§ 414.94 Informal review. Eligible professionals (or in the case of reporting under paragraph (e) of this section, group practices) may seek an informal review of the determination that an eligible professional (or in the case of reporting under paragraph (e) of this section, group practices) did not meet the requirements for the 2012 and 2013 incentives or the 2013 and 2014 payment adjustments.

(1) To request an informal review for the 2012 and 2013 incentives, an eligible professional or group practice must submit a request to CMS via email within 90 days of the release of the feedback reports. The request must be submitted in writing and summarize the concern(s) and reasons for requesting an informal review and may also include information to assist in the review.

(2) To request an informal review for the 2013 and 2014 payment adjustments, an eligible professional or group practices must submit a request to CMS via email by February 28 of the year in which the eligible professional is receiving the applicable payment adjustment. The request must be submitted in writing and summarize the concern(s) and reasons for requesting an informal review and may also include information to assist in the review.

(3) CMS will provide a written response of CMS’ determination.

(i) All decisions based on the informal review will be final.

(ii) There will be no further review or appeal.

(h) Public reporting of an eligible professional’s or group practice’s Electronic Prescribing Incentive Program data. For each program year, CMS will post on a public Web site, in an easily understandable format, a list of the names of eligible professionals (or in the case of reporting under paragraph (e) of this section, group practices) who are successful electronic prescribers.

Ordering professional means a physician (as defined in section 1861(r) of the Act) or a practitioner described in section 1842(b)(18)(C) of the Act who orders an applicable imaging service.

Priority clinical areas means clinical conditions, diseases or symptom complexes and associated advanced diagnostic imaging services identified by CMS through annual rulemaking and in consultation with stakeholders which may be used in the determination of outlier ordering professionals.

Provider-led entity (PLE) means a national professional medical specialty society or other organization that is comprised primarily of providers or practitioners who, either within the organization or outside of the organization, predominantly provide direct patient care.

Specified applicable appropriate use criteria means any individual appropriate use criterion or AUC set developed, modified or endorsed by a qualified PLE.

(c) Qualified provider-led entity. To be qualified by CMS, a PLE must adhere to the evidence-based processes described in paragraph (c)(1) of this section when developing or modifying AUC. A qualified PLE may develop AUC, modify AUC developed by another qualified PLE, or endorse AUC developed by other qualified PLEs.

(1) Requirements for qualified PLEs developing or modifying AUC. A PLE must perform all of the following when developing or modifying AUC:

(i) Utilize an evidentiary review process when developing or modifying AUC that includes:

(A) A systematic literature review of the clinical topic and relevant imaging studies; and

(B) An assessment of the evidence using a formal, published and widely recognized methodology for grading evidence. Consideration of relevant published consensus statements by professional medical specialty societies must be part of the evidence assessment.

(ii) Utilize at least one multidisciplinary team with autonomous governance, decision-making and accountability for developing or modifying AUC. At a minimum the team must be comprised of seven members including at least one practicing physician with expertise in the clinical topic related to the appropriate use criterion being developed or modified, at least one practicing physician with expertise in the imaging studies related to the appropriate use criterion, at least one primary care physician or practitioner as described in sections 1833(u)(6), 1833(x)(2)(A)(i)(I), and 1833(x)(2)(A)(i)(II) of the Act, at least one expert in statistical analysis and at least one expert in clinical trial design. A given team member may be the team’s expert in more than one domain.

(iii) Utilize a publicly transparent process for identifying potential conflicts of interest and for resolving conflicts of interest of members on the multidisciplinary team, the PLE and any other party participating in AUC development or modification, to include recusal or exclusion of individuals as appropriate. The PLE must document the following information and make it available in timely fashion to a public request, for a period of not less than 5 years after the most recent published update of the relevant AUC:

(A) Direct or indirect financial relationships that exist between individuals or the spouse or minor child of individuals who have substantively participated in the development of AUC and companies or organizations including the PLE and any other party participating in AUC development or modification that may financially benefit from the AUC. These financial relationships may include, for example, compensation arrangements such as salary, grant, speaking or consulting fees, contract, or collaboration agreements.

(B) Ownership or investment interests between individuals or the spouse or minor child of individuals who have substantively participated in the development of AUC and companies or organizations including the PLE and any other party participating in AUC development or modification that may financially benefit from the AUC.

(iv) Publish each individual criterion on the PLE’s Web site and include an identifying title, authors (at a minimum, all members of the multidisciplinary AUC development team must
be listed as authors), and key references used to establish the evidence.

(v) Identify each appropriate use criterion or AUC subset that are relevant to a priority clinical area with a statement on the PLE's Web site. To be identified as being relevant to a priority clinical area, the criterion or AUC subset must reasonably address the entire clinical scope of the corresponding priority clinical area.

(vi) Identify key points in an individual criterion as evidence-based or consensus-based, and grade such key points in terms of strength of evidence using a formal, published and widely recognized methodology.

(vii) Utilize a transparent process for the timely and continual updating of each criterion. Each criterion must be reviewed and, when appropriate, updated at least annually.

(viii) Publicly post the process for developing or modifying the AUC on the PLE's Web site.

(ix) Disclose parties external to the PLE when such parties have involvement in the AUC development process.

(2) Process to identify qualifying PLEs
PLEs must meet all of the following criteria:

(i) PLEs must submit an application to CMS for review that documents adherence to each of the AUC development requirements outlined in paragraph (c)(1) of this section;

(ii) Applications will be accepted by CMS only from PLEs that meet the definition of PLE in paragraph (b) of this section;

(iii) Applications must be received by CMS annually by January 1;

(iv) All approved qualified PLEs in each year will be included on the list of qualified PLEs posted to the CMS Web site by June 30 of that year; and

(v) Approved PLEs are qualified for a period of 5 years.

(vi) Qualified PLEs are required to re-apply. The application must be received by CMS by January 1 of the 5th year after the PLE's most recent approval date.

(d) Endorsement. Qualified PLEs may endorse the AUC set or individual criteria of other qualified PLEs, under agreement by the respective parties, in order to enhance an AUC set.

(e) Identifying priority clinical areas.
(1) CMS identifies priority clinical areas through annual rulemaking and in consultation with stakeholders.

(2) CMS will consider incidence and prevalence of disease, the volume and variability of use of particular imaging services, and strength of evidence supporting particular imaging services. We will also consider applicability of the clinical area to a variety of care settings and to the Medicare population.

(3) The Medicare Evidence Development & Coverage Advisory Committee (MEDCAC) may make recommendations to CMS.

(4) Priority clinical areas will be used by CMS to identify outlier ordering professionals (section 1834(q)(5) of the Act).

(1) Identification of non-evidence-based AUC or other non-adherence to requirements for qualified PLEs. (1) CMS will accept public comment to facilitate identification of AUC sets, subsets or individual criterion that are not evidence-based, giving priority to AUC associated with priority clinical areas and to AUC that conflict with one another. CMS may also independently identify AUC of concern.

(2) The evidentiary basis of the identified AUC may be reviewed by the MEDCAC.

(3) If a qualified PLE is found non-adherent to the requirements in paragraph (c) of this section, CMS may terminate its qualified status or may consider this information during re-qualification.
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§ 414.100 Purpose.

This subpart implements fee schedules for PEN items and services, splints