§ 405.212 Coverage of items and services in FDA-approved IDE studies.

(a) Coverage of routine care items and services for Category A (Experimental) devices. Medicare covers routine care items and services furnished in an FDA-approved Category A (Experimental) IDE study if CMS (or its designated entity) determines that the Medicare coverage IDE study criteria in § 405.212 are met.

(b) Coverage of Category B (Nonexperimental/investigational) IDE devices and routine care items and services. Medicare may make payment for a Category B (Nonexperimental/investigational) IDE device and routine care items and services furnished in an FDA-approved Category B (Nonexperimental/investigational) IDE study if CMS (or its designated entity) determines prior to the submission of the first related claim that the Medicare coverage IDE study criteria in § 405.212 are met.

(c) CMS (or its designated entity) must review the following to determine if the Medicare coverage IDE study criteria in § 405.212 are met for purposes of coverage of items and services described in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section:

(1) FDA approval letter of the IDE.

(2) IDE study protocol.

(3) IRB approval letter.

(4) NCT number.

(5) Supporting materials, as needed.

(6) Notification. A listing of all CMS-approved Category A (Experimental) IDE studies and Category B (Nonexperimental/investigational) IDE studies shall be posted on the CMS Web site and published in the Federal Register.

§ 405.212 Medicare Coverage IDE study criteria.

(a) For Medicare coverage of items and services described in § 405.212, a Category A (Experimental) or Category B (Nonexperimental/investigational) IDE study must meet all of the following criteria:

(1) The principal purpose of the study is to test whether the device improves health outcomes of appropriately selected patients.

(2) The rationale for the study is well supported by available scientific and medical information, or it is intended to clarify or establish the health outcomes of interventions already in common clinical use.

(3) The study results are not anticipated to unjustifiably duplicate existing knowledge.

(4) The study design is methodologically appropriate and the anticipated number of enrolled subjects is adequate to confidently answer the research question(s) being asked in the study.

(5) The study is sponsored by an organization or individual capable of successfully completing the study.

(6) The study is in compliance with all applicable Federal regulations concerning the protection of human subjects found at 21 CFR parts 50, 56, and 812 and 45 CFR part 46.

(7) Where appropriate, the study is not designed to exclusively test toxicity or disease pathophysiology in healthy individuals. Studies of all medical technologies measuring therapeutic outcomes as one of the objectives may be exempt from this criterion only if the disease or condition being studied is life threatening and the patient has no other viable treatment options.

(8) The study is registered with the National Institutes of Health’s National Library of Medicine’s ClinicalTrials.gov.

(9) The study protocol describes the method and timing of release of results on all pre-specified outcomes, including release of negative outcomes and that the release should be hastened if the study is terminated early.

(10) The study protocol must describe how Medicare beneficiaries may be affected by the device under investigation, and how the study results are or are not expected to be generalizable to the Medicare beneficiary population. Generalizability to populations eligible for Medicare due to age, disability, or other eligibility status must be explicitly described.

(b) [Reserved]
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§ 405.213 Re-evaluation of a device categorization.

(a) General rules. (1) Any sponsor that does not agree with an FDA decision that categorizes its device as experimental/investigational (Category A) may request re-evaluation of the categorization decision.

(2) A sponsor may request review by CMS only after the requirements of paragraph (b) of this section are met.

(3) No reviews other than those described in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section are available to the sponsor.

(4) Neither the FDA original categorization or re-evaluation (described in paragraph (b) of this section) nor CMS’s review (described in paragraph (c) of this section) constitute an initial determination for purposes of the Medicare appeals processes under part 405, subpart G or subpart H, or parts 417, 473, or 498 of this chapter.

(b) Request to FDA. A sponsor that does not agree with the FDA’s categorization of its device may submit a written request to the FDA at any time requesting re-evaluation of its original categorization decision, together with any information and rationale that it believes support recategorization. The FDA notifies both CMS and the sponsor of its decision.

(c) Request to CMS. If the FDA does not agree to recategorize the device, the sponsor may seek review from CMS. A device sponsor must submit its request in writing to CMS. CMS obtains copies of relevant portions of the application, the original categorization decision, and supplementary materials. CMS reviews all material submitted by the sponsor and the FDA’s recommendation. CMS reviews only information in the FDA record to determine whether to change the categorization of the device. CMS issues a written decision and notifies the sponsor of the IDE and the FDA.

EDITORIAL NOTE: Nomenclature changes to subpart C of part 4405 appear at 76 FR 5961, Feb. 2, 2011.