification shall be treated as a permit application, to the extent consistent with § 72.80 (c) and (d).

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 17114, Apr. 4, 1995; 62 FR 55485, Oct. 24, 1997]

§ 72.82 Fast-track modifications.

The following procedures shall apply to all fast-track modifications.

(a) If the Administrator is the permitting authority, the designated representative shall serve a copy of the fast-track modification on the Administrator and any person entitled to a written notice under § 72.65(b)(1)(ii) and (iii). If a State is the permitting authority, the designated representative shall serve such a copy on the Administrator, the permitting authority, and any person entitled to receive a written notice of a draft permit under the approved State operating permit program. Within 5 business days of serving such copies, the designated representative shall also give public notice by publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the area where the sources are located or in a State publication designed to give general public notice.

(b) The public shall have a period of 30 days, commencing on the date of publication of the notice, to comment on the fast-track modification. Comments shall be submitted in writing to the permitting authority and to the designated representative.

(c) The designated representative shall submit the fast-track modification to the permitting authority on or before commencement of the public comment period.

(d) Within 30 days of the close of the public comment period if the Administrator is the permitting authority or within 90 days of the close of the public

comment period if a State is the permitting authority, the permitting authority shall consider the fast-track modification and the comments received and approve, in whole or in part or with changes or conditions as appropriate, or disapprove the modification. A fast-track modification shall be subject to the same provisions for review by the Administrator and affected States as are applicable to a permit modification under § 72.81.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55485, Oct. 24, 1997]

§ 72.83 Administrative permit amendment.

(a) Acid Rain permit revisions that shall follow the administrative permit amendment procedures are:

(1) Activation of a compliance option conditionally approved by the permitting authority; *provided* that all requirements for activation under subpart D of this part are met;

(2) Changes in the designated representative or alternative designated representative; *provided* that a new certificate of representation is submitted;

(3) Correction of typographical errors;

(4) Changes in names, addresses, or telephone or facsimile numbers;

(5) Changes in the owners or operators; *provided* that a new certificate of representation is submitted within 30 days;

(6)(i) Termination of a compliance option in the permit; provided that all requirements for termination under subpart D of this part are met and this procedure shall not be used to terminate a repowering plan after December 31, 1999 or a Phase I extension plan;

(ii) For opt-in sources, termination of a compliance option in the permit; provided that all requirements for termination under § 74.47 of this chapter are met.

(7) Changes in a substitution or reduced utilization plan that do not result in the addition of a new substitution unit or a new compensating unit under the plan;

(8) Changes in the date, specified in a unit's Acid Rain permit, of commencement of operation of qualifying Phase I technology, *provided* that they are in accordance with § 72.42 of this part;

(9) Changes in the date, specified in a new unit's Acid Rain permit, of commencement of operation or the deadline for monitor certification, *provided* that they are in accordance with § 72.9 of this part;

(10) The addition of or change in a nitrogen oxides alternative emissions limitation demonstration period, *provided* that the requirements of part 76 of this chapter are met; and

(11) Changes in a thermal energy plan that do not result in the addition or subtraction of a replacement unit or any change affecting the number of allowances transferred for the replacement of thermal energy.

(12) The addition of a NO_X early election plan that was approved by the Administrator under § 76.8 of this chapter;

(13) The addition of an exemption for which the requirements have been met under § 72.7 or § 72.8 and

(14) Incorporation of changes that the Administrator has determined to be similar to those in paragraphs (a)(1) through (13) of this section.

(b)(1) The permitting authority will take final action on an administrative permit amendment within 60 days, or, for the addition of an alternative emissions limitation demonstration period, within 90 days, of receipt of the requested amendment and may take such action without providing prior public notice. The source may implement any changes in the administrative permit amendment immediately upon submission of the requested amendment, *provided* that the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section are met.

(2) The permitting authority may, on its own motion, make an administrative permit amendment under paragraph (a)(3), (a)(4), (a)(12), or (a)(13) of this section at least 30 days after providing notice to the designated representative of the amendment and without providing any other prior public notice.

(c) The permitting authority will designate the permit revision under paragraph (b) of this section as having been made as an administrative permit amendment. Where a State is the permitting authority, the permitting authority shall submit the revised portion of the permit to the Administrator.

(d) An administrative amendment shall not be subject to the provisions for review by the Administrator and affected States applicable to a permit modification under § 72.81.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 17114, Apr. 4, 1995; 62 FR 55485, Oct. 24, 1997; 66 FR 12978, Mar. 1, 2001]

§ 72.84 Automatic permit amendment.

The following permit revisions shall be deemed to amend automatically, and become a part of the affected unit's Acid Rain permit by operation of law without any further review:

(a) Upon recordation by the Administrator under part 73 of this chapter, all allowance allocations to, transfers to, and deductions from an affected unit's Allowance Tracking System account; and

(b) Incorporation of an offset plan that has been approved by the Administrator under part 77 of this chapter.

§ 72.85 Permit reopenings.

(a) The permitting authority shall reopen an Acid Rain permit for cause whenever:

(1) Any additional requirement under the Acid Rain Program becomes applicable to any affected unit governed by the permit;

(2) The permitting authority determines that the permit contains a material mistake or that an inaccurate statement was made in establishing the emissions standards or other terms or conditions of the permit, unless the mistake or statement is corrected in accordance with § 72.83; or

(3) The permitting authority determines that the permit must be revised or revoked to assure compliance with Acid Rain Program requirements.

(b) In reopening an Acid Rain permit for cause, the permitting authority shall issue a draft permit changing the provisions, or adding the requirements, for which the reopening was necessary. The draft permit shall be subject to the requirements of subparts E, F, and G of this part.

(c) As provided in §§ 72.73(b)(1) and 72.74(c)(2), the permitting authority shall reopen an Acid Rain permit to incorporate nitrogen oxides requirements, consistent with part 76 of this chapter.

(d) Any reopening of an Acid Rain permit shall not affect the term of the permit.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55485, Oct. 24, 1997]

Subpart I—Compliance Certification

§ 72.90 Annual compliance certification report.

(a) *Applicability and deadline.* For each calendar year during 1995 through 2005 in which a unit is subject to the Acid Rain emissions limitations, the designated representative of the source at which the unit is located shall submit to the Administrator, within 60 days after the end of the calendar year, an annual compliance certification report for the unit.

(b) *Contents of report.* The designated representative shall include in the annual compliance certification report under paragraph (a) of this section the following elements, in a format prescribed by the Administrator, concerning the unit and the calendar year covered by the report:

(1) Identification of the unit;

(2) For all Phase I units, the information in accordance with §§ 72.91(a) and 72.92(a) of this part;

(3) If the unit is governed by an approved Phase I extension plan, then the information in accordance with § 72.93 of this part;

(4) At the designated representative's option, the total number of allowances to be deducted for the year, using the formula in § 72.95 of this part, and the serial numbers of the allowances that are to be deducted;

(5) At the designated representative's option, for units that share a common stack and whose emissions of sulfur dioxide are not monitored separately or apportioned in accordance with part 75 of this chapter, the percentage of the total number of allowances under paragraph (b)(4) of this section for all such units that is to be deducted from each unit's compliance subaccount; and

(6) The compliance certification under paragraph (c) of this section.

(c) *Annual compliance certification.* In the annual compliance certification report under paragraph (a) of this section, the designated representative

shall certify, based on reasonable inquiry of those persons with primary responsibility for operating the source and the affected units at the source in compliance with the Acid Rain Program, whether each affected unit for which the compliance certification is submitted was operated during the calendar year covered by the report in compliance with the requirements of the Acid Rain Program applicable to the unit, including:

(1) Whether the unit was operated in compliance with the applicable Acid Rain emissions limitations, including whether the unit held allowances, as of the allowance transfer deadline, in its compliance subaccount (after accounting for any allowance deductions under § 73.34(c) of this chapter) not less than the unit's total sulfur dioxide emissions during the calendar year covered by the annual report;

(2) Whether the monitoring plan that governs the unit has been maintained to reflect the actual operation and monitoring of the unit and contains all information necessary to attribute monitored emissions to the unit;

(3) Whether all the emissions from the unit, or a group of units (including the unit) using a common stack, were monitored or accounted for through the missing data procedures and reported in the quarterly monitoring reports, including whether conditionally valid data, as defined in § 72.2, were reported in the quarterly report. If conditionally valid data were reported, the owner or operator shall indicate whether the status of all conditionally valid data has been resolved and all necessary quarterly report resubmissions have been made.

(4) Whether the facts that form the basis for certification of each monitor at the unit or a group of units (including the unit) using a common stack or for using an Acid Rain Program excepted monitoring method or approved alternative monitoring method, if any, has changed; and

(5) If a change is required to be reported under paragraph (c)(4) of this section, specify the nature of the change, the reason for the change, when the change occurred, and how the unit's compliance status was determined subsequent to the change, including what method was used to determine emissions when a change mandated the need for monitor recertification.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 64 FR 28588, May 26, 1999; 70 FR 25334, May 12, 2005]

§ 72.91 Phase I unit adjusted utilization.

(a) *Annual compliance certification report.* The designated representative for each Phase I unit shall include in the annual compliance certification report the unit's adjusted utilization for the calendar year in Phase I covered by the report, calculated as follows:

Adjusted utilization = baseline − actual utilization − plan reductions + compensating generation provided to other units

where:

(1) ''Baseline'' is as defined in § 72.2 of this part.

(2) ''Actual utilization'' is the actual annual heat input (in mmBtu) of the unit for the calendar year determined in accordance with part 75 of this chapter.

(3) ''Plan reductions'' are the reductions in actual utilization, for the calendar year, below the baseline that are accounted for by an approved reduced utilization plan. The designated representative for the unit shall calculate the ''plan reductions'' (in mmBtu) using the following formula and converting all values in Kwh to mmBtu using the actual annual average heat rate (Btu/Kwh) of the unit (determined in accordance with part 75 of this chapter) before the employment of any improved unit efficiency measures under an approved plan:

Plan reductions = reduction from energy conservation + reduction from improved unit efficiency improvements + shifts to designated sulfur-free generators + shifts to designated compensating units

where:

(i) ''Reduction from energy conservation'' is a good faith estimate of the expected kilowatt hour savings during the calendar year from all conservation measures under the reduced utilization

plan and the corresponding reduction in heat input (in mmBtu) resulting from those savings. The verified amount of such reduction shall be submitted in accordance with paragraph (b) of this section.

(ii) ''Reduction from improved unit efficiency'' is a good faith estimate of the expected improvement in heat rate during the calendar year and the corresponding reduction in heat input (in mmBtu) at the Phase I unit as a result of all improved unit efficiency measures under the reduced utilization plan. The verified amount of such reduction shall be submitted in accordance with paragraph (b) of this section.

(iii) ''Shifts to designated sulfur-free generators'' is the reduction in utilization (in mmBtu), for the calendar year, that is accounted for by all sulfur-free generators designated under the reduced utilization plan in effect for the calendar year. This term equals the sum, for all such generators, of the ''shift to sulfur-free generator.'' ''Shift to sulfur-free generator'' shall equal the amount, to the extent documented under paragraph (a)(6) of this section, calculated for each generator using the following formula:

Shift to sulfur-free generator = actual sulfur-free utilization − [(average 1985–87 sulfur-free annual utilization) (1 + percentage change in dispatch system sales)]

where:

(A) ''Actual sulfur-free utilization'' is the actual annual generation (in Kwh) of the designated sulfur-free generator for the calendar year converted to mmBtus.

(B) ''Average 1985–87 sulfur-free utilization'' is the sum of annual generation (in Kwh) for 1985, 1986, and 1987 for the designated sulfur-free generator, divided by three and converted to mmBtus.

(C) ''Percentage change in dispatch system sales'' is calculated as follows:

$$\text{Percentage change in dispatch system sales} = [S_c - (\sum_{y=1985}^{1987} S_y \div 3)] \div [\sum_{y=1985}^{1987} S_y \div 3]$$

where:

S = dispatch system sales (in Kwh)
c = calendar year
y = 1985, 1986, or 1987

If the result of the formula for percentage change in dispatch system sales is less than or equal to zero, then percentage change in dispatch system sales shall be treated as zero only for purposes of paragraph (a)(3)(iii) of this section.

(D) If the result of the formula for ''shift to sulfur-free generator'' is less than or equal to zero, then ''shift to sulfur-free generator'' is zero.

(iv) ''Shifts to designated compensating units'' is the reduction in utilization (in mmBtu) for the calendar year that is accounted for by increased generation at compensating units designated under the reduced utilization plan in effect for the calendar year. This term equals the heat rate, under paragraph (a)(3) of this section, of the unit reducing utilization multiplied by the sum, for all such compensating units, of the ''shift to compensating unit'' for each compensating unit. ''Shift to compensating unit'' shall equal the amount of compensating generation (in Kwh), to the extent documented under paragraph (a)(6) of this section, that the designated representatives of the unit reducing utilization and the compensating unit have certified (in their respective annual compliance certification reports) as the amount that will be converted to mmBtus and used, in accordance with paragraph (a)(4) of this section, in calculating the adjusted utilization for the compensating unit.

(4) ''Compensating generation provided to other units'' is the total amount of utilization (in mmBtu) necessary to provide the generation (if any) that was shifted to the unit as a designated compensating unit under any other reduced utilization plans that were in effect for the unit and for the calendar year. This term equals the heat rate, under paragraph (a)(3) of this

section, of such unit multiplied by the sum of each ''shift to compensating unit'' that is attributed to the unit in the annual compliance certification reports submitted by the Phase I units under such other plans and that is certified under paragraph (a)(3)(iv) of this section.

(5) Notwithstanding paragraphs (a)(3)(i), (ii), and (iii) of this section, where two or more Phase I units include in ''plan reductions'', in their annual compliance certification reports for the calendar year, expected kilowatt hour savings or reduction in heat rate from the same specific conservation or improved unit efficiency measures or increased utilization of the same sulfur-free generator:

(i) The designated representatives of all such units shall submit with their annual reports a certification signed by all such designated representatives. The certification shall apportion the total kilowatt hour savings, reduction in heat rate, or increased utilization among such units.

(ii) Each designated representative shall include in the annual report only the respective unit's share of the total kilowatt hour savings, reduction in heat rate, or increased utilization, in accordance with the certification under paragraph (a)(5)(i) of this section.

(6)(i) Where a unit includes in ''plan reductions'' under paragraph (a)(3) of this section the increase in utilization of any sulfur-free generator, the designated representative of the unit shall submit, with the annual compliance certification report, documentation demonstrating that an amount of electrical energy at least equal to the ''shift to sulfur-free generator'' attributed to the sulfur-free generator in the annual report was actually acquired by the unit's dispatch system from the sulfur-free generator.

(ii) Where a unit includes in ''plan reductions'' under paragraph (a)(3) of this section utilization of any compensating unit, the designated representative of the unit shall submit with the annual compliance certification report, documentation demonstrating that an amount of electrical energy at least equal to the ''shift to compensating unit'' attributed to the compensating unit in the annual report was actually acquired by the unit's dispatch system from the compensating unit.

(7) Notwithstanding paragraphs (a)(3)(i), (ii), (iii), and (iv), (a)(4), and (a)(5) of this section, ''plan reductions'' minus ''compensating generation provided to other units'' shall not exceed ''baseline'' minus ''actual utilization.''

(b) *Confirmation report.* (1) If a unit's annual compliance certification report estimates any expected kilowatt hour savings or improvement in heat rate from energy conservation or improved unit efficiency measures under a reduced utilization plan, the designated representative shall submit, by July 1 of the year in which the annual report was submitted, a confirmation report. The Administrator may grant, for good cause shown, an extension of the time to file the confirmation report. The confirmation report shall include the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(i) The verified kilowatt hour savings from each such energy conservation measure and the verified corresponding reduction in the unit's heat input resulting from each measure during the calendar year covered by the annual report. For purposes of this paragraph (b), all values in Kwh shall be converted to mmBtu using the actual annual heat rate (Btu/Kwh) of the unit (determined in accordance with part 75 of this chapter) before the employment of any improved unit efficiency measures under an approved reduced utilization plan.

(ii) The verified reduction in the heat rate achieved by each improved unit efficiency measure and the verified corresponding reduction in the unit's heat input resulting from such measure.

(iii) For each figure under paragraphs (b)(1) (i) and (ii) of this section:

(A) Documentation (which may follow the EPA Conservation Verification Protocol) verifying specified figures to the satisfaction of the Administrator; or

(B) Certification, by a State utility regulatory authority that has ratemaking jurisdiction over the utility system that paid for the measures in accordance with § 72.43(b)(2) of this part and over rates reflecting any of the amount paid for such measures, or that

meets the criteria in § 73.82(c)(1) (i) and (ii) of this chapter, that such authority verified specified figures related to demand-side measures; and

(C) Certification, by a utility regulatory authority that has ratemaking jurisdiction over the utility system that paid for the measures in accordance with § 72.43(b)(2) of this part and over rates reflecting any of the amount paid for such measures, that such authority verified specified figures related to supply-side measures, except measures relating to generation efficiency.

(iv) The sum of the verified reductions in a unit's heat input from all measures implemented at the unit to reduce the unit's heat rate (whether the measures are treated as supply-side measures or improved unit efficiency measures) shall not exceed the generation (in kwh) attributed to the unit for the calendar year times the difference between the unit's heat rate for 1987 and the unit's heat rate for the calendar year.

(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section, where two or more Phase I units include in the confirmation report the verified kilowatt hour savings or reduction in heat rate from the same specific conservation or improved unit efficiency measures:

(i) The designated representatives of all such units shall submit with their confirmation reports a certification signed by all such designated representatives. The certification shall apportion the total kilowatt hour savings or reduction in heat rate among such units.

(ii) Each designated representative shall include in the confirmation report only the respective unit's share of the total savings or reduction in heat rate in accordance with the certification under paragraph (b)(2)(i) of this section.

(3) If the total, included in the confirmation report, of the amounts of verified reduction in the unit's heat input from energy conservation and improved unit efficiency measures equals the total estimated in the unit's annual compliance certification report from such measures for the calendar year, then the designated representatives shall include in the confirmation report a statement indicating that is true.

(4) If the total, included in the confirmation report, of the amounts of verified reduction in the unit's heat input from energy conservation and improved unit efficiency measures is greater than the total estimated in the unit's annual compliance certification report from such measures for the calendar year, then the designated representative shall include in the confirmation report the number of allowances to be credited to the unit's compliance subaccount calculated using the following formula:

Allowances credited = (verified heat input reduction-estimated heat input reduction) × emissions rate · 2000 lbs/ton

where:

(i) ''Verified heat input reduction'' is the total of the amounts of verified reduction in the unit's heat input (in mmBtu) from energy conservation and improved unit efficiency measures included in the confirmation report.

(ii) ''Estimated heat input reduction'' is the total of the amounts of reduction in the unit's heat input (in mmBtu) accounted for by energy conservation and improved efficiency measures as estimated in the unit's annual compliance certification report for the calendar year.

(iii) ''Emissions rate'' is the ''emissions rate'' under § 72.92(c)(2)(v) of this part.

(iv) The allowances credited shall not exceed the total number of allowances deducted from the unit's compliance subaccount for the calendar year in accordance with §§ 72.92(a) and (c) and 73.35(b) of this chapter.

(5) If the total, included in the confirmation report, of the amount of verified reduction in the unit's heat input for energy conservation and improved unit efficiency measures is less than the total estimated in the unit's annual compliance certification report for such measures for the calendar year, then the designated representative shall include in the confirmation report the number of allowances to be deducted from the unit's compliance subaccount calculated in accordance with this paragraph (b)(5).

(i) If any allowances were deducted from the unit's compliance subaccount for the calendar year in accordance with §§ 72.92(a) and (c) and 73.35(b) of this chapter, then the number of allowances to be deducted under paragraph (b)(5) of this section equals the absolute value of the result of the formula for allowances credited under paragraph (b)(4) of this section (excluding paragraph (b)(4)(iv) of this section).

(ii) If no allowances were deducted from the unit's compliance subaccount for the calendar year in accordance with §§ 72.92(a) and (c) and 73.35(b) of this chapter:

(A) The designated representative shall recalculate the unit's adjusted utilization in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section, replacing the amounts for reduction from energy conservation and reduction from improved unit efficiency by the amount for verified heat input reduction. ''Verified heat input reduction'' is the total of the amounts of verified reduction in the unit's heat input (in mmBtu) from energy conservation and improved unit efficiency measures included in the confirmation report.

(B) After recalculating the adjusted utilization under paragraph (b)(5)(ii)(A) of this section for all Phase I units that are in the unit's dispatch system and to which paragraph (b)(5) of this section is applicable, the designated representative shall calculate the number of allowances to be surrendered in accordance with § 72.92(c)(2) using the recalculated adjusted utilizations of such Phase I units.

(C) The allowances to be deducted under paragraph (b)(5) of this section shall equal the amount under paragraph (b)(5)(ii)(B) of this section, *provided* that if the amount calculated under this paragraph (b)(5)(ii)(C) is equal to or less than zero, then the amount of allowances to be deducted is zero.

(6) The Administrator will determine the amount of allowances that would have been included in the unit's compliance subaccount and the amount of excess emissions of sulfur dioxide that would have resulted if the deductions made under § 73.35(b) of this chapter had been based on the verified, rather than the estimated, reduction in the unit's heat input from energy conservation and improved unit efficiency measures.

(7) The Administrator will determine whether the amount of excess emissions of sulfur dioxide under paragraph (b)(6) of this section differs from the amount of excess emissions determined under § 73.35(b) of this chapter based on the annual compliance certification report. If the amounts differ, the Administrator will determine: The number of allowances that should be deducted to offset any increase in excess emissions or returned to account for any decrease in excess emissions; and the amount of excess emissions penalty (excluding interest) that should be paid or returned to account for the change in excess emissions. The Administrator will deduct immediately from the unit's compliance subaccount the amount of allowances that he or she determines is necessary to offset any increase in excess emissions or will return immediately to the unit's compliance subaccount the amount of allowances that he or she determines is necessary to account for any decrease in excess emissions. The designated representative may identify the serial numbers of the allowances to be deducted or returned. In the absence of such identification, the deduction will be on a first-in, first-out basis under § 73.35(b)(2) of this chapter and the return will be at the Administrator's discretion.

(8) If the designated representative of a unit fails to submit on a timely basis a confirmation report (in accordance with paragraph (b) of this section) with regard to the estimate of expected kilowatt hour savings or improvement in heat rate from any energy conservation or improved unit efficiency measure under the reduced utilization plan, then the Administrator will reject such estimate and correct it to equal zero in the unit's annual compliance certification report that includes that estimate. The Administrator will deduct immediately, on a first-in, first-out basis under § 73.35(c)(2) of this chapter, the amount of allowances that he or she determines is necessary to offset

any increase in excess emissions of sulfur dioxide that results from the correction and require the owners and operators to pay an excess emission penalty in accordance with part 77 of this chapter.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 58 FR 40747, July 30, 1993; 59 FR 60231, Nov. 22, 1994; 60 FR 18470, Apr. 11, 1995; 62 FR 55485, Oct. 24, 1997]

§ 72.92 Phase I unit allowance surrender.

(a) *Annual compliance certification report.* If a Phase I unit's adjusted utilization for the calendar year in Phase I under § 72.91(a) is greater than zero, then the designated representative shall include in the annual compliance certification report the number of allowances that shall be surrendered for adjusted utilization using the formula in paragraph (c) of this section and the calculations that were performed to obtain that number.

(b) *Other submissions.* (1) [Reserved]

(2)(i) If any Phase I unit in a dispatch system is governed during the calendar year by an approved reduced utilization plan relying on sulfur-free generation, then the designated representatives of all affected units in such dispatch system shall jointly submit, within 60 days of the end of the calendar year, a dispatch system data report that includes the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(A) The name of the dispatch system as reported under § 72.33;

(B) The calculation of ''percentage change in dispatch system sales'' under § 72.91(a)(3)(iii)(C);

(C) A certification that each designated representative will use this figure, as appropriate, in its annual compliance certification report and will submit upon request the data supporting the calculation; and

(D) The signatures of all the designated representatives.

(ii) If any Phase I unit in a dispatch system has adjusted utilization greater than zero for the calendar year, then the designated representatives of all Phase I units in such dispatch system shall jointly submit, within 60 days of the end of the calendar year, a dispatch system data report that includes the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(A) The name of the dispatch system as reported under § 72.33;

(B) The calculation of ''percentage change in dispatch system sales'' under § 72.91(a)(3)(iii)(C);

(C) The calculation of ''dispatch system adjusted utilization'' under paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section;

(D) The calculation of ''dispatch system aggregate baseline'' under paragraph (c)(2)(ii) of this section;

(E) The calculation of ''fraction of generation within dispatch system'' under paragraph (c)(2)(v)(A) of this section;

(F) The calculation of ''dispatch system emissions rate'' under paragraph (c)(2)(v)(B) of this section;

(G) The calculation of ''fraction of generation from non-utility generators'' under paragraph (c)(2)(v)(C) of this section;

(H) The calculation of ''non-utility generator average emissions rate '' under paragraph (c)(2)(v)(F) of this section;

(I) A certification that each designated representative will use these figures, as appropriate, in its annual compliance certification report and will submit upon request the data supporting these calculations; and

(J) The signatures of all the designated representatives.

(c) *Allowance surrender formula.* (1) As provided under the allowance surrender formula in paragraph (c)(2) of this section:

(i) Allowances are not surrendered for deduction for the portion of adjusted utilization accounted for by:

(A) Shifts in generation from the unit to other Phase I units;

(B) A dispatch-system-wide sales decline;

(C) Plan reductions under a reduced utilization plan as calculated under § 72.91; and

(D) Foreign generation.

(ii) Allowances are surrendered for deduction for the portion of adjusted utilization that is not accounted for under paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section.

(2) The designated representative shall surrender for deduction the number of allowances calculated using the following formula:

Allowances surrendered = [dispatch system adjusted utilization + (dispatch system aggregate baseline × percentage change in dispatch system sales)] × unit's share × emissions rate · 2000 lbs/ton.

If the result of the formula for ''allowances surrendered'' is less than or equal to zero, then no allowances are surrendered.

(i) *Calculating dispatch system adjusted utilization.* ''Dispatch system adjusted utilization'' (in mmBtu) is the sum of the adjusted utilization under § 72.91(a) for all Phase I units in the dispatch system. If ''dispatch system adjusted utilization'' is less than or equal to zero, then no allowances are surrendered by any unit in that dispatch system.

(ii) *Calculating dispatch system aggregate baseline.* ''Dispatch system aggregate baseline'' is the sum of the baselines (as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter) for all Phase I units in the dispatch system.

(iii) *Calculating percentage change in dispatch system sales.* ''Percentage change in dispatch system sales'' is the ''percentage change in dispatch system sales'' under § 72.91 (a)(3)(iii)(C); *provided* that if result of the formula in § 72.91(a)(3)(iii)(C) is greater than or equal to zero, the value shall be treated as zero only for purposes of paragraph (c)(2) of this section.

(iv) *Calculating unit's share.* ''Unit's share'' is the unit's adjusted utilization divided by the sum of the adjusted utilization for all Phase I units within the dispatch system that have adjusted utilization of greater than zero and is calculated as follows:

$$\textit{Unit's share} = \frac{U_{unit}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} U_i}$$

where:

(A) U_{unit} = the unit's adjusted utilization for the calendar year;

(B) U_i = the adjusted utilization of a Phase I unit in the dispatch system for the calendar year; and

(C) m = all Phase I units in the dispatch system having an adjusted utilization greater than 0 for the calendar year.

(v) *Calculating emissions rate.* ''Emissions rate'' (in lbs/mmBtu) is the weighted average emissions rate for sulfur dioxide of all units and generators, within and outside the dispatch system, that contributed to the dispatch system's electrical output for the year, calculated as follows:

Emissions rate = [fraction of generation within dispatch system × dispatch system emissions rate] + [fraction of generation from non-utility generators × non-utility generator average emissions rate] + [fraction of generation outside dispatch system × fraction of non-Phase 1 and non-foreign generation in NERC region × NERC region emissions rate]

where:

(A) ''Fraction of generation within dispatch system'' is the fraction of the dispatch system's total sales accounted for by generation from units and generators within the dispatch system, other than generation from non-utility generators. This term equals the total generation (in Kwh) by all units and generators within the dispatch system for the calendar year minus the total non-utility generation from non-utility generators within the dispatch system for the calendar year and divided by the total sales (in Kwh) by the dispatch system for the calendar year.

(B) Dispatch system emissions rate'' is the weighted average rate (in lbs/mmBtu) for the dispatch system calculated as follows:

Dispatch system emissions rate =

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} g_i \, r_i \div \sum_{i=1}^{k} g_i$$

where:

g_i = the difference between a Phase II unit's actual utilization for the calendar year and that Phase II unit's baseline. If that difference is less than or equal to zero, then the difference shall be treated as zero only for purposes of paragraph

(c)(2)(v) of this section and that unit will be excluded from the calculation of dispatch system emissions rate. Notwithstanding the prior sentence, if the actual utilization of each Phase II unit for the year is equal to or less than the baseline, then g_i shall equal a Phase II unit's actual utilization for the year. Notwithstanding any provision in this paragraph (c)(2)(v)(B) to the contrary, if the actual utilization of each Phase II unit in the dispatch system is zero or there are no Phase II units in the dispatch system, then the dispatch system emissions rate shall equal the fraction of non-Phase I and non-foreign generation in the NERC region multiplied by the NERC region emissions rate.

r_i = a Phase II unit's emissions rate (in lbs/mmBtu), determined in accordance with part 75 of this chapter, for the calendar year.

k = number of Phase II units in the dispatch system.

(C) ''Fraction of generation from non-utility generators'' is the fraction of the dispatch system's total sales accounted for by generation acquired from non-utility generators within or outside the dispatch system. This term equals the total non-utility generation from non-utility generators (within or outside the dispatch system) for the calendar year divided by the total sales (in Kwh) by the dispatch system for the calendar year.

(D) ''Non-utility generator'' is a power production facility (within or outside the dispatch system) that is not an affected unit or a sulfur-free generator and that has a ''non-utility generator emissions rate'' for the calendar year under paragraph (c)(2)(v)(F) of this section.

(E) ''Non-utility generation'' is the generation (in Kwh) that the dispatch system acquired from a non-utility generator during the calendar year as required by Federal or State law or an order of a utility regulatory authority or under a contract awarded as the result of a power purchase solicitation required by Federal or State law or an order of a utility regulatory authority.

(F) ''Non-utility generator average emissions rate'' is the weighted average rate (in lbs/mmBtu) for the non-utility generators calculated as follows:

Non-utility generator average emissions rate =

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_i R_i \div \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_i$$

where:

N_i = non-utility generation from a non-utility generator;

R_i = non-utility generator emissions rate for the calendar year for a non-utility generator, which shall equal the most stringent federally enforceable or State enforceable SO_2 emissions limitation applicable for the calendar year to such power production facility, as determined in accordance with paragraphs (c)(2)(v)(F) (*1*), (*2*), and (*3*) of this section; and

n = number of non-utility generators from which the dispatch system acquired non-utility generation. If n equals zero, then the non-utility generator average emissions rate shall be treated as zero only for purposes of paragraph (c)(2)(v) of this section.

(*1*) For purposes of determining the most stringent emissions limitation, applicable emissions limitations shall be converted to lbs/mmBtu in accordance with appendix B of this part. If an applicable emissions limitation cannot be converted to a unit-specific limitation in lbs/mmBtu under appendix B of this part, then the limitation shall not be used in determining the most stringent emissions limitation. Where the power production facility is subject to different emissions limitations depending on the type of fuel it uses during the calendar year, the most stringent emissions limitation shall be determined separately with regard to each type of fuel and the resulting limitation with the highest amount of lbs/mmBtu shall be treated as the facility's most stringent federally enforceable or State enforceable emissions limitation.

(*2*) If there is no applicable emissions limitation that can be used in determining the most stringent emissions limitation under paragraph (c)(2)(v)(F)(*1*) of this section, then the power production facility has no non-utility generator emissions rate for purposes of paragraphs (c)(2)(v) (D) and (F) of this section and the generation from the facility shall be treated, for purposes of this paragraph (c)(2)(v) as generation from units and generators within the dispatch system if the facility is within the dispatch system or as

generation from units and generators outside the dispatch system if the facility is outside the dispatch system.

(*3*) Notwithstanding paragraphs (c)(2)(v)(F) (*1*) and (*2*) of this section, if the power production facility is authorized under Federal or State law to use only natural gas as fuel, then the most stringent emissions limitation for the facility for the calendar year shall be deemed to be 0.0006 lbs/mmBtu.

(G) ''Fraction of generation outside dispatch system'' = 1 − fraction of generation within dispatch system − fraction of generation from non-utility generators.

(H) ''Fraction of non-Phase I and non-foreign generation in NERC region'' is the portion of the NERC region's total sales generated by units and generators other than Phase I units or foreign sources in the unit's NERC region in 1985, as set forth in table 1 of this section.

(I) ''NERC region emissions rate'' is the weighted average emission rate (in lbs/mmBtu) for the unit's NERC region in 1985, as set forth in table 1 of this section.

TABLE 1—NERC REGION GENERATION AND EMISSIONS RATE IN 1985

NERC region	Fraction of non-phase I and non-foreign generation in NERC region	NERC weighted average emissions rate (lbs/mmBtu)
WSCC	0.847	0.466
SPP	0.948	0.647
SERC	0.749	1.315
NPCC	0.423	1.058
MAPP	0.725	1.171
MAIN	0.682	1.495
MAAC	0.750	1.599
ERCOT	1.000	0.491
ECAR	0.549	1.564

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 58 FR 40747, July 30, 1993; 60 FR 18470, Apr. 11, 1995]

§ 72.93 Units with Phase I extension plans.

Annual compliance certification report. The designated representative for a control unit governed by a Phase I extension plan shall include in the unit's annual compliance certification report for calendar year 1997, the start-up test results upon which the vendor is released from liability under the vendor certification of guaranteed sulfur dioxide removal efficiency under § 72.42(c)(12).

§ 72.94 Units with repowering extension plans.

(a) *Design and engineering and contract requirements.* No later than January 1, 2000, the designated representative of a unit governed by an approved repowering plan shall submit to the Administrator and the permitting authority:

(1) Satisfactory documentation of a preliminary design and engineering effort.

(2) A binding letter agreement for the executed and binding contract (or for each in a series of executed and binding contracts) for the majority of the equipment to repower the unit using the technology conditionally approved by the Administrator under § 72.44(d)(3).

(3) The letter agreement under paragraph (a)(2) of this section shall be signed and dated by each party and specify:

(i) The parties to the contract;

(ii) The date each party executed the contract;

(iii) The unit to which the contract applies;

(iv) A brief list identifying each provision of the contract;

(v) Any dates to which the parties agree, including construction completion date;

(vi) The total dollar amount of the contract; and

(vii) A statement that a copy of the contract is on site at the source and will be submitted upon written request of the Administrator or the permitting authority.

(b) *Removal from operation to repower.* The designated representative of a unit governed by an approved repowering plan shall notify the Administrator in writing at least 60 days in advance of the date on which the existing unit is to be removed from operation so that the qualified repowering technology can be installed, or is to be replaced by another unit with the qualified repowering technology, in accordance with the plan.

(c) *Commencement of operation.* Not later than 60 days after the unit repowered under an approved repowering plan commences operation at full load, the designated representative of the unit shall submit a report comparing the actual hourly emissions and percent removal of each pollutant controlled at the unit to the actual hourly emissions and percent removal at the existing unit under the plan prior to repowering, determined in accordance with part 75 of this chapter.

(d) *Decision to terminate.* If at any time before the end of the repowering extension the owners and operators decide to terminate good faith efforts to design, construct, and test the qualified repowering technology on the unit to be repowered under an approved repowering plan, then the designated representative shall submit a notice to the Administrator by the earlier of the end of the repowering extension or a date within 30 days of such decision, stating the date on which the decision was made.

§ 72.95 Allowance deduction formula.

The following formula shall be used to determine the total number of allowances to be deducted for the calendar year from the allowances held in an affected source's compliance account as of the allowance transfer deadline applicable to that year:

Total allowances deducted = Tons emitted + Allowances surrendered for underutilization + Allowances deducted for Phase I extensions + Allowances deducted for substitution or compensating units

where:

(a) ''Tons emitted'' is the total tons of sulfur dioxide emitted by the affected units at the source during the calendar year, as reported in accordance with part 75 of this chapter.

(b) ''Allowances surrendered for underutilization'' is the total number of allowances calculated in accordance with § 72.92 (a) and (c).

(c) ''Allowances deducted for Phase I extensions'' is the total number of allowances calculated in accordance with § 72.42(f)(1)(i).

(d) ''Allowances deducted for substitution or compensating units'' is the total number of allowances calculated in accordance with the surrender requirements specified under § 72.41(d)(3) or (e)(1)(iii)(B) or § 72.43(d)(2).

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55485, Oct. 24, 1997; 70 FR 25334, May 12, 2005]

§ 72.96 Administrator's action on compliance certifications.

(a) The Administrator may review, and conduct independent audits concerning, any compliance certification and any other submission under the Acid Rain Program and make appropriate adjustments of the information in the compliance certifications and other submissions.

(b) The Administrator may deduct allowances from or return allowances to a source's compliance account in accordance with part 73 of this chapter based on the information in the compliance certifications and other submissions, as adjusted.

[58 FR 3650, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 70 FR 25334, May 12, 2005]

APPENDIX A TO PART 72—METHODOLOGY FOR ANNUALIZATION OF EMISSIONS LIMITS

For the purposes of the Acid Rain Program, 1985 emissions limits must be expressed in pounds of SO_2 per million British Thermal Unit of heat input (lb/mmBtu) and expressed on an annual basis.

Annualization factors are used to develop annual equivalent SO_2 limits as required by section 402(18) of the CAA. Many emission limits are enforced on a shorter term basis (or averaging period) than annually. Because of the variability of sulfur in coal and, in some cases, scrubber performance, meeting a particular limit with an averaging period of less than a year and at a specified statutory emissions level would require a lower annual average SO_2 emission rate (or annual equivalent SO_2 limit) than would the shorter term statutory limit. EPA has selected a compliance level of one exceedance per 10 years. For example, an SO_2 emission limit of 1.2 lbs/MMBtu, enforced for a scrubbed unit over a 7-day averaging period, would result in an annualized SO_2 emission limit of 1.16 lbs/MMBtu. In general, the shorter the averaging period, the lower the annual equivalent would be. Thus, the annualization of limits is established by multiplying each federally enforceable limit by an annualization factor that is determined by the averaging period and whether or not it's a scrubbed unit.

TABLE A–1—SO_2 EMISSION AVERAGING PERIODS AND ANNUALIZATION FACTORS

Definition	Annualization factor	
	Scrubbed Unscrubbed	
	Unit	Unit
Oil/gas unit	1.00	1.00
≤1 day	0.93	0.89
1 week	0.97	0.92
30 days	1.00	0.96
90 days	1.00	1.00
1 year	1.00	1.00
Not specified	0.93	0.89
At all times	0.93	0.89
Coal unit: No Federal limit or limit unknown	1.00	1.00

APPENDIX B TO PART 72—METHODOLOGY FOR CONVERSION OF EMISSIONS LIMITS

For the purposes of the Acid Rain Program, all emissions limits must be expressed in pounds of SO_2 per million British Thermal Unit of heat input (lb/mmBtu).

The factor for converting pounds of sulfur to pounds of SO_2 is based on the molecular weights of sulfur (32) and SO_2 (64). Limits expressed as percentage of sulfur or parts per million (ppm) depend on the energy content of the fuel and thus may vary, depending on several factors such as fuel heat content and atmospheric conditions. Generic conversions for these limits are based on the assumed average energy contents listed in table A–2. In addition, limits in ppm vary with boiler operation (e.g., load and excess air); generic conversions for these limits assume, conservatively, very low excess air. The remaining factors are based on site-specific heat rates and capacities to develop conversions for Btu per hour. Standard conversion factors for residual oil are 42 gal/bbl and 7.88 lbs/gal.

TABLE B–1—CONVERSION FACTORS

[Emission limits converted to lbs SO_2/MMBtu by multiplying as below]

Unit measurement	Plant fuel type			
	Bituminous coal	Subbituminous coal	Lignite coal	Oil
Lbs sulfur/ MMBtu	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
% sulfur in fuel	1.66	2.22	2.86	1.07
Ppm SO_2	0.00287	0.00384	.....	0.00167
Ppm sulfur in fuel	.....	.....	.....	0.00334
Tons SO_2/hour	2,000,000/(HEATRATE*SUMNDCAP*capacity factor) [1]			
Lbs SO_2/hour	1,000/(HEATRATE*SUMNDCAP*capacity factor) [1]			

[1] In these cases, if the limit was specified as the ''site'' limit, the summer net dependable capability for the entire plant is used; otherwise, the summer net dependable capability for the unit is used. For units listed in the NADB, ''HEATRATE'' shall be that listed in the NADB under that field and ''SUMNDCAP'' shall be that listed in the NADB under that field. For units not listed in the NADB, ''HEATRATE'' is the generator net full load heat rate reported on Form EIA–860 and ''SUMNDCAP'' is the summer net dependable capability of the generator (in MWe) as reported on Form EIA–860.

TABLE B–2—ASSUMED AVERAGE ENERGY CONTENTS

Fuel type	Average heat content
Bituminous Coal	24 MMBtu/ton.
Subbituminous Coal	18 MMBtu/ton.
Lignite Coal	14 MMBtu/ton.
Residual Oil	6.2 MMBtu/bbl.

APPENDIX C TO PART 72—ACTUAL 1985 YEARLY SO_2 EMISSIONS CALCULATION

The equation used to calculate the yearly SO_2 emissions (SO2) is as follows:

SO2 = (coal SO_2 emissions) + (oil SO_2 emissions) (in tons)

If gas is the only fuel, gas emissions are defaulted to 0.

Each fuel type SO_2 emissions is calculated on a yearly basis, using the equation:

fuel SO_2 emissions (in tons) = (yrly wtd. av. fuel sulfur %) × (AP–42 fact.) × (1 − scrb. effic. %/100) × (units conver. fact.) × (yearly fuel burned)

For coal, the yearly fuel burned is in tons/yr and the AP–42 factor (which accounts for the ash retention of sulfur in coal), in lbs SO_2 ton coal, is by coal type:

Coal type	AP–42 factor
Bituminous, anthracite	39 lbs/ton
Subbituminous	35
Lignite	30

For oil, the yearly fuel burned is in gal/yr. If it is in bbl/yr, convert using 42 gal/bbl oil. The AP–42 factor (which accounts for the oil density), in lbs SO_2/thousand gal oil, is by oil type:

Oil type	AP–42 factor
Distillate (light)	142 lbs/1,000 gal
Residual (heavy)	157

For all fuel, the units conversion factor is 1 ton/2000 lbs.

APPENDIX D TO PART 72—CALCULATION OF POTENTIAL ELECTRIC OUTPUT CAPACITY

The potential electrical output capacity is calculated from the maximum design heat input from the boiler by the following equation:

$$\frac{\text{max. design heat input}}{3} \times \frac{\times 1 \text{ kw-hr}}{3413 \text{ Btu}} \times \frac{\times 1 \text{ MWe}}{1000 \text{ Kw}}$$

For example:

(1) Assume a boiler with a maximum design heat input capacity of 340 million Btu/hr.

(2) One-third of the maximum design heat input capacity is 113.3 mmBtu/hr. The one-third factor relates to the thermodynamic efficiency of the boiler.

(3) To express this in MWe, the standards conversion of 3413 Btu to 1 kw-hr is used: 113.3×10^6 Btu/hr $\times$ 1 kw-hr / 3413 Btu $\times$ 1 MWe / 1000 kw = 33.2 MWe

[58 FR 15649, Mar. 23, 1993]

PART 73—SULFUR DIOXIDE ALLOWANCE SYSTEM

Subpart A—Background and Summary

Sec.
73.1 Purpose and scope.
73.2 Applicability.
73.3 General.

Subpart B—Allowance Allocations

73.10 Initial allocations for phase I and phase II.
73.11 [Reserved]
73.12 Rounding procedures.
73.13 Procedures for submittals.
73.14–73.17 [Reserved]
73.18 Submittal procedures for units commencing commercial operation during the period from January 1, 1993, through December 31, 1995.
73.19 Certain units with declining SO_2 rates.
73.20 Phase II early reduction credits.
73.21 Phase II repowering allowances.
73.22–73.24 [Reserved]
73.25 Phase I extension reserve.
73.26 Conservation and renewable energy reserve.
73.27 Special allowance reserve.

Subpart C—Allowance Tracking System

73.30 Allowance tracking system accounts.
73.31 Establishment of accounts.
73.32 [Reserved]
73.33 Authorized account representative.
73.34 Recordation in accounts.
73.35 Compliance.
73.36 Banking.
73.37 Account error.
73.38 Closing of accounts.

Subpart D—Allowance Transfers

73.50 Scope and submission of transfers.
73.51 [Reserved]
73.52 EPA recordation.
73.53 Notification.

Subpart E—Auctions, Direct Sales, and Independent Power Producers Written Guarantee

73.70 Auctions.
73.71 Bidding.
73.72 Direct sales.
73.73 Delegation of auctions and sales and termination of auctions and sales.

Subpart F—Energy Conservation and Renewable Energy Reserve

73.80 Operation of allowance reserve program for conservation and renewable energy.
73.81 Qualified conservation measures and renewable energy generation.
73.82 Application for allowances from reserve program.
73.83 Secretary of Energy's action on net income neutrality applications.
73.84 Administrator's action on applications.
73.85 Administrator review of the reserve program.
73.86 State regulatory autonomy.
APPENDIX A TO SUBPART F OF PART 73—LIST OF QUALIFIED ENERGY CONSERVATION MEASURES, QUALIFIED RENEWABLE GENERATION, AND MEASURES APPLICABLE FOR REDUCED UTILIZATION

Subpart G—Small Diesel Refineries

73.90 Allowance allocations for small diesel refineries.

AUTHORITY: 42 U.S.C. 7601 and 7651 *et seq.*

Subpart A—Background and Summary

SOURCE: 58 FR 3687, Jan. 11, 1993, unless otherwise noted.

§ 73.1 Purpose and scope.

The purpose of this part is to establish the requirements and procedures for the following:

(a) The allocation of sulfur dioxide emissions allowances;

(b) The tracking, holding, and transfer of allowances;

(c) The deduction of allowances for purposes of compliance and for purposes of offsetting excess emissions pursuant to parts 72 and 77 of this chapter;

(d) The sale of allowances through EPA-sponsored auctions and a direct sale, including the independent power producers written guarantee program; and

(e) The application for, and distribution of, allowances from the Conservation and Renewable Energy Reserve.

(f) The application for, and distribution of, allowances for desulfurization of fuel by small diesel refineries.

[58 FR 3687, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 58 FR 15650, Mar. 23, 1993]

§ 73.2 Applicability.

The following parties shall be subject to the provisions of this part:

(a) Owners, operators, and designated representatives of affected sources and affected units pursuant to § 72.6 of this chapter;

(b) Any new independent power producer as defined in section 416 of the Act and § 72.2 of this chapter, except as provided in section 405(g)(6) of the Act;

(c) Any owner of an affected unit who may apply to receive allowances under the Energy Conservation and Renewable Energy Reserve Program established in accordance with section 404(f) of the Act;

(d) Any small diesel refinery as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter, and

(e) Any other person, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter, who chooses to purchase, hold, or transfer allowances as provided in section 403(b) of the Act.

§ 73.3 General.

Part 72 of this chapter, including §§ 72.2 (definitions), 72.3 (measurements, abbreviations, and acronyms), 72.4 (Federal authority), 72.5 (State authority), 72.6 (applicability), 72.7 (new units exemption), 72.8 (retired unit exemption), 72.9 (standard requirements), 72.10 (availability of information), and 72.11 (computation of time) of part 72, subpart A of this chapter, shall apply to this part. The procedures for appeals of decisions of the Administrator under this part are contained in part 78 of this chapter. Sections 73.3 (Definitions) and 73.4 (Deadlines), which were previously published with subpart E of this part—''Auctions, Direct Sales, and Independent Power Producers Written Guarantee'', are codified at §§ 72.2 and 72.12 of this chapter, respectively.

Subpart B—Allowance Allocations

SOURCE: 58 FR 3687, Jan. 11, 1993, unless otherwise noted.

§ 73.10 Initial allocations for phase I and phase II.

(a) *Phase I allowances.* The Administrator will allocate allowances to the compliance account for each source that includes a unit listed in table 1 of this section in the amount listed in column A to be held for the years 1995 through 1999.

TABLE 1—PHASE I ALLOWANCE ALLOCATIONS

State name	Plant name	Boiler	Column A final phase 1 allocation	Column B auction and sales reserve
Alabama	Colbert	1	13213	357
		2	14907	403
		3	14995	405
		4	15005	405
		5	36202	978
	E.C. Gaston	1	17624	476
		2	18052	488
		3	17828	482

TABLE 1—PHASE I ALLOWANCE ALLOCATIONS—Continued

State name	Plant name	Boiler	Column A final phase 1 allocation	Column B auction and sales reserve
		4	18773	507
		5	58265	1575
Florida	Big Bend	BB01	27662	748
		BB02	26387	713
		BB03	26036	704
	Crist	6	18695	505
		7	30846	834
Georgia	Bowen	1BLR	54838	1482
		2BLR	53329	1441
		3BLR	69862	1888
		4BLR	69852	1888
	Hammond	1	8549	231
		2	8977	243
		3	8676	234
		4	36650	990
	Jack McDonough	MB1	19386	524
		MB2	20058	542
	Wansley	1	68908	1862
		2	63708	1722
	Yates	Y1BR	7020	190
		Y2BR	6855	185
		Y3BR	6767	183
		Y4BR	8676	234
		Y5BR	9162	248
		Y6BR	24108	652
		Y7BR	20915	565
Illinois	Baldwin	1	46052	1245
		2	48695	1316
		3	46644	1261
	Coffeen	01	12925	349
		02	39102	1057
	Grand Tower	09	6479	175
	Hennepin	2	20182	545
	Joppa Steam	1	12259	331
		2	10487	283
		3	11947	323
		4	11061	299
		5	11119	301
		6	10341	279
	Kincaid	1	34564	934
		2	37063	1002
	Meredosia	05	15227	411
	Vermilion	2	9735	263
Indiana	Bailly	7	12256	331
		8	17134	463
	Breed	1	20280	548
	Cayuga	1	36581	989
		2	37415	1011
	Clifty Creek	1	19620	530
		2	19289	521
		3	19873	537
		4	19552	528
		5	18851	509
		6	19844	536
	Elmer W. Stout	50	4253	115
		60	5229	141
		70	25883	699
	F.B. Culley	2	4703	127
		3	18603	503
	Frank E. Ratts	1SG1	9131	247
		2SG1	9296	251
	Gibson	1	44288	1197
		2	44956	1215
		3	45033	1217
		4	44200	1195
	H.T. Pritchard	6	6325	171
	Michigan City	12	25553	691
	Petersburg	1	18011	487
		2	35496	959
	R. Gallagher	1	7115	192
		2	7980	216

TABLE 1—PHASE I ALLOWANCE ALLOCATIONS—Continued

State name	Plant name	Boiler	Column A final phase 1 allocation	Column B auction and sales reserve
		3	7159	193
		4	8386	227
	Tanners Creek	U4	27209	735
	Wabash River	1	4385	118
		2	3135	85
		3	4111	111
		5	4023	109
		6	13462	364
	Warrick	4	29577	799
Iowa	Burlington	1	10428	282
	Des Moines	11	2259	61
	George Neal	1	2571	69
	Milton L. Kapp	2	13437	363
	Prairie Creek	4	7965	215
	Riverside	9	3885	105
Kansas	Quindaro	2	4109	111
Kentucky	Coleman	C1	10954	296
		C2	12502	338
		C3	12015	325
	Cooper	1	7254	196
		2	14917	403
	E.W. Brown	1	6923	187
		2	10623	287
		3	25413	687
	Elmer Smith	1	6348	172
		2	14031	379
	Ghent	1	27662	748
	Green River	5	7614	206
	H.L. Spurlock	1	22181	599
	HMP&L Station 2	H1	12989	351
		H2	11986	324
	Paradise	3	57613	1557
	Shawnee	10	9902	268
Maryland	C.P. Crane	1	10058	272
		2	8987	243
	Chalk Point	1	21333	577
		2	23690	640
	Morgantown	1	34332	928
		2	37467	1013
Michigan	J.H. Campbell	1	18773	507
		2	22453	607
Minnesota	High Bridge	6	4158	112
Mississippi	Jack Watson	4	17439	471
		5	35734	966
Missouri	Asbury	1	15764	426
	James River	5	4722	128
	LaBadie	1	39055	1055
		2	36718	992
		3	39249	1061
		4	34994	946
	Montrose	1	7196	194
		2	7984	216
		3	9824	266
	New Madrid	1	27497	743
		2	31625	855
	Sibley	3	15170	410
	Sioux	1	21976	594
		2	23067	623
	Thomas Hill	MB1	9980	270
		MB2	18880	510
New Hampshire	Merrimack	1	9922	268
		2	21421	579
New Jersey	B.L. England	1	8822	238
		2	11412	308
New York	Dunkirk	3	12268	332
		4	13690	370
	Greenidge	6	7342	198
	Milliken	1	10876	294
		2	12083	327
	Northport	1	19289	521
		2	23476	634

TABLE 1—PHASE I ALLOWANCE ALLOCATIONS—Continued

State name	Plant name	Boiler	Column A final phase 1 allocation	Column B auction and sales reserve
		3	25783	697
	Port Jefferson	3	10194	276
		4	12006	324
Ohio	Ashtabula	7	18351	496
	Avon Lake	11	12771	345
		12	33413	903
	Cardinal	1	37568	1015
		2	42008	1135
	Conesville	1	4615	125
		2	5360	145
		3	6029	163
		4	53463	1445
	Eastlake	1	8551	231
		2	9471	256
		3	10984	297
		4	15906	430
		5	37349	1009
	Edgewater	13	5536	150
	Gen. J.M. Gavin	1	86690	2343
		2	88312	2387
	Kyger Creek	1	18773	507
		2	18072	488
		3	17439	471
		4	18218	492
		5	18247	493
	Miami Fort	5–1	417	11
		5–2	417	11
		6	12475	337
		7	42216	1141
	Muskingum River	1	16312	441
		2	15533	420
		3	15293	413
		4	12914	349
		5	44364	1199
	Niles	1	7608	206
		2	9975	270
	Picway	9	5404	146
	R.E. Burger	5	3371	91
		6	3371	91
		7	11818	319
		8	13626	368
	W.H. Sammis	5	26496	716
		6	43773	1183
		7	47380	1280
	Walter C. Beckjord	5	9811	265
		6	25235	682
Pennsylvania	Armstrong	1	14031	379
		2	15024	406
	Brunner Island	1	27030	730
		2	30282	818
		3	52404	1416
	Cheswick	1	38139	1031
	Conemaugh	1	58217	1573
		2	64701	1749
	Hatfield's Ferry	1	36835	995
		2	36338	982
		3	39210	1060
	Martins Creek	1	12327	333
		2	12483	337
	Portland	1	5784	156
		2	9961	269
	Shawville	1	10048	272
		2	10048	272
		3	13846	374
		4	13700	370
	Sunbury	3	8530	230
		4	11149	301
Tennessee	Allen	1	14917	403
		2	16329	441
		3	15258	412
	Cumberland	1	84419	2281

TABLE 1—PHASE I ALLOWANCE ALLOCATIONS—Continued

State name	Plant name	Boiler	Column A final phase 1 allocation	Column B auction and sales reserve
		2	92344	2496
	Gallatin	1	17400	470
		2	16855	455
		3	19493	527
		4	20701	559
	Johnsonville	1	7585	205
		10	7351	199
		2	7828	212
		3	8189	221
		4	7780	210
		5	8023	217
		6	7682	208
		7	8744	236
		8	8471	229
		9	6894	186
West Virginia	Albright	3	11684	316
	Fort Martin	1	40496	1094
		2	40116	1084
	Harrison	1	47341	1279
		2	44936	1214
		3	40408	1092
	Kammer	1	18247	493
		2	18948	512
		3	16932	458
	Mitchell	1	42823	1157
		2	44312	1198
	M.T. Storm	1	42570	1150
		2	34644	936
		3	41314	1116
Wisconsin	Edgewater	4	24099	651
	Genoa	1	22103	597
	Nelson Dewey	1	5852	158
		2	6504	176
	North Oak Creek	1	5083	137
		2	5005	135
		3	5229	141
		4	6154	166
	Pulliam	8	7312	198
	South Oak Creek	5	9416	254
		6	11723	317
		7	15754	426
		8	15375	415

(b) *Phase II allowances.* (1) The Administrator will allocate allowances to the compliance account for each source that includes a unit listed in table 2 of this section in the amount specified in table 2 column C to be held for the years 2000 through 2009.

(2) The Administrator will allocate allowances to the compliance account for each source that includes a unit listed in table 2 of this section in the amount specified in table 2 column F to be held for the years 2010 and each year thereafter.

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009 (A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	Years 2010 and Beyond (E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
AL	Barry	1	113	1	3881	112	112	3890
AL	Barry	2	124	1	4291	124	124	4299
AL	Barry	3	256	3	8808	255	255	8827
AL	Barry	4	292	3	10048	291	291	10069
AL	Barry	5	721	9	24827	718	720	24878
AL	Charles R Lowman	1	34	0	1853	34	34	1184
AL	Charles R Lowman	2	204	2	7024	203	203	7038
AL	Charles R Lowman	3	171	2	5893	171	171	5906
AL	Chickasaw	110	3	0	111	3	3	111
AL	Colbert	1	165	2	5852	165	165	5863
AL	Colbert	2	186	2	6600	186	186	6613
AL	Colbert	3	188	2	6639	187	187	6653
AL	Colbert	4	188	2	6644	187	187	6659
AL	Colbert	5	453	5	16028	452	452	16060
AL	E C Gaston	1	220	2	7803	220	220	7818
AL	E C Gaston	2	226	2	7994	225	226	8009
AL	E C Gaston	3	223	2	7894	222	223	7910
AL	E C Gaston	4	235	3	8310	234	234	8328
AL	E C Gaston	5	730	9	25796	728	729	25848
AL	Gadsden	1	57	1	1956	57	57	1961
AL	Gadsden	2	59	1	2023	59	59	2027
AL	Gorgas	10	651	8	22435	649	650	22483
AL	Gorgas	5	36	0	1756	36	36	1251
AL	Gorgas	6	65	1	3035	64	65	2232
AL	Gorgas	7	72	1	3138	72	72	2500
AL	Gorgas	8	136	1	4758	136	136	4707
AL	Gorgas	9	135	1	4746	134	134	4653
AL	Greene County	1	246	3	8485	246	246	8502
AL	Greene County	2	230	2	7921	229	229	7938
AL	James H Miller Jr	1	351	4	14213	350	350	12122
AL	James H Miller Jr	2	515	7	17762	514	514	17800
AL	James H Miller Jr	3	505	5	17417	504	504	17453
AL	James H Miller Jr	4	233	3	8046	233	233	8063
AL	McIntosh-CAES	**1	27	0	938	27	27	939
AL	McWilliams	**4	0	0	0	0	0	0
AL	Widows Creek	1	70	1	3339	70	70	2417
AL	Widows Creek	2	61	1	3211	61	61	2118
AL	Widows Creek	3	71	1	3355	71	71	2457
AL	Widows Creek	4	78	1	3453	78	78	2686
AL	Widows Creek	5	85	1	3564	85	85	2946
AL	Widows Creek	6	66	1	3278	66	66	2280
AL	Widows Creek	7	161	2	7803	161	161	5573
AL	Widows Creek	8	153	2	7458	153	153	5290
AZ	Agua Fria	1	0	0	54	0	1	34
AZ	Agua Fria	2	0	0	65	0	1	39

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
AZ	Agua Fria	3	0	0	77	0	2	67
AZ	Apache Station	1	10	0	331	10	10	332
AZ	Apache Station	2	41	0	1609	41	41	1420
AZ	Apache Station	3	82	1	3010	82	82	2836
AZ	Cholla	**5	0	0	0	0	0	0
AZ	Cholla	1	59	1	2222	59	59	2034
AZ	Cholla	2	147	2	5441	146	146	5067
AZ	Cholla	3	141	2	5145	140	140	4858
AZ	Cholla	4	225	2	8332	225	225	7784
AZ	Coronado	U1B	151	2	5731	150	150	5199
AZ	Coronado	U2B	158	2	5901	158	158	5465
AZ	De Moss Petrie	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
AZ	Gila Bend	**GT1	0	0	0	0	0	0
AZ	Gila Bend	**GT2	0	0	0	0	0	0
AZ	Gila Bend	**GT3	0	0	0	0	0	0
AZ	Gila Bend	**GT4	0	0	0	0	0	0
AZ	Irvington	1	0	0	16	0	0	14
AZ	Irvington	2	0	0	28	0	1	40
AZ	Irvington	3	0	0	0	0	0	2
AZ	Irvington	4	81	1	2853	81	81	2805
AZ	Kyrene	K-1	0	0	7	0	0	7
AZ	Kyrene	K-2	0	0	18	0	0	16
AZ	Navajo	1	723	9	26211	721	722	24949
AZ	Navajo	2	676	8	24254	674	676	23354
AZ	Navajo	3	686	8	25034	684	686	23693
AZ	Ocotillo	1	0	0	56	0	1	40
AZ	Ocotillo	2	3	0	132	3	4	129
AZ	Saguaro	1	5	0	204	5	5	189
AZ	Saguaro	2	0	0	25	0	1	22
AZ	Springerville	1	177	2	6564	176	176	6099
AZ	Springerville	2	167	2	5754	166	167	5765
AZ	Springerville	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
AZ	West Phoenix	4	0	0	11	0	0	9
AZ	West Phoenix	6	0	0	22	0	0	15
AZ	Yuma Axis	1	0	0	42	0	1	40
AR	Carl Bailey	01	0	0	10	0	0	8
AR	Cecil Lynch	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
AR	Cecil Lynch	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
AR	Cecil Lynch	3	0	0	3	0	0	0
AR	Flint Creek	1	421	5	15187	420	421	14556
AR	Hamilton Moses	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
AR	Hamilton Moses	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
AR	Harvey Couch	1	0	0	7	0	0	3
AR	Harvey Couch	2	0	0	112	0	3	113
AR	Independence	1	496	5	18150	494	495	17123

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations								
			Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
State	Plant Name	Boiler1	(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
AR	Independence	2	496	5	18396	495	495	17142
AR	Lake Catherine	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
AR	Lake Catherine	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
AR	Lake Catherine	3	0	0	8	0	0	6
AR	Lake Catherine	4	3	0	156	3	10	337
AR	McClellan	01	0	0	15	0	0	13
AR	Robert E Ritchie	1	0	0	53	0	2	67
AR	Robert E Ritchie	2	62	1	2147	62	62	2138
AR	Thomas Fitzhugh	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
AR	White Bluff	1	582	7	20933	581	581	20116
AR	White Bluff	2	668	8	23892	666	667	23059
CA	Alamitos	1	78	1	2774	78	78	2703
CA	Alamitos	2	0	0	105	0	0	17
CA	Alamitos	3	0	0	290	0	2	81
CA	Alamitos	4	16	0	819	16	16	541
CA	Alamitos	5	112	1	4226	112	112	3866
CA	Alamitos	6	27	0	1484	27	27	936
CA	Avon	1	0	0	17	0	0	14
CA	Avon	2	0	0	0	0	0	14
CA	Avon	3	0	0	0	0	0	14
CA	Broadway	B1	4	0	127	4	4	124
CA	Broadway	B2	4	0	164	4	4	155
CA	Broadway	B3	0	0	74	0	2	71
CA	Contra Costa	1	0	0	125	1	0	16
CA	Contra Costa	10	115	1	4285	115	115	3978
CA	Contra Costa	2	0	0	2	0	0	23
CA	Contra Costa	3	0	0	0	0	0	20
CA	Contra Costa	4	0	0	0	0	0	15
CA	Contra Costa	5	0	0	0	0	0	16
CA	Contra Costa	6	0	0	0	0	0	13
CA	Contra Costa	7	0	0	28	0	1	28
CA	Contra Costa	8	0	0	53	0	1	40
CA	Contra Costa	9	1	0	356	0	9	303
CA	Cool Water	1	0	0	10	0	0	11
CA	Cool Water	2	0	0	6	0	0	8
CA	El Centro	3	17	0	614	17	17	579
CA	El Centro	4	16	0	586	16	16	560
CA	El Segundo	1	10	0	440	10	10	357
CA	El Segundo	2	0	0	90	0	2	62
CA	El Segundo	3	1	0	182	1	5	171
CA	El Segundo	4	2	0	370	2	10	363
CA	Encina	1	13	0	491	13	13	446
CA	Encina	2	30	0	1131	30	30	1042
CA	Encina	3	20	0	737	20	20	680
CA	Encina	4	53	1	1945	52	52	1816

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
CA	Encina	5	69	1	2494	69	69	2399
CA	Etiwanda	1	3	0	117	3	3	94
CA	Etiwanda	2	0	0	29	0	1	17
CA	Etiwanda	3	34	0	1372	34	34	1169
CA	Etiwanda	4	1	0	261	1	8	271
CA	Glenarm	16	0	0	0	1	0	0
CA	Glenarm	17	0	0	0	2	0	0
CA	Grayson	4	3	0	102	2	3	87
CA	Grayson	5	1	0	36	3	1	42
CA	Harbor Gen Station	**10A	20	0	699	20	20	700
CA	Harbor Gen Station	**10B	20	0	699	20	20	700
CA	Harbor Gen Station	1	2	0	68	0	2	61
CA	Harbor Gen Station	2	3	0	121	0	3	107
CA	Harbor Gen Station	3	3	0	94	0	2	86
CA	Harbor Gen Station	4	3	0	104	0	3	98
CA	Harbor Gen Station	5	4	0	171	0	4	154
CA	Haynes Gen Station	1	17	0	681	17	17	571
CA	Haynes Gen Station	2	9	0	338	9	9	328
CA	Haynes Gen Station	3	33	0	1244	33	33	1131
CA	Haynes Gen Station	4	25	0	1002	25	25	851
CA	Haynes Gen Station	5	35	0	1401	35	35	1205
CA	Haynes Gen Station	6	37	0	1527	37	37	1270
CA	Highgrove	1	0	0	4	0	0	3
CA	Highgrove	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
CA	Highgrove	3	0	0	1	0	0	1
CA	Highgrove	4	0	0	3	0	0	3
CA	Humboldt Bay	1	10	0	358	10	10	341
CA	Humboldt Bay	2	0	0	24	0	1	26
CA	Hunters Point	3	0	0	76	0	1	47
CA	Hunters Point	4	0	0	5	0	1	48
CA	Hunters Point	5	0	0	74	0	1	42
CA	Hunters Point	6	0	0	1	0	1	37
CA	Hunters Point	7	0	0	192	0	5	170
CA	Huntington Beach	1	33	0	1325	33	33	1153
CA	Huntington Beach	2	28	0	1134	28	28	970
CA	Huntington Beach	3	1	0	161	1	2	62
CA	Huntington Beach	4	1	0	176	1	2	76
CA	Kern	1	0	0	3	0	0	2
CA	Kern	2	0	0	0	0	0	3
CA	Kern	3	0	0	13	0	0	3
CA	Kern	4	0	0	0	0	0	3
CA	Magnolia	M4	1	0	37	1	1	33
CA	Mandalay	1	34	0	1379	33	33	1159
CA	Mandalay	2	32	0	1291	31	31	1090
CA	Martinez	1	0	0	1	0	0	1

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations								
			Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
State	Plant Name	Boiler1	(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
CA	Martinez	2	0	0	1	0	0	1
CA	Martinez	3	0	0	1	0	0	1
CA	Morro Bay	1	41	0	1561	41	41	1410
CA	Morro Bay	2	0	0	139	0	3	98
CA	Morro Bay	3	101	1	3821	101	101	3496
CA	Morro Bay	4	83	1	3052	83	83	2884
CA	Moss Landing	1	0	0	122	0	0	17
CA	Moss Landing	2	0	0	0	0	0	15
CA	Moss Landing	3	0	0	0	0	0	19
CA	Moss Landing	4	0	0	0	0	0	21
CA	Moss Landing	5	0	0	0	0	0	21
CA	Moss Landing	6	0	0	0	0	0	14
CA	Moss Landing	6-1	235	3	8921	235	235	8125
CA	Moss Landing	7	0	0	79	0	1	52
CA	Moss Landing	7-1	2	0	976	2	20	694
CA	Moss Landing	8	13	0	466	13	13	435
CA	Oleum	1	4	0	146	4	4	122
CA	Oleum	2	4	0	138	4	4	138
CA	Oleum	3	8	0	244	8	8	242
CA	Oleum	4	2	0	102	2	2	102
CA	Oleum	5	6	0	174	6	6	174
CA	Oleum	6	6	0	204	6	6	204
CA	Olive	01	3	0	133	3	3	121
CA	Olive	02	0	0	25	0	1	47
CA	Ormond Beach	1	110	1	4519	109	109	3785
CA	Ormond Beach	2	118	1	4585	118	118	4092
CA	Pittsburg	1	43	0	1641	43	43	1494
CA	Pittsburg	2	36	0	1350	35	36	1228
CA	Pittsburg	3	42	0	1586	42	42	1443
CA	Pittsburg	4	42	0	1581	42	42	1452
CA	Pittsburg	5	0	0	285	0	8	288
CA	Pittsburg	6	104	1	3753	103	103	3578
CA	Pittsburg	7	1	0	740	1	18	625
CA	Potrero	3-1	0	0	321	0	8	266
CA	Redondo Beach	11	0	0	36	0	0	4
CA	Redondo Beach	12	0	0	0	0	0	2
CA	Redondo Beach	13	0	0	0	1	0	4
CA	Redondo Beach	14	0	0	0	1	0	4
CA	Redondo Beach	15	0	0	0	0	0	3
CA	Redondo Beach	16	0	0	0	0	0	5
CA	Redondo Beach	17	0	0	0	0	0	6
CA	Redondo Beach	5	0	0	80	0	4	126
CA	Redondo Beach	6	0	0	105	0	3	103
CA	Redondo Beach	7	1	0	554	0	14	483
CA	Redondo Beach	8	1	0	597	0	14	496

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
CA	San Bernardino	1	3	0	118	3	3	105
CA	San Bernardino	2	0	0	17	0	1	19
CA	Scattergood Gen Sta	1	19	0	752	19	19	641
CA	Scattergood Gen Sta	2	17	0	658	17	17	571
CA	Scattergood Gen Sta	3	0	0	262	0	7	250
CA	Silver Gate	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
CA	Silver Gate	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
CA	Silver Gate	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
CA	Silver Gate	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
CA	Silver Gate	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
CA	Silver Gate	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
CA	South Bay	1	67	1	2491	66	67	2303
CA	South Bay	2	49	1	1774	49	49	1683
CA	South Bay	3	59	1	2176	58	59	2024
CA	South Bay	4	16	0	603	16	16	554
CA	Valley Gen Station	1	3	0	122	3	3	101
CA	Valley Gen Station	2	3	0	141	3	3	120
CA	Valley Gen Station	3	11	0	389	11	11	389
CA	Valley Gen Station	4	9	0	351	9	9	295
CO	Arapahoe	1	6	0	221	6	6	208
CO	Arapahoe	2	7	0	247	7	7	229
CO	Arapahoe	3	5	0	181	5	5	172
CO	Arapahoe	4	53	1	1926	53	53	1829
CO	Cameo	2	25	0	904	25	25	852
CO	Cherokee	1	59	1	2137	59	59	2035
CO	Cherokee	2	79	1	2837	79	79	2722
CO	Cherokee	3	103	1	3760	103	103	3562
CO	Cherokee	4	206	2	7533	206	206	7132
CO	Comanche	1	213	2	7696	213	213	7363
CO	Comanche	2	187	2	6912	186	186	6450
CO	Craig	C1	222	2	8216	222	222	7678
CO	Craig	C2	213	2	7843	212	213	7352
CO	Craig	C3	62	1	2601	62	62	2149
CO	Hayden	H1	167	2	6061	167	167	5776
CO	Hayden	H2	255	3	9227	254	255	8810
CO	Martin Drake	5	32	0	1149	31	31	1089
CO	Martin Drake	6	55	1	2029	55	55	1911
CO	Martin Drake	7	88	1	3218	88	88	3043
CO	Nucla	1	33	0	1122	33	33	1124
CO	Pawnee	**2	0	0	0	0	0	0
CO	Pawnee	1	398	4	14439	397	398	13761
CO	Rawhide	101	39	0	1800	39	39	1352
CO	Ray D Nixon	**NA1	0	0	0	0	0	0
CO	Ray D Nixon	1	122	1	4476	122	122	4217
CO	Valmont	14	0	0	4	0	0	0

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
CO	Valmont	21	0	0	20	0	0	20
CO	Valmont	24	0	0	0	0	0	0
CO	Valmont	5	86	1	3136	86	86	2983
CO	Zuni	1	10	0	340	10	10	341
CO	Zuni	2	0	0	0	0	0	6
CO	Zuni	3	0	0	5	0	0	9
CT	Bridgeport Harbor	BHB1	60	1	2078	60	60	2082
CT	Bridgeport Harbor	BHB2	137	1	4726	137	137	4735
CT	Bridgeport Harbor	BHB3	333	4	11477	332	332	11501
CT	Devon	3	28	0	980	28	28	981
CT	Devon	4A	5	0	170	5	5	171
CT	Devon	4B	5	0	171	5	5	172
CT	Devon	5A	4	0	155	4	4	156
CT	Devon	5B	4	0	155	4	4	156
CT	Devon	6	26	0	898	26	26	899
CT	Devon	7	81	1	2807	81	81	2813
CT	Devon	8	87	1	3002	87	87	3008
CT	English	EB13	3	0	114	3	3	113
CT	English	EB14	5	0	157	5	5	157
CT	Middletown	1	13	0	461	13	13	462
CT	Middletown	2	39	0	1328	38	38	1332
CT	Middletown	3	97	1	3338	97	97	3345
CT	Middletown	4	69	1	2389	69	69	2393
CT	Montville	5	35	0	1208	35	35	1210
CT	Montville	6	165	2	5673	164	164	5686
CT	New Haven Harbor	NHB1	379	4	13066	378	378	13092
CT	Norwalk Harbor	1	149	2	5139	149	149	5150
CT	Norwalk Harbor	2	158	2	5456	158	158	5467
DE	Edge Moor	3	103	1	3557	103	103	3564
DE	Edge Moor	4	183	2	6293	182	182	6307
DE	Edge Moor	5	187	2	6461	187	187	6473
DE	Hay Road	**3	5	0	158	5	5	158
DE	Indian River	1	87	1	2997	87	87	3002
DE	Indian River	2	92	1	3181	92	92	3188
DE	Indian River	3	158	2	5439	157	158	5451
DE	Indian River	4	389	4	13410	388	388	13438
DE	McKee Run	3	54	1	2584	53	53	1850
DE	Van Sant	**11	4	0	138	4	4	138
DC	Benning	15	15	0	517	15	15	518
DC	Benning	16	25	0	856	25	25	857
FL	Anclote (4)	1	298	3	13022	297	298	10297
FL	Anclote (4)	2	315	3	12950	314	315	10894
FL	Arvah B Hopkins	1	1	0	81	1	2	85
FL	Arvah B Hopkins	2	160	2	5522	160	160	5532
FL	Avon Park	2	14	0	495	14	14	495

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
FL	Big Bend	BB01	352	4	12132	351	351	12156
FL	Big Bend	BB02	354	4	12196	353	353	12221
FL	Big Bend	BB03	332	4	11444	331	331	11468
FL	Big Bend	BB04	255	3	8780	254	254	8799
FL	C D McIntosh Jr	1	26	0	907	26	26	908
FL	C D McIntosh Jr	2	30	0	1029	30	30	1031
FL	C D McIntosh Jr	3	288	3	9928	287	288	9948
FL	Cape Canaveral	PCC1	123	1	4224	122	122	4232
FL	Cape Canaveral	PCC2	144	2	4961	143	144	4969
FL	Combined Cycle 1	32432	2	0	60	2	2	60
FL	Crist	1	1	0	35	1	1	35
FL	Crist	2	0	0	3	0	0	3
FL	Crist	3	0	0	4	0	0	4
FL	Crist	4	72	1	2467	71	71	2473
FL	Crist	5	70	1	2430	70	70	2435
FL	Crist	6	244	3	8396	243	243	8413
FL	Crist	7	363	4	12522	362	363	12545
FL	Crystal River	1	360	4	12425	359	360	12449
FL	Crystal River	2	415	4	14291	413	414	14320
FL	Crystal River	4	686	8	23651	684	686	23697
FL	Crystal River	5	734	9	25248	732	732	25301
FL	CT	**1	0	0	0	0	0	0
FL	CT	**2	0	0	0	0	0	0
FL	CT	**3	0	0	0	0	0	0
FL	CT	**4	0	0	0	0	0	0
FL	Cutler	PCU5	0	0	0	0	0	4
FL	Cutler	PCU6	0	0	0	0	0	9
FL	Debary	**10	20	0	705	20	20	706
FL	Debary	**7	20	0	705	20	20	706
FL	Debary	**8	20	0	705	20	20	706
FL	Debary	**9	20	0	705	20	20	706
FL	Deerhaven	**NA2	0	0	0	0	0	0
FL	Deerhaven	B1	1	0	98	1	3	114
FL	Deerhaven	B2	240	3	8268	239	239	8286
FL	Deerhaven	CT3	0	0	0	0	0	0
FL	F J Gannon	GB01	97	1	3842	97	97	3358
FL	F J Gannon	GB02	120	1	4425	120	120	4148
FL	F J Gannon	GB03	164	2	5664	164	164	5675
FL	F J Gannon	GB04	179	2	6223	179	179	6185
FL	F J Gannon	GB05	190	2	6537	189	189	6551
FL	F J Gannon	GB06	292	3	10081	292	292	10101
FL	Fort Myers	PFM1	93	1	3188	92	92	3194
FL	Fort Myers	PFM2	274	3	9457	273	274	9475
FL	G E Turner	2	2	0	543	2	2	82
FL	G E Turner	3	21	0	718	21	21	720

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations								
			Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
State	Plant Name	Boiler1	(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
FL	G E Turner	4	18	0	611	18	18	611
FL	Henry D King	7	2	0	63	2	2	65
FL	Henry D King	8	0	0	26	0	1	34
FL	Higgins	1	12	0	423	12	12	423
FL	Higgins	2	14	0	475	14	14	475
FL	Higgins	3	13	0	969	13	13	434
FL	Hookers Point	HB01	4	0	177	4	4	177
FL	Hookers Point	HB02	5	0	207	5	5	205
FL	Hookers Point	HB03	13	0	469	13	13	468
FL	Hookers Point	HB04	20	0	701	20	20	702
FL	Hookers Point	HB05	36	0	1253	36	36	1252
FL	Hookers Point	HB06	14	0	478	14	14	478
FL	Indian River	**C	0	0	0	0	0	0
FL	Indian River	**D	19	0	639	18	18	640
FL	Indian River	1	35	0	1192	34	34	1194
FL	Indian River	2	46	0	1569	45	45	1572
FL	Indian River	3	106	1	3646	105	106	3652
FL	Intercession City	**10	20	0	705	20	20	706
FL	Intercession City	**7	20	0	705	20	20	706
FL	Intercession City	**8	20	0	705	20	20	706
FL	Intercession City	**9	20	0	705	20	20	706
FL	J D Kennedy	10	57	1	1975	57	57	1980
FL	J D Kennedy	8	6	0	196	6	6	196
FL	J D Kennedy	9	16	0	553	16	16	553
FL	J R Kelly	JRK8	1	0	58	1	2	67
FL	Lansing Smith	1	188	2	6476	187	188	6489
FL	Lansing Smith	2	221	2	7601	220	220	7616
FL	Larsen Memorial	**8	19	0	665	19	19	666
FL	Larsen Memorial	**9	0	0	0	0	0	0
FL	Larsen Memorial	7	9	0	307	9	9	308
FL	Lauderdale	4GT1	28	0	948	27	27	950
FL	Lauderdale	4GT2	28	0	948	27	27	950
FL	Lauderdale	5GT1	28	0	948	27	27	950
FL	Lauderdale	5GT2	28	0	948	27	27	950
FL	Manatee	PMT1	400	4	13773	398	399	13799
FL	Manatee	PMT2	368	4	12697	367	368	12716
FL	Martin	HRSG3A	37	0	1275	37	37	1277
FL	Martin	HRSG3B	37	0	1275	37	37	1277
FL	Martin	HRSG4A	37	0	1275	37	37	1277
FL	Martin	HRSG4B	37	0	1275	37	37	1277
FL	Martin	PMR1	148	2	5092	147	147	5102
FL	Martin	PMR2	175	2	6039	175	175	6049
FL	NA 1 -- 7238	**1	0	0	0	0	0	0
FL	Northside	1	142	2	6222	141	142	4897
FL	Northside	2	30	0	6268	30	30	1048

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
FL	Northside	3	193	2	11124	192	192	6658
FL	P L Bartow	1	71	1	2805	71	71	2455
FL	P L Bartow	2	70	1	2961	70	70	2431
FL	P L Bartow	3	157	2	5428	157	157	5439
FL	Port Everglades	PPE1	68	1	2339	68	68	2343
FL	Port Everglades	PPE2	70	1	2413	70	70	2417
FL	Port Everglades	PPE3	171	2	5880	170	170	5891
FL	Port Everglades	PPE4	173	2	5962	172	173	5973
FL	Putnam	HRSG1	48	1	1643	48	48	1647
FL	Putnam	HRSG1	48	1	1643	48	48	1647
FL	Putnam	HRSG2	45	0	1568	45	45	1570
FL	Putnam	HRSG2	45	0	1568	45	45	1570
FL	Riviera	PRV2	3	0	94	3	3	94
FL	Riviera	PRV3	104	1	3573	103	103	3580
FL	Riviera	PRV4	103	1	3545	102	103	3551
FL	S O Purdom	7	13	0	443	13	13	444
FL	Sanford	PSN3	31	0	1085	31	31	1087
FL	Sanford	PSN4	96	1	8614	96	96	3323
FL	Sanford	PSN5	93	1	3221	93	93	3220
FL	Scholz	1	57	1	1958	57	57	1963
FL	Scholz	2	59	1	2050	59	59	2054
FL	Seminole	1	533	7	18381	532	532	18420
FL	Seminole	2	533	7	18381	532	532	18420
FL	Southside	1	27	0	930	27	27	932
FL	Southside	2	28	0	963	28	28	964
FL	Southside	3	7	0	227	7	7	227
FL	Southside	4	18	0	616	18	18	617
FL	Southside	5	53	1	1810	52	52	1815
FL	St Johns River Pwr	1	336	4	11582	335	335	11605
FL	St Johns River Pwr	2	330	4	11370	329	329	11395
FL	Stanton Energy	1	328	4	11290	327	327	11314
FL	Stanton Energy	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
FL	Stock Island	1	75	1	2571	74	74	2578
FL	Stock Island D1	**NA1	3	0	100	3	3	100
FL	Stock Island D2	**NA2	3	0	100	3	3	100
FL	Suwannee River	1	7	0	254	7	7	255
FL	Suwannee River	2	7	0	253	7	7	253
FL	Suwannee River	3	19	0	649	19	19	649
FL	Tom G Smith	S-3	0	0	9	0	0	11
FL	Tom G Smith	S-4	2	0	80	2	2	80
FL	Turkey Point	PTP1	170	2	5868	170	170	5879
FL	Turkey Point	PTP2	172	2	5911	171	171	5924
FL	Vero Beach Munic	**5	9	0	317	9	9	318
FL	Vero Beach Munic	3	9	0	315	9	9	316
FL	Vero Beach Munic	4	2	0	107	2	3	116

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
GA	Arkwright	1	37	0	1449	37	37	1291
GA	Arkwright	2	39	0	1470	39	39	1354
GA	Arkwright	3	45	0	1539	45	45	1542
GA	Arkwright	4	36	0	1255	36	36	1257
GA	Atkinson	A1A	0	0	2	0	0	2
GA	Atkinson	A1B	0	0	2	0	0	2
GA	Atkinson	A2	0	0	4	0	0	4
GA	Atkinson	A3	0	0	6	0	0	5
GA	Atkinson	A4	0	0	5	0	0	5
GA	Bowen	1BLR	667	8	23609	665	667	23656
GA	Bowen	2BLR	686	8	24280	684	686	24329
GA	Bowen	3BLR	875	10	30932	873	874	30994
GA	Bowen	4BLR	875	10	30924	873	873	30987
GA	Hammond	1	107	1	3785	107	107	3793
GA	Hammond	2	112	1	3974	112	112	3981
GA	Hammond	3	109	1	3841	108	108	3850
GA	Hammond	4	459	5	16227	457	458	16260
GA	Harllee Branch	1	286	3	9856	285	285	9876
GA	Harllee Branch	2	338	4	11657	337	338	11681
GA	Harllee Branch	3	465	5	16039	464	464	16072
GA	Harllee Branch	4	462	5	15916	461	461	15949
GA	Jack McDonough	MB1	243	3	8581	242	242	8599
GA	Jack McDonough	MB2	251	3	8882	250	251	8900
GA	Kraft	1	44	0	1530	44	44	1533
GA	Kraft	2	42	0	1510	42	42	1466
GA	Kraft	3	86	1	2963	86	86	2968
GA	Kraft	4	13	0	436	13	13	437
GA	McIntosh	1	161	2	5554	161	161	5565
GA	McManus	1	3	0	844	3	3	89
GA	McManus	2	6	0	1279	6	6	198
GA	Mitchell	3	158	2	5461	158	158	5472
GA	Riverside	12	0	0	5	0	0	5
GA	Scherer	1	611	8	21075	610	610	21121
GA	Scherer	2	616	8	21224	614	615	21270
GA	Scherer	3	617	8	21258	615	616	21304
GA	Scherer	4	616	8	21234	614	615	21280
GA	Wansley	1	863	10	30507	861	862	30567
GA	Wansley	2	798	10	28201	796	797	28259
GA	Yates	Y1BR	88	1	3106	88	88	3113
GA	Yates	Y2BR	86	1	3035	86	86	3041
GA	Yates	Y3BR	85	1	2997	84	85	3003
GA	Yates	Y4BR	109	1	3842	108	108	3851
GA	Yates	Y5BR	115	1	4055	114	114	4063
GA	Yates	Y6BR	302	3	10675	301	301	10696
GA	Yates	Y7BR	297	3	10499	296	296	10521

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
IL	Baldwin	1	512	7	18109	510	511	18146
IL	Baldwin	2	541	7	19147	540	540	19186
IL	Baldwin	3	518	7	18343	517	518	18380
IL	Coffeen	01	144	2	5083	143	143	5094
IL	Coffeen	02	434	5	15376	433	434	15406
IL	Collins	1	38	0	1327	38	38	1329
IL	Collins	2	33	0	1133	33	33	1135
IL	Collins	3	58	1	2000	58	58	2004
IL	Collins	4	47	1	1632	47	47	1636
IL	Collins	5	52	1	1809	52	52	1812
IL	Crawford	7	105	1	7235	104	105	3617
IL	Crawford	8	162	2	9848	162	162	5602
IL	Dallman	31	40	0	1385	40	40	1388
IL	Dallman	32	45	0	1568	45	45	1570
IL	Dallman	33	151	2	5197	150	151	5208
IL	Duck Creek	1	325	4	11197	324	324	11220
IL	E D Edwards	1	70	1	2898	70	70	2414
IL	E D Edwards	2	196	2	6914	195	195	6760
IL	E D Edwards	3	251	3	9122	250	250	8663
IL	Fisk	19	104	1	10031	104	104	3602
IL	Grand Tower	07	7	0	248	7	7	248
IL	Grand Tower	08	7	0	235	7	7	236
IL	Grand Tower	09	72	1	2546	72	72	2551
IL	Havana	1	0	0	35	0	0	35
IL	Havana	2	0	0	45	0	0	45
IL	Havana	3	0	0	35	0	0	35
IL	Havana	4	0	0	35	0	0	35
IL	Havana	5	0	0	35	0	0	35
IL	Havana	6	0	0	35	0	0	35
IL	Havana	7	0	0	35	0	0	35
IL	Havana	8	0	0	35	0	0	35
IL	Havana	9	195	2	8803	194	195	6731
IL	Hennepin	1	59	1	2017	58	58	2023
IL	Hennepin	2	224	2	7938	224	224	7953
IL	Hutsonville	05	64	1	2222	64	64	2227
IL	Hutsonville	06	67	1	2301	67	67	2306
IL	Joliet 29	71	169	2	7578	169	169	5837
IL	Joliet 29	72	138	1	6176	137	138	4757
IL	Joliet 29	81	158	2	7294	158	158	5471
IL	Joliet 29	82	164	2	7556	164	164	5668
IL	Joliet 9	5	170	2	8674	170	170	5886
IL	Joppa Steam	1	153	2	5286	153	153	5297
IL	Joppa Steam	2	131	1	4522	131	131	4530
IL	Joppa Steam	3	149	2	5151	149	149	5162
IL	Joppa Steam	4	138	2	4771	138	138	4781

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations								
			Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
State	Plant Name	Boiler1	(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
IL	Joppa Steam	5	139	2	4793	139	139	4803
IL	Joppa Steam	6	129	1	4459	129	129	4467
IL	Kincaid	1	384	4	13592	383	383	13620
IL	Kincaid	2	423	5	14977	422	423	15006
IL	Lakeside	7	74	1	2553	74	18	633
IL	Lakeside	8	42	0	1446	42	9	326
IL	Marion	1	60	1	2079	60	14	468
IL	Marion	2	62	1	2129	62	14	479
IL	Marion	3	67	1	2309	67	15	520
IL	Marion	4	198	2	6839	198	198	6853
IL	Meredosia	01	9	0	298	9	9	299
IL	Meredosia	02	9	0	322	9	9	322
IL	Meredosia	03	8	0	280	8	8	281
IL	Meredosia	04	7	0	255	7	7	255
IL	Meredosia	05	169	2	5989	169	169	6000
IL	Meredosia	06	1	0	46	1	1	46
IL	Newton	1	453	5	15620	452	452	15652
IL	Newton	2	404	4	13928	403	403	13956
IL	Powerton	51	244	3	10701	244	244	8443
IL	Powerton	52	241	3	10571	241	241	8341
IL	Powerton	61	248	3	10513	248	248	8580
IL	Powerton	62	250	3	10596	250	250	8647
IL	R S Wallace	10	5	0	2432	5	5	177
IL	R S Wallace	9	2	0	901	2	2	61
IL	Venice	1	0	0	5	0	0	5
IL	Venice	2	0	0	2	0	0	2
IL	Venice	3	0	0	17	0	0	17
IL	Venice	4	0	0	14	0	0	14
IL	Venice	5	0	0	10	0	0	10
IL	Venice	6	0	0	10	0	0	10
IL	Venice	7	0	0	2	0	0	2
IL	Venice	8	0	0	2	0	0	2
IL	Vermillion	1	82	1	2834	82	82	2840
IL	Vermillion	2	108	1	3830	108	108	3837
IL	Waukegan	17	43	0	3104	182	43	1501
IL	Waukegan	7	183	2	8212	145	183	6314
IL	Waukegan	8	145	2	7838	43	145	5005
IL	Will County	1	74	1	5321	74	74	2554
IL	Will County	2	73	1	4849	72	72	2505
IL	Will County	3	150	2	6993	150	150	5197
IL	Will County	4	264	3	13801	264	264	9133
IL	Wood River	1	0	0	3	0	0	3
IL	Wood River	2	0	0	3	0	0	3
IL	Wood River	3	0	0	3	0	0	3
IL	Wood River	4	51	1	2258	51	51	1761

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
IL	Wood River	5	275	3	9478	274	274	9498
IN	A B Brown	**4	19	0	639	18	18	640
IN	A B Brown	1	155	2	5356	155	155	5368
IN	A B Brown	2	131	1	4529	131	131	4538
IN	Bailly	7	136	1	4811	136	136	4819
IN	Bailly	8	194	2	6869	194	194	6882
IN	Breed	1	225	2	7975	225	225	7990
IN	Cayuga	1	407	4	14386	405	406	14415
IN	Cayuga	2	416	5	14710	415	415	14740
IN	Cayuga	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
IN	Cayuga	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
IN	Cayuga	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
IN	Clifty Creek	1	246	3	8462	245	245	8480
IN	Clifty Creek	2	241	3	8321	241	241	8338
IN	Clifty Creek	3	249	3	8570	248	248	8589
IN	Clifty Creek	4	245	3	8431	244	244	8449
IN	Clifty Creek	5	236	3	8129	235	235	8146
IN	Clifty Creek	6	248	3	8557	248	248	8574
IN	Dean H Mitchell	11	35	0	2658	35	35	1225
IN	Dean H Mitchell	4	43	0	3116	43	43	1473
IN	Dean H Mitchell	5	54	1	3017	54	54	1860
IN	Dean H Mitchell	6	48	1	2969	48	48	1672
IN	Edwardsport	6-1	0	0	0	0	0	0
IN	Edwardsport	7-1	10	0	347	10	10	348
IN	Edwardsport	7-2	10	0	354	10	10	355
IN	Edwardsport	8-1	11	0	375	11	11	375
IN	Elmer W Stout	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
IN	Elmer W Stout	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
IN	Elmer W Stout	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
IN	Elmer W Stout	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
IN	Elmer W Stout	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
IN	Elmer W Stout	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
IN	Elmer W Stout	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
IN	Elmer W Stout	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
IN	Elmer W Stout	9	0	0	1	0	0	1
IN	Elmer W Stout	10	0	0	2	0	0	2
IN	Elmer W Stout	50	47	1	1673	47	47	1677
IN	Elmer W Stout	60	58	1	2057	58	58	2061
IN	Elmer W Stout	70	288	3	10177	287	287	10198
IN	F B Culley	1	24	0	827	24	24	828
IN	F B Culley	2	50	1	1758	50	50	1762
IN	F B Culley	3	207	2	7316	206	206	7332
IN	Frank E Ratts	1SG1	102	1	3592	101	101	3600
IN	Frank E Ratts	2SG1	103	1	3659	103	103	3666
IN	Gibson	1	492	5	17415	491	491	17449

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations								
			Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
State	Plant Name	Boiler1	(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
IN	Gibson	2	500	5	17678	498	499	17713
IN	Gibson	3	500	5	17709	499	500	17743
IN	Gibson	4	491	5	17384	490	490	17419
IN	Gibson	5	527	7	18180	526	527	18217
IN	H T Pritchard	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
IN	H T Pritchard	2	0	0	1	0	0	1
IN	H T Pritchard	3	7	0	240	7	7	240
IN	H T Pritchard	4	15	0	533	15	15	534
IN	H T Pritchard	5	17	0	596	17	17	597
IN	H T Pritchard	6	70	1	2487	70	70	2492
IN	Merom	1SG1	433	5	14920	432	432	14951
IN	Merom	2SG1	430	5	14818	429	429	14850
IN	Michigan City	12	284	3	10049	283	283	10069
IN	Michigan City	4	26	0	909	26	26	912
IN	Michigan City	5	29	0	1010	29	29	1012
IN	Michigan City	6	30	0	1019	30	30	1021
IN	NA 1 – 7221	**1	0	0	0	0	0	0
IN	NA 1 – 7221	**3	0	0	0	0	0	0
IN	NA 1 – 7221	**4	0	0	0	0	0	0
IN	Noblesville	1	2	0	66	2	2	66
IN	Noblesville	2	2	0	54	2	2	54
IN	Noblesville	3	1	0	40	1	1	40
IN	Petersburg	1	200	2	7086	200	200	7100
IN	Petersburg	2	395	4	13961	393	394	13988
IN	Petersburg	3	490	5	16881	488	489	16916
IN	Petersburg	4	469	5	16150	467	468	16183
IN	R Gallagher	1	82	1	2908	82	82	2914
IN	R Gallagher	2	89	1	3137	88	89	3144
IN	R Gallagher	3	80	1	2814	79	79	2821
IN	R Gallagher	4	83	1	2932	83	83	2938
IN	R M Schahfer	14	141	2	10355	141	141	4868
IN	R M Schahfer	15	129	1	10692	129	129	4461
IN	R M Schahfer	17	151	2	5222	151	151	5233
IN	R M Schahfer	18	151	2	5187	150	150	5199
IN	Rockport	MB1	958	11	32992	956	957	33061
IN	Rockport	MB2	958	11	32992	956	957	33061
IN	State Line	3	100	1	4725	100	100	3452
IN	State Line	4	175	2	6922	174	174	6033
IN	Tanners Creek	U1	59	1	2775	59	59	2037
IN	Tanners Creek	U2	62	1	2797	62	62	2138
IN	Tanners Creek	U3	66	1	4079	66	66	2287
IN	Tanners Creek	U4	302	3	10702	302	302	10722
IN	Wabash River	1	49	1	1722	49	49	1726
IN	Wabash River	2	39	0	1392	39	39	1394
IN	Wabash River	3	46	0	1616	46	46	1619

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
IN	Wabash River	4	44	0	1532	44	44	1534
IN	Wabash River	5	45	0	1582	45	45	1584
IN	Wabash River	6	150	2	5293	149	149	5304
IN	Warrick	4	297	3	10506	296	296	10527
IN	Whitewater Valley	1	65	1	2236	65	65	2241
IN	Whitewater Valley	2	194	2	6693	194	194	6706
IA	Ames	7	12	0	403	12	12	403
IA	Ames	8	53	1	1833	53	53	1837
IA	Burlington	1	130	1	4498	130	130	4507
IA	Council Bluffs	1	19	0	1110	19	19	653
IA	Council Bluffs	2	27	0	1651	27	27	928
IA	Council Bluffs	3	463	5	15951	462	462	15985
IA	Des Moines	**5	0	0	0	0	0	0
IA	Des Moines	10	5	0	163	5	5	164
IA	Des Moines	11	7	0	244	7	7	245
IA	Dubuque	1	32	0	1120	32	32	1122
IA	Dubuque	5	9	0	305	9	9	306
IA	Earl F Wisdom	1	11	0	379	11	11	380
IA	Fair Station	2	162	2	5573	161	161	5585
IA	George Neal North	1	67	1	2309	67	67	2314
IA	George Neal North	2	128	1	9081	127	127	4405
IA	George Neal North	3	248	3	12293	247	247	8556
IA	George Neal South	4	439	5	15139	438	438	15171
IA	Graettinger	2	0	0	10	0	0	10
IA	Grinnell	**2	6	0	189	6	6	190
IA	Lansing	3	14	0	478	14	14	479
IA	Lansing	4	126	1	4628	125	126	4344
IA	Lime Creek	**1	7	0	255	7	7	255
IA	Lime Creek	**2	7	0	255	7	7	255
IA	Louisa	101	452	5	15588	451	451	15620
IA	Maynard Station	1	1	0	31	1	1	31
IA	Milton Knapp	2	168	2	5793	168	168	5805
IA	Muscatine	8	39	0	1362	39	39	1364
IA	Muscatine	9	59	1	2026	59	59	2030
IA	NA 1 – 7230	**2	0	0	0	0	0	0
IA	Ottumwa	1	554	7	19088	552	553	19127
IA	Pella	6	22	0	757	22	22	758
IA	Pella	7	28	0	978	28	28	979
IA	Pella	8	1	0	68	1	1	27
IA	Prairie Creek	3	21	0	725	21	21	727
IA	Prairie Creek	4	100	1	3433	99	99	3440
IA	Riverside	9	51	1	1744	50	51	1748
IA	Sixth Street	1	24	0	814	24	24	815
IA	Sixth Street	2	6	0	177	6	6	177
IA	Sixth Street	3	6	0	154	6	6	154

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
IA	Sixth Street	4	1	0	77	1	1	77
IA	Sixth Street	5	10	0	308	10	10	308
IA	Streeter Station	7	16	0	554	16	16	555
IA	Sutherland	1	6	0	199	6	6	200
IA	Sutherland	2	11	0	376	11	11	376
IA	Sutherland	3	64	1	2190	63	63	2196
KS	Arthur Mullergren	3	0	0	1	0	0	1
KS	Cimarron River	1	0	0	12	0	0	11
KS	Coffeyville	4	0	0	11	0	0	10
KS	East 12th Street	4	0	0	10	0	0	8
KS	Garden City	S-2	0	0	0	0	0	0
KS	Gordon Evans	1	0	0	64	0	2	56
KS	Gordon Evans	2	0	0	25	0	1	21
KS	Holcomb	SGU1	116	1	4010	116	116	4018
KS	Hutchinson	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
KS	Hutchinson	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
KS	Hutchinson	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
KS	Hutchinson	4	0	0	18	0	0	16
KS	Jeffery Energy Ctr	1	496	5	17108	495	495	17143
KS	Jeffery Energy Ctr	2	525	7	18080	523	524	18118
KS	Jeffery Energy Ctr	3	598	7	20628	597	597	20672
KS	Judson Large	4	0	0	39	0	1	34
KS	Kaw	1	23	0	787	23	23	789
KS	Kaw	2	18	0	619	18	18	620
KS	Kaw	3	15	0	516	15	15	517
KS	Kingman	**9	1	0	51	1	1	51
KS	LaCygne	1	417	5	17941	416	416	14405
KS	LaCygne	2	437	5	15056	436	436	15087
KS	Lawrence	2	0	0	2	0	0	2
KS	Lawrence	3	18	0	2148	18	18	625
KS	Lawrence	4	27	0	1819	27	27	948
KS	Lawrence	5	109	1	5376	108	108	3752
KS	McPherson 2	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
KS	Mulvane	**7	0	0	5	0	0	5
KS	Mulvane	**8	0	0	5	0	0	5
KS	Murray Gill	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
KS	Murray Gill	2	0	0	5	0	0	5
KS	Murray Gill	3	0	0	50	0	1	44
KS	Murray Gill	4	0	0	62	0	2	54
KS	Nearman Creek	N1	201	2	6928	200	201	6942
KS	Neosho	7	0	0	13	0	0	13
KS	Quindaro	1	59	1	2031	59	59	2035
KS	Quindaro	2	60	1	2078	60	60	2082
KS	Riverton	39	30	0	1039	30	30	1041
KS	Riverton	40	51	1	1763	51	51	1766

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations								
			Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
State	Plant Name	Boiler1	(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
KS	Russell	**11	1	0	31	1	1	31
KS	Russell	**12	1	0	30	1	1	30
KS	Tecumseh	10	43	0	3916	42	43	1470
KS	Tecumseh	9	27	0	2256	27	27	921
KS	Wamego	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
KY	Big Sandy	BSU1	186	2	6428	186	186	6441
KY	Big Sandy	BSU2	538	7	19711	537	537	18584
KY	Cane Run	**12	0	0	0	0	0	0
KY	Cane Run	**13	0	0	0	0	0	0
KY	Cane Run	3	1	0	39	1	1	39
KY	Cane Run	4	79	1	4521	79	79	2726
KY	Cane Run	5	125	1	4340	125	125	4330
KY	Cane Run	6	157	2	5498	157	157	5436
KY	Coleman	C1	137	1	4853	137	137	4862
KY	Coleman	C2	156	2	5534	156	156	5545
KY	Coleman	C3	150	2	5322	150	150	5332
KY	Cooper	1	91	1	3209	90	91	3216
KY	Cooper	2	187	2	6606	186	186	6619
KY	D B Wilson	W1	362	4	12461	361	361	12487
KY	Dale	3	49	1	1983	49	49	1693
KY	Dale	4	41	0	1847	40	40	1400
KY	E W Brown	1	87	1	3065	86	86	3071
KY	E W Brown	2	164	2	5805	164	164	5817
KY	E W Brown	3	318	3	11251	317	317	11273
KY	East Bend	2	531	7	18315	530	530	18354
KY	Elmer Smith	1	79	1	2804	79	79	2810
KY	Elmer Smith	2	176	2	6211	175	175	6224
KY	Ghent	1	346	4	12248	345	346	12272
KY	Ghent	2	291	3	12734	290	290	10038
KY	Ghent	3	405	4	13956	404	404	13985
KY	Ghent	4	398	4	13713	397	397	13742
KY	Green River	1	0	0	130	0	0	2
KY	Green River	2	0	0	851	0	0	16
KY	Green River	3	0	0	744	0	0	13
KY	Green River	4	82	1	2825	82	82	2830
KY	Green River	5	95	1	3371	95	95	3377
KY	H L Spurlock	1	278	3	9821	277	277	9841
KY	H L Spurlock	2	481	5	16586	480	480	16621
KY	Henderson 1	6	24	0	810	23	23	812
KY	HMP&L Station 2	H1	163	2	5756	162	162	5769
KY	HMP&L Station 2	H2	168	2	5934	167	167	5946
KY	Mill Creek	1	223	2	8080	222	222	7696
KY	Mill Creek	2	227	2	8140	227	227	7855
KY	Mill Creek	3	319	3	10979	318	318	11001
KY	Mill Creek	4	395	4	13618	394	394	13645

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations								
			Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
State	Plant Name	Boiler1	(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
KY	NA 1 – 7220	**3	0	0	0	0	0	0
KY	NA 1 – 7220	**4	0	0	0	0	0	0
KY	NA 1 – 7220	**5	0	0	0	0	0	0
KY	Paradise	1	314	3	10818	313	313	10841
KY	Paradise	2	357	4	12300	356	356	12326
KY	Paradise	3	722	9	25504	720	721	25556
KY	Pineville	3	12	0	914	12	12	424
KY	R D Green	G1	154	2	5292	153	153	5303
KY	R D Green	G2	185	2	6376	184	185	6389
KY	Robert Reid	R1	27	0	942	27	27	944
KY	Shawnee	1	76	1	3643	76	76	2622
KY	Shawnee	10	138	2	4893	138	138	4903
KY	Shawnee	2	78	1	3672	78	78	2702
KY	Shawnee	3	88	1	3707	88	88	3043
KY	Shawnee	4	88	1	3593	87	87	3025
KY	Shawnee	5	86	1	3825	85	85	2954
KY	Shawnee	6	94	1	3711	94	94	3242
KY	Shawnee	7	104	1	3639	103	104	3581
KY	Shawnee	8	99	1	3570	99	99	3427
KY	Shawnee	9	106	1	3665	106	106	3672
KY	Trimble County	1	279	3	9631	279	279	9651
KY	Tyrone	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
KY	Tyrone	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
KY	Tyrone	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
KY	Tyrone	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
KY	Tyrone	5	20	0	1713	20	20	675
LA	A B Paterson	3	0	0	7	0	0	4
LA	A B Paterson	4	0	0	8	0	0	6
LA	Arsenal Hill	5A	0	0	30	0	1	18
LA	Big Cajun 1	1B1	0	0	27	0	1	37
LA	Big Cajun 1	1B2	0	0	27	0	1	34
LA	Big Cajun 2	2B1	415	4	14864	414	414	14322
LA	Big Cajun 2	2B2	409	4	14636	408	409	14142
LA	Big Cajun 2	2B3	408	4	14653	407	408	14106
LA	Coughlin	6	0	0	46	0	1	34
LA	Coughlin	7	0	0	128	0	4	139
LA	D G Hunter	3	0	0	0	0	0	9
LA	D G Hunter	4	0	0	32	0	1	24
LA	Doc Bonin	1	0	0	12	0	0	17
LA	Doc Bonin	2	0	0	24	0	1	30
LA	Doc Bonin	3	0	0	45	0	3	89
LA	Dolet Hills	1	595	7	20494	593	593	20535
LA	Houma	15	0	0	10	0	0	14
LA	Houma	16	0	0	14	0	1	28
LA	Lieberman	3	0	0	86	0	2	85

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
LA	Lieberman	4	0	0	72	0	2	63
LA	Little Gypsy	1	1	0	245	1	6	223
LA	Little Gypsy	2	2	0	378	2	10	351
LA	Little Gypsy	3	3	0	543	3	14	473
LA	Louisiana 1	1A	0	0	116	0	0	17
LA	Louisiana 1	2A	0	0	2	0	0	17
LA	Louisiana 1	3A	0	0	2	0	0	17
LA	Louisiana 2	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
LA	Louisiana 2	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
LA	Louisiana 2	12	0	0	0	0	0	0
LA	Michoud	1	0	0	71	0	2	83
LA	Michoud	2	0	0	106	0	4	138
LA	Michoud	3	1	0	491	1	13	467
LA	Monroe	11	0	0	13	0	0	12
LA	Monroe	12	0	0	45	0	1	38
LA	Morgan City	4	0	0	5	0	0	5
LA	Natchitoches	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
LA	Ninemile Point	1	1	0	62	1	2	65
LA	Ninemile Point	2	1	0	112	1	3	103
LA	Ninemile Point	3	1	0	96	1	3	86
LA	Ninemile Point	4	3	0	691	3	18	611
LA	Ninemile Point	5	4	0	930	4	23	811
LA	Opelousas	10	0	0	1	0	0	1
LA	R S Nelson	3	0	0	39	0	1	26
LA	R S Nelson	4	0	0	123	0	8	279
LA	R S Nelson	6	541	7	19562	540	540	18701
LA	Rodemacher	1	86	1	3248	86	86	2975
LA	Rodemacher	2	527	7	18902	526	526	18212
LA	Ruston	2	0	0	4	0	0	6
LA	Ruston	3	0	0	5	0	1	22
LA	Sterlington	10	1	0	174	1	5	156
LA	Sterlington	7AB	0	0	72	0	2	72
LA	Teche	2	0	0	27	0	1	22
LA	Teche	3	0	0	446	0	11	368
LA	Waterford 1 & 2	1	124	1	4553	123	123	4269
LA	Waterford 1 & 2	2	96	1	3534	96	96	3313
LA	Willow Glen	1	0	0	99	0	3	87
LA	Willow Glen	2	0	0	26	0	1	20
LA	Willow Glen	3	0	0	93	0	1	32
LA	Willow Glen	4	0	0	291	0	9	295
LA	Willow Glen	5	1	0	458	1	13	437
ME	Graham Station	5	10	0	344	10	10	344
ME	Mason Steam	3	0	0	2	0	0	2
ME	Mason Steam	4	0	0	1	0	0	1
ME	Mason Steam	5	0	0	1	0	0	1

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations								
			Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
State	Plant Name	Boiler1	(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
ME	William F Wyman	1	14	0	1159	13	13	467
ME	William F Wyman	2	16	0	1161	16	16	549
ME	William F Wyman	3	78	1	2945	78	78	2693
ME	William F Wyman	4	182	2	6272	181	182	6284
MD	Brandon Shores	1	537	7	18503	535	536	18542
MD	Brandon Shores	2	226	2	7793	225	226	7808
MD	C P Crane	1	126	1	4348	126	126	4356
MD	C P Crane	2	117	1	4042	117	117	4049
MD	Chalk Point	**GT3	21	0	707	20	20	709
MD	Chalk Point	**GT4	21	0	707	20	20	709
MD	Chalk Point	**GT5	26	0	894	26	26	896
MD	Chalk Point	**GT6	26	0	894	26	26	896
MD	Chalk Point	1	267	3	9199	266	266	9218
MD	Chalk Point	2	296	3	10216	296	296	10236
MD	Chalk Point	3	151	2	12501	151	151	5229
MD	Chalk Point	4	75	1	2599	75	75	2605
MD	Dickerson	1	170	2	5846	169	169	5859
MD	Dickerson	2	160	2	5498	159	159	5510
MD	Dickerson	3	170	2	5844	169	169	5856
MD	Dickerson	CW1	0	0	0	0	0	0
MD	Dickerson	GT2	31	0	1082	31	31	1084
MD	Dickerson	GT3	31	0	1082	31	31	1084
MD	Dickerson	HCT3	0	0	0	0	0	0
MD	Dickerson	HCT4	0	0	0	0	0	0
MD	Easton 2	**25	0	0	0	0	0	0
MD	Easton 2	**26	0	0	0	0	0	0
MD	Easton 2	**27	0	0	0	0	0	0
MD	Gould Street	3	24	0	821	24	24	823
MD	Herbert A Wagner	1	37	0	1291	37	37	1293
MD	Herbert A Wagner	2	38	0	1299	38	38	1301
MD	Herbert A Wagner	3	243	3	8378	242	243	8395
MD	Herbert A Wagner	4	44	0	1520	44	44	1523
MD	Morgantown	1	491	5	16927	490	490	16962
MD	Morgantown	2	469	5	16184	468	469	16216
MD	Nanticoke	**ST1	0	0	0	0	0	0
MD	Perryman	**51	0	0	0	0	0	0
MD	Perryman	**52	0	0	0	0	0	0
MD	Perryman	**61	0	0	0	0	0	0
MD	Perryman	**62	0	0	0	0	0	0
MD	R P Smith	11	66	1	2313	66	66	2272
MD	R P Smith	9	8	0	634	8	8	281
MD	Riverside	1	5	0	189	5	5	190
MD	Riverside	2	5	0	171	5	5	172
MD	Riverside	3	10	0	354	10	10	354
MD	Riverside	4	13	0	455	13	13	456

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations								
			Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
State	Plant Name	Boiler1	(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
MD	Riverside	5	9	0	294	9	9	295
MD	Vienna	8	53	1	3644	53	53	1819
MD	Westport	3	5	0	186	5	5	187
MD	Westport	4	8	0	258	7	7	259
MA	Brayton Point	1	246	3	8478	245	246	8496
MA	Brayton Point	2	258	3	8908	258	258	8926
MA	Brayton Point	3	540	7	18618	539	539	18658
MA	Brayton Point	4	336	4	12135	336	336	11621
MA	Canal	1	357	4	13231	356	356	12327
MA	Canal	2	522	6	17993	521	521	18031
MA	Cannon Street	3	1†	0	374	11	11	374
MA	Cleary Flood	8	4	0	143	4	4	143
MA	Cleary Flood	9	46	0	2679	46	46	1577
MA	Kendall Square	1	5	0	199	5	5	198
MA	Kendall Square	2	6	0	208	5	5	208
MA	Kendall Square	3	12	0	421	12	12	422
MA	Mount Tom	1	163	2	5609	162	162	5622
MA	Mystic	4	76	1	2606	75	75	2612
MA	Mystic	5	90	1	3091	89	90	3098
MA	Mystic	6	89	1	3075	89	89	3081
MA	Mystic	7	500	5	17239	499	499	17274
MA	New Boston	1	179	2	6156	178	178	6169
MA	New Boston	2	183	2	6322	183	183	6335
MA	Salem Harbor	1	97	1	3338	97	97	3345
MA	Salem Harbor	2	99	1	3407	99	99	3414
MA	Salem Harbor	3	158	2	5459	158	158	5470
MA	Salem Harbor	4	357	4	12567	356	357	12346
MA	Somerset	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
MA	Somerset	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
MA	Somerset	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
MA	Somerset	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
MA	Somerset	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
MA	Somerset	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
MA	Somerset	7	80	1	2764	80	80	2770
MA	Somerset	8	116	1	3984	115	115	3993
MA	Waters River	**2	7	0	247	7	7	247
MA	West Springfield	1	11	0	378	11	11	379
MA	West Springfield	2	10	0	356	10	10	356
MA	West Springfield	3	87	1	3011	87	87	3017
MI	491 E. 48th Street	**7	9	0	298	9	9	299
MI	491 E. 48th Street	**8	9	0	298	9	9	299
MI	B C Cobb	1	13	0	1142	13	13	442
MI	B C Cobb	2	14	0	1229	13	14	475
MI	B C Cobb	3	13	0	1223	13	13	473
MI	B C Cobb	4	133	1	4572	132	132	4582

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations								
			Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
State	Plant Name	Boiler1	(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
MI	B C Cobb	5	136	1	4694	136	136	4702
MI	Belle River	1	537	6	18499	535	536	18536
MI	Belle River	2	544	6	18763	543	543	18801
MI	Conners Creek	15	17	0	4285	17	17	589
MI	Conners Creek	16	17	0	4279	17	17	581
MI	Conners Creek	17	15	0	4034	15	15	554
MI	Conners Creek	18	13	0	3353	13	13	450
MI	Dan E Karn	1	227	2	7809	226	226	7825
MI	Dan E Karn	2	248	3	8564	248	248	8582
MI	Dan E Karn	3	30	0	1020	30	30	1023
MI	Dan E Karn	4	27	0	948	27	27	949
MI	Delray	10	0	0	14	0	0	14
MI	Delray	12	0	0	14	0	0	12
MI	Delray	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
MI	Delray	8	0	0	12	0	0	12
MI	Delray	9	0	0	0	0	0	0
MI	Eckert Station	1	34	0	1298	34	34	1176
MI	Eckert Station	2	35	0	1354	35	35	1225
MI	Eckert Station	3	32	0	1327	32	32	1116
MI	Eckert Station	4	64	1	2222	64	64	2227
MI	Eckert Station	5	77	1	2665	77	77	2670
MI	Eckert Station	6	68	1	2342	68	68	2347
MI	Ednicott Generating	1	53	1	1809	52	52	1814
MI	Erickson	1	193	2	6644	192	192	6659
MI	Greenwood	1	16	0	539	16	16	541
MI	Harbor Beach	1	41	0	3520	41	41	1427
MI	J B Sims	3	43	0	1484	43	43	1487
MI	J C Weadock	7	138	1	4744	137	137	4754
MI	J C Weadock	8	136	1	4690	136	136	4699
MI	J H Campbell	1	235	3	8095	234	234	8113
MI	J H Campbell	2	281	3	9682	280	280	9702
MI	J H Campbell	3	798	10	27471	796	797	27529
MI	J R Whiting	1	99	1	3411	99	99	3418
MI	J R Whiting	2	101	1	3493	101	101	3500
MI	J R Whiting	3	130	1	4467	129	129	4477
MI	James De Young	5	30	0	1048	30	30	1050
MI	Marysville	10	13	0	1261	13	13	432
MI	Marysville	11	13	0	1315	13	13	450
MI	Marysville	12	11	0	1061	11	11	363
MI	Marysville	9	16	0	1637	16	16	560
MI	Mistersky	5	7	0	257	7	7	257
MI	Mistersky	6	13	0	437	13	13	438
MI	Mistersky	7	14	0	485	14	14	487
MI	Monroe (4)	1	692	9	23830	690	691	23882
MI	Monroe (4)	2	719	9	24731	716	717	24785

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
MI	Monroe (4)	3	672	8	23151	670	672	23200
MI	Monroe (4)	4	739	9	25424	737	737	25478
MI	Presque Isle	2	7	0	637	7	7	246
MI	Presque Isle	3	55	1	1906	55	55	1910
MI	Presque Isle	4	48	1	1676	48	48	1673
MI	Presque Isle	5	85	1	2933	85	85	2938
MI	Presque Isle	6	85	1	2940	85	85	2946
MI	Presque Isle	7	63	1	2215	63	63	2173
MI	Presque Isle	8	59	1	2191	59	59	2050
MI	Presque Isle	9	44	0	2346	44	44	1511
MI	River Rouge	1	2	0	79	2	2	79
MI	River Rouge	2	180	2	6321	179	179	6203
MI	River Rouge	3	264	3	9100	263	264	9118
MI	Shiras	3	15	0	500	14	14	502
MI	St Clair	1	106	1	3665	106	106	3672
MI	St Clair	2	103	1	3542	103	103	3549
MI	St Clair	3	102	1	3524	102	102	3530
MI	St Clair	4	98	1	3395	98	98	3402
MI	St Clair	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
MI	St Clair	6	213	2	7340	212	213	7355
MI	St Clair	7	390	4	13455	389	390	13482
MI	Trenton Channel	16	67	0	3292	66	66	2297
MI	Trenton Channel	17	16	0	767	16	16	534
MI	Trenton Channel	18	72	0	3563	72	72	2485
MI	Trenton Channel	19	14	0	698	14	14	488
MI	Trenton Channel	9A	421	5	14502	420	420	14532
MI	Wyandotte	5	15	0	960	15	15	549
MI	Wyandotte	7	15	0	953	15	15	545
MN	Allen S King	1	453	5	15623	452	452	15655
MN	Black Dog	1	10	0	1914	10	10	331
MN	Black Dog	2	13	0	3683	13	13	458
MN	Black Dog	3	29	0	2275	29	29	989
MN	Black Dog	4	62	1	4055	62	62	2130
MN	Clay Boswell	1	36	0	1827	36	36	1248
MN	Clay Boswell	2	34	0	1800	34	34	1188
MN	Clay Boswell	3	286	3	9863	285	286	9882
MN	Clay Boswell	4	299	3	10321	299	299	10342
MN	Fox Lake	3	31	0	2069	31	31	1068
MN	High Bridge	3	23	0	2118	23	23	795
MN	High Bridge	4	18	0	1458	18	18	614
MN	High Bridge	5	31	0	2194	31	31	1087
MN	High Bridge	6	54	1	1851	54	54	1855
MN	Hoot Lake	2	9	0	1242	9	9	310
MN	Hoot Lake	3	31	0	1978	31	31	1078
MN	M L Hibbard	3	1	0	987	1	1	30

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations								
			Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
State	Plant Name	Boiler1	(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
MN	M L Hibbard	4	0	0	1094	0	0	10
MN	Minnesota Valley	4	2	0	938	2	2	62
MN	Northeast Station	NEPP	31	0	1052	30	30	1055
MN	Riverside	6	7	0	3076	7	7	227
MN	Riverside	7	3	0	1339	3	3	90
MN	Riverside	8	109	1	5067	109	109	3779
MN	Sherburne County	1	380	4	13087	379	379	13115
MN	Sherburne County	2	382	4	13180	381	382	13206
MN	Sherburne County	3	376	4	12952	375	375	12979
MN	Silver Lake	4	91	1	3132	91	91	3138
MN	Syl Laskin	1	9	0	1692	9	9	321
MN	Syl Laskin	2	4	0	1649	4	4	139
MS	Baxter Wilson	1	1	0	360	1	9	321
MS	Baxter Wilson	2	103	1	3563	103	103	3570
MS	Delta	1	0	0	26	0	1	24
MS	Delta	2	1	0	50	1	1	48
MS	Gerald Andrus	1	95	1	3281	95	95	3287
MS	Jack Watson	1	5	0	172	5	5	173
MS	Jack Watson	2	5	0	180	5	5	181
MS	Jack Watson	3	8	0	273	8	8	273
MS	Jack Watson	4	218	2	7523	218	218	7537
MS	Jack Watson	5	447	5	15410	446	446	15442
MS	Moselle	**4	0	0	0	0	0	0
MS	Moselle	**5	0	0	0	0	0	0
MS	Moselle	**6	0	0	0	0	0	0
MS	Moselle	**7	0	0	0	0	0	0
MS	Moselle	1	0	0	35	0	1	33
MS	Moselle	2	1	0	76	1	2	70
MS	Moselle	3	0	0	42	0	1	38
MS	Natchez	1	0	0	2	0	0	3
MS	R D Morrow	1	139	2	4798	139	139	4808
MS	R D Morrow	2	152	2	5252	152	152	5263
MS	Rex Brown	1A	0	0	6	0	0	5
MS	Rex Brown	1B	0	0	6	0	0	5
MS	Rex Brown	3	0	0	41	0	1	37
MS	Rex Brown	4	0	0	159	0	4	139
MS	Sweatt	1	2	0	78	2	2	78
MS	Sweatt	2	3	0	86	2	2	86
MS	Victor J Daniel Jr	1	287	3	11225	286	287	9916
MS	Victor J Daniel Jr	2	414	4	14273	413	413	14303
MO	Asbury	1	197	2	6973	196	197	6986
MO	Blue Valley	3	135	1	4669	135	135	4678
MO	Chamois	2	158	2	5455	158	158	5466
MO	Columbia	6	26	0	903	26	26	905
MO	Columbia	7	105	1	3630	104	104	3639

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
MO	Columbia	8	3	0	125	3	3	125
MO	Combustion Turbine 1	**1	0	0	0	0	0	0
MO	Combustion Turbine 1	**NA4	0	0	0	0	0	0
MO	Combustion Turbine 1	**NA5	0	0	0	0	0	0
MO	Combustion Turbine 1	**NA6	0	0	0	0	0	0
MO	Combustion Turbine 2	**2	0	0	0	0	0	0
MO	Combustion Turbine 3	**3	0	0	0	0	0	0
MO	Hawthorn	5	356	4	12769	355	356	12309
MO	Iatan	**2	0	0	0	0	0	0
MO	Iatan	1	470	5	16203	469	469	16236
MO	James River	**GT2	18	0	604	18	18	605
MO	James River	3	96	1	3326	96	20	681
MO	James River	4	173	2	5973	173	36	1253
MO	James River	5	60	1	2132	60	60	2136
MO	Jim Hill	**1	0	0	0	0	0	0
MO	Labadie	1	496	5	17548	495	495	17583
MO	Labadie	2	462	5	16358	461	461	16391
MO	Labadie	3	494	5	17482	493	493	17516
MO	Labadie	4	440	5	15579	439	439	15611
MO	Lake Road	6	18	0	605	18	18	606
MO	Meramec	1	30	0	2745	30	30	1029
MO	Meramec	2	32	0	2778	32	32	1105
MO	Meramec	3	68	1	6057	68	68	2362
MO	Meramec	4	74	1	7174	74	74	2554
MO	Montrose	1	90	1	3188	90	90	3194
MO	Montrose	2	100	1	3534	100	100	3541
MO	Montrose	3	123	1	4348	123	123	4356
MO	NA1 – 7223	**1	0	0	0	0	0	0
MO	NA 1 – 7223	**2	0	0	0	0	0	0
MO	NA 1 – 7223	**3	0	0	0	0	0	0
MO	NA 1 – 7226	**1	0	0	0	0	0	0
MO	New Madrid	1	344	4	12174	343	343	12198
MO	New Madrid	2	396	4	14005	395	395	14033
MO	RG 1 & 2	**1	0	0	0	0	0	0
MO	RG 1 & 2	**2	0	0	0	0	0	0
MO	Rush Island	1	402	4	14956	401	402	13900
MO	Rush Island	2	449	5	15647	448	449	15518
MO	Sibley	1	15	0	519	15	15	520
MO	Sibley	2	18	0	638	18	18	639
MO	Sibley	3	216	2	7632	215	215	7648
MO	Sikeston	1	197	2	6789	196	197	6802
MO	Sioux	1	306	3	10820	305	305	10842
MO	Sioux	2	268	3	9489	267	268	9507
MO	Southwest	1	119	1	4183	119	119	4127
MO	Thomas Hill	MB1	125	1	4420	125	125	4429

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations								
			Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
State	Plant Name	Boiler1	(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
MO	Thomas Hill	MB2	210	2	7430	209	210	7444
MO	Thomas Hill	MB3	529	6	18251	528	529	18288
MT	Colstrip	1	213	2	7857	213	213	7372
MT	Colstrip	2	213	2	7868	212	212	7349
MT	Colstrip	3	106	1	4404	106	106	3678
MT	Colstrip	4	85	1	2916	84	84	2923
MT	Frank Bird	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
MT	J E Corette	2	141	2	5060	141	141	4884
MT	Lewis & Clark	B1	41	0	1444	41	41	1403
NE	Bluffs	4	1	0	18	1	1	18
NE	C W Burdick	B-3	0	0	0	0	0	0
NE	Canaday	1	18	0	627	18	18	628
NE	Gerald Gentleman	1	259	3	10802	259	259	8960
NE	Gerald Gentleman	2	510	6	17566	508	509	17693
NE	Gerald T Whelan	1	68	1	2334	68	68	2338
NE	Harold Kramer	1	0	0	38	0	0	3
NE	Harold Kramer	2	0	0	40	0	0	3
NE	Harold Kramer	3	5	0	1052	5	5	168
NE	Harold Kramer	4	6	0	2079	6	6	198
NE	Lon Wright	8	34	0	2044	34	34	1184
NE	NA 1 – 7019	**NA1	0	0	0	0	0	0
NE	Nebraska City	1	383	4	13190	382	382	13217
NE	North Omaha	1	30	0	2388	30	30	1045
NE	North Omaha	2	47	1	3286	47	47	1614
NE	North Omaha	3	55	1	3207	55	55	1900
NE	North Omaha	4	73	1	3848	73	73	2515
NE	North Omaha	5	88	1	4646	88	88	3043
NE	Platte	1	85	1	2926	85	85	2932
NE	Sheldon	1	23	0	2168	23	23	792
NE	Sheldon	2	24	0	2280	24	24	846
NV	Clark	1	0	0	20	0	1	22
NV	Clark	2	8	0	273	8	8	261
NV	Clark	3	0	0	18	0	0	16
NV	Fort Churchill	1	10	0	371	10	10	356
NV	Fort Churchill	2	16	0	577	16	16	544
NV	Harry Allen	**1	0	0	0	0	0	0
NV	Harry Allen	**2	0	0	0	0	0	0
NV	Harry Allen	**3	0	0	0	0	0	0
NV	Harry Allen	**4	0	0	0	0	0	0
NV	Harry Allen	**GT1	0	0	0	0	0	0
NV	Harry Allen	**GT2	0	0	0	0	0	0
NV	Harry Allen	**GT3	0	0	0	0	0	0
NV	Harry Allen	**GT4	0	0	0	0	0	0
NV	Mohave	1	759	9	26651	757	757	26165
NV	Mohave	2	756	9	26547	753	754	26059

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
NV	North Valmy	1	190	2	6958	190	190	6569
NV	North Valmy	2	115	1	4261	115	115	3966
NV	Reid Gardner	1	57	1	2172	57	57	1985
NV	Reid Gardner	2	59	1	2201	59	59	2025
NV	Reid Gardner	3	57	1	2124	57	57	1968
NV	Reid Gardner	4	68	1	2813	68	68	2342
NV	Sunrise	1	1	0	50	1	2	52
NV	Tracy	1	0	0	15	0	0	14
NV	Tracy	2	1	0	46	1	1	42
NV	Tracy	3	9	0	314	9	9	304
NH	Merrimack	1	124	1	4287	124	124	4296
NH	Merrimack	2	268	3	9239	267	268	9257
NH	Newington	1	307	3	11660	306	307	10613
NH	Schiller	4	42	0	1514	42	42	1440
NH	Schiller	5	38	0	1457	38	38	1298
NH	Schiller	6	48	1	1642	48	48	1646
NJ	B L England	1	111	1	3810	110	110	3818
NJ	B L England	2	143	2	4929	143	143	4939
NJ	B L England	3	70	1	2419	70	70	2424
NJ	Bergen	1	57	1	1977	57	57	1981
NJ	Bergen	2	59	1	2043	59	59	2047
NJ	Burlington	7	16	0	561	16	16	562
NJ	Deepwater	1	34	0	1164	34	34	1166
NJ	Deepwater	3	0	0	11	0	0	11
NJ	Deepwater	4	2	0	59	2	2	58
NJ	Deepwater	5	0	0	5	0	0	5
NJ	Deepwater	6	2	0	59	2	2	58
NJ	Deepwater	8	80	1	2743	79	79	2751
NJ	Deepwater	9	53	1	1813	52	53	1817
NJ	Gilbert	01	2	0	60	2	2	60
NJ	Gilbert	02	2	0	37	2	2	37
NJ	Gilbert	03	20	0	700	20	20	701
NJ	Gilbert	04	17	0	600	17	17	601
NJ	Gilbert	05	17	0	596	17	17	597
NJ	Gilbert	06	17	0	593	17	17	594
NJ	Gilbert	07	18	0	605	18	18	606
NJ	Hudson	1	35	0	1197	35	35	1199
NJ	Hudson	2	440	5	15967	439	440	15209
NJ	Kearny	7	4	0	145	4	4	146
NJ	Kearny	8	4	0	153	4	4	154
NJ	Linden	11	28	0	968	28	28	970
NJ	Linden	12	19	0	665	19	19	666
NJ	Linden	13	25	0	877	25	25	879
NJ	Linden	2	19	0	644	19	19	645
NJ	Linden	4	12	0	423	12	12	423

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations								
			Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
State	Plant Name	Boiler1	(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
NJ	Mercer	1	221	2	7681	220	220	7616
NJ	Mercer	2	203	2	7437	202	203	7006
NJ	Sayreville	02	0	0	2	0	0	2
NJ	Sayreville	03	0	0	2	0	0	2
NJ	Sayreville	05	0	0	41	0	0	41
NJ	Sayreville	06	0	0	39	0	0	39
NJ	Sayreville	07	22	0	766	22	22	767
NJ	Sayreville	08	26	0	892	26	26	893
NJ	Sewaren	1	3	0	117	3	3	117
NJ	Sewaren	2	10	0	340	10	10	341
NJ	Sewaren	3	7	0	254	7	7	255
NJ	Sewaren	4	17	0	574	17	17	575
NJ	Sewaren	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
NJ	Werner	04	6	0	194	6	6	195
NM	Cunningham	121B	0	0	42	0	1	44
NM	Cunningham	122B	0	0	269	0	6	203
NM	Escalante	1	42	0	1874	42	42	1466
NM	Four Corners	1	96	1	3592	96	96	3323
NM	Four Corners	2	96	1	3588	96	96	3323
NM	Four Corners	3	120	1	4477	120	120	4162
NM	Four Corners	4	344	4	12503	343	343	11881
NM	Four Corners	5	356	4	13271	355	356	12305
NM	Maddox	051B	0	0	170	0	4	122
NM	North Lovington	S2	0	0	0	0	0	0
NM	Person	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
NM	Person	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
NM	Reeves	1	0	0	4	0	0	6
NM	Reeves	2	0	0	7	0	0	5
NM	Reeves	3	3	0	104	3	3	101
NM	Rio Grande	6	0	0	3	0	0	5
NM	Rio Grande	7	0	0	1	0	0	1
NM	Rio Grande	8	0	0	80	0	2	62
NM	San Juan	1	214	2	7939	213	213	7384
NM	San Juan	2	157	2	5920	156	156	5410
NM	San Juan	3	376	4	13874	375	376	13002
NM	San Juan	4	353	4	13043	352	353	12200
NY	59TH Street	110	2	0	64	2	2	64
NY	74TH Street	120	13	0	447	13	13	448
NY	74TH Street	121	13	0	449	13	13	450
NY	74TH Street	122	13	0	447	13	13	448
NY	Albany	1	52	1	1800	52	52	1803
NY	Albany	2	45	0	1556	45	45	1558
NY	Albany	3	46	1	1592	46	46	1597
NY	Albany	4	49	1	1686	49	49	1690
NY	Arthur Kill	20	43	0	1478	43	43	1480

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
NY	Arthur Kill	30	69	1	2366	68	69	2371
NY	Astoria	10	35	0	1216	35	35	1218
NY	Astoria	20	45	0	1554	45	45	1556
NY	Astoria	30	88	1	3023	87	88	3029
NY	Astoria	40	69	1	2375	69	69	2380
NY	Astoria	50	78	1	2699	78	78	2705
NY	Bowline Point	1	123	1	4239	123	123	4247
NY	Bowline Point	2	123	1	4240	123	123	4248
NY	C R Huntley	63	71	1	2656	71	71	2465
NY	C R Huntley	64	76	1	2663	76	76	2624
NY	C R Huntley	65	78	1	2692	78	78	2697
NY	C R Huntley	66	79	1	2728	79	79	2733
NY	C R Huntley	67	168	2	5773	167	167	5785
NY	C R Huntley	68	156	2	5379	156	156	5390
NY	Charles Poletti	001	187	2	6436	186	186	6450
NY	Danskammer	1	28	0	948	27	27	950
NY	Danskammer	2	27	0	920	27	27	921
NY	Danskammer	3	91	1	3128	91	91	3134
NY	Danskammer	4	175	2	6028	174	175	6041
NY	Dunkirk	1	82	1	2842	82	82	2848
NY	Dunkirk	2	94	1	3228	93	93	3235
NY	Dunkirk	3	153	2	5290	153	153	5300
NY	Dunkirk	4	171	2	5904	171	171	5916
NY	E F Barrett	10	69	1	2371	69	69	2375
NY	E F Barrett	20	68	1	2336	68	68	2341
NY	East River	50	41	0	1396	40	40	1400
NY	East River	60	41	0	1430	41	41	1432
NY	East River	70	30	0	1033	30	30	1035
NY	Far Rockaway	40	14	0	469	14	14	470
NY	Glenwood	40	27	0	939	27	27	940
NY	Glenwood	50	26	0	903	26	26	905
NY	Goudey	11	23	0	792	23	23	793
NY	Goudey	12	23	0	780	23	23	782
NY	Goudey	13	95	1	3287	95	95	3293
NY	Greenidge	4	28	0	982	28	28	983
NY	Greenidge	5	28	0	980	28	28	981
NY	Greenidge	6	92	1	3184	92	92	3190
NY	Hickling	1	21	0	725	20	20	709
NY	Hickling	2	21	0	725	20	20	709
NY	Hickling	3	25	0	895	25	25	849
NY	Hickling	4	26	0	933	26	26	885
NY	Jennison	1	17	0	650	17	17	600
NY	Jennison	2	18	0	676	18	18	624
NY	Jennison	3	18	0	724	18	18	626
NY	Jennison	4	18	0	724	18	18	626

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations								
			Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
State	Plant Name	Boiler1	(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
NY	Kintigh	1	403	4	13885	402	402	13913
NY	Lovett	3	7	0	225	7	7	226
NY	Lovett	4	133	1	4568	132	132	4578
NY	Lovett	5	145	2	4986	144	144	4997
NY	Milliken	1	143	2	4926	143	143	4936
NY	Milliken	2	151	2	5213	151	151	5224
NY	Northport	1	241	3	8320	241	241	8337
NY	Northport	2	294	3	10127	293	293	10147
NY	Northport	3	323	4	11118	322	322	11142
NY	Northport	4	168	2	5792	168	168	5803
NY	Oswego	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
NY	Oswego	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
NY	Oswego	3	3	0	90	3	3	90
NY	Oswego	4	12	0	398	12	12	398
NY	Oswego	5	241	3	17239	240	241	8327
NY	Oswego	6	139	2	4806	139	139	4816
NY	Port Jefferson	1	14	0	475	14	14	476
NY	Port Jefferson	2	14	0	498	14	14	499
NY	Port Jefferson	3	128	1	4396	127	127	4405
NY	Port Jefferson	4	150	2	5179	150	150	5190
NY	Ravenswood	10	92	1	3164	92	92	3170
NY	Ravenswood	20	78	1	2677	77	78	2682
NY	Ravenswood	30	145	2	4990	144	145	5000
NY	Rochester 3	12	66	1	2268	66	66	2273
NY	Rochester 3	3	0	0	2	0	0	2
NY	Rochester 3	7	2	0	201	2	2	62
NY	Rochester 3	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
NY	Rochester 7	1	32	0	1093	32	32	1095
NY	Rochester 7	2	47	1	1625	47	47	1629
NY	Rochester 7	3	46	0	1586	46	46	1589
NY	Rochester 7	4	64	1	2212	64	64	2217
NY	Roseton	1	421	5	15579	420	420	14532
NY	Roseton	2	375	4	14908	374	375	12962
NY	S A Carlson	10	19	0	673	19	19	674
NY	S A Carlson	11	13	0	424	19	13	426
NY	S A Carlson	12	37	0	1276	13	37	1278
NY	S A Carlson	9	19	0	664	36	19	666
NY	Waterside	41	7	0	252	7	7	253
NY	Waterside	42	7	0	247	7	7	248
NY	Waterside	51	13	0	416	13	13	416
NY	Waterside	52	13	0	417	12	12	418
NY	Waterside	61	12	0	431	12	12	431
NY	Waterside	62	14	0	507	14	14	507
NY	Waterside	80	33	0	1128	33	33	1129
NY	Waterside	90	35	0	1234	35	35	1236

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998. Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
NC	Asheville	1	192	2	6620	192	192	6633
NC	Asheville	2	153	2	5259	152	152	5271
NC	Belews Creek	1	898	11	30900	895	896	30966
NC	Belews Creek	2	945	11	32549	943	944	32616
NC	Buck	5	0	0	1031	0	0	2
NC	Buck	6	0	0	589	0	0	1
NC	Buck	7	10	0	1058	10	10	344
NC	Buck	8	17	0	2322	17	17	602
NC	Buck	9	53	1	2870	53	53	1818
NC	Cape Fear	3	0	0	599	0	0	0
NC	Cape Fear	4	0	0	599	0	0	0
NC	Cape Fear	5	84	1	3381	84	84	2895
NC	Cape Fear	6	86	1	3912	86	86	2961
NC	Cliffside	1	0	0	898	0	0	1
NC	Cliffside	2	0	0	872	0	0	1
NC	Cliffside	3	1	0	1291	1	1	21
NC	Cliffside	4	1	0	1305	1	1	17
NC	Cliffside	5	343	4	14036	342	343	11861
NC	Dan River	1	11	0	1909	11	11	363
NC	Dan River	2	10	0	2779	10	10	334
NC	Dan River	3	17	0	2792	17	17	597
NC	G G Allen	1	1	0	2427	1	1	31
NC	G G Allen	2	1	0	2813	1	1	34
NC	G G Allen	3	130	1	6120	130	130	4491
NC	G G Allen	4	93	1	5743	93	93	3207
NC	G G Allen	5	113	1	5970	112	112	3886
NC	L V Sutton	1	21	0	2051	21	21	722
NC	L V Sutton	2	35	0	2270	34	34	1193
NC	L V Sutton	3	148	2	8296	148	148	5111
NC	Lee	1	19	0	1636	19	19	649
NC	Lee	2	24	0	1685	24	24	831
NC	Lee	3	141	2	5762	140	140	4855
NC	Marshall	1	209	2	8763	208	208	7211
NC	Marshall	2	236	3	9262	235	235	8146
NC	Marshall	3	432	5	15859	431	431	14914
NC	Marshall	4	387	4	15132	386	387	13373
NC	Mayo	1A	371	4	12781	370	370	12807
NC	Mayo	1B	371	4	12781	370	370	12807
NC	Riverbend	10	34	0	2626	39	34	1174
NC	Riverbend	7	39	0	2152	36	39	1349
NC	Riverbend	8	36	0	2113	10	36	1245
NC	Riverbend	9	10	0	2267	34	10	356
NC	Roxboro	1	322	3	11085	321	321	11108
NC	Roxboro	2	570	6	19636	568	569	19676
NC	Roxboro	3A	258	3	9093	257	257	8902

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations								
			Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
State	Plant Name	Boiler1	(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
NC	Roxboro	3B	258	3	9093	257	257	8902
NC	Roxboro	4A	302	3	10404	301	301	10425
NC	Roxboro	4B	302	3	10404	301	301	10425
NC	W H Weatherspoon	1	14	0	1122	13	14	467
NC	W H Weatherspoon	2	14	0	1125	14	14	473
NC	W H Weatherspoon	3	27	0	1626	27	27	937
ND	Antelope Valley	B1	346	4	11943	346	346	11968
ND	Antelope Valley	B2	323	4	11127	322	322	11151
ND	Coal Creek	1	676	8	23302	674	676	23350
ND	Coal Creek	2	615	8	21179	613	613	21226
ND	Coyote	B1	469	5	16177	468	468	16210
ND	Leland Olds	1	264	3	9102	263	264	9120
ND	Leland Olds	2	767	9	26392	765	765	26448
ND	Milton R Young	B1	376	4	12947	375	375	12973
ND	Milton R Young	B2	461	5	15880	459	460	15913
ND	R M Heskett	B2	93	1	3201	93	93	3207
ND	Stanton	1	216	2	7445	215	216	7460
ND	Stanton	10	39	0	1334	39	39	1337
OH	Acme	11	0	0	7	0	0	7
OH	Acme	13	0	0	1846	0	0	9
OH	Acme	14	0	0	2519	0	0	14
OH	Acme	15	0	0	3365	0	0	19
OH	Acme	16	59	1	2420	59	59	2030
OH	Acme	9	0	0	1	0	0	1
OH	Acme	91	23	0	2012	22	23	778
OH	Acme	92	20	0	1800	20	20	696
OH	Ashtabula	10	53	0	1795	52	52	1801
OH	Ashtabula	11	54	0	1890	54	54	1894
OH	Ashtabula	7	204	2	7218	203	204	7231
OH	Ashtabula	8	67	0	2337	67	67	2340
OH	Ashtabula	9	58	0	1990	58	58	1995
OH	Avon Lake	10	65	1	2253	65	65	2258
OH	Avon Lake	11	142	2	5023	142	142	5034
OH	Avon Lake	12	429	5	15194	428	429	15225
OH	Avon Lake	9	74	1	2566	74	74	2572
OH	Bay Shore	1	137	1	4718	136	137	4726
OH	Bay Shore	2	130	1	4494	130	130	4503
OH	Bay Shore	3	124	1	4276	124	124	4284
OH	Bay Shore	4	204	2	7036	204	204	7050
OH	Cardinal	1	418	5	14773	416	417	14803
OH	Cardinal	2	467	5	16521	466	466	16554
OH	Cardinal	3	485	5	17296	484	484	16747
OH	Cardinal/Tidd	**1	21	0	714	21	21	715
OH	Conesville	1	51	1	1813	51	51	1817
OH	Conesville	2	60	1	2109	59	60	2114

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
OH	Conesville	3	67	1	2369	67	67	2373
OH	Conesville	4	594	6	21025	593	593	21067
OH	Conesville	5	208	2	9023	207	208	7179
OH	Conesville	6	230	2	9392	230	230	7951
OH	Dover	**6	4	0	153	4	4	154
OH	Eastlake	1	95	1	3365	95	95	3371
OH	Eastlake	2	105	1	3724	105	105	3732
OH	Eastlake	3	122	1	4318	122	122	4327
OH	Eastlake	4	177	2	6256	176	176	6269
OH	Eastlake	5	469	5	16600	468	468	16633
OH	Edgewater	11	25	0	878	25	12	422
OH	Edgewater	12	27	0	947	27	13	455
OH	Edgewater	13	62	1	2178	61	61	2183
OH	Gen J M Gavin	1	964	11	34088	962	963	34158
OH	Gen J M Gavin	2	982	12	34726	980	981	34797
OH	Gorge	25	43	0	1498	43	21	720
OH	Gorge	26	49	1	1676	49	23	807
OH	Hamilton	9	34	0	1665	34	34	1162
OH	J M Stuart	1	569	6	19626	568	568	19666
OH	J M Stuart	2	540	6	18605	538	539	18643
OH	J M Stuart	3	535	6	18448	534	534	18486
OH	J M Stuart	4	566	6	19497	564	565	19537
OH	Killen Station	2	491	5	16923	490	490	16958
OH	Kyger Creek	1	235	3	8097	234	235	8114
OH	Kyger Creek	2	226	2	7795	226	226	7810
OH	Kyger Creek	3	218	2	7522	218	218	7536
OH	Kyger Creek	4	228	2	7858	227	228	7873
OH	Kyger Creek	5	228	2	7872	228	228	7887
OH	Lake Road	6	0	0	1340	0	0	0
OH	Lake Shore	18	145	2	6031	145	145	5014
OH	Lake Shore	91	1	0	47	1	1	47
OH	Lake Shore	92	2	0	84	2	2	84
OH	Lake Shore	93	2	0	65	2	2	65
OH	Lake Shore	94	3	0	107	3	3	107
OH	Miami Fort	5-1	4	0	144	4	4	143
OH	Miami Fort	5-2	4	0	144	4	4	143
OH	Miami Fort	6	139	2	4906	138	138	4917
OH	Miami Fort	7	469	5	16602	468	468	16635
OH	Miami Fort	8	529	6	18227	527	528	18264
OH	Muskingum River	1	181	2	6412	181	181	6425
OH	Muskingum River	2	173	2	6106	172	172	6119
OH	Muskingum River	3	170	2	6016	170	170	6027
OH	Muskingum River	4	143	2	5078	143	143	5088
OH	Muskingum River	5	493	5	17445	492	492	17479
OH	Niles	1	85	1	2994	84	84	3000

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
OH	Niles	2	111	1	3923	111	111	3930
OH	O H Hutchings	H-1	11	0	1736	11	11	398
OH	O H Hutchings	H-2	9	0	1671	9	9	309
OH	O H Hutchings	H-3	17	0	1603	17	17	585
OH	O H Hutchings	H-4	19	0	1623	19	19	641
OH	O H Hutchings	H-5	15	0	1630	15	15	514
OH	O H Hutchings	H-6	11	0	1660	11	11	371
OH	Picway	9	60	1	2127	60	60	2131
OH	Poston	1	23	0	787	23	23	789
OH	Poston	2	21	0	731	21	21	733
OH	Poston	3	28	0	957	28	28	958
OH	R E Burger	1	36	0	1233	36	17	593
OH	R E Burger	2	35	0	1206	35	17	579
OH	R E Burger	3	36	0	1246	36	17	599
OH	R E Burger	4	37	0	1275	37	18	613
OH	R E Burger	5	38	0	1327	37	37	1331
OH	R E Burger	6	37	0	1325	37	37	1327
OH	R E Burger	7	131	1	4647	131	131	4656
OH	R E Burger	8	151	2	5359	151	151	5370
OH	Refuse & Coal	001	12	0	426	12	12	426
OH	Refuse & Coal	002	12	0	381	12	12	381
OH	Refuse & Coal	003	12	0	402	12	12	402
OH	Refuse & Coal	004	12	0	438	12	12	441
OH	Refuse & Coal	005	12	0	375	12	12	375
OH	Refuse & Coal	006	12	0	366	12	12	363
OH	Richard H Gorsuch	1	178	2	6150	178	178	6162
OH	Richard H Gorsuch	2	146	2	5062	146	146	5072
OH	Richard H Gorsuch	3	200	2	6878	198	200	6892
OH	Richard H Gorsuch	4	40	0	1404	40	40	1404
OH	Toronto	10	97	0	3343	97	47	1608
OH	Toronto	11	105	0	3612	105	49	1738
OH	Toronto	9	54	0	1873	54	26	900
OH	W H Sammis	1	181	2	6237	180	181	6250
OH	W H Sammis	2	159	2	5470	158	158	5482
OH	W H Sammis	3	181	2	6236	180	181	6249
OH	W H Sammis	4	160	2	5527	160	160	5538
OH	W H Sammis	5	294	3	10419	294	294	10439
OH	W H Sammis	6	564	6	19947	562	563	19987
OH	W H Sammis	7	527	6	18633	525	526	18670
OH	W H Zimmer	1	468	5	16149	467	468	16181
OH	Walter C Beckjord	1	14	0	1834	14	14	472
OH	Walter C Beckjord	2	21	0	1859	21	21	711
OH	Walter C Beckjord	3	31	0	2530	31	31	1077
OH	Walter C Beckjord	4	62	1	3261	62	62	2141
OH	Walter C Beckjord	5	109	1	3857	109	109	3864

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
OH	Walter C Beckjord	6	280	3	9922	280	280	9942
OH	Woodsdale	**GT1	9	0	294	9	9	295
OH	Woodsdale	**GT10	0	0	0	0	0	0
OH	Woodsdale	**GT11	0	0	0	0	0	0
OH	Woodsdale	**GT12	0	0	0	0	0	0
OH	Woodsdale	**GT2	9	0	294	9	9	295
OH	Woodsdale	**GT3	9	0	294	9	9	295
OH	Woodsdale	**GT4	9	0	294	9	9	295
OH	Woodsdale	**GT5	9	0	294	9	9	295
OH	Woodsdale	**GT6	9	0	294	9	9	295
OH	Woodsdale	**GT7	0	0	0	0	0	0
OH	Woodsdale	**GT8	0	0	0	0	0	0
OH	Woodsdale	**GT9	0	0	0	0	0	0
OK	Anadarko	3	0	0	0	0	0	1
OK	Arbuckle	ARB	0	0	45	0	1	50
OK	Comanche	7251	0	0	333	0	4	144
OK	Comanche	7252	0	0	2	0	4	144
OK	Conoco	**1	6	0	222	6	6	222
OK	Conoco	**2	6	0	222	6	6	222
OK	GRDA	1	405	4	14638	403	404	13973
OK	GRDA	2	242	3	8393	242	242	8372
OK	Horseshoe Lake	6	0	0	173	0	5	160
OK	Horseshoe Lake	7	0	0	231	0	6	207
OK	Horseshoe Lake	8	0	0	313	0	10	358
OK	Hugo	1	332	4	11873	331	332	11475
OK	Mooreland	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
OK	Mooreland	2	0	0	44	0	2	57
OK	Mooreland	3	0	0	7	0	0	17
OK	Muskogee	3	0	0	141	0	4	137
OK	Muskogee	4	257	3	9308	256	257	8880
OK	Muskogee	5	227	2	8275	226	226	7835
OK	Muskogee	6	403	4	14421	402	403	13931
OK	Mustang	1	0	0	32	0	1	26
OK	Mustang	2	0	0	26	0	1	25
OK	Mustang	3	0	0	1	0	2	81
OK	Mustang	4	0	0	163	0	6	191
OK	NA 1 -- 5030	**1	0	0	0	0	0	0
OK	NA 1 -- 5030	**2	0	0	0	0	0	0
OK	NA 1 -- 5030	**3	0	0	0	0	0	0
OK	Northeastern	3301	48	1	1741	48	48	1646
OK	Northeastern	3302	161	2	5933	161	161	5578
OK	Northeastern	3313	384	4	13829	383	383	13249
OK	Northeastern	3314	415	5	14879	414	414	14337
OK	Ponca	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
OK	Riverside	1501	0	0	519	0	12	417

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
OK	Riverside	1502	0	0	285	0	10	335
OK	Seminole	1	0	0	412	0	11	383
OK	Seminole	2	0	0	453	0	12	432
OK	Seminole	3	1	0	494	1	15	505
OK	Sooner	1	288	3	10468	287	287	9938
OK	Sooner	2	274	3	9976	273	273	9451
OK	Southwestern	8002	0	0	15	0	1	17
OK	Southwestern	8003	0	0	164	0	5	165
OK	Southwestern	801N	0	0	3	0	0	5
OK	Southwestern	801S	0	0	0	0	0	3
OK	Tulsa	1402	0	0	98	0	1	45
OK	Tulsa	1403	0	0	4	0	0	3
OK	Tulsa	1404	0	0	58	0	2	64
OR	Boardman	1SG	388	4	13373	387	387	13401
PA	Armstrong	1	176	2	6213	175	175	6226
PA	Armstrong	2	188	2	6652	188	188	6665
PA	Bruce Mansfield	1	369	4	12713	368	368	12740
PA	Bruce Mansfield	2	408	4	14065	407	407	14094
PA	Bruce Mansfield	3	420	5	14468	419	419	14498
PA	Brunner Island	1	338	4	11968	337	338	11992
PA	Brunner Island	2	379	4	13410	378	378	13437
PA	Brunner Island	3	656	8	23201	654	655	23250
PA	Cheswick	1	477	5	16886	476	476	16919
PA	Conemaugh	1	734	9	25929	732	733	25982
PA	Conemaugh	2	813	10	28742	811	812	28800
PA	Cromby	1	64	1	2202	64	64	2207
PA	Cromby	2	61	1	2109	61	61	2114
PA	Delaware	71	22	0	743	22	22	745
PA	Delaware	81	16	0	537	16	16	538
PA	Eddystone	1	74	1	2844	74	74	2560
PA	Eddystone	2	73	1	3004	72	72	2504
PA	Eddystone	3	55	1	1894	55	55	1899
PA	Eddystone	4	58	1	2010	58	58	2015
PA	Elrama	1	21	0	1650	21	21	711
PA	Elrama	2	19	0	1616	19	19	662
PA	Elrama	3	44	0	1568	44	44	1528
PA	Elrama	4	75	1	2579	75	75	2584
PA	F R Phillips	1	3	0	663	3	3	145
PA	F R Phillips	2	3	0	504	3	3	110
PA	F R Phillips	3	8	0	1165	8	8	253
PA	F R Phillips	4	7	0	1112	7	7	242
PA	F R Phillips	5	7	0	1131	7	7	247
PA	F R Phillips	6	32	0	2022	32	32	1109
PA	Front Street	10	36	0	1176	36	36	1176
PA	Front Street	7	9	0	294	9	9	295

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
PA	Front Street	8	9	0	294	9	9	295
PA	Front Street	9	36	0	1176	36	36	1176
PA	Hatfield's Ferry	1	461	5	16308	460	460	16340
PA	Hatfield's Ferry	2	455	5	16089	453	454	16122
PA	Hatfield's Ferry	3	491	5	17360	489	490	17394
PA	Holtwood	17	104	1	3570	103	103	3578
PA	Homer City	1	515	6	17753	514	514	17790
PA	Homer City	2	447	5	16309	446	446	15441
PA	Homer City	3	802	10	27619	800	801	27676
PA	Hunlock Power	6	65	1	2256	65	65	2261
PA	Keystone	1	819	10	28209	817	818	28267
PA	Keystone	2	872	10	30035	870	871	30098
PA	Martins Creek	1	154	2	5455	154	154	5467
PA	Martins Creek	2	156	2	5526	156	156	5538
PA	Martins Creek	3	382	4	13179	381	382	13205
PA	Martins Creek	4	352	4	12123	351	351	12148
PA	Mitchell	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
PA	Mitchell	2	0	0	1	0	0	1
PA	Mitchell	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
PA	Mitchell	33	90	1	3528	90	90	3103
PA	Montour	1	696	9	24182	693	695	24018
PA	Montour	2	717	9	24671	714	716	24723
PA	New Castle	1	37	0	1292	37	18	621
PA	New Castle	2	41	0	1439	41	20	692
PA	New Castle	3	82	1	2842	82	82	2848
PA	New Castle	4	75	1	2816	75	75	2607
PA	New Castle	5	131	1	4513	131	131	4522
PA	Portland	1	72	1	2559	72	72	2565
PA	Portland	2	125	1	4412	124	124	4421
PA	Schuykill	1	17	0	572	17	17	573
PA	Seward	12	32	0	1096	32	32	1098
PA	Seward	14	32	0	1096	32	32	1098
PA	Seward	15	145	2	5000	145	145	5010
PA	Shawville	1	125	1	4429	125	125	4437
PA	Shawville	2	126	1	4455	126	126	4463
PA	Shawville	3	173	2	6109	172	172	6122
PA	Shawville	4	171	2	6068	171	171	6081
PA	Springdale	77	0	0	0	0	0	0
PA	Springdale	88	0	0	0	0	0	0
PA	Sunbury	1A	52	0	1818	52	52	1822
PA	Sunbury	1B	52	0	1817	52	52	1821
PA	Sunbury	2A	52	0	1818	52	52	1822
PA	Sunbury	2B	52	0	1818	52	52	1822
PA	Sunbury	3	115	1	4028	115	115	4036
PA	Sunbury	4	148	2	5248	148	148	5259

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations								
			Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
State	Plant Name	Boiler1	(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
PA	Titus	1	55	1	2149	55	55	1901
PA	Titus	2	63	1	2271	63	63	2179
PA	Titus	3	58	1	2194	58	58	1994
PA	Warren	1	21	0	720	21	21	721
PA	Warren	2	21	0	720	21	21	721
PA	Warren	3	21	0	740	21	21	741
PA	Warren	4	21	0	740	21	21	741
PA	Williamsburg	11	27	0	935	27	27	936
RI	Manchester Street	12	14	0	512	14	14	485
RI	Manchester Street	6	19	0	693	19	19	657
RI	Manchester Street	7	13	0	458	13	13	435
RI	South Street	121	30	0	1086	30	30	1048
RI	South Street	122	28	0	946	28	28	950
SC	Canadys Steam	CAN1	85	1	3247	85	85	2937
SC	Canadys Steam	CAN2	67	1	2978	67	67	2309
SC	Canadys Steam	CAN3	90	1	4222	90	90	3105
SC	Cope Station	COP1	76	1	2615	76	76	2620
SC	Cross	1	162	2	5601	162	162	5612
SC	Cross	2	259	3	8938	259	259	8956
SC	Dolphus M Grainger	1	90	1	3113	90	90	3119
SC	Dolphus M Grainger	2	8	0	277	8	8	277
SC	H B Robinson	1	84	1	3814	84	84	2908
SC	Hagood	HAG1	0	0	3	0	0	3
SC	Hagood	HAG2	0	0	451	0	0	2
SC	Hagood	HAG3	0	0	787	0	0	6
SC	Hagood	HAG4	28	0	948	27	27	951
SC	Jefferies	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
SC	Jefferies	2	0	0	1	0	0	1
SC	Jefferies	3	98	1	3885	98	98	3378
SC	Jefferies	4	91	1	3742	91	91	3155
SC	McMeekin	MCM1	118	1	4079	118	118	4087
SC	McMeekin	MCM2	117	1	4037	117	117	4045
SC	NA 1 – 7106	**GT1	0	0	0	0	0	0
SC	Urquhart	URQ1	64	1	2194	64	64	2199
SC	Urquhart	URQ2	49	1	1926	49	49	1685
SC	Urquhart	URQ3	84	1	2913	84	84	2919
SC	W S Lee	1	26	0	2133	26	26	900
SC	W S Lee	2	33	0	2277	33	33	1132
SC	W S Lee	3	51	1	3443	51	51	1773
SC	Wateree	WAT1	282	3	9714	281	281	9735
SC	Wateree	WAT2	261	3	9267	261	261	9022
SC	Williams	WIL1	459	5	15816	458	458	15849
SC	Winyah	1	220	2	7572	219	219	7588
SC	Winyah	2	148	2	6232	148	148	5128
SC	Winyah	3	73	1	3609	72	73	2508

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
SC	Winyah	4	99	1	3426	99	99	3433
SD	Angus Anson Site	2	25	0	851	25	25	853
SD	Angus Anson Site	3	30	0	1020	30	30	1022
SD	Big Stone	1	376	4	13711	375	375	12973
SD	Huron	**2A	2	0	80	2	2	80
SD	Huron	**2B	3	0	103	3	3	103
SD	Pathfinder	11	0	0	11	0	0	11
SD	Pathfinder	12	0	0	2	0	0	2
SD	Pathfinder	13	0	0	2	0	0	2
TN	Allen	1	187	2	6606	186	186	6619
TN	Allen	2	204	2	7229	204	204	7243
TN	Allen	3	191	2	6754	190	191	6767
TN	Bull Run	1	727	9	25038	725	726	25090
TN	Cumberland	1	1057	12	37374	1054	1055	37451
TN	Cumberland	2	1156	14	40882	1153	1154	40967
TN	Gallatin	1	215	2	7603	214	214	7618
TN	Gallatin	2	211	2	7462	210	211	7476
TN	Gallatin	3	244	3	8632	243	244	8649
TN	Gallatin	4	259	3	9165	258	259	9183
TN	John Sevier	1	184	2	6359	184	184	6372
TN	John Sevier	2	184	2	6356	184	184	6369
TN	John Sevier	3	189	2	6517	189	189	6531
TN	John Sevier	4	193	2	6667	193	193	6680
TN	Johnsonville	1	95	1	3357	95	95	3364
TN	Johnsonville	10	92	1	3255	92	92	3262
TN	Johnsonville	2	98	1	3464	98	98	3471
TN	Johnsonville	3	102	1	3627	102	102	3633
TN	Johnsonville	4	97	1	3442	97	97	3449
TN	Johnsonville	5	100	1	3552	100	100	3558
TN	Johnsonville	6	96	1	3403	96	96	3410
TN	Johnsonville	7	109	1	3870	109	109	3878
TN	Johnsonville	8	106	1	3752	106	106	3759
TN	Johnsonville	9	86	1	3051	86	86	3057
TN	Kingston	1	120	1	4151	120	120	4158
TN	Kingston	2	115	1	3991	115	115	3966
TN	Kingston	3	138	1	4750	137	138	4760
TN	Kingston	4	146	2	5039	146	146	5050
TN	Kingston	5	180	2	6192	179	179	6206
TN	Kingston	6	184	2	6345	184	184	6358
TN	Kingston	7	179	2	6187	179	179	6200
TN	Kingston	8	168	2	5782	167	167	5794
TN	Kingston	9	186	2	6403	185	185	6417
TN	Watts Bar	A	0	0	0	0	0	0
TN	Watts Bar	B	0	0	0	0	0	0
TN	Watts Bar	C	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
TN	Watts Bar	D	0	0	0	0	0	0
TX	Barney M Davis	1	1	0	496	1	12	412
TX	Barney M Davis	2	1	0	398	1	11	384
TX	Big Brown	1	584	6	20979	582	583	20161
TX	Big Brown	2	558	6	19872	557	557	19286
TX	Bryan	6	0	0	19	0	1	22
TX	C E Newman	BW5	0	0	3	0	0	4
TX	Cedar Bayou	CBY1	0	0	814	0	20	702
TX	Cedar Bayou	CBY2	0	0	921	0	25	857
TX	Cedar Bayou	CBY3	0	0	725	0	20	707
TX	Coleto Creek	**2	0	0	0	0	0	0
TX	Coleto Creek	1	400	4	14717	399	399	13807
TX	Collin	1	1	0	92	1	3	94
TX	Concho	7	0	0	11	0	0	13
TX	Dallas	3	0	0	27	0	1	23
TX	Dallas	9	0	0	26	0	1	25
TX	Dansby	1	1	0	94	1	3	106
TX	Decker Creek	1	0	0	128	0	4	150
TX	Decker Creek	2	0	0	195	0	5	181
TX	Decordova	1	1	0	1018	1	25	881
TX	Deepwater	DWP9	0	0	28	0	1	37
TX	E S Joslin	1	0	0	260	0	6	210
TX	Eagle Mountain	1	0	0	52	0	1	43
TX	Eagle Mountain	2	1	0	140	1	3	116
TX	Eagle Mountain	3	0	0	100	0	3	109
TX	Forest Grove	**1	0	0	0	0	0	0
TX	Fort Phantom	1	0	0	126	0	4	129
TX	Fort Phantom	2	1	0	187	1	6	192
TX	Generic Station	**1	0	0	0	0	0	0
TX	Generic Station	**2	0	0	0	0	0	0
TX	Gibbons Creek	1	403	4	14410	401	402	13904
TX	Graham	1	0	0	235	0	6	194
TX	Graham	2	1	0	496	1	12	406
TX	Greens Bayou	GBY1	0	0	1	0	0	3
TX	Greens Bayou	GBY2	0	0	2	0	0	3
TX	Greens Bayou	GBY3	0	0	15	0	0	6
TX	Greens Bayou	GBY4	0	0	19	0	0	8
TX	Greens Bayou	GBY5	1	0	352	1	9	308
TX	Handley	1A	0	0	7	0	0	3
TX	Handley	1B	0	0	0	0	0	3
TX	Handley	2	0	0	21	0	0	15
TX	Handley	3	1	0	423	1	11	393
TX	Handley	4	0	0	118	0	3	112
TX	Handley	5	1	0	136	1	4	127
TX	Harrington Station	061B	223	2	8232	223	223	7711

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
TX	Harrington Station	062B	237	3	8718	237	237	8197
TX	Harrington Station	063B	253	3	9266	252	253	8741
TX	Hiram Clarke	HOC1	0	0	0	0	0	0
TX	Hiram Clarke	HOC2	0	0	0	0	0	0
TX	Hiram Clarke	HOC3	0	0	3	0	0	2
TX	Hiram Clarke	HOC4	0	0	2	0	0	1
TX	Holly Ave	1	0	0	59	0	2	71
TX	Holly Ave	2	0	0	71	0	2	76
TX	Holly Street	1	0	0	49	0	0	17
TX	Holly Street	2	0	0	31	0	1	18
TX	Holly Street	3	0	0	68	0	2	66
TX	Holly Street	4	0	0	43	0	2	82
TX	J K Spruce	**2	0	0	0	0	0	0
TX	J K Spruce	BLR1	194	2	6690	194	194	6703
TX	J L Bates	1	0	0	48	0	1	46
TX	J L Bates	2	0	0	124	0	3	101
TX	J T Deely	1	364	4	13132	363	363	12571
TX	J T Deely	2	380	4	13701	379	379	13113
TX	Jones Station	151B	0	0	125	0	2	74
TX	Jones Station	152B	0	0	93	0	2	67
TX	Knox Lee	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
TX	Knox Lee	3	0	0	5	0	0	2
TX	Knox Lee	4	0	0	29	0	0	13
TX	Knox Lee	5	0	0	251	0	4	149
TX	La Palma	7	0	0	178	0	4	153
TX	Lake Creek	1	0	0	39	0	1	29
TX	Lake Creek	2	0	0	191	0	4	141
TX	Lake Hubbard	1	1	0	170	1	6	201
TX	Lake Hubbard	2	2	0	604	2	17	578
TX	Laredo	1	0	0	15	0	0	16
TX	Laredo	2	0	0	14	0	0	14
TX	Laredo	3	0	0	85	0	3	116
TX	Leon Creek	3	0	0	2	0	0	2
TX	Leon Creek	4	0	0	10	0	0	8
TX	Lewis Creek	1	0	0	317	0	8	263
TX	Lewis Creek	2	0	0	271	0	7	257
TX	Limesetone	LIM1	687	8	23779	685	687	23725
TX	Limesetone	LIM2	411	4	14154	409	410	14182
TX	Lon C Hill	1	0	0	9	0	0	7
TX	Lon C Hill	2	0	0	10	0	0	7
TX	Lon C Hill	3	0	0	179	0	3	91
TX	Lon C Hill	4	0	0	197	0	7	238
TX	Lone Star	1	0	0	0	0	0	10
TX	Malakoff	**1	45	0	1539	45	45	1542
TX	Malakoff	**2	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
TX	Martin Lake	1	933	11	33220	931	932	32202
TX	Martin Lake	2	905	11	32255	903	903	31222
TX	Martin Lake	3	940	11	33425	937	938	32429
TX	Mission Road	3	0	0	3	0	0	8
TX	Monticello	1	659	8	23633	657	659	22760
TX	Monticello	2	639	8	22930	637	638	22061
TX	Monticello	3	987	12	35220	984	985	34043
TX	Morgan Creek	3	0	0	8	0	0	6
TX	Morgan Creek	4	0	0	72	0	2	56
TX	Morgan Creek	5	0	0	154	0	5	164
TX	Morgan Creek	6	6	0	836	6	22	777
TX	Mountain Creek	2	0	0	4	0	0	3
TX	Mountain Creek	3A	0	0	11	0	0	5
TX	Mountain Creek	3B	0	0	2	0	0	5
TX	Mountain Creek	6	1	0	63	1	2	74
TX	Mountain Creek	7	0	0	62	0	2	58
TX	Mountain Creek	8	1	0	527	1	15	535
TX	NA 1 -- 7219	**1	0	0	0	0	0	0
TX	NA 1 -- 7219	**2	0	0	0	0	0	0
TX	NA 2 -- 4274	**NA1	0	0	0	0	0	0
TX	Neches	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
TX	Neches	13	0	0	0	0	0	0
TX	Neches	15	0	0	0	0	0	0
TX	Neches	18	0	0	0	0	0	0
TX	Newman	1	0	0	14	0	1	18
TX	Newman	2	0	0	29	0	1	41
TX	Newman	3	0	0	88	0	3	94
TX	Newman	HRSG1	0	0	99	0	4	138
TX	Nichols Station	141B	0	0	77	0	2	82
TX	Nichols Station	142B	0	0	86	0	2	76
TX	Nichols Station	143B	0	0	50	0	1	31
TX	North Lake	1	1	0	131	1	4	129
TX	North Lake	2	1	0	150	1	4	141
TX	North Lake	3	2	0	294	2	7	255
TX	North Main	4	0	0	42	0	1	35
TX	North Texas	3	0	0	13	0	0	8
TX	Nueces Bay	5	0	0	1	0	0	1
TX	Nueces Bay	6	0	0	140	0	3	114
TX	Nueces Bay	7	0	0	496	0	12	431
TX	O W Sommers	1	2	0	478	2	14	477
TX	O W Sommers	2	0	0	188	0	9	322
TX	Oak Creek	1	0	0	106	0	3	107
TX	Oklaunion	1	228	2	7857	227	228	7872
TX	P H Robinson	PHR1	0	0	645	0	13	435
TX	P H Robinson	PHR2	0	0	494	0	14	491

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
TX	P H Robinson	PHR3	0	0	685	0	15	506
TX	P H Robinson	PHR4	0	0	796	0	18	620
TX	Paint Creek	1	0	0	11	0	0	10
TX	Paint Creek	2	0	0	11	0	0	11
TX	Paint Creek	3	1	0	28	1	2	53
TX	Paint Creek	4	0	0	105	0	3	103
TX	Parkdale	1	0	0	34	0	1	36
TX	Parkdale	2	0	0	62	0	2	66
TX	Parkdale	3	1	0	61	1	2	76
TX	Permian Basin	5	0	0	103	0	3	105
TX	Permian Basin	6	8	0	804	8	24	828
TX	Pirkey	1	574	6	20526	572	573	19809
TX	Plant X	111B	0	0	0	0	0	0
TX	Plant X	112B	0	0	2	0	0	1
TX	Plant X	113B	0	0	89	0	1	30
TX	Plant X	114B	0	0	0	0	0	3
TX	Powerlane Plant	2	13	0	459	13	14	467
TX	Powerlane Plant	3	1	0	37	1	1	38
TX	R W Miller	**4	25	0	851	25	25	853
TX	R W Miller	**5	25	0	851	25	25	853
TX	R W Miller	1	0	0	55	0	2	54
TX	R W Miller	2	0	0	98	0	3	98
TX	R W Miller	3	0	0	218	0	5	181
TX	Ray Olinger	BW2	0	0	60	0	2	52
TX	Ray Olinger	BW3	0	0	79	0	2	86
TX	Ray Olinger	CE1	0	0	42	0	1	33
TX	Rio Pecos	5	0	0	64	0	2	69
TX	Rio Pecos	6	0	0	179	0	5	172
TX	River Crest	1	1	0	61	1	2	70
TX	Sabine	1	0	0	152	0	6	204
TX	Sabine	2	0	0	164	0	6	197
TX	Sabine	3	0	0	576	0	15	503
TX	Sabine	4	0	0	504	0	18	626
TX	Sabine	5	0	0	323	0	11	392
TX	Sam Bertron	SRB1	0	0	57	0	1	49
TX	Sam Bertron	SRB2	0	0	18	0	1	33
TX	Sam Bertron	SRB3	0	0	120	0	3	90
TX	Sam Bertron	SRB4	0	0	79	0	2	79
TX	Sam Seymour	1	437	5	15905	436	436	15087
TX	Sam Seymour	2	476	5	17391	475	475	16435
TX	Sam Seymour	3	304	3	10491	304	304	10513
TX	San Angelo	2	0	0	161	0	5	164
TX	San Miguel	SM-1	482	5	17211	481	481	16651
TX	Sandow	4	722	9	25689	719	721	24915
TX	Seaholm	9	0	0	4	0	0	3

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
TX	Sim Gideon	1	0	0	47	0	1	51
TX	Sim Gideon	2	0	0	56	0	2	58
TX	Sim Gideon	3	0	0	277	0	9	321
TX	Spencer	4	0	0	19	0	1	17
TX	Spencer	5	0	0	23	0	1	22
TX	Stryker Creek	1	0	0	170	0	4	138
TX	Stryker Creek	2	1	0	525	1	16	563
TX	T C Fergusen	1	0	0	253	0	7	254
TX	T H Wharton	THW1	0	0	7	0	0	5
TX	T H Wharton	THW2	0	0	97	0	2	82
TX	TNP One	U1	62	1	2122	61	61	2127
TX	TNP One	U2	102	1	3499	101	101	3507
TX	Tolk Station	171B	407	4	14777	406	406	14057
TX	Tolk Station	172B	403	4	14440	402	402	13925
TX	Tradinghouse	1	0	0	593	0	15	516
TX	Tradinghouse	2	1	0	995	1	26	903
TX	Trinidad	7	0	0	6	0	0	4
TX	Trinidad	8	0	0	1	0	0	3
TX	Trinidad	9	0	0	135	0	3	115
TX	Twin Oak	1	232	3	8012	232	232	8028
TX	Twin Oak	2	45	0	1540	45	45	1542
TX	V H Braunig	1	0	0	78	0	4	122
TX	V H Braunig	2	0	0	121	0	4	140
TX	V H Braunig	3	0	0	416	0	11	392
TX	Valley	1	0	0	77	0	3	97
TX	Valley	2	1	0	518	1	16	540
TX	Valley	3	0	0	124	0	4	129
TX	Victoria	5	0	0	6	0	0	6
TX	Victoria	6	0	0	8	0	0	4
TX	Victoria	7	0	0	110	0	3	102
TX	Victoria	8	0	0	238	0	6	224
TX	W A Parish	WAP1	0	0	57	0	1	51
TX	W A Parish	WAP2	0	0	56	0	1	45
TX	W A Parish	WAP3	0	0	245	0	5	158
TX	W A Parish	WAP4	0	0	558	0	15	511
TX	W A Parish	WAP5	634	8	22870	632	632	21881
TX	W A Parish	WAP6	573	6	20755	572	572	19803
TX	W A Parish	WAP7	416	5	15137	415	415	14365
TX	W A Parish	WAP8	186	2	7285	185	186	6421
TX	W B Tuttle	1	0	0	2	0	0	3
TX	W B Tuttle	2	0	0	19	0	1	17
TX	W B Tuttle	3	0	0	11	0	0	14
TX	W B Tuttle	4	0	0	48	0	2	52
TX	Webster	WEB1	0	0	14	0	0	5
TX	Webster	WEB2	0	0	17	0	0	7

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
TX	Webster	WEB3	0	0	343	0	9	320
TX	Welsh	1	370	4	13325	369	369	12772
TX	Welsh	2	357	4	12842	356	356	12334
TX	Welsh	3	420	5	15215	419	420	14517
TX	Willkes	1	0	0	30	0	2	58
TX	Willkes	2	0	0	118	0	3	93
TX	Willkes	3	0	0	129	0	2	74
UH	Bonanza	1-1	255	3	10782	255	255	8818
UH	Carbon	1	55	1	1912	55	55	1917
UH	Carbon	2	72	1	2498	72	72	2503
UH	Gadsby	1	1	0	24	1	1	24
UH	Gadsby	2	12	0	1690	12	12	408
UH	Gadsby	3	44	0	2265	44	44	1520
UH	Hale	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
UH	Hunter (Emery)	1	216	2	7452	216	216	7466
UH	Hunter (Emery)	2	231	3	7957	230	230	7974
UH	Hunter (Emery)	3	326	4	11250	326	326	11273
UH	Huntington	1	230	2	7923	229	229	7940
UH	Huntington	2	283	3	9750	282	282	9771
UH	Intermountain	1SGA	83	1	2874	83	83	2880
UH	Intermountain	2SGA	84	1	2894	84	84	2900
VT	J C McNeil	1	1	0	104	1	1	38
VA	Bremo Power Station	3	51	1	2028	51	51	1768
VA	Bremo Power Station	4	150	2	5158	149	149	5170
VA	Chesapeake	1	22	0	2117	22	22	764
VA	Chesapeake	2	29	0	2210	29	29	1000
VA	Chesapeake	3	132	1	4559	132	132	4567
VA	Chesapeake	4	170	2	5870	169	169	5861
VA	Chesterfield	**8A	40	0	1387	40	40	1390
VA	Chesterfield	3	54	1	2560	54	54	1856
VA	Chesterfield	4	135	1	4669	135	135	4678
VA	Chesterfield	5	266	3	9163	265	265	9182
VA	Chesterfield	6	477	5	17134	476	476	16470
VA	Clinch River	1	154	2	5346	153	153	5302
VA	Clinch River	2	177	2	6111	177	177	6123
VA	Clinch River	3	164	2	5649	163	164	5661
VA	Clover	1	85	1	2937	85	85	2943
VA	Clover	2	85	1	2937	85	85	2943
VA	East Chandler	**2	0	0	0	0	0	0
VA	Glen Lyn	51	24	0	1152	24	24	815
VA	Glen Lyn	52	23	0	1113	23	23	787
VA	Glen Lyn	6	152	2	5533	152	152	5251
VA	Possum Point	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
VA	Possum Point	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
VA	Possum Point	3	65	1	2646	65	65	2253

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
VA	Possum Point	4	195	2	6723	194	195	6736
VA	Possum Point	5	126	1	4335	125	126	4343
VA	Potomac River	1	48	1	2333	48	48	1650
VA	Potomac River	2	49	1	2308	48	48	1677
VA	Potomac River	3	80	1	2755	80	80	2761
VA	Potomac River	4	88	1	3036	88	88	3043
VA	Potomac River	5	84	1	2912	84	84	2918
VA	Yorktown	1	135	1	4670	135	135	4679
VA	Yorktown	2	130	1	4673	130	130	4503
VA	Yorktown	3	183	2	6303	182	183	6316
WA	Centralia	BW21	553	6	19070	552	552	19108
WA	Centralia	BW22	590	6	20331	588	589	20373
WA	Shuffleton	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
WA	Shuffleton	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
WA	Shuffleton	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
WV	Albright	1	57	1	1973	57	57	1978
WV	Albright	2	60	1	2053	59	59	2058
WV	Albright	3	130	1	4597	130	130	4606
WV	Fort Martin	1	507	5	17930	505	506	17965
WV	Fort Martin	2	502	5	17762	501	501	17797
WV	Harrison	1	592	6	20960	591	591	21002
WV	Harrison	2	562	6	19896	561	561	19936
WV	Harrison	3	506	5	17893	504	505	17928
WV	John E Amos	1	655	8	22581	653	654	22630
WV	John E Amos	2	752	9	25890	750	751	25944
WV	John E Amos	3	1205	14	41498	1202	1203	41584
WV	Kammer	1	228	2	8080	228	228	8095
WV	Kammer	2	237	3	8387	236	237	8404
WV	Kammer	3	212	2	7497	211	211	7512
WV	Kanawha River	1	115	1	4461	115	115	3981
WV	Kanawha River	2	103	1	4290	102	102	3545
WV	Mitchell	1	536	6	18957	534	535	18995
WV	Mitchell	2	554	6	19616	553	553	19656
WV	Mountaineer (1301)	1	1023	12	35211	1020	1021	35285
WV	Mt Storm	1	533	6	18849	531	532	18887
WV	Mt Storm	2	500	5	17683	498	499	17718
WV	Mt Storm	3	517	6	18290	516	516	18327
WV	Phil Sporn	11	70	1	3129	70	70	2434
WV	Phil Sporn	21	59	1	2964	59	59	2048
WV	Phil Sporn	31	85	1	3312	85	85	2932
WV	Phil Sporn	41	67	1	3052	66	67	2302
WV	Phil Sporn	51	305	3	10614	304	304	10519
WV	Pleasants	1	511	6	17597	509	510	17633
WV	Pleasants	2	586	6	20188	584	585	20229
WV	Rivesville	7	20	0	1237	20	20	696

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
WV	Rivesville	8	60	1	2528	60	60	2086
WV	Willow Island	1	28	0	1496	28	28	961
WV	Willow Island	2	117	1	4683	116	116	4029
WI	Alma	B4	35	0	1193	34	35	1194
WI	Alma	B5	55	1	1905	55	55	1910
WI	Bay Front	1	14	0	1046	14	14	512
WI	Bay Front	2	16	0	529	16	16	530
WI	Bay Front	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
WI	Bay Front	4	0	0	33	0	0	16
WI	Bay Front	5	4	0	281	4	4	135
WI	Blount Street	11	0	0	1	0	0	1
WI	Blount Street	3	0	0	6	0	0	6
WI	Blount Street	5	0	0	7	0	0	7
WI	Blount Street	6	0	0	7	0	0	7
WI	Blount Street	7	3	0	1476	3	3	101
WI	Blount Street	8	12	0	1130	12	12	415
WI	Blount Street	9	16	0	1183	16	16	555
WI	Columbia	1	449	5	15479	448	448	15512
WI	Columbia	2	254	3	8755	253	254	8772
WI	Combustion Turbine	**2	0	0	0	0	0	0
WI	Commerce	25	0	0	4	0	0	4
WI	Concord	**1	4	0	126	4	4	126
WI	Concord	**2	4	0	126	4	4	126
WI	Concord	**3	4	0	126	4	4	126
WI	Concord	**4	4	0	126	4	4	126
WI	Edgewater	3	36	0	1237	36	36	1239
WI	Edgewater	4	302	3	10393	301	301	10415
WI	Edgewater	5	332	4	11455	331	332	11479
WI	Genoa	1	233	3	8016	232	232	8034
WI	J P Madgett	B1	209	2	7434	208	209	7219
WI	Manitowoc	6	18	0	672	18	18	672
WI	Manitowoc	7	24	0	814	24	24	813
WI	Manitowoc	8	7	0	238	7	7	238
WI	NA 1 – 7205	**1	0	0	0	0	0	0
WI	NA 1 – 7205	**2	0	0	0	0	0	0
WI	NA 1 – 7205	**3	0	0	0	0	0	0
WI	NA3	**1	0	0	0	0	0	0
WI	NA4	**1	0	0	0	0	0	0
WI	Nelson Dewey	1	73	1	2523	73	73	2528
WI	Nelson Dewey	2	81	1	2807	81	81	2813
WI	North Oak Creek	1	61	1	2118	61	61	2122
WI	North Oak Creek	2	60	1	2080	60	60	2084
WI	North Oak Creek	3	62	1	2129	62	62	2133
WI	North Oak Creek	4	72	1	2481	72	72	2487
WI	Paris	**1	4	0	124	4	4	124

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations								
			Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
State	Plant Name	Boiler1	(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
WI	Paris	**2	4	0	124	4	4	124
WI	Paris	**3	4	0	124	4	4	124
WI	Paris	**4	4	0	124	4	4	124
WI	Pleasant Prairie	1	342	4	11798	341	342	11822
WI	Pleasant Prairie	2	484	5	16675	482	483	16709
WI	Port Washington	1	15	0	529	15	15	530
WI	Port Washington	2	30	0	1031	30	30	1033
WI	Port Washington	3	25	0	858	25	25	860
WI	Port Washington	4	23	0	804	23	23	806
WI	Port Washington	5	31	0	1061	31	31	1063
WI	Pulliam	3	4	0	140	4	4	139
WI	Pulliam	4	6	0	208	6	6	209
WI	Pulliam	5	18	0	607	18	18	608
WI	Pulliam	6	23	0	791	23	23	792
WI	Pulliam	7	59	1	2035	59	59	2039
WI	Pulliam	8	91	1	3152	91	91	3159
WI	Rock River	1	45	0	1560	45	45	1562
WI	Rock River	2	43	0	1482	43	43	1484
WI	South Fond du Lac	**CT1	19	0	639	18	18	640
WI	South Fond du Lac	**CT2	1	0	39	1	1	39
WI	South Fond du Lac	**CT3	1	0	39	1	1	39
WI	South Fond du Lac	**CT4	0	0	0	0	0	0
WI	South Oak Creek	5	113	1	3884	112	113	3892
WI	South Oak Creek	6	141	2	4859	141	141	4870
WI	South Oak Creek	7	189	2	6502	188	188	6516
WI	South Oak Creek	8	185	2	6390	185	185	6402
WI	Stoneman	B1	6	0	177	6	6	176
WI	Stoneman	B2	6	0	223	6	6	224
WI	Valley	1	45	0	1805	45	45	1570
WI	Valley	2	46	0	1824	46	46	1586
WI	Valley	3	42	0	1954	42	42	1453
WI	Valley	4	41	0	1900	41	41	1414
WI	West Marinette	**33	22	0	765	22	22	766
WI	Weston	1	22	0	762	22	22	764
WI	Weston	2	53	1	1809	52	52	1813
WI	Weston	3	281	3	9701	281	281	9721
WY	Dave Johnston	BW41	131	1	4705	130	131	4519
WY	Dave Johnston	BW42	127	1	4571	127	127	4396
WY	Dave Johnston	BW43	246	3	8827	246	246	8513
WY	Dave Johnston	BW44	185	2	6802	184	184	6381
WY	Jim Bridger	BW71	583	6	20907	581	582	20134
WY	Jim Bridger	BW72	571	6	20464	569	570	19712
WY	Jim Bridger	BW73	547	6	19584	545	546	18876
WY	Jim Bridger	BW74	96	1	4064	96	96	3329
WY	Laramie River	1	122	1	5112	122	122	4228

Table 2 - Phase II Allowance Allocations

State	Plant Name	Boiler1	Allowances for Years 2000-2009				Years 2010 and Beyond	
			(A) Auction Reserve Deduction	(B) Repower-ing Deduction	(C)2 Total Annual Phase II	(D) 1993-1998 Auction Deduction	(E) Auction Reserve Deduction	(F)3 Total Annual Phase II
WY	Laramie River	2	104	1	4302	104	104	3590
WY	Laramie River	3	93	1	3822	93	93	3208
WY	Naughton	1	144	2	5201	144	144	4972
WY	Naughton	2	185	2	6741	185	185	6400
WY	Naughton	3	141	2	5214	141	141	4879
WY	Wyodak	BW91	513	6	18311	512	512	17731

Footnotes:

1 "**" in the boiler identifier denotes a planned unit or a unit for which the boiler number is unavailable.

2 Column (C) is calculated as follows: Adjusted basic allowances for 2000 (not shown) - Column A - Column B - Conservation/Renewable reserve deduction (not shown) + Additional basic (section 405(a)(3)) (not shown) + Total bonus (not shown)

3 Column (F) is calculated as follows: Adjusted basic allowances for 2010 (not shown) - Column E + Additional basic (section 405(a)(3)) (not shown)

4 The allowances shown in this table assume that these units fully qualify for section 405(i)(2). If Monroe units 1 through 4 do not qualify, instead of the allowances listed above, Anclote units 1 and 2 and Monroe units 1 through 4 will receive the following allocations:

Plant	Boiler	Column A	Column B	Col. C	Column D	Column E	Column F
Anclote	1	323	4	13887	297	323	11165
Anclote	2	343	4	13892	314	342	11839
Monroe	1	686	8	23660	690	686	23708
Monroe	2	707	8	24298	716	705	24350
Monroe	3	660	8	22763	670	660	22810
Monroe	4	716	9	24608	737	714	24664

(3) The owner of each unit listed in the following table shall surrender, for each allowance listed in Column A or B of such table, an allowance of the same or earlier compliance use date and shall return to the Administrator any proceeds received from allowances withheld from the unit, as listed in Column C of such table. The allowances shall be surrendered and the proceeds shall be returned by December 28, 1998.

State	Plant name	Unit	Allowances for 2000 through 2009 column (A)	Allowances for 2010 and thereafter column (B)	Proceeds
CA	El Centro	2	285	272	$2749.48
CO	Valmont	11	4	0	0
FL	Lauderdale	PFL4	776	781	7904.74
FL	Lauderdale	PFL5	796	802	7904.74
LA	R S Nelson	1	30	34	0
LA	R S Nelson	2	33	32	0
MD	R P Smith	9	0	56	687.37
NM	Maddox	**3	85	85	687.37
SD	Mobile	**2	17	17	0
VA	Chesterfield	**8B	409	411	4124.21
WI	Blount Street	7	0	13	343.68
WI	Blount Street	8	0	294	3093.16
WI	Blount Street	9	0	355	3436.84

[58 FR 3687, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 58 FR 15650, Mar. 23, 1993; 58 FR 33770, June 21, 1993; 58 FR 40747, July 30, 1993; 62 FR 55486, Oct. 24, 1997; 63 FR 51714, Sept. 28, 1998; 70 FR 25335, May 12, 2005]

§ 73.11 [Reserved]

§ 73.12 Rounding Procedures.

(a) *Calculation rounding*. All allowances under this part and part 72 of this chapter shall be allocated as whole allowances. All calculations for such allowances shall be rounded down for decimals less than 0.500 and up for decimals of 0.500 or greater.

(b) [Reserved]

[58 FR 3687, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 63 FR 51765, Sept. 28, 1998]

§ 73.13 Procedures for submittals.

(a) *Address for submittal*. All submittals under this subpart shall be made by the designated representative to the Director, Acid Rain Division, (6204J), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460 and shall meet the requirements specified in 40 CFR 72.21.

(b) *Appeals procedures*. The designated representative may appeal the decision as to eligibility or allocation of allowances under §§ 73.18, 73.19, and 73.20, using the appeals procedures of part 78 of this chapter.

[58 FR 15708, Mar. 23, 1993, as amended at 63 FR 51765, Sept. 28, 1998]

§§ 73.14–73.17 [Reserved]

§ 73.18 Submittal procedures for units commencing commercial operation during the period from January 1, 1993, through December 31, 1995.

(a) *Eligibility*. To be eligible for allowances under this section, a unit shall commence commercial operation between January 1, 1993, and December 31, 1995, and have commenced construction before December 31, 1990.

(b) *Application for allowances*. No later than December 31, 1995, the designated representative for a unit expected to be eligible under this provision must submit a photocopy of a signed contract for the construction of the unit.

(c) *Commencement of commercial operation*. The Administrator will use EIA information submitted by the utility for the boiler on-line date as commencement of commercial operation.

[58 FR 15710, Mar. 23, 1993]

§ 73.19 Certain units with declining SO_2 rates.

(a) *Eligibility*. A unit is eligible for allowance allocations under this section if it meets the following requirements:

(1) It is an existing unit that is a utility unit;

(2) It serves a generator with nameplate capacity equal to or greater than 75 MWe;

(3) Its 1985 actual SO_2 emissions rate was equal to or greater than 1.2 lb/mmBtu;

(4) Its 1990 actual SO_2 emissions rate is at least 50 percent less than the lesser of its 1980 actual or allowable SO_2 emissions rate;

(5) Its actual SO_2 emission rate is less than 1.2 lb/mmBtu in any one calendar year from 1996 through 1999, as reported under part 75 of this chapter;

(6) It commenced commercial operation after January 1, 1970;

(7) It is part of a utility system whose combined commercial and industrial kilowatt-hour sales increased more than 20 percent between calendar years 1980 and 1990; and

(8) It is part of a utility system whose company-wide fossil-fuel SO_2 emissions rate declined 40 percent or more from 1980 to 1988.

(b) [Reserved]

[58 FR 15710, Mar. 23, 1993, as amended at 63 FR 51765, Sept. 28, 1998]

§ 73.20 Phase II early reduction credits.

(a) *Unit eligibility*. Units listed in table 2 or 3 of § 73.10 are eligible for allowances under this section if:

(1) The unit is not a unit subject to emissions limitation requirements of Phase I and is not a substitution unit (under 40 CFR 72.41) or a compensating unit (under 40 CFR 72.43);

(2) The unit is authorized by the Governor of the State in which the unit is located;

(3) The unit is part of a utility system (which, for the purposes of this section only, includes all generators operated by a single utility, including generators that are not fossil fuel-fired) that has decreased its total coal-fired generation, as a percentage of total system generation, by more than twenty percent between January 1, 1980, and December 31, 1985; and

(4) The unit is part of a utility system that during calendar years 1985 through 1987 had a weighted capacity factor for all coal-fired units in the system of less than fifty percent. The weighted capacity factor is equal to:

$$\text{Weighted Capacity Factor} = \frac{\text{Sum of actual generation of all coal-fired units in the utility system}}{\text{Sum of all coal generators' nameplate capacity} \times 8760}$$

(b) *Emissions reductions eligibility.* Sulfur dioxide emissions reductions eligible for allowance credits at units eligible under paragraph (a) of this section must meet the following requirements:

(1) Be made no earlier than calendar year 1995 and no later than calendar year 1999; and

(2) Be due to physical changes to the plant or are a result of a change in the method of operating the plant including but not limited to changing the type or quality of fuel being burned.

(c) *Initial certification of eligibility.* The designated representative of a unit that seeks allowances under this section shall apply for certification of unit eligibility prior to or accompanying a request for allowances under paragraph (d) of this section. A completed application for this certification shall be submitted according to § 73.13 and shall include the following:

(1) A letter from the Governor of the State in which the unit is located authorizing the unit to make reductions in sulfur dioxide emissions; and

(2) A report listing all units in the utility system, each fossil fuel-fired unit's fuel consumption and fuel heat content for calendar year 1980, and each generator's total electrical generation for calendar years 1980 and 1985 (including all generators, whether fossil fuel-fired, nuclear, hydroelectric or other).

(d) *Request for allowances.* (1) The designated representative of the requesting unit shall submit the request for allowances according to the procedures of § 73.13 and shall include the following information:

(i) The calendar year for which credits for reductions are requested and the actual SO_2 emissions and fuel consumption in that year;

(ii) A letter signed by the designated representative stating and documenting the specific physical changes to the plant or changes in the method of operating the plant (including but not limited to changing the type or quality of fuel being burned) which resulted in the reduction of emissions; and

(iii) A letter signed by the designated representative certifying that all photocopies are exact copies.

(2) The designated representative shall submit each request for allowances no later than March 1 of the calendar year following the year in which the reductions were made.

(e) *Allowance allocation.* The Administrator will allocate allowances to the eligible unit upon satisfactory submittal of information under paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section in the amount calculated by the following equations. Such allowances will be allocated to the unit's 2000 future year subaccount.

(1) ''Prior year'' means a single calendar year selected by the eligible unit from 1995 to 1999 inclusive.

(2) One ''credit'' equals one ton of eligible SO_2 emissions reductions.

(3) ''ERC units'' are units eligible for early reduction credits, and ''non-ERC units'' are fossil fuel-fired units that are part of the same operating system but are not eligible for early reduction credits.

(4) For any unit that did not operate during 1990, the unit's 1990 SO_2 emission rate will be equal to the weighted average emission rate of all of the

other units at the same source that did operate during 1990.

(5) Early reduction credits will be calculated at the unit level, subject to the restrictions in paragraph (e)(6) of this section.

(6) The number of credits for eligible Phase II units will be calculated as follows:

(i) *Comparison of the prior year utilization of ERC units to the 1990 utilization, as a percentage of system utilization.* If, as calculated below, system-wide prior year utilization of ERC units exceeds systems-wide 1990 utilization of ERC units on a percentage basis, then paragraphs (e)(6)(ii) and (iii) of this section apply. If not, the ERC units are eligible to receive early reduction credits as calculated in paragraph (e)(6)(v)(A) of this section.

$$\text{Prior year utilization} = \frac{\sum_{\text{ERC units}} \text{Heat input}_{\text{prior year}} \ (\text{in mmBtu})}{\sum_{\text{all system units}} \text{Heat input}_{\text{prior year}} \ (\text{in mmBtu})}$$

$$\text{1990 utilization} = \frac{\sum_{\text{ERC units}} \text{Heat input}_{1990} \ (\text{in mmBtu})}{\sum_{\text{all system units}} \text{Heat input}_{1990} \ (\text{in mmBtu})}$$

(ii) *Comparison of the prior year average emission rate of all ERC units to the prior year average emission rate of all non-ERC units.* If, as calculated below, the system-wide average SO_2 emission rate of ERC units exceeds that of non-ERC units, then a unit's prior year utilization will be restricted in accordance with paragraph (e)(6)(iv) of this section. If not, then paragraph (iii) of this section applies.

$$\text{ERC unit prior year emissions rate} = \frac{\sum_{\text{ERC units}} SO_2 \text{ emissions}_{\text{prior year}} \ (\text{in pounds})}{\sum_{\text{ERC units}} \text{Heat input}_{\text{prior year}} \ (\text{in mmBtu})}$$

$$\text{Non-ERC unit prior year emissions rate} = \frac{\sum_{\text{non-ERC units}} SO_2 \text{ emissions}_{\text{prior year}} \ (\text{in pounds})}{\sum_{\text{non-ERC units}} \text{Heat input}_{\text{prior year}} \ (\text{in mmBtu})}$$

(iii) *Comparison of the emission rate of the non-ERC units in the prior year to the emission rate of the non-ERC units in 1990.* If, as calculated in paragraph (ii) of this section, the prior year system average non-ERC SO_2 emission rate increases above the 1990 system average non-ERC SO_2 emission rate, as calculated below, then a unit's prior year utilization will be restricted in accordance with paragraph (e)(6)(iv) of this section. If not, the ERC units are eligible to receive early reduction credits as calculated in paragraph (e)(6)(v)(A) of this section.

$$\text{Non-ERC unit 1990 emission rate} = \frac{\sum_{\text{non-ERC units}} SO_2\ \text{emissions}_{1990}\ \text{(in pounds)}}{\sum_{\text{non-ERC units}} \text{Heat input}_{1990}\ \text{(in mmBtu)}}$$

(iv) *Calculation of the utilization limit for restricted units.* The limit on utilization for each unit eligible for early reduction credits subject to paragraphs (e)(6) (ii) and (iii) of this section will be calculated as follows:

$$\text{ERC unit's Heat input}_{\text{prior year}}\ \text{(in mmBtu)} \times \left(\frac{\left(\frac{\sum_{\text{ERC units}} \text{Heat input}_{1990}\ \text{(in mmBtu)}}{\sum_{\text{all units}} \text{Heat input}_{1990}\ \text{(in mmBtu)}} \right)}{\left(\frac{\sum_{\text{ERC units}} \text{Heat input}_{\text{prior year}}\ \text{(in mmBtu)}}{\sum_{\text{all units}} \text{Heat input}_{\text{prior year}}\ \text{(in mmBtu)}} \right)} \right)$$

This result, expressed in million Btus, is the restricted utilization of the ERC unit to be used in the calculation of early reduction credits in paragraph (e)(6)(v)(B) of this section.

(v)(A) *Calculation of the unit's early reduction credits where the unit's prior year utilization is not restricted.*

$$\frac{\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{ERC unit's} \\ SO_2\ \text{emission rate}_{1990} \\ \text{(in lb/mmBtu)} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{ERC unit's} \\ SO_2\ \text{emission rate}_{\text{prior year}} \\ \text{(in lb/mmBtu)} \end{array} \right) \times \begin{array}{c} \text{heat input}_{\text{prior year}} \\ \text{(in mmBtu)} \end{array}}{2000}$$

(B) *Calculation of the unit's early reduction credits where the unit's prior year utilization is restricted.*

$$\frac{\left(\begin{array}{c}\text{ERC unit's}\\ SO_2\text{ emission rate}_{1990}\\ \text{(in lb/mmBtu)}\end{array} - \begin{array}{c}\text{ERC unit's}\\ SO_2\text{ emission rate}_{\text{prior year}}\\ \text{(in lb/mmBtu)}\end{array}\right) \times \begin{array}{c}\text{restricted heat input from (iv)}\\ \text{(in mmBtu)}\end{array}}{2000}$$

(vi) The Administrator will allocate to the ERC unit allowances equal to the lesser of the calculated number of credits in paragraphs (e)(6)(v) (A) or (B) of this section and the following limitation:

$$\frac{\text{ERC unit's heat input}_{\text{prior year}} \times \text{the lesser of} \left[\begin{array}{c}2.5\\ \text{or}\\ \text{the most stringent SIP}\\ \text{emissions limit}\\ \text{(in lb/mmBtu)}\end{array}\right]}{2000} - \begin{array}{c}\text{ERC unit's}\\ SO_2\text{ emissions}_{\text{prior year}}\\ \text{(in tons)}\end{array}$$

(f) *Allowance loan program*—(1) *Eligibility*. Units eligible for Phase II early reduction credits under paragraph (a) of this section are eligible for allowances under this paragraph (f) if the weighted average emission rate (based on heat input) for the prior year for all of the affected units in the unit's dispatch system was less than the system-wide weighted average emission rate for 1990. The weighted average emission rate shall be calculated as follows:

$$\text{Weighted Average Emission Rate} = \frac{\sum \text{Unit Emission Rate} \times \text{Unit Utilization (inmmBtu)}}{\sum \text{Unit Utilization}}$$

For the purposes of this calculation, the unit's dispatch system will be the dispatch system as it existed as of November 15, 1990.

(2) *Allowance Calculation*. Allowances under this paragraph (f) shall be calculated as follows:

$$\text{Unit Allowances} = \left[1.75 - \begin{array}{c}\text{Greater of 1990 emission rate or}\\ \text{Prior year emission rate}\end{array}\right] \times \text{Prior year utilization/2000}$$

(3) *Allowance Loan*. (i) The number of allowances calculated under paragraph (f)(2) of this section shall be allocated to the unit's year 2000 subaccount.

(ii) The number of allowances calculated under paragraph (f)(2) of this section shall be deducted, contemporaneously with the allocation under paragraph (f)(3)(i) of this section, from the unit's year 2015 subaccount.

(iii) Notwithstanding paragraph (f)(3)(ii) of this section, if the number of allowances to be deducted exceeds the amount of allowances allocated to the unit for the year 2015, allowances in the year 2015 subaccount equal to the amount of allowances allocated to the unit for the year 2015 shall be deducted. In addition to the deduction from the year 2015 subaccount, a sufficient amount of allowances in the year

2016 subaccount (up to the amount of allowances allocated to the unit for the year 2016) shall be deducted contemporaneously, such that the sum of the allowances deducted from the subaccounts equals the number of allowances required to be deducted under paragraph (f)(3)(ii) of this section.

(iv) Notwithstanding paragraph (f)(3)(ii) of this section, the procedure in paragraph (f)(3)(iii) shall be applied as follows to each year after 2015 (year-by-year in numerical order) for which the number of allowances to be deducted from that year's subaccount exceeds the number allocated to the unit for that year: allowances equal to the number allocated for that year shall be deducted from that year's subaccount and the remainder (up to the amount allocated) necessary to equal the number of allowances required to be deducted under paragraph (f)(3)(ii) of this section shall be deducted from the next year's subaccount.

(v) The owners and operators of the unit shall ensure that sufficient allowances are available to make the full deductions required under paragraphs (f)(3)(ii), (iii), and (iv) of this section. The designated representative may specify the serial number of each allowance to be deducted.

(4) *ERC Units.* Any unit to which allowances are allocated under paragraph (f)(3)(i) of this section shall be considered an ERC unit for purposes of applying the restrictions in paragraph (e)(6) of this section.

[58 FR 15711, Mar. 23, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 34150, June 24, 1997]

§ 73.21 Phase II repowering allowances.

(a) *Repowering allowances.* In addition to allowances allocated under § 73.10(b), the Administrator will allocate, to each existing unit (under § 72.44(b)(1) of this chapter) with an approved repowering extension plan, allowances for use during the repowering extension period approved under § 72.44(f)(2)(ii) of this chapter (including a prorated allocation for any fraction of a year) equal to:

$$\textit{Unit's Repowering Allowances} = \frac{\textit{Unit's Baseline} \times \textit{the lesser of} \left[\begin{array}{c}\textit{1995 SIP} \\ \textit{or} \\ \textit{1995 Actual Rate}\end{array}\right]}{2000} - \textit{Unit's Adjusted Basic Allowances}$$

where:

1995 SIP = Most stringent federally enforceable State implementation plan SO_2 emissions limitation for 1995.

1995 Actual Rate = 1995 actual SO_2 emissions rate

Unit's Adjusted Basic Allowances are as listed in the following table

Unit	Year 2000 adjusted basic allowances
RE Burger 1	1273
RE Burger 2	1245
RE Burger 3	1286
RE Burger 4	1316
RE Burger 5	1336
RE Burger 6	1332
New Castle 1	1334
New Castle 2	1485
New Castle 3	2935
New Castle 4	2686
New Castle 5	5481

(b) Upon commencement of commercial operation of a new unit (under § 72.44(b)(2) of this chapter) with an approved repowering extension plan, allowances for use during the repowering extension period approved will end and allocations under § 73.10(b) for the existing unit will be transferred to the subaccounts for the new unit.

(c)(1) If the designated representative for a repowering unit terminates the repowering extension plan in accordance with § 72.44(g)(1) of this chapter, the repowering allowances allocated to that unit by paragraph (a) of this section will be terminated and any necessary allowances from that unit's account forfeited, calculated in the following manner:

$$\text{Forfeited Repowering Allowances} = \text{Forfeiture Period} \times \left[\frac{\text{Unit's Baseline} \times \text{the lesser of} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{1995 SIP} \\ \text{or} \\ \text{1995 Actual Rate} \end{array} \right]}{2000} - \text{Unit's Adjusted Basic Allowances} \right]$$

where:

Forfeiture Period = difference (as a portion of a year) between the end of the approved repowering extension and the end of the repowering extension under § 72.44(g)(1)(ii)

1995 SIP = Most stringent federally enforceable State implementation plan SO_2 emissions limitation for 1995.

1995 Actual Rate = 1995 actual SO_2 emissions rate

Unit's Adjusted Basic Allowances are as listed in the table in paragraph (a) of this section.

(c)(2) The Administrator will reallocate any allowances forfeited in paragraph (c)(1) of this section with a compliance use date of 2000 or any allowances remaining in the repowering reserve to all Table 2 units' years 2000 through 2009 subaccounts in the following manner:

$$\text{Reallocation} = \text{Forfeited Repowering Allowances} \times \frac{\text{Unit's Deductions at Table 2 Column B}}{27124}$$

[53 FR 15713, Mar. 23, 1993, as amended at 63 FR 51765, Sept. 28, 1998]

§§ 73.22–73.24 [Reserved]

§ 73.25 Phase I extension reserve.

The Administrator will initially allocate 3.5 million allowances to the Phase I Extension Reserve account of the Allowance Tracking System. Allowances from this Reserve will be allocated to units under § 72.42 of this chapter. Allowances remaining in the Phase I Extension Reserve account following allocation of all extension allowances under § 72.42 of this chapter will remain in the Reserve.

[58 FR 3687, Jan. 11, 1993]

§ 73.26 Conservation and renewable energy reserve.

The Administrator will allocate 300,000 allowances to the Conservation and Renewable Energy Reserve subaccount of the Acid Rain Data System. Allowances from this Reserve will be allocated to units under subpart F of this part. Termination of this Reserve and reallocation of allowances will be made under § 73.80(c).

[53 FR 15714, Mar. 23, 1993]

§ 73.27 Special allowance reserve.

(a) *Establishment of Reserve.* (1) The Administrator will allocate 150,000 allowances annually for calendar years 1995 through 1999 to the Auction Subaccount of the Special Allowance Reserve.

(2) The Administrator will allocate 250,000 allowances annually for calendar year 2000 and each year thereafter to the Auction Subaccount of the Special Allowance Reserve.

(b) *Distribution of proceeds.* (1) Monetary proceeds from the auctions and sales of allowances from the Special Allowance Reserve (under subpart E of this part) for use in calendar years 1995 through 1999 will be distributed to the designated representative of the unit according to the following equation:

unit proceeds = (Column B of table 1 of section 73.10/150,000) × total proceeds

(2) Until June 1, 1998, monetary proceeds from the auctions of allowances from the Special Allowance Reserve (under subpart E of this part) for use in calendar years 2000 through 2009 will be distributed to the designated representative of each unit listed in Table 2 according to the following equation:

$$\text{Units Proceeds} = \left\lfloor \frac{\text{Unit's Deduction Table 2 Column D}}{250{,}000} \right\rfloor \times \text{Total Proceeds}$$

(3) On or after June 1, 1998, monetary proceeds from the auctions of allowances from the Special Allowance Reserve (under subpart E of this part) for use in calendar years 2000 through 2009 will be distributed to the designated representative of each unit listed in Table 2 according to the following equation:

$$\text{Unit Proceeds} = \left\lfloor \frac{\text{Unit's Deduction at Table 2 Column A}}{250{,}000} \right\rfloor \times \text{Total Proceeds}$$

(4) Monetary proceeds from the auctions of allowances from the Special Allowance Reserve (under subpart E of this part) from years of purchase from 1993 through 1998, remaining in the U.S. Treasury as a result of the surrender of allowances and return of proceeds under § 73.10(b)(3), will be distributed to the designated representative of each unit listed in Table 2 according to the following equation:

$$\text{Unit Proceeds} = \left\lfloor \frac{\text{Unit's Deduction at Table 2 Column D}}{250{,}000} \right\rfloor \times \text{Remaining Proceeds}$$

(5) Monetary proceeds from the auctions of allowances from the Special Allowance Reserve (under subpart E of this part) for use in calendar years 2010 and thereafter will be distributed to the designated representative of each unit listed in Table 2 according to the following equation:

$$\text{Unit Proceeds} = \left\lfloor \frac{\text{Unit's Deduction at Table 2 Column E}}{250{,}000} \right\rfloor \times \text{Total Proceeds}$$

(c) *Reallocation of allowances.* (1) Allowances remaining in the Special Allowance Reserve following the annual auctions and sales (under subpart E of this part) for use in calendar years 1995 through 1999 will be reallocated to the unit's Allowance Tracking System Account according to the following equation:

unit allowances = (Column B of table 1 of section 73.10/150,000) × Allowances remaining

(2) Until June 1, 1998, allowances, for use in calendar years 2000 through 2009, remaining in the Special Allowance Reserve at the end of each year, following that year's auction (under subpart E of this part), will be reallocated to the unit's Allowance Tracking System account according to the following equation:

$$\text{Unit Allowances} = \left\lfloor \frac{\text{Unit's Deduction at Table 2 Column D}}{250{,}000} \right\rfloor \times \text{Allowances Remaining}$$

(3) On or after June 1, 1998, allowances, for use in calendar years 2000 through 2009, remaining in the Special Allowance Reserve at the end of each year, following that year's auction (under subpart E of this part), will be reallocated to the compliance account of the source that includes the unit according to the following equation:

$$\text{Unit Allowances} = \left\lfloor \frac{\text{Unit's Deduction at Table 2 Column A}}{250{,}000} \right\rfloor \times \text{Allowances Remaining}$$

(4) [Reserved]

(5) Allowances, for use in calendar years 2010 and thereafter, remaining in the Special Allowance Reserve at the end of each year, following that year's auction (under subpart E of this part), will be reallocated to the compliance account of the source that includes the unit according to the following equation:

$$\text{Unit Allowances} = \left\lfloor \frac{\text{Unit's Deduction at Table 2 Column E}}{250{,}000} \right\rfloor \times \text{Allowances Remaining}$$

(d) *Calculation rounding.* All proceeds under this section shall be distributed as whole dollars. All calculations for such allowances shall be rounded down for decimals less than .5 and up for decimals of .5 or greater.

(e) *Achieving exact totals.* (1) If the sum of the proceeds to be distributed under paragraph (b) of this section exceeds the total proceeds or the allowances to be reallocated under paragraph (c) of this section exceeds the allowances remaining, then the Administrator will withdraw one dollar or allowance from each unit, beginning with the unit receiving the largest number of dollars or allowances, in descending order, until the distribution balances with the proceeds and the reallocated allowances balance with the remaining allowances.

(2) If the sum of the proceeds to be distributed under paragraph (b) of this section is less than the total proceeds or the allowances to be reallocated under paragraph (c) of this section is less than the allowances remaining, then EPA will distribute one dollar or allowance for each unit, beginning with the unit receiving the largest number of dollars or allowances, in descending order, until the distribution balances with the proceeds and the reallocated allowances balance with the remaining allowances.

[58 FR 3687, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 58 FR 15714, Mar. 23, 1993; 63 FR 51765, Sept. 28, 1998; 70 FR 25335, May 12, 2005]

Subpart C—Allowance Tracking System

SOURCE: 58 FR 3691, Jan. 11, 1993, unless otherwise noted.

§ 73.30 Allowance tracking system accounts.

(a) *Nature and function of unit accounts.* The Administrator will establish compliance accounts for all affected sources pursuant to § 73.31 (a) and (b). All allocations of allowances pursuant to subparts B, E, and F of this

part and part 72 of this chapter, transfers of allowances made pursuant to subparts C and D, and deductions of allowances made for purposes of offsetting emissions pursuant to § 73.35 (b) and (d) and parts 72, 75, and 77 of this chapter will be recorded in the source's compliance account.

(b) *Nature and function of general accounts.* Transfers of allowances held for any person other than an affected source, made pursuant to subparts C, D, E, F, and G of this part will be recorded in that person's general account established pursuant to § 73.31(c).

[58 FR 3687, Jan. 11, 1993; 58 FR 40747, July 30, 1993, as amended at 70 FR 25335, May 12, 2005]

§ 73.31 Establishment of accounts.

(a) *Existing affected units.* The Administrator will establish a compliance account and allocate allowances for each source that includes a unit that is, or will become, an existing affected unit pursuant to sections 404(a) or 405 of the Act and § 72.6 of this chapter.

(b) *New units.* Upon receipt of a complete certificate of representation for the designated representative for a new unit pursuant to part 72, subpart B of this chapter, the Administrator will establish a compliance account for the source that includes the unit, unless the source already has a compliance account.

