§ 429.70 Alternative methods for determining energy efficiency or energy use.

(a) General. A manufacturer of commercial HVAC and WH equipment, distribution transformers, and central air conditioners and heat pumps may not distribute any basic model of such equipment in commerce unless the manufacturer has determined the energy efficiency of the basic model, either from testing the basic model or from applying an alternative method for determining energy efficiency or energy use (AEDM) to the basic model, in accordance with the requirements of this section. In instances where a manufacturer has tested a basic model to validate the alternative method, the energy efficiency of that basic model must be determined and rated according to results from actual testing. In addition, a manufacturer may not knowingly use an AEDM to overrate the efficiency of a basic model. For each basic model of distribution transformer that has a configuration of windings that allows for more than one nominal rated voltage, the manufacturer must determine the basic model’s efficiency either at the voltage at which the highest losses occur or at each voltage at which the transformer is rated to operate.

(b) Testing. Testing for each covered product or covered equipment must be done in accordance with the sampling plan provisions established in §§429.14 through 429.54 and the testing procedures in parts 430 and 431.

(c) Alternative efficiency determination method (AEDM) for commercial HVAC and WH equipment—(1) Criteria an AEDM must satisfy. A manufacturer may not apply an AEDM to a basic model to determine its efficiency pursuant to this section unless:

(i) The AEDM is derived from a mathematical model that represents the energy consumption characteristics of the basic model;
(ii) The AEDM is based on engineering or statistical analysis, computer simulation or modeling, or other analytic evaluation of performance data; and

(iii) The manufacturer has substantiated the AEDM, in accordance with paragraph (c)(2) of this section.

(2) Substantiation of an AEDM. Before using an AEDM, the manufacturer must substantiate and validate the AEDM as follows:

(i) A manufacturer must first apply the AEDM to three or more basic models that have been tested in accordance with §§ 431.173(b) and 431.175(a). The predicted efficiency calculated for each such basic model from application of the AEDM must be within five percent of the efficiency determined from testing that basic model, and the predicted efficiencies calculated for the tested basic models must, on average, be within one percent of the efficiencies determined from testing such basic models; and

(ii) Using the AEDM, the manufacturer must calculate the efficiency of three or more of its basic models. They must be the manufacturer's highest-selling basic models to which the AEDM could apply and different models than those used to develop the AEDM (i.e., different models than those used in paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section); and

(iii) The manufacturer must test each of these basic models in accordance with § 431.173(b), and either § 431.174(b) or 431.175(a), whichever is applicable; and

(iv) The predicted efficiency calculated for each such basic model from application of the AEDM must be within five percent of the efficiency determined from testing that basic model, and the average of the predicted efficiencies calculated for the tested basic models must be within one percent of the average of the efficiencies determined from testing these basic models.

(3) Subsequent verification of an AEDM. If a manufacturer has used an AEDM pursuant to this section,

(i) The manufacturer must have available for inspection by the Department records showing:

(A) The method or methods used;

(B) The mathematical model, the engineering or statistical analysis, computer simulation or modeling, and other analytic evaluation of performance data on which the AEDM is based;

(C) Complete test data, product information, and related information that the manufacturer generated or acquired under paragraph (c)(1) through (2) of this section; and

(D) The calculations used to determine the average efficiency and energy consumption of each basic model to which an AEDM was applied.

(ii) If requested by the Department, the manufacturer must perform at least one of the following:

(A) Conduct simulations to predict the performance of particular basic models of the commercial HVAC and WH product;

(B) Provide analyses of previous simulations conducted by the manufacturer;

(C) Conduct sample testing of basic models selected by the Department; or

(D) Conduct a combination of these.

(d) Alternative efficiency determination method for distribution transformers—A manufacturer may use an AEDM to determine the efficiency of one or more of its untested basic models only if it determines the efficiency of at least five of its other basic models (selected in accordance with paragraph (d)(3) of this section) through actual testing.

(1) Criteria an AEDM must satisfy.

