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§ 493.1800 Basis and scope.

(a) Statutory basis. (1) Section 1846 of the Act—

(i) Provides for intermediate sanctions that may be imposed on laboratories that perform clinical diagnostic tests on human specimens when those laboratories are found to be out of compliance with one or more of the conditions for Medicare coverage of their services; and

(ii) Requires the Secretary to develop and implement a range of such sanctions, including four that are specified in the statute.

(2) The Clinical Laboratories Improvement Act of 1967 (section 353 of the Public Health Service Act) as amended by CLIA ’88—

(i) Establishes requirements for all laboratories that perform clinical diagnostic tests on human specimens;

(ii) Requires a Federal certification scheme to be applied to all such laboratories; and

(iii) Grants the Secretary broad enforcement authority, including—

(A) Use of intermediate sanctions;

(B) Suspension, limitation, or revocation of the certificate of a laboratory that is out of compliance with one or more requirements for a certificate; and

(C) Civil suit to enjoin any laboratory activity that constitutes a significant hazard to the public health.

(b) Scope and applicability. This subpart sets forth—

(1) The policies and procedures that CMS follows to enforce the requirements applicable to laboratories under CLIA and under section 1846 of the Act; and

(2) The appeal rights of laboratories on which CMS imposes sanctions.

§ 493.1804 General considerations.

(a) Purpose. The enforcement mechanisms set forth in this subpart have the following purposes:

(1) To protect all individuals served by laboratories against substandard testing of specimens.

(2) To safeguard the general public against health and safety hazards that might result from laboratory activities.

(3) To motivate laboratories to comply with CLIA requirements so that they can provide accurate and reliable test results.

(b) Basis for decision to impose sanctions. (1) CMS’s decision to impose sanctions is based on one or more of the following:

(i) Deficiencies found by CMS or its agents in the conduct of inspections to certify or validate compliance with Federal requirements, or through review of materials submitted by the laboratory (e.g., personnel qualifications).

(ii) Unsuccessful participation in proficiency testing.
(2) CMS imposes one or more of the alternative or principal sanctions specified in §§ 493.1806 and 493.1807 when CMS or CMS’s agent finds that a laboratory has condition-level deficiencies.

(c) Imposition of alternative sanctions. (1) CMS may impose alternative sanctions in lieu of, or in addition to principal sanctions, (CMS does not impose alternative sanctions on laboratories that have certificates of waiver because those laboratories are not inspected for compliance with condition-level requirements.)

(2) CMS may impose alternative sanctions other than a civil money penalty after the laboratory has had an opportunity to respond, but before the hearing specified in § 493.1844.

(d) Choice of sanction: Factors considered. CMS bases its choice of sanction or sanctions on consideration of one or more factors that include, but are not limited to, the following, as assessed by the State or by CMS, or its agents:

(1) Whether the deficiencies pose immediate jeopardy.

(2) The nature, incidence, severity, and duration of the deficiencies or non-compliance.

(3) Whether the same condition level deficiencies have been identified repeatedly.

(4) The accuracy and extent of laboratory records (e.g., of remedial action) in regard to the noncompliance, and their availability to the State, to other CMS agents, and to CMS.

(5) The relationship of one deficiency or group of deficiencies to other deficiencies.

(6) The overall compliance history of the laboratory including but not limited to any period of noncompliance that occurred between certifications of compliance.

(7) The corrective and long-term compliance outcomes that CMS hopes to achieve through application of the sanction.

(8) Whether the laboratory has made any progress toward improvement following a reasonable opportunity to correct deficiencies.

(9) Any recommendation by the State agency as to which sanction would be appropriate.

(e) Number of alternative sanctions. CMS may impose a separate sanction for each condition level deficiency or a single sanction for all condition level deficiencies that are interrelated and subject to correction by a single course of action.

(f) Appeal rights. The appeal rights of laboratories dissatisfied with the imposition of a sanction are set forth in § 493.1844.
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