(c) *General accounts.* (1) Any person may apply to open an Allowance Tracking System account for the purpose of holding and transferring allowances. Such application shall be submitted to the Administrator in a format to be specified by the Administrator by means of the Allowance Account Information Form, or by providing the following information in a similar format:

(i) Name and title of the authorized account representative and alternate authorized account representative (if any) pursuant to § 73.33;

(ii) Mailing address, telephone number and facsimile transmission number (if any) of the authorized account representative and alternate authorized account representative (if any);

(iii) Organization or company name (if applicable) and type of organization (if applicable);

(iv) A list of all persons subject to a binding agreement for the authorized account representative to represent their ownership interest with respect to the allowances held in the general account and which shall be amended and resubmitted within 30 days following any transaction giving rise to any change of the list of persons subject to the binding agreement;

(v) A certification statement by the authorized account representative and alternate authorized account representative (if any) that reads ''I certify that I was selected under the terms of an agreement that is binding on all persons who have an ownership interest with respect to allowances held in the general account. I certify that I have all necessary authority to carry out my duties and responsibilities on behalf of the persons with an ownership interest and that they shall be fully bound by my representations, actions, inactions, or submissions under 40 CFR part 73. I am authorized to make this submission on behalf of the persons with an ownership interest for whom this submission is made. I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all its attachments. Based on my inquiry of those individuals with primary responsibility for obtaining the information, I certify that the information is to the best of my knowledge and belief true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false material information, or omitting material information, including the possibility of fine or imprisonment for violations.'';

(vi) The signature of the authorized account representative and the alternate authorized account representative (if any); and

(vii) The date of the signature of the authorized account representative and the alternate authorized account representative (if any).

(2) Upon receipt of such complete application, the Administrator will establish an Allowance Tracking System account for the person or persons identified in the application.

(3) No allowance transfers will be recorded for a general account until the

Administrator has established the new account.

(d) *Account identification.* The Administrator will assign a unique identifying number to each account established pursuant to this section.

[58 FR 3687, Jan. 11, 1993; 58 FR 40747, July 30, 1993, as amended at 71 FR 25378, Apr. 28, 2006; 70 FR 25335, May 12, 2005]

§ 73.32 [Reserved]

§ 73.33 Authorized account representative.

(a) Following the establishment of an Allowance Tracking System account, all matters pertaining to the account, including, but not limited to, the deduction and transfer of allowances in the account, shall be undertaken only by the authorized account representative.

(b)–(c) [Reserved]

(d) *General account alternate authorized account representative.* Any application for opening a general account may designate one alternate authorized account representative to act on behalf of the certifying authorized account representative, in the event the authorized account representative is absent or otherwise not available to perform actions and duties under this part. The alternate shall be a natural person and shall be authorized, provided that the conditions and procedures specified in § 73.31(c)(1) are met.

(1) The alternate authorized account representative may be changed at any time by the authorized account representative upon receipt by the Administrator of a new complete application as required in § 73.31(c);

(2) The alternate authorized account representative shall be subject to the provisions of this part applicable to authorized account representatives;

(3) Whenever the term ''authorized account representative'' is used in this part it shall be construed to include the alternate authorized account representative, unless such a construction would be illogical from the context; and

(4) Any representation, action, inaction, or submission by the alternate authorized account representative when acting in that capacity shall be deemed to be a representation, action, inaction, or submission of the authorized account representative, with all the rights, duties, and responsibilities pertaining thereto.

(e) *Changes to the general account authorized account representative.* An authorized account representative for a general account may be succeeded by any person who submits an application pursuant to § 73.31(c). The representations, actions, inactions, or submissions of an authorized account representative for a general account shall be binding on any successor.

(f) *Objections to the authorized account representative.* Except for a certification pursuant to paragraph (e) of this section, no objection or other communication submitted to the Administrator concerning any representation, action, inaction, or submission to the Administrator by the authorized account representative shall affect any representation, action, inaction, or submission of the authorized account representative pursuant to subpart D of this part. Neither the United States, the Administrator, nor any permitting authority will adjudicate any dispute between and among persons concerning any submission to the Administrator by the authorized account representative; any actions of the authorized account representative; or any other matter arising directly or indirectly from the certification, actions or representations of the authorized account representative.

(g) *Delegation by authorized account representative and alternate authorized account representative.* (1) An authorized account representative may delegate, to one or more natural persons, his or her authority to make an electronic submission (in a format prescribed by the Administrator) to the Administrator provided for or required under this part.

(2) An alternate authorized account representative may delegate, to one or more natural persons, his or her authority to make an electronic submission (in a format prescribed by the Administrator) to the Administrator provided for or required under this part.

(3) In order to delegate authority to make an electronic submission to the Administrator in accordance with paragraph (g)(1) or (2) of this section,

the authorized account representative or alternate authorized account representative, as appropriate, must submit to the Administrator a notice of delegation, in a format prescribed by the Administrator, that includes the following elements:

(i) The name, address, e-mail address, telephone number, and facsimile transmission number (if any) of such authorized account representative or alternate authorized account representative;

(ii) The name, address, e-mail address, telephone number, and, facsimile transmission number (if any) of each such natural person (referred to as an ''agent'');

(iii) For each such natural person, a list of the type or types of electronic submissions under paragraph (g)(1) or (2) of this section for which authority is delegated to him or her;

(iv) The following certification statements by such authorized account representative or alternate authorized account representative:

(A) ''I agree that any electronic submission to the Administrator that is by an agent identified in this notice of delegation and of a type listed for such agent in this notice of delegation and that is made when I am a authorized account representative or alternate authorized representative, as appropriate, and before this notice of delegation is superseded by another notice of delegation under 40 CFR 73.33(g)(4) shall be deemed to be an electronic submission by me.''

(B) ''Until this notice of delegation is superseded by another notice of delegation under 40 CFR 73.33(g)(4), I agree to maintain an e-mail account and to notify the Administrator immediately of any change in my e-mail address unless all delegation of authority by me under 40 CFR 73.33(g) is eliminated.''

(4) A notice of delegation submitted under paragraph (g)(3) of this section shall be effective, with regard to the authorized account representative or alternate authorized account representative identified in such notice, upon receipt of such notice by the Administrator and until receipt by the Administrator of a superseding notice of delegation submitted by such authorized account representative or alternate authorized account representative, as appropriate. The superseding notice of delegation may replace any previously identified agent, add a new agent, or eliminate entirely any delegation of authority.

(5) Any electronic submission covered by the certification in paragraph (g)(3)(iv)(A) of this section and made in accordance with a notice of delegation effective under paragraph (g)(4) of this section shall be deemed to be an electronic submission by the designated representative or alternate designated representative submitting such notice of delegation.

[58 FR 3691, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 71 FR 25378, Apr. 28, 2006]

§ 73.34 Recordation in accounts.

(a) After a compliance account is established under § 73.31(a) or (b), the Administrator will record in the compliance account any allowance allocated to any affected unit at the source for 30 years starting with the later of 1995 or the year in which the compliance account is established and any allowance allocated for 30 years starting with the later of 1995 or the year in which the compliance account is established and transferred to the source with the transfer submitted in accordance with § 73.50. In 1996 and each year thereafter, after Administrator has completed the deductions pursuant to § 73.35(b), the Administrator will record in the compliance account any allowance allocated to any affected unit at the source for the new 30th year (*i.e.*, the year that is 30 years after the calendar year for which such deductions are made) and any allowance allocated for the new 30th year and transferred to the source with the transfer submitted in accordance with § 73.50.

(b) After a general account is established under § 73.31(c), the Administrator will record in the general account any allowance allocated for 30 years starting with the later of 1995 or the year in which the general account is established and transferred to the general account with the transfer submitted in accordance with § 73.50. In 1996 and each year thereafter, after the Administrator has completed the deductions pursuant to § 73.35(b), the Administrator will record in the general

account any allowance allocated for the new 30th year (*i.e.*, the year that is 30 years after the calendar year for which such deductions are made) and transferred to the general account with the transfer submitted in accordance with § 73.50.

(c) Allowances in each compliance account and general account subaccounts will reflect:

(1) All allowances allocated or deducted for the unit for the year pursuant to subpart B of this part;

(2) All allowances allocated or deducted pursuant to §§ 72.41, 72.42, 72.43, and 72.44 and part 74 of this chapter;

(3) All allowances allocated pursuant to subparts F and G of this part;

(4) All allowances recorded as a result of purchases or returns from the annual auctions;

(5) All allowances recorded or deducted as a result of allowance transfers recorded pursuant to subpart D of this part; and

(6) All allowances deducted or returned pursuant to §§ 73.35(d), 72.91 and 72.92, part 74, and part 77 of this chapter.

(d) *Serial numbers for allocated allowances.* Upon the allocation of allowances to an account, including allowances contained in reserves as provided in subpart B of this part, the Administrator will assign each allowance a unique identification number that will include digits identifying the allowance's compliance use date.

[58 FR 3691, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 17114, Apr. 4, 1995; 63 FR 68404, Dec. 11, 1998; 70 FR 25335, May 12, 2005]

§ 73.35 Compliance.

(a) *Allowance transfer deadline.* No allowance shall be deducted for purposes of compliance with an affected source's sulfur dioxide Acid Rain emissions limitation requirements pursuant to title IV of the Act and paragraph (b) of this section unless:

(1) The compliance use date of the allowance is no later than the year in which the source's SO_2 emissions occurred; and

(2) The allowance is:

(i) Recorded in the source's compliance account; or

(ii) Transferred to the source's compliance account, with the transfer submitted correctly pursuant to subpart D of this part for recordation in the source's compliance account by not later than the allowance transfer deadline in the calendar year following the year for which compliance is being established; and

(3) The allowance was not previously deducted by the Administrator in accordance with a State SO_2 mass emissions reduction program under § 51.124(o) of this chapter or otherwise permanently retired in accordance with § 51.124(p) of this chapter.

(b) *Deductions for compliance.* (1) Except as provided in paragraph (d) of this section, following the recordation of transfers submitted correctly for recordation in the compliance account pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section and subpart D of this part, the Administrator will deduct allowances available for deduction under paragraph (a) of this section from each affected source's compliance account in accordance with the allowance deduction formula in § 72.95 of this chapter, or, for opt-in sources, the allowance deduction formula in § 74.49 of this chapter, and any correction made under § 72.96 of this chapter.

(2) The Administrator will make deductions until either the number of allowances deducted is equal to the amount calculated in accordance with § 72.95 of this chapter, or, for opt-in sources, in accordance with § 74.49 of this chapter, as modified under § 72.96 of this chapter or until no more allowances available for deduction under paragraph (a) of this section remain in the compliance account.

(c)(1) *Identification of allowances by serial number.* The authorized account representative for a source's compliance account may request that specific allowances, identified by serial number, in the compliance account be deducted for a calendar year in accordance with paragraph (b) or (d) of this section. Such request shall be submitted to the Administrator by the allowance transfer deadline for the year and include, in a format prescribed by the Administrator, the identification of the source and the appropriate serial numbers.

(2) *First-in, first-out.* In the absence of an identification or in the case of a

partial identification of allowances by serial number, as provided for in paragraph (b)(1) or (d) of this section, the Administrator will deduct allowances on a first-in, first-out (FIFO) accounting basis beginning with those allowances with the earliest compliance use date originally allocated for the units at the source and recorded in the source's compliance account. Following the deduction of all originally allocated allowances from the compliance account, the Administrator will deduct those allowances that were transferred and recorded in the source's compliance account pursuant to subpart D of this part, beginning with those with the earliest date of recordation.

(d) *Deductions for excess emissions.* Pursuant to § 77.4 of this chapter, and following the process of recordation set forth in § 73.34(a) of this part, the Administrator will deduct allowances for each source with excess emissions for the preceding calendar year in an amount equal to the source's excess emissions tonnage.

[58 FR 3691, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 17114, Apr. 4, 1995; 64 FR 25842, May 13, 1999; 70 FR 25335, May 12, 2005]

§ 73.36 Banking.

(a) *Compliance accounts.* Any allowance in a compliance account not deducted pursuant to § 73.35 will remain in the compliance account.

(b) *General accounts.* In the case of a general account, any allowances in the general account not transferred pursuant to subpart D to another Allowance Tracking System account will remain in the general account.

[58 FR 3691, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 70 FR 25336, May 12, 2005]

§ 73.37 Account error.

The Administrator may, at his or her sole discretion and on his or her own motion, correct any error in any Allowance Tracking System account. Within 10 business days of making such correction, the Administrator will notify the authorized account representative for the account.

[70 FR 25336, May 12, 2005]

§ 73.38 Closing of accounts.

(a) *General account.* The authorized account representative of a general account may instruct the Administrator to close the general account by submitting an allowance transfer, pursuant to § 73.50 and § 73.52, requesting the transfer of all allowances held in the account to one or more other accounts in the Allowance Tracking System, and by submitting in writing, with the signature of the authorized account representative, a request to close the general account.

(b) *Inactive accounts.* If a general account shows no activity for a 12-month period or longer and does not contain any allowances, the Administrator may notify the account's authorized account representative that the account will be closed following 20 business days from the date the notice is sent. The account will be closed following the 20-day period, unless the Administrator receives and records a request for the transfer of allowances into the account pursuant to § 73.52 before the end of the 20-day period, or the authorized account representative submits, in writing, demonstration of good cause as to why the inactive account should not be closed.

[58 FR 3691, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 70 FR 25336, May 12, 2005]

Subpart D—Allowance Transfers

SOURCE: 58 FR 3694, Jan. 11, 1993, unless otherwise noted.

§ 73.50 Scope and submission of transfers.

(a) *Scope of transfers.* Except as provided in § 73.51 and § 73.52, the Administrator will record transfers of an allowance to and from Allowance Tracking System accounts.

(b) *Submission of transfers.* (1) Authorized account representatives seeking recordation of an allowance transfer shall request such transfer by submitting to the Administrator, in a format to be specified by the Administrator, an Allowance Transfer Form. To be considered correctly submitted the request for transfer shall include:

(i) The numbers identifying both the transferror and transferee accounts;

(ii) A specification by serial number of each allowance to be transferred;

(iii) Signatures of the authorized account representatives of both the transferror and transferee accounts;

(iv) The dates of the signatures of the authorized account representatives;

(v) The numbers identifying the authorized account representatives for both the transferror and transferee account; and

(vi) Where the transferee account has not been established, information as required pursuant to § 73.31 (b) or (c).

(2)(i) The authorized account representative for the transferee account can meet the requirements in paragraphs (b)(1)(iii) and (iv) of this section by submitting, in a format prescribed by the Administrator, a statement signed by the authorized account representative and identifying each account into which any transfer of allowances, submitted on or after the date on which the Administrator receives such statement, is authorized. Such authorization shall be binding on any authorized account representative for such account and shall apply to all transfers into the account that are submitted on or after such date of receipt, unless and until the Administrator receives a statement in a format prescribed by the Administrator and signed by the authorized account representative retracting the authorization for the account.

(ii) The statement under paragraph (b)(2)(i) of this section shall include the following: ''By this signature, I authorize any transfer of allowances into each account listed herein, except that I do not waive any remedies under State or federal law to obtain correction of any erroneous transfers into such accounts. This authorization shall be binding on any authorized account representative for such account unless and until a statement signed by the authorized account representative retracting this authorization for the account is received by the Administrator.''

[58 FR 3694, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 63 FR 68404, Dec. 11, 1998; 70 FR 25336, May 12, 2005]

§ 73.51 [Reserved]

§ 73.52 EPA recordation.

(a) *General recordation*. Except as provided in this paragraph (a), the Administrator will record an allowance transfer by no later than five business days (or longer as necessary to perform a transfer in perpetuity of allowances allocated to a unit) following receipt of an allowance transfer request pursuant to § 73.50, by moving each allowance from the transferror account to the transferee account as specified by the request pursuant to § 73.50, provided that:

(1) The transfer is correctly submitted under § 73.50;

(2) The transferor account includes each allowance identified by serial number in the transfer; and

(3) If the allowances identified by serial number specified pursuant to § 73.50(b)(1)(ii) are subject to the limitation on transfer imposed pursuant to § 72.44(h)(1)(i) of this chapter, § 74.42 of this chapter, or § 74.47(c) of this chapter, the transfer is in accordance with such limitation.

(b) To the extent an allowance transfer submitted for recordation after the allowance transfer deadline includes allowances allocated for any year before the year in which the allowance transfer deadline occurs, the transfer of such allowance will not be recorded until after completion of the deductions pursuant to § 73.35(b) for year before the year in which the allowance transfer deadline occurs.

(c) Where an allowance transfer submitted for recordation fails to meet the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section, the Administrator will not record such transfer.

[58 FR 3694, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 17114, Apr. 4, 1995; 70 FR 25336, May 12, 2005]

§ 73.53 Notification.

(a) *Notification of recordation*. The Administrator will notify each party to an allowance transfer within five business days following the recordation of the transfer. Notice will be given in writing or in a format to be specified by the Administrator, to the authorized account representatives of both

the transferror and transferee accounts.

(b) *Notification of non-recordation.* By no later than five business days following receipt of an allowance transfer request by the Administrator, the Administrator will notify, in writing or in a format to be specified by the Administrator, the authorized account representatives of the accounts subject to the allowance transfer request submitted for recordation of:

(1) A decision not to record the transfer, and

(2) The reasons for such non-recordation.

(c) Nothing in this section shall preclude the submission of an allowance transfer request for recordation following notification of non-recordation.

Subpart E—Auctions, Direct Sales, and Independent Power Producers Written Guarantee

SOURCE: 56 FR 65601, Dec. 17, 1991, unless otherwise noted.

§ 73.70 Auctions.

(a) *Allowances to be auctioned.* Every year the Administrator will auction allowances from the Auction Subaccount, established pursuant to subpart B of this part, according to the following schedule:

TABLE I—ALLOWANCE SCHEDULE FOR AUCTIONS

Year of purchase	Spot auction	Advance auction	Advance auction*
1993	50,000 [a]	100,000 [b]	
1994	50,000 [a]	100,000 [b]	25,000 [c]
1995	50,000 [a]	100,000 [b]	25,000 [c]
1996	150,000	100,000 [b]	25,000 [c]
1997	150,000	125,000 [b]	25,000 [c]
1998	150,000	125,000 [b]	
1999	150,000	125,000 [b]	
2000 and after	125,000	125,000 [b]	

[a] Not usable until 1995.
[b] Not usable until 7 years after purchase.
[c] Not usable until 6 years after purchase.
*These are unsold advance allowances from the direct sale program for 1993, 1994, 1995, and 1996 respectively.

In addition to the allowances listed above, the Administrator will auction allowances pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section and § 73.72(q) in the amounts and at the times provided for therein.

(b) *Timing of the auctions.* The spot auction and the advance auction will be held on the same day, selected each year by the Administrator, but no later than March 31 of each year. The Administrator will conduct one spot auction and one advance auction in each calendar year.

(c) *Submittal for other allowances for auction.* Authorized account representatives may offer allowances for sale at auction, provided that allowances are dated for the year in which they are offered or for any previous year or for seven years following the year in which they are offered. Such authorized account representatives may specify a minimum price for the allowances offered at the auctions. The authorized account representative must notify the Administrator fifteen business days prior to the auctions, using the SO_2 Allowance Offer Form published by the Administrator, or by means of electronic communication if the Administrator, following public notice, so requires or permits at some future time. The notification shall include:

(1) The compliance use date of the allowances offered;

(2) The number of allowances to be sold and any other information identifying the allowances offered that may be required by subpart C of this part;

(3) Any minimum price; and

(4) Whether the authorized account representative is willing to sell fewer allowances than the number stated in paragraph (c)(2) of this section, if the full amount cannot be sold. After notification, the Administrator will deduct allowances from the appropriate Allowance Tracking System account from which allowances are being offered and place them in a separate subaccount for such allowances.

(d) *Conduct of the auctions.* (1) The Administrator will rank all bids in descending order of bid price starting with the highest. Allowances will be sold from the Auction Subaccount in this order at the amounts specified in the bids until there are no allowances in the subaccount. If all allowances are sold from the Auction Subaccount, including unsold allowances transferred from the preceding year's direct sale, and if bids still remain, the Administrator will sell allowances offered by the authorized account representatives, beginning with those offered at

the lowest minimum price. Allowances offered at the lowest minimum price will be matched with the highest bid remaining after the Auction Subaccount is exhausted. Sales of offered allowances, including, but not limited to, allowances offered by more than one offeror at the same minimum bid price, will continue in ascending order of minimum price, starting with the lowest, and descending order of remaining bids, starting with the highest, until:

(i) All allowances are sold,

(ii) No bids remain, or

(iii) Prices of remaining bids do not meet minimum prices required in remaining offers.

(2) In the event that there is more than one bid submitting the same price and the total number of allowances requested in all such bids exceeds the number of allowances remaining, the Administrator will award the remaining allowances by lottery to such bidders.

(3) In the event that there are more offers of sale at the minimum price than there are bids meeting that price, allowances from all such offers will be sold to cover the bids, according to each such offeror's pro rata share of all allowances so offered.

(4) In the event that fewer allowances remain than are requested in a bid, the Administrator will sell such remaining allowances to the bidder provided that, pursuant to §73.71(b)(4), the bid states the bidder's willingness to purchase fewer allowances than requested in the bid.

(5) In the event that fewer than all allowances included in an offer for sale would be sold to remaining bids based on price, the Administrator will sell such allowances to the bidder(s), provided that, pursuant to §73.70(c)(4), the offer states the offeror's willingness to sell fewer allowances than were offered for sale.

(e) *Announcement of results*. Following each auction, the Administrator will publish the names of winning bidders and their bids, the amounts of losing bids, and the lowest price at which allowances are sold.

(f) *Transfer of allowances*. Allowances will be transferred from the Auction Subaccount and from the Allowance Tracking System account for allowances offered by authorized account representatives to the Allowance Tracking System accounts of successful bidders as soon as payment is collected by the Administrator.

(g) *Return of unsuccessful bids*. The Administrator will return payment to unsuccessful bidders and to bidders unwilling to purchase fewer allowances than requested following the conclusion of each auction.

(h) *Transfer of proceeds*. The Administrator will return all proceeds from the auction as follows:

(1) Allowances auctioned from the Auction Subaccount. Not later than 90 days following each auction, the Administrator will pay a pro rata share of the proceeds of each auction to the authorized account representative of each unit from whose annual allowance allocation allowances were withheld for the purposes of establishing the Auction Subaccount. Each unit's pro rata share will be calculated pursuant to regulations to be promulgated under subpart B.

(2) Allowances contributed from others. Not later than 90 days following each auction, the Administrator will transfer the full amount of the proceeds of each sale of allowances offered by authorized account representatives to such representatives. Proceeds from the sale of allowances that were offered with the same specified minimum price will be distributed according to each such offeror's pro rata share of the sale of such allowances.

(3) The Administrator will pay no interest on any payment made pursuant to paragraphs (h) (1) and (2) of this section.

(i) *Return of unsold allowances*. The Administrator will return all unsold allowances from the auction as follows:

(1) Allowances in the Auction Subaccount. At the conclusion of each auction, the Administrator will transfer to the Allowance Tracking System account of each source that includes a unit specified in paragraph (h)(1) of this section its pro rata share of any allowances remaining in the Auction Subaccount. Each unit's pro rata share will be calculated pursuant to regulations to be promulgated under subpart B.

(2) Allowances contributed from others. At the conclusion of each auction, the Administrator will return unsold allowances to the appropriate offerors' Allowance Tracking System accounts. Any unsold allowances that were offered with the same specified minimum price will be distributed according to each such offeror's pro rata share of all such allowances offered.

[56 FR 65601, Dec. 17, 1991, as amended at 61 FR 28763, June 6, 1996; 63 FR 5735, Feb. 4, 1998; 63 FR 51766, Sept. 28, 1998; 70 FR 25336, May 12, 2005]

§ 73.71 Bidding.

(a) *Who may participate in the auctions*. Any person may participate in the auctions by submitting a bid or bids pursuant to this section.

(b) *Bidding*. Sealed bids shall be sent to the Administrator using the Bid Form for SO_2 Allowance Auctions, or some method of electronic transfer if the Administrator, following public notice, so requires or permits at some future time. The bid form shall state:

(1) The number of allowances sought and the price;

(2) Whether spot or advance allowances are sought;

(3) Allowance Tracking System account number;

(4) Whether the bidder is willing to purchase fewer allowances than the number of allowances stated in (b)(1) of this section if the full amount is not available. Where the bidder holds no Allowance Tracking System account, a New Account/New Authorized Account Representative Form must accompany the bid. New account information shall include at a minimum: Name, address, telephone number, facsimile number, organization or company name (if applicable), type of organization, and the authorized account representative for purposes of the account.

(c) *Payment*. Each bid must include a certified check or letter of credit for the total bid price, or may specify a method of electronic transfer or other method of payment, if the Administrator, following public notice, so requires or permits at some future time. The certified check should be made payable to the U.S. EPA. To meet the requirements of this paragraph bidders must submit a completed SO_2 Allowance Auction Letter of Credit Form. If such Form is used, the Administrator must receive full payment for allowances awarded at the auctions, either by wire transfer or certified check, no later than 2 business days after the results of the auction are announced in the Allowance Tracking System.

(d) *Bid amount and number of bids*. Bidders may request any number of allowances up to the amount of allowances available for auction. Any person may submit more than one bid in each auction, provided that each bid meets the requirements of this section.

(e) *Submission of bids*. The Administrator will publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER and in the Commerce Business Daily the address of where to submit bids and payment not later than 60 calendar days before each auction.

(f) *Deadline for bids*. All bids must be revised by the Administrator no later than 3 business days prior to the date of the auctions.

§ 73.72 Direct sales.

Allowances that were formerly part of the direct sale program, which has been terminated under § 73.73(b), will be included in the annual allowance auctions in accordance with § 73.70(a).

[61 FR 28763, June 6, 1996]

§ 73.73 Delegation of auctions and sales and termination of auctions and sales.

(a) *Delegation*. The Administrator may, in the Administrator's discretion, by delegation or contract provide for the conduct of sales or auctions under the Administrator's supervision by other departments or agencies of the United States Government or by nongovernmental agencies, groups, or organizations.

(b) *Termination of sales*. If the Administrator determines that, during any period of 2 consecutive calendar years, fewer than 20 percent of the allowances available in the subaccount for direct sales have been purchased, the Administrator shall terminate the Direct Sale Subaccount and transfer such allowances to the Auction Subaccount.

(c) *Termination of auctions*. The Administrator may, in the Administrator's discretion, terminate the withholding of allowances and the auctions

if the Administrator determines, that, during any period of 3 consecutive years after 2002, fewer than 20 percent of the allowances available in the Auction Subaccount have been purchased.

Subpart F—Energy Conservation and Renewable Energy Reserve

SOURCE: 58 FR 3695, Jan. 11, 1993, unless otherwise noted.

§ 73.80 Operation of allowance reserve program for conservation and renewable energy.

(a) *General*. The Administrator will allocate allowances from the Conservation and Renewable Energy Reserve (the ''Reserve'') established under subpart B based on verified kilowatt hours saved through the use of one or more qualified energy conservation measures or based on kilowatt hours generated by qualified renewable energy generation. Allowances will be allocated to applicants that meet the requirements of this subpart according to the formulas specified in § 73.82(d), and in the order in which applications are received, except where provided for in § 73.84 and § 73.85, until a total of 300,000 allowances have been allocated.

(b) *Period of applicability*. Allowances will be allocated under this subpart for qualified energy conservation measures or renewable energy generation sources that are operational on or after January 1, 1992, and before the date on which any unit owned or operated by the applicant becomes a Phase I unit or a Phase II unit.

(c) *Termination of the Reserve*. The Administrator will reallocate any allowances remaining in the Reserve after January 2, 2010 to the affected units from whom allowances were withheld by the Administrator, in accordance with section 404(g), for purposes of establishing the Reserve. Each unit's allocation under this paragraph will be calculated as follows:

$$\frac{\text{Remaining allowances in the Reserve} \times \text{Unit's allowances withheld}}{\text{Total amount in Reserve}}$$

(Allowances will be rounded to the nearest allowance)

[58 FR 3695, Jan. 11, 1993; 58 FR 40747, July 30, 1993]

§ 73.81 Qualified conservation measures and renewable energy generation.

(a) *Qualified energy conservation measures*. A qualified energy conservation measure is a demand-side measure not operational until the period of applicability, implemented in the residence or facility of a customer to whom the utility sells electricity, that:

(1) Is specified in appendix A(1) of this subpart; or

(2) In the case of a device or material that is not included in appendix A(1) of this subpart,

(i) Is a cost-effective demand-side measure consistent with an applicable least-cost plan or least-cost planning process that increases the efficiency of the customer's use of electricity (as measured in accordance with § 73.82(c)) without increasing the use by the customer of any fuel other than qualified renewable energy, industrial waste heat, or, pursuant to paragraph (b)(5) of this section, industrial waste gases;

(ii) Is implemented pursuant to a conservation program approved by the utility regulatory authority, which certifies that it meets the requirements of paragraph (a)(2)(i) of this section and is not excluded by paragraph (b) of this section; and

(iii) Is reported by the applicant in its application to the Reserve.

(b) *Non-qualified energy conservation measures*. The following energy conservation measures shall not qualify for Allowance Reserve allocations:

(1) Demand-side measures that were operational before January 1, 1992;

(2) Supply-side measures;

(3) Conservation programs that are exclusively informational or educational in nature;

(4) Load management measures that lead to economic reduction of electric energy demand during a utility's peak generating periods, unless kilowatt hour savings can be verified by the utility pursuant to § 73.82(c); or

(5) Utilization of industrial waste gases, unless the applicant has certified that there is no net increase in

sulfur dioxide emissions from such utilization.

(c) *Qualified renewable energy generation*. Qualified renewable energy generation is electrical energy generation, not operational until the period of applicability, that:

(1) Is specified in appendix A(3) of this subpart; or

(2) In the case of renewable energy generation that is not included in appendix A(3) of this subpart is#:

(i) Consistent with a least cost plan or a least cost planning process and derived from biomass (*i.e.*, combustible energy-producing materials from biological sources which include wood, plant residues, biological wastes, landfill gas, energy crops, and eligible components of municipal solid waste), solar, geothermal, or wind resources;

(ii) Implemented pursuant to approval by the utility regulatory authority, which certifies that it meets the requirements of paragraphs (c)(2)(i) and (c)(2)(ii) of this section and is not excluded by paragraph (d) of this section; and

(iii) Is reported by the applicant in its application to the Reserve.

(d) *Non-qualified renewable energy generation*. The following renewable energy generation shall not qualify for Allowance Reserve allocations:

(1) Renewable energy generation that was operational before January 1, 1992;

(2) Measures that reduce electricity demand for a utility's customers without providing electric generation directly for sale to customers; and

(3) Measures that appear on the list of qualified energy conservation measures in appendix A(1) of this subpart.

[58 FR 3695, Jan. 11, 1993; 58 FR 40747, July 30, 1993]

§ 73.82 Application for allowances from reserve program.

(a) *Application Requirements*. Each application for Conservation and Renewable Energy Reserve allowances, shall:

(1) Certify that the applicant is a utility;

(2) Demonstrate that the applicant, any subsidiary of the applicant, or any subsidiary of the applicant's holding company, is an owner or operator, in whole or in part, of at least one Phase I or Phase II unit by including in the application the name and Allowance Tracking System account number of a Phase I or Phase II unit which it owns or operates and for which it is listed as an owner or operator on the certificate of representation submitted by the designated representative for the unit pursuant to § 72.20 of this chapter;

(3) Through certification, demonstrate that the applicant is paying in whole or in part for one or more qualified energy conservation measures or qualified renewable energy generation (that became operational during the period of applicability) either directly or through payment to another person that purchases the qualified energy conservation measure or qualified renewable energy generation;

(4) Demonstrate that the applicant is subject to a least cost plan or a least cost planning process that:

(i) provides an opportunity for public notice and comment or other public participation processes;

(ii) evaluates the full range of existing and incremental resources in order to meet expected future demand at lowest system cost;

(iii) treats demand-side resources and supply-side resources on a consistent and integrated basis;

(iv) takes into account necessary features for system operation such as diversity, reliability, dispatchability, and other factors of risk;

(v) may take into account other factors, including the social and environmental costs and benefits of resource investments; and

(vi) is being implemented by the applicant to the maximum extent practicable.

(5) Demonstrate that the qualified energy conservation measure adopted or qualified renewable energy generated, or both, are consistent with the least cost plan or least cost planning process;

(6) If the applicant is subject to the rate-making jurisdiction of a State or local utility regulatory authority, its least cost plan or least cost planning process has been approved or accepted by the utility regulatory authority in the State or locality in which the qualified conservation measure(s) are adopted or in which the qualified renewable energy generation is utilized,

and such State or local utility regulatory authority certifies that the least-cost plan or least-cost planning process meets the requirements of paragraph (a)(4) of this section;

(7) If the applicant is not subject to the rate-making jurisdiction of a State or local regulatory authority, its least cost plan or least cost planning process has been approved or has been accepted by the utility regulatory authority with rate-making jurisdiction over the applicant, and such utility regulatory authority certifies that the least cost plan or least cost planning process meets the requirements of paragraph (a)(4) of this section;

(8) If the applicant is an independent power production facility that sells qualified renewable energy generation to another utility, the applicant has enclosed documentation that such qualified renewable energy generation was purchased pursuant to the purchasing utility's least cost plan or least cost planning process, which has been approved or accepted by the purchasing utility's utility regulatory authority.

(9)(i) If the applicant is an investor-owner utility subject to the rate-making jurisdiction of a State utility regulatory authority and is submitting an application on the basis of one or more qualified energy conservation measures, such State utility regulatory authority has established a procedure for determining rates and charges ensuring net income neutrality, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter, including a provision that the utility's net income is compensated in full (considering factors such as risk) for lost sales attributable to the utility's conservation programs, which may include:

(A) General ratemaking for formulas that decouple utility profits from actual utility sales;

(B) Specific rate adjustment formulas that allow a utility to recover in its retail rates the full costs of conservation measures plus any associated net revenues lost as a result of reduced sales resulting from conservation initiatives; or

(C) Conservation incentive mechanisms designed to provide positive financial rewards to a utility to encourage implementation of cost-effective measures;

(ii) Provided that the existence of any one of the categories of rate-making or rate adjustment formulas or conservation incentive mechanisms specified in paragraph (a)(9)(i) of this section shall not necessarily constitute fulfillment of the net income neutrality requirement unless, pursuant to § 73.83, the Secretary of Energy has certified the establishment of such net income neutrality;

(10) Demonstrate that the applicant has implemented the qualified energy conservation measures or used the qualified renewable energy generation specified in the application during the period of applicability;

(11) Demonstrate the extent to which installation of the qualified conservation measure(s) has achieved actual energy savings, by stating, on the basis of the performance of the measure(s) following installation:

(i) The amount of kilowatt hour savings resulting from the measure(s) in the given year(s);

(ii) Pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section, the methodology used to calculate the kilowatt hour savings; and

(iii) The name, address, and phone number of the person who performed the calculation of kilowatt hour savings;

(12) Report the type and amount of yearly qualified renewable energy generation, by stating (and submitting documentation, including copies of plant operation records, supporting such statements) the kilowatt hours of qualified renewable energy generated during a previous calendar year or years; and

(13) Report the extent to which qualified renewable energy generation was produced in combination with other energy sources (hereafter ''hybrid generation'') by stating (and submitting documentation, including copies of plant operation records, supporting such statements) the heat input and heat rate of the non-qualified renewable generation, the total annual kilowatt hours generated, and the kilowatt hours that can be attributed to qualified renewable energy generation;

(14) Demonstrate the extent to which the implementation of qualified energy

conservation measures or the use of qualified renewable energy generation has resulted in avoided tons of sulfur dioxide emissions by the utility during the period of applicability, pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.

(b) *Application to the Secretary of Energy*. For purposes of paragraph (a)(9) of this section, the applicant shall fulfill the following requirements:

(1) If a utility applying for allowances from the Reserve has not received certification of net income neutrality from the Secretary of Energy or such certification is no longer applicable, the applicant shall submit to the Secretary of Energy:

(i) A copy of the relevant State utility regulatory authority's final order or decision setting forth the approved ratemaking mechanisms that ensure that a utility's net income will be at least as high upon implementation of energy conservation measures as such net income would have been if the energy conservation measures has not been implemented;

(ii) A description of how the State utility regulatory authority's order or decision meets the definition of net income neutrality as defined in § 72.2; and

(iii) Any additional information necessary for Secretary of Energy to certify that the State regulatory authority has established rates and charges that ensure net income neutrality.

(2) If a utility applying for allowances from the Reserve has already received certification of net income neutrality from the Secretary of Energy in connection with a previous application for allowances, and the ratemaking methods or procedures that ensure net income neutrality have not been altered, the applicant shall certify that the ratemaking methods and procedures that led to the original certification are still in place.

(c) *Verification of energy savings methodology*. For the purposes of paragraph (a)(11) of this section:

(1) Applicants subject to the ratemaking jurisdiction of a State utility regulatory authority shall use the energy conservation verification methodology approved by such authority in support of energy conservation applications under this subpart and part 72 of this chapter, provided that

(i) The authority in question uses this methodology to determine the applicant's entitlement to performance-based rate adjustments, which permit a utility's rates to be adjusted for additional kilowatt hours saved due to the utility's energy conservation programs;

(ii) Such performance based rate adjustments are subject to modification either prospectively or retrospectively to reflect periodic evaluations of energy savings secured by the applicant; and

(iii) The applicant has provided the Administrator with a description of the State utility regulatory authority's verification methodology and documentation that the requirements of this paragraph (e) have been met.

(2) All other applicants, including applicants whose rates are not subject to the ratemaking jurisdiction of a State utility regulatory authority shall demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Administrator through submission of documentation that savings have been achieved and may use the EPA Conservation Verification Protocol.

(3) All records of verification of energy savings shall be kept on file by the applicant for a period of 3 years. The Administrator may extend this period for cause at any time prior to the end of 3 years by notifying the applicant in writing.

(4) The Administrator reserves the right to conduct independent reviews, analyses, or audits to ascertain that the verification is valid and correct. If the Administrator determines that the verification is not valid or correct, the Administrator may revise the allocation of allowances to an applicant or require the surrender of allowances from the applicant's Allowance Tracking System account.

(d) *Calculation of allowances to be allocated*. (1) In the case of an application submitted on the basis of qualified energy conservation measures, the sulfur dioxide emissions tonnage deemed avoided for any calendar year shall be equal to the product of:

$$\frac{(A)\times(B)}{2000\ \text{lbs./ton}}$$

(ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST TON)

where:

(A) = the kilowatt hours that were not, but would otherwise have been, supplied by the utility during such year in the absence of such qualified energy conservation measures.

(B) = 0.004 1bs. of sulfur dioxide per kilowatt hour.

(2) In the case of an application submitted on the basis of qualified renewable energy generation, the sulfur dioxide emissions tonnage deemed avoided for any calendar year shall be equal to the product of:

$$\frac{(A)\times(B)}{2000\ \text{lbs./ton}}$$

(ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST TON)

where:

(A) = the actual kilowatt hours of qualified renewable energy generated or purchased by the applicant (based on the qualified renewable energy generation portion for hybrid generation).

(B) = 0.004 lbs. of sulfur dioxide per kilowatt hour.

(e) *Certification by Applicant's Certifying Official*. (1) Certification of all application requirements, including the net income neutrality requirements, shall be made by a certifying official of the applicant upon such official's verification of all information and documentation submitted.

(2) The applicant shall submit a certification statement signed by the applicant's certifying official that reads ''I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all its attachments. Based on my inquiry of those individuals with primary responsibility for obtaining the information, I certify that the information is to the best of my knowledge and belief true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false material information, or omitting material information, including the possibility of fine or imprisonment for violations.''

(f) *Certification by State Utility Regulatory Authority*. Applicants subject to the ratemaking jurisdiction of a State utility regulatory authority shall include in their applications a certification by the State utility regulatory authority's certifying official that it has reviewed the application, including supporting documentation, and finds it to be accurate, complete, and consistent with all applicable requirements of this subpart.

(g) *Time period to apply*. (1) Beginning no earlier than July 1, 1993, and no earlier than July 1 of each subsequent year, applicants may apply to the Administrator for allowances from the Reserve for emissions avoided in a previous year or years by use of qualified energy conservation measures or qualified renewable energy generation that became operational during the period of applicability; and

(2) Beginning no earlier than January 1, 1993, any applicant may apply to the Secretary of Energy for the Secretary's certification of net income neutrality where the application is based on the use of one or more qualified energy conservation measures.

(3) Applications will be received by the Administrator and the Secretary of Energy until January 2, 2010, pursuant to § 73.80(c), or until no allowances remain in the Reserve.

(h) *Submittal location*. Applicants shall submit one copy of the completed Reserve application, not including the net income neutrality application, via registered mail to the Administrator at an address to be specified in later guidance. Applicants shall submit 10 copies of the net income neutrality application via registered mail to the Department of Energy at the following address: Department of Energy, Office of Conservation and Renewable Energy, Mail Stop CE–10, Room 6c–036, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, Attn: Net Income Neutrality Certification.

[58 FR 3695, Jan. 11, 1993; 58 FR 40747, July 30, 1993]

§ 73.83 Secretary of Energy's action on net income neutrality applications.

(a) *First come, first served.* The Secretary of Energy will process and certify net income neutrality applications on a ''first-come, first served'' basis, according to the order, by date and time, in which they are received from either the applicant or, in the case of an application submitted to the Administrator and then forwarded to the Secretary, from the Administrator.

(b) *Deficient applications.* If the Secretary of Energy determines that the net income neutrality certification application does not meet the requirements of § 73.82 (a)(9) and (b), the Secretary will notify the applicant and the Administrator in writing of the deficiency. The applicant may then supply additional information or a new revised application as necessary for the Secretary to make a determination that the applicant meets the requirements of § 73.28(a)(9) and (b). Additional information or revised applications will be processed according to the date of receipt of such information or revisions.

(c) *Notification of approval.* The Secretary of Energy will review the net income neutrality application to determine whether it meets the requirements of § 73.82 (a)(9) and (b) and will certify this finding in writing to the applicant and to the Administrator within 60 calendar days of receipt of the net income neutrality application or a revised application, except that the Secretary may specify a later date for certification.

§ 73.84 Administrator's action on applications.

(a) *First come, first served.* The Administrator will process and approve Allowance Reserve applications, in whole or in part, on a ''first-come, first-served'' basis as established by the order of date of receipt, provided that the Administrator shall not allocate more than a total of 30,000 allowances in connection with applications based on any one of the four categories of qualified renewable energy generation enumerated in § 73.81(c)(2)(i) and appendix A(3.1–3.4).

(b) *Deficient applications.* An application is deficient and will be returned by the Administrator if it fails to meet the requirements set forth in this subpart, including those set forth in § 73.82. A revised application that is submitted after being returned for failure to meet the requirements of this subpart will be processed according to the date of receipt of the revised application.

(c) *Notification of approval.* Applications that the Administrator determines to be complete and correct will be conditionally approved, subject to notification to EPA of a net income neutrality certification from the Department of Energy, within 120 calendar days of receipt. Allowances from the Reserve will be awarded subject to the Department of Energy certification, or, if a DOE certification has already been issued to the applicant, allocated to applicants from such applications depending on the availability of allowances in the Reserve. In the event the initial application approval is conditioned upon the Secretary of Energy's certification, final approval will be granted upon notification of certification by the Secretary of Energy pursuant to § 73.83. The Administrator will notify applicants of final approval in writing.

(d) *Allocation of allowances.* Beginning in 1995, the Administrator will allocate allowances from the Reserve for each approved application into the applicant's account or accounts in the Allowance Tracking System. If the applicant does not have an account in the Allowance Tracking System, or wishes to open a new account for the allowances from the Reserve, an application pursuant to § 73.31(c) must accompany the application for Reserve allowances.

(e) *Partial fulfillment of requests.* (1) In the event that the allowances available in the Reserve are less than the number that could otherwise be allocated to an approved applicant's account under the application as approved, the applicant will receive the allowances remaining in the Reserve.

(2) In the event that a subaccount is established by EPA, pursuant to § 73.85, and the applicant is making a request for allowances not included in the subaccount, the Allowance Reserve allocations for the approved applicant will be made, in addition to any that may be allocated pursuant to paragraph (f)(3)

of this section, from any allowances remaining in the Reserve that are not contained in the subaccount.

(f) *Oversubscription of the Reserve.* (1) In the event that the Reserve becomes oversubscribed by more than one applicant on a single day, the allowances remaining in the Reserve will be distributed on a pro rata basis to applicants meeting the requirements of § 73.82.

(2) If Reserve applications are received by the Administrator after all allowances from the Reserve have been allocated, the Administrator will so notify the applicant within 5 business days after receipt of the application.

(3) In the event that applications meeting the requirements pursuant to § 73.82 are received by the Administrator prior to February 1, 1998, and

(i) All remaining allowances in the Reserve have been placed in a subaccount pursuant to § 73.85; and

(ii) The applicant is not eligible for an allocation of allowances from the subaccount; the application will be placed on a waiting list in order of receipt.

(iii) The Administrator will notify the applicant of such action within 5 business days after receipt of the application.

(4) If any allowances are returned to the Reserve after February 1, 1998 pursuant to § 73.85(c), the Administrator will review the wait-listed applications in order of receipt and allocate any remaining allowances to the approved applicants in the order of their receipt until no more allowances remain in the Reserve.

(g) *Applications for allowances based on the same avoided emissions from the same energy conservation measures or renewable energy generation*—(1) The Administrator will not award allowances to more than one applicant for the same avoided emissions from the same energy conservation measure or the same qualified renewable energy generation, and will process and act on such duplicative applications on a ''first-come, first-serve'' basis as determined by the order of date of receipt.

(2) Any allowances awarded pursuant to two or more applications received on the same date based on the same avoided emissions from the same energy conservation measure or the same renewable electric generation will be divided equally between all such applicants unless the Administrator is otherwise directed by all such applicants.

§ 73.85 Administrator review of the reserve program.

(a) *Administrator review of the Reserve and creation of a subaccount.* In the event that an allocation of allowances from the Reserve pursuant to a pending application would bring the total number of allowances allocated to a number greater than 240,000, the Administrator will review the distribution of all allowances allocated as follows:

(1) If at least 60,000 allowances have been allocated from the Reserve for *each* of

(i) Qualified energy conservation measures, and

(ii) Qualified renewable energy generation, allocations of allowances will continue pursuant to § 73.82, until no more allowances remain in the Reserve.

(2) If fewer than 60,000 allowances have been allocated for either qualified energy conservation measures or qualified renewable energy generation, the Administrator will establish a subaccount for the allocation of allowances for applications based on the category for which fewer than 60,000 allowances have been allocated. The subaccount will contain allowances equal to 60,000 less the number of allowances previously allocated for such category.

(b) *Allocation of allowances from the subaccount.* The Administrator will allocate allowances from the subaccount established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section to approved and DOE certified applicants that fulfill the requirements of this subpart, including § 73.82 and § 73.83, on a ''first-come, first-served basis'', pursuant to § 73.84(a), until the subaccount is depleted or closed pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section.

(c) *Closure of the subaccount.* Unless all allowances in the subaccount have been previously allocated, the Administrator will terminate the subaccount not later than February 1, 1998 and return any allowances remaining in the subaccount to the general account of the Reserve. After all Reserve allocations have been made to applicants

with approved and DOE certified applications subject to § 73.84(f)(3), the Administrator will allocate any remaining allowances to any applicants that meet the requirements of this subpart, including § 73.82 and § 73.83, on a ''first-come, first-served'' basis, pursuant to § 73.84.

§ 73.86 State regulatory autonomy.

Nothing in this subpart shall preclude a State or State regulatory authority from providing additional incentives to utilities to encourage investment in any conservation measures or renewable energy generation.

APPENDIX A TO SUBPART F OF PART 73—LIST OF QUALIFIED ENERGY CONSERVATION MEASURES, QUALIFIED RENEWABLE GENERATION, AND MEASURES APPLICABLE FOR REDUCED UTILIZATION

1. DEMAND-SIDE MEASURES APPLICABLE FOR THE CONSERVATION AND RENEWABLE ENERGY RESERVE PROGRAM OR REDUCED UTILIZATION

The following listed measures are approved as ''qualified energy conservation measures'' for purposes of the Conservation and Renewable Energy Reserve Program or reduced utilization qualified energy conservation plans under § 72.43 of this chapter. Measures not appearing on the list may also be qualified conservation measures if they meet the requirements specified in § 73.81(a) of this part.

1.1 Residential

1.1.1 Space Conditioning

- Electric furnace improvements (intermittent ignition, automatic vent dampers, and heating element change-outs)
- Air conditioner (central and room) upgrades/replacements
- Heat pump (ground source, solar assisted, and conventional) upgrades/replacements
- Cycling of air conditioners and heat pumps
- Natural ventilation
- Heat recovery ventilation
- Clock thermostats
- Setback thermostats
- Geothermal steam direct use
- Improved equipment controls
- Solar assisted space conditioning (ventilation, air-conditioning, and desiccant cooling)
- Passive solar designs
- Air conditioner and heat pump clean and tune-up
- Heat pipes
- Whole house fans
- High efficiency fans and motors
- Hydronic pump insulation
- Register relocation
- Register size and blade configuration
- Return air location
- Duct sizing
- Duct insulation
- Duct sealing
- Duct cleaning
- Shade tree planting

1.1.2 Water Heating

- Electric water heater upgrades/replacements
- Electric water heater tank wraps/blankets
- Low-flow showerheads and fittings
- Solar heating and pre-heat units
- Geothermal heating and pre-heat units
- Heat traps
- Water heater heat pumps
- Recirculation pumps
- Setback thermostats
- Water heater cycling control
- Solar heating for swimming pools
- Pipe wrap insulation

1.1.3 Lighting

- Lamp replacement
- Dimmers
- Motion detectors and occupancy sensors
- Photovoltaic lighting
- Fixture replacement
- Outdoor lighting controls

1.1.4 Building Envelope

- Attic, basement, ceiling, and wall insulation
- Passive solar building systems
- Exterior roof insulation
- Exterior wall insulation
- Exterior wall insulation bordering unheated space (e.g., a garage)
- Knee wall insulation in attic
- Floor insulation
- Perimeter insulation
- Storm windows/doors
- Caulking/weatherstripping
- Multi-glazed inserts for sliding glass doors
- Sliding door replacements
- Installation of French doors
- Hollow core door replacement
- Radiant barriers
- Window vent conversions
- Window replacement
- Window shade screens
- Low-e windows
- Window reduction
- Attic ventilation
- Whole house fan
- Passive solar design

1.1.5 Other Appliances

- Refrigerator replacements
- Freezer replacements

- Oven/range replacements
- Dishwasher replacements
- Clothes washer replacements
- Clothes dryer replacements
- Customer located power generation based on photovoltaic, solar thermal, biomass, wind or geothermal resources
- Swimming pool pump replacements
- Gasket replacements
- Maintenance/coil cleaning

1.2 Commercial

1.2.1 Heating/Ventilation/Air Conditioning (HVAC)

- Heat pump replacement
- Fan motor efficiency
- Resizing of chillers
- Heat pipe retrofits in air conditioning units
- Dehumidifiers
- Steam trap insulation
- Radiator thermostatic valves
- Variable speed drive on fan motor
- Solar assisted HVAC including ventilation, chillers, heat pumps, and desiccants
- HVAC piping insulation
- HVAC ductwork insulation
- Boiler insulation
- Automatic night setback
- Automatic economizer cooling
- Outside air control
- Hot and cold deck automatic reset
- Reheat system primary air optimization
- Process heat recovery
- Deadband thermostat
- Timeclocks on circulating pumps
- Chiller system
- Increase condensing unit efficiency
- Separate make-up air for exhaust hoods
- Variable air volume system
- Direct tower cooling (chiller strainer cycle)
- Multiple chiller control
- Radiant heating
- Evaporative roof surface cooling
- Cooling tower flow control
- Ceiling fans
- Evaporative cooling
- Direct expansion cooling system
- Heat recovery ventilation (water and air-source)
- Set-back controls for heating/cooling
- Make-up air control
- Manual fan switches
- Energy saving exhaust hood
- Night flushing
- Spot radiant heating
- Terminal regulated air volume control scheme
- Variable speed motors for HVAC system
- Waterside economizers
- Airside economizer
- Gray water systems
- Well water for cooling

1.2.2 Building envelope

- Insulation
- Wall insulation
- Floor/slab insulation
- Roof insulation
- Window and door upgrades, replacements, and films (to reduce solar heat gains)
- Passive solar design
- Earth berming
- Shading devices and tree planting
- High reflectivity roof coating
- Evaporative cooling
- Infiltration reduction
- Weatherstripping
- Caulking
- Low-e windows
- Multi-glazed windows
- Replace glazing with insulated walls
- Thermal break window frames
- Tinted glazing
- Vapor barrier
- Vestibule entry

1.2.3 Lighting

- Electronic ballast replacements
- Delamping
- Reflectors
- Occupancy sensors
- Daylighting with controls
- Photovoltaic lighting
- Efficient exterior lighting
- Manual selective switching
- Efficient exit signs
- Daylighting construction
- Cathode cutout ballasts
- High intensity discharge luminaries
- Outdoor light timeclock and photocell

1.2.4 Refrigeration

- Refrigerator replacement
- Freezer replacement
- Optimize heat gains to refrigerated space
- Optimize defrost control
- Refrigeration pressure optimization control
- High efficiency compressors
- Anti-condensate heater control
- Floating head pressure
- Hot gas defrost
- Parallel unequal compressors
- Variable speed compressors
- Water cooler controls
- Waste heat utilization
- Air doors on refrigeration equipment

1.2.5 Water Heating

- Electric water heating upgrades/replacements
- Electric water heater wraps/blankets
- Pipe insulation
- Solar heating and/or pre-heat units
- Geothermal heating and/or pre-heat units
- Circulating pump control
- Point-of-use water heater
- Heat recovery domestic water heater (DWH) system

• Chemical dishwashing system
• End-use reduction using low-flow fittings

1.2.6 Other end-uses and miscellaneous

• Energy management control systems for building operations
• Customer located power based on photovoltaic, solar thermal, biomass, wind, and geothermal resources
• Energy efficient office equipment
• Customer-owned transformer upgrades and proper sizing

1.3 Industial

1.3.1 Motors

• Retire inefficient motors and replace with energy efficient motors, including the use of electronic adjustable speed or variable frequency drives
• Rebuild motors to operate more efficiently through greater contamination protection and improved magnetic materials
• Install self-starters
• Replace improperly sized motors

1.3.2 Lighting

• Electronic ballast replacement/improvement
• Electromagnetic ballast upgrade
• Installation of reflectors
• Substitution of lamps with built-in automatic cathode cut-out switches
• Modify ballast circuits with additional impedance devices
• Metal halide and high pressure sodium lamp retrofits
• High pressure sodium retrofits
• Daylighting with controls
• Occupancy sensors
• Delamping
• Photovoltaic lighting
• Two step and dimmable high intensity discharge ballast

1.3.3 Heating/Ventilation/Air Conditioning (HVAC)

• Heat pump replacement/upgrade
• Furnace upgrade/replacement
• Fan motor efficiency
• Resizing of chillers
• Heat pipe retrofits on air conditioners
• Variable speed drive on fan motor
• Solar assisted HVAC including ventilation, chillers, heat pumps and desiccants

1.3.4 Industrial Processes

• Upgrades in heat transfer equipment
• Insulation and burner upgrades for industrial furnaces/ovens/boilers to reduce electricity loads on motors and fans
• Insulation and redesign of piping
• Upgrades/retrofits in condenser/evaporation equipment
• Process air and water filtration for improved efficiency
• Upgrades of catalytic combustors
• Solar process heat
• Customer located power based on photovoltaic, solar thermal, biomass, wind, and geothermal resources
• Power factor controllers
• Utilization of waste gas fuels
• Steam line and steam trap repairs/upgrades
• Compressed air system improvements/repairs
• Industrial process heat pump
• Optimization of equipment lubrication or maintenance
• Resizing of process equipment for optimal energy efficiency
• Use of unique thermodynamic power cycles

1.3.5 Building Envelope

• Insulation of ceiling, walls, and ducts
• Window and door replacement/upgrade, including thermal energy barriers
• Caulking/weatherstripping

1.3.6 Water Heating

• Electric water heater upgrades/replacements
• Electric water heater wraps/blankets
• Pipe insulation
• Low-flow showerheads and fittings
• Solar heating and pre-heat units
• Geothermal heating and pre-heat units

1.3.7 Other End-uses and miscellaneous

• Refrigeration system retrofit/replacement
• Energy management control systems and end use metering
• Customer-owned transformer retrofits/replacements and proper sizing

1.4 Agricultural

1.4.1 Space Conditioning

• Building envelope measures
• Efficient HVAC equipment
• Heat pipe retrofit on air conditioners
• System and control measures
• Solar assisted HVAC including ventilation, chillers, heat pumps, and desiccants
• Air-source and geothermal heat pumps replacement/upgrades

1.4.2 Water heating

• Upgrades/replacements
• Water heater wraps/blankets
• Pipe insulation
• Low-flow showerheads and fittings
• Solart heating and/or pre-hear units
• Geothermal heating and/or pre-heat units

1.4.3 Lighting

• Electronic ballast replacements
• Delamping
• Reflectors

- Occupancy sensors
- Daylighting with controls
- Photovoltaic lighting
- Outdoor lighting controls

1.4.4 Pumping/Irrigation

- Pump upgrades/retrofits
- Computerized pump control systems
- Irrigation load management strategies
- Irrigation pumping plants
- Computer irrigation control
- Surge irrigation
- Computerized scheduling of irrigation
- Drip irrigation systems

1.4.5 Motors

- Retire inefficient motors and replace with energy efficient motors, including the use of electronic adjustable speed and variable frequency drives
- Rebuild motors to operate more efficiently through greater contamination protection and improved magnetic materials
- Install self-starters
- Replace improperly sized motors

1.4.6 Other end uses

- Ventilation fans
- Cooling and refrigeration system upgrades
- Grain drying using unheated air
- Grain drying using low temperature electric
- Customer-owned transformer retrofits/replacements and proper sizing
- Programmable controllers for electrical farm equipment
- Controlled livestock ventilation
- Water heating for production agriculture
- Milk cooler heat exchangers
- Direct expansion/ice bank milk cooling
- Low energy precision application systems
- Heat pump crop drying

1.5 Government Services Sector

1.5.1 Streetlighting

- Replace incandescent and mercury vapor lamps with high pressure sodium and metal halide

1.5.2 Other

- Energy efficiency improvements in motors, pumps, and controls for water supply and waste water treatment
- District heating and cooling measures derived for cogeneration that result in electricity savings

2. SUPPLY-SIDE MEASURES APPLICABLE FOR REDUCED UTILIZATION

Supply-side measures that may be approved for purposes of reduced utilization plans under §72.43 include the following:

2.1 Generation efficiency

- Heat rate improvement programs
- Availability improvement programs
- Coal cleaning measures that improve boiler efficiency
- Turbine improvements
- Boiler improvements
- Control improvements, including artificial intelligence and expert systems
- Distributed control—local (real-time) versus central (delayed)
- Equipment monitoring
- Performance monitoring
- Preventive maintenance
- Additional or improved heat recovery
- Sliding/variable pressure operations
- Adjustable speed drives
- Improved personnel training to improve man/machine interface

2.2 Transmission and distribution efficiency

- High efficiency transformer switchouts using amorphous core and silicon steel technologies
- Low-loss windings
- Innovative cable insulation
- Reactive power dispatch optimization
- Power factor control
- Primary feeder reconfiguration
- Primary distribution voltage upgrades
- High efficiency substation transformers
- Controllable series capacitors
- Real-time distribution data acquisition analysis and control systems
- Conservation voltage regulation

3. RENEWABLE ENERGY GENERATION MEASURES APPLICABLE FOR THE CONSERVATION AND RENEWABLE ENERGY RESERVE PROGRAM

The following listed measures are approved as ''qualified renewable energy generation'' for purposes of the Conservation and Renewable Energy Reserve Program. Measures not appearing on the list may also be qualified renewable energy generation measures if they meet the requirements specified in §73.81.

3.1 Biomass resources

- Combustible energy-producing materials from biological sources which include: wood, plant residues, biological wastes, landfill gas, energy crops, and eligible components of municipal solid waste.

3.2 Solar resources

- Solar thermal systems and the non-fossil fuel portion of solar thermal hybrid systems
- Grid and non-grid connected photovoltaic systems, including systems added for voltage or capacity augmentation of a distribution grid.

3.4 Geothermal resources

• Hydrothermal or geopressurized resources used for dry steam, flash steam, or binary cycle generation of electricity.

3.5 Wind resources

• Grid-connected and non-grid-connected wind farms
• Individual wind-driven electrical generating turbines

Subpart G—Small Diesel Refineries

§ 73.90 Allowance allocations for small diesel refineries.

(a) *Initial certification of eligibility.* The certifying official of a refinery that seeks allowances under this section shall apply for certification of its facility eligibility prior to or accompanying a request for allowances under paragraph (d) of this section. A completed application for certification, submitted to the address in § 73.13 of this chapter, shall include the following:

(1) Photocopies of Form EIA–810 for each month of calendar years 1988 through 1990 for the refinery;

(2) Photocopies of Form EIA–810 for each month of calendar years 1988 through 1990 for each refinery owned or controlled by the refiner that owns or controls the refinery seeking certification; and

(3) A letter certified by the certifying official that the submitted photocopies are exact duplicates of those forms filed with the Department of Energy for 1988 through 1990.

(b) *Request for allowances.* (1) In addition to the application for certification, prior to, or accompanying, the request for allowances, the certifying official for the refinery shall submit an Allowance Tracking System New Account/New Authorized Account Representative Form.

(2) The request for allowances shall be submitted to the address in § 72.13 and shall include the following information:

(i) Certification that all motor fuel produced by the refinery for which allowances are claimed meets the requirements of subsection 211(i) of the Clean Air Act;

(ii) For calendar year 1993 desulfurized diesel fuel, photocopies of Form 810 for October, November and December 1993;

(iii) For calendar years 1994 through 1999, inclusive, photocopies of Form 810 for each month in the respective calendar year.

(3) For joint ventures, each eligible refinery shall submit a separate application under paragraph (b)(2) of this section. Each application must include the diesel fuel throughput applicable to the joint agreement and the requested distribution of allowances that would be allocated to the joint agreement. If the applications for refineries involved in the joint agreement are inconsistent as to the throughput of diesel fuel applicable to the joint agreement or as to the distribution of the allowances, all involved applications will be considered void for purposes of the joint agreement.

(4) The certifying official shall submit all requests for allowances by April 1 of the calendar year following the year in which the diesel fuel was desulfurized to the Director, Acid Rain Division, under the procedures set forth in § 73.13 of this part.

(c) *Allowance allocation.* The Administrator will allocate allowances to the eligible refinery upon satisfactory submittal of information under paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section in the amount calculated according to the following equations. Such allowances will be allocated to the refinery's nonunit subaccount for the calendar year in which the application is made.

(1) Allowances allocated under this section to any eligible refinery will be limited to the tons of SO_2 attributable to the desulfurization of diesel fuel at the refinery. (2) The refinery will be allocated allowances for a calendar year and, in the case of 1993, for the period October 1 through December 31, calculated according to the following equation, but not to exceed 1500 for any calendar year:

$$\text{Allowances Requested} = \frac{\begin{bmatrix} (a) \\ \text{Diesel Fuel Production} \end{bmatrix} x \begin{bmatrix} (b) \\ (302) \end{bmatrix} x \begin{bmatrix} (c) \\ (0.00224) \end{bmatrix} x \begin{bmatrix} (d) \\ (2) \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} 2000 \\ (e) \end{bmatrix}}$$

where:

a = diesel fuel in barrels for the year (or for October 1 through December 31 for 1993)
b = lbs per barrel of diesel
c = lbs of sulfur per lbs of diesel
d = lbs of SO_2 per lbs of sulfur
e = lbs per short ton

(3) If applications for a given year request, in the aggregate, more than 35,000 allowances, the Administrator will allocate allowances to each refinery in the amount equal to the lesser of 1500 or:

$$\text{Refinery Allowances} = \text{the lesser of} \begin{bmatrix} \text{Allowances Requested} \times \dfrac{35{,}000}{\text{Total Allowances Requested}} \\ \text{or} \\ 1{,}500 \end{bmatrix}$$

[58 FR 15716, Mar. 23, 1993; 58 FR 33770, June 21, 1993; 62 FR 55486, Oct. 24, 1997]

PART 74—SULFUR DIOXIDE OPT-INS

Subpart A—Background and Summary

Sec.
74.1 Purpose and scope.
74.2 Applicability.
74.3 Relationship to the Acid Rain program requirements.
74.4 Designated representative.

Subpart B—Permitting Procedures

74.10 Roles—EPA and permitting authority.
74.12 Opt-in permit contents.
74.14 Opt-in permit process.
74.16 Application requirements for combustion sources.
74.17 Application requirements for process sources. [Reserved]
74.18 Withdrawal.
74.19 Revision and renewal of opt-in permit.

Subpart C—Allowance Calculations for Combustion Sources

74.20 Data for baseline and alternative baseline.
74.22 Actual SO_2 emissions rate.
74.23 1985 Allowable SO_2 emissions rate.
74.24 Current allowable SO_2 emissions rate.
74.25 Current promulgated SO_2 emissions limit.
74.26 Allocation formula.
74.28 Allowance allocation for combustion sources becoming opt-in sources on a date other than January 1.

Subpart D—Allowance Calculations for Process Sources [Reserved]

Subpart E—Allowance Tracking and Transfer and End of Year Compliance

74.40 Establishment of opt-in source allowance accounts.
74.41 Identifying allowances.
74.42 Limitation on transfers.
74.43 Annual compliance certification report.
74.44 Reduced utilization for combustion sources.
74.45 Reduced utilization for process sources. [Reserved]
74.46 Opt-in source permanent shutdown, reconstruction, or change in affected status.
74.47 Transfer of allowances from the replacement of thermal energy—combustion sources.
74.48 Transfer of allowances from the replacement of thermal energy—process sources. [Reserved]
74.49 Calculation for deducting allowances.
74.50 Deducting opt-in source allowances from ATS accounts.

Subpart F—Monitoring Emissions: Combustion Sources

74.60 Monitoring requirements.
74.61 Monitoring plan.

Subpart G—Monitoring Emissions: Process Sources [Reserved]

AUTHORITY: 42 U.S.C. 7601 and 7651 *et seq.*

SOURCE: 60 FR 17115, Apr. 4, 1995, unless otherwise noted.

Subpart A—Background and Summary

§ 74.1 Purpose and scope.

The purpose of this part is to establish the requirements and procedures for:

(a) The election of a combustion or process source that emits sulfur dioxide to become an affected unit under the Acid Rain Program, pursuant to section 410 of title IV of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401, *et seq.*, as amended by Public Law 101–549 (November 15, 1990); and

(b) Issuing and modifying operating permits; certifying monitors; and allocating, tracking, transferring, surrendering and deducting allowances for combustion or process sources electing to become affected units.

§ 74.2 Applicability.

Combustion or process sources that are not affected units under § 72.6 of this chapter and that are operating and are located in the 48 contiguous States or the District of Columbia may submit an opt-in permit application to become opt-in sources upon issuance of an opt-in permit. Units for which an exemption under § 72.7 or § 72.8 of this chapter is in effect and combustion or process sources that are not operating are not eligible to submit an opt-in permit application to become opt-in sources.

[60 FR 17115, Apr. 4, 1995, as amended at 62 FR 55487, Oct. 24, 1997; 66 FR 12978, Mar. 1, 2001]

§ 74.3 Relationship to the Acid Rain program requirements.

(a) *General.* (1) For purposes of applying parts 72, 73, 75, 77 and 78, each opt-in source shall be treated as an affected unit.

(2) Subpart A, B, G, and H of part 72 of this chapter, including §§ 72.2 (definitions), 72.3 (measurements, abbreviations, and acronyms), 72.4 (Federal authority), 72.5 (State authority), 72.6 (applicability), 72.7 (New units exemption), 72.8 (Retired units exemption), 72.9 (Standard Requirements), 72.10 (availability of information), and 72.11 (computation of time), shall apply to this part.

(b) *Permits.* The permitting authority shall act in accordance with this part and parts 70, 71, and 72 of this chapter in issuing or denying an opt-in permit and incorporating it into a combustion or process source's operating permit. To the extent that any requirements of this part, part 72, and part 78 of this chapter are inconsistent with the requirements of parts 70 and 71 of this chapter, the requirements of this part, part 72, and part 78 of this chapter shall take precedence and shall govern the issuance, denials, revision, reopening, renewal, and appeal of the opt-in permit.

(c) *Appeals.* The procedures for appeals of decisions of the Administrator under this part are contained in part 78 of this chapter.

(d) *Allowances.* A combustion or process source that becomes an affected unit under this part shall be subject to all the requirements of subparts C and D of part 73 of this chapter, consistent with subpart E of this part.

(e) *Excess emissions.* A combustion or process source that becomes an affected unit under this part shall be subject to the requirements of part 77 of this chapter applicable to excess emissions of sulfur dioxide and shall not be subject to the requirements of part 77 of this chapter applicable to excess emissions of nitrogen oxides.

(f) *Monitoring.* A combustion or process source that becomes an affected unit under this part shall be subject to all the requirements of part 75, consistent with subparts F and G of this part.

[60 FR 17115, Apr. 4, 1995, as amended at 63 FR 18841, Apr. 16, 1998]

§ 74.4 Designated representative.

(a) The provisions of subpart B of part 72 of this chapter shall apply to the designated representative of an opt-in source.

(b) If a combustion or process source is located at the same source as one or more affected units, the combustion or process source shall have the same designated representative as the other affected units at the source.

[60 FR 17115, Apr. 4, 1995, as amended at 63 FR 18841, Apr. 16, 1998; 71 FR 25379, Apr. 28, 2006]

Subpart B—Permitting Procedures

§ 74.10 Roles—EPA and permitting authority.

(a) *Administrator responsibilities.* The Administrator shall be responsible for the following activities under the opt-in provisions of the Acid Rain Program:

(1) *Calculating* the baseline or alternative baseline and allowance allocation, and allocating allowances for combustion or process sources that become affected units under this part;

(2) Certifying or recertifying monitoring systems for combustion or process sources as provided under § 74.20 of this chapter;

(3) Establishing allowance accounts, tracking allowances, assessing end-of-year compliance, determining reduced utilization, approving thermal energy transfer and accounting for the replacement of thermal energy, closing accounts for opt-in sources that shut down, are reconstructed, become affected under § 72.6 of this chapter, or fail to renew their opt-in permit, and deducting allowances as provided under subpart E of this part; and

(4) Ensuring that the opt-in source meets all withdrawal conditions prior to withdrawal from the Acid Rain Program as provided under § 74.18; and

(5) Approving and disapproving the request to withdraw from the Acid Rain Program.

(b) *Permitting authority responsibilities.* The permitting authority shall be responsible for the following activities:

(1) Issuing the draft and final opt-in permit;

(2) Revising and renewing the opt-in permit; and

(3) Terminating the opt-in permit for an opt-in source as provided in § 74.18 (withdrawal), § 74.46 (shutdown, reconstruction or change in affected status) and § 74.50 (deducting allowances).

[60 FR 17115, Apr. 4, 1995, as amended at 63 FR 18841, Apr. 16, 1998]

§ 74.12 Opt-in permit contents.

(a) The opt-in permit shall be included in the Acid Rain permit.

(b) *Scope.* The opt-in permit provisions shall apply only to the opt-in source and not to any other affected units.

(c) *Contents.* Each opt-in permit, including any draft or proposed opt-in permit, shall contain the following elements in a format specified by the Administrator:

(1) All elements required for a complete opt-in permit application as provided under § 74.16 for combustion sources or under § 74.17 for process sources or, if applicable, all elements required for a complete opt-in permit renewal application as provided in § 74.19 for combustion sources or under § 74.17 for process sources;

(2) The allowance allocation for the opt-in source as determined by the Administrator under subpart C of this part for combustion sources or subpart D of this part for process sources;

(3) The standard permit requirements as provided under § 72.9 of this chapter, except that the provisions in § 72.9(d) of this chapter shall not be included in the opt-in permit; and

(4) *Termination.* The provision that participation of a combustion or process source in the Acid Rain Program may be terminated only in accordance with § 74.18 (withdrawal), § 74.46 (shutdown, reconstruction, or change in affected status), and § 74.50 (deducting allowances).

(d) Each opt-in permit is deemed to incorporate the definitions of terms under § 72.2 of this chapter.

(e) *Permit shield.* Each opt-in source operated in accordance with the opt-in permit that governs the opt-in source and that was issued in compliance with title IV of the Act, as provided in this part and parts 72, 73, 75, 77, and 78 of

this chapter, shall be deemed to be operating in compliance with the Acid Rain Program, except as provided in § 72.9(g)(6) of this chapter.

(f) *Term of opt-in permit.* An opt-in permit shall be issued for a period of 5 years and may be renewed in accordance with § 74.19; provided

(1) If an opt-in permit is issued prior to January 1, 2000, then the opt-in permit may, at the option of the permitting authority, expire on December 31, 1999; and

(2) If an affected unit with an Acid Rain permit is located at the same source as the combustion source, the combustion source's opt-in permit may, at the option of the permitting authority, expire on the same date as the affected unit's Acid Rain permit expires.

§ 74.14 Opt-in permit process.

(a) *Submission.* The designated representative of a combustion or process source may submit an opt-in permit application and a monitoring plan to the Administrator at any time for any combustion or process source that is operating.

(b) *Issuance or denial of opt-in permits.* The permitting authority shall issue or deny opt-in permits or revisions of opt-in permits in accordance with the procedures in parts 70 and 71 of this chapter and subparts F and G of part 72 of this chapter, except as provided in this section.

(1) *Supplemental information.* Regardless of whether the opt-in permit application is complete, the Administrator or the permitting authority may request submission of any additional information that the Administrator or the permitting authority determines to be necessary in order to review the opt-in permit application or to issue an opt-in permit.

(2) *Interim review of monitoring plan.* The Administrator will determine, on an interim basis, the sufficiency of the monitoring plan, accompanying the opt-in permit application. A monitoring plan is sufficient, for purposes of interim review, if the plan appears to contain information demonstrating that all SO_2 emissions, NO_X emissions, CO_2 emissions, and opacity of the combustion or process source are monitored and reported in accordance with part 75 of this chapter. This interim review of sufficiency shall not be construed as the approval or disapproval of the combustion or process source's monitoring system.

(3) *Issuance of draft opt-in permit.* After the Administrator determines whether the combustion or process source's monitoring plan is sufficient under paragraph (b)(2) of this section, the permitting authority shall serve the draft opt-in permit or the denial of a draft permit or the draft opt-in permit revisions or the denial of draft opt-in permit revisions on the designated representative of the combustion or process source submitting an opt-in permit application. A draft permit or draft opt-in permit revision shall not be served or issued if the monitoring plan is determined not to be sufficient.

(4) *Confirmation by source of intention to opt-in.* Within 21 calendar days from the date of service of the draft opt-in permit or the denial of the draft opt-in permit, the designated representative of a combustion or process source submitting an opt-in permit application must submit to the Administrator, in writing, a confirmation or recision of the source's intention to become an opt-in source under this part. The Administrator shall treat the failure to make a timely submission as a recision of the source's intention to become an opt-in source and as a withdrawal of the opt-in permit application.

(5) *Issuance of draft opt-in permit.* If the designated representative confirms the combustion or process source's intention to opt in under paragraph (b)(4) of this section, the permitting authority will give notice of the draft opt-in permit or denial of the draft opt-in permit and an opportunity for public comment, as provided under § 72.65 of this chapter with regard to a draft permit or denial of a draft permit if the Administrator is the permitting authority or as provided in accordance with part 70 of this chapter with regard to a draft permit or the denial of a draft permit if the State is the permitting authority.

(6) *Permit decision deadlines.* (i) If the Administrator is the permitting authority, an opt-in permit will be issued

or denied within 12 months of receipt of a complete opt-in permit application.

(ii) If the State is the permitting authority, an opt-in permit will be issued or denied within 18 months of receipt of a complete opt-in permit application or such lesser time approved for operating permits under part 70 of this chapter.

(7) *Withdrawal of opt-in permit application*. A combustion or process source may withdraw its opt-in permit application at any time prior to the issuance of the final opt-in permit. Once a combustion or process source withdraws its application, in order to re-apply, it must submit a new opt-in permit application in accordance with § 74.16 for combustion sources or § 74.17 for process sources.

(c) [Reserved]

(d) *Entry into Acid Rain Program*—(1) *Effective date*. The effective date of the opt-in permit shall be the January 1, April 1, July 1, or October 1 for a combustion or process source providing monthly data under § 74.20, or January 1 for a combustion or process source providing annual data under § 74.20, following the later of the issuance of the opt-in permit by the permitting authority or the completion of monitoring system certification, as provided in subpart F of this part for combustion sources or subpart G of this part for process sources. The combustion or process source shall become an opt-in source and an affected unit as of the effective date of the opt-in permit.

(2) *Allowance allocation*. After the opt-in permit becomes effective, the Administrator will allocate allowances to the opt-in source as provided in § 74.40. If the effective date of the opt-in permit is not January 1, allowances for the first year shall be pro-rated as provided in § 74.28.

(e) *Expiration of opt-in permit*. An opt-in permit that is issued before the completion of monitoring system certification under subpart F of this part for combustion sources or under subpart G of this part for process sources shall expire 180 days after the permitting authority serves the opt-in permit on the designated representative of the combustion or process source governed by the opt-in permit, unless such monitoring system certification is complete. The designated representative may petition the Administrator to extend this time period in which an opt-in permit expires and must explain in the petition why such an extension should be granted. The designated representative of a combustion source governed by an expired opt-in permit and that seeks to become an opt-in source must submit a new opt-in permit application.

[60 FR 17115, Apr. 4, 1995, as amended at 63 FR 18841, Apr. 16, 1998]

§ 74.16 Application requirements for combustion sources.

(a) *Opt-in permit application*. Each complete opt-in permit application for a combustion source shall contain the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(1) Identification of the combustion source, including company name, plant name, plant site address, mailing address, description of the combustion source, and information and diagrams on the combustion source's configuration;

(2) Identification of the designated representative, including name, address, telephone number, and facsimile number;

(3) The year and month the combustion source commenced operation;

(4) The number of hours the combustion source operated in the six months preceding the opt-in permit application and supporting documentation;

(5) The baseline or alternative baseline data under § 74.20;

(6) The actual SO_2 emissions rate under § 74.22;

(7) The allowable 1985 SO_2 emissions rate under § 74.23;

(8) The current allowable SO_2 emissions rate under § 74.24;

(9) The current promulgated SO_2 emissions rate under § 74.25;

(10) If the combustion source seeks to qualify for a transfer of allowances from the replacement of thermal energy, a thermal energy plan as provided in § 74.47 for combustion sources; and

(11) A statement whether the combustion source was previously an affected unit under this part;

(12) A statement that the combustion source is not an affected unit under § 72.6 of this chapter and does not have

an exemption under § 72.7, § 72.8, or § 72.14 of this chapter;

(13) A complete compliance plan for SO_2 under § 72.40 of this chapter; and

(14) The following statement signed by the designated representative of the combustion source: ''I certify that the data submitted under subpart C of part 74 reflects actual operations of the combustion source and has not been adjusted in any way.''

(b) *Accompanying documents.* The designated representative of the combustion source shall submit a monitoring plan in accordance with § 74.61.

[60 FR 17115, Apr. 4, 1995, as amended at 63 FR 18841, Apr. 16, 1998]

§ 74.17 Application requirements for process sources. [Reserved]

§ 74.18 Withdrawal.

(a) *Withdrawal through administrative amendment.* An opt-in source may request to withdraw from the Acid Rain Program by submitting an administrative amendment under § 72.83 of this chapter; provided that the amendment will be treated as received by the permitting authority upon issuance of the notification of the acceptance of the request to withdraw under paragraph (f)(1) of this section.

(b) *Requesting withdrawal.* To withdraw from the Acid Rain Program, the designated representative of an opt-in source shall submit to the Administrator and the permitting authority a request to withdraw effective January 1 of the year after the year in which the submission is made. The submission shall be made no later than December 1 of the calendar year preceding the effective date of withdrawal.

(c) *Conditions for withdrawal.* In order for an opt-in source to withdraw, the following conditions must be met:

(1) By no later than January 30 of the first calendar year in which the withdrawal is to be effective, the designated representative must submit to the Administrator an annual compliance certification report pursuant to § 74.43.

(2) If the opt-in source has excess emissions in the calendar year before the year for which the withdrawal is to be in effect, the designated representative must submit an offset plan for excess emissions, pursuant to part 77 of this chapter, that provides for immediate deduction of allowances.

(d) *Administrator's action on withdrawal.* After the opt-in source meets the requirements for withdrawal under paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section, the Administrator will deduct allowances required to be deducted under § 73.35 of this chapter and part 77 of this chapter and allowances equal in number to and with the same or earlier compliance use date as those allocated under § 74.40 for the first year for which the withdrawal is to be effective and all subsequent years.

(e) *Opt-in source's prior violations.* An opt-in source that withdraws from the Acid Rain Program shall comply with all requirements under the Acid Rain Program concerning all years for which the opt-in source was an affected unit, even if such requirements arise, or must be complied with after the withdrawal takes effect.

(f) *Notification.* (1) After the requirements for withdrawal under paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section are met and after the Administrator's action on withdrawal under paragraph (d) of this section is complete, the Administrator will issue a notification to the permitting authority and the designated representative of the opt-in source of the acceptance of the opt-in source's request to withdraw.

(2) If the requirements for withdrawal under paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section are not met or the Administrator's action under paragraph (d) of this section cannot be completed, the Administrator will issue a notification to the permitting authority and the designated representative of the opt-in source that the opt-in source's request to withdraw is denied. If the opt-in source's request to withdraw is denied, the opt-in source shall remain in the Opt-in Program and shall remain subject to the requirements for opt-in sources contained in this part.

(g) *Permit amendment.* (1) After the Administrator issues a notification under paragraph (f)(1) of this section that the requirements for withdrawal have been met (including the deduction of the full amount of allowances as required under paragraph (d) of this section), the permitting authority shall

amend, in accordance with §§72.80 and 72.83 (administrative amendment) of this chapter, the opt-in source's Acid Rain permit to terminate the opt-in permit, not later than 60 days from the issuance of the notification under paragraph (f) of this section.

(2) The termination of the opt-in permit under paragraph (g)(1) of this section will be effective on January 1 of the year for which the withdrawal is requested. An opt-in source shall continue to be an affected unit until the effective date of the termination.

(h) *Reapplication upon failure to meet conditions of withdrawal.* If the Administrator denies the opt-in source's request to withdraw, the designated representative may submit another request to withdraw in accordance with paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section.

(i) *Ability to return to the Acid Rain Program.* Once a combustion or process source withdraws from the Acid Rain Program and its opt-in permit is terminated, a new opt-in permit application for the combustion or process source may not be submitted prior to the date that is four years after the date on which the opt-in permit became effective.

[60 FR 17115, Apr. 4, 1995, as amended at 63 FR 18841, Apr. 16, 1998; 70 FR 25336, May 12, 2005]

§ 74.19 Revision and renewal of opt-in permit.

(a) The designated representative of an opt-in source may submit revisions to its opt-in permit in accordance with subpart H of part 72 of this chapter.

(b) The designated representative of an opt-in source may renew its opt-in permit by meeting the following requirements:

(1)(i) In order to renew an opt-in permit if the Administrator is the permitting authority for the renewed permit, the designated representative of an opt-in source must submit to the Administrator an opt-in permit application at least 6 months prior to the expiration of an existing opt-in permit.

(ii) In order to renew an opt-in permit if the State is the permitting authority for the renewed permit, the designated representative of an opt-in source must submit to the permitting authority an opt-in permit application at least 18 months prior to the expiration of an existing opt-in permit or such shorter time as may be approved for operating permits under part 70 of this chapter.

(2) Each complete opt-in permit application submitted to renew an opt-in permit shall contain the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(i) Elements contained in the opt-in source's initial opt-in permit application as specified under §74.16(a)(1), (2), (10), (11), (12), and (13).

(ii) An updated monitoring plan, if applicable under §75.53(b) of this chapter.

(c)(1) Upon receipt of an opt-in permit application submitted to renew an opt-in permit, the permitting authority shall issue or deny an opt-in permit in accordance with the requirements under subpart B of this part, except as provided in paragraph (c)(2) of this section.

(2) When issuing a renewed opt-in permit, the permitting authority shall not alter an opt-in source's allowance allocation as established, under subpart B and subpart C of this part for combustion sources and under subpart B and subpart D of this part for process sources, in the opt-in permit that is being renewed.

Subpart C—Allowance Calculations for Combustion Sources

§ 74.20 Data for baseline and alternative baseline.

(a) *Acceptable data.* (1) The designated representative of a combustion source shall submit either the data specified in this paragraph or alternative data under paragraph (c) of this section. The designated representative shall also submit the calculations under this section based on such data.

(2) The following data shall be submitted for the combustion source for the calendar year(s) under paragraph (a)(3) of this section:

(i) Monthly or annual quantity of each type of fuel consumed, expressed in thousands of tons for coal, thousands of barrels for oil, and million standard cubic feet (scf) for natural

gas. If other fuels are used, the combustion source must specify units of measure.

(ii) Monthly or annual heat content of fuel consumed for each type of fuel consumed, expressed in British thermal units (Btu) per pound for coal, Btu per barrel for oil, and Btu per standard cubic foot (scf) for natural gas. If other fuels are used, the combustion source must specify units of measure.

(iii) Monthly or annual sulfur content of fuel consumed for each type of fuel consumed, expressed as a percentage by weight.

(3) *Calendar Years.* (i) For combustion sources that commenced operating prior to January 1, 1985, data under this section shall be submitted for 1985, 1986, and 1987.

(ii) For combustion sources that commenced operation after January 1, 1985, the data under this section shall be submitted for the first three consecutive calendar years during which the combustion source operated after December 31, 1985.

(b) *Calculation of baseline and alternative baseline.* (1) For combustion sources that commenced operation prior to January 1, 1985, the baseline is the average annual quantity of fuel consumed during 1985, 1986, and 1987, expressed in mmBtu. The baseline shall be calculated as follows:

$$\text{baseline} = \frac{\sum_{\text{Year}=1985}^{1987} \text{annual fuel consumption}}{3}$$

where,

(i) for a combustion source submitting monthly data,

$$\text{annual fuel consumption} = \sum_{\text{months}=\text{Jan}}^{\text{Dec}} \sum_{\text{Fuel Types}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{quantity of fuel consumed} \\ \times \text{ heat content } \times \text{ unit conversion} \end{array} \right]$$

and unit conversion
= 2 for coal
= 0.001 for oil
= 1 for gas

For other fuels, the combustion source must specify unit conversion; or

(ii) for a combustion source submitting annual data,

$$\text{annual fuel consumption} = \sum_{\text{Fuel Types}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{quantity of fuel consumed} \\ \times \text{ heat content } \times \text{ unit conversion} \end{array} \right]$$

and unit conversion
= 2 for coal
= 0.001 for oil
= 1 for gas

For other fuels, the combustion source must specify unit conversion.

(2) For combustion sources that commenced operation after January 1, 1985, the alternative baseline is the average annual quantity of fuel consumed in the first three consecutive calendar years during which the combustion source operated after December 31, 1985, expressed in mmBtu. The alternative baseline shall be calculated as follows:

$$\text{alternative baseline} = \frac{\sum_{\text{First 3 consecutive years}} \text{annual fuel consumption}}{3}$$

where,

''annual fuel consumption'' is as defined under paragraph (b)(1)(i) or (ii) of this section.

(c) *Alternative data.* (1) For combustion sources for which any of the data under paragraph (b) of this section is not available due solely to a natural catastrophe, data as set forth in paragraph (a)(2) of this section for the first three consecutive calendar years for which data is available after December 31, 1985, may be submitted. The alternative baseline for these combustion sources shall be calculated using the equation for alternative baseline in paragraph (b)(2) of this section and the definition of annual fuel consumption in paragraphs (b)(1)(i) or (ii) of this section.

(2) Except as provided in paragraph (c)(1) of this section, no alternative data may be submitted. A combustion source that cannot submit all required data, in accordance with this section, shall not be eligible to submit an opt-in permit application.

(d) *Administrator's action.* The Administrator may accept in whole or in part or with changes as appropriate, request additional information, or reject data or alternative data submitted for a combustion source's baseline or alternative baseline.

§74.22 Actual SO_2 emissions rate.

(a) *Data requirements.* The designated representative of a combustion source shall submit the calculations under this section based on data submitted under §74.20 for the following calendar year:

(1) For combustion sources that commenced operation prior to January 1, 1985, the calendar year for calculating the actual SO_2 emissions rate shall be 1985.

(2) For combustion sources that commenced operation after January 1, 1985, the calendar year for calculating the actual SO_2 emissions rate shall be the first year of the three consecutive calendar years of the alternative baseline under §74.20(b)(2).

(3) For combustion sources meeting the requirements of §74.20(c), the calendar year for calculating the actual SO_2 emissions rate shall be the first year of the three consecutive calendar years to be used as alternative data under §74.20(c).

(b) *SO_2 emissions factor calculation.* The SO_2 emissions factor for each type of fuel consumed during the specified year, expressed in pounds per thousand tons for coal, pounds per thousand barrels for oil and pounds per million cubic feet (scf) for gas, shall be calculated as follows:

SO_2 Emissions Factor = (average percent of sulfur by weight) × (k),

where,

average percent of sulfur by weight
= annual average, for a combustion source submitting annual data
= monthly average, for a combustion source submitting monthly data
k = 39,000 for bituminous coal or anthracite
= 35,000 for subbituminous coal
= 30,000 for lignite
= 5,964 for distillate (light) oil
= 6,594 for residual (heavy) oil
= 0.6 for natural gas

For other fuels, the combustion source must specify the SO_2 emissions factor.

(c) *Annual SO_2 emissions calculation.* Annual SO_2 Emissions for the specified calendar year, expressed in pounds, shall be calculated as follows:

(1) For a combustion source submitting monthly data,

$$\text{Annual } SO_2 \text{ Emissions} = \sum_{\text{months=Jan}}^{\text{Dec}} \sum_{\text{Fuel Types}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{quantity of fuel consumed} \\ \times\ SO_2 \text{ emissions factor} \\ \times\ (1 - \text{control system efficiency}) \\ \times\ (1 - \text{fuel pre-treatment efficiency}) \end{array} \right]$$

(2) For a combustion source submitting annual data:

$$\text{Annual } SO_2 \text{ Emissions} = \sum_{\text{Fuel Types}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{quantity of fuel consumed} \\ \times\ SO_2 \text{ emissions factor} \\ \times\ (1 - \text{control system efficiency}) \\ \times\ (1 - \text{fuel pre-treatment efficiency}) \end{array} \right]$$

where,

''quantity of fuel consumed'' is as defined under § 74.20(a)(2)(i);

''SO_2 emissions factor'' is as defined under paragraph (b) of this section;

''control system efficiency'' is as defined under § 60.48(a) and part 60, appendix A, method 19 of this chapter, if applicable; and

''fuel pre-treatment efficiency'' is as defined under § 60.48(a) and part 60, appendix A, method 19 of this chapter, if applicable.

(d) *Annual fuel consumption calculation*. Annual fuel consumption for the specified calendar year, expressed in mmBtu, shall be calculated as defined under § 74.20(b)(1) (i) or (ii).

(e) *Actual SO_2 emissions rate calculation*. The actual SO_2 emissions rate for the specified calendar year, expressed in lbs/mmBtu, shall be calculated as follows:

$$\text{Actual } SO_2 \text{ Emissions Rate} = \frac{\text{Annual } SO_2 \text{ Emissions}}{\text{Annual Fuel Consumption}}$$

[60 FR 17115, Apr. 4, 1995, as amended at 63 FR 18841, Apr. 16, 1998]

§ 74.23 1985 Allowable SO_2 emissions rate.

(a) *Data requirements*. (1) The designated representative of the combustion source shall submit the following data and the calculations under paragraph (b) of this section based on the submitted data:

(i) Allowable SO_2 emissions rate of the combustion source expressed in lbs/mmBtu as defined under § 72.2 of this chapter for the calendar year specified in paragraph (a)(2) of this section. If the allowable SO_2 emissions rate is not expressed in lbs/mmBtu, the allowable emissions rate shall be converted to lbs/mmBtu by multiplying the emissions rate by the appropriate factor as specified in Table 1 of this section.

TABLE 1—FACTORS TO CONVERT EMISSION LIMITS TO POUNDS OF SO_2/MMBTU

Unit measurement	Bituminous coal	Subbituminous coal	Lignite coal	Oil
lbs Sulfur/mmBtu	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
% Sulfur in fuel	1.66	2.22	2.86	1.07
ppm SO_2	0.00287	0.00384	.....	0.00167
ppm Sulfur in fuel	.....	.....	.....	0.00334

TABLE 1—FACTORS TO CONVERT EMISSION LIMITS TO POUNDS OF SO_2/MMBTU—Continued

Unit measurement	Bituminous coal	Subbituminous coal	Lignite coal	Oil
tons SO_2/hour	2×8760/(annual fuel consumption for specified year[1] $\times 10^3$)			
lbs SO_2/hour	8760/(annual fuel consumption for specified year[1] $\times 10^6$)			

[1] Annual fuel consumption as defined under § 74.20(b)(1) (i) or (ii); specified calendar year as defined under § 74.23(a)(2).

(ii) Citation of statute, regulations, and any other authority under which the allowable emissions rate under paragraph (a)(1) of this section is established as applicable to the combustion source;

(iii) Averaging time associated with the allowable emissions rate under paragraph (a)(1) of this section.

(iv) The annualization factor for the combustion source, based on the type of combustion source and the associated averaging time of the allowable emissions rate of the combustion source, as set forth in the Table 2 of this section:

TABLE 2—ANNUALIZATION FACTORS FOR SO_2 EMISSION RATES

Type of combustion source	Annualization factor for scrubbed unit	Annualization factor for unscrubbed unit
Unit Combusting Oil, Gas, or some combination	1.00	1.00
Coal Unit with Averaging Time ≤ 1 day	0.93	0.89
Coal Unit with Averaging Time = 1 week	0.97	0.92
Coal Unit with Averaging Time = 30 days	1.00	0.96
Coal Unit with Averaging Time = 90 days	1.00	1.00
Coal Unit with Averaging Time = 1 year	1.00	1.00
Coal Unit with Federal Limit, but Averaging Time Not Specified	0.93	0.89

(2) *Calendar year*. (i) For combustion sources that commenced operation prior to January 1, 1985, the calendar year for the allowable SO_2 emissions rate shall be 1985.

(ii) For combustion sources that commenced operation after January 1, 1985, the calendar year for the allowable SO_2 emissions rate shall be the first year of the three consecutive calendar years of the alternative baseline under § 74.20(b)(2).

(iii) For combustion sources meeting the requirements of § 74.20(c), the calendar year for calculating the allowable SO_2 emissions rate shall be the first year of the three consecutive calendar years to be used as alternative data under § 74.20(c).

(b) *1985 Allowable SO_2 emissions rate calculation*. The allowable SO_2 emissions rate for the specified calendar year shall be calculated as follows:

1985 Allowable SO_2 Emissions Rate = (Allowable SO_2 Emissions Rate) × (Annualization Factor)

§ 74.24 Current allowable SO_2 emissions rate.

The designated representative shall submit the following data:

(a) Current allowable SO_2 emissions rate of the combustion source, expressed in lbs/mmBtu, which shall be the most stringent federally enforceable emissions limit in effect as of the date of submission of the opt-in application. If the allowable SO_2 emissions rate is not expressed in lbs/mmBtu, the allowable emissions rate shall be converted to lbs/mmBtu by multiplying the allowable rate by the appropriate factor as specified in Table 1 in § 74.23(a)(1)(i).

(b) Citations of statute, regulation, and any other authority under which the allowable emissions rate under paragraph (a) of this section is established as applicable to the combustion source;

(c) Averaging time associated with the allowable emissions rate under paragraph (a) of this section.

§ 74.25 Current promulgated SO_2 emissions limit.

The designated representative shall submit the following data:

(a) Current promulgated SO_2 emissions limit of the combustion source, expressed in lbs/mmBtu, which shall be the most stringent federally enforceable emissions limit that has been promulgated as of the date of submission of the opt-in permit application and that either is in effect on that date or will take effect after that date. If the promulgated SO_2 emissions limit is not expressed in lbs/mmBtu, the limit shall be converted to lbs/mmBtu by multiplying the limit by the appropriate factor as specified in Table 1 of § 74.23(a)(1)(i).

(b) Citations of statute, regulation and any other authority under which the emissions limit under paragraph (a) of this section is established as applicable to the combustion source;

(c) Averaging time associated with the emissions limit under paragraph (a) of this section.

(d) Effective date of the emissions limit under paragraph (a) of this section.

§ 74.26 Allocation formula.

(a) The Administrator will calculate the annual allowance allocation for a combustion source based on the data, corrected as necessary, under § 74.20 through § 74.25 as follows:

(1) For combustion sources for which the current promulgated SO_2 emissions limit under § 74.25 is greater than or equal to the current allowable SO_2 emissions rate under § 74.24, the number of allowances allocated for each year equals:

$$\text{Allowances} = \frac{\begin{bmatrix}\text{baseline}\\ \text{or}\\ \text{alternative baseline}\end{bmatrix} \times \text{the lesser of} \begin{bmatrix}\text{the actual } SO_2 \text{ emissions rate}\\ \text{or}\\ \text{the 1985 allowable } SO_2 \text{ emissions rate}\\ \text{or}\\ \text{the current allowable } SO_2 \text{ emissions rate}\end{bmatrix}}{2000}$$

(2) For combustion sources for which the current promulgated SO_2 emissions limit under § 74.25 is less than the current allowable SO_2 emissions rate under § 74.24.

(i) The number of allowances for each year ending prior to the effective date of the promulgated SO_2 emissions limit equals:

$$\text{Allowances} = \frac{\begin{bmatrix}\text{baseline}\\ \text{or}\\ \text{alternative baseline}\end{bmatrix} \times \text{the lesser of} \begin{bmatrix}\text{the actual } SO_2 \text{ emissions rate}\\ \text{or}\\ \text{the 1985 allowable } SO_2 \text{ emissions rate}\\ \text{or}\\ \text{the current allowable } SO_2 \text{ emissions rate}\end{bmatrix}}{2000}$$

(ii) The number of allowances for the year that includes the effective date of the promulgated SO_2 emissions limit and for each year thereafter equals:

$$\text{Allowances} = \frac{\begin{bmatrix}\text{baseline}\\ \text{or}\\ \text{alternative baseline}\end{bmatrix} \times \text{the lesser of} \begin{bmatrix}\text{the actual } SO_2 \text{ emissions rate}\\ \text{or}\\ \text{the 1985 allowable } SO_2 \text{ emissions rate}\\ \text{or}\\ \text{the current promulgated } SO_2 \text{ emissions rate}\end{bmatrix}}{2000}$$

[60 FR 17115, Apr. 4, 1995, as amended at 63 FR 18841, Apr. 16, 1998]

§ 74.28 Allowance allocation for combustion sources becoming opt-in sources on a date other than January 1.

(a) *Dates of entry.* (1) If an opt-in source provided monthly data under § 74.20, the opt-in source's opt-in permit may become effective at the beginning of a calendar quarter as of January 1, April 1, July 1, or October 1.

(2) If an opt-in source provided annual data under § 74.20, the opt-in source's opt-in permit must become effective on January 1.

(b) *Prorating by Calendar Quarter.* Where a combustion source's opt-in permit becomes effective on April 1, July 1, or October 1 of a given year, the Administrator will prorate the allowance allocation for that first year by the calendar quarters remaining in the year as follows:

Allowances for the first year

$$= \left(\frac{\text{first year partial baseline}}{\text{baseline or alternative baseline}}\right) \times \text{annual allocation of allowances for the first year}$$

(1) For combustion sources that commenced operations before January 1, 1985,

$$\text{first year partial baseline} = \frac{\sum_{\text{Year}=1985}^{1987} \text{fuel consumption for remaining calendar quarters}}{3}$$

(2) For combustion sources that commenced operations after January 1, 1985,

$$\text{first year partial baseline} = \sum_{\text{First 3 consecutive years}} \frac{\text{fuel consumption for the remaining calendar quarters}}{3}$$

(3) Under paragraphs (b) (1) and (2) of this section,

(i) ''Remaining calendar quarters'' shall be the calendar quarters in the first year for which the opt-in permit will be effective.

(ii) Fuel consumption for remaining calendar quarters =

$$\sum_{\text{months=Apr., Jul., or Oct.}}^{\text{Dec}} \cdot \sum_{\text{Fuel Types}} \text{quantity of fuel consumed} \times \text{heat content} \times \text{unit conversion}$$

where unit conversion
= 2 for coal
= 0.001 for oil
= 1 for gas
For other fuels, the combustion source must specify unit conversion;
and where starting month
= April, if effective date is April 1;
= July, if effective date is July 1; and
= October, if effective date is October 1.

Subpart D—Allowance Calculations for Process Sources [Reserved]

Subpart E—Allowance Tracking and Transfer and End of Year Compliance

§ 74.40 Establishment of opt-in source allowance accounts.

(a) *Establishing accounts.* Not earlier than the date on which a combustion or process source becomes an affected unit under this part and upon receipt of a request for a compliance account under paragraph (b) of this section, the Administrator will establish a compliance account (unless the source that includes the opt-in source already has a compliance account or the opt-in source has, under § 74.4(c), a different designated representative than the designated representative for the source) and allocate allowances in accordance with subpart C of this part for combustion sources or subpart D of this part for process sources.

(b) *Request for opt-in account.* The designated representative of the opt-in source shall, on or after the effective date of the opt-in permit as specified in § 74.14(d), submit a letter requesting the opening of an compliance account (unless the source that includes the opt-in source already has a compliance account or the opt-in source has, under § 74.4(c), a different designated representative than the designated representative for the source)to the Administrator.

[60 FR 17115, Apr. 4, 1995, as amended at 70 FR 25336, May 12, 2005]

§ 74.41 Identifying allowances.

(a) *Identifying allowances.* Allowances allocated to an opt-in source will be assigned a serial number that identifies them as being allocated under an opt-in permit.

(b) *Submittal of opt-in allowances for auction.* (1) An authorized account representative may offer for sale in the spot auction under § 73.70 of this chapter allowances that are allocated to opt-in sources, if the allowances have a compliance use date earlier than the year in which the spot auction is to be held and if the Administrator has completed the deductions for compliance under § 73.35(b) for the compliance year corresponding to the compliance use date of the offered allowances.

(2) Authorized account representatives may not offer for sale in the advance auctions under § 73.70 of this chapter allowances allocated to opt-in sources.

§ 74.42 Limitation on transfers.

(a) With regard to a transfer request submitted for recordation during the period starting January 1 and ending with the allowance transfer deadline in the same year, the Administrator will not record a transfer of an opt-in allowance that is allocated to an opt-in source for the year in which the transfer request is submitted or a subsequent year.

(b) With regard to a transfer request during the period starting with the day after an allowance transfer deadline and ending December 31 in the same year, the Administrator will not record a transfer of an opt-in allowance that is allocated to an opt-in source for a year after the year in which the transfer request is submitted.

[70 FR 25336, May 12, 2005]

§ 74.43 Annual compliance certification report.

(a) *Applicability and deadline.* For each calendar year in which an opt-in source is subject to the Acid Rain

emissions limitations, the designated representative of the opt-in source shall submit to the Administrator, no later than 60 days after the end of the calendar year, an annual compliance certification report for the opt-in source.

(b) *Contents of report.* The designated representative shall include in the annual compliance certification report the following elements, in a format prescribed by the Administrator, concerning the opt-in source and the calendar year covered by the report:

(1) Identification of the opt-in source;

(2) An opt-in utilization report in accordance with § 74.44 for combustion sources and § 74.45 for process sources;

(3) A thermal energy compliance report in accordance with § 74.47 for combustion sources and § 74.48 for process sources, if applicable;

(4) Shutdown or reconstruction information in accordance with § 74.46, if applicable;

(5) A statement that the opt-in source has not become an affected unit under § 72.6 of this chapter;

(6) At the designated representative's option, the total number of allowances to be deducted for the year, using the formula in § 74.49, and the serial numbers of the allowances that are to be deducted; and

(7) In an annual compliance certification report for a year during 1995 through 2005, at the designated representative's option, for opt-in sources that share a common stack and whose emissions of sulfur dioxide are not monitored separately or apportioned in accordance with part 75 of this chapter, the percentage of the total number of allowances under paragraph (b)(6) of this section for all such affected units that is to be deducted from each affected unit's compliance subaccount; and

(8) In an annual compliance certification report for a year during 1995 through 2005, the compliance certification under paragraph (c) of this section.

(c) *Annual compliance certification.* In the annual compliance certification report under paragraph (a) of this section, the designated representative shall certify, based on reasonable inquiry of those persons with primary responsibility for operating the opt-in source in compliance with the Acid Rain Program, whether the opt-in source was operated during the calendar year covered by the report in compliance with the requirements of the Acid Rain Program applicable to the opt-in source, including:

(1) Whether the opt-in source was operated in compliance with applicable Acid Rain emissions limitations, including whether the opt-in source held allowances, as of the allowance transfer deadline, in its compliance subaccount (after accounting for any allowance deductions or other adjustments under § 73.34(c) of this chapter) not less than the opt-in source's total sulfur dioxide emissions during the calendar year covered by the annual report;

(2) Whether the monitoring plan that governs the opt-in source has been maintained to reflect the actual operation and monitoring of the opt-in source and contains all information necessary to attribute monitored emissions to the opt-in source;

(3) Whether all the emissions from the opt-in source or group of affected units (including the opt-in source) using a common stack were monitored or accounted for through the missing data procedures and reported in the quarterly monitoring reports in accordance with part 75 of this chapter;

(4) Whether the facts that form the basis for certification of each monitor at the opt-in source or group of affected units (including the opt-in source) using a common stack or of an opt-in source's qualifications for using an Acid Rain Program excepted monitoring method or approved alternative monitoring method, if any, have changed;

(5) If a change is required to be reported under paragraph (c)(4) of this section, specify the nature of the change, the reason for the change, when the change occurred, and how the unit's compliance status was determined subsequent to the change, including what method was used to determine emissions when a change mandated the need for monitoring recertification; and

(6) When applicable, whether the opt-in source was operating in compliance

with its thermal energy plan as provided in § 74.47 for combustion sources and § 74.48 for process sources.

[60 FR 17115, Apr. 4, 1995, as amended at 70 FR 25337, May 12, 2005]

§ 74.44 Reduced utilization for combustion sources.

(a) *Calculation of utilization*—(1) *Annual utilization.* (i) Except as provided in paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of this section, annual utilization for the calendar year shall be calculated as follows:

Annual Utilization = Actual heat input + Reduction from improved efficiency

where,

(A) ''Actual heat input'' shall be the actual annual heat input (in mmBtu) of the opt-in source for the calendar year determined in accordance with appendix F of part 75 of this chapter.

(B) ''Reduction from improved efficiency'' shall be the sum of the following four elements: Reduction from demand side measures that improve the efficiency of electricity consumption; reduction from demand side measures that improve the efficiency of steam consumption; reduction from improvements in the heat rate at the opt-in source; and reduction from improvement in the efficiency of steam production at the opt-in source. Qualified demand side measures applicable to the calculation of utilization for opt-in sources are listed in appendix A, section 1 of part 73 of this chapter.

(C) ''Reduction from demand side measures that improve the efficiency of electricity consumption'' shall be a good faith estimate of the expected kilowatt hour savings during the calendar year for such measures and the corresponding reduction in heat input (in mmBtu) resulting from those measures. The demand side measures shall be implemented at the opt-in source, in the residence or facility to which the opt-in source delivers electricity for consumption or in the residence or facility of a customer to whom the opt-in source's utility system sells electricity. The verified amount of such reduction shall be submitted in accordance with paragraph (c)(2) of this section.

(D) ''Reduction from demand side measures that improve the efficiency of steam consumption'' shall be a good faith estimate of the expected steam savings (in mmBtu) from such measures during the calendar year and the corresponding reduction in heat input (in mmBtu) at the opt-in source as a result of those measures. The demand side measures shall be implemented at the opt-in source or in the facility to which the opt-in source delivers steam for consumption. The verified amount of such reduction shall be submitted in accordance with paragraph (c)(2) of this section.

(E) ''Reduction from improvements in heat rate'' shall be a good faith estimate of the expected reduction in heat rate during the calendar year and the corresponding reduction in heat input (in mmBtu) at the opt-in source as a result of all improved unit efficiency measures at the opt-in source and may include supply-side measures listed in appendix A, section 2.1 of part 73 of this chapter. The verified amount of such reduction shall be submitted in accordance with paragraph (c)(2) of this section.

(F) ''Reduction from improvement in the efficiency of steam production at the opt-in source'' shall be a good faith estimate of the expected improvement in the efficiency of steam production at the opt-in source during the calendar year and the corresponding reduction in heat input (in mmBtu) at the opt-in source as a result of all improved steam production efficiency measures. In order to claim improvements in the efficiency of steam production, the designated representative of the opt-in source must demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Administrator that the heat rate of the opt-in source has not increased. The verified amount of such reduction shall be submitted in accordance with paragraph (c)(2) of this section.

(G) Notwithstanding paragraph (a)(1)(i)(B) of this section, where two or more opt-in sources, or two or more opt-in sources and Phase I units, include in their annual compliance certification reports their good faith estimate of kilowatt hour savings or steam savings from the same specific measures:

(*1*) The designated representatives of all such opt-in sources and Phase I units shall submit with their annual compliance certification reports a certification signed by all such designated representatives. The certification shall apportion the total kilowatt hour savings or steam savings among such opt-in sources and Phase I units.

(*2*) Each designated representative shall include in its annual compliance certification report only its share of kilowatt hour savings or steam savings.

(ii) For an opt-in source whose opt-in permit becomes effective on a date other than January 1, annual utilization for the first year shall be calculated as follows:

$$\text{Annual Utilization} = \begin{matrix}\text{Actual heat input}\\ \text{for the remaining calendar quarters}\end{matrix} + \begin{matrix}\text{Reduction from improved efficiency}\\ \text{for the remaining calendar quarters}\end{matrix}$$

where ''actual heat input'' and ''reduction from improved efficiency'' are defined as set forth in paragraph (a)(1)(i) of this section but are restricted to data or estimates for the ''remaining calendar quarters'', which are the calendar quarters that begin on or after the date the opt-in permit becomes effective.

(2) *Average utilization.* Average utilization for the calendar year shall be defined as the average of the annual utilization calculated as follows:

(i) For the first two calendar years after the effective date of an opt-in permit taking effect on January 1, average utilization will be calculated as follows:

(A) Average utilization for the first year = annual utilization$_{\text{year 1}}$

where ''annual utilization$_{\text{year 1}}$'' is as calculated under paragraph (a)(1)(i) of this section.

(B) Average utilization for the second year

$$= \left(\frac{\text{revised annual utilization}_{\text{year 1}} + \text{annual utilization}_{\text{year 2}}}{2}\right)$$

where,

''revised annual utilization$_{\text{year 1}}$'' is as submitted for the year under paragraph (c)(2)(i)(B) of this section and adjusted under paragraph (c)(2)(iii) of this section;

''annual utilization$_{\text{year 2}}$'' is as calculated under paragraph (a)(1)(i) of this section.

(ii) For the first three calendar years after the effective date of the opt-in permit taking effect on a date other than January 1, average utilization will be calculated as follows:

(A) Average utilization for the first year after opt-in = annual utilization$_{\text{year 1}}$

where ''annual utilization$_{\text{year 1}}$'' is as calculated under paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of this section.

(B) Average utilization for the second year after opt-in

where,

$$= \left(\frac{\text{revised annual utilization}_{\text{year 1}} + \text{annual utilization}_{\text{year 2}}}{\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Number of months} \\ \text{in year 1 and year 2 for which} \\ \text{the opt-in permit is effective} \end{array} \right)} \right) \times 12$$

''revised annual utilization$_{\text{year 1}}$'' is as submitted for the year under paragraph (c)(2)(i)(B) of this section and adjusted under paragraph (c)(2)(iii) of this section; and

''annual utilization$_{\text{year 2}}$'' is as calculated under paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of this section.

(C) Average utilization for the third year after opt-in

$$= \left(\frac{\text{revised annual utilization}_{\text{year 1}} + \text{revised annual utilization}_{\text{year 2}} + \text{annual utilization}_{\text{year 3}}}{\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Number of months} \\ \text{in year 1, year 2, and year 3} \\ \text{for which the opt-in permit is effective} \end{array} \right)} \right) \times 12$$

where,

''revised annual utilization$_{\text{year 1}}$'' is as submitted for the year under paragraph (c)(2)(i)(B) of this section and adjusted under paragraph (c)(2)(iii) of this section; and

''revised annual utilization$_{\text{year 2}}$'' is as submitted for the year under paragraph (c)(2)(i)(B) of this section and adjusted under paragraph (c)(2)(iii) of this section; and

''annual utilization$_{\text{year 3}}$'' is as calculated under paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of this section.

(iii) Except as provided in paragraphs (a)(2)(i) and (a)(2)(ii) of this section, average utilization shall be the sum of annual utilization for the calendar year and the revised annual utilization, submitted under paragraph (c)(2)(i)(B) of this section and adjusted by the Administrator under paragraph (c)(2)(iii) of this section, for the two immediately preceding calendar years divided by 3.

(b) *Determination of reduced utilization and calculation of allowances*—(1) *Determination of reduced utilization.* For a year during which its opt-in permit is effective, an opt-in source has reduced utilization if the opt-in source's average utilization for the calendar year, as calculated under paragraph (a) of this section, is less than its baseline.

(2) *Calculation of allowances deducted for reduced utilization.* If the Administrator determines that an opt-in source has reduced utilization for a calendar year during which the opt-in source's opt-in permit is in effect, the Administrator will deduct allowances, as calculated under paragraph (b)(2)(i) of this section, from the compliance subaccount of the opt-in source's Allowance Tracking System account.

(i) Allowances deducted for reduced utilization =

$$\text{Number of allowances allocated for the calendar year} \times \left(1 - \left(\frac{\text{average utilization}_{\text{calendar year}}}{\text{baseline}} \right) \right)$$

(ii) The allowances deducted shall have the same or an earlier compliance use date as those allocated under subpart C of this part for the calendar year for which the opt-in source has reduced utilization.

(c) *Compliance*—(1) *Opt-in Utilization Report.* The designated representative for each opt-in source shall submit an opt-in utilization report for the calendar year, as part of its annual compliance certification report under § 74.43, that shall include the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(i) The name, authorized account representative identification number, and telephone number of the designated representative of the opt-in source;

(ii) The account identification number in the Allowance Tracking System of the source that includes the opt-in source;

(iii) The opt-in source's annual utilization for the calendar year, as defined under paragraph (a)(1) of this section, and the revised annual utilization, submitted under paragraph (c)(2)(i)(B) of this section and adjusted under paragraph (c)(2)(iii) of this section, for the two immediately preceding calendar years;

(iv) The opt-in source's average utilization for the calendar year, as defined under paragraph (a)(2) of this section;

(v) The difference between the opt-in source's average utilization and its baseline;

(vi) The number of allowances that shall be deducted, if any, using the formula in paragraph (b)(2)(i) of this section and the supporting calculations;

(2) *Confirmation report.* (i) If the annual compliance certification report for an opt-in source includes estimates of any reduction in heat input resulting from improved efficiency as defined under paragraph (a)(1)(i) of this section, the designated representative shall submit, by July 1 of the year in which the annual compliance certification report was submitted, a confirmation report, concerning the calendar year covered by the annual compliance certification report. The Administrator may grant, for good cause shown, an extension of the time to file the confirmation report. The confirmation report shall include the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(A) *Verified reduction in heat input.* Any verified kwh savings or any verified steam savings from demand side measures that improve the efficiency of electricity or steam consumption, any verified reduction in the heat rate at the opt-in source, or any verified improvement in the efficiency of steam production at the opt-in source achieved and the verified corresponding reduction in heat input for the calendar year that resulted.

(B) *Revised annual utilization.* The opt-in source's annual utilization for the calendar year as provided under paragraph (c)(1)(iii) of this section, recalculated using the verified reduction in heat input for the calendar year under paragraph (c)(2)(i)(A) of this section.

(C) *Revised average utilization.* The opt-in source's average utilization as provided under paragraph (c)(1)(iv) of this section, recalculated using the verified reduction in heat input for the calendar year under paragraph (c)(2)(i)(A) of this section.

(D) *Recalculation of reduced utilization.* The difference between the opt-in source's recalculated average utilization and its baseline.

(E) *Allowance adjustment.* The number of allowances that should be credited or deducted using the formulas in paragraphs (c)(2)(iii)(C) and (D) of this section and the supporting calculations; and the number of adjusted allowances remaining using the formula in paragraph (c)(2)(iii)(E) of this section and the supporting calculations.

(ii) *Documentation.* (A) For all figures under paragraphs (c)(2)(i)(A) of this section, the opt-in source must provide as part of the confirmation report, documentation (which may follow the EPA Conservation Verification Protocol) verifying the figures to the satisfaction of the Administrator.

(B) Notwithstanding paragraph (c)(2)(i)(A) of this section, where two or more opt-in sources, or two or more opt-in sources and Phase I units include in the confirmation report under paragraph (c)(2) of this section or § 72.91(b) of this chapter the verified kilowatt hour savings or steam savings defined under paragraph (c)(2)(i)(A) of

this section, for the calendar year, from the same specific measures:

(*1*) The designated representatives of all such opt-in sources and Phase I units shall submit with their confirmation reports a certification signed by all such designated representatives. The certification shall apportion the total kilowatt hour savings or steam savings as defined under paragraph (c)(2)(i)(A) of this section for the calendar year among such opt-in sources and Phase I units.

(*2*) Each designated representative shall include in the opt-in source's confirmation report only its share of the verified reduction in heat input as defined under paragraph (c)(2)(i)(A) of this section for the calendar year under the certification under paragraph (c)(2)(ii)(B)(1) of this section.

(iii) *Determination of reduced utilization based on confirmation report.* (A) If an opt-in source must submit a confirmation report as specified under paragraph (c)(2) of this section, the Administrator, upon such submittal, will adjust his or her determination of reduced utilization for the calendar year for the opt-in source. Such adjustment will include the recalculation of both annual utilization and average utilization, using verified reduction in heat input as defined under paragraph (c)(2)(i)(A) of this section for the calendar year instead of the previously estimated values.

(B) *Estimates confirmed.* If the total, included in the confirmation report, of the amounts of verified reduction in the opt-in source's heat input equals the total estimated in the opt-in source's annual compliance certification report for the calendar year, then the designated representative shall include in the confirmation report a statement indicating that is true.

(C) *Underestimate.* If the total, included in the confirmation report, of the amounts of verified reduction in the opt-in source's heat input is greater than the total estimated in the opt-in source's annual compliance certification report for the calendar year, then the designated representative shall include in the confirmation report the number of allowances to be credited to the compliance account of the source that includes the opt-in source calculated using the following formula:

Allowances credited for the calendar year in which the reduced utilization occurred =

$$\text{Number of allowances allocated for the calendar year} \times \left[\frac{\text{Average utilization}_{\text{Verified}} - \text{Average utilization}_{\text{Estimate}}}{\text{baseline}}\right]$$

where,

Average $\text{Utilization}_{\text{estimate}}$ = the average utilization of the opt-in source as defined under paragraph (a)(2) of this section, calculated using the estimated reduction in the opt-in source's heat input under (a)(1) of this section, and submitted in the annual compliance certification report for the calendar year.

Average $\text{Utilization}_{\text{verified}}$ = the average utilization of the opt-in source as defined under paragraph (a)(2) of this section, calculated using the verified reduction in the opt-in source's heat input as submitted under paragraph (c)(2)(i)(A) of this section by the designated representative in the confirmation report.

(D) *Overestimate.* If the total of the amounts of verified reduction in the opt-in source's heat input included in the confirmation report is less than the total estimated in the opt-in source's annual compliance certification report for the calendar year, then the designated representative shall include in the confirmation report the number of allowances to be deducted from the compliance account of the source that includes the opt-in source, which equals the absolute value of the result of the formula for allowances credited under paragraph (c)(2)(iii)(C) of this section.

(E) *Adjusted allowances remaining.* Unless paragraph (c)(2)(iii)(B) of this section applies, the designated representative shall include in the confirmation report the adjusted amount of allowances that would have been held in the

compliance account of the source that includes the opt-in source if the deductions made under § 73.35(b) of this chapter had been based on the verified, rather than the estimated, reduction in the opt-in source's heat input, calculated as follows:

$$\text{Adjusted amount of allowances} = \begin{array}{c}\text{Allowances held after deduction} - \text{Excess emissions} \\ + \text{Allowances credited} - \text{Allowances deducted}\end{array}$$

where:

''Allowances held after deduction'' shall be the amount of allowances held in the compliance account of the source that includes the opt-in source after deduction of allowances was made under § 73.35(b) of this chapter based on the annual compliance certification report.

''Excess emissions'' shall be the amount (if any) of excess emissions determined under § 73.35(d) for the calendar year based on the annual compliance certification report. ''Allowances credited'' shall be the amount of allowances calculated under paragraph (c)(2)(iii)(C) of this section.

''Allowances deducted'' shall be the amount of allowances calculated under paragraph (c)(2)(iii)(D) of this section.

(*1*) If the result of the formula for ''adjusted amount of allowances'' is negative, the absolute value of the result constitutes excess emissions of sulfur dioxide. If the result is positive, there are no excess emissions of sulfur dioxide.

(*2*) If the amount of excess emissions of sulfur dioxide calculated under ''adjusted amount of allowances'' differs from the amount of excess emissions of sulfur dioxide determined under § 73.35 of this chapter based on the annual compliance certification report, then the designated representative shall include in the confirmation report a demonstration of:

(*i*) The number of allowances that should be deducted to offset any increase in excess emissions or returned to the account for any decrease in excess emissions; and

(*ii*) The amount of the excess emissions penalty (excluding interest) that should be paid or returned to the account for the change in excess emissions.

(*3*) The Administrator will deduct immediately from the compliance account of the source that includes the opt-in source the amount of allowances that he or she determines is necessary to offset any increase in excess emissions or will return immediately to the compliance account of the source that includes the opt-in source the amount of allowances that he or she determines is necessary to account for any decrease in excess emissions.

(*4*) The designated representative may identify the serial numbers of the allowances to be deducted or returned. In the absence of such identification, the deduction will be on a first-in, first-out basis under § 73.35(c)(2) of this chapter and the identification of allowances returned will be at the Administrator's discretion.

(*5*) If the designated representative of an opt-in source fails to submit on a timely basis a confirmation report, in accordance with paragraph (c)(2) of this section, with regard to the estimate of reductions in heat input as defined under paragraph (c)(2)(i)(A) of this section, then the Administrator will reject such estimate and correct it to equal zero in the opt-in source's annual compliance certification report that includes that estimate. The Administrator will deduct immediately, on a first-in, first-out basis under § 73.35(c)(2) of this chapter, the amount of allowances that he or she determines is necessary to offset any increase in excess emissions of sulfur dioxide that results from the correction and will require the owners and operators of the opt-in source to pay an excess emission penalty in accordance with part 77 of this chapter.

(F) If the opt-in source is governed by an approved thermal energy plan under § 74.47 and if the opt-in source must

submit a confirmation report as specified under paragraph (c)(2) of this section, the adjusted amount of allowances that should remain in the compliance account of the source that includes the opt-in source shall be calculated as follows:

Adjusted amount of allowances =

$$\text{Allowances allocated or acquired} - \text{tons emitted} - \text{the larger of} \begin{pmatrix} \text{allowances transferred} \\ \text{to all replacement units} \\ \text{or} \\ \text{allowances deducted} \\ \text{for reduced utilization} \end{pmatrix}$$

where,

''Allowances allocated or acquired'' shall be the number of allowances held in the compliance account of the source that includes the opt-in source at the allowance transfer deadline plus the number of allowances transferred for the previous calendar year to all replacement units under an approved thermal energy plan in accordance with § 74.47(a)(6).

''Tons emitted'' shall be the total tons of sulfur dioxide emitted by the opt-in source during the calendar year, as reported in accordance with subpart F of this part for combustion sources.

''Allowances transferred to all replacement units'' shall be the sum of allowances transferred to all replacement units under an approved thermal energy plan in accordance with § 74.47 and adjusted by the Administrator in accordance with § 74.47(d)(2).

''Allowances deducted for reduced utilization'' shall be the total number of allowances deducted for reduced utilization as calculated in accordance with this section including any adjustments required under paragraph (c)(iii)(E) of this section.

[60 FR 17115, Apr. 4, 1995, as amended at 63 FR 18841, Apr. 16, 1998; 70 FR 25337, May 12, 2005]

§ 74.45 Reduced utilization for process sources. [Reserved]

§ 74.46 Opt-in source permanent shutdown, reconstruction, or change in affected status.

(a) *Notification.* (1) When an opt-in source has permanently shutdown during the calendar year, the designated representative shall notify the Administrator of the date of shutdown, within 30 days of such shutdown.

(2) When an opt-in source has undergone a modification that qualifies as a reconstruction as defined in § 60.15 of this chapter, the designated representative shall notify the Administrator of the date of completion of the reconstruction, within 30 days of such completion.

(3) When an opt-in source becomes an affected unit under § 72.6 of this chapter, the designated representative shall notify the Administrator of such change in the opt-in source's affected status within 30 days of such change.

(b) *Administrator's action.* (1) The Administrator will terminate the opt-in source's opt-in permit and deduct allowances as provided below in the following circumstances:

(i) When an opt-in source has permanently shutdown. The Administrator shall deduct allowances equal in number to and with the same or earlier compliance use date as those allocated to the opt-in source under § 74.40 for the calendar year in which the shut down occurs and for all future years following the year in which the shut down occurs; or

(ii) When an opt-in source has undergone a modification that qualifies as a reconstruction as defined in § 60.15 of this chapter. The Administrator shall deduct allowances equal in number to and with the same or earlier compliance use date as those allocated to the opt-in source under § 74.40 for the calendar year in which the reconstruction is completed and all future years following the year in which the reconstruction is completed; or

(iii) When an opt-in source becomes an affected unit under § 72.6 of this chapter. The Administrator shall deduct allowances equal in number to and with the same or earlier compliance use date as those allocated to the

opt-in source under § 74.40 for the calendar year in which the opt-in source becomes affected under § 72.6 of this chapter and all future years following the calendar year in which the opt-in source becomes affected under § 72.6; or

(iv) When an opt-in source does not renew its opt-in permit. The Administrator shall deduct allowances equal in number to and with the same or earlier compliance use date as those allocated to the opt-in source under § 74.40 for the calendar year in which the opt-in source's opt-in permit expires and all future years following the year in which the opt-in source's opt-in permit expires.

(2) [Reserved]

[60 FR 17115, Apr. 4, 1995, as amended at 70 FR 25337, May 12, 2005]

§ 74.47 Transfer of allowances from the replacement of thermal energy—combustion sources.

(a) *Thermal energy plan*—(1) *General provisions*. The designated representative of an opt-in source that seeks to qualify for the transfer of allowances based on the replacement of thermal energy by a replacement unit shall submit a thermal energy plan subject to the requirements of § 72.40(b) of this chapter for multi-unit compliance options and this section. The effective period of the thermal energy plan shall begin at the start of the calendar quarter (January 1, April 1, July 1, or October 1) for which the plan is approved and end December 31 of the last full calendar year for which the opt-in permit containing the plan is in effect.

(2) *Applicability*. This section shall apply to any designated representative of an opt-in source and any designated representative of each replacement unit seeking to transfer allowances based on the replacement of thermal energy.

(3) *Contents*. Each thermal energy plan shall contain the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(i) The calendar year and quarter that the thermal energy plan takes effect, which shall be the first year and quarter the replacement unit(s) will replace thermal energy of the opt-in source;

(ii) The name, authorized account representative identification number, and telephone number of the designated representative of the opt-in source;

(iii) The name, authorized account representative identification number, and telephone number of the designated representative of each replacement unit;

(iv) The account identification number in the Allowance Tracking System of the source that includes the opt-in source;

(v) The account identification number in the Allowance Tracking System of each source that includes a replacement unit;

(vi) The type of fuel used by each replacement unit;

(vii) The allowable SO_2 emissions rate, expressed in lbs/mmBtu, of each replacement unit for the calendar year for which the plan will take effect. When a thermal energy plan is renewed in accordance with paragraph (a)(9) of this section, the allowable SO_2 emission rate at each replacement unit will be the most stringent federally enforceable allowable SO_2 emissions rate applicable at the time of renewal for the calendar year for which the renewal will take effect. This rate will not be annualized;

(viii) The estimated annual amount of total thermal energy to be reduced at the opt-in source, including all energy flows (steam, gas, or hot water) used for any process or in any heating or cooling application, and, for a plan starting April 1, July 1, or October 1, such estimated amount of total thermal energy to be reduced starting April 1, July 1, or October 1 respectively and ending on December 31;

(ix) The estimated amount of total thermal energy at each replacement unit for the calendar year prior to the year for which the plan is to take effect, including all energy flows (steam, gas, or hot water) used for any process or in any heating or cooling application, and, for a plan starting April 1, July 1, or October 1, such estimated amount of total thermal energy for the portion of such calendar year starting April 1, July 1, or October 1 respectively;

(x) The estimated annual amount of total thermal energy at each replacement unit after replacing thermal energy at the opt-in source, including all energy flows (steam, gas, or hot water) used for any process or in any heating or cooling application, and, for a plan starting April 1, July 1, or October 1, such estimated amount of total thermal energy at each replacement unit after replacing thermal energy at the opt-in source starting April 1, July 1, or October 1 respectively and ending December 31;

(xi) The estimated annual amount of thermal energy at each replacement unit, including all energy flows (steam, gas, or hot water) used for any process or in any heating or cooling application, replacing thermal energy at the opt-in source, and, for a plan starting April 1, July 1, or October 1, such estimated amount of thermal energy replacing thermal energy at the opt-in source starting April 1, July 1, or October 1 respectively and ending December 31;

(xii) The estimated annual total fuel input at each replacement unit after replacing thermal energy at the opt-in source and, for a plan starting April 1, July 1, or October 1, such estimated total fuel input after replacing thermal energy at the opt-in source starting April 1, July 1, or October 1 respectively and ending December 31;

(xiii) The number of allowances calculated under paragraph (b) of this section that the opt-in source will transfer to each replacement unit represented in the thermal energy plan.

(xiv) The estimated number of allowances to be deducted for reduced utilization under § 74.44;

(xv) Certification that each replacement unit has entered into a legally binding steam sales agreement to provide the thermal energy, as calculated under paragraph (a)(3)(xi) of this section, that it is replacing for the opt-in source. The designated representative of each replacement unit shall maintain and make available to the Administrator, at the Administrator's request, copies of documents demonstrating that the replacement unit is replacing the thermal energy at the opt-in source.

(4) *Submission*. The designated representative of the opt-in source seeking to qualify for the transfer of allowances based on the replacement of thermal energy shall submit a thermal energy plan to the permitting authority by no later than six months prior to the first calendar quarter for which the plan is to be in effect. The thermal energy plan shall be signed and certified by the designated representative of the opt-in source and each replacement unit covered by the plan.

(5) *Retirement of opt-in source upon enactment of plan*. (i) If the opt-in source will be permanently retired as of the effective date of the thermal energy plan, the opt-in source shall not be required to monitor its emissions upon retirement, consistent with § 75.67 of this chapter, provided that the following requirements are met:

(A) The designated representative of the opt-in source shall include in the plan a request for an exemption from the requirements of part 75 in accordance with § 75.67 of this chapter and shall submit the following statement: ''I certify that the opt-in source (''is'' or ''will be'', as applicable) permanently retired on the date specified in this plan and will not emit any sulfur dioxide or nitrogen oxides after such date.''

(B) The opt-in source shall not emit any sulfur dioxide or nitrogen oxides after the date specified in the plan.

(ii) Notwithstanding the monitoring exemption discussed in paragraph (a)(5)(i) of this section, the designated representative for the opt-in source shall submit the annual compliance certification report provided under paragraph (d) of this section.

(6) *Administrator's action*. If the permitting authority approves a thermal energy plan, the Administrator will annually transfer allowances to the compliance account of each source that includes a replacement unit, as provided in the approved plan.

(7) *Incorporation, modification and renewal of a thermal energy plan*. (i) An approved thermal energy plan, including any revised or renewed plan that is approved, shall be incorporated into both the opt-in permit for the opt-in source and the Acid Rain permit for each replacement unit governed by the

plan. Upon approval, the thermal energy plan shall be incorporated into the Acid Rain permit for each replacement unit pursuant to the requirements for administrative permit amendments under § 72.83 of this chapter.

(ii) In order to revise an opt-in permit to add an approved thermal energy plan or to change an approved thermal energy plan, the designated representative of the opt-in source shall submit a plan or a revised plan under paragraph (a)(4) of this section and meet the requirements for permit revisions under § 72.80 and either § 72.81 or § 72.82 of this chapter.

(8) *Termination of plan.* (i) A thermal energy plan shall be in effect until the earlier of the expiration of the opt-in permit for the opt-in source or the year for which a termination of the plan takes effect under paragraph (a)(8)(ii) of this section.

(ii) *Termination of plan by opt-in source and replacement units.* A notification to terminate a thermal energy plan in accordance with § 72.40(d) of this chapter shall be submitted no later than December 1 of the calendar year for which the termination is to take effect.

(iii) If the requirements of paragraph (a)(8)(ii) of this section are met and upon revision of the opt-in permit of the opt-in source and the Acid Rain permit of each replacement unit governed by the thermal energy plan to terminate the plan pursuant to § 72.83 of this chapter, the Administrator will adjust the allowances for the opt-in source and the replacement units to reflect the transfer back to the opt-in source of the allowances transferred from the opt-in source under the plan for the year for which the termination of the plan takes effect.

(9) *Renewal of thermal energy plan.* The designated representative of an opt-in source may renew the thermal energy plan as part of its opt-in permit renewal in accordance with § 74.19.

(b) *Calculation of transferable allowances*—(1) *Qualifying thermal energy.* The amount of thermal energy credited towards the transfer of allowances based on the replacement of thermal energy shall equal the qualifying thermal energy and shall be calculated for each replacement unit as follows:

$$\text{Qualifying thermal energy} = \begin{array}{c}\text{the estimated thermal energy}\\ \text{at the replacement unit}\\ \text{under paragraph (a)(3)(xi) of this section}\end{array}$$

(2) *Fuel associated with qualifying thermal energy.* The fuel associated with the qualifying thermal energy at each replacement unit shall be calculated as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c}\text{Fuel associated with}\\ \text{Qualifying thermal energy}\end{array} = \frac{\text{Qualifying thermal energy}}{\text{Efficiency constant}}$$

where,

''Qualifying thermal energy'' for the replacement unit is as defined in paragraph (b)(1) of this section;

''Efficiency constant'' for the replacement unit

= 0.85, where the replacement unit is a boiler

= 0.80, where the replacement unit is a cogenerator

(3) *Allowances transferable from the opt-in source to each replacement unit.* The number of allowances transferable from the opt-in source to each replacement unit for the replacement of thermal energy is calculated as follows:

$$\text{transferable allowances for the replacement unit} = \frac{\text{Fuel Associated with Qualifying thermal energy} \times \text{allowable } SO_2 \text{ emission rate}_{\text{replacement unit}} \text{ (in lb/mmBtu)}}{2000}$$

where,

''Allowable SO_2 emission rate'' for the replacement unit is as defined in paragraph (a)(3)(vii) of this section;

''Fuel associated with qualifying thermal energy'' is as defined in paragraph (b)(2) of this section;

(c) *Transfer prohibition.* The allowances transferred from the opt-in source to each replacement unit shall not be transferred from the compliance account of the source that includes the replacement unit of the replacement unit to any other Allowance Tracking System account.

(d) *Compliance*—(1) *Annual compliance certification report.* (i) As required for all opt-in sources, the designated representative of the opt-in source covered by a thermal energy plan must submit an opt-in utilization report for the calendar year as part of its annual compliance certification report under § 74.44(c)(1).

(ii) The designated representative of an opt-in source must submit a thermal energy compliance report for the calendar year as part of the annual compliance certification report, which must include the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(A) The name, authorized account representative identification number, and telephone number of the designated representative of the opt-in source;

(B) The name, authorized account representative identification number, and telephone number of the designated representative of each replacement unit;

(C) The account identification number in the Allowance Tracking System of the source that includes the opt-in source;

(D) The account identification number in the Allowance Tracking System of each source that includes a replacement unit;

(E) The actual amount of total thermal energy reduced at the opt-in source during the calendar year, including all energy flows (steam, gas, or hot water) used for any process or in any heating or cooling application;

(F) The actual amount of thermal energy at each replacement unit, including all energy flows (steam, gas, or hot water) used for any process or in any heating or cooling application, replacing the thermal energy at the opt-in source;

(G) The actual amount of total thermal energy at each replacement unit after replacing thermal energy at the opt-in source, including all energy flows (steam, gas, or hot water) used for any process or in any heating or cooling application;

(H) Actual total fuel input at each replacement unit as determined in accordance with part 75 of this chapter;

(I) Calculations of allowance adjustments to be performed by the Administrator in accordance with paragraph (d)(2) of this section.

(2) *Allowance adjustments by Administrator.* (i) The Administrator will adjust the number of allowances in the compliance account for each source that includes the opt-in source or a replacement unit to reflect any changes between the estimated values submitted in the thermal energy plan pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section and the actual values submitted in the thermal energy compliance report pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section. The values to be considered for this adjustment include:

(A) The number of allowances transferable by the opt-in source to each replacement unit, calculated in paragraph (b) of this section using the actual, rather than estimated, thermal energy at the replacement unit replacing thermal energy at the opt-in source.

(B) The number of allowances deducted from the compliance account of the source that includes the opt-in source, calculated under § 74.44(b)(2).

(ii) If the opt-in source includes in the opt-in utilization report under § 74.44 estimates for reductions in heat

input, then the Administrator will adjust the number of allowances in the compliance account for each source that includes the opt-in source or a replacement unit to reflect any differences between the estimated values submitted in the opt-in utilization report and the actual values submitted in the confirmation report pursuant to § 74.44(c)(2).

(3) *Liability*. The owners and operators of an opt-in source or a replacement unit governed by an approved thermal energy plan shall be liable for any violation of the plan or this section at that opt-in source or replacement unit that is governed by the thermal energy plan, including liability for fulfilling the obligations specified in part 77 of this chapter and section 411 of the Act.

[60 FR 17115, Apr. 4, 1995, as amended at 63 FR 18841, 18842, Apr. 16, 1998; 70 FR 25337, May 12, 2005]

§ 74.48 Transfer of allowances from the replacement of thermal energy—process sources. [Reserved]

§ 74.49 Calculation for deducting allowances.

(a) *Allowance deduction formula*. The following formula shall be used to determine the total number of allowances to be deducted for the calendar year from the allowances held in the compliance account of a source that includes an opt-in source as of the allowance transfer deadline applicable to that year:

Total allowances deducted = Tons emitted + Allowances deducted for reduced utilization where:

(1)(i) Except as provided in paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of this section, ''Tons emitted'' shall be the total tons of sulfur dioxide emitted by the opt-in source during the calendar year, as reported in accordance with subpart F of this part for combustion sources or subpart G of this part for process sources.

(ii) If the effective date of the opt-in source's permit took effect on a date other than January 1, ''Tons emitted'' for the first calendar year shall be the total tons of sulfur dioxide emitted by the opt-in source during the calendar quarters for which the opt-in source's opt-in permit is effective, as reported in accordance with subpart F of this part for combustion sources or subpart G of this part for process sources.

(2) ''Allowances deducted for reduced utilization'' shall be the total number of allowances deducted for reduced utilization as calculated in accordance with § 74.44 for combustion sources or § 74.45 for process sources.

(b) [Reserved]

[60 FR 17115, Apr. 4, 1995, as amended at 70 FR 25337, May 12, 2005]

§ 74.50 Deducting opt-in source allowances from ATS accounts.

(a)(1) *Deduction of allowances*. The Administrator may deduct any allowances that were allocated to an opt-in source under § 74.40 by removing, from any Allowance Tracking System accounts in which they are held, the allowances in an amount specified in paragraph (d) of this section, under the following circumstances:

(i) When the opt-in source has permanently shut down; or

(ii) When the opt-in source has been reconstructed; or

(iii) When the opt-in source becomes an affected unit under § 72.6 of this chapter; or

(iv) When the opt-in source fails to renew its opt-in permit.

(2) An opt-in allowance may not be deducted under paragraph (a)(1) of this section from any Allowance Tracking System Account other than the account of the source that includes opt-in source allocated such allowance:

(i) After the Administrator has completed the process of recordation as set forth in § 73.34(a) of this chapter following the deduction of allowances from the compliance account of the source that includes the opt-in source for the year for which such allowance may first be used; or

(ii) If the opt-in source includes in the annual compliance certification report estimates of any reduction in heat input resulting from improved efficiency under § 74.44(a)(1)(i), after the Administrator has completed action on the confirmation report concerning such estimated reduction pursuant to § 74.44(c)(2)(iii)(E)(*3*), (*4*), and (*5*) for the year for which such allowance may first be used.

(b) *Method of deduction*. The Administrator will deduct allowances beginning with those allowances with the latest recorded date of transfer out of the compliance account of the source that includes the opt-in source.

(c) *Notification of deduction*. When allowances are deducted, the Administrator will send a written notification to the authorized account representative of each Allowance Tracking System account from which allowances were deducted. The notification will state:

(1) The serial numbers of all allowances deducted from the account,

(2) The reason for deducting the allowances, and

(3) The date of deduction of the allowances.

(d) *Amount of deduction*. The Administrator may deduct allowances in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section in an amount required to offset any excess emissions in accordance with part 77 of this chapter and when the source that includes the opt-in source does not hold allowances equal in number to and with the same or earlier compliance use date for the calendar years specified under § 74.46(b)(1)(i) through (iv) in an amount required to be deducted under § 74.46(b)(1) (i) through (iv).

[60 FR 17115, Apr. 4, 1995, as amended at 63 FR 18842, Apr. 16, 1998; 70 FR 25337, May 12, 2005]

Subpart F—Monitoring Emissions: Combustion Sources

§ 74.60 Monitoring requirements.

(a) *Monitoring requirements for combustion sources*. The owner or operator of each combustion source shall meet all of the requirements specified in part 75 of this chapter for the owners and operators of an affected unit to install, certify, operate, and maintain a continuous emission monitoring system, an excepted monitoring system, or an approved alternative monitoring system in accordance with part 75 of this chapter.

(b) *Monitoring requirements for opt-in sources*. The owner or operator of each opt-in source shall install, certify, operate, and maintain a continuous emission monitoring system, an excepted monitoring system, an approved alternative monitoring system in accordance with part 75 of this chapter.

§ 74.61 Monitoring plan.

(a) *Monitoring plan*. The designated representative of a combustion source shall meet all of the requirements specified under part 75 of this chapter for a designated representative of an affected unit to submit to the Administrator a monitoring plan that includes the information required in a monitoring plan under § 75.53 of this chapter. This monitoring plan shall be submitted as part of the combustion source's opt-in permit application under § 74.14 of this part.

(b) [Reserved]

Subpart G—Monitoring Emissions: Process Sources [Reserved]

PART 75—CONTINUOUS EMISSION MONITORING

Subpart A—General

Sec.
75.1 Purpose and scope.
75.2 Applicability.
75.3 General Acid Rain Program provisions.
75.4 Compliance dates.
75.5 Prohibitions.
75.6 Incorporation by reference.
75.7–75.8 [Reserved]

Subpart B—Monitoring Provisions

75.10 General operating requirements.
75.11 Specific provisions for monitoring SO_2 emissions.
75.12 Specific provisions for monitoring NO_X emission rate.
75.13 Specific provisions for monitoring CO_2 emissions.
75.14 Specific provisions for monitoring opacity.
75.15 [Reserved]
75.16 Special provisions for monitoring emissions from common, bypass, and multiple stacks for SO_2 emissions and heat input determinations.
75.17 Specific provisions for monitoring emissions from common, bypass, and multiple stacks for NO_X emission rate.
75.18 Specific provisions for monitoring emissions from common and by-pass stacks for opacity.
75.19 Optional SO_2, NO_X, and CO_2 emissions calculation for low mass emissions (LME) units.

Subpart C—Operation and Maintenance Requirements

75.20 Initial certification and recertification procedures.
75.21 Quality assurance and quality control requirements.
75.22 Reference test methods.
75.23 Alternatives to standards incorporated by reference.
75.24 Out-of-control periods and adjustment for system bias.

Subpart D—Missing Data Substitution Procedures

75.30 General provisions.
75.31 Initial missing data procedures.
75.32 Determination of monitor data availability for standard missing data procedures.
75.33 Standard missing data procedures for SO_2, NO_X, and flow rate.
75.34 Units with add-on emission controls.
75.35 Missing data procedures for CO_2.
75.36 Missing data procedures for heat input rate determinations.
75.37 Missing data procedures for moisture.
75.38–75.39 [Reserved]

Subpart E—Alternative Monitoring Systems

75.40 General demonstration requirements.
75.41 Precision criteria.
75.42 Reliability criteria.
75.43 Accessibility criteria.
75.44 Timeliness criteria.
75.45 Daily quality assurance criteria.
75.46 Missing data substitution criteria.
75.47 Criteria for a class of affected units.
75.48 Petition for an alternative monitoring system.

Subpart F—Recordkeeping Requirements

75.50–75.52 [Reserved]
75.53 Monitoring plan.
75.54–75.56 [Reserved]
75.57 General recordkeeping provisions.
75.58 General recordkeeping provisions for specific situations.
75.59 Certification, quality assurance, and quality control record provisions.

Subpart G—Reporting Requirements

75.60 General provisions.
75.61 Notifications.
75.62 Monitoring plan submittals.
75.63 Initial certification or recertification application.
75.64 Quarterly reports.
75.65 Opacity reports.
75.66 Petitions to the Administrator.
75.67 Retired units petitions.

Subpart H—NO_X Mass Emissions Provisions

75.70 NO_X mass emissions provisions.
75.71 Specific provisions for monitoring NO_X and heat input for the purpose of calculating NO_X mass emissions.
75.72 Determination of NO_X mass emissions for common stack and multiple stack configurations.
75.73 Recordkeeping and reporting.
75.74 Annual and ozone season monitoring and reporting requirements.
75.75 Additional ozone season calculation procedures for special circumstances.
APPENDIX A TO PART 75—SPECIFICATIONS AND TEST PROCEDURES
APPENDIX B TO PART 75—QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES
APPENDIX C TO PART 75—MISSING DATA ESTIMATION PROCEDURES
APPENDIX D TO PART 75—OPTIONAL SO_2 EMISSIONS DATA PROTOCOL FOR GAS-FIRED AND OIL-FIRED UNITS
APPENDIX E TO PART 75—OPTIONAL NO_X EMISSIONS ESTIMATION PROTOCOL FOR GAS-FIRED PEAKING UNITS AND OIL-FIRED PEAKING UNITS
APPENDIX F TO PART 75—CONVERSION PROCEDURES
APPENDIX G TO PART 75—DETERMINATION OF CO_2 EMISSIONS
APPENDIX H TO PART 75—REVISED TRACEABILITY PROTOCOL NO. 1 [RESERVED]
APPENDIX I TO PART 75—OPTIONAL F—FACTOR/FUEL FLOW METHOD [RESERVED]
APPENDIX J TO PART 75—COMPLIANCE DATES FOR REVISED RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS AND MISSING DATA PROCEDURES [RESERVED]

AUTHORITY: 42 U.S.C. 7601 and 7651K, and 7651K note.

SOURCE: 58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, unless otherwise noted.

EDITORIAL NOTE: Nomenclature changes to part 75 appear at 67 FR 40476, June 12, 2002.

Subpart A—General

§ 75.1 Purpose and scope.

(a) *Purpose*. The purpose of this part is to establish requirements for the monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting of sulfur dioxide (SO_2), nitrogen oxides (NO_X), and carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions, volumetric flow, and opacity data from affected units under the Acid Rain Program pursuant to sections 412 and 821 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q as amended by Public Law 101–549 (November 15, 1990) [the Act]. In addition, this part sets forth provisions for the monitoring, recordkeeping, and

reporting of NO_X mass emissions with which EPA, individual States, or groups of States may require sources to comply in order to demonstrate compliance with a NO_X mass emission reduction program, to the extent these provisions are adopted as requirements under such a program.

(b) *Scope.* (1) The regulations established under this part include general requirements for the installation, certification, operation, and maintenance of continuous emission or opacity monitoring systems and specific requirements for the monitoring of SO_2 emissions, volumetric flow, NO_X emissions, opacity, CO_2 emissions and SO_2 emissions removal by qualifying Phase I technologies. Specifications for the installation and performance of continuous emission monitoring systems, certification tests and procedures, and quality assurance tests and procedures are included in appendices A and B to this part. Criteria for alternative monitoring systems and provisions to account for missing data from certified continuous emission monitoring systems or approved alternative monitoring systems are also included in the regulation.

(2) Statistical estimation procedures for missing data are included in appendix C to this part. Optional protocols for estimating SO_2 mass emissions from gas-fired or oil-fired units and NO_X emissions from gas-fired peaking or oil-fired peaking units are included in appendices D and E, respectively, to this part. Requirements for recording and recordkeeping of monitoring data and for quarterly electronic reporting also are specified. Procedures for conversion of monitoring data into units of the standard are included in appendix F to this part. Procedures for the monitoring and calculation of CO_2 emissions are included in appendix G of this part.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993; 58 FR 34126, June 23, 1993; 58 FR 40747, July 30, 1993; 63 FR 57498, Oct. 27, 1999; 67 FR 40421, June 12, 2002]

§ 75.2 Applicability.

(a) Except as provided in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section, the provisions of this part apply to each affected unit subject to Acid Rain emission limitations or reduction requirements for SO_2 or NO_X.

(b) The provisions of this part do not apply to:

(1) A new unit for which a written exemption has been issued under § 72.7 of this chapter (any new unit that serves one or more generators with total nameplate capacity of 25 MWe or less and burns only fuels with a sulfur content of 0.05 percent or less by weight may apply to the Administrator for an exemption); or

(2) Any unit not subject to the requirements of the Acid Rain Program due to operation of any paragraph of § 72.6(b) of this chapter; or

(3) An affected unit for which a written exemption has been issued under § 72.8 of this chapter and an exception granted under § 75.67 of this part.

(c) The provisions of this part apply to sources subject to a State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program, to the extent these provisions are adopted as requirements under such a program.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 58 FR 15716, Mar. 23, 1993; 60 FR 26516, May 17, 1995; 63 FR 57499, Oct. 27, 1998; 70 FR 28678, May 18, 2005; 76 FR 17306, Mar. 28, 2011]

§ 75.3 General Acid Rain Program provisions.

The provisions of part 72, including the following, shall apply to this part:

(a) § 72.2 (Definitions);

(b) § 72.3 (Measurements, Abbreviations, and Acronyms);

(c) § 72.4 (Federal Authority);

(d) § 72.5 (State Authority);

(e) § 72.6 (Applicability);

(f) § 72.7 (New Unit Exemption);

(g) § 72.8 (Retired Units Exemption);

(h) § 72.9 (Standard Requirements);

(i) § 72.10 (Availability of Information); and

(j) § 72.11 (Computation of Time).

In addition, the procedures for appeals of decisions of the Administrator under this part are contained in part 78 of this chapter.

§ 75.4 Compliance dates.

(a) The provisions of this part apply to each existing Phase I and Phase II unit on February 10, 1993. For substitution or compensating units that are

so designated under the Acid Rain permit which governs that unit and contains the approved substitution or reduced utilization plan, pursuant to §72.41 or §72.43 of this chapter, the provisions of this part become applicable upon the issuance date of the Acid Rain permit. For combustion sources seeking to enter the Opt-in Program in accordance with part 74 of this chapter, the provisions of this part become applicable upon the submission of an opt-in permit application in accordance with §74.14 of this chapter. The provisions of this part for the monitoring, recording, and reporting of NO_X mass emissions become applicable on the deadlines specified in the applicable State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program, to the extent these provisions are adopted as requirements under such a program. In accordance with §75.20, the owner or operator of each existing affected unit shall ensure that all monitoring systems required by this part for monitoring SO_2, NO_X, CO_2, opacity, moisture and volumetric flow are installed and that all certification tests are completed no later than the following dates (except as provided in paragraphs (d) through (i) of this section):

(1) For a unit listed in table 1 of §73.10(a) of this chapter, November 15, 1993.

(2) For a substitution or a compensating unit that is designated under an approved substitution plan or reduced utilization plan pursuant to §72.41 or §72.43 of this chapter, or for a unit that is designated an early election unit under an approved NO_X compliance plan pursuant to part 76 of this chapter, that is not conditionally approved and that is effective for 1995, the earlier of the following dates:

(i) January 1, 1995; or

(ii) 90 days after the issuance date of the Acid Rain permit (or date of approval of permit revision) that governs the unit and contains the approved substitution plan, reduced utilization plan, or NO_X compliance plan.

(3) For either a Phase II unit, other than a gas-fired unit or an oil-fired unit, or a substitution or compensating unit that is not a substitution or compensating unit under paragraph (a)(2) of this section: January 1, 1995.

(4) For a gas-fired Phase II unit or an oil-fired Phase II unit, January 1, 1995, except that installation and certification tests for continuous emission monitoring systems for NO_X and CO_2 or excepted monitoring systems for NO_X under appendix E or CO_2 estimation under appendix G of this part shall be completed as follows:

(i) For an oil-fired Phase II unit or a gas-fired Phase II unit located in an ozone nonattainment area or the ozone transport region, not later than July 1, 1995; or

(ii) For an oil-fired Phase II unit or a gas-fired Phase II unit not located in an ozone nonattainment area or the ozone transport region, not later than January 1, 1996.

(5) For combustion sources seeking to enter the Opt-in Program in accordance with part 74 of this chapter, the expiration date of a combustion source's opt-in permit under §74.14(e) of this chapter.

(b) In accordance with §75.20, the owner or operator of each new affected unit shall ensure that all monitoring systems required under this part for monitoring of SO_2, NO_X, CO_2, opacity, and volumetric flow are installed and all certification tests are completed on or before the later of the following dates:

(1) January 1, 1995, except that for a gas-fired unit or oil-fired unit located in an ozone nonattainment area or the ozone transport region, the date for installation and completion of all certification tests for NO_X and CO_2 monitoring systems shall be July 1, 1995 and for a gas-fired unit or an oil-fired unit not located in an ozone nonattainment area or the ozone transport region, the date for installation and completion of all certification tests for NO_X and CO_2 monitoring systems shall be January 1, 1996; or

(2) 180 calendar days after the date the unit commences commercial operation, notice of which date shall be provided under subpart G of this part.

(c) In accordance with §75.20, the owner or operator of any unit affected under any paragraph of §72.6(a)(3) (ii) through (vii) of this chapter shall ensure that all monitoring systems required under this part for monitoring

of SO_2, NO_X, CO_2, opacity, and volumetric flow are installed and all certification tests are completed on or before the later of the following dates:

(1) January 1, 1995, except that for a gas-fired unit or oil-fired unit located in an ozone nonattainment area or the ozone transport region, the date for installation and completion of all certification tests for NO_X and CO_2 monitoring systems shall be July 1, 1995 and for a gas-fired unit or an oil-fired unit not located in an ozone nonattainment area or the ozone transport region, the date for installation and completion of all certification tests for NO_X and CO_2 monitoring systems shall be January 1, 1996; or

(2) 180 calendar days after the date on which the unit becomes subject to the requirements of the Acid Rain Program, notice of which date shall be provided under subpart G of this part.

(d) This paragraph (d) applies to affected units under the Acid Rain Program and to units subject to a State or Federal pollutant mass emissions reduction program that adopts the emission monitoring and reporting provisions of this part. In accordance with § 75.20, for an affected unit which, on the applicable compliance date, is either in long-term cold storage (as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter) or is shut down as the result of a planned outage or a forced outage, thereby preventing the required continuous monitoring system certification tests from being completed by the compliance date, the owner or operator shall provide notice of such unit storage or outage in accordance with § 75.61(a)(3) or § 75.61(a)(7), as applicable. For the planned and unplanned unit outages described in this paragraph (d), the owner or operator shall ensure that all of the continuous monitoring systems for SO_2, NO_X, CO_2, opacity, and volumetric flow rate required under this part (or under the applicable State or Federal mass emissions reduction program) are installed and that all required certification tests are completed no later than 90 unit operating days or 180 calendar days (whichever occurs first) after the date that the unit recommences commercial operation, notice of which date shall be provided under § 75.61(a)(3) or § 75.61(a)(7), as applicable. The owner or operator shall determine and report SO_2 concentration, NO_X emission rate, CO_2 concentration, and flow rate data (as applicable) for all unit operating hours after the applicable compliance date until all of the required certification tests are successfully completed, using either:

(1) The maximum potential concentration of SO_2 (as defined in section 2.1.1.1 of appendix A to this part), the maximum potential NO_X emission rate, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter, the maximum potential flow rate, as defined in section 2.1.4.1 of appendix A to this part, or the maximum potential CO_2 concentration, as defined in section 2.1.3.1 of appendix A to this part; or

(2) The conditional data validation provisions of § 75.20(b)(3); or

(3) Reference methods under § 75.22(b); or

(4) Another procedure approved by the Administrator pursuant to a petition under § 75.66.

(e) In accordance with § 75.20, if the owner or operator of an affected unit completes construction of a new stack or flue, or a flue gas desulfurization system or add-on NO_X emission controls, after the applicable deadline in paragraph (a), (b), or (c) of this section:

(1) Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (e)(3) of this section, the owner or operator shall ensure that all required certification and/or recertification and/or diagnostic tests of the monitoring systems required under this part (*i.e.*, the SO_2, NO_X, CO_2, O_2, opacity, volumetric flow rate, and moisture monitoring systems, as applicable) are completed not later than 90 unit operating days or 180 calendar days (whichever occurs first) after:

(i) For the event of construction of a new stack or flue, the date that emissions first exit to the atmosphere through the new stack or flue, notice of which date shall be provided under subpart G of this part; or

(ii) For the event of installation of a flue gas desulfurization system or add-on NO_X emission controls, the date that reagent is first injected into the flue gas desulfurization system or the

add-on NO_X emission controls, as applicable, notice of which date shall be provided under subpart G of this part.

(2) The owner or operator shall determine and report, as applicable, SO_2 concentration, NO_X concentration, NO_X emission rate, CO_2 concentration, O_2 concentration, volumetric flow rate, and moisture data for all unit or stack operating hours after emissions first pass through the new stack or flue, or reagent is first injected into the flue gas desulfurization system or add-on NO_X emission controls, as applicable, until all required certification and/or recertification and/or diagnostic tests are successfully completed, using:

(i) Quality-assured data recorded by a previously-certified monitoring system for which the event requires no additional testing;

(ii) The applicable missing data substitution procedures under §§ 75.31 through 75.37;

(iii) The conditional data validation procedures of § 75.20(b)(3), except that conditional data validation may, if necessary, be used for the entire window of time provided under paragraph (e)(1) of this section in lieu of the periods specified in § 75.20(b)(3)(iv);

(iv) Reference methods under § 75.22(b);

(v) For the event of installation of a flue gas desulfurization system or add-on NO_X emission controls, quality-assured data recorded on the high measurement scale of the monitor that measures the pollutant being removed by the add-on emission controls (*i.e.*, SO_2 or NO_X, as applicable), if, pursuant to section 2 of appendix A to this part, two spans and ranges are required for that monitor and if the high measurement scale of the monitor has been certified according to § 75.20(c), section 6 of appendix A to this part, and, if applicable, paragraph (e)(4)(i) of this section. Data recorded on the certified high scale that ordinarily would be required to be recorded on the low scale, pursuant to section 2.1.1.4(g) or 2.1.2.4(f) of appendix A to this part, may be reported as quality-assured for a period not to exceed 60 unit or stack operating days after the date and hour that reagent is first injected into the control device, after which one or more of the options provided in paragraphs (e)(2)(ii), (e)(2)(iii), (e)(2)(iv) and (e)(2)(vi) of this section must be used to report SO_2 or NO_X concentration data (as applicable) for each operating hour in which these low emissions occur, until certification testing of the low scale of the monitor is successfully completed; or

(vi) Another procedure approved by the Administrator pursuant to a petition under § 75.66.

(3) If a particular project involves both the event of new stack or flue construction and the event of installation of a flue gas desulfurization system or add-on NO_X emission controls, the owner or operator shall either:

(i) Complete all of the monitoring system certification and/or recertification and/or diagnostic testing requirements of both events within the window of time provided under paragraph (e)(1)(i) of this section; or

(ii) Complete all of the monitoring system certification and/or recertification and/or diagnostic testing requirements of each event within the separate window of time applicable to such event provided under paragraph (e)(1) of this section.

(4) For the project described in paragraph (e)(3) of this section, the emissions data from each CEMS installed on the new stack recorded in the interval of time starting on the date and hour on which emissions first exit to the atmosphere through the new stack and ending on the hour before the date and hour on which reagent is first injected into the control device may be reported as quality assured:

(i) For the CEMS that includes the monitor that measures the pollutant being removed by the add-on emission controls (*i.e.*, SO_2 or NO_X, as applicable):

(A) Only if the relative accuracy test audit (RATA) of the high measurement scale of the monitor is successfully completed either prior to the date and hour of the first injection of reagent into the emission control device, or after that date and hour during a period when the control device is not operating, but still within the window of time provided under paragraph (e)(1)(i) of this section, and the rest of the certification tests required under § 75.20(c) and section 6 of appendix A to this part

for the high measurement scale of the monitor are successfully completed within the window of time provided under paragraph (e)(1)(i) of this section;

(B) Beginning with:

(*1*) The first unit or stack operating hour after successful completion of all of the certification tests in accordance with paragraph (e)(4)(i)(A) of this section; or

(*2*) The hour of the probationary calibration error test (see § 75.20(b)(3)(ii)), if conditional data validation is used and all of the certification tests are successfully completed in accordance with paragraph (e)(4)(i)(A) of this section, with no test failures. If any required test is failed or aborted or is otherwise not in accordance with paragraph (e)(4)(i)(A) of this section, data validation shall be done according to § 75.20(b)(3)(vii).

(ii) For a CEMS other than one addressed in paragraph (e)(4)(i) of this section:

(A) Only if the relative accuracy test audit (RATA) of the CEMS is successfully completed either prior to the date and hour of the first injection of reagent into the emission control device, or after that date and hour during a period when the control device is not operating, but still within the window of time provided under paragraph (e)(1)(i) of this section, and the rest of the certification tests required under § 75.20(c) and section 6 of appendix A to this part for the CEMS are successfully completed within the window of time provided under paragraph (e)(1)(i) of this section;

(B) Beginning with:

(*1*) The first unit or stack operating hour after successful completion of all of the certification tests in accordance with paragraph (e)(4)(ii)(A) of this section; or

(*2*) The hour of the probationary calibration error test (*see* § 75.20(b)(3)(ii)), if conditional data validation is used and all of the certification tests are successfully completed in accordance with paragraph (e)(4)(ii)(A) of this section, with no test failures. If any required test is failed or aborted or is otherwise not in accordance with paragraph (e)(4)(ii)(A) of this section, data validation shall be done according to § 75.20(b)(3)(vii).

(f) In accordance with § 75.20, the owner or operator of an affected gas-fired or oil-fired peaking unit, if planning to use appendix E of this part, shall ensure that the required certification tests for excepted monitoring systems under appendix E are completed for backup fuel, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter, no later than 90 unit operating days or 180 calendar days (whichever occurs first) after the date that the unit first combusts the backup fuel following the certification testing with the primary fuel. If the required testing is completed by this deadline, the appendix E correlation curve derived from the test results may be used for reporting data under this part beginning with the first date and hour that the backup fuel is combusted, provided that the fuel flowmeter for the backup fuel was certified as of that date and hour. If the required appendix E testing has not been successfully completed by the compliance date in this paragraph, then, until the testing is completed, the owner or operator shall report NO_X emission rate data for all unit operating hours that the backup fuel is combusted using either:

(1) The fuel-specific maximum potential NO_X emission rate, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter; or

(2) Reference methods under § 75.22(b) of this part; or

(3) Another procedure approved by the Administrator pursuant to a petition under § 75.66.

(g) The provisions of this paragraph shall apply unless an owner or operator is exempt from certifying a fuel flowmeter for use during combustion of emergency fuel under section 2.1.4.3 of appendix D to this part, in which circumstance the provisions of section 2.1.4.3 of appendix D shall apply. In accordance with § 75.20, whenever the owner or operator of a gas-fired or oil-fired unit uses an excepted monitoring system under appendix D or E of this part and combusts emergency fuel as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter, then the owner or operator shall ensure that a fuel flowmeter measuring emergency

fuel is installed and the required certification tests for excepted monitoring systems are completed by no later than 30 unit operating days after the first date after January 1, 1995 that the unit combusts emergency fuel. For all unit operating hours that the unit combusts emergency fuel after January 1, 1995 until the owner or operator installs a flowmeter for emergency fuel and successfully completes all required certification tests, the owner or operator shall determine and report SO_2 mass emission data using either:

(1) The maximum potential fuel flow rate, as described in appendix D of this part, and the maximum sulfur content of the fuel, as described in section 2.1.1.1 of appendix A of this part;

(2) Reference methods under § 75.22(b) of this part; or

(3) Another procedure approved by the Administrator pursuant to a petition under § 75.66.

(h) [Reserved]

(i) In accordance with § 75.20, the owner or operator of each affected unit at which SO_2 concentration is measured on a dry basis or at which moisture corrections are required to account for CO_2 emissions, NO_X emission rate in lb/mmBtu, heat input, or NO_X mass emissions for units in a NO_X mass reduction program, shall ensure that the continuous moisture monitoring system required by this part is installed and that all applicable initial certification tests required under § 75.20(c)(5), (c)(6), or (c)(7) for the continuous moisture monitoring system are completed no later than the following dates:

(1) April 1, 2000, for a unit that is existing and has commenced commercial operation by January 2, 2000;

(2) For a new affected unit which has not commenced commercial operation by January 2, 2000, 90 unit operating days or 180 calendar days (whichever occurs first) after the date the unit commences commercial operation; or

(3) For an existing unit that is shutdown and is not yet operating by April 1, 2000, 90 unit operating days or 180 calendar days (whichever occurs first) after the date that the unit recommences commercial operation.

(j) If the certification tests required under paragraph (b) or (c) of this section have not been completed by the applicable compliance date, the owner or operator shall determine and report SO_2 concentration, NO_X emission rate, CO_2 concentration, and flow rate data for all unit operating hours after the applicable compliance date in this paragraph until all required certification tests are successfully completed using either:

(1) The maximum potential concentration of SO_2, as defined in section 2.1.1.1 of appendix A to this part, the maximum potential NO_X emission rate, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter, the maximum potential flow rate, as defined in section 2.1.4.1 of appendix A to this part, or the maximum potential CO_2 concentration, as defined in section 2.1.3.1 of appendix A to this part;

(2) Reference methods under § 75.22(b); or

(3) Another procedure approved by the Administrator pursuant to a petition under § 75.66.

[60 FR 17131, Apr. 4, 1995, as amended at 60 FR 26516, May 17, 1995; 63 FR 57499, Oct. 27, 1998; 64 FR 28588, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40421, June 12, 2002; 73 FR 4340, Jan. 24, 2008; 76 FR 17306, Mar. 28, 2011; 76 FR 50132, Aug. 12, 2011]

§ 75.5 Prohibitions.

(a) A violation of any applicable regulation in this part by the owners or operators or the designated representative of an affected source or an affected unit is a violation of the Act.

(b) No owner or operator of an affected unit shall operate the unit without complying with the requirements of §§ 75.2 through 75.75 and appendices A through G to this part.

(c) No owner or operator of an affected unit shall use any alternative monitoring system, alternative reference method, or any other alternative for the required continuous emission monitoring system without having obtained the Administrator's prior written approval in accordance with §§ 75.23, 75.48 and 75.66.

(d) No owner or operator of an affected unit shall operate the unit so as to discharge, or allow to be discharged, emissions of SO_2, NO_X or CO_2 to the atmosphere without accounting for all such emissions in accordance with the provisions of §§ 75.10 through 75.19.

(e) No owner or operator of an affected unit shall disrupt the continuous emission monitoring system, any portion thereof, or any other approved emission monitoring method, and thereby avoid monitoring and recording SO_2, NO_X, or CO_2 emissions discharged to the atmosphere, except for periods of recertification, or periods when calibration, quality assurance, or maintenance is performed pursuant to § 75.21 and appendix B of this part.

(f) No owner or operator of an affected unit shall retire or permanently discontinue use of the continuous emission monitoring system, any component thereof, the continuous opacity monitoring system, or any other approved emission monitoring system under this part, except under any one of the following circumstances:

(1) During the period that the unit is covered by an approved retired unit exemption under § 72.8 of this chapter that is in effect; or

(2) The owner or operator is monitoring emissions from the unit with another certified monitoring system or an excepted methodology approved by the Administrator for use at that unit that provides emissions data for the same pollutant or parameter as the retired or discontinued monitoring system; or

(3) The designated representative submits notification of the date of recertification testing of a replacement monitoring system in accordance with §§ 75.20 and 75.61, and the owner or operator recertifies thereafter a replacement monitoring system in accordance with § 75.20.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 58 FR 40747, July 30, 1993; 60 FR 26517, May 17, 1995; 64 FR 28589, May 26, 1999]

§ 75.6 Incorporation by reference.

The materials listed in this section are incorporated by reference in the corresponding sections noted. These incorporations by reference were approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. These materials are incorporated as they existed on the date of approval, and a notice of any change in these materials will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The materials are available for purchase at the corresponding address noted below and are available for inspection at the Public Information Reference Unit of the U.S. EPA, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC and at the Library (MD–35), U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to: *http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html*.

(a) The following materials are available for purchase from the following address: American Society for Testing and Material (ASTM) International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, P.O. Box C700, West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania, 19428–2959, phone: 610–832–9585, *http://www.astm.org/DIGITAL_LIBRARY/index.shtml*.

(1) ASTM D129–00, Standard Test Method for Sulfur in Petroleum Products (General Bomb Method), for appendices A and D of this part.

(2) D240–00, Standard Test Method for Heat of Combustion of Liquid Hydrocarbon Fuels by Bomb Calorimeter, for appendices A, D and F of this part.

(3) ASTM D287–92 (Reapproved 2000), Standard Test Method for API Gravity of Crude Petroleum and Petroleum Products (Hydrometer Method), for appendix D of this part.

(4) ASTM D388–99, Standard Classification of Coals by Rank, incorporation by reference for appendix F of this part.

(5) [Reserved]

(6) ASTM D1072–06, Standard Test Method for Total Sulfur in Fuel Gases by Combustion and Barium Chloride Titration, for appendix D of this part.

(7) ASTM D1217–993 (Reapproved 1998), Standard Test Method for Density and Relative Density (Specific Gravity) of Liquids by Bingham Pycnometer, for appendix D of this part.

(8) ASTM D1250–07 , Standard Guide for Use of the Petroleum Measurement Tables, for appendix D of this part.

(9) ASTM D1298–99, Standard Test Method for Density, Relative Density (Specific Gravity) or API Gravity of Crude Petroleum and Liquid Petroleum Products by Hydrometer Method, for appendix D of this part.

(10) ASTM D1480–93 (Reapproved 1997), Standard Test Method for Density and Relative Density (Specific Gravity) of Viscous Materials by Bingham Pycnometer, for appendix D of this part.

(11) ASTM D1481–93 (Reapproved 1997), Standard Test Method for Density and Relative Density (Specific Gravity) of Viscous Materials by Lipkin Bicapillary Pycnometer, for appendix D of this part.

(12) ASTM D1552–01, Standard Test Method for Sulfur in Petroleum Products (High-Temperature Method), for appendices A and D of the part.

(13) ASTM D1826–94 (Reapproved 1998), Standard Test Method for Calorific (Heating) Value of Gases in Natural Gas Range by Continuous Recording Calorimeter, for appendices D and F to this part.

(14) ASTM D1945–96 (Reapproved 2001), Standard Test Method for Analysis of Natural Gas by Gas Chromatography, for appendices F and G of this part.

(15) ASTM D1946–90 (Reapproved 2006), Standard Practice for Analysis of Reformed Gas by Gas Chromatography, for appendices F and G of this part.

(16) [Reserved]

(17) ASTM D2013–01, Standard Practice for Preparing Coal Samples for Analysis, for appendix F of this part.

(18) [Reserved]

(19) ASTM D2234–00, Standard Practice for Collection of a Gross Sample of Coal, for appendix F of this part.

(20) [Reserved]

(21) ASTM D2502–92 (Reapproved 1996), Standard Test Method for Estimation of Molecular Weight (Relative Molecular Mass) of Petroleum Oils from Viscosity Measurements, for appendix G of this part.

(22) ASTM D2503–92 (Reapproved 1997), Standard Test Method for Relative Molecular Mass (Molecular Weight) of Hydrocarbons by Thermoelectric Measurement of Vapor Pressure, for appendix G of this part.

(23) ASTM D2622–98, Standard Test Method for Sulfur in Petroleum Products by Wavelength Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence Spectrometry, for appendices A and D of this part.

(24) ASTM D3174–00, Standard Test Method for Ash in the Analysis Sample of Coal and Coke from Coal, for appendix G of this part.

(25) ASTM D3176–89 (Reapproved 2002), Standard Practice for Ultimate Analysis of Coal and Coke, for appendices A and F of this part.

(26) ASTM D3177–02 (Reapproved 2007), Standard Test Methods for Total Sulfur in the Analysis Sample of Coal and Coke, for appendix A of this part.

(27) ASTM D5373–02 (Reapproved 2007) Standard Test Methods for Instrumental Determination of Carbon, Hydrogen, and Nitrogen in Laboratory Samples of Coal and Coke, for appendix G of this part.

(28) ASTM D3238–95 (Reapproved 2000), Standard Test Method for Calculation of Carbon Distribution and Structural Group Analysis of Petroleum Oils by the n-d-M Method, for appendix G of this part.

(29) ASTM D3246–96, Standard Test Method for Sulfur in Petroleum Gas by Oxidative Microcoulometry, for appendix D of this part.

(30) [Reserved]

(31) ASTM D3588–98, Standard Practice for Calculating Heat Value, Compressibility Factor, and Relative Density of Gaseous Fuels, for appendices D and F to this part.

(32) ASTM D4052–96 (Reapproved 2002), Standard Test Method for Density and Relative Density of Liquids by Digital Density Meter, for appendix D of this part.

(33) ASTM D4057–95 (Reapproved 2000), Standard Practice for Manual Sampling of Petroleum and Petroleum Products, for appendix D of this part.

(34) ASTM D4177–95 (Reapproved 2000), Standard Practice for Automatic Sampling of Petroleum and Petroleum Products, for appendix D of this part.

(35) ASTM D4239–02, Standard Test Methods for Sulfur in the Analysis Sample of Coal and Coke Using High-Temperature Tube Furnace Combustion Methods, for appendix A of this part.

(36) ASTM D4294–98, Standard Test Method for Sulfur in Petroleum and Petroleum Products by Energy-Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence Spectrometry, for appendices A and D of this part.

(37) ASTM D4468–85 (Reapproved 2006), Standard Test Method for Total

Sulfur in Gaseous Fuels by Hydrogenolysis and Rateometric Colorimetry, for appendix D of this part.

(38) [Reserved]

(39) ASTM D4891–89 (Reapproved 2006), Standard Test Method for Heating Value of Gases in Natural Gas Range by Stoichiometric Combustion, for appendices D and F to this part.

(40) ASTM D5291–02, Standard Test Methods for Instrumental Determination of Carbon, Hydrogen, and Nitrogen in Petroleum Products and Lubricants, for appendices F and G to this part.

(41) ASTM D5373–02 (Reapproved 2007), ''Standard Test Methods for Instrumental Determination of Carbon, Hydrogen, and Nitrogen in Laboratory Samples of Coal and Coke,'' for appendix G to this part.

(42) ASTM D5504–01, Standard Test Method for Determination of Sulfur Compounds in Natural Gas and Gaseous Fuels by Gas Chromatography and Chemiluminescence, for appendix D of this part.

(43) [Reserved]

(44) [Reserved]

(45) ASTM D6667–04, Standard Test Method for Determination of Total Volatile Sulfur in Gaseous Hydrocarbons and Liquefied Petroleum Gases by Ultraviolet Fluorescence, for appendix D of this part.

(46) ASTM D4809–00, Standard Test Method for Heat of Combustion of Liquid Hydrocarbon Fuels by Bomb Calorimeter (Precision Method), for appendices D and F of this part.

(47) ASTM D5865–01a, Standard Test Method for Gross Calorific Value of Coal and Coke, for appendices A, D, and F of this part.

(48) ASTM D7036–04, Standard Practice for Competence of Air Emission Testing Bodies, for § 75.21, § 75.59, and appendix A to this part.

(49) ASTM D5453–06, Standard Test Method for Determination of Total Sulfur in Light Hydrocarbons, Spark Ignition Engine Fuel, Diesel Engine Fuel, and Engine Oil by Ultraviolet Fluorescence, for appendix D of this part.

(50) ASTM D5865–10 (Approved January 1, 2010), Standard Test Method for Gross Calorific Value of Coal and Coke, for appendices A, D, and F of this part.

(b) The following materials are available for purchase from the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME), 22 Law Drive, P.O. Box 2900, Fairfield, New Jersey 07007–2900:

(1) ASME MFC–3M–2004 (Revision of ASME MFC–3M–1989 (R1995)), Measurement of Fluid Flow in Pipes Using Orifice, Nozzle, and Venturi, for appendix D of this part.

(2) ASME MFC–4M–1986 (Reaffirmed 1997), Measurement of Gas Flow by Turbine Meters, for appendix D of this part.

(3) ASME-MFC–5M–1985 (Reaffirmed 1994), Measurement of Liquid Flow in Closed Conduits Using Transit-Time Ultrasonic Flowmeters, for appendix D of this part.

(4) ASME MFC–6M–1998, Measurement of Fluid Flow in Pipes Using Vortex Flowmeters, for appendix D of this part.

(5) ASME MFC–7M–1987 (Reaffirmed 1992), Measurement of Gas Flow by Means of Critical Flow Venturi Nozzles, for appendix D of this part.

(6) ASME MFC–9M–1988 (Reaffirmed 2001), Measurement of Liquid Flow in Closed Conduits by Weighing Method, for appendix D of this part.

(c) The following materials are available for purchase from the American National Standards Institute (ANSI), 25 West 43rd Street, Fourth Floor, New York, New York 10036:

(1) ISO 8316: 1987(E) Measurement of Liquid Flow in closed Conduits-Method by Collection of the Liquid in a Volumetric Tank, for appendices D and E of this part.

(2) [Reserved]

(d) The following materials are available for purchase from the following address: Gas Processors Association (GPA), 6526 East 60th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74143:

(1) GPA Standard 2172–96, Calculation of Gross Heating Value, Relative Density and Compressibility Factor for Natural Gas Mixtures from Compositional Analysis, for appendices D, E, and F of this part.

(2) GPA Standard 2261–00, Analysis for Natural Gas and Similar Gaseous Mixtures by Gas Chromatography, for appendices D, F, and G of this part.

(e) The following American Gas Association materials are available for purchase from the following address: ILI Infodisk, 610 Winters Avenue, Paramus, New Jersey 07652:

(1) American Gas Association Report No. 3: Orifice Metering of Natural Gas and Other Related Hydrocarbon Fluids, Part 1: General Equations and Uncertainty Guidelines (October 1990 Edition), Part 2: Specification and Installation Requirements (February 1991 Edition) and Part 3: Natural Gas Applications (August 1992 Edition), for appendices D and E of this part.

(2) American Gas Association Transmission Measurement Committee Report No. 7: Measurement of Gas by Turbine Meters (Second Revision, April, 1996), for appendix D to this part.

(f) The following materials are available for purchase from the following address: American Petroleum Institute, Publications Department, 1220 L Street NW, Washington, DC 20005–4070.

(1) American Petroleum Institute (API) Manual of Petroleum Measurement Standards, Chapter 3—Tank Gauging, Section 1A, Standard Practice for the Manual Gauging of Petroleum and Petroleum Products, Second Edition, August 2005; Section 1B—Standard Practice for Level Measurement of Liquid Hydrocarbons in Stationary Tanks by Automatic Tank Gauging, Second Edition June 2001; Section 2—Standard Practice for Gauging Petroleum and Petroleum Products in Tank Cars, First Edition, August 1995 (Reaffirmed March 2006); Section 3—Standard Practice for Level Measurement of Liquid Hydrocarbons in Stationary Pressurized Storage Tanks by Automatic Tank Gauging, First Edition June 1996; Section 4—Standard Practice for Level Measurement of Liquid Hydrocarbons on Marine Vessels by Automatic Tank Gauging, First Edition April 1995 (Reaffirmed, March 2006); and Section 5—Standard Practice for Level Measurement of Light Hydrocarbon Liquids Onboard Marine Vessels by Automatic Tank Gauging, First Edition March 1997 (Reaffirmed, March 2003); for § 75.19.

(2) Shop Testing of Automatic Liquid Level Gages, Bulletin 2509 B, December 1961 (Reaffirmed August 1987, October 1992), for § 75.19.

(3) American Petroleum Institute (API) Manual of Petroleum Measurement Standards, Chapter 4—Proving Systems, Section 2—Pipe Provers (Provers Accumulating at Least 10,000 Pulses), Second Edition, March 2001, Section 3—Small Volume Provers, First Edition, July 1988, Reaffirmed Oct 1993, and Section 5—Master-Meter Provers, Second Edition, May 2000, for appendix D to this part.

(4) American Petroleum Institute (API) Manual of Petroleum Measurement Standards, Chapter 22—Testing Protocol, Section 2—Differential Pressure Flow Measurement Devices (First Edition, August 2005), for appendix D to this part.

(g) A copy of the following material is available from *http://www.epa.gov/ttn/emc/news.html* (*see* postings for Sections 1, 2, 3, 4, Appendices, Spreadsheets, and the ''Read before downloading Section 2'' revision posted August 27, 1999): EPA–600/R–97/121, EPA Traceability Protocol for Assay and Certification of Gaseous Calibration Standards, September 1997, as amended August 25, 1999, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, for § 75.21, and appendix A to this part.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26517, May 17, 1995; 61 FR 59157, Nov. 20, 1996; 63 FR 57499, Oct. 27, 1998; 64 FR 28589, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40422, June 12, 2002; 70 FR 28678, May 18, 2005; 70 FR 51269, Aug. 30, 2005; 73 FR 4341, Jan. 24, 2008; 76 FR 17307, Mar. 28, 2011; 77 FR 2460, Jan. 18, 2012]

EDITORIAL NOTE: At 70 FR 28678, May 18, 2005, § 75.6 was amended, however, certain amendments could not be incorporated due to inaccurate amendatory instruction.

§§ 75.7–75.8 [Reserved]

Subpart B—Monitoring Provisions

§ 75.10 General operating requirements.

(a) *Primary Measurement Requirement.* The owner or operator shall measure opacity, and all SO_2, NO_X, and CO_2 emissions for each affected unit as follows:

(1) To determine SO_2 emissions, the owner or operator shall install, certify, operate, and maintain, in accordance with all the requirements of this part, a SO_2 continuous emission monitoring

system and a flow monitoring system with an automated data acquisition and handling system for measuring and recording SO_2 concentration (in ppm), volumetric gas flow (in scfh), and SO_2 mass emissions (in lb/hr) discharged to the atmosphere, except as provided in §§ 75.11 and 75.16 and subpart E of this part;

(2) To determine NO_X emissions, the owner or operator shall install, certify, operate, and maintain, in accordance with all the requirements of this part, a NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system (consisting of a NO_X pollutant concentration monitor and an O_2 or CO_2 diluent gas monitor) with an automated data acquisition and handling system for measuring and recording NO_X concentration (in ppm), O_2 or CO_2 concentration (in percent O_2 or CO_2) and NO_X emission rate (in lb/mmBtu) discharged to the atmosphere, except as provided in §§ 75.12 and 75.17 and subpart E of this part. The owner or operator shall account for total NO_X emissions, both NO and NO_2, either by monitoring for both NO and NO_2 or by monitoring for NO only and adjusting the emissions data to account for NO_2;

(3) The owner or operator shall determine CO_2 emissions by using one of the following options, except as provided in § 75.13 and subpart E of this part:

(i) The owner or operator shall install, certify, operate, and maintain, in accordance with all the requirements of this part, a CO_2 continuous emission monitoring system and a flow monitoring system with an automated data acquisition and handling system for measuring and recording CO_2 concentration (in ppm or percent), volumetric gas flow (in scfh), and CO_2 mass emissions (in tons/hr) discharged to the atmosphere;

(ii) The owner or operator shall determine CO_2 emissions based on the measured carbon content of the fuel and the procedures in appendix G of this part to estimate CO_2 emissions (in ton/day) discharged to the atmosphere; or

(iii) The owner or operator shall install, certify, operate, and maintain, in accordance with all the requirements of this part, a flow monitoring system and a CO_2 continuous emission monitoring system that uses an O_2 concentration monitor to determine CO_2 emissions (according to the procedures in appendix F of this part) with an automated data acquisition and handling system for measuring and recording O_2 concentration (in percent), CO_2 concentration (in percent), volumetric gas flow (in scfh), and CO_2 mass emissions (in tons/hr) discharged to the atmosphere;

(4) The owner or operator shall install, certify, operate, and maintain, in accordance with all the requirements in this part, a continuous opacity monitoring system with the automated data acquisition and handling system for measuring and recording the opacity of emissions (in percent opacity) discharged to the atmosphere, except as provided in §§ 75.14 and 75.18; and

(5) A single certified flow monitoring system may be used to meet the requirements of paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(3) of this section. A single certified diluent monitor may be used to meet the requirements of paragraphs (a)(2) and (a)(3) of this section. A single automated data acquisition and handling system may be used to meet the requirements of paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(4) of this section.

(b) *Primary Equipment Performance Requirements.* The owner or operator shall ensure that each continuous emission monitoring system required by this part meets the equipment, installation, and performance specifications in appendix A to this part; and is maintained according to the quality assurance and quality control procedures in appendix B to this part; and shall record SO_2 and NO_X emissions in the appropriate units of measurement (*i.e.*, lb/hr for SO_2 and lb/mmBtu for NO_X).

(c) *Heat Input Rate Measurement Requirement.* The owner or operator shall determine and record the heat input rate, in units of mmBtu/hr, to each affected unit for every hour or part of an hour any fuel is combusted following the procedures in appendix F to this part.

(d) *Primary equipment hourly operating requirements.* The owner or operator shall ensure that all continuous emission and opacity monitoring systems required by this part are in operation and monitoring unit emissions or opacity at all times that the affected unit

combusts any fuel except as provided in §75.11(e) and during periods of calibration, quality assurance, or preventive maintenance, performed pursuant to §75.21 and appendix B of this part, periods of repair, periods of backups of data from the data acquisition and handling system, or recertification performed pursuant to §75.20. The owner or operator shall also ensure, subject to the exceptions above in this paragraph, that all continuous opacity monitoring systems required by this part are in operation and monitoring opacity during the time following combustion when fans are still operating, unless fan operation is not required to be included under any other applicable Federal, State, or local regulation, or permit. The owner or operator shall ensure that the following requirements are met:

(1) The owner or operator shall ensure that each continuous emission monitoring system is capable of completing a minimum of one cycle of operation (sampling, analyzing, and data recording) for each successive 15-min interval. The owner or operator shall reduce all SO_2 concentrations, volumetric flow, SO_2 mass emissions, CO_2 concentration, O_2 concentration, CO_2 mass emissions (if applicable), NO_X concentration, and NO_X emission rate data collected by the monitors to hourly averages. Hourly averages shall be computed using at least one data point in each fifteen minute quadrant of an hour, where the unit combusted fuel during that quadrant of an hour. Notwithstanding this requirement, an hourly average may be computed from at least two data points separated by a minimum of 15 minutes (where the unit operates for more than one quadrant of an hour) if data are unavailable as a result of the performance of calibration, quality assurance, or preventive maintenance activities pursuant to §75.21 and appendix B of this part, or backups of data from the data acquisition and handling system, or recertification, pursuant to §75.20. The owner or operator shall use all valid measurements or data points collected during an hour to calculate the hourly averages. All data points collected during an hour shall be, to the extent practicable, evenly spaced over the hour.

(2) The owner or operator shall ensure that each continuous opacity monitoring system is capable of completing a minimum of one cycle of sampling and analyzing for each successive 10-sec period and one cycle of data recording for each successive 6-min period. The owner or operator shall reduce all opacity data to 6-min averages calculated in accordance with the provisions of part 51, appendix M of this chapter, except where the applicable State implementation plan or operating permit requires a different averaging period, in which case the State requirement shall satisfy this Acid Rain Program requirement.

(3) Failure of an SO_2, CO_2, or O_2 emissions concentration monitor, NO_X concentration monitor, flow monitor, moisture monitor, or NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system to acquire the minimum number of data points for calculation of an hourly average in paragraph (d)(1) of this section shall result in the failure to obtain a valid hour of data and the loss of such component data for the entire hour. For a NO_X-diluent monitoring system, an hourly average NO_X emission rate in lb/mmBtu is valid only if the minimum number of data points is acquired by both the NO_X pollutant concentration monitor and the diluent monitor (O_2 or CO_2). For a moisture monitoring system consisting of one or more oxygen analyzers capable of measuring O_2 on a wet-basis and a dry-basis, an hourly average percent moisture value is valid only if the minimum number of data points is acquired for both the wet-and dry-basis measurements. If a valid hour of data is not obtained, the owner or operator shall estimate and record emissions, moisture, or flow data for the missing hour by means of the automated data acquisition and handling system, in accordance with the applicable procedure for missing data substitution in subpart D of this part.

(e) *Optional backup monitor requirements.* If the owner or operator chooses to use two or more continuous emission monitoring systems, each of which is capable of monitoring the same stack or duct at a specific affected unit, or group of units using a common stack, then the owner or operator shall designate one monitoring system as

the primary monitoring system, and shall record this information in the monitoring plan, as provided for in § 75.53. The owner or operator shall designate the other monitoring system(s) as backup monitoring system(s) in the monitoring plan. The backup monitoring system(s) shall be designated as redundant backup monitoring system(s), non-redundant backup monitoring system(s), or reference method backup system(s), as described in § 75.20(d). When the certified primary monitoring system is operating and not out-of-control as defined in § 75.24, only data from the certified primary monitoring system shall be reported as valid, quality-assured data. Thus, data from the backup monitoring system may be reported as valid, quality-assured data only when the backup is operating and not out-of-control as defined in § 75.24 (or in the applicable reference method in appendix A of part 60 of this chapter) and when the certified primary monitoring system is not operating (or is operating but out-of-control). A particular monitor may be designated both as a certified primary monitor for one unit and as a certified redundant backup monitor for another unit.

(f) *Minimum measurement capability requirement.* The owner or operator shall ensure that each continuous emission monitoring system is capable of accurately measuring, recording, and reporting data, and shall not incur an exceedance of the full scale range, except as provided in sections 2.1.1.5, 2.1.2.5, and 2.1.4.3 of appendix A to this part.

(g) *Minimum recording and recordkeeping requirements.* The owner or operator shall record and the designated representative shall report the hourly, daily, quarterly, and annual information collected under the requirements of this part as specified in subparts F and G of this part.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26519, May 17, 1995; 64 FR 28590, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40422, June 12, 2002; 70 FR 28678, May 18, 2005; 76 FR 17308, Mar. 28, 2011]

§ 75.11 Specific provisions for monitoring SO_2 emissions.

(a) *Coal-fired units.* The owner or operator shall meet the general operating requirements in § 75.10 for an SO_2 continuous emission monitoring system and a flow monitoring system for each affected coal-fired unit while the unit is combusting coal and/or any other fuel, except as provided in paragraph (e) of this section, in § 75.16, and in subpart E of this part. During hours in which only gaseous fuel is combusted in the unit, the owner or operator shall comply with the applicable provisions of paragraph (e)(1), (e)(2), or (e)(3) of this section.

(b) *Moisture correction.* Where SO_2 concentration is measured on a dry basis, the owner or operator shall either:

(1) Report the appropriate fuel-specific default moisture value for each unit operating hour, selected from among the following: 3.0%, for anthracite coal; 6.0% for bituminous coal; 8.0% for sub-bituminous coal; 11.0% for lignite coal; 13.0% for wood and 14.0% for natural gas (boilers, only); or

(2) Install, operate, maintain, and quality assure a continuous moisture monitoring system for measuring and recording the moisture content of the flue gases, in order to correct the measured hourly volumetric flow rates for moisture when calculating SO_2 mass emissions (in lb/hr) using the procedures in appendix F to this part. The following continuous moisture monitoring systems are acceptable: a continuous moisture sensor; an oxygen analyzer (or analyzers) capable of measuring O_2 both on a wet basis and on a dry basis; or a stack temperature sensor and a moisture look-up table, i.e., a psychrometric chart (for saturated gas streams following wet scrubbers or other demonstrably saturated gas streams, only). The moisture monitoring system shall include as a component the automated data acquisition and handling system (DAHS) for recording and reporting both the raw data (e.g., hourly average wet-and dry-basis O_2 values) and the hourly average values of the stack gas moisture content derived from those data. When a moisture look-up table is used, the moisture monitoring system shall be represented as a single component, the certified DAHS, in the monitoring plan for the unit or common stack.

(c) *Unit with no location for a flow monitor meeting siting requirements.*

Where no location exists that satisfies the minimum physical siting criteria in appendix A to this part for installation of a flow monitor in either the stack or the ducts serving an affected unit or installation of a flow monitor in either the stack or ducts is demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Administrator to be technically infeasible, either:

(1) The designated representative shall petition the Administrator for an alternative method for monitoring volumetric flow in accordance with § 75.66; or

(2) The owner or operator shall construct a new stack or modify existing ductwork to accommodate the installation of a flow monitor, and the designated representative shall petition the Administrator for an extension of the required certification date given in § 75.4 and approval of an interim alternative flow monitoring methodology in accordance with § 75.66. The Administrator may grant existing Phase I affected units an extension to January 1, 1995, and existing Phase II affected units an extension to January 1, 1996 for the submission of the certification application for the purpose of constructing a new stack or making substantial modifications to ductwork for installation of a flow monitor; or

(3) The owner or operator shall install a flow monitor in any existing location in the stack or ducts serving the affected unit at which the monitor can achieve the performance specifications of this part.

(d) *Gas-fired and oil-fired units.* The owner or operator of an affected unit that qualifies as a gas-fired or oil-fired unit, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter, based on information submitted by the designated representative in the monitoring plan, shall measure and record SO_2 emissions:

(1) By meeting the general operating requirements in § 75.10 for an SO_2 continuous emission monitoring system and flow monitoring system. If this option is selected, the owner or operator shall comply with the applicable provisions in paragraph (e)(1), (e)(2), or (e)(3) of this section during hours in which the unit combusts only gaseous fuel;

(2) By providing other information satisfactory to the Administrator using the applicable procedures specified in appendix D to this part for estimating hourly SO_2 mass emissions; or

(3) By using the low mass emissions excepted methodology in § 75.19(c) for estimating hourly SO_2 mass emissions if the affected unit qualifies as a low mass emissions unit under § 75.19(a) and (b). If this option is selected for SO_2, the LME methodology must also be used for NO_X and CO_2 when these parameters are required to be monitored by applicable program(s).

(e) *Special considerations during the combustion of gaseous fuels.* The owner or operator of an affected unit that uses a certified flow monitor and a certified diluent gas (O_2 or CO_2) monitor to measure the unit heat input rate shall, during any hours in which the unit combusts only gaseous fuel, determine SO_2 emissions in accordance with paragraph (e)(1) or (e)(3) of this section, as applicable.

(1) If the gaseous fuel qualifies for a default SO_2 emission rate under Section 2.3.1.1, 2.3.2.1.1, or 2.3.6(b) of appendix D to this part, the owner or operator may determine SO_2 emissions by using Equation F–23 in appendix F to this part. Substitute into Equation F–23 the hourly heat input, calculated using the certified flow monitoring system and the certified diluent monitor (according to the applicable equation in section 5.2 of appendix F to this part), in conjunction with the appropriate default SO_2 emission rate from section 2.3.1.1, 2.3.2.1.1, or 2.3.6(b) of appendix D to this part. When this option is chosen, the owner or operator shall perform the necessary data acquisition and handling system tests under § 75.20(c), and shall meet all quality control and quality assurance requirements in appendix B to this part for the flow monitor and the diluent monitor; or

(2) [Reserved]

(3) The owner or operator may determine SO_2 mass emissions by using a certified SO_2 continuous monitoring system, in conjunction with the certified flow rate monitoring system. However, if the gaseous fuel is very low sulfur fuel (as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter), the SO_2 monitoring system

shall meet the following quality assurance provisions when the very low sulfur fuel is combusted:

(i) When conducting the daily calibration error tests of the SO_2 monitoring system, as required by section 2.1.1 in appendix B of this part, the zero-level calibration gas shall have an SO_2 concentration of 0.0 percent of span. This restriction does not apply if gaseous fuel is burned in the affected unit only during unit startup.

(ii) EPA recommends that the calibration response of the SO_2 monitoring system be adjusted, either automatically or manually, in accordance with the procedures for routine calibration adjustments in section 2.1.3 of appendix B to this part, whenever the zero-level calibration response during a required daily calibration error test exceeds the applicable performance specification of the instrument in section 3.1 of appendix A to this part (*i.e.*, ±2.5 percent of the span value or ±5 ppm, whichever is less restrictive).

(iii) Any bias-adjusted hourly average SO_2 concentration of less than 2.0 ppm recorded by the SO_2 monitoring system shall be adjusted to a default value of 2.0 ppm, for reporting purposes. Such adjusted hourly averages shall be considered to be quality-assured data, provided that the monitoring system is operating and is not out-of-control with respect to any of the quality assurance tests required by appendix B of this part (*i.e.*, daily calibration error, linearity and relative accuracy test audit).

(iv) In accordance with the requirements of section 2.1.1.2 of appendix A to this part, for units that sometimes burn gaseous fuel that is very low sulfur fuel (as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter) and at other times burn higher sulfur fuel(s) such as coal or oil, a second low-scale SO_2 measurement range is not required when the very low sulfur gaseous fuel is combusted. For units that burn only gaseous fuel that is very low sulfur fuel and burn no other type(s) of fuel(s), the owner or operator shall set the span of the SO_2 monitoring system to a value no greater than 200 ppm.

(4) The provisions in paragraph (e)(1) of this section, may also be used for the combustion of a solid or liquid fuel that meets the definition of very low sulfur fuel in § 72.2 of this chapter, mixtures of such fuels, or combinations of such fuels with gaseous fuel, if the owner or operator submits a petition under § 75.66 for a default SO_2 emission rate for each fuel, mixture or combination, and if the Administrator approves the petition.

(f) *Other units.* The owner or operator of an affected unit that combusts wood, refuse, or other material in addition to oil or gas shall comply with the monitoring provisions for coal-fired units specified in paragraph (a) of this section, except where the owner or operator has an approved petition to use the provisions of paragraph (e)(1) of this section.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26520, 26566, May 17, 1995; 61 FR 59157, Nov. 20, 1996; 63 FR 57499, Oct. 27, 1998; 64 FR 28590, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40423, June 12, 2002; 73 FR 4342, Jan. 24, 2008]

§ 75.12 Specific provisions for monitoring NO_X emission rate.

(a) *Coal-fired units, gas-fired nonpeaking units or oil-fired nonpeaking units.* The owner or operator shall meet the general operating requirements in § 75.10 of this part for a NO_X continuous emission monitoring system (CEMS) for each affected coal-fired unit, gas-fired nonpeaking unit, or oil-fired nonpeaking unit, except as provided in paragraph (d) of this section, § 75.17, and subpart E of this part. The diluent gas monitor in the NO_X-diluent CEMS may measure either O_2 or CO_2 concentration in the flue gases.

(b) *Moisture correction.* If a correction for the stack gas moisture content is needed to properly calculate the NO_X emission rate in lb/mmBtu, e.g., if the NO_X pollutant concentration monitor measures on a different moisture basis from the diluent monitor, the owner or operator shall either report a fuel-specific default moisture value for each unit operating hour, as provided in § 75.11(b)(1), or shall install, operate, maintain, and quality assure a continuous moisture monitoring system, as defined in § 75.11(b)(2). Notwithstanding this requirement, if Equation 19–3, 19–4 or 19–8 in Method 19 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter is used to measure NO_X emission rate, the following

fuel-specific default moisture percentages shall be used in lieu of the default values specified in § 75.11(b)(1): 5.0%, for anthracite coal; 8.0% for bituminous coal; 12.0% for sub-bituminous coal; 13.0% for lignite coal; 15.0% for wood and 18.0% for natural gas (boilers, only).

(c) *Determination of NO_X emission rate.* The owner or operator shall calculate hourly, quarterly, and annual NO_X emission rates (in lb/mmBtu) by combining the NO_X concentration (in ppm), diluent concentration (in percent O_2 or CO_2), and percent moisture (if applicable) measurements according to the procedures in appendix F to this part.

(d) *Gas-fired peaking units or oil-fired peaking units.* The owner or operator of an affected unit that qualifies as a gas-fired peaking unit or oil-fired peaking unit, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter, based on information submitted by the designated representative in the monitoring plan shall comply with one of the following:

(1) Meet the general operating requirements in § 75.10 for a NO_X continuous emission monitoring system; or

(2) Provide information satisfactory to the Administrator using the procedure specified in appendix E of this part for estimating hourly NO_X emission rate. However, if in the years after certification of an excepted monitoring system under appendix E of this part, a unit's operations exceed a capacity factor of 20 percent in any calendar year or exceed a capacity factor of 10.0 percent averaged over three years, the owner or operator shall install, certify, and operate a NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system no later than December 31 of the following calendar year. If the required CEMS has not been installed and certified by that date, the owner or operator shall report the maximum potential NO_X emission rate (MER) (as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter) for each unit operating hour, starting with the first unit operating hour after the deadline and continuing until the CEMS has been provisionally certified.

(e) *Low mass emissions units.* Notwithstanding the requirements of paragraphs (a) and (d) of this section, the owner or operator of an affected unit that qualifies as a low mass emissions unit under § 75.19(a) and (b) shall comply with one of the following:

(1) Meet the general operating requirements in § 75.10 for a NO_X continuous emission monitoring system;

(2) Meet the requirements specified in paragraph (d)(2) of this section for using the excepted monitoring procedures in appendix E to this part, if applicable; or

(3) Use the low mass emissions excepted methodology in § 75.19(c) for estimating hourly NO_X emission rate and hourly NO_X mass emissions, if applicable under § 75.19(a) and (b). If this option is selected for NO_X, the LME methodology must also be used for SO_2 and CO_2 when these parameters are required to be monitored by applicable program(s).

(f) *Other units.* The owner or operator of an affected unit that combusts wood, refuse, or other material in addition to oil or gas shall comply with the monitoring provisions specified in paragraph (a) of this section.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26520, May 17, 1995; 63 FR 57499, Oct. 27, 1998; 64 FR 28591, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40423, June 12, 2002; 73 FR 4342, Jan. 24, 2008]

§ 75.13 Specific provisions for monitoring CO_2 emissions.

(a) *CO_2 continuous emission monitoring system.* If the owner or operator chooses to use the continuous emission monitoring method, then the owner or operator shall meet the general operating requirements in § 75.10 for a CO_2 continuous emission monitoring system and flow monitoring system for each affected unit. The owner or operator shall comply with the applicable provisions specified in §§ 75.11(a) through (e) or § 75.16, except that the phrase "CO_2 continuous emission monitoring system" shall apply rather than "SO_2 continuous emission monitoring system," the phrase "CO_2 concentration" shall apply rather than "SO_2 concentration," the term "maximum potential concentration of CO_2" shall apply rather than "maximum potential concentration of SO_2," and the phrase "CO_2 mass emissions" shall apply rather than "SO_2 mass emissions."

(b) *Determination of CO_2 emissions using appendix G to this part.* If the owner or operator chooses to use the

appendix G method, then the owner or operator shall follow the procedures in appendix G to this part for estimating daily CO_2 mass emissions based on the measured carbon content of the fuel and the amount of fuel combusted. For units with wet flue gas desulfurization systems or other add-on emissions controls generating CO_2, the owner or operator shall use the procedures in appendix G to this part to estimate both combustion-related emissions based on the measured carbon content of the fuel and the amount of fuel combusted and sorbent-related emissions based on the amount of sorbent injected. The owner or operator shall calculate daily, quarterly, and annual CO_2 mass emissions (in tons) in accordance with the procedures in appendix G to this part.

(c) *Determination of CO_2 mass emissions using an O_2 monitor according to appendix F to this part.* If the owner or operator chooses to use the appendix F method, then the owner or operator shall determine hourly CO_2 concentration and mass emissions with a flow monitoring system; a continuous O_2 concentration monitor; fuel F and F_c factors; and, where O_2 concentration is measured on a dry basis (or where Equation F–14b in appendix F to this part is used to determine CO_2 concentration), either, a continuous moisture monitoring system, as specified in § 75.11(b)(2), or a fuel-specific default moisture percentage (if applicable), as defined in § 75.11(b)(1); and by using the methods and procedures specified in appendix F to this part. For units using a common stack, multiple stack, or bypass stack, the owner or operator may use the provisions of § 75.16, except that the phrase ''CO_2 continuous emission monitoring system'' shall apply rather than ''SO_2 continuous emission monitoring system,'' the term ''maximum potential concentration of CO_2'' shall apply rather than ''maximum potential concentration of SO_2,'' and the phrase ''CO_2 mass emissions'' shall apply rather than ''SO_2 mass emissions.''

(d) *Determination of CO_2 mass emissions from low mass emissions units.* The owner or operator of a unit that qualifies as a low mass emissions unit under § 75.19(a) and (b) shall comply with one of the following:

(1) Meet the general operating requirements in § 75.10 for a CO_2 continuous emission monitoring system and flow monitoring system;

(2) Meet the requirements specified in paragraph (b) or (c) of this section for use of the methods in appendix G or F to this part, respectively; or

(3) Use the low mass emissions excepted methodology in § 75.19(c) for estimating hourly CO_2 mass emissions, if applicable under § 75.19(a) and (b). If this option is selected for CO_2, the LME methodology must also be used for NO_X and SO_2 when these parameters are required to be monitored by applicable program(s).

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26521, May 17, 1995; 63 FR 57499, Oct. 27, 1998; 64 FR 28591, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40423, June 12, 2002; 73 FR 4343, Jan. 24, 2008]

§ 75.14 Specific provisions for monitoring opacity.

(a) *Coal-fired units and oil-fired units.* The owner or operator shall meet the general operating provisions in § 75.10 of this part for a continuous opacity monitoring system for each affected coal-fired or oil-fired unit, except as provided in paragraphs (b), (c), and (d) of this section and in § 75.18. Each continuous opacity monitoring system shall meet the design, installation, equipment, and performance specifications in Performance Specification 1 in appendix B to part 60 of this chapter. Any continuous opacity monitoring system previously certified to meet Performance Specification 1 shall be deemed certified for the purposes of this part.

(b) *Unit with wet flue gas pollution control system.* If the owner or operator can demonstrate that condensed water is present in the exhaust flue gas stream and would impede the accuracy of opacity measurements, then the owner or operator of an affected unit equipped with a wet flue gas pollution control system for SO_2 emissions or particulates is exempt from the opacity monitoring requirements of this part.

(c) *Gas-fired units.* The owner or operator of an affected unit that qualifies as gas-fired, as defined in § 72.2 of this

chapter, based on information submitted by the designated representative in the monitoring plan is exempt from the opacity monitoring requirements of this part. Whenever a unit previously categorized as a gas-fired unit is recategorized as another type of unit by changing its fuel mix, the owner or operator shall install, operate, and certify a continuous opacity monitoring system as required by paragraph (a) of this section by December 31 of the following calendar year.

(d) *Diesel-fired units and dual-fuel reciprocating engine units.* The owner or operator of an affected diesel-fired unit or a dual-fuel reciprocating engine unit is exempt from the opacity monitoring requirements of this part.

(e) Unit with a certified particulate matter (PM) monitoring system. If, for a particular affected unit, the owner or operator installs, certifies, operates, maintains, and quality-assures a continuous particulate matter (PM) monitoring system in accordance with Procedure 2 in appendix F to part 60 of this chapter, the unit shall be exempt from the opacity monitoring requirement of this part.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 61 FR 25581, May 22, 1996; 73 FR 4343, Jan. 24, 2008]

§ 75.15 [Reserved]

§ 75.16 Special provisions for monitoring emissions from common, bypass, and multiple stacks for SO_2 emissions and heat input determinations.

(a) [Reserved]

(b) *Common stack procedures.* The following procedures shall be used when more than one unit uses a common stack:

(1) *Unit utilizing common stack with other affected unit(s).* When a Phase I or Phase II affected unit utilizes a common stack with one or more other Phase I or Phase II affected units, but no nonaffected units, the owner or operator shall either:

(i) Install, certify, operate, and maintain an SO_2 continuous emission monitoring system and flow monitoring system in the duct to the common stack from each affected unit; or

(ii) Install, certify, operate, and maintain an SO_2 continuous emission monitoring system and flow monitoring system in the common stack and combine emissions for the affected units for recordkeeping and compliance purposes.

(2) *Unit utilizing common stack with nonaffected unit(s).* When one or more Phase I or Phase II affected units utilizes a common stack with one or more nonaffected units, the owner or operator shall either:

(i) Install, certify, operate, and maintain an SO_2 continuous emission monitoring system and flow monitoring system in the duct to the common stack from each Phase I and Phase II unit; or

(ii) Install, certify, operate, and maintain an SO_2 continuous emission monitoring system and flow monitoring system in the common stack; and

(A) Designate the nonaffected units as opt-in units in accordance with part 74 of this chapter and combine emissions for recordkeeping and compliance purposes; or

(B) Install, certify, operate, and maintain an SO_2 continuous emission monitoring system and flow monitoring system in the duct from each nonaffected unit; determine SO_2 mass emissions from the affected units as the difference between SO_2 mass emissions measured in the common stack and SO_2 mass emissions measured in the ducts of the nonaffected units, not to be reported as an hourly average value less than zero; combine emissions for the Phase I and Phase II affected units for recordkeeping and compliance purposes; and calculate and report SO_2 mass emissions from the Phase I and Phase II affected units, pursuant to an approach approved by the Administrator, such that these emissions are not underestimated; or

(C) Record the combined emissions from all units as the combined SO_2 mass emissions for the Phase I and Phase II affected units for recordkeeping and compliance purposes; or

(D) Petition through the designated representative and provide information satisfactory to the Administrator on methods for apportioning SO_2 mass emissions measured in the common stack to each of the units using the common stack and on reporting the SO_2 mass emissions. The Administrator

may approve such demonstrated substitute methods for apportioning and reporting SO_2 mass emissions measured in a common stack whenever the demonstration ensures that there is a complete and accurate accounting of all emissions regulated under this part and, in particular, that the emissions from any affected unit are not underestimated.

(c) *Unit with bypass stack.* Whenever any portion of the flue gases from an affected unit can be routed through a bypass stack so as to avoid the installed SO_2 continuous emission monitoring system and flow monitoring system, the owner or operator shall either:

(1) Install, certify, operate, and maintain separate SO_2 continuous emission monitoring systems and flow monitoring systems on the main stack and the bypass stack and calculate SO_2 mass emissions for the unit as the sum of the SO_2 mass emissions measured at the two stacks; or

(2) Monitor SO_2 mass emissions at the main stack using SO_2 and flow rate monitoring systems and measure SO_2 mass emissions at the bypass stack using the reference methods in § 75.22(b) for SO_2 and flow rate and calculate SO_2 mass emissions for the unit as the sum of the emissions recorded by the installed monitoring systems on the main stack and the emissions measured by the reference method monitoring systems; or

(3) Install, certify, operate, and maintain SO_2 and flow rate monitoring systems only on the main stack. If this option is chosen, report the following values for each hour during which emissions pass through the bypass stack: the maximum potential concentration of SO_2 as determined under section 2.1.1.1 of appendix A to this part (or, if available, the SO_2 concentration measured by a certified monitor located at the control device inlet may be reported instead), and the hourly volumetric flow rate value that would be substituted for the flow monitor installed on the main stack or flue under the missing data procedures in subpart D of this part if data from the flow monitor installed on the main stack or flue were missing for the hour. The maximum potential SO_2 concentration may be specific to the type of fuel combusted in the unit during the bypass (*see* § 75.33(b)(5)). The option in this paragraph, (c)(3), may only be used if use of the bypass stack is limited to unit startup, emergency situations (e.g., malfunction of a flue gas desulfurization system), and periods of routine maintenance of the flue gas desulfurization system or maintenance on the main stack. If this option is chosen, it is not necessary to designate the exhaust configuration as a multiple stack configuration in the monitoring plan required under § 75.53, with respect to SO_2 or any other parameter that is monitored only at the main stack. Calculate SO_2 mass emissions for the unit as the sum of the emissions calculated with the substitute values and the emissions recorded by the SO_2 and flow monitoring systems installed on the main stack.

(d) *Unit with multiple stacks or ducts.* When the flue gases from an affected unit utilize two or more ducts feeding into two or more stacks (that may include flue gases from other affected or nonaffected units), or when the flue gases utilize two or more ducts feeding into a single stack and the owner or operator chooses to monitor in the ducts rather than the stack, the owner or operator shall either:

(1) Install, certify, operate, and maintain an SO_2 continuous emission monitoring system and flow monitoring system in each duct feeding into the stack or stacks and determine SO_2 mass emissions from each affected unit as the sum of the SO_2 mass emissions recorded for each duct; or

(2) Install, certify, operate, and maintain an SO_2 continuous emission monitoring system and flow monitoring system in each stack. Determine SO_2 mass emissions from each affected unit as the sum of the SO_2 mass emissions recorded for each stack. Notwithstanding the prior sentence, if another unit also exhausts flue gases to one or more of the stacks, the owner or operator shall also comply with the applicable common stack requirements of this section to determine and record SO_2 mass emissions from the units using that stack and shall calculate and report SO_2 mass emissions from the affected

units and stacks, pursuant to an approach approved by the Administrator, such that these emissions are not underestimated.

(e) *Heat input rate*. The owner or operator of an affected unit using a common stack, bypass stack, or multiple stacks shall account for heat input rate according to the following:

(1) The owner or operator of an affected unit using a common stack, bypass stack, or multiple stack with a diluent monitor and a flow monitor on each stack may use the flow rate and diluent monitors to determine the heat input rate for the affected unit, using the procedures specified in paragraphs (b) through (d) of this section, except that the term ''heat input rate'' shall apply rather than ''SO_2 mass emissions'' or ''emissions'' and the phrase ''a diluent monitor and a flow monitor'' shall apply rather than ''SO_2 continuous emission monitoring system and flow monitoring system.'' The applicable equation in appendix F to this part shall be used to calculate the heat input rate from the hourly flow rate, diluent monitor measurements, and (if the equation in appendix F requires a correction for the stack gas moisture content) hourly moisture measurements. Notwithstanding the options for combining heat input rate in paragraph (b)(1)(ii) and (b)(2)(ii) of this section, the owner or operator of an affected unit with a diluent monitor and a flow monitor installed on a common stack to determine the combined heat input rate at the common stack shall also determine and report heat input rate to each individual unit, according to paragraph (e)(3) of this section.

(2) In the event that an owner or operator of a unit with a bypass stack does not install and certify a diluent monitor and flow monitoring system in a bypass stack, the owner or operator shall determine total heat input rate to the unit for each unit operating hour during which the bypass stack is used according to the missing data provisions for heat input rate under § 75.36 or the procedures for calculating heat input rate from fuel sampling and analysis in section 5.5 of appendix F to this part.