(i) The AEDM has been derived from a mathematical model that represents the electrical characteristics of that basic model;

(ii) The AEDM is based on engineering and statistical analysis, computer simulation or modeling, or other analytic evaluation of performance data; and

(iii) The manufacturer has substantiated the AEDM, in accordance with paragraph (d)(2) of this section, by applying it to, and testing, at least five other basic models of the same type, i.e., low-voltage dry-type distribution transformers, medium-voltage dry-type distribution transformers, or liquid-immersed distribution transformers.

(2) Substantiation of an AEDM. Before using an AEDM, the manufacturer must substantiate the AEDM's accuracy and reliability as follows:
When identifying these five basic models, any basic model that does not comply with Federal energy conservation standards for distribution transformers that may be in effect shall be excluded from consideration.

(i) Apply the AEDM to at least five of the manufacturer’s basic models that have been selected for testing in accordance with paragraph (d)(3) of this section, and calculate the power loss for each of these basic models;

(ii) Test at least five units of each of these basic models in accordance with the applicable test procedure and §429.47, and determine the power loss for each of these basic models;

(iii) The predicted total power loss for each of these basic models, calculated by applying the AEDM pursuant to paragraph (d)(2)(i) of this section, must be within plus or minus five percent of the mean total power loss determined from the testing of that basic model pursuant to paragraph (d)(2)(ii) of this section; and

(iv) Calculate for each of these basic models the percentage that its power loss calculated pursuant to paragraph (d)(2)(i) of this section is of its power loss determined from testing, compute the average of these percentages, and that calculated average power loss, expressed as a percentage of the average power loss determined from testing, must be no less than 97 percent and no greater than 103 percent.

(3) Additional testing requirements. (i) A manufacturer must select basic models for testing in accordance with the following criteria:

(A) Two of the basic models must be among the five basic models with the highest unit volumes of production by the manufacturer in the prior year, or during the prior 12-calendar-month period beginning in 2003,1 whichever is later;

(B) No two basic models should have the same combination of power and voltage ratings; and

(C) At least one basic model should be single-phase and at least one should be three-phase.

(ii) In any instance where it is impossible for a manufacturer to select basic models for testing in accordance with all of these criteria, the criteria shall be given priority in the order in which they are listed. Within the limits imposed by the criteria, basic models shall be selected randomly.

(4) Subsequent verification of an AEDM. (i) Each manufacturer that has used an AEDM under this section shall have available for inspection by the Department of Energy records showing:

(A) The method or methods used;

(B) The mathematical model, the engineering or statistical analysis, computer simulation or modeling, and other analytic evaluation of performance data on which the AEDM is based;

(C) Complete test data, product information, and related information that the manufacturer has generated or acquired pursuant to paragraph (d)(4) of this section; and

(D) The calculations used to determine the efficiency and total power losses of each basic model to which the AEDM was applied.

(ii) If requested by the Department, the manufacturer must perform at least one of the following:

(A) Conduct simulations to predict the performance of particular basic models of distribution transformers specified by the Department;

(B) Provide analyses of previous simulations conducted by the manufacturer;

(C) Conduct sample testing of basic models selected by the Department; or

(D) Conduct a combination of these.

(e) Alternate Rating Method (ARM) for residential split-system central air conditioners and heat pumps—

(1) Criteria an ARM must satisfy. The basis of the ARM referred to in §429.16(a)(2)(ii) for residential central air conditioners and heat pumps must be a representation of the test data and calculations of a mechanical vapor-compression refrigeration cycle. The major components in the refrigeration cycle must be modeled as “fits” to manufacturer performance data or by graphical or tabular performance data. Heat transfer characteristics of coils may be modeled as a function of face area, number of rows, fins per inch, refrigerant circuitry, air-flow rate and entering-air enthalpy. Additional performance-related characteristics to be considered may include type of expansion device, refrigerant flow rate

