(v) Ensure that each IEP team reviews annually for each subject, according to the criteria in paragraph (e)(2) of this section, its decision to assess a student based on modified academic achievement standards to ensure that those standards remain appropriate.

(g) Subjects without standards. If an LEA serves students under subpart A of this part in subjects for which a State has not developed academic standards, the State must describe in its State plan a strategy for ensuring that those students are taught the same knowledge and skills and held to the same expectations in those subjects as are all other students.

(h) Other subjects with standards. If a State has developed standards in other subjects for all students, the State must apply those standards to students participating under subpart A of this part.

§ 200.2 State responsibilities for assessment.

(a)(1) Each State, in consultation with its LEAs, must implement a system of high-quality, yearly student academic assessments that includes, at a minimum, academic assessments in mathematics, reading/language arts and, beginning in the 2007–08 school year, science.

(2)(i) The State may also measure the achievement of students in other academic subjects in which the State has adopted challenging academic content and student academic achievement standards.

(ii) If a State has developed assessments in other subjects for all students, the State must include students participating under subpart A of this part in those assessments.

(b) The assessment system required under this section must meet the following requirements:

(1) Be the same assessment system used to measure the achievement of all students in accordance with §200.3 or §200.4.

(2) Be designed to be valid and accessible for use by the widest possible range of students, including students with disabilities and students with limited English proficiency.

(3)(i) Be aligned with the State’s challenging academic content and student academic achievement standards; and

(ii) Provide coherent information about student attainment of those standards.

(4)(i) Be valid and reliable for the purposes for which the assessment system is used; and

(ii) Be consistent with relevant, nationally recognized professional and technical standards.

(5) Be supported by evidence (which the Secretary will provide, upon request, consistent with applicable federal laws governing the disclosure of information) from test publishers or other relevant sources that the assessment system is—

(i) Of adequate technical quality for each purpose required under the Act; and

(ii) Consistent with the requirements of this section.

(6) Be administered in accordance with the timeline in §200.5.

(7) Involve multiple up-to-date measures of student academic achievement, including measures that assess higher-order thinking skills and understanding of challenging content, as defined by the State. These measures may include—

(i) Single or multiple question formats that range in cognitive complexity within a single assessment; and

(ii) Multiple assessments within a subject area.

(8) Objectively measure academic achievement, knowledge, and skills without evaluating or assessing personal or family beliefs and attitudes, except that this provision does not preclude the use of items—

(i) Such as constructed-response, short answer, or essay questions; or

(ii) That require a student to analyze a passage of text or to express opinions.

(9) Provide for participation in the assessment system of all students in
§ 200.3 Designing State Academic Assessment Systems.

(a)(1) For each grade and subject assessed, a State’s academic assessment system must:
(1) Address the depth and breadth of the State’s academic content standards under §200.1(b);
(2) Be valid, reliable, and of high technical quality;
(3) Express student results in terms of the State’s student academic achievement standards; and
(4) Be designed to provide a coherent system across grades and subjects.

(b) A State that includes a combination of assessments as described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section, or a combination of State and local assessments, in its State assessment system must demonstrate in its State plan that the system has a rational and coherent design that—
(1) Identifies the assessments to be used;
(2) Indicates the relative contribution of each assessment towards—
(i) Ensuring alignment with the State’s academic content standards; and
(ii) Determining the adequate yearly progress of each school and LEA; and
(3) Provides information regarding the progress of students relative to the State’s academic standards in order to inform instruction.

(c) A State that includes local assessments in the system described in §200.2(b) must—
(1) Establish technical criteria to ensure that each local assessment meets the requirements of paragraphs (a)(2) of this section; and
(2) Demonstrate in its State plan that all local assessments used for this purpose—
(i) Are equivalent to one another and to State assessments, where they exist, in their content coverage, difficulty, and quality;
(ii) Have comparable validity and reliability with respect to groups of students described in section 1111(b)(2)(C)(v) of the Act; and

(10) Except as provided in §200.7, enable results to be disaggregated within each State, LEA, and school by—
(i) Gender;
(ii) Each major racial and ethnic group;
(iii) English proficiency status;
(iv) Migrant status as defined in Title I, part C of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (hereinafter “the Act”);
(v) Students with disabilities as defined under section 602(3) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) as compared to all other students; and
(vi) Economically disadvantaged students as compared to students who are not economically disadvantaged.

(11) Produce individual student reports consistent with §200.8(a).

(12) Enable itemized score analyses to be produced and reported to LEAs and schools consistent with §200.8(b).

(13) The State assessment system may include academic assessments that do not meet the requirements in paragraph (b) of this section as additional measures. Those additional assessments—
(1) May not reduce the number, or change the identity, of schools that would otherwise be subject to school improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under section 1116 of Title I of the Act, if those assessments were not used; but
(2) May identify additional schools for school improvement, corrective action, or restructuring.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 6311(b)(3))