Environmental Protection Agency

in EPA’s limited disapproval of the regional haze plan submitted by Indiana on January 14, 2011 and supplemented on March 10, 2011 are satisfied by §52.790.

[77 FR 33657, June 7, 2012]

§ 52.792 [Reserved]

§ 52.793 Significant deterioration of air quality.

(a) The requirements of sections 160 through 165 of the Clean Air Act are not met, since the plan does not include approvable procedures for preventing the significant deterioration of air quality.

(b) **Definitions** for preventing significant deterioration of air quality. The provisions of §52.21(a)(2) and (b) through (bb) are hereby incorporated and made a part of the applicable state plan for the State of Indiana.

(c) All applications and other information required pursuant to §52.21 of this chapter shall be submitted to the state agency, Indiana Department of Environmental Management, Office of Air Quality, 100 North Senate Avenue, Indianapolis, Indiana 46204, rather than to EPA’s Region 5 office.


§ 52.794 Source surveillance.

(a) The requirements of §51.212 of this chapter are not met by the phrase “for more than a cumulative total of 15 minutes in a 24-hour period” contained in section 1 of APC-3 of the Indiana Air Pollution Control Regulations.

(b) [Reserved]

(c) 325 IAC 5–1 (October 6, 1980, submittal—§52.770(c)(53)) is disapproved for the Lake County sources specifically listed in Table 2 of 325 IAC 6–1–10.2 (§52.770(c)(57)); for pushing and quenching sources throughout the State (August 27, 1981, 325 IAC 11–3–2 (g) and (h)—§52.770(c)(42)); and for coke oven doors in Lake and Marion Counties (325 IAC 11–3–2(f)—§52.770(c)(42)). Applicability of this regulation to these sources is being disapproved because 325 IAC 5–1 does not meet the enforceability requirements of §51.22 as it applies to these sources.Opacity limits in 325 IAC 6–1–10.2 and certain opacity limits in 325 IAC 11–3 supersede those in 325 IAC 5–1, and USEPA has previously disapproved these superseding regulations (§52.776 (j), (g), and (f), respectively).


§ 52.795 Control strategy: Sulfur dioxide.

(a) Revised APC-13 (December 5, 1974 submission) of Indiana’s Air Pollution Control regulations (sulfur dioxide emission limitation) is disapproved insofar as the provisions identified below will interfere with the attainment and maintenance of the sulfur dioxide ambient air quality standards:

(1) The phrase “equivalent full load” in section 1(b)(2).

(2) The formula “E_p=17.0 Q_m^{0.67}” where E_p=E_m×Q_m’’ in section 2(a).

(3) The phrase “Direct fired process operations” in sections 2(a), 3(c), 4(b), and 4(c).

(4) The modification of Q_m for non-Indiana coal as expressed in Section 2(a).

(b) The requirements of §51.281 are not met by Warrick and Culley electrical generating stations enforcement orders which would revise the sulfur dioxide emission limitations for these two stations.

(c) The requirements of §51.110(e) are not met by Wayne, Dearborn, Jefferson, Porter, and Warrick Counties.

(d)-(e) [Reserved]

(f) Approval—On June 17, 1996, the State of Indiana submitted a maintenance plan for LaPorte, Vigo, and Wayne Counties and requested redesignation to attainment for the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for sulfur dioxide for each county in its entirety. The redesignation requests and