(3) The owner or operator of an affected unit with a diluent monitor and a flow monitor installed on a common stack to determine heat input rate at the common stack may choose to apportion the heat input rate from the common stack to each affected unit utilizing the common stack by using either of the following two methods, provided that all of the units utilizing the common stack are combusting fuel with the same F-factor found in section 3 of appendix F of this part. The heat input rate may be apportioned either by using the ratio of load (in MWe) for each individual unit to the total load for all units utilizing the common stack or by using the ratio of steam load (in 1000 lb/hr or mmBtu/hr thermal output) for each individual unit to the total steam load for all units utilizing the common stack, in conjunction with the appropriate unit and stack operating times. If using either of these apportionment methods, the owner or operator shall apportion according to section 5.6 of appendix F to this part.

(4) Notwithstanding paragraph (e)(1) of this section, any affected unit that is using the procedures in this part to meet the monitoring and reporting requirements of a State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program must also meet the requirements for monitoring heat input rate in §§ 75.71, 75.72 and 75.75.

[60 FR 26522, May 17, 1995, as amended at 61 FR 25582, May 22, 1996; 61 FR 59158, Nov. 20, 1996; 64 FR 28591, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40423, June 12, 2002; 67 FR 53504, Aug. 16, 2002; 73 FR 4343, Jan. 24, 2008]

§ 75.17 Specific provisions for monitoring emissions from common, bypass, and multiple stacks for NO_X emission rate.

Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraphs (a), (b), (c), and (d) of this section, the owner or operator of an affected unit that is using the procedures in this part to meet the monitoring and reporting requirements of a State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program must also meet the provisions for monitoring NO_X emission rate in §§ 75.71 and 75.72.

(a) *Unit utilizing common stack with other affected unit(s)*. When an affected unit utilizes a common stack with one

or more affected units, but no nonaffected units, the owner or operator shall either:

(1) Install, certify, operate, and maintain a NO_X continuous emission monitoring system in the duct to the common stack from each affected unit; or

(2) Install, certify, operate, and maintain a NO_X continuous emission monitoring system in the common stack and follow the appropriate procedure in paragraphs (a)(2) (i) through (iii) of this section, depending on whether or not the units are required to comply with a NO_X emission limitation (in lb/mmBtu, annual average basis) pursuant to section 407(b) of the Act (referred to hereafter as ''NO_X emission limitation'').

(i) When each of the affected units has a NO_X emission limitation, the designated representative shall submit a compliance plan to the Administrator that indicates:

(A) Each unit will comply with the most stringent NO_X emission limitation of any unit utilizing the common stack; or

(B) Each unit will comply with the applicable NO_X emission limitation by averaging its emissions with the other unit(s) utilizing the common stack, pursuant to the emissions averaging plan submitted under part 76 of this chapter; or

(C) Each unit's compliance with the applicable NO_X emission limit will be determined by a method satisfactory to the Administrator for apportioning to each of the units the combined NO_X emission rate (in lb/mmBtu) measured in the common stack and for reporting the NO_X emission rate, as provided in a petition submitted by the designated representative. The Administrator may approve such demonstrated substitute methods for apportioning and reporting NO_X emission rate measured in a common stack whenever the demonstration ensures that there is a complete and accurate estimation of all emissions regulated under this part and, in particular, that the emissions from any unit with a NO_X emission limitation are not underestimated.

(ii) When none of the affected units has a NO_X emission limitation, the owner or operator and the designated representative have no additional obligations pursuant to section 407 of the Act and may record and report a combined NO_X emission rate (in lb/mmBtu) for the affected units utilizing the common stack.

(iii) When at least one of the affected units has a NO_X emission limitation and at least one of the affected units does not have a NO_X emission limitation, the owner or operator shall either:

(A) Install, certify, operate, and maintain NO_X and diluent monitors in the ducts from the affected units; or

(B) Develop, demonstrate, and provide information satisfactory to the Administrator on methods for apportioning the combined NO_X emission rate (in lb/mmBtu) measured in the common stack on each of the units. The Administrator may approve such demonstrated substitute methods for apportioning the combined NO_X emission rate measured in a common stack whenever the demonstration ensures complete and accurate estimation of all emissions regulated under this part.

(b) *Unit utilizing common stack with nonaffected unit(s).* When one or more affected units utilizes a common stack with one or more nonaffected units, the owner or operator shall either:

(1) Install, certify, operate, and maintain a NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system in the duct from each affected unit; or

(2) Develop, demonstrate, and provide information satisfactory to the Administrator on methods for apportioning the combined NO_X emission rate (in lb/mmBtu) measured in the common stack for each of the units. The Administrator may approve such demonstrated substitute methods for apportioning the combined NO_X emission rate measured in a common stack whenever the demonstration ensures complete and accurate estimation of all emissions regulated under this part.

(c) *Unit with multiple stacks or ducts.* When the flue gases from an affected unit discharge to the atmosphere through two or more stacks or when flue gases from an affected unit utilize two or more ducts feeding into a single stack and the owner or operator chooses to monitor in the ducts rather than the stack, the owner or operator shall monitor the NO_X emission rate in a

way that is representative of each affected unit. Where another unit also exhausts flue gases to one or more of the stacks where monitoring systems are installed, the owner or operator shall also comply with the applicable common stack monitoring requirements of this section. The owner or operator shall either:

(1) Install, certify, operate, and maintain a NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system and a flow monitoring system in each stack or duct and determine the NO_X emission rate for the unit as the Btu-weighted average of the NO_X emission rates measured in the stacks or ducts using the heat input estimation procedures in appendix F to this part. Alternatively, for units that are eligible to use the procedures of appendix D to this part, the owner or operator may monitor heat input and NO_X emission rate at the unit level, in lieu of installing flow monitors on each stack or duct. If this alternative unit-level monitoring is performed, report, for each unit operating hour, the highest emission rate measured by any of the NO_X-diluent monitoring systems installed on the individual stacks or ducts as the hourly NO_X emission rate for the unit, and report the hourly unit heat input as determined under appendix D to this part. Also, when this alternative unit-level monitoring is performed, the applicable NO_X missing data procedures in §§ 75.31 or 75.33 shall be used for each unit operating hour in which a quality-assured NO_X emission rate is not obtained for one or more of the individual stacks or ducts; or

(2) Provided that the products of combustion are well-mixed, install, certify, operate, and maintain a NO_X continuous emission monitoring system in one stack or duct from the affected unit and record the monitored value as the NO_X emission rate for the unit. The owner or operator shall account for NO_X emissions from the unit during all times when the unit combusts fuel. Therefore, this option shall not be used if the monitored stack or duct can be bypassed (*e.g.*, by using dampers). Follow the procedure in § 75.17(d) for units with bypass stacks. Further, this option shall not be used unless the monitored NO_X emission rate truly represents the NO_X emissions discharged to the atmosphere (*e.g.*, the option is disallowed if there are any additional NO_X emission controls downstream of the monitored location).

(d) *Unit with a main stack and bypass stack configuration.* For an affected unit with a discharge configuration consisting of a main stack and a bypass stack, the owner or operator shall either:

(1) Follow the procedures in paragraph (c)(1) of this section; or

(2) Install, certify, operate, and maintain a NO_X-diluent CEMS only on the main stack. If this option is chosen, it is not necessary to designate the exhaust configuration as a multiple stack configuration in the monitoring plan required under § 75.53, with respect to NO_X or any other parameter that is monitored only at the main stack. For each unit operating hour in which the bypass stack is used and the emissions are either uncontrolled (or the add-on controls are not documented to be operating properly), report the maximum potential NO_X emission rate (as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter). The maximum potential NO_X emission rate may be specific to the type of fuel combusted in the unit during the bypass (see § 75.33(c)(8)). Alternatively, for a unit with NO_X add-on emission controls, for each unit operating hour in which the bypass stack is used and the add-on NO_X emission controls are not bypassed, the owner or operator may report the maximum controlled NO_X emission rate (MCR) instead of the maximum potential NO_X emission rate provided that the add-on controls are documented to be operating properly, as described in the quality assurance/quality control program for the unit, required by section 1 in appendix B of this part. To provide the necessary documentation, the owner or operator shall record parametric data to verify the proper operation of the NO_X add-on emission controls as described in § 75.34(d). Furthermore, the owner or operator shall calculate the MCR using the procedure described in section 2.1.2.1(b) of appendix A to this part where the words ''maximum potential NO_X emission rate (MER)'' shall apply

instead of the words ''maximum controlled NO_X emission rate (MCR)'' and by using the NO_X MEC in the calculations instead of the NO_X MPC.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26523, May 17, 1995; 63 FR 57499, Oct. 27, 1998; 64 FR 28592, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40424, June 12, 2002; 73 FR 4343, Jan. 24, 2008]

§ 75.18 Specific provisions for monitoring emissions from common and by-pass stacks for opacity.

(a) *Unit using common stack.* When an affected unit utilizes a common stack with other affected units or non-affected units, the owner or operator shall comply with the applicable monitoring provision in this paragraph, as determined by existing Federal, State, or local opacity regulations.

(1) Where another regulation requires the installation of a continuous opacity monitoring system upon each affected unit, the owner or operator shall install, certify, operate, and maintain a continuous opacity monitoring system meeting Performance Specification 1 in appendix B to part 60 of this chapter (referred to hereafter as a ''certified continuous opacity monitoring system'') upon each unit.

(2) Where another regulation does not require the installation of a continuous opacity monitoring system upon each affected unit, and where the affected source is not subject to any existing Federal, State, or local opacity regulations, the owner or operator shall install, certify, operate, and maintain a certified continuous opacity monitoring system upon each common stack for the combined effluent.

(b) *Unit using bypass stack.* Where any portion of the flue gases from an affected unit can be routed so as to bypass the installed continuous opacity monitoring system, the owner or operator shall install, certify, operate, and maintain a certified continuous opacity monitoring system on each bypass stack flue, duct, or stack gas stream unless either:

(1) An applicable Federal, State, or local opacity regulation or permit exempts the unit from a requirement to install a continuous opacity monitoring system in the bypass stack; or

(2) A continuous opacity monitoring system is already installed and certified at the inlet of the add-on emissions controls.

(3) The owner or operator monitors opacity using method 9 of appendix A of part 60 of this chapter whenever emissions pass through the bypass stack. Method 9 shall be used in accordance with the applicable State regulations.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26524, May 17, 1995; 60 FR 40296, Aug. 8, 1995; 61 FR 59158, Nov. 20, 1996]

§ 75.19 Optional SO_2, NO_X, and CO_2 emissions calculation for low mass emissions (LME) units.

(a) *Applicability and qualification.* (1) For units that meet the requirements of this paragraph (a)(1) and paragraphs (a)(2) and (b) of this section, the low mass emissions (LME) excepted methodology in paragraph (c) of this section may be used in lieu of continuous emission monitoring systems or, if applicable, in lieu of methods under appendices D, E, and G to this part, for the purpose of determining unit heat input, NO_X, SO_2, and CO_2 mass emissions, and NO_X emission rate under this part. If the owner or operator of a qualifying unit elects to use the LME methodology, it must be used for all parameters that are required to be monitored by the applicable program(s). For example, for an Acid Rain Program LME unit, the methodology must be used to estimate SO_2, NO_X, and CO_2 mass emissions, NO_X emission rate, and unit heat input.

(i) A low mass emissions unit is an affected unit that is gas-fired, or oil-fired (as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter), and for which:

(A) An initial demonstration is provided, in accordance with paragraph (a)(2) of this section, which shows that the unit emits:

(*1*) No more than 25 tons of SO_2 annually and less than 100 tons of NO_X annually, for Acid Rain Program affected units. If the unit is also subject to the provisions of subpart H of this part, no more than 50 of the allowable annual tons of NO_X may be emitted during the ozone season; or

(*2*) Less than 100 tons of NO_X annually *and* no more than 50 tons of NO_X during the ozone season, for non-Acid

Rain Program units subject to the provisions of subpart H of this part, for which the owner or operator reports emissions data on a year-round basis, in accordance with § 75.74(a) or § 75.74(b); or

(*3*) No more than 50 tons of NO_X per ozone season, for non-Acid Rain Program units subject to the provisions of subpart H of this part, for which the owner or operator reports emissions data only during the ozone season, in accordance with § 75.74(b); and

(B) An annual demonstration is provided thereafter, using one of the allowable methodologies in paragraph (c) of this section, showing that the low mass emissions unit continues to emit no more than the applicable number of tons of SO_2 and/or NO_X specified in paragraph (a)(1)(i)(A) of this section.

(C) This paragraph, (a)(1)(i)(C), applies only to a unit that is subject to an SO_2 emission limitation under the Acid Rain Program, and that combusts a gaseous fuel other than pipeline natural gas or natural gas (as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter). The owner or operator of such a unit must quantify the sulfur content and variability of the gaseous fuel by performing the demonstration described in section 2.3.6 of appendix D to this part, in order for the unit to qualify for LME unit status. If the results of that demonstration show that the gaseous fuel qualifies under paragraph (b) of section 2.3.6 to use a default SO_2 emission rate to report SO_2 mass emissions under this part, the unit is eligible for LME unit status.

(ii) Each qualifying LME unit must start using the low mass emissions excepted methodology as follows:

(A) For a unit that reports emission data on a year-round basis, begin using the methodology in the first unit operating hour in the calendar year designated in the certification application as the first year that the methodology will be used; or

(B) For a unit that is subject to Subpart H of this part and that reports only during the ozone season according to § 75.74(c), begin using the methodology in the first unit operating hour in the ozone season designated in the certification application as the first ozone season that the methodology will be used.

(C) For a new or newly-affected unit, see paragraph (b)(4) of this section for additional guidance.

(2) A unit may initially qualify as a low mass emissions unit if the designated representative submits a certification application to use the LME methodology (as described in § 75.63(a)(1)(ii) and in this paragraph, (a)(2)) and the Administrator (or permitting authority, as applicable) certifies the use of such methodology. The certification application shall be submitted no later than 45 days prior to the date on which use of the low mass emissions methodology is expected to commence, and the application must contain:

(i) A statement identifying the projected date on which the LME methodology will first be used. The projected commencement date shall be consistent with paragraphs (a)(1)(ii) and (b)(4) of this section, as applicable; and

(ii) Either:

(A) Actual SO_2 and/or NO_X mass emissions data (as applicable) for each of the three calendar years (or ozone seasons) prior to the calendar year in which the certification application is submitted demonstrating to the satisfaction of the Administrator or (if applicable) the permitting authority, that the unit emitted less than the applicable number of tons of SO_2 and/or NO_X specified in paragraph (a)(1)(i)(A) of this section. For the purposes of this paragraph, (a)(2)(ii)(A), the required actual SO_2 or NO_X mass emissions for each qualifying year or ozone season shall be determined using the SO_2, NO_X and heat input data reported to the Administrator in the electronic quarterly reports required under § 75.64 or under the Ozone Transport Commission (OTC) NO_X Budget Trading Program. Notwithstanding this requirement, in the absence of such electronic reports, an estimate of the actual emissions for each of the previous three years (or ozone seasons) shall be provided, using either the maximum rated heat input methodology described in paragraph (c)(3)(i) of this section or procedures consistent with the long term fuel flow heat input methodology described in paragraph (c)(3)(ii) of this section, in

conjunction with the appropriate SO_2 or NO_X emission rate from paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section for SO_2, and paragraph (c)(1)(ii) or (c)(1)(iv) of this section for NO_X. Alternatively, the initial estimate of the NO_X emission rate may be based on historical emission test data that is representative of operation at normal load or historical data from a CEMS certified under part 60 of this chapter or under a state CEM program; or

(B) When the three full years (or ozone seasons) of actual SO_2 and NO_X mass emissions data (or reliable estimates thereof) described under paragraph (a)(2)(ii)(A) of this section do not exist, the designated representative may submit an application to use the low mass emissions excepted methodology based upon a combination of actual historical SO_2 and NO_X mass emissions data and projected SO_2 and NO_X mass emissions, totaling three years (or ozone seasons). Except as provided in paragraph (a)(3) of this section, actual data must be used for any years (or ozone seasons) in which such data exists and projected data should be used for any remaining future years (or ozone seasons) needed to provide emissions data for three consecutive calendar years (or ozone seasons). For example, if a unit commenced operation two years ago, the designated representative may submit actual, historical data for the previous two years and one year of projected emissions for the current calendar year or, for a new unit, the designated representative may submit three years of projected emissions, beginning with the current calendar year. Any actual or projected annual emissions must demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Administrator that the unit will emit less than the applicable number of tons of SO_2 and/or NO_X specified in paragraph (a)(1)(i)(A) of this section. Projected emissions shall be calculated using either the appropriate default emission rates from paragraphs (c)(1)(i) and (c)(1)(ii) of this section (or, alternatively for NO_X, a conservative estimate of the NO_X emission rate, as described in paragraph (a)(4) of this section), in conjunction with projections of unit operating hours or fuel type and fuel usage, according to one of the allowable calculation methodologies in paragraph (c) of this section; and

(iii) A description of the methodology from paragraph (c) of this section that will be used to demonstrate ongoing compliance under paragraph (b) of this section; and

(iv) Appropriate documentation demonstrating that the unit is eligible to use projected emissions to qualify for LME status under paragraph (a)(3) of this section (if applicable).

(3) In the following circumstances, projected emissions for a future year (or years) may be used in lieu of the actual emissions data from one (or more) of the three years (or ozone seasons) preceding the year of the certification application:

(i) If the owner or operator takes an enforceable permit restriction on the number of annual or ozone season unit operating hours for the future year (or years), such that the unit will emit no more than the applicable number of tons of SO_2 and/or NO_X specified in paragraph (a)(1)(i)(A) of this section; or

(ii) If the actual emissions for one (or more) of the three years (or ozone seasons) prior to the year of the certification application is not representative of the present and expected future emissions from the unit, because the owner or operator has recently installed emission controls on the unit.

(4) When the owner or operator elects to demonstrate initial LME qualification and on-going compliance using a fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate in accordance with paragraph (c)(1)(iv) of this section, there will be instances (e.g., for a new or newly-affected unit) where it is not possible to determine that NO_X emission rate prior to submitting the certification application. In such cases, if the generic default NO_X emission rates in Table LM–2 of this section are inappropriately high for the unit, the owner or operator may use a more representative, but conservatively high estimate of the expected NO_X emission rate, for the purposes of the initial monitoring plan submittal and to calculate the unit's projected annual or ozone season emissions under paragraph (a)(2)(ii)(B) of this section. For example, the NO_X emission rate could, as described in paragraph (a)(2)(ii)(A) of this section,

be estimated using historical CEM data or historical emission test data that is representative of operation at normal load. The NO_X emission limit specified in the operating permit for the unit could also be used to estimate the NO_X emission rate (except for units equipped with SCR or SNCR), or, consistent with paragraph (c)(1)(iv)(C)(*4*) of this section, for a unit that uses SCR or SNCR to control NO_X emissions, an estimated default NO_X emission rate of 0.15 lb/mmBtu could be used. However, these estimated NO_X emission rates may not be used for reporting purposes in the time period extending from the first hour in which the LME methodology is used to the date and hour on which the fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate testing is completed. Rather, in that interval, the owner or operator shall either report the appropriate default NO_X emission rate from Table LM–2, or shall report the maximum potential NO_X emission rate, calculated in accordance with § 72.2 of this chapter and section 2.1.2.1 of appendix A to this part. Then, beginning with the first unit operating hour after completion of the tests, the appropriate default NO_X emission rate(s) obtained from the fuel-and-unit-specific testing shall be used for emissions reporting.

(b) *On-going qualification and disqualification*. (1) Once a low mass emissions unit has qualified for and has started using the low mass emissions excepted methodology, an annual demonstration is required, showing that the unit continues to emit no more than the applicable number of tons of SO_2 and/or NO_X specified in paragraph (a)(1)(i)(A) of this section. The calculation methodology used for the annual demonstration shall be the methodology described in the certification application under paragraph (a)(2)(iii) of this section.

(2) If any low mass emissions unit fails to provide the required annual demonstration under paragraph (b)(1) of this section, such that the calculated cumulative emissions for the unit exceed the applicable number of tons of SO_2 and/or NO_X specified in paragraph (a)(1)(i)(A) of this section at the end of any calendar year or ozone season, then:

(i) The low mass emissions unit shall be disqualified from using the low mass emissions excepted methodology; and

(ii) The owner or operator of the low mass emissions unit shall install and certify monitoring systems that meet the requirements of §§ 75.11, 75.12, and 75.13, and shall report SO_2 (Acid Rain Program units, only), NO_X, and CO_2 (Acid Rain Program units, only) emissions data and heat input data from such monitoring systems by December 31 of the calendar year following the year in which the unit exceeded the number of tons of SO_2 and/or NO_X specified in paragraph (a)(1)(i)(A) of this section; and

(iii) If the required monitoring systems have not been installed and certified by the applicable deadline in paragraph (b)(2)(ii) of this section, the owner or operator shall report the following values for each unit operating hour, beginning with the first operating hour after the deadline and continuing until the monitoring systems have been provisionally certified: the maximum potential hourly heat input for the unit, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter; the SO_2 emissions, in lb/hr, calculated using the applicable default SO_2 emission rate from paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section and the maximum potential hourly unit heat input; the CO_2 emissions, in tons/hr, calculated using the applicable default CO_2 emission rate from paragraph (c)(1)(iii) of this section and the maximum potential hourly unit heat input; and the maximum potential NO_X emission rate, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter.

(3) If a low mass emissions unit that initially qualifies to use the low mass emissions excepted methodology under this section changes fuels, such that a fuel other than those allowed for use in the low mass emissions methodology is combusted in the unit, the unit shall be disqualified from using the low mass emissions excepted methodology as of the first hour that the new fuel is combusted in the unit. The owner or operator shall install and certify SO_2 (Acid Rain Program units, only), NO_X, and CO_2 (Acid Rain Program units, only) and flow (if necessary) monitoring systems that meet the requirements of §§ 75.11, 75.12, and 75.13 prior to a change

to such fuel, and shall report emissions data from such monitoring systems beginning with the date and hour on which the new fuel is first combusted in the unit. If the required monitoring systems are not installed and certified prior to the fuel switch, the owner or operator shall report (as applicable) the maximum potential concentration of SO_2, CO_2 and NO_X, the maximum potential NO_X emission rate, the maximum potential flowrate, the maximum potential hourly heat input and the maximum (or minimum, if appropriate) potential moisture percentage, from the date and hour of the fuel switch until the monitoring systems are certified or until probationary calibration error tests of the monitors are passed and the conditional data validation procedures in § 75.20(b)(3) begin to be used. All maximum and minimum potential values shall be specific to the new fuel and shall be determined in a manner consistent with section 2 of appendix A to this part and § 72.2 of this chapter. The owner or operator must notify the Administrator (or the permitting authority) in the case where a unit switches fuels without previously having installed and certified a SO_2, NO_X and CO_2 monitoring system meeting the requirements of §§ 75.11, 75.12, and 75.13.

(4) If a new of newly-affected unit initially qualifies to use the low mass emissions excepted methodology under this section and the owner or operator wants to use the low mass emissions methodology for the unit, he or she must:

(i) Keep the records specified in paragraph (c)(2) of this section, beginning with the date and hour of commencement of commercial operation, for a new unit subject to an Acid Rain emission limitation, and beginning with the date and hour of the commencement of operation, for a new unit subject to a NO_X mass reduction program under subpart H of this part. For newly-affected units, the records in paragraph (c)(2) of this section shall be kept as follows:

(A) For Acid Rain Program units, begin keeping the records as of the first hour of commercial operation of the unit following the date on which the unit becomes affected; or

(B) For units subject to a NO_X mass reduction program under subpart H of this part, begin keeping the records as of the first hour of unit operation following the date on which the unit becomes an affected unit;

(ii) Use these records to determine the cumulative heat input and SO_2, CO_2, and/or NO_X mass emissions in order to continue to qualify as a low mass emissions unit; and

(iii) Determine the cumulative SO_2 and/or NO_X mass emissions according to paragraph (c) of this section using the same procedures used after the certification deadline for the unit, for purposes of demonstrating eligibility to use the excepted methodology set forth in this section. For example, use the default emission rates in Tables LM–1, LM–2, and LM–3 of this section or use the fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate determined according to paragraph (c)(1)(iv) of this section. For Acid Rain Program LME units, the Administrator will not count SO_2 mass emissions calculated for the period between commencement of commercial operation and the certification deadline for the unit under § 75.4 against SO_2 allowances to be held in the unit account.

(5) A low mass emissions unit that has been disqualified from using the low mass emissions excepted methodology may subsequently submit an application to qualify again to use the low mass emissions methodology under paragraph (a)(2) of this section only if, following the non-compliant year (or ozone season), at least three full years (or ozone seasons) of actual, monitored emissions data is obtained showing that the unit emitted no more than the applicable number of tons of SO_2 and/or NO_X specified in paragraph (a)(1)(i)(A) of this section. Further, the designated representative or authorized account representative must certify in the application that the unit operation for the years or ozone seasons for which the emissions were monitored are representative of the projected future operation of the unit.

(c) *Low mass emissions excepted methodology, calculations, and values*—(1) *Determination of SO_2, NO_X, and CO_2 emission rates.*

(i) If the unit combusts only natural gas and/or fuel oil, use Table LM–1 of

this section to determine the appropriate SO_2 emission rate for use in calculating hourly SO_2 mass emissions under this section. Alternatively, for fuel oil combustion, a lower, fuel-specific SO_2 emission factor may be used in lieu of the applicable emission factor from Table LM–1, if a federally enforceable permit condition is in place that limits the sulfur content of the oil. If this alternative is chosen, the fuel-specific SO_2 emission rate in lb/mmBtu shall be calculated by multiplying the fuel sulfur content limit (weight percent sulfur) by 1.01. In addition, the owner or operator shall periodically determine the sulfur content of the oil combusted in the unit, using one of the oil sampling and analysis options described in section 2.2 of appendix D to this part, and shall keep records of these fuel sampling results in a format suitable for inspection and auditing. Alternatively, the required oil sampling and associated recordkeeping may be performed using a consensus standard (e.g., ASTM, API, etc.) that is prescribed in the unit's Federally-enforceable operating permit, in an applicable State regulation, or in another applicable Federal regulation. If the unit combusts gaseous fuel(s) other than natural gas, the owner or operator shall use the procedures in section 2.3.6 of appendix D to this part to document the total sulfur content of each such fuel and to determine the appropriate default SO2 emission rate for each such fuel.

(ii) If the unit combusts only natural gas and/or fuel oil, use either the appropriate NO_X emission factor from Table LM–2 of this section, or a fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate determined according to paragraph (c)(1)(iv) of this section, to calculate hourly NO_X mass emissions under this section. If the unit combusts a gaseous fuel other than pipeline natural gas or natural gas, the owner or operator shall determine a fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate according to paragraph (c)(1)(iv) of this section.

(iii) If the unit combusts only natural gas and/or fuel oil, use Table LM–3 of this section to determine the appropriate CO_2 emission rate for use in calculating hourly CO_2 mass emissions under this section (Acid Rain Program units, only). If the unit combusts a gaseous fuel other than pipeline natural gas or natural gas, the owner or operator shall determine a fuel-and-unit-specific CO_2 emission rate for the fuel, as follows:

(A) Derive a carbon-based F-factor for the fuel, using fuel sampling and analysis, as described in section 3.3.6 of appendix F to this part; and

(B) Use Equation G–4 in appendix G to this part to derive the default CO_2 emission rate. Rearrange the equation, solving it for the ratio of W_{CO2}/H (this ratio will yield an emission rate, in units of tons/mmBtu). Then, substitute the carbon-based F-factor determined in paragraph (c)(1)(iii)(A) of this section into the rearranged equation to determine the default CO_2 emission rate for the unit.

(iv) In lieu of using the default NO_X emission rate from Table LM–2 of this section, the owner or operator may, for each fuel combusted by a low mass emissions unit, determine a fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate for the purpose of calculating NO_X mass emissions under this section. This option may be used by any unit which qualifies to use the low mass emission excepted methodology under paragraph (a) of this section, and also by groups of units which combust fuel from a common source of supply and which use the long term fuel flow methodology under paragraph (c)(3)(ii) of this section to determine heat input. The testing must be completed in a timely manner, such that the test results are reported electronically no later than the end of the calendar year or ozone season in which the LME methodology is first used. If this option is chosen, the following procedures shall be used.

(A) Except as otherwise provided in paragraphs (c)(1)(iv)(F), (c)(1)(iv)(G), and (c)(1)(iv)(I) of this section, determine a fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate by conducting a four load NO_X emission rate test procedure as specified in section 2.1 of appendix E to this part, for each type of fuel combusted in the unit. For a group of units sharing a common fuel supply, the appendix E testing must be performed on each individual unit in the group, unless some or all of the units in the group belong to an identical group of

units, as defined in paragraph (c)(1)(iv)(B) of this section, in which case, representative testing may be conducted on units in the identical group of units, as described in paragraph (c)(1)(iv)(B) of this section. For the purposes of this section, make the following modifications to the appendix E test procedures:

(*1*) Do not measure the heat input as required under 2.1.3 of appendix E to this part.

(*2*) Do not plot the test results as specified under 2.1.6 of appendix E to this part.

(*3*) Do not correct the NO_X concentration to 15% O_2.

(*4*) If the testing is performed on an uncontrolled diffusion flame turbine, a correction to the observed average NO_X concentration from each run of the test must be applied using the following Equation LM–1a.

$$NO_{X_{corr}} = NO_{X_{obs}} \left(\frac{P_r}{P_o}\right)^{0.5} e^{19(H_o - H_r)} \left(\frac{T_r}{T_a}\right)^{1.53} \qquad \text{(Eq. LM-1a)}$$

Where:

$NO_{X_{corr}}$ = Corrected NO_X concentration (ppm).

$NO_{X_{obs}}$ = Average measured NO_X concentration for each run of the test (ppm).

P_r = Average annual atmospheric pressure (or average ozone season atmospheric pressure for a Subpart H unit that reports data only during the ozone season) at the nearest weather station (e.g., a standardized NOAA weather station located at the airport) for the year (or ozone season) prior to the year of the test (mm Hg).

P_o = Observed atmospheric pressure during the test run (mm Hg).

H_r = Average annual atmospheric humidity ratio (or average ozone season humidity ratio for a Subpart H unit that reports data only during the ozone season) at the nearest weather station, for the year (or ozone season) prior to the year of the test (g H_2O/g air).

H_o = Observed humidity ratio during the test run (g H_2O/g air).

T_r = Average annual atmospheric temperature (or average ozone season atmospheric temperature for a Subpart H unit that reports data only during the ozone season) at the nearest weather station, for the year (or ozone season) prior to the year of the test (° K).

T_a = Observed atmospheric temperature during the test run (° K).

(B) Representative appendix E testing may be done on low mass emission units in a group of identical units. All of the units in a group of identical units must combust the same fuel type but do not have to share a common fuel supply.

(*1*) To be considered identical, all low mass emission units must be of the same size (based on maximum rated hourly heat input), manufacturer and model, and must have the same history of modifications (e.g., have the same controls installed, the same types of burners and have undergone major overhauls at the same frequency (based on hours of operation)). Also, under similar operating conditions, the stack or turbine outlet temperature of each unit must be within ±50 degrees Fahrenheit of the average stack or turbine outlet temperature for all of the units.

(*2*) If all of the low mass emission units in the group qualify as identical, then representative testing of the units in the group may be performed according to Table LM–4 of this section.

(*3*) [Reserved]

(*4*) If the acceptance criteria in paragraph (c)(1)(iv)(B)(*1*) of this section are not met then the group of low mass emission units is not considered an identical group of units and individual appendix E testing of each unit is required.

(*5*) Fuel and unit specific NO_X emission rates determined according to paragraphs (c)(1)(iv)(F) and (c)(1)(iv)(G) of this section may be used in lieu of appendix E testing for one or more low mass emission units in a group of identical units.

(C) Based on the results of the part 75 appendix E testing, determine the fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate as follows:

(*1*) Except for LME units that use selective catalytic reduction (SCR) or selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR) to control NO_X emissions, the highest three-run average NO_X emission rate obtained at any load in the appendix E test for a particular type of fuel shall be the fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate, for that type of fuel.

(*2*) [Reserved]

(*3*) For a group of identical low mass emissions units (except for units that use SCR or SNCR to control NO_X emissions), the fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate for all units in the group, for a particular type of fuel, shall be the highest three-run average NO_X emission rate obtained at any tested load from any unit tested in the group, for that type of fuel.

(*4*) Except as provided in paragraphs (c)(1)(iv)(C)(*7*) and (c)(1)(iv)(C)(*8*) of this section, for an individual low mass emissions unit which uses SCR or SNCR to control NO_X emissions, the fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate for each type of fuel combusted in the unit shall be the higher of:

(*i*) The highest three-run average emission rate from any load of the appendix E test for that type of fuel; or

(*ii*) 0.15 lb/mmBtu.

(*5*) [Reserved]

(*6*) Except as provided in paragraphs (c)(1)(iv)(C)(*7*) and (c)(1)(iv)(C)(*8*) of this section, for a group of identical low mass emissions units that are all equipped with SCR or SNCR to control NO_X emissions, the fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate for each unit in the group of units, for a particular type of fuel, shall be the higher of:

(*i*) The highest three-run average NO_X emission rate at any load from all appendix E tests of all tested units in the group, for that type of fuel; or

(*ii*) 0.15 lb/mmBtu.

(*7*) Notwithstanding the requirements of paragraphs (c)(1)(iv)(C)(*4*) and (c)(1)(iv)(C)(*6*) of this section, for a unit (or group of identical units) equipped with SCR (or SNCR) *and* water (or steam) injection to control NO_X emissions:

(*i*) If the appendix E testing is performed when the water (or steam) injection is in use *and* either upstream of the SCR or SNCR or during a time period when the SCR or SNCR is out of service; then

(*ii*) The highest three-run average emission rate from the appendix E testing may be used as the fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate for the unit (or, if applicable, for each unit in the group), for each unit operating hour in which the water-to-fuel ratio is within the acceptable range established during the appendix E testing.

(*8*) Notwithstanding the requirements of paragraphs (c)(1)(iv)(C)(*4*) and (c)(1)(iv)(C)(*6*) of this section, for a unit (or group of identical units) equipped with SCR (or SNCR) *and* uses dry low-NO_X technology to control NO_X emissions:

(*i*) If the appendix E testing is performed during a time period when the dry low-NO_X controls are in use, but the SCR or SNCR is out of service; then

(*ii*) The highest three-run average emission rate from the appendix E testing may be used as the fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate for the unit (or, if applicable, for each unit in the group), for each unit operating hour in which the parametric data described in paragraph (c)(1)(iv)(H)(*2*) of this section demonstrate that the dry low-NO_X controls are operating in the premixed or low-NO_X mode.

(*9*) For an individual combustion turbine (or a group of identical turbines) that operate principally at base load (or at a set point temperature), but are capable of operating at a higher peak load (or higher internal operating temperature), the fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate for the unit (or for each unit in the group) shall be as follows:

(*i*) If the testing is done only at base load, use the three-run average NO_X emission rate for base load operating hours and 1.15 times that emission rate for peak load operating hours; or

(*ii*) If the testing is done at both base load and peak load, use the three-run average NO_X emission rate from the base load testing for base load operating hours and the three-run average NO_X emission rate from the peak load testing for peak load operating hours.

(D) For each low mass emissions unit, or group of identical units for which the provisions of paragraph

(c)(1)(iv) of this section are used to account for NO_X emission rate, the owner or operator shall determine a new fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate every five years (20 calendar quarters), unless changes in the fuel supply, physical changes to the unit, changes in the manner of unit operation, or changes to the emission controls occur which may cause a significant increase in the unit's actual NO_X emission rate. If such changes occur, the fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate(s) shall be redetermined according to paragraph (c)(1)(iv) of this section. Testing shall be done at the number of loads specified in paragraph (c)(1)(iv)(A) or (c)(1)(iv)(I) of this section, as applicable. If a low mass emissions unit belongs to a group of identical units and it is required to retest to determine a new fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate because of changes in the fuel supply, physical changes to the unit, changes in the manner of unit operation or changes to the emission controls occur which may cause a significant increase in the unit's actual NO_X emission rate, any other unit in that group of identical units is not required to re-determine the fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate unless such unit also undergoes changes in the fuel supply, physical changes to the unit, changes in the manner of unit operation or changes to the emission controls occur which may cause a significant increase in the unit's actual NO_X emission rates.

(E) Each low mass emissions unit or each low mass emissions unit in a group of identical units for which a fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate(s) are determined shall meet the quality assurance and quality control provisions of paragraph (e) of this section.

(F) Low mass emission units may use the results of appendix E testing, if such test results are available from a test conducted no more than five years prior to the time of initial certification, to determine the appropriate fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate(s). However, fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rates from historical testing may not be used longer than five years after the appendix E testing was conducted.

(G) Low mass emissions units for which at least 3 years of quality-assured NO_X emission rate data from a NO_X-diluent CEMS that meets the quality assurance requirements of either: this part, or appendix F to part 60 of this chapter, or a comparable State CEM program, and corresponding fuel usage data are available may determine fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rates from the actual data using the following procedure. Separate the actual NO_X emission rate data into groups, according to the type of fuel combusted. Discard data from periods when multiple fuels were combusted. Each fuel-specific data set must contain at least 168 hours of data and must represent all normal operating ranges of the unit when combusting the fuel. Sort the data in each fuel-specific data set in ascending order according to NO_X emission rate. Determine the 95th percentile NO_X emission rate for each data set as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter. Use the 95th percentile value for each data set as the fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate, except that for a unit that uses SCR or SNCR for NO_X emission control, if the 95th percentile value is less than 0.15 lb/mmBtu, a value of 0.15 lb/mmBtu shall be used as the fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate.

(H) For low mass emission units with add-on NO_X emission controls, and for units that use dry low-NO_X technology, the owner or operator shall, during every hour of unit operation during the test period, monitor and record parameters, as required under paragraph (e)(5) of this section, which indicate that the NO_X emission controls are operating properly. After the test period, these same parameters shall be monitored and recorded and kept for all operating hours in order to determine whether the NO_X controls are operating properly and to allow the determination of the correct NO_X emission rate as required under paragraph (c)(1)(iv) of this section.

(*I*) For low mass emission units with steam or water injection, the steam-to-fuel or water-to-fuel ratio used during the testing must be documented. The water-to-fuel or steam-to-fuel ratio must be maintained during unit operations for a unit to use the fuel and

unit specific NO_X emission rate determined during the test. Owners or operators must include in the monitoring plan the acceptable range of the water-to-fuel or steam-to-fuel ratio, which will be used to indicate hourly, proper operation of the NO_X controls for each unit. The water-to-fuel or steam-to-fuel ratio shall be monitored and recorded during each hour of unit operation. If the water-to-fuel or steam-to-fuel ratio is not within the acceptable range in a given hour the fuel and unit specific NO_X emission rate may not be used for that hour, and the appropriate default NO_X emission rate from Table LM–2 shall be reported instead.

(*2*) For a low mass emissions unit that uses dry low-NO_X premix technology to control NO_X emissions, proper operation of the emission controls means that the unit is in the low-NO_X or premixed combustion mode, and fired with natural gas. Evidence of operation in the low-NO_X or premixed mode shall be provided by monitoring the appropriate turbine operating parameters. These parameters may include percentage of full load, turbine exhaust temperature, combustion reference temperature, compressor discharge pressure, fuel and air valve positions, dynamic pressure pulsations, internal guide vane (IGV) position, and flame detection or flame scanner condition. The acceptable values and ranges for all parameters monitored shall be specified in the monitoring plan for the unit, and the parameters shall be monitored during each subsequent operating hour. If one or more of these parameters is not within the acceptable range or at an acceptable value in a given operating hour, the fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate may not be used for that hour, and the appropriate default NO_X emission rate from Table LM–2 shall be reported instead. When the unit is fired with oil the appropriate default value from Table LM–2 shall be reported.

(*3*) For low mass emission units with other types of add-on NO_X controls, appropriate parameters and the acceptable range of the parameters which indicate hourly proper operation of the NO_X controls must be specified in the monitoring plan. These parameters shall be monitored during each subsequent operating hour. If any of these parameters are not within the acceptable range in a given operating hour, the fuel and unit specific NO_X emission rates may not be used in that hour, and the appropriate default NO_X emission rate from Table LM–2 shall be reported instead.

(I) Notwithstanding the requirements in paragraph (c)(1)(iv)(A) of this section, the appendix E testing to determine (or re-determine) the fuel-specific, unit-specific NO_X emission rate for a unit (or for each unit in a group of identical units) may be performed at fewer than four loads, under the following circumstances:

(*1*) Testing may be done at one load level if the data analysis described in paragraph (c)(1)(iv)(J) of this section is performed and the results show that the unit has operated (or all units in the group of identical units have operated) at a single load level for at least 85.0 percent of all operating hours in the previous three years (12 calendar quarters) prior to the calendar quarter of the appendix E testing. For combustion turbines that are operated to produce approximately constant output (in MW) but which use internal operating and exhaust temperatures and not the actual output in MW to control the operation of the turbine, the internal operating temperature set point may be used as a surrogate for load in demonstrating that the unit qualifies for single-load testing. If the data analysis shows that the unit does not qualify for single-load testing, testing may be done at two (or three) load levels if the unit has operated (or if all units in the group of identical units have operated) cumulatively at two (or three) load levels for at least 85.0 percent of all operating hours in the previous three years; or

(*2*) If a multiple-load appendix E test was initially performed for a unit (or group of identical units) to determine the fuel-and-unit specific NO_X emission rate, then the periodic retests required under paragraph (c)(1)(iv)(D) of this section may be single-load tests, performed at the load level for which the highest average NO_X emission rate was obtained in the initial test.

(*3*) The initial appendix E testing may be performed at a single load, between 75 and 100 percent of the maximum sustainable load defined in the monitoring plan for the unit, if the average annual capacity factor of the LME unit, when calculated according to the definition of ''capacity factor'' in § 72.2 of this chapter, is 2.5 percent or less for the three calendar years immediately preceding the year of the testing, and that the annual capacity factor does not exceed 4.0 percent in any of those three years. Similarly, for a LME unit that reports emissions data on an ozone season-only basis, the initial appendix E testing may be performed at a single load between 75 and 100 percent of the maximum sustainable load if the 2.5 and 4.0 percent capacity factor requirements are met for the three ozone seasons immediately preceding the date of the emission testing (see § 75.74(c)(11)). For a group of identical LME units, any unit(s) in the group that meet the 2.5 and 4.0 percent capacity factor requirements may perform the initial appendix E testing at a single load between 75 and 100 percent of the maximum sustainable load.

(*4*) The retest of any LME unit may be performed at a single load between 75 and 100 percent of the maximum sustainable load if, for the three calendar years immediately preceding the year of the retest (or, if applicable, the three ozone seasons immediately preceding the date of the retest), the applicable capacity factor requirements described in paragraph (c)(1)(iv)(I)(*3*) of this section are met.

(*5*) Alternatively, for combustion turbines, the single-load testing described in paragraphs (c)(1)(iv)(I)(*3*) and (c)(1)(iv)(I)(*4*) of this section may be performed at the highest attainable load level corresponding to the season of the year in which the testing is conducted.

(*6*) In all cases where the alternative single-load testing option described in paragraphs (c)(1)(iv)(I)(*3*) through (c)(1)(iv)(I)(*5*) of this section is used, the owner or operator shall keep records documenting that the required capacity factor requirements were met.

(J) To determine whether a unit qualifies for testing at fewer than four loads under paragraph (c)(1)(iv)(I) of this section, follow the procedures in paragraph (c)(1)(iv)(J)(*1*) or (c)(1)(iv)(J)(*2*) of this section, as applicable.

(*1*) Determine the range of operation of the unit, according to section 6.5.2.1 of appendix A to this part. Divide the range of operation into four equal load bands. For example, if the range of operation extends from 20 MW to 100 MW, the four equal load bands would be: band #1: from 20 MW to 40 MW; band #2: from 41 MW to 60 MW; band #3: from 61 MW to 80 MW; and band #4: from 81 to 100 MW. Then, perform a historical load analysis for all unit operating hours in the 12 calendar quarters preceding the quarter of the test. Alternatively, for sources that report emissions data only during the ozone season, the historical load analysis may be based on unit operation in the previous three ozone seasons, rather than unit operation in the previous 12 calendar quarters. Determine the percentage of the data that fall into each load band. For a unit that is not part of a group of identical units, if 85.0% or more of the data fall into one load band, single-load testing may be performed at any point within that load band. For a group of identical units, if each unit in the group meets the 85.0% criterion, then representative single-load testing within the load band may be performed. If the 85.0% criterion cannot be met to qualify for single-load testing but this criterion can be met cumulatively for two (or three) load levels, then testing may be performed at two (or three) loads instead of four.

(*2*) For a combustion turbine that uses exhaust temperature and not the actual output in megawatts to control the operation of the turbine (or for a group of identical units of this type), the owner or operator must document that the unit (or each unit in the group) has operated within ±10% of the set point temperature for 85.0% of the operating hours in the previous 12 calendar quarters to qualify for single-load testing. Alternatively, for sources that report emissions data only during the ozone season, the historical set point temperature analysis may be based on unit operation in the previous three ozone seasons, rather than unit

operation in the previous 12 calendar quarters. When the set point temperature is used rather than unit load to justify single-load testing, the designated representative shall certify in the monitoring plan for the unit that this is the normal manner of unit operation and shall document the setpoint temperature.

(2) *Records of operating time, fuel usage, unit output and NO_X emission control operating status.* The owner or operator shall keep the following records on-site, for three years, in a form suitable for inspection, except that for unmanned facilities, the records may be kept at a central location, rather than on-site:

(i) For each low mass emissions unit, the owner or operator shall keep hourly records which indicate whether or not the unit operated during each clock hour of each calendar year. The owner or operator may report partial operating hours or may assume that for each hour the unit operated the operating time is a whole hour. Units using partial operating hours and the maximum rated hourly heat input to calculate heat input for each hour must report partial operating hours.

(ii) For each low mass emissions unit, the owner or operator shall keep hourly records indicating the type(s) of fuel(s) combusted in the unit during each hour of unit operation.

(iii) For each low mass emissions unit using the long term fuel flow methodology under paragraph (c)(3)(ii) of this section to determine hourly heat input, the owner or operator shall keep hourly records of unit load (in megawatts or thousands of pounds of steam per hour), for the purpose of apportioning heat input to the individual unit operating hours.

(iv) For each low mass emissions unit with add-on NO_X emission controls of any kind and each unit that uses dry low-NO_X technology, the owner or operator shall keep hourly records of the hourly value of the parameter(s) specified in (c)(1)(iv)(H) of this section used to indicate proper operation of the unit's NO_X controls.

(3) *Heat input.* Hourly, quarterly and annual heat input for a low mass emissions unit shall be determined using either the maximum rated hourly heat input method under paragraph (c)(3)(i) of this section or the long term fuel flow method under paragraph (c)(3)(ii) of this section.

(i) *Maximum rated hourly heat input method.* (A) For the purposes of the mass emission calculation methodology of paragraph (c)(3) of this section, HI_{hr}, the hourly heat input (mmBtu) to a low mass emissions unit shall be deemed to equal the maximum rated hourly heat input, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter, multiplied by the operating time of the unit for each hour. The owner or operator may choose to record and report partial operating hours or may assume that a unit operated for a whole hour for each hour the unit operated. However, the owner or operator of a unit may petition the Administrator under § 75.66 for a lower value for maximum rated hourly heat input than that defined in § 72.2 of this chapter. The Administrator may approve such lower value if the owner or operator demonstrates that either the maximum hourly heat input specified by the manufacturer or the highest observed hourly heat input, or both, are not representative, and such a lower value is representative, of the unit's current capabilities because modifications have been made to the unit, limiting its capacity permanently.

(B) The quarterly heat input, HI_{qtr}, in mmBtu, shall be determined using Equation LM–1:

$$HI_{qtr} = \sum_{1}^{n} HI_{hr} \qquad \text{(Eq. LM-1)}$$

Where:

n = Number of unit operating hours in the quarter.

HI_{hr} = Hourly heat input under paragraph (c)(3)(i)(A) of this section (mmBtu).

(C) The year-to-date cumulative heat input (mmBtu) shall be the sum of the quarterly heat input values for all of the calendar quarters in the year to date.

(D) For a unit subject to the provisions of subpart H of this part, which is not required to report emission data on a year-round basis and elects to report only during the ozone season, the quarterly heat input for the second calendar quarter of the year shall, for

compliance purposes, include only the heat input for the months of May and June, and the cumulative ozone season heat input shall be the sum of the heat input values for May, June and the third calendar quarter of the year.

(ii) *Long term fuel flow heat input method.* The owner or operator may, for the purpose of demonstrating that a low mass emissions unit or group of low mass emission units sharing a common fuel supply meets the requirements of this section, use records of long-term fuel flow, to calculate hourly heat input to a low mass emissions unit.

(A) This option may be used for a group of low mass emission units only if:

(*1*) The low mass emission units combust fuel from a common source of supply; and

(*2*) Records are kept of the total amount of fuel combusted by the group of low mass emission units and the hourly output (in megawatts or pounds of steam) from each unit in the group; and

(*3*) All of the units in the group are low mass emission units.

(B) For each fuel used during the quarter, the volume in standard cubic feet (for gas) or gallons (for oil) may be determined using any of the following methods;

(*1*) Fuel billing records (for low mass emission units, or groups of low mass emission units, which purchase fuel from non-affiliated sources);

(*2*) American Petroleum Institute (API) Manual of Petroleum Measurement Standards, Chapter 3-Tank Gauging, Section 1A, Standard Practice for the Manual Gauging of Petroleum and Petroleum Products, Second Edition, August 2005; Section 1B-Standard Practice for Level Measurement of Liquid Hydrocarbons in Stationary Tanks by Automatic Tank Gauging, Second Edition June 2001; Section 2-Standard Practice for Gauging Petroleum and Petroleum Products in Tank Cars, First Edition, August 1995 (Reaffirmed March 2006); Section 3-Standard Practice for Level Measurement of Liquid Hydrocarbons in Stationary Pressurized Storage Tanks by Automatic Tank Gauging, First Edition June 1996 (Reaffirmed, March 2001); Section 4-Standard Practice for Level Measurement of Liquid Hydrocarbons on Marine Vessels by Automatic Tank Gauging, First Edition April 1995 (Reaffirmed, September 2000); and Section 5-Standard Practice for Level Measurement of Light Hydrocarbon Liquids Onboard Marine Vessels by Automatic Tank Gauging, First Edition March 1997 (Reaffirmed, March 2003); for § 75.19; Shop Testing of Automatic Liquid Level Gages, Bulletin 2509 B, December 1961 (Reaffirmed August 1987, October 1992) (all incorporated by reference under § 75.6 of this part); or

(*3*) A fuel flow meter certified and maintained according to appendix D to this part.

(C) Except as provided in paragraph (c)(3)(ii)(C)(*3*) of this section, for each fuel combusted during a quarter, the gross calorific value of the fuel shall be determined by either:

(*1*) Using the applicable procedures for gas and oil analysis in sections 2.2 and 2.3 of appendix D to this part. If this option is chosen the highest gross calorific value recorded during the previous calendar year shall be used (or, for a new or newly-affected unit, if there are no sample results from the previous year, use the highest GCV from the samples taken in the current year); or

(*2*) Using the appropriate default gross calorific value listed in Table LM–5 of this section.

(*3*) For gaseous fuels other than pipeline natural gas or natural gas, the GCV sampling frequency shall be daily unless the results of a demonstration under section 2.3.5 of appendix D to this part show that the fuel has a low GCV variability and qualifies for monthly sampling. If daily GCV sampling is required, use the highest GCV obtained in the calendar quarter as GCV_{max} in Equation LM–3, of this section.

(D) If Eq. LM–2 is used for heat input determination, the specific gravity of each type of fuel oil combusted during the quarter shall be determined either by:

(*1*) Using the procedures in section 2.2.6 of appendix D to this part. If this option is chosen, use the highest specific gravity value recorded during the previous calendar year (or, for a new or

newly-affected unit, if there are no sample results from the previous year, use the highest specific gravity from the samples taken in the current year); or

(*2*) Using the appropriate default specific gravity value in Table LM–6 of this section.

(E) The quarterly heat input from each type of fuel combusted during the quarter by a low mass emissions unit or group of low mass emissions units sharing a common fuel supply shall be determined using either Equation LM–2 or Equation LM–3 for oil (as applicable to the method used to quantify oil usage) and Equation LM–3 for gaseous fuels. For a unit subject to the provisions of subpart H of this part, which is not required to report emission data on a year-round basis and elects to report only during the ozone season, the quarterly heat input for the second calendar quarter of the year shall include only the heat input for the months of May and June.

$$HI_{fuel\text{-}qtr} = M_{qtr} \frac{GCV_{max}}{10^6} \qquad \text{Eq. LM-2 (for fuel oil)}$$

Where:

$HI_{fuel\text{-}qtr}$ = Quarterly total heat input from oil (mmBtu).

M_{qtr} = Mass of oil consumed during the quarter, determined as the product of the volume of oil under paragraph (c)(3)(ii)(B) of this section and the specific gravity under paragraph (c)(3)(ii)(D) of this section (lb).

GCV_{max} = Gross calorific value of oil, as determined under paragraph (c)(3)(ii)(C) of this section (Btu/lb)

10^6 = Conversion of Btu to mmBtu.

$$HI_{fuel\text{-}qtr} = Q_{qtr} \frac{GCV_{max}}{10^6} \qquad \text{Eq. LM-3 (for gaseous fuel or fuel oil)}$$

Where:

$HI_{fuel\text{-}qtr}$ = Quarterly heat input from gaseous fuel or fuel oil (mmBtu).

Q_{qtr} = Volume of gaseous fuel or fuel oil combusted during the quarter, as determined under paragraph (c)(3)(ii)(B) of this section standard cubic feet (scf) or (gal), as applicable.

GCV_{max} = Gross calorific value of the gaseous fuel or fuel oil combusted during the quarter, as determined under paragraph (c)(3)(ii)(C) of this section (Btu/scf) or (Btu/gal), as applicable.

10^6 = Conversion of Btu to mmBtu.

(F) Use Eq. LM–4 to calculate $HI_{qtr\text{-}total}$, the quarterly heat input (mmBtu) for all fuels. $HI_{qtr\text{-}total}$ shall be the sum of the $HI_{fuel\text{-}qtr}$ values determined using Equations LM–2 and LM–3.

$$HI_{qtr\text{-}total} = \sum_{all\text{-}fuels} HI_{fuel\text{-}qtr} \qquad \text{(Eq. LM-4)}$$

(G) The year-to-date cumulative heat input (mmBtu) for all fuels shall be the sum of all quarterly total heat input ($HI_{qtr\text{-}total}$) values for all calendar quarters in the year to date. For a unit subject to the provisions of subpart H of this part, which is not required to report emission data on a year-round basis and elects to report only during the ozone season, the cumulative ozone season heat input shall be the sum of the quarterly heat input values for the second and third calendar quarters of the year.

(H) For each low mass emissions unit or each low mass emissions unit in a group of identical units, the owner or operator shall determine the cumulative quarterly unit load in megawatt hours or thousands of pounds of steam. The quarterly cumulative unit load shall be the sum of the hourly unit load values recorded under paragraph (c)(2) of this section and shall be determined using Equations LM–5 or LM–6. For a unit subject to the provisions of subpart H of this part, which is not required to report emission data on a

year-round basis and elects to report only during the ozone season, the quarterly cumulative load for the second calendar quarter of the year shall include only the unit loads for the months of May and June.

$$MW_{qtr} = \sum_{all-hours} MW \qquad \text{Eq. LM-5 (for MW output)}$$

$$ST_{qtr} = \sum_{all-hours} ST \qquad \text{Eq. LM-6 (for steam output)}$$

Where:

MW_{qtr} = Sum of all unit operating loads recorded during the quarter by the unit (MWh).

$ST_{fuel-qtr}$ = Sum of all hourly steam loads recorded during the quarter by the unit (klb of steam/hr).

MW = Unit operating load for a particular unit operating hour (MWh).

ST = Unit steam load for a particular unit operating hour (klb of steam).

(I) For a low mass emissions unit that is not included in a group of low mass emission units sharing a common fuel supply, apportion the total heat input for the quarter, $HI_{qtr-total}$ to each hour of unit operation using either Equation LM–7 or LM–8:

$$HI_{hr} = HI_{qtr-total} \frac{MW_{hr}}{MW_{qtr}}$$

(Eq LM–7 for MW output)

$$HI_{hr} = HI_{qtr-total} \frac{ST_{hr}}{ST_{qtr}}$$

(Eq LM–8 for steam output)

Where:

HI_{hr} = Hourly heat input to the unit (mmBtu).

MW_{hr} = Hourly operating load for the unit (MW).

ST_{hr} = Hourly steam load for the unit (klb of steam/hr).

(J) For each low mass emissions unit that is included in a group of units sharing a common fuel supply, apportion the total heat input for the quarter, $HI_{qtr-total}$ to each hour of operation using either Equation LM–7a or LM–8a:

$$HI_{hr} = HI_{qtr-total} \frac{MW_{hr}}{\sum_{all-units} MW_{qtr}}$$

(Eq LM–7a for MW output)

$$HI_{hr} = HI_{qtr-total} \frac{ST_{hr}}{\sum_{all-units} ST_{qtr}}$$

(Eq LM–8a for steam output)

Where:

HI_{hr} = Hourly heat input to the individual unit (mmBtu).

MW_{hr} = Hourly operating load for the individual unit (MW).

ST_{hr} = Hourly steam load for the individual unit (klb of steam/hr).

ΣMW^{qtr} = Sum of the quarterly operating *all-units* loads (from Eq. LM–5) for all units in the group (MW).

ΣST^{qtr} = Sum of the quarterly steam *all-units* loads (from Eq. LM–6) for all units in the group (klb of steam/hr)

(4) *Calculation of SO_2, NO_X and CO_2 mass emissions.* The owner or operator shall, for the purpose of demonstrating that a low mass emissions unit meets the requirements of this section, calculate SO_2, NO_X and CO_2 mass emissions in accordance with the following.

(i) *SO_2 mass emissions.* (A) The hourly SO_2 mass emissions (lbs) for a low mass emissions unit (Acid Rain Program units, only) shall be determined using Equation LM–9 and the appropriate fuel-based SO_2 emission factor for the fuels combusted in that hour. If more than one fuel is combusted in the hour, use the highest emission factor for all of the fuels combusted in the hour. If records are missing as to which fuel was combusted in the hour, use the highest emission factor for all of the

fuels capable of being combusted in the unit.

$$W_{SO2} = EF_{SO2} \times HI_{hr} \quad \text{(Eq. LM–9)}$$

Where:

W_{SO2} = Hourly SO_2 mass emissions (lbs.)

EF_{SO2} = Either the SO_2 emission factor from Table LM–1 of this section or the fuel-and-unit-specific SO_2 emission rate from paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section (lb/mmBtu).

HI_{hr} = Either the maximum rated hourly heat input under paragraph (c)(3)(i)(A) of this section or the hourly heat input under paragraph (c)(3)(ii) of this section (mmBtu).

(B) The quarterly SO_2 mass emissions (tons) for the low mass emissions unit shall be the sum of all the hourly SO_2 mass emissions in the quarter, as determined under paragraph (c)(4)(i)(A) of this section, divided by 2000 lb/ton.

(C) The year-to-date cumulative SO_2 mass emissions (tons) for the low mass emissions unit shall be the sum of the quarterly SO_2 mass emissions, as determined under paragraph (c)(4)(i)(B) of this section, for all of the calendar quarters in the year to date.

(ii)(A) The hourly NO_X mass emissions for the low mass emissions unit (lbs) shall be determined using Equation LM–10. If more than one fuel is combusted in the hour, use the highest emission rate for all of the fuels combusted in the hour. If records are missing as to which fuel was combusted in the hour, use the highest emission factor for all of the fuels capable of being combusted in the unit. For low mass emission units with NO_X emission controls of any kind and for which a fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate is determined under paragraph (c)(1)(iv) of this section, for any hour in which the parameters under paragraph (c)(1)(iv)(A) of this section do not show that the NO_X emission controls are operating properly, use the NO_X emission rate from Table LM–2 of this section for the fuel combusted during the hour with the highest NO_X emission rate.

$$W_{NOX} = EF_{NOX} \times HI_{hr} \quad \text{(Eq. LM–10)}$$

Where:

W_{NOX} = Hourly NO_X mass emissions (lbs).

EF_{NOX} = Either the NO_X emission factor from Table LM–2 of this section or the fuel- and unit-specific NO_X emission rate determined under paragraph (c)(1)(iv) of this section (lb/mmBtu).

HI_{hr} = Either the maximum rated hourly heat input from paragraph (c)(3)(i)(A) of this section or the hourly heat input as determined under paragraph (c)(3)(ii) of this section (mmBtu).

(B) The quarterly NO_X mass emissions (tons) for the low mass emissions unit shall be the sum of all of the hourly NO_X mass emissions in the quarter, as determined under paragraph (c)(4)(ii)(A) of this section, divided by 2000 lb/ton.

(C) The year-to-date cumulative NO_X mass emissions (tons) for the low mass emissions unit shall be the sum of the quarterly NO_X mass emissions, as determined under paragraph (c)(4)(ii)(B) of this section, for all of the calendar quarters in the year to date. For a unit subject to the provisions of subpart H of this part, which is not required to report emission data on a year-round basis and elects to report only during the ozone season, the ozone season NO_X mass emissions for the unit shall be the sum of the quarterly NO_X mass emissions, as determined under paragraph (c)(4)(ii)(B) of this section, for the second and third calendar quarters of the year, and the second quarter report shall include emissions data only for May and June.

(D) The quarterly and cumulative NO_X emission rate in lb/mmBtu (if required by the applicable program(s)) shall be determined as follows. Calculate the quarterly NO_X emission rate by taking the arithmetic average of all of the hourly EF_{NOx} values. Calculate the cumulative (year-to-date) NO_X emission rate by taking the arithmetic average of the quarterly NO_X emission rates.

(iii) *CO_2 Mass Emissions.* (A) The hourly CO_2 mass emissions (tons) for the affected low mass emissions unit (Acid Rain Program units, only) shall be determined using Equation LM–11 and the appropriate fuel-based CO_2 emission factor from Table LM–3 of this section for the fuel being combusted in that hour. If more than one fuel is combusted in the hour, use the highest emission factor for all of the fuels combusted in the hour. If records are missing as to which fuel was combusted in the hour, use the highest emission factor for all of the fuels capable of being combusted in the unit.

$WCO_2 = EFCO_2 \times HI_{hr}$ (Eq. LM–11)

Where:

WCO_2 = Hourly CO_2 mass emissions (tons).

EF_{CO2} = Either the fuel-based CO_2 emission factor from Table LM–3 of this section or the fuel-and-unit-specific CO_2 emission rate from paragraph (c)(1)(iii) of this section (tons/mmBtu).

HI_{hr} = Either the maximum rated hourly heat input from paragraph (c)(3)(i)(A) of this section or the hourly heat input as determined under paragraph (c)(3)(ii) of this section (mmBtu).

(B) The quarterly CO_2 mass emissions (tons) for the low mass emissions unit shall be the sum of all of the hourly CO_2 mass emissions in the quarter, as determined under paragraph (c)(4)(iii)(A)of this section.

(C) The year-to-date cumulative CO_2 mass emissions (tons) for the low mass emissions unit shall be the sum of all of the quarterly CO_2 mass emissions, as determined under paragraph (c)(4)(iii)(B) of this section, for all of the calendar quarters in the year to date.

(d) Each unit that qualifies under this section to use the low mass emissions methodology must follow the recordkeeping and reporting requirements pertaining to low mass emissions units in subparts F and G of this part.

(e) The quality control and quality assurance requirements in § 75.21 are not applicable to a low mass emissions unit for which the low mass emissions excepted methodology under paragraph (c) of this section is being used in lieu of a continuous emission monitoring system or an excepted monitoring system under appendix D or E to this part, except for fuel flowmeters used to meet the provisions in paragraph (c)(3)(ii) of this section. However, the owner or operator of a low mass emissions unit shall implement the following quality assurance and quality control provisions:

(1) For low mass emission units or groups of units which use the long term fuel flow methodology under paragraph (c)(3)(ii) of this section and which use fuel billing records to determine fuel usage, the owner or operator shall keep, at the facility, for three years, the records of the fuel billing statements used for long term fuel flow determinations.

(2) For low mass emissions units or groups of units which use the long term fuel flow methodology under paragraph (c)(3)(ii) of this section and which use one of the methods specified in paragraph (c)(3)(ii)(B)(*2*) of this section to determine fuel usage, the owner or operator shall keep, at the facility, a copy of the standard used and shall keep records, for three years, of all measurements obtained for each quarter using the methodology.

(3) For low mass emission units or groups of units which use the long term fuel flow methodology under paragraph (c)(3)(ii) of this section and which use a certified fuel flow meter to determine fuel usage, the owner or operator shall comply with the quality control quality assurance requirements for a fuel flow meter under section 2.1.6 of appendix D of this part.

(4) For each low mass emissions unit for which fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rates are determined in accordance with paragraph (c)(1)(iv) of this section, the owner or operator shall keep, at the facility, records which document the results of all NO_X emission rate tests conducted according to appendix E to this part. If CEMS data are used to determine the fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rates under paragraph (c)(1)(iv)(G) of this section, the owner or operator shall keep, at the facility, records of the CEMS data and the data analysis performed to determine a fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rate. The appendix E test records and historical CEMS data records shall be kept until the fuel and unit specific NO_X emission rates are re-determined.

(5) For each low mass emissions unit for which fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rates are determined in accordance with paragraph (c)(1)(iv) of this section and which has add-on NO_X emission controls of any kind or uses dry low-NO_X technology, the owner or operator shall develop and keep on-site a quality assurance plan which explains the procedures used to document proper operation of the NO_X emission controls. The plan shall include the parameters monitored (e.g., water-to-fuel ratio) and the acceptable ranges for each parameter used to determine

proper operation of the unit's NO_X controls.

(6) For unmanned facilities, the records required by paragraphs (e)(1), (e)(2) and (e)(4) of this section may be kept at a central location, rather than at the facility.

TABLE LM–1—SO_2 EMISSION FACTORS (LB/MMBTU) FOR VARIOUS FUEL TYPES

Fuel type	SO_2 emission factors
Pipeline Natural Gas	0.0006 lb/mmBtu.
Other Natural Gas	0.06 lb/mmBtu.
Residual Oil	2.1 lb/mmBtu.
Diesel Fuel	0.5 lb/mmBtu.

TABLE LM–2—NO_X EMISSION RATES (LB/MMBTU) FOR VARIOUS BOILER/FUEL TYPES

Unit type	Fuel type	NO_X emission rate
Turbine	Gas	0.7
Turbine	Oil	1.2
Boiler	Gas	1.5
Boiler	Oil	2

TABLE LM–3—CO_2 EMISSION FACTORS (TON/MMBTU) FOR GAS AND OIL

Fuel type	CO_2 emission factors
Pipeline (or other) Natural Gas.	0.059 ton/mmBtu.
Oil	0.081 ton/mmBtu.

TABLE LM–4—IDENTICAL UNIT TESTING REQUIREMENTS

Number of identical units in the group	Number of appendix E tests required
2	1
3 to 6	2
7	3
>7	n tests; where n = number of units divided by 3 and rounded to nearest integer.

TABLE LM–5—DEFAULT GROSS CALORIFIC VALUES (GCVS) FOR VARIOUS FUELS

Fuel	GCV for use in equation LM–2 or LM–3
Pipeline Natural Gas	1050 Btu/scf.
Other Natural Gas	1100 Btu/scf.
Residual Oil	19,700 Btu/lb or 167,500 Btu/gallon.
Diesel Fuel	20,500 Btu/lb or 151,700 Btu/gallon.

TABLE LM–6—DEFAULT SPECIFIC GRAVITY VALUES FOR FUEL OIL

Fuel	Specific gravity (lb/gal)
Residual Oil	8.5
Diesel Fuel	7.4

[63 FR 57500, Oct. 27, 1998, as amended at 64 FR 28592, May 26, 1999; 64 FR 37582, July 12, 1999; 67 FR 40424, 40425, June 12, 2002; 67 FR 53504, Aug. 16, 2002; 73 FR 4344, Jan. 24, 2008]

Subpart C—Operation and Maintenance Requirements

§ 75.20 Initial certification and recertification procedures.

(a) *Initial certification approval process*. The owner or operator shall ensure that each continuous emission or opacity monitoring system required by this part meets the initial certification requirements of this section and shall ensure that all applicable initial certification tests under paragraph (c) of this section are completed by the deadlines specified in § 75.4 and prior to use in the Acid Rain Program. In addition, whenever the owner or operator installs a continuous emission or opacity monitoring system in order to meet the requirements of §§ 75.11 through 75.18, where no continuous emission or opacity monitoring system was previously installed, initial certification is required.

(1) *Notification of initial certification test dates*. The owner or operator or designated representative shall submit a written notice of the dates of initial certification testing at the unit as specified in § 75.61(a)(1).

(2) *Certification application*. The owner or operator shall apply for certification of each continuous emission or opacity monitoring system used under the Acid Rain Program. The owner or operator shall submit the certification application in accordance with § 75.60 and each complete certification application shall include the information specified in § 75.63.

(3) *Provisional approval of certification (or recertification) applications*. Upon the successful completion of the required certification (or recertification) procedures of this section, each continuous emission or opacity monitoring system

shall be deemed provisionally certified (or recertified) for use under the Acid Rain Program for a period not to exceed 120 days following receipt by the Administrator of the complete certification (or recertification) application under paragraph (a)(4) of this section. Notwithstanding this paragraph, no continuous emission or opacity monitor systems for a combustion source seeking to enter the Opt-in Program in accordance with part 74 of this chapter shall be deemed provisionally certified (or recertified) for use under the Acid Rain Program. Data measured and recorded by a provisionally certified (or recertified) continuous emission or opacity monitoring system , operated in accordance with the requirements of appendix B to this part, will be considered valid quality-assured data (retroactive to the date and time of provisional certification or recertification), provided that the Administrator does not invalidate the provisional certification (or recertification) by issuing a notice of disapproval within 120 days of receipt by the Administrator of the complete certification (or recertification) application. Note that when the conditional data validation procedures of paragraph (b)(3) of this section are used for the initial certification (or recertification) of a continuous emissions monitoring system, the date and time of provisional certification (or recertification) of the CEMS may be earlier than the date and time of completion of the required certification (or recertification) tests.

(4) *Certification (or recertification) application formal approval process.* The Administrator will issue a notice of approval or disapproval of the certification (or recertification) application to the owner or operator within 120 days of receipt of the complete certification (or recertification) application. In the event the Administrator does not issue such a notice within 120 days of receipt, each continuous emission or opacity monitoring system which meets the performance requirements of this part and is included in the certification (or recertification) application will be deemed certified (or recertified) for use under the Acid Rain Program.

(i) *Approval notice.* If the certification (or recertification) application is complete and shows that each continuous emission or opacity monitoring system meets the performance requirements of this part, then the Administrator will issue a notice of approval of the certification (or recertification) application within 120 days of receipt.

(ii) *Incomplete application notice.* A certification (or recertification) application will be considered complete when all of the applicable information required to be submitted in § 75.63 has been received by the Administrator, the EPA Regional Office, and the appropriate State and/or local air pollution control agency. If the certification (or recertification) application is not complete, then the Administrator will issue a notice of incompleteness that provides a reasonable timeframe for the designated representative to submit the additional information required to complete the certification (or recertification) application. If the designated representative has not complied with the notice of incompleteness by a specified due date, then the Administrator may issue a notice of disapproval specified under paragraph (a)(4)(iii) of this section. The 120-day review period shall not begin prior to receipt of a complete application.

(iii) *Disapproval notice.* If the certification (or recertification) application shows that any continuous emission or opacity monitoring system does not meet the performance requirements of this part, or if the certification (or recertification) application is incomplete and the requirement for disapproval under paragraph (a)(4)(ii) of this section has been met, the Administrator shall issue a written notice of disapproval of the certification (or recertification) application within 120 days of receipt. By issuing the notice of disapproval, the provisional certification (or recertification) is invalidated by the Administrator, and the data measured and recorded by each uncertified continuous emission or opacity monitoring system shall not be considered valid quality-assured data as follows: from the hour of the probationary calibration error test that began the initial certification (or recertification) test period (if the conditional data validation procedures of paragraph (b)(3)

of this section were used to retrospectively validate data); or from the date and time of completion of the invalid certification or recertification tests (if the conditional data validation procedures of paragraph (b)(3) of this section were not used). The owner or operator shall follow the procedures for loss of initial certification in paragraph (a)(5) of this section for each continuous emission or opacity monitoring system which is disapproved for initial certification. For each disapproved recertification, the owner or operator shall follow the procedures of paragraph (b)(5) of this section.

(iv) *Audit decertification.* The Administrator may issue a notice of disapproval of the certification status of a continuous emission or opacity monitoring system, in accordance with § 75.21.

(5) *Procedures for loss of certification.* When the Administrator issues a notice of disapproval of a certification application or a notice of disapproval of certification status (as specified in paragraph (a)(4) of this section), then:

(i) Until such time, date, and hour as the continuous emission monitoring system can be adjusted, repaired, or replaced and certification tests successfully completed (or, if the conditional data validation procedures in paragraphs (b)(3)(ii) through (b)(3)(ix) of this section are used, until a probationary calibration error test is passed following corrective actions in accordance with paragraph (b)(3)(ii) of this section), the owner or operator shall substitute the following values, as applicable, for each hour of unit operation during the period of invalid data specified in paragraph (a)(4)(iii) of this section or in § 75.21: the maximum potential concentration of SO_2, as defined in section 2.1.1.1 of appendix A to this part, to report SO_2 concentration; the maximum potential NO_X emission rate, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter, to report NO_X emissions in lb/mmBtu; the maximum potential concentration of NO_X, as defined in section 2.1.2.1 of appendix A to this part, to report NO_X emissions in ppm (when a NO_X concentration monitoring system is used to determine NO_X mass emissions, as defined under § 75.71(a)(2)); the maximum potential flow rate, as defined in section 2.1.4.1 of appendix A to this part, to report volumetric flow; the maximum potential concentration of CO_2, as defined in section 2.1.3.1 of appendix A to this part, to report CO_2 concentration data; and either the minimum potential moisture percentage, as defined in section 2.1.5 of appendix A to this part or, if Equation 19–3, 19–4 or 19–8 in Method 19 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter is used to determine NO_X emission rate, the maximum potential moisture percentage, as defined in section 2.1.6 of appendix A to this part; and

(ii) The designated representative shall submit a notification of certification retest dates as specified in § 75.61(a)(1)(ii) and a new certification application according to the procedures in paragraph (a)(2) of this section; and

(iii) The owner or operator shall repeat all certification tests or other requirements that were failed by the continuous emission or opacity monitoring system, as indicated in the Administrator's notice of disapproval, no later than 30 unit operating days after the date of issuance of the notice of disapproval.

(b) *Recertification approval process.* Whenever the owner or operator makes a replacement, modification, or change in a certified continuous emission monitoring system or continuous opacity monitoring system that may significantly affect the ability of the system to accurately measure or record the SO_2 or CO_2 concentration, stack gas volumetric flow rate, NO_X emission rate, NO_X concentration, percent moisture, or opacity, or to meet the requirements of § 75.21 or appendix B to this part, the owner or operator shall recertify the continuous emission monitoring system or continuous opacity monitoring system, according to the procedures in this paragraph. Furthermore, whenever the owner or operator makes a replacement, modification, or change to the flue gas handling system or the unit operation that may significantly change the flow or concentration profile, the owner or operator shall recertify the monitoring system according to the procedures in this paragraph. Examples of changes which

require recertification include: replacement of the analyzer; change in location or orientation of the sampling probe or site; and complete replacement of an existing continuous emission monitoring system or continuous opacity monitoring system. The owner or operator shall also recertify the continuous emission monitoring systems for a unit that has recommenced commercial operation following a period of long-term cold storage as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter. The owner or operator shall recertify a continuous opacity monitoring system whenever the monitor path length changes or as required by an applicable State or local regulation or permit. Any change to a flow monitor or gas monitoring system for which a RATA is not necessary shall not be considered a recertification event. In addition, changing the polynomial coefficients or K factor(s) of a flow monitor shall require a 3-load RATA, but is not considered to be a recertification event; however, records of the polynomial coefficients or K factor (s) currently in use shall be maintained on-site in a format suitable for inspection. Changing the coefficient or K factor(s) of a moisture monitoring system shall require a RATA, but is not considered to be a recertification event; however, records of the coefficient or K factor (s) currently in use by the moisture monitoring system shall be maintained on-site in a format suitable for inspection. In such cases, any other tests that are necessary to ensure continued proper operation of the monitoring system (e.g., 3-load flow RATAs following changes to flow monitor polynomial coefficients, linearity checks, calibration error tests, DAHS verifications, etc.) shall be performed as diagnostic tests, rather than as recertification tests. The data validation procedures in paragraph (b)(3) of this section shall be applied to RATAs associated with changes to flow or moisture monitor coefficients, and to linearity checks, 7-day calibration error tests, and cycle time tests, when these are required as diagnostic tests. When the data validation procedures of paragraph (b)(3) of this section are applied in this manner, replace the word ''recertification'' with the word ''diagnostic.''

(1) *Tests required.* For all recertification testing, the owner or operator shall complete all initial certification tests in paragraph (c) of this section that are applicable to the monitoring system, except as otherwise approved by the Administrator. For diagnostic testing after changing the flow rate monitor polynomial coefficients, the owner or operator shall complete a 3-level RATA. For diagnostic testing after changing the K factor or mathematical algorithm of a moisture monitoring system, the owner or operator shall complete a RATA.

(2) *Notification of recertification test dates.* The owner, operator, or designated representative shall submit notice of testing dates for recertification under this paragraph as specified in § 75.61(a)(1)(ii), unless all of the tests in paragraph (c) of this section are required for recertification, in which case the owner or operator shall provide notice in accordance with the notice provisions for initial certification testing in § 75.61(a)(1)(i).

(3) *Recertification test period requirements and data validation.* The data validation provisions in paragraphs (b)(3)(i) through (b)(3)(ix) of this section shall apply to all CEMS recertifications and diagnostic testing. The provisions in paragraphs (b)(3)(ii) through (b)(3)(ix) of this section may also be applied to initial certifications (see sections 6.2(a), 6.3.1(a), 6.3.2(a), 6.4(a) and 6.5(f) of appendix A to this part) and may be used to supplement the linearity check and RATA data validation procedures in sections 2.2.3(b) and 2.3.2(b) of appendix B to this part.

(i) The owner or operator shall use substitute data, according to the standard missing data procedures in §§ 75.33 through 75.37 (or shall report emission data using a reference method or another monitoring system that has been certified or approved for use under this part), in the period extending from the hour of the replacement, modification or change made to a monitoring system that triggers the need to perform recertification testing, until either: the hour of successful completion of all of the required recertification

tests; or the hour in which a probationary calibration error test (according to paragraph (b)(3)(ii) of this section) is performed and passed, following all necessary repairs, adjustments or reprogramming of the monitoring system. The first hour of quality-assured data for the recertified monitoring system shall either be the hour after all recertification tests have been completed or, if conditional data validation is used, the first quality-assured hour shall be determined in accordance with paragraphs (b)(3)(ii) through (b)(3)(ix) of this section. Notwithstanding these requirements, if the replacement, modification, or change requiring recertification of the CEMS is such that the historical data stream is no longer representative (e.g., where the SO_2 concentration and stack flow rate change significantly after installation of a wet scrubber), the owner or operator shall substitute for missing data as follows, in lieu of using the standard missing data procedures in §§ 75.33 through 75.37: for a change that results in a significantly higher concentration or flow rate, substitute maximum potential values according to the procedures in paragraph (a)(5) of this section; or for a change that results in a significantly lower concentration or flow rate, substitute data using the standard missing data procedures. The owner or operator shall then use the initial missing data procedures in § 75.31, beginning with the first hour of quality-assured data obtained with the recertified monitoring system, unless otherwise provided by § 75.34 for units with add-on emission controls.

(ii) Once the modification or change to the CEMS has been completed and all of the associated repairs, component replacements, adjustments, linearization, and reprogramming of the CEMS have been completed, a probationary calibration error test is required to establish the beginning point of the recertification test period. In this instance, the first successful calibration error test of the monitoring system following completion of all necessary repairs, component replacements, adjustments, linearization and reprogramming shall be the probationary calibration error test. The probationary calibration error test must be passed before any of the required recertification tests are commenced.

(iii) Beginning with the hour of commencement of a recertification test period, emission data recorded by the CEMS are considered to be conditionally valid, contingent upon the results of the subsequent recertification tests.

(iv) Each required recertification test shall be completed no later than the following number of unit operating hours (or unit operating days) after the probationary calibration error test that initiates the test period:

(A) For a linearity check and/or cycle time test, 168 consecutive unit operating hours, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter or, for CEMS installed on common stacks or bypass stacks, 168 consecutive stack operating hours, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter;

(B) For a RATA (whether normal-load or multiple-load), 720 consecutive unit operating hours, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter or, for CEMS installed on common stacks or bypass stacks, 720 consecutive stack operating hours, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter; and

(C) For a 7-day calibration error test, 21 consecutive unit operating days, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter.

(v) All recertification tests shall be performed hands-off. No adjustments to the calibration of the CEMS, other than the routine calibration adjustments following daily calibration error tests as described in section 2.1.3 of appendix B to this part, are permitted during the recertification test period. Routine daily calibration error tests shall be performed throughout the recertification test period, in accordance with section 2.1.1 of appendix B to this part. The additional calibration error test requirements in section 2.1.3 of appendix B to this part shall also apply during the recertification test period.

(vi) If all of the required recertification tests and required daily calibration error tests are successfully completed in succession with no failures, and if each recertification test is completed within the time period specified in paragraph (b)(3)(iv)(A), (B), or (C) of this section, then all of the conditionally valid emission data recorded

by the CEMS shall be considered quality-assured, from the hour of commencement of the recertification test period until the hour of completion of the required test(s).

(vii) If a required recertification test is failed or aborted due to a problem with the CEMS, or if a daily calibration error test is failed during a recertification test period, data validation shall be done as follows:

(A) If any required recertification test is failed, it shall be repeated. If any recertification test other than a 7-day calibration error test is failed or aborted due to a problem with the CEMS, the original recertification test period is ended, and a new recertification test period must be commenced with a probationary calibration error test. The tests that are required in the new recertification test period will include any tests that were required for the initial recertification event which were not successfully completed and any recertification or diagnostic tests that are required as a result of changes made to the monitoring system to correct the problems that caused the failure of the recertification test. For a 2- or 3-load flow RATA, if the relative accuracy test is passed at one or more load levels, but is failed at a subsequent load level, provided that the problem that caused the RATA failure is corrected without re-linearizing the instrument, the length of the new recertification test period shall be equal to the number of unit operating hours remaining in the original recertification test period, as of the hour of failure of the RATA. However, if relinearization of the flow monitor is required after a flow RATA is failed at a particular load level, then a subsequent 3-load RATA is required, and the new recertification test period shall be 720 consecutive unit (or stack) operating hours. The new recertification test sequence shall not be commenced until all necessary maintenance activities, adjustments, linearizations, and reprogramming of the CEMS have been completed;

(B) If a linearity check, RATA, or cycle time test is failed or aborted due to a problem with the CEMS, all conditionally valid emission data recorded by the CEMS are invalidated, from the hour of commencement of the recertification test period to the hour in which the test is failed or aborted, except for the case in which a multiple-load flow RATA is passed at one or more load levels, failed at a subsequent load level, and the problem that caused the RATA failure is corrected without relinearizing the instrument. In that case, data invalidation shall be prospective, from the hour of failure of the RATA until the commencement of the new recertification test period. Data from the CEMS remain invalid until the hour in which a new recertification test period is commenced, following corrective action, and a probationary calibration error test is passed, at which time the conditionally valid status of emission data from the CEMS begins again;

(C) If a 7-day calibration error test is failed within the recertification test period, previously-recorded conditionally valid emission data from the CEMS are not invalidated. The conditionally valid data status is unaffected, unless the calibration error on the day of the failed 7-day calibration error test exceeds twice the performance specification in section 3 of appendix A to this part, as described in paragraph (b)(3)(vii)(D) of this section; and

(D) If a daily calibration error test is failed during a recertification test period (*i.e.*, the results of the test exceed twice the performance specification in section 3 of appendix A to this part), the CEMS is out-of-control as of the hour in which the calibration error test is failed. Emission data from the CEMS shall be invalidated prospectively from the hour of the failed calibration error test until the hour of completion of a subsequent successful calibration error test following corrective action, at which time the conditionally valid status of data from the monitoring system resumes. Failure to perform a required daily calibration error test during a recertification test period shall also cause data from the CEMS to be invalidated prospectively, from the hour in which the calibration error test was due until the hour of completion of a subsequent successful calibration error test. Whenever a calibration error test

is failed or missed during a recertification test period, no further recertification tests shall be performed until the required subsequent calibration error test has been passed, re-establishing the conditionally valid status of data from the monitoring system. If a calibration error test failure occurs while a linearity check or RATA is still in progress, the linearity check or RATA must be re-started.

(E) Trial gas injections and trial RATA runs are permissible during the recertification test period, prior to commencing a linearity check or RATA, for the purpose of optimizing the performance of the CEMS. The results of such gas injections and trial runs shall not affect the status of previously-recorded conditionally valid data or result in termination of the recertification test period, provided that the following specifications and conditions are met:

(*1*) For gas injections, the stable, ending monitor response is within ±5 percent or within 5 ppm of the tag value of the reference gas;

(*2*) For RATA trial runs, the average reference method reading and the average CEMS reading for the run differ by no more than ±10% of the average reference method value or ±15 ppm, or ±1.5% H_2O, or ±0.02 lb/mmBtu from the average reference method value, as applicable;

(*3*) No adjustments to the calibration of the CEMS are made following the trial injection(s) or run(s), other than the adjustments permitted under section 2.1.3 of appendix B to this part; and

(*4*) The CEMS is not repaired, re-linearized or reprogrammed (e.g., changing flow monitor polynomial coefficients, linearity constants, or K-factors) after the trial injection(s) or run(s).

(F) If the results of any trial gas injection(s) or RATA run(s) are outside the limits in paragraphs (b)(3)(vii)(E)(*1*) or (*2*) of this section or if the CEMS is repaired, re-linearized or reprogrammed after the trial injection(s) or run(s), the trial injection(s) or run(s) shall be counted as a failed linearity check or RATA attempt. If this occurs, follow the procedures pertaining to failed and aborted recertification tests in paragraphs (b)(3)(vii)(A) and (b)(3)(vii)(B) of this section.

(viii) If any required recertification test is not completed within its allotted time period, data validation shall be done as follows. For a late linearity test, RATA, or cycle time test that is passed on the first attempt, data from the monitoring system shall be invalidated from the hour of expiration of the recertification test period until the hour of completion of the late test. For a late 7-day calibration error test, whether or not it is passed on the first attempt, data from the monitoring system shall also be invalidated from the hour of expiration of the recertification test period until the hour of completion of the late test. For a late linearity test, RATA, or cycle time test that is failed on the first attempt or aborted on the first attempt due to a problem with the monitor, all conditionally valid data from the monitoring system shall be considered invalid back to the hour of the first probationary calibration error test which initiated the recertification test period. Data from the monitoring system shall remain invalid until the hour of successful completion of the late recertification test and any additional recertification or diagnostic tests that are required as a result of changes made to the monitoring system to correct problems that caused failure of the late recertification test.

(ix) If any required recertification test of a monitoring system has not been completed by the end of a calendar quarter and if data contained in the quarterly report are conditionally valid pending the results of test(s) to be completed in a subsequent quarter, the owner or operator shall indicate this by means of a suitable conditionally valid data flag in the electronic quarterly report for that quarter. The owner or operator shall resubmit the report for that quarter if the required recertification test is subsequently failed. In the resubmitted report, the owner or operator shall use the appropriate missing data routine in § 75.31 or § 75.33 to replace with substitute data each hour of conditionally valid data that was invalidated by the failed recertification test. Alternatively, if any required recertification

test is not completed by the end of a particular calendar quarter but is completed no later than 30 days after the end of that quarter (*i.e.*, prior to the deadline for submitting the quarterly report under § 75.64), the test data and results may be submitted with the earlier quarterly report even though the test date(s) are from the next calendar quarter. In such instances, if the recertification test(s) are passed in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (b)(3) of this section, conditionally valid data may be reported as quality-assured, in lieu of reporting a conditional data flag. If the recertification test(s) is failed and if conditionally valid data are replaced, as appropriate, with substitute data, then neither the reporting of a conditional data flag nor resubmission is required. In addition, if the owner or operator uses a conditionally valid data flag in any of the four quarterly reports for a given year, the owner or operator shall indicate the final status of the conditionally valid data (*i.e.*, resolved or unresolved) in the annual compliance certification report required under § 72.90 of this chapter for that year. The Administrator may invalidate any conditionally valid data that remains unresolved at the end of a particular calendar year and may require the owner or operator to resubmit one or more of the quarterly reports for that calendar year, replacing the unresolved conditionally valid data with substitute data values determined in accordance with § 75.31 or § 75.33, as appropriate.

(4) *Recertification application*. The designated representative shall apply for recertification of each continuous emission or opacity monitoring system used under the Acid Rain Program. The owner or operator shall submit the recertification application in accordance with § 75.60, and each complete recertification application shall include the information specified in § 75.63.

(5) *Approval or disapproval of request for recertification*. The procedures for provisional certification in paragraph (a)(3) of this section shall apply to recertification applications. The Administrator will issue a notice of approval, disapproval, or incompleteness according to the procedures in paragraph (a)(4) of this section. In the event that a recertification application is disapproved, data from the monitoring system are invalidated and the applicable missing data procedures in §§ 75.31 or 75.33 shall be used from the date and hour of receipt of the disapproval notice back to the hour of the adjustment or change to the CEMS that triggered the need for recertification testing or, if the conditional data validation procedures in paragraphs (b)(3)(ii) through (b)(3)(ix) of this section were used, back to the hour of the probationary calibration error test that began the recertification test period. Data from the monitoring system remain invalid until all required recertification tests have been passed or until a subsequent probationary calibration error test is passed, beginning a new recertification test period. The owner or operator shall repeat all recertification tests or other requirements, as indicated in the Administrator's notice of disapproval, no later than 30 unit operating days after the date of issuance of the notice of disapproval. The designated representative shall submit a notification of the recertification retest dates, as specified in § 75.61(a)(1)(ii), and shall submit a new recertification application according to the procedures in paragraph (b)(4) of this section.

(c) *Initial certification and recertification procedures*. Prior to the deadline in § 75.4, the owner or operator shall conduct initial certification tests and in accordance with § 75.63, the designated representative shall submit an application to demonstrate that the continuous emission or opacity monitoring system and components thereof meet the specifications in appendix A to this part. The owner or operator shall compare reference method values with output from the automated data acquisition and handling system that is part of the continuous emission monitoring system being tested. Except as otherwise specified in paragraphs (b)(1), (d), and (e) of this section, and in sections 6.3.1 and 6.3.2 of appendix A to this part, the owner or operator shall perform the following tests for initial certification or recertification of continuous emission or opacity monitoring systems or components according to the requirements of appendix A to this part:

(1) For each SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor, each NO_X concentration monitoring system used to determine NO_X mass emissions, as defined under § 75.71(a)(2), and each NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system:

(i) A 7-day calibration error test, where, for the NO_X -diluent continuous emission monitoring system, the test is performed separately on the NO_X pollutant concentration monitor and the diluent gas monitor;

(ii) A linearity check, where, for the NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system, the test is performed separately on the NO_X pollutant concentration monitor and the diluent gas monitor;

(iii) A relative accuracy test audit. For the NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system, the RATA shall be done on a system basis, in units of lb/mmBtu. For the NO_X concentration monitoring system, the RATA shall be done on a ppm basis;

(iv) A bias test;

(v) A cycle time test, (where, for the NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system, the test is performed separately on the NO_X pollutant concentration monitor and the diluent gas monitor); and

(2) For each flow monitor:

(i) A 7-day calibration error test;

(ii) Relative accuracy test audits, as follows:

(A) A single-load (or single-level) RATA at the normal load (or level), as defined in section 6.5.2.1(d) of appendix A to this part, for a flow monitor installed on a peaking unit or bypass stack, or for a flow monitor exempted from multiple-level RATA testing under section 6.5.2(e) of appendix A to this part;

(B) For all other flow monitors, a RATA at each of the three load levels (or operating levels) corresponding to the three flue gas velocities described in section 6.5.2(a) of appendix A to this part;

(iii) A bias test for the single-load (or single-level) flow RATA described in paragraph (c)(2)(ii)(A) of this section; and

(iv) A bias test (or bias tests) for the 3-level flow RATA described in paragraph (c)(2)(ii)(B) of this section, at the following load or operational level(s):

(A) At each load level designated as normal under section 6.5.2.1(d) of appendix A to this part, for units that produce electrical or thermal output, or

(B) At the operational level identified as normal in section 6.5.2.1(d) of appendix A to this part, for units that do not produce electrical or thermal output.

(3) The initial certification test data from an O_2 or a CO_2 diluent gas monitor certified for use in a NO_X continuous emission monitoring system may be submitted to meet the requirements of paragraph (c)(4) of this section. Also, for a diluent monitor that is used both as a CO_2 monitoring system and to determine heat input, only one set of diluent monitor certification data need be submitted (under the component and system identification numbers of the CO_2 monitoring system).

(4) For each CO_2 pollutant concentration monitor, each CO_2 monitoring system that uses an O_2 monitor to determine CO_2 concentration, and each diluent gas monitor used only to monitor heat input rate:

(i) A 7-day calibration error test;

(ii) A linearity check;

(iii) A relative accuracy test audit, where, for an O_2 monitor used to determine CO_2 concentration, the CO_2 reference method shall be used for the RATA; and

(iv) A cycle-time test.

(5) For each continuous moisture monitoring system consisting of wet- and dry-basis O_2 analyzers:

(i) A 7-day calibration error test of each O_2 analyzer;

(ii) A cycle time test of each O_2 analyzer;

(iii) A linearity test of each O_2 analyzer; and

(iv) A RATA, directly comparing the percent moisture measured by the monitoring system to a reference method.

(6) For each continuous moisture sensor: A RATA, directly comparing the percent moisture measured by the monitor sensor to a reference method.

(7) For a continuous moisture monitoring system consisting of a temperature sensor and a data acquisition and

handling system (DAHS) software component programmed with a moisture lookup table:

(i) A demonstration that the correct moisture value for each hour is being taken from the moisture lookup tables and applied to the emission calculations. At a minimum, the demonstration shall be made at three different temperatures covering the normal range of stack temperatures from low to high.

(ii) [Reserved]

(8) The owner or operator shall ensure that initial certification or recertification of a continuous opacity monitor for use under the Acid Rain Program is conducted according to one of the following procedures:

(i) Performance of the tests for initial certification or recertification, according to the requirements of Performance Specification 1 in appendix B to part 60 of this chapter; or

(ii) A continuous opacity monitoring system tested and certified previously under State or other Federal requirements to meet the requirements of Performance Specification 1 shall be deemed certified for the purposes of this part.

(9) [Reserved]

(10) For the automated data acquisition and handling system, tests designed to verify:

(i) Proper computation of hourly averages for pollutant concentrations, flow rate, pollutant emission rates, and pollutant mass emissions; and

(ii) Proper computation and application of the missing data substitution procedures in subpart D of this part and the bias adjustment factors in section 7 of appendix A to this part.

(11) The owner or operator shall provide adequate facilities for initial certification or recertification testing that include:

(i) Sampling ports adequate for test methods applicable to such facility, such that:

(A) Volumetric flow rate, pollutant concentration, and pollutant emission rates can be accurately determined by applicable test methods and procedures; and

(B) A stack or duct free of cyclonic flow during performance tests is available, as demonstrated by applicable test methods and procedures.

(ii) Basic facilities (e.g., electricity) for sampling and testing equipment.

(d) *Initial certification and recertification and quality assurance procedures for optional backup continuous emission monitoring systems*—(1) *Redundant backups*. The owner or operator of an optional redundant backup CEMS shall comply with all the requirements for initial certification and recertification according to the procedures specified in paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of this section. The owner or operator shall operate the redundant backup CEMS during all periods of unit operation, except for periods of calibration, quality assurance, maintenance, or repair. The owner or operator shall perform upon the redundant backup CEMS all quality assurance and quality control procedures specified in appendix B to this part, except that the daily assessments in section 2.1 of appendix B to this part are optional for days on which the redundant backup CEMS is not used to report emission data under this part. For any day on which a redundant backup CEMS is used to report emission data, the system must meet all of the applicable daily assessment criteria in appendix B to this part.

(2) *Non-redundant backups*. The owner or operator of an optional non-redundant backup CEMS or like-kind replacement analyzer shall comply with all of the following requirements for initial certification, quality assurance, recertification, and data reporting:

(i) Except as provided in paragraph (d)(2)(v) of this section, for a regular non-redundant backup CEMS (*i.e.*, a non-redundant backup CEMS that has its own separate probe, sample interface, and analyzer), or a non-redundant backup flow monitor, all of the tests in paragraph (c) of this section are required for initial certification of the system, except for the 7-day calibration error test.

(ii) For a like-kind replacement non-redundant backup analyzer (*i.e.*, a non-redundant backup analyzer that uses the same probe and sample interface as a primary monitoring system), no initial certification of the analyzer is required. A non-redundant backup analyzer, connected to the same probe and

interface as a primary CEMS in order to satisfy the dual span requirements of section 2.1.1.4 or 2.1.2.4 of appendix A to this part, shall be treated in the same manner as a like-kind replacement analyzer.

(iii) Each non-redundant backup CEMS or like-kind replacement analyzer shall comply with the daily and quarterly quality assurance and quality control requirements in appendix B to this part for each day and quarter that the non-redundant backup CEMS or like-kind replacement analyzer is used to report data, and shall meet the additional linearity and calibration error test requirements specified in this paragraph. The owner or operator shall ensure that each non-redundant backup CEMS or like-kind replacement analyzer passes a linearity check (for pollutant concentration and diluent gas monitors) or a calibration error test (for flow monitors) prior to each use for recording and reporting emissions. For a primary NO_X-diluent CEMS consisting of the primary pollutant analyzer and a like-kind replacement diluent analyzer (or vice-versa), provided that the primary pollutant or diluent analyzer (as applicable) is operating and is not out-of-control with respect to any of its quality assurance requirements, only the like-kind replacement analyzer must pass a linearity check before the system is used for data reporting. When a non-redundant backup CEMS or like-kind replacement analyzer is brought into service, prior to conducting the linearity test, a probationary calibration error test (as described in paragraph (b)(3)(ii) of this section), which will begin a period of conditionally valid data, may be performed in order to allow the validation of data retrospectively, as follows. Conditionally valid data from the CEMS or like-kind replacement analyzer are validated back to the hour of completion of the probationary calibration error test if the following conditions are met: if no adjustments are made to the CEMS or like-kind replacement analyzer other than the allowable calibration adjustments specified in section 2.1.3 of appendix B to this part between the probationary calibration error test and the successful completion of the linearity test; and if the linearity test is passed within 168 unit (or stack) operating hours of the probationary calibration error test. However, if the linearity test is performed within 168 unit or stack operating hours but is either failed or aborted due to a problem with the CEMS or like-kind replacement analyzer, then all of the conditionally valid data are invalidated back to the hour of the probationary calibration error test, and data from the non-redundant backup CEMS or from the primary monitoring system of which the like-kind replacement analyzer is a part remain invalid until the hour of completion of a successful linearity test. Notwithstanding this requirement, the conditionally valid data status may be re-established after a failed or aborted linearity check, if corrective action is taken and a calibration error test is subsequently passed. However, in no case shall the use of conditional data validation extend for more than 168 unit or stack operating hours beyond the date and time of the original probationary calibration error test when the analyzer was brought into service.

(iv) When data are reported from a non-redundant backup CEMS or like-kind replacement analyzer, the appropriate bias adjustment factor shall be determined as follows:

(A) For a regular non-redundant backup CEMS, as described in paragraph (d)(2)(i) of this section, apply the bias adjustment factor from the most recent RATA of the non-redundant backup system (even if that RATA was done more than 12 months previously); or

(B) When a like-kind replacement non-redundant backup analyzer is used as a component of a primary CEMS (as described in paragraph (d)(2)(ii) of this section), apply the primary monitoring system bias adjustment factor.

(v) For each parameter monitored (*i.e.*, SO_2, CO_2, O_2, NO_X, Hg or flow rate) at each unit or stack, a regular non-redundant backup CEMS may not be used to report data at that affected unit or common stack for more than 720 hours in any one calendar year (or 720 hours in any ozone season, for sources that report emission data only during the ozone season, in accordance with

§ 75.74(c)), unless the CEMS passes a RATA at that unit or stack. For each parameter monitored at each unit or stack, the use of a like-kind replacement non-redundant backup analyzer (or analyzers) is restricted to 720 cumulative hours per calendar year (or ozone season, as applicable), unless the owner or operator redesignates the like-kind replacement analyzer(s) as component(s) of regular non-redundant backup CEMS and each redesignated CEMS passes a RATA at that unit or stack.

(vi) For each regular non-redundant backup CEMS, no more than eight successive calendar quarters shall elapse following the quarter in which the last RATA of the CEMS was done at a particular unit or stack, without performing a subsequent RATA. Otherwise, the CEMS may not be used to report data from that unit or stack until the hour of completion of a passing RATA at that location.

(vii) Each regular non-redundant backup CEMS shall be represented in the monitoring plan required under § 75.53 as a separate monitoring system, with unique system and component identification numbers. When like-kind replacement non-redundant backup analyzers are used, the owner or operator shall represent each like-kind replacement analyzer used during a particular calendar quarter in the monitoring plan required under § 75.53 as a component of a primary monitoring system. The owner or operator shall also assign a unique component identification number to each like-kind replacement analyzer, beginning with the letters ''LK'' (*e.g.*, ''LK1,'' ''LK2,'' etc.) and shall specify the manufacturer, model and serial number of the like-kind replacement analyzer. This information may be added, deleted or updated as necessary, from quarter to quarter. The owner or operator shall also report data from the like-kind replacement analyzer using the system identification number of the primary monitoring system and the assigned component identification number of the like-kind replacement analyzer. For the purposes of the electronic quarterly report required under § 75.64, the owner or operator may manually enter the appropriate component identification number(s) of any like-kind replacement analyzer(s) used for data reporting during the quarter.

(viii) When reporting data from a certified regular non-redundant backup CEMS, use a method of determination (MODC) code of ''02.'' When reporting data from a like-kind replacement non-redundant backup analyzer, use a MODC of ''17'' (see Table 4a under § 75.57). For the purposes of the electronic quarterly report required under § 75.64, the owner or operator may manually enter the required MODC of ''17'' for a like-kind replacement analyzer.

(3) *Reference method backups.* A monitoring system that is operated as a reference method backup system pursuant to the reference method requirements of methods 2, 6C, 7E, or 3A in appendix A of part 60 of this chapter need not perform and pass the certification tests required by paragraph (c) of this section prior to its use pursuant to this paragraph.

(e) *Certification/recertification procedures for either peaking unit or by-pass stack/duct continuous emission monitoring systems.* The owner or operator of either a peaking unit or by-pass stack/duct continuous emission monitoring system shall comply with all the requirements for certification or recertification according to the procedures specified in paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of this section, except as follows: the owner or operator need only perform one nine-run relative accuracy test audit for certification or recertification of a flow monitor installed on the by-pass stack/duct or on the stack/duct used only by affected peaking unit(s). The relative accuracy test audit shall be performed during normal operation of the peaking unit(s) or the by-pass stack/duct.

(f) *Certification/recertification procedures for alternative monitoring systems.* The designated representative representing the owner or operator of each alternative monitoring system approved by the Administrator as equivalent to or better than a continuous emission monitoring system according to the criteria in subpart E of this part shall apply for certification to the Administrator prior to use of the system under the Acid Rain Program, and

shall apply for recertification to the Administrator following a replacement, modification, or change according to the procedures in paragraph (c) of this section. The owner or operator of an alternative monitoring system shall comply with the notification and application requirements for certification or recertification according to the procedures specified in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section.

(g) *Initial certification and recertification procedures for excepted monitoring systems under appendices D and E.* The owner or operator of a gas-fired unit, oil-fired unit, or diesel-fired unit using the optional protocol under appendix D or E to this part shall ensure that an excepted monitoring system under appendix D or E to this part meets the applicable general operating requirements of § 75.10, the applicable requirements of appendices D and E to this part, and the initial certification or recertification requirements of this paragraph.

(1) *Initial certification and recertification testing.* The owner or operator shall use the following procedures for initial certification and recertification of an excepted monitoring system under appendix D or E to this part.

(i) When the optional SO_2 mass emissions estimation procedure in appendix D to this part or the optional NO_X emissions estimation protocol in appendix E to this part is used, the owner or operator shall provide data from a flowmeter accuracy test (or shall provide a statement of calibration if the flowmeter meets the accuracy standard by design) for each fuel flowmeter, according to section 2.1.5.1 of appendix D to this part. For orifice, nozzle, and venturi-type flowmeters, the results of primary element visual inspections and/or calibrations of the transmitters or transducers shall also be provided.

(ii) For the automated data acquisition and handling system used under either the optional SO_2 mass emissions estimation procedure in appendix D of this part or the optional NO_X emissions estimation protocol in appendix E of this part, the owner or operator shall perform tests designed to verify:

(A) The proper computation of hourly averages for pollutant concentrations, fuel flow rates, emission rates, heat input, and pollutant mass emissions; and

(B) Proper computation and application of the missing data substitution procedures in appendix D or E of this part.

(iii) When the optional NO_X emissions protocol in appendix E is used, the owner or operator shall complete all initial performance testing under section 2.1 of appendix E.

(2) *Initial certification, recertification, and QA testing notification.* The designated representative shall provide initial certification testing notification, recertification testing notification, and routine periodic quality-assurance testing, as specified in § 75.61. Initial certification testing notification, recertification testing notification, or periodic quality assurance testing notification is not required for an excepted monitoring system under appendix D to this part.

(3) *Monitoring plan.* The designated representative shall submit an initial monitoring plan in accordance with § 75.62(a).

(4) *Initial certification or recertification application.* The designated representative shall submit an initial certification or recertification application in accordance with §§ 75.60 and 75.63.

(5) *Provisional approval of initial certification and recertification applications.* Upon the successful completion of the required initial certification or recertification procedures for each excepted monitoring system under appendix D or E to this part, each excepted monitoring system under appendix D or E to this part shall be deemed provisionally certified (or recertified) for use under the Acid Rain Program during the period for the Administrator's review. The provisions for the initial certification or recertification application formal approval process in paragraph (a)(4) of this section shall apply, except that the term ''excepted monitoring system'' shall apply rather than ''continuous emission or opacity monitoring system'' and except that the procedures for loss of certification or for disapproval of a recertification request in paragraph (g)(7) of this section shall apply rather than the procedures for loss of certification or denial of a recertification request in paragraph

(a)(5) or (b)(5) of this section. Data measured and recorded by a provisionally certified (or recertified) excepted monitoring system under appendix D or E to this part will be considered quality-assured data from the date and time of completion of the last initial certification or recertification test, provided that the Administrator does not revoke the provisional certification or recertification by issuing a notice of disapproval in accordance with the provisions in paragraph (a)(4) or (b)(5) of this section.

(6) *Recertification requirements.* Recertification of an excepted monitoring system under appendix D or E to this part is required for any modification to the system or change in operation that could significantly affect the ability of the system to accurately account for emissions and for which the Administrator determines that an accuracy test of the fuel flowmeter or a retest under appendix E to this part to re-establish the NO_X correlation curve is required. Examples of such changes or modifications include fuel flowmeter replacement, changes in unit configuration, or exceedance of operating parameters.

(7) *Procedures for loss of certification or recertification for excepted monitoring systems under appendices D and E to this part.* In the event that a certification or recertification application is disapproved for an excepted monitoring system, data from the monitoring system are invalidated, and the applicable missing data procedures in section 2.4 of appendix D or section 2.5 of appendix E to this part shall be used from the date and hour of receipt of such notice back to the hour of the provisional certification. Data from the excepted monitoring system remain invalid until all required tests are repeated and the excepted monitoring system is again provisionally certified. The owner or operator shall repeat all certification or recertification tests or other requirements, as indicated in the Administrator's notice of disapproval, no later than 30 unit operating days after the date of issuance of the notice of disapproval. The designated representative shall submit a notification of the certification or recertification retest dates if required under paragraph (g)(2) of this section and shall submit a new certification or recertification application according to the procedures in paragraph (g)(4) of this section.

(h) *Initial certification and recertification procedures for low mass emission units using the excepted methodologies under § 75.19.* The owner or operator of a gas-fired or oil-fired unit using the low mass emissions excepted methodology under § 75.19 shall meet the applicable general operating requirements of § 75.10, the applicable requirements of § 75.19, and the applicable certification requirements of this paragraph.

(1) *Monitoring plan.* The designated representative shall submit a monitoring plan in accordance with §§ 75.53 and 75.62.

(2) *Certification application.* The designated representative shall submit a certification application in accordance with § 75.63(a)(1)(ii).

(3) *Approval of certification applications.* The provisions for the certification application formal approval process in the introductory text of paragraph (a)(4) and in paragraphs (a)(4)(i), (ii), and (iv) of this section shall apply, except that ''continuous emission or opacity monitoring system'' shall be replaced with ''low mass emissions excepted methodology.'' Provisional certification status for the low mass emissions methodology begins on the date of submittal (consistent with the definition of ''submit'' in § 72.2 of this chapter) of a complete certification application, and the methodology is considered to be certified either upon receipt of a written approval notice from the Administrator or, if such notice is not provided, at the end of the Administrator's 120-day review period. However, in contrast to CEM systems or appendix D and E monitoring systems, a provisionally certified or certified low mass emissions excepted methodology may not be used to report data under the Acid Rain Program or in a NO_X mass emissions reduction program under subpart H of this part prior to the applicable commencement date specified in § 75.19(a)(2)(i).

(4) *Disapproval of low mass emissions unit certification applications.* If the Administrator determines that the certification application for a low mass emissions unit does not demonstrate that the unit meets the requirements of §§ 75.19(a) and (b), the Administrator shall issue a written notice of disapproval of the certification application within 120 days of receipt. By issuing the notice of disapproval, the provisional certification is invalidated by the Administrator, and any emission data reported using the excepted methodology during the Administrator's 120-day review period shall be considered invalid. The owner or operator shall use the following procedures when a certification application is disapproved:

(i) The owner or operator shall substitute the following values, as applicable, for each hour of unit operation in which data were reported using the low mass emissions methodology until such time, date, and hour as continuous emission monitoring systems or excepted monitoring systems, where applicable, are installed and provisionally certified: the maximum potential concentration of SO_2, as defined in section 2.1.1.1 of appendix A to this part; the maximum potential fuel flowrate, as defined in section 2.4.2 of appendix D to this part; the maximum potential values of fuel sulfur content, GCV, and density (if applicable) in Table D–6 of appendix D to this part; the maximum potential NO_X emission rate, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter; the maximum potential flow rate, as defined in section 2.1.4.1 of appendix A to this part; or the maximum potential CO_2 concentration as defined in section 2.1.3.1 of appendix A to this part. For a unit subject to a State or federal NO_X mass reduction program where the owner or operator intends to monitor NO_X mass emissions with a NO_X pollutant concentration monitor and a flow monitoring system, substitute for NO_X concentration using the maximum potential concentration of NO_X, as defined in section 2.1.2.1 of appendix A to this part, and substitute for volumetric flow using the maximum potential flow rate, as defined in section 2.1.4.1 of appendix A to this part; and

(ii) The designated representative shall submit a notification of certification test dates for the required monitoring systems, as specified in § 75.61(a)(1)(i), and shall submit a certification application according to the procedures in paragraph (a)(2) of this section.

(5) *Recertification.* Recertification of an approved low mass emissions excepted methodology is not required. Once the Administrator has approved the methodology for use, the owner or operator is subject to the on-going qualification and disqualification procedures in § 75.19(b), on an annual or ozone season basis, as applicable.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26524, May 17, 1995; 60 FR 40296, Aug. 8, 1995; 61 FR 59158, Nov. 20, 1996; 63 FR 57506, Oct. 27, 1998; 64 FR 28592, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40431, June 12, 2002; 70 FR 28678, May 18, 2005; 72 FR 51527, Sept. 7, 2007; 73 FR 4345, Jan. 24, 2008; 76 FR 17308, Mar. 28, 2011]

§ 75.21 Quality assurance and quality control requirements.

(a) *Continuous emission monitoring systems.* The owner or operator of an affected unit shall operate, calibrate and maintain each continuous emission monitoring system used to report emission data under the Acid Rain Program as follows:

(1) The owner or operator shall operate, calibrate and maintain each primary and redundant backup continuous emission monitoring system according to the quality assurance and quality control procedures in appendix B of this part.

(2) The owner or operator shall ensure that each non-redundant backup CEMS meets the quality assurance requirements of § 75.20(d) for each day and quarter that the system is used to report data.

(3) The owner or operator shall perform quality assurance upon a reference method backup monitoring system according to the requirements of Method 2, 6C, 7E, or 3A in Appendices A–1, A–2 and A–4 to part 60 of this chapter (supplemented, as necessary, by guidance from the Administrator), instead of the procedures specified in appendix B to this part.

(4) The owner or operator of a unit with an SO_2 continuous emission monitoring system is not required to perform the daily or quarterly assessments of the SO_2 monitoring system under appendix B to this part on any day or in any calendar quarter in which only gaseous fuel is combusted in the unit if, during those days and calendar quarters, SO_2 emissions are determined in accordance with § 75.11(e)(1). However, such assessments are permissible, and if any daily calibration error test or linearity test of the SO_2 monitoring system is failed while the unit is combusting only gaseous fuel, the SO_2 monitoring system shall be considered out-of-control. The length of the out-of-control period shall be determined in accordance with the applicable procedures in section 2.1.4 or 2.2.3 of appendix B to this part.

(5) For a unit with an SO_2 continuous monitoring system, in which gaseous fuel that is very low sulfur fuel (as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter) is sometimes burned as a primary or backup fuel and in which higher-sulfur fuel(s) such as oil or coal are, at other times, burned as primary or backup fuel(s), the owner shall perform the relative accuracy test audits of the SO_2 monitoring system (as required by section 6.5 of appendix A to this part and section 2.3.1 of appendix B to this part) only when the higher-sulfur fuel is combusted in the unit and shall not perform SO_2 relative accuracy test audits when the very low sulfur gaseous fuel is the only fuel being combusted.

(6) If the designated representative certifies that a unit with an SO_2 monitoring system burns only very low sulfur fuel (as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter), the SO_2 monitoring system is exempted from the relative accuracy test audit requirements in appendices A and B to this part.

(7) If the designated representative certifies that a particular unit with an SO_2 monitoring system combusts primarily fuel(s) that are very low sulfur fuel(s) (as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter) and combusts higher sulfur fuel(s) only for infrequent, non-routine operations (*e.g.*, only as emergency backup fuel(s) or for short-term testing), the SO_2 monitoring system shall be exempted from the RATA requirements of appendices A and B to this part in any calendar year that the unit combusts the higher sulfur fuel(s) for no more than 480 hours. If, in a particular calendar year, the higher-sulfur fuel usage exceeds 480 hours, the owner or operator shall perform a RATA of the SO_2 monitor (while combusting the higher-sulfur fuel) either by the end of the calendar quarter in which the exceedance occurs or by the end of a 720 unit (or stack) operating hour grace period (under section 2.3.3 of appendix B to this part) following the quarter in which the exceedance occurs.

(8) The quality assurance provisions of §§ 75.11(e)(3)(i) through 75.11(e)(3)(iv) shall apply to all units with SO_2 monitoring systems during hours in which only very low sulfur fuel (as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter) is combusted in the unit.

(9) Provided that a unit with an SO_2 monitoring system is not exempted from the SO_2 RATA requirements of this part under paragraphs (a)(6) or (a)(7) of this section, any calendar quarter during which a unit combusts only very low sulfur fuel (as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter) shall be excluded in determining the quarter in which the next relative accuracy test audit must be performed for the SO_2 monitoring system. However, no more than eight successive calendar quarters shall elapse after a relative accuracy test audit of an SO_2 monitoring system, without a subsequent relative accuracy test audit having been performed. The owner or operator shall ensure that a relative accuracy test audit is performed, in accordance with paragraph (a)(5) of this section, either by the end of the eighth successive elapsed calendar quarter since the last RATA or by the end of a 720 unit (or stack) operating hour grace period, as provided in section 2.3.3 of appendix B to this part.

(10) The owner or operator who, in accordance with § 75.11(e)(1), uses a certified flow monitor and a certified diluent monitor and Equation F–23 in appendix F to this part to calculate SO_2 emissions during hours in which a unit combusts only natural gas or pipeline natural gas (as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter) shall meet all quality control and quality assurance requirements in

appendix B to this part for the flow monitor and the diluent monitor.

(b) *Continuous opacity monitoring systems.* The owner or operator of an affected unit shall operate, calibrate, and maintain each continuous opacity monitoring system used under the Acid Rain Program according to the procedures specified for State Implementation Plans, pursuant to part 51, appendix M of this chapter.

(c) *Calibration gases.* The owner or operator shall ensure that all calibration gases used to quality assure the operation of the instrumentation required by this part shall meet the definition in § 72.2 of this chapter.

(d) *Notification for periodic relative accuracy test audits.* The owner or operator or the designated representative shall submit a written notice of the dates of relative accuracy testing as specified in § 75.61.

(e) *Consequences of audits.* The owner or operator shall invalidate data from a continuous emission monitoring system or continuous opacity monitoring system upon failure of an audit under appendix B to this part or any other audit, beginning with the unit operating hour of completion of a failed audit as determined by the Administrator. The owner or operator shall not use invalidated data for reporting either emissions or heat input, nor for calculating monitor data availability.

(1) *Audit decertification.* Whenever both an audit of a continuous emission or opacity monitoring system (or component thereof, including the data acquisition and handling system), of any excepted monitoring system under appendix D or E to this part, or of any alternative monitoring system under subpart E of this part, and a review of the initial certification application or of a recertification application, reveal that any system or component should not have been certified or recertified because it did not meet a particular performance specification or other requirement of this part, both at the time of the initial certification or recertification application submission and at the time of the audit, the Administrator will issue a notice of disapproval of the certification status of such system or component. For the purposes of this paragraph, an audit shall be either a field audit of the facility or an audit of any information submitted to EPA or the State agency regarding the facility. By issuing the notice of disapproval, the certification status is revoked prospectively by the Administrator. The data measured and recorded by each system shall not be considered valid quality-assured data from the date of issuance of the notification of the revoked certification status until the date and time that the owner or operator completes subsequently approved initial certification or recertification tests. The owner or operator shall follow the procedures in § 75.20(a)(5) for initial certification or § 75.20(b)(5) for recertification to replace, prospectively, all of the invalid, non-quality-assured data for each disapproved system.

(2) *Out-of-control period.* Whenever a continuous emission monitoring system or continuous opacity monitoring system fails a quality assurance audit or any other audit, the system is out-of-control. The owner or operator shall follow the procedures for out-of-control periods in § 75.24.

(f) *Requirements for Air Emission Testing.* On and after March 27, 2012, relative accuracy testing under § 75.74(c)(2)(ii), section 6.5 of appendix A to this part, and section 2.3.1 of appendix B to this part, and stack testing under § 75.19 and section 2.1 of appendix E to this part shall be performed by an ''Air Emission Testing Body'', as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter. Conformance to the requirements of ASTM D7036–04 (incorporated by reference, see § 75.6), referred to in section 6.1.2 of appendix A to this part, shall apply only to these tests. Section 1.1.4 of appendix B to this part, and section 2.1 of appendix E to this part require compliance with section 6.1.2 of appendix A to this part. Tests and activities under this part not required to be performed by an AETB as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter include daily CEMS operation, daily calibration error checks, daily flow interference checks, quarterly linearity checks, routine maintenance of CEMS, voluntary emissions testing, or emissions testing required under other regulations.

(g) *Requirements for EPA Protocol Gas Verification Program.* Any EPA Protocol

gas production site that chooses to participate in the EPA Protocol Gas Verification Program (PGVP) must notify the Administrator of its intent to participate. An EPA Protocol gas production site's participation shall commence immediately upon notification to EPA and shall extend through the end of the calendar year in which notification is provided. EPA will issue a vendor ID to each participating EPA Protocol gas production site. In each year of the PGVP, EPA may audit up to four EPA Protocol gas cylinders from each participating EPA Protocol gas production site.

(1) A production site participating in the PGVP shall provide the following information in its initial and ongoing notifications to EPA in an electronic format prescribed by the Administrator (*see* the CAMD Web site *http://www.epa.gov/airmarkets/emissions/pgvp.html*):

(i) The specialty gas company name which owns or operates the participating production site;

(ii) The name, e-mail address, and telephone number of a contact person for that specialty gas company;

(iii) The name and address of that participating EPA Protocol gas production site, owned or operated by the specialty gas company; and

(iv) The name, e-mail address, and telephone number of a contact person for that participating EPA Protocol gas production site.

(2) An EPA Protocol gas production site that elects to continue participating in the PGVP in the next calendar year must notify the Administrator of its intent to continue in the program by December 31 of the current year by submitting to EPA the information described in paragraph (g)(1) of this section.

(3) A list of the names, contact information, and vendor IDs of EPA Protocol gas production sites participating in the PGVP will be made publicly available by posting on EPA Web sites (*see* the CAMD Web site *http://www.epa.gov/airmarkets/emissions/pgvp.html*).

(4) EPA may remove an EPA Protocol gas production site from the list of PGVP participants and give notice to the production site for any of the following reasons:

(i) If the EPA Protocol gas production site fails to provide all of the information required by paragraph (g)(1) of this section in accordance with paragraph (g)(2) of this section;

(ii) If, after being notified that its EPA Protocol gas cylinders are being audited by EPA, the EPA Protocol gas production site fails to cancel its invoice or to credit the purchaser's account for the cylinders within 45 calendar days of such notification; or

(iii) If, after being notified that its EPA Protocol gas cylinders are being audited by EPA, the EPA Protocol gas production site cannot provide to EPA upon demand proof of payment to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) and a valid contract with NIST;

(5) EPA may relist an EPA Protocol gas production site as follows:

(i) An EPA Protocol gas production site may be relisted immediately after its failure is remedied if the only reason for removal from the list of PGVP participants is failure to provide all of the information required by paragraph (g)(1) of this section;

(ii) If EPA does not receive hardcopy or electronic proof of a credit receipt or of cancellation of the invoice for the cylinders from the EPA Protocol gas production site within 45 calendar days of notifying the EPA Protocol gas production site that its cylinders are being audited by EPA, the cylinders shall be returned to the EPA Protocol gas production site free of any demurrage, and that EPA Protocol gas production site shall not be eligible for relisting for 180 calendar days from the date of notice that it was removed from the list and until it submits to EPA the information required by paragraph (g)(1) of this section;

(iii) For any EPA Protocol gas production site which is notified by EPA that its cylinders are being audited and cannot provide to EPA upon demand proof of payment to NIST and a valid contract with NIST, the cylinders may either be kept by NIST or returned to the EPA Protocol gas production site free of any demurrage and at no cost to

NIST, and that EPA Protocol gas production site shall not be eligible for relisting for 180 calendar days from the date of notice that it was removed from the list and until it submits to EPA the information required by paragraph (g)(1) of this section.

(6) On and after May 27, 2011 for each unit subject to this part that uses EPA Protocol gases, the owner or operator must obtain such gases from either an EPA Protocol gas production site that is on the EPA list of sites participating in the PGVP on the date the owner or operator procures such gases or from a reseller that sells to the owner or operator unaltered EPA Protocol gases produced by an EPA Protocol gas production site that was on the EPA list of participating sites on the date the reseller procured such gases.

(7) An EPA Protocol gas cylinder certified by or ordered from any non-participating EPA Protocol gas production site no later than May 27, 2011 may be used for the purposes of this part until the earlier of the cylinder's expiration date or the date on which the cylinder gas pressure reaches 150 psig. In the event that an EPA Protocol gas production site is removed from the list of PGVP participants on the same date as or after the date on which a particular cylinder has been certified or ordered, that gas cylinder may continue to be used for the purposes of this part until the earlier of the cylinder's expiration date or the date on which the cylinder gas pressure reaches 150 psig. However, in no case shall a cylinder described in this paragraph (g)(7) be recertified by a non-participating EPA Protocol gas production site to extend its useful life and be used by a source subject to this part.

(8) If EPA notifies a participating EPA Protocol gas production site that its EPA Protocol gas cylinders are being audited and identifies the purchaser as an EPA representative or contractor participating in the audit process, the production site shall:

(i) Either cancel that purchaser's invoice or credit that purchaser's account for the purchase of those EPA Protocol gas cylinders;

(ii) Not charge for demurrage for those EPA Protocol gas cylinders;

(iii) Arrange for and pay for the return shipment of its cylinders from NIST; and

(iv) Provide sufficient funding to NIST for:

(A) The analysis of those EPA Protocol gas cylinders by NIST;

(B) The production site's pro rata share of draft and final NIST electronic audit reports as specified in paragraphs (g)(9)(ii) through (g)(9)(v) of this section on all cylinders in the current audit; and

(C) The full cost of a draft redacted electronic audit report containing just that production site's results and the information as specified in paragraphs (g)(9)(ii) through (g)(9)(v) of this section;

(9) If EPA notifies a participating EPA Protocol gas production site that its EPA Protocol gas cylinders are being audited then:

(i) Each participating EPA Protocol gas production site must have NIST analyze its EPA Protocol gas cylinders provided for audit as soon after NIST receives the batch containing those cylinders as possible, preferably within two weeks of NIST's receipt, using analytical procedures consistent with metrology institute practices and at least as rigorous as the ''EPA Traceability Protocol for Assay and Certification of Gaseous Calibration Standards'' (Traceability Protocol), September 1997, as amended August 25, 1999, EPA–600/R–97/121, (incorporated by reference, see § 75.6) or equivalent written cylinder analysis protocol that has been approved by EPA.

(ii) Each cylinder's concentration must be determined by NIST and the results compared to each cylinder's certification documentation and tag value to establish conformance with section 5.1 of appendix A to this part. After NIST analysis, each cylinder must be provided with a NIST analyzed concentration with an expanded uncertainty, as defined in § 72.2, (coverage factor, as defined in § 72.2, k = 2) of plus or minus 1.0 percent (calculated combined standard uncertainty of plus or minus 0.5%), inclusive, or better, unless otherwise approved by EPA.

(iii) The certification documentation accompanying each cylinder must be verified in the audit report as meeting

the requirements of ''EPA Traceability Protocol for Assay and Certification of Gaseous Calibration Standards,'' September 1997, as amended August 25, 1999, EPA–600/R–97/121 (incorporated by reference, *see* § 75.6) or a revised procedure approved by the Administrator.

(iv) Each participating EPA Protocol gas production site shall have NIST provide all of the information required by paragraphs (g)(9)(ii) through (g)(9)(v) of this section in draft and final electronic audit reports on all cylinders in the current audit, and in a draft redacted electronic audit report containing just that production site's information. The draft audit report on all cylinders in the current audit and each draft redacted version of the audit report shall be submitted electronically by NIST to *pgvp@epa.gov*, unless otherwise provided by the Administrator, within four weeks of completion of all cylinder analyses or as soon as possible thereafter. The draft and final audit report on all cylinders in the current audit shall only be sent to EPA. EPA will send the applicable draft redacted audit report to each participating production site for comment. To be considered in the final posted audit report, EPA must receive comments, and any cylinder re-analyses from participating EPA Protocol gas production sites within 60 days of the participating EPA Protocol gas production site's receipt of the draft redacted audit report. All comments from production sites, including any cylinder re-analyses, on the draft redacted versions of the audit report shall be submitted electronically to *pgvp@epa.gov*, unless otherwise provided by the Administrator. The final audit report on all cylinders in the current audit shall be submitted electronically by NIST to *pgvp@epa.gov*, unless otherwise provided by the Administrator, within 90 days of the participating EPA Protocol gas production site's receipt of the draft redacted audit report sent by EPA or as soon as possible thereafter. EPA will post the final results of the NIST analyses on EPA Web sites (*see* the CAMD Web site *http://www.epa.gov/airmarkets/emissions/pgvp.html)*. Each audit report shall include:

(A) A table with the information and in the format specified by Figure 3 (or the Note below Figure 3, as applicable) of appendix B to this part or such revised format as approved by the Administrator; and

(B) Complete documentation of the NIST procedures used to analyze the cylinders, including the analytical reference standards, analytical method, analytical method uncertainty, analytical instrumentation, and instrument calibration procedures.

(v) For EPA Protocol gas production sites that produce EPA Protocol gas cylinders claiming NIST traceability for both NO and NO_X concentrations in the same cylinder, if analyzed by NIST for the PGVP, such cylinders must be analyzed by NIST for both the NO and NO_X components (where total NO_X is determined by NO plus NO_2) and the results of the analyses shall be included in the audit report.

(10) An EPA Protocol gas production site shall continue to be on the EPA list of sites participating in the PGVP and may continue to sell EPA Protocol gases to sources subject to part 75 if it is not notified by EPA that its cylinders are being audited under the PGVP if it provides the information described in paragraph (g)(1) of this section in accordance with paragraph (g)(2) of this section.

(11) The data validation procedures under §§ 2.1.4, 2.2.3, and 2.3.2 of appendix B to this part apply.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26527, 26566, May 17, 1995; 61 FR 25582, May 22, 1996; 61 FR 59159, Nov. 20, 1996; 64 FR 28599, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40433, June 12, 2002; 67 FR 53505, Aug. 16, 2002; 70 FR 28679, May 18, 2005; 73 FR 4345, Jan. 24, 2008; 76 FR 17308, Mar. 28, 2011]

§ 75.22 Reference test methods.

(a) The owner or operator shall use the following methods, which are found in appendices A–1 through A–4 to part 60 of this chapter, to conduct the following tests: Monitoring system tests for certification or recertification of continuous emission monitoring Systems; NO_X emission tests of low mass emission units under § 75.19(c)(1)(iv); NO_X emission tests of excepted monitoring systems under appendix E to

this part; and required quality assurance and quality control tests:

(1) Methods 1 or 1A are the reference methods for selection of sampling site and sample traverses.

(2) Method 2 or its allowable alternatives, as provided in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter, except for Methods 2B and 2E, are the reference methods for determination of volumetric flow.

(3) Methods 3, 3A, or 3B are the reference methods for the determination of the dry molecular weight O_2 and CO_2 concentrations in the emissions.

(4) Method 4 (either the standard procedure described in section 8.1 of the method or the moisture approximation procedure described in section 8.2 of the method) shall be used to correct pollutant concentrations from a dry basis to a wet basis (or from a wet basis to a dry basis) and shall be used when relative accuracy test audits of continuous moisture monitoring systems are conducted. For the purpose of determining the stack gas molecular weight, however, the alternative wet bulb-dry bulb technique for approximating the stack gas moisture content described in section 2.2 of Method 4 may be used in lieu of the procedures in sections 8.1 and 8.2 of the method.

(5) Methods 6, 6A, 6B or 6C, and 7, 7A, 7C, 7D or 7E in appendix A–4 to part 60 of this chapter, as applicable, are the reference methods for determining SO_2 and NO_X pollutant concentrations. (Methods 6A and 6B in appendix A–4 to part 60 of this chapter may also be used to determine SO_2 emission rate in lb/mmBtu.) Methods 7, 7A, 7C, 7D, or 7E in appendix A–4 to part 60 of this chapter must be used to measure total NO_X emissions, both NO and NO_2, for purposes of this part. The owner or operator shall not use the following sections, exceptions, and options of method 7E in appendix A–4 to part 60 of this chapter:

(i) Section 7.1 of the method allowing for use of prepared calibration gas mixtures that are produced in accordance with method 205 in Appendix M of 40 CFR Part 51;

(ii) The sampling point selection procedures in section 8.1 of the method, for the emission testing of boilers and combustion turbines under appendix E to this part. The number and location of the sampling points for those applications shall be as specified in sections 2.1.2.1 and 2.1.2.2 of appendix E to this part;

(iii) Paragraph (3) in section 8.4 of the method allowing for the use of a multi-hole probe to satisfy the multipoint traverse requirement of the method;

(iv) Section 8.6 of the method allowing for the use of ''Dynamic Spiking'' as an alternative to the interference and system bias checks of the method. Dynamic spiking may be conducted (optionally) as an additional quality assurance check; and

(v) That portion of Section 8.5 of the method allowing multiple sampling runs to be conducted before performing the post-run system bias check or system calibration error check.

(6) Method 3A in appendix A–2 and method 7E in appendix A–4 to part 60 of this chapter are the reference methods for determining NO_X and diluent emissions from stationary gas turbines for testing under appendix E to this part.

(b) The owner or operator may use any of the following methods, which are found in appendices A–1 through A–4 to part 60 of this chapter, as a reference method backup monitoring system to provide quality-assured monitor data:

(1) Method 3A for determining O_2 or CO_2 concentration;

(2) Method 6C for determining SO_2 concentration;

(3) Method 7E for determining total NO_X concentration (both NO and NO_2);

(4) Method 2, or its allowable alternatives, as provided in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter, except for Methods 2B and 2E, for determining volumetric flow. The sample point(s) for reference methods shall be located according to the provisions of section 6.5.5 of appendix A to this part.

(c)(1) Instrumental EPA Reference Methods 3A, 6C, and 7E in appendices A–2 and A–4 of part 60 of this chapter shall be conducted using calibration gases as defined in section 5 of appendix A to this part. Otherwise, performance tests shall be conducted and data reduced in accordance with the test methods and procedures of this part unless the Administrator:

(i) Specifies or approves, in specific cases, the use of a reference method with minor changes in methodology;

(ii) Approves the use of an equivalent method; or

(iii) Approves shorter sampling times and smaller sample volumes when necessitated by process variables or other factors.

(2) Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to abrogate the Administrator's authority to require testing under Section 114 of the Act.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26528, May 17, 1995; 64 FR 28600, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40433, June 12, 2002; 67 FR 53505, Aug. 16, 2002; 70 FR 28679, May 18, 2005; 73 FR 4345, Jan. 24, 2008; 76 FR 17310, Mar. 28, 2011]

§ 75.23 Alternatives to standards incorporated by reference.

(a) The designated representative of a unit may petition the Administrator for an alternative to any standard incorporated by reference and prescribed in this part in accordance with § 75.66(c).

(b) [Reserved]

[60 FR 26528, May 17, 1995]

§ 75.24 Out-of-control periods and adjustment for system bias.

(a) If an out-of-control period occurs to a monitor or continuous emission monitoring system, the owner or operator shall take corrective action and repeat the tests applicable to the ''out-of-control parameter'' as described in appendix B of this part.

(1) For daily calibration error tests, an out-of-control period occurs when the calibration error of a pollutant concentration monitor exceeds the applicable specification in section 2.1.4 of appendix B to this part.

(2) For quarterly linearity checks, an out-of-control period occurs when the error in linearity at any of three gas concentrations (low, mid-range, and high) exceeds the applicable specification in appendix A to this part.

(3) For relative accuracy test audits, an out-of-control period occurs when the relative accuracy exceeds the applicable specification in appendix A to this part.

(b) When a monitor or continuous emission monitoring system is out-of-control, any data recorded by the monitor or monitoring system are not quality-assured and shall not be used in calculating monitor data availabilities pursuant to § 75.32 of this part.

(c) When a monitor or continuous emission monitoring system is out-of-control, the owner or operator shall take one of the following actions until the monitor or monitoring system has successfully met the relevant criteria in appendices A and B of this part as demonstrated by subsequent tests:

(1) Apply the procedures for missing data substitution to emissions from affected unit(s); or

(2) Use a certified backup monitoring system or a reference method for measuring and recording emissions from the affected unit(s); or

(3) Adjust the gas discharge paths from the affected unit(s) with emissions normally observed by the out-of-control monitor or monitoring system so that all exhaust gases are monitored by a certified monitor or monitoring system meeting the requirements of appendices A and B of this part.

(d) When the bias test indicates that an SO_2 monitor, a flow monitor, a NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system, or a NO_X concentration monitoring system used to determine NO_X mass emissions, as defined in § 75.71(a)(2), is biased low (*i.e.*, the arithmetic mean of the differences between the reference method value and the monitor or monitoring system measurements in a relative accuracy test audit exceed the bias statistic in section 7 of appendix A to this part), the owner or operator shall adjust the monitor or continuous emission monitoring system to eliminate the cause of bias such that it passes the bias test or calculate and use the bias adjustment factor as specified in section 2.3.4 of appendix B to this part.

(e) The owner or operator shall determine if a continuous opacity monitoring system is out-of-control and shall take appropriate corrective actions according to the procedures specified for State Implementation Plans, pursuant to appendix M of part 51 of this chapter. The owner or operator shall comply with the monitor data availability requirements of the State. If the State has no monitor data availability requirements for continuous

opacity monitoring systems, then the owner or operator shall comply with the monitor data availability requirements as stated in the data capture provisions of appendix M, part 51 of this chapter.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26528, May 17, 1995; 64 FR 28600, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40433, June 12, 2002; 70 FR 28680, May 18, 2005; 76 FR 17311, Mar. 28, 2011]

Subpart D—Missing Data Substitution Procedures

§ 75.30 General provisions.

(a) Except as provided in § 75.34, the owner or operator shall provide substitute data for each affected unit using a continuous emission monitoring system according to the missing data procedures in this subpart whenever the unit combusts any fuel and:

(1) A valid, quality-assured hour of SO_2 concentration data (in ppm) has not been measured and recorded for an affected unit by a certified SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor, or by an approved alternative monitoring method under subpart E of this part, except as provided in paragraph (d) of this section; or

(2) A valid, quality-assured hour of flow data (in scfh) has not been measured and recorded for an affected unit from a certified flow monitor, or by an approved alternative monitoring system under subpart E of this part; or

(3) A valid, quality-assured hour of NO_X emission rate data (in lb/mmBtu) has not been measured or recorded for an affected unit, either by a certified NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system or by an approved alternative monitoring system under subpart E of this part; or

(4) A valid, quality-assured hour of CO_2 concentration data (in percent CO_2, or percent O_2 converted to percent CO_2 using the procedures in appendix F to this part) has not been measured and recorded for an affected unit, either by a certified CO_2 continuous emission monitoring system or by an approved alternative monitoring method under subpart E of this part; or

(5) A valid, quality-assured hour of NO_X concentration data (in ppm) has not been measured or recorded for an affected unit, either by a certified NO_X concentration monitoring system used to determine NO_X mass emissions, as defined in § 75.71(a)(2), or by an approved alternative monitoring system under subpart E of this part; or

(6) A valid, quality-assured hour of CO_2 or O_2 concentration data (in percent CO_2, or percent O_2) used for the determination of heat input has not been measured and recorded for an affected unit, either by a certified CO_2 or O_2 diluent monitor, or by an approved alternative monitoring method under subpart E of this part; or

(7) A valid, quality-assured hour of moisture data (in percent H_2O) has not been measured or recorded for an affected unit, either by a certified moisture monitoring system or an approved alternative monitoring method under subpart E of this part. This requirement does not apply when a default percent moisture value, as provided in §§ 75.11(b) or 75.12(b), is used to account for the hourly moisture content of the stack gas; or

(8) A valid, quality-assured hour of heat input rate data (in mmBtu/hr) has not been measured and recorded for a unit from a certified flow monitor and a certified diluent (CO_2 or O_2) monitor or by an approved alternative monitoring system under subpart E of this part.

(b) However, the owner or operator shall have no need to provide substitute data according to the missing data procedures in this subpart if the owner or operator uses SO_2, CO_2, NO_X, or O_2 concentration, flow rate, percent moisture, or NO_X emission rate data recorded from either a certified redundant or regular non-redundant backup CEMS, a like-kind replacement non-redundant backup analyzer, or a backup reference method monitoring system when the certified primary monitor is not operating or is out-of-control. A redundant or non-redundant backup continuous emission monitoring system must have been certified according to the procedures in § 75.20 prior to the missing data period. Non-redundant backup continuous emission monitoring system must pass a linearity check (for pollutant concentration monitors) or a calibration error test (for flow monitors) prior to each period of use of the certified backup monitor

for recording and reporting emissions. Use of a certified backup monitoring system or backup reference method monitoring system is optional and at the discretion of the owner or operator.

(c) When the certified primary monitor is not operating or out-of-control, then data recorded for an affected unit from a certified backup continuous emission monitor or backup reference method monitoring system are used, as if such data were from the certified primary monitor, to calculate monitor data availability in § 75.32, and to provide the quality-assured data used in the missing data procedures in §§ 75.31 and 75.33, such as the ''hour after'' value.

(d) The owner or operator shall comply with the applicable provisions of this paragraph during hours in which a unit with an SO_2 continuous emission monitoring system combusts only gaseous fuel.

(1) Whenever a unit with an SO_2 CEMS combusts only natural gas or pipeline natural gas (as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter) and the owner or operator is using the procedures in section 7 of appendix F to this part to determine SO_2 mass emissions pursuant to § 75.11(e)(1), the owner or operator shall, for purposes of reporting heat input data under § 75.57(b)(5), and for the calculation of SO_2 mass emissions using Equation F–23 in section 7 of appendix F to this part, substitute for missing data from a flow monitoring system, CO_2 diluent monitor or O_2 diluent monitor using the missing data substitution procedures in § 75.36.

(2) Whenever a unit with an SO_2 CEMS combusts gaseous fuel and the owner or operator uses the gas sampling and analysis and fuel flow procedures in appendix D to this part to determine SO_2 mass emissions pursuant to § 75.11(e)(2), the owner or operator shall substitute for missing total sulfur content, gross calorific value, and fuel flowmeter data using the missing data procedures in appendix D to this part and shall also, for purposes of reporting heat input data under § 75.54(b)(5) or § 75.57(b)(5), as applicable, substitute for missing data from a flow monitoring system, CO_2 diluent monitor, or O_2 diluent monitor using the missing data substitution procedures in § 75.36.

(3) The owner or operator of a unit with an SO_2 monitoring system shall not include hours when the unit combusts only gaseous fuel in the SO_2 data availability calculations in § 75.32 or in the calculations of substitute SO_2 data using the procedures of either § 75.31 or § 75.33, for hours when SO_2 emissions are determined in accordance with § 75.11(e)(1) or (e)(2). For the purpose of the missing data and availability procedures for SO_2 pollutant concentration monitors in §§ 75.31 and 75.33 only, all hours during which the unit combusts only gaseous fuel shall be excluded from the definition of ''monitor operating hour,'' ''quality-assured monitor operating hour,'' ''unit operating hour,'' and ''unit operating day,'' when SO_2 emissions are determined in accordance with § 75.11(e)(1) or (e)(2).

(4) During all hours in which a unit with an SO_2 continuous emission monitoring system combusts only gaseous fuel and the owner or operator uses the SO_2 monitoring system to determine SO_2 mass emissions pursuant to § 75.11(e)(3), the owner or operator shall determine the percent monitor data availability for SO_2 in accordance with § 75.32 and shall use the standard SO_2 missing data procedures of § 75.33.

[60 FR 26528, 26566, May 17, 1995, as amended at 61 FR 59160, Nov. 20, 1996; 64 FR 28600, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40433, June 12, 2002]

§ 75.31 Initial missing data procedures.

(a) During the first 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours following initial certification of the required SO_2, CO_2, O_2, or moisture monitoring system(s) at a particular unit or stack location (*i.e.*, the date and time at which quality assured data begins to be recorded by CEMS(s) installed at that location), and during the first 2,160 quality assured monitor operating hours following initial certification of the required NO_X-diluent, NO_X concentration, or flow monitoring system(s) at the unit or stack location, the owner or operator shall provide substitute data required under this subpart according to the procedures in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section. The owner or operator of a unit shall use these procedures for no longer than three years (26,280 clock hours) following initial certification.

(b) SO_2, CO_2, or O_2 concentration data, and moisture data. For each hour of missing SO_2 or CO_2 emissions concentration data (including CO_2 data converted from O_2 data using the procedures in appendix F of this part), or missing O_2 or CO_2 diluent concentration data used to calculate heat input, or missing moisture data, the owner or operator shall calculate the substitute data as follows:

(1) Whenever prior quality-assured data exist, the owner or operator shall substitute, by means of the data acquisition and handling system, for each hour of missing data, the average of the hourly SO_2, CO_2, or O_2 concentrations or moisture percentages recorded by a certified monitor for the unit operating hour immediately before and the unit operating hour immediately after the missing data period.

(2) Whenever no prior quality assured SO_2, CO_2, or O_2 concentration data or moisture data exist, the owner or operator shall substitute, as applicable, for each hour of missing data, the maximum potential SO_2 concentration or the maximum potential CO_2 concentration or the minimum potential O_2 concentration or (unless Equation 19–3, 19–4 or 19–8 in Method 19 in appendix A–7 to part 60 of this chapter is used to determine NO_X emission rate) the minimum potential moisture percentage, as specified, respectively, in sections 2.1.1.1, 2.1.3.1, 2.1.3.2 and 2.1.5 of appendix A to this part. If Equation 19–3, 19–4 or 19–8 in Method 19 in appendix A–7 to part 60 of this chapter is used to determine NO_X emission rate, substitute the maximum potential moisture percentage, as specified in section 2.1.6 of appendix A to this part.

(c) *Volumetric flow and NO_X emission rate or NO_X concentration data (load ranges or operational bins used).* The procedures in this paragraph apply to affected units for which load-based ranges or non-load-based operational bins, as defined, respectively, in sections 2 and 3 of appendix C to this part are used to provide substitute NO_X and flow rate data. For each hour of missing volumetric flow rate data, NO_X emission rate data, or NO_X concentration data used to determine NO_X mass emissions:

(1) Whenever prior quality-assured data exist in the load range (or operational bin) corresponding to the operating load (or operating conditions) at the time of the missing data period, the owner or operator shall substitute, by means of the automated data acquisition and handling system, for each hour of missing data, the arithmetic average of all of the prior quality-assured hourly flow rates, NO_X emission rates, or NO_X concentrations in the corresponding load range (or operational bin) as determined using the procedure in appendix C to this part. When non-load-based operational bins are used, if essential operating or parametric data are unavailable for any hour in the missing data period, such that the operational bin cannot be determined, the owner or operator shall, for that hour, substitute (as applicable) the maximum potential flow rate as specified in section 2.1.4.1 of appendix A to this part or the maximum potential NO_X emission rate or the maximum potential NO_X concentration as specified in section 2.1.2.1 of appendix A to this part.

(2) This paragraph (c)(2) does not apply to non-load-based units using operational bins. Whenever no prior quality-assured flow or NO_X emission rate or NO_X concentration data exist for the corresponding load range, the owner or operator shall substitute, for each hour of missing data, the average hourly flow rate or the average hourly NO_X emission rate or NO_X concentration at the next higher level load range for which quality-assured data are available.

(3) Whenever no prior quality-assured flow rate or NO_X emission rate or NO_X concentration data exist for the corresponding load range, or any higher load range (or for non-load-based units using operational bins, when no prior quality-assured data exist in the corresponding operational bin), the owner or operator shall, as applicable, substitute, for each hour of missing data, the maximum potential flow rate as specified in section 2.1.4.1 of appendix A to this part or shall substitute the maximum potential NO_X emission rate or the maximum potential NO_X concentration, as specified in section

2.1.2.1 of appendix A to this part. Alternatively, where a unit with add-on NO_X emission controls can demonstrate that the controls are operating properly during the hour, as provided in § 75.34(d), the owner or operator may substitute, as applicable, the maximum controlled NO_X emission rate (MCR) or the maximum expected NO_X concentration (MEC).

(d) *Non-load-based volumetric flow and NO_X emission rate or NO_X concentration data (operational bins not used*). The procedures in this paragraph, (d), apply only to affected units that do not produce electrical output (in megawatts) or thermal output (in klb/hr of steam) and for which operational bins are not used. For each hour of missing volumetric flow rate data, NO_X emission rate data, or NO_X concentration data used to determine NO_X mass emissions:

(1) Whenever prior quality-assured data exist at the time of the missing data period, the owner or operator shall substitute, by means of the automated data acquisition and handling system, for each hour of missing data, the arithmetic average of all of the prior quality-assured hourly average flow rates or NO_X emission rates or NO_X concentrations.

(2) Whenever no prior quality-assured flow rate, NO_X emission rate, or NO_X concentration data exist, the owner or operator shall, as applicable, substitute for each hour of missing data, the maximum potential flow rate as specified in section 2.1.4.1 of appendix A to this part or the maximum potential NO_X emission rate or the maximum potential NO_X concentration as specified in section 2.1.2.1 of appendix A to this part.

[64 FR 28601, May 26, 1999, as amended at 67 FR 40433, June 12, 2002; 70 FR 28680, May 18, 2005; 73 FR 4346, Jan. 24, 2008; 76 FR 17311, Mar. 28, 2011]

§ 75.32 Determination of monitor data availability for standard missing data procedures.

(a) Following initial certification of the required SO_2, CO_2, O_2, or moisture monitoring system(s) at a particular unit or stack location (*i.e.,* the date and time at which quality assured data begins to be recorded by CEMS(s) at that location), the owner or operator shall begin calculating the percent monitor data availability as described in paragraph (a)(1) of this section, and shall, upon completion of the first 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours, record, by means of the automated data acquisition and handling system, the percent monitor data availability for each monitored parameter. Similarly, following initial certification of the required NO_X-diluent, NO_X concentration, or flow monitoring system(s) at a unit or stack location, the owner or operator shall begin calculating the percent monitor data availability as described in paragraph (a)(1) of this section, and shall, upon completion of the first 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours, record, by means of the automated data acquisition and handling system, the percent monitor data availability for each monitored parameter. Notwithstanding these requirements, if three years (26,280 clock hours) have elapsed since the date and hour of initial certification and fewer than 720 (or 2,160, as applicable) quality-assured monitor operating hours have been recorded, the owner or operator shall begin recording the percent monitor data availability. The percent monitor data availability shall be calculated for each monitored parameter at each unit or stack location, as follows:

(1) Prior to completion of 8,760 unit or stack operating hours following initial certification, the owner or operator shall, for the purpose of applying the standard missing data procedures of § 75.33, use Equation 8 to calculate, hourly, percent monitor data availability.

$$\text{Percent monitor data availability} = \frac{\text{Total unit operating hours for which quality-assured data were recorded since certification}}{\text{Total unit operating hours since certification}} \times 100 \qquad \text{(Eq. 8)}$$

(2) Upon completion of 8,760 unit (or stack) operating hours following initial certification and thereafter, the owner or operator shall, for the purpose of applying the standard missing data procedures of § 75.33, use Equation 9 to calculate hourly, percent monitor data availability. Notwithstanding this requirement, if three years (26,280 clock hours) have elapsed since initial certification and fewer than 8,760 unit or stack operating hours have been accumulated, the owner or operator shall begin using a modified version of Equation 9, as described in paragraph (a)(3) of this section.

$$\text{Percent monitor data availability} = \frac{\text{Total unit operating hours for which quality-assured data were recorded during previous 8,760 unit operating hours}}{8{,}760} \times 100 \qquad \text{(Eq. 9)}$$

(3) When calculating percent monitor data availability using Equation 8 or 9, the owner or operator shall include all unit operating hours, and all monitor operating hours for which quality-assured data were recorded by a certified primary monitor; a certified redundant or non-redundant backup monitor or a reference method for that unit; or by an approved alternative monitoring system under subpart E of this part. No hours from more than three years (26,280 clock hours) earlier shall be used in Equation 9. For a unit that has accumulated fewer than 8,760 unit operating hours in the previous three years (26,280 clock hours), replace the words ''during previous 8,760 unit operating hours'' in the numerator of Equation 9 with ''in the previous three years'' and replace ''8,760'' in the denominator of Equation 9 with ''total unit operating hours in the previous three years.'' The owner or operator of a unit with an SO_2 monitoring system shall, when SO_2 emissions are determined in accordance with § 75.11(e)(1) or (e)(2), exclude hours in which a unit combusts only gaseous fuel from calculations of percent monitor data availability for SO_2 pollutant concentration monitors, as provided in § 75.30(d).

(b) The monitor data availability shall be calculated for each hour during each missing data period. The owner or operator shall record the percent monitor data availability for each hour of each missing data period to implement the missing data substitution procedures.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26529, 26567, May 17, 1995; 61 FR 59160, Nov. 20, 1996; 64 FR 28602, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40434, June 12, 2002; 70 FR 28680, May 18, 2005; 73 FR 4346, Jan. 24, 2008; 76 FR 17311, Mar. 28, 2011]

§ 75.33 Standard missing data procedures for SO_2, NO_X, and flow rate.

(a) Following initial certification of the required SO_2, NO_X, and flow rate monitoring system(s) at a particular unit or stack location (*i.e.*, the date and time at which quality-assured data begins to be recorded by CEMS(s) at that location) and upon completion of the first 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours (for SO_2) or the first 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours (for flow, NO_X emission rate, or

NO_X concentration), the owner or operator shall provide substitute data required under this subpart according to the procedures in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section and depicted in Table 1 (SO_2) and Table 2 of this section (NO_X, flow). The owner or operator may either implement the provisions of paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section on a non-fuel-specific basis, or may, as described in paragraphs (b)(5), (b)(6), (c)(7) and (c)(8) of this section, provide fuel-specific substitute data values. Notwithstanding these requirements, if three years (26,280 clock hours) have elapsed since the date and hour of initial certification, and fewer than 720 (or 2,160, as applicable) quality-assured monitor operating hours have been recorded, the owner or operator shall begin using the missing data procedures of this section. The owner or operator of a unit shall substitute for missing data using quality-assured monitor operating hours of data from no earlier than three years (26,280 clock hours) prior to the date and time of the missing data period.

(b) *SO_2 concentration data.* For each hour of missing SO_2 concentration data,

(1) If the monitor data availability is equal to or greater than 95.0 percent, the owner or operator shall calculate substitute data by means of the automated data acquisition and handling system for that hour of the missing data period according to the following procedures:

(i) For a missing data period less than or equal to 24 hours, substitute the average of the hourly SO_2 concentrations recorded by an SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor for the hour before and the hour after the missing data period.

(ii) For a missing data period greater than 24 hours, substitute the greater of:

(A) The 90th percentile hourly SO_2 concentration recorded by an SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor during the previous 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours; or

(B) The average of the hourly SO_2 concentrations recorded by an SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor for the hour before and the hour after the missing data period.

(2) If the monitor data availability is at least 90.0 percent but less than 95.0 percent, the owner or operator shall calculate substitute data by means of the automated data acquisition and handling system for that hour of the missing data period according to the following procedures:

(i) For a missing data period of less than or equal to 8 hours, substitute the average of the hourly SO_2 concentrations recorded by an SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor for the hour before and the hour after the missing data period.

(ii) For a missing data period of more than 8 hours, substitute the greater of:

(A) the 95th percentile hourly SO_2 concentration recorded by an SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor during the previous 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours; or

(B) The average of the hourly SO_2 concentrations recorded by an SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor for the hour before and the hour after the missing data period.

(3) If the monitor data availability is at least 80.0 percent but less than 90.0 percent, the owner or operator shall substitute for that hour of the missing data period the maximum hourly SO_2 concentration recorded by an SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor during the previous 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours.

(4) If the monitor data availability is less than 80.0 percent, the owner or operator shall substitute for that hour of the missing data period the maximum potential SO_2 concentration, as defined in section 2.1.1.1 of appendix A to this part.

(5) For units that combust more than one type of fuel, the owner or operator may opt to implement the missing data routines in paragraphs (b)(1) through (b)(4) of this section on a fuel-specific basis. If this option is selected, the owner or operator shall document this in the monitoring plan required under § 75.53.

(6) Use the following guidelines to implement paragraphs (b)(1) through (b)(4) of this section on a fuel-specific basis:

(i) Separate the historical, quality-assured SO_2 concentration data according to the type of fuel combusted;

(ii) For units that co-fire different types of fuel, either group the co-fired hours with the historical data for the fuel with the highest SO_2 emission rate (e.g., if diesel oil and pipeline natural gas are co-fired, count co-fired hours as oil-burning hours), or separate the co-fired hours from the single-fuel hours;

(iii) For the purposes of providing substitute data under paragraph (b)(4) of this section, determine a separate, fuel-specific maximum potential SO_2 concentration (MPC) value for each type of fuel combusted in the unit, in a manner consistent with section 2.1.1.1 of appendix A to this part. For fuel that qualifies as pipeline natural gas or natural gas (as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter), the owner or operator shall, for the purposes of determining the MPC, either determine the maximum total sulfur content and minimum gross calorific value (GCV) of the gas by fuel sampling and analysis or shall use a default total sulfur content of 0.05 percent by weight (dry basis) and a default GCV value of 950 Btu/scf. For co-firing, the MPC value shall be based on the fuel with the highest SO_2 emission rate. The exact methodology used to determine each fuel-specific MPC value shall be documented in the monitoring plan for the unit or stack; and

(iv) For missing data periods that require 720-hour (or, if applicable, 3-year) lookbacks, use historical data for the type of fuel combusted during each hour of the missing data period to determine the appropriate substitute data value for that hour. For co-fired missing data hours, if the historical data are separated into single-fuel and co-fired hours, use co-fired data to provide the substitute data values. Otherwise, use data for the fuel with the highest SO_2 emission rate to provide substitute data values for co-fired missing data hours.

(7) Table 1 summarizes the provisions of paragraphs (b)(1) through (b)(6) of this section.

(c) *Volumetric flow rate, NO_X emission rate and NO_X concentration data.* Use the procedures in this paragraph to provide substitute NO_X and flow rate data for all affected units for which load-based ranges have been defined in accordance with section 2 of appendix C to this part. For units that do not produce electrical or thermal output (*i.e.*, non-load-based units), use the procedures in this paragraph only to provide substitute data for volumetric flow rate, and only if operational bins have been defined for the unit, as described in section 3 of appendix C to this part. Otherwise, use the applicable missing data procedures in paragraph (d) or (e) of this section for non-load-based units. For each hour of missing volumetric flow rate data, NO_X emission rate data, or NO_X concentration data used to determine NO_X mass emissions:

(1) If the monitor data availability is equal to or greater than 95.0 percent, the owner or operator shall calculate substitute data by means of the automated data acquisition and handling system for that hour of the missing data period according to the following procedures:

(i) For a missing data period less than or equal to 24 hours, substitute, as applicable, for each missing hour, the arithmetic average of the flow rates or NO_X emission rates or NO_X concentrations recorded by a monitoring system during the previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours at the corresponding unit load range or operational bin, as determined using the procedure in appendix C to this part.

(ii) For a missing data period greater than 24 hours, substitute, as applicable, for each missing hour, the greater of:

(A) The 90th percentile hourly flow rate or the 90th percentile NO_X emission rate or the 90th percentile NO_X concentration recorded by a monitoring system during the previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours at the corresponding unit load range or operational bin, as determined using the procedure in appendix C to this part; or

(B) The average of the recorded hourly flow rates, NO_X emission rates or NO_X concentrations recorded by a monitoring system for the hour before and the hour after the missing data period.

(2) If the monitor data availability is at least 90.0 percent but less than 95.0 percent, the owner or operator shall calculate substitute data by means of the automated data acquisition and handling system for that hour of the missing data period according to the following procedures:

(i) For a missing data period of less than or equal to 8 hours, substitute, as applicable, the arithmetic average hourly flow rate or NO_X emission rate or NO_X concentration recorded by a monitoring system during the previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours at the corresponding unit load range or operational bin, as determined using the procedure in appendix C to this part.

(ii) For a missing data period greater than 8 hours, substitute, as applicable, for each missing hour, the greater of:

(A) The 95th percentile hourly flow rate or the 95th percentile NO_X emission rate or the 95th percentile NO_X concentration recorded by a monitoring system during the previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours at the corresponding unit load range or operational bin, as determined using the procedure in appendix C to this part; or

(B) The average of the hourly flow rates, NO_X emission rates or NO_X concentrations recorded by a monitoring system for the hour before and the hour after the missing data period.

(3) If the monitor data availability is at least 80.0 percent but less than 90.0 percent, the owner or operator shall, by means of the automated data acquisition and handling system, substitute, as applicable, for that hour of the missing data period, the maximum hourly flow rate or the maximum hourly NO_X emission rate or the maximum hourly NO_X concentration recorded during the previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours at the corresponding unit load range or operational bin, as determined using the procedure in appendix C to this part.

(4) If the monitor data availability is less than 80.0 percent, the owner or operator shall substitute, as applicable, for that hour of the missing data period, the maximum potential flow rate, as defined in section 2.1.4.1 of appendix A to this part, or the maximum NO_X emission rate, as defined in section 2.1.2.1 of appendix A to this part, or the maximum potential NO_X concentration, as defined in section 2.1.2.1 of appendix A to this part. In addition, when non-load-based operational bins are used, the owner or operator shall substitute the maximum potential flow rate for any hour in the missing data period in which essential operating or parametric data are unavailable and the operational bin cannot be determined.

(5) This paragraph, (c)(5), does not apply to non-load-based, affected units using operational bins. Whenever no prior quality-assured flow rate data, NO_X concentration data or NO_X emission rate data exist for the corresponding load range, the owner or operator shall substitute, as applicable, for each hour of missing data, the maximum hourly flow rate or the maximum hourly NO_X concentration or maximum hourly NO_X emission rate at the next higher level load range for which quality-assured data are available.

(6) Whenever no prior quality-assured flow rate data, NO_X concentration data or NO_X emission rate data exist at either the corresponding load range (or a higher load range) or at the corresponding operational bin, the owner or operator shall substitute, as applicable, either the maximum potential NO_X emission rate or the maximum potential NO_X concentration, as defined in section 2.1.2.1 of appendix A to this part or the maximum potential flow rate, as defined in section 2.1.4.1 of appendix A to this part.

(7) This paragraph (c)(7) does not apply to affected units using non-load-based operational bins. For units that combust more than one type of fuel, the owner or operator may opt to implement the missing data routines in paragraphs (c)(1) through (c)(6) of this section on a fuel-specific basis. If this option is selected, the owner or operator shall document this in the monitoring plan required under § 75.53.

(8) This paragraph, (c)(8), does not apply to affected units using non-load-based operational bins. Use the following guidelines to implement paragraphs (c)(1) through (c)(6) of this section on a fuel-specific basis:

(i) Separate the historical, quality-assured NO_X emission rate, NO_X concentration, or flow rate data according to the type of fuel combusted;

(ii) For units that co-fire different types of fuel, either group the co-fired hours with the historical data for the fuel with the highest NO_X emission

rate, NO_X concentration or flow rate, or separate the co-fired hours from the single-fuel hours;

(iii) For the purposes of providing substitute data under paragraph (c)(4) of this section, a separate, fuel-specific maximum potential concentration (MPC), maximum potential NO_X emission rate (MER), or maximum potential flow rate (MPF) value (as applicable) shall be determined for each type of fuel combusted in the unit, in a manner consistent with § 72.2 of this chapter and with section 2.1.2.1 or 2.1.4.1 of appendix A to this part. For co-firing, the MPC, MER or MPF value shall be based on the fuel with the highest emission rate or flow rate (as applicable). Furthermore, for a unit with add-on NO_X emission controls, a separate fuel-specific maximum controlled NO_X emission rate (MCR) or maximum expected NO_X concentration (MEC) value (as applicable) shall be determined for each type of fuel combusted in the unit. The exact methodology used to determine each fuel-specific MPC, MER, MEC, MCR or MPF value shall be documented in the monitoring plan for the unit or stack.

(iv) For missing data periods that require 2,160-hour (or, if applicable, 3-year) lookbacks, use historical data for the type of fuel combusted during each hour of the missing data period to determine the appropriate substitute data value for that hour. For co-fired missing data hours, if the historical data are separated into single-fuel and co-fired hours, use co-fired data to provide the substitute data values. Otherwise, use data for the fuel with the highest NO_X emission rate, NO_X concentration or flow rate (as applicable) to provide substitute data values for co-fired missing data hours. Tables 1 and 2 follow.

TABLE 1—MISSING DATA PROCEDURE FOR SO_2 CEMS, CO_2 CEMS, MOISTURE CEMS, AND DILUENT (CO_2 OR O_2) MONITORS FOR HEAT INPUT DETERMINATION

Trigger conditions		Calculation routines	
Monitor data availability (percent)	Duration (N) of CEMS outage (hours)[2]	Method	Lookback period
95 or more	N ≤24	Average	HB/HA.
	N >24	For SO_2, CO_2, and H_2O**, the greater of:	
		Average	HB/HA.
		90th percentile	720 hours.*
		For O_2 and H_2O^X, the lesser of:	HB/HA.
		10th percentile	720 hours.*
90 or more, but below 95	N ≤8	Average	HB/HA.
	N >8	For SO_2, CO_2, and H_2O**, the greater of:	
		Average	HB/HA.
		95th percentile	720 hours.*
		For O_2 and H_2O^X, the lesser of:	
		Average	HB/HA.
		5th Percentile	720 hours.*
80 or more, but below 90	N >0	For SO_2, CO_2, and H_2O**,	
		Maximum value[1]	720 hours.*
		For O_2 and H_2O^X:	
		Minimum value[1]	720 hours.*
Below 80	N >0	Maximum potential concentration[3] or % (for SO_2, CO_2, and H_2O**) *or*	
		Minimum potential concentration or % (for O_2 and H_2O^X).	None.

HB/HA = hour before and hour after the CEMS outage.

* Quality-assured, monitor operating hours, during unit operation. May be either fuel-specific or non-fuel-specific. For units that report data only for the ozone season, include only quality assured monitor operating hours within the ozone season in the lookback period. Use data from no earlier than 3 years prior to the missing data period.

[1] Where a unit with add-on SO_2 emission controls can demonstrate that the controls are operating properly during the missing data period, as provided in § 75.34, the unit may use the maximum controlled concentration from the previous 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours.

[2] During unit operating hours.

[3] Where a unit with add-on SO_2 emission controls can demonstrate that the controls are operating properly during the missing data period, the unit may report the greater of: (a) the maximum expected SO_2 concentration or (b) 1.25 times the maximum controlled value from the previous 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours (see § 75.34).

X Use this algorithm for moisture except when Equation 19–3, 19–4 or 19–8 in Method 19 in appendix A–7 to part 60 of this chapter is used for NO_X emission rate.

** Use this algorithm for moisture *only* when Equation 19–3, 19–4 or 19–8 in Method 19 in appendix A–7 to part 60 of this chapter is used for NO_X emission rate.

TABLE 2—LOAD-BASED MISSING DATA PROCEDURE FOR NO_X-DILUENT CEMS, NO_X CONCENTRATION CEMS AND FLOW RATE CEMS

Trigger conditions		Calculation routines		
Monitor data availability (percent)	Duration (N) of CEMS outage (hours)[2]	Method	Lookback period	Load ranges
95 or more	N ≤24	Average	2,160 hours*	Yes.
	N >24	The greater of:		
		Average	HB/HA	No.
		90th percentile	2,160 hours*	Yes.
90 or more, but below 95	N ≤8	Average	2,160 hours*	Yes.
	N >8	The greater of:		
		Average	HB/HA	No.
		95th percentile	2,160 hours*	Yes.
80 or more, but below 90	N >0	Maximum value[1]	2,160 hours*	Yes.
Below 80	N >0	Maximum potential NO_X emission rate[3]; or maximum potential NO_X concentration[3]; or maximum potential flow rate.	None	No.

HB/HA = hour before and hour after the CEMS outage.

*Quality-assured, monitor operating hours, using data at the corresponding load range (''load bin'') for each hour of the missing data period. May be either fuel-specific or non-fuel-specific. For units that report data only for the ozone season, include only quality assured monitor operating hours within the ozone season in the lookback period. Use data from no earlier than three years prior to the missing data period.

[1] Where a unit with add-on NO_X emission controls can demonstrate that the controls are operating properly during the missing data period, as provided in § 75.34, the unit may use the maximum controlled NO_X concentration or emission rate from the previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours. Units with add-on controls that report NO_X mass emissions on a year-round basis under subpart H of this part may use separate ozone season and non-ozone season data pools to provide substitute data values, as described in § 75.34(a)(2).

[2] During unit operating hours.

[3] Alternatively, where a unit with add-on NO_X emission controls can demonstrate that the controls are operating properly during the missing data period, as provided in § 75.34, the unit may report the greater of: (a) the maximum expected NO_X concentration (or maximum controlled NO_X emission rate, as applicable); or (b) 1.25 times the maximum controlled value at the corresponding load bin, from the previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours.

(9) The load-based provisions of paragraphs (c)(1) through (c)(8) of this section are summarized in Table 2 of this section. The non-load-based provisions for volumetric flow rate, found in paragraphs (c)(1) through (c)(4), and (c)(6) of this section, are presented in Table 4 of this section.

(d) *Non-load-based* NO_X emission rate and NO_X *concentration data*. Use the procedures in this paragraph to provide substitute NO_X data for affected units that do not produce electrical output (in megawatts) or thermal output (in klb/hr of steam). For each hour of missing NO_X emission rate data, or NO_X concentration data used to determine NO_X mass emissions:

(1) If the monitor data availability is equal to or greater than 95.0 percent, the owner or operator shall calculate substitute data by means of the automated data acquisition and handling system for that hour of the missing data period according to the following procedures:

(i) For a missing data period less than or equal to 24 hours, substitute, as applicable, for each missing hour, the arithmetic average of the NO_X emission rates or NO_X concentrations recorded by a monitoring system in a 2,160 hour lookback period. The lookback period may be comprised of either:

(A) The previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours, or

(B) The previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours at the corresponding operational bin, if operational bins, as defined in section 3 of appendix C to this part, are used.

(ii) For a missing data period greater than 24 hours, substitute, for each missing hour, the 90th percentile NO_X emission rate or the 90th percentile NO_X concentration recorded by a monitoring system during the previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours (or during the previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours at the corresponding operational bin, if operational bins are used).

(2) If the monitor data availability is at least 90.0 percent but less than 95.0 percent, the owner or operator shall calculate substitute data by means of the automated data acquisition and

handling system for that hour of the missing data period according to the following procedures:

(i) For a missing data period of less than or equal to eight hours, substitute, as applicable, the arithmetic average of the hourly NO_X emission rates or NO_X concentrations recorded by a monitoring system during the previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours (or during the previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours at the corresponding operational bin, if operational bins are used).

(ii) For a missing data period greater than eight hours, substitute, for each missing hour, the 95th percentile hourly flow rate or the 95th percentile NO_X emission rate or the 95th percentile NO_X concentration recorded by a monitoring system during the previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours (or during the previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours at the corresponding operational bin, if operational bins are used).

(3) If the monitor data availability is at least 80.0 percent but less than 90.0 percent, the owner or operator shall, by means of the automated data acquisition and handling system, substitute, as applicable, for that hour of the missing data period, the maximum hourly NO_X emission rate or the maximum hourly NO_X concentration recorded during the previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours (or during the previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours at the corresponding operational bin, if operational bins are used).

(4) If the monitor data availability is less than 80.0 percent, the owner or operator shall substitute, as applicable, for that hour of the missing data period, the maximum NO_X emission rate, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter, or the maximum potential NO_X concentration, as defined in section 2.1.2.1 of appendix A to this part. In addition, when operational bins are used, the owner or operator shall substitute (as applicable) the maximum potential NO_X emission rate or the maximum potential NO_X concentration for any hour in the missing data period in which essential operating or parametric data are unavailable and the operational bin cannot be determined.

(5) If operational bins are used and no prior quality-assured NO_X concentration data or NO_X emission rate data exist for the corresponding operational bin, the owner or operator shall substitute, as applicable, either the maximum potential NO_X emission rate, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter, or the maximum potential NO_X concentration, as defined in section 2.1.2.1 of appendix A to this part.

(6) Table 3 of this section summarizes the provisions of paragraphs (d)(1) through (d)(5) of this section.

(e) *Non-load-based volumetric flow rate data.* (1) If operational bins, as defined in section 3 of appendix C to this part, are used for a unit that does not produce electrical or thermal output, use the missing data procedures in paragraph (c) of this section to provide substitute volumetric flow rate data for the unit.

(2) If operational bins are not used, modify the procedures in paragraph (c) of this section as follows:

(i) In paragraphs (c)(1) through (c)(3), the words ''previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours'' shall apply rather than ''previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours at the corresponding unit load range or operational bin, as determined using the procedure in appendix C to this part;''

(ii) The last sentence in paragraph (c)(4) does not apply;

(iii) Paragraphs (c)(5), (c)(7), and (c)(8) are not applicable; and

(iv) In paragraph (c)(6), the words, ''for either the corresponding load range (or a higher load range) or at the corresponding operational bin'' do not apply.

(3) Table 4 of this section summarizes the provisions of paragraphs (e)(1) and (e)(2) of this section. Tables 3 and 4 follow:

TABLE 3—NON-LOAD-BASED MISSING DATA PROCEDURE FOR NO_X-DILUENT CEMS AND NO_X CONCENTRATION CEMS

Trigger conditions		Calculation routines	
Monitor data availability (percent)	Duration (N) of CEMS outage (hours) [1]	Method	Lookback period
95 or more	N ≤24	Average	2,160 hours.*
	N >24	90th percentile	2,160 hours.*
90 or more, but below 95	N ≤8	Average	2,160 hours.*
	N >8	95th percentile	2,160 hours.*
80 or more, but below 90	N >0	Maximum value [3]	2,160 hours.*
Below 80, or operational bin indeterminable.	N >0	Maximum potential NO_X emission rate [2] or maximum potential NO_X concentration [2].	None.

* If operational bins are used, the lookback period is 2,160 quality-assured, monitor operating hours, and data at the corresponding operational bin are used to provide substitute data values. If operational bins are not used, the lookback period is the previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours. For units that report data only for the ozone season, include only quality-assured monitor operating hours within the ozone season in the lookback period. Use data from no earlier than three years prior to the missing data period.

[1] During unit operation.

[2] Alternatively, where a unit with add-on NO_X emission controls can demonstrate that the controls are operating properly, as provided in § 75.34, the unit may report the greater of: (a) the maximum expected NO_X concentration, (or maximum controlled NO_X emission rate, as applicable); or (b) 1.25 times the maximum controlled value at the corresponding operational bin (if applicable), from the previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours.

[3] Where a unit with add-on NO_X emission controls can demonstrate that the controls are operating properly during the missing data period, as provided in § 75.34, the unit may use the maximum controlled NO_X concentration or emission rate from the previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours. Units with add-on controls that report NO_X mass emissions on a year-round basis under subpart H of this part may use separate ozone season and non-ozone season data pools to provide substitute data values, as described in § 75.34(a)(2).

TABLE 4—NON-LOAD-BASED MISSING DATA PROCEDURE FOR FLOW RATE CEMS

Trigger conditions		Calculation routines	
Monitor data availability (percent)	Duration (N) of CEMS outage (hours) [1]	Method	Lookback period
95 or more	N ≤24	Average	2160 hours*
	N >24	The greater of:	
		Average	HB/HA
		90th percentile	2160 hours*
90 or more, but below 95	N ≤8	Average	2160 hours*
	N >8	The greater of:	
		Average	
		95th percentile	
		HB/HA	
		2160 hours*.	
80 or more, but below 90	N >0	Maximum value	2160 hours*
Below 80, or operational bin indeterminable.	N >0	Maximum potential flow rate	None

* If operational bins are used, the lookback period is the previous 2,160 quality-assured, monitor operating hours and data at the corresponding operational bin are used to provide substitute data values. If operational bins are not used, the lookback period is the previous 2,160 quality-assured, monitor operating hours. For units that report data only for the ozone season, include only quality-assured monitor operating hours within the ozone season in the lookback period. Use data from no earlier than three years prior to the missing data period.

[1] During unit operation.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26529, May 17, 1995; 61 FR 25582, May 22, 1996; 64 FR 28602, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40434, June 12, 2002; 67 FR 53505, Aug. 16, 2002; 67 FR 57274, Sept. 9, 2002; 70 FR 28680, May 18, 2005; 73 FR 4346, Jan. 24, 2008; 76 FR 17311, Mar. 28, 2011]

§ 75.34 Units with add-on emission controls.

(a) The owner or operator of an affected unit equipped with add-on SO_2 and/or NO_X emission controls shall provide substitute data in accordance with paragraphs (a)(1), through (a)(5) of this section for each hour in which quality-assured data from the outlet SO_2 and/or NO_X monitoring system(s) are not obtained.

(1) The owner or operator may use the missing data substitution procedures specified in §§ 75.31 through 75.33

to provide substitute data for any missing data hour(s) in which the add-on emission controls are documented to be operating properly, as described in the quality assurance/quality control program for the unit, required by section 1 in appendix B of this part. To provide the necessary documentation, the owner or operator shall, for each missing data period, record parametric data to verify the proper operation of the SO_2 or NO_X add-on emission controls during each hour, as described in paragraph (d) of this section. For any missing data hour(s) in which such parametric data are either not provided or, if provided, do not demonstrate that proper operation of the SO_2 or NO_X add-on emission controls has been maintained, the owner or operator shall substitute (as applicable) the maximum potential NO_X concentration (MPC) as defined in section 2.1.2.1 of appendix A to this part, the maximum potential NO_X emission rate, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter, or the maximum potential concentration for SO_2, as defined by section 2.1.1.1. Alternatively, for SO_2 or NO_X, the owner or operator may substitute, if available, the hourly SO_2 or NO_X concentration recorded by a certified inlet monitor, in lieu of the MPC. For each hour in which data from an inlet monitor are reported, the owner or operator shall use a method of determination code (MODC) of ''22'' (see Table 4a in § 75.57). In addition, under § 75.64(c), the designated representative shall submit as part of each electronic quarterly report, a certification statement, verifying the proper operation of the SO_2 or NO_X add-on emission control for each missing data period in which the missing data procedures of §§ 75.31 through 75.33 were applied; or

(2) This paragraph, (a)(2), applies only to a unit which, as provided in § 75.74(a) or § 75.74(b)(1), reports NO_X mass emissions on a year-round basis under a state or Federal NO_X mass emissions reduction program that adopts the emissions monitoring provisions of this part. If the add-on NO_X emission controls installed on such a unit are operated only during the ozone season or are operated in a more efficient manner during the ozone season than outside the ozone season, the owner or operator may implement the missing data provisions of paragraph (a)(1) of this section in the following alternative manner:

(i) The historical, quality-assured NO_X emission rate or NO_X concentration data may be separated into two categories, i.e., data recorded inside the ozone season and data recorded outside the ozone season;

(ii) For the purposes of the missing data lookback periods described under §§ 75.33 (c)(1), (c)(2), (c)(3) and (c)(5) of this section, the substitute data values shall be taken from the appropriate database, depending on the date(s) and hour(s) of the missing data period. That is, if the missing data period occurs inside the ozone season, the ozone season data shall be used to provide substitute data. If the missing data period occurs outside the ozone season, data from outside the ozone season shall be used to provide substitute data.

(iii) A missing data period that begins outside the ozone season and continues into the ozone season shall be considered to be two separate missing data periods, one ending on April 30, hour 23, and the other beginning on May 1, hour 00;

(iv) For missing data hours outside the ozone season, the procedures of § 75.33 may be applied unconditionally, i.e., documentation of the operational status of the emission controls is not required in order to apply the standard missing data routines.

(3) For each missing data hour in which the percent monitor data availability for SO_2 or NO_X, calculated in accordance with § 75.32, is less than 90.0 percent and is greater than or equal to 80.0 percent; and parametric data establishes that the add-on emission controls were operating properly (*i.e.* within the range of operating parameters provided in the quality assurance/quality control program) during the hour, the owner or operator may:

(i) Replace the maximum SO_2 concentration recorded in the 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours immediately preceding the missing data period, with the maximum controlled SO2 concentration recorded in the previous 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours; or

(ii) Replace the maximum NO_X concentration(s) or NO_X emission rate(s) from the appropriate load bin(s) (based on a lookback through the 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours immediately preceding the missing data period), with the maximum controlled NO_X concentration(s) or emission rate(s) from the appropriate load bin(s) in the same 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hour lookback period.

(4) The designated representative may petition the Administrator under § 75.66 for approval of site-specific parametric monitoring procedure(s) for calculating substitute data for missing SO_2 pollutant concentration, NO_X pollutant concentration, and NO_X emission rate data in accordance with the requirements of paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section and appendix C to this part. The owner or operator shall record the data required in appendix C to this part, pursuant to § 75.58(b).

(5) For each missing data hour in which the percent monitor data availability for SO_2 or NO_X, calculated in accordance with § 75.32, is below 80.0 percent and parametric data establish that the add-on emission controls were operating properly (*i.e.* within the range of operating parameters provided in the quality assurance/quality control program),in lieu of reporting the maximum potential value, the owner or operator may substitute, as applicable, the greater of:

(i) The maximum expected SO_2 concentration or 1.25 times the maximum hourly controlled SO_2 concentration recorded in the previous 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours;

(ii) The maximum expected NO_X concentration or 1.25 times the maximum hourly controlled NO_X concentration recorded in the previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours at the corresponding unit load range or operational bin;

(iii) The maximum controlled hourly NO_X emission rate (MCR) or 1.25 times the maximum hourly controlled NO_X emission rate recorded in the previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours at the corresponding unit load range or operational bin;

(iv) For the purposes of implementing the missing data options in paragraphs (a)(5)(i) through (a)(5)(iii) of this section, the maximum expected SO_2 and NO_X concentrations shall be determined, respectively, according to sections 2.1.1.2 and 2.1.2.2 of appendix A to this part. The MCR shall be calculated according to the basic procedure described in section 2.1.2.1(b) of appendix A to this part, except that the words ''maximum potential NO_X emission rate (MER)'' shall be replaced with the words ''maximum controlled NO_X emission rate (MCR)'' and the NO_X MEC shall be used instead of the NO_X MPC.

(b) For an affected unit equipped with add-on SO_2 emission controls, the designated representative may petition the Administrator to approve a parametric monitoring procedure, as described in appendix C of this part, for calculating substitute SO_2 concentration data for missing data periods. The owner or operator shall use the procedures in §§ 75.31, 75.33, or 75.34(a) for providing substitute data for missing SO_2 concentration data unless a parametric monitoring procedure has been approved by the Administrator.

(1) Where the monitor data availability is 90.0 percent or more for an outlet SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor, the owner or operator may calculate substitute data using an approved parametric monitoring procedure.

(2) Where the monitor data availability for an outlet SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor is less than 90.0 percent, the owner or operator shall calculate substitute data using the procedures in § 75.34(a) (1) or (2), even if the Administrator has approved a parametric monitoring procedure.

(c) For an affected unit with NO_X add-on emission controls, the designated representative may petition the Administrator to approve a parametric monitoring procedure, as described in appendix C of this part, in order to calculate substitute NO_X emission rate data for missing data periods. The owner or operator shall use the procedures in § 75.31 or 75.33 for providing substitute data for missing NO_X emission rate data prior to receiving the Administrator's approval for a parametric monitoring procedure.

(1) Where monitor data availability for a NO_X continuous emission monitoring system is 90.0 percent or more, the owner or operator may calculate substitute data using an approved parametric monitoring procedure.

(2) Where monitor data availability for a NO_X continuous emission monitoring system is less than 90.0 percent, the owner or operator shall calculate substitute data using the procedure in § 75.34(a) (1) or (2), even if the Administrator has approved a parametric monitoring procedure.

(d) In order to implement the options in paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(3) and (a)(5) of this section; and §§ 75.31(c)(3) and 75.72(c)(3), the owner or operator shall keep records of information as described in § 75.58(b)(3) to verify the proper operation of all add-on SO_2 or NO_X emission controls, during all periods of SO_2 or NO_X emission missing data. If the owner or operator elects to implement the missing data option in paragraph (a)(2) of this section, the records in § 75.58(b)(3) are required to be kept only for the ozone season. The owner or operator shall document in the quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) program required by section 1 of appendix B to this part, the parameters monitored and (as applicable) the ranges and combinations of parameters that indicate proper operation of the controls. The owner or operator shall provide the information recorded under § 75.58(b)(3) and the related QA/QC program information to the Administrator, to the EPA Regional Office, or to the appropriate State or local agency, upon request.

[60 FR 26567, May 17, 1995, as amended at 61 FR 59160, Nov. 20, 1996; 64 FR 28604, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40438, June 12, 2002; 73 FR 4348, Jan. 24, 2008; 76 FR 17312, Mar. 28, 2011]

§ 75.35 Missing data procedures for CO_2.

(a) The owner or operator of a unit with a CO_2 continuous emission monitoring system for determining CO_2 mass emissions in accordance with § 75.10 (or an O_2 monitor that is used to determine CO_2 concentration in accordance with appendix F to this part) shall substitute for missing CO_2 pollutant concentration data using the procedures of paragraphs (b) and (d) of this section.

(b) During the first 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours following initial certification at a particular unit or stack location (*i.e.*, the date and time at which quality-assured data begins to be recorded by a CEMS at that location), or (when implementing these procedures for a previously certified CO_2 monitoring system) during the 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours preceding implementation of the standard missing data procedures in paragraph (d) of this section, the owner or operator shall provide substitute CO_2 pollutant concentration data or substitute CO_2 data for heat input determination, as applicable, according to the procedures in § 75.31(b).

(c) [Reserved]

(d) Upon completion of 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours using the initial missing data procedures of § 75.31(b), the owner or operator shall provide substitute data for CO_2 concentration or substitute CO_2 data for heat input determination, as applicable, in accordance with the procedures in § 75.33(b) except that the term ''CO_2 concentration'' shall apply rather than ''SO_2 concentration,'' the term ''CO_2 pollutant concentration monitor'' or ''CO_2 diluent monitor'' shall apply rather than ''SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor,'' and the term ''maximum potential CO_2 concentration, as defined in section 2.1.3.1 of appendix A to this part'' shall apply, rather than ''maximum potential SO_2 concentration.''

[67 FR 40439, June 12, 2002]

§ 75.36 Missing data procedures for heat input rate determinations.

(a) When hourly heat input rate is determined using a flow monitoring system and a diluent gas (O_2 or CO_2) monitor, substitute data must be provided to calculate the heat input whenever quality-assured data are unavailable from the flow monitor, the diluent gas monitor, or both. When flow rate data are unavailable, substitute flow rate data for the heat input rate calculation shall be provided according to § 75.31 or § 75.33, as applicable. When diluent gas

data are unavailable, the owner or operator shall provide substitute O_2 or CO_2 data for the heat input rate calculations in accordance with paragraphs (b) and (d) of this section.

(b) During the first 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours following initial certification at a particular unit or stack location (*i.e.*, the date and time at which quality-assured data begins to be recorded by a CEMS at that location), or (when implementing these procedures for a previously certified CO_2 or O_2 monitor) during the 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours preceding implementation of the standard missing data procedures in paragraph (d) of this section, the owner or operator shall provide substitute CO_2 or O_2 data, as applicable, for the calculation of heat input (under section 5.2 of appendix F to this part) according to § 75.31(b).

(c) [Reserved]

(d) Upon completion of 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours using the initial missing data procedures of § 75.31(b), the owner or operator shall provide substitute data for CO_2 or O_2 concentration to calculate heat input rate, as follows. Substitute CO_2 data for heat input rate determinations shall be provided according to § 75.35(d). Substitute O_2 data for the heat input rate determinations shall be provided in accordance with the procedures in § 75.33(b), except that the term ''O_2 concentration'' shall apply rather than the term ''SO_2 concentration'' and the term ''O_2 diluent monitor'' shall apply rather than the term ''SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor.'' In addition, the term ''substitute the lesser of'' shall apply rather than ''substitute the greater of;'' the terms ''minimum hourly O_2 concentration'' and ''minimum potential O_2 concentration, as determined under section 2.1.3.2 of appendix A to this part'' shall apply rather than, respectively, the terms ''maximum hourly SO_2 concentration'' and ''maximum potential SO_2 concentration, as determined under section 2.1.1.1 of appendix A to this part;'' and the terms ''10th percentile'' and ''5th percentile'' shall apply rather than, respectively, the terms ''90th percentile'' and ''95th percentile'' (see Table 1 of § 75.33).

[60 FR 26530, May 17, 1995, as amended at 64 FR 28604, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40439, June 12, 2002]

§ 75.37 Missing data procedures for moisture.

(a) The owner or operator of a unit with a continuous moisture monitoring system shall substitute for missing moisture data using the procedures of this section.

(b) Where no prior quality-assured moisture data exist, substitute the minimum potential moisture percentage, from section 2.1.5 of appendix A to this part, except when Equation 19–3, 19–4 or 19–8 in Method 19 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter is used to determine NO_X emission rate. If Equation 19–3, 19–4 or 19–8 in Method 19 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter is used to determine NO_X emission rate, substitute the maximum potential moisture percentage, as specified in section 2.1.6 of appendix A to this part.

(c) During the first 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours following initial certification at a particular unit or stack location (*i.e.*, the date and time at which quality-assured data begins to be recorded by a moisture monitoring system at that location), the owner or operator shall provide substitute data for moisture according to § 75.31(b).

(d) Upon completion of the first 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours following initial certification, the owner or operator shall provide substitute data for moisture as follows:

(1) Unless Equation 19–3, 19–4 or 19–8 in Method 19 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter is used to determine NO_X emission rate, follow the missing data procedures in § 75.33(b), except that the term ''moisture percentage'' shall apply rather than ''SO_2 concentration;'' the term ''moisture monitoring system'' shall apply rather than the term ''SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor;'' the term ''substitute the lesser of'' shall apply rather than ''substitute the greater of;'' the terms ''minimum hourly moisture percentage'' and ''minimum potential moisture percentage, as determined under section 2.1.5 of appendix A to this part''

shall apply rather than, respectively, the terms ''maximum hourly SO_2 concentration'' and ''maximum potential SO_2 concentration, as determined under section 2.1.1.1 of appendix A to this part;'' and the terms ''10th percentile'' and ''5th percentile'' shall apply rather than, respectively, the terms ''90th percentile'' and ''95th percentile'' (see Table 1 of § 75.33).

(2) When Equation 19–3, 19–4 or 19–8 in Method 19 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter is used to determine NO_X emission rate:

(i) Provided that none of the following equations is used to determine SO_2 emissions, CO_2 emissions or heat input: Equation F–2, F–14b, F–16, F–17, or F–18 in appendix F to this part, or Equation 19–5 or 19–9 in Method 19 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter, use the missing data procedures in § 75.33(b), except that the term ''moisture percentage'' shall apply rather than ''SO_2 concentration,'' the term ''moisture monitoring system'' shall apply rather than ''SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor,'' and the term ''maximum potential moisture percentage, as defined in section 2.1.6 of appendix A to this part'' shall apply, rather than ''maximum potential SO_2 concentration;'' or

(ii) If any of the following equations is used to determine SO_2 emissions, CO_2 emissions or heat input: Equation F–2, F–14b, F–16, F–17, or F–18 in appendix F to this part, or Equation 19–5 or 19–9 in Method 19 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter, the owner or operator shall petition the Administrator under § 75.66(l) for permission to use an alternative moisture missing data procedure.

[64 FR 28604, May 26, 1999, as amended at 67 FR 40439, June 12, 2002]

§§ 75.38–75.39 [Reserved]

Subpart E—Alternative Monitoring Systems

§ 75.40 General demonstration requirements.

(a) The owner or operator of an affected unit, or the owner or operator of an affected unit and representing a class of affected units which meet the criteria specified in § 75.47, required to install a continuous emission monitoring system may apply to the Administrator for approval of an alternative monitoring system (or system component) to determine average hourly emission data for SO_2, NO_X, and/or volumetric flow by demonstrating that the alternative monitoring system has the same or better precision, reliability, accessibility, and timeliness as that provided by the continuous emission monitoring system.

(b) The requirements of this subpart shall be met by the alternative monitoring system when compared to a contemporaneously operating, fully certified continuous emission monitoring system or a contemporaneously operating reference method, where the appropriate reference methods are listed in § 75.22.

§ 75.41 Precision criteria.

(a) *Data collection and analysis.* To demonstrate precision equal to or better than the continuous emission monitoring system, the owner or operator shall conduct an F-test, a correlation analysis, and a t-test for bias as described in this section. The t-test shall be performed only on sample data at the normal operating level and primary fuel supply, whereas the F-test and the correlation analysis must be performed on each of the data sets required under paragraphs (a)(4) and (a)(5) of this section. The owner or operator shall collect and analyze data according to the following requirements:

(1) Data from the alternative monitoring system and the continuous emission monitoring system shall be collected and paired in a manner that ensures each pair of values applies to hourly average emissions during the same hour.

(2) An alternative monitoring system that directly measures emissions shall have probes or other measuring devices in locations that are in proximity to the continuous emission monitoring system and shall provide data on the same parameters as those measured by the continuous emission monitoring system. Data from the alternative monitoring system shall meet the statistical tests for precision in paragraph (c) of this section and the t-test for bias in appendix A of this part.

(3) An alternative monitoring system that indirectly quantifies emission values by measuring inputs, operating characteristics, or outputs and then applying a regression or another quantitative technique to estimate emissions, shall meet the statistical tests for precision in paragraph (c) of this section and the t-test for bias in appendix A of this part.

(4) For flow monitor alternatives, the alternative monitoring system must provide sample data for each of three different exhaust gas velocities while the unit or units, if more than one unit exhausts into the stack or duct, is burning its primary fuel at:

(i) A frequently used low operating level, selected within the range between the minimum safe and stable operating level and 50 percent of the maximum operating level,

(ii) A frequently used high operating level, selected within the range between 80 percent of the maximum operating level and the maximum operating level, and

(iii) The normal operating level, or an evenly spaced intermediary level between low and high levels used if the normal operating level is within a specified range (10.0 percent of the maximum operating level), of either paragraphs (a)(4) (i) or (ii) of this section.

(5) For pollutant concentration monitor alternatives, the alternative monitoring system shall provide sample data for the primary fuel supply and for all alternative fuel supplies that have significantly different sulfur content.

(6) For the normal unit operating level and primary fuel supply, paired hourly sample data shall be provided for at least 90.0 percent of the hours during 720 unit operating hours. For each of the remaining two operating levels for flow monitor alternatives, and for each alternative fuel supply for pollutant concentration monitor alternatives, paired hourly sample data shall be provided for at least 24 successive unit operating hours.

(7) The owner or operator shall not use missing data substitution procedures to provide sample data.

(8) If the collected data meet the requirements of the F-test, the correlation test, and the t-test at one or more, but not all, of the operating levels or fuel supplies, the owner or operator may elect to continue collecting the paired data for up to 1,440 additional operating hours and repeat the statistical tests using the data for the entire 30- to 90-day period.

(9) The owner or operator shall provide two separate time series data plots for the data at each operating level or fuel supply described in paragraphs (a)(4) and (a)(5) of this section. Each data plot shall have a horizontal axis that represents the clock hour and calendar date of the readings and shall contain a separate data point for every hour for the duration of the performance evaluation. The data plots shall show the following:

(i) Percentage difference versus time where the vertical axis represents the percentage difference between each paired hourly reading generated by the continuous emission monitoring system (or reference method) and the alternative emission monitoring system as calculated using the following equation:

$$\Delta e = \frac{e_p - e_v}{e_v} \times 100\%$$

(Eq. 10)

where,

Δ e = Percentage difference between the readings generated by the alternative monitoring system and the continuous emission monitoring system.

e_p = Measured value from the alternative monitoring system.

e_v = Measured value from the continuous emission monitoring system.

(ii) Alternative monitoring system readings and continuous emission monitoring system (or reference method) readings versus time where the vertical axis represents hourly pollutant concentrations or volumetric flow, as appropriate, and two different symbols are used to represent the readings from the alternative monitoring system and the continuous emission monitoring system (or reference method), respectively.

(b) *Data screening and calculation adjustments.* In preparation for conducting the statistical tests described in paragraph (c) of this section, the

owner or operator may screen the data for lognormality and time dependency autocorrelation. If either is detected, the owner or operator shall make the following calculation adjustments:

(1) *Lognormality*. The owner or operator shall conduct any screening and adjustment for lognormality according to the following procedures.

(i) Apply the log transformation to each measured value of either the certified continuous emissions monitoring system or certified flow monitor, using the following equation:

$$l_v = \ln e_v$$

(Eq. 11)

where,

e_v = Hourly value generated by the certified continuous emissions monitoring system or certified flow monitoring system

l_v = Hourly lognormalized data values for the certified monitoring system

and to each measured value, e_p, of the proposed alternative monitoring system, using the following equation to obtain the lognormalized data values, l_p:

$$l_p = \ln e_p$$

(Eq. 12)

where,

e_p = Hourly value generated by the proposed alternative monitoring system.

l_p = Hourly lognormalized data values for the proposed alternative monitoring system.

(ii) Separately test each set of transformed data, l_v and l_p, for normality, using the following:

(A) Shapiro-Wilk test;

(B) Histogram of the transformed data; and

(C) Quantile-Quantile plot of the transformed data.

(iii) The transformed data in a data set will be considered normally distributed if all of the following conditions are satisfied:

(A) The Shapiro-Wilk test statistic, W, is greater than or equal to 0.75 or is not statistically significant at $\alpha = 0.05$.

(B) The histogram of the data is unimodal and symmetric.

(C) The Quantile-Quantile plot is a diagonal straight line.

(iv) If both of the transformed data sets, l_v and l_p, meet the conditions for normality, specified in paragraphs (b)(1)(iii) (A) through (C) of this section, the owner or operator may use the transformed data, l_v and l_p, in place of the original measured data values in the statistical tests for alternative monitoring systems as described in paragraph (c) of this section and in appendix A of this part.

(v) If the transformed data are used in the statistical tests in paragraph (c) of this section and in appendix A of this part, the owner or operator shall provide the following:

(A) Copy of the original measured values and the corresponding transformed data in printed and electronic format.

(B) Printed copy of the test results and plots described in paragraphs (b)(1)(i) through (iii) of this section.

(2) *Time dependency (autocorrelation)*. The screening and adjustment for time dependency are conducted according to the following procedures:

(i) Calculate the degree of autocorrelation of the data on their LAG1 values, where the degree of autocorrelation is represented by the Pearson autocorrelation coefficient, ρ, computed from an AR(1) autoregression model, such that:

$$\rho = \frac{COV(x_i', x_i'')}{s_{x_i'} s_{x_i''}}$$

(Eq. 13)

where,

x'_i = The original data value at hour i.

x''_i = The LAG1 data value at hour i.

$COV(x'_i, x''_i)$ = The autocovariance of x'_i and defined by,

$$COV(x_i', x_i'') = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i' - \bar{x}')(x_i'' - \bar{x}'')}{(n-1)}$$

(Eq. 14)

where,

n = The total number of observations in which both the original value, x'_i, and the lagged value, x''_i, are available in the data set.

$s'_{x\,i}$ = The standard deviation of the original data values, x'_i defined by,

$$s_{x_i'} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_i' - \overline{x}'\right)^2}{n-1}}$$

(Eq. 15)

where,

$s''_{x\ i}$ = The standard deviation of the LAG1 data values, x''_i, defined by

$$s_{x_i''} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_i'' - \overline{x}''\right)^2}{n-1}}$$

(Eq. 16)

where,

x' = The mean of the original data values, x'_i defined by

$$\overline{x}' = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i'}{n}$$

(Eq. 17)

where,

x'' = The mean of the LAG1 data values, x''_i, defined by

$$\overline{x}'' = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i''}{n}$$

(Eq. 18)

where,

(ii) The data in a data set will be considered autocorrelated if the autocorrelation coefficient, ρ, is significant at the 5 percent significance level. To determine if this condition is satisfied, calculate Z using the following equation:

$$Z = 0.5\left[\ln\left(\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}\right)\right]\sqrt{n-3}$$

(Eq. 19)

If $Z > 1.96$, then the autocorrelation coefficient, ρ, is significant at the 5 percent significance level ($a = 0.05$).

(iii) If the data in a data set satisfy the conditions for autocorrelation, specified in paragraph (b)(2)(ii) of this section, the variance of the data, S^2, may be adjusted using the following equation:

$$S^2_{ADJ} = VIF \times S^2$$

(Eq. 20)

where,

S^2 = The original, unadjusted variance of the data set.

VIF = The variance inflation factor, defined by

$$VIF = \frac{1}{\left[1 - \frac{2\rho}{(n-1)(1-\rho)} + \frac{2\rho(1-\rho^n)}{n(n-1)(1-\rho)^2}\right]}$$

(Eq. 21)

S^2_{ADJ} = The autocorrelation-adjusted variance for the data set.

(iv) The procedures described in paragraphs (b)(2)(i)–(iii) of this section may be separately applied to the following data sets in order to derive distinct autocorrelation coefficients and variance inflation factors for each data set:

(A) The set of measured hourly values, e_v, generated by the certified continuous emissions monitoring system or certified flow monitoring system.

(B) The set of hourly values, e_p, generated by the proposed alternative monitoring system,

(C) The set of hourly differences, e_v–e_p, between the hourly values, e_v, generated by the certified continuous emissions monitoring system or certified flow monitoring system and the hourly values, e_p, generated by the proposed alternative monitoring system.

(v) For any data set, listed in paragraph (b)(2)(iv) of this section, that satisfies the conditions for autocorrelation specified in paragraph (b)(2)(ii) of this section, the owner or operator may adjust the variance of that data set, using equation 20 of this section.

(A) The adjusted variance may be used in place of the corresponding original variance, as calculated using equation 23 of this section, in the F-test (Equation 24) of this section.

(B) In place of the standard error of the mean,

$$\frac{S_d}{\sqrt{n}}$$

in the bias test Equation A–9 of appendix A of this part the following adjusted standard error of the mean may be used:

$$\left(\frac{S_d}{\sqrt{n}}\right)_{adj} = \left[\sqrt{\left(\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}\right) - \left(\frac{2\rho(1-\rho^n)}{n(1-\rho)^2}\right)}\right] \times \sqrt{VIF} \times \left(\frac{S_d}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

(Eq. 22)where

$$\left(\frac{S_d}{\sqrt{n}}\right)_{adj}$$ = **The autocorrelation-adjusted standard error of the mean.**

(vi) For each data set in which a variance adjustment is used, the owner or operator shall provide the following:

(A) All values in the data set in printed and electronic format.

(B) Values of the autocorrelation coefficient, its level of significance, the variance inflation factor, and the unadjusted original and adjusted values found in equations 20 and 22 of this section.

(C) Equation and related statistics of the AR(1) autoregression model of the data set.

(D) Printed documentation of the intermediate calculations used to derive the autocorrelation coefficient and the Variance Inflation Factor.

(c) *Statistical Tests.* The owner or operator shall perform the F-test and correlation analysis as described in this paragraph and the t-test for bias described in appendix A of this part to demonstrate the precision of the alternative monitoring system.

(1) *F-test.* The owner or operator shall conduct the F-test according to the following procedures.

(i) Calculate the variance of the certified continuous emission monitoring system or certified flow monitor as applicable, S_v2, and the proposed method, S_p2, using the following equation.

$$S^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(e_i - e_m)^2}{n-1}$$

(Eq. 23)

where,

e_i = Measured values of either the certified continuous emission monitoring system or certified flow monitor, as applicable, or proposed method.

e_m = Mean of either the certified continuous emission monitoring system or certified flow monitor, as applicable, or proposed method values.

n = Total number of paired samples.

(ii) Determine if the variance of the proposed method is significantly different from that of the certified continuous emission monitoring system or certified flow monitor, as applicable, by calculating the F-value using the following equation.

$$F = \frac{S_p^2}{S_v^2}$$

(Eq. 24)

Compare the experimental F-value with the critical value of F at the 95-percent confidence level with n–1 degrees of freedom. The critical value is

obtained from a table for F-distribution. If the calculated F-value is greater than the critical value, the proposed method is unacceptable.

(2) *Correlation analysis.* The owner or operator shall conduct the correlation analysis according to the following procedures.

(i) Plot each of the paired emissions readings as a separate point on a graph where the vertical axis represents the value (pollutant concentration or volumetric flow, as appropriate) generated by the alternative monitoring system and the horizontal axis represents the value (pollutant concentration or volumetric flow, as appropriate) generated by the continuous emission monitoring system (or reference method). On the graph, draw a horizontal line representing the mean value, e_p, for the alternative monitoring system and a vertical line representing the mean value, e_v, for the continuous emission monitoring system where,

$$\overline{e_p} = \frac{\sum e_p}{n}$$

(Eq. 25)

$$\overline{e_v} = \frac{\sum e_v}{n}$$

(Eq. 26)

where,

e_p = Hourly value generated by the alternative monitoring system.

e_v = Hourly value generated by the continuous emission monitoring system.

n = Total number of hours for which data were generated for the tests.

A separate graph shall be produced for the data generated at each of the operating levels or fuel supplies described in paragraphs (a)(4) and (a)(5) of this section.

(ii) Use the following equation to calculate the coefficient of correlation, r, between the emissions data from the alternative monitoring system and the continuous emission monitoring system using all hourly data for which paired values were available from both monitoring systems.

$$r = \frac{\sum e_p e_v - \left(\sum e_p\right)\left(\sum e_v\right)/n}{\left(\left[\sum e_p^2 - \left(\sum e_p\right)^2/n\right]\left[\sum e_v^2 - \left(\sum e_v\right)^2/n\right]\right)^{(1/2)}} \qquad \text{(Eq. 27)}$$

(Eq. 27)

(iii) If the calculated r-value is less than 0.8, the proposed method is unacceptable.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26530, May 17, 1995; 60 FR 40296, Aug. 8, 1995; 67 FR 40440, June 12, 2002]

§ 75.42 Reliability criteria.

To demonstrate reliability equal to or better than the continuous emission monitoring system, the owner or operator shall demonstrate that the alternative monitoring system is capable of providing valid 1-hr averages for 95.0 percent or more of unit operating hours over a 1-yr period and that the system meets the applicable requirements of appendix B of this part.

§ 75.43 Accessibility criteria.

To demonstrate accessibility equal to or better than the continuous emission monitoring system, the owner or operator shall provide reports and on-site records of emission data to demonstrate that the alternative monitoring system provides data meeting the requirements of subparts F and G of this part.

§ 75.44 Timeliness criteria.

To demonstrate timeliness equal to or better than the continuous emission monitoring system, the owner or operator shall demonstrate that the alternative monitoring system can meet the requirements of subparts F and G of this part; can provide a continuous,

quality-assured, permanent record of certified emissions data on an hourly basis; and can issue a record of data for the previous day within 24 hours.

§ 75.45 Daily quality assurance criteria.

The owner or operator shall either demonstrate that daily tests equivalent to those specified in appendix B of this part can be performed on the alternative monitoring system or demonstrate and document that such tests are unnecessary for providing quality-assured data.

§ 75.46 Missing data substitution criteria.

The owner or operator shall demonstrate that all missing data can be accounted for in a manner consistent with the applicable missing data procedures in subpart D of this part.

§ 75.47 Criteria for a class of affected units.

(a) The owner or operator of an affected unit may represent a class of affected units for the purpose of applying to the Administrator for a class-approved alternative monitoring system.

(b) The owner or operator of an affected unit representing a class of affected units shall provide the following information:

(1) A description of the affected unit and how it appropriately represents the class of affected units;

(2) A description of the class of affected units, including data describing all of the affected units that will comprise the class.

[60 FR 40297, Aug. 8, 1995, as amended at 76 FR 17312, Mar. 28, 2011]

§ 75.48 Petition for an alternative monitoring system.

(a) The designated representative shall submit the following information in the application for certification or recertification of an alternative monitoring system.

(1) Source identification information.

(2) A description of the alternative monitoring system.

(3) Data, calculations, and results of the statistical tests, specified in § 75.41(c) of this part, including:

(i) Date and hour.

(ii) Hourly test data for the alternative monitoring system at each required operating level and fuel type. The fuel type, operating level and gross unit load shall be recorded.

(iii) Hourly test data for the continuous emissions monitoring system at each required operating level and fuel type. The fuel type, operating level and gross unit load shall be recorded.

(iv) Arithmetic mean of the alternative monitoring system measurement values, as specified in Equation 25 in § 75.41(c) of this part, of the continuous emission monitoring system values, as specified in Equation 26 in § 75.41(c) of this part, and of their differences.

(v) Standard deviation of the difference, as specified in equation A–8 in appendix A of this part.

(vi) Confidence coefficient, as specified in equation A–9 in appendix A of this part.

(vii) The bias test results as specified in § 7.6.4 in appendix A of this part.

(viii) Variance of the measured values for the alternative monitoring system and of the measured values for the continuous emission monitoring system, as specified in Equation 23 in § 75.41(c) of this part.

(ix) F-statistic, as specified in Equation 24 in § 75.41(c) of this part.

(x) Critical value of F at the 95-percent confidence level with n–1 degrees of freedom.

(xi) Coefficient of correlation, r, as specified in Equation 27 in § 75.41(c) of this part.

(4) Data plots, specified in §§ 75.41(a)(9) and 75.41(c)(2)(i) of this part.

(5) Results of monitor reliability analysis.

(6) Results of monitor accessibility analysis.

(7) Results of monitor timeliness analysis.

(8) A detailed description of the process used to collect data, including location and method of ensuring an accurate assessment of operating hourly conditions on a real-time basis.

(9) A detailed description of the operation, maintenance, and quality assurance procedures for the alternative monitoring system as required in appendix B of this part.

(10) A description of methods used to calculate heat input or diluent gas concentration, if applicable.

(11) Results of tests and measurements (including the results of all reference method field test sheets, charts, laboratory analyses, example calculations, or other data as appropriate) necessary to substantiate that the alternative monitoring system is equivalent in performance to an appropriate, certified operating continuous emission monitoring system.

(b) [Reserved]

[60 FR 40297, Aug. 8, 1995, as amended at 64 FR 28605, May 26, 1999]

Subpart F—Recordkeeping Requirements

§§ 75.50–75.52 [Reserved]

§ 75.53 Monitoring plan.

(a) *General provisions.* (1) The provisions of paragraphs (e) and (f) of this section shall be met through December 31, 2008. The owner or operator shall meet the requirements of paragraphs (a), (b), (e), and (f) of this section through December 31, 2008, except as otherwise provided in paragraph (g) of this section. On and after January 1, 2009, the owner or operator shall meet the requirements of paragraphs (a), (b), (g), and (h) of this section only. In addition, the provisions in paragraphs (g) and (h) of this section that support a regulatory option provided in another section of this part must be followed if the regulatory option is used prior to January 1, 2009.

(2) The owner or operator of an affected unit shall prepare and maintain a monitoring plan. Except as provided in paragraphs (f) or (h) of this section (as applicable), a monitoring plan shall contain sufficient information on the continuous emission or opacity monitoring systems, excepted methodology under § 75.19, or excepted monitoring systems under appendix D or E to this part and the use of data derived from these systems to demonstrate that all unit SO_2 emissions, NO_X emissions, CO_2 emissions, and opacity are monitored and reported.

(b) Whenever the owner or operator makes a replacement, modification, or change in the certified CEMS, continuous opacity monitoring system, excepted methodology under § 75.19, excepted monitoring system under appendix D or E to this part, or alternative monitoring system under subpart E of this part, including a change in the automated data acquisition and handling system or in the flue gas handling system, that affects information reported in the monitoring plan (e.g., a change to a serial number for a component of a monitoring system), then the owner or operator shall update the monitoring plan, by the applicable deadline specified in § 75.62 or elsewhere in this part.

(c)–(d) [Reserved]

(e) *Contents of the monitoring plan.* Each monitoring plan shall contain the information in paragraph (e)(1) of this section in electronic format and the information in paragraph (e)(2) of this section in hardcopy format. Electronic storage of all monitoring plan information, including the hardcopy portions, is permissible provided that a paper copy of the information can be furnished upon request for audit purposes.

(1) *Electronic.* (i) ORISPL numbers developed by the Department of Energy and used in the National Allowance Data Base (or equivalent facility ID number assigned by EPA, if the facility does not have an ORSPL number), for all affected units involved in the monitoring plan, with the following information for each unit:

(A) Short name;

(B) Classification of the unit as one of the following: Phase I (including substitution or compensating units), Phase II, new, or nonaffected;

(C) Type of boiler (or boilers for a group of units using a common stack);

(D) Type of fuel(s) fired by boiler, fuel type start and end dates, primary/secondary/emergency/startup fuel indicator, and, if more than one fuel, the fuel classification of the boiler;

(E) Type(s) of emission controls for SO_2, NO_X, and particulates installed or to be installed, including specifications of whether such controls are pre-combustion, post-combustion, or integral to the combustion process; control equipment code, installation date, and optimization date; control equipment retirement date (if applicable); primary/secondary controls indicator; and

an indicator for whether the controls are an original installation;

(F) Maximum hourly heat input capacity;

(G) Date of first commercial operation;

(H) Unit retirement date (if applicable);

(I) Maximum hourly gross load (in MW, rounded to the nearest MW, or steam load in 1000 lb/hr, rounded to the nearest 100 lb/hr);

(J) Identification of all units using a common stack;

(K) Activation date for the stack/pipe;

(L) Retirement date of the stack/pipe (if applicable); and

(M) Indicator of whether the stack is a bypass stack.

(ii) For each unit and parameter required to be monitored, identification of monitoring methodology information, consisting of monitoring methodology, type of fuel associated with the methodology, primary/secondary methodology indicator, missing data approach for the methodology, methodology start date, and methodology end date (if applicable).

(iii) The following information:

(A) Program(s) for which the EDR is submitted;

(B) Unit classification;

(C) Reporting frequency;

(D) Program participation date;

(E) State regulation code (if applicable); and

(F) State or local regulatory agency code.

(iv) Identification and description of each monitoring system component (including each monitor and its identifiable components, such as analyzer and/or probe) in the CEMS (*e.g.*, SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor, flow monitor, moisture monitor; NO_X pollutant concentration monitor, and diluent gas monitor), the continuous opacity monitoring system, or the excepted monitoring system (*e.g.*, fuel flowmeter, data acquisition and handling system), including:

(A) Manufacturer, model number and serial number;

(B) Component/system identification code assigned by the utility to each identifiable monitoring component (such as the analyzer and/or probe). Each code shall use a three-digit format, unique to each monitoring component and unique to each monitoring system;

(C) Designation of the component type and method of sample acquisition or operation, (e.g., in situ pollutant concentration monitor or thermal flow monitor);

(D) Designation of the system as a primary, redundant backup, non-redundant backup, data backup, or reference method backup system, as provided in § 75.10(e);

(E) First and last dates the system reported data;

(F) Status of the monitoring component; and

(G) Parameter monitored.

(v) Identification and description of all major hardware and software components of the automated data acquisition and handling system, including:

(A) Hardware components that perform emission calculations or store data for quarterly reporting purposes (provide the manufacturer and model number); and

(B) Software components (provide the identification of the provider and model/version number).

(vi) Explicit formulas for each measured emission parameter, using component/system identification codes for the primary system used to measure the parameter that links CEMS or excepted monitoring system observations with reported concentrations, mass emissions, or emission rates, according to the conversions listed in appendix D or E to this part. Formulas for backup monitoring systems are required only if different formulas for the same parameter are used for the primary and backup monitoring systems (e.g., if the primary system measures pollutant concentration on a different moisture basis from the backup system). The formulas must contain all constants and factors required to derive mass emissions or emission rates from component/system code observations and an indication of whether the formula is being added, corrected, deleted, or is unchanged. Each emissions formula is identified with a unique three digit code. The owner or operator of a low mass emissions unit for which the owner or operator is using the optional

low mass emissions excepted methodology in § 75.19(c) is not required to report such formulas.

(vii) Inside cross-sectional area (ft^2) at flue exit (for all units) and at flow monitoring location (for units with flow monitors, only).

(viii) Stack exit height (ft) above ground level and ground level elevation above sea level.

(ix) Monitoring location identification, facility identification code as assigned by the Administrator for use under the Acid Rain Program or this part, and the following information, as reported to the Energy Information Administration (EIA): facility identification number, flue identification number, boiler identification number, ARP/Subpart H facility ID number or ORISPL number (as applicable), reporting year, and 767 reporting indicator (or equivalent).

