§ 776.27 Conflict of interests: Prohibited transactions.

(a) Conflict of interests: Prohibited transactions. (1) Covered USG attorneys shall strictly adhere to current Department of Defense Ethics Regulations and shall not:

(i) Knowingly enter into any business transactions on behalf of, or adverse to, a client’s interest which directly or indirectly relate to or result from the attorney-client relationship; or

(ii) Provide any financial assistance to a client or otherwise serve in a financial or proprietary fiduciary or bailment relationship, unless otherwise specifically authorized by competent authority.

(2) No covered attorney shall:

(i) Use information relating to representation of a client to the disadvantage of the client unless the client consents after consultation, except as permitted or required by §776.25 or §776.42 of this part;

(ii) Prepare an instrument giving the covered attorney or a person related to the covered attorney as parent, child, sibling, or spouse any gift from a client, including a testamentary gift, except where the client is related to the donee;

(iii) In the case of covered non-USG attorneys, accept compensation for representing a client from one other than the client unless the client consents after consultation, there is no interference with the covered attorney’s independence of professional judgment or with the attorney-client relationship, and information relating to representation of a client is protected as required by §776.25 of this part;

(iv) Negotiate any settlement on behalf of multiple clients in a single matter unless each client provides fully informed consent;

(v) Prior to the conclusion of representation of the client, make or negotiate an agreement giving a covered attorney literary or media rights for a portrayal or account based in substantial part on information relating to representation of a client;

(vi) Represent a client in a matter directly adverse to a person whom the covered attorney knows is represented by another attorney who is related as parent, child, sibling, or spouse to the covered attorney, except upon consent by the client after consultation regarding the relationship; or

(vii) Acquire a proprietary interest in the cause of action or subject matter of litigation the covered attorney is conducting for a client.

(b) [Reserved]

§ 776.28 Conflict of interest: Former client.

(a) Conflict of interest: Former client. A covered attorney who has represented a client in a matter shall not thereafter:

(1) Represent another person in the same or a substantially related matter in which the person’s interests are materially adverse to the interests of the former client, unless the former client consents after consultation;

(2) Use information relating to the representation to the disadvantage of the former client or to the covered attorney’s own advantage, except as §776.25 or §776.42 of this part would permit or require with respect to a client or when the information has become generally known; or

(3) Reveal information relating to the representation except as §776.25 or §776.42 of this part would permit or require with respect to a client.

(b) [Reserved]

§ 776.29 Imputed disqualification: General rule.

(a) Imputed disqualification: General rule. Covered USG attorneys working in the same military law office are not automatically disqualified from representing a client because any of them practicing alone would be prohibited from doing so by §776.26, §776.27, §776.28, or §776.38 of this part. Covered non-USG attorneys must consult their federal, state, and local bar rules governing the representation of multiple...
or adverse clients within the same office before such representation is initiated, as such representation may expose them to disciplinary action under the rules established by their licensing authority.

(b)(1) The circumstances of military (or Government) service may require representation of opposing sides by covered USG attorneys working in the same law office. Such representation is permissible so long as conflicts of interests are avoided and independent judgment, zealous representation, and protection of confidences are not compromised. Thus, the principle of imputed disqualification is not automatically controlling for covered USG attorneys. The knowledge, actions, and conflicts of interests of one covered USG attorney are not imputed to another simply because they operate from the same office. For example, the fact that a number of defense attorneys operate from one office and normally share clerical assistance would not prohibit them from representing co-accused at trial by court-martial. Imputed disqualification rules for non-USG attorneys are established by their individual licensing authorities and may well proscribe all attorneys from one law office from representing a co-accused, or a party with an adverse interest to an existing client, if any attorney in the same office were so prohibited.

(2) Whether a covered USG attorney is disqualified requires a functional analysis of the facts in a specific situation. The analysis should include consideration of whether the following will be compromised: Preserving attorney-client confidentiality; maintaining independence of judgment; and avoiding positions adverse to a client. See, e.g., U.S. v. Stubbs, 23 M.J. 188 (CMA 1987).

(3) Preserving confidentiality is a question of access to information. Access to information, in turn, is essentially a question of fact in a particular circumstance, aided by inferences, deductions, or working presumptions that reasonably may be made about the way in which covered USG attorneys work together. A covered USG attorney may have general access to files of all clients of a military law office (e.g., legal assistance attorney) and may regularly participate in discussions of their affairs; it may be inferred that such a covered USG attorney in fact is privy to all information about all the office’s clients. In contrast, another covered USG attorney (e.g., military defense counsel) may have access to the files of only a limited number of clients and participate in discussion of the affairs of no other clients; in the absence of information to the contrary, it should be inferred that such a covered USG attorney in fact is privy to information about the clients actually served but not to information of other clients. Additionally, a covered USG attorney changing duty stations or changing assignments within a military office has a continuing duty to preserve confidentiality of information about a client formerly represented. See §776.25 and §776.28.7 of this part.

(4) Maintaining independent judgment allows a covered USG attorney to consider, recommend, and carry out any appropriate course of action for a client without regard to the covered USG attorney’s personal interests or the interests of another. When such independence is lacking or unlikely, representation cannot be zealous.

(5) Another aspect of loyalty to a client is the general obligation of any attorney to decline subsequent representations involving positions adverse to a former client in substantially related matters. This obligation normally requires abstention from adverse representation by the individual covered attorney involved, but, in the military legal office, abstention is not required by other covered USG attorneys through imputed disqualification.

§776.30 Successive Government and private employment.

(a) Successive Government and private employment: (1) Except as the law or regulations may otherwise expressly permit, a former covered USG attorney shall not represent a private client in connection with a matter in which the covered USG attorney participated personally and substantially as a public officer or employee, unless the appropriate Government agency consents after consultation. If a former covered USG attorney in a firm, partnership, or