---

1 When identifying these five basic models, any basic model that does not comply with Federal energy conservation standards for distribution transformers that may be in effect shall be excluded from consideration.
through the expansion device, power of
the indoor fan and cyclic-degradation
coefficient. Ratings for untested com-
bined must be derived from the rat-
ings of a combination tested in accord-
ance with §429.16(a)(2)(i). The seasonal
energy efficiency ratio (SEER) and/or
heating seasonal performance factor
(HSPF) ratings for an untested com-
bination must be set equal to or less
than the lower of the SEER and/or
HSPF calculated using the applicable
DOE-approved alternative rating meth-
od (ARM). If the method includes an
ARM/simulation adjustment factor(s),
determine the value(s) of the factors(s)
that yield the best match between the
SEER/HSPF determined using the
ARM versus the SEER/HSPF deter-
mimed from testing in accordance with
§429.16(a)(2)(i). Thereafter, apply the
ARM using the derived adjustment fac-
tor(s) only when determining the rat-
ings for untested combinations having
the same outdoor unit.
(2) Approval of an ARM. (1) Manufac-
turers who elect to use an ARM for de-
termining measures of energy con-
sumption under §429.16(a)(2)(ii) and
paragraph (e)(1) of this section must submit a request for DOE to re-
view the ARM. Send the request to:
U.S. Department of Energy, Office of
Energy Efficiency and Renewable En-
ergy, Building Technologies Program
(EE–2J), Attention: Alternative Rating
Methods (ARM) for Certification and
Compliance, Forrestal Building, 1000
Independence Avenue, SW., Wash-
ington, DC 20585–0121.
(ii) Each request to DOE for approval
of an ARM must include:
(A) The name, mailing address, tele-
phone number, and e-mail address of
the official representing the manufac-
turer.
(B) Complete documentation of the
alternative rating method to allow
DOE to evaluate its technical ade-
quacy. The documentation must in-
clude a description of the methodology,
state any underlying assumptions, and
explain any correlations. The docu-
mentation should address how the
method accounts for the cyclic-deg-
radation coefficient, the type of expan-
sion device, and, if applicable, the in-
door fan-off delay. The requestor must
submit any computer programs—in-
cluding spreadsheets—having less than
200 executable lines that implement
the ARM. Longer computer programs
must be identified and sufficiently ex-
plained, as specified above, but their
inclusion in the initial submittal pack-
age is optional. Applicability or limita-
tions of the ARM (e.g., only covers sin-
gle-speed units when operating in the
cooling mode, covers units with rated
capacities of 3 tons or less, not applica-
table to the manufacturer’s product line
of non-ducted systems) must be stated
in the documentation.
(C) Complete test data from labora-
tory tests on four mixed (i.e., non-high-
est-sales-volume combination) systems
per each ARM.
(1) The four mixed systems must in-
clude four different indoor units and at
least two different outdoor units. A
particular model of outdoor unit may
be tested with up to two of the four in-
door units. The four systems must in-
clude two low-capacity mixed systems
and two high-capacity mixed systems.
The low-capacity mixed systems may
have any capacity. The rated capacity
of each high-capacity mixed system
must be at least a factor of two higher
than its counterpart low-capacity
mixed system. The four mixed systems
must meet the applicable energy con-
servation standard in §430.32(c) in ef-
cfect at the time of the rating.
(ii) Each request to DOE for approval
of an ARM must include:
(A) The name, mailing address, tele-
phone number, and e-mail address of
the official representing the manufac-
turer.
(B) Complete documentation of the
alternative rating method to allow
DOE to evaluate its technical ade-
quacy. The documentation must in-
clude a description of the methodology,
state any underlying assumptions, and
explain any correlations. The docu-
mentation should address how the
method accounts for the cyclic-deg-
radation coefficient, the type of expan-
sion device, and, if applicable, the in-
door fan-off delay. The requestor must
submit any computer programs—in-
this case only, all four indoor coils must be selected from this one coil family. If approved, the ARM will be specifically limited to applications for this one coil family.

(D) All product information on each mixed system indoor unit, each matched system indoor unit, and each outdoor unit needed to implement the proposed ARM. The calculated ratings for the four mixed systems, as determined using the proposed ARM, must be provided along with any other related information that will aid the verification process.

(E) If request for approval is for an updated ARM, manufacturers must identify modifications made to the ARM since the last submittal, including any ARM/simulation adjustment factor(s) added since the ARM was last approved by DOE.

