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§ 2903.15

(1) Relevance of proposed project to current and future issues related to the production, use, distribution, fuel quality, and fuel properties of biodiesel, including:
   (i) Demonstrated knowledge about markets, state initiatives, impacts on local economies, regulatory issues, standards, and technical issues;
   (ii) Demonstrated knowledge about issues associated with developing a biodiesel infrastructure; and
   (iii) Quality and extent of stakeholder involvement in planning and accomplishment of program objectives.

(2) Reasonableness of project proposal, including:
   (i) Sufficiency of scope and strategies to provide a consistent message in keeping with existing standards and regulations;
   (ii) Adequacy of Project Description, suitability and feasibility of methodology to develop and implement program;
   (iii) Clarity of objectives, milestones, and indicators of progress;
   (iv) Adequacy of plans for reporting, assessing and monitoring results over project’s duration; and
   (v) Demonstration of feasibility, and probability of success.

(3) Technical quality of proposed project, including:
   (i) Suitability and qualifications of key project personnel;
   (ii) Institutional experience and competence in providing alternative fuel education, including:
      (A) Demonstrated knowledge about programs involved in alternative fuel research and education;
      (B) Demonstrated knowledge about other fuels, fuel additives, engine performance, fuel quality and fuel emissions;
      (C) Demonstrated knowledge about Federal, State and local programs aimed at encouraging alternative fuel use;
      (D) Demonstrated ability in providing educational programs and developing technical programs; and
      (E) Demonstrated ability to analyze technical information relevant to the biodiesel industry;
   (iii) Adequacy of available or obtainable resources; and
   (iv) Quality of plans to administer and maintain the project, including collaborative efforts, evaluation and monitoring efforts.

(b) [Reserved]

§ 2903.14 Conflicts of interest and confidentiality.

(a) During the peer evaluation process, extreme care will be taken to prevent any actual or perceived conflicts of interest that may impact review or evaluation. Determinations of conflicts of interest will be based on the academic and administrative autonomy of an institution. The program announcement will specify the methodology for determining such autonomy.

(b) Names of submitting institutions and individuals, as well as application content and peer evaluations, will be kept confidential, except to those involved in the review process, to the extent permitted by law. In addition, the identities of peer reviewers will remain confidential throughout the entire review process. Therefore, the names of the reviewers will not be released to applicants. At the end of the fiscal year, names of reviewers will be made available in such a way that the reviewers cannot be identified with the review of any particular application.

Subpart E—Award Administration

§ 2903.15 General.

Within the limit of funds available for such purpose, the Authorized Departmental Officer (ADO) shall make grants to those responsible, eligible applicants whose applications are judged most meritorious under the procedures set forth in this part. The date specified by the ADO as the effective date of the grant shall be no later than September 30 of the Federal fiscal year in which the project is approved for support and funds are appropriated for such purpose, unless otherwise permitted by law. It should be noted that the project need not be initiated on the grant effective date, but as soon thereafter as practical so that project goals may be attained within the funded project period. All funds granted by OEPNU under this program shall be expended solely for the purpose for which the funds are granted in accordance