(x) For each parameter monitored: Scale, maximum potential concentration (and method of calculation), maximum expected concentration (if applicable) (and method of calculation), maximum potential flow rate (and method of calculation), maximum potential NO_X emission rate, span value, full-scale range, daily calibration units of measure, span effective date/hour, span inactivation date/hour, indication of whether dual spans are required, default high range value, flow rate span, and flow rate span value and full scale value (in scfh) for each unit or stack using SO_2, NO_X, CO_2, O_2, or flow component monitors.

(xi) If the monitoring system or excepted methodology provides for the use of a constant, assumed, or default value for a parameter under specific circumstances, then include the following information for each such value for each parameter:

(A) Identification of the parameter;

(B) Default, maximum, minimum, or constant value, and units of measure for the value;

(C) Purpose of the value;

(D) Indicator of use during controlled/uncontrolled hours;

(E) Type of fuel;

(F) Source of the value;

(G) Value effective date and hour;

(H) Date and hour value is no longer effective (if applicable); and

(I) For units using the excepted methodology under § 75.19, the applicable SO_2 emission factor.

(xii) Uless otherwise specified in section 6.5.2.1 of appendix A to this part, for each unit of common stack on which hardware CEMS are installed:

(A) The upper and lower boundaries of the range of operation (as defined in section 6.5.2.1 of appendix A to this part), expressed in megawatts, or thousands of lb/hr of steam, or ft/sec (as applicable);

(B) The load or operating level(s) designated as normal in section 6.5.2.1 of appendix A to this part, expressed in megawatts, or thousands of lb/hr of steam, or ft/sec (as applicable);

(C) The two load or operating levels (*i.e.*, low, mid, or high) identified in section 6.5.2.1 of appendix A to this part as the most frequently used;

(D) The date of the data analysis used to determine the normal load (or operating) level(s) and the two most frequently-used load (or operating) levels; and

(E) Activation and deactivation dates, when the normal load or operating level(s) or two most frequently-used load or operating levels change and are updated.

(xiii) For each unit for which the optional fuel flow-to-load test in section 2.1.7 of appendix D to this part is used:

(A) The upper and lower boundaries of the range of operation (as defined in section 6.5.2.1 of appendix A to this part), expressed in megawatts or thousands of lb/hr of steam;

(B) The load level designated as normal, pursuant to section 6.5.2.1 of appendix A to this part, expressed in megawatts or thousands of lb/hr of steam; and

(C) The date of the load analysis used to determine the normal load level.

(xiv) For each unit with a flow monitor installed on a rectangular stack or duct, if a wall effects adjustment factor (WAF) is determined and applied to the hourly flow rate data:

(A) Stack or duct width at the test location, ft;

(B) Stack or duct depth at the test location, ft;

(C) Wall effects adjustment factor (WAF), to the nearest 0.0001;

(D) Method of determining the WAF;

(E) WAF Effective date and hour;

(F) WAF no longer effective date and hour (if applicable);

(G) WAF determination date;

(H) Number of WAF test runs;

(I) Number of Method 1 traverse points in the WAF test;

(J) Number of test ports in the WAF test; and

(K) Number of Method 1 traverse points in the reference flow RATA.

(2) *Hardcopy*. (i) Information, including (as applicable): identification of the test strategy; protocol for the relative accuracy test audit; other relevant test information; calibration gas levels (percent of span) for the calibration error test and linearity check; calculations for determining maximum potential concentration, maximum expected concentration (if applicable), maximum potential flow rate, maximum potential NO_X emission rate, and span; and apportionment strategies under §§ 75.10 through 75.18.

(ii) Description of site locations for each monitoring component in the continuous emission or opacity monitoring systems, including schematic diagrams and engineering drawings specified in paragraphs (e)(2)(iv) and (e)(2)(v) of this section and any other documentation that demonstrates each monitor location meets the appropriate siting criteria.

(iii) A data flow diagram denoting the complete information handling path from output signals of CEMS components to final reports.

(iv) For units monitored by a continuous emission or opacity monitoring system, a schematic diagram identifying entire gas handling system from boiler to stack for all affected units, using identification numbers for units, monitor components, and stacks corresponding to the identification numbers provided in paragraphs (e)(1)(i), (e)(1)(iv), (e)(1)(vi), and (e)(1)(ix) of this section. The schematic diagram must depict stack height and the height of any monitor locations. Comprehensive and/or separate schematic diagrams shall be used to describe groups of units using a common stack.

(v) For units monitored by a continuous emission or opacity monitoring system, stack and duct engineering diagrams showing the dimensions and location of fans, turning vanes, air preheaters, monitor components, probes, reference method sampling ports, and other equipment that affects the monitoring system location, performance, or quality control checks.

(f) *Contents of monitoring plan for specific situations*. The following additional information shall be included in the monitoring plan for the specific situations described:

(1) For each gas-fired unit or oil-fired unit for which the owner or operator uses the optional protocol in appendix D to this part for estimating heat input and/or SO_2 mass emissions, or for each gas-fired or oil-fired peaking unit for which the owner/operator uses the optional protocol in appendix E to this part for estimating NO_X emission rate (using a fuel flowmeter), the designated representative shall include the following additional information in the monitoring plan:

(i) *Electronic*. (A) Parameter monitored;

(B) Type of fuel measured, maximum fuel flow rate, units of measure, and basis of maximum fuel flow rate (*i.e.*, upper range value or unit maximum) for each fuel flowmeter;

(C) Test method used to check the accuracy of each fuel flowmeter;

(D) Submission status of the data;

(E) Monitoring system identification code; and

(F) The method used to demonstrate that the unit qualifies for monthly GCV sampling or for daily or annual fuel sampling for sulfur content, as applicable.

(ii) *Hardcopy*. (A) A schematic diagram identifying the relationship between the unit, all fuel supply lines, the fuel flowmeter(s), and the stack(s). The schematic diagram must depict the installation location of each fuel flowmeter and the fuel sampling location(s). Comprehensive and/or separate schematic diagrams shall be used to describe groups of units using a common pipe;

(B) For units using the optional default SO_2 emission rate for ''pipeline natural gas'' or ''natural gas'' in appendix D to this part, the information on the sulfur content of the gaseous fuel used to demonstrate compliance

with either section 2.3.1.4 or 2.3.2.4 of appendix D to this part;

(C) For units using the 720 hour test under 2.3.6 of Appendix D of this part to determine the required sulfur sampling requirements, report the procedures and results of the test; and

(D) For units using the 720 hour test under 2.3.5 of Appendix D of this part to determine the appropriate fuel GCV sampling frequency, report the procedures used and the results of the test;

(2) For each gas-fired peaking unit and oil-fired peaking unit for which the owner or operator uses the optional procedures in appendix E to this part for estimating NO_X emission rate, the designated representative shall include in the monitoring plan:

(i) *Electronic*. Unit operating and capacity factor information demonstrating that the unit qualifies as a peaking unit or gas-fired unit, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter, and NO_X correlation test information, including:

(A) Test date;

(B) Test number;

(C) Operating level;

(D) Segment ID of the NO_X correlation curve;

(E) NO_X monitoring system identification;

(F) Low and high heat input rate values and corresponding NO_X emission rates;

(G) Type of fuel; and

(H) To document the unit qualifies as a peaking unit, current calendar year or ozone season, capacity factor data as specified in the definition of peaking unit in § 72.2 of this chapter, and an indication of whether the data are actual or projected data.

(ii) *Hardcopy*. (A) A protocol containing methods used to perform the baseline or periodic NO_X emission test; and

(B) Unit operating parameters related to NO_X formation by the unit.

(3) For each gas-fired unit and diesel-fired unit or unit with a wet flue gas pollution control system for which the designated representative claims an opacity monitoring exemption under § 75.14, the designated representative shall include in the hardcopy monitoring plan the information specified under § 75.14(b), (c), or (d), demonstrating that the unit qualifies for the exemption.

(4) For each monitoring system recertification, maintenance, or other event, the designated representative shall include the following additional information in electronic format in the monitoring plan:

(i) Component/system identification code;

(ii) Event code or code for required test;

(iii) Event begin date and hour;

(iv) Conditionally valid data period begin date and hour (if applicable);

(v) Date and hour that last test is successfully completed; and

(vi) Indicator of whether conditionally valid data were reported at the end of the quarter.

(5) For each unit using the low mass emission excepted methodology under § 75.19 the designated representative shall include the following additional information in the monitoring plan that accompanies the initial certification application:

(i) *Electronic*. For each low mass emissions unit, report the results of the analysis performed to qualify as a low mass emissions unit under § 75.19(c). This report will include either the previous three years actual or projected emissions. The following items should be included:

(A) Current calendar year of application;

(B) Type of qualification;

(C) Years one, two, and three;

(D) Annual or ozone season measured, estimated or projected NO_X mass emissions for years one, two, and three;

(E) Annual measured, estimated or projected SO_2 mass emissions for years one, two, and three; and

(F) Annual or ozone season operating hours for years one, two, and three.

(ii) *Hardcopy*. (A) A schematic diagram identifying the relationship between the unit, all fuel supply lines and tanks, any fuel flowmeter(s), and the stack(s). Comprehensive and/or separate schematic diagrams shall be used to describe groups of units using a common pipe;

(B) For units which use the long term fuel flow methodology under § 75.19(c)(3), the designated representative must provide a diagram of the fuel

flow to each affected unit or group of units and describe in detail the procedures used to determine the long term fuel flow for a unit or group of units for each fuel combusted by the unit or group of units;

(C) A statement that the unit burns only gaseous fuel(s) and/or fuel oil and a list of the fuels that are burned or a statement that the unit is projected to burn only gaseous fuel(s) and/or fuel oil and a list of the fuels that are projected to be burned;

(D) A statement that the unit meets the applicability requirements in §§ 75.19(a) and (b); and

(E) Any unit historical actual, estimated and projected emissions data and calculated emissions data demonstrating that the affected unit qualifies as a low mass emissions unit under §§ 75.19(a) and 75.19(b).

(6) For each gas-fired unit the designated representative shall include in the monitoring plan, in electronic format, the following: current calendar year, fuel usage data as specified in the definition of gas-fired in § 72.2 of this part, and an indication of whether the data are actual or projected data.

(g) *Contents of the monitoring plan.* The requirements of paragraphs (g) and (h) of this section shall be met on and after January 1, 2009. Notwithstanding this requirement, the provisions of paragraphs (g) and (h) of this section may be implemented prior to January 1, 2009, as follows. In 2008, the owner or operator may opt to record and report the monitoring plan information in paragraphs (g) and (h) of this section, in lieu of recording and reporting the information in paragraphs (e) and (f) of this section. Each monitoring plan shall contain the information in paragraph (g)(1) of this section in electronic format and the information in paragraph (g)(2) of this section in hardcopy format. Electronic storage of all monitoring plan information, including the hardcopy portions, is permissible provided that a paper copy of the information can be furnished upon request for audit purposes.

(1) *Electronic.* (i) The facility ORISPL number developed by the Department of Energy and used in the National Allowance Data Base (or equivalent facility ID number assigned by EPA, if the facility does not have an ORISPL number). Also provide the following information for each unit and (as applicable) for each common stack and/or pipe, and each multiple stack and/or pipe involved in the monitoring plan:

(A) A representation of the exhaust configuration for the units in the monitoring plan. On and after April 27, 2011, provide the activation date and deactivation date (if applicable) of the configuration. Provide the ID number of each unit and assign a unique ID number to each common stack, common pipe multiple stack and/or multiple pipe associated with the unit(s) represented in the monitoring plan. For common and multiple stacks and/or pipes, provide the activation date and deactivation date (if applicable) of each stack and/or pipe;

(B) Identification of the monitoring system location(s) (e.g., at the unit-level, on the common stack, at each multiple stack, etc.). Provide an indicator (''flag'') if the monitoring location is at a bypass stack or in the ductwork (breeching);

(C) The stack exit height (ft) above ground level and ground level elevation above sea level, and the inside cross-sectional area (ft^2) at the flue exit and at the flow monitoring location (for units with flow monitors, only). Also use appropriate codes to indicate the material(s) of construction and the shape(s) of the stack or duct cross-section(s) at the flue exit and (if applicable) at the flow monitor location. On and after April 27, 2011, provide the activation date and deactivation date (if applicable) for the information in this paragraph (g)(1)(i)(C);

(D) The type(s) of fuel(s) fired by each unit. Indicate the start and (if applicable) end date of combustion for each type of fuel, and whether the fuel is the primary, secondary, emergency, or startup fuel;

(E) The type(s) of emission controls that are used to reduce SO_2, NO_X, and particulate emissions from each unit. Also provide the installation date, optimization date, and retirement date (if applicable) of the emission controls, and indicate whether the controls are an original installation;

(F) Maximum hourly heat input capacity of each unit. On and after April

27, 2011, provide the activation date and deactivation date (if applicable) for this parameter; and

(G) A non-load based unit indicator (if applicable) for units that do not produce electrical or thermal output.

(ii) For each monitored parameter (e.g., SO_2, NO_X, flow, etc.) at each monitoring location, specify the monitoring methodology and the missing data approach for the parameter. If the unmonitored bypass stack approach is used for a particular parameter, indicate this by means of an appropriate code. Provide the activation date/hour, and deactivation date/hour (if applicable) for each monitoring methodology and each missing data approach.

(iii) For each required continuous emission monitoring system, each fuel flowmeter system, and each continuous opacity monitoring system, identify and describe the major monitoring components in the monitoring system (*e.g.*, gas analyzer, flow monitor, opacity monitor, moisture sensor, fuel flowmeter, DAHS software, etc.). Other important components in the system (*e.g.*, sample probe, PLC, data logger, etc.) may also be represented in the monitoring plan, if necessary. Provide the following specific information about each component and monitoring system:

(A) For each required monitoring system:

(*1*) Assign a unique, 3-character alphanumeric identification code to the system;

(*2*) Indicate the parameter monitored by the system;

(*3*) Designate the system as a primary, redundant backup, non-redundant backup, data backup, or reference method backup system, as provided in § 75.10(e); and

(*4*) Indicate the system activation date/hour and deactivation date/hour (as applicable).

(B) For each component of each monitoring system represented in the monitoring plan:

(*1*) Assign a unique, 3-character alphanumeric identification code to the component;

(*2*) Indicate the manufacturer, model and serial number;

(*3*) Designate the component type;

(*4*) For dual-span applications, indicate whether the analyzer component ID represents a high measurement scale, a low scale, or a dual range;

(*5*) For gas analyzers, indicate the moisture basis of measurement;

(*6*) Indicate the method of sample acquisition or operation, (e.g., extractive pollutant concentration monitor or thermal flow monitor); and

(*7*) Indicate the component activation date/hour and deactivation date/hour (as applicable).

(iv) Explicit formulas, using the component and system identification codes for the primary monitoring system, and containing all constants and factors required to derive the required mass emissions, emission rates, heat input rates, etc. from the hourly data recorded by the monitoring systems. Formulas using the system and component ID codes for backup monitoring systems are required only if different formulas for the same parameter are used for the primary and backup monitoring systems (e.g., if the primary system measures pollutant concentration on a different moisture basis from the backup system). Provide the equation number or other appropriate code for each emissions formula (e.g., use code F–1 if Equation F–1 in appendix F to this part is used to calculate SO_2 mass emissions). Also identify each emissions formula with a unique three character alphanumeric code. The formula effective start date/hour and inactivation date/hour (as applicable) shall be included for each formula. The owner or operator of a unit for which the optional low mass emissions excepted methodology in § 75.19 is being used is not required to report such formulas.

(v) For each parameter monitored with CEMS, provide the following information:

(A) Measurement scale (high or low);

(B) Maximum potential value (and method of calculation). If NO_X emission rate in lb/mmBtu is monitored, calculate and provide the maximum potential NO_X emission rate in addition to the maximum potential NO_X concentration;

(C) Maximum expected value (if applicable) and method of calculation;

(D) Span value(s) and full-scale measurement range(s);

(E) Daily calibration units of measure;

(F) Effective date/hour, and (if applicable) inactivation date/hour of each span value. On and after April 27, 2011, provide the activation date and deactivation date (if applicable) for the measurement scale and dual span information in paragraphs (g)(1)(v)(A), (g)(1)(v)(G), and (g)(1)(v)(H) of this section;

(G) An indication of whether dual spans are required. If two span values are required, then, on and after April 27, 2011, indicate whether an autoranging analyzer is used to represent the two measurement scales; and

(H) The default high range value (if applicable) and the maximum allowable low-range value for this option.

(vi) If the monitoring system or excepted methodology provides for the use of a constant, assumed, or default value for a parameter under specific circumstances, then include the following information for each such value for each parameter:

(A) Identification of the parameter;

(B) Default, maximum, minimum, or constant value, and units of measure for the value;

(C) Purpose of the value;

(D) Indicator of use, i.e., during controlled hours, uncontrolled hours, or all operating hours;

(E) Type of fuel;

(F) Source of the value;

(G) Value effective date and hour;

(H) Date and hour that the value is no longer effective (if applicable);

(I) For units using the excepted methodology under § 75.19, the applicable SO_2 emission factor; and

(J) On and after April 27, 2011, group identification code.

(vii) Unless otherwise specified in section 6.5.2.1 of appendix A to this part, for each unit or common stack on which hardware CEMS are installed:

(A) Maximum hourly gross load (in MW, rounded to the nearest MW, or steam load in 1000 lb/hr (*i.e.*, klb/hr), rounded to the nearest klb/hr, or thermal output in mmBtu/hr, rounded to the nearest mmBtu/hr), for units that produce electrical or thermal output;

(B) The upper and lower boundaries of the range of operation (as defined in section 6.5.2.1 of appendix A to this part), expressed in megawatts, thousands of lb/hr of steam, mmBtu/hr of thermal output, or ft/sec (as applicable);

(C) Except for peaking units, identify the most frequently and second most frequently used load (or operating) levels (*i.e.*, low, mid, or high) in accordance with section 6.5.2.1 of appendix A to this part, expressed in megawatts, thousands of lb/hr of steam, mmBtu/hr of thermal output, or ft/sec (as applicable);

(D) Except for peaking units, an indicator of whether the second most frequently used load (or operating) level is designated as normal in section 6.5.2.1 of appendix A to this part;

(E) The date of the data analysis used to determine the normal load (or operating) level(s) and the two most frequently-used load (or operating) levels (as applicable); and

(F) Activation and deactivation dates and hours, when the maximum hourly gross load, boundaries of the range of operation, normal load (or operating) level(s) or two most frequently-used load (or operating) levels change and are updated.

(viii) For each unit for which CEMS are not installed:

(A) Maximum hourly gross load (in MW, rounded to the nearest MW, or steam load in klb/hr, rounded to the nearest klb/hr, or steam load in mmBtu/hr, rounded to the nearest mmBtu/hr);

(B) The upper and lower boundaries of the range of operation (as defined in section 6.5.2.1 of appendix A to this part), expressed in megawatts, mmBtu/hr of thermal output, or thousands of lb/hr of steam;

(C) Except for peaking units and units using the low mass emissions excepted methodology under § 75.19, identify the load level designated as normal, pursuant to section 6.5.2.1 of appendix A to this part, expressed in megawatts, mmBtu/hr of thermal output, or thousands of lb/hr of steam;

(D) The date of the load analysis used to determine the normal load level (as applicable); and

(E) Activation and deactivation dates and hours, when the maximum hourly gross load, boundaries of the range of

operation, or normal load level change and are updated.

(ix) For each unit with a flow monitor installed on a rectangular stack or duct, if a wall effects adjustment factor (WAF) is determined and applied to the hourly flow rate data:

(A) Stack or duct width at the test location, ft;

(B) Stack or duct depth at the test location, ft;

(C) Wall effects adjustment factor (WAF), to the nearest 0.0001;

(D) Method of determining the WAF;

(E) WAF Effective date and hour;

(F) WAF no longer effective date and hour (if applicable);

(G) WAF determination date;

(H) Number of WAF test runs;

(I) Number of Method 1 traverse points in the WAF test;

(J) Number of test ports in the WAF test; and

(K) Number of Method 1 traverse points in the reference flow RATA.

(2) *Hardcopy*. (i) Information, including (as applicable): Identification of the test strategy; protocol for the relative accuracy test audit; other relevant test information; calibration gas levels (percent of span) for the calibration error test and linearity check; calculations for determining maximum potential concentration, maximum expected concentration (if applicable), maximum potential flow rate, maximum potential NO_X emission rate, and span; and apportionment strategies under §§ 75.10 through 75.18.

(ii) Description of site locations for each monitoring component in the continuous emission or opacity monitoring systems, including schematic diagrams and engineering drawings specified in paragraphs (e)(2)(iv) and (e)(2)(v) of this section and any other documentation that demonstrates each monitor location meets the appropriate siting criteria.

(iii) A data flow diagram denoting the complete information handling path from output signals of CEMS components to final reports.

(iv) For units monitored by a continuous emission or opacity monitoring system, a schematic diagram identifying entire gas handling system from boiler to stack for all affected units, using identification numbers for units, monitoring systems and components, and stacks corresponding to the identification numbers provided in paragraphs (g)(1)(i) and (g)(1)(iii) of this section. The schematic diagram must depict stack height and the height of any monitor locations. Comprehensive and/or separate schematic diagrams shall be used to describe groups of units using a common stack.

(v) For units monitored by a continuous emission or opacity monitoring system, stack and duct engineering diagrams showing the dimensions and location of fans, turning vanes, air preheaters, monitor components, probes, reference method sampling ports, and other equipment that affects the monitoring system location, performance, or quality control checks.

(h) *Contents of monitoring plan for specific situations*. The following additional information shall be included in the monitoring plan for the specific situations described:

(1) For each gas-fired unit or oil-fired unit for which the owner or operator uses the optional protocol in appendix D to this part for estimating heat input and/or SO_2 mass emissions, or for each gas-fired or oil-fired peaking unit for which the owner/operator uses the optional protocol in appendix E to this part for estimating NO_X emission rate (using a fuel flowmeter), the designated representative shall include the following additional information for each fuel flowmeter system in the monitoring plan:

(i) *Electronic*. (A) Parameter monitored;

(B) Type of fuel measured, maximum fuel flow rate, units of measure, and basis of maximum fuel flow rate (*i.e.*, upper range value or unit maximum) for each fuel flowmeter;

(C) Test method used to check the accuracy of each fuel flowmeter;

(D) Monitoring system identification code;

(E) The method used to demonstrate that the unit qualifies for monthly GCV sampling or for daily or annual fuel sampling for sulfur content, as applicable; and

(F) Activation date/hour and (if applicable) inactivation date/hour for the fuel flowmeter system;

(ii) *Hardcopy*. (A) A schematic diagram identifying the relationship between the unit, all fuel supply lines, the fuel flowmeter(s), and the stack(s). The schematic diagram must depict the installation location of each fuel flowmeter and the fuel sampling location(s). Comprehensive and/or separate schematic diagrams shall be used to describe groups of units using a common pipe;

(B) For units using the optional default SO_2 emission rate for ''pipeline natural gas'' or ''natural gas'' in appendix D to this part, the information on the sulfur content of the gaseous fuel used to demonstrate compliance with either section 2.3.1.4 or 2.3.2.4 of appendix D to this part;

(C) For units using the 720 hour test under 2.3.6 of Appendix D of this part to determine the required sulfur sampling requirements, report the procedures and results of the test; and

(D) For units using the 720 hour test under 2.3.5 of Appendix D of this part to determine the appropriate fuel GCV sampling frequency, report the procedures used and the results of the test.

(2) For each gas-fired peaking unit and oil-fired peaking unit for which the owner or operator uses the optional procedures in appendix E to this part for estimating NO_X emission rate, the designated representative shall include in the monitoring plan:

(i) *Electronic*. Unit operating and capacity factor information demonstrating that the unit qualifies as a peaking unit, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter for the current calendar year or ozone season, including: capacity factor data for three calendar years (or ozone seasons) as specified in the definition of peaking unit in § 72.2 of this chapter; the method of qualification used; and an indication of whether the data are actual or projected data. On and after April 27, 2011, provide the activation date and deactivation date (if applicable) for the peaking unit qualification information in this paragraph (h)(2)(i).

(ii) *Hardcopy*. (A) A protocol containing methods used to perform the baseline or periodic NO_X emission test; and

(B) Unit operating parameters related to NO_X formation by the unit.

(3) For each gas-fired unit and diesel-fired unit or unit with a wet flue gas pollution control system for which the designated representative claims an opacity monitoring exemption under § 75.14, the designated representative shall include in the hardcopy monitoring plan the information specified under § 75.14(b), (c), or (d), demonstrating that the unit qualifies for the exemption.

(4) For each unit using the low mass emissions excepted methodology under § 75.19 the designated representative shall include the following additional information in the monitoring plan that accompanies the initial certification application:

(i) *Electronic*. For each low mass emissions unit, report the results of the analysis performed to qualify as a low mass emissions unit under § 75.19(c). This report will include either the previous three years actual or projected emissions. The following items should be included:

(A) Current calendar year of application;

(B) Type of qualification;

(C) Years one, two, and three;

(D) Annual and/or ozone season measured, estimated or projected NO_X mass emissions for years one, two, and three;

(E) Annual measured, estimated or projected SO_2 mass emissions (if applicable) for years one, two, and three; and

(F) Annual or ozone season operating hours for years one, two, and three.

(ii) *Hardcopy*. (A) A schematic diagram identifying the relationship between the unit, all fuel supply lines and tanks, any fuel flowmeter(s), and the stack(s). Comprehensive and/or separate schematic diagrams shall be used to describe groups of units using a common pipe;

(B) For units which use the long term fuel flow methodology under § 75.19(c)(3), the designated representative must provide a diagram of the fuel flow to each affected unit or group of units and describe in detail the procedures used to determine the long term fuel flow for a unit or group of units for each fuel combusted by the unit or group of units;

(C) A statement that the unit burns only gaseous fuel(s) and/or fuel oil and

a list of the fuels that are burned or a statement that the unit is projected to burn only gaseous fuel(s) and/or fuel oil and a list of the fuels that are projected to be burned;

(D) A statement that the unit meets the applicability requirements in §75.19(a) and (b); and

(E) Any unit historical actual, estimated and projected emissions data and calculated emissions data demonstrating that the affected unit qualifies as a low mass emissions unit under §75.19(a) and 75.19(b).

(5) For qualification as a gas-fired unit, as defined in §72.2 of this part, the designated representative shall include in the monitoring plan, in electronic format, the following: current calendar year, fuel usage data for three calendar years (or ozone seasons) as specified in the definition of gas-fired in §72.2 of this chapter, the method of qualification used, and an indication of whether the data are actual or projected data. On and after April 27, 2011, provide the activation date and deactivation date (if applicable) for the gas-fired unit qualification information in this paragraph (h)(5).

(6) For each monitoring location with a stack flow monitor that is exempt from performing 3-load flow RATAs (peaking units, bypass stacks, or by petition) the designated representative shall include in the monitoring plan an indicator of exemption from 3-load flow RATA using the appropriate exemption code.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26532, 26568, May 17, 1995; 61 FR 59161, Nov. 20, 1996; 64 FR 28605, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40440, June 12, 2002; 70 FR 28682, May 18, 2005; 73 FR 4350, Jan. 24, 2008; 76 FR 17312, Mar. 28, 2011]

§§ 75.54–75.56 [Reserved]

§ 75.57 General recordkeeping provisions.

The owner or operator shall meet all of the applicable recordkeeping requirements of this section.

(a) *Recordkeeping requirements for affected sources.* The owner or operator of any affected source subject to the requirements of this part shall maintain for each affected unit a file of all measurements, data, reports, and other information required by this part at the source in a form suitable for inspection for at least three (3) years from the date of each record. Unless otherwise provided, throughout this subpart the phrase ''for each affected unit'' also applies to each group of affected or non-affected units utilizing a common stack and common monitoring systems, pursuant to §§ 75.16 through 75.18, or utilizing a common pipe header and common fuel flowmeter, pursuant to section 2.1.2 of appendix D to this part. The file shall contain the following information:

(1) The data and information required in paragraphs (b) through (h) of this section, beginning with the earlier of the date of provisional certification or the deadline in §75.4(a), (b), or (c);

(2) The supporting data and information used to calculate values required in paragraphs (b) through (g) of this section, excluding the subhourly data points used to compute hourly averages under §75.10(d), beginning with the earlier of the date of provisional certification or the deadline in §75.4(a), (b), or (c);

(3) The data and information required in §75.58 for specific situations, beginning with the earlier of the date of provisional certification or the deadline in §75.4(a), (b), or (c);

(4) The certification test data and information required in §75.59 for tests required under §75.20, beginning with the date of the first certification test performed, the quality assurance and quality control data and information required in §75.59 for tests, and the quality assurance/quality control plan required under §75.21 and appendix B to this part, beginning with the date of provisional certification;

(5) The current monitoring plan as specified in §75.53, beginning with the initial submission required by §75.62;

(6) The quality control plan as described in section 1 of appendix B to this part, beginning with the date of provisional certification; and

(7) The information required by sections 6.1.2(b) and (c) of appendix A to this part.

(b) *Operating parameter record provisions.* The owner or operator shall record for each hour the following information on unit operating time, heat input rate, and load, separately for

each affected unit and also for each group of units utilizing a common stack and a common monitoring system or utilizing a common pipe header and common fuel flowmeter:

(1) Date and hour;

(2) Unit operating time (rounded up to the nearest fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator));

(3) Hourly gross unit load (rounded to nearest MWge) (or steam load in 1000 lb/hr at stated temperature and pressure, rounded to the nearest 1000 lb/hr, or mmBtu/hr of thermal output, rounded to the nearest mmBtu/hr, if elected in the monitoring plan);

(4) Operating load range corresponding to hourly gross load of 1 to 10, except for units using a common stack or common pipe header, which may use up to 20 load ranges for stack or fuel flow, as specified in the monitoring plan;

(5) Hourly heat input rate (mmBtu/hr, rounded to the nearest tenth);

(6) Identification code for formula used for heat input, as provided in § 75.53; and

(7) For CEMS units only, F-factor for heat input calculation and indication of whether the diluent cap was used for heat input calculations for the hour.

(c) *SO_2 emission record provisions.* The owner or operator shall record for each hour the information required by this paragraph for each affected unit or group of units using a common stack and common monitoring systems, except as provided under § 75.11(e) or for a gas-fired or oil-fired unit for which the owner or operator is using the optional protocol in appendix D to this part or for a low mass emissions unit for which the owner or operator is using the optional low mass emissions methodology in § 75.19(c) for estimating SO_2 mass emissions:

(1) For SO_2 concentration during unit operation, as measured and reported from each certified primary monitor, certified back-up monitor, or other approved method of emissions determination:

(i) Component-system identification code, as provided in § 75.53;

(ii) Date and hour;

(iii) Hourly average SO_2 concentration (ppm, rounded to the nearest tenth);

(iv) Hourly average SO_2 concentration (ppm, rounded to the nearest tenth), adjusted for bias if bias adjustment factor is required, as provided in § 75.24(d);

(v) Percent monitor data availability (recorded to the nearest tenth of a percent), calculated pursuant to § 75.32; and

(vi) Method of determination for hourly average SO_2 concentration using Codes 1–55 in Table 4a of this section.

(2) For flow rate during unit operation, as measured and reported from each certified primary monitor, certified back-up monitor, or other approved method of emissions determination:

(i) Component-system identification code, as provided in § 75.53;

(ii) Date and hour;

(iii) Hourly average volumetric flow rate (in scfh, rounded to the nearest thousand);

(iv) Hourly average volumetric flow rate (in scfh, rounded to the nearest thousand), adjusted for bias if bias adjustment factor required, as provided in § 75.24(d);

(v) Percent monitor data availability (recorded to the nearest tenth of a percent) for the flow monitor, calculated pursuant to § 75.32; and

(vi) Method of determination for hourly average flow rate using Codes 1–55 in Table 4a of this section.

(3) For flue gas moisture content during unit operation (where SO_2 concentration is measured on a dry basis), as measured and reported from each certified primary monitor, certified back-up monitor, or other approved method of emissions determination:

(i) Component-system identification code, as provided in § 75.53;

(ii) Date and hour;

(iii) Hourly average moisture content of flue gas (percent, rounded to the nearest tenth). If the continuous moisture monitoring system consists of wet- and dry-basis oxygen analyzers, also record both the wet- and dry-basis oxygen hourly averages (in percent O_2, rounded to the nearest tenth);

(iv) Percent monitor data availability (recorded to the nearest tenth of a percent) for the moisture monitoring system, calculated pursuant to § 75.32; and

(v) Method of determination for hourly average moisture percentage, using Codes 1–55 in Table 4a of this section.

(4) For SO_2 mass emission rate during unit operation, as measured and reported from the certified primary monitoring system(s), certified redundant or non-redundant back-up monitoring system(s), or other approved method(s) of emissions determination:

(i) Date and hour;

(ii) Hourly SO_2 mass emission rate (lb/hr, rounded to the nearest tenth);

(iii) Hourly SO_2 mass emission rate (lb/hr, rounded to the nearest tenth), adjusted for bias if bias adjustment factor required, as provided in § 75.24(d); and

(iv) Identification code for emissions formula used to derive hourly SO_2 mass emission rate from SO_2 concentration and flow and (if applicable) moisture data in paragraphs (c)(1), (c)(2), and (c)(3) of this section, as provided in § 75.53.

TABLE 4A—CODES FOR METHOD OF EMISSIONS AND FLOW DETERMINATION

Code	Hourly emissions/flow measurement or estimation method
1	Certified primary emission/flow monitoring system.
2	Certified backup emission/flow monitoring system.
3	Approved alternative monitoring system.
4	Reference method: SO_2: Method 6C. Flow: Method 2 or its allowable alternatives under appendix A to part 60 of this chapter. NO_X: Method 7E. CO_2 or O_2: Method 3A.
5	For units with add-on SO_2 and/or NO_X emission controls: SO_2 concentration or NO_X emission rate estimate from Agency preapproved parametric monitoring method.
6	Average of the hourly SO_2 concentrations, CO_2 concentrations, O_2 concentrations, NO_X concentrations, flow rates, moisture percentages or NO_X emission rates for the hour before and the hour following a missing data period.
7	Initial missing data procedures used. Either: (a) the average of the hourly SO_2 concentration, CO_2 concentration, O_2 concentration, or moisture percentage for the hour before and the hour following a missing data period; or (b) the arithmetic average of all NO_X concentration, NO_X emission rate, or flow rate values at the corresponding load range (or a higher load range), or at the corresponding operational bin (non-load-based units, only); or (c) the arithmetic average of all previous NO_X concentration, NO_X emission rate, or flow rate values (non-load-based units, only).
8	90th percentile hourly SO_2 concentration, CO_2 concentration, NO_X concentration, flow rate, moisture percentage, or NO_X emission rate or 10th percentile hourly O_2 concentration or moisture percentage in the applicable lookback period (moisture missing data algorithm depends on which equations are used for emissions and heat input).
9	95th percentile hourly SO_2 concentration, CO_2 concentration, NO_X concentration, flow rate, moisture percentage, or NO_X emission rate or 5th percentile hourly O_2 concentration or moisture percentage in the applicable lookback period (moisture missing data algorithm depends on which equations are used for emissions and heat input).
10	Maximum hourly SO_2 concentration, CO_2 concentration, NO_X concentration, flow rate, moisture percentage, or NO_X emission rate or minimum hourly O_2 concentration or moisture percentage in the applicable lookback period (moisture missing data algorithm depends on which equations are used for emissions and heat input).
11	Average of hourly flow rates, NO_X concentrations or NO_X emission rates in corresponding load range, for the applicable lookback period. For non-load-based units, report either the average flow rate, NO_X concentration or NO_X emission rate in the applicable lookback period, or the average flow rate or NO_X value at the corresponding operational bin (if operational bins are used).
12	Maximum potential concentration of SO_2, maximum potential concentration of CO_2, maximum potential concentration of NO_X maximum potential flow rate, maximum potential NO_X emission rate, maximum potential moisture percentage, minimum potential O_2 concentration or minimum potential moisture percentage, as determined using § 72.2 of this chapter and section 2.1 of appendix A to this part (moisture missing data algorithm depends on which equations are used for emissions and heat input).
13	Maximum expected concentration of SO_2, maximum expected concentration of NO_X,, or maximum controlled NO_X emission rate. (*See* § 75.34(a)(5)).
14	Diluent cap value (if the cap is replacing a CO_2 measurement, use 5.0 percent for boilers and 1.0 percent for turbines; if it is replacing an O_2 measurement, use 14.0 percent for boilers and 19.0 percent for turbines).
15	1.25 times the maximum hourly controlled SO_2 concentration, NO_X concentration at the corresponding load or operational bin, or NO_X emission rate at the corresponding load or operational bin, in the applicable lookback period (*See* § 75.34(a)(5)).
16	SO_2 concentration value of 2.0 ppm during hours when only ''very low sulfur fuel'', as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter, is combusted.
17	Like-kind replacement non-redundant backup analyzer.
19	200 percent of the MPC; default high range value.
20	200 percent of the full-scale range setting (full-scale exceedance of high range).

TABLE 4A—CODES FOR METHOD OF EMISSIONS AND FLOW DETERMINATION—Continued

Code	Hourly emissions/flow measurement or estimation method
21	Negative hourly CO_2 concentration, SO_2 concentration, NO_X concentration, percent moisture, or NO_X emission rate replaced with zero.
22	Hourly average SO_2 or NO_X concentration, measured by a certified monitor at the control device inlet (units with add-on emission controls only).
23	Maximum potential SO_2 concentration, NO_X concentration, CO_2 concentration, or NO_X emission rate, or minimum potential O_2 concentration or moisture percentage, for an hour in which flue gases are discharged through an unmonitored bypass stack.
24	Maximum expected NO_X concentration, or maximum controlled NO_X emission rate for an hour in which flue gases are discharged downstream of the NO_X emission controls through an unmonitored bypass stack, and the add-on NO_X emission controls are confirmed to be operating properly.
25	Maximum potential NO_X emission rate (MER). (Use only when a NO_X concentration full-scale exceedance occurs and the diluent monitor is unavailable.)
26	1.0 mmBtu/hr substituted for Heat Input Rate for an operating hour in which the calculated Heat Input Rate is zero or negative.
40	Fuel specific default value (or prorated default value) used for the hour.
53	Other quality-assured data approved through petition. These are treated as available hours for percent monitor availability calculations and are included in missing data lookback.
54	Other quality assured methodologies approved through petition. These hours are included in missing data lookback and are treated as unavailable hours for percent monitor availability calculations.
55	Other substitute data approved through petition. These hours are not included in missing data lookback and are treated as unavailable hours for percent monitor availability calculations.

(d) *NO_X emission record provisions*. The owner or operator shall record the applicable information required by this paragraph for each affected unit for each hour or partial hour during which the unit operates, except for a gas-fired peaking unit or oil-fired peaking unit for which the owner or operator is using the optional protocol in appendix E to this part or a low mass emissions unit for which the owner or operator is using the optional low mass emissions excepted methodology in § 75.19(c) for estimating NO_X emission rate. For each NO_X emission rate (in lb/mmBtu) measured by a NO_X-diluent monitoring system, or, if applicable, for each NO_X concentration (in ppm) measured by a NO_X concentration monitoring system used to calculate NO_X mass emissions under § 75.71(a)(2), record the following data as measured and reported from the certified primary monitor, certified back-up monitor, or other approved method of emissions determination:

(1) Component-system identification code, as provided in § 75.53 (including identification code for the moisture monitoring system, if applicable);

(2) Date and hour;

(3) Hourly average NO_X concentration (ppm, rounded to the nearest tenth) and hourly average NO_X concentration (ppm, rounded to the nearest tenth) adjusted for bias if bias adjustment factor required, as provided in § 75.24(d);

(4) Hourly average diluent gas concentration (for NO_X-diluent monitoring systems, only, in units of percent O_2 or percent CO_2, rounded to the nearest tenth);

(5) If applicable, the hourly average moisture content of the stack gas (percent H_2O, rounded to the nearest tenth). If the continuous moisture monitoring system consists of wet- and dry-basis oxygen analyzers, also record both the hourly wet- and dry-basis oxygen readings (in percent O_2, rounded to the nearest tenth);

(6) Hourly average NO_X emission rate (for NO_X-diluent monitoring systems only, in units of lb/mmBtu, rounded to the nearest thousandth);

(7) Hourly average NO_X emission rate (for NO_X-diluent monitoring systems only, in units of lb/mmBtu, rounded to the nearest thousandth), adjusted for bias if bias adjustment factor is required, as provided in § 75.24(d). The requirement to report hourly NO_X emission rates to the nearest thousandth shall not affect NO_X compliance determinations under part 76 of this chapter; compliance with each applicable emission limit under part 76 shall be determined to the nearest hundredth pound per million Btu;

(8) Percent monitoring system data availability (recorded to the nearest tenth of a percent), for the NO_X-diluent

or NO_X concentration monitoring system, and, if applicable, for the moisture monitoring system, calculated pursuant to § 75.32;

(9) Method of determination for hourly average NO_X emission rate or NO_X concentration and (if applicable) for the hourly average moisture percentage, using Codes 1–55 in Table 4a of this section; and

(10) Identification codes for emissions formulas used to derive hourly average NO_X emission rate and total NO_X mass emissions, as provided in § 75.53, and (if applicable) the F-factor used to convert NO_X concentrations into emission rates.

(e) *CO_2 emission record provisions.* Except for a low mass emissions unit for which the owner or operator is using the optional low mass emissions excepted methodology in § 75.19(c) for estimating CO_2 mass emissions, the owner or operator shall record or calculate CO_2 emissions for each affected unit using one of the following methods specified in this section:

(1) If the owner or operator chooses to use a CO_2 CEMS (including an O_2 monitor and flow monitor, as specified in appendix F to this part), then the owner or operator shall record for each hour or partial hour during which the unit operates the following information for CO_2 mass emissions, as measured and reported from the certified primary monitor, certified back-up monitor, or other approved method of emissions determination:

(i) Component-system identification code, as provided in § 75.53 (including identification code for the moisture monitoring system, if applicable);

(ii) Date and hour;

(iii) Hourly average CO_2 concentration (in percent, rounded to the nearest tenth);

(iv) Hourly average volumetric flow rate (scfh, rounded to the nearest thousand scfh);

(v) Hourly average moisture content of flue gas (percent, rounded to the nearest tenth), where CO_2 concentration is measured on a dry basis. If the continuous moisture monitoring system consists of wet- and dry-basis oxygen analyzers, also record both the hourly wet- and dry-basis oxygen readings (in percent O_2, rounded to the nearest tenth);

(vi) Hourly average CO_2 mass emission rate (tons/hr, rounded to the nearest tenth);

(vii) Percent monitor data availability for both the CO_2 monitoring system and, if applicable, the moisture monitoring system (recorded to the nearest tenth of a percent), calculated pursuant to § 75.32;

(viii) Method of determination for hourly average CO_2 mass emission rate and hourly average CO_2 concentration, and, if applicable, for the hourly average moisture percentage, using Codes 1–55 in Table 4a of this section;

(ix) Identification code for emissions formula used to derive hourly average CO_2 mass emission rate, as provided in § 75.53; and

(x) Indication of whether the diluent cap was used for CO_2 calculation for the hour.

(2) As an alternative to paragraph (e)(1) of this section, the owner or operator may use the procedures in § 75.13 and in appendix G to this part, and shall record daily the following information for CO_2 mass emissions:

(i) Date;

(ii) Daily combustion-formed CO_2 mass emissions (tons/day, rounded to the nearest tenth);

(iii) For coal-fired units, flag indicating whether optional procedure to adjust combustion-formed CO_2 mass emissions for carbon retained in flyash has been used and, if so, the adjustment;

(iv) For a unit with a wet flue gas desulfurization system or other controls generating CO_2, daily sorbent-related CO_2 mass emissions (tons/day, rounded to the nearest tenth); and

(v) For a unit with a wet flue gas desulfurization system or other controls generating CO_2, total daily CO_2 mass emissions (tons/day, rounded to the nearest tenth) as the sum of combustion-formed emissions and sorbent-related emissions.

(f) *Opacity records.* The owner or operator shall record opacity data as specified by the State or local air pollution control agency. If the State or local air pollution control agency does not specify recordkeeping requirements for opacity, then record the information

required by paragraphs (f) (1) through (5) of this section for each affected unit, except as provided in §§75.14(b), (c), and (d). The owner or operator shall also keep records of all incidents of opacity monitor downtime during unit operation, including reason(s) for the monitor outage(s) and any corrective action(s) taken for opacity, as measured and reported by the continuous opacity monitoring system:

(1) Component/system identification code;

(2) Date, hour, and minute;

(3) Average opacity of emissions for each six minute averaging period (in percent opacity);

(4) If the average opacity of emissions exceeds the applicable standard, then a code indicating such an exceedance has occurred; and

(5) Percent monitor data availability (recorded to the nearest tenth of a percent), calculated according to the requirements of the procedure recommended for State Implementation Plans in appendix M to part 51 of this chapter.

(g) *Diluent record provisions.* The owner or operator of a unit using a flow monitor and an O_2 diluent monitor to determine heat input, in accordance with Equation F–17 or F–18 of appendix F to this part, or a unit that accounts for heat input using a flow monitor and a CO_2 diluent monitor (which is used only for heat input determination and is not used as a CO_2 pollutant concentration monitor) shall keep the following records for the O_2 or CO_2 diluent monitor:

(1) Component-system identification code, as provided in §75.53;

(2) Date and hour;

(3) Hourly average diluent gas (O_2 or CO_2) concentration (in percent, rounded to the nearest tenth);

(4) Percent monitor data availability for the diluent monitor (recorded to the nearest tenth of a percent), calculated pursuant to §75.32; and

(5) Method of determination code for diluent gas (O_2 or CO_2) concentration data using Codes 1–55, in Table 4a of this section.

(h) *Missing data records.* The owner or operator shall record the causes of any missing data periods and the actions taken by the owner or operator to correct such causes.

[64 FR 28609, May 26, 1999; 64 FR 37582, July 12, 1999; 67 FR 40440, June 12, 2002; 70 FR 28682, May 18, 2005; 72 FR 51528, Sept. 7, 2007; 73 FR 4353, Jan. 24, 2008; 76 FR 17313, Mar. 28, 2011]

§ 75.58 General recordkeeping provisions for specific situations.

The owner or operator shall meet all of the applicable recordkeeping requirements of this section.

(a) [Reserved]

(b) *Specific parametric data record provisions for calculating substitute emissions data for units with add-on emission controls.* In accordance with §75.34, the owner or operator of an affected unit with add-on emission controls shall either record the applicable information in paragraph (b)(3) of this section for each hour of missing SO_2 concentration data or NO_X emission rate (in addition to other information), or shall record the information in paragraph (b)(1) of this section for SO_2 or paragraph (b)(2) of this section for NO_X through an automated data acquisition and handling system, as appropriate to the type of add-on emission controls:

(1) For units with add-on SO_2 emission controls using the optional parametric monitoring procedures in appendix C to this part, for each hour of missing SO_2 concentration or volumetric flow data:

(i) The information required in §75.57(c) for SO_2 concentration and volumetric flow, if either one of these monitors is still operating;

(ii) Date and hour;

(iii) Number of operating scrubber modules;

(iv) Total feedrate of slurry to each operating scrubber module (gal/min);

(v) Pressure differential across each operating scrubber module (inches of water column);

(vi) For a unit with a wet flue gas desulfurization system, an in-line measure of absorber pH for each operating scrubber module;

(vii) For a unit with a dry flue gas desulfurization system, the inlet and outlet temperatures across each operating scrubber module;

(viii) For a unit with a wet flue gas desulfurization system, the percent solids in slurry for each scrubber module;

(ix) For a unit with a dry flue gas desulfurization system, the slurry feed rate (gal/min) to the atomizer nozzle;

(x) For a unit with SO_2 add-on emission controls other than wet or dry limestone, corresponding parameters approved by the Administrator;

(xi) Method of determination of SO_2 concentration and volumetric flow using Codes 1–55 in Table 4a of § 75.57; and

(xii) Inlet and outlet SO_2 concentration values, recorded by an SO_2 continuous emission monitoring system, and the removal efficiency of the add-on emission controls.

(2) For units with add-on NO_X emission controls using the optional parametric monitoring procedures in appendix C to this part, for each hour of missing NO_X emission rate data:

(i) Date and hour;

(ii) Inlet air flow rate (scfh, rounded to the nearest thousand);

(iii) Excess O_2 concentration of flue gas at stack outlet (percent, rounded to the nearest tenth of a percent);

(iv) Carbon monoxide concentration of flue gas at stack outlet (ppm, rounded to the nearest tenth);

(v) Temperature of flue gas at furnace exit or economizer outlet duct (°F);

(vi) Other parameters specific to NO_X emission controls (e.g., average hourly reagent feedrate);

(vii) Method of determination of NO_X emission rate using Codes 1–55 in Table 4a of § 75.57; and

(viii) Inlet and outlet NO_X emission rate values recorded by a NO_X continuous emission monitoring system and the removal efficiency of the add-on emission controls.

(3) Except as otherwise provided in § 75.34(d), for units with add-on SO_2 or NO_X emission controls following the provisions of §§ 75.34(a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(3) or (a)(5), the owner or operator shall record:

(i) Parametric data which demonstrate, for each hour of missing SO_2 or NO_X emission data, the proper operation of the add-on emission controls, as described in the quality assurance/quality control program for the unit. The parametric data shall be maintained on site and shall be submitted, upon request, to the Administrator, EPA Regional office, State, or local agency;

(ii) A flag indicating, for each hour of missing SO_2 or NO_X emission data, either that the add-on emission controls are operating properly, as evidenced by all parameters being within the ranges specified in the quality assurance/quality control program, or that the add-on emission controls are not operating properly.

(c) *Specific SO_2 emission record provisions for gas-fired or oil-fired units using optional protocol in appendix D to this part.* In lieu of recording the information in § 75.57(c), the owner or operator shall record the applicable information in this paragraph for each affected gas-fired or oil-fired unit for which the owner or operator is using the optional protocol in appendix D to this part for estimating SO_2 mass emissions:

(1) For each hour when the unit is combusting oil:

(i) Date and hour;

(ii) Hourly average volumetric flow rate of oil, while the unit combusts oil, with the units in which oil flow is recorded (gal/hr, scf/hr, m^3/hr, or bbl/hr, rounded to the nearest tenth) (flag value if derived from missing data procedures);

(iii) Sulfur content of oil sample used to determine SO_2 mass emission rate (rounded to nearest hundredth for diesel fuel or to the nearest tenth of a percent for other fuel oil) (flag value if derived from missing data procedures);

(iv) [Reserved];

(v) Mass flow rate of oil combusted each hour and method of determination (lb/hr, rounded to the nearest tenth) (flag value if derived from missing data procedures);

(vi) SO_2 mass emission rate from oil (lb/hr, rounded to the nearest tenth);

(vii) For units using volumetric oil flowmeters, density of oil with the units in which oil density is recorded and method of determination (flag value if derived from missing data procedures);

(viii) Gross calorific value of oil used to determine heat input and method of determination (Btu/lb) (flag value if derived from missing data procedures);

(ix) Hourly heat input rate from oil, according to procedures in appendix D to this part (mmBtu/hr, to the nearest tenth);

(x) Fuel usage time for combustion of oil during the hour (rounded up to the nearest fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator)) (flag to indicate multiple/single fuel types combusted);

(xi) Monitoring system identification code;

(xii) Operating load range corresponding to gross unit load (01–20);

(xiii) Type of oil combusted; and

(xiv) Heat input formula ID and SO_2 Formula ID (required beginning January 1, 2009).

(2) For gas-fired units or oil-fired units using the optional protocol in appendix D to this part for daily manual oil sampling, when the unit is combusting oil, the highest sulfur content recorded from the most recent 30 daily oil samples (rounded to the nearest tenth of a percent).

(3) For gas-fired units or oil-fired units using the optional protocol in appendix D to this part, when either an assumed oil sulfur content or density value is used, or when as-delivered oil sampling is performed:

(i) Record the measured sulfur content, gross calorific value, and, if applicable, density from each fuel sample; and

(ii) Record and report the assumed sulfur content, gross calorific value, and, if applicable, density used to calculate SO_2 mass emission rate or heat input rate.

(4) For each hour when the unit is combusting gaseous fuel:

(i) Date and hour.

(ii) Hourly heat input rate from gaseous fuel, according to procedures in appendix F to this part (mmBtu/hr, rounded to the nearest tenth).

(iii) Sulfur content or SO_2 emission rate, in one of the following formats, in accordance with the appropriate procedure from appendix D to this part:

(A) Sulfur content of gas sample and method of determination (rounded to the nearest 0.1 grains/100 scf) (flag value if derived from missing data procedures); or

(B) Default SO_2 emission rate of 0.0006 lb/mmBtu for pipeline natural gas, or calculated SO_2 emission rate for natural gas from section 2.3.2.1.1 of appendix D to this part.

(iv) Hourly flow rate of gaseous fuel, while the unit combusts gas (100 scfh) and source of data code for gas flow rate.

(v) Gross calorific value of gaseous fuel used to determine heat input rate (Btu/100 scf) (flag value if derived from missing data procedures).

(vi) SO_2 mass emission rate due to the combustion of gaseous fuels (lb/hr).

(vii) Fuel usage time for combustion of gaseous fuel during the hour (rounded up to the nearest fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator)) (flag to indicate multiple/single fuel types combusted).

(viii) Monitoring system identification code.

(ix) Operating load range corresponding to gross unit load (01–20).

(x) Type of gas combusted; and

(xi) Heat input formula ID and SO_2 Formula ID (required beginning January 1, 2009).

(5) For each oil sample or sample of diesel fuel:

(i) Date of sampling;

(ii) Sulfur content (percent, rounded to either the nearest hundredth, or nearest ten-thousandth for diesel fuels and to the nearest tenth for other fuel oil);

(iii) Gross calorific value (Btu/lb); and

(iv) Density or specific gravity, if required to convert volume to mass.

(6) For each sample of gaseous fuel for sulfur content:

(i) Date of sampling; and

(ii) Sulfur content (grains/100 scf, rounded to the nearest tenth).

(7) For each sample of gaseous fuel for gross calorific value:

(i) Date of sampling; and

(ii) Gross calorific value (Btu/100 scf).

(8) For each oil sample or sample of gaseous fuel:

(i) Type of oil or gas; and

(ii) Type of sulfur sampling (using codes in tables D–4 and D–5 of appendix D to this part) and value used in calculations, and type of GCV or density

sampling (using codes in tables D–4 and D–5 of appendix D to this part).

(d) *Specific NO_X emission record provisions for gas-fired peaking units or oil-fired peaking units using optional protocol in appendix E to this part.* In lieu of recording the information in §75.57(d), the owner or operator shall record the applicable information in this paragraph for each affected gas-fired peaking unit or oil-fired peaking unit for which the owner or operator is using the optional protocol in appendix E to this part for estimating NO_X emission rate. The owner or operator shall meet the requirements of this section, except that the requirements under paragraphs (d)(1)(vii) and (d)(2)(vii) of this section shall become applicable on the date on which the owner or operator is required to monitor, record, and report NO_X mass emissions under an applicable State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program, if the provisions of subpart H of this part are adopted as requirements under such a program.

(1) For each hour when the unit is combusting oil:

(i) Date and hour;

(ii) Hourly average mass flow rate of oil while the unit combusts oil with the units in which oil flow is recorded (lb/hr);

(iii) Gross calorific value of oil used to determine heat input (Btu/lb);

(iv) Hourly average NO_X emission rate from combustion of oil (lb/mmBtu, rounded to the nearest hundredth);

(v) Heat input rate of oil (mmBtu/hr, rounded to the nearest tenth);

(vi) Fuel usage time for combustion of oil during the hour (rounded up to the nearest fraction of an hour, in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator);

(vii) NO_X mass emissions, calculated in accordance with section 8.1 of appendix F to this part;

(viii) NO_X monitoring system identification code;

(ix) Fuel flow monitoring system identification code;

(x) Segment identification of the correlation curve; and

(xi) Heat input rate formula ID (required beginning January 1, 2009).

(2) For each hour when the unit is combusting gaseous fuel:

(i) Date and hour;

(ii) Hourly average fuel flow rate of gaseous fuel, while the unit combusts gas (100 scfh);

(iii) Gross calorific value of gaseous fuel used to determine heat input (Btu/100 scf) (flag value if derived from missing data procedures);

(iv) Hourly average NO_X emission rate from combustion of gaseous fuel (lb/mmBtu, rounded to nearest hundredth);

(v) Heat input rate from gaseous fuel, while the unit combusts gas (mmBtu/hr, rounded to the nearest tenth);

(vi) Fuel usage time for combustion of gaseous fuel during the hour (rounded up to the nearest fraction of an hour, in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator);

(vii) NO_X mass emissions, calculated in accordance with section 8.1 of appendix F to this part;

(viii) NO_X monitoring system identification code;

(ix) Fuel flow monitoring system identification code;

(x) Segment identification of the correlation curve; and

(xi) Heat input rate formula ID (required beginning January 1, 2009).

(3) For each hour when the unit combusts multiple fuels:

(i) Date and hour;

(ii) Hourly average heat input rate from all fuels (mmBtu/hr, rounded to the nearest tenth); and

(iii) Hourly average NO_X emission rate for the unit for all fuels (lb/mmBtu, rounded to the nearest hundredth).

(4) For each hour when the unit combusts any fuel(s):

(i) For stationary gas turbines and diesel or dual-fuel reciprocating engines, hourly averages of operating parameters under section 2.3 of appendix E to this part (flag if value is outside of manufacturer's recommended range); and

(ii) For boilers, hourly average boiler O_2 reading (percent, rounded to the nearest tenth) (flag if value exceeds by more than 2 percentage points the O_2 level recorded at the same heat input

during the previous NO_X emission rate test); and

(iii) On and after April 27, 2011, operating condition codes for the following:

(A) Unit operated on emergency fuel;

(B) Correlation curve for the fuel mixture has expired;

(C) Operating parameter is outside of normal limits;

(D) Uncontrolled hour;

(E) Operation above highest tested heat input rate point on the curve;

(F) Operating parameter data missing or invalid;

(G) Designated operational and control equipment parameters within normal limits; and

(H) Operation below lowest tested heat input rate point on the curve.

(5) For each fuel sample:

(i) Date of sampling;

(ii) Gross calorific value (Btu/lb for oil, Btu/100 scf for gaseous fuel); and

(iii) Density or specific gravity, if required to convert volume to mass.

(6) Flag to indicate multiple or single fuels combusted.

(e) *Specific SO_2 emission record provisions during the combustion of gaseous fuel.* (1) If SO_2 emissions are determined in accordance with the provisions in §75.11(e)(2) during hours in which only gaseous fuel is combusted in a unit with an SO_2 CEMS, the owner or operator shall record the information in paragraph (c)(3) of this section in lieu of the information in §§75.57(c)(1), (c)(3), and (c)(4), for those hours.

(2) The provisions of this paragraph apply to a unit which, in accordance with the provisions of §75.11(e)(3), uses an SO_2 CEMS to determine SO_2 emissions during hours in which only gaseous fuel is combusted in the unit. If the unit sometimes burns only gaseous fuel that is very low sulfur fuel (as defined in §72.2 of this chapter) as a primary and/or backup fuel and at other times combusts higher sulfur fuels, such as coal or oil, as primary and/or backup fuel(s), then the owner or operator shall keep records on-site, in a form suitable for inspection, of the type(s) of fuel(s) burned during each period of missing SO_2 data and the number of hours that each type of fuel was combusted in the unit during each missing data period. This recordkeeping requirement does not apply to an affected unit that burns very low sulfur fuel exclusively, nor does it apply to a unit that burns such gaseous fuel(s) only during unit startup.

(f) *Specific SO_2, NO_X, and CO_2 record provisions for gas-fired or oil-fired units using the optional low mass emissions excepted methodology in §75.19.* In lieu of recording the information in §§75.57(b) through (e), the owner or operator shall record the following information for each affected low mass emissions unit for which the owner or operator is using the optional low mass emissions excepted methodology in §75.19(c):

(1) All low mass emission units shall report for each hour:

(i) Date and hour;

(ii) Unit operating time (units using the long term fuel flow methodology report operating time to be 1);

(iii) Fuel type (pipeline natural gas, natural gas, other gaseous fuel, residual oil, or diesel fuel). If more than one type of fuel is combusted in the hour, either:

(A) Indicate the fuel type which results in the highest emission factors for NO_X (this option is in effect through December 31, 2008); or

(B) Indicate the fuel type resulting in the highest emission factor for each parameter (SO_2, NO_X emission rate, and CO_2) separately (this option is required on and after January 1, 2009);

(iv) Average hourly NO_X emission rate (lb/mmBtu, rounded to the nearest thousandth);

(v) Hourly NO_X mass emissions (lbs, rounded to the nearest tenth);

(vi) Hourly SO_2 mass emissions (lbs, rounded to the nearest tenth);

(vii) Hourly CO_2 mass emissions (tons, rounded to the nearest tenth);

(viii) Hourly calculated unit heat input in mmBtu;

(ix) Hourly unit output in gross load or steam load;

(x) The method of determining hourly heat input: unit maximum rated heat input, unit long term fuel flow or group long term fuel flow;

(xi) The method of determining NO_X emission rate used for the hour: default based on fuel combusted, unit specific default based on testing or historical data, group default based on representative testing of identical units, unit

specific based on testing of a unit with NO_X controls operating, or missing data value;

(xii) Control status of the unit; and

(xiii) Base or peak load indicator (as applicable); and

(xiv) Multiple fuel flag.

(2) Low mass emission units using the optional long term fuel flow methodology to determine unit heat input shall report for each quarter:

(i) Type of fuel;

(ii) Beginning date and hour of long term fuel flow measurement period;

(iii) End date and hour of long term fuel flow period;

(iv) Quantity of fuel measured;

(v) Units of measure;

(vi) Fuel GCV value used to calculate heat input;

(vii) Units of GCV;

(viii) Method of determining fuel GCV used;

(ix) Method of determining fuel flow over period;

(x) Monitoring-system identification code;

(xi) Quarter and year;

(xii) Total heat input (mmBtu); and

(xiii) Operating hours in period.

[64 FR 28612, May 26, 1999, as amended at 67 FR 40441, 40442, June 12, 2002; 70 FR 28683, May 18, 2005; 73 FR 4354, Jan. 24, 2008; 76 FR 17314, Mar. 28, 2011]

§ 75.59 Certification, quality assurance, and quality control record provisions.

The owner or operator shall meet all of the applicable recordkeeping requirements of this section.

(a) *Continuous emission or opacity monitoring systems.* The owner or operator shall record the applicable information in this section for each certified monitor or certified monitoring system (including certified backup monitors) measuring and recording emissions or flow from an affected unit.

(1) For each SO_2 or NO_X pollutant concentration monitor, flow monitor, CO_2 emissions concentration monitor (including O_2 monitors used to determine CO_2 emissions), or diluent gas monitor (including wet- and dry-basis O_2 monitors used to determine percent moisture), the owner or operator shall record the following for all daily and 7-day calibration error tests, and all off-line calibration demonstrations, including any follow-up tests after corrective action:

(i) Component-system identification code (on and after January 1, 2009, only the component identification code is required);

(ii) Instrument span and span scale;

(iii) On and after April 27, 2011, date, hour, and minute;

(iv) Reference value (*i.e.*, calibration gas concentration or reference signal value, in ppm or other appropriate units);

(v) Observed value (monitor response during calibration, in ppm or other appropriate units);

(vi) Percent calibration error (rounded to the nearest tenth of a percent) (flag if using alternative performance specification for low emitters or differential pressure flow monitors);

(vii) Reference signal or calibration gas level;

(viii) For 7-day calibration error tests, a test number and reason for test;

(ix) For 7-day calibration tests for certification or recertification, a certification from the cylinder gas vendor or CEMS vendor that calibration gas, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter and appendix A to this part, was used to conduct calibration error testing;

(x) Description of any adjustments, corrective actions, or maintenance prior to a passed test or following a failed test; and

(xi) Indication of whether the unit is off-line or on-line.

(2) For each flow monitor, the owner or operator shall record the following for all daily interference checks, including any follow-up tests after corrective action.

(i) Component-system identification code (after January 1, 2009, only the component identification code is required);

(ii) Date and hour;

(iii) Code indicating whether monitor passes or fails the interference check; and

(iv) Description of any adjustments, corrective actions, or maintenance prior to a passed test or following a failed test.

(3) For each SO_2 or NO_X pollutant concentration monitor, CO_2 emissions

concentration monitor (including O_2 monitors used to determine CO_2 emissions), or diluent gas monitor (including wet- and dry-basis O_2 monitors used to determine percent moisture), the owner or operator shall record the following for the initial and all subsequent linearity check(s), including any follow-up tests after corrective action.

(i) Component-system identification code (on and after January 1, 2009, only the component identification code is required);

(ii) Instrument span and span scale (only span scale is required on and after January 1, 2009);

(iii) Calibration gas level;

(iv) Date and time (hour and minute) of each gas injection at each calibration gas level;

(v) Reference value (*i.e.*, reference gas concentration for each gas injection at each calibration gas level, in ppm or other appropriate units);

(vi) Observed value (monitor response to each reference gas injection at each calibration gas level, in ppm or other appropriate units);

(vii) Mean of reference values and mean of measured values at each calibration gas level;

(viii) Linearity error at each of the reference gas concentrations (rounded to nearest tenth of a percent) (flag if using alternative performance specification);

(ix) Test number and reason for test (flag if aborted test); and

(x) Description of any adjustments, corrective action, or maintenance prior to a passed test or following a failed test.

(4) For each differential pressure type flow monitor, the owner or operator shall record items in paragraphs (a)(4)(i) through (v) of this section, for all quarterly leak checks, including any follow-up tests after corrective action. For each flow monitor, the owner or operator shall record items in paragraphs (a)(4) (vi) and (vii) for all flow-to-load ratio and gross heat rate tests:

(i) Component-system identification code (on and after January 1, 2009, only the system identification code is required).

(ii) Date and hour.

(iii) Reason for test.

(iv) Code indicating whether monitor passes or fails the quarterly leak check.

(v) Description of any adjustments, corrective actions, or maintenance prior to a passed test or following a failed test.

(vi) Test data from the flow-to-load ratio or gross heat rate (GHR) evaluation, including:

(A) Monitoring system identification code;

(B) Calendar year and quarter;

(C) Indication of whether the test is a flow-to-load ratio or gross heat rate evaluation;

(D) Indication of whether bias adjusted flow rates were used;

(E) Average absolute percent difference between reference ratio (or GHR) and hourly ratios (or GHR values);

(F) Test result;

(G) Number of hours used in final quarterly average;

(H) Number of hours exempted for use of a different fuel type;

(I) Number of hours exempted for load ramping up or down;

(J) Number of hours exempted for scrubber bypass;

(K) Number of hours exempted for hours preceding a normal-load flow RATA;

(L) Number of hours exempted for hours preceding a successful diagnostic test, following a documented monitor repair or major component replacement;

(M) Number of hours excluded for flue gases discharging simultaneously thorough a main stack and a bypass stack; and

(N) Test number.

(vii) Reference data for the flow-to-load ratio or gross heat rate evaluation, including (as applicable):

(A) Reference flow RATA end date and time;

(B) Test number of the reference RATA;

(C) Reference RATA load and load level;

(D) Average reference method flow rate during reference flow RATA;

(E) Reference flow/load ratio;

(F) Average reference method diluent gas concentration during flow RATA and diluent gas units of measure;

(G) Fuel specific F_d -or F_c-factor during flow RATA and F-factor units of measure;

(H) Reference gross heat rate value;

(I) Monitoring system identification code;

(J) Average hourly heat input rate during RATA;

(K) Average gross unit load;

(L) Operating load level; and

(M) An indicator (''flag'') if separate reference ratios are calculated for each multiple stack.

(5) For each SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor, flow monitor, each CO_2 emissions concentration monitor (including any O_2 concentration monitor used to determine CO_2 mass emissions or heat input), each NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system, each NO_X concentration monitoring system, each diluent gas (O_2 or CO_2) monitor used to determine heat input, each moisture monitoring system, and each approved alternative monitoring system, the owner or operator shall record the following information for the initial and all subsequent relative accuracy test audits:

(i) Reference method(s) used.

(ii) Individual test run data from the relative accuracy test audit for the SO_2 concentration monitor, flow monitor, CO_2 emissions concentration monitor, NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system, diluent gas (O_2 or CO_2) monitor used to determine heat input, NO_X concentration monitoring system, moisture monitoring system, or approved alternative monitoring system, including:

(A) Date, hour, and minute of beginning of test run;

(B) Date, hour, and minute of end of test run;

(C) Monitoring system identification code;

(D) Test number and reason for test;

(E) Operating level (low, mid, high, or normal, as appropriate) and number of operating levels comprising test;

(F) Normal load (or operating level) indicator for flow RATAs (except for peaking units);

(G) Units of measure;

(H) Run number;

(I) Run value from CEMS being tested, in the appropriate units of measure;

(J) Run value from reference method, in the appropriate units of measure;

(K) Flag value (0, 1, or 9, as appropriate) indicating whether run has been used in calculating relative accuracy and bias values or whether the test was aborted prior to completion;

(L) Average gross unit load, expressed as a total gross unit load, rounded to the nearest MWe, or as steam load, rounded to the nearest thousand lb/hr; on and after April 27, 2011, for units that do not produce electrical or thermal output, record, instead, the average stack gas velocity at the operating level being tested; and

(M) Flag to indicate whether an alternative performance specification has been used.

(iii) Calculations and tabulated results, as follows:

(A) Arithmetic mean of the monitoring system measurement values, of the reference method values, and of their differences, as specified in Equation A–7 in appendix A to this part;

(B) Standard deviation, as specified in Equation A–8 in appendix A to this part;

(C) Confidence coefficient, as specified in Equation A–9 in appendix A to this part;

(D) Statistical ''t'' value used in calculations;

(E) Relative accuracy test results, as specified in Equation A–10 in appendix A to this part. For multi-level flow monitor tests the relative accuracy test results shall be recorded at each load (or operating) level tested. Each load (or operating) level shall be expressed as a total gross unit load, rounded to the nearest MWe, or as steam load, rounded to the nearest thousand lb/hr, or as otherwise specified by the Administrator, for units that do not produce electrical or thermal output;

(F) Bias test results as specified in section 7.6.4 of appendix A to this part;

(G) Bias adjustment factor from Equation A–12 in appendix A to this part for any monitoring system that failed the bias test (except as otherwise provided in section 7.6.5 of appendix A to this part) and 1.000 for any monitoring system that passed the bias test; and

(H) On and after April 27, 2011, RATA frequency code.

(iv) Description of any adjustment, corrective action, or maintenance prior to a passed test or following a failed or aborted test.

(v) F-factor value(s) used to convert NO_X pollutant concentration and diluent gas (O_2 or CO_2) concentration measurements into NO_X emission rates (in lb/mmBtu), heat input or CO_2 emissions.

(vi) For flow monitors, the equation used to linearize the flow monitor and the numerical values of the polynomial coefficients or K factor(s) of that equation.

(vii) For moisture monitoring systems, the coefficient or ''K'' factor or other mathematical algorithm used to adjust the monitoring system with respect to the reference method.

(6) For each SO_2, NO_X, or CO_2 pollutant concentration monitor, each component of a NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system, and each CO_2 or O_2 monitor used to determine heat input, the owner or operator shall record the following information for the cycle time test:

(i) Component-system identification code (on and after January 1, 2009, only the component identification code is required);

(ii) Date;

(iii) Start and end times;

(iv) Upscale and downscale cycle times for each component;

(v) Stable start monitor value;

(vi) Stable end monitor value;

(vii) Reference value of calibration gas(es);

(viii) Calibration gas level;

(ix) Total cycle time;

(x) Reason for test; and

(xi) Test number.

(7) In addition to the information in paragraph (a)(5) of this section, the owner or operator shall record, for each relative accuracy test audit, supporting information sufficient to substantiate compliance with all applicable sections and appendices in this part. Unless otherwise specified in this part or in an applicable test method, the information in paragraphs (a)(7)(i) through (a)(7)(vi) of this section may be recorded either in hard copy format, electronic format or a combination of the two, and the owner or operator shall maintain this information in a format suitable for inspection and audit purposes. This RATA supporting information shall include, but shall not be limited to, the following data elements:

(i) For each RATA using Reference Method 2 (or its allowable alternatives) in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter to determine volumetric flow rate:

(A) Information indicating whether or not the location meets requirements of Method 1 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter; and

(B) Information indicating whether or not the equipment passed the required leak checks.

(ii) For each run of each RATA using Reference Method 2 (or its allowable alternatives in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter) to determine volumetric flow rate, record the following data elements (as applicable to the measurement method used):

(A) Operating level (low, mid, high, or normal, as appropriate);

(B) Number of reference method traverse points;

(C) Average stack gas temperature (°F);

(D) Barometric pressure at test port (inches of mercury);

(E) Stack static pressure (inches of H_2O);

(F) Absolute stack gas pressure (inches of mercury);

(G) Percent CO_2 and O_2 in the stack gas, dry basis;

(H) CO_2 and O_2 reference method used;

(I) Moisture content of stack gas (percent H_2O);

(J) Molecular weight of stack gas, dry basis (lb/lb-mole);

(K) Molecular weight of stack gas, wet basis (lb/lb-mole);

(L) Stack diameter (or equivalent diameter) at the test port (ft);

(M) Average square root of velocity head of stack gas (inches of H_2O) for the run;

(N) Stack or duct cross-sectional area at test port (ft^2);

(O) Average velocity (ft/sec);

(P) Average stack flow rate, adjusted, if applicable, for wall effects (scfh, wet basis);

(Q) Flow rate reference method used;

(R) Average velocity, adjusted for wall effects;

(S) Calculated (site-specific) wall effects adjustment factor determined during the run, and, if different, the wall effects adjustment factor used in the calculations; and

(T) Default wall effects adjustment factor used.

(iii) For each traverse point of each run of each RATA using Reference Method 2 (or its allowable alternatives in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter) to determine volumetric flow rate, record the following data elements (as applicable to the measurement method used):

(A) Reference method probe type;

(B) Pressure measurement device type;

(C) Traverse point ID;

(D) Probe or pitot tube calibration coefficient;

(E) Date of latest probe or pitot tube calibration;

(F) Average velocity differential pressure at traverse point (inches of H_2O) or the average of the square roots of the velocity differential pressures at the traverse point ((inches of H_2O)½);

(G) T_S, stack temperature at the traverse point (°F);

(H) Composite (wall effects) traverse point identifier;

(I) Number of points included in composite traverse point;

(J) Yaw angle of flow at traverse point (degrees);

(K) Pitch angle of flow at traverse point (degrees);

(L) Calculated velocity at traverse point both accounting and not accounting for wall effects (ft/sec); and

(M) Probe identification number.

(iv) For each RATA using Method 6C, 7E, or 3A in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter to determine SO_2, NO_X, CO_2, or O_2 concentration:

(A) Pollutant or diluent gas being measured;

(B) Span of reference method analyzer;

(C) Type of reference method system (e.g., extractive or dilution type);

(D) Reference method dilution factor (dilution type systems, only);

(E) Reference gas concentrations (zero, mid, and high gas levels) used for the 3-point pre-test analyzer calibration error test (or, for dilution type reference method systems, for the 3-point pre-test system calibration error test) and for any subsequent recalibrations;

(F) Analyzer responses to the zero-, mid-, and high-level calibration gases during the 3-point pre-test analyzer (or system) calibration error test and during any subsequent recalibration(s);

(G) Analyzer calibration error at each gas level (zero, mid, and high) for the 3-point pre-test analyzer (or system) calibration error test and for any subsequent recalibration(s) (percent of span value);

(H) Upscale gas concentration (mid or high gas level) used for each pre-run or post-run system bias check or (for dilution type reference method systems) for each pre-run or post-run system calibration error check;

(I) Analyzer response to the calibration gas for each pre-run or post-run system bias (or system calibration error) check;

(J) The arithmetic average of the analyzer responses to the zero-level gas, for each pair of pre- and post-run system bias (or system calibration error) checks;

(K) The arithmetic average of the analyzer responses to the upscale calibration gas, for each pair of pre- and post-run system bias (or system calibration error) checks;

(L) The results of each pre-run and each post-run system bias (or system calibration error) check using the zero-level gas (percentage of span value);

(M) The results of each pre-run and each post-run system bias (or system calibration error) check using the upscale calibration gas (percentage of span value);

(N) Calibration drift and zero drift of analyzer during each RATA run (percentage of span value);

(O) Moisture basis of the reference method analysis;

(P) Moisture content of stack gas, in percent, during each test run (if needed to convert to moisture basis of CEMS being tested);

(Q) Unadjusted (raw) average pollutant or diluent gas concentration for each run;

(R) Average pollutant or diluent gas concentration for each run, corrected

for calibration bias (or calibration error) and, if applicable, corrected for moisture;

(S) The F-factor used to convert reference method data to units of lb/mmBtu (if applicable);

(T) Date(s) of the latest analyzer interference test(s);

(U) Results of the latest analyzer interference test(s);

(V) Date of the latest NO_2 to NO conversion test (Method 7E only);

(W) Results of the latest NO_2 to NO conversion test (Method 7E only); and

(X) For each calibration gas cylinder used during each RATA, record the cylinder gas vendor, cylinder number, expiration date, pollutant(s) in the cylinder, and certified gas concentration(s).

(v) For each test run of each moisture determination using Method 4 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter (or its allowable alternatives), whether the determination is made to support a gas RATA, to support a flow RATA, or to quality assure the data from a continuous moisture monitoring system, record the following data elements (as applicable to the moisture measurement method used):

(A) Test number;

(B) Run number;

(C) The beginning date, hour, and minute of the run;

(D) The ending date, hour, and minute of the run;

(E) Unit operating level (low, mid, high, or normal, as appropriate);

(F) Moisture measurement method;

(G) Volume of H_2O collected in the impingers (ml);

(H) Mass of H_2O collected in the silica gel (g);

(I) Dry gas meter calibration factor;

(J) Average dry gas meter temperature (°F);

(K) Barometric pressure (inches of mercury);

(L) Differential pressure across the orifice meter (inches of H_2O);

(M) Initial and final dry gas meter readings (ft^3);

(N) Total sample gas volume, corrected to standard conditions (dscf); and

(O) Percentage of moisture in the stack gas (percent H_2O).

(vi) The raw data and calculated results for any stratification tests performed in accordance with sections 6.5.6.1 through 6.5.6.3 of appendix A to this part.

(vii) [Reserved]

(viii) [Reserved]

(ix) For a unit with a flow monitor installed on a rectangular stack or duct, if a site-specific default or measured wall effects adjustment factor (WAF) is used to correct the stack gas volumetric flow rate data to account for velocity decay near the stack or duct wall, the owner or operator shall keep records of the following for each flow RATA performed with EPA Method 2 in appendices A–1 and A–2 to part 60 of this chapter, subsequent to the WAF determination:

(A) Monitoring system ID;

(B) Test number;

(C) Operating level;

(D) RATA end date and time;

(E) Number of Method 1 traverse points; and

(F) Wall effects adjustment factor (WAF), to the nearest 0.0001.

(8) For each certified continuous emission monitoring system, continuous opacity monitoring system, excepted monitoring system, or alternative monitoring system, the date and description of each event which requires certification, recertification, or certain diagnostic testing of the system and the date and type of each test performed. If the conditional data validation procedures of §75.20(b)(3) are to be used to validate and report data prior to the completion of the required certification, recertification, or diagnostic testing, the date and hour of the probationary calibration error test shall be reported to mark the beginning of conditional data validation.

(9) When hardcopy relative accuracy test reports, certification reports, recertification reports, or semiannual or annual reports for gas or flow rate CEMS are required or requested under §75.60(b)(6) or §75.63, the reports shall include, at a minimum, the following elements (as applicable to the type(s) of test(s) performed):

(i) Summarized test results.

(ii) DAHS printouts of the CEMS data generated during the calibration

error, linearity, cycle time, and relative accuracy tests.

(iii) For pollutant concentration monitor or diluent monitor relative accuracy tests at normal operating load:

(A) The raw reference method data from each run, i.e., the data under paragraph (a)(7)(iv)(Q) of this section (usually in the form of a computerized printout, showing a series of one-minute readings and the run average);

(B) The raw data and results for all required pre-test, post-test, pre-run and post-run quality assurance checks (*i.e.*, calibration gas injections) of the reference method analyzers, i.e., the data under paragraphs (a)(7)(iv)(E) through (a)(7)(iv)(N) of this section;

(C) The raw data and results for any moisture measurements made during the relative accuracy testing, i.e., the data under paragraphs (a)(7)(v)(A) through (a)(7)(v)(O) of this section; and

(D) Tabulated, final, corrected reference method run data (*i.e.*, the actual values used in the relative accuracy calculations), along with the equations used to convert the raw data to the final values and example calculations to demonstrate how the test data were reduced.

(iv) For relative accuracy tests for flow monitors:

(A) The raw flow rate reference method data, from Reference Method 2 (or its allowable alternatives) under appendix A to part 60 of this chapter, including auxiliary moisture data (often in the form of handwritten data sheets), i.e., the data under paragraphs (a)(7)(ii)(A) through (a)(7)(ii)(T), paragraphs (a)(7)(iii)(A) through (a)(7)(iii)(M), and, if applicable, paragraphs (a)(7)(v)(A) through (a)(7)(v)(O) of this section; and

(B) The tabulated, final volumetric flow rate values used in the relative accuracy calculations (determined from the flow rate reference method data and other necessary measurements, such as moisture, stack temperature and pressure), along with the equations used to convert the raw data to the final values and example calculations to demonstrate how the test data were reduced.

(v) Calibration gas certificates for the gases used in the linearity, calibration error, and cycle time tests and for the calibration gases used to quality assure the gas monitor reference method data during the relative accuracy test audit.

(vi) Laboratory calibrations of the source sampling equipment.

(vii) A copy of the test protocol used for the CEMS certifications or recertifications, including narrative that explains any testing abnormalities, problematic sampling, and analytical conditions that required a change to the test protocol, and/or solutions to technical problems encountered during the testing program.

(viii) Diagrams illustrating test locations and sample point locations (to verify that locations are consistent with information in the monitoring plan). Include a discussion of any special traversing or measurement scheme. The discussion shall also confirm that sample points satisfy applicable acceptance criteria.

(ix) Names of key personnel involved in the test program, including test team members, plant contacts, agency representatives and test observers on site.

(x) For testing involving use of EPA Protocol gases, the owner or operator shall record in electronic and hardcopy format the following information, as applicable:

(A) On and after September 26, 2011, for each gas monitor, for both low and high measurement ranges, record the following information for the mid-level or high-level EPA Protocol gas (as applicable) that is used for daily calibration error tests, and the low-, mid-, and high-level gases used for quarterly linearity checks. For O_2, if purified air is used as the high-level gas for daily calibrations or linearity checks, record the following information for the low- and mid-level EPA Protocol gas used for linearity checks, instead:

(*1*) Gas level code;

(*2*) A code for the type of EPA Protocol gas used;

(*3*) The PGVP vendor ID issued by EPA for the EPA Protocol gas production site that supplied the EPA Protocol gas cylinder;

(*4*) The expiration date for the EPA Protocol gas cylinder; and

(*5*) The cylinder number.

(B) On and after September 26, 2011, for each usage of Reference Method 3A in appendix A–2 to part 60 of this chapter, or Method 6C or 7E in appendix A–4 to part 60 of this chapter performed using EPA Protocol gas for the certification, recertification, routine quality assurance or diagnostic testing (reportable diagnostics, only) of a Part 75 monitoring system, record the information required by paragraphs (a)(9)(x)(A)(*1*) through (*5*) of this section.

(xi) On and after March 27, 2012, for all RATAs performed pursuant to § 75.74(c)(2)(ii), section 6.5 of appendix A to this part and section 2.3.1 of appendix B to this part, and for all NO_X emission testing performed pursuant to section 2.1 of appendix E to this part, or § 75.19(c)(1)(iv), the owner or operator shall record the following information as provided by the AETB:

(A) The name, telephone number and e-mail address of the Air Emission Testing Body;

(B) The name of each on-site Qualified Individual, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter;

(C) For the reference method(s) that were performed, the date(s) that each on-site Qualified Individual took and passed the relevant qualification exam(s) required by ASTM D7036–04 (incorporated by reference, *see* § 75.6); and

(D) The name and e-mail address of each qualification exam provider.

(10) Whenever reference methods are used as backup monitoring systems pursuant to § 75.20(d)(3), the owner or operator shall record the following information:

(i) For each test run using Reference Method 2 (or its allowable alternatives in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter) to determine volumetric flow rate, record the following data elements (as applicable to the measurement method used):

(A) Unit or stack identification number;

(B) Reference method system and component identification numbers;

(C) Run date and hour;

(D) The data in paragraph (a)(7)(ii) of this section, except for paragraphs (a)(7)(ii)(A), (F), (H), (L) and (Q) through (T); and

(E) The data in paragraph (a)(7)(iii), except on a run basis.

(ii) For each reference method test run using Method 6C, 7E, or 3A in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter to determine SO_2, NO_X, CO_2, or O_2 concentration:

(A) Unit or stack identification number;

(B) The reference method system and component identification numbers;

(C) Run number;

(D) Run start date and hour;

(E) Run end date and hour;

(F) The data in paragraphs (a)(7)(iv)(B) through (I) and (L) through (O); and (G) Stack gas density adjustment factor (if applicable).

(iii) For each hour of each reference method test run using Method 6C, 7E, or 3A in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter to determine SO_2, NO_X, CO_2, or O_2 concentration:

(A) Unit or stack identification number;

(B) The reference method system and component identification numbers;

(C) Run number;

(D) Run date and hour;

(E) Pollutant or diluent gas being measured;

(F) Unadjusted (raw) average pollutant or diluent gas concentration for the hour; and

(G) Average pollutant or diluent gas concentration for the hour, adjusted as appropriate for moisture, calibration bias (or calibration error) and stack gas density.

(11) For each other quality-assurance test or other quality assurance activity, the owner or operator shall record the following (as applicable):

(i) Component/system identification code;

(ii) Parameter;

(iii) Test or activity completion date and hour;

(iv) Test or activity description;

(v) Test result;

(vi) Reason for test; and

(vii) Test code.

(12) For each request for a quality assurance test extension or exemption, for any loss of exempt status, and for each single-load flow RATA claim pursuant to section 2.3.1.3(c)(3) of appendix B to this part, the owner or operator

shall record the following (as applicable):

(i) For a RATA deadline extension or exemption request:

(A) Monitoring system identification code;

(B) Date of last RATA;

(C) RATA expiration date without extension;

(D) RATA expiration date with extension;

(E) Type of RATA extension of exemption claimed or lost;

(F) Year to date hours of usage of fuel other than very low sulfur fuel;

(G) Year to date hours of non-redundant back-up CEMS usage at the unit/stack; and

(H) Quarter and year.

(ii) For a linearity test or flow-to-load ratio test quarterly exemption:

(A) Component-system identification code;

(B) Type of test;

(C) Basis for exemption;

(D) Quarter and year; and

(E) Span scale.

(iii) [Reserved]

(iv) For a fuel flowmeter accuracy test extension:

(A) Component-system identification code;

(B) Date of last accuracy test;

(C) Accuracy test expiration date without extension;

(D) Accuracy test expiration date with extension;

(E) Type of extension;

(F) Quarter and year; and

(G) On and after April 27, 2011, fuel code for Ozone Season Only reporters under § 75.74(c).

(v) For a single-load (or single-level) flow RATA claim:

(A) Monitoring system identification code;

(B) Ending date of last annual flow RATA;

(C) The relative frequency (percentage) of unit or stack operation at each load (or operating) level (low, mid, and high) since the previous annual flow RATA, to the nearest 0.1 percent;

(D) End date of the historical load (or operating level) data collection period; and

(E) Indication of the load (or operating) level (low, mid or high) claimed for the single-load flow RATA.

(13) An indication that data have been excluded from a periodic span and range evaluation of an SO_2 or NO_X monitor under section 2.1.1.5 or 2.1.2.5 of appendix A to this part and the reason(s) for excluding the data. For purposes of reporting under § 75.64(a), this information shall be reported with the quarterly report as descriptive text consistent with § 75.64(g).

(14) [Reserved]

(15) On and after March 27, 2012, for all RATAs performed pursuant to § 75.74(c)(2)(ii), section 6.5 of appendix A to this part or section 2.3.1 of appendix B to this part, the owner or operator shall record in electronic format the following information as provided by the AETB:

(i) The name, telephone number and e-mail address of the Air Emission Testing Body;

(ii) The name of each on-site Qualified Individual, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter;

(iii) For the reference method(s) that were performed, the date(s) that each on-site Qualified Individual took and passed the relevant qualification exam(s) required by ASTM D7036–04 (incorporated by reference, *see* § 75.6); and

(iv) The name and e-mail address of each qualification exam provider.

(b) *Excepted monitoring systems for gas-fired and oil-fired units.* The owner or operator shall record the applicable information in this section for each excepted monitoring system following the requirements of appendix D to this part or appendix E to this part for determining and recording emissions from an affected unit.

(1) For certification and quality assurance testing of fuel flowmeters tested against a reference fuel flow rate (*i.e.*, flow rate from another fuel flowmeter under section 2.1.5.2 of appendix D to this part or flow rate from a procedure according to a standard incorporated by reference under section 2.1.5.1 of appendix D to this part):

(i) Unit or common pipe header identification code;

(ii) Component and system identification codes of the fuel flowmeter being tested (on and after January 1, 2009, only the component identification code is required);

(iii) Date and hour of test completion, for a test performed in-line at the unit;

(iv) Date and hour of flowmeter reinstallation, for laboratory tests;

(v) Test number;

(vi) Upper range value of the fuel flowmeter;

(vii) Flowmeter measurements during accuracy test (and mean of values), including units of measure;

(viii) Reference flow rates during accuracy test (and mean of values), including units of measure;

(ix) Level of fuel flowrate test during runs (low, mid or high);

(x) Average flowmeter accuracy for low and high fuel flowrates and highest flowmeter accuracy of any level designated as mid, expressed as a percent of upper range value;

(xi) Indicator of whether test method was a lab comparison to reference meter or an in-line comparison against a master meter;

(xii) Test result (aborted, pass, or fail); and

(xiii) Description of fuel flowmeter calibration specification or procedure (in the certification application, or periodically if a different method is used for annual quality assurance testing).

(2) For each transmitter or transducer accuracy test for an orifice-, nozzle-, or venturi-type flowmeter used under section 2.1.6 of appendix D to this part:

(i) Component and system identification codes of the fuel flowmeter being tested (on and after January 1, 2009, only the component identification code is required);

(ii) Completion date and hour of test;

(iii) For each transmitter or transducer: transmitter or transducer type (differential pressure, static pressure, or temperature); the full-scale value of the transmitter or transducer, transmitter input (pre-calibration) prior to accuracy test, including units of measure; and expected transmitter output during accuracy test (reference value from NIST-traceable equipment), including units of measure;

(iv) For each transmitter or transducer tested: output during accuracy test, including units of measure; transmitter or transducer accuracy as a percent of the full-scale value; and transmitter output level as a percent of the full-scale value;

(v) Average flowmeter accuracy at low and high level fuel flowrates and highest flowmeter accuracy of any level designated as mid fuel flowrate, expressed as a percent of upper range value;

(vi) Test result (pass, fail, or aborted);

(vii) Test number; and

(viii) Accuracy determination methodology.

(3) For each visual inspection of the primary element or transmitter or transducer accuracy test for an orifice-, nozzle-, or venturi-type flowmeter under sections 2.1.6.1 through 2.1.6.4 of appendix D to this part:

(i) Date of inspection/test;

(ii) Hour of completion of inspection/test;

(iii) Component and system identification codes of the fuel flowmeter being inspected/tested; and

(iv) Results of inspection/test (pass or fail).

(4) For fuel flowmeters that are tested using the optional fuel flow-to-load ratio procedures of section 2.1.7 of appendix D to this part:

(i) Test data for the fuel flowmeter flow-to-load ratio or gross heat rate check, including:

(A) Component/system identification code (on and after January 1, 2009, only the monitoring system identification code is required);

(B) Calendar year and quarter;

(C) Indication of whether the test is for fuel flow-to-load ratio or gross heat rate;

(D) Quarterly average absolute percent difference between baseline for fuel flow-to-load ratio (or baseline gross heat rate and hourly quarterly fuel flow-to-load ratios (or gross heat rate value);

(E) Test result;

(F) Number of hours used in the analysis;

(G) Number of hours excluded due to co-firing;

(H) Number of hours excluded due to ramping;

(I) Number of hours excluded in lower 25.0 percent range of operation; and

(J) Test number.

(ii) Reference data for the fuel flowmeter flow-to-load ratio or gross heat rate evaluation, including:

(A) Completion date and hour of most recent primary element inspection or test number of the most recent primary element inspection (as applicable); (on and after January 1, 2009, the test number of the most recent primary element inspection is required in lieu of the completion date and hour for the most recent primary element inspection);

(B) Completion date and hour of most recent flow meter of transmitter accuracy test or test number of the most recent flowmeter or transmitter accuracy test (as applicable); (on and after January 1, 2009, the test number of the most recent flowmeter or transmitter accuracy test is required in lieu of the completion date and hour for the most recent flowmeter or transmitter accuracy test);

(C) Beginning date and hour of baseline period;

(D) Completion date and hour of baseline period;

(E) Average fuel flow rate, in 100 scfh for gas and lb/hr for oil;

(F) Average load, in megawatts, 1000 lb/hr of steam, or mmBtu/hr thermal output;

(G) Baseline fuel flow-to-load ratio, in the appropriate units of measure (if using fuel flow-to-load ratio);

(H) Baseline gross heat rate if using gross heat rate, in the appropriate units of measure (if using gross heat rate check);

(I) Number of hours excluded from baseline data due to ramping;

(J) Number of hours excluded from baseline data in lower 25.0 percent of range of operation;

(K) Average hourly heat input rate;

(L) Flag indicating baseline data collection is in progress and that fewer than four calendar quarters have elapsed since the quarter of the last flowmeter QA test;

(M) Number of hours excluded due to co-firing; and

(N) Monitoring system identification code.

(5) For gas-fired peaking units or oil-fired peaking units using the optional procedures of appendix E to this part, for each initial performance, periodic, or quality assurance/quality control-related test:

(i) For each run of emission data, record the following data:

(A) Unit or common pipe identification code;

(B) Monitoring system identification code for appendix E system (on and after January 1, 2009, component identification codes shall be reported in addition to the monitoring system identification code);

(C) Run start date and time;

(D) Run end date and time;

(E) Total heat input during the run (mmBtu);

(F) NO_X emission rate (lb/mmBtu) from reference method;

(G) Response time of the O_2 and NO_X reference method analyzers;

(H) Type of fuel(s) combusted during the run. This requirement remains in effect through December 31, 2008;

(I) Heat input rate (mmBtu/hr) during the run;

(J) Test number;

(K) Run number;

(L) Operating level during the run;

(M) NO_X concentration recorded by the reference method during the run;

(N) Diluent concentration recorded by the reference method during the run; and

(O) Moisture measurement for the run (if applicable).

(ii) For each run during which oil or mixed fuels are combusted record the following data:

(A) Unit or common pipe identification code;

(B) Monitoring system identification code for oil monitoring system (on and after January 1, 2009, component identification codes shall be reported in addition to the monitoring system identification code);

(C) Run start date and time;

(D) Run end date and time;

(E) Mass flow or volumetric flow of oil, in the units of measure for the type of fuel flowmeter;

(F) Gross calorific value of oil in the appropriate units of measure;

(G) Density of fuel oil in the appropriate units of measure (if density is used to convert oil volume to mass);

(H) Hourly heat input (mmBtu) during run from oil;

(I) Test number;

(J) Run number; and

(K) Operating level during the run.

(iii) For each run during which gas or mixed fuels are combusted record the following data:

(A) Unit or common pipe identification code;

(B) Monitoring system identification code for gas monitoring system (on and after January 1, 2009, component identification codes shall be reported in addition to the monitoring system identification code);

(C) Run start date and time;

(D) Run end date and time;

(E) Volumetric flow of gas (100 scf);

(F) Gross calorific value of gas (Btu/100 scf);

(G) Hourly heat input (mmBtu) during run from gas;

(H) Test number;

(I) Run number; and

(J) Operating level during the run.

(iv) For each operating level at which runs were performed:

(A) Completion date and time of last run for operating level (as applicable). This requirement remains in effect through December 31, 2008;

(B) Type of fuel(s) combusted during test;

(C) Average heat input rate at that operating level (mmBtu/hr);

(D) Arithmetic mean of NO_X emission rates from reference method run at this level;

(E) F-factor used in calculations of NO_X emission rate at that operating level;

(F) Unit operating parametric data related to NO_X formation for that unit type (e.g., excess O_2 level, water/fuel ratio);

(G) Test number;

(H) Operating level for runs; and

(I) Component identification code (required on and after January 1, 2009).

(6) On and after March 27, 2012, for all stack testing performed pursuant to section 2.1 of appendix E to this part, the owner or operator shall record in electronic format the following information as provided by the AETB:

(i) The name, telephone number and e-mail address of the Air Emission Testing Body;

(ii) The name of each on-site Qualified Individual, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter;

(iii) For the reference method(s) that were performed, the date(s) that each on-site Qualified Individual took and passed the relevant qualification exam(s) required by ASTM D7036–04 (incorporated by reference, *see* § 75.6); and

(iv) The name and e-mail address of each qualification exam provider.

(c) Except as otherwise provided in § 75.58(b)(3)(i), for units with add-on SO_2 or NO_X emission controls following the provisions of § 75.34(a)(1) or (a)(2), the owner or operator shall keep the following records on-site in the quality assurance/quality control plan required by section 1 of appendix B to this part:

(1) A list of operating parameters for the add-on emission controls, including parameters in § 75.58(b), appropriate to the particular installation of add-on emission controls; and

(2) The range of each operating parameter in the list that indicates the add-on emission controls are properly operating.

(d) *Excepted monitoring for low mass emissions units under § 75.19(c)(1)(iv)*. For oil-and gas-fired units using the optional SO_2, NO_X and CO_2 emissions calculations for low mass emission units under § 75.19, the owner or operator shall record the following information for tests performed to determine a fuel and unit-specific default as provided in § 75.19(c)(1)(iv):

(1) For each run of each test performed using the procedures of section 2.1 of appendix E to this part, record the following data:

(i) Unit or common pipe identification code;

(ii) Run start date and time;

(iii) Run end date and time;

(iv) NO_X emission rate (lb/mmBtu) from reference method;

(v) Response time of the O_2 and NO_X reference method analyzers;

(vi) Type of fuel(s) combusted during the run;

(vii) Test number;

(viii) Run number;

(ix) Operating level during the run;

(x) NO_X concentration recorded by the reference method during the run;

(xi) Diluent concentration recorded by the reference method during the run;

(xii) Moisture measurement for the run (if applicable); and

(xiii) An indicator (''flag'') if the run is used to calculate the highest 3-run average NO_X emission rate at any load level.

(2) For each single-load or multiple-load appendix E test, record the following:

(i) The three-run average NO_X emission rate for each load level;

(ii) An indicator that the average NO_X emission rate is the highest NO_X average emission rate recorded at any load level of the test (if appropriate);

(iii) The default NO_X emission rate (highest three-run average NO_X emission rate at any load level);

(iv) An indicator that the add-on NO_X emission controls were operating or not operating during each run of the test;

(v) Parameter data indicating the use and efficacy of control equipment during the test; and

(vi) Indicator of whether the testing was done at base load, peak load or both (if appropriate); and

(vii) The default NO_X emission rate for peak load hours (if applicable).

(3) For each unit in a group of identical units qualifying for reduced testing under §75.19(c)(1)(iv)(B), record the following data:

(i) The unique group identification code assigned to the group. This code must include the ORIS code of one of the units in the group;

(ii) The ORIS code or facility identification code for the unit;

(iii) The plant name of the facility at which the unit is located, consistent with the facility's monitoring plan;

(iv) The identification code for the unit, consistent with the facility's monitoring plan;

(v) A record of whether or not the unit underwent fuel and unit-specific testing for purposes of establishing a fuel and unit-specific NO_X emission rate for purposes of §75.19;

(vi) The completion date of the fuel and unit-specific test performed for purposes of establishing a fuel and unit-specific NO_X emission rate for purposes of §75.19;

(vii) The fuel and unit-specific NO_X default rate established for the group of identical units under §75.19;

(viii) The type of fuel combusted for the units during testing and represented by the resulting default NO_X emission rate;

(ix) The control status for the units during testing and represented by the resulting default NO_X emission rate;

(x) Documentation supporting the qualification of all units in the group for reduced testing, in accordance with the criteria established in §75.19(c)(1)(iv)(B)(*1*);

(xi) Purpose of group tests;

(xii) On and after April 27, 2011, the number of tests for group; and

(xiii) On and after April 27, 2011, the number of units in group.

(4) On and after March 27, 2012, for all NO_X emission testing performed pursuant to §75.19(c)(1)(iv), the owner or operator shall record in electronic format the following information as provided by the AETB:

(i) The name, telephone number and e-mail address of the Air Emission Testing Body;

(ii) The name of each on-site Qualified Individual, as defined in §72.2 of this chapter;

(iii) For the reference method(s) that were performed, the date(s) that each on-site Qualified Individual took and passed the relevant qualification exam(s) required by ASTM D7036–04 (incorporated by reference, *see* §75.6); and

(iv) The name and e-mail address of each qualification exam provider.

(e) *DAHS Verification*. For each DAHS (missing data and formula) verification that is required for initial certification, recertification, or for certain diagnostic testing of a monitoring system, record the date and hour that the DAHS verification is successfully completed. (This requirement only applies to units that report monitoring plan data in accordance with §75.53(g) and (h).)

[64 FR 28614, May 26, 1999, as amended at 67 FR 40442, June 12, 2002; 70 FR 28683, May 18, 2005; 63 FR 4354, Jan. 24, 2008; 76 FR 17315, Mar. 28, 2011]

Subpart G—Reporting Requirements

§ 75.60 General provisions.

(a) The designated representative for any affected unit subject to the requirements of this part shall comply with all reporting requirements in this

section and with the signatory requirements of § 72.21 of this chapter for all submissions.

(b) *Submissions*. The designated representative shall submit all reports and petitions (except as provided in § 75.61) as follows:

(1) *Initial certifications*. The designated representative shall submit initial certification applications according to § 75.63.

(2) *Recertifications*. The designated representative shall submit recertification applications according to § 75.63.

(3) *Monitoring plans*. The designated representative shall submit monitoring plans according to § 75.62.

(4) *Electronic quarterly reports*. The designated representative shall submit electronic quarterly reports according to § 75.64.

(5) *Other petitions and communications*. The designated representative shall submit petitions, correspondence, application forms, designated representative signature, and petition-related test results in hardcopy to the Administrator. Additional petition requirements are specified in §§ 75.66 and 75.67.

(6) *Semiannual or annual RATA reports*. If requested in writing (or by electronic mail) by the applicable EPA Regional Office, appropriate State, and/or appropriate local air pollution control agency, the designated representative shall submit a hardcopy RATA report within 45 days after completing a required semiannual or annual RATA according to section 2.3.1 of appendix B to this part, or within 15 days of receiving the request, whichever is later. The designated representative shall report the hardcopy information required by § 75.59(a)(9) to the applicable EPA Regional Office, appropriate State, and/or appropriate local air pollution control agency that requested the RATA report.

(7) *Routine appendix E retest reports*. If requested in writing (or by electronic mail) by the applicable EPA Regional Office, appropriate State, and/or appropriate local air pollution control agency, the designated representative shall submit a hardcopy report within 45 days after completing a required periodic retest according to section 2.2 of appendix E to this part, or within 15 days of receiving the request, whichever is later. The designated representative shall report the hardcopy information required by § 75.59(b)(5) to the applicable EPA Regional Office, appropriate State, and/or appropriate local air pollution control agency that requested the hardcopy report.

(c) *Confidentiality of data*. The following provisions shall govern the confidentiality of information submitted under this part.

(1) All emission data reported in quarterly reports under § 75.64 shall remain public information.

(2) For information submitted under this part other than emission data submitted in quarterly reports, the designated representative must assert a claim of confidentiality at the time of submission for any information he or she wishes to have treated as confidential business information (CBI) under subpart B of part 2 of this chapter. Failure to assert a claim of confidentiality at the time of submission may result in disclosure of the information by EPA without further notice to the designated representative.

(3) Any claim of confidentiality for information submitted in quarterly reports under § 75.64 must include substantiation of the claim. Failure to provide substantiation may result in disclosure of the information by EPA without further notice.

(4) As provided under subpart B of part 2 of this chapter, EPA may review information submitted to determine whether it is entitled to confidential treatment even when confidentiality claims are initially received. The EPA will contact the designated representative as part of such a review process.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26538, May 17, 1995; 64 FR 28620, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40442, June 12, 2002; 73 FR 4356, Jan. 24, 2008; 76 FR 17316, Mar. 28, 2011]

§ 75.61 Notifications.

(a) *Submission*. The designated representative for an affected unit (or owner or operator, as specified) shall submit notice to the Administrator, to the appropriate EPA Regional Office, and to the applicable State and local air pollution control agencies for the following purposes, as required by this part.

(1) *Initial certification and recertification test notifications.* The owner or operator or designated representative for an affected unit shall submit written notification of initial certification tests and revised test dates as specified in § 75.20 for continuous emission monitoring systems, for alternative monitoring systems under subpart E of this part, or for excepted monitoring systems under appendix E to this part, except as provided in paragraphs (a)(1)(iii), (a)(1)(iv) and (a)(4) of this section. The owner or operator shall also provide written notification of testing performed under § 75.19(c)(1)(iv)(A) to establish fuel-and-unit-specific NO_X emission rates for low mass emissions units. Such notifications are not required, however, for initial certifications and recertifications of excepted monitoring systems under appendix D to this part.

(i) Notification of initial certification testing and full recertification. Initial certification test notifications and notifications of full recertification testing under § 75.20(b)(2) shall be submitted not later than 21 days prior to the first scheduled day of certification or recertification testing. In emergency situations when full recertification testing is required following an uncontrollable failure of equipment that results in lost data, notice shall be sufficient if provided within 2 business days following the date when testing is scheduled. Testing may be performed on a date other than that already provided in a notice under this subparagraph as long as notice of the new date is provided either in writing or by telephone or other means at least 7 days prior to the original scheduled test date or the revised test date, whichever is earlier.

(ii) *Notification of certification retesting, and partial recertification testing.* For retesting required following a loss of certification under § 75.20(a)(5) or for partial recertification testing required under § 75.20(b)(2), notice of the date of any required RATA testing or any requred retesting under section 2.3 in appendix E to this part shall be submitted either in writing or by telephone at least 7 days prior to the first scheduled day of testing; except that in emergency situations when testing is required following an uncontrollable failure of equipment that results in lost data, notice shall be sufficient if provided within 2 business days following the date when testing is scheduled. Testing may be performed on a date other than that already provided in a notice under this subparagraph as long as notice of the new date is provided by telephone or other means at least 2 business days prior to the original scheduled test date or the revised test date, whichever is earlier.

(iii) *Repeat of testing without notice.* Notwithstanding the above notice requirements, the owner or operator may elect to repeat a certification or recertification test immediately, without advance notification, whenever the owner or operator has determined during the certification or recertification testing that a test was failed or must be aborted, or that a second test is necessary in order to attain a reduced relative accuracy test frequency.

(iv) *Waiver from notification requirements.* The Administrator, the appropriate EPA Regional Office, or the applicable State or local air pollution control agency may issue a waiver from the notification requirement of paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of this section, for a unit or a group of units, for one or more recertification tests or other retests. The Administrator, the appropriate EPA Regional Office, or the applicable State or local air pollution control agency may also discontinue the waiver and reinstate the notification requirement of paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of this section for future recertification tests (or other retests) of a unit or a group of units.

(2) *New unit, newly affected unit, new stack, or new flue gas desulfurization system operation notification.* The designated representative for an affected unit shall submit written notification: For a new unit or a newly affected unit, of the planned date when a new unit or newly affected unit will commence commercial operation, or becomes affected, or, for new stack or flue gas desulfurization system, of the planned date when a new stack or flue gas desulfurization system will be completed and emissions will first exit to the atmosphere.

(i) Notification of the planned date shall be submitted not later than 45 days prior to the date the unit commences commercial operation or becomes affected, or not later than 45 days prior to the date when a new stack or flue gas desulfurization system exhausts emissions to the atmosphere.

(ii) If the date when the unit commences commercial operation or becomes affected, or the date when the new stack or flue gas desulfurization system exhausts emissions to the atmosphere, whichever is applicable, changes from the planned date, a notification of the actual date shall be submitted not later than 7 days following: The date the unit commences commercial operation or becomes affected, or the date when a new stack or flue gas desulfurization system exhausts emissions to the atmosphere.

(3) *Unit shutdown and recommencement of commercial operation.* For an affected unit that will be shut down on the relevant compliance date specified in §75.4 or in a State or Federal pollutant mass emissions reduction program that adopts the monitoring and reporting requirements of this part, if the owner or operator is relying on the provisions in §75.4(d) to postpone certification testing, the designated representative for the unit shall submit notification of unit shutdown and recommencement of commercial operation as follows:

(i) For planned unit shutdowns (e.g., extended maintenance outages), written notification of the planned shutdown date shall be provided at least 21 days prior to the applicable compliance date, and written notification of the planned date of recommencement of commercial operation shall be provided at least 21 days in advance of unit restart. If the actual shutdown date or the actual date of recommencement of commercial operation differs from the planned date, written notice of the actual date shall be submitted no later than 7 days following the actual date of shutdown or of recommencement of commercial operation, as applicable;

(ii) For unplanned unit shutdowns (e.g., forced outages), written notification of the actual shutdown date shall be provided no more than 7 days after the shutdown, and written notification of the planned date of recommencement of commercial operation shall be provided at least 21 days in advance of unit restart. If the actual date of recommencement of commercial operation differs from the expected date, written notice of the actual date shall be submitted no later than 7 days following the actual date of recommencement of commercial operation.

(4) *Use of backup fuels for appendix E procedures.* The designated representative for an affected oil-fired or gas-fired peaking unit that is using an excepted monitoring system under appendix E of this part and that is relying on the provisions in §75.4(f) to postpone testing of a fuel shall submit written notification of that fact no later than 45 days prior to the deadline in §75.4. The designated representative shall also submit a notification that such a fuel has been combusted no later than 7 days after the first date of combustion of any fuel for which testing has not been performed under appendix E after the deadline in §75.4. Such notice shall also include notice that testing under appendix E either was performed during the initial combustion or notice of the date that testing will be performed.

(5) *Periodic relative accuracy test audits, appendix E retests, and low mass emissions unit retests.* The owner or operator or designated representative of an affected unit shall submit written notice of the date of periodic relative accuracy testing performed under section 2.3.1 of appendix B to this part, of periodic retesting performed under section 2.2 of appendix E to this part, and of periodic retesting of low mass emissions units performed under §75.19(c)(1)(iv)(D), no later than 21 days prior to the first scheduled day of testing. Testing may be performed on a date other than that already provided in a notice under this subparagraph as long as notice of the new date is provided either in writing or by telephone or other means acceptable to the respective State agency or office of EPA, and the notice is provided as soon as practicable after the new testing date is known, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours in advance of the new date of testing.

(i) Written notification under paragraph (a) (5) of this section may be provided either by mail or by facsimile. In addition, written notification may be provided by electronic mail, provided that the respective State agency or office of EPA agrees that this is an acceptable form of notification.

(ii) Notwithstanding the notice requirements under paragraph (a)(5) of this section, the owner or operator may elect to repeat a periodic relative accuracy test, appendix E restest, or low mass emissions unit retest immediately, without additional notification whenever the owner or operator has determined that a test was failed, or that a second test is necessary in order to attain a reduced relative accuracy test frequency.

(iii) *Waiver from notification requirements.* The Administrator, the appropriate EPA Regional Office, or the applicable State air pollution control agency may issue a waiver from the requirement of paragraph (a)(5) of this section to provide notice to the respective State agency or office of EPA for a unit or a group of units for one or more tests. The Administrator, the appropriate EPA Regional Office, or the applicable State air pollution control agency may also discontinue the waiver and reinstate the requirement of paragraph (a)(5) of this section to provide notice to the respective State agency or office of EPA for future tests for a unit or a group of units. In addition, if an observer from a State agency or EPA is present when a test is rescheduled, the observer may waive all notification requirements under paragraph (a)(5) of this section for the rescheduled test.

(6) *Notice of combustion of emergency fuel under appendix D or E.* The designated representative of an oil-fired unit or gas-fired unit using appendix D or E of this part shall, for each calendar quarter in which emergency fuel is combusted, provide notice of the combustion of the emergency fuel in the cover letter (or electronic equivalent) which transmits the next quarterly report submitted under § 75.64. The notice shall specify the exact dates and hours during which the emergency fuel was combusted.

(7) *Long-term cold storage and recommencement of commercial operation.* The designated representative for an affected unit that is placed into long-term cold storage that is relying on the provisions in § 75.4(d) or § 75.64(a), either to postpone certification testing or to discontinue the submittal of quarterly reports during the period of long-term cold storage, shall provide written notification of long-term cold storage status and recommencement of commercial operation as follows:

(i) Whenever an affected unit has been placed into long-term cold storage, written notification of the date and hour that the unit was shutdown and a statement from the designated representative stating that the shutdown is expected to last for at least two years from that date, in accordance with the definition for long-term cold storage of a unit as provided in § 72.2 of this chapter.

(ii) Whenever an affected unit that has been placed into long-term cold storage is expected to resume operation, written notification shall be submitted 45 calendar days prior to the planned date of recommencement of commercial operation. If the actual date of recommencement of commercial operation differs from the expected date, written notice of the actual date shall be submitted no later than 7 days following the actual date of recommencement of commercial operation.

(8) *Certification deadline date for new or newly affected units.* The designated representative of a new or newly affected unit shall provide notification of the date on which the relevant deadline for initial certification is reached, either as provided in § 75.4(b) or § 75.4(c), or as specified in a State or Federal SO_2 or NO_X mass emission reduction program that incorporates by reference, or otherwise adopts, the monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting requirements of subpart F, G, or H of this part. The notification shall be submitted no later than 7 calendar days after the applicable certification deadline is reached.

(b) The owner or operator or designated representative shall submit notification of certification tests and recertification tests for continuous opacity monitoring systems as specified in § 75.20(c)(8) to the State or local air pollution control agency.

(c) If the Administrator determines that notification substantially similar to that required in this section is required by any other State or local agency, the owner or operator or designated representative may send the Administrator a copy of that notification to satisfy the requirements of this section, provided the ORISPL unit identification number(s) is denoted.

[60 FR 26538, May 17, 1995, as amended at 61 FR 25582, May 22, 1996; 61 FR 59162, Nov. 22, 1996; 64 FR 28620, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40442, 40443, June 12, 2002; 73 FR 4356, Jan. 24, 2008; 76 FR 17316, Mar. 28, 2011]

§ 75.62 Monitoring plan submittals.

(a) *Submission*—(1) *Electronic*. Using the format specified in paragraph (c) of this section, the designated representative for an affected unit shall submit a complete, electronic, up-to-date monitoring plan file (except for hardcopy portions identified in paragraph (a)(2) of this section) to the Administrator as follows: no later than 21 days prior to the initial certification tests; at the time of each certification or recertification application submission; and (prior to or concurrent with) the submittal of the electronic quarterly report for a reporting quarter where an update of the electronic monitoring plan information is required, either under § 75.53(b) or elsewhere in this part.

(2) *Hardcopy*. The designated representative shall submit all of the hardcopy information required under § 75.53 to the appropriate EPA Regional Office and the appropriate State and/or local air pollution control agency prior to initial certification. Thereafter, the designated representative shall submit hardcopy information only if that portion of the monitoring plan is revised. The designated representative shall submit the required hardcopy information as follows: no later than 21 days prior to the initial certification test; with any certification or recertification application, if a hardcopy monitoring plan change is associated with the certification or recertification event; and within 30 days of any other event with which a hardcopy monitoring plan change is associated, pursuant to § 75.53(b). Electronic submittal of all monitoring plan information, including hardcopy portions, is permissible provided that a paper copy of the hardcopy portions can be furnished upon request.

(b) *Contents*. Monitoring plans shall contain the information specified in § 75.53 of this part.

(c) *Format*. The designated representative shall submit each monitoring plan in a format specified by the Administrator.

(d) On and after April 27, 2011, consistent with § 72.21 of this chapter, a hardcopy cover letter signed by the Designated Representative (DR) shall accompany each hardcopy monitoring plan submittal. The cover letter shall include the certification statement described in § 72.21(b) of this chapter, and shall be submitted to the applicable EPA Regional Office and to the appropriate State or local air pollution control agency. For electronic monitoring plan submittals to the Administrator, a cover letter is not required. However, at his or her discretion, the DR may include important explanatory text or comments with an electronic monitoring plan submittal, so long as the information is provided in an electronic format that is compatible with the other data required to be reported under this section.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26539, May 17, 1995; 64 FR 28621, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40443, June 12, 2002; 73 FR 4356, Jan. 24, 2008; 76 FR 17316, Mar. 28, 2011]

§ 75.63 Initial certification or recertification application.

(a) *Submission*. The designated representative for an affected unit or a combustion source shall submit applications and reports as follows:

(1) *Initial certifications*. (i) For CEM systems or excepted monitoring systems under appendix D or E to this part, within 45 days after completing all initial certification tests, submit:

(A) To the Administrator, the electronic information required by paragraph (b)(1) of this section. Except for

subpart E applications for alternative monitoring systems or unless specifically requested by the Administrator, do not submit a hardcopy of the test data and results to the Administrator.

(B) To the applicable EPA Regional Office and the appropriate State and/or local air pollution control agency, the hardcopy information required by paragraph (b)(2) of this section.

(ii) For units for which the owner or operator is applying for certification approval of the optional excepted methodology under § 75.19 for low mass emissions units, submit, no later than 45 days prior to commencing use of the methodology:

(A) To the Administrator, the electronic low mass emission qualification information required by § 75.53(f)(5)(i) or § 75.53(h)(4)(i) (as applicable) and paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section; and

(B) To the applicable EPA Regional Office and appropriate State and/or local air pollution control agency, the hardcopy information required by § 75.19(a)(2) and § 75.53(f)(5)(ii) or § 75.53(h)(4)(ii) (as applicable), the hardcopy results of any appendix E (of this part) tests or any CEMS data analysis used to derive a fuel-and-unit-specific default NO_X emission rate.

(2) *Recertifications and diagnostic testing*. (i) Within 45 days after completing all recertification tests under § 75.20(b), submit to the Administrator the electronic information required by paragraph (b)(1) of this section. Except for subpart E applications for alternative monitoring systems or unless specifically requested by the Administrator, do not submit a hardcopy of the test data and results to the Administrator.

(ii) Within 45 days after completing all recertification tests under § 75.20(b), submit the hardcopy information required by paragraph (b)(2) of this section to the applicable EPA Regional Office and the appropriate State and/or local air pollution control agency. The applicable EPA Regional Office or appropriate State or local air pollution control agency may waive the requirement to provide hardcopy recertification test and data results. The applicable EPA Regional Office or the appropriate State or local air pollution control agency may also discontinue the waiver and reinstate the requirement of this paragraph to provide a hardcopy report of the recertification test data and results.

(iii) Notwithstanding the requirements of paragraphs (a)(2)(i) and (a)(2)(ii) of this section, for an event for which the Administrator determines that only diagnostic tests (*see* § 75.20(b)) are required rather than recertification testing, no hardcopy submittal is required; however, the results of all diagnostic test(s) shall be submitted prior to or concurrent with the electronic quarterly report required under § 75.64. Notwithstanding the requirement of § 75.59(e), for DAHS (missing data and formula) verifications, no hardcopy submittal is required; the owner or operator shall keep these test results on-site in a format suitable for inspection.

(b) *Contents*. Each application for initial certification or recertification shall contain the following information, as applicable:

(1) *Electronic*. (i) A complete, up-to-date version of the electronic portion of the monitoring plan, according to § 75.53(e) and (f), in the format specified in § 75.62(c).

(ii) The results of the test(s) required by § 75.20, including the type of test conducted, testing date, information required by § 75.59, and the results of any failed tests that affect data validation.

(2) *Hardcopy*. (i) Any changed portions of the hardcopy monitoring plan information required under § 75.53(e) and (f). Electronic submittal of all monitoring plan information, including the hardcopy portions, is permissible, provided that a paper copy can be furnished upon request.

(ii) The results of the test(s) required by § 75.20, including the type of test conducted, testing date, information required by § 75.59(a)(9), and the results of any failed tests that affect data validation.

(iii) [Reserved]

(iv) Designated representative signature certifying the accuracy of the submission.

(c) *Format*. The electronic portion of each certification or recertification application shall be submitted in a format to be specified by the Administrator. The hardcopy test results shall

be submitted in a format suitable for review and shall include the information in § 75.59(a)(9).

(d) Consistent with § 72.21 of this chapter, a hardcopy cover letter signed by the Designated Representative (DR) shall accompany the hardcopy portion of each certification or recertification application. The cover letter shall include the certification statement described in § 72.21(b) of this chapter, and shall be submitted to the applicable EPA Regional Office and to the appropriate State or local air pollution control agency. For the electronic portion of a certification or recertification application submitted to the Administrator, a cover letter is not required. However, at his or her discretion, the DR may include important explanatory text or comments with the electronic portion of a certification or recertification application, so long as the information is provided in an electronic format compatible with the other data required to be reported under this section.

[64 FR 28621, May 26, 1999, as amended at 67 FR 40443, June 12, 2002; 73 FR 4357, Jan. 24, 2008; 76 FR 17317, Mar. 28, 2011]

§ 75.64 Quarterly reports.

(a) *Electronic submission*. The designated representative for an affected unit shall electronically report the data and information in paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of this section to the Administrator quarterly, beginning with the data from the earlier of the calendar quarter corresponding to the date of provisional certification or the calendar quarter corresponding to the relevant deadline for initial certification in § 75.4(a), (b), or (c). The initial quarterly report shall contain hourly data beginning with the hour of provisional certification or the hour corresponding to the relevant certification deadline, whichever is earlier. For an affected unit subject to § 75.4(d) that is shutdown on the relevant compliance date in § 75.4(a) or has been placed in long-term cold storage (as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter), quarterly reports are not required. In such cases, the owner or operator shall submit quarterly reports for the unit beginning with the data from the quarter in which the unit recommences commercial operation (where the initial quarterly report contains hourly data beginning with the first hour of recommenced commercial operation of the unit). For units placed into long-term cold storage during a reporting quarter, the exemption from submitting quarterly reports begins with the calendar quarter following the date that the unit is placed into long-term cold storage. For any provisionally-certified monitoring system, § 75.20(a)(3) shall apply for initial certifications, and § 75.20(b)(5) shall apply for recertifications. Each electronic report must be submitted to the Administrator within 30 days following the end of each calendar quarter. Prior to January 1, 2008, each electronic report shall include for each affected unit (or group of units using a common stack), the information provided in paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(8) through (a)(15) of this section. During the time period of January 1, 2008 to January 1, 2009, each electronic report shall include, either the information provided in paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(8) through (a)(15) of this section or the information provided in paragraphs (a)(3) through (a)(15) of this section. On and after January 1, 2009, the owner or operator shall meet the requirements of paragraphs (a)(3) through (a)(15) of this section only. Each electronic report shall also include the date of report generation.

(1) Facility information:

(i) Identification, including:

(A) Facility/ORISPL number;

(B) Calendar quarter and year for the data contained in the report; and

(C) Version of the electronic data reporting format used for the report.

(ii) Location, including:

(A) Plant name and facility ID;

(B) EPA AIRS facility system ID;

(C) State facility ID;

(D) Source category/type;

(E) Primary SIC code;

(F) State postal abbreviation;

(G) County code; and

(H) Latitude and longitude.

(2) The information and hourly data required in § 75.53 and §§ 75.57 through 75.59, excluding the following:

(i) Descriptions of adjustments, corrective action, and maintenance;

(ii) Information which is incompatible with electronic reporting (e.g., field data sheets, lab analyses, quality control plan);

(iii) Opacity data listed in or § 75.57(f), and in § 75.59(a)(8);

(iv) For units with SO_2 or NO_X add-on emission controls that do not elect to use the approved site-specific parametric monitoring procedures for calculation of substitute data, the information in § 75.58(b)(3);

(v) [Reserved]

(vi) Information required by § 75.57(h) concerning the causes of any missing data periods and the actions taken to cure such causes;

(vii) Hardcopy monitoring plan information required by § 75.53 and hardcopy test data and results required by § 75.59;

(viii) Records of flow monitor and moisture monitoring system polynomial equations, coefficients, or ''K'' factors required by § 75.59(a)(5)(vi) or § 75.59(a)(5)(vii);

(ix) Daily fuel sampling information required by § 75.58(c)(3)(i) for units using assumed values under appendix D;

(x) Information required by §§ 75.59(b)(1)(vi), (vii), (viii), (ix), and (xiii), and (b)(2)(iii) and (iv) concerning fuel flowmeter accuracy tests and transmitter/transducer accuracy tests;

(xi) Stratification test results required as part of the RATA supplementary records under § 75.59(a)(7);

(xii) Data and results of RATAs that are aborted or invalidated due to problems with the reference method or operational problems with the unit and data and results of linearity checks that are aborted or invalidated due to problems unrelated to monitor performance; and

(xiii) Supplementary RATA information required under § 75.59(a)(7), except that:

(A) The applicable data elements under § 75.59(a)(7)(ii)(A) through (T) and under § 75.59(a)(7)(iii)(A) through (M) shall be reported for flow RATAs at circular or rectangular stacks (or ducts) in which angular compensation for yaw and/or pitch angles is used (*i.e.*, Method 2F or 2G in appendices A–1 and A–2 to part 60 of this chapter), with or without wall effects adjustments;

(B) The applicable data elements under § 75.59(a)(7)(ii)(A) through (T) and under § 75.59(a)(7)(iii)(A) through (M) shall be reported for any flow RATA run at a circular stack in which Method 2 in appendices A–1 and A–2 to part 60 of this chapter is used and a wall effects adjustment factor is determined by direct measurement;

(C) The data under § 75.59(a)(7)(ii)(T) shall be reported for all flow RATAs at circular stacks in which Method 2 in appendices A–1 and A–2 to part 60 of this chapter is used and a default wall effects adjustment factor is applied; and

(D) The data under § 75.59(a)(7)(ix)(A) through (F) shall be reported for all flow RATAs at rectangular stacks or ducts in which Method 2 in appendices A–1 and A–2 to part 60 of this chapter is used and a wall effects adjustment factor is applied.

(3) Facility identification information, including:

(i) Facility/ORISPL number;

(ii) Calendar quarter and year for the data contained in the report; and

(iii) Version of the electronic data reporting format used for the report.

(4) In accordance with § 75.62(a)(1), if any monitoring plan information required in § 75.53 requires an update, either under § 75.53(b) or elsewhere in this part, submission of the electronic monitoring plan update shall be completed prior to or concurrent with the submittal of the quarterly electronic data report for the appropriate quarter in which the update is required.

(5) The daily calibration error test and daily interference check information required in § 75.59(a)(1) and (a)(2) must always be included in the electronic quarterly emissions report. All other certification, quality assurance, and quality control information in § 75.59 that is not excluded from electronic reporting under paragraph (a)(2) or (a)(7) of this section shall be submitted separately, either prior to or concurrent with the submittal of the relevant electronic quarterly emissions report. However, reporting of the information in § 75.59(a)(9)(x) is not required until September 26, 2011, and reporting of the information in § 75.59(a)(15), (b)(6), and (d)(4) is not required until March 27, 2012.

(6) The information and hourly data required in §§75.57 through 75.59, and daily calibration error test data, daily interference check, and off-line calibration demonstration information required in §75.59(a)(1) and (2).

(7) Notwithstanding the requirements of paragraphs (a)(4) through (a)(6) of this section, the following information is excluded from electronic reporting:

(i) Descriptions of adjustments, corrective action, and maintenance;

(ii) Information which is incompatible with electronic reporting (e.g., field data sheets, lab analyses, quality control plan);

(iii) Opacity data listed in §75.57(f), and in §75.59(a)(8);

(iv) For units with SO_2 or NO_X add-on emission controls that do not elect to use the approved site-specific parametric monitoring procedures for calculation of substitute data, the information in §75.58(b)(3);

(v) Information required by §75.57(h) concerning the causes of any missing data periods and the actions taken to cure such causes;

(vi) Hardcopy monitoring plan information required by §75.53 and hardcopy test data and results required by §75.59;

(vii) Records of flow monitor and moisture monitoring system polynomial equations, coefficients, or ''K'' factors required by §75.59(a)(5)(vi) or §75.59(a)(5)(vii);

(viii) Daily fuel sampling information required by §75.58(c)(3)(i) for units using assumed values under appendix D of this part;

(ix) Information required by §§75.59(b)(1)(vi), (vii), (viii), (ix), and (xiii), and (b)(2)(iii) and (iv) concerning fuel flowmeter accuracy tests and transmitter/transducer accuracy tests;

(x) Stratification test results required as part of the RATA supplementary records under §75.59(a)(7);

(xi) Data and results of RATAs that are aborted or invalidated due to problems with the reference method or operational problems with the unit and data and results of linearity checks that are aborted or invalidated due to problems unrelated to monitor performance;

(xii) Supplementary RATA information required under §75.59(a)(7)(i) through §75.59(a)(7)(v), except that:

(A) The applicable data elements under §75.59(a)(7)(ii)(A) through (T) and under §75.59(a)(7)(iii)(A) through (M) shall be reported for flow RATAs at circular or rectangular stacks (or ducts) in which angular compensation for yaw and/or pitch angles is used (*i.e.*, Method 2F or 2G in appendices A–1 and A–2 to part 60 of this chapter), with or without wall effects adjustments;

(B) The applicable data elements under §75.59(a)(7)(ii)(A) through (T) and under §75.59(a)(7)(iii)(A) through (M) shall be reported for any flow RATA run at a circular stack in which Method 2 in appendices A–1 and A–2 to part 60 of this chapter is used and a wall effects adjustment factor is determined by direct measurement;

(C) The data under §75.59(a)(7)(ii)(T) shall be reported for all flow RATAs at circular stacks in which Method 2 in appendices A–1 and A–2 to part 60 of this chapter is used and a default wall effects adjustment factor is applied; and

(D) The data under §75.59(a)(7)(ix)(A) through (F) shall be reported for all flow RATAs at rectangular stacks or ducts in which Method 2 in appendices A–1 and A–2 to part 60 of this chapter is used and a wall effects adjustment factor is applied; and

(xiii) The certification required by section 6.1.2(b) of appendix A to this part and recorded under §75.57(a)(7).

(8) Tons (rounded to the nearest tenth) of SO_2 emitted during the quarter and cumulative SO_2 emissions for the calendar year.

(9) Average NO_X emission rate (lb/mmBtu, rounded to the nearest thousandth) during the quarter and cumulative NO_X emission rate for the calendar year.

(10) Tons of CO_2 emitted during quarter and cumulative CO_2 emissions for calendar year.

(11) Total heat input (mmBtu) for quarter and cumulative heat input for calendar year.

(12) Unit or stack or common pipe header operating hours for quarter and cumulative unit or stack or common pipe header operating hours for calendar year.

(13) For low mass emissions units for which the owner or operator is using

the optional low mass emissions methodology in § 75.19(c) to calculate NO_X mass emissions, the designated representative must also report tons (rounded to the nearest tenth) of NO_X emitted during the quarter and cumulative NO_X mass emissions for the calendar year.

(14) For low mass emissions units using the optional long term fuel flow methodology under § 75.19(c), for each quarter report the long term fuel flow for each fuel according to § 75.58(f)(2).

(15) For units using the optional fuel flow to load procedure in section 2.1.7 of appendix D to this part, report both the fuel flow-to-load baseline data and the results of the fuel flow-to-load test each quarter.

(b) The designated representative shall affirm that the component/system identification codes and formulas in the quarterly electronic reports, submitted to the Administrator pursuant to § 75.53, represent current operating conditions.

(c) *Compliance certification*. The designated representative shall submit a certification in support of each quarterly emissions monitoring report based on reasonable inquiry of those persons with primary responsibility for ensuring that all of the unit's emissions are correctly and fully monitored. The certification shall indicate whether the monitoring data submitted were recorded in accordance with the applicable requirements of this part including the quality control and quality assurance procedures and specifications of this part and its appendices, and any such requirements, procedures and specifications of an applicable excepted or approved alternative monitoring method. For a unit with add-on emission controls, the designated representative shall also include a certification, for all hours where data are substituted following the provisions of § 75.34(a)(1), that the add-on emission controls were operating within the range of parameters listed in the monitoring plan and that the substitute values recorded during the quarter do not systematically underestimate SO_2 or NO_X emissions, pursuant to § 75.34.

(d) *Electronic format*. Each quarterly report shall be submitted in a format to be specified by the Administrator, including both electronic submission of data and (unless otherwise approved by the Administrator) electronic submission of compliance certifications.

(e) [Reserved]

(f) *Method of submission*. Beginning with the quarterly report for the first quarter of the year 2001, all quarterly reports shall be submitted to EPA by direct computer-to-computer electronic transfer via EPA-provided software, unless otherwise approved by the Administrator.

(g) At his or her discretion, the DR may include important explanatory text or comments with an electronic quarterly report submittal, so long as the information is provided in a format that is compatible with the other data required to be reported under this section.

[64 FR 28622, May 26, 1999, as amended at 67 FR 40444, June 12, 2002; 73 FR 4357, Jan. 24, 2008; 76 FR 17317, Mar. 28, 2011]

§ 75.65 Opacity reports.

The owner or operator or designated representative shall report excess emissions of opacity recorded under § 75.57(f) to the applicable State or local air pollution control agency.

[64 FR 28623, May 26, 1999, as amended at 67 FR 40444, June 12, 2002]

§ 75.66 Petitions to the Administrator.

(a) *General*. The designated representative for an affected unit subject to the requirements of this part may submit a petition to the Administrator requesting that the Administrator exercise his or her discretion to approve an alternative to any requirement prescribed in this part or incorporated by reference in this part. Any such petition shall be submitted in accordance with the requirements of this section. The designated representative shall comply with the signatory requirements of § 72.21 of this chapter for each submission.

(b) *Alternative flow monitoring method petition*. In cases where no location exists for installation of a flow monitor in either the stack or the ducts serving an affected unit that satisfies the minimum physical siting criteria in appendix A of this part or where installation

of a flow monitor in either the stack or duct is demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Administrator to be technically infeasible, the designated representative for the affected unit may petition the Administrator for an alternative method for monitoring volumetric flow. The petition shall, at a minimum, contain the following information:

(1) Identification of the affected unit(s);

(2) Description of why the minimum siting criteria cannot be met within the existing ductwork or stack(s). This description shall include diagrams of the existing ductwork or stack, as well as documentation of any attempts to locate a flow monitor; and

(3) Description of proposed alternative method for monitoring flow.

(c) *Alternative to standards incorporated by reference.* The designated representative for an affected unit may apply to the Administrator for an alternative to any standard incorporated by reference and prescribed in this part. The designated representative shall include the following information in an application:

(1) A description of why the prescribed standard is not being used;

(2) A description and diagram(s) of any equipment and procedures used in the proposed alternative;

(3) Information demonstrating that the proposed alternative produces data acceptable for use in the Acid Rain Program, including accuracy and precision statements, NIST traceability certificates or protocols, or other supporting data, as applicable to the proposed alternative.

(d) *Alternative monitoring system petitions.* The designated representative for an affected unit may submit a petition to the Administrator for approval and certification of an alternative monitoring system or component according to the procedure in subpart E of this part. Each petition shall contain the information and data specified in subpart E, including the information specified in § 75.48, in a format to be specified by the Administrator.

(e) *Parametric monitoring procedure petitions.* The designated representative for an affected unit may submit a petition to the Administrator, where each petition shall contain the information specified in § 75.58(b) for the use of a parametric monitoring method. The Administrator will either:

(1) Publish a notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER indicating receipt of a parametric monitoring procedure petition;, or

(2) Notify interested parties of receipt of a parametric monitoring petition.

(f) [Reserved]

(g) *Petitions for emissions or heat input apportionments.* The designated representative of an affected unit shall provide information to describe a method for emissions or heat input apportionment under §§ 75.13, 75.16, 75.17, or appendix D of this part. This petition may be submitted as part of the monitoring plan. Such a petition shall contain, at a minimum, the following information:

(1) A description of the units, including their fuel type, their boiler type, and their categorization as Phase I units, substitution units, compensating units, Phase II units, new units, or non-affected units;

(2) A formula describing how the emissions or heat input are to be apportioned to which units;

(3) A description of the methods and parameters used to apportion the emissions or heat input; and

(4) Any other information necessary to demonstrate that the apportionment method accurately measures emissions or heat input and does not underestimate emissions or heat input from affected units.

(h) *Partial recertification petition.* The designated representative of an affected unit may provide information and petition the Administrator to specify which of the certification tests required by § 75.20 apply for partial recertification of the affected unit. Such a petition shall include the following information:

(1) Identification of the monitoring system(s) being changed;

(2) A description of the changes being made to the system;

(3) An explanation of why the changes are being made; and

(4) A description of the possible effect upon the monitoring system's ability

to measure, record, and report emissions.

(i) [Reserved]

(j) *Petition for alternative method of accounting for emissions prior to completion of certification tests*. The designated representative for an affected unit may submit a petition to the Administrator to use an alternative to the procedures in § 75.4(d)(3), (e)(3), (f)(3) or (g)(3) to account for emissions during the period between the compliance date for a unit and the completion of certification testing for that unit. The designated representative shall include:

(1) Identification of the affected unit(s);

(2) A detailed explanation of the alternative method to account for emissions of the following parameters, as applicable: SO_2 mass emissions (in lbs), NO_X emission rate (in lbs/mmBtu), CO_2 mass emissions (in lbs) and, if the unit is subject to the requirements of subpart H of this part, NO_X mass emissions (in lbs); and

(3) A demonstration that the proposed alternative does not underestimate emissions.

(k) *Petition for an alternative to the stabilization criteria for the cycle time test in section 6.4 of appendix A to this part*. The designated representative for an affected unit may submit a petition to the Administrator to use an alternative stabilization criteria for the cycle time test in section 6.4 of appendix A to this part, if the installed monitoring system does not record data in 1-minute or 3-minute intervals. The designated representative shall provide a description of the alternative criteria.

(l) *Any other petitions to the Administrator under this part*. Except for petitions addressed in paragraphs (b) through (k) of this section, any petition submitted under this paragraph shall include sufficient information for the evaluation of the petition, including, at a minimum, the following information:

(1) Identification of the affected plant and unit(s);

(2) A detailed explanation of why the proposed alternative is being suggested in lieu of the requirement;

(3) A description and diagram of any equipment and procedures used in the proposed alternative, if applicable;

(4) A demonstration that the proposed alternative is consistent with the purposes of the requirement for which the alternative is proposed and is consistent with the purposes of this part and of section 412 of the Act and that any adverse effect of approving such alternative will be *de minimis*; and

(5) Any other relevant information that the Administrator may require.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993,as amended at 60 FR 26540, 26569, May 17, 1995; 61 FR 59162, Nov. 20, 1996; 64 FR 28623, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40444, June 12, 2002; 73 FR 4358, Jan. 24, 2008]

§ 75.67 Retired units petitions.

(a) [Reserved]

(b) For combustion sources seeking to enter the Opt-in Program in accordance with part 74 of this chapter that will be permanently retired and governed upon entry into the Opt-in Program by a thermal energy plan in accordance with § 74.47 of this chapter, an exemption from the requirements of this part, including the requirement to install and certify a continuous emissions monitoring system, may be obtained from the Administrator if the designated representative submits to the Administrator a petition for such an exemption prior to the deadline in § 75.4 by which the continuous emission or opacity monitoring systems must complete the required certification tests.

[60 FR 17131, Apr. 4, 1995, as amended at 60 FR 26541, May 17, 1995; 62 FR 55487, Oct. 24, 1997]

Subpart H—NO_X Mass Emissions Provisions

SOURCE: 63 FR 57507, Oct. 27, 1998, unless otherwise noted.

§ 75.70 NO_X mass emissions provisions.

(a) *Applicability*. The owner or operator of a unit shall comply with the requirements of this subpart to the extent that compliance is required by an applicable State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program that incorporates by reference, or otherwise adopts the provisions of, this subpart.

(1) For purposes of this subpart, the term ''affected unit'' shall mean any unit that is subject to a State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program requiring compliance with this subpart, the term ''non-affected unit'' shall mean any unit that is not subject to such a program, the term ''permitting authority'' shall mean the permitting authority under an applicable State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program that adopts the requirements of this subpart, and the term ''designated representative'' shall mean the responsible party under the applicable State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program that adopts the requirements of this subpart.

(2) In addition, the provisions of subparts A, C, D, E, F, and G and appendices A through G of this part applicable to NO_X concentration, flow rate, NO_X emission rate and heat input, as set forth and referenced in this subpart, shall apply to the owner or operator of a unit required to meet the requirements of this subpart by a State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program. When applying these requirements, the term ''affected unit'' shall mean any unit that is subject to a State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program requiring compliance with this subpart, the term ''permitting authority'' shall mean the permitting authority under an applicable State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program that adopts the requirements of this subpart, and the term ''designated representative'' shall mean the responsible party under the applicable State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program that adopts the requirements of this subpart. The requirements of this part for SO_2, CO_2 and opacity monitoring, recordkeeping and reporting do not apply to units that are subject to a State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program only and are not affected units with an Acid Rain emission limitation.

(b) *Compliance dates*. The owner or operator of an affected unit shall meet the compliance deadlines established by an applicable State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program that adopts the requirements of this subpart.

(c) *Prohibitions*. (1) No owner or operator of an affected unit or a non-affected unit under § 75.72(b)(2)(ii) shall use any alternative monitoring system, alternative reference method, or any other alternative for the required continuous emission monitoring system without having obtained prior written approval in accordance with paragraph (h) of this section.

(2) No owner or operator of an affected unit or a non-affected unit under § 75.72(b)(2)(ii) shall operate the unit so as to discharge, or allow to be discharged emissions of NO_X to the atmosphere without accounting for all such emissions in accordance with the applicable provisions of this part, except as provided in § 75.74.

(3) No owner or operator of an affected unit or a non-affected unit under § 75.72(b)(2)(ii) shall disrupt the continuous emission monitoring system, any portion thereof, or any other approved emission monitoring method, and thereby avoid monitoring and recording NO_X mass emissions discharged into the atmosphere, except for periods of recertification or periods when calibration, quality assurance testing, or maintenance is performed in accordance with the provisions of this part applicable to monitoring systems under § 75.71, except as provided in § 75.74.

(4) No owner or operator of an affected unit or a non-affected unit under § 75.72(b)(2)(ii) shall retire or permanently discontinue use of the continuous emission monitoring system, any component thereof, or any other approved emission monitoring system under this part, except under any one of the following circumstances:

(i) During the period that the unit is covered by a retired unit exemption that is in effect under the State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program that adopts the requirements of this subpart;

(ii) The owner or operator is monitoring NO_X mass emissions from the affected unit with another certified monitoring system approved, in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (d) of this section; or

(iii) The designated representative submits notification of the date of certification testing of a replacement monitoring system in accordance with § 75.61.

(d) *Initial certification and recertification procedures.* (1) The owner or operator of an affected unit that is subject to an Acid Rain emissions limitation shall comply with the initial certification and recertification procedures in § 75.20 of this part, except that the owner or operator shall meet any additional requirements set forth in an applicable State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program that adopts the requirements of this subpart.

(2) The owner or operator of an affected unit that is not subject to an Acid Rain emissions limitation shall comply with the initial certification and recertification procedures established by an applicable State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program that adopts the requirements of this subpart. The owner or operator of an affected unit that is subject to an Acid Rain emissions limitation shall comply with the initial certification and recertification procedures established by an applicable State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program that adopts the requirements of this subpart for any additional NO_X-diluent CEMS, flow monitors, diluent monitors or NO_X concentration monitoring system required under the NO_X mass emissions provisions of § 75.71 or the common stack provisions in § 75.72.

(e) *Quality assurance and quality control requirements.* For units that use continuous emission monitoring systems to account for NO_X mass emissions, the owner or operator shall meet the applicable quality assurance and quality control requirements in § 75.21, appendix B to this part, and § 75.74(c) for the NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring systems, flow monitoring systems, NO_X concentration monitoring systems, moisture monitoring systems, and diluent monitors required under § 75.71. Units using the low mass emissions excepted methodology under § 75.19 shall meet the applicable quality assurance requirements of that section, except as otherwise provided in § 75.74(c). Units using excepted monitoring methods under appendices D and E to this part shall meet the applicable quality assurance requirements of those appendices.

(f) *Missing data procedures.* Except as provided in § 75.34, paragraph (g) of this section, and § 75.74(c)(7), the owner or operator shall provide substitute data from monitoring systems required under § 75.71 for each affected unit as follows:

(1) For an owner or operator using a continuous emissions monitoring system, substitute for missing data in accordance with the applicable missing data procedures in §§ 75.31 through 75.37 whenever the unit combusts fuel and:

(i) A valid, quality-assured hour of NO_X emission rate data (in lb/mmBtu) has not been measured and recorded for a unit by a certified NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system or by an approved monitoring system under subpart E of this part;

(ii) A valid, quality-assured hour of flow data (in scfh) has not been measured and recorded for a unit from a certified flow monitor or by an approved alternative monitoring system under subpart E of this part;

(iii) A valid, quality-assured hour of heat input rate data (in mmBtu/hr) has not been measured and recorded for a unit from a certified flow monitor and a certified diluent (CO_2 or O_2) monitor or by an approved alternative monitoring system under subpart E of this part, where heat input is required either for calculating NO_X mass or allocating allowances under the applicable State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program that adopts the requirements of this subpart;

(iv) A valid, quality-assured hour of NO_X concentration data (in ppm) has not been measured and recorded by a certified NO_X concentration monitoring system, or by an approved alternative monitoring method under subpart E of this part, where the owner or operator chooses to use a NO_X concentration monitoring system with a flow monitor, to calculate NO_X mass emissions. The initial missing data procedures for determining monitor data availability and the standard missing data procedures for a NO_X concentration monitoring system shall be the same as the procedures specified

for a NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system under §§ 75.31, 75.32, and 75.33; or

(v) A valid, quality-assured hour of moisture data (in percent H_2O) has not been measured or recorded for an affected unit, either by a certified moisture monitoring system or an approved alternative monitoring method under subpart E of this part. This requirement does not apply when a default percent moisture value, as provided in § 75.11(b) or § 75.12(b), is used to account for the hourly moisture content of the stack gas.

(2) For an owner or operator using an excepted monitoring system under appendix D or E of this part, substitute for missing data in accordance with the missing data procedures in section 2.4 of appendix D to this part or in section 2.5 of appendix E to this part whenever the unit combusts fuel and:

(i) A valid, quality-assured hour of fuel flow rate data has not been measured and recorded by a certified fuel flowmeter that is part of an excepted monitoring system under appendix D or E of this part; or

(ii) A fuel sample value for gross calorific value, or if necessary, density or specific gravity, from a sample taken an analyzed in accordance with appendix D of this part is not available; or

(iii) A valid, quality-assured hour of NO_X emission rate data has not been obtained according to the procedures and specifications of appendix E to this part.

(g) *Reporting data prior to initial certification*. If the owner or operator of an affected unit has not successfully completed all certification tests required by the State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program that adopts the requirements of this subpart by the applicable date required by that program, he or she shall determine, record and report hourly data prior to initial certification using one of the following procedures, consistent with the monitoring equipment to be certified:

(1) For units that the owner or operator intends to monitor for NO_X mass emissions using NO_X emission rate and heat input rate, the maximum potential NO_X emission rate and the maximum potential hourly heat input of the unit, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter.

(2) For units that the owner or operator intends to monitor for NO_X mass emissions using a NO_X concentration monitoring system and a flow monitoring system, the maximum potential concentration of NO_X and the maximum potential flow rate, as defined in section 2.1.4.1 of appendix A to this part;

(3) For any unit, the reference methods under § 75.22 of this part.

(4) For any unit using the low mass emission excepted monitoring methodology under § 75.19, the procedures in paragraphs (g)(1) or (2) of this section.

(5) Any unit using the procedures in paragraph (g)(2) of this section that is required to report heat input for purposes of allocating allowances shall also report the maximum potential hourly heat input of the unit, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter.

(6) For any unit using continuous emissions monitors, the conditional data validation procedures in § 75.20(b)(3)(ii) through (b)(3)(ix).

(h) *Petitions*. (1) The designated representative of an affected unit that is subject to an Acid Rain emissions limitation may submit a petition to the Administrator requesting an alternative to any requirement of this subpart. Such a petition shall meet the requirements of § 75.66 and any additional requirements established by an applicable State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program that adopts the requirements of this subpart. Use of an alternative to any requirement of this subpart is in accordance with this subpart and with such State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program only to the extent that the petition is approved by the Administrator, in consultation with the permitting authority.

(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (h)(1) of this section, petitions requesting an alternative to a requirement concerning any additional CEMS required solely to meet the common stack provisions of § 75.72 shall be submitted to the permitting authority and the Administrator and shall be governed by paragraph (h)(3)(ii) of this section.

Such a petition shall meet the requirements of § 75.66 and any additional requirements established by an applicable State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program that adopts the requirements of this subpart.

(3)(i) The designated representative of an affected unit that is not subject to an Acid Rain emissions limitation may submit a petition to the permitting authority and the Administrator requesting an alternative to any requirement of this subpart. Such a petition shall meet the requirements of § 75.66 and any additional requirements established by an applicable State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program that adopts the requirements of this subpart.

(ii) Use of an alternative to any requirement of this subpart is in accordance with this subpart only to the extent that it is approved by the Administrator and by the permitting authority if required by an applicable State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program that adopts the requirements of this subpart.

[63 FR 57507, Oct. 27, 1998, as amended at 64 FR 28624, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40444, June 12, 2002]

§ 75.71 Specific provisions for monitoring NO_X and heat input for the purpose of calculating NO_X mass emissions.

(a) *Coal-fired units.* The owner or operator of a coal-fired affected unit shall either:

(1) Meet the general operating requirements in § 75.10 for a NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system (consisting of a NO_X pollutant concentration monitor, an O_2 or CO_2 diluent gas monitor, and a data acquisition and handling system) to measure NO_X emission rate and for a flow monitoring system and an O_2 or CO_2 diluent gas monitoring system to measure heat input rate, except as provided in accordance with subpart E of this part; or

(2) Meet the general operating requirements in § 75.10 for a NO_X concentration monitoring system (consisting of a NO_X pollutant concentration monitor and a data acquisition and handling system) to measure NO_X concentration and for a flow monitoring system. In addition, if heat input is required to be reported under the applicable State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program that adopts the requirements of this subpart, the owner or operator also must meet the general operating requirements for a flow monitoring system and an O_2 or CO_2 monitoring system to measure heat input rate. These requirements must be met, except as provided in accordance with subpart E of this part.

(b) *Moisture correction.* (1) If a correction for the stack gas moisture content is needed to properly calculate the NO_X emission rate in lb/mmBtu (e.g., if the NO_X pollutant concentration monitor in a NO_X-diluent monitoring system measures on a different moisture basis from the diluent monitor), or to calculate the heat input rate, the owner or operator of an affected unit shall account for the moisture content of the flue gas on a continuous basis in accordance with § 75.12(b).

(2) If a correction for the stack gas moisture content is needed to properly calculate NO_X mass emissions in tons, in the case where a NO_X concentration monitoring system which measures on a dry basis is used with a flow rate monitor to determine NO_X mass emissions, the owner or operator of an affected unit shall account for the moisture content of the flue gas on a continuous basis in accordance with § 75.11(b) except that the term ''SO_2'' shall be replaced by the term ''NO_X.''

(3) If a correction for the stack gas moisture content is needed to properly calculate NO_X mass emissions, in the case where a diluent monitor that measures on a dry basis is used with a flow rate monitor to determine heat input rate, which is then multiplied by the NO_X emission rate, the owner or operator shall install, operate, maintain, and quality assure a continuous moisture monitoring system, as described in § 75.11(b).

(c) *Gas-fired nonpeaking units or oil-fired nonpeaking units.* The owner or operator of an affected unit that, based on information submitted by the designated representative in the monitoring plan, qualifies as a gas-fired or oil-fired unit but not as a peaking unit, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter, shall either:

(1) Meet the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section and, if applicable, paragraph (b) of this section; or

(2) Meet the general operating requirements in § 75.10 for a NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system, except as provided in accordance with subpart E of this part, and use the procedures specified in appendix D to this part for determining hourly heat input rate. However, for a common pipe configuration, the heat input rate apportionment provisions in section 2.1.2 of appendix D to this part shall not be used to meet the NO_X mass reporting provisions of this subpart, unless all of the units served by the common pipe are affected units and have similar efficiencies; or

(3) Meet the requirements of the low mass emission excepted methodology under paragraph (e)(2) of this section and under § 75.19, if applicable.

(d) *Gas-fired or oil-fired peaking units.* The owner or operator of an affected unit that qualifies as a peaking unit and as either gas-fired or oil-fired, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter, based on information submitted by the designated representative in the monitoring plan, shall either:

(1) Meet the requirements of paragraph (c) of this section; or

(2) Use the procedures in appendix D to this part for determining hourly heat input and the procedure specified in appendix E to this part for estimating hourly NO_X emission rate. However, for a common pipe configuration, the heat input apportionment provisions in section 2.1.2 of appendix D to this part shall not be used to meet the NO_X mass reporting provisions of this subpart unless all of the units served by the common pipe are affected units and have similar efficiencies. In addition, if after certification of an excepted monitoring system under appendix E to this part, the operation of a unit that reports emissions on an annual basis under § 75.74(a) of this part exceeds a capacity factor of 20.0 percent in any calendar year or exceeds an annual capacity factor of 10.0 percent averaged over three years, or the operation of a unit that reports emissions on an ozone season basis under § 75.74(b) of this part exceeds a capacity factor of 20.0 percent in any ozone season or exceeds an ozone season capacity factor of 10.0 percent averaged over three years, the owner or operator shall meet the requirements of paragraph (c)(1) or (c)(2) of this section by no later than December 31 of the following calendar year. If the required CEMS are not installed and certified by that date, the owner or operator shall report hourly NO_X mass emissions as the product of the maximum potential NO_X emission rate (MER) and the maximum hourly heat input of the unit (as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter), starting with the first unit operating hour after the deadline and continuing until the CEMS are provisionally certified.

(e) *Low mass emissions units.* Notwithstanding the requirements of paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section, for an affected unit using the low mass emissions (LME) unit under § 75.19 to estimate hourly NO_X emission rate, heat input and NO_X mass emissions, the owner or operator shall calculate the ozone season NO_X mass emissions by summing all of the estimated hourly NO_X mass emissions in the ozone season, as determined under § 75.19 (c)(4)(ii)(A), and dividing this sum by 2000 lb/ton.

(f) *Other units.* The owner or operator of an affected unit that combusts wood, refuse, or other materials shall comply with the monitoring provisions specified in paragraph (a) of this section and, where applicable, paragraph (b) of this section.

[63 FR 57508, Oct. 27, 1998, as amended at 64 FR 28624, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40444, 40445, June 12, 2002; 67 FR 53505, Aug. 16, 2002; 73 FR 4358, Jan. 24, 2008]

§ 75.72 Determination of NO_X mass emissions for common stack and multiple stack configurations.

The owner or operator of an affected unit shall either: calculate hourly NO_X mass emissions (in lbs) by multiplying the hourly NO_X emission rate (in lbs/mmBtu) by the hourly heat input rate (in mmBtu/hr) and the unit or stack operating time (as defined in § 72.2), or, as provided in paragraph (e) of this section, calculate hourly NO_X mass emissions from the hourly NO_X concentration (in ppm) and the hourly stack flow rate (in scfh). Only one methodology for determining NO_X mass emissions

shall be identified in the monitoring plan for each monitoring location at any given time. The owner or operator shall also calculate quarterly and cumulative year-to-date NO_X mass emissions and cumulative NO_X mass emissions for the ozone season (in tons) by summing the hourly NO_X mass emissions according to the procedures in section 8 of appendix F to this part.

(a) *Unit utilizing common stack with other affected unit(s).* When an affected unit utilizes a common stack with one or more affected units, but no nonaffected units, the owner or operator shall either:

(1) Install, certify, operate, and maintain a NO_X-diluent continuous emissions monitoring system and a flow monitoring system in the common stack, record the combined NO_X mass emissions for the units exhausting to the common stack, and, for purposes of determining the hourly unit heat input rates, either:

(i) Apportion the common stack heat input rate to the individual units according to the procedures in § 75.16(e)(3); or

(ii) Install, certify, operate, and maintain a flow monitoring system and diluent monitor in the duct to the common stack from each unit; or

(iii) If any of the units using the common stack are eligible to use the procedures in appendix D to this part,

(A) Use the procedures in appendix D to this part to determine heat input rate for that unit; and

(B) Install, certify, operate, and maintain a flow monitoring system and a diluent monitor in the duct to the common stack for each remaining unit; or

(2) Install, certify, operate, and maintain a NO_X-diluent continuous emissions monitoring system in the duct to the common stack from each unit and, for purposes of heat input determination, either:

(i) Install, certify, operate, and maintain a flow monitoring system in the duct to the common stack from each unit; or

(ii) For any unit using the common stack and eligible to use the procedures in appendix D to this part,

(A) Use the procedures in appendix D to determine heat input rate for that unit; and

(B) Install, certify, operate, and maintain a flow monitoring system in the duct to the common stack for each remaining unit.

(b) *Unit utilizing common stack with nonaffected unit(s).* When one or more affected units utilizes a common stack with one or more nonaffected units, the owner or operator shall either:

(1) Install, certify, operate, and maintain a NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system in the duct to the common stack from each affected unit and, for purposes of heat input determination,

(i) Install, certify, operate, and maintain a flow monitoring system in the duct to the common stack from each affected unit; or

(ii) For any affected unit using the common stack and eligible to use the procedures in appendix D to this part,

(A) Use the procedures in appendix D to determine heat input for that unit; however, for a common pipe configuration, the heat input apportionment provisions in section 2.1.2 of appendix D to this part shall not be used to meet the NO_X mass reporting provisions of this subpart unless all of the units served by the common pipe are affected units and have similar efficiencies; and

(B) Install, certify, operate, and maintain a flow monitoring system in the duct to the common stack for each remaining affected unit that exhausts to the common stack; or

(2) Install, certify, operate, and maintain a NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system in the common stack; and

(i) Designate the nonaffected units as affected units in accordance with the applicable State or federal NO_X mass emissions reduction program and meet the requirements of paragraph (a)(1) of this section; or

(ii) Install, certify, operate, and maintain a flow monitoring system in the common stack and a NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system in the duct to the common stack from each nonaffected unit. The designated representative shall submit a petition to the permitting authority

and the Administrator to allow a method of calculating and reporting the NO_X mass emissions from the affected units as the difference between NO_X mass emissions measured in the common stack and NO_X mass emissions measured in the ducts of the nonaffected units, not to be reported as an hourly value less than zero. The permitting authority and the Administrator may approve such a method whenever the designated representative demonstrates, to the satisfaction of the permitting authority and the Administrator, that the method ensures that the NO_X mass emissions from the affected units are not underestimated. In addition, the owner or operator shall also either:

(A) Install, certify, operate, and maintain a flow monitoring system in the duct from each nonaffected unit or,

(B) For any nonaffected unit exhausting to the common stack and otherwise eligible to use the procedures in appendix D to this part, determine heat input rate using the procedures in appendix D for that unit. However, for a common pipe serving both affected and non-affected units, the heat input rate apportionment provisions in section 2.1.2 of appendix D to this part shall not be used to meet the NO_X mass reporting provisions of this subpart. For any remaining nonaffected unit that exhausts to the common stack, install, certify, operate, and maintain a flow monitoring system in the duct to the common stack; or

(iii) Install a flow monitoring system in the common stack and record the combined emissions from all units as the combined NO_X mass emissions for the affected units for recordkeeping and compliance purposes, in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section; or

(iv) Submit a petition to the permitting authority and the Administrator to allow use of a method for apportioning NO_X mass emissions measured in the common stack to each of the units using the common stack and for reporting the NO_X mass emissions. The permitting authority and the Administrator may approve such a method whenever the designated representative demonstrates, to the satisfaction of the permitting authority and the Administrator, that the method ensures that the NO_X mass emissions from the affected units are not underestimated.

(c) *Unit with a main stack and a bypass stack.* Whenever any portion of the flue gases from an affected unit can be routed through a bypass stack to avoid the installed NO_X-diluent continuous emissions monitoring system or NO_X concentration monitoring system, the owner and operator shall either:

(1) Install, certify, operate, and maintain separate NO_X-diluent continuous emissions monitoring systems and flow monitoring systems on the main stack and the bypass stack and calculate NO_X mass emissions for the unit as the sum of the NO_X mass emissions measured at the two stacks;

(2) Monitor NO_X mass emissions at the main stack using a NO_X-diluent CEMS and a flow monitoring system and measure NO_X mass emissions at the bypass stack using the reference methods in § 75.22(b) for NO_X concentration, flow rate, and diluent gas concentration, or NO_X concentration and flow rate, and calculate NO_X mass emissions for the unit as the sum of the emissions recorded by the installed monitoring systems on the main stack and the emissions measured by the reference method monitoring systems; or

(3) Install, certify, operate, and maintain a NO_X-diluent CEMS and a flow monitoring system only on the main stack. If this option is chosen, it is not necessary to designate the exhaust configuration as a multiple stack configuration in the monitoring plan required under § 75.53, since only the main stack is monitored. For each unit operating hour in which the bypass stack is used and the emissions are either uncontrolled (or the add-on controls are not documented to be operating properly), report NO_X mass emissions as follows. If the unit heat input is determined using a flow monitor and a diluent monitor, report NO_X mass emissions using the maximum potential NO_X emission rate, the maximum potential flow rate, and either the maximum potential CO_2 concentration or the minimum potential O_2 concentration (as applicable). The maximum potential NO_X emission rate may be specific to the type of fuel combusted in the unit during the bypass

(see § 75.33(c)(8)). If the unit heat input is determined using a fuel flowmeter, in accordance with appendix D to this part, report NO_X mass emissions as the product of the maximum potential NO_X emission rate and the actual measured hourly heat input rate. Alternatively, for a unit with NO_X add-on emission controls, for each unit operating hour in which the bypass stack is used but the add-on NO_X emission controls are not bypassed, the owner or operator may report the maximum controlled NO_X emission rate (MCR) instead of the maximum potential NO_X emission rate provided that the add-on controls are documented to be operating properly, as described in the quality assurance/quality control program for the unit, required by section 1 in appendix B of this part. To provide the necessary documentation, the owner or operator shall record parametric data to verify the proper operation of the NO_X add-on emission controls as described in § 75.34(d). Furthermore, the owner or operator shall calculate the MCR using the procedure described in section 2.1.2.1(b) of appendix A to this part by replacing the words ''maximum potential NO_X emission rate (MER)'' with the words ''maximum controlled NO_X emission rate (MCR)'' and by using the NO_X MEC in the calculations instead of the NO_X MPC.

(d) *Unit with multiple stack or duct configuration.* When the flue gases from an affected unit discharge to the atmosphere through more than one stack, or when the flue gases from an affected unit utilize two or more ducts feeding into a single stack and the owner or operator chooses to monitor in the ducts rather than in the stack, the owner or operator shall either:

(1) Install, certify, operate, and maintain a NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system and a flow monitoring system in each of the multiple stacks and determine NO_X mass emissions from the affected unit as the sum of the NO_X mass emissions recorded for each stack. If another unit also exhausts flue gases into one of the monitored stacks, the owner or operator shall comply with the applicable requirements of paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section, in order to properly determine the NO_X mass emissions from the units using that stack;

(2) Install, certify, operate, and maintain a NO_X-diluent continuous emissions monitoring system and a flow monitoring system in each of the ducts that feed into the stack, and determine NO_X mass emissions from the affected unit using the sum of the NO_X mass emissions measured at each duct; or

(3) If the unit is eligible to use the procedures in appendix D to this part and if the conditions and restrictions of § 75.17(c)(2) are fully met, install, certify, operate, and maintain a NO_X-diluent continuous emissions monitoring system in one of the ducts feeding into the stack or in one of the multiple stacks, (as applicable) in accordance with § 75.17(c)(2), and use the procedures in appendix D to this part to determine heat input rate for the unit.

(e) *Units using a NO_X concentration monitoring system and a flow monitoring system to determine NO_X mass.* The owner or operator may use a NO_X concentration monitoring system and a flow monitoring system to determine NO_X mass emissions for the cases described in paragraphs (a) through (c) of this section and in paragraph (d)(1) or paragraph (d)(2) of this section (in place of a NO_X-diluent continuous emissions monitoring system and a flow monitoring system). However, this option may not be used for the case described in paragraph (d)(3) of this section. When using this approach, calculate NO_X mass according to sections 8.2 and 8.3 in appendix F to this part. In addition, if an applicable State or federal NO_X mass reduction program requires determination of a unit's heat input, the owner or operator must either:

(1) Install, certify, operate, and maintain a CO_2 or O_2 diluent monitor in the same location as each flow monitoring system. In addition, the owner or operator must provide heat input rate values for each unit utilizing a common stack. The owner or operator may either:

(i) Apportion heat input rate from the common stack to each unit according to § 75.16(e)(3), where all units utilizing the common stack are affected units, or

(ii) Measure heat input from each affected unit, using a flow monitor and a CO_2 or O_2 diluent monitor in the duct from each affected unit; or

(2) For units that are eligible to use appendix D to this part, use the procedures in appendix D to this part to determine heat input rate for the unit. However, the use of a fuel flowmeter in a common pipe header and the provisions of sections 2.1.2.1 and 2.1.2.2 of appendix D of this part are not applicable to any unit that is using the provisions of this subpart to monitor, record, and report NO_X mass emissions under a State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program and that shares a common pipe with a nonaffected unit.

(f) [Reserved]

(g) *Procedures for apportioning heat input to the unit level.* If the owner or operator of a unit using the common stack monitoring provisions in paragraphs (a) or (b) of this section does not monitor and record heat input at the unit level and the owner or operator is required to do so under an applicable State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program, apportion heat input from the common stack to each unit according to § 75.16(e)(3).

[63 FR 57507, Oct. 27, 1998, as amended at 67 FR 40445, June 12, 2002; 73 FR 4358, Jan. 24, 2008]

§ 75.73 Recordkeeping and reporting.

(a) *General recordkeeping provisions.* The owner or operator of any affected unit shall maintain for each affected unit and each non-affected unit under § 75.72(b)(2)(ii) a file of all measurements, data, reports, and other information required by this part at the source in a form suitable for inspection for at least three (3) years from the date of each record. Except for the certification data required in § 75.57(a)(4) and the initial submission of the monitoring plan required in § 75.57(a)(5), the data shall be collected beginning with the earlier of the date of provisional certification or the compliance deadline in § 75.70(b). The certification data required in § 75.57(a)(4) shall be collected beginning with the date of the first certification test performed. The file shall contain the following information:

(1) The information required in §§ 75.57(a)(2), (a)(4), (a)(5), (a)(6), (b), (c)(2), (d), (g), and (h).

(2) The information required in §§ 75.58(b)(2) or (b)(3) (for units with add-on NO_X emission controls), as applicable, (d) (as applicable for units using Appendix E to this part), and (f) (as applicable for units using the low mass emissions unit provisions of § 75.19).

(3) For each hour when the unit is operating, NO_X mass emissions, calculated in accordance with section 8.1 of appendix F to this part.

(4) During the second and third calendar quarters, cumulative ozone season heat input and cumulative ozone season operating hours.

(5) Heat input and NO_X methodologies for the hour.

(6) *Specific heat input record provisions for gas-fired or oil-fired units using the procedures in appendix D to this part.* In lieu of the information required in § 75.57(c)(2), the owner or operator shall record the information in § 75.58(c) for each affected gas-fired or oil-fired unit and each non-affected gas- or oil-fired unit under § 75.72(b)(2)(ii) for which the owner or operator is using the procedures in appendix D to this part for estimating heat input.

(7) *Specific NO_X record provisions for gas-fired or oil-fired units using the optional low mass emissions excepted methodology in § 75.19.* In lieu of recording the information in §§ 75.57(b), (c)(2), (d), and (g), the owner or operator shall record, for each hour when the unit is operating for any portion of the hour, the following information for each affected low mass emissions unit for which the owner or operator is using the low mass emissions excepted methodology in § 75.19(c):

(i) Date and hour;

(ii) If one type of fuel is combusted in the hour, fuel type (pipeline natural gas, natural gas, residual oil, or diesel fuel) or, if more than one type of fuel is combusted in the hour, the fuel type which results in the highest emission factors for NO_X;

(iii) Average hourly NO_X emission rate (in lb/mmBtu, rounded to the nearest thousandth); and

(iv) Hourly NO_X mass emissions (in lbs, rounded to the nearest tenth).

(8) Formulas from monitoring plan for total NO_X mass.

(b) *Certification, quality assurance and quality control record provisions.* The owner or operator of any affected unit shall record the applicable information in § 75.59 for each affected unit or group of units monitored at a common stack and each non-affected unit under § 75.72(b)(2)(ii).

(c) *Monitoring plan recordkeeping provisions*—(1) *General provisions.* The owner or operator of an affected unit shall prepare and maintain a monitoring plan for each affected unit or group of units monitored at a common stack and each non-affected unit under § 75.72(b)(2)(ii). Except as provided in paragraph (d) or (f) of this section, a monitoring plan shall contain sufficient information on the continuous emission monitoring systems, excepted methodology under § 75.19, or excepted monitoring systems under appendix D or E to this part and the use of data derived from these systems to demonstrate that all the unit's No_X emissions are monitored and reported.

(2) Whenever the owner or operator makes a replacement, modification, or change in the certified continuous emission monitoring system, excepted methodology under § 75.19, excepted monitoring system under appendix D or E to this part, or alternative monitoring system under subpart E of this part, including a change in the automated data acquisition and handling system or in the flue gas handling system, that affects information reported in the monitoring plan (e.g., a change to a serial number for a component of a monitoring system), then the owner or operator shall update the monitoring plan.

(3) *Contents of the monitoring plan for units not subject to an Acid Rain emissions limitation.* Prior to January 1, 2009, each monitoring plan shall contain the information in § 75.53(e)(1) or § 75.53(g)(1) in electronic format and the information in § 75.53(e)(2) or § 75.53(g)(2) in hardcopy format. On and after January 1, 2009, each monitoring plan shall contain the information in § 75.53(g)(1) in electronic format and the information in § 75.53(g)(2) in hardcopy format, only. In addition, to the extent applicable, prior to January 1, 2009, each monitoring plan shall contain the information in § 75.53(f)(1)(i), (f)(2)(i), and (f)(4) or § 75.53(h)(1)(i), and (h)(2)(i) in electronic format and the information in § 75.53(f)(1)(ii) and (f)(2)(ii) or § 75.53(h)(1)(ii) and (h)(2)(ii) in hardcopy format. On and after January 1, 2009, each monitoring plan shall contain the information in § 75.53(h)(1)(i), and (h)(2)(i) in electronic format and the information in § 75.53(h)(1)(ii) and (h)(2)(ii) in hardcopy format, only. For units using the low mass emissions excepted methodology under § 75.19, prior to January 1, 2009, the monitoring plan shall include the additional information in § 75.53(f)(5)(i) and (f)(5)(ii) or § 75.53(h)(4)(i) and (h)(4)(ii). On and after January 1, 2009, for units using the low mass emissions excepted methodology under § 75.19 the monitoring plan shall include the additional information in § 75.53(h)(4)(i) and (h)(4)(ii), only. Prior to January 1, 2008, the monitoring plan shall also identify, in electronic format, the reporting schedule for the affected unit (ozone season or quarterly), and the beginning and end dates for the reporting schedule. The monitoring plan also shall include a seasonal controls indicator, and an ozone season fuel-switching flag.

(d) *General reporting provisions.* (1) The designated representative for an affected unit shall comply with all reporting requirements in this section and with any additional requirements set forth in an applicable State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program that adopts the requirements of this subpart.

(2) The designated representative for an affected unit shall submit the following for each affected unit or group of units monitored at a common stack and each non-affected unit under § 75.72(b)(2)(ii):

(i) Initial certification and recertification applications in accordance with § 75.70(d);

(ii) Monitoring plans in accordance with paragraph (e) of this section; and

(iii) Quarterly reports in accordance with paragraph (f) of this section.

(3) *Other petitions and communications.* The designated representative for an affected unit shall submit petitions, correspondence, application forms, and

petition-related test results in accordance with the provisions in § 75.70(h).

(4) *Quality assurance RATA reports.* If requested by the permitting authority, the designated representative of an affected unit shall submit the quality assurance RATA report for each affected unit or group of units monitored at a common stack and each non-affected unit under § 75.72(b)(2)(ii) by the later of 45 days after completing a quality assurance RATA according to section 2.3 of appendix B to this part or 15 days of receiving the request. The designated representative shall report the hardcopy information required by § 75.59(a)(9) to the permitting authority.

(5) *Notifications.* The designated representative for an affected unit shall submit written notice to the permitting authority according to the provisions in § 75.61 for each affected unit or group of units monitored at a common stack and each non-affected unit under § 75.72(b)(2)(ii).

(6) *Routine appendix E retest reports.* If requested by the applicable EPA Regional Office, appropriate State, and/or appropriate local air pollution control agency, the designated representative shall submit a hardcopy report within 45 days after completing a required periodic retest according to section 2.2 of appendix E to this part, or within 15 days of receiving the request, whichever is later. The designated representative shall report the hardcopy information required by § 75.59(b)(5) to the applicable EPA Regional Office, appropriate State, and/or appropriate local air pollution control agency that requested the hardcopy report.

(e) *Monitoring plan reporting*—(1) *Electronic submission.* The designated representative for an affected unit shall submit to the Administrator a complete, electronic, up-to-date monitoring plan file for each affected unit or group of units monitored at a common stack and each non-affected unit under § 75.72(b)(2)(ii), no later than 21 days prior to the initial certification test; at the time of a certification or recertification application submission; and whenever an update of the electronic monitoring plan is required, either under § 75.53 or elsewhere in this part.

(2) *Hardcopy submission.* The designated representative of an affected unit shall submit all of the hardcopy information required under § 75.53, for each affected unit or group of units monitored at a common stack and each non-affected unit under § 75.72(b)(2)(ii), to the permitting authority prior to initial certification. Thereafter, the designated representative shall submit hardcopy information only if that portion of the monitoring plan is revised. The designated representative shall submit the required hardcopy information as follows: no later than 21 days prior to the initial certification test; with any certification or recertification application, if a hardcopy monitoring plan change is associated with the recertification event; and within 30 days of any other event with which a hardcopy monitoring plan change is associated, pursuant to § 75.53(b). Electronic submittal of all monitoring plan information, including hardcopy portions, is permissible provided that a paper copy of the hardcopy portions can be furnished upon request.

(f) *Quarterly reports*—(1) *Electronic submission.* The designated representative for an affected unit shall electronically report the data and information in this paragraph (f)(1) and in paragraphs (f)(2) and (3) of this section to the Administrator quarterly, unless the unit has been placed in long-term cold storage (as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter). For units placed into long-term cold storage during a reporting quarter, the exemption from submitting quarterly reports begins with the calendar quarter following the date that the unit is placed into long-term cold storage. In such cases, the owner or operator shall submit quarterly reports for the unit beginning with the data from the quarter in which the unit recommences operation (where the initial quarterly report contains hourly data beginning with the first hour of recommenced operation of the unit). Each electronic report must be submitted to the Administrator within 30 days following the end of each calendar quarter. Except as otherwise provided in § 75.64(a)(4) and (a)(5), each electronic report shall include the information provided in paragraphs (f)(1)(i) through (1)(vi) of this section, and shall

also include the date of report generation. Prior to January 1, 2009, each report shall include the facility information provided in paragraphs (f)(1)(i)(A) and (B) of this section, for each affected unit or group of units monitored at a common stack. On and after January 1, 2009, only the facility identification information provided in paragraph (f)(1)(i)(A) of this section is required.

(i) Facility information:

(A) Identification, including:

(*1*) Facility/ORISPL number;

(*2*) Calendar quarter and year data contained in the report; and

(*3*) Electronic data reporting format version used for the report.

(B) Location of facility, including:

(*1*) Plant name and facility identification code;

(*2*) EPA AIRS facility system identification code;

(*3*) State facility identification code;

(*4*) Source category/type;

(*5*) Primary SIC code;

(*6*) State postal abbreviation;

(*7*) FIPS county code; and

(*8*) Latitude and longitude.

(ii) The information and hourly data required in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section, except for:

(A) Descriptions of adjustments, corrective action, and maintenance;

(B) Information which is incompatible with electronic reporting (e.g., field data sheets, lab analyses, quality control plan);

(C) For units with NO_X add-on emission controls that do not elect to use the approved site-specific parametric monitoring procedures for calculation of substitute data, the information in § 75.58(b)(3);

(D) Information required by § 75.57(h) concerning the causes of any missing data periods and the actions taken to cure such causes;

(E) Hardcopy monitoring plan information required by § 75.53 and hardcopy test data and results required by § 75.59;

(F) Records of flow polynomial equations and numerical values required by § 75.59(a)(5)(vi);

(G) Daily fuel sampling information required by § 75.58(c)(3)(i) for units using assumed values under appendix D;

(H) Information required by § 75.59(b)(2) concerning transmitter or transducer accuracy tests;

(I) Stratification test results required as part of the RATA supplementary records under § 75.59(a)(7);

(J) Data and results of RATAs that are aborted or invalidated due to problems with the reference method or operational problems with the unit and data and results of linearity checks that are aborted or invalidated due to operational problems with the unit; and

(K) Supplementary RATA information required under § 75.59(a)(7), except that:

(*1*) The applicable data elements under § 75.59(a)(7)(ii)(A) through (T) and under § 75.59(a)(7)(iii)(A) through (M) shall be reported for flow RATAs at circular or rectangular stacks (or ducts) in which angular compensation for yaw and/or pitch angles is used (*i.e.*, Method 2F or 2G in appendices A–1 and A–2 to part 60 of this chapter), with or without wall effects adjustments;

(*2*) The applicable data elements under § 75.59(a)(7)(ii)(A) through (T) and under § 75.59(a)(7)(iii)(A) through (M) shall be reported for any flow RATA run at a circular stack in which Method 2 in appendices A–1 and A–2 to part 60 of this chapter is used and a wall effects adjustment factor is determined by direct measurement;

(*3*) The data under § 75.59(a)(7)(ii)(T) shall be reported for all flow RATAs at circular stacks in which Method 2 in appendices A–1 and A–2 to part 60 of this chapter is used and a default wall effects adjustment factor is applied; and

(*4*) The data under § 75.59(a)(7)(ix)(A) through (F) shall be reported for all flow RATAs at rectangular stacks or ducts in which Method 2 in appendices A–1 and A–2 to part 60 of this chapter is used and a wall effects adjustment factor is applied.

(iii) Average NO_X emission rate (lb/mmBtu, rounded to the nearest thousandth) during the quarter and cumulative NO_X emission rate for the calendar year.

(iv) Tons of NO_X emitted during quarter, cumulative tons of NO_X emitted during the year, and, during the second

and third calendar quarters, cumulative tons of NO_X emitted during the ozone season.

(v) During the second and third calendar quarters, cumulative heat input for the ozone season.

(vi) Unit or stack or common pipe header operating hours for quarter, cumulative unit, stack or common pipe header operating hours for calendar year, and, during the second and third calendar quarters, cumulative operating hours during the ozone season.

(vii) Reporting period heat input.

(viii) New reporting frequency and begin date of the new reporting frequency (if applicable).

(2) The designated representative shall certify that the component and system identification codes and formulas in the quarterly electronic reports submitted to the Administrator pursuant to paragraph (e) of this section represent current operating conditions.

(3) *Compliance certification*. The designated representative shall submit and sign a compliance certification in support of each quarterly emissions monitoring report based on reasonable inquiry of those persons with primary responsibility for ensuring that all of the unit's emissions are correctly and fully monitored. The certification shall state that:

(i) The monitoring data submitted were recorded in accordance with the applicable requirements of this part, including the quality assurance procedures and specifications; and

(ii) With regard to a unit with add-on emission controls and for all hours where data are substituted in accordance with § 75.34(a)(1), the add-on emission controls were operating within the range of parameters listed in the monitoring plan and the substitute values do not systematically underestimate NO_X emissions.

(4) The designated representative shall comply with all of the quarterly reporting requirements in §§ 75.64(d), (f), and (g).

[64 FR 28624, May 26, 1999, as amended at 67 FR 40446, June 12, 2002; 73 FR 4359, Jan. 24, 2008]

§ 75.74 Annual and ozone season monitoring and reporting requirements.

(a) *Annual monitoring requirement*. (1) The owner or operator of an affected unit subject both to an Acid Rain emission limitation and to a State or federal NO_X mass reduction program that adopts the provisions of this part must meet the requirements of this part during the entire calendar year.

(2) The owner or operator of an affected unit subject to a State or federal NO_X mass reduction program that adopts the provisions of this part and that requires monitoring and reporting of hourly emissions on an annual basis must meet the requirements of this part during the entire calendar year.

(b) *Ozone season monitoring requirements*. The owner or operator of an affected unit that is not required to meet the requirements of this subpart on an annual basis under paragraph (a) of this section may either:

(1) Meet the requirements of this subpart on an annual basis; or

(2) Meet the requirements of this subpart during the ozone season, except as specified in paragraph (c) of this section.

(c) If the owner or operator of an affected unit chooses to meet the requirements of this subpart on less than an annual basis in accordance with paragraph (b)(2) of this section, then:

(1) The owner or operator of a unit that uses continuous emissions monitoring systems or a fuel flowmeter to meet any of the requirements of this subpart shall quality assure the hourly ozone season emission data required by this subpart. To achieve this, the owner or operator shall operate, maintain and calibrate each required CEMS and shall perform diagnostic testing and quality assurance testing of each required CEMS or fuel flowmeter according to the applicable provisions of paragraphs (c)(2) through (c)(5) of this section. Except where otherwise noted, the provisions of paragraphs (c)(2) and (c)(3) of this section apply instead of the quality assurance provisions in sections 2.1 through 2.3 of appendix B to this part, and shall be used in lieu of those appendix B provisions.

(2) *Quality assurance requirements prior to the ozone season*. The provisions of this paragraph apply to each ozone

season. The owner or operator shall, at a minimum, perform the following diagnostic testing and quality assurance assessments, and shall maintain the following records, to ensure that the hourly emission data recorded at the beginning of the current ozone season are suitable for reporting as quality-assured data:

(i) For each required gas monitor (*i.e.*, for each NO_X pollutant concentration monitor and each diluent gas (CO_2 or O_2) monitor, including CO_2 and O_2 monitors used exclusively for heat input determination and O_2 monitors used for moisture determination), a linearity check shall be performed and passed in the second calendar quarter no later than April 30.

(A) Conduct each linearity check in accordance with the general procedures in section 6.2 of appendix A to this part, except that the data validation procedures in sections 6.2(a) through (f) of appendix A do not apply.

(B) Each linearity check shall be done ''hands-off,'' as described in section 2.2.3(c) of appendix B to this part.

(C) In the time period extending from the date and hour in which the linearity check is passed through April 30, the owner or operator shall operate and maintain the CEMS and shall perform daily calibration error tests of the CEMS in accordance with section 2.1 of appendix B to this part. When a calibration error test is failed, as described in section 2.1.4 of appendix B to this part, corrective actions shall be taken. The additional calibration error test provisions of section 2.1.3 of appendix B to this part shall be followed.

(D) If the linearity check is not completed by April 30, data validation shall be determined in accordance with paragraph (c)(3)(ii)(E) of this section.

(ii) For each required CEMS (*i.e.*, for each NO_X concentration monitoring system, each NO_X-diluent monitoring system, each flow rate monitoring system, each moisture monitoring system and each diluent gas CEMS used exclusively for heat input determination), a relative accuracy test audit (RATA) shall be performed and passed in the first or second calendar quarter, but no later than April 30.

(A) Conduct each RATA in accordance with the applicable procedures in sections 6.5 through 6.5.10 of appendix A to this part, except that the data validation procedures in sections 6.5(f)(1) through (f)(6) do not apply, and, for flow rate monitoring systems, the required RATA load level(s) (or operating level(s)) shall be as specified in this paragraph.

(B) Each RATA shall be done ''hands-off,'' as described in section 2.3.2 (c) of appendix B to this part. The provisions in section 2.3.1.4 of appendix B to this part, pertaining to the number of allowable RATA attempts, shall apply.

(C) For flow rate monitoring systems installed on peaking units or bypass stacks and for flow monitors exempted from multiple-level RATA testing under section 6.5.2(e) of appendix A to this part, a single-load (or single-level) RATA is required. For all other flow rate monitoring systems, a 2-load (or 2-level) RATA is required at the two most frequently-used load or operating levels (as defined under section 6.5.2.1 of appendix A to this part), with the following exceptions. Except for flow monitors exempted from 3-level RATA testing under section 6.5.2(e) of appendix A to this part, a 3-load flow RATA is required at least once every five years and is also required if the flow monitor polynomial coefficients or K factor(s) are changed prior to conducting the flow RATA required under this paragraph.

(D) A bias test of each required NO_X concentration monitoring system, each NO_X-diluent monitoring system and each flow rate monitoring system shall be performed in accordance with section 7.6 of appendix A to this part. If the bias test is failed, a bias adjustment factor (BAF) shall be calculated for the monitoring system, as described in section 7.6.5 of appendix A to this part and shall be applied to the subsequent data recorded by the CEMS.

(E) In the time period extending from the hour of completion of the required RATA through April 30, the owner or operator shall operate and maintain the CEMS by performing, at a minimum, the following activities:

(*1*) The owner or operator shall perform daily calibration error tests and (if applicable) daily flow monitor interference checks, according to section 2.1 of appendix B to this part. When a

daily calibration error test or interference check is failed, as described in section 2.1.4 of appendix B to this part, corrective actions shall be taken. The additional calibration error test provisions in section 2.1.3 of appendix B to this part shall be followed. Records of the required daily calibration error tests and interference checks shall be kept in a format suitable for inspection on a year-round basis.

(*2*) If the owner or operator makes a replacement, modification, or change in a certified monitoring system that significantly affects the ability of the system to accurately measure or record NO_X mass emissions or heat input or to meet the requirements of § 75.21 or appendix B to this part, the owner or operator shall recertify the monitoring system according to § 75.20(b).

(F) *Data validation*. For each RATA that is performed by April 30, data validation shall be done according to sections 2.3.2(a)–(j) of appendix B to this part. However, if a required RATA is not completed by April 30, data from the monitoring system shall be invalid, beginning with the first unit operating hour on or after May 1. The owner or operator shall continue to invalidate all data from the CEMS until either:

(*1*) The required RATA of the CEMS has been performed and passed; or

(*2*) A probationary calibration error test of the CEMS is passed in accordance with § 75.20(b)(3)(ii). Once the probationary calibration error test has been passed, the owner or operator shall perform the required RATA in accordance with the conditional data validation provisions and within the 720 unit or stack operating hour time frame specified in § 75.20(b)(3) (subject to the restrictions in paragraph (c)(3)(xii) of this section), and the term ''quality assurance'' shall apply instead of the term ''recertification.'' However, in lieu of the provisions in § 75.20(b)(3)(ix), the owner or operator shall follow the applicable provisions in paragraphs (c)(3)(xi) and (c)(3)(xii) of this section.

(3) *Quality assurance requirements within the ozone season*. The provisions of this paragraph apply to each ozone season. The owner or operator shall, at a minimum, perform the following quality assurance testing during the ozone season, i.e. in the time period extending from May 1 through September 30 of each calendar year:

(i) Daily calibration error tests and (if applicable) interference checks of each CEMS required by this subpart shall be performed in accordance with sections 2.1.1 and 2.1.2 of appendix B to this part. The applicable provisions in sections 2.1.3, 2.1.4 and 2.1.5 of appendix B to this part, pertaining, respectively, to additional calibration error tests and calibration adjustments, data validation, and quality assurance of data with respect to daily assessments, shall also apply.

(ii) For each gas monitor required by this subpart, linearity checks shall be performed in the second and third calendar quarters, as follows:

(A) For the second calendar quarter, the pre-ozone season linearity check required under paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section shall be performed by April 30.

(B) For the third calendar quarter, a linearity check shall be performed and passed no later than July 30.

(C) Conduct each linearity check in accordance with the general procedures in section 6.2 of appendix A to this part, except that the data validation procedures in sections 6.2(a) through (f) of appendix A do not apply.

(D) Each linearity check shall be done ''hands-off,'' as described in section 2.2.3(c) of appendix B to this part.

(E) *Data Validation*. For second and third quarter linearity checks performed by the applicable deadline (*i.e.*, April 30 or July 30), data validation shall be done in accordance with sections 2.2.3(a), (b), (c), (e), and (h) of Appendix B to this part. However, if a required linearity check for the second calendar quarter is not completed by April 30, or if a required linearity check for the third calendar quarter is not completed by July 30, data from the monitoring system (or range) shall be invalid, beginning with the first unit operating hour on or after May 1 or July 31, respectively. The owner or operator shall continue to invalidate all data from the CEMS until either:

(*1*) The required linearity check of the CEMS has been performed and passed; or

(*2*) A probationary calibration error test of the CEMS is passed in accordance with § 75.20(b)(3)(ii). Once the probationary calibration error test has been passed, the owner or operator shall perform the required linearity check in accordance with the conditional data validation provisions and within the 168 unit or stack operating hour time frame specified in § 75.20(b)(3) (subject to the restrictions in paragraph (c)(3)(xii) of this section), and the term ''quality assurance'' shall apply instead of the term ''recertification.'' However, in lieu of the provisions in § 75.20(b)(3)(ix), the owner or operator shall follow the applicable provisions in paragraphs (c)(3)(xi) and (c)(3)(xii) of this section.

(F) A pre-season linearity check performed and passed in April satisfies the linearity check requirement for the second quarter.

(G) The third quarter linearity check requirement in paragraph (c)(3)(ii)(B) of this section is waived if:

(*1*) Due to infrequent unit operation, the 168 operating hour conditional data validation period associated with a pre-season linearity check extends into the third quarter; and

(*2*) A linearity check is performed and passed within that conditional data validation period.

(iii) For each flow monitoring system required by this subpart, except for flow monitors installed on non-load-based units that do not produce electrical or thermal output, flow-to-load ratio tests are required in the second and third calendar quarters, in accordance with section 2.2.5 of appendix B to this part. If the flow-to-load ratio test for the second calendar quarter is failed, the owner or operator shall follow the procedures in section 2.2.5(c)(8) of appendix B to this part. If the flow-to-load ratio test for the third calendar quarter is failed, data from the flow monitor shall be considered invalid at the beginning of the next ozone season unless, prior to May 1 of the next calendar year, the owner or operator has either successfully implemented Option 1 in section 2.2.5.1 of appendix B to this part or Option 2 in section 2.2.5.2 of appendix B to this part, or unless a flow RATA has been performed and passed in accordance with paragraph (c)(2)(ii) of this section.

(iv) For each differential pressure-type flow monitor used to meet the requirements of this subpart, quarterly leak checks are required in the second and third calendar quarters, in accordance with section 2.2.2 of appendix B to this part. For the second calendar quarter of the year, only the unit or stack operating hours in the months of May and June shall be used to determine whether the second calendar quarter is a QA operating quarter (as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter). Data validation for quarterly flow monitor leak checks shall be done in accordance with section 2.2.3(g) of appendix B to this part. If the leak check for the third calendar quarter is failed and a subsequent leak check is not passed by the end of the ozone season, then data from the flow monitor shall be considered invalid at the beginning of the next ozone season unless a leak check is passed prior to May 1 of the next calendar year.

(v) A fuel flow-to-load ratio test in section 2.1.7 of appendix D to this part shall be performed in the second and third calendar quarters if, for a unit using a fuel flowmeter to determine heat input under this subpart, the owner or operator has elected to use the fuel flow-to-load ratio test to extend the deadline for the next fuel flowmeter accuracy test. Automatic deadline extensions may be claimed for the two calendar quarters outside the ozone season (the first and fourth calendar quarters), since a fuel flow-to-load ratio test is not required in those quarters. If a fuel flow-to-load ratio test is failed, follow the applicable procedures and data validation provisions in section 2.1.7.4 of appendix D to this part. If the fuel flow-to-load ratio test for the third calendar quarter is failed, data from the fuel flowmeter shall be considered invalid at the beginning of the next ozone season unless the requirements of section 2.1.7.4 of appendix D to this part have been fully met prior to May 1 of the next calendar year.

(vi)–(viii) [Reserved]

(ix) If, for any required CEMS, diagnostic linearity checks or RATAs other than those required by this section are

performed during the ozone season, use the applicable data validation procedures in section 2.2.3 (for linearity checks) or 2.3.2 (for RATAs) of appendix B to this part.

(x) If any required CEMS is recertified within the ozone season, use the data validation provisions in § 75.20(b)(3) and, if applicable, paragraphs (c)(3)(xi) and (c)(3)(xii) of this section.

(xi) If, at the end of the second quarter of any calendar year, a required quality assurance, diagnostic, or recertification test of a monitoring system has not been completed, and if data contained in the quarterly report are conditionally valid pending the results of test(s) to be completed in a subsequent quarter, the owner or operator shall indicate this by means of a suitable conditionally valid data flag in the electronic quarterly report for the second calendar quarter. The owner or operator shall resubmit the report for the second quarter if the required quality assurance, diagnostic, or recertification test is subsequently failed. In the resubmitted report, the owner or operator shall use the appropriate missing data routine in §§ 75.31 through § 75.37 to replace with substitute data each hour of conditionally valid data that was invalidated by the failed quality assurance, diagnostic, or recertification test. Alternatively, if any required quality assurance, diagnostic, or recertification test is not completed by the end of the second calendar quarter but is completed no later than 30 days after the end of that quarter (*i.e.*, prior to the deadline for submitting the quarterly report under § 75.73), the test data and results may be submitted with the second quarter report even though the test date(s) are from the third calendar quarter. In such instances, if the quality assurance, diagnostic, or recertification test(s) are passed in accordance with the conditional data validation provisions of § 75.20(b)(3), conditionally valid data may be reported as quality-assured, in lieu of reporting a conditional data flag. If the tests are failed and if conditionally valid data are replaced, as appropriate, with substitute data, then neither the reporting of a conditional data flag nor resubmission is required.

(xii) If, at the end of the third quarter of any calendar year, a required quality assurance, diagnostic or recertification test of a monitoring system has not been completed, and if data contained in the quarterly report are conditionally valid pending the results of test(s) to be completed, the owner or operator shall do one of the following:

(A) If the results of the required tests are not available within 30 days of the end of the third calendar quarter and cannot be submitted with the quarterly report for the third calendar quarter, then the test results are considered to be missing and the owner or operator shall use the appropriate missing data routine in §§ 75.31 through § 75.37 to replace with substitute data each hour of conditionally valid data in the third quarter report. In addition, if the data in the second quarterly report were flagged as conditionally valid at the end of the quarter, pending the results of the same missing tests, the owner or operator shall resubmit the report for the second quarter and shall use the appropriate missing data routine in §§ 75.31 through § 75.37 to replace with substitute data each hour of conditionally valid data associated with the missing quality assurance, diagnostic, or recertification tests; or

(B) If the required quality assurance, diagnostic, or recertification tests are completed no later than 30 days after the end of the third calendar quarter, the test data and results may be submitted with the third quarter report even though the test date(s) are from the fourth calendar quarter. In this instance, if the required tests are passed in accordance with the conditional data validation provisions of § 75.20(b)(3), all conditionally valid data associated with the tests shall be reported as quality-assured. If the tests are failed, the owner or operator shall use the appropriate missing data routine in §§ 75.31 through § 75.37 to replace with substitute data each hour of conditionally valid data associated with the failed test(s). In addition, if the data in the second quarterly report were flagged as conditionally valid at the end of the quarter, pending the results of the same failed test(s), the owner or operator shall resubmit the report for the second quarter and shall

use the appropriate missing data routine in §§ 75.31 through § 75.37 to replace with substitute data each hour of conditionally valid data associated with the failed test(s).

(4) The owner or operator of a unit using the procedures in appendix D of this part to determine heat input rate is required to maintain fuel flowmeters only during the ozone season, except that for purposes of determining the deadline for the next periodic quality assurance test on the fuel flowmeter, the owner or operator shall include all fuel flowmeter QA operating quarters (as defined in § 72.2) for the entire calendar year, not just fuel flowmeter QA operating quarters in the ozone season. For each calendar year, the owner or operator shall record, for each fuel flowmeter, the number of fuel flowmeter QA operating quarters. The owner or operator shall include all calendar quarters in the year when determining the deadline for visual inspection of the primary fuel flowmeter element, as specified in section 2.1.6(c) of appendix D to this part.

(5) The owner or operator of a unit using the procedures in appendix D of this part to determine heat input rate is only required to sample fuel for the purposes of determining density and GCV during the ozone season, except that:

(i) The owner or operator of a unit that performs sampling from the fuel storage tank upon delivery must sample the tank between the date and hour of the most recent delivery before the first date and hour that the unit operates in the ozone season and the first date and hour that the unit operates in the ozone season.

(ii) The owner or operator of a unit that performs sampling upon delivery from the delivery vehicle must ensure that all shipments received during the calendar year are sampled.

(iii) The owner or operator of a unit that performs sampling on each day the unit combusts fuel or that performs fuel sampling continuously must sample the fuel starting on the first day the unit operates during the ozone season. The owner or operator then shall use that sampled value for all hours of combustion during the first day of unit operation, continuing until the date and hour of the next sample.

(6) The owner or operator shall, in accordance with § 75.73, record and report the hourly data required by this subpart and shall record and report the results of all required quality assurance tests, as follows:

(i) All hourly emission data for the period of time from May 1 through September 30 of each calendar year shall be recorded and reported. For missing data purposes, only the data recorded in the time period from May 1 through September 30 shall be considered quality-assured;

(ii) The results of all daily calibration error tests and flow monitor interference checks performed in the time period from May 1 through September 30 shall be recorded and reported;

(iii) For the time periods described in paragraphs (c)(2)(i)(C) and (c)(2)(ii)(E) of this section, hourly emission data and the results of all daily calibration error tests and flow monitor interference checks shall be recorded. The owner or operator may opt to report unit operating data, daily calibration error test and flow monitor interference check results, and hourly emission data in the time period from April 1 through April 30. However, only the data recorded in the time period from May 1 through September 30 shall be used for NO_X mass compliance determination;

(iv) The results of all required quality assurance tests (RATAs, linearity checks, flow-to-load ratio tests and leak checks) performed during the ozone season shall be reported in the appropriate ozone season quarterly report; and

(v) The results of RATAs (and any other quality assurance test(s) required under paragraph (c)(2) or (c)(3) of this section) which affect data validation for the current ozone season, but which were performed outside the ozone season (*i.e.*, between January 1 and April 30 of the current calendar year), shall be reported in the quarterly report for the second quarter of the current calendar year (or in the report for the third calendar quarter of the current calendar year, if the unit or stack does not operate in the second quarter).

(7) The owner or operator shall use only quality-assured data from within ozone seasons in the substitute data procedures under subpart D of this part and section 2.4.2 of appendix D to this part.

(i) The lookback periods (e.g., 2160 quality-assured monitor operating hours for a NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system, a NO_X concentration monitoring system, or a flow monitoring system) used to calculate missing data must include only quality-assured data from periods within ozone seasons.

(ii) The applicable missing data procedures of §§ 75.31 through 75.37 shall be used, with one exception. When a fuel which has a significantly higher NO_X emission rate than any of the fuel(s) combusted in prior ozone seasons is combusted in the unit, and no quality-assured NO_X data have been recorded in the current, or any previous, ozone season while combusting the new fuel, the owner or operator shall substitute the maximum potential NO_X emission rate, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter, from a NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system, or the maximum potential concentration of NO_X, as defined in section 2.1.2.1 of appendix A to this part, from a NO_X concentration monitoring system. The maximum potential value used shall be specific to the new fuel. The owner or operator shall substitute the maximum potential value for each hour of missing NO_X data until the first hour that quality-assured NO_X data are obtained while combusting the new fuel, and then shall resume use of the missing data routines in §§ 75.31 through 75.37; and

(iii) In order to apply the missing data routines described in §§ 75.31 through 75.37 on an ozone season-only basis, the procedures in those sections shall be modified as follows:

(A) The use of the initial missing data procedures in § 75.31 shall commence with the first unit operating hour in the first ozone season for which emissions data are required to be reported under § 75.64.

(B) In § 75.31(a), the phrases ''During the first 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours within the ozone season'' and ''during the first 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours within the ozone season'' apply respectively instead of the phrases ''During the first 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours'' and ''during the first 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours''.

(C) In § 75.32(a), the phrases ''the first 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours within the ozone season'' and ''the first 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours within the ozone season'' apply, respectively, instead of the phrases ''the first 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours'' and ''the first 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours''.

(D) In § 75.32(a)(1), the phrase ''Following initial certification, prior to completion of 3,672 unit (or stack) operating hours within the ozone season'' applies instead of the phrase ''Prior to completion of 8,760 unit (or stack) operating hours following initial certification''.

(E) In Equation 8, the phrase ''Total unit operating hours within the ozone season'' applies instead of the phrase ''Total unit operating hours''.

(F) In § 75.32(a)(2), the phrase ''3,672 unit (or stack) operating hours within the ozone season'' applies instead of the phrase ''8,760 unit (or stack) operating hours''.

(G) In the numerator of Equation 9, the phrase ''Total unit operating hours within the ozone season'' applies instead of the phrase ''Total unit operating hours'', and the phrase ''3,672 unit operating hours within the ozone season'' applies instead of the phrase ''8,760 unit operating hours''. In the denominator of Equation 9, the number ''3,672'' applies instead of ''8,760''.

(H) Use the following instead of the first three sentences in § 75.32(a)(3): ''When calculating percent monitor data availability using Equation 8 or 9, the owner or operator shall include all unit or stack operating hours within the ozone season, and all monitor operating hours within the ozone season for which quality-assured data were recorded by a certified primary monitor; a certified redundant or non-redundant backup monitor or a reference method for that unit; or by an approved alternative monitoring system under subpart E of this part. No hours from more than three years (26,280 clock hours)

earlier shall be used in Equation 9. For a unit that has accumulated fewer than 3,672 ozone season operating hours in the previous three years, use the following: in the numerator of Equation 9 use 'Total unit operating hours within the ozone season for which quality-assured data were recorded in the previous three years'; and in the denominator of Equation 9 use 'Total unit operating hours within the ozone season, in the previous three years' ''

(I) In § 75.33(a), the phrases ''the first 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours within the ozone season'' and ''the first 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours within the ozone season'' apply, respectively, instead of the phrases ''the first 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours'' and ''the first 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours''.

(J) Instead of the last sentence of § 75.33(a), use ''For the purposes of missing data substitution, the owner or operator of a unit shall use only quality-assured monitor operating hours of data that were recorded within the ozone season and no more than three years (26,280 clock hours) prior to the date and time of the missing data period.''

(K) In §§ 75.33(b), 75.33(c), 75.35, 75.36, and 75.37, the phrases ''720 quality-assured monitor operating hours within the ozone season'' and ''2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours within the ozone season'' apply, respectively, instead of the phrases ''720 quality-assured monitor operating hours'' and ''2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours''.

(L) In § 75.34(a)(3) and (a)(5), the phrases ''720 quality-assured monitor operating hours within the ozone season'' and ''2160 quality-assured monitor operating hours within the ozone season'' apply instead of ''720 quality-assured monitor operating hours'' and ''2160 quality-assured monitor operating hours'', respectively.

(8) The owner or operator of a unit with NO_X add-on emission controls or a unit capable of combusting more than one fuel shall keep records during ozone season in a form suitable for inspection to demonstrate that the typical NO_X emission rate or NO_X concentration during the prior ozone season(s) included in the missing data lookback period is representative of the ozone season in which missing data are substituted and that use of the missing data procedures will not systematically underestimate NO_X mass emissions. These records shall include:

(i) For units that can combust more than one fuel, the fuel or fuels combusted each hour; and

(ii) For units with add-on emission controls, using the missing data options in §§ 75.34(a)(1) through 75.34(a)(5), the range of operating parameters for add-on emission controls (as defined in the quality assurance/quality control program for the unit required by section 1 in appendix B to this part) and information for verifying proper operation of the add-on emission controls during missing data periods, as described in § 75.34(d).

(9) The designated representative shall certify with each quarterly report that NO_X emission rate values or NO_X concentration values substituted for missing data under subpart D of this part are calculated using only values from an ozone season, that substitute values measured during the prior ozone season(s) included in the missing data lookback period are representative of the ozone season in which missing data are substituted, and that NO_X emissions are not systematically underestimated.

(10) Units may qualify to use the low mass emissions excepted monitoring methodology in § 75.19 on an ozone season basis. In order to be allowed to use this methodology, a unit may not emit more than 50 tons of NO_X per ozone season, as provided in § 75.19(a)(1)(i)(A)(*3*). If any low mass emissions unit fails to provide a demonstration that its ozone season NO_X mass emissions are less than or equal to 50 tons, then the unit is disqualified from using the methodology. The owner or operator must install and certify any equipment needed to ensure that the unit is monitored using an acceptable methodology by December 31 of the following year.

(11) Units may qualify to use the optional NO_X mass emissions estimation protocol for gas-fired and oil-fired peaking units in appendix E to this part on an ozone season basis. In order to be allowed to use this methodology,

the unit must meet the definition of ''peaking unit'' in § 72.2 of this chapter, except that the words ''year'', ''calendar year'' and ''calendar years'' in that definition shall be replaced by the words ''ozone season'', ''ozone season'', and ''ozone seasons'', respectively. In addition, in the definition of the term ''capacity factor'' in § 72.2 of this chapter, the word ''annual'' shall be replaced by the words ''ozone season'' and the number ''8,760'' shall be replaced by the number ''3,672''.

[63 FR 57507, Oct. 27, 1998, as amended at 64 FR 28627, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40446, 40447, June 12, 2002; 67 FR 57274, Sept. 9, 2002; 73 FR 4360, Jan. 24, 2008]

§ 75.75 Additional ozone season calculation procedures for special circumstances.

(a) The owner or operator of a unit that is required to calculate ozone season heat input for purposes of providing data needed for determining allocations, shall do so by summing the unit's hourly heat input determined according to the procedures in this part for all hours in which the unit operated during the ozone season.

(b) The owner or operator of a unit that is required to determine ozone season NO_X emission rate (in lbs/mmBtu) shall do so by dividing ozone season NO_X mass emissions(in lbs) determined in accordance with this subpart, by heat input determined in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section.

APPENDIX A TO PART 75—SPECIFICATIONS AND TEST PROCEDURES

1. INSTALLATION AND MEASUREMENT LOCATION

1.1 Gas Monitors

(a) Following the procedures in section 8.1.1 of Performance Specification 2 in appendix B to part 60 of this chapter, install the pollutant concentration monitor or monitoring system at a location where the pollutant concentration and emission rate measurements are directly representative of the total emissions from the affected unit. Select a representative measurement point or path for the monitor probe(s) (or for the path from the transmitter to the receiver) such that the SO_2, CO_2, O_2, or NO_X concentration monitoring system or NO_X-diluent CEMS (NO_X pollutant concentration monitor and diluent gas monitor) will pass the relative accuracy test (*see* section 6 of this appendix).

(b) It is recommended that monitor measurements be made at locations where the exhaust gas temperature is above the dewpoint temperature. If the cause of failure to meet the relative accuracy tests is determined to be the measurement location, relocate the monitor probe(s).

1.1.1 Point Monitors

Locate the measurement point (1) within the centroidal area of the stack or duct cross section, or (2) no less than 1.0 meter from the stack or duct wall.

1.1.2 Path Monitors

Locate the measurement path (1) totally within the inner area bounded by a line 1.0 meter from the stack or duct wall, or (2) such that at least 70.0 percent of the path is within the inner 50.0 percent of the stack or duct cross-sectional area, or (3) such that the path is centrally located within any part of the centroidal area.

1.2 Flow Monitors

Install the flow monitor in a location that provides representative volumetric flow over all operating conditions. Such a location is one that provides an average velocity of the flue gas flow over the stack or duct cross section, provides a representative SO_2 emission rate (in lb/hr), and is representative of the pollutant concentration monitor location. Where the moisture content of the flue gas affects volumetric flow measurements, use the procedures in both Reference Methods 1 and 4 of appendix A to part 60 of this chapter to establish a proper location for the flow monitor. The EPA recommends (but does not require) performing a flow profile study following the procedures in 40 CFR part 60, appendix A, method, 1, sections 11.5 or 11.4 for each of the three operating or load levels indicated in section 6.5.2.1 of this appendix to determine the acceptability of the potential flow monitor location and to determine the number and location of flow sampling points required to obtain a representative flow value. The procedure in 40 CFR part 60, appendix A, Test Method 1, section 11.5 may be used even if the flow measurement location is greater than or equal to 2 equivalent stack or duct diameters downstream or greater than or equal to ½ duct diameter upstream from a flow disturbance. If a flow profile study shows that cyclonic (or swirling) or stratified flow conditions exist at the potential flow monitor location that are likely to prevent the monitor from meeting the performance specifications of this part, then EPA recommends either (1) selecting another location where there is no cyclonic (or swirling) or stratified flow condition, or (2) eliminating the cyclonic (or swirling) or stratified flow condition by straightening the flow, e.g., by installing straightening

vanes. EPA also recommends selecting flow monitor locations to minimize the effects of condensation, coating, erosion, or other conditions that could adversely affect flow monitor performance.

1.2.1 Acceptability of Monitor Location

The installation of a flow monitor is acceptable if either (1) the location satisfies the minimum siting criteria of method 1 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter (i.e., the location is greater than or equal to eight stack or duct diameters downstream and two diameters upstream from a flow disturbance; or, if necessary, two stack or duct diameters downstream and one-half stack or duct diameter upstream from a flow disturbance), or (2) the results of a flow profile study, if performed, are acceptable (i.e., there are no cyclonic (or swirling) or stratified flow conditions), and the flow monitor also satisfies the performance specifications of this part. If the flow monitor is installed in a location that does not satisfy these physical criteria, but nevertheless the monitor achieves the performance specifications of this part, then the location is acceptable, notwithstanding the requirements of this section.

1.2.2 Alternative Monitoring Location

Whenever the owner or operator successfully demonstrates that modifications to the exhaust duct or stack (such as installation of straightening vanes, modifications of ductwork, and the like) are necessary for the flow monitor to meet the performance specifications, the Administrator may approve an interim alternative flow monitoring methodology and an extension to the required certification date for the flow monitor.

Where no location exists that satisfies the physical siting criteria in section 1.2.1, where the results of flow profile studies performed at two or more alternative flow monitor locations are unacceptable, or where installation of a flow monitor in either the stack or the ducts is demonstrated to be technically infeasible, the owner or operator may petition the Administrator for an alternative method for monitoring flow.

2. Equipment Specifications

2.1 Instrument Span and Range

In implementing sections 2.1.1 through 2.1.6 of this appendix, set the measurement range for each parameter (SO_2, NO_X, CO_2, O_2, or flow rate) high enough to prevent full-scale exceedances from occurring, yet low enough to ensure good measurement accuracy and to maintain a high signal-to-noise ratio. To meet these objectives, select the range such that the majority of the readings obtained during typical unit operation are kept, to the extent practicable, between 20.0 and 80.0 percent of the full-scale range of the instrument. These guidelines do not apply to: (1) SO_2 readings obtained during the combustion of very low sulfur fuel (as defined in §72.2 of this chapter); (2) SO_2 or NO_X readings recorded on the high measurement range, for units with SO_2 or NO_X emission controls and two span values, unless the emission controls are operated seasonally (for example, only during the ozone season); or (3) SO_2 or NO_X readings less than 20.0 percent of full-scale on the low measurement range for a dual span unit, provided that the maximum expected concentration (MEC), low-scale span value, and low-scale range settings have been determined according to sections 2.1.1.2, 2.1.1.4(a), (b), and (g) of this appendix (for SO_2), or according to sections 2.1.2.2, 2.1.2.4(a) and (f) of this appendix (for NO_X).

2.1.1 SO_2 Pollutant Concentration Monitors

Determine, as indicated in sections 2.1.1.1 through 2.1.1.5 of this appendix the span value(s) and range(s) for an SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor so that all potential and expected concentrations can be accurately measured and recorded. Note that if a unit exclusively combusts fuels that are very low sulfur fuels (as defined in §72.2 of this chapter), the SO_2 monitor span requirements in §75.11(e)(3)(iv) apply in lieu of the requirements of this section.

2.1.1.1 Maximum Potential Concentration

(a) Make an initial determination of the maximum potential concentration (MPC) of SO_2 by using Equation A–1a or A–1b. Base the MPC calculation on the maximum percent sulfur and the minimum gross calorific value (GCV) for the highest-sulfur fuel to be burned. The maximum sulfur content and minimum GCV shall be determined from all available fuel sampling and analysis data for that fuel from the previous 12 months (minimum), excluding clearly anomalous fuel sampling values. If both the fuel sulfur content and the GCV are routinely determined from each fuel sample, the owner or operator may, as an alternative to using the highest individual percent sulfur and lowest individual GCV values in the MPC calculation, pair the sulfur content and GCV values from each sample analysis and calculate the ratio of percent sulfur to GCV (*i.e.*, %S/GCV) for each pair of values. If this option is selected, the MPC shall be calculated using the highest %S/GCV ratio in Equation A–1a or A–1b. If the designated representative certifies that the highest-sulfur fuel is never burned alone in the unit during normal operation but is always blended or co-fired with other fuel(s), the MPC may be calculated using a best estimate of the highest sulfur content and lowest gross calorific value expected for the blend or fuel mixture and inserting these values into Equation A–1a or A–1b. Derive

the best estimate of the highest percent sulfur and lowest GCV for a blend or fuel mixture from weighted-average values based upon the historical composition of the blend or mixture in the previous 12 (or more) months. If insufficient representative fuel sampling data are available to determine the maximum sulfur content and minimum GCV, use values from contract(s) for the fuel(s) that will be combusted by the unit in the MPC calculation.

$$\text{MPC (or MEC)} = 11.32 \times 10^6 \left(\frac{\%S}{GCV}\right)\left(\frac{20.9 - \%O_{2w}}{20.9}\right) \qquad \text{(Eq. A-1a)}$$

or

$$\text{MPC (or MEC)} = 66.93 \times 10^6 \left(\frac{\%S}{GCV}\right)\left(\frac{\%CO_{2w}}{100}\right) \qquad \text{(Eq. A-1b)}$$

Where,

MPC = Maximum potential concentration (ppm, wet basis). (To convert to dry basis, divide the MPC by 0.9.)

MEC = Maximum expected concentration (ppm, wet basis). (To convert to dry basis, divide the MEC by 0.9).

%S = Maximum sulfur content of fuel to be fired, wet basis, weight percent, as determined according to the applicable method in paragraph (c) of section 2.1.1.1.

$\%O_{2w}$ = Minimum oxygen concentration, percent wet basis, under typical operating conditions.

$\%CO_{2w}$ = Maximum carbon dioxide concentration, percent wet basis, under typical operating conditions.

GCV = Minimum gross calorific value of the fuel or blend to be combusted, based on historical fuel sampling and analysis data or, if applicable, based on the fuel contract specifications (Btu/lb). If based on fuel sampling and analysis, the GCV shall be determined according to the applicable method in paragraph (c) of section 2.1.1.1.

11.32×10^6 = Oxygen-based conversion factor in Btu/lb (ppm)/%.

66.93×10^6 = Carbon dioxide-based conversion factor in Btu/lb (ppm)/%.

NOTE: All percent values to be inserted in the equations of this section are to be expressed as a percentage, not a fractional value (e.g., 3, not .03).