(iii) Approval must be received from the Department to use the ARM before the ARM may be used for rating split-system central air conditioners and heat pumps. If a manufacturer has a DOE-approved ARM for products also distributed in commerce by a private labeler, the ARM may also be used by the private labeler for rating these products. Once an ARM is approved, DOE will give follow-up priority to individual combinations having questionably high ratings (e.g., a coil-only system having a rating that exceeds the rating of a coil-only highest sales volume combination by more than 6 percent).

(3) Changes to DOE’s regulations requiring re-approval of an ARM. Manufacturers who elect to use an ARM for determining measures of energy consumption under §429.16(a)(2)(i)(B)(1) and paragraph (e)(1) of this section must submit a request for DOE to review the ARM when:

(i) DOE amends the energy conservation standards as specified in §430.32 for residential central air conditioners and heat pumps. In this case, any testing and evidence required under paragraph (e)(2) of this section shall be developed with units that meet the amended energy conservation standards specified in §430.32.

for the ARM must be obtained before the compliance date of amended energy conservation standards. (ii) DOE amends the test procedure for residential air conditioners and heat pumps as specified in appendix M to subpart B of part 430. Re-approval for the ARM must be obtained before the compliance date of amended test procedures.

(4) Manufacturers that elect to use an ARM for determining measures of energy consumption under §429.16(a)(2)(i)(B)(1) and paragraph (e)(1) of this section must regularly either subject a sample of their units to independent testing, e.g., through a voluntary certification program, in accordance with the applicable DOE test procedure, or have the representations reviewed by an independent state-registered professional engineer who is not an employee of the manufacturer. The manufacturer may continue to use the ARM only if the testing establishes, or the registered professional engineer certifies, that the results of the ARM accurately represent the energy consumption of the unit(s). Any proposed change to the alternative rating method must be approved by DOE prior to its use for rating.

(5) Manufacturers who choose to use computer simulation or engineering analysis for determining measures of energy consumption under §429.16(a)(2)(i)(B)(1) and paragraphs (e)(1) through (e)(4) of this section must permit representatives of the Department of Energy to inspect for verification purposes the simulation method(s) and computer program(s) used. This inspection may include conducting simulations to predict the performance of particular outdoor unit “indoor” unit combinations specified by DOE, analysis of previous simulations conducted by the manufacturer, or both.

[76 FR 12451, Mar. 7, 2011; 76 FR 24780, May 2, 2011]

EFFECTIVE DATE NOTE: At 78 FR 79595, Dec. 31, 2013, §429.70 was amended by revising paragraphs (a), (b), and (c), effective Jan. 30, 2014. For the convenience of the user, the revised text is set forth as follows:

§429.70 Alternative methods for determining energy efficiency and energy use.

(a) General applicability of an AEDM. A manufacturer of covered products or covered
equipment explicitly authorized to use an AEDM in §§ 429.14 through 429.54 may not distribute any basic model of such equipment in commerce unless the manufacturer has determined the energy efficiency of the basic model, either from testing the basic model in conjunction with DOE’s certification sampling plans and statistics or from applying an alternative method for determining energy efficiency or energy use (AEDM) to the basic model, in accordance with the requirements of this section. In instances where a manufacturer has tested a basic model, the manufacturer may not knowingly use an AEDM to overrate the efficiency (or underestimate the consumption) of the model.

(b) Testing. Testing for each covered product or covered equipment must be done in accordance with the sampling plan provisions established in §429.11 and the testing procedures in parts 430 and 431 of this chapter.

(c) Alternative efficiency determination method (AEDM) for commercial HVAC, WH, and refrigeration equipment—(i) Criteria an AEDM must satisfy. A manufacturer may not apply an AEDM to a basic model to determine its efficiency pursuant to this section unless:

(i) The AEDM is derived from a mathematical model that estimates the energy efficiency or energy consumption characteristics of the basic model as measured by the applicable DOE test procedure;

(ii) The AEDM is based on engineering or statistical analysis, computer simulation or modeling, or other analytic evaluation of performance data; and

(iii) The manufacturer has validated the AEDM, in accordance with paragraph (c)(2)(ii) of this section with basic models that meet the current Federal energy conservation standards.

