§ 52.230 Control strategy and regulations: Nitrogen dioxide.

(a) The requirements of § 52.14(c)(3) of this chapter as of September 22, 1972 (47 FR 1983), are not met since the plan does not provide for the degree of nitrogen oxides emission reduction attainable through application of reasonably available control technology in the Metropolitan Los Angeles Intrastate Region. Therefore, Rule 68.b of the Orange County Air Pollution Control District is disapproved.

(b) The following rules are disapproved since they are not part of the approved control strategy and do not provide for the degree of control necessary for the attainment and maintenance of NAAQS for nitrogen dioxide in the Metropolitan Los Angeles Intrastate AQCR:


(c) The rescission by the Southern California APCD of the following rules is disapproved since adequate replacement rules have not been submitted and no analysis has been presented to show that this rescission will not interfere with the attainment and maintenance of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards as required by section 110 of the Clean Air Act.

(1) Orange County APCD, Regulation IV, Rule 68, Fuel Burning Equipment—NOx.

(2) Orange County APCD, Regulation IV, Rule 67.1, Fuel Burning Equipment.
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(b) The following rules are disapproved since they are not part of the approved control strategy and do not provide for the degree of control necessary for the attainment and maintenance of NAAQS for nitrogen dioxide in the Metropolitan Los Angeles Intrastate AQCR:


(c) The rescission by the Southern California APCD of the following rules is disapproved since adequate replacement rules have not been submitted and no analysis has been presented to show that this rescission will not interfere with the attainment and maintenance of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards as required by section 110 of the Clean Air Act.
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§ 52.231 Regulations: Sulfur oxides.

(a) [Reserved]

(b) The deletion of the following rules or portions of rules is disapproved, since an adequate control strategy demonstration has not been submitted indicating that the deletions of the control requirements contained in those rules would not interfere with the attainment or maintenance of the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for Sulfur Oxides.

(1) Lake County Intrastate Region.

(i) Lake County, APCD.

(A) Section 3(F), Sulfur of Part V, Prohibitions and Standards, submitted on October 23, 1974 and previously approved under 40 CFR 52.223, is retained as applicable to sources other than sulfur recovery units.


§ 52.232 Part D conditional approval.

(a) The following portions of the California SIP contain deficiencies with respect to Part D of the Clean Air Act which must be corrected by meeting the indicated conditions of Part D plan approval.

(1) Imperial County for ozone.

(i) By May 7, 1981, the NSR rules must be revised and submitted as an SIP revision. The rules must satisfy section 173 and 40 CFR Subpart I, “Review of new sources and modifications.”

In revising the Imperial County APCD’s NSR rules, the State/APCD must address (A) any new requirements in EPA’s amended regulations for NSR under section 173 of the Clean Air Act (August 7, 1980, 45 FR 52676) which the APCD rules do not now satisfy and (B) those deficiencies cited in EPA’s Evaluation Report Addendum (contained in Document File NAP–CA–06 at the EPA Library in Washington, DC and the Region IX office).

(ii) By January 1, 1981, a cutback asphalt rule which reflects reasonably available control technology (RACT) must be submitted as an SIP revision.

(2) North Central Coast Air Basin for ozone.

(i) By May 7, 1981, the NSR rules must be revised and submitted as an SIP revision. The rules must satisfy section 173 and 40 CFR Subpart I, “Review of new sources and modifications.” In revising the Monterey Bay Unified APCD’s NSR rules, the State/APCD
must address (a) any new requirements in EPA’s amended regulations for NSR under section 173 of the Clean Air Act (August 7, 1980, 45 FR 52676) which the APCD rules do not now satisfy and (b) those deficiencies with respect to the September 5, 1979 notice cited in EPA’s Evaluation Report Addendum (contained in Document File NAP-CA-14 at the EPA Library in Washington, DC and the Region IX Office).