(b) Alternatively, if a certified SO_2 CEMS is already installed, the owner or operator may make the initial MPC determination based upon quality-assured historical data recorded by the CEMS. For the purposes of this section, 2.1.1.1, a ''certified'' CEMS means a CEM system that has met the applicable certification requirements of either: This part, or part 60 of this chapter, or a State CEM program, or the source operating permit. If this option is chosen, the MPC shall be the maximum SO_2 concentration observed during the previous 720 (or more) quality-assured monitor operating hours when combusting the highest-sulfur fuel (or highest-sulfur blend if fuels are always blended or co-fired) that is to be combusted in the unit or units monitored by the SO_2 monitor. For units with SO_2 emission controls, the certified SO_2 monitor used to determine the MPC must be located at or before the control device inlet. Report the MPC and the method of determination in the monitoring plan required under §75.53. Note that the initial MPC value is subject to periodic review under section 2.1.1.5 of this appendix. If an MPC value is found to be either inappropriately high or low, the MPC shall be adjusted in accordance with section 2.1.1.5, and corresponding span and range adjustments shall be made, if necessary.

(c) When performing fuel sampling to determine the MPC, use ASTM Methods: ASTM D129–00, ASTM D240–00, ASTM D1552–01, ASTM D2622–98, ASTM D3176–89 (Reapproved 2002), ASTM D3177–02 (Reapproved 2007), ASTM D4239–02, ASTM D4294–98, ASTM D5865–01a, or ASTM D5865–10 (all incorporated by reference under §75.6).

2.1.1.2 Maximum Expected Concentration

(a) Make an initial determination of the maximum expected concentration (MEC) of SO_2 whenever: (a) SO_2 emission controls are used; or (b) both high-sulfur and low-sulfur fuels (e.g., high-sulfur coal and low-sulfur coal or different grades of fuel oil) or high-sulfur and low-sulfur fuel blends are combusted as primary or backup fuels in a unit without SO_2 emission controls. For units with SO_2 emission controls, use Equation A–

2 to make the initial MEC determination. When high-sulfur and low-sulfur fuels or blends are burned as primary or backup fuels in a unit without SO_2 controls, use Equation A–1a or A–1b to calculate the initial MEC value for each fuel or blend, except for: (1) the highest-sulfur fuel or blend (for which the MPC was previously calculated in section 2.1.1.1 of this appendix); (2) fuels or blends that are very low sulfur fuels (as defined in §72.2 of this chapter); or (3) fuels or blends that are used only for unit startup. Each initial MEC value shall be documented in the monitoring plan required under §75.53. Note that each initial MEC value is subject to periodic review under section 2.1.1.5 of this appendix. If an MEC value is found to be either inappropriately high or low, the MEC shall be adjusted in accordance with section 2.1.1.5, and corresponding span and range adjustments shall be made, if necessary.

(b) For each MEC determination, substitute into Equation A–1a or A–1b the highest sulfur content and minimum GCV value for that fuel or blend, based upon all available fuel sampling and analysis results from the previous 12 months (or more), or, if fuel sampling data are unavailable, based upon fuel contract(s).

(c) Alternatively, if a certified SO_2 CEMS is already installed, the owner or operator may make the initial MEC determination(s) based upon historical monitoring data. For the purposes of this section, 2.1.1.2, a ''certified'' CEMS means a CEM system that has met the applicable certification requirements of either: This part, or part 60 of this chapter, or a State CEM program, or the source operating permit. If this option is chosen for a unit with SO_2 emission controls, the MEC shall be the maximum SO_2 concentration measured downstream of the control device outlet by the CEMS over the previous 720 (or more) quality-assured monitor operating hours with the unit and the control device both operating normally. For units that burn high- and low-sulfur fuels or blends as primary and backup fuels and have no SO_2 emission controls, the MEC for each fuel shall be the maximum SO_2 concentration measured by the CEMS over the previous 720 (or more) quality-assured monitor operating hours in which that fuel or blend was the only fuel being burned in the unit.

$$\mathrm{MEC} = \mathrm{MPC}\left(\frac{100 - \mathrm{RE}}{100}\right) \qquad \text{(Eq. A-2)}$$

Where:

MEC = Maximum expected concentration (ppm).

MPC = Maximum potential concentration (ppm), as determined by Eq. A–1a or A–1b in section 2.1.1.1 of this appendix.

RE = Expected average design removal efficiency of control equipment (%).

2.1.1.3 Span Value(s) and Range(s)

Determine the high span value and the high full-scale range of the SO_2 monitor as follows. (Note: For purposes of this part, the high span and range refer, respectively, either to the span and range of a single span unit or to the high span and range of a dual span unit.) The high span value shall be obtained by multiplying the MPC by a factor no less than 1.00 and no greater than 1.25. Round the span value upward to the next highest multiple of 100 ppm. If the SO_2 span concentration is ≤500 ppm, the span value may either be rounded upward to the next highest multiple of 10 ppm, or to the next highest multiple of 100 ppm. The high span value shall be used to determine concentrations of the calibration gases required for daily calibration error checks and linearity tests. Select the full-scale range of the instrument to be consistent with section 2.1 of this appendix and to be greater than or equal to the span value. Report the full-scale range setting and calculations of the MPC and span in the monitoring plan for the unit. Note that for certain applications, a second (low) SO_2 span and range may be required (see section 2.1.1.4 of this appendix). If an existing State, local, or federal requirement for span of an SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor requires or allows the use of a span value lower than that required by this section or by section 2.1.1.4 of this appendix, the State, local, or federal span value may be used if a satisfactory explanation is included in the monitoring plan, unless span and/or range adjustments become necessary in accordance with section 2.1.1.5 of this appendix. Span values higher than those required by either this section or section 2.1.1.4 of this appendix must be approved by the Administrator.

2.1.1.4 Dual Span and Range Requirements

For most units, the high span value based on the MPC, as determined under section 2.1.1.3 of this appendix will suffice to measure and record SO_2 concentrations (unless span and/or range adjustments become necessary in accordance with section 2.1.1.5 of this appendix). In some instances, however, a second (low) span value based on the MEC may be required to ensure accurate measurement of all possible or expected SO_2 concentrations. To determine whether two SO_2 span values are required, proceed as follows:

(a) For units with SO_2 emission controls, compare the MEC from section 2.1.1.2 of this appendix to the high full-scale range value from section 2.1.1.3 of this appendix. If the MEC is ≥20.0 percent of the high range value, then the high span value and range determined under section 2.1.1.3 of this appendix are sufficient. If the MEC is <20.0 percent of the high range value, then a second (low) span value is required.

(b) For units that combust high- and low-sulfur primary and backup fuels (or blends) and have no SO_2 controls, compare the high range value from section 2.1.1.3 of this appendix (for the highest-sulfur fuel or blend) to the MEC value for each of the other fuels or blends, as determined under section 2.1.1.2 of this appendix. If all of the MEC values are ≥20.0 percent of the high range value, the high span and range determined under section 2.1.1.3 of this appendix are sufficient, regardless of which fuel or blend is burned in the unit. If any MEC value is <20.0 percent of the high range value, then a second (low) span value must be used when that fuel or blend is combusted.

(c) When two SO_2 spans are required, the owner or operator may either use a single SO_2 analyzer with a dual range (i.e., low- and high-scales) or two separate SO_2 analyzers connected to a common sample probe and sample interface. Alternatively, if RATAs are performed and passed on both measurement ranges, the owner or operator may use two separate SO_2 analyzers connected to separate probes and sample interfaces. For units with SO_2 emission controls, the owner or operator may use a low range analyzer and a default high range value, as described in paragraph (f) of this section, in lieu of maintaining and quality assuring a high-scale range. Other monitor configurations are subject to the approval of the Administrator.

(d) The owner or operator shall designate the monitoring systems and components in the monitoring plan under §75.53 as follows: when a single probe and sample interface are used, either designate the low and high monitor ranges as separate SO_2 components of a single, primary SO_2 monitoring system; designate the low and high monitor ranges as the SO_2 components of two separate, primary SO_2 monitoring systems; designate the normal monitor range as a primary monitoring system and the other monitor range as a non-redundant backup monitoring system; or, when a single, dual-range SO_2 analyzer is used, designate the low and high ranges as a single SO_2 component of a primary SO_2 monitoring system (if this option is selected, use a special dual-range component type code, as specified by the Administrator, to satisfy the requirements of §75.53(e)(1)(iv)(D)). When two SO_2 analyzers are connected to separate probes and sample interfaces, designate the analyzers as the SO_2 components of two separate, primary SO_2 monitoring systems. For units with SO_2 controls, if the default high range value is used, designate the low range analyzer as the SO_2 component of a primary SO_2 monitoring system. Do not designate the default high range as a monitoring system or component. Other component and system designations are subject to approval by the Administrator. Note that the component and system designations for redundant backup monitoring systems shall be the same as for primary monitoring systems.

(e) Each monitoring system designated as primary or redundant backup shall meet the initial certification and quality assurance requirements for primary monitoring systems in §75.20(c) or §75.20(d)(1), as applicable, and appendices A and B to this part, with one exception: relative accuracy test audits (RATAs) are required only on the normal range (for units with SO_2 emission controls, the low range is considered normal). Each monitoring system designated as a non-redundant backup shall meet the applicable quality assurance requirements in §75.20(d)(2).

(f) For dual span units with SO_2 emission controls, the owner or operator may, as an alternative to maintaining and quality assuring a high monitor range, use a default high range value. If this option is chosen, the owner or operator shall report a default SO_2 concentration of 200 percent of the MPC for each unit operating hour in which the full-scale of the low range SO_2 analyzer is exceeded.

(g) The high span value and range shall be determined in accordance with section 2.1.1.3 of this appendix. The low span value shall be obtained by multiplying the MEC by a factor no less than 1.00 and no greater than 1.25, and rounding the result upward to the next highest multiple of 10 ppm (or 100 ppm, as appropriate). For units that burn high- and low-sulfur primary and backup fuels or blends and have no SO_2 emission controls, select, as the basis for calculating the appropriate low span value and range, the fuel-specific MEC value closest to 20.0 percent of the high full-scale range value (from paragraph (b) of this section). The low range must be greater than or equal to the low span value, and the required calibration gases must be selected based on the low span value. However, if the default high range option in paragraph (f) of this section is selected, the full-scale of the low measurement range shall not exceed five times the MEC value (where the MEC is rounded upward to the next highest multiple of 10 ppm). For units with two SO_2 spans, use the low range whenever the SO_2 concentrations are expected to be consistently below 20.0 percent of the high full-scale range value, i.e., when the MEC of the fuel or blend being combusted is less than 20.0 percent of the high full-scale range value. When the full-scale of the low range is exceeded, the high range shall be used to measure and record the SO_2 concentrations; or, if applicable, the default high range value in paragraph (f) of this section shall be reported for each hour of the full-scale exceedance.

2.1.1.5 Adjustment of Span and Range

For each affected unit or common stack, the owner or operator shall make a periodic evaluation of the MPC, MEC, span, and range

values for each SO_2 monitor (at a minimum, an annual evaluation is required) and shall make any necessary span and range adjustments, with corresponding monitoring plan updates, as described in paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of this section. Span and range adjustments may be required, for example, as a result of changes in the fuel supply, changes in the manner of operation of the unit, or installation or removal of emission controls. In implementing the provisions in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section, SO_2 data recorded during short-term, non-representative process operating conditions (e.g., a trial burn of a different type of fuel) shall be excluded from consideration. The owner or operator shall keep the results of the most recent span and range evaluation on-site, in a format suitable for inspection. Make each required span or range adjustment no later than 45 days after the end of the quarter in which the need to adjust the span or range is identified, except that up to 90 days after the end of that quarter may be taken to implement a span adjustment if the calibration gases currently being used for daily calibration error tests and linearity checks are unsuitable for use with the new span value.

(a) If the fuel supply, the composition of the fuel blend(s), the emission controls, or the manner of operation change such that the maximum expected or potential concentration changes significantly, adjust the span and range setting to assure the continued accuracy of the monitoring system. A ''significant'' change in the MPC or MEC means that the guidelines in section 2.1 of this appendix can no longer be met, as determined by either a periodic evaluation by the owner or operator or from the results of an audit by the Administrator. The owner or operator should evaluate whether any planned changes in operation of the unit may affect the concentration of emissions being emitted from the unit or stack and should plan any necessary span and range changes needed to account for these changes, so that they are made in as timely a manner as practicable to coordinate with the operational changes. Determine the adjusted span(s) using the procedures in sections 2.1.1.3 and 2.1.1.4 of this appendix (as applicable). Select the full-scale range(s) of the instrument to be greater than or equal to the new span value(s) and to be consistent with the guidelines of section 2.1 of this appendix.

(b) Whenever a full-scale range is exceeded during a quarter and the exceedance is not caused by a monitor out-of-control period, proceed as follows:

(1) For exceedances of the high range, report 200.0 percent of the current full-scale range as the hourly SO_2 concentration for each hour of the full-scale exceedance and make appropriate adjustments to the MPC, span, and range to prevent future full-scale exceedances.

(2) For units with two SO_2 spans and ranges, if the low range is exceeded, no further action is required, provided that the high range is available and its most recent calibration error test and linearity check have not expired. However, if either of these quality assurance tests has expired and the high range is not able to provide quality assured data at the time of the low range exceedance or at any time during the continuation of the exceedance, report the MPC as the SO_2 concentration until the readings return to the low range or until the high range is able to provide quality assured data (unless the reason that the high-scale range is not able to provide quality assured data is because the high-scale range has been exceeded; if the high-scale range is exceeded follow the procedures in paragraph (b)(1) of this section).

(c) Whenever changes are made to the MPC, MEC, full-scale range, or span value of the SO_2 monitor, as described in paragraphs (a) or (b) of this section, record and report (as applicable) the new full-scale range setting, the new MPC or MEC and calculations of the adjusted span value in an updated monitoring plan. The monitoring plan update shall be made in the quarter in which the changes become effective. In addition, record and report the adjusted span as part of the records for the daily calibration error test and linearity check specified by appendix B to this part. Whenever the span value is adjusted, use calibration gas concentrations that meet the requirements of section 5.1 of this appendix, based on the adjusted span value. When a span adjustment is so significant that the calibration gases currently being used for daily calibration error tests and linearity checks are unsuitable for use with the new span value, then a diagnostic linearity test using the new calibration gases must be performed and passed. Use the data validation procedures in §75.20(b)(3), beginning with the hour in which the span is changed.

2.1.2 NO_X Pollutant Concentration Monitors

Determine, as indicated in sections 2.1.2.1 through 2.1.2.5 of this appendix, the span and range value(s) for the NO_X pollutant concentration monitor so that all expected NO_X concentrations can be determined and recorded accurately.

2.1.2.1 Maximum Potential Concentration

(a) The maximum potential concentration (MPC) of NO_X for each affected unit shall be based upon whichever fuel or blend combusted in the unit produces the highest level of NO_X emissions. For the purposes of this section, 2.1.2.1, and section 2.1.2.2 of this appendix, a ''blend'' means a frequently-used fuel mixture having a consistent composition (e.g., an oil and gas mixture where the

relative proportions of the two fuels vary by no more than 10%, on average). Make an initial determination of the MPC using the appropriate option as follows:

Option 1: Use 800 ppm for coal-fired and 400 ppm for oil- or gas-fired units as the maximum potential concentration of NO_X (if an MPC of 1600 ppm for coal-fired units or 480 ppm for oil- or gas-fired units was previously selected under this section, that value may still be used, provided that the guidelines of section 2.1 of this appendix are met); For cement kilns, use 2000 ppm as the MPC. For process heaters, use 200 ppm if the unit burns only gaseous fuel and 500 ppm if the unit burns oil;

Option 2: Use the specific values based on boiler type and fuel combusted, listed in Table 2–1 or Table 2–2; For a new gas-fired or oil-fired combustion turbine, if a default MPC value of 50 ppm was previously selected from Table 2–2, that value may be used until March 31, 2003;

Option 3: Use NO_X emission test results;

Option 4: Use historical CEM data over the previous 720 (or more) unit operating hours when combusting the fuel or blend with the highest NO_X emission rate; or

Option 5: If a reliable estimate of the uncontrolled NO_X emissions from the unit is available from the manufacturer, the estimated value may be used.

(b) For the purpose of providing substitute data during NO_X missing data periods in accordance with §§75.31 and 75.33 and as required elsewhere under this part, the owner or operator shall also calculate the maximum potential NO_X emission rate (MER), in lb/mmBtu, by substituting the MPC for NO_X in conjunction with the minimum expected CO_2 or maximum O_2 concentration (under all unit operating conditions except for unit startup, shutdown, and upsets) and the appropriate F-factor into the applicable equation in appendix F to this part. The diluent cap value of 5.0 percent CO_2 (or 14.0 percent O_2) for boilers or 1.0 percent CO_2 (or 19.0 percent O_2) for combustion turbines may be used in the NO_X MER calculation. As a second alternative, when the NO_X MPC is determined from emission test results or from historical CEM data, as described in paragraphs (a), (d) and (e) of this section, quality-assured diluent gas (i.e., O_2 or CO_2) data recorded concurrently with the MPC may be used to calculate the MER.

(c) Report the method of determining the initial MPC and the calculation of the maximum potential NO_X emission rate in the monitoring plan for the unit. Note that whichever MPC option in paragraph 2.1.2.1(a) of this appendix is selected, the initial MPC value is subject to periodic review under section 2.1.2.5 of this appendix. If an MPC value is found to be either inappropriately high or low, the MPC shall be adjusted in accordance with section 2.1.2.5, and corresponding span and range adjustments shall be made, if necessary.

(d) For units with add-on NO_X controls (whether or not the unit is equipped with low-NO_X burner technology), or for units equipped with dry low-NO_X (DLN) technology, NO_X emission testing may only be used to determine the MPC if testing can be performed either upstream of the add-on controls or during a time or season when the add-on controls are not in operation or when the DLN controls are not in the premixed (low-NO_X) mode. If NO_X emission testing is performed, use the following guidelines. Use Method 7E from appendix A to part 60 of this chapter to measure total NO_X concentration. (Note: Method 20 from appendix A to part 60 may be used for gas turbines, instead of Method 7E.) Operate the unit, or group of units sharing a common stack, at the minimum safe and stable load, the normal load, and the maximum load. If the normal load and maximum load are identical, an intermediate level need not be tested. Operate at the highest excess O_2 level expected under normal operating conditions. Make at least three runs of 20 minutes (minimum) duration with three traverse points per run at each operating condition. Select the highest point NO_X concentration from all test runs as the MPC for NO_X.

(e) If historical CEM data are used to determine the MPC, the data must, for uncontrolled units or units equipped with low-NO_X burner technology and no other NO_X controls, represent a minimum of 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours from the NO_X component of a certified monitoring system, obtained under various operating conditions including the minimum safe and stable load, normal load (including periods of high excess air at normal load), and maximum load. For the purposes of this section, 2.1.2.1, a ''certified'' CEMS means a CEM system that has met the applicable certification requirements of either: this part, or part 60 of this chapter, or a State CEM program, or the source operating permit. For a unit with add-on NO_X controls (whether or not the unit is equipped with low-NO_X burner technology), or for a unit equipped with dry low-NO_X (DLN) technology, historical CEM data may only be used to determine the MPC if the 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours of CEM data are collected upstream of the add-on controls or if the 720 hours of data include periods when the add-on controls are not in operation or when the DLN controls are not in the premixed (low-NO_X mode). For units that do not produce electrical or thermal output, the data must represent the full range of normal process operation. The highest hourly NO_X concentration in ppm shall be the MPC.

TABLE 2–1—MAXIMUM POTENTIAL CONCENTRATION FOR NO_X—COAL-FIRED UNITS

Unit type	Maximum potential concentration for NO_X (ppm)
Tangentially-fired dry bottom and fluidized bed	460
Wall-fired dry bottom, turbo-fired dry bottom, stokers	675
Roof-fired (vertically-fired) dry bottom, cell burners, arch-fired	975
Cyclone, wall-fired wet bottom, wet bottom turbo-fired	1200
Others	(1)

[1] As approved by the Administrator.

TABLE 2-2. -- MAXIMUM POTENTIAL CONCENTRATION FOR NO_X -- Gas- And Oil-Fired Units

Unit type	Maximum potential concentration for NO_x (ppm)
Tangentially-fired dry bottom	380
Wall-fired dry bottom	600
Roof-fired (vertically-fired) dry bottom, arch-fired	550
Existing combustion turbine	200
New combustion turbine, permitted to fire either oil or natural gas	200
New combustion turbine, permitted to fire only natural gas	150
Others	(i)

[1] As approved by the Administrator

2.1.2.2 Maximum Expected Concentration

(a) Make an initial determination of the maximum expected concentration (MEC) of NO_X during normal operation for affected units with add-on NO_X controls of any kind (*e.g.*, steam injection, water injection, SCR, or SNCR) and for turbines that use dry low-NO_X technology. Determine a separate MEC value for each type of fuel (or blend) combusted in the unit, except for fuels that are only used for unit startup and/or flame stabilization. Calculate the MEC of NO_X using Equation A–2, if applicable, inserting the maximum potential concentration, as determined using the procedures in section 2.1.2.1 of this appendix. Where Equation A–2 is not applicable, set the MEC either by: (1) measuring the NO_X concentration using the testing procedures in this section; (2) using historical CEM data over the previous 720 (or more) quality-assured monitor operating hours; or (3) if the unit has add-on NO_X controls or uses dry low NO_X technology, and has a federally-enforceable permit limit for NO_X concentration, the permit limit may be used as the MEC. Include in the monitoring plan for the unit each MEC value and the method by which the MEC was determined. Note that each initial MEC value is subject to periodic review under section 2.1.2.5 of this appendix. If an MEC value is found to be either inappropriately high or low, the MEC shall be adjusted in accordance with section 2.1.2.5, and corresponding span and range adjustments shall be made, if necessary.

(b) If NO_X emission testing is used to determine the MEC value(s), the MEC for each type of fuel (or blend) shall be based upon testing at minimum load, normal load, and maximum load. At least three tests of 20 minutes (minimum) duration, using at least three traverse points, shall be performed at each load, using Method 7E from appendix A to part 60 of this chapter (Note: Method 20 from appendix A to part 60 may be used for gas turbines instead of Method 7E). The test must be performed at a time when all NO_X control devices and methods used to reduce NO_X emissions (if applicable) are operating properly. The testing shall be conducted downstream of all NO_X controls. The highest point NO_X concentration (e.g., the highest one-minute average) recorded during any of the test runs shall be the MEC.

(c)If historical CEM data are used to determine the MEC value(s), the MEC for each type of fuel shall be based upon 720 (or more) hours of quality-assured data from the NO_X component of a certified monitoring system representing the entire load range under stable operating conditions. For the purposes of this section, 2.1.2.2, a ''certified'' CEMS means a CEM system that has met the applicable certification requirements of either:

this part, or part 60 of this chapter, or a State CEM program, or the source operating permit. The data base for the MEC shall not include any CEM data recorded during unit startup, shutdown, or malfunction or (for units with add-on NO_X controls or turbines using dry low NO_X technology) during any NO_X control device malfunctions or outages. All NO_X control devices and methods used to reduce NO_X emissions (if applicable) must be operating properly during each hour. The CEM data shall be collected downstream of all NO_X controls. For each type of fuel, the highest of the 720 (or more) quality-assured hourly average NO_X concentrations recorded by the CEMS shall be the MEC.

2.1.2.3 Span Value(s) and Range(s)

(a) Determine the high span value of the NO_X monitor as follows. The high span value shall be obtained by multiplying the MPC by a factor no less than 1.00 and no greater than 1.25. Round the span value upward to the next highest multiple of 100 ppm. If the NO_X span concentration is ≤500 ppm, the span value may either be rounded upward to the next highest multiple of 10 ppm, or to the next highest multiple of 100 ppm. The high span value shall be used to determine the concentrations of the calibration gases required for daily calibration error checks and linearity tests. Note that for certain applications, a second (low) NO_X span and range may be required (see section 2.1.2.4 of this appendix).

(b) If an existing State, local, or federal requirement for span of a NO_X pollutant concentration monitor requires or allows the use of a span value lower than that required by this section or by section 2.1.2.4 of this appendix, the State, local, or federal span value may be used, where a satisfactory explanation is included in the monitoring plan, unless span and/or range adjustments become necessary in accordance with section 2.1.2.5 of this appendix. Span values higher than required by this section or by section 2.1.2.4 of this appendix must be approved by the Administrator.

(c) Select the full-scale range of the instrument to be consistent with section 2.1 of this appendix and to be greater than or equal to the high span value. Include the full-scale range setting and calculations of the MPC and span in the monitoring plan for the unit.

2.1.2.4 Dual Span and Range Requirements

For most units, the high span value based on the MPC, as determined under section 2.1.2.3 of this appendix will suffice to measure and record NO_X concentrations (unless span and/or range adjustments must be made in accordance with section 2.1.2.5 of this appendix). In some instances, however, a second (low) span value based on the MEC may be required to ensure accurate measurement of all expected and potential NO_X concentrations. To determine whether two NO_X spans are required, proceed as follows:

(a) Compare the MEC value(s) determined in section 2.1.2.2 of this appendix to the high full-scale range value determined in section 2.1.2.3 of this appendix. If the MEC values for all fuels (or blends) are ≥20.0 percent of the high range value, the high span and range values determined under section 2.1.2.3 of this appendix are sufficient, irrespective of which fuel or blend is combusted in the unit. If any of the MEC values is <20.0 percent of the high range value, two spans (low and high) are required, one based on the MPC and the other based on the MEC.

(b) When two NO_X spans are required, the owner or operator may either use a single NO_X analyzer with a dual range (low-and high-scales) or two separate NO_X analyzers connected to a common sample probe and sample interface. Two separate NO_X analyzers connected to separate probes and sample interfaces may be used if RATAs are passed on both ranges. For units with add-on NO_X emission controls (e.g., steam injection, water injection, SCR, or SNCR) or units equipped with dry low-NO_X technology, the owner or operator may use a low range analyzer and a ''default high range value,'' as described in paragraph 2.1.2.4(e) of this section, in lieu of maintaining and quality assuring a high-scale range. Other monitor configurations are subject to the approval of the Administrator.

(c) The owner or operator shall designate the monitoring systems and components in the monitoring plan under §75.53 as follows: when a single probe and sample interface are used, either designate the low and high ranges as separate NO_X components of a single, primary NO_X monitoring system; designate the low and high ranges as the NO_X components of two separate, primary NO_X monitoring systems; designate the normal range as a primary monitoring system and the other range as a non-redundant backup monitoring system; or, when a single, dual-range NO_X analyzer is used, designate the low and high ranges as a single NO_X component of a primary NO_X monitoring system (if this option is selected, use a special dual-range component type code, as specified by the Administrator, to satisfy the requirements of §75.53(e)(1)(iv)(D)). When two NO_X analyzers are connected to separate probes and sample interfaces, designate the analyzers as the NO_X components of two separate, primary NO_X monitoring systems. For units with add-on NO_X controls or units equipped with dry low-NO_X technology, if the default high range value is used, designate the low range analyzer as the NO_X component of the primary NO_X monitoring system. Do not designate the default high range as a monitoring system or component. Other

component and system designations are subject to approval by the Administrator. Note that the component and system designations for redundant backup monitoring systems shall be the same as for primary monitoring systems.

(d) Each monitoring system designated as primary or redundant backup shall meet the initial certification and quality assurance requirements in §75.20(c) (for primary monitoring systems), in §75.20(d)(1) (for redundant backup monitoring systems) and appendices A and B to this part, with one exception: relative accuracy test audits (RATAs) are required only on the normal range (for dual span units with add-on NO_X emission controls, the low range is considered normal). Each monitoring system designated as nonredundant backup shall meet the applicable quality assurance requirements in §75.20(d)(2).

(e) For dual span units with add-on NO_X emission controls (e.g., steam injection, water injection, SCR, or SNCR), or, for units that use dry low NO_X technology, the owner or operator may, as an alternative to maintaining and quality assuring a high monitor range, use a default high range value. If this option is chosen, the owner or operator shall report a default value of 200.0 percent of the MPC for each unit operating hour in which the full-scale of the low range NO_X analyzer is exceeded.

(f) The high span and range shall be determined in accordance with section 2.1.2.3 of this appendix. The low span value shall be 100.0 to 125.0 percent of the MEC, rounded up to the next highest multiple of 10 ppm (or 100 ppm, if appropriate). If more than one MEC value (as determined in section 2.1.2.2 of this appendix) is <20.0 percent of the high full-scale range value, the low span value shall be based upon whichever MEC value is closest to 20.0 percent of the high range value. The low range must be greater than or equal to the low span value, and the required calibration gases for the low range must be selected based on the low span value. However, if the default high range option in paragraph (e) of this section is selected, the full-scale of the low measurement range shall not exceed five times the MEC value (where the MEC is rounded upward to the next highest multiple of 10 ppm). For units with two NO_X spans, use the low range whenever NO_X concentrations are expected to be consistently <20.0 percent of the high range value, i.e., when the MEC of the fuel being combusted is <20.0 percent of the high range value. When the full-scale of the low range is exceeded, the high range shall be used to measure and record the NO_X concentrations; or, if applicable, the default high range value in paragraph (e) of this section shall be reported for each hour of the full-scale exceedance.

2.1.2.5 Adjustment of Span and Range

For each affected unit or common stack, the owner or operator shall make a periodic evaluation of the MPC, MEC, span, and range values for each NO_X monitor (at a minimum, an annual evaluation is required) and shall make any necessary span and range adjustments, with corresponding monitoring plan updates, as described in paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of this section. Span and range adjustments may be required, for example, as a result of changes in the fuel supply, changes in the manner of operation of the unit, or installation or removal of emission controls. In implementing the provisions in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section, note that NO_X data recorded during short-term, nonrepresentative operating conditions (e.g., a trial burn of a different type of fuel) shall be excluded from consideration. The owner or operator shall keep the results of the most recent span and range evaluation on-site, in a format suitable for inspection. Make each required span or range adjustment no later than 45 days after the end of the quarter in which the need to adjust the span or range is identified, except that up to 90 days after the end of that quarter may be taken to implement a span adjustment if the calibration gases currently being used for daily calibration error tests and linearity checks are unsuitable for use with the new span value.

(a) If the fuel supply, emission controls, or other process parameters change such that the maximum expected concentration or the maximum potential concentration changes significantly, adjust the NO_X pollutant concentration span(s) and (if necessary) monitor range(s) to assure the continued accuracy of the monitoring system. A ''significant'' change in the MPC or MEC means that the guidelines in section 2.1 of this appendix can no longer be met, as determined by either a periodic evaluation by the owner or operator or from the results of an audit by the Administrator. The owner or operator should evaluate whether any planned changes in operation of the unit or stack may affect the concentration of emissions being emitted from the unit and should plan any necessary span and range changes needed to account for these changes, so that they are made in as timely a manner as practicable to coordinate with the operational changes. An example of a change that may require a span and range adjustment is the installation of low-NO_X burner technology on a previously uncontrolled unit. Determine the adjusted span(s) using the procedures in section 2.1.2.3 or 2.1.2.4 of this appendix (as applicable). Select the full-scale range(s) of the instrument to be greater than or equal to the adjusted span value(s) and to be consistent with the guidelines of section 2.1 of this appendix.

(b) Whenever a full-scale range is exceeded during a quarter and the exceedance is not

caused by a monitor out-of-control period, proceed as follows:

(1) For exceedances of the high range, report 200.0 percent of the current full-scale range as the hourly NO_X concentration for each hour of the full-scale exceedance and make appropriate adjustments to the MPC, span, and range to prevent future full-scale exceedances.

(2) For units with two NO_X spans and ranges, if the low range is exceeded, no further action is required, provided that the high range is available and its most recent calibration error test and linearity check have not expired. However, if either of these quality assurance tests has expired and the high range is not able to provide quality assured data at the time of the low range exceedance or at any time during the continuation of the exceedance, report the MPC as the NO_X concentration until the readings return to the low range or until the high range is able to provide quality assured data (unless the reason that the high-scale range is not able to provide quality assured data is because the high-scale range has been exceeded; if the high-scale range is exceeded, follow the procedures in paragraph (b)(1) of this section).

(c) Whenever changes are made to the MPC, MEC, full-scale range, or span value of the NO_X monitor as described in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section, record and report (as applicable) the new full-scale range setting, the new MPC or MEC, maximum potential NO_X emission rate, and the adjusted span value in an updated monitoring plan for the unit. The monitoring plan update shall be made in the quarter in which the changes become effective. In addition, record and report the adjusted span as part of the records for the daily calibration error test and linearity check required by appendix B to this part. Whenever the span value is adjusted, use calibration gas concentrations that meet the requirements of section 5.1 of this appendix, based on the adjusted span value. When a span adjustment is significant enough that the calibration gases currently being used for daily calibration error tests and linearity checks are unsuitable for use with the new span value, a diagnostic linearity test using the new calibration gases must be performed and passed. Use the data validation procedures in §75.20(b)(3), beginning with the hour in which the span is changed.

2.1.3 CO_2 and O_2 Monitors

For an O_2 monitor (including O_2 monitors used to measure CO_2 emissions or percentage moisture), select a span value between 15.0 and 25.0 percent O_2. For a CO_2 monitor installed on a boiler, select a span value between 14.0 and 20.0 percent CO_2. For a CO_2 monitor installed on a combustion turbine, an alternative span value between 6.0 and 14.0 percent CO_2 may be used. An alternative CO_2 span value below 6.0 percent may be used if an appropriate technical justification is included in the hardcopy monitoring plan. An alternative O_2 span value below 15.0 percent O_2 may be used if an appropriate technical justification is included in the monitoring plan (e.g., O_2 concentrations above a certain level create an unsafe operating condition). Select the full-scale range of the instrument to be consistent with section 2.1 of this appendix and to be greater than or equal to the span value. Select the calibration gas concentrations for the daily calibration error tests and linearity checks in accordance with section 5.1 of this appendix, as percentages of the span value. For O_2 monitors with span values ≥21.0 percent O_2, purified instrument air containing 20.9 percent O_2 may be used as the high-level calibration material. If a dual-range or autoranging diluent analyzer is installed, the analyzer may be represented in the monitoring plan as a single component, using a special component type code specified by the Administrator to satisfy the requirements of §75.53(e)(1)(iv)(D).

2.1.3.1 Maximum Potential Concentration of CO_2

The MPC and MEC values for diluent monitors are subject to the same periodic review as SO_2 and NO_X monitors (see sections 2.1.1.5 and 2.1.2.5 of this appendix). If an MPC or MEC value is found to be either inappropriately high or low, the MPC shall be adjusted and corresponding span and range adjustments shall be made, if necessary.

For CO_2 pollutant concentration monitors, the maximum potential concentration shall be 14.0 percent CO_2 for boilers and 6.0 percent CO_2 for combustion turbines. Alternatively, the owner or operator may determine the MPC based on a minimum of 720 hours of quality-assured historical CEM data representing the full operating load range of the unit(s). Note that the MPC for CO_2 monitors shall only be used for the purpose of providing substitute data under this part. The CO_2 monitor span and range shall be determined according to section 2.1.3 of this appendix.

2.1.3.2 Minimum Potential Concentration of O_2

The owner or operator of a unit that uses a flow monitor and an O_2 diluent monitor to determine heat input in accordance with Equation F–17 or F–18 in appendix F to this part shall, for the purposes of providing substitute data under §75.36, determine the minimum potential O_2 concentration. The minimum potential O_2 concentration shall be based upon 720 hours or more of quality-assured CEM data, representing the full operating load range of the unit(s). The minimum potential O_2 concentration shall be the

lowest quality-assured hourly average O_2 concentration recorded in the 720 (or more) hours of data used for the determination.

2.1.3.3 Adjustment of Span and Range

The MPC and MEC values for diluent monitors are subject to the same periodic review as SO_2 and NO_X monitors (see sections 2.1.1.5 and 2.1.2.5 of this appendix). If an MPC or MEC value is found to be either inappropriately high or low, the MPC shall be adjusted and corresponding span and range adjustments shall be made, if necessary. Adjust the span value and range of a CO_2 or O_2 monitor in accordance with section 2.1.1.5 of this appendix (insofar as those provisions are applicable), with the term ''CO_2 or O_2'' applying instead of the term ''SO_2''. Set the new span and range in accordance with section 2.1.3 of this appendix and report the new span value in the monitoring plan.

2.1.4 Flow Monitors

Select the full-scale range of the flow monitor so that it is consistent with section 2.1 of this appendix and can accurately measure all potential volumetric flow rates at the flow monitor installation site.

2.1.4.1 Maximum Potential Velocity and Flow Rate

For this purpose, determine the span value of the flow monitor using the following procedure. Calculate the maximum potential velocity (MPV) using Equation A–3a or A–3b or determine the MPV (wet basis) from velocity traverse testing using Reference Method 2 (or its allowable alternatives) in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter. If using test values, use the highest average velocity (determined from the Method 2 traverses) measured at or near the maximum unit operating load (or, for units that do not produce electrical or thermal output, at the normal process operating conditions corresponding to the maximum stack gas flow rate). Express the MPV in units of wet standard feet per minute (fpm). For the purpose of providing substitute data during periods of missing flow rate data in accordance with §§75.31 and 75.33 and as required elsewhere in this part, calculate the maximum potential stack gas flow rate (MPF) in units of standard cubic feet per hour (scfh), as the product of the MPV (in units of wet, standard fpm) times 60, times the cross-sectional area of the stack or duct (in ft^2) at the flow monitor location.

$$MPV = \left(\frac{F_d H_f}{A}\right)\left(\frac{20.9}{20.9 - \%O_{2d}}\right)\left(\frac{100}{100 - \%H_2O}\right) \qquad \text{(Eq. A-3a)}$$

or

$$MPV = \left(\frac{F_c H_f}{A}\right)\left(\frac{100}{\%CO_{2d}}\right)\left(\frac{100}{100 - \%H_2O}\right) \qquad \text{(Eq. A-3b)}$$

Where:

MPV = maximum potential velocity (fpm, standard wet basis).

F_d = dry-basis F factor (dscf/mmBtu) from Table 1, Appendix F to this part.

F_c = carbon-based F factor (scf CO_2/mmBtu) from Table 1, Appendix F to this part.

Hf = maximum heat input (mmBtu/minute) for all units, combined, exhausting to the stack or duct where the flow monitor is located.

A = inside cross sectional area (ft^2) of the flue at the flow monitor location.

$\%O_{2d}$ = maximum oxygen concentration, percent dry basis, under normal operating conditions.

$\%CO_{2d}$ = minimum carbon dioxide concentration, percent dry basis, under normal operating conditions.

$\%H_2O$ = maximum percent flue gas moisture content under normal operating conditions.

2.1.4.2 Span Values and Range

Determine the span and range of the flow monitor as follows. Convert the MPV, as determined in section 2.1.4.1 of this appendix, to the same measurement units of flow rate that are used for daily calibration error tests (e.g., scfh, kscfh, kacfm, or differential pressure (inches of water)). Next, determine the ''calibration span value'' by multiplying the MPV (converted to equivalent daily calibration error units) by a factor no less than 1.00

and no greater than 1.25, and rounding up the result to at least two significant figures. For calibration span values in inches of water, retain at least two decimal places. Select appropriate reference signals for the daily calibration error tests as percentages of the calibration span value, as specified in section 2.2.2.1 of this appendix. Finally, calculate the ''flow rate span value'' (in scfh) as the product of the MPF, as determined in section 2.1.4.1 of this appendix, times the same factor (between 1.00 and 1.25) that was used to calculate the calibration span value. Round off the flow rate span value to the nearest 1000 scfh. Select the full-scale range of the flow monitor so that it is greater than or equal to the span value and is consistent with section 2.1 of this appendix. Include in the monitoring plan for the unit: calculations of the MPV, MPF, calibration span value, flow rate span value, and full-scale range (expressed both in scfh and, if different, in the measurement units of calibration).

2.1.4.3 Adjustment of Span and Range

For each affected unit or common stack, the owner or operator shall make a periodic evaluation of the MPV, MPF, span, and range values for each flow rate monitor (at a minimum, an annual evaluation is required) and shall make any necessary span and range adjustments with corresponding monitoring plan updates, as described in paragraphs (a) through (c) of this section 2.1.4.3. Span and range adjustments may be required, for example, as a result of changes in the fuel supply, changes in the stack or ductwork configuration, changes in the manner of operation of the unit, or installation or removal of emission controls. In implementing the provisions in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section 2.1.4.3, note that flow rate data recorded during short-term, non-representative operating conditions (e.g., a trial burn of a different type of fuel) shall be excluded from consideration. The owner or operator shall keep the results of the most recent span and range evaluation on-site, in a format suitable for inspection. Make each required span or range adjustment no later than 45 days after the end of the quarter in which the need to adjust the span or range is identified.

(a) If the fuel supply, stack or ductwork configuration, operating parameters, or other conditions change such that the maximum potential flow rate changes significantly, adjust the span and range to assure the continued accuracy of the flow monitor. A ''significant'' change in the MPV or MPF means that the guidelines of section 2.1 of this appendix can no longer be met, as determined by either a periodic evaluation by the owner or operator or from the results of an audit by the Administrator. The owner or operator should evaluate whether any planned changes in operation of the unit may affect the flow of the unit or stack and should plan any necessary span and range changes needed to account for these changes, so that they are made in as timely a manner as practicable to coordinate with the operational changes. Calculate the adjusted calibration span and flow rate span values using the procedures in section 2.1.4.2 of this appendix.

(b) Whenever the full-scale range is exceeded during a quarter, provided that the exceedance is not caused by a monitor out-of-control period, report 200.0 percent of the current full-scale range as the hourly flow rate for each hour of the full-scale exceedance. If the range is exceeded, make appropriate adjustments to the MPF, flow rate span, and range to prevent future full-scale exceedances. Calculate the new calibration span value by converting the new flow rate span value from units of scfh to units of daily calibration. A calibration error test must be performed and passed to validate data on the new range.

(c) Whenever changes are made to the MPV, MPF, full-scale range, or span value of the flow monitor, as described in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section, record and report (as applicable) the new full-scale range setting, calculations of the flow rate span value, calibration span value, MPV, and MPF in an updated monitoring plan for the unit. The monitoring plan update shall be made in the quarter in which the changes become effective. Record and report the adjusted calibration span and reference values as parts of the records for the calibration error test required by appendix B to this part. Whenever the calibration span value is adjusted, use reference values for the calibration error test that meet the requirements of section 2.2.2.1 of this appendix, based on the most recent adjusted calibration span value. Perform a calibration error test according to section 2.1.1 of appendix B to this part whenever making a change to the flow monitor span or range, unless the range change also triggers a recertification under §75.20(b).

2.1.5 Minimum Potential Moisture Percentage

Except as provided in section 2.1.6 of this appendix, the owner or operator of a unit that uses a continuous moisture monitoring system to correct emission rates and heat inputs from a dry basis to a wet basis (or vice-versa) shall, for the purpose of providing substitute data under §75.37, use a default value of 3.0 percent H_2O as the minimum potential moisture percentage. Alternatively, the minimum potential moisture percentage may be based upon 720 hours or more of quality-assured CEM data, representing the full operating load range of the unit(s). If this option

is chosen, the minimum potential moisture percentage shall be the lowest quality-assured hourly average H_2O concentration recorded in the 720 (or more) hours of data used for the determination.

2.1.6 Maximum Potential Moisture Percentage

When Equation 19–3, 19–4 or 19–8 in Method 19 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter is used to determine NO_X emission rate, the owner or operator of a unit that uses a continuous moisture monitoring system shall, for the purpose of providing substitute data under §75.37, determine the maximum potential moisture percentage. The maximum potential moisture percentage shall be based upon 720 hours or more of quality-assured CEM data, representing the full operating load range of the unit(s). The maximum potential moisture percentage shall be the highest quality-assured hourly average H_2O concentration recorded in the 720 (or more) hours of data used for the determination. Alternatively, a default maximum potential moisture value of 15.0 percent H_2O may be used.

2.2 Design for Quality Control Testing

2.2.1 Pollutant Concentration and CO_2 or O_2 Monitors

(a) Design and equip each pollutant concentration and CO_2 or O_2 monitor with a calibration gas injection port that allows a check of the entire measurement system when calibration gases are introduced. For extractive and dilution type monitors, all monitoring components exposed to the sample gas, (e.g., sample lines, filters, scrubbers, conditioners, and as much of the probe as practicable) are included in the measurement system. For in situ type monitors, the calibration must check against the injected gas for the performance of all active electronic and optical components (e.g. transmitter, receiver, analyzer).

(b) Design and equip each pollutant concentration or CO_2 or O_2 monitor to allow daily determinations of calibration error (positive or negative) at the zero- and mid-or high-level concentrations specified in section 5.2 of this appendix.

2.2.2 Flow Monitors

Design all flow monitors to meet the applicable performance specifications.

2.2.2.1 Calibration Error Test

Design and equip each flow monitor to allow for a daily calibration error test consisting of at least two reference values: Zero to 20 percent of span or an equivalent reference value (*e.g.*, pressure pulse or electronic signal) and 50 to 70 percent of span. Flow monitor response, both before and after any adjustment, must be capable of being recorded by the data acquisition and handling system. Design each flow monitor to allow a daily calibration error test of the entire flow monitoring system, from and including the probe tip (or equivalent) through and including the data acquisition and handling system, or the flow monitoring system from and including the transducer through and including the data acquisition and handling system.

2.2.2.2 Interference Check

(a) Design and equip each flow monitor with a means to ensure that the moisture expected to occur at the monitoring location does not interfere with the proper functioning of the flow monitoring system. Design and equip each flow monitor with a means to detect, on at least a daily basis, pluggage of each sample line and sensing port, and malfunction of each resistance temperature detector (RTD), transceiver or equivalent.

(b) Design and equip each differential pressure flow monitor to provide an automatic, periodic back purging (simultaneously on both sides of the probe) or equivalent method of sufficient force and frequency to keep the probe and lines sufficiently free of obstructions on at least a daily basis to prevent velocity sensing interference, and a means for detecting leaks in the system on at least a quarterly basis (manual check is acceptable).

(c) Design and equip each thermal flow monitor with a means to ensure on at least a daily basis that the probe remains sufficiently clean to prevent velocity sensing interference.

(d) Design and equip each ultrasonic flow monitor with a means to ensure on at least a daily basis that the transceivers remain sufficiently clean (*e.g.*, backpurging system) to prevent velocity sensing interference.

3. PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATIONS

3.1 Calibration Error

(a) The calibration error performance specifications in this section apply only to 7-day calibration error tests under sections 6.3.1 and 6.3.2 of this appendix and to the offline calibration demonstration described in section 2.1.1.2 of appendix B to this part. The calibration error limits for daily operation of the continuous monitoring systems required under this part are found in section 2.1.4(a) of appendix B to this part.

(b) The calibration error of SO_2 and NO_X pollutant concentration monitors shall not deviate from the reference value of either the zero or upscale calibration gas by more than 2.5 percent of the span of the instrument, as calculated using Equation A–5 of this appendix. Alternatively, where the span value is less than 200 ppm, calibration error

test results are also acceptable if the absolute value of the difference between the monitor response value and the reference value, $|R-A|$ in Equation A–5 of this appendix, is ≤5 ppm. The calibration error of CO_2 or O_2 monitors (including O_2 monitors used to measure CO_2 emissions or percent moisture) shall not deviate from the reference value of the zero or upscale calibration gas by >0.5 percent O_2 or CO_2, as calculated using the term $|R-A|$ in the numerator of Equation A–5 of this appendix. The calibration error of flow monitors shall not exceed 3.0 percent of the calibration span value of the instrument, as calculated using Equation A–6 of this appendix. For differential pressure-type flow monitors, the calibration error test results are also acceptable if $|R-A|$, the absolute value of the difference between the monitor response and the reference value in Equation A–6, does not exceed 0.01 inches of water.

3.2 Linearity Check

For SO_2 and NO_X pollutant concentration monitors, the error in linearity for each calibration gas concentration (low-, mid-, and high-levels) shall not exceed or deviate from the reference value by more than 5.0 percent (as calculated using equation A–4 of this appendix). Linearity check results are also acceptable if the absolute value of the difference between the average of the monitor response values and the average of the reference values, | R-A | in equation A–4 of this appendix, is less than or equal to 5 ppm. For CO_2 or O_2 monitors (including O_2 monitors used to measure CO_2 emissions or percent moisture):

(1) The error in linearity for each calibration gas concentration (low-, mid-, and high-levels) shall not exceed or deviate from the reference value by more than 5.0 percent as calculated using equation A–4 of this appendix; or

(2) The absolute value of the difference between the average of the monitor response values and the average of the reference values, | R-A| in equation A–4 of this appendix, shall be less than or equal to 0.5 percent CO_2 or O_2, whichever is less restrictive.

3.3 Relative Accuracy

3.3.1 Relative Accuracy for SO_2 Monitors

(a) The relative accuracy for SO_2 pollutant concentration monitors shall not exceed 10.0 percent except as provided in this section.

(b) For affected units where the average of the reference method measurements of SO_2 concentration during the relative accuracy test audit is less than or equal to 250.0 ppm, the difference between the mean value of the monitor measurements and the reference method mean value shall not exceed ±15.0 ppm, wherever the relative accuracy specification of 10.0 percent is not achieved.

3.3.2 Relative Accuracy for NO_X-Diluent Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems

(a) The relative accuracy for NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring systems shall not exceed 10.0 percent.

(b) For affected units where the average of the reference method measurements of NO_X emission rate during the relative accuracy test audit is less than or equal to 0.200 lb/mmBtu, the difference between the mean value of the continuous emission monitoring system measurements and the reference method mean value shall not exceed ±0.020 lb/mmBtu, wherever the relative accuracy specification of 10.0 percent is not achieved.

3.3.3 Relative Accuracy for CO_2 and O_2 Monitors

The relative accuracy for CO_2 and O_2 monitors shall not exceed 10.0 percent. The relative accuracy test results are also acceptable if the difference between the mean value of the CO_2 or O_2 monitor measurements and the corresponding reference method measurement mean value, calculated using equation A–7 of this appendix, does not exceed ±1.0 percent CO_2 or O_2.

3.3.4 Relative Accuracy for Flow Monitors

(a) The relative accuracy of flow monitors shall not exceed 10.0 percent at any load (or operating) level at which a RATA is performed (i.e., the low, mid, or high level, as defined in section 6.5.2.1 of this appendix).

(b) For affected units where the average of the flow reference method measurements of gas velocity at a particular load (or operating) level of the relative accuracy test audit is less than or equal to 10.0 fps, the difference between the mean value of the flow monitor velocity measurements and the reference method mean value in fps at that level shall not exceed ±2.0 fps, wherever the 10.0 percent relative accuracy specification is not achieved.

3.3.5 Combined SO_2/Flow Monitoring System [Reserved]

3.3.6 Relative Accuracy for Moisture Monitoring Systems

The relative accuracy of a moisture monitoring system shall not exceed 10.0 percent. The relative accuracy test results are also acceptable if the difference between the mean value of the reference method measurements (in percent H_2O) and the corresponding mean value of the moisture monitoring system measurements (in percent H_2O), calculated using Equation A–7 of this appendix does not exceed ±1.5 percent H_2O.

3.3.7 Relative Accuracy for NO_X Concentration Monitoring Systems

(a) The following requirement applies only to NO_X concentration monitoring systems

(i.e., NO_X pollutant concentration monitors) that are used to determine NO_X mass emissions, where the owner or operator elects to monitor and report NO_X mass emissions using a NO_X concentration monitoring system and a flow monitoring system.

(b) The relative accuracy for NO_X concentration monitoring systems shall not exceed 10.0 percent. Alternatively, for affected units where the average of the reference method measurements of NO_X concentration during the relative accuracy test audit is less than or equal to 250.0 ppm, the difference between the mean value of the continuous emission monitoring system measurements and the reference method mean value shall not exceed ±15.0 ppm, wherever the 10.0 percent relative accuracy specification is not achieved.

3.4 Bias

3.4.1 SO_2 Pollutant Concentration Monitors, NO_X Concentration Monitoring Systems and NO_X-Diluent Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems

SO_2 pollutant concentration monitors, NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring systems and NO_X concentration monitoring systems used to determine NO_X mass emissions, as defined in §75.71(a)(2), shall not be biased low as determined by the test procedure in section 7.6 of this appendix. The bias specification applies to all SO_2 pollutant concentration monitors and to all NO_X concentration monitoring systems, including those measuring an average SO_2 or NO_X concentration of 250.0 ppm or less, and to all NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring systems, including those measuring an average NO_X emission rate of 0.200 lb/mmBtu or less.

3.4.2 Flow Monitors

Flow monitors shall not be biased low as determined by the test procedure in section 7.6 of this appendix. The bias specification applies to all flow monitors including those measuring an average gas velocity of 10.0 fps or less.

3.5 Cycle Time

The cycle time for pollutant concentration monitors, oxygen monitors used to determine percent moisture, and any other monitoring component of a continuous emission monitoring system that is required to perform a cycle time test shall not exceed 15 minutes.

4. Data Acquisition and Handling Systems

(a) Automated data acquisition and handling systems shall read and record the entire range of pollutant concentrations and volumetric flow from zero through full-scale and provide a continuous, permanent record of all measurements and required information in an electronic format. These systems also shall have the capability of interpreting and converting the individual output signals from an SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor, a flow monitor, a CO_2 monitor, an O_2 monitor, a NO_X pollutant concentration monitor, a NO_X-diluent CEMS, and a moisture monitoring system to produce a continuous readout of pollutant emission rates or pollutant mass emissions (as applicable) in the appropriate units (*e.g.*, lb/hr, lb/mmBtu, tons/hr).

(b) Data acquisition and handling systems shall also compute and record: Monitor calibration error; any bias adjustments to SO_2, NO_X, flow rate, or NO_X emission rate data; and all missing data procedure statistics specified in subpart D of this part.

(c) For an excepted monitoring system under appendix D or E of this part, data acquisition and handling systems shall:

(1) Read and record the full range of fuel flowrate through the upper range value;

(2) Calculate and record intermediate values necessary to obtain emissions, such as mass fuel flowrate and heat input rate;

(3) Calculate and record emissions in the appropriate units (e.g., lb/hr of SO_2, lb/mmBtu of NO_X);

(4) Predict and record NO_X emission rate using the heat input rate and the NO_X/heat input correlation developed under appendix E of this part;

(5) Calculate and record all missing data substitution values specified in appendix D or E of this part; and

(6) Provide a continuous, permanent record of all measurements and required information in an electronic format.

5. Calibration Gas

5.1 Reference Gases

For the purposes of part 75, calibration gases include the following:

5.1.1 Standard Reference Materials (SRM)

These calibration gases may be obtained from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) at the following address: Quince Orchard and Cloppers Road, Gaithersburg, MD 20899–0001.

5.1.2 SRM-Equivalent Compressed Gas Primary Reference Material (PRM)

Contact the Gas Metrology Team, Analytical Chemistry Division, Chemical Science and Technology Laboratory of NIST, at the address in section 5.1.1, for a list of vendors and cylinder gases.

5.1.3 NIST Traceable Reference Materials

Contact the Gas Metrology Team, Analytical Chemistry Division, Chemical Science and Technology Laboratory of NIST, at the

address in section 5.1.1, for a list of vendors and cylinder gases that meet the definition for a NIST Traceable Reference Material (NTRM) provided in §72.2.

5.1.4 EPA Protocol Gases

(a) An EPA Protocol gas is a calibration gas mixture prepared and analyzed according to Section 2 of the ''EPA Traceability Protocol for Assay and Certification of Gaseous Calibration Standards,'' September 1997, as amended on August 25, 1999, EPA–600/R–97/121 (incorporated by reference, *see* §75.6) or such revised procedure as approved by the Administrator.

(b) EPA Protocol gas concentrations must be certified by an EPA Protocol gas production site to have an analytical uncertainty (95-percent confidence interval) to be not more than plus or minus 2.0 percent (inclusive) of the certified concentration (tag value) of the gas mixture. The uncertainty must be calculated using the statistical procedures (or equivalent statistical techniques) that are listed in Section 2.1.8 of the ''EPA Traceability Protocol for Assay and Certification of Gaseous Calibration Standards,'' September 1997, as amended on August 25, 1999, EPA–600/R–97/121 (incorporated by reference, *see* §75.6).

5.1.5 Research Gas Mixtures

Concentrations of research gas mixtures, as defined in §72.2 of this chapter, must be certified by the National Institute of Standards and Technology to have an analytical uncertainty (95-percent confidence interval) calculated using the statistical procedures (or equivalent statistical techniques) that are listed in Section 2.1.8 of the ''EPA Traceability Protocol for Assay and Certification of Gaseous Calibration Standards,'' September 1997, as amended on August 25, 1999, EPA–600/R–97/121 (incorporated by reference, *see* §75.6) to be not more than plus or minus 2.0 percent (inclusive) of the concentration specified on the cylinder label (*i.e.*, the tag value) in order to be used as calibration gas under this part. Inquiries about the RGM program should be directed to: National Institute of Standards and Technology, Analytical Chemistry Division, Chemical Science and Technology Laboratory, B–324 Chemistry, Gaithersburg, MD 20899.

5.1.6 Zero Air Material

Zero air material is defined in §72.2 of this chapter.

5.1.7 NIST/EPA-Approved Certified Reference Materials

Existing certified reference materials (CRMs) that are still within their certification period may be used as calibration gas.

5.1.8 Gas Manufacturer's Intermediate Standards

Gas manufacturer's intermediate standards is defined in §72.2 of this chapter.

5.2 Concentrations

Four concentration levels are required as follows.

5.2.1 Zero-level Concentration

0.0 to 20.0 percent of span, including span for high-scale or both low- and high-scale for SO_2, NO_X, CO_2, and O_2 monitors, as appropriate.

5.2.2 Low-level Concentration

20.0 to 30.0 percent of span, including span for high-scale or both low- and high-scale for SO_2, NO_X, CO_2, and O_2 monitors, as appropriate.

5.2.3 Mid-level Concentration

50.0 to 60.0 percent of span, including span for high-scale or both low- and high-scale for SO_2, NO_X, CO_2, and O_2 monitors, as appropriate.

5.2.4 High-level Concentration

80.0 to 100.0 percent of span, including span for high-scale or both low-and high-scale for SO_2, NO_X, CO_2, and O_2 monitors, as appropriate.

6. CERTIFICATION TESTS AND PROCEDURES

6.1 General Requirements

6.1.1 Pretest Preparation

Install the components of the continuous emission monitoring system (i.e., pollutant concentration monitors, CO_2 or O_2 monitor, and flow monitor) as specified in sections 1, 2, and 3 of this appendix, and prepare each system component and the combined system for operation in accordance with the manufacturer's written instructions. Operate the unit(s) during each period when measurements are made. Units may be tested on nonconsecutive days. To the extent practicable, test the DAHS software prior to testing the monitoring hardware.

6.1.2 Requirements for Air Emission Testing

(a) On and after March 27, 2012, all relative accuracy test audits (RATAs) of CEMS under this part, and stack testing under §75.19 and Appendix E to this part shall be conducted by an Air Emission Testing Body (AETB) which has provided to the owner or operator of a unit subject to this part the documentation required in paragraph (b) of this section, demonstrating its conformance to ASTM D7036–04 (incorporated by reference, *see* §75.6).

(b) The owner or operator shall obtain from the AETB a certification that as of the time of testing the AETB is operating in conformance with ASTM D7036–04 (incorporated by reference, *see* §75.6). The AETB's certification may be limited in scope to the tests identified under paragraph (a). The AETB's certification need not extend to other work it may perform. This certification shall be provided in the form of either:

(1) A certificate of accreditation or interim accreditation for the relevant test methods issued by a recognized, national accreditation body; or

(2) A letter of certification for the relevant test methods signed by a member of the senior management staff of the AETB.

(c) The owner or operator shall obtain from the AETB the information required under §§75.59(a)(15), (b)(6), and (d)(4), as applicable.

(d) While under no obligation to request the following information from an AETB, to review the information provided by the AETB in response to such a request, or to take any other action related to the response, the owner or operator may find it useful to request that AETBs complying with paragraph (b)(2) of this section provide a copy of the following:

(1) The AETB's quality manual. For the purpose of application of 40 CFR part 2, subpart B, AETB's concerned about the potential for public access to confidential business information (CBI) may identify any information subject to such a claim in the copy provided;

(2) The results of any internal audits performed by the AETB and any external audits of the AETB during the 12 month period through the previous calendar quarter;

(3) Performance data (as defined in ASTM D7036–04 (incorporated by reference, *see* §75.6)) collected by the AETB, including corrective actions implemented, during the 12 month period through the previous calendar quarter; and

(4) Training records for all on-site technical personnel, including any Qualified Individuals, for the 12 month period through the previous calendar quarter.

(e) All relative accuracy testing performed pursuant to §75.74(c)(2)(ii), section 6.5 of appendix A to this part or section 2.3.1 of appendix B to this part, and stack testing under §75.19 and Appendix E to this part shall be overseen and supervised on site by at least one Qualified Individual, as defined in §72.2 of this chapter with respect to the methods employed in the test project. If the source owner or operator, or a State, local, or EPA observer, discovers while the test team is still on site, that at least one QI did not oversee and supervise the entire test (as qualified by this paragraph (e)), only those portions of the test that were overseen and supervised by at least one QI as described above may be used under this part. However, allowance is made for normal activities of a QI who is overseeing and supervising a test, *e.g.*, bathroom breaks, meal breaks, and emergencies that may arise during a test.

(f) Except as provided in paragraph (e), no RATA performed pursuant to §75.74(c)(2)(ii), section 6.5 of appendix A to this part or section 2.3.1 of appendix B to this part, and no stack test under §75.19 or Appendix E to this part (or portion of such a RATA or stack test) conducted by an AETB (as defined in §72.2) shall be invalidated under this part as a result of the failure of the AETB to conform to ASTM D7036–04 (incorporated by reference, *see* §75.6). Validation of such tests is determined based on the other part 75 testing requirements. EPA recommends that proper observation of tests and review of test results continue, regardless of whether an AETB fully conforms to ASTM D7036–04.

(g) An owner or operator who has requested information from an AETB under paragraph (d) of this part who believes that the information provided by the AETB was either incomplete or inaccurate may request the Administrator's assistance in remedying the alleged deficiencies. Upon such a request, if the Administrator concurs that the information submitted to a source subject to part 75 by an AETB under this section is either incomplete or inaccurate, the Administrator will provide the AETB a description of the deficiencies to be remedied. The Administrator's determination of completeness and accuracy of information will be solely based on the provisions of ASTM D7036–04 (incorporated by reference, *see* §75.6) and this part. The Administrator may post the name of the offending AETB on Agency Web sites (including the CAMD Web site *http://www.epa.gov/airmarkets/emissions/aetb.html*) if within 30 days of the Administrator having provided the AETB a description of the deficiencies to be remedied, the AETB does not satisfactorily respond to the source and notify the Administrator of the response by submitting the notification to *aetb@epa.gov*, unless otherwise provided by the Administrator. The AETB need not submit the information it provides to the owner or operator to the Administrator, unless specifically requested by the Administrator. If after the AETB's name is posted, the Administrator, in consultation with the source, determines that the AETB's response is sufficient, the AETB's name will be removed from the EPA Web sites.

6.2 Linearity Check (General Procedures)

Check the linearity of each SO_2, NO_X, CO_2, and O_2 monitor while the unit, or group of units for a common stack, is combusting fuel at conditions of typical stack temperature and pressure; it is not necessary for the unit to be generating electricity during this test. Notwithstanding these requirements, if the

SO_2 or NO_X span value for a particular monitor range is ≤30 ppm, that range is exempted from the linearity check requirements of this part, for initial certification, recertification, and for on-going quality-assurance. For units with two measurement ranges (high and low) for a particular parameter, perform a linearity check on both the low scale (except for SO_2 or NO_X span values ≤30 ppm) and the high scale. Note that for a NO_X-diluent monitoring system with two NO_X measurement ranges, if the low NO_X scale has a span value ≤30 ppm and is exempt from linearity checks, this does not exempt either the diluent monitor or the high NO_X scale (if the span is >30 ppm) from linearity check requirements. For on-going quality assurance of the CEMS, perform linearity checks, using the procedures in this section, on the range(s) and at the frequency specified in section 2.2.1 of appendix B to this part. Challenge each monitor with calibration gas, as defined in section 5.1 of this appendix, at the low-, mid-, and high-range concentrations specified in section 5.2 of this appendix. Introduce the calibration gas at the gas injection port, as specified in section 2.2.1 of this appendix. Operate each monitor at its normal operating temperature and conditions. For extractive and dilution type monitors, pass the calibration gas through all filters, scrubbers, conditioners, and other monitor components used during normal sampling and through as much of the sampling probe as is practical. For in-situ type monitors, perform calibration checking all active electronic and optical components, including the transmitter, receiver, and analyzer. Challenge the monitor three times with each reference gas (see example data sheet in Figure 1). Do not use the same gas twice in succession. To the extent practicable, the duration of each linearity test, from the hour of the first injection to the hour of the last injection, shall not exceed 24 unit operating hours. Record the monitor response from the data acquisition and handling system. For each concentration, use the average of the responses to determine the error in linearity using Equation A–4 in this appendix. Linearity checks are acceptable for monitor or monitoring system certification, recertification, or quality assurance if none of the test results exceed the applicable performance specifications in section 3.2 of this appendix. The status of emission data from a CEMS prior to and during a linearity test period shall be determined as follows:

(a) For the initial certification of a CEMS, data from the monitoring system are considered invalid until all certification tests, including the linearity test, have been successfully completed, unless the conditional data validation procedures in §75.20(b)(3) are used. When the procedures in §75.20(b)(3) are followed, the words ''initial certification'' apply instead of ''recertification,'' and complete all of the initial certification tests by the applicable deadline in §75.4, rather than within the time periods specified in §75.20(b)(3)(iv) for the individual tests.

(b) For the routine quality assurance linearity checks required by section 2.2.1 of appendix B to this part, use the data validation procedures in section 2.2.3 of appendix B to this part.

(c) When a linearity test is required as a diagnostic test or for recertification, use the data validation procedures in §75.20(b)(3).

(d) For linearity tests of non-redundant backup monitoring systems, use the data validation procedures in §75.20(d)(2)(iii).

(e) For linearity tests performed during a grace period and after the expiration of a grace period, use the data validation procedures in sections 2.2.3 and 2.2.4, respectively, of appendix B to this part.

(f) For all other linearity checks, use the data validation procedures in section 2.2.3 of appendix B to this part.

6.3 7-Day Calibration Error Test

6.3.1 Gas Monitor 7-Day Calibration Error Test

The following monitors and ranges are exempted from the 7-day calibration error test requirements of this part: the SO_2, NO_X, CO_2 and O_2 monitors installed on peaking units (as defined in §72.2 of this chapter); and any SO_2 or NO_X measurement range with a span value of 50 ppm or less. In all other cases, measure the calibration error of each SO_2 monitor, each NO_X monitor, and each CO_2 or O_2 monitor while the unit is combusting fuel (but not necessarily generating electricity) once each day for 7 consecutive operating days according to the following procedures. (In the event that unit outages occur after the commencement of the test, the 7 consecutive unit operating days need not be 7 consecutive calendar days). Units using dual span monitors must perform the calibration error test on both high- and low-scales of the pollutant concentration monitor. The calibration error test procedures in this section and in section 6.3.2 of this appendix shall also be used to perform the daily assessments and additional calibration error tests required under sections 2.1.1 and 2.1.3 of appendix B to this part. Do not make manual or automatic adjustments to the monitor settings until after taking measurements at both zero and high concentration levels for that day during the 7-day test. If automatic adjustments are made following both injections, conduct the calibration error test such that the magnitude of the adjustments can be determined and recorded. Record and report test results for each day using the unadjusted concentration measured in the calibration error test prior to making any

manual or automatic adjustments (*i.e.*, resetting the calibration). The calibration error tests should be approximately 24 hours apart, (unless the 7-day test is performed over nonconsecutive days). Perform calibration error tests at both the zero-level concentration and high-level concentration, as specified in section 5.2 of this appendix. Alternatively, a mid-level concentration gas (50.0 to 60.0 percent of the span value) may be used in lieu of the high-level gas, provided that the mid-level gas is more representative of the actual stack gas concentrations. A calibration gas blend may be used as both a zero-level gas and an upscale (mid- or high-level) gas, where appropriate. In addition, repeat the procedure for SO_2 and NO_X pollutant concentration monitors using the low-scale for units equipped with emission controls or other units with dual span monitors. Use only calibration gas, as specified in section 5.1 of this appendix. Introduce the calibration gas at the gas injection port, as specified in section 2.2.1 of this appendix. Operate each monitor in its normal sampling mode. For extractive and dilution type monitors, pass the calibration gas through all filters, scrubbers, conditioners, and other monitor components used during normal sampling and through as much of the sampling probe as is practical. For in-situ type monitors, perform calibration, checking all active electronic and optical components, including the transmitter, receiver, and analyzer. Challenge the pollutant concentration monitors and CO_2 or O_2 monitors once with each calibration gas. Record the monitor response from the data acquisition and handling system. Using Equation A–5 of this appendix, determine the calibration error at each concentration once each day (at approximately 24-hour intervals) for 7 consecutive days according to the procedures given in this section. The results of a 7-day calibration error test are acceptable for monitor or monitoring system certification, recertification or diagnostic testing if none of these daily calibration error test results exceed the applicable performance specifications in section 3.1 of this appendix. The status of emission data from a gas monitor prior to and during a 7-day calibration error test period shall be determined as follows:

(a) For initial certification, data from the monitor are considered invalid until all certification tests, including the 7-day calibration error test, have been successfully completed, unless the conditional data validation procedures in §75.20(b)(3) are used. When the procedures in §75.20(b)(3) are followed, the words ''initial certification'' apply instead of ''recertification,'' and complete all of the initial certification tests by the applicable deadline in §75.4, rather than within the time periods specified in §75.20(b)(3)(iv) for the individual tests.

(b) When a 7-day calibration error test is required as a diagnostic test or for recertification, use the data validation procedures in §75.20(b)(3).

6.3.2 Flow Monitor 7-day Calibration Error Test

Flow monitors installed on peaking units (as defined in §72.2 of this chapter) are exempted from the 7-day calibration error test requirements of this part. In all other cases, perform the 7-day calibration error test of a flow monitor, when required for certification, recertification or diagnostic testing, according to the following procedures. Introduce the reference signal corresponding to the values specified in section 2.2.2.1 of this appendix to the probe tip (or equivalent), or to the transducer. During the 7-day certification test period, conduct the calibration error test while the unit is operating once each unit operating day (as close to 24-hour intervals as practicable). In the event that unit outages occur after the commencement of the test, the 7 consecutive operating days need not be 7 consecutive calendar days. Record the flow monitor responses by means of the data acquisition and handling system. Calculate the calibration error using Equation A–6 of this appendix. Do not perform any corrective maintenance, repair, or replacement upon the flow monitor during the 7-day test period other than that required in the quality assurance/quality control plan required by appendix B to this part. Do not make adjustments between the zero and high reference level measurements on any day during the 7-day test. If the flow monitor operates within the calibration error performance specification (i.e., less than or equal to 3.0 percent error each day and requiring no corrective maintenance, repair, or replacement during the 7-day test period), the flow monitor passes the calibration error test. Record all maintenance activities and the magnitude of any adjustments. Record output readings from the data acquisition and handling system before and after all adjustments. Record and report all calibration error test results using the unadjusted flow rate measured in the calibration error test prior to resetting the calibration. Record all adjustments made during the 7-day period at the time the adjustment is made, and report them in the certification or recertification application. The status of emissions data from a flow monitor prior to and during a 7-day calibration error test period shall be determined as follows:

(a) For initial certification, data from the monitor are considered invalid until all certification tests, including the 7-day calibration error test, have been successfully completed, unless the conditional data validation procedures in §75.20(b)(3) are used. When the procedures in §75.20(b)(3) are followed,

the words ''initial certification'' apply instead of ''recertification,'' and complete all of the initial certification tests by the applicable deadline in §75.4, rather than within the time periods specified in §75.20(b)(3)(iv) for the individual tests.

(b) When a 7-day calibration error test is required as a diagnostic test or for recertification, use the data validation procedures in §75.20(b)(3).

6.3.3 For gas or flow monitors installed on peaking units, the exemption from performing the 7-day calibration error test applies as long as the unit continues to meet the definition of a peaking unit in §72.2 of this chapter. However, if at the end of a particular calendar year or ozone season, it is determined that peaking unit status has been lost, the owner or operator shall perform a diagnostic 7-day calibration error test of each monitor installed on the unit, by no later than December 31 of the following calendar year.

6.4 Cycle Time Test

Perform cycle time tests for each pollutant concentration monitor and continuous emission monitoring system while the unit is operating, according to the following procedures. Use a zero-level and a high-level calibration gas (as defined in section 5.2 of this appendix) alternately. To determine the downscale cycle time, measure the concentration of the flue gas emissions until the response stabilizes. Record the stable emissions value. Inject a zero-level concentration calibration gas into the probe tip (or injection port leading to the calibration cell, for in situ systems with no probe). Record the time of the zero gas injection, using the data acquisition and handling system (DAHS). Next, allow the monitor to measure the concentration of the zero gas until the response stabilizes. Record the stable ending calibration gas reading. Determine the downscale cycle time as the time it takes for 95.0 percent of the step change to be achieved between the stable stack emissions value and the stable ending zero gas reading. Then repeat the procedure, starting with stable stack emissions and injecting the high-level gas, to determine the upscale cycle time, which is the time it takes for 95.0 percent of the step change to be achieved between the stable stack emissions value and the stable ending high-level gas reading. Use the following criteria to assess when a stable reading of stack emissions or calibration gas concentration has been attained. A stable value is equivalent to a reading with a change of less than 2.0 percent of the span value for 2 minutes, or a reading with a change of less than 6.0 percent from the measured average concentration over 6 minutes. Alternatively, the reading is considered stable if it changes by no more than 0.5 ppm or 0.2% CO_2 or O_2 (as applicable) for two minutes. (Owners or operators of systems which do not record data in 1-minute or 3-minute intervals may petition the Administrator under §75.66 for alternative stabilization criteria). For monitors or monitoring systems that perform a series of operations (such as purge, sample, and analyze), time the injections of the calibration gases so they will produce the longest possible cycle time. Refer to Figures 6a and 6b in this appendix for example calculations of upscale and downscale cycle times. Report the slower of the two cycle times (upscale or downscale) as the cycle time for the analyzer. Prior to January 1, 2009 for the NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system test, either record and report the longer cycle time of the two component analyzers as the system cycle time or record the cycle time for each component analyzer separately (as applicable). On and after January 1, 2009, record the cycle time for each component analyzer separately. For time-shared systems, perform the cycle time tests at each probe locations that will be polled within the same 15-minute period during monitoring system operations. To determine the cycle time for time-shared systems, at each monitoring location, report the sum of the cycle time observed at that monitoring location plus the sum of the time required for all purge cycles (as determined by the continuous emission monitoring system manufacturer) at each of the probe locations of the time-shared systems. For monitors with dual ranges, report the test results for each range separately. Cycle time test results are acceptable for monitor or monitoring system certification, recertification or diagnostic testing if none of the cycle times exceed 15 minutes. The status of emissions data from a monitor prior to and during a cycle time test period shall be determined as follows:

(a) For initial certification, data from the monitor are considered invalid until all certification tests, including the cycle time test, have been successfully completed, unless the conditional data validation procedures in §75.20(b)(3) are used. When the procedures in §75.20(b)(3) are followed, the words ''initial certification'' apply instead of ''recertification,'' and complete all of the initial certification tests by the applicable deadline in §75.4, rather than within the time periods specified in §75.20(b)(3)(iv) for the individual tests.

(b) When a cycle time test is required as a diagnostic test or for recertification, use the data validation procedures in §75.20(b)(3).

6.5 Relative Accuracy and Bias Tests (General Procedures)

Perform the required relative accuracy test audits (RATAs) as follows for each CO_2 emissions concentration monitor (including O_2 monitors used to determine CO_2 emissions

concentration), each SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor, each NO_X concentration monitoring system used to determine NO_X mass emissions, each flow monitor, each NO_X-diluent CEMS, each O_2 or CO_2 diluent monitor used to calculate heat input, and each moisture monitoring system. For NO_X concentration monitoring systems used to determine NO_X mass emissions, as defined in §75.71(a)(2), use the same general RATA procedures as for SO_2 pollutant concentration monitors; however, use the reference methods for NO_X concentration specified in section 6.5.10 of this appendix:

(a) Except as otherwise provided in this paragraph or in §75.21(a)(5), perform each RATA while the unit (or units, if more than one unit exhausts into the flue) is combusting the fuel that is a normal primary or backup fuel for that unit (for some units, more than one type of fuel may be considered normal, *e.g.*, a unit that combusts gas or oil on a seasonal basis). For units that cofire fuels as the predominant mode of operation, perform the RATAs while co-firing. For Hg monitoring systems, perform the RATAs while the unit is combusting coal. When relative accuracy test audits are performed on CEMS installed on bypass stacks/ducts, use the fuel normally combusted by the unit (or units, if more than one unit exhausts into the flue) when emissions exhaust through the bypass stack/ducts.

(b) Perform each RATA at the load (or operating) level(s) specified in section 6.5.1 or 6.5.2 of this appendix or in section 2.3.1.3 of appendix B to this part, as applicable.

(c) For monitoring systems with dual ranges, perform the relative accuracy test on the range normally used for measuring emissions. For units with add-on SO_2 or NO_X controls that operate continuously rather than seasonally, or for units that need a dual range to record high concentration ''spikes'' during startup conditions, the low range is considered normal. However, for some dual span units (*e.g.*, for units that use fuel switching or for which the emission controls are operated seasonally), provided that both monitor ranges are connected to a common probe and sample interface, either of the two measurement ranges may be considered normal; in such cases, perform the RATA on the range that is in use at the time of the scheduled test. If the low and high measurement ranges are connected to separate sample probes and interfaces, RATA testing on both ranges is required.

(d) Record monitor or monitoring system output from the data acquisition and handling system.

(e) Complete each single-load relative accuracy test audit within a period of 168 consecutive unit operating hours, as defined in §72.2 of this chapter (or, for CEMS installed on common stacks or bypass stacks, 168 consecutive stack operating hours, as defined in §72.2 of this chapter). For 2-level and 3-level flow monitor RATAs, complete all of the RATAs at all levels, to the extent practicable, within a period of 168 consecutive unit (or stack) operating hours; however, if this is not possible, up to 720 consecutive unit (or stack) operating hours may be taken to complete a multiple-load flow RATA.

(f) The status of emission data from the CEMS prior to and during the RATA test period shall be determined as follows:

(1) For the initial certification of a CEMS, data from the monitoring system are considered invalid until all certification tests, including the RATA, have been successfully completed, unless the conditional data validation procedures in §75.20(b)(3) are used. When the procedures in §75.20(b)(3) are followed, the words ''initial certification'' apply instead of ''recertification,'' and complete all of the initial certification tests by the applicable deadline in §75.4, rather than within the time periods specified in §75.20(b)(3)(iv) for the individual tests.

(2) For the routine quality assurance RATAs required by section 2.3.1 of appendix B to this part, use the data validation procedures in section 2.3.2 of appendix B to this part.

(3) For recertification RATAs, use the data validation procedures in §75.20(b)(3).

(4) For quality assurance RATAs of non-redundant backup monitoring systems, use the data validation procedures in §§75.20(d)(2)(v) and (vi).

(5) For RATAs performed during and after the expiration of a grace period, use the data validation procedures in sections 2.3.2 and 2.3.3, respectively, of appendix B to this part.

(6) For all other RATAs, use the data validation procedures in section 2.3.2 of appendix B to this part.

(g) For each SO_2 or CO_2 emissions concentration monitor, each flow monitor, each CO_2 or O_2 diluent monitor used to determine heat input, each NO_X concentration monitoring system used to determine NO_X mass emissions, as defined in §75.71(a)(2), each moisture monitoring system, and each NO_X-diluent CEMS, calculate the relative accuracy, in accordance with section 7.3 or 7.4 of this appendix, as applicable. In addition (except for CO_2, O_2, or moisture monitors), test for bias and determine the appropriate bias adjustment factor, in accordance with sections 7.6.4 and 7.6.5 of this appendix, using the data from the relative accuracy test audits.

6.5.1 Gas Monitoring System RATAs (Special Considerations)

(a) Perform the required relative accuracy test audits for each SO_2 or CO_2 emissions concentration monitor, each CO_2 or O_2 diluent monitor used to determine heat input,

each NO_X-diluent CEMS, and each NO_X concentration monitoring system used to determine NO_X mass emissions, as defined in §75.71(a)(2), at the normal load level or normal operating level for the unit (or combined units, if common stack), as defined in section 6.5.2.1 of this appendix. If two load levels or operating levels have been designated as normal, the RATAs may be done at either load (or operating) level.

(b) For the initial certification of a gas monitoring system and for recertifications in which, in addition to a RATA, one or more other tests are required (*i.e.*, a linearity test, cycle time test, or 7-day calibration error test), EPA recommends that the RATA not be commenced until the other required tests of the CEMS have been passed.

6.5.2 Flow Monitor RATAs (Special Considerations)

(a) Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (b) or (e) of this section, perform relative accuracy test audits for the initial certification of each flow monitor at three different exhaust gas velocities (low, mid, and high), corresponding to three different load levels or operating levels within the range of operation, as defined in section 6.5.2.1 of this appendix. For a common stack/duct, the three different exhaust gas velocities may be obtained from frequently used unit/load or operating level combinations for the units exhausting to the common stack. Select the three exhaust gas velocities such that the audit points at adjacent load or operating levels (i.e., low and mid or mid and high), in megawatts (or in thousands of lb/hr of steam production or in ft/sec, as applicable), are separated by no less than 25.0 percent of the range of operation, as defined in section 6.5.2.1 of this appendix.

(b) For flow monitors on bypass stacks/ducts and peaking units, the flow monitor relative accuracy test audits for initial certification and recertification shall be single-load tests, performed at the normal load, as defined in section 6.5.2.1(d) of this appendix.

(c) Flow monitor recertification RATAs shall be done at three load level(s) (or three operating levels), unless otherwise specified in paragraph (b) or (e) of this section or unless otherwise specified or approved by the Administrator.

(d) The semiannual and annual quality assurance flow monitor RATAs required under appendix B to this part shall be done at the load level(s) (or operating levels) specified in section 2.3.1.3 of appendix B to this part.

(e) For flow monitors installed on units that do not produce electrical or thermal output, the flow RATAs for initial certification or recertification may be done at fewer than three operating levels, if:

(1) The owner or operator provides a technical justification in the hardcopy portion of the monitoring plan for the unit required under §75.53(e)(2), demonstrating that the unit operates at only one level or two levels during normal operation (excluding unit startup and shutdown). Appropriate documentation and data must be provided to support the claim of single-level or two-level operation; and

(2) The justification provided in paragraph (e)(1) of this section is deemed to be acceptable by the permitting authority.

6.5.2.1 Range of Operation and Normal Load (or Operating) Level(s)

(a) The owner or operator shall determine the upper and lower boundaries of the ''range of operation'' as follows for each unit (or combination of units, for common stack configurations):

(1) For affected units that produce electrical output (in megawatts) or thermal output (in klb/hr of steam production or mmBtu/hr), the lower boundary of the range of operation of a unit shall be the minimum safe, stable loads for any of the units discharging through the stack. Alternatively, for a group of frequently-operated units that serve a common stack, the sum of the minimum safe, stable loads for the individual units may be used as the lower boundary of the range of operation. The upper boundary of the range of operation of a unit shall be the maximum sustainable load. The ''maximum sustainable load'' is the higher of either: the nameplate or rated capacity of the unit, less any physical or regulatory limitations or other deratings; or the highest sustainable load, based on at least four quarters of representative historical operating data. For common stacks, the maximum sustainable load is the sum of all of the maximum sustainable loads of the individual units discharging through the stack, unless this load is unattainable in practice, in which case use the highest sustainable combined load for the units that discharge through the stack. Based on at least four quarters of representative historical operating data. The load values for the unit(s) shall be expressed either in units of megawatts of thousands of lb/hr of steam load or mmBtu/hr of thermal output; or

(2) For affected units that do not produce electrical or thermal output, the lower boundary of the range of operation shall be the minimum expected flue gas velocity (in ft/sec) during normal, stable operation of the unit. The upper boundary of the range of operation shall be the maximum potential flue gas velocity (in ft/sec) as defined in section 2.1.4.1 of this appendix. The minimum expected and maximum potential velocities may be derived from the results of reference method testing or by using Equation A–3a or A–3b (as applicable) in section 2.1.4.1 of this appendix. If Equation A–3a or A–3b is used to determine the minimum expected velocity, replace the word ''maximum'' with the word

''minimum'' in the definitions of ''MPV,'' ''H_f,'' ''% O_{2d},'' and ''% H_2O,'' and replace the word ''minimum'' with the word ''maximum'' in the definition of ''CO_{2d}.'' Alternatively, 0.0 ft/sec may be used as the lower boundary of the range of operation.

(b) The operating levels for relative accuracy test audits shall, except for peaking units, be defined as follows: the ''low'' operating level shall be the first 30.0 percent of the range of operation; the ''mid'' operating level shall be the middle portion (>30.0 percent, but ≤60.0 percent) of the range of operation; and the ''high'' operating level shall be the upper end (>60.0 percent) of the range of operation. For example, if the upper and lower boundaries of the range of operation are 100 and 1100 megawatts, respectively, then the low, mid, and high operating levels would be 100 to 400 megawatts, 400 to 700 megawatts, and 700 to 1100 megawatts, respectively.

(c) Units that do not produce electrical or thermal output are exempted from the requirements of this paragraph, (c). The owner or operator shall identify, for each affected unit or common stack (except for peaking units and units using the low mass emissions (LME) excepted methodology under §75.19), the ''normal'' load level or levels (low, mid or high), based on the operating history of the unit(s). To identify the normal load level(s), the owner or operator shall, at a minimum, determine the relative number of operating hours at each of the three load levels, low, mid and high over the past four representative operating quarters. The owner or operator shall determine, to the nearest 0.1 percent, the percentage of the time that each load level (low, mid, high) has been used during that time period. A summary of the data used for this determination and the calculated results shall be kept on-site in a format suitable for inspection. For new units or newly-affected units, the data analysis in this paragraph may be based on fewer than four quarters of data if fewer than four representative quarters of historical load data are available. Or, if no historical load data are available, the owner or operator may designate the normal load based on the expected or projected manner of operating the unit. However, in either case, once four quarters of representative data become available, the historical load analysis shall be repeated.

(d) Determination of normal load (or operating level)

(1) Based on the analysis of the historical load data described in paragraph (c) of this section, the owner or operator shall, for units that produce electrical or thermal output, designate the most frequently used load level as the normal load level for the unit (or combination of units, for common stacks). The owner or operator may also designate the second most frequently used load level as an additional normal load level for the unit or stack. For peaking units and LME units, normal load designations are unnecessary; the entire operating load range shall be considered normal. If the manner of operation of the unit changes significantly, such that the designated normal load(s) or the two most frequently used load levels change, the owner or operator shall repeat the historical load analysis and shall redesignate the normal load(s) and the two most frequently used load levels, as appropriate. A minimum of two representative quarters of historical load data are required to document that a change in the manner of unit operation has occurred. Update the electronic monitoring plan whenever the normal load level(s) and the two most frequently-used load levels are redesignated.

(2) For units that do not produce electrical or thermal output, the normal operating level(s) shall be determined using sound engineering judgment, based on knowledge of the unit and operating experience with the industrial process.

(e) The owner or operator shall report the upper and lower boundaries of the range of operation for each unit (or combination of units, for common stacks), in units of megawatts or thousands of lb/hr or mmBtu/hr of steam production or ft/sec (as applicable), in the electronic monitoring plan required under §75.53. Except for peaking units and LME units, the owner or operator shall indicate, in the electronic monitoring plan, the load level (or levels) designated as normal under this section and shall also indicate the two most frequently used load levels.

6.5.2.2 Multi-Load (or Multi-Level) Flow RATA Results

For each multi-load (or multi-level) flow RATA, calculate the flow monitor relative accuracy at each operating level. If a flow monitor relative accuracy test is failed or aborted due to a problem with the monitor on any level of a 2-level (or 3-level) relative accuracy test audit, the RATA must be repeated at that load (or operating) level. However, the entire 2-level (or 3-level) relative accuracy test audit does not have to be repeated unless the flow monitor polynomial coefficients or K-factor(s) are changed, in which case a 3-level RATA is required (or, a 2-level RATA, for units demonstrated to operate at only two levels, under section 6.5.2(e) of this appendix).

6.5.3 [Reserved]

6.5.4 Calculations

Using the data from the relative accuracy test audits, calculate relative accuracy and bias in accordance with the procedures and equations specified in section 7 of this appendix.

6.5.5 Reference Method Measurement Location

Select a location for reference method measurements that is (1) accessible; (2) in the same proximity as the monitor or monitoring system location; and (3) meets the requirements of Performance Specification 2 in appendix B of part 60 of this chapter for SO_2 and NO_X continuous emission monitoring systems, Performance Specification 3 in appendix B of part 60 of this chapter for CO_2 or O_2 monitors, or method 1 (or 1A) in appendix A of part 60 of this chapter for volumetric flow, except as otherwise indicated in this section or as approved by the Administrator.

6.5.6 Reference Method Traverse Point Selection

Select traverse points that ensure acquisition of representative samples of pollutant and diluent concentrations, moisture content, temperature, and flue gas flow rate over the flue cross section. To achieve this, the reference method traverse points shall meet the requirements of section 8.1.3 of Performance Specification 2 (''PS No. 2'') in appendix B to part 60 of this chapter (for SO_2, NO_X, and moisture monitoring system RATAs), Performance Specification 3 in appendix B to part 60 of this chapter (for O_2 and CO_2 monitor RATAs), Method 1 (or 1A) (for volumetric flow rate monitor RATAs), Method 3 (for molecular weight), and Method 4 (for moisture determination) in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter. The following alternative reference method traverse point locations are permitted for moisture and gas monitor RATAs:

(a) For moisture determinations where the moisture data are used only to determine stack gas molecular weight, a single reference method point, located at least 1.0 meter from the stack wall, may be used. For moisture monitoring system RATAs and for gas monitor RATAs in which moisture data are used to correct pollutant or diluent concentrations from a dry basis to a wet basis (or vice-versa), single-point moisture sampling may only be used if the 12-point stratification test described in section 6.5.6.1 of this appendix is performed prior to the RATA for at least one pollutant or diluent gas, and if the test is passed according to the acceptance criteria in section 6.5.6.3(b) of this appendix.

(b) For gas monitoring system RATAs, the owner or operator may use any of the following options:

(1) At any location (including locations where stratification is expected), use a minimum of six traverse points along a diameter, in the direction of any expected stratification. The points shall be located in accordance with Method 1 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.

(2) At locations where section 8.1.3 of PS No. 2 allows the use of a short reference method measurement line (with three points located at 0.4, 1.2, and 2.0 meters from the stack wall), the owner or operator may use an alternative 3-point measurement line, locating the three points at 4.4, 14.6, and 29.6 percent of the way across the stack, in accordance with Method 1 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.

(3) At locations where stratification is likely to occur (e.g., following a wet scrubber or when dissimilar gas streams are combined), the short measurement line from section 8.1.3 of PS No. 2 (or the alternative line described in paragraph (b)(2) of this section) may be used in lieu of the prescribed ''long'' measurement line in section 8.1.3 of PS No. 2, provided that the 12-point stratification test described in section 6.5.6.1 of this appendix is performed and passed one time at the location (according to the acceptance criteria of section 6.5.6.3(a) of this appendix) and provided that either the 12-point stratification test or the alternative (abbreviated) stratification test in section 6.5.6.2 of this appendix is performed and passed prior to each subsequent RATA at the location (according to the acceptance criteria of section 6.5.6.3(a) of this appendix).

(4) A single reference method measurement point, located no less than 1.0 meter from the stack wall and situated along one of the measurement lines used for the stratification test, may be used at any sampling location if the 12-point stratification test described in section 6.5.6.1 of this appendix is performed and passed prior to each RATA at the location (according to the acceptance criteria of section 6.5.6.3(b) of this appendix).

(5) If Method 7E is used as the reference method for the RATA of a NO_X CEMS installed on a combustion turbine, the reference method measurements may be made at the sampling points specified in section 6.1.2 of Method 20 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.

6.5.6.1 Stratification Test

(a) With the unit(s) operating under steady-state conditions at the normal load level (or normal operating level), as defined in section 6.5.2.1 of this appendix, use a traversing gas sampling probe to measure the pollutant (SO_2 or NO_X) and diluent (CO_2 or O_2) concentrations at a minimum of twelve (12) points, located according to Method 1 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.

(b) Use Methods 6C, 7E, and 3A in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter to make the measurements. Data from the reference method analyzers must be quality-assured by performing analyzer calibration error and system bias checks before the series of measurements and by conducting system bias and

calibration drift checks after the measurements, in accordance with the procedures of Methods 6C, 7E, and 3A.

(c) Measure for a minimum of 2 minutes at each traverse point. To the extent practicable, complete the traverse within a 2-hour period.

(d) If the load has remained constant (±3.0 percent) during the traverse and if the reference method analyzers have passed all of the required quality assurance checks, proceed with the data analysis.

(e) Calculate the average NO_X, SO_2, and CO_2 (or O_2) concentrations at each of the individual traverse points. Then, calculate the arithmetic average NO_X, SO_2, and CO_2 (or O_2) concentrations for all traverse points.

6.5.6.2 Alternative (Abbreviated) Stratification Test

(a) With the unit(s) operating under steady-state conditions at normal load level (or normal operating level), as defined in section 6.5.2.1 of this appendix, use a traversing gas sampling probe to measure the pollutant (SO_2 or NO_X) and diluent (CO_2 or O_2) concentrations at three points. The points shall be located according to the specifications for the long measurement line in section 8.1.3 of PS No. 2 (i.e., locate the points 16.7 percent, 50.0 percent, and 83.3 percent of the way across the stack). Alternatively, the concentration measurements may be made at six traverse points along a diameter. The six points shall be located in accordance with Method 1 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter.

(b) Use Methods 6C, 7E, and 3A in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter to make the measurements. Data from the reference method analyzers must be quality-assured by performing analyzer calibration error and system bias checks before the series of measurements and by conducting system bias and calibration drift checks after the measurements, in accordance with the procedures of Methods 6C, 7E, and 3A.

(c) Measure for a minimum of 2 minutes at each traverse point. To the extent practicable, complete the traverse within a 1-hour period.

(d) If the load has remained constant (±3.0 percent) during the traverse and if the reference method analyzers have passed all of the required quality assurance checks, proceed with the data analysis.

(e) Calculate the average NO_X, SO_2, and CO_2 (or O_2) concentrations at each of the individual traverse points. Then, calculate the arithmetic average NO_X, SO_2, and CO_2 (or O_2) concentrations for all traverse points.

6.5.6.3 Stratification Test Results and Acceptance Criteria

(a) For each pollutant or diluent gas, the short reference method measurement line described in section 8.1.3 of PS No. 2 may be used in lieu of the long measurement line prescribed in section 8.1.3 of PS No. 2 if the results of a stratification test, conducted in accordance with section 6.5.6.1 or 6.5.6.2 of this appendix (as appropriate; see section 6.5.6(b)(3) of this appendix), show that the concentration at each individual traverse point differs by no more than ±10.0 percent from the arithmetic average concentration for all traverse points. The results are also acceptable if the concentration at each individual traverse point differs by no more than ±5ppm or ±0.5 percent CO_2 (or O_2) from the arithmetic average concentration for all traverse points.

(b) For each pollutant or diluent gas, a single reference method measurement point, located at least 1.0 meter from the stack wall and situated along one of the measurement lines used for the stratification test, may be used for that pollutant or diluent gas if the results of a stratification test, conducted in accordance with section 6.5.6.1 of this appendix, show that the concentration at each individual traverse point differs by no more than ±5.0 percent from the arithmetic average concentration for all traverse points. The results are also acceptable if the concentration at each individual traverse point differs by no more than ±3 ppm or ±0.3 percent CO_2 (or O_2) from the arithmetic average concentration for all traverse points.

(c) The owner or operator shall keep the results of all stratification tests on-site, in a format suitable for inspection, as part of the supplementary RATA records required under §75.59(a)(7).

6.5.7 Sampling Strategy

(a) Conduct the reference method tests allowed in section 6.5.10 of this appendix so they will yield results representative of the pollutant concentration, emission rate, moisture, temperature, and flue gas flow rate from the unit and can be correlated with the pollutant concentration monitor, CO_2 or O_2 monitor, flow monitor, and SO_2 or NO_X CEMS measurements. The minimum acceptable time for a gas monitoring system RATA run or for a moisture monitoring system RATA run is 21 minutes. For each run of a gas monitoring system RATA, all necessary pollutant concentration measurements, diluent concentration measurements, and moisture measurements (if applicable) must, to the extent practicable, be made within a 60-minute period. For NO_X-diluent monitoring system RATAs, the pollutant and diluent concentration measurements must be made simultaneously. For flow monitor RATAs, the minimum time per run shall be 5 minutes. Flow rate reference method measurements allowed in section 6.5.10 of this appendix may be made either sequentially from port-to-port or simultaneously at

two or more sample ports. The velocity measurement probe may be moved from traverse point to traverse point either manually or automatically. If, during a flow RATA, significant pulsations in the reference method readings are observed, be sure to allow enough measurement time at each traverse point to obtain an accurate average reading when a manual readout method is used (*e.g.*, a ''sight-weighted'' average from a manometer). Also, allow sufficient measurement time to ensure that stable temperature readings are obtained at each traverse point, particularly at the first measurement point at each sample port, when a probe is moved sequentially from port-to-port. A minimum of one set of auxiliary measurements for stack gas molecular weight determination (*i.e.*, diluent gas data and moisture data) is required for every clock hour of a flow RATA or for every three test runs (whichever is less restrictive). Alternatively, moisture measurements for molecular weight determination may be performed before and after a series of flow RATA runs at a particular load level (low, mid, or high), provided that the time interval between the two moisture measurements does not exceed three hours. If this option is selected, the results of the two moisture determinations shall be averaged arithmetically and applied to all RATA runs in the series. Successive flow RATA runs may be performed without waiting in between runs. If an O_2 diluent monitor is used as a CO_2 continuous emission monitoring system, perform a CO_2 system RATA (*i.e.*, measure CO_2, rather than O_2, with the applicable reference method allowed in section 6.5.10 of this appendix). For moisture monitoring systems, an appropriate coefficient, ''K'' factor or other suitable mathematical algorithm may be developed prior to the RATA, to adjust the monitoring system readings with respect to the applicable reference method allowed in section 6.5.10 of this appendix. If such a coefficient, K-factor or algorithm is developed, it shall be applied to the CEMS readings during the RATA and (if the RATA is passed), to the subsequent CEMS data, by means of the automated data acquisition and handling system. The owner or operator shall keep records of the current coefficient, K factor or algorithm, as specified in §75.59(a)(5)(vii). Whenever the coefficient, K factor or algorithm is changed, a RATA of the moisture monitoring system is required.

(b) To properly correlate individual SO_2 or NO_X CEMS data (in lb/mmBtu) and volumetric flow rate data with the applicable reference method data, annotate the beginning and end of each reference method test run (including the exact time of day) on the individual chart recorder(s) or other permanent recording device(s).

6.5.8 Correlation of Reference Method and Continuous Emission Monitoring System

Confirm that the monitor or monitoring system and reference method test results are on consistent moisture, pressure, temperature, and diluent concentration basis (e.g., since the flow monitor measures flow rate on a wet basis, method 2 test results must also be on a wet basis). Compare flow-monitor and reference method results on a scfh basis. Also, consider the response times of the pollutant concentration monitor, the continuous emission monitoring system, and the flow monitoring system to ensure comparison of simultaneous measurements.

For each relative accuracy test audit run, compare the measurements obtained from the monitor or continuous emission monitoring system (in ppm, percent CO_2, lb/mmBtu, or other units) against the corresponding reference method values. Tabulate the paired data in a table such as the one shown in Figure 2.

6.5.9 Number of Reference Method Tests

Perform a minimum of nine sets of paired monitor (or monitoring system) and reference method test data for every required (i.e., certification, recertification, diagnostic, semiannual, or annual) relative accuracy test audit. For 2-level and 3-level relative accuracy test audits of flow monitors, perform a minimum of nine sets at each of the operating levels.

NOTE: The tester may choose to perform more than nine sets of reference method tests. If this option is chosen, the tester may reject a maximum of three sets of the test results, as long as the total number of test results used to determine the relative accuracy or bias is greater than or equal to nine. Report all data, including the rejected CEMS data and corresponding reference method test results.

6.5.10 Reference Methods

The following methods are from appendix A to part 60 of this chapter, and are the reference methods for performing relative accuracy test audits under this part: Method 1 or 1A in appendix A–1 to part 60 of this chapter for siting; Method 2 in appendix A–1 to part 60 of this chapter or its allowable alternatives in appendices A–1 and A–2 to part 60 of this chapter (except for Methods 2B and 2E in appendix A–1 to part 60 of this chapter) for stack gas velocity and volumetric flow rate; Methods 3, 3A or 3B in appendix A–2 to part 60 of this chapter for O_2 and CO_2; Method 4 in appendix A–3 to part 60 of this chapter for moisture; Methods 6, 6A or 6C in appendix A–4 to part 60 of this chapter for SO_2; and Methods 7, 7A, 7C, 7D or 7E in appendix A–4 to part 60 of this chapter for NO_X, excluding the exceptions to Method 7E identified in

§75.22(a)(5). When using Method 7E for measuring NO_X concentration, total NO_X, including both NO and NO_2, must be measured. When using EPA Protocol gas with Methods 3A, 6C, and 7E, the gas must be from an EPA Protocol gas production site that is participating in the EPA Protocol Gas Verification Program, pursuant to §75.21(g)(6). An EPA Protocol gas cylinder certified by or ordered from a non-participating production site no later than May 27, 2011 may be used for the purposes of this part until the earlier of the cylinder's expiration date or the date on which the cylinder gas pressure reaches 150 psig; however, in no case shall the cylinder be recertified by a non-participating EPA Protocol gas production site to extend its useful life and be used by a source subject to this part. In the event that an EPA Protocol gas production site is removed from the list of PGVP participants on the same date as or after the date on which a particular cylinder is certified or ordered, that gas cylinder may continue to be used for the purposes of this part until the earlier of the cylinder's expiration date or the date on which the cylinder gas pressure reaches 150 psig; however, in no case shall the cylinder be recertified by a non-participating EPA Protocol gas production site to extend its useful life and be used by a source subject to this part.

7. Calculations

7.1 Linearity Check

Analyze the linearity data for pollutant concentration and CO_2 or O_2 monitors as follows. Calculate the percentage error in linearity based upon the reference value at the low-level, mid-level, and high-level concentrations specified in section 6.2 of this appendix. Perform this calculation once during the certification test. Use the following equation to calculate the error in linearity for each reference value.

$$LE = \frac{|R-A|}{R} \times 100$$

(Eq. A–4)

where,

LE = Percentage Linearity error, based upon the reference value.

R = Reference value of Low-, mid-, or high-level calibration gas introduced into the monitoring system.

A = Average of the monitoring system responses.

7.2 Calibration Error

7.2.1 Pollutant Concentration and Diluent Monitors

For each reference value, calculate the percentage calibration error based upon instrument span for daily calibration error tests using the following equation:

$$CE = \frac{|R-A|}{S} \times 100$$

(Eq. A–5)

where,

CE = Calibration error as a percentage of the span of the instrument.

R = Reference value of zero or upscale (high-level or mid-level, as applicable) calibration gas introduced into the monitoring system.

A = Actual monitoring system response to the calibration gas.

S = Span of the instrument, as specified in section 2 of this appendix.

7.2.2 Flow Monitor Calibration Error

For each reference value, calculate the percentage calibration error based upon span using the following equation:

$$CE = \frac{|R-A|}{S} \times 100 \qquad \text{(Eq. A–6)}$$

where:

CE = Calibration error as a percentage of span.

R = Low or high level reference value specified in section 2.2.2.1 of this appendix.

A = Actual flow monitor response to the reference value.

S = Flow monitor calibration span value as determined under section 2.1.4.2 of this appendix.

7.3 Relative Accuracy for SO_2 and CO_2 Emissions Concentration Monitors, O_2 Monitors, NO_X Concentration Monitoring Systems, and Flow Monitors

Analyze the relative accuracy test audit data from the reference method tests for SO_2 and CO_2 emissions concentration monitors, CO_2 or O_2 monitors used for heat input rate determination, NO_X concentration monitoring systems used to determine NO_X mass emissions under subpart H of this part, and

flow monitors using the following procedures. Summarize the results on a data sheet. An example is shown in Figure 2. Calculate the mean of the monitor or monitoring system measurement values. Calculate the mean of the reference method values. Using data from the automated data acquisition and handling system, calculate the arithmetic differences between the reference method and monitor measurement data sets. Then calculate the arithmetic mean of the difference, the standard deviation, the confidence coefficient, and the monitor or monitoring system relative accuracy using the following procedures and equations.

7.3.1 Arithmetic Mean

Calculate the arithmetic mean of the differences of a data set as follows:

$$\bar{d} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i \qquad \text{(Eq. A-7)}$$

Where:

$\bar{d}$ = Arithmetic mean of the differences

n = Number of data points (test runs)

$\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i$ = Algebraic sum of the individual differences d_i

d_i = The difference between a reference method value and the corresponding continuous emission monitoring system value (RM_i - CEM_i), for a given data point

7.3.2 Standard Deviation

Calculate the standard deviation, S_d, of a data set as follows:

$$S_d = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2 - \left[\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i\right)^2}{n}\right]}{n-1}}$$

(Eq. A–8)

7.3.3 Confidence Coefficient

Calculate the confidence coefficient (one-tailed), cc, of a data set as follows.

$$cc = t_{0.025}\frac{S_d}{\sqrt{n}}$$

(eq. A–9)

where,

$t_{0.025}$ = t value (see table 7–1).

TABLE 7–1—T-VALUES

n-1	$t_{0.025}$	n-1	$t_{0.025}$	n-1	$t_{0.025}$
1	12.706	12	2.179	23	2.069
2	4.303	13	2.160	24	2.064
3	3.182	14	2.145	25	2.060
4	2.776	15	2.131	26	2.056
5	2.571	16	2.120	27	2.052
6	2.447	17	2.110	28	2.048
7	2.365	18	2.101	29	2.045
8	2.306	19	2.093	30	2.042
9	2.262	20	2.086	40	2.021
10	2.228	21	2.080	60	2.000
11	2.201	22	2.074	>60	1.960

7.3.4 Relative Accuracy

Calculate the relative accuracy of a data set using the following equation.

$$RA = \frac{|\bar{d}| + |cc|}{\overline{RM}} \times 100$$

(Eq. A–10)

where,

RM = Arithmetic mean of the reference method values.

$|\bar{d}|$ = The absolute value of the mean difference between the reference method values and the corresponding continuous emission monitoring system values.

|cc| = The absolute value of the confidence coefficient.

7.4 Relative Accuracy for NO_X-diluent Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems

Analyze the relative accuracy test audit data from the reference method tests for NO_X-diluent continuous emissions monitoring system as follows.

7.4.1 Data Preparation

If C_{NOx}, the NO_X concentration, is in ppm, multiply it by 1.194×10^{-7} (lb/dscf)/ppm to convert it to units of lb/dscf. If C_{NOx} is in mg/dscm, multiply it by 6.24×10^{-8} (lb/dscf)/(mg/dscm) to convert it to lb/dscf. Then, use the diluent (O_2 or CO_2) reference method results for the run and the appropriate F or F_c factor from table 1 in appendix F of this part to convert C_{NOx} from lb/dscf to lb/mmBtu units. Use the equations and procedure in section 3 of appendix F to this part, as appropriate.

7.4.2 NO_X Emission Rate

For each test run in a data set, calculate the average NO_X emission rate (in lb/mmBtu), by means of the data acquisition and handling system, during the time period of the test run. Tabulate the results as shown in example Figure 4.

7.4.3 Relative Accuracy

Use the equations and procedures in section 7.3 above to calculate the relative accuracy for the NO_X continuous emission monitoring system. In using equation A–7, ''d'' is, for each run, the difference between the NO_X emission rate values (in lb/mmBtu) obtained from the reference method data and the NO_X continuous emission monitoring system.

7.5 Relative Accuracy for Combined SO_2/Flow [Reserved]

7.6 Bias Test and Adjustment Factor

Test the following relative accuracy test audit data sets for bias: SO_2 pollutant concentration monitors; flow monitors; NO_X concentration monitoring systems used to determine NO_X mass emissions, as defined in 75.71(a)(2); and NO_X-diluent CEMS using the procedures outlined in sections 7.6.1 through 7.6.5 of this appendix. For multiple-load flow RATAs, perform a bias test at each load level designated as normal under section 6.5.2.1 of this appendix.

7.6.1 Arithmetic Mean

Calculate the arithmetic mean of the differences of the data set using Equation A–7 of this appendix. To calculate bias for an SO_2 or NO_X pollutant concentration monitor, ''d_i'' is, for each paired data point, the difference between the SO_2 or NO_X concentration value (in ppm) obtained from the reference method and the monitor. To calculate bias for a flow monitor, ''d_i'' is, for each paired data point, the difference between the flow rate values (in scfh) obtained from the reference method and the monitor. To calculate bias for a NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system, ''d_i'' is, for each paired data point, the difference between the NO_X emission rate values (in lb/mmBtu) obtained from the reference method and the monitoring system.

7.6.2 Standard Deviation

Calculate the standard deviation, S_d, of the data set using equation A–8.

7.6.3 Confidence Coefficient

Calculate the confidence coefficient, cc, of the data set using equation A–9.

7.6.4 Bias Test

If, for the relative accuracy test audit data set being tested, the mean difference, $\bar{d}$, is less than or equal to the absolute value of the confidence coefficient, | cc |, the monitor or monitoring system has passed the bias test. If the mean difference, $\bar{d}$, is greater than the absolute value of the confidence coefficient, √ cc √, the monitor or monitoring system has failed to meet the bias test requirement.

7.6.5 Bias Adjustment

(a) If the monitor or monitoring system fails to meet the bias test requirement, adjust the value obtained from the monitor using the following equation:

$$CEM_i^{Adjusted} = CEM_i^{Monitor} \times BAF \qquad \text{(Eq. A-11)}$$

Where:

$CEM_i^{Monitor}$ = Data (measurement) provided by the monitor at time i.

$CEM_i^{Adjusted}$ = Data value, adjusted for bias, at time i.

BAF = Bias adjustment factor, defined by:

$$BAF = 1 + \frac{|\bar{d}|}{CEM_{avg}} \qquad \text{(Eq. A-12)}$$

Where:

BAF = Bias adjustment factor, calculated to the nearest thousandth.

$\bar{d}$= Arithmetic mean of the difference obtained during the failed bias test using Equation A–7.

CEM_{avg} = Mean of the data values provided by the monitor during the failed bias test.

(b) For single-load RATAs of SO_2 pollutant concentration monitors, NO_X concentration monitoring systems, and NO_X-diluent monitoring systems, and for the single-load flow RATAs required or allowed under section 6.5.2 of this appendix and sections 2.3.1.3(b) and 2.3.1.3(c) of appendix B to this part, the appropriate BAF is determined directly from the RATA results at normal load, using Equation A–12. Notwithstanding, when a NO_X concentration CEMS or an SO_2 CEMS or a NO_X-diluent CEMS installed on a low-emitting affected unit (*i.e.*, average SO_2 or NO_X concentration during the RATA ≤250 ppm or average NO_X emission rate ≤0.200 lb/mmBtu) meets the normal 10.0 percent relative accuracy specification (as calculated using Equation A–10) or the alternate relative accuracy specification in section 3.3 of this appendix for low-emitters, but fails the bias test, the BAF may either be determined using Equation A–12, or a default BAF of 1.111 may be used.

(c) For 2-load or 3-load flow RATAs, when only one load level (low, mid or high) has been designated as normal under section 6.5.2.1 of this appendix and the bias test is passed at the normal load level, apply a BAF of 1.000 to the subsequent flow rate data. If the bias test is failed at the normal load level, use Equation A–12 to calculate the normal load BAF and then perform an additional bias test at the second most frequently-used load level, as determined under section 6.5.2.1 of this appendix. If the bias test is passed at this second load level, apply the normal load BAF to the subsequent flow rate data. If the bias test is failed at this second load level, use Equation A–12 to calculate the BAF at the second load level and apply the higher of the two BAFs (either from the normal load level or from the second load level) to the subsequent flow rate data.

(d) For 2-load or 3-load flow RATAs, when two load levels have been designated as normal under section 6.5.2.1 of this appendix and the bias test is passed at both normal load levels, apply a BAF of 1.000 to the subsequent flow rate data. If the bias test is failed at one of the normal load levels but not at the other, use Equation A–12 to calculate the BAF for the normal load level at which the bias test was failed and apply that BAF to the subsequent flow rate data. If the bias test is failed at both designated normal load levels, use Equation A–12 to calculate the BAF at each normal load level and apply the higher of the two BAFs to the subsequent flow rate data.

(e) Each time a RATA is passed and the appropriate bias adjustment factor has been determined, apply the BAF prospectively to all monitoring system data, beginning with the first clock hour following the hour in which the RATA was completed. For a 2-load flow RATA, the ''hour in which the RATA was completed'' refers to the hour in which the testing at both loads was completed; for a 3-load RATA, it refers to the hour in which the testing at all three loads was completed.

(f) Use the bias-adjusted values in computing substitution values in the missing data procedure, as specified in subpart D of this part, and in reporting the concentration of SO_2, the flow rate, the average NO_X emission rate, the unit heat input, and the calculated mass emissions of SO_2 and CO_2 during the quarter and calendar year, as specified in subpart G of this part. In addition, when using a NO_X concentration monitoring system and a flow monitor to calculate NO_X mass emissions under subpart H of this part, use bias-adjusted values for NO_X concentration and flow rate in the mass emission calculations and use bias-adjusted NO_X concentrations to compute the appropriate substitution values for NO_X concentration in the missing data routines under subpart D of this part.

(g) For units that do not produce electrical or thermal output, the provisions of paragraphs (a) through (f) of this section apply, except that the terms, ''single-load'', ''2-load'', ''3-load'', and ''load level'' shall be replaced, respectively, with the terms, ''single-level'', ''2-level'', ''3-level'', and ''operating level''.

7.7 Reference Flow-to-Load Ratio or Gross Heat Rate

(a) Except as provided in section 7.8 of this appendix, the owner or operator shall determine R_{ref}, the reference value of the ratio of flow rate to unit load, each time that a passing flow RATA is performed at a load level designated as normal in section 6.5.2.1 of this appendix. The owner or operator shall report the current value of R_{ref} in the electronic quarterly report required under §75.64 and shall also report the completion date of the associated RATA. If two load levels have been designated as normal under section 6.5.2.1 of this appendix, the owner or operator shall determine a separate R_{ref} value for each

of the normal load levels. The reference flow-to-load ratio shall be calculated as follows:

$$R_{ref} = \frac{Q_{ref}}{L_{avg}} \times 10^{-5} \qquad \text{(Eq. A-13)}$$

Where:

R_{ref} = Reference value of the flow-to-load ratio, from the most recent normal-load flow RATA, scfh/megawatts, scfh/1000 lb/hr of steam, or scfh/(mmBtu/hr of steam output).

Q_{ref} = Average stack gas volumetric flow rate measured by the reference method during the normal-load RATA, scfh.

L_{avg} = Average unit load during the normal-load flow RATA, megawatts, 1000 lb/hr of steam, or mmBtu/hr of thermal output.

(b) In Equation A–13, for a common stack, determine L_{avg} by summing, for each RATA run, the operating loads of all units discharging through the common stack, and then taking the arithmetic average of the summed loads. For a unit that discharges its emissions through multiple stacks, either determine a single value of Q_{ref} for the unit or a separate value of Q_{ref} for each stack. In the former case, calculate Q_{ref} by summing, for each RATA run, the volumetric flow rates through the individual stacks and then taking the arithmetic average of the summed RATA run flow rates. In the latter case, calculate the value of Q_{ref} for each stack by taking the arithmetic average, for all RATA runs, of the flow rates through the stack. For a unit with a multiple stack discharge configuration consisting of a main stack and a bypass stack (e.g., a unit with a wet SO_2 scrubber), determine Q_{ref} separately for each stack at the time of the normal load flow RATA. Round off the value of R_{ref} to two decimal places.

(c) In addition to determining R_{ref} or as an alternative to determining R_{ref}, a reference value of the gross heat rate (GHR) may be determined. In order to use this option, quality-assured diluent gas (CO_2 or O_2) must be available for each hour of the most recent normal-load flow RATA. The reference value of the GHR shall be determined as follows:

$$(GHR)_{ref} = \frac{(\text{Heat Input})_{avg}}{L_{avg}} \times 1000 \qquad \text{(Eq. A-13a)}$$

Where:

$(GHR)_{ref}$ = Reference value of the gross heat rate at the time of the most recent normal-load flow RATA, Btu/kwh, Btu/lb steam load, or Btu heat input/mmBtu steam output.

$(\text{Heat Input})_{avg}$ = Average hourly heat input during the normal-load flow RATA, as determined using the applicable equation in appendix F to this part, mmBtu/hr. For multiple stack configurations, if the reference GHR value is determined separately for each stack, use the hourly heat input measured at each stack. If the reference GHR is determined at the unit level, sum the hourly heat inputs measured at the individual stacks.

L_{avg} = Average unit load during the normal-load flow RATA, megawatts, 1000 lb/hr of steam, or mmBtu/hr thermal output.

(d) In the calculation of $(\text{Heat Input})_{avg}$, use Q_{ref}, the average volumetric flow rate measured by the reference method during the RATA, and use the average diluent gas concentration measured during the flow RATA (i.e., the arithmetic average of the diluent gas concentrations for all clock hours in which a RATA run was performed).

7.8 Flow-to-Load Test Exemptions

(a) For complex stack configuations (e.g., when the effluent from a unit is divided and discharges through multiple stacks in such a manner that the flow rate in the individual stacks cannot be correlated with unit load), the owner or operator may petition the Administrator under §75.66 for an exemption from the requirements of section 7.7 of this appendix and section 2.2.5 fo appendix B to this part. The petition must include sufficient information and data to demonstrate that a flow-to-load or gross heat rate evaluation is infeasible for the complex stack configuration.

(b) Units that do not produce electrical output (in megawatts) or thermal output (in klb of steam per hour) are exempted from the flow-to-load ratio test requirements of section 7.7 of this appendix and section 2.2.5 of appendix B to this part.

FIGURE 1 TO APPENDIX A—LINEARITY ERROR DETERMINATION

Day	Date and time	Reference value	Monitor value	Difference	Percent of reference value
Low-level:					
Mid-level:					
High-level:					

FIGURE 2 TO APPENDIX A—RELATIVE ACCURACY DETERMINATION (POLLUTANT CONCENTRATION MONITORS)

Run No.	Date and time	SO_2 (ppm [c])			Date and time	CO_2 (Pollutant) (ppm [c])		
		RM [a]	M [b]	Diff		RM [a]	M [b]	Diff
1.								
2.								
3.								
4.								
5.								
6.								
7.								
8.								
9.								
10.								
11.								
12.								

FIGURE 2 TO APPENDIX A—RELATIVE ACCURACY DETERMINATION (POLLUTANT CONCENTRATION MONITORS)—Continued

Run No.	Date and time	SO_2 (ppm [c])			Date and time	CO_2 (Pollutant) (ppm [c])		
		RM [a]	M [b]	Diff		RM [a]	M [b]	Diff
Arithmetic Mean Difference (Eq. A–7). Confidence Coefficient (Eq. A–9). Relative Accuracy (Eq. A–10).								

[a] RM means "reference method data."
[b] M means "monitor data."
[c] Make sure the RM and M data are on a consistent basis, either wet or dry.

FIGURE 3 TO APPENDIX A—RELATIVE ACCURACY DETERMINATION (FLOW MONITORS)

Run No.	Date and time	Flow rate (Low) (scf/hr)*			Date and time	Flow rate (Normal) (scf/hr)*			Date and time	Flow rate (High) (scf/hr)*		
		RM	M	Diff		RM	M	Diff		RM	M	Diff
1.												
2.												
3.												
4.												
5.												
6.												
7.												
8.												
9.												
10.												
11.												
12.												
Arithmetic Mean Difference (Eq. A–7). Confidence Coefficient (Eq. A–9). Relative Accuracy (Eq. A–10).												

* Make sure the RM and M data are on a consistent basis, either wet or dry.

FIGURE 4 TO APPENDIX A—RELATIVE ACCURACY DETERMINATION (NO_X/DILUENT COMBINED SYSTEM)

Run No.	Date and time	Reference method data		NO_X system (lb/mmBtu)		
		NO_X( ) [a]	O_2/CO_2%	RM	M	Difference
1.						
2.						
3.						
4.						
5.						
6.						
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						

FIGURE 4 TO APPENDIX A—RELATIVE ACCURACY DETERMINATION (NO_X/DILUENT COMBINED SYSTEM)—Continued

Run No.	Date and time	Reference method data		NO_X system (lb/mmBtu)		
		NO_X( )[a]	O_2/CO_2%	RM	M	Difference
11.						
12.						
Arithmetic Mean Difference (Eq. A–7). Confidence Coefficient (Eq. A–9). Relative Accuracy (Eq. A–10).						

[a] Specify units: ppm, lb/dscf, mg/dscm.

FIGURE 5—CYCLE TIME

Date of test _____
Component/system ID#: _____
Analyzer type _____
Serial Number _____
High level gas concentration: _____ ppm/% (circle one)
Zero level gas concentration: _____ ppm/% (circle one)
Analyzer span setting: _____ ppm/% (circle one)
Upscale:
Stable starting monitor value: _____ ppm/% (circle one)
Stable ending monitor reading: _____ ppm/% (circle one)
Elapsed time: _____ seconds
Downscale:
Stable starting monitor value: _____ ppm/% (circle one)
Stable ending monitor value: _____ ppm/% (circle one)
Elapsed time: _____ seconds
Component cycle time= _____ seconds
System cycle time= _____ seconds

Figure 6a. Upscale Cycle Time Test



Figure 6b. Downscale Cycle Time Test



A. To determine the upscale cycle time (Figure 6a), measure the flue gas emissions until the response stabilizes. Record the stabilized value (see section 6.4 of this appendix for the stability criteria).

B. Inject a high-level calibration gas into the port leading to the calibration cell or thimble (Point B). Allow the analyzer to stabilize. Record the stabilized value.

C. Determine the step change. The step change is equal to the difference between the final stable calibration gas value (Point D) and the stabilized stack emissions value (Point A).

D. Take 95% of the step change value and add the result to the stabilized stack emissions value (Point A). Determine the time at which 95% of the step change occurred (Point C).

E. Calculate the upscale cycle time by subtracting the time at which the calibration gas was injected (Point B) from the time at which 95% of the step change occurred (Point C). In this example, upscale cycle time = (11 − 5) = 6 minutes.

F. To determine the downscale cycle time (Figure 6b) repeat the procedures above, except that a zero gas is injected when the flue gas emissions have stabilized, and 95% of the step change in concentration is subtracted from the stabilized stack emissions value.

G. Compare the upscale and downscale cycle time values. The longer of these two times is the cycle time for the analyzer.

EDITORIAL NOTE: For FEDERAL REGISTER citations affecting part 75, Appendix A, see the List of CFR Sections Affected, which appears in the Finding Aids section of the printed volume and at *www.fdsys.gov*.

APPENDIX B TO PART 75—QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES

1. QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL PROGRAM

Develop and implement a quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) program for the continuous emission monitoring systems, excepted monitoring systems approved under appendix D or E to this part, and alternative monitoring systems under subpart E of this part, and their components. At a minimum, include in each QA/QC program a written plan that describes in detail (or that refers to separate documents containing) complete, step-by-step procedures and operations for each of the following activities. Upon request from regulatory authorities, the source shall make all procedures, maintenance records, and ancillary supporting documentation from the manufacturer (e.g., software coefficients and troubleshooting diagrams) available for review during an audit. Electronic storage of the information in the QA/QC plan is permissible, provided that the information can be made available in hardcopy upon request during an audit.

1.1 Requirements for All Monitoring Systems

1.1.1 Preventive Maintenance

Keep a written record of procedures needed to maintain the monitoring system in proper operating condition and a schedule for those procedures. This shall, at a minimum, include procedures specified by the manufacturers of the equipment and, if applicable, additional or alternate procedures developed for the equipment.

1.1.2 Recordkeeping and Reporting

Keep a written record describing procedures that will be used to implement the recordkeeping and reporting requirements in subparts E, F, and G and appendices D and E to this part, as applicable.

1.1.3 Maintenance Records

Keep a record of all testing, maintenance, or repair activities performed on any monitoring system or component in a location and format suitable for inspection. A maintenance log may be used for this purpose. The following records should be maintained: date, time, and description of any testing, adjustment, repair, replacement, or preventive maintenance action performed on any monitoring system and records of any corrective actions associated with a monitor's outage period. Additionally, any adjustment that recharacterizes a system's ability to record and report emissions data must be recorded (e.g., changing of flow monitor or moisture monitoring system polynomial coefficients, K factors or mathematical algorithms, changing of temperature and pressure coefficients and dilution ratio settings), and a written explanation of the procedures used to make the adjustment(s) shall be kept.

1.1.4 The provisions in section 6.1.2 of appendix A to this part shall apply to the annual RATAs described in §75.74(c)(2)(ii) and to the semiannual and annual RATAs described in section 2.3 of this appendix.

1.2 Specific Requirements for Continuous Emissions Monitoring Systems

1.2.1 Calibration Error Test and Linearity Check Procedures

Keep a written record of the procedures used for daily calibration error tests and linearity checks (e.g., how gases are to be injected, adjustments of flow rates and pressure, introduction of reference values, length of time for injection of calibration gases, steps for obtaining calibration error or error in linearity, determination of interferences, and when calibration adjustments should be made). Identify any calibration error test and linearity check procedures specific to the continuous emission monitoring system that vary from the procedures in appendix A to this part.

1.2.2 Calibration and Linearity Adjustments

Explain how each component of the continuous emission monitoring system will be adjusted to provide correct responses to calibration gases, reference values, and/or indications of interference both initially and after repairs or corrective action. Identify equations, conversion factors and other factors affecting calibration of each continuous emission monitoring system.

1.2.3 Relative Accuracy Test Audit Procedures

Keep a written record of procedures and details peculiar to the installed continuous emission monitoring systems that are to be used for relative accuracy test audits, such as sampling and analysis methods.

1.2.4 Parametric Monitoring for Units With Add-on Emission Controls

The owner or operator shall keep a written (or electronic) record including a list of operating parameters for the add-on SO_2 or NO_X emission controls, including parameters in §75.55(b) or §75.58(b), as applicable, and the range of each operating parameter that indicates the add-on emission controls are operating properly. The owner or operator shall keep a written (or electronic) record of the parametric monitoring data during each SO_2 or NO_X missing data period.

1.3 Specific Requirements for Excepted Systems Approved Under Appendices D and E

1.3.1 Fuel Flowmeter Accuracy Test Procedures

Keep a written record of the specific fuel flowmeter accuracy test procedures. These may include: standard methods or specifications listed in and of appendix D to this part and incorporated by reference under §75.6; the procedures of sections 2.1.5.2 or 2.1.7 of appendix D to this part; or other methods approved by the Administrator through the petition process of §75.66(c).

1.3.2 Transducer or Transmitter Accuracy Test Procedures

Keep a written record of the procedures for testing the accuracy of transducers or transmitters of an orifice-, nozzle-, or venturi-type fuel flowmeter under section 2.1.6 of appendix D to this part. These procedures should include a description of equipment used, steps in testing, and frequency of testing.

1.3.3 Fuel Flowmeter, Transducer, or Transmitter Calibration and Maintenance Records

Keep a record of adjustments, maintenance, or repairs performed on the fuel flowmeter monitoring system. Keep records of the data and results for fuel flowmeter accuracy tests and transducer accuracy tests, consistent with appendix D to this part.

1.3.4 Primary Element Inspection Procedures

Keep a written record of the standard operating procedures for inspection of the primary element (i.e., orifice, venturi, or nozzle) of an orifice-, venturi-, or nozzle-type fuel flowmeter. Examples of the types of information to be included are: what to examine on the primary element; how to identify if there is corrosion sufficient to affect the accuracy of the primary element; and what inspection tools (e.g., baroscope), if any, are used.

1.3.5 Fuel Sampling Method and Sample Retention

Keep a written record of the standard procedures used to perform fuel sampling, either by utility personnel or by fuel supply company personnel. These procedures should specify the portion of the ASTM method used, as incorporated by reference under §75.6, or other methods approved by the Administrator through the petition process of §75.66(c). These procedures should describe safeguards for ensuring the availability of an oil sample (e.g., procedure and location for splitting samples, procedure for maintaining sample splits on site, and procedure for transmitting samples to an analytical laboratory). These procedures should identify the ASTM analytical methods used to analyze sulfur content, gross calorific value, and density, as incorporated by reference under §75.6, or other methods approved by the Administrator through the petition process of §75.66(c).

1.3.6 Appendix E Monitoring System Quality Assurance Information

Identify the recommended range of quality assurance- and quality control-related operating parameters. Keep records of these operating parameters for each hour of unit operation (i.e., fuel combustion). Keep a written record of the procedures used to perform NO_X emission rate testing. Keep a copy of all data and results from the initial and from the most recent NO_X emission rate testing, including the values of quality assurance parameters specified in section 2.3 of appendix E to this part.

1.4 Requirements for Alternative Systems Approved Under Subpart E

1.4.1 Daily Quality Assurance Tests

Explain how the daily assessment procedures specific to the alternative monitoring system are to be performed.

1.4.2 Daily Quality Assurance Test Adjustments

Explain how each component of the alternative monitoring system will be adjusted in response to the results of the daily assessments.

1.4.3 Relative Accuracy Test Audit Procedures

Keep a written record of procedures and details peculiar to the installed alternative monitoring system that are to be used for relative accuracy test audits, such as sampling and analysis methods.

2. FREQUENCY OF TESTING

A summary chart showing each quality assurance test and the frequency at which each test is required is located at the end of this appendix in Figure 1.

2.1 Daily Assessments

Perform the following daily assessments to quality-assure the hourly data recorded by the monitoring systems during each period of unit operation, or, for a bypass stack or duct, each period in which emissions pass through the bypass stack or duct. These requirements are effective as of the date when the monitor or continuous emission monitoring system completes certification testing.

2.1.1 Calibration Error Test

Except as provided in section 2.1.1.2 of this appendix, perform the daily calibration error test of each gas monitoring system (including moisture monitoring systems consisting of wet- and dry-basis O_2 analyzers) according to the procedures in section 6.3.1 of appendix A to this part, and perform the daily calibration error test of each flow monitoring system according to the procedure in section 6.3.2 of appendix A to this part. When two measurement ranges (low and high) are required for a particular parameter, perform sufficient calibration error tests on each range to validate the data recorded on that range, according to the criteria in section 2.1.5 of this appendix.

2.1.1.1 On-line Daily Calibration Error Tests. Except as provided in section 2.1.1.2 of this appendix, all daily calibration error tests must be performed while the unit is in operation at normal, stable conditions (i.e. ''on-line'').

2.1.1.2 Off-line Daily Calibration Error Tests. Daily calibrations may be performed while the unit is not operating (i.e., ''off-line'') and may be used to validate data for a monitoring system that meets the following conditions:

(1) An initial demonstration test of the monitoring system is successfully completed and the results are reported in the quarterly report required under § 75.64 of this part. The initial demonstration test, hereafter called the ''off-line calibration demonstration'', consists of an off-line calibration error test followed by an on-line calibration error test. Both the off-line and on-line portions of the off-line calibration demonstration must meet the calibration error performance specification in section 3.1 of appendix A of this part. Upon completion of the off-line portion of the demonstration, the zero and upscale monitor responses may be adjusted, but only toward the true values of the calibration gases or reference signals used to perform the test and only in accordance with the routine calibration adjustment procedures specified in the quality control program required under section 1 of appendix B to this part. Once these adjustments are made, no further adjustments may be made to the monitoring system until after completion of the on-line portion of the off-line calibration demonstration. Within 26 clock hours of the completion hour of the off-line portion of the demonstration, the monitoring system must successfully complete the first attempted calibration error test, i.e., the on-line portion of the demonstration.

(2) For each monitoring system that has passed the off-line calibration demonstration, off-line calibration error tests may be used on a limited basis to validate data, in accordance with paragraph (2) in section 2.1.5.1 of this appendix.

2.1.2 Daily Flow Interference Check

Perform the daily flow monitor interference checks specified in section 2.2.2.2 of appendix A of this part while the unit is in operation at normal, stable conditions.

2.1.3 Additional Calibration Error Tests and Calibration Adjustments

(a) In addition to the daily calibration error tests required under section 2.1.1 of this appendix, a calibration error test of a monitor shall be performed in accordance with section 2.1.1 of this appendix, as follows: whenever a daily calibration error test is failed; whenever a monitoring system is returned to service following repair or corrective maintenance that could affect the monitor's ability to accurately measure and record emissions data; or after making certain calibration adjustments, as described in this section. Except in the case of the routine calibration adjustments described in this section, data from the monitor are considered invalid until the required additional calibration error test has been successfully completed.

(b) Routine calibration adjustments of a monitor are permitted after any successful calibration error test. These routine adjustments shall be made so as to bring the monitor readings as close as practicable to the known tag values of the calibration gases or to the actual value of the flow monitor reference signals. An additional calibration error test is required following routine calibration adjustments where the monitor's calibration has been physically adjusted (e.g., by turning a potentiometer) to verify that the adjustments have been made properly. An additional calibration error test is not required, however, if the routine calibration adjustments are made by means of a mathematical algorithm programmed into the data acquisition and handling system. The EPA recommends that routine calibration adjustments be made, at a minimum, whenever the daily calibration error exceeds the limits of the applicable performance specification in appendix A to this part for the pollutant concentration monitor, CO_2 or O_2 monitor, or flow monitor.

(c) Additional (non-routine) calibration adjustments of a monitor are permitted prior to (but not during) linearity checks and RATAs and at other times, provided that an appropriate technical justification is included in the quality control program required under section 1 of this appendix. The allowable non-routine adjustments are as follows. The owner or operator may physically adjust the calibration of a monitor (e.g., by means of a potentiometer), provided that the post-adjustment zero and upscale responses of the monitor are within the performance specifications of the instrument given in section 3.1 of appendix A to this part. An additional calibration error test is required following such adjustments to verify that the monitor is operating within the performance specifications at both the zero and upscale calibration levels.

2.1.4 Data Validation

(a) An out-of-control period occurs when the calibration error of an SO_2 or NO_X pollutant concentration monitor exceeds 5.0 percent of the span value, when the calibration error of a CO_2 or O_2 monitor (including O_2 monitors used to measure CO_2 emissions or percent moisture) exceeds 1.0 percent O_2 or CO_2, or when the calibration error of a flow monitor exceeds 6.0 percent of the span value, which is twice the applicable specification of appendix A to this part. Notwithstanding, a differential pressure-type flow monitor for which the calibration error exceeds 6.0 percent of the span value shall not

be considered out-of-control if |R–A|, the absolute value of the difference between the monitor response and the reference value in Equation A–6 of appendix A to this part, is <0.02 inches of water. In addition, an SO_2 or NO_X monitor for which the calibration error exceeds 5.0 percent of the span value shall not be considered out-of-control if |R–A| in Equation A–6 does not exceed 5.0 ppm (for span values ≤50 ppm), or if |R–A|; does not exceed 10.0 ppm (for span values >50 ppm, but ≤200 ppm). The out-of-control period begins upon failure of the calibration error test and ends upon completion of a successful calibration error test. Note, that if a failed calibration, corrective action, and successful calibration error test occur within the same hour, emission data for that hour recorded by the monitor after the successful calibration error test may be used for reporting purposes, provided that two or more valid readings are obtained as required by §75.10. A NO_X-diluent CEMS is considered out-of-control if the calibration error of either component monitor exceeds twice the applicable performance specification in appendix A to this part. Emission data shall not be reported from an out-of-control monitor.

(b) An out-of-control period also occurs whenever interference of a flow monitor is identified. The out-of-control period begins with the hour of completion of the failed interference check and ends with the hour of completion of an interference check that is passed.

(c) The results of any certification, recertification, diagnostic, or quality assurance test required under this part may not be used to validate the emissions data required under this part, if the test is performed using EPA Protocol gas from a production site that is not participating in the PGVP, except as provided in §75.21(g)(7) or if the cylinder(s) are analyzed by an independent laboratory and shown to meet the requirements of section 5.1.4(b) of appendix A to this part.

2.1.5 Quality Assurance of Data With Respect to Daily Assessments

When a monitoring system passes a daily assessment (i.e., daily calibration error test or daily flow interference check), data from that monitoring system are prospectively validated for 26 clock hours (i.e., 24 hours plus a 2-hour grace period) beginning with the hour in which the test is passed, unless another assessment (i.e. a daily calibration error test, an interference check of a flow monitor, a quarterly linearity check, a quarterly leak check, or a relative accuracy test audit) is failed within the 26-hour period.

2.1.5.1 Data Invalidation with Respect to Daily Assessments. The following specific rules apply to the invalidation of data with respect to daily assessments:

(1) Data from a monitoring system are invalid, beginning with the first hour following the expiration of a 26-hour data validation period or beginning with the first hour following the expiration of an 8-hour start-up grace period (as provided under section 2.1.5.2 of this appendix), if the required subsequent daily assessment has not been conducted.

(2) For a monitor that has passed the off-line calibration demonstration, a combination of on-line and off-line calibration error tests may be used to validate data from the monitor, as follows. For a particular unit (or stack) operating hour, data from a monitor may be validated using a successful off-line calibration error test if: (a) An on-line calibration error test has been passed within the previous 26 unit (or stack) operating hours; and (b) the 26 clock hour data validation window for the off-line calibration error test has not expired. If either of these conditions is not met, then the data from the monitor are invalid with respect to the daily calibration error test requirement. Data from the monitor shall remain invalid until the appropriate on-line or off-line calibration error test is successfully completed so that both conditions (a) and (b) are met.

(3) For units with two measurement ranges (low and high) for a particular parameter, when separate analyzers are used for the low and high ranges, a failed or expired calibration on one of the ranges does not affect the quality-assured data status on the other range. For a dual-range analyzer (i.e., a single analyzer with two measurement scales), a failed calibration error test on either the low or high scale results in an out-of-control period for the monitor. Data from the monitor remain invalid until corrective actions are taken and ''hands-off'' calibration error tests have been passed on both ranges. However, if the most recent calibration error test on the high scale was passed but has expired, while the low scale is up-to-date on its calibration error test requirements (or vice-versa), the expired calibration error test does not affect the quality-assured status of the data recorded on the other scale.

2.1.5.2 Daily Assessment Start-Up Grace Period. For the purpose of quality assuring data with respect to a daily assessment (i.e. a daily calibration error test or a flow interference check), a start-up grace period may apply when a unit begins to operate after a period of non-operation. The start-up grace period for a daily calibration error test is independent of the start-up grace period for a daily flow interference check. To qualify for a start-up grace period for a daily assessment, there are two requirements:

(1) The unit must have resumed operation after being in outage for 1 or more hours (i.e., the unit must be in a start-up condition) as evidenced by a change in unit operating time from zero in one clock hour to an operating time greater than zero in the next clock hour.

(2) For the monitoring system to be used to validate data during the grace period, the previous daily assessment of the same kind must have been passed on-line within 26 clock hours prior to the last hour in which the unit operated before the outage. In addition, the monitoring system must be in-control with respect to quarterly and semi-annual or annual assessments.

If both of the above conditions are met, then a start-up grace period of up to 8 clock hours applies, beginning with the first hour of unit operation following the outage. During the start-up grace period, data generated by the monitoring system are considered quality-assured. For each monitoring system, a start-up grace period for a calibration error test or flow interference check ends when either: (1) a daily assessment of the same kind (i.e., calibration error test or flow interference check) is performed; or (2) 8 clock hours have elapsed (starting with the first hour of unit operation following the outage), whichever occurs first.

2.1.6 Data Recording

Record and tabulate all calibration error test data according to month, day, clock-hour, and magnitude in either ppm, percent volume, or scfh. Program monitors that automatically adjust data to the corrected calibration values (e.g., microprocessor control) to record either: (1) The unadjusted concentration or flow rate measured in the calibration error test prior to resetting the calibration, or (2) the magnitude of any adjustment. Record the following applicable flow monitor interference check data: (1) Sample line/sensing port pluggage, and (2) malfunction of each RTD, transceiver, or equivalent.

2.2 Quarterly Assessments

For each primary and redundant backup monitor or monitoring system, perform the following quarterly assessments. This requirement is applies as of the calendar quarter following the calendar quarter in which the monitor or continuous emission monitoring system is provisionally certified.

2.2.1 Linearity Check

Unless a particular monitor (or monitoring range) is exempted under this paragraph or under section 6.2 of appendix A to this part, perform a linearity check, in accordance with the procedures in section 6.2 of appendix A to this part, for each primary and redundant backup SO_2, and NOx pollutant concentration monitor and each primary and redundant backup CO_2 or O_2 monitor (including O_2 monitors used to measure CO_2 emissions or to continuously monitor moisture) at least once during each QA operating quarter, as defined in §72.2 of this chapter. For units using both a low and high span value, a linearity check is required only on the range(s) used to record and report emission data during the QA operating quarter. Conduct the linearity checks no less than 30 days apart, to the extent practicable. The data validation procedures in section 2.2.3(e) of this appendix shall be followed.

2.2.2 Leak Check

For differential pressure flow monitors, perform a leak check of all sample lines (a manual check is acceptable) at least once during each QA operating quarter. For this test, the unit does not have to be in operation. Conduct the leak checks no less than 30 days apart, to the extent practicable. If a leak check is failed, follow the applicable data validation procedures in section 2.2.3(g) of this appendix.

2.2.3 Data Validation

(a) A linearity check shall not be commenced if the monitoring system is operating out-of-control with respect to any of the daily or semiannual quality assurance assessments required by sections 2.1 and 2.3 of this appendix or with respect to the additional calibration error test requirements in section 2.1.3 of this appendix.

(b) Each required linearity check shall be done according to paragraph (b)(1), (b)(2) or (b)(3) of this section:

(1) The linearity check may be done ''cold,'' i.e., with no corrective maintenance, repair, calibration adjustments, relinearization or reprogramming of the monitor prior to the test.

(2) The linearity check may be done after performing only the routine or non-routine calibration adjustments described in section 2.1.3 of this appendix at the various calibration gas levels (zero, low, mid or high), but no other corrective maintenance, repair, relinearization or reprogramming of the monitor. Trial gas injection runs may be performed after the calibration adjustments and additional adjustments within the allowable limits in section 2.1.3 of this appendix may be made prior to the linearity check, as necessary, to optimize the performance of the monitor. The trial gas injections need not be reported, provided that they meet the specification for trial gas injections in §75.20(b)(3)(vii)(E)(*1*). However, if, for any trial injection, the specification in §75.20(b)(3)(vii)(E)(*1*) is not met, the trial injection shall be counted as an aborted linearity check.

(3) The linearity check may be done after repair, corrective maintenance or reprogramming of the monitor. In this case, the monitor shall be considered out-of-control from the hour in which the repair, corrective maintenance or reprogramming is commenced until the linearity check has

been passed. Alternatively, the data validation procedures and associated timelines in §§75.20(b)(3)(ii) through (ix) may be followed upon completion of the necessary repair, corrective maintenance, or reprogramming. If the procedures in §75.20(b)(3) are used, the words ''quality assurance'' apply instead of the word ''recertification''.

(c) Once a linearity check has been commenced, the test shall be done hands-off. That is, no adjustments of the monitor are permitted during the linearity test period, other than the routine calibration adjustments following daily calibration error tests, as described in section 2.1.3 of this appendix. If a routine daily calibration error test is performed and passed just prior to a linearity test (or during a linearity test period) and a mathematical correction factor is automatically applied by the DAHS, the correction factor shall be applied to all subsequent data recorded by the monitor, including the linearity test data.

(d) If a daily calibration error test is failed during a linearity test period, prior to completing the test, the linearity test must be repeated. Data from the monitor are invalidated prospectively from the hour of the failed calibration error test until the hour of completion of a subsequent successful calibration error test. The linearity test shall not be commenced until the monitor has successfully completed a calibration error test.

(e) An out-of-control period occurs when a linearity test is failed (i.e., when the error in linearity at any of the three concentrations in the quarterly linearity check (or any of the six concentrations, when both ranges of a single analyzer with a dual range are tested) exceeds the applicable specification in section 3.2 of appendix A to this part) or when a linearity test is aborted due to a problem with the monitor or monitoring system. For a NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system, the system is considered out-of-control if either of the component monitors exceeds the applicable specification in section 3.2 of appendix A to this part or if the linearity test of either component is aborted due to a problem with the monitor. The out-of-control period begins with the hour of the failed or aborted linearity check and ends with the hour of completion of a satisfactory linearity check following corrective action and/or monitor repair, unless the option in paragraph (b)(3) of this section to use the data validation procedures and associated timelines in §75.20(b)(3)(ii) through (ix) has been selected, in which case the beginning and end of the out-of-control period shall be determined in accordance with §§75.20(b)(3)(vii)(A) and (B). For a dual-range analyzer, ''hands-off'' linearity checks must be passed on both measurement scales to end the out-of-control period. Note that a monitor shall not be considered out-of-control when a linearity test is aborted for a reason unrelated to the monitor's performance (e.g., a forced unit outage).

(f) No more than four successive calendar quarters shall elapse after the quarter in which a linearity check of a monitor or monitoring system (or range of a monitor or monitoring system) was last performed without a subsequent linearity test having been conducted. If a linearity test has not been completed by the end of the fourth calendar quarter since the last linearity test, then the linearity test must be completed within a 168 unit operating hour or stack operating hour ''grace period'' (as provided in section 2.2.4 of this appendix) following the end of the fourth successive elapsed calendar quarter, or data from the CEMS (or range) will become invalid.

(g) An out-of-control period also occurs when a flow monitor sample line leak is detected. The out-of-control period begins with the hour of the failed leak check and ends with the hour of a satisfactory leak check following corrective action.

(h) For each monitoring system, report the results of all completed and partial linearity tests that affect data validation (i.e., all completed, passed linearity checks; all completed, failed linearity checks; and all linearity checks aborted due to a problem with the monitor, including trial gas injections counted as failed test attempts under paragraph (b)(2) of this section or under §75.20(b)(3)(vii)(F)), in the quarterly report required under §75.64. Note that linearity attempts which are aborted or invalidated due to problems with the reference calibration gases or due to operational problems with the affected unit(s) need not be reported. Such partial tests do not affect the validation status of emission data recorded by the monitor. A record of all linearity tests, trial gas injections and test attempts (whether reported or not) must be kept on-site as part of the official test log for each monitoring system.

(i) The results of any certification, recertification, diagnostic, or quality assurance test required under this part may not be used to validate the emissions data required under this part, if the test is performed using EPA Protocol gas that was not from an EPA Protocol gas production site participating in the PGVP on the date the gas was procured either by the tester or by a reseller that sold to the tester the unaltered EPA Protocol gas, except as provided in §75.21(g)(7) or if the cylinder(s) are analyzed by an independent laboratory and shown to meet the requirements of section 5.1.4(b) of appendix A to this part.

2.2.4 Linearity and Leak Check Grace Period

(a) When a required linearity test or flow monitor leak check has not been completed by the end of the QA operating quarter in

which it is due or if, due to infrequent operation of a unit or infrequent use of a required high range of a monitor or monitoring system, four successive calendar quarters have elapsed after the quarter in which a linearity check of a monitor or monitoring system (or range) was last performed without a subsequent linearity test having been done, the owner or operator has a grace period of 168 consecutive unit operating hours, as defined in §72.2 of this chapter (or, for monitors installed on common stacks or bypass stacks, 168 consecutive stack operating hours, as defined in §72.2 of this chapter) in which to perform a linearity test or leak check of that monitor or monitoring system (or range). The grace period begins with the first unit or stack operating hour following the calendar quarter in which the linearity test was due. Data validation during a linearity or leak check grace period shall be done in accordance with the applicable provisions in section 2.2.3 of this appendix.

(b) If, at the end of the 168 unit (or stack) operating hour grace period, the required linearity test or leak check has not been completed, data from the monitoring system (or range) shall be invalid, beginning with the first unit operating hour following the expiration of the grace period. Data from the monitoring system (or range) remain invalid until the hour of completion of a subsequent successful hands-off linearity test or leak check of the monitor or monitoring system (or range). Note that when a linearity test or a leak check is conducted within a grace period for the purpose of satisfying the linearity test or leak check requirement from a previous QA operating quarter, the results of that linearity test or leak check may only be used to meet the linearity check or leak check requirement of the previous quarter, not the quarter in which the missed linearity test or leak check is completed.

2.2.5 Flow-to-Load Ratio or Gross Heat Rate Evaluation

(a) *Applicability and methodology*. Unless exempted from the flow-to-load ratio test under section 7.8 of appendix A to this part, the owner or operator shall, for each flow rate monitoring system installed on each unit, common stack or multiple stack, evaluate the flow-to-load ratio quarterly, i.e., for each QA operating quarter (as defined in §72.2 of this chapter). At the end of each QA operating quarter, the owner or operator shall use Equation B–1 to calculate the flow-to-load ratio for every hour during the quarter in which: the unit (or combination of units, for a common stack) operated within ±10.0 percent of L_{avg}, the average load during the most recent normal-load flow RATA; and a quality-assured hourly average flow rate was obtained with a certified flow rate monitor. Alternatively, for the reasons stated in paragraphs (c)(1) through (c)(6) of this section, the owner or operator may exclude from the data analysis certain hours within ±10.0 percent of L_{avg} and may calculate R_h values for only the remaining hours.

$$R_h = \frac{Q_h}{L_h} \times 10^{-5} \qquad \text{(Eq. B-1)}$$

Where:

R_h = Hourly value of the flow-to-load ratio, scfh/megawatts, scfh/1000 lb/hr of steam, or scfh/(mmBtu/hr thermal output).

Q_h = Hourly stack gas volumetric flow rate, as measured by the flow rate monitor, scfh.

L_h = Hourly unit load, megawatts, 1000 lb/hr of steam, or mmBtu/hr thermal output; must be within + 10.0 percent of L_{avg} during the most recent normal-load flow RATA.

(1) In Equation B–1, the owner or operator may use either bias-adjusted flow rates or unadjusted flow rates, provided that all of the ratios are calculated the same way. For a common stack, L_h shall be the sum of the hourly operating loads of all units that discharge through the stack. For a unit that discharges its emissions through multiple stacks or that monitors its emissions in multiple breechings, Q_h will be either the combined hourly volumetric flow rate for all of the stacks or ducts (if the test is done on a unit basis) or the hourly flow rate through each stack individually (if the test is performed separately for each stack). For a unit with a multiple stack discharge configuration consisting of a main stack and a bypass stack, each of which has a certified flow monitor (e.g., a unit with a wet SO_2 scrubber), calculate the hourly flow-to-load ratios separately for each stack. Round off each value of R_h to two decimal places.

(2) Alternatively, the owner or operator may calculate the hourly gross heat rates (GHR) in lieu of the hourly flow-to-load ratios. The hourly GHR shall be determined only for those hours in which quality-assured flow rate data and diluent gas (CO_2 or O_2) concentration data are both available

from a certified monitor or monitoring system or reference method. If this option is selected, calculate each hourly GHR value as follows:

$$(GHR)_h = \frac{(\text{Heat Input})_h}{L_h} \times 1000 \qquad \text{(Eq. B-1a)}$$

where:

$(GHR)_h$ = Hourly value of the gross heat rate, Btu/kwh, Btu/lb steam load, or 1000 mmBtu heat input/mmBtu thermal output.

$(\text{Heat Input})_h$ = Hourly heat input, as determined from the quality-assured flow rate and diluent data, using the applicable equation in appendix F to this part, mmBtu/hr.

L_h = Hourly unit load, megawatts, 1000 lb/hr of steam, or mmBtu/hr thermal output; must be within + 10.0 percent of L_{avg} during the most recent normal-load flow RATA.

(3) In Equation B–1a, the owner or operator may either use bias-adjusted flow rates or unadjusted flow rates in the calculation of $(\text{Heat Input})_h$, provided that all of the heat input rate values are determined in the same manner.

(4) The owner or operator shall evaluate the calculated hourly flow-to-load ratios (or gross heat rates) as follows. A separate data analysis shall be performed for each primary and each redundant backup flow rate monitor used to record and report data during the quarter. Each analysis shall be based on a minimum of 168 acceptable recorded hourly average flow rates (i.e., at loads within ±10 percent of L_{avg}). When two RATA load levels are designated as normal, the analysis shall be performed at the higher load level, unless there are fewer than 168 acceptable data points available at that load level, in which case the analysis shall be performed at the lower load level. If, for a particular flow monitor, fewer than 168 acceptable hourly flow-to-load ratios (or GHR values) are available at any of the load levels designated as normal, a flow-to-load (or GHR) evaluation is not required for that monitor for that calendar quarter.

(5) For each flow monitor, use Equation B–2 in this appendix to calculate E_h, the absolute percentage difference between each hourly R_h value and R_{ref}, the reference value of the flow-to-load ratio, as determined in accordance with section 7.7 of appendix A to this part. Note that R_{ref} shall always be based upon the most recent normal-load RATA, even if that RATA was performed in the calendar quarter being evaluated.

$$E_h = \frac{|R_{ref} - R_h|}{R_{ref}} \times 100 \qquad \text{(Eq. B-2)}$$

where:

E_h = Absolute percentage difference between the hourly average flow-to-load ratio and the reference value of the flow-to-load ratio at normal load.

R_h = The hourly average flow-to-load ratio, for each flow rate recorded at a load level within ±10.0 percent of L_{avg}.

R_{ref} = The reference value of the flow-to-load ratio from the most recent normal-load flow RATA, determined in accordance with section 7.7 of appendix A to this part.

(6) Equation B–2 shall be used in a consistent manner. That is, use R_{ref} and R_h if the flow-to-load ratio is being evaluated, and use $(GHR)_{ref}$ and $(GHR)_h$ if the gross heat rate is being evaluated. Finally, calculate E_f, the arithmetic average of all of the hourly E_h values. The owner or operator shall report the results of each quarterly flow-to-load (or gross heat rate) evaluation, as determined from Equation B–2, in the electronic quarterly report required under § 75.64.

(b) *Acceptable results.* The results of a quarterly flow-to-load (or gross heat rate) evaluation are acceptable, and no further action is required, if the calculated value of E_f is less than or equal to: (1) 15.0 percent, if L_{avg} for the most recent normal-load flow RATA is ≥60 megawatts (or ≥500 klb/hr of steam) and if unadjusted flow rates were used in the calculations; or (2) 10.0 percent, if L_{avg} for the most recent normal-load flow RATA is ≥60 megawatts (or ≥500 klb/hr of steam) and if bias-adjusted flow rates were used in the calculations; or (3) 20.0 percent, if L_{avg} for the most recent normal-load flow RATA is <60

megawatts (or <500 klb/hr of steam) and if unadjusted flow rates were used in the calculations; or (4) 15.0 percent, if L_{avg} for the most recent normal-load flow RATA is <60 megawatts (or <500 klb/hr of steam) and if bias-adjusted flow rates were used in the calculations. If E_f is above these limits, the owner or operator shall either: implement Option 1 in section 2.2.5.1 of this appendix; or perform a RATA in accordance with Option 2 in section 2.2.5.2 of this appendix; or re-examine the hourly data used for the flow-to-load or GHR analysis and recalculate E_f, after excluding all non-representative hourly flow rates. If E_f is above these limits, the owner or operator shall either: implement Option 1 in section 2.2.5.1 of this appendix; perform a RATA in accordance with Option 2 in section 2.2.5.2 of this appendix; or (if applicable) re-examine the hourly data used for the flow-to-load or GHR analysis and recalculate E_f, after excluding all non-representative hourly flow rates, as provided in paragraph (c) of this section.

(c) *Recalculation of E_f.* If the owner or operator did not exclude any hours within ±10 percent of L_{avg} from the original data analysis and chooses to recalculate E_f, the flow rates for the following hours are considered non-representative and may be excluded from the data analysis:

(1) Any hour in which the type of fuel combusted was different from the fuel burned during the most recent normal-load RATA. For purposes of this determination, the type of fuel is different if the fuel is in a different state of matter (i.e., solid, liquid, or gas) than is the fuel burned during the RATA or if the fuel is a different classification of coal (e.g., bituminous versus sub-bituminous). Also, for units that co-fire different types of fuels, if the reference RATA was done while co-firing, then hours in which a single fuel was combusted may be excluded from the data analysis as different fuel hours (and vice-versa for co-fired hours, if the reference RATA was done while combusting only one type of fuel);

(2) For a unit that is equipped with an SO_2 scrubber and which always discharges its flue gases to the atmosphere through a single stack, any hour in which the SO_2 scrubber was bypassed;

(3) Any hour in which ''ramping'' occurred, i.e., the hourly load differed by more than ±15.0 percent from the load during the preceding hour or the subsequent hour;

(4) For a unit with a multiple stack discharge configuration consisting of a main stack and a bypass stack, any hour in which the flue gases were discharged through both stacks;

(5) If a normal-load flow RATA was performed and passed during the quarter being analyzed, any hour prior to completion of that RATA; and

(6) If a problem with the accuracy of the flow monitor was discovered during the quarter and was corrected (as evidenced by passing the abbreviated flow-to-load test in section 2.2.5.3 of this appendix), any hour prior to completion of the abbreviated flow-to-load test.

(7) After identifying and excluding all non-representative hourly data in accordance with paragraphs (c)(1) through (6) of this section, the owner or operator may analyze the remaining data a second time. At least 168 representative hourly ratios or GHR values must be available to perform the analysis; otherwise, the flow-to-load (or GHR) analysis is not required for that monitor for that calendar quarter.

(8) If, after re-analyzing the data, E_f meets the applicable limit in paragraph (b)(1), (b)(2), (b)(3), or (b)(4) of this section, no further action is required. If, however, E_f is still above the applicable limit, data from the monitor shall be declared out-of-control, beginning with the first unit operating hour following the quarter in which E_f exceeded the applicable limit. Alternatively, if a probationary calibration error test is performed and passed according to §75.20(b)(3)(ii), data from the monitor may be declared conditionally valid following the quarter in which E_f exceeded the applicable limit. The owner or operator shall then either implement Option 1 in section 2.2.5.1 of this appendix or Option 2 in section 2.2.5.2 of this appendix.

2.2.5.1 Option 1

Within 14 unit operating days of the end of the calendar quarter for which the E_f value is above the applicable limit, investigate and troubleshoot the applicable flow monitor(s). Evaluate the results of each investigation as follows:

(a) If the investigation fails to uncover a problem with the flow monitor, a RATA shall be performed in accordance with Option 2 in section 2.2.5.2 of this appendix.

(b) If a problem with the flow monitor is identified through the investigation (including the need to re-linearize the monitor by changing the polynomial coefficients or K factor(s)), data from the monitor are considered invalid back to the first unit operating hour after the end of the calendar quarter for which E_f was above the applicable limit. If the option to use conditional data validation was selected under section 2.2.5(c)(8) of this appendix, all conditionally valid data shall be invalidated, back to the first unit operating hour after the end of the calendar quarter for which E_f was above the applicable limit. Corrective actions shall be taken. All corrective actions (*e.g.,* non-routine maintenance, repairs, major component replacements, re-linearization of the monitor, etc.) shall be documented in the operation and maintenance records for the monitor.

The owner or operator then shall either complete the abbreviated flow-to-load test in section 2.2.5.3 of this appendix, or, if the corrective action taken has required relinearization of the flow monitor, shall perform a 3-load RATA. The conditional data validation procedures in §75.20(b)(3) may be applied to the 3-load RATA.

2.2.5.2 Option 2

Perform a single-load RATA (at a load designated as normal under section 6.5.2.1 of appendix A to this part) of each flow monitor for which E_f is outside of the applicable limit. If the RATA is passed hands-off, in accordance with section 2.3.2(c) of this appendix, no further action is required and the out-of-control period for the monitor ends at the date and hour of completion of a successful RATA, unless the option to use conditional data validation was selected under section 2.2.5(c)(8) of this appendix. In that case, all conditionally valid data from the monitor are considered to be quality-assured, back to the first unit operating hour following the end of the calendar quarter for which the E_f value was above the applicable limit. If the RATA is failed, all data from the monitor shall be invalidated, back to the first unit operating hour following the end of the calendar quarter for which the E_f value was above the applicable limit. Data from the monitor remain invalid until the required RATA has been passed. Alternatively, following a failed RATA and corrective actions, the conditional data validation procedures of §75.20(b)(3) may be used until the RATA has been passed. If the corrective actions taken following the failed RATA included adjustment of the polynomial coefficients or K-factor(s) of the flow monitor, a 3-level RATA is required, except as otherwise specified in section 2.3.1.3 of this appendix.

2.2.5.3 Abbreviated Flow-to-Load Test

(a) The following abbreviated flow-to-load test may be performed after any documented repair, component replacement, or other corrective maintenance to a flow monitor (except for changes affecting the linearity of the flow monitor, such as adjusting the flow monitor coefficients or K factor(s)) to demonstrate that the repair, replacement, or other maintenance has not significantly affected the monitor's ability to accurately measure the stack gas volumetric flow rate. Data from the monitoring system are considered invalid from the hour of commencement of the repair, replacement, or maintenance until either the hour in which the abbraviated flow-to-load test is passed, or the hour in which a probationary calibration error test is passed following completion of the repair, replacement, or maintenance and any associated adjustments to the monitor. If the latter option is selected, the abbreviated flow-to-load test shall be completed within 168 unit operating hours of the probationary calibration error test (or, for peaking units, within 30 unit operating days, if that is less restrictive). Data from the monitor are considered to be conditionally valid (as defined in §72.2 of this chapter), beginning with the hour of the probationary calibration error test.

(b) Operate the unit(s) in such a way as to reproduce, as closely as practicable, the exact conditions at the time of the most recent normal-load flow RATA. To achieve this, it is recommended that the load be held constant to within ±10.0 percent of the average load during the RATA and that the diluent gas (CO_2 or O_2) concentration be maintained within ±0.5 percent CO_2 or O_2 of the average diluent concentration during the RATA. For common stacks, to the extent practicable, use the same combination of units and load levels that were used during the RATA. When the process parameters have been set, record a minimum of six and a maximum of 12 consecutive hourly average flow rates, using the flow monitor(s) for which E_f was outside the applicable limit. For peaking units, a minimum of three and a maximum of 12 consecutive hourly average flow rates are required. Also record the corresponding hourly load values and, if applicable, the hourly diluent gas concentrations. Calculate the flow-to-load ratio (or GHR) for each hour in the test hour period, using Equation B–1 or B–1a. Determine E_h for each hourly flow-to-load ratio (or GHR), using Equation B–2 of this appendix and then calculate E_f, the arithmetic average of the E_h values.

(c) The results of the abbreviated flow-to-load test shall be considered acceptable, and no further action is required if the value of E_f does not exceed the applicable limit specified in section 2.2.5 of this appendix. All conditionally valid data recorded by the flow monitor shall be considered quality-assured, beginning with the hour of the probationary calibration error test that preceded the abbreviated flow-to-load test (if applicable). However, if E_f is outside the applicable limit, all conditionally valid data recorded by the flow monitor (if applicable) shall be considered invalid back to the hour of the probationary calibration error test that preceded the abbreviated flow-to-load test, and a single-load RATA is required in accordance with section 2.2.5.2 of this appendix. If the flow monitor must be re-linearized, however, a 3-load RATA is required.

2.3 Semiannual and Annual Assessments

For each primary and redundant backup monitoring system, perform relative accuracy assessments either semiannually or annually, as specified in section 2.3.1.1 or 2.3.1.2 of this appendix, for the type of test and the

performance achieved. This requirement applies as of the calendar quarter following the calendar quarter in which the monitoring system is provisionally certified. A summary chart showing the frequency with which a relative accuracy test audit must be performed, depending on the accuracy achieved, is located at the end of this appendix in Figure 2.

2.3.1 Relative Accuracy Test Audit (RATA)

2.3.1.1 Standard RATA Frequencies

(a) Except as otherwise specified in §75.21(a)(6) or (a)(7) or in section 2.3.1.2 of this appendix, perform relative accuracy test audits semiannually, *i.e.*, once every two successive QA operating quarters (as defined in §72.2 of this chapter) for each primary and redundant backup SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor, flow monitor, CO_2 emissions concentration monitor (including O_2 monitors used to determine CO_2 emissions), CO_2 or O_2 diluent monitor used to determine heat input, moisture monitoring system, NO_X concentration monitoring system, or NO_X-diluent CEMS. A calendar quarter that does not qualify as a QA operating quarter shall be excluded in determining the deadline for the next RATA. No more than eight successive calendar quarters shall elapse after the quarter in which a RATA was last performed without a subsequent RATA having been conducted. If a RATA has not been completed by the end of the eighth calendar quarter since the quarter of the last RATA, then the RATA must be completed within a 720 unit (or stack) operating hour grace period (as provided in section 2.3.3 of this appendix) following the end of the eighth successive elapsed calendar quarter, or data from the CEMS will become invalid.

(b) The relative accuracy test audit frequency of a CEMS may be reduced, as specified in section 2.3.1.2 of this appendix, for primary or redundant backup monitoring systems which qualify for less frequent testing. Perform all required RATAs in accordance with the applicable procedures and provisions in sections 6.5 through 6.5.2.2 of appendix A to this part and sections 2.3.1.3 and 2.3.1.4 of this appendix.

2.3.1.2 Reduced RATA Frequencies

Relative accuracy test audits of primary and redundant backup SO_2 pollutant concentration monitors, CO_2 pollutant concentration monitors (including O_2 monitors used to determine CO_2 emissions), CO_2 or O_2 diluent monitors used to determine heat input, moisture monitoring systems, NO_X concentration monitoring systems, flow monitors, NO_X-diluent monitoring systems or SO_2-diluent monitoring systems may be performed annually (i.e., once every four successive QA operating quarters, rather than once every two successive QA operating quarters) if any of the following conditions are met for the specific monitoring system involved:

(a) The relative accuracy during the audit of an SO_2 or CO_2 pollutant concentration monitor (including an O_2 pollutant monitor used to measure CO_2 using the procedures in appendix F to this part), or of a CO_2 or O_2 diluent monitor used to determine heat input, or of a NO_X concentration monitoring system, or of a NO_X-diluent monitoring system, or of an SO_2-diluent continuous emissions monitoring system is ≤7.5 percent;

(b) [Reserved]

(c) The relative accuracy during the audit of a flow monitor is ≤7.5 percent at each operating level tested;

(d) For low flow (≤10.0 fps, as measured by the reference method during the RATA) stacks/ducts, when the flow monitor fails to achieve a relative accuracy ≤7.5 percent during the audit, but the monitor mean value, calculated using Equation A–7 in appendix A to this part and converted back to an equivalent velocity in standard feet per second (fps), is within ±1.5 fps of the reference method mean value, converted to an equivalent velocity in fps;

(e) For low SO_2 or NO_X emitting units (average SO_2 or NO_X reference method concentrations ≤250 ppm) during the RATA, when an SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor or NO_X concentration monitoring system fails to achieve a relative accuracy ≤7.5 percent during the audit, but the monitor mean value from the RATA is within ±12 ppm of the reference method mean value;

(f) For units with low NO_X emission rates (average NO_X emission rate measured by the reference method during the RATA ≤0.200 lb/mmBtu), when a NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system fails to achieve a relative accuracy ≤7.5 percent, but the monitoring system mean value from the RATA, calculated using Equation A–7 in appendix A to this part, is within ±0.015 lb/mmBtu of the reference method mean value;

(g) [Reserved]

(h) For a CO_2 or O_2 monitor, when the mean difference between the reference method values from the RATA and the corresponding monitor values is within ±0.7 percent CO_2 or O_2; and

(i) When the relative accuracy of a continuous moisture monitoring system is ≤7.5 percent or when the mean difference between the reference method values from the RATA and the corresponding monitoring system values is within ±1.0 percent H_2O.

2.3.1.3 RATA Load (or Operating) Levels and Additional RATA Requirements

(a) For SO_2 pollutant concentration monitors, CO_2 emissions concentration monitors (including O_2 monitors used to determine CO_2 emissions), CO_2 or O_2 diluent monitors

used to determine heat input, NO_X concentration monitoring systems, and NO_X-diluent monitoring systems, the required semiannual or annual RATA tests shall be done at the load level (or operating level) designated as normal under section 6.5.2.1(d) of appendix A to this part. If two load levels (or operating levels) are designated as normal, the required RATA(s) may be done at either load level (or operating level).

(b) For flow monitors installed on peaking units and bypass stacks, and for flow monitors that qualify to perform only single-level RATAs under section 6.5.2(e) of appendix A to this part, all required semiannual or annual relative accuracy test audits shall be single-load (or single-level) audits at the normal load (or operating level), as defined in section 6.5.2.1(d) of appendix A to this part.

(c) For all other flow monitors, the RATAs shall be performed as follows:

(1) An annual 2-load (or 2-level) flow RATA shall be done at the two most frequently used load levels (or operating levels), as determined under section 6.5.2.1(d) of appendix A to this part, or (if applicable) at the operating levels determined under section 6.5.2(e) of appendix A to this part. Alternatively, a 3-load (or 3-level) flow RATA at the low, mid, and high load levels (or operating levels), as defined under section 6.5.2.1(b) of appendix A to this part, may be performed in lieu of the 2-load (or 2-level) annual RATA.

(2) If the flow monitor is on a semiannual RATA frequency, 2-load (or 2-level) flow RATAs and single-load (or single-level) flow RATAs at the normal load level (or normal operating level) may be performed alternately.

(3) A single-load (or single-level) annual flow RATA may be performed in lieu of the 2-load (or 2-level) RATA if the results of an historical load data analysis show that in the time period extending from the ending date of the last annual flow RATA to a date that is no more than 21 days prior to the date of the current annual flow RATA, the unit (or combination of units, for a common stack) has operated at a single load level (or operating level) (low, mid, or high), for ≥85.0 percent of the time. Alternatively, a flow monitor may qualify for a single-load (or single-level) RATA if the 85.0 percent criterion is met in the time period extending from the beginning of the quarter in which the last annual flow RATA was performed through the end of the calendar quarter preceding the quarter of current annual flow RATA.

(4) A 3-load (or 3-level) RATA, at the low-, mid-, and high-load levels (or operating levels), as determined under section 6.5.2.1 of appendix A to this part, shall be performed at least once every twenty consecutive calendar quarters, except for flow monitors that are exempted from 3-load (or 3-level) RATA testing under section 6.5.2(b) or 6.5.2(e) of appendix A to this part.

(5) A 3-load (or 3-level) RATA is required whenever a flow monitor is re-linearized, *i.e.*, when its polynomial coefficients or K factor(s) are changed, except for flow monitors that are exempted from 3-load (or 3-level) RATA testing under section 6.5.2(b) or 6.5.2(e) of appendix A to this part. For monitors so exempted under section 6.5.2(b), a single-load flow RATA is required. For monitors so exempted under section 6.5.2(e), either a single-level RATA or a 2-level RATA is required, depending on the number of operating levels documented in the monitoring plan for the unit.

(6) For all multi-level flow audits, the audit points at adjacent load levels or at adjacent operating levels (*e.g.*, mid and high) shall be separated by no less than 25.0 percent of the ''range of operation,'' as defined in section 6.5.2.1 of appendix A to this part.

(d) A RATA of a moisture monitoring system shall be performed whenever the coefficient, K factor or mathematical algorithm determined under section 6.5.7 of appendix A to this part is changed.

2.3.1.4 Number of RATA Attempts

The owner or operator may perform as many RATA attempts as are necessary to achieve the desired relative accuracy test audit frequencies and/or bias adjustment factors. However, the data validation procedures in section 2.3.2 of this appendix must be followed.

2.3.2 Data Validation

(a) A RATA shall not commence if the monitoring system is operating out-of-control with respect to any of the daily and quarterly quality assurance assessments required by sections 2.1 and 2.2 of this appendix or with respect to the additional calibration error test requirements in section 2.1.3 of this appendix.

(b) Each required RATA shall be done according to paragraphs (b)(1), (b)(2) or (b)(3) of this section:

(1) The RATA may be done ''cold,'' i.e., with no corrective maintenance, repair, calibration adjustments, re-linearization or reprogramming of the monitoring system prior to the test.

(2) The RATA may be done after performing only the routine or non-routine calibration adjustments described in section 2.1.3 of this appendix at the zero and/or upscale calibration gas levels, but no other corrective maintenance, repair, re-linearization or reprogramming of the monitoring system. Trial RATA runs may be performed after the calibration adjustments and additional adjustments within the allowable limits in section 2.1.3 of this appendix may be made prior to the RATA, as necessary, to

optimize the performance of the CEMS. The trial RATA runs need not be reported, provided that they meet the specification for trial RATA runs in §75.20(b)(3)(vii)(E)(*2*). However, if, for any trial run, the specification in §75.20(b)(3)(vii)(E)(*2*) is not met, the trial run shall be counted as an aborted RATA attempt.

(3) The RATA may be done after repair, corrective maintenance, re-linearization or reprogramming of the monitoring system. In this case, the monitoring system shall be considered out-of-control from the hour in which the repair, corrective maintenance, re-linearization or reprogramming is commenced until the RATA has been passed. Alternatively, the data validation procedures and associated timelines in §§75.20(b)(3)(ii) through (ix) may be followed upon completion of the necessary repair, corrective maintenance, re-linearization or reprogramming. If the procedures in §75.20(b)(3) are used, the words ''quality assurance'' apply instead of the word ''recertification.''

(c) Once a RATA is commenced, the test must be done hands-off. No adjustment of the monitor's calibration is permitted during the RATA test period, other than the routine calibration adjustments following daily calibration error tests, as described in section 2.1.3 of this appendix. If a routine daily calibration error test is performed and passed just prior to a RATA (or during a RATA test period) and a mathematical correction factor is automatically applied by the DAHS, the correction factor shall be applied to all subsequent data recorded by the monitor, including the RATA test data. For 2-level and 3-level flow monitor audits, no linearization or reprogramming of the monitor is permitted in between load levels.

(d) For single-load (or single-level) RATAs, if a daily calibration error test is failed during a RATA test period, prior to completing the test, the RATA must be repeated. Data from the monitor are invalidated prospectively from the hour of the failed calibration error test until the hour of completion of a subsequent successful calibration error test. The subsequent RATA shall not be commenced until the monitor has successfully passed a calibration error test in accordance with section 2.1.3 of this appendix. For multiple-load (or multiple-level) flow RATAs, each load level (or operating level) is treated as a separate RATA (*i.e.,* when a calibration error test is failed prior to completing the RATA at a particular load level (or operating level), only the RATA at that load level (or operating level) must be repeated; the results of any previously-passed RATA(s) at the other load level(s) (or operating level(s)) are unaffected, unless the monitor's polynomial coefficients or K-factor(s) must be changed to correct the problem that caused the calibration failure, in which case a subsequent 3-load (or 3-level) RATA is required), except as otherwise provided in section 2.3.1.3 (c)(5) of this appendix.

(e) For a RATA performed using the option in paragraph (b)(1) or (b)(2) of this section, if the RATA is failed (that is, if the relative accuracy exceeds the applicable specification in section 3.3 of appendix A to this part) or if the RATA is aborted prior to completion due to a problem with the CEMS, then the CEMS is out-of-control and all emission data from the CEMS are invalidated prospectively from the hour in which the RATA is failed or aborted. Data from the CEMS remain invalid until the hour of completion of a subsequent RATA that meets the applicable specification in section 3.3 of appendix A to this part. If the option in paragraph (b)(3) of this section to use the data validation procedures and associated timelines in §§75.20(b)(3)(ii) through(b)(3)(ix) has been selected, the beginning and end of the out-of-control period shall be determined in accordance with §75.20(b)(3)(vii)(A) and (B). Note that when a RATA is aborted for a reason other than monitoring system malfunction (*see* paragraph (h) of this section), this does not trigger an out-of-control period for the monitoring system.

(f) For a 2-level or 3-level flow RATA, if, at any load level (or operating level), a RATA is failed or aborted due to a problem with the flow monitor, the RATA at that load level (or operating level) must be repeated. The flow monitor is considered out-of-control and data from the monitor are invalidated from the hour in which the test is failed or aborted and remain invalid until the passing of a RATA at the failed load level (or operating level), unless the option in paragraph (b)(3) of this section to use the data validation procedures and associated timelines in §75.20(b)(3)(ii) through (b)(3)(ix) has been selected, in which case the beginning and end of the out-of-control period shall be determined in accordance with §75.20(b)(3)(vii)(A) and (B). Flow RATA(s) that were previously passed at the other load level(s) (or operating level(s)) do not have to be repeated unless the flow monitor must be re-linearized following the failed or aborted test. If the flow monitor is re-linearized, a subsequent 3-load (or 3-level) RATA is required, except as otherwise provided in section 2.3.1.3(c)(5) of this appendix.

(g) Data validation for failed RATAs for a CO_2 pollutant concentration monitor (or an O_2 monitor used to measure CO_2 emissions), a NO_X pollutant concentration monitor, and a NO_X-diluent monitoring system shall be done according to paragraphs (g)(1) and (g)(2) of this section:

(1) For a CO_2 pollutant concentration monitor (or an O_2 monitor used to measure CO_2 emissions) which also serves as the diluent component in a NO_X-diluent monitoring system, if the CO_2 (or O_2) RATA is failed, then

both the CO_2 (or O_2) monitor and the associated NO_X-diluent system are considered out-of-control, beginning with the hour of completion of the failed CO_2 (or O_2) monitor RATA, and continuing until the hour of completion of subsequent hands-off RATAs which demonstrate that both systems have met the applicable relative accuracy specifications in sections 3.3.2 and 3.3.3 of appendix A to this part, unless the option in paragraph (b)(3) of this section to use the data validation procedures and associated timelines in §75.20(b)(3)(ii) through (b)(3)(ix) has been selected, in which case the beginning and end of the out-of-control period shall be determined in accordance with §75.20(b)(3)(vii)(A) and (B).

(2) This paragraph (g)(2) applies only to a NO_X pollutant concentration monitor that serves both as the NO_X component of a NO_X concentration monitoring system (to measure NO_X mass emissions) and as the NO_X component in a NO_X-diluent monitoring system (to measure NO_X emission rate in lb/mmBtu). If the RATA of the NO_X concentration monitoring system is failed, then both the NO_X concentration monitoring system and the associated NO_X-diluent monitoring system are considered out-of-control, beginning with the hour of completion of the failed NO_X concentration RATA, and continuing until the hour of completion of subsequent hands-off RATAs which demonstrate that both systems have met the applicable relative accuracy specifications in sections 3.3.2 and 3.3.7 of appendix A to this part, unless the option in paragraph (b)(3) of this section to use the data validation procedures and associated timelines in §75.20(b)(3)(ii) through (b)(3)(ix) has been selected, in which case the beginning and end of the out-of-control period shall be determined in accordance with §75.20(b)(3)(vii)(A) and (B).

(h) For each monitoring system, report the results of all completed and partial RATAs that affect data validation (i.e., all completed, passed RATAs; all completed, failed RATAs; and all RATAs aborted due to a problem with the CEMS, including trial RATA runs counted as failed test attempts under paragraph (b)(2) of this section or under §75.20(b)(3)(vii)(F)) in the quarterly report required under §75.64. Note that RATA attempts that are aborted or invalidated due to problems with the reference method or due to operational problems with the affected unit(s) need not be reported. Such runs do not affect the validation status of emission data recorded by the CEMS. However, a record of all RATAs, trial RATA runs and RATA attempts (whether reported or not) must be kept on-site as part of the official test log for each monitoring system.

(i) Each time that a hands-off RATA of an SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor, a NO_x-diluent monitoring system, a NO_X concentration monitoring system, or a flow monitor is passed, perform a bias test in accordance with section 7.6.4 of appendix A to this part. Apply the appropriate bias adjustment factor to the reported SO_2, NO_X, or flow rate data, in accordance with section 7.6.5 of appendix A to this part.

(j) Failure of the bias test does not result in the monitoring system being out-of-control.

(k) The results of any certification, recertification, diagnostic, or quality assurance test required under this part may not be used to validate the emissions data required under this part, if the test is performed using EPA Protocol gas from a production site that is not participating in the PGVP, except as provided in §75.21(g)(7) or if the cylinder(s) are analyzed by an independent laboratory and shown to meet the requirements of section 5.1.4(b) of appendix A to this part.

2.3.3 RATA Grace Period

(a) The owner or operator has a grace period of 720 consecutive unit operating hours, as defined in §72.2 of this chapter (or, for CEMS installed on common stacks or bypass stacks, 720 consecutive stack operating hours, as defined in §72.2 of this chapter), in which to complete the required RATA for a particular CEMS whenever:

(1) A required RATA has not been performed by the end of the QA operating quarter in which it is due; or

(2) A required 3-load flow RATA has not been performed by the end of the calendar quarter in which it is due; or

(3) For a unit which is conditionally exempted under §75.21(a)(7) from the SO_2 RATA requirements of this part, an SO_2 RATA has not been completed by the end of the calendar quarter in which the annual usage of fuel(s) with a sulfur content higher than very low sulfur fuel (as defined in §72.2 of this chapter) exceeds 480 hours; or

(4) Eight successive calendar quarters have elapsed, following the quarter in which a RATA was last performed, without a subsequent RATA having been done, due either to infrequent operation of the unit(s) or frequent combustion of very low sulfur fuel, as defined in §72.2 of this chapter (SO_2 monitors, only), or a combination of these factors.

(b) Except for SO_2 monitoring system RATAs, the grace period shall begin with the first unit (or stack) operating hour following the calendar quarter in which the required RATA was due. For SO_2 monitor RATAs, the grace period shall begin with the first unit (or stack) operating hour in which fuel with a total sulfur content higher than that of very low sulfur fuel (as defined in §72.2 of this chapter) is burned in the unit(s), following the quarter in which the required RATA is due. Data validation during a RATA grace period shall be done in accordance with

the applicable provisions in section 2.3.2 of this appendix.

(c) If, at the end of the 720 unit (or stack) operating hour grace period, the RATA has not been completed, data from the monitoring system shall be invalid, beginning with the first unit operating hour following the expiration of the grace period. Data from the CEMS remain invalid until the hour of completion of a subsequent hands-off RATA. The deadline for the next test shall be either two QA operating quarters (if a semiannual RATA frequency is obtained) or four QA operating quarters (if an annual RATA frequency is obtained) after the quarter in which the RATA is completed, not to exceed eight calendar quarters.

(d) When a RATA is done during a grace period in order to satisfy a RATA requirement from a previous quarter, the deadline for the next RATA shall determined as follows:

(1) If the grace period RATA qualifies for a reduced, (i.e., annual), RATA frequency the deadline for the next RATA shall be set at three QA operating quarters after the quarter in which the grace period test is completed.

(2) If the grace period RATA qualifies for the standard, (i.e., semiannual), RATA frequency the deadline for the next RATA shall be set at two QA operating quarters after the quarter in which the grace period test is completed.

(3) Notwithstanding these requirements, no more than eight successive calendar quarters shall elapse after the quarter in which the grace period test is completed, without a subsequent RATA having been conducted.

2.3.4 Bias Adjustment Factor

Except as otherwise specified in section 7.6.5 of appendix A to this part, if an SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor, a flow monitor, a NO_X-diluent CEMS, or a NO_X concentration monitoring system used to calculate NO_X mass emissions fails the bias test specified in section 7.6 of appendix A to this part, use the bias adjustment factor given in Equations A–11 and A–12 of appendix A to this part or the allowable alternative BAF specified in section 7.6.5(b) of appendix A of this part, to adjust the monitored data.

2.4 Recertification, Quality Assurance, RATA Frequency and Bias Adjustment Factors (Special Considerations)

(a) When a significant change is made to a monitoring system such that recertification of the monitoring system is required in accordance with §75.20(b), a recertification test (or tests) must be performed to ensure that the CEMS continues to generate valid data. In all recertifications, a RATA will be one of the required tests; for some recertifications, other tests will also be required. A recertification test may be used to satisfy the quality assurance test requirement of this appendix. For example, if, for a particular change made to a CEMS, one of the required recertification tests is a linearity check and the linearity check is successful, then, unless another such recertification event occurs in that same QA operating quarter, it would not be necessary to perform an additional linearity test of the CEMS in that quarter to meet the quality assurance requirement of section 2.2.1 of this appendix. For this reason, EPA recommends that owners or operators coordinate component replacements, system upgrades, and other events that may require recertification, to the extent practicable, with the periodic quality assurance testing required by this appendix. When a quality assurance test is done for the dual purpose of recertification and routine quality assurance, the applicable data validation procedures in §75.20(b)(3) shall be followed.

(b) Except as provided in section 2.3.3 of this appendix, whenever a passing RATA of a gas monitor is performed, or a passing 2-load (or 2-level) RATA or a passing 3-load (or 3-level) RATA of a flow monitor is performed (irrespective of whether the RATA is done to satisfy a recertification requirement or to meet the quality assurance requirements of this appendix, or both), the RATA frequency (semi-annual or annual) shall be established based upon the date and time of completion of the RATA and the relative accuracy percentage obtained. For 2-load (or 2-level) and 3-load (or 3-level) flow RATAs, use the highest percentage relative accuracy at any of the loads (or levels) to determine the RATA frequency. The results of a single-load (or single-level) flow RATA may be used to establish the RATA frequency when the single-load (or single-level) flow RATA is specifically required under section 2.3.1.3(b) of this appendix or when the single-load (or single-level) RATA is allowed under section 2.3.1.3(c) of this appendix for a unit that has operated at one load level (or operating level) for ≥85.0 percent of the time since the last annual flow RATA. No other single-load (or single-level) flow RATA may be used to establish an annual RATA frequency; however, a 2-load or 3-load (or a 2-level or 3-level) flow RATA may be performed at any time or in place of any required single-load (or single-level) RATA, in order to establish an annual RATA frequency.

2.5 Other Audits

Affected units may be subject to relative accuracy test audits at any time. If a monitor or continuous emission monitoring system fails the relative accuracy test during the audit, the monitor or continuous emission monitoring system shall be considered to be out-of-control beginning with the date

and time of completion of the audit, and continuing until a successful audit test is completed following corrective action. If a monitor or monitoring system fails the bias test during an audit, use the bias adjustment factor given by equations A–11 and A–12 in appendix A to this part to adjust the monitored data. Apply this adjustment factor from the date and time of completion of the audit until the date and time of completion of a relative accuracy test audit that does not show bias.

FIGURE 1 TO APPENDIX B OF PART 75—QUALITY ASSURANCE TEST REQUIREMENTS

Test	Basic QA test frequency requirements		
	Daily *	Quarterly *	Semiannual or annual *
Calibration Error Test (2 pt.)	X		
Interference Check (flow)	X		
Flow-to-Load Ratio		X	
Leak Check (DP flow monitors)		X	
Linearity Check * (3 pt.)		X	
RATA (SO_2, NO_X, CO_2, O_2, H_2O)[1]			X
RATA (flow)[1 2]			X

* "Daily" means operating days, only. "Quarterly" means once every QA operating quarter. "Semiannual" means once every two QA operating quarters. "Annual" means once every four QA operating quarters.

[1] Conduct RATA annually (i.e., once every four QA operating quarters) rather than semiannually, if monitor meets accuracy requirements to qualify for less frequent testing.

[2] For flow monitors installed on peaking units, bypass stacks, or units that qualify for single-level RATA testing under section 6.5.2(e) of this part, conduct all RATAs at a single, normal load (or operating level). For other flow monitors, conduct annual RATAs at two load levels (or operating levels). Alternating single-load and 2-load (or single-level and 2-level) RATAs may be done if a monitor is on a semiannual frequency. A single-load (or single-level) RATA may be done in lieu of a 2-load (or 2-level) RATA if, since the last annual flow RATA, the unit has operated at one load level (or operating level) for ≥85.0 percent of the time. A 3-level RATA is required at least once every five years (20 calendar quarters) and whenever a flow monitor is re-characterized, except for flow monitors exempted from 3-level RATA testing under section 6.5.2(b) or 6.5.2(e) of appendix A to this part.

FIGURE 2 TO APPENDIX B OF PART 75—RELATIVE ACCURACY TEST FREQUENCY INCENTIVE SYSTEM

RATA	Semiannual [W]	Annual [W]
SO_2 or NO_X[Y]	7.5% <RA ≤10.0% or ±15.0 ppm[X]	RA ≤7.5% or ±12.0 ppm[X].
NO_X-diluent	7.5% <RA ≤10.0% or ±0.020 lb/mmBtu[X]	RA ≤7.5% or ±0. 015 lb/mmBtu[X].
Flow	7.5% <RA ≤10.0% or ±2.0 fps[X]	RA ≤7.5% or ±1.5 fps[X].
CO_2 or O_2	7.5% <RA ≤10.0% or ±1.0% CO_2/O_2[X]	RA ≤7.5% or ±0.7% CO_2/O_2[X].
Moisture	7.5% <RA ≤10.0% or ±1.5% H_2O[X]	RA ≤7.5% or ±1.0% H_2O[X].

[W] The deadline for the next RATA is the end of the second (if semiannual) or fourth (if annual) successive QA operating quarter following the quarter in which the CEMS was last tested. Exclude calendar quarters with fewer than 168 unit operating hours (or, for common stacks and bypass stacks, exclude quarters with fewer than 168 stack operating hours) in determining the RATA deadline. For SO_2 monitors, QA operating quarters in which only very low sulfur fuel as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter, is combusted may also be excluded. However, the exclusion of calendar quarters is limited as follows: the deadline for the next RATA shall be no more than 8 calendar quarters after the quarter in which a RATA was last performed. A 720 operating hour grace period is available if the RATA cannot be completed by the deadline.

[X] The difference between monitor and reference method mean values applies to moisture monitors, CO_2, and O_2 monitors, low emitters of SO_2, NO_X, and low flow, only.

[Y] A NO_X concentration monitoring system used to determine NO_X mass emissions under § 75.71.

FIGURE 3 TO APPENDIX B OF PART 75--SINGLE COMPONENT PLUS BALANCE GAS CYLINDERS
EPA PROTOCOL GAS VERIFICATION PROGRAM RESULTS
EPA CYLINDER GAS ASSAYS PERFORMED BY NIST [NIST to Insert: Month, Year]

Specialty Gas Company Name	EPA Protocol Gas Production Site Name	Vendor ID	Stamped Cylinder ID	Gas Component, e.g., SO2							Supplied Complete Docu-menta-tion (Yes/No)
				Tag Value (e.g., ppm SO2)	Audit Results				Vendor Analytical Method (e.g., FTIR)	Vendor Ref Std Used (e.g., NTRM)	
					Orig Tag Value (Pass/Fail)	Orig Tag (% dif)	Re-analyzed Value (Pass/Fail)	Re-analysis (% dif)			

% dif = 100 x (Tag Value – NIST Value) / NIST Value

A gaseous component is said to fail when the absolute value of the difference between the audit and vendor concentration values is greater than 2.20%. The 2.20% value is determined by using the "paired t test" at 95% confidence, with an uncertainty of plus or minus 2.0% (fixed by Part 75, Appendix A, section 5.1.4(b)) for the gas vendor and an expanded uncertainty (coverage factor k=2) of plus or minus 1.0% (maximum acceptable) for the audit. If on future audits, e.g., for very low concentration gases, the plus or minus 1.0% audit expanded uncertainty value changes, the 2.20% value may change. If the difference between the audit value and the vendor value is plus or minus 2.20% or less, then (because of the uncertainties in the total measurement system) statistically there is no difference between the two values. Thus, a difference of 2.10% would be interpreted as being equal to one of, for example, 0.40%.

Nothing can be said regarding the performance of any EPA Protocol gas production site inadvertently not included in the audit. Any accuracy assessment is an instantaneous snapshot of the process being measured. These results should not be regarded as a final statement on the accuracy of EPA Protocol gases. They can be used as a general indicator of the current status of the accuracy of EPA Protocol gases as a whole. However, individual results should not be taken as definitive indicators of the analytical capabilities of individual producers. EPA presents this information without assigning a rating to the gas vendors, for example, who is the best, who is approved, or is not approved and specifically does not endorse any particular vendor.

NOTE: For cylinders with more than one component plus balance gas, change the title appropriately, e.g., "FIGURE 3 TO APPENDIX B OF PART 75 – BI-BLEND PLUS BALANCE GAS CYLINDERS . . ." and add appropriate columns to Figure 3 for the additional components following the same format used in the columns for SO2 above.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26546, 26571, May 17, 1995; 61 FR 59165, Nov. 20, 1996; 64 FR 28644, May 26, 1999; 64 FR 37582, July 12, 1999; 67 FR 40456, 40457, June 12, 2002; 67 FR 53505, Aug. 16, 2002; 67 FR 57274, Sept. 9, 2002; 70 FR 28693, May 18, 2005; 72 FR 51528, Sept. 7, 2007; 73 FR 4367, Jan. 24, 2008; 76 FR 17321, Mar. 28, 2011]

APPENDIX C TO PART 75—MISSING DATA ESTIMATION PROCEDURES

1. PARAMETRIC MONITORING PROCEDURE FOR MISSING SO_2 CONCENTRATION OR NO_X EMISSION RATE DATA

1.1 Applicability

The owner or operator of any affected unit equipped with post-combustion SO_2 or NO_X emission controls and SO_2 pollutant concentration monitors and/or NO_X continuous emission monitoring systems at the inlet and outlet of the emission control system may apply to the Administrator for approval and certification of a parametric, empirical, or process simulation method or model for calculating substitute data for missing data periods. Such methods may be used to parametrically estimate the removal efficiency of the SO_2 of postcombustion NO_X emission controls which, with the monitored inlet concentration or emission rate data, may be used to estimate the average concentration of SO_2 emissions or average emission rate of NO_X discharged to the atmosphere. After approval by the Administrator, such method or model may be used for filling in missing SO_2 concentration or NO_X emission rate data when data from the outlet SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor or outlet NO_X continuous emission monitoring system have been reported with an annual monitor data availability of 90.0 percent or more.

Base the empirical and process simulation methods or models on the fundamental chemistry and engineering principles involved in the treatment of pollutant gas. On a case-by-case basis, the Administrator may pre-certify commercially available process simulation methods and models.

1.2 Petition Requirements

Continuously monitor, determine, and record hourly averages of the estimated SO_2 or NO_X removal efficiency and of the parameters specified below, at a minimum. The affected facility shall supply additional parametric information where appropriate. Measure the SO_2 concentration or NO_X emission rate, removal efficiency of the add-on emission controls, and the parameters for at least 2160 unit operating hours. Provide information for all expected operating conditions and removal efficiencies. At least 4 evenly spaced data points are required for a valid hourly average, except during periods of calibration, maintenance, or quality assurance activities, during which 2 data points per hour are sufficient. The Administrator will review all applications on a case-by-case basis.

1.2.1 Parameters for Wet Flue Gas Desulfurization System

1.2.1.1 Number of scrubber modules in operation.

1.2.1.2 Total slurry rate to each scrubber module (gal per min).

1.2.1.3 In-line absorber pH of each scrubber module.

1.2.1.4 Pressure differential across each scrubber module (inches of water column).

1.2.1.5 Unit load (MWe).

1.2.1.6 Inlet and outlet SO_2 concentration as determined by the monitor or missing data substitution procedures.

1.2.1.7 Percent solids in slurry for each scrubber module.

1.2.1.8 Any other parameters necessary to verify scrubber removal efficiency, if the Administrator determines the parameters above are not sufficient.

1.2.2 Parameters for Dry Flue Gas Desulfurization System

1.2.2.1 Number of scrubber modules in operation.

1.2.2.2 Atomizer slurry flow rate to each scrubber module (gal per min).

1.2.2.3 Inlet and outlet temperature for each scrubber module (°F).

1.2.2.4 Pressure differential across each scrubber module (inches of water column).

1.2.2.5 Unit load (MWe).

1.2.2.6 Inlet and outlet SO_2 concentration as determined by the monitor or missing data substitution procedures.

1.2.2.7 Any other parameters necessary to verify scrubber removal efficiency, if the Administrator determines the parameters above are not sufficient.

1.2.3 Parameters for Other Flue Gas Desulfurization Systems

If SO_2 control technologies other than wet or dry lime or limestone scrubbing are selected for flue gas desulfurization, a corresponding empirical correlation or process simulation parametric method using appropriate parameters may be developed by the owner or operator of the affected unit, and then reviewed and approved or modified by the Administrator on a case-by-case basis.

1.2.4 Parameters for Post-Combustion NO_X Emission Controls

1.2.4.1 Inlet air flow rate to the unit (boiler) (mcf/hr).

1.2.4.2 Excess oxygen concentration of flue gas at stack outlet (percent).

1.2.4.3 Carbon monoxide concentration of flue gas at stack outlet (ppm).

1.2.4.4 Temperature of flue gas at outlet of the unit (°F).

1.2.4.5 Inlet and outlet NO_X emission rate as determined by the NO_X continuous emission monitoring system or missing data substitution procedures.

1.2.4.6 Any other parameters specific to the emission reduction process necessary to verify the NO_X control removal efficiency, (e.g., reagent feedrate in gal/mi).

1.3 Correlation of Emissions With Parameters

Establish a method for correlating hourly averages of the parameters identified above with the percent removal efficiency of the SO_2 or post-combustion NO_X emission controls under varying unit operating loads. Equations 1–7 in §75.15 may be used to estimate the percent removal efficiency of the SO_2 emission controls on an hourly basis.

Each parametric data substitution procedure should develop a data correlation procedure to verify the performance of the SO_2 emission controls or post-combustion NO_X emission controls, along with the SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor and NO_X continuous emission monitoring system values for varying unit load ranges.

For NO_X emission rate data, and wherever the performance of the emission controls varies with the load, use the load range procedure provided in section 2.2 of this appendix.

1.4 Calculations

1.4.1 Use the following equation to calculate substitute data for filling in missing (outlet) SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor data.

$M_o = I_c (1–E)$

(Eq. C–1)

where,

M_o = Substitute data for outlet SO_2 concentration, ppm.

I_c = Recorded inlet SO_2 concentration, ppm.

E = Removal efficiency of SO_2 emission controls as determined by the correlation procedure described in section 1.3 of this appendix.

1.4.2 Use the following equation to calculate substitute data for filling in missing (outlet) NO_X emission rate data.

$M_o = I_c (1–E)$

(Eq. C–2)

where,

M_o = Substitute data for outlet NO_X emission rate, lb/mmBtu.

I_c = Recorded inlet NO_X emission rate, lb/mmBtu.

E = Removal efficiency of post-combustion NO_X emission controls determined by the correlation procedure described in section 1.3 of this appendix.

1.5 Missing Data

1.5.1 If both the inlet and the outlet SO_2 pollutant concentration monitors are unavailable simultaneously, use the maximum inlet SO_2 concentration recorded by the inlet SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor during the previous 720 quality-assured monitor operating hours to substitute for the inlet SO_2 concentration in equation C–1 of this appendix.

1.5.2 If both the inlet and outlet NO_X continuous emission monitoring systems are unavailable simultaneously, use the maximum inlet NO_X emission rate for the corresponding unit load recorded by the NO_X continuous emission monitoring system at the inlet during the previous 2160 quality-assured monitor operating hours to substitute for the inlet NO_X emission rate in equation C–2 of this appendix.

1.6 Application

Apply to the Administrator for approval and certification of the parametric substitution procedure for filling in missing SO_2 concentration or NO_X emission rate data using the established criteria and information identified above. DO not use this procedure until approved by the Administrator.

2. LOAD-BASED PROCEDURE FOR MISSING FLOW RATE, NO_X CONCENTRATION, AND NO_X EMISSION RATE DATA

2.1 Applicability

This procedure is applicable for data from all affected units for use in accordance with the provisions of this part to provide substitute data for volumetric flow rate (scfh), NO_X emission rate (in lb/mmBtu) from NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring systems, and NO_X concentration data (in ppm) from NOx concentration monitoring systems used to determine NO_X mass emissions.

2.2 Procedure

2.2.1 For a single unit, establish ten operating load ranges defined in terms of percent of the maximum hourly average gross load of the unit, in gross megawatts (MWge), as shown in Table C–1. (Do not use integrated hourly gross load in MW-hr.) For units sharing a common stack monitored with a single flow monitor, the load ranges for flow (but not for NO_X) may be broken down into 20 operating load ranges in increments of 5.0 percent of the combined maximum hourly average gross load of all units utilizing the common stack. If this option is selected, the twentieth (uppermost) operating load range shall include all values greater than 95.0 percent of the maximum hourly average gross load. For a cogenerating unit or other unit at which some portion of the heat input is not used to produce electricity or for a unit for which hourly average gross load in MWge is not recorded separately, use the hourly gross steam load of the unit, in pounds of steam per hour at the measured temperature (°F) and pressure (psia) instead of MWge. Indicate a change in the number of load ranges or the units of loads to be used in the precertification section of the monitoring plan.

TABLE C–1—DEFINITION OF OPERATING LOAD RANGES FOR LOAD-BASED SUBSTITUTION DATA PROCEDURES

Operating load range	Percent of maximum hourly gross load or maximum hourly gross steam load (percent)
1	0–10
2	>10–20
3	>20–30
4	>30–40
5	>40–50
6	>50–60
7	>60–70
8	>70–80
9	>80–90
10	>90

2.2.2 Beginning with the first hour of unit operation after installation and certification of the flow monitor or the NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system (or a NO_X concentration monitoring system used to determine NO_X mass emissions, as defined in §75.71(a)(2)), for each hour of unit operation record a number, 1 through 10, (or 1 through 20 for flow at common stacks) that identifies the operating load range corresponding to the integrated hourly gross load of the unit(s) recorded for each unit operating hour.

2.2.3 Beginning with the first hour of unit operation after installation and certification of the flow monitor or the NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system (or a NO_X concentration monitoring system used to determine NO_X mass emissions, as defined in §75.71(a)(2)) and continuing thereafter, the data acquisition and handling system must be capable of calculating and recording the following information for each unit operating hour of missing flow or NO_X data within each identified load range during the shorter of: (a) the previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours (on a rolling basis), or (b) all previous quality-assured monitor operating hours.

2.2.3.1 Average of the hourly flow rates reported by a flow monitor, in scfh.

2.2.3.2 The 90th percentile value of hourly flow rates, in scfh.

2.2.3.3 The 95th percentile value of hourly flow rates, in scfh.

2.2.3.4 The maximum value of hourly flow rates, in scfh.

2.2.3.5 Average of the hourly NO_X emission rate, in lb/mmBtu, reported by a NO_X continuous emission monitoring system.

2.2.3.6 The 90th percentile value of hourly NO_X emission rates, in lb/mmBtu.

2.2.3.7 The 95th percentile value of hourly NO_X emission rates, in lb/mmBtu.

2.2.3.8 The maximum value of hourly NO_X emission rates, in lb/mmBtu.

2.2.3.9 Average of the hourly NO_X pollutant concentrations, in ppm, reported by a NO_X concentration monitoring system used to determine NO_X mass emissions, as defined in §75.71(a)(2).

2.2.3.10 The 90th percentile value of hourly NO_X pollutant concentration, in ppm.

2.2.3.11 The 95th percentile value of hourly NO_X pollutant concentration, in ppm.

2.2.3.12 The maximum value of hourly NO_X pollutant concentration, in ppm.

2.2.4 Calculate all monitor or continuous emission monitoring system data averages, maximum values, and percentile values determined by this procedure using bias adjusted values in the load ranges.

2.2.5 When a bias adjustment is necessary for the flow monitor and/or the NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system (and/or the NO_X concentration monitoring system used to determine NO_X mass emissions, as defined in §75.71(a)(2)), apply the adjustment factor to all monitor or continuous emission monitoring system data values placed in the load ranges.

2.2.6 Use the calculated monitor or monitoring system data averages, maximum values, and percentile values to substitute for missing flow rate and NO_X emission rate data (and where applicable, NO_X concentration data) according to the procedures in subpart D of this part.

3. NON-LOAD-BASED PROCEDURE FOR MISSING FLOW RATE, NO_X CONCENTRATION, AND NO_X EMISSION RATE DATA (OPTIONAL)

3.1 Applicability

For affected units that do not produce electrical output in megawatts or thermal output in klb/hr of steam, this procedure may be used in accordance with the provisions of this part to provide substitute data for volumetric flow rate (scfh), NO_X emission rate (in lb/mmBtu) from NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring systems, and NO_X concentration data (in ppm) from NO_X concentration monitoring systems used to determine NO_X mass emissions.

3.2 Procedure

3.2.1 For each monitored parameter (flow rate, NO_X emission rate, or NO_X concentration), establish at least two, but no more than ten operational bins, corresponding to various operating conditions and parameters (or combinations of these) that affect volumetric flow rate or NO_X emissions. Include a complete description of each operational bin in the hardcopy portion of the monitoring plan required under §75.53(e)(2), identifying the unique combination of parameters and operating conditions associated with the bin and explaining the relationship between these parameters and conditions and the magnitude of the stack gas flow rate or NO_X emissions. Assign a unique number, 1

through 10, to each operational bin. Examples of conditions and parameters that may be used to define operational bins include unit heat input, type of fuel combusted, specific stages of an industrial process, or (for common stacks), the particular combination of units that are in operation.

3.2.2 In the electronic quarterly report required under §75.64, indicate for each hour of unit operation the operational bin associated with the NO_X or flow rate data, by recording the number assigned to the bin under section 3.2.1 of this appendix.

3.2.3 The data acquisition and handling system must be capable of properly identifying and recording the operational bin number for each unit operating hour. The DAHS must also be capable of calculating and recording the following information (as applicable) for each unit operating hour of missing flow or NO_X data within each identified operational bin during the shorter of:

(a) The previous 2,160 quality-assured monitor operating hours (on a rolling basis), or

(b) All previous quality-assured monitor operating hours in the previous 3 years:

3.2.3.1 Average of the hourly flow rates reported by a flow monitor (scfh).

3.2.3.2 The 90th percentile value of hourly flow rates (scfh).

3.2.3.3 The 95th percentile value of hourly flow rates (scfh).

3.2.3.4 The maximum value of hourly flow rates (scfh).

3.2.3.5 Average of the hourly NO_X emission rates, in lb/mmBtu, reported by a NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system.

3.2.3.6 The 90th percentile value of hourly NO_X emission rates (lb/mmBtu).

3.2.3.7 The 95th percentile value of hourly NO_X emission rates (lb/mmBtu).

3.2.3.8 The maximum value of hourly NO_X emission rates, in (lb/mmBtu).

3.2.3.9 Average of the hourly NO_X pollutant concentrations (ppm), reported by a NO_X concentration monitoring system used to determine NO_X mass emissions, as defined in §75.71(a)(2).

3.2.3.10 The 90th percentile value of hourly NO_X pollutant concentration (ppm).

3.2.3.11 The 95th percentile value of hourly NO_X pollutant concentration (ppm).

3.2.3.12 The maximum value of hourly NO_X pollutant concentration (ppm).

3.2.4 When a bias adjustment is necessary for the flow monitor and/or the NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system (and/or the NO_X concentration monitoring system), apply the bias adjustment factor to all data values placed in the operational bins.

3.2.5 Calculate all CEMS data averages, maximum values, and percentile values determined by this procedure using bias-adjusted values.

3.2.6 Use the calculated monitor or monitoring system data averages, maximum values, and percentile values to substitute for missing flow rate and NO_X emission rate data (and where applicable, NO_X concentration data) according to the procedures in subpart D of this part.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26547, 26548, May 17, 1995; 63 FR 57313, Oct. 27, 1998; 64 FR 28652, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40459, June 12, 2002]

APPENDIX D TO PART 75—OPTIONAL SO_2 EMISSIONS DATA PROTOCOL FOR GAS-FIRED AND OIL-FIRED UNITS

1. APPLICABILITY

1.1 This protocol may be used in lieu of continuous SO_2 pollutant concentration and flow monitors for the purpose of determining hourly SO_2 mass emissions and heat input from: gas-fired units, as defined in §72.2 of this chapter, or oil-fired units, as defined in §72.2 of this chapter. Section 2.1 of this appendix provides procedures for measuring oil or gaseous fuel flow using a fuel flowmeter, section 2.2 of this appendix provides procedures for conducting oil sampling and analysis to determine sulfur content and gross calorific value (GCV) of fuel oil, and section 2.3 of this appendix provides procedures for determining the sulfur content and GCV of gaseous fuels.

1.2 Pursuant to the procedures in §75.20, complete all testing requirements to certify use of this protocol in lieu of a flow monitor and an SO_2 continuous emission monitoring system. Complete all testing requirements no later than the applicable deadline specified in §75.4. Apply to the Administrator for initial certification to use this protocol no later than 45 days after the completion of all certification tests.

2. PROCEDURE

2.1 Fuel Flowmeter Measurements

For each hour when the unit is combusting fuel, measure and record the flow rate of fuel combusted by the unit, except as provided in section 2.1.4 of this appendix. Measure the flow rate of fuel with an in-line fuel flowmeter, and automatically record the data with a data acquisition and handling system, except as provided in section 2.1.4 of this appendix.

2.1.1 Measure the flow rate of each fuel entering and being combusted by the unit. If, on an annual basis, more than 5.0 percent of the fuel from the main pipe is diverted from the unit without being burned and that diversion occurs downstream of the fuel flowmeter, an additional in-line fuel flowmeter is required to account for the unburned fuel. In this case, record the flow rate of each fuel

combusted by the unit as the difference between the flow measured in the pipe leading to the unit and the flow in the pipe diverting fuel away from the unit. However, the additional fuel flowmeter is not required if, on an annual basis, the total amount of fuel diverted away from the unit, expressed as a percentage of the total annual fuel usage by the unit is demonstrated to be less than or equal to 5.0 percent. The owner or operator may make this demonstration in the following manner:

2.1.1.1 For existing units with fuel usage data from fuel flowmeters, if data are submitted from a previous year demonstrating that the total diverted yearly fuel does not exceed 5% of the total fuel used; or

2.1.1.2 For new units which do not have historical data, if a letter is submitted signed by the designated representative certifying that, in the future, the diverted fuel will not exceed 5.0% of the total annual fuel usage; or

2.1.1.3 By using a method approved by the Administrator under § 75.66(d).

2.1.2 Install and use fuel flowmeters meeting the requirements of this appendix in a pipe going to each unit, or install and use a fuel flowmeter in a common pipe header (as defined in § 72.2). However, the use of a fuel flowmeter in a common pipe header and the provisions of sections 2.1.2.1 and 2.1.2.2 of this appendix shall not apply to any unit that is using the provisions of subpart H of this part to monitor, record, and report NO_X mass emissions under a State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program, unless both of the following are true: all of the units served by the common pipe are affected units, and all of the units have similar efficiencies. When a fuel flowmeter is installed in a common pipe header, proceed as follows:

2.1.2.1 Measure the fuel flow rate in the common pipe, and combine SO_2 mass emissions (Acid Rain Program units only) for the affected units for recordkeeping and compliance purposes; and

2.1.2.2 Apportion the heat input rate measured at the common pipe to the individual units, using Equation F–21a, F–21b, or F–21d in appendix F to this part.

2.1.3 For a gas-fired unit or an oil-fired unit that continuously or frequently combusts a supplemental fuel for flame stabilization or safety purposes, measure the flow rate of the supplemental fuel with a fuel flowmeter meeting the requirements of this appendix.

2.1.4 Situations in Which Certified Flowmeter is Not Required

2.1.4.1 Start-up or Ignition Fuel

For an oil-fired unit that uses gas solely for start-up or burner ignition, a gas-fired unit that uses oil solely for start-up or burner ignition, or an oil-fired unit that uses a different grade of oil solely for start-up or burner ignition, a fuel flowmeter for the start-up fuel is permitted but not required. Estimate the volume of oil combusted for each start-up or ignition either by using a fuel flowmeter or by using the dimensions of the storage container and measuring the depth of the fuel in the storage container before and after each start-up or ignition. A fuel flowmeter used solely for start-up or ignition fuel is not subject to the calibration requirements of sections 2.1.5 and 2.1.6 of this appendix. Gas combusted solely for start-up or burner ignition does not need to be measured separately.

2.1.4.2 Gas or Oil Flowmeter Used for Commercial Billing

A gas or oil flowmeter used for commercial billing of natural gas or oil may be used to measure, record, and report hourly fuel flow rate. A gas or oil flowmeter used for commercial billing of natural gas or oil is not required to meet the certification requirements of section 2.1.5 of this appendix or the quality assurance requirements of section 2.1.6 of this appendix under the following circumstances:

(a) The gas or oil flowmeter is used for commercial billing under a contract, provided that the company providing the gas or oil under the contract and each unit combusting the gas or oil do not have any common owners and are not owned by subsidiaries or affiliates of the same company;

(b) The designated representative reports hourly records of gas or oil flow rate, heat input rate, and emissions due to combustion of natural gas or oil;

(c) The designated representative also reports hourly records of heat input rate for each unit, if the gas or oil flowmeter is on a common pipe header, consistent with section 2.1.2 of this appendix;

(d) The designated representative reports hourly records directly from the gas or oil flowmeter used for commercial billing if these records are the values used, without adjustment, for commercial billing, or reports hourly records using the missing data procedures of section 2.4 of this appendix if these records are not the values used, without adjustment, for commercial billing; and

(e) The designated representative identifies the gas or oil flowmeter in the unit's monitoring plan.

2.1.4.3 Emergency Fuel

The designated representative of a unit that is restricted by its Federal, State or local permit to combusting a particular fuel only during emergencies where the primary fuel is not available is exempt from certifying a fuel flowmeter for use during combustion of the emergency fuel. During any

hour in which the emergency fuel is combusted, report the hourly heat input to be the maximum rated heat input of the unit for the fuel. Use the maximum potential sulfur content for the fuel (from Table D–6 of this appendix) and the fuel flow rate corresponding to the maximum hourly heat input to calculate the hourly SO_2 mass emission rate, using Equations D–2 through D–4 (as applicable). Alternatively, if a certified fuel flowmeter is available for the emergency fuel, you may use the measured hourly fuel flow rates in the calculations. Also, if daily samples or weekly composite samples (fuel oil, only) of the fuel's total sulfur content, GCV, and (if applicable) density are taken during the combustion of the emergency fuel, as described in section 2.2 or 2.3 of this appendix, the sample results may be used to calculate the hourly SO_2 emissions and heat input rates, in lieu of using maximum potential values. The designated representative shall also provide notice under §75.61(a)(6) for each period when the emergency fuel is combusted.

2.1.5 Initial Certification Requirement for all Fuel Flowmeters

For the purposes of initial certification, each fuel flowmeter used to meet the requirements of this protocol shall meet a flowmeter accuracy of 2.0 percent of the upper range value (i.e. maximum fuel flow rate measurable by the flowmeter) across the range of fuel flow rate to be measured at the unit. Flowmeter accuracy may be determined under section 2.1.5.1 of this appendix for initial certification in any of the following ways (as applicable): by design (orifice, nozzle, and venturi-type flowmeters, only) or by measurement under laboratory conditions; by the manufacturer; by an independent laboratory; or by the owner or operator. Flowmeter accuracy may also be determined under section 2.1.5.2 of this appendix by in-line comparison against a reference flowmeter.