(2) Validation of an AEDM. Before using an AEDM, the manufacturer must validate the AEDM’s accuracy and reliability as follows:

(i) The manufacturer must select at least the minimum number of basic models for each validation class specified in paragraph (c)(2)(iv) of this section to which the particular AEDM applies. Using the AEDM, calculate the energy use or efficiency for each of the selected basic models. Test a single unit of each selected basic model in accordance with paragraph (c)(2)(ii) of this section. Compare the results from the single unit test and the AEDM energy use or efficiency output according to paragraph (c)(2)(ii) of this section. The manufacturer is responsible for ensuring the accuracy and reliability of the AEDM.

(ii) Individual model tolerances. (A) For those covered products with an energy-efficiency metric, the predicted efficiency for each model calculated by applying the AEDM may not be more than five percent greater than the efficiency determined from the corresponding test of the model.

(B) For those covered products with an energy-consumption metric, the predicted energy consumption for each model, calculated by applying the AEDM, may not be more than five percent less than the energy consumption determined from the corresponding test of the model.

(C) For all covered products, the predicted energy efficiency or consumption for each model calculated by applying the AEDM must meet or exceed the applicable federal energy conservation performance standard.

(iii) Additional test unit requirements. (A) Each AEDM must be supported by test data obtained from physical tests of current models; and

(B) Test results used to validate the AEDM must meet or exceed current, applicable Federal standards as specified in part 431 of this chapter; and

(C) Each test must have been performed in accordance with the DOE test procedure specified in parts 430 or 431 of this chapter or test procedure waiver for which compliance is required at the time the basic model is distributed in commerce.

(iv) Validation classes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Validation class</th>
<th>Minimum number of distinct models that must be tested per AEDM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air-Cooled, Split and Packaged Air Conditioners (ACs) and Heat Pumps (HPs) less than 65,000 Btu/h Cooling Capacity (3-Phase).</td>
<td>2 Basic Models.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(A) Commercial HVAC validation classes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Validation class</th>
<th>Minimum number of distinct models that must be tested per AEDM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air-Cooled, Split and Packaged ACs and HPs greater than or equal to 65,000 Btu/h Cooling Capacity</td>
<td>2 Basic Models.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-Cooled, Split and Packaged ACs and HPs, All Cooling Capacities</td>
<td>2 Basic Models.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaporatively-Cooled, Split and Packaged ACs and HPs, All Capacities</td>
<td>2 Basic Models.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-Source HPs, All Capacities</td>
<td>2 Basic Models.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Package Vertical ACs and HPs</td>
<td>2 Basic Models.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packaged Terminal ACs and HPs</td>
<td>2 Basic Models.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-Cooled, Variable Refrigerant Flow ACs and HPs</td>
<td>2 Basic Models.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-Cooled, Variable Refrigerant Flow ACs and HPs</td>
<td>2 Basic Models.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Room Air Conditioners, Air-Cooled</td>
<td>2 Basic Models.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Room Air Conditioners, Water-Cooled</td>
<td>2 Basic Models.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(3) AEDM records retention requirements. If a manufacturer has used an AEDM to determine representative values pursuant to this section, the manufacturer must have available upon request for inspection by the Department records showing:

(i) The AEDM, including the mathematical model, the engineering or statistical analysis, and/or computer simulation or modeling that is the basis of the AEDM;

(ii) Product information, complete test data, AEDM calculations, and the statistical comparisons from the units tested that were used to validate the AEDM pursuant to paragraph (c)(2) of this section; and

(iii) Conduct certification testing of basic models selected by the Department.

(5) AEDM verification testing. DOE may use the test data for a given individual model generated pursuant to § 429.104 to verify the certified rating determined by an AEDM as long as the following process is followed:

(i) Selection of units. DOE will obtain units for test from retail, where available. If units cannot be obtained from retail, DOE will request that a unit be provided by the manufacturer;

(ii) Lab requirements. DOE will conduct testing at an independent, third-party testing facility of its choosing. In cases where no third-party laboratory is capable of testing the equipment, it may be tested at a manufacturer’s facility upon DOE’s request.

