(iii) The absence of an established performance standard at a level specified in the program shall not preclude a determination that performance is at that level.


§ 430.207 Monitoring performance.

(a) Minimum period. An appraisal program shall establish a minimum period of performance that must be completed before a performance rating may be prepared.

(b) Ongoing appraisal. An appraisal program shall include methods for appraising each critical and non-critical element during the appraisal period. Performance on each critical and non-critical element shall be appraised against its performance standard(s). Ongoing appraisal methods shall include, but not be limited to, conducting one or more progress reviews during each appraisal period.

(c) Marginal performance. Appraisal programs should provide assistance whenever performance is determined to be below “Fully Successful” or equivalent but above “Unacceptable.”

(d) Unacceptable performance. An appraisal program shall provide for—

1. Assisting employees in improving unacceptable performance at any time during the appraisal period that performance is determined to be unacceptable in one or more critical elements; and

2. Taking action based on unacceptable performance.

§ 430.208 Rating performance.

(a) As soon as practicable after the end of the appraisal period, a written, or otherwise recorded, rating of record shall be given to each employee.

(1) A rating of record shall be based only on the evaluation of actual job performance for the designated appraisal period.

(2) An agency shall not issue a rating of record that assumes a level of performance by an employee without an actual evaluation of that employee’s performance.

(3) Except as provided in §430.208(1), a rating of record is final when it is issued to an employee with all appropriate reviews and signatures.

(b) Rating of record procedures for each appraisal program shall include a method for deriving and assigning a summary level as specified in paragraph (d) of this section based on appraisal of performance on critical elements and, as applicable, non-critical elements.

(1) A Level 1 summary (“Unacceptable”) shall be assigned if and only if performance on one or more critical elements is appraised as “Unacceptable.”

(2) Consideration of non-critical elements shall not result in assigning a Level 1 summary (“Unacceptable”).

(c) The method for deriving and assigning a summary level may not limit or require the use of particular summary levels (i.e., establish a forced distribution of summary levels). However, methods used to make distinctions among employees or groups of employees such as comparing, categorizing, and ranking employees or groups on the basis of their performance may be used for purposes other than assigning a summary level including, but not limited to, award determinations and promotion decisions.

(d) Summary levels. (1) An appraisal program shall use one of the following patterns of summary levels:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pattern</th>
<th>Summary level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) Within any of the patterns shown in paragraph (d)(1) of this section, summary levels shall comply with the following requirements:

(i) Level 1 through Level 5 are ordered categories, with Level 1 as the lowest and Level 5 as the highest;

(ii) Level 1 is “Unacceptable”; 

(iii) Level 3 is “Fully Successful” or equivalent; and

(iv) Level 5 is “Outstanding” or equivalent.

(3) The term “Outstanding” shall be used only to describe a Level 5 summary.