§ 890.1034  Counting a period of suspension as part of a subsequent debarment.  

The debarring official may consider the provider’s contiguous period of suspension when determining the length of a debarment.

§ 890.1035  Provider contests of suspensions.  

(a) Filing a contest of the suspension.  A provider may challenge a suspension by filing a contest, in writing, with the suspending official not later than 30 days after receiving notice of suspension. The suspension shall remain in effect during the contest, unless rescinded by the suspending official.

(b) Informal proceeding.  The suspending official shall use informal, flexible procedures to conduct the contest. Formal rules of evidence and procedure do not apply to this proceeding.

§ 890.1036  Information considered in deciding a contest.  

(a) Presenting information and arguments to the suspending official.  A provider may submit documents and written arguments in opposition to the suspension, and may appear personally, or through a representative, before the suspending official to provide any other relevant information.

(b) Specific factual basis for contesting the suspension.  The provider shall identify specific facts that contradict the basis for the suspension as stated in the suspension notice. A general denial of the basis for suspension does not raise a genuine dispute over facts material to the suspension, and the suspending official shall not give such a denial any probative weight.

(c) Mandatory disclosures.  Any provider contesting a suspension shall disclose the items of information set forth in § 890.1023(c). Failure to provide such information completely and accurately may be a basis for OPM to initiate further legal or administrative action against the provider.

§ 890.1037  Cases where additional fact-finding is not required.  

The suspending official may decide a contest without an additional fact-finding process if:

(a) Previously adjudicated facts.  The suspension is based on an indictment or on facts determined by a prior adjudication in which the provider was afforded due process rights. Examples of due process proceedings include, but are not limited to, the adjudication procedures associated with licensure revocation, suspension, restriction, or nonrenewal by a State licensing authority; similar administrative adjudications by Federal, State, or local agencies; a criminal conviction or civil judgment; or an action by the provider that constitutes a waiver of his right to a due process adjudication, such as surrender of professional licensure during the pendency of a disciplinary hearing, entering a guilty plea or confession of judgment in a judicial proceeding, or signing a settlement agreement stipulating facts that constitute a sanctionable violation. Neither the existence of the prior adjudication nor any of the underlying circumstances are considered to be subject to genuine factual dispute as part of the suspension proceeding.

(b) Advisory by law enforcement officials.  OPM is advised by the Department of Justice, the appropriate U.S. Attorney’s Office, a State attorney general’s office, or a State or local prosecutor’s office that proceedings before a presiding official would prejudice the substantial interests of the Government in pending or contemplated legal proceedings based on the same facts as the suspension.

(c) No bona fide dispute of material facts.  The information, arguments, and documents submitted to the suspending official do not establish that