2.1.5.1 Use the procedures in the following standards to verify flowmeter accuracy or design, as appropriate to the type of flowmeter: ASME MFC–3M–2004, Measurement of Fluid Flow in Pipes Using Orifice, Nozzle, and Venturi; ASME MFC–4M–1986 (Reaffirmed 1997), Measurement of Gas Flow by Turbine Meters; American Gas Association Report No. 3, Orifice Metering of Natural Gas and Other Related Hydrocarbon Fluids Part 1: General Equations and Uncertainty Guidelines (October 1990 Edition), Part 2: Specification and Installation Requirements (February 1991 Edition), and Part 3: Natural Gas Applications (August 1992 edition) (excluding the modified flow-calculation method in part 3); Section 8, Calibration from American Gas Association Transmission Measurement Committee Report No. 7: Measurement of Gas by Turbine Meters (Second Revision, April 1996); ASME–MFC–5M–1985 (Reaffirmed 1994), Measurement of Liquid Flow in Closed Conduits Using Transit-Time Ultrasonic Flowmeters; ASME MFC–6M–1998, Measurement of Fluid Flow in Pipes Using Vortex Flowmeters; ASME MFC–7M–1987 (Reaffirmed 1992), Measurement of Gas Flow by Means of Critical Flow Venturi Nozzles; ISO 8316: 1987(E) Measurement of Liquid Flow in Closed Conduits—Method by Collection of the Liquid in a Volumetric Tank; American Petroleum Institute (API) Manual of Petroleum Measurement Standards, Chapter 4—Proving Systems, Section 2—Pipe Provers (Provers Accumulating at Least 10,000 Pulses), Second Edition, March 2001, Section 3—Small Volume Provers, First Edition, July 1988, Reaffirmed October 1993, and Section 5—Master-Meter Provers, Second Edition, May 2000; American Petroleum Institute (API) Manual of Petroleum Measurement Standards, Chapter 22—Testing Protocol, Section 2—Differential Pressure Flow Measurement Devices, First Edition, August 2005; or ASME MFC–9M–1988 (Reaffirmed 2001), Measurement of Liquid Flow in Closed Conduits by Weighing Method, for all other flowmeter types (all incorporated by reference under §75.6 of this part). The Administrator may also approve other procedures that use equipment traceable to National Institute of Standards and Technology standards. Document such procedures, the equipment used, and the accuracy of the procedures in the monitoring plan for the unit, and submit a petition signed by the designated representative under §75.66(c). If the flowmeter accuracy exceeds 2.0 percent of the upper range value, the flowmeter does not qualify for use under this part.

2.1.5.2 (a) Alternatively, determine the flowmeter accuracy of a fuel flowmeter used for the purposes of this part by comparing it to the measured flow from a reference flowmeter which has been either designed according to the specifications of American Gas Association Report No. 3 or ASME MFC–3M–1989, as cited in section 2.1.5.1 of this appendix, or tested for accuracy during the previous 365 days, using a standard listed in section 2.1.5.1 of this appendix or other procedure approved by the Administrator under §75.66 (all standards incorporated by reference under §75.6). Any secondary elements, such as pressure and temperature transmitters, must be calibrated immediately prior to the comparison. Perform the comparison over a period of no more than seven consecutive unit operating days. Compare the average of three fuel flow rate readings over 20 minutes or longer for each meter at each of three different flow rate levels. The three flow rate levels shall correspond to:

(1) Normal full unit operating load,

(2) Normal minimum unit operating load,

(3) A load point approximately equally spaced between the full and minimum unit operating loads, and

(b) Calculate the flowmeter accuracy at each of the three flow levels using the following equation:

$$ACC = \frac{|R - A|}{URV} \times 100 \qquad \text{(Eq. D-1)}$$

Where:

ACC = Flowmeter accuracy at a particular load level, as a percentage of the upper range value.

R = Average of the three flow measurements of the reference flowmeter.

A = Average of the three measurements of the flowmeter being tested.

URV = Upper range value of fuel flowmeter being tested (i.e. maximum measurable flow).

(c) Notwithstanding the requirement for calibration of the reference flowmeter within 365 days prior to an accuracy test, when an in-place reference meter or prover is used for quality assurance under section 2.1.6 of this appendix, the reference meter calibration requirement may be waived if, during the previous in-place accuracy test with that reference meter, the reference flowmeter and the flowmeter being tested agreed to within ±1.0 percent of each other at all levels tested. This exception to calibration and flowmeter accuracy testing requirements for the reference flowmeter shall apply for periods of no longer than five consecutive years (i.e., 20 consecutive calendar quarters).

2.1.5.3 If the flowmeter accuracy exceeds the specification in section 2.1.5 of this appendix, the flowmeter does not qualify for use for this appendix. Either recalibrate the flowmeter until the flowmeter accuracy is within the performance specification, or replace the flowmeter with another one that is demonstrated to meet the performance specification. Substitute for fuel flow rate using the missing data procedures in section 2.4.2 of this appendix until quality-assured fuel flow data become available.

2.1.5.4 For purposes of initial certification, when a flowmeter is tested against a reference fuel flow rate (i.e., fuel flow rate from another fuel flowmeter under section 2.1.5.2 of this appendix or flow rate from a procedure performed according to a standard incorporated by reference under section 2.1.5.1 of this appendix), report the results of flowmeter accuracy tests in a manner consistent with Table D–1.

TABLE D–1—TABLE OF FLOWMETER ACCURACY RESULTS

Test number:_____ Test completion date [1]:_____ Test completion time [1]:_____
Reinstallation date [2] (for testing under 2.1.5.1 only):_____ Reinstallation time [2]:_____
Unit or pipe ID: Component/System ID:
Flowmeter serial number: Upper range value:
Units of measure for flowmeter and reference flow readings:

Measurement level (percent of URV)	Run No.	Time of run (HHMM)	Candidate flowmeter reading	Reference flow reading	Percent accuracy (percent of URV)
Low (Minimum) level	1				
___ percent [3] of URV	2				
	3				
	Average				
Mid-level	1				
___ percent [3] of URV	2				
	3				
	Average				
High (Maximum) level	1				
___ percent [3] of URV	2				
	3				
	Average				

[1] Report the date, hour, and minute that all test runs were completed.
[2] For laboratory tests not performed inline, report the date and hour that the fuel flowmeter was reinstalled following the test.
[3] It is required to test at least at three different levels: (1) normal full unit operating load, (2) normal minimum unit operating load, and (3) a load point approximately equally spaced between the full and minimum unit operating loads.

2.1.6 Quality Assurance

(a) Test the accuracy of each fuel flowmeter prior to use under this part and at least once every four fuel flowmeter QA operating quarters, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter, thereafter. Notwithstanding these requirements, no more than 20 successive calendar quarters shall elapse after the quarter in which a fuel flowmeter was last tested for accuracy without a subsequent flowmeter accuracy test having been conducted. Test

the flowmeter accuracy more frequently if required by manufacturer specifications.

(b) Except for orifice-, nozzle-, and venturi-type flowmeters, perform the required flowmeter accuracy testing using the procedures in either section 2.1.5.1 or section 2.1.5.2 of this appendix. Each fuel flowmeter must meet the accuracy specification in section 2.1.5 of this appendix.

(c) For orifice-, nozzle-, and venturi-type flowmeters, either perform the required flowmeter accuracy testing using the procedures in section 2.1.5.2 of this appendix or perform a transmitter accuracy test for the initial certification and once every four fuel flowmeter QA operating quarters thereafter. Perform a primary element visual inspection for the initial certification and once every 12 calendar quarters thereafter, according to the procedures in sections 2.1.6.1 through 2.1.6.4 of this appendix for periodic quality assurance.

(d) Notwithstanding the requirements of this section, if the procedures of section 2.1.7 (fuel flow-to-load test) of this appendix are performed during each fuel flowmeter QA operating quarter, subsequent to a required flowmeter accuracy test or (if applicable) transmitter accuracy test and primary element inspection, those procedures may be used to meet the requirement for periodic quality assurance testing for a period of up to 20 calendar quarters from the previous accuracy test or (if applicable) transmitter accuracy test and primary element inspection.

(e) When accuracy testing of the orifice, nozzle, or venturi meter is performed according to section 2.1.5.2 of this appendix, record the information displayed in Table D–1 in this section. At a minimum, record the overall accuracy results for the fuel flowmeter at the three flow rate levels specified in section 2.1.5.2 of this appendix.

(f) Report the results of all fuel flowmeter accuracy tests, transmitter or transducer accuracy tests, and primary element inspections, as applicable, in the emissions report for the quarter in which the quality assurance tests are performed, using the electronic format specified by the Administrator under §75.64.

2.1.6.1 Transmitter or Transducer Accuracy Test for Orifice-, Nozzle-, and Venturi-Type Flowmeters

(a) Calibrate the differential pressure transmitter or transducer, static pressure transmitter or transducer, and temperature transmitter or transducer, as applicable, using equipment that has a current certificate of traceability to NIST standards. Check the calibration of each transmitter or transducer by comparing its readings to that of the NIST traceable equipment at least once at each of the following levels: the zero-level and at least two other upscale levels (e.g., ''mid'' and ''high''), such that the full range of transmitter or transducer readings corresponding to normal unit operation is represented. For temperature transmitters, the zero and upscale levels may correspond to fixed reference points, such as the freezing point or boiling point of water.

(b) Calculate the accuracy of each transmitter or transducer at each level tested, using the following equation:

$$ACC = \frac{|R - T|}{FS} \times 100 \qquad \text{(Eq. D-1a)}$$

Where:

ACC = Accuracy of the transmitter or transducer as a percentage of full-scale.

R = Reading of the NIST traceable reference value (in milliamperes, inches of water, psi, or degrees).

T = Reading of the transmitter or transducer being tested (in milliamperes, inches of water, psi, or degrees, consistent with the units of measure of the NIST traceable reference value).

FS = Full-scale range of the transmitter or transducer being tested (in milliamperes, inches of water, psi, or degrees, consistent with the units of measure of the NIST traceable reference value).

(c) If each transmitter or transducer meets an accuracy of 1.0 percent of its full-scale range at each level tested, the fuel flowmeter accuracy of 2.0 percent is considered to be met at all levels. If, however, one or more of the transmitters or transducers does not meet an accuracy of 1.0 percent of full-scale at a particular level, then the owner or operator may demonstrate that the fuel flowmeter meets the total accuracy specification of 2.0 percent at that level by using one of the following alternative methods. If, at a particular level, the sum of the individual accuracies of the three transducers is less than or equal to 4.0 percent, the fuel flowmeter accuracy specification of 2.0 percent is considered to be met for that level. Or, if at a particular level, the total fuel flowmeter accuracy is 2.0 percent or less, when calculated in accordance with Part 1 of American Gas Association Report No. 3, General Equations and Uncertainty Guidelines, the flowmeter accuracy requirement is considered to be met for that level.

2.1.6.2 Recordkeeping for Transmitter or Transducer Accuracy Results

(a) Record the accuracy of the orifice, nozzle, or venturi meter or its individual transmitters or transducers and keep this information in a file at the site or other location suitable for inspection.

TABLE D–2—TABLE OF FLOWMETER TRANSMITTER OR TRANSDUCER ACCURACY RESULTS

Test number:_____ Test completion date: _____ Unit or pipe ID: _____
Flowmeter serial number: Component/System ID:
Full-scale value: Units of measure:[3]
Transducer/Transmitter Type (check one):
____ Differential Pressure
____ Static Pressure
____ Temperature

Measurement level (percent of full-scale)	Run number (if multiple runs)[2]	Run time (HHMM)	Transmitter/ transducer input (pre-calibration)	Expected transmitter/ transducer output (reference)	Actual transmitter/ transducer output[3]	Percent accuracy (percent of full-scale)
Low (Minimum) level						
____ percent[1] of full-scale	.....					
Mid-level						
____ percent[1] of full-scale	.....					
(If tested at more than 3 levels)						
2nd Mid-level						
____ percent[1] of full-scale	.....					
(If tested at more than 3 levels)						
3rd Mid-level						
____ percent[1] of full-scale	.....					
High (Maximum) level						
____ percent[1] of full-scale	.....					

[1] At a minimum, it is required to test at zero-level and at least two other levels across the range of the transmitter or transducer readings corresponding to normal unit operation.
[2] It is required to test at least once at each level.
[3] Use the same units of measure for all readings (e.g., use degrees (°), inches of water (in H_2O), pounds per square inch (psi), or milliamperes (ma) for both transmitter or transducer readings and reference readings).

(b)–(c) [Reserved]

2.1.6.3 Failure of Transducer(s) or Transmitter(s)

If, during a transmitter or transducer accuracy test conducted according to section 2.1.6.1 of this appendix, the flowmeter accuracy specification of 2.0 percent is not met at any of the levels tested, repair or replace transmitter(s) or transducer(s) as necessary until the flowmeter accuracy specification has been achieved at all levels. (Note that only transmitters or transducers which are repaired or replaced need to be re-tested; however, the re-testing is required at all three measurement levels, to ensure that the flowmeter accuracy specification is met at each level). The fuel flowmeter is ''out-of-control'' and data from the flowmeter are considered invalid, beginning with the date and hour of the failed accuracy test and continuing until the date and hour of completion of a successful transmitter or transducer accuracy test at all levels. In addition, if, during normal operation of the fuel flowmeter, one or more transmitters or transducers malfunction, data from the fuel flowmeter shall be considered invalid from the hour of the transmitter or transducer failure until the hour of completion of a successful 3-level transmitter or transducer accuracy test. During fuel flowmeter out-of-control periods, provide data from another fuel flowmeter that meets the requirements of §75.20(d) and section 2.1.5 of this appendix, or substitute for fuel flow rate using the missing data procedures in section 2.4.2 of this appendix. Record and report test data and results, consistent with sections 2.1.6.1 and 2.1.6.2 of this appendix and §75.59.

2.1.6.4 Primary Element Inspection

(a) Conduct a visual inspection of the orifice, nozzle, or venturi meter at least once every twelve calendar quarters. Notwithstanding this requirement, the procedures of section 2.1.7 of this appendix may be used to reduce the inspection frequency of the orifice, nozzle, or venturi meter to at least once every twenty calendar quarters. The inspection may be performed using a baroscope. If the visual inspection is failed (if the orifice, nozzle, or venturi meter has become damaged or corroded), then:

(1) Replace the primary element with another primary element meeting the requirements of American Gas Association Report No. 3 or ASME MFC–3M–1989, as cited in section 2.1.5.1 of this appendix (both standards incorporated by reference under §75.6). If the primary element size is changed, also calibrate the transmitters or transducers, consistent with the new primary element size;

(2) Replace the primary element with another primary element, and demonstrate that the overall flowmeter accuracy meets the accuracy specification in section 2.1.5 of

this appendix, using the procedures of section 2.1.5.2 of this appendix; or

(3) Restore the damaged or corroded primary element to ''as new'' condition; determine the overall accuracy of the flowmeter, using either the specifications of American Gas Association Report No. 3 or ASME MFC–3M–1989, as cited in section 2.1.5.1 of this appendix (both standards incorporated by reference under §75.6); and retest the transmitters or transducers prior to providing quality-assured data from the flowmeter.

(b) Data from the fuel flowmeter are considered invalid, beginning with the date and hour of a failed visual inspection and continuing until the date and hour when:

(1) The damaged or corroded primary element is replaced with another primary element meeting the requirements of American Gas Association Report No. 3 or ASME MFC–3M–1989, as cited in section 2.1.5.1 of this appendix (both standards incorporated by reference under §75.6) and, if applicable, the transmitters have been successfully recalibrated;

(2) The damaged or corroded primary element is replaced, and the overall accuracy of the flowmeter is demonstrated to meet the accuracy specification in section 2.1.5 of this appendix, using the procedures of section 2.1.5.2 of this appendix; or

(3) The restored primary element is installed to meet the requirements of American Gas Association Report No. 3 or ASME MFC–3M–1989, as cited in section 2.1.5.1 of this appendix (both standards incorporated by reference under §75.6) and its transmitters or transducers are retested to meet the accuracy specification in section 2.1.6.1 of this appendix.

(c) During each period of invalid fuel flowmeter data described in paragraph (b) of this section, provide data from another fuel flowmeter that meets the requirements of §75.20(d) and section 2.1.5 of this appendix, or substitute for fuel flow rate using the missing data procedures in section 2.4.2 of this appendix.

2.1.7 Fuel Flow-to-Load Quality Assurance Testing for Certified Fuel Flowmeters

The procedures of this section may be used as an optional supplement to the quality assurance procedures in section 2.1.5.1, 2.1.5.2, 2.1.6.1, or 2.1.6.4 of this appendix when conducting periodic quality assurance testing of a certified fuel flowmeter. Note, however, that these procedures may not be used unless the 168-hour baseline data requirement of section 2.1.7.1 of this appendix has been met. If, following a flowmeter accuracy test or (if applicable) a flowmeter transmitter test and primary element inspection, the procedures of this section are performed during each subsequent fuel flowmeter QA operating quarter, as defined in §72.2 of this chapter (excluding the quarter(s) in which the baseline data are collected), then these procedures may be used to meet the requirement for periodic quality assurance for a period of up to 20 calendar quarters from the previous periodic quality assurance procedure(s) performed according to sections 2.1.5.1, 2.1.5.2, or 2.1.6.1 through 2.1.6.4 of this appendix. The procedures of this section are not required for any quarter in which a flowmeter accuracy test or (if applicable) a transmitter accuracy test and a primary element inspection, are conducted. Notwithstanding the requirements of §75.57(a), when using the procedures of this section, keep records of the test data and results from the previous flowmeter accuracy test under section 2.1.5.1 or 2.1.5.2 of this appendix, records of the test data and results from the previous transmitter or transducer accuracy test under section 2.1.6.1 of this appendix for orifice-, nozzle-, and venturi-type fuel flowmeters, and records of the previous visual inspection of the primary element required under section 2.1.6.4 of this appendix for orifice-, nozzle-, and venturi-type fuel flowmeters until the next flowmeter accuracy test, transmitter accuracy test, or visual inspection is performed, even if the previous flowmeter accuracy test, transmitter accuracy test, or visual inspection was performed more than three years previously.

2.1.7.1 Baseline Flow Rate-to-Load Ratio or Heat Input-to-Load Ratio

(a) Determine R_{base}, the baseline value of the ratio of fuel flow rate to unit load, following each successful periodic quality assurance procedure performed according to sections 2.1.5.1, 2.1.5.2, or 2.1.6.1 and 2.1.6.4 of this appendix. Establish a baseline period of data consisting, at a minimum, of 168 hours of quality-assured fuel flowmeter data. Baseline data collection shall begin with the first hour of fuel flowmeter operation following completion of the most recent quality assurance procedure(s), during which only the fuel measured by the fuel flowmeter is combusted (e.g., only gas, only residual oil, or only diesel fuel is combusted by the unit). During the baseline data collection period, the owner or operator may exclude as non-representative any hour in which the unit is ''ramping'' up or down, (i.e., the load during the hour differs by more than 15.0 percent from the load in the previous or subsequent hour) and may exclude any hour in which the unit load is in the lower 25.0 percent of the range of operation, as defined in section 6.5.2.1 of appendix A to this part (unless operation in this lower 25.0 percent of the range is considered normal for the unit). The baseline data must be obtained no later than the end of the fourth calendar quarter following the calendar quarter of the most recent quality assurance procedure for that fuel flowmeter. For orifice-, nozzle-, and venturi-type

fuel flowmeters, if the fuel flow-to-load ratio is to be used as a supplement both to the transmitter accuracy test under section 2.1.6.1 of this appendix and to primary element inspections under section 2.1.6.4 of this appendix, then the baseline data must be obtained after both procedures are completed and no later than the end of the fourth calendar quarter following the calendar quarter in which both procedures were completed. From these 168 (or more) hours of baseline data, calculate the baseline fuel flow rate-to-load ratio as follows:

$$R_{base} = \frac{Q_{base}}{L_{avg}} \qquad \text{(Eq. D-1b)}$$

where:

R_{base} = Value of the fuel flow rate-to-load ratio during the baseline period; 100 scfh/MWe, 100 scfh/klb per hour steam load, or 100 scfh/mmBtu per hour thermal output for gas-firing; (lb/hr)/MWe, (lb/hr)/klb per hour steam load, or (lb/hr)/mmBtu per hour thermal output for oil-firing.

Q_{base} = Arithmetic average fuel flow rate measured by the fuel flowmeter during the baseline period, 100 scfh for gas-firing and lb/hr for oil-firing.

L_{avg} = Arithmetic average unit load during the baseline period, megawatts, 1000 lb/hr of steam, or mmBtu/hr thermal output.

(b) In Equation D–1b, for a fuel flowmeter installed on a common pipe header, L_{avg} is the sum of the operating loads of all units that received fuel through the common pipe header during the baseline period, divided by the total number of hours of fuel flow rate data collected during the baseline period. For a unit that receives the same type of fuel through multiple pipes, Q_{base} is the sum of the fuel flow rates during the baseline period from all of the pipes, divided by the total number of hours of fuel flow rate data collected during the baseline period. Round off the value of R_{base} to the nearest tenth.

(c) Alternatively, a baseline value of the gross heat rate (GHR) may be determined in lieu of R_{base}. The baseline value of the GHR, GHR_{base}, shall be determined as follows:

$$(GHR)_{base} = \frac{(\text{Heat Input})_{avg}}{L_{avg}} \times 1000 \qquad \text{(Eq. D-1c)}$$

Where:

$(GHR)_{base}$ = Baseline value of the gross heat rate during the baseline period, Btu/kwh, Btu/lb steam load, or 1000mmBtu heat input/mmBtu thermal output.

$(\text{Heat Input})_{avg}$ = Average (mean) hourly heat input rate recorded by the fuel flowmeter during the baseline period, as determined using the average fuel flow rate and the fuel GCV in the applicable equation in appendix F to this part, mmBtu/hr.

L_{avg} = Average (mean) unit load during the baseline period, megawatts, 1000 lb/hr of steam, or mmBtu/hr thermal output.

(d) Report the current value of R_{base} (or GHR_{base}) and the completion date of the associated quality assurance procedure in each electronic quarterly report required under § 75.64.

(e) If a unit co-fires different fuels (*e.g.*, oil and natural gas) as its normal mode of operation, the gross heat rate option in paragraph (c) of this section may be used to determine a value of $(GHR)_{base}$, as follows. Derive the baseline data during co-fired hours. Then, use Equation D–1c to calculate $(GHR)_{base}$, making sure that each hourly unit heat input rate used to calculate $(\text{Heat Input})_{avg}$ includes the contribution of each type of fuel.

2.1.7.2 Data Preparation and Analysis

(a) Evaluate the fuel flow rate-to-load ratio (or GHR) for each fuel flowmeter QA operating quarter, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter. At the end of each fuel flowmeter QA operating quarter, use Equation D–1d in this appendix to calculate R_h, the hourly fuel flow-to-load ratio, for every quality-assured hourly average fuel flow rate obtained with a certified fuel flowmeter. Alternatively, the owner or operator may exclude non-representative hours from the data analysis, as described in section 2.1.7.3 of this appendix, prior to calculating the values of R_h.

$$R_h = \frac{Q_h}{L_h} \qquad \text{(Eq. D-1d)}$$

where:

R_h = Hourly value of the fuel flow rate-to-load ratio; 100 scfh/MWe, (lb/hr)/MWe, 100 scfh/1000 lb/hr of steam load, (lb/hr)/1000 lb/hr of steam load, 100 scfh/(mmBtu/hr of steam load), or (lb/hr)/(mmBtu/hr thermal output).

Q_h = Hourly fuel flow rate, as measured by the fuel flowmeter, 100 scfh for gas-firing or lb/hr for oil-firing.

L_h = Hourly unit load, megawatts, 1000 lb/hr of steam, or mmBtu/hr thermal output.

(b) For a fuel flowmeter installed on a common pipe header, Lh shall be the sum of the hourly operating loads of all units that receive fuel through the common pipe header. For a unit that receives the same type of fuel through multiple pipes, Q_h will be the sum of the fuel flow rates from all of the pipes. Round off each value of R_h to the nearest tenth.

(c) Alternatively, calculate the hourly gross heat rates (GHR) in lieu of the hourly flow-to-load ratios. If this option is selected, calculate each hourly GHR value as follows:

$$(GHR)_h = \frac{(\text{Heat Input})_h}{L_h} \times 1000 \qquad \text{(Eq. D-1e)}$$

Where:

$(GHR)_h$ = Hourly value of the gross heat rate, Btu/kwh, Btu/lb steam load, or mmBtu heat input/mmBtu thermal output.

$(\text{Heat Input})_h$ = Hourly heat input rate, as determined using the hourly fuel flow rate and the fuel GCV in the applicable equation in appendix F to this part, mmBtu/hr.

L_h = Hourly unit load, megawatts, 1000 lb/hr of steam, or mmBtu/hr thermal output.

(d) Evaluate the calculated flow rate-to-load ratios (or gross heat rates) as follows.

(1) Perform a separate data analysis for each fuel flowmeter system following the procedures of this section. Base each analysis on a minimum of 168 hours of data. If, for a particular fuel flowmeter system, fewer than 168 hourly flow-to-load ratios (or GHR values) are available, or, if the baseline data collection period is still in progress at the end of the quarter and fewer than four calendar quarters have elapsed since the quarter in which the last successful fuel flowmeter system accuracy test was performed, a flow-to-load (or GHR) evaluation is not required for that flowmeter system for that calendar quarter. A one-quarter extension of the deadline for the next fuel flowmeter system accuracy test may be claimed for a quarter in which there is insufficient hourly data available to analyze or a quarter that ends with the baseline data collection period still in progress.

(2) For a unit that normally co-fires different types of fuel (e.g., oil and natural gas), include the contribution of each type of fuel in the value of $(\text{Heat Input})_h$, when using Equation D–1e.

(e) For each hourly flow-to-load ratio or GHR value, calculate the percentage difference (percent D_h) from the baseline fuel flow-to-load ratio using Equation D–1f.

$$\%D_h = \frac{|R_{base} - R_h|}{R_{base}} \times 100 \qquad \text{(Eq. D-1f)}$$

Where:

$\%D_h$ = Absolute value of the percentage difference between the hourly fuel flow rate-to-load ratio and the baseline value of the fuel flow rate-to-load ratio (or hourly and baseline GHR).

R_h = The hourly fuel flow rate-to-load ratio (or GHR).

R_{base} = The value of the fuel flow rate-to-load ratio (or GHR) from the baseline period, determined in accordance with section 2.1.7.1 of this appendix.

(f) Consistently use R_{base} and R_h in Equation D–1f if the fuel flow-to-load ratio is being evaluated, and consistently use $(GHR)_{base}$ and $(GHR)_h$ in Equation D–1f if the gross heat rate is being evaluated.

(g) Next, determine the arithmetic average of all of the hourly percent difference (percent D_h) values using Equation D–1g, as follows:

$$E_f = \sum_{h=1}^{q} \frac{\%D_h}{q} \qquad \text{(Eq. D-1g)}$$

Where:

E_f = Quarterly average percentage difference between hourly flow rate-to-load ratios and the baseline value of the fuel flow rate-to-load ratio (or hourly and baseline GHR).

$\%D_h$ = Percentage difference between the hourly fuel flow rate-to-load ratio and the baseline value of the fuel flow rate-to-load ratio (or hourly and baseline GHR).

q = Number of hours used in fuel flow-to-load (or GHR) evaluation.

(h) When the quarterly average load value used in the data analysis is greater than 50 MWe (or 500 klb steam per hour), the results of a quarterly fuel flow rate-to-load (or GHR) evaluation are acceptable and no further action is required if the quarterly average percentage difference (E_f) is no greater than 10.0 percent. When the arithmetic average of the hourly load values used in the data analysis is ≤50 MWe (or 500 klb steam per hour), the results of the analysis are acceptable if the value of E_f is no greater than 15.0 percent. For units that normally co-fire different types of fuel, if the GHR option is used,

apply the test results to each fuel flowmeter system used during the quarter.

2.1.7.3 Optional Data Exclusions

(a) If E_f is outside the limits in section 2.1.7.2(h) of this appendix, the owner or operator may re-examine the hourly fuel flow rate-to-load ratios (or GHRs) that were used for the data analysis and may identify and exclude fuel flow-to-load ratios or GHR values for any non-representative hours, provided that such data exclusions were not previously made under section 2.1.7.2(a) of this appendix. Specifically, the R_h or $(GHR)_h$ values for the following hours may be considered non-representative:

(1) For units that do not normally co-fire fuels, any hour in which the unit combusted another fuel in addition to the fuel measured by the fuel flowmeter being tested; or

(2) Any hour for which the load differed by more than ±15.0 percent from the load during either the preceding hour or the subsequent hour; or

(3) For units that normally co-fire different fuels, any hour in which the unit burned only one type of fuel; or

(4) Any hour for which the unit load was in the lower 25.0 percent of the range of operation, as defined in section 6.5.2.1 of appendix A to this part (unless operation in the lower 25.0 percent of the range is considered normal for the unit).

(b) After identifying and excluding all non-representative hourly fuel flow-to-load ratios or GHR values, analyze the quarterly fuel flow rate-to-load data a second time. If fewer than 168 hourly fuel flow-to-load ratio or GHR values remain after the allowable data exclusions, a fuel flow-to-load ratio or GHR analysis is not required for that quarter, and a one-quarter extension of the fuel flowmeter accuracy test deadline may be claimed.

2.1.7.4 Consequences of Failed Fuel Flow-to-Load Ratio Test

(a) If E_f is outside the applicable limit in section 2.1.7.2(h) of this appendix (after analysis using any optional data exclusions under section 2.1.7.3 of this appendix), perform transmitter accuracy tests according to section 2.1.6.1 of this appendix for orifice-, nozzle-, and venturi-type flowmeters, or perform a fuel flowmeter accuracy test, in accordance with section 2.1.5.1 or 2.1.5.2 of this appendix, for each fuel flowmeter for which E_f is outside of the applicable limit. In addition, for an orifice-, nozzle-, or venturi-type fuel flowmeter, repeat the fuel flow-to-load ratio comparison of section 2.1.7.2 of this appendix using six to twelve hours of data following a passed transmitter accuracy test in order to verify that no significant corrosion has affected the primary element. If, for the abbreviated 6-to-12 hour test, the orifice-, nozzle-, or venturi-type fuel flowmeter is not able to meet the limit in section 2.1.7.2 of this appendix, then perform a visual inspection of the primary element according to section 2.1.6.4 of this appendix, and repair or replace the primary element, as necessary.

(b) Substitute for fuel flow rate, for any hour when that fuel is combusted, using the missing data procedures in section 2.4.2 of this appendix, beginning with the first hour of the calendar quarter following the quarter for which E_f was found to be outside the applicable limit and continuing until quality-assured fuel flow data become available. Following a failed flow rate-to-load or GHR evaluation, data from the flowmeter shall not be considered quality-assured until the hour in which all required flowmeter accuracy tests, transmitter accuracy tests, visual inspections and diagnostic tests have been passed. Additionally, a new value of R_{base} or $(GHR)_{base}$ shall be established no later than two fuel flowmeter QA operating quarters (as defined in §72.2 of this chapter) after the quarter in which the required quality assurance tests are completed (note that for orifice-, nozzle-, or venturi-type fuel flowmeters, establish a new value of R_{base} or $(GHR)_{base}$ only if both a transmitter accuracy test and a primary element inspection have been performed).

2.1.7.5 Test Results

Report the results of each quarterly flow rate-to-load (or GHR) evaluation, as determined from Equation D–1g, in the electronic quarterly report required under §75.64. Table D–3 is provided as a reference on the type of information to be recorded under §75.59 and reported under §75.64.

TABLE D–3—BASELINE INFORMATION AND TEST RESULTS FOR FUEL FLOW-TO-LOAD TEST

TABLE D-3. -- BASELINE INFORMATION AND TEST RESULTS FOR FUEL FLOW-TO-LOAD TEST

Plant name:_____	State:____	ORIS code:_____
Unit/pipe ID #: _____	Fuel flowmeter system ID :___	
Calendar quarter (1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th) and year:_____		
Range of operation: _____ to _____ MWe or klb steam/hr (indicate units)		

Reported Data Elements	
Baseline period	Quarterly analysis
Completion date and time of most recent QA sequence, i.e., primary element inspection and transmitter calibration (orifice-, nozzle-, and venturi-type flowmeters only). __/__/__ __:__	Number of hours excluded from quarterly average due to co-firing different fuels (where co-firing is not normal operation):_____ hrs.
Completion date and time of most recent flowmeter or accuracy test (all other flowmeters) __/__/__ __:__	Number of hours excluded from quarterly average due to single-fuel combustion (where co-firing is normal operation):_____ hrs.
Beginning date and time of baseline period __/__/__ __:__	Number of hours excluded from quarterly average due to ramping load:_____ hrs.
End date and time of baseline period __/__/__ __:__	Number of hours in the lower 25.0 percent of the range of operation excluded from quarterly average: _____hrs.
Average fuel flow rate_____ (100 scfh for gas and lb/hr for oil)	Number of hours included in quarterly average:_____ hrs.
Average load;_____ (MWe or 1000 lb steam/hr)	Quarterly percentage difference between hourly ratios and baseline ratio:_____ percent.
Baseline fuel flow-to-load ratio _____ Units of fuel flow-to-load:_____	Test result: pass, fail.
Baseline GHR: _____ Units of fuel flow-to-load:_____	
Number of hours excluded from baseline ratio or GHR due to ramping load: _____	
Number of hours in the lower 25.0 percent of the range of operation excluded from baseline ratio or GHR: hrs.	

2.2 Oil Sampling and Analysis

Perform sampling and analysis of oil to determine the following fuel properties for each type of oil combusted by a unit: percentage of sulfur by weight in the oil; gross calorific value (GCV) of the oil; and, if necessary, the density of the oil. Use the sulfur content, density, and gross calorific value, determined under the provisions of this section, to calculate SO_2 mass emission rate and heat input rate for each fuel using the applicable procedures of section 3 of this appendix. The designated representative may petition for reduced GCV and or density sampling under §75.66 if the fuel combusted has a consistent and relatively non-variable GCV or density.

TABLE D-4. -- OIL SAMPLING METHODS AND SULFUR, DENSITY AND GROSS CALORIFIC VALUE USED IN CALCULATIONS

Parameter	Sampling technique/frequency	Value used in calculations (except for missing data hours)
Oil Sulfur Content	Daily manual sampling	1. Highest sulfur content from previous 30 daily samples; or 2. Actual daily value.
	Flow proportional/weekly composite	Actual measured value.
	In storage tank (after addition of fuel to tank)	1. Actual measured value; or 2. Highest of all sampled values in previous calendar year, unless a higher sample value is obtained;[1] or 3. Maximum value allowed by contract, unless a higher sample value is obtained[1]
	As delivered (in delivery truck or barge).[1]	1. Highest of all sampled values in previous calendar year, unless a higher sample value is obtained;[1] or 2. Maximum value allowed by contract, unless a higher sample value is obtained[1]
Oil Density	Daily manual sampling	1. Use the highest density from the previous 30 daily samples; or 2. Actual measured value.
	Flow proportional/weekly composite	Actual measured value.
	In storage tank (after addition of fuel to tank)	1. Actual measured value; or 2. Highest of all sampled values in previous calendar year, unless a higher sample value is obtained;[1] or 3. Maximum value allowed by contract, unless a higher sample value is obtained[1]
	As delivered (in delivery truck or barge).[1]	1. Highest of all sampled values in previous calendar year, unless a higher sample value is obtained;[1] or 2. Maximum value allowed by contract, unless a higher sample value is obtained[1]
Oil GCV	Daily manual sampling	1. Highest fuel GCV from the previous 30 daily samples; or 2. Actual measured value.
	Flow proportional/weekly composite	Actual measured value.
	In storage tank (after addition of fuel to tank)	1. Actual measured value; or 2. Highest of all sampled values in previous calendar year, unless a higher sample value is obtained;[1] or 3. Maximum value allowed by contract, unless a higher sample value is obtained[1]
	As delivered (in delivery truck or barge).[1]	1. Highest of all sampled values in previous calendar year, unless a higher sample value is obtained;[1] or 2. Maximum value allowed by contract, unless a higher sample value is obtained[1]

[1] Assumed values may only be used if sulfur content, gross calorific value, or density of each sample is no greater than the assumed value used to calculate emissions or heat input. If a higher sample value is obtained, use the results of that sample analysis as the new assumed value.

2.2.1 When combusting oil, use one of the following methods to sample the oil (see Table D–4): sample from the storage tank for the unit after each addition of oil to the storage tank, in accordance with section 2.2.4.2 of this appendix; or sample from the fuel lot in the shipment tank or container upon receipt of each oil delivery or from the fuel lot in the oil supplier's storage container, in accordance with section 2.2.4.3 of this appendix; or use the flow proportional sampling methodology in section 2.2.3 of this appendix; or use the daily manual sampling methodology in section 2.2.4.1 of this appendix. For purposes of this appendix, a fuel lot of oil is the mass or volume of product oil

from one source (supplier or pretreatment facility), intended as one shipment or delivery (e.g., ship load, barge load, group of trucks, discrete purchase of diesel fuel through pipeline, etc.). A storage tank is a container at a plant holding oil that is actually combusted by the unit, such that no blending of any other fuel with the fuel in the storage tank occurs from the time that the fuel lot is transferred to the storage tank to the time when the fuel is combusted in the unit.

2.2.2 [Reserved]

2.2.3 Flow Proportional Sampling

Conduct flow proportional oil sampling or continuous drip oil sampling in accordance with ASTM D4177–95 (Reapproved 2000), ''Standard Practice for Automatic Sampling of Petroleum and Petroleum Products'' (incorporated by reference under §75.6), every day the unit is combusting oil. Extract oil at least once every hour and blend into a composite sample. The sample compositing period may not exceed 7 calendar days (168 hrs). Use the actual sulfur content (and where density data are required, the actual density) from the composite sample to calculate the hourly SO_2 mass emission rates for each operating day represented by the composite sample. Calculate the hourly heat input rates for each operating day represented by the composite sample, using the actual gross calorific value from the composite sample.

2.2.4 Manual Sampling

2.2.4.1 Daily Samples

Representative oil samples may be taken from the storage tank or fuel flow line manually every day that the unit combusts oil according to ASTM ASTM D4057–95 (Reapproved 2000), Standard Practice for Manual Sampling of Petroleum and Petroleum Products (incorporated by reference under §75.6 of this part). Use either the actual daily sulfur content or the highest fuel sulfur content recorded at that unit from the most recent 30 daily samples for the purpose of calculating SO_2 emissions under section 3 of this appendix. Use either the gross calorific value measured from that day's sample or the highest GCV from the previous 30 days' samples to calculate heat input. If oil supplies with different sulfur contents are combusted on the same day, sample the highest sulfur fuel combusted that day.

2.2.4.2 Sampling From a Unit's Storage Tank

Take a manual sample after each addition of oil to the storage tank. Do not blend additional fuel with the sampled fuel prior to combustion. Sample according to the single tank composite sampling procedure or all-levels sampling procedure in ASTM ASTM D4057–95 (Reapproved 2000), Standard Practice for Manual Sampling of Petroleum and Petroleum Products (incorporated by reference under §75.6 of this part). Use the sulfur content and GCV value (and where required, the density) of either the most recent sample or one of the conservative assumed values described in section 2.2.4.3(c) of this appendix to calculate SO_2 mass emission rate. Calculate heat input rate using the gross calorific value from either:

(a) The most recent oil sample taken or

(b) One of the conservative assumed values described in section 2.2.4.3(c) of this appendix. Follow the applicable provisions in section 2.2.4.3(d) of this appendix, regarding the use of assumed values.

2.2.4.3 Sampling From Each Delivery

(a) Alternatively, an oil sample may be taken from—

(1) The shipment tank or container upon receipt of each lot of fuel oil or

(2) The supplier's storage container which holds the lot of fuel oil. (Note: a supplier need only sample the storage container once for sulfur content, GCV and, where required, the density so long as the fuel sulfur content and GCV do not change and no fuel is added to the supplier's storage container.)

(b) For the purpose of this section, a lot is defined as a shipment or delivery (e.g., ship load, barge load, group of trucks, discrete purchase of diesel fuel through a pipeline, etc.) of a single fuel.

(c) Oil sampling may be performed either by the owner or operator of an affected unit, an outside laboratory, or a fuel supplier, provided that samples are representative and that sampling is performed according to either the single tank composite sampling procedure or the all-levels sampling procedure in ASTM ASTM D4057–95 (Reapproved 2000), Standard Practice for Manual Sampling of Petroleum and Petroleum Products (incorporated by reference under §75.6 of this part). Except as otherwise provided in this section, calculate SO_2 mass emission rate using the sulfur content (and where required, the density) from one of the two following conservative assumed values, and calculate heat input using the gross calorific value from one of the assumed values:

(1) The highest value sampled during the previous calendar year (this option is allowed for any consistent fuel which comes from a single source whether or not the fuel is supplied under a contractual agreement) or

(2) The maximum value indicated in the contract with the fuel supplier. Continue to use this assumed contract value unless and until the actual sampled sulfur content, density, or gross calorific value of a delivery exceeds the assumed value.

(d) Continue using the assumed value(s), so long as the sample results do not exceed the assumed value(s). However, if the actual sampled sulfur content, gross calorific value, or density of an oil sample is greater than the assumed value for that parameter, then, consistent with section 2.3.7 of this appendix, begin to use the actual sampled value for sulfur content, gross calorific value, or density of fuel to calculate SO2 mass emission rate or heat input rate. Consider the sampled value to be the new assumed sulfur content, gross calorific value, or density. Continue using this new assumed value to calculate SO2 mass emission rate or heat input rate unless and until: it is superseded by a higher value from an oil sample; or (if applicable) it is superseded by a new contract in which case the new contract value becomes the assumed value at the time the fuel specified under the new contract begins to be combusted in the unit; or (if applicable) both the calendar year in which the sampled value exceeded the assumed value and the subsequent calendar year have elapsed.

2.2.5 For each oil sample that is taken onsite at the affected facility, split and label the sample and maintain a portion (at least 200 cc) of it throughout the calendar year and in all cases for not less than 90 calendar days after the end of the calendar year allowance accounting period. This requirement does not apply to oil samples taken from the fuel supplier's storage container, as described in section 2.2.4.3 of this appendix. Analyze oil samples for percent sulfur content by weight in accordance with ASTM D129–00, Standard Test Method for Sulfur in Petroleum Products (General Bomb Method), ASTM D1552–01, Standard Test Method for Sulfur in Petroleum Products (High-Temperature Method), ASTM D2622–98, Standard Test Method for Sulfur in Petroleum Products by Wavelength Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence Spectrometry, ASTM D4294–98, Standard Test Method for Sulfur in Petroleum and Petroleum Products by Energy-Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence Spectrometry, or ASTM D5453–06, Standard Test Method for Determination of Total Sulfur in Light Hydrocarbons, Spark Ignition Engine Fuel, Diesel Engine Fuel, and Engine Oil by Ultraviolet Fluorescence (all incorporated by reference under §75.6 of this part). Alternatively, the oil samples may be analyzed for percent sulfur by any consensus standard method prescribed for the affected unit under part 60 of this chapter.

2.2.6 Where the flowmeter records volumetric flow rate rather than mass flow rate, analyze oil samples to determine the density or specific gravity of the oil. Determine the density or specific gravity of the oil sample in accordance with ASTM D287–92 (Reapproved 2000), Standard Test Method for API Gravity of Crude Petroleum and Petroleum Products (Hydrometer Method), ASTM D1217–93 (Reapproved 1998), Standard Test Method for Density and Relative Density (Specific Gravity) of Liquids by Bingham Pycnometer, ASTM D1481–93 (Reapproved 1997), Standard Test Method for Density and Relative Density (Specific Gravity) of Viscous Materials by Lipkin Bicapillary Pycnometer, ASTM D1480–93 (Reapproved 1997), Standard Test Method for Density and Relative Density (Specific Gravity) of Viscous Materials by Bingham Pycnometer, ASTM D1298–99, Standard Test Method for Density, Relative Density (Specific Gravity), or API Gravity of Crude Petroleum and Liquid Petroleum Products by Hydrometer Method, or ASTM D4052–96 (Reapproved 2002), Standard Test Method for Density and Relative Density of Liquids by Digital Density Meter (all incorporated by reference under §75.6 of this part). Alternatively, the oil samples may be analyzed for density or specific gravity by any consensus standard method prescribed for the affected unit under part 60 of this chapter.

2.2.7 Analyze oil samples to determine the heat content of the fuel. Determine oil heat content in accordance with ASTM D240–00, ASTM D4809–00, ASTM D5865–01a, or D5865–10 (all incorporated by reference under §75.6) or any other procedures listed in section 5.5 of appendix F of this part. Alternatively, the oil samples may be analyzed for heat content by any consensus standard method prescribed for the affected unit under part 60 of this chapter.

2.2.8 Results from the oil sample analysis must be available no later than thirty calendar days after the sample is composited or taken. However, during an audit, the Administrator may require that the results of the analysis be available as soon as practicable, and no later than 5 business days after receipt of a request from the Administrator.

2.3 SO_2 Emissions From Combustion of Gaseous Fuels

(a) Account for the hourly SO_2 mass emissions due to combustion of gaseous fuels for each hour when gaseous fuels are combusted by the unit using the procedures in this section.

(b) The procedures in sections 2.3.1 and 2.3.2 of this appendix, respectively, may be used to determine SO_2 mass emissions from combustion of pipeline natural gas and natural gas, as defined in §72.2 of this chapter. The procedures in section 2.3.3 of this appendix may be used to account for SO_2 mass emissions from any gaseous fuel combusted by a unit. For each type of gaseous fuel, the appropriate sampling frequency and the sulfur content and GCV values used for calculations of SO_2 mass emission rates are summarized in the following Table D–5.

TABLE D-5. -- GAS SULFUR AND GCV VALUES USED IN CALCULATIONS FOR VARIOUS FUEL TYPES

Parameter	Fuel type and sampling frequency	Value used in calculations (except for missing data hours)
Gas Total Sulfur Content	Pipeline Natural Gas with total sulfur content less than or equal to 0.5 grains/100scf * Sampling is not required if a valid contract or tariff sheet is used to qualify. * If fuel sampling and analysis is used to qualify, sample annually and whenever the fuel supply source changes.	1. If a contract or tariff sheet is used to qualify, use 0.0006 lb/mmBtu 2. If fuel sampling and analysis is used to qualify, use 0.0006 lb/mmBtu, provided that the results of the required annual samples do not exceed 0.5 grains/100 scf of total sulfur. If the results of an annual sample exceed 0.5 grains/100 scf, re-classify the fuel as appropriate and determine the SO_2 emission rate to be used in the calculations, using the applicable procedures in section 2.3.2 or 2.3.3 of this appendix
	Natural Gas with total sulfur content less than or equal to 20.0 grains /100scf * Sampling is not required if a valid contract or tariff sheet is used to qualify. * If fuel sampling and analysis is used to qualify, sample annually and whenever the fuel supply source changes.	Default SO_2 emission rate calculated from Eq. D-1h, using either: 1. The maximum total sulfur content specified in the fuel contract or tariff sheet, if a contract or tariff sheet is used to qualify; or 2. The total sulfur content, based on the most recent fuel sampling and analysis. If multiple samples are taken, the results may be averaged before using Equation D-1h.
	Any gaseous fuel transmitted by pipeline, having a "low sulfur variability", as shown under section 2.3.6 of this appendix. * Either sample daily or, if Eq. D-1h is used to calculate a default SO_2 emission rate, sample annually.	* If daily sampling is performed, use either: 1. Actual value from the daily sample; or 2. Highest value from previous 30 samples. * If the option to use Eq. D-1h is selected, use a default SO_2 emission rate, calculated using the higher of: 1. The 90th percentile value of the total sulfur content, obtained in the 720-hr demonstration under section 2.3.6; or 2. The actual total sulfur content from the most recent annual sample. If multiple samples are taken, the results may be averaged before using Equation D-1h.

Parameter	Fuel type and sampling frequency	Value used in calculations (except for missing data hours)
	Any gaseous fuel transmitted by pipeline, having a maximum total sulfur content ≤ 20 grains/100 scf and "high sulfur variability", as shown under section 2.3.6 of this appendix. * Either sample hourly or, if Eq. D-1h is used to calculate a default SO_2 emission rate, sample annually.	* If hourly sampling is performed, use the actual hourly value * If the option to use Eq. D-1h is selected, use a default SO_2 emission rate, calculated using the higher of: 1. The maximum value of the total sulfur content, obtained in the 720-hr demonstration under section 2.3.6; or 2. The actual total sulfur content from the most recent annual sample. If multiple samples are taken, the results may be averaged before using Equation D-1h.
	Any gaseous fuel transmitted by pipeline, having a maximum total sulfur content > 20 grains/100 scf and "high sulfur variability", as shown under section 2.3.6 of this appendix. * Sample hourly	Actual hourly sulfur content of the gas
	Any gaseous fuel delivered in shipments or lots * Sample each lot or shipment.	1. Actual total sulfur content from most recent shipment; or 2. Highest total sulfur content from previous year's samples, unless a higher value is obtained in a sample[1] ; or 3. Maximum total sulfur content value allowed by contract, unless a higher value is obtained in a sample.[1]
Gas GCV	Pipeline Natural Gas * Sample monthly	1. GCV from most recent monthly sample (with ≥ 48 operating hours in the month); 2. Maximum GCV from contract, unless a higher value is obtained in a monthly sample;[1] or 3. Highest GCV from previous year's samples, unless a higher value is obtained in a monthly sample.[1]
	Natural Gas * Sample monthly	1. GCV from most recent monthly sample (with ≥ 48 operating hours in the month); 2. Maximum GCV from contract[1] or 3. Highest GCV from previous year's samples.[1]
	Any gaseous fuel delivered in shipments or lots * Sample each lot or shipment	1. Actual GCV from most recent shipment or lot; 2. Highest GCV from previous year's samples, unless a higher value is obtained in a sample;[1] or 3. Maximum GCV value allowed by contract, unless a higher value is obtained in a sample.[1]

Parameter	Fuel type and sampling frequency	Value used in calculations (except for missing data hours)
	Any gaseous fuel transmitted by pipeline and having a demonstrated "low GCV variability" using the provisions of section 2.3.5 * Sample monthly	1. GCV from most recent monthly sample (with ≥ 48 operating hours in the month); or 2. Highest GCV from previous year's samples, unless a higher value is obtained in a monthly sample.[1]
	Any gaseous fuel not demonstrated to have a "low GCV variability" under section 2.3.5 * Sample daily or hourly. (Note that the use of an on-line GCV calorimeter or gas chromatograph is allowed).	Actual daily or hourly GCV of the gas.

[1] Assumed sulfur content and GCV values (i.e., contract values or highest values from previous year) may only continue to be used if the sulfur content or GCV of each sample is no greater than the assumed value used to calculate SO_2 emissions or heat input. If a higher sample value is obtained, use the results of that sample analysis as the new assumed value.

2.3.1 Pipeline Natural Gas Combustion

The owner or operator may determine the SO_2 mass emissions from the combustion of a fuel that meets the definition of pipeline natural gas, in §72.2 of this chapter, using the procedures of this section.

2.3.1.1 SO_2 Emission Rate

For a fuel that meets the definition of pipeline natural gas under §72.2 of this chapter, the owner or operator may determine the SO_2 mass emissions using either a default SO_2 emission rate of 0.0006 lb/mmBtu and the procedures of this section, the procedures in section 2.3.2 for natural gas, or the procedures of section 2.3.3 for any gaseous fuel. For each affected unit using the default rate of 0.0006 lb/mmBtu, the owner or operator must document that the fuel combusted is actually pipeline natural gas, using the procedures in section 2.3.1.4 of this appendix.

2.3.1.2 Hourly Heat Input Rate

Calculate hourly heat input rate, in mmBtu/hr, for a unit combusting pipeline natural gas, using the procedures of section 3.4.1 of this appendix. Use the measured fuel flow rate from section 2.1 of this appendix and the gross calorific value from section 2.3.4.1 of this appendix in the calculations.

2.3.1.3 SO_2 Hourly Mass Emission Rate and Hourly Mass Emissions

For pipeline natural gas combustion, calculate the SO2 mass emission rate, in lb/hr, using Equation D–5 in section 3.3.2 of this appendix (when the default SO_2 emission rate is used) or Equation D–4 (if daily or hourly fuel sampling is used). Then, use the calculated SO_2 mass emission rate and the unit operating time to determine the hourly SO_2 mass emissions from pipeline natural gas combustion, in lb, using Equation D–12 in section 3.5.1 of this appendix.

2.3.1.4 Documentation that a Fuel is Pipeline Natural Gas

(a) A fuel may initially qualify as pipeline natural gas, if information is provided in the monitoring plan required under §75.53, demonstrating that the definition of pipeline natural gas in §72.2 of this chapter has been met. The information must demonstrate that the fuel meets either the percent methane or GCV requirement and has a total sulfur content of 0.5 grains/100scf or less. The demonstration must be made using one of the following sources of information:

(1) The gas quality characteristics specified by a purchase contract, tariff sheet, or by a pipeline transportation contract; or

(2) Historical fuel sampling data for the previous 12 months, documenting the total sulfur content of the fuel and the GCV and/or percentage by volume of methane. The results of all sample analyses obtained by or provided to the owner or operator in the previous 12 months shall be used in the demonstration, and each sample result must meet the definition of pipeline natural gas in §72.2 of this chapter, except where the results of at least 100 daily (or more frequent) total sulfur samples are provided by the fuel supplier. In that case you may opt to convert these data to monthly averages and then if, for each month, the average total sulfur content is 0.5 grains/100 scf or less, and if the GCV or percent methane requirement is also met, the fuel qualifies as pipeline natural

gas. Alternatively, the fuel qualifies as pipeline natural gas if ≥98 percent of the 100 (or more) samples have a total sulfur content of 0.5 grains/100 scf or less and if the GCV or percent methane requirement is also met; or

(3) If the requirements of paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this section cannot be met, a fuel may initially qualify as pipeline natural gas if at least one representative sample of the fuel is obtained and analyzed for total sulfur content and for either the gross calorific value (GCV) or percent methane, and the results of the sample analysis show that the fuel meets the definition of pipeline natural gas in § 72.2 of this chapter. Use the sampling methods specified in sections 2.3.3.1.2 and 2.3.4 of this appendix. The required fuel sample may be obtained and analyzed by the owner or operator, by an independent laboratory, or by the fuel supplier. If multiple samples are taken, each sample must meet the definition of pipeline natural gas in § 72.2 of this chapter.

(b) If the results of the fuel sampling under paragraph (a)(2) or (a)(3) of this section show that the fuel does not meet the definition of pipeline natural gas in § 72.2 of this chapter, but those results are believed to be anomalous, the owner or operator may document the reasons for believing this in the monitoring plan for the unit, and may immediately perform additional sampling. In such cases, a minimum of three additional samples must be obtained and analyzed, and the results of each sample analysis must meet the definition of pipeline natural gas.

(c) If several affected units are supplied by a common source of gaseous fuel, a single sampling result may be applied to all of the units and it is not necessary to obtain a separate sample for each unit, provided that the composition of the fuel is not altered by blending or mixing it with other gaseous fuel(s) when it is transported from the sampling location to the affected units. For the purposes of this paragraph, the term ''other gaseous fuel(s)'' excludes compounds such as mercaptans when they are added in trace quantities for safety reasons.

(d) If the results of fuel sampling and analysis under paragraph (a)(2), (a)(3), or (b) of this section show that the fuel does not qualify as pipeline natural gas, proceed as follows:

(1) If the fuel still qualifies as natural gas under section 2.3.2.4 of this appendix, re-classify the fuel as natural gas and determine the appropriate default SO_2 emission rate for the fuel, according to section 2.3.2.1.1 of this appendix; or

(2) If the fuel does not qualify either as pipeline natural gas or natural gas, re-classify the fuel as ''other gaseous fuel'' and implement the procedures of section 2.3.3 of this appendix, within 180 days of the end of the quarter in which the disqualifying sample was taken. In addition, the owner or operator shall use Equation D–1h in this appendix to calculate a default SO_2 emission rate for the fuel, based on the results of the sample analysis that exceeded 20 grains/100 scf of total sulfur, and shall use that default emission rate to report SO_2 mass emissions under this part until section 2.3.3 of this appendix has been fully implemented.

(e) If a fuel qualifies as pipeline natural gas based on the specifications in a fuel contract or tariff sheet, no additional, on-going sampling of the fuel's total sulfur content is required, provided that the contract or tariff sheet is current, valid and representative of the fuel combusted in the unit. If the fuel qualifies as pipeline natural gas based on fuel sampling and analysis, on-going sampling of the fuel's sulfur content is required annually and whenever the fuel supply source changes. For the purposes of this paragraph (e), sampling ''annually'' means that at least one sample is taken in each calendar year. If the results of at least 100 daily (or more frequent) total sulfur samples have been provided by the fuel supplier since the last annual assessment of the fuel's sulfur content, the data may be used as follows to satisfy the annual sampling requirement for the current year. If this option is chosen, all of the data provided by the fuel supplier shall be used. First, convert the data to monthly averages. Then, if, for each month, the average total sulfur content is 0.5 grains/100 scf or less, and if the GCV or percent methane requirement is also met, the fuel qualifies as pipeline natural gas. Alternatively, the fuel qualifies as pipeline natural gas if the analysis of the 100 (or more) total sulfur samples since the last annual assessment shows that ≥98 percent of the samples have a total sulfur content of 0.5 grains/100 scf or less and if the GCV or percent methane requirement is also met. The effective date of the annual total sulfur sampling requirement is January 1, 2003.

(f) On-going sampling of the GCV of the pipeline natural gas is required under section 2.3.4.1 of this appendix.

(g) For units that are required to monitor and report NO_X mass emissions and heat input under subpart H of this part, but which are not affected units under the Acid Rain Program, the owner or operator is exempted from the requirements in paragraphs (a) and (e) of this section to document the total sulfur content of the pipeline natural gas.

2.3.2 Natural Gas Combustion

The owner or operator may determine the SO_2 mass emissions from the combustion of a fuel that meets the definition of natural gas, in § 72.2 of this chapter, using the procedures of this section.

2.3.2.1 SO_2 Emission Rate

The owner or operator may account for SO_2 emissions either by using a default SO_2 emission rate, as determined under section 2.3.2.1.1 of this appendix, or by daily sampling of the gas sulfur content using the procedures of section 2.3.3 of this appendix. For each affected unit using a default SO_2 emission rate, the owner or operator must provide documentation that the fuel combusted is actually natural gas according to the procedures in section 2.3.2.4 of this appendix.

2.3.2.1.1 In lieu of daily sampling of the sulfur content of the natural gas, the owner or operator may either use the total sulfur content specified in a contract or tariff sheet as the SO_2 default emission rate or may calculate the default SO_2 emission rate based on fuel sampling results, using Equation D–1h. In Equation D–1h, the total sulfur content and GCV values shall be determined in accordance with Table D–5 of this appendix. Round off the calculated SO_2 default emission rate to the nearest 0.0001 lb/mmBtu.

$$ER = \left[\frac{2.0}{7000}\right] \times \left[10^6\right] \times \left[\frac{S_{total}}{GCV}\right] \qquad \text{(Eq. D-1h)}$$

Where:

ER = Default SO_2 emission rate for natural gas combustion, lb/mmBtu.

S_{total} = Total sulfur content of the natural gas, gr/100scf.

GCV = Gross calorific value of the natural gas, Btu/100scf.

7000 = Conversion of grains/100scf to lb/100scf.

2.0 = Ratio of lb SO_2/lb S.

10^6 = Conversion factor (Btu/mmBtu).

2.3.2.1.2 [Reserved]

2.3.2.2 Hourly Heat Input Rate

Calculate hourly heat input rate for natural gas combustion, in mmBtu/hr, using the procedures in section 3.4.1 of this appendix. Use the measured fuel flow rate from section 2.1 of this appendix and the gross calorific value from section 2.3.4.2 of this appendix in the calculations.

2.3.2.3 SO_2 Mass Emission Rate and Hourly Mass Emissions

For natural gas combustion, calculate the SO_2 mass emission rate, in lb/hr, using Equation D–5 in section 3.3.2 of this appendix, when the default SO_2 emission rate is used. Then, use the calculated SO_2 mass emission rate and the unit operating time to determine the hourly SO_2 mass emissions from natural gas combustion, in lb, using Equation D–12 in section 3.5.1 of this appendix.

2.3.2.4 Documentation that a Fuel Is Natural Gas

(a) A fuel may initially qualify as natural gas, if information is provided in the monitoring plan required under §75.53, demonstrating that the definition of natural gas in §72.2 of this chapter has been met. The information must demonstrate that the fuel meets either the percent methane or GCV requirement and has a total sulfur content of 20.0 grains/100 scf or less. This demonstration must be made using one of the following sources of information:

(1) The gas quality characteristics specified by a purchase contract, tariff sheet, or by a transportation contract; or

(2) Historical fuel sampling data for the previous 12 months, documenting the total sulfur content of the fuel and the GCV and/or percentage by volume of methane. The results of all sample analyses obtained by or provided to the owner or operator in the previous 12 months shall be used in the demonstration, and each sample result must meet the definition of natural gas in §72.2 of this chapter; or

(3) If the requirements of paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this section cannot be met, a fuel may initially qualify as natural gas if at least one representative sample of the fuel is obtained and analyzed for total sulfur content and for either the gross calorific value (GCV) or percent methane, and the results of the sample analysis show that the fuel meets the definition of natural gas in §72.2 of this chapter. Use the sampling methods specified in sections 2.3.3.1.2 and 2.3.4 of this appendix. The required fuel sample may be obtained and analyzed by the owner or operator, by an independent laboratory, or by the fuel supplier. If multiple samples are taken, each sample must meet the definition of natural gas in §72.2 of this chapter.

(b) If the results of the fuel sampling under paragraph (a)(2) or (a)(3) of this section show that the fuel does not meet the definition of natural gas in §72.2 of this chapter, but those results are believed to be anomalous, the owner or operator may document the reasons for believing this in the monitoring plan for the unit, and may immediately perform additional sampling. In such cases, a minimum of three additional samples must be obtained and analyzed, and the results of each sample analysis must meet the definition of natural gas.

(c) If several affected units are supplied by a common source of gaseous fuel, a single sampling result may be applied to all of the units and it is not necessary to obtain a separate sample for each unit, provided that the composition of the fuel is not altered by blending or mixing it with other gaseous fuel(s) when it is transported from the sampling location to the affected units. For the purposes of this paragraph, the term ''other gaseous fuel(s)'' excludes compounds such as mercaptans when they are added in trace quantities for safety reasons.

(d) If the results of fuel sampling and analysis under paragraph (a)(2), (a)(3), or (b) of this section show that the fuel does not qualify as natural gas, the owner or operator shall re-classify the fuel as ''other gaseous fuel'' and shall implement the procedures of section 2.3.3 of this appendix, within 180 days of the end of the quarter in which the disqualifying sample was taken. In addition, the owner or operator shall use Equation D–1h in this appendix to calculate a default SO_2 emission rate for the fuel, based on the results of the sample analysis that exceeded 20 grains/100 scf of total sulfur, and shall use that default emission rate to report SO_2 mass emissions under this part until section 2.3.3 of this appendix has been fully implemented.

(e) If a fuel qualifies as natural gas based on the specifications in a fuel contract or tariff sheet, no additional, on-going sampling of the fuel's total sulfur content is required, provided that the contract or tariff sheet is current, valid and representative of the fuel combusted in the unit. If the fuel qualifies as natural gas based on fuel sampling and analysis, the owner or operator shall sample the fuel for total sulfur content at least annually and when the fuel supply source changes. For the purposes of this paragraph, (e), sampling ''annually'' means that at least one sample is taken in each calendar year. The effective date of the annual total sulfur sampling requirement is January 1, 2003.

(f) On-going sampling of the GCV of the natural gas is required under section 2.3.4.2 of this appendix.

(g) For units that are required to monitor and report NO_X mass emissions and heat input under subpart H of this part, but which are not affected units under the Acid Rain Program, the owner or operator is exempted from the requirements in paragraphs (a) and (e) of this section to document the total sulfur content of the natural gas.

2.3.3 SO_2 Mass Emissions From Any Gaseous Fuel

The owner or operator of a unit may determine SO_2 mass emissions using this section for any gaseous fuel (including fuels such as refinery gas, landfill gas, digester gas, coke oven gas, blast furnace gas, coal-derived gas, producer gas or any other gas which may have a variable sulfur content).

2.3.3.1 Sulfur Content Determination

2.3.3.1.1 Analyze the total sulfur content of the gaseous fuel in grains/100 scf, at the frequency specified in Table D–5 of this appendix. That is: for fuel delivered in discrete shipments or lots, sample each shipment or lot. For fuel transmitted by pipeline, sample hourly unless a demonstration is provided under section 2.3.6 of this appendix showing that the gaseous fuel qualifies for less frequent (*i.e.*, daily or annual) sampling. If daily sampling is required, determine the sulfur content using either manual sampling or a gas chromatograph. If hourly sampling is required, determine the sulfur content using a gas chromatograph. For units that are required to monitor and report NO_X mass emissions and heat input under subpart H of this part, but which are not affected units under the Acid Rain Program, the owner or operator is exempted from the requirements of this section to document the total sulfur content of the gaseous fuel.

2.3.3.1.2 Use one of the following methods when using manual sampling (as applicable to the type of gas combusted) to determine the sulfur content of the fuel: ASTM D1072–06, Standard Test Method for Total Sulfur in Fuel Gases by Combustion and Barium Chloride Titration, ASTM D4468–85 (Reapproved 2006), Standard Test Method for Total Sulfur in Gaseous Fuels by Hydrogenolysis and Rateometric Colorimetry, ASTM D5504–01, Standard Test Method for Determination of Sulfur Compounds in Natural Gas and Gaseous Fuels by Gas Chromatography and Chemiluminescence, ASTM D6667–04, Standard Test Method for Determination of Total Volatile Sulfur in Gaseous Hydrocarbons and Liquefied Petroleum Gases by Ultraviolet Fluorescence, or ASTM D3246–96, Standard Test Method for Sulfur in Petroleum Gas by Oxidative Microcoulometry, (all incorporated by reference under §75.6 of this part). Alternatively, the gas samples may be analyzed for percent sulfur by any consensus standard method prescribed for the affected unit under part 60 of this chapter.

2.3.3.1.3 The sampling and analysis of daily manual samples may be performed by the owner or operator, an outside laboratory, or the gas supplier. If hourly sampling with a gas chromatograph is required, or a source chooses to use an online gas chromatograph to determine daily fuel sulfur content, the owner or operator shall develop and implement a program to quality assure the data from the gas chromatograph, in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended procedures. The quality assurance procedures shall be kept on-site, in a form suitable for inspection.

2.3.3.1.4 Results of all sample analyses must be available no later than thirty calendar days after the sample is taken.

2.3.3.2 SO_2 Mass Emission Rate

Calculate the SO_2 mass emission rate for the gaseous fuel, in lb/hr, using Equation D–4 or D–5 (as applicable) in section 3.3.1 of this appendix. Equation D–5 may only be used if a demonstration is performed under section 2.3.6 of this appendix, showing that the fuel qualifies to use a default SO_2 emission rate to account for SO_2 mass emissions under this part. Use the appropriate sulfur content or default SO_2 emission rate in Equation D–4 or D–5, as specified in Table D–5 of this appendix. If the fuel qualifies to use Equation D–5, the default SO_2 emission rate shall be calculated using Equation D–1h in section 2.3.2.1.1 of this appendix, replacing the words ''natural gas'' in the equation nomenclature with the words, ''gaseous fuel''. In all cases, for reporting purposes, apply the results of the required periodic total sulfur samples in accordance with the provisions of section 2.3.7 of this appendix.

2.3.3.3 Hourly Heat Input Rate

Calculate the hourly heat input rate for combustion of the gaseous fuel, using the provisions in section 3.4.1 of this appendix. Use the measured fuel flow rate from section 2.1 of this appendix and the gross calorific value from section 2.3.4.3 of this appendix in the calculations.

2.3.4 Gross Calorific Values for Gaseous Fuels

Determine the GCV of each gaseous fuel at the frequency specified in this section, using one of the following methods: ASTM D1826–94 (Reapproved 1998), ASTM D3588–98, ASTM D4891–89 (Reapproved 2006), GPA Standard 2172–96, Calculation of Gross Heating Value, Relative Density and Compressibility Factor for Natural Gas Mixtures from Compositional Analysis, or GPA Standard 2261–00, Analysis for Natural Gas and Similar Gaseous Mixtures by Gas Chromatography (all incorporated by reference under §75.6 of this part). Use the appropriate GCV value, as specified in section 2.3.4.1, 2.3.4.2, or 2.3.4.3 of this appendix, in the calculation of unit hourly heat input rates. Alternatively, the gas samples may be analyzed for heat content by any consensus standard method prescribed for the affected unit under part 60 of this chapter.

2.3.4.1 GCV of Pipeline Natural Gas

Determine the GCV of fuel that is pipeline natural gas, as defined in §72.2 of this chapter, at least once per calendar month. For GCV used in calculations use the specifications in Table D–5: either the value from the most recent monthly sample, the highest value specified in a contract or tariff sheet, or the highest value from the previous year. The fuel GCV value from the most recent monthly sample shall be used for any month in which that value is higher than a contract limit. If a unit combusts pipeline natural gas for less than 48 hours during a calendar month, the sampling and analysis requirement for GCV is waived for that calendar month. The preceding waiver is limited by the condition that at least one analysis for GCV must be performed for each quarter the unit operates for any amount of time. If multiple GCV samples are taken and analyzed in a particular month, the GCV values from all samples shall be averaged arithmetically to obtain the monthly GCV. Then, apply the monthly average GCV value as described in paragraph (c) in section 2.3.7 of this appendix.

2.3.4.2 GCV of Natural Gas

Determine the GCV of fuel that is natural gas, as defined in §72.2 of this chapter, on a monthly basis, in the same manner as described for pipeline natural gas in section 2.3.4.1 of this appendix.

2.3.4.3 GCV of Other Gaseous Fuels

For gaseous fuels other than natural gas or pipeline natural gas, determine the GCV as specified in section 2.3.4.3.1, 2.3.4.3.2 or 2.3.4.3.3, as applicable. For reporting purposes, apply the results of the required periodic GCV samples in accordance with the provisions of section 2.3.7 of this appendix.

2.3.4.3.1 For a gaseous fuel that is delivered in discrete shipments or lots, determine the GCV for each shipment or lot. The determination may be made by sampling each delivery or by sampling the supply tank after each delivery. For sampling of each delivery, use the highest GCV in the previous year's samples. For sampling from the tank after each delivery, use either the most recent GCV sample, the maximum GCV specified in the fuel contract or tariff sheet, or the highest GCV from the previous year's samples.

2.3.4.3.2 For any gaseous fuel that does not qualify as pipeline natural gas or natural gas, which is not delivered in shipments or lots, and for which the owner or operator performs the 720 hour test under section 2.3.5 of this appendix, if the results of the test demonstrate that the gaseous fuel has a low GCV variability, determine the GCV at least monthly (as described in section 2.3.4.1 of this appendix). In calculations of hourly heat input for a unit, use either the most recent monthly sample, the maximum GCV specified in the fuel contract or tariff sheet, or the highest fuel GCV from the previous year's samples.

2.3.4.3.3 For any other gaseous fuel, determine the GCV at least daily and use the actual fuel GCV in calculations of unit hourly

heat input. If an online gas chromatograph or on-line calorimeter is used to determine fuel GCV each day, the owner or operator shall develop and implement a program to quality assure the data from the gas chromatograph or on-line calorimeter, in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended procedures. The quality assurance procedures shall be kept on-site, in a form suitable for inspection.

2.3.5 Demonstration of Fuel GCV Variability

(a) This optional demonstration may be made for any fuel which does not qualify as pipeline natural gas or natural gas, and is not delivered only in shipments or lots. The demonstration data may be used to show that monthly sampling of the GCV of the gaseous fuel or blend is sufficient, in lieu of daily GCV sampling.

(b) To make this demonstration, proceed as follows. Provide a minimum of 720 hours of data, indicating the GCV of the gaseous fuel or blend (in Btu/100 scf). The demonstration data shall be obtained using either: hourly sampling and analysis using the methods in section 2.3.4 to determine GCV of the fuel; an on-line gas chromatograph capable of determining fuel GCV on an hourly basis; or an on-line calorimeter. For gaseous fuel produced by a variable process, the data shall be representative of and include all process operating conditions including seasonal and yearly variations in process which may affect fuel GCV.

(c) The data shall be reduced to hourly averages. The mean GCV value and the standard deviation from the mean shall be calculated from the hourly averages. Specifically, the gaseous fuel is considered to have a low GCV variability, and monthly gas sampling for GCV may be used, if the mean value of the GCV multiplied by 1.075 is greater than the sum of the mean value and one standard deviation. If the gaseous fuel or blend does not meet this requirement, then daily fuel sampling and analysis for GCV, using manual sampling, a gas chromatograph or an on-line calorimeter is required.

2.3.6 Demonstration of Fuel Sulfur Variability

(a) This demonstration may be made for any fuel which does not qualify as pipeline natural gas or natural gas, and is not delivered only in shipments or lots. The results of the demonstration may be used to show that daily sampling for sulfur in the fuel is sufficient, rather than hourly sampling. The procedures in this section may also be used to demonstrate that a particular gaseous fuel qualifies to use a default SO_2 emission rate (calculated using Equation D–1h in section 2.3.2.1.1 of this appendix) for the purpose of reporting hourly SO_2 mass emissions under this part. To make this demonstration, proceed as follows. Provide a minimum of 720 hours of data, indicating the total sulfur content of the gaseous fuel (in gr/100 scf). The demonstration data shall be obtained using either manual hourly sampling or an on-line gas chromatograph (GC) capable of determining fuel total sulfur content on an hourly basis. For gaseous fuel produced by a variable process, the data shall be representative of all process operating conditions including seasonal or annual variations which may affect fuel sulfur content.

(b) If the data are collected with an on-line GC, reduce the data to hourly average values of the total sulfur content of the fuel. If manual hourly sampling is used, the results of each hourly sample analysis shall be the total sulfur value for that hour. Express all hourly average values of total sulfur content in units of grains/100 scf. Use all of the hourly average values of total sulfur content in grains/100 scf to calculate the mean value and the standard deviation. Also determine the 90th percentile and maximum hourly values of the total sulfur content for the data set. If the standard deviation of the hourly values from the mean does not exceed 5.0 grains/100 scf, the fuel has a low sulfur variability. If the standard deviation exceeds 5.0 grains/100 scf, the fuel has a high sulfur variability. Based on the results of this determination, establish the required sampling frequency and SO_2 mass emissions methodology for the gaseous fuel, as follows:

(1) If the gaseous fuel has a low sulfur variability (irrespective of the total sulfur content), the owner or operator may either perform daily sampling of the fuel's total sulfur content using manual sampling or a GC, or may report hourly SO_2 mass emissions data using a default SO_2 emission rate calculated by substituting the 90th percentile value of the total sulfur content in Equation D–1h.

(2) If the gaseous fuel has a high sulfur variability, but the maximum hourly value of the total sulfur content does not exceed 20 grains/100 scf, the owner or operator may either perform hourly sampling of the fuel's total sulfur content using an on-line GC, or may report hourly SO_2 mass emissions data using a default SO_2 emission rate calculated by substituting the maximum value of the total sulfur content in Equation D–1h.

(3) If the gaseous fuel has a high sulfur variability and the maximum hourly value of the total sulfur content exceeds 20 grains/100 scf, the owner or operator shall perform hourly sampling of the fuel's total sulfur content, using an on-line GC.

(4) Any gaseous fuel under paragraph (b)(1) or (b)(2) of this section, for which the owner or operator elects to use a default SO_2 emission rate for reporting purposes is subject to the annual total sulfur sampling requirement under section 2.3.2.4(e) of this appendix.

2.3.7 Application of Fuel Sampling Results

For reporting purposes, apply the results of the required periodic fuel samples described in Tables D–4 and D–5 of this appendix as follows. Use Equation D–1h to recalculate the SO_2 emission rate, as necessary.

(a) For daily samples of total sulfur content or GCV:

(1) If the actual value is to be used in the calculations, apply the results of each daily sample to all hours in the day on which the sample is taken; or

(2) If the highest value in the previous 30 daily samples is to be used in the calculations, apply that value to all hours in the current day. If, for a particular unit, fewer than 30 daily samples have been collected, use the highest value from all available samples until 30 days of historical sampling results have been obtained.

(b) For annual samples of total sulfur content:

(1) For pipeline natural gas, use the results of annual sample analyses in the calculations only if the results exceed 0.5 grains/100 scf. In that case, if the fuel still qualifies as natural gas, follow the procedures in paragraph (b)(2) of this section. If the fuel does not qualify as natural gas, the owner or operator shall implement the procedures in section 2.3.3 of this appendix, in the time frame specified in sections 2.3.1.4(d) and 2.3.2.4(d) of this appendix;

(2) For natural gas, if only one sample is taken, apply the results beginning at the date on which the sample was taken. If multiple samples are taken and averaged, apply the results beginning at the date on which the last sample used in the annual assessment was taken;

(3) For other gaseous fuels with an annual sampling requirement under section 2.3.6(b)(4) of this appendix, use the sample results in the calculations only if the results exceed the 90th percentile value or maximum value (as applicable) from the 720-hour demonstration of fuel sulfur content and variability under section 2.3.6 of this appendix.

(c) For monthly samples of the fuel GCV:

(1) If the actual monthly value is to be used in the calculations and only one sample is taken, apply the results starting from the date on which the sample was taken. If multiple samples are taken and averaged, apply the monthly average GCV value to the entire month; or

(2) If an assumed value (contract maximum or highest value from previous year's samples) is to be used in the calculations, apply the assumed value to all hours in each month of the quarter unless a higher value is obtained in a monthly GCV sample (or, if multiple samples are taken and averaged, if the monthly average exceeds the assumed value). In that case, if only one monthly sample is taken, use the sampled value, starting from the date on which the sample was taken. If multiple samples are taken and averaged, use the average value for the entire month in which the assumed value was exceeded. Consider the sample (or, if applicable, monthly average) results to be the new assumed value. Continue using the new assumed value unless and until one of the following occurs (as applicable to the reporting option selected): The assumed value is superseded by a higher value from a subsequent monthly sample (or by a higher monthly average); or the assumed value is superseded by a new contract in which case the new contract value becomes the assumed value at the time the fuel specified under the new contract begins to be combusted in the unit; or both the calendar year in which the new sampled value (or monthly average) exceeded the assumed value and the subsequent calendar year have elapsed.

(d) For samples of gaseous fuel delivered in shipments or lots:

(1) If the actual value for the most recent shipment is to be used in the calculations, apply the results of the most recent sample, from the date on which the sample was taken until the date on which the next sample is taken; or

(2) If an assumed value (contract maximum or highest value from previous year's samples) is to be used in the calculations, apply the assumed value unless a higher value is obtained in a sample of a shipment. In that case, use the sampled value, starting from the date on which the sample was taken. Consider the sample results to be the new assumed value. Continue using the new assumed value unless and until: it is superseded by a higher value from a sample of a subsequent shipment; or (if applicable) it is superseded by a new contract in which case the new contract value becomes the assumed value at the time the fuel specified under the new contract begins to be combusted in the unit; or (if applicable) both the calendar year in which the sampled value exceeded the assumed value and the subsequent calendar year have elapsed.

(e) When the owner or operator elects to use assumed values in the calculations, the results of periodic samples of sulfur content and GCV which show that the assumed value has not been exceeded need not be reported. Keep these sample results on file, in a format suitable for inspection.

(f) Notwithstanding the requirements of paragraphs (b) through (d) of this section, in cases where the sample results are provided to the owner or operator by the supplier of the fuel, the owner or operator shall begin using the sampling results on the date of receipt of those results, rather than on the date that the sample was taken.

2.4 Missing Data Procedures.

When data from the procedures of this part are not available, provide substitute data using the following procedures.

2.4.1 Missing Data for Oil and Gas Samples

When fuel sulfur content, gross calorific value or, when necessary, density data are missing or invalid for an oil or gas sample taken according to the procedures in section 2.2.3, 2.2.4.1, 2.2.4.2, 2.2.4.3, 2.2.5, 2.2.6, 2.2.7, 2.3.3.1.2, or 2.3.4 of this appendix, then substitute the maximum potential sulfur content, density, or gross calorific value of that fuel from Table D–6 of this appendix. Except for the annual samples of fuel sulfur content required under sections 2.3.1.4(e), 2.3.2.4(e) and 2.3.6(b)(5) of this appendix, the missing data values in Table D–6 shall be reported whenever the results of a required sample of sulfur content, GCV or density is missing or invalid in the current calendar year, irrespective of which reporting option is selected (i.e., actual value, contract value or highest value from the previous year). For the annual samples of fuel sulfur content required under sections 2.3.1.4(e), 2.3.2.4(e) and 2.3.6(b)(5) of this appendix, if a valid annual sample has not been obtained by the end of a particular calendar year, the appropriate missing data value in Table D–6 shall be reported, beginning with the first unit operating hour in the next calendar year. The substitute data value(s) shall be used until the next valid sample for the missing parameter(s) is obtained. Note that only actual sample results shall be used to determine the ''highest value from the previous year'' when that reporting option is used; missing data values shall not be used in the determination.

TABLE D-6. -- MISSING DATA SUBSTITUTION PROCEDURES FOR SULFUR, DENSITY, AND GROSS CALORIFIC VALUE DATA

Parameter	Missing data substitution maximum potential value
Oil Sulfur Content	3.5 percent for residual oil, or 1.0 percent for diesel fuel.
Oil Density	8.5 lb/gal for residual oil, or 7.4 lb/gal for diesel fuel.
Oil GCV	19,500 Btu/lb for residual oil, or 20,000 Btu/lb for diesel fuel.
Gas Total Sulfur Content	1. For pipeline natural gas, where annual sampling is required, substitute 0.002 lb/mmBtu for each hour of the missing data period. 2. For natural gas (or other gaseous fuel that qualifies to use a default SO_2 emission rate under section 2.3.6 of this appendix), where annual sampling is required, substitute 1.5 times the default SO_2 emission rate in use at the time of the missing data period. 3. For any gaseous fuel sampled daily, 1.5 times the highest total sulfur content value from the previous 30 days on which valid samples were obtained. 4. For any gaseous fuel sampled hourly, the highest total sulfur content value from the previous 720 hourly samples.
Gas GCV/Heat Content	110,000 Btu/100 scf for pipeline natural gas, natural gas or landfill gas. 150,000 Btu/100 scf for butane or refinery gas. 210,000 Btu/100 scf for propane or any other gaseous fuel.

2.4.2 Missing Data Procedures for Fuel Flow Rate

Whenever data are missing from any primary fuel flowmeter system (as defined in §72.2 of this chapter) and there is no backup system available to record the fuel flow rate, use the procedures in sections 2.4.2.2 and 2.4.2.3 of this appendix to account for the flow rate of fuel combusted at the unit for each hour during the missing data period. Alternatively, for a fuel flowmeter system used to measure the fuel combusted by a

peaking unit, the simplified fuel flow missing data procedure in section 2.4.2.1 of this appendix may be used. Before using the procedures in sections 2.4.2.2 and 2.4.2.3 of this appendix, establish load ranges for the unit using the procedures of section 2 in appendix C to this part, except for units that do not produce electrical output (i.e., megawatts) or thermal output (e.g., klb of steam per hour). The owner or operator of a unit that does not produce electrical or thermal output shall either perform missing data substitution without segregating the fuel flow rate data into bins, or may petition the Administrator under §75.66 for permission to segregate the data into operational bins. When load ranges are used for fuel flow rate missing data purposes, separate, fuel-specific databases shall be created and maintained. A database shall be kept for each type of fuel combusted in the unit, for the hours in which the fuel is combusted alone in the unit. An additional database shall be kept for each type of fuel, for the hours in which it is co-fired with any other type(s) of fuel(s).

2.4.2.1 Simplified Fuel Flow Rate Missing Data Procedure for Peaking Units

If no fuel flow rate data are available for a fuel flowmeter system installed on a peaking unit (as defined in §72.2 of this chapter), then substitute for each hour of missing data using the maximum potential fuel flow rate. The maximum potential fuel flow rate is the lesser of the following:

(a) The maximum fuel flow rate the unit is capable of combusting or

(b) The maximum flow rate that the fuel flowmeter can measure (i.e., the upper range value of the flowmeter).

2.4.2.2 Standard Missing Data Procedures—Single Fuel Hours

For missing data periods that occur when only one type of fuel is being combusted, provide substitute data for each hour in the missing data period as follows.

2.4.2.2.1 If load-based missing data procedures are used, substitute the arithmetic average of the hourly fuel flow rate(s) measured and recorded by a certified fuel flowmeter system at the corresponding operating unit load range during the previous 720 operating hours in which the unit combusted only that same fuel. If no fuel flow rate data are available at the corresponding load range, use data from the next higher load range, if such data are available. If no quality-assured fuel flow rate data are available at either the corresponding load range or a higher load range, substitute the maximum potential fuel flow rate (as defined in section 2.4.2.1 of this appendix) for each hour of the missing data period.

2.4.2.2.2 For units that do not produce electrical or thermal output and therefore cannot use load-based missing data procedures, provide substitute data for each hour of the missing data period as follows. Substitute the arithmetic average of the hourly fuel flow rates measured and recorded by a certified fuel flowmeter system during the previous 720 operating hours in which the unit combusted only that same fuel. If no quality-assured fuel flow rate data are available, substitute the maximum potential fuel flow rate (as defined in section 2.4.2.1 of this appendix) for each hour of the missing data period.

2.4.2.3 Standard Missing Data Procedures—Multiple Fuel Hours

For missing data periods that occur when two or more different types of fuel are being co-fired, provide substitute fuel flow rate data for each hour of the missing data period as follows.

2.4.2.3.1 If load-based missing data procedures are used, substitute the maximum hourly fuel flow rate measured and recorded by a certified fuel flowmeter system at the corresponding load range during the previous 720 operating hours when the fuel for which the flow rate data are missing was co-fired with any other type of fuel. If no such quality-assured fuel flow rate data are available at the corresponding load range, use data from the next higher load range (if available). If no quality-assured fuel flow rate data are available for co-fired hours, either at the corresponding load range or a higher load range, substitute the maximum potential fuel flow rate (as defined in section 2.4.2.1 of this appendix) for each hour of the missing data period.

2.4.2.3.2 For units that do not produce electrical or thermal output and therefore cannot use load-based missing data procedures, provide substitute fuel flow rate data for each hour of the missing data period as follows. Substitute the maximum hourly fuel flow rate measured and recorded by a certified fuel flowmeter system during the previous 720 operating hours in which the fuel for which the flow rate data are missing was co-fired with any other type of fuel. If no quality-assured fuel flow rate data for co-fired hours are available, substitute the maximum potential fuel flow rate (as defined in section 2.4.2.1 of this appendix) for each hour of the missing data period.

2.4.2.3.3 If, during an hour in which different types of fuel are co-fired, quality-assured fuel flow rate data are missing for two or more of the fuels being combusted, apply the procedures in section 2.4.2.3.1 or 2.4.2.3.2 of this appendix (as applicable) separately for each type of fuel.

2.4.2.3.4 If the missing data substitution required in section 2.4.2.3.1 or 2.4.2.3.2 causes the reported hourly heat input rate based on the combined fuel usage to exceed the maximum rated hourly heat input of the unit,

adjust the substitute fuel flow rate value(s) so that the reported heat input rate equals the unit's maximum rated hourly heat input. Manual entry of the adjusted substitute data values is permitted.

2.4.3. In any case where the missing data provisions of this section require substitution of data measured and recorded more than three years (26,280 clock hours) prior to the date and time of the missing data period, use three years (26,280 clock hours) in place of the prescribed lookback period. In addition, for a new or newly-affected unit, until 720 hours of quality-assured fuel flowmeter data are available for the lookback periods described in sections 2.4.2.2 and 2.4.2.3 of this appendix, use all of the available fuel flowmeter data to determine the appropriate substitute data values.

3. CALCULATIONS

Calculate hourly SO_2 mass emission rate from combustion of oil fuel using the procedures in section 3.1 of this appendix. Calculate hourly SO_2 mass emission rate from combustion of gaseous fuel using the procedures in section 3.3 of this appendix. (Note: the SO_2 mass emission rates in sections 3.1 and 3.3 are calculated such that the rate, when multiplied by unit operating time, yields the hourly SO_2 mass emissions for a particular fuel for the unit.) Calculate hourly heat input rate for both oil and gaseous fuels using the procedures in section 3.4 of this appendix. Calculate total SO_2 mass emissions and heat input for each hour, each quarter and the year to date using the procedures under section 3.5 of this appendix. Where an oil flowmeter records volumetric flow rate, use the calculation procedures in section 3.2 of this appendix to calculate the mass flow rate of oil.

3.1 SO_2 Mass Emission Rate Calculation for Oil

3.1.1 Use Equation D–2 to calculate SO_2 mass emission rate per hour (lb/hr):

$$SO2_{\text{rate-oil}} = 2.0 \times OIL_{\text{rate}} \times \frac{\%S_{\text{oil}}}{100.0} \qquad \text{(Eq. D-2)}$$

Where:

$SO_{2\text{rate-oil}}$ = Hourly mass emission rate of SO_2 emitted from combustion of oil, lb/hr.

OIL_{rate} = Mass rate of oil consumed per hr during combustion, lb/hr.

$\%S_{\text{oil}}$ = Percentage of sulfur by weight in the oil.

2.0 = Ratio of lb SO_2/lb S.

3.1.2 Record the SO_2 mass emission rate from oil for each hour that oil is combusted.

3.2 Mass Flow Rate Calculation for Volumetric Oil Flowmeters

3.2.1 Where the oil flowmeter records volumetric flow rate rather than mass flow rate, calculate and record the oil mass flow rate for each hourly period using hourly oil flow rate measurements and the density or specific gravity of the oil sample.

3.2.2 Convert density, specific gravity, or API gravity of the oil sample to density of the oil sample at the sampling location's temperature using ASTM D1250–07, Standard Guide for Use of the Petroleum Measurement Tables (incorporated by reference under (§75.6 of this part).

3.2.3 Where density of the oil is determined by the applicable ASTM procedures from section 2.2.6 of this appendix, use Equation D–3 to calculate the rate of the mass of oil consumed (in lb/hr):

$$OIL_{\text{rate}} = V_{\text{oil-rate}} \times D_{\text{oil}} \qquad \text{(Eq. D-3)}$$

Where:

OIL_{rate} = Mass rate of oil consumed per hr, lb/hr.

$V_{\text{oil-rate}}$ = Volume rate of oil consumed per hr, measured in scf/hr, gal/hr, barrels/hr, or m^3/hr.

D_{oil} = Density of oil, measured in lb/scf, lb/gal, lb/barrel, or lb/m^3.

3.3 SO_2 Mass Emission Rate Calculation for Gaseous Fuels

3.3.1 Use Equation D–4 to calculate the SO_2 mass emission rate when using the optional gas sampling and analysis procedures in sections 2.3.1 and 2.3.2 of this appendix, or the required gas sampling and analysis procedures in section 2.3.3 of this appendix. Total sulfur content of a fuel must be determined using the procedures of 2.3.3.1.2 of this appendix:

$$SO_{2_{\text{rate-gas}}} = \left(\frac{2.0}{7000}\right) \times GAS_{\text{rate}} \times S_{\text{gas}} \qquad \text{(Eq. D-4)}$$

Where:

SO2rate-gas = Hourly mass rate of SO_2 emitted due to combustion of gaseous fuel, lb/hr.

GASrate = Hourly metered flow rate of gaseous fuel combusted, 100 scf/hr.

Sgas = Sulfur content of gaseous fuel, in grain/100 scf.

2.0 = Ratio of lb SO_2/lb S.

7000 = Conversion of grains/100 scf to lb/100 scf.

3.3.2 Use Equation D–5 to calculate the SO_2 mass emission rate when using a default emission rate from section 2.3.1.1 or 2.3.2.1.1 of this appendix:

$$SO2_{rate} = ER \times HI_{rate} \qquad \text{(Eq. D-5)}$$

where:

SO_2rate = Hourly mass emission rate of SO_2 from combustion of a gaseous fuel, lb/hr.

ER = SO_2 emission rate from section 2.3.1.1 or 2.3.2.1.1, of this appendix, lb/mmBtu.

HI_{rate} = Hourly heat input rate of a gaseous fuel, calculated using procedures in section 3.4.1 of this appendix, in mmBtu/hr.

3.3.3 Record the SO_2 mass emission rate for each hour when the unit combusts a gaseous fuel.

3.4 Calculation of Heat Input Rate

3.4.1 Heat Input Rate for Gaseous Fuels

(a) Determine total hourly gas flow or average hourly gas flow rate with a fuel flowmeter in accordance with the requirements of section 2.1 of this appendix and the fuel GCV in accordance with the requirements of section 2.3.4 of this appendix. If necessary perform the 720-hour test under section 2.3.5 to determine the appropriate fuel GCV sampling frequency.

(b) Then, use Equation D–6 to calculate heat input rate from gaseous fuels for each hour.

$$HI_{rate\text{-}gas} = \frac{GAS_{rate} \times GCV_{gas}}{10^6} \qquad \text{(Eq. D-6)}$$

Where:

$HI_{rate\text{-}gas}$ = Hourly heat input rate from combustion of the gaseous fuel, mmBtu/hr.

GAS_{rate} = Average volumetric flow rate of fuel, for the portion of the hour in which the unit operated, 100 scf/hr.

GCV_{gas} = Gross calorific value of gaseous fuel, Btu/100 scf.

10^6 = Conversion of Btu to mmBtu.

(c) Note that when fuel flow is measured on an hourly totalized basis (e.g. a fuel flowmeter reports totalized fuel flow for each hour), before Equation D–6 can be used, the total hourly fuel usage must be converted from units of 100 scf to units of 100 scf/hr using Equation D–7:

$$GAS_{rate} = \frac{GAS_{unit}}{t} \qquad \text{(Eq. D-7)}$$

Where:

GAS_{rate} = Average volumetric flow rate of fuel for the portion of the hour in which the unit operated, 100 scf/hr.

GAS_{unit} = Total fuel combusted during the hour, 100 scf.

t = Unit operating time, hour or fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator).

3.4.2 Heat Input Rate From the Combustion of Oil

(a) Determine total hourly oil flow or average hourly oil flow rate with a fuel flowmeter, in accordance with the requirements of section 2.1 of this appendix. Determine oil GCV according to the requirements of section 2.2 of this appendix.

Then, use Equation D–8 to calculate hourly heat input rate from oil for each hour:

$$HI_{rate\text{-}oil} = OIL_{rate} \frac{GCV_{oil}}{10^6} \qquad \text{(Eq. D-8)}$$

Where:

$HI_{rate\text{-}oil}$ = Hourly heat input rate from combustion of oil, mmBtu/hr.

OIL_{rate} = Mass rate of oil consumed per hour, as determined using procedures in section 3.2.3 of this appendix, in lb/hr, tons/hr, or kg/hr.

GCV_{oil} = Gross calorific value of oil, Btu/lb, Btu/ton, or Btu/kg.

10^6 = Conversion of Btu to mmBtu.

(b) Note that when fuel flow is measured on an hourly totalized basis (e.g., a fuel flowmeter reports totalized fuel flow for each hour), before equation D–8 can be used, the total hourly fuel usage must be converted from units of lb to units of lb/hr, using equation D–9:

$$OIL_{rate} = \frac{OIL_{unit}}{t} \qquad \text{(Eq. D-9)}$$

Where:

OIL_{rate} = Average fuel flow rate for the portion of the hour which the unit operated in lb/hr.

OIL_{unit} = Total fuel combusted during the hour, lb.

t = Unit operating time, hour or fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator).

(c) For affected units that are not subject to an Acid Rain emissions limitation, but are regulated under a State or federal NO_X mass emissions reduction program that adopts the requirements of subpart H of this part, the following alternative method may be used to determine the heat input rate from oil combustion, when the oil flowmeter measures the flow rate of oil volumetrically. In lieu of measuring the oil density and converting the volumetric oil flow rate to a mass flow rate, Equation D–8 may be applied on a volumetric basis. If this option is selected, express the terms OIL_{rate} and GCV_{oil} in Equation D–8 in units of volume rather than mass. For example, the units of OIL_{rate} may be gal/hr and the units of GCV_{oil} may be Btu/gal.

3.4.3 Apportioning Heat Input Rate to Multiple Units

(a) Use the procedure in this section to apportion hourly heat input rate to two or more units using a single fuel flowmeter which supplies fuel to the units. The designated representative may also petition the Administrator under §75.66 to use this apportionment procedure to calculate SO_2 and CO_2 mass emissions.

(b) Determine total hourly fuel flow or flow rate through the fuel flowmeter supplying gas or oil fuel to the units. Convert fuel flow rates to units of 100 scf for gaseous fuels or to lb for oil, using the procedures of this appendix. Apportion the fuel to each unit separately based on hourly output of the unit in MW_e or 1000 lb of steam/hr (klb/hr) using Equation F–21a or F–21b in appendix F to this part, as applicable:

Equation D–10 [Reserved]

Equation D–11 [Reserved]

(c) Use the total apportioned fuel flow calculated from Equation F–21a or F–21b to calculate the hourly unit heat input rate, using Equations D–6 and D–7 (for gas) or Equations D–8 and D–9 (for oil).

3.5 Conversion of Hourly Rates to Hourly, Quarterly, and Year-to-Date Totals

3.5.1 Hourly SO_2 Mass Emissions from the Combustion of all Fuels. Determine the total mass emissions for each hour from the combustion of all fuels using Equation D–12 (On and after January 1, 2009, determine the total mass emission rate (in lbs/hr) for each hour from the combustion of all fuels by dividing Equation D–12 by the actual unit operating time for the hour):

$$M_{SO_2-hr} = \sum_{all-fuels} SO_{2_{rate-I}} t_i \qquad \text{(Eq. D-12)}$$

Where:

MSO_2-hr = Total mass of SO_2 emissions from all fuels combusted during the hour, lb.

SO_2 rate−I = SO_2 mass emission rate for each type of gas or oil fuel combusted during the hour, lb/hr.

ti = Time each gas or oil fuel was combusted for the hour (fuel usage time), fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator).

3.5.2 Quarterly Total SO_2 Mass Emissions

Sum the hourly SO_2 mass emissions in lb as determined from Equation D–12 for all hours in a quarter using Equation D–13:

$$M_{SO2\text{-}qtr} = \frac{1}{2000} \sum_{all\text{-}hours\text{-}in\text{-}qtr} M_{SO2\text{-}hr} \qquad \text{(Eq. D-13)}$$

Where:

$M_{SO2\text{-}qtr}$ = Total mass of SO_2 emissions from all fuels combusted during the quarter, tons.

$M_{SO2\text{-}hr}$ = Hourly SO_2 mass emissions determined using Equation D–12, lb.

2000= Conversion factor from lb to tons.

3.5.3 Year to Date SO_2 Mass Emissions

Calculate and record SO_2 mass emissions in the year to date using Equation D–14:

$$M_{SO2\text{-}YTD} = \sum_{q=1}^{\text{current-quarter}} M_{SO2\text{-}qtr} \qquad \text{(Eq. D-14)}$$

Where:

$M_{SO2\text{-}YTD}$ = Total SO_2 mass emissions for the year to date, tons.

$M_{SO2\text{-}qtr}$ = Total SO_2 mass emissions for the quarter, tons.

3.5.4 Hourly Total Heat Input Rate and Heat Input from the Combustion of all Fuels

3.5.4.1 Determine the total heat input in mmBtu for each hour from the combustion of all fuels using Equation D–15:

$$HI_{hr} = \sum_{\text{all-fuels}} HI_{rate\text{-}i} t_i \qquad \text{(Eq. D-15)}$$

Where:

HI_{hr} = Total heat input from all fuels combusted during the hour, mmBtu.

$HI_{rate\text{-}i}$ = Heat input rate for each type of gas or oil combusted during the hour, mmBtu/hr.

t_i = Time each gas or oil fuel was combusted for the hour (fuel usage time), fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator).

3.5.4.2 For reporting purposes, determine the heat input rate to each unit, in mmBtu/hr, for each hour from the combustion of all fuels using Equation D–15a:

$$HI_{rate\text{-}hr} = \frac{\sum_{\text{all-fuels}} HI_{rate\text{-}i} t_i}{t_u} \qquad \text{(Eq. D-15a)}$$

Where:

$HI_{rate\text{-}hr}$ = Total heat input rate from all fuels combusted during the hour, mmBtu/hr.

$HI_{rate\text{-}i}$ = Heat input rate for each type of gas or oil combusted during the hour, mmBtu/hr.

t_i = Time each gas or oil fuel was combusted for the hour (fuel usage time), fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator).

t_u = Unit operating time

3.5.5 Quarterly Heat Input

Sum the hourly heat input values determined from equation D–15 for all hours in a quarter using Equation D–16:

$$HI_{qtr} = \sum_{\text{all-hours-in-qtr}} HI_{hr} \qquad \text{(Eq. D-16)}$$

Where:

HIqtr = Total heat input from all fuels combusted during the quarter, mmBtu.

HIqtr = Hourly heat input determined using Equation D–15, mmBtu.

3.5.6 Year-to-Date Heat Input

Calculate and record the total heat input in the year to date using Equation D–17.

$$HI_{YTD} = \sum_{q=1}^{\text{current-quarter}} HI_{qtr} \qquad \text{(Eq. D-17)}$$

HI_{YTD} = Total heat input for the year to date, mmBtu.

HI_{qtr} = Total heat input for the quarter, mmBtu.

3.6 Records and Reports

Calculate and record quarterly and cumulative SO_2 mass emissions and heat input for each calendar quarter using the procedures and equations of section 3.5 of this appendix. Calculate and record SO_2 emissions and heat input data using a data acquisition and handling system. Report these data in a standard electronic format specified by the Administrator.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26548, 26551, May 17, 1995; 61 FR 25585, May 22, 1996; 61 FR 59166, Nov. 20, 1996; 63 FR 57513, Oct. 27, 1998; 64 FR 28652, May 26, 1999; 64 FR 37582, July 12, 1999; 67 FR 40460, 40472, June 12, 2002; 67 FR 53505, Aug. 16, 2002; 73 FR 4369, Jan. 24, 2008; 76 FR 17324, Mar. 28, 2011; 76 FR 20536, Apr. 13, 2011; 77 FR 2460, Jan. 18, 2012]

EDITORIAL NOTE: At 67 FR 53505, Aug. 16, 2002, section 2.4.1 Table D–6 was amended.

However, this table is a photographed graphic and the amendments could not be incorporated.

APPENDIX E TO PART 75—OPTIONAL NO_X EMISSIONS ESTIMATION PROTOCOL FOR GAS-FIRED PEAKING UNITS AND OIL-FIRED PEAKING UNITS

1. APPLICABILITY

1.1 Unit Operation Requirements

This NO_X emissions estimation procedure may be used in lieu of a continuous NO_X emission monitoring system (lb/mmBtu) for determining the average NO_X emission rate and hourly NO_X rate from gas-fired peaking units and oil-fired peaking units as defined in §72.2 of this chapter. If a unit's operations exceed the levels required to be a peaking unit, the owner or operator shall install and certify a NO_X-diluent continuous emission monitoring system no later than December 31 of the following calendar year. If the required CEMS has not been installed and certified by that date, the owner or operator shall report the maximum potential NO_X emission rate (MER) (as defined in §72.2 of this chapter) for each unit operating hour, starting with the first unit operating hour after the deadline and continuing until the CEMS has been provisionally certified. The provision of §75.12 apply to excepted monitoring systems under this appendix.

1.2 Certification

1.2.1 Pursuant to the procedures in §75.20, complete all testing requirements to certify use of this protocol in lieu of a NO_X continuous emission monitoring system no later than the applicable deadline specified in §75.4. Apply to the Administrator for certification to use this method no later than 45 days after the completion of all certification testing. Whenever the monitoring method is to be changed, reapply to the Administrator for certification of the new monitoring method.

1.2.2 [Reserved]

2. PROCEDURE

2.1 Initial Performance Testing

Use the following procedures for: measuring NO_X emission rates at heat input rate levels corresponding to different load levels; measuring heat input rate; and plotting the correlation between heat input rate and NO_X emission rate, in order to determine the emission rate of the unit(s). The requirements in section 6.1.2 of appendix A to this part shall apply to any stack testing performed to obtain O_2 and NO_X concentration measurements under this appendix, either for units using the excepted methodology in this appendix or for units using the low mass emissions excepted methodology in §75.19.

2.1.1 Load Selection

Establish at least four approximately equally spaced operating load points, ranging from the maximum operating load to the minimum operating load. Select the maximum and minimum operating load from the operating history of the unit during the most recent two years. (If projections indicate that the unit's maximum or minimum operating load during the next five years will be significantly different from the most recent two years, select the maximum and minimum operating load based on the projected dispatched load of the unit.) For new gas-fired peaking units or new oil-fired peaking units, select the maximum and minimum operating load from the expected maximum and minimum load to be dispatched to the unit in the first five calendar years of operation.

2.1.2 NO_X and O_2 Concentration Measurements

Use the following procedures to measure NO_X and O_2 concentration in order to determine NO_X emission rate.

2.1.2.1 For boilers, select an excess O_2 level for each fuel (and, optionally, for each combination of fuels) to be combusted that is representative for each of the four or more load levels. If a boiler operates using a single, consistent combination of fuels only, the testing may be performed using the combination rather than each fuel. If a fuel is combusted only for the purpose of testing ignition of the burners for a period of five minutes or less per ignition test or for start-up, then the boiler NO_X emission rate does not need to be tested separately for that fuel. Operate the boiler at a normal or conservatively high excess oxygen level in conjunction with these tests. Measure the NO_X and O_2 at each load point for each fuel or consistent fuel combination (and, optionally, for each combination of fuels) to be combusted. Measure the NO_X and O_2 concentrations according to method 7E and 3A in appendix A of part 60 of this chapter. Use a minimum of 12 sample points, located according to Method 1 in appendix A–1 to part 60 of this chapter. The designated representative for the unit may also petition the Administrator under §75.66 to use fewer sampling points. Such a petition shall include the proposed alternative sampling procedure and information demonstrating that there is no concentration stratification at the sampling location.

2.1.2.2 For stationary gas turbines, sample at a minimum of 12 points per run at each load level. Locate the sample points according to Method 1 in appendix A–1 to part 60 of this chapter. For each fuel or consistent

combination of fuels (and, optionally, for each combination of fuels), measure the NO_X and O_2 concentrations at each sampling point using methods 7E and 3A in appendices A–4 and A–2 to part 60 of this chapter. For diesel or dual fuel reciprocating engines, select the sampling site to be as close as practicable to the exhaust of the engine.

2.1.2.3 Allow the unit to stabilize for a minimum of 15 minutes (or longer if needed for the NO_X and O_2 readings to stabilize) prior to commencing NO_X, O_2, and heat input measurements. Determine the measurement system response time according to sections 8.2.5 and 8.2.6 of method 7E in appendix A–4 to part 60 of this chapter. When inserting the probe into the flue gas for the first sampling point in each traverse, sample for at least one minute plus twice the measurement system response time (or longer, if necessary to obtain a stable reading). For all other sampling points in each traverse, sample for at least one minute plus the measurement system response time (or longer, if necessary to obtain a stable reading). Perform three test runs at each load condition and obtain an arithmetic average of the runs for each load condition. During each test run on a boiler, record the boiler excess oxygen level at 5 minute intervals.

2.1.3 Heat Input

Measure the total heat input (mmBtu) and heat input rate during testing (mmBtu/hr) as follows:

2.1.3.1 When the unit is combusting fuel, measure and record the flow of fuel consumed. Measure the flow of fuel with an in-line flowmeter(s) and automatically record the data. If a portion of the flow is diverted from the unit without being burned, and that diversion occurs downstream of the fuel flowmeter, an in-line flowmeter is required to account for the unburned fuel. Install and calibrate in-line flow meters using the procedures and specifications contained in sections 2.1.2, 2.1.3, 2.1.4, and 2.1.5 of appendix D of this part. Correct any gaseous fuel flow rate measured at actual temperature and pressure to standard conditions of 68 °F and 29.92 inches of mercury.

2.1.3.2 For liquid fuels, analyze fuel samples taken according to the requirements of section 2.2 of appendix D of this part to determine the heat content of the fuel. Determine heat content of liquid or gaseous fuel in accordance with the procedures in appendix F of this part. Calculate the heat input rate during testing (mmBtu/hr) associated with each load condition in accordance with equations F–19 or F–20 in appendix F of this part and total heat input using equation E–1 of this appendix. Record the heat input rate at each heat input/load point.

2.1.4 Emergency Fuel

The designated representative of a unit that is restricted by its federal, State or local permit to combusting a particular fuel only during emergencies where the primary fuel is not available may claim an exemption from the requirements of this appendix for testing the NO_X emission rate during combustion of the emergency fuel. To claim this exemption, the designated representative shall include in the monitoring plan for the unit documentation that the permit restricts use of the fuel to emergencies only. When emergency fuel is combusted, report the maximum potential NO_X emission rate for the emergency fuel, in accordance with section 2.5.2.3 of this appendix. The designated representative shall also provide notice under §75.61(a)(6) for each period when the emergency fuel is combusted.

2.1.5 Tabulation of Results

Tabulate the results of each baseline correlation test for each fuel or, as applicable, combination of fuels, listing: time of test, duration, operating loads, heat input rate (mmBtu/hr), F-factors, excess oxygen levels, and NO_X concentrations (ppm) on a dry basis (at actual excess oxygen level). Convert the NO_X concentrations (ppm) to NO_X emission rates (to the nearest 0.001 lb/mm/Btu) according to equation F–5 of appendix F of this part or 19–3 in method 19 of appendix A of part 60 of this chapter, as appropriate. Calculate the NO_X emission rate in lb/mmBtu for each sampling point and determine the arithmetic average NO_X emission rate of each test run. Calculate the arithmetic average of the boiler excess oxygen readings for each test run. Record the arithmetic average of the three test runs as the NO_X emission rate and the boiler excess oxygen level for the heat input/load condition.

2.1.6 Plotting of Results

Plot the tabulated results as an x-y graph for each fuel and (as applicable) combination of fuels combusted according to the following procedures.

2.1.6.1 Plot the heat input rate (mmBtu/hr) as the independent (or x) variable and the NO_X emission rates (lb/mmBtu) as the dependent (or y) variable for each load point. Construct the graph by drawing straight line segments between each load point. Draw a horizontal line to the y-axis from the minimum heat input (load) point.

2.1.6.2 Units that co-fire gas and oil may be tested while firing gas only and oil only instead of testing with each combination of fuels. In this case, construct a graph for each fuel.

2.2 Periodic NO_X Emission Rate Testing

Retest the NO_X emission rate of the gas-fired peaking unit or the oil-fired peaking

unit while combusting each type of fuel (or fuel mixture) for which a NO_X emission rate versus heat input rate correlation curve was derived, at least once every 20 calendar quarters. If a required retest is not completed by the end of the 20th calendar quarter following the quarter of the last test, use the missing data substitution procedures in section 2.5 of this appendix, beginning with the first unit operating hour after the end of the 20th calendar quarter. Continue using the missing data procedures until the required retest has been passed. Note that missing data substitution is fuel-specific (i.e., the use of substitute data is required only when combusting a fuel (or fuel mixture) for which the retesting deadline has not been met). Each time that a new fuel-specific correlation curve is derived from retesting, the new curve shall be used to report NO_X emission rate, beginning with the first operating hour in which the fuel is combusted, following the completion of the retest. Notwithstanding this requirement, for non-Acid Rain Program units that report NO_X mass emissions and heat input data only during the ozone season under §75.74(c), if the NO_X emission rate testing is performed outside the ozone season, the new correlation curve may be used beginning with the first unit operating hour in the ozone season immediately following the testing.

2.3 Other Quality Assurance/Quality Control-Related NOx Emission Rate Testing

When the operating levels of certain parameters exceed the limits specified below, or where the Administrator issues a notice requesting retesting because the NO_X emission rate data availability for when the unit operates within all quality assurance/quality control parameters in this section since the last test is less than 90.0 percent, as calculated by the Administrator, complete retesting of the NO_X emission rate by the earlier of: (1) 30 unit operating days (as defined in §72.2 of this chapter) or (2) 180 calendar days after exceeding the limits or after the date of issuance of a notice from the Administrator to re-verify the unit's NO_X emission rate. Submit test results in accordance with §75.60 within 45 days of completing the retesting.

2.3.1 For a stationary gas turbine, select at least four operating parameters indicative of the turbine's NO_X formation characteristics, and define in the QA plan for the unit the acceptable ranges for these parameters at each tested load-heat input point. The acceptable parametric ranges should be based upon the turbine manufacturer's recommendations. Alternatively, the owner or operator may use sound engineering judgment and operating experience with the unit to establish the acceptable parametric ranges, provided that the rationale for selecting these ranges is included as part of the quality-assurance plan for the unit. If the gas turbine uses water or steam injection for NO_X control, the water/fuel or steam/fuel ratio shall be one of these parameters. During the NOx-heat input correlation tests, record the average value of each parameter for each load-heat input to ensure that the parameters are within the acceptable range. Redetermine the NO_X emission rate-heat input correlation for each fuel and (optional) combination of fuels after continuously exceeding the acceptable range of any of these parameters for one or more successive operating periods totaling more than 16 unit operating hours.

2.3.2 For a diesel or dual-fuel reciprocating engine, select at least four operating parameters indicative of the engine's NO_X formation characteristics, and define in the QA plan for the unit the acceptable ranges for these parameters at each tested load-heat input point. The acceptable parametric ranges should be based upon the engine manufacturer's recommendations. Alternatively, the owner or operator may use sound engineering judgment and operating experience with the unit to establish the acceptable parametric ranges, provided that the rationale for selecting these ranges is included as part of the quality-assurance plan for the unit. Any operating parameter critical for NO_X control shall be included. During the NO_X heat-input correlation tests, record the average value of each parameter for each load-heat input to ensure that the parameters are within the acceptable range. Redetermine the NO_X emission rate-heat input correlation for each fuel and (optional) combination or fuels after continuously exceeding the acceptable range of any of these parameters for one or more successive operating periods totaling more than 16 unit operating hours.

2.3.3 For boilers using the procedures in this appendix, the NO_X emission rate heat input correlation for each fuel and (optional) combination of fuels shall be redetermined if the excess oxygen level at any heat input rate (or unit operating load) continuously exceeds by more than 2 percentage points O_2 from the boiler excess oxygen level recorded at the same operating heat input rate during the previous NO_X emission rate test for one or more successive operating periods totaling more than 16 unit operating hours.

2.4 Procedures for Determining Hourly NO_X Emission Rate

2.4.1 Record the time (hr. and min.), load (MWge or steam load in 1000 lb/hr, or mmBtu/hr thermal output), fuel flow rate and heat input rate (using the procedures in section 2.1.3 of this appendix) for each hour during which the unit combusts fuel. Calculate the total hourly heat input using equation E–1 of

this appendix. Record the heat input rate for each fuel to the nearest 0.1 mmBtu/hr. During partial unit operating hours or during hours where more than one fuel is combusted, heat input must be represented as an hourly rate in mmBtu/hr, as if the fuel were combusted for the entire hour at that rate (and not as the actual, total heat input during that partial hour or hour) in order to ensure proper correlation with the NO_X emission rate graph.

2.4.2 Use the graph of the baseline correlation results (appropriate for the fuel or fuel combination) to determine the NO_X emissions rate (lb/mmBtu) corresponding to the heat input rate (mmBtu/hr). Input this correlation into the data acquisition and handling system for the unit. Linearly interpolate to 0.1 mmBtu/hr heat input rate and 0.001 lb/mmBtu NO_X. For each type of fuel, calculate NO_X emission rate using the baseline correlation results from the most recent test with that fuel, beginning with the date and hour of the completion of the most recent test.

2.4.3 To determine the NO_X emission rate for a unit co-firing fuels that has not been tested for that combination of fuels, interpolate between the NO_X emission rate for each fuel as follows. Determine the heat input rate for the hour (in mmBtu/hr) for each fuel and select the corresponding NO_X emission rate for each fuel on the appropriate graph. (When a fuel is combusted for a partial hour, determine the fuel usage time for each fuel and determine the heat input rate from each fuel as if that fuel were combusted at that rate for the entire hour in order to select the corresponding NO_X emission rate.) Calculate the total heat input to the unit in mmBtu for the hour from all fuel combusted using Equation E–1. Calculate a Btu-weighted average of the emission rates for all fuels using Equation E–2 of this appendix. For each type of fuel, calculate NO_X emission rate using the baseline correlation results from the most recent test with that fuel, beginning with the date and hour of the completion of the most recent test.

2.4.4 For each hour, record the critical quality assurance parameters, as identified in the monitoring plan, and as required by section 2.3 of this appendix from the date and hour of the completion of the most recent test for each type of fuel.

2.5 Missing Data Procedures

Provide substitute data for each unit electing to use this alternative procedure whenever a valid quality-assured hour of NO_X emission rate data has not been obtained according to the procedures and specifications of this appendix. For the purpose of providing substitute data, calculate the maximum potential NO_X emission rate (as defined in §72.2 of this chapter) for each type of fuel combusted in the unit.

2.5.1 Use the procedures of this section whenever any of the quality assurance/quality control parameters exceeds the limits in section 2.3 of this appendix or whenever any of the quality assurance/quality control parameters are not available.

2.5.2 Substitute missing NO_X emission rate data using the highest NO_X emission rate tabulated during the most recent set of baseline correlation tests for the same fuel or, if applicable, combination of fuels, except as provided in sections 2.5.2.1, 2.5.2.2, 2.5.2.3, and 2.5.2.4 of this appendix.

2.5.2.1 If the measured heat input rate during any unit operating hour is higher than the highest heat input rate from the baseline correlation tests, the NO_X emission rate for the hour is considered to be missing. Provide substitute data for each such hour, according to section 2.5.2.1.1 or 2.5.2.1.2 of this appendix, as applicable. Either:

2.5.2.1.1 Substitute the higher of: the NO_X emission rate obtained by linear extrapolation of the correlation curve, or the maximum potential NO_X emission rate (MER) (as defined in §72.2 of this chapter), specific to the type of fuel being combusted. (For fuel mixtures, substitute the highest NO_X MER value for any fuel in the mixture.) For units with NO_X emission controls, the extrapolated NO_X emission rate may only be used if the controls are documented (e.g., by parametric data) to be operating properly during the missing data period (see section 2.5.2.2 of this appendix); or

2.5.2.1.2 Substitute 1.25 times the highest NO_X emission rate from the baseline correlation tests for the fuel (or fuel mixture) being combusted in the unit, not to exceed the MER for that fuel (or mixture). For units with NO_X emission controls, the option to report 1.25 times the highest emission rate from the correlation curve may only be used if the controls are documented (e.g., by parametric data) to be operating properly during the missing data period (see section 2.5.2.2 of this appendix).

2.5.2.2 For a unit with add-on NO_X emission controls (e.g., steam or water injection, selective catalytic reduction), if, for any unit operating hour, the emission controls are either not in operation or if appropriate parametric data are unavailable to ensure proper operation of the controls, the NO_X emission rate for the hour is considered to be missing. Substitute the fuel-specific MER (as defined in §72.2 of this chapter) for each such hour.

2.5.2.3 When emergency fuel (as defined in §72.2) is combusted in the unit, report the fuel-specific NO_X MER for each hour that the fuel is combusted, unless a NO_X correlation curve has been derived for the fuel.

2.5.2.4 Whenever 20 full calendar quarters have elapsed following the quarter of the last baseline correlation test for a particular

type of fuel (or fuel mixture), without a subsequent baseline correlation test being done for that type of fuel (or fuel mixture), substitute the fuel-specific NO_X MER (as defined in §72.2 of this chapter) for each hour in which that fuel (or mixture) is combusted until a new baseline correlation test for that fuel (or mixture) has been successfully completed. For fuel mixtures, report the highest of the individual MER values for the components of the mixture.

2.5.3 Maintain a record indicating which data are substitute data and the reasons for the failure to provide a valid quality-assured hour of NO_X emission rate data according to the procedures and specifications of this appendix.

2.5.4 Substitute missing data from a fuel flowmeter using the procedures in section 2.4.2 of appendix D to this part.

2.5.5 Substitute missing data for gross calorific value of fuel using the procedures in sections 2.4.1 of appendix D to this part.

3. CALCULATIONS

3.1 Heat Input

Calculate the total heat input by summing the product of heat input rate and fuel usage time of each fuel, as in the following equation:

$$H_T = HI_{fuel1}t_1 + HI_{fuel2}t_2 + HI_{fuel3}t_3 + \ldots + HI_{lastfuel}t_{last} \qquad \text{(Eq. E-1)}$$

Where:

H_T = Total heat input of fuel flow or a combination of fuel flows to a unit, mmBtu.

$HI_{fuel\ 1,2,3,\ldots last}$ = Heat input rate from each fuel, in mmBtu/hr as determined using Equation F–19 or F–20 in section 5.5 of appendix F to this part, mmBtu/hr.

$t_{1,2,3,\ldots last}$ = Fuel usage time for each fuel (rounded up to the nearest fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator)).

3.2 F-factors

Determine the F-factors for each fuel or combination of fuels to be combusted according to section 3.3 of appendix F of this part.

3.3 NO_X Emission Rate

3.3.1 Conversion from Concentration to Emission Rate

Convert the NO_X concentrations (ppm) and O_2 concentrations to NO_X emission rates (to the nearest 0.01 lb/mmBtu for tests performed prior to April 1, 2000, or to the nearest 0.001 lb/mmBtu for tests performed on and after April 1, 2000), according to the appropriate one of the following equations: F–5 in appendix F to this part for dry basis concentration measurements or 19–3 in Method 19 of appendix A to part 60 of this chapter for wet basis concentration measurements.

3.3.2 Quarterly Average NO_X Emission Rate

Report the quarterly average emission rate (lb/mmBtu) as required in subpart G of this part. Calculate the quarterly average NO_X emission rate according to equation F–9 in appendix F of this part.

3.3.3 Annual Average NO_X Emission Rate

Report the average emission rate (lb/mmBtu) for the calendar year as required in subpart G of this part. Calculate the average NO_X emission rate according to equation F–10 in appendix F of this part.

3.3.4 Average NO_X Emission Rate During Co-firing of Fuels

$$E_h = \frac{\sum_{f=1}^{\text{all fuels}} \left(E_f \times HI_f t_f\right)}{H_T} \qquad \text{(Eq. E-2)}$$

Where:

E_h = NO_X emission rate for the unit for the hour, lb/mmBtu.

E_f = NO_X emission rate for the unit for a given fuel at heat input rate HI_f, lb/mmBtu.

HI_f = Heat input rate for the hour for a given fuel, during the fuel usage time, as determined using Equation F–19 or F–20 in section 5.5 of appendix F to this part, mmBtu/hr.

H_T = Total heat input for all fuels for the hour from Equation E–1.

t_f = Fuel usage time for each fuel (rounded up to the nearest fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator)).

NOTE: For hours where a fuel is combusted for only part of the hour, use the fuel flow rate or mass flow rate during the fuel usage time, instead of the total fuel flow or mass flow during the hour, when calculating heat input rate using Equation F–19 or F–20.

4. QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL PLAN

Include a section on the NO_X emission rate determination as part of the monitoring quality assurance/quality control plan required under §75.21 and appendix B of this part for each gas-fired peaking unit and each oil-fired peaking unit. In this section present information including, but not limited to, the following: (1) a copy of all data and results from the initial NO_X emission rate testing, including the values of quality assurance parameters specified in section 2.3 of this appendix; (2) a copy of all data and results from the most recent NO_X emission rate load correlation testing; (3) a copy of the recommended range of quality assurance- and quality control-related operating parameters.

4.1 Submit a copy of the recommended range of operating parameter values, and the range of operating parameter values recorded during the previous NO_X emission rate test that determined the unit's NO_X emission rate, along with the unit's revised monitoring plan submitted with the certification application.

4.2 Keep records of these operating parameters for each hour of operation in order to demonstrate that a unit is remaining within the recommended operating range.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26551, May 17, 1995; 64 FR 28665, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40473, 40474, June 12, 2002; 67 FR 53505, Aug. 16, 2002; 73 FR 4372, Jan. 24, 2008; 76 FR 17325, Mar. 28, 2011]

APPENDIX F TO PART 75—CONVERSION PROCEDURES

1. APPLICABILITY

Use the procedures in this appendix to convert measured data from a monitor or continuous emission monitoring system into the appropriate units of the standard.

2. PROCEDURES FOR SO_2 EMISSIONS

Use the following procedures to compute hourly SO_2 mass emission rate (in lb/hr) and quarterly and annual SO_2 total mass emissions (in tons).

2.1 When measurements of SO_2 concentration and flow rate are on a wet basis, use the following equation to compute hourly SO_2 mass emission rate (in lb/hr):

$$E_h = KC_hQ_h \qquad \text{(Eq. F-1)}$$

Where:

E_h = Hourly SO_2 mass emission rate during unit operation, lb/hr.

$K = 1.660 \times 10^{-7}$ for SO_2, (lb/scf)/ppm.

C_h = Hourly average SO_2 concentration during unit operation, stack moisture basis, ppm.

Q_h = Hourly average volumetric flow rate during unit operation, stack moisture basis, scfh.

2.2 When measurements by the SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor are on a dry basis and the flow rate monitor measurements are on a wet basis, use the following equation to compute hourly SO_2 mass emission rate (in lb/hr):

$$E_h = K\,C_{hp}Q_{hs}\frac{(100 - \%H_2O)}{100} \qquad \text{(Eq. F-2)}$$

where:

E_h = Hourly SO_2 mass emission rate during unit operation, lb/hr.

$K = 1.660 \times 10^{-7}$ for SO_2, (lb/scf)/ppm.

C_{hp} = Hourly average SO_2 concentration during unit operation, ppm (dry).

Q_{hs} = Hourly average volumetric flow rate during unit operation, scfh as measured (wet).

$\%H_2O$ = Hourly average stack moisture content during unit operation, percent by volume.

2.3 Use the following equations to calculate total SO_2 mass emissions for each calendar quarter (Equation F–3) and for each calendar year (Equation F–4), in tons:

$$E_q = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^{n} E_h t_h}{2000}$$

(Eq. F–3)

Where:

E_q = Quarterly total SO_2 mass emissions, tons.

E_h = Hourly SO_2 mass emission rate, lb/hr.

t_h = Unit operating time, hour or fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator).

n = Number of hourly SO_2 emissions values during calendar quarter.

2000 = Conversion of 2000 lb per ton.

$$E_a = \sum_{q=1}^{4} E_q \qquad \text{(Eq. F-4)}$$

Where:

E_a = Annual total SO_2 mass emissions, tons.
E_q = Quarterly SO_2 mass emissions, tons.
q = Quarters for which E_q are available during calendar year.

2.4 Round all SO_2 mass emission rates and totals to the nearest tenth.

3. PROCEDURES FOR NO_X EMISSION RATE

Use the following procedures to convert continuous emission monitoring system measurements of NO_X concentration (ppm) and diluent concentration (percentage) into NO_X emission rates (in lb/mmBtu). Perform measurements of NO_X and diluent (O_2 or CO_2) concentrations on the same moisture (wet or dry) basis.

3.1 When the NO_X continuous emission monitoring system uses O_2 as the diluent, and measurements are performed on a dry basis, use the following conversion procedure:

$$E = K\, C_h\, F\, \frac{20.9}{20.9 - \%O_2}$$

(Eq. F–5)

where,

K, E, C_h, F, and $\%O_2$ are defined in section 3.3 of this appendix. When measurements are performed on a wet basis, use the equations in Method 19 in appendix A–7 to part 60 of this chapter.

3.2 When the NO_X continuous emission monitoring system uses CO_2 as the diluent, use the following conversion procedure:

$$E = K\, C_h\, F_c\, \frac{100}{\%CO_2}$$

(Eq. F–6)

where:

K, E, Ch, Fc, and $\%CO_2$ are defined in section 3.3 of this appendix.

When CO_2 and NO_X measurements are performed on a different moisture basis, use the equations in Method 19 in appendix A–7 to part 60 of this chapter.

3.3 Use the definitions listed below to derive values for the parameters in equations F–5 and F–6 of this appendix, or (if applicable) in the equations in Method 19 in appendix A–7 to part 60 of this chapter.

3.3.1 $K = 1.194 \times 10^{-7}$ (lb/dscf)/ppm NO_X.

3.3.2 E = Pollutant emissions during unit operation, lb/mmBtu.

3.3.3 C_h = Hourly average pollutant concentration during unit operation, ppm.

3.3.4 $\%O_2$, $\%CO_2$ = Oxygen or carbon dioxide volume during unit operation (expressed as percent O_2 or CO_2).

3.3.4.1 For boilers, a minimum concentration of 5.0 percent CO_2 or a maximum concentration of 14.0 percent O_2 may be substituted for the measured diluent gas concentration value for any operating hour in which the hourly average CO_2 concentration is <5.0 percent CO_2 or the hourly average O_2 concentration is >14.0 percent O_2. For stationary gas turbines, a minimum concentration of 1.0 percent CO_2 or a maximum concentration of 19.0 percent O_2 may be substituted for measured diluent gas concentration values for any operating hour in which the hourly average CO_2 concentration is <1.0 percent CO_2 or the hourly average O_2 concentration is >19.0 percent O_2.

3.3.4.2 If NO_X emission rate is calculated using either Equation 19–3 or 19–5 in Method 19 in appendix A–7 to part 60 of this chapter, a variant of the equation shall be used whenever the diluent cap is applied. The modified equations shall be designated as Equations 19–3D and 19–5D, respectively. Equation 19–3D is structurally the same as Equation 19–3, except that the term ''$\%O_{2w}$'' in the denominator is replaced with the term ''$\%O_{2dc} \times [(100 - \%\ H_2O)/100]$'', where $\%O_{2dc}$ is the diluent cap value. The numerator of Equation 19–5D is the same as Equation 19–5; however, the denominator of Equation 19–5D is simply ''$20.9 - \%O_{2dc}$'', where $\%O_{2dc}$ is the diluent cap value.

3.3.5 F, F_c = a factor representing a ratio of the volume of dry flue gases generated to the caloric value of the fuel combusted (F), and a factor representing a ratio of the volume of CO_2 generated to the calorific value of the fuel combusted (F_c), respectively. Table 1 lists the values of F and F_c for different fuels.

TABLE 1—F- AND F_c-FACTORS [1]

Fuel	F-factor (dscf/mmBtu)	F_C-factor (scf CO_2/mmBtu)
Coal (as defined by ASTM D388–99 [2]):		
Anthracite	10,100	1,970
Bituminous	9,780	1,800
Subbituminous	9,820	1,840
Lignite	9,860	1,910
Petroleum Coke	9,830	1,850
Tire Derived Fuel	10,260	1,800
Oil	9,190	1,420
Gas:		
Natural gas	8,710	1,040
Propane	8,710	1,190
Butane	8,710	1,250
Wood:		
Bark	9,600	1,920
Wood residue	9,240	1,830

[1] Determined at standard conditions: 20 °C (68 °F) and 29.92 inches of mercury.

[2] Incorporated by reference under § 75.6 of this part.

3.3.6 Equations F–7a and F–7b may be used in lieu of the F or F_c factors specified in Section 3.3.5 of this appendix to calculate a site-specific dry-basis F factor (dscf/mmBtu) or a site-specific F_c factor (scf CO_2/mmBtu), on either a dry or wet basis. At a minimum, the site-specific F or F_c factor must be based on 9 samples of the fuel. Fuel samples taken during each run of a RATA are acceptable for this purpose. The site-specific F or F_c factor must be re-determined at least annually, and the value from the most recent determination must be used in the emission calculations. Alternatively, the previous F or F_c value may continue to be used if it is higher than the value obtained in the most recent determination. The owner or operator shall keep records of all site-specific F or F_c determinations, active for at least 3 years. (Calculate all F- and F_c factors at standard conditions of 20 °C (68 °F) and 29.92 inches of mercury).

$$F = \frac{3.64(\%H) + 1.53(\%C) + 0.57(\%S) + 0.14(\%N) - 0.46(\%O)}{GCV} \times 10^6$$

(Eq. F–7a)

$$F_c = \frac{321 \times 10^3 (\%C)}{GCV}$$

(Eq. F–7b)

3.3.6.1 H, C, S, N, and O are content by weight of hydrogen, carbon, sulfur, nitrogen, and oxygen (expressed as percent), respectively, as determined on the same basis as the gross calorific value (GCV) by ultimate analysis of the fuel combusted using ASTM D3176–89 (Reapproved 2002), Standard Practice for Ultimate Analysis of Coal and Coke, (solid fuels), ASTM D5291–02, Standard Test Methods for Instrumental Determination of Carbon, Hydrogen, and Nitrogen in Petroleum Products and Lubricants, (liquid fuels) or computed from results using ASTM D1945–96 (Reapproved 2001), Standard Test Method for Analysis of Natural Gas by Gas Chromatography, or ASTM D1946–90 (Reapproved 2006), Standard Practice for Analysis of Reformed Gas by Gas Chromatography, (gaseous fuels) as applicable. (All of these methods are incorporated by reference under § 75.6 of this part.)

3.3.6.2 GCV is the gross calorific value (Btu/lb) of the fuel combusted determined by ASTM D5865–01a or ASTM D5865–10, ASTM D240–00 or ASTM D4809–00, and ASTM D3588–98, ASTM D4891–89 (Reapproved 2006), GPA Standard 2172–96, GPA Standard 2261–00, or ASTM D1826–94 (Reapproved 1998), as applicable. (All of these methods are incorporated by reference under § 75.6.)

3.3.6.3 For affected units that combust a combination of a fuel (or fuels) listed in Table 1 in section 3.3.5 of this appendix with any fuel(s) not listed in Table 1, the F or F_c value is subject to the Administrator's approval under § 75.66.

3.3.6.4 For affected units that combust combinations of fuels listed in Table 1 in section 3.3.5 of this appendix, prorate the F or F_c factors determined by section 3.3.5 or 3.3.6 of this appendix in accordance with the applicable formula as follows:

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i F_i \qquad F_c = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i (F_c)_i \qquad \text{(Eq. F-8)}$$

Where,

X_i = Fraction of total heat input derived from each type of fuel (*e.g.*, natural gas, bituminous coal, wood). Each X_i value shall be determined from the best available information on the quantity of fuel combusted and the GCV value, over a specified time period. The owner or operator shall explain the method used to calculate X_i in the hardcopy portion of the monitoring plan for the unit. The X_i values may be determined and updated either hourly, daily, weekly, or monthly. In all cases, the prorated F-factor used in the emission calculations shall be determined using the X_i values from the most recent update.

F_i or $(F_c)_i$ = Applicable F or Fc factor for each fuel type determined in accordance with Section 3.3.5 or 3.3.6 of this appendix.

n = Number of fuels being combusted in combination.

3.3.6.5 As an alternative to prorating the F or Fc factor as described in section 3.3.6.4

of this appendix, a ''worst-case'' F or F_c factor may be reported for any unit operating hour. The worst-case F or F_c factor shall be the highest F or F_c value for any of the fuels combusted in the unit.

3.4 Use the following equations to calculate the average NO_X emission rate for each calendar quarter (Equation F–9) and the average emission rate for the calendar year (Equation F–10), in lb/mmBtu:

$$E_q = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E_i}{n} \qquad \text{(Eq. F-9)}$$

Where:

E_q = Quarterly average NO_X emission rate, lb/mmBtu.

E_i = Hourly average NO_X emission rate during unit operation, lb/mmBtu.

n = Number of hourly rates during calendar quarter.

$$E_a = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{E_i}{m} \qquad \text{(Eq. F-10)}$$

Where:

E_a = Average NO_X emission rate for the calendar year, lb/mmBtu.

E_i = Hourly average NO_X emission rate during unit operation, lb/mmBtu.

m = Number of hourly rates for which E_i is available in the calendar year.

3.5 Round all NO_X emission rates to the nearest 0.001 lb/mmBtu.

4. PROCEDURES FOR CO_2 MASS EMISSIONS

Use the following procedures to convert continuous emission monitoring system measurements of CO_2 concentration (percentage) and volumetric flow rate (scfh) into CO_2 mass emissions (in tons/day) when the owner or operator uses a CO_2 continuous emission monitoring system (consisting of a CO_2 or O_2 pollutant monitor) and a flow monitoring system to monitor CO_2 emissions from an affected unit.

4.1 When CO_2 concentration is measured on a wet basis, use the following equation to calculate hourly CO_2 mass emissions rates (in tons/hr):

$$E_h = KC_hQ_h \qquad \text{(Eq. F-11)}$$

Where:

E_h = Hourly CO_2 mass emission rate during unit operation, tons/hr.

$K = 5.7 \times 10^{-7}$ for CO_2, (tons/scf) /%CO_2.

C_h = Hourly average CO_2 concentration during unit operation, wet basis, either measured directly with a CO_2 monitor or calculated from wet-basis O_2 data using Equation F–14b, percent CO_2.

Q_h = Hourly average volumetric flow rate during unit operation, wet basis, scfh.

4.2 When CO_2 concentration is measured on a dry basis, use Equation F–2 to calculate the hourly CO_2 mass emission rate (in tons/hr) with a K-value of 5.7×10^{-7} (tons/scf) percent CO_2, where E_h = hourly CO_2 mass emission rate, tons/hr and C_{hp} = hourly average CO_2 concentration in flue, dry basis, percent CO_2.

4.3 Use the following equations to calculate total CO_2 mass emissions for each calendar quarter (Equation F–12) and for each calendar year (Equation F–13):

$$E_{CO_2q} = \sum_{h=1}^{H_R} E_h t_h \qquad \text{(Eq. F-12)}$$

Where:

E_{CO2q} = Quarterly total CO_2 mass emissions, tons.

E_h = Hourly CO_2 mass emission rate, tons/hr.

t_h = Unit operating time, in hours or fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator).

H_R = Number of hourly CO_2 mass emission rates available during calendar quarter.

$$E_{CO_2a} = \sum_{q=1}^{4} E_{CO_2q} \qquad \text{(Eq. F-13)}$$

Where:

E_{CO2a} = Annual total CO_2 mass emissions, tons.

E_{CO2q} = Quarterly total CO_2 mass emissions, tons.

q = Quarters for which E_{CO2q} are available during calendar year.

4.4 For an affected unit, when the owner or operator is continuously monitoring O_2 concentration (in percent by volume) of flue gases using an O_2 monitor, use the equations and procedures in section 4.4.1 and 4.4.2 of this appendix to determine hourly CO_2 mass emissions (in tons).

4.4.1 If the owner or operator elects to use data from an O_2 monitor to calculate CO_2 concentration, the appropriate F and F_C factors from section 3.3.5 of this appendix shall be used in one of the following equations (as applicable) to determine hourly average CO_2 concentration of flue gases (in percent by volume) from the measured hourly average O_2 concentration:

$$CO_{2d} = 100 \frac{F_c}{F} \frac{20.9 - O_{2d}}{20.9} \qquad \text{(Eq. F-14a)}$$

Where:

CO_{2d} = Hourly average CO_2 concentration during unit operation, percent by volume, dry basis.

F, F_C = F-factor or carbon-based F_c-factor from section 3.3.5 of this appendix.

20.9 = Percentage of O_2 in ambient air.

O_{2d} = Hourly average O_2 concentration during unit operation, percent by volume, dry basis.

$$CO_{2w} = \frac{100}{20.9} \frac{F_c}{F} \left[20.9 \left(\frac{100 - \%H_2O}{100} \right) - O_{2w} \right] \qquad \text{(Eq. F-14b)}$$

Where:

CO_{2w} = Hourly average CO_2 concentration during unit operation, percent by volume, wet basis.

O_{2w} = Hourly average O_2 concentration during unit operation, percent by volume, wet basis.

F, F_c = F-factor or carbon-based FC-factor from section 3.3.5 of this appendix.

20.9 = Percentage of O_2 in ambient air.

$\%H_2O$ = Moisture content of gas in the stack, percent.

For any hour where Equation F–14a or F–14b results in a negative hourly average CO_2 value, 0.0% CO_{2w} shall be recorded as the average CO_2 value for that hour.

4.4.2 Determine CO_2 mass emissions (in tons) from hourly average CO_2 concentration (percent by volume) using equation F–11 and the procedure in section 4.1, where O_2 measurements are on a wet basis, or using the procedures in section 4.2 of this appendix, where O_2 measurements are on a dry basis.

5. Procedures for Heat Input

Use the following procedures to compute heat input rate to an affected unit (in mmBtu/hr or mmBtu/day):

5.1 Calculate and record heat input rate to an affected unit on an hourly basis, except as provided in sections 5.5 through 5.5.7. The owner or operator may choose to use the provisions specified in §75.16(e) or in section 2.1.2 of appendix D to this part in conjunction with the procedures provided in sections 5.6 through 5.6.2 to apportion heat input among each unit using the common stack or common pipe header.

5.2 For an affected unit that has a flow monitor (or approved alternate monitoring system under subpart E of this part for measuring volumetric flow rate) and a diluent gas (O_2 or CO_2) monitor, use the recorded data from these monitors and one of the following equations to calculate hourly heat input rate (in mmBtu/hr).

5.2.1 When measurements of CO_2 concentration are on a wet basis, use the following equation:

$$HI = Q_w \frac{1}{F_c} \frac{\%CO_{2w}}{100} \qquad \text{(Eq. F-15)}$$

Where:

HI = Hourly heat input rate during unit operation, mmBtu/hr.

Q_w = Hourly average volumetric flow rate during unit operation, wet basis, scfh.

F_c = Carbon-based F-factor, listed in section 3.3.5 of this appendix for each fuel, scf/mmBtu.

$\%CO_{2w}$ = Hourly concentration of CO_2 during unit operation, percent CO_2 wet basis.

5.2.2 When measurements of CO_2 concentration are on a dry basis, use the following equation:

$$HI = Q_h \left[\frac{(100 - \%H_2O)}{100F_c} \right] \left(\frac{\%CO_{2d}}{100} \right) \qquad \text{(Eq. F-16)}$$

Where:

HI = Hourly heat input rate during unit operation, mmBtu/hr.

Q_h = Hourly average volumetric flow rate during unit operation, wet basis, scfh.

F_c = Carbon-based F-Factor, listed in section 3.3.5 of this appendix for each fuel, scf/mmBtu.

$\%CO_{2d}$ = Hourly concentration of CO_2 during unit operation, percent CO_2 dry basis.

$\%H_2O$ = Moisture content of gas in the stack, percent.

5.2.3 When measurements of O_2 concentration are on a wet basis, use the following equation:

$$HI = Q_w \frac{1}{F} \frac{\left[(20.9/100)(100 - \%H_2O) - \%O_{2w}\right]}{20.9} \qquad \text{(Eq. F-17)}$$

Where:

HI = Hourly heat input rate during unit operation, mmBtu/hr.

Q_w = Hourly average volumetric flow rate during unit operation, wet basis, scfh.

F = Dry basis F-factor, listed in section 3.3.5 of this appendix for each fuel, dscf/mmBtu.

$\%O_{2w}$ = Hourly concentration of O_2 during unit operation, percent O_2 wet basis. For any operating hour where Equation F–17 results in an hourly heat input rate that is ≤0.0 mmBtu/hr, 1.0 mmBtu/hr shall be recorded and reported as the heat input rate for that hour.

$\%H_2O$ = Hourly average stack moisture content, percent by volume.

5.2.4 When measurements of O_2 concentration are on a dry basis, use the following equation:

$$HI = Q_w \left[\frac{(100 - \%H_2O)}{100\ F}\right]\left[\frac{(20.9 - \%O_{2d})}{20.9}\right] \qquad \text{(Eq. F-18)}$$

Where:

HI = Hourly heat input rate during unit operation, mmBtu/hr.

Q_w = Hourly average volumetric flow during unit operation, wet basis, scfh.

F = Dry basis F-factor, listed in section 3.3.5 of this appendix for each fuel, dscf/mmBtu.

$\%H_2O$ = Moisture content of the stack gas, percent.

$\%O_{2d}$ = Hourly concentration of O_2 during unit operation, percent O_2 dry basis.

5.3 Heat Input Summation (for Heat Input Determined Using a Flow Monitor and Diluent Monitor)

5.3.1 Calculate total quarterly heat input for a unit or common stack using a flow monitor and diluent monitor to calculate heat input, using the following equation:

$$HI_q = \sum_{hour=1}^{n} HI_i t_i \qquad \text{(Eq. F-18a)}$$

Where:

HI_q = Total heat input for the quarter, mmBtu.

HI_i = Hourly heat input rate during unit operation, using Equation F–15, F–16, F–17, or F–18, mmBtu/hr.

t_i = Hourly operating time for the unit or common stack, hour or fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator).

5.3.2 Calculate total cumulative heat input for a unit or common stack using a flow monitor and diluent monitor to calculate heat input, using the following equation:

$$HI_c = \sum_{q=1}^{\text{the current quarter}} HI_q \qquad \text{(Eq. F-18b)}$$

Where:

HI_c = Total heat input for the year to date, mmBtu.

HI_q = Total heat input for the quarter, mmBtu.

5.4 [Reserved]

5.5 For a gas-fired or oil-fired unit that does not have a flow monitor and is using the procedures specified in appendix D to

this part to monitor SO_2 emissions or for any unit using a common stack for which the owner or operator chooses to determine heat input by fuel sampling and analysis, use the following procedures to calculate hourly heat input rate in mmBtu/hr. The procedures of section 5.5.3 of this appendix shall not be used to determine heat input from a coal unit that is required to comply with the provisions of this part for monitoring, recording, and reporting NO_X mass emissions under a State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program.

5.5.1 (a) When the unit is combusting oil, use the following equation to calculate hourly heat input rate:

$$HI_o = M_o \frac{GCV_o}{10^6} \qquad \text{(Eq. F-19)}$$

Where:

HI_o = Hourly heat input rate from oil, mmBtu/hr.

M_o = Mass rate of oil consumed per hour, as determined using procedures in appendix D to this part, in lb/hr, tons/hr, or kg/hr.

GCV_O = Gross calorific value of oil, as measured by ASTM D240–00, ASTM D5865–01a, ASTM D5865–10, or ASTM D4809–00 for each oil sample under section 2.2 of appendix D to this part, Btu/unit mass (all incorporated by reference under §75.6).

10^6 = Conversion of Btu to mmBtu.

(b) When performing oil sampling and analysis solely for the purpose of the missing data procedures in §75.36, oil samples for measuring GCV may be taken weekly, and the procedures specified in appendix D to this part for determining the mass rate of oil consumed per hour are optional.

5.5.2 When the unit is combusting gaseous fuels, use the following equation to calculate heat input rate from gaseous fuels for each hour:

$$HI_g = \frac{\left(Q_g \times GCV_g\right)}{10^6} \qquad \text{(Eq. F-20)}$$

Where:

HI_g = Hourly heat input rate from gaseous fuel, mmBtu/hour.

Q_g = Metered flow rate of gaseous fuel combusted during unit operation, hundred standard cubic feet per hour.

GCV_g = Gross calorific value of gaseous fuel, as determined by sampling (for each delivery for gaseous fuel in lots, for each daily gas sample for gaseous fuel delivered by pipeline, for each hourly average for gas measured hourly with a gas chromatograph, or for each monthly sample of pipeline natural gas, or as verified by the contractual supplier at least once every month pipeline natural gas is combusted, as specified in section 2.3 of appendix D to this part) using ASTM D1826–94 (Reapproved 1998), ASTM D3588–98, ASTM D4891–89 (Reapproved 2006), GPA Standard 2172–96 Calculation of Gross Heating Value, Relative Density and Compressibility Factor for Natural Gas Mixtures from Compositional Analysis, or GPA Standard 2261–00 Analysis for Natural Gas and Similar Gaseous Mixtures by Gas Chromatography, Btu/100 scf (all incorporated by reference under §75.6 of this part).

10^6 = Conversion of Btu to mmBtu.

5.5.3 When the unit is combusting coal, use the procedures, methods, and equations in sections 5.5.3.1–5.5.3.3 of this appendix to determine the heat input from coal for each 24-hour period. (All ASTM methods are incorporated by reference under §75.6 of this part.)

5.5.3.1 Perform coal sampling daily according to section 5.3.2.2 in Method 19 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter and use ASTM D2234–00, Standard Practice for Collection of a Gross Sample of Coal, (incorporated by reference under §75.6 of this part) Type I, Conditions A, B, or C and systematic spacing for sampling. (When performing coal sampling solely for the purposes of the missing data procedures in §75.36, use of ASTM D2234–00 is optional, and coal samples may be taken weekly.)

5.5.3.2 All ASTM methods are incorporated by reference under §75.6. Use ASTM D2013–01 for preparation of a daily coal sample and analyze each daily coal sample for gross calorific value using ASTM D5865–01a or ASTM D5865–10. On-line coal analysis may also be used if the on-line analytical instrument has been demonstrated to be equivalent to the applicable ASTM methods under §§75.23 and 75.66.

5.5.3.3 Calculate the heat input from coal using the following equation:

$$HI_c = M_c \frac{GCV_c}{500} \qquad \text{(Eq. F–21)}$$

(Eq. F–21)

where:

HIc = Daily heat input from coal, mmBtu/day.

Mc = Mass of coal consumed per day, as measured and recorded in company records, tons.

GCV_C = Gross calorific value of coal sample, as measured by ASTM D3176–89 (Reapproved 2002), ASTM D5865–01a, or ASTM D5865–10, Btu/lb (incorporated by reference under §75.6).

500 = Conversion of Btu/lb to mmBtu/ton.

5.5.4 For units obtaining heat input values daily instead of hourly, apportion the daily heat input using the fraction of the daily steam load or daily unit operating load used each hour in order to obtain HI_i for use

in the above equations. Alternatively, use the hourly mass of coal consumed in equation F–21.

5.5.5 If a daily fuel sampling value for gross calorific value is not available, substitute the maximum gross calorific value measured from the previous 30 daily samples. If a monthly fuel sampling value for gross calorific value is not available, substitute the maximum gross calorific value measured from the previous 3 monthly samples.

5.5.6 If a fuel flow value is not available, use the fuel flowmeter missing data procedures in section 2.4 of appendix D of this part. If a daily coal consumption value is not available, substitute the maximum fuel feed rate during the previous thirty days when the unit burned coal.

5.5.7 Results for samples must be available no later than thirty calendar days after the sample is composited or taken. However, during an audit, the Administrator may require that the results be available in five business days, or sooner if practicable.

5.6 Heat Input Rate Apportionment for Units Sharing a Common Stack or Pipe

5.6.1 Where applicable, the owner or operator of an affected unit that determines heat input rate at the unit level by apportioning the heat input monitored at a common stack or common pipe using megawatts shall apportion the heat input rate using the following equation:

$$HI_i = HI_{CS}\left(\frac{t_{CS}}{t_i}\right)\left[\frac{MW_i t_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} MW_i t_i}\right] \qquad \text{(Eq. F-21a)}$$

Where:

HI_i = Heat input rate for a unit, mmBtu/hr.

HI_{cs} = Heat input rate at the common stack or pipe, mmBtu/hr.

MW_i = Gross electrical output, MWe.

t_i = Unit operating time, hour or fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator).

t_{CS} = Common stack or common pipe operating time, hour or fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator).

n = Total number of units using the common stack or pipe.

i = Designation of a particular unit.

5.6.2 Where applicable, the owner or operator of an affected unit that determines the heat input rate at the unit level by apportioning the heat input rate monitored at a common stack or common pipe using steam load shall apportion the heat input rate using the following equation:

$$HI_i = HI_{CS}\left(\frac{t_{CS}}{t_i}\right)\left[\frac{SF_i t_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} SF_i t_i}\right] \qquad \text{(Eq. F-21b)}$$

Where:

HI_i = Heat input rate for a unit, mmBtu/hr.

HI_{CS} = Heat input rate at the common stack or pipe, mmBtu/hr.

SF = Gross steam load, lb/hr, or mmBtu/hr.

t_i = Unit operating time, hour or fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator).

t_{CS} = Common stack or common pipe operating time, hour or fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator).

n = Total number of units using the common stack or pipe.

i = Designation of a particular unit.

5.7 Heat Input Rate Summation for Units with Multiple Stacks or Pipes

The owner or operator of an affected unit that determines the heat input rate at the unit level by summing the heat input rates monitored at multiple stacks or multiple pipes shall sum the heat input rates using the following equation:

$$HI_{Unit} = \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{n} HI_s t_s}{t_{Unit}} \qquad \text{(Eq. F-21c)}$$

Where:

HI_{Unit} = Heat input rate for a unit, mmBtu/hr.

HI_s = Heat input rate for the individual stack, duct, or pipe, mmBtu/hr.

t_{Unit} = Unit operating time, hour or fraction of the hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator).

t_s = Operating time for the individual stack or pipe, hour or fraction of the hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator).

s = Designation for a particular stack, duct, or pipe.

5.8 Alternate Heat Input Apportionment for Common Pipes

As an alternative to using Equation F–21a or F–21b in section 5.6 of this appendix, the owner or operator may apportion the heat input rate at a common pipe to the individual units served by the common pipe based on the fuel flow rate to the individual units, as measured by uncertified fuel flowmeters. This option may only be used if a fuel flowmeter system that meets the requirements of appendix D to this part is installed on the common pipe. If this option is used, determine the unit heat input rates using the following equation:

$$HI_i = HI_{CP}\left(\frac{t_{CP}}{t_i}\right)\left[\frac{FF_i t_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} FF_i t_i}\right] \qquad \text{(Eq. F-21d)}$$

Where:

HI_i = Heat input rate for a unit, mmBtu/hr.

HI_{CP} = Heat input rate at the common pipe, mmBtu/hr.

FF_i = Fuel flow rate to a unit, gal/min, 100 scfh, or other appropriate units.

t_i = Unit operating time, hour or fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator).

t_{CP} = Common pipe operating time, hour or fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator).

n = Total number of units using the common pipe.

i = Designation of a particular unit.

6. PROCEDURE FOR CONVERTING VOLUMETRIC FLOW TO STP

Use the following equation to convert volumetric flow at actual temperature and pressure to standard temperature and pressure.

$F_{STP} = F_{Actual}(T_{Std}/T_{Stack})(P_{Stack}/P_{Std})$

where:

F_{STP} = Flue gas volumetric flow rate at standard temperature and pressure, scfh.

F_{Actual} = Flue gas volumetric flow rate at actual temperature and pressure, acfh.

T_{Std} = Standard temperature = 528 °R.

T_{Stack} = Flue gas temperature at flow monitor location, °R, where °R = 460 + °F.

P_{Stack} = The absolute flue gas pressure = barometric pressure at the flow monitor location + flue gas static pressure, inches of mercury.

P_{Std} = Standard pressure = 29.92 inches of mercury.

7. PROCEDURES FOR SO_2 MASS EMISSIONS, USING DEFAULT SO_2 EMISSION RATES AND HEAT INPUT MEASURED BY CEMS

The owner or operator shall use Equation F–23 to calculate hourly SO_2 mass emissions in accordance with §75.11(e)(1) during the combustion of gaseous fuel, for a unit that uses a flow monitor and a diluent gas monitor to measure heat input, and that qualifies to use a default SO_2 emission rate under section 2.3.1.1, 2.3.2.1.1, or 2.3.6(b) of appendix D to this part. Equation F–23 may also be applied to the combustion of solid or liquid fuel that meets the definition of very low sulfur fuel in §72.2 of this chapter, combinations of

such fuels, or mixtures of such fuels with gaseous fuel, if the owner or operator has received approval from the Administrator under §75.66 to use a site-specific default SO_2 emission rate for the fuel or mixture of fuels.

$$E_h = (ER)(HI) \qquad \text{(Eq. F-23)}$$

Where:

E_h = Hourly SO_2 mass emission rate, lb/hr.

ER = Applicable SO_2 default emission rate for gaseous fuel combustion, from section 2.3.1.1, 2.3.2.1.1, or 2.3.6(b) of appendix D to this part, or other default SO_2 emission rate for the combustion of very low sulfur liquid or solid fuel, combinations of such fuels, or mixtures of such fuels with gaseous fuel, as approved by the Administrator under §75.66, lb/mmBtu.

HI = Hourly heat input rate, determined using the procedures in section 5.2 of this appendix, mmBtu/hr.

8. PROCEDURES FOR NO_X MASS EMISSIONS

The owner or operator of a unit that is required to monitor, record, and report NO_X mass emissions under a State or federal NO_X mass emission reduction program must use the procedures in section 8.1, 8.2, or 8.3 of this appendix, as applicable, to account for hourly NO_X mass emissions, and the procedures in section 8.4 of this appendix to account for quarterly, seasonal, and annual NO_X mass emissions to the extent that the provisions of subpart H of this part are adopted as requirements under such a program.

8.1 The owner or operator may use the hourly NO_X emission rate and the hourly heat input rate to calculate the NO_X mass emissions in pounds or the NO_X mass emission rate in pounds per hour, (as required by the applicable reporting format), for each unit or stack operating hour, as follows:

8.1.1 If both NO_X emission rate and heat input rate are monitored at the same unit or stack level (e.g., the NO_X emission rate value and the heat input rate value both represent all of the units exhausting to the common stack), then (as required by the applicable reporting format) either:

(a) Use Equation F–24 to calculate the hourly NO_X mass emissions (lb).

$$M_{(NO_X)_h} = ER_{(NO_X)_h} HI_h t_h \qquad \text{(Eq. F-24)}$$

Where:

$M_{(NO_X)_h}$ = NO_X mass emissions in lbs for the hour.

$ER_{(NO_X)_h}$ = Hourly average NO_X emission rate for hour h, lb/mmBtu, from section 3 of this appendix, from Method 19 in appendix A–7 to part 60 of this chapter, or from section 3.3 of appendix E to this part. (Include bias-adjusted NO_X emission rate values, where the bias-test procedures in appendix A to this part shows a bias-adjustment factor is necessary.)

HI_h = Hourly average heat input rate for hour h, mmBtu/hr. (Include bias-adjusted flow rate values, where the bias-test procedures in appendix A to this part shows a bias-adjustment factor is necessary.)

t_h = Monitoring location operating time for hour h, in hours or fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator). If the combined NO_X emission rate and heat input are monitored for all of the units in a common stack, the monitoring location operating time is equal to the total time when any of those units was exhausting through the common stack; or

(b) Use Equation F–24a to calculate the hourly NO_X mass emission rate (lb/hr).

$$E_{(NO_X)_h} = ER_{(NO_x)_h} HI_h \qquad \text{(Eq. F-24a)}$$

Where:

$E_{(NO_X)_h}$ = NO_X mass emissions rate in lbs/hr for the hour.

$ER_{(NO_X)_h}$ = Hourly average NO_X emission rate for hour h, lb/mmBtu, from section 3 of this appendix, from Method 19 in appendix A–7 to part 60 of this chapter, or from section 3.3 of appendix E to this part. (Include bias-adjusted NO_X emission rate values, where the bias-test procedures in appendix A to this part shows a bias-adjustment factor is necessary.)

HI_h = Hourly average heat input rate for hour h, mmBtu/hr. (Include bias-adjusted flow rate values, where the bias-test procedures in appendix A to this part shows a bias-adjustment factor is necessary.)

8.1.2 If NO_X emission rate is measured at a common stack and heat input is measured at the unit level, sum the hourly heat inputs at the unit level according to the following formula:

$$HI_{CS} = \frac{\sum_{u=1}^{p} HI_u t_u}{t_{CS}} \qquad \text{(Eq. F-25)}$$

where:

HI_{CS} = Hourly average heat input rate for hour h for the units at the common stack, mmBtu/hr.

t_{CS} = Common stack operating time for hour h, in hours or fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator). (For each hour, t_{cs} is the total time during which one or more of the units which exhaust through the common stack operate.).

HI_u = Hourly average heat input rate for hour h for the unit, mmBtu/hr.
t_u = Unit operating time for hour h, in hours or fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator).
p = Number of units that exhaust through the common stack.
u = Designation of a particular unit.

Use the hourly heat input rate at the common stack level and the hourly average NO_X emission rate at the common stack level and the procedures in section 8.1.1 of this appendix to determine the hourly NO_X mass emissions at the common stack.

8.1.3 If a unit has multiple ducts and NO_X emission rate is only measured at one duct, use the NO_X emission rate measured at the duct, the heat input measured for the unit, and the procedures in section 8.1.1 of this appendix to determine NO_X mass emissions.

8.1.4 If a unit has multiple ducts and NO_X emission rate is measured in each duct, heat input shall also be measured in each duct and the procedures in section 8.1.1 of this appendix shall be used to determine NO_X mass emissions.

8.2 Alternatively, the owner or operator may use the hourly NO_X concentration (as measured by a NO_X concentration monitoring system) and the hourly stack gas volumetric flow rate to calculate the NO_X mass emission rate (lb/hr) for each unit or stack operating hour, in accordance with section 8.2.1 or 8.2.2 of this appendix (as applicable). If the hourly NO_X mass emissions are to be reported in lb, Equation F–26c in section 8.3 of this appendix shall be used to convert the hourly NO_X mass emission rates to hourly NO_X mass emissions (lb).

8.2.1 When the NO_X concentration monitoring system measures on a wet basis, first calculate the hourly NO_X mass emission rate (in lb/hr) during unit (or stack) operation, using Equation F–26a. (Include bias-adjusted flow rate or NO_X concentration values, where the bias-test procedures in appendix A to this part shows a bias-adjustment factor is necessary.)

$$E_{(NO_X)_h} = K\ C_{hw} Q_h \qquad \text{(Eq. F-26a)}$$

Where:

$E_{(NO_X)h}$ = NO_X mass emissions rate in lb/hr.
$K = 1.194 \times 10^{-7}$ for NO_X, (lb/scf)/ppm.
C_{hw} = Hourly average NO_X concentration during unit operation, wet basis, ppm.
Q_h = Hourly average volumetric flow rate during unit operation, wet basis, scfh.

8.2.2 When NO_X mass emissions are determined using a dry basis NO_X concentration monitoring system and a wet basis flow monitoring system, first calculate hourly NO_X mass emission rate (in lb/hr) during unit (or stack) operation, using Equation F–26b. (Include bias-adjusted flow rate or NO_X concentration values, where the bias-test procedures in appendix A to this part shows a bias-adjustment factor is necessary.)

$$E_{(NO_X)_h} = K\ C_{hd} Q_h \frac{(100 - \%H_2O)}{(100)} \qquad \text{(Eq. F-26b)}$$

Where:

$E_{(NO_X)h}$ = NO_X mass emissions rate, lb/hr.
$K = 1.194 \times 10^{-7}$ for NO_X, (lb/scf)/ppm.
C_{hd} = Hourly average NO_X concentration during unit operation, dry basis, ppm.
Q_h = Hourly average volumetric flow rate during unit operation, wet basis, scfh.
$\%H_2O$ = Hourly average stack moisture content during unit operation, percent by volume.

8.3 When hourly NO_X mass emissions are reported in pounds and are determined using a NO_X concentration monitoring system and a flow monitoring system, calculate NO_X mass emissions (lb) for each unit or stack operating hour by multiplying the hourly NO_X mass emission rate (lb/hr) by the unit operating time for the hour, as follows:

$$M_{(NO_X)_h} = E_h t_h \qquad \text{(Eq. F-26c)}$$

Where:

$M_{(NO_X)h}$ = NO_X mass emissions for the hour, lb.
E_h = Hourly NO_X mass emission rate during unit (or stack) operation from Equation F–26a in section 8.2.1 of this appendix or Equation F–26b in section 8.2.2 of this appendix (as applicable), lb/hr.
t_h = Unit operating time or stack operating time (as defined in §72.2 of this chapter) for hour ''h'', in hours or fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator).

8.4 Use the following procedures to calculate quarterly, cumulative ozone season, and cumulative yearly NO_X mass emissions, in tons:

(a) When hourly NO_X mass emissions are reported in lb., use Eq. F–27.

$$M_{(NO_X)_{time\ period}} = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^{p} M(NO_X)_h}{2000} \qquad \text{(Eq. F-27)}$$

Where:

$M_{(NO_X)_{time\ period}}$ = NO_X mass emissions in tons for the given time period (quarter, cumulative ozone season, cumulative year-to-date).

$M_{(NO_X)_h}$ = NO_X mass emissions in lb for the hour.

p = The number of hours in the given time period (quarter, cumulative ozone season, cumulative year-to-date).

(b) When hourly NO_X mass emission rate is reported in lb/hr, use Eq. F–27a.

$$M_{(NO_X)_{time\ period}} = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^{p} E_{(NO_X)_h} t_h}{2000} \qquad \text{(Eq. F-27a)}$$

Where:

$M_{(NO_X)_{time\ period}}$ = NO_X mass emissions in tons for the given time period (quarter, cumulative ozone season, cumulative year-to-date).

$E_{(NO_X)_h}$ = NO_X mass emission rate in lb/hr for the hour.

p = The number of hours in the given time period (quarter, cumulative ozone season, cumulative year-to-date).

t_h = Monitoring location operating time for hour h, in hours or fraction of an hour (in equal increments that can range from one hundredth to one quarter of an hour, at the option of the owner or operator).

8.5 Specific provisions for monitoring NO_X mass emissions from common stacks. The owner or operator of a unit utilizing a common stack may account for NO_X mass emissions using either of the following methodologies, if the provisions of subpart H are adopted as requirements of a State or federal NO_X mass reduction program:

8.5.1 The owner or operator may determine both NO_X emission rate and heat input at the common stack and use the procedures in section 8.1.1 of this appendix to determine hourly NO_X mass emissions at the common stack.

8.5.2 The owner or operator may determine the NO_X emission rate at the common stack and the heat input at each of the units and use the procedures in section 8.1.2 of this appendix to determine the hourly NO_X mass emissions at each unit.

9. [Reserved]

10. Moisture Determination From Wet and Dry O_2 Readings

If a correction for the stack gas moisture content is required in any of the emissions or heat input calculations described in this appendix, and if the hourly moisture content is determined from wet- and dry-basis O_2 readings, use Equation F–31 to calculate the percent moisture, unless a ''K'' factor or other mathematical algorithm is developed as described in section 6.5.7(a) of appendix A to this part:

$$\%H_2O = \frac{(O_{2d} - O_{2w})}{O_{2d}} \times 100 \qquad \text{(Eq. F-31)}$$

Where:

% H_2O = Hourly average stack gas moisture content, percent H_2O

O_{2d} = Dry-basis hourly average oxygen concentration, percent O_2

O_{2w} = Wet-basis hourly average oxygen concentration, percent O_2

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993; Redesignated and amended at 60 FR 26553, 26571, May 17, 1995; 61 FR 25585, May 22, 1996; 61 FR 59166, Nov. 20, 1996; 63 FR 57513, Oct. 27, 1998; 64 FR 28666, May 26, 1999; 64 FR 37582, July 12, 1999; 67 FR 40474, 40475, June 12, 2002; 67 FR 53505, Aug. 16, 2002; 70 FR 28695, May 18, 2005; 73 FR 4372, Jan. 24, 2008; 76 FR 17325, Mar. 28, 2011; 77 FR 2460, Jan. 18, 2012]

APPENDIX G TO PART 75—DETERMINATION OF CO_2 EMISSIONS

1. APPLICABILITY

The procedures in this appendix may be used to estimate CO_2 mass emissions discharged to the atmosphere (in tons/day) as the sum of CO_2 emissions from combustion and, if applicable, CO_2 emissions from sorbent used in a wet flue gas desulfurization control system, fluidized bed boiler, or other emission controls.

2. PROCEDURES FOR ESTIMATING CO_2 EMISSIONS FROM COMBUSTION

Use the following procedures to estimate daily CO_2 mass emissions from the combustion of fossil fuels. The optional procedure in section 2.3 of this appendix may also be used for an affected gas-fired unit. For an affected unit that combusts any nonfossil fuels (e.g., bark, wood, residue, or refuse), either use a CO_2 continuous emission monitoring system or apply to the Administrator for approval of a unit-specific method for determining CO_2 emissions.

2.1 Use the following equation to calculate daily CO_2 mass emissions (in tons/day) from the combustion of fossil fuels. Where fuel flow is measured in a common pipe header (i.e., a pipe carrying fuel for multiple units), the owner or operator may use the procedures in section 2.1.2 of appendix D of this part for combining or apportioning emissions, except that the term ''SO_2 mass emissions'' is replaced with the term ''CO_2 mass emissions.''

$$W_{CO_2} = \frac{\left(MW_C + MW_{O_2}\right) \times W_C}{2{,}000\, MW_C} \quad \text{(Eq. G–l)}$$

Where:

Wco2 = CO_2 emitted from combustion, tons/day.

MW_c = Molecular weight of carbon (12.0).

MW_{o2} = Molecular weight of oxygen (32.0)

W_c = Carbon burned, lb/day, determined using fuel sampling and analysis and fuel feed rates.

2.1.1 Collect at least one fuel sample during each week that the unit combusts coal, one sample per each shipment or delivery for oil and diesel fuel, one fuel sample for each delivery for gaseous fuel in lots, one sample per day or per hour (as applicable) for each gaseous fuel that is required to be sampled daily or hourly for gross calorific value under section 2.3.5.6 of appendix D to this part, and one sample per month for each gaseous fuel that is required to be sampled monthly for gross calorific value under section 2.3.4.1 or 2.3.4.2 of appendix D to this part. Collect coal samples from a location in the fuel handling system that provides a sample representative of the fuel bunkered or consumed during the week.

2.1.2 Determine the carbon content of each fuel sample using one of the following methods: ASTM D3178–89 (Reapproved 2002) or ASTM D5373–02 (Reapproved 2007) for coal; ASTM D5291–02, Standard Test Methods for Instrumental Determination of Carbon, Hydrogen, and Nitrogen in Petroleum Products and Lubricants, ultimate analysis of oil, or computations based upon ASTM D3238–95 (Reapproved 2000) and either ASTM D2502–92 (Reapproved 1996) or ASTM D2503–92 (Reapproved 1997) for oil; and computations based on ASTM D1945–96 (Reapproved 2001) or ASTM D1946–90 (Reapproved 2006) for gas (all incorporated by reference under §75.6 of this part).

2.1.3 Use daily fuel feed rates from company records for all fuels and the carbon content of the most recent fuel sample under this section to determine tons of carbon per day from combustion of each fuel. (All ASTM methods are incorporated by reference under §75.6.) Where more than one fuel is combusted during a calendar day, calculate total tons of carbon for the day from all fuels.

2.2 For an affected coal-fired unit, the estimate of daily CO_2 mass emissions given by equation G–1 may be adjusted to account for carbon retained in the ash using the procedures in either section 2.2.1 through 2.2.3 or section 2.2.4 of this appendix.

2.2.1 Determine the ash content of the weekly sample of coal using ASTM D3174–00, ''Standard Test Method for Ash in the Analysis Sample of Coal and Coke from Coal'' (incorporated by reference under §75.6 of this part).

2.2.2 Sample and analyze the carbon content of the fly-ash according to ASTM D5373–02 (Reapproved 2007), Standard Test Methods for Instrumental Determination of Carbon, Hydrogen, and Nitrogen in Laboratory Samples of Coal and Coke'' (incorporated by reference under §75.6 of this part).

2.2.3 Discount the estimate of daily CO_2 mass emissions from the combustion of coal given by equation G–1 by the percent carbon retained in the ash using the following equation:

$$W_{NCO2} = W_{CO2} - \left(\frac{MW_{CO2}}{MW_c}\right)\left(\frac{A\%}{100}\right)\left(\frac{C\%}{100}\right)W_{COAL}$$

(Eq. G–2)

where,

W_{NCO2} = Net CO_2 mass emissions discharged to the atmosphere, tons/day.

W_{CO2} = Daily CO_2 mass emissions calculated by equation G–1, tons/day.

MW_{C02} = Molecular weight of carbon dioxide (44.0).

MW_c = Molecular weight of carbon (12.0).

A% = Ash content of the coal sample, percent by weight.

C% = Carbon content of ash, percent by weight.

W_{COAL} = Feed rate of coal from company records, tons/day.

2.2.4 The daily CO_2 mass emissions from combusting coal may be adjusted to account for carbon retained in the ash using the following equation:

$W_{NCO2} = .99\ W_{CO2}$

(Eq. G–3)

where,

W_{NCO2} = Net CO_2 mass emissions from the combustion of coal discharged to the atmosphere, tons/day.

.99 = Average fraction of coal converted into CO_2 upon combustion.

W_{CO2} = Daily CO_2 mass emissions from the combustion of coal calculated by equation G–1, tons/day.

2.3 In lieu of using the procedures, methods, and equations in section 2.1 of this appendix, the owner or operator of an affected gas-fired or oil-fired unit (as defined under §72.2 of this chapter) may use the following equation and records of hourly heat input to estimate hourly CO_2 mass emissions (in tons).

$$W_{CO_2} = \left(\frac{F_C \times H \times U_f \times MW_{CO_2}}{2000}\right) \qquad \text{(Eq. G–4)}$$

(Eq. G–4)

Where:

WCO_2 = CO_2 emitted from combustion, tons/hr.

MW CO_2 = Molecular weight of carbon dioxide, 44.0 lb/lb-mole.

F_c = Carbon based F-factor, 1040 scf/mmBtu for natural gas; 1,420 scf/mmBtu for crude, residual, or distillate oil; and calculated according to the procedures in section 3.3.5 of appendix F to this part for other gaseous fuels.

H = Hourly heat input in mmBtu, as calculated using the procedures in section 5 of appendix F of this part.

Uf = 1/385 scf CO2/lb-mole at 14.7 psia and 68 °F.

3. Procedures for Estimating CO_2 Emissions From Sorbent

When the affected unit has a wet flue gas desulfurization system, is a fluidized bed boiler, or uses other emission controls with sorbent injection, use either a CO_2 continuous emission monitoring system or an O_2 monitor and a flow monitor, or use the procedures, methods, and equations in sections 3.1 through 3.2 of this appendix to determine daily CO_2 mass emissions from the sorbent (in tons).

3.1 When limestone is the sorbent material, use the equations and procedures in either section 3.1.1 or 3.1.2 of this appendix.

3.1.1 Use the following equation to estimate daily CO_2 mass emissions from sorbent (in tons).

$$SE_{CO_2} = W_{CaCO_3}\ F_u\ \frac{MW_{CO_2}}{MW_{CaCO_3}}$$

(Eq. G–5)

where,

SE_{CO2} = CO_2 emitted from sorbent, tons/day.

W_{CaCO3} = $CaCO_3$ used, tons/day.

F_u = 1.00, the calcium to sulfur stoichiometric ratio.

MW_{CO2} = Molecular weight of carbon dioxide (44).

MW_{CaCO3} = Molecular weight of calcium carbonate (100).

3.1.2 In lieu of using Equation G–5, any owner or operator who operates and maintains a certified SO_2-diluent continuous emission monitoring system (consisting of an SO_2 pollutant concentration monitor and an O_2 or CO_2 diluent gas monitor), for measuring and recording SO_2 emission rate (in lb/mmBtu) at the outlet to the emission controls and who uses the applicable procedures, methods, and equations such as those in EPA Method 19 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter to estimate the SO_2 emissions removal efficiency of the emission controls, may use the following equations to estimate

daily CO_2 mass emissions from sorbent (in tons).

$$SE_{CO_2} = F_u \frac{W_{SO_2}}{2000} \frac{MW_{CO_2}}{MW_{SO_2}}$$

(Eq. G–6)

where,

SE_{CO2} = CO_2 emitted from sorbent, tons/day.

MW_{CO2} = Molecular weight of carbon dioxide (44).

MW_{SO2} = Molecular weight of sulfur dioxide (64).

W_{SO2} = Sulfur dioxide removed, lb/day, as calculated below using Eq. G–7.

F_u = 1.0, the calcium to sulfur stoichiometric ratio.

and

$$W_{SO_2} = SO_{2o} \frac{\%R}{(100 - \%R)} \qquad \text{(Eq. G–7)}$$

(Eq. G–7)

where:

WSO_2 = Weight of sulfur dioxide removed, lb/day.

SO_{20} = SO_2 mass emissions monitored at the outlet, lb/day, as calculated using the equations and procedures in section 2 of appendix F of this part.

%R = Overall percentage SO_2 emissions removal efficiency, calculated using equations such as those in EPA Method 19 in appendix A to part 60 of this chapter, and using daily instead of annual average emission rates.

3.2 When a sorbent material other than limestone is used, modify the equations, methods, and procedures in section 3.1 of this appendix as follows to estimate daily CO_2 mass emissions from sorbent (in tons).

3.2.1 Determine a site-specific value for F_u, defined as the ratio of the number of moles of CO_2 released upon capture of one mole of SO_2, using methods and procedures satisfactory to the Administrator. Use this value of F_u (instead of 1.0) in either equation G–5 or equation G–6.

3.2.2 When using equation G–5, replace MW_{CaCO3}, the molecular weight of calcium carbonate, with the molecular weight of the sorbent material that participates in the reaction to capture SO_2 and that releases CO_2, and replace W_{CaCO3}, the amount of calcium carbonate used (in tons/day), with the amount of sorbent material used (in tons/day).

4. PROCEDURES FOR ESTIMATING TOTAL CO_2 EMISSIONS

When the affected unit has a wet flue gas desulfurization system, is a fluidized bed boiler, or uses other emission controls with sorbent injection, use the following equation to obtain total daily CO_2 mass emissions (in tons) as the sum of combustion-related emissions and sorbent-related emissions.

$W_t = W_{CO2} + SE_{CO2}$

(Eq. G–8)

where,

W_t = Estimated total CO_2 mass emissions, tons/day.

W_{CO2} = CO_2 emitted from fuel combustion, tons/day.

SE_{CO2} = CO_2 emitted from sorbent, tons/day.

5. MISSING DATA SUBSTITUTION PROCEDURES FOR FUEL ANALYTICAL DATA

Use the following procedures to substitute for missing fuel analytical data used to calculate CO_2 mass emissions under this appendix.

5.1–5.1.2 [Reserved]

5.2 Missing Carbon Content Data

Use the following procedures to substitute for missing carbon content data.

5.2.1 In all cases (i.e., for weekly coal samples or composite oil samples from continuous sampling, for oil samples taken from the storage tank after transfer of a new delivery of fuel, for as-delivered samples of oil, diesel fuel, or gaseous fuel delivered in lots, and for gaseous fuel that is supplied by a pipeline and sampled monthly, daily or hourly for gross calorific value) when carbon content data is missing, report the appropriate default value from Table G–1.

5.2.2 The missing data values in Table G–1 shall be reported whenever the results of a required sample of fuel carbon content are either missing or invalid. The substitute data value shall be used until the next valid carbon content sample is obtained.

TABLE G-1. -- MISSING DATA SUBSTITUTION PROCEDURES FOR MISSING CARBON CONTENT DATA

Parameter	Missing data value
Oil and coal carbon content	Most recent, previous carbon content value available for that type of coal, grade of oil, or default value, in this table
Gas carbon content	Most recent, previous carbon content value available for that type of gaseous fuel, or default value, in this table
Default coal carbon content	Anthracite: 90.0 percent
	Bituminous: 85.0 percent
	Subbituminous/Lignite: 75.0 percent
Default oil carbon content	90.0 percent
Default gas carbon content	Natural gas: 75.0 percent
	Other gaseous fuels: 90.0 percent

5.3 Gross Calorific Value Data

For a gas-fired unit using the procedures of section 2.3 of this appendix to determine CO_2 emissions, substitute for missing gross calorific value data used to calculate heat input by following the missing data procedures for gross calorific value in section 2.4 of appendix D to this part.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26556, May 17, 1995; 61 FR 25585, May 22, 1996; 64 FR 28671, May 26, 1999; 67 FR 40475, June 12, 2002; 67 FR 57274, Sept. 9, 2002; 73 FR 4376, Jan. 24, 2008]

APPENDIX H TO PART 75—REVISED TRACEABILITY PROTOCOL NO. 1 [RESERVED]

APPENDIX I TO PART 75—OPTIONAL F—FACTOR/FUEL FLOW METHOD [RESERVED]

APPENDIX J TO PART 75—COMPLIANCE DATES FOR REVISED RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS AND MISSING DATA PROCEDURES [RESERVED]

PART 76—ACID RAIN NITROGEN OXIDES EMISSION REDUCTION PROGRAM

Sec.
76.1 Applicability.
76.2 Definitions.
76.3 General Acid Rain Program provisions.
76.4 Incorporation by reference.
76.5 NO_X emission limitations for Group 1 boilers.
76.6 NO_X emission limitations for Group 2 boilers.
76.7 Revised NO_X emission limitations for Group 1, Phase II boilers.
76.8 Early election for Group 1, Phase II boilers.
76.9 Permit application and compliance plans.

76.10 Alternative emission limitations.
76.11 Emissions averaging.
76.12 Phase I NO_X compliance extension.
76.13 Compliance and excess emissions.
76.14 Monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting.
76.15 Test methods and procedures.
APPENDIX A TO PART 76—PHASE I AFFECTED COAL-FIRED UTILITY UNITS WITH GROUP 1 OR CELL BURNER BOILERS
APPENDIX B TO PART 76—PROCEDURES AND METHODS FOR ESTIMATING COSTS OF NITROGEN OXIDES CONTROLS APPLIED TO GROUP 1, PHASE I BOILERS

AUTHORITY: 42 U.S.C. 7601 and 7651 *et seq.*

SOURCE: 60 FR 18761, Apr. 13, 1995, unless otherwise noted.

§ 76.1 Applicability.

(a) Except as provided in paragraphs (b) through (d) of this section, the provisions apply to each coal-fired utility unit that is subject to an Acid Rain emissions limitation or reduction requirement for SO_2 under Phase I or Phase II pursuant to sections 404, 405, or 409 of the Act.

(b) The emission limitations for NO_X under this part apply to each affected coal-fired utility unit subject to section 404(d) or 409(b) of the Act on the date the unit is required to meet the Acid Rain emissions reduction requirement for SO_2.

(c) The provisions of this part apply to each coal-fired substitution unit or compensating unit, designated and approved as a Phase I unit pursuant to § 72.41 or § 72.43 of this chapter as follows:

(1) A coal-fired substitution unit that is designated in a substitution plan that is approved and active as of January 1, 1995 shall be treated as a Phase I coal-fired utility unit for purposes of this part. In the event the designation of such unit as a substitution unit is terminated after December 31, 1995, pursuant to § 72.41 of this chapter and the unit is no longer required to meet Phase I SO_2 emissions limitations, the provisions of this part (including those applicable in Phase I) will continue to apply.

(2) A coal-fired substitution unit that is designated in a substitution plan that is not approved or not active as of January 1, 1995, or a coal-fired compensating unit, shall be treated as a Phase II coal-fired utility unit for purposes of this part.

(d) The provisions of this part for Phase I units apply to each coal-fired transfer unit governed by a Phase I extension plan, approved pursuant to § 72.42 of this chapter, on January 1, 1997. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, a coal-fired transfer unit shall be subject to the Acid Rain emissions limitations for nitrogen oxides beginning on January 1, 1996 if, for that year, a transfer unit is allocated fewer Phase I extension reserve allowances than the maximum amount that the designated representative could have requested in accordance with § 72.42(c)(5) of this chapter (as adjusted under § 72.42(d) of this chapter) unless the transfer unit is the last unit allocated Phase I extension reserve allowances under the plan.

§ 76.2 Definitions.

All terms used in this part shall have the meaning set forth in the Act, in § 72.2 of this chapter, and in this section as follows:

Alternative contemporaneous annual emission limitation means the maximum allowable NO_X emission rate (on a lb/mmBtu, annual average basis) assigned to an individual unit in a NO_X emissions averaging plan pursuant to § 76.10.

Alternative technology means a control technology for reducing NO_X emissions that is outside the scope of the definition of low NO_X burner technology. Alternative technology does not include overfire air as applied to wall-fired boilers or separated overfire air as applied to tangentially fired boilers.

Approved clean coal technology demonstration project means a project using funds appropriated under the Department of Energy's ''Clean Coal Technology Demonstration Program,'' up to a total amount of $2,500,000,000 for commercial demonstration of clean coal technology, or similar projects funded through appropriations for the Environmental Protection Agency. The Federal contribution for a qualifying project shall be at least 20 percent of the total cost of the demonstration project.

Arch-fired boiler means a dry bottom boiler with circular burners, or coal

and air pipes, oriented downward and mounted on waterwalls that are at an angle significantly different from the horizontal axis and the vertical axis. This definition shall include only the following units: Holtwood unit 17, Hunlock unit 6, and Sunbury units 1A, 1B, 2A, and 2B. This definition shall exclude dry bottom turbo fired boilers.

Cell burner boiler means a wall-fired boiler that utilizes two or three circular burners combined into a single vertically oriented assembly that results in a compact, intense flame. Any low NO_X retrofit of a cell burner boiler that reuses the existing cell burner, close-coupled wall opening configuration would not change the designation of the unit as a cell burner boiler.

Coal-fired utility unit means a utility unit in which the combustion of coal (or any coal-derived fuel) on a Btu basis exceeds 50.0 percent of its annual heat input during the following calendar year: for Phase I units, in calendar year 1990; and, for Phase II units, in calendar year 1995 or, for a Phase II unit that did not combust any fuel that resulted in the generation of electricity in calendar year 1995, in any calendar year during the period 1990–1995. For the purposes of this part, this definition shall apply notwithstanding the definition in § 72.2 of this chapter.

Combustion controls means technology that minimizes NO_X formation by staging fuel and combustion air flows in a boiler. This definition shall include low NO_X burners, overfire air, or low NO_X burners with overfire air.

Cyclone boiler means a boiler with one or more water-cooled horizontal cylindrical chambers in which coal combustion takes place. The horizontal cylindrical chamber(s) is (are) attached to the bottom of the furnace. One or more cylindrical chambers are arranged either on one furnace wall or on two opposed furnace walls. Gaseous combustion products exiting from the chamber(s) turn 90 degrees to go up through the boiler while coal ash exits the bottom of the boiler as a molten slag.

Demonstration period means a period of time not less than 15 months, approved under § 76.10, for demonstrating that the affected unit cannot meet the applicable emission limitation under § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7 and establishing the minimum NO_X emission rate that the unit can achieve during long-term load dispatch operation.

Dry bottom means the boiler has a furnace bottom temperature below the ash melting point and the bottom ash is removed as a solid.

Economizer means the lowest temperature heat exchange section of a utility boiler where boiler feed water is heated by the flue gas.

Flue gas means the combustion products arising from the combustion of fossil fuel in a utility boiler.

Group 1 boiler means a tangentially fired boiler or a dry bottom wall-fired boiler (other than a unit applying cell burner technology).

Group 2 boiler means a wet bottom wall-fired boiler, a cyclone boiler, a boiler applying cell burner technology, a vertically fired boiler, an arch-fired boiler, or any other type of utility boiler (such as a fluidized bed or stoker boiler) that is not a Group 1 boiler.

Low NO_X burners and *low NO_X burner technology* means commercially available combustion modification NO_X controls that minimize NO_X formation by introducing coal and its associated combustion air into a boiler such that initial combustion occurs in a manner that promotes rapid coal devolatilization in a fuel-rich (i.e., oxygen deficient) environment and introduces additional air to achieve a final fuel-lean (i.e., oxygen rich) environment to complete the combustion process. This definition shall include the staging of any portion of the combustion air using air nozzles or registers located inside any waterwall hole that includes a burner. This definition shall exclude the staging of any portion of the combustion air using air nozzles or ports located outside any waterwall hole that includes a burner (commonly referred to as NO_X ports or separated overfire air ports).

Maximum Continuous Steam Flow at 100% of Load means the maximum capacity of a boiler as reported in item 3 (Maximum Continuous Steam Flow at 100% Load in thousand pounds per hour), Section C (design parameters), Part III (boiler information) of the Department of Energy's Form EIA–767 for 1995.

Non-plug-in combustion controls means the replacement, in a cell burner boiler, of the portions of the waterwalls containing the cell burners by new portions of the waterwalls containing low NO_X burners or low NO_X burners with overfire air.

Operating period means a period of time of not less than three consecutive months and that occurs not more than one month prior to applying for an alternative emission limitation demonstration period under § 76.10, during which the owner or operator of an affected unit that cannot meet the applicable emission limitation:

(1) Operates the installed NO_X emission controls in accordance with primary vendor specifications and procedures, with the unit operating under normal conditions; and

(2) records and reports quality-assured continuous emission monitoring (CEM) and unit operating data according to the methods and procedures in part 75 of this chapter.

Plug-in combustion controls means the replacement, in a cell burner boiler, of existing cell burners by low NO_X burners or low NO_X burners with overfire air.

Primary vendor means the vendor of the NO_X emission control system who has primary responsibility for providing the equipment, service, and technical expertise necessary for detailed design, installation, and operation of the controls, including process data, mechanical drawings, operating manuals, or any combination thereof.

Reburning means reducing the coal and combustion air to the main burners and injecting a reburn fuel (such as gas or oil) to create a fuel-rich secondary combustion zone above the main burner zone and final combustion air to create a fuel-lean burnout zone. The formation of NO_X is inhibited in the main burner zone due to the reduced combustion intensity, and NO_X is destroyed in the fuel-rich secondary combustion zone by conversion to molecular nitrogen.

Selective catalytic reduction means a noncombustion control technology that destroys NO_X by injecting a reducing agent (e.g., ammonia) into the flue gas that, in the presence of a catalyst (e.g., vanadium, titanium, or zeolite), converts NO_X into molecular nitrogen and water.

Selective noncatalytic reduction means a noncombustion control technology that destroys NO_X by injecting a reducing agent (e.g., ammonia, urea, or cyanuric acid) into the flue gas, downstream of the combustion zone that converts NO_X to molecular nitrogen, water, and when urea or cyanuric acid are used, to carbon dioxide (CO_2).

Stoker boiler means a boiler that burns solid fuel in a bed, on a stationary or moving grate, that is located at the bottom of the furnace.

Tangentially fired boiler means a boiler that has coal and air nozzles mounted in each corner of the furnace where the vertical furnace walls meet. Both pulverized coal and air are directed from the furnace corners along a line tangential to a circle lying in a horizontal plane of the furnace.

Turbo-fired boiler means a pulverized coal, wall-fired boiler with burners arranged on walls so that the individual flames extend down toward the furnace bottom and then turn back up through the center of the furnace.

Vertically fired boiler means a dry bottom boiler with circular burners, or coal and air pipes, oriented downward and mounted on waterwalls that are horizontal or at an angle. This definition shall include dry bottom roof-fired boilers and dry bottom top-fired boilers, and shall exclude dry bottom arch-fired boilers and dry bottom turbo-fired boilers.

Wall-fired boiler means a boiler that has pulverized coal burners arranged on the walls of the furnace. The burners have discrete, individual flames that extend perpendicularly into the furnace area.

Wet bottom means that the ash is removed from the furnace in a molten state. The term ''wet bottom boiler'' shall include: wet bottom wall-fired boilers, including wet bottom turbo-fired boilers; and wet bottom boilers otherwise meeting the definition of vertically fired boilers, including wet bottom arch-fired boilers, wet bottom roof-fired boilers, and wet bottom top-fired boilers. The term ''wet bottom

boiler'' shall exclude cyclone boilers and tangentially fired boilers.

[60 FR 18761, Apr. 13, 1995, as amended at 61 FR 67162, Dec. 19, 1996]

§ 76.3 General Acid Rain Program provisions.

The following provisions of part 72 of this chapter shall apply to this part:

(a) § 72.2 (Definitions);

(b) § 72.3 (Measurements, abbreviations, and acronyms);

(c) § 72.4 (Federal authority);

(d) § 72.5 (State authority);

(e) § 72.6 (Applicability);

(f) § 72.7 (New unit exemption);

(g) § 72.8 (Retired units exemption);

(h) § 72.9 (Standard requirements);

(i) § 72.10 (Availability of information); and

(j) § 72.11 (Computation of time).

In addition, the procedures for appeals of decisions of the Administrator under this part are contained in part 78 of this chapter.

§ 76.4 Incorporation by reference.

(a) The materials listed in this section are incorporated by reference in the sections noted. These incorporations by reference (IBR's) were approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. These materials are incorporated as they existed on the date of approval, and notice of any change in these materials will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The materials are available for purchase at the corresponding address noted below and are available for inspection at the Public Information Reference Unit, U.S. EPA, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC, and at the Library (MD–35), U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to: *http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html.*

(b) The following materials are available for purchase from at least one of the following addresses: American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), 1916 Race Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; or the University Microfilms International, 300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106.

(1) ASTM D 3176–89, Standard Practice for Ultimate Analysis of Coal and Coke, IBR approved May 23, 1995 for § 76.15.

(2) ASTM D 3172–89, Standard Practice for Proximate Analysis of Coal and Coke, IBR approved May 23, 1995 for § 76.15.

(c) The following material is available for purchase from the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME), 22 Law Drive, Box 2350, Fairfield, NJ 07007–2350.

(1) ASME Performance Test Code 4.2 (1991), Test Code for Coal Pulverizers, IBR approved May 23, 1995 for § 76.15.

(2) [Reserved]

(d) The following material is available for purchase from the American National Standards Institute, 11 West 42nd Street, New York, NY 10036 or from the International Organization for Standardization (ISO), Case Postale 56, CH–1211 Geneve 20, Switzerland.

(1) ISO 9931 (December, 1991) ''Coal—Sampling of Pulverized Coal Conveyed by Gases in Direct Fired Coal Systems,'' IBR approved May 23, 1995 for § 76.15.

(2) [Reserved]

§ 76.5 NO_X emission limitations for Group 1 boilers.

(a) Beginning January 1, 1996, or for a unit subject to section 404(d) of the Act, the date on which the unit is required to meet Acid Rain emission reduction requirements for SO_2, the owner or operator of a Phase I coal-fired utility unit with a tangentially fired boiler or a dry bottom wall-fired boiler (other than units applying cell burner technology) shall not discharge, or allow to be discharged, emissions of NO_X to the atmosphere in excess of the following limits, except as provided in paragraphs (c) or (e) of this section or in § 76.10, 76.11, or 76.12:

(1) 0.45 lb/mmBtu of heat input on an annual average basis for tangentially fired boilers.

(2) 0.50 lb/mmBtu of heat input on an annual average basis for dry bottom wall-fired boilers (other than units applying cell burner technology).

(b) The owner or operator shall determine the annual average NO_X emission rate, in lb/mmBtu, using the methods and procedures specified in part 75 of this chapter.

(c) Unless the unit meets the early election requirement of § 76.8, the owner or operator of a coal-fired substitution unit with a tangentially fired boiler or a dry bottom wall-fired boiler (other than units applying cell burner technology) that satisfies the requirements of § 76.1(c)(2), shall comply with the NO_X emission limitations that apply to Group 1, Phase II boilers.

(d) The owner or operator of a Phase I unit with a cell burner boiler that converts to a conventional wall-fired boiler on or before January 1, 1995 or, for a unit subject to section 404(d) of the Act, the date the unit is required to meet Acid Rain emissions reduction requirements for SO_2 shall comply, by such respective date or January 1, 1996, whichever is later, with the NO_X emissions limitation applicable to dry bottom wall-fired boilers under paragraph (a) of this section, except as provided in paragraphs (c) or (e) of this section or in § 76.10, 76.11, or 76.12.

(e) The owner or operator of a Phase I unit with a Group 1 boiler that converts to a fluidized bed or other type of utility boiler not included in Group 1 boilers on or before January 1, 1995 or, for a unit subject to section 404(d) of the Act, the date the unit is required to meet Acid Rain emissions reduction requirements for SO_2 is exempt from the NO_X emissions limitations specified in paragraph (a) of this section, but shall comply with the NO_X emission limitations for Group 2 boilers under § 76.6.

(f) Except as provided in § 76.8 and in paragraph (c) of this section, each unit subject to the requirements of this section is not subject to the requirements of § 76.7.

[60 FR 18761, Apr. 13, 1995, as amended at 61 FR 67162, Dec. 19, 1996]

§ 76.6 NO_X emission limitations for Group 2 boilers.

(a) Beginning January 1, 2000 or, for a unit subject to section 409(b) of the Act, the date on which the unit is required to meet Acid Rain emission reduction requirements for SO_2, the owner or operator of a Group 2, coal-fired boiler with a cell burner boiler, cyclone boiler, a wet bottom boiler, or a vertically fired boiler shall not discharge, or allow to be discharged, emissions of NO_X to the atmosphere in excess of the following limits, except as provided in §§ 76.10 or 76.11:

(1) 0.68 lb/mmBtu of heat input on an annual average basis for cell burner boilers. The NO_X emission control technology on which the emission limitation is based is plug-in combustion controls or non-plug-in combustion controls. Except as provided in § 76.5(d), the owner or operator of a unit with a cell burner boiler that installs non-plug-in combustion controls shall comply with the emission limitation applicable to cell burner boilers.

(2) 0.86 lb/mmBtu of heat input on an annual average basis for cyclone boilers with a Maximum Continuous Steam Flow at 100% of Load of greater than 1060, in thousands of lb/hr. The NO_X emission control technology on which the emission limitation is based is natural gas reburning or selective catalytic reduction.

(3) 0.84 lb/mmBtu of heat input on an annual average basis for wet bottom boilers, with a Maximum Continuous Steam Flow at 100% of Load of greater than 450, in thousands of lb/hr. The NO_X emission control technology on which the emission limitation is based is natural gas reburning or selective catalytic reduction.

(4) 0.80 lb/mmBtu of heat input on an annual average basis for vertically fired boilers. The NO_X emission control technology on which the emission limitation is based is combustion controls.

(b) The owner or operator shall determine the annual average NO_X emission rate, in lb/mmBtu, using the methods and procedures specified in part 75 of this chapter.

[62 FR 67162, Dec. 19, 1996; 62 FR 3464, Jan. 23, 1997; 62 FR 32040, June 12, 1997; 64 FR 55838, Oct. 15, 1999]

§ 76.7 Revised NO_X emission limitations for Group 1, Phase II boilers.

(a) Beginning January 1, 2000, the owner or operator of a Group 1, Phase II coal-fired utility unit with a tangentially fired boiler or a dry bottom wall-fired boiler shall not discharge, or

allow to be discharged, emissions of NO_X to the atmosphere in excess of the following limits, except as provided in §§ 76.8, 76.10, or 76.11:

(1) 0.40 lb/mmBtu of heat input on an annual average basis for tangentially fired boilers.

(2) 0.46 lb/ mmBtu of heat input on an annual average basis for dry bottom wall-fired boilers (other than units applying cell burner technology).

(b) The owner or operator shall determine the annual average NO_X emission rate, in lb/mmBtu, using the methods and procedures specified in part 75 of this chapter.

[60 FR 18761, Apr. 13, 1995, as amended at 61 FR 67163, Dec. 19, 1996]

§ 76.8 Early election for Group 1, Phase II boilers.

(a) *General provisions.* (1) The owner or operator of a Phase II coal-fired utility unit with a Group 1 boiler may elect to have the unit become subject to the applicable emissions limitation for NO_X under § 76.5, starting no later than January 1, 1997.

(2) The owner or operator of a Phase II coal-fired utility unit with a Group 1 boiler that elects to become subject to the applicable emission limitation under § 76.5 shall not be subject to § 76.7 until January 1, 2008, provided the designated representative demonstrates that the unit is in compliance with the limitation under § 76.5, using the methods and procedures specified in part 75 of this chapter, for the period beginning January 1 of the year in which the early election takes effect (but not later than January 1, 1997) and ending December 31, 2007.

(3) The owner or operator of any Phase II unit with a cell burner boiler that converts to conventional burner technology may elect to become subject to the applicable emissions limitation under § 76.5 for dry bottom wall-fired boilers, provided the owner or operator complies with the provisions in paragraph (a)(2) of this section.

(4) The owner or operator of a Phase II unit approved for early election shall not submit an application for an alternative emissions limitation demonstration period under § 76.10 until the earlier of:

(i) January 1, 2008; or

(ii) Early election is terminated pursuant to paragraph (e)(3) of this section.

(5) The owner or operator of a Phase II unit approved for early election may not incorporate the unit into an averaging plan prior to January 1, 2000. On or after January 1, 2000, for purposes of the averaging plan, the early election unit will be treated as subject to the applicable emissions limitation for NO_X for Phase II units with Group 1 boilers under § 76.7.

(b) *Submission requirements.* In order to obtain early election status, the designated representative of a Phase II unit with a Group 1 boiler shall submit an early election plan to the Administrator by January 1 of the year the early election is to take effect, but not later than January 1, 1997. Notwithstanding § 72.40 of this chapter, and unless the unit is a substitution unit under § 72.41 of this chapter or a compensating unit under § 72.43 of this chapter, a complete compliance plan covering the unit shall not include the provisions for SO_2 emissions under § 72.40(a)(1) of this chapter.

(c) *Contents of an early election plan.* A complete early election plan shall include the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(1) A request for early election;

(2) The first year for which early election is to take effect, but not later than 1997; and

(3) The special provisions under paragraph (e) of this section.

(d)(1) *Permitting authority's action.* To the extent the Administrator determines that an early election plan complies with the requirements of this section, the Administrator will approve the plan and:

(i) If a Phase I Acid Rain permit governing the source at which the unit is located has been issued, will revise the permit in accordance with the permit modification procedures in § 72.81 of this chapter to include the early election plan; or

(ii) If a Phase I Acid Rain permit governing the source at which the unit is located has not been issued, will issue a Phase I Acid Rain permit effective from January 1, 1995 through December 31, 1999, that will include the early

election plan and a complete compliance plan under § 72.40(a) of this chapter and paragraph (b) of this section. If the early election plan is not effective until after January 1, 1995, the permit will not contain any NO_X emissions limitations until the effective date of the plan.

(2) Beginning January 1, 2000, the permitting authority will approve any early election plan previously approved by the Administrator during Phase I, unless the plan is terminated pursuant to paragraph (e)(3) of this section.

(e) *Special provisions*—(1) *Emissions limitations*—(i) *Sulfur dioxide*. Notwithstanding § 72.9 of this chapter, a unit that is governed by an approved early election plan and that is not a substitution unit under § 72.41 of this chapter or a compensating unit under § 72.43 of this chapter shall not be subject to the following standard requirements under § 72.9 of this chapter for Phase I:

(A) The permit requirements under §§ 72.9(a)(1) (i) and (ii) of this chapter;

(B) The sulfur dioxide requirements under § 72.9(c) of this chapter; and

(C) The excess emissions requirements under § 72.9(e)(1) of this chapter.

(ii) *Nitrogen oxides*. A unit that is governed by an approved early election plan shall be subject to an emissions limitation for NO_X as provided under paragraph (a)(2) of this section except as provided under paragraph (e)(3)(iii) of this section.

(2) *Liability*. The owners and operators of any unit governed by an approved early election plan shall be liable for any violation of the plan or this section at that unit. The owners and operators shall be liable, beginning January 1, 2000, for fulfilling the obligations specified in part 77 of this chapter.

(3) *Termination*. An approved early election plan shall be in effect only until the earlier of January 1, 2008 or January 1 of the calendar year for which a termination of the plan takes effect.

(i) If the designated representative of the unit under an approved early election plan fails to demonstrate compliance with the applicable emissions limitation under § 76.5 for any year during the period beginning January 1 of the first year the early election takes effect and ending December 31, 2007, the permitting authority will terminate the plan. The termination will take effect beginning January 1 of the year after the year for which there is a failure to demonstrate compliance, and the designated representative may not submit a new early election plan.

(ii) The designated representative of the unit under an approved early election plan may terminate the plan any year prior to 2008 but may not submit a new early election plan. In order to terminate the plan, the designated representative must submit a notice under § 72.40(d) of this chapter by January 1 of the year for which the termination is to take effect.

(iii)(A) If an early election plan is terminated any year prior to 2000, the unit shall meet, beginning January 1, 2000, the applicable emissions limitation for NO_X for Phase II units with Group 1 boilers under § 76.7.

(B) If an early election plan is terminated in or after 2000, the unit shall meet, beginning on the effective date of the termination, the applicable emissions limitation for NO_X for Phase II units with Group 1 boilers under § 76.7.

[60 FR 18761, Apr. 13, 1995, as amended at 61 FR 67163, Dec. 19, 1996]

§ 76.9 Permit application and compliance plans.

(a) *Duty to apply*. (1) The designated representative of any source with an affected unit subject to this part shall submit, by the applicable deadline under paragraph (b) of this section, a complete Acid Rain permit application (or, if the unit is covered by an Acid Rain permit, a complete permit revision) that includes a complete compliance plan for NO_X emissions covering the unit.

(2) The original and three copies of the permit application and compliance plan for NO_X emissions for Phase I shall be submitted to the EPA regional office for the region where the applicable source is located. The original and three copies of the permit application and compliance plan for NO_X emissions for Phase II shall be submitted to the permitting authority.

(b) *Deadlines*. (1) For a Phase I unit with a Group 1 boiler, the designated

representative shall submit a complete permit application and compliance plan for NO_X covering the unit during Phase I to the applicable permitting authority not later than May 6, 1994.

(2) For a Phase I or Phase II unit with a Group 2 boiler or a Phase II unit with a Group 1 boiler, the designated representative shall submit a complete permit application and compliance plan for NO_X emissions covering the unit in Phase II to the Administrator not later than January 1, 1998, except that early election units shall also submit an application not later than January 1, 1997.

(c) *Information requirements for NO_X compliance plans.* (1) In accordance with § 72.40(a)(2) of this chapter, a complete compliance plan for NO_X shall, for each affected unit included in the permit application and subject to this part, either certify that the unit will comply with the applicable emissions limitation under § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7 or specify one or more other Acid Rain compliance options for NO_X in accordance with the requirements of this part. A complete compliance plan for NO_X for a source shall include the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(i) Identification of the source;

(ii) Identification of each affected unit that is at the source and is subject to this part;

(iii) Identification of the boiler type of each unit;

(iv) Identification of the compliance option proposed for each unit (i.e., meeting the applicable emissions limitation under § 76.5, 76.6, 76.7, 76.8 (early election), 76.10 (alternative emission limitation), 76.11 (NO_X emissions averaging), or 76.12 (Phase I NO_X compliance extension)) and any additional information required for the appropriate option in accordance with this part;

(v) Reference to the standard requirements in § 72.9 of this chapter (consistent with § 76.8(e)(1)(i)); and

(vi) The requirements of §§ 72.21 (a) and (b) of this chapter.

(2) [Reserved]

(d) *Duty to reapply.* The designated representative of any source with an affected unit subject to this part shall submit a complete Acid Rain permit application, including a complete compliance plan for NO_X emissions covering the unit, in accordance with the deadlines in § 72.30(c) of this chapter.

§ 76.10 Alternative emission limitations.

(a) *General provisions.* (1) The designated representative of an affected unit that is not an early election unit pursuant to § 76.8 and cannot meet the applicable emission limitation in § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7 using, for Group 1 boilers, either low NO_X burner technology or an alternative technology in accordance with paragraph (e)(11) of this section, or, for tangentially fired boilers, separated overfire air, or, for Group 2 boilers, the technology on which the applicable emission limitation is based may petition the permitting authority for an alternative emission limitation less stringent than the applicable emission limitation.

(2) In order for the unit to qualify for an alternative emission limitation, the designated representative shall demonstrate that the affected unit cannot meet the applicable emission limitation in § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7 based on a showing, to the satisfaction of the Administrator, that:

(i)(A) For a tangentially fired boiler, the owner or operator has either properly installed low NO_X burner technology or properly installed separated overfire air; or

(B) For a dry bottom wall-fired boiler (other than a unit applying cell burner technology), the owner or operator has properly installed low NO_X burner technology; or

(C) For a Group 1 boiler, the owner or operator has properly installed an alternative technology (including but not limited to reburning, selective noncatalytic reduction, or selective catalytic reduction) that achieves NO_X emission reductions demonstrated in accordance with paragraph (e)(11) of this section; or

(D) For a Group 2 boiler, the owner or operator has properly installed the appropriate NO_X emission control technology on which the applicable emission limitation in § 76.6 is based; and

(ii) The installed NO_X emission control system has been designed to meet the applicable emission limitation in § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7; and

(iii) For a demonstration period of at least 15 months or other period of time, as provided in paragraph (f)(1) of this section:

(A) The NO_X emission control system has been properly installed and properly operated according to specifications and procedures designed to minimize the emissions of NO_X to the atmosphere;

(B) Unit operating data as specified in this section show that the unit and NO_X emission control system were operated in accordance with the bid and design specifications on which the design of the NO_X emission control system was based; and

(C) Unit operating data as specified in this section, continuous emission monitoring data obtained pursuant to part 75 of this chapter, and the test data specific to the NO_X emission control system show that the unit could not meet the applicable emission limitation in §76.5, 76.6, or 76.7.

(b) *Petitioning process.* The petitioning process for an alternative emission limitation shall consist of the following steps:

(1) Operation during a period of at least 3 months, following the installation of the NO_X emission control system, that shows that the specific unit and the NO_X emission control system was unable to meet the applicable emissions limitation under §76.5, 76.6, or 76.7 and was operated in accordance with the operating conditions upon which the design of the NO_X emission control system was based and with vendor specifications and procedures;

(2) Submission of a petition for an alternative emission limitation demonstration period as specified in paragraph (d) of this section;

(3) Operation during a demonstration period of at least 15 months, or other period of time as provided in paragraph (f)(1) of this section, that demonstrates the inability of the specific unit to meet the applicable emissions limitation under §76.5, 76.6, or 76.7 and the minimum NO_X emissions rate that the specific unit can achieve during long-term load dispatch operation; and

(4) Submission of a petition for a final alternative emission limitation as specified in paragraph (e) of this section.

(c) *Deadlines*—(1) *Petition for an alternative emission limitation demonstration period.* The designated representative of the unit shall submit a petition for an alternative emission limitation demonstration period to the permitting authority after the unit has been operated for at least 3 months after installation of the NO_X emission control system required under paragraph (a)(2) of this section and by the following deadline:

(i) For units that seek to have an alternative emission limitation demonstration period apply during all or part of calendar year 1996, or any previous calendar year by the later of:

(A) 120 days after startup of the NO_X emission control system, or

(B) May 1, 1996.

(ii) For units that seek an alternative emission limitation demonstration period beginning in a calendar year after 1996, not later than:

(A) 120 days after January 1 of that calendar year, or

(B) 120 days after startup of the NO_X emission control system if the unit is not operating at the beginning of that calendar year.

(2) *Petition for a final alternative emission limitation.* Not later than 90 days after the end of an approved alternative emission limitation demonstration period for the unit, the designated representative of the unit may submit a petition for an alternative emission limitation to the permitting authority.

(3) *Renewal of an alternative emission limitation.* In order to request continuation of an alternative emission limitation, the designated representative must submit a petition to renew the alternative emission limitation on the date that the application for renewal of the source's Acid Rain permit containing the alternative emission limitation is due.

(d) *Contents of petition for an alternative emission limitation demonstration period.* The designated representative of an affected unit that has met the minimum criteria under paragraph (a) of this section and that has been operated for a period of at least 3 months following the installation of the required NO_X emission control system

may submit to the permitting authority a petition for an alternative emission limitation demonstration period. In the petition, the designated representative shall provide the following information in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(1) Identification of the unit;

(2) The type of NO_X control technology installed (e.g., low NO_X burner technology, selective noncatalytic reduction, selective catalytic reduction, reburning);

(3) If an alternative technology is installed, the time period (not less than 6 consecutive months) prior to installation of the technology to be used for the demonstration required in paragraph (e)(11) of this section.

(4) Documentation as set forth in § 76.14(a)(1) showing that the installed NO_X emission control system has been designed to meet the applicable emission limitation in § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7 and that the system has been properly installed according to procedures and specifications designed to minimize the emissions of NO_X to the atmosphere;

(5) The date the unit commenced operation following the installation of the NO_X emission control system or the date the specific unit became subject to the emission limitations of § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7, whichever is later;

(6) The dates of the operating period (which must be at least 3 months long);

(7) Certification by the designated representative that the owner(s) or operator operated the unit and the NO_X emission control system during the operating period in accordance with: Specifications and procedures designed to achieve the maximum NO_X reduction possible with the installed NO_X emission control system or the applicable emission limitation in § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7; the operating conditions upon which the design of the NO_X emission control system was based; and vendor specifications and procedures;

(8) A brief statement describing the reason or reasons why the unit cannot achieve the applicable emission limitation in § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7;

(9) A demonstration period plan, as set forth in § 76.14(a)(2);

(10) Unit operating data and quality-assured continuous emission monitoring data (including the specific data items listed in § 76.14(a)(3) collected in accordance with part 75 of this chapter during the operating period) and demonstrating the inability of the specific unit to meet the applicable emission limitation in § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7 on an annual average basis while operating as certified under paragraph (d)(7) of this section;

(11) An interim alternative emission limitation, in lb/mmBtu, that the unit can achieve during a demonstration period of at least 15 months. The interim alternative emission limitation shall be derived from the data specified in paragraph (d)(10) of this section using methods and procedures satisfactory to the Administrator;

(12) The proposed dates of the demonstration period (which must be at least 15 months long);

(13) A report which outlines the testing and procedures to be taken during the demonstration period in order to determine the maximum NO_X emission reduction obtainable with the installed system. The report shall include the reasons for the NO_X emission control system's failure to meet the applicable emission limitation, and the tests and procedures that will be followed to optimize the NO_X emission control system's performance. Such tests and procedures may include those identified in § 76.15 as appropriate.

(14) The special provisions at paragraph (g)(1) of this section.

(e) *Contents of petition for a final alternative emission limitation.* After the approved demonstration period, the designated representative of the unit may petition the permitting authority for an alternative emission limitation. The petition shall include the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(1) Identification of the unit;

(2) Certification that the owner(s) or operator operated the affected unit and the NO_X emission control system during the demonstration period in accordance with: specifications and procedures designed to achieve the maximum NO_X reduction possible with the installed NO_X emission control system or the applicable emissions limitation in § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7; the operating conditions (including load dispatch conditions) upon which the design of the

NO_X emission control system was based; and vendor specifications and procedures.

(3) Certification that the owner(s) or operator have installed in the affected unit all NO_X emission control systems, made any operational modifications, and completed any planned upgrades and/or maintenance to equipment specified in the approved demonstration period plan for optimizing NO_X emission reduction performance, consistent with the demonstration period plan and the proper operation of the installed NO_X emission control system. Such certification shall explain any differences between the installed NO_X emission control system and the equipment configuration described in the approved demonstration period plan.

(4) A clear description of each step or modification taken during the demonstration period to improve or optimize the performance of the installed NO_X emission control system.

(5) Engineering design calculations and drawings that show the technical specifications for installation of any additional operational or emission control modifications installed during the demonstration period.

(6) Unit operating and quality-assured continuous emission monitoring data (including the specific data listed in §76.14(b)) collected in accordance with part 75 of this chapter during the demonstration period and demonstrating the inability of the specific unit to meet the applicable emission limitation in §76.5, 76.6, or 76.7 on an annual average basis while operating in accordance with the certification under paragraph (e)(2) of this section.

(7) A report (based on the parametric test requirements set forth in the approved demonstration period plan as identified in paragraph (d)(13) of this section), that demonstrates the unit was operated in accordance with the operating conditions upon which the design of the NO_X emission control system was based and describes the reason or reasons for the failure of the installed NO_X emission control system to meet the applicable emission limitation in §76.5, 76.6, or 76.7 on an annual average basis.

(8) The minimum NO_X emission rate, in lb/mmBtu, that the affected unit can achieve on an annual average basis with the installed NO_X emission control system. This value, which shall be the requested alternative emission limitation, shall be derived from the data specified in this section using methods and procedures satisfactory to the Administrator and shall be the lowest annual emission rate the unit can achieve with the installed NO_X emission control system;

(9) All supporting data and calculations documenting the determination of the requested alternative emission limitation and its conformance with the methods and procedures satisfactory to the Administrator;

(10) The special provisions in paragraph (g)(2) of this section.

(11) In addition to the other requirements of this section, the owner or operator of an affected unit with a Group 1 boiler that has installed an alternative technology in addition to or in lieu of low NO_X burner technology and cannot meet the applicable emission limitation in §76.5 shall demonstrate, to the satisfaction of the Administrator, that the actual percentage reduction in NO_X emissions (lbs/mmBtu), on an annual average basis is greater than 65 percent of the average annual NO_X emissions prior to the installation of the NO_X emission control system. The percentage reduction in NO_X emissions shall be determined using continuous emissions monitoring data for NO_X taken during the time period (under paragraph (d)(3) of this section) prior to the installation of the NO_X emission control system and during long-term load dispatch operation of the specific boiler.

(f) *Permitting authority's action*—(1) *Alternative emission limitation demonstration period.* (i) The permitting authority may approve an alternative emission limitation demonstration period and demonstration period plan, provided that the requirements of this section are met to the satisfaction of the permitting authority. The permitting authority shall disapprove a demonstration period if the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section were not met during the operating period.