(iii) Manufacturer participation. [Reserved]

(iv) Testing. At no time during verification testing may the lab and the manufacturer communicate without DOE authorization. All verification testing will be conducted in accordance with the applicable DOE test procedures, as well as each of the following to the extent that they apply:

(A) Any active test procedure waivers that have been granted for the basic model;

1 The minimum number of tests indicated above must be comprised of a transparent model, a solid model, a vertical model, a semi-vertical model, a horizontal model, and a service-over-the-counter model, as applicable based on the equipment offered. However, manufacturers do not need to include all types of these models if it will increase the minimum number of tests that need to be conducted.
§ 429.70, NI.

(B) Any test procedure guidance that has been issued by DOE;

(C) The installation and operations manual that is shipped with the unit;

(D) Any additional information that was provided by the manufacturer at the time of certification (prior to DOE obtaining the unit for test); and

(E) If during test set-up or testing, the lab indicates to DOE that it needs additional information regarding a given basic model in order to test in accordance with the applicable DOE test procedure, DOE may organize a meeting between DOE, the manufacturer and the lab to provide such information.

(v) Failure to meet certified rating. If a model tests worse than its certified rating by an amount exceeding the tolerance prescribed in paragraph (c)(5)(vi) of this section, DOE will notify the manufacturer. DOE will provide the manufacturer with all documentation related to the test set up, test conditions, and test results for the unit. Within the timeframe allotted by DOE, the manufacturer may then:

(A) Present all claims regarding testing validity; and

(B) If the manufacturer was not on site for the initial test set-up, request a retest of the previously tested unit with manufacturer and DOE representatives on-site for the test set-up. DOE will not conduct the retest using a different unit of the same basic model unless DOE and the manufacturer determine it is necessary based on the test results, claims presented, and DOE regulations.

(vi) Tolerances. (A) For consumption metrics, the result from a DOE verification test must be less than or equal to the certified rating × (1 + the applicable tolerance).

(B) For efficiency metrics, the result from a DOE verification test must be greater than or equal to the certified rating × (1 – the applicable tolerance).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Applicable tolerance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Packaged Boilers</td>
<td>Combustion Efficiency</td>
<td>5% (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Water Heaters or Hot Water Supply Boilers</td>
<td>Thermal Efficiency</td>
<td>5% (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfired Storage Tanks</td>
<td>Thermal Efficiency</td>
<td>5% (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-Cooled, Split and Packaged ACs and HPs less than 65,000 Btu/h</td>
<td>Standby Loss</td>
<td>10% (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooling Capacity (3-Phase)</td>
<td>R-Value</td>
<td>10% (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-Cooled, Split and Packaged ACs and HPs greater than or equal to 65,000 Btu/h Cooling Capacity</td>
<td>Seasonal Energy-Efficiency Ratio</td>
<td>5% (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-Cooled, Split and Packaged ACs and HPs, All Cooling Capacities</td>
<td>Heating Season Performance Factor</td>
<td>5% (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-Cooled, Split and Packaged ACs and HPs, All Cooling Capacities</td>
<td>Energy Efficiency Ratio</td>
<td>10% (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-Cooled, Split and Packaged ACs and HPs, All Cooling Capacities</td>
<td>R-Value</td>
<td>5% (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaporatively-Cooled, Split and Packaged ACs and HPs, All Cooling Capacities</td>
<td>Coefficient of Performance</td>
<td>5% (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaporatively-Cooled, Split and Packaged ACs and HPs, All Cooling Capacities</td>
<td>Integrated Energy Efficiency Ratio</td>
<td>10% (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-Source HPs, All Capacities</td>
<td>Energy Efficiency Ratio</td>
<td>5% (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Package Vertical ACs and HPs</td>
<td>Coefficient of Performance</td>
<td>5% (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packaged Terminal ACs and HPs</td>
<td>Integrated Energy Efficiency Ratio</td>
<td>10% (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable Refrigerant Flow ACs and HPs</td>
<td>Energy Efficiency Ratio</td>
<td>5% (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Room Air Conditioners</td>
<td>Coefficient of Performance</td>
<td>5% (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Warm-Air Furnaces</td>
<td>Integrated Energy Efficiency Ratio</td>
<td>10% (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Refrigeration Equipment</td>
<td>Sensible Coefficient of Performance</td>
<td>5% (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thermal Efficiency</td>
<td>5% (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daily Energy Consumption</td>
<td>5% (0.05)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(vii) Invalid rating. If, following discussions with the manufacturer and a retest where applicable, DOE determines that the verification testing was conducted appropriately in accordance with the DOE test procedure, DOE will issue a determination that the rating for the model is invalid. The manufacturer must elect, within 15 days, one of the following to be completed in a time frame specified by DOE, which is never to exceed 180 days:

(A) Re-rate and re-certify the model based on DOE’s test data alone; or

(B) Discontinue the model through the certification process; or

(C) Conduct additional testing and re-rate and re-certify the basic model based on all test data collected, including DOE’s test data.

