§ 93.412 Making decisions on institutional noncompliance.

(a) Institutions must foster a research environment that discourages misconduct in all research and that deals forthrightly with possible misconduct associated with PHS supported research.

(b) ORI may decide that an institution is not compliant with this part if the institution shows a disregard for, or inability or unwillingness to implement and follow the requirements of this part and its assurance. In making this decision, ORI may consider, but is not limited to the following factors—

1. Failure to establish and comply with policies and procedures under this part;
2. Failure to respond appropriately when allegations of research misconduct arise;
3. Failure to report to ORI all investigations and findings of research misconduct under this part;
4. Failure to cooperate with ORI’s review of research misconduct proceedings; or
5. Other actions or omissions that have a material, adverse effect on reporting and responding to allegations of research misconduct.

§ 93.413 HHS compliance actions.

(a) An institution’s failure to comply with its assurance and the requirements of this part may result in enforcement action against the institution.

(b) ORI may address institutional deficiencies through technical assistance if the deficiencies do not substantially affect compliance with this part.

(c) If an institution fails to comply with its assurance and the requirements of this part, HHS may take some or all of the following compliance actions:

1. Issue a letter of reprimand.
2. Direct that research misconduct proceedings be handled by HHS.
3. Place the institution on special review status.
4. Place information on the institutional noncompliance on the ORI Web site.

5. Require the institution to take corrective actions.
6. Require the institution to adopt and implement an institutional integrity agreement.
7. Recommend that HHS debar or suspend the entity.
8. Any other action appropriate to the circumstances.

(d) If the institution’s actions constitute a substantial or recurrent failure to comply with this part, ORI may also revoke the institution’s assurance under §§93.301 or 93.303.

(e) ORI may make public any findings of institutional noncompliance and HHS compliance actions.

§ 93.414 Notice.

(a) ORI may disclose information to other persons for the purpose of providing or obtaining information about research misconduct as permitted under the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. 552a.

(b) ORI may publish a notice of final agency findings of research misconduct, settlements, and HHS administrative actions and release and withhold information as permitted by the Privacy Act and the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552.

Subpart E—Opportunity To Contest ORI Findings of Research Misconduct and HHS Administrative Actions

GENERAL INFORMATION

§ 93.500 General policy.

(a) This subpart provides a respondent an opportunity to contest ORI findings of research misconduct and HHS administrative actions, including debarment or suspension, arising under 42 U.S.C. 289b in connection with PHS supported biomedical and behavioral research, research training, or activities related to that research or research training.

(b) A respondent has an opportunity to contest ORI research misconduct findings and HHS administrative actions under this part, including debarment or suspension, by requesting an
§ 93.502 Appointment of the Administrative Law Judge and scientific expert.

(a) Within 30 days of receiving a request for a hearing, the DAB Chair, in consultation with the Chief Administrative Law Judge, must designate an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) to determine whether the hearing request should be granted and, if the hearing request is granted, to make recommended findings in the case after a hearing or review of the administrative record in accordance with this part.

(b) The ALJ may retain one or more persons with appropriate scientific or technical expertise to assist the ALJ in evaluating scientific or technical issues related to the findings of research misconduct.

(1) On the ALJ’s or a party’s motion to appoint an expert, the ALJ must give the parties an opportunity to submit nominations. If such a motion is

§ 93.501 Opportunity to contest findings of research misconduct and administrative actions.

(a) Opportunity to contest. A respondent may contest ORI findings of research misconduct and HHS administrative actions, including any debarment or suspension action, by requesting a hearing within 30 days of receipt of the charge letter or other written notice provided under §93.405.

(b) Form of a request for hearing. The respondent’s request for a hearing must be—

(1) In writing;
(2) Signed by the respondent or by the respondent’s attorney; and
(3) Sent by certified mail, or other equivalent (i.e., with a verified method of delivery), to the DAB Chair and ORI.

(c) Contents of a request for hearing. The request for a hearing must—

(1) Admit or deny each finding of research misconduct and each factual assertion made in support of the finding;
(2) Accept or challenge each proposed HHS administrative action;
(3) Provide detailed, substantive reasons for each denial or challenge;
(4) Identify any legal issues or defenses that the respondent intends to raise during the proceeding; and
(5) Identify any mitigating factors that the respondent intends to prove.

(d) Extension for good cause to supplement the hearing request. (1) After receiving notification of the appointment of the ALJ, the respondent has 10 days to submit a written request to the ALJ for supplementation of the hearing request to comply fully with the requirements of paragraph (c) of this section. The written request must show good cause in accordance with paragraph (d)(2) of this section and set forth the proposed supplementation of the hearing request in whole or in part upon a finding of good cause.

(2) Good cause means circumstances beyond the control of the respondent or respondent’s representative and not attributable to neglect or administrative inadequacy.