(ii) If the demonstration period is approved, the permitting authority will include, as part of the demonstration

period, the 4 month period prior to submission of the application in the demonstration period.

(iii) The alternative emission limitation demonstration period will authorize the unit to emit at a rate not greater than the interim alternative emission limitation during the demonstration period on or after January 1, 1996 for Phase I units and the applicable date established in § 76.6 or 76.7 for Phase II units, and until the date that the Administrator approves or denies a final alternative emission limitation.

(iv) After an alternative emission limitation demonstration period is approved, if the designated representative requests an extension of the demonstration period in accordance with paragraph (g)(1)(i)(B) of this section, the permitting authority may extend the demonstration period by administrative amendment (under § 72.83 of this chapter) to the Acid Rain permit.

(v) The permitting authority shall deny the demonstration period if the designated representative cannot demonstrate that the unit met the requirements of paragraph (a)(2) of this section. In such cases, the permitting authority shall require that the owner or operator operate the unit in compliance with the applicable emission limitation in § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7 for the period preceding the submission of the application for an alternative emission limitation demonstration period, including the operating period, if such periods are after the date on which the unit is subject to the standard limit under § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7.

(2) *Alternative emission limitation.* (i) If the permitting authority determines that the requirements in this section are met, the permitting authority will approve an alternative emission limitation and issue or revise an Acid Rain permit to apply the approved limitation, in accordance with subparts F and G of part 72 of this chapter. The permit will authorize the unit to emit at a rate not greater than the approved alternative emission limitation, starting the date the permitting authority revises an Acid Rain permit to approve an alternative emission limitation.

(ii) If a permitting authority disapproves an alternative emission limitation under paragraph (a)(2) of this section, the owner or operator shall operate the affected unit in compliance with the applicable emission limitation in § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7 (unless the unit is participating in an approved averaging plan under § 76.11) beginning on the date the permitting authority revises an Acid Rain permit to disapprove an alternative emission limitation.

(3) *Alternative emission limitation renewal.* (i) If, upon review of a petition to renew an approved alternative emission limitation, the permitting authority determines that no changes have been made to the control technology, its operation, the operating conditions on which the alternative emission limitation was based, or the actual NO_X emission rate, the alternative emission limitation will be renewed.

(ii) If the permitting authority determines that changes have been made to the control technology, its operation, the fuel quality, or the operating conditions on which the alternative emission limitation was based, the designated representative shall submit, in order to renew the alternative emission limitation or to obtain a new alternative emission limitation, a petition for an alternative emission limitation demonstration period that meets the requirements of paragraph (d) of this section using a new demonstration period.

(g) *Special provisions*—(1) *Alternative emission limitation demonstration period*—(i) *Emission limitations.* (A) Each unit with an approved alternative emission limitation demonstration period shall comply with the interim emission limitation specified in the unit's permit beginning on the effective date of the demonstration period specified in the permit and, if a timely petition for a final alternative emission limitation is submitted, extending until the date on which the permitting authority issues or revises an Acid Rain permit to approve or disapprove an alternative emission limitation. If a timely petition is not submitted, then the unit shall comply with the standard emission limit under § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7 beginning on the date the petition was required to be submitted under paragraph (c)(2) of this section.

(B) When the owner or operator identifies, during the demonstration period,

boiler operating or NO_X emission control system modifications or upgrades that would produce further NO_X emission reductions, enabling the affected unit to comply with or bring its emission rate closer to the applicable emissions limitation under §76.5, 76.6, or 76.7, the designated representative may submit a request and the permitting authority may grant, by administrative amendment under §72.83 of this chapter, an extension of the demonstration period for such period of time (not to exceed 12 months) as may be necessary to implement such modifications or upgrades.

(C) If the approved interim alternative emission limitation applies to a unit for part, but not all, of a calendar year, the unit shall determine compliance for the calendar year in accordance with the procedures in §76.13(a).

(ii) *Operating requirements.* (A) A unit with an approved alternative emission limitation demonstration period shall be operated under load dispatch conditions consistent with the operating conditions upon which the design of the NO_X emission control system and performance guarantee were based, and in accordance with the demonstration period plan.

(B) A unit with an approved alternative emission limitation demonstration period shall install all NO_X emission control systems, make any operational modifications, and complete any upgrades and maintenance to equipment specified in the approved demonstration period plan for optimizing NO_X emission reduction performance.

(C) When the owner or operator identifies boiler or NO_X emission control system operating modifications that would produce higher NO_X emission reductions, enabling the affected unit to comply with, or bring its emission rate closer to, the applicable emission limitation under §76.5, 76.6, or 76.7, the designated representative shall submit an administrative amendment under §72.83 of this chapter to revise the unit's Acid Rain permit and demonstration period plan to include such modifications.

(iii) *Testing requirements.* A unit with an approved alternative emission limitation demonstration period shall monitor in accordance with part 75 of this chapter and shall conduct all tests required under the approved demonstration period plan.

(2) *Final alternative emission limitation*—(i) *Emission limitations.* (A) Each unit with an approved alternative emission limitation shall comply with the alternative emission limitation specified in the unit's permit beginning on the date specified in the permit as issued or revised by the permitting authority to apply the final alternative emission limitation.

(B) If the approved interim or final alternative emission limitation applies to a unit for part, but not all, of a calendar year, the unit shall determine compliance for the calendar year in accordance with the procedures in §76.13(a).

[60 FR 18761, Apr. 13, 1995, as amended at 61 FR 67163, Dec. 19, 1996]

§76.11 Emissions averaging.

(a) *General provisions.* In lieu of complying with the applicable emission limitation in §76.5, 76.6, or 76.7, any affected units subject to such emission limitation, under control of the same owner or operator, and having the same designated representative may average their NO_X emissions under an averaging plan approved under this section.

(1) Each affected unit included in an averaging plan for Phase I shall be a Phase I unit with a Group 1 boiler subject to an emission limitation in §76.5 during all years for which the unit is included in the plan.

(i) If a unit with an approved NO_X compliance extension is included in an averaging plan for 1996, the unit shall be treated, for the purposes of applying Equation 1 in paragraph (a)(6) of this section and Equation 2 in paragraph (d)(1)(ii)(A) of this section, as subject to the applicable emissions limitation under §76.5 for the entire year 1996.

(ii) A Phase II unit approved for early election under §76.8 shall not be included in an averaging plan for Phase I.

(2) Each affected unit included in an averaging plan for Phase II shall be a boiler subject to an emission limitation in §76.5, 76.6, or 76.7 for all years for which the unit is included in the plan.

(3) Each unit included in an averaging plan shall have an alternative contemporaneous annual emission limitation (lb/mmBtu) and can only be included in one averaging plan.

(4) Each unit included in an averaging plan shall have a minimum allowable annual heat input value (mmBtu), if it has an alternative contemporaneous annual emission limitation more stringent than that unit's applicable emission limitation under § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7, and a maximum allowable annual heat input value, if it has an alternative contemporaneous annual emission limitation less stringent than that unit's applicable emission limitation under § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7.

(5) The Btu-weighted annual average emission rate for the units in an averaging plan shall be less than or equal to the Btu-weighted annual average emission rate for the same units had they each been operated, during the same period of time, in compliance with the applicable emission limitations in § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7.

(6) In order to demonstrate that the proposed plan is consistent with paragraph (a)(5) of this section, the alternative contemporaneous annual emission limitations and annual heat input values assigned to the units in the proposed averaging plan shall meet the following requirement:

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(R_{Li} \times HI_i\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} HI_i} \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(R_{li} \times HI_i\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} HI_i} \qquad \text{(Equation 1)}$$

where:

R_{Li} = Alternative contemporaneous annual emission limitation for unit i, lb/mmBtu, as specified in the averaging plan;

R_{li} = Applicable emission limitation for unit i, lb/mmBtu, as specified in § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7 except that for early election units, which may be included in an averaging plan only on or after January 1, 2000, R_{li} shall equal the most stringent applicable emission limitation under § 76.5 or 76.7;

HI_i = Annual heat input for unit i, mmBtu, as specified in the averaging plan;

n = Number of units in the averaging plan.

(7) For units with an alternative emission limitation, R_{li} shall equal the applicable emissions limitation under § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7, not the alternative emissions limitation.

(8) No unit may be included in more than one averaging plan.

(b)(1) *Submission requirements.* The designated representative of a unit meeting the requirements of paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(8) of this section may submit an averaging plan (or a revision to an approved averaging plan) to the permitting authority(ies) at any time up to and including January 1 (or July 1, if the plan is restricted to units located within a single permitting authority's jurisdiction) of the calendar year for which the averaging plan is to become effective.

(2) The designated representative shall submit a copy of the same averaging plan (or the same revision to an approved averaging plan) to each permitting authority with jurisdiction over a unit in the plan.

(3) When an averaging plan (or a revision to an approved averaging plan) is not approved, the owner or operator of each unit in the plan shall operate the unit in compliance with the emission limitation that would apply in the absence of the averaging plan (or revision to a plan).

(c) *Contents of NO_X averaging plan.* A complete NO_X averaging plan shall include the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(1) Identification of each unit in the plan;

(2) Each unit's applicable emission limitation in § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7;

(3) The alternative contemporaneous annual emission limitation for each unit (in lb/mmBtu). If any of the units identified in the NO_X averaging plan utilize a common stack pursuant to

§75.17(a)(2)(i)(B) of this chapter, the same alternative contemporaneous emission limitation shall be assigned to each such unit and different heat input limits may be assigned;

(4) The annual heat input limit for each unit (in mmBtu);

(5) The calculation for Equation 1 in paragraph (a)(6) of this section;

(6) The calendar years for which the plan will be in effect; and

(7) The special provisions in paragraph (d)(1) of this section.

(d) *Special provisions*—(1) *Emission limitations.* Each affected unit in an approved averaging plan is in compliance with the Acid Rain emission limitation for NO_X under the plan only if the following requirements are met:

(i) For each unit, the unit's actual annual average emission rate for the calendar year, in lb/mmBtu, is less than or equal to its alternative contemporaneous annual emission limitation in the averaging plan; and

(A) For each unit with an alternative contemporaneous emission limitation less stringent than the applicable emission limitation in §76.5, 76.6, or 76.7, the actual annual heat input for the calendar year does not exceed the annual heat input limit in the averaging plan;

(B) For each unit with an alternative contemporaneous annual emission limitation more stringent than the applicable emission limitation in §76.5, 76.6, or 76.7, the actual annual heat input for thecalendar year is not less than the annual heat input limit in the averaging plan; or

(ii) If one or more of the units does not meet the requirements under paragraph (d)(1)(i) of this section, the designated representative shall demonstrate, in accordance with paragraph (d)(1)(ii)(A) of this section (Equation 2) that the actual Btu-weighted annual average emission rate for the units in the plan is less than or equal to the Btu-weighted annual average rate for the same units had they each been operated, during the same period of time, in compliance with the applicable emission limitations in §76.5, 76.6, or 76.7.

(A) A group showing of compliance shall be made based on the following equation:

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(R_{ai} \times HI_{ai}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} HI_{ai}} \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(R_{li} \times HI_{ai}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} HI_{ai}} \qquad \text{(Equation 2)}$$

where:

R_{ai} = Actual annual average emission rate for unit i, lb/mmBtu, as determined using the procedures in part 75 of this chapter. For units in an averaging plan utilizing a common stack pursuant to §75.17(a)(2)(i)(B) of this chapter, use the same NO_X emission rate value for each unit utilizing the common stack, and calculate this value in accordance with appendix F to part 75 of this chapter;

R_{li} = Applicable annual emission limitation for unit i lb/mmBtu, as specified in §76.5, 76.6, or 76.7, except that for early election units, which may be included in an averaging plan only on or after January 1, 2000, R_{li} shall equal the most stringent applicable emission limitation under §76.5 or 76.7;

HI_{ai} = Actual annual heat input for unit i, mmBtu, as determined using the procedures in part 75 of this chapter;

n = Number of units in the averaging plan.

(B) For units with an alternative emission limitation, R_{li} shall equal the applicable emission limitation under §76.5, 76.6, or 76.7, not the alternative emission limitation.

(C) If there is a successful group showing of compliance under paragraph (d)(1)(ii)(A) of this section for a calendar year, then all units in the averaging plan shall be deemed to be in compliance for that year with their alternative contemporaneous emission limitations and annual heat input limits under paragraph (d)(1)(i) of this section.

(2) *Liability*. The owners and operators of a unit governed by an approved averaging plan shall be liable for any violation of the plan or this section at that unit or any other unit in the plan, including liability for fulfilling the obligations specified in part 77 of this chapter and sections 113 and 411 of the Act.

(3) *Withdrawal or termination*. The designated representative may submit a notification to terminate an approved averaging plan in accordance with § 72.40(d) of this chapter, no later than October 1 of the calendar year for which the plan is to be withdrawn or terminated.

§ 76.12 Phase I NO_X compliance extension.

(a) *General provisions*. (1) The designated representative of a Phase I unit with a Group 1 boiler may apply for and receive a 15-month extension of the deadline for meeting the applicable emissions limitation under § 76.5 where it is demonstrated, to the satisfaction of the Administrator, that:

(i) The low NO_X burner technology designed to meet the applicable emission limitation is not in adequate supply to enable installation and operation at the unit, consistent with system reliability, by January 1, 1995 and the reliability problems are due substantially to NO_X emission control system installation and availability; or

(ii) The unit is participating in an approved clean coal technology demonstration project.

(2) In order to obtain a Phase I NO_X compliance extension, the designated representative shall submit a Phase I NO_X compliance extension plan by October 1, 1994.

(b) *Contents of Phase I NO_X compliance extension plan*. A complete Phase I NO_X compliance extension plan shall include the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator:

(1) Identification of the unit.

(2) For units applying pursuant to paragraph (a)(1)(i) of this section:

(i) A list of the company names, addresses, and telephone numbers of vendors who are qualified to provide the services and low NO_X burner technology designed to meet the applicable emission limitation under § 76.5 and have been contacted to obtain the required services and technology. The list shall include the dates of contact, and a copy of each request for bids shall be submitted, along with any other information necessary to show a good-faith effort to obtain the required services and technology necessary to meet the requirements of this part on or before January 1, 1995.

(ii) A copy of those portions of a legally binding contract with a qualified vendor that demonstrate that services and low NO_X burner technology designed to meet the applicable emission limitation under § 76.5, with a completion date not later than December 31, 1995 have been contracted for.

(iii) Scheduling information, including justification and test schedules.

(iv) To demonstrate, if applicable, that the supply of the low NO_X burner technology designed to meet the applicable emission limitation under § 76.5 is inadequate to enable its installation and operation at the unit, consistent with system reliability, in time for the unit to comply with the applicable emission limitation on or before January 1, 1995, either:

(A) Certification from the selected vendor(s) (by a certifying official) listed in paragraph (b)(2)(i) of this section stating that they cannot provide the necessary services and install the low NO_X burner technology on or before January 1, 1995 and explaining the reasons why the services cannot be provided and why the equipment cannot be installed in a timely manner; or

(B) The following information:

(i) Standard load forecasts, based on standard forecasting models available throughout the utility industry and applied to the period, January 1, 1993, through December 31, 1994.

(ii) Specific reasons why an outage cannot be scheduled to enable the unit to install and operate the low NO_X burner technology by January 1, 1995, including reasons why no other units can be used to replace this unit's generation during such outage.

(iii) Fuel and energy balance summaries and power and other consumption requirements (including those for air, steam, and cooling water).

(3) To demonstrate, if applicable, participation in an approved clean coal

technology demonstration project, a description of the project, including all sources of Federal, State, and other outside funding, amount and date for approval of Federal funding, the duration of the project, and the anticipated completion date of the project.

(4) The special provisions in paragraph (d) of this section.

(c)(1) *Administrator's action.* To the extent the Administrator determines that a Phase I NO_X compliance extension plan complies with the requirements of this section, the Administrator will approve the plan and revise the Acid Rain permit governing the unit in the plan in order to incorporate the plan by administrative amendment under §72.83 of this chapter, except that the Administrator shall have 90 days from receipt of the compliance extension plan to take final action.

(2) The Administrator will approve or disapprove a proposed NO_X compliance extension plan within 3 months of receipt.

(d) *Special provisions.* (1) Emission limitations. The unit shall comply with the applicable emission limitation under §76.5 beginning April 1, 1996. Compliance shall be determined as specified in part 75 of this chapter using measured values of NO_X emissions and heat input only for the portion of the year that the emission limit is in effect.

(2) If a unit with an approved NO_X compliance extension is included in an averaging plan under §76.11 for year 1996, the unit shall be treated, for purposes of applying Equation 1 in §76.11(a)(6) and Equation 2 in §76.11(d)(1)(ii)(A), as subject to the applicable emission limitation under §76.5 for the entire year 1996.

(e) *Extension until December 31, 1997.* (1) The designated representative of a Phase I unit that is subject to section 404(d) of the Act, has a tangentially fired boiler, and is unable to install low NO_X burner technology by January 1, 1997 may submit a petition for and receive an extension for meeting the applicable emission limitation under §76.5 where it is demonstrated, to the satisfaction of the Administrator, that:

(i) The unit is located at a source with two or more other units, all of which are Phase I units that are subject to section 404(d) of the Act and have tangentially fired boilers;

(ii) The NO_X control system at the unit was scheduled to be installed by January 1, 1997 and, because of operational problems associated with the NO_X control system, will be redesigned; and

(iii) Installation of the redesigned low NO_X burner technology at the unit cannot be completed by January 1, 1997 without causing system reliability problems.

(2) A complete petition shall include the following elements and shall be submitted by April 28, 1995.

(i) Identification of the unit and the other units at the source;

(ii) A statement describing how the requirements of paragraphs (e)(1)(ii) and (e)(1)(iii) of this section are met;

(iii) The earliest date, not later than December 31, 1997, by which installation of the redesigned low NO_X burner technology can be completed consistent with system reliability; and

(iv) The provisions in paragraph (e)(4) of this section.

(3) To the extent the Administrator determines that a Phase I unit meets the requirements of paragraphs (e)(1) and (e)(2) of this section, the Administrator will approve the petition within 90 days from receipt of the complete petition. The Acid Rain permit governing the unit will be revised in order to incorporate the approved extension, which shall terminate no later than December 31, 1997, by administrative amendment under §72.83 of this chapter except that the Administrator will have 90 days to take final action.

(4) The unit shall comply with the applicable emission limitation under §76.5 beginning on the day immediately following the day on which the extension approved under paragraph (e)(3) of this section terminates. Compliance shall be determined as specified in part 75 of this chapter using measured values of NO_X emissions and heat input only for the portion of the year that the emission limit is in effect. If a unit with an approved extension is included in an averaging plan under §76.11 for year 1997, the unit shall be treated, for the purpose of applying Equation 1 in §76.11(a)(6) and Equation 2 in

§ 76.11(d)(1)(ii)(A), as subject to the applicable emission limitation under § 76.5 for the entire year 1997.

§ 76.13 Compliance and excess emissions.

Excess emissions of nitrogen oxides under § 77.6 of this chapter shall be calculated as follows:

(a) For a unit that is not in an approved averaging plan:

(1) Calculate EE_i for each portion of the calendar year that the unit is subject to a different NO_X emission limitation:

$$EE_i = \frac{(R_{ai} - R_{li}) \times HI_i}{2000} \qquad \text{(Equation 3)}$$

where:

EE_i = Excess emissions for NO_X for the portion of the calendar year (in tons);

R_{ai} = Actual average emission rate for the unit (in lb/mmBtu), determined according to part 75 of this chapter for the portion of the calendar year for which the applicable emission limitation R_l is in effect;

R_{li} = Applicable emission limitation for the unit, (in lb/mmBtu), as specified in § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7 or as determined under § 76.10;

$$EE = \sum_{i=1}^{n} EE_i \qquad \text{(Equation 4)}$$

HI^i = Actual heat input for the unit, (in mmBtu), determined according to part 75 of this chapter for the portion of the calendar year for which the applicable emission limitation, R_l, is in effect.

(2) If EE_i is a negative number for any portion of the calendar year, the EE value for that portion of the calendar year shall be equal to zero (e.g., if $EE_i = -100$, then $EE_i = 0$).

(3) Sum all EE_i values for the calendar year:

where:

EE = Excess emissions for NO_X for the year (in tons);

n = The number of time periods during which a unit is subject to different emission limitations; and

(b) For units participating in an approved averaging plan, when all the requirements under § 76.11(d)(1) are not met,

$$EE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(R_{ai} \times HI_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n}(R_{li} \times HI_i)}{2000} \qquad \text{(Equation 5)}$$

where:

EE = Excess emissions for NO_X for the year (in tons);

R_{ai} = Actual annual average emission rate for NO_X for unit i, (in lb/mmBtu), determined according to part 75 of this chapter;

R_{li} = Applicable emission limitation for unit i, (in lb/mmBtu), as specified in § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7;

HI_i = Actual annual heat input for unit i, mmBtu, determined according to part 75 of this chapter;

n = Number of units in the averaging plan.

§ 76.14 Monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting.

(a) A petition for an alternative emission limitation demonstration period under § 76.10(d) shall include the following information:

(1) In accordance with § 76.10(d)(4), the following information:

(i) Documentation that the owner or operator solicited bids for a NO_X emission control system designed for application to the specific boiler and designed to achieve the applicable emission limitation in § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7 on an annual average basis. This documentation must include a copy of all bid specifications.

(ii) A copy of the performance guarantee submitted by the vendor of the installed NO_X emission control system to the owner or operator showing that such system was designed to meet the applicable emission limitation in § 76.5, 76.6, or 76.7 on an annual average basis.

(iii) Documentation describing the operational and combustion conditions

that are the basis of the performance guarantee.

(iv) Certification by the primary vendor of the NO_X emission control system that such equipment and associated auxiliary equipment was properly installed according to the modifications and procedures specified by the vendor.

(v) Certification by the designated representative that the owner(s) or operator installed technology that meets the requirements of §76.10(a)(2).

(2) In accordance with §76.10(d)(9), the following information:

(i) The operating conditions of the NO_X emission control system including load range, O_2 range, coal volatile matter range, and, for tangentially fired boilers, distribution of combustion air within the NO_X emission control system;

(ii) Certification by the designated representative that the owner(s) or operator have achieved and are following the operating conditions, boiler modifications, and upgrades that formed the basis for the system design and performance guarantee;

(iii) Any planned equipment modifications and upgrades for the purpose of achieving the maximum NO_X reduction performance of the NO_X emission control system that were not included in the design specifications and performance guarantee, but that were achieved prior to submission of this application and are being followed;

(iv) A list of any modifications or replacements of equipment that are to be done prior to the completion of the demonstration period for the purpose of reducing emissions of NO_X; and

(v) The parametric testing that will be conducted to determine the reason or reasons for the failure of the unit to achieve the applicable emission limitation and to verify the proper operation of the installed NO_X emission control system during the demonstration period. The tests shall include tests in §76.15, which may be modified as follows:

(A) The owner or operator of the unit may add tests to those listed in §76.15, if such additions provide data relevant to the failure of the installed NO_X emission control system to meet the applicable emissions limitation in §76.5, 76.6, or 76.7; or

(B) The owner or operator of the unit may remove tests listed in §76.15 that are shown, to the satisfaction of the permitting authority, not to be relevant to NO_X emissions from the affected unit; and

(C) In the event the performance guarantee or the NO_X emission control system specifications require additional tests not listed in §76.15, or specify operating conditions not verified by tests listed in §76.15, the owner or operator of the unit shall include such additional tests.

(3) In accordance with §76.10(d)(10), the following information for the operating period:

(i) The average NO_X emission rate (in lb/mmBtu) of the specific unit;

(ii) The highest hourly NO_X emission rate (in lb/mmBtu) of the specific unit;

(iii) Hourly NO_X emission rate (in lb/mmBtu), calculated in accordance with part 75 of this chapter;

(iv) Total heat input (in mmBtu) for the unit for each hour of operation, calculated in accordance with the requirements of part 75 of this chapter; and

(v) Total integrated hourly gross unit load (in MWge).

(b) A petition for an alternative emission limitation shall include the following information in accordance with §76.10(e)(6).

(1) Total heat input (in mmBtu) for the unit for each hour of operation, calculated in accordance with the requirements of part 75 of this chapter;

(2) Hourly NO_X emission rate (in lb/mmBtu), calculated in accordance with the requirements of part 75 of this chapter; and

(3) Total integrated hourly gross unit load (MWge).

(c) *Reporting of the costs of low NO_X burner technology applied to Group 1, Phase I boilers.* (1) Except as provided in paragraph (c)(2) of this section, the designated representative of a Phase I unit with a Group 1 boiler that has installed or is installing any form of low NO_X burner technology shall submit to the Administrator a report containing the capital cost, operating cost, and baseline and post-retrofit emission data specified in appendix B to this part. If any of the required equipment, cost, and schedule information are not

available (e.g., the retrofit project is still underway), the designated representative shall include in the report detailed cost estimates and other projected or estimated data in lieu of the information that is not available.

(2) The report under paragraph (c)(1) of this section is not required with regard to the following types of Group 1, Phase I units:

(i) Units employing no new NO_X emission control system after November 15, 1990;

(ii) Units employing modifications to boiler operating parameters (e.g., burners out of service or fuel switching) without low NO_X burners or other emission reduction equipment for reducing NO_X emissions;

(iii) Units with wall-fired boilers employing only overfire air and units with tangentially fired boilers employing only separated overfire air; or

(iv) Units beginning installation of a new NO_X emission control system after August 11, 1995.

(3) The report under paragraph (c)(1) of this section shall be submitted to the Administrator by:

(i) 120 days after completion of the low NO_X burner technology retrofit project; or

(ii) May 23, 1995, if the project was completed on or before January 23, 1995.

§ 76.15 Test methods and procedures.

(a) The owner or operator may use the following tests as a basis for the report required by § 76.10(e)(7):

(1) Conduct an ultimate analysis of coal using ASTM D 3176–89 (incorporated by reference as specified in § 76.4);

(2) Conduct a proximate analysis of coal using ASTM D 3172–89 (incorporated by reference as specified in § 76.4); and

(3) Measure the coal mass flow rate to each individual burner using ASME Power Test Code 4.2 (1991), ''Test Code for Coal Pulverizers'' or ISO 9931 (1991), ''Coal—Sampling of Pulverized Coal Conveyed by Gases in Direct Fired Coal Systems'' (incorporated by reference as specified in § 76.4).

(b) The owner or operator may measure and record the actual NO_X emission rate in accordance with the requirements of this part while varying the following parameters where possible to determine their effects on the emissions of NO_X from the affected boiler:

(1) Excess air levels;

(2) Settings of burners or coal and air nozzles, including tilt and yaw, or swirl;

(3) For tangentially fired boilers, distribution of combustion air within the NO_X emission control system;

(4) Coal mass flow rates to each individual burner;

(5) Coal-to-primary air ratio (based on pound per hour) for each burner, the average coal-to-primary air ratio for all burners, and the deviations of individual burners' coal-to-primary air ratios from the average value; and

(6) If the boiler uses varying types of coal, the type of coal. Provide the results of proximate and ultimate analyses of each type of as-fired coal.

(c) In performing the tests specified in paragraph (a) of this section, the owner or operator shall begin the tests using the equipment settings for which the NO_X emission control system was designed to meet the NO_X emission rate guaranteed by the primary NO_X emission control system vendor. These results constitute the ''baseline controlled'' condition.

(d) After establishing the baseline controlled condition under paragraph (c) of this section, the owner or operator may:

(1) Change excess air levels ±5 percent from the baseline controlled condition to determine the effects on emissions of NO_X, by providing a minimum of three readings (e.g., with a baseline reading of 20 percent excess air, excess air levels will be changed to 19 percent and 21 percent);

(2) For tangentially fired boilers, change the distribution of combustion air within the NO_X emission control system to determine the effects on NO_X emissions by providing a minimum of three readings, one with the minimum, one with the baseline, and one with the maximum amounts of staged combustion air; and

(3) Show that the combustion process within the boiler is optimized (e.g., that the burners are balanced).

Appendix A to Part 76—Phase I Affected Coal-Fired Utility Units With Group 1 or Cell Burner Boilers

Table 1—Phase I Tangentially Fired Units

State	Plant	Unit	Operator
ALABAMA	EC GASTON	5	ALABAMA POWER CO.
GEORGIA	BOWEN	1BLR	GEORGIA POWER CO.
GEORGIA	BOWEN	2BLR	GEORGIA POWER CO.
GEORGIA	BOWEN	3BLR	GEORGIA POWER CO.
GEORGIA	BOWEN	4BLR	GEORGIA POWER CO.
GEORGIA	JACK MCDONOUGH	MB1	GEORGIA POWER CO.
GEORGIA	JACK MCDONOUGH	MB2	GEORGIA POWER CO.
GEORGIA	WANSLEY	1	GEORGIA POWER CO.
GEORGIA	WANSLEY	2	GEORGIA POWER CO.
GEORGIA	YATES	Y1BR	GEORGIA POWER CO.
GEORGIA	YATES	Y2BR	GEORGIA POWER CO.
GEORGIA	YATES	Y3BR	GEORGIA POWER CO.
GEORGIA	YATES	Y4BR	GEORGIA POWER CO.
GEORGIA	YATES	Y5BR	GEORGIA POWER CO.
GEORGIA	YATES	Y6BR	GEORGIA POWER CO.
GEORGIA	YATES	Y7BR	GEORGIA POWER CO.
ILLINOIS	BALDWIN	3	ILLINOIS POWER CO.
ILLINOIS	HENNEPIN	2	ILLINOIS POWER CO.
ILLINOIS	JOPPA	1	ELECTRIC ENERGY INC.
ILLINOIS	JOPPA	2	ELECTRIC ENERGY INC.
ILLINOIS	JOPPA	3	ELECTRIC ENERGY INC.
ILLINOIS	JOPPA	4	ELECTRIC ENERGY INC.
ILLINOIS	JOPPA	5	ELECTRIC ENERGY INC.
ILLINOIS	JOPPA	6	ELECTRIC ENERGY INC.
ILLINOIS	MEREDOSIA	5	CEN ILLINOIS PUB SER.
ILLINOIS	VERMILION	2	ILLINOIS POWER CO.
INDIANA	CAYUGA	1	PSI ENERGY INC.
INDIANA	CAYUGA	2	PSI ENERGY INC.
INDIANA	EW STOUT	50	INDIANAPOLIS PWR & LT.
INDIANA	EW STOUT	60	INDIANAPOLIS PWR & LT.
INDIANA	EW STOUT	70	INDIANAPOLIS PRW & LT.
INDIANA	HT PRITCHARD	6	INDIANAPOLIS PWR & LT.
INDIANA	PETERSBURG	1	INDIANAPOLIS PWR & LT.
INDIANA	PETERSBURG	2	INDIANAPOLIS PWR & LT.
INDIANA	WABASH RIVER	6	PSI ENERGY INC.
IOWA	BURLINGTON	1	IOWA SOUTHERN UTL.
IOWA	ML KAPP	2	INTERSTATE POWER CO.
IOWA	RIVERSIDE	9	IOWA-ILL GAS & ELEC.
KENTUCKY	ELMER SMITH	2	OWENSBORO MUN UTIL.
KENTUCKY	EW BROWN	2	KENTUCKY UTL CO.
KENTUCKY	EW BROWN	3	KENTUCKY UTL CO.
KENTUCKY	GHENT	1	KENTUCKY UTL CO.
MARYLAND	MORGANTOWN	1	POTOMAC ELEC PWR CO.
MARYLAND	MORGANTOWN	2	POTOMAC ELEC PWR CO.
MICHIGAN	JH CAMPBELL	1	CONSUMERS POWER CO.
MISSOURI	LABADIE	1	UNION ELECTRIC CO.
MISSOURI	LABADIE	2	UNION ELECTRIC CO.
MISSOURI	LABADIE	3	UNION ELECTRIC CO.
MISSOURI	LABADIE	4	UNION ELECTRIC CO.
MISSOURI	MONTROSE	1	KANSAS CITY PWR & LT.
MISSOURI	MONTROSE	2	KANSAS CITY PWR & LT.
MISSOURI	MONTROSE	3	KANSAS CITY PWR & LT.
NEW YORK	DUNKIRK	3	NIAGARA MOHAWK PWR.
NEW YORK	DUNKIRK	4	NIAGARA MOHAWK PWR.
NEW YORK	GREENIDGE	6	NY STATE ELEC & GAS.
NEW YORK	MILLIKEN	1	NY STATE ELEC & GAS.
NEW YORK	MILLIKEN	2	NY STATE ELEC & GAS.
OHIO	ASHTABULA	7	CLEVELAND ELEC ILLUM.
OHIO	AVON LAKE	11	CLEVELAND ELEC ILLUM.
OHIO	CONESVILLE	4	COLUMBUS STHERN PWR.
OHIO	EASTLAKE	1	CLEVELAND ELEC ILLUM.
OHIO	EASTLAKE	2	CLEVELAND ELEC ILLUM.
OHIO	EASTLAKE	3	CLEVELAND ELEC ILLUM.
OHIO	EASTLAKE	4	CLEVELAND ELEC ILLUM.
OHIO	MIAMI FORT	6	CINCINNATI GAS & ELEC.
OHIO	WC BECKJORD	5	CINCINNATI GAS & ELEC.
OHIO	WC BECKJORD	6	CINCINNATI GAS & ELEC.
PENNSYLVANIA	BRUNNER ISLAND	1	PENNSYLVANIA PWR & LT.
PENNSYLVANIA	BRUNNER ISLAND	2	PENNSYLVANIA PWR & LT.

TABLE 1—PHASE I TANGENTIALLY FIRED UNITS—Continued

State	Plant	Unit	Operator
PENNSYLVANIA	BRUNNER ISLAND	3	PENNSYLVANIA PWR & LT.
PENNSYLVANIA	CHESWICK	1	DUQUESNE LIGHT CO.
PENNSYLVANIA	CONEMAUGH	1	PENNSYLVANIA ELEC CO.
PENNSYLVANIA	CONEMAUGH	2	PENNSYLVANIA ELEC CO.
PENNSYLVANIA	PORTLAND	1	METROPOLITAN EDISON.
PENNSYLVANIA	PORTLAND	2	METROPOLITAN EDISON.
PENNSYLVANIA	SHAWVILLE	3	PENNSYLVANIA ELEC CO.
PENNSYLVANIA	SHAWVILLE	4	PENNSYLVANIA ELEC CO.
TENNESSEE	GALLATIN	1	TENNESSEE VAL AUTH.
TENNESSEE	GALLATIN	2	TENNESSEE VAL AUTH.
TENNESSEE	GALLATIN	3	TENNESSEE VAL AUTH.
TENNESSEE	GALLATIN	4	TENNESSEE VAL AUTH.
TENNESSEE	JOHNSONVILLE	1	TENNESSEE VAL AUTH.
TENNESSEE	JOHNSONVILLE	2	TENNESSEE VAL AUTH.
TENNESSEE	JOHNSONVILLE	3	TENNESSEE VAL AUTH.
TENNESSEE	JOHNSONVILLE	4	TENNESSEE VAL AUTH.
TENNESSEE	JOHNSONVILLE	5	TENNESSEE VAL AUTH.
TENNESSEE	JOHNSONVILLE	6	TENNESSEE VAL AUTH.
WEST VIRGINIA	ALBRIGHT	3	MONONGAHELA POWER CO.
WEST VIRGINIA	FORT MARTIN	1	MONONGAHELA POWER CO.
WEST VIRGINIA	MOUNT STORM	1	VIRGINIA ELEC & PWR.
WEST VIRGINIA	MOUNT STORM	2	VIRGINIA ELEC & PWR.
WEST VIRGINIA	MOUNT STORM	3	VIRGINIA ELEC & PWR.
WISCONSIN	GENOA	1	DAIRYLAND POWER COOP.
WISCONSIN	SOUTH OAK CREEK	7	WISCONSIN ELEC POWER.
WISCONSIN	SOUTH OAK CREEK	8	WISCONSIN ELEC POWER.

TABLE 2—PHASE I DRY BOTTOM-FIRED UNITS

State	Plant	Unit	Operator
ALABAMA	COLBERT	1	TENNESSEE VAL AUTH.
ALABAMA	COLBERT	2	TENNESSEE VAL AUTH.
ALABAMA	COLBERT	3	TENNESSEE VAL AUTH.
ALABAMA	COLBERT	4	TENNESSEE VAL AUTH.
ALABAMA	COLBERT	5	TENNESSEE VAL AUTH.
ALABAMA	EC GASTON	1	ALABAMA POWER CO.
ALABAMA	EC GASTON	2	ALABAMA POWER CO.
ALABAMA	EC GASTON	3	ALABAMA POWER CO.
ALABAMA	EC GASTON	4	ALABAMA POWER CO.
FLORIDA	CRIST	6	GULF POWER CO.
FLORIDA	CRIST	7	GULF POWER CO.
GEORGIA	HAMMOND	1	GEORGIA POWER CO.
GEORGIA	HAMMOND	2	GEORGIA POWER CO.
GEORGIA	HAMMOND	3	GEORGIA POWER CO.
GEORGIA	HAMMOND	4	GEORGIA POWER CO.
ILLINOIS	GRAND TOWER	9	CEN ILLINOIS PUB SER.
INDIANA	CULLEY	2	STHERN IND GAS & EL.
INDIANA	CULLEY	3	STHERN IND GAS & EL.
INDIANA	GIBSON	1	PSI ENERGY INC.
INDIANA	GIBSON	2	PSI ENERGY INC.
INDIANA	GIBSON	3	PSI ENERGY INC.
INDIANA	GIBSON	4	PSI ENERGY INC.
INDIANA	RA GALLAGHER	1	PSI ENERGY INC.
INDIANA	RA GALLAGHER	2	PSI ENERGY INC.
INDIANA	RA GALLAGHER	3	PSI ENERGY INC.
INDIANA	RA GALLAGHER	4	PSI ENERGY INC.
INDIANA	FRANK E RATTS	1SG1	HOOSIER ENERGY REC.
INDIANA	FRANK E RATTS	2SG1	HOOSIER ENERGY REC.
INDIANA	WABASH RIVER	1	PSI ENERGY INC.
INDIANA	WABASH RIVER	2	PSI ENERGY INC.
INDIANA	WABASH RIVER	3	PSI ENERGY INC.
INDIANA	WABASH RIVER	5	PSI ENERGY INC.
IOWA	DES MOINES	11	IOWA PWR & LT CO.
IOWA	PRAIRIE CREEK	4	IOWA ELEC LT & PWR.
KANSAS	QUINDARO	2	KS CITY BD PUB UTIL.
KENTUCKY	COLEMAN	C1	BIG RIVERS ELEC CORP.
KENTUCKY	COLEMAN	C2	BIG RIVERS ELEC CORP.
KENTUCKY	COLEMAN	C3	BIG RIVERS ELEC CORP.
KENTUCKY	EW BROWN	1	KENTUCKY UTL CO.
KENTUCKY	GREEN RIVER	5	KENTUCKY UTL CO.

TABLE 2—PHASE I DRY BOTTOM-FIRED UNITS—Continued

State	Plant	Unit	Operator
KENTUCKY	HMP&L STATION 2	H1	BIG RIVERS ELEC CORP.
KENTUCKY	HMP&L STATION 2	H2	BIG RIVERS ELEC CORP.
KENTUCKY	HL SPURLOCK	1	EAST KY PWR COOP.
KENTUCKY	JS COOPER	1	EAST KY PWR COOP.
KENTUCKY	JS COOPER	2	EAST KY PWR COOP.
MARYLAND	CHALK POINT	1	POTOMAC ELEC PWR CO.
MARYLAND	CHALK POINT	2	POTOMAC ELEC PWR CO.
MINNESOTA	HIGH BRIDGE	6	NORTHERN STATES PWR.
MISSISSIPPI	JACK WATSON	4	MISSISSIPPI PWR CO.
MISSISSIPPI	JACK WATSON	5	MISSISSIPPI PWR CO.
MISSOURI	JAMES RIVER	5	SPRINGFIELD UTL.
OHIO	CONESVILLE	3	COLUMBUS STHERN PWR.
OHIO	EDGEWATER	13	OHIO EDISON CO.
OHIO	MIAMI FORT [1]	5–1	CINCINNATI GAS&ELEC.
OHIO	MIAMI FORT [1]	5–2	CINCINNATI GAS&ELEC.
OHIO	PICWAY	9	COLUMBUS STHERN PWR.
OHIO	RE BURGER	7	OHIO EDISON CO.
OHIO	RE BURGER	8	OHIO EDISON CO.
OHIO	WH SAMMIS	5	OHIO EDISON CO.
OHIO	WH SAMMIS	6	OHIO EDISON CO.
PENNSYLVANIA	ARMSTRONG	1	WEST PENN POWER CO.
PENNSYLVANIA	ARMSTRONG	2	WEST PENN POWER CO.
PENNSYLVANIA	MARTINS CREEK	1	PENNSYLVANIA PWR & LT.
PENNSYLVANIA	MARTINS CREEK	2	PENNSYLVANIA PWR & LT.
PENNSYLVANIA	SHAWVILLE	1	PENNSYLVANIA ELEC CO.
PENNSYLVANIA	SHAWVILLE	2	PENNSYLVANIA ELEC CO.
PENNSYLVANIA	SUNBURY	3	PENNSYLVANIA PWR & LT.
PENNSYLVANIA	SUNBURY	4	PENNSYLVANIA PWR & LT.
TENNESSEE	JOHNSONVILLE	7	TENNESSEE VAL AUTH.
TENNESSEE	JOHNSONVILLE	8	TENNESSEE VAL AUTH.
TENNESSEE	JOHNSONVILLE	9	TENNESSEE VAL AUTH.
TENNESSEE	JOHNSONVILLE	10	TENNESSEE VAL AUTH.
WEST VIRGINIA	HARRISON	1	MONONGAHELA POWER CO.
WEST VIRGINIA	HARRISON	2	MONONGAHELA POWER CO.
WEST VIRGINIA	HARRISON	3	MONONGAHELA POWER CO.
WEST VIRGINIA	MITCHELL	1	OHIO POWER CO.
WEST VIRGINIA	MITCHELL	2	OHIO POWER CO.
WISCONSIN	JP PULLIAM	8	WISCONSIN PUB SER CO.
WISCONSIN	NORTH OAK CREEK [2]	1	WISCONSIN ELEC PWR.
WISCONSIN	NORTH OAK CREEK [2]	2	WISCONSIN ELEC PWR.
WISCONSIN	NORTH OAK CREEK [2]	3	WISCONSIN ELEC PWR.
WISCONSIN	NORTH OAK CREEK [2]	4	WISCONSIN ELEC PWR.
WISCONSIN	SOUTH OAK CREEK [2]	5	WISCONSIN ELEC PWR.
WISCONSIN	SOUTH OAK CREEK [2]	6	WISCONSIN ELEC PWR.

[1] Vertically fired boiler.
[2] Arch-fired boiler.

TABLE 3—PHASE I CELL BURNER TECHNOLOGY UNITS

State	Plant	Unit	Operator
INDIANA	WARRICK	4	STHERN IND GAS & EL.
MICHIGAN	JH CAMPBELL	2	CONSUMERS POWER CO.
OHIO	AVON LAKE	12	CLEVELAND ELEC ILLUM.
OHIO	CARDINAL	1	CARDINAL OPERATING.
OHIO	CARDINAL	2	CARDINAL OPERATING.
OHIO	EASTLAKE	5	CLEVELAND ELEC ILLUM.
OHIO	GENRL JM GAVIN	1	OHIO POWER CO.
OHIO	GENRL JM GAVIN	2	OHIO POWER CO.
OHIO	MIAMI FORT	7	CINCINNATI GAS & EL.
OHIO	MUSKINGUM RIVER	5	OHIO POWER CO.
OHIO	WH SAMMIS	7	OHIO EDISON CO.
PENNSYLVANIA	HATFIELDS FERRY	1	WEST PENN POWER CO.
PENNSYLVANIA	HATFIELDS FERRY	2	WEST PENN POWER CO.
PENNSYLVANIA	HATFIELDS FERRY	3	WEST PENN POWER CO.
TENNESSEE	CUMBERLAND	1	TENNESSEE VAL AUTH.
TENNESSEE	CUMBERLAND	2	TENNESSEE VAL AUTH.
WEST VIRGINIA	FORT MARTIN	2	MONONGAHELA POWER CO.

APPENDIX B TO PART 76—PROCEDURES AND METHODS FOR ESTIMATING COSTS OF NITROGEN OXIDES CONTROLS APPLIED TO GROUP 1, BOILERS

1. PURPOSE AND APPLICABILITY

This technical appendix specifies the procedures, methods, and data that the Administrator will use in establishing ''***the degree of reduction achievable through this retrofit application of the best system of continuous emission reduction, taking into account available technology, costs, and energy and environmental impacts; and which is comparable to the costs of nitrogen oxides controls set pursuant to subsection (b)(1) (of section 407 of the Act).'' In developing the allowable NO_X emissions limitations for Group 2 boilers pursuant to subsection (b)(2) of section 407 of the Act, the Administrator will consider only those systems of continuous emission reduction that, when applied on a retrofit basis, are comparable in cost to the cost in constant dollars of low NO_X burner technology applied to Group 1, Phase I boilers.

The Administrator will evaluate the capital cost (in dollars per kilowatt electrical ($/kW)), the operating and maintenance costs (in $/year), and the cost-effectiveness (in annualized $/ton NO_X removed) of installed low NO_X burner technology controls over a range of boiler sizes (as measured by the gross electrical capacity of the associated generator in megawatt electrical (MW)) and utilization rates (in percent gross nameplate capacity on an annual basis) to develop estimates of the capital costs and cost effectiveness for Group 1, Phase I boilers. The following units will be excluded from these determinations of the capital costs and cost effectiveness of NO_X controls set pursuant to subsection (b)(1) of section 407 of the Act: (1) Units employing an alternative technology, or overfire air as applied to wall-fired boilers or separated overfire air as applied to tangentially fired boilers, in lieu of low NO_X burner technology for reducing NO_X emissions; (2) units employing no controls, only controls installed before November 15, 1990, or only modifications to boiler operating parameters (e.g., burners out of service or fuel switching) for reducing NO_X emissions; and (3) units that have not achieved the applicable emission limitation.

2. AVERAGE CAPITAL COST FOR LOW NO_X BURNER TECHNOLOGY APPLIED TO GROUP 1 BOILERS

The Administrator will use the procedures, methods, and data specified in this section to estimate the average capital cost (in $/kW) of installed low NO_X burner technology applied to Group 1 boilers.

2.1 Using cost data submitted pursuant to the reporting requirements in section 4 below, boiler-specific actual or estimated actual capital costs will be determined for each unit in the population specified in section 1 above for assessing the costs of installed low NO_X burner technology. The scope of installed low NO_X burner technology costs will include the following capital costs for retrofit application: (1) For the burner portion—burners or air and coal nozzles, burner throat and waterwall modifications, and windbox modifications; and, where applicable, (2) for the combustion air staging portion—waterwall modifications or panels, windbox modifications, and ductwork, and (3) scope adders or supplemental equipment such as replacement or additional fans, dampers, or ignitors necessary for the proper operation of the low NO_X burner technology. Capital costs associated with boiler restoration or refurbishment such as replacement of air heaters, asbestos abatement, and recasing will not be included in the cost basis for installed low NO_X burner technology. The scope of installed low NO_X burner technology retrofit capital costs will include materials, construction and installation labor, engineering, and overhead costs.

2.2 Using gross nameplate capacity (in MW) for each unit as reported in the National Allowance Data Base (NADB), boiler-specific capital costs will be converted to a $/kW basis.

2.3 Capital cost curves ($/kW versus boiler size in MW) or equations for installed low NO_X burner technology retrofit costs will be developed for: (1) Dry bottom wall fired boilers (excluding units applying cell burner technology) and (2) tangentially fired boilers.

3. [RESERVED]

4. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

4.1 The following information is to be submitted by each designated representative of a Phase I affected unit subject to the reporting requirements of §76.14(c):

4.1.1 Schedule and dates for baseline testing, installation, and performance testing of low NO_X burner technology.

4.1.2 Estimates of the annual average baseline NO_X emission rate, as specified in section 3.1.1, and the annual average controlled NO_X emission rate, as specified in section 3.1.2, including the supporting continuous emission monitoring or other test data.

4.1.3 Copies of pre-retrofit and post-retrofit performance test reports.

4.1.4 Detailed estimates of the capital costs based on actual contract bids for each component of the installed low NO_X burner technology including the items listed in section 2.1. Indicate number of bids solicited.

Provide a copy of the actual agreement for the installed technology.

4.1.5 Detailed estimates of the capital costs of system replacements or upgrades such as coal pipe changes, fan replacements/upgrades, or mill replacements/upgrades undertaken as part of the low NO_X burner technology retrofit project.

4.1.6 Detailed breakdown of the actual costs of the completed low NO_X burner technology retrofit project where low NO_X burner technology costs (section 4.1.4) are disaggregated, if feasible, from system replacement or upgrade costs (section 4.1.5).

4.1.7 Description of the probable causes for significant differences between actual and estimated low NO_X burner technology retrofit project costs.

4.1.8 Detailed breakdown of the burner and, if applicable, combustion air staging system annual operating and maintenance costs for the items listed in section 3.3 before and after the installation, shakedown, and/or optimization of the installed low NO_X burner technology. Include estimates and a description of the probable causes of the incremental annual operating and maintenance costs (or savings) attributable to the installed low NO_X burner technology.

4.2 All capital cost estimates are to be broken down into materials costs, construction and installation labor costs, and engineering and overhead costs. All operating and maintenance costs are to be broken down into maintenance materials costs, maintenance labor costs, operating labor costs, and fan electricity costs. All capital and operating costs are to be reported in dollars with the year of expenditure or estimate specified for each component.

[60 FR 18761, Apr. 13, 1995, as amended at 61 FR 67164, Dec. 19, 1996; 62 FR 3464, Jan. 23, 1997]

PART 77—EXCESS EMISSIONS

Sec.
77.1 Purpose and scope.
77.2 General.
77.3 Offset plans for excess emissions of sulfur dioxide.
77.4 Administrator's action on proposed offset plans.
77.5 Deduction of allowances to offset excess emissions of sulfur dioxide.
77.6 Penalties for excess emissions of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides.

AUTHORITY: 42 U.S.C. 7601 and 7651, *et seq.*

SOURCE: 58 FR 3757, Jan. 11, 1993, unless otherwise noted.

§ 77.1 Purpose and scope.

(a) This part sets forth the excess emissions offset planning and offset penalty requirements under section 411 of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401, *et seq.*, as amended by Public Law 101–549 (November 15, 1990). These requirements shall apply to the owners and operators and, to the extent applicable, the designated representative of each affected unit and affected source under the Acid Rain Program.

(b) Nothing in this part shall limit or otherwise affect the application of sections 112(r)(9), 113, 114, 120, 303, 304, or 306 of the Act, as amended. Any allowance deduction, excess emission penalty, or interest required under this part shall not affect the liability of the affected unit's and affected source's owners and operators for any additional fine, penalty, or assessment, or their obligation to comply with any other remedy, for the same violation, as ordered under the Act.

§ 77.2 General.

Part 72 of this chapter, including §§ 72.2 (definitions), 72.3 (measurements, abbreviations, and acronyms), 72.4 (Federal authority), 72.5 (State authority), 72.6 (applicability), 72.7 (new units exemption), 72.8 (retired units exemption), 72.9 (standard requirements), 72.10 (availability of information), and 72.11 (computation of time), shall apply to this part. The procedures for appeals of decisions of the Administrator under this part are contained in part 78 of this chapter.

§ 77.3 Offset plans for excess emissions of sulfur dioxide.

(a) *Applicability*. The owners and operators of any affected source that has excess emissions of sulfur dioxide in any calendar year shall be liable to offset the amount of such excess emissions by an equal amount of allowances from the source's compliance account.

(b) *Deadline*. Not later than 60 days after the end of any calendar year during which an affected source had excess emissions of sulfur dioxide (except for any increase in excess emissions under § 72.91(b) of this chapter), the designated representative for the source shall submit to the Administrator a complete proposed offset plan to offset those emissions. Each day after the 60-day deadline that the designated representative fails to submit a complete

proposed offset plan shall be a separate violation of this part.

(c) *Number of Plans.* The designated representative shall submit a proposed offset plan for each affected source with excess emissions of sulfur dioxide.

(d) *Contents of plan.* A complete proposed offset plan shall include the following elements in a format prescribed by the Administrator for the source and for the calendar year for which the plan is submitted:

(1) Identification of the source.

(2) If the source had excess emissions for the calendar year prior to the year for which the plan is submitted, an explanation of how and why the excess emissions occurred for the year for which the plan is submitted and a description of any measures that were or will be taken to prevent excess emissions in the future.

(3) At the designated representative's option, the number of allowances to be deducted from the source's compliance account's to offset the excess emissions for the year for which the plan is submitted.

(4) At the designated representative's option, the serial numbers of the allowances that are to be deducted from the source's compliance account's.

(5) A statement either that allowances to offset the excess emissions are to be deducted immediately from the source's compliance account or that they are to be deducted on a specified date in a subsequent year.

(6) If the proposed offset plan does not propose an immediate deduction of allowances under paragraph (d)(5) of this section, a demonstration that such a deduction will interfere with electric reliability.

[58 FR 3757, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55487, Oct. 24, 1997; 70 FR 25337, May 12, 2005]

§ 77.4 Administrator's action on proposed offset plans.

(a) *Determination of completeness.* The Administrator will determine whether the proposed offset plan is complete within 30 days of receipt by the Administrator. The offset plan shall be deemed complete if the Administrator fails to notify the designated representative to the contrary within 30 days of receipt or when the Administrator approves the offset plan and deducts allowances in accordance with paragraph (b)(1) of this section.

(b) *Review of proposed offset plans.* (1) If the designated representative submits a complete proposed offset plan for immediate deduction, from the source's compliance account, of allowances required to offset excess emissions of sulfur dioxide, the Administrator will approve the proposed offset plan without further review and will serve written notice of any approval on the designated representative. The Administrator will also give notice of any approval in the FEDERAL REGISTER. The plans will be incorporated in the unit's Acid Rain permit in accordance with § 72.84 of this chapter (automatic permit amendment) and will not be subject to the requirements of paragraphs (d) through (k) of this section.

(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (b)(1) of this section, the Administrator may, in his or her discretion, require that the proposed offset plan under paragraph (b)(1) of this section be reviewed under paragraphs (c) through (k) of this section. The Administrator may exercise such discretion where he or she determines that review of the plan is necessary to ensure compliance with the emissions limitation and reduction goals or other purposes of title IV of the Act.

(3) If the designated representative submits a complete proposed offset plan that does not meet the requirements of paragraph (b)(1) of this section, the Administrator will review the plan under paragraphs (c) through (k) of this section.

(c) *Supplemental information.* (1)(i) Regardless of whether the proposed offset plan is complete under paragraph (a) of this section, the Administrator may require submission of any additional information that the Administrator determines is necessary to approve an offset plan.

(ii) Such supplemental information may include, but is not limited to:

(A) A description of the measures that are proposed to be taken to ensure that the source will have sufficient allowances to offset the excess emissions and to prevent excess emissions in future years;

(B) A schedule of compliance with appropriate increments of progress for the proposed measures; and

(C) A schedule for the submission of progress reports, and supporting documentation, describing actions taken and actions remaining to be taken under the schedule of compliance and any proposed adjustments to the schedule of compliance.

(2)(i) The designated representative shall submit the information required under paragraph (c)(1) of this section within a reasonable period determined by the Administrator.

(ii) If the designated representative fails to submit the supplemental information within the required time period, the Administrator may disapprove the proposed offset plan.

(d) *Draft offset plan.* (1) After the Administrator receives a complete proposed offset plan and any supplemental information, the Administrator will prepare a draft offset plan that incorporates in whole, in part, or with changes or conditions as appropriate, the proposed offset plan or disapprove a draft offset plan for the affected source. Regardless of whether the Administrator required the submission of the information set forth in paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section, the draft offset plan may include, among other requirements and conditions as determined to be appropriate by the Administrator, the submission of schedules of compliance, progress reports, and monitoring and other information.

(2) The draft offset plan will be based on the information submitted by the designated representative for the affected source and other relevant information.

(3) The Administrator will serve a copy of the draft offset plan and the statement of basis on the designated representative of the affected source.

(4) The Administrator will provide a 30-day period for public comment, and opportunity to request a public hearing, on the draft offset plan or disapproval of a draft offset plan in accordance with the public notice required under paragraph (g)(1)(i)(A) of this section.

(e) *Offset plan administrative record.* (1) The Administrator will prepare an administrative record for an offset plan or disapproval of an offset plan. The administrative record will contain:

(i) The proposed offset plan and any supporting or supplemental information submitted by the designated representative;

(ii) The draft offset plan;

(iii) The statement of basis;

(iv) Copies of all documents relied on by the Administrator in approving or disapproving the draft offset plan (including any records of discussions or conferences with owners, operators or the designated representative of the source or interested persons regarding the draft offset plan) or, for any such documents that are readily available, a statement of their location;

(v) Copies of all written public comments submitted on the draft offset plan or disapproval of a draft offset plan;

(vi) The record of any public hearing on the draft offset plan or disapproval of a draft offset plan;

(vii) The offset plan approved by the Administrator; and

(viii) Any response to public comments submitted on the draft offset plan or disapproval of a draft offset plan, including any documents cited in the response and any other documents relied on by the Administrator or, for any such documents that are readily available, a statement of their location.

(2) The Administrator will approve or disapprove an offset plan within 6 months of receipt of a complete proposed offset plan.

(f) *Statement of basis.* (1) The statement of basis will briefly set forth significant factual, legal, and policy considerations on which the Administrator relied in approving or disapproving the draft offset plan.

(2) The statement of basis will include:

(i) The reasons, and supporting authority, for approval or disapproval of any proposed offset plan that does not require immediate deduction of allowances, including references to applicable statutory or regulatory provisions and to the administrative record; and

(ii) The name, address, and telephone and facsimile number of the EPA office processing the approval or disapproval of the offset plan.

(g) *Opportunities for Public Comment on Draft Offset Plans*—(1) *Generally*. (i) The Administrator will give public notice of the following:

(A) The draft offset plan or disapproval of a draft offset plan and the opportunity for public comment and to request a public hearing; and

(B) Date, time, location, and procedures for any scheduled hearing on the draft offset plan or the disapproval of a draft offset plan.

(ii) Any public notice given under this section may be for the approval or disapproval of one or more draft offset plans.

(2) *Methods*. The Administrator will give the public notice required by this section by:

(i) Serving written notice on the following persons (except to the extent any such person has waived his or her right to receive such notice):

(A) The designated representative;

(B) The air pollution control agencies of affected States; and

(C) Any interested person.

(ii) Giving notice by publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER and in a newspaper of general circulation in the area where the source is located or in a State publication designed to give general public notice.

(3) *Contents*. All public notices issued under this part will contain the following information:

(i) Identification of the EPA office processing the approval or disapproval of the draft offset plan for which the notice is being given.

(ii) Identification of the designated representative for the affected source.

(iii) Identification of each affected source covered by the proposed offset plan.

(iv) The amount of excess emissions that must be offset and the date on which the allowances are proposed to be deducted.

(v) The address and office hours of a public location where the administrative record is available for public inspection and a statement that all information submitted by the designated representative and not protected as confidential pursuant to section 114(c) of the Act is available for public inspections as part of the administrative record.

(vi) For public notice under paragraph (g)(1)(i)(A) of this section, a brief description of the public comment procedures, including:

(A) A 30-day public comment period beginning the date of publication of the notice or, in the case of an extension or reopening of the public comment period, such period as the Administrator deems appropriate;

(B) The address where public comments should be sent;

(C) Required formats and contents for public comment;

(D) An opportunity to request a public hearing to occur not earlier than 15 days after public notice is given and the location, date, time, and procedures of any scheduled public hearing; and

(E) Any other means by which the public may participate.

(4) *Extensions and Reopenings of the Public Comment Period*. On the Administrator's own motion, or on the request for any person, the Administrator may, at his or her discretion, extend or reopen the public comment period where he or she finds that doing so will contribute to the decision-making process by clarifying one or more significant issues affecting the draft offset plan or disapproval of a draft offset plan. Notice of any such extension or reopening will be given under paragraph (g)(1)(i)(A) of this section.

(h) *Public comments*—(1) *General*. During the public comment period, any person may submit written comments on the draft offset plan or disapproval of a draft offset plan.

(2) *Form*. (i) Comments shall be submitted in duplicate.

(ii) The submission shall clearly indicate the draft offset plan approval or disapproval to which the comments apply.

(iii) The submission shall clearly indicate the name of the commenter, his or her interest, and his or her affiliation, if any, to owners and operators of any unit covered by the proposed offset plan.

(3) *Contents*. Timely comments on any aspect of a draft offset plan or disapproval of a draft offset plan will be considered unless they concern issues that are not relevant, such as:

(i) The environmental effects of acid rain, acid deposition, sulfur dioxide, or nitrogen oxides generally; and

(ii) Offset plan approval procedures or actions on other proposed offset plans that are not relevant to approval or disapproval of the draft offset plan in question.

(4) Persons who do not wish to raise issues on the draft offset plan or denial of a draft offset plan, but who wish to be notified of any subsequent actions concerning such matter, may so indicate during the public comment period or at any other time. The Administrator will place their names on a list of interested persons.

(i) *Opportunity for Public Hearing.* (1) During the public comment period, any person may request a public hearing. A request for a public hearing shall be made in writing and shall state the issues proposed to be raised in the hearing.

(2) On the Administrator's own motion or on the request of any person, the Administrator may, at his or her discretion, hold a public hearing whenever the Administrator finds that such a hearing will contribute to the decision-making process by clarifying one or more significant issues affecting the draft offset plan or disapproval of a draft offset plan. Public hearings will not be held on issues under paragraphs (h)(3) (i) and (ii) of this section.

(3) During a public hearing under this section, any person may submit oral or written comments concerning the draft offset plan or disapproval of a draft offset plan. The Administrator may set reasonable limits on the time allowed for oral statements and will require the submission of written summaries of each oral statement.

(4) The Administrator will assure that a record is made of the hearing.

(j) *Response to Comments.* (1) The Administrator will consider comments on the draft offset plan or disapproval of a draft offset plan received during the public comment period and any public hearing. The Administrator is not required to consider comments otherwise received.

(2) In approving or disapproving an offset plan, the Administrator will:

(i) Identify any draft offset plan provision or portion of the statement of basis that has been changed and the reasons for the change; and

(ii) Briefly describe and respond to relevant comments under paragraph (j)(1) of this section.

(k) *Approval and Effective Date of Excess Emissions Offset Plans.* (1) After the close of the public comment period, the Administrator will approve an offset plan requiring allowance deductions in an amount equal to the unit's tons of excess emissions or disapprove an offset plan. The Administrator will serve a copy of any approved offset plan and the response to comments on the designated representative for the affected unit involved and serve written notice of the approval or disapproval of the offset plan on any persons who are entitled to written notice under paragraphs (g)(2)(i) (B) and (C) of this section or who submitted written or oral comments on the approval or disapproval of the draft offset plan. The Administrator will also give notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(2) The Administrator will approve an offset plan requiring immediate deduction from the source's compliance account of all allowances necessary to offset the excess emissions except to the extent the designated representative of the source demonstrates that such a deduction will interfere with electric reliability.

(3) Upon approval of the offset plan by the Administrator, the offset plan will be incorporated into the Acid Rain permit in accordance with § 72.84 (automatic permit amendment) and shall supersede any inconsistent provision of the permit.

[58 FR 3757, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55487, Oct. 24, 1997; 62 FR 66279, Dec. 18, 1997; 70 FR 25337, May 12, 2005]

§ 77.5 Deduction of allowances to offset excess emissions of sulfur dioxide.

(a) The Administrator will deduct allowances to offset excess emissions in accordance with the offset plan approved under § 77.4(b) (1) or (k) or in accordance with § 72.91(b) of this chapter.

(b) The designated representative shall hold enough allowances in the appropriate compliance account to cover

the deductions to be made in accordance with paragraph (a) or paragraph (c) of this section.

(c) If the designated representative does not submit a timely and complete proposed offset plan, or if the Administrator disapproves a proposed offset plan under § 77.4 (c) or (k), the Administrator will immediately deduct allowances allocated for the year after the year in which the source has excess emissions, from the source's compliance account on a first-in, first-out basis in accordance with § 73.35(c)(2) of this chapter, equal to the amount of the source's excess emissions of sulfur dioxide.

[58 FR 3757, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 70 FR 25337, May 12, 2005]

§ 77.6 Penalties for excess emissions of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides.

(a)(1) If excess emissions of sulfur dioxide occur at the affected source or nitrogen oxide occur at an affected unit during any year, the owners and operators respectively of the affected source and the affected units at the source or of the affected unit shall pay, without demand, an excess emissions penalty, as calculated under paragraph (b) of this section.

(2) If one or more affected units governed by an approved NO_X averaging plan under § 76.11 of this chapter fail (after applying § 76.11(d)(1)(ii)(C) of this chapter) to meet their respective alternative contemporaneous emission limitations or annual heat input limits, then excess emissions of nitrogen oxides occur during the year at each such unit. The sum of the excess emissions of nitrogen oxides of such units shall equal the amount determined under § 76.13(b) of this chapter. The owners and operators of such units shall pay an excess emissions penalty, as calculated under paragraph (b) of this section using the sum of the excess emissions of nitrogen oxides of such units.

(3) Except as otherwise provided in this paragraph (a)(3), payment under paragraphs (a) (1) or (2) of this section shall be submitted to the Administrator by 30 days after the date on which the Administrator serves the designated representative a notice that the process of recordation set forth in § 73.34(a) of this chapter is completed or by July 1 of the year after the year in which the excess emissions occurred, whichever date is earlier. Payment under paragraph (a)(1) of this section for any increase in excess emissions of sulfur dioxide determined after adjustments made under § 72.91(b) of this chapter shall be submitted to the Administrator by 30 days after the date on which the Administrator serves the designated representative a notice that process set forth in § 72.91(b) of this chapter is completed.

(b) *Penalty formula.* (1) The following formulas shall be used to determine the excess emissions penalty:

Penalty for excess emissions of sulfur dioxide = \$2000/ton × annual adjustment factor × tons of excess emissions of sulfur dioxide.

Penalty for excess emissions of nitrogen oxides = \$2000/ton × annual adjustment factor × tons of excess emissions of nitrogen oxides.

(i) The annual adjustment factor will be calculated as follows:

Annual adjustment factor = 1 + {[CPI(year) − CPI(1990)] / CPI(1990)}

where:

(A) ''CPI(year)'' is the Consumer Price Index as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter and ''year'' is the year in which the source or unit as appropriate had excess emissions.

(B) ''CPI(1990)'' is the Consumer Price Index for 1990, as defined in § 72.2 of this chapter.

(ii) The Administrator will publish the annual adjustment factor in the FEDERAL REGISTER by October 15 of each year beginning in 1995.

(2) The penalty may be rounded to the nearest dollar after completing the calculation in paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section.

(3) The penalty for excess emissions of sulfur dioxide shall be paid separately from the payment for excess emissions of nitrogen oxides. Each payment shall be accompanied by a document, in a format prescribed by the Administrator, indicating the source or unit as appropriate for which the payment is made, whether the payment is for excess emissions of sulfur dioxide or nitrogen oxides, the number of tons

of excess emissions, the penalty amount, and the check or money order number of the payment.

(c) If an excess emissions penalty due under this part is not paid on or before the applicable deadline under paragraph (a) of this section, the penalty shall be subject to interest charges in accordance with the Debt Collection Act (31 U.S.C. 3717). Interest shall begin to accrue on the date on which the Administrator mails, to the designated representative of the source or unit as appropriate with excess emissions, a demand notice for the payment.

(d)(1) Except for wire transfers made in accordance with paragraph (d)(2) of this section, payments of penalties shall be made by money order, cashier's check, certified check, or U.S. Treasury check made payable to the ''U.S. EPA.''

(2) Payments made under paragraph (c)(1) of this section shall be mailed to the following address, unless the Administrator has notified the designated representative of a different address: U.S. EPA: Headquarters Accounting Operations Branch, Acid Rain Excess Emissions Penalties, P.O. Box 952491, St. Louis, MO 63195–2491.

(3) Payments of penalties of $25,000 or more may be made by wire transfer to the U.S. Treasury at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

(e) If the Administrator determines that overpayment has been made, he or she will refund the overpayment without interest, as promptly as administratively possible.

(f) Excess emissions in any year resulting directly from an order issued in that year under section 110(f) of the Act shall not be subject to the penalty payment requirements of this section; *provided* that the designated representative of any source or unit as appropriate subject to such order shall advise the Administrator within 30 days of issuance of the order that the order will result in such excess emissions.

[58 FR 3757, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 17131, Apr. 4, 1995; 62 FR 55487, Oct. 24, 1997; 70 FR 25337, May 12, 2005]

PART 78—APPEAL PROCEDURES

Sec.

78.1 Purpose and scope.
78.2 General.
78.3 Petition for administrative review and request for evidentiary hearing.
78.4 Filings.
78.5 Limitation on filing or presenting new evidence and raising new issues.
78.6 Action on petition for administrative review.
78.7 [Reserved]
78.8 Consolidation and severance of appeals proceedings.
78.9 Notice of the filing of petition for administrative review.
78.10 *Ex parte* communications during pendency of a hearing.
78.11 Intervenors.
78.12 Standard of review.
78.13 Scheduling orders and pre-hearing conferences.
78.14 Evidentiary hearing procedure.
78.15 Motions in evidentiary hearings.
78.16 Record of appeal proceeding.
78.17 Proposed findings and conclusions and supporting brief.
78.18 Proposed decision.
78.19 Interlocutory appeal.
78.20 Appeal of decision of Administrator or proposed decision to the Environmental Appeals Board.

AUTHORITY: 42 U.S.C. 7401, 7403, 7410, 7411, 7426, 7601, and 7651, *et seq.*

SOURCE: 58 FR 3760, Jan. 11, 1993, unless otherwise noted.

§ 78.1 Purpose and scope.

(a)(1)(i) This part shall govern appeals of any final decision of the Administrator under:

(A) Part 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, or 77 of this chapter.

(B) Subparts A through J of part 97 of this chapter.

(C) Subparts AA through II, AAA through III, or AAAA through IIII of part 96 of this chapter or State regulations approved under § 51.123(o)(1) or (2) or (aa)(1) or (2) of this chapter or § 51.124(o)(1) or (2) of this chapter.

(D) Subparts AA through II, AAA through III, or AAAA through IIII of part 97 of this chapter.

(E) Subpart AAAAA, BBBBB, CCCCC, DDDDD, or EEEEE of part 97 of this chapter or State regulations approved under § 52.38(a)(4) or (5) or (b)(4), (5), (6), (8), or (9) of this chapter or § 52.39(e), (f), (h), or (i) of this chapter.

(F) Subpart RR of part 98 of this chapter.

(ii) Notwithstanding paragraph (a)(1)(i) of this section, matters listed in § 78.3(d) and preliminary, procedural,

or intermediate decisions, such as draft Acid Rain permits, may not be appealed.

(iii) All references in paragraph (b) of this section and in § 78.3 to subparts AA through II of part 96 of this chapter, subparts AAA through III of part 96 of this chapter, and subparts AAAA through IIII of part 96 of this chapter shall be read to include the comparable provisions in State regulations approved under § 51.123(o)(1) or (2) of this chapter, § 51.124(o)(1) or (2) of this chapter, and § 51.123(aa)(1) or (2) of this chapter, respectively.

(iv) All references in paragraph (b) of this section and in § 78.3 to subpart AAAAA of part 97 of this chapter, subpart BBBBB of part 97 of this chapter, subpart CCCCC of part 97 of this chapter, subpart DDDDD of part 97 of this chapter, and subpart EEEEE of part 97 of this chapter shall be read to include the comparable provisions in State regulations approved under § 52.38(a)(4) or (5) of this chapter, § 52.38(b)(4) or (5) of this chapter, § 52.39(e) or (f) of this chapter, § 52.39(h) or (i) of this chapter, and § 52.38(b)(6), (8), or (9) of this chapter, respectively.

(2) Filing an appeal, and exhausting administrative remedies, under this part shall be a prerequisite to seeking judicial review. For purposes of judicial review, final agency action occurs only when a decision appealable under this part is issued and the procedures under this part for appealing the decision are exhausted.

(b) The decisions of the Administrator that may be appealed include but are not limited to:

(1) Under part 72 of this chapter;

(i) The determination of incompleteness of an Acid Rain permit application;

(ii) The issuance or denial of an Acid Rain permit and approval or disapproval of a compliance option by the Administrator;

(iii) The approval or disapproval of an early ranking application for Phase I extension under § 72.42 of this chapter;

(iv) The final determination of whether a technology is a qualified repowering technology under § 72.44 of this chapter;

(v) [Reserved]

(vi) The approval or disapproval of a permit revision;

(vii) The decision on the deduction or return of allowances under §§ 72.41, 72.42, 72.43, 72.44, 72.91(b), and 72.92 (a) and (c) of this chapter; and

(viii) The failure to issue an Acid Rain permit in accordance with the deadline under § 72.74(b) of this chapter.

(2) Under part 73 of this chapter,

(i) The correction of an error in an Allowance Tracking System account;

(ii) The decision on the allocation of allowances from the Conservation and Renewal Energy Reserve;

(iii) The decision on the allocation of allowances under regulations implementing sections 404(e), 405(g)(4), 405(i)(2), and 410(h) of the Act;

(iv) The decision on the allocation of allowances under subpart F of part 73 of this chapter;

(v) The decision on the sale or return of allowances and transfer of proceeds under subpart E of part 73 of this chapter; and

(vi) The decision on the deduction of allowances under § 73.35(b) of this chapter.

(3) Under part 74 of this chapter,

(i) The determination of incompleteness of an opt-in permit application;

(ii) The issuance or denial of an opt-in permit and approval or disapproval of the transfer of allowances for the replacement of thermal energy;

(iii) The approval or disapproval of a permit revision to an opt-in permit; and

(iv) The decision on the deduction or return of allowances under subpart E of part 74 of this chapter.

(4) Under part 75 of this chapter,

(i) The decision on a petition for approval of an alternative monitoring system;

(ii) The approval or disapproval of a monitoring system certification or recertification;

(iii) The finalization of annual emissions data, including retroactive adjustment based on audit;

(iv) The determination of the percentage of emissions reduction achieved by qualifying Phase I technology; and

(v) The determination on the acceptability of parametric missing data procedures for a unit equipped with add-on

controls for sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides in accordance with part 75 of this chapter.

(5) Under part 77 of this chapter, the determination of incompleteness of an offset plan and the approval or disapproval of an offset plan under § 77.4 of this chapter and the deduction of allowances under § 77.5(c) of this chapter.

(6) Under subparts A through J of part 97 of this chapter,

(i) The adjustment of the information in a compliance certification or other submission and the deduction or transfer of NO_X allowances based on the information, as adjusted, under § 97.31 of this chapter;

(ii) The decision on the allocation of NO_X allowances to a NO_X Budget unit under § 97.41(b), (c), (d), or (e) of this chapter;

(iii) The decision on the allocation of NO_X allowances to a NO_X Budget unit from the compliance supplement pool under § 97.43 of this chapter;

(iv) The decision on the deduction of NO_X allowances under § 97.54 of this chapter;

(v) The decision on the transfer of NO_X allowances under § 97.61 of this chapter;

(vi) The decision on a petition for approval of an alternative monitoring system;

(vii) The approval or disapproval of a monitoring system certification or recertification under § 97.71 of this chapter;

(viii) The finalization of control period emissions data, including retroactive adjustment based on audit;

(ix) The approval or disapproval of a petition under § 97.75 of this chapter;

(x) The determination of the sufficiency of the monitoring plan for a NO_X Budget opt-in unit;

(xi) The decision on a request for withdrawal of a NO_X Budget opt-in unit from the NO_X Budget Trading Program under § 97.86 of this chapter;

(xii) The decision on the deduction of NO_X allowances under § 97.87 of this chapter; and

(xiii) The decision on the allocation of NO_X allowances to a NO_X Budget opt-in unit under § 97.88 of this chapter.

(7) Under subparts AA through II of part 96 of this chapter,

(i) The decision on the allocation of CAIR NO_X allowances under § 96.141(b)(2) or (c)(2) of this chapter.

(ii) The decision on the deduction of CAIR NO_X allowances, and the adjustment of the information in a submission and the decision on the deduction or transfer of CAIR NO_X allowances based on the information as adjusted, under § 96.154 of this chapter;

(iii) The correction of an error in a CAIR NO_X Allowance Tracking System account under § 96.156 of this chapter;

(iv) The decision on the transfer of CAIR NO_X allowances under § 96.161 of this chapter;

(v) The finalization of control period emissions data, including retroactive adjustment based on audit;

(vi) The approval or disapproval of a petition under § 96.175 of this chapter.

(8) Under subparts AAA through III of part 96 of this chapter,

(i) The decision on the deduction of CAIR SO_2 allowances, and the adjustment of the information in a submission and the decision on the deduction or transfer of CAIR SO_2 allowances based on the information as adjusted, under § 96.254 of this chapter;

(ii) The correction of an error in a CAIR SO_2 Allowance Tracking System account under § 96.256 of this chapter;

(iii) The decision on the transfer of CAIR SO_2 allowances under § 96.261 of this chapter;

(iv) The finalization of control period emissions data, including retroactive adjustment based on audit;

(v) The approval or disapproval of a petition under § 96.275 of this chapter.

(9) Under subparts AAAA through IIII of part 96 of this chapter,

(i) The decision on the allocation of CAIR NO_X Ozone Season allowances under § 96.341(b)(2) or (c)(2)of this chapter.

(ii) The decision on the deduction of CAIR NO_X Ozone Season allowances, and the adjustment of the information in a submission and the decision on the deduction or transfer of CAIR NO_X Ozone Season allowances based on the information as adjusted, under § 96.354 of this chapter;

(iii) The correction of an error in a CAIR NO_X Ozone Season Allowance Tracking System account under § 96.356 of this chapter;

(iv) The decision on the transfer of CAIR NO_X Ozone Season allowances under § 96.361 of this chapter;

(v) The finalization of control period emissions data, including retroactive adjustment based on audit;

(vi) The approval or disapproval of a petition under § 96.375 of this chapter.

(10) Under subparts AA through II of part 97 of this chapter,

(i) The decision on the allocation of CAIR NO_X allowances under subpart EE of part 97 of this chapter.

(ii) The decision on the deduction of CAIR NO_X allowances, and the adjustment of the information in a submission and the decision on the deduction or transfer of CAIR NO_X allowances based on the information as adjusted, under § 97.154 of this chapter;

(iii) The correction of an error in a CAIR NO_X Allowance Tracking System account under § 97.156 of this chapter;

(iv) The decision on the transfer of CAIR NO_X allowances under § 97.161 of this chapter;

(v) The finalization of control period emissions data, including retroactive adjustment based on audit;

(vi) The approval or disapproval of a petition under § 97.175 of this chapter.

(11) Under subparts AAA through III of part 97 of this chapter,

(i) The decision on the deduction of CAIR SO_2 allowances, and the adjustment of the information in a submission and the decision on the deduction or transfer of CAIR SO_2 allowances based on the information as adjusted, under § 97.254 of this chapter;

(ii) The correction of an error in a CAIR SO_2 Allowance Tracking System account under § 97.256 of this chapter;

(iii) The decision on the transfer of CAIR SO_2 allowances under § 97.261 of this chapter;

(iv) The finalization of control period emissions data, including retroactive adjustment based on audit;

(v) The approval or disapproval of a petition under § 97.275 of this chapter.

(12) Under subparts AAAA through IIII of part 97 of this chapter,

(i) The decision on the allocation of CAIR NO_X Ozone Season allowances under subpart EEEE of part 97 of this chapter.

(ii) The decision on the deduction of CAIR NO_X Ozone Season allowances, and the adjustment of the information in a submission and the decision on the deduction or transfer of CAIR NO_X Ozone Season allowances based on the information as adjusted, under § 97.354 of this chapter;

(iii) The correction of an error in a CAIR NO_X Ozone Season Allowance Tracking System account under § 97.356 of this chapter;

(iv) The decision on the transfer of CAIR NO_X Ozone Season allowances under § 97.361 of this chapter;

(v) The finalization of control period emissions data, including retroactive adjustment based on audit;

(vi) The approval or disapproval of a petition under § 97.375 of this chapter.

(13) Under subpart AAAAA of part 97 of this chapter,

(i) The decision on the allocation of CSAPR NO_X Annual allowances under § 97.411(a)(2) and (b) of this chapter.

(ii) The decision on the transfer of CSAPR NO_X Annual allowances under § 97.423 of this chapter.

(iii) The decision on the deduction of CSAPR NO_X Annual allowances under §§ 97.424 and 97.425 of this chapter.

(iv) The correction of an error in an Allowance Management System account under § 97.427 of this chapter.

(v) The adjustment of information in a submission and the decision on the deduction and transfer of CSAPR NO_X Annual allowances based on the information as adjusted under § 97.428 of this chapter.

(vi) The finalization of control period emissions data, including retroactive adjustment based on audit.

(vii) The approval or disapproval of a petition under § 97.435 of this chapter.

(14) Under subpart BBBBB of part 97 of this chapter,

(i) The decision on the allocation of CSAPR NO_X Ozone Season Group 1 allowances under § 97.511(a)(2) and (b) of this chapter.

(ii) The decision on the transfer of CSAPR NO_X Ozone Season Group 1 allowances under § 97.523 of this chapter.

(iii) The decision on the deduction of CSAPR NO_X Ozone Season Group 1 allowances under §§ 97.524 and 97.525 of this chapter.

(iv) The correction of an error in an Allowance Management System account under § 97.527 of this chapter.

(v) The adjustment of information in a submission and the decision on the deduction and transfer of CSAPR NO_X Ozone Season Group 1 allowances based on the information as adjusted under § 97.528 of this chapter.

(vi) The finalization of control period emissions data, including retroactive adjustment based on audit.

(vii) The approval or disapproval of a petition under § 97.535 of this chapter.

(viii) The decision on the removal of CSAPR NO_X Ozone Season Group 1 allowances from an Allowance Management System account and the allocation to such account or another account of CSAPR NO_X Ozone Season Group 2 allowances under § 97.526(c) of this chapter.

(15) Under subpart CCCCC of part 97 of this chapter,

(i) The decision on the allocation of CSAPR SO_2 Group 1 allowances under § 97.611(a)(2) and (b) of this chapter.

(ii) The decision on the transfer of CSAPR SO_2 Group 1 allowances under § 97.623 of this chapter.

(iii) The decision on the deduction of CSAPR SO_2 Group 1 allowances under §§ 97.624 and 97.625 of this chapter.

(iv) The correction of an error in an Allowance Management System account under § 97.627 of this chapter.

(v) The adjustment of information in a submission and the decision on the deduction and transfer of CSAPR SO_2 Group 1 allowances based on the information as adjusted under § 97.628 of this chapter.

(vi) The finalization of control period emissions data, including retroactive adjustment based on audit.

(vii) The approval or disapproval of a petition under § 97.635 of this chapter.

(16) Under subpart DDDDD of part 97 of this chapter,

(i) The decision on the allocation of CSAPR SO_2 Group 2 allowances under § 97.711(a)(2) and (b) of this chapter.

(ii) The decision on the transfer of CSAPR SO_2 Group 2 allowances under § 97.723 of this chapter.

(iii) The decision on the deduction of CSAPR SO_2 Group 2 allowances under §§ 97.724 and 97.725 of this chapter.

(iv) The correction of an error in an Allowance Management System account under § 97.727 of this chapter.

(v) The adjustment of information in a submission and the decision on the deduction and transfer of CSAPR SO_2 Group 2 allowances based on the information as adjusted under § 97.728 of this chapter.

(vi) The finalization of control period emissions data, including retroactive adjustment based on audit.

(vii) The approval or disapproval of a petition under § 97.735 of this chapter.

(17) Under subpart EEEEE of part 97 of this chapter,

(i) The decision on the allocation of CSAPR NO_X Ozone Season Group 2 allowances under § 97.811(a)(2) and (b) of this chapter.

(ii) The decision on the transfer of CSAPR NO_X Ozone Season Group 2 allowances under § 97.823 of this chapter.

(iii) The decision on the deduction of CSAPR NO_X Ozone Season Group 2 allowances under §§ 97.824 and 97.825 of this chapter.

(iv) The correction of an error in an Allowance Management System account under § 97.827 of this chapter.

(v) The adjustment of information in a submission and the decision on the deduction and transfer of CSAPR NO_X Ozone Season Group 2 allowances based on the information as adjusted under § 97.828 of this chapter.

(vi) The finalization of control period emissions data, including retroactive adjustment based on audit.

(vii) The approval or disapproval of a petition under § 97.835 of this chapter.

(18) Under subpart RR of part 98 of this chapter,

(i) A determination of eligibility for research and development exemption under § 98.440(d) of this chapter.

(ii) The approval or disapproval of a request for discontinuation of reporting under § 98.441(b) of this chapter.

(iii) The approval or disapproval of a geologic sequestration monitoring, reporting, and verification (MRV) plan under § 98.448(c) and § 98.448(d) of this chapter.

(c) In order to appeal a decision under paragraph (a) of this section, a person shall file a petition for administrative review with the Environmental Appeals Board under § 78.3. The Environmental Appeals Board will, consistent with § 78.6, either:

(1) Issue an order deciding the appeal; or

(2) Where there is a disputed issue of fact material to the contested portions of the decision, refer the proceeding to the Chief Administrative Law Judge, who will designate an Administrative Law Judge to conduct an evidentiary hearing to decide the disputed issue of fact. If the proposed decision is contested or the Environmental Appeals Board decides to review the proposed decision, the Environmental Appeals Board will issue an order deciding the appeal.

(d) Questions arising at any stage of a proceeding that are not addressed in this part will be resolved at the discretion of the Environmental Appeals Board or the Presiding Officer.

[58 FR 3760, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 17132, Apr. 4, 1995; 62 FR 55488, Oct. 24, 1997; 66 FR 12978, Mar. 1, 2001; 69 FR 21644, Apr. 21, 2004; 70 FR 25338, May 12, 2005; 71 FR 25379, Apr. 28, 2006; 72 FR 59205, Oct. 19, 2007; 75 FR 75078, Dec. 1, 2010; 76 FR 48378, Aug. 8, 2011; 81 FR 74602, Oct. 26, 2016]

§ 78.2 General.

(a) *Definitions*. (1) The terms used in this subpart with regard to a decision of the Administrator that is appealed under this section shall have the meaning as set forth in the regulations under which the Administrator made such decision and as set forth in paragraph (a)(2) of this section.

(2) *Interested person* means, with regard to a decision of the Administrator:

(i) Any person who submitted comments, or testified at a public hearing, pursuant to an opportunity for comment provided by the Administrator as part of the process of making such decision;

(ii) Who submitted objections pursuant to an opportunity for objections provided by the Administrator as part of the process of making such decision; or

(iii) Who submitted, to the Administrator and in a format prescribed by the Administrator, his or her name, service address, telephone number, and facsimile number and identified such decision in order to be placed on a list of persons interested in such decision;

(iv) Provided that the Administrator may update the list of interested persons from time to time by requesting additional written indication of continued interest from the persons listed and may delete from the list the name of any person failing to respond as requested.

(b) *Availability of information*. The availability to the public of information provided to, or otherwise obtained by, the Administrator under this subpart shall be governed by part 2 of this chapter.

(c) *Computation of time*. (1) In computing any period of time prescribed or allowed under this part, except as otherwise provided, the day of the event from which the period begins to run shall not be included, and Saturdays, Sundays, and federal holidays shall be included. When the period ends on a Saturday, Sunday, or federal holiday, the stated period shall be extended to include the next business day.

(2) Where a document is served by first class mail or commercial delivery service, but not by overnight or same-day delivery, 5 days shall be added to the time prescribed or allowed under this part for the filing of a responsive document or for otherwise responding.

[76 FR 48379, Aug. 8, 2011]

§ 78.3 Petition for administrative review and request for evidentiary hearing.

(a)(1) The following persons may petition for administrative review of a decision of the Administrator that is made under parts 72, 74, 75, 76, and 77 of this chapter and that is appealable under § 78.1(a):

(i) The designated representative for the unit covered by the decision;

(ii) The authorized account representative for an account covered by the decision; and

(iii) Any interested person with regard to the decision.

(2) The following persons may petition for administrative review of a decision of the Administrator that is made under part 73 of this chapter and that is appealable under § 78.1(a):

(i) The authorized account representative for any Allowance Tracking System account covered by the decision; and

(ii) With regard to the decision on the allocation of allowances from the Conservation and Renewable Energy Reserve, the certifying official whose application is covered by the decision.

(3) The following persons may petition for administrative review of a decision of the Administrator that is made under subparts A through J of part 97 of this chapter and that is appealable under §78.1(a):

(i) The NO_X authorized account representative for the unit or any NO_X Allowance Tracking System account covered by the decision; or

(ii) Any interested person with regard to the decision.

(4) The following persons may petition for administrative review of a decision of the Administrator that is made under subparts AA through II of part 96 of this chapter and that is appealable under §78.1(a):

(i) The CAIR designated representative for a unit or source, or the CAIR authorized account representative for any CAIR NO_X Allowance Tracking System account, covered by the decision; or

(ii) Any interested person with regard to the decision.

(5) The following persons may petition for administrative review of a decision of the Administrator that is made under subparts AAA through III of part 96 of this chapter and that is appealable under §78.1(a):

(i) The CAIR designated representative for a unit or source, or the CAIR authorized account representative for any CAIR SO_2 Allowance Tracking System account, covered by the decision; or

(ii) Any interested person with regard to the decision.

(6) The following persons may petition for administrative review of a decision of the Administrator that is made under subparts AAAA through IIII of part 96 of this chapter and that is appealable under §78.1(a):

(i) The CAIR designated representative for a unit or source, or the CAIR authorized account representative for any CAIR Ozone Season NO_X Allowance Tracking System account, covered by the decision; or

(ii) Any interested person with regard to the decision.

(7) The following persons may petition for administrative review of a decision of the Administrator that is made under subparts AA through II of part 97 of this chapter and that is appealable under §78.1(a):

(i) The CAIR designated representative for a unit or source, or the CAIR authorized account representative for any CAIR NO_X Allowance Tracking System account, covered by the decision; or

(ii) Any interested person with regard to the decision.

(8) The following persons may petition for administrative review of a decision of the Administrator that is made under subparts AAA through III of part 97 of this chapter and that is appealable under §78.1(a):

(i) The CAIR designated representative for a unit or source, or the CAIR authorized account representative for any CAIR SO_2 Allowance Tracking System account, covered by the decision; or

(ii) Any interested person with regard to the decision.

(9) The following persons may petition for administrative review of a decision of the Administrator that is made under subparts AAAA through III of part 97 of this chapter and that is appealable under §78.1(a):

(i) The CAIR designated representative for a unit or source, or the CAIR authorized account representative for any CAIR Ozone Season NO_X Allowance Tracking System account, covered by the decision; or

(ii) Any interested person with regard to the decision.

(10) The following persons may petition for administrative review of a decision of the Administrator that is made under subpart AAAAA, BBBBB, CCCCC, DDDDD, or EEEEE of part 97 of this chapter and that is appealable under §78.1(a):

(i) The designated representative for a unit or source, or the authorized account representative for any Allowance Management System account, covered by the decision; or

(ii) Any interested person with regard to the decision.

(11) The following persons may petition for administrative review of a decision of the Administrator that is

made under subpart RR of part 98 of this chapter and that is appealable under § 78.1(a):

(i) The owner or operator of a facility covered by the decision.

(ii) Any interested person with regard to the decision.

(b)(1) Within 30 days following issuance of a decision under § 78.1 by the Administrator, any person under paragraph (a) of this section may file a petition with the Environmental Appeals Board for administrative review of the decision. If no petition for administrative review of a decision under § 78.1 is filed within such period, the decision shall become final agency action and shall not meet the prerequisite for judicial review under § 78.1(a)(2).

(2) The petition may include a request for an evidentiary hearing to resolve any disputed issue of material fact concerning the decision.

(3) At the same time that the petition for administrative review is filed, the petitioner shall:

(i) Serve a copy of the petition on the Administrator and the following person (unless such person is the petitioner):

(A) The designated representative or authorized account representative, for a petition under paragraph (a)(1), (2), (10), or (11) of this section.

(B) The NO_X authorized account representative, for a petition under paragraph (a)(3) of this section.

(C) The CAIR designated representative or CAIR authorized account representative, for a petition under paragraph (a)(4), (5), (6), (7), (8), or (9) of this section.

(ii) Mail a notice of the petition to the air pollution control agencies of affected States and any interested person.

(c) The petition for administrative review under this part shall state with specificity:

(1) Each material factual and legal issue alleged to be in dispute and any such factual issue for which an evidentiary hearing is sought;

(2) A clear and concise statement of the nature and scope of the interest of the petitioner;

(3) A clear and concise brief in support of the petition, explaining why the factual or legal issues are material and, if an evidentiary hearing is requested, why direct and cross-examination of witnesses is necessary to resolve such factual issues;

(4) If an evidentiary hearing is requested, the time estimated to be necessary for an evidentiary hearing;

(5) If an evidentiary hearing is requested, a certified statement that, in the event of an evidentiary hearing, and without cost or expense to any other party, any of the following persons shall be available to appear and testify:

(i) The petitioner; and

(ii) Any officer, director, employee, consultant, or agent of the petitioner.

(6) Specific references to the contested portions of the decision; and

(7) Any revised or alternative action of the Administrator sought by the petitioner as necessary to implement the requirements, purposes, or policies of, as appropriate:

(i) Title IV of the Act.

(ii) Subparts A through J of part 97 of this chapter.

(iii) Subparts AA through II, AAA through III, or AAAA through IIII of part 96 of this chapter.

(iv) Subparts AA through II, AAA through III, or AAAA through IIII of part 97 of this chapter.

(v) Subpart AAAAA, BBBBB, CCCCC, DDDDD, or EEEEE of part 97 of this chapter.

(d) In no event shall a petition for administrative review be filed, or review be available under this part, with regard to:

(1) Actions of the Administrator under sections 112(r), 113, 114, 120, 301, and 303 of the Act.

(2) The reliance by the Administrator on:

(i) A certificate of representation submitted by a designated representative or an application for a general account submitted by an authorized account representative under the Acid Rain Program or subpart AAAAA, BBBBB, CCCCC, DDDDD, or EEEEE of part 97 of this chapter.

(ii) An account certificate of representation or an application for a general account submitted by a NO_X authorized account representative under the NO_X Budget Trading Program.

(iii) A certificate of representation submitted by a CAIR designated representative or an application for a general account submitted by a CAIR authorized account representative under subparts AA through II, AAA through III, or AAAA through IIII of part 96 of this chapter or subparts AA through II, AAA through III, or AAAA through IIII of part 97 of this chapter.

(3) Any provision or requirement of part 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, or 77 of this chapter, including the standard requirements under § 72.9 of this chapter and any emission monitoring or reporting requirements.

(4) Any provision or requirement of subparts A through J of part 97 of this chapter, including the standard requirements under § 97.6 of this chapter and any emission monitoring or reporting requirements.

(5) Any provision or requirement of subparts AA through II, AAA through III, or AAAA through IIII of part 96 of this chapter, including the standard requirements under § 96.106, § 96.206, or § 96.306 of this chapter, respectively, and any emission monitoring or reporting requirements.

(6) Any provision or requirement of subparts AA through II, AAA through III, or AAAA through IIII of part 97 of this chapter, including the standard requirements under § 97.106, § 97.206, or § 97.306 of this chapter, respectively, and any emission monitoring or reporting requirements.

(7) Any provision or requirement of subpart AAAAA, BBBBB, CCCCC, DDDDD, or EEEEE of part 97 of this chapter, including the standard requirements under § 97.406, § 97.506, § 97.606, § 97.706, or § 97.806 of this chapter, respectively, and any emission monitoring or reporting requirements.

(8) Any provision or requirement of subpart RR of part 98 of this chapter.

[58 FR 3760, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 17132, Apr. 4, 1995; 62 FR 55488, Oct. 24, 1997; 69 FR 21645, Apr. 21, 2004; 70 FR 25338, May 12, 2005; 71 FR 25379, Apr. 28, 2006; 75 FR 75078, Dec. 1, 2010; 76 FR 48379, Aug. 8, 2011; 81 FR 74603, Oct. 26, 2016]

§ 78.4 Filings.

(a)(1) All original filings made under this part shall be signed by the person making the filing or by an attorney or authorized representative, in accordance with the following requirements:

(i) Any filings on behalf of owners and operators of an affected unit or affected source, CSAPR NO_X Annual unit or CSAPR NO_X Annual source, CSAPR NO_X Ozone Season Group 1 unit or CSAPR NO_X Ozone Season Group 1 source, CSAPR NO_X Ozone Season Group 2 unit or CSAPR NO_X Ozone Season Group 2 source, CSAPR SO_2 Group 1 unit or CSAPR SO_2 Group 1 source, or CSAPR SO_2 Group 2 unit or CSAPR SO_2 Group 2 source shall be signed by the designated representative. Any filings on behalf of persons with an ownership interest with respect to allowances, CSAPR NO_X Annual allowances, CSAPR NO_X Ozone Season Group 1 allowances, CSAPR NO_X Ozone Season Group 2 allowances, CSAPR SO_2 Group 1 allowances, or CSAPR SO_2 Group 2 allowances in a general account shall be signed by the authorized account representative.

(ii) Any filings on behalf of owners and operators of a NO_X Budget unit or NO_X Budget source shall be signed by the NO_X authorized account representative. Any filings on behalf of persons with an ownership interest with respect to NO_X allowances in a general account shall be signed by the NO_X authorized account representative.

(iii) Any filings on behalf of owners and operators of a CAIR NO_X unit or CAIR NO_X source, CAIR SO_2 unit or CAIR SO_2 source, or CAIR NO_X Ozone Season unit or CAIR NO_X Ozone Season source shall be signed by the CAIR designated representative. Any filings on behalf of persons with an ownership interest with respect to CAIR NO_X allowances, CAIR SO_2 allowances, or CAIR NO_X Ozone Season allowances in a general account shall be signed by the CAIR authorized account representative.

(iv) Any filings on behalf of owners and operators of a facility covered by subpart RR of part 98 of this chapter shall be signed by the designated representative.

(2) The name, address, e-mail address (if any), telephone number, and facsimile number (if any) of the person making the filing shall be provided with the filing.

(b)(1) All data and information referred to, or in any way relied upon, in any filings made under this part shall be included in full and may not be incorporated by reference, unless the data or information is contained in the administrative record for the decision being appealed.

(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (b)(1) of this section, State or Federal statutes, regulations, and judicial decisions published in a national reporter system, officially issued EPA documents of general applicability, and any other publicly and generally available reference material may be incorporated by reference. Any person incorporating such materials by reference shall provide copies of the materials as instructed by the Environmental Appeals Board or the Presiding Officer.

(3) If any part of any filing is in a foreign language, it shall be accompanied by an English translation verified by the person making the translation, under oath, to be complete and accurate, together with the name, address, and a brief statement of the qualifications of the person making the translation. Translations filed of material originally produced in a foreign language shall be accompanied by copies of the original material.

(4) Where relevant data or information is contained in a document also containing irrelevant matter, either the irrelevant matter shall be deleted or an index to the relevant portions of the document shall be included in the document.

(c)(1) Failure to comply with the requirements of this section or any other requirement in this part may result in the noncomplying portions of the filing being excluded from consideration. If the Environmental Appeals Board or the Presiding Officer determines on motion by any party or *sua sponte* that a filing fails to meet any requirement of this part, the Environmental Appeals Board or Presiding Officer may return the filing, together with a reference to the applicable requirements on which the determination is based. A person whose filing has been rejected has 7 days (or other reasonable period established by the Environmental Appeals Board or Presiding Officer), from the date the returned filing is mailed, to correct the filing in conformance with this part and refile it.

(2) The making of a filing shall not mean or imply that the filing, in fact, meets all applicable requirements, that the filing contains reasonable grounds for the action requested, or that the action requested is in accordance with law.

(d) An original and two copies of any written filing under this part shall be filed with the Environmental Appeals Board unless a proceeding is pending before a Presiding Officer, in which case they shall be filed with the Hearing Clerk (except as provided under § 78.19(d)).

(e)(1) The party making any filing in a proceeding under this part shall also serve a copy of the filing on each party to the proceeding, or, with regard to a petition for administrative review, on the persons specified in § 78.3(b)(3).

(2) Every filing made under this part shall be accompanied by a certificate of service citing the date, place, time, and manner of service and the names of the persons served.

(f) The Hearing Clerk will maintain and furnish, to any person upon request, the official service list containing the name, service address, telephone, and facsimile numbers of each party to a proceeding under this part and his or her attorney or duly authorized representative.

(g) Affidavits filed under this part shall be made on personal knowledge and belief, set forth only those facts that are admissible into evidence under § 78.5, and show affirmatively that the affiant is competent to testify to the matters stated therein.

[58 FR 3760, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55488, Oct. 24, 1997; 62 FR 66279, Dec. 18, 1997; 69 FR 21645, Apr. 21, 2004; 70 FR 25339, May 12, 2005; 75 FR 75078, Dec. 1, 2010; 76 FR 48379, Aug. 8, 2011; 81 FR 74603, Oct. 26, 2016]

§ 78.5 Limitation on filing or presenting new evidence and raising new issues.

(a) Where there was an opportunity for submission of public comments or objections prior to the decision that is subject to appeal, no evidence shall be filed or presented, and no issues raised, in a proceeding under this part that

were not filed, presented, or raised during the period for submission of public comments or objections, absent a showing of good cause explaining the party's failure to do so during the period for submission of public comments or objections. Good cause shall include any instance where the party seeking to file or present new evidence or raise a new issue shows that the evidence could not have reasonably been ascertained, filed, or presented, the issue could not have reasonably been ascertained or raised, or that the materiality of the new evidence or issue could not have reasonably been anticipated, prior to the close of the period for submission of public comments or objections.

(b) If an evidentiary hearing is granted, no evidence shall be filed or presented on questions of law or policy or on matters not subject to challenge in the evidentiary hearing.

[58 FR 3760, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55488, Oct. 24, 1997; 70 FR 25339, May 12, 2005; 76 FR 48379, Aug. 8, 2011]

§ 78.6 Action on petition for administrative review.

(a) If no evidentiary hearing concerning the petition for review is requested or is to be held, the Environmental Appeals Board will issue an order under § 78.20(c) of this part.

(b)(1) The Environmental Appeals Board may grant a request for an evidentiary hearing, or schedule an evidentiary hearing *sua sponte*, if the Environmental Appeals Board finds that there are disputed issues of fact material to contested portions of the decision and determines, in its discretion, that an opportunity for direct- and cross-examination of witnesses may be necessary in order to resolve these factual issues.

(2) To the extent the Environmental Appeals Board grants a request for an evidentiary hearing, in whole or in part, it will:

(i) Identify the portions of the decision that have been contested, and the disputed factual issues that have been raised by the petitioner with regard to which the evidentiary hearing has been granted; and

(ii) Refer the disputed factual issues to the Chief Administrative Law Judge for decision and, in its discretion, may also refer all or a portion of the remaining legal, policy, or factual issues to the Chief Administrative Law Judge for decision.

(3)(i) After issues are referred to the Chief Administrative Law Judge, he or she will designate an Administrative Law Judge as Presiding Officer to conduct the evidentiary hearing.

(ii) Notwithstanding paragraph (b)(3)(i) of this section, if all parties waive in writing their right to have an Administrative Law Judge designated as the Presiding Officer, the Administrator may designate a lawyer permanently or temporarily employed by EPA and without any prior connection with the proceeding to serve as Presiding Officer.

§ 78.7 [Reserved]

§ 78.8 Consolidation and severance of appeals proceedings.

(a) The Environmental Appeals Board or Presiding Officer has the discretion to consolidate, in whole or in part, two or more proceedings under this part whenever it appears that a joint proceeding on any or all of the matters at issue in the proceedings will be in the interest of justice, will expedite or simplify consideration of the issues, and will not prejudice any party. Consolidation of proceedings under this paragraph (a) will not affect the right of any party to raise issues that might have been raised had there been no consolidation.

(b) The Environmental Appeals Board or Presiding Officer has the discretion to sever issues or parties from a proceeding under this part whenever it appears that separate proceedings will be in the interest of justice, will expedite or simplify consideration of the issues, and will not prejudice any party.

§ 78.9 Notice of the filing of petition for administrative review.

The Administrator will publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice stating that a petition for administrative review of a decision of the Administrator has been filed and specifying any request in the petition for an evidentiary hearing.

§ 78.10 *Ex parte* communications during pendency of a hearing.

(a)(1) No party or interested person outside EPA, representative of a party or interested person, or member of the EPA trial staff shall make, or knowingly cause to be made, to any member of the decisional body an *ex parte* communication on the merits of a proceeding under this part.

(2) No member of the decisional body shall make, or knowingly cause to be made, to any party or interested person outside EPA, representative of a party or interested person, or member of the EPA trial staff, an *ex parte* communication on the merits of any proceeding under this part.

(3) A member of the decisional body who receives, makes, or knowingly causes to be made an *ex parte* communication prohibited by this paragraph shall file with the Environmental Appeals Board (or, if the proceeding is pending before an Administrative Law Judge, with the Hearing Clerk) for inclusion in the record of the proceeding under this part any such written *ex parte* communications and memoranda stating the substance of any such oral *ex parte* communication.

(b) Whenever any member of the decisional body receives an *ex parte* communication made, or knowingly caused to be made by a party or representative of a party to a proceeding under this part, the person presiding over the proceedings then in progress may, to the extent consistent with justice, require the party to show good cause why its claim or interest in the proceedings should not be dismissed, denied, disregarded, or otherwise adversely affected on account of these *ex parte* communications.

(c) The prohibitions of paragraph (a) of this section shall begin to apply upon publication by the Administrator of the notice of the filing of a petition under § 78.9 of this part. This prohibition terminates on the date of final agency action.

§ 78.11 Intervenors.

(a) Within 30 days (or other shorter, reasonable period established by the Administrator when giving notice) after notice is given under § 78.9 of this part that the petition for administrative review has been filed, any person listed in § 78.3(a) of this part may file a motion for leave to intervene in the proceeding. A motion for leave to intervene under this section shall set forth the grounds for the proposed intervention and may respond to the petition for administrative review. Late motions to intervene may be granted only for good cause shown.

(b) The Environmental Appeals Board of Presiding Officer will grant a motion to intervene only upon an express finding that:

(1) The motion to intervene raises matters relevant to the factual or legal issues to be reviewed;

(2) The intervenor consented to be bound by all stipulations previously entered into by the existing parties, and all orders previously issued, in the proceeding; and

(3) The intervention will promote the interests of justice and will not cause undue delay or prejudice to the rights of the existing parties.

[58 FR 3760, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55488, Oct. 24, 1997]

§ 78.12 Standard of review.

(a) On appeal of a decision of the Administrator prior to which there was an opportunity for submission of public comments or objections:

(1) Except as provided under paragraph (a)(2) of this section, the petitioner shall have the burden of going forward and of persuasion to show that a finding of fact or conclusion of law underlying the decision is clearly erroneous or that an exercise of discretion or policy determination underlying the decision is arbitrary and capricious or otherwise warrants review.

(2) The owners and operators of the source or unit involved shall have the burden of persuasion that an Acid Rain permit NO_X Budget permit, CAIR permit, or other federally enforceable permit was properly issued or should be issued.

(b) On appeal of a decision of the Administrator not covered by paragraph (a) of this section, the Administrator shall have the burden of going forward

to show the rational basis for the decision. The petitioner shall have the burden of persuasion to show that a finding of fact or conclusion of law underlying the decision is clearly erroneous or that an exercise of discretion or policy determination underlying the decision is arbitrary and capricious or otherwise warrants review.

[58 FR 3760, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55488, Oct. 24, 1997; 66 FR 12978, Mar. 1, 2001; 69 FR 21645, Apr. 21, 2004; 70 FR 25339, May 12, 2005; 76 FR 48379, Aug. 8, 2011]

§ 78.13 Scheduling orders and prehearing conferences.

(a) If a request for an evidentiary hearing is granted, the Presiding Officer will issue an order scheduling the following:

(1) The filing by each party of a narrative statement of position on each factual issue in controversy.

(2) The identification of any witness that a party expects to call and of any written testimony, documents, papers, exhibits, or other materials that a party expects to introduce into evidence. At the request of the Presiding Officer, the party shall include a brief narrative summary of any witness' expected testimony and of any such materials.

(3) The filing of written testimony, in accordance with § 78.14(b) of this part, and other evidence in support of a narrative statement.

(4) The filing of any motions by any party, including motions for the production of documentation, data, or other information material to the disputed facts to be addressed at the hearing.

(b) The Presiding Officer may, on motion or *sua sponte*, schedule one or more pre-hearing conferences on the record to address any of the following:

(1) Simplification, clarification, amplification, or limitation of the issues.

(2) Admissions and stipulations of facts and determinations of the genuineness of documents.

(3) Objections to the introduction into evidence at the hearing of any written testimony or other submissions proposed by a party; *provided* that at any time before the end of the hearing, any party may make, and the Presiding Officer may consider and rule upon, a motion to strike testimony or other evidence (other than evidence included in the administrative record (if any) under § 72.63 of this chapter) on the grounds of relevance, competency, or materiality.

(4) Taking official notice of any matters.

(5) Grouping of parties with substantially similar interests to eliminate redundant evidence, motions, objections, and briefs.

(6) Such other matters that may expedite the hearing or aid in the disposition of matters in dispute.

(c) The Presiding Officer will issue an order (which may be in the form of a transcript) reciting the actions taken at any pre-hearing conferences, setting the schedule for any hearing, and stating any areas of factual and legal agreement and disagreement and the methods and procedures to be used in developing any evidence.

[58 FR 3760, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 70 FR 25339, May 12, 2005]

§ 78.14 Evidentiary hearing procedure.

(a) If a request for an evidentiary hearing is granted, the Presiding Officer will conduct a fair and impartial hearing on the record, take action to avoid unnecessary delay in the disposition of the proceedings, and maintain order. For these purposes, the Presiding Officer may:

(1) Administer oaths and affirmations.

(2) Regulate the course of the hearings and prehearing conferences and govern the conduct of participants.

(3) Examine witnesses.

(4) Identify and refer issues for interlocutory decision under § 78.19 of this part.

(5) Rule on, admit, exclude, or limit evidence.

(6) Establish the time for filing motions, testimony and other written evidence, and briefs and making other filings.

(7) Rule on motions and other pending procedural matters, including but not limited to motions for summary disposition in accordance with § 78.15 of this part.

(8) Order that the hearing be conducted in stages whenever the number

of parties is large or the issues are numerous and complex.

(9) Allow direct and cross-examination of witnesses only to the extent the Presiding Officer determines that such direct and cross-examination may be necessary to resolve disputed issues of material fact; *provided* that no direct or cross-examination shall be allowed on questions of law or policy or regarding matters that are not subject to challenge in the evidentiary hearing.

(10) Limit public access to the hearing where necessary to protect confidential business information. The Presiding Officer will provide written notice of the hearing to the parties, and where the hearing will be open to the public, notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER no later than 15 days (or other shorter, reasonable period established by the Presiding Officer) prior to commencement of the hearings.

(11) Take any other action not inconsistent with the provisions of this part for the maintenance of order at the hearing and for the expeditious, fair and impartial conduct of the proceeding.

(b) All direct and rebuttal testimony at an evidentiary hearing shall be filed in written form, unless, upon motion and good cause shown, the Presiding Officer, in his or her discretion, determines that oral presentation of such evidence on any particular factual issue will materially assist in the efficient resolution of the issue.

(c)(1) The Presiding Officer will admit all evidence that is not irrelevant, immaterial, unduly repetitious, or otherwise unreliable or of little probative value. Evidence relating to settlement that would be excluded in the Federal courts under the Federal Rules of Evidence shall not be admissible.

(2) Whenever any evidence or testimony is excluded by the Presiding Officer as inadmissible, all such evidence will remain a part of the record as an offer of proof. The party seeking the admission of oral testimony may make an offer of proof by means of a brief statement on the record describing the testimony excluded.

(3) When two or more parties have substantially similar interests and positions, the Presiding Officer may limit the number of attorneys or authorized representatives who will be permitted to examine witnesses and to make and argue motions and objections on behalf of those parties.

(4) Rulings of the Presiding Officer on the admissibility of evidence or testimony, the propriety of direct and cross-examination, and other procedural matters will appear in the record of the hearing and control further proceedings unless reversed by the Presiding Officer or as a result of an interlocutory appeal taken under § 78.19 of this part.

(5) All objections shall be made promptly or be deemed waived; *provided* that parties shall be presumed to have taken exception to an adverse ruling. No objection shall be deemed waived by further participation in the hearing.

[58 FR 3760, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55488, Oct. 24, 1997]

§ 78.15 Motions in evidentiary hearings.

(a) Any party may make a motion to the Presiding Officer on any matter relating to the evidentiary hearing in accordance with the scheduling orders issued under § 78.13 of this part. All motions shall be in writing and served as provided in § 78.4 of this part, except those made on the record during an oral hearing before the Presiding Officer.

(b) Any party may make a motion for a summary disposition in its favor on any factual issue on the basis that there is no genuine issue of material fact. When a motion for summary disposition is made and supported, any party opposing the motion may not rest upon mere allegations or denials, but must show, by affidavit or by other materials subject to consideration by the Presiding Officer, that there is a genuine issue of material fact.

(c) Within 10 days (or other shorter, reasonable period established by the Presiding Officer) after a motion made on the record or service of any written motion, any party may file a response to the motion.

(d) The Presiding Officer may schedule an oral argument and call for the filing of briefs on any motion. The Presiding Officer will rule on the motion within a reasonable time after the date

that responses to the motion may be filed under paragraph (c) of this section and that any oral argument or filing of briefs is completed.

(e) If all factual issues are decided by summary disposition prior to the hearing, no hearing will be held and the Presiding Officer will issue a proposed decision under § 78.18 of this part. If a summary disposition is denied or if partial summary disposition is granted, the hearing shall proceed on the remaining issues.

[58 FR 3760, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55488, Oct. 24, 1997]

§ 78.16 Record of appeal proceeding.

(a) The proposed decision issued by the Presiding Officer, transcripts of oral hearings or oral arguments, written direct and rebuttal testimony, and any other written materials of any kind filed in the proceeding will be part of the record and will be available to the public in the office of the Hearing Clerk, subject to the requirements of part 2 of this chapter.

(b) Hearings and oral arguments shall be recorded as specified by the Presiding Officer, and thereupon transcribed. After the hearing or oral argument, the reporter will certify and file with the Hearing Clerk.

(1) The original transcript; and

(2) Any exhibits received or offered into evidence at the hearing.

(c) The Hearing Clerk will promptly give written notice to the parties when any transcript is available. Any party that desires a copy of the transcript may obtain a copy upon payment of costs.

(d) The Presiding Officer will allow witnesses, parties, and their counsel or representatives:

(1) Up to 7 days (or other shorter, reasonable period established by the Presiding Officer) from issuance of the notice under paragraph (c) of this section in order to file written proposed corrections of the transcript necessary to correct errors made in the transcribing; and

(2) Up to 7 days (or other shorter, reasonable period established by the Presiding Officer) from the submission of the corrections in order to file objections to the proposed corrections.

(e) The Presiding Officer will determine which, if any, corrections should be made to the transcript and incorporate them into the record.

[58 FR 3760, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55488, Oct. 24, 1997]

§ 78.17 Proposed findings and conclusions and supporting brief.

Within 45 days (or other shorter, reasonable period established by the Presiding Officer) after issuance of a notice under § 78.16(c) of this part that the complete transcript of the evidentiary hearing is available, any party may file with the Hearing Clerk proposed findings and conclusions on the issues referred to the Presiding Officer and a brief in support thereof. Briefs shall contain appropriate references to the record. The Presiding Officer may allow reply briefs.

[58 FR 3760, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55488, Oct. 24, 1997]

§ 78.18 Proposed decision.

(a) The Presiding Officer will review and evaluate the record, including the proposed findings and conclusions and any briefs filed by the parties, and issue a proposed decision on the factual, policy, and legal issues referred by the Environmental Appeals Board for decision under § 78.6(b)(2)(ii) of this part, accompanied by findings of fact and proposed conclusions of law, as appropriate, within a reasonable time after the evidentiary hearing is completed. The Hearing Clerk will promptly serve copies of the proposed decision on all parties and on the Environmental Appeals Board.

(b) The proposed decision of the Presiding Officer shall become the final agency action under section 307 of the Act unless:

(1) A party files objections with the Environmental Appeals Board pursuant to § 78.20(a) of this part, or

(2) The Environmental Appeals Board *sua sponte* files a notice that it will review the decision under § 78.20(b) of this part.

[58 FR 3760, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55488, Oct. 24, 1997]

§ 78.19 Interlocutory appeal.

(a) Interlocutory appeal from orders or rulings of the Presiding Officer made during the course of a proceeding may be taken if the Presiding Officer certifies those orders or rulings to the Environmental Appeals Board for interlocutory appeal on the record. Any requests to the Presiding Officer to certify an interlocutory appeal shall be filed within 10 days of notice of the order or ruling and shall state briefly the grounds for the request.

(b)(1) Within 15 days of the filing of any request for interlocutory appeal, the Presiding Officer may certify an order or ruling for interlocutory appeal to the Environmental Appeals Board if:

(i) The order or ruling involves an important question on which there is substantial ground for difference of opinion, and

(ii) Either:

(A) An immediate appeal of the order or ruling will materially advance the ultimate completion of the proceeding, or

(B) A review after the proceeding is completed will be inadequate or ineffective.

(2) If the Presiding Officer takes no action within 15 days of the filing of a request for interlocutory appeal, the request shall be automatically dismissed without prejudice.

(c) If the Presiding Officer grants certification, the Environmental Appeals Board may accept or decline the interlocutory appeal within 30 days of certification. If the Environmental Appeals Board decides that certification was improperly granted, it will decline to hear the interlocutory appeal. If the Environmental Appeals Board takes no action within 30 days of certification, the interlocutory appeal shall be automatically dismissed without prejudice.

(d) If the Presiding Officer declines to certify an order or ruling for an interlocutory appeal, the order or ruling may be reviewed by the Environmental Appeals Board only upon an appeal of the proposed decision following completion of the proceedings before the Presiding Officer, except when the Environmental Appeals Board determines, upon motion of a party and in exceptional circumstances, that to delay review would not be in the public interest. Such motion shall be filed with Environmental Appeals Board within 5 days after the earlier of automatic dismissal of the request for interlocutory appeal or receipt by the party of notification that the Presiding Officer declines to certify an order or ruling for interlocutory appeal.

(e) The failure of a party to request an interlocutory appeal shall not prevent an appeal of an order or ruling as part of an appeal of a proposed decision under § 78.20 of this part.

§ 78.20 Appeal of decision of Administrator or proposed decision to the Environmental Appeals Board.

(a) Within 30 days after the issuance of a proposed decision by a Presiding Officer under this part, any party may appeal any matter set forth in the proposed decision, or any other order or ruling made during the proceeding to which the party objected during the proceeding before the Presiding Officer, by filing an objection with the Environmental Appeals Board. On appeal of an order, ruling, or proposed decision of a Presiding Officer:

(1) The party filing the objection shall have the burden of going forward to show that the order, ruling, or proposed decision is based on a finding of fact or conclusion of law that is clearly erroneous; or a policy determination or exercise of discretion that is arbitrary and capricious or otherwise warrants review; and

(2) The petitioner or the owners and operators shall have the burden of persuasion, as set forth in § 78.12(a) (1) and (2) of this part.

(b) Within 45 days (or other shorter, reasonable period established by the Environmental Appeals Board) after issuance of a proposed decision of a Presiding Officer, the Environmental Appeals Board may issue *sua sponte* in its discretion a notice of intent to review such proposed decision. The Environmental Appeals Board will serve such notice upon all parties to the proceeding.

(c) Within a reasonable time following the filing of a petition for administrative review of a decision of the Administrator under § 78.3 of this part, or, if any issues raised by such petition are referred to the Presiding Officer,

the filing of objections under paragraph (a) of this section or the issuance of a notice of intent to review under paragraph (b) of this section, the Environmental Appeals Board will issue an order affirming, reversing, modifying, or remanding the decision or proposed decision, as appropriate. Prior to issuing this order, the Environmental Appeals Board may provide an opportunity for parties to file additional briefs.

(d) If the Environmental Appeals Board issues an order affirming, reversing, or modifying the decision of the Administrator, then the decision as supplemented or changed by the order, shall be final agency action.

(e) If the Environmental Appeals Board issues an order affirming, reversing, or modifying the proposed decision, the proposed decision, as supplemented or changed by the order, shall be final agency action.

(f) If the Environmental Appeals Board issues an order remanding the proceeding, then final agency action occurs upon completion of the remanded proceeding, including any appeals to the Environmental Appeals Board in the remanded proceeding.

[58 FR 3760, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 62 FR 55488, Oct. 24, 1997]

PART 79—REGISTRATION OF FUELS AND FUEL ADDITIVES

Subpart A—General Provisions

Sec.
79.1 Applicability.
79.2 Definitions.
79.3 Availability of information.
79.4 Requirement of registration.
79.5 Periodic reporting requirements.
79.6 Requirement for testing.
79.7 Samples for test purposes.
79.8 Penalties.

Subpart B—Fuel Registration Procedures

79.10 Application for registration by fuel manufacturer.
79.11 Information and assurances to be provided by the fuel manufacturer.
79.12 Determination of noncompliance.
79.13 Registration.
79.14 Termination of registration of fuels.

Subpart C—Additive Registration Procedures

79.20 Application for registration by additive manufacturer.
79.21 Information and assurances to be provided by the additive manufacturer.
79.22 Determination of noncompliance.
79.23 Registration.
79.24 Termination of registration of additives.

Subpart D—Designation of Fuels and Additives

79.30 Scope.
79.31 Additives.
79.32 Motor vehicle gasoline.
79.33 Motor vehicle diesel fuel.

Subpart E [Reserved]

Subpart F—Testing Requirements for Registration

79.50 Definitions.
79.51 General requirements and provisions.
79.52 Tier 1.
79.53 Tier 2.
79.54 Tier 3.
79.55 Base fuel specifications.
79.56 Fuel and fuel additive grouping system.
79.57 Emission generation.
79.58 Special provisions.
79.59 Reporting requirements.
79.60 Good laboratory practices (GLP) standards for inhalation exposure health effects testing.
79.61 Vehicle emissions inhalation exposure guideline.
79.62 Subchronic toxicity study with specific health effect assessments.
79.63 Fertility assessment/teratology.
79.64 *In vivo* micronucleus assay.
79.65 *In vivo* sister chromatid exchange assay.
79.66 Neuropathology assessment.
79.67 Glial fibrillary acidic protein assay.
79.68 *Salmonella typhimurium* reverse mutation assay.

AUTHORITY: 42 U.S.C. 7414, 7524, 7545 and 7601.

SOURCE: 40 FR 52011, Nov. 7, 1975, unless otherwise noted.

Subpart A—General Provisions

§ 79.1 Applicability.

The regulations of this part apply to the registration of fuels and fuel additives designated by the Administrator, pursuant to section 211 of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 1857f–6c, as amended by section 9, Pub. L. 91–604).

§ 79.2 Definitions.

As used in this part, all terms not defined herein shall have the meaning given them in the Act:

(a) *Act* means the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 1857 *et seq.*, as amended by Pub. L. 91–604).

(b) *Administrator* means the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency.

(c) *Fuel* means any material which is capable of releasing energy or power by combustion or other chemical or physical reaction.

(d) *Fuel manufacturer* means any person who, for sale or introduction into commerce, produces, manufactures, or imports a fuel or causes or directs the alteration of the chemical composition of a bulk fuel, or the mixture of chemical compounds in a bulk fuel, by adding to it an additive, except:

(1) A party (other than a fuel refiner or importer) who adds a quantity of additive(s) amounting to less than 1.0 percent by volume of the resultant additive(s)/fuel mixture is not thereby considered a fuel manufacturer.

(2) A party (other than a fuel refiner or importer) who adds an oxygenate compound to fuel in any otherwise allowable amount is not thereby considered a fuel manufacturer.

(e) *Additive* means any substance, other than one composed solely of carbon and/or hydrogen, that is intentionally added to a fuel named in the designation (including any added to a motor vehicle's fuel system) and that is not intentionally removed prior to sale or use.

(f) *Additive manufacturer* means any person who produces, manufactures, or imports an additive for use as an additive and/or sells or imports for sale such additive under the person's own name.

(g) *Range of concentration* means the highest concentration, the lowest concentration, and the average concentration of an additive in a fuel.

(h) *Chemical composition* means the name and percentage by weight of each compound in an additive and the name and percentage by weight of each element in an additive.

(i) *Chemical structure* means the molecular structure of a compound in an additive.

(j) *Impurity* means any chemical element present in an additive that is not included in the chemical formula or identified in the breakdown by element in the chemical composition of such additive.

(k) *Oxygenate compound* means an oxygen-containing, ashless organic compound, such as an alcohol or ether, which may be used as a fuel or fuel additive.

[40 FR 52011, Nov. 7, 1975, as amended at 59 FR 33092, June 27, 1994; 62 FR 12571, Mar. 17, 1997]

§ 79.3 Availability of information.

The availability to the public of information provided to, or otherwise obtained by, the Administrator under this part shall be governed by part 2 of this chapter except as expressly noted in subpart F of this part.

[59 FR 33092, June 27, 1994]

§ 79.4 Requirement of registration.

(a) *Fuels.* (1) No manufacturer of any fuel designated under this part shall, after the date prescribed for such fuel in this part, sell, offer for sale, or introduce into commerce such fuel unless the Administrator has registered such fuel.

(2) No manufacturer of a registered fuel shall add or direct the addition to it of an additive which he has not previously reported unless he has notified the Administrator of such intended use, including the expected or estimated range of concentration. If necessary to meet an unforeseen production problem, however, a fuel manufacturer may use an additive that he has not previously reported provided that (i) the additive is on the current list of registered additives and (ii) the fuel manufacturer notifies the Administrator within 30 days regarding such unforeseen use and his plans regarding continued use, including the expected or estimated range of concentration.

(3) Any designated fuel that is (i) in a research, development, or test status; (ii) sold to automobile, engine, or component manufacturers for research, development, or test purposes; or (iii) sold to automobile manufacturers for

factory fill, and is not in any case offered for commercial sale to the public, shall be exempt from registration.

(4) A domestic fuel manufacturer may purchase and offer for commercial sale foreign-produced fuel containing unidentified additives provided that within 30 days of his offer for sale he notifies the Administrator of the purchase, the source of purchase, the quantity purchased, and summarized results of any tests performed to determine the acceptability of the purchased fuel to the fuel manufacturer.

(b) *Additives.* (1) No manufacturer of any fuel additive designated under this part shall, after the date by which the additive must be registered under this part, sell, offer for sale, or introduce into commerce such additive for use in any type of fuel designated under this part unless the Administrator has registered that additive for use in that type of fuel.

(2) Any designated additive that is either (i) in a research, development, or test status or (ii) sold to petroleum, automobile, engine, or component manufacturers for research, development, or test purposes, and in either case is not offered for commercial sale to the public, shall be exempt from registration.

(3) Process chemicals used by refineries during the refinery process are exempted from the requirement for registration.

(4) If an additive manufacturer prepares for sale only to fuel manufacturers (i) a blend or mixture of two or more registered additives or (ii) a blend or mixture of one or more registered additives with one or more substances containing only carbon and/or hydrogen, he will not be required to register such blend or mixture provided he will, upon request, furnish the Administrator with the names and percentages by weight of all components of such blend or mixture.

[40 FR 52011, Nov. 7, 1975, as amended at 41 FR 21324, May 25, 1976; 59 FR 33092, June 27, 1994]

§ 79.5 Periodic reporting requirements.

(a) *Fuel manufacturers.* (1) For each calendar quarter (January through March, April through June, July through September, October through December) commencing after the date prescribed for a particular fuel in subpart D of this part, fuel manufacturers shall submit to the Administrator a report for each registered fuel showing the range of concentration of each additive reported under § 79.11(a) and the volume of such fuel produced in the quarter. Reports shall be submitted by the required deadline as shown in the following table:

TABLE 1 TO § 79.5—QUARTERLY REPORTING DEADLINES

Calendar quarter	Time period covered	Quartely report deadline
Quarter 1	January 1–March 31	June 1.
Quarter 2	April 1–June 30	September 1.
Quarter 3	July 1–September 30	December 1.
Quarter 4	October 1–December 31	March 31.

(2) Fuel manufacturers shall submit to the Administrator a report annually for each registered fuel providing additional data and information as specified in §§ 79.32(c) and (d) and 79.33(c) and (d) in the designation of the fuel in subpart D of this part. Reports shall be submitted by March 31 for the preceding year, or part thereof, on forms supplied by the Administrator upon request. If the date prescribed for a particular fuel in subpart D of this part, or the later registration of a fuel is between October 1 and December 31, no report will be required for the period to the end of that year.

(b) *Additive manufacturers.* Additive manufacturers shall submit to the Administrator a report annually for each registered additive providing additional data and information as specified in § 79.31(c) and (d) in the designation of the additive in subpart D of this part. Additive manufacturers shall also

report annually the volume of each additive produced. Reports shall be submitted by March 31 for the preceding year, or part thereof, on forms supplied by the Administrator upon request. If the date prescribed for a particular additive in subpart D of this part, or the later registration of an additive is between October 1 and December 31, no report will be required for the period to the end of that year. These periodic reports shall not, however, be required for any additive that is:

(1) An additive registered under another name,

(2) A blend or mixture of two or more registered additives, or

(3) A blend or mixture of one or more registered additives with one or more substances containing only carbon and/or hydrogen.

[40 FR 52011, Nov. 7, 1975, as amended at 79 FR 23630, Apr. 28, 2014

§ 79.6 Requirement for testing.

Provisions regarding testing that is required for registration of a designated fuel or fuel additive are contained in subpart F of this part.

[59 FR 33092, June 27, 1994]

§ 79.7 Samples for test purposes.

When the Administrator requires for test purposes a fuel or additive which is not readily available in the open market, he may request the manufacturer of such fuel or additive to furnish a sample in a reasonable quantity. The fuel or additive manufacturer shall comply with such request within 30 days.

§ 79.8 Penalties.

Any person who violates section 211(a) of the Act or who fails to furnish any information or conduct any tests required under this part shall be liable to the United States for a civil penalty of not more than the sum of $25,000 for every day of such violation and the amount of economic benefit or savings resulting from the violation. Civil penalties shall be assessed in accordance with paragraphs (b) and (c) of section 205 of the Act.

[58 FR 65554, Dec. 15, 1993]

Subpart B—Fuel Registration Procedures

§ 79.10 Application for registration by fuel manufacturer.

Any manufacturer of a designated fuel who wishes to register that fuel shall submit an application for registration including all of the information set forth in § 79.11. If the manufacturer produces more than one grade or brand of a designated fuel, a manufacturer may include more than one grade or brand in a single application, provided that the application includes all information required for registration of each such grade or brand by this part. Each application shall be signed by the fuel manufacturer and shall be submitted on such forms as the Administrator will supply on request.

[59 FR 33092, June 27, 1994]

§ 79.11 Information and assurances to be provided by the fuel manufacturer.

Each application for registration submitted by the manufacturer of a designated fuel shall include the following:

(a) The commercial identifying name of each additive that will or may be used in a designated fuel subsequent to the date prescribed for such fuel in subpart D;

(b) The name of the additive manufacturer of each additive named;

(c) The range of concentration of each additive named, as follows:

(1) In the case of an additive which has been or is being used in the designated fuel, the range during any 3-month or longer period prior to the date of submission;

(2) In the case of an additive which has not been used in the designated fuel, the expected or estimated range;

(d) The purpose-in-use of each additive named;

(e) The description (or identification, in the case of a generally accepted method) of a suitable analytical technique (if one is known) that can be used to detect the presence of each named additive in the designated fuel and/or to measure its concentration therein;

(f) Such other data and information as are specified in the designation of the fuel in subpart D;

(g) Assurances that the fuel manufacturer will notify the Administrator in writing and within a reasonable time of any change in:

(1) The name of any additive previously reported;

(2) The name of the manufacturer of any additive being used;

(3) The purpose-in-use of any additive;

(4) Information submitted pursuant to paragraph (e) of this section;

(h) Assurances that the fuel manufacturer will not represent, directly or indirectly, in any notice, circular, letter, or other written communication, or any written, oral, or pictorial notice or other announcement in any publication or by radio or television, that registration of the fuel constitutes endorsement, certification, or approval by any agency of the United States;

(i) The manufacturer of any fuel which will be sold, offered for sale, or introduced into commerce for use in motor vehicles manufactured after model year 1974 shall demonstrate that the fuel is substantially similar to any fuel utilized in the certification of any 1975 or subsequent model year vehicle or engine, or that the manufacturer has obtained a waiver under 42 U.S.C. 7545(f)(4); and

(j) The manufacturer shall submit, or shall reference prior submissions, including all of the test data and other information required prior to registration of the fuel by the provisions of subpart F of this part.

[40 FR 52011, Nov. 7, 1975, as amended at 59 FR 33092, June 27, 1994]

§ 79.12 Determination of noncompliance.

If the Administrator determines that an applicant for registration of a designated fuel has failed to submit all of the information required by § 79.11, or determines within the applicable period provided for Agency review that the applicant has not satisfactorily completed any testing which is required prior to registration of the fuel by any provision of subpart F of this part, he shall return the application to the manufacturer, along with an explanation of all deficiencies in the required information.

[59 FR 33093, June 27, 1994]

§ 79.13 Registration.

(a) If the Administrator determines that a manufacturer has submitted an application for registration of a designated fuel which includes all of the information and assurances required by § 79.11 and has satisfactorily completed all of the testing required by subpart F of this part, the Administrator shall promptly register the fuel and notify the fuel manufacturer of such registration.

(b) The Administrator shall maintain a list of registered fuels, which shall be available to the public upon request.

[40 FR 52011, Nov. 7, 1975, as amended at 41 FR 21324, May 25, 1976; 59 FR 33093, June 27, 1994]

§ 79.14 Termination of registration of fuels.

Registration may be terminated by the Administrator if the fuel manufacturer requests such termination in writing.

Subpart C—Additive Registration Procedures

§ 79.20 Application for registration by additive manufacturer.

Any manufacturer of a designated fuel additive who wishes to register that additive shall submit an application for registration including all of the information set forth in § 79.21. Each application shall be signed by the fuel additive manufacturer and shall be submitted on such forms as the Administrator will supply on request.

[59 FR 33093, June 27, 1994]

§ 79.21 Information and assurances to be provided by the additive manufacturer.

Each application for registration submitted by the manufacturer of a designated fuel additive shall include the following:

(a) The chemical composition of the additive with the methods of analysis identified, except that

(1) If the chemical composition is not known, full disclosure of the chemical

process of manufacture will be accepted in lieu thereof;

(2) In the case of an additive for engine oil, only the name, percentage by weight, and method of analysis of each element in the additive are required provided, however, that a percentage figure combining the percentages of carbon, hydrogen, and/or oxygen may be provided unless the breakdown into percentages for these individual elements is already known to the registrant.

(3) In the case of a purchased component, only the name, manufacturer, and percent by weight of such purchased component are required if the manufacturer of the component will, upon request, furnish the Administrator with the chemical composition thereof.

(b) The chemical structure of each compound in the additive if such structure is known and is not adequately specified by the name given under ''chemical composition.'' Nominal identification is adequate if mixed isomers are present.

(c) The description (or identification, in the case of a generally accepted method) of a suitable analytical technique (if one is known) that can be used to detect the presence of the additive in any fuel named in the designation and/or to measure its concentration therein.

(d) The specific types of fuels designated under § 79.32 for which the fuel additive will be sold, offered for sale, or introduced into commerce, and the fuel additive manufacturer's recommended range of concentration and purpose-in-use for each such type of fuel.

(e) Such other data and information as are specified in the designation of the additive in subpart D.

(f) Assurances that any change in information submitted pursuant to (1) paragraphs (a), (b), (c), and (d) of this section will be provided to the Administrator in writing within 30 days of such change; and (2) paragraph (e) of this section as provided in § 79.5(b).

(g) Assurances that the additive manufacturer will not represent, directly or indirectly, in any notice, circular, letter, or other written communication or any written, oral, or pictorial notice or other announcement in any publication or by radio or television, that registration of the additive constitutes endorsement, certification, or approval by any agency of the United States.

(h) The manufacturer of any fuel additive which will be sold, offered for sale, or introduced into commerce for use in any type of fuel intended for use in motor vehicles manufactured after model year 1974 shall demonstrate that the fuel additive, when used at the recommended range of concentration, is substantially similar to any fuel additive included in a fuel utilized in the certification of any 1975 or subsequent model year vehicle or engine, or that the manufacturer has obtained a waiver under 42 U.S.C. 7545(f)(4).

(i) The manufacturer shall submit, or shall reference prior submissions, including all of the test data and other information required prior to registration of the fuel additive by the provisions of subpart F of this part.

[40 FR 52011, Nov. 7, 1975, as amended at 41 FR 21324, May 25, 1976; 59 FR 33093, June 27, 1994]

§ 79.22 Determination of noncompliance.

If the Administrator determines that an applicant for registration of a designated fuel additive has failed to submit all of the information required by § 79.21, or determines within the applicable period provided for Agency review that the applicant has not satisfactorily completed any testing which is required prior to registration of the fuel additive by any provision of subpart F of this part, he shall return the application to the manufacturer, along with an explanation of all deficiencies in the required information.

[59 FR 33093, June 27, 1994]

§ 79.23 Registration.

(a) If the Administrator determines that a manufacturer has submitted an application for registration of a designated fuel additive which includes all of the information and assurances required by § 79.21 and has satisfactorily completed all of the testing required by subpart F of this part, the Administrator shall promptly register the fuel additive and notify the fuel manufacturer of such registration.

(b) The Administrator shall maintain a list of registered additives, which shall be available to the public upon request.

[40 FR 52011, Nov. 7, 1975, as amended at 41 FR 21324, May 25, 1976; 59 FR 33093, June 27, 1994]

§ 79.24 Termination of registration of additives.

Registration may be terminated by the Administrator if the additive manufacturer requests such termination in writing.

Subpart D—Designation of Fuels and Additives

§ 79.30 Scope.

Fuels and additives designated and dates prescribed by the Administrator for the registration of such fuels and additives, pursuant to section 211 of the Act, are listed in this subpart. In addition, specific informational requirements under §§ 79.11(f) and 79.21(e) are set forth for each designated fuel or additive. Additional fuels and/or additives may be designated and pertinent dates and additional specific informational requirements prescribed as the Administrator deems advisable.

§ 79.31 Additives.

(a) All additives produced or sold for use in motor vehicle gasoline and/or motor vehicle diesel fuel are hereby designated. The Act defines the term ''*motor vehicle*'' to mean any self-propelled vehicle designed for transporting persons or property on a street or highway. For purposes of this registration, however, additives specifically manufactured and marketed for use in motorcycle fuels are excluded.

(b) All designated additives must be registered by July 7, 1976.

(c) In accordance with §§ 79.5(b) and 79.21(e), and to the extent such information is known to the additive manufacturer as a result of testing conducted for reasons other than additive registration or reporting purposes, the additive manufacturer shall furnish the highest, lowest, and average values of the impurities in each designated additive, if greater than 0.1 percent by weight. The methods of analysis in making the determinations shall also be given.

(d) In accordance with §§ 79.5(b) and 79.21(e), and to the extent such information is known to the additive manufacturer, he shall furnish summaries of any information developed by or specifically for him concerning the following items:

(1) Mechanisms of action of the additive;

(2) Reactions between the additive and the fuels listed in paragraph (a) of this section;

(3) Identification and measurement of the emission products of the additive when used in the fuels listed in paragraph (a) of this section;

(4) Effects of the additive on all emissions;

(5) Toxicity and any other public health or welfare effects of the emission products of the additive;

(6) Effects of the emission products of the additive on the performance of emission control devices/systems. Such submissions shall be accompanied by a description of the test procedures used in obtaining the information. Information will be considered to be known to the additive manufacturer if a report thereon has been prepared and circulated or distributed outside the research department or division.

(Secs. 211, 301(a), Clean Air Act as amended (40 U.S.C. 7545, 7601(a)))

[40 FR 52011, Nov. 7, 1975, as amended at 41 FR 21324, May 25, 1976; 43 FR 28490, June 30, 1978; 59 FR 33093, June 27, 1994]

§ 79.32 Motor vehicle gasoline.

(a) The following fuels commonly or commercially known or sold as motor vehicle gasoline are hereby individually designated:

(1) Motor vehicle gasoline, unleaded—motor vehicle gasoline that contains no more than 0.05 gram of lead per gallon;

(2) Motor vehicle gasoline, leaded, premium—motor vehicle gasoline that contains more than 0.05 gram of lead per gallon and is sold as ''premium;''

(3) Motor vehicle gasoline, leaded, non-premium—motor vehicle gasoline that contains more than 0.05 gram of lead per gallon but is not sold as ''premium.''

The Act defines the term ''motor vehicle'' to mean any self-propelled vehicle designed for transporting persons or property on a street or highway. For purposes of this registration, however, gasoline specifically blended and marketed for motorcycles is excluded.

(b) All designated motor vehicle gasolines must be registered by September 7, 1976.

(c) In accordance with §§ 79.5(a)(2) and 79.11(f), and to the extent such information is known to the fuel manufacturer as a result of testing conducted for reasons other than fuel registration or reporting purposes, the fuel manufacturer shall furnish the data listed below. The highest, lowest, and average values of the listed characteristics/properties are to be reported. For initial registration, data shall be given for any 3-month or longer period prior to the date of submission. For annual reports thereafter, data shall be for the calendar year, except that if the first required annual report covers a period of less than a year, the data may be for such shorter period.

(1) Hydrocarbon composition (aromatic content, olefin content, saturate content), with the methods of analysis identified;

(2) Polynuclear organic material content, sulfur content, and trace element content, with the methods of analysis identified;

(3) Reid vapor pressure;

(4) Distillation temperatures (10 percent point, end point);

(5) Research octane number and motor octane number.

(d) In accordance with §§ 79.5(a)(2) and 79.11(f), and to the extent such information is known to the fuel manufacturer, he shall furnish summaries of any information developed by or specifically for him concerning the following items:

(1) Mechanisms of action of each additive he reports;

(2) Reactions between such additives and motor vehicle gasoline;

(3) Identification and measurement of the emission products of such additives when used in motor vehicle gasoline;

(4) Effects of such additives on all emissions;

(5) Toxicity and any other public health or welfare effects of the emission products of such additives;

(6) Effects of the emission products of such additives on the performance of emission control devices/systems. Such submissions shall be accompanied by a description of the test procedures used in obtaining the information. Information will be considered to be known to the fuel manufacturer if a report thereon has been prepared and circulated or distributed outside the research department or division.

[40 FR 52011, Nov. 7, 1975, as amended at 41 FR 21324, May 25, 1976]

§ 79.33 Motor vehicle diesel fuel.

(a) The following fuels commonly or commercially known or sold as motor vehicle diesel fuel are hereby individually designated:

(1) Motor vehicle diesel fuel, grade 1–D;

(2) Motor vehicle diesel fuel, grade 2–D.

The Act defines the term ''motor vehicle'' to mean any self-propelled vehicle designed for transporting persons or property on a street or highway.

(b) All designated motor vehicle diesel fuels must be registered within 12 months after promulgation of this part.

(c) In accordance with §§ 79.5(a)(2) and 79.11(f), and to the extent such information is known to the fuel manufacturer as a result of testing conducted for reasons other than fuel registration or reporting purposes, the fuel manufacturer shall furnish the data listed below. The highest, lowest, and average values of the listed characteristics/properties are to be reported. For initial registration, data shall be given for any 3-month or longer period prior to the date of submission. For annual reports thereafter, data shall be for the calendar year, except that if the first required annual report covers a period of less than a year, the data may be for such shorter period.

(1) Hydrocarbon composition (aromatic content, olefin content, saturate content), with the methods of analysis identified;

(2) Polynuclear organic material content, sulfur content, and trace element

content, with the methods of analysis identified;

(3) Distillation temperatures (90 percent point, end point);

(4) Cetane number or cetane index;

(d) In accordance with §§ 79.5(a)(2) and 79.11(f), and to the extent such information is known to the fuel manufacturer, he shall furnish summaries of any information developed by or specifically for him concerning the following items:

(1) Mechanisms of action of each additive he reports;

(2) Reactions between such additives and motor vehicle diesel fuel;

(3) Identification and measurement of the emission products of such additives when used in motor vehicle diesel fuel;

(4) Effects of such additives on all emissions;

(5) Toxicity and any other public health or welfare effects of the emission products of such additives.

Such submission shall be accompanied by a description of the test procedures used in obtaining the information. Information will be considered to be known to the fuel manufacturer if a report thereon has been prepared and circulated or distributed outside the research department or division.

Subpart E [Reserved]

Subpart F—Testing Requirements for Registration

SOURCE: 59 FR 33093, June 27, 1994, unless otherwise noted.

§ 79.50 Definitions.

The definitions listed in this section apply only to subpart F of this part.

Additive/base fuel mixture means the mixture resulting when a fuel additive is added in specified proportion to the base fuel of the fuel family to which the additive belongs.

Aerosol additive means a chemical mixture in aerosol form generally used as a motor vehicle engine starting aid or carburetor cleaner and not recommended to be placed in the fuel tank.

Aftermarket fuel additive means a product which is added by the end-user directly to fuel in a motor vehicle or engine to modify the performance or other characteristics of the fuel, the engine, or its emissions.

Atypical element means any chemical element found in a fuel or additive product which is not allowed in the baseline category of the associated fuel family, and an ''atypical fuel or fuel additive'' is a product which contains such an atypical element.

Base fuel means a generic fuel formulated from a set of specifications to be representative of a particular fuel family.

Basic emissions means the total hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, oxides of nitrogen, and particulates occurring in motor vehicle or engine emissions.

Bulk fuel additive means a product which is added to fuel at the refinery as part of the original blending stream or after the fuel is transported from the refinery but before the fuel is purchased for introduction into the fuel tank of a motor vehicle.

Emission characterization means the determination of the chemical composition of emissions.

Emission generation means the operation of a vehicle or engine or the vaporization of a fuel or additive/fuel mixture under controlled conditions for the purpose of creating emissions to be used for testing purposes.

Emission sampling means the removal of a fraction of collected emissions for testing purposes.

Emission speciation means the analysis of vehicle or engine emissions to determine the individual chemical compounds which comprise those emissions.

Engine Dynamometer Schedule (EDS) means the transient engine speed versus torque time sequence commonly used in heavy-duty engine evaluation. The EDS for heavy-duty diesel engines is specified in 40 CFR part 86, appendix I(f)(2).

Evaporative Emission Generator (EEG) means a fuel tank or vessel to which heat is applied to cause a portion of the fuel to evaporate at a desired rate.

Evaporative emissions means chemical compounds emitted into the atmosphere by vaporization of contents of a fuel or additive/fuel mixture.

Evaporative fuel means a fuel which has a Reid Vapor Pressure (RVP, pursuant to 40 CFR part 80, appendix ''E'') of 2.0 pounds per square inch or greater and is not supplied to motor vehicle engines by way of sealed containment and delivery systems.

Evaporative fuel additive means a fuel additive which, when mixed with its specified base fuel, causes an increase in the RVP of the base fuel by 0.4 psi or more relative to the RVP of the base fuel alone and results in an additive/base fuel mixture whose RVP is 2.0 psi, or greater. Excluded from this definition are fuel additives used with fuels which are supplied to motor vehicle engines by way of sealed containment and delivery systems.

Federal Test Procedure (FTP) means the body of exhaust and evaporative emissions test procedures described in 40 CFR 86 for the certification of new motor vehicles to Federal motor vehicle emissions standards.

Fuel family means a set of fuels and fuel additives which share basic chemical and physical formulation characteristics and can be used in the same engine or vehicle.

Manufacturer means a person who is a fuel manufacturer or additive manufacturer as defined in § 79.2 (d) and (f).

Nitrated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (NPAH) means the class of compounds whose molecular structure includes two or more aromatic rings and contains one or more nitrogen substitutions.

Non-catalyzed emissions means exhaust emissions not subject to an effective aftertreatment device such as a functional catalyst or particulate trap.

Oxygenate compound means an oxygen-containing, ashless organic compound, such as an alcohol or ether, which may be used as a fuel or fuel additive.

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) means the class of hydrocarbon compounds whose molecular structure includes two or more aromatic rings.

Relabeled additive means a fuel additive which is registered by its original manufacturer with EPA and is also registered and sold, unchanged in composition, under a different label and/or by a different entity.

Semi-volatile organic compounds means that fraction of gaseous combustion emissions which consists of compounds with greater than twelve carbon atoms and can be trapped in sorbent polymer resins.

Urban Dynamometer Driving Schedule (UDDS) means the 1372 second transient speed driving sequence used by EPA to simulate typical urban driving. The UDDS for light-duty vehicles is described in 40 CFR part 86, appendix I(a).

Vapor phase means the gaseous fraction of combustion emissions.

Vehicle classes/subclasses means the divisions of vehicle groups within a vehicle type, including light-duty vehicles, light-duty trucks, and heavy-duty vehicles as specified in 40 CFR part 86.

Vehicle type means the divisions of motor vehicles according to combustion cycle and intended fuel class, including, but not necessarily limited to, Otto cycle gasoline-fueled vehicles, Otto cycle methanol-fueled vehicles, diesel cycle diesel-fueled vehicles, and diesel cycle methanol-fueled vehicles.

Whole emissions means all components of unfiltered combustion emissions or evaporative emissions.

§ 79.51 General requirements and provisions.

(a) *Overview of requirements.* (1) All manufacturers of fuels and fuel additives that are designated for registration under this part are required to comply with the requirements of subpart F of this part either on an individual basis or as a participant in a group of manufacturers of the same or similar fuels and fuel additives, as defined in § 79.56. If manufacturers elect to comply by participation in a group, each manufacturer continues to be individually subject to the requirements of subpart F of this part, and responsible for testing under this subpart. Each manufacturer, subject to the provisions for group applications in § 79.51(b) and the special provisions in § 79.58, shall submit all Tier 1 and Tier 2 information required by §§ 79.52, 79.53 and 79.59 for each fuel or additive, except that the Tier 1 emission characterization requirements in § 79.52(b) and/or the Tier 2 testing requirements in § 79.53 may be satisfied by adequate existing information pursuant to the

Tier 1 literature search requirements in § 79.52(d). The adequacy of existing information to serve in compliance with specific Tier 1 and/or Tier 2 requirements shall be determined according to the criteria and procedures specified in §§ 79.52(b) and 79.53 (c) and (d). In all cases, EPA reserves the right to require, based upon the information contained in the application or any other information available to the Agency, that manufacturers conduct additional testing of any fuel or additive (or fuel/additive group) if EPA determines that there is inadequate information upon which to base regulatory decisions for such product(s). In any case where EPA determines that the requirements of Tiers 1 and 2 have been satisfied but that further testing is required, the provisions of Tier 3 (§ 79.54) shall apply.

(2) Laboratory facilities shall perform testing in compliance with Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) requirements as those requirements apply to inhalation toxicology studies. All studies shall be monitored by the facilities' Quality Assurance units (as specified in § 79.60).

(b) *Group Applications.* Subject to the provisions of § 79.56 (a) through (c), EPA will consider any testing requirements of this subpart to have been met for any fuel or fuel additive when a fuel or fuel additive which meets the criteria for inclusion in the same group as the subject fuel or fuel additive has met that testing requirement, provided that all fuels and additives must be individually registered as described in § 79.59(b). For purposes of this subpart, a determination of which group contains a particular fuel or additive will be made pursuant to the provisions of § 79.56 (d) and (e). Nothing in this subsection (b) shall be deemed to require a manufacturer to rely on another manufacturer's testing.

(c) *Application Procedures and Dates.* Each application submitted in compliance with this subpart shall be signed by the manufacturer of the designated fuel or additive, or by the manufacturer's agent, and shall be submitted to the address and in the format prescribed in § 79.59. A manufacturer who chooses to comply as part of a group pursuant to § 79.56 shall be covered by the group's joint application. Subject to any modifications pursuant to the special provisions in §§ 79.51(f) or 79.58, the schedule for compliance with the requirements of this subpart is as follows:

(1) *Fuels and fuel additives with existing registrations.* (i) The manufacturer of a fuel or fuel additive product which, pursuant to subpart B or C of this part, is registered as of May 27, 1994 must submit the additional basic registration data specified in § 79.59(b) before November 28, 1994.

(ii) Except as provided in paragraphs (c)(1)(vi) and (vii) of this section, the manufacturer of such products must also satisfy the requirements and time schedules in either of the following paragraphs (c)(1)(ii) (A) or (B) of this section:

(A) No later than May 27, 1997, all applicable Tier 1 and Tier 2 requirements must be submitted to EPA, pursuant to §§ 79.52, 79.53, and 79.59; or

(B) No later than May 27, 1997, all applicable Tier 1 requirements (pursuant to §§ 79.52 and 79.59), plus evidence of a contract with a qualified laboratory (or other suitable arrangement) for completion of all applicable Tier 2 requirements, must be submitted to EPA. For this purpose, a qualified laboratory is one which can demonstrate the capabilities and credentials specified in § 79.53(c)(1). In addition, by May 26, 2000, all applicable Tier 2 requirements (pursuant to §§ 79.53 and 79.59) must be submitted to EPA.

(iii) In the case of such fuels and fuel additives which, pursuant to applicable special provisions in § 79.58, are not subject to Tier 2 requirements, all other requirements (except Tier 3) must be submitted to EPA before May 27, 1997.

(iv) In the event that Tier 3 testing is also required (under § 79.54), EPA shall determine an appropriate timeline for completion of the additional requirements and shall communicate this schedule to the manufacturer according to the provisions of § 79.54(b).

(v) The manufacturer may at any time modify an existing fuel registration by submitting a request to EPA to add or delete a bulk additive to the existing registration information for such fuel product, provided that any

additional additive must be registered by EPA for use in the specific fuel family to which the fuel product belongs. However, the addition or deletion of a bulk additive to a fuel registration may effect the grouping of such registered fuel under the criteria of § 79.56, and thus may effect the testing responsibilities of the fuel manufacturer under this subpart.

(vi) In regard to atypical fuels or additives in the gasoline and diesel fuel families (pursuant to the specifications in § 79.56(e)(4)(iii)(A) (*1*) and (*2*)):

(A) All applicable Tier 1 requirements, pursuant to §§ 79.52 and 79.59, must be submitted to EPA by May 27, 1997.

(B) Tier 2 requirements, pursuant to §§ 79.53 and 79.59, must be satisfied according to the deadlines in either of the following paragraphs (c)(1)(vi)(B) (*1*) or (*2*) of this section:

(*1*) All applicable Tier 2 requirements shall be submitted to EPA by November 27, 1998; or

(*2*) Evidence of a contract with a qualified laboratory (or other suitable arrangement) for completion of all applicable Tier 2 requirements shall be submitted to EPA by November 27, 1998. For this purpose, a qualified laboratory is one which can demonstrate the capabilities and credentials specified in § 79.53(c)(1). In addition, all applicable Tier 2 requirements must be submitted to EPA by November 27, 2001.

(vii) In regard to nonbaseline diesel products formulated with mixed alkyl esters of plant and/or animal origin (i.e., ''biodiesel'' fuels, pursuant to § 79.56(e)(4)(ii)(B)(*2*)):

(A) All applicable Tier 1 requirements, pursuant to §§ 79.52 and 79.59, must be submitted to EPA by March 17, 1998.

(B) Tier 2 requirements, pursuant to §§ 79.53 and 79.59, must be satisfied according to the deadlines in either of the following paragraphs (c)(1)(vii)(B) (*1*) or (*2*) of this section:

(*1*) All applicable Tier 2 requirements shall be submitted to EPA by March 17, 1998; or

(*2*) Evidence of a contract with a qualified laboratory (or other suitable arrangement) for completion of all applicable Tier 2 requirements shall be submitted to EPA by March 17, 1998. For this purpose, a qualified laboratory is one which can demonstrate the capabilities and credentials specified in § 79.53(c)(1). In addition, all applicable Tier 2 requirements must be submitted to EPA by May 27, 2000.

(2) *Registrable fuels and fuel additives.* (i) A fuel product which is not registered pursuant to subpart B of this part as of May 27, 1994 shall be considered registrable if, under the criteria established by § 79.56, the fuel can be enrolled in the same fuel/additive group with one or more currently registered fuels. A fuel additive product which is not registered for a specific type of fuel pursuant to subpart C of this part as of May 27, 1994 shall be considered registrable for that type of fuel if, under the criteria established by § 79.56, the fuel/additive mixture resulting from use of the additive product in the specific type of fuel can be enrolled in the same fuel/additive group with one or more currently registered fuels or bulk fuel additives. For the purpose of this determination, currently registered fuels and bulk additives are those with existing registrations as of the date on which EPA receives the basic registration data (pursuant to § 79.59(b)) for the product in question.

(ii) A manufacturer seeking to register under subpart B of this part a fuel product which is deemed registrable under this section, or to register under subpart C of this part a fuel additive product for a specific type of fuel for which it is deemed registrable under this section, shall submit the basic registration data (pursuant to § 79.59(b)) for that product as part of the application for registration. If the Administrator determines that the product is registrable under this section, then the Administrator shall promptly register the product, provided that the applicant has satisfied all of the other requirements for registration under subpart B or subpart C of this part, and contingent upon satisfactory submission of required information under paragraph (c)(2)(iii) of this section.

(iii) Registration of a registrable fuel or additive shall be subject to the same requirements and compliance schedule as specified in paragraph (c)(1) of this

section for existing fuels and fuel additives. Accordingly, manufacturers of registrable fuels or additives may be granted and may retain registration for such products only if any applicable and due Tier 1, 2, and 3 requirements have also been satisfied by either the manufacturer of the product or the fuel/additive group to which the product belongs.

(3) *New fuels and fuel additives.* A fuel product shall be considered new if it is not registered pursuant to subpart B of this part as of May 27, 1994 and if, under the criteria established by § 79.56, it cannot be enrolled in the same fuel/additive group with one or more currently registered fuels. A fuel additive product shall be considered new with respect to a specific type of fuel if it is not expressly registered for that type of fuel pursuant to subpart C of this part as of May 27, 1994 and if, under the criteria established by § 79.56, the fuel/additive mixture resulting from use of the additive product in the specific type of fuel cannot be enrolled in the same fuel/additive group with one or more currently registered fuels or bulk fuel additives. For the purpose of this determination, currently registered fuels and bulk additives are those with existing registrations as of the date on which EPA receives the basic registration data (pursuant to § 79.59(b)) for the product in question. For such new product, the manufacturer must satisfactorily complete all applicable Tier 1 and Tier 2 requirements, followed by any Tier 3 testing which the Administrator may require, before registration will be granted.

(d) *Notifications.* Upon receipt of a manufacturer's (or group's) submittal in compliance with the requirements of this subpart, EPA will notify such manufacturer (or group) that the application has been received and what, if any, information, testing, or retesting is necessary to bring the application into compliance with the requirements of this subpart. EPA intends to provide such notification of receipt in a timely manner for each such application.

(1) *Registered fuel and fuel additive notification.* (i) The manufacturer of a registered fuel or fuel additive product who is notified that the submittal for such product contains adequate information pursuant to the Tier 1 and Tier 2 testing and reporting requirements (§§ 79.52, 79.53, and 79.59 (a) through (c)) may continue to sell, offer for sale, or introduce into commerce the registered product as permitted by the existing registration for the product under § 79.4.

(ii) If the manufacturer of a registered fuel or fuel additive product is notified that testing or retesting is necessary to bring the Tier 1 and/or Tier 2 submittal into compliance, the continued sale or importation of the product shall be conditional upon satisfactorily completing the requirements within the time frame specified in paragraph (c)(1) of this section.

(iii) EPA intends to notify the manufacturer of the adequacy of the submitted data within two years of EPA's receipt of such data. However, EPA retains the right to require that adequate data be submitted to EPA if, upon subsequent review, EPA finds that the original Tier 1 and/or Tier 2 submittal is not consistent with the requirements of this subpart. If EPA does not notify the manufacturer of the adequacy of the Tier 1 and/or Tier 2 data within two years, EPA will not hold the manufacturer liable for penalties for violating this rule for the period beginning when the data was due until the time EPA notifies the manufacturer of the violation.

(iv) If the manufacturer of a registered fuel or fuel additive product is notified (pursuant to § 79.54(b)) that Tier 3 testing is required for its product, then the manufacturer may continue to sell, offer for sale, introduce into commerce the registered product as permitted by the existing registration for the product under § 79.4. However, if the manufacturer fails to complete the specified Tier 3 requirements within the specified time, the registration of the product will be subject to cancellation under § 79.51(f)(6).

(v) EPA retains the right to require additional Tier 3 testing pursuant to the procedures in § 79.54.

(2) *New fuel and fuel additive notification.* (i) Within six months following its receipt of the Tier 1 and Tier 2 submittal for a new product (as defined in paragraph (c)(3) of this section), EPA shall notify the manufacturer of the

adequacy of such submittal in compliance with the requirements of §§ 79.52, 79.53, and 79.59 (a) through (c).

(A) If EPA notifies the manufacturer that testing, retesting, or additional information is necessary to bring the Tier 1 and Tier 2 submittal into compliance, the manufacturer shall remedy all inadequacies and provide Tier 3 data, if required, before EPA shall consider the requirements for registration to have been met for the product in question.

(B) If EPA does not notify the manufacturer of the adequacy of the Tier 1 and Tier 2 submittal within six months following the submittal, the manufacturer shall be deemed to have satisfactorily completed Tiers 1 and 2.

(ii) Within six months of the date on which EPA notifies the manufacturer of satisfactory completion of Tiers 1 and 2 for a new product, or within one year of the submittal of the Tier 1 and Tier 2 data (whichever is earlier), EPA shall determine whether additional testing is currently needed under the provisions of Tier 3 and, pursuant to § 79.54(b), shall notify the manufacturer of its determination.

(A) If the manufacturer of a new fuel or fuel additive product is notified that Tier 3 testing is required for such product, then EPA shall have the authority to withhold registration until the specified Tier 3 requirements have been satisfactorily completed. EPA shall determine whether the Tier 3 requirements have been met, and shall notify the manufacturer of this determination, within one year of receiving the manufacturer's Tier 3 submittal.

(B) If EPA does not notify the manufacturer of potential Tier 3 requirements within the prescribed timeframe, then additional testing at the Tier 3 level is deemed currently unnecessary and the manufacturer shall be considered to have complied with all current registration requirements for the new fuel or additive product.

(iii) Upon completion of all current Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 requirements, and submission of an application for registration which includes all of the information and assurances required by § 79.11 or § 79.21, the registration of the new fuel or additive shall be granted, and the registrant may then sell, offer for sale, or introduce into commerce the registered product as permitted by § 79.4.

(iv) Once the new product becomes registered, EPA reserves the right to require additional Tier 3 testing pursuant to the procedures specified in § 79.54.

(e) *Inspection of a testing facility*. (1) A testing facility, whether engaged in emissions analysis or health and/or welfare effects testing under the regulations in this subpart, shall permit an authorized employee or duly designated representative of EPA, at reasonable times and in a reasonable manner, to inspect the facility and to inspect (and in the case of records also to copy) all records and specimens required to be maintained regarding studies to which this subpart applies. The records inspection and copying requirements shall not apply to quality assurance unit records of findings and problems, or to actions recommended and taken, except the EPA may seek production of these records in litigation or informal hearings.

(2) EPA will not consider reliable for purposes of showing that a test substance does or does not present a risk of injury to health or the environment any data developed by a testing facility or sponsor that refuses to permit inspection in accordance with this section. The determination that a study will not be considered reliable does not, however, relieve the sponsor of a required test of any obligation under any applicable statute or regulation to submit the results of the study to EPA.

(3) Effects of non-compliance. Pursuant to sections 114, 208, and 211(d) of the CAA, it shall be a violation of this section and a violation of 40 CFR part 79, subpart F to deny entry to an authorized employee or duly designated representative of EPA for the purpose of auditing a testing facility or test data.

(f) *Penalties and Injunctive Relief*. (1) Any person who violates these regulations shall be subject to a civil penalty of up to $25,000 for each and every day of the continuance of the violation and the economic benefit or savings resulting from the violation. Action to collect such civil penalties shall be commenced in accordance with paragraph

(b) of section 205 of the Clean Air Act or assessed in accordance with paragraph (c) of section 205 of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7524 (b) and (c).

(2) Under section 205(b) of the CAA, the Administrator may commence a civil action for violation of this subpart in the district court of the United States for the district in which the violation is alleged to have occurred or in which the defendant resides or has a principal place of business.

(3) Under section 205(c) of the CAA, the Administrator may assess a civil penalty of $25,000 for each and every day of the continuance of the violation and the economic benefit or savings resulting from the violation, except that the maximum penalty assessment shall not exceed $200,000, unless the Administrator and the Attorney General jointly determine that a matter involving a larger penalty amount is appropriate for administrative penalty assessment. Any such determination by the Administrator and the Attorney General shall not be subject to judicial review.

(4) The Administrator may, upon application by the person against whom any such penalty has been assessed, remit or mitigate, with or without conditions, any such penalty.

(5) The district courts of the United States shall have jurisdiction to compel the furnishing of information and the conduct of tests required by the Administrator under these regulations and to award other appropriate relief. Actions to compel such actions shall be brought by and in the name of the United States. In any such action, subpoenas for witnesses who are required to attend a district court in any district may run into any other district.

(6) *Cancellation.* (i) The Administrator of EPA may issue a notice of intent to cancel a fuel or fuel additive registration if the Administrator determines that the registrant has failed to submit in a timely manner any data required to maintain registration under this part or under section 211(b) or 211(e) of the Clean Air Act.

(ii) Upon issuance of a notice of intent to cancel, EPA will forward a copy of the notice to the registrant by certified mail, return receipt requested, at the address of record given in the registration, along with an explanation of the reasons for the proposed cancellation.

(iii) The registrant will be afforded 60 days from the date of receipt of the notice of intent to cancel to submit written comments concerning the notice, and to demonstrate or achieve compliance with the specific data requirements which provide the basis for the proposed cancellation. If the registrant does not respond in writing within 60 days from the date of receipt of the notice of intent to cancel, the cancellation of the registration shall become final by operation of law and the Administrator shall notify the registrant of such cancellation. If the registrant responds in writing within 60 days from the date of receipt of the notice of intent to cancel, the Administrator shall review and consider all comments submitted by the registrant before taking final action concerning the proposed cancellation. The registrants' communications should be sent to the following address: Director, Field Operations and Support Division, 6406J—Fuel/Additives Registration, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20460.

(iv) As part of a written response to a notice of intent to cancel, a registrant may request an informal hearing concerning the notice. Any such request shall state with specificity the information the registrant wishes to present at such a hearing. If an informal hearing is requested, EPA shall schedule such a hearing within 60 days from the date of receipt of the request. If an informal hearing is held, the subject matter of the hearing shall be confined solely to whether or not the registrant has complied with the specific data requirements which provide the basis for the proposed cancellation. If an informal hearing is held, the designated presiding officer may be any EPA employee, the hearing procedures shall be informal, and the hearing shall not be subject to or governed by 40 CFR part 22 or by 5 U.S.C. 554, 556, or 557. A verbatim transcript of each informal hearing shall be kept and the Administrator shall consider all relevant evidence and arguments presented at the hearing in making a final

decision concerning a proposed cancellation.

(v) If a registrant who has received a notice of intent to cancel submits a timely written response, and the Administrator decides after reviewing the response and the transcript of any informal hearing to cancel the registration, the Administrator shall issue a final cancellation order, forward a copy of the cancellation order to the registrant by certified mail, and promptly publish the cancellation order in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Any cancellation order issued after receipt of a timely written response by the registrant shall become legally effective five days after it is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(g) *Modification of Regulation.* (1) In special circumstances, a manufacturer subject to the registration requirements of this rule may petition the Administrator to modify the mandatory testing requirements in the test standard for any test required by this rule by application to Director, Field Operations and Support Division, at the address in paragraph (f)(6)(iii) of this section.

(i) Such request shall be made as soon as the test sponsor is aware that the modification is necessary, but in no event shall the request be made after 30 days following the event which precipitated the request.

(ii) Upon such request, the Administrator may, in circumstances which are outside the control of the manufacturer(s) or his/their agent and which could not have been reasonably foreseen or avoided, modify the mandatory testing requirements in the rule if such requirements are infeasible.

(iii) If the Administrator determines that such modifications would not significantly alter the scope of the test, EPA will not ask for public comment before approving the modification. The Administrator will notify the test sponsor by certified mail of the response to the request. EPA will place copies of each application and EPA response in the public docket. EPA will publish a notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER annually describing such changes which have occurred during the previous year. Until such FEDERAL REGISTER notice is published, any modification approved by EPA shall apply only to the person or group who requested the modification; EPA shall state the applicability of each modification in such notice.

(iv) Where, in EPA's judgment, the requested modification of a test standard would significantly change the scope of the test, EPA will publish a notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER requesting comment on the request and proposed modification. However, EPA may approve a requested modification of a test standard without first seeking public comment if necessary to preserve the validity of an ongoing test undertaken in good faith.

(2) [Reserved]

(h) *Special Requirements for Additives.* When an additive is the test subject, the following rules apply:

(1) All required emission characterization and health effects testing procedures shall be performed on the mixture which results when the additive is combined with the base fuel for the appropriate fuel family (as specified in § 79.55) at the maximum concentration recommended by the additive manufacturer pursuant to § 79.21(d). This combination shall be known as the additive/base fuel mixture.

(i) The appropriate fuel family to be utilized for the additive/base fuel mixture is the fuel family which contains the specific type(s) of fuel for which the additive is presently registered or for which the manufacturer of the additive is seeking registration.

(ii) Additives belonging to more than one fuel family.

(A) If an additive product is registered in two or more fuel families as of May 27, 1994, then the manufacturer of that additive is responsible for testing (or participating in group testing of) the respective additive/base fuel mixtures in compliance with the requirements of this subpart for each fuel family in which the manufacturer wishes to maintain a registration for its additive.

(B) If a manufacturer is seeking to register such additive in two or more fuel families then, for testing and registration purposes, the additive shall be considered to be a member of each fuel family in which the manufacturer

is seeking registration. The manufacturer is responsible for testing (or participating in group testing of) the respective additive/base fuel mixture in compliance with the requirements of this subpart for each fuel family in which the manufacturer wishes to obtain a product registration for its additive.

(iii) In the case of the methanol fuel family, which contains two base fuels (M100 and M85 base fuels, pursuant to § 79.55(d)), the applicable base fuel is the one which represents the fuel/additive group (specified in § 79.56(e)(4)(i)(C)) containing fuels of which the most gallons are sold annually.

(iv) Aftermarket additives which are intended by the manufacturer to be added to the fuel tank only at infrequent intervals shall be applied according to the manufacturer's specifications during mileage accumulation, pursuant to § 79.57(c). However, during emission generation and testing, each tankful of fuel used must contain the fuel additive at its maximum recommended level. If the additive manufacturer believes that this maximum treatment rate will cause adverse effects to the test engine and/or that the engine's emissions may be subject to artifacts due to overuse of the additive, then the manufacturer may submit a request to EPA for modification of this requirement and related test procedures. Such request must include objective evidence that the modification(s) are needed, along with data demonstrating the maximum concentration of the additive which may actually reach the fuel tanks of vehicles in use.

(v) Additives produced exclusively for use in #1 diesel fuel shall be tested in the diesel base fuel specified in § 79.55(c), even though that base fuel is formulated with #2 diesel fuel. If a manufacturer is concerned that emissions generated from this combination of fuel and additive are subject to artifacts due to this blending, then that manufacturer may submit a request for a modification in test procedure requirements to the EPA. Any such request must include supporting test results and suggested test modifications.

(vi) Bulk additives which are used intermittently for the direct purpose of conditioning or treating a fuel during storage or transport, or for treating or maintaining the storage, pipeline, and/or other components of the fuel distribution system itself and not the vehicle/engine for which the fuel is ultimately intended, shall, for purposes of this program, be added to the base fuel at the maximum concentration recommended by the additive manufacturer for treatment of the fuel or distribution system component. However, if the additive manufacturer believes that this treatment rate will cause adverse effects to the test engine and/or that the engine's emissions may be subject to artifacts due to overuse of the additive, then the manufacturer may submit a request to EPA for modification of this requirement and related test procedures. Such request must include objective evidence that the modification(s) are needed, along with data demonstrating the maximum concentration of the additive which may actually reach the fuel tanks of vehicles in use.

(2) EPA shall use emissions speciation and health effects data generated in the analysis of the applicable base fuel as control data for comparison with data generated for the additive/base fuel mixture.

(i) The base fuel control data may be:

(A) Generated internally as an experimental control in conjunction with testing done in compliance with registration requirements for a specific additive; or

(B) Generated externally in the course of testing different additive(s) belonging to the same fuel family, or in the testing of a base fuel serving as representative of the baseline group for the respective fuel family pursuant to § 79.56(e)(4)(i).

(ii) Control data generated using test equipment (including vehicle model and/or engine, or Evaporative Emissions Generator specifications, as appropriate) and protocols identical or nearly identical to those used in emissions and health effects testing of the subject additive/base fuel mixture would be most relevant for comparison purposes.

(iii) If an additive manufacturer chooses the same vehicle/engine to independently test the base fuel as an experimental control prior to testing the additive/base fuel mixture, then the test vehicle/engine shall undergo two mileage accumulation periods, pursuant to § 79.57(c). The initial mileage accumulation period shall be performed using the base fuel alone. After base fuel testing, and prior to testing of the additive/base fuel mixture, a second mileage accumulation period shall be performed using the additive/base fuel mixture. The procedures outlined in this paragraph shall not preclude a manufacturer from testing a base fuel and the manufacturer's additive/base fuel mixture separately in identical, or nearly identical, vehicles/engines.

(i) *Multiple Test Potential for Non-Baseline Products.* (1) When the composition information reported in the registration application or basic registration data for a gasoline or diesel product meets criteria for classification as a non-baseline product (pursuant to § 79.56(e)(3)(i)(B) or § 79.56(e)(3)(ii)(B)), then the manufacturer is responsible for testing (or participating in group testing) of a separate formulation for each reported oxygenating compound, specified class of oxygenating compounds, or other substance which defines a separate non-baseline fuel/additive group pursuant to § 79.56(e)(4)(ii)(A) or (B). For each such substance, testing shall be performed on a mixture of the relevant substance in the appropriate base fuel, formulated according to the specifications for the corresponding group representatives in § 79.56(e)(4)(ii).

(2) When the composition information reported in the registration application or basic registration data for a non- baseline gasoline product contains a range of total oxygenate concentration-in-use which encompasses gasoline formulations with less than 1.5 weight percent oxygen as well as gasoline formulations with 1.5 weight percent oxygen or more, then the manufacturer is required to test (or participate in applicable group testing of) a baseline gasoline formulation as well as one or more non-baseline gasoline formulations as described in paragraph (h)(1) of this section.

(3) When the composition information reported in the registration application or basic registration data for a non- baseline diesel product contains a range of total oxygenate concentration-in-use which encompasses diesel formulations with less than 1.0 weight percent oxygen as well as diesel formulations with 1.0 weight percent oxygen or more, then the manufacturer is required to test (or participate in applicable group testing) of a baseline diesel formulation as well as one or more non-baseline diesel formulations as described in paragraph (h)(1) of this section.

(4) The presence in a particular oxygenating additive of small amounts of other unintended oxygenate compounds as byproducts of the manufacturing process of the given oxygenating additive does not affect the grouping of that additive and does not create multiple testing responsibilities for manufacturers who blend that additive into fuel.

(j) *Multiple Test Potential for Atypical Fuel Formulations.* When the composition information reported in the registration application or basic registration data for a fuel product includes more than one atypical bulk additive product (pursuant to § 79.56(e)(2)(iii)), and when these additives belong to different fuel/additive groups (pursuant to § 79.56(e)(4)(iii)), then:

(1) When such disparate additive products are for the same purpose-in-use and are not ordinarily used in the fuel simultaneously, the fuel manufacturer shall be responsible for testing (or participating in the group testing of) a separate formulation for each such additive product. Testing related to each additive product shall be performed on a mixture of the additive in the applicable base fuel, as described in paragraph (g)(1) of this section, or by participation in the costs of testing the designated representative of the fuel/additive group to which each separate atypical additive product belongs.

(2) When the disparate additive products are not for the same purpose-in-use, the fuel manufacturer shall nevertheless be responsible for testing a separate formulation for each such additive product, as described in paragraph (g)(1) of this section, if these additives

are not ordinarily blended together in the same commercial formulation of the fuel.

(3) When the disparate additive products are ordinarily blended together in the same commercial formulation of the fuel, then the fuel manufacturer shall be responsible for the testing of a single test formulation containing all such simultaneously used atypical additive products. Alternatively, this responsibility can be satisfied by enrolling such fuel product in a group which includes other fuel or additive products with the same total combination of atypical elements as that occurring in the fuel product in question. If the basic registration data for the subject fuel includes any alternative additives which contain atypical elements not represented in the test formulation, then the fuel manufacturer is also responsible for testing a separate formulation for each such additional disparate additive product.

(k) *Emission Control System Testing*. If any information submitted in accordance with this subpart or any other information available to EPA shows that a fuel or fuel additive may have a deleterious effect on the performance of any emission control system or device currently in use or which has been developed to a point where in a reasonable time it would be in general use were such effect avoided, EPA may, in its judgment, require testing to determine whether such effects in fact exist. Such testing will be required in accordance with such protocols and schedules as the Administrator shall reasonably require and shall be paid for by the fuel or fuel additive manufacturer.

[59 FR 33093, June 27, 1994, as amended at 61 FR 36511, July 11, 1996; 62 FR 12575, Mar. 17, 1997]

§ 79.52 Tier 1.

(a) *General Specifications*. Tier 1 requires manufacturers of designated fuels or fuel additives (or groups of manufacturers pursuant to § 79.56) to supply to the Administrator the identity and concentration of certain emission products of such fuels or additives and any available information regarding the health and welfare effects of the whole and speciated emissions of such fuels or additives. In addition to any information required under § 79.59 and in conformance with the reporting requirements thereof, manufacturers shall provide, pursuant to the timing provisions of § 79.51(c), the following information.

(b) *Emissions Characterization*. Manufacturers must provide a characterization of the emission products which are generated by evaporation (if required pursuant to § 79.58(b)) and by combustion of the fuel or additive/base fuel mixture in a motor vehicle. For this purpose, manufacturers may perform the characterization procedures described in this section or may rely on existing emission characterization data. To be considered adequate in lieu of performing new emission characterization procedures, the data must be the result of tests using the product in question or using a fuel or additive/base fuel mixture meeting the same grouping criteria as the product in question. In addition, the emissions must be generated in a manner reasonably similar to those described in § 79.57, and the characterization procedures must be adequately performed and documented and must give results reasonably comparable to those which would be obtained by performing the procedures described herein. Reports of previous tests must be sufficiently detailed to allow EPA to judge the adequacy of protocols, techniques, and conclusions. After the manufacturer's submittal of such data, if EPA finds that the manufacturer has relied upon inadequate test data, then the manufacturer will not be considered to be in compliance until the corresponding tests have been conducted and the results submitted to EPA.

(1) *General Provisions*. (i) The emissions to be characterized shall be generated, collected, and stored according to the processes described in § 79.57. Characterization of combustion and evaporative emissions shall be performed separately on each emission sample collected during the applicable emission generation procedure.

(ii) As provided in § 79.57(d), if the emission generation vehicle/engine is ordinarily equipped with an emission aftertreatment device, then all requirements in this section for the characterization of combustion emissions must

be completed both with and without the aftertreatment device in a functional state. The emissions shall be generated three times (on three different days) without a functional aftertreatment device and, if applicable, three times (on three different days) with a functional aftertreatment device, and each such time shall be analyzed according to the remaining provisions in this paragraph (b) of this section.

(iii) Measurement of background emissions: It is required that ambient/dilution air be analyzed for levels of background chemical species present at the time of emissions sampling (for both combustion and evaporative emissions) and that sample values be corrected by substracting the concentrations contributed by the ambient/dilution air. Background chemical species measurement/analysis during the FTP is specified in §§ 86.109–94(c)(5) and 86.135–94 of this chapter.

(iv) Concentrations of emission products shall be reported either in units of grams per mile (g/mi) or grams per brake-horsepower/hour (g/bhp-hr) (for chassis dynamometer and engine dynamometer test configurations, respectively), as well as in units of weight percent of measured total hydrocarbons.

(v) Laboratory practice must be of high quality and must be consistent with state-of-the-art methods as presented in current environmental and analytical chemistry literature. Examples of analytical procedures which may be used in conducting the emission characterization/speciation requirements of this section can be found among the references in paragraph (b)(5) of this section.

(2) Characterization of the combustion emissions shall include, for products in all fuel families (except when expressly noted in this section):

(i) Determination of the concentration of the basic emissions as follows: total hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, oxides of nitrogen, and particulates. Manufacturers are referred to the vehicle certification procedures in 40 CFR part 86, subparts B and D (§§ 86.101 through 86.145 and §§ 86.301 through 86.348) for guidance on the measurement of the basic emissions of interest to this subpart.

(ii) Characterization of the vapor phase of combustion emissions, as follows:

(A) Determination of the identity and concentration of individual species of hydrocarbon compounds containing 12 or fewer carbon atoms. Such characterization shall begin within 30 minutes after emission collection is completed.

(B) Determination of the identity and concentration of individual species of aldehyde and ketone compounds containing eight or fewer carbon atoms. Characterization of these emissions captured in cartridges shall be performed within two weeks if the cartridge is stored at room temperature, and one month if the cartridge is stored at 0 °C or less. If the emissions are sampled using the impinger method, the sample must be stored in a capped sample vial at 0 °C or less and characterized within one week.

(C) Determination of the identity and concentration of individual species of alcohol and ether compounds containing six or fewer carbon atoms, for those fuels and additive/base fuel mixtures which contain alcohol and/or ether compounds containing from one to six carbon atoms in the uncombusted state. For fuel and additive formulations containing alcohols or ethers with more than six carbon atoms in the uncombusted state, alcohol and ether species with that higher number of carbon atoms or less must be identified and measured in the emissions. Such characterization shall begin within four hours after emission collection is completed.

(iii) Characterization of the semivolatile and particulate phases of combustion emissions to identify and measure polycyclic aromatic compounds, as follows:

(A) Analysis for polycyclic aromatic compounds shall not be conducted at or soon after the start of a recommended engine lubricant change interval.

(B) Analysis for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and nitrated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (NPAHs), specified in paragraph (b)(2)(iii)(D) of this section, need not be done for any fuels and additives in the

methane or propane fuel families, nor for fuels and additives in the atypical categories of any other fuel families, pursuant to the definitions of such families and categories in § 79.56.

(C) Analysis for poly-chlorinated dibenzodioxins and dibenzofurans (PCDD/PCDFs), specified in paragraph (b)(2)(iii)(E) of this section, is required only for fuels and additives which contain chlorine as an atypical element, pursuant to paragraph (b)(2)(iv) of this section, which requires all individual emission products containing atypical elements to be determined for atypical fuels and additives. However, manufacturers of baseline and nonbaseline fuels and fuel additives in all fuel families, except those in the methane and propane fuel families, are strongly encouraged to conduct these analyses on a voluntary basis.

(D) The analytical method used to measure species of PAHs and NPAHs should be capable of detecting at least 1 ppm (equivalent to 0.001 microgram (μg) of compound per milligram of organic extract) of these compounds in the extractable organic matter. The concentration of each individual PAH or NPAH compound identified shall be reported in units of microgram per mile or nanograms per brake-horsepower/hour (for chassis dynamometer and engine dynamometer test configurations, respectively). Each compound which is present at 0.001 μg per mile (0.5 nanograms per brake-horsepower/hour) or more must be identified, measured, and reported. The following individual species shall be measured:

(*1*) PAHs:

(*i*) Benzo(*a*)anthracene;

(*ii*) Benzo[*b*]fluoranthene;

(*iii*) Benzo[*k*]fluoranthene;

(*iv*) Benzo(*a*)pyrene;

(*v*) Chrysene;

(*vi*) Dibenzo[*a*,*h*]anthracene; and

(*vii*) Indeno[1,2,3-*c*,*d*]pyrene.

(*2*) NPAHs:

(*i*) 7-Nitrobenzo[*a*]anthracene;

(*ii*) 6-Nitrobenzo[*a*]pyrene;

(*iii*) 6-Nitrochrysene;

(*iv*) 2-Nitrofluorene; and

(*v*) 1-Nitropyrene.

(E) The analytical method used to measure species and classes of PCDD/PCDFs should be capable of detecting at least 1 part per trillion (ppt) (equivalent to 0.001 picogram (pg) of compound per milligram of organic extract) of these compounds in the extractable organic matter. The concentration of each individual PCDD/PCDF compound identified shall be reported in units of picograms (pg) per mile or picograms per brake-horsepower/hour (for chassis dynamometer and engine dynamometer test configurations, respectively). Each compound which is present at 0.5 pg/mile (0.3 pg/bhp-hr) or more must be identified, measured, and reported.

(*1*) With respect to measurement of PCDD/PCDFs only, the liquid extracts from the particulate and semi-volatile emissions fractions may be combined into one sample for analysis.

(*2*) The manufacturer is referred to 40 CFR part 60, appendix A, Method 23 for a protocol which may be used to identify and measure any potential PCDD/PCDFs which might be present in exhaust emissions from a fuel or additive/base fuel mixture.

(*3*) The following individual compounds and classes of compounds of PCDD/PCDFs shall be identified and measured:

(*i*) Individual tetra-chloro-substituted dibenzodioxins (tetra-CDDs);

(*ii*) Individual tetra-chloro-substituted dibenzofurans (tetra-CDFs);

(*iii*) Penta-CDDs and penta-CDFs, as one class;

(*iv*) Hexa-CDDs and hexa-CDFs, as one class;

(*v*) Hepta-CDDs and hepta-CDFs as one class; and

(*vi*) Octo-CDDs and octo-CDFs as one class.

(iv) With respect to all phases (vapor, semi-volatile, and particulate) of combustion emissions generated from those fuels and additive/base fuel mixtures classified in the atypical categories (pursuant to § 79.56), the identity and concentration of individual emission products containing such atypical elements shall also be determined.

(3) For evaporative fuels and evaporative fuel additives, characterization of the evaporative emissions shall include:

(i) Determination of the concentration of total hydrocarbons for the applicable vehicle type and class in 40

CFR part 86, subpart B (§§ 86.101 through 86.145).

(ii) Determination of the identity and concentration of individual species of hydrocarbon compounds containing 12 or fewer carbon atoms. Such characterization shall begin within 30 minutes after emission collection is completed.

(iii) In the case of those fuels and additive/base fuel mixtures which contain alcohol and/or ether compounds in the uncombusted state, determination of the identity and concentration of individual species of alcohol and ether compounds containing six or fewer carbon atoms. For fuel and additive formulations containing alcohols or ethers with more than six carbon atoms in the uncombusted state, alcohol and ether species with that higher number of carbon atoms or less must be identified and measured in the emissions. Such characterization shall begin within four hours after emission collection is completed.

(iv) In the case of those fuels and additive/base fuel mixtures which contain atypical elements, determination of the identity and concentration of individual emission products containing such atypical elements.

(4) *Laboratory quality control.* (i) At a minimum, laboratories performing the procedures specified in this section shall conduct calibration testing of their emissions characterization equipment before each new fuel/additive product test start-up. Known samples representative of the compounds potentially to be found in emissions from the product to be characterized shall be used to calibrate such equipment.

(ii) Laboratories performing the procedures specified in this section shall agree to permit quality control inspections by EPA, and for this purpose shall admit any EPA Enforcement Officer, upon proper presentation of credentials, to any facility where vehicles are conditioned or where emissions are generated, collected, stored, sampled, or characterized in meeting the requirements of this section. Such laboratory audits may include EPA distribution of ''blind'' samples for analysis by participating laboratories.

(5) *References.* For additional background information on the emission characterization procedures outlined in this paragraph, the following references may be consulted:

(i) ''Advanced Emission Speciation Methodologies for the Auto/Oil Air Quality Improvement Program—I. Hydrocarbons and Ethers,'' Auto Oil Air Quality Improvement Research Program, SP–920, 920320, SAE, February 1992.

(ii) ''Advanced Speciation Methodologies for the Auto/Oil Air Quality Improvement Research Program—II. Aldehydes, Ketones, and Alcohols,'' Auto Oil Air Quality Improvement Research Program, SP–920, 920321, SAE, February 1992.

(iii) ASTM D 5197–91, ''Standard Test Method for Determination of Formaldehyde and Other Carbonyl Compounds in Air (Active Sampler Methodology).''

(iv) Johnson J. H., Bagley, S. T., Gratz, L. D., and Leddy, D. G., ''A Review of Diesel Particulate Control Technology and Emissions Effects—1992 Horning Memorial Award Lecture,'' SAE Technical Paper Series, SAE 940233, 1994.

(v) Keith *et al.,* ACS Committee on Environmental Improvement, ''Principles of Environmental Analysis,'' The Journal of Analytical Chemistry, Volume 55, pp. 2210–2218, 1983.

(vi) Perez, J.M., Jabs, R.E., Leddy, D.G., eds. ''Chemical Methods for the Measurement of Unregulated Diesel Emissions (CRC-APRAC Project No. CAPI–1–64), Coordinating Research Council, CRC Report No. 551, August, 1987.

(vii) Schuetzle, D., ''Analysis of Nitrated Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Diesel Particulates,'' Analytical Chemistry, Volume 54, pp. 265–271, 1982.

(viii) Siegl, W.O., *et al.,* ''Improved Emissions Speciation Methodology for Phase II of the Auto/Oil Air Quality Improvement Research Program—Hydrocarbons and Oxygenates'', SAE Technical Paper Series, SAE 930142, 1993.

(ix) Tejada, S. B. *et al.,* ''Analysis of Nitroaromatics in Diesel and Gasoline Car Emissions,'' SAE Paper No. 820775, 1982.

(x) Tejada, S. B. *et al.,* ''Fluorescence Detection and Identification of Nitro Derivatives of Polynuclear Aromatic

Hydrocarbons by On-Column Catalytic Reduction to Aromatic Amines,'' Analytical Chemistry, Volume 58, pp. 1827–1834, July 1986.

(xi) ''Test Method for Determination of C1–C4 Alcohols and MTBE in Gasoline by Gas Chromatography,'' 40 CFR part 80, appendix F.

(c) [Reserved]

(d) *Literature Search*. (1) Manufacturers of fuels and fuel additives shall conduct a literature search and compilation of information on the potential toxicologic, environmental, and other public welfare effects of the emissions of such fuels and additives. The literature search shall include all available relevant information from in-house, industry, government, and public sources pertaining to the emissions of the subject fuel or fuel additive or the emissions of similar fuels or additives, with such similarity determined according to the provisions of § 79.56.

(2) The literature search shall address the potential adverse effects of whole combustion emissions, evaporative emissions, relevant emission fractions, and individual emission products of the subject fuel or fuel additive except as specified in the following paragraph. The individual emission products to be included are those identified pursuant to the emission characterization procedures specified in paragraph (b) of this section, other than carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, nitrogen oxides, benzene, 1,3-butadiene, acetaldehyde, and formaldehyde.

(3) In the case of the individual emission products of non-baseline or atypical fuels and additives (pursuant to § 79.56(e)(2)), the literature data need not be submitted for those emission products which are the same as the combustion emission products of the respective base fuel for the product's fuel family (pursuant to § 79.55). For this purpose, data on the base fuel emission products for the product's fuel family:

(i) May be found in the literature of previously-conducted, adequate emission speciation studies for the base fuel, or for a fuel or additive/fuel mixture capable of grouping with the base fuel (see, for example, the references in paragraph (b)(5) of this section).

(ii) May be compiled while gathering internal control data during emissions characterization studies on the manufacturer's non-baseline or atypical product; or

(iii) May be obtained from various manufacturers in the course of their testing different additive(s) belonging to the same fuel family, or in the testing of a base fuel serving as representative of the baseline group for the respective fuel family.

(e) *Data bases*. The literature search must include the results of searching appropriate commercially available chemical, toxicologic, and environmental databases. The databases shall be searched using, at a minimum, CAS numbers (when applicable), chemical names, and common synonyms.

(f) *Search period*. The literature search shall cover a time period beginning at least thirty years prior to the date of submission of the reports specified in §§ 79.59(b) through (c) and ending no earlier than six months prior to the date on which testing is commenced or reports are submitted in compliance with this subpart.

(g) *References*. Information on base fuel emission inventories may be found in references in paragraphs (b)(5)(i) through (xi) of this section, as well as in the following:

(1) Auto/Oil Air Quality Improvement Research Program, Technical Bulletin #1, December 1990.

(2) Keith *et al.*, ACS Committee on Environmental Improvement, ''Principles of Environmental Analysis,'' The Journal of Analytical Chemistry, Volume 55, pp. 2210–2218, 1983.

(3) ''The Composition of Gasoline Engine Hydrocarbon Emissions—An Evaluation of Catalyst and Fuel Effects''—SAE 902074 and ''Speciated Hydrocarbon Emissions from Aromatic, Olefin, and Paraffinic Model Fuels''—SAE 930373.

[59 FR 33093, June 27, 1994, as amended at 61 FR 36511, July 11, 1996; 62 FR 12571, Mar. 17, 1997]

§ 79.53 Tier 2.

(a) *Generally*. Subject to the provisions of § 79.53(b) through (d), the combustion emissions of each fuel or fuel additive subject to testing under this subpart must be tested in accordance

with each of the testing guidelines in §§ 79.60 through 79.68, except that fuels and additives in the methane and propane fuel families (pursuant to § 79.56(e)(1)(v) and (vi)) need not undergo the Salmonella mutagenicity assay in § 79.68). Similarly, subject to the provisions of § 79.53(b) through (d), the evaporative emissions of each designated evaporative fuel and each designated evaporative fuel additive subject to testing under this subpart must be tested according to each of the testing guidelines in §§ 79.60 through 79.67 (excluding § 79.68, *Salmonella typhimurium* Reverse Mutation Assay).

(b) *Manufacturer Determination*. Manufacturers shall determine whether the information gathered pursuant to the literature search in § 79.52(d) contains the results of adequately performed and adequately documented previous testing which provides information reasonably comparable to that supplied by the health tests described in §§ 79.62 through 79.68 regarding the carcinogenicity, mutagenicity, neurotoxicity, teratogenicity, reproductive/fertility measures, and general toxicity effects of the emissions of the fuel or additive. When manufacturers make an affirmative determination, they need submit only the information gathered pursuant to § 79.52(d) for such tests. EPA maintains final authority in judging whether the information is an adequate substitution in lieu of conducting the associated tests. EPA's determination of the adequacy of existing information shall be guided by the considerations described in paragraph (d) of this section. If EPA finds that the manufacturer has relied upon inadequate test data, then the manufacturer will not be considered to be in compliance until the corresponding tests have been conducted and the results submitted to EPA.

(c) *Testing*. (1) All testing required pursuant to this section must be done in accordance with the procedures, equipment, and facility requirements described in §§ 79.57, 79.60, and 79.61 regarding emissions generation, good laboratory practices, and inhalation exposure testing, respectively, as well as any other requirements described in this subpart. The laboratory conducting the animal studies shall be registered and in good standing with the United States Department of Agriculture and regularly inspected by United States Department of Agriculture veterinarians. In addition, the facility must be accredited by a generally recognized independent organization which sets laboratory animal care standards. Use of inadequate test protocols or substandard laboratory techniques in performing any testing required by this subpart may result in cancellation of all affected registrations.

(2) Carcinogenic or mutagenic effects in animals from emissions exposures shall be determined pursuant to § 79.64 *In vivo* Micronucleus Assay, § 79.65 *In vivo* Sister Chromatid Exchange Assay, and § 79.68 *Salmonella typhimurium* Reverse Mutation Assay. Teratogenic effects and reproductive toxicity shall be examined pursuant to § 79.63 Fertility Assessment/Teratology. General toxicity and pulmonary effects shall be determined pursuant to § 79.62 Subchronic Toxicity Study with Specific Health Effect Assessments. Neurotoxic effects shall be determined pursuant to § 79.66 Neuropathology Assessment and § 79.67 Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein Assay.

(d) *EPA Determination*. (1) After submission of all information and testing, EPA in its judgment shall determine whether previously conducted tests relied upon in the registration submission are adequately performed and documented and provide information reasonably comparable to that which would be provided by the tests described herein. Manufacturers' submissions shall be sufficiently detailed to allow EPA to judge the adequacy of protocols, techniques, experimental design, statistical analyses, and conclusions. Studies shall be performed using generally accepted scientific principles, good laboratory techniques, and the testing guidelines specified in these regulations.

(2) EPA shall give appropriate weight when making this determination to the following factors:

(i) The age of the data;

(ii) The adequacy of documentation of procedures, findings, and conclusions;

(iii) The extent to which the testing conforms to generally accepted scientific principles and practices;

(iv) The type and number of test subjects;

(v) The number and adequacy of exposure concentrations, *i.e.*, emission dilutions;

(vi) The degree to which the tested emissions were generated by procedures and under conditions reasonably comparable to those set forth in § 79.57; and

(vii) The degree to which the test procedures conform to the testing guidelines set forth in §§ 79.60 through 79.68 and/or furnish information comparable to that provided by such testing.

(3) The test animals shall be rodents, preferably a strain of rat, and testing shall include all of the endpoints covered in §§ 79.62 through 79.68. All studies shall be properly executed, with appropriate documentation, and in accord with the individual health testing guidelines (§§ 79.60 through 79.68) of this part, e.g., 90-day, 6-hour per day exposure, minimum.

(4) In general, the data in a manufacturer's registration submittal shall be adequate if the duration of a test's exposure period is at least as long, in days and hours, as the inhalation exposure specified in the related health test guideline(s). Data from tests with shorter exposure durations than those specified in the guidelines may be acceptable if the test results are positive (*i.e.*, exhibit adverse effects) and/or include a demonstrable concentration-response relationship.

(5) Data in support of a manufacturer's registration submittal shall directly address the effects of inhalation exposure to the whole evaporative and exhaust emissions of the respective fuel or additive or to the whole evaporative and exhaust emissions of other fuels or additives which satisfy the criteria in § 79.56 for classification into the same group as the subject fuel or fuel additive. Data obtained in the testing of a raw liquid fuel or additive/base fuel mixture or a raw, aerosolized fuel or additive/base fuel mixture shall not be adequate to support a manufacturer's registration submittal. Data from testing of evaporative emissions cannot substitute for test data on combustion emissions. Data from testing of combustion emissions cannot substitute for test data on evaporative emissions.

§ 79.54 Tier 3.

(a) *General Criteria for Requiring Tier 3 Testing.* (1) Tier 3 testing shall be required of a manufacturer or group of manufacturers at EPA's discretion when remaining uncertainties as to the significance of observed health effects, welfare effects, and/or emissions exposures from a fuel or fuel/additive mixture interfere with EPA's ability to make reasonable estimates of the potential risks posed by emissions from the fuel or additive products. Tier 3 testing may be conducted either on an individual basis or a group basis. If performed on a group basis, EPA may require either the same representative to be used in Tier 3 testing as was used in Tier 2 testing or may select a different member or members of the group to represent the group in the Tier 3 tests.

(2) In addition to the criteria specific to particular tests as summarized and detailed in the testing guidelines (§§ 79.62 through 79.68), EPA may consider a number of factors (including, but not limited to):

(i) The number of positive and negative outcomes related to each endpoint;

(ii) The identification of concentration-effect relationships;

(iii) The statistical sensitivity and significance of such studies;

(iv) The severity of the observed effects (e.g., whether the effects would be likely to lead to incapacitating or irreversible conditions);

(v) The type and number of species included in the reported tests;

(vi) The consistency and clarity of apparent mechanisms, target organs, and outcomes;

(vii) The presence or absence of effective health test control data for base-fuel-only versus additive/base fuel mixture comparisons;

(viii) The nature and amount of known toxic agents in the emissions stream; and

(ix) The observation of lesions which specifically implicate inhalation as an important exposure route.

(3) *Consideration of exposure.* EPA retains discretion to consider, in addition to available toxicity data, any Tier 1 data on potential exposures to emissions from a particular fuel or fuel additive (or group of fuels and/or fuel additives) in determining whether to require Tier 3 testing. EPA may consider, but is not limited to, the following factors:

(i) Types and emission rates of speciated emission components;

(ii) Types and emission rates of combinations of compounds or elements of concern;

(iii) Historical and/or projected production volumes and market distributions; and

(iv) Estimated population and/or environmental exposures obtained through extrapolation, modeling, or literature search findings on ambient, occupational, or epidemiological exposures.

(b) *Notice.* (1) EPA will determine whether Tier 3 testing is necessary upon receipt of a manufacturer's (or group's) submittal as prescribed under § 79.51(d). If EPA determines on the basis of the Tier 1 and 2 data submission and any other available information that further testing is necessary, EPA will require the responsible manufacturer(s) to conduct testing as described elsewhere in this section. EPA will notify the manufacturer (or group) by certified letter of the purpose and nature of any proposed testing and of the proposed deadline for completing the testing. A copy of the letter will be placed in the public record. EPA will provide the manufacturer a 60-day comment period after the manufacturer's receipt of such notice. EPA may extend the comment period if it appears from the nature of the issues raised that further discussion is warranted. In the event that no comment is received by EPA from the manufacturer (or group) within the comment period, the manufacturer (or group) shall be deemed to have consented to the adoption by EPA of the proposed Tier 3 requirements.

(2) EPA will issue a notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER of its intent to require testing under Tier 3 for a particular fuel or additive manufacturer and that a copy of the letter to the manufacturer outlining the Tier 3 testing for that manufacturer is available in the public record for review and comment. The public shall have a minimum of thirty (30) days after the publication of this notice to comment on the proposed Tier 3 testing.

(3) EPA will include in the public record a copy of any timely comments concerning the proposed Tier 3 testing requirements received from the affected manufacturer or group or from the public, and the responses of EPA to such comments. After reviewing all such comments received, EPA will adopt final Tier 3 requirements by sending a certified letter describing such final requirements to the manufacturer or group. EPA will also issue a notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER announcing that it has adopted such final Tier 3 requirements and that a copy of the letter adopting the requirements has been included in the public record.

(4) Prior to beginning any required Tier 3 testing, the manufacturer shall submit detailed test protocols to EPA for approval. Once EPA has determined the Tier 3 testing requirements and approves the test protocols, any modification to the requirements shall be governed by § 79.51(f).

(c) *Carcinogenicity and Mutagenicity Testing.* (1) A potential need for Tier 3 carcinogenicity and/or mutagenicity testing may be indicated if the results of the *In vivo* Micronucleus Assay, required under § 79.64, the *In vivo* Sister Chromatid Exchange Assay, required under § 79.65, the Salmonella mutagenicity assay required under § 79.68, or relevant pathologic findings under § 79.62 demonstrate a statistically significant dose-related positive response as compared with appropriate controls. Alternatively, Tier 3 carcinogenicity testing and/or mutagenicity testing may be required if there are positive outcomes for at least one concentration in two or more of the tests required under §§ 79.64, 79.65, and 79.68.

(2) The testing for carcinogenicity required under this paragraph may, at EPA's discretion, be conducted in accordance with 40 CFR 798.3300 or 798.3320, or their equivalents (see suggested references following each health effects testing guideline). The testing for mutagenicity required under this

paragraph may likewise be conducted in accordance with 40 CFR 798.5195, 798.5500, 798.5955, 798.7100, and/or other suitable equivalent testing (see suggested references following each health effects testing guideline). EPA may supplement or modify guidelines as required to ensure that the prescribed testing addresses the identified areas of concern.

(d) *Reproductive and Teratological Effects Testing.* (1) A potential need for Tier 3 testing may be indicated if the results of the Fertility Assessment/Teratology study required under § 79.63 or relevant findings under § 79.62 demonstrate, in comparison with appropriate controls, a statistically significant dose-related positive response in one or more of the possible test outcomes. Similarly, Tier 3 testing may be indicated if statistically significant positive results are confined to either sex, or to the fetus as opposed to the pregnant adult.

(2) The testing for reproductive and teratological effects required under this paragraph may, at EPA's discretion, be conducted in accordance with 40 CFR 798.4700 and/or by performance of a reproductive assay by continuous breeding. These guidelines may be modified or supplemented by EPA as required to ensure that the prescribed testing addresses the identified areas of concern.

(e) *Neurotoxicity Testing.* (1) A potential need for Tier 3 neurotoxicity testing may be indicated if either the results of the Neuropathology Assessment required under § 79.67 shows concentration-related effects in exposed animals or the Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein Assay required under § 79.66 demonstrates a statistically significant concentration-related positive response as compared with appropriate controls. Similarly, Tier 3 neurotoxicity testing may be indicated if relevant results under § 79.62 demonstrate a statistically significant positive response in comparison to appropriate controls.

(2) The testing for neurotoxicity required under this paragraph may, at EPA's discretion, be conducted in accordance with 40 CFR 798.3260 and 40 CFR part 798 subpart G. These guidelines may be modified or supplemented by EPA as required to ensure that the prescribed testing addresses the identified areas of concern.

(f) *General and Pulmonary Toxicity Testing.* (1) A potential need for Tier 3 general and/or pulmonary toxicity testing may be indicated if, in comparison with appropriate controls, the results of the Subchronic Toxicity Study, pursuant to § 79.62, demonstrate abnormal gross analysis or histopathological findings (especially as relates to lung pathology from whole-body preserved test animals) or persistence or delayed occurrence of toxic effects beyond the exposure period.

(2) A potential need for Tier 3 testing with respect to other organ systems or endpoints not addressed by specific Tier 2 tests, e.g., hepatic, renal, or endocrine toxicity, may be demonstrated by findings in the Tier 2 Subchronic Toxicity Study (pursuant to § 79.62) or by findings in the Tier 1 literature search of adverse functional, physiologic, metabolic, or histopathologic effects of fuel or additive emissions to such other organ systems or any other information available to EPA. In addition, findings in the Tier 1 emission characterization of significant levels of a known toxicant to such other organ systems and endpoints may also indicate a need for relevant health effects testing. The testing required under this paragraph may include tests conducted in accordance with 40 CFR 798.3260 or 798.3320. These guidelines may be modified or supplemented by EPA as necessary to ensure that the prescribed testing addresses the identified areas of concern.

(3) The testing for general/pulmonary toxicity required under this paragraph may, at EPA's discretion, be conducted in accordance with 40 CFR 798.2450 or 798.3260. These guidelines may be modified or supplemented by EPA as necessary to ensure that the prescribed testing addresses the identified areas of concern. Pulmonary function measurements, host defense assays, immunotoxicity tests, cell morphology/morphometry, and/or enzyme assays of lung lavage cells and fluids may be specifically required.

(g) *Other Tier 3 Testing.* (1) A manufacturer or group may be required to use up-to-date modeling, sampling,

monitoring, and/or analytic approaches at the Tier 3 level to provide:

(i) Estimates of exposures to the emission products of a fuel or fuel additive or group of products;

(ii) The expected atmospheric transformation products of such emissions; and

(iii) The environmental partitioning of such emissions to the air, soil, water, and biota.

(2) Additional emission characterization may be required if uncertainty over the identity of chemical species or rate of their emission interferes with reasonable judgments as to the presence and/or concentration of potentially toxic substances in the emissions of a fuel or fuel additive. The required tests may include characterization of additional classes of emissions, the characterization of emissions generated by additional vehicles/engines of various technology mixes (e.g., catalyzed versus non-catalyzed emissions), and/or other more precise analytic procedures for identification or quantification of emissions compounds. Additional emissions testing may also be required to evaluate concerns which may arise regarding the potential effects of a fuel or fuel additive on the performance of emission control equipment.

(3) A manufacturer or group may be required to conduct biological and/or exposure studies at the Tier 3 level to evaluate directly the potential public welfare or environmental effects of the emissions of a fuel or additive, if significant concerns about such effects arise as a result of EPA's review of the literature search or emission characterization findings in Tier 1 or the results of the toxicological tests in Tier 2.

(4) With regard to group submittals, Tier 3 studies on a fuel or additive product(s) other than the originally specified group representative may be required if specific differences in the product's composition indicate that its emissions may have different toxicologic properties from those of the original group representative.

(5) Additional emission characterization and/or toxicologic tests may be required to evaluate the impact of different vehicle, engine, or emission control technologies on the observed composition or health or welfare effects of the emissions of a fuel or additive.

(6) Toxicological tests on individual emission products may be required.

(7) Upon review of information submitted for an aerosol product under § 79.58(e), emissions characterization, exposure, and/or toxicologic testing at a Tier 3 level may be required.

(8) A manufacturer which qualifies for and has elected to use the special provisions for the products of small businesses (pursuant to § 79.58(d)) may be required to conduct emission characterization, exposure, and/or toxicologic studies at the Tier 3 level for such products, as specified in § 79.58(d)(4).

(9) The examples of potential Tier 3 tests described in this section do not in any way limit EPA's broad discretion and authority under Tier 3.

§ 79.55 Base fuel specifications.

(a) *General Characteristics.* (1) The base fuel(s) in each fuel family shall serve as the group representative(s) for the baseline group(s) in each fuel family pursuant to § 79.56. Also, as specified in § 79.51(h)(1), for fuel additives undergoing testing, the designated base fuel for the respective fuel family shall serve as the substrate in which the additive shall be mixed prior to the generation of emissions.

(2) Base fuels shall contain a limited complement of the additives which are essential for the fuel's production or distribution and/or for the successful operation of the test vehicle/engine throughout the mileage accumulation and emission generation periods. Such additives shall be used at the minimum effective concentration-in-use for the base fuel in question.

(3) Unless otherwise restricted, the presence of trace contaminants does not preclude the use of a fuel or fuel additive as a component of a base fuel formulation.

(4) When an additive is the test subject, any additive normally contained in the base fuel which serves the same function as the subject additive shall be removed from the base fuel formulation. For example, if a corrosion inhibitor were the subject of testing and if this additive were to be tested in a base

fuel which normally contained a corrosion inhibitor, this test additive would replace the corrosion inhibitor normally included as a component of the base fuel.

(5) Additive components of the methanol, ethanol, methane, and propane base fuels in addition to any such additives included below shall be limited to those recommended by the manufacturers of the vehicles and/or engines used in testing such fuels. For this purpose, EPA will review requests from manufacturers (or their agents) to modify the additive specifications for the alternative fuels and, if necessary, EPA shall change these specifications based on consistency of those changes with the associated vehicle manufacturer's recommendations for the operation of the vehicle. EPA shall publish notice of any such changes to a base fuel and/or its base additive package specifications in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(b) *Gasoline Base Fuel.* (1) The gasoline base fuel is patterned after the reformulated gasoline summer baseline fuel as specified in CAA section 211(k)(10)(B)(i). The specifications and blending tolerances for the gasoline base fuel are listed in table F94–1. The additive types which shall be required and/or permissible in the gasoline base fuel are listed in table 1 as well.

TABLE F94–1—GASOLINE BASE FUEL PROPERTIES

API Gravity	57.4±0.3
Sulfur, ppm	339±25
Benzene, vol%	1.53±0.3
RVP, psi	8.7±0.3
Octane, (R + M)/2	87.3±0.5
Distillation Parameters:	
10%, °F	128±5
50%, °F	218±5
90%, °F	330±5
Aromatics, vol%	32.0±2.7
Olefins, vol%	9.2±2.5
Saturates, vol%	58.8±2.0
Additive Types:	
Required	Deposit Control Corrosion Inhibitor Demulsifier Anti-oxidant Metal Deactivator
Permissible	Anti-static

(2) The additive components of the gasoline base fuel shall contain compounds comprised of no elements other than carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur. Additives shall be used at the minimum concentration needed to perform effectively in the gasoline base fuel. In no case shall their concentration in the base fuel exceed the maximum concentration recommended by the additive manufacturer. The increment of sulfur contributed to the formulation by any additive shall not exceed 15 parts per million sulfur by weight and shall not cause the gasoline base fuel to exceed the sulfur specifications in table F94–1 of this section.

(c) *Diesel Base Fuel.* (1) The diesel base fuel shall be a #2 diesel fuel having the properties and blending tolerances shown in table F94–2 of this section. The additive types which shall be permissible in diesel base fuel are presented in table F94–2 as well.

TABLE F94–2—DIESEL BASE FUEL PROPERTIES

API Gravity	33±1
Sulfur, wt%	0.05±0.0025
Cetane Number	45.2±2
Cetane Index	45.7±2
Distillation Parameters:	
10%, °F	433±5
50%, °F	516±5
90%, °F	606±5
Aromatics, vol%	38.4±2.7
Olefins, vol%	1.5±0.4
Saturates, vol%	60.1±2.0
Additive Types:	
Required	Corrosion Inhibitor Demulsifier Anti-oxidant Metal Deactivator
Permitted	Anti-static Flow Improver
Not Permitted	Deposit Control

(2) The additive components of the diesel base fuel shall contain compounds comprised of no elements other than carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur. Additives shall be used at the minimum concentration needed to perform effectively in the diesel base fuel. In no case shall their concentration in the base fuel exceed the maximum concentration recommended by the additive manufacturer. The increment of sulfur contributed to the base fuel by additives shall not cause the diesel base fuel to exceed the sulfur specifications in table F94–2 of this section.

(d) *Methanol Base Fuels.* (1) The methanol base fuels shall contain no elements other than carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, sulfur, and chlorine.

(2) The M100 base fuel shall consist of 100 percent by volume chemical grade methanol.

(3) The M85 base fuel is to contain 85 percent by volume chemical grade methanol, blended with 15 percent by volume gasoline base fuel meeting the gasoline base fuel specifications outlined in paragraph (b)(1) of this section. Manufacturers shall ensure the methanol compatibility of lubricating oils as well as fuel additives used in the gasoline portion of the M85 base fuel.

(4) The methanol base fuels shall meet the specifications listed in table F94–3.

TABLE F94–3—METHANOL BASE FUEL PROPERTIES

M100:	
Chemical Grade MeOH, vol%	100
Chlorine (as chlorides), wt%, max	0.0001
Water, wt%, max	0.5
Sulfur, wt%, max	0.002
M85	
Chemical Grade MeOH, vol%,	85
Gasoline Base Fuel, vol%	15
Chlorine (as chlorides), wt%, max	0.0001
Water, wt%, max	0.5
Sulfur, wt%, max	0.004

(e) *Ethanol Base Fuel.* (1) The ethanol base fuel, E85, shall contain no elements other than carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, sulfur, chlorine, and copper.

(2) The ethanol base fuel shall contain 85 percent by volume chemical grade ethanol, blended with 15 percent by volume gasoline base fuel that meets the specifications listed in paragraph (b)(1) of this section. Additives used in the gasoline component of E85 shall be ethanol-compatible.

(3) The ethanol base fuel shall meet the specifications listed in table F94–4.

TABLE F94–4—ETHANOL BASE FUEL PROPERTIES

E85:	
Chemical Grade EtOH, vol%, min	85
Gasoline Base Fuel, vol%	15
Chlorine (as chloride), wt%, max	0.0004
Copper, mg/L, max	0.07
Water, wt%, max	0.5
Sulfur, wt%, max	0.004

(f) *Methane Base Fuel.* (1) The methane base fuel is a gaseous motor vehicle fuel marketed commercially as compressed natural gas (CNG), whose primary constituent is methane.

(2) The methane base fuel shall contain no elements other than carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur. The fuel shall contain an odorant additive for leak detection purposes. The added odorant shall be used at a level such that, at ambient conditions, the fuel must have a distinctive odor potent enough for its presence to be detected down to a concentration in air of not over ⅕ (one-fifth) of the lower limit of flammability. After addition of the odorant, the methane base fuel shall contain no more than 16 ppm sulfur by volume.

(3) The methane base fuel shall meet the specifications listed in table F94–5.

