§ 100.500

in activities designed to make other persons aware of, or encouraging such other persons to exercise, rights granted or protected by this part.

(5) Retaliating against any person because that person has made a complaint, testified, assisted, or participated in any manner in a proceeding under the Fair Housing Act.

Subpart G—Discriminatory Effect

§ 100.500 Discriminatory effect prohibited.

Liability may be established under the Fair Housing Act based on a practice's discriminatory effect, as defined in paragraph (a) of this section, even if the practice was not motivated by a discriminatory intent. The practice may still be lawful if supported by a legally sufficient justification, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section. The burdens of proof for establishing a violation under this subpart are set forth in paragraph (c) of this section.

- (a) Discriminatory effect. A practice has a discriminatory effect where it actually or predictably results in a disparate impact on a group of persons or creates, increases, reinforces, or perpetuates segregated housing patterns because of race, color, religion, sex, handicap, familial status, or national origin.
- (b) Legally sufficient justification. (1) A legally sufficient justification exists where the challenged practice:
- (i) Is necessary to achieve one or more substantial, legitimate, non-discriminatory interests of the respondent, with respect to claims brought under 42 U.S.C. 3612, or defendant, with respect to claims brought under 42 U.S.C. 3613 or 3614; and
- (ii) Those interests could not be served by another practice that has a less discriminatory effect.
- (2) A legally sufficient justification must be supported by evidence and may not be hypothetical or speculative. The burdens of proof for establishing each of the two elements of a legally sufficient justification are set forth in paragraphs (c)(2) and (c)(3) of this section.
- (c) Burdens of proof in discriminatory effects cases. (1) The charging party, with respect to a claim brought under

42 U.S.C. 3612, or the plaintiff, with respect to a claim brought under 42 U.S.C. 3613 or 3614, has the burden of proving that a challenged practice caused or predictably will cause a discriminatory effect.

- (2) Once the charging party or plaintiff satisfies the burden of proof set forth in paragraph (c)(1) of this section, the respondent or defendant has the burden of proving that the challenged practice is necessary to achieve one or more substantial, legitimate, non-discriminatory interests of the respondent or defendant.
- (3) If the respondent or defendant satisfies the burden of proof set forth in paragraph (c)(2) of this section, the charging party or plaintiff may still prevail upon proving that the substantial, legitimate, nondiscriminatory interests supporting the challenged practice could be served by another practice that has a less discriminatory effect.
- (d) Relationship to discriminatory intent. A demonstration that a practice is supported by a legally sufficient justification, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section, may not be used as a defense against a claim of intentional discrimination.

[78 FR 11482, Feb. 15, 2013]

PART 103—FAIR HOUSING—COMPLAINT PROCESSING

Subpart A—Purpose and Definitions

Sec

103.1 Purpose and applicability.

103.5 Other civil rights authorities.

103.9 Definitions.

Subpart B—Complaints

- 103.10 What can I do if I believe someone is discriminating against me in the sale, rental, finance, or advertisement of housing?
- 103.15 Can I file a claim if the discrimination has not yet occurred?
- 103.20 Can someone help me with filing a claim?
- 103.25 What information should I provide to HIID?
- 103.30 How should I bring a claim that I am the victim of discrimination?
- 103.35 Is there a time limit on when I can file?
- 103.40 Can I change my complaint after it is filed?