Figure 1 to §63.785  Flow diagram of compliance procedures

Note: OPTION 4 shall follow the same procedures shown for Options 1 through 3, depending on whether or not and how thinners are used. When using Option 4, the term "VOCAP" shall be used in lieu of the term "VOC."

§63.786 Test methods and procedures.

(a) For the compliance procedures described in §63.785(c) (1) through (c)(3),
Method 24 of 40 CFR part 60, appendix A, is the definitive method for determining the VOC content of coatings, as supplied or as applied. When a coating or thinner contains exempt compounds that are volatile HAP or VOHAP, the owner or operator shall ensure, when determining the VOC content of a coating, that the mass of these exempt compounds is included.

(b) For the compliance procedure described in §63.785(c)(4), the Administrator must approve the test method for determining the VOHAP content of coatings and thinners. As part of the approval, the test method must meet the specified accuracy limits indicated below for sensitivity, duplicates, repeatability, and reproducibility coefficient of variation each determined at the 95 percent confidence limit. Each percentage value below is the corresponding coefficient of variation multiplied by 2.8 as in the ASTM Method E180–93: Standard Practice for Determining the Precision of ASTM Methods for Analysis and Testing of Industrial Chemicals (incorporation by reference—see §63.14).

(1) Sensitivity. The overall sensitivity must be sufficient to identify and calculate at least one mass percent of the compounds of interest based on the original sample. The sensitivity is defined as ten times the noise level as specified in ASTM Method D3257–93: Standard Test Methods for Aromatics in Mineral Spirits by Gas Chromatography (incorporation by reference—see §63.14). In determining the sensitivity, the level of sample dilution must be factored in.

(2) Repeatability. First, at the 0.1–5 percent analyte range the results would be suspect if duplicates vary by more than 5 percent relative and/or day to day variation of mean duplicates by the same analyst exceeds 10 percent relative. Second, at greater than 5 percent analyte range the results would be suspect if duplicates vary by more than 5 percent relative and/or day to day variation of duplicates by the same analyst exceeds 5 percent relative.

(3) Reproducibility. First, at the 0.1–5 percent analyte range the results would be suspect if lab to lab variation exceeds 20 percent relative. Second, at greater than 5 percent range the results would be suspect if lab to lab variation exceeds 20 percent relative.

(4) Any test method should include information on the apparatus, reagents and materials, analytical procedure, procedure for identification and confirmation of the volatile species in the mixture being analyzed, precision and bias, and other details to be reported. The reporting should also include information on quality assurance (QA) auditing.

(5) Multiple and different analytical techniques must be used for positive identification if the components in a mixture under analysis are not known. In such cases a single column gas chromatograph (GC) may not be adequate. A combination of equipment may be needed such as a GC/mass spectrometer or GC/infrared system. (If a GC method is used, the operator must use practices in ASTM Method E260–91 or 96: Standard Practice for Gas Chromatography [incorporation by reference—see §63.14].)

(c) A coating manufacturer or the owner or operator of an affected source may use batch formulation data as a test method in lieu of Method 24 of appendix A to 40 CFR part 60 to certify the as-supplied VOC content of a coating if the manufacturer or the owner or operator has determined that batch formulation data have a consistent and quantitatively known relationship to Method 24 results. This determination shall consider the role of cure volatiles, which may cause emissions to exceed an amount based solely upon coating formulation data. Notwithstanding such determination, in the event of conflicting results, Method 24 of appendix A of 40 CFR part 60 shall take precedence.

(d) Each owner or operator of an affected source shall use or ensure that the manufacturer uses the form and procedures mentioned in appendix A of this subpart to determine values for the thinner and coating parameters used in Equations 1 and 2 of this subpart. The owner or operator shall ensure that the coating/thinner manufacturer (or supplier) provides information on the VOC and VOHAP contents of the coatings/thinners and the procedure(s) used to determine these values.
(e) For add-on control systems approved for use in limiting emissions from coating operations pursuant to §63.783(c), performance tests shall be conducted under such conditions as the Administrator specifies to the owner or operator based on representative performance of the affected source for the period being tested. Upon request, the owner or operator shall make available to the Administrator such records as may be necessary to demonstrate the conditions present during performance tests.


§ 63.787 Notification requirements.

(a) Each owner or operator of an affected source shall comply with all applicable notification requirements in §63.9(a) through (d) and (i) through (j), with the exception that the deadline specified in §63.9(b) (2) and (3) shall be extended from 120 days to 180 days. Any owner or operator that receives approval pursuant to §63.783(c) to use an add-on control system to control coating emissions shall comply with the applicable requirements of §63.9(e) through (h).

(b) Implementation plan. The provisions of §63.9(a) apply to the requirements of this paragraph.

(1) Each owner or operator of an affected source shall:
   (i) Prepare a written implementation plan that addresses each of the subject areas specified in paragraph (b)(3) of this section; and
   (ii) Not later than one year after the effective date of this subpart, submit the implementation plan to the Administrator along with the notification required by §63.9(b)(2) or (b)(5) of subpart A, as applicable.

(2) [Reserved]

(3) Implementation plan contents. Each implementation plan shall address the following subject areas:
   (i) Coating compliance procedures. The implementation plan shall include the compliance procedure(s) under §63.785(c) that the source intends to use.
   (ii) Recordkeeping procedures. The implementation plan shall include the procedures for maintaining the records required under §63.788, including the procedures for gathering the necessary data and making the necessary calculations.
   (iii) Transfer, handling, and storage procedures. The implementation plan shall include the procedures for ensuring compliance with §63.783(b).

(4) Major sources that intend to become area sources by the compliance date. Existing major sources that intend to become area sources by the December 16, 1997 compliance date may choose to submit, in lieu of the implementation plan required under paragraph (b)(1) of this section, a statement that, by the compliance date, the major source intends to obtain and comply with federally enforceable limits on their potential to emit which make the facility an area source.

(60 FR 64336, Dec. 15, 1995, as amended at 61 FR 30816, June 18, 1996)

§ 63.788 Recordkeeping and reporting requirements.

(a) Each owner or operator of an affected source shall comply with the applicable recordkeeping and reporting requirements in §63.10 (a), (b), (d), and (f). Any owner that receives approval pursuant to §63.783(c) to use an add-on control system to control coating emissions shall also comply with the applicable requirements of §63.10 (c) and (e). A summary of recordkeeping and reporting requirements is provided in Table 3 of this subpart.

(b) Recordkeeping requirements. (1) Each owner or operator of a major source shipbuilding or ship repair facility having surface coating operations with less than 1000 liters (L) (264 gallons (gal)) annual marine coating usage shall record the total volume of coating applied at the source to ships. Such records shall be compiled monthly and maintained for a minimum of 5 years.

(2) Each owner or operator of an affected source shall compile records on a monthly basis and maintain those records for a minimum of 5 years. At a minimum, these records shall include:
   (i) All documentation supporting initial notification;
   (ii) A copy of the affected source’s approved implementation plan;
   (iii) The volume of each low-usage-exempt coating applied;