(ii) By March 4, 1981, one of the following must be submitted as an SIP revision: (a) Adequate justification that the cutback asphalt rule represents RACT, (b) amendment of the cutback asphalt rule to conform with the controls recommended in the CTG document for cutback asphalt, or (c) adequate documentation that the cutback asphalt rule will result in emission reductions which are within 5 percent of the reductions achievable with the controls recommended in the cutback asphalt CTG document.

(3) South Coast Air Basin.

(i) By May 7, 1981, the NSR rules must be revised and submitted as an SIP revision. The rules must satisfy section 173 of the Clean Air Act and 40 CFR 51.18, “Review of new sources and modifications.” In revising the South Coast AQMD’s NSR rules, the State/AQMD must address (1) any new requirements in EPA’s amended regulations for NSR (45 FR 31307, May 13, 1980 and 45 FR 52676, August 7, 1980) which the AQMD rules do not currently satisfy and (2) those deficiencies cited in EPA’s Evaluation Report Addendum which still apply despite EPA’s new NSR requirements (contained in Document File NAP-CA-9 at the EPA Library in Washington, DC and the Regional Office).

(ii) [Reserved]

(6) [Reserved]

(7) San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin.

(i) For ozone and CO:

(A) By June 17, 1982, submittal of implementation commitments and schedules and additional commitments to provide annually the financial and personnel resources necessary to carry out the plan for transportation sources.

(ii) [Reserved]

(3) The Kern County APCD.

(i) For PM:

(A) By November 19, 1981, the NSR rules must be revised and submitted as an SIP revision. The rules must satisfy section 173 of the Clean Air Act and 40 CFR Subpart I, “Review of new sources and modifications.” In revising Kern County’s NSR rules, the State/APCD must address all the requirements in EPA’s amended regulations for NSR (45 FR 31307, May 13, 1980 and 45 FR 52676, August 7, 1980) which the APCD rules do not currently satisfy including those deficiencies cited in EPA’s Evaluation Report Addendum which still apply despite EPA’s new NSR requirements (contained in document File NAP-CA-07 at the EPA Library in Washington, DC and the Regional Office).

(ii) [Reserved]

(6) [Reserved]

(7) The Santa Barbara County non-attainment areas.

(i) For O\textsubscript{3}, TSP, and CO by (90 days from the date of publication of this notice).

(A) The new source review (NSR) rules must be revised to meet the requirements in EPA’s amended regulations for NSR under section 173 of the Clean Air Act (45 FR 31307, May 13, 1980 and 45 FR 52676, August 7, 1980) and submitted as an SIP revision.

(ii) For O\textsubscript{3} by (90 days from the date of publication of this notice), a revised cutback asphalt paving materials rule which does not allow for indefinite compliance date extensions and submitted as an SIP revision.

(10)–(11) [Reserved]
§ 52.233 Review of new sources and modifications.

(a) The following regulations are disapproved because they are not consistent with Clean Air Act requirements.

1. Imperial County APCD.
   (i) Subparagraph C.5. of Rule 207, Standards for Permit to Construct, submitted March 17, 1980.

2. Monterey Bay Unified APCD.
   (i) Subparagraph B.5. of Rule 207, Standards for Permit to Construct, submitted March 17, 1980.

3. South Coast AQMD.
   (i) In Rule 1306(a)(i), submitted on April 3, 1980, sentence 3 is disapproved.
   (ii) In Rule 1306(d)(1)(B)(ii), submitted on April 3, 1980, the following portion of the rule is disapproved: "Which have occurred during the highest three years of the last five year period, divided by three, provided the applicant demonstrates that such permit units have been operated at least 90 days during each of such three years."
   (iii) In Rule 1307(a) submitted on April 3, 1980, the following portion of the rule is disapproved: "Greater than 68 kilograms (150 pounds) per day except carbon monoxide, for which the value is an increase greater than 340 kilograms (750 pounds) per day."

4. Kern County APCD.
   (i) Those portions of paragraph (3)(E) of Rule 210.1, submitted on April 15, 1980, which allow new sources and modifications to be exempt from LAER.

(b) [Reserved]

(c) The requirements of §51.160(a) of this chapter are not met in the following Air Pollution Control Districts since the regulations of the APCD's do