(viii) AEDM use. (A) If DOE has determined that a manufacturer made invalid ratings on two or more models rated using the same
AEDM within a 24 month period, the manufacturer must take the action listed in the table corresponding to the number of invalid certified ratings. The twenty-four month period begins with a DOE determination that a rating is invalid through the process outlined above. Additional invalid ratings apply for the purposes of determining the appropriate consequences if the subsequent determination(s) is based on selection of a unit for testing within the twenty-four month period (i.e., subsequent determinations need not be made within 24 months).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of invalid certified ratings from the same AEDM (^2) within a rolling 24 month period (^3)</th>
<th>Required manufacturer actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Submit different test data and reports from testing to validate that AEDM within the validation classes to which it is applied. Adjust the ratings as appropriate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Conduct double the minimum number of validation tests for the validation classes to which the AEDM is applied. Note, the tests required under this paragraph (c)(5)(viii) must be performed on different models than the original tests required under paragraph (c)(2) of this section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Conduct the minimum number of validation tests for the validation classes to which the AEDM is applied, at either the manufacturer’s facility or a third-party test facility, at the manufacturer’s discretion. And Conduct addition testing, which is equal to (\frac{1}{2}) the minimum number of validation tests for the validation classes to which the AEDM is applied, at a third-party test facility; And Note, the tests required under this paragraph (c)(5)(viii) must be performed on different models than the original tests performed under paragraph (c)(2) of this section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&gt; = 8)</td>
<td>Manufacturer has lost privilege to use AEDM. All ratings for models within the validation classes to which the AEDM is applied should be rated via testing. Distribution cannot continue until certification(s) are corrected to reflect actual test data.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) A manufacturer may discuss with DOE’s Office of Enforcement whether existing test data on different basic models within the validation classes to which that specific AEDM was applied may be used to meet this requirement.

\(^2\) The “same AEDM” means a computer simulation or mathematical model that is identified by the manufacturer at the time of certification as having been used to rate a model or group of models.

\(^3\) The twenty-four month period begins with a DOE determination that a rating is invalid through the process outlined above. Additional invalid ratings apply for the purposes of determining the appropriate consequences if the subsequent determination(s) is based on testing of a unit that was selected for testing within the twenty-four month period (i.e., subsequent determinations need not be made within 24 months).

(B) If, as a result of eight or more invalid ratings, a manufacturer has lost the privilege of using an AEDM for rating, the manufacturer may regain the ability to use an AEDM by:

1. Investigating and identifying cause(s) for failures;
2. Taking corrective action to address cause(s);
3. Performing six new tests per validation class, a minimum of two of which must be performed by an independent, third-party laboratory to validate the AEDM; and
4. Obtaining DOE authorization to resume use of the AEDM.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

§ 429.71 Maintenance of records.

(a) The manufacturer of any covered product or covered equipment shall establish, maintain, and retain the records of certification reports, of the underlying test data for all certification testing, and of any other testing conducted to satisfy the requirements of this part, part 430, and part 431. Any manufacturer who chooses to use an alternative method for determining energy efficiency or energy use in accordance with §429.70 must retain the records required by that section, any other records of any testing performed to support the use of the alternative method, and any certifications required by that section, on file for review by DOE for two years following the discontinuance of all models or combinations whose ratings were based on the alternative method.

(b) Such records shall be organized and indexed in a fashion that makes them readily accessible for review by DOE upon request.

(c) The records shall be retained by the manufacturer for a period of two years from the date that the manufacturer or third party submitter has notified DOE that the model has been discontinued in commerce.