§ 52.791 Visibility protection.

(a) Regional Haze. The requirements of section 169A of the Clean Air Act are not met because the regional haze plan submitted by Indiana on January 14, 2011, and supplemented on March 10, 2011, does not include fully approvable measures for meeting the requirements of 40 CFR 51.308(d)(3) and 51.308(e) with respect to emissions of NO\textsubscript{X} and SO\textsubscript{2} from electric generating units. EPA has given limited approval and limited disapproval to the plan provisions addressing these requirements.

(b) Measures Addressing Limited Disapproval Associated with NO\textsubscript{X}. The deficiencies associated with NO\textsubscript{X} identified in EPA’s limited disapproval of the regional haze plan submitted by Indiana on January 14, 2011, and supplemented on March 10, 2011, are satisfied by §52.789.

(c) Measures Addressing Limited Disapproval Associated with SO\textsubscript{2}. The deficiencies associated with SO\textsubscript{2} identified in EPA’s limited disapproval of the regional haze plan submitted by Indiana on January 14, 2011, and supplemented on March 10, 2011, are satisfied by §52.790.

§ 52.792 [Reserved]

§ 52.793 Significant deterioration of air quality.

(a) The requirements of sections 160 through 165 of the Clean Air Act are not met, since the plan does not include approvable procedures for preventing the significant deterioration of air quality.
(b) Regulations for preventing significant deterioration of air quality. The provisions of §52.21(a)(2) and (b) through (bb) are hereby incorporated and made a part of the applicable state plan for the State of Indiana.
(c) All applications and other information required pursuant to §52.21 of this part from sources located in the State of Indiana shall be submitted to the state agency, Indiana Department of Environmental Management, Office of Air Quality, 100 North Senate Avenue, Indianapolis, Indiana 46204, rather than to EPA’s Region 5 office.
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§ 52.794 Source surveillance.

(a) The requirements of 51.212 of this chapter are not met by the phrase “for more than a cumulative total of 15 minutes in a 24-hour period” contained in section 1 of APC–3 of the Indiana Air Pollution Control Regulations.

(b) [Reserved]

(c) 325 IAC 5–1 (October 6, 1980, submittal—§52.770(c)(53)) is disapproved for the Lake County sources specifically listed in Table 2 of 325 IAC 6–1–10.2 (§52.770(c)(57)); for pushing and quenching sources throughout the State (August 27, 1981, 325 IAC 11–3–2 (g) and (h)—§52.770(c)(42)); and for coke oven doors in Lake and Marion Counties (325 IAC 11–3–2(f)—§52.770(c)(42)). Applicability of this regulation to these sources is being disapproved because 325 IAC 5–1 does not meet the enforceability requirements of §51.22 as it applies to these sources. Opacity limits in 325 IAC 6–1–10.2 and certain opacity limits in 325 IAC 11–3 supersede those in 325 IAC 5–1, and USEPA has previously disapproved these superseding regulations (§52.776 (j), (g), and (f), respectively).


§ 52.795 Control strategy: Sulfur dioxide.

(a) Revised APC–13 (December 5, 1974 submission) of Indiana’s Air Pollution
Control regulations (sulfur dioxide emission limitation) is disapproved insofar as the provisions identified below will interfere with the attainment and maintenance of the sulfur dioxide ambient air quality standards:

1. The phrase “equivalent full load” in section 1(b)(2).
2. The formula \( E_p = 17.0 Q_m^{0.67} \) where \( E_p = E_m \times Q_m \) in section 2(a).
3. The phrase “Direct fired process operations” in sections 2(a), 3(c), 4(b), and 4(c).
4. The modification of \( Q_m \) for non-Indiana coal as expressed in Section 2(a).

(b) The requirements of §51.281 are not met by Warrick and Culley electrical generating stations enforcement orders which would revise the sulfur dioxide emission limitations for these two stations.

(c) The requirements of §51.110(e) are not met by Wayne, Dearborn, Jefferson, Porter, and Warrick Counties.

(d)-(e) [Reserved]

(f) Approval—On March 14, 1996, the State of Indiana submitted a maintenance plan for Lawrence, Washington, and Warren Townships in Marion County and the remainder of the county, and requested that it be redesignated to attainment of the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for sulfur dioxide. The redesignation request and maintenance plan satisfy all applicable requirements of the Clean Air Act.

(g) Approval—On June 17, 1996, the State of Indiana submitted a maintenance plan for LaPorte, Vigo, and Wayne Counties and requested redesignation to attainment for the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for sulfur dioxide for each county in its entirety. The redesignation requests and maintenance plans satisfy all applicable requirements of the Clean Air Act.

(h) Approval—On June 21, 2005, and as supplemented on August 11, 2005, the State of Indiana submitted a request to redesignate the Lake County sulfur dioxide (SO\(_2\)) nonattainment area to attainment of the NAAQS. In its submission, the State also requested that EPA approve the maintenance plan for the area into the Indiana SO\(_2\) SIP. The redesignation request and maintenance plan satisfy all applicable requirements of the Clean Air Act.

§52.796 Industrial continuous emission monitoring.

(a) APC-8, Appendix I 1.2.3, 3.3, and 6.0 are disapproved because they do not meet the requirements of 40 CFR 51.214. 

(b) The requirements of 40 CFR 51, Appendix P 3.3 are hereby incorporated and made a part of the applicable implementation plan for the State of Indiana.

(2) APC-8 does not apply to any source scheduled for retirement by October 6, 1980, or within five years after the promulgation of continuous emission monitoring requirements for that source category in 40 CFR part 51. Appendix P 1.1, provided that adequate evidence and guarantees are provided that clearly show that the source will cease operations on or before such date.

§52.797 Control strategy: Lead.

(a)-(b) [Reserved]

(c) On January 12, 1988, Indiana’s Office of Air Management (OAM), Indiana Department of Environmental Management, agreed to review all relevant hood designs and performance guidance to determine which criteria to use in determining ongoing compliance with the capture efficiency provisions in 326 IAC 15-1 for Quemetco, Inc., and Refined Metals. Because these efficiencies are closely related to equipment design, OAM believes that a review of the process and control equipment designs and operating parameters should provide the necessary determination of compliance. OAM will work with the Indianapolis local agency, the Indianapolis Air Pollution Control Division, on viable alternatives and will keep USEPA up to date on its progress. OAM