TABLE F94–5—METHANE BASE FUEL SPECIFICATIONS

Methane, mole%, min	89.0
Ethane, mole%, max	4.5
Propane and higher HC, mole%, max	2.3
C6 and higher HC, mole%, max	0.2
Oxygen, mole%, max	0.6
Sulfur (including odorant additive) ppmv, max	16
Inert gases:	
Sum of CO_2 and N_2, mole%, max	4.0

(g) *Propane Base Fuel.* (1) The propane base fuel is a gaseous motor vehicle fuel, marketed commercially as liquified petroleum gas (LPG), whose primary constituent is propane.

(2) The propane base fuel may contain no elements other than carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur. The fuel shall contain an odorant additive for leak detection purposes. The added odorant shall be used at a level such that at ambient conditions the fuel must have a distinctive odor potent enough for its presence to be detected down to a concentration in air of not over ⅕ (one-fifth) of the lower limit of flammability. After addition of the odorant, the propane base fuel shall contain no more than 120 ppm sulfur by weight.

(3) The propane base fuel shall meet the specifications listed in table F94–6.

TABLE F94–6—PROPANE BASE FUEL SPECIFICATIONS

Vapor pressure at 100–F, psig, max	208
Evaporative temperature, 95%, °F, max	−37
Propane, vol%, min	92.5
Propylene, vol%, max	5.0
Butane and heavier, vol%, max	2.5
Residue-evaporation of 100mL, max, mL	0.05
Sulfur (including odorant additive) ppmw, max	123

§ 79.56 Fuel and fuel additive grouping system.

(a) Manufacturers of fuels and fuel additives are allowed to satisfy the testing requirements in §§ 79.52, 79.53, and 79.54 and the associated reporting requirements in § 79.59 on an individual or group basis, provided that such products meet the criteria in this section for enrollment in the same fuel/additive group. However, each manufacturer of a fuel or fuel additive must individually comply with the notification requirements of § 79.59(b). Further, if a manufacturer elects to comply by participation in a group, each manufacturer continues to be individually subject to the information requirements of this subpart.

(1) The use of the grouping provision to comply with Tier 1 and Tier 2 testing requirements is voluntary. No manufacturer is prohibited from testing and submitting its own data for its own product registration, despite its qualification for membership in a particular group.

(2) The only groups permitted are those established in this section.

(b) Each manufacturer who chooses to enroll a fuel or fuel additive in a group of similar fuels and fuel additives as designated in this section may satisfy the registration requirements through a group submission of jointly-sponsored testing and analysis conducted on a product which is representative of all products in that group, provided that the group representative is chosen according to the specifications in this section.

(1) The health effects information submitted by a group shall be considered applicable to all fuels and fuel additives in the group. A fuel or fuel additive manufacturer who has chosen to participate in a group may subsequently choose to perform testing of such fuel or fuel additive on an individual basis; however, until such independent registration information has been received and reviewed by EPA, the information initially submitted by the group on behalf of the manufacturer's fuel or fuel additive shall be considered applicable and valid for that fuel or fuel additive. It could therefore be used to support requirements for further testing under the provisions of Tier 3 or to support regulatory decisions affecting that fuel or fuel additive.

(2) Manufacturers are responsible for determining the appropriate groups for their products according to the criteria in this section and for enrolling their products into those groups under industry-sponsored or other independent brokering arrangements.

(3) Manufacturers who enroll a fuel or fuel additive into a group shall share the applicable costs according to appropriate arrangements established by the group. The organization and administration of group functions and the development of cost-sharing arrangements are the responsibility of the participating manufacturers. If manufacturers are unable to agree on fair and equitable cost sharing arrangements and if such dispute is referred by one or more manufacturers to EPA for resolution, then the provisions in § 79.56(c) (1) and (2) shall apply.

(c) In complying with the registration requirements for a given fuel or fuel additive, notwithstanding the enrollment of such fuel or additive in a group, a manufacturer may make use of available information for any product which conforms to the same grouping criteria as the given product. If, for this purpose, a manufacturer wishes to rely upon the information previously submitted by another manufacturer (or group of manufacturers) for registration of a similar product (or group of products), then the previous submitter is entitled to reimbursement by the manufacturer for an appropriate portion of the applicable costs incurred to obtain and report such information. Such entitlement shall remain in effect for a period of fifteen years following the date on which the original information was submitted. Pursuant to § 79.59(b)(4)(ii), the manufacturer who relies on previously-submitted registration data shall certify to EPA that the original submitter has been notified and that appropriate reimbursement arrangements have been made.

(1) When private efforts have failed to resolve a dispute about a fair amount or method of cost-sharing or reimbursement for testing costs incurred under this subpart, then any

party involved in that dispute may initiate a hearing by filing two signed copies of a request for a hearing with a regional office of the American Arbitration Association and mailing a copy of the request to EPA. A copy must also be sent to each person from whom the filing party seeks reimbursement or who seeks reimbursement from that party. The information and fees to be included in the request for hearing are specified in 40 CFR 791.20(b) and (c).

(2) Additional procedures and requirements governing the hearing process are those specified in 40 CFR 791.22 through 791.50, 791.60, 791.85, and 791.105, excluding 40 CFR 791.39(a)(3) and 791.48(d).

(d) *Basis for classification.* (1) Rather than segregating fuels and fuel additives into separate groups, the grouping system applies the same grouping criteria and creates a single set of groups applicable both to fuels and fuel additives.

(2) Fuels shall be classified pursuant to § 79.56(e) into categories and groups of similar fuels and fuel additives according to the components and characteristics of such fuels in their uncombusted state. The classification of a fuel product must take into account the components of all bulk fuel additives which are listed in the registration application or basic registration data submitted for the fuel product.

(3) Fuel additives shall be classified pursuant to § 79.56(e) into categories and groups of similar fuels and fuel additives according to the components and characteristics of the respective uncombusted additive/base fuel mixture pursuant to § 79.51(h)(1).

(4) In determining the category and group to which a fuel or fuel additive belongs, impurities present in trace amounts shall be ignored unless otherwise noted. Impurities are those substances which are present through contamination or which remain in the fuel or additive naturally after processing is completed.

(5) *Reference standards.* (i) American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) standard D 4814–93a, ''Standard Specification for Automotive Spark-Ignition Engine Fuel'', used to define the general characteristics of gasoline fuels (paragraph (e)(3)(i)(A)(3) of this section) and ASTM standard D 975–93, ''Standard Specification for Diesel Fuel Oils'', used to define the general characteristics of diesel fuels (paragraph (e)(3)(ii)(A)(3) of this section) have been incorporated by reference.

(ii) This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), 1916 Race Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103. Copies may be inspected at U.S. EPA, OAR, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460 or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to: *http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html.*

(e) *Grouping criteria.* The grouping system is represented by a matrix of three fuel/additive categories within six specified fuel families (see table F94–7, Grouping System for Fuels and Fuel Additives). Each category may include one or more groups. Within each group, a representative may be designated based on the criteria in this section and joint registration information may be developed and submitted for member fuels and fuel additives.

TABLE F94–7—GROUPING SYSTEM FOR FUELS AND FUEL ADDITIVES

Category	Conventional Fuel Families		Alternative Fuel Families			
	Gasoline (A)	Diesel (B)	Methanol (C)	Ethanol (D)	Methane (CNG, LNG) (E)	Propane (LPG) (F)
Baseline ..	One group represented by gasoline base fuel.	One group represented by diesel base fuel.	Two groups: (1) M100 group (includes methanol-gasoline formulations with at least 96% methanol) represented by M100 base fuel (2) M85 (includes methanol-gasoline formulations with 50–95% methanol) represented by M85 base fuel.	One group (includes ethanol-gasoline formulations with at least 50% ethanol) represented by E85 base fuel.	One group (includes both CNG and LNG), represented by CNG base fuel.	One group represented by LPG base fuel.
Non-baseline.	One group for each gasoline-oxygenate blend or each gasoline-methanol/co-solvent blend; one group for each synthetic crude-derived fuel.	One group for each oxygen-contributing compound or class of compounds; one group for each synthetic crude-derived fuel.	One group for each individual non-methanol, non-gasoline component and one group for each unique combination of such components.	One group for each individual non-ethanol, non-gasoline component and one group for each unique combination of such components.	One group to include methane formulations exceeding the specified limit for non-methane hydrocarbons.	One group to include propane formulations exceeding the specified limit for butane and higher hydrocarbons.
Atypical ...	One group for each atypical element/characteristic, or unique combination of atypical elements/characteristics.	One group for each atypical element/characteristic, or unique combination of atypical elements/characteristics.	One group for each atypical element/characteristic, or unique combination of atypical elements/characteristics.	One group for each atypical element/characteristic, or unique combination of atypical elements/characteristics.	One group for each atypical element/characteristic, or unique combination of atypical elements/characteristics.	One group for each atypical element/characteristic, or unique combination of atypical elements/characteristics.

(1) *Fuel families*. Each of the following six fuel families (Table F94–7, columns A-F) includes fuels of the type referenced in the name of the family as well as bulk and aftermarket additives which are intended for use in those fuels. When applied to fuel additives, the criteria in these descriptions refer to the associated additive/base fuel mixture, pursuant to § 79.51(h)(1). One or more base fuel formulations are specified for each fuel family pursuant to § 79.55.

(i) The Gasoline Family includes fuels composed of more than 50 percent gasoline by volume and their associated fuel additives. The base fuel for this family is specified in § 79.55(b).

(ii) The Diesel Family includes fuels composed of more than 50 percent diesel fuel by volume and their associated fuel additives. The Diesel fuel family includes both Diesel #1 and Diesel #2 formulations. The base fuel for this family is specified in § 79.55(c).

(iii) The Methanol Family includes fuels composed of at least 50 percent methanol by volume and their associated fuel additives. The M100 and M85 base fuels are specified in § 79.55(d).

(iv) The Ethanol Family includes fuels composed of at least 50 percent ethanol by volume and their associated fuel additives. The base fuel for this family is E85 as specified in § 79.55(e).

(v) The Methane Family includes compressed natural gas (CNG) and liquefied natural gas (LNG) fuels containing at least 50 mole percent methane and their associated fuel additives. The base fuel for the family is a CNG formulation specified in § 79.55(f).

(vi) The Propane Family includes propane fuels containing at least 50 percent propane by volume and their associated fuel additives. The base fuel for this family is a liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) as specified in § 79.55(g).

(vii) A manufacturer seeking registration for formulation(s) which do not fit the criteria for inclusion in any of the fuel families described in this section shall contact EPA at the address in § 79.59(a)(1) for further guidance in classifying and testing such formulation(s).

(2) *Fuel/additive categories.* Fuel/additive categories (Table F94–7, rows 1–3) are subdivisions of fuel families which represent the degree to which fuels and fuel additives in the family resemble the base fuel(s) designated for the family. Three general category types are defined in this section. When applied to fuel additives, the criteria in these descriptions refer to the associated additive/base fuel mixture, pursuant to § 79.51(h)(1).

(i) Baseline categories consist of fuels and fuel additives which contain no elements other than those permitted in the base fuel for the respective fuel family and conform to specified limitations on the amounts of certain components or characteristics applicable to that fuel family.

(ii) Non-Baseline Categories consist of fuels and fuel additives which contain no elements other than those permitted in the base fuel for the respective fuel family, but which exceed one or more of the limitations for certain specified components or characteristics applicable to baseline formulations in that fuel family.

(iii) Atypical Categories consist of fuels and fuel additives which contain elements or classes of compounds other than those permitted in the base fuel for the respective fuel family or which otherwise do not meet the criteria for either baseline or non-baseline formulations in that fuel family. A fuel or fuel additive product having both non-baseline and atypical characteristics pursuant to § 79.56(e)(3), shall be considered to be an atypical product.

(3) This section defines the specific categories applicable to each fuel family. When applied to fuel additives, the criteria in these descriptions refer to the associated additive/base fuel mixture, pursuant to § 79.51(h)(1).

(i) *Gasoline Categories.* (A) The Baseline Gasoline category contains gasoline fuels and associated additives which satisfy all of the following criteria:

(*1*) Contain no elements other than carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, and/or sulfur.

(*2*) Contain less than 1.5 percent oxygen by weight.

(*3*) Sulfur concentration is limited to 1000 ppm per the specifications cited in the following paragraph.

(*4*) Possess the physical and chemical characteristics of unleaded gasoline as specified by ASTM standard D 4814–93a (incorporated by reference, pursuant to paragraph (d)(5) of this section), in at least one Seasonal and Geographical Volatility Class.

(*5*) Derived only from conventional petroleum, heavy oil deposits, coal, tar sands, and/or oil sands.

(B) The Non-Baseline Gasoline category is comprised of gasoline fuels and associated additives which conform to the specifications in paragraph (e)(3)(i)(A) of this section for the Baseline Gasoline category except that they contain 1.5 percent or more oxygen by weight and/or may be derived from sources other than those listed in paragraph (e)(3)(i)(A)(*5*) of this section.

(C) The Atypical Gasoline category is comprised of gasoline fuels and associated additives which contain one or more elements other than carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur.

(ii) *Diesel Categories.* (A) The Baseline Diesel category is comprised of diesel fuels and associated additives which satisfy all of the following criteria:

(*1*) Contain no elements other than carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, and/or sulfur. Pursuant to 40 CFR 80.29, highway diesel sold after October 1, 1993 shall contain 0.05 percent or less sulfur by weight;

(*2*) Contain less than 1.0 percent oxygen by weight;

(*3*) Diesel formulations containing more than 0.05 percent sulfur by weight are precluded by 40 CFR 80.29;

(*4*) Possess the characteristics of diesel fuel as specified by ASTM standard D 975–93 (incorporated by reference,

pursuant to paragraph (d)(5) of this section); and

(*5*) Derived only from conventional petroleum, heavy oil deposits, coal, tar sands, and/or oil sands.

(B) The Non-Baseline Diesel category is comprised of diesel fuels and associated additives which conform to the specifications in paragraph (e)(3)(ii)(A) of this section for the Baseline Diesel category except that they contain 1.0 percent or more oxygen by weight and/or may be derived from sources other than those listed in paragraph (e)(3)(ii)(A)(*5*) of this section.

(C) The Atypical Diesel category is comprised of diesel fuels and associated additives which contain one or more elements other than carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur.

(iii) *Methanol categories.* (A) The Baseline Methanol category is comprised of methanol fuels and associated additives which contain at least 50 percent methanol by volume, no more than 4.0 percent by volume of substances other than methanol and gasoline, and no elements other than carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, sulfur, and/or chlorine. Baseline methanol shall contain no more than 0.004 percent by weight of sulfur or 0.0001 percent by weight of chlorine.

(B) The Non-Baseline Methanol category is comprised of fuel blends which contain at least 50 percent methanol by volume, more than 4.0 percent by volume of a substance(s) other than methanol and gasoline, and meet the baseline limitations on elemental composition in paragraph (e)(3)(iii)(A) of this section.

(C) The Atypical Methanol category consists of methanol fuels and associated additives which do not meet the criteria for either the Baseline or the Non-Baseline Methanol category.

(iv) *Ethanol categories.* (A) The Baseline Ethanol category is comprised of ethanol fuels and associated additives which contain at least 50 percent ethanol by volume, no more than five (5) percent by volume of substances other than ethanol and gasoline, and no elements other than carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, sulfur, chlorine, and copper. Baseline ethanol formulations shall contain no more than 0.004 percent by weight of sulfur, 0.0004 percent by weight of chlorine, and/or 0.07 mg/L of copper.

(B) The Non-Baseline Ethanol category is comprised of fuel blends which contain at least 50 percent ethanol by volume, more than five (5) percent by volume of a substance(s) other than ethanol and gasoline, and meet the baseline limitations on elemental composition in paragraph (e)(3)(iv)(A) of this section.

(C) The Atypical Ethanol category consists of ethanol fuels and associated additives which do not meet the criteria for either the Baseline or the Non-Baseline Ethanol categories.

(v) *Methane categories.* (A) The Baseline Methane category is comprised of methane fuels and associated additives (including at least an odorant additive) which contain no elements other than carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, and/or sulfur, and contain no more than 20 mole percent non-methane hydrocarbons. Baseline methane formulations shall not contain more than 16 ppm by volume of sulfur, including any sulfur which may be contributed by the odorant additive.

(B) The Non-Baseline Methane category consists of methane fuels and associated additives which conform to the specifications in paragraph (e)(3)(v)(A) of this section for the Baseline Methane category except that they exceed 20 mole percent non-methane hydrocarbons.

(C) The Atypical Methane category consists of methane fuels and associated additives which contain one or more elements other than carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, and/or sulfur, or exceed 16 ppm by volume of sulfur.

(vi) *Propane categories.* (A) The Baseline Propane category is comprised of propane fuels and associated additives (including at least an odorant additive) which contain no elements other than carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, and/or sulfur, and contain no more than 20 percent by volume non-propane hydrocarbons. Baseline Propane formulations shall not contain more than 123 ppm by weight of sulfur, including any sulfur which may be contributed by the odorant additive.

(B) The Non-Baseline Propane category consists of propane fuels and associated additives which conform to

the specifications in paragraph (e)(3)(vi)(A) of this section for the Baseline Propane category, except that they exceed the 20 percent by volume limit for butane and higher hydrocarbons.

(C) The Atypical Propane category consists of propane fuels and associated additives which contain elements other than carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, and/or sulfur, or exceed 123 ppm by weight of sulfur.

(4) *Fuel/additive groups.* Fuel/additive groups are subdivisions of the fuel/additive categories. One or more group(s) are defined within each category in each fuel family according to the presence of differing characteristics in the fuel or additive/base fuel mixture. For each group, one formulation (either a base fuel or a member fuel or additive product) is chosen to represent all the member products in the group in any tests required under this subpart. The section which follows describes the fuel/additive groups.

(i) *Baseline groups.* (A) The Baseline Gasoline category comprises a single group. The gasoline base fuel specified in § 79.55(b) shall serve as the representative of this group.

(B) The Baseline Diesel category comprises a single group. The diesel base fuel specified in § 79.55(c) shall serve as the representative of this group.

(C) The Baseline Methanol category includes two groups: M100 and M85. The M100 group consists of methanol-gasoline formulations containing at least 96 percent methanol by volume. These formulations must contain odorants and bitterants (limited in elemental composition to carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, sulfur, and chlorine) for prevention of purposeful or inadvertent consumption. The M100 base fuel specified in § 79.55(d) shall serve as the representative for this group. The M85 group consists of methanol-gasoline formulations containing at least 50 percent by volume but less than 96 percent by volume methanol. The M85 base fuel specified in § 79.55(d) shall serve as the representative of this group.

(D) The Baseline Ethanol category comprises a single group. The E85 base fuel specified in § 79.55(e) shall serve as the representative of this group.

(E) The Baseline Methane category comprises a single group. The CNG base fuel specified in § 79.55(f) shall serve as the representative of this group.

(F) The Baseline Propane category comprises a single group. The LPG base fuel specified in § 79.55(g) shall serve as the representative of this group.

(ii) *Non-baseline groups*—(A) *Non-Baseline Gasoline.* The Non-Baseline gasoline fuels and associated additives shall sort into groups according to the following criteria:

(*1*) For gasoline fuel and additive products which contain 1.5 percent oxygen by weight or more, a separate non-baseline gasoline group shall be defined by each oxygenate compound or methanol/co-solvent blend listed as a component in the registration application or basic registration data of any such fuel or additive.

(*i*) Examples of oxygenates occurring in non-baseline gasoline formulations include ethanol, methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE), ethyl tertiary butyl ether (ETBE), tertiary amyl methyl ether (TAME), diisopropyl ether (DIPE), dimethyl ether (DME), tertiary amyl ethyl ether (TAEE), and any other compound(s) which increase the oxygen content of the gasoline formulation. A separate non-baseline gasoline group is defined for each such oxygenating compound.

(*ii*) Each unique methanol and co-solvent combination (whether one, two, or more additional oxygenate compounds) used in a non-baseline fuel shall also define a separate group. An oxygenate compound used as a co-solvent for methanol in a non-baseline gasoline formulation must be identified as such in its registration. If the oxygenate is not identified as a methanol co-solvent, then the compound shall be regarded by EPA as defining a separate non-baseline gasoline group. Examples of methanol/co-solvent combinations occurring in non-baseline gasoline formulations include methanol/isopropyl alcohol, methanol/butanol, and methanol with alcohols up to C8/octanol (Octamix).

(*iii*) For each such group, the representative to be used in testing shall

be a formulation consisting of the gasoline base fuel blended with the relevant oxygenate compound (or methanol/co-solvent combination) in an amount equivalent to the highest actual or recommended concentration-in-use of the oxygenate (or methanol/co-solvent combination) recorded in the basic registration data of any member fuel or additive product. In the event that two or more products in the same group contain the same and highest amount of the oxygenate or methanol/co-solvent blend, then the representative shall be chosen at random for such candidate products.

(*2*) An oxygenate compound or methanol/co-solvent combination to be blended with the gasoline base fuel for testing purposes shall be chemical-grade quality, at a minimum, and shall not contain a significant amount of other contaminating oxygenate compounds.

(*3*) Separate non-baseline gasoline groups shall also be defined for gasoline formulations derived from each particular petroleum source not listed in paragraph (e)(3)(i)(A)(*5*) of this section.

(*i*) Such groups may include, but are not limited to, those derived from shale, used oil, waste plastics, and other recycled chemical/petrochemical products.

(*4*) Pursuant to § 79.51(i), non-baseline gasoline products may belong to more than one fuel/additive group.

(B) *Non-Baseline Diesel*. The Non-Baseline diesel fuels and associated additives shall sort into groups according to the following criteria:

(*1*) For diesel fuel and additive products which contain 1.0 percent or more oxygen by weight in the form of alcohol(s) and/or ether(s):

(*i*) A separate non-baseline diesel group shall be defined by each individual alcohol or ether listed as a component in the registration application or basic registration data of any such fuel or additive.

(*ii*) For each such group, the representative to be used in testing shall be a formulation consisting of the diesel base fuel blended with the relevant alcohol or ether in an amount equivalent to the highest actual or recommended concentration-in-use of the alcohol or ether recorded in the basic registration data of any member fuel or additive product.

(*2*) A separate non-baseline diesel group is also defined for each of the following classes of oxygenating compounds: mixed nitroso-compounds; mixed nitro-compounds; mixed alkyl nitrates; mixed alkyl nitrites; peroxides; furans; mixed alkyl esters of plant and/or animal origin (biodiesel). For each such group, the representative to be used in testing shall be formulated as follows:

(*i*) From the class of compounds which defines the group, a particular oxygenate compound shall be chosen from among all such compounds recorded in the registration application or basic registration data of any fuel or additive in the group.

(*ii*) The selected compound shall be the one recorded in any member product's registration application with the highest actual or recommended maximum concentration-in-use.

(*iii*) In the event that two or more oxygenate compounds in the relevant class have the highest recorded concentration-in-use, then the oxygenate compound to be used in the group representative shall be chosen at random from the qualifying candidate compounds.

(*iv*) The compound thus selected shall be the group representative, and shall be used in testing at the following concentration:

(*A*) For biodiesel groups, the representative shall be 100 percent biodiesel fuel.

(*B*) Otherwise, the group representative shall be the selected compound mixed into diesel base fuel at the maximum recommended concentration-in-use.

(*3*) Separate non-baseline diesel groups shall also be defined for diesel formulations derived from each particular petroleum source not listed in paragraph (e)(3)(i)(A)(*5*) of this section.

(*i*) Such groups may include, but are not limited to, those derived from shale, used oil, waste plastics, and other recycled chemical/petrochemical products.

(*ii*) In any such group, the first product to be registered or to apply for

EPA registration shall be the representative of that group. If two or more products are registered or apply for first registration simultaneously, then the representative shall be chosen by a random method from among such candidate products.

(*4*) Pursuant to § 79.51(i), non-baseline diesel products may belong to more than one fuel/additive group.

(C) *Non-baseline methanol.* The Non-Baseline methanol formulations are sorted into groups based on the non-methanol, non-gasoline component(s) of the blended fuel. Each such component occurring separately and each unique combination of such components shall define a separate group.

(*1*) The representative of each such non-baseline methanol group shall be the group member with the highest percent by volume of non-methanol, non-gasoline component(s).

(*2*) In case two or more such members have the same and highest concentration of non-methanol, non-gasoline component(s), the representative of the group shall be chosen at random from among such equivalent member products.

(D) *Non-Baseline Ethanol.* The Non-Baseline ethanol formulations are sorted into groups based on the non-ethanol, non-gasoline component(s) of the blended fuel. Each such component occurring separately and each unique combination of such components shall define a separate group.

(*1*) The representative of each such non-baseline ethanol group shall be the group member with the highest percent by volume of non-ethanol, non-gasoline component(s).

(*2*) In case two or more such members have the same and highest concentration of non-ethanol, non-gasoline component(s), the representative of the group shall be chosen at random from among such equivalent member products.

(E) *Non-Baseline Methane.* The Non-Baseline methane category consists of one group. The group representative shall be the member fuel or fuel/additive formulation containing the highest concentration-in-use of non-methane hydrocarbons. If two or more member products have the same and the highest concentration-in-use, then the representative shall be chosen at random from such products.

(F) *Non-baseline propane.* The Non-Baseline propane category consists of one group. The group representative shall be the member fuel or fuel/additive formulation containing the highest concentration-in-use of butane and higher hydrocarbons. If two or more products have the same and the highest concentration-in-use, then the representative shall be chosen at random from such products.

(iii) *Atypical groups.* (A) As defined for each individual fuel family in § 79.56(e)(3), fuels and additives meeting any one of the following criteria are considered atypical.

(*1*) Gasoline Atypical fuels and additives contain one or more elements in addition to carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur.

(*2*) Diesel Atypical fuels and additives contain one or more element in addition to carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur.

(*3*) Methanol Atypical fuels and additives contain:

(*i*) one or more element in addition to carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, sulfur, and chlorine, and/or

(*ii*) sulfur in excess of 0.004 percent by weight, and/or

(*iii*) chlorine in excess of 0.0001 percent by weight.

(*4*) Ethanol Atypical fuels and additives contain:

(*i*) one or more element in addition to carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, sulfur, chlorine, and copper, and/or

(*ii*) sulfur in excess of 0.004 percent by weight, and/or

(*iii*) contain chlorine (as chloride) in excess of 0.0004 percent by weight, and/or

(*iv*) contain copper in excess of 0.07 mg/L.

(*5*) Methane Atypical fuels and additives contain:

(*i*) one or more element in addition to carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur, and/or

(*ii*) sulfur in excess of 16 ppm by volume.

(*6*) Propane Atypical fuels and additives contain:

(*i*) one or more element in addition to carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur, and/or

(*ii*) sulfur in excess of 123 ppm by weight.

(B) General rules for sorting these atypical fuels and additives into separate groups are as follows:

(*1*) Pursuant to § 79.51(j), a given atypical product may belong to more than one atypical group.

(*2*) Fuels and additives in different fuel families may not be grouped together, even if they contain the same atypical element(s) or other atypical characteristic(s).

(*3*) A fuel or additive containing one or more atypical elements attached to a polymer compound must be sorted into a separate group from atypical fuels or fuel additives containing the same atypical element(s) in non-polymer form. However, the occurrence of a polymer compound which does not contain an atypical element does not affect the grouping of a fuel or additive.

(C) Specific rules for sorting each family's atypical fuels and additives into separate groups, and for choosing each such group's representative for testing, are as follows:

(*1*) A separate group is created for each atypical element (or other atypical characteristic) occurring separately, *i.e.*, in the absence of any other atypical element or characteristic, in one or more fuels and/or additives within a given fuel family.

(*i*) Consistent with the basic grouping guidelines provided in § 79.56(d), a fuel product which is classified as atypical because its basic registration data or application lists a bulk additive containing an atypical characteristic, may be grouped with that additive and/or with other fuels and additives containing the same atypical characteristic.

(*ii*) Within a group of products containing only one atypical element or characteristic, the fuel or additive/base fuel mixture with the highest concentration-in-use or recommended concentration-in-use of the atypical element or characteristic shall be the designated representative of that group. In the event that two or more fuels or additive/base fuel mixtures within the group contain the same and highest concentration of the single atypical element or characteristic, then the group representative shall be selected by a random method from among such candidate products.

(*2*) A separate group is also created for each unique combination of atypical elements (and/or other specified atypical characteristics) occurring together in one or more fuels and/or additives within a given fuel family.

(*i*) Consistent with the basic grouping guidelines provided in § 79.56(d), a fuel which is classified as atypical because its basic registration data lists one bulk additive containing two or more atypical characteristics, may be grouped with that additive and/or with other fuels and/or additives containing the same combination of atypical characteristics. Grouping of fuels containing more than one atypical additive shall be guided by provisions of § 79.51(j).

(*ii*) Within a group of such products containing a unique combination of two or more atypical elements or characteristics, the designated representative shall be the product within the group which contains the highest total concentration of the atypical elements or characteristics.

(*iii*) In the event that two or more products within a given atypical group contain the same and highest concentration of the same atypical elements or characteristics then, among such candidate products, the designated representative shall be the product which, first, has the highest total concentration of metals, followed in order by highest total concentration of halogens, highest total concentration of other atypical elements (including sulfur concentration, as applicable), highest total concentration of polymers containing atypical elements, and, lastly, highest total concentration of oxygen.

(*iv*) If two or more products have the same and highest concentration of the variable identified in the preceding paragraph, then, among such products, the one with the greatest concentration of the next highest variable on the list shall be the group representative.

(*v*) This decision-making process shall continue until a single product is determined to be the representative. If two or more products remain tied at

the end of this process, then the representative shall be chosen by a random method from among such remaining products.

[59 FR 33093, June 27, 1994, as amended at 62 FR 12571, Mar. 17, 1997]

§ 79.57 Emission generation.

This section specifies the equipment and procedures that must be used in generating the emissions which are to be subjected to the characterization procedures and/or the biological tests specified in §§ 79.52(b) and 79.53 of these regulations. When applicable, they may also be required in conjunction with testing under §§ 79.54 and 79.58(c). Additional requirements concerning emission generation, delivery, dilution, quality control, and safety practices are outlined in § 79.61.

(a) *Vehicle and engine selection criteria.* (1) All vehicles and engines used to generate emissions for testing a fuel or additive/fuel mixture must be new (i.e., never before titled) and placed into the program with less than 500 miles on the odometer or 12 hours on the engine chronometer. The vehicles and engines shall be unaltered from the specifications of the original equipment manufacturer.

(2) The vehicle/engine type, vehicle/engine class, and vehicle/engine subclass designated to generate emissions for a given fuel or additive shall be the same type, class, and subclass which, over the previous three years, has consumed the most gallons of fuel in the fuel family applicable to the given fuel or additive. No distinction shall be made between light-duty vehicles and light-duty trucks for purposes of this classification.

(3) Within this vehicle/engine type, class, and subclass, the specific vehicles and engines acceptable for emission generation are those that represent the most common fuel metering system and the most common of the most important emission control system devices or characteristics with respect to emission reduction performance for the model year in which testing begins. These vehicles will be determined through a survey of the previous model year's vehicle/engine sales within the given subclass. These characteristics shall include, but need not be limited to, aftertreatment device(s), fuel aspiration, air injection, exhaust gas recirculation, and feedback type.

(4) Within the applicable subclass, the five highest selling vehicle/engine models that contain the most common such equipment and characteristics shall be determined. Any of these five models of the current model year (at the time testing begins) may be selected for emission generation.

(i) If one or more of the five models is not available for the current model year, the choice of model for emission generation shall be limited to those remaining among the five.

(ii) If fewer than five models of the given vehicle/engine type are available for the current model year, all such models shall be eligible.

(5) When the fuel or fuel additive undergoing testing is not commonly used or intended to be used in the vehicle/engine types prescribed by this selection procedure, or when rebuilding or alteration is required to obtain a suitable vehicle/engine for emission generation, the manufacturer may submit a request to EPA for a modification in test procedure requirements. Any such request must include objective test results which support the claim that a more appropriate vehicle/engine type is needed as well as a suggested substitute vehicle/engine type. The vehicle/engine selection in this case shall be approved by EPA prior to the start of testing.

(6) Once a particular model has been chosen on which to test a fuel or additive product, all mileage accumulation and generation of emissions for characterization and biological testing of such product shall be conducted on that same model.

(i) If the initial test vehicle/engine fails or must be replaced for any reason, emission generation shall continue with a second vehicle/engine which is identical to, or resembles to the greatest extent possible, the initial test vehicle/engine. If more than one replacement vehicle/engine is necessary, all such vehicles/engines shall be identical, or resemble to the greatest extent possible, the initial test vehicle/engine.

(ii) Manufacturers are encouraged to obtain, at the start of a test program,

more than one emission generation vehicle/engine of the identical model, to ensure the availability of back-up emission generator(s). All backup vehicles/engines must be conditioned and must have their emissions fully characterized, as done for the initial test vehicle/engine, prior to their use as emission generators for biological testing. Alternating between such vehicles/engines regularly during the course of testing is permissible and advisable, particularly to allow regular maintenance on such vehicles/engines during prolonged health effects testing.

(b) *Vehicle/engine operation and maintenance.* (1) For the purpose of generating combustion emissions from a fuel or additive/base fuel mixture for which the relevant class is light duty, either a light-duty vehicle shall be operated on a chassis dynamometer or a light-duty engine shall be operated on an engine dynamometer. When the relevant class is heavy duty, the emissions shall be generated on a heavy-duty engine operated on an engine dynamometer. In both cases, the vehicle or engine model shall be selected as described in paragraph (a) of this section and shall have all applicable fuel and emission control systems intact.

(2) Except as provided in § 79.51(h)(2)(iii), the fuel or additive/base fuel mixture being tested shall be used at all times during operation of the test vehicle or engine. No other fuels or additives shall be used in the test vehicle or engine once mileage accumulation has begun until emission generation for emission characterization and biological testing purposes is completed.

(i) A vehicle or engine may be used to generate emissions for the testing of more than one fuel or additive, provided that all such fuels and additives belong to the same fuel family pursuant to § 79.56(e)(i), and that, once a vehicle or engine has been used to generate emissions for an atypical fuel or additive (pursuant to § 79.56(e)(2)(iii)), it shall not be used in the testing of any other fuel or additive. Paragraphs (a) (2) and (3) of this section shall apply only to the first fuel or additive tested.

(ii) Prior to being used to generate emissions for testing an additional fuel or additive, a vehicle or engine which has previously been used for testing a different fuel or additive shall undergo an effective intermediate preconditioning cycle to remove the previously used fuel and its emissions from the vehicle's fuel and exhaust systems and from the combustion emission and evaporative emission control systems, if any.

(iii) Such preconditioning shall include, at a minimum, the following steps:

(A) The canister (if any) shall be removed from the vehicle and purged with 300 °F nitrogen at 20 liters per minute until the incremental weight loss of the canister is less than 1 gram in 30 minutes. This typically takes 3–4 hours and removes 100 to 120 grams of adsorbed gasoline vapors.

(B) The fuel tank shall be drained and filled to capacity with the new test fuel or additive/fuel mixture.

(C) The vehicle or engine shall be operated until at least 95% of the fuel tank capacity is consumed.

(D) The purged canister shall be returned to the vehicle.

(E) The fuel tank shall be drained and filled to 40% capacity with test fuel.

(F) Two-hour fuel tank heat builds from 72–120 °F shall be performed repeatedly as necessary to achieve canister breakthrough. The fuel tank must be drained and filled prior to each heat build.

(3) Scheduled and unscheduled vehicle/engine maintenance. (i) During emission generation, vehicles and engines must be maintained in good condition by following the recommendations of the original equipment manufacturer (OEM) for scheduled service and parts replacement, with repairs performed only as necessary. Modifications, adjustments, and maintenance procedures contrary to procedures found in 40 CFR part 86 for the maintenance of test vehicles/engines or performed solely for the purpose of emissions improvement are not allowed.

(ii) If unscheduled maintenance becomes necessary, the vehicle or engine must be repaired to OEM specifications, using OEM or OEM-approved parts. In addition, the tester is required to measure the basic emissions

pursuant to § 79.52(b)(2)(i) after the unscheduled maintenance and before resuming testing to ensure that the post-maintenance emissions shall be within 20 percent of pre-maintenance emissions levels. If the basic emissions cannot be brought within 20 percent of their previous levels, then the manufacturer shall restart the emissions characterization and health testing of its products combustion emissions using a new vehicle/engine.

(c) *Mileage accumulation.* (1) A vehicle/engine break-in period is required prior to generating emissions for characterization and/or biological testing under this subpart. The required mileage accumulation may be accomplished on a test track, on the street, on a dynamometer, or using any other conventionally accepted method.

(2) Vehicles to be used in the evaluation of baseline and non-baseline fuels and fuel additives shall accumulate 4,000 miles prior to emission testing. Engines to be used in the evaluation of baseline and non-baseline fuels and fuel additives shall accumulate 125 hours of operation on an engine dynamometer prior to emission testing.

(3) When the test formulation is classified as an atypical fuel or fuel additive formulation (pursuant to definitions in § 79.56(e)(4)(iii)), the following additional mileage accumulation requirements apply:

(i) The test vehicle/engine must be operated for a minimum of 4,000 vehicle miles or 125 hours of engine operation.

(ii) Thereafter, at intervals determined by the tester, all emission fractions (*i.e.,* vapor, semi-volatile, and particulate) shall be sampled and analyzed for the presence and amount of the atypical element(s) and/or other atypical constituents. Pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section, the sampled emissions must be generated in the absence of an intact aftertreatment device. Immediately before the samples are taken, a brief warmup period (at least ten miles or the engine equivalent) is required.

(iii) Mileage accumulation shall continue until either 50 percent or more of the mass of each atypical element (or other atypical constituent) entering the engine can be measured in the exhaust emissions (all fractions combined), or the vehicle/engine has accumulated mileage (or hours) equivalent to 40 percent of the average useful life of the applicable vehicle/engine class (pursuant to regulations in 40 CFR part 86). For example, the maximum mileage required for light-duty vehicles is 40 percent of 100,000 miles (*i.e.,* 40,000 miles), while the maximum time of operation for heavy-duty engines is the equivalent of 40 percent of 290,000 miles (*i.e.,* the equivalent in engine hours of 116,000 miles).

(iv) When either condition in paragraph (c)(3)(iii) of this section has been reached, additional emission characterization and biological testing of the emissions may begin.

(d) *Use of exhaust aftertreatment devices.* (1) If the selected test vehicle/engine, as certified by EPA, does not come equipped with an emissions aftertreatment device (such as a catalyst or particulate trap), such device shall not be used in the context of this program.

(2) Except as provided in paragraph (d)(3) of this section for certain specialized additives, the following provisions apply when the test vehicle/engine, as certified by EPA, comes equipped with an emissions aftertreatment device.

(i) For mileage accumulation:

(A) When the test formulation does not contain any atypical elements (pursuant to definitions in § 79.56(e)(4)(iii)), an intact aftertreatment device must be used during mileage accumulation.

(B) When the test formulation does contain atypical elements, then the manufacturer may choose to accumulate the required mileage using a vehicle/engine equipped with either an intact aftertreatment device or with a non-functional aftertreatment device (e.g., a blank catalyst without its catalytic wash coat). In either case, sampling and analysis of emissions for measurement of the mass of the atypical element(s) (as described in § 79.57(c)(3)) must be done on emissions generated with a non-functional (blank) aftertreatment device.

(*1*) If the manufacturer chooses to accumulate mileage without a functional aftertreatment device, and if the manufacturer wishes to do this outside of a laboratory/test track setting, then a

memorandum of exemption for product testing must be obtained by applying to the Director of the Field Operations and Support Division (see § 79.59(a)(1)).

(*2*) [Reserved]

(ii) For Tier 1 (§ 79.52), the total set of requirements for the characterization of combustion emissions (§ 79.52(b)) must be completed two times, once using emissions generated with the aftertreatment device intact and a second time with the aftertreatment device rendered nonfunctional or replaced with a non-functional aftertreatment device as described in paragraph (d)(2)(i)(B) of this section.

(iii) For Tier 2 (§ 79.53), the standard requirements for biological testing of combustion emissions shall be conducted using emissions generated with a non-functioning aftertreatment device as described in paragraph (d)(2)(i)(B) of this section.

(iv) For alternative Tier 2 requirements (§ 79.58(c)) or Tier 3 requirements (§ 79.54) which may be prescribed by EPA, the use of functional or nonfunctional aftertreatment devices shall be specified by EPA as part of the test guidelines.

(v) In the case where an intact aftertreatment device is not in place, all other manufacturer-specified combustion characteristics (e.g., back pressure, residence time, and mixing characteristics) of the altered vehicle/engine shall be retained to the greatest extent possible.

(3) Notwithstanding paragraphs (d)(1) and (d)(2) of this section, when the subject of testing is a fuel additive specifically intended to enhance the effectiveness of exhaust aftertreatment devices, the related aftertreatment device may be used on the emission generation vehicle/engine during all mileage accumulation and testing.

(e) *Generation of combustion emissions*—(1) *Generating combustion emissions for emission characterization.* (i) Combustion emissions shall be generated according to the exhaust emission portion of the Federal Test Procedure (FTP) for the certification of new motor vehicles, found in 40 CFR part 86, subpart B for light-duty vehicles/engines, and subparts D, M and N for heavy-duty vehicles/engines. The Urban Dynamometer Driving Schedule (UDDS), pursuant to 40 CFR part 86, appendix I(a), shall apply to light-duty vehicles/engines and the Engine Dynamometer Driving Schedule (EDS), pursuant to 40 CFR part 86, appendix I(f)(2), shall apply to heavy-duty vehicles/engines. The motoring portion of the heavy-duty test cycle may be eliminated, at the manufacturer's option, for the generation of emissions.

(A) For light-duty engines operated on an engine dynamometer, the tester shall determine the speed-torque equivalencies (''trace'') for its test engine from valid FTP testing performed on a chassis dynamometer, using a test vehicle with an engine identical to that being tested. The test engine must then be operated under these speed and torque specifications to simulate the FTP cycle.

(B) Special procedures not included in the FTP may be necessary in order to characterize emissions from fuels and fuel additives containing atypical elements or to collect some types of emissions (e.g., particulate emissions from light-duty vehicles/engines, semi-volatile emissions from both light-duty and heavy-duty vehicles/engines). Such alterations to the FTP are acceptable.

(C) For Tier 2 testing, the engines shall operate on repeated bags 2 and 3 of the UDDS or back to back repeats of the heavy-duty transient cycle of the EDS.

(ii) Pursuant to § 79.52(b)(1)(i) and § 79.57(d)(2)(ii), emission generation and characterization must be repeated three times when the selected vehicle/engine is normally operated without an emissions aftertreatment device and six times when the selected vehicle/engine is normally operated with an emissions aftertreatment device. In the latter case, the emission generation and characterization process shall be repeated three times with the intact aftertreatment device in place and three times with a non-functioning (blank) aftertreatment device in place.

(iii) From both light-duty and heavy-duty vehicles/engines, samples of vapor phase, semi-volatile phase, and particulate phase emissions shall be collected, except that semi-volatile phase, and particulate emissions need not be sampled for fuels and additives in the

methane and propane families (pursuant to § 79.56(e)(1)(v) and (vi)). The number and type of samples to be collected and separately analyzed during one emission generation/characterization process are as follows:

(A) In the case of combustion emissions generated from light-duty vehicles/engines, the samples consist of three bags of vapor emissions (one from each segment of the light-duty exhaust emission cycle) plus one sample of particulate-phase emissions and one sample of semi-volatile-phase emissions (collected over all segments of the exhaust emission cycle). If the mass of particulate emissions or semi-volatile emissions obtained during one driving cycle is not sufficient for characterization, up to three driving cycles may be performed and the extracted fractions combined prior to chemical analysis. Particulate-phase emissions shall not be combined with semi-volatile-phase emissions. The test laboratory should focus on the characterization of the limit of detection for particulates and semi-volatile emissions.

(B) In the case of combustion emissions generated from heavy-duty engines, the samples consist of one sample of each emission phase (vapor, particulate, and semi-volatile) collected over the entire cold-start cycle and a second sample of each such phase collected over the entire hot-start cycle (see 40 CFR 86.334 through 86.342).

(iv) *Emission collection and storage.* (A) Vapor phase emissions shall be collected and stored in Tedlar bags for subsequent chemical analysis. Storage conditions are specified in § 79.52(b)(2).

(B) Particulate phase emissions shall be collected on a particulate filter (or more than one, if required) using methods described in 40 CFR 86.1301 through 86.1344. These methods, ordinarily applied only to heavy-duty emissions, are to be adapted and used for collection of particulates from light-duty vehicles/engines, as well. The particulate matter may be stored on the filter in a sealed container, or the soluble organic fraction may be extracted and stored in a separate sealed container. Both the particulate and the extract shall be shielded from ultraviolet light and stored at −20 °C or less. Particulate emissions shall be tested no later than six months from the date they were generated.

(C) Semi-volatile emissions shall be collected immediately downstream from the particulate collection filters using porous polymer resin beds, or their equivalent, designed for their capture. The soluble organic fraction of semi-volatile emissions shall be extracted immediately and tested within six months of being generated. The extract shall be stored in a sealed container which is shielded from ultraviolet light and stored at −20 °C or less.

(D) Particulate and semi-volatile phase emission collection, handling and extraction methods shall not alter the composition of the collected material, to the extent possible.

(v) Additional requirements for combustion emission sampling, storage, and characterization are specified in § 79.52(b).

(2) *Generating whole combustion emissions for biological testing.* (i) Biological tests requiring whole combustion emissions shall be conducted using emissions generated from the test vehicle or engine operated in accordance with general FTP requirements.

(ii) Light-duty test vehicles/engines shall be repeatedly operated over the Urban Dynamometer Driving Schedule (UDDS) (or equivalent engine dynamometer trace, per paragraph (e)(1)(i)(A) of this section) and heavy-duty test engines shall be repeatedly operated over the Engine Dynamometer Schedule (EDS) (see 40 CFR part 86, appendix I).

(A) The tolerances of the driving cycle shall be two times those of the Federal Test Procedure and must be met 95 percent of the time.

(B) The UDDS or EDS shall be repeated as many times as required for the biological test session.

(C) Light-duty dynamometers shall be calibrated prior to the start of a biological test (40 CFR 86.118–78), verified weekly (40 CFR 86.118–78), and recalibrated as required. Heavy-duty dynamometers shall be calibrated and checked prior to the start of a biological test (40 CFR 86.1318–84), recalibrated every two weeks (40 CFR 86.1318–84(a)) and checked as stated in 40 CFR 86.1318–84(b) and (c).

(D) The fuel reservoir for the test vehicle/engine shall be large enough to operate the test vehicle/engine throughout the daily biological exposure period, avoiding the need for refueling during testing.

(iii) An apparatus to integrate the large concentration swings typical of transient-cycle exhaust is to be used between the source of emissions and the exposure chamber containing the animal test cages(s). The purpose of such apparatus is to decrease the variability of the biological exposure atmosphere and achieve the necessary concentration of CO or NO_X, whichever is limiting.

(A) A large mixing chamber is suggested for this purpose. The mixing chamber would be charged from the CVS at a constant rate determined by the exposure chamber purge rate. Flow to the exposure chamber would begin at the conclusion of the initial transient cycle with the associated mixing chamber charge.

(B) A potential alternative apparatus is a mini-diluter (see, for example, AIGER/CRADA, February, 1994 in § 79.57(g)).

(C) [Reserved]

(iv) *Emission dilution.* (A) Dilution air can be pre-dried to lower the relative humidity, thus permitting a lower dilution rate and a higher concentration of hydrocarbons to be achieved without condensation of water vapor.

(B) These procedures include requirements that the mean exposure concentration in the inhalation test chamber on 90 percent or more of the exposure days shall be controlled as follows:

(*1*) If the species being controlled is hydrocarbon or particulate, the mean exposure concentration must be within 15 percent of the target concentration for the single species being controlled.

(*2*) For other species, the mean exposure concentration must be within 10 percent of the target concentration for the single species being controlled.

(*3*) For all species, daily monitoring of CO, CO_2, NO_X, SO_X, and total hydrocarbons in the exposure chamber shall be required. Analysis of the particle size distribution shall also be performed to establish the stability and consistency of particle size distribution in the test exposure.

(C) After the initial exhaust dilution to preserve the character of the exhaust, the exhaust stream can be further diluted in the mixing chamber (and/or after leaving the chamber) to achieve the desired biological exposure concentrations.

(v) *Verification procedures.* (A) The entire system used to dilute and transport whole combustion emissions (*i.e.*, from exhaust pipe to outlet in the biological testing chamber) shall be verified before any animal exposures begin, and verified at least weekly during testing. (See procedures at 40 CFR 86.119–90 for light-duty vehicles and § 86.1319–90 for heavy-duty engines.) Verification testing shall be accomplished by introducing a known sample at the end of the vehicle/engine exhaust pipe into the dilution system and measuring the amount exiting the system. For example, an injected hydrocarbon sample could be detected with a gas chromatograph (GC) and flame ionization detector (FID) to determine the recovery factor.

(B) [Reserved]

(vi) *Emission exposure quality control.* (A) The tester shall incorporate the additional quality assurance and safety procedures outlined in § 79.61(d) to control variability of emissions during the generation of exposure emissions during health effect testing.

(B) These procedures include requirements that the mean exposure concentration in the inhalation test chamber on 90 percent or more of the exposure days shall be controlled as follows:

(*1*) If the species being controlled is hydrocarbon or particulate, the mean exposure concentration must be within 15 percent of the target concentration for the single species being controlled.

(*2*) For other species, the mean exposure concentration must be within 10 percent of the target concentration for the single species being controlled.

(*3*) For all species, daily monitoring of CO, CO_2, NO_X, SO_X, and total hydrocarbons in the exposure chamber shall be required. Analysis of the particle size distribution shall also be performed to establish the stability and consistency of particle size distribution in the test exposure.

(C) The testing facility shall allow an audit of its premises, the qualifications, e.g., curriculum vitae, of its staff assigned to testing, and the specimens and records of the testing for registration purposes (as specified in § 79.60).

(vii) To allow for customary laboratory scheduling and unforeseen problems affecting the combustion emission generation or dilution equipment, biological exposures may be interrupted on limited occasions, as specified in § 79.61(d)(5). Interruptions exceeding these limitations shall cause the affected test(s) to be void. Testers shall be aware of concerns for backup vehicles/engines cited in paragraph (a)(7)(ii) of this section.

(3) *Generating particulate and semi-volatile emissions for biological testing.* (i) Salmonella mutagenicity testing, pursuant to § 79.68, shall be conducted on extracts of the particulate and semi-volatile emission phases separately. These emissions shall be generated by operating the test vehicle/engine over the appropriate FTP driving schedule (see paragraph (e)(2)(ii) of this section) and collected and analyzed according to methods described in 40 CFR 86.1301 through 1344 (further information on this subject may be found in Perez, *et al.* CRC Report No. 551, 1987 listed in § 79.57(g)).

(A) Particulate emissions shall be collected on particulate filters and extracted from the collection equipment for use in biological tests. The number of repetitions of the applicable driving schedule required to collect sufficient quantities of the particulate emissions will vary, depending on the characteristics of the engine, the test fuel, and the requirements of the biological test protocol. The particulate sample may be collected on one or more filters, as necessary.

(B) Semi-volatile emissions shall be collected immediately downstream from the particulate collection filters using porous polymer resin beds, or their equivalent, designed for their capture. Semi-volatile phase emissions shall be collected on one apparatus. The time spent collecting sufficient quantities of the test substances in emissions samples will vary, depending on the emission characteristics of the engine and fuel or additive/base fuel mixture and on the requirements of the biological test protocol.

(ii) The extraction method shall be determined by the specifications of the biological test for which the emissions are used.

(iii) Particulate and semi-volatile emission storage requirements are as specified in § 79.57(e)(1)(iv).

(iv) Particulate and semi-volatile phase emission collection, handling and extraction methods shall not alter the composition of the collected material, to the extent possible.

(v) Particulate emissions shall not be combined with semi-volatile phase emissions.

(f) *Generation of evaporative emissions for characterization and biological testing.* (1) Except as provided in paragraph (f)(5) of this section, an evaporative emissions generator shall be used to volatilize samples of a fuel or additive/base fuel mixture for evaporative emissions characterization and biological testing. Emissions shall be collected and sampled using equipment and methods appropriate for use with the compounds being characterized and the requirements of the emission characterization analysis. In the case of potentially explosive test substance concentrations, care must be taken to avoid generating explosive atmospheres. The tester is referred to § 79.61(d)(8) for considerations involving explosivity.

(2) *Evaporative Emissions Generator (EEG) Description.* An EEG is a fuel tank or vessel to which heat is applied causing a portion of the fuel to evaporate at a desired rate. The manufacturer has flexibility in designing an EEG for testing a particular fuel or fuel additive. The sample used to generate emissions in the EEG shall be renewed at least daily.

(i) The evaporation chamber shall be made from materials compatible with the fuels and additives being tested and shall be equipped with a drain.

(ii) The chamber shall be filled to 40 ±5 percent of its interior volume with the fuel or additive/base fuel mixture being tested, with the remainder of the volume containing air.

(iii) The concentration of the evaporated fuel or additive/base fuel mixture in the vapor space of the evaporation

chamber during the time emissions are being withdrawn for testing shall not vary by more than 10 percent from the equilibrium concentration in the vapor space of emissions generated from the fresh fuel or additive/base fuel mixture in the chamber.

(A) During the course of a day's emission generation period, the level of fuel in the EEG shall be maintained to within 7 percent of its height at the start of the daily exposure period.

(B) The fuel used in the EEG shall be drained at the end of each daily exposure. The EEG shall be refilled with a fresh supply of the test formulation before the start of each daily exposure.

(C) The vapor space of the evaporation chamber shall be well mixed throughout the time emissions are being withdrawn for testing.

(iv) The size of the evaporation chamber shall be determined by the rate at which evaporative emissions shall be needed in the test animal exposure chambers and the rate at which the fuel or the additive/base fuel mixture evaporates. The rate of evaporative emissions may be adjusted by altering the size of the EEG or by using one or more additional EEG(s). Emission rate modifications shall not be adjusted by temperature control or pressure control.

(v) The temperature of the fuel or additive/base fuel mixture in the evaporation chamber shall be 130 °F ±5 °F. The vapors shall maintain this temperature up to the point in the system where the vapors are diluted.

(vi) The pressure in the vapor space of the evaporation chamber and the dilution and sampling apparatus shall stay within 10 percent of ambient atmospheric pressure.

(vii) There shall be no controls or equipment on the evaporation chamber system that change the concentration or composition of the vapors generated for testing.

(viii) Manufacturers shall perform verification testing of evaporative emissions in a manner analogous to the verification testing performed for combustion emissions.

(3) For biological testing, vapor shall be withdrawn from the EEG at a constant rate, diluted with air as required for the particular study, and conducted immediately to the biological testing chamber(s) in a manner similar to the method used in § 79.57(e), excluding the mixing chamber therein. The rate of emission generation shall be high enough to supply the biological exposure chamber with sufficient emissions to allow for a minimum of fifteen air changes per exposure chamber per hour. To allow for customary laboratory scheduling and for unforeseen problems with the evaporative emission generation or dilution equipment, biological exposures may be interrupted on limited occasions, as specified in § 79.61(d)(5). Interruptions exceeding these limitations shall cause the affected test(s) to be void.

(4) For characterization of evaporative emissions, samples of equilibrated emissions to the vapor space of the EEG shall be withdrawn into Tedlar bags, then stored and analyzed as specified in § 79.52(b).

(5) A manufacturer (or group of manufacturers) may submit to EPA a request for approval of an alternative method of generating evaporative emissions for use in emission characterization and biological tests required under this subpart.

(i) To be approved by EPA, the request must fully explain the rationale for the proposed method as well as the technical procedures, quality control, and safety precautions to be used, and must demonstrate that the proposed method will meet the following criteria:

(A) The emission mixture generated by the proposed procedures must be reasonably similar to the equilibrium composition of the vapor which occurs in the vehicle fuel tank head space when the subject fuel or additive/base fuel mixture is in use and near-maximum in-use temperatures are encountered.

(B) The emissions mixture generated by the proposed method must be sufficiently concentrated to provide adequate exposure levels in the context of the required toxicologic tests.

(C) The proposed method must include procedures to ensure that the emissions delivered to the biologic exposure chambers will provide a reasonably constant exposure atmosphere over time.

(ii) If EPA approves the request, EPA will place in the public record a copy of the request, together with all supporting procedural descriptions and justifications, and will notify the public of its availability by publishing a notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(g) *References.* For additional background information on the emission generation procedures outlined in this paragraph (g), the following references may be consulted. Additional references can be found in § 79.61(f).

(1) AIGER/CRADA (American Industry/Government Emissions Research Cooperative Research and Development Agreement, ''Specifications for Advanced Emissions Test Instrumentation'' AIGER PD–94–1, Revision 5.0, February, 1994

(2) Black, F. and R. Snow, ''Constant Volume Sampling System Water Condensation'' SAE #940970 in ''Testing and Instrumentation'' SP–1039, Society of Automotive Engineers, Feb. 28–Mar. 3, 1994.

(3) Perez, J.M., Jass, R.E., Leddy, D.G., eds. ''Chemical Methods for the Measurement of Unregulated Diesel Emissions (CRC-APRAC Project No. CAPI–1–64), Coordinating Research Council, CRC Report No. 551, August, 1987.

(4) Phalen, R.F., ''Inhalation Studies: Foundations and Techniques'', CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida, 1984.

[59 FR 33093, June 27, 1994, as amended at 61 FR 36511, July 11, 1996; 63 FR 63792, Nov. 17, 1998]

§ 79.58 Special provisions.

(a) *Relabeled Additives.* Sellers of relabeled additives (pursuant to § 79.50) are not required to comply with the provisions of § 79.52, 79.53 or 79.59, except that such sellers are required to comply with § 79.59(b).

(b) *Low Vapor Pressure Fuels and Additives.* Fuels which are not designated as ''evaporative fuels'' and fuel additives which are not designated as ''evaporative fuel additives'' pursuant to the definitions in § 79.50 need not undergo the emission characterization or health effects testing specified in §§ 79.52 and 79.53 for evaporative emissions. At EPA's discretion, the evaporative emissions of such fuels and additives may be required to undergo Tier 3 testing, pursuant to § 79.54.

(c) *Alternative Tier 2 Provisions.* At EPA's discretion, EPA may modify the standard Tier 2 health effects testing requirements for a fuel or fuel additive (or group). Such modification may encompass substitution, addition, or deletion of Tier 2 studies or study specifications, and/or changes in underlying engine or equipment requirements, except that a Tier 2 endpoint will not be deleted in the absence of existing information deemed adequate by EPA or alternative testing requirements for such endpoint. If warranted by the particular requirements, EPA will allow additional time for completion of the alternative Tier 2 testing program.

(1) When EPA intends to require testing in lieu of or in addition to standard Tier 2 health testing, EPA will notify the responsible manufacturer (or group) by certified letter of the specific tests which EPA is proposing to require in lieu of or in addition to Tier 2, and the proposed schedule for completion and submission of such tests. A copy of the letter will be placed in the public record. EPA intends to send the notification prior to November 27, 1995, or in the case of new fuels and additives (as defined in § 79.51(c)(3)), within 18 months of EPA's receipt of an intent to register such product. However, EPA's notification to the manufacturer (or group) may occur at any time up to EPA's receipt of Tier 2 data for the product(s) in question. EPA will provide the manufacturer with 60 days from the date of receipt of the notice to comment on the tests which EPA is proposing to require and on the proposed schedule. If the manufacturer believes that undue costs or hardships will occur as a result of EPA's delay in providing notification of alternative Tier 2 requirements, then the manufacturer's comments should describe and include evidence of such hardship. In particular, if the standard Tier 2 toxicology testing for the fuel or additive in question has already begun at the time the manufacturer receives EPA's notification of proposed alternative Tier 2 requirements, then EPA shall refrain from requiring alternative Tier 2 tests provided that EPA receives the

standard Tier 2 data and report (pursuant to § 79.59(c)) within one year of the date on which the toxicology testing began.

(2) EPA will issue a notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER announcing its intent to require special testing in lieu of or in addition to the standard Tier 2 testing for a particular fuel or additive manufacturer or group, and that a copy of the letter to the manufacturer or group describing the proposed alternative Tier 2 testing for that manufacturer or group is available in the public record for review and comment. The public shall have a minimum of 30 days after the publication of this notice to comment on the proposed alternative Tier 2 testing.

(3) EPA will include in the public record a copy of any timely comments concerning the proposed alternative Tier 2 testing requirements received from the affected manufacturer or group or from the public, and the responses of EPA to such comments. After reviewing all such comments received, EPA may adopt final alternative Tier 2 requirements by sending a certified letter describing such final requirements to the manufacturer or group. In that event, EPA will also issue a notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER announcing that it has adopted final alternative Tier 2 requirements and that a copy of the letter adopting the requirements has been included in the public record.

(4) After EPA's receipt of a manufacturer's (or group's) submittals, EPA will notify the responsible manufacturer (or group) regarding the adequacy of the submittal and potential Tier 3 testing requirements according to the same relative time intervals and by the same procedures as specified in § 79.51 (c) and (d) for routine Tier 1 and Tier 2 submittals.

(d) *Small Business Provisions.* (1) For purposes of these provisions, when subsidiary, divisional, or other complex business arrangements exist, *manufacturer* is defined as the business entity with ultimate ownership of all related parents, subsidiaries, divisions, branches, or other operating units. *Total annual sales* means the average of the manufacturer's total sales revenue, excluding any revenue which represents the collection of Federal, State, or local excise taxes or sales taxes, in each of the three years prior to such manufacturer's submittal to EPA of the basic registration information pursuant to § 79.59(b)(2) through (b)(5).

(2) *Provisions Applicable to Baseline and Non-baseline Products.* A manufacturer with total annual sales less than $50 million is not required to meet the requirements of Tier 1 and Tier 2 (specified in §§ 79.52 and 79.53) with regard to such manufacturer's fuel and/or additive products which meet the criteria for inclusion in a Baseline or Non-baseline group pursuant to § 79.56. Upon such manufacturer's satisfactory completion and submittal to EPA of basic registration data specified in § 79.59(b), the manufacturer may request and EPA shall issue a registration for such product, subject to § 79.51(c) and paragraphs (d)(4) and (d)(5) of this section.

(3) *Provisions Applicable to Atypical Products.* A manufacturer with total annual sales less than $10 million is not required to meet the requirements of Tier 2 (specified in § 79.53) in regard to such manufacturer's fuel and/or additive products which meet the criteria for inclusion in an Atypical group pursuant to § 79.56. Upon such manufacturer's satisfactory completion and submittal to EPA of basic registration data specified in § 79.59(b) and Tier 1 information specified in § 79.52 for an Atypical fuel or additive, the manufacturer may request and EPA shall issue a registration for such product, subject to § 79.51(c) and paragraphs (d)(4) and (d)(5) of this section. Compliance with Tier 1 requirements under this paragraph may be accomplished by the individual manufacturer or as a part of a group pursuant to § 79.56.

(4) Any registration granted by EPA under the provisions of this section are conditional upon satisfactory completion of any Tier 3 requirements which EPA may subsequently impose pursuant to § 79.54. In such circumstances, the Tier 3 requirements might include (but would not necessarily be limited to) information which would otherwise have been required under the provisions of Tier 1 and/or Tier 2.

(5) The provisions in paragraphs (d)(2) and (d)(3) of this section are voluntary

on the part of qualifying small manufacturers. Such manufacturers may choose to fulfill the standard requirements for their fuels and additives, individually or as a part of a group, rather than satisfying only the requirements specified in paragraphs (d)(2) and/or (d)(3) of this section. If a qualifying small manufacturer elects these special provisions rather than the standard requirements for a product, then EPA will generally assume that any additional information submitted by other manufacturers, for fuels and additives meeting the same grouping criteria (under § 79.56) as that of the small manufacturer's product, is pertinent to further testing and/or regulatory decisions that may affect the small manufacturer's product.

(6) In the case of an additive for which the manufacturer is not required to meet the requirements of Tier 2 pursuant to paragraph (d)(3) of this section:

(i) A fuel manufacturer which blends such an additive into fuel shall not be required to meet the requirements of Tier 2 with respect to such additive/fuel mixture.

(ii) An additive manufacturer which blends such an additive with one or more other registered additive products and/or with substances containing only carbon and/or hydrogen shall not be required to meet the requirements of Tier 2 with respect to such additive or additive blend.

(e) *Aftermarket Aerosol Additives.* (1) To obtain registration for an aftermarket aerosol fuel additive, the manufacturer shall provide existing information in the form of a literature search, a discussion of the potential exposure(s) to such product, and the basic registration data specified in § 79.59(b).

(2) The literature search shall include existing data on potential health and welfare effects due to exposure to the aerosol product itself and its raw (uncombusted) components. The analysis for potential exposures shall be based on the actual or anticipated production volume and market distribution of the particular aerosol product, and its estimated frequency of use. Other Tier 1 and Tier 2 requirements are not routinely required for aerosol products. EPA will review the submitted information and, at EPA's discretion, may require from the manufacturer further information and/or testing under Tier 3 on a case-by-case basis.

[59 FR 33093, June 27, 1994, as amended at 62 FR 12571, Mar. 17, 1997]

§ 79.59 Reporting requirements.

(a) *Timing.* (1) The manufacturer of each designated fuel or fuel additive shall submit to EPA the basic registration data detailed in paragraph (b) of this section. Forms for submitting this data may be obtained from EPA at the following address: Director, Field Operations and Support Division, 6406J—Fuel/Additives Registration, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460.

(i) For existing products (pursuant to § 79.51(c)(1)), manufacturers shall submit the basic registration data as specified in § 79.59(b) to EPA by November 28, 1994.

(ii) For registrable products (pursuant to § 79.51(c)(2)), manufacturers shall submit the basic registration data as specified in § 79.59(b) to apply for registration for such product.

(iii) For new products (pursuant to § 79.51(c)(3)), manufacturers are strongly encouraged to notify EPA of an intent to obtain product registration by submitting the basic registration data as specified in § 79.59(b) prior to starting Tiers 1 and 2.

(2) The information specified in paragraph (c) of this section shall be submitted to the address in paragraph (a)(1) of this section at the conclusion of activities performed in compliance with Tiers 1 and 2 under the provisions of §§ 79.52 and 79.53, according to the time constraints specified in § 79.51 (c) through (d).

(3) The information specified in paragraph (d) of this section shall be submitted to EPA at the address in paragraph (a)(1) of this section at the conclusion of activities performed in compliance with Tier 3 under the provisions of § 79.54.

(b) *Basic Registration Data.* Each manufacturer of a designated fuel or fuel additive shall submit the following data in regard to such fuel or fuel additive:

(1) The information specified in § 79.11 or § 79.21. If such information has already been submitted to EPA in compliance with subpart B or C of this part, and if such previous information is accurate and up-to-date, the manufacturer need not resubmit this information.

(2) Annual production volume of the fuel or fuel additive product, in units of gallons per year if most commonly sold in liquid form or kilograms per year if most commonly sold in solid form. For fuels and fuel additives already in production, the most recent annual production volume and the volume projected to be produced in the third subsequent year shall be provided. For products not yet in production, the best estimate of expected annual volume during the third year of production shall be provided.

(3) *Market distribution of the product.* For fuels and bulk additives, this information shall be presented as the percent of total annual sales volume marketed in each Petroleum Administration for Defense District (PADD). The States comprising each PADD are listed in the following section. For aftermarket additives, the distribution data shall be presented as the percent of total annual sales volume marketed in each State. For a product not yet in production, the manufacturer shall present the distribution (by PADD or State, as applicable) projected to occur during the third year of production.

(i) The following States and jurisdictions are included in PADD I:

Connecticut	New Jersey
Delaware	New York
District of Columbia	North Carolina
Florida	Pennsylvania
Georgia	Rhode Island
Maine	South Carolina
Maryland	Vermont
Massachusetts	Virginia
New Hampshire	West Virginia

(ii) The following States are included in PADD II:

Illinois	Nebraska
Indiana	North Dakota
Iowa	Ohio
Kansas	Oklahoma
Kentucky	South Dakota
Michigan	Tennessee
Minnesota	Wisconsin
Missouri	

(iii) The following States are included in PADD III:

Alabama	Mississippi
Arkansas	New Mexico
Louisiana	Texas

(iv) The following States are included in PADD IV:

Colorado	Utah
Idaho	Wyoming
Montana	

(v) The following States are included in PADD V:

Alaska	Nevada
Arizona	Oregon
California	Washington
Hawaii	

(4) Any applicable information pursuant to the grouping provisions in § 79.56, as follows:

(i) If the manufacturer has enrolled or intends to enroll the product in a fuel/additive group, the relevant group and the person(s) or entity expected to submit information on behalf of the group must be identified.

(ii) If the manufacturer intends to rely on registration information previously submitted by another manufacturer (or group) for registration of other product(s) in the same fuel/additive group, then the original submitter and its product (or product group) shall be identified. In such cases, the manufacturer shall provide evidence that the original submitter has been notified of the use of its registration data and that the manufacturer has complied or intends to comply with the proportional reimbursement required under § 79.56(c) of this rule.

(5) Any applicable information pursuant to the special provisions in § 79.58, as follows:

(i) If the manufacturer claims applicability of the special provisions for relabeled additives, pursuant to § 79.58(a), then the manufacturer and brand name of the original product shall be given.

(ii) If the manufacturer claims applicability of any small business provisions pursuant to § 79.58(d), the average of the manufacturer's total annual sales revenue for the previous three years shall be given.

(iii) If the manufacturer claims applicability of the special provisions for aerosol products, pursuant to § 79.58(e),

then the purpose and recommended frequency of use shall be given.

(c) *Tier 1 and Tier 2 Reports*. If the results of Tiers 1 and 2 are reported to EPA at the same time, then the report shall include the following documents in paragraphs (c)(1) through (7) of this section. If Tier 1 and Tier 2 results are submitted to EPA separately, then the separate Tier 1 report shall include only documents in paragraphs (c) (1) through (4), (c)(6), and associated appendices in paragraphs (c)(7) of this section, and the separate Tier 2 report shall include only documents in paragraphs (c)(1) through (3), (c)(5), (c)(6), and associated appendices in paragrpah (c)(7) of this section. In addition, manufacturers complying with Tier 2 requirements according to one of the time schedules specified in § 79.51(c)(1)(ii)(B), § 79.51(c)(1)(vi)(B)(*2*), or § 79.51(c)(1)(vii)(B)(*2*) must submit evidence of a suitable arrangement for completion of Tier 2 (e.g., a copy of a signed contract with a qualified laboratory for applicable Tier 2 services) by the date specified in the applicable time schedule.

(1) *Cover page*. (i) Identification of test substance,

(ii) Name and address of the manufacturer of the test substance,

(iii) Name and phone number of a designated contact person,

(iv) Group information, if applicable, including:

(A) Group name or grouping criteria,

(B) Name and address of responsible organization or entity reporting for the group,

(C) Product trade name and manufacturer of each member fuel and additive to which the report pertains.

(2) *Executive Summary*. Text overview of the significant results and conclusions obtained as a result of completing the requirements of Tier 1 and/or Tier 2, including references if used to support such results and conclusions.

(3) *Test Substance Information*. Test substance description, including, as applicable,

(i) Base fuel parameter values (including types and concentrations of base fuel additives) or test fuel composition (if a fuel other than the base fuel is used in testing). These values must be provided for each of the fuel parameters specified in § 79.55 for the applicable fuel family.

(ii) Test additive composition and concentration

(4) *Summary of Tier 1*. (i) *Literature Search*. Pursuant to § 79.52(d), the literature search shall include a text summary of the methods and results of the literature search, including the following:

(A) Identification of person(s) performing the literature search,

(B) Description of data sources accessed, search strategy used, search period, and terms included in literature search,

(C) Documentation of all unpublished in-house and other privately-conducted studies,

(D) Tables summarizing the protocols and results of all cited studies,

(E) Summary of significant results and conclusions with respect to the effects of the emissions of the subject fuel or fuel additive on the public health and welfare, including references if used to support such results and conclusions.

(F) Statement of the extent to which the literature search has produced adequate information comparable to that which would otherwise be obtained through the performance of applicable emission characterization requirements under § 79.52(b) and/or health effects testing requirements under § 79.53, including justifications and specific references.

(ii) *Emission Characterization*. Pursuant to § 79.52(b), the emission characterization shall include:

(A) Name, address, and telephone number of the laboratory performing the characterization,

(B) Name and description of analytic methods used for characterization.

(5) *Summary of Tier 2*. For each health effects test performed pursuant to the provisions of § 79.53, the Tier 2 summary shall contain the following information:

(i) Name, address, and telephone number of the testing facility,

(ii) Summary of procedures (including quality assurance, quality control and compliance with Good Laboratory Practice Standards as specified in

§ 79.60), findings, and conclusions, including references if used to support such results and conclusions,

(iii) Description of any problems and their resolution.

(6) *Conclusions*. The conclusions shall identify the need for further testing, if that need exists, or justify that current testing and/or available information is adequate for the tier(s) included in the report.

(7) *Appendices*. The appendices shall contain detailed documentation related to the summary information described in this section, including, at a minimum, the following five appendices:

(i) Literature search appendices shall contain:

(A) Copies of literature source outputs, including reference lists and associated abstracts from database searches, printed or on 3½ inch IBM-compatible computer diskettes;

(B) Summary tables organized by health or welfare endpoint and type of emission (e.g., combustion, evaporation, individual emission product), presenting in tabular form the following information at a minimum: number and species of test subjects, exposure concentrations/duration, positive (*i.e.*, abnormal) findings including numbers of test subjects involved, and bibliographic references;

(C) Complete documentation and/or reprints of articles for any previous study relied upon for satisfying emission characterization and/or Tier 2 test requirements; and

(D) Full reports for unpublished/in-house studies.

(ii) Emissions characterization appendices shall contain:

(A) Complete laboratory reports, including documentation of calibration and verification procedures;

(B) Documentation of the emissions generation procedures used; and

(C) Lists of speciated emission products and their emission rates reported in units of grams/mile.

(iii) [Reserved]

(iv) Tier 2 appendices shall contain, for each test performed:

(A) Complete protocol used;

(B) Documentation of emission generation procedures; and

(C) Complete laboratory report in compliance with the reporting standards in § 79.60, including detailed test results and conclusions, and descriptions of any problems encountered and their resolution.

(v) Laboratory certification/accreditation information, personnel credentials, and statements of compliance with the Good Laboratory Practices Standards specified in § 79.60 and the requirements in § 79.53(c)(1).

(d) *Tier 3 Report*. Subject to applicability as specified in § 79.54, each manufacturer of a designated fuel or fuel additive, or each group of such manufacturers pursuant to the provisions of § 79.56, shall submit the following information with respect to each Tier 3 test conducted for such fuels or fuel additives:

(1) The test objectives, including a summary of the reason(s) why such additional testing, beyond Tiers 1 and 2, was required;

(2) Name, address, and telephone number of each testing facility;

(3) Summary of test procedures, results and conclusions;

(4) Complete documentation of test protocols and emission generation procedures, complete laboratory reports in compliance with the reporting standards of § 79.60, detailed test results and conclusions, including references if used to support such results and conclusions, and descriptions of any problems encountered and their resolution; and

(5) Laboratory certification information, personnel credentials, and statements of compliance with the Good Laboratory Practices Standards specified in § 79.60.

(e) *Availability of Information*. (1) All health and safety test data and other information concerning health and welfare effects which is submitted by any manufacturer or group pursuant to §§ 79.52(c), 79.53, or 79.54, shall be considered to be public information and shall be made available to the public by EPA upon request. A reasonable fee may be charged by EPA for copying such materials. Any manufacturer or group who claims that any information concerning the composition of a fuel or

fuel additive product, or any other information, submitted under this subpart is confidential business information must state this claim in writing at the time of the submittal.

(2) To assert a business confidentiality claim concerning any information submitted under this subpart, the submitter must:

(i) Clearly mark the information as confidential at each location it appears in the submission; and

(ii) Submit with the information claimed as confidential a separate document setting forth the claim and listing each location at which the information appears in the submission.

(3) If any person subsequently requests access to information submitted under this subpart (other than health and safety test data and other information concerning health and welfare effects), and such information is subject to a claim of business confidentiality, the request and any subsequent disclosure shall be governed by the provisions of 40 CFR part 2.

[59 FR 33093, June 27, 1994, as amended at 62 FR 12572, 12576, Mar. 17, 1997]

§ 79.60 Good laboratory practices (GLP) standards for inhalation exposure health effects testing.

(a) *General Provisions*—(1) *Scope.* (i) This section prescribes good laboratory practices (GLPs) for conducting inhalation exposure studies relating to motor vehicle emissions health effects testing under this part. These directions are intended to ensure the quality and integrity of health effects data submitted pursuant to registration regulations issued under sections 211(b) or 211(e) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) (42 U.S.C. 7545).

(ii) This section applies to any study described by paragraph (a)(1)(i) of this section which any person conducts, initiates, or supports on or after May 27, 1994.

(iii) It is EPA's policy that all health effects data developed under sections 211(b) and (e) of CAA be in accordance with provisions of this section. If data are not developed in accordance with the provisions of this section, EPA may consider such data insufficient to evaluate the health effects of a motor vehicle's fuel or fuel additive emissions, unless the submitter provides additional information demonstrating that the data are reliable and adequate and EPA determines that the data are sufficient.

(2) *Definitions.* As used in this section, the following terms shall have the meanings specified:

Batch means a specific quantity or lot of a test fuel, additive/base fuel mixture, or reference substance that has been characterized according to § 79.60(f)(1)(i).

CAA means the Clean Air Act.

Carrier means any material which is combined with engine/motor vehicle emissions or a reference substance for administration to a test system. ''Carrier'' includes, but is not limited to, clean, filtered air, water, feed, and nutrient media.

Control atmosphere means clean, filtered air which is administered to the test system in the course of a study for the purpose of establishing a basis for comparison with the test atmosphere for chemical or biological measurements.

Experimental start date means the first date the test atmosphere is applied to the test system.

Experimental termination date means the last date on which data are collected directly from the study.

Person includes an individual, partnership, corporation, association, scientific or academic establishment, government agency, or organizational unit thereof, and any other legal entity.

Quality assurance unit means any person or organizational element, except the study director, designated by testing facility management to perform the duties relating to quality assurance of the studies.

Raw data means any laboratory worksheets, records, memoranda, notes, or exact copies thereof, that are the result of original observations and activities of a study and are necessary for the reconstruction and evaluation of the report of that study. In the event that exact transcripts of raw data have been prepared (e.g., tapes which have been transcribed verbatim, dated, and verified accurate by signature), the exact copy or exact transcript may be substituted for the original source as raw data. ''Raw data'' may include

photographs, videotape, microfilm or microfiche copies, computer printouts, magnetic media, including dictated observations, and recorded data from automated instruments.

Reference substance means any chemical substance or mixture, analytical standard, or material other than engine/motor vehicle emissions and/or its carrier, that is administered to or used in analyzing the test system in the course of a study. A ''reference substance'' is used to establish a basis for comparison with the test atmosphere for known chemical or biological measurements, *i.e.*, positive or negative control substance.

Specimen means any material derived from a test system for examination or analysis.

Sponsor means person who initiates and supports, by provision of financial or other resources, a study or a person who submits a study to EPA in response to the CAA Section 211(b) or 211(e) Fuels and Fuel Additives Registration Rule or a testing facility, if it both initiates and actually conducts the study.

Study means any experiment, at one or more test sites, in which a test system is exposed to a test atmosphere under laboratory conditions to determine or help predict the health effects of that exposure in humans, other living organisms, or media.

Study completion date means the date the final report is signed by the study director.

Study director means the individual responsible for the overall conduct of a study.

Study initiation date means the date the protocol is signed by the study director.

Test substance means a vapor and/or aerosol mixture composed of engine/motor vehicle emissions and clean, filtered air which is administered directly, or indirectly, by the inhalation route to a test system in a study which develops data to meet the registration requirements of CAA section 211(b) or (e).

Test system means any animal, microorganism, chemical or physical matrix, to which the test, control, or reference substance is administered or added for study. This definition also includes appropriate groups or components of the system not treated with the test, control, or reference substance.

Testing facility means a person who actually conducts a study, *i.e.*, actually uses the test substance in a test system. ''Testing facility'' encompasses only those operational units that are being or have been used to conduct studies.

TSCA means the Toxic Substances Control Act (15 U.S.C. 2601 *et seq.*).

(3) *Applicability to studies performed under grants and contracts.* When a sponsor or other person utilizes the services of a consulting laboratory, contractor, or grantee to perform all or a part of a study to which this section applies, it shall notify the consulting laboratory, contractor, or grantee that the service is, or is part of, a study that must be conducted in compliance with the provisions of this section.

(4) *Statement of compliance or non-compliance.* Any person who submits to EPA a test in compliance with registration regulations issued under CAA section 211(b) or section 211(e) shall include in the submission a true and correct statement, signed by the sponsor and the study director, of one of the following types:

(i) A statement that the study was conducted in accordance with this section; or

(ii) A statement describing in detail all differences between the practices used in the study and those required by this section; or

(iii) A statement that the person was not a sponsor of the study, did not conduct the study, and does not know whether the study was conducted in accordance with this section.

(5) *Inspection of a testing facility.* (i) A testing facility shall permit an authorized employee or duly designated representative of EPA, at reasonable times and in a reasonable manner, to inspect the facility and to inspect (and in the case of records also to copy) all records and specimens required to be maintained regarding studies to which this section applies. The records inspection and copying requirements shall not apply to quality assurance unit records of findings and problems, or to actions recommended and taken, except the EPA may seek production of

these records in litigation or formal adjudicatory hearings.

(ii) EPA will not consider reliable for purposes of showing that a test substance does or does not present a risk of injury to health or the environment any data developed by a testing facility or sponsor that refuses to permit inspection in accordance with this section. The determination that a study will not be considered reliable does not, however, relieve the sponsor of a required test of any obligation under any applicable statute or regulation to submit the results of the study to EPA.

(6) *Effects of non-compliance.* (i) Pursuant to sections 114, 208, and 211(d) of the CAA, it shall be a violation of this section and a violation of this rule (40 CFR part 79, subpart F) if:

(A) The test is not being or was not conducted in accordance with any requirement of this part; or

(B) Data or information submitted to EPA under part 79, including the statement required by § 79.60(a)(4), include information or data that are false or misleading, contain significant omissions, or otherwise do not fulfill the requirements of this part; or

(C) Entry in accordance with § 79.60(a)(5) for the purpose of auditing test data is denied.

(ii) EPA, at its discretion, may not consider reliable for purposes of showing that a chemical substance or mixture does not present a risk of injury to health any study which was not conducted in accordance with this part. EPA, at its discretion, may rely upon such studies for purposes of showing adverse effects. The determination that a study will not be considered reliable does not, however, relieve the sponsor of a required test of the obligation under any applicable statute or regulation to submit the results of the study to EPA.

(iii) If data submitted in compliance with registration regulations issued under CAA section 211(b) or section 211(e) are not developed in accordance with this section, EPA may determine that the sponsor has not fulfilled its obligations under 40 CFR part 79 and may require the sponsor to develop data in accordance with the requirements of this section in order to satisfy such obligations.

(b) *Organization and Personnel*—(1) *Personnel.* (i) Each individual engaged in the conduct of or responsible for the supervision of a study shall have education, training, and experience, or combination thereof, to enable that individual to perform the assigned functions.

(ii) Each testing facility shall maintain a current summary of training and experience and job description for each individual engaged in or supervising the conduct of a study.

(iii) There shall be a sufficient number of personnel for the timely and proper conduct of the study according to the protocol.

(iv) Personnel shall take necessary personal sanitation and health precautions designed to avoid contamination of test fuel and additive/base fuel mixtures, test and reference substances, and test systems.

(v) Personnel engaged in a study shall wear clothing appropriate for the duties they perform. Such clothing shall be changed as often as necessary to prevent microbiological, radiological, or chemical contamination of test systems and test, control, and reference substances.

(vi) Any individual found at any time to have an illness that may adversely affect the quality and integrity of the study shall be excluded from direct contact with test systems, fuel and fuel/additive mixtures, test and reference substances and any other operation or function that may adversely affect the study until the condition is corrected. All personnel shall be instructed to report to their immediate supervisors any health or medical conditions that may reasonably be considered to have an adverse effect on a study.

(2) *Testing facility management.* For each study, testing facility management shall:

(i) Designate a study director as described in § 79.60(b)(3) before the study is initiated.

(ii) Replace the study director promptly if it becomes necessary to do so during the conduct of a study.

(iii) Assure that there is a quality assurance unit as described in § 79.60(b)(4).

(iv) Assure that test fuels and fuel/additive mixtures and test and reference substances have been identified as to content, strength, purity, stability, and uniformity, as applicable.

(v) Assure that personnel, resources, facilities, equipment, materials and methodologies are available as scheduled.

(vi) Assure that personnel clearly understand the functions they are to perform.

(vii) Assure that any deviations from these regulations reported by the quality assurance unit are communicated to the study director and corrective actions are taken and documented.

(3) *Study director*. For each study, a scientist or other professional person with a doctorate degree or equivalent in toxicology or other appropriate discipline shall be identified as the study director. The study director has overall responsibility for the technical conduct of the study, as well as for the interpretation, analysis, documentation, and reporting of results, and represents the single point of study control. The study director shall assure that:

(i) The protocol, including any changes, is approved as provided by § 79.60(g)(1)(i) and is followed;

(ii) All experimental data, including observations of unanticipated responses of the test system are accurately recorded and verified;

(iii) Unforeseen circumstances that may affect the quality and integrity of the study are noted when they occur, and corrective action is taken and documented;

(iv) Test systems are as specified in the protocol;

(v) All applicable good laboratory practice regulations are followed; and

(vi) All raw data, documentation, protocols, specimens, and final reports are archived properly during or at the close of the study.

(4) *Quality assurance unit*. A testing facility shall have a quality assurance unit which shall be responsible for monitoring each study to assure management that the facilities, equipment, personnel, methods, practices, records, and controls are in conformance with the regulations in this section. For any given study, the quality assurance unit shall be entirely separate from and independent of the personnel engaged in the direction and conduct of that study. The quality assurance unit shall conduct inspections and maintain records appropriate to the study.

(i) *Quality assurance unit duties*. (A) Maintain a copy of a master schedule sheet of all studies conducted at the testing facility indexed by test substance and containing the test system, nature of study, date study was initiated, current status of each study, identity of the sponsor, and name of the study director.

(B) Maintain copies of all protocols pertaining to all studies for which the unit is responsible.

(C) Inspect each study at intervals adequate to ensure the integrity of the study and maintain written and properly signed records of each periodic inspection showing the date of the inspection, the study inspected, the phase or segment of the study inspected, the person performing the inspection, findings and problems, action recommended and taken to resolve existing problems, and any scheduled date for re-inspection. Any problems which are likely to affect study integrity found during the course of an inspection shall be brought to the attention of the study director and management immediately.

(D) Periodically submit to management and the study director written status reports on each study, noting any problems and the corrective actions taken.

(E) Determine that no deviations from approved protocols or standard operating procedures were made without proper authorization and documentation.

(F) Review the final study report to assure that such report accurately describes the methods and standard operating procedures, and that the reported results accurately reflect the raw data of the study.

(G) Prepare and sign a statement to be included with the final study report which shall specify the dates inspections were made and findings reported to management and to the study director.

(ii) The responsibilities and procedures applicable to the quality assurance unit, the records maintained by

the quality assurance unit, and the method of indexing such records shall be in writing and shall be maintained. These items including inspection dates, the study inspected, the phase or segment of the study inspected, and the name of the individual performing the inspection shall be made available for inspection to authorized employees or duly designated representatives of EPA.

(iii) An authorized employee or a duly designated representative of EPA shall have access to the written procedures established for the inspection and may request test facility management to certify that inspections are being implemented, performed, documented, and followed up in accordance with this paragraph.

(c) *Facilities*—(1) *General*. Each testing facility shall be of suitable size and construction to facilitate the proper conduct of studies. Testing facilities which are not completely located within an indoor controlled environment shall be of suitable location/proximity to facilitate the proper conduct of studies. Testing facilities shall be designed so that there is a degree of separation that will prevent any function or activity from having an adverse effect on the study.

(2) *Test system care facilities*. (i) A testing facility shall have a sufficient number of animal rooms or other test system areas, as needed, to ensure proper separation of species or test systems, quarantine or isolation of animals or other test systems, and routine or specialized housing of animals or other test systems.

(ii) A testing facility shall have a number of animal rooms or other test system areas separate from those described in paragraph (a) of this section to ensure isolation of studies being done with test systems or test, control, and reference substances known to be biohazardous, including volatile atmospheres and aerosols, radioactive materials, and infectious agents. The animal handling facility must operate under the supervision of a veterinarian.

(iii) Separate areas shall be provided, as appropriate, for the diagnosis, treatment, and control of laboratory test system diseases. These areas shall provide effective isolation for the housing of test systems either known or suspected of being diseased, or of being carriers of disease, from other test systems.

(iv) Facilities shall have proper provisions for collection and disposal of contaminated air, water, or other spent materials. When animals are housed, facilities shall exist for the collection and disposal of all animal waste and refuse or for safe sanitary storage of waste before removal from the testing facility. Disposal facilities shall be so provided and operated as to minimize vermin infestation, odors, disease hazards, and environmental contamination.

(v) Facilities shall have provisions to regulate environmental conditions (e.g., temperature, humidity, day length, etc.) as specified in the protocol.

(3) *Test system supply/operation areas*. (i) There shall be storage areas, as needed, for feed, bedding, supplies, and equipment. Storage areas for feed and bedding shall be separated from areas where the test systems are located and shall be protected against infestation or contamination. Perishable supplies shall be preserved by appropriate means.

(ii) Separate laboratory space and other space shall be provided, as needed, for the performance of the routine and specialized procedures required by studies.

(4) *Facilities for handling test fuels and fuel/additive mixtures and reference substances*. (i) As necessary to prevent contamination or mixups, there shall be separate areas for:

(A) Receipt and storage of the test fuels and fuel/additive mixtures and reference substances;

(B) Mixing of the test fuels, fuel/additive mixtures, and reference substances with a carrier, *i.e.*, liquid hydrocarbon; and

(C) Storage of the test fuels, fuel/additive mixtures, and reference substance/carrier mixtures.

(ii) Storage areas for test fuels and fuel/additive mixtures and reference substances and for reference mixtures shall be separate from areas housing the test systems and shall be adequate

to preserve the identity, strength, purity, and stability of the substances and mixtures.

(5) *Specimen and data storage facilities.* Space shall be secured for archives for the storage and retrieval of all raw data and specimens from completed studies.

(d) *Equipment*—(1) *Equipment design.* Equipment used in the generation, measurement, or assessment of data and equipment used for facility environmental control shall be of appropriate design and adequate capacity to function according to the protocol and shall be suitably located for operation, inspection, cleaning, and maintenance.

(2) *Maintenance and calibration of equipment.* (i) Equipment shall be adequately inspected, cleaned, and maintained. Equipment used for the generation, measurement, or assessment of data shall be adequately tested, calibrated, and/or standardized.

(ii) The written standard operating procedures required under § 79.60(e)(1)(ii)(K) shall set forth in sufficient detail the methods, materials, and schedules to be used in the routine inspection, cleaning, maintenance, testing, calibration, and/or standardization of equipment, and shall specify, when appropriate, remedial action to be taken in the event of failure or malfunction of equipment. The written standard operating procedures shall designate the person responsible for the performance of each operation.

(iii) Written records shall be maintained of all inspection, maintenance, testing, calibrating, and/or standardizing operations. These records, containing the date of the operation, shall describe whether the maintenance operations were routine and followed the written standard operating procedures. Written records shall be kept of nonroutine repairs performed on equipment as a result of failure and malfunction. Such records shall document the nature of the defect, how and when the defect was discovered, and any remedial action taken in response to the defect.

(e) *Testing Facilities Operation*—(1) *Standard operating procedures.* (i) A testing facility shall have standard operating procedures in writing, setting forth study methods that management is satisfied are adequate to insure the quality and integrity of the data generated in the course of a study. All deviations in a study from standard operating procedures shall be authorized by the study director and shall be documented in the raw data. Significant changes in established standard operating procedures shall be properly authorized in writing by management.

(ii) Standard operating procedures shall be established for, but not limited to, the following:

(A) Test system room preparation;

(B) Test system care;

(C) Receipt, identification, storage, handling, mixing, and method of sampling of test fuels and fuel/additive mixtures and reference substances;

(D) Test system observations;

(E) Laboratory or other tests;

(F) Handling of test animals found moribund or dead during study;

(G) Necropsy or postmortem examination of test animals;

(H) Collection and identification of specimens;

(I) Histopathology

(J) Data handling, storage and retrieval.

(K) Maintenance and calibration of equipment.

(L) Transfer, proper placement, and identification of test systems.

(iii) Each laboratory or other study area shall have immediately available manuals and standard operating procedures relative to the laboratory procedures being performed. Published literature may be used as a supplement to standard operating procedures.

(iv) A historical file of standard operating procedures, and all revisions thereof, including the dates of such revisions, shall be maintained.

(2) *Reagents and solutions.* All reagents and solutions in the laboratory areas shall be labeled to indicate identity, titer or concentration, storage requirements, and expiration date. Deteriorated or outdated reagents and solutions shall not be used.

(3) *Animal and other test system care.* (i) There shall be standard operating procedures for the housing, feeding, handling, and care of animals and other test systems.

(ii) All newly received test systems from outside sources shall be isolated

and their health status or appropriateness for the study shall be evaluated. This evaluation shall be in accordance with acceptable veterinary medical practice or scientific methods.

(iii) At the initiation of a study, test systems shall be free of any disease or condition that might interfere with the purpose or conduct of the study. If during the course of the study, the test systems contract such a disease or condition, the diseased test systems shall be isolated, if necessary. These test systems may be treated for disease or signs of disease provided that such treatment does not interfere with the study. The diagnosis, authorization of treatment, description of treatment, and each date of treatment shall be documented and shall be retained.

(iv) When laboratory procedures require test animals to be manipulated and observed over an extended period of time or when studies require test animals to be removed from and returned to their housing units for any reason (e.g., cage cleaning, treatment, etc.), these test systems shall receive appropriate identification (e.g., tattoo, color code, etc.). Test system identification shall conform with current laboratory animal handling practice. All information needed to specifically identify each test system within the test system-housing unit shall appear on the outside of that unit. Suckling animals are excluded from the requirement of individual identification unless otherwise specified in the protocol.

(v) Except as specified in paragraph (e)(3)(v)(A) of this section, test animals of different species shall be housed in separate rooms when necessary. Test animals of the same species, but used in different studies, shall not ordinarily be housed in the same room when inadvertent exposure to the test or reference substances or test system mixup could affect the outcome of either study. If such mixed housing is necessary, adequate differentiation by space and identification shall be made.

(A) Test systems that may be used in multispecies tests need not be housed in separate rooms, provided that they are adequately segregated to avoid mixup and cross-contamination.

(B) [Reserved]

(vi) Cages, racks, pens, enclosures, and other holding, rearing, and breeding areas, and accessory equipment, shall be cleaned and sanitized at appropriate intervals.

(vii) Feed and water used for the test animals shall be analyzed periodically to ensure that contaminants known to be capable of interfering with the study and reasonably expected to be present in such feed or water are not present at greater than trace levels. Documentation of such analyses shall be maintained as raw data.

(viii) Bedding used in animal cages or pens shall not interfere with the purpose or conduct of the study and shall be changed as often as necessary to keep the animals dry and clean.

(ix) If any pest control materials are used, the use shall be documented. Cleaning and pest control materials that interfere with the study shall not be used.

(x) All test systems shall be acclimatized to the environmental conditions of the test, prior to their use in a study.

(f) T*est fuels, additive/base fuel mixtures, and reference substances*—(1) *Test fuel, fuel/additive mixture, and reference substance identity*. (i) The product brand name/service mark, strength, purity, content, or other characteristics which appropriately define the test fuel, fuel/additive mixture, or reference substance shall be reported for each batch and shall be documented before its use in a study. Methods of synthesis, fabrication, or derivation, as appropriate, of the test fuel, fuel/additive mixture, or reference substance shall be documented by the sponsor or the testing facility, and such location of documentation shall be specified.

(ii) The stability of test fuel, fuel/additive mixture, and reference substances under storage conditions at the test site shall be known for all studies.

(2) *Test fuel, additive/base fuel mixture, and reference substance handling*. Procedures shall be established for a system for the handling of the test fuel, fuel/additive mixture, and reference substance(s) to ensure that:

(i) There is proper storage.

(ii) Distribution is made in a manner designed to preclude the possibility of

contamination, deterioration, or damage.

(iii) Proper identification is maintained throughout the distribution process.

(iv) The receipt and distribution of each batch is documented. Such documentation shall include the date and quantity of each batch distributed or returned.

(3) Mixtures of test emissions or reference solutions with carriers.

(i) For test emissions or each reference substance mixed with a carrier, tests by appropriate analytical methods shall be conducted:

(A) To determine the uniformity of the test substance and to determine, periodically, the concentration of the test emissions or reference substance in the mixture;

(B) When relevant to the conduct of the experiment, to determine the solubility of each reference substance in the carrier mixture before the experimental start date; and

(C) To determine the stability of test emissions or a reference solution in the test substance before the experimental start date or concomitantly according to written standard operating procedures, which provide for periodic analysis of each batch.

(ii) Where any of the components of the reference substance/carrier mixture has an expiration date, that date shall be clearly shown on the container. If more than one component has an expiration date, the earliest date shall be shown.

(iii) If a chemical or physical agent is used to facilitate the mixing of a test substance with a carrier, assurance shall be provided that the agent does not interfere with the integrity of the test.

(g) *Protocol for and conduct of a study*—(1) *Protocol.* (i) Each study shall have a written protocol that clearly indicates the objectives and all methods for the conduct of the study. The protocol shall contain but shall not be limited to the following information:

(A) A descriptive title and statement of the purpose of the study.

(B) Identification of the test fuel, fuel/additive mixture, and reference substance by name, chemical abstracts service (CAS) number or code number, as applicable.

(C) The name and address of the sponsor and the name and address of the testing facility at which the study is being conducted.

(D) The proposed experimental start and termination dates.

(E) Justification for selection of the test system, as necessary.

(F) Where applicable, the number, body weight, sex, source of supply, species, strain, substrain, and age of the test system.

(G) The procedure for identification of the test system.

(H) A description of the experimental design, including methods for the control of bias.

(I) Where applicable, a description and/or identification of the diet used in the study. The description shall include specifications for acceptable levels of contaminants that are reasonably expected to be present in the dietary materials and are known to be capable of interfering with the purpose or conduct of the study if present at levels greater than established by the specifications.

(J) Each concentration level, expressed in milligrams per cubic meter of air or other appropriate units, of the test or reference substance to be administered and the frequency of administration.

(K) The type and frequency of tests, analyses, and measurements to be made.

(L) The records to be maintained.

(M) The date of approval of the protocol by the sponsor and the dated signature of the study director.

(N) A statement of the proposed statistical method.

(ii) All changes in or revisions of an approved protocol and the reasons therefor shall be documented, signed by the study director, dated, and maintained with the protocol.

(2) *Conduct of a study.* (i) The study shall be conducted in accordance with the protocol.

(ii) The test systems shall be monitored in conformity with the protocol.

(iii) Specimens shall be identified by test system, study, nature, and date of collection. This information shall be located on the specimen container or

shall accompany the specimen in a manner that precludes error in the recording and storage of data.

(iv) In animal studies where histopathology is required, records of gross findings for a specimen from postmortem observations shall be available to a pathologist when examining that specimen histopathologically.

(v) All data generated during the conduct of a study, except those that are generated by automated data collection systems, shall be recorded directly, promptly, and legibly in ink. All data entries shall be dated on the day of entry and signed or initialed by the person entering the data. Any change in entries shall be made so as not to obscure the original entry, shall indicate the reason for such change, and shall be dated and signed or identified at the time of the change. In automated data collection systems, the individual responsible for direct data input shall be identified at the time of data input. Any change in automated data entries shall be made so as not to obscure the original entry, shall indicate the reason for change, shall be dated, and the responsible individual shall be identified.

(h) *Records and Reports*—(1) *Reporting of study results*. (i) A final report shall be prepared for each study and shall include, but not necessarily be limited to, the following:

(A) Name and address of the facility performing the study and the dates on which the study was initiated and was completed, terminated, or discontinued.

(B) Objectives and procedures stated in the approved protocol, including any changes in the original protocol.

(C) Statistical methods employed for analyzing the data.

(D) The test fuel, additive/base fuel mixture, and test and reference substances identified by name, chemical abstracts service (CAS) number or code number, strength, purity, content, or other appropriate characteristics.

(E) Stability, and when relevant to the conduct of the study, the solubility of the test emissions and reference substances under the conditions of administration.

(F) A description of the methods used.

(G) A description of the test system used. Where applicable, the final report shall include the number of animals or other test organisms used, sex, body weight range, source of supply, species, strain and substrain, age, and procedure used for identification.

(H) A description of the concentration regimen as daily exposure period, *i.e.*, number of hours, and exposure duration, *i.e.*, number of days.

(I) A description of all circumstances that may have affected the quality or integrity of the data.

(J) The name of the study director, the names of other scientists or professionals and the names of all supervisory personnel, involved in the study.

(K) A description of the transformations, calculations, or operations performed on the data, a summary and analysis of the data, and a statement of the conclusions drawn from the analysis.

(L) The signed and dated reports of each of the individual scientists or other professionals involved in the study, including each person who, at the request or direction of the testing facility or sponsor, conducted an analysis or evaluation of data or specimens from the study after data generation was completed.

(M) The locations where all specimens, raw data, and the final report are to be kept or stored.

(N) The statement, prepared and signed by the quality assurance unit, as described in § 79.60(b)(4)(i)(G).

(ii) The final report shall be signed and dated by the study director.

(iii) Corrections or additions to a final report shall be in the form of an amendment by the study director. The amendment shall clearly identify that part of the final report that is being added to or corrected and the reasons for the correction or addition, and shall be signed and dated by the person responsible. Modification of a final report to comply with the submission requirements of EPA does not constitute a correction, addition, or amendment to a final report.

(iv) A copy of the final report and of any amendment to it shall be maintained by the sponsor and the test facility.

(2) *Storage and retrieval of records and data.* (i) All raw data, documentation, records, protocols, specimens, and final reports generated as a result of a study shall be retained. Specimens obtained from mutagenicity tests, wet specimens of blood, urine, feces, and biological fluids, do not need to be retained after quality assurance verification. Correspondence and other documents relating to interpretation and evaluation of data, other than those documents contained in the final report, also shall be retained.

(ii) All raw data, documentation, protocols, specimens, and interim and final reports shall be archived for orderly storage and expedient retrieval. Conditions of storage shall minimize deterioration of the documents or specimens in accordance with the requirements for the time period of their retention and the nature of the documents of specimens. A testing facility may contract with commercial archives to provide a repository for all material to be retained. Raw data and specimens may be retained elsewhere provided that the archives have specific reference to those other locations.

(iii) An individual shall be identified as responsible for the archiving of records.

(iv) Access to archived material shall require authorization and documentation.

(v) Archived material shall be indexed to permit expedient retrieval.

(3) *Retention of records.* (i) Record retention requirements set forth in this section do not supersede the record retention requirements of any other regulations in this subchapter.

(ii) Except as provided in paragraph (h)(3)(iii) of this section, documentation records, raw data, and specimens pertaining to a study and required to be retained by this part shall be archived for a period of at least ten years following the completion of the study.

(iii) Wet specimens, samples of test fuel, additive/base fuel mixtures, or reference substances, and specially prepared material which are relatively fragile and differ markedly in stability and quality during storage, shall be retained only as long as the quality of the preparation affords evaluation. Specimens obtained from mutagenicity tests, wet specimens of blood, urine, feces, biological fluids, do not need to be retained after quality assurance verification. In no case shall retention be required for a longer period than that set forth in paragraph (h)(3)(ii) of this section.

(iv) The master schedule sheet, copies of protocols, and records of quality assurance inspections, as required by § 79.60(b)(4)(iii) shall be maintained by the quality assurance unit as an easily accessible system of records for the period of time specified in paragraph (h)(3)(ii) of this section.

(v) Summaries of training and experience and job descriptions required to be maintained by § 79.60(b)(1)(ii) may be retained along with all other testing facility employment records for the length of time specified in paragraph (h)(3)(ii) of this section.

(vi) Records and reports of the maintenance and calibration and inspection of equipment, as required by § 79.60(d)(2) (ii) and (iii), shall be retained for the length of time specified in paragraph (h)(3)(ii) of this section.

(vii) If a facility conducting testing or an archive contracting facility goes out of business, all raw data, documentation, and other material specified in this section shall be transferred to the sponsor of the study for archival.

(viii) Records required by this section may be retained either as original records or as true copies such as photocopies, microfilm, microfiche, or other accurate reproductions of the original records.

§ 79.61 Vehicle emissions inhalation exposure guideline.

(a) *Purpose.* This guideline provides additional information on methodologies required to conduct health effects tests involving inhalation exposures to vehicle combustion emissions from fuels or fuel/additive mixtures. Where this guideline and the other health effects testing guidelines in 40 CFR 79.62 through 79.68 specify differing values

for the same test parameter, the specifications in the individual health test guideline shall prevail for that health effect endpoint.

(b) *Definitions.* For the purposes of this section the following definitions apply.

Acute inhalation study means a short-term toxicity test characterized by a single exposure by inhalation over a short period of time (at least 4 hours and less than 24 hours), followed by at least 14 days of observation.

Aerodynamic diameter means the diameter of a sphere of unit density that has the same settling velocity as the particle of the test substance. It is used to compare particles of different sizes, densities and shapes, and to predict where in the respiratory tract such particles may be deposited. It applies to the size of aerosol particles.

Chronic inhalation study means a prolonged and repeated exposure by inhalation for the life span of the test animal; technically, two years in the rat.

Concentration means an exposure level. Exposure is expressed as weight or volume of test aerosol/substance per volume of air, usually mg/m^3 or as parts per million (ppm) over a given time period. Micrograms per cubic meter ($\mu g/m^3$) or parts per billion may be appropriate, as well.

Cumulative toxicity means the adverse effects of repeated exposures occurring as a result of prolonged action or increased concentration of the administered test substance or its metabolites in the susceptible tissues.

Inhalable diameter means that aerodynamic diameter of a particle which is considered to be inhalable for the organism. It is used to refer to particles which are capable of being inhaled and may be deposited anywhere within the respiratory tract from the trachea to the alveoli.

Mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD) means the calculated aerodynamic diameter, which divides the particles of an aerosol in half based on the mass of the particles. Fifty percent of the particles in mass will be larger than the median diameter, and fifty percent will be smaller than the median diameter. MMAD describes the particle distribution of any aerosol based on the weight and size of the particles. MMAD and the geometric standard deviation describe the particle-size distribution.

Material safety data sheet (MSDS) means documentation or information on the physical, chemical, and hazardous characteristics of a given chemical, usually provided by the product's manufacturer.

Reynolds number means a dimensionless number that is proportional to the ratio of inertial forces to frictional forces acting on a fluid. It quantitatively provides a measure of whether flow is laminar or turbulent. A fluid traveling through a pipe is fully developed into a laminar flow for a Reynolds number less than 2000, and fully developed into a turbulent flow for a Reynolds number greater than 4000.

Subacute inhalation toxicity means the adverse effects occurring as a result of the repeated daily exposure of experimental animals to a chemical by inhalation for part (less than 10 percent) of a lifespan; generally, less than 90 days.

Subchronic inhalation study means a repeated exposure by inhalation for part (approximately 10 percent) of a life span of the exposed test animal.

Toxic effect means an adverse change in the structure or function of an experimental animal as a result of exposure to a chemical substance.

(c) *Principles and design criteria of inhalation exposure systems.* Proper conduct of inhalation toxicity studies of the emissions of fuels and additive/fuel mixtures requires that the exposure system be designed to ensure the controlled generation of the exposure atmosphere, the adequate dilution of the test emissions, delivery of the diluted exposure atmosphere to the test animals, and use of appropriate exposure chamber systems selected to meet criteria for a given exposure study.

(1) *Emissions generation.* Emissions shall be generated according to the specifications in 40 CFR 79.57.

(2) *Dilution and delivery systems.* (i) The delivery system is the means used to transport the emissions from the generation system to the exposure system. The dilution system is generally a component of the delivery system.

(ii) Dilution provides control of the emissions concentration delivered to

the exposure system, serving the function of diluting the associated combustion gases, such as carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxide and other noxious gases and vapors, to levels that will ensure that there are no significant or measurable responses in the test animals as a result of exposure to the combustion gases. The formation of particle species is strongly dependent on the dilution rate, as well.

(iii) The engine exhaust system shall connect to the first-stage-dilution section at 90° to the axis of the dilution section. This is then connected to a right angle elbow on the center line of the dilution section. Engine emissions are injected through the elbow so that exhaust flow is concurrent to dilution flow.

(iv) *Materials*. In designing the dilution and delivery systems, the use of plastic, e.g., PVC and similar materials, copper, brass, and aluminum pipe and tubing shall be avoided if there exists a possibility of chemical reaction occurring between emissions and tubing. Stainless steel pipe and tubing is recommended as the best choice for most emission dilution and delivery applications, although glass and teflon may be appropriate, as well.

(v) *Flow requirements*. (A) Conduit for dilute raw emissions shall be of such dimensions as to provide residence times for the emissions on the order of less than one second to several seconds before the emissions are further diluted and introduced to the test chambers. With the high flow rates in the dilute raw emissions conduit, it will be necessary to sample various portions of the dilute emissions for delivering differing concentrations to the test chambers. The unused portions of the emissions stream are normally exhausted to the atmosphere outside of the exposure facility.

(B) Dimensions of the dilute raw exhaust conduit shall be such that, at a minimum, the flow Reynolds number is 70,000 or greater (see Mokler, *et al.*, 1984 in paragraph (f)(13) of this section). This will maintain highly turbulent flow conditions so that there is more complete mixing of the exhaust emissions.

(C) *Wall losses*. The delivery system shall be designed to minimize wall losses. This can be done by sizing the tubing or pipe to maintain laminar flow of the diluted emissions to the exposure chamber. A flow Reynolds number of 1000–3000 will ensure minimal wall losses. Also, the length of and number and degree of bends in the delivery lines to the exposure chamber system shall be minimized.

(D) Whole-body exposure vs. nose-only exposure delivery systems. Flow rates through whole-body chamber systems are of the order of 100 liters per minute to 500 liters per minute. Nose-only systems are on the order of less than 50 liters per minute. To maintain laminar flow conditions, the principles described in paragraph (c)(2)(v)(C) of this section apply to both systems.

(vi) *Dilution requirements*. (A) To maintain the water vapor, and dissolved organic compounds, in the raw exhaust emissions stream, a manufacturer/tester will initially dilute one part emissions with a minimum of five parts clean, filtered air (see Hinners, *et al.*, 1979 in paragraph (f)(11) of this section). Depending on the water vapor content of a particular fuel/additive mixture's combustion emissions and the humidity of the dilution air, initial exhaust dilutions as high as 1:15 or 1:20 may be necessary to maintain the general character of the exhaust as it cools, e.g., M100. At this point, it is expected that the exhaust stream would be further diluted to more appropriate levels for rodent health effects testing.

(B) A maximum concentration (minimum dilution) of the raw exhaust going into the test animal cages is anticipated to lie in the range between 1:5 and 1:50 exhaust emissions to clean, filtered air. The minimum concentration (maximum dilution) of raw exhaust for health effects testing is anticipated to be in range between 1:100 and 1:150. Individual manufacturers will treat these ranges as approximations only and will determine the optimum range of emission concentrations to elicit effects in Tier 2 health testing for their particular fuel/fuel additive mixture.

(3) *Exposure chamber systems*—(i) *Referenced Guidelines*. (A) The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

''Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals'' (*Guide*), 1985 cited in paragraph (c)(3)(ii)(A)(*4*), and in paragraphs (d)(2)(i), (d)(2)(ii), (d)(2)(iii), (d)(4)(ii), and (d)(4)(iii) of this section, has been incorporated by reference.

(B) This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be purchased from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402. Copies may be inspected at U.S. EPA, OAR, 401 M Street SW, Washington, DC 20460 or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to: *http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html*.

(ii) *Exposure chambers*. There are two basic types of dynamic inhalation exposure chambers, whole-body chambers and nose-/head-only exposure chambers (see Cheng and Moss, 1989 in paragraph (f)(8) of this section).

(A) *Whole-body chambers*. (*1*) The flow rate through a chamber shall be maintained at 15 air changes per hour.

(*2*) The chambers are usually maintained at a slightly negative pressure (0.5 to 1.5 inch of water) to prevent leakage of test substance into the exposure room.

(*3*) The exposure chamber shall be designed in such a way as to provide uniform distribution of exposure concentrations in all compartments (see Cheng *et al.*, 1989 in paragraph (f)(7) of this section).

(*4*) Animals are housed in separate compartments inside the chamber, where the whole surface area of an animal is exposed to the test material. The spaces required for different animal species shall follow the *Guide*. In general, the volume of animal bodies occupy less than 5 percent of the chamber volume.

(B) *Head/nose-only exposure chambers*. (*1*) In head/nose-only exposure chambers, only the head (oronasal) portion of the animal is exposed to the test material.

(*2*) The chamber volume and flow rates are much less than in the whole-body exposure chambers because the subjects are usually restrained in a tube holder where the animal's breathing can be easily monitored. The head/nose-only exposure chamber is suitable for short-term exposures or when use of a small amount of test material is required.

(iii) Since whole-body exposure appears to be the least stressful mode of exposure, it is the preferred method. In general, head/nose only exposure, which is sometimes used to avoid concurrent exposure by the dermal or oral routes, *i.e.*, grooming, is not recommended because of the stress accompanying the restraining of the animals. However, there may be specific instances where it may be more appropriate than whole-body exposure. The tester shall provide justification for its selection.

(d) *Inhalation exposure procedures*—(1) *Animal selection*. (i) The rat is the preferred species for vehicle emission inhalation health effects testing. Commonly used laboratory strains shall be used. Any rodent species may be used, but the tester shall provide justification for the choice of that species.

(ii) Young adult animals, approximately ten weeks of age for the rat, shall be used. At the commencement of the study, the weight variation of animals used shall not exceed ±20 percent of the mean weight for each sex. Animals shall be randomly assigned to treatment and control groups according to their weight.

(iii) An equal number of male and female rodents shall be used at each concentration level. Situations may arise where use of a single sex may be appropriate. Females, in general, shall be nulliparous and nonpregnant.

(iv) The number of animals used at each concentration level and in the control group(s) depends on the type of study, number of biological end points used in the toxicity evaluation, the pre-determined sensitivity of detection and power of significance of the study, and the animal species. For an acute study, at least five animals of each sex shall be used in each test group. For both the subacute and subchronic studies, at least 10 rodents of each sex shall be used in each test group. For a chronic study, at least 20 male and 20 female

rodents shall be used in each test group.

(A) If interim sacrifices are planned, the number of animals shall be increased by the number of animals scheduled to be sacrificed during the course of the study.

(B) For a chronic study, the number of animals at the termination of the study must be adequate for a meaningful and valid statistical evaluation of chronic effects.

(v) A concurrent control group is required. This group shall be exposed to clean, filtered air under conditions identical to those used for the group exposed to the test atmosphere.

(vi) The same species/strain shall be used to make comparisons between fuel-only and fuel/additive mixture studies. If another species/strain is used, the tester shall provide justification for its selection.

(2) *Animal handling and care.* (i) A key element in the conduct of inhalation exposure studies is the proper handling and care of the test animal population. Therefore, the exposure conditions must conform strictly with the conditions for housing and animal care and use set forth in the *Guide*.

(ii) In whole-body exposure chambers, animals shall be housed in individual caging. The minimum cage size per animal will be in accordance with instructions set forth in the *Guide*.

(iii) Chambers shall be cleaned and maintained in accordance with recommendations and schedules set forth in the *Guide*.

(A) Observations shall be made daily with appropriate actions taken to minimize loss of animals to the study (e.g., necropsy or refrigeration of animals found dead and isolation or sacrifice of weak or moribund animals). Exposure systems using head/nose-only exposure chambers require no special daily chamber maintenance. Chambers shall be inspected to ensure that they are clean, and that there are no obstructions in the chamber which would restrict air flow to the animals. Whole-body exposure chambers will be inspected on a minimum of twice daily, once before exposures and once after exposures.

(B) Signs of toxicity shall be recorded as they are observed, including the time of onset, degree, and duration.

(C) Cage-side observations shall include, but are not limited to: changes in skin, fur, eye and mucous membranes, respiratory, autonomic, and central nervous systems, somatomotor activity, and behavioral patterns. Particular attention shall be directed to observation of tremors, convulsions, salivation, diarrhea, lethargy, sleep, and coma.

(iv) Food and water will be withheld from animals for head/nose-only exposure systems. For whole-body-exposure systems, water only may be provided. When the exposure generation system is not operating, food will be available *ad libitum*. During operation of the generation system, food will be withheld to avoid possible contamination by emissions.

(v) At the end of the study period, all survivors in the main study population shall be sacrificed. Moribund animals shall be removed and sacrificed when observed.

(3) *Concentration levels and selection.* (i) In acute and subacute toxicity tests, at least three exposure concentrations and a control group shall be used and spaced appropriately to produce test groups with a range of toxic effects and mortality rates. The data shall be sufficient to produce a concentration-response curve and permit an acceptable estimation of the median lethal concentration.

(ii) In subchronic and chronic toxicity tests, testers shall use at least three different concentration levels, with a control exposure group, to determine a concentration-response relationship. Concentrations shall be spaced appropriately to produce test groups with a range of toxic effects. The concentration-response data may also be sufficient to determine a NOAEL, unless the result of a limit test precludes such findings. The criteria for selecting concentration levels has been published (40 CFR 798.2450 and 798.3260).

(A) The highest concentration shall result in toxic effects but not produce an incidence of fatalities which would prevent a meaningful evaluation of the study.

(B) The lowest concentration shall not produce toxic effects which are directly attributable to the test exposure. Where there is a useful estimation of human exposure, the lowest concentration shall exceed this.

(C) The intermediate concentration level(s) shall produce minimal observable toxic effects. If more than one intermediate concentration level is used, the concentrations shall be spaced to produce a gradation of toxic effects.

(D) In the low, intermediate, and control exposure groups, the incidence of fatalities shall be low to absent, so as not to preclude a meaningful evaluation of the results.

(4) Exposure chamber environmental conditions. The following environmental conditions in the exposure chamber are critical to the maintenance of the test animals: flow; temperature; relative humidity; lighting; and noise.

(i) Filtered and conditioned air shall be used during exposure, to dilute the exhaust emissions, and during non- exposure periods to maintain environmental conditions that are free of trace gases, dusts, and microorganisms on the test animals. Twelve to fifteen air changes per hour will be provided at all times to whole-body-exposure chambers. The minimum air flow rate for head/nose-only exposure chambers will be a function of the number of animals and the average minute volume of the animals:

$$Q_{minimum}(L/min) = 2 \times \text{number of animals} \times \text{average minute volume}$$

(see Cheng and Moss, 1989 in paragraph (f)(8) of this section).

(ii) Recommended ranges of temperature for various species are given in the *Guide*. The recommended temperature ranges will be used for establishing temperature conditions of whole-body-exposure chambers. For rodents in whole-body-exposure chambers, the recommended temperature is 22 °C ±2 °C and for rabbits, it is 20 °C ±3 °C. Temperature ranges have not been established for head/nose-only tubes; however, recommended maximum temperature limits have been established at the Inhalation Toxicology Research Institute (see Barr, 1988 in paragraph (f)(1) of this section). Maximum temperature for rats and mice in head/nose-only tubes is 23 °C.

(iii) *Relative humidity*. The relative humidity in the chamber air is important for heat balance and shall be maintained between 40 percent and 60 percent, but in certain instances, this may not be practicable. Testers shall follow *Guide* recommends for a 30 percent to 70 percent relative humidity range for rodents in exposure chambers.

(iv) *Lighting*. Light intensity of 30 foot candles at 3 ft. from the floor of the exposure facility is recommended (see Rao, 1986 in paragraph (f)(16) of this section).

(5) *Exposure conditions*. Unless precluded by the requirements of a particular test protocol, animal subjects shall be exposed to the test atmosphere based on a nominal 5-day-per-week regimen, subject to the following rules:

(i) Each daily exposure must be at least 6 hours plus the time necessary to build the chamber atmosphere to 90 percent of the target exposure atmosphere. Interruptions of daily exposures caused by technical difficulties, if infrequent in occurrence and limited in duration, may be made up the same day by adding equivalent exposure time after the technical problem has been corrected and the exposure atmosphere restored to the required level.

(ii) Normally, no more than two non-exposure days may occur consecutively during the test period. However, if a third consecutive non-exposure day should occur due to circumstances beyond the tester's control, it may be remedied by adding a supplementary exposure day. Federal and other holidays do not constitute such circumstances. Whenever possible, a make-up day should be taken at the first opportunity, i.e., on the next day which would otherwise have been an intentional non-exposure day. If a compensatory day must be scheduled at the end of the standard test period, then it may occur either:

(A) Immediately following the last standard exposure day, with no intervening non-exposure days; or

(B) With up to two intervening non-exposure days, provided that no fewer than two consecutive compensatory exposure days are completed before the

test is terminated and the animals sacrificed.

(iii) Except as allowed in paragraph (d)(5)(ii)(B) of this section, in no case shall there be fewer than four exposure days per week at any time during the test period.

(iv) A nominal 90-day (13-week) subchronic test period shall include no fewer than 63 total exposure days.

(6) *Exposure atmosphere.* (i) The exposure atmosphere shall be held as constant as is practicable and must be monitored continuously or intermittently, depending on the method of analysis, to ensure that exposure levels are at the target values or within stated limits during the exposure period. Sampling methodology will be determined based on the type of generation system and the type of exposure chamber system specified for the exposure study.

(A) Integrated samples of test atmosphere aerosol shall be taken daily during the exposure period from a single representative sample port in the chamber near the breathing zone of the animals. Gas samples shall be taken daily to determine concentrations (ppm) of the major vapor components of the test atmosphere including CO, CO_2, NO_X, SO_2, and total hydrocarbons.

(B) To ensure that animals in different locations of the chamber receive a similar exposure atmosphere, distribution of an aerosol or vapor concentration in exposure chambers can be determined without animals during the developmental phase of the study, or it can be determined with animals early in the study. For head/nose-only exposure chambers, it may not be possible to monitor the chamber distribution during the exposure, because the exposure port contains the animal.

(C) During the development of the emissions generation system, particle size analysis shall be performed to establish the stability of an aerosol concentration with respect to particle size. Over the course of the exposure, analysis shall be conducted as often as is necessary to determine the consistency of particle size distribution.

(D) *Chamber rise and fall times.* The rise time required for the exposure concentration to reach 90 percent of the stable concentration after the generator is turned on, and the fall time when the chamber concentration decreases to 10 percent of the stable concentration after the generation system is stopped shall be determined in the developmental phase of the study. Time-integrated samples collected for calculating exposure concentrations shall be taken after the rise time. The daily exposure time is exclusive of the rise or the fall time.

(ii) Instrumentation used for a given study will be determined based on the type of generation system and the type of exposure chamber system specified for the exposure study.

(A) For exhaust studies, combustion gases shall be sampled by collecting exposure air in bags and then analyzing the collected air sample to determine major components of the combustion gas using gas analyzers. Exposure chambers can also be connected to gas analyzers directly by using sampling lines and switching valves. Samples can be taken more frequently using the latter method. Aerosol instruments, such as photometers, or time-integrated gravimetric determination may be used to determine the stability of any aerosol concentration in the chamber.

(B) For evaporative emission studies, concentration of fuel vapors can usually be determined by using a gas chromatograph (GC) and/or infrared (IR) spectrometry. Grab samples for intermittent sampling can be taken from the chamber by using bubble samplers with the appropriate solvent to collect the vapors, or by collecting a small volume of air in a syringe. Intermediate or continuous monitoring of the chamber concentration is also possible by connecting the chamber with a GC or IR detector.

(7) Monitoring chamber environmental conditions may be performed by a computer system or by exposure system operating personnel.

(i) The flow-metering device used for the exposure chambers must be a continuous monitoring device, and actual flow measurements must be recorded at least every 30 minutes. Accuracy must be ±5 percent of full scale range. Measurement of air flow through the

exposure chamber may be accomplished using any device that has sufficient range to accurately measure the air flow for the given chamber. Types of flow metering devices include rotameters, orifice meters, venturi meters, critical orifices, and turbinemeters (see Benedict, 1984 in paragraph (f)(4) and Spitzer, 1984 in paragraph (f)(17) of this section).

(ii) *Pressure*. Pressure measurement may be accomplished using manometers, electronic pressure transducers, magnehelics, or similar devices (see Gillum, 1982 in paragraph (f)(10) of this section). Accuracy of the pressure device must be ±5 percent of full scale range. Pressure measurements must be continuous and recorded at least every 30 minutes.

(iii) *Temperature*. The temperature of exposure chambers must be monitored continuously and recorded at least every 30 minutes. Temperature may be measured using thermometers, RTD's, thermocouples, thermistors, or other devices (see Benedict, 1984 in paragraph (f)(4) of this section). It is necessary to incorporate an alarm system into the temperature monitoring system. The exposure operators must be notified by the alarm system when the chamber temperature exceeds 26.7 °C (80 °F). The exposure must be discontinued and emergency procedures enacted to immediately reduce temperatures or remove test animals from high temperature environment when chamber temperatures exceed 29 °C. Accuracy of the temperature monitoring device will be ±1 °C for the temperature range of 20–30 °C.

(iv) *Relative humidity*. The relative humidity of exposure chambers must be monitored continuously and recorded at least every 30 minutes. Relative humidity may be measured using various devices (see Chaddock, 1985 in paragraph (f)(6) of this section).

(v) Lighting shall be measured quarterly, or once at the beginning, middle, and end of the study for shorter studies.

(vi) Noise level in the exposure chamber(s) shall be measured quarterly, or once at the beginning, middle, and end of the study for shorter studies.

(vii) Oxygen content is critical, especially in nose-only chamber systems, and shall be greater than or equal to 19 percent in the test cages. An oxygen sensor shall be located at a single position in the test chamber and a lower alarm limit of 18 percent shall be used to activate an alarm system.

(8) *Safety procedures and requirements*. In the case of potentially explosive test substance concentrations, care shall be taken to avoid generating explosive atmospheres.

(i) It is mandatory that the upper explosive limit (UEL) and lower explosive limit (LEL) for the fuel and/or fuel additive(s) that are being tested be determined. These limits can be found in the material safety data sheets (MSDS) for each substance and in various reference texts. The air concentration of the fuel or additive-base fuel mixture in the generation system, dilution/delivery system, and the exposure chamber system shall be calculated to ensure that explosive limits are not present.

(ii) Storage, handling, and use of fuels or fuel/additive mixtures shall follow guidelines given in 29 CFR 1910.106.

(iii) Monitoring for carbon monoxide (CO) levels is mandatory for combustion systems. CO shall be continuously monitored in the immediate area of the engine/vehicle system and in the exposure chamber(s).

(iv) Air samples shall be taken quarterly in the immediate area of the vapor generation system and the exposure chamber system, or once at the beginning, middle, and end of the study for shorter studies. These samples shall be analyzed by methods described in paragraph (d)(6)(ii)(B) of this section.

(v) With the presence of fuels and/or fuel additives, all electrical and electronic equipment must be grounded. Also, the dilution/delivery system and chamber exposure system must be grounded. Guidelines for grounding are given in 29 CFR 1910.304.

(9) *Quality control and quality assurance procedures*—(i) *Standard operating procedures (SOPs)*. SOPs for exposure operations, sampling instruments, animal handling, and analytical methods shall be written during the developmental phase of the study.

(ii) Technicians/operators shall be trained in exposure operation, maintenance, and documentation, as appropriate, and their training shall be documented.

(iii) Flow meters, sampling instruments, and balances used in the inhalation experiments shall be calibrated with standards during the developmental phase to determine their sensitivity, detection limits, and linearity. During the exposure period, instruments shall be checked for calibration and documented to ensure that each instrument still functions properly.

(iv) The mean exposure concentration shall be within 10 percent of the target concentration on 90 percent or more of exposure days. The coefficient of variation shall be within 25 percent of target on 90 percent or more of exposure days. For example, a manufacturer might determine a mean exposure concentration of its product's exposure emissions by identifying ''marker'' compound(s) typical of the emissions of the fuel or fuel/additive mixture under study as a surrogate for the total of individual compounds in those exposure emissions. The manufacturer would note any concentration changes in the level of the ''marker'' compound(s) in the sample's daily emissions for biological testing.

(v) The spatial variation of the chamber concentration shall be 10 percent, or less. If a higher spatial variation is observed during the developmental phase, then air mixing in the chamber shall be increased. In any case, animals shall be rotated among the various cages in the exposure chamber(s) to insure each animal's uniform exposure during the study.

(e) *Data and reporting*. Data shall be summarized in tabular form, showing for each group the number of animals at the start of the test, the number of animals showing lesions, the types of lesions, and the percentage of animals displaying each type of lesion.

(1) *Treatment of results*. All observed results, quantitative and incidental, shall be evaluated by an appropriate statistical method. Any generally accepted statistical method may be used; the statistical methods shall be selected during the design of the study.

(2) *Evaluation of results*. The findings of an inhalation toxicity study should be evaluated in conjunction with the findings of preceding studies and considered in terms of the observed toxic effects and the necropsy and histopathological findings. The evaluation will include the relationship between the concentration of the test atmosphere and the duration of exposure, and the severity of abnormalities, gross lesions, identified target organs, body weight changes, effects on mortality and any other general or specific toxic effects.

(3) *Test conditions*. (i) The exposure apparatus shall be described, including:

(A) The vehicle/engine design and type, the dynamometer, the cooling system, if any, the computer control system, and the dilution system for exhaust emission generation;

(B) The evaporative emissions generator model, type, or design and its dilution system; and

(C) Other test conditions, such as the source and quality of mixing air, fuel or fuel/additive mixture used, treatment of exhaust air, design of exposure chamber and the method of housing animals in a test chamber shall be described.

(ii) The equipment for measuring temperature, humidity, particulate aerosol concentrations and size distribution, gas analyzers, fuel vapor concentrations, chamber distribution, and rise and fall time shall be described.

(iii) *Daily exposure results*. The daily record shall document the date, the start and stop times of the exposure, number of samples taken during the day, daily concentrations determined, calibration of instruments, and problems encountered during the exposure. The daily exposure data shall be signed by the exposure operator and reviewed and signed by the exposure supervisor responsible for the study.

(4) Exposure data shall be tabulated and presented with mean values and a measure of variability (*e.g.*, standard deviation), and shall include:

(i) Airflow rates through the inhalation equipment;

(ii) Temperature and humidity of air;

(iii) Chamber concentrations in the chamber breathing zone;

(iv) Concentration of combustion exhaust gases in the chamber breathing zone;

(v) Particle size distribution (e.g., mass median aerodynamic diameter and geometric standard deviation from the mean);

(vi) Rise and fall time;

(vii) Chamber concentrations during the non-exposure period; and

(viii) Distribution of test substance in the chamber.

(5) *Animal data*. Tabulation of toxic response data by species, strain, sex and exposure level for:

(i) Number of animals exposed;

(ii) Number of animals showing signs of toxicity; and

(iii) Number of animals dying.

(f) *References*. For additional background information on this exposure guideline, the following references should be consulted.

(1) Barr, E.B. (1988) Operational Limits for Temperature and Percent Oxygen During HM Nose-Only Exposures—Emergency Procedures [interoffice memorandum]. Albuquerque, NM: Lovelace Inhalation Toxicology Research Institute; May 13.

(2) Barr, E.B.; Cheng, Y.S.; Mauderly, J.L. (1990) Determination of Oxygen Depletion in a Nose-Only Exposure Chamber. Presented at: 1990 American Association for Aerosol Research; June; Philadelphia, PA: American Association for Aerosol Research; abstract no. P2e1.

(3) Barrow, C.S. (1989) Generation and Characterization of Gases and Vapors. In: McClellan, R.O., Henderson, R.F. ed. Concepts in Inhalation Toxicology. New York, NY: Hemisphere Publishing Corp., 63–84.

(4) Benedict, R.P. (1984) Fundamentals of Temperature, Pressure, and Flow Measurements. 3rd ed. New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons.

(5) Cannon, W.C.; Blanton, E.F.; McDonald, K.E. The Flow-Past Chamber. (1983) An Improved Nose-Only Exposure System for Rodents. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 44: 923–928.

(6) Chaddock, J.B. ed. (1985) Moisture and humidity. Measurement and Control in Science and Industry: Proceedings of the 1985 International Symposium on Moisture and Humidity; April 1985; Washington, D.C. Research Triangle Park, NC: Instrument Society of America.

(7) Cheng, Y.S.; Barr, E.B.; Carpenter, R.L.; Benson, J.M.; Hobbs, C.H. (1989) Improvement of Aerosol Distribution in Whole-Body Inhalation Exposure Chambers. Inhal. Toxicol. 1: 153–166.

(8) Cheng,Y.S.; Moss, O.R. (1989) Inhalation Exposure Systems. In: McClellan, R.O.; Henderson, R.F. ed. Concepts in Inhalation Toxicology. New York, NY: Hemisphere Publishing Corp., 19–62.

(9) Cheng, Y.S.; Yeh, H.C.; Mauderly, J.L.; Mokler, B.V. (1984) Characterization of Diesel Exhaust in a Chronic Inhalation Study. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 45: 547–555.

(10) Gillum, D.R. (1982) Industrial Pressure Measurement. Research Triangle Park, NC: Instrument Society of America.

(11) Hinners, R.G.; Burkart, J.K.; Malanchuk, M. (1979) Animal Exposure Facility for Diesel Exhaust Studies.

(12) Kittelson, D.B.; Dolan, D.F. (1979) Diesel exhaust aerosols. In Willeke, K. ed. Generation of Aerosols and Facilities for Exposure Experiments. Ann Arbor, MI: Ann Arbor Science Publishers Inc., 337–360.

(13) Mokler, B.V.; Archibeque, F.A.; Beethe, R.L.; Kelly, C.P.J.; Lopez, J.A.; Mauderly, J.L.; Stafford, D.L. (1984) Diesel Exhaust Exposure System for Animal Studies. Fundamental and Applied Toxicology 4: 270–277.

(14) Moore, W.; *et al*. (1978) Preliminary finding on the Deposition and Retention of Automotive Diesel Particulate in Rat Lungs. Proc. of Annual Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Assn, 3, paper 78–33.7.

(15) Raabe, O.G., Bennick, J.E., Light, M.E., Hobbs, C.H., Thomas, R.L., Tillery, M.I. (1973) An Improved Apparatus for Acute Inhalation Exposure of Rodents to Radioactive Aerosols. Toxicol & Applied Pharmaco.; 1973; 26: 264–273.

(16) Rao, G.N. (1986) Significance of Environmental Factors on the Test System. In: Hoover, B.K.; Baldwin, J.K.; Uelner, A.F.; Whitmire, C.E.; Davies, C.L.; Bristol, D.W. ed. Managing conduct and data quality of toxicology studies. Raleigh, NC: Princeton Scientific Publishing Co., Inc.: 173–185.

(17) Spitzer, D.W. (1984) Industrial Flow Measurement. Research Triangle Park, NC: Instrument Society of America.

(18) 40 CFR part 798, Health effects testing guidelines.

(19) 29 CFR part 1910, Occupational safety and health standards for general industry.

(20) FEDERAL REGISTER, 42 FR 26748, May 25, 1977.

[59 FR 33093, June 27, 1994, as amended at 61 FR 58746, Nov. 18, 1996; 61 FR 36512, July 11, 1996]

§ 79.62 Subchronic toxicity study with specific health effect assessments.

(a) *Purpose*—(1) *General toxicity*. This subchronic inhalation study is designed to determine a concentration-response relationship for potential toxic effects in rats resulting from continuous or repeated inhalation exposure to vehicle/engine emissions over a period of 90 days. A subgroup of perfusion-fixed animals is required, in addition to the main study population, for more exacting organ and tissue histology. This test will provide screening information on target organ toxicities and on concentration levels useful for running chronic studies and establishing exposure criteria. Initial information on effective concentrations/exposures of the test atmosphere may be determined from the literature of previous studies or through concentration range-finding trials prior to starting this study. This health effects screening test is not capable of directly determining those effects which have a long latency period for development (e.g., carcinogenicity and life-shortening), though it may permit the detremination of a no-observed-adverse-effect level, or NOAEL.

(2) *Specific health effects assessments (HEAs)*. These supplemental studies are designed to determine the potential for reproductive/teratologic, carcinogenic, mutagenic, and neurotoxic health effect outcomes from vehicle/engine emission exposures. They are done in combination with the subchronic toxicity study and paragraph (c) of this section or may be done separately as outlined by the appropriate test guideline.

(i) *Fertility assessment/teratology*. The fertility assessment is an *in vivo* study designed to provide information on potential health hazards to the fetus arising from the mother's repeated exposure to vehicle/engine emissions before and during her pregnancy. By including a mating of test animals, the study provides preliminary data on the effects of repeated vehicle/engine emissions exposure on gonadal function, conception, and fertility. The fertility assessment/teratology guideline is found in § 79.63.

(ii) *Micronucleus (MN) Assay*. The MN assay is an *in vivo* cytogenetic test which gives information on potential carcinogenic and/or mutagenic effects of exposure to vehicle/engine emissions. The MN assay detects damage to the chromosomes or mitotic apparatus of cells in the tissues of a test subject exposed repeatedly to vehicle/engine emissions. The assay is based on an increase in the frequency of micronucleated erythrocytes found in bone marrow from treated animals compared to that of control animals. The guideline for the MN assay is found in § 79.64.

(iii) *Sister Chromatid Exchange (SCE) Assay*. The SCE assay is an *in vivo* analysis which gives information on potential mutagenic and/or carcinogenic effects of exposure to vehicle/engine emissions. The assay detects the ability of a chemical to enhance the exchange of DNA between two sister chromatids of a duplicating chromosome. This assay uses peripheral blood lymphocytes isolated from an exposed rodent test species and grown to confluence in cell culture. The guideline for the SCE assay is found in § 79.65.

(iv) *Neurotoxicity (NTX) measures*. NTX measures include (A) histopathology of specified central and peripheral nervous system tissues taken from emission-exposed rodents, and (B) an assay of brain tissue levels of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), a major filament protein of astrocytes, from emission-exposed rodents. The guidelines for the neurohistopathology and GFAP studies are found in § 79.66 and § 79.67, respectively.

(b) *Definitions*. For the purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

No-observed-adverse-effect-level (NOAEL) means the maximum concentration used in a test which produces no observed adverse effects. A NOAEL is expressed in terms of weight or volume of test substance given daily per unit volume of air (μg/L or ppm).

Subchronic inhalation toxicity means the adverse effects occurring as a result of the continuous or repeated daily exposure of experimental animals to a chemical by inhalation for part (approximately 10 percent) of a life span.

(c) *Principle of the test method.* As long as none of the requirements of any study are violated by the combination, one or more HEAs may be combined with the general toxicity study through concurrent exposures of their study populations and/or by sharing the analysis of the same animal subjects. Requirements duplicated in combined studies need not be repeated. Guidelines for combining HEAs with the general toxicity study are as follows.

(1) *Fertility assessment.* (i) The number of study animals in the test population is increased when the fertility assessment is run concurrently with the 90-day toxicity study. A minimum of 40 females per test group shall undergo vaginal lavage daily for two weeks before the start of the exposure period. The resulting wet smears are examined to cull those animals which are acyclic. Twenty-five females shall be randomly assigned to a for-breeding group with the balance of females assigned to a group for histopathologic examination.

(ii) All test groups are exposed over a period of 90 days to various concentrations of the test atmosphere for a minimum of six hours per day. After seven weeks of exposures, analysis of vaginal cell smears shall resume on a daily basis for the 25 for-breeding females and shall continue for a period of four weeks or until each female in the group is confirmed pregnant. Following the ninth week of exposures, each for-breeding female is housed overnight with a single study male. Matings shall continue for as long as two weeks, or until pregnancy is confirmed (pregnancy day 0). Pregnant females are only exposed through day 15 of their pregnancy while daily exposures continue throughout the course of the study for non-pregnant females and study males.

(iii) On pregnancy day 20, pregnant females are sacrificed and their uteri are examined. Pregnancy status and fetal effects are recorded as described in § 79.63. At the end of the exposure period, all males and non-pregnant females are sacrificed and necropsied. Testes and epididymal tissue samples are taken from five perfusion-fixed test subjects and histopathological examinations are carried out on the remainder of the non-pregnant females and study males.

(2) *Carcinogenicity/mutagenicity(C/M) assessment.* When combined with the subchronic toxicity study, the main study population is used to perform both the *in vivo* MN and SCE assays. Because of the constant turnover of the cells to be analyzed in these assays, a separate study population may be used for this assessment. A study population needs only to be exposed a minimum of four weeks. At exposure's end, ten animals per exposure and control groups are anaesthetized and heart punctures are performed on all members. After separating blood components, individual lymphocyte cell cultures are set up for SCE analysis. One femur from each study subject is also removed and the marrow extracted. The marrow is smeared onto a glass slide, and stained for analysis of micronuclei in erythrocytes.

(3) *Neurotoxicity (NTX) measures.* (i) When combined with this subchronic toxicity study, test animals designated for whole-body perfusion fixation/lung histology and exposed as part of the main animal population are used to perform the neurohistology portion of these measures. After the last exposure period, a minimum of ten animals from each exposure group shall be preserved *in situ* with fixative. Sections of brain, spinal cord, and proximal sciatic or tibial nerve are then cut, processed further in formalin, and mounted for viewing under a light microscope. Fibers from the sciatic or tibial nerve sample are teased apart for further analysis under the microscope.

(ii) *GFAP assay.* After the last exposure period, a minimum of ten rodents

from each exposure group shall be sacrificed, and their brains excised and divided into regions. The tissue samples are then applied to filter paper, washed with anti-GFAP antibody, and visualized with a radio-labelled Protein A. The filters are quantified for degree of immunoreactivity between the antibody and GFAP in the tissue samples. A non-radioactive ELISA format is also referenced in the GFAP guideline cited in paragraph (a)(2)(iv) of this section. Note: Because the GFAP assay requires fresh, *i.e.*, non-preserved, brain tissue, the number of test animals may need to be increased to provide an adequate number of test subjects to complete the histopathology requirements of both the GFAP and the general toxicity portion of the 90-day inhalation study.

(iii) The start of the exposure period for the NTX measures study population may be staggered from that of the main study group to more evenly distribute the analytical work required in both study populations. The exposures would remain the same in all other respects.

(d) *Test procedures*—(1) *Animal selection*—(i) *Species and sex*. The rat is the recommended species. If another rodent species is used, the tester shall provide justification for its selection. Both sexes shall be used in any assessment unless it is demonstrated that one sex is refractory to the effects of exposure.

(ii) *Age and number*. Rats shall be at least ten weeks of age at the beginning of the study exposure. The number of animals necessary for individual health effect outcomes is as follows:

(A) Thirty rodents per concentration level/group, fifteen of each sex, shall be used to satisfy the reporting requirements of the 90-day toxicity study. Ten animals per concentration level/group shall be designated for whole body perfusion with fixative (by gravity) for lung studies, and neurohistology and testes studies, as appropriate.

(B) Thirty-five rodents, 25 females and ten males, shall be added for each test concentration or control group when combining a 90-day toxicity study with a fertility assessment.

(C) The tester shall provide a group of 10 animals (five animals per sex per experimental/control groups) in addition to the main test population when performing the GFAP neurotoxicity HEA.

(2) *Recovery group*. The manufacturer shall include a group of 20 animals (10 animals per sex) in the test population, exposing them to the highest concentration level for the entire length of the study's exposure period. This group shall then be observed for reversibility, persistence, or delayed occurrence of toxic effects during a post-exposure period of not less than 28 days.

(3) *Inhalation exposure*. (i) All data developed within this study shall be in accordance with good laboratory practice provisions under § 79.60.

(ii) The general conduct of this study shall be in accordance with the vehicle emissions inhalation exposure guideline in § 79.61.

(4) *Observation of animals*. (i) All toxicological (e.g., weight loss) and neurological signs (e.g., motor disturbance) shall be recorded frequently enough to observe any abnormality, and not less than weekly for all study animals. Animals shall be weighed weekly.

(ii) The following is a minimal list of measures that shall be noted:

(A) Body weight;

(B) Subject's reactivity to general stimuli such as removal from the cage or handling;

(C) Description, incidence, and severity of any convulsions, tremors, or abnormal motor movements in the home cage;

(D) Descriptions and incidence of posture and gait abnormalities observed in the home cage;

(E) Description and incidence of any unusual or abnormal behaviors, excessive or repetitive actions (stereotypies), emaciation, dehydration, hypotonia or hypertonia, altered fur appearance, red or crusty deposits around the eyes, nose, or mouth, and any other observations that may facilitate interpretation of the data.

(iii) Any animal which dies during the test is necropsied as soon as possible after discovery.

(5) *Clinical examinations*. (i) The following examinations shall be performed on the twenty animals designated as the 90-day study population, exclusive of pregnant dams and those

study animals targeted for perfusion by gravity:

(A) The following hematology determinations shall be carried out at least two times during the test period (after 30 days of exposure and just prior to terminal sacrifice at the end of the exposure period): hematocrit, hemoglobin concentration, erythrocyte count, total and differential leukocyte count, and a measure of clotting potential such as prothrombin time, thromboplastin time, or platelet count.

(B) Clinical biochemistry determinations on blood shall be carried out at least two times during the test period, after 30 days of exposure and just prior to terminal sacrifice at the end of the exposure period, on all groups of animals including concurrent controls. Clinical biochemical testing shall include assessment of electrolyte balance, carbohydrate metabolism, and liver and kidney function. The selection of specific tests will be influenced by observations on the mode of action of the substance. In the absence of more specific tests, the following determinations may be made: calcium, phosphorus, chloride, sodium, potassium, fasting glucose (with period of fasting appropriate to the species), serum alanine aminotransferase, serum aspartate aminotransferase, sorbitol dehydrogenase, gamma glutamyl transpeptidase, urea nitrogen, albumen, blood creatinine, methemoglobin, bile acids, total bilirubin, and total serum protein measurements. Additional clinical biochemistry shall be employed, where necessary, to extend the investigation of observed effects, e.g., analyses of lipids, hormones, acid/base balance, and cholinesterase activity.

(ii) The following examinations shall initially be performed on the high concentration and control groups only:

(A) Ophthalmological examination, using an ophthalmoscope or equivalent suitable equipment, shall be made prior to exposure to the test substance and at the termination of the study. If changes in the eyes are detected, all animals shall be examined.

(B) Urinalysis is not required on a routine basis, but shall be done when there is an indication based on expected and/or observed toxicity.

(iii) Preservation by whole-body perfusion of fixative into the anaesthetized animal for lung histology of ten animals from the 90-day study population for each experimental and control group.

(6) *Gross pathology*. With the exception of the whole body perfusion-fixed test animals cited in paragraph (d)(1)(ii)(A) of this section, all rodents shall be subjected to a full gross necropsy which includes examination of the external surface of the body, all orifices and the cranial, thoracic, and abdominal cavities and their contents. Gross pathology shall be performed on the following organs and tissues:

(i) The liver, kidneys, lungs, adrenals, brain, and gonads, including uterus, ovaries, testes, epididymides, seminal vesicles (with coagulating glands), and prostate, constitute the group of target organs for histology and shall be weighed as soon as possible after dissection to avoid drying. In addition, for other than rodent test species, the thyroid with parathyroids, when present, shall also be weighed as soon as possible after dissection to avoid drying.

(ii) The following organs and tissues, or representative samples thereof, shall be preserved in a suitable medium for possible future histopathological examination: All gross lesions; lungs—which shall be removed intact, weighed, and treated with a suitable fixative to ensure that lung structure is maintained (perfusion with the fixative is considered to be an effective procedure); nasopharyngeal tissues; brain—including sections of medulla/pons, cerebellar cortex, and cerebral cortex; pituitary; thyroid/parathyroid; thymus; trachea; heart; sternum with bone marrow; salivary glands; liver; spleen; kidneys; adrenals; pancreas; reproductive organs: uterus; cervix; ovaries; vagina; testes; epididymides; prostate; and, if present, seminal vesicles; aorta; (skin); gall bladder (if present); esophagus; stomach; duodenum; jejunum; ileum; cecum; colon; rectum; urinary bladder; representative lymph node; (mammary gland); (thigh musculature); peripheral nerve/tissue; (eyes); (femur—including articular surface); (spinal cord at three

levels—cervical, midthoracic, and lumbar); and (zymbal and exorbital lachrymal glands).

(7) *Histopathology*. Histopathology shall be performed on the following organs and tissues from all rodents:

(i) All gross lesions.

(ii) Respiratory tract and other organs and tissues, listed in paragraph (d)(6)(ii) of this section (except organs/tissues in parentheses), of all animals in the control and high dose groups.

(iii) The tissues mentioned in parentheses, listed in paragraph (d)(6)(ii) of this section, if indicated by signs of toxicity or target organ involvement.

(iv) Lungs of animals in the low and intermediate dose groups shall also be subjected to histopathological examination, primarily for evidence of infection since this provides a convenient assessment of the state of health of the animals.

(v) Lungs and trachea of the whole-body perfusion-fixed test animals cited in paragraph (d)(1)(ii)(A) of this section are examined for inhaled particle distribution.

(e) Interpretation of results. All observed results, quantitative and incidental, shall be evaluated by an appropriate statistical method. The specific methods, including consideration of statistical power, shall be selected during the design of the study.

(f) *Test report*. In addition to the reporting requirements as specified under §§ 79.60 and 79.61(e), the following individual animal data information shall be reported:

(1) Date of death during the study or whether animals survived to termination.

(2) Date of observation of each abnormal sign and its subsequent course.

(3) Individual body weight data, and group average body weight data vs. time.

(4) Feed consumption data, when collected.

(5) Hematological tests employed and all results.

(6) Clinical biochemistry tests employed and all results.

(7) Necropsy findings.

(8) Type of stain/fixative and procedures used in preparing tissue samples.

(9) Detailed description of all histopathological findings.

(10) Statistical treatment of the study results, where appropriate.

(g) *References*. For additional background information on this test guideline, the following references should be consulted.

(1) 40 CFR 798.2450, Inhalation toxicity.

(2) 40 CFR 798.2675, Oral Toxicity with Satellite Reproduction and Fertility Study.

(3) General Statement of Work for the Conduct of Toxicity and Carcinogenicity Studies in Laboratory Animals (revised April, 1987/modifications through January, 1990) appendix G, National Toxicology Program—U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services (Public Health Service), P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709.

[59 FR 33093, June 27, 1994, as amended at 63 FR 63793, Nov. 17, 1998]

§ 79.63 Fertility assessment/teratology.

(a) *Purpose*. Fertility assessment/teratology is an *in vivo* study designed to provide information on potential health hazards to the fetus arising from the mother's repeated inhalation exposure to vehicle/engine emissions before and during her pregnancy. By including a mating of test animals, the study provides preliminary data on the effects of repeated vehicle/engine emissions exposure on gonadal function, conception, and fertility. Since this is a one-generation test that ends with examination of full-term fetuses, but not of live pups, it is not capable of determining effects on reproductive development which would only be detected in viable offspring of treated parents.

(b) *Definitions*. For the purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

Developmental toxicity means the ability of an agent to induce *in utero* death, structural or functional abnormalities, or growth retardation after contact with the pregnant animal.

Estrous cycle means the periodic recurrence of the biological phases of the female reproductive system which prepare the animal for conception and the development of offspring. The phases of the estrous cycle for a particular animal can be characterized by the general condition of the cells present in

the vagina and the presence or absence of various cell types.

Vaginal cytology evaluation means the use of wet vaginal cell smears to determine the phase of a test animal's estrous cycle and the potential for adverse exposure effects on the regularity of the animal's cycle. In the rat, common cell types found in the smears correlate well with the various stages of the estrous cycle and to changes occurring in the reproductive tract.

(c) *Principle of the test method.* (1) For a two week period before exposures start, daily vaginal cell smears are examined from a surplus of female test animals to identify and cull those females which are acyclic. After culling, testers shall randomly assign at each exposure concentration (including unexposed) a minimum of twenty-five females for breeding and fifteen non-bred females for later histologic evaluation. Test animals shall be exposed by inhalation to graduated concentrations of the test atmosphere for a minimum of six hours per day over the next 13 weeks. Males and females in both test and control groups are mated after nine weeks of exposure. Exposures for pregnant females continue through gestation day 15, while exposures for males and all non-pregnant females shall continue for the full exposure period.

(2) Beginning two weeks before the start of the mating period, daily vaginal smears resume for all to-be-bred females to characterize their estrous cycles. This will continue for four weeks or until a rat's pregnancy is confirmed, *i.e.*, day 0, by the presence of sperm in the cell smear. On pregnancy day 20, shortly before the expected date of delivery, each pregnant female is sacrificed, her uterus removed, and the contents examined for embryonic or fetal deaths, and live fetuses. At the end of the exposure period, males and all non-pregnant females shall be weighed, and various organs and tissues, as appropriate, shall be removed and weighed, fixed with stain, and sectioned for viewing under a light microscope.

(3) This assay may be done separately or in combination with the subchronic toxicity study, pursuant to the provisions in § 79.62.

(d) *Limit test.* If a test at one dose level of the highest concentration that can be achieved while maintaining a particle size distribution with a mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD) of 4 micrometers (μm) or less, using the procedures described in section 79.60 of this part produces no observable toxic effects and if toxicity would not be expected based upon data of structurally related compounds, then a full study using three dose levels might not be necessary. Expected human exposure though may indicate the need for a higher dose level.

(e) *Test procedures*—(1) *Animal selection*—(i) *Species and strain.* The rat is the preferred species. Strains with low fecundity shall not be used and the candidate species shall be characterized for its sensitivity to developmental toxins. If another rodent species is used, the tester shall provide justification for its selection.

(ii) Animals shall be a minimum of 10 weeks old at the start of the exposure period.

(iii) *Number and sex.* Each test and control group shall have a minimum of 25 males and 40 females. In order to ensure that sufficient pups are produced to permit meaningful evaluation of the potential developmental toxicity of the test substance, twenty pregnant test animals are required for each exposure and control level.

(2) *Observation period.* The observation period shall be 13 weeks, at a minimum.

(3) *Concentration levels and concentration selection.* (i) To select the appropriate concentration levels, a pilot or trial study may be advisable. Since pregnant animals have an increased minute ventilation as compared to non-pregnant animals, it is recommended that the trial study be conducted in pregnant animals. Similarly, since presumably the minute ventilation will vary with progression of pregnancy, the animals should be exposed during the same period of gestation as in the main study. It is not always necessary, though, to carry out a trial study in pregnant animals. Comparisons between the results of a trial study in non-pregnant animals, and the main study in pregnant animals will demonstrate whether or not the test

substance is more toxic in pregnant animals. In the trial study, the concentration producing embryonic or fetal lethalities or maternal toxicity should be determined.

(ii) The highest concentration level shall induce some overt maternal toxicity such as reduced body weight or body weight gain, but not more than 10 percent maternal deaths.

(iii) The lowest concentration level shall not produce any grossly observable evidence of either maternal or developmental toxicity.

(4) *Inhalation exposure.* (i) All data developed within this study shall be in accordance with good laboratory practice provisions under § 79.60.

(ii) The general conduct of this study shall be in accordance with the vehicle emissions inhalation exposure guideline in § 79.61.

(iii) Pregnant females shall be exposed to the test atmosphere on each and every day between (and including) the first and fifteenth day of gestation.

(f) *Test performance*—(1) *Study conduct.* Directions specific to this study are:

(i) The duration of exposure shall be at least six hours daily, allowing appropriate additional time for chamber equilibrium.

(ii) Where an exposure chamber is used, its design shall minimize crowding of the test animals. This is best accomplished by individual caging.

(iii) Pregnant animals shall not be subjected to beyond the minimum amount of stress. Since whole-body exposure appears to be the least stressful mode of exposure, it is the preferred method. In general oronasal or head-only exposure, which is sometimes used to avoid concurrent exposure by the dermal or oral routes, is not recommended because of the associated stress accompanying the restraining of the animals. However, there may be specific instances where it may be more appropriate than whole-body exposure. The tester shall provide justification/reasoning for its selection.

(iv) Measurements shall be made at least every other day of food consumption for all animals in the study. Males and females shall be weighed on the first day of exposure and 2–3 times per week thereafter, except for pregnant dams.

(v) The test animal housing, mating, and exposure chambers shall be operated on a twenty-four hour lighting schedule, with twelve hours of light and twelve hours of darkness. Test animal exposure shall only occur during the light portion of the cycle.

(vi) Signs of toxicity shall be recorded as they are observed including the time of onset, degree, and duration.

(vii) Females showing signs of abortion or premature delivery shall be sacrificed and subjected to a thorough macroscopic examination.

(viii) Animals that die or are euthanized because of morbidity will be necropsied promptly.

(2) *Vaginal cytology.* (i) For a two week period before the mating period starts, each female in the to-be-bred population shall undergo a daily saline vaginal lavage. Two wet cell smears from this lavage shall be examined daily for each subject to determine a baseline pattern of estrus. Testers shall avoid excessive handling and roughness in obtaining the vaginal cell samples, as this may induce a condition of pseudo-pregnancy in the test animals.

(ii) This will continue for four weeks or until day 0 of a rat's pregnancy is confirmed by the presence of sperm in the cell smear.

(3) *Mating and fertility assessment.* (i) Beginning nine weeks after the start of exposure, each exposed and control group female (exclusive of the histology group females) shall be paired during non-exposure hours with a male from the same exposure concentration group. Matings shall continue for a period of two weeks, or until all mated females are determined to be pregnant. Mating pairs shall be clearly identified.

(ii) Each morning, including weekends, cages shall be examined for the presence of a sperm plug. When found, this shall mark gestation day 0 and pregnancy shall be confirmed by the presence of sperm in the day's wet vaginal cell smears.

(iii) Two weeks after mating is begun, or as females are determined to be pregnant, bred animals are returned to pre-mating housing. Daily exposures continues through gestation day 15 for all pregnant females or through the

balance of the exposure period for nonpregnant females and all males.

(iv) Those pairs which fail to mate shall be evaluated in the course of the study to determine the cause of the apparent infertility. This may involve such procedures as additional opportunities to mate with a proven fertile partner, histological examination of the reproductive organs, and, in males, examination of the spermatogenic cycles. The stage of estrus for each nonpregnant female in the breeding group will be determined at the end of the exposure period.

(4) All animals in the histology group shall be subject to histopathologic examination at the end of the study's exposure period.

(g) *Treatment of results.* (1) All observed results, quantitative and incidental, shall be evaluated by an appropriate statistical method. The specific methods, including consideration of statistical power, shall be selected during the design of the study.

(2) Data and reporting. In addition to the reporting requirements specified under §§ 79.60 and 79.61, the final test report must include the following information:

(i) *Gross necropsy.* (A) All animals shall be subjected to a full necropsy which includes examination of the external surface of the body, all orifices, and the cranial, thoracic, and abdominal cavities and their contents. Special attention shall be directed to the organs of the reproductive system.

(B) The liver, kidneys, adrenals, pituitary, uterus, vagina, ovaries, testes, epididymides and seminal vesicles (with coagulating glands), and prostate shall be weighed wet, as soon as possible after dissection, to avoid drying.

(*i*) At the time of sacrifice on gestation day 20 or at death during the study, each dam shall be examined macroscopically for any structural abnormalities or pathological changes which may have influenced the pregnancy.

(*ii*) The contents of the uterus shall be examined for embryonic or fetal deaths and the number of viable fetuses. Gravid uterine weights need not be obtained from dead animals where decomposition has occurred. The degree of resorption shall be described in order to help estimate the relative time of death.

(*iii*) The number of corpora lutea shall be determined in each pregnant dam.

(*iv*) Each fetus shall be weighed, all weights recorded, and mean fetal weights determined.

(*v*) Each fetus shall be examined externally and the sex determined.

(*vi*) One-half of the rat fetuses in each litter shall be examined for skeletal anomalies, and the remaining half shall be examined for soft tissue anomalies, using appropriate methods.

(ii) *Histopathology.* (A) Histopathology on vagina, uterus, ovaries, testes, epididymides, seminal vesicles, and prostate as appropriate for all males and histology group females in the control and high concentration groups and for all animals that died or were euthanized during the study. If abnormalities or equivocal results are seen in any of these organs/tissues, the same organ/tissue from test animals in lower concentration groups shall be examined.

NOTE: Testes, seminal vesicles, epididymides, and ovaries, at a minimum, shall be examined in perfusion-fixed (pressure or gravity method) test subjects, when available.

(B) All gross lesions in all study animals shall be examined.

(C) As noted under mating procedures, reproductive organs of animals suspected of infertility shall be subject to microscopic examination.

(D) The following organs and tissues, or representative samples thereof, shall be preserved in a suitable medium for future histopathological examination: all gross lesions; vagina; uterus; ovaries; testes; epididymides; seminal vesicles; prostate; liver; and kidneys/adrenals.

(3) *Evaluation of results.* (i) The findings of a developmental toxicity study shall be evaluated in terms of the observed effects and the exposure levels producing effects. It is necessary to consider the historical developmental toxicity data on the species/strain tested.

(ii) There are several criteria for determining a positive result for reproductive/teratologic effects; a statistically significant dose-related decrease in the weight of the testes for treated subjects over control subjects, a decrease in neonatal viability, a significant change in the presence of soft tissue or skeletal abnormalities, or an increased rate of embryonic or fetal resorption or death. Other criteria, e.g., lengthening of the estrous cycle or the time spent in any one stage of estrus, changes in the proportion of viable male vs female fetuses or offspring, the number and type of cells in vaginal smears, or pathologic changes found during gross or microscopic examination of male or female reproductive organs may be based upon detection of a reproducible and statistically significant positive response for that evaluation parameter. A positive result indicates that, under the test conditions, the test substance does induce reproductive organ or fetal toxicity in the test species.

(iii) A test substance which does not produce either a statistically significant dose-related change in the reproductive organs or cycle or a statistically significant and reproducible positive response at any one of the test points may not induce reproductive organ toxicity in this test species, but further investigation , e.g., to establish absorption and bioavailability of the test substance, should be considered.

(h) *Test report.* In addition to the reporting requirements as specified under 40 CFR 79.60 and the vehicle emissions inhalation toxicity guideline as published in 40 CFR 79.61, the following specific information shall be reported:

(1) *Individual animal data.* (i) Time of death during the study or whether animals survived to termination.

(ii) Date of onset and duration of each abnormal sign and its subsequent course.

(iii) Feed and body weight data.

(iv) Necropsy findings.

(v) Male test subjects.

(A) Testicle weight, and body weight: testicle weight ratio.

(B) Detailed description of all histopathological findings, especially for the testes and the epididymides.

(vi) Female test subjects.

(A) Uterine weight data.

(B) Beginning and ending collection dates for vaginal cell smears.

(C) Estrous cycle length compared within and between groups including mean cycle length for groups.

(D) Percentage of time spent in each stage of cycle.

(E) Stage of estrus at time of mating/sacrifice and proportion of females in estrus between concentration groups.

(F) Detailed description of all histopathological findings, especially for uterine/ovary samples.

(vii) Pregnancy and litter data. Toxic response data by exposure level, including but not limited to, indices of fertility and time-to-mating, including the number of days until mating and the number of full or partial estrous cycles until mating.

(A) Number of pregnant animals,

(B) Number and percentage of live fetuses, resorptions.

(viii) *Fetal data.* (A) Numbers of each sex.

(B) Number of fetuses with any soft tissue or skeletal abnormalities.

(2) Type of stain/fixative and procedures used in preparing tissue samples.

(3) Statistical treatment of the study results.

(i) *References.* For additional background information on this test guideline, the following references should be consulted.

(1) 40 CFR 798.2675, Oral Toxicity with Satellite Reproduction and Fertility Study.

(2) 40 CFR 798.4350, Inhalation Developmental Toxicity Study.

(3) Chapin, R.E. and J.J. Heindel (1993) Methods in Toxicology, Vol. 3, Parts A and B: Reproductive Toxicology, Academic Press, Orlando, FL.

(4) Gray, L.E., et al. (1989) ''A Dose-Response Analysis of Methoxychlor-Induced Alterations of Reproductive Development and Function in the Rat'' Fund. App. Tox. 12, 92–108.

(5) Leblond, C.P. and Y. Clermont (1952) ''Definition of the Stages of the Cycle of the Seminiferous Epithelium of the Rat.'' Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 55:548–73.

(6) Morrissey, R.E., et al. (1988) ''Evaluation of Rodent Sperm, Vaginal Cytology, and Reproductive Organ Weight Data from National Toxicology

Program 13-week Studies.'' Fundam. Appl. Toxicol. 11:343–358.

(7) Russell, L.D., Ettlin, R.A., Sinhattikim, A.P., and Clegg, E.D (1990) Histological and Histopathological Evaluation of the Testes, Cache River Press, Clearwater, FL.

[59 FR 33093, June 27, 1994, as amended at 61 FR 36513, July 11, 1996]

§ 79.64 *In vivo* micronucleus assay.

(a) *Purpose*. The micronucleus assay is an *in vivo* cytogenetic test which uses erythrocytes in the bone marrow of rodents to detect chemical damage to the chromosomes or mitotic apparatus of mammalian cells. As the erythroblast develops into an erythrocyte (red blood cell), its main nucleus is extruded and may leave a micronucleus in the cell body; a few micronuclei form under normal conditions in blood elements. This assay is based on an increase in the frequency of micronucleated erythrocytes found in bone marrow from treated animals compared to that of control animals. The visualization of micronuclei is facilitated in these cells because they lack a main nucleus.

(b) *Definitions*. For the purposes of this section the following definitions apply:

Micronuclei mean small particles consisting of acentric fragments of chromosomes or entire chromosomes, which lag behind at anaphase of cell division. After telophase, these fragments may not be included in the nuclei of daughter cells and form single or multiple micronuclei in the cytoplasm.

Polychromatic erythrocyte (PCE) means an immature red blood cell that, because it contains RNA, can be differentiated by appropriate staining techniques from a normochromatic erythrocyte (NCE), which lacks RNA. In one to two days, a PCE matures into a NCE.

(c) *Test method*—(1) *Principle of the test method*. (i) Groups of rodents are exposed by the inhalation route for a minimum of 6 hours/day over a period of not less than 28 days to three or more concentrations of a test substance in air. Groups of animals are sacrificed at the end of the exposure period and femoral bone marrow is extracted. The bone marrow is then smeared onto glass slides, stained, and PCEs are scored for micronuclei. Researchers may need to run a trial at the highest tolerated concentration of the test atmosphere to optimize the sample collection time for micronucleated cells.

(ii) This assay may be done separately or in combination with the subchronic toxicity study, pursuant to the provisions in § 79.62.

(2) *Species and strain*. (i) The rat is the recommended test animal. Other rodent species may be used in this assay, but use of that species will be justified by the tester.

(ii) If a strain of mouse is used in this assay, the tester shall sample peripheral blood from an appropriate site on the test animal, e.g., the tail vein, as a source of normochromatic erythrocytes. Results shall be reported as outlined later in this guideline with ''normochromatic'' interchanged for ''polychromatic'', where specified.

(3) *Animal number and sex*. At least five female and five male animals per experimental/sample and control group shall be used. The use of a single sex or a smaller number of animals shall be justified.

(4) *Positive control group*. A single concentration of a compound known to produce micronuclei *in vivo* is adequate as a positive control if it shows a significant response at any one time point; additional concentration levels may be used. To select an appropriate concentration level, a pilot or trial study may be advisable. Initially, one concentration of the test substance may be used, the maximum tolerated dose or that producing some indication of toxicity, e.g., a drop in the ratio of polychromatic to normochromatic erythrocytes. Intraperitoneal injection of 1,2-dimethyl-benz-anthracene or benzene are examples of positive control exposures. A concentration of 50–80 percent of an LD50 may be a suitable guide.

(d) *Test performance*—(1) *Inhalation exposure*. (i) All data developed within this study shall be in accordance with good laboratory practice provisions under § 79.60.

(ii) The general conduct of this study shall be in accordance with the vehicle emissions inhalation exposure guideline in § 79.61.

(2) *Preparation of slides and sampling times*. Within twenty-four hours of the last exposure, test animals will be sacrificed. One femur from each test animal will be removed and placed in fetal bovine serum. The bone marrow is removed, cells processed, and two bone marrow smears are made for each animal on glass microscope slides. The slides are stained with acridine- orange (AO) or another appropriate stain (Giemsa + Wright's, etc.) and examined under a microscope.

(3) *Analysis*. Slides shall be coded for study before microscopic analysis. At least 1,000 first-division erythrocytes per animal shall be scored for the incidence of micronuclei. Sexes will be analyzed separately.

(e) *Data and report*—(1) *Treatment of results*. In addition to the reporting requirements specified under §§ 79.60 and 79.61, the final test report must include the criteria for scoring micronuclei. Individual data shall be presented in a tabular form including both positive and negative controls and experimental groups. The number of polychromatic erythrocytes scored, the number of micronucleated erythrocytes, the percentage of micronucleated cells, and, where applicable, the percentage of micronucleated erythrocytes shall be listed separately for each experimental and control animal. Absolute numbers shall be included if percentages are reported.

(2) *Interpretation of data*. (i) There are several criteria for determining a positive response, one of which is a statistically significant dose-related increase in the number of micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes. Another criterion may be based upon detection of a reproducible and statistically significant positive response for at least one of the test substance concentrations.

(ii) A test substance which does not produce either a statistically significant dose-related increase in the number of micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes or a statistically significant and reproducible positive response at any one of the test points is considered nonmutagenic in this system.

(3) *Test evaluation*. (i) Positive results in the micronucleus test provide information on the ability of a chemical to induce micronuclei in erythrocytes of the test species under the conditions of the test. This damage may have been the result of chromosomal damage or damage to the mitotic apparatus.

(ii) Negative results indicate that under the test conditions the test substance does not produce micronuclei in the bone marrow of the test species.

(f) *Test report*. In addition to the reporting recommendations as specified under § 79.60, the following specific information shall be reported:

(1) Test atmosphere concentration(s) used and rationale for concentration selection.

(2) Rationale for and description of treatment and sampling schedules, toxicity data, negative and positive controls.

(3) Historical control data (negative and positive), if available.

(4) Details of the protocol used for slide preparation.

(5) Criteria for identifying micronucleated erythrocytes.

(6) Micronucleus analysis by animal and by group for each concentration (sexes analyzed separately).

(i) Ratio of polychromatic to normochromatic erythrocytes.

(ii) Number of polychromatic erythrocytes with micronuclei.

(iii) Number of polychromatic erythrocytes scored.

(7) Statistical methodology chosen for test analysis.

(g) *References*. For additional background information on this test guideline, the following references should be consulted.

(1) 40 CFR 798.5395, *In Vivo*, Mammalian Bone Marrow Cytogenetics Tests: Micronucleus Assay.

(2) Cihak, R. ''Evaluation of Benzidine by the Micronucleus Test.'' Mutation Research, 67: 383–384 (1979).

(3) Evans, H.J. ''Cytological Methods for Detecting Chemical Mutagens.'' Chemical Mutagens: Principles and Methods for Their Detection, Vol. 4. Ed. A. Hollaender (New York and London: Plenum Press, 1976) pp. 1–29.

(4) Heddle, J.A., *et al.* ''The Induction of Micronuclei as a Measure of Genotoxicity. A Report of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Gene-Tox Program.'' Mutation Research, 123:61–118 (1983).

(5) Preston, J.R. *et al.* ''Mammalian *In Vivo* and *In Vitro* Cytogenetics Assays: Report of the Gene-Tox Program.'' Mutation Research, 87:143–188 (1981).

(6) Schmid, W. ''The micronucleus test for cytogenetic analysis'', Chemical Mutagens, Principles and Methods for their Detection. Vol. 4 Hollaender A, (Ed. A ed. (New York and London: Plenum Press, (1976) pp. 31–53.

(7) Tice, R.E., and Al Pellom ''User's guide: Micronucleus assay data management and analysis system'', NTIS Order no. PB–90–212–598AS.

§ 79.65 *In vivo* sister chromatid exchange assay.

(a) *Purpose.* The *in vivo* sister chromatid exchange (SCE) assay detects the ability of a chemical to enhance the exchange of DNA between two sister chromatids of a duplicating chromosome. The most commonly used assays employ mammalian bone marrow cells or peripheral blood lymphocytes, often from rodent species.

(b) *Definitions.* For the purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

C-metaphase means a state of arrested cell growth typically seen after treatment with a spindle inhibitor, *i.e.*, colchicine.

Sister chromatid exchange means a reciprocal interchange of the two chromatid arms within a single chromosome. This exchange is visualized during the metaphase portion of the cell cycle and presumably requires the enzymatic incision, translocation and ligation of at least two DNA helices.

(c) *Test method*—(1) *Principle of the test method.* (i) Groups of rodents are exposed by the inhalation route for a minimum of 6 hours/day over a period of not less than 28 days to three or more concentrations of a test substance in air. Groups of animals are sacrificed at the end of the exposure period and blood lymphocyte cell cultures are prepared from study animals. Cell growth is suspended after a time and cells are harvested, fixed and stained before scoring for SCEs. Researchers may need to run a trial at the highest tolerated concentration of the test atmosphere to optimize the sample collection time for second division metaphase cells.

(ii) This assay may be done separately or in combination with the subchronic toxicity study, pursuant to the provisions in § 79.62.

(2) *Description.* (i) The method described here employs peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBL) of laboratory rodents exposed to the test atmosphere.

(ii) Within twenty-four hours of the last exposure, test animal lymphocytes are obtained by heart puncture and duplicate cell cultures are started for each animal. Cultures are grown in bromo-deoxyuridine (BrdU), and then a spindle inhibitor (e.g., colchicine) is added to arrest cell growth. Cells are harvested, fixed, and stained and their chromosomes are scored for SCEs.

(3) *Species and strain.* The rat is the recommended test animal. Other rodent species may be used in this assay, but use of that species will be justified by the tester.

(4) *Animal number and sex.* At least five female and five male animals per experimental and control group shall be used. The use of a single sex or different number of animals shall be justified.

(5) *Positive control group.* A single concentration of a compound known to produce SCEs *in vivo* is adequate as a positive control if it shows a significant response at any one time point; additional concentration levels may be used. To select an appropriate concentration level, a pilot or trial study may be advisable. Initially, one concentration of the test substance may be used, the maximum tolerated dose or that producing some indication of toxicity as evidenced by animal morbidity (including death) or target cell toxicity. Intraperitoneal injection of 1,2-dimethyl-benz-anthracene or benzene are examples of positive control exposures. A concentration of 50–80 percent of an LD50 would also be a suitable guide.

(6) *Inhalation exposure.* (i) All data developed within this study shall be in

accordance with good laboratory practice provisions under § 79.60.

(ii) The general conduct of this study shall be in accordance with the vehicle emissions inhalation exposure guideline in § 79.61.

(d) *Test performance*—(1) *Treatment*. At the conclusion of the exposure period, all test animals are anaesthetized and heart punctures are performed. Lymphocytes are isolated over a Ficoll gradient and replicate cell cultures are started for each animal. After some 21 hours, the cells are treated with BrdU and returned to incubation. The following day, a spindle inhibitor (e.g., colchicine) is added to arrest cell growth in c-metaphase. Cells are harvested 4 hours later and second-division metaphase cells are washed and fixed in methanol:acetic acid, stained, and chromosome preparations are scored for SCEs.

(2) *Staining method*. Staining of slides to reveal SCEs can be performed according to any of several protocols. However, the fluorescence plus Giemsa method is recommended.

(3) *Number of cells scored*. (i) A minimum of 25 well-stained, second-division metaphase cells shall be scored for each animal for each cell type.

(ii) At least 100 consecutive metaphase cells shall be scored for the number of first, second, and third division metaphases for each animal for each cell type.

(iii) At least 1000 consecutive PBL's shall be scored for the number of metaphase cells present.

(iv) The number of cells to be analyzed per animal shall be based upon the number of animals used, the negative control frequency, the pre-determined sensitivity and the power chosen for the test. Slides shall be coded before microscopic analysis.

(e) *Data and report*—(1) *Treatment of results*. In addition to the reporting requirements specified under §§ 79.60 and 61, data shall be presented in tabular form, providing scores for both the number of SCE for each metaphase. Differences among animals within each group shall be considered before making comparisons between treated and control groups.

(2) *Statistical evaluation*. Data shall be evaluated by appropriate statistical methods.

(3) *Interpretation of results*. (i) There are several criteria for determining a positive result, one of which is a statistically significant dose-related increase in the number of SCE. Another criterion may be based upon detection of a reproducible and statistically significant positive response for at least one of the test concentrations.

(ii) A test substance which does not produce either a statistically significant dose-related increase in the number of SCE or a statistically significant and reproducible positive response at any one of the test concentrations is considered not to induce rearrangements of DNA segments in this system.

(iii) Both biological and statistical significance shall be considered together in the evaluation.

(4) *Test evaluation*. (i) A positive result in the *in vivo* SCE assay for either, or both, the lung or lymphocyte cultures indicates that under the test conditions the test substance induces reciprocal interchanges of DNA in duplicating chromosomes from lung or lymphocyte cells of the test species.

(ii) Negative results indicate that under the test conditions the test substance does not induce reciprocal interchanges in lung or lymphocyte cells of the test species.

(5) *Test report*. In addition to the reporting recommendations as specified under §§ 79.60 and 79.61, the following specific information shall be reported:

(i) Test concentrations used, rationale for concentration selection, negative and positive controls;

(ii) Toxic response data by concentration;

(iii) Schedule of administration of test atmosphere, BrdU, and spindle inhibitor;

(iv) Time of harvest after administration of BrdU;

(v) Identity of spindle inhibitor, its concentration and timing of treatment;

(vi) Details of the protocol used for cell culture and slide preparation;

(vii) Criteria for scoring SCE;

(viii) Replicative index, *i.e.*, [percent 1st division + (2 × percent 2nd division) + (3 × percent 3rd division) metaphases]/100; and

(ix) Mitotic activity, *i.e.*, # of metaphases/1000 cells.

(f) *References*. For additional background information on this test guideline, the following references should be consulted.

(1) 40 CFR 798.5915, *In vivo* Sister Chromatid Exchange Assay.

(2) Kato, H. ''Spontaneous Sister Chromatid Exchanges Detected by a BudR-Labeling Method.'' Nature, 251:70–72 (1974).

(4) Kligerman, A. D., *et al*. ''Sister Chromatid Exchange Analysis in Lung and Peripheral Blood Lymphocytes of Mice Exposed to Methyl Isocyanate by Inhalation.'' Environmental Mutagenesis 9:29–36 (1987).

(5) Kligerman, A.D., *et al.*, ''Cytogenetic Studies of Rodents Exposed to Styrene by Inhalation'', IARC Monographs no. 127 ''Butadiene and Styrene: Assesment of Health Hazards'' (Sorsa, *et al*., eds), pp 217–224, 1993.

(6) Kligerman, A., *et al.*, ''Cytogenetic Studies of Mice Exposed to Styrene by Inhalation.'', Mutation Research, 280:35–43, 1992.

(7) Wolff, S., and P. Perry. ''Differential Giemsa Staining of Sister Chromatids and the Study of Sister Chromatid Exchanges Without Autoradiography.'' Chromosoma 48: 341–53 (1974).

§ 79.66 Neuropathology assessment.

(a) *Purpose*. (1) The histopathological and biochemical techniques in this guideline are designed to develop data in animals on morphologic changes in the nervous system associated with repeated inhalation exposures to motor vehicle emissions. These tests are not intended to provide a detailed evaluation of neurotoxicity. Neuropathological evaluation should be complemented by other neurotoxicity studies, e.g. behavioral and neurophysiological studies and/or general toxicity testing, to more completely assess the neurotoxic potential of an exposure.

(2) [Reserved]

(b) *Definition*. Neurotoxicity (NTX) or a neurotoxic effect is an adverse change in the structure or function of the nervous system following exposure to a chemical substance.

(c) *Principle of the test method*. (1) Laboratory rodents are exposed to one of several concentration levels of a test atmosphere for at least six hours daily over a period of 90 days. At the end of the exposure period, the animals are anaesthetized, perfused *in situ* with fixative, and tissues in the nervous system are examined grossly and prepared for microscopic examination. Starting with the highest dosage level, tissues are examined under the light microscope for morphologic changes, until a no-observed-adverse-effect level is determined. In cases where light microscopy has revealed neuropathology, the NOAEL may be confirmed by electron microscopy.

(2) The tests described herein may be combined with any other toxicity study, as long as none of the requirements of either are violated by the combination. Specifically, this assay may be combined with a subchronic toxicity study, pursuant to provisions in § 79.62.

(d) *Limit test*. If a test at one dose level of the highest concentration that can be achieved while maintaining a particle size distribution with a mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD) of 4 micrometers (μm) or less, using the procedures described in paragraph (a) of this section, produces no observable toxic effects and if toxicity would not be expected based upon data of structurally related compounds, then a full study using three dose levels might not be necessary. Expected human exposure though may indicate the need for a higher dose level.

(e) *Test procedures*—(1) *Animal selection*—(i) *Species and strain*. Testing shall be performed in the species being used in other NTX tests. A standard strain of laboratory rat is recommended. The choice of species shall take into consideration such factors as the comparative metabolism of the chemical and species sensitivity to the toxic effects of the test substance, as evidenced by the results of other studies, the potential for combined studies, and the availability of other toxicity data for the species.

(ii) *Age*. Animals shall be at least ten weeks of age at the start of exposure.

(iii) *Sex*. Both sexes shall be used unless it is demonstrated that one sex is refractory to the effects of exposure.

(2) *Number of Animals*. A minimum of ten animals per group shall be used. The tissues from each animal shall be examined separately.

(3) *Control Groups*. (i) A concurrent control group, exposed to clean, filtered air only, is required.

(ii) The laboratory performing the testing shall provide positive control data, e.g., results from repeated acrylamide exposure, as evidence of the ability of their histology procedures to detect neurotoxic endpoints. Positive control data shall be collected at the time of the test study unless the laboratory can demonstrate the adequacy of historical data for the planned study.

(iii) A satellite group of 10 female and 10 male test subjects shall be treated with the highest concentration level for the duration of the exposure and observed thereafter for reversibility, persistence, or delayed occurrence of toxic effects during a post-treatment period of not less than 28 days.

(4) *Inhalation exposure*. (i) All data developed within this study shall be in accordance with good laboratory practice provisions under § 79.60.

(ii) The general conduct of this study shall be in accordance with the vehicle emissions inhalation exposure guideline in § 79.61.

(5) *Study conduct*—(i) *Observation of animals*. All toxicological (e.g., weight loss) and neurological signs (e.g., motor disturbance) shall be recorded frequently enough to observe any abnormality, and not less than weekly.

(ii) The following is a minimal list of measures that shall be noted:

(A) Body weight;

(B) Subject's reactivity to general stimuli such as removal from the cage or handling;

(C) Description, incidence, and severity of any convulsions, tremors, or abnormal motor movements in the home cage;

(D) Descriptions and incidence of posture and gait abnormalities observed in the home cage; and

(E) Description and incidence of any unusual or abnormal behaviors, excessive or repetitive actions (stereotypies), emaciation, dehydration, hypotonia or hypertonia, altered fur appearance, red or crusty deposits around the eyes, nose, or mouth, and any other observations that may facilitate interpretation of the data.

(iii) *Sacrifice of animals*—(A) *General*. The goal of the techniques outlined for sacrifice of animals and preparation of tissues is preservation of tissue morphology to simulate the living state of the cell.

(B) *Perfusion technique*. Animals shall be perfused *in situ* by a generally recognized technique. For fixation suitable for light or electronic microscopy, saline solution followed by buffered 2.5 percent glutaraldehyde or buffered 4.0 percent paraformaldehyde, is recommended. While some minor modifications or variations in procedures are used in different laboratories, a detailed and standard procedure for vascular perfusion may be found in the text by Zeman and Innes (1963), Hayat (1970), and Spencer and Schaumburg (1980) under paragraph (g) of this section. A more sophisticated technique is described by Palay and Chan-Palay (1974) under paragraph (g) of this section. In addition, the lungs shall be instilled with fixative via the trachea during the fixation process in order to preserve the lungs and achieve whole-body fixation.

(C) *Removal of brain and cord*. After perfusion, the bony structure (cranium and vertebral column) shall be exposed. Animals shall then be stored in fixative-filled bags at 4 °C for 8–12 hours. The cranium and vertebral column shall be removed carefully by trained technicians without physical damage of the brain and cord. Detailed dissection procedures may be found in the text by Palay and Chan-Palay (1974) under paragraph (g) of this section. After removal, simple measurement of the size (length and width) and weight of the whole brain (cerebrum, cerebellum, pons-medulla) shall be made. Any abnormal coloration or discoloration of the brain and cord shall also be noted and recorded.

(D) *Sampling*. Cross-sections of the following areas shall be examined: The forebrain, the center of the cerebrum, the midbrain, the cerebellum, and the medulla oblongata; the spinal cord at

the cervical swelling (C_3–C_6), and proximal sciatic nerve (mid-thigh and sciatic notch) or tibial nerve (at knee). Other sites and tissue elements (e.g., gastrocnemius muscle) shall be examined if deemed necessary. Any observable gross changes shall be recorded.

(iv) *Specimen storage.* Tissue samples from both the central and peripheral nervous system shall be further immersion fixed and stored in appropriate fixative (e.g., 10 percent buffered formalin for light microscopy; 2.5 percent buffered gluteraldehyde or 4.0 percent buffered paraformaldehyde for electron microscopy) for future examination. The volume of fixative versus the volume of tissues in a specimen jar shall be no less than 25:1. All stored tissues shall be washed with buffer for at least 2 hours prior to further tissue processing.

(v) *Histopathology examination*—(A) *Fixation.* Tissue specimens stored in 10 percent buffered formalin may be used for this purpose. All tissues must be immersion fixed in fixative for at least 48 hours prior to further tissue processing.

(B) *Dehydration.* All tissue specimens shall be washed for at least 1 hour with water or buffer, prior to dehydration. (A longer washing time is needed if the specimens have been stored in fixative for a prolonged period of time.) Dehydration can be performed with increasing concentration of graded ethanols up to absolute alcohol.

(C) *Clearing and embedding.* After dehydration, tissue specimens shall be cleared with xylene and embedded in paraffin or paraplast. Multiple tissue specimens (e.g. brain, cord, ganglia) may be embedded together in one single block for sectioning. All tissue blocks shall be labelled showing at least the experiment number, animal number, and specimens embedded.

(D) *Sectioning.* Tissue sections, 5 to 6 microns in thickness, shall be prepared from the tissue blocks and mounted on standard glass slides. It is recommended that several additional sections be made from each block at this time for possible future needs for special stainings. All tissue blocks and slides shall be filed and stored in properly labeled files or boxes.

(E) *Histopathological techniques.* The following general testing sequence is proposed for gathering histopathological data:

(*1*) *General staining.* A general staining procedure shall be performed on all tissue specimens in the highest treatment group. Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) shall be used for this purpose. The staining shall be differentiated properly to achieve bluish nuclei with pinkish background.

(*2*) *Peripheral nerve teasing.* Peripheral nerve fiber teasing shall be used. Detailed staining methodology is available in standard histotechnological manuals such as AFIP (1968), Ralis *et al.* (1973), and Chang (1979) under paragraph (g) of this section. The nerve fiber teasing technique is discussed in Spencer and Schaumberg (1980) under paragraph (g) of this section. A section of normal tissue shall be included in each staining to assure that adequate staining has occurred. Any changes shall be noted and representative photographs shall be taken. If a lesion(s) is observed, the special techniques shall be repeated in the next lower treatment group until no further lesion is detectable.

(F) *Examination.* All stained microscopic slides shall be examined with a standard research microscope. Examples of cellular alterations (e.g., neuronal vacuolation, degeneration, and necrosis) and tissue changes (e.g., gliosis, leukocytic infiltration, and cystic formation) shall be recorded and photographed.

(f) Data collection, reporting, and evaluation. In addition to information meeting the requirements stated under 40 CFR 79.60 and 79.61, the following specific information shall be reported:

(1) *Description of test system and test methods.* (i) A description of the general design of the experiment shall be provided. This shall include a short justification explaining any decisions where professional judgment is involved such as fixation technique and choice of stains; and

(ii) Positive control data from the laboratory performing the test that demonstrate the sensitivity of the procedures being used. Historical data may be used if all essential aspects of

the experimental protocol are the same.

(2) *Results*. All observations shall be recorded and arranged by test groups. This data may be presented in the following recommended format:

(i) *Description of signs and lesions for each animal*. For each animal, data must be submitted showing its identification (animal number, treatment, dose, duration), neurologic signs, location(s) nature of, frequency, and severity of lesion(s). A commonly-used scale such as 1 + , 2 + , 3 + , and 4 + for degree of severity ranging from very slight to extensive may be used. Any diagnoses derived from neurologic signs and lesions including naturally occurring diseases or conditions, shall also be recorded;

(ii) *Counts and incidence of lesions, by test group*. Data shall be tabulated to show:

(A) The number of animals used in each group, the number of animals displaying specific neurologic signs, and the number of animals in which any lesion was found; and

(B) The number of animals affected by each different type of lesion, the average grade of each type of lesion, and the frequency of each different type and/or location of lesion.

(iii) *Evaluation of data*. (A) An evaluation of the data based on gross necropsy findings and microscopic pathology observations shall be made and supplied. The evaluation shall include the relationship, if any, between the animal's exposure to the test atmosphere and the frequency and severity of any lesions observed; and

(B) The evaluation of dose-response, if existent, for various groups shall be given, and a description of statistical method must be presented. The evaluation of neuropathology data shall include, where applicable, an assessment in conjunction with any other neurotoxicity studies, electrophysiological, behavioral, or neurochemical, which may be relevant to this study.

(g) *References*. For additional background information on this test guideline, the following references should be consulted.

(1) 40 CFR 798.6400, Neuropathology.

(2) AFIP Manual of Histologic Staining Methods. (New York: McGraw-Hill (1968).

(3) Chang, L.W. A Color Atlas and Manual for Applied Histochemistry. (Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas, 1979).

(4) Dunnick, J.K., et.al. Thirteen-week Toxicity Study of N-Hexane in B6C3F1 Mice After Inhalation Exposure (1989) Toxicology, 57, 163–172.

(5) Hayat, M.A. ''Vol. 1. Biological applications,'' Principles and techniques of electron microscopy. (New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1970).

(6) Palay S.L., Chan-Palay, V. Cerebellar Cortex: Cytology and Organization. (New York: Springer-Verlag, 1974).

(7) Ralis, H.M., Beesley, R.A., Ralis, Z.A. Techniques in Neurohistology. (London: Butterworths, 1973).

(8) Sette, W. ''Pesticide Assessment Guidelines, Subdivision F, Neurotoxicity Test Guidelines.'' Report No. 540/09–91–123 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1991 (NTIS # PB91–154617).

(9) Spencer, P.S., Schaumburg, H.H. (eds). Experimental and Clinical Neurotoxicology. (Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins, 1980).

(10) Zeman, W., Innes, J.R.M. Craigie's Neuroanatomy of the Rat. (New York: Academic, 1963).

[59 FR 33093, June 27, 1994, as amended at 63 FR 63793, Nov. 17, 1999]

§ 79.67 Glial fibrillary acidic protein assay.

(a) *Purpose*. Chemical-induced injury of the nervous system, *i.e.*, the brain, is associated with astrocytic hypertrophy at the site of damage (see O'Callaghan, 1988 in paragraph (e)(3) in this section). Assays of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), the major intermediate filament protein of astrocytes, can be used to document this response. To date, a diverse variety of chemical insults known to be injurious to the central nervous system have been shown to increase GFAP. Moreover, increases in GFAP can be seen at concentrations below those necessary to produce cytopathology as determined by routine Nissl stains (standard neuropathology). Thus it appears that assays of GFAP represent a sensitive

approach for documenting the existence and location of chemical-induced injury of the central nervous system. Additional functional, histopathological, and biochemical tests are necessary to assess completely the neurotoxic potential of any chemical. This biochemical test is intended to be used in conjunction with neurohistopathological studies.

(b) *Principle of the test method.* (1) This guideline describes the conduct of a radioimmunoassay for measurement of the amount of GFAP in the brain of vehicle emission-exposed and unexposed control animals. It is based on modifications (O'Callaghan & Miller 1985 in paragraph (e)(5), O'Callaghan 1987 in paragraph (e)(1) of this section) of the dot-immunobinding procedure described by Jahn *et al.* (1984) in paragraph (e)(2) of this section. Briefly, brain tissue samples from study animals are assayed for total protein, diluted in dot-immunobinding buffer, and applied to nitrocellulose sheets. The spotted sheets are then fixed, blocked, washed and incubated in anti-GFAP antibody and [I^{125}] Protein A. Bound protein A is then quantified by gamma spectrometry. In lieu of purified protein standards, standard curves are constructed from dilution of a single control sample. By comparing the immunoreactivity of individual samples (both control and exposed groups) with that of the sample used to generate the standard curve, the relative immunoreactivity of each sample is obtained. The immunoreactivity of the control groups is normalized to 100 percent and all data are expressed as a percentage of control. A variation on this radioimmunoassay procedure has been proposed (O'Callaghan 1991 in paragraph (e)(4) of this section) which uses a ''sandwich'' of GFAP, anti-GFAP, and a chromophore in a microtiter plate format enzyme-link immunosorbent assay (ELISA). The use of this variation shall be justified.

(2) This assay may be done separately or in combination with the subchronic toxicity study, pursuant to the provisions of § 79.62.

(c) *Test procedure*—(1) *Animal selection*—(i) *Species and strain.* Test shall be performed on the species being used in concurrent testing for neurotoxic or other health effect endpoints. This will generally be a species of laboratory rat. The use of other rodent or non-rodent species shall be justified.

(ii) *Age.* Based on other concurrent testing, young adult rats shall be used. Study rodents shall not be older than ten weeks at the start of exposures.

(iii) *Number of animals.* A minimum of ten animals per group shall be used. The tissues from each animal shall be examined separately.

(iv) *Sex.* Both sexes shall be used unless it is demonstrated that one sex is refractory to the effects.

(2) *Materials.* The materials necessary to perform this study are [I^{125}] Protein A (2–10 μCi/μg), Anti-sera to GFAP, nitrocellulose paper (0.1 or 0.2 μm pore size), sample application template (optional; e.g., ''Minifold II'', Schleicher & Schuell, Keene, NH), plastic sheet incubation trays.

(3) *Study conduct.* (i) All data developed within this study shall be in accordance with good laboratory practice provisions under § 79.60.

(ii) *Tissue Preparation.* Animals are euthanized 24 hours after the last exposure and the brain is excised from the skull. On a cold dissecting platform, the following six regions are dissected freehand: cerebellum; cerebral cortex; hippocampus; striatum; thalamus/hypothalamus; and the rest of the brain. Each region is then weighed and homogenized in 10 volumes of hot (70–90 °C) 1 percent (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS). Homogenization is best achieved through sonic disruption. A motor driven pestle inserted into a tissue grinding vessel is a suitable alternative. The homogenized samples can then be stored frozen at −70 °C for at least 4 years without loss of GFAP content.

(iii) *Total Protein Assay.* Aliquots of the tissue samples are assayed for total protein using the method of Smith *et al.* (1985) in paragraph (e)(7) of this section. This assay may be purchased in kit form (e.g., Pierce Chemical Company, Rockford, IL).

(iv) *Sample Preparation.* Dilute tissue samples in sample buffer (120 mM KCl, 20 mM NaCl, 2 mM $MgCl_2$), 5 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 0.7 percent Triton X–100) to a final concentration of 0.25 mg total protein per ml (5 μg/20 μl).

(v) *Preparation of Standard Curve.* Dilute a single control sample in sample buffer to give at least five standards, between 1 and 10 µg total protein per 20 µl. The suggested values of total protein per 20 µl sample buffer are 1.25, 2.50, 3.25, 5.0, 6.25, 7.5, 8.75, and 10.0 µg.

(vi) *Preparation of Nitrocellulose Sheets.* Nitrocellulose sheets of 0.1 or 0.2 micron pore size are rinsed by immersion in distilled water for 5 minutes and then air dried.

(vii) *Sample Application.* Samples can be spotted onto the nitrocellulose sheets free-hand or with the aid of a template. For free-hand application, draw a grid of squares approximately 2 centimeters by 2 centimeters (cm) on the nitrocellulose sheets using a soft pencil. Spot 5–10 µl portions to the center of each square for a total sample volume of 20 µl. For template aided sample application a washerless microliter capacity sample application manifold is used. Position the nitrocellulose sheet in the sample application device as recommended by the manufacturer and spot a 20 µl sample in one application. Do not wet the nitrocellulose or any support elements prior to sample application. Do not apply vacuum during or after sample application. After spotting samples (using either method), let the sheets air dry. The sheets can be stored at room temperature for several days after sample application.

(viii) *Standard Incubation Conditions.* These conditions have been described by Jahn *et al.* (1984) in paragraph (e)(2) of this section. All steps are carried out at room temperature on a flat shaking platform (one complete excursion every 2–3 seconds). For best results, do not use rocking or orbital shakers. Perform the following steps in enough solution to cover the nitrocellulose sheets to a depth of 1 cm.

(A) Incubate 20 minutes in fixer (25 percent (v/v) isopropanol, 10 percent (v/v) acetic acid).

(B) Discard fixer, wash several times in deionized water to eliminate the fixer, and then incubate for 5 minutes in Tris-buffered saline (TBS): 200 mM NaCL, 60 mM Tris-HCl to pH 7.4.

(C) Discard TBS and incubate 1 hour in blocking solution (0.5 percent gelatin (w/v)) in TBS.

(D) Discard blocking solution and incubate for 2 hours in antibody solution (anti-GFAP antiserum diluted to the desired dilution in blocking solution containing 0.1 percent Triton X–100). Serum anti-bovine GFAP, which cross reacts with GFAP from rodents and humans, can be obtained commercially (e.g., Dako Corp.) and used at a dilution of 1:500.

(E) Discard antibody solution, and wash in 4 changes of TBS for 5 minutes each time. Then wash in TBS for 10 minutes.

(F) Discard TBS and incubate in blocking solution for 30 minutes.

(G) Discard blocking solution and incubate for 1 hour in Protein A solution ([I^{125}]-labeled Protein A diluted in blocking solution containing 0.1 percent Triton X–100, sufficient to produce 2000 counts per minute (cpm) per 10 µl of Protein A solution).

(H) Remove Protein A solution (it may be reused once). Wash in 0.1 percent Triton X–100 in TBS (TBSTX) for 5 minutes, 4 times. Then wash in TBSTX for 2–3 hours for 4 additional times. An overnight wash in a larger volume can be used to replace the last 4 washes.

(I) Hang sheets to air-dry. Cut out squares or spots and count radioactivity in a gamma counter.

(ix) *Expression of data.* Compare radioactivity counts for samples obtained from control and treated animals with counts obtained from the standard curve. By comparing the immunoreactivity (counts) of each sample with that of the standard curve, the relative amount of GFAP in each sample can be determined and expressed as a percent of control.

(d) *Data Reporting and Evaluation*—(1) *Test Report.* In addition to information meeting the requirements stated under 40 CFR 79.60, the following specific information shall be reported:

(i) Body weight and brain region weights at time of sacrifice for each subject tested;

(ii) Indication of whether each subject survived to sacrifice or time of death;

(iii) Data from control animals and blank samples; and

(iv) Statistical evaluation of results;

(2) *Evaluation of Results.* (i) Results shall be evaluated in terms of the extent of change in the amount of GFAP as a function of treatment and dose. GFAP assays (of any brain region) from a minimum of 6 samples typically will result in a standard error of the mean of ±5 percent. In this case, a chemically-induced increase in GFAP of 115 percent of control is likely to be statistically significant.

(ii) The results of this assay shall be compared to and evaluated with any relevant behavioral and histopathological data.

(e) *References.* For additional background information on this test guideline the following references should be consulted.

(1) Brock, T.O and O'Callaghan, J.P. 1987. Quantitative changes in the synaptic vesicle proteins, synapsin I and p38 and the astrocyte specific protein, glial fibrillary acidic protein, are associated with chemical-induced injury to the rat central nervous system, J. Neurosci. 7:931–942.

(2) Jahn, R., Schiebler, W. Greengard, P. 1984. A quantitative dot-immunobinding assay for protein using nitrocellulose membrane filters. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 81:1684–1687.

(3) O'Callaghan, J.P. 1988. Neurotypic and gliotypic protein as biochemical markers of neurotoxicity. Neurotoxicol. Teratol. 10:445–452.

(4) O'Callaghan, J.P. 1991. Quantification of glial fibrillary acidic protein: comparison of slot-immunobinding assays with a novel sandwich ELISA. Neurotoxicol. Teratol. 13:275–281.

(5) O'Callaghan, J.P. and Miller, D.B. 1985. Cerebellar hypoplasia in the Gunn rat is associated with quantitative changes in neurotypic and gliotypic proteins. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 234:522–532.

(6) Sette, W.F. ''Pesticide Assessment Guidelines, Subdivision 'F', Hazard Evaluation: Human and Domestic Animals, Addendum 10, Neurotoxicity, Series 81, 82, and 83'' US-EPA, Office of Pesticide Programs, EPA–540/09–91–123, March 1991.

(7) Smith, P.K., Krohn, R.I., Hermanson, G.T., Mallia, A.K., Gartner, F.H., Provenzano, M.D., Fujimoto, E.K., Goeke, N.M., Olson, B.J., Klenk, D.C. 1985. Measurement of protein using bicinchoninic acid. Annal. Biochem. 150:76–85.

§ 79.68 *Salmonella typhimurium* reverse mutation assay.

(a) *Purpose.* The *Salmonella typhimurium* histidine (his) reversion system is a microbial assay which measures $his^- \rightarrow his^+$ reversion induced by chemicals which cause base changes or frameshift mutations in the genome of the microorganism *Salmonella typhimurium.*

(b) *Definitions.* For the purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

Base pair mutagen means an agent which causes a base change in DNA. In a reversion assay, this change may occur at the site of the original mutation or at a second site in the chromosome.

Frameshift mutagen is an agent which causes the addition or deletion of single or multiple base pairs in the DNA molecule.

Salmonella typhimurium reverse mutation assay detects mutation in a gene of a histidine-requiring strain to produce a histidine independent strain of this organism.

(c) *Reference substances.* These may include, but need not be limited to, sodium azide, 2-nitrofluorene, 9-aminoacridine, 2-aminoanthracene, congo red, benzopurpurin 4B, trypan blue or direct blue 1.

(d) *Test method*—(1) *Principle.* Motor vehicle combustion emissions from fuel or additive/base fuel mixtures are, first, filtered to trap particulate matter and, then, passed through a sorbent resin to trap semi-volatile gases. Bacteria are separately exposed to the extract from both the filtered particulates and the resin-trapped organics. Assays are conducted using both test mixtures with and without a metabolic activation system and exposed cells are plated onto minimal medium. After a suitable period of incubation, revertant colonies are counted in test cultures and compared to the number of spontaneous revertants in unexposed control cultures.

(2) *Description.* Several methods for performing the test have been described. The procedures described here are for the direct plate incorporation

method and the azo-reduction method. Among those used are:

(i) Direct plate incorporation method;

(ii) Preincubation method;

(iii) Azo-reduction method;

(iv) Microsuspension method; and

(v) Spiral assay.

(3) *Strain selection*—(i) *Designation.* Five tester strains shall be used in the assay. At the present time, TA1535, TA1537, TA98, and TA100 are designated as tester strains. The fifth strain will be chosen from the pool of Salmonella strains commonly used to determine the degree to which nitrated organic compounds, *i.e.*, nitroarenes, contribute to the overall mutagenic activity of a test substance. TA98/1,8–DNP_6 or other suitable Rosenkranz nitro-reductase resistant strains will be considered acceptable. The choice of the particular strain is left to the discretion of the researcher. However, the researcher shall justify the use of the selected bacterial tester strains.

(ii) *Preparation and storage of bacterial tester strains.* Recognized methods of stock culture preparation and storage shall be used. The requirement of histidine for growth shall be demonstrated for each strain. Other phenotypic characteristics shall be checked using such methods as crystal violet sensitivity and resistance to ampicillin. Spontaneous reversion frequency shall be in the range expected as reported in the literature and as established in the laboratory by historical control values.

(iii) *Bacterial growth.* Fresh cultures of bacteria shall be grown up to the late exponential or early stationary phase of growth (approximately 108–109 cells per ml).

(4) *Exogenous metabolic activation.* Bacteria shall be exposed to the test substance both in the presence and absence of an appropriate exogenous metabolic activation system. For the direct plate incorporation method, the most commonly used system is a cofactor-supplemented postmitochondrial fraction prepared from the livers of rodents treated with enzyme-inducing agents, such as Aroclor 1254. For the azo-reduction method, a cofactor- supplemented postmitochondrial fraction (S-9) prepared from the livers of untreated hamsters is preferred. For this method, the cofactor supplement shall contain flavin mononucleotide, exogenous glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase, NADH and excess of glucose-6-phosphate.

(5) *Control groups*—(i) *Concurrent controls.* Concurrent positive and negative (untreated) controls shall be included in each experiment. Positive controls shall ensure both strain responsiveness and efficacy of the metabolic activation system.

(ii) Strain specific positive controls shall be included in the assay. Examples of strain specific positive controls are as follows:

(A) Strain TA1535, TA100: sodium azide;

(B) TA98: 2-nitrofluorene (without activation), 2-anthramine (with activation);

(C) TA1537: 9-aminoacridine; and

(D) TA98/1,8–DNP_6: benzo(a)pyrene (with activation).

The papers by Claxton *et al.*, 1991 and 1992 in paragraph (g) in this section will provide helpful information for the selection of positive controls.

(iii) *Positive controls to ensure the efficacy of the activation system.* The positive control reference substances for tests including a metabolic activation system shall be selected on the basis of the type of activation system used in the test. 2-Aminoanthracene is an example of a positive control compound in plate-incorporation tests using postmitochondrial fractions from the livers of rodents treated with enzyme-inducing agents such as Aroclor-1254. Congo red is an example of a positive control compound in the azo-reduction method. Other positive control reference substances may be used.

(iv) *Class-specific positive controls.* The azo-reduction method shall include positive controls from the same class of compounds as the test agent wherever possible.

(6) *Sampling the test atmosphere.* (i) Extracts of test emissions are collected on Teflon®-coated glass fiber filters using an exhaust dilution setup. The particulates are extracted with dichloromethane (DCM) using Soxhlet extraction techniques. Extracts in DCM can be stored at dry ice temperatures until use.

(ii) Gaseous hydrocarbons passing through the filter are trapped by a porous, polymer resin, like XAD–2/styrene-divinylbenzene, or an equivalent product. Methylene chloride is used to extract the resin and the sample is evaporated to dryness before storage or use.

(iii) Samples taken from this material are then used to expose the cells in this assay. Final concentration of extracts in solvent/vehicle, or after solvent exchange, shall not interfere with cell viability or growth rate. The paper by Stump (1982) in paragraph (g) of this section is useful for preparing extracts of particulate and semi-volatile organic compounds from diesel and gasoline exhaust stream.

(iv) Exposure concentrations. (A) The test should initially be performed over a broad range of concentrations. Among the criteria to be taken into consideration for determining the upper limits of test substance concentration are cytotoxicity and solubility. Cytotoxicity of the test chemical may be altered in the presence of metabolic activation systems. Toxicity may be evidenced by a reduction in the number of spontaneous revertants, a clearing of the background lawn or by the degree of survival of treated cultures. Relatively insoluble samples shall be tested up to the limits of solubility. The upper test chemical concentration shall be determined on a case by case basis.

(B) Generally, a maximum of 5 mg/plate for pure substances is considered acceptable. At least 5 different concentrations of test substance shall be used with adequate intervals between test points.

(C) When appropriate, a single positive response shall be confirmed by testing over a narrow range of concentrations.

(e) *Test performance*. All data developed within this study shall be in accordance with good laboratory practice provisions under § 79.60.

(1) Direct plate incorporation method. When testing with metabolic activation, test solution, bacteria, and 0.5 ml of activation mixture containing an adequate amount of postmitochondrial fraction shall be added to the liquid overlay agar and mixed. This mixture is poured over the surface of a selective agar plate. Overlay agar shall be allowed to solidify before incubation. At the end of the incubation period, revertant colonies per plate shall be counted. When testing without metabolic activation, the test sample and 0.1 ml of a fresh bacterial culture shall be added to 2.0 ml of overlay agar.

(2) Azo-reduction method. When testing with metabolic activation, 0.5 ml of activation mixture containing 150 µl of postmitochondrial fraction and 0.1 ml of bacterial culture shall be added to a test tube kept on ice. 0.1 ml of test solution shall be added, and the tubes shall be incubated with shaking at 30 °C for 30 minutes. At the end of the incubation period, 2.0 ml of agar shall be added to each tube, the contents mixed and poured over the surface of a selective agar plate. Overlay agar shall be allowed to solidify before incubation. At the end of the incubation period, revertant colonies per plate shall be counted. For tests without metabolic activation, 0.5 ml of buffer shall be used in place of the 0.5 ml of activation mixture. All other procedures shall be the same as those used for the test with metabolic activation.

(3) Other methods/modifications may also be appropriate.

(4) Media. An appropriate selective medium with an adequate overlay agar shall be used.

(5) Incubation conditions. All plates within a given experiment shall be incubated for the same time period. This incubation period shall be for 48–72 hours at 37 °C.

(6) Number of cultures. All plating shall be done at least in triplicate.

(f) *Data and report*—(1) *Treatment of results*. Data shall be presented as number of revertant colonies per plate, revertants per kilogram (or liter) of fuel, and as revertants per kilometer (or mile, or brake-horsepower/hour, as appropriate) for each replicate and dose. These same measures shall be recorded on both the negative and positive control plates. The mean number of revertant colonies per plate, revertants per kilogram (or liter) of fuel, and revertants per kilometer (or mile, or brake-horsepower/hour), as well as individual plate counts and standard deviations shall be presented

for the test substance, positive control, and negative control plates.

(2) *Statistical evaluation*. Data shall be evaluated by appropriate statistical methods. Those methods shall include, at a minimum, means and standard deviations of the reversion data.

(3) *Interpretation of results*. (i) There are several criteria for determining a positive result, one of which is a statistically significant dose-related increase in the number of revertants. Another criterion may be based upon detection of a reproducible and statistically significant positive response for at least one of the test substance concentrations.

(ii) A test substance which does not produce either a statistically significant dose-related increase in the number of revertants or a statistically significant and reproducible positive response at any one of the test points is considered nonmutagenic in this system.

(iii) Both biological and statistical significance shall be considered together in the evaluation.

(4) *Test evaluation*. (i) Positive results from the *Salmonella typhimurium* reverse mutation assay indicate that, under the test conditions, the test substance induces point mutations by base changes or frameshifts in the genome of this organism.

(ii) Negative results indicate that under the test conditions the test substance is not mutagenic in *Salmonella typhimurium*.

(5) *Test report*. In addition to the reporting recommendations as specified under 40 CFR 79.60, the following specific information shall be reported:

(i) Sampling method(s) used and manner in which cells are exposed to sample solution;

(ii) Bacterial strains used;

(iii) Metabolic activation system used (source, amount and cofactor); details of preparation of postmitochondrial fraction;

(iv) Concentration levels and rationale for selection of concentration range;

(v) Description of positive and negative controls, and concentrations used, if appropriate;

(vi) Individual plate counts, mean number of revertant colonies per plate, number of revertants per kilometer (or mile, or brake-horsepower/hour), and standard deviation; and

(vii) Dose-response relationship, if applicable.

(g) *References*. For additional background information on this test guideline, the following references should be consulted.

(1) 40 CFR 798.5265, The *Salmonella typhimurium* reverse mutation asay.

(2) Ames, B.N., McCann, J., Yamasaki, E. ''Methods for detecting carcinogens and mutagens with the Salmonella/mammalian microsome mutagenicity test,'' Mutation Research 31:347–364 (1975).

(3) Huisingh, J.L., et al.,''Mutagenic and Carcinogenic Potency of Extracts of Diesel and Related Environmental Emissions: Study Design, Sample Generation, Collection, and Preparation''. In: Health Effects of Diesel Engine Emissions, Vol. II, W.E. Pepelko, R., M., Danner and N. A. Clarke (Eds.), US EPA, Cincinnati, EPA–600/9–80–057b, pp. 788–800 (1980).

(4) [Reserved]

(5) Claxton, L.D., Allen, J., Auletta, A., Mortelmans, K., Nestmann, E., Zeiger, E. ''Guide for the *Salmonella typhimurium*/mammalian microsome tests for bacterial mutagenicity'' Mutation Research 189(2):83–91 (1987).

(6) Claxton, L., Houk, V.S., Allison, J.C., Creason, J., ''Evaluating the relationship of metabolic activation system concentrations and chemical dose concentrations for the Salmonella Spiral and Plate Assays'' Mutation Research 253:127–136 (1991).

(7) Claxton, L., Houk, V.S., Monteith, L.G., Myers, L.E., Hughes, T.J., ''Assessing the use of known mutagens to calibrate the *Salmonella typhimurium* mutagenicity assay: I. Without exogenous activation.'' Mutation Research 253:137–147 (1991).

(8) Claxton, L., Houk, V.S., Warner, J.R., Myers, L.E., Hughes, T.J., ''Assessing the use of known mutagens to calibrate the *Salmonella typhimurium* mutagenicity assay: II. With exogenous activation.'' Mutation Research 253:149–159 (1991).

(9) Claxton, L., Creason, J., Lares, B., Augurell, E., Bagley, S., Bryant, D.W., Courtois, Y.A., Douglas, G., Clare, C.B., Goto, S., Quillardet, P., Jagannath,

D.R., Mohn, G., Neilsen, P.A., Ohnishi, Y., Ong, T., Pederson, T.C., Shimizu, H., Nylund, L., Tokiwa, H., Vink, I.G.R., Wang, Y., Warshawsky, D., ''Results of the IPCS Collaborative Study on Complex Mixtures'' Mutation Research 276:23–32 (1992).

(10) Claxton, L., Douglas, G., Krewski, D., Lewtas, J., Matsushita, H., Rosenkranz, H., ''Overview, conclusions, and recommendations of the IPCS Collaborative Study on Complex Mixtures'' Mutation Research 276:61–80 (1992).

(11) Houk, V.S., Schalkowsky, S., and Claxton, L.D., ''Development and Validation of the Spiral Salmonella Assay: An Automated Approach to Bacterial Mutagenicity Testing'' Mutation Research 223:49–64 (1989).

(12) Jones, E., Richold, M., May, J.H., and Saje, A. ''The Assessment of the Mutagenic Potential of Vehicle Engine Exhaust in the Ames Salmonella Assay Using a Direct Exposure Method'' Mutation Research 97:35–40 (1985).

(13) Maron, D., and Ames, B. N., Revised methods for the Salmonella mutagenicity test, Mutation Research, 113:173–212 (1983).

(14) Prival, M.J., and Mitchell, V.D. ''Analysis of a method for testing azo dyes for mutagenic activity in *Salmonella typhimurium* in the presence of flavin mononucleotide and hamster liver S-9,'' Mutation Research 97:103–116 (1982).

(15) Rosenkranz, H.S., et.al. ''Nitropyrenes: Isolation, identification, and reduction of mutagenic impurities in carbon black and toners'' Science 209:1039–43 (1980).

(16) Stump, F., Snow, R., et.al., ''Trapping gaseous hydrocarbons for mutagenic testing'' SAE Technical Paper Series, No. 820776 (1982).

(17) Vogel, H.J., Bonner, D.M. ''Acetylornithinase of E. coli: partial purification and some properties,'' Journal of Biological Chemistry. 218:97–106 (1956).

[59 FR 33093, June 27, 1994, as amended at 61 FR 36513